Study on Shock Disinfection in a Fire Extinguishing Water Supply System
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Abstract: The biofilms generated in a fire extinguishing water supply system can cause corrosion and a reduction in the water supply capacity; thus, degrading the system performance. To mitigate microbial corrosion, appropriate disinfection measures are necessary. In this study, the secondary addition of chlorine is employed to investigate the kinetics of chlorine decay, and shock disinfection is applied to investigate the removal efficiency of corrosion bacteria, and the microbial composition of a biofilm on the pipe wall was also clarified. The results show that the residual chlorine content in the secondary chlorination process was directly correlated with the decay rate of residual chlorine and the corrosion rate of the pipe wall. Additionally, the chlorine impact disinfection method could reduce the electrochemical corrosion phenomenon of the pipe wall. When the concentration of chlorine was 3 mg/L, the removal rate of corrosion bacteria was higher in 60 min than in 30 min. Specifically, most of the bacteria were inactivated in 60 min and the biofilm was severely damaged. Shock disinfection could significantly inactivate all microflora in the biofilm; the relative abundances of microflora varied significantly, while the change of microflora at the phylum level was insignificant. This study can provide theoretical support for the secondary addition of chlorine and shock disinfection in a fire extinguishing water supply system.
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1. Introduction

Failures in firefighting and delays in fire suppression result in serious consequences, of which 81.5% are due to the lack of a fire extinguishing water source and imperfect fire extinguishing water supply facilities [1,2]. Additional important reasons for failures in firefighting include difficulty in opening fire hydrants, blockage of the self-spraying nozzles, and an underperforming flow of self-spraying nozzles [3]. Because a water-based fire extinguishing system is only used when there is a fire, the commonly used metal pipelines that are always filled with water are exposed to severe corrosion and scaling. Compared with a pipeline composed of other materials, the pipelines composed of stainless steel are safer, more reliable, and have a longer service life and; thus, are more suitable for fire extinguishing systems. However, stainless steel pipelines are also exposed to corroding [4].

Previous studies of microbial population and microbial corrosion of water supply pipeline mainly focus on the municipal water supply pipeline [5,6]. Although the water for the fire extinguishing system comes from the municipal water supply network, the fire extinguishing system is only opened during firefighting or maintenance. Thus, there are still some differences in the state of the pipe network and water demand between
two water supply systems. Due to the large number of users and accessories used in the municipal water supply system, the circulation time of the water in this system is short, while the water in the fire extinguishing water system only comes out once a fire breaks out, or when the end water test devices are repaired at ordinary times. Therefore, the water in the pipe network of the fire water system is static most of the time.

After the water stays in the pipeline for a long time, the residual chlorine in the municipal water decays to a very low concentration, resulting in the breeding of microorganisms in the pipeline. These microorganisms adhere to the pipe wall, resulting in biofilms and pipeline scale and; thus, blocking self-spraying nozzles in the system. As a result, a reduction in the water delivery capacity of the fire extinguishing pipeline occurs. In addition, the stainless steel pipeline interface may be exposed to Microbially Induced Corrosion (MIC), resulting in water leakage and difficulty in opening the fire hydrant [6]. Therefore, it is necessary to clean and disinfect the fire extinguishing pipeline system regularly to mitigate pipeline corrosion [7].

The secondary addition of a disinfectant in the pipeline system can remove the biofilm on the pipe wall and microorganisms in water [8]; thus, effectively mitigating pipeline corrosion. An appropriate increase in the disinfectant concentration during the secondary disinfection process is conducive to improving the sterilization effect. However, the long-term use of a high concentration of disinfectant in the fire extinguishing system may cause corrosion of the pipeline wall. The method of shock disinfection adds a higher dose of disinfectant over a short time during cleaning or maintenance, so that the biofilm on the pipe wall can be removed rapidly and the microorganisms can be inactivated efficiently. Various studies on the sterilization effect and biofilm destruction of chlorine shock disinfection, which can effectively mitigate biofilm formation and the microbial corrosion of pipe walls, have been reported [9]. At present, chlorine shock disinfection is used in fire extinguishing systems to inactivate microorganisms, but there are few studies on the control of pipeline corrosion [10,11].

In this study, municipal water was used as the water supply of a fire extinguishing system. The correlations between the residual chlorine content after a secondary chlorination and the removal rate of corrosion bacteria as well as the corrosion potential and corrosion rate of the stainless steel pipe wall are studied. The effects of the chlorine shock disinfection process on microbial corrosion and the necessity of chlorine shock disinfection are explored, and changes in the characteristics of the biofilm and biological community on the pipe wall are characterized. This study provides support for the application of chlorine shock disinfection technology in fire extinguishing systems.

2. Methods

2.1. Sample Water and Testing Method

Municipal water collected from tap water was used in the fire extinguishing system. The water was injected into an isothermal stainless steel incubator in the volume of 50 L at 16 °C for 0–60 d, and its pH value was adjusted to 7.0. The incubator connected with stainless steel pipeline was set as a fire water tank, and the sample water, pipeline, and biofilm were collected to analyze water quality and microbial characteristics.

The residual chlorine, corrosion potential, corrosion rate, and removal rate of corrosion bacteria were regularly analyzed after the secondary chlorination using a simulated pipeline system. After 30–60 min of chlorine shock disinfection, the biofilm on the pipe wall was sampled to investigate the morphology and distribution of the microbial community.

2.2. Analysis Methods

2.2.1. Electrode and Analysis of Residual Chlorine

The polarization curve was measured with an electrochemical workstation (CHI604D, Shanghai Chenhua, Shanghai, China). The reference electrode was a saturated calomel electrode and the counter electrode was a platinum electrode. The concentration of residual
chlorine (chloride ion, Cl\(^-\)) was determined by a portable residual chlorine meter (Q-CL501, Shenzhen Qingshijie, Shenzhen, China) with an accuracy of 0.01 mg/L.

2.2.2. Detection of Corrosion Rate

Pretreated stainless steel coupons were weighed, acid cleaned (using nitric acid of 0.10 mg/L), etched, rinsed, and weighed again. The average corrosion rate was determined by the coupon weight loss method according to the mass change before and after the coupon corrosion every 3 days. The corrosion rate of pipeline could be calculated by [10]:

\[
V = \frac{87,600(m_1 - m_2)}{D \cdot S \cdot T}
\]

where \(V\) is the corrosion rate (mm/g); \(S\) is the surface area of the pipe wall (cm\(^2\)); \(D\) is the density of pipe wall (g/cm\(^3\)); \(m_1\) is the mass of the pipe wall that is not corroded (g); \(m_2\) is the mass of the pipe wall after corrosion (g); \(T\) is the corrosion time (h).

2.2.3. Detection of Removal Rate of Corrosion Bacteria

The bacteria attached to the surface of the coupon were rinsed with sterile ultrapure water and wiped from the pipe wall of stainless steel pipeline five times with two or three sterilized cotton swabs. Afterwards, the cotton swabs were immersed in 10 mL sterilized ultrapure water and placed in an ultrasonic cleaner at a temperature of 20 °C and a frequency of 40 kHz for 20 min. The corrosion bacteria with a high probability to cause the corrosion of pipeline, denoted as corrosion bacteria, and the detailed methods of counting corrosion bacteria, total and heterotrophic bacteria, were followed according to previous research. After diluting the mixed water samples 10 times in turn, the hot sterilized medium was poured into the Petri dish. After the medium was cooled and solidified, 100 µL of bacterial solution was absorbed by sterilized straw and placed on the solid medium. After the plate was coated, the bacteria were placed upside down in a constant temperature incubator at 22 °C for 7 days and the colony count was performed, in unit of CFU/cm\(^2\).

The removal rate of bacteria can be calculated by [8]:

\[
S = -\ln\left(\frac{N_1}{N_0}\right)
\]

where \(S\) is the removal rate of bacteria, \(N_0\) is the number of bacteria before disinfection, and \(N_1\) is the number of bacteria after disinfection.

2.2.4. Biofilm Morphology Observation

After fixing the pipe wall sample and metal spraying on its surface, the morphology of biofilm was observed for the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) measurement by using a field emission scanning electron microscope (Nova nano450, FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA). The observation proportion of FEI microscope was 1000 times, and the detailed operation method was followed according to previous research [12].

2.2.5. Metagenomic Analysis

The biological flora was detected by the 16S rDNA sequencing method and metagenomic sequencing technology, which was analyzed by MiSeq Sequencing instrument (MiSeq, Illumina, State of California, USA) in the standard mode of 2 × 300, and their detailed operation method was described by Jin et al. [13]. The biofilm on the pipe wall was sampled and the biological flora was enriched. Specifically, the biological flora was mixed with pure water, and 4 mL of mixed water was taken out to add into a sterilized 2 mL centrifuge tube in two operations. The sample was centrifuged at 104 rpm for 3 min at room temperature. The supernatant was discarded and the centrifuge tube was inverted on absorbent paper for one minute until no liquid came out. A total of 0.2 µm polycarbonate membrane was used to filter the centrifuged sample; then, the membrane was put into a test tube filled with sterile water. After fully mixing, the DNA of microorganisms in the
water samples was extracted. The extracted total microbial DNA was used as a template to amplify the V3–V4 region of 16S rDNA of bacterial metagenomic DNA, whose universal primers fused with MiSeq sequencing platform were 341F and 805R. Finally, the sample underwent sequencing analysis with a sequencer.

3. Results and Discussion
3.1. Corrosion Control Characteristics of Secondary Chlorination

3.1.1. Trend of Residual Chlorine Decay

As depicted in Figure 1, the attenuation trend of residual chlorine decay reduced when the secondary chlorine concentration was 0.12, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 3, and 5 mg/L. As observed, the correlation between the chlorine concentration and residual chlorine concentration was significant. The residual chlorine concentration of a chlorine concentration less than 1.0 mg/L was already extremely low after 16 h, while that of a 3–5 mg/L chlorine concentration was still 1.35–1.75 mg/L after 60 h, indicating that the residual chlorine concentration of the high concentration chlorine was higher and the residual chlorine maintenance time was longer. Additionally, with the increase in the secondary chlorine concentration from 0.12 to 5 mg/L being added, the consumption rate of the residual chlorine increased from 0.007 to 0.166 mg/L h, and the consumption rate of the residual chlorine at a chlorine concentration of 3–5 mg/L was 0.081–0.166 mg/L h, which was significantly higher than that at the 0.12–1 mg/L chlorine concentration (0.007–0.043 mg/L h). This result highly correlated with Frateur et al.’s [14], who claimed that the increased consumption rate occurred because the chlorine at a higher concentration could react with both the biofilm and organic matter on the pipeline wall, and chlorine with an even higher concentration could cause corrosion of the pipe wall by reacting with the stainless steel.

Figure 1. Correlation between initial chlorine concentration and residual chlorine concentration.

3.1.2. Trend of Corrosion Potential

As shown in Figure 2, the correlation between the disinfectant concentration and corrosion potential could be found. It could be found that when the chlorine concentration was 1 mg/L, the corrosion potential showed a continuous downward trend, and the average corrosion potential during the 50–60 d period reached $-0.360$ V. When the chlorine concentration was 3 mg/L, the downward trend of the corrosion potential was relatively stable, and the average corrosion potential during the 50–60 d period was $-0.233$ V, which was $35.3\%$ lower than that at a chlorine concentration of 1 mg/L. At a chlorine concentration of 5 mg/L, the corrosion potential first increased slowly and then rapidly. During the 0–20 d period, the corrosion potential was stable in the range of $-0.165 \pm 0.011$ V, and then rapidly increased to $-0.428$ V during the 50–60 d period, which was $18.9\%$ higher than that at a chlorine concentration of 1 mg/L. This result correlated with the study of Landoulsi [14], who claimed that biofilms cause the raise in free corrosion potential. As observed, the concentration of the disinfectant had a significant impact on the trend of corrosion potential, which might be related to electrochemical corrosion and disinfection [15]. As the hydraulic retention time increased, the residual chlorine at a chlorine concentration of 1 mg/L
was consumed, but there was still a residual biofilm attached to the pipe wall. As a result, the microbial corrosion effect could continue to increase the corrosion potential. As the chlorine concentration increased, the corrosion potential decreased. When the chlorine concentration was 3 mg/L, the biofilm was completely destroyed and the microbial removal rate was high. Hence, the corrosion potential remained low. When the chlorine concentration was 5 mg/L, the excessive residual chlorine caused electrochemical corrosion of the pipe wall, resulting in a significant increase in the corrosion potential [16].

Figure 2. Correlation between initial chlorine concentration and corrosion potential.

3.1.3. Trend of Corrosion Rate

As shown in Table 1, the correlation between disinfectant concentration and corrosion rate was investigated. When the chlorine concentration was 1, 3, and 5 mg/L, the average corrosion rate during a 3–6 d period was 0.054–0.057 mm/a, and the difference of corrosion rate was negligible. The average corrosion rate during a 6–15 d period was 0.064–0.069 mm/a, and the corrosion rate showed a significant upward trend. Compared with the chlorine concentrations of 1 and 5 mg/L, the average corrosion rate at 3 mg/L decreased by 10.22 and 15.20%, respectively. There was a significant correlation between the chlorine concentration and corrosion rate. The damage of the biofilm at a chlorine concentration of 1 mg/L was not significant, and the rate of Microbially Induced Corrosion (MIC) caused by the residual biofilm remained high. With a chlorine concentration of 3 mg/L, the damage of the biofilm was significant and the MIC rate was reduced. A chlorine concentration of 5 mg/L effectively inactivated microorganisms and mitigated MIC. However, the high content of residual chlorine could cause the electrochemical corrosion of the pipe wall and significantly increase the corrosion rate. The MIC rate responding to the weight reduction in the pipeline resulted from a biological effect and electrochemical action. As observed, the disinfection process could effectively inactivate microorganisms of biofilm on the pipe wall and significantly reduce the MIC rate, but a high concentration of disinfectant could also cause the electrochemical corrosion of the pipe wall. Therefore, an optimal shock disinfection should inactivate the microorganisms on the pipe wall without causing severe electrochemical corrosion.

As shown in Figure 3, a schematic of the corrosion process of a pipe wall during chlorine disinfection could be found. As observed, the biofilm on the pipe wall destroyed the passivation film on the pipe wall by producing metabolites, which reduced the corrosion resistance of the pipe wall and accelerated the cathodic depolarization process, resulting in pitting corrosion of the pipe wall. With a chlorine concentration of 1 mg/L, the residual chlorine concentration was low, and the MIC of the pipe wall was severe. The residual chlorine concentration was high when the chlorine concentration was higher than 3 mg/L, but the corrosion rate was faster when the chlorine concentration was 5 mg/L. The increased corrosion rate occurred primarily because the chlorine of the high concentration would damage the passivation film surface and cause severe electrochemical corrosion.
Table 1. Correlation between initial content of residual chlorine and corrosion rate.

| Chlorine Concentration (mg/L) | 1 | 3 | 5 |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Time (d) Weight Variation (mg) | Corrosion Rate (mm/a) Weight Variation (mg) Corrosion Rate (mm/a) Weight Variation (mg) Corrosion Rate (mm/a) |
| 3 | 4.1 | 0.052 | 3.7 | 0.051 | 4.5 | 0.055 |
| 6 | 10.8 | 0.056 | 8.9 | 0.053 | 12.5 | 0.059 |
| 10 | 17.7 | 0.067 | 12.2 | 0.058 | 19.8 | 0.069 |
| 15 | 26.8 | 0.073 | 20.4 | 0.062 | 28.9 | 0.078 |
| 20 | 39.5 | 0.075 | 26.2 | 0.066 | 42.3 | 0.081 |

Figure 3. Schematic diagram of chlorine disinfection.

3.2. Microbial Removal Efficiency of Shock Disinfection

3.2.1. Removal Effect of Corrosion Bacteria

As shown in Figure 4, the correlation between the chlorine concentration and removal rate of corrosion bacteria in the biofilm on the pipe wall during shock disinfection could be found. As observed, the removal rate of corrosion bacteria increased continuously with chlorine concentrations of 1, 3, and 5 mg/L. For chlorine concentration shock disinfection times of 180, 90, and 60 min for the concentrations of 1, 3, and 5 mg/L, respectively, the removal rates of corrosion bacteria were 1.39, 1.51, and 1.73 lg, respectively. The corrosion bacteria were a typical bacteria species causing MIC of the metal pipe wall, which included iron-oxidizing and iron-reducing bacteria, sulfate-reducing bacteria, and firmicutes. The trend of the corrosion bacteria quantity during shock disinfection was similar to that of the total bacteria and heterotrophic bacteria count (HPC) [15]. Additionally, the removal rate of corrosion bacteria was affected by both the disinfectant concentration and shock disinfection time. When the shock disinfection time remained constant, the removal rate of corrosion bacteria positively correlated with chlorine concentration. A high concentration of disinfectant required a shorter disinfection time to effectively inactivate the microorganisms of the biofilm on the pipe wall, while a low concentration required a longer disinfection time to achieve the same removal effect. When the concentration of disinfectant remained constant, there was also a positive correlation between the removal rate of corrosion bacteria and the disinfection time. As the disinfection continued, the residual chlorine consumption gradually increased and the removal rate of corrosion bacteria reduced. As shown in Figures 2 and 4, 3 mg/L was a suitable disinfectant concentration for the mitigation of both the MIC rate and chemical corrosion of the pipe wall [17].
Figure 4. Correlation between chlorine concentration and corrosion bacteria removal.

3.2.2. Morphological Changes of Biofilm

The biofilm characteristics on the stainless steel pipe wall with a chlorine concentration of 3 mg/L are shown in Figure 5. As observed, the biofilm on the pipe wall was thick and dense before shock disinfection. This was because the water in the pipe had a long retention time, and the organic matter in the water was conducive to bacterial proliferation, resulting in a significant biofilm on the pipe wall. After 30 min of shock disinfection, some sections of the biofilm shrank and fell off the surface, and a few sections of pipe wall were exposed. Pores and cracks appeared in the biofilm, but its surface structure was still dense, indicating that the destruction of the biofilm by the chlorine shock disinfection was relatively low. The thickness and morphology of the biofilm changed significantly after 60 min of shock disinfection. The biofilm presented a discrete small block structure with a smooth surface and most of the pipe wall was exposed, indicating that chlorine shock disinfection severely damaged the biofilm on the pipe wall [17].

Figure 5. Morphology of biofilm on pipe wall after different durations of shock disinfection. (a) Disinfection for 0 min. (b) Disinfection for 30 min. (c) Disinfection for 60 min.

3.2.3. Composition of Bacterial Community before and after Disinfection Shock Treatment

As shown in Figure 6, the distribution characteristics of the biofilm flora on the pipe wall could be found, in which the sample coverage reached 99%, and the Shannon index and Simpson index was 4.62 and 0.04, respectively, indicating a high diversity of the biofilm flora on the pipe wall. As observed, the abundance of Proteobacteria was 64.8%, of which the α, β, γ, and δ Proteobacteria accounted for 39.6, 24.1, 4.3, and 4.8% of the Proteobacteria, respectively. The Proteobacteria was mainly composed of corrosion bacteria and sulfate-reducing bacteria, which could easily cause an increased MIC of the pipe wall [18]. Additionally, the abundance of Bacteroidetes was 3.97%, in which the bacterial solution produced by Flavobacteria could adhere to the surface of the pipe wall together with corrosion bacteria, and scale on the pipe wall was observed, resulting in a reduced water transmission capacity of the pipeline [19].
After 60 min of shock disinfection, no significant difference in the species of bacteria at the phylum level was observed, while the abundance changed significantly. The abundance of Proteobacteria decreased to 46.23%, and the abundance of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ Proteobacteria decreased from 39.60% and 24.14% to 17.3% and 13.24%, respectively, indicating that the chlorine shock disinfection preferred the removal of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ Proteobacteria; thus, effectively mitigating the MIC rate. After chlorine shock disinfection, the abundance of Planctomycetes and Firmicutes increased from 12.68% and 3.45% to 12.91% and 5.30%, respectively. This can be attributed to the high chlorine resistance of these bacteria such that they can survive a high chlorine concentration [20]. Firmicutes could promote the proliferation of microorganisms and accelerate the MIC of the pipe wall. Hence, chlorine shock disinfection could significantly inactivate various flora in the biofilm, although the extent of the removal differed. Indeed, the dominant flora that corroded the pipe wall was effectively inactivated and inhibited.

4. Conclusions

In this study, the attenuation of residual chlorine in the process of secondary chlorination disinfection of a stainless steel pipeline in a fire extinguishing system and the correlation between the residual chlorine content and pipe wall corrosion rate were investigated. The removal rate of corrosion bacteria, morphological changes of the biofilm on the pipe wall, and distribution of microbial communities under chlorine shock disinfection were determined. The main conclusions were as follows:

1. The chlorine concentration of secondary chlorination had a positive correlation with the residual chlorine content and a negative correlation with the decay rate of residual chlorine. The decay rate of residual chlorine at a chlorine concentration of 0.12–1 mg/L was 0.007–0.043 mg/L h, while the corrosion rate of the pipe wall caused by microorganisms remained relatively high. At a chlorine concentration of 3 mg/L, the decay rate of the residual chlorine was 0.081 mg/L h, the corrosion potential of the pipeline wall was $-0.18$ to $-0.23$ mV, and the corrosion rate was relatively low. At a chlorine concentration of 5 mg/L, the decay rate of the residual chlorine was 0.166 mg/L h, the corrosion potential reached $-0.42$ mV, and significant electrochemical corrosion was observed.

2. There was a significant positive correlation between the chlorine concentration in shock disinfection and the removal rate of corrosion bacteria. At a chlorine concentration of 1 mg/L and a disinfection time of 180 min, the removal of corrosion bacteria in the biofilm on the pipe wall was limited. The removal effect of corrosion bacteria was enhanced at shock disinfection treatments of 3 mg/L for 90 min or 5 mg/L for 180 min. At a chlorine concentration of 3 mg/L, the biofilm only partially fell off the pipe wall after 30 min of shock disinfection, and the biofilm was severely damaged after 60 min of shock disinfection. Shock disinfection could significantly inactivate the various flora in the biofilm, but the degree of removal differed with the type of
flora. At a chlorine concentration of 3 mg/L and a disinfection time of 60 min, the abundance of α and β Proteobacteria of the biofilm decreased from 39.60% and 24.14% to 17.3% and 13.24%, respectively. The dominant bacteria that corroded the pipeline wall were effectively inactivated and inhibited.
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