Age-related loss of axonal regeneration is reflected by the level of local translation
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ABSTRACT

Regeneration capacity is reduced as CNS axons mature. Using laser-mediated axotomy, proteomics and puromycin-based tagging of newly-synthesized proteins in a human embryonic stem cell-derived neuron culture system that allows isolation of axons from cell bodies, we show here that efficient regeneration in younger axons (d45 in culture) is associated with local axonal protein synthesis (local translation). Enhanced regeneration, promoted by co-culture with human glial precursor cells, is associated with increased axonal synthesis of proteins, including those constituting the translation machinery itself. Reduced regeneration, as occurs with the maturation of these axons by d65 in culture, correlates with reduced levels of axonal proteins involved in translation and an inability to respond by increased translation of regeneration promoting axonal mRNAs released from stress granules. Together, our results provide evidence that, as in development and in the PNS, local translation contributes to CNS axon regeneration.

1. Introduction

Axonal regeneration in the central nervous system (CNS) is poor, contributing to the significant disabilities associated with traumatic and other diseases of the brain and spinal cord. There are two major underlying causes that prevent successful CNS axon regeneration. First, the extrinsic environment in the CNS after injury is inhibitory, in contrast to the PNS environment where growth-permitting circumstances are found (Chen et al., 2000; Prinjha et al., 2000; Sharp et al., 2010; Fawcett et al., 2012; Geoffroy and Zheng, 2014). Second, there is an intrinsic loss of regenerative ability in the axons as the CNS completes development and neurons start to age (Eva et al., 2012; Zou et al., 2013; Fawcett and Verhaagen, 2018). During embryonic development, axons in vivo and in vitro are able to form growth cones and extend axons after injury, but this growth potential is gradually lost in postnatal neurons (Li et al., 1995). Similarly, human embryonic stem cell (hESC)-derived neurons show a maturation-related loss of axon regeneration potential (Koseki, 2017a; Nieuwenhuis, 2020).

Given that successful therapies to enhance CNS axon regeneration will need to address both the extrinsic and intrinsic causes of failure, research into the mechanisms that limit regeneration once development is complete is essential. An important process shown to be involved in axon outgrowth during development and in the PNS is local translation of axonal mRNAs (Zheng et al., 2001; Smith et al., 2004; Verma et al., 2005; Gumy et al., 2011, 2014; Ji and Jaffrey, 2013; Kar et al., 2017; Sahoo et al., 2018; Terenzio et al., 2018). Protein synthesis at the axonal injury site is required for growth cone formation and is also implicated in activation of a cell body response to injury, triggering regeneration (Verma et al., 2005; Ji and Jaffrey, 2013; Pacheco et al., 2020). Moreover, enhancing translation by dissolving stress granules and thus releasing sequestered mRNAs and translation factors using a dominant negative isoform of G3BP1 increases the extent of regeneration in a sciatic nerve crush model (Sahoo et al., 2018, 2020). Together, these findings suggest a critical role for local translation in enabling axon regeneration.
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regeneration. However, the extent to which deficiencies in this local translation might explain the paucity of axonal regeneration in the CNS remains poorly defined.

We have shown previously that a loss of the ability to regenerate occurs as hESC-derived CNS neurons mature in vitro (Koseki et al., 2017), so providing a model system to study the relationship between local translation and regeneration in CNS axons. Here therefore we address the hypothesis that the maturation-related loss of axon regeneration potential in human ESC-derived neurons results from a reduced ability to activate local translation at sites of axotomy, using a combination of cell culture manipulations and proteomics. To do this, we start by confirming the necessity of translation for regeneration in these hESC-derived human neurons. We then show, in support of the hypothesis, that i) local translation occurs in human CNS axons, ii) that increasing regeneration is associated with enhanced local translation and the generation of components of the protein synthesis machinery, and iii) that the decreased regeneration in mature axons is associated with decreased local translation and a fall in expression and function of the components of the protein synthesis machinery. Our work highlights the value of a hESC culture model for studies of CNS axon regeneration and adds significantly to the evidence for an important role of local translation in determining intrinsic regenerative capacity.

2. Materials & methods

2.1. Cell culture and differentiation of hESC-derived neurons

RC17 hESC cells (Roslin Cells, Scottish Centre for Regenerative Medicine, Edinburgh) were cultured in Essential 8 medium, supplemented with 2 mM l-Glutamine and 0.2% Penicillin Streptomycin (P/S, Gibco, Life Technologies) on Gelrex coated plates (150 μg/mL, Invitrogen) and passed using EDTA (0.5 mM, Sigma-Aldrich) and used for differentiation towards neural cells of a telencephalic fate (Kirkbye et al., 2012). On day (d)0, stem cells were detached and re-plated to a non-treated dish containing 14 mL neural induction medium (NIM: DMEM/F12: Neurobasal (50% + 50%), 1 x N2, 1 x B27, 2 mM l-Glutamine, 0.2% P/S, 10 μM Y-27632, 10 μM SB431542 (SB, R&D systems), 100 ng/mL noggin, 200 ng/mL C211 sonic hedgehog (shh, R&D systems) and 0.9 μM CHIR99021 (CH, Tocris Bioscience) and cultured on a rotating platform to allow embryonic body (EB) formation. On d4, the EBs were plated in neural proliferation medium (NPM: DMEM/F12: Neurobasal (50% + 50%), 0.5 x N2, 0.5 x B27, 2 mM l-Glutamine, 0.2% pen/strep, SB (10 μM), noggin (100 ng/mL), shh, CH) on Poly-ornithine (PO)(1:10000):Laminin (lam)(5.2 μg/mL)/Fibronectin (FN) (4.35 μg/mL) coated well plates. On d7, the medium was replaced with fresh NPM medium, followed by plain NPM medium on d9. Next, on d11, the cells were replated. Firstly, the cells were washed twice with PBS and a minimal amount of accutase was added for 10 min to allow detachment of the cells. The cells were dissociated from the well plate using a pipette and spun down for 4 min at 0.3 rcf. The cells were resuspended to a density of 10.000 cells/μl in neural differentiation medium NDM (Neurobasal, 1 x B27 (1:50), 2 mM l-Glutamine, 0.2% pen/strep and added in 7.5 μl droplets to dry PO/FN/lam coated μ-slide 8 well plates (ibidi) and 10 μl droplets to 13 mm PO/FN/lam coated cover glasses or 6-well plates. Cells were kept in the incubator for 10 min to allow attachment of the cells. Next, NDM medium + BDNF (20 ng/mL) + GDNF (10 ng/mL) + AA (0.2 mM) was added to the wells. After 14 days, neuroprogenitor cells were created and medium was changed to NDM medium + BDNF (20 ng/mL) + GDNF (10 ng/mL) + ascorbic acid (0.2 mM) + dB-cAMP (500 μM) + DAPT (μM) to induce terminal differentiation and maintained until the end of the experiment with the medium changed twice weekly.

2.2. Laser-meditated axotomy

Laser axotomy of hESC-derived neurons was performed as described previously (Koseki et al., 2017; Nieuwenhuis et al., 2020). Neurons were axotomised between d40-d65 as stated in text. Except for co-culture with hOPC where transfaction took place 10 days prior, neurons were transfected 2–3 days prior to axotomy, with eGFP containing plasmid using lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). The GFP signal was used to visualise single cells within the dense culture and to identify the axon. Only clearly polarised neurons with many dendrites and a single axon were used in the experiments. Axons were severed in vitro using a 365 nm laser (Micropoint, Andor) connected to an Andor spinning disk confocal microscope at >500 μm distal from the cell body on a section of axon free from branches. A single axon cut was made per neuron. Images (2-stack spanning 40 μm) after axotomy were acquired every 20 min for 16 h. Regeneration was classed as the development of a new growth cone followed by axon extension for a minimum of 50 μm.

For analysis of age-related decline in regeneration, we pooled data from previously published experiments (Koseki, 2017; Nieuwenhuis, 2020) and added six additional datapoints from new experiments. A minimum of 10 cells was measured for each datapoint. Each hESC-neuronal conversion was used for two datapoints corresponding to a younger (<d50, typically around d45) and an aged (>d50, typically around d65) time point. This corrects for any potential differences between neuronal culture conversions.

2.3. In vitro differentiation and co-culture of hESC-derived OPC

A membrane bound GFP hESC line of RC17s was generated using zinc-finger recombine. First, the palmitoylation sequence of GAP43 was used to tag GFP. This 60 bp sequence from the N-terminal of GAP43 is associated with its attachment to the membrane (see Supplemental Material 1&2 for plasmid map and sequence). The membrane-targeting GFP was then inserted at the AAVS1 locus. This was done using the pZDonor-AAVS1 Puromycin Vector Kit (Sigma) in conjunction with the Compozr Targeted Integration Kit (Sigma) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. GFP+ hESCs were cultured in StemMAGSTM iP-S-Brew XF, human medium (Miltenyi Biotec Inc.) on human recombinant laminin-521 coated plates (5 μg/mL, Biolamina) with puromycin (0.25 μg/mL Sigma). Cells were passaged using EDTA (0.5 mM, Sigma). hESCs were differentiated to oligodendroglia as described (Livesey et al., 2016). Briefly, once confluent, colonies were lifted using dispase (1 mg/mL Life Technologies) and collagenase (2 mg/mL,LifeTechnologies). Embryo bodies were cultured, on a rotatory shaker, in chemically defined medium composed of 50% Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium (Invitrogen) 50% F12 (Invitrogen), BSA (5 mg/mL, Sigma), 1% chemically defined Lipid 100 (Invitrogen), monothioglycerol (450 μM, Sigma), insulin (7 mg/mL, Roche), transferrin (15 mg/mL, Roche), 1% Antibiotic Antimycotic Solution (Sigma). Embryo bodies were neutralized with dual-SMAD inhibition (Chambers et al., 2009) by supplementing medium with N-acetyl cysteine (1 mM, Sigma), activin inhibitor SB 431542 (10 μM, Sigma), and dorsomorphin (2 μM, Merck Millipore) for 7 days. Neural spheres were caudalized for 7 days in chemically defined medium supplemented with heparin (5μg/mL, Sigma), N-acetyl cysteine (1 mM, Sigma), retinoic acid (0.1 μM, Sigma) and basic fibroblast growth factor (FGF-2) (10 ng/mL, PeproTech). Neural conversion was assessed by the morphology of cells when plated on laminin-coated plates (10 μg/mI, L2020, Sigma). Neutralized spheres were picked and transferred to advanced DMEM (Invitrogen), containing 1% Antibiotic Antimycotic Solution, 1% B27 (Invitrogen), 1% N2 (Invitrogen), 0.5% GlutaMAX (Invitrogen) and heparin (5μg/mL, Sigma). Neural spheres wereentralized by supplementing the media with FGF-2 (10 ng/mL, PeproTech), purmorphamine (1 μM, Calbiochem) and retinoic acid (1 μM) for 7 days. FGF2 was then withdrawn from this media for 2 weeks. Oligodendrocyte precursor proliferation was promoted by supplementing the media with FGF2 (10 ng/mL, PDGFα (20 ng/mL, PeproTech), purmorphamine (1 μM), and SAG (1 μM, Calbiochem), IGF-1 (10 ng/mL, PeproTech) and T3 (60 ng/mL, Sigma). Spheres were dissociated after 2 weeks using the Worthington papain dissociation system as per the manufacturer’s
instructions and 30,000 cells were added to the neuron cultures.

2.4.1. OPP tagging and fluorescent labelling for visualization

Neurons were labelled in μ-slide 8 well plates (iBiTreat surface iBidi). First, the cells were pre-incubated for 30 min with NDM (neurobasal medium containing BDNF, GDNF and ascorbic acid) or NDM supplemented with protein synthesis inhibitors Anisomycin (100 μM) or cycloheximide (CHX) (40 μM) or with cell permeable G3BP1 190–208 peptide (Sahoo et al., 2018). Next, the cells were incubated for 20 min with neurobasal medium containing the Click-IT OPP (20 μM) including the Anisomycin or CHX or medium only in the control group. CHX and OPP bind to the same site, so both Anisomycin and CHX were used to confirm that any reduction in OPP binding was due to a decrease in protein synthesis and not competition for a shared binding site. The cells were washed with PBS and fixed for 15 min at room temperature using 3.7% formaldehyde in PBS. Afterwards, 0.5% TritonX-100 in PBS was added for 15 min to allow permeabilization of the cells. Next, the OPP tagged proteins were fluorescently labelled for visualization. The Click-IT Plus OPP Alexa Fluor 647 reaction cocktail was prepared according to manufacturer’s protocol (Thermo Fisher). The cells were washed twice with PBS and incubated with the OPP cocktail for 30 min, protected from light. The cells were rinsed using the Click-IT Reaction Rinse Buffer and incubated for 30 min with HCS NuclearMaskTM Blue Stain solution from light. The cells were rinsed again using the Click-IT Reaction Rinse Buffer. Finally, the cells were washed twice with PBS and ready for immuno labelling.

2.4.2. OPP tagging and biotin labelling for identification using mass spectrometry

For biochemistry experiments, cell culture was scaled up to 6-well plates (with 100,000 cells per well) where maximum axonal outgrowth is achieved and mechanic dissection of cell body fraction from axonal fraction is possible. To identify proteins that are translated as a result of axonal injury, cultures were split in injury and control group. CHX and OPP bind to the same site, so both Anisomycin and CHX were used to confirm that any reduction in OPP binding was due to a decrease in protein synthesis and not competition for a shared binding site. The cells were washed with PBS and fixed for 15 min at room temperature using 3.7% formaldehyde in PBS. Afterwards, 0.5% TritonX-100 in PBS was added for 15 min to allow permeabilization of the cells. Next, the OPP tagged proteins were fluorescently labelled for visualization. The Click-IT Plus OPP Alexa Fluor 647 reaction cocktail was prepared according to manufacturer’s protocol (Thermo Fisher). The cells were washed twice with PBS and incubated with the OPP cocktail for 30 min, protected from light. The cells were rinsed using the Click-IT Reaction Rinse Buffer and incubated for 30 min with HCS NuclearMaskTM Blue Stain solution from light. Finally, the cells were washed twice with PBS and ready for immuno labelling.

2.5. Immunocytochemistry

Cells were fixed for 15 min at room temperature using 3.7% formaldehyde in PBS. Where protein synthesis labelling was performed, cells were first processed as described above and immunolabelling was performed on labelled cells. Following fixation or protein labelling, the cells were washed 3 times at room temperature. The cells were blocked by 2% normal donkey serum (NDS), 2% normal goat serum (NGS) and 0.2% Triton-X for 30 min. Next, the primary antibody solution was added diluted in blocking buffer (see table). The cells were incubated overnight in the fridge. The next day, the cells were washed 3 times with PBS. The secondary antibody solution, consisting of blocking buffer, donkey/goat anti mouse 488 (Invitrogen, 1:500) and goat anti chicken 568 (Invitrogen, 1:500), was added for 30 min at room temperature. The cells were washed and embedded in Fluoromount-G, followed by microscopic imaging or storage in fridge protected from light. Images were collected using a Leica TCS SP8 confocal microscope with 63× objective and 405, 488 (argon), 552 & 638 nm lasers.

2.6. Microfluidic chambers

CoverSlips were acid washed in 1 N HCl overnight at room temperature (rotating), rinsed several times with sterile H2O and stored in 70% ethanol. Prior to use, the coverSlips were washed with MQ water, air-dried, and coated overnight with polyornithine (0.01%, Sigma) in 37 °C incubator. The coated cover slips were washed with sterile H2O and air-dried. Microfluidic chambers with 450 μm grooves (RD450, Xona) were washed with 70% ethanol followed by sterile H2O. Non-plasma bonding was used to mount the chambers to the coated coverSlips. Mounted devices were coated overnight with laminin (0.6 mg/ml) and fibronectin (0.5 mg/ml). Cell suspensions (50,000 cells) of d11 neurons (prepared according to described cell culture protocol) were plated in the proximal compartment and cultured in NDM medium.

2.7. Image analysis

Fluorescent intensity of antibody staining and OPP labelling was quantified using confocal microscopy images collected as described above. After collection of the confocal z-stacks, the images were exported to FIJI. Output of the axon data was generated by a custom-made macro. Using this macro, a fixed threshold (which was determined for each batch of labelled cells and where each batch contained all experimental conditions and controls) was set to determine the areas positive and negative for axons (SMI312) and newly synthesized proteins (OPP). Cell body containing areas were removed from the images to prevent misidentification of the protein content in the axons due to a much higher amount of protein synthesis in the cell bodies. Next, the overlap between the OPP positive areas and SMI positive areas was determined for each frame in the z-stack and divided by the axonal area to correct for differences in axon density in the different frames. The means of these areas (overlap/area axons per frame) were compared between the different experimental conditions and controls. Similarly, the level of newly synthesized proteins in the cell bodies was determined by measuring the mean OPP intensity of the z-stack within the Hoechst-stained area. This avoids variability due to irregular cell body shapes. Significant differences between the two groups (d45 and d65) were separated by a 40-min linear gradient from 5 to 30% Acetonitrile, 0.05% Acetic acid separated on an Aurora column (Ionoptiks, Australia). The mass spectrometer was operated using the following setting: MS was set 120 k resolution in the Orbitrap, ions selected for fragmentation were isolated with a window of 1.1 Th, fragmented in the HCD trap with a normalized collision energy of 30 and read out in the ion trap using rapid scanning. Proteins were identified and quantified the MaxQuant software suite using label-free quantification and searching against the human Uniprot database.
tested using an independent t-test.

2.8. Western blot

Cell pellets were lysed in 50 μl lysis buffer (RIPA buffer; Thermo Scientific, supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail; Calbiochem). Concentration was measured with the Pierce BCA kit (ThermoFisher) and the Omega FluorSTAR microplate reader (BMG LabTech). For western blot analysis, 7.5 μg protein was used per slot. Cell lysates were denatured in 1 × NuPage LDS Sample Buffer (Novex, Life Technologies) supplemented with 1:10 β-mercapto-ethanol (Sigma-Aldrich) followed by incubation for 5 min at 95 °C. Next, the cell lysates were separated on a gradient BioRad gel (7–12%) in 1 × Tris Glycine SDS (TGS) running buffer (BioRad). Proteins were transferred to Immobilon-P membrane (Millipore) in transfer buffer (1 × NuPage transfer buffer (Novex, Life Technologies), 10% ethanol) at 160 mA for 1 h. Following a washing step in Tris-buffered Saline with 0.01% Tween-20 (TBS-T, Sigma-Aldrich), blots were blocked in 5% non-fat milk powder (Tesco) in TBS-T for 30 min. Subsequently, blots were incubated overnight (O/N) with primary antibody (see Table in Material and Methods) in TBS-T at 4 °C. The next day, the blots were washed in TBS-T and incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies anti-mouse (1:60,000, Jackson ImmunoResearch) or anti-rabbit (1:30,000, Thermo Scientific) for 1 h at RT. The sections were washed in TBS-T and antibody binding was visualized using ECL kit (Thermo Fisher) and a medical film processor (Konica Minolta Medical & Graphic). Films were digitised and then analysed with ImageJ Software. Background was subtracted and β-Actin bands were used as loading control.

Material and methods; primary antibodies

| Antibody name                           | Manufacturer              | Number     | Species       |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|---------------|
| Purified Map2 (PKC-554P)                | BioLegend                 | 822,501    | Chicken       |
| Neurofilament marker, pan-axonal        | Biolegend                 | SMI-312R   | Mouse         |
| B3-Tubulin                              | Abcam                     | ab7751     | Mouse         |
| Tyroneine Hydroxylase (TH)              | EMD Millipore             | AB152      | Rabbit        |
| Sortilin                                | Abcam                     | ab16640    | Rabbit        |
| EIF3b                                   | Atlas Antibodies          | HPA049893  | Rabbit        |
| Fibronectin (H–300)                     | Santa Cruz               | sc-9068    | Rabbit        |
| Map2 (AP-20)                            | Abcam                     | ab11268    | Mouse         |
| RPL25                                   | Abcam                     | ab190162   | Rabbit        |
| RPL26                                   | Bethyl                    | A300-686A  | Rabbit        |
| rRNA Y10b                               | Laboratories             | M          | Mouse         |
| b-Actin                                 | Merck Millipore           | MAB1501    | Mouse         |
| HuR                                     | Merck Millipore           | 07-468     | Rabbit        |
| PARP                                    | Cell Signaling            | 4317 S     | Rabbit        |
| Olig2                                   | Atlas Antibodies          | HP-A03254  | Rabbit        |

2.9. Mass spectrometry of axonal proteins at d45 and d65 in vitro

To compare the proteomes of axons grown by human ES cell-derived neurons after 45 and 65 days in vitro cultures in 6-well plates were used, enabling 100,000 cells to be plated into the centre of each well so as to allow radial axonal outgrowth towards the edge of the well. For sample collection, the wells were washed twice with cold phosphate-buffered saline (1 × PBS, Life Technologies). Subsequently, 500 μl PBS was added. The cell bodies, forming a pellet in the middle of the well, were removed and collected using a dissection microscope (Olympus) and scalpel. Next, the remaining axons were collected in PBS by gently pipetting. Axons from 3 × 6-well plates were pooled to obtain sufficient material for proteomic analysis. The samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 9000 rcf at 4 °C. The pellet was washed with 1 × PBS and centrifuged again for 10 min at 9000 rcf at 4 °C. Pellets were snap-frozen and stored at −80 °C for further analysis.

For liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS), frozen cell and axon pellets were lysed in 50 μl lysis buffer (RIPA; Thermo Scientific +1:100 protease inhibitors) and protein concentration was measured. For each sample 50 μg of protein was used for LC-MS/MS. Proteins in urea sample buffer were loaded on a pulse gel for 5 min. The proteins were digested on gel O/N with trypsin. Once digested, the peptides were separated using reversed phase chromatography. Next, the samples were run in the Thermo Scientific QExactive Mass Spectrometer (Michalski et al., 2011). The peptides were identified with a human uniport database and MASCOTT. For quantification, Progenesis software was used. Proteins with less than 2 unique peptides were excluded from further analysis. Functional classification by gene ontology (GO) was performed in PANTHER, as described in (Mi et al., 2013). Enriched biological processes were identified by comparing to the whole human genome PANTHER database.

3. Results

3.1. Protein translation is required for regeneration of the axons of human ES cell derived neurons

In order to examine the requirement for protein translation in axonal regeneration by human CNS neurons, we used our previously described protocol to generate neurons from human ES cells (Koseki et al., 2017; Nieuwenhuis et al., 2020). These neurons, the majority of which are dopaminergic, extend long axons (>1 mm) in cell culture. These were cut using laser-mediated axotomy to specifically target individual axons, after which we followed their response overnight (Fig. 1A,B). When cut at <d50, the majority of the severed axons regenerate with the formation of a new growth cone at the proximal end of the cut site. This regenerative capacity declines as neurons age in culture and by d65 has reduced significantly, as we have shown previously (Hiroaki Koseki et al., 2017; Nieuwenhuis et al., 2020). However, when we treated young <d50 (ranging from d42-45) hESC-derived neuronal cultures with cycloheximide (CHX) to block protein synthesis and performed in vitro axotomy, no new growth cone formation was seen and no axonal regeneration takes place (Fig. 1C). Importantly, motility of the growth cones at the ends of severed axons was not impaired by CHX, and cell survival and cell body motility of the neurons with severed axons were also unaffected by CHX (1D and Supplementary Videos). Together these results show that protein translation is required for formation and motility of new growth cones and axon regeneration, but not for motility of pre-existing growth cones on intact axons, confirming the specificity of the effect of protein synthesis inhibition on regeneration.

3.2. Local translation occurs in the axons of human ES cell-derived neurons

The translation requirement for regeneration as identified by the CHX experiments above could occur in either or both the cell body and axonal compartments. To test for the presence of local translation in the axonal compartment, we used O-Propargyl Puromycin (OPP) to tag newly synthesized peptides and Click chemistry to fluorescently label OPP in the cell body and axon compartments (Sahoo et al., 2018). These experiments clearly showed OPP-labelled proteins in the axons of the human ESC-derived neurons, at distances of >200 μm from the cell body and after 20 min labelling time, arguing for the presence of local translation (Fig. 2A). Moreover, the presence of OPP-labeling in axons and cell bodies is reduced by treatment with translation inhibitors cycloheximide (CHX) and anisomycin (ANI) (Fig. 2A) applied at the time of axotomy. Next, we combined OPP labelling with immunostaining for components of translation machinery including translation initiation factor Eif3B, ribosomal protein RPL26 and rRNA (Fig. 2B,C and Suppl Figs. S1 and S2). The presence of these translation-related proteins alongside OPP-labelled newly synthesized peptides in axons further confirms the presence of local translation. Nevertheless, the use of OPP tagging to identify newly-synthesized proteins in this way does not
distinguish whether these proteins are indeed locally synthesized in the axon or synthesized in the soma and then transported to the axon.

To address this issue, we performed two sets of experiments. First, to confirm sustained levels of local protein synthesis we acutely separated axons from cell bodies (age < d50) using a scalpel as shown in Fig. 2D,E, followed by OPP incubation. In the 20 min time window following cell body separation, local axonal protein synthesis took place at the same rate as in the axons of intact neurons, as measured by the level of OPP fluorescent labelling on either side of the cut once the culture was fixed and stained (Fig. 2F). Second, to exclude the possibility that changes in the transport rate from the cell bodies contributes significantly to the OPP-labelled newly synthesized proteins in axons from either the cell body or the axonal compartment. The almost complete absence of OPP labelling in the axonal compartment 20 min following its addition to the cell body clearly indicates that there is a minimal contribution of OPP-tagged newly synthesized proteins from the cell body to any observed changes in OPP labelling in the axon. We conclude, therefore, that local translation occurs in the axons of in vitro cultured hESC-derived neurons.

3.3. Increased regeneration of human ES cell-derived neurons is associated with increased local translation within the axons

Next, we asked whether increased regeneration is associated with increased local translation in the axons. To increase regeneration, we use a coculture system where hESC-derived neurons are cultured in the presence or absence of hESC-derived oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPC). We reasoned that OPC would enhance axon regeneration in vitro, given they are known to promote neurite outgrowth in vitro and improve functional regeneration in vivo (Keirstead et al., 2005; Sharp et al., 2010; Haas and Fischer, 2013; Hayakawa et al., 2015; Hayakawa et al., 2016; Jin et al., 2018a). We used RC17 hESC cells that were genetically targeted to constitutively express GFP and differentiated them into glial precursors. Non-fluorescent hESC were differentiated into neurons and transfected using lipofectamine with an mCherry expression vector, after which the GFP+ glial precursors were plated together with the transfected d45 neurons. This allows us to distinguish the two cell populations in live cell imaging experiments.

Our in vitro axotomy assay was performed after ten days of coculture to allow for both further differentiation of the glial precursors into OPC and simultaneous aging of the neuronal population from d45 to d55. The presence of OPC did, as predicted, increase the percentage of regenerating axons from 14% (±2.3% SD) in control experiments to 45% (±1.5% SD) (Fig. 3A). This is a meaningful increase for these aged (>d50) cultures where we typically see regeneration of less than 30% of the axons. Next, we asked whether the increased regeneration potential in neurons co-cultured with hOPC could be associated with increased axonal protein synthesis. We used the OPP assay described above to
Local axonal protein synthesis takes place in the axons of hESC-derived neurons. OPP-labelling (red) is present in axons (SMI312, green) and cell bodies (Map2, white) and translation inhibitor Anisomycin (ANI) reduces OPP incorporation in both (scale bar 20 µm). Magnification shows punctate presence (arrow heads) of OPP (range indicator) in axons (SMI312, green). Right panel shows quantification of OPP labelling in cell bodies (CB) and axons of hESC-derived neurons. Treatment with OPP alone (control) was used to normalise the conditions with OPP and cycloheximide (CHX) or ANI. In the negative control condition (C-) no OPP was present, showing the level of non-specific staining. N = 5 experiments, 6–10 images per condition per experiment. *P < 0.001 2-way ANOVA.

B–C. OPP labelling co-localises with translation initiation factor Eif3B (B) and ribosomal protein RPL26 (C) in axons (SMI312, left panel). Overlay image with OPP and Eif3B or RPL26 is shown as well as the individual images to illustrate the distribution of protein and protein synthesis in the axons. Scale bar 10 µm. D. Schematic overview of experimental setup to test whether axonal peptides are locally synthesized or transported from the cell body. Axons were cut on one side of the culture and OPP was added. OPP-labelling was measured in axons from location 1 (intact) and location 2 (separated from cell body). E. Overview image of neuronal culture on cut-side (location 2) with close up examples of axons in location 1 and location 2 on right side (overview image scale bar 700 µm, close up scale bar 50 µm). Map2 is white, SMI312 is green, OPP is red and nuclei (Hoechst) are blue. F. Quantification of OPP intensity in axons normalized to average level in intact axons. N = 3 experiments, 6–10 images per condition per experiment. *P = 0.5772 unpaired t-test. Welch correction. G. Overview of hESC-derived neuronal culture in microfluidics chamber. The cells are plated on the opposite side of microgrooves and axons can extend into the axonal compartment (axon side). Axons were immunolabeled with SMI312 (green). H. Schematic overview of the experimental setup to assess the contribution of transport from newly synthesized proteins from the cell body to the axon using microfluidics chamber. OPP is added to the cell body compartment and axonal levels of OPP are measured in both compartments after 20 min (location 1 and 2). I. Quantification of experiment in G. The level of OPP in axons on the cell body side was used for normalization. N = 3 experiments, 6–10 images per condition per experiments. *p = 0.0004 paired t-test.

3.4. Regeneration-associated proteins generated by local axonal translation include those involved in protein synthesis

The OPP technology also enabled us to address the identity of the axonally-synthesized proteins generated during the regenerative process, making use of a modified OPP labelling protocol to tag newly synthesized proteins with biotin. The biotin-tagged peptides were then
purified with streptavidin beads and analysed using mass spectrometry (Sup Fig S3). To obtain enough material to perform proteomics on severed axons, we took advantage of the morphological properties of maturing dopaminergic neurons derived from hES cells. In the differentiation protocol we used, neural progenitors are plated at d11 to facilitate differentiation. During and after differentiation, the cells extend long axons and show little cell body migration. This results in a culture containing a central cluster of cell bodies from which large numbers of axons grow radially for as much as 6 mm (Fig. 3D). We exploited this property of these cultures to isolate the axons from the cell bodies using a dissection microscope and a scalpel. The collected axonal fraction was used for protein extraction. Testing the ability of the protocol to separate cell body and axonal proteins, we used western blotting and confirmed the absence of dendritic markers (Map2) and nuclear markers (NeuN) in the axonal fraction (Fig. 3E). The axonal fraction was also enriched in axonal marker (SMI312) protein when compared to the total cell fraction (Fig. 3E).

With this method, we were also able to examine locally synthesized peptides in the cell bodies and axons of uninjured d45 neurons and of d45 neurons that had been axotomized using a scalpel. To do this, one group of cell cultures were ‘injured’ by severing the axons with a scalpel (as shown schematically in Fig. 3F) while the control group were left intact. Two hours after the injury all cultures were incubated with OPP (Olig2- cell in bottom panels, showing a field of view from the un-injured area of an axotomy experiment) a higher level of OPP is seen in axons (SMI312, green). Scale bar 20 μm. C. Quantification of B. OPP intensity in axons was measured. N = 13 images from 2 experiments. * = 0.04 Mann-Whitney U test. D. Typical morphology of hESC-derived neuronal culture with a central cluster containing cell bodies (nuclei, Hoechst, blue) and dendrites (Map2, green) and radially extending axons (SMI312, red). Total cell fraction contains cell bodies and axons and can be separated from the axonal fraction containing axons only. E. Western blot analysis confirms the absence of dendritic and nuclear proteins from the axonal fraction compared to total cell fraction. Axonal marker SMI312 is enriched in the axonal fraction. F. Schematic overview of experimental setup to assess axonal injury-induced protein synthesis. Axons of d45 hESC-derived neurons were axotomized using scalpel prior to OPP labelling and compared to control uninjured condition to identify changes in protein synthesis. G. Newly synthesized proteins were labelled with OPP and tagged with biotin. Biotin pull down followed by mass spectrometry resulted in a snapshot of the injury-response translatome. The pie chart shows protein categories that were enriched after injury.
synthesis of the machinery required for local translation and regeneration (Fig. 3G and Table 1).

3.5. Axonal maturation and loss of regenerative ability is associated with decreased local translation and decreased levels of the components required for protein synthesis

We have previously established the time course over which the loss of the ability to regenerate occurs as hESC-derived neurons mature in vitro (Koseki et al., 2017). Here we first extended and reanalysed this data as described in the methods, showing that an average 70% of neurons in d45 and d65. This analysis revealed a switch in the protein phenotype of newly synthesized proteins in older axons (Fig. 4B).

The axons of in vitro hESC-derived neurons were cut using a scalpel, incubated with OPP and compared to an uninjured control group. Following OPP labelling, proteins were isolated from the axonal fraction and OPP was tagged with biotin (click chemistry). Biotin was pulled down with streptavidin and the newly synthesized protein fraction was analysed using mass spectrometry.

| Protein names | Gene names | Ratio cut/uncut |
|---------------|------------|-----------------|
| Phosphomevalonate kinase | MVK | 1.45 |
| Creatine kinase M-type | CKM | 1.29 |
| Proteasome subunit beta type-4 | PSMB4 | 1.41 |
| Methionine–tRNA ligase, cytoplasmic | MARS | 1.29 |
| Excitatory amino acid transporter 2 | SLC1A2 | 1.41 |
| Vascular protein sorting-associated protein 4B | VPS4B | 1.41 |
| E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase HUWE1 | HUWE1 | 1.41 |

Table 1: Injury-induced protein synthesis in axons

| Protein names | Gene names | Ratio cut/uncut |
|---------------|------------|-----------------|
| Insulin-like growth factor 2 mRNA-binding protein 3 | IGF2BP3 | 1.41 |
| 60S ribosomal protein L30 | RPL30 | 1.41 |
| Tyrosine-protein phosphatase non-receptor type 9 | PPPTN | 1.55 |
| Nuclear mitotic apparatus protein 1 | NUMA1 | 1.41 |
| ATPase ASNA1 | ASNA1 | 1.41 |
| Microsomal glutathione S-transferase 3 | MGST3 | 1.41 |
| I1 kappa chain C region | IKG | 1.41 |
| Cystatin-A,Cystatin-A | CSTA | 1.41 |
| Leucine-rich repeat-containing protein 57 | LRRC5 | 1.41 |
| Guanine nucleotide-binding protein subunit beta-4 | GN4B | 1.41 |

Table 2: Injury-induced protein synthesis in total cells

The axons of in vitro hESC-derived neurons were cut using a scalpel, incubated with OPP and compared to an uninjured control group. Following OPP labelling, proteins were isolated from the cell body (total cell) fraction and OPP was tagged with biotin (click chemistry). Biotin was pulled down with streptavidin and the newly synthesized protein fraction was analysed using mass spectrometry. The table shows the identified proteins that were upregulated in injured axons >1.25 times compared to uninjured axons. Proteins in bold overlapped with a previous study identifying axonal protein translation (Shigeoka et al., 2016).
observed a decrease in the level of translation-related proteins, with a parallel increase in the level of synapse-related proteins and mitochondrial/metabolism processes (Fig. 4C,D, Table 3). For example, we observed decreased levels of the ribosomal protein RPL26 and the translation initiation factor Eif3B. These changes were validated using immunofluorescence, where RPL26 and Eif3B were detected in axons (SMI312 positive) of both d45 and d63-65 hESC-derived neurons. A significant reduction was observed at d63-65 compared to d45 (Fig. S3). By contrast another ribosomal protein RPL35 did not show any changes in level in the immunofluorescent analysis. Illustrating the specificity of these changes to the axonal compartment, we observed the opposite change in Eif3B in the cell body, with an increase in level observed at d65. We conclude, therefore, that the loss of regenerative ability at d65 is associated with a reduction in the presence within axons of the proteins required for local translation.

3.6. Decreased local translation and regeneration of mature axons is not reversed by releasing sequestered axonal mRNAs

To explore the consequences of the observed reduced axonal levels of proteins required for local translation within axons, we examine the effect of increasing axonal mRNAs available for translation. If the level of the axonal proteins required for translation is a limiting factor for local translation and for regeneration, then we would predict that increasing available mRNAs will have no effect on axon regeneration. To do this, we used the previously described cell permeable peptide containing the G3BP1 190–208 domain, which acts as a dominant negative form of G3BP1, competing with the full-length protein as a component of the stress granules that sequester unused mRNAs and releasing the repressed RNA, translation factors and RNA-binding proteins (Sahoo et al., 2018). In primary (young) CNS axons and in the PNS this has been previously shown to result in increased protein synthesis in vitro, with increased axonal regeneration in vivo seen in the PNS (Sahoo et al., 2018). In our experiments using aged (d61-65) hESC-derived neurons, however, no effect of the peptide was seen in the level of protein synthesis, as measured using OPP as above (Fig. 5A,B). We conclude, therefore, that these more mature axons lack the ability to increase local translation of mRNAs released from G3BP1-containing stress granules. In line with this, there was no increase in the percentage of regenerating axons in aged peptide-treated axons compared to control axons (Fig. 5C).

4. Discussion

Here we explore the relationship between two well-described aspects of axon growth and regeneration – the loss of regenerative potential once development is complete and the importance of local protein synthesis in axon growth during development and in peripheral nerve injury. We show a very clear relationship between regenerative ability and local translation. Using proteomics and puromycin-based tagging of newly-synthesized proteins in combination with a novel system to assess regeneration in hESC-derived neurons, we show that regeneration in
Table 3 (continued)
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Our results suggest that an intrinsic loss of local mRNA translation capability contributes to the reduced regenerative ability of older CNS axons contrasts with work in the PNS. Here, transcriptional analysis reveals no difference in the neuronal cell body response within the DRG following sciatric nerve injury in 2 and 24 month old animals (Painter et al., 2014). These results argue against intrinsic differences between the response to the young and old neurons following injury. Rather, transplantation studies where segments of young sciatic nerve were placed into older animals post injury, or vice versa, reveal that the age of the grafted tissue determines regeneration speed. Older grafts reduce axon regrowth when placed in young animals, while young grafts placed in older animals increase axon regrowth (Painter et al., 2014; Scheib and Höke, 2016). This intrinsic effect is mediated by age-related differences in Schwann cells and macrophages, with the former failing to rapidly activate a transcriptional repair program and the latter showing reduced migration into the injured nerve (Painter et al., 2014; Scheib and Höke, 2016). Our experimental strategy clearly cannot address the question of extrinsic environmental effects in the CNS, as seen in the changes in tissue biomechanics identified as a factor in the age-related reduction in another CNS regenerative response, remyelination (Segel et al., 2019). They do however illustrate clear differences between intrinsic determinants of regenerative capacity in the CNS and the PNS as well as point to differences intrinsic to axons.

The hESC-based protocol we have used here provides an additional tool for the study of CNS regeneration that has some useful novel features. First, the use of human cells increases translational relevance. Second, the scale of axon growth observed from a central cluster of cultured neurons enable proteomics approaches to identify changes in local translation in axons as they mature, or in the axons during regeneration. Our results examining maturation showing a switch from translation-associated proteins to those associated with synaptogenesis agree well with previous work (Gumy et al., 2011; Zou et al., 2013). However, whereas axonal profiling experiments have been performed at the mRNA level, to our knowledge ours is the first study to look at newly synthesized proteins as a response to injury specifically in axons (Shigeoka et al., 2016). There are technical limitations to this experiment, as the overall rate of protein synthesis is low in axons, complicating the isolation of sufficient starting material and resulting in a low signal-to-noise level of the detection method after pulldown. In addition, the potential time window for translation of different regeneration-associated proteins is wide and our approach provides a narrow snapshot of the overall regeneration response. Nevertheless, we find robust changes that take place in the translatome of injured axons.

The largest category of proteins in the human axonal translatome is related to (lysosomal) degradation, a process that is also strongly upregulated in vivo early after injury (Springer et al., 1997). The second largest category are proteins related to translation, in keeping with the finding that newly-synthesized ribosomal proteins are incorporated into pre-existing axonal ribosomes in severed Xenopus axons (Shigeoka et al., 2019). These results underline the importance of local protein synthesis as an early process after axonal injury, representing a promising target for therapeutic approaches to enhance regeneration. Further work comparing the profile of local protein synthesis in younger (regenerative) and older (non-regenerative) axons would be valuable in identifying further targets. Within the remaining proteins in our current dataset, however, three sets of comparisons between them and with published studies on mRNAs present in normal and injured neurons and the proteins that may also point to such targets. First, a comparison of proteins present in young (d45) axons and newly-synthesized following injury identifies LARS, MARS, IGF2BP3 and HUWE1. LARS and MARS, as tRNA synthetases, are part of the core translational machinery already identified above. IGF2BP3 belongs to the IGF2BP family of mRNA binding proteins implicated in the regulation of translation of IGF2 mRNA and other transcripts including those encoding mitochondrial components. Interestingly a closely related family member IGF2BP2 is highly expressed in developing axons and binds mRNAs encoding proteins implicated in axon guidance (Preitner et al., 2016). HUWE1, by contrast, is an E3 ubiquitin ligase implicated in multiple facets of CNS development including axon development whose importance is highlighted by the findings that genetic changes cause intellectual disability and other neurodevelopmental defects in humans (Giles and Grill, 2020). Second, a comparison of our own proteomics data in young axons with the dataset generated by Shigeoka et al. (2016) using the Ribotag mouse to identify axonal mRNAs in the visual system reveals a different set of molecules comprising two proteasome subunit components PSMB4 and PSMD3, the plexin PLXNB2 implicated in neural precursor proliferation and migration (Deng et al., 2007), another ubiquitin ligase UB2E20, the GMP reductase GMPR2, AP3M1 encoding part of the AP-3 adaptor complex involved in budding from the Golgi membrane, and NAPG encoding a NSF attachment protein involved in membrane fusion and transport. Finally, a comparison with existing datasets that identified regeneration associated genes (RAG) in CNS axons (Stam et al., 2007; Costigan et al., 2010; Michaelisvski et al., 2010; Geenen et al., 2011) showed little overlap with the proteins we found to be upregulated after injury; the phosphatase PHPT1 that shows increased levels in synapses tagged by Cq for pruning (Gyorfi et al., 2018) is the single overlapping protein that is translated in axons after injury. The lack of overlap between our data and the known RAGs may reflect the poor expression of RAGs following injury of CNS neurons and also the different time frames. Most RAGs are upregulated days to weeks after injury (van Kesteren et al., 2011; Ma and Willis, 2015), while our experiment examines the local and immediate processes that take place in injured axons.

Although our experimental approach lacks a true gain of function experiment, as we predicted that the release of sequestered mRNAs by the G3BP1 peptide would not increase regeneration given that the translation machinery was lacking in the older axons, our results do provide proof of principle that the maturation-associated reduction in translation can be reversed. We showed that the presence of OPCs can increase intrinsic axonal protein synthesis levels and promote regeneration. This argues against an irreversible cause of reduced translation efficiency in older cells eg accumulation of DNA damage. Although the beneficial effects of glia precursor cells such as OPC have been demonstrated before, the molecular mechanisms remain unclear. Our results do not distinguish whether the increase in translation in the presence of OPCs is the direct cause of enhanced regeneration, or a consequence of the OPCs generating pro-regenerative factors. Further experiments are required to explore this. In these, one focus will be secreted proteins or vesicles such as exosomes that might transfer translation-associated proteins from cell to cell, as Schwann cell exosomes were shown to promote axon regeneration in vivo (Sotelo et al., 2014; Ching and Kingham, 2015; Shakhbazau et al., 2016) and we have identified Eif5B in hOPC-isolated exosomes (S.V.E. unpublished observations). At this stage, however, we can conclude that reversing maturation-associated loss of translation represents an attractive target for strategies designed to promote CNS regeneration following axonal injury.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2020.113594.
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