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ABSTRACT
Earth has always been exposed to ionizing radiation from natural sources, and man-made sources have added to this radiation. In order to assess mutational effects of ubiquitously present radiation on plants, we performed a whole-genome resequencing analysis of mutations induced by chronic irradiation throughout the life-cycle of Arabidopsis thaliana under controlled conditions. Resequencing data from 12 M₁ lines and 36 M₂ progeny derived under gamma-irradiation conditions ranging from 0.0 to 2.0 Gy/d were obtained to identify de novo mutations, including single base substitutions (SBSs) and small insertions/deletions (INDELs). The relationship between de novo mutation frequency and a low-to-middling dose of radiation was assessed by statistical modeling. The increasing of de novo mutations in response to doses of irradiation fit the negative binomial model, accounting for the high variability of mutation frequency observed. Among the different types of mutations, SBSs were more prevalent than INDELs, with deletions being more frequent than insertions. Furthermore, we observed that the mutational effects of chronic radiation are more intensive during the reproductive stage. These outcomes could provide valuable insights into practical strategies for environmental radioprotection of plants on Earth and in space.
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INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery of Muller (1927) [1] and Stadler (1928) [2] that ionizing radiation (X-rays) induces mutations, the biological effects of ionizing radiation have been extensively studied in the field of genetics. In the last nearly one hundred years, the mutagenesis properties of ionizing radiation have been most intensively studied over short durations and at acutely high doses, while few controlled experiments have used long-term or chronic low doses of irradiation, although field research under chronic low doses of radiation were often conducted for environmental radioprotection [reviewed in 3, 4]. Furthermore, current relevant knowledge of radiological mutagenesis is mostly derived from humans and other animals rather than plants, although animals and plants have significant differences in radiobiology. For example, a significantly higher dose of ionizing radiation is typically required to impair plant cells as compared to animal cells [5]. These contextual issues as well as the impacts of chronic irradiation remain to be studies in higher plants, especially in controlled conditions.

As whole-genome sequencing technologies advance rapidly, genome-scale surveys for irradiated plants have been performed to characterize the properties and frequency of mutations [6-14, reviewed in 15]. Most of these genome-scale studies have stemmed from mutation breeding, and thus acute irradiation experiments were performed to identify novel mutations and characterize mutation profiles and the effectiveness/efficiency of radiological mutagens, such as gamma-ray and carbon ion beams. As an exceptional study, Hase et al. (2020) conducted chronic gamma irradiation studies with 0.002–500 mGy/h in five successive generations of *Arabidopsis thaliana*, and found that mutation frequency did not increase, but rather the ratio of transition to transversion mutations decreased at very low dose rates (~1 mGy/h), suggesting...
complementary DNA repair activities [14]. In the context of environmental radioprotection, however, Hase et al. (2020) did not completely reveal the effects of ubiquitously present radiation, as their chronic irradiation was performed on plants in the vegetative growth stage (approximately two weeks before bolting), but not in the reproductive stage. Generally, the reproductive growth stage is more radiosensitive than the vegetative stage, although life stage-specific sensitivity to mutations has not been explored on a genome-wide scale. In this study, therefore, we performed a whole-genome resequencing analysis of mutations induced by life cycle-specific chronic irradiation in *A. thaliana*.

Earth has always been exposed to ionizing radiation from natural sources, and man-made sources have added to this radiation. Absorbed dose rates in the air of terrestrial gamma radiation typically range from 10 to 200 nGy/h over 55 countries worldwide [16]. Another field where the effects of ubiquitously present radiation are important is space, where irradiation dose rates are more than a hundred times higher than in terrestrial environments. For example, the radiation dose at the International Space Station (ISS) can vary, but is estimated to be on average 0.327 mGy/d [17]. From the perspective of radioprotection, a ‘low dose’ of radiation from any man-made sources has been defined as 100 mGy or less of sparsely ionizing radiation, and a ‘low dose-rate’ as less than 0.1 mGy/min of sparsely ionizing radiation when averaged over about one hour [18]. Although few studies have examined the effects of chronic low dose ionizing radiation on plants, the United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR) (1996) suggests 10 mGy/d as a threshold dose rate for environmental radioprotection of plants, even with some sensitive difference among species [19]. For more detailed examples, the International Commission on
Radiological Protection (ICRP) (2004) provides Derived Consideration Reference Levels (DCRLs) for grass (1-10 mGy/d) and for pine trees (0.1-1 mGy/d) [20]. Additionally, as mentioned above, the mutational effect of ionizing radiation at very low dose rates (~1 mGy/h) has not been determined in plant models [14]. Thus, the mutational effect of ‘low doses’ and/or ‘low dose-rates’ above the natural background radiation are difficult to reliably distinguish between in terms of low risk or zero risk. In this study, therefore, we used low-to-moderate doses of gamma radiation (less than 2 Gy/d) to more clearly identify the mutational effects of chronic radiation throughout the life-cycle of plants.

Mutations are intrinsically difficult to study because de novo mutation events are very rare, even under exposure to ionizing radiation. In order to overcome the rarity of mutation events, mutation accumulation lines have been used as a principal way to estimate the rates and properties of mutations [21, 22, reviewed in 23]. The increase in mutations accumulating with the advancement of generations is useful to accurately estimate mutation profiles, but which are not the same at the occurrence of the mutation, because germline de novo mutations are allowed to drift to fixation in inbred lines. In mutation accumulation lines of self-fertilizing organisms such as A. thaliana and Oryza sativa, only approximately half of de novo mutations will be fixed as homozygous mutations in later generations due to genetic drift. Thus, as an alternative approach, identifying de novo germline mutations in M2 generation, i.e., the subsequent generation immediately after mutagenesis treatment, is worthwhile for assessing the de novo mutation profile before successive genetic drift. De novo mutations that occur before gamete formation are transmitted to selfed progeny as homozygous or heterozygous variants according to the Mendelian segregation ratio of 1:2. On the other hand,
mutations that occur after gametogenesis must be observed as heterozygous in the M₂ generation. Thus, if the mutational effects of chronic radiation were more intensive in the reproductive growth stage than in the vegetative growth stage, an excessive number of heterozygous mutations above the Mendelian segregation ratio would be observed in the M₂ generation. Therefore, in this study, we applied the latter approach to identify de novo mutations in M₂ generation to test the hypothesis that radiation-induced mutations more frequently occur in the reproductive stage than in the vegetative stage.

The main objective of the present study was to assess the mutation frequency and spectrum induced by chronic irradiation throughout the life-cycle of the model plant, *A. thaliana*. Resequencing data from 12 M₁ lines and 36 M₂ progeny derived from gamma-irradiation ranging from 0.0 to 2.0 Gy/d were used to identify mutations, including single base substitutions (SBSs) and small insertions/deletions (INDELs). We aimed to evaluate the relationship between genome-scale mutation frequency and the low-to-middle dose of radiation using statistical modeling. Furthermore, we assessed the ratio of heterozygous to homozygous mutations in the M₂ generation, to gain insight into radiosensitivity in the reproductive stage compared with that in the vegetative stage.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant materials, gamma irradiation, and whole-genome sequencing**

Detail information of the irradiation experiment is provided in Supplemental Text S1. *Arabidopsis thaliana* L. (Columbia-0) seeds (M₁ plants) were germinated in 28-mm diameter pots filled with a perlite-vermiculite mixture (1:1). M₁ plants were exposed to chronic gamma irradiation throughout the life-cycle—from emergent seedlings (5 days after sowing) until seed maturity at two months—using a $^{137}$Cs gamma irradiator. Dose
rates were set at 0.0, 0.4, 1.4, and 2.0 Gy/d as control, low, middle, and high treatment levels, respectively. Self-fertilized M₂ seeds were obtained from the irradiated M₁ plants; three M₂ plants were derived from each of three M₁ plants in each of the four treatments, and were grown under control conditions. A total of 48 plants, 12 M₁ and 36 M₂ plants, were used for whole-genome sequencing (Supplemental Figure S1).

Genomic DNA was extracted using a modified CTAB method and a DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s protocol for the M₁ and M₂ plants, respectively. Libraries constructed using TruSeq Nano DNA Kit (Illumina, CA, USA) were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeqX platform, producing 150-bp paired-end reads. The raw reads were deposited in the DDBJ/GenBank/EMBL database under the accession number DRA009784.

Mutation identification

All custom scripts and commands for computational analyses can be found at https://github.com/akihirao/At_Reseq. Adaptor trimming and quality assessment were performed using fastp (ver. 0.20.0) [24]. Cleaned reads were mapped to the A. thaliana TAIR10 reference genome (The Arabidopsis Information Resource at www.arabidopsis.org) using BWA (ver. 0.7.17) [25]. The variant calling procedure was adapted from the germline short variant discovery workflow in GATK (ver. 4.1.7.0) [26]. Variants of SBSs and small INDELs were called across all individuals within the same run of the HaplotypeCaller in GATK. Raw variants were filtered using GATK VariantFiltration with the parameters as described in the custom scripts. Variants in violation of the Mendelian parent–progeny relationship were identified as candidate mutations. The candidate mutations having an allele frequency (AF, proportion of
mutant reads at a site) of 80% or more were assigned to homozygous mutations; the
candidate mutations with 25% < AF < 80% were assigned to heterozygous mutations;
candidates having AF of 25% or less were excluded. Candidate mutations found in more
than two M$_2$ plants of full-sibs within a family were included as family-shared
mutations; those found in more than two plants across families were excluded as
background mutations. Candidate INDEL mutations were verified by the split read
approach using Pindel (ver. 0.2.5) [27]. Close-positioned mutations within 150 bp of
each other in a single sample were excluded, as adjacent mutation candidates include a
high proportion of false positives due to mismatch mapping [28]. Therefore, in this
study, complex structural mutations of more than two SBSs and/or INDEL mutations
within 150 bp were not taken into account. The identified mutation sites were confirmed
using IGV (ver. 2.4.13) [29]. The haploid mutation rate was estimated with the equation
$\mu = (m/2n) \times c$, where $\mu$ represents the mutation rate per nucleotide site per generation,
$m$ is the number of mutations identified in diploid M$_2$ plants, $n$ is the number of
reference nucleotide sites accessible for variant calling, and $c$ represents the
confirmation rate of identified mutations by the Sanger sequencing platform. A final
dataset of mutations was applied for annotation using SnpEff (ver. 4.3) [30] with default
settings. The putative deleterious effects of mutations on gene function were classified
into the following four categories: high (e.g., frameshift mutations and stop-gain
mutations)-, moderate (e.g., missense mutations)-, low (e.g., synonymous mutations)-,
and modifier-impact mutations (e.g., intron and intergenic mutations) (see
http://snpeff.sourceforge.net for details). Locations of mutations on chromosomes were
visualized with Circos (ver. 0.69) [31].
To test the accuracy of our mutation calling pipelines, in silico simulation was performed. We simulated 3000 random mutations (2000 SBSs and 1000 INDELs) throughout diploid genome of M1 individual using simuG (ver. 1.0.0) [32], and investigated whether our pipeline recovered the simulated mutations in selfed M2 progeny. Diploid genome of M2 progeny was randomly simulated from that of the M1 mutant under the Mendelian rule using the custom script. Thus, all simulated mutations were assumed to occur prior to gametogenesis of M1 individual. Simulated genomes of three independent M1 mutants and nine M2 individuals—three M2 individuals derived from each of the three M1 mutants—were generated, and then their corresponding raw sequence reads were also simulated using sandy (ver. 0.23) (https://galantelab.github.io/sandy/). Read mapping, variant calling, and mutation identification procedures were followed as mentioned above. All custom scripts and commands for the mutation simulation can be found at https://github.com/akihirao/At_Reseq_sim.

Verifying mutations by Sanger sequencing platform

Sanger sequencing and fragment size analysis were conducted to validate select SBS and INDEL mutations identified by whole genome sequencing, respectively (see Supplemental Text S2 for detail). The specific primers for 31 randomly chosen SBS mutation sites and 83 INDEL mutation sites—all of 47 INDEL sites on chromosome 1, and 36 randomly chosen INDEL sites on the other chromosomes—were designed by Primer3 (ver. 2.3.7) [33]. Sanger sequences of each of the SBS sites from the M2 mutant plants and their maternal/full-sib plants were obtained with ABI3130 and ABI3730...
(Applied Biosystem). PCR amplicons of each of the INDEL sites were analyzed for fragment sizes on an ABI3130. Allele-specific fragment sizes were determined using GeneMapper (ver. 4.0) (Applied Biosystems) and GeneMaker (ver. 1.6) software (SoftGenetics, PA, USA).

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using the open source system, R (ver. 4.0.2) [34]. The effects of irradiation on radiobiological end-points (i.e., the number of total mutations and SBS/insertion/deletion mutations; the number of high-, moderate-, low-, and modifier-impact mutations) were assessed using three statistical models: the linear-quadratic (LQ) model, the Poisson regression model, and the negative binomial (NB) model. LQ models have been widely used for analyzing radiobiological end-points [35]. The Poisson and NB models are appropriate for discrete data such as the number of nucleotide mutations and chromosome aberrations [e.g., 36, 37, 38], among which the NB model allows for overdispersion. The LQ model was fitted using non-linear regression model with the stats::nls function in R; the Poisson and NB model were fitted using generalized linear models (GLMs) with the stats::glm and MASS::glm.nb functions in R, respectively. Furthermore, a generalized linear mixed-effect model (GLMM) framework was also implemented for the Poisson model and the NB model to incorporate the random effect of family (i.e., M1 line) using the lmer::glmer and lme4::glmer.nb functions in R, respectively. Overdispersion of data was tested using the pscl::odTest function in R. Additionally, the relationship between absolute size of mutation and ionizing radiation was analyzed using two-part hurdle model with Poisson or negative binomial distribution. The hurdle analysis was in two-step process: (1)
determining the probability of whether or not INDEL mutations, but not SBS mutations, will occur (2) and, if INDELs, determining the significant drivers of absolute size of mutation. The hurdle analysis was conducted using the pscl::hurdle function in R. Overall, the best-fitting model among the candidate models was selected based on Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). For the ratio of heterozygous to homozygous mutations within individual plants, exact binomial tests were conducted to assess whether the proportion of heterozygous mutations among total mutations was significantly greater than the expected Mendelian segregation ratio.

RESULTS

Detection of mutation

Whole genome resequencing of 48 samples—12 M1 and 36 M2 samples—produced a total of 3493.3 million pair-end reads (527.5 Gbp), with an average of 72.8 million reads (11.0 Gbp) per sample (Supplementary Table S1). After removing the low quality, unpaired, and duplicate reads, more than 99.5% of clean reads were mapped to the TAIR10 reference genome. The average depth of coverage was 65X and 98.4% of the genome on average had at least 10X coverage. A total of 586 de novo mutation sites—400 SBSs and 186 INDELs (171 deletions and 15 insertions)—were identified in the 36 plants in the M2 generation (Figure 1 and Supplementary Table S2). Of the 586 mutation sites, 30 mutation sites (23 SBSs and 7 deletions) were identified in multiple plants of full-sibs as family-shared mutations. Our pipeline recovered more than 97% of simulated mutations (Supplementary Table S3). The confirmation rates of identified mutations (c) estimated
by the Sanger sequencing platform were 93.3% (28/30) and 87.8% (65/74) of the SBSs and INDELs, respectively, while one and nine of the surveyed 31 SBSs and 83 INDELs, respectively, were undetermined because the primers failed to amplify the region (Supplementary Table S4). Thereafter, all of the 586 mutation sites were used for downstream analyses.

The effect of gamma irradiation on mutations

Mutation frequency and mutation rate increased approximately 5- to 14-fold with an increase in the irradiation dose from 0.0 to 2.0 Gy/d (Table 1). The best-fitting statistical model for the relationship between the number of total mutations and ionizing radiation was the NB model in the GLMM (Supplementary Table S5), accounting for the overdispersion of mutation frequency ($p < 0.001$, by the likelihood ratio test). SBSs and deletions, but not insertions, were also significantly increased by irradiation (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table S5). The proportions of SBSs among total mutations were not significantly different among the treatments ($p > 0.05$, by Fisher exact test with Bonferroni correction). The frequency distribution of INDEL size showed that the most frequent type of INDEL was a single base deletion (Supplementary Figure S2). The absolute size of mutation also significantly increased with irradiation (Supplementary Table S6). The transition/transversion (Ti/Tv) ratio was $0.56 \pm 0.48$, $0.67 \pm 0.44$, and $0.69 \pm 0.23$ in the low, middle, and high treatments, respectively. The Ti/Tv ratios for irradiated progeny were significantly different from the Ti/Tv ratio in spontaneous mutations (approximately 0.2- to 0.3-fold lower, estimated from [21]) ($p < 0.05$, by chi-squared test), although the Ti/Tv ratio in the control across samples ($6/9 = 0.677$) was an inaccurate estimate due to zero-inflated observations, i.e., two out of nine control
samples had no SBS mutations.

288 **Zygosity of mutations**

289 In this study, homozygous mutations found in M₂ plants must be descended from
290 mutation events that occurred before gamete formation of the M₁ plants, while
291 heterozygous mutations could be derived from mutation events not only before but also
292 after gametogenesis. The frequencies of heterozygous mutations were excessively
293 higher than those of homozygous mutations in the radiation treatments, especially in the
294 middle and high treatments (Figure 3). Exact binomial tests showed that the proportion
295 of heterozygous mutations among total mutations was significantly greater than the
296 expected Mendelian ratio for all nine M₂ plants in the high treatment \( p < 0.05 \), for
297 eight out of nine plants in the middle treatment \( p < 0.05 \), and for four out of nine in the
298 low treatment \( p < 0.05 \), but not for any of the nine in the control treatment \( p > 0.05 \)
299 (Supplementary Table S7). On the other hand, the in silico mutation simulation
300 assuming all mutations occur prior to gametogenesis of M₁ generation showed that the
301 ratio of heterozygous to homozygous mutations in M₂ plants followed the Mendelian
302 segregation ratio \( p > 0.05 \), Supplementary Table S3). These results support the
303 hypothesis that mutational effects of ionizing radiation are more intensive after than
304 before gametogenesis for higher dosage irradiated plants.

306 **Mutation effects on gene function**

307 Of the 586 mutation candidates, 45 (7.7%), 101 (17.2%), 27 (4.6%) and 413 (70.5%)
308 mutations had possible high-, moderate-, low-, and modifier-impacts on gene function,
309 respectively (Supplementary Table S2). The best-fitting statistical model for the
relationship between the number of high-impact mutations and irradiation dose showed a significant increasing effect of irradiation (Supplementary Figure S3 and Table S8). The same treads in radiation response were also observed for the number of moderate-, low-, and modifier-impact mutations, respectively (Supplementary Figure S3 and Table S8).

DISCUSSION

Genome-wide mutation frequency increased in response to the low-to-middle dose rates of ubiquitous radiation (lower than 2.0 Gy/d). The increasing pattern of de novo mutations in response to the dose rates of irradiation fitted the NB model, indicating that radiation-induced mutation frequency highly varies among samples. Among the types of mutations, SBSs were more prevalent than INDELs, with deletions being more frequent than insertions.

The estimated mutation rate of the control, i.e., spontaneous mutation rate, was $9.5 \times 10^{-9}$ mutations per site per plant generation, which are comparable with the standard and more fine-grained estimates from mutation accumulation lines of *A. thaliana* ($7.1 \times 10^{-9}$ and $8.3 \times 10^{-9}$ from [21] and [22], respectively). SBS mutations were the major type of spontaneous mutations (71% of the total), in agreement with previous research ($7.0 \times 10^{-9}$ and $1.3 \times 10^{-9}$ for SBS and INDEL, respectively [22]). Mutation frequency increased several- to a dozen-fold in response to the dose rate of irradiation, while the major proportion of SBS was constant; however, the mutation spectrum was changed. It is worth noting that the mutation frequency was observed to vary greatly in each plant, even if the random effect of family $M_1$ line was substantial, as shown by that the best-
fitting models accounting for overdispersion. In general, transitions are major spontaneous mutations rather than transversions (the Ti/Tv ratio: ~3) [21, 22]. The increased frequencies of transversion for the irradiated progeny (the Ti/Tv ratios = 0.6–0.7) were compatible with previous estimates from plants that were exposed to various types of ionizing irradiation [6, 9, 10, 12-14]. Additionally, absolute size of mutations increased in response to dose of irradiation.

Of particular note is that INDEL mutations found in this study were limited to relatively small sizes, because Illumina short-read sequencing technology combined with current tools for INDEL detection are still far from identifying the complete list of INDEL mutations, especially those of a larger nature (see [8] for more detailed discussion). The observed sizes of INDEL mutations were less than 116 bp (Supplementary Table S2 and Figure S2). Naito et al. (2005) [39] showed that irradiation with gamma-rays (150-600 Gy) or carbon ions (40-150 Gy) to dry pollen in Arabidopsis mainly induced large fragment deletions of up to > 6 Mbp in the M2 progeny, although the majority of the large INDELs could not be transmitted to M3 progeny. Life-cycle chronic irradiation could act directly on pollen of the M1 plants. Thus, the possibility of extremely large structural variants and the mutation load remain as further directions, for which long-read platforms and/or the long-insert paired-end libraries may be much more suitable.

The observed number of heterozygous mutations were significantly greater that the expected Mendelian segregation ratios for more highly irradiated samples, suggesting that the mutational effects of life-cycle chronic radiation are more intensive in the reproductive stage. In this study system, homozygous mutations found in selfed M2 progeny must be descended from de novo mutation events before gamete formation in
M$_1$ plants, while heterozygous mutations could be derived from mutation events not only before but also after gametogenesis. It is unlikely that the highly excessive number of heterozygous mutations resulted from purging homozygosity of mutations with recessive lethal effects, because the annotation analysis shows that high-impact mutations (i.e., loss-of-function gene mutations) accounted for only 7.7% of total mutations (Supplementary Table S2). Previous studies of the genetic consequences of acute/chronic radiation only during the vegetative stage showed that the heterozygous/homozygous ratio of mutations followed the Mendelian segregation ratio [e.g., 6, 12, 14, 40]. On the other hand, in this study, life-cycle chronic irradiation induced a greater number of heterogeneous mutations that should occur after gametogenesis, i.e., any reproductive stage from gamete formation to fertilization, zygote development, and then seed maturation. Therefore, we concluded that mutational radiosensitivity is higher in the reproductive stage, especially for more irradiated plants.

From the other point of view, family-shared mutations (i.e., multiple common mutations within a full-sib family) were interpreted as evidence for mutation events that occurred prior to gametogenesis. According to the laws of Mendelian inheritance, if de novo germline mutations were occurred in the vegetative growth stage, 84.4% of these mutations would be transmitted to more than two out of the three full-sib M$_2$ progeny and then assigned as family-shared mutations in this study system. However, the observed percentages of family-shared mutations in total mutations were low for the irradiated progeny (6.3-11.8%: Table 1 and Supplementary Table S2). In a strict sense, family-shared mutations were derived from mutation events not only in the vegetative growth stage but also in the early reproductive stage, after bolting until gametogenesis. Thus, low percentages of family-shared mutations for the irradiated progeny, even if
possibly including some mutation events in the early reproductive stage, support at least
the hypothesis that radiation-induced mutations occurred less frequently in the
vegetative stage.

Mutations occur unevenly across the developmental period. The idea of elevated
mutagenicity in meiosis stems from an early 1960s study of Magni and Von Bostel [41],
in which yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae demonstrated a higher mutation rate in
meiosis than in mitosis. This phenomenon, of elevated levels of mutation in meiosis,
was then observed in other organisms such as mice, and further extended from several
loci to genome-scale assessments [reviewed in 42]. Thus, our results may suggest
elevated radiosensitivity especially in meiosis, or within a chronologically close period,
as mentioned below. A pollen irradiation experiment in Arabidopsis showed that meiosis
and earlier developmental stages of pollen were the most irradiation-sensitive stage for
fertility, while high frequencies of targeted mutations were obtained by irradiation from
the second mitotic division of pollen grains to the mature pollen stages [43]. Moreover,
ahaploid phases such as pollen and embryo sacs are expected to reduce the opportunity
for conservative DNA repair involving homologous chromosomes, thus increasing the
mutation rate. Furthermore, pollen, as male gamete, might be more radiosensitive than
the female gamete. Further investigation with cross-pollination experiments between
irradiated and non-irradiated plants will be necessary to clarify radiosensitivity in male
and female gametes.

In conclusion, this study revealed the mutation profile and frequency of SBS and
small INDEL mutations induced by chronic irradiation in A. thaliana at the whole
genome level. Increasing mutations in response to the dose rate of irradiation showed
that mutation frequency is highly variable in its character. Furthermore, we observed
that the mutational effects of life-cycle chronic radiation are more intensive in the
reproductive stage. These outcomes could provide valuable clues for practical strategies
for the environmental radioprotection of plants both on Earth and in space.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Circos diagram illustrating de novo mutations in 36 M2 Arabidopsis thaliana plants. Mutations in each of the control, low, middle, and high dose irradiated treatments are indicated by short lines on each of the four interior circles from the inner to the outer circles. Pink, blue, and light-blue lines represent single base substitution, deletion, and insertion mutations, respectively.

Figure 2. Observed mutation frequency and predicted estimate for the best-fitting negative binominal model in response to gamma irradiation. Open circle, total mutations; open triangles, single base substitutions; crosses, deletions; pluses, insertions. See Supplementary Table S5 for the detailed results of the best-fitting models, which were selected using Akaike’s information criterion.

Figure 3. Frequency of homozygous and heterozygous mutations in selfed M2 progeny derived from the control (0.0 Gy/d), low (0.4 Gy/d), middle (1.4 Gy/d), and high (2.0 Gy/d) dose irradiated plants (means ± SD).
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![Graph showing the relationship between radiation dose (Gy/d) and number of mutations. The x-axis represents radiation dose ranging from 0.0 to 2.0 Gy/d, while the y-axis represents the number of mutations ranging from 0 to 40. The graph includes data points and trend lines for different values of n (1 to 7).](image-url)
Figure 3

The graph shows the frequency of homozygous and heterozygous zygosity across different treatment levels: Control, Low, Middle, and High. The y-axis represents the frequency while the x-axis represents zygosity categories (Homozygous and Heterozygous). The data indicates a higher frequency of heterozygous zygosity among the High treatment group compared to the other treatments.
**Table 1.** Summary of mutation frequency and mutation rate in M2 plants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (mean ± SD).

| Irradiation treatment | No. total mutations | No. SBS mutations | No. deletion mutations | No. insertion mutations | No. family-shared mutations | Mutation rate (/site/generation) |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Control (0.0 Gy/d)    | 2.4 ± 1.5           | 1.7 ± 1.4         | 0.4 ± 0.7              | 0.3 ± 0.5               | 0.4 ± 0.9                   | 0.95E-08 ± 0.59E-08             |
| Low (0.4 Gy/d)        | 12.3 ± 5.3          | 8.4 ± 2.7         | 3.7 ± 2.5              | 0.2 ± 0.4               | 1.3 ± 1.3                   | 4.79E-08 ± 2.03E-08             |
| Middle (1.4 Gy/d)     | 18.8 ± 3.3          | 12.2 ± 4.0        | 6.0 ± 2.0              | 0.6 ± 0.5               | 1.1 ± 2.0                   | 7.29E-08 ± 1.33E-08             |
| High (2.0 Gy/d)       | 35.1 ± 10.0         | 24.8 ± 7.0        | 9.8 ± 5.0              | 0.6 ± 0.7               | 4.0 ± 4.7                   | 13.68E-08 ± 3.86E-08            |