Preparation and Enhanced Isothermal Oxidation Resistance of a Low Diffusivity NiRePtAl Single-Phase Coating
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Abstract: A low diffusivity NiRePtAl single-phase coating was formed on a Ni3Al-based SC superalloy by electroplating and aluminizing treatments, in which the electroplating consisted of depositing Ni-Re and Pt layer. The isothermal oxidation test of the sample was evaluated at 1100 and 1200 °C; the results indicated that the low diffusivity NiRePtAl single-phase sample promoted the oxidation resistance due to a greater β-NiAl phases-enriched outer layer. The Re-base diffusion barrier could effectively restrain the outward diffusion of Mo. Low diffusivity NiRePtAl single-phase coating has a lower inter-diffusion rate to the superalloy, where the thickness of the secondary reaction zone decreased by 35%. Mechanisms responsible for improved oxidation resistance and decreased extent for the formation of secondary reaction zones are discussed in the present study.
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1. Introduction

The single-phase NiPtAl coating, as standalone coating or bond coat of the thermal barrier coating (TBC) system, is one of the widely applied protective coatings for gas turbines in aerospace and marine due to its outstanding high-temperature oxidation and hot corrosion resistance, along with excellent thermo-fatigue properties [1–5]. A continuous, adherent, slow-growing protective Al2O3 scale, which acts as a barrier against oxidation and hot corrosive attack, is usually formed at the surface of the NiPtAl coating once exposed to high temperatures. However, serious interdiffusion occurs from both sides of the coating. The diffusion of aluminum from the coating reacts with O2 in the air to form the Al2O3 film. On the other hand, an interdiffusion zone (IDZ) grows in the matrix by diffusion of aluminum from the bond coating to the superalloy, which causes great consumption of Al and leads the phase transformation from β-NiAl to γ’-Ni3Al [6–8]. The high-temperature oxidation property of the NiPtAl coating thus decreases to a large extent. Moreover, the loss of Ni from the superalloy destroys the coherent structure of the matrix and leads to the growth of the topologically close-packed (TCP) phase, which is rich in W, Mo, Re, etc. The TCP precipitates are the sources of mechanical failure [8–10].

Preparing a diffusion barrier (DB) layer on the substrate is a crucial way to inhibit the interdiffusion. Many reports are available regarding both metallic and ceramic DBs. Yao et al. [11] prepared a NiCrO interlayer and confirmed that it can act as active DB, and the DB layer changed to a triple sandwich layer in the oxidation experiments. Similarly, Guo et al. [12] prepared a YSZ interlayer and found that it can suppress interdiffusion between coating and substrate by the Al2O3-Zr-Al2O3 structure at the interface. Peng et al. [13]...
deposited an oxide dispersed NiCoCrAlY coating on SC superalloy by adding O during preparation and found that the outward diffusion of elements (hafnium, chromium, and rhenium) had been usefully alleviated by the coating with O.

Although ceramic diffusion barriers can inhibit the elemental diffusion between coatings and superalloy and retard the formation of the inter-diffusion zone and secondary reaction zone (SRZ) in substrate effectively, the natural brittleness and weak elasticity of ceramic DB led the susceptibility to crack, which may finally cause the spallation of the whole coating. In addition, the bond strength between the ceramic diffusion barriers and substrate/coatings is generally weak, because the thermal expansion coefficient between ceramic diffusion barriers and metallic substrate/coating is comparably large. Compared with ceramics DBs, metal DBs are an alternative due to their superior ductility and flexibility and strong bonding with substrate/coating, especially for rhenium. It has been proven to be suitable as a diffusion barrier layer to inhibit the interdiffusion between the coating and superalloy [14–16]. Mercier et al. [17] prepared an Re-based diffusion barrier layer for TBC systems; the coating with the Re-base diffusion barrier layer had better oxidation resistance.

However, the report of the Re-base DB layer inhibiting interdiffusions between NiPtAl coating and matrix is rare, especially for operation temperatures up to 1200 °C. In the present paper, a Ni–Re film as DB layer for NiPtAl coating was added at the interface of the NiPtAl coating and Ni3Al-base substrate. Compared with regular NiPtAl coating, isothermal oxidation performance has been more widely researched; the effects of the diffusion barrier interlayer on the oxidation and interdiffusion performance at 1100 and 1200 °C were studied.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Coating Preparation

A bar of Ni3Al-base single crystal (SC) superalloy (6–7 wt.% Al, 12–14 wt.% Mo, 1–3 wt.% Re, balanced Ni) was used as matrix material. The specimens, in size of ∅ 15 × 2 mm, were cut from an ingot. They were then grounded with 400 # SiC papers, blasted with Al2O3 grits, then cleaned ultrasonically in a solution of ethanol and acetone for 30 min.

The NiRePtAl coating was formed by electro-deposition and vapor phase aluminizing treatments. A 5–8 µm Ni-Re layer was electroplated on the Ni3Al-base matrix in the solution made of NiSO4·6H2O, NaCl, H2BO3, Na2SO4, C12H25NaSO4 and KReO4, and the pH value of the solution was 5. A 5–7 µm Pt layer was electroplated on the rhenium layer, followed by a vacuum annealing treatment at 1050 °C for one hour; then, the vapor phase aluminizing experiment was carried out at 1070 °C for 4.5 h. For a detailed description of the electroplating and vapor phase aluminizing process, refer to our recent work [18]. For comparison, the NiPtAl coating was formed by electroplating of platinum and vapor phase aluminizing treatments.

2.2. Oxidation Tests

Isothermal oxidation experiments were performed at 1100 °C for 500 h and 1200 °C for 200 h. The corundum crucibles containing samples to be experimented on were put into a furnace, in which the temperature had already risen to 1100 or 1200 °C, and were fetched out of the crucibles after a particular oxidation time for weight measurement by an electron balance with a sensitivity of 10⁻⁵ g.

2.3. Characterization

The phase constituents of the two coatings were analyzed using X-ray diffraction (XRD, X’ Pert PRO, Cu Kα radiation at 40 KV, PANalytical, Almelo, The Netherlands). Surface and cross-sectional morphologies were observed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, InspectF 50, FEI Co., Hillsboro, OR, USA) with an energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS, INCA, X-Max, Oxford instruments Co., Oxford, UK). A second electron (SE) mode was used to characterize the surface morphology, while the cross-sectional morphologies were ob-
served under back scattered electron (BSE) mode. An electron probe micro-analyzer (EPMA, JXA-8530 F, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) was employed to characterize the elemental distribution.

3. Results
3.1. Initial Microstructure of Coatings

Figure 1 shows the surface and cross-sectional morphologies of the as-received NiPtAl and low diffusivity NiRePtAl coatings. It should be found from Figure 1a,b that the NiPtAl and low diffusivity NiRePtAl coatings presented similar surface morphologies. From the cross-sectional morphology, it can be noted from Figure 1c that the NiPtAl coating sample consisted of two layers: the outer zone comprising the $\beta$ phase with the thickness of 40 $\mu$m and IDZ (30 $\mu$m) containing TCP particles. The cross-sectional morphology seen in Figure 1d shows that the NiRePtAl coating was composed of three layers: the outer zone, the DB layer and IDZ. The intermediate DB consisted of the Re rich layer; the thickness was about 8 $\mu$m. Due to the diffusion inhibition effect of DB, the thickness of the outer layer and IDZ was reasonably thinner compared with the NiPtAl coating, at 32 and 20 $\mu$m, respectively. It should be noted that, at the interface between the diffusion barrier and the interdiffusion zone, some dark Al$_2$O$_3$ grits can be observed, in which this interface represented the surface of the substrate after sandblasting.

![Figure 1](image-url)
Figure 2 depicts the X-ray diffraction patterns for the NiPtAl and low diffusivity NiRePtAl coatings. It can be observed that both coating specimens were composed of exclusive β-NiAl; the angles of diffraction peaks were identical, which indicated that the introduction of the Re layer did not transform the phase constitution of the NiPtAl sample.

3.2. Isothermal Oxidation Behavior at 1100 °C

Figure 3 shows weight gain and square of weight gain curves for the NiPtAl and low diffusivity NiRePtAl coating specimens during isothermal oxidation at 1100 °C for 500 h. It can be seen from Figure 3a that the mass increased rapidly for both samples at the initial oxidation step. While in the stable stage, the curves of the two coatings fit the parabolic law, showing that the two coating specimens had good isothermal oxidation resistance. However, the low diffusivity NiRePtAl coating specimen showed a lower oxidation rate, where the weight gain at 500 h was 0.29 mg/cm², in comparison with 0.60 mg/cm² for (Ni,Pt)Al. Figure 3b shows that the square of the weight gain of (Ni,Pt)Al and NiRePtAl accorded with the fitted line completely. The oxidation rate constants (k_p) were acquired by regressing the data illustrated in Figure 3b. During the stable oxidation stage, k_p values for NiRePtAl and NiPtAl specimens were 2.17 × 10⁻⁴ and 6.44 × 10⁻⁴ mg²cm⁻⁴h⁻¹. The results indicated the helpful effect of the Re-rich diffusion barrier on oxidation resistance, which reduced the parabolic rate of oxide film growth by a factor of 3.

Figure 4 depicts the cross-sectional morphologies of the (Ni,Pt)Al and low NiRePtAl samples after the isothermal oxidation test at 1100 °C for 500 h. As shown in Figure 4a, the Al₂O₃ scale on the surface of the NiPtAl specimen was not adhered; spallation occurred at some locations. Furthermore, a severe interdiffusion was observed in the matrix. The thickness of IDZ was approximately 40 μm; large precipitates were dispersed in the inter-diffusion zone. Beneath the IDZ, the thickness of the secondary reaction zone was 82 μm, with a large number of needle-like TCP phases, which was considered to be detrimental to high-temperature mechanical properties of the superalloy. In contrast, the Al₂O₃ film on the surface of NiRePtAl was adherently continuous without spallation (see Figure 4b). The thickness of the interdiffusion zone was 40 μm, which is similar to that in the NiPtAl sample. However, the thickness of the secondary reaction zone beneath NiRePtAl was only 51 μm, 38% lower than that of the NiPtAl specimen. In addition, the EDS results of rectangles 1 and 2 listed in Table 1 confirm that the residual aluminum content in the outer layer of NiRePtAl was higher than the content in the same depth of (Ni,Pt)Al, which indicated that the oxidation resistance of NiRePtAl can be better than (Ni,Pt)Al if oxidation
continued. The EDS results of zone 3 confirmed that the DB layer was not only rich with Re, but also rich with Cr.

Figure 3. Mass gain (a) and square of mass gain (b) for the normal NiPtAl and low diffusivity NiRePtAl coating specimens during the isothermal oxidation test at 1100 °C.

![Figure 3](image)

Figure 4. Cross-sectional morphologies of the normal NiPtAl (a) and low diffusivity NiRePtAl (b) coating specimens after isothermal oxidation test at 1100 °C for 500 h.

![Figure 4](image)

Table 1. Chemical compositions of the marked areas in Figure 4 (in at.%) by EDS.

| At.% Al | Cr  | Ni  | Mo | Pt | Re |
|--------|-----|-----|----|----|----|
| 1      | 30.12 | 3.42 | 60.73 | 0.91 | 4.82 |
| 2      | 33.26 | 3.15 | 58.56 | 0   | 5.03 |
| 3      | 4.24  | 14.13 | 29.24 | 30.04 | 0  |

In order to further shed light on the elemental distribution of both coatings after oxidation for 500 h, EPMA mapping was conducted, as shown in Figures 5 and 6. Comparing Figures 5 with 6, there were three differences in the elemental distribution. First, a continuous Re-rich band existed at the interface of the outer zone and interdiffusion zone in NiRePtAl, while not in NiPtAl. Second, Mo did not diffuse outward into the outer layer in NiRePtAl; however, small amounts of Mo diffused into the outer layer even into the oxide scale. Last, as some Al-deficiency areas existed in the outer zone of the NiPtAl coating, the residual Al content in NiRePtAl was clearly larger than that in NiPtAl, which was coincident with the EDS results listed in Table 1.

Figure 5. Elemental mappings of the normal NiPtAl coating after isothermal oxidation test at 1100 °C for 500 h.

![Figure 5](image)
Table 1. Chemical compositions of the marked areas in Figure 4 (in at.%) by EDS.

| At.% | Al   | Cr | Ni     | Mo | Pt | Re   |
|------|------|----|--------|----|----|------|
| 1    | 30.12| 3.42| 60.73  | 0.91| 4.82| 0    |
| 2    | 33.26| 3.15| 58.56  | 0  | 5.03| 0    |
| 3    | 4.24 | 14.13| 29.24 | 30.04| 0 | 22.35|

In order to further shed light on the elemental distribution of both coatings after oxidation for 500 h, EPMA mapping was conducted, as shown in Figures 5 and 6. Comparing Figure 5 with Figure 6, there were three differences in the elemental distribution. First, a continuous Re-rich band existed at the interface of the outer zone and interdiffusion zone in NiRePtAl, while not in NiPtAl. Second, Mo did not diffuse outward into the outer layer in NiRePtAl; however, small amounts of Mo diffused into the outer layer even into the oxide scale. Last, as some Al-deficiency areas existed in the outer zone of the NiPtAl coating, the residual Al content in NiRePtAl was clearly larger than that in NiPtAl, which was coincident with the EDS results listed in Table 1.

Figure 5. Elemental mappings of the normal NiPtAl coating after isothermal oxidation test at 1100 °C for 500 h.

Figure 6. Elemental mappings of the low diffusivity NiRePtAl coating specimen after isothermal oxidation test at 1100 °C for 500 h.
3.3. Isothermal Oxidation Behavior at 1200 °C

Figure 7 shows mass gain curves of both samples during the isothermal oxidation experiments at 1200 °C. The weight gain of the NiRePtAl coating increased in an approximately parabolic manner with time. However, the mass gain of NiPtAl coating increased in a straight-line pattern with time; the final total mass gain of NiRePtAl was 0.52 mg cm\(^{-2}\), which was approximately 35% lower than that of the NiPtAl coating (0.80 mg cm\(^{-2}\)). The mass gain of both coatings oxidized at 1200 °C was generally much larger than that oxidized at 1100 °C.

![Figure 7. Mass gain for the normal NiPtAl and low diffusivity NiRePtAl coating specimens during isothermal oxidation test at 1200 °C.](image)

Figure 8 depicts the surface morphologies of NiPtAl and NiRePtAl specimens after oxidation for 100 h. Spallation of the Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) scale happened locally on the (Ni,Pt)Al coating specimen (Figure 8a). From the high-magnification image, the spallation can be observed more clearly. When a DB layer was introduced, no spallation of the scale was seen in NiRePtAl (Figure 8b,d); however, some pores were observed in the scale based on the magnified image.

Figure 9 depicts the cross-sectional morphologies of the NiPtAl and low NiRePtAl samples after oxidation test at 1200 °C for 100 h. Comparing the two coatings, three differences were noted. First, the Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) scales on the NiPtAl specimen were full of cracks and spallation, while adherent oxide scales were observed on the NiRePtAl sample. Second, from the aspect of coatings composition, the Al contents in the same depth of the outer zone of the NiPtAl and NiRePtAl specimens were 26.42 and 30.93 at.% in Table 2, respectively. Al loss was inevitable during oxidation because of the outwards diffusion to form oxide film or interdiffusion between the high-temperature protective coating and matrix; moreover, it would be better to preserve high aluminum content in the protective coatings. In addition, the content of molybdenum in the outer zone of the NiRePtAl specimen was less than the Mo content in NiPtAl, which indicated that the Re diffusion barrier layer inhibited the diffusion of molybdenum in the matrix. Third, although the IDZ thickness of both coatings after oxidation for 100 h was comparable, 42 µm for NiPtAl and 40 µm for NiRePtAl, respectively, the secondary reaction zone thickness of NiPtAl (92 µm) was much larger than the thickness of NiRePtAl (55 µm). Much greater amounts of needle-shaped TCP precipitated out for NiPtAl compared with NiRePtAl.
was more significant than the NiRePtAl sample for 200 h, the oxide film formed at the NiPtAl coating was thinner based on the cross-sectional morphologies. This is because a substantial amount of spallation occurred for NiPtAl when specimens were taken out from the furnace. The oxide film was smoother, but still full of pores based on magnified morphology. Figure 11 showed more spallation occurred on the NiPtAl coating. For the NiRePtAl coating, the oxide scale was smoother, but still full of pores based on magnified morphology. Figure 11 showed the Al$_2$O$_3$ film was thicker for both samples after 200 h, and more spallation occurred on the PtAl coating, while only a bit spallation happened locally on the surface of NiRePtAl. It should be noted that, although the weight gain of the NiPtAl sample was more significant than the NiRePtAl sample for 200 h, the oxide film formed at the NiPtAl coating was thinner based on the cross-sectional morphologies. This is because a much larger amount of needle-shaped TCP precipitated out for NiPtAl compared with NiRePtAl.

Surface and cross-sectional morphologies of specimens at 1200 °C for 200 h are shown in Figures 10 and 11. As shown in Figure 10a,b, compared with oxidation for 100 h, much more spallation occurred on the NiPtAl coating. For the NiRePtAl coating, the oxide scale was smoother, but still full of pores based on magnified morphology. Figure 11 showed the Al$_2$O$_3$ film was thicker for both samples after 200 h, and more spallation occurred on the PtAl coating, while only a bit spallation happened locally on the surface of NiRePtAl. It should be noted that, although the weight gain of the NiPtAl sample was more significant than the NiRePtAl sample for 200 h, the oxide film formed at the NiPtAl coating was thinner based on the cross-sectional morphologies. This is because a much greater amount of needle-shaped TCP precipitated out for NiPtAl compared with NiRePtAl.

Figure 8. Surface morphologies of the normal NiPtAl (a,c) and low diffusivity NiRePtAl (b,d) after isothermal oxidation test at 1200 °C for 100 h.

Figure 9. Cross-sectional morphologies of the normal NiPtAl (a) and low diffusivity NiRePtAl (b) coating specimens after isothermal oxidation test at 1200 °C for 100 h.

Table 2. Chemical compositions of the marked areas in Figure 9 (in at.%) by EDS.

| At.% | Al   | Cr  | Ni   | Mo  | Pt | Re |
|------|------|-----|------|-----|----|----|
| 4    | 26.42| 2.17| 64.25| 2.86| 4.30| 0  |
| 5    | 30.93| 1.77| 62.99| 0.33| 3.98| 0  |
| 6    | 6.13 | 10.15| 25.87| 32.17| 0   | 25.68|
substantial amount of spallation occurred for NiPtAl when specimens were taken out from the furnace. The coating composition showed a similar pattern with that for 100 h. The aluminum concentration in the same depth of the outer area of the NiPtAl and NiRePtAl specimens were 24.18% and 30.36% in Table 3, respectively. The content of Mo in the outer zone of the NiRePtAl sample was less than the Mo content in NiPtAl. Again, the IDZ thickness of both coatings was comparable, 36 μm for NiPtAl and 34 μm for NiRePtAl, respectively, but the SRZ thickness of NiPtAl (150 μm) was much larger than the thickness of NiRePtAl (97 μm). A much greater amount of needle-shaped TCP precipitated out for NiPtAl compared with NiRePtAl.

![Figure 10. Surface morphologies of the normal NiPtAl (a,c) and low diffusivity NiRePtAl (b,d) coatings after isothermal oxidation test at 1200 °C for 200 h.](image)

![Figure 11. Cross-sectional morphologies of the normal NiPtAl (a,c) and low diffusivity NiRePtAl (b,d) coating specimens after isothermal oxidation test at 1200 °C for 200 h.](image)
Table 3. Chemical compositions of the marked areas in Figure 11 (in at.%) by EDS.

| At.% | Al  | Cr  | Ni  | Mo  | Pt  | Re  |
|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 7    | 24.18 | 4.65 | 63.86 | 3.19 | 4.12 | 0   |
| 8    | 30.36 | 2.07 | 61.81 | 1.48 | 4.28 | 0   |
| 9    | 3.26  | 8.15 | 29.23 | 36.22 | 0   | 23.14 |

4. Discussion

The oxidation behavior of NiRePtAl was superior to the conduct of NiPtAl at both 1100 and 1200 °C. The discussion starts from the effect of the Re-base diffusion barrier layer on inhibiting the outward diffusion of Mo, then the impact of the DB layer on Al₂O₃ film growth and interdiffusion, e.g., SRZ formation will be discussed.

4.1. The Effect of DB Layer on Inhibiting Mo Outward Diffusion

Ni₃Al-based SC superalloy contained a high concentration of Mo. The gaseous molybdenum oxide at 1100 and 1200 °C should be noticed. Standard Gibbs free energy changes (ΔGₗ) to compose MoO₃ can be computed by Equation (1), as shown below,

\[ \Delta G'_\theta = -359800 + 59.41T \]  

Calculated from Equation (1), the standard Gibbs energy change of MoO₃ production is negative at 1100 and 1200 °C [19], which shows that the behavior happens spontaneously during isothermal oxidation. For the NiPtAl coating without the DB layer, Mo can diffuse into the high-temperature coating and Al₂O₃ scale easily (see Figure 5). When the MoO₃ was composed on the scale, it reduced the scale adhesion and retarded the oxidation resistance, especially at 1200 °C. Mo diffuses outward more rapidly and the volatile products are formed more easily, which explains partly why the spallation of the NiPtAl specimen at 1200 °C was more serious than that at 1100 °C. However, in the case of NiRePtAl with the DB layer, the situation is restrained by the DB layer; the reaction of Equation (1) and formation of the MoO₃ is inhibited. Therefore, an adherent Al₂O₃ scale was composed at the NiRePtAl coating.

4.2. The Effect of DB Layer on Oxide Scale Growth

Compared with the NiPtAl coating, the weight change curve for the NiRePtAl sample presented a lower oxidation rate (see Figures 3 and 7), especially at 1200 °C; the weight gain of the NiPtAl fit almost in a straight-line pattern with time, while NiRePtAl coating enhanced in an around parabolic manner. The thickness of the Al₂O₃ scale on NiRePtAl was more integrated than the thickness of NiPtAl (Figures 4, 9 and 11). The Al₂O₃ was firstly composed of the Al in the coating and O in the air, resulting in the Al₂O₃ scale formed in the initial stage. Then, in the stable oxidation stage, the kinetic curves of the NiPtAl and NiRePtAl coatings fit parabolic pattern well at 1100 °C, indicating that the scale growth is dominated by solid diffusion in the oxide film. However, at 1200 °C, the weight gain of the NiPtAl fit almost in a straight-line pattern, indicating that the scale growth was not dominated by solid diffusion again. During oxidation, the consumption of benefit element Al generally results from the phase transformation from β-NiAl to γ’-Ni₃Al and meaningless interdiffusion with the matrix. Actually, in the current work, the formation of aluminum oxide film expended a limited amount of aluminum for the samples because the Al₂O₃ film was very thin. The consumption of Al is mainly attributed to the interdiffusion. However, less interdiffusion occurred due to the Re-base DB in the NiRePtAl coating. Therefore, sufficient high content of Al in the outer zone was reserved. The β reservoir possesses the ability to supply aluminum to form/repair the α-Al₂O₃ scale once crack or spallation takes place. This also explains why the spallation of NiPtAl is much more severe than the NiRePtAl coating, besides the formation of volatile MoO₃, as mentioned above. The effect of the DB layer on oxide scale growth can be attributed to the characteristic that
it inhibits aluminum diffused inwardly into the matrix in vain, thus, keeping the coating’s strong self-repairing capability.

4.3. The Effect of BD Layer on SRZ Formation

As a matter of fact, a lot of previous work [20–23] is available concerning the interdiffusion behavior between diffusion aluminide coatings and superalloy matrix. Many factors have a great effect on the interdiffusion behavior, including crystal orientation [24,25], stress induced by surface treatment [25], alloy constitution [20,22] and so on. P and µ are the two dominant TCPs in SRZ [26,27]. Kasai et al. [28] studied the interdiffusion behavior of aluminide coatings on SC TMS-138 at 1100 °C, and found three interdiffusion features between the IDZ and the matrix: voids, secondary diffusion zone (SDZ) and SRZ. Almost all TCPs in SDZ precipitated in the γ-Ni phase, so the constitution of SDZ was because the outward diffusion of nickel was faster than any other element in the matrix. It is usually hard to distinguish SDZ and SRZ, and SDZ can transform to SRZ. Wang et al. [26] found an interesting phenomenon that the initial-formed SDZ transformed into secondary reaction zone in the NiAlHf coating at annealing treatment. Das et al. [23] found that the secondary reaction zone formation was attributed to the discontinuous cellular precipitation, and Nystrom et al. [29] found that the primary driving forces of discontinuous cellular precipitation are the high degree of supersaturation of refractory elements in the γ/γ′ matrix. The supersaturation of elements (like Re, Cr and Mo) results in the formation of the TCP phase, which lead to the formation of IDZ followed by SRZ.

Figure 12 presented the evolution of SRZ of NiPtAl sample. A model for secondary reaction zone formation in NiPtAl coated SC superalloy is presented:

(a) During the treatment of aluminization, the γ/γ′ coherent structure was broken and transformed into β phase; due to the diffusion fluxes of aluminum from NiPtAl coating to the Ni3Al-base matrix and that of nickel in the opposite direction. Because the solid solubility of molybdenum [30], tungsten [31] and rhenium [32] in β and γ′ is lower than in γ, and the diffusion mobility of these refractory elements is much slower than Ni, Co, Al [33], refractory elements will precipitate out as TCP phase, as shown in Figure 12a.

(b) The TCP precipitates in the interdiffusion zone are quite fine at the beginning, and then they congregated after oxidation at 1100 and 1200 °C [34,35], as shown in Figure 12b. The IDZ zone grow thicker due to continuous interdiffusion fluxes of aluminum and nickel.

(c) As the interdiffusion occurs for a certain time, the chemical potential gradients of Al and Ni decreased at the IDZ growth frontier. Meanwhile, TCP precipitates dispersed in IDZ had the blocking effect of Al and Ni diffusion. Thus, the γ/γ′→β was replaced by γ/γ′→γ′. When the diffusion fluxes of Al and Ni become too low to support the interdiffusion zone growth, SRZ is formed. The β→γ/γ′ transformation at the interface of the IDZ/SRZ, and the β/γ′ interface will enter the outer coating.

As illustrated schematically in Figure 12, in the NiPtAl sample, a wide secondary reaction zone was formed at high temperature, which for sure could deteriorate the mechanical properties of the matrix. In contrast, both the diffusion of aluminum and the elements in matrix were inhibited by the Re diffusion barrier. Fewer amounts of interdiffusions are helpful to stabilize the structure of matrix. Moreover, fewer TCP phases will form in the substrate, leading to thinner formation of secondary reaction zone.
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5. Conclusions

 Isothermal oxidation behavior of low diffusivity NiRePtAl single-phase coating was evaluated in comparison with NiPtAl coatings at 1100 and 1200 °C. The following conclusions can be drawn based on the experimental results:

1. Low diffusivity NiRePtAl single-phase coatings exhibited superior oxidation performance than NiPtAl coatings, both at 1100 and 1200 °C.

2. The outward diffusion of molybdenum was restrained due to the Re-based diffusion barrier.

3. The elemental interdiffusion was significantly restrained by the Re diffusion barrier layer, in which the thickness of secondary reaction zone and the amount of TCP phase were notably reduced.
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