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We study the real-time dynamics of fermions coupled to scalar fields in a linear sigma model, which is often employed in the context of preheating after inflation or as a low-energy effective model for quantum chromodynamics. We find a dramatic amplification of fermion production in the presence of highly occupied bosonic quanta for weak as well as strong couplings. For this we consider the range of validity of different methods: lattice simulations with male/female fermions, the mode functions approach and the quantum 2PI effective action with its associated kinetic theory. For strongly coupled fermions we find a rapid approach to a Fermi-Dirac distribution with time-dependent temperature and chemical potential parameters, while the bosons are still far from equilibrium.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the real-time dynamics of fermions coupled to highly occupied bosonic fields is central for a wide range of physical systems. Important examples concern the creation of matter fields from inflaton decay during preheating in inflationary cosmology, the fermion production from gauge fields during the early stages of ultra-relativistic collision experiments of heavy nuclei, or non-relativistic systems of ultracold quantum gases with fermions and bosons.

Though these examples cover a vast range of characteristic energy scales, they require rather similar theoretical descriptions. Standard semi-classical approaches are based on solutions of a Dirac equation, or its non-relativistic limit, in the presence of a time-dependent bosonic background field. In this context, large coherent Bose fields are often treated classically. However, since identical fermions cannot occupy the same state, their quantum nature is highly relevant and a consistent quantum treatment of the fermion dynamics is of crucial importance. It has been pointed out that semi-classical approaches can fail to describe the fermion dynamics in the presence of high occupancies of bosonic quanta [1]. For the example of a decaying inflaton field, it was shown that quantum corrections dramatically enhance the production of fermions following preheating in the early Universe.

In this work, we analyze the real-time dynamics of Dirac fermions coupled to scalar fields using different methods. We consider a linear sigma model, which is often employed in the context of preheating after inflation or also as a low-energy effective model for quantum chromodynamics. We compare different real-time techniques: lattice simulations with male/female fermions [2], the mode functions approach [3] and the quantum 2PI effective action [4] with its associated kinetic theory. We discuss their range of applicability in detail. As our main result, we confirm the dramatic amplification of fermion production in the presence of highly occupied bosons that was first pointed out in Ref. [1] and extend the results to the strong coupling regime.

It turns out that the efficient male/female lattice approach accurately converges to the exact mode functions result for the available lattice sizes. Our study shows the strength of the male/female method to address physical questions for large volumes [1,5–9], something where the mode function approach becomes computationally intractable. For weak couplings we find that the lattice simulation results agree well with those obtained from the quantum 2PI effective action, emphasizing the ability of the lattice approach to describe genuine quantum phenomena.

Applying an improved lattice discretization with a pseudoscalar Wilson term, we accurately resolve for the first time the high-momentum behavior of particle number distributions. For weak couplings this reveals a power-law behavior above a characteristic momentum. For strongly coupled fermions, we find a rapid approach to a quasi-thermal Fermi-Dirac distribution with time-dependent temperature and chemical potential parameters. Remarkably, this happens while the bosons are still showing turbulent behavior far from equilibrium.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. [II] and [III] we describe the model we use to simulate fermion production, explain the male/female method and mode functions approach. We also elaborate on details of our lattice formulation, our choice of initial conditions and renormalization procedure. In Sec. [IV] we demonstrate the convergence of male/female method towards the exact mode functions results. In Sec. [V] we present numerical evidence for applicability of 2PI and the degree of agreement between 2PI and lattice simulations. Also in [V] we find that the lattice simulation results agree well with those obtained from the quantum 2PI effective action. In Sec. [VI] we finally arrive at our results for fermion production from parametric resonance at strong and weak coupling. We summarize and conclude in Sec. [VII].

II. MODEL AND INITIAL CONDITIONS

We consider a relativistic quantum field theory of coupled bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom. It describes a
generic linear sigma model for a $N_s = 4$ component scalar field $(\sigma, \vec{\pi})$ with self-coupling $\lambda$. The scalars interacts via a Yukawa coupling $g$ with $N_f = 2$ flavors of massless Dirac fermions $\psi_i$ with flavor index $i$. The Lagrangian density is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_\mu \sigma \partial^\mu \sigma + \partial_\mu \vec{\pi} \partial^\mu \vec{\pi} \right) - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \left( \sigma^2 + \vec{\pi}^2 \right) - \frac{\lambda}{4 N_f} \left( \sigma^2 + \vec{\pi}^2 \right) + \bar{\psi} \left( i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \sigma \right) \psi - \frac{g}{N_f} \bar{\psi} \left( \sigma + i \gamma_5 \vec{\pi} \right) \psi,$$

with Dirac matrices $\gamma^\mu (\mu = 0, \ldots, 3)$, $\gamma^5 = i \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3$ and $\vec{\psi} \equiv \psi^T$. We denote by $\vec{\tau}$ the Pauli matrices and space-time variables by $x \equiv (x^0, \vec{x})$.

The equal-time anti-commutation relations for the fermions are encoded in the spectral function

$$\rho_{\psi, ij}(x, y) \equiv i \langle [\psi_i(x), \bar{\psi}_j(y)] \rangle$$

as

$$\gamma^0 \rho_{\psi, ij}(x, y)_{\psi^\dag = 0} = i \delta(x - y) \delta_{ij}$$

with $[A, B] \equiv AB - BA$. Correspondingly, the boson commutation relations are encoded in

$$\rho_\sigma(x, y) \equiv i \langle [\sigma(x), \sigma(y)] \rangle$$

with

$$\partial_\nu \rho_\sigma(x, y)_{\psi^\dag = 0} = \delta(x - y)$$

for $[A, B] \equiv AB + BA$. Equivalently, one can define spectral functions for the $\vec{\tau}$ fields, with vanishing commutators between different fields.

The brackets $\langle A \rangle \equiv \text{tr}(\rho_0 A)$ denote the trace over a normalized initial density matrix $\rho_0$, which specifies the initial conditions at time $t_0$. Here we will choose Gaussian initial conditions, where $\rho_0$ is completely determined by one- and two-point correlation functions at $t_0$. This class of initial conditions will allow us to study, in particular, particle production from nonequilibrium instabilities as will be discussed below. We emphasize that a choice of initial conditions does not represent an approximation to the dynamics and irreducible higher $n$-point correlation functions will build up for times larger than $t_0$ because of the interactions in $\mathcal{L}$. We restrict ourselves to spatially homogeneous initial conditions such that we can Fourier transform with respect to spatial variables. With $\langle \psi_i(x) \rangle = 0$ the one-point function for the $\sigma$-field

$$\phi(x^0) \equiv \langle \sigma(x) \rangle$$

at initial time is specified by an initial field amplitude $\phi_0$ as

$$\phi(t_0) = \phi_0 , \quad \partial_\nu \phi(x^0)_{\psi^\dag = 0} = 0 .$$

For the initial $\vec{\tau}$ fields we take

$$\langle \vec{\tau}(x) \rangle_{\psi^\dag = 0} = 0 , \quad \langle \partial_\nu \vec{\tau}(x) \rangle_{\psi^\dag = 0} = 0 .$$

It remains to specify two-point correlation functions. Apart from the above spectral functions, whose initial conditions are fixed by the equal-time relations at initial time, we also have to give the respective commutator expectation values for the fermions and anti-commutators for the bosons. These so-called statistical two-point functions are

$$F_{\psi, ij}(x, y) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \langle [\psi_i(x), \bar{\psi}_j(y)] \rangle ,$$

$$F_\sigma(x, y) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \langle [\sigma(x), \sigma(y)] \rangle - \langle \sigma(x) \rangle \langle \sigma(y) \rangle$$

and, similarly, the anti-commutator expectation value $F_\pi(x, y)$ for each of the $\vec{\tau}$ components. Their spatial Fourier modes at initial time are chosen as

$$F_{\psi, ij}(\vec{x} = 0, \vec{p}) = m_\psi - \gamma^0 \frac{p_\mu}{\omega_\psi (\vec{p})} \left( \frac{1}{2} - n_\psi (\vec{p}) \right) \delta_{ij} ,$$

with $\omega_\psi (\vec{p}) = \sqrt{m_\psi^2 + \vec{p}^2}$. Here the effective fermion mass $m_\psi$ is given by $g \phi_0/2$ at initial time and $n_\psi (\vec{p}) = 0$ for vacuum initial conditions. For the initial boson correlators we take

$$F_\sigma(\vec{x} = 0, \vec{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( n_\sigma (\vec{p}) + 1 \right) , \quad \partial_\nu F_\sigma(\vec{x} = 0, \vec{p}) = 0 , \quad \partial_\nu \partial_\nu F_\sigma(\vec{x} = 0, \vec{p}) = \omega_\sigma (\vec{p}) \left( \frac{1}{2} + n_\sigma (\vec{p}) \right)$$

with $\omega_\sigma (\vec{p}) = \sqrt{m_\sigma^2 + \vec{p}^2}$ and $n_\sigma (\vec{p}) = 0$. We choose the same initial conditions for $F_\pi$ as well as for $F_\sigma$. Initial two-point function involving different fields are taken to vanish. The above completely specifies the initial value problem for our model.

To extract information about particle numbers from numerical simulations it is convenient to define bosonic and fermionic effective particle numbers, both of which are in general not conserved for an interacting system out of equilibrium. For bosons the particle number is associated to the equal-time statistical propagator $F_\sigma(t, t, \vec{p})$ and quasi-particle energy $\epsilon_\sigma(t, \vec{p})$ according to

$$\epsilon_\sigma(t, \vec{p}) = \sqrt{\partial_\nu \partial_\nu F_\sigma(t, t, \vec{p})_{\psi^\dag = \sigma}},$$

$$n_\sigma(t, \vec{p}) = F_\sigma(t, t, \vec{p})_{\psi^\dag = \sigma} \epsilon_\sigma(t, \vec{p}) - \frac{1}{2}$$

---

1 Summation over repeated indices is implied, the metric tensor has signature $(+,-,-,-)$ and we use natural units with $\hbar = c = k_B = 1$.

2 Of course, there is no unique definition of particle number in an interacting theory if the number is not conserved. It is also nowhere needed in our calculations and only used for interpretation of the results. We use standard definitions that are typically employed to connect to discussions in the context of Boltzmann equations.
and equivalently for the $\pi$ fields. Plugging the above initial values into these definitions confirms that the vacuum we are starting from contains no particles according to this definition.

To discuss properties of $F_\phi(t, p)$ we consider its scalar, pseudoscalar and vector components:

$$F_S(t, p) = \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \left( F_\phi(t, t, p) \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

$$F_V(t, p) = \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \left( \gamma^t F_\phi(t, t, p) \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

$$F_{PS}(t, p) = \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \left( \gamma_5 F_\phi(t, t, p) \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where the trace acts in Dirac space. The flavour indices are omitted here, because we restrict ourselves to initial conditions which are diagonal in flavour space and thus consider only flavour-averaged quantities. Each of these quantities can be used to define an effective particle number, enabling us to construct $n_\phi(t, p)$ from different combinations of $F_S(t, p)$, $F_{PS}(t, p)$ and $F_V(t, p)$. Here we follow [1, 4, 11] and employ

$$n_\phi(t, p) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_1 F_V(t, p) + m_\phi(t, p) F_S(t, p)}{\sqrt{p^2 + m_\phi^2(t, p)}}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (17)

The time dependence of the effective fermion mass results from the dynamical macroscopic field $\phi(t)$.

III. REAL-TIME LATTICE APPROACH

A. Classical-statistical mapping

There is a significant class of problems where the dynamics of bosonic quantum fields can be accurately mapped onto a classical-statistical system. This is the case whenever anti-commutator expectation values for bosonic fields are much larger than the corresponding commutators [12], which has been studied extensively for scalar field theories [12–16] as well as pure gauge theories [17–22]. This classicality condition reads in our case

$$\left( F_\sigma(x, y) + \phi(x) \phi(y) \right)^2 \gg \rho_\sigma^2(x, y), \quad F_\pi^2(x, y) \gg \rho_\pi^2(x, y).$$ \hspace{1cm} (18)

Stated differently, this concerns the large field or large occupancy limit, which is relevant for important phenomena such as nonequilibrium instabilities, particle creation from large coherent fields or wave turbulence which will be relevant for our study. The description breaks down once the typical field occupancies become of order unity. In particular, this is the case in thermal equilibrium. For an introductory review see Ref. [10].

In this limit, observables can be obtained as ensemble averages of solutions of classical field equations. Supposing for a moment the $\pi$ fields in the notation, one considers canonical field variables at initial time $t_0$, i.e. $\sigma_0(x) = \sigma_\pi(t_0, x)$ and $\Pi_0(x) = \partial_t \sigma_\pi(t, x)|_{t=t_0}$ for the classical field $\sigma_\pi(x)$. The values for the canonical field variables at initial time are distributed according to a normalized phase-space density functional $W[\sigma_0, \Pi_0]$, such that an observable $\langle O \rangle$ is given by its phase-space average [12–23].

$$\langle O \rangle = \int D\sigma_0 D\Pi_0 W[\sigma_0, \Pi_0] O_{cl}[\sigma_0, \Pi_0].$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

Here $O_{cl}[\sigma_0, \Pi_0] = \int D\sigma_0 O[\sigma] \delta(\sigma - \sigma_{cl}[\sigma_0, \Pi_0])$, where $\sigma_{cl}[\sigma_0, \Pi_0]$ is the solution of the classical field equation with initial conditions $\sigma_0$ and $\Pi_0$. Ensemble averages at initial time are taken to correspond to the respective quantum expectation values for the fields.

Fermions are never largely occupied and are, in this respect, genuinely quantum. However, fermions appear quadratically in the Lagrangian [1] as is also the case for theories like quantum chromodynamics or electrodynamics. Therefore, their dynamics can be solved without further approximations for given classical bosonic field configuration.

The procedure is to integrate out the fermions from the path integral to get the classical evolution equation for the bosons. This equations depends then on the fermion currents, represented by fermion two-point correlation functions. The evolution for these fermion correlation functions is obtained from the original Lagrangian, where the fermion fields appear quadratically. This gives a Dirac-like equation for the fermion correlation functions, which is coupled to inhomogeneous classical Bose fields. The description is very suitable for initial value problems and, below, we will find that it accurately describes the quantum dynamics including loop corrections for very non-trivial situations where the latter can be computed using 2PI effective action techniques.

The above model [1] leads for classical bosonic fields $\sigma_{cl}(x)$ and $\pi_{cl}(x)$ to the equations of motion

$$\left( \Box + m^2 \right) \sigma_{cl}(x) + \frac{\lambda}{4!} \left( \sigma_{cl}^2 + \pi_{cl}^2 \right) \sigma_{cl}(x) - \frac{g}{2} \text{Tr} \left( F_\phi(x, x) \right) = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (20)

and

$$\left( \Box + m^2 \right) \pi_{cl}(x) + \frac{\lambda}{4!} \left( \sigma_{cl}^2 + \pi_{cl}^2 \right) \pi_{cl}(x) - i \frac{g}{2} \text{Tr} \left( F_\phi(x, x) \gamma_5 \right) = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (21)

where the trace acts in flavour and Dirac space. These evolution equations depend on the fermion two-point correlator [2]. For given classical bosonic fields, the equation of motion for the spinor field $\psi_i(x)$ reads:

$$\left[ i \partial_{\mu} \gamma^\mu - \frac{g}{2} \left( \sigma_{cl}(x) + i \gamma_5 \pi_{cl}(x) \right) \right] \psi_i(x) = 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (22)

By multiplying from the right with $\gamma_i$ we can continue to construct the evolution equation for the fermion commutator.

3 For all practical purposes, it can always be achieved that the fermions appear quadratically in the Lagrangian at the expense of introducing extra bosonic field degrees of freedom.
Since the fermion fields only appear quadratically in the Lagrangian, one obtains a Dirac-like equation of motion for the expectation value of the commutator $[\mathcal{O}]$:

$$\left[ i\partial_\mu \gamma^\mu - \frac{g}{2} (\sigma_{cl}(x) + i\gamma_5 \tau_{cl}(x)) \right] F_{\phi,j}(x,y) = 0, \quad (23)$$

As already mentioned the bosonic fields are treated in the classical-statistical approximation, where the fields are evolved in time and space according to (20) and (21) for initial conditions that are sampled to give on average the initial values (7), (8), and (12). For each run the coupled system of equations including the one for the fermion two-point function (23) with initial condition (11) is solved. In particular, the evolution of all higher bosonic $n$-point correlation functions can be easily constructed by averaging over products of $\sigma_{cl}$ and $\bar{\sigma}_{cl}$ such as $(\sigma_{cl}(x)\sigma_{cl}(y)\sigma_{cl}(z) \ldots)$. Usually the number of simulations needed to achieve convergence is reduced by the lattice average for the spatially homogeneous ensemble characterized by the initial conditions (7)-(12).

### B. Fermion Dynamics

We will describe two methods for a numerical solution of the evolution equation for the fermion correlation function (24) and begin in this section with a stochastic method that turns out to be particularly efficient. To actually compute the time evolution of the required statistical propagator $F_\phi(x,y)$ one has to specify the form of the field $\psi(x)$ at initial time, where we will suppress the flavor indices in the following. To this end the anticommuting ladder operators $b_s(p)$ for particles and $d_s(p)$ for antiparticles will be used in the framework of canonical quantization, where the spinor index $s$ runs from 1 to 2. These operators are characterized by their anticommutators

$$\left\{ b_s(p), b_s'(q) \right\} = (2\pi)^3 \delta_{s,s'} \delta(p-q), \quad (24)$$

$$\left\{ d_s(p), d_s'(q) \right\} = (2\pi)^3 \delta_{s,s'} \delta(p-q), \quad (25)$$

while the expectation value of their commutators are parametrized as

$$\langle \left[ b_s(p), b_s'(q) \right] \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta_{s,s'} \delta(p-q) (1 - 2n_s(p)), \quad (26)$$

$$\langle \left[ d_s(p), d_s'(q) \right] \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta_{s,s'} \delta(p-q) (1 - 2n_s(p)). \quad (27)$$

Here $n_s(p)$ denote initial occupation numbers of particles and antiparticles of a given spin and spatial momentum. In terms of these operators the initial field $\psi(t_0, x)$ for our isotropic and homogeneous initial conditions can be written as

$$\psi(t_0, x) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_s (b_s(p)u_s(p)e^{-ipx} + d_s'(p)v_s(p)e^{ipx}). \quad (28)$$

The eigenspinors $u_s(p)$ and $v_s(p)$ represent particle and antiparticle eigenstates of the Dirac operator. In order to evaluate $F_\phi(x,y)$ without treating operators explicitly one can either use a mode function expansion $[5]$, which will be discussed below, or utilize the ideas proposed in $[2]$ to rewrite the statistical propagator using a stochastic approach in terms of so-called "male" and "female" spinor fields $\psi_M(x)$ and $\psi_F(x)$:

$$F_\phi(x,y) = \langle \psi_M(x)\bar{\psi}_F(y) \rangle_{MF} = \langle \psi_F(x)\bar{\psi}_M(y) \rangle_{MF}. \quad (29)$$

This procedure of expressing the time evolution of $F_\phi(x,y)$ in terms of $\psi_M(x)$ and $\psi_F(y)$ is applicable since the equations of motion for the fermions are linear $[2]$. The last equation already shows that the roles of "male" and "female" fields are interchangeable. The notation $(\ldots)_{MF}$ emphasizes that in this case the average is performed with respect to an ensemble of male/female pairs. Additionally, one requires that both of the stochastic spinors obey the Dirac-like equation of motion in accordance with (22):

$$\left[ i\partial_\mu \gamma^\mu - \frac{g}{2} (\sigma_{cl}(x) + i\gamma_5 \tau_{cl}(x)) \right] \psi_s(x) = 0. \quad (30)$$

The index $g$ (gender) distinguishes here between M (male) and F (female) fields. To reproduce the initial configuration of $F_\phi(x,y)$ in terms of $\psi_M$ and $\psi_F$ the latter are initialized as

$$\psi_{MF}(t_0, x) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{-ipx}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_s \left( \xi_s(p)u_s(p) \pm \eta_s(p)v_s(p) \right). \quad (31)$$

So the only difference between "male" and "female" spinors is the sign in front of the antiparticle component. Here $\xi_s(p)$ and $\eta_s(p)$ are random numbers coming from a Gaussian distribution which are used to simulate the expectation values of products of the ladder operators $b_s(p)$ and $d_s(p)$. Their nonvanishing correlators are linked to initial occupation numbers

$$\langle \xi_s(p)\xi_s'(q) \rangle_{MF} = (2\pi)^3 \delta_{s,s'} \delta(p-q) (1 - 2n_s(p)) \quad (32)$$

$$\langle \eta_s(p)\eta_s'(q) \rangle_{MF} = (2\pi)^3 \delta_{s,s'} \delta(p-q) (1 - 2n_s(p)). \quad (33)$$

To realize these correlations in a numerical simulation one has to average over many pairs of "male" and "female" fields, but of course each of them has to be evolved in time separately. If the number of pairs is sufficiently large the result will converge to the physical correlator. Later we will discuss how many male/female pairs are actually required.

Bilinears such as $F_\phi(x,y)$ are computed by combining spinors of both genders and the statistical propagator is evolved in time by solving the Dirac equations for $\psi_M$ and $\psi_F$. It is illustrative to compute the initial $F_\phi(x^0,y^0,p)|_{\phi=\bar{\phi}=0}$ in terms of operator-valued field $\phi$ at initial time and the same quantity from $\psi_M$ and $\psi_F$. Both calculations yield for symmetric occupation numbers $n_s(p) = n_s(-p)$:

$$F_\phi(x^0,y^0,p)|_{\phi=\bar{\phi}=0} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \left[ (1 - 2n_s^2(p))u_s(p)\bar{u}_s(p) - (1 - 2n_s^2(p))v_s(p)\bar{v}_s(p) \right]. \quad (34)$$

In the following, we describe the standard mode-function approach for comparison. The starting point of the mode-function expansion is again a Fourier expansion of the fermionic field operator at $t = t_0$ as in (28), which can be
generalized to \( t > t_0 \) by introducing time-dependent mode-functions \( \Phi^\prime_\sigma(t, x, k) \) and \( \Phi''_\sigma(t, x, k) \):

\[
\psi(t, x) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_s \left( b_s(p)\Phi^\prime_\sigma(t, x, p) + d^*_s(p)\Phi''_\sigma(t, x, -p) \right). \tag{35}
\]

Here \( b_s(p) \) and \( d_s(p) \) are again the annihilation operators at initial time and we have

\[
\Phi^\prime_\sigma(t_0, x, p) = u_s(p)e^{-ipx}, \quad \Phi''_\sigma(t_0, x, p) = v_s(p)e^{-ipx}. \tag{36}
\]

Substituting \( \Phi^\prime_\sigma \) into the equation of motion for \( \psi(x) \), one observes that every mode function has to satisfy

\[
\left[ i\partial_t \gamma - \frac{g}{2} \left( \sigma_3(x) + i\gamma_5\vec{\gamma}_3(x) \right) \right] \Phi''_\sigma(x, p) = 0. \tag{37}
\]

After the time evolution of \( \Phi''_\sigma(x, p) \) has been calculated, observables can be constructed using the expansion \( \Phi''_\sigma \). For the evaluation of the expectation values one has to use properties of the initial state such as

\[
\langle b_s^\dagger(p)b_s(p) \rangle = n_s^\dagger(t=0, p), \quad \langle b_s(p) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle b_s^\dagger(p) \rangle = 0, \tag{38}
\]

and similarly for \( d_s \).

The statistical two-point function \( F_\phi \) reads in terms of mode functions

\[
\begin{align*}
F_\phi(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3p}{2\pi} \sum_s \left( \langle b_s b_s^\dagger \rangle - \langle b_s^\dagger b_s \rangle \right) \Phi''_\sigma(x, p)\Phi''_\sigma(y, p) \\
&\quad + \langle d_s d_s^\dagger \rangle \Phi''_\sigma(x, p)\Phi''_\sigma(y, p) \\
&\quad + \langle d_s^\dagger d_s \rangle \Phi''_\sigma(x, p)\Phi''_\sigma(y, p) \\
&= \int \frac{d^3p}{2\pi} \sum_s \left( \frac{1}{2} - n_{in, s}(p) \right) \Phi''_\sigma(x, p)\Phi''_\sigma(y, p) \\
&\quad + \int \frac{d^3p}{2\pi} \sum_s \left( n_{in, s}(p) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Phi''_\sigma(x, p)\Phi''_\sigma(y, p) \\
&\quad + \int \frac{d^3p}{2\pi} \sum_s \left( n_{in, s}(p) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Phi''_\sigma(x, p)\Phi''_\sigma(y, p).
\end{align*}
\tag{39}
\]

Here the subscript “in” stresses the fact that the particle numbers appearing here are evaluated at the initial time. Similarly, we can also calculate the fermion contribution to the energy density of the system \( \langle H_D(x) \rangle \) with the Dirac Hamiltonian \( H_D = -i\gamma^\nu\partial_\nu + \gamma^5m \) and any other observable \( O(x) \) of interest by a summation over the mode functions: \( \sum_i F_i\Phi_i(x)\overline{\Phi}_i \), where the index \( i \) represents the momentum, spin and charge of the mode, and the summation over the index \( i \) includes the momentum integral and the sum over spin and charge modes, and \( F_i \) depends on \( n^\prime_{in, s} \) and \( n''_{in, s} \), similarly to \( \langle 39 \rangle \).

The advantage of this method is that it is exact without further approximations and involves no ensemble average as the male/female approach. The great disadvantage, which so far had limited its applicability to lower-dimensional systems, is the requirement to simulate a mode function for every possible combination of space and momentum. If implemented on a lattice, as is described in the following, the mode-function method leads to prohibitively high computational costs on bigger lattices.

We finally note that one can also build another low cost noisy ensemble of linear combinations of mode functions using

\[
\varphi_j = \sum \xi_{jt} \Phi_t, \tag{40}
\]

where the \( j = 1..N_{ens} \) index labels the mode functions in the ensemble and the index \( i \) goes over all the modes in the mode function expansion (i.e., \( i \) represents their momenta, spin and charge), and \( \xi_{jt} \) are random numbers yet to be determined. Since the equation of motion for the mode functions is linear, any linear combination will be a solution as well.

If we choose the random numbers such that

\[
\langle \xi_{jt}^*\xi_{lk} \rangle = F_j\delta_{kl} \tag{41}
\]

then the ensemble average

\[
\frac{1}{N_{ens}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{ens}} \langle \bar{\Phi}_j O(x)\varphi_j \rangle = \sum_i F_i\Phi_i O(x)\Phi_i \tag{42}
\]

gives the result of the mode function expansion. (In practice one needs two ensembles to be able to calculate any observable, corresponding to the two possible orderings of the ladder operators in the observable.)

This formulation of the noisy ensemble performs similarly to the male/female formulation, such that the expectation value can be estimated using much less ensemble members \( \varphi_j \) than a corresponding mode function simulation would use (in more than one spatial dimension).

C. Lattice formulation

Numerical simulations based on the methods described in the last subsections are carried out using a leap-frog algorithm on a \( 3 + 1 \) dimensional space-time lattice with a real time coordinate. The simulated spatial volume is \( V = (Na_s)^3 \) with spatial lattice spacing \( a_s \) and number of lattice points \( N \) in each direction, where periodic spatial boundary conditions are used. The momentum resolution is determined by \( N \) and \( a_s \), with the highest possible lattice momentum proportional to \( 1/\alpha_s \) and the lowest one to \( 1/(Na_s) \). The time direction is discretized using a lattice spacing \( \alpha_t \) and length \( t_{max} = Na_t \).

First- and second-order derivatives on the lattice are discretized using symmetric finite difference approximations

\[
f'(x) = \frac{f(x + \alpha_t) - f(x - \alpha_t)}{2\alpha_t},
\]

\[
f''(x) = \frac{f(x + \alpha_t) + f(x - \alpha_t) - 2f(x)}{\alpha_t^2}. \tag{43}
\]

Here \( \alpha_t \) with \( i = s, t \) denotes either the spatial or temporal lattice spacing. For the calculation of second-order bosonic spatial derivatives an alternative higher-order discretization has

\[\text{4}\] There is no (anti-)periodicity in real time employed, as is typically the case for Euclidean lattice formulations.
been applied, which is slightly more accurate for larger lattice spacings:

\[
 f''(x) = \frac{16f(x + a_i) + 16f(x - a_i) - f(x + 2a_i)}{12a_i^2} - \frac{f(x - 2a_i) + 30f(x)}{12a_i^2}.
\] (44)

To remain consistent with the lattice version of derivatives it is also necessary to redefine lattice momenta, which is achieved by applying discrete derivatives to plane-wave solutions, such as \( \partial_i e^{ip_i} = \left( e^{ip_i} - e^{-ip_i} \right) (2a_i) e^{ip_i} \). The corresponding lattice momentum definitions are

\[
 \vec{p}_i = \frac{\sin(p_i a_i)}{a_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3
\] (45)

for a first order spatial derivative as appearing in a Dirac equation, or

\[
 p_{\text{lat}}^2 = \frac{1}{a_i^2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} 4 \sin^2 \left( \frac{p_i a_i}{2} \right)
\] (46)

for second-order spatial derivatives as ones appearing in a Klein-Gordon equation with \( p_i = 2\pi n_i/(Na_i) \) and \( n_i = 0, \ldots, N - 1 \). For the bosonic sector of our model we use the discretization (44), which leads to

\[
 p_{\text{lat}}^2 = \frac{1}{a_i^2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left[ 2.5 - \frac{8}{3} \cos(p_i a_i) + \frac{1}{6} \cos(2p_i a_i) \right].
\] (47)

For fermionic degrees of freedom such a straightforward discretization is known to cause so-called fermion doublers [24]. A way to address this problem, similar to the one commonly employed in Euclidean lattice gauge theory, is to introduce a spatial Wilson term \( W \) into the equation of motion [30]:

\[
 i\partial_i \gamma^\mu W(x) + \frac{g}{2} (\sigma(x) + i\gamma_5 \mathcal{H}(x)) \psi_\mu(x) = 0.
\] (48)

A standard choice would be

\[
 W \psi_\mu(x) = \frac{ra_i}{2} \Delta_x \psi_\mu(x),
\] (49)

where we set \( r = 1 \) from now on and use the Laplacian

\[
 \Delta_x \psi_\mu(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \psi_\mu(x + a_i) + \psi_\mu(x - a_i) - 2\psi_\mu(x)
\] (50)

which leads to a momentum-dependent contribution to the fermionic dispersion relation:

\[
 \omega(p) = \sqrt{m_\psi^2 + \vec{p} \cdot \vec{p} + a_i^2 m_\psi p_{\text{lat}}^2 + \frac{a_i^2}{4} p_{\text{lat}}^4}.
\] (51)

This ensures that only low-momentum excitations show a low-energy dispersion relation. One observes that the additional contributions from the Wilson term vanish in the continuum limit \( a_i \to 0 \). However, we find that a faster approach to the continuum limit is achieved by replacing \( W \to W_{PS} \) defined as

\[
 W_{PS} \psi_\mu(x) = i\gamma_5 \frac{ra_i}{2} \Delta_x \psi_\mu(x).
\] (52)

Here the subscript \( PS \) means pseudoscalar in contrast to the standard scalar Wilson term. The pseudoscalar Wilson term also leads to a (modified) momentum dependent contribution to the fermionic dispersion relation

\[
 \omega(p) = \sqrt{m_\psi^2 + \vec{p} \cdot \vec{p} + a_i^2 m_\psi p_{\text{lat}}^2}.
\] (53)

This illustrates that \( W_{PS} \) eliminates the \( O(a_i) \) contribution to the dispersion relation which will be particularly advantageous for our out-of-equilibrium setup where the effective fermion mass will be time-dependent.\(^5\) We do not include a temporal Wilson term as this would turn a Dirac equation into a second order differential equation in time. The temporal doublers are avoided provided that we initialize only the physical mode and choose the temporal lattice spacing to be much smaller than the spatial lattice spacing \( a_t \ll a_i \) [3, 30–32].

At the beginning of each simulation initial conditions have to be specified. For the bosons one has to specify the initial classical fields and derivatives according to (7), (8) and (12) to obtain quantum-like vacuum initial conditions. They are initialized in momentum space from a Gaussian probability distribution centered around zero with standard deviation of \( (2\sqrt{m_\phi^2 + \langle \vec{p} \rangle^2})^{-1/2} \) for the fields and \( (\sqrt{m_\phi^2 + \langle \vec{p} \rangle^2})^{-1/2} \) for the time derivatives of the fields. In order to realize parametric resonance in quantum field theory the average initial field is homogeneous with amplitude

\[
 \phi(t = 0) = \sigma_0 \sqrt{\frac{6N}{\Lambda}}.
\] (54)

where the parameter \( \sigma_0 \) sets the overall scale for our simulations.

Another important property in momentum space is \( \sigma(-\vec{p}) = \sigma^*(\vec{p}) \) and similarly for \( \mathcal{H} \), which is required to get real-valued fields in position space. This is achieved by multiplying the real field amplitudes with a random phase factor \( e^{i\phi(\vec{p})} \) with \( \sigma(\vec{p}) = -\sigma(-\vec{p}) \) and \( \alpha = 0 \) for \( p_t = 0 \) and \( p_t = \pi/a_i \). The same procedure using another random phase factor \( e^{i\phi(\vec{p})} \) is applied to get real-valued field derivatives w.r.t. time in position space. Due to the fact that in our approach the scalar fields are classical-statistical we try to minimize possible effects of UV divergent contributions by initializing the quantum-like vacuum correlators only up to a finite momentum \( \Lambda < \langle |\vec{p}| \rangle_{\text{max}} \), \(^6\) A related construction used in lattice gauge theory is known as twisted mass fermions.
with \( \Lambda \) being higher than all of the momentum modes which become relevant during the simulated time.

For the low-cost fermion approach, initial values are given in terms of \( \psi_M(x) \) and \( \psi_F(x) \) at \( t = t_0 = 0 \). They are directly linked to complex random numbers, which have to fulfill correlator relations of the fermionic ladder operators \( \xi_i(p) = A_i(p) \xi \). To start with vacuum initial conditions we set all particle numbers to zero. These correlator relations are implemented numerically through complex valued \( \xi_i(p) = A_i(p) e^{i\phi_i(p)} \) and \( \eta_i(p) = B_i(p) e^{i\phi_j(p)} \) with real amplitudes \( A_i(p) \) and \( B_i(p) \) coming from a Gaussian distribution and random phases \( \phi_i(p) \) and \( \phi_j(p) \) to ensure that all mixed correlators vanish. Having chosen a symmetric finite difference approximation for the first time derivative, we have to specify \( \psi_M(x) \) and \( \psi_F(x) \) not only at \( t = 0 \) but also at \( t = -a_t \), which is done by an evolution of the free fields according to

\[
\psi_{M,F}(t = 0, p) = e^{-i\gamma_\text{lat} p^a x} \psi_{M,F}(t = -a_t, p). \tag{55}
\]

The initial statistical propagator \( F_\psi(x,y)|_{\phi_0 = 0} \), which solves the free Dirac equation at \( t = 0 \), reads on the lattice in the presence of the employed Wilson term

\[
F_\psi(x_0 = 0, y_0 = 0, p) = \frac{m_\psi - y_\gamma^i \bar{p}_i - i\gamma_5 \frac{\sigma^a}{2} p^a_{\text{lat}}}{2\omega(p)} (1 - 2n_\psi(p)). \tag{56}
\]

Likewise, the fermion occupation number \( \{17\} \) is given by

\[
n_\psi(t, p) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\bar{p}_i F_i^\dagger(t, p) + m_\psi(t, p) F_i(t, p) + \frac{\sigma^a}{2} p^a_{\text{lat}} F_{PS}(t, p)}{\sqrt{\bar{p}_i \bar{p}_i + m_\psi^2(t, p) + \frac{\sigma^a}{2} P^a_{\text{lat}}}}. \tag{57}
\]

## D. Renormalization

To obtain physically relevant information from our numerical simulations, we have to ensure that the results are insensitive to changes of the finite lattice cut-off \( \sim 1/a_t \). In practice the variation of the cutoff-scale in simulations barely exceeds one order of magnitude. Here we consider the leading divergences perturbatively, which we explicitly verified to lead to cutoff insensitive numerical results for a variation of the spatial lattice spacing in the range \( a_t \sigma_0 = 0.1 - 1 \). For our model this concerns the quadratically running scalar mass terms, where the relevant contributions are coming from the one-loop scalar self-energy corrections displayed in Fig. 1.

Because of our choice of initial conditions with a non-zero \( \sigma \)-field amplitude \( \xi \), the dressings of \( \sigma \) and \( \bar{\sigma} \) masses through vacuum fluctuations are in general different. For given renormalized mass squared \( m^2 \), we compute the mass parameters \( m^2_{0,\sigma,\pi} \) self-consistently from

\[
m^2_{0,\sigma,\pi} + \Sigma_{0,\sigma,\pi}(m^2_{0,\sigma}, m^2_{0,\pi}) = m^2 \tag{58}
\]

\[\Sigma_{0,\sigma,\pi} = \frac{\lambda}{48 N^3 a^3} \sum_{p} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{m^2_{0,\sigma} + P^2_{\text{lat}}}} + \frac{5}{\sqrt{m^2_{0,\pi} + P^2_{\text{lat}}}} \right) - \frac{s^2}{N^3 a^3} \sum_{p} \frac{\bar{p}_i \bar{p}_i + m^2_{0,\sigma}}{\bar{p}_i \bar{p}_i + m^2_{0,\sigma} + \frac{\sigma^a}{2} P^a_{\text{lat}}} \right)^{3/2}, \tag{59}\]

\[\Sigma_{0,\sigma,\pi} = \frac{\lambda}{48 N^3 a^3} \sum_{p} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{m^2_{0,\sigma} + P^2_{\text{lat}}}} + \frac{5}{\sqrt{m^2_{0,\pi} + P^2_{\text{lat}}}} \right) - \frac{s^2}{N^3 a^3} \sum_{p} \frac{\bar{p}_i \bar{p}_i + m^2_{0,\sigma}}{\bar{p}_i \bar{p}_i + m^2_{0,\sigma} + \frac{\sigma^a}{2} P^a_{\text{lat}}} \right)^{3/2}. \tag{60}\]

At the beginning of each simulation of the real-time dynamics, these equations are first solved by iteration starting with \( m^2_{0,\sigma,\pi} = m^2 \) until convergence is achieved. Then \( m^2 \) is replaced by \( m^2_{0,\sigma,\pi} \) in equation \( 20 \) and by \( m^2_{0,\pi} \) in \( 21 \).

## E. Comparison of male/female and mode function approach

The male/female method described above has to converge to the results of the mode function expansion in the limit where the number of male/female pairs is sufficiently large. In practice, the convergence depends on parameters such as the dimension \( d \) of space, the number of lattice points \( N \) or value of couplings. In general, simulations employing the mode function expansion are limited to relatively small lattices because the number of mode functions increases like \( N^d \) for every lattice point, therefore the total cost of the simulation increases as \( N^{2d} \). In contrast, the cost for male/female fermion simulations is expected to scale as \( N^d \) times the number of male/female pairs. As a consequence, in one spatial

---

7 The Lagrangian \( \{1\} \) describes massless fermions. A non-zero mass parameter for the fermions in the Lagrangian would lead to a divergent linear contribution to the bosonic potential requiring an additional renormalization.
dimension the male/female method has no particular advantage over the mode functions approach, as the needed number of pairs is not significantly lower than the number of mode functions per lattice point. The situation is different in two or three dimensions, where one typically observes reasonable convergence for a much lower number of pairs as compared to the requirements of the full mode function expansion.

To give an explicit example, we compare the time-evolution on a small $16^3$ lattice using the full mode function expansion and the male/female method for varying number of pairs. In the remainder of this work the latter method will then be used on larger lattices to compute results for the analysis of the underlying physics. In Fig. 2 the fermion occupation number \((57)\) is shown as a function of time for different values of the spatial momentum \(p\). The underlying physical processes will be discussed in detail below. For the plot the number of male/female pairs is varied from 5 to 600. We clearly observe that both approaches agree to very good accuracy for a sufficiently large number of pairs. The convergence is typically faster for low momentum modes, in agreement with the expectation that self-averaging is more efficiently formulated in terms of the spatial momentum \(p\). For the employed small lattice size in this example we required a relatively high number of pairs. This is expected to change for larger lattices due to enhanced self-averaging. Since a direct comparison with mode function results is impractical for larger lattices, we further investigated the convergence of results as the number of male/female pairs is increased for given lattice sizes. Fig. 3 shows the number of pairs needed for convergence as a function of number of lattice sites in each direction. Larger ultraviolet cutoff or stronger coupling worsen the convergence making, in particular, the study of strongly correlated fermions computationally more expensive than weakly correlated systems.

IV. VALIDATION AGAINST QUANTUM FIELD THEORY

Above we described lattice methods that give a fully non-perturbative description of the dynamics in their range of validity for large bosonic field amplitudes or occupancies. In principle, there are no further approximations in the fermionic sector and the lattice description includes the physics of fermion loop corrections to infinite order. However, using Wilson fermions there are additional procedures to suppress fermion doublers on the lattice. It is, therefore, illustrative to validate the lattice description against (continuum) quantum field theory at least in the weak-coupling limit, where this is possible since suitable approximations exist for the latter. The quantum description we employ is based on a resummed large-\(N\) expansion to next-to-leading order (NLO) for the bosonic sector and a resummed loop expansion for the fermionic sector of our model \([4, 25]\).

The resummation is efficiently formulated in terms of the two-particle irreducible (2PI) effective action in Minkowski space-time \([10]\)

\[
\Gamma[\phi, G, G_\phi] = S[\phi] + i \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \ln(G^{-1}) + i \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(G_{\phi}^{-1}(\phi)G) - i \text{Tr} \ln(G_{\phi}^{-1}) - i Tr(G_{0}\phi) + \Gamma_2[\phi, G, G_\phi],
\]

which includes all quantum corrections if the two-particle irreducible part \(\Gamma_2\) is known. Here \(\phi(x)\) denotes the field expectation value \([6]\) while \(G = \text{diag}(G_x, G_{\phi})\) and \(G_{\phi}\) denote the full boson and fermion propagators, which are diagonal in field index space. The traces involve the sum over field indices as well as space-time integrals. The fields live on a closed time path or Schwinger-Keldysh contour \(C\), which runs back

- \(\phi(x)\) denotes the field expectation value \([6]\).
and forth along the real-time axis starting at given initial time \[ t_0 \]. The classical action part reads
\[
S[\phi] = \int_C \int d^3x \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_\phi \phi^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4! N_f} \phi^4 \right),
\]
while the classical propagators are
\[
iG_{0,\sigma}^{-1}(x,y;\phi) = \frac{\delta^3 S}{\delta \phi(x) \delta \phi(y)} = -\left( \square + m^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2 N_f} \phi^2(x) \right) \delta(x-y),
\]
\[
iG_{0,\sigma}^{-1}(x,y;\phi) = -\left( \square + m^2 + \frac{\lambda}{6 N_f} \phi^2(x) \right) \delta(x-y),
\]
\[
iG_{\sigma}^{-1}(\phi) = \left( i \partial_\phi \gamma^\mu - \frac{g}{2} \phi(x) \right) \delta(x-y).
\]
The real-time quantum evolution equations for \( \phi, G \) and \( G_\phi \) are obtained from (62) by
\[
\frac{\delta \Gamma[\phi, G, G_\phi]}{\delta \phi(x)} = 0, \quad \frac{\delta \Gamma[\phi, G, G_\phi]}{\delta G(x,y)} = 0, \quad \frac{\delta \Gamma[\phi, G, G_\phi]}{\delta G_\phi(x,y)} = 0,
\]
which are solved numerically by discretizing the equations on a sphere in spatial momentum space using standard techniques. In particular, this description requires no Wilson term to remove fermion doublets inherent in the above lattice approach.

Our approximation for \( \Gamma_2 \) is depicted graphically in Figs. 4 and 5. The employed \( 1/N \) expansion to NLO in the bosonic sector corresponds to summing an infinite series of diagrams, while the fermion corrections are taken into account at two-loop order. We will call this approximation 'NLO 2PI' in the following. For the comparison, we employ weak couplings \( \lambda \ll 1 \) and \( g \ll 1 \). The \( 1/N \) expansion can describe even non-perturbatively large occupancies of order \( 1/N \), which will be relevant for the dynamics in the bosonic sector, whereas the occupancies in the fermion sector are strictly limited by the Fermi statistics. Of course, the loop expansion of \( \Gamma_2 \) in the fermion sector is not expected to be valid for strong couplings. As a consequence, the quantum results can be used to validate the lattice approach for weak coupling only.

The comparison of quantum and classical-statistical lattice results has been performed in great detail for purely bosonic theories in the past [12, 23]. Here we concentrate on the fermion sector extending our earlier results [1]. We decompose the propagators into their respective statistical and spectral components as \[ 10 \]
\[
G_{\sigma/ff}(x,y) = F_{\sigma/ff}(x,y) - i \frac{\lambda}{2} \rho_{\sigma/ff}(x,y) \text{sgn}(x^0 - y^0), \quad (65)
\]
\[
G_{\sigma}(x,y) = F_{\sigma}(x,y) - i \frac{\lambda}{2} \rho_{\sigma}(x,y) \text{sgn}(x^0 - y^0). \quad (66)
\]
The statistical two-point functions \( F_{\sigma/ff}(x,y) \) and \( F_{\sigma}(x,y) \) as well as the corresponding spectral functions are the ones defined in section \[ 11 \]. In particular, we employ the same definitions for extracting the time evolution of particle numbers.

In Fig. 6 we plot the fermion number \( n_\phi(t, |p|) \) as a function of time for three different momentum modes \( |p| = 0.25 \sigma_0, 0.5 \sigma_0 \) and \( \sigma_0 \). Since we expect our 2PI effective action approach for the quantum evolution to break down at strong coupling, we give the results for different values of the effective coupling \( \xi = g^2/\lambda \) and compare them to the respective lattice simulation results. One observes from Fig. 6 that for \( \xi = 0.1 \) the agreement between quantum and lattice approach is almost perfect. It worsens with increasing coupling as expected, but even at \( \xi = 1.0 \) infrared modes seem to be quiet accurately reproduced. However, at \( \xi = 2 \) the coupling expansion seems to finally break down.

In Figs. 7 and 8 we present the full spectrum at fixed time \( t_0 \) for two different couplings. We observe a rather good agreement between both methods, reproducing characteristic features in the shape of the distribution. However, there is a clear discrepancy in the high-momentum part of the spectrum building up for the larger \( \xi = 0.25 \). In general, we find good agreement between both methods at sufficiently small \( \xi \) as expected while the agreement worsens for larger \( \xi \). The level of agreement for small \( \xi \) is also remarkable since the comparison involves two very different procedures: The results from the quantum 2PI effective action approach are obtained from a single run of the time evolution equations for correlation functions, while the lattice results are computed from a statistical average of many different runs of the corresponding lattice evolution equations. Already because of the Wilson term for the lattice description, it is rather difficult to get precisely the same initial conditions realized in both cases.

These results confirm that possible discretization and statistical errors on the lattice are under control. On the other hand, they show that for not too strong coupling a loop approximation beyond lowest order is sufficient to describe fermion production accurately. This has to be confronted with standard semi-classical (LO) descriptions of fermion production, which employ the solution of a Dirac equation in the presence of a time-dependent but spatially homogeneous background.
field neglecting fluctuations [26]. In this case, the evolution equation for the fermion statistical two-point function reads

\[ i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - \frac{g}{2} \phi(t) \] \( F_\phi(x,y) = 0 \).

(67)

In contrast, our employed NLO 2PI approximation includes one-loop self-energy corrections to this equation. From the point of view of the lattice approach, a crucial difference concerns the spatial dependence of the fluctuating fields appear-

Figure 6. Time evolution of fermion occupation numbers for three different momenta at effective coupling strengths in the range \( \xi = 0.1 - 2.0 \).

Figure 7. Comparison between fermion spectra at \( \xi = 0.1 \).

Figure 8. Comparison between fermion spectra at \( \xi = 0.25 \).
ing in (23). Sampling with respect to these fluctuations leads to the generation of loop corrections for ensemble averages, which are missing in (67). In the next section we will present numerical evidence that a semi-classical approximation fails to describe the dynamics and may only be applied for very short times of the initial evolution.

V. FERMION PRODUCTION FROM PARAMETRIC RESONANCE

The initial conditions described in section II lead to the well-known phenomenon of parametric resonance in the scalar sector which we summarize \[13, 27, 28\]. Small initial quantum fluctuations grow exponentially in time. At early times this growth occurs in a compact momentum range with \(|\vec{p}|^2 \leq \sigma_0^2/2\). As time proceeds, the exponentially growing modes induce non-linear behavior and secondary instabilities for higher momentum modes with even faster growth rates occur. As a consequence, there is a fast rise in the occupation numbers \(n_\pi(t,|\vec{p}|)\) for a broad momentum range. Figs. 9 and 10 show the behavior of the field \(\phi(t)\) and the occupancies of transverse modes \(n_\pi(t,|\vec{p}|)\), respectively. The rapidly oscillating field decreases its amplitude with time, while the occupancies grow. After the fast initial growth period of occupation numbers, the time evolution of the now highly occupied scalar field modes slows down considerably, and can be described in terms of turbulent flows of energy and particle number \[29–32\]. The subsequent evolution becomes self-similar and the corresponding power-law behavior with \(n_\pi(|\vec{p}|) \sim 1/|\vec{p}|^4\) is clearly visible from Fig. 10.

In the following we will analyse the behavior of the fermions, which is the main topic of our work. To this end, it is useful to compare our lattice simulation results with standard semi-classical approximations based on equation (67).

For this comparison we distinguish the weak-coupling regime, where \(\xi = q^2/\lambda \ll 1\), and the strongly coupled case with \(\xi\) of order one. Fig. 11 shows the fermion occupation number distribution for \(\xi = 0.1\) at the time \(t\sigma_0 = 250\) after the initial instability has ceased. The lattice simulation results (circles) show a low-momentum range for \(|\vec{p}| \leq \sigma_0\), where the distribution is rather flat. For higher momenta one observes a power-law behavior whose exponent agrees well with the scaling exponent found for the bosonic occupancies as shown in Fig. 10. While bosons can support a \(1/|\vec{p}|^4\) dependence also at low momenta, of course the fermion number distribution has to level off in the infrared because of the Pauli exclusion principle \[4, 11\]. For this weak-coupling case we observe corresponding results also in the quantum theory based on the 2PI effective action at NLO, in accordance with the discussion of Sec. IV. However, the lattice results including fluctuations clearly show significant differences to the semi-classical approximation results (squares), which neglect all fluctuations. In fact, the observed differences are so pronounced only after the bosonic fields become highly populated. This enhancement of fluctuations, which is missing in standard semi-classical approximations, will be discussed in detail below.

A similar snapshot of occupation number spectra for the strongly coupled case (\(\xi = 1\)), shown in Fig. 12, reveals a rather different picture. Here, the lattice simulation results exhibit a distribution without any powerlaw behavior. Remarkably, the distribution can be nicely fitted to a Fermi-Dirac distribution with time-dependent temperature and chemical potential parameters. At the time \(t\sigma_0 = 250\) employed for Fig. 12 they are \(T/\sigma_0 = 1.15\) and \(\mu/\sigma_0 = 0.13\). The similarity to the Fermi-Dirac distribution is non-trivial at this stage, since the bosons are still far from equilibrium showing the characteristic \(\sim 1/|\vec{p}|^4\) behavior in the infrared. The Fermi-Dirac distribution also requires the specification of a dispersion relation or \(\omega_p\), and we approximate it here by the free dispersion relation for massless fermions with a pseudoscalar Wilson term as discussed in \[53\]. It should be emphasized that the total charge in our simulations is zero such that the number of particles and antiparticles are equal. As a consequence, the chemical potential vanishes for true thermal equilibrium. Here we find that \(\mu(t)\) is oscillating, which is not surprising in view of the oscillating Yukawa fermion mass term at this
stage, and its absolute value turns out to be much smaller than the temperature for all considered times. The time-dependence of the fitted temperature parameter is shown in Fig. 13. It is seen to approximately rise linearly in time, after performing an average over periods of $\Delta t = 5/\sigma_0$ in order to smooth oscillations due to $\phi(t)$. To get a simple estimate of how much this time-dependent temperature parameter deviates from the value of the equilibrium temperature, we compute the temperature of a corresponding gas of non-interacting massless bosons and fermions having a continuum dispersion relation:

$$T_{eq} = \sigma_0 \left( \frac{45N_s}{\pi^2 (N_s + \frac{1}{2}N_f) \lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx 2.02\sigma_0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (68)

Here we used the initial energy density, which for parametric resonance is given in terms $\phi(t = 0)$ and the self-coupling $\lambda$ (here $\lambda = 0.1$). This estimate indicates that the observed time-dependent temperature parameter is still far away from the asymptotic equilibrium value. The observed linear rise of the temperature parameter would lead to the above estimate for the equilibrium temperature after a time $t_{eq} \approx 10^3/\sigma_0$.

To study in more detail the similarities and deviations from a Fermi-Dirac distribution, it is instructive to consider the 'inverse slope parameter' $\ln \left( n_{\psi}^{-1} - 1 \right)$. Fig. 14 shows this quantity for $\xi = 1$ as a function of $\omega_p$ at four different times. For a thermal equilibrium distribution it would be a time-independent straight line. For a vanishing chemical potential in thermal equilibrium this line would go through the origin. From the figure one observes that rather quickly an approximately stable inverse slope is established for lower momenta around $|p| \lesssim 1.5\omega_p/\sigma_0$. This is due to the fact that already at early times many low-momentum bosonic quanta are occupied, making it possible for fermions to scatter off them and redistribute momentum and energy. In contrast, there are almost no high-momentum bosons present at early times, preventing a more efficient transfer of energy and particles to the UV. Around $t\sigma_0 = 250$ one observes again the high level of agreement with a Fermi-Dirac distribution with fitted temperature and chemical potential parameter at that time.

**VI. UNDERSTANDING AMPLIFIED FERMION PRODUCTION FOR LARGE BOSONIC OCCUPANCIES**

The above lattice simulation results for fermion production from parametric resonance revealed a dramatic difference compared to standard semi-classical estimates. The latter includes for instance fermion decay from a homogeneous background field, but neglects fluctuations or scattering effects. Scattering processes can become strongly enhanced if the participating modes are highly occupied. Correspondingly, the observed differences between lattice simulations and semi-classical treatment are pronounced once the bosons become...
results agree rather well, after the end of the parameteric approximation of the 2PI effective fermion dynamics. 
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the figure we also give an analytic estimate for the production rate from kinetic theory (dotted line), which is shifted to account for the initial semi-classical fermion production until $t \tau_0 = 250$.

strongly occupied.

In the following we analyse the impact of scattering processes on the dynamics in more detail. To this end, we consider the NLO approximation for the quantum 2PI effective action of Sec. IV in the weak-coupling regime with $\xi = 0.1$. As discussed above, in this regime the NLO 2PI approximation is found to accurately reproduce the full lattice simulation result. In particular, we will use this approximation to derive kinetic equations that explain the relevant underlying processes. The power counting will be based on an expansion in the coupling $g$ in the presence of large occupancies with parametric dependence $n_{\sigma,\pi}(t, |p|) \sim 1/\lambda$ for $\lambda \ll 1$ and $g^2/\lambda \ll 1$. We also emphasize that the observation of an amplified fermion production in the presence of large bosonic occupancies is not specific to the phenomenon of parametric resonance, though we will continue to consider this example. For the main points of the following analysis one could equally well consider other nonequilibrium instabilities leading to – or even directly starting from – large occupancies.

Counting powers of the coupling $g$, direct scattering appears at order $g^2$ according to Sec. IV whereas the semiclassical approximation based on equation (67) is restricted to processes at order $g$. Fig. 15 compares the total number of produced fermions at order $g^2$ (NLO 2PI, solid line) to results from the semi-classical approximation (dashed line). In the figure we also give an analytic estimate for the production rate from kinetic theory (dotted line), which will be explained below. While for very short times the order $g$ and $g^2$ results agree rather well, after the end of the parametric resonance regime, i.e. when the occupancies become large, the order $g^2$ corrections are seen to dominate the fermion production by far. Apparently, highly occupied bosons act as a very efficient amplifier for genuine quantum corrections to the fermion dynamics.

This phenomenon can be understood from the NLO approximation of the 2PI effective action described in Sec. IV.

In order to make analytic progress, we consider in addition a standard gradient expansion to lowest order in derivatives following Ref. [33]. It employs for two-point functions, such as $F_{\phi}(x, y)$ given by (10) and $F_{\phi}(x, y)$ defined in (9), the introduction of relative coordinates $x-y$ and center coordinates $(x+y)/2$. For the considered spatially homogeneous systems, a Fourier transformation with respect to the relative coordinates leads to $F_{\sigma,\pi}(t, k)$ and $F_{\phi}(t, k)$ with four-momentum $k$ and the time coordinate $t \equiv (x^0 + y^0)/2$. The general form of the equation for the boson two-point function to lowest order in derivatives with respect to the center coordinate is

$$2k^2 \partial_t F_{\sigma,\pi}(t, k) = \Sigma_\rho(t, k) F_{\sigma,\pi}(t, k) - \Sigma_\phi(t, k) \rho_{\sigma,\pi}(t, k),$$

where the ‘collision term’ on the right hand side encodes the ‘gain’ minus ‘loss’ structure in terms of the statistical ($\Sigma_\phi$) and spectral ($\Sigma_\rho$) parts of the self-energy. At order $g^2$ the spectral and statistical components of the self-energy displayed on the right of Fig. 14 read

$$\Sigma_\phi(t, k) = \frac{g^2}{2} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} Tr[F_{\phi}(t, k + p) F_{\phi}(t, p)$$

$$- \frac{1}{4} \rho_{\phi}(t, k + p) \rho_{\phi}(t, p)],$$

where

$$\Sigma_\rho(t, k) = \frac{g^2}{2} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} Tr[F_{\phi}(t, k + p) \rho_{\phi}(t, p)$$

$$- \rho_{\phi}(t, k + p) F_{\phi}(t, p)] .$$

The physical content of these expressions can be further clarified by setting the fermion spectral function $\rho_{\phi}(t, k)$ on shell and introducing occupation numbers $n_{\sigma}(t, k)$ and $n_{\phi}(t, k)$ for
bosons and fermions, respectively, with
\[ F_{\sigma\pi}(t, k) = \left[ \frac{1}{2} + n_{\psi}(t, k) \right] \rho_{\sigma\pi}(t, k), \]
\[ F_{\phi}(t, k) = \left[ \frac{1}{2} - n_{\psi}(t, k) \right] \rho_{\phi}(t, k). \] (72)
The (anti-)symmetry of the (spectral) statistical correlation functions translate into
\[ n_{\phi}(t, -k) = -\left[ n_{\phi}(t, k) + 1 \right], \quad n_{\phi}(t, k) = -\left[ n_{\phi}(t, k) - 1 \right]. \] (73)
The time-independent on-shell spectral functions are given by
\[ \rho_{\sigma\pi}(k) = 2\pi\sigma(\kappa^3)\delta(\kappa^2 - m^2), \quad (74) \]
\[ \rho_{\phi}(k) = 2\pi\rho_0\delta(\kappa^2), \quad (75) \]
for the considered case of massless fermions. After performing traces in spinor and flavour space as well as some of the integrals and projecting onto positive frequencies we arrive at
\[ \partial_t n_{\phi}(t, k) = \pi g^2 \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3q \delta(k - p - q) \delta(\omega_k - |p| - |q|) \]
\[ \frac{1}{\omega_k} \left[ 1 \right. \left. - \frac{pq}{|p||q|} \right] \left[ (n_{\phi}(t, k) + 1) n_{\phi}(t, p) n_{\phi}(t, q) - n_{\phi}(t, k) (n_{\phi}(t, p) - 1) (n_{\phi}(t, q) - 1) \right]. \] (76)
Here \( \omega_k = \sqrt{k^2 + m^2} \) is the free bosonic dispersion relation. Along the same lines one can obtain the corresponding kinetic equation for the fermion occupation number,
\[ \partial_t n_{\phi}(t, k) = \pi g^2 \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3q \delta(k + p - q) \delta(|k| + |p| - \omega_q) \]
\[ \frac{1}{\omega_q} \left[ 1 \right. \left. - \frac{kp}{|k||p|} \right] \left[ (n_{\phi}(t, k) - 1) (n_{\phi}(t, p) - 1) n_{\phi}(t, q) - n_{\phi}(t, k) (n_{\phi}(t, p) - 1) (n_{\phi}(t, q) + 1) \right]. \] (77)
From these expressions we observe that
\[ \partial_t \left( N_{\phi}(t) + N_{\phi}(t) \right) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \partial_t \left( n_{\phi}(t, k) + n_{\phi}(t, k) \right) = 0 \] (78)
reflecting total number conservation of bosons and fermions, \( N_{\phi} + N_{\phi} \), in this approximation. Total number changing processes would enter the kinetic description at higher order in \( g \). Though they are crucial for the approach to thermal equilibrium at late times \[ [4][3][4], these processes turn out not to be important for the time of enhanced fermion production in the weak-coupling regime.

It is instructive to consider \( [76] \) for \( n_{\phi} = 0 \), which is approximately realized at sufficiently early times. The equation can then be written as
\[ \partial_t n_{\phi}(t, k) = -\Gamma_{\phi-\phi}(k) n_{\phi}(t, k) \] (79)
with
\[ \Gamma_{\phi-\phi}(k) = \pi g^2 \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3q \delta(k - p - q) \]
\[ \delta(\omega_k - |p| - |q|) \frac{1}{\omega_k} \left[ 1 - \frac{pq}{|p||q|} \right]. \] (80)

For \( k = 0 \) one obtains the standard vacuum decay rate for the production of massless fermions with momenta \( \pm m/2 \), i.e. \( \Gamma_{\phi-\phi}(0) = g^2 m / (8\pi) \). Taking the number conservation \( [78] \) into account, we can write for the change in the total fermion number
\[ \partial_t N_{\phi}(t) \approx \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \Gamma_{\phi-\phi}(k) n_{\phi}(t, k). \] (81)

To get a parametric estimate for the right hand side, we may approximate \( n_{\phi}(t, k) \approx \Theta(|k| - \sigma_0) / \lambda \) around the time after the parametric resonance regime ends. The crucial ingredient is here the enhancement by a factor of \( 1 / \lambda \), which for the considered weak-coupling case encodes the amplification of \( \partial_t N_{\phi} \) from being order \( g^2 \) to order \( g^2 / \lambda \equiv \xi \) for parametrically large Bose occupancies. As a consequence, one expects an approximately linear rise in the total fermion number with slope proportional to \( \xi \) as shown in Fig. \([15]\) for \( \xi = 0.01 \). Because of the non-zero fermion occupation numbers building up with time, this linear rise is diminished by the Pauli suppression due to the presence of already produced fermions. We can also use \( [76] \) to estimate the magnitude of the backreaction of fermions onto the bosonic sector. To this end, we compare the bosonic ‘gain term’ \( \sim n_{\phi}(t, p)n_{\phi}(t, q) \) to the ‘loss term’ \( \sim n_{\phi}(t, k)(1 - n_{\phi}(t, q) - n_{\phi}(t, k) \). The latter is enhanced by the macroscopic occupation of scalars while the former is strictly \( \leq 1 \) and we find it to be even \( \leq 1/4 \) at later times during our simulations. The minor role of fermionic backreaction in the weak-coupling regime agrees well with our findings from the full simulation data.

The above kinetic description provides a detailed understanding of the weak-coupling case with \( \xi \ll 1 \). It is expected to fail to describe the physics for strong couplings, where higher order processes are no longer suppressed. This is also what we find by comparing it to the nonperturbative lattice simulation results in accordance with the discussion of Sec. \( 2PI \). It is a characteristic property of the above kinetic description that typical fermion and boson momenta are similar. In Fig. \([16]\) on the left we show the occupancies of bosons and produced fermions for \( \xi = 0.1 \), where the highest occupied momenta agree rather well. The right graph shows the same quantities for \( \xi = 1 \), where one observes the tendency to occupy higher maximum momenta for fermions than for bosons. Even though the fermion occupancy per mode is limited by the exclusion principle, they quickly populate higher momentum modes in the nonperturbative regime. This contribution becomes essential for strong enough coupling since the high-momentum part carries most of the energy.

**VII. CONCLUSIONS**

In this work we have studied nonequilibrium production of fermions from parametric resonance in \( 3 + 1 \) dimensions for a generic linear sigma model. As our main result, we confirmed the dramatic amplification of fermion production in the presence of highly occupied bosons that was first pointed out in Ref. \([1]\) and extended the results to the strong coupling regime.
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