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Abstract
Metaphors are not stand-alone units, but figure often in larger chains and regularly build upon each other. Yet, not all metaphors are the same. Some are very simple, such as the simile, while other metaphors require more active cross-domain mapping in thought. Still others are also context-dependent, deliberately used to convey new insights or used with a certain rhetorical intention. The study of various metaphorical clusters in Psalm 22 allows us to discuss the different types of metaphors and their distinct communicative functions. The most remarkable metaphorical cluster is based on the conceptual metaphor YHWH is fire. Built upon this conceptual layer is another metaphor, namely people melt before YHWH like wax melts before fire. Used in the context of Ps. 22.15, this deliberate metaphor confirms YHWH’s absolute sovereignty. His authority and power are in Ps. 22.13–17 the reason for fear, because his is a flaming and destructive power.
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understood as processed in ‘thought’ only, but also in communication. This explains why
the use of metaphors has at least three dimensions: the linguistic, the conceptual, and
the contextual-communicative. In recent discourse event–based cognitive approaches
to metaphors, these three dimensions take up a central position.1 Such an approach
includes three elements: a linguistic study that is directed towards the language sys-
tem and regards the morpho-syntactic and semantic aspects of metaphors; a conceptual
study that explores the language use, that is, someone’s employment of a language code
such as English or Hebrew and regards the cognitive, cultural, and experiential meta-
phorical processes; and a communicative study that is aimed at the discourse event in
which a metaphorical utterance is produced, received, and exchanged. Discourse events
are, therefore, higher-level processes of verbal interaction than language use. Sermons,
political speeches, advertisements, stories, religious texts, legal texts, and poems are
examples of such discourse events. Literary texts make use of the language system, cre-
ate new combinations in a textual edifice, and are parts of a connected line of argument
aimed at persuasion or emotion.

The distinction between (1) linguistically fixed metaphors, such as simile and conven-
tionalized metaphors; (2) conceptual metaphors that require active cross-domain map-
ing in thought; and (3) deliberatively used metaphors that are employed in literary,
political, religious, rhetorical, or other communicative genres that are primarily applied
for rhetorical or stylistic reasons lies at the heart of this article. In most discourse events,
the distinct types of metaphors are used side by side. Often, they build upon each other,
layer upon layer, from non-figurative descriptive language via the more conventional
and slightly newer conceptual metaphors to the most innovative metaphors. Together,
they create an entire discourse-based metaphorical network.

This study operates within the framework of a discourse-based, cognitive approach
to textual metaphors. In it, I intend to offer an analysis of the metaphorical network of
Psalm 22, mainly based on a cognitive linguistic approach in combination with Deliberate
Metaphor Theory (DMT). The latter is a theory about properties of metaphor in language
use and discourse which has emerged over the past decades in a series of publications by
Gerard Steen et al.2 Its central thesis is that in order to understand a literary metaphorici-

c network, one should differentiate between the distinct types of metaphors briefly
sketched above. As far as I know, DMT has so far only been applied in biblical studies
by Hanneke van Loon in her excellent dissertation on the book of Job.3

1. See G. Steen, “Deliberate Metaphor Theory: Basic assumptions, main tenets, urgent issues”,
in: Intercultural Pragmatics 14 (2017) 1-24.
2. G. Steen, The paradox of metaphor: Why we need a three-dimensional model of metaphor:
In: Metaphor & Symbol 23 (2008) 213–41; G. Steen, A.G. Dorst, J.B. Herrmann, A. Kaal,
T. Krennmayr and T. Pasma, A method for linguistic metaphor identification: From MIP to
MIPVU. Amsterdam: John Benjamins 2010; G. Steen, From three dimensions to five steps: The
value of deliberate metaphor. In: Metaphorik.de 21 (2011) 83–110; G. Steen, Developing, test-
ing and interpreting Deliberate Metaphor Theory. In: Journal of Pragmatics 90 (2015) 67–72;
N. Stukker, W. Spooren and G. Steen (eds.), Genre in discourse and cognition: Concepts,
models, methods. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter 2016; G. Steen, Deliberate Metaphor Theory:
Basic assumptions, main tenets, urgent issues. In: Intercultural Pragmatics 14 (2017) 1–24.
3. H. van Loon, Metaphors in the Discussion on Suffering in Job 3–31. Visions of Hope and
Consolation (Biblical Interpretation Series, 165). Leiden: Brill, 2018.
The structure of this article is as follows. It opens with a short introduction of DMT, which is followed by the body of the article. After a general study of Psalm 22 in its entirety and the metaphors in vv. 2–22, I pursue a more detailed analysis of the metaphors in vv. 15–16. A comparison of all these metaphors will allow us to differentiate between conventional and deliberate metaphors, and their distinctive roles in the psalm. Thus, I intend to show that out of these distinct metaphors, a metaphorical network emerges in which the sum is more than its parts.

I. Conventional and deliberate metaphors

Variation in metaphor processing stands at the heart of DMT. Many metaphors are conventional and do not depend on active cross-domain mapping, while other metaphors require more attention. Conventional metaphors have entrenched metaphorical meanings that are directly accessed. In contrast, deliberate metaphors concern the intentional use of metaphors as metaphors between sender and addressee. Not all discourse events are the same, and some genre events are more prone to deliberate metaphor use than others, like poetry. And Steen concludes, therefore, ‘It is one goal of DMT to highlight this variation in metaphor in language use from the perspective of discourse’.4

The basic tenets of DMT are the following. Deliberate metaphors (1) are intentionally used as metaphors; (2) draw attention to their source domains as a separate detail for attention in working memory, whereas non-deliberate metaphors do not; and (3) have the communicative aim to change the addressee’s perspective on the topic, that is, they are perspective changers in the context of communication. According to DMT, non-deliberate metaphor ‘does not ask the addressee to pay conscious attention to the structure of an alien source domain that may be involved in the semantics of the words used’.5 The communicative aim of the writer or speaker correlates with the linguistic and discursive use of metaphors. The writer who intends to cause a shift of perspective may employ grammatical and/or lexical means to signal the introduction of a source domain. The writer may employ deliberately used metaphors to shift the perspective of the addressee by choosing a source domain that fits her or his argument, to focus attention on aspects of the target domain that are specifically relevant for him or her, to modify the recipient’s action or conviction, or to evoke feelings of beauty. The latter metaphorical strategy depends on the textual genre: political texts and advertisements employ deliberate metaphors to modify the reader’s or listener’s conviction or action (buying); poetry, on the contrary, employs deliberate metaphors to create a concentrated imaginative awareness of language and a specific emotional response. One criticism, though, arises from the perspective of biblical scholarship. The communicative interaction Steen is talking about is exclusively individual-oriented: the parties involved in the

4. Steen (2017: 4).
5. Steen (2011: 37). Steen (2017:6) criticizes his previous position in which he used the term consciousness as a synonym of deliberate. He explains why deliberate metaphor is not the same as conscious metaphor: “Consciousness is knowing that you are aware, while awareness itself is the content of what is in people’s window of attention. . . . One problem is that consciousness and awareness or attention are often conflated, both in metaphor studies (like the first version of DMT in Steen 2008, 2011) as well as in discourse studies. . . .”
discourse event are projected as individual persons, the producer/writer and recipient/reader are involved in an interaction process, and the reader reacts to (i.e. follows or rejects) the textual strategy. However, biblical texts such as psalms or laws are group-oriented: the intended audience is a collective, either the community of Israelites or a community of people worshipping YHWH. Below in the analysis of Psalm 22, I will return to this aspect.

The distinction between deliberate and conventional metaphors as described and explained by DMT is very helpful indeed. To apply this theory in the study of metaphors in biblical texts, I propose the following three-step framework. The first step is to identify linguistically marked similes and fixed or conventionalized metaphors. The similes are marked in Hebrew by the preposition כ ‘like’, and therefore, are easily accessible. Fixed metaphors are conventional in the sense of the ‘ordinary’ or ‘everyday’ way of talking and thinking about events; although a metaphor lies at the base of it, it is not experienced as such anymore, because it has become entrenched in the language system. Examples in English are as follows: prepositions (‘He was in a state of shock’, ‘It happened up to a year ago’) and metaphors in which time is primarily conceptualized in terms of space (‘The economy is going from bad to worse’). In the Hebrew Bible, one could mention various prepositions such as לפני, לפני, לפני, ולפני, and לפני, which are conceptually related to ‘face’ but became conventionalized as prepositions that mark the spatial positioning of someone relative to someone/something else: ‘in front of’ or ‘before’.

The second step regards the conventional conceptual metaphors occurring in (biblical) texts, which are used more regularly and accessed without much cross-domain mapping, although they once did presuppose such activities. Examples in English are well-known metaphors such as argument is war and you are the stone upon which this church is built. Examples from the Hebrew Bible are as follows: YHWH is king, death is a place of darkness, and God/YHWH is shepherd and the people his flock. Another example is the metaphorical base of the Hebrew verb לָקַח ‘take’ (used 939 times in the Hebrew Bible to designate a non-figurative, spatial meaning in the sense of ‘getting’ or ‘moving’) in the fixed-word combination אַשֶׁר לָקַח, ‘to take a woman’. This fixed collocation is employed in the Hebrew Bible 104 times as a metaphor in the context of marriage to designate ‘to marry a woman’ and is based on the young woman’s spatial and judicial transfer from her father’s house to her husband’s house. Hence, the conceptual metaphor MARRIAGE IS TRANSFER FROM ONE FATHER’S HOUSE TO ANOTHER lies at the base of this conventional expression.

The third step is aimed at the unique discourse event in which a new metaphor or a deliberately used conventional metaphor is employed in a biblical text. This new metaphor or newly used metaphor asks the reader to pay attention to its form or content, to shift perspective, or to enhance their imagination and thought. The new idea expressed by the metaphor can be signalled by a simile, by a combination of linguistic signalling and a new conceptual content, or by a peculiar (peculiar in the sense of attention seeking) usage of a conventional metaphor that in combination with other textual units develops a

6. Steen (2011, 2017) proposes a five-step framework based on various linguistic schools and developments, which is, in my view, too linguistically (and insufficiently literary) oriented in scope for biblical studies. These steps identify: 1. words in a surface text; 2. concepts in a text base; 3. identification of open comparison; 4. referents in a situation model; 5. topics and perspectives in a context model.
new meaning dimension. These deliberately used metaphors construe a new layer upon previous figurative layer(s). The real task of a discourse-based analysis is not merely to catalogue the cases of metaphor, but to understand the dramatic and rhetorical effects of the implicit meanings of the text. An example in the Hebrew Bible can be found in Job 3.21–22: ‘Those who expect death while it does not come, they search for it more than for hidden treasures; those who rejoice exceedingly would exult when they reach the grave’. In v. 21, the source domain of treasure hunting is introduced, which is elaborated in v. 22 by picturing the successful outcome of the search. For treasure seekers, finding a grave is a promise for finding treasures; for sufferers, however, it implies that they have found death. The grave, therefore, is the place where the scenario of treasure hunting and having passed away come together. The grave functions as the unifying factor of the source and target domain in the new metaphor death is a hidden treasure, which expresses the idea that death is a desirable thing.

Inspired by the studies of conventional and deliberate metaphors in DMT, and translating it into the above-described three-step approach, I will address the various fixed, conventional, and deliberately used metaphors in Ps. 22.13–23. Two aspects I will pay special attention to are the contextual and communicative aspect of metaphors and the metaphorical network in its interaction with the discourse event in a specific historical context.

2. A general overview of Psalm 22

Psalm 22 is a famous psalm, both in Judaism, because it became known as Esther’s prayer in Midrash Tehillim (a classical rabbinic commentary) and was later included in Jewish rituals on Purim, and in Christianity, because it became known as Jesus’s prayer at the cross: ‘My god, my god, why have you forsaken me?’ and was later included in the Christian liturgy for Good Friday. Although Psalm 22 is often understood as an individual lament because of its prominent display of first-person expression, the many references to Israel’s community of faith seem to suggest otherwise. In fact, an analysis of the text will demonstrate the tight interweaving of the individual and collective strands.

Following John Kselman’s structural analysis and Davida Charney’s impressive study of the rhetorical structure of Psalm 22, this psalm can be divided into three sections: the Address (vv. 2–12), Complaint and Petition (vv. 13–22), and Proposed Action (vv. 23–32). The opening verses 2–6 display an alternation of first-person and third-person

7. Cf. A. Weiss (2006: 33), Figurative Language in Biblical Prose Narrative: Metaphor in the Book of Samuel. Leiden, Boston: Brill.
8. Example and explanation are from H. van Loon, Metaphors in the Discussion on Suffering in Job 3–31, p. 70.
9. See E.M. Menn, “No Ordinary Lament: Relecture and Identity of the Distressed in Psalm 22”, Harvard Theological Review 93.4 (2000) 301–41, for an extensive analysis of the Psalm 22 in his Jewish and Christian reception history.
10. J.S. Kselman, “‘Why Have You Abandoned Me’: A Rhetorical Study of Psalm 22,” in Art and Meaning (eds. D. Clines, D.M. Gunn, and A.J. Hauser), Sheffield: JSOT, 1982, pp. 172-198. D. Charney, “Maintaining Innocence Before a Divine Hearer: Deliberative Rhetoric in Psalm 22, Psalm 17 and Psalm 7,” Biblical Interpretation 21.1 (2013) 33–63.
expressions so that the speaker’s charge of abandonment by God in vv. 2–3 is merged with memories of God’s past deliverance of the people of Israel (vv. 4–6). The psalmist sketches that he experiences himself as a worm, the lowest of all animals (vv. 7–9), and not as a human being and complains that he is detested by other people who mock him for his trust in YHWH. In response to their verbal assault, he expresses his faith: ‘God was with me from birth’ (vv. 10–11), followed by his cry to God to not remain far away from him (v. 12). Thus, this first section of the psalm consists of two parts, in each of which the individual complaint is interwoven with references to a collective party: in the first part (vv. 2–6), the individual cry for help is connected with Israel’s praise and trust in God, and in the second part (vv. 7–12), the individual despair is connected with other people’s attack and mistrust in God. The underlying assumption is that God responds to innocent Israelites because they are bound together by covenant.11 The two sides of this covenant between God and Israel are both presented in vv. 4–6: ‘In You our fathers trusted. They trusted and You rescued them. To You they cried out and they escaped. In You they trusted and were not disappointed’. In other words, the covenant is defined as one of mutual trust and loyalty. Why then does the speaker remind the addressee, God, of this covenant? Such a reminder raises the salience of the covenant in God’s attention at this moment of utter need.12 In short, the opening Address (section 1) is a single plea to God to reaffirm the covenant he has shown in the past to his people and to intervene on behalf of the speaker. God’s unresponsiveness, on the contrary, is interpreted as God’s unwillingness to take action.

The next two sections, Complaint and Proposed Action, elaborate on the two strands of the first section: in section 2 (vv. 13–22), the misery and desperation of the speaker is sketched in full detail against the background of opponents who are described as attacking the speaker like wild animals, while section 3 (vv. 23–32) offers a public declaration of praise and thanksgiving, both by the speaker and the descendants of Jacob who testify of their loyalty to YHWH. In section 2, the vivid images of the supplicant’s crisis situation are partly expressed in metaphors and similes that will be analysed below. This section ends in v. 20 with an emotional appeal directly addressed to YHWH to not stay far off and in vv. 21–22 with an appeal to YHWH to actively intervene. The speaker’s feeling of isolation and deprivation, which stems from God’s absence, is palpable in almost every word and sentence.

Section 3, the Proposed Action in vv. 23–32, is unusually lengthy. Charney argues convincingly that the length of this section is needed to balance the doubts and dehumanization of the equally lengthy Address and Complaint and the intense images of dehumanization:

Rather than simply declaring his own praises of God, the speaker directs/predicts praise from widening circles of others, from his immediate family (the ‘brothers’ in v. 23), to the ‘great assembly’ (vv. 23–26), to other nations (vv. 28–29), to all mortal creatures and generations yet unborn (vv. 30–32). The initial doubt and dehumanizing isolation of the speaker are now reversed, with the speaker empowered to persuade others to remain as dedicated to God as he was even in times of despair . . . The covenant continues for as long as God responds to the faithful; those deserving of response are those who carry on with praising, calling, and reasserting their claims to be heard.13

11. See Charney, “Maintaining Innocence,” 47.
12. Ibid., 47.
13. Ibid., 50.
The reversal of the condition of the dehumanized speaker into a prominent member of a community of faith is exemplified in the metaphorical pattern of the heart. The shift from the melting of the individual heart (לבי) in v. 15 to the eternal living of the community members’ heart (לבבכם) in v. 27 demonstrates what the covenant actually entails, namely that dehumanization and isolation will turn into loyalty and life in community.

3. The metaphorical cluster of ‘humans and animals’ in verses 2–22

‘The direr the straits, the more God’s help is needed’ is a good summary of vv. 2–22. Both the threats and the physical condition of the supplicant are described in the most evocative images, among which are a number of metaphors and similes. The most obvious is the metaphorical cluster HUMANS ARE ANIMALS. In the first section of the psalm, in v. 7, the speaker calls himself ‘a worm, not a human being’. As such, the word תולעה ‘scarlet worm’ denotes the Coccus ilicis, a worm or grub which lives on trees or shrubs in the Mediterranean, from which the colour crimson is extracted for the dyeing of tabernacle/temple hangings and sacred vestments. The word occurs in the Hebrew Bible in two contexts of use: seven times in reference to the animal and 33 times in reference to the colour crimson. In the former group, תולעה occurs in the context of death (the worm devours grapes in Deut. 28.39, the ricinus plant in Jon. 4.7, and the human corpse in the grave in Isa. 14.11, 66.24), or it is used twice in reference to the insignificance of the human being, although an association with death may be implied too (in Isa. 41.14 and Job 25.6). In Ps. 22.7, the speaker characterizes himself by mapping the source domain ‘worm’ on the target domain ‘human’, and this characterization of the ‘I’ in the metaphor I AM A WORM is unique, as the above attestations in the Hebrew Bible show. It is a deliberately used metaphor, linguistically marked by the explicit addition ‘(a worm) and not a human being’, which expresses how closely the psalmist associates himself with insignificance and death. This usage of the metaphor is part of the rhetorical strategy to allow the reader to share the same emotion of despair and to understand (or even share) the cry for help to YHWH.

14. Ibid., 44.
15. Numbers based on DCH. In addition, the noun תולעה (without final he) occurs a further three times in the Hebrew Bible. When denoting “crimson” it is very often used in the fixed word combination שstitución תולעה or治療 תולעה, “crimson of crimson”, that is, coloured with dye of Coccus ilicis (see DCH VIII, 606, also for its occurrences).
16. Isa 41.14: “Fear not, O worm Jacob, omen of Israel, I will help you” and Job 25.6: “How much less man, a worm, the son of man, a maggot” (NJPS translations).
17. Göran Eidevall lists the most significant connotations attached to the word תולעה “worm” as: 1. being small (on a size scale) and insignificant (on a value scale); 2. being weak and defenceless (placed at the bottom of the food chain); 3. being associated with the earth and the netherworld, with impurity and death. See: G. Eidevall (2005: 55–65, here 58), “Images of God, Self, and the Enemy in the Psalms”, in: P. Van Hecke (ed.), Metaphor in the Hebrew Bible. BETL CLXXXVII, Leuven: Peeters). I see no indication in the biblical texts of the second meaning of “being weak or defenseless” nor of its smallness (listed as no 1). The denotation Eidevall lists as 3 is predominant in the Hebrew Bible.
In the next section, vv. 13–17 and 21–22, another group of metaphors imagines human beings in terms of wild animals. This time, they do not relate to the ‘I’ person, but to other people. It draws on the biological knowledge of wild beasts, because ‘lions’ are mentioned and three features of the behaviour of wild animals are implied in this metaphorical construction: wild animals act in troops surrounding their prey, open their mouths for roaring at and tearing their prey, and have the intention to attack. However, the actual animals mentioned are bulls (‘the mighty ones of Bashan’) and dogs.

The metaphor presented in vv. 13–14 is human enemies are bulls. The Hebrew noun פּר designates a male calf. Out of this word’s 133 attestations in the Hebrew Bible, it refers 128 times to a sacrificial animal, once to the male animal (Gen. 32:16). Three times it is used metaphorically in reference to corrupt leaders. The use of this metaphor in Ps. 22:13 in reference to personal enemies is, therefore, uncommon. Equally rare is the way these bulls are envisioned. Bulls in the real world do not operate in teams, although the text states otherwise (‘they surround me and close me in’). Verse 14 does not describe their actual attack, but the opening of their mouths, which is compared to the roaring and tearing of a lion. Actually, the particle of comparison כ is missing in v. 14b; literally it says, ‘(Many bulls surround me . . .), they open their mouths at me, lions tearing and roaring’. The effect is that the image of the lion overrules the image of the bull and that the lion’s characteristics are transferred to the bull and to the human enemies. So, in fact, the blended conceptual metaphor human enemies are bulls that act like lions is used here to convey the meaning of threat, which may even be shortened as human enemies act like lions. These features indicate that the metaphor involved is deliberate. In contrast, in v. 22b, the bulls’ action of taking someone on the horns is not an uncommon or unlikely behaviour of a bull. The rhetorical effect of the deliberate metaphor in vv. 13–14 in combination with the non-figurative action in v. 22 is that the danger becomes more plausible. It incites a transference of fear from the speaker to the addressee: by sharing his perspective and fear, the psalmist lets the reader experience the menace he feels from his human foes.

Verses 17 and 21–22 introduce another instantiation of the same metaphorical cluster: this time, the enemies are compared to dogs and the conceptual metaphor involved is human enemies are dogs. These dogs threaten to enclose the psalmist, and the terms ‘surrounding’ and ‘closing in’ in v. 17a entail the notion of a team effort. This time, the particle כ is present, whereas the verb that would describe the lions’ actions is missing, so that v. 17 literally translated would be ‘(Dogs surround me . . .) like lions my hands and feet’. The dogs’ behaviour is compared to the lions’, and their aiming at the hands and feet of their victims suggests a reference to the lions’ mouth or maul. Reference to this mouth of the lion is also made in v. 22a. The conclusion to be drawn is that in both metaphors of humans as animals, be it the humans are bulls or the humans are dogs, two features dominate: the closing in which assumes the teamwork of the enemies and their mouths from which the threat is coming. While the horns are mentioned with regard to the bulls in v. 22b, the clutches of the dog are non-figuratively mentioned in v. 21b. The overall effect is a conceptual blended metaphor human enemies are bulls and dogs that act like lions. Because the depicted animal actions of v. 17 and vv. 21–22 are mixed with

---

18. Numbers and data based on DCH.
19. In Isa 34:7, Jer 50:27, and Ezek 39:18
a description in vv. 18 and 19 of the dividing of clothes and the casting of lots that are, obviously, human activities, the beastly and human actions are set on one line so that the images of wild animals and human beings merge into one picture.

The metaphorical cluster under discussion can be summarized as follows:

Metaphorical cluster of Humans are animals

| I am a worm | v. 7 |
|-------------|-----|

| HUMAN ENEMIES ARE BULLS | v. 13–14, 22b |
|-------------------------|---------------|
| They surround and close in their victim | v. 13 |
| They open their mouth | v. 14a |
| (Like) lions they tear and roar | v. 14b |
| They take the victim on their horns | v. 22b |

| HUMAN ENEMIES ARE DOGS | v. 17, 21b, 22a |
|-----------------------|----------------|
| They surround the victim | v. 17a |
| They close in the victim | v. 17b |
| Like lions they [aim] at hands and feet | v. 17c |
| Dogs take the victim in their clutches | v. 21b |
| Lions take the victim in their mouth | v. 22a |

| HUMAN ENEMIES ARE BULLS AND DOGS THAT ACT LIKE LIONS | v. 13–14, 17, 21–22 |

We can conclude that in Ps. 22.13–14 and 17–22, a distinction can be made between the various types of metaphors. The metaphor Humans are animals is a conceptual metaphor in which the source domain of animals is mapped on the target domain of human beings. Since this cross-domain mapping occurs quite often in biblical texts, we could call it a common conceptual metaphor. However, vv. 14–17 and vv. 21–22 refer to specific human beings and specific animals. Both the juxtaposition of bulls and lions in v. 14b and the simile ‘like a lion’ in v. 17b mean to signal the introduction of the new source domain ‘lions’. The speaker deliberately employs these metaphors to shift the perspective of the recipients: by choosing the source domain ‘lions’ and by focusing the recipients’ attention on aspects of the target domain ‘human enemies’ that are specifically relevant for him. These aspects are as follows: surrounding, closing in, mouths/mauls, working in a team closing in the victim with the intention of a lethal attack, and the overall threat which results from it. This cross-domain mapping results in three deliberate conceptual metaphors: Human enemies are bulls, human enemies are dogs, and human enemies are bulls and dogs that act like lions. These deliberately used metaphors are part of the textual rhetorical strategy to create an emotional response in the recipient. He or she is asked to yield to his or her own feelings of threat felt when confronted with danger. This can, but does not necessarily, include experiences of confrontations with lions, bulls, and dogs, or with people who, when acting in a menacing group, can evoke a similar sense of threat. In interaction with the text, the reader is supposed not only to share the psalmist’s feelings of threat and fear, but also to join in in his appeal to yhwh for help and consideration, whenever he or she gets in similar situations of distress.
4. The metaphorical cluster of ‘human body parts and non-animate entities’ in verses 15–16

The miserable state the psalmist finds himself in is pictured in evocative images and metaphors. In vv. 15–16, this description contains a series of metaphors which compare the psalmist’s body parts to water, wax, and a pot, respectively.

Content of Ps. 22.15–16

| No. | English | Hebrew |
|-----|---------|--------|
| 1.  | Like water I am poured out and all my bones are disjointed | כמים נשפכתיות וה首都רי כל עצמותי |
| 2.  | My heart is like wax, melted within me | התי בדי נמס ממני |
| 3.  | My vigour is dried out like a pot and my tongue is fixed to my palate | יבשחלת הכחי והרשום מדבק מלקעותי |
| 4.  | You commit me to the dust of death | לאפר מות תשפתני |

As shown in the table above, vv. 15–16 express four events. The first event is described by two clauses. Verse 15a opens with the simile כמים and the speaker compares the deplorable state his body finds itself in with that of ‘water’. This simile functions as the linguistic signal that points the reader to the metaphorical content of נשפכתי: in using נשפכתי ‘I am poured out’, he conceives of himself as liquid. The Niphal of נשפכתי indicates that a subject is involved in an action and at the same time is affected by the event.20 Another feature of the Niphal is that it predominantly focuses on the resultative state, the disposition or modal conditions of this action, but not on its cause, source, or external agents.21 Therefore, in Ps. 22.15a, the Niphal of נשפכתי describes the speaker’s state as the result of a previous action, yet without mentioning the direct cause or acting agent. The simile כמים triggers the reader to understand the psalmist’s feeling that his body has lost its solidity, has flowed away like water.

---

20. In the last three decades a great number of studies on the Niphal have been published and at present a kind of consensus has been reached that the Niphal is considered not to express the reflexive voice, but the middle voice and medio-passive voice. See: Steven W. Boyd (1993). A Synchronic Analysis of the Medio-Passive-Reflexive in Biblical Hebrew (Ph.D. diss.). HUC, Cincinnati; Edit Doron (2003). “Agency and Voice: The Semantics of the Semitic templates.” Natural Language Semantics 11: 1–67; Holger Gzella (2009). “Voice in Classical Hebrew Against its Semitic Background.” Orientalia 78.3: 292-325; Ernst Jenni (2012). ‘Nifal und Hitpael im Biblisch-Hebräischen’ in E. Jenni, Studien zur Sprachwelt des Alten Testaments III. Stuttgart: Kohlhammer, 131-303.; Artemis Alexiadou, and Edit Doron (2012). “The Syntactic Construction of Two Non-Active Voices: Passive and Middle.” Journal of Linguistics 48: 1–34; Ellen van Wolde (2019). “The Niphal as Middle Voice and its Consequence for Meaning.” Journal for the Study of the Old Testament 43.3 (2019) 453-478.

21. See van Wolde, “The Niphal” for an extensive discussion.
Upon this metaphor the next metaphor builds in v. 15b. This time, the text focuses on the state of the body’s solid elements by employing the Hitpael of פָּרָד, ‘separate’, ‘disconnect’. In all biblical attestations of the Hitpael of פָּרָד, this verb designates a literal, non-figurative meaning of separation or disconnection. In contrast, Ps. 22.15b has a figurative meaning, since bones never get loose. In other words, while the metaphor (marked by the simile ‘like water’) in v. 15a activates the source domain ‘water’ and connects it with the target domain ‘human body’, v. 15b translates this metaphor into another metaphor in which the body’s most firm elements, the bones, are imagined as if they, as the result of the body’s fluid state, are flowing away from the solid bodily construction. The activated conceptual metaphor is, therefore, THE HUMAN BODY IS A LIQUID.

The two clauses in v. 15cd show a similar metaphorical construction: on the simile ‘my heart is like wax’ builds the metaphor that indicates which of the characteristics of wax the heart is compared to, namely its being melted. Verse 15c contains the Qal of היה, which differs from the previous middle voices Niphal and Hitpael in that it is an active voice, and the verb היה is used for the purpose of describing a state.22 In v. 15d, this wax is qualified by the Niphal participle of מסס, ‘dissolve’ or ‘melt’: the wax is transformed from solid into liquid. The state of the heart is, therefore, in v. 15cd, compared to melted wax, in which the simile מַדְּנִה linguistically signals and activates the conceptual metaphor THE HEART IS LIKE WAX THAT MELTED. The location where this happens is מַעַי, ‘within my belly/bowels/intestines/internal organs/breast’, in which מַעַי is a pars-pro-toto metonym of the entire human body. THE HUMAN BODY IS A CONTAINER is a conventional conceptual metaphor presupposed in this verse, although hardly noticed as metaphor anymore.

Verse 16a starts again with a simile, כּהָרֶשׁ ‘like a pot’, which signals the metaphorical use of the verb בָּשׂ ‘drying out’, while the Qal qatal expresses the result of a previous action (‘my vigour has dried out’) or denotes a consequent state (‘my heart is dried out’). In the Hebrew Bible, the verb בָּשׂ is either used with plants, grass, or trees that dry out, or with waters or rivers that dry up.23 This drying is the result of a lack of water and is always associated with death. The noun הָרֶשׁ designates a pot or vessel of earthenware.24 Of this pot, it is said that it is or has dried out, which might refer to the state of the pot that has not yet hardened out or to the pot’s contents that have dried out. The metaphor

22. Yet, the verb היה is not without verbal force, see Gesenius-Kautzsch-Cowley, § 141i.
23. Examples of the former are Ps 102.12 “I wither like grass” and Lam 4.8 “their skin has shriveled on their bones; it has become dry as wood”. Examples of the latter are Gen 8.7 (Noah sent out the raven) “it went to and fro until the waters had dried up from the earth” and Jer 51.36 “I will dry up the sea and make her fountain run dry.” An example of another figurative use is Num 11.6 “our gullet (נפשנו) is dried out.”
24. The noun הָרֶשׁ occurs 17 times in the Hebrew Bible: 7 times it is used in collocation with כּל (כּל־הָרֶשׁ) “vessel of earthenware,” and in these cases it denotes an earthen vessel in which one puts food, water, blood, wine or a document for safe keeping etc. Without כּל it is used 10 times: it is made of soil (Isa 45.9), covered with silver work (Prov 26.23), smashed (Isa 30.13–14; Jer 19.1), drained to the bottom (Ezek 23.34). Twice it is used in similes: the children of Zion are compared to precious pots (Lam 4.2) and the ground under Leviathan is compared to pots or earthenware (Job 41.22).
activates the cross-domain mapping, in which draining of the strength or energy inside
the speaker is compared to the drying out of the content of the vessel. The conceptual
metaphors at stake are THE BODY IS A VESSEL OF EARTHENWARE and STRENGTH IS THE CONTENTS
OF A VESSEL. In v. 16b, the Hophal participle of דבק describes the passive state the tongue
finds itself in, cleft as it is to the palate. The consequence is that the tongue is not able to
speak anymore. Whereas the similes and metaphors of v. 15 entail the notions of liquids
or fluids, the simile and metaphor of v. 16a and the non-figurative clause in v. 16b refer
to stiffness, inflexibility, and not being able to move anymore. Both strength and speech
have lost all dynamics: they have drained and become useless.

The final consequence is expressed in v. 16c. The Qal yiqtol תָּשׁפַּתְנִי and the second-
person ‘you’ differ from the previously included first-person ‘I’ and indicate a different
tense and aspect. The yiqtol might express a modal nuance (‘you may commit me to the
dust of death’) or a future or not yet completed event (‘you commit me to the dust of
death’). The verb שפת ‘to set’ occurs five times in the Hebrew Bible and is often collo-
ocated with the preposition of location or direction.25 The place referred to לעפר־מות ‘dust
of death’. The metaphors here are quite common and conventional: DEATH IS (RETURN TO)
DUST AND DEATH IS THE PLACE THE DEITY DIRECTS HUMANS TO.

Both figurative and non-figurative language in v. 16 express (along distinct lines)
the same idea as v. 15. Yet, the movement depicted in v. 16 is opposite to that of v. 15,
because in v. 15, the heart moves from solid to fluid, whereas in v. 16, the transformation
is from flexible to dried out, from dynamic to stiff. Nevertheless, both verses express
the end of a viable existence. Another remarkable contrast between the verses is the use
of metaphors: in v. 16, the projected transformation is imagined in using conventional
conceptual metaphors, while the metaphor in v. 15cd is different and, as will be argued
below, is intentionally and deliberately used with a specific rhetorical function. In order
to prove this statement, a comprehensive conceptual and discursive study of the meta-
phor in v. 15cd will be undertaken.

5. A conceptual analysis of the metaphor ‘my heart is like
wax melted inside me’ in v. 15cd

The simile and metaphor ‘my heart is like wax melted inside me’ in v. 15cd consists
of various components, namely the lexeme לב/לבב ‘heart’ and the lexical construction
מסס + כדוג ‘melting like wax’, which will be analysed separately.

5.1. A conceptual analysis of לב/לבב ‘heart’26

In the classical 20th century approach, represented most prominently by Wolff and Fabry,
to understand the meaning of ‘heart’, ‘mind’, ‘breath’, ‘liver’, and similar concepts,

25. Three times the verb is used without a preposition of place, although it is assumed that ‘on the
fire’ is implied (cf. in English “to put the kettle on”), see: 2 Kg 4.38 and Ezek 24:3 “set a pot [on
the fire]”. In Isa 26:12 “יהוה sets shalom to us” uses the preposition ב of benefit for the Judeans.
Ps 22.16 uses the verb with the preposition ב of direction: “places into the dust of death”.
26. This section on the heart is based on the present author’s study “A Prayer for Purification
Psalm 51.12-14: A pure heart and the verb ברא, Vetus Testamentum 70 (2020) 340-360.
scholars concentrated on the study of the separate anthropological words, such as נפש, בשר, רוח, and לב. One of the conclusions of this research was that לב/לבב ‘heart’ in the Hebrew Bible is not simply a bodily organ, but represents the centre of the human being: לב stands for the inner world in which all kind of thoughts, feelings, emotions, wishes, and reflections are located. At the same time, it was noticed that these faculties were not linked to the heart alone, but also to נפש, ‘vital power’, רוח, ‘breath’, and כבד ‘liver’ and that often these notions are interchangeable. This classical approach became criticized as ‘reduction of anthropology to semantics’, that is to say, as an approach in which one studied words as if their meaning coincides with conceptual meaning. However, the conceptual meaning of words is intimately linked to the world in which people live and to which they refer in their texts and to the way the world is viewed and structured in a culture, to the way the human body is understood, and to views of the individual, the family, the society, the deity/deities, and their relationships. New questions arose, such as: should not the study of לב be embedded in the wider discussion of the self and is it still true that the heart stands for the inner self? If one adheres to the view that ancient Israelites thought of themselves in terms of collectivity and heteronomy and not in terms of individuality and autonomy, does this affect their view of the heart? Three recent studies by Jan Dietrich, David Lambert, and Ed Greenstein have dealt with these kinds of questions and arrived at some new insights.

27. On לב, see: W.H. Schmidt, Anthropologische Begriffe im Alten Testament. Anmerkungen zum hebräischen Denken (EvTh 24 (1964) 374-388); H.W. Wolff, Anthropologie des Alten Testaments (Gütersloh: Gütersloher Verlagshaus 19731, 20027), English translation: Anthropology of the Old Testament, Philadelphia: Fortress; F. Stolz, לב, THAT I (19752) 861-867; R. Lauha, Psychophysischer Sprachgebrauch im Alten Testament: Eine struktur-semantische Analyse von “lev”, “nefesh” und “ruah” (Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae, Dissertationes Humanarum Litterarum 351, Helsinki 1983); H.-J. Fabry, לב, ThWAT 4 (1984) 413-451 (English translation TDOT vol.7, 399-437); B. Janowski, “Mensch” in RGG4 Bd.V (2002) 1057-58; S. Schroer & T. Staubli, Die Körpersymbolik der Bibel (Gütersloh: Gütersloher Verlagshaus 20052); T. Krüger, “Das Herz” in the alttestamentlichen Anthropologie” in A. Wagner (hrsg.), Anthropologische Aufbrüche. Alttestamentliche und interdisziplinäre Zugänge zur historischen Anthropologie (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht 2009), 103-118. On רוח see: M. Dreytza, Der theologische Gebrauch von Ruah im Alten Testament. Eine wort- und satz-semantische Studie (Monographien und Studienbücher 358), Giessen/Basel: Brunnen 19901, 19922, 38; H. Schüling-Staumann, Ruah bewegt die Welt, Stuttgart 1992; A. Krüger, Das Lob des Schöpfers. Studien zu Sprache, Motivik und Theologie von Psalm 104 (WMANT 124), Neukirchen: Neukirchener Verlag, 2010.

28. Wolff, Anthropologie, 29; Lauha, Psychophysischer, 148; Dreytza, Der theologische Gebrauch, 148.

29. See , among others, J. Barr, The Semantics of Biblical Language (Oxford: OUP 1961), and A. Wagner, “Wider die Reduktion des Lebendigen. Über das Verhältnis der sog. anthropologischen Grundbegriffe und die Unmöglichkeit, mit ihnen die alttestamentliche Menschenvorstellung zu fassen”, in A. Wagner (hrsg.), Anthropologische Aufbrüche. Alttestamentliche und interdisziplinäre Zugänge zur historischen Anthropologie, (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht 2009), 183-201.

30. J. Dietrich, “Individualität im Alten Testament, Alten Ägypten und Alten Orient”, in A. Berlejung, J. Dietrich, J.F. Quack (eds.), Menschenbilder und Körperkonzepte im
Jan Dietrich observes that in many texts in the Hebrew Bible, לב is often combined with verbs of hearing, speaking, impression of the senses, and experiences and that the heart is inspired by the outside world rather than by the inner world. Another difference between the ancient view and our modern western (20th and 21st centuries) view of the heart becomes visible as well. Whereas in our culture the ‘inner heart’ is judged positively, in the Hebrew Bible, the ‘inner heart’ is evaluated negatively, while the heart that is guided by the world outside is judged good and social. In the Hebrew Bible, the term לב is used in such a way that it does not have a positive connotation when linked to the inner self, but it is only evaluated positively when it relates the human being to the world outside oneself. This is why in wisdom and prophetic literature, humans are called upon not to withdraw themselves into their inner world as behind walls, but to open their heart and to enter into contact with the world outside.

In another recent study of לב, David Lambert comes to similar conclusions, although he starts his analysis from a different perspective. He criticizes ‘the broad scale translation of biblical words into the terms of individual subjectivity’ and wishes to look behind the modern idealist view of the subjective psyche. Inspired by Vološinov’s conceptualization of the ‘subjective psyche’ as a ‘borderline’, defining the encounter ‘between the organism and the outside the world’, Lambert makes a renewed study of לב and presents a series of examples of לב that mark the boundaries of the self. In his more extensive analysis of Deut. 6.5, he asks, ‘What does it mean that “you shall love יְהוָה your God with all your heart and with all your soul and with all your might” (Deut. 6.5)?’ What is at stake in this passage clearly involves the performance of commandments. In fact, Deut. 6.5 describes a יְהוָה loyalist as someone who is consistently present to יְהוָה and fully committed to the service of the deity. Lambert concludes that this verse may not describe the quality of an ‘inner life’ at all, not something that exists inside or proceeds from an individual, but points to a social relationship, a proximity between two beings, an interrelation of borderlines. Based on his analysis of these and other texts, Lambert proposes to consider ‘heart’ as ‘a rhetorical mode for describing a being in relation to outside forces’.

Alten Israel, in Ägypten und im Alten Orient (Orientalische Religionen in der Antike 9, Tübingen: Mohr-Siebeck 2012), 77-96; D. Lambert, “Refreshing Philology: James Barr, Supersessionism, and the State of Biblical Words”, Biblical Interpretation 24 (2016) 332-356; E. Greenstein, “The Heart as an Organ of Speech in Biblical Hebrew”, paper presented at the SBL-meeting, Boston 2017; to be published as “The Heart as an Organ of Speech in Biblical Hebrew” in Festschrift R.C. Steiner (ed. A. Koller), Yeshiva Univ. Press, Jerusalem, forthcoming.

31. Dietrich, Individualität, 83: “Das Herz des Menschen ist hier im Wesentlichen nicht innen-, sondern außengeleitet. (...) Allerdings verhält es sich keineswegs so, dass das Herz im Alten Testament den Bereich der Innerlichkeit vollständig ausschließen würde.”

32. Dietrich, Individualität, 84: “Der entscheidende Unterschied zur Moderne besteht darin, dass dieses “innerliche Herz” keineswegs positiv gesehen, sondern in einen negativ konnotierten Gegensatz zum außengeleiteten Herzen gesetzt wird. Das außengeleitete Herz ist das gute und soziale, das innengeleitete das egoistische, das Täuschung, Treubruch und Heuchelei erisnt.”

33. Lambert, “Refreshing”, 348.

34. Ibid., 346.
Most recently, Ed Greenstein presented an analysis of the ‘heart’ (לב, לב) as an organ of speech. In the Hebrew Bible, in a number of passages, speech is attributed to the ‘heart’, using a number of verbs that denote vocalization. In these passages, ‘heart’ (לב) is a physical organ in the chest, and Greenstein dismisses therefore the proposal made by Lambert, according to whom the biblical ‘heart’ (לב) is not ‘a discrete entity’ but ‘a rhetorical mode’ that serves as a function rather than a physical location. Of this heart, it is unambiguously said to produce speech, and not only thought. In addition, Greenstein makes a clear distinction between the heart as the organ of speech and the heart as the addressee of speech (‘to speak to one’s heart/to oneself’). In the former, the heart is the subject or agent of speech, whereas in the latter, the heart has an adverbial relationship to a verb of speaking, and the verbum dicendi is predicated of a subject who speaks.

The recent expositions by Dietrich, Lambert, and Greenstein account for aspects in the ancient conceptualization of the heart that have been neglected for a long time. Influenced by modern idealist views and maybe also by Christian views of individual subjectivity, the heart was either seen as an organ or as a (metaphorical) state, but always limited to the inner life of an individual. Their studies demonstrate that the heart is closely related to the outside world, that it represents a contact point or borderline where the outside and the inside world meet. The heart thus figures both as the access point of impressions and insights inspired by the outside world and as the point of departure of actions and speech. It is well summarized by Dietrich:

Vorherrschend ist allerdings nicht das Ideal, dass sich der Mensch in seine Innerlichkeit wie in ein Schutzwall zurückzieht, sondern vielmehr diejenige des immer schon auf die Außenwelt bezogenen ‘innengeleiteten Menschen’. Der hebräische Mensch als vornehmlich relationales Wesen soll sein Vernunft (לב) nicht etwa dazu nutzen, um sich selbst allein zu sein (secum esse) und sich in einer Art Selbstgespräch (secum loqui) den tiefen der eigenen Innerlichkeit zu widmen, sonder um von ihr ausgehend in der Welt entsprechende Worte und Taten folgen zu lassen.

This is visible, for example, in the prayer of Solomon in 1 Kgs. 3.9, where the king petitions God to ‘give your servant a listening heart to judge your people, to distinguish between good and bad’, and in Ps. 86.11 ‘let my heart be undivided to fear your name’. The aim of the improvement of the heart is to act as a better king or judge or to pay complete attention to and fear God. Based on these studies, we can formulate the hypothesis that the heart is conceived as the physical organ in the chest that functions as the contact point between the outside and inside world, where experiences, emotions, and thoughts amalgamate and spring into words and deeds.

35. Greenstein, “The Heart as an Organ of Speech”: ‘When the prophet describes the “heart” within a rib-cage (Hos 13.8) and a maiden asks to be placed over her beloved’s “heart” or bosom like a carved seal (Song 8.6), when the arrow shot by Jehu entered Jehoram in the back and protruded through the heart, in the chest (2 Kings 9.24), when the priest wears the breastplate over his heart, located in the thorax (Exod 28.29–30), when Jeremiah feels a throbbing (רהם) within the “walls of my heart” (Jer 4.19), the heart clearly is a very particular organ within the chest cavity.’

36. Cf. Dietrich, “Individualität,” 87.
Applying these insights to Psalm 22, we discover that the metaphor of the melting of the heart is not a reference to an interior organ as such, but presupposes the heart’s function as the contact point between the outside and inside world. This point of access must remain solid and empowered, precisely because of the attack by outsiders who blame him for putting his trust in yhwh. But, also as a contact point, it needs sufficient support to preserve its own power, and not to melt away.

5.2. A conceptual analysis of ‘דונג + מסס’ ‘melt like wax’

The heart’s comparandum is דונג ‘beeswax’, and this term occurs four times in the Hebrew Bible, namely in Ps. 22.15, 68.3, and 97.5 and Mic. 1.4, and in each case, it is used with מסס (Niphal) ‘to melt’.

In Psalm 68, the simile דונג מסס stands at the beginning of the psalm, in v. 3, and belongs to the prologue to Psalm 68 that introduces its theme: the fact that God consistently acts to put down opponents and gives the righteous reason to rejoice. The opening verse, v. 2a, contains the Qal yiqtol of קום and is followed by a subsequent series of yiqtol forms in vv. 2b–4c that point to a declaration about what God will do in the future:

Psalm 68

(2a) God will arise, (2b) and his enemies shall scatter, (2c) those who are against him shall flee before him;

(3a) You shall disperse them as smoke disperses, (3b) as wax melts before fire, (3c) the wicked shall perish before God;

(4a) but the righteous shall rejoice,

(4b) they shall exult in the presence of God,

(4c) they shall be exceedingly joyful.

The fact that God will disperse his enemies and the nature of this dispersion is clarified by the simile of smoke that disperses. The nature of their perishing is expressed in the simile of the melting of wax by fire. A comparison of the two similes teaches us that in the smoke-simile, only the action of dispersion is mentioned, whereas in the wax-simile, both the action of melting (expressed by Niphal infinitive דונג מסס) and the location ‘from before the fire (מפני אש)’ is compared to the wicked’s perishing ‘from before God (מפני אלהים).’ Hence, in the latter simile, God and the fire are set on one line. The conceptual metaphor and simile presented in Ps. 68.3 are therefore GOD IS FIRE and ENEMIES MELT BEFORE GOD LIKE WAX MELTS BEFORE FIRE.

The next psalm, Psalm 97, opens with a picture of yhwh as absolute sovereign:

Psalm 97

(1a) yhwh reigns, (1b) let the earth exult, (1c) let the many foreign coasts rejoice

(2a) Dense clouds are around him, (2b) righteousness and justice are the base of his throne

(3a) Fire goes before him, (3b) burning his foes on every side
His lightning light up the world, the earth saw and quaked.

Mountains melt like wax from before יָהֳウェָה, from before the lord of all the earth.

Psalm 97 opens in v. 1 with an exclamation: יָהֳウェָה reigns! This action of ruling dominates the entire psalm and activates the conceptual metaphor יָהֳウェָה is king. Verse 5 expresses the same idea with the metaphor יָהֳウェָה is the lord of all the earth. In between these verses, the metaphorical cluster elaborates on the frightening magnificence of יָהֳウェָה’s appearance: fire comes out of him, goes before him, and is burning his foes; his lightning enlighten the earth and make it tremble out of fear. The aspect of fear returns in the subsequent image of the mountains. Such is the overwhelming power of יָהֳウェָה that even the mountains, the most solid and unimpressionable of the earthly phenomena, are envisioned as ‘יהוה מלפני נמסו כדונג’, thus testifying that יָהֳウェָה is the lord of the entire earth indeed. This image of the mountains thus confirms יָהֳウェָה’s absolute sovereignty. In Ps. 97.1–5 his authority and power are the reason for joy as well as fear. The conceptual metaphor and simile represented by Ps. 97.1–5 are יָהֳウェָה is fire and MOUNTAINS MELT BEFORE YHWH LIKE WAX MELTS BEFORE FIRE.

Micah 1.2–4 present an even more severe portrait of יָהֳウェָה as absolute sovereign:

(2a) Listen, all you peoples, (2b) give heed, O earth, and all it holds,
(2c) Let my lord יָהֳウェָה witness against you, my lord from his holy abode.
(3a) For יָהֳウェָה is coming forth from his dwelling place, (3b) down he comes to tread upon earth’s heights.
(4a) The mountains shall melt under him (4b) and the valleys shall burst open like wax before fire, like water cascading down a slope.

The opening chapter of Micah starts in v. 2 with the metaphor יָהֳウェָה is LORD and with his descent from the heavenly abode. The reason for this is explained in vv. 5–7, ‘all this is for the transgressions of Samaria and Judah’. יָהֳウェָה will punish them for their sins and turn their dwellings into ruins. The implied conceptual metaphor is, therefore, יָהֳ웨ָה is JUDGE. In v. 4, three metaphorical elements contribute to a single picture: ‘the mountains shall melt under him’, ‘the valleys shall burst open like wax before fire’, and ‘like water cascading down a slope’. This image of the mountains and valleys confirms יָהֳウェָה’s authority and power, but this time, the text only speaks of awe and fear, not of joy. The melting is the consequence of absolute dread. The conceptual metaphor and simile represented in Mic. 1.3–4 are, therefore, יָהֳウェָה is fire and MOUNTAINS MELT UNDER HIM AND VALLEYS MELT BEFORE YHWH LIKE WAX MELTS BEFORE FIRE.

These occurrences of the lexical construction ‘melting like wax’ in Ps. 68.3, Ps. 97.5, and Mic. 1.4 show a similar train of thought. The melting act is expressed by the Niphal of מָסַס, the melting is associated with fire, and the fire is associated with the magnificent and frightening power of יָהֳウェָה. Because Ps. 22.15 is the only other instance of the melting of wax, it seems plausible to assume that this simile fits into the same general mental framework. In all four instances of the simile and metaphor, the
source domain of ‘the melting of wax before fire’ is mapped on the target domains of ‘mountains, enemies, or the heart before YHWH’, respectively. This cross-domain mapping activates the notion and feeling of awe for the fear-evoking deity. They all represent the following metaphorical cluster:

| Metaphorical cluster of fear of God: metaphors and similes |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| GOD IS FIRE | ENEMIES MELT BEFORE GOD LIKE WAX MELTS BEFORE FIRE | Ps. 68.3 |
| YHWH IS FIRE | MOUNTAINS MELT BEFORE YHWH LIKE WAX MELTS BEFORE FIRE | Ps. 97.1–5 |
| YHWH IS FIRE | MOUNTAINS MELT UNDER HIM AND VALLEYS MELT BEFORE YHWH LIKE WAX MELTS BEFORE FIRE | Mic. 1.3–4 |
| YHWH IS FIRE | THE HEART MELTS BEFORE YHWH LIKE WAX MELTS BEFORE FIRE | Ps. 22.15 |

6. Analysis of the usages of wax and of the metaphor ‘melted like wax’ in ancient Israel and the ancient Near East

The above-described conceptualization of the metaphor assumes that the metaphor as cross-domain mapping is an event that takes place in thought and emotion. Yet, there is more to it. In a world in which wax is unknown or in which mountains do not exist, a metaphor like this could not have originated or functioned. To figure in communication, to play a role in the exchange of notions and ideas, and to be used rhetorically require communication partners who are competent to interact with the proposed and presupposed lines of reasoning, persuasion, and emotion. This explains why two more aspects have to be explored, namely how was wax known and used in ancient Israel, and in which other texts or contexts reference is made to wax and wax melting in ancient Israel or in the ancient Near East.

6.1. Historical framework: wax usages in ancient Israel and the ancient Near East

The context for comparing and understanding the metaphor in Psalm 22 may best be explained by considering comparative examples in Egyptian wall paintings and ancient Near Eastern texts. These examples demonstrate that bees were kept for the large-scale production of wax and honey. However, actual evidence for beekeeping in ancient Israel had not been found before the recent discovery of what appears to be a well-organized apiary at Tel Rehov in the middle Jordan valley in northern Israel.37

The apiary includes circa 30 hives (of 100–200 estimated) that were made as unfired clay cylinders. This apiary was located inside the town, dating to the 10th–early 9th centuries BCE. Because the Hebrew Bible does not mention beekeeping as an agricultural practice, and the term ‘honey’ commonly has been understood as sweet fruit

37. This paragraph on apiary and beekeeping is based on: G. Bloch, A. Mazar and others, “Industrial apiculture in the Jordan valley during Biblical times with Anatolian honeybees”, *PNAS* 2010 (retrieved: www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1003265107).
secretion, the ancient beehives found in Tel Rehov prove that ancient beekeeping was an important and well-developed practice in Israel during the 10th–9th centuries BCE. The location of such a large apiary within an urban area further suggests that the bees and the hive products were valuable and needed to be protected. The honey was a highly prized food ingredient. The beeswax could have been used for a number of functions. The first function to consider is its application for candles. However, in ancient Israel and the ancient Near East, candles were unknown and only oil lamps were used for lighting. The second function frequently mentioned is that beeswax is used for writing tablets. It is indeed known from Assyrian and Babylonian texts that wax tablets were made and used, but no wax tablets have been found in Israel that date before the Hellenistic period. This may point at a later usage of wax for writing purposes or to the possibility that wax tablets were used, but have not survived through time. However, biblical texts never refer to wax as writing material. Another possible function, sometimes suggested, is that wax is used for imprints of seals. The common practice is, however, that seals were rolled on layers of clay; these imprints have been widely found, whereas imprints on wax have not been found. Still, two other options to consider are the function of wax in the so-called lost-wax technique of bronze melting and the reference to wax effigies in treaties. These two options will be briefly discussed.

Copper was mined in the east of the Arabah valley from the Late Bronze Age onwards, and the beeswax could have been used in the metal casting process. The discovery in 1980 of the Nahal Mishmar hoard (10 km south of Ein Gedi) demonstrated that many artefacts made of copper or copper alloys showed skillful craftsmanship and technological sophistication and that these items must have been of local production. A distinction can be made between simple working tools (axes, adzes, awls, etc.), made of nearly pure copper by open casting, and elaborate items (maceheads, standards, crowns, etc.), made by the ‘lost-wax technique’ in which the melting of wax is an essential element. Although many copper artefacts were

38. Judg. 14.8–9, 18 and 1 Sam 14.25–27, 29, 43 refer to the honey of bees using the word ‘דבש; they do not mention beeswax.

39. M. Serpico: “Natural Product Technology in New Kingdom Egypt”, in: J. Bourriau and J. Phillips (eds.), Invention and Innovation. The Social Context of Technological Change 2: Egypt, the Aegean and the Near East, 1650-1150 BC, Oxford: Oxbow Books, 2004: 96–120, 103: “Honey was extracted by placing combs into bags, crushing them and then letting the honey run out. The drained combs can then be melted to produce the wax. This can be done over a direct heat, but is better done in water where the wax can be skimmed off as it hardens.”

40. See, e.g., the reference in 1 Kings 7.46 to the bronze production that took place in the Jordan valley and is mentioned in relation to bronze vessels in Solomon’s temple in Jerusalem.

41. In the traditional process of the lost-wax technique, a figure is first roughly modeled in clay. Next a model of the planned metal artifact is formed using beeswax mixed with tree resin over the core. Then a mold is created over the wax model by various layers of finer clay. When it has dried, the mold is heated until the wax melts and can be poured out. The molten metal is then poured into the mold using a crucible. When the latter mold is carefully chiseled off, it reproduces each detail of the original wax. See: Y. Goren, “Gods, Caves, and Scholars. Chalcolithic Cult and Metallurgy in the Judean Desert,” Near Eastern Archaeology 77.4 (2014): 260–66.
used in the first millennium BCE and found in archaeological digs, it is question-
able whether scribes, redactors, priests, court officials, and the like (in other words, 
those involved in producing biblical documents) had knowledge of this technique. 
Ethnographic data from metal-producing societies indicate that metallurgy was fre-
quently practised by small groups of men in isolated locations. Therefore, it seems 
unlikely that this technique was widely known.

6.2. Ancient Near Eastern texts referring to the melting of wax

The last option to consider are the Assyrian, Levantine, Hittite, and Greek treaty texts in 
which wax figurines are mentioned in pledges of loyalty to the suzerain. In an extensive 
study, Christopher Faraone demonstrated how molten wax figures were used in the 
eastern Mediterranean basin in the first millennium BCE in three contexts, namely inter-
national treaties, special pledges of loyalty, and testamentary oaths sworn by individual 
litigants. Wax effigies appear in the context of oaths sworn over international treaties, 
in which the breaking of the pledge of loyalty is imagined by the destruction of the wax 
figures. References to these practices are found in many texts, of which the following are 
generally considered the most important:

- The Sefire Inscriptions, Aramaic texts from the mid 8th-century BCE (found near 
  Aleppo), are treaties between two minor kings, Barga’yah and Matti’el, living on the 
  southwestern periphery of the Neo-Assyrian empire. The latter swears to the dire 
  consequences which will befall him and his cities if he should violate the stipulations 
  of the treaty:

  As this wax is consumed by fire, thus Ma[tti’el] shall be consumed b[y fi]re.
  As this bow and these arrows are broken, thus Inurta and Hada (= names of local deities) 
  shall break [the bow of Matti’el] and the bow of his nobles.
  As a man of wax is blinded, thus Matti’el shall be blinded.
  [As] this calf is cut up, thus Matti’el and his nobles shall be cut up.

- The 8th- and 7th-century loyalty oaths imposed by Assyrian kings on other less powerful 
  monarchs of the Levant. The so-called ‘vassal treaties’ of Esarhaddon (680–669 BCE) 

42. Goren, “Gods, Caves”, 264.
43. C.A. Faraone, “Molten Wax, Spilt Wine and Mutilated Animals: Sympathetic Magic in Near 
    Eastern and Early Greek Oath Ceremonies”, Journal of Hellenic Studies 113 (1993): 60–80. 
    The information in this section is, unless stated otherwise, based on this study.
44. The translation is by F. Rosenthal, ANET, pp. 659–60. For dating, detailed discussion and 
    bibliography, see: J.A. Fitzmyer, The Aramaic Inscriptions of Sefire (Biblica et Orientalia xix 
    Rome 1967, reprint 1988) 52–58; A. Lemaire & J.-M. Durand, Les inscriptions araméennes 
    de Sfiré et l’Assyrie de Shamshi-ulu (Geneva 1984), and S. Parpola & K. Watanabe, State 
    archives of Assyria ii: Neo-Assyrian Treaties and Loyalty Oaths (Helsinki 1988) xxvii–xxviii.
45. At present discussion continues regarding whether adê or Assyrian treaty texts are vassal trea-
    ties or parity treaties. In the former, the documents are loyalty oaths, whereas the latter (the 
    proper vassal treaties) would contain explicit demands for full devotion and loyalty to a named 
    suzerain, followed by a set of provisions and demands. See for a discussion: A. Altman, “What 
    Kind of Treaty Tradition Do the Sefire Inscriptions Represent?”, in M. Cogan & D. Kahn (eds.),
close with a series of more than 70 curses, including one which specifies the destruction of wax and clay effigies (line 89): 46

Just as one burns a wax figure in fire, dissolves a clay one in water, so may they burn your figures in fire, submerge it in water.

- Assyrian incantation series *Maqlū*, lit. ‘Burning [Rituals]’, offers abundant testimony for the rite of burning effigies of demons, ghosts, and living human enemies, for example, *Maqlū* 2.146–157. 47

Just as these figurines melt, run and flow away, so may sorcerer and sorceress melt, run and flow away.

- Cyrenean Foundation Decree describes the oath of the 7th-century Theran colonists who founded the city of Cyrene in Libya:

They moulded wax images and burnt them while they uttered the following imprecation, all of them, having come together, men and women, boys and girls: ‘May he, who does not abide by this agreement but transgresses it, melt away and dissolve like the images, himself, his seed, and his property’. 48

- The manipulation or destruction of wax in oath rituals is not limited to Assyria and the Levant. A Hittite military oath appears to enact a very similar series of destructive acts which will befall the soldier who breaks the pledge of loyalty to the Hittite king. Among them is the following: 49

Then he throws wax and mutton fat [on a pan] and says: ‘Just as this wax melts, and just as this mutton fat dissolves, whoever breaks these oaths, [shows disrespect to the king] of the Hatti [land], let him melt like[e wax], let him dissolve like [mutton fat]’.

This short survey of Levantine, Assyrian, Greek, and Hittite texts has clarified how wax figures are often mentioned in connection with loyalty oaths and sympathetic rituals and how the breaking of such loyalty bonds are represented by the destruction or melting of wax effigies. It is reasonable to take up the Aramaic and Neo-Assyrian documents (not the Hittite and Greek documents, due to distance from Israel in time and place) as reflections of covenantal practices and logics in ancient Israel and Judah. This is even more plausible, because it is well known that from the 8th century onwards, the Northern Kingdom of Israel was occupied by the Assyrians and that from the 7th century, Judah was forced to enter into an *adê*-treaty with the Assyrians. So, the Judean scribes would certainly have known about this type of covenant.

---

46. A. Lemaire & J.-M. Durand and S.Parpola & K. Watanabe argue plausibly that the Aramaic treaty is in fact a copy of an extant Akkadian treaty between the same Matti’el (Mat’î’ilu in Akkadian) and the Assyrian king Assumerari V.

47. For an edition of *Maqlū*, see G. Meier, *Die assyrische Beschworungssammlung Maqlū*, Archiv für Orientforschung Beiheft ii, Berlin, 1937.

48. The translation is by C.A. Faraone, “Molten Wax”, 61.

49. *Kbo* VI 34.40-rev.5. It is a ‘New Script’ copy (1350-1200 BCE) of a Middle Hittite (1450-1350 BCE) text. Translation by A. Goetze, *ANET*, 353.
7. The metaphor ‘my heart is like wax melted inside me’ in Ps. 22.15

A discussion of the other attestations of ‘melting like wax’ in Ps. 68.3, 97.5, and Mic. 1.4 demonstrated that they all figure in the framework of fear, or even angst, before the absolute king, YHWH: like wax melts before fire, so do mountains and people melt before YHWH. Because Ps. 22.15 is the only other instance of this metaphor and simile, we can safely conclude that a similar context is expressed. The activated conceptual metaphors are, therefore, YHWH IS FIRE and PEOPLE MELT BEFORE YHWH LIKE WAX MELTS BEFORE FIRE.

Various components confirm that it is a deliberately used metaphor, intentionally used to convey a certain meaning. In the context of the psalm, and in the immediately preceding verses (vv. 7–8 and 13–14) in which the human opponents scorn, menace, and frighten him to death, the psalmist is focused on the human foes, but by using this metaphor, the psalmist directs the addressee to change his or her focus from the human enemies to God: the psalmist’s human foes are not the biggest threat, rather YHWH is. This explains why the Complaint and Petition are addressed to the deity and not to the enemies.

The study of the historical and communicative context taught us that wax was at the time available in ancient Israel and that in ancient Near Eastern covenantal texts, international treaties, and oaths of loyalty, wax effigies played an important role. A recurrent phrase in these texts is ‘As this wax is consumed by fire, thus [name of person under oath] shall be consumed by fire’, in which the wax effigy represents the partner in the covenant with the suzerain: when he breaks the covenant, he will melt before the fire like before the fire of the suzerain’s power. This may also explain the usage of the wax-simile in Ps. 22.15. In it, the breaking of the covenant or ‘de-covenantilisation’ is also represented by the melting of wax. YHWH is the suzerain in the covenant who demands that his partners act correctly. The deliberately used metaphor thus confirms YHWH’s absolute sovereignty and stresses his important role in the covenant with his people in distress.

Because ‘My heart is like wax melted inside me’ is such an unusual simile plus metaphor, and unique to the Hebrew Bible, we can assume it is intentionally used. By using the term ‘heart’, the speaker depicts the relation that pertains to his being as a partner in the covenant and the outside world. It is very similar to the role of the wax effigies in the treaty texts or loyalty oaths: there, the effigies represented the covenant party, whereas here the heart represents the covenant party over and against the more powerful partner. Thus, the psalmist claims that he can stand up against enemy forces that would determine his actions, but that he cannot do so without the help of his suzerain. He offers a plea to YHWH to keep his covenant, to come, and to intervene. It is neither the humility nor dehumanization that vv. 13–22 express which frighten the speaker most, but rather being thrown out of the covenant. Thus, this metaphor figures in the metaphorical cluster of the covenant and stands out against the general frame of thought widespread in the Hebrew Bible. In it, the overwhelming power of YHWH is expressed in terms of smoke and storm, earthquakes and water storms, dark clouds and hail, mountains rumbling or shaking, lightning and thunder, and fire coming out of YHWH’s mouth or nostrils.50

The metaphorical cluster can be summarized as follows:

---

50. Among others, in Exod 19; Deut 33.2–3; Judg 5.4–5; Ps 18.8–16; 77.16–19; Nah 1.2–5; Hab 3.3–4.
Similes

THE HEART IS LIKE WAX

Metonyms

THE HEART IS THE CONTACT POINT

Metaphors

YHWH IS FIRE (layer 1)

PEOPLE MELT BEFORE YHWH LIKE WAX MELTS BEFORE FIRE

THE HEART IS THE COVENANT PARTNER

THE MELTING OF THE HEART IS LOSING ONE’S IDENTITY AS YHWH’S COVENANTAL PARTNER (layer 2)

THE HEART MELTS BEFORE YHWH LIKE WAX MELTS BEFORE FIRE

In the discourse event of Psalm 22, that is, in the entire poem, the lexeme לב/לבב is used twice: in the middle of the psalm in v. 15 in reference to the individual heart and at the end of the psalm in v. 27 in reference to the community members’ heart (לבבכם).

In v. 27, the ‘heart’ is included in a compound sentence: ‘Let those who seek YHWH praise him and your hearts will live forever’ (יחי לבבכם לעד).

The shift from the melting of the individual heart (לב) in v. 15 to the eternal living of the community members’ heart (לבבכם) in v. 27 marks the reversal of the condition of the desperate speaker who is afraid to lose contact with YHWH and to be thrown out of the covenant, into a prominent member of the covenantal community of faith. In fact, this shift exemplifies what the covenant actually entails, namely that an individual who lives in isolation from YHWH and from the community is melting away. This explains the unique word combination in ‘the heart is like wax melted within me’. The heart that is closed in, that does not function as the contact point with the outside world, the overlord, and the community, is inevitably losing its substance. It is not able to function anymore as an access point for the covenantal partners. Thus, in the end, the heart is not only an individual organ, but an entity that is communal through and through. It only vibrates when in contact with YHWH and the community of Israel. In addition, the readers of this message are equally incited not to live in their own depressed inner world, but to align their views and habits of living in interaction with this proposal. They are evoked to follow the psalmist’s example and direct their gaze to the community of faith.
8. Conclusion

The study of various metaphorical clusters in Psalm 22 allows us to discuss the different types of metaphors and their distinct communicative functions. The first metaphorical cluster of ‘humans and animals’ is built on the metaphor HUMANS ARE ANIMALS, which in itself is rather common. However, this metaphor is elaborated in vv. 2–22 into more specific metaphors, namely I AM A WORM, HUMAN ENEMIES ARE BULLS, HUMAN ENEMIES ARE DOGS, and HUMAN ENEMIES ARE BULLS AND DOGS THAT ACT LIKE LIONS. These metaphors appear to be deliberately used to express the psalmist’s feelings of insignificance and threat.

The second metaphorical cluster of ‘human body parts and non-animate entities’ is a prime example of how layers of similes and metaphors build upon each other to form a complex network of metaphors, in which the whole is more than the sum of its parts. The starting points are the similes in vv. 15–16 ‘like water’, ‘like wax’, and ‘like a pot’, which are the linguistic signals for readers to shift from source domain to target domain: the fluids are mapped onto the solid body parts, and the inflexibility of a pot is mapped onto the body as a whole. Both opposing movements, from solid to liquid and from dynamic to inflexible, express the same conceptual idea, namely devastation and loss. The content of these emotions are further expanded through conventional conceptual metaphors, such as THE HUMAN BODY IS A LIQUID AND THE BODY IS A CONTAINER, and through slightly newer metaphors, namely THE BODY IS A VESSEL OF EARTHENWARE and STRENGTH IS THE CONTENTS OF A VESSEL. Yet, the full and new content which the text receives comes from the innovative metaphor THE HEART IS LIKE WAX THAT MELTED WITHIN ME. This metaphor is deliberately used to convey a specific, discourse event–based meaning.

The third metaphorical cluster of ‘the fear of God in combination with the melting of wax’ is linguistically signalled by the word combination כדוגמת מסס ‘melting like wax’. This collocation occurs in Ps. 22.15, 68.3, 97.5, and in Mic. 1.4, where it marks the introduction of the new source domain ‘fire’ and its link to the deity. It is based on the conceptual metaphor YHWH IS FIRE. However, built upon this conceptual layer is another metaphor, namely PEOPLE MELT BEFORE YHWH LIKE WAX MELTS BEFORE FIRE. Used in the context of Ps. 22.15, this deliberate metaphor demands from the addressee to switch from the perspective of the enemies to that of YHWH. It is a perspective changer. Human enemies are not the criterion for behaviour or emotion, but the suzerain: this overlord demands that his covenant partners act according to his rules. This deliberate metaphor thus confirms YHWH’s absolute sovereignty. In Ps. 22.13-17, his authority and power are the reason for fear, because his is a flaming and destructive power.

Taken together, the conventional, conceptual, and innovative metaphors in vv. 15–16 that relate the human body to inanimate entities represent the end of a metaphorical trajectory along which the speaker’s misery is imagined. In addition, the reader is invited to align his own feelings along the same trajectory. It starts in v. 15 with the pouring out of the body’s liquid material and the disjunction of the bones, via the melting of the heart like wax and the drying up of the strength till, in the end, nothing remains but ashes. Again in v. 16b, it is YHWH who is the lord of life and death, who is to decide whether the trajectory of the drying out of the speaker’s vigour will end in the dust of death, or not. This also explains why this section of the psalm ends in vv. 20–22 with an emotional appeal directly addressed to YHWH. He is asked not to stay far off, but to actively intervene. Once more, reference is made to the HUMAN ARE ANIMALS metaphor. However, this
time יְהוָה is petitioned to deliver the speaker from his human enemies and their dog-like clutches, lion-like mouths, and bull-like horns, because he is the only one who might come to help the petitioner.

After this section, comes the last part of the psalm (vv. 23–32) with a public declaration of praise and thanksgiving, both by the speaker and the descendants of Jacob who testify to their loyalty to יְהוָה. But then, at the end of the psalm, another texture in this metaphorical network becomes visible: the return of the term לב/לבב. It shows that the psalm is not just one person’s call for justice, not only an individual’s plea to יְהוָה to come and help him. In the end, the heart metonymically represents all descendants of Jacob. Seen against the cognitive framework of ancient Israel in which the heart is not conceptualized as a biological organ of emotion or thought, but as the contact point with the outside world, an inward-bound heart is judged negatively. This is what ‘the heart is like wax melted within me’ means: the heart enclosed within the body and melted like wax before fire has to transform itself and interact with or form one whole with the other members of the community of faith. Only in contact with his fellow covenant partners, in their communal praise and respect for יְהוָה, will the psalmist and the addressees find relief. That is, in the end, the rhetorical strategy of this text: across a trajectory of threatening images of fear-evoking human enemies, the psalmist arrives at the fear- and awe-evoking deity, an image that turns out to be much more imposing for the psalm’s readers than that of their human enemies. In Psalm 22, non-figurative language and figurative language (metonyms, similes, and different types of metaphors) together create a discursive network, in which the deliberate metaphor of ‘the heart is wax melted within me’ is the most eye opening metaphor. This perspective-changing metaphor is not immediately discernible as an easy comparison (‘a = b’), but proceeds from and builds progressively on previously introduced conceptual metaphors. In fact, this deliberately used metaphor opens our eyes to new dimensions, never imagined before.