Enhancing DNT Detection by a Bacterial Bioreporter: Directed Evolution of the Transcriptional Activator YhaJ
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Detection of buried landmines is a dangerous and complicated task that consumes large financial resources and poses significant risks to the personnel involved. A potential alternative to conventional detection methodologies is the use of microbial bioreporters, capable of emitting an optical signal upon exposure to explosives, thus revealing to a remote detector the location of buried explosive devices. We have previously reported the design, construction, and optimization of an *Escherichia coli*-based bioreporter for the detection of 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT) and its accompanying impurity 2,4-dinitrotoluene (DNT). Here we describe the further enhancement of this bioreporter by the directed evolution of YhaJ, the transcriptional activator of the yqjF gene promoter, the sensing element of the bioreporter’s molecular circuit. This process resulted in a 37-fold reduction of the detection threshold, as well as significant enhancements to signal intensity and response time, rendering this sensor strain more suitable for detecting the minute concentrations of DNT in the soil above buried landmines. The capability of this enhanced bioreporter to detect DNT buried in sand is demonstrated.
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INTRODUCTION

The high risks involved in most prevalent methodologies for buried landmine detection, which require the on-site presence of personnel, have created an acute need for a standoff detection technology. To date, no commercially available technology meets this need.

The primary explosive found in most landmines is 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT), which is often accompanied by a 2,4-dinitrotoluene (DNT) manufacturing impurity. Vapors of both TNT and DNT have been reported to exist in soils above buried landmines (Sylvia et al., 2000; Jenkins et al., 2001). These vapors migrate to the surface through plastic components or from cracks in the casing, and their identification has served as a basis for diverse approaches for

Abbreviations: CDS, coding sequence; DNT, 2,4-dinitrotoluene; LTTR, LysR type transcription regulator; TNT, 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene.
landmine bio-detection (Habib, 2007; Smith et al., 2008). The relatively volatile and stable DNT is considered an excellent signature chemical for the presence of TNT-based explosive devices (Jenkins et al., 2001).

Microbial bioreporters are genetically engineered microbial strains, “tailored” to report the presence of chemical targets (Van der Meer and Belkin, 2010; Elad and Belkin, 2016). The recombinant constructs harbor a fusion of a gene promoter, involved in the cellular response to the target, and a reporter gene(s), the expression of which yields a quantifiable output. The most commonly used reporter elements are the luxZ, gfp, or lux genes, yielding chromatic, fluorescent, or bioluminescent signals.

The use of microbial bioreporters for sensing TNT or DNT vapors in soil, indicating the location of buried explosive devices, has been proposed already in 1999. A number of bacterial bioreporters for the detection of traces of explosives have since been described (Burlage et al., 1999; Altamirano et al., 2004; Radhika et al., 2007; Garmendia et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2008; de las Heras and de Lorenzo, 2011; Davidson et al., 2012; Lönneborg et al., 2012; Yagur-Kroll et al., 2014; Yagur-Kroll et al., 2015; Tan et al., 2015; Belkin et al., 2017, see Shemer et al. (2015, 2017) for reviews). Prominent among these reports is a description of E. coli-based DNT/TNT bioreporters harboring a genetic fusion between E. coli’s endogenous yqjF gene promoter to either the green fluorescent protein gene GFPmut2 or to Photobacterium luminescens bioluminescence luxCDABE genes (Yagur-Kroll et al., 2014, 2015). While the fluorescent variant has been instrumental in demonstrating the standoff detection of real antipersonnel landmines (Belkin et al., 2017), recent efforts have concentrated on molecularly enhancing the performance of the bioluminescent variants (Shemer et al., 2020; Belkin et al., 2021, in parallel to unravelling the DNT degradation pathway (Shemer et al., 2018) and the yqjF regulatory mechanism in E. coli (Palevsky et al., 2016). The latter study has pointed at YhaJ, a member of the LysR type family of transcriptional regulators, as a positive regulator of yqjF activation, linked to aromatic compounds degradation.

A close look at the published characteristics of previously described bacterial explosives’ sensor strains reveals that their performance may need to be significantly enhanced before their use can be considered for landmine detection under actual field conditions (Shemer et al., 2015, 2017). For example, equilibrium headspace concentrations of DNT and TNT vapors above TNT based landmines can be as low as 0.28 pg/ml and 0.077 pg/ml, respectively (Jenkins et al., 2001). Not all reported detection thresholds are sufficiently low to detect such low concentrations.

The aim of the present study was to improve the performance of the yqjF-based bacterial bioreporter by manipulating its regulatory protein YhaJ. This was achieved by a directed evolution of both the yhaJ gene and its promoter, carried out by three sequential random mutagenesis cycles. The selected final construct displayed superior DNT detection capabilities both in aqueous media and over a sand target.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals

Analytical grade DNT was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. An ethanol stock solution (27 g/L) was kept at 4°C and diluted according to need. Sodium alginate (CAS 9005-38-3) and polyacrylic acid (PAA, CAS 9003-01-4, Mw ~250,000, 35 wt% in H2O) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Israel).

Plasmids

To monitor the effects of sequence manipulations of the yhaJ gene and promoter, two separate plasmids were initially employed in the same E. coli host (referred to hereafter as the two-plasmid system). Plasmid pACYC-yhaJ (Figure 1A), a derivative of plasmid pACYC184 (Chang and Cohen, 1978), harbored the complete yhaJ gene, driven by its own original promoter. The promoter region and coding sequence of yhaJ were obtained by PCR amplification from the E. coli genome, introducing SphI and SalI restriction sites with primers yhaJ-SphI and yhaJ-SalI (Supplementary Table S1). The PCR products were gel-purified, digested with SphI and SalI, and ligated into the same restriction sites in pACYC184. The second plasmid, pBR-C55-luxPl (Figure 1B), contained a fusion between the Photobacterium luminescens luxCDABE genes and C55, a mutated version of the yqjF promoter (Shemer et al., 2020).

A single-plasmid system (plasmid G2a, Figure 1C) was obtained by cloning a mutated version (G2) of the yhaJ gene into plasmid pBR-C55-luxPl (Shemer et al., 2021), in which the C55 promoter is fused to the luxCDABEG genes of Photobacterium leiognathi. The G2 variant was PCR-amplified using primers 115_F and 116_R (Supplementary Table S1); NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly kit (New England Biolabs, United States) was used to assemble the two fragments.

The E. coli host strains and the plasmids employed or constructed in the course of the present study are listed Table 1. Full DNA sequences of the plasmids used in the course of this study are available as supplementary FASTA files (pBR-C55-luxPl.fasta, pBR-C55-luxPlEio.fasta, pACYC-yhaJ fasta, G2a.fasta).

Random Mutagenesis

The first two rounds of yhaJ mutagenesis were performed using the two-plasmid system. Error-prone PCR was conducted according to Kagiya and colleagues (Kagiya et al., 2005) with slight modifications. The reaction contained 1 mM dCTPs, 1 mM dTTPs, 0.2 mM dATPs, 0.2 mM dGTPs, 2.5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM MnCl2, 5 U/ml Taq DNA polymerase (Fermentas, #EP0402), and 50 pmol/ml of primers yhaJ-sphI and yhaJ-SalI (Supplementary Table S1). The error-prone PCR mixture (50 μl) was divided into five individual 10 μL aliquots to enhance the diversity of the resulting mutations. Following the error-prone PCR procedure, the reaction mixtures were pooled, purified, digested with SphI and SalI restriction enzymes, and ligated into the same restriction sites in pACYC.
products of the ligation reaction were used to transform *E. coli* strain BW25113 ΔyhaJ (Baba et al., 2006), already transformed with pBR-C55-luxPl, to generate a yhaJ variant library. Following each round of error-prone PCR, the library was screened for improved variants as described below. A third round of the yhaJ promoter and gene mutagenesis was conducted with the G2a plasmid as template, using primers 117_yhaJ_RM and 118_yhaJ_RM (Table S1). For this purpose, the G2a plasmid was digested with sbfI and stuI restriction enzymes, and the amplified mutated segment was inserted via Gibson assembly technique (NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly kit, New England Biolabs, United States).

Site-directed mutagenesis of selected point mutations was performed by Gibson assembly using primers modified with the desired substitution, and verified by sequencing.

DNA sequences of the various yhaJ (promoter and gene) generations constructed in the course of this study are available as a FASTA supplementary file (yhaJ-G1-G2-G3a-G3b.fasta).

**TABLE 1 |** Strains and plasmids used in the course of this study.

| Host strain | Description | Reference |
|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| DH5α        | Standard cloning strain |          |
| BW25113 ΔyhaJ | A member of the Keio collection, hosting a single gene deletion of the yhaJ gene | Baba et al. (2006) |

| Plasmid | Description | Reference |
|---------|-------------|-----------|
| pACYC-yhaJ | A copy of the yhaJ gene and promoter, mounted on a pACYC184 (Chang and Cohen, 1978) backbone | This work |
| G1      | 1st generation of yhaJ random mutagenesis | This work |
| G2      | 2nd generation of yhaJ random mutagenesis | This work |
| G2a     | 2nd generation of yhaJ random mutagenesis, mounted on a pBR-C55-luxPleio backbone | This work |
| G3a     | 3rd generation of yhaJ random mutagenesis | This work |
| G3b     | 3rd generation of yhaJ random mutagenesis | This work |
| G11T    | G2a plasmid supplemented with a G11→T mutation | This work |
| A51G    | G2a plasmid supplemented with a A51→G mutation | This work |
| T133A   | G2a plasmid supplemented with a T133→A mutation | This work |
| G11T-A51G | G2a plasmid supplemented with G11→T and A51→G mutations | This work |
| G11T-T133A | G2a plasmid supplemented with G11→T and T133→A mutations | This work |
| A51G-T133A | G2a plasmid supplemented with A51→G and T133→A mutations | This work |
| pBR-C55-luxPl | A sensor-reporter plasmid, carrying a fusion between the C55 promoter and the luxCDABE genes of *P. luminescens* | Shemer et al. (2020) |
| pBR-C55-luxPleio | A sensor-reporter plasmid, carrying a fusion between the C55 promoter and the luxCDABE genes of *P. leiognathi* | Shemer et al. (2021) |
Clone Library Screening and Storage
After each round of random mutagenesis, approximately 500 colonies were screened for improved variants as follows: the colonies were picked into 96-well microtiter plates, each well containing 150 µl of lysogeny broth (LB) supplemented with kanamycin (50 mg/L), ampicillin (100 mg/L), and chloramphenicol (30 mg/L). Also picked into the same set of 96-well microtiter plates were two colonies of the best performing variant of the previous generation. The 96-well microtiter plates were incubated overnight at 37°C with shaking (200 rpm), and 10-µl aliquots were then transferred into white 96-well microtiter plates with a transparent bottom, each well of which contained 90 µl of LB with or without DNT (2 mg/L) and 2% ethanol. The plates were incubated at 37°C and luminescence intensity (in the plate reader’s arbitrary relative light units, RLU) and optical density at 600 nm (OD_600) were measured with a microplate reader (Infinite® 200 PRO, Tecan) at 45 min intervals for several hours. Variants that displayed a higher response ratio (light intensity in the presence of DNT divided by that in its absence) and a higher (or similar) signal intensity compared to the best performing variant of the previous generation were selected for further analysis. The remaining 130 µl of each overnight culture were augmented with 130 µl of 50% glycerol solution and stored at −80°C.

Exposure of Cells to DNT, Liquid Medium
Stored bacteria were plated on LB agar Petri dishes supplemented with ampicillin (100 µg/ml), chloramphenicol (30 mg/L), and kanamycin (50 mg/L). Fresh colonies were grown overnight in LB with the same antibiotic composition at 37°C with shaking (200 rpm). Bacteria were diluted 100-fold in fresh LB and regrown under the same conditions to the mid-exponential growth phase (OD_600 = 0.3). Aliquots (50 µl) were pipetted into a white 96-well microplate with a transparent bottom (Greiner Bio-One) containing a twofold dilution series of DNT (double-distilled water, 4% ethanol) in the same volume. Light intensity (RLU) and absorbance (OD_600) were measured with a microplate reader (Infinite® 200 PRO, Tecan) at 12.5 min intervals at ambient temperature. All experiments were repeated at least three times.

Performance Evaluation
Bacterial bioreporter performance was evaluated using four main parameters: signal intensity, response ratio, detection threshold, and response time. These parameters were defined as follows: Signal intensity, the amount of light emitted by the culture in relative light units (RLU), divided by the culture’s optical density (OD_600); Response ratio, signal intensity of the induced sample divided by that of the non-induced reference; Detection threshold, the DNT concentration that would promote a response ratio of 2 as estimated by interpolation (EC_200 Belkin et al., 1997); Response time, the time point at which a response ratio of two was first exceeded.

Immobilization of Microbial Biosensors in Ca-Alginate Beads
Ca-alginate, supplemented by polyacrylic acid (PAA), was used to immobilize the bacteria for the detection of DNT buried in soil as previously described (Shemer et al., 2021). A 2.5% (w/v) Na-alginate solution was prepared by dissolving 30 g of Na-alginate in 950 ml of deionized H_2O. Next, 50 ml of a 10% (w/v) PAA solution, previously neutralized to pH 7.0 with 10 M NaOH, were added and the solution was kept at room temperature overnight to ensure a clear and homogenous solution. The bacterial strains were incubated overnight (37°C, 200 rpm) in 50 ml LB supplemented with the appropriate antibiotics, and then diluted 1/50 in 50 ml LB supplemented with the same antibiotics. The culture was regrown under the same conditions to an OD_600 of 0.8–1.0. The bacteria were then centrifuged (20 min, 6,000 rcf, 4°C, Sorval RC5C) and the pellet was weighed and resuspended in 5 ml 0.9% NaCl. An aliquot of resuspended bacteria was added to 500 ml of Na-alginate-PAA to reach a concentration of 0.1% (w/v). The bacterial suspension was dripped into 0.1 M CaCl_2 using a Buchi B390 Encapsulator (Buchi Labortechnik AG, Switzerland) equipped with a 1,000 µm nozzle, at a pressure of 550 mbar. The formed beads were kept in CaCl_2 for at least 30 min, then strained and washed with 0.9% NaCl. The washed beads [average diameter 3.97 (± 0.22 mm standard deviation), n = 17] were stored at 4°C until used. Cell concentration in the beads was enumerated by submerging 10 beads in 10 ml of a 2% (w/v) sodium citrate solution, until the beads were fully dissolved. Then, serial dilutions in 0.9% NaCl were plated on LB-agar plates containing the appropriate antibiotics and incubated overnight at 37°C. The average cell concentration was 1.82 × 10^5 [± 1.9 × 10^4 standard deviation] cells/bead.

Exposure of Alginate-Immobilized Cells to DNT, Soil Surface
The bioluminescent response of the G2 and G2a bacterial bioreporters was measured on a soil surface using an imaging system comprised of a sensitive camera and an optical system for imaging the emittance of the bacteria onto the camera’s sensor array. The camera employed was a cooled scientific CMOS camera (PCO.edge5.5; PCO, Germany), characterized by a very low noise, a wide dynamic range, a rapid frame rate, and a high resolution. The optical system, designed for optimal imaging of the bacterial bioluminescent signal, was based on a high precision aspherical lens (Kowa, model LM12XC), which reduces distortion and produces high-definition images. The imaging system was isolated from both optical and electronic background noise in a special chamber, in which ambient light, temperature and humidity were strictly controlled. A detailed description of this controlled chamber can be found elsewhere (Agranat et al., 2021).

A monolayer of beads was homogeneously spread on triplicate 35 mm diameter targets, containing soil with different amounts of buried DNT, as well as DNT-free control targets. The target array was imaged continuously with the temperature kept at 25°C and the humidity set to 55%. The targets were imaged with varying exposure times.

To extract quantitative data out of the imaged bioluminescent response, image processing tools were applied. We first defined a region of interest in the samples’ locations by applying thresholds (Otsu, 1979), detecting edges (Gao et al., 2010), and detecting shapes (Ballard, 1981). The average luminescence value was then calculated.
for each sample across at least seven similar images in each batch and three similar targets. The bioluminescent response was measured in nW/cm², according to a previously established calibration procedure (unpublished). Light emitted by a LED light source, with an emission spectrum and an intensity range similar to bacterial bioluminescence, was simultaneously measured by the CMOS camera-based imaging system and by a calibrated power meter (model StarBright, MKS Ltd.). The response of the direct imaging system was found to be linearly correlated to the optical intensity measured by the power meter in physical units (nW/cm²).

Further demonstration of the detection capabilities was also performed by spreading immobilized bacterial bioreporters (strain G3b) on a sand target containing DNT “hot spots”. These were prepared by placing DNT crystals (100, 300 and 1,000 mg) on separate Petri dishes, covering the explosives with sand (Sigma-Aldrich, 50-70 mesh, 40 g, 12 mm depth), and integrating the plates in a large container (20 × 28 × 5 cm) filled with Mediterranean beach sand. A thin layer (ca. 1-2 mm) of beach sand covered the targets, obtaining a uniform surface. The target was left at room temperature for 5 months prior to the experiment, to allow permeation of DNT vapors to the surface. The target was lightly sprinkled with LB medium prior to applying the beads. After placing the beads, the target was incubated in a dark chamber at room temperature and photographed every 15 min using a Sony α7 ii camera (4 s exposure, ISO 400), placed 1 m above the target, for a total of 9 h. To quantify the emitted luminescence, the integrated grey value across 10 randomly selected individual beads located above each “DNT hotspot” was quantified with ImageJ analysis software (Schindelin et al., 2012).

RESULTS

We set out to improve the performance of yqjF-based bacterial bioreporters for landmine detection by the directed evolution of YhaJ, a transcriptional regulator of yqjF activation (Palevsky et al., 2016). Using error-prone PCR, two yhaJ-targeted sequential mutagenesis rounds were performed, in which the yhaJ variants were incorporated into a plasmid (Figure 1A) that was subsequently introduced into a ΔyhaJ strain. The recipient cells were already transformed with a previously modified reporter cassette from that of P. luminescens to P. leiognathi (Shemer et al., 2021).

Two additional performance parameters that were improved along the evolutionary progression were the detection threshold and the response time. In Figure 3, the light intensity at the detection threshold is plotted against the threshold DNT concentration. A clear progressive increase in sensitivity from G0 to G3 is observed; clone G3b appears to embody an optimal combination of a low detection threshold with high signal intensity. The detection threshold, calculated here as the DNT concentration promoting a response ratio of 2 (Belkin et al., 1997), decreased by 37-fold from 1.5 ± 0.6 mg/L DNT in the wild type to 0.04 ± 0.01 mg/L in the G3a and G3b variants (Figure 3 and Table 2). The response time, determined as the time point at which a response ratio of two was first exceeded, was reduced from 74 to 37 min and from 127 to 66 min for 10 and 3.3 mg/L DNT, respectively. At a DNT concentration of 0.12 mg/L, detection was not observed for generations G0-G2, but was apparent after 120-160 min in the G2a, G3a and G3b variants (Table 2).

Effect of the Directed Evolution Process on Signal Intensity, Response Ratio, Detection Sensitivity and Response Time

The response to DNT of selected clones from the different mutagenesis cycles is displayed in Figure 2. The signal intensity and response ratio dynamics of all variants were characterized by a lag phase followed by a dose-dependent increase, which peaked after 4–5 h. A clear increase in luminescence intensity as a function of the progress of the evolution process was observed (Figure 2A), a trend that was not fully replicated in the response ratios (Figure 2B), a parameter which is strongly affected not only by the increase in yqjF induction but also by changes in the control (uninduced) luminescence. The increases in the response ratio observed in clones G1 and G2 (Figures 2B,D) was mostly attributed to a significant decrease in the basal luminescence level with each generation. G2’s basal luminescence at time zero was similar to those of G0 and G1; however, it remained steady throughout the measurement and did not increase, as opposed to those of the two previous generations. Clones G3a and G3b, while superior to G2a in terms of signal intensity, suffered from a high background luminescence, which lowered their response ratios. The significant increase in light intensity from G2 to G2a, which harbors an identical yhaJ variant, is driven by the change of the lux reporter cassette from that of P. luminescens to P. leiognathi (Shemer et al., 2021).

Mutations Introduced in the Course of the Directed Evolution Process

Variant G2 carries three point mutations in yhaJ’s coding sequence (CDS), as revealed by sequencing: a cytosine-to-adenine conversion in position 91 of the CDS (g.91C > A), translating into a leucine-to-methionine substitution in position 31 of the amino acid (AA) sequence (p.L31M); a thymine-to-cytosine conversion in position 461 of the CDS (g.461T > C), translating into a methionine-to-threonine substitution in position 154 of the AA sequence (p.M154T); and a C-to-T conversion in position 812 of the CDS (g.821C...
translating into an alanine to valine substitution in position 274 of the AA sequence (p.A274V; Figure 4). The first two point-mutations, p.L31M and p.M154T, were the result of the first round of random mutagenesis and are the ones carried by G1, while the third mutation, p.A274V, was introduced into yhaJ’s CDS in the second round.

To reduce potential instability due to plasmid imbalance, as well as to negate the need to transfect clones resulting from random mutagenesis with an additional plasmid before screening for activity, the third-generation variant (G2) of the yhaJ gene and promoter was mounted on the pBR-C55-luxPleio plasmid, yielding plasmid G2a. An additional round of mutagenesis of the yhaJ gene and promoter was conducted on this plasmid, and selected variants were transformed into a yhaJ deficient mutant. As noted above, the two mutant clones selected following this process were denoted G3a and G3b. The G3a variant has two mutations in its promoter sequence (C50 > A and A136 > G) as well as several mutations in its CDS. Three point-mutations (g.A260 > T, g.A484 > T, g.A698 > G) are reflected by AA substitutions (p.D87V, p.I162F, p.Q233 > R), while additional three codon substitutions (g.C54 > T, g.T600 > A, g.G762 > A) do not alter the AA sequence. In contrast, the G3b variant has only three point-mutations, all of which are positioned in the promoter region (G11 > T, A51 > G, T133 > A). The amino acid sequences of variants G0, G1, G2, and G3a are shown in Figure 4.

An estimation of the evolutionary conservation of the amino acid positions in YhaJ, based on the phylogenetic relations between homologous sequences, revealed, as expected, an agreement between the conservation level and the type of amino acid replacement. Amino acids in conserved positions were replaced with amino acids with similar properties, while other substitutions could be more radical (Figure 4). Querying the YhaJ AA sequence against the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (wwPDB consortium, 2019), we found a high degree of homology between YhaJ and CrgA, a LysR type transcription regulator (LTTR) from Neisseria meningitidis. This homology indicates that the mutations introduced here to YhaJ’s amino acid sequence span all of the protein’s domains, including the DNA binding domain (p.L31M), the linker helix (p.D87V), and the regulatory
domains, in which substrate binding takes place (p.M154T, p.I162F, p.Q233R, and p.A274V) (Maddocks and Oyston 2008; Sainsbury et al., 2009).

In previous studies, a common motif was found in YhaJ-regulated genes (Palevsky et al., 2016; Henshke et al., 2021). Interestingly, the MEME suite algorithm (Bailey et al., 2006), has revealed a significantly enriched (E-value of $1.1 \times 10^{-5}$) sequence motif (Figure 5A). This motif is positioned on the (−) strand of the yhaJ promoter and contains a highly conserved binding site (Connolly et al., 2019; Connolly et al., 2020).

| yhaJ variant | DNT concentration | Response time (min)a | Max signal intensity (RLU)b | Response time (min)a | Max signal intensity (RLU)b | Detection threshold (mg/L)c |
|--------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| G0           | 10 mg/L           | 74                   | 1.91E+07 ± 2.73E+06         | 127                  | 3.54E+06 ± 3.79E+05         | 1.53 ± 0.587              |
| G1           | 10 mg/L           | 94                   | 1.43E+07 ± 2.55E+06         | 111                  | 1.31E+07 ± 1.34E+08         | 0.41 ± 0.075              |
| G2           | 10 mg/L           | 49                   | 9.18E+06 ± 2.56E+05         | 66                   | 1.78E+07 ± 2.45E+05         | 1.39 ± 0.028              |
| G2a          | 3.3 mg/L          | 53                   | 2.15E+07 ± 1.84E+06         | 74                   | 4.41E+07 ± 7.31E+06         | 0.06 ± 0.003              |
| G3a          | 3.3 mg/L          | 58                   | 5.84E+07 ± 4.10E+06         | 74                   | 5.08E+07 ± 8.89E+06         | 0.04 ± 0.014              |
| G3b          | 3.3 mg/L          | 37                   | 2.32E+07 ± 1.62E+06         | 66                   | 3.69E+07 ± 1.88E+06         | 0.04 ± 0.012              |
| G3b          | 0.12 mg/L         | (-)                  | (-)                        | 156                  | (-)                        | (-)                       |

#TABLE 2 | Response times, signal intensity and DNT detection sensitivity for the different yhaJ variants.

#FIGURE 4 | Multiple sequence alignment of YhaJ generations G0, G1, G2, and G3a, showing the amino acid replacements, as generated using the Clustal Omega tool (Sievers et al., 2011). The residue conservation scores of YhaJ, obtained with Consurf (Ashkenazy et al., 2016) based on 150 homologues from the UniRef database, are shown above the alignment.

*The time at which the response ratio first exceeded 2.

In the course of a 600 min exposure; error values are calculated as standard deviation, resulting from at least three independent duplicate repeats.

Minimal DNT concentration at which a response ratio of two was obtained.

A response ratio higher than two was not obtained throughout the experiment.

Interestingly, the MEME suite algorithm (Bailey et al., 2006), has revealed a significantly enriched (E-value of $1.1 \times 10^{-5}$) sequence motif (Figure 5A). This motif is positioned on the (−) strand of the yhaJ promoter and contains a highly conserved binding site (Connolly et al., 2019; Connolly et al., 2020).
Variants G3a and G3b both host mutations located in this motif; A136 > G and T133 > A for G3a and G3b, respectively. Furthermore, in both cases the mutation is located at a highly conserved position of the YhaJ binding site (Figure 5B). This could hint at the importance of these two mutations in enhancing the activity of the bioreporter, as well as at the possible autoregulation of YhaJ. Autoregulation of LTTR’s has been shown to be a widespread genetic phenomenon (Maddocks and Oyston, 2008), but to the best of our knowledge has not been specifically shown in YhaJ.

To characterize the contribution of each mutation originating from the last round of mutagenesis to the effect demonstrated in the G3b strain, a set of G2a plasmids was constructed, supplemented with all the possible combinations of the identified single-point mutations. As depicted in Figure 6, no single mutation originating from the last round of mutagenesis is accountable for the increased response in G3b. However, when modifying G2a with both A51 > G and T133 > A mutations, the response of the resulting strain is practically similar to that of G3b.
Rapid Imaging of the G2 Variant’s Luminescence: Comparing the Double-Plasmid (G2) and the Single-Plasmid (G2a) Clones

The benefit of the increased light intensity displayed by the G2a variant is further underlined when performing rapid measurements, which may be essential in actual field applications. To demonstrate this, a sensitive cooled scientific CMOS camera, installed in a temperature- and humidity-controlled chamber, was employed to capture the signal emitted by immobilized bacteria placed on top of DNT-containing sand targets. The imaging was performed with two separate exposure times, 2000 and 200 ms. A two-plasmid design, harboring plasmids G2 and pBR-C55-luxPleio and containing the same relevant genetic parts, served for comparison. As demonstrated in Figure 7, the increased light emission of the G2a one-plasmid design enabled the detection of all tested DNT concentrations, even at the short exposure time (200 ms). In contrast, in the case of the two-plasmid system, it was not possible with this short exposure to differentiate the signal emitted in the presence of the low DNT concentration (0.125 mg/kg) from that of the background.

Detection of Buried DNT by Variant G3b

To demonstrate the detection capabilities of the most advanced yhaJ generation, G3b, the bacteria were immobilized in small (ca. 4 mm diameter) alginate beads and spread over a sand-filled container with three DNT “hotspots” (Figure 8A). After several hours, a clear luminescent response was visible, even with the camera’s modest imaging sensitivity parameters (Figure 8B). The images across 9 h of the experiment (Supplementary Video S1) were joined and analyzed by integrating the grey values across equal areas above the different hotspots. Interestingly, the luminescent signal across the entire target rose during the first 2 h of the experiment, then the background decreased, and the signal above the buried DNT could be clearly discerned (Figure 8C). The response time increased with decreasing DNT concentrations; a response ratio of two was observed after 105 min above 1 g of DNT, while 225 and 375 min were required to obtain the same response ratio for 0.3 and 0.1 g DNT, respectively (Figure 8D).

DISCUSSION

The microbial sensor strain at the core of the present study harbors a fusion of the E. coli yqjF gene promoter to bioluminescence reporter genes. In previous studies we have significantly enhanced the performance of this bioreporter by manipulating the sequence of the promoter region (Yagur-Kroll et al., 2015) and by introducing mutations into the host strain (Shemer et al., 2020), as well as by varying the origin of the reporter genes (Shemer et al., 2021). In the present study we have adopted a different approach: modifying YhaJ, the LysR-type transcriptional regulator of yqjF (Palevsky et al., 2016), by inserting into it potentially beneficial mutations by error-prone PCR. After three rounds of mutagenesis we have isolated a yhaJ variant (G3b) hosting point-mutations in both its promoter and its coding sequence, the activity of which was highly superior to that of the parent strain. Its maximal luminescent signal intensity was 69-fold higher (in the presence of 0.12 mg/L DNT), its DNT detection threshold 37-fold lower, and its response time (in the presence of 3.3 mg/L DNT) was reduced by ca. 50% (Table 2). Furthermore, we have
substitutions at NahR’s positions 227 or 253 resulted in activation-deficient mutants (Schell et al., 1990). These two different phenotypes emphasize the benefit of the random mutagenesis approach, given the high number of possible substitutions and the complexity in the a priori prediction of their effects.

From examination of the data in Figure 2 and Figure 3, it appears that the main improvement in detection sensitivity occurred during the first two rounds of random mutagenesis, from G0 to G2, while the main enhancement of signal intensity was contributed by mutations in the promoter region, as well as by changes in the reporter element and plasmid design. It could be postulated that changes to the coding sequence resulted in increased binding of the YhaJ transcription factor to its ligand, therefore increasing its sensitivity. Alternatively, changes to the promoter region resulted in increased production of YhaJ, leading to an overall increase in response but not necessarily to an increased detection sensitivity.

In an approach different from the one presented here, successful performance enhancement of bacterial reporters, involving a ligand-binding transcriptional regulator, has previously been reported by molecular redesign of the sensor’s response circuit, rather than by directed evolution. Small molecule-inducible gene expression was amplified by tuning intracellular receptor densities and the use of transcriptional amplifiers (Wang et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015; Wan et al., 2019). Reducing the background signal while maintaining maximum output levels was achieved using protease-based post-translational degradation, which was put under the regulation of the cloned receptor, along with the reporter gene (Wan et al., 2019). Clearly, future optimal improvement of whole-cell sensor design should combine both approaches: a targeted redesign of specific
FIGURE 9 | Multiple sequence alignment of YhaJ and selected LTTRs, as generated using the Clustal Omega tool (Sievers et al., 2011). YhaJ (WT): Escherichia coli, NCBI Reference Sequence: NP_417576.1; MetR: E. coli, UniProtKB Entry Identifier: P0A9F9; DntR: Burkholderia sp, UniProtKB Entry Identifier: Q7WT50; NodD: Rhizobium meliloti, UniProtKB Entry Identifier: P03031; NahR: Pseudomonas putida, UniProtKB Entry Identifier: P10183; OxyR: E. coli, UniProtKB Entry Identifier: P0ACQ4. Positions that were altered in this study are marked in red. Other positions mentioned in the main text are marked in blue.
circuit components interfaced with random modifications yielding beneficial effects that are difficult to predict.

Over 20 years ago, Burlage et al. (1999) were the first to propose the identification of the location of buried explosives by bacterial bioreporters engineered to respond by an optical signal to explosives’ vapors. For such a scheme to materialize, advances need to be made in two closely intertwined research directions—the development of the bacterial sensors on the one hand, and the engineering/optics involved in their imaging on the other hand. The present communication comprises another step towards the realization of the former objective. When contemplating such a field application for landmine detection employing luminescent bacterial sensor strains, a key factor would be the ability to perform rapid imaging, thus enabling the real-time scanning of large areas for the presence of buried explosives. A consequence of this need is for the bioreporters to produce high light intensities. In the final round of random mutagenesis, we have therefore focused on variants with a stronger luminescence, represented here by G3b, rather than on those exhibiting improved response ratios; the latter were characterized by low light emission under both induced and the non-induced conditions. Another significant factor that necessitates the field use of highly luminescent bioreporters is potential interference by ambient light. In a previous study (Shemer et al., 2021), imaging of the luminescent response of immobilized bioreporters spread on top of a buried antipersonnel landmine was possible only under complete darkness. One possible pathway towards a further reduction of the detection threshold involves the lowering of background luminescence; it is important that such an activity will also be accompanied with actions to increase signal intensity. An optimal balance between detection sensitivity and luminescence intensity will also depend upon the imaging apparatus employed, and its ability to separate the luminescent signal from ambient light.
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