Vibration-based monitoring of a small-scale wind turbine blade under varying climate conditions. Part I: An experimental benchmark
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Summary
Structural health monitoring (SHM) has been increasingly exploited in recent years as a valuable tool for assessing performance throughout the life cycle of structural systems, as well as for supporting decision-making and maintenance planning. Although a great assortment of SHM methods has been developed, only a limited number of studies exist serving as reference basis for the comparison of different techniques. In this paper, the vibration-based assessment of a small-scale wind turbine (WT) blade is experimentally investigated, with the aim of establishing a benchmark case study for the SHM community. The structure under consideration, provided by Sonkyo Energy as part of the Windspot 3.5 kW WT model, is tested in both healthy and damaged states under varying environmental, that is, temperature, conditions as imposed by means of a climatic chamber. This study offers a thorough documentation of the configuration of this experimental benchmark, including the types of deployed sensors, the nature of excitation and available measurements, and the investigated damage scenarios and environmental variations enforced. Lastly, an overview of the raw and processed measurement data, made available to researchers via an open access Zenodo repository, is herein provided.

KEYWORDS
condition assessment, damage detection, experimental benchmark, structural health monitoring, system identification, varying environmental conditions, wind turbine blade

1 | INTRODUCTION

In last decades, structural health monitoring (SHM) has emerged as an effective means for performance assessment of structural and mechanical systems, aiming to substitute the traditional time-based maintenance philosophy with a cost-effective condition-based strategy. Within such context, the condition of structures is to be assessed with the aid of a monitoring system which measures a number of response quantities and is subsequently able to diagnose damage or irregularity, thereby notifying the operator on occurrence of faults and the necessity to undertake action. Although such...
strategies may prove significantly more efficient than traditional ones, the challenge of materialization consists in the requirement for more sophisticated data processing techniques that will allow to distill the measured information and extract a set of robust and condition-sensitive indicators. Some early works on this concept date back to the 70s, when Vandiver and Kenley and Dodds investigated the changes of vibrational properties in order to track damage on platform structures; however, it is only in the middle and late 90s that vibration-based SHM consolidated itself as a research discipline, with a number of remarkable studies, such as the ones by Sohn et al., Farrar and Worden, Sohn, Worden et al., and Deraemaeker and Worden to name a few, following since then.

Among the various application fields of SHM, wind turbines (WTs) receive a constantly increasing attention, and despite the technical and commercial challenges associated with testing and vibration monitoring of in-operation WTs, SHM has well established itself in the industry of wind energy. This is reflected through the huge assortment of research contributions in that respect, which aim to ensure reliability and availability of the machine, extend the structural lifetime, and ultimately minimize the levelized cost of energy (LCOE). An early review on the different approaches associated with condition monitoring (CM) and fault detection (FD) on such systems is provided by Hameed et al. in terms of both methodologies and algorithms, while the reader is referred to Wymore et al. for a complementary and more recent component-based study on the existing monitoring systems and to Antoniadou et al. for aspects related to offshore installations. The major challenges though characterizing both onshore and offshore systems lie in the influence of environmental and operational effects, which exert a strong impact on the dynamic properties of WTs, leading oftentimes to resonance phenomena. It is essentially due to these effects, and specifically due to the operational harmonics, that the application of standardized tools, such as operational modal analysis (OMA), becomes a rather meticulous and intricate task in fully operating turbines, in contrast with assessment carried out in parked or idling conditions where implementation is straightforward.

To address these hurdles, researchers are inevitably directed to more sophisticated methodologies and advanced sensing technologies that will allow to extract the sought for condition indicators. In what follows, we offer an overview of the state of the art in monitoring and assessment of WT components with the aim to underline the necessity of a benchmark that serves as platform for validation of proposed schemes. A representative review summarizing both methods and technologies falling in the aforementioned class is carried out by Martinez-Luengo et al., through the perspective of a statistical pattern recognition problem. In view of this course and in order to overcome the applicability limits characterizing OMA methods, Bogoevska et al. devised a condition assessment strategy able to account for the non-stationary response of operating WTs and the temporal variability characterizing their modal parameters. The proposed strategy is based on a completely data-driven approach and can serve as a powerful tool for automated condition assessment of structures exposed to a wide range of environmental and operational conditions. Closely related to this approach is the work of Avendaño-Valencia et al., wherein the authors followed an analogous hierarchical course, based though on Gaussian processes (GPs) in place of polynomial chaos expansion (PCE). In a similar context, Dervilis et al. examined the applicability of several neural network (NN) techniques for online fatigue monitoring of WT blades, while in the more recent work of Dao et al., the authors adopted a co-integration-based approach to analyze nonlinear data trends and effectively detect system abnormalities. Apart from the broad spectrum of newly proposed methodologies, considerable advancements have also been noticed in the discipline of sensing instruments dedicated to WTs. As an illustration, Cairns et al. examined the possibility of incorporating sensors into composite materials and laminates, whereas Song et al. proposed the utilization of piezoceramic-based wireless sensors for health monitoring of blades. To detect cracks on operating turbines, Kim et al. adopted a vibro-acoustic modulation approach, and LeBlanc et al. highlighted the possibility of identifying and localizing damage on blade surface using digital image correlation.

As design trends are directed towards rapid growth in the size of WTs, foundations are called to largely extend their operating and ultimate limits in order to assume the increasingly higher tower-base overturning moments. Within such a context, the condition assessment of foundation becomes an essential issue for the assurance of system stability and integrity, which is addressed by a number of recent contributions. Namely, Currie et al. measured the vertical displacements of an onshore WT concrete foundation, with the aim of highlighting the importance of a structural integrity monitoring (SIM) system on such components. Along the same lines, Bai et al. devised a monitoring plan capable of detecting the early-age cracks on inserted can foundations and managed to capture not only the gradual crack growth but also the fluctuations due to wind speed variations. In an attempt to shed light on the uncertainty associated with concrete’s performance, Perry et al. employed a dense thermocouple network in order to monitor the strength development on a reinforced-concrete foundation of an onshore WT, whereas Rubert et al. measured the strains developed in the reinforcement of an operational concrete foundation using fiber Bragg gratings (FBGs). Even though onshore
systems may be easily accessible and instrumented, offshore WTs are undoubtedly characterized by more challenging and complex dynamics, becoming thus more attractive for the research community. In view of these challenges, Weijtjens et al. highlighted the key problems of an SHM approach for the foundation of an offshore installation, including issues related to operational and environmental variability of the structural properties among others. As an extension, Weijtjens et al. proposed the extrapolation of fatigue measurements to the entire wind farm by instrumenting only a limited number of representative WTs, the so-called fleet leaders, while in a more recent contribution, Iliopoulos et al. combined the measurement data of a WT tower with a multi-band modal expansion in order to assess the fatigue life of a single monopile foundation, and Mylonas et al. investigated the implementation of variational auto-encoders (VAE) as a means of condition monitoring and uncertainty quantification for wind farms.

With their share of cost amounting to more than one third of the overall WT costs, towers constitute an essential component of WT systems and are in recent years inevitably driven to larger heights by the current industry trends. Among the various contributions dedicated to WT systems, a number of them are exclusively focused on towers per se. In particular, Avendaño-Valencia and Fassois addressed the modeling of non-stationarity appearing on tower accelerations by examining a number of parametric and non-parametric methods. Van der Male and Lourens employed a joint input-state estimator for real-time tracking of fatigue damage accumulation on the NREL 5 MW jacket structure and based on the same reference turbine, Tatsis and Lourens carried out a comparative study of two Kalman-type filters which were used to extrapolate the measured response to critical underwater locations. Within the same context, the work of Maes et al. was focused on the comparison of filtering and modal expansion algorithms for strain estimation on offshore monopiles, and Tatsis et al. fused a Bayesian filter with a substructure approach in order to tackle the problem of response estimation and fatigue damage at unmeasured tower locations. In more recent works, Oliveira et al. developed and implemented a monitoring scheme based on tower-only measurements, capable of capturing the evolution of modal parameters across the entire spectrum of operating conditions while Zuo et al. investigated the effect of soil–structure interaction on tower vibrations using a high fidelity numerical model of the NREL 5 MW turbine.

The last and most important large-scale structural component of WT systems is blades which are responsible for converting wind energy into mechanical power. Exposed to several risks, their failure is associated with considerable financial losses calling thus for the implementation of an SHM strategy that can efficiently support preventive and predictive maintenance actions. An early grasp of these facts is owed to Ghoshal et al. who entered into damage detection and monitoring technologies, and Yang et al. delved into the challenges and potential solutions pertaining to the application of SHM on blades. Since then, a series of studies exploring different aspects has been proposed, with Tsai et al. focusing on wavelet-based approaches for damage detection, Dervilis et al. dealing with novelty detection using NNs, and a couple of them related to acoustic emission (AE) approaches. In 2013, Yang et al. presented a survey on testing, inspecting, and monitoring technologies, and Yang et al. delved into the challenges and potential solutions pertaining to the application of SHM on blades. In the work of Larsen et al., the authors reported the effect of damage on modal parameters of a blade tested in experimental conditions while Lorenzo et al. deployed these findings and followed the opposite course to numerically and experimentally detect cracks using OMA. Finally, Ou et al. established an experimental set-up to identify damage on a small-scale blade and address the variability owed to operating conditions.

Although condition monitoring of the fundamental structural components provides long-term integrity and reliability, machine availability is primarily related to mechanical parts at the level of nacelle. Therefore, reliable monitoring for detection, diagnosis, and prediction of faults arising on such parts is of great importance. An insightful review on the technical challenges related to nacelles is conducted by Islam et al., while Shin et al. determined the machine degradation by means of the capacity factor (CF), via the identification of nacelle transfer function, and Helsen et al. captured grid loss events through the investigation of drivetrain vibrations. A special category of contributions in this discipline comprises studies on gearboxes and components interacting therewith. As an illustration, the work of Feng et al. reports the typical failure modes occurring in gearboxes and provides a review of appropriate monitoring approaches. Astolfi et al. established a fault diagnosis framework for gearboxes, by fusing temperature and vibration measurements obtained from the Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) with an artificial neural network, and Liu and Shao studied the vibrations of rotor bearing systems (RBSs) which play a valuable role in gearbox performance and proposed a new modeling approach for dynamics analysis of RBSs.

Despite the large assortment of studies related to WTs, the SHM community is proved to be lacking an actual baseline, which will enable the straightforward and quantitative evaluation of various identification methods. To this end, the present study establishes an experimental benchmark problem on WT blades, which is intended to bridge this gap.
and consolidate the recent advances in the field, while addressing the rather important aspect of environmental variability. It should be noticed that benchmarking is not a new idea in the discipline of SHM, since a number of standardized problems are already available for performance evaluation. The earliest contribution in that respect dates back to 1994, when Farrar et al. performed a dynamic testing on the I-40 bridge. An equally well-known benchmark using a bridge as test bed is the one by Maeck and De Roeck, whereby a series of damage scenarios was imposed to Z24 concrete bridge, offering the ground for validation and development of detection, localization, and quantification methods. Within the same context, the Steelquake project aimed at establishing an experimental benchmark point for damage detection, using a composite steel-concrete two-story frame. In more recent years, a full-scale bridge benchmark was set up by the Center of Structural Monitoring and Control at Harbin Institute of Technology, a benchmark using data from the Case Western Reserve University (CWRU) Bearing Data Center was established by Smith and Randal, and a test bed for high-rate approaches was offered by Joyce et al. Apart from experimental problems, a couple of remarkable simulation-based studies is also available, with the most influential conducted by the IASC-ASCE SHM Task group, which essentially constitutes the numerical counterpart of the experimental benchmark delivered by Dyke et al. Such studies are not only limited to linear systems, but they are well extended to more challenging and complex nonlinear dynamics, like the one proposed by Tiso and Noël. Finally, only a limited number of benchmark studies are available for WT applications, as the one proposed by Odgaard et al. which comprises a system-level WT simulation model for fault detection and accommodation.

This paper introduces a new experimental benchmark for damage detection on WT blades, where on top of the artificially induced damage effects, the influence of varying environmental parameters (EPs) is taken into account via use of a climatic chamber. The first section deals with the report of dynamic testing facility, describing the benchmark specimen, the supporting frame, and the climate chamber, in which the whole series of tests was conducted. In the second section, the employed instrumentation is reported in terms of available response measurements while the third section is focused on the examined damage scenarios and the workflow followed during the execution of experiments. Finally, the fourth section illustrates the provided data sets in terms of time and frequency domain plots, as well as through the identified modal parameters across different temperatures and damage scenarios.

2 | DYNAMIC TESTING FACILITY

2.1 | The benchmark WT blade

The present benchmark study is based on the blade of a Windspot 3.5 kW WT model, provided by Sonkyo Energy. Figure 1 shows a picture of the structure in operation, and Table 1 summarizes the main mechanical and structural properties of the WT. The considered blade is 1.75 m long with a total mass of 5.0 kg and is manufactured as a three-layered sandwich model. The outer shell of the structure consists of a 0.93 mm thickness double-layered composite material, which comprises (i) a plain-weave fabric and (ii) a chopped strand mat (CSM) made of E-glass fibers. The two layers are stitched together using a sew thread to form the product coded as WR500M300 and referred to as combi mat. Finally, the inner part of the blade is filled with a low-stiffness core of polyurethane (PU) foam. The layout of a
TABLE 1  Properties of the Windspot 3.5 kW wind turbine

| Rating                           | 3.5 kW             |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Type                             | Upwind horizontal axis |
| Rotor diameter                   | 4.05 m             |
| Hub height                        | 12, 14, and 18 m   |
| Cut-in wind speed                | 3 m/s              |
| Rated wind speed                 | 12 m/s             |
| Cut-out wind speed               | 30 m/s             |
| Rated rotor speed                | 250 rpm            |
| Rotor mass                       | 185 kg             |

representative cross-section at the root of the blade is illustrated in Figure 2 along with a schematic representation of the geometrical structure of the woven material.

Another prominent feature of the blade is shown in Figure 2a, b. This pertains to the four vertically placed elements among the foam, attached to the lower and top surface laminates of the blade. These are referred to as the shear webs and extend from the fixation point at the root of blade up to almost the first third of the length of the blade. They are made out of the same composite material used for the outer shell, and their main function is to provide the blade with additional rigidity in the root region so as to avoid buckling problems.

2.2 | Supporting frame

To represent the actual boundary conditions of the blade, in analogy to those applied on the Windspot 3.5 kW WT, a fix-free set-up was implemented during the dynamic testing. This was materialized with the aid of a steel frame, bolted on the ground via three concrete columns, whereon the blade is firmly clamped at one end through four bolts. To mitigate noise disturbance occurring from adjacent laboratory operations during the testing process, rubber absorbers were additionally placed between the specimen and the frame. A detailed layout of the experimental installation is depicted in Figure 3.

2.3 | Climate chamber

For the precise control of environmental conditions, the tests were conducted in a climate chamber where both temperature and humidity were controlled. Although humidity may, at high temperature conditions, have a considerable
effect on air density and subsequently on global WT loads and energy production, herein it is not considered as an influential parameter for the structural properties of the experimental specimen. For the consistency though of the study, the relative humidity in the chamber was maintained constant, to a value of 60%, throughout the prosecution of the experiments. The blade was tested under varying temperature conditions, from $-15^\circ C$ to $+40^\circ C$ using a step of $5^\circ C$, with the aim of monitoring the temperature-dependent material properties in both low and high regimes. A picture of the experimental installation in the climate chamber is shown in Figure 4a, including the climate controller, the shaker device, and the deployed measurement instruments.

3 | INSTRUMENTATION

3.1 | Layout

A schematic representation of the deployed instrumentation is shown in Figure 5, where the entire arrangement consists of the input and output modules. The testing process initiates in Node 1 via creation of the excitation signal with the aid of a signal generator. The signal is transferred to the shaker device through a power amplifier and then imparted to the specimen via the small stringer. The ambient measurements along with the signals from the strain gauges are transmitted to the NI 9235 unit, part of the NI cDAQ-9188 Data Acquisition (DAQ) system, and subsequently guided to Node 2. A slightly different path is followed for force and acceleration signals, since the former are first forwarded to an amplifier device and the latter are transmitted to a signal conditioner module. Both types of signals are then guided to the NI 9239 unit of the DAQ and finally rendered into retrievable data through Node 2, in conjunction with the strain and climate measurements.

3.2 | Humidity and temperature

Two humidity and temperature sensors have been installed on the downwind side of the specimen, as shown in Figure 6, in order to ensure that not only the ambient temperature but also the one on the blade surface has reached the target value. The sensitivity of humidity channels is 10 V/RH, which implies that Volt signals of humidity are transformed to relative humidity (RH) measurements according to $RH = 10 \cdot H_v$, where $H_v$ is the humidity signal in Volts. On the other hand, the sensitivity of temperature sensors is 10 V/$^\circ C$, while they are further characterized by an offset of $20^\circ C$. Therefore, the temperature signal $T$ in degrees Celsius is obtained as follows: $T = 10 \cdot T_v - 20$, where $T_v$ is the measured temperature signal in Volts.
FIGURE 4  (a) Overview of the experimental set-up in the climate chamber; (b) climate controller; (c) shaker with insulation foam box; (d) strain gauges $s_1$ and $s_2$ on low-pressure side; (e) strain gauges $s_{23}$ and $s_{24}$ on high-pressure side; (f) climate sensor; (g) cracks 1 and 2; and (h) crack 3

FIGURE 5  Workflow in data acquisition system
Excitation

For the dynamic excitation of the specimen, a small electromechanical shaker device (Data Physics SignalForce V4) was utilized. The signals produced by the shaker were first transferred to the blade through a small stringer and then measured with a force sensor attached on the low-pressure surface of the blade, as schematically shown in Figure 5. To ensure the smooth operation of the shaker along the entire temperature range of the experiments and to protect this against extreme conditions, the device was enclosed in an insulation box. An electrical bulb was also included in the box, to further assist in maintaining the specified operational regime of the shaker during low-temperature tests.

Using this setting, two disparate types of excitation, both with duration of 120 s, were implemented for the dynamic testing of the blade, namely, (a) a white noise signal with effective frequency bandwidth between 0 and 400 Hz and (b) a sine sweep with frequencies ranging from 1 to 300 Hz. It should be noted that the frequency range of the latter was determined on the basis of a prototype finite element analysis, with the aim of stimulating at least the first six resonance frequencies of the structure.

Force sensor

Although the excitation is imparted to the blade via the shaker device and therefore it can be measured through the amplifier current output, it is not always the case that force and current are tracking together. For this reason, a force transducer (DYTRAN model 5860B, sensitivity 23.7 mV/N) has been deployed with the aim to keep track of the actual input signal sensed by the blade. This was mounted on the high-pressure side of the specimen using a threaded adhesive base, at the exact location of the loading position, as shown in Figure 6.

Accelerometers

Accelerometers constitute a conventional and well-established sensing technology in the context of SHM applications, appropriate for vibration-based global damage detection methods. As such, a grid of eight accelerometers (PCB Piezotronics) with working range ±10 g and sensitivities reported in Table 2 was installed on the blade under consideration. These are indicated in Figure 6a by $a_x$, with $x$ denoting the number of each specific sensor, and placed at fixed positions on the downwind side. These positions were determined upon analyzing the modal properties of the specimen using the reference finite element model.
Strain gauges

Likewise, strain measurements are widely used for damage detection and localization on structural and mechanical systems, with numerous applications on WT blades. Herein, a network of 18 strain gauges is deployed, comprising two types of devices: unidirectional strain gauges (HBM 1-LY48-20/120) and rosettes (HBM 1-RY88-6/120) with three measuring grids arranged at an angle of $0^\circ/45^\circ/90^\circ$. The strain gauges were attached on the structure using two different configurations, as schematically shown in Figure 6a. Their locations were determined again through a prototype finite element modal analysis, with the aim of rendering observable all vibration modes, in the frequency range of interest $[0–200 \text{ Hz}]$. A set of common measurement grids denoted by $s_x$, with subscript $x$ indicating the number of channel, was utilized for both configurations, while an additional set of configuration-specific strain grids denoted by $s_y(x)$, with superscript $y$ indicating the number of set-up, was employed. The difference between the two layouts consists in the orientation of the deployed unidirectional gauges; namely, the first layout corresponds to the unidirectional gauges aligned with the $z$-axis, while the second one aims at monitoring the strain along the $y$ direction and therefore gauges are brought into alignment with $y$-axis. It should be noted that channels $s_1$ and $s_2$ on the low-pressure side as well as channels $s_{23}$ and $s_{24}$ on the upwind side were kept aligned with $Z$-axis in both configurations so as to enable the estimation of bending moment at the root of the blade.

Due to the execution of experiments under varying environmental conditions, strain measurements need to be corrected in order to account for the effect of temperature. The latter is not only dependent on the thermal properties of the test specimen but additionally on the characteristics of the strain gauges as such. Since two different types of gauges were employed in the present study, the sensitivity of each type on temperature variability was separately tested on the unloaded specimen, with the two devices demonstrating identical response. As a result, temperature corrections on strain measurements were based on the register of a single uniaxial strain gauge, that is, sensor $s_{16}$, attached on a duplicate of the specimen, as shown in Figure 6b, and subjected to the same environmental variations.

DAMAGE SCENARIOS AND EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Damage scenarios

Apart from the initially healthy state, two distinct families of damages of varying severity are studied in the same range of temperature conditions. It should be underlined at this point that damage is not considered as an exclusively degrading or destructive effect but may encompass any change introduced into a system that can affect the structural performance thereof. Within this context, the first group of damage scenarios attempts to simulate icing accretion, which constitutes a rather typical phenomenon in structures located at cold climate areas and may affect various aspects of a WT system when occurring on blades. Icing primarily affects aerodynamic performance, which is significantly disturbed by the increased roughness on the blade surface and leads to either reduced power production or overloading on stall-regulated WTs. Moreover, all turbine components are exposed to increased loads, induced by the additional ice mass, while the lifetime of the structure may be significantly shortened due to the imbalance between blades. Although icing is characterized as a distributed phenomenon, herein it is represented by adhering a set of lumped masses on specific locations, as shown in Figure 6a. Such a representation is not intended to reproduce the real mechanism as such but to merely provide a basis for testing the various SHM methods on damage detection, localization, and quantification.

The second group of damage scenarios focuses on the investigation of cracks of varying characteristics, that is, length and location, which are physically introduced on the structure as surface cuts. The location of these cracks was determined on the basis of existing experimental and simulation-based contributions concerning damage on WT blades, reporting positions where flaws are most likely to occur. Based on the findings of these established works, three different locations were selected at 17%, 30%, and 50% of the blade’s longitudinal axis, as depicted in Figure 6a,
with the effect of each crack investigated for lengths of 5, 10, and 15 cm, all with the same depth and width of 4 and 1.5 mm, respectively. This results in a combination of nine cracked scenarios, which are documented in Table 3 along with the first group.

### 4.2 Test process

The experimental cases reported in Table 3 are tested for all combinations of excitations, temperature conditions, and sensor configurations. Initially, the healthy specimen is mounted on the steel frame, and the temperature in the climate chamber is adjusted at the minimum value of interest, that is, $-15^\circ C$, until the temperature sensors attached on the blade reach the specified value. With the strain sensors arranged according to the first configuration, the blade is first tested using a sine sweep excitation, and subsequently, a set of 20 tests is conducted using white noise input. The strain gauges are then switched to the second configuration, and the blade is tested again using a sine sweep and 20 white noise input signals. The same workflow is then followed for all temperature values of the healthy structure. The process is finally repeated for all damaged scenarios, with the difference that only 5 instead of 20 tests are carried out using white noise excitation.

For the sake of brevity, each experimental case is referred to as $X_y$, with label $X$ denoting the model state as defined in Table 3, that is, Healthy, Damage A, Damage B, and so forth, and subscript $y$ indicating the testing temperature. With the exception of the healthy state, which is assigned as the basis of reference and labeled with R, each damaged scenario is marked using a letter notation from A to L, according to the notation documented in Table 3. Therefore, the abbreviation R$_{-15}$ is henceforth adopted when referring to the healthy case tested in $-15^\circ C$ and similarly, L$_{+40}$ insinuates the test performed in $40^\circ C$ for the model noted as Damage L in Table 3.

### 5 DATA PROFILE

The entire data set produced from the experiments is publicly available through Zenodo, and in what follows, a set of indicative measurement signals is graphically represented in both time and frequency domain. To provide a holistic view of the study and available data, the selected signals do not only pertain to response measurements, that is, strains and accelerations, but also to excitation signals and ambient indices. In order to further afford an insightful picture of the investigated damage scenarios, the signals to be presented are acquired from the three extremities of the experimental spectrum, that is, the nominal state R, the test with three added masses C, and the most excessively cracked case L. Moreover, the variation of system dynamic properties across all temperature points and damage scenarios is presented in terms of the identified frequencies, mode shapes, and mode shape curvatures.

#### Table 3 List of experimental cases and number of tests conducted for each case

| Case label | Description | Number of experiments |
|------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| R          | Healthy state | 21 per temperature per set-up |
| A          | Added mass 1 × 44 g | 6 per temperature per set-up |
| B          | Added mass 2 × 44 g | 6 per temperature per set-up |
| C          | Added mass 3 × 44 g | 6 per temperature per set-up |
| D          | Crack 1: $l_1 = 5$ cm | 6 per temperature per set-up |
| E          | Crack 1: $l_1 = 5$ cm, Crack 2: $l_2 = 5$ cm | 6 per temperature per set-up |
| F          | Crack 1: $l_1 = 5$ cm, Crack 2: $l_2 = 5$ cm, Crack 3: $l_3 = 5$ cm | 6 per temperature per set-up |
| G          | Crack 1: $l_1 = 10$ cm, Crack 2: $l_2 = 5$ cm, Crack 3: $l_3 = 5$ cm | 6 per temperature per set-up |
| H          | Crack 1: $l_1 = 10$ cm, Crack 2: $l_2 = 10$ cm, Crack 3: $l_3 = 5$ cm | 6 per temperature per set-up |
| I          | Crack 1: $l_1 = 10$ cm, Crack 2: $l_2 = 10$ cm, Crack 3: $l_3 = 10$ cm | 6 per temperature per set-up |
| J          | Crack 1: $l_1 = 15$ cm, Crack 2: $l_2 = 10$ cm, Crack 3: $l_3 = 10$ cm | 6 per temperature per set-up |
| K          | Crack 1: $l_1 = 15$ cm, Crack 2: $l_2 = 15$ cm, Crack 3: $l_3 = 10$ cm | 6 per temperature per set-up |
| L          | Crack 1: $l_1 = 15$ cm, Crack 2: $l_2 = 15$ cm, Crack 3: $l_3 = 15$ cm | 6 per temperature per set-up |
5.1 | Data in time domain

The time histories of temperature and relative humidity in the climate chamber during execution of test R+30 are shown in Figure 7a–d, so as to highlight the actual ambient conditions sensed by the blade. Figure 7e–h depicts the sine sweep excitation imparted to the specimen during test case R−15, in conjunction with the white noise input utilized for experiment R+40. The acceleration measurements obtained from these tests are shown in Figure 8a,b and c,d for channels $a_1$ and $a_8$, respectively. Accordingly, Figure 8e,f and g,h present the strain response measured at channels $s_1$ and $s_{21}$ when cases R−15 and R+40 are tested with the input signals of Figure 7e,f and g,h, respectively.

5.2 | Data in frequency domain

The response measurements of some representative channels are visualized in the frequency domain as well, through their respective power spectral density (PSD) plots. In so doing, the measured signals were first sampled at 833 Hz, upon down-sampling from 1666 Hz, and thereafter low-pass filtered with a cut-off frequency of 380 Hz, to yield a signal of 10,000 samples, which corresponds to a duration of 12 s.

FIGURE 7  Time history of temperature (orange), humidity (green), and input force (blue) measurements; (a, b) temperature for test case $R+30$; (c, d) humidity for test case $R+30$; (e, f) sine sweep excitation for test case $R−15$; and (g, h) white noise excitation for test case $R+40$

FIGURE 8  Time history of acceleration (red) and strain (yellow) measurements; (a, b) channel $a_1$ for test case $R−15$ excited by sine sweep; (c, d) channel $a_8$ for test case $R+40$ excited by white noise; (e, f) channel $s_1$ for test case $R−15$ excited by sine sweep; and (g, h) channel $s_{21}$ for test case $R+40$ excited by white noise
Figure 9a,b illustrates the PSD of channels $a_3$ and $a_8$, respectively, (Figure 6) obtained from the experimental cases $R_{+25}$, $C_{+25}$, and $L_{+25}$ using white noise excitation. The PSD is calculated using the Welch’s segment averaging estimator with Hanning window applied in segments of 16,384 samples. Accordingly, Figure 9c,d shows the PSD of channels $s_9$ and $s_{14}$, respectively, using the same settings of Welch’s estimator, with measurements from experimental cases $R_{+25}$, $C_{+25}$, and $L_{+25}$ stimulated by sine sweep input. The regions of the peaks corresponding to the flapwise and torsional modes for all three cases $R_{+25}$, $C_{+25}$, and $L_{+25}$ are highlighted in Figure 9 by the gray zones, with the first three containing the flapwise modes and the last two representing the first and second torsional modes. Based on the width of each region, it can be observed that the effect of cracks is more pronounced on the torsional modes than on the bending modes in flapwise direction.

### 5.3 Dynamic properties

The experimental tests are in this section presented by means of the identified dynamic properties across the examined environmental spectrum and damage conditions. It should be noted that due to the curved geometry of the blade surface, the measurement axis of acceleration sensors is not well aligned with the $x$-axis of the coordinate system defined in Figure 6. As such, not only flapwise and torsional modes are observable with the adopted set-up but also the ones in edgewise direction. This can be verified by the PSD plots of acceleration channels $a_3$ and $a_8$, as depicted in Figure 9, in which the first edgewise modes are slightly distinguishable between the first and second flapwise modes, at around 14 and 50 Hz, respectively. Due to this faint contribution, which is owed to the small projection of edgewise vibration response on the measurement direction, edgewise modes cannot be accurately identified using only accelerations.

#### 5.3.1 Natural frequencies and vibration modes

The natural frequencies and vibration modes are identified using the covariance-based stochastic subspace identification (SSI-Cov), for which acceleration signals $a_1$, $a_2$, $a_3$, $a_5$, $a_6$, $a_7$, and $a_8$ are utilized. The variability of the first three natural frequencies with respect to temperature is illustrated in the left-hand column of Figure 10, where an almost linear dependency law is observed. In order to offer the possibility of dealing with nonlinear environmental effects, which are often encountered in such composite structures, the reader is referred to the numerical companion paper which assumes an exponential dependency of the material properties on temperature. It should be also noted that the corresponding modes of the frequencies reported in Figure 10, which represent bending behavior in flapwise direction, remain unaffected from temperature variations. This is owed to the fact that the specimen is subjected to a uniform temperature field while the inner foam, which could trigger such changes due to the different temperature-dependency law, has negligible structural contribution.
Accordingly, the right-hand column of Figure 10 depicts the values of the first three natural frequencies with respect to the damage scenarios, which are extracted from tests at 25°C. It can be seen that the attachment of additional mass on the blade has a consistent effect on the reduction of natural frequencies, which is owed to the fact that both the position and amount of mass are well controlled. On the other hand, the introduction of cracks is practically impossible to control in laboratory conditions, creating thus a non-regular trend as evidenced by the variation between cases D and L. This non-quantifiable effect is addressed in the numerical counterpart of this paper, where the amount and location of damage are rigorously defined on a finite element (FE) model of the specimen. The effect of damage on the modes is presented in Figure 11, which depicts the first three flapwise modes as identified by acceleration sensors $a_1$, $a_3$, $a_5$, and $a_7$.

5.3.2 Mode shape curvature

Although the frequencies and mode shapes undergo noticeable changes due to the introduced cracks, the localization of damage is not possible using only these features. As such, the use of mode shape curvature for damage localization is further demonstrated, since it is reported to be one of the most sensitive modal-based indicators.\textsuperscript{98,99} The calculation of such feature is straightforward as soon as the vibration modes are identified, and it should be further noted that a collinear configuration of the measurement points is required in order to preserve its physical interpretability. Indicatively, the mode shape curvature is calculated for all three flapwise modes of models R, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L at temperature

![Figure 10](image1.png)

**FIGURE 10** Variation of the first three flapwise natural frequencies; left column depicts the effect of temperature to the reference case (R); right column depicts the influence of damage at 25°C temperature
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**FIGURE 11** Variation of the first (a), second (b), and third (c) vibration mode with respect to damage scenarios involving cracks
25°C, and an indication of damage location is obtained as the sum of absolute difference between the mode shape curvatures of two different system states. For instance, if \( C_{\text{mD}+25} \) and \( C_{\text{mR}+25} \) denote the \( m \)th mode curvature of test cases D+25 and R+25, respectively, an indication of the damage location is obtained by summing all terms \( |C_{\text{mD}+25} - C_{\text{mR}+25}| \) for \( m = 1, \ldots, n_m \), where \( n_m \) is the number of identified modes.

In order for the measurement points to be collinear, the vibration modes are initially identified using accelerometers \( a_1, a_3, a_5, \) and \( a_7 \), and the curvature is subsequently computed at \( a_1, a_3, \) and \( a_5 \). The successive change of curvature from test case R+25 to L+25, excluding test cases A, B, and C, is illustrated in Figure 12, where \( x \)-axis refers to the measurement points \( a_1, a_3, \) and \( a_5 \), while \( y \)-axis represents the change of curvature between each test and its previous one. Therefore, the red bar at \( a_1 \) corresponds to the curvature change between cases F+25 and E+25 at the location of accelerometer \( a_1 \), while the yellow bars represent the curvature changes between states D+25 and R+25. It is seen that all three locations of cracks are more noticeably traced at \( a_3 \) and \( a_5 \) than at \( a_1 \), which is owed to the fact that the latter is always between the cracked region and the support. Moreover, it can be consistently observed that the cracks introduced between sensors \( a_1 \) and \( a_3 \), either at 0.17 or at 0.30 L, have a more pronounced signature on the curvature change at \( a_3 \), while the cracks at 0.5 L are mainly reflected by the curvature changes at \( a_5 \).

6 | CONCLUSIONS

The operational and environmental variations on WT structures comprise one of the main challenges for deploying SHM methodologies on in-service blades. Besides the varying boundary conditions and the exposure to non-uniform thermal gradients,\(^{100}\) it is reported that fluctuations in ambient temperatures exert a strong impact on the vibration features of WT blades\(^ {101}\) and therefore damage-induced structural changes may often be masked by changes due to ambient temperature effects. With numerous WTs operating in harsh and highly varying climate conditions, it is thus imperative that temperature effects be further and more exhaustively investigated so that their influences are eliminated.

This contribution constitutes a benchmark study on a small-scale WT blade, with the aim of establishing a common baseline for performance assessment, verification, and validation of the broad-spectrum methodologies on the realm of SHM. A main challenge in the design of these tests lies in experimentally producing the desired system conditions, which are intended to represent the actual SHM challenges. Here, we focus on the aspect of environmental variability by conducting a series of tests under pristine and damaged conditions on the small-scale blade within a climatic chamber. Although conducted on a WT blade, the study deals with issues of universal interest in the SHM community:

- effect of environmental conditions, that is, temperature, on vibration features;
- investigation of typical damage scenarios with varying degree of severity and multiple locations; and
- implementation of mixed sensor grids, including accelerometers, strain gauges, and temperature sensors;

and may well serve as a breeding ground for the investigation of techniques intended not only for damage detection, localization, and quantification but also for modal identification algorithms, model updating approaches, and optimal sensor placement schemes. The vibration data from the conducted experiments are made available at Zenodo.
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