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Abstract

The K2K experiment, using a fine-grained detector in a neutrino beam of energy $< E > \sim 1.3$ GeV has observed two-track events that can be interpreted as a coherent reaction $\nu_\mu + N \rightarrow \mu^- + N + \pi^+$ ($N = C^{12}$) or an incoherent process $\nu_\mu + (p, n) \rightarrow \mu^- + \pi^+ + (p, n)$, the final nucleon being unobserved. The data show a significant deficit of forward-going muons in the interval $Q^2 \lesssim 0.1$ GeV$^2$, where a sizeable coherent signal is expected. We attempt an explanation of this effect, using a PCAC formula that includes the effect of the non-vanishing muon mass. A suppression of about 25% is caused by a destructive interference of the axial vector and pseudoscalar (pion-exchange) amplitudes. The incoherent background is also reduced by 10 - 15%. As a consequence the discrepancy between theory and observation is significantly reduced.

The K2K experiment has studied interactions of a low energy neutrino beam ($< E > \sim 1.3$ GeV) in a fine-grained detector, designed as the “near detector” of a long-base-line neutrino oscillation experiment [1, 2, 3]. Evidence has been found for two-track events, which can be interpreted as either $\nu_\mu + N \rightarrow \mu^- + N + \pi^+$ (coherent $\pi^+$ production on a nuclear target) or incoherent $\pi^+$ production $\nu_\mu + (p, n) \rightarrow \mu^- + \pi^+ + (p, n)$, where the final nucleon is unobserved. The data have been compared with simulations based on a model for coherent $\pi^0$ production [4], and a model for incoherent single pion production via nucleon resonances [5]. It is stated that in comparison with the simulations, the two-track data show “a significant deficit of forward-going muons” in the kinematic interval $Q^2 \lesssim 0.1$ GeV$^2$, in which a sizeable coherent contribution is expected. In this Letter, we examine a possible explanation of this effect.

As is well-known, neutrino scattering in the forward-scattering configuration is described by Adlers PCAC theorem [6]. For any inelastic charged current reaction $\nu_\mu + N \rightarrow \mu^- + F$, where $F$ denotes an inelastic channel, the cross section, neglecting the muon mass, is

$$\left( \frac{d\sigma}{dx dy} \right)_{\text{PCAC}} = \frac{G^2 M E}{\pi^2} f^2_\pi (1 - y) \sigma(\pi^+ + N \rightarrow F) \bigg|_{E_\nu = E_\gamma}$$

where $x = Q^2 / 2 M E y$ and $y = \nu / E$, $\nu$ being the energy transfer and $E$ the neutrino energy. The pion decay constant has the value $f_\pi \approx 0.93m_\pi$ and $M$ denotes the nucleon mass. The extrapolation of the PCAC formula to non-forward angles is given by a slowly varying form-factor $[m_A^2 / (m_A^2 + Q^2)]^2$, with $m_A \approx 1$ GeV.

There is an important modification of Eq. (1) when the mass of the muon is taken into account. This modification can be found in a recent comment by Adler
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The amplitude contains the characteristic pion propagator (nature of the interference is visible in the first term of the correction factor “axial” amplitude.) These two amplitudes interfere destructively. The destructive forward scattering configuration. (For brevity we call this pole-free contribution the pion singularity, and which for pseudoscalar amplitude interferes with the remaining amplitude, which is free of the $\nu$ from the exchange of a charged pion between the lepton vertex $\nu$ and the muon mass is not neglected, the reaction $\nu_{\mu} + N \rightarrow \mu^- + F$ receives a contribution from the exchange of a charged pion between the lepton vertex $\nu \rightarrow \mu^-$ and the hadron vertex $N \rightarrow F$. The coupling at the lepton vertex is $f_\pi m_l \bar{u}_\mu \gamma_5 u_\nu$, and the amplitude contains the characteristic pion propagator $(Q^2 + m_\pi^2)^{-1}$. This so-called pseudoscalar amplitude interferes with the remaining amplitude, which is free of the pion singularity, and which for $m_l = 0$ becomes proportional to $\langle F|\partial_\alpha A_\alpha |N \rangle$ in the forward scattering configuration. (For brevity we call this pole-free contribution the “axial” amplitude.) These two amplitudes interfere destructively. The destructive nature of the interference is visible in the first term of the correction factor $C$, which reads $(1 - \frac{1}{2} Q_{\min}^2)^2$. The two terms within the parentheses represent the axial and pseudoscalar amplitudes. The minus sign represents destructive interference. This, in our opinion, is a partial explanation of the low-$Q^2$ deficit observed in the charged current $\pi^+$ production at low energies.

To see the impact of the correction factor $C$ we apply the modified PCAC formula to the coherent process $\nu_{\mu} + N \rightarrow \mu^- + N + \pi^+$, using the same model for nucleon coherence used in describing coherent $\pi^0$ production [4]. This cross section has the form

$$\left( \frac{d\sigma}{dx dy d|t|} \right)_{PCAC, m_l \neq 0} = \frac{G^2 M E}{\pi^2} f_\pi^2 (1 - y) \sigma(\pi^+ + N \rightarrow F) \left|_{E_x = Ey} \right. \cdot C \theta(Q^2 - Q_{\min}^2) \theta(y - y_{\min}) \theta(y_{\max} - y)$$

The physical interpretation of the correction factor $C$ is as follows: When the muon mass is not neglected, the reaction $\nu_{\mu} + N \rightarrow \mu^- + F$ receives a contribution from the exchange of a charged pion between the lepton vertex $\nu \rightarrow \mu^-$ and the hadron vertex $N \rightarrow F$. The coupling at the lepton vertex is $f_\pi m_l \bar{u}_\mu \gamma_5 u_\nu$, and the amplitude contains the characteristic pion propagator $(Q^2 + m_\pi^2)^{-1}$. This so-called pseudoscalar amplitude interferes with the remaining amplitude, which is free of the pion singularity, and which for $m_l = 0$ becomes proportional to $\langle F|\partial_\alpha A_\alpha |N \rangle$ in the forward scattering configuration. (For brevity we call this pole-free contribution the “axial” amplitude.) These two amplitudes interfere destructively. The destructive nature of the interference is visible in the first term of the correction factor $C$, which reads $(1 - \frac{1}{2} Q_{\min}^2)^2$. The two terms within the parentheses represent the axial and pseudoscalar amplitudes. The minus sign represents destructive interference. This, in our opinion, is a partial explanation of the low-$Q^2$ deficit observed in the charged current $\pi^+$ production at low energies.

To see the impact of the correction factor $C$ we apply the modified PCAC formula to the coherent process $\nu_{\mu} + N \rightarrow \mu^- + N + \pi^+$, using the same model for nucleon coherence used in describing coherent $\pi^0$ production [4]. This cross section has the form

$$\left( \frac{d\sigma^{\pi^+}}{dx dy d|t|} \right) = 2 \left( \frac{d\sigma^{\pi^0}}{dx dy d|t|} \right) \cdot C \theta(Q^2 - Q_{\min}^2) \theta(y - y_{\min}) \theta(y_{\max} - y),$$

where $d\sigma^{\pi^0}/dx dy d|t|$ is given explicitly in Eq.(10) of Ref.[4].

Replacing $x$ by $Q^2/(2M E_y)$ and integrating over the variables $t$ and $y$ we obtain the $Q^2$-distribution $d\sigma/dQ^2$. For the purpose of understanding the essential origin of the $Q^2$ suppression, it is enough to treat the pion-nucleon cross-section and the effects of nucleon absorption as constants (a complete calculation confirms our conclusion). The integration over the variable $t$ then yields the approximate result

$$\left( \frac{d\sigma}{dy dQ^2} \right) \sim \frac{1 - y}{y} \exp[-b|t|_{\min}] C,$$

where $|t|_{\min}$ is given by

$$|t|_{\min} = 2 E^2 y^2 \left\{ 1 + \frac{M x}{E y} - \frac{m_\pi^2}{2 E^2 y^2} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{2 M x}{E y} \sqrt{1 - \frac{m_\pi^2}{E^2 y^2}}} \right\}. \quad (8)$$
Integration over $y$ produces the distribution $d\sigma/dQ^2$ shown in Fig. 1, which clearly exhibits a low-$Q^2$ suppression in the region $Q^2 < 0.1\text{GeV}^2$ amounting to about $< C_{coh} > \approx 0.75$ at $E_\nu = 1.3$ GeV. The empirical $Q^2$-distribution includes an incoherent background from $\nu_\mu + (p, n) \to \mu^- + \pi^+ + (p, n)$. Using the resonance model of Ref. [5], and introducing the suppression factor $C$ in the scalar part of the cross section, we estimate an effective resonant suppression factor $< C_{res} > \approx 0.85 - 0.90$. As a consequence, the discrepancy between theory and K2K observation is reduced to about $2\sigma$ [8].

Our explanation of the low-$Q^2$ deficit implies that the effect occurs only for charged current scattering, where the muon mass plays a role. The fact that the muon mass is of the same order as the pion mass appearing in the pion-propagator is important. The effect diminishes with increasing neutrino energy. The neutral current channels are unaffected. In this connection it is significant that the recent K2K measurement of $\nu_\mu + N \to \nu_\mu + N + \pi^0$ [9] finds that the angular distribution and the momentum spectrum of the $\pi^0$ are in good agreement with the Monte Carlo expectations [4, 5].

It is to be hoped that the new high resolution detectors such as SciBoone and Minerva will be able to test these ideas concerning the forward-muon deficit in an incisive way. We draw attention to some recent papers [10] that may have a bearing on the subject of this paper.
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Figure 1: Effect of muon-mass correction on $Q^2$-dependence of coherent $\pi^+$ production. Curves are derived from the simplified expression given in Eq. (8). The target nucleus is Carbon, and the neutrino energy is (a) 0.8 GeV, (b) 1.3 GeV and (c) 2.0 GeV. In each figure, the upper (lower) curve corresponds to the case $m_l = 0$ ($m_l \neq 0$)