Loading and Releasing Behavior of Selenium and Doxorubicin Hydrochloride in Hydroxyapatite with Different Morphologies
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ABSTRACT: Doxorubicin (Dox)-loaded or selenium-substituted hydroxyapatite (HA) has been developed to achieve anti-osteosarcoma or bone regeneration in a number of studies. However, currently, there is a lack of studies on the combination of Dox and selenium loading in/on HA and comparative research studies on which form and size of HA are more suitable for drug loading and release in the treatment osteogenesis after osteosarcoma resection. Herein, selenium-doped rod-shaped nano-HA (n-HA) and spherical mesoporous HA (m-HA) were successfully prepared. The doping efficiency of selenium and the Dox loading capacity of selenium-doped HA with different morphologies were studied. The release kinetics of Dox and the selenium element in phosphate-buffered saline with different pH values was also comparatively investigated. The drug loading results showed that n-HA exhibited 3 times higher selenium doping amount than m-HA, and the Dox entrapment efficiency of selenium-doped n-HA (0.1Se-n-HA) presented 20% higher than that of selenium-doped m-HA (0.1Se-m-HA). The Dox release behaviors of HA in two different morphologies showed similar release kinetics, with almost the same Dox releasing ratio but slightly more Dox releasing amount in selenium-doped HA than in HA without selenium. The selenium release from selenium-doped n-HA-D (0.1Se-n-HA-D) particles was 2 times as much as that of selenium-doped m-HA-D (0.1Se-m-HA) particles. Our study indicated that n-HA loaded with Dox and selenium may be a promising drug delivery strategy for inhibition of osteosarcoma recurrence and promoting osteogenesis simultaneously.

1. INTRODUCTION

Osteosarcoma is the most common malignant bone tumor in children and adolescents.1,2 It has a strong invasive force, the 5-year survival rate is about 50−75%,3 and the amputation rate is as high as 10%, which seriously endangers human health. Studies have shown that the main factors affecting the recurrence of osteosarcoma are surgical margins and chemotherapy, and positive surgical resection margins will lead to cancer cells residual to tumor recurrence; however, some osteosarcomas cannot be resected extensively due to the anatomical location, which greatly increases the risk of recurrence.4 In order to prevent tumor recurrence, preoperative and postoperative chemotherapy can be used as auxiliary ways to reduce the risk of recurrence.5

Doxorubicin (Dox), an anthracycline drug with a broad anticancer spectrum, is one of the reliable conventional chemotherapy drugs and can be used in the treatment of osteosarcoma.6 However, the multidrug resistance of osteosarcoma greatly reduces the drug curative effect.7,8 Some reports introduced that selenite can bring down the drug resistance of the tumor and reduce the side effects of anticancer drugs for protecting normal tissues.9 In addition, many studies have proved that selenite also has a certain role in the curing osteosarcoma10 and inhibition of tumor metastasis.11 Wang et al. reported that selenium doping hydroxyapatite (HA) can promote apoptosis of osteosarcoma cells (MG-63 cells) through selenium activating the intrinsic mitochondrial apoptotic pathway.12 In addition, a porous silica−folic acid−copper sulfide nanocomposite with a combined loading of selenium and Dox showed good efficiency to inhibit cancer cell proliferation.13 Therefore, combining Dox and sodium selenite as an anticancer drug is a promising way for anti-osteosarcoma.14

On account of the side effects of chemotherapy drugs, drug dosage is greatly restricted and unable to get the best antitumor effect;15 therefore, the local use of anticancer drugs can improve the local drug concentration and reduce systemic side effects; for example, Zheng et al. used pH-
responsive polyion complex micelles, and Zhang et al. used tumor microenvironment-responsive hyaluronate−calcium carbonate hybrid nanoparticles to control Dox intracellular delivery and upregulated antitumor efficacy and reduced side effects. Meanwhile, the explosive release of anticancer drugs is contraindicated. In order to achieve long-term effective release of drugs, carrier materials with good biocompatibility and which enable sustained release of drugs are needed.

In recent years, nano-HA and micro-HA have been widely used as drug delivery carriers because their large specific surface area and surface charge enable them to load drugs; especially, these nanomedicines with prolonged drug circulation and reduced drug toxicity are considered a superior treatment option for cancer. Although HA is too brittle to maintain bone strength, its good biocompatibility and drug carrier ability make it significant to composite with other polymers to achieve good mechanical properties, and the composition and structure of synthetic HA are very similar to those of the natural bone mineral. HA is widely used as a bone substitute and presents excellent bone repair capacity. In addition, HA has been shown to possess anticancer effects. HA integrates the triple functions of anticancer, promotion of osteogenesis, and loading drugs; therefore, HA loaded with anticancer drugs may be an ideal choice for preventing tumor recurrence and promoting bone regeneration after osteosarcoma resection. Although studies have been reported on HA loaded with Dox or selenium-doped HA, these studies reported only a single type of HA loaded with a single drug. Single anticancer drugs have limited effectiveness and are often combined with other drugs to synergistically combat cancer, and some studies described Dox or sodium selenite in combination with other drugs. For instance, Zhang et al. developed hyaluronate nanogels for intracellular codelivery of Dox and cisplatin to anti-osteosarcoma. However, systematic comparative studies on the simultaneous loading of Dox and selenium on/in HA with different morphologies and their drug release behavior have not yet been reported. In this study, we synthesized rod-like selenium-doped nano-HA (n-HA) and spherical mesoporous selenium-doped HA (m-HA) and studied the doping efficiency of selenium and the Dox loading capacity, hoping to achieve a synergistic anti-osteosarcoma effect. The release kinetics of Dox and the selenium element in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with different pH values was also comparatively investigated. Our study intends to provide a potential drug delivery strategy for simultaneous tumor inhibition and osteogenesis promotion after osteosarcoma resection as well as to provide an insight for selecting the ideal morphology of drug carriers.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1. Morphology of HA. Figure 1 shows the morphology of n-HA, 0.1Se-n-HA, 0.1Se-n-HA-D, m-HA, 0.1Se-m-HA and 0.1Se-m-HA-D, and their particle size distribution. The red arrows in (b,c) point to the hollow structure of m-HA.
like morphology (Figure 1d–f). The length of n-HA was mainly distributed at 60–90 nm (Figure 1d1), while 0.1Se-n-HA showed a shorter particle length (30–45 nm, Figure 1e1). The m-HA, 0.1Se-m-HA, and 0.1Se-m-HA-D particles showed a hollow spherical morphology (Figure 1b,c,g-i), and the size of 0.1Se-m-HA (with a diameter distribution of 1−1.4 μm, Figure 1h1) was smaller than the size of m-HA (with a diameter distribution of 1.4−2 μm, Figure 1g1).

The results from scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) observation in-
dicated that selenium doping would reduce the crystal size of HA but did not change the shape of HA obviously. The reduction of the HA crystal size after selenium doping may be attributed to the fact that the HA crystal lattice is susceptible to ion substitution, and ion exchanging may change the cell unit, attributed to the fact that the HA crystal lattice is susceptible to reduction of the HA crystal size after selenium doping may be eventually reduce the crystal structural integrity and crystal removed and lattice structure distortion to some extent and with double-charge ions, while PO4 3− substitution of PO4 3− with triple-charge ions; therefore, the substitution of PO4 3− with SeO3 2− may result in some Ca2+ and OH− ions being adsorbed on the HA surface would attract other HA particles to the residual charge on the Dox molecule, which when adsorbed on the HA surface would attract other HA particles until the charge reaches equilibrium.

It should be mentioned that the n-HA particles in Figure 1a are severely agglomerated, which is mainly because the dried powder was directly used for SEM observation, and nanoparticles had a large specific surface area and surface active points, tending to agglomerate in the drying process. Such agglomeration can be avoided by ultrasonic dispersion in ethanol prior to use.

2.2. Composition of Selenium-Doped HA. As shown in Figure 2a, the X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of all products exhibited the same characteristic peaks of the typical HA crystal structure (JCPDS card no. 09-0432). Diffraction peaks at 25.89, 31.89, 32.78, 34.02, 39.64, 46.65, 49.4, and 53.15° assigned to the (002), (211), (300), (202), (310), (222), (213), and (004) planes, respectively, indicated that HA can still maintain its original crystal structure when the amount of selenium doping is at the ratio of Se/P = 0.1.

In the Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra (Figure 2b), the peak at 1036 cm−1 is ascribed to the P−O antisymmetric stretching vibration (ν2), the peaks at 605 and 565 cm−1 belong to the O−P−O bending mode (ν3), the peaks at 3419 and 1639 cm−1 belong to H2O, and the peak at 767 cm−1 belongs to SeO3 2−.38−40 Unsurprisingly, the peaks belonging to PO4 3− and SeO3 2− were found in all selenium-doped HA samples. Notably, the absorption peak intensity of SeO3 2− of 0.1Se-n-HA rod-like crystals was stronger than the peak intensity of 0.1Se-m-HA mesoporous spherical particles, suggesting that the doping efficiency of selenium in rod-like crystals was higher than that in mesoporous spherical particles.

Energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) spectra (Figure 2c,d) and the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectrum (Figure 3) further confirmed the presence of the Se element. EDS spectra (Figure 2c,d) showed that the selenium peak in rod-like 0.1Se-n-HA was higher than the selenium peak in spherical 0.1Se-m-HA, which was consistent with the results of FTIR analysis. The Se 3d spectrum peaks at around 59 eV and was interpreted to be the Se(IV) species (NIST), so the peak intensity of 0.1Se-n-HA rod-like crystals was stronger than the peak intensity of 0.1Se-m-HA mesoporous spherical particles, suggesting that the doping efficiency of selenium in rod-like crystals was higher than that in mesoporous spherical particles.

Figure 4. Standard curves of absorbance–Dox concentration in deionized water (a), PBS with a pH of 6.8 (b), and PBS with a pH of 5 (c); encapsulation efficiency with different selenium-doping HA weights when the Dox concentration was kept at 1 mg/mL (d); encapsulation efficiency with different Dox concentrations when the selenium-doping HA weight was kept at 5 mg (e); encapsulation efficiency of different kinds of HA when the weight was fixed at 5 mg and the Dox concentration was fixed at 1 mg/mL (f).
Se element was doped in HA, Figure 3a,b confirms that the Ca and P elements were the main elements on the surface of 0.1Se-n-HA and 0.1Se-m-HA. These results indicated that the selenium element maintains at a +4 valence state after doping into HA and did not change the main components of HA.

In order to determine the accurate selenium content in selenium-doped HA, we detected it by inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy (ICP–MS) and X-ray fluorescence (XRF). The results of ICP–MS showed that there were 422 and 115.2 μg of selenium in 10 mg of 0.1Se-n-HA and 10 mg of 0.1Se-m-HA, respectively. The results of XRF were very close to those of ICP–MS, that is, 425.58 and 137.93 μg of selenium in 10 mg of 0.1Se-n-HA and 10 mg of 0.1Se-m-HA, respectively. The actual doping amount of selenium (approximately 422–425 μg) in 10 mg of 0.1Se-n-HA was just slightly lower than the theoretical addition amount of 430 μg. However, the actual doping amount in 10 mg of 0.1Se-m-HA was approximately 110–150 μg, which was only 35% of the theoretical addition amount.

The large difference of selenium doping amount between 0.1Se-n-HA and 0.1Se-m-HA may be attributed to its different synthesized conditions. In aqueous solution, phosphocreatine used as a template for the synthesis of m-HA will break down into phosphoric acid and creatine, and the guanidine group in the dissociated creatine may bind with some SeO$_2^{2-}$ ions reversibly due to the similar size, charge, and structure of the SeO$_2^{2-}$ ion to the PO$_4^{3-}$ ion. The binding between the guanidine group and the SeO$_2^{2-}$ ion will reduce the amount of the dissociative SeO$_2^{2-}$ ion in the solution, which results in less opportunity for SeO$_2^{2-}$ entering into the crystal of m-HA, thus reducing the doping amount of the final selenium element in 0.1Se-m-HA.

### 2.3. Dox Loading.

The correlation coefficients ($R^2$) of standard curves shown in Figure 4a–c were all over 0.99 in deionized water, in PBS with a pH of 6.8 and in PBS with a pH of 5, which indicated the good fitting degree of the standard curves and guaranteed the reliability of detection of the Dox concentration via a UV spectrophotometer. In order to explore the Dox loading efficiency in HA, we optimized the optimal Dox loading conditions by fixing the DOX concentration (1 mg/mL) and changing the selenium-doped HA weight and by fixing the selenium-doped HA weight (5 mg) and changing the DOX concentration. As can be seen from Figure 4d, when the Dox concentration was fixed at 1 mg/mL, the Dox encapsulation efficiency increased with the increase of the selenium-doped HA weight until its weight reached 5 mg, that is, 0.1Se-n-HA and 0.1Se-m-HA would reach the maximum Dox encapsulation efficiency at this point. From Figure 4e, when the selenium-doped HA mass was 5 mg, the Dox encapsulation efficiency presented a trend of first increasing and then decreasing with the increase of Dox concentration and reached its maximum value when the Dox concentration was 1 mg/mL. These results indicated that the best encapsulation efficiency could be obtained when the Dox concentration was 1 mg/mL and the selenium-doped HA weight was 5 mg. The results also suggested that 0.1Se-n-HA showed a higher encapsulation efficiency (maximum 95%) and effective drug loading ability compared to 0.1Se-m-HA (maximum 78%) under the same conditions (Figure 4d,e).

Based on the above results, we kept the weight of different HA (n-HA, m-HA, 0.1Se-n-HA and 0.1Se-m-HA) at 5 mg and the Dox concentration at 1 mg/mL to explore the effect of selenium doping on the Dox loading capability of different HA. The results in Figure 4f showed that the encapsulation efficiency of Dox in selenium-doped HA was significantly higher than that in selenium-free HA, and 0.1Se-n-HA had a much better Dox loading ability than 0.1Se-m-HA, which was consistent with the previous results.

### 2.4. Surface Area and Zeta Potential.

Specific surface area is always thought of a very important parameter for drug carriers, and the specific surface areas of n-HA, 0.1Se-n-HA, 0.1Se-n-HA-D, m-HA, 0.1Se-m-HA, and 0.1Se-m-HA-D were assessed by Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) and are listed in Table 1. The results in the table show that even with selenium doping and Dox addition, spherical m-HA had a larger BET specific surface area than rod-shaped n-HA. Selenium doping increased the BET value, which further confirmed the view that selenium doping would reduce the HA size and then bring a bigger specific surface area. Dox loading decreased the BET value, which should be related to the Dox loading filling the pores of the samples. Unexpectedly, m-HA possessed a just slightly higher Dox loading efficiency than n-HA but showed a specific surface area more than 3 times than that of n-HA. What is also incredible is that the Dox loading efficiency of 0.1Se-m-HA is just 70% of 0.1Se-n-HA, but its specific surface area is significantly higher than that of 0.1Se-n-HA. These results suggested that the specific surface area of materials should not be the only factor for drug loading; some other parameters of materials may play a more important role.

The nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherms (Figure 5a–f) show that all the samples had isothermal curves of type 3. The relative pressure of n-HA, 0.1Se-n-HA, and 0.1Se-n-HA-D was 0.5–1, and the relative pressure of m-HA, 0.1Se-m-HA, and 0.1Se-m-HA-D was 0.75–1, which indicated that as shown in schematic diagrams (Figure 5g–j), the reason for the formation of pores in n-HA, 0.1Se-n-HA, and 0.1Se-n-HA-D was particle stacking, while the reason for the formation of pores in m-HA, 0.1Se-m-HA, and 0.1Se-m-HA-D was particle stacking and assembly of HA whiskers. As can be seen from Figure 5a–f, except for n-HA, the pore diameter of 0.1Se-n-HA, 0.1Se-n-HA-D, m-HA, 0.1Se-m-HA, and 0.1Se-m-HA-D mainly distributed at 10–50 nm, while the pore diameter of n-HA mainly distributed at 130–170 nm. At the same time, it can also be found that doping selenium reduced the pore diameter, and the pore sizes further decreased after loading Dox. The obvious decrease of pore diameter after selenium doping should be attributed to the decrease of HA particle size, which led to a larger specific surface area generating and made agglomeration easier and more compact. The decrease in pore sizes after loading Dox should be attributed to Dox molecules filling these pores. In detail, for 0.1Se-n-HA, the ultrasmall pores (2–6 nm) completely disappeared, and the amounts of pores with different sizes reduced to some extent; even the reduced amount of the pores with a larger diameter (about 150 nm) was more than half, indicating that all the pores with
different sizes in 0.1Se-n-HA contributed to the Dox loading process. However, for 0.1Se-m-HA, although the ultrasmall pores (2–6 nm) also disappeared after Dox loading and the amounts of pores with sizes below 40 nm decreased to a certain extent, the number of pores larger than 40 nm did not decrease significantly, suggesting that only the pores smaller than 40 nm in 0.1Se-m-HA played indeed a role in the Dox loading process. The above difference between 0.1Se-n-HA and 0.1Se-m-HA should also be attributed to the difference in pore structures of them. n-HA and 0.1Se-n-HA will easily aggregate together in the Dox solution, and a number of pores formed by particle stacking are crisscross and suitable for Dox loading. However, for m-HA and 0.1Se-m-HA, they have a sea urchin-like structure formed by self-assembly of whiskers. The pore structure in m-HA and 0.1Se-m-HA should contain two parts; one is the larger spherical space formed in the middle of the microsphere after the whisker self-assembly, and the other is the gap between the whiskers arranged radially. The closer the whisker is to the center of the microsphere, the smaller the gap between whiskers will be, and the smallest gap in m-HA and 0.1Se-m-HA should be smaller than the molecular size of Dox so that Dox cannot be loaded into the middle spherical space of m-HA and 0.1Se-m-HA, that is, the pores in m-HA and 0.1Se-m-HA that really carry Dox should only be the gaps between the whiskers arranged radially. Besides, the size of such gaps gradually increases outward, so when closer to the outside, the Dox loaded is easier to fall off, and only the gaps near the center of the sphere can play a role in drug loading. These should be the reasons why the amounts of pores with a larger size in m-HA and 0.1Se-m-HA do not change significantly and also explained why m-HA possessed a just slightly higher Dox loading efficiency than n-HA but showed a specific surface area more than 3 times than that of n-HA and why the Dox loading efficiency of 0.1Se-m-HA is just 70% of 0.1Se-n-HA but its specific surface area is significantly higher than that of 0.1Se-n-HA.

The zeta potential not only affects particles’ stability in solution but also plays an important role in drug loading. From Figure 5k, the zeta potentials of n-HA, 0.1Se-n-HA, m-HA, and 0.1Se-m-HA were +4.6, +4.2, +1.22, and +1.27 mV in water, respectively. The results showed that the introduction of selenium did not change the zeta potential of HA significantly, indicating the similar particles’ stability of 0.1Se-n-HA and 0.1Se-m-HA with n-HA and m-HA, respectively. The zeta potential absolute value of n-HA and 0.1Se-n-HA was significantly higher than that of m-HA and 0.1Se-m-HA, which implies that n-HA and 0.1Se-n-HA could disperse better driven by mutual repulsion and would have more chance to contact more Dox molecules. In addition, the zeta potential may reflect the state of the HA crystalline surface, which will also affect its adsorption property. The solution of Dox HCl is acidic; when HA is added to the Dox HCl aqueous solution for drug loading, the existing OH− on the HA crystalline surface is easily ionized and then neutralized by H+ in the Dox solution, and the ion vacancy of OH− will make the HA crystal to be positively charged and to form an adsorption site for the carboxyl group of Dox.46,49 The higher positive value of HA indicates the more OH vacancy on the HA crystalline surface, which would provide more sites for Dox adsorption. Also, Zhao et al. indicated that the loading of the drug to HA is mainly through the formation of Ca−O bonds between Ca ions on the surface of HA and “O” atoms in the drug molecule,60 and the more the ion vacancy of OH−, the more the sites of Ca exposure. In the present study, the zeta potentials of the four samples were all positive in weakly acidic deionized water, and the zeta potential absolute value of n-HA and 0.1Se-n-HA was significantly higher than that of m-HA and 0.1Se-m-HA, suggesting more OH− vacancy on the crystalline surface of n-HA and 0.1Se-n-HA. These results suggested that the formation of more Dox adsorption sites may be one of reasons why 0.1Se-n-HA showed a high Dox load capacity with a low specific surface area.

Therefore, the materials with larger specific surface areas do not always imply that a higher drug loading capacity and the drug loading ability of materials should be codetermined by the material specific surface area, pore structure, and other parameters (e.g., charge properties and functional groups on the material surface).

2.5. Release of Selenium and Dox. A controllable drug release is a primary requirement for a drug delivery system. Here, in order to simulate the weakly acidic environment of the tumor and the acid environment of the lysosome, we explored the release behavior of selenium and Dox in PBS with pH values of 5 and 6.8, and the results are shown in Figure 6. It can be found from Figure 6a−d that the release behavior of Dox from two morphological HA (n-HA-D and m-HA-D) presented a similar trend, which experienced relatively rapid release in the first 12 h and showed a slow release behavior in the later period. It can also be found that the pH value of the PBS solution affects the Dox release remarkably; the lower the pH value of PBS, the faster the release of Dox. The release amounts of Dox were about 40 μg from n-HA-D and 60 μg from m-HA-D in the first hour in the PBS solution of pH = 5, while the release amounts of Dox were about 25 μg from n-HA-D and 40 μg from m-HA-D in the PBS solution of pH = 6.8 within the first hour. Interestingly, the accumulative release amount of Dox from m-HA-D was always slightly higher than
that from n-HA-D in all 97 days of drug release time. Notably, after 64 days of release, the m-HA-D group showed a relatively flat platform phase, while the n-HA-D group showed a continuous release profile. After 97 days, the accumulative Dox release ratios of n-HA-D and m-HA-D were about 45% and 35% in PBS with pH = 5 and were about 23% and 21% in PBS with pH = 6.8, respectively (Figure 6c).

The Dox release behaviors in selenium-doped HA-D (0.1Se-n-HA-D and 0.1Se-m-HA-D) and non-selenium-doped HA-D (n-HA-D and m-HA-D) were compared to explore whether the selenium doping would affect the release of Dox. Figure 6e,f shows that there was no significant difference of Dox releasing amount between 0.1Se-n-HA-D and 0.1Se-m-HA-D (about 350 μg in PBS with pH = 5 and 300 μg in PBS with pH = 6.8) after 84 h release; however, these Dox releasing

---

**Figure 6.** Dox accumulative release amount (a) and Dox accumulative release ratio (c) of n-HA-D and m-HA-D in PBS with a pH of 5 or 6.8; Dox release in the first 84 h in (a,c) were magnified in (b,d), respectively; Dox accumulative release amount (e), Dox accumulative release ratio (f), selenium release amount (g), and selenium release ratio (h) of 0.1Se-n-HA-D and 0.1Se-m-HA-D in PBS with a pH of 5 or 6.8.
amounts were higher than those of n-HA-D and m-HA-D in the same release period. The Dox releasing ratio of 0.1Se-m-HA-D (about 25% in PBS with pH = 5 and 20% in PBS with pH = 6.8) was higher than the Dox releasing ratio of 0.1Se-n-HA-D (about 17% in PBS with pH = 5 and 15% in PBS with pH = 6.8), and the reason may be attributed to the fact that 0.1Se-m-HA-D had more bigger pores, which is conducive to Dox releasing. These results indicated that the Dox releasing amount from 0.1Se-n-HA-D and 0.1Se-m-HA-D was higher than Dox from n-HA-D and m-HA-D. The Dox releasing ratio of m-HA-D in PBS of pH = 5 or pH = 6.8 was almost the same with 0.1Se-m-HA-D correspondingly, and the Dox releasing ratio of 0.1Se-n-HA-D was lower than that of n-HA-D, m-HA-D, and 0.1Se-m-HA-D in PBS of pH = 5, which exhibited a better Dox-controlled release behavior of 0.1Se-n-HA-D.

There was a worry that excessive Dox remaining in the body for a long time would cause a damage to normal tissues. Previous research reported that Dox concentrations maintained at 400–1000 ng/mL around the implanting site and 100–400 ng/mL in the blood can satisfy tissue recovery after 12 weeks and inhibit osteosarcoma recurrence within 1 to 12 months. In addition, some studies illustrated that the concentration of Dox at 1–5 μg/mL can inhibit and kill osteosarcoma cells. In the current study, the Dox concentration released from 5 mg of HA in 4 mL of PBS could averagely reach 1 μg/mL per day in the first 54 days and then maintain at about 100 ng per day, which suggested that n-HA-D, m-HA-D, 0.1Se-n-HA-D, and 0.1Se-m-HA-D had potential to inhibit osteosarcoma safely and efficiently.

The release behavior of selenium (Figure 6g,h) showed that the Se release amount of 0.1Se-n-HA-D (about 40 μg in PBS with pH = 5 and 20 μg in PBS with pH = 6.8) was higher than that of 0.1Se-m-HA-D (about 20 μg in PBS with pH = 5 and 10 μg in PBS with pH = 6.8), but the Se release ratio of 0.1Se-m-HA-D (about 45% in PBS with pH = 5 and 20% in PBS with pH = 6.8) was higher than that of 0.1Se-n-HA-D (about 25% in PBS with pH = 5 and 10% in PBS with pH = 6.8). These results indicated that the release behavior of Se from 0.1Se-n-HA-D and 0.1Se-m-HA-D may be responsive to pH, which showed a probably trend that the lower the pH of the PBS solution, the faster the speed and the greater the quantities of Se released. Although the Se release behaviors were similar in 0.1Se-n-HA-D and 0.1Se-m-HA-D, the release amount of Se from 0.1Se-n-HA-D was twice as much as that from 0.1Se-m-HA-D, which was attributed to the higher selenium doping content in 0.1Se-n-HA-D than in 0.1Se-m-HA-D. The release amounts of Se ranged at 0–40 μg, suggesting that the selenium release amount was lower than the toxic dose for humans (no more than 90 μg/d per person). In addition, articles have reported that the IC₅₀ dose of Se inhibiting tumor cells was around 15 μg/mL from selenium-doped calcium phosphate and 2.56 μg/mL from sodium selenite solution, and these results indicated that the selenium-doped HA (0.1Se-n-HA, 0.1Se-m-HA, 0.1Se-n-HA-D, and 0.1Se-m-HA-D) has anti-tumor potential.

Selenium is an essential trace element in the human body, and studies have shown that a certain concentration of selenium is beneficial for the proliferation of BMSCs and is unfavorable for the proliferation of MG63, one of the human osteosarcoma cells. Another study reported that sodium selenite (Na₂SeO₃) with 10–40 μmol/L could inhibit the proliferation and improve apoptosis of human osteosarcoma U-2OS cells. Studies also presented the potential of selenium in anti-osteosarcoma, where the mechanism of selenium against osteosarcoma is its capacity of prompting oxidative damage of DNA and mitochondria, leading to mitochondrial dysfunctions. According to the previous literature, 0.1Se-n-HA-D and 0.1Se-m-HA-D fabricated in the current study may have potential in anti-osteosarcoma applications.

3. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, selenium-doped rod-shaped n-HA and spherical mesoporous m-HA were successfully prepared. The doping efficiency of selenium, the Dox loading, and release behaviors of selenium-doped HA with different morphologies were systematically studied. The results showed that the rod-like n-HA had higher Se doping efficiency, higher Dox loading capacity, and more ideal Se and Dox-sustained release behavior. Therefore, the sustained release system of Se-doped n-HA loaded with Dox has great potential in the field of bone regeneration and prevention of recurrence of osteosarcoma.

4. MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.1. Materials. Sodium creatine phosphate tetrahydrate (C₇H₆Na₄O₇P·4H₂O) and Dox HCl (C₂₀H₂₁NO₄·HCl) were obtained from Meilun Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Dalian, China). Sodium hydroxide (NaOH), disodium hydrogen phosphate dodecahydrate (Na₂HPO₄·12H₂O), and calcium nitrate tetrahydrate [Ca(NO₃)₂·4H₂O] were purchased from Chengdu Kelong Chemical Reagent Factory (Chengdu, China). Calcium chloride dihydrate (CaCl₂·2H₂O) was bought from Shanghai Weiying Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Sodium selenite (Na₂SeO₃) was purchased from Sinopharm Group Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

4.2. HA and Selenium-Doped HA Preparation. Nano-HA was synthesized by a wet chemical method. Briefly, 0.5 mol L⁻¹ aqueous solution of Ca(NO₃)₂·4H₂O was dropped into an equal volume of 0.3 mol L⁻¹ (Na₂HPO₄·12H₂O) solution with continuous stirring at 70–80 °C, and the pH value was controlled at about 10 with sodium hydroxide. After the solution was dripped, the reaction system was stirred continuously for another 2 h. Subsequently, after freeze-drying for 48 h, the obtained precipitate was ground and sieved via a 400 mesh sieve. For preparation of selenium-substituted n-HA (0.1Se-n-HA), Na₂SeO₃ together with Na₂HPO₄·12H₂O was used in the preparation process. The total molarity of P and Se was controlled to be consistent with the molarity of the P element in the preparation of n-HA, in which the molar ratio of Se to P was controlled at 0.1, and other conditions were kept consistent with the n-HA preparation.

m-HA was synthesized by a microwave hydrothermal method. Briefly, 100 mL of an aqueous solution of 0.06 mol L⁻¹ phosphocreatine (C₃H₈Na₄O₇·4H₂O) was dropped into 300 mL of 0.0333 mol L⁻¹ CaCl₂·2H₂O aqueous solution with continuous stirring, and the pH was adjusted to around 10 by using sodium hydroxide. After the solution finished dripping, the mixture needed stirring for another hour. Subsequently, the mixture was then transferred to a microwave reactor, and microwave-assisted hydrothermal synthesis was performed for 30 min at 120 °C and 5 W. Then, the obtained precipitate was washed with deionized water, and the m-HA powder was obtained after freeze-drying for 48 h; then grinding and sieving via a 400 mesh sieve. To prepare selenium-substituted m-HA
(0.1Se-m-HA), Na2SeO3, and other reactants were added in the mixture together. The total molarity of P and Se was controlled to be consistent with the molarity of the P element in the preparation process of m-HA; the molar ratio of Se to P was also controlled at 0.1, and other conditions were kept consistent with the m-HA preparation.

4.3. Physiochemical Characterization. The morphology of synthesized HA particle was observed by SEM (JSM-7500F, Japan) and TEM (Tecnai G2 F20 S-TWIN, US). The particle size (100 particles were randomly picked) was calculated from the TEM images by Image Pro software. The phase identification and components of resultant products were characterized by XRD (EMPYREAN, The Netherlands) and FTIR spectroscopy (Nicolet 6700, USA). The presence of selenium in the particles was examined by EDS (X-MaxN 20, Oxford, UK) and XPS (AXIS Supra, Kratos, British). The amount of selenium doped in 0.1Se-n-HA or 0.1Se-m-HA was measured by ICP–MS (VG PQExCell, USA). In detail, 0.1Se-n-HA or 0.1Se-m-HA particles were dissolved in 0.1 mol L⁻¹ nitric acid solution to obtain 0.001 mg/mL 0.1Se-n-HA solution or 0.1Se-m-HA solution; then, the selenium concentration of the prepared solution was determined by ICP–MS. The selenium content in 0.1Se-n-HA and 0.1Se-m-HA particles was further measured using an XRF spectrometer (XRF-1800, Japan) to verify the results of ICP–MS.

The specific surface area and pore diameter of different HA were measured using a BET instrument (Kubo-X1000, Beijing). The surface charge of HA in aqueous solution was characterized using a Malvern Zetasizer nano instrument (Zen 3600, UK).

4.4. Dox Loading. Dox was loaded onto n-HA, m-HA, 0.1Se-n-HA, or 0.1Se-m-HA by a solution impregnation oscillation method. Briefly, 5 mg of n-HA, m-HA, 0.1Se-n-HA, and 0.1Se-m-HA were added to a brown glass bottle containing 2 mL of 1 mg/mL Dox solution, oscillated at 5 Hz for 72 h under dark conditions (n = 6), and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 20 min, and the resulting precipitations were denoted as n-HA-D, m-HA-D, 0.1Se-n-HA-D, and 0.1Se-m-HA-D. The maximum absorption wavelength of Dox was 481 nm in water and 482 nm in the PBS buffer (pH = 5 and pH = 6.8), measured using a UV–vis–near-infrared spectrophotometer (UV, UV-3600, Japan). The standard curves of Dox absorbance–concentration in water and PBS were plotted by configuring Dox solutions with a concentration gradient (n = 6). The Dox concentration in the supernatant was obtained according the absorbance of the supernatant at 482 nm via a UV spectrophotometer, and the accumulative release ratio of Dox was further calculated through dividing the accumulative release amount of Dox by the initial Dox amount in 5 mg of n-HA-D, 5 mg of m-HA-D, 5 mg of 0.1Se-n-HA-D, and 5 mg of 0.1Se-m-HA-D. The accumulative release amount of the selenium element was determined by ICP–MS, and the accumulative release ratio of the selenium element was further calculated through dividing the accumulative release amount of selenium by the initial selenium amount in 10 mg of 0.1Se-n-HA or 10 mg of 0.1Se-m-HA.

4.6. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using Origin 9.1 software, and quantitative data were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation.
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