Comparison of Perinatal, Newborn, and Audiometry Results of COVID-19 Pregnant Women
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Objective. There are studies on the perinatal outcomes of COVID-19, but the audiometric effects of the maternal immune system against COVID-19 in the newborn are not clear. In this study, we aimed to investigate the relationship between the perinatal outcomes of COVID-19 positive pregnant women and the audiological outcomes of newborns. Materials and Methods. This retrospective, single-center cohort study was conducted with 65 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) positive pregnant women and newborns and 66 normal pregnant women and newborns who were admitted between January 2020–December 2021. Pregnancy data, perinatal outcomes, and newborn hearing test results of pregnant women and newborns were recorded and compared. Results. A total of 131 patients were enrolled in the study. The number of normal pregnant women was 66 (50.4%) and the number of pregnant women who had COVID-19 disease was 65 (49.6%). In general, gestational week, age, parity, biochemical parameters, duration of hospital stay, week of delivery, fetal weight, and Apgar scores were compared between pregnant women with COVID-19 and normal. White blood cell (WBC), neutrophil, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and C-reactive protein (CRP) parameters were found to be significantly higher, and lymphocyte and neutrophil/lymphocyte (N/L) ratios were significantly lower \((p < 0.05)\). There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups \((\chi^2 = 0.001; p = 1.000)\). The normal delivery status, the normal delivery rate in patients with COVID-19 was found to be statistically significantly higher than the cesarean section delivery status \((p = 0.012)\). In the statistical comparison between the COVID-19 and normal pregnant groups in the cesarean section group, the gestational week, delivery week, and Apgar1 scores of the pregnant women with COVID-19 were found to be significantly higher. There was no statistically significant difference between the distributions of the rate of infants with hearing impairment in the comparison with hearing tests in pregnant women with COVID-19 \((n=1)\) and normal pregnant women \((n=1)\) \((\chi^2 = 0.001; p = 1.000)\). Conclusion. Although the negative effects of COVID-19 on pregnancy outcomes are rare, it was determined that there was no increased audiological risk factor, and the most important predictor of COVID-19 was lymphopenia.

1. Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is among the greatest pandemics in human history [1]. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), killed almost 6.2 million people and infected reported over 512 million worldwide as of April 2022 [2]. A successful pregnancy requires well-coordinated communication between mother and fetus. Immune cells and cytokine signaling pathways are mediators of these communications and promote a healthy pregnancy. Certain simultaneous infections or inflammatory conditions in pregnant women cause severe diseases which have detrimental effects on the fetus [3].

The immune response of the placenta and its tropism for specific pathogens and viruses affect the outcome of the pregnant woman’s susceptibility to and severity of certain infectious diseases. Generalization of pregnancy as an
immune-suppressed condition is misleading and prevents the determination of guidelines for treating pregnant women during pandemics [4, 5]. The suppressed immune system of pregnant women may increase the risk of developing critical or severe diseases associated with COVID-19, in particular pneumonia and respiratory failure [6].

In the meta-analysis study of Jafari et al. [7], it was determined that pregnant and non-pregnant women show the same clinical picture. The case fatality rate of non-pregnant hospitalized patients was 6.4%, and the mortality due to all-cause for pregnant patients was 11.3%. Regarding the complications of pregnancy, postpartum hemorrhage, cesarean delivery, preterm labor, and preterm birth were the most prevalent complications. The rate of vertical transmission was 5.3% (1.3–16), and the rate of positive SARS-CoV-2 tests for neonates born to mothers with COVID-19 was 8% [4–16]. A low risk of vertical transmission is present, and SARS-CoV-2 can be detected in all conception products, particularly placenta and breast milk.

Case reports after COVID-19 infection show that placental and neonatal infection can occur, and maternal infection is associated with placental changes [7, 8]. Therefore, it can be thought that the proinflammatory state of SARS-CoV-2 infections during pregnancy may lead to negative consequences in children [9, 10].

The inner ear is a complex structure that begins to develop in early pregnancy (Carnegie stages 14–16) and progresses during early pregnancy. The third month is the critical period for ear development. Later, the fully developed inner ear may be vulnerable to infections or ototoxic insults [11]. SARS-CoV-2 may indirectly lead to adverse perinatal and long-term neurodevelopmental outcomes [10, 12]. The virus has also been reported to cause sernoneural hearing loss [13, 14]. A COVID-19 infection can cause a wide spectrum of symptoms. The audio-vestibular system can also be involved, but there is still debate about this, so findings need to be considered carefully. Few studies are available about the audio-vestibular symptomatology of newborns with intrauterine COVID-19 exposure [15].

Early detection and intervention for congenital hearing loss are critical for speech and language development. Newborns should receive hearing screening, diagnosis, and intervention at 1, 3, and 6 months, respectively [16]. The COVID-19 pandemic has caused delays in each step of this process. Increased out-of-hospital births and shortages of essential health care services likely reduced the proportion of newborns completing screening [17, 18].

Additional factors have contributed to delayed diagnosis [19].

In our study, we aimed to retrospectively compare the perinatal outcomes of COVID-19 positive pregnant women and the audiological outcomes of newborns.

2. Materials and Methods

This retrospective, single-center cohort study included 65 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) positive pregnant women and newborns and 66 normal pregnant women and newborns who were admitted (between January 2020 and December 2021) to the gynecology and obstetrics clinic of Siirt University Training and Research Hospital, which is a tertiary referral center with approximately 3500 deliveries per year. The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee with the approval number 2021/11.01.02. The study was performed according to the standards of the declaration of Helsinki.

2.1. Patient Selection. Pregnant women who were diagnosed with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection in the hospital, confirmed by a molecular PCR test performed on a nasopharyngeal swab during pregnancy and at the time of delivery, and all newborns of these pregnant women were included in this study.

The history of COVID-19 infection and the information of all pregnant women who gave birth and their newborns were collected retrospectively from patient medical files from hospital databases. The hearing screening test was applied to every newborn within the scope of the national health system, and the data were collected in an online database that includes mother, newborn, and birth information and can be accessed with a personal identification number. Therefore, even if patients give birth in other hospitals, hearing screening results can be accessed through the system.

Compared parameters of pregnant women with COVID-19 and normal pregnant women were age, gestational week, parity, hemogram, biochemical parameters, length of hospital stay, delivery week, delivery type, fetal weight, apgar scores, and newborn hearing test results (Auditory brain stem response, ABR test). The hearing screening ABR test of newborns is performed in accordance with the standards of the National Newborn Hearing Screening Program in the hospital.

Inclusion criteria were pregnant women diagnosed with COVID-19 infection in the hospital, confirmed by molecular PCR tests performed on nasopharyngeal swabs during pregnancy and delivery, and hearing tests of all newborns and newborn babies of these pregnant women. Exclusion criteria from the study were accepted as the history of TORCH infection in the mother, familial hearing impairment, head and neck anomalies related to the external auditory canal and middle ear, <1500 g birth weight, birth with a low apgar score, neonatal hyperbilirubinemia, bacterial meningitis, ototoxic drug use and long-term mechanical intubation, miscarriage with apgar scores, and newborns with syndromes.

2.2. Hearing Test Application. A neonatal hearing test was performed in the first two weeks after birth using the ABR test. If a newborn failed the ABR test, a second ABR test (ABR reference) was performed while sleeping without sedation. Newborns were evaluated bilaterally while sleeping with sedation. All newborns underwent otoscopic examination by a specialist before the ABR test. Newborns who failed the second ABR test were referred to the secondary otolaryngology center. In the ABR test, the auditory thresholds were determined as normal if ≤20 dB and hypoacusis (unilateral or
bilateral) if ≥20 dB (mild 21–40 dB, mean 41–70 dB, severe 71–90 dB, deep >90 dB). In the case of hypoacusis, the child was invited to perform a new ABR test at 6 months of age to confirm or exclude a diagnosis of sensorineural hearing loss. Mothers and newborns in the control group were selected from the hospital database with dates of birth matching the study group, among pregnant women of the same age and parity.

2.3. Statistical Methods. Statistical analyzes were performed with SPSS version 26.0 software. The conformity of the variables to the normal distribution was examined with the Kolmogorov–Smirnov/Shapiro–Wilk tests. In the analysis of the data, t-test was used for independent groups in normal distribution and the Mann–Whitney U test was used in the case of non-normal distribution. Pearson’s chi-Square test was used to compare categorical variables. A p value below 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

131 patients were included in the study. The number of normal pregnant women was 66 (50.4%) and the number of pregnant women who had COVID-19 disease was 65 (49.6%). In general, gestational week, age, parity, biochemical parameters, duration of hospital stay, week of delivery, fetal weight, and Apgar scores were compared between pregnant women with COVID-19 and normal. White blood cell (WBC), neutrophil, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and C-reactive protein (CRP) parameters were found to be significantly higher, and lymphocyte and neutrophil/lymphocyte (N/L) ratios were significantly lower (p < 0.05). The descriptive statistical analysis of the laboratory findings and demographic information of all patients are given in Table 1.

| Variables                  | Pregnant groups | p value |
|----------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Gestational week           | COVID-19        | Normal  |
|                           | 3.9 ± 1.7       | 3.9 ± 1.1 | 0.995 |
| Age, year                  | 26.7 ± 4.7      | 26.9 ± 4.7 | 0.777 |
| Parity, n                  | 2.8 ± 1.6       | 2.9 ± 1.6 | 0.735 |
| WBC, K/µL                 | 16.3 ± 5.5      | 13.6 ± 4.2 | 0.002 |
| Lymphocyte, K/µL          | 10.7 ± 6.1      | 13.1 ± 7.1 | 0.046 |
| Neutrophil, K/µL          | 82.3 ± 6.5      | 79.6 ± 8.4 | 0.043 |
| Neutrophil/Lymphocyte ratio| 0.14 ± 0.1      | 0.18 ± 0.1 | 0.043 |
| Hemoglobin, g/dL          | 11.25 ± 1.5     | 11.2 ± 1.4 | 0.846 |
| Htc, %                    | 35.3 ± 4.2      | 35.0 ± 3.4 | 0.646 |
| Platelet, K/µL            | 234.5 ± 61.1    | 233.2 ± 66.8 | 0.905 |
| Glucose, mg/dL            | 91.2 ± 25.8     | 92.6 ± 23.3 | 0.752 |
| Urea, mg/dL               | 18.5 ± 5.1      | 17.3 ± 5.0 | 0.209 |
| Creatinine, mg/dL         | 0.6 ± 0.1       | 0.59 ± 0.1 | 0.375 |
| AST, U/L                   | 28.0 ± 9.5      | 24.8 ± 6.4 | 0.025 |
| ALT*, U/L                 | 18.0 ± 12.2     | 14.5 ± 6.1 | 0.042 |
| D-dimer, ug/L             | 971.3 ± 647.7   | 781.0     | NA   |
| CRP*, mg/dL               | 14.9 ± 21.6     | 7.8 ± 15.1 | 0.031 |
| Ferritin*, ng/mL          | 28.4 ± 19.8     | 21.1 ± 19.7 | 0.230 |
| Duration of hospital stay | 2.5 ± 0.9       | 2.5 ± 0.8 | 0.758 |
| Week of birth             | 38.9 ± 1.7      | 38.9 ± 1.1 | 0.941 |
| Fetal weight, gr          | 3214.5 ± 542.7  | 3248.5 ± 375.0 | 0.679 |
| Appgar1. min              | 7.8 ± 1.1       | 7.9 ± 0.6 | 0.741 |
| Appgar 5. min             | 9.0 ± 1.2       | 9.1 ± 0.5 | 0.447 |
| ARB test                  | 1              | 1         | 1.000 |

AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; CRP: C-reactive protein. Independent t test and *Mann–Whitney U test were used and p < 0.05 was considered significant. NA; not applicable.

4. Discussions

Studies were carried out on the effects of COVID-19 on pregnancy, pregnancy outcomes, and newborns. Available evidence indicates that COVID-19 infection during pregnancy rarely affects fetal and neonatal mortality [20]. However, COVID-19 can affect multiple organs and systems [21, 22]. It mainly affects the respiratory system and can cause a wide range of symptoms in the respiratory system—from the common cold to severe respiratory distress [23]. Fetuses may be exposed to SARS-CoV-2 during critical periods of fetal development [24] because the immunopathogenesis of the disease is not completely clear [25].

The COVID-19 pandemic jeopardizes each of the steps required for EHDI (early detection and early intervention) [16]. Normally, most babies are screened for hearing at one month of age before being discharged from the maternity hospital. However, studies show that there is an increase in out-of-hospital deliveries during the pandemic [26–28], and therefore, babies born outside the hospital are more likely to delay or fail in terms of full newborn hearing screening [29]. However, in this study, due to the regular screening applied by the Ministry of Health, no difference was found between pregnant women with COVID-19 and those who did not, without delay.

A total of 984 neonates were included (508 males and 476 females) in a multicenter study by Mostafa et al. [13] to
determine the possible effect of maternal SARS-COV-2 infection on neonatal hearing as identified during universal hearing screening. Neonates born to Covid-19 positive mothers do not seem to have an increased risk of hearing loss. Likewise, in this study, no increased hearing loss was noted. Mothers do not appear to have an increased risk of hearing loss due to low birth weight in their newborns. Children were subjected to newborn hearing screening and audiological evaluation. Newborn hearing screening is performed at the baby’s age of 4 months by using maternal pregnancy and perinatal case base such as congenital anomaly or known TORCH infection. Mothers with COVID-19 infection during pregnancy were compared with those of 339 women who gave birth before the pandemic. Neonates born before 34 weeks, and with reported risk factors in the database such as congenital anomaly or known TORCH infection during pregnancy were included. The screening tests, automated auditory brainstem response or transient evoked otoacoustic emission (TEOAE), were used for screening, and patients who failed the first screening were reevaluated at least 2 weeks after a second screening. COVID-19 infection during pregnancy was not found to be a risk factor for hearing loss, according to the newborn hearing screening results. Cesarean section rate was found to be higher in Covid pregnant women compared to the control group (56.2% vs. 32.6%), but the week of delivery and birth weight were found to be similar. In this study, the normal birth rate was found to be higher, but it is similar in terms of other parameters. It may be due to the difference between centers in terms of delivery method and the absence of cesarean section indications in the studies.

Ghiselli et al. [15] enrolled 63 children born to mothers who had contracted COVID-19 during pregnancy, and investigated the possible correlation between the COVID-19 gestational infection and hearing impairment onset in newborns. Children were subjected to newborn hearing screening and audiological evaluation. Newborn hearing screening is carried out prior to hospital discharge using the automatic transient evoked otoacoustic emissions test. An audiological evaluation is performed at the baby’s age of 4 months by using maternal pregnancy and perinatal case

| Table 2: Comparison of biochemical variables between COVID-19 and normal pregnant groups in normal and cesarean delivery. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Variables** | Normal delivery groups (n=57) | Cesarean delivery groups (n=8) |
| | Mean ± SD/median IQR | Normal (n=46) | p value | Normal (n=20) | p value |
| **Gestational week** | 38.8 ± 1.8 | 39.2 ± 0.9 | 0.185 | 39 (1) | 38.5 (1) | 0.117 |
| **Age, year** | 26.8 ± 4.8 | 27.2 ± 4.6 | 0.634 | 25.5 (8) | 26 (6) | 0.838 |
| **Parity, n** | 2.9 ± 1.6 | 3.1 ± 1.5 | 0.506 | 2 (3) | 2 (2) | 0.812 |
| **WBC, K/μL** | 16.4 ± 5.6 | 13.8 ± 4.5 | **0.012** | 15.5 (9) | 12 (5) | 0.185 |
| **Lymphocyte, K/μL** | 10.3 ± 6.2 | 14.0 ± 7.8 | **0.010** | 13.5 (9) | 11 (7) | 0.160 |
| **Neutrophil, K/μL** | 82.6 ± 6.7 | 78.4 ± 8.8 | 0.008 | 80 (6) | 84 (10) | 0.169 |
| **Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio** | 0.13 ± 0.1 | 0.19 ± 0.14 | **0.013** | 0.17 (0.12) | 0.13 (0.10) | 0.186 |
| **Hemoglobin, g/dL** | 11.3 ± 1.4 | 11.3 ± 1.4 | 0.895 | 12 (3) | 11 (1) | 0.711 |
| **Hct, %** | 35.5 ± 4.1 | 35.2 ± 3.6 | 0.722 | 35.5 (9) | 35 (4) | 0.939 |
| **Platelet, K/μL** | 234.3 ± 61.2 | 241.2 ± 66.0 | 0.589 | 227.5 (117) | 214.5 (86) | 0.509 |
| **Glucose, mg/dL** | 91.5 ± 26.9 | 95.9 ± 25.8 | 0.401 | 88 (34) | 86 (22) | 0.610 |
| **Urea, mg/dL** | 18.3 ± 5.0 | 17.9 ± 5.2 | 0.686 | 18.5 (7) | 16 (4) | 0.071 |
| **Creatinine, mg/dL** | 0.6 ± 0.1 | 0.59 ± 0.1 | 0.660 | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.226 |
| **AST, U/L** | 28.4 ± 9.7 | 25.3 ± 6.9 | 0.071 | 26 (16) | 24 (6) | 0.557 |
| **ALT, U/L** | 15 (7) | 12.5 (7) | **0.037** | 13.5 (9) | 15 (6) | 0.523 |
| **D-dimer, ug/L** | 1051.3 ± 675.2 | –(n=0) | NA | –(n=2) | –(n=1) | NA |
| **CRP, mg/dL** | 8 (11) | 4 (4) | **0.008** | 13 (22) | 6 (5.5) | 0.052 |
| **Ferritin, mg/mL** | 22 (34) | 11 (44) | 0.699 | 14 (7) | 10.5 (13.5) | 0.410 |
| **Duration of hospital stay, day** | 2.5 ± 0.9 | 2.2 ± 0.8 | 0.152 | 3 (1) | 3 (0) | 0.252 |
| **Week of birth** | 38.8 ± 1.8 | 39.2 ± 0.9 | 0.140 | 40 (1) | 38.5 (1) | 0.004 |
| **Fetal weight, gr** | 3187.5 ± 531.8 | 3237.2 ± 377.0 | 0.623 | 3130 (1176) | 3220 (479) | 0.958 |
| **Appg1 min** | 7.7 ± 1.2 | 8.0 ± 0.5 | 0.235 | 8 (1) | 7.5 (1) | 0.013 |
| **Appg5 min** | 8.9 ± 1.3 | 9.1 ± 0.5 | 0.232 | 9 (1) | 9 (0) | 0.059 |

AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; CRP, C-reactive protein, white blood cell (WBC); N/L, neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio, NA, not applicable. Independent t test and Mann–Whitney U test were used and p < 0.05 was considered significant.
history; COVID-19 case history; otoscopy; acoustic immittance test; distortion product otoacoustic emissions test and the auditory brainstem response test. The study found no evidence that maternal COVID-19 infection is a risk factor in the development of congenital hearing loss in newborns. Advanced maternal age (32 years), the inclusion of the TORCH infection panel, the presence of drug use during pregnancy, better Apgar scores at 1st and 5th minutes (9.2–9.9, respectively), and the absence of a control group are the differences from this study. Although COVID-19 has drug use and accompanying diseases, its results are compatible with this study.

In the meta-analysis study of Jafari et al. [34], it was determined that pregnant and non-pregnant women showed the same clinical picture. Pregnant women have a higher proportion of leukocytosis (27% vs. 14%) and thrombocytopenia (18% vs. 12%) and a lower proportion of raised C-reactive protein (52% vs. 81%) compared with non-pregnant patients. Leucopenia and lymphopenia were almost the same in both groups. Higher odds of cesarean delivery, low birth weight, and preterm birth among pregnant patients with COVID-19 suggest a possible association between COVID-19 infection and pregnancy complications. While the compatible part with this study is leukocytosis, leukocytosis, and lymphopenia, differences in our study are the groups consisting of pregnant women with and without COVID-19, high CRP level in COVID-19 pregnant women, and low Neutrophil/Lymphocyte ratio. However, while there was no statistical difference in terms of the week of birth and fetal weight between pregnant women with and without COVID-19, contrary to this meta-analysis, the rate of CS was found to be higher in pregnant women without COVID-19. This meta-analysis study consists of pregnant and non-pregnant groups, and thrombocytopenia and CRP levels were found to be lower in pregnant women compared to non-pregnant women. In addition, an increased CS rate, low birth rate, and preterm birth risk were found in COVID-19 pregnant women. [34].

Dey et al. reported that the rate of cesarean section is higher in pregnant women with Covid than in normal pregnancy [35]. On the contrary, there are studies that do not provide enough evidence about the relationship between COVID-19 and maternal-fetal and perinatal complications [36].

The difference in cesarean section rates between studies may be due to different indications and sociodemographic characteristics. In addition, studies may be from heterogeneous groups, concomitant diseases, and clinical pictures. In a meta-analysis study of 364 pregnant women and 302 newborns with a diagnosis of COVID-19, Mona et al. [37] showed that the course of COVID-19 in pregnant women was similar to pregnancy outcomes in other populations. Similar results were also reported in the study of Maryam et al. [20]. In addition, laboratory values of hb, platelet, AST, ALT, Cr, and BUN were within normal limits, while increased CRP neutrophilia and lymphopenia were detected. Increased CRP neutrophilia and lymphopenia are the most common laboratory results in Vakili et al., Nikpour et al., and other studies [38–40]. Although lymphopenia is physiologically normal during pregnancy, a sharp decrease in lymphocytes is used as a good predictor of COVID-19-related mortality [41]. Our study is in agreement with data from two studies.

The limitations of our study are that it is retrospective, single-centered, not randomized, the number of cases is small, and the severity of COVID-19 is not defined, while its strengths are its comparison with the control group, detailed proinflammatory parameters, and regular case records.

Healthcare services opportunities exist to continue to reduce the negative impact of COVID-19 on neonatal hearing health care. It is recommended that training for a hearing test, registration, and follow-up of newborns be repeated regularly. Incomplete follow-up should be referred to pediatric audiology immediately. The follow-up and rehabilitation of children with hearing loss affected by COVID-19 will continue to be important in the coming years [18]. In summary, although the negative effects of COVID-19 on pregnancy outcomes are rare, it has been shown that it is not an audiological risk factor. The most important predictive parameter of COVID-19 was found to be lymphopenia. Randomized controlled studies are needed for the exact reason why it is an audiological risk factor.

Data Availability
Data are available on request.

Conflicts of Interest
The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References
[1] N. C. L. Wu, L. K. Chen, and T. Zhu, "Phage therapy for secondary bacterial infections with COVID-19," Current Opinion in Virology, vol. 52, pp. 9–14, 2022.
[2] John Hopkins University, "John Hopkins University & Medicine: Coronavirus Resource Center," John Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA, 2022.
[3] L. J. Yockey and A. Iwasaki, "Interferons and proinflammatory cytokines in pregnancy and fetal development," Immunity, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 397–412, 2018.
[4] G. Mor and I. Cardenas, "The immune system in pregnancy: a unique complexity," American Journal of Reproductive Immunology, vol. 63, no. 6, pp. 425–433, 2010.
[5] E. A. N. Wastnedge, R. M. Reynolds, S. R. van Boeckel et al., "Pregnancy and COVID-19," Physiological Reviews, vol. 101, no. 1, pp. 303–318, 2021.
[6] R. C. M. T. Boelig, T. Manuck, E. A. Oliver et al., "Labor and delivery guidance for COVID-19," American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology MFM, vol. 2, no. 2, Article ID 100110, 2020.
[7] E. J. M. Prochaska, M. Jang, and I. Burd, "COVID-19 in pregnancy: placental and neonatal involvement," American Journal of Reproductive Immunology, vol. 84, no. 5, Article ID e13306, 2020.
[8] E. A. Y. M. Figueiro-Filho, M. Yudin, and D. Farine, "COVID-19 during pregnancy: an overview of maternal characteristics, clinical symptoms, maternal and neonatal outcomes of 10,996
cases described in 15 countries,” *Journal of Perinatal Medicine*, vol. 48, no. 9, pp. 900–911, 2020.

[9] C. A. B. S. Penfield, S. G. Brubaker, M. A. Limaye et al., “Detection of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 in placental and fetal membrane samples,” *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology MFM*, vol. 2, no. 3, Article ID 100133, 2020.

[10] M. C. P. T. Alzamora, T. Paredes, D. Caceres, C. M. Webb, L. M. Valdez, and M. La Rosa, “Severe COVID-19 during pregnancy and possible vertical transmission,” *American Journal of Perinatology*, vol. 37, no. 8, pp. 861–865, 2020.

[11] M. D. C. Lavigne-Rebillard, R. Pujol, A. Sans, and P. Escudero, “Développement de l’oreille interne pendant le premier trimestre de la grossesse. Différenciation des cellules sensorielles et formation des premières synapses [development of the internal ear during the 1st trimester of pregnancy. differentiation of the sensory cells and formation of the 1st synapses],” *Ann Otolaryngol Chir Cervicofac.*, vol. 102, no. 7, pp. 493–498, 1985.

[12] J. Z. Y. Cai, Y. Zhang, and M. Tang, “New evidences that discard the possible vertical transmission of SARS-CoV 2 during pregnancy,” *Medicina Clinica*, vol. 156, no. 10, p. 523, 2021.

[13] B. E. M. A. Mostafa, A. Mostafa, L. M. E. Fiky, A. Omara, and A. Teaima, “Maternal COVID-19 and neonatal hearing loss: a multicentric survey,” *European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology*, vol. 279, no. 7, pp. 3435–3438, 2021.

[14] Z. A. O.-E. A. Oskovi-Kaplan, A. S. Ozgu-Erdinc, G. N. Buyuk et al., “Newborn hearing screening results of infants born to mothers who had COVID-19 disease during pregnancy: a retrospective cohort study,” *Ear and Hearing*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 41–44, 2022.

[15] S. L. A. Ghiselli, A. Laborai, G. Biasucci, M. Carvelli, D. Salsi, and D. Cuda, “Auditory evaluation of infants born to COVID19 positive mothers,” *American Journal of Otolaryngology*, vol. 43, no. 2, Article ID 103379, 2022.

[16] The Joint Committee on Infant Hearing, “Year 2019 position statement: principles and guidelines for early hearing detection and intervention programs,” *Journal of Early Hearing Detection Intervention*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 1-44, 2019.

[17] V. Mogharam, M. Ostovar, J. Ruszkowski et al., “Global burden of the COVID-19 associated patient-related delay in emergency healthcare: a panel of systematic review and meta-analyses,” *Globalization and Health*, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 58, 2022.

[18] J. K. Biswas, S. D. Poddar, G. Saravagi, and A. Nilakantan, “Access to essential contraception, family planning, and safe motherhood services during COVID-19 pandemic: single secondary level care hospital experience,” *Gynecology Obstetrics & Reproductive Medicine*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 69–75, 2022.

[19] C. M. T. Jenkins, M. DeSell, and J. Walsh, “Delays in infant hearing detection and intervention during the COVID-19 pandemic: commentary,” *Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery*, vol. 166, no. 4, pp. 603-604, 2022.

[20] V. Maryam, M. Mirhafourvand, and S. Hemmatzadeh, “Characteristics, clinical and laboratory data and outcomes of pregnant women with confirmed SARS-CoV 2 infection admitted to Al-Zahra tertiary referral maternity center in Iran: a case series of 24 patients,” *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, vol. 21, no. 1, p. 378, 2021.

[21] M. H. M. Vakshoori, M. Heidarpour, D. Shafie, M. Taheri, N. Rezaei, and N. Sarrafzadegan, “Acute cardiac injury in COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis,” *Archives of Iranian Medicine*, vol. 23, no. 11, pp. 801–812, 2020.

[22] A. S. A. Hessami, A. Shamshirian, K. Heydari et al., “Cardiovascular diseases burden in COVID-19: systematic review and meta-analysis,” *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, vol. 46, pp. 382–391, 2021.

[23] M. Lothi and N. Rezaei, “SARS-CoV 2: a comprehensive review from pathogenicity of the virus to clinical consequences,” *Journal of Medical Virology*, vol. 92, no. 10, pp. 1864–1874, 2020.

[24] P. W. J. Dashraath, J. L. J. Wong, M. X. K. Lim et al., “Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic and pregnancy,” *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 222, no. 6, pp. 521–531, 2020.

[25] S. A. H. Delavari, H. Abolhassani, F. Abolnezhadian et al., “Impact of SARS-CoV 2 pandemic on patients with primary immunodeficiency,” *Journal of Clinical Immunology*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 345–355, 2021.

[26] R. G. K. Davis-Floyd, K. Gutschow, and D. A. Schwartz, “Pregnancy, birth and the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States,” *Medical Anthropology*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 413–427, 2020.

[27] R. B. N. C. Breman, C. Neerland, D. Bradley, A. Burgess, E. Barr, and P. Burcher, “Giving birth during the COVID-19 pandemic, perspectives from a sample of the United States birthing persons during the first wave: march–june 2020,” *Birth*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 524–533, 2021.

[28] A. B. R. Burgess, R. B. Breman, D. Bradley, S. Dada, and P. Burcher, “Pregnant women’s reports of the impact of COVID-19 on pregnancy, prenatal care, and infant feeding plans,” *MCN: The American Journal of Maternal/Child Nursing*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 21–29, 2021.

[29] Cunningham RF FSTeoC-o--PM, https://www.audiology.org/news-and-publications/audiology-today/art002520icles/the-effects-of-covid-19-on-1-3-6/, 2021.

[30] S. H. K. J. Yoon, J. M. Kang, and J. G. Ahn, “Clinical outcomes of 201 neonates born to mothers with COVID-19: a systematic review,” *European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences*, vol. 24, no. 14, pp. 7804–7815, 2020.

[31] Q. W. Shu, M. Bilodeau-Bertrand, S. Liu, and N. Auger, “The impact of COVID-19 on pregnancy outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis,” *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, vol. 193, no. 16, pp. E540–E548, 2021.

[32] I. A. Abdelazim, M. AbuFaza, and S. Al-Munaifi, “COVID-19 positive woman presented with preterm labor: case report,” *Gynecology Obstetrics & Reproductive Medicine*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 294–296, 2021.

[33] Z. Karaçam, D. Kizilca-Çakalo, G. Güney-Öztürk, and A. Çoban, “Maternal and perinatal outcomes of pregnancy associated with COVID-19: systematic review and meta-analysis,” *European Journal of Midwifery*, vol. 6, pp. 1–22, 2022.

[34] M. Jafari, A. Pormohammad, S. A. Sheikhh Neshin et al., “Clinical characteristics and outcomes of pregnant women with COVID-19 and comparison with control patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis,” *Reviews in Medical Virology*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 1-16, 2021.

[35] M. A. Dey, S. Singh, R. Tiwari, V. G. Nair, D. Arora, and S. Tiwari, “Pregnancy outcome in first 50 SARS-Cov 2 positive patients at our center,” *Gynecology Obstetrics & Reproductive Medicine*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 11-16, 2021.

[36] J. Juan, M. M. Gil, Z. Rong, Y. Zhang, H. Yang, and L. C. Poon, “Effect of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) on maternal, perinatal and neonatal outcome: systematic review,” *Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 15–27, 2020.
[37] M. S. A. Mona, A. Saghazadeh, and N. Rezaei, “A systematic review of pregnant women with COVID-19 and their neonates,” Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics, vol. 304, no. 1, pp. 5–38, 2021.

[38] L. Panahi, M. Amiri, and S. Pouy, “Risks of novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) in pregnancy; a narrative review,” Archives of Academic Emergency Medicine, vol. 8, no. 1, 2020.

[39] M. Zaigham and O. Andersson, “Maternal and perinatal outcomes with COVID-19: a systematic review of 108 pregnancies,” Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica, vol. 99, no. 7, pp. 823–829, 2020.

[40] J. Zhao, Y. Yang, H. Huang et al., “Relationship between the ABO blood group and the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) susceptibility,” Clinical Infectious Diseases, vol. 73, no. 2, pp. 328–331, 2021.

[41] N. H. M. Hanna, M. Hanna, and S. Sharma, “Is pregnancy an immunological contributor to severe or controlled COVID-19 disease?” American Journal of Reproductive Immunology, vol. 84, no. 5, Article ID e13317, 2020.