Impaired cardiac function is associated with mortality in patients with acute COVID-19 infection
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Abstract

Background COVID-19 infection may cause severe respiratory distress and is associated with increased morbidity and mortality. Impaired cardiac function and/or pre-existing cardiovascular disease may be associated with poor prognosis. In the present study, we report a comprehensive cardiovascular characterization in the first consecutive collective of patients that was admitted and treated at the University Hospital of Tübingen, Germany.

Methods 123 consecutive patients with COVID-19 were included. Routine blood sampling, transthoracic echocardiography and electrocardiography were performed at hospital admission.

Results We found that impaired left-ventricular and right-ventricular function as well as tricuspid regurgitation > grade 1 were significantly associated with higher mortality. Furthermore, elevated levels of myocardial distress markers (troponin-I and NT pro-BNP) were associated with poor prognosis in this patient collective.

Conclusion Impaired cardiac function is associated with poor prognosis in COVID-19 positive patients. Consequently, treatment of these patients should include careful guideline-conform cardiovascular evaluation and treatment. Thus, formation of a competent Cardio-COVID-19 team may represent a major clinical measure to optimize therapy of cardiovascular patients during this pandemic.
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Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) may cause severe respiratory distress and has been associated with enhanced morbidity and mortality [1]. There is increasing evidence that COVID-19 may induce severe acute cardiac injury with alterations of cardiac function and elevation of myocardial injury markers including troponin and brain-natriuretic peptide (BNP) [2–4]. Severe compromise of cardiac function and/or pre-existing cardiovascular disease have been reported to be associated with poor prognosis [5, 6]. Thus, the European Society of Cardiology and the American College of Cardiology strongly recommend a careful cardiologic assessment of patients who present with symptomatic COVID-19 infection [7, 8]. Electrocardiographic, echocardiographic and cardiac-specific laboratory parameters are cornerstones to define cardiac injury during infectious disease including COVID-19 [9–11]. In the present study, we report the cardiac-specific findings in the first collective of patients admitted and treated at our university hospital.

Methods

Study design and participants

For this consecutive, prospective study, patients were enrolled from February to March 2020 and routine blood samples were collected at hospital admission. We performed transthoracic echocardiography as well as electrocardiography (ECG) within 24 h after admission. Written informed consent was obtained from all non-ventilated patients. Patients were admitted to the University Hospital of Tübingen, Germany. We included 123 consecutive
patients diagnosed with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). COVID-19 was diagnosed via RNA detection from nasopharyngeal secretions with real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction. This study was approved by the institutional ethics committee (238/2018BO2) and complies with the declaration of Helsinki and the good clinical practice guidelines [12–14].

**Transthoracic echocardiography**

Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) was performed in patients with symptomatic and verified COVID-19 infection within 24 h after hospital admission. Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), right ventricular function (RV-function), valve defects as well as pericardial effusion (PE) were evaluated. LVEF was determined using Simpson’s biplane method of discs by manual planimetry of the endocardial border in end-diastolic and end-systolic frames as well as by visual assessment [15]. Impaired LVEF was defined as a systolic ejection fraction ≤ 50% [16]. RV-function was determined by visual assessment, measurement of tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE), and right ventricular fractional area change (RV-FAC). TAPSE was assessed by placing an M-mode cursor through the lateral tricuspid valve annulus in the apical four-chamber view and measuring the total systolic excursion distance of the tricuspid annulus. TAPSE ≥ 20 mm was considered as an indicator for normal RV-function [16]. Right ventricular dysfunction was considered present when RV-FAC was < 35% [16]. Mitral regurgitation was determined by left atrium jet area and width of vena contracta [17]. Aortic stenosis was measured via continuity equitation and planimetry of valve area [18]. Aortic valve regurgitation was determined using jet/leaflet ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) width, diastolic flow reversal in proximal descending aorta as well as pressure half time (PHT) [19]. Finally, tricuspid regurgitation was defined using central jet area and width of vena contracta [17]. When possible, the pulmonary artery pressure was estimated by determining the flow velocity when a tricuspid regurgitation was present [20].

**12-Channel ECG and laboratory parameters**

12-Channel ECG was registered according to standard procedure. Peripheral venous blood was analysed for laboratory parameters including C-reactive protein, troponin I, NT pro-BNP, and d-dimers.

**Clinical follow-up**

30-Day follow-up was available for all patients after study inclusion for the primary endpoint all-cause death.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 26.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago IL, USA). Normally distributed data were compared using Student’s T test. Non-normally distributed data were compared performing Mann–Whitney U Test. Mean values are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Median values are presented as median and 25th/75th percentiles. Cross-tabulations with Chi-square tests were performed descriptively to show the number of endpoint distribution. For censored data, Kaplan–Meier curves with log rank tests were determined. Multiple Cox-regression analysis was applied to analyse associations of impaired myocardial function with the endpoint mortality after adjustment for epidemiological factors.

**Results**

Baseline characteristics are presented in Tables 1 and 2. Table 1 shows baseline characteristics for the overall collective (n = 123) whereas baseline characteristics in Table 2 are stratified according to mortality. We were able to provide echocardiographic data for 98 patients (79.7%), electrocardiographic data for 115 patients (93.5%) and laboratory parameters for all COVID-19 patients.

The overall 30-day mortality of our hospitalized patients was 13% (n = 16). The majority of deceased patients received ventilation therapy for acute or progressive pulmonary failure and developed multiorgan failure refractory to intensive care treatment (n = 14). 56 patients required ICU treatment, 49 patients mechanical ventilation, and 6 patients ECMO therapy. 6 patients were transiently treated for acute cardiovascular complains on the IMC-chest pain unit, and 61 patients were treated on a regular ward. 22 patients were dia-lyzed during hospital stay. Mean hospital stay was 7.4 days (± 5.4), whereas in non-survivors, days from admission to death were 10.2 (± 7.5). Patients with impaired LVEF, impaired RV-function, and a tricuspid regurgitation > 1 had a significantly higher mortality than patients with normal LVEF, normal RV-function, and mild tricuspid regurgitation ≤ 1 (Fig. 1). Patients with impaired LVEF and RV-function showed a significantly worse cumulative event-free survival compared to patients with normal LVEF and RV-function [log rank < 0.001 and log rank < 0.001 for all-cause death, respectively (Fig. 1)]. Furthermore, tricuspid regurgitation > 1 was associated with a significantly worse cumulative event-free survival [log rank 0.011 for all-cause death (Fig. 1)].

Moreover, in non-survivors leucocyte count, d-dimers, C-reactive protein, procalcitonin, troponin-I, NT pro-BNP,
| Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the overall cohort (n = 123) |   |
|---|---|
| Age, years (mean ± SD) | 68 (± 15) |
| Male, n (%) | 77 (62.6) |
| Body mass index (mean ± SD) | 28 (± 5) |
| **Cardiovascular risk factors, n (%)** |   |
| Arterial hypertension | 86 (69.9) |
| Dyslipidemia | 46 (37.4) |
| Diabetes mellitus | 30 (24.4) |
| Current smokers | 1 (0.8) |
| Obesity | 24 (19.5) |
| Atrial fibrillation | 28 (22.8) |
| Known CAD | 28 (22.8) |
| Chronic kidney disease | 14 (11.4) |
| **Echocardiography** |   |
| Left ventricular function, %, mean (± SD) | 57 (8) |
| Left ventricular hypertrophy, n (%) | 69 (74.2) |
| Visually estimated normal right ventricular function, n (%) | 81 (86.2) |
| Visually estimated impaired right ventricular function, n (%) | 13 (13.7) |
| Right ventricular dilatation, n (%) | 46 (48.9) |
| TAPSE, mm, mean (± SD) | 22 (± 5) |
| TAPSE < 20 mm, n (%) | 17 (17.3) |
| RV-FAC (%) | 37 (± 8.9) |
| RV pressure, mmHg, mean (± SD) | 29 (± 11) |
| Aortic stenosis > 1, n (%) | 4 (5.7) |
| Aortic regurgitation > 1, n (%) | 10 (11.5) |
| Mitral regurgitation > 1, n (%) | 24 (26.7) |
| Tricuspid regurgitation > 1, n (%) | 30 (30.6) |
| Pericardial effusion, n (%) | 45 (48.9) |
| **Electrocardiography** |   |
| Rate, bpm, mean (± SD) | 85 (± 23) |
| Sinus rhythm, n (%) | 80 (69.6) |
| QRS, ms, mean (± SD) | 94 (± 22) |
| Regular R progression, n (%) | 54 (47) |
| Right bundle branch block, n (%) | 4 (3.5) |
| Left bundle branch block, n (%) | 1 (0.9) |
| PQ segment, ms, mean (± SD) | 172 (± 94) |
| QTc, ms, mean (± SD) | 445 (± 33) |
| Negative T wave, n (%) | 13 (11.3) |
| ST segment depression, n (%) | 1 (0.9) |
| ST segment elevation, n (%) | 0 (0.0) |
| **Laboratory values at admission, median (25th/75th percentile)** |   |
| Leucocytes, 1000/µl | 6.6 (4.4/9.2) |
| Lymphocytes, 1000/µl | 0.8 (0.6/1.1) |
| Creatinin, mg/dl | 0.9 (0.7/1.3) |
| GFR, ml/m² | 74 (49/91) |
| D-dimers, µg/dl | 1.2 (0.7/2.8) |
| C-reactive protein, mg/dl | 8.1 (2.6/15.5) |
| Procalcitonin, ng/ml | 0.1 (0.1/0.7) |
| Troponin I, ng/dl | 16 (5/33) |
| NT pro-BNP, ng/l | 445 (139/2714) |
| CK, U/l | 152 (76/320) |
| AST, U/l | 43 (27/63) |
| ALT, U/l | 34 (21/49) |
CK, AST, and LDH levels were significantly higher and treatment with aldosterone antagonists was significantly more frequent when compared to survivors (Table 2, Fig. 2). LVEF at admission did not correlate with D-dimers (\(\rho = -0.155, p = 0.116\)). LVEF at admission was, however, significantly associated with troponin I and NT pro-BNP (\(\rho = -0.367, p < 0.001\) and \(\rho = -0.485, p < 0.001\), respectively). Furthermore, RV-FAC at admission did not significantly correlate with D-dimers (\(\rho = -0.103, p = 0.321\)) but was significantly associated with troponin I and NT pro-BNP (\(\rho = -0.442, p < 0.001\) and \(\rho = -0.304, p = 0.006\), respectively). Cumulative event-free survival was lower by trend in patients with reduced RV-FAC when compared to those with normal RV-FAC (Log rank = 0.280 for RV-FAC cut off 35% and Log rank = 0.056 for RV-FAC cut off 30%, respectively).

Cox-regression analysis with mortality as dependent and age, arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus type 2, LVEF, RV-function, and tricuspid regurgitation > 1 as independent variables revealed that besides diabetes mellitus, LVEF was significantly and independently associated with all-cause mortality (Table 3). Due to the low event rate multivariate analysis warrants, however, cautious interpretation.

**Discussion**

The main findings of the present study are: (i) in a consecutive collective of symptomatic COVID-19-positive patients with respiratory distress, impaired systolic left and right ventricular function as well as relevant tricuspid regurgitation are associated with 30-day all-cause mortality. (ii) Elevated levels of myocardial distress markers (troponin-I, NT pro-BNP) are associated with poor prognosis in COVID-19 patients.

Our findings in line with previous reports confirm that cardiac injury is a major concern and occurs frequently in COVID-19 patients with respiratory failure. Severe respiratory distress has been considered to be the main cause of COVID-19-associated deaths. Recently, Shi et al. have found that 7.2% of hospitalized COVID-19 patients develop cardiac injury, which increases up to approximately 20% when patients are referred to intensive care units [21]. Patients with pre-existing cardiovascular disease seem to be more susceptible to COVID-19 than those lacking this condition [22].

At present, the pathophysiological mechanisms of COVID-19 and cardiac injury and heart failure are poorly understood. However, it seems likely as known for other infectious diseases that COVID-19-induced systemic inflammatory responses may contribute to myocardial failure especially in patients with known heart disease [23, 24]. Further, our findings indicate that right ventricular stress as indicated by the presence of the right ventricular failure and a relevant tricuspid regurgitation might be a consequence of COVID-19-induced pulmonary distress with development of elevation of the pulmonary artery pressure [25]. This explanation is further strengthened by the observation that the majority of severely affected COVID-19 patients reveal elevated levels of NT pro-BNP levels indicative of acute myocardial stress [26]. We could, however, not show significant associations between elevated pulmonary artery pressure and mortality in COVID-19 patients. In the present study, we applied conventional echocardiographic diagnosis without performing LV and RV strain analyses, which may be more adequate to detect subtle changes in myocardial function. However, at the time being, we were not able to analyse the echocardiographic strain for this first COVID-19 wave at our institution due to logistical challenges.

At present, we do not have a causal therapy for COVID-19 affected patients. However, our data and an increasing number of reports strongly suggest that the thorough assessment of cardiac function is an absolute requirement in COVID-19 patient care. After the published statement of the German Cardiac Society in March 2020, we continued and initiated guideline-recommended HF therapy in every patient with impaired LV- and/or RV-function as well as elevated NT pro-BNP plasma levels. The type of medical HF therapy...
|                                | Non-survivors (n = 16) | Survivors (n = 107) | p value |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Age, years (mean ± SD)         | 73 (± 16)              | 67 (± 15)           | 0.235   |
| Male, n (%)                    | 12 (75.0)              | 65 (60.7)           | 0.272   |
| Body mass index (mean ± SD)    | 30 (± 5)               | 28 (± 5)            | 0.183   |
| **Cardiovascular risk factors, n (%)** |                        |                     |         |
| Arterial hypertension          | 12 (75.0)              | 74 (69.2)           | 0.635   |
| Dyslipidemia                   | 3 (18.8)               | 43 (40.2)           | 0.098   |
| Diabetes mellitus              | 5 (31.3)               | 25 (23.4)           | 0.743   |
| Current smokers                | 0 (0.0)                | 1 (0.9)             | 0.707   |
| Obesity                        | 2 (12.5)               | 22 (20.6)           | 0.549   |
| atrial fibrillation            | 4 (25.0)               | 24 (22.4)           | 0.834   |
| Known CAD                      | 6 (37.5)               | 22 (20.6)           | 0.340   |
| Chronic kidney disease         | 2 (12.5)               | 12 (11.2)           | 0.880   |
| **Echocardiography**           |                        |                     |         |
| Left ventricular function, %, mean ± SD | 49 (± 12)           | 58 (± 6)            | 0.034   |
| Left ventricular hypertrophy, n (%) | 10 (90.9)            | 59 (67.8)           | 0.162   |
| Visually estimated normal right ventricular function, n (%) | 6 (54.5)               | 75 (86.2)           | 0.001   |
| Visually estimated impaired right ventricular function, n (%) | 5 (45.5)               | 8 (9.2)             | 0.001   |
| Right ventricular dilatation, n (%) | 5 (45.5)               | 41 (47.1)           | 0.762   |
| TAPSE, mm, mean ± SD           | 21 (± 6)               | 23 (± 5)            | 0.397   |
| TAPSE < 20 mm, n (%)           | 4 (36.4)               | 4 (4.6)             | 0.076   |
| RV-FAC (%)                     | 30 (± 10.0)            | 38 (± 8.5)          | 0.008   |
| RV pressure, mmHg, mean ± SD   | 30 (± 11)              | 29 (± 11)           | 0.712   |
| Aortic stenosis > 1, n (%)     | 1 (9.1)                | 3 (3.4)             | 0.388   |
| Aortic regurgitation > 1, n (%)| 0 (0.0)                | 10 (11.5)           | 0.431   |
| Mitral regurgitation > 1, n (%)| 3 (27.3)               | 21 (24.1)           | 0.495   |
| Tricuspid regurgitation > 1, n (%) | 7 (63.6)             | 23 (23.4)           | 0.018   |
| Pericardial effusion, n (%)    | 4 (36.4)               | 41 (47.1)           | 0.520   |
| **Electrocardiography**        |                        |                     |         |
| Rate, bpm, mean ± SD           | 93 (± 25)              | 84 (± 22)           | 0.268   |
| Sinus rhythm, n (%)            | 9 (75)                 | 71 (81.6)           | 0.476   |
| QRS, ms, mean ± SD             | 101 (± 14)             | 93 (± 22)           | 0.134   |
| Regular R progression, n (%)   | 4 (33.3)               | 50 (57.5)           | 0.065   |
| Right bundle branch block, n (%) | 1 (8.3)                | 3 (5.3)             | 0.606   |
| Left bundle branch block, n (%) | 0 (0.0)                | 1 (1.1)             | 0.704   |
| PQ segment, ms, mean ± SD      | 155 (± 24)             | 174 (± 99)          | 0.175   |
| QTc, ms, mean ± SD             | 451 (± 33)             | 444 (± 34)          | 0.457   |
| Negative T wave, n (%)         | 1 (8.3)                | 12 (21.1)           | 0.896   |
| ST segment depression, n (%)   | 0 (0.0)                | 1 (1.8)             | 0.896   |
| ST segment elevation, n (%)    | 0 (0.0)                | 0 (0.0)             | 0.668   |
| **Laboratory values at admission, median (25th/75th percentile)** |                        |                     |         |
| Leucocytes, 1000/µl            | 8.5 (6.6/1.3)          | 6.3 (4.3/8.7)       | 0.016   |
| Lymphocytes, 1000/µl           | 0.7 (0.4/1.2)          | 0.8 (0.6/1.1)       | 0.428   |
| Creatinin, mg/dl              | 1.1 (0.8/2.3)          | 0.9 (0.7/1.3)       | 0.260   |
| GFR, ml/m²                    | 69 (22/87)             | 74 (51/91)          | 0.321   |
| d-dimers, µg/dl               | 2.6 (1.2/21.0)         | 1.1 (0.6/2.7)       | 0.003   |
| C-reactive protein, mg/dl      | 19.9 (10.9/30.0)       | 6.7 (2.3/14.6)      | 0.001   |
| Procalcitonin, ng/ml           | 0.8 (0.1/2.6)          | 0.1 (0.1/0.5)       | 0.002   |
| Troponin I, ng/dl              | 24 (16/120)            | 14 (5/29)           | 0.023   |
| NT pro-BNP, ng/l               | 1992 (410/7719)        | 377 (132/1914)      | 0.041   |
included ARBs, ACE, aldosterone antagonists and β-blockers. None of the 123 patients received Sacubitril/Valsartan. A rigorous treatment of cardiac dysfunction according to the well-established and recommended international guidelines should be a cornerstone for patients with COVID-19. Turning away from effective treatment options may do further harm to affected COVID-19 patients. On the other hand, following our cardiology guidelines is at present one of the

Table 2 (continued)

|                      | Non-survivors $(n=16)$ | Survivors $(n=107)$ | $p$ value |
|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| CK, U/l              | 485 (295/1332)         | 124 (73/258)        | <0.001    |
| AST, U/l             | 89 (54/136)            | 39 (24/56)          | <0.001    |
| ALT, U/l             | 47 (19/84)             | 32 (21/46)          | 0.115     |
| LDH, U/l             | 478 (380/547)          | 311 (229/414)       | 0.001     |

Medication at admission, $n$ (%)

|                      |       |
|----------------------|-------|
| Oral anticoagulation | 0 (0.0) | 15 (14.0) | 0.138 |
| ACEi/ARB             | 8 (50.0) | 52 (48.6) | 0.433 |
| Aldosterone inhibitors | 4 (25.0) | 11 (10.3) | 0.041 |
| Diuretics            | 5 (31.2) | 35 (32.7) | 0.745 |
| Calcium channel blockers | 3 (18.8) | 23 (21.5) | 0.952 |
| Beta blockers        | 6 (37.5) | 37 (34.6) | 0.462 |
| Statins              | 3 (18.8) | 38 (35.5) | 0.314 |
| ASA                  | 3 (18.8) | 24 (22.4) | 0.984 |
| P2Y12 inhibitors     | 0 (0)   | 3 (2.8)   | 0.532  |

A $p$-value $<0.05$ was considered as statistically significant

Fig. 1 Upper row: Kaplan–Meier curves showing cumulative event-free survival for the endpoint all-cause death stratified according to LVEF, RV function and TR. Lower row: bar diagrams showing distribution of LVEF, RV-function and TR between survivors and non-survivors. TR tricuspid regurgitation
few possibilities to save lives. Thus, a formation of a competent Cardio-COVID-19 team is one major critical measure in fighting the threatening disease.

Conclusions

Cardiac failure is associated with poor prognosis in patients COVID-19 infection. A comprehensive assessment of cardiac function is required in patients care with symptoms of acute COVID-19 infection.
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Table 3 Cox-regression analysis with forward variable selection with mortality as dependent and age, arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus type 2, LVEF, RV-function, and tricuspid regurgitation >1 as independent variables

| Variable                  | HR (95% CI)     | p value |
|---------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Diabetes mellitus type 2  | 3.65 (1.06–12.63) | 0.041   |
| LVEF                      | 12.19 (2.87–51.83) | 0.001   |
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