Abstract. Low-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma (LGESS) is a rare malignancy. The tumor is reportedly responsive to hormonal therapy, most commonly with medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA), but the effectiveness of aromatase inhibitors for recurrent LGESS remains unclear. The present study reports a case of stage IC LGESS presenting with abnormal uterine bleeding, and also provides a review of the literature. Following a total abdominal hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, MPA therapy was initiated; treatment was successful, but discontinued 19 months later due to disruptive side effects. A further 2 months later, the patient presented with recurrent disease and received chemotherapy. MPA treatment was restarted with a partial response. A second recurrence, 4 years later, presented with lung and para-aortic lymph node metastases. The patient responded to treatment with the aromatase inhibitor letrozole. The patient has since exhibited stable disease and remained free of symptoms for 7 years. This case suggests that aromatase-inhibitor treatment may be effective for recurrent LGESS as a second-line treatment.

Introduction

Endometrial stromal sarcoma (ESS) is an uncommon malignancy, accounting for <1% of all uterine carcinomas and 7-15% of all uterine sarcomas (1). ESS is classified as low-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma (LGESS), high-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma (HGESS) and undifferentiated uterine sarcoma (2). LGESS shows minimal to no cytological atypia and low mitotic activity (usually <5 mitoses per 10 high-power fields (HPFs)). HGESS shows high mitotic activity (typically >10 per 10 HPFs) and is typically very striking. LGESS is generally a slow-growing malignancy with an indolent clinical course, but with a tendency for late recurrence, while HGESS is more aggressive, frequently metastasizes and has an extremely poor outcome.

Although no universal staging system exists for ESS, the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics surgical staging system for endometrial cancer is typically used (2). Total abdominal hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy is recommended as the primary treatment, with debulking recommended when extrauterine disease is apparent. The role of chemotherapy, radiation or hormonal treatment as adjuvant therapy has not yet been established. A number of studies have demonstrated estrogen and progesterone receptor expression in LGESS (3,4). Furthermore, LGESS has previously been shown to be responsive to hormonal therapy, including aromatase inhibitors and megestrol acetate (3,5,6). Studies have shown that synthesized progestins, including medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA), are an effective conservative treatment for endometrial cancer (7,8).

In our previous study, we reported the cases of 2 patients with metastatic LGESS lesions who experienced prolonged survival following treatment with MPA (9). However, to the best of our knowledge, only 5 case reports detailing recurrent LGESS treated with aromatase inhibitors are reported in the literature. The present study reports a case of recurrent LGESS that was treated with surgery, followed by MPA for 2 years as first-line therapy and the aromatase inhibitor letrozole for 6 years as second-line hormonal therapy. The patient has survived for 13 years since the initial surgery.

Case report

A 58-year-old (gravida 2, para 2) woman was referred to Shimane University School of Medicine (Izumo, Japan) in May 2002 due to persistent abnormal vaginal bleeding. The patient reported a history of rheumatoid arthritis, but no other significant past medical or surgical history.
Endometrial curettage revealed LGESS, based on the characteristics of the cells observed, which resembled the stromal cells of proliferative endometrium. In consequence, a total abdominal hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy was performed in May 2002. The resected specimens were sectioned (section thickness, 3 µm), and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Subsequently, the specimens were immunohistochemically stained with the following antibodies: Anti-cluster of differentiation (CD) 10 (1:1; pre-diluted rabbit monoclonal; clone SP67; Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland); anti-estrogen receptor (1:1; pre-diluted rabbit monoclonal; clone SP1; Roche Diagnostics); anti-progesterone receptor (1:1; pre-diluted rabbit monoclonal; clone 1E2; Roche Diagnostics); anti-h-caldesmon (1:50; mouse monoclonal; clone h-CD; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark); anti-cytokeratin AE1/AE3/PCK26 (1:1; pre-diluted; clone AE1/AE3/PCK26; Roche Diagnostics); anti-cytokeratin Cam5.2 (1:2; mouse monoclonal; clone Cam5.2; Roche Diagnostics); anti-desmin (1:100; mouse monoclonal; clone D33; Dako); anti-α-smooth muscle actin (1:100; mouse monoclonal; clone 1A4; Dako); anti-Melan A (1:1; pre-diluted mouse monoclonal; clone A103; Dako); and anti-human melanoma black-45 (1:50; mouse monoclonal; clone HMB-45; Dako). The histopathological result was of stage IC, low-grade ESS of the corpus uteri (Fig. 1). In addition, immunostaining revealed that the tumor tissue was positive for estrogen receptor (Fig. 2), progesterone receptor (Fig. 3) and CD10, and negative for h-caldesmon, AE1/AE3, Cam5.2, desmin, α-smooth muscle actin, Melan A and human melanoma black 45.

Post-operatively, the patient was started on 600 mg daily MPA as adjuvant therapy. The patient experienced no recurrence for 19 months, but was forced to discontinue MPA at that time, as it worsened the rheumatoid arthritis symptoms. Another 2 months later, computed tomography (CT) revealed enlargement of the common iliac lymph nodes. The patient underwent chemotherapy with 6 cycles of doxorubicin (25 mg/m² on days 1-2) and ifosfamide (1 mg/m² on days 1-5) every 3 weeks, along with lymph-node radiation. Three months after completing chemotherapy, MPA was restarted as the rheumatoid arthritis symptoms had improved. The lymph nodes gradually decreased in size and this partial response was maintained for 3 years.
Table I. Previous cases of recurrent low-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma treated with aromatase inhibitors.

| First author, year | Patient age at diagnosis, years | Tumor stage | Immunostaining | Interval from diagnosis to recurrence, months | Site of recurrent lesion | First-line treatment for recurrence | Second-line treatment for recurrence | Survival since initial diagnosis, years | Survival since use of aromatase inhibitor, years | (Ref.) |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------|
| Leunen et al 2004 | 76                            | -           | ER(+), PR(+)   | 300                                      | Pelvis                  | Aromatase inhibitor (letrozole) | -                                   | 28                                       | 3                                           | (5)   |
| Spano et al 2003 | 44                            | -           | ER(+), PR(+)   | 3                                        | Lung, rectum            | HRT                             | Aromatase inhibitor (aminogluthethimide) | 16                                       | 8                                           | (19)  |
|                   | 34                            | -           | ER(+), PR(+)   | 12                                       | Lung                    | HRT                             | Aromatase inhibitor (letrozole)       | 11                                       | 2                                           |       |
| Leiser et al 2004 | 48                            | I           | ER(+), PR(+)   | 18                                       | Pelvis                  | Chemotherapy (BEP)             | Megestrol acetate + aromatase inhibitor (anastrozole) | 4.5                                      | 2                                           | (20)  |
| Maluf et al 2001  | 51                            | -           | ER(+), PR(+)   | 60                                       | Pelvis, subcutaneous nodules, subcapsular liver implant | MPA                             | Aromatase inhibitor (letrozole)       | 8                                        | 0.75                                        | (21)  |
| Shoji et al 2011  | 34                            | I           | ER(+), PR(+)   | 60                                       | Pelvis, ovary, peritoneum | MPA                             | Aromatase inhibitor (anastrozole)       | 21                                       | 2                                           | (22)  |
| Current patient   | 58                            | IC          | ER(+), PR(+)   | 19                                       | Lung, para-aortic lymph node | MPA and chemotherapy (ICA)     | Aromatase inhibitor (letrozole)       | 13                                       | 7                                           |       |

ER, estrogen receptor; PR, progesterone receptor; MPA, medroxyprogesterone acetate; HRT, hormonal replacement therapy; BEP, bleomycin + etoposide + cisplatin; ICA, ifosfamide + carboplatin + doxorubicin.
In January 2008, CT revealed a mass in the left lung measuring 19x13 mm (Fig. 4) and a para-aortic lymph node enlarged to 20x12 mm, compressing the right common iliac vein. MPA treatment was discontinued at this point and the patient underwent a secondary complete resection of the lung tumor. Similarly to the endometrial curettage result, the histopathological result confirmed disease metastasis. Post-operatively, informed consent was obtained for treatment with 2.5 mg daily letrozole. The patient has continued letrozole treatment to this date, and has remained asymptomatic and progression-free for 7 years.

Discussion

ESS is classified as low grade, high grade and undifferentiated based on morphology and mitotic rate. Although LGESS exhibits a relatively indolent behavior, the possibility of late recurrences and distant metastases exists (10). The risk of recurrence is believed to be as much as 50%, although such tumors usually grow slowly and the recurrence occurs late (10). In a previous large case series, the time between diagnosis or hysterectomy and recurrence was reported as between 3 months and 23 years, with a median time of 3 years (10). In the largest clinical study to date on LGESS, the median time between hysterectomy and relapse was recorded as 5.4 years for stage I disease and 9 months for disease at stages III-IV (11). In our previous series, the median disease-free time was 50 months (12).

Lymphadenectomy has not been determined to confer long-term survival in patients with LGESS (13,14). The patient in the present study was diagnosed with LGESS following surgery, which did not include either pelvic or para-aortic lymphadenectomy. Although there has been no systematic study on the advantages of adjuvant chemotherapy in LGESS, a number of retrospective analyses have shown that doxorubicin and ifosfamide combination chemotherapy exhibit a certain degree of efficacy (15-18). The present patient experienced a partial response to doxorubicin and ifosfamide-containing chemotherapy and MPA following the first recurrence.

There are few reports on the effectiveness of aromatase inhibitors in patients with recurrent LGESS due to the rarity of the disease. To the best of our knowledge, there are only 5 case reports describing aromatase inhibitors as either first- or second-line treatment for recurrent LGESS (5,19-22). Table I shows the demographic features of the patients in these cases, including the patient featured in the present study.

Several studies have described estrogen and progesterone receptor expression in ESS tumors, and have evaluated the efficacy of progestins as a treatment modality (2,23-26). In all previous patients treated with aromatase inhibitors, immunostaining was positive for estrogen and progesterone receptors; this also applied to the present patient. All studies in the present literature review have suggested the effectiveness of aromatase inhibitors, including letrozole and anastrozole, in the treatment of recurrent LGESS (5,19-22). No definitive conclusions about treatment with aromatase inhibitors can be drawn, but this option should be taken into consideration for patients with recurrent LGESS and positive immunostaining for estrogen and progesterone receptors. We recommend that immunostaining be performed when the tumor is first determined to be ESS.

Aromatase inhibitors were used as second-line treatment in 3 previous studies and as first-line treatment in only 1 study by Leunen et al (5). Therefore, no conclusions can be drawn as to the priority of MPA or aromatase inhibitors as first-line treatment. Due to their efficacy, further studies are warranted to evaluate aromatase inhibitors as first-line hormonal therapy in these neoplasms.

In summary, the present case reported a recurrent LGESS that responded to treatment with the aromatase inhibitor letrozole, and our experience suggests that aromatase inhibitor treatment may be effective for patients with recurrent LGESS. A number of additional case studies will be necessary to confirm these findings and support the suggested treatment.
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