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Abstract
The use of near-surface mounted (NSM) Glass fiber reinforced polymer (GFRP) bars is one of the most popular and effective techniques for strengthening reinforced concrete (RC) beams. This paper presents an experimental research program to study the flexural strengthening of RC beams comparing two areas of bottom tensile reinforcing steel and three development lengths of NSM GFRP bars. The beam test results indicated that the beam flexural strength increased up to 110% and 58% for the cases of low and high tensile reinforcing steel ratios, respectively. The effect of the tensile reinforcing steel area on the critical value of the development length of NSM GFRP bars was also investigated. It was found that decreasing the axial stiffness ratio, reduced the strengthening efficiency and the critical development length of the NSM GFRP bars. Finally, a 3D Finite Element (FE) model using ANSYS was constructed and was validated using the experimental results. Good agreement was seen between experimental and FE model results.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Near-surface mounted (NSM) and externally bonded reinforcement techniques are among the most popular methods to improve the strength of reinforced concrete (RC) beams. However, externally bonded reinforcement suffers from a high possibility of premature failure and is exposed to thermal, environmental, and mechanical damage. Therefore, the NSM strengthening technique offers an effective substitute (ACI, 2012, 2017; Barros et al., 2007; Barros and Kotynia, 2008; Akbarzadeh and Maghsoudi, 2010; Zhou et al., 2013). Recently, many different strengthening techniques have been explored in an attempt to improve the service life of concrete structures (Sharaky et al., 2015, 2017, 2018, 2020; EL-Emam et al., 2020; Sallam et al., 2004; Brenet al., 2003; Tang et al., 2006; Nguyen et al., 2001; Hawileh et al., 2014). Several studies have been carried out using fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) materials to explore the flexural behavior of RC beams strengthened with NSM (Soliman et al., 2010; El-Hacha and Rizkalla, 2004; El-Hacha and Gaafar, 2011; De Lorenzis et al., 2000; Badawi and Soudki, 2009; Al-Mahmoud et al., 2009). High strength, light weight, corrosion resistance, and high durability are some of the advantages of FRP reinforcement. However, FRP reinforcements are materials having low ductility (Rahal and Rumaih, 2011; Kalupahana, 2009; Husain et al., 2015; Sharaky et al., 2013). Furthermore, earlier investigations found that NSM FRP strengthening cannot be extended to the maximum tensile strength, due to the premature failure from FRP debonding and/or concrete splitting modes of failure (Nguyen et al., 2001; Mukhopadhyaya and Swamy, 2001; Barros et al., 2006).

Studies have investigated the effects of mechanical properties, FRP bar cross-section area, and the type of adhesive epoxy on the beam flexural strength and the load-carrying capacity of strengthened beams using the NSM technique (Sharaky et al. 2013, 2014; Reda et al., 2016; Shabana et al., 2018). It has been found that the maximum load-carrying capacity of the beams strengthened with NSM FRP is significantly affected by the stiffness the FRP bars used.

This paper describes an experimental research program to study the flexural strengthening of RC beams with two different areas of bottom tensile reinforcing steel and three different NSM glass FRP (GFRP) development lengths. The effects of the area of the tensile reinforcing steel on the efficiency of the strengthening by NSM GFRP bars and on the bars’ critical development length are also experimentally investigated. Finally, a numerical model using the ANSYS 3D-FE analysis software was developed to investigate the flexural behavior of NSM-GFRP strengthened beams.

2 EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

In this section, the experimental study is described, and the testing matrix, test setup, and data collection instruments are presented.

2.1 Test matrix

A total of eight RC rectangular beam specimens were tested. The beams were divided into two series. The first series (B1) consisted of four beams: the control beam (B1_0) had no strengthening, and the remaining beams were strengthened using GFRP bars of different lengths. The second series (B2) also consisted of four beams: the control beam (B2_0) had no strengthening, and the remaining beams were strengthened using GFRP bars with different lengths and different areas of the tensile reinforcing steel bars. Table 1 provides the configuration of the tested RC beams in the experimental study.

2.2 Specimen configuration

Eight RC beams were tested after 28 days from casting using four-point bending and were designed as under reinforced beams to initiate the flexure tension failure, according to the ACI code. All beams have a total length of 2300 mm and a rectangular cross-section of 200 mm x 300 mm. The longitudinal reinforcement consists of four steel bars: two in tension and two in compression.

| Beam ID  | NSM bar length | Bottom reinforcement |
|----------|----------------|---------------------|
| B1_0 (Control) | ---- | \( \rho_{min} \ 2\Phi10mm \) |
| B1_1 \(_{0.25L}\) | 550mm | \( \rho_{min} \ 2\Phi10mm \) |
| B1_2 \(_{0.5L}\) | 1150mm | \( \rho_{max} \ 2\Phi16mm \) |
| B1_3 \(_{0.8L}\) | 1800mm | \( \rho_{max} \ 2\Phi16mm \) |

Table 1 Configuration of the tested RC beams.
Steel stirrups of 8 mm diameter were spaced every 100 mm along the beam span. After casting the RC beams, grooves of 30 mm x 25 mm were cut into the bottom to establish the NSM GFRP bars, as shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1: Specimen design details.](image)

### 2.3 Material properties

All the beams were cast using normal concrete. Crushed dolomite with 20-mm maximum aggregate size was used as coarse aggregate, and natural sand was used as fine aggregate. The cement was hydrated using fresh tap water during the casting and curing of the beams, cubes, and cylinders. Based on tests of three 150 mm x 150 mm x 150 mm concrete cubes, the 28-day average compressive strength of the concrete was 35 MPa, while the splitting tensile strength was 2.95 MPa based on tests of three 150 mm x 300 mm concrete cylinders. For the φ8 steel bar and the φ10 and φ16 mm steel bars, the yield and ultimate strength were 480 MPa and 590 MPa, respectively. The modulus of elasticity for all bars was 200 GPa. MasterBraceSAT 4500 (BASF), an epoxy adhesive, was used to bond the GFRP bars to the concrete substrate. The GFRP bars were from the Haining Anjie Composite Material Company in China. The density, yield strength, ultimate tensile strength, and modulus of elasticity of the GFRP bars were 2.1 g/cm³, 750 MPa, 870 MPa, and 40 GPa, respectively.

### 2.4 Strengthening procedures

The NSM technique involves cutting a groove into the concrete cover of the RC beams with dimensions specified in Figure 1. To simulate field application cases, the grooves were cut at the tension face in the longitudinal direction 28 days after the casting of the beams. The grooves were partially filled with epoxy adhesive, and the bars were then placed and pressed slightly into the adhesive. The rest of each groove was then filled with adhesive, and the surface was leveled, as shown in Figure 2. The beams were then left for more than one week to ensure that full adhesive strength had been achieved.
2.5 Test setup

The beam test setup is shown in Figure 3. A linear variable differential transformer (LVDT) was used to measure the mid-span vertical deflection. For each beam, two strain gauges were mounted to the middle of the NSM GFRP bars and the tension reinforcing steel. A 1000-KN universal testing machine was used to test all beams in four-point bending.

![Figure 2: Cutting grooves and Installation of GFRP bars.](image1)

![Figure 3: Set up and instrumentation.](image2)

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, the results of the experiments and numerical models are presented and discussed.

3.1 Mode of failure of strengthened beams

Figure 4 presents the modes of failure for all the tested beams. As designed, the control beams B1_0 and B2_0 failed in a flexural mode, which is a yielding of the reinforcing steel followed by crushing of the concrete. For beams B1_1 and B2_1, a crack started at the critical section (the end of the GFRP rod), which is near the constant moment region and then propagated toward the strengthened section. The cracks spread horizontally at the reinforcing steel level causing concrete cover separation in the case of beam B2_1 (2Φ16mm bottom reinforcing steel). However, the crack inclined slightly toward the nearest loading point in beam B1_1 (2Φ10mm bottom reinforcing steel), creating a flexural shear mode of failure. In the case of beam B2_1, the concrete cover separation occurred, whereas the maximum bond stress was achieved. The bond stress depends on the radial stress applied by the bar on the adjacent epoxy and is neutralized by concrete cover tensile stresses).

Increasing the GFRP development length enhanced the beam’s mode of failure. For beams B1_2 and B1_3, the cracks started at the end of the GFRP rod and at the maximum bending moment region. However, the crack at the rod end grew faster than the others and inclined steeply toward the location of the point of loading. These beams finally failed after GFRP rod debonding. In beam B2_2, the failure mode was flexural failure followed by GFRP rod debonding; for beam B2_3, the failure mode was flexural failure followed by concrete cover separation and GFRP rod debonding.
3.2 Load–deflection curve and strengthening efficiency

Figure 5 shows the load mid-span deflection curves of the tested beams. The beam response shows a trilinear behavior defined by three regions: the elastic region with concrete cracking, the region of steel yielding with continuous concrete crack growth, and the region beyond yielding up to the point failure (concrete crushing due to compression stress), i.e., typical failure of under-reinforced beams. In the first region, the NSM GFRP bar did not contribute to beam stiffness. However, the NSM GFRP bar significantly increased the beam stiffness and strength in the second region. The yield loads for the strengthened beams B1_1, B1_2, and B1_3, with tensile reinforcement 2Φ10 mm, were increased by 39%, 82%, and 139%, respectively, over the control beam (B1_0). For the beams with tensile reinforcement 2Φ16 mm, the yield load increased by 27%, 70%, and 78% for beams B2_1, B2_2, and B2_3, respectively, over the control beam (B2_0).

In the third of the trilinear regions, after the yielding of the tensile steel and the low elastic modulus for GFRP bars, the overall beam stiffness was decreased, as shown in Figure 5. However, the ultimate load-carrying capacity of the strengthened beams was improved by increasing the NSM GFRP bar development length. Beam B1_1, strengthened with 0.25L GFRP bar length, failed at a load of 56 kN. Increasing the GFRP bar length to 0.5L and 0.8L for beams B1_2 and B1_3 increased the ultimate loads to 72 kN and 97 kN, respectively. In the second series, beam B2_1 failed at 116 kN. Beams B2_2 and B2_3, with greater bar lengths, both had an ultimate load value of around 150 kN, as shown in Figure 5. It could be concluded that strengthening efficiency was affected by the tensile reinforcing steel ratio.

Figure 4: Failure modes of the tested beams.
3.3 Effect of tensile steel reinforcement on the NSM GFRP strengthening efficiency

Based on the previous discussion and the results in Figure 6, the bottom tensile reinforcing steel ratio governed the strengthening efficiency of the NSM GFRP bars. As shown in Figure 6, the ultimate loads in the first beams series (B1), with tensile reinforcing steel 2Φ10mm, were significantly improved by increasing the GFRP rod length. In the second beams series (B2), however, increasing the GFRP rod length beyond 0.5L produced no significant improvement in ultimate load. Therefore, the critical development length of the NSM GFRP bar was affected by the bottom tensile reinforcing steel ratio. This might be due to the axial stiffness ratio ($\frac{E_f A_f}{E_s A_s}$), which is the relation between GFRP axial stiffness ($E_f A_f$) and the tensile reinforcing steel axial stiffness ($E_s A_s$). In this ratio, $E_f$ and $A_f$ are the GFRP elastic modulus and cross-section area, respectively, and $E_s$ and $A_s$ are the tensile reinforcing steel bar elastic modulus and cross-section area, respectively. Thus, the stiffness ratios for the first (B1) and second (B2) series of beams were 28.8% and 11.25%, respectively. It can be concluded that decreasing the stiffness ratio reduces the strengthening efficiency and the critical development length of the NSM GFRP strengthening bars, as shown in Figure 6.

3.4 Strain distribution

The load versus strain values at the midpoints of the NSM GFRP bars are shown in Figure 7. The load–strain curve of the NSM GFRP bar follows the same trend as previously shown for a load-deflection curve. It shows a trilinear behavior defined by three stages: elastic region of concrete cracking, region of steel yielding, and region beyond yielding up to failure.

![Figure 5: Load–deflection curves for the tested beams.](image)

![Figure 6: Percent increase in the strengthened beams' ultimate load compared to the control beams.](image)
3.5 Finite element modeling and comparison

The FE modeling was used to simulate and analyze the beams. The behaviors of concrete, tensile steel reinforcement, GFRP, and the epoxy adhesive were simulated using suitable element types and material constitutive models. The concrete and the adhesive were modeled using element SOLID65 (an eight-node element). This element has the ability to crack under tension and crush under compression and is defined by eight nodes with three degrees of freedom in the \( x, y, \) and \( z \) directions. Element LINK180 (a 3D two-node structural bar element) was used to simulate the tensile steel reinforcement and GFRP bars. The element is a uniaxial tension-compression element with three degrees of freedom at each node, with translations in the nodal \( x, y, \) and \( z \) directions. Additionally, element SOLID185 (an eight-node solid element) was used to model the loading and supporting plates. This element is defined by eight nodes with three degrees of freedom at each node and translations in nodal \( x, y, \) and \( z \) directions, and it has the ability to incorporate nonlinearity and large deformations, as shown in Figure 8 (ANSYS I., 2017).

3.5.1 Failure and yield criteria for concrete and steel

SOLID65 (concrete) is able to predict both smeared cracking and crushing modes of failure for concrete materials (El-Sisi et al., 2019). The two input strength parameters—that is, ultimate uniaxial tensile and compressive strengths—are needed to define a failure surface for the concrete. In a concrete element, cracking occurs when the principal tensile stress in any direction lies outside the failure surface (ANSYS I., 2017). In LINK180 (steel), von Mises is used as the yield criterion: that is, a stress state reaches the yield state when the von Mises stress \( \sigma_e \) is equal to the current uniaxial yield strength \( \sigma_y \).
Figures 9 and 10 compare the experimental and numerical results of the control and the NSM GFRP-strengthened beams. The comparisons show a good agreement between the FE modeling and experimental results. The ultimate loads obtained from the FE models are almost equal to the ultimate loads measured in the experimental work. Figures 11 and 12 reproduce the crack patterns of the tested beams at the ultimate load. It can be concluded that the developed FE models are valid and can capture the behavior of the RC beams strengthened with NSM GFRP bars.

**Figure 9:** Comparison between experimental and FE results of the B1 beams.

**Figure 10:** Comparison between experimental and FE results of the B2 beams.
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Figure 11: Predicted crack pattern and mode of failure of the B1 beams.

Figure 12: Predicted crack pattern and mode of failure of the B2 beams.
4 CONCLUSION

An experimental and numerical investigation was carried out to study the effects of tensile reinforcing steel ratio and the development length of the NSM GFRP bar on the efficiency of the strengthened RC beams. The experimental and numerical results indicate that increasing the GFRP development length changes a beam’s mode of failure, giving it greater capacity and more ductility. The load-carrying capacity of a strengthened beam is improved by increasing the NSM GFRP bar development length. The bottom tensile reinforcing steel governs the efficiency of the strengthening by NSM GFRP bars. Decreasing the axial stiffness ratio reduces the strengthening efficiency and the critical development length of the NSM GFRP strengthening bars. Tests demonstrated that beam flexural strength increased up to 110% and 58% for low-tensile and high-tensile reinforcing steel, respectively. The developed FE models were validated using the experimental results and found to be in good agreement with those results.
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