Immune reconstitution and clinical recovery following anti-CD28 antibody (TGN1412)-induced cytokine storm

Nicki Panoskaltsis, Emory University
Neil E. McCarthy, Imperial College London
Andrew J. Stagg, Imperial College London
Catherine J. Mummery, Northwick Park Hospital
Mariwan Husni, Northwick Park Hospital
Naila Arebi, St. Mark's Hospital
David Greenstein, North West London Hospital
Claire L. Price, Imperial College London
Hafid O. Al-Hassi, Imperial College London
Michalis Koutinas, Imperial College London

Only first 10 authors above; see publication for full author list.

Journal Title: CANCER IMMUNOLOGY IMMUNOTHERAPY
Volume: Volume 70, Number 4
Publisher: SPRINGER | 2020-10-08, Pages 1127-1142
Type of Work: Article | Final Publisher PDF
Publisher DOI: 10.1007/s00262-020-02725-2
Permanent URL: https://pid.emory.edu/ark:/25593/vqf9w

Final published version: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00262-020-02725-2

Copyright information:
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020
Accessed September 14, 2024 5:37 PM EDT
Immune reconstitution and clinical recovery following anti-CD28 antibody (TGN1412)-induced cytokine storm
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Abstract
Cytokine storm can result from cancer immunotherapy or certain infections, including COVID-19. Though short-term immune-related adverse events are routinely described, longer-term immune consequences and sequential immune monitoring are not as well defined. In 2006, six healthy volunteers received TGN1412, a CD28 superagonist antibody, in a first-in-man clinical trial and suffered from cytokine storm. After the initial cytokine release, antibody effect-specific immune monitoring started on Day +10 and consisted mainly of evaluation of dendritic cell and T-cell subsets and 15 serum cytokines at 21 time-points over 2 years. All patients developed problems with concentration and memory; three patients were diagnosed with mild-to-moderate depression. Mild neutropenia and autoantibody production was observed intermittently. One patient suffered from peripheral dry gangrene, required amputations, and had persistent Raynaud’s phenomenon. Gastrointestinal irritability was noted in three patients and coincided with elevated γδT-cells. One had pruritus associated with elevated IgE levels, also found in three other asymptomatic patients. Dendritic cells, initially undetectable, rose to normal within a month. Naïve CD8+ T-cells were maintained at high levels, whereas naïve CD4+ and memory CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells started high but declined over 2 years. T-regulatory cells cycled circannually and were normal in number. Cytokine dysregulation was especially noted in one patient with systemic symptoms. Over a 2-year follow-up, cognitive deficits were observed in all patients following TGN1412 infusion. Some also had signs or symptoms of psychological, mucosal or immune dysregulation. These observations may discern immunopathology, treatment targets, and long-term monitoring strategies for other patients undergoing immunotherapy or with cytokine storm.
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Introduction
Antibody or cell-based immunotherapeutics for cancer carry inherent risks of bystander immune activation, in addition to the effects of treating the intended tumor target [1–5]. The most severe of these immune-related adverse events (irAEs) are cytokine release syndrome (CRS) and neurotoxicity, most prominently described in patients treated with CAR-T-cells [6–11]. Treatment for CRS and associated neurotoxicity primarily targets IL-6, likely arising from monocytes or activated endothelium [1, 6, 11–17]. The site of cell activation is unclear, and may depend on disease burden, treatment target or how CRS is induced; antibody and cell therapies target tumor sites, whereas infections, such as SARS-CoV-2 causing
COVID-19, target points of pathogen entry, e.g. mucosal sites of the nasopharynx, lung and gastrointestinal tract [1, 11–19]. Immune checkpoint blockade induces irAEs during short-term follow-up of some patients with cancer, though robust immune biomarkers correlating with adverse effects of therapy are still being investigated [1, 4, 7, 20–25]. For long-term survivors who received immunotherapy or following CRS, immune monitoring is not yet standard of care, despite evidence that such patients may continue to experience secondary effects of treatment and irAEs [1, 3].

In 2006, a superagonist anti-CD28 humanized monoclonal antibody (TGN1412) was infused into six healthy young male volunteers in a first-in-man clinical trial [26]. The antibody had specificity for the C''D loop of the CD28 glycoprotein and had the unique capacity to activate T-cells solely through CD28 (signal 2) without the usual ligation of the T-cell receptor (signal 1) [27]. All six volunteers suffered from CRS, first noted clinically within 90 min of infusion. Although the expected effect from pre-clinical studies was for selective T-regulatory cell (Treg) expansion, without cytokine release, the first effects observed in humans were those of cytokine storm. Patients displayed early high TNF-α release within an hour of infusion. This was associated with fever, delirium, nausea, vomiting and diarrhea, multi-organ failure (starting with the lung and rapidly progressive hypoxemia), disseminated intravascular coagulation, and a discrete absence of T-cells and monocytes from the peripheral blood [26]. The acute effects of the TGN1412-induced cytokine storm and the first 30 days of follow-up have already been reported [26]. Herein, we present the clinical and linked immunological data from 10 days sequentially to 2 years post-drug administration. In parallel, blood samples were obtained from healthy, male volunteers (n = 24; after written informed consent) as comparative controls. All six TGN1412 trial participants were male, with median age of 29.5 years (range 19–34). The healthy control volunteers were male, with a median age of 30 years (range 19–42). None of the patients had a notable medical history or requests for specific immune monitoring tests as determined by clinical need. From 6 months post-event, all patients were assessed in a specialist cognitive disorders clinic by an expert neurologist (CJM) and psychiatrist (MH). All patient blood samples were anonymized and the scientists performing immunologic tests were not aware of clinical symptoms, signs, or clinical laboratory data (NEM, AJS, HOA-H, CLP, MK, AM and SCK). Blood was procured for immune, hematological and biochemical monitoring at each assessment by the lead clinician beginning 10 days after TGN1412 infusion and ending 2 years post-drug administration. In parallel, blood samples were obtained from healthy, male volunteers (n = 24; after written informed consent) as comparative controls. All six TGN1412 trial participants were male, with median age of 29.5 years (range 19–34). The healthy control volunteers were male, with a median age of 30 years (range 19–42). None of the patients had a notable medical history and all were well during the 2 weeks preceding the clinical trial. Patients B and C were lost to immunological follow-up after 15 and 22 months, respectively. Patients provided written informed consent to data publication.

**Materials and methods**

**Clinical trial**

TGN1412 was produced by TeGenero AG (Würzburg, Germany), manufactured by Boehringer Ingelheim (Germany), and the clinical trial was conducted by the contract research organization, PAREXEL International (Waltham, MA, USA) in their leased UK clinical trial site at Northwick Park Hospital, London, UK. Details of the clinical trial and the first 30 days of clinical follow-up have been reported [26]. The patients presented herein as A, B, C, D, E and F correlate with those previously identified as patients 2, 1, 5, 6, 4 and 3, respectively [26]. None of the authors of this work were involved with the conduct of the clinical trial or any of the pre-clinical testing of TGN1412.

**Patients and sources of data**

All six patients were followed clinically (off-trial) and assessed as a cohort following the serious adverse event (SAE). Overall care and immune monitoring was coordinated and interpreted by the lead clinician (NP) who made appropriate referrals to subspecialists (CJM, MH, NA) or requests for specific immune monitoring tests as determined by clinical need. From 6 months post-event, all patients were assessed in a specialist cognitive disorders clinic by an expert neurologist (CJM) and psychiatrist (MH). All patient blood samples were anonymized and the scientists performing immunologic tests were not aware of clinical symptoms, signs, or clinical laboratory data (NEM, AJS, HOA-H, CLP, MK, AM and SCK). Blood was procured for immune, hematological and biochemical monitoring at each assessment by the lead clinician beginning 10 days after TGN1412 infusion and ending 2 years post-drug administration. In parallel, blood samples were obtained from healthy, male volunteers (n = 24; after written informed consent) as comparative controls. All six TGN1412 trial participants were male, with median age of 29.5 years (range 19–34). The healthy control volunteers were male, with a median age of 30 years (range 19–42). None of the patients had a notable medical history and all were well during the 2 weeks preceding the clinical trial. Patients B and C were lost to immunological follow-up after 15 and 22 months, respectively. Patients provided written informed consent to data publication.

**Immune monitoring**

Immune monitoring started on Day + 10 and was continued every 3–4 days for 2 weeks, then weekly for 4 weeks, then every 4 weeks for 3 months, and every 6 weeks to month 8 (time-points 1–17). In the second year, patients were evaluated every 3 months (time-points 18–21). For the first 6 months, whole blood was assessed for T-cell and dendritic cell (DC) subset numbers, phenotype and function by measuring intracellular and serum cytokines (Supplemental Fig. 1 and 2). After 6 months, tests were rationalized to those that were most informative. Correlates of immune function or potential were explored.
including T-cell receptor Vβ repertoire, antigen recall assay with purified protein derivative (PPD), and T-cell homing for gut and skin based on expression of β7 integrin and cutaneous leukocyte antigen (CLA), respectively. These studies were conducted in a laboratory operating under GLP principles, undertaking exploratory research and using established protocols that were MIATA compliant (Supplementary MIATA information). The assays and reagents employed were previously validated and tested for performance during the course of standard general investigative research.

**Flow cytometry**

Whole blood was obtained by venipuncture into sodium-heparin Vacutainer™ tubes (Becton–Dickinson) and labeled with fluorochrome-conjugated monoclonal antibodies (mAb; Supplementary Table 1) for surface and intracellular cytokine detection, as previously described [28], and acquired on a FACSCalibur flow cytometer using CellQuest software (Becton–Dickinson). The Vβ repertoire kit was kindly donated by Beckman Coulter. The human FoxP3 staining kit (including anti-FoxP3 mAb; PCH101-APC) was from eBioscience (cat no. 77-5776-40). Analyses were performed using WinList software (Verity Software House, Maine, USA) using off-line compensation to ensure objective analysis of data. Absolute cell numbers were determined by reference to a known quantity of Flow-Count™ Fluospheres (Beckman Coulter, cat no. 7547053) added to each aliquot of cells immediately prior to acquisition.

Two DC subsets (Supplementary Fig. 1) and major CD3+ T-cell subsets were identified (Supplementary Fig. 2). The CD3+/CD8− (hereafter CD3+/CD4+) and CD3+/CD8− T-cells were assessed for CD28+/CD25+ subsets, enriched for Tregs. Later analysis employed a mAb against FoxP3 (in lieu of CD28 mAb) to identify CD3+/CD4+ Tregs within the CD25+ population. This analysis verified that Tregs identified by CD28+/CD25+ contained the FoxP3+ subset, despite the absence of high CD25 expression (Supplementary Fig. 2). DC maturation markers (CD80/CD86/CD40) were not informative and were removed from the protocol after 4 months (Day + 133). At this time, patients were evaluated on 2 separate days, rather than all six on 1 day, allowing for faster laboratory processing of samples (with less cell death), but resulting in significant disparity in total cell numbers in certain T-cell subsets versus analyses prior to Day + 133 for both the patient and healthy-control samples.

**Cytokine determinations**

All sera (patient and healthy control) were stored at −80 °C and thawed for re- aliquoting once prior to assessment. To ensure consistency, cytokines were assessed on a single run by one operator with a single multi-channel pipette (freshly calibrated) after a single freeze–thaw cycle for time points 1–19, and then a second run for the last two time points. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) with an ELx808TM absorbance microplate reader (BioTek Instruments Inc, USA) or a cytokine bead array was used for quantitative determination of cytokines as per manufacturer’s instructions (Supplementary Table 2). ELISA determinations were done in duplicate and on different plates to account for plate-to-plate variation. Method controls and normal control samples were included on each test plate in addition to standard controls for calibration. Normal controls and method controls were also included in cytokine bead array with samples tested once.

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical analysis was performed by scientists (MK, AM) not involved in acquisition of data, except for serum cytokine determinations by ELISA, and without knowledge of clinical outcomes. SigmaStat (Systat Software UK Ltd, London, UK, version 3.5) was used for three-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to elucidate the effects of treatment (exposure to TGN1412) on cellular parameters over time within the patient group and compared with controls. Time-points were only included when data from all six patients and six controls were available. Two different hypotheses were tested: 1. whether exposure to TGN1412 affected cytokine levels over time, i.e. patients vs controls and, 2. whether the numbers of each cell subtype, intracellular cytokine-expressing cells, and level of serum cytokines differed amongst the six patients over time. The criterion for the implementation of the ANOVA tests was the normality assumption [29]. Due to the small sample size (no replicates), the homogeneity of variance, expectedly, was not satisfied only for the second hypothesis. The level of significance was accepted at $p < 0.05$.

**Results**

The clinical characteristics and recovery of blood counts in the first 30 days following TGN1412-infusion have been described [26]. Briefly, this 30-day period was broken down into 4 phases. Phase 1 was the “cytokine storm” starting within an hour of infusion with rapid induction of type 1 and 2 cytokines, associated with high fever, severe headache, delirium, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, diffuse erythema, hypotension, tachycardia severe lymphopenia and monocytopenia and lasted for 2–3 days. Phase 2 was the “reactive phase”, overlapping with Phase 1 from Day + 1 from infusion through Day + 3, and consisted of end-organ damage with renal failure, pulmonary infiltrates, respiratory
failure and disseminated intravascular coagulation. Phase 3 was the “recovery phase”—renal and pulmonary function normalized, with an accompanying reactive thrombocytosis, increase in alanine aminotransferase levels, and recovery of monocyte and lymphocyte counts. This phase started on Day +3 and lasted to Day +15 (or Day +20 in the two sickest patients, C and D, requiring prolonged intensive care). Phase 4 was the “plateau” or “steady-state” beginning Day +15–20 after TGN1412-infusion and consisted of normalization of blood counts and chemistry panels. During the first 10 days, all patients displayed generalized desquamation of the skin, muscle weakness and myalgia which slowly improved [26]. Longer term effects following exposure to TGN1412 affected all patients in four main areas: cognitive and psychiatric, autoimmune and inflammatory, immune mucosal barrier function, and alterations in immune cell subsets and cytokines in peripheral whole blood (Table 1; Supplementary Fig. 3). Herein, we describe these effects from Day +10 to 2 years post-infusion.

### Cognitive and psychiatric effects

The most consistent symptoms in all patients following the SAE were in memory and concentration (Table 1, Supplementary Table 3 and Supplementary Fig. 3). All patients reported subjective concentration and day-to-day memory problems, particularly for names. Although all patients scored within normal range on the Mini Mental State Examination, bedside cognitive examination and formal neuropsychometry performed between 6 and 12 months after the SAE revealed common deficits in verbal recall of information (Supplementary Table 3). Three patients exhibited poor verbal fluency suggestive of executive dysfunction (Supplementary Table 3). The patients initially showed

| Table 1 Persistent symptoms and signs over two years following TGN1412-induced cytokine storm |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Categories                                   | Symptoms and immune correlates (# of patients) | CTCAE grade |
| Neurocognitive and psychological             | Memory impairment (6)                            | 1–2         |
|                                               | Impairment in attentional processing (6)         | 1–2         |
|                                               | Mild-moderate depression (3)                     | 1–2         |
|                                               | Post-traumatic stress disorder (2)               | 2           |
|                                               | Anxiety requiring psychotherapy (4)              | 1–3         |
|                                               | Headaches (5)                                   | 1–2         |
|                                               | Blurred vision (5)                              | 1           |
| Autoimmune and inflammatory                  | Mild neutropenia (3)                            | 1           |
|                                               | Arthritis—knees, hands, back (6)                | 1           |
|                                               | Positive auto-antibodies (4)                    | 1           |
|                                               | Ischemic extremities (1)                        | 3           |
|                                               | Raynaud’s phenomenon (1)                        | 1           |
| Immune mucosal barrier function              | Diarrhea and ↑γδT cells (3)                     | 1–2         |
|                                               | Skin dryness and ↑sensitivity (3)                | 1–2         |
|                                               | Pruritus (1)                                    | 1           |
|                                               | Peripheral blood eosinophilia (3)               | 1           |
|                                               | ↑Serum IgE (4)                                  | 1           |
|                                               | Benign lipomas/angiolipomas (1)                 | 2           |
| Immune cell subsets and cytokines            | Gradual recovery of DC over 1 month (6)         | 1           |
|                                               | Low-normal total CD4+ T cells (5)               | 1           |
|                                               | Low-normal total CD8+ T cells (6)               | 1           |
|                                               | ↑sustained naïve CD8+ T cells (5)               | 1           |
|                                               | ↓ naïve CD4+ T cells over time (5)               | 1           |
|                                               | ↓ memory CD4+ T cells over time (4)              | 1           |
|                                               | ↓ memory CD8+ T cells over time (4)              | 1           |
|                                               | Normal Tregs with circannual cycle (6)          | 1           |
|                                               | Vβ repertoire normal (6)                        | –           |
|                                               | Normal immune responses in vitro (6)             | –           |
|                                               | ↑sustained erythropoietin level for 3 months (1) | 1           |
|                                               | ↑ cytokine response from 3 months (1)            | 1           |
|                                               | IL-17 differences (6)                           | 1           |

CTCAE common terminology criteria for adverse events, version 4.03
some improvement, plateauing approximately 12 months after the event. These changes, in addition to the SAE itself, generated significant anxiety; psychotherapy was required in four (Table 1). All patients underwent a comprehensive psychiatric assessment including a Structured Clinical Interview for Axis I Disorders (SCID-I). Three patients were diagnosed with mild-to-moderate depression; two of these, who required a prolonged stay in intensive care, were also diagnosed with post-traumatic stress disorder, (one also had associated panic disorder and agoraphobia; Table 1). Five patients were able to return to work within 2 years. However, all noted that their previous work and everyday capabilities were limited compared with pre-trial due to decreased concentration, reduced memory and difficulty retaining information, persisting over 2 years.

Five patients had intermittent headaches that started several days after stopping steroids on Days +21–31 and became less frequent in the second year (Table 1; Supplementary Fig. 3). The headaches were sharp, short-lasting and severe, often affecting the vertex of the head. All patients had unremarkable EEG and brain MRI. Five patients had normal FDG-PET brain scans (one patient declined). The eldest (patient A), whose memory and cognitive problems were most severe, had a lumbar puncture one year post-event which showed oligoclonal bands matched between serum and cerebrospinal fluid. The patients with headaches also had mild blurred distance vision (Table 1); in patient C, whose symptoms were most severe, ophthalmologic testing did not reveal retinal disease or intraocular inflammation, although a change in contrast sensitivity of vision was noted; he declined further testing. Patient B had mild dry eyes and blepharitis with hypermetropia. Interestingly, patient D did not have headaches or blurred vision, yet was the most physically ill following TGN1412 infusion and suffered ischemic hands and feet of unclear cause (Table 1; Fig. 2a,b). With improvement in his overall clinical condition, the extent of ischemia also improved. He underwent bilateral transmetatarsal amputation and removal of the terminal phalanges of both hands (one on the right and two on the left). Histopathologic examination showed no features of primary vasculopathy. The fingers of both hands displayed decreased pigmentation and, 10 months post-infusion, Raynaud’s phenomenon was noted in both hands that worsened during winter of the second year (18–24 months; Table 1). Autoimmune antibody testing was consistently negative in this patient except for expression of a weak antinuclear antibody (ANA; 1:40 titer) in speckled pattern at 7 and 8 months and a low-titer anti-cardiolipin IgG antibody at 8 and 24 months following infusion.

**Evidence of autoimmune phenomena and inflammation**

Three patients (A, B, F) had mild and intermittent neutropenia, relative to normal clinical lab reference ranges (Table 1; Fig. 1a). These lower counts were not accompanied by infection. All patients reported intermittent arthralgias in knees, hands and back (Table 1; Supplementary Fig. 3). In four, symptoms were associated with low-titer anti-nuclear antibody (ANA; patient D), anti-cardiolipin antibody (ACA; patients A, D, E, F), intermittent equivocal rheumatoid factor (RF; patient A) or a positive anti-nuclear cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA) in perinuclear staining pattern directed against PR3 (patient A; also displayed mild neutropenia). These antibodies lasted less than 5 months at a time and did not correlate with changes in cell subsets or cytokine measurements. Complement levels were normal in all patients.

Patient D was the most physically ill following TGN1412 infusion and suffered ischemic hands and feet of unclear cause (Table 1; Fig. 2a,b). With improvement in his overall clinical condition, the extent of ischemia also improved. He underwent bilateral transmetatarsal amputation and removal of the terminal phalanges of both hands (one on the right and two on the left). Histopathologic examination showed no features of primary vasculopathy. The fingers of both hands displayed decreased pigmentation and, 10 months post-infusion, Raynaud’s phenomenon was noted in both hands that worsened during winter of the second year (18–24 months; Table 1). Autoimmune antibody testing was consistently negative in this patient except for expression of a weak antinuclear antibody (ANA; 1:40 titer) in speckled pattern at 7 and 8 months and a low-titer anti-cardiolipin IgG antibody at 8 and 24 months following infusion.

**Altered mucosal and immune barrier function**

Patients A, B and E had new gastrointestinal symptoms (diarrhea or frequent bowel motions) manifesting as intolerance to spicy foods and associated with a rise of γδT-cells in the blood (in press; Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 3). As the intolerance improved, this T-cell subset also declined (in press). Because of the extent of symptoms in patient B, a full gastrointestinal work-up was undertaken including lactose intolerance test (normal), esophagogastroduodenoscopy, and colonoscopy; a 5 mm sessile polyp in the proximal ascending colon showed inflammatory change. A cause for diarrhea was not found on random biopsies.

All patients had skin erythema followed by desquamation to varying degrees and hair thinning in the days and weeks immediately following TGN1412 infusion. Increased skin dryness and sensitivity to sun exposure, chemicals and soaps was noted in three patients (A, C, D; Table 1). Patient B suffered from ongoing pruritus over all skin areas; cetirizine could not be discontinued over 2 years due to recurrence of debilitating symptoms (Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 3). He had a persistently increased level of IgE, and intermittent mild eosinophilia without other associated signs or symptoms of allergy (Fig. 1b,c). Three other patients (D, E, F) also had persistently elevated IgE, without specific symptoms or seasonal correlation, two of whom also showed mild eosinophilia (E, F; Fig. 1b,c). Mast cells were absent from blood and gastrointestinal biopsies of patient B. Mobile, nontender and rubbery subcutaneous lumps were noted on the arms, and thorax of patient C (Table 1). Three of these lumps were biopsied on two occasions, 14 months apart and were found to be benign lipomas or angiolipomas. Immune cells were not identified in these biopsies and a CT scan did not show significant adenopathy or lesions elsewhere.
**Fig. 1** Two-year follow-up of relevant clinical parameters in the six patients Absolute neutrophil (a) and eosinophil counts (with values within the first 3 months highlighted in the panel to the right) followed over the 2-year period since TGN1412-induced cytokine storm show that three of the patients had mild intermittent neutropenia and three had intermittent eosinophilia. The latter three patients also had elevated levels of IgE (c), not always correlating with the elevated eosinophil counts. Horizontal dashed lines indicate the normal control reference ranges for each parameter. Normal reference ranges were determined for a healthy population in the clinical pathology accredited hematology laboratory using standard operating procedures.

**Fig. 2** Ischemic and dermatologic changes in Patient D at three months following TGN1412-induced cytokine storm. Areas of dry gangrene became fully demarcated at 2–3 months following the insult with vascular skin changes in the areas that became revascularized. Shown are changes prior to amputation of the ischemic digits in the (a) left hand and (b) right foot. Following amputations, he had persistent pain in both feet, some of which could be ascribed to phantom-limb pain, and had a sensory deficit which followed a glove-and-stockinig distribution, consistent with the areas originally affected by ischemia during his critical illness. (c) During the patient’s critical care phase, an arterial line had been placed in the left radial artery and with recovery, a hyperkeratotic scar formed, 7 cm × 4 cm in maximum dimensions, with faint satellite amelanotic lesions (1–3 mm dimension, arrows). These lesions continued to improve with time, with regression of the scar and disappearance of the white satellite spots.

**Recovery kinetics of immune cell subsets and cytokines in peripheral blood**

Both CD11c+ conventional and CD11c− plasmacytoid dendritic cells (DCs) were initially depleted from the blood and recovered slowly over the first month towards normal (Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. 4–6, a&b). Peripheral blood monocytes had recovered to normal by Day +10, unrelated to the recovery kinetics of DCs [26]. Naïve CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells were detectable in low-to-normal range with a cyclical recovery pattern to normal over the first 5 months. Thereafter, naïve CD8+ T-cells persisted above normal and naïve CD4+ T-cells gradually declined (Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. 4–6, c&d). Memory CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells were detected at higher-than-normal values and remained so until 9–12 months post-infusion, followed by a gradual decline in both to subnormal levels (Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. 4–6, e&f). Activated CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells were initially high, returned to normal range over 6 months (Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. 4–6, i&j) and followed the recovery pattern of DCs and the slow decrease of memory T-cells (Supplementary Fig. 7).
FoxP3+ Tregs was similar to that in healthy controls (data not shown). In vitro correlates of immune function indicated that T-cell proliferative responses to PPD antigen presentation, an antigen against which all six patients had previously been immunized, were similar to that of normal controls at 7 months following infusion. T-cell Vβ repertoires were also normal in the total T-cell populations at 8.6 and 12.1 months following infusion (data not shown).

Despite these similarities, differences in immune cell subsets and cytokines were observed: Patients A and D showed different cell subset numbers and longitudinal follow-up versus those of the other four patients (Fig. 3; p < 0.001).

**TGN1412** was a CD28 superagonist and preclinical studies indicated preferential stimulation of Tregs. The CD25+/CD28+ T-cell subsets, which include the CD4+ Tregs and corresponding CD8+ “Treg” population, were in normal range when monitoring started, decreased to low-to-normal levels over months 1 and 2, and continued to cycle circannually within the normal range over the 2-year period (Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. 4–6, g&h). This circannual cycle was also observed in healthy controls. Interestingly, the first peak of the CD8+ “Treg” subset occurred three months prior to that of the CD4+ “Treg” peak, but thereafter cycled together. At 15 months following infusion, the number of FoxP3+ Tregs was similar to that in healthy controls (data not shown). In vitro correlates of immune function indicated that T-cell proliferative responses to PPD antigen presentation, an antigen against which all six patients had previously been immunized, were similar to that of normal controls at 7 months following infusion. T-cell Vβ repertoires were also normal in the total T-cell populations at 8.6 and 12.1 months following infusion (data not shown).

Despite these similarities, differences in immune cell subsets and cytokines were observed: Patients A and D showed different cell subset numbers and longitudinal follow-up versus those of the other four patients (Fig. 3; p < 0.001).
especially regarding low numbers of CD4+ naïve T-cells and “Tregs” over time. They demonstrated no clinical similarities beyond those shared with the other patients. Comparison of intracellular CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell cytokines (spontaneous and stimulated IL-4, IL-10 and IFNγ) indicated that patients B and D were similar to each other and different from patients A, C, E and F (p < 0.001). These patients also shared no unique clinical features from the other four. Although the clinical relevance of these groupings is currently unclear, it is notable that patient D, who had several operations and recurrent infections of his wounds during the 2-year follow-up, shared similar cellular and cytokine profiles with those of patients A and B, respectively. These similarities may indicate potentially common cellular/immune mechanisms underlying different clinical problems found in these patients. All patients showed a type 1 cytokine skew with significantly higher intracellular IFNγ production in both CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells upon stimulation (data not shown). Patient B also had higher serum IFNγ (p < 0.001) starting from 2.5 months, and a different cytokine response in general when compared with that of the other patients (Fig. 4; p < 0.001). He had a sustained increase in erythropoietin from Day +10 following infusion until 3 months when IFNγ, IL-1β, IL-12p70, IL-8, IL-4, IL-5 and IL-17 all started to increase with another peak in these cytokines noted at month nine. He also had a worsening of his memory and cognitive symptoms and pruritis at month three following TGN1412 infusion. IL-6, IL-17 and TNFα levels in patients A, D, E and F increased during the last 6 months of monitoring, and coincided with declining CD4+/CD45RA+, CD4+/CD45RO+ and CD8+/CD45RO+ T-cell and Treg numbers during this time. The significance of this is unclear.

Discussion

Intravenous infusion of the CD28 superagonist antibody, TGN1412, resulted in a cytokine storm heralded by high serum TNFα levels within an hour of the other patients for the 2-year clinical follow-up. In comparison with that of matched controls (p < 0.001) and with that of the serum concentrations in the same patients of IL-11, erythropoietin, IL-23, sCD28, IFNγ, IL-8, IL-6 and IL-10 (p < 0.001). This IL-17 signal suggests a role for cells secreting the cytokine in the immune reconstitution following cytokine storm. There is no statistical difference between the patient data for IL-15, IL-11 and IL-23 and those of the normal controls. Statistical comparisons were done using three-way ANOVA
Fig. 4 (continued)
infusion [11–15]. The etiology of autoimmune or inflammatory phenomena remains uncertain. It is notable that following the initial disappearance of blood mononuclear cells (coincident with CRS) there were different recovery kinetics of monocytes and DCs, consistent with the distinct lineages of these populations. The Raynaud’s phenomenon in patient D was directly related to the areas of resolved vascular injury, although the cause of the amelanotic areas of skin was less clear and may have been autoimmune in nature. Three patients with gastrointestinal symptoms had an associated increase in blood γδT-cells though without evidence of colitis on gut biopsies. All patients had IL-17 levels during immune reconstitution higher than those of normal controls, and a high level of IgE was found in four patients. These observations suggest a role for Th17-cells following TGN1412-induced CRS, and are consistent with other reports of irAEs due to immunotherapy [23, 35, 36]. One patient with elevated IgE and debilitating pruritus was distinct in that he also had marked elevation of type-1 and -2 cytokines starting from three months post-infusion. This cytokine rise coincided with the decline in serum erythropoietin and, in the setting of hemoglobin levels and renal function similar to those of the other patients, may have indicated that erythropoietin was immunomodulatory in this setting [37, 38].

The initial appearance of increased activated T-cells and memory subsets was consistent with generalized immune activation immediately following the cytokine storm. The relatively few naïve T-cells during early reconstitution is consistent with programmed recovery in patients following chemotherapy [39, 40], immune checkpoint blockade [20, 24], or after infection [41, 42]. Contrary to that observed in response to viral infections and irAEs [20], the immune recovery following TGN1412-induced CRS was predominantly a CD4+ T-cell response. Since the patients are adults, the increase in naïve CD8+ T-cells over 2 years may suggest thymic-independent recovery [39], or the generation of a stable CD45RA+ memory cell subset from the CD45RO+ pool [43], also described in patients receiving checkpoint blockade [24]. This latter point may partially explain declining memory CD45RO+ T-cells over time. However, in light of the low-to-normal total CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells, this apparent decline could also be explained by the low point of normal T-cell circannual kinetics at the 2-year follow-up [44].

Over 2 years, T-cell subsets displayed circannual kinetics [44, 45], especially noted in the CD25+/CD28- subsets, incorporating Tregs. The Treg populations were found in normal numbers in patients relative to control values, and the kinetics of cell recovery over 2 years indicates that Treg numbers found in blood or tissues on single-point testing should be interpreted cautiously. Although TGN1412 was intended to target Tregs, the Tregs in the patients who received the antibody were normal in number.

Physical, cognitive and immune abnormalities were observed in previously fit and healthy young men following infusion of TGN1412. CD28 on T-cells was the intended antibody target, yet the actual in vivo target in humans after intravenous infusion is unclear; these human data suggest that primary activation of monocytes or endothelium in the gut or lung were the primary targets. Clinical–pathologic correlation in these individuals resulted in valuable observations that may be instructive in understanding mechanisms of immune-induced pathologies, including those of checkpoint inhibitors, CAR-T cells, and infections such as COVID-19, known to target mucosal tissues and cause severe CRS [1, 5, 8, 18, 19]. These observations made in previously healthy individuals may also provide a template for long-term monitoring strategies that could be used for patients affected by irAEs and CRS specifically.
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