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Abstract

Background. Medical imaging is an important approach for the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), a common life threaten disease, however, the diagnostic efficiency is still not optimal. Developing a novel method to improve diagnosis is necessary. The aim of this project was to formulate a material that can bind to GPC3 of HCC for targeted enhanced ultrasound.
Methods. A material of sulfur hexafluoride (SF$_6$) filled liposome microbubbles and conjugated with synthesized peptide (LSPMbs) was prepared and assessed in vitro and vivo. Liposome microbubbles were made of DPPC, DPPG, DSPE-PEG2000, and SF$_6$, using thin film method to form shell, followed filling SF$_6$, and conjugating peptide. A carbodiimide method was used for covalent conjugation of peptide to LSMbs.

Results. The prepared LSPMbs appeared round shaped, with size of 380.9±176.5 nm, and Zeta potential of -51.4±10.4mV. LSPMbs showed high affinity to Huh-7 cells in vitro, presented good enhanced ultrasound effects, did not show cytotoxicity, and did not exhibit targeted fluorescence and enhanced ultrasound in animal xenograft tumors.

Conclusion. Extravascular contrast-enhanced ultrasound targeted GPC3 on HCC may not be realized, and the reason may be that targeted contrast agents of microbubbles are hard to access and accumulate in the tumor stroma and matrix.
Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is a common primary malignant neoplasm derived from hepatocytes, especially in some Asia-Pacific regions, where the underline diseases of hepatitis B virus infection and relevant diseases are in high prevalence [1]. At present, the diagnosis of small and atypical HCC is still challenging [2]. Healthcare professionals and scientists have been searching new methods to improve diagnosis efficiency. Targeted imaging has been a heat topic and interest of researchers in recent decades, and is expected to be an ideal non-invasive imaging method [3-5]. Although the lack of a basement membrane and smooth muscle and the expansion of the intercellular space in cancer vasculature result in a maximum pore size of approximately 380-780 nm, which exhibits leaky and/or defective blood vessels, microbubbles (Mbs) with diameter more than 1000 nm cannot migrate from the tumor vasculature to the cellular target site to exert the desired diagnostic effect [3]. Therefore, the development of nanoscale targeted ultrasound contrast agents (UCAs), which may permeate through the tumor vasculature gap and bind to tumor cells, with extravascular imaging function, is required. On HCC cellular membrane, there is a high expression of Glypican-3 (GPC3) protein, which can be used for a target for molecular imaging [4, 5]. However, because of the antigenicity and larger size of GPC3, if it is used for the ligand of a targeted material, the material may not produce desired effect in the vivo. On this condition, if a small size peptide without antigenicity but possessing similar targeting ability, it may be used for the fabrication of a targeted contrast material. We hypothesized that a new material may be fabricated, with function of targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEU) imaging. Based on previous studies, we established a sort of liposome microbubbles and conjugated with a synthesized peptide targeting GPC3 of the HCC, with liposome as shell and sulfur hexafluoride gas (SF₆) as the core [4, 5].

Materials & Methods

Ethics statement

All the experimental procedures in this study were in compliance with the National Institutes of Health guidelines and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Hainan Medical University (2018-02-27).
Preparation of Liposomes and Liposome Microbubbles

Sulfur hexafluoride gas (SF₆) filled liposome microbubbles and conjugated with synthesized peptide (LSPMbs), SF₆ filled liposome microbubbles and conjugated with GPC3 antibody (LSGMbs), SF₆ filled liposome microbubbles and conjugated with synthesized peptide, and liposomes (LS) were prepared and assessed in the public scientific research center of Hainan medical university. To develop a GPC3 targeted liposome microbubbles, materials and formula were chosen and optimized, and the optimum formula was selected through orthogonal design test according to the enhanced ultrasound effect. 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPPC), 1,2-Dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-sn-1-glycerol (DPPG), 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[carboxy(polyethylene glycol)-2000] (DSPE-PEG2000), GPC3, artificial synthesized peptide of DHLASLWWGTEL and SF₆ were adopted and fabricated using following protocols. 12 mg DPPC was dissolved in 3.0 mL chloroform, followed adding 1.5 mL methanol and 0.5 mL deionized water to form a mixture, next dissolved 23 mg DPPG and 11mg DSPE-PEG2000 in the mixture. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and vacuum using a Vacuum Rotary Evaporator (Xian Depai17 Biotechnology Co., Ltd. Xian, China), and the mixture formed a thin film on the wall of the container. 20 mL deionized water was added to the container to harvest and suspend the lipid mixture, next it was transferred to a tube to undergo magnetic stirring at 65°C for 20 min, followed by probe-sonication (Vibracell™, VCX130PB/VCX130 Sonics, Sonics and Materials, Inc., Newtown, USA) with a frequency of 40 kHz under 35°C for 5 min. A mechanical blender (Ultra-turrax T25, Janke & Kunkel, IKA-Labortechnik, Staufen, Germany) was used for liposome microbubbles
preparation. The above processed lipid dispersion was put into a 50 mL Falcon tube, the air above the aqueous dispersion in the tube was replaced with SF$_6$ gas, and the tube was sealed with parafilm. The temperature of lipid dispersion was heated to 30°C, the homogenizer was operated to create high shear mixing (15000 rpm, 5 min) to form microbubbles. The mixture was centrifuged at 12000 rpm at 4°C for 5 min, washed with deionized water, three times. 15% (w/v) sucrose solution was added to the mixture in a 5:1 volume ratio (mixture: sucrose), small glass vial of 4 mL volume was used for the loading, each with 2 mL mixture. The air in the vials was replaced with SF$_6$ gas before lyophilized in a -85°C lyophilizer (SP Scientific, VirTis, USA). After 24 h completely freeze-drying, vials were refilled with SF$_6$ gas and sealed, stored at 4°C. Pure liposomes (LS) were prepared using the above protocols without filling SF$_6$ gas.

**Preparation of GPC3 Targeted Liposome Microbubbles**

Firstly, after liposome microbubbles (LSMbs) were prepared using the protocols above, but did not add sucrose solution and lyophilize. Next, a carbodiimide method was used for covalent conjugation of the synthesized peptide to the free carboxyl groups on the surface of LSMbs. The prepared LSMbs were resuspended in MES buffer (0.1 mol/L, pH 6.0), and an adequate amount of EDC/NHS [1-ethyl-3(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC): N-hydroxy succinimide (NHS) = 1:4, Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co., Inc, USA] were added into the suspension. The mixture suspension was oscillated and incubated for 2 h at room temperature (25°C). The remaining EDC/NHS was removed by three-time centrifugation at 12000 rpm using MES (pH 6.0), 5 min each time. The precipitate was dispersed into MES buffer (0.1 mol/L, pH 8.0), and an adequate amount of synthesized peptide was added and incubated with stirring for 2 h at room
temperature. The peptide was compounded by GL Biochem (Shanghai) Ltd. (Shanghai, China) in accordance to a 12-mer peptide with the sequence of DHLASLWWGTYL reported from previous study that it can target GPC3 of HepG2 [4] [DOI: 10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.6b00030]. The mixture was centrifuged at 12000 rpm at 4°C for 5 min, washed by deionized water, three times. Next, 15% (w/v) sucrose solution was added to the mixture in a 5:1 volume ratio (mixture: sucrose), small glass vial of 4 mL volume was used for the loading, each with 2 mL mixture. The air in the vials was replaced with SF₆ gas before lyophilized in a -85°C lyophilizer (SP Scientific, VirTis, USA). After 24 h completely freeze-drying, vials were refilled with SF₆ gas and sealed, stored at 4°C. Liposome microbubbles (LSMbs), and GPC3 antibody (BM1846; Wuhan Boster Biological Technology, Ltd., Wuhan, China) conjugated liposome microbubbles (LSGMbs) were prepared in the similar protocol above.

**Characterization of LSPMbs**

**Transmission Electron Microscopy Evaluation**

The LSPMbs were observed using transmission electron microscope (TEM) for particle size and shape assessment. LSPMbs were suspended with deionized water (1:50), and one drop of the suspension was dropped onto a carbon-coated copper grid. After drying and adhesion in 25°C, samples were negatively stained by sodium phosphotungstate solution (2%, w/w) and analyzed with a 120-kV TEM (TEM; JEM 2100, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). Suspensions of samples with different concentration were dropped on coverslips and observed under light microscopy.

**LSPMbs Size and Zeta Potential Measurements**
LSPMbs size and Zeta potential of each sample was measured using a Zetasizer Nano S90 (Malvern Instruments Ltd., Malvern, UK) by Laser Doppler Anemometry (LDA) using electrophoretic light scattering at 25°C. An adequate amount of LSPMbs was suspended and diluted to enable the microbubbles concentration was maintained to ensure that multiple scattering and microbubble–microbubble interactions were negligible. The microbubble size and zeta potential of each sample were measured three times, and the mean value was taken as the final microbubble size and zeta potential. LSGMbs were assessed in the same methods.

**Assessment of Biocompatibility of LSPMbs**

**MTT Assay for Cytotoxicity**

RAW 264.7 cells [Cell bank of the Chinese academy of sciences (Shanghai, China)] in exponential phase of growth were taken, transferred 200 μL to each well of a 96-well plate, and adjust the cell density to 5000/well. The cells were cultured with DMEM (Wuhan Boster Biological Technology, Ltd., Wuhan, China), 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, Australia), and 1% penicillin-streptomycin at 37 °C in 5%CO₂ atmosphere for 24 h, when the well were fully covered, added different concentration gradients LSPMbs (2mg/mL, 5mg/mL, 10mg/mL, 15mg/mL, and 20mg/mL) prepared using with DMEM and 5% FBS to different wells, 200 μL per well, then continuing incubated for 24h. LSPMbs were burst using ultrasound at the experiment. Next, 10 μL 0.5% 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) solution was added to each well, and continuing incubated for 24h, 48h and 72h in the dark place, respectively. The incubation was ended at 24h, 48h and 72h, respectively, and
the solution in the wells were carefully absorbed out and discarded. Next, 200\(\mu\)L dimethyl sulfoxide was added to each well, and oscillated at a frequency of 20 times per minute for 10 min to fully dissolve the crystal. Optical density (OD) is measured at the 570 nm wavelength by using a spectrophotometric microplate reader (Bio-Tek ELX-800, Winooski, VT, USA).

Controls were established and processed using the similar protocols.

**Evaluation of Enhanced Ultrasound Imaging of LSPMbs in Vitro**

To assess the contrast-enhanced effect of LSPMbs, LSPMbs were suspended with deionized water and diluted into various concentrations (1.6, 0.8, and 0.4 mg/mL) and placed in different plastic tubes for ultrasound evaluation. LSPMbs suspension filled tubes were fixed in a water container, and their CEU effect was assessed using GE Logiq E9 ultrasound system (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA), using a ML6-15-D linear transducer with a frequency of 4-15 MHz. During the ultrasound performance, the frequency of the transducer was set to 12 MHz, the depth and focus were adjusted to optimize imaging, and the model was shifted to contrast imaging, using the default parameter (MI 0.1). Before the ultrasound scanning, the tubes were agitated slightly. Controls of commercial ultrasound contrast agent SonoVue (Shanghai Bracco Sine Pharmaceutical Corp. Ltd., Shanghai, China), degas deionized water, and air were established, and these were assessed using the same protocols above.

Enhancement ultrasound imaging effects of LSPMbs, LSGMbs, SonoVue, LS, degas deionized water, and air were determined using Photoshop software (Adobe Photoshop CS3, Adobe Systems Inc, CA, USA). To analyze ultrasound images, observers opened the image in
Photoshop, activated menus of “Window, Information, and Histogram” consecutively, selected “rectangle, and statistics display ” tools, set the same region of interest to the tube, parameters yielded automatically, measured three times in each image, and adopted the mean value of scales (arbitrary units) as the result of a single image.

**Assessment of Affinity of LSPMbs to the Liver Cancer Cells**

Fluorescence experiment was used for the assessment of affinity of LSPMbs to the liver cancer cells. A tiny amount of 1,1’-dioctadecyl-3,3,3’,3’-tetramethylindocarbocyanine perchlorate (DiI) (Yeasen Biotech Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) was added into 5mL of 16 mg LSPMbs suspension to form DiI labelled LSPMbs suspension. Huh-7 cells [Cell bank of the Chinese academy of sciences (Shanghai, China)] were seeded in a six-well plate with one glass slip placed in each well at a concentration of $3 \times 10^4$ cells/well. Next day, the Huh-7 cells were fixed by 90% cold ethanol for 20 min and blocked by 10% bovine serum albumin (BSA) at 37 °C for 1 h and subsequently incubated with 4 drops of DiI labelled LSPMbs suspension for 3 h in dark place. Next, the wells and slips were washed with PBS three times, and the cells on the slips were mounted with 4’, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Wuhan Boster Biological Technology, Ltd., China) for nuclei visualization and detected using a laser confocal microscope (Fluoview FV 10001000, Olympus, Japan). Images of bright, DAPI staining, DiI staining, and merged were obtained. LSGMbs were assessed in the same protocols for control. To confirm the specificity of binding of DiI labelled LSPMbs to GPC3 in the Huh-7 cells, 0.1mL synthesized peptide
A concentration of (10 µg/mL) was applied before adding DiI labelled LSPMbs as a blocking control, and the other protocols were the same as the above.

**Assessment of LSPMbs in Vivo**

All animal experimental procedures were approved by the Our University Association for Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care. Animals of female BALB/C mice were used for the evaluation of targeting ability and contrast-enhanced effect. Twenty Huh-7 cell xenograft tumor models of female BALB/C mice were established, and the Huh-7 cell line was acquired from the cell bank of the Chinese academy of sciences (Shanghai, China). All animals except four mice were sacrificed by euthanasia using isoflurane after fluorescent imaging were sacrificed using carbon dioxide in a closed box at the end of the animal experiments. The criteria of animal death are that the mice were in collapse state, losing muscular tension, no breath, no heart beating, and the skin color became gray.

**Enhanced Ultrasound Imaging Assessment of LSPMbs**

Fifteen of the mice were used for the targeted CEU experiments in five groups, with each group of three mice. The CEU effect was assessed using GE Logiq E9 ultrasound system (as has addressed in the previous section). During the ultrasound scanning, the frequency of the transducer was set to 12 MHz, the depth and focus were adjusted to optimize imaging, and the model was shifted to contrast imaging, using the default parameter (MI 0.1). The shape of the xenograft tumors was ovoid, and the longitudinal diameter of the tumors of 24 mice was 10.4±0.53 mm in the fifth week after cells seeded. Control experiments were conducted in four
groups using LSGMbs, LSPMbs (GPC3 antibody or synthesized peptide blocked previously),
SonoVue (a commercial ultrasound contrast-enhanced agent), and LS, respectively. LSPMbs
suspension was prepared using 14mg LSPMbs and 4 mL 0.9% sodium chloride solution, agitated
slightly before injection. The animals with Huh-7 xenograft tumor in five groups were
intravenously injected suspension of LSPMbs, LSGMbs, LSPMbs (injected 0.1mL synthesized
peptide or 0.1mL dilated GPC3 antibody for blocking in 3 minutes ahead), SonoVue (15mg
SonoVue in 4mL 0.9% sodium chloride solution), and LS (14mg LS and 4 mL 0.9% sodium
chloride solution, agitated slightly before injection), respectively, each with the volume of
0.2mL. The contrast imaging time was counted since the bolus intravenous injection of 0.2mL
LSPMbs suspension via the mouse tail vein. The images were saved in the ultrasound system and
exported for study late.

Enhancement ultrasound imaging effects of LSPMbs, LSGMbs after synthesized peptide or
GPC3 antibody blocking, SonoVue, LS were determined using Photoshop software, and the
methods had been addressed in the previous section.

Fluorescent Imaging Assessment of LSPMbs

Ten mice with xenograft tumors of Huh-7 cells allotted to two groups of five each were used to
conduct Cy 5.5 fluorescence experiment to test the specificity and affinity of the peptide in
LSPMbs to GPC3 of the liver cancer. An IVIS Lumina image system (Xenogen) (IVIS® Lumina
XR) (Caliper life sciences) was used for the evaluation. During the fluorescence imaging, mice
were under gas anesthesia with oxygen and isoflurane (Jinan Shengqi pharmaceutical Co, Ltd.,
Jinan, China). 0.2mL Cy5.5 labelled LSPMbs suspension was intravenously injected into six mice with Huh-7 xenograft tumors via the tail veins, images were acquired at one minute, six hours, and 24 hours. Cy5.5 labelled LSPMbs suspension was prepared using 14mg Cy5.5 labelled LSPMbs and 4 mL 0.9% sodium chloride solution, agitated slightly before injection. The control experiments were conducted in five mice with Huh-7 xenograft tumors, with the same methods after injection of 0.1mL synthesized peptide (10µg/mL) for blocking in three minutes. Four mice were sacrificed by euthanasia using 3mL isoflurane in a closed small box. The tumor, liver, heart, lung, kidney, and spleen of the mice were isolated for fluorescent imaging assessment at 24 hours.

**Statistical analysis**

Quantitative data are presented as mean ± SD (standard deviation), and qualitative data are presented as percentile. Statistical significance of differences between groups of quantitative variables were analyzed using paired-sample t tests or univariate analysis of variance, and qualitative variables were analyzed using Chi-square test. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (Version 20; IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). P < 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

**Characterization of LSPMbs**

The LSPMbs appeared round shaped on a sectional view, with different size, without aggregation, identified by transmission electron microscopy (Figure 1).
Size and Zeta potential of LSPMbs were 380.9±176.5 nm and -51.4±10.4mV, respectively. The determination results showed “Good” (Figures 2 and 3).

Assessment of Biocompatibility of LSPMbs

MTT Assay for Cytotoxicity

The cell index had no significant differences among different LSPMbs concentrations at different time (all $P>0.05$), indicating that LSPMbs did not cause significant toxic effect on RAW 264.7 cells. As shown on Figure 4.

Evaluation of Enhanced Ultrasound Imaging of LSPMbs in Vitro

LSPMbs and SonoVue suspension with different concentrations presented different enhancement effects; they presented almost the same enhancement effect at the same concentration (Figures 5A and D were obtained from 1.6mg/mL, figures 5B and E was obtained from 0.8mg/mL, and figures 5C and F was obtained from 0.4mg/mL). At higher concentration (1.6mg/mL), they all presented homogeneous hyperechogenicity with marked attenuation (Figures 5A and D), and the echogenicities became weaker when the concentrations decreased (Figures 5B and E, and figures 5C and F), and they all much stronger than control of degas deionized water, which presented homogeneous anechogenicity (Figure 5G). Control of air presented strong echogenicity at the interface of the tube, and the distal field presented marked attenuation (Figure 5H), which was substantial different from those obtained from LSPMbs and SonoVue suspension.

Comparisons of measurements of enhanced ultrasound imaging between different concentrations and agents were as follow: figure A vs. D, $P=0.321$; figure B vs. E, $P=0.472$;
figure C vs. F, $P=0.428$; and figure A vs. G, A vs. H, B vs. H, G vs. H, A vs. B, A vs. C, B vs. C, D vs. E, and D vs. F, all $P<0.05$.

**Assessment of Affinity of LSPMbs to the Liver Cancer Cells**

The fluorescence on the cellular membrane of Huh-7 cells was intensive (Figure 6), indicating that there was high GPC3 expression. Cells and Dil labeled LSPMbs and controls obtained from light microscope (Figure 7 A, E and I). Cell nucleus of Huh-7 cells presented blue after DAPI staining and being incubated with Dil labeled LSPMbs (Figure 7 B, F and J). LSPMbs with Dil staining combined with the membrane of Huh-7 cells presented red color fluorescence on image (Figure 7 C and D); LSGMbs presented a very similar appearance (Figure 7 G and H); and LSPMbs with Dil staining after synthesized peptide blocking previously did not show red color fluorescence on the membrane of Huh-7 cells, indicating that LSPMbs had not combined with the membrane of Huh-7 cells (Figure 7 K and L).

**Assessment of LSPMbs in Vivo**

**Enhanced Ultrasound Imaging Assessment of LSPMbs**

All xenograft tumors in the mice of the five groups presented a complex of isoechogenicity, hypoechogenicity and anechogenicity (Figures A, E, I, M and Q). Of the study group, after administration of LSPMbs suspension, Huh-7 xenograft tumor presented hyper-enhancement in periphery and hypo-enhancement in center (necrosis) at two seconds (Figure 8 B); the tumor enhancement lasted over 20 seconds with little change; at 60 seconds, the tumor still presented hyper-enhancement in periphery and hypo-enhancement in center (necrosis) (Figure 8 C); at 10 minutes, the tumor presented iso-enhancement with central hypo-enhancement (necrosis) (Figure
8 D). Of the four control groups, the mice injected with LSGMbs, LSPMbs (blocked with GPC3 antibody or synthesized peptide previously), and SonoVue, respectively, presented similar enhancement patterns and sustain time as those in Huh-7 xenograft tumors (Figures 8 F, G and H, Figures 8 J, K and L, and Figures 8 N, O and P), and there was no significant difference; the xenograft tumors presented similar enhancement patterns and sustaining time; of the mice in the group injected with LS suspension, the xenograft tumors did not present enhancement at 2, 20, 60 seconds, and 10 minutes (Figures 8 R, S and T).

Comparisons of enhanced ultrasound imaging among different agents at times of two seconds, 20 seconds, and 10 minutes, scales of images (Figures B, C, and D of LSPMbs, figures J, K, and L of LSPMbs after synthesized peptide or GPC3 blocking, figures F, G, and H of LSGMbs, and figures N, O, and P of SonoVue) all had no significant difference (all \( P>0.05 \)), and there were significant difference between the above scales of images and scales of LS images (all \( P<0.001 \)). These indicate that LSPMbs has good capability in CEUS imaging, but the experiments of it did not show targeted imaging in vivo.

**Fluorescent Imaging Assessment of LSPMbs**

The fluorescent signal could be visualized all over the body soon after the administration of Cy5.5 labelled LSPMbs suspension. Images acquired at one minute (Figure 9A), six hours (Figure 9B) after the initial fluorescent imaging, the fluorescent signal intensity in the tumor area has no significant difference from other areas of the body except the liver and spleen. The fluorescent signal intensity in the liver and spleen area of the mice was marked stronger than other areas, and the fluorescent signal intensity was similar in three times. The fluorescent signal
could not be visualized in the mice 24 hours after injection (Figure 9C). Experiment carried out in the mice blocked previously by injection of GPC3 antibody or synthesized peptide presented the same fluorescent imaging characteristics as those in the mice with Huh-7 xenograft tumors (Figures 9D, E and F). 24 hours after intravenous injection of Cy5.5 labelled LSPMbs, four mice with Huh-7 cell xenograft tumors of two in each groups were sacrificed, the tumors and visceral organs were assessed, there were fluorescence in the lungs and liver, and no fluorescence in the tumor and the heart, spleen and kidneys (Figure 10). These indicate that the Cy5.5 labelled LSPMbs did not selective accumulated in the xenograft tumor, and the LSPMbs did not present detectable targeting ability to the tumor. The reason that the fluorescent signal intensity in the liver and spleen was higher than other areas is believed that the liver and spleen have abundant capillaries and macrophage cells, the macrophage cells can engulf the liposomes, so liposomes in these regions are richer than other regions. The more Cy5.5 labelled LSPMbs aggregated, the stronger the fluorescent signal intensity.

**Discussion**

LSPMbs presented similar enhancement effect at the same concentration as that the SonoVue performed in vitro and vivo, indicating that the LSPMbs has good capability of enhancement imaging. Optical imaging in vivo using fluorescence and bioluminescence has high sensitivity and resolution [6]. The near infrared dye Cy5.5 allows a fluorescent imaging of deep tissue in rodent animals with low background, providing a possibility of evaluation of the molecular imaging agents. In this study, LSPMbs were labelled with Cy5.5 for targeting GPC3 imaging...
evaluation, the results showed that they had not presented aggregated fluorescence imaging, indicating that LSPMbs were not targeting retained in the tumor. LSPMbs did not present targeted imaging both at ultrasound imaging and optical imaging.

Unlike iodinated and gadolinium contrast agents for x-ray, CT and MRI that can enter extravascular tissue, common UCAs are confined to the blood pool when administered intravenously, which are consist of microbubbles in suspension which strongly interplay with the ultrasound beam and are readily detectable by ultrasound imaging systems [7]. Molecularly targeted UCAs are created by conjugating the microbubble shell with a peptide, antibody, or other ligand designed to target an endothelial biomarker associated with tumor angiogenesis or inflammation. These microbubbles then accumulate in the microvasculature at target sites where they can be imaged [7].

Our findings were significantly different from previous reports that vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2) based targeted UCAs [8]. These targeted UCAs do not need to extravasate the blood vessels. The VEGFR2 based targeted UCAs can contact and combine with the VEGFR2 on the blood vessels when they enter and flow through the tumor’s vessels, forming focal contrast agent accumulation, and can display focal enhanced imaging at ultrasound scanning. However, our preparation of LSPMbs targeting cellular membranous receptor of the HCC confronts substantial challenge for targeted imaging. Sizes of LSPMbs are 380.9±176.5 nm, which can extravasate the fissure of blood vessel wall of tumor if there are no other impeding factors. But the experimental results did not gain the desired goal. The reasons may be
the following factors. The previous study showed that the gap between the epithelia of cancer blood vessel is large enough (380-780 nm) to allow nanoscale materials passing, but the blood vessels contact the cells of tumor and interstitial closely, elevated interstitial fluid pressure in the tumor could restrict convective flow and antibody extravasation, except in large necrosis and hypoxia areas [9,10]. Similarly, the targeted LSPMbs needs overcome interface pressure gradient and get enough space to access and bind to the cancer cells of tumor. How the LSPMbs penetrate the blood vessels of tumor, distribute in the tumor and uptake by the cells are difficult to understand or predict [11]. A study by Opie showed that the osmotic parameters of tumors (hepatoma, etc) are much lower than that of normal tissues [12]. In this circumstance, the suspension of LSPMbs is harder to be absorbed into tissue by osmotic force of tumor. At tumor sites, the disorganized tumor vascular network, extensively distributed stromal cells (e.g., tumor-associated macrophage, cancer-associated fibroblasts, etc.) and the dense physical barriers of extracellular matrix comprise of the abominable obstacles hampering nanoparticles transport in a tumor. [13] The electron microscopy results on a study have confirmed that the opening in tumor extracellular matrix barriers surrounding the cancer cells is generally less than 40 nm.[14] On this condition, LSPMbs of 380.9±176.5 nm are impossible to pass the extracellular matrix opening to access to the cancer cells. A recent study revealed that only 0.7% of systemically administered nanoparticles can reach the tumor sites and less than 14 out of 1 million (0.0014% injected dose) of them are accessed by cancer cells, and that only 2 out of 100 cancer cells interacted with the nanoparticles.[15] Therefore, if the number and volume of the targeted LSPMbs entering the tumor extravascular part are not enough, it will be impossible to yield
visible enhanced imaging effect.

Many researches on extravascular targeted contrast-enhanced ultrasound have been reported in literature, but only a few of them validate in vivo of animals. Mai et al reported that a chitosan-vitamin C lipid system had been fabricated and had achieved tumor-selective enhanced ultrasound imaging in a mouse tumor model, but they confirmed only that the fluorescence accumulated highly at the tumor site, other than the nanobubbles [16]. Another extravascular targeted nanobubbles fabricated by Gao et al remains to be further verification because of the preliminary results and substantial limitations [17]. Theoretically, if microbubbles enter the tumor interstitials, some liquid solution also enters. There must be enough extravascular space in the tumor to contain and distribute them, only in this condition can the microbubbles in the oscillations of ultrasonic compression and expansion wave generate stronger backscattered acoustic signal and second harmonics for enhanced ultrasound imaging. If many microbubbles are compacted together, their size will be big, and which will generate little backscattered acoustic signal and second harmonics [18].

Our experimental results, together with earlier published reports by others[13-15], strongly suggested that to develop a targeted material for extravascular contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging, cutting-edge precise experiments should be conducted firstly to ascertain that the material can penetrate the blood vessel and wade through the cellular matrix and stroma to access and bind to the target cells, and the accommodation space for the materials is adequate. In future, the development of materials for extravascular contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging
may be emphasis that using specific materials such as cell-penetrating peptides, a disulfide-
bridged cyclic RGD peptide, named iRGD (internalizing RGD, c(CRGDK/RGPD/EC)), which is
a tumor-homing peptide that can bind to avb3 integrin with high affinity and specificity to
construct the targeted material. A material integrated iRGD peptide may increase penetration of
the blood vessels and matrix, and facilitate accumulation and increase the probability of
enhanced imaging [19,20]. Augmentation of enhanced permeability and retention effect of
targeted material through using NO-releasing agent such as nitroglycerin or angiotensin-
converting enzyme inhibitors, and albumin-protein interactions using S-nitrosated human serum
albumin dimer, etc, may increase targeted material accumulation and the probability of enhanced
imaging [21,22].

Conclusions

Collectively, a new material of LSPMbs has been prepared, which has good effect of
enhanced ultrasound imaging, but it did not exhibit targeted imaging effect in vivo of animal
experiments. The causes may be that the volume of LSPMbs pass the tumor blood vessels and
enter the tumor parenchyma was very limited, and the LSPMbs cannot pass the fissures of
extracellular stroma and matrix surrounding the cancer cells to access and bind to the cancer
cells. Therefore, a potential target of GPC3 on hepatocellular carcinoma for extravascular
targeted imaging may not be realized in contrast-enhanced ultrasound. The future research
should focus on that whether a candidate targeted material for extravascular contrast-enhanced
ultrasound imaging can penetrate the blood vessel and wade through the cellular matrix and
stroma to access and bind to the target cells, and whether the accommodation space for the materials is adequate.
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Figure 1

Image of the LSPMbs obtained by transmission electron microscope.

Figure 1 Image of the LSPMbs obtained by transmission electron microscope. The LSPMbs present round shaped on a sectional view, with different size, without aggregation.
Figure 2

Size and distribution of LSPMbs

Figure 2 Size of LSPMbs are 380.9±176.5 nm, and the determination results show “Good”.

![Size and distribution of LSPMbs](image-url)
Figure 3

Zeta potential and distribution of LSPMbs

Figure 3 Zeta potential of LSPMbs was -51.4±10.4mV, and the determination results show “Good”.
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Figure 4

Figure 4 MTT assay for cytotoxicity showed the cell index had no significant differences among different LSPMbs concentrations at different time (all $P>0.05$).
Figure 5

Figure 5 Ultrasound images of the LSPMbs, SonoVue, degas deionized water, and air in the tubes. Figures A and D were obtained from LSPMbs and SonoVue of 1.6mg/mL, B and E were obtained from LSPMbs and SonoVue of 0.8mg/mL, and C and F were obtained from LSPMbs and SonoVue of 0.4mg/mL. Figure G was obtained from degas deionized water, and H was obtained from air. The echogenic intensity decreased with decreasing concentrations of LSPMbs, and degas deionized water; the echogenic intensity was strong at the interface between the air in the tube and the outside water, and the echogenicity in the tube was attenuated.
Figure 6 Image of GPC3 expression of Huh-7 cells obtained by confocal laser scanning microscope. The fluorescence on the cellular membrane appears intensive.
Figure 7 Images of Huh-7 cells incubated with Dil labelled LSPMbs and controls obtained by light microscope and confocal laser scanning microscope. (Images of A, E and I) Cells and Dil labelled LSPMbs and controls obtained from light microscope. (Images of B, F and J) Cell nucleus of Huh-7 cells presented blue after DAPI staining and being incubated with Dil labelled LSPMbs. (Images of C and D) LSPMbs with Dil staining combined with the membrane of Huh-7 cells presented red color fluorescence on image. (Images of G and H) LSGMbs presented a very similar appearance. (Images of K and L) LSPMbs with Dil staining after blocked by synthesized peptide did not show red color fluorescence on the membrane of Huh-7 cells, indicating that LSPMbs had not combined with the membrane of Huh-7 cells.
Figure 8

Figure 8 Row 1 is a study group, and rows 2-5 are control groups. (Images of A, E, I, M and Q) Images of all xenograft tumors presented a complex of isoechogenicity, hypoechogenicity and anechogenicity obtained by convention ultrasound. (Image B of LSGMbs) After administration of LSPMbs suspension, tumors presented hyper-enhancement in periphery and hypo-enhancement in center (necrosis) at two second. (Image C of LSGMbs) At 60 seconds, the tumor still presented hyper-enhancement in periphery and hypo-enhancement in center (necrosis). (Image D of LSGMbs) At 10 minutes, the tumor presented iso-enhancement with central hypo-enhancement (necrosis). (Images F, G and H of LSGMbs) and (Images J, K and L of LSPMbs, after GPC3 blocking) and they presented similar enhancement patterns and sustain time as those in Huh-7 xenograft tumors, and there were no appreciable difference. (Images N, O and P of SonoVue) The xenograft tumors presented similar enhancement patterns and sustaining time as those using LSPMbs after administration of SonoVue suspension. (Images R, S and T of LS) The xenograft tumors did not present enhancement at 2, 20, and 60 seconds, and 10 minutes after administration of LS suspension.
Manuscript to be reviewed
Figure 9 Image A acquired at one minute, and image B acquired six hours after the initial fluorescent imaging, the fluorescent signal intensity in the tumor area has no significant difference from other areas of the body expect the liver and spleen. Image C acquired 24 hours after injection, the fluorescent signal could not be visualized in the mice. Images D, E and F acquired from experiments of mice with Huh-7 xenograft tumors blocked using GPC3 antibody presented the same fluorescent imaging characteristics as those in the mice with Huh-7 xenograft tumors.
Figure 10

Fluorescence in the organs

Figure 10 There were fluorescence in the lungs and liver, and no fluorescence in the tumor, heart, spleen, and kidneys 24 hours after intravenous injection of Cy5.5 labelled LSPMbs, after animal sacrificed.