Investigation of light non-aqueous phase liquid penetration in double-porosity using physical experiment and computer simulation
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Abstract. Groundwater resources benefits to human activity for developing country. Groundwater contamination is crucial, particularly due to the amount of leakage and spillage of hydrocarbon liquids such as light non-aqueous phase liquids (LNAPLs), resulting in contaminated the groundwater and unsafe for domestic and agriculture activities. Penetration of hydrocarbon liquids into groundwater can be seen through double-porosity soil. Therefore, this paper investigates the penetration of LNAPLs in double-porosity soil using computer modelling to calibrate and validate from physical experiment data. These computer modelling and physical experiment studies discuss the pattern and rate of LNAPL penetrations by employing PetraSim commercial software and digital image processing technique (DIPT) by using acrylic glass cylinder, mirror and Nikon D90 digital camera. The LNAPL volume of 70 ml and 150 ml were poured instantaneously onto the surface of soil sample for calibration and validation purposes. The penetration pattern in double-porosity were monitored and recorded using digital camera at pre-determine time intervals. The images were processed using Surfer software and Matlab routine to plot the LNAPL penetration pattern. PetraSim simulation was used to calibrate and validate the penetration of LNAPL through double-porosity soil with physical experiment data. As a result, the PetraSim results valid with the physical experiment results. The Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency results more than 0.50 with percentage of differences for calibration and validation are 1.34% and 5.47% between physical experiment and PetraSim simulation. As a conclusion, PetraSim simulation can be used for further investigation on LNAPL penetration through subsurface soil.

1. Introduction

Groundwater is the major source of water supply either for domestics, industrials or agricultures. This resource is increased tremendously due to civilization grew rapidly. Groundwater contaminations by petroleum hydrocarbon one of the critical issues in geo-environmental and these issues reducing the quality and quantity of groundwater as shown in figure 1 [1]. The contamination is not easily detected.
and caused serious problems, even more dangerous [2]. The contaminations would penetrate through the subsurface system (i.e., saturated and unsaturated zone) whereas the soil consists of double-porosity media [3]. Double-porosity media shows two specific scales of porosity medium and size of pore distribution that focussing on inter-aggregated pores and intra-aggregate pores in soil such as in agricultural and compacted soil [4, 5]. Characteristics of double-porosity medium can be re-created in one-dimensional infiltration of experiment test [6, 7]. Moreover, the researchers [8 – 15] have started immerge and contributed to deeper knowledge on physical laboratory in double-porosity soil’s characteristic. The only feasible alternative is to construct a physical experiment and computer modelling simulation due to cost issue and time consuming rather than full-scale experiment. The constructed model can reasonably describe the behaviour of full-scale experiment system meanwhile the computer modelling simulation able to simulate the experimental parameter with boundary condition. Based on Agaoglu et al. [16], experiments involve non-invasive imaging techniques which used observation and characterization of systems for bigger precision. The groundwater modelling is used for prediction of fluid flow system in future such as management water resources, remediation and protection on quality and quantity of groundwater resources. Computer modelling is needed because original situation usually cannot be studied in laboratory scale physical models. Thus, in order to provide geo-environment sustainability, attention and focus towards liquid leakages in subsurface is needed. Therefore, this paper discusses the penetration rate of LNAPL through the double-porosity soil and validates the penetration rate by using PetraSim simulation.

![Figure 1. Leakage NAPL from underground storage gas station [17].](image)

2. Physical experiment and computer modelling theory

Computer modelling was used to identify the validity of physical experiment result. Groundwater modelling can be used to simulate the penetration rate of LNAPL through the double-porosity, after calibration and validation have been done on computer modelling respect to results of physical experiment. In physical experiment, it is important to understand fluid flow in porous media structure. There are two types of soil structure; single porosity and double-porosity. Single porosity is defined as the whole structure of soil have a similar porosity scales, meanwhile the double-porosity is defined as the structure of soil with two different scales of porosity in the natural state [4]. According to Black [18], the first oil drilled was named Drake Discovery Well in Pennsylvania and double-porosity soil concept was found. The studies on fluid flow on double-porosity was conducted by Loke et al. [9], Rahman and Loke [10], Abd Rashid et al. [12], Abd Rashid et al. [13], Abd Rashid et al. [14], Abd Rashid et al. [15] and Loke et al. [19]. Based on the previous researchers [20 – 23] had revealed the penetration of NAPL can be investigated using digital image processing technique (DIPT) as a non-invasive method. According to Agaoglu et al. [16], non-invasive imaging methods are one of experimental with technologies to determine the NAPL saturation and pattern in subsurface system. The determination of NAPL saturation and pattern with different phases on laboratory studies using image processing technique are the cheaper, simple and powerful method [24 – 27].
Validation for physical experimental results was used using computer modelling. Computer modelling becomes more imperative especially in groundwater flow and transport including planning processes, government policy making and groundwater management [28 – 33]. It will help to understand the multiphase behaviour based on its mechanisms and procedures of groundwater system. Furthermore, the modelling help for engineering designs, regulations, screening and planning alternative policies improvement for cost-effective support in water resources protection and restoration studies [30, 34 – 37]. It is essential to analyse the contamination problem that incorporated with hydrologic parameters and overall the quantity of input data are needed from laboratory studies, field investigations and literature review. The determination of NAPL flow with different phases on numerical studies using multi-phase system on isothermal or non-isothermal condition in 1-Dimensional to 2-Dimensional with deformable structure of soil are the most researchers used in numerical studies [8, 22, 38, 39]. The best groundwater modelling for multiphase system with unsaturated condition is Tough2 computer modelling [40]. The Tough2 simulator [41] is widely used for the numerical simulation of many types of subsurface flow and transport problems, including modelling geothermal and other reservoirs.

3. Material and methods
This section discusses the materials and methodology adopted in this study. The flow chart of the research methodology is presented as shown in figure 2. The methodology will cover the soil investigation and preparation, digital image acquisition setup and procedure, and computer modelling. The penetration pattern of LNAPL in experimental and computer modelling are briefly discussed in the following subsequent sections.

![Figure 2. Research methodology flowchart.](image)

3.1. Soil investigation and preparation
Laterite soil was collected at latitude 1°33´39´´N and longitude 103°38´44´´E at School of Electrical, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai, Johor, Malaysia. The laterite soil was obtained about 1 meter below the ground surface to prevent from weathered soil and dried soil sample collected. The laboratory soil characteristics properties results were based on the British Standard BS1377-1:1990 and BS1377-2:1990. According to table 1, the natural moisture content, optimum moisture content, maximum dry density, liquid limit plastic limit, and plasticity index were 33.29% 31%, 30.97%, 1.20 Kg/m³, 66.17%, and 32.88%, respectively.
Table 1. Laterite soil characteristics.

| Characteristics                      | Value     |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| Natural Moisture Content             | 31 %      |
| Optimum Moisture Content             | 30.97 %   |
| Maximum Dry Density                  | 1.20 Kg/m³|
| Liquid Limit                         | 66.17 %   |
| Plastic Limit                        | 32.88 %   |
| Plasticity Index                     | 33.29 %   |
| USCS Classification (Fine Fraction)  | CH        |

The soil samples were prepared as double-porosity soil [7] as shown in figure 3. The dried laterite soil was mixed with 25% of water content and was kept in zip lock plastic bag at room conditions to prevent the evaporation of the moisture. The soil sample was kept with minimum of 24 hours for curing process. The soil sample that passed through the 2.36mm sieve was then placed in the acrylic glass cylinder. The soil sample in acrylic glass cylinder was compressed until it reached a height of 100mm using a simple compression machine. Figure 3 display double-porosity laterite soil from side and plan view. Figure 3 (a) shows the side view while figure 3 (b) shows the plan view of double-porosity soil. The soil sample shows the distinctive of laterite granules and the finer inter-aggregated pores. The distinctive of granules and finer-aggregated pores have a similar structure with previous researcher [11].

3.2. Digital image acquisition setup and procedure

The physical experimental setup was developed to accomplish the objectives of this study effectively. Figure 4 displays the flowchart of physical experimental setup. Dimensions of 300mm high x 100mm outer and 94mm inner diameter designed for acrylic cylinder glass with a sealed base was used to execute the experiment. The experiment was first setup at 23ºC room temperature as shown in figure 5(a). The double-porosity laterite soil was prepared as mentioned in Section 3.1. Acrylic glass cylinder was used to store the circular soil for monitoring and measurement of LNAPL penetration through the whole area of circular soil. Gridlines of printed plane paper was sheathed onto the acrylic glass cylinder with gridlines 30mm x 30mm as shown in figure 5(b). The gridlines were used for DIPT to control the accuracy of measurement when reference image was taken. The calibration and validation were used 70 ml and 150 ml volume of LNAPL that poured instantaneously on top of soil sample.
3.3. Computer modelling

Understanding remediation and penetration process of contaminant in groundwater system based on numerical modelling as a basic and fundamental knowledge has become an important tool due to developing of technologies [42]. PetraSim software was selected and in order to verify the calibration and validation on the physical experiments. This software allows everyone to understand the parameter and analysis of domain by automatically discretized the model grid or mesh in fully 3-D views [43]. Development of existing software in multiphase flow for TOUGH family code become more demanding and interesting especially in simulation coupled multiphase flow [44]. The rate of penetration for 70 ml LNAPL volume was selected to calibrate the PetraSim simulation and 150 ml LNAPL volume was selected to validate the physical experiment. Figure 6 shows the computer modelling flowchart analysis.

![Flowchart](image-url)

**Figure 6.** PetraSim simulation flowchart analysis.
4. Results and analysis

4.1. Physical experiment and PetraSim simulation penetration pattern of LNAPL through double-porosity soil results and analysis

According to Loke [11], the flow of dyed LNAPL was consistently penetrated downward toward double-porosity soil. It is observed, the pattern of LNAPL were horizontal x-axis line through the soil sample as the double-porosity soil was homogenous as shown in figure 7; experiment 1 (a) and experiment 1 (b), respectively. The circular soil column is unfolded to a flat 2D pattern plot, indicated the x-axis represents the column circumference and the y-axis is the depth of soil. The creation of pattern plotting were selected and used due to reddish soils’ colour and red dyed toluene affect the contour plot analysis. In experiment 1 (a), pattern plot at 3, 45, 90 and 116 seconds, were selected for 150 ml LNAPL volume and for experiment 1 (b), the selected pattern plot at 3, 30, 45, 430 seconds for 70 ml LNAPL volume. It is observed that the dyed LNAPL penetration took 116 seconds minutes and 430 seconds to reach the bottom of soil sample for experiments 1 (a) and 1 (b). Penetration of LNAPL penetration through acrylic glass cylinder in experiment 1(a) was faster than experiment 1(b) due to amount of LNAPL volume poured on top of double-porosity soil. Capillary pressure affects the LNAPL penetration to seeping through the double-porosity soil. The capillary pressure onto double-porosity soil increased when the amount of LNAPL volume increased [11, 22, 45]. It is observed that the LNAPL has penetrated roughly more than half of the soil sample depth within 30 seconds.

![Diagram of penetration pattern](image)

**Figure 7.** Penetration pattern of LNAPL through double-porosity soil for 150 ml LNAPL volume; Experiment 1(a) and 70 ml LNAPL volume; Experiment 1(b).
The LNAPL flow fully penetrated through the double-porosity laterite soil until to the bottom of soil sample for both physical experimental and PetraSim simulation. Based on the Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency for calibration and validation, the results are 0.84 and 0.51 which are more than 0.50 indicates as good calibration and validation [46, 47]. According to table 2, the differences percentage for experimental and computer simulation is less than 10%. The PetraSim software were calibrated and validated using 70 ml and 150 LNAPL volume of physical experiments that penetrated through the double-porosity soil. Calibration percentage differences between physical and PetraSim software is 1.34% meanwhile validation percentage differences is 5.47%; the percentage differences still in range; good and acceptable [48]. As results, the difference between the physical experiment and PetraSim software are quite negligible and accepted which shows that there is design conformity as presented in the calibration and validation curve as shown in figure 8 and figure 9. Based on table 2, it presents the comparison of results between calibration and validation of physical experiment and PetraSim software. Therefore, it is significant to justify the penetrations of LNAPL through the double-porosity laterite soil at field site for long term remediation and protection in order to check if the LNAPL would travel faster or slower.

![Figure 8](image1.png)

**Figure 8.** Calibration graph for PetraSim software respect to physical experiment using 70 ml LNAPL volume penetration rate.

![Figure 9](image2.png)

**Figure 9.** Validation graph for PetraSim software respect to physical experiment using 150 ml LNAPL volume penetration rate.
Table 2. Calibration and Validation of Penetration for Physical Experiment and PetraSim.

| Parameter | Physical Experiment | PetraSim Software | Percentage Difference (%) |
|-----------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| 25% moisture content; 70 ml LNAPL volume; (mm/s); Calibration | 0.74 | 0.75 | 1.34 |
| 25% moisture content; 150 ml LNAPL volume; (mm/s); Validation | 1.69 | 1.60 | 5.47 |

5. Conclusion
In conclusion, the LNAPL penetrate faster in double-porosity soil with bigger volume LNAPL compared to lesser LNAPL volume. The rate of penetration was 1.69 mm/s and 0.74 mm/s for experiment 1 (a); 150 ml and experiment 1 (b); 70 ml, respectively. The penetration rate is influenced by the capillary pressure on surface of soil due to amount of LNAPL volume used. Increasing of capillary pressure was affected by the increasing of LNAPL amount that poured on top of soil surface. Furthermore, the physical experiment was calibrated and validated using PetraSim software. The calibration and validation display the Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency are 0.84 and 0.51 with percentage different of physical experiment and PetraSim software are 1.34% and 5.47%, respectively. PetraSim software is compatible and easy to be used for further investigation on remediation and protection of groundwater quality from contaminants (i.e. leakage or spillage of petrol tank), and prediction for long term environmental issues.

Acknowledgement
This study was supported by the Research Management Centre (RMC), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia under the Research University Grant – Tier 1 vote number Q.J130000.2522.20H53 and the Fundamental Research Grant under MOHE vote number R.J130000.7822.4F894. The authors would like to thank their respective Universities, Geotechnical Laboratory, Hydraulic Laboratory and Engineering Seismology and Earthquake Engineering Research Group (eSEER), School of Civil, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for the cooperation given for this research. Highest appreciation is also expressed towards the Kings’ Scholarship and UTMLead for supporting this research study and all whom were involved either directly or indirectly.

References
[1] Madsen J H and Jensen K H 1992 J. Hydrol. 135(1–4) 13–52
[2] Ramli M H 2014 Dynamic effects on migration of light non-aqueous phase liquids in subsurface (Kyoto University: Doctor of Philosophy Thesis)
[3] Haws N W, Das B S and Rao P S C 2004 J. Contam. Hydrol., 75(3–4) 257–280
[4] Carminati A, Kaestner A, Lehmann P and Flühler H 2008 Adv. Water Resour. 31(9) 1221–1232.
[5] Li X and Zhang L M 2009 Can. Geotech. J., 46(2) 129–141
[6] Lewandowska J, Szymkiewicz A, Gorczewska W and Vauclin M 2005 Water Resour. Res. 41(2) 1–14
[7] Bagherieh A R, Khalili N and Gahramani A 2009 Eng. Geol. 105(1–2) 44–50
[8] Alaziaza M Y D, Ngien S K, Bob M M, Kamaruddin S A and Faizal Ishaq W M 2016 MATEC Web Conf. 47 03023.
[9] Loke K F, Rahman N A and Ramli M Z 2016 Environ Sci 222(3) 207–222
[10] Rahman N A and Loke K F 2017 IPTEK J. Proc. Ser. 3(6)
[11] Loke K F 2019 Migration of aqueous and non-aqueous phase liquids in deformable double-porosity subsurface under vibrated effect (Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Doctor Philosophy Thesis)

[12] Abd Rashid M F, Alias N, Ahmad K, Ramli M Z and Ibrahim Z 2019 IOP C Ser Earth Env 220(1) 1–11

[13] Abd Rashid M F, Alias N, Ahmad K, Ramli M Z and Ibrahim Z 2019 MATEC Web Conf. 255 1–6

[14] Abd Rashid M F, Alias N, Ahmad K, Sa’Ari R, Ramli M Z and Ibrahim Z 2019 IOP Conf Ser-Mat Sci 527(1) 1–10

[15] Abd Rashid M F et al. 2020 IOP C Ser Earth Env 476(012110) 1–11

[16] Agaoglu B, Copty N K, Scheytt T and Hinkelmann R 2015 Adv. Water Resour. 79 162–194

[17] Sorg L 2016 Little Money for Leaking Underground Tanks | Coastal Review Online Retrieved June 21, 2020, from https://www.coastalreview.org/2016/07/15371/

[18] Black B 1998 Environ. Hist. Durh. N. C. 3(2) 210–229

[19] Loke K F, Abd Rahman N, Nazir R and Lewis R W 2018 Geol. Croat. 71(2) 55–63

[20] Darnault C J G, Throop J A, Dicarlo A D, Rimmer A, Steenhuis TS and Parlange JY 1998 J. Contam. Hydro. 31(3–4) 337–348

[21] Bob M M, Brooks M C, Mravik S C and Wood A L 2008 Adv. Water Resour. 31(5) 727–742

[22] Sa’ari R et al. 2015 J. Teknol. 72(3) 23–29

[23] Zheng F, Gao Y, Sun Y, Shi X, Xu H and Wu J 2015 Hydrogeol. J. 23(8) 1703–1718

[24] Schincariol R A, Herderick E E and Schwartz F W 1993 J. Contam. Hydro. 12(3) 197–215

[25] Van Geel P J and Sykes J F 1994 J. Contam. Hydro. 17(1) 1–25

[26] Simantiraki F, Aivalioti M and Gidarakos E 2009 Desalination, 248(1–3) 705–715

[27] Luciano A, Viotti P and Papini M P 2010 J. Hazard. Mater. 176(1–3) 1006–1017

[28] Zuppi G M 2008 The groundwater challenge, sustainable development and environmental management

[29] World Health Organization 2011 Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality (Geneva: World Health Organization)

[30] Kumar C P 2015 Int. J. Mod. Sci. Eng. Technol. 2(2) 18–27

[31] Yamusa B Y, Ahmad K, Sa’ari R, Alias N and Loke K F 2018 MATEC Web Conf. 250 01001

[32] Kererat C, Sasanakul I and Soralump S 2012 GeoCongress 2012: State of the Art and Practice in Geotechnical Engineering (California: American Society of Civil Engineers) pp. 3513–22.

[33] Kererat C, Sasanakul I and Soralump S 2013 J. Geotech. Geoenvironmental Eng. 139(6) 892–902

[34] Kumar C P 2012 IOSR J. Environ. Sci. Toxicol. Food Technol. 1(2) 46–57

[35] Kumar C P 2019 Int. J. Adv. Sci. Eng. Technol. 6(1) 7854–7865

[36] Singh H N, Kumar A and Shanker D 2012 J. Geosci. 2(1) 1–5

[37] Vasudevan M et al. 2016 J. Contam. Hydro. 194 10–16

[38] Magliocco M J, Glaser S D and Kneafsey T J 2015 Transp. Porous Media 108(1) 85–104

[39] Gunnarsson G and Aradottir E S P 2015 Transp. Porous Media 108(1) 43–59

[40] www.rockware.com 2019 Tough2, RockWare Geoscientific Software Consulting & Training, Retrieved February 12, 2020, from https://www.rockware.com/

[41] Pruess K, Oldenburg C and Moridis G 1999 Tough2 User’s Guide. Version 2.0

[42] Nguyen T K, Combe G, Caillerie D and Desrues J 2014 Acta Geophys. 62(5) 1109–1126

[43] Whelan G and Castleton K J 2006 Groundwater modeling system linkage with the framework for risk analysis in multimedia environmental systems (No. PN-ENL-15654) (Washington, United States: Pacific Northwest National Laboratory)

[44] Ma T, Rutyvig J, Oldenburg C M, Liu W and Chen J 2017 J. Nat. Gas Sci. Eng. 45 pp. 474–486

[45] Ngien S K 2012 Experimental and numerical analysis of non-aqueous phase liquids migration in double-porosity subsurface systems (Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Doctor of Philosophy Thesis)
[46] McCuen R H, Knight Z and Cutter A G 2006 *J. Hydrol. Eng.* **11**(6) 597–602
[47] Lin F, Chen X and Yao H 2017 *J. Hydrol. Eng.* **22**(11) 1–9
[48] Zhang T 2011 *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.* **65**(2) 251–257