Direct Characterization of the Maize Starch Synthase IIa Product Shows Maltodextrin Elongation Occurs at the Non-reducing End*

Mark E. Larson, Daniel J. Falconer, Alan M. Myers, and Adam W. Barb

From the Roy J. Carver Department of Biochemistry, Biophysics and Molecular Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011

Edited by Gerald Hart

A comprehensive description of starch biosynthesis and granule assembly remains undefined despite the central nature of starch as an energy storage molecule in plants and as a fundamental calorie source for many animals. Multiple theories regarding the starch synthase (SS)-catalyzed assembly of (α1–4)-linked D-glucose molecules into maltodextrins generally agree that elongation occurs at the non-reducing terminus based on the degradation of radiolabeled maltodextrins, although recent reports challenge this hypothesis. Surprisingly, a direct analysis of the SS catalytic product has not been reported, to our knowledge. We expressed and characterized recombinant Zea mays SSIIa and prepared pure ADP-[13C]glucose in a one-pot enzymatic synthesis to address the polarity of maltodextrin chain elongation. We synthesized maltohexaose (degree of polymerization 6), and SSIIa. Product analysis by ESI-MS revealed that the [13C]glucose unit was added to the non-reducing end of the growing chain, and SSIIa demonstrated a >7,850-fold preference for addition to the non-reducing end versus the reducing end. Independent analysis of [13C]glucose added to maltohexaose by SSIIa using solution NMR spectroscopy confirmed the polarity of maltodextrin chain elongation.

Starch is composed of two types of polymers with repeating D-glucose units termed amylose and amylpectin, which constitutes ~25 and ~75% of the granule mass, respectively. Amylose contains ~1,000–3,000 glucose units joined in almost exclusively α1–4-glycosidic bonds, divided into ~3–10 “linear” chains attached to each other by α1–6 “branch” linkages (3). Amylopectin is >95% α1–4 linked with ~5% branching α1–6 linkages and contains ~5,000–10,000 glucose units (3, 4). Amylopectin and amylose are initially synthesized as (α1–4)-linked maltodextrins from ADP-α-D-glucose donor molecules by starch synthase enzymes, of which five classes are conserved in land plants, as shown in Fig. 1 (5). Amylose is predominately synthesized by granule-bound starch synthase (GBSS)2 (6), SSI, SSIIa, and SSIII are all involved in producing the linear chains of amylpectin, and SSIV along with SSIII appear to be involved in the initiation of granule formation (7, 8). Short to medium chain maltodextrins are modified by other enzymes including starch branching enzyme, phosphorylase, and starch-debranching enzyme to give rise to amylpectin. Amylose and amylpectin synthesis is tightly controlled to properly assemble the higher order structure in the starch granule that is required to expel water, although surprisingly little is known about this process. According to widely accepted models, the nascent structure is radially arranged around the initiation site with the non-reducing ends facing away from the initiation site. This is thought to be favorable because the starch granules are degraded from the non-reducing termini, meaning more surface area for efficient metabolism (1). Amylopectin forms dehydrated regions of semicrystalline and amorphous lamellae with a periodicity of 9 nm (1). The overall shape and size of starch granules can vary between species and is heavily influenced by environment; however, the periodicity of the lamellae remains constant (5).

The chemical structure of amylose and amylpectin implies that the fundamental process in the construction of starch granules is elongation of α1–4-linked glucan chains by SS. The polarity of D-glucose addition to a growing maltodextrin chain remains a primary question in starch biosynthesis and would affect enzymatic mechanisms put forward by several groups (9–11). Leloir and co-workers first addressed this question and
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demonstrated that the $[^{14}\text{C}]$glucose moiety from UDP-$[^{14}\text{C}]$glucose or ADP-$[^{14}\text{C}]$glucose was incorporated into both amylose and amylopectin within starch granules (12–14). Digestion of these granules with the exo-acting enzyme /H9252-amylase released $[^{14}\text{C}]$maltobiose, indicating D-glucose is added to the non-reducing end of the growing maltodextrin polymers. Further evidence for a non-reducing end addition mechanism was found upon analysis of reaction products with chemical degradation methods that distinguish polarity. In this case, $[^{14}\text{C}]$glucose addition (in the form of UDP-$[^{14}\text{C}]$glucose) to unlabeled maltotriose or maltotetraose using SS from starch granules occurred at the non-reducing pole (13). Robyt and co-workers (9, 15, 16) recently challenged these findings and concluded that maltodextrin elongation occurs at the reducing end using similar pulse and chase experiments that also lacked direct evaluation of the products. Both of these laboratories used enzyme mixtures from natural sources and degradation methods that are subject to errors.

The advent of recombinant protein expression techniques provided a new source of pure enzyme isoforms (8, 17–23). These studies detailed the effect of amino acid substitutions to SS enzymes, as well as kinetic characterization of substrate acceptors; however, none of these studies directly characterized the acceptor product to address the polarity of maltodextrin growth, and many show only single additions from the SS enzymes.

In this study we expressed and purified recombinant Zea mays SSIIa to address the polarity of D-glucose addition using sensitive, high resolution MS and NMR techniques. We chose maltohexaose (DP6) as the primary substrate because SSIIa was shown to elongate DP6 chains in a distributive manner (8).

**Results**

**Expression, Purification, and Analysis of ZmSSIIa—**SSII from Z. mays (ZmSSIIa) expressed in Escherichia coli as a single polypeptide with a molecular mass of 77.3 kDa as shown in Fig. 2. The majority of the protein expressed in an insoluble form, although soluble S-tagged ZmSSIIa constituted the predominant protein (>80%) following purification with S protein-agarose beads. This recombinant protein expression system
eliminates potential contamination from other competing SS isoforms or other maltodextrin-modifying enzymes that would be present using starch granules as an enzyme source. ZmSSIIa bound to S-agarose beads served as the enzyme source for all subsequent analyses. Previous analyses using this expression system demonstrated that the kinetic parameters of the enzyme were essentially identical whether it was bound to beads or free in solution after release from the matrix (23). ZmSSIIa utilized ADP-glucose in the presence of maltodextrin or glycogen. The rate of ADP generation was higher with 10 mg/ml glycogen as an acceptor substrate when compared with the rate with 10 mM maltohexaose (DP6) as shown in Fig. 3A. It is difficult to directly compare the initial velocities of these two reactions because of the undefined concentration of acceptor sites in the reaction containing glycogen. We observed background intensity in reactions that contained ADP-glucose (Fig. 3B). This background increased noise in the experiments but proved smaller than the rates observed with enzyme, acceptor, and ADP-glucose present.

Analysis of the maltodextrin products revealed multiple additions to maltohexaose (DP6) during the ZmSSIIa-catalyzed reaction. TLC analysis of the substrates and products showed the near complete disappearance of ADP-glucose, the formation of primarily maltoheptaose (DP7) in the early stages of the reaction, and a distribution of larger forms (DP8–14) in the later stages from a reaction containing ZmSSIIa (Fig. 4). A similar reaction lacking the DP6 acceptor substrate showed no product formation and consistent ADP-glucose levels. MALDI-TOF MS analysis of the maltodextrin reaction products showed

FIGURE 3. ZmSSIIa activity quantified by ADP release using the ADP-Glo reagent (Promega). A, ZmSSIIa activity using maltohexaose (DP6) or glycogen as acceptor molecules. B, the ADP-glucose preparation contributed to observed signal in the assay that was less than the ADP generated in reactions containing the combination of ADP-glucose, an acceptor substrate and SSIIa.

FIGURE 4. Analysis of ZmSSIIa products in a reaction containing maltohexaose (DP6) and ADP-glucose shows incorporation of multiple glucose units. A, TLC analysis showing the result of two reactions. B, quantification of band intensity from A.
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FIGURE 5. Synthesis and characterization ADP-[13C6]glucose. A, [13C6]glucose was completely converted using a one-pot enzymatic synthesis. B, one-dimensional NMR 1H spectrum of purified ADP-[13C6]glucose shows a high degree of purity. A chemical shift standard (4,4-dimethyl-4-silapentane-1-sulfonic acid) was added to this sample for the NMR data collection. C, two-dimensional 1H-13C HSQC of ADP-[13C6]glucose showing assigned peaks.

the same result (data not shown). The sequential addition of glucose residues to maltohexaose is consistent with reports that SSIIa acts in a distributive manner (6, 8).

These data indicate that the ZmSSIIa preparation was active in solution and catalyzed multiple additions but do not provide information regarding the polarity of maltodextrin chain growth. Once maltodextrin is extended, it is impossible to distinguish newly added glucose residues from those present in the starting material. We synthesized ADP-[13C6]glucose for use as a donor substrate in a ZmSSIIa-catalyzed reaction to eliminate isotopic symmetry of the maltodextrin product.

One-pot Synthesis of ADP-[13C6]Glucose—We pursued a one-pot, enzyme-catalyzed reaction scheme to prepare ADP-[13C6]glucose and avoid losses from purifying pathway intermediates (Fig. 5A). Hexokinase, phosphoglucomutase, a thermostable ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase (GlgC2), and an inorganic pyrophosphorylase were active in a HEPES, pH 7.5 buffer and converted >99% of the starting [13C6]glucose to ADP-[13C6]glucose. A 1H NMR spectrum of ADP-[13C6]glucose purified by anion exchange chromatography revealed no identifiable peaks corresponding contaminating species other than a pH buffer and a chemical shift standard (4,4-dimethyl-4-silapentane-1-sulfonic acid; Fig. 5B). Seven strong peaks observed in a two-dimensional heteronuclear (1H-13C) single quantum coherence (HSQC) spectrum were consistent with a highly purified sample free of contaminating nucleotide, free glucose, or other 13C-enriched molecule (Fig. 5C). ZmSSIIa utilized the isotopically labeled donor substrate as efficiently as unlabeled ADP-glucose (data not shown).

ESI-MS/MS Analysis of 13C-Labeled Maltodextrin—It is possible that ZmSSIIa adds glucose to the reducing end of maltodextrin, the non-reducing end, or a combination of both. We analyzed the reaction products directly to determine the polarity of ZmSSIIa-catalyzed maltodextrin chain elongation. The analytic scheme diagrammed in Fig. 6A utilizes unlabeled maltohexaose (DP6) as an acceptor substrate and ADP-[13C6]glucose as the donor. Reaction times were limited by removing enzyme-linked agarose beads to terminate catalysis. Next, reductive amination completely modified the reducing end of the product and unreacted maltodextrin substrate with N-(naphthyl)-ethylenediamine and provided a handle for reversed phase chromatography prior to ESI-MS. The MS spectrum indicated that DP7 constituted a majority of the product with a small amount converted to DP8, and differences of <0.01 Da separated the expected and observed masses for all species (Fig. 6B). We observed multiple singly charged forms corresponding to +H+, +Na+, and +K+ adducts of each reaction product and unreacted substrate using the positive instrument mode.

Once ions corresponding to modified substrate and product molecules were identified in MS spectra (Fig. 6B), the polarity of [13C6]glucose addition was determined by fragmenting the parent ions in a second MS dimension. If the [13C6]glucose molecule was added to the reducing end, the [13C6]glucose would be directly attached to the N-(naphthyl)-ethylenediamine tag. However, if the [13C6]glucose molecule was added to the non-reducing end, the N-(naphthyl)-ethylenediamine tag would be attached to an unlabeled [12C]glucose unit. If both ends were modified, a mixture of 12C- and 13C-labeled species would be identified and could be directly compared with determine the reaction preference. The isolation of specific parent ions from the first MS dimension using the Orbitrap instrument prevents cross-contamination of different parent ion species and thus selective MS/MS spectra.

Ion selection provided very high signal to noise measurements in the MS/MS spectra. The MS/MS spectrum of the DP6 substrate that corresponded to the parent ion, and a neutral loss of n'H (~162.1), which indicates loss of n [12C]glucose units where n = 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 (Fig. 6C). As expected for the unlabeled substrate, the reducing end N-(naphthyl)-ethylenediamine tag coupled to a [12C]glucose was also found with a mass of 351.2 Da. MS/MS spectra of the labeled DP7 reaction product revealed a neutral loss of ~168.1 Da when compared with the parent ion, indicating loss of a [13C6]glucose unit from the non-reducing end (Fig. 6D). The spectra revealed no evidence for the neutral loss of ~162.1 Da from the parent ion. Furthermore, the
Second dimension spectrum contained reducing end \(N\)-(naphthyl)-ethylenediamine tag coupled to a \(\[^{12}\text{C}\]\)glucose (351.2 Da) and revealed no evidence of a \(N\)-(naphthyl)-ethylenediamine tag coupled to a \(\[^{13}\text{C}\]\)glucose (357.2 Da; Fig. 7). Comparison of the signal intensity of the peak at 351.2 Da to the noise level observed surrounding 357.2 Da revealed that ZmSSIIa prefers the non-reducing end of maltohexaose for \(>99.987\%\) of glucose addition reactions.

Similar degradation patterns of the DP8 reaction product in MS/MS spectra provided data complementary to that described for DP7 above (Fig. 6E). In this instance neutral loss of one labeled glucose unit (~168.1 Da) or two labeled units (~336.2) from the parent ion indicated addition at the non-reducing end, and \(\[^{13}\text{C}\]\)glucose modified by the chemical tag and thus located at the reducing end was not detected.
FIGURE 7. ZmSSIIa catalyzes growth at the non-reducing end of maltohexaose with at least a 7,850-fold preference over addition to the reducing end. These data represent expansions of Fig. 6C, and the molecule symbols match those in Fig. 6.

FIGURE 8. NMR analysis of maltodextrins synthesized using ZmSSIIa and ADP-[13C6]glucose. A, model of maltohexaose (DP6) showing nomenclature. B and C, the anomeric 1H regions of one-dimensional NMR 1H (B) and two-dimensional 1H-13C HSQC spectra (C) collected on a reaction of unlabeled DP6, ZmSSIIa, and ADP-[13C6]glucose creating a small amount of DP7 (comparable with the conversion in Fig. 6B). A small amount of natural abundance signal is observed, particularly for the α and β forms at the reducing end. D, HCCH-TOCSY spectra of the reaction shows clear 13C-13C transfer occurred only in a [13C6]glucose unit added to the non-reducing end of the maltodextrin as highlighted with a dashed red circle. E and F, similar experiments collected on the acceptor substrate (E) and the donor substrate (F) do not show these features.
NMR Analysis of $^{13}$C-Labeled Maltodextrin—Labeling maltodextrins with stable isotopes provides an opportunity to validate the ESI-MS/MS analysis of SSIIa recognition polarity with an orthogonal technique. $[^{13}$C$_{U}$]Glucose addition to the non-reducing end of maltodextrin can be distinguished from reducing end modification using solution NMR spectroscopy (Fig. 8). The anomeric $^{1}$H$_{1}$ and $^{13}$C$_{1}$ nuclei (Fig. 8A) display characteristic resonance frequencies depending upon the chemistry of the glycosidic linkage and stereochemistry of the hemiacetal moiety. A glucose residue at the reducing end of a maltodextrin will have an anomeric configuration that mutarotates between $\alpha$ and $\beta$ forms with $^{1}$H$_{1}$ resonance frequencies at 5.19 and 4.62 ppm, respectively (Fig. 8B). A glucose residue at the non-reducing end or within the interior of the polymer will be locked in the $\alpha$ configuration with a $^{1}$H$_{1}$ frequency of 5.37 ppm. Carbons $^{13}$C chemical shifts are likewise distinct.

Two-dimensional HSQC NMR spectra of a reaction similar to that described for the ESI-MS/MS analysis between maltohexaose, ADP-$[^{13}$C$_{U}$]glucose, and ZmSSIIa revealed $^{1}$H-$^{13}$C correlations (Fig. 8C). Peaks for all three expected anomeric correlations in a mixture of maltohexaose (DP6) and maltohexaose (DP7) were identified (non-reducing end $\alpha$, reducing end $\alpha$, and reducing end $\beta$). $^{1}$H-$^{13}$C correlations for the free anomeric carbons are due to the ~1% natural $^{13}$C abundance rather than to labeling with incorporated $[^{13}$C$_{U}$]glucose, because they appear in spectra of unreacted maltohexaose substrate (data not shown). The peak for the bound anomeric $^{13}$C carbons, which includes the non-reducing end, has much greater intensity than the free anomeric carbon peak. The presence of a strong peak in the HSQC spectrum corresponding to the alpha form of the non-reducing end indicated the reaction specifically modified the maltohexaose non-reducing end with $[^{13}$C$_{U}$]glucose.

The effect of natural $^{13}$C abundance in NMR spectra of labeled maltodextrins may be reduced by utilizing $^{13}$C-$^{13}$C pairs to probe the added $[^{13}$C$_{U}$]glucose unit specifically with an HCCH-TOCSY pulse sequence commonly applied to assign amino acids side chain resonances in uniformly $^{13}$C-labeled proteins (24). This experiment passes magnetization between adjacent $^{13}$C-$^{13}$C pairs ($^{1}$H$_{1}$,$^{13}$C$_{1}$,$^{13}$C$_{2}$,$^{13}$C$_{2}$,$^{1}$H$_{2}$), for example), which by natural abundance represent only 1 in 10,000 carbon atoms, but 98% of the carbons in the $[^{13}$C$_{U}$, 99%]$^{13}$C, Any correlations that meet these criteria are found as off-diagonal peaks in a plot showing $^{1}$H frequency on the x and y axes. Peaks located along the diagonal (that have the same $^{1}$H frequency in both the x and y dimensions) indicate that no transfer occurred and are not informative (Fig. 8, D–F).

A two-dimensional $^{1}$H(CC)$^{1}$H-TOCSY experiment on the material prepared using the reaction described above showed correlations between the $^{1}$H1 of the non-reducing end glucose (observed on the x axis) and the $^{1}$H2 nucleus (located on the vertical dashed gray line and surrounded by a dashed red circle in Fig. 8D), but no similar cross-peaks for either the $\alpha$ or $\beta$ $^{1}$H1 glucose residue on the reducing end (marked by gray $H2$ labels in Fig. 8D). An artifact peak was observed (marked a in Fig. 8, D–F) but was identified as a minor contaminant from the ADP-$[^{13}$C$_{U}$]glucose substrate (Fig. 8F) not present in the maltohexaose substrate (Fig. 8E).

We observed a small amount of H2 signal from an HCCH-TOCSY spectrum of the unlabeled maltohexaose substrate caused by natural abundance $^{13}$C upon lowering the contour level to nearly that of noise (Fig. 8E). Although the signal from $[^{13}$C$_{U}$]glucose was much stronger, we explored the result of a longer magnetization transfer pathway to suppress background signals an additional 100-fold (or 1 in 1,000,000). This pathway involved three $^{13}$C transfers and can be seen in the H3 peaks in Fig. 8D ($^{1}$H$_{1}$,$^{13}$C$_{1}$,$^{13}$C$_{2}$,$^{13}$C$_{3}$,$^{13}$C$_{3}$,$^{1}$H3). H3 signals from a reducing end glucose residue were not observed. These HCCH-TOCSY spectra agree completely with the HSQC spectra and ESI-MS/MS analysis and unambiguously identify the non-reducing end of maltohexaose as the primary acceptor site for ZmSSIIa-catalyzed maltodextrin elongation.

**Discussion**

This study directly characterized the chemical structure of ZmSSIIa reaction products. MS analyses that directly distinguished the position of the newly added glucosyl moiety showed a striking preference for the non-reducing end within a sensitivity limit that cannot exclude one reducing end addition for more than 7,850 glucose units incorporated. NMR spectra proved less sensitive than the ESI-MS spectra and could not independently support the >7,850:1 addition ratio, however, observation of $^{1}$H correlation signals requiring two or three adjacent $^{13}$C atoms qualitatively demonstrated that the great majority of additions are at the non-reducing end. Taken together, these two analytical techniques provide definitive evidence that ZmSSIIa catalysis is specific for the non-reducing end of a maltodextrin acceptor substrate. The techniques described here can be used to directly assess this prediction for any other plant SS or glycosyl transferases from other species.

ZmSSIIa activity was undetectable when maltohexaose was omitted from the reaction. This was evident from the lack of maltodextrin products detected either by TLC or MALDI-TOF and from the consistent ADP-glucose levels (Fig. 4A, right side). Thus, in this reconstituted system, the activity of SSIIa is strictly dependent on the presence of a maltodextrin acceptor substrate.

These results support the original conclusion of Leloir and co-workers that SS present within starch granules adds primarily to the non-reducing end (12–14). It is unclear why Robyt and Leloir arrived at different conclusions despite the use of similar techniques and comparable material sources, although both relied upon indirect analysis techniques that characterized hydrolyzed glucose units following reduction or oxidation of the maltodextrin chain (9, 15, 16, 25). The technique employed by Robyt and co-workers would have been sensitive to unanticipated starch degradation that occurred prior to chemical modification and maltodextrin hydrolysis. Such degradation could have occurred enzymatically because none of the enzyme preparations used in the previous studies were biochemically pure, or they could have resulted from unforeseen chemical reactions. The independent characterization techniques used here that employed a purified recombinant enzyme and a defined maltohexaose molecule as a potential acceptor substrate, plus the direct and targeted char-
acterization of the reaction product, avoid any such potential artifacts.

Another explanation for differing conclusions of maltodextrin chain elongation polarity is that different SS enzymes employ distinct reaction mechanisms. It is formally possible ZmSSIIa utilizes a different mechanism than GBSS or the mixture of SS enzymes studied by the Leloir and Robyt laboratories (12, 26). This explanation appears to be unlikely because of the high degree of primary, secondary, and tertiary structural conservation across SS enzymes. SSI-IV, GBSS, and bacterial glycogen synthases are members of the orthologous GT5 family of glycosyl transferases, and although structures of all GT5 members are not available, analysis of reported structures indicates a high degree of structural similarity including rice GBSS (27), barley SSI (28), and glycogen synthase from E. coli (29, 30) or Agrobacterium tumefaciens (31). A structure of the E. coli glycogen synthase bound to maltotriose (DP3) shows the maltodextrin non-reducing C4-OH proximal to the β-phosphate group of ADP, where an incoming donor glucosyl moiety would be located (29, 30). These observations suggest that GT5 family members, including SSs and glycogen synthases, employ similar mechanisms that extend maltodextrins by glucosyl addition to the non-reducing end.

**Experimental Procedures**

All materials were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise noted.

**ADP-Glucose Pyrophosphorylase Purification**—A pH 7.45 expression plasmid encoding hexahistidine-tagged wild type glgC2 from *Thermotoga maritima* was provided by Dr. Christopher Meyer (Cal State, Fullerton) (32, 33). *E. coli* BL21*<sup>+</sup>* cells containing the GlgC2 expression plasmid were grown in 1 liter of Luria-Bertani medium supplemented with 100 μg/ml ampicillin to an *A*<sub>600</sub> of 0.5 at 37 °C in a rotary shaker. Protein expression was induced at this point with 1.5 g of isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside and incubated with shaking overnight at 18 °C. The cells were resuspended in a lysis buffer containing 50 mM sodium phosphate, 300 mM sodium chloride, and 10 mM imidazole, pH 8.0, and lysed by multiple passages through an Emulsiflex C5 homogenizer. The lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 16,000 × g for 1 h. The supernatant was applied to a 5-mL nickel-nitriilotriacetic acid column (Qiagen) that was washed with 5 × 5 mL of lysis buffer, 5 × 5 mL of wash buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, 300 mM sodium chloride, 5 mM dithiothreitol, pH 8.0) with 20 mL imidazole, 3 × 5 mL of wash buffer with 100 mM imidazole, 3 × 5 mL of wash buffer with 250 mM imidazole, and 1 × 5 mL of wash buffer with 500 mM imidazole. Fractions containing GlgC2 protein were identified using SDS-PAGE, pooled, exchanged into lysis buffer containing the GlgC2 expression plasmid were grown in 1 liter of the kinase detection reagent (ADP-Glo<sup>TM</sup> kinase assay; Promega) was added to each well, and the plate was developed with a running solution of 85:20:50:60 acetone:methanol:5% sulfuric acid, and 0.1% (w/v) bovine serum albumin, pH 7.5, in a white 96-well microtiter plate. After all time points were collected, 10 μl of the kinase detection reagent (ADP-Glo<sup>TM</sup> kinase assay; Promega) was added to each well, and the plate was developed for 5 min at 25 °C. Luminescence was measured using a Synergy 2 plate reader (Biotek) and compared with a standard solutions containing known amounts of ADP.

**Thin Layer Chromatography**—Reaction supernatant (2 μl) was spotted on a silica gel 60 TLC plate (EMD Millipore). The plate was developed with a running solution of 85:20:50:60 ace-toni-trile:ethyl acetate:isopropanol:water and dried. This process was repeated twice, and following the third drying, the plate was thoroughly dried and dipped in a solution of 95% methanol, 5% sulfuric acid, and 0.3% N-(1-naphthyl)-ethylene-diamine-dihydrochloride. The plate was allowed to air dry and then incubated in a 120 °C oven for 10 min or until the appearance of uniform color development (26). The maltodextrin standard was prepared by debranching shellfish glycogen with iso-

unit/μl inorganic pyrophosphatase (I1643; Sigma), 0.14 mg/ml ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase (GlgC2), pH 7.5 was incubated for 1 day at 25 °C. The plate was developed using a Bruker 700-MHz solution NMR system equipped with a 5-mm cryogenically cooled probe. The reaction was filtered through a sterile 0.22-μm filter. ADP-[<sup>13</sup>C]<sub>6</sub>glucose was purified from contaminating reaction components with a buffer containing 5% 1 M sodium hydroxide and eluted with a 0–95% gradient of 1 M ammonium acetate from a Carbo PAC PA-10 on a Dionex HPLC system (Thermo Scientific). Fractions containing ADP-[<sup>13</sup>C]<sub>6</sub>glucose were pooled and lyophilized. The resulting powder was resuspended in D<sub>2</sub>O and quantified using 1H NMR by comparison with an internal 4,4-dimethyl-4-silapentane-1-sulfonic acid standard.

**SSIIa Purification**—Z. mays SSIIa containing an N-terminal S-tag was expressed from a pET29:SSIIa plasmid and purified as previously described (23). The amount of protein attached to the S protein-agarose beads (EMD Millipore) was quantified by adding 10 μl of 1:1 SSIIa bead:buffer slurry to 20 μl of 8 M urea followed by centrifugation. The A<sub>280</sub> of the resulting supernatant was measured on a NanoDrop 2000C spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific), and SSIIa concentration was estimated using a molar extinction coefficient of 117,730 M<sup>−1</sup> cm<sup>−1</sup>.

**The SSIIa-catalyzed Reaction**—Activity assays were performed after each purification. We noted that SSIIa activity reduced by ~50% after 1 week at 4 °C, so each activity assay was performed on SSIIa that was not more than 1 week old. Reactions consisted of 20 mM glycine, 5 mM EDTA, 0.5 mg/ml bovine serum albumin, 5 mM dithiothreitol, acceptor substrate (maltohexaose or shellfish glycogen), 2–4 mM ADP-glucose or ADP-[<sup>13</sup>C]<sub>6</sub>glucose, 5 μM SSIIa, pH 8.2, and were performed with shaking at 20–37 °C with constant gentle rotation to mix the beads and the solution. Aliquots were removed to monitor the reaction progress and then centrifuged for 1 min at 0.1 × g to separate the bead-bound SSIIa from the reaction supernatant.

**SSIIa Activity Assayed Using the ADP-Glo<sup>TM</sup> Kinase Reagent**—Reaction supernatant (5 μl) was added to 85 μl of 40 mM tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane, 20 mM sodium chloride, and 0.1% (w/v) bovine serum albumin, pH 7.5, in a white 96-well microtiter plate. After all time points were collected, 10 μl of the kinase detection reagent (ADP-Glo<sup>TM</sup> kinase assay; Promega) was added to each well, and the plate was developed for 5 min at 25 °C. Luminescence was measured using a Synergy 2 plate reader (Biotek) and compared with a standard solutions containing known amounts of ADP.
amylase (10 units) (Megazyme) in pH 4.5 sodium acetate buffer at 22 °C for 24 h as previously described (35).

Analysis by MALDI-TOF MS—Reactions were analyzed using a Voyager DE Pro Star MALDI-TOF instrument (Applied Biosystems). Reaction supernatant (0.5 μl) was mixed with 0.5 μl of matrix solution (40 mg/ml 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid in 80:20 acetonitrile:water) and applied to the MALDI plate. The crystals were shot in reflector mode with positive polarity with the following settings: laser intensity, 2,800; accelerating voltage, 19,000; grid, 76%; guide wire, 0.2%; and delay time, 275 ns.

Analysis by ESI-MS—Reaction supernatant was further analyzed with an Agilent 1260 liquid chromatography system liquid chromatography system coupled with an ESI-MS (Q exclusive hybrid quadrupole Orbitrap mass spectrometer; Thermo Scientific). First, samples were modified at the reducing end with reductive amination. Reaction supernatant (25 μl) was added to 20 μl of 0.2 M N-(naphthyl)-ethylenediamine dihydrochloride in 15% acetic acid, 5 μl of 5 M sodium cyanoborohydride and incubated at 37 °C for 15 h (35). Samples (10 μl) were injected at a flow rate of 0.03 ml/min onto a C18 reversed phase column previously equilibrated with 95% solvent A (0.1% formic acid in water) and 5% solvent B (0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile) at 20 °C. Samples were eluted using a linear gradient of 5–35% solvent B for 1–8 min followed by a linear gradient from 35–100% solvent B for 8–13 min. The ESI-MS instrument was set to positive polarity with 3,600 kV spray voltage and a mass scan range of 100–1,500 m/z. MS/MS spectra were collected on the MALDI plate. The data were displayed and analyzed using Thermo XCalibur Qual Browser (version 3.0.63).

Analysis of 13C-Labeled Dextran by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy—Analysis was performed on a Bruker 18.8T MHz Avance III system equipped with a 5-mm cryogenically cooled TXI probe. This system was equipped with TopSpin 3.2. Standard 1H detection, 1H-13C HSQC, and HCCH-TOCSY experiments were performed.
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