Escaping Helium from TOI 560.01, a Young Mini-Neptune
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Abstract

We report helium absorption from the escaping atmosphere of TOI 560.01 (HD 73583b), an $R = 2.8R_{\oplus}$, $P = 6.4$ day mini-Neptune orbiting a young ($\sim 600$ Myr) K dwarf. Using Keck/NIRSPEC, we detect a signal with an average depth of $0.68\% \pm 0.08\%$ in the line core. The absorption signal repeats during a partial transit obtained a month later, but is marginally stronger and bluer, perhaps reflecting changes in the stellar wind environment. Ingress occurs on time, and egress occurs within 12 minutes of the white light egress, although absorption rises more gradually than it declines. This suggests that the outflow is slightly asymmetric and confined to regions close to the planet. The absorption signal also exhibits a slight $4$ km s$^{-1}$ redshift rather than the expected blueshift; this might be explained if the planet has a modest orbital eccentricity, although the radial velocity data disfavor such an explanation. We use XMM-Newton observations to reconstruct the high-energy stellar spectrum and model the planet’s outflow with 1D and 3D hydrodynamic simulations. We find that our models generally overpredict the measured magnitude of the absorption during transit, the size of the blueshift, or both. Increasing the metallicity to $100\times$ solar suppresses the signal, but the dependence of the predicted signal strength on metallicity is nonmonotonic. Decreasing the assumed stellar EUV flux by a factor of three likewise suppresses the signal substantially.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Exoplanet atmospheres (487); Exoplanet astronomy (486); Exoplanets (498); Exoplanet evolution (491); Mini-Neptunes (1063)
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1. Introduction

There is a growing body of evidence suggesting that atmospheric mass loss dramatically shapes the population of close-in exoplanets detected by transit surveys. This population is dominated by planets with radii between 1 and 4 $R_{\oplus}$ ("sub-Neptunes"), which have no solar system analog. Smaller sub-Neptunes (1–1.7 Earth radii) appear to have Earth-like bulk compositions and are commonly referred to as "super-Earths," while larger sub-Neptunes (2–3 Earth radii) are called "mini-Neptunes" and have low bulk densities indicating the presence of volatile-rich envelopes that typically constitute a few percent of their total mass. The two populations are separated by a gap in the radius distribution where few planets reside (Fulton et al. 2017; Fulton & Petigura 2018). Sub-Neptunes are challenging to characterize, but new telescopes, observing techniques, and numerical models are opening up this frontier to exploration.

It has been suggested that all sub-Neptunes were formed with hydrogen-rich envelopes, which were then stripped away from the most highly irradiated and least massive planets. For young, low-density planets on close-in orbits, photoevaporation can drive strong hydrodynamic outflows that rapidly remove hydrogen-rich gas (e.g., Mills & Mazeh 2017; Owen & Wu 2017). However, this mass loss may also be driven by the newly formed planet’s own internal luminosity (Ginzburg et al. 2018; Gupta & Schlichting 2019). An alternate explanation for the radius gap is that it has nothing to do with mass loss, but instead because cores have a broad mass distribution, with the smaller cores having never accreted gas in the first place (Lee & Connors 2021). It is also possible that some mini-Neptunes have no hydrogen-rich envelopes at all, but instead formed with substantial water-rich envelopes (e.g., Mousis et al. 2020). This could dramatically change the mass-loss rates, especially that of helium, which would have been already lost to space alongside the primordial hydrogen.

Empirical measurements of mass loss from young sub-Neptunes provide a critical test of these competing hypotheses. We expect that mass-loss rates will be highest at relatively early times, when the star’s high-energy flux is enhanced and the planet is still inflated (Owen 2019). By searching for evidence of outflows during this critical early period, we can determine whether or not a young sub-Neptune planet possesses a hydrogen- and helium-rich envelope. When an outflow is detected, it can be used to test and refine mass-loss models, with the eventual goal of developing an accurate understanding of atmospheric evolution through the planet’s life.

We can search for outflows by measuring the amount of absorption from hydrogen and/or helium during the transit, but the small size of mini-Neptunes makes them challenging targets for this technique. In a recent study, we obtained the first measurement of Ly$\alpha$ absorption from a young mini-Neptune, HD 63433c (Zhang et al. 2021a). This planet is one of two transiting mini-Neptunes orbiting a 400 Myr solar analog. Our nondetection of similar absorption from HD 63433b suggests that the inner planet may not possess a hydrogen- and helium-rich envelope at all, in agreement with its shorter predicted atmospheric lifetime. HD 63433 is a particularly favorable target for Ly$\alpha$ observations, as it is an active nearby star that is moving toward us. This results
in an unusually high flux in the near blue wing of the Ly\(\alpha\) line, which is expected to contain most of the absorption signal from the planet’s escaping atmosphere. To date, Ly\(\alpha\) absorption has only been conclusively detected for four planets aside from HD 63433c (Vidal-Madjar et al. 2008; Bourrier et al. 2013; Lavie et al. 2017; Bourrier et al. 2018), all of which are larger than Neptune.

The metastable helium triplet at 1083 nm can also be used to probe atmospheric mass loss (Oklopcic & Hirata 2018; Spake et al. 2018), and has the advantage of being readily accessible to ground-based observatories. The strength of the absorption in this line is less than that in Ly\(\alpha\), at most several percent, but this precision is achievable with many infrared spectrographs. However, observations in this triplet are largely restricted to planets orbiting active K stars, which have the optimal UV spectral shape to produce a significant population of metastable helium (Oklopcic 2019). To date, there have been multiple detections of outflows from close-in gas giant planets using this line, including the hot Jupiter HD 189733b (Salz et al. 2018), the inflated Saturn WASP-107b (Spake et al. 2018; Allart et al. 2019), and the warm Neptune GIJ 3470b (Palle et al. 2020). However, helium mass loss has never been securely detected for planets smaller than 4 \(R_\oplus\) despite many attempts (i.e., Gaidos et al. 2020a; Kasper et al. 2022; Gaidos et al. 2020b; Zhang et al. 2021a, 2021b). Most of these published nondetections are of planets around old and inactive stars (HD 97658b, 55 Cnc e, GIJ 1214, GIJ 9857d), and the few observations of young planets were not very sensitive (K2-100b, K2-25b). We also did not detect helium absorption from HD 63433c, despite the Ly\(\alpha\) detection. We suspect that the unfavorable host star type (G5) and underestimated outflow confinement mechanisms combined to suppress the size of the expected absorption signal in this line, while the unexpectedly high stellar variability in the 1083 nm line decreased the sensitivity of our observations.

The Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS) has vastly increased the sample of small transiting planets that are amenable to atmospheric characterization, including the aforementioned HD 63433 system. TESS recently identified TOI 560.01 (HD 73583b), a 2.83 \(\pm 0.10\) \(R_\oplus\), 10.1 \(\pm 3.0\) \(M_\oplus\) planet orbiting a K4V star with a 6.4 day period (Barragán et al. 2021). This star is young: the SuperWASP project’s photometry reveals a robustly detected rotation period of 12 days, corresponding to a gyrochronological age of \(\sim 600\) Myr. It is also close by, with a distance of 31.6 pc and a J-band magnitude of 7.6. The age and spectral type of the star, the size of the planet, and the closeness of the system combine to make TOI 560.01 an exceptionally favorable mini-Neptune for probing helium mass loss. In addition, the planet has an outer companion at \(P = 18.9\) day with a very similar radius, making comparative mass-loss studies possible.

In this paper, we use Keck/NIRSPEC to measure the helium absorption signal from TOI 560.01 and XMM to measure the star’s high-energy spectrum. We then compare our helium measurement to predictions from 1D and 3D photoevaporative mass-loss models. We describe the observations in Section 2, our analysis of the data in Section 3, our reconstruction of stellar properties in Section 4, and our modeling of the outflow in Section 5. We discuss in Section 6, and summarize our conclusions in Section 7.

2. Observations and Data Reduction

2.1. Keck/NIRSPEC

We used Keck/NIRSPEC to observe a full transit of TOI 560.01 on 2021 March 18 (UTC) and a partial transit on April 19, 2021 UTC (40% of \(T_{14}\)). All observations were obtained in \(Y\) band using the high-resolution mode with the 12 \(\times 0.432^\prime\) slit, resulting in a spectral resolution of 25,000. We used 60 s exposure times, and adopted an ABBA nod pattern to help subtract background. Because we only used one coadd per exposure, we achieved a high efficiency of 77% for these observations. On March 17, the sky was clear and the seeing was 0\(^{\prime}\)6–0\(^{\prime}\)7. We observed 0.7 hr of pre-transit baseline, the 2.1 hr transit, and 0.6 hr of post-transit baseline. We achieved a typical S/N of 170 per spectral pixel in one 60 s exposure. On April 18, the seeing was better (0\(^{\prime}\)5 at both beginning and end of night), but there were sporadic cirrus clouds that caused large fluctuations in the water column density. We observed 1.9 hr of pre-transit baseline and 0.8 hr of transit before the target sank too low to observe. On this second night, we achieved a higher average S/N of 200 per spectral pixel, but with more weather-induced variability.

We calibrated the raw images and extracted 1D spectra for each order using a custom Python pipeline designed for the upgraded NIRSPEC. The pipeline is described in detail in Zhang et al. (2021b), but we summarize it here, along with the target-specific differences in our reduction for TOI 560. First, the pipeline subtracts crosstalk from each raw frame. Then, it uses the master flat to compute a calibrated A–B difference image for each A/B pair. The spectral trace containing the 1083 nm line (spanning 10803–11008 \(\AA\)) is identified, and we perform optimal spectral extraction to obtain spectra along with their errors. A template is computed from a model stellar spectrum and model tellurics, with the stellar spectrum shifted in wavelength to account for the star’s average Earth-relative radial velocity during that night. We use the template to derive the wavelength solution for each individual spectrum.

After extracting the 1D spectra, we remove tellurics. In Zhang et al. (2021b), we did this by running SYSREM, which can be thought of as Principal Component Analysis with error bars (Mazeh et al. 2007). However, we have subsequently found that, while SYSREM performs excellently in removing tellurics, it also removes half the planetary signal unless the pipeline is fixed to a Gaussian with a FWHM of 3.5 pixels, a default setting for the rapidly varying water column density. We used molecfit (Smeele et al. 2015), which models tellurics using a meteorological model for Earth’s temperature–pressure profile at the time and place of observation, but allows the user to fit for the rapidly varying water column density. We fit for the water column density using narrow wavelength ranges containing strong telluric lines while avoiding any stellar lines. We also fit for the continuum with a line. The line spread profile is fixed to a Gaussian with a FWHM of 3.5 pixels, a value we settled upon after several fits to different spectra (with the FWHM as a free parameter) converged upon similar values. The chosen value of 3.5 pixels is close to the theoretical value.
of 3 pixels for the slit we used. After fitting the water lines, molecfit produces a telluric-corrected spectrum across the full wavelength range of the order containing the helium line, which we adopt for the rest of the analysis.

Having obtained wavelength-calibrated and telluric-corrected spectra, we interpolate all spectra onto a common wavelength grid with a uniformly logarithmic spacing of \(\lambda/110,000\) and a range of 10810–10850 Å. We remove fringing by applying a notch filter twice, using exactly the same parameters described in Zhang et al. (2021b). We divide each spectrum by the continuum, take the logarithm of the entire spectral grid, and subtract the mean of every row and column from that row and column. This results in a residuals grid: an \(N_{\text{obs}} \times N_{\text{wav}}\) grid of numbers representing the relative deviation of a pixel from the mean for that row and column. For every column (wavelength), we subtract the mean of the out-of-transit part of the residuals image for that column; we then invert the residuals image. The residuals image now gives excess absorption relative to the out-of-transit baseline. However, there are continuum variations that cause structure in this image. We mask out the helium line, mask out the strong lines because optimal extraction performs poorly with them (Zhang et al. 2021b), fit a third-order polynomial to each row (epoch) with respect to wavelength, and subtract off the polynomial.

### 2.2. XMM-Newton

On April 21, XMM-Newton measured the star’s X-ray and MUV spectrum, which are crucial for modeling photoevaporative mass loss and predicting the metastable helium population. We observed the system for a total of 13 ks as part of XMM prop. ID 088287 (PI: Michael Zhang). We configured the EPIC cameras to observe with the medium filter and small window, giving us 97% observing efficiency on the two MOS CCDs and 71% efficiency on the one pn CCD. We configured the Optical Monitor to observe the star in the UVM2 filter (231 ± 48 nm) for 7.2 ks and the UVW2 filter (212 ± 50 nm) for 4.4 ks. Although these observations are not simultaneous with the helium mass-loss observations, they were taken a month after the first helium observation, a short time compared to typical stellar activity cycles.

To analyze XMM-Newton data, we download the raw Observation Data File (ODF) and use the Science Analysis System (SAS) provided by the XMM-Newton team to reduce it. We run xmmextractor to obtain spectra from the ODF with default settings. For the Optical Monitor, SAS reports the count rate in the UVW2 and UVM2 filters, the two mid-ultraviolet filters we selected. For each of the three EPIC detectors, SAS generates the light curve and the background-subtracted spectrum.

For the pn detector, we find that the automatic reduction by xmmextractor leads to significantly negative fluxes at 0.23–0.28 keV, which is unphysical. Therefore, we use SAS to manually reduce the pn data by defining the source region as a circle 17″5 in radius, and the background region as an annulus centered on the source with an inner radius of 20″ and an outer radius of 35″. Defined in this way, the spectrum no longer has significantly negative fluxes around 0.25 keV.

### 3. Analysis of Helium Transit Observations

Figure 1 shows the excess absorption in the 1083 nm metastable helium triplet as a function of time and wavelength, for both nights of observation with Keck/NIRSPEC. To compute the excess absorption, we adopt a baseline that includes all pre-ingress spectra and (for the first night) all spectra taken more than 12 minutes after egress. Ingress and egress are computed purely based on the white light ephemeris. Figure 2 shows the band-integrated light curve for each night in the helium line. We detect strong absorption starting from the white light ingress, reaching a maximum of 1.7% half an hour after the midpoint of the white light transit, and declining quickly after white light egress. The excess helium absorption begins on time on both nights, but ends ~10 minute late on the first night, the only night we captured the egress. The band-integrated excess absorption is \(\sim 0.7\%\), and the equivalent width of the planetary absorption is \(7 \pm 0.4 \text{ mA}\). The absorption spans at least 1.5 Å, corresponding to a velocity spread of \(40 \text{ km s}^{-1}\)—far higher than the escape velocity from the planetary surface of \(15 \text{ km s}^{-1}\), or the escape velocity from the approximate helium absorption radius (\(\sim 4R_p\)) of 7.5 km s\(^{-1}\). This shows that the atmosphere is escaping, because velocity dispersion is the only significant broadening mechanism: natural broadening is of order 0.01 km s\(^{-1}\), the equatorial rotational velocity of the planet is 0.2 km s\(^{-1}\), and pressure broadening is \(\sim 10^{-11} \text{ km s}^{-1}\) at picobar pressures.
because it is $\sim 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ at atmospheric pressure (Niermann et al. 2010). For unknown reasons, the helium flux rises by 0.2% right before ingress on the first night. Since we do not see the same brightening on the second night, and since there is no plausible way the planetary outflow can cause the star to brighten before the transit, we attribute this brightening to stellar activity. The helium line is well known to be a tracer of chromospheric activity, which is more intense in younger stars. In previous observations, we monitored the young G5 star HD 63433 for two nights and saw $\sim 0.2\%$ variability on both nights (Zhang et al. 2021a).

In Figure 2, we examine the wavelength dependence of the transit-averaged excess absorption signal from the first night. We find that it is redshifted by $\sim 0.14 \text{ Å}$ relative to the radial velocity of the planet, corresponding to a velocity of $\sim 4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The 1D absorption spectrum peaks at 0.68% $\pm 0.08\%$ and declines quickly in the red wing but slowly in the blue wing, consistent with gas being pushed toward the observer by radiation pressure or stellar wind. This extended tail of blueshifted absorption is similar to that observed for WASP-107b (Allart et al. 2019), a planet with a far more extended egress in the integrated helium light curve. In Figure 4, we divide the transit into thirds and show the excess absorption spectrum for each third. Consistent with the other plots, there is slightly redshifted absorption in each part, with the final third having much stronger absorption than the first third.

In Figure 5, we compare the excess absorption spectrum for the two nights averaged over the in-transit phases observed on the second night. We find that the absorption observed on the second night appears slightly stronger and more blueshifted than it did during the equivalent time window on the first night. The two nights are otherwise consistent. To quantify the significance of the differences between the two nights, we used nested sampling as implemented by dynasty (Speagle 2020) to fit a Gaussian to the excess absorption spectra plotted in Figure 5, with three free parameters: amplitude, standard deviation, and mean. We find a mean position of 10833.06 $\pm 0.15 \text{ Å}$ on night 1 and 10832.70 $\pm 0.06 \text{ Å}$ on night 2, a difference of 0.36 $\pm 0.16 \text{ Å}$ (10 $\pm 4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in velocity space). The amplitude was 0.22% $\pm 0.04\%$ for night 1 and 0.40% $\pm 0.04\%$ on night 2, for a difference of 0.18% $\pm 0.06\%$. These statistical tests confirm what visual inspection shows: on night 2, the helium absorption was marginally stronger and marginally bluer. Further observations are necessary to determine whether this variability is due to underestimated error bars, a consequence of poorly understood stellar variability, or a change in the properties of TOI 560.01’s outflow.
On the second night of observation, the stellar helium line was slightly narrower (by 8%) than on the first night, but of indistinguishable depth (to within 1.5%) and position (to within 0.5 km s\(^{-1}\)). Minor differences in the stellar line do not affect our results for the planetary excess absorption, which is computed by comparing in-transit and out-of-transit spectra on the same night.

3.1. Possible Causes of the Observed Redshift

The redshifted absorption peak seen during the first night (Figure 1 and 3) is unusual. Aside from HAT-P-32b (Czesla et al. 2022), none of the gas giant planets with spectrally resolved helium observations have exhibited a similar redshift (e.g., Salz et al. 2018; Allart et al. 2019; Palle et al. 2020), and material flowing away from the star should appear blueshifted during transit. If the observed redshift is due to the geometry of TOI 560.01’s atmospheric outflow, it would suggest that TOI 560.01 has unusual outflow properties, perhaps implying an unexpected weak stellar wind. However, we must first ascertain whether the apparent redshift is real.

The apparent redshift is unlikely to be due to wavelength calibration uncertainties. NIRSPEC does experience wavelength drift over the course of a night (Kasper et al. 2022), but our wavelength calibration is performed independently on every spectrum, so drift does not affect us. In addition, we computed the wavelength solutions with an alternate method that uses the median observed spectrum instead of the theoretical spectrum as a template. Comparing the results, we conclude that the wavelength solution for each spectrum is accurate to at least 1 km s\(^{-1}\).

The apparent redshift is also not due to ephemeris uncertainties. The ephemeris predicts the transit midpoint on the first night with a 1\(\sigma\) uncertainty of 1 minute, during which time the planet accelerates by 0.07 km s\(^{-1}\). This is much smaller than the observed redshift of \(\sim\)4 km s\(^{-1}\). Similarly, uncertainties on the stellar mass and semimajor axis can only change the acceleration of the planet by \(\sim\)7%. Since the planet accelerates from \(-4.5\) km s\(^{-1}\) to \(+4.5\) km s\(^{-1}\) during the transit, a 7% change is insufficient to account for the observed redshift.

The final source of uncertainty is the eccentricity. To obtain the radial velocity of the planet, we assumed a perfectly circular orbit. However, Mills et al. 2019 used the transit durations of 1000 Kepler planets, combined with accurate stellar radii from the California-Kepler Survey and Gaia, to statistically infer typical eccentricities. They obtained a mean eccentricity of 0.05 for systems with multiple transiting planets. The star–planet distance changes at a maximum rate of \(K_e\), where \(K\) is the orbital speed; since \(K_p = 102\) km s\(^{-1}\) for TOI 560.01, an eccentricity of 0.05 can cause an apparent helium signal redshift of up to 5.1 km s\(^{-1}\). Barragán et al. (2021) constrained the eccentricity to \(0.10^{+0.08}_{-0.07}\) using a joint fit to the radial velocity and light curve, which is consistent with both 0 and 0.05. However, their radial velocity fit results in a planetary radial velocity of \(-7.4^{+7.2}_{-6.3}\) km s\(^{-1}\) at mid-transit, which is in the wrong direction, albeit consistent with a 4 km s\(^{-1}\) redshift to within 2\(\sigma\). We are therefore unable to differentiate between a redshift caused by a nonzero orbital eccentricity and one caused by the geometry of the outflow.

3.2. Telluric Absorption

As we mention in Section 2.1, a telluric water absorption line overlaps with the red end of the stellar helium line, and we use molecfit to correct for this telluric absorption. One might wonder whether imperfect telluric correction impacts our analysis, and in particular, whether it might be the cause of the apparent redshift in the absorption signal.

Figure 6 shows the median correction that molecfit applied to the in-transit and out-of-transit spectra. Although the total correction was, at maximum, 2%—which is comparable to the highest excess absorption from the planet—the correction is nearly identical for the in-transit and out-of-transit spectra, with a difference of only 0.12%. However, the out-of-transit spectra span a larger range of airmass than the in-transit spectra, so comparing only the median correction factors does not tell the whole story.

To get a better idea of the effects of telluric correction, we looked at two other telluric lines redward of the helium line: one with a slightly lower absorption depth (1.5%), and one with a significantly higher absorption depth (4%). Figure 7 shows the excess absorption spectrum (both 2D and 1D) in the region of wavelength space around these lines. For both lines, there are no statistically significant features in either plot. In the 1D spectrum, there do appear to be small (0.1%) dips redward of both telluric lines. These dips are probably coincidental, but if not, they would only strengthen our conclusion that the helium absorption is redshifted.

3.3. RM and CLV

The Rossiter–Mclaughlin (RM) effect and center-to-limb variations (CLV) plague high-resolution transit spectroscopy of giant exoplanets. They introduce pseudo-signals that can be a substantial portion of the helium absorption signal for planets like HD 189733b (Salz et al. 2018).

The RM effect occurs when the planet or its escaping atmosphere blocks out a portion of the rotating stellar disk. Because the portion it blocks out has a nonzero rotational velocity, the star appears to experience a radial velocity change during the course of the transit. Combining the radius and rotational period of TOI 560, we calculate a rotational speed of 2.8 km s\(^{-1}\). If escaping atmosphere blocks 0.7% of the limb, an apparent radial velocity shift of (0.7%)(2.8) = 0.02 km s\(^{-1}\) is created. To estimate the effect this has on the excess absorption, we multiply by the maximum derivative of the relative flux in the vicinity of the helium line \(\frac{d\ln F}{dv}\) = 0.02 km s\(^{-1}\). We obtain 0.04%, well below the average excess absorption of 0.7%, and below our noise.
The CLV effect occurs because line centers experience different limb darkening from the continuum. Standard stellar spectra libraries such as PHOENIX (Husser et al. 2013) and MARCS (Van Eck et al. 2017) do not model the chromosphere, and therefore do not include the helium line. Without knowing how the helium line depth varies across the stellar surface, it is impossible to precisely model the CLV, but we can do an approximate calculation. de Jager et al. (1966) reported that, for the Sun, the center \((\theta = 0^\circ)\) has a 10833Å line depth of 6% while the edge \((\theta = 70^\circ)\) has a line depth of 10%. TOI 560 has a much deeper 10833Å line, at 30%. If the center of the star has a line depth of 20% and the edge has a depth of 40%, when the escaping atmosphere blocks 1.7% of the center of the stellar disk, the stellar pseudo-signal would increase the apparent helium signal by \((0.10\times0.017) = 0.017\). This is not negligible, but it is a small fraction of the total signal. It is not possible under any circumstances for the entire helium signal to be due to CLV, because the white light transit depth is only 0.16%, far smaller than the measured helium signal.

4. The Star
4.1. Age

We estimate the star’s age with gyrochronology. The star has a rotation period of 12.2 ± 0.3 day and a mass of 0.71 ± 0.02M⊙ (Barragán et al. 2021). It has a B–V color of 1.112 ± 0.001, from the Hipparcos input catalog (Turon et al. 1993). Following the work of Schlaufman (2010), which relates age to stellar mass and rotation period, we obtain an age of 635 ± 40 Myr. Then, following Mamajek & Hillenbrand (2008), who relate age to B–V color and rotation period, we obtain 540 ± 80 Myr.

The error bars for the first estimate are purely statistical, with no model uncertainty, while the error bars for the second estimate include the statistical uncertainties of the model parameters.

4.2. X-Ray Observations

We analyzed the XMM data using the approach described in Zhang et al. (2021a), which we summarize here. We used xspec to fit a model consisting of two components of optically thin, collisional plasma in equilibrium. We also tried one and three components, but found that two components minimizes the Bayesian Information Criterion. We show the data and fitted model in Figure 8, and list the corresponding model parameters in Table 1. The model is a good fit to the data for all three EPIC detectors, and the fit parameters are consistent with expectations for a moderately active star. As discussed in Wood & Linsky (2010) and Zhang et al. (2021a), coronal metallicities are often lower than the equivalent photospheric metallicities.

From the model fits, we derive a 5–100Å flux of \(1.05 \pm 0.06 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}\). However, the star’s intrinsic variability is likely to be much higher than the reported precision.
is typical for X-ray observations of active stars, the light curve is measured by the EPIC detectors during the 12 ks observation. As with EM2 and EM1, and 0.585 \pm 0.06 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}

\text{flux}^a (\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2})

\text{Table 1}

| Parameter         | Value          |
|------------------|---------------|
| Metallicity      | 0.60 \pm 0.26 |
| $kT_1$ (keV)     | 0.25 \pm 0.03 |
| $EM_1$ (cm$^{-3}$)| 7.3 \pm 2.5 \times 10^{20} |
| $kT_2$ (keV)     | 0.94 \pm 0.13 |
| $EM_2$ (cm$^{-3}$)| 2.2 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{20} |

Note.

\text{a Derived, not a fit parameter. For the range 5–100 Å (0.124–2.48 keV).}

for this flux measurement. Figure 9 shows the light curve measured by the EPIC detectors during the 12 ks observation. As is typical for X-ray observations of active stars, the light curve is variable at the 20% level on kilosecond timescales.

4.3. Ly$\alpha$ and EUV

The star’s extreme UV flux (roughly 100–912 Å) is the predominant driver of photoevaporative mass loss. Unfortunately, no EUV telescopes currently exist, and strong interstellar absorption makes it difficult to accurately measure EUV flux from even the closest stars. To obtain the EUV spectrum, we use the scaling relations of Linsky et al. (2014), which give the EUV flux in 100 Å bins with respect to the Ly$\alpha$ flux. Unfortunately, there are also no measurements of the star’s Ly$\alpha$ flux. To compute the flux, we follow Linsky et al. (2013), who provide a scaling relation with respect to the rotation period and another with respect to the X-ray flux, with mean dispersions of 32% and 22%, respectively. For TOI 560, both scaling relations give the same Ly$\alpha$ flux: 18 erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ at 1 au.

4.4. MUV

The 1230–2588 Å stellar flux (which we will call “MUV”) ionizes triplet-state helium, but does not contribute to producing it, because it cannot ionize hydrogen or helium. It therefore plays a crucial role in determining the size of the metastable helium population. To quantify the MUV luminosity, we observed the star in two MUV filters using XMM-Newton’s Optical Monitor. The Optical Monitor recorded a count rate of $0.654 \pm 0.011 \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the UVM2 filter and $0.565 \pm 0.015 \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the UVW2 filter. Correcting for the minor coincidence losses, we obtain count rates of $0.679 \pm 0.011 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $0.585 \pm 0.015 \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively.

As a starting point for the UV spectrum, we used a PHOENIX model (Husser et al. 2013) for a star with $T_{\text{eff}} = 4600 \text{ K}$, $\log(g) = 4.5$, and [M/H] = 0. We then rescaled this model to reflect the radius of the star, its distance, and the effective areas of the filters as a function of wavelength, and used it to predict the count rate in each filter. We obtain $0.41 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for UVM2 and $0.45 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for UVW2, substantially below the measured values. To rectify the mismatch, we multiplied the PHOENIX UV flux (1230–3500 Å) by a factor of 1.67, bringing the predicted count rates (0.677 and 0.586 s$^{-1}$) into exact alignment with our measurements.

4.5. Reconstructed Stellar Spectrum

Figure 10 shows the reconstructed stellar spectrum, while Table 2 lists the corresponding band-integrated fluxes. The error bars on the band-integrated fluxes, which are very approximate, are computed using the methodology of Zhang et al. (2021a). We find that the ratio of MUV to XUV (X-ray + EUV) flux for this star is 0.6, which is relatively low. For the young solar analog HD 63433, this ratio is 63 (Zhang et al. 2021a). As expected, K-type stars like TOI 560 have lower MUV-to-XUV ratios than G stars (Oklopcic 2019). Low MUV-to-XUV ratios are more favorable for helium observations because the MUV flux destroys triplet helium while the XUV flux, although capable of destroying triplet helium, also ionizes hydrogen and helium. This creates the electrons and ionized helium, which then recombine to produce triplet-state helium.

4.6. Stellar Wind

The stellar wind shapes the planetary outflow by confining it and pushing it away from the star, creating a Coriolis force that can lead to the formation of a comet-like tail. However, the
density and speed of the solar wind are highly variable with time, and there are few observational constraints on winds from other stars. To infer the stellar wind conditions, we rely on Wood et al. (2005), which used atmospheric absorption to characterize the mass-loss rates of a handful of nearby stars. This absorption occurs where the stellar wind collides with the interstellar medium and charge exchange creates a population of hot neutral hydrogen, which then absorbs the stellar Lyα emission line. In order to translate a measured Lyα profile into a mass-loss rate, one must accurately model the intrinsic stellar Lyα emission, the population of energetic neutral atoms, and the neutral hydrogen of the interstellar medium along the line of sight, none of which are trivial problems. Despite these challenges, Wood et al. (2005) were able to use their measured mass-loss rates to derive a scaling relation with the star’s X-ray flux. We use this relation to obtain an estimated mass-loss rate of 11 times solar for TOI 560. We further assume that the wind speed is comparable to that of the Sun (400 km s$^{-1}$), and use these values for our fiducial 3D models described in Section 5.2.

The mass-loss rate we derived is consistent with the new data presented by Wood et al. (2021a; their Figure 10). However, the new data also show that, among the seven G/K dwarfs with an X-ray flux similar to TOI 560, the range in inferred mass-loss rate per unit surface area is over 200×. Unfortunately, means it is impossible to predict the stellar mass-loss rate to even an order of magnitude with any confidence.

5. Modeling

We model the outflow using two different hydrodynamic codes: The PLUTO-CLOUDY Interface (TPCI), a 1D code developed by Salz et al. (2015a); and Microthena, a 3D code developed by Wang & Dai (2018). Both codes have been extensively used to study photoevaporation (e.g., Salz et al. 2015b, 2016; Kasper et al. 2022; Zhang et al. 2021b for TPCI; Wang & Dai 2021a, 2021b; Zhang et al. 2021a for Microthena). As we discuss below, we view the 3D simulations as a more accurate predictor of the observed helium signal during transit, because photoevaporation is fundamentally a 3D problem. Different areas of the planet receive different stellar irradiation levels, and the stellar wind cannot be ignored for young and active stars. Nevertheless, we find it useful to run both models for two reasons. First, 1D models much simpler than TPCI are widely used to interpret helium observations, most commonly variants of the Parker wind model proposed by Oklopčić & Hirata (2018); e.g., Kasper et al. 2022). By running both 1D and 3D models for TOI 560.01 and comparing their predictions, we can evaluate the magnitude of the error introduced by assumptions of radial symmetry for this planet. Second, there are aspects of the problem that TPCI handles better than our 3D models, which must use a simplified physics framework in order to remain computationally tractable. In particular, Microthena divides radiation into just seven energy bins and only computes atomic line cooling from a small number of species, while TPCI propagates the entire continuum and computes line cooling from all neutral and ionized species. We therefore take advantage of TPCI’s sophisticated radiative transfer to explore the qualitative effects of increasing metallicity (up to 100× solar) on the absorption signature. This is a topic that has been relatively unexplored in the literature to date, but TOI 560.01’s small size and high bulk density mean that it could easily host a metal-rich atmosphere.

5.1. One-dimensional TPCI Models

TPCI is a combination of two sophisticated and widely used codes: the hydrodynamic solver PLUTO (Mignone et al. 2007), and the plasma simulation and spectral synthesis code CLOUDY (Ferland et al. 2013). CLOUDY computes the equation of state and heating and cooling rates and provides these to PLUTO; PLUTO evolves the fluid using the Euler equations and provides the new conditions to CLOUDY, restarting the cycle.

We set up the simulations following the methodology of Zhang et al. (2021b), which in turn is based on the methodology of Salz et al. (2015b). Briefly, we set the lower boundary condition at a radius of 2.9 $R_\odot$, a particle number density of 10$^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$, and a pressure computed from the number density and a pressure of $P = nk_BT_{eq} = 10.2$ dynes cm$^{-2}$ (assuming $T_{eq} = 740$ K). The planetary mass is fixed at 9$M_\oplus$, derived from the mass-radius relation of Chen & Kipping (2016) and consistent with the RV-measured mass of 10.06$^{+0.24}_{-0.20}$$M_\odot$. The stellar spectrum is derived in Section 4. In Zhang et al. (2021b), we did not include any metals or molecules; here, we continue to neglect molecules, but include metals with a solar abundance greater than 10$^{-5}$: C, N, O, Ne, Mg, Si, S, and Fe. For our four simulations, the abundances of these metals are scaled from solar by respective factors of 1, 10, 30, and 100, and the mean molecular weights are adjusted accordingly. At $Z = 1$, hydrogen makes up 92% of the atoms, helium 8%, and the metals less than 0.1%. By weight, the ratios are 74%, 25%, and 1.3%. At $Z = 100$, even though the metals comprise only 9% of the atoms, they comprise 57% of the mass; hydrogen and helium are only 32% and 11%, respectively. At this metallicity, hydrogen and helium become minor species by mass.

We evolve each simulation for at least 100 time units without advection, where 1 unit is approximately the sound crossing time of $R_p/(10$ km s$^{-1})$. We then evolve the simulation for at least 10 time units with advection turned on (100 units for $Z = 1, 10$, and 30), at which point we perform a visual inspection to ensure convergence has been reached. We use the conditions at the last time step to compute the helium absorption depth. Since the outflow is 3D and we only simulate the substellar point, we must rescale the model predictions to approximate the 3D geometry. Stone & Proga (2009) showed that the mass-loss rate is higher by a factor of four in the spherically symmetric simulation, and we therefore adopt this as our rescaling factor, as was done by Salz et al. (2016). We implement the rescaling by dividing both the velocities and the densities by two, because this gives a helium line width comparable to the observed width. Given that photoevaporation is inherently a 3D process, there is no “right” way to obtain a transit spectrum from a 1D simulation.
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Table 3
Summary of Model Predictions

| Dim | Model | $M$ (M$_\odot$/Gyr) | Peak (%) | EW (mA) |
|-----|-------|---------------------|----------|---------|
| 1   | $Z = 1$ | 0.32 | 4.3 | 39.6 |
| 1   | $Z = 10$ | 0.42 | 6.1 | 47.0 |
| 1   | $Z = 30$ | 0.37 | 5.1 | 35.0 |
| 1   | $Z = 100$ | 0.13 | 1.7 | 9.6 |
| 3   | Fiducial | 0.12 | 2.5 | 23.3 |
| 3   | $Z = 10$ | 0.11 | 2.6 | 23.0 |
| 3   | $Z = 30$ | 0.10 | 2.3 | 19.7 |
| 3   | $Z = 100$ | 0.086 | 1.7 | 12.5 |
| 3   | $0.5\rho_k$ | 0.12 | 1.9 | 22.3 |
| 3   | $0.5\rho_k$ | 0.12 | 2.3 | 20.5 |
| 3   | Best | 0.041 | 0.9 | 11.4 |
| 3   | Data | ? | 0.68 ± 0.08 | 7.0 ± 0.4 |

The model with a metallicity of 100× solar has the weakest predicted helium absorption signal. However, Figure 11 shows that the predicted helium absorption signal does not decrease monotonically with increasing metallicity. In fact, the helium signals for the 10× and 30× solar metallicity models are stronger than for the solar metallicity model. The mass-loss rate follows a similar pattern: we obtain 0.32, 0.42, 0.37, and 0.13 $M_\odot$ Gyr$^{-1}$ for the 1×, 10×, 30×, and 100× metallicities, respectively. The velocity at the edge of our simulation ($15R_p$) decreases with increasing metallicity, from 29 km s$^{-1}$ to 20 km s$^{-1}$, while the location of the sonic point increases slightly with metallicity, with a minimum value of 2.5 $R_p$ at $Z = 1$ and a maximum value of 3.1 $R_p$ at $Z = 100$. The density is fairly similar: 50% higher for $Z = 10$ and 30 than for $Z = 1$, 40% lower for $Z = 100$ than for $Z = 1$.

While the density in the far regions is similar between the 1× and 100× solar metallicity models, $n_e$ is 2.5× lower in the 100× solar model, while $n_{\text{HeII}}$ is 6× lower. Since triplet helium is primarily produced by recombination at a rate of $n_e n_{\text{HeII}} \alpha$ and destroyed by photoionization, and the recombination coefficient $\alpha$ is a factor of two lower in the higher metallicity case due to the higher temperature (Pequignot et al. 1991), one would expect the triplet helium density in the outer regions to be 30× lower—in approximate agreement with the TPCI models. We ascribe the low $n_e$ at high metallicity to the lower mass density, and to the lower number of easily ionizable electrons per unit mass. For example, if we define “easily ionizable” as having an ionization energy smaller than 54 eV (the second ionization energy of helium), hydrogen and helium have one easily ionizable electron per amu, carbon has 0.25, neon has 0.1, and iron has 0.07. The lower $n_{\text{HeII}}$ at high metallicity is due to a combination of helium being less abundant (11% by mass versus 25%) and the second-ionized fraction being higher (77% versus 56%), leaving fewer helium atoms in the singly ionized state. The latter, in turn, is due to a combination of $n_e$ being 2.5× lower, and the temperature-dependent helium recombination coefficient being a factor of two lower. In short, helium absorption drops dramatically at high metallicities, largely because metals begin dominating heating and cooling rates.

The temperature profile is governed by the equation of energy conservation (Murray-Clay et al. 2009):

$$\rho v \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{kT}{(\gamma - 1)\mu} \right) = \frac{k_B T v}{\mu} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} + \Gamma + \Lambda,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Figure 11 shows how the resulting excess absorption spectrum varies as a function of metallicity, while Table 3 lists the mass-loss rate, peak absorption, and equivalent width of the absorption. Despite our rescaling to account for 3D effects, we find that our 1D models systematically overpredict the measured helium absorption signal, which peaks at 1.7%.

Figure 11. Excess absorption spectrum (top), triplet helium number density (middle), and temperatures (bottom) predicted by TPCI as a function of metallicity.

Table 3
Summary of Model Predictions
where the left-hand term represents advection and the three right-hand terms represent adiabatic cooling, radiative heating, and radiative cooling. We plot all four terms in Figure 12 for the Z = 1 and Z = 100 simulations. At Z = 1, adiabatic cooling is more important than radiative cooling at nearly all radii; at Z = 100, radiative cooling is more important than adiabatic below 4 \( R_p \).

We plot the radiative heating and cooling rates in Figure 13, which reveals a complex interplay between different processes. In the solar metallicity model, cooling is dominated by free-free emission below 1.6 \( R_p \), hydrogen line emission between 2 and 2.9 \( R_p \), and recombination after 3.5 \( R_p \). Metal cooling still matters in this model—Fe II compromises 30% of cooling from 1.6–2.0 \( R_p \) and 2.9–3.4 \( R_p \), for example—but hydrogen is clearly the most important coolant. As the metallicity increases, metals become more and more prominent coolants. The cooling in the 100\( \times \) solar metallicity model is dominated by a diverse array of metal lines, including Na I, Ca II, Mg II, O III, and Fe VI, among many others. In the solar metallicity model, the heating at radii beyond 1.3 \( R_p \) is dominated by a combination of photoionization of H I, He I, and (beyond 9 \( R_p \)) He II; below 1.3 \( R_p \), it is dominated by a combination of line heating and photoionization of metals like Si I. The same pattern holds for the 10 \( \times \) solar metallicity model. At a metallicity of 30\( \times \) solar, H I photoionization is only the most significant heating contribution from 1.9 to 4.5 \( R_p \). At a metallicity of 100\( \times \) solar, it is only the most significant contributor from 1.7 to 2.4 \( R_p \), with a diverse array of metals in various ionization states (like O II and Si I) dominating at larger radii.

These changes in heating and cooling cause changes in the temperature profile shown in Figure 11. In the solar metallicity model, the temperature profile peaks at 2.49\( R_p \) and 10,000 K, declining to 2800 K at 15\( R_p \). As the metallicity increases, the peak moves to lower temperatures and larger radii, while the temperature in the outer regions increases. By the time the metallicity reaches 100\( \times \) solar, the temperature peak has become more of an asymptote, as the temperature rises to 8000 K at 6 \( R_p \) and then stays nearly constant beyond that point. Consistent with this behavior, the total radiative cooling is significantly lower than the total heating in the solar metallicity model, but the two become comparable in the outer regions (>6\( R_p \)) in the highest metallicity model.

5.2. Three-dimensional Microthena Hydrodynamic Models

Our 3D models utilize the approach outlined in Wang & Dai (2018, 2021a), and Zhang et al. (2021a), which combines ray-tracing radiative transfer, real-time nonequilibrium thermochemistry, and hydrodynamics based on the higher-order Godunov method code Athena++ (Stone et al. 2020). As in Zhang et al. (2021a), we perform radiative transfer by dividing photons into seven energy bins for the ray-tracing calculation: 1.4, 7, 12, 16, 47, 300, and 3000 eV, meant to represent IR/optical/NUV, FUV, Lyman–Werner photons (which photo-dissociate molecular hydrogen), soft EUV, hard EUV, soft X-rays, and hard X-rays respectively.

We first run the model for the fiducial parameters: a planet mass of 9 \( M_\oplus \) (consistent with the RV mass and mass–radius relation) and radius 2.9 \( R_\oplus \), the stellar spectrum derived in Section 4.5, a solar metallicity atmosphere, and a stellar wind with a solar velocity (400 km s\(^{-1}\)) and an 11\( \times \) solar mass-loss rate (see Section 4.6). We then selectively vary every parameter except the planet mass in an attempt to find a best-fit model. Microthena takes about one day to run a model on a GPU cluster, so running more than a handful of models is infeasible.

The top panel of Figure 14 shows the predicted absorption from the fiducial model with respect to time and wavelength, while the bottom panel compares the predicted average transit excess absorption spectrum to the observations. This fiducial model significantly overpredicts the observed signal, with a predicted peak three times higher than the measured value. The model absorption peak is also blueshifted by 15 km s\(^{-1}\), while the measured peak is redshifted by \( \sim 4 \) km s\(^{-1}\). Finally, the fiducial model outflow contains two components, while the observed signal appears to be unimodal. It is particularly difficult to explain the large discrepancy between the predicted and measured peak locations, as velocity blue and redshifts are a near-universal feature of all 3D outflow models (Wang & Dai 2018, 2021a). If this 15 km s\(^{-1}\) discrepancy between model and data is due to a nonzero orbital eccentricity, it would be in severe tension with the radial velocity data, which indicates the planet is moving toward us at
Interestingly, while the amplitude of the simulated signal is much too large, the band-integrated light curve has a shape similar to that of the observed light curve (see Figure 2). Both model and data exhibit a slow increase in absorption from ingress to 0.5 hr past the white light mid-transit, followed by a fast decrease.

The poor match between the fiducial model and the data prompted us to try different combinations of metallicity, stellar wind speed, and stellar wind density. Figure 14 shows the excess absorption spectrum of the different models we tried, compared to each other and to the data, while Table 3 reports their mass-loss rates, peak absorption, and equivalent width of the absorption. The qualitative behavior of the model when the metallicity is increased is very similar to the behavior of TPCI: the strength of helium absorption is similar at $Z = 1, 10,$ and 30, but substantially lower at $Z = 100$. In both models, absorption changes non-monotonically with metallicity, reaching its peak at $Z = 10$. The 3D models reveal that metallicity only marginally affects the blueshift. In addition to increasing the metallicity, we tried halving the stellar wind density, which resulted in broader but slightly less blueshifted absorption with a slightly lower (by $\sim 30\%$) peak. We also tried halving the stellar wind speed, which marginally decreased the absorption peak and equivalent width, but decreased the blueshift by $10\ km\ s^{-1}$. For all of these models, the mass-loss rate remains remarkably similar: it decreases slightly with metallicity, from $0.12\ M_\odot\ Gyr^{-1}$ at $Z = 1$ to $0.086\ M_\odot\ Gyr^{-1}$ at $Z = 100$, but the fiducial, $0.5\rho_\ast$, and $0.5v_\ast$ models have mass-loss rates within several percent of each other.

Because all of the models that assume the fiducial stellar spectrum substantially overpredict the observed absorption, we also tried rescaling the input EUV flux. Since the outflow is driven largely by EUV heating, and triplet helium is mostly produced by EUV-driven photoionization followed by recombination of electrons with helium, lowering the EUV flux should lower the corresponding absorption signal. In the end, the model we found that best matches the observations (labeled “Best” in all the plots) has $1/3$ the nominal EUV, a stellar wind with a speed of $500\ km\ s^{-1}$, and a metallicity of $10\times$. As a result of the low EUV flux, the mass-loss rate is $1/3$ fiducial (Table 3); as a result of the low mass-loss rate and the higher stellar wind speed, the outflow is more confined. In the middle and lower panels of Figure 14, we show results for this best-fitting model. This model is a much better match to the

Figure 13. Top row: radiative cooling (left panel) and radiative heating (right panel) contributions for our 1D solar metallicity TPCI model. Bottom row: cooling (left panel) and heating (right panel) contributions for our $100\times$ solar metallicity TPCI model. The labels are as provided by TPCI. For cooling, a label like O $3\ 5007.0$ means the O III line at 5007 Å. For heating, a label like O 3 means photoionization of O III, while “line” refers to line heating. While hydrogen and helium are dominant in both heating and cooling at $Z = 1$, a variety of metals in a variety of ionization states dominate heating and cooling at $Z = 100$. 

$7.4^{+0.3}_{-0.0}\ km\ s^{-1}$ at mid-transit—that is, in the wrong direction.
amplitude of the observed absorption signal and the corresponding transit light curve, although the predicted absorption signal is still bluer than the observed, and stronger in both the peak and the equivalent width.

Figure 15 shows the neutral hydrogen number density, triplet helium number density, and temperature in the orbital plane for the fiducial and the best-fit models. Both models exhibit a cometary tail, which is caused by the dense and fast stellar wind pushing the outflow away from the star. The tail is angled partly because of the planetary orbital velocity, and partly because of the Coriolis force. In both models, the stellar wind is strong enough to prevent the planetary outflow from becoming supersonic at many locations, making it possible for interplanetary conditions to affect the launching of the wind. As expected, the “best” model has a substantially more confined outflow.

5.3. Order-of-magnitude Empirical Estimate of Mass-loss Rate

By making a few assumptions, we can use the equivalent width of the helium signal to obtain a decent estimate for the mass of metastable helium in the outflow and an order-of-magnitude calculation of the mass-loss rate. The first assumption we make is that helium absorption from most of the planetary outflow is optically thin. This is supported by the fact that we do not see the secondary peak at 10832 Å, which is 1/8 the height of the primary peak in the optically thin regime, but higher when the primary peak becomes optically thick. The optical thinness assumption tends to cause us to underestimate the mass-loss rate.

The optical depth from the star to the observer is:

$$\tau(\lambda) = N\sigma_\lambda P(\lambda),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $N$ is the column density, $\sigma_\lambda \equiv (\pi e^2 g f_1)/(m_e c^2)$, and $P(\lambda)$ is the line profile ($\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} P(\lambda) d\lambda = 1$). Integrating $1 - e^{-\tau}$ over $\lambda$, and assuming the optically thin limit (where $1 - e^{-\tau} \approx \tau$), we obtain the standard equation for the equivalent width:

$$W_\lambda = N\sigma_\lambda.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

We can perform another integration over the cross-sectional area of the star, which turns the column density $N$ into the total number of metastable helium atoms, $N'$. We then divide each side by the cross-sectional area, so that the left-hand side becomes the average equivalent width across the star—the number measured by our observations:

$$\frac{\int W_\lambda dS}{\int dS} = \frac{\int N\sigma_\lambda dS}{\int dS} = N'\sigma_\lambda,$$

$$W_{avg} = \frac{N'\sigma_\lambda}{\pi R_p^2},$$

$$N' = \frac{R_p^2 m_e c^2}{\epsilon^2 g f_1 \lambda_0^2} W_{avg} = 8 \times 10^{31},$$

$$m_{He^*} = 5 \times 10^8 g,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where we combined $g f_1$ for the two lines at 10833 Å. A mass of $5 \times 10^8$ g is only 500 tons—a remarkably small amount of material to be detectable at interstellar distances. This is the most secure part of our estimate.

Next, we convert the mass of metastable helium to total mass, by assuming that metastable helium comprises $10^{-6}$ of helium nuclei, and that helium is 25% of total mass. $10^{-6}$ is roughly the ratio we find in our 3D simulations from 2–5 $R_p$, as well as the ratio we find from 2–10 $R_p$ for HD 63433 b/c (Zhang et al. 2021a). We obtain $m_{tot} = 2 \times 10^{15}$ g.

Finally, we investigate the replenishment lifetime of the metastable helium atoms: how long do they take to cross the stellar
disk and stop being observable? We calculate $\tau = R_*/c_s = 50,000$ s, adopting $10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for the sound speed $c_s$. The mass-loss rate is then $m_{\text{tot}}/\tau = 4 \times 10^{10} \text{ g s}^{-1} = 0.22 M_\oplus \text{ Gyr}^{-1}$.

This estimate should not be considered accurate to more than an order of magnitude, but it is reassuring that our empirical estimate is of the same order of magnitude as that of the simulations (Table 3).

6. Discussion

The fiducial versions of both our TPCI (1D) and Microthena (3D) models predict helium absorption many times stronger than observed. For the same input parameters, the helium absorption predicted by TPCI is much higher than that predicted by Microthena (4.3% versus 2.5%). The 3D structure, which TPCI cannot model, determines the shape of the helium absorption signal in both wavelength and time. Though the predicted helium signal is too large, the predicted mass-loss rates in Table 3 are all reasonable. We assume a 2% hydrogen/helium envelope, which is consistent with the planet's radius, mass, and equilibrium temperature, assuming a rocky core (Zeng & Seager 2008). The mass-loss timescales predicted by Microthena would then range from 1.6–2.4 Gyr, and those predicted by TPCI would range from 0.4–1.6 Gyr. All of these timescales are comparable to the planet age, which is high enough that the planet has likely lost a substantial portion of its primordial envelope, but low enough that it is not surprising the planet still has an envelope.

We next consider whether there might be additional modifications to the 3D Microthena models that could better match the amplitude of the observed signal while also reducing the size of the predicted blueshift. We could increase the stellar wind speed by a larger amount, which would eventually succeed in suppressing the mass-loss rate (Murray-Clay et al. 2009), but...
this would also increase the magnitude of the predicted blueshift. If we instead decreased the EUV flux by a factor larger than 3×, it would also decrease the helium signal strength, but our X-ray observations strongly disfavor this scenario. The scaling relations from Linsky et al. (2014) that we used to estimate the EUV spectrum have a dispersion about the fit line of 20%–37% for F5–K5 stars, which seems to make a 3× error implausible, but the relations are based on the Lyα flux, which we have not measured for TOI 560 and therefore must infer from the X-ray flux and the stellar rotation rate. In addition, the dispersion might be smaller for young stars only, rather than for all stars. The X-ray and MUV (1230–2588 Å) fluxes are even better known because they were directly measured by XMM-Newton within weeks of both Keck observations. A substantially larger planetary mass (e.g., 16 $M_\oplus$) could also suppress the signal, but would be in tension with both the RV measurements and the mass–radius relation of Chen & Kipping (2016). This mass–radius relation is derived primarily from observations of mature planets; since young planets are expected to be more inflated, it provides a conservative upper limit on the likely mass of this planet. Finally, the redshift would be more consistent with the models if we assumed a much weaker wind, in which case there would be a significant up-orbit stream launched from the dayside, as seen in the 3D hydrodynamic simulations by McCann et al. (2019). This is the scenario favored by Czesla et al. (2022) for the redshift they observe from HAT-P-32b; however, their helium signal also shows pre-ingress absorption, which ours does not.

Our 1D TPCI models demonstrate that increasing the metallicity beyond 10× solar gives rise to a rich tapestry of radiative phenomena, which in turn results in significant changes to the structure of the outflow and a reduction in the predicted helium absorption strength. Our 3D models show a qualitatively similar pattern. It is clear, however, that further work is required in order to better address some of the limitations of our models for describing metal-rich atmospheres. For example, both codes are potentially inaccurate at high metallicities because they use the escape probability formalism for radiative transfer, instead of performing radiative transfer completely correctly. The 3D Microthena model only accounts for line cooling from O, S, Si, O+, S+, and Si+, whereas our TPCI models predict that there is a much broader array of elements and ionization states that are important for both heating and cooling at high metallicities (Figure 13). In addition, while the 3D model divides all radiation into seven bins, TPCI uses the incident spectrum at the resolution provided (Figure 10); this likely has a significant impact on the predicted thermal structure of the outflow.

In addition to ensuring correct behavior of the model at high metallicities, future studies of metal-rich outflows should also vary the assumed elemental ratios (e.g., C/O) to reflect the diverse array of possible atmospheric compositions for sub-Neptune-sized exoplanets. Condensation of species like NaCl, MgSiO₄, and TiO in the deep atmosphere could also further alter the composition of the outflow in ways that may be detectable in future observations.

The parameter space exploration we undertook assumes that the observed outflow is in a steady state. However, there is some tentative evidence for variability in our data: on the second night, the absorption during the portion of transit we could observe was slightly stronger and slightly bluer than on the first night. This effect is only marginally significant (2σ–3σ), and could be explained by a combination of statistical fluctuations, underestimated errors, stellar variability, and unknown instrumental systematics. However, our 3D simulations show that stellar wind conditions have a significant impact on the absorption signal, so it is by no means implausible that variations in the stellar wind could lead to variations in the outflow properties. During the 2008 solar minimum, for example, the solar wind number density regularly fluctuated by a factor of two over a timescale of days, with dozens of upward spikes corresponding to increases of more than a factor of five (Lei et al. 2011). The solar wind velocity during this period regularly fluctuated between 400 and 600 km s⁻¹, again on a timescale of days. TOI 560 is younger, less massive, and more active than the Sun, and we do not know where it was in its activity cycle during our observations. It is therefore possible that TOI 560’s stellar wind may be more variable than that of the Sun, and that the stellar wind conditions during the two transits were appreciably different. Our 3D simulations show that decreasing the stellar wind density by a factor of two decreases the absorption peak by ~30%, while decreasing the wind speed by a factor of two decreases the blueshift by ~10 km s⁻¹. This level of variation is similar to the magnitude of the observed variability between the two nights.

Another effect that neither TPCI nor Microthena accounts for is magnetic fields. Using the same methodology as Zhang et al. (2021b), which is itself based on Owen & Adams (2014), we can estimate the significance of magnetic fields by comparing the magnetic pressure $P_B = B^2/(8\pi)$ to the ram pressure $P_{\text{ram}} = Mv^2/(8\pi r^2)$. At 3.6 $R_p$, roughly the position of the helium line photosphere, our Microthena models predict a typical wind speed of 7 km s⁻¹. As discussed in Zhang et al. (2021b), the slow rotation of a tidally locked planet (the tidal circulation timescale is 1200 yr, assuming $Q=100$) gives rise to a weak magnetic field, which does not significantly affect the outflow ($P_{\text{ram}}/P_B = 64$ at 3.6 $R_p$). However, the interplanetary magnetic field can plausibly have a significant effect on the outflow. Based on the scaling relation between surface magnetic field and stellar age in Vidotto et al. (2014), we calculate an interplanetary magnetic field of 0.025 G at the position of TOI 560.01, which leads to $P_{\text{ram}}/P_B = 1.1$ at 3.6 $R_p$. Finally, we emphasize that these are order-of-magnitude calculations with uncertainties of at least a factor of a few in all quantities: interplanetary magnetic fields, stellar activity cycles, and planetary magnetic fields are all very poorly understood for extrasolar systems.

7. Conclusion

We observed two transits of TOI 560.01 using Keck/NIRSPEC and detected a strong helium absorption signal during each transit. The observed signal shows a number of intriguing features, including a slow rise in absorption accompanied by a fast decline, a slight redshift, and tentative evidence of variability between the two transits, with the signal being stronger and bluer on the second night at 2σ–3σ significance. TOI 560.01 is the first mini-Neptune with a helium detection. Its size and youth place it in the critical regime where mini-Neptunes transition into super-Earths, giving rise to the radius gap and dramatically shaping exoplanet demographics.

We applied the 1D code TPCI and the 3D code Microthena to model the outflow. We found it difficult to match the low magnitude of the observed absorption level and the moderate redshift with a solar metallicity planetary atmosphere. Increasing the metallicity to $Z = 100$ suppresses the helium absorption signal
in both TPCI and Microthuna, but in both models, intermediate metallicities do not. However, neither code is very well-suited to modeling high-metallicity atmospheres. This problem is more acute for Microthuna, which uses a simplified radiative transfer model and includes a limited number of atomic coolants. Further work will be necessary to confirm whether a high-metallicity atmosphere is a good explanation for the data, and if so, whether it is the only plausible explanation.

As a low-mass mini-Neptune around a nearby K star, TOI 560.01 is a favorable target for atmospheric characterization. In this paper, we measure the planet's helium absorption, but its Lyα absorption may also be observable with HST/STIS. If so, it will provide complementary insight into the escaping atmosphere: due to the strength of the Lyα line and the strong interstellar absorption, which wipes out the core, Lyα traces energetic hydrogen atoms in the tenuous outer regions of the outflow. JWST could also reveal important insights into the outflow by measuring the composition and thermal structure of the deeper atmosphere, which, aside from being scientifically valuable in its own right, will drastically shrink the parameter space of inputs that models can assume.

Finally, TOI 560 is a two-planet system, and TOI 560.02 is also a transiting mini-Neptune. This makes the system an excellent test for mass-loss models. The two planets share the same contemporary X-ray/EUV environment, as well as the same irradiation history. The planets of similar size located in adjacent orbits might be expected to have largely similar formation and/or migration histories, and therefore it is reasonable to expect that their primordial atmospheric compositions would be quite similar. This is supported by observational studies of the masses and radii of multi-planet systems in the Kepler sample, which suggest that planets in the same system tend to have similar masses and radii (the "peas in a pod" theory; Weiss et al. 2018).