Influences of Different Concentrations of Herbicides on the Growth and Yield of Maize as well as their Effects on the Associated Weeds in North-Western Nigeria
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ABSTRACT

The field experiment was conducted in the dry season between December 2012 to April 2013 at Bakori, Bakori local government, Katsina (11°33'N, 7°36'E). The experiment consisted of 8 treatments levels which include 4 different formulations each; viz: ParaeForce(R) at 150ml / 20L and 200ml / 20L, AminoForce(R) at 100ml / 15L and 125ml / 15L applied a day before sowing and 4 weeks after sowing and a weedy control. The treatments were laid out in randomized complete block design (RCBD). The objective was to evaluate resistant weeds to herbicides (ParaeForce(R) and AminoForce(R)) and their effects on the growth and yield of maize. Result shows that 17 weed species from 11 families were encountered which include Cynodon dactylon, Cyperus rotundus, Doctylocterium aegyptium and Eleusine indica that shows significant (≥0.05) resistance to ParaeForce(R) + AminoForce(R) at 150ml / 20L followed by 100ml / 15L had 91.70, 87.12 and 49.15% weed control efficiencies at 4,8 and 16WAS. Sequential application of ParaeForce(R) + AminoForce(R) at 200ml/20L followed by 125ml/15L recorded significantly (≥0.05) high maize yield of (6.7kg) in all the three blocks and effective weed control with 86.28, 77.58 and 33.55% weed control efficiencies at 4, 8 and 16WAS. This study recommended the application of ParaeForce(R) and AminoForce(R) at 200ml/20L followed by 125ml/15L as an alternative way of reducing weed resistance to ParaeForce(R) + AminoForce(R) formulation and to improved performance of maize yield in the experimental site.
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1. Introduction

A weed is a plant that is out of place, or it can be described generally as any undesired plant. Weeds are plants that are usually disliked by farmers and gardeners. They may also affect crop quality and make harvesting more difficult; crops produced in weedy conditions will generate lower yields or may not produce at all [1]. Even though the use of pesticides for weed control is a source of public concern and criticism nowadays [1]. Most naturalists and environmentalists oppose their use and other pesticides, instead advocating for alternative weed control methods or natural eco-friendly chemicals; however, synthetic herbicides are still widely used, considered for weed control, and heavily applied in developed and developing countries [2]. Chemical weed control is not commonly used in underdeveloped nations due to low labour costs, high chemical prices, and a lack of technical training and experience in herbicide administration [3]. These limitations lead in most cases to the misuse of the chemicals, crop injury and weeds resistance to herbicides [4]. Herbicide failure in the field can be caused by a variety of circumstances, the most common of which are human errors that result in inappropriate application methods [5]. Weed species resistance to herbicides is one of the most serious problems affecting the use of herbicides as one of the control agent of weeds [6]. Herbicides are still the most effective weed
management method on the planet. As a result, the widespread evolution of herbicide resistance in weed populations inside intensive crop rotation and integrated weed management (IWM). With its unique mode of action, paraquat is one of the few chemical choices for preventing and mitigating issues with weeds that have developed resistance to the frequently used non-selective herbicides [8]. Weed control is a major problem to crop production. Studies have been done on weed management strategies in crops production which include cultural, mechanical and chemical methods [9]. Weed resistance stunts plant growth by competing for water, nutrients, and light with the crop [10]. Crop yields are particularly affected when either water or nutrients are scarce, making competition for these resources extremely fierce. The amount of light competition is determined by the canopy structure of the crop and the weed, as well as their relative establishment timeframes [11]. A tall-growing plant in a prostrate crop, such as goat weed in melon, is more likely than a prostrate weed in a tall crop, such as Portulaca in maize, to dominate in these conditions [7]. Herbicide-resistant weeds may be controlled through cultural strategies including crop rotation and integrated weed management (IWM). While AminoForce (herbicides) were applied, while blocks (A1, A2 and B1) received Paraforce(R) at 150 mL / 20L and (A2, B2 and B3) received Paraforce(R) at 200 mL / 20L as a pre-emergence herbicide. While AminoForce(R) at 100ml / 15L was applied to (A3, A4 and B3) and AminoForce(R) at 125mL / 15L (A2, B3 and B4) were applied as post-emergence herbicide at 4 weeks after sowing respectively. Herbicides mixture were prepared by measuring Paraforce(R) at two different concentrations 150ml and 200ml and mixed with 20liters of water each, (150mL/20L and

2. Materials and Methods

Field trial was undertaken during the dry season, from December 2012 to April 2013, in Bakori, Bakori local government, Katsina state, where the land area was 10.2 x 5.9m and the yearly rainfall was 100mm from January 2012 to December 2013. The experiment was a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with a split-plot arrangement using two different treatments (herbicides), Paraforce(R)(Paraquat) and AminoForce(R)(2, 4-D Amine SL) were applied as pre-emergence and post-emergence herbicides. The trial occupied an area of 60.18m² (10.2m x 5.9m) which was ploughed, harrowed and the plot was divided into (A and B) experimental site with 0.3m irrigation channels. Each rows (A and B) consisted of 4 blocks of 6.25m² in size. Blocks A and B were arranged in a randomized complete block design (RCBD)(Figure 1) [13].

Figure 1: Complete randomized blocks design displaying the plot design.
200mL/20L). Similarly, AminoForce\textsuperscript{(R)} was prepared at two different concentrations of 100mL and 125mL with 15 liters of water each making concentrations of 100mL/15L and 125mL/15L respectively. Premilary surveys, was carried out during the rainy season from May to October of 2012 and samples of weed species were collected and taken to Herbarium of Biological Science Department of Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto for identification. Total number of weeds present in each blocks was counted and recorded at 4, 8 and 16WAS respectively. The fresh weight of weed species was recorded using electronic weighing balance and the weed species used for fresh weight determination were also use to determine dry weight after oven drying at 120 \degree C for 2 hours [3].

Weed index, the reduction in the yield due to the presence of weeds in comparison with weed-free check was calculated using the following formula of Gill, (1996).

\[ WI\text{ (%) } = \frac{X - Y}{X} \times 100 \] ...............................\textsuperscript{[1]}

Where:

\( X = \) Maize yield from control plot
\( Y = \) Maize yield of the treated plot

The plant height was measured from base of the plant to the highest open leaf as reported by [18] at 4, 8 and 16WAS respectively. The ears of five randomly selected plants from the net plot area at the time of harvest were used to record the observations on yield components. Grain number per cob was calculated from the grain weight per cob and the corresponding 100-grain weight as follows [19].

Grain number per cob = \[
\frac{\text{Grain weight per cob (g)}}{\text{Weight of 100 grains (g)}} \times 100
\] ...............................\textsuperscript{[19]}

Table 1: Weed species encountered in the study area.

| Family            | Species                      | English/Common Name            |
|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Amaranthaceae     | Amaranthus spinosus L.       | Thorny Pigweed                 |
| Caesalpinioideae  | Senna occidentalis L.        | Coffee Senna                   |
| Commelinaceae     | Commelina nudiflora L.       | Spiderwort                     |
| Convolvulaceae    | Ipomoea vagans Bak.          | Stork henna                    |
| Cyperaceae        | Cyperus rotundus L.          | Nut-grass, Nut-sedge           |
| Euphorbiaceae     | Euphorbia hirta L.           | Garden spurge, Snakeweed.      |
| Malvaceae         | Sida acuta Burm.             | Broom weed                     |
| \( " \)           | Sida ovata L.                |                                |
| Molluginaceae     | Gisekia pharnociode L.       | Oldmaid, gisekia.              |
| Papilionoideae    | Crotalaria retusa L.         | Rattlebox, Goats groundnut.    |
| Poaceae           | Cynodon dactylon L.          | Bermuda grass, Dog-grass       |
| \( " \)           | Digitaria gayana Kunth.      | Purple-topped finger grass     |
| \( " \)           | Doctylocteryum aegyptium L.  | Crowfoot-grass                 |
| \( " \)           | Eleusine indica L.           | Bullgrass, Goose grass         |
| \( " \)           | Pennisetum pedicellatum Trin.| Kyasuma grass                  |
| \( " \)           | Rottboelia exaltata L.       |                                |
| Solanaceae        | Solanum americanum L.        | Black nightshade               |

The seeds from sun-dried cobs of five randomly selected plants were separated and weighed. The average weight was recorded as grain weight per cob (g). Hundred maize grains were randomly collected from the net plot yield of each treatment and weighed and expressed in grams. Maize population count was taking at 4, 8 and 16WAS at each block respectively. Data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS Statistical Software version 17 and mean were separated by using Least Significant Difference (LSD).

3. Results and discussion

A total of 17 weeds species belongs to 11 families (Table 1), family Poaceae and Malveceae had the highest number of species with 6 and 2 species respectively. Weeds like Commelina nudiflora, Cyperus rotundus, Eleusine indica, Doctylocteryum aegyptium, Amaranthus spinosus, Cynodon dactylon, Senna occidentalis and Gisekia pharnociode were resistant to Paraforce(R) at 150mL / 20L and 200mL / 20L in the first four weeks (4WAS). Cyperus rotundus had high resistance to herbicides used followed by Doctylocteryum aegyptium, Cynodon dactylon, Eleusine indica that also resist the herbicides but not as Cyperus rotundus. Similarly, Commelina nudiflora, Cyperus rotundus and Cynodon dactylon were resistant to AminoForce(R) at 100mL / 15L and 125mL / 15L with high number of weeds count in each blocks followed by Eleusine indica, Amaranthus spinosus and Gisekia pharnociode with the lowest number of weed count at 8 and 16WAS. Cyperus rotundus, Cynodon dactylon, Commelina nudiflora and Doctylocteryum aegyptium had survive up to 16WAS. This study further reaffirm the dominance of grasses, sedges and a few broadleaves weeds in the guinea savanna region of Nigeria as reported earlier. The dominance of grasses may be influenced by the large number of seeds they produced that are easily dispersed by wind. Similarly [20] reported that reduction in weed species was possible due to herbicide application in Maize.
Weeds population at 4, 8 and 16WAS was presented in Table 2. The result revealed that weeds population were significantly (P≥0.05) influenced by sequential application of the herbicides on weed control treatments. At eight weeks after sowing (8WAS) the weedy check had the highest number with 112.5 then 40 after application of ParaeForce(R) and AminoForce(R) at 200ml / 20L followed by 125mL / 15L and 31.67 at 150mL / 20L followed by 100ml / 15L; At four weeks after sowing (4WAS) weedy check had the highest number of weeds 73.50 then 29 after applying ParaeForce(R) and AminoForce(R) at 150mL / 20L and 100mL / 15L and 13.33 at 200ml / 20L followed by 125mL / 15L. At 16WAS the weed count was very low as compared with the other stages in each blocks (Table 3).

Table 2: Total weed count after sequential application of herbicide doses.

| Treatment                     | Four   | Eight  | Sixteen |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| 150ml/20L followed by 100ml/15L | 29.00±0.58 | 31.67±0.88 | 7.67±1.20 |
| 200ml/20L followed by 125ml/15L | 13.33±1.86 | 40.00±3.00 | 6.00±1.16 |
| Weedy check                   | 73.50±12.50 | 112±7.50 | 34.00±7.00 |

***mean in the same column followed by similar alphabets are significantly the same using Turkey HSD (P≥0.05), SE= Standard error, ml= milliliters and L=Liters.

Maize count indicate the number of maize that survived at each stage in each blocks (Table 3), at four weeks after sowing (4WAS) the weedy check had (44) of maize plant then followed by (41.33) after applying (A) ParaeForce(R) (150mL / 20L) and also (36.67) at (A) ParaeForce(R) 200ml / 20L. At eight weeks after sowing (8WAS) it showed that weedy check had (38.00) of maize count followed by (35.00) after sowing (A) ParaeForce(R) followed by (B) AminoForce(R) at 150mL / 20L followed by 100mL / 15L block-1 and 30.00 with (A) ParaeForce(R) followed by (B) AminoForce(R) at 200ml / 20L followed by 125mL / 15L block-1. Also at 16WAS weedy check had the highest number of maize (36.50) followed by (32.33) in (A) ParaeForce(R) followed by (B) AminoForce(R) at 150mL / 20L followed by 100mL / 15L block-1 and 22.00 numbers of maize plants in (A) ParaeForce(R) followed by (B) AminoForce(R) at 200mL / 20L followed by 125mL / 15L block-1 respectively. Maize count at the initial stage did not germinate which may be due to some natural factors such as herbicide concentration, birds and insects. However in the later stage of maize development sign of herbicidal injuries were observed which may be due to errors during application. Application of herbicides can regulate maize growth by stopping the photosynthetic activities of the maize [22, 23].

Table 3: Effect of sequential Application of Herbicide Doses on Maize Count.

| Treatment                     | Four   | Eight  | Sixteen |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| 150ml/20L followed by 100ml/15L | 41.33±3.53 | 35.00±2.87 | 32.3±3.53 |
| 200ml/20L followed by 125ml/15L | 36.67±1.33 | 30.00±0.88 | 22.00±4.04 |
| Weedy check                   | 44.00±2.00 | 38.00±1.11 | 36.50±0.50 |

The plant height at four weeks after sowing (4WAS), eight week after sowing (8WAS) and at harvest (16WAS) was significantly (P≥0.05) influenced by weed control (Table 4). The control treatments showed significant effect on plant height at all stages of maize growth. At four weeks after sowing (4WAS) weedy check had the lowest value of 0.16m while treatment blocks had 0.24m, 0.22m, eight weeks after sowing (8WAS) had weedy check with highest value of (1.50m) and treatment blocks of (0.55m, 0.58m) respectively. At harvest (16WAS) treatment blocks had (2.71m, 1.93m) while weedy check had (2.50m). The application of herbicides affected maize plant height which may be due to the contact with the AminoForce(R) which is a growth regulator [24]. It was observed that the initial stage application of ParaeForce(R) controlled population which makes a good start for the maize as there was no any competition with the weed.

Table 4: Effect of sequential Application of Herbicide on Maize Plant Height.

| Treatment                     | Four   | Eight  | Sixteen |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| 150ml/20L followed by 100ml/15L | 0.24±0.01 | 0.55±0.03 | 2.71±0.35 |
| 200ml/20L followed by 125ml/15L | 0.22±0.02 | 0.58±0.09 | 1.93±0.19 |
| Weedy check                   | 0.16±0.01 | 1.50±1.11 | 2.50±0.05 |

*** mean in the same column followed by similar alphabets are significantly the same using Turkey HSD (P≥0.05), SE=Standard error, ml=milliliters and L=liters.
Weed index differed significantly (P>0.05) due to various weed control treatments in (Table 5). Weedy check had the lowest value of 0.00 and 36.07% at 150ml / 20L followed by 100ml / 15L, while 37.71% at 200ml / 20L followed by 125ml / 15L respectively. Number of grain per cob differed significantly due to various weed control treatments (Table 5). Weedy check had the lowest value of 270.21 then 282.53 at 150ml / 20L followed by 100ml / 15L, while 302.83 at 200ml / 20L followed by 125ml / 15L respectively. Weed index which is the reduction in the yield due to the presence of weeds in comparison with weed free check. In both the 2 different herbicides application weeds index value as compared to weedy check had significantly (≥ 0.05) which is due to the herbicides application on them. Grain number per cob shows that sequential application of herbicide at all the different concentration contributed to the yield of maize as compared to weedy check which had low number of seeds. This was due to the low competition as compared to the weedy check [25, 26, 27].

Table 5: Effect of sequential Application of Herbicide Doses on Weed index (WI) and Grain Number per Cob (GNPC).

| Treatment                       | Mean of WI and GNPC±SE |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| 150ml/20L followed by 100ml/15L | 36.07±26.65            |
| 200ml/20L followed by 125ml/15L | 37.71±23.03            |
| Weedy check                     | 0.00±0.00              |
|                                  | 270.21±47.99           |
|                                  | 302.83±12.88           |
|                                  | 37.71±23.03            |
|                                  | 36.07±26.65            |
|                                  | 37.71±23.03            |
|                                  | 0.00±0.00              |
|                                  | 270.21±47.99           |
|                                  | 282.53±25.39           |

4. Conclusion

For every farmer in North-Western Nigeria. Identifying the types of weeds in your farm land is one of the most important factors influencing the effectiveness of weeds control using herbicides. Therefore, sequential application of herbicides (Paraforce(R) and AminoForce(R)) at time intervals had reduce the tendency of weeds resistance and improve growth and yield of maize, herbicides formulation of 200ml / 20L followed by 125ml / 15L which shows positive response were highly recommended.
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