Prevalence and Risk Factors of Home Quarantine Strategy Implementation Among Chinese Residents During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic
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Background: Home quarantine is an important strategy to contain the mass spread of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. However, there are a dearth of studies on the prevalence and risk factors of home quarantine strategy implementation among residents. This study aims to assess the state of home quarantine strategy implementation among Chinese residents, which could provide a reference for quarantine policymakers around the world during the pandemic.

Method: We conducted a cross-sectional survey of 3,398 residents in China by adopting a convenience sampling strategy. We measured the prevalence and risk factors of home quarantine strategy implementation with the Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale (CES-D), 10-item Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC 10), and Perceived Social Support Scale (PSSS). A multivariable model was used to determine the factors associated with home quarantine strategy implementation.

Results: A total of 2,936 (86.4%) respondents carried out home quarantine. There were some factors significantly associated with home quarantine strategy implementation among residents during the COVID-19 outbreak. Respondents who were male, lived in western and central China, were aware of the primary symptoms of COVID-19, were willing to accept recommendations on relevant protective measures, understood local quarantine measures, had better resilience, and had better social support were more likely to engage in home quarantine. Respondents who were married, were employed, were healthy, and had high depression scores were more likely to refuse to follow home quarantine guidance.

Conclusions: Gender, region, marital status, employment status, health status, awareness of the primary symptoms of COVID-19, willingness to accept recommendations on relevant protective measures, understanding of local quarantine
measures, depression, psychological resilience, and perceived social support were the main factors affecting the implementation of residents’ home quarantine strategy. Health service policymakers should adopt relevant measures to improve the prevalence of home quarantine strategy implementation among residents during the pandemic.
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BACKGROUND

Home quarantine is the separation and restriction of movement of people who have potentially been exposed to a contagious disease to limit disease spread (Wang et al., 2020). Particularly during the early stages of a novel infectious disease outbreak, quarantine can be applied to large numbers of people. Home quarantine is necessary and effective for preventing the spread of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) (Wang and Wang, 2020). China adopted the “Reduce travel and contact with others” policy as the core of the nationwide home quarantine strategy early in the COVID-19 outbreak (Bau and Anand, 2020). With the global spread of the virus, many countries have issued similar home quarantine policies (Matias et al., 2020). Previous studies reported that the effects of home quarantine on the prevention and control of COVID-19 are specific and remarkable. A study conducted by McCloskey et al. (2020) demonstrated that implementing a home quarantine strategy is an effective alternative to reduce the global spread of COVID-19. Ferguson et al. (2020) indicated that home quarantine, social distancing of the entire population, and closure of schools and universities can reduce transmission of the virus. However, another study indicated that the key to the implementation of this strategy is that residents voluntarily comply with home quarantine requirements (Pan et al., 2020). In reality, some residents are still inattentive to the home quarantine strategy and do not comply with the requirements, which vastly reduces the effectiveness of containment strategies and indirectly contributes to the spread of the epidemic.

In an infectious disease pandemic, there are different motivations for residents’ adherence to recommendations about social distancing (e.g., desire to protect self and others), and external circumstances or motivators (e.g., work/school conducted remotely) contribute to engagement in and adherence to preventative behaviors, such as social distancing (Guo et al., 2020). These motivations also likely interact with various sociodemographic variables, such as gender, age, socioeconomic and health status, and household size and composition (Guo et al., 2020). There are multiple factors influencing residents’ willingness to comply with home quarantine according to previous studies (Webster et al., 2020). For instance, a potential factor is the objective condition of the individual based on income and employment status and the individual’s state of health (Cava et al., 2005; Porten et al., 2006; Bodas and Peleg, 2020). Another factor is the subjective psychological status of residents, which reflects their subjective cognitive situation and degree of panic in this crisis (Cui et al., 2020). The third is the environmental factors of residents, which include the government’s response to the crisis and residents’ satisfaction with the government’s actions (Hsu et al., 2006; Desclaux et al., 2017).

Several studies conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic have explored the willingness of residents to comply with quarantine measures and the influencing factors. However, some studies considered only the impact of demographic and social characteristics and economic factors on residents and failed to fully consider the role of psychological status (Geldsetzer, 2020; Kamenidou et al., 2020). There were also studies that considered the role of mental health and risk perception but did not use professional scales to measure the psychological condition of the residents (Li et al., 2020; Roy et al., 2020; Atchison et al., 2021), such as the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D), the 10-item Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC 10), and the Perceived Social Support Scale (PSSS). Therefore, it is necessary to conduct research based on full consideration of the various potential factors and the use of specialized measurement tools.

Various models of health behavior change conceptualize motivation as a central predictor for the adoption and maintenance of preventative health behaviors. For example, the capability-opportunity-motivation-behavior (COM-B) model (Michie et al., 2011) posits that the interaction between individual capability (or having the necessary knowledge and skills) and opportunity (physical, social, and environmental support) directly influences motivation to engage in a behavior (reflective and automatic processes driving behavior), which leads to behavior change and maintenance. Self-determination theory (Ryan and Deci, 2000) suggests that there are two types of motivations that drive behavior change: intrinsic motivation, where the individual derives pleasure from the behavior, and extrinsic motivation, where external pressures facilitate adherence to behavior. However, few studies have focused on the influencing factors of home quarantine based on these theories and the influencing factors proposed by previous studies. Therefore, we investigated the willingness of residents to quarantine at home and explored the main factors, which has significance for the improvement and implementation of the home quarantine strategy in future global public health emergency response.

Given that the home quarantine strategy entails significant lifestyle changes for the general population and may potentially be required for months or years to come (Zhang et al., 2020), it is important to understand what facilitates or prevents adherence to these measures so that public health interventions can be developed in a timely manner. Because most countries have relaxed their social and physical distancing measures compared
to the measures taken in the early days of the epidemic, it is crucially important to determine the factors that might affect adherence to these preventive health behaviors in the long run.

**METHODS**

**Ethics Statement**
This study protocol was approved by the institutional review board of Tongji Medical College of Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China (IORG No: IORG0003571).

**Study Participants**
We conducted a cross-sectional survey in China from January 31 to February 29, 2020. We stratified the respondents mainly according to geographical area: the eastern, central, and western regions of China. We adopted a convenience sampling strategy to recruit participants. A total of 3,495 residents received the questionnaire. The response rate was 97.2%, and 3,398 complete questionnaires were employed for the results analysis.

**Survey Tools**
The questionnaire consisted of five sections: sociodemographic information of the respondents, COVID-19-related cognitive evaluation and protective behaviors, the Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale (CES-D), the 10-item Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC 10), and the Perceived Social Support Scale (PSSS). Table 1 presents the scales-items used, where they were adopted from, and validity and reliability measures.

**Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D)**
The CES-D is a 20-item self-rating scale for the measurement of depressive symptoms (Adams et al., 2019). It was designed for use in the general population and has been successfully employed to assess depression in a variety of community samples. The categorical response variables for each item ranged from 0 (Rarely or none of the time) to 3 (Most or all of the time). Higher scores reflected lower levels of positive emotional well-being. The Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of this scale was 0.9 in this study.

**10-Item Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC 10)**
The CD-RISC 10 was developed by Campbell-Sills and Stein as a viable alternative to the original scale after a review of its efficacy revealed an unstable factor structure. The revised scale is a self-report measure with excellent psychometric properties and has generally been shown to be unidimensional in nature (Siddaway et al., 2017). The CD-RISC10 was used to measure the psychological resilience of residents (Cosco et al., 2016). This 10-item scale uses a response scale from 0 (never or not possible) to 4 (always or extremely possible) (Reid, 2016). The total score of the psychological resilience scale is the sum of the scores of the items and ranges from 0 to 40 points. Higher scores indicate higher psychological resilience (Cosco et al., 2016). The Cronbach's alpha coefficient of this scale was 0.8 in this study.

**Perceived Social Support Scale (PSSS)**
Another measure used was the perceived social support status among Chinese community dwellers during the COVID-19 pandemic. The Perceived Social Support Scale (PSSS) has 12 items in three dimensions: family support, friend support, and

---

**TABLE 1** Details of the Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale (CES-D), 10-item Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC 10), and Perceived Social Support Scale (PSSS).

| Scale                                         | Items | Each item ranged | Construct                        | Average Variance Extracted (AVE) | Composite Reliability (CR) | Cronbach \( \alpha \) | Application in Chinese population |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------|------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) | 20    | 0–3              | Full scale                       | 0.7                              | 0.8                       | 0.9              | Chen et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2019a,b |
|                                              |       |                  | Depressed mood                   | 0.7                              | 0.7                       | 0.9              |
|                                              |       |                  | Guilt and unworthiness           | 0.6                              | 0.8                       | 0.8              |
|                                              |       |                  | Helplessness and                 | 0.6                              | 0.8                       | 0.8              |
|                                              |       |                  | hopelessness                     | 0.8                              | 0.9                       | 0.9              |
|                                              |       |                  | Psychomotor                      | 0.7                              | 0.7                       | 0.9              |
|                                              |       |                  | Loss of appetite                 | 0.7                              | 0.7                       | 0.8              |
|                                              |       |                  | Sleep disorders                  | 0.7                              | 0.7                       | 0.8              |
| 10-item Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC 10)    | 10    | 0–4              | Full scale                       | 0.8                              | 0.7                       | 0.8              | Li et al., 2016; Meng et al., 2019; Cheng et al., 2020 |
|                                              |       |                  | Change                           | 0.8                              | 0.7                       | 0.7              |
|                                              |       |                  | Personal problems                | 0.8                              | 0.6                       | 0.7              |
|                                              |       |                  | Disease                          | 0.7                              | 0.7                       | 0.8              |
|                                              |       |                  | Pressure                         | 0.7                              | 0.7                       | 0.8              |
|                                              |       |                  | The feeling of failure and pain  | 0.7                              | 0.7                       | 0.8              |
| Perceived Social Support Scale (PSSS)         | 12    | 1–7              | Full scale                       | 0.8                              | 0.8                       | 0.8              | Liu et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2019; Xiao et al., 2020 |
|                                              |       |                  | Family support                   | 0.7                              | 0.7                       | 0.8              |
|                                              |       |                  | Friends support                  | 0.8                              | 0.7                       | 0.8              |
|                                              |       |                  | Other support                    | 0.8                              | 0.8                       | 0.9              |
significant other support (Kuru and Piyal, 2018). It has a three-factor structure, with each subscale comprising four items addressing practical help, emotional support, and availability to discuss problems and help in decision making. These 12 items were assessed on a seven-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). The total scores ranged from 12 to 84, with higher scores indicating better social support among residents. Scores of 12–36 indicate low social support; 37–60 indicate moderate social support; and 61–84 indicate high social support (Li et al., 2017). The Cronbach's alpha coefficient of this scale was 0.8 in this study.

Data Collection and Quality Control
The design of the questionnaire was based on a literature review, small group discussion, and simulated interviews (Li et al., 2019). We invited experts for group discussion to improve the professionalism of the questionnaire based on the Delphi method. In addition, we conducted a pilot study to ensure that the language of the questionnaire could be understood and accepted by most residents. Next, we leveraged WeChat (China's largest messaging platform with nearly 1 billion users, similar to WhatsApp in Western countries) to send a hyperlink of the online questionnaire, which was designed using “Survey Star (w.jx.cn),” to participants. The researcher entered the data into the Internet database to ensure accuracy (Yu et al., 2019).

Data Analysis
The dependent variable is whether the home quarantine strategy was implemented. In the multivariable model, predictive variables included region, dwelling place, age, sex, marital status, education level, income level, physical condition, drinking habits, smoking status, chronic disease status, whether self or relative had been diagnosed with COVID-19, CES-D score, and CD-RISC 10 score (Mancilla-Galindo et al., 2020; Simpson et al., 2020).

Descriptive analysis was conducted to determine the characteristics of the participants, including the quantity and percentage. No clustering was observed in the respondents (correlation = 0.03, p < 0.001). We used stepwise multivariable logistic regression analysis to determine the predictors of home quarantine, i.e., level for selection and elimination: p = 0.05 and p = 0.10, respectively (Wang et al., 2020). We performed analyses by using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC), and all tests were 2-sided with a significance level of 0.05 (Yu et al., 2018).

RESULTS
Table 2 reports the sociodemographic characteristics of the 3,398 respondents. The mean age was 27.6 years (SD = 7.7), and the majority of respondents were female (66.5%). Among the respondents, 585 (17.2%), 2,716 (69.0%), and 637 (18.8%) were from eastern, central, and western China, respectively. Most respondents (95.6%) were Han Chinese and single (68.9%). Approximately 62.0% of respondents were students, soldiers, or freelancers. More than half of the respondents (58.2%) lived in urban areas, and more than half of the respondents (53.7%) had a low mean monthly family income. Most respondents (86.2%) were employed (79.2%), and the majority of respondents had a college degree or above (88.6%).

Table 2 | Statistical description of study samples.

| Variables                        | N (%)     |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Total                            | 3,398 (100)|
| Gender                           |           |
| Male                             | 1,138 (33.5)|
| Female                           | 2,260 (66.5)|
| Age group, y                     |           |
| 18–44                            | 2,994 (88.1)|
| 45–59                            | 367 (10.8)|
| > 60                             | 37 (1.1)|
| Region                           |           |
| Eastern China                    | 585 (17.2)|
| Central China                    | 2,716 (89.0)|
| Western China                    | 637 (18.8)|
| Ethnicity                        |           |
| Han Chinese                      | 3,248 (95.6)|
| Minorities                       | 150 (4.4)|
| Marital status                   |           |
| Single/widow/divorced            | 2,340 (68.9)|
| Married                          | 1,058 (31.1)|
| Place of residence               |           |
| Urban                            | 1,976 (58.2)|
| Rural                            | 1,422 (41.8)|
| Highest educational level        |           |
| Primary school or below          | 16 (0.5)|
| Junior middle school             | 79 (2.3)|
| Senior middle school             | 291 (8.6)|
| College degree or above          | 3,012 (88.6)|
| Employment status                |           |
| Employed                         | 1,220 (35.9)|
| Retired                          | 41 (1.2)|
| Unemployed                       | 28 (0.8)|
| Others (students, soldiers, freelancers) | 2,109 (62.1)|
| Mean monthly family income       |           |
| Higher                           | 203 (6.0)|
| Middle                           | 1,369 (40.3)|
| Lower                            | 1,826 (53.7)|
| Medical insurance status         |           |
| Present                          | 2,941 (86.6)|
| Absent                           | 457 (13.5)|
| Health status                    |           |
| Good                             | 2,930 (86.2)|
| Fair                             | 439 (12.9)|
| Poor                             | 29 (0.9)|
| Smoking status                   |           |
| Current smoker                   | 192 (5.7)|
| Ex-smoker                        | 85 (2.5)|
| Non-smoker                       | 3,121 (91.9)|
| Alcohol consumption status       |           |
| Current drinker                  | 397 (11.7)|
| Ex-drinker                       | 73 (2.2)|
| Abstainer                        | 2,928 (86.2)|

(Continued)
TABLE 2 | Continued

| Variables | N (%) |
|-----------|-------|
| Present   | 289 (8.5) |
| Absent    | 3108 (91.5) |
| Home quarantine was carried out | |
| Yes       | 2,936 (86.4) |
| No        | 462 (13.6) |
| Patients and relatives diagnosed with COVID-19 | |
| Yes       | 17 (0.5) |
| No        | 3,381 (99.5) |
| Awareness of primary symptoms of COVID-19 | |
| Aware     | 3,010 (88.6) |
| Fair      | 346 (10.2) |
| Unaware   | 42 (1.2) |
| Level of concern for COVID-19 | |
| Concerned | 2,995 (88.1) |
| Moderate  | 356 (10.5) |
| Not concerned | 47 (1.4) |
| Willingness to accept recommendations on relevant protective measures (such as wearing masks or not participating in gatherings) | |
| Willing    | 3,149 (92.7) |
| Fair       | 226 (6.7) |
| Unwilling  | 23 (0.7) |
| Understanding of local quarantine measures | |
| Understood | 2,796 (82.3) |
| Fair       | 458 (13.5) |
| Not understood | 145 (4.3) |

had a good health status and medical insurance (86.6%). A total of 2,936 (86.4%) respondents carried out home quarantine. The CES-D, CD-RISC 10, and PSSS results across respondents and items showed that the average scores for community residents' social support were 28.4 (SD = 10.6), 27.1 (SD = 8.0), and 66.1 (SD = 12.3), respectively.

Table 3 shows the odds ratio (OR), confidence interval (CI), and significance (p) values from the multivariable analyses. Respondents who were male (OR = 1.5, 95% CI: 1.3 ~ 1.9), lived in western and central China (OR = 1.6, 95% CI: 1.2 ~ 2.0), were aware of the primary symptoms of COVID-19 (OR = 1.7, 95% CI: 1.3 ~ 2.1), were willing to accept recommendations on relevant protective measures (OR = 1.1, 95% CI: 1.0 ~ 1.2), understood local quarantine measures (OR = 1.1, 95% CI: 1.1 ~ 1.2), had better resilience (OR = 1.4, 95% CI: 1.1 ~ 2.0), and had better social support (OR = 1.3, 95% CI: 1.1 ~ 1.4) were more likely to implement home quarantine. Respondents who were married (OR = 0.5, 95% CI: 0.4 ~ 0.7), were employed (OR = 0.8, 95% CI: 0.7 ~ 0.9), were healthy (OR = 0.8, 95% CI: 0.7 ~ 0.9), and had high depression scores (OR = 0.4, 95% CI: 0.3 ~ 0.5) were more likely to refuse to implement home quarantine.

**DISCUSSION**

In the face of the outbreak and rapid spread of COVID-19, China actively adopted a series of effective non-pharmaceutical intervention measures (West et al., 2020). In particular, China implemented the home quarantine strategy of “Reduce travel and contact with others” nationwide, which provided an important boost to COVID-19 prevention and control. This large population-based cross-sectional survey showed that most respondents (86.40%) carried out home quarantine, and whether residents complied with home quarantine had a significant relationship with their gender, region, marital status, employment status, health status, awareness of the primary symptoms of COVID-19, willingness to accept recommendations on relevant protective measures, understanding of local quarantine measures, depression status, resilience, and perceived social support.

Males were more likely to comply with home quarantine, and females were less likely to comply with the strategy. This may reflect the fact that women are more likely to do outside activities. Married residents were more likely to refuse to comply with home quarantine than single/divorced/widowed residents. This may be due to the fact that families can provide some support to individuals during an emergency, so residents with spouses are more confident about overcoming the crisis (Mediouni et al., 2020). This phenomenon also appeared for the region factor. Residents living in eastern China (where economic conditions are better than those in central and western China) had a more relaxed attitude toward the epidemic and were less inclined to implement the home quarantine strategy. One possible explanation is that residents living in eastern China experience better economic conditions, and the living standards are generally higher there than in the central and western regions. Affected by the economic level, residents in different regions showed different mental health responses in the face of the COVID-19 outbreak. However, better mental health may cause such people to lose their alertness to risk. This indicates that countries worldwide should improve the level of economic development and urbanization and simultaneously strengthen public awareness and implementation of policy (Chevance et al., 2020).

The health status of residents was also an important factor affecting the implementation of the home quarantine strategy. Residents with poor health were more likely to implement the home quarantine strategy than those with good health. This may be because residents in poorer health were suffering from psychological stress and were more alert to the risk of illness. Therefore, countries worldwide should actively carry out the detection of suspected patients and strengthen care for residents with poor health (Wang et al., 2020). The more residents knew about the underlying symptoms of COVID-19, the more likely they were to implement a home quarantine strategy. A better understanding of the underlying symptoms of COVID-19 reflected the greater concern of these residents for their own health. Similarly, the more residents understood local government strategies, the more willing they were to implement home quarantine, indicating that a government’s policies can provide psychological support to the public. In the face of the COVID-19 outbreak, the government could take effective measures to treat confirmed cases and control the spread of the epidemic, and the public will be more confident in facing the
cycling (Reynolds et al., 2020). Thus, countries should respond to people’s concerns in a timely manner and take measures to safeguard people’s well-being and protect their physical and mental health (Shi et al., 2020). People who were more depressed and had lower levels of mental resilience and social support were more willing to implement the home quarantine strategy. This suggests that psychological factors play an important role in the implementation of this strategy. Some residents will have a poor psychological state in the face of emergencies, and they may better implement national policies. However, there are also some residents who are more optimistic and in a better psychological state, and these residents are more likely to reject national policies (Wang et al., 2020). Countries should actively carry out health education and policy popularization to encourage residents to maintain a good psychological state while improving the implementation of policies.

China is a growing developing country and has a large rural population (Tan et al., 2018). Although China has made great efforts to develop medical and health services and its emergency response to public health emergencies since the SARS epidemic in 2003, it still had to take strong measures and pay a heavy price to contain the spread of COVID-19 in the face of national transmission (Zheng, 2020). COVID-19 is currently raging around the world, making it the worst global pandemic of this century. In the face of the COVID-19 outbreak, how countries promote the implementation of the home quarantine strategy has become a very important international topic. Governments should pay close attention to the policy implementation and psychological status of residents while actively implementing home quarantine strategies. In the long run, countries should further improve their economies, urbanization, and resilience while actively treating confirmed cases, isolating vulnerable populations, strengthening health education, and improving residents’ understanding of health emergency policies to weather future global epidemics.

This study has several limitations. In the questionnaire, some potential predictors of the implementation of the home quarantine strategy among Chinese residents were not investigated, such as cultural factors. In addition, this is a cross-sectional study, which limits the establishment of temporal and causal relationships. However, this study has several advantages. First, this is the first national study on the prevalence and risk factors of home quarantine strategy implementation among residents. Second, with the popularization of smartphones and rapid development of communications tools, an Internet-based survey method could be employed. This study was conducted on an advanced interaction platform, and a higher response rate was obtained by chatting with the survey subjects. The academic contribution of this study is that it considered the potential factors that have been reported by previous studies to influence residents’ willingness to implement the home quarantine strategy, especially the influence of psychological factors. In addition, standardized scales were used in the investigation, including the Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale (CES-D), 10-item Connor-Davidson resilience scale (CD-RISC 10), and Perceived Social Support Scale (PSSS), which enhanced the accuracy of the research conclusions.

Future research could explore more factors that may influence residents’ willingness based on this study, such as culture, ethnicity, or religion. In addition, longitudinal studies should be conducted in the future to evaluate the relationship between various influencing factors and the prevalence and risk factors of home quarantine among residents. Countries worldwide are still facing the threat of COVID-19, and the implementation of home quarantine should be taken seriously by governments. Our findings indicate that attention and action are needed to promote home quarantine strategy enforcement. Therefore, global policymakers should take appropriate measures to improve the implementation of the home quarantine strategy among residents, including executing active treatment, strengthening health education, improving residents’ awareness of health emergency policies in the short term, and improving the economic level, health, and resilience of the population in the long run.

---

**TABLE 3 | Results of the multivariable analyses for home quarantine among the Chinese residents.**

| Variables                                      | Odds Ratio (OR) | 95% Confidence Interval (CI) | Significance (P) |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| Gender (Reference: Female)                     | 1.5             | 1.3 ~ 1.9                    | 0.009            |
| Region (Reference: Eastern China)              | 1.6             | 1.2 ~ 2.0                    | <0.001           |
| Marital status (Reference: Single/widow/divorced) | 0.5             | 0.4 ~ 0.7                    | 0.005            |
| Employment status (Reference: Others [students, soldiers, freelancers]) | 0.8             | 0.7 ~ 0.9                    | 0.009            |
| Health status (Reference: Poor)                | 0.8             | 0.7 ~ 0.9                    | 0.006            |
| Awareness of primary symptoms of COVID-19 (Reference: Unaware) | 1.7             | 1.3 ~ 2.1                    | 0.009            |
| Willingness to accept recommendations on relevant protective measures (such as wearing masks or not participating in gatherings) (Reference: Unwilling) | 1.1             | 1.1 ~ 1.2                    | 0.004            |
| Understanding of local quarantine measures (Reference: Not understood) | 1.1             | 1.1 ~ 1.2                    | 0.009            |
| Depression condition                           | 0.4             | 0.3 ~ 0.5                    | <0.001           |
| Psychological resilience condition             | 1.4             | 1.1 ~ 2.0                    | <0.001           |
| Perceived social support condition             | 1.3             | 1.1 ~ 1.4                    | <0.001           |
CONCLUSIONS
The home quarantine strategy is an important strategy for dealing with COVID-19 and was first implemented in China and later promoted in many countries around the world. However, whether this policy can be widely implemented is related to the residents’ material foundation, mental condition, and living environment. The investigation revealed that gender, region, marital status, employment status, health status, awareness of the primary symptoms of COVID-19, willingness to accept recommendations on relevant protective measures, understanding of local quarantine measures, depression, psychological resilience, and perceived social support were the important factors affecting the implementation of the home quarantine strategy. This study provides a solid reference for global home quarantine policymakers as well as lessons for dealing with future outbreaks.
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