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Automated Synthesis and Initial Evaluation of (4′-Amino-5′, 8′-difluoro-1′H-spiro[piperidine-4,2′-quinazolin]-1-yl)(4-[18F]fluorophenyl)methanone for PET/MR Imaging of Inducible Nitric Oxide Synthase
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Background. Inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) plays a crucial role in neuroinflammation, especially microglial activity, and may potentially represent a useful biomarker of neuroinflammation. In this study, we carefully defined a strategic plan to develop iNOS-targeted molecular PET imaging using (4′-amino-5′,8′-difluoro-1′H-spiro[piperidine-4,2′-quinazolin]-1-yl)(4-fluorophenyl)methanone ([18F]FBAT) as a tracer in a mouse model of lipopolysaccharide- (LPS-) induced brain inflammation.

Methods. An in vitro model, murine microglial BV2 cell line, was used to assess the uptake of [18F]FBAT in response to iNOS induction at the cellular level. In vivo whole-body dynamic PET/MR imaging was acquired in LPS-treated (5 mg/kg) and control mice. Standard uptake value (SUV), total volume of distribution (Vt), and area under the curve (AUC) based on the [18F]FBAT PET signals were determined. The expression of iNOS was confirmed by immunohistochemistry (IHC) of brain tissues.

Results. At the end of synthesis, the yield of [18F]FBAT was 2.2–3.1% (EOS), radiochemical purity was >99%, and molar radioactivity was 125–137 GBq/μmol. In vitro, [18F]FBAT rapidly and progressively accumulated in murine microglial BV2 cells exposed to LPS; however, [18F]FBAT accumulation was inhibited by aminoguanidine, a selective iNOS inhibitor. In vivo biodistribution studies of [18F]FBAT showed a significant increase in the liver and kidney on LPS-treated mice. At 3 h postinjection of LPS, the [18F]FBAT accumulation ratios at 30 min post intravenous (i.v.) radiotracer injection for the whole brain, cortex, cerebellum, and brainstem were 2.16 ± 0.18, 1.53 ± 0.25, 1.41 ± 0.21, and 1.90 ± 0.12, respectively, compared to those of mice not injected with LPS. The mean area under the curve (AUC0–30min), total volume of distribution (Vt, mL/cm3), and Ki (influx rate) of [18F]FBAT were 1.9 ± 0.21- and 1.4 ± 0.22-fold higher in the 3 h LPS group, respectively, than in the control group. In...
the pharmacokinetic two-compartment model, the whole brain $K_t$ of $^{[18]F}$FBAT was significantly higher in mice injected with LPS compared to the control group. Aminoguanidine, selective iNOS inhibitor, pretreatment significantly reduced the AUC$_{0-30min}$ and $V_t$ values in LPS-induced mice. Quantitative analysis of immunohistochemically stained brain sections confirmed iNOS was preferentially upregulated in the cerebellum and cortex of mice injected with LPS. Conclusion. An automated robotic method was established for radiosynthesis of $^{[18]F}$FBAT, and the preliminary in vitro and in vivo results demonstrated the feasibility of detecting iNOS activity/expression in LPS-treated neuroinflammation by noninvasive imaging with $^{[18]F}$FBAT PET/MRI.

1. Introduction

Nitric oxide (NO) is a critical, unique mediator of a variety of physiological and pathological processes [1, 2]. Two constitutively expressed nitric oxide synthase (NOS) isozymes—neuronal NOS (nNOS) and endothelial NOS (eNOS)—and the inducible isozyme iNOS generate NO by oxidizing L-arginine to L-citrulline [3, 4]. NOS are detected in a variety of tissues and participate in distinct physiological functions: NO produced by nNOS, which is mainly expressed in the peripheral nerves and brain, acts as a neuromodulator and a neurotransmitter, whereas eNOS is primarily expressed in vascular endothelial cells and plays a role in regulation of blood pressure [1, 5]. In contrast, iNOS is not constitutively expressed in cells and is only induced when cells are stimulated, typically by proinflammatory cytokines and/or bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) [6, 7]. In the central nervous system (CNS), iNOS is expressed in a variety of glial cells, such as astrocytes and microglia. Microglia are considered the "macrophages of the brain." Induction of iNOS expression is thought to be a specific marker of the M1 macrophage and microglial phenotypes [8] and mediates host defense and inflammatory processes induced by various stimuli. The levels of iNOS expression are limited in neurons compared to glial cells.

Nitric oxide (NO), the end product of metabolism catalyzed by the iNOS, is an important mediator of a variety of inflammatory diseases. When induced, iNOS generates significant amounts of NO (in the micromolar range), until the enzyme is degraded, sometimes hours later [9]. Production of NO by iNOS helps to defend against invading pathogens and thus plays critical roles in the inflammatory response and innate immune system. However, production of inappropriately high NO concentrations due to overexpression or dysregulation of iNOS can result in toxic effects and is associated with a variety of human diseases, including septic shock, cardiac dysfunction, pain, diabetes, and cancer [6].

LPS is frequently employed to induce neuroinflammation in animal models. LPS induces the release of immunologically active mediators implicated in inflammatory diseases—including TNF-α, IL-6, IL-8, and IL-1β—from host cells, especially mononuclear phagocytes [10]. Moreover, NO itself can also mediate inflammatory processes, as inhibiting iNOS activity and NO generation reduced the progression and severity of inflammatory disease in experimental models. Therefore, development of a radiolabeled iNOS radiopharmacetical to noninvasively assess iNOS protein concentrations in living tissues—as a specific biomarker of iNOS activity—would advance our knowledge of NO-related diseases and may help to identify novel treatments.

Several PET imaging tracers based on iNOS inhibitors have been developed for noninvasive assessment of iNOS levels in living tissues, including N-omega-nitro-L-arginine $^{[11]}$C]methyl ester ([11C]L-NAME) (iNOS IC$_{50}$ = 20 μM) [11], S-[11C]methylisothiourea ([11C]MITU, iNOS IC$_{50}$ = 3.0 μM, 2.3-fold selectivity vs. eNOS), and S-(2-[18F]fluoroethyl)isothioureia ([18F]FEITU, iNOS IC$_{50}$ = 0.14 μM, 9-fold selectivity vs. eNOS) [12, 13]. Furthermore, 8-fluoro-3-(4-fluorophenyl)-3,4-dihydro-1-isouquinolin-l-amine (FFDI) has a high affinity (IC$_{50}$ = 0.16 μM) and selectivity for iNOS (100-fold selectivity for iNOS vs. nNOS, ~1000-fold vs. eNOS) [14]. 8-Fluoro-3-(4-[18F]fluorophenyl)-3,4-dihydro-1-isouquinolin-l-amine ([18F]FFDI), which is based on FFDI, has been reported to act as a PET radiotracer for iNOS [15]. Moreover, [18F]6-(2-fluoropropyl)-4-methylpyridin-2-amine ([18F]NOS-9) was used as a PET tracer to image the activation of iNOS in a mouse model of lung inflammation [16] (among structures described above are shown in Suppl. Fig. 1).

Because FFDI demonstrated similar or even superior potency in the cells to that of the standard iNOS inhibitors such as L-NMMA, FEITU, or MITU [14], therefore, in this report, based on FFDI, we developed (4′-amino-5′,8′-difluoro-1′H-spiro[piperidine-4,2′-quinazolin-1-yl](4′-[18F]fluorophenyl)-methane ([18F]FBAT) as a tracer to detect iNOS expression in mice injected with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) to induce brain inflammation. We assessed the potential of $^{[18]F}$FBAT as a prognostic marker for the progression of neuroinflammatory disorders. $^{[18]F}$FBAT was radiosynthesized from aryl pinacol boronates via the copper-mediated aromatic nucleophilic radiofluorination at an acceptable radiochemical yield and molar activity. Moreover, the $^{[18]F}$FBAT PET findings were correlated with the results of immunohistochemistry. We anticipate that this study will not only advance our understanding of neuroinflammation diseases from the perspective of molecular imaging but also potentially help to develop novel tools to diagnose and guide the management of these diseases during the early progressive stages in humans.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Reagents and Equipment. A Scanditronix MC 17 cyclotron was used for radionuclide production. The radiosynthesis was performed using a robotic system (ANATEC, Uppsala, Sweden). Radiochemical yields were determined with a dose calibrator (Capintec CRC-712M, Florham Park, NJ, USA). The radioactive mixtures were purified via semipreparative high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a Varian 230 pump, Varian 325 UV/VIS detector (Varian Corp., Palo Alto, CA, USA), a pin-diode
radiodetector (Bioscan 2006; Capintec Inc., Florham Park, NJ, USA), and a Rotavapor (Büchi, Flawil, Switzerland). NMR spectra of [19F]FBAT reference standard were determined by NMR spectrophotometer (Bruker, Bruker 300 Ultrashield, USA). MS of [19F]FBAT reference standard were determined by LC/MS (Agilent Technologies, 6120 Quadrupole, USA). NMR spectra of [19F]FBAT precursor were determined by NMR spectrophotometer (Varian Inc./California, 400 MR, 400 MHz, USA). ESI-Mass of [18F]FBAT precursor were determined by LC/MS (Varian Inc./California, Varian 500-MS LC Ion Trap, USA). ESI-Mass of [18F]FBAT precursor were determined by LC/MS (Agilent Technologies, 6120 Quadru-

2.2. Chemistry

2.2.1. Synthesis of (4-Iodophenyl)(1,4-dioxa-8-azaspiro[4.5]
decan-8 yl)methanone (1). Boc₂O (1.1 g, 5.03 mmol) dis-
tolved in tetrahydrofuran (10 mL) was added to a solution of 1,4-dioxa-8-azaspiro[4.5]decane (2 g, 14.0 mmol) and dichloromethane (130 mL, anhydrous) under argon gas in an ice-bath; then after 10 min, a solution of 4-iodobenzoyl chloride (3.72 g, 14.0 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature. Saturated NaHCO₃ solution was added, and the organic layer was separated and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica-gel column chromatography (60% ethyl acetate/n-hexane) to yield 5 g (96%) of compound 1 as a white solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.75 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.13 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.98 (s, 4H), 3.82 (br s, 2H), 3.46 (br s, 2H), 1.78 (br s, 2H), 1.63 (br s, 2H); MS (ESI+) m/z (%) 483.1 [M+H]+, 399.2 [M+Na]+.

2.2.2. Synthesis of 1-(4-Iodobenzoyl)piperidin-4-one (2). A solution of compound 1 (3 g, 8.00 mmol) in hydrochloric acid (50 mL, 6 N (aq)) was heated for 2 h at 90°C, cooled to room temperature, adjusted pH 10–11 with sodium hydroxide solution (10 wt%), diluted with dichloromethane and the organic layer was separated, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica-gel column chromatography (50% ethyl acetate/n-hexane) to yield 1.3 g (49%) of compound 2 as a white solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.80 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.86 (br s, 4H), 2.50 (br s, 4H); MS (ESI+) m/z (%) 330.1 [M+H]+, 352.0 [M+Na]+.

2.2.3. Synthesis of Compound 3. A solution of compound 1 (404 mg, 1.23 mmol) and 2-amino-3,6-difluorobenzamide dihydrochloride (300 mg, 1.23 mmol) in ethanol (5 mL) was refluxed overnight and cooled to room temperature, and the solid precipitate was filtered and purified by silica-gel column chromatography (5–10% methanol/dichloromethane) to yield 450 mg (76%) of compound 3 as a yellow solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.74 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.14 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.00 (td, J = 4.8 Hz, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 6.33 (m, 1H), 4.22 (br s, 1H), 3.70-3.45 (m, 3H), 2.20-1.90 (m, 2H), 1.70-1.60 (m, 2H); MS (ESI+) m/z (%) 481.3 [M+H]+.

2.2.4. Synthesis of Compound 4. Boc₂O (1.1 g, 5.03 mmol) dis-
tolved in tetrahydrofuran (10 mL) was added to a solution of compound 3 (485 mg, 1.10 mmol) and DMAP (123 mg, 1.01 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 mL, anhydrous) in an ice bath. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residual solution was purified by silica-gel column chromatography (40% ethyl acetate/n-hexane) to yield 432 mg (70%) of compound 4 as a foamy yellow solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.76 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.16 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 6.99 (td, J = 4.4 Hz, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 6.30 (m, 1H), 4.39 (br s, 1H), 4.16 (s, 1H), 3.70-3.52 (m, 2H), 3.50-3.36 (m, 1H), 2.38-2.26 (b, 1H), 2.18-2.08 (m, 1H), 1.80-1.56 (m, 2H), 1.40 (s, 18H); MS (ESI-) m/z (%) 681.7 [M-H]-.

2.2.5. Synthesis of [19F]FBAT Precursor (Boron N-2-Protected Precursor) (tart-Butyl-4′-((tart-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-5′,8′-
difluoro-1-(4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3-dioxaborolan-2-yl) benzoyl)-1′H-spiro[piperidine-4,1′-quinazoline]-1′-carboxylate). A solution of compound 4 (360 mg, 0.53 mmol), palladium acetate (2.4 mg, 11 mmol), copper iodide (20 mg, 0.11 mmol), triphenylphosphine (2.8 mg, 11 mmol), cesium carbonate (258 mg, 0.79 mmol), and bis(pinacol) diboron (200 mg, 0.79 mmol) in acetonitrile (5 mL) was stirred for 16 h at room temperature, filtered through a celite pad, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was diluted with dichloromethane and water; the organic layer was separated, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica-gel column chromatography (50% ethyl acetate/n-hexane) to yield 176 mg (49%) of boron N-2-protected precursor as a light-yellow solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.85 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.99 (td, J = 4.8 Hz, 10.0 Hz, 1H), 6.29 (td, J = 3.6, 10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (br s, 1H), 4.16 (s, 1H), 3.68-3.40 (m, 3H), 2.38-2.26 (m, 1H), 2.16-2.06 (m, 1H), 1.80-1.60 (m, 2H), 1.42 (s, 9H), 1.38 (s, 9H), 1.35 (s, 12H); MS (ESI-) m/z (%) 681.4 [M-H]- (Suppl. Fig. 2A-B).

2.2.6. Synthesis of Compound 5. The solution of 20 mL of methanol and metal sodium (0.364 g, 15.8 mmol) was placed into a 250 mL three-port bottle under N₂ atmosphere to prepare sodium methoxide, and hydroxylamine hydrochloride (1.100 g, 15.8 mmol) was added, the mixture was stirred, 2-

random-3,6-difluorobenzonitrile (2.440 g, 15.8 mmol) was
added gradually, and then, the solution was allowed to equilibrate to room temperature and refluxed for 18 h.

The mixture was concentrated to oil that partitioned between ethyl acetate and 10% sodium hydroxide solution. The basic phase was separated and extracted three times with ethyl acetate, and the organic solution was washed three times with saturated brine and dried over sodium sulfate. The solvent was evaporated, and the product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel and eluted in DCM/MeOH = 100/1–50/1 to obtain compound 5 as a white solid product (1.831 g), yield 61.8%. 1H-NMR (CDCl₃) 6.96–6.88 (1H, m), 6.82 (1H, m), 6.38–6.30 (1H, m), 5.15 (4H, s). LC-MS: calculated for C₇H₇F₂N₃O, 187.15; found [M+H] 188.1.

2.2.8. Synthesis of Compound 6. Compound 5 (1.830 g, 9.8 mmol) and wet Raney nickel (ca. 1.002 g) in ethanol (80 mL) were placed in a pressure bottle and stirred under 0.3 MPa hydrogen at 60°C for 8 h. The catalyst was removed by filtration and the solvent evaporated to give the product as oil, which was dissolved in ethanol (5 mL). HCl (1 N) in ether was added under stirring, and solid compound 5 was collected by filtration to give an off-white powder (2.028 g), yield almost 100%. 1H-NMR (CDCl₃) 9.48 (2H, s), 9.24 (2H, s), 7.26–7.18 (1H, m), 6.48–6.41 (1H, m). LC-MS: calculated for C₇H₇F₂N₃, 171.15; found [M+H] 172.0.
the pH to 14, the organic layer was separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with toluene, and the toluene layer was combined, followed by drying on anhydrous sodium carbonate. After removing sodium carbonate by filtration, the solvent was distilled off under reduced pressure, and the residue was distilled under reduced pressure to obtain a colorless liquid (25.1 g; yield, 79.2%). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) 3.96 (4H, s), 2.94-2.90 (4H, t), 1.68-1.64 (4H, t), 1.42 (1H, s). LC-MS: calculated for C₇H₁₃NO₂, 143.19; found [M+H]⁺.

2.2.10. Synthesis of Compound 8. Compound 7 (1.001 g, 6.98 mmol), triethylamine (1.555 g, 15.37 mmol), DMAP (0.085 g, 0.69 mmol), and methylene chloride (20 mL) were added to a three-mouth bottle in an ice-salt bath under N₂ atmosphere. A methylene chloride solution of 4-fluorobenzoyl chloride (1.426 g, 7.68 mmol) was added dropwise while keeping the temperature below 0°C; then, the solution was equilibrated to room temperature and stirred overnight. The DCM was diluted and washed with brine, and the organic extract was dried over magnesium sulfate and filtered. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the remaining mixture was separated, dried, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel and eluted with DCM/MeOH = 100/1 to obtain compound 8 as an off-white solid (1.610 g). The product was recrystallized in ethyl acetate and n-hexane to obtain 1.152 g of white crystals (yield, 62.1%). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) 7.41-7.39 (2H, m), 7.12-7.09 (2H, t), 3.99 (4H, s), 3.82 (2H, s), 3.51 (2H, s), 1.72-1.69 (4H, m). LC-MS: calculated for C₁₄H₁₆FNO₃, 265.28; found [M+H]⁺.

2.2.9. Synthesis of FBAT Standard (¹⁸F)FBAT. Compound 6 (0.310 g; yield, 79.2%) ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) 7.41-7.39 (2H, m), 7.12-7.09 (2H, t), 3.99 (4H, s), 3.82 (2H, s), 3.51 (2H, s), 1.72-1.69 (4H, m). LC-MS: calculated for C₁₄H₁₆FNO₃, 265.28; found [M+H]⁺

2.3. Radiochemistry

2.3.1. Production of Reactive [¹⁸F]HF. No-carrier-added (NCA) aqueous [¹⁸F]F-fluoride was produced with a Scanditronix MC17F cyclotron by proton irradiation of 98% enriched [¹⁸O]water with 37 μAh beam current integration. Aqueous [¹⁸F]HF was delivered with helium, passed through a preconditioned QMA cartridge, and eluted with 550 μL of water eluent containing 50 μg of K₂CO₃ and 5 mg of KOTf into a 5 mL Reacti-vial. The water was evaporated using a stream of nitrogen (200 mL/min) at 120°C and coevaporated to dryness with CH₂CN (7 × 0.5 mL). Cu(II)(OTf)₂ (7.3 mg) and 0.1 mL pyridine/0.6 mL dry DMF were added to the dried [¹⁸F]KF, and dry air was delivered to the vial for 1 min.

2.3.2. Production of [¹⁸F]FBAT. The precursor of [¹⁸F]FBAT (2.0 mg in 0.5 mL of DMF) was added to the dried [¹⁸F]KF, and dry air was delivered to the vial for 1 min. The labeling reaction was carried out in a heating block station at 110°C for 10 min. The reaction mixture was passed through a silica plus Sep-Pak cartridge that had been preconditioned with 5 mL of CH₂Cl₂ to keep the cartridge wet. Then, the vial was rinsed with 1.5 mL of CH₂OH/CH₂Cl₂ (2/3 v/v), the solution was passed through a silica plus Sep-Pak cartridge, and the eluate was reduced to 0.4 mL in a heating block station at 65°C under a stream of nitrogen (200 mL/min) in a vacuum. One milliliter of 6 N HCl was added, the solution was heated at 110°C for 10 min and cooled to room temperature, and 1 mL of 6 N NaOH was added to neutralize the solution. The resulting solution was passed through a 0.22 μm PVDF membrane filter (Millipore) into a 5 mL Reacti-vial. The reaction scheme is shown in Figure 1(a).

2.3.3. Robotic System. All procedures described above were performed using a robotic system (Scanditronix Anatech RB III, Uppsala, Sweden). A diagram of the synthetic system is shown in Figure 1(b). The robotic system included a hand station that gripped the Reacti-vials, a syringe station that dispensed the solutions, a vortex station, a heating block station, cap stations that capped and uncapped the Reacti-vials, a solid phase extraction station for primary column purifications, a movable Reacti-vial rack station that collected the eluted fractions, a filtration station that filtered the raw [¹⁸F]FBAT product, a filter check station that checked the integrity of the 0.22 μm membrane filters, a solvent rack station, and a water cooling station.

2.3.4. Purification and Formulation of [¹⁸F]FBAT. Crude [¹⁸F]FBAT was purified via semipreparative HPLC on a C18 guard column (YMC-Triart, RP-C18, 5 μm, 10 mm × 20 mm) followed by a RP-C18 semipreparative column (YMC-Triart, C18 reverse phase, 5 μm, 120 A˚, 250 mm × 20 mm) and eluted with acetonitrile/0.1% triethylamine/H₂O (3/2, v/v) pH 9.0 at a flow rate of 10 mL/min. The [¹⁸F]FBAT fraction was transferred to a round-bottomed flask and evaporated to dryness using a rota-evaporator. The formulation was prepared by adding 2 mL of physiological saline (0.9%) to reconstitute the final [¹⁸F]FBAT product. The saline solution of [¹⁸F]FBAT was passed through a 0.22 μm filter (Millex-GV, Millipore Corp., Burlington, MI, USA) into a sterile, pyrogen-free vial.
2.4. Quality Assurance. The radiochemical purity and molar activity of $[^{18}F]$FBAT were determined via analytical HPLC on a reverse phase column (YMC-Triart, RP-C18, 250 × 4.6 mm, 120 Å, 5 μm, Japan) and eluted with acetonitrile/(0.025% triethylamine/H$_2$O) (2/3 v/v; pH 9.0) at a flow rate of 1 mL/min and detected using a UV-VIS detector (254 nm) and radio detector. The amount of organic solvent was determined by gas chromatography.

![Figure 1](image-url)
2.5. Log P Measurements. The partition coefficient of FBAT, determined between 1-octanol and 0.02 M phosphate buffer at pH 7.4, was measured by UV spectrophotometer (SPARK 10 M from Tecxan) at wavelength 230 nm [17, 18].

2.6. In Vitro Assessment of LPS-Dependent Nitric Oxide (NO) Production. Previously, BV2 cells have been shown to respond to LPS stimulation by increasing the expression of iNOS [19] and released nitric oxide (NO) in a concentration-dependent manner [20]. Thus, we investigated the dose-dependent increase in NO production in LPS-challenged BV2 cells.

Briefly, murine microglial BV2 cells [19] were cultured in high-glucose Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 100 U/mL penicillin. The cells were cultured in 6-well plates (~50,000 cells/well) in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere at 37°C until ~60–70% confluent, washed three times with fresh media, and cultured in complete media containing LPS (0, 50, 100, or 200 ng/mL, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). At the indicated time points, the culture media were harvested.

To assay NO, 100 μL aliquots of culture media were added to 100 μL of Griess reagent (1% sulfanilamide in 5% H₃PO₄) in 96-well plates, incubated at room temperature for 10 min; then, 50 μL NED Griess reagent (0.1% N-naphthyl-ethylenediamine dihydrochloride) was added and incubated for 10 min, and the absorbance values were determined at 540 nm using a TECAN Sunrise ELISA Reader. Fresh culture medium was used as a blank. The concentrations of nitrite were determined by comparison with a standard curve of sodium nitrite prepared in cell culture media.

2.7. In Vitro [¹⁸F]FBAT Uptake Assay. BV2 cells were cultured as described above, washed three times with fresh medium, pretreated with a selective iNOS inhibitor, amino-guanidine (AMG), (0, 1, or 0.1 mM) for 1 h, and then treated with cell culture media containing LPS and the inhibitor. At the indicated time points, the cells were washed thrice with fresh cell culture media, then incubated in fresh cell culture media containing the radiotracer [¹⁸F]FBAT at 0.37 MBq/mL for 15, 30, or 60 min, harvested by gentle scraping, and pelleted by centrifugation at 1500 g for 2 min. The weight of the cell pellet and 0.1 mL of the radioactive supernatant was determined. A Packard 5500 gamma counter (PerkinElmer, Billerica, MA, USA) was used to quantify radioactivity as cpm/g cells or cpm/mL media, respectively. Cell-to-medium radioactivity concentration ratios were calculated and plotted over time to evaluate the kinetics of accumulation of the radiotracer.

iNOS is the enzyme responsible for NO generation in BV2 cells [20, 21]; therefore, accumulation of [¹⁸F]FBAT in BV2 cells before or after iNOS inhibitor versus NO production was also assessed.

2.8. Animals. All animal handling procedures were approved by Yang-Ming University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC No. 1050910), and animal study was performed according to the Guidelines for Animal Experimentation of National Yang-Ming University. For metabolism study, the ten-week-old male Sprague-Dawley rat (255 ± 12.3 g) or eight-week-old male C57BL/6 mice (22 ± 0.4 g) received food and water ad libitum and were housed under controlled room temperature (22 ± 2°C) and humidity (55-65%) under a 12:12 h light-dark cycle. The dark cycle lasted from 19:00 to 7:00.

We performed a preliminary study to identify the dose of LPS that induces moderate neuroinflammation in mice. Male C57BL/6 mice from BioLASCO (n = 18, weight = 25 ± 0.6 g) were intratracheally administered a bolus containing 5, 10, or 15 mg/kg LPS (Sigma-Aldrich; n = 6 mice per group). Behavior, breathing rate, appetite, and mortality rate were recorded over 48 h. The group receiving 10 and 15 mg/kg LPS exhibited mild to moderate clinical and physical symptoms of adult respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) within 24 h of administration of LPS. All animals exhibited chills; the mortality rates for the 5, 10, and 15 mg/kg LPS groups were 16.6%, 50%, and 83%, respectively. The mortality rates reduced to 0%, 40%, and 50%, respectively, when the animals were kept on heating pads until they recovered; these animals were less mobile and had decreased appetite. Thus, 5 mg/kg was determined as a suitable dose of LPS to induce moderate neuroinflammation in mice.

For the imaging experiments, twelve C57BL/6 mice were injected i.p. with 0.9% NaCl, eighteen mice were injected i.p. with 0.1 mL of LPS in saline (5 mg/kg), and six mice were injected i.p. with amino-guanidine (Sigma-Aldrich) 30 min prior to 5 mg/kg LPS. In vivo imaging was performed 3 h or 24 h after injection of LPS; then, the mice were immediately humanely euthanized and the brains were removed for immunostaining.

2.9. Assessment of Radiolabeled Metabolites in Blood Plasma. Adult Sprague-Dawley rats (n = 3) were anesthetized with 2% isoflurane (in oxygen) and injected with 194.87 MBq ± 22.61 MBq of [¹⁸F]FBAT in the tail vein. Plasma was extracted from heparinized blood samples (0.25 mL) with 3x volumes of acetonitrile and analyzed with radio-HPLC; mobile phase: 40% MeCN/0.10% TEA in water at 1 mL/min. This method affords retention time of [¹⁸F]FBAT which was 18.1–18.9 min. Fractions of [¹⁸F]FBAT and metabolites were calculated for each sample based on the area under each peak. The radioactivity concentration in the whole blood and plasma was assayed using a gamma counter (Cobra, Packard, CT).

2.10. In Vivo PET Imaging. Mice (n = 30) were anesthetized with 2% isoflurane (in oxygen) and injected with [¹⁸F]FBAT (11.1 MBq; 0.3 mCi) via the tail vein. Dynamic PET images were obtained in fully three-dimensional list mode for 30 min using a small animal SuperArgus 2r PET system (SEDECAL, Madrid, Spain) or 7T PETMR Inline (Bruker, Rheinstetten, Germany; energy window, 350-650 keV; timing window, 6 ns). Images were acquired every 10 s for 12 images, 60 s for three images, 300 s for three images, or 600 s for four images. The anatomical structure of the brain was imaged using T2 MRI. The MRI sequences included 0.5 mm thick T2 Turbo RARE high-resolution images (TR = 3000 ms, TE, 46 ms, matrix, 512 × 256, average, 40, slice number, 30, field of view, 20 × 10 mm).
2.11. In Vivo Blocking Study. After determining the optimal imaging time point for [18F]FBAT, we performed a blocking study using a selective iNOS inhibitor to assess the specificity of the tracer for iNOS. Separate 7T PET/MR studies with [18F]FBAT were performed for mice (n = 6) administered a bolus of aminoguanidine (50 mg/kg i.p.) 30 min prior to administration of [18F]FBAT. The time course of [18F]FBAT radioactivity in the brain after administration of the iNOS inhibitor was assessed and compared to that of the mice in the LPS 3 h group.

2.12. PET Imaging Analysis. Images were reconstructed by the Fourier rebinning algorithm and two-dimensional filtered back projection using a ramp filter with a cutoff at Nyquist. The regional radioactivity concentration (kBq/cc) of [18F]FBAT was estimated from the mean pixel values within the region of interest (ROI) corresponding to MR images of various organs and regions of the brain. ROI for whole brain, cortex, cerebellum, and brainstem were determined as described above. TACs for whole brain, cortex, cerebellum, and brainstem were also determined as described above.

The concentration of radioactivity (kBq/cc, μCi/cc) was converted to standardized uptake value (SUV), and the mean and standard deviation (SD) of radiotracer accumulation values were calculated for different organs and regions of the brain. Data were analyzed with PMOD 4.0 software (PMOD Technologies Ltd., Zurich, Switzerland).

2.13. Graphic Logan Graphical Analyses. The dynamic PET imaging data were analyzed using Logan’s independent graphical analysis [22] to assess whether [18F]FBAT PET/MR could be used to detect differences in expression of iNOS in brain regions (Cbrain(t)). Cardiac blood TACs (Cref(t)) were used as the reference tissue. The slope of the linear portion of the Logan plot represents the total distribution (Vt). Then, the slope of the linear portion of the plot can be calculated using

\[ \frac{\int_0^t C_{\text{reference}}(t)dt}{C_{\text{reference}}(T)} = \frac{\int_0^t C_{\text{brain region}}(t)dt}{C_{\text{reference}}(T)} + C. \]  

2.14. Pharmacokinetic Two-Compartment Modeling. A time activity curve (TAC) for a carotid artery region of interest was used to determine the dynamic PET image-derived [18F]FBAT input function in uncorrected blood plasma. The corresponding TACs for [18F]FBAT were derived by applying images from mice administered [18F]FBAT to the image-derived plasma TAC. Model parameters were estimated for influx constant k1 (mL/cm²/min⁻¹), efflux (k2) (min⁻¹) rate of radioligand diffusion between plasma and brain compartment. Exchange between compartments k3 (min⁻¹) and k4 (min⁻¹) was also estimated. The net influx constant, K (min⁻¹), parameter that describes the rate of binding to the iNOS was calculated as

\[ K_{\text{iFBAT}} = \frac{k_{1\text{FBAT}} \times k_{3\text{FBAT}}}{k_{2\text{FBAT}} + k_{3\text{FBAT}}}. \]  

Compartmental modeling, pharmacokinetic analyses, and generation of pixel-by-pixel parametric images were accomplished using PMOD 4.0 software (PMOD Technologies Ltd., Zurich, Switzerland).

2.15. Immunohistochemistry. After imaging, mice were terminally anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine and perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde, and the brains were dissected, postfixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C. Five-micrometer thick paraffin-embedded brain tissues were deparaffinized, rehydrated, microwaved in 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 6.0) at 100°C for 10 min for antigen retrieval, washed, incubated in 3% hydrogen peroxidase for 15 min at RT to inhibit endogenous peroxidases, and blocked in blocking solution for 60 min at RT.

Sections were incubated with primary NOS2/NOS1 antibodies (Cat# MAB 1627; Abnova, Walnut, CA, USA) diluted 1:100 overnight at 4°C, developed using the Vectastain Elite kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) following the manufacturer’s instructions, and examined using an Axioscope A1 microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) equipped with an Axiocam 512 color digital camera (Zeiss).

The immunohistochemistry images were converted into 8-bit grayscale images in the [0 – 255] range. Immunostaining intensity was manually measured in the region of interest (ROI). The median (25%, 75% interquartile range) percentage score for each group (control, LPS 3 h, and LPS 24 h) was calculated as the sum of the individual number of slides within the group.

2.16. Statistics. Data are presented as the mean ± SD values for each group, and were compared using one-way ANOVA and the post hoc Bonferroni test or unpaired t-tests with Welch’s correction using GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). P < 0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Chemistry. The preparation of FBAT precursor and authentic product [18F]FBAT is shown in Schemes 1 and 2. Briefly, the start material 4-piperidone was protected with ethylene glycol and converted to compound 7 then reacted with 4-iodo- or 4-fluorobenzoyl chloride via acylation to yield compound 1 and compound 8 with excellent yields, respectively. Compound 6 was obtained from 2-amino-3,6-difluorobenzonitrile with hydroxylamine condensation and followed by Raney Ni reduction. The quinazolinamines, compound 3 and FBAT, were prepared from the condensation of compound 6 with the hydrolysis products of 1 and 8, respectively. Then, compound 3 was treated with Boc anhydride and modified by boronic ester via catalytic palladium coupling to yield FBAT precursor for radiofluorination. The authentic FBAT can be applied for analytic HPLC quality control.

3.2. Automated Radiosynthesis and Characterization of [18F]FBAT. We successfully completed five runs of n.c.a. [18F]FBAT preparation using a robotic system. We found that the optimization of precursor/Cu(OAc)₂ ratio was 1.46 and temperature was 110°C (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)). On
average, a typical run produced 592–851 MBq (16–23 mCi) of \(^{18}\text{F}\)FBAT at a radiochemical yield of 2.2–3.1% (uncorrected for decay). A silica plus Sep-Pak cartridge was used to trap the unreacted \(^{18}\text{F}\)fluoride. The total synthesis time was 200 min. The retention time \(t_R\) of \(^{18}\text{F}\)FBAT in semi-preparative HPLC was 10.07 min (Suppl. Fig. 4A). The radioactive product was co-injected with an authentic FBAT (Suppl. Fig. 4B) in HPLC analysis was 14.38 min, which was consistent with that of authentic FBAT (Suppl. Fig. 4C). Molar activity (at the end of synthesis) ranged from 125 to 137 GBq/\(\mu\)mol. The radiochemical purity determined by HPLC was greater than 99%. The total volume of the purified product was 2.0 mL, and the pH was 6.0. Acetonitrile and trimethylamine were not detected in the final product. The Log \(P\) of FBAT measured between 1-octanol and phosphate buffer at pH 7.4 was 1.40 ± 0.27, which is a moderate value suitable for a brain imaging agent.

3.3. LPS Induces NO Production in a Dose-Dependent Manner. LPS stimulation of NO release has been well established as an in vitro model of microglia activation. As shown
in Figure 2(a), NO production concentration dependently increased in BV2 cells treated with LPS.

3.4. Preferential Accumulation of $[{^{18}F}]$FBAT in BV2 Cells after LPS Induction. All groups exhibited rapid uptake of $[{^{18}F}]$FBAT during the initial phase (first 15 min). Thereafter, the accumulation of $[{^{18}F}]$FBAT reached a plateau in control BV2 cells (black line) around a cells-per-medium concentration ratio of 100. In contrast, in LPS-induced BV2 cells (red line), $[{^{18}F}]$FBAT accumulation continued to increase up to 1 h and thereafter reached a plateau at a cells-per-medium concentration ratio of 180–200. $[{^{18}F}]$FBAT accumulation at 60 min was more than 1.7-fold higher in LPS-induced BV2 cells than control BV2 cells (Figure 2(b)). Pretreatment of the BV2 cells with 0.1 mM or 1 mM AMG for 1 h significantly reduced the LPS-induced $[{^{18}F}]$FBAT accumulation ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 2(b), green or blue lines).

Furthermore, we analyzed NO production in murine microglial BV2 cells after $[{^{18}F}]$FBAT cell uptake study. LPS significantly increased NO production compared to control.
cells. LPS-induced NO production was completely abolished by pretreatment with 0.1 or 1 mM of the iNOS inhibitor aminoguanidine (Figure 2(c)).

3.5. In Vivo Metabolism Study in Plasma. Metabolism study has shown a rapid decrease of the parent fraction in plasma; the parent compound accounted for 77.98% ± 8.36 in plasma.

![Figure 5: Pharmacokinetics of [18F]FBAT after i.v. administration.](image)

![Figure 6: 3D PET images of FBAT at 3 h and 24 h postinduction of LPS.](image)
at the first 5 minutes (Figure 3(a)). Roughly 51.41% ± 14.66 and 94.02% ± 4.75 of the metabolites were observed 15 min and 120 min after radiotracer injection, respectively (Figure 3(b)).

3.6. Quantitative Whole-Body Biodistribution in Mice. In vivo dynamic PET/MR imaging was performed in six mice injected with 5 mg/kg LPS and six control mice after administration of \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{FBAT}\). Generally, PET/MR imaging revealed rapid accumulation of \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{FBAT}\) in LPS-injected mice and the control group (Figure 4). No accumulation of \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{FBAT}\)-derived radioactivity was detected in the skeletal structures up to 30 min postinjection of \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{FBAT}\). \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{FBAT}\) uptake by Harderian glands was not markedly increased and influenced the uptake of brain regions.

\([^{18}\text{F}]\text{FBAT}\) exhibited biexponential blood (carotid) clearance kinetics after i.v. injection (Figure 5). The concentration of radioactivity remaining in the blood pool was 0.298 ± 0.019 SUV at 30 min postinjection. This pattern of hepatobiliary clearance was followed by a fast increased radioactivity in the blood and liver and subsequent clearance by the kidneys. The pattern of renal clearance was followed by a rapid increase in radioactivity in the blood and liver and subsequent clearance by the kidneys in the first 5 minutes. Rapid accumulation of \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{FBAT}\) in the lungs, peaking at 1-2 minutes postinjection, was also observed. The concentration of radioactivity in heart muscle peaked in the first 1 min after injection and then gradually decreased over time (Figure 5).

3.7. In Vivo PET Imaging with \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{FBAT}\) Enables Discrimination of LPS-Induced iNOS Expression. In vivo

![Figure 7: Time activity curves for each region of interest within the brain over 30 min of dynamic PET images. PET imaging showed rapid accumulation of \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{FBAT}\) in control or LPS-induced mice. The level of \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{FBAT}\) accumulation was significant in the whole brain, cortex, and brain stem at 3 h postinjection of LPS whereas no different accumulation after 24 h LPS induction. In contrast to the whole brain and cortex, there was similar \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{FBAT}\) accumulation in the cerebellum before and after treatment with LPS. The accumulated radioactivity is expressed in the unit of SUV. Data are mean ± SD of the voxel values within each ROI (n = 6 per group).](image)

![Figure 8: 3D parametric SUV ratio images of \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{FBAT}\) at 3 and 24 h postinduction of LPS. PET images were summed from 0 to 30 min after injection of \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{FBAT}\). The ratio of accumulated radioactivity between control and LPS 3 h was marked in the whole brain, cortex, cerebellum, and brain stem whereas no such difference in the brain at control and 24 h postinjection of LPS. PET images are color coded to SUV ratio (n = 6 per group).](image)
PET with $^{18}$F-FBAT demonstrated heterogeneously increased, transient accumulation of $^{18}$F-FBAT radioactivity in LPS mice (Figure 6; $n=6$ per group). Clearance of $^{18}$F-FBAT from their circulation exhibited a biexponential kinetics with half-lives of $1.05 \pm 0.58$ min and $24.9 \pm 7.46$ min, respectively (Figure 7, carotid). At 30 min post i.v. injection, the level of $^{18}$F-FBAT in blood was $0.30 \pm 0.06$ SUV (standardized uptake value), determined from the mean pixel activity within the ROI placed over the carotid region.

The time activity curve of carotid as an input function was applied for Logan plot to estimate total volume distribution of $^{18}$F-FBAT or pharmacokinetic two-compartment model. One of the aims of the study was to preliminarily measure and analyze $^{18}$F-FBAT accumulation in the three major regions: cortex, cerebellum, and brainstem. Whole brain was used as an average uptake per voxel in all regions. PET imaging revealed rapidly cross BBB and accumulation of $^{18}$F-FBAT in the whole brain and, to a lesser degree, in the

---

**Figure 9:** Standard uptake values (SUV), area under the curve (AUC$_{0-30\text{min}}$), or volume distribution ($V_t$) of $^{18}$F-FBAT. (a) Accumulated radioactivity is expressed in SUV at 20-30 min postinjection of $^{18}$F-FBAT. (b) Dynamic time activity curves (0-30 min) were used to determine the AUC$_{0-30\text{min}}$ for all scans. (c) Volume distribution ($V_t$, mL/cm$^3$) of $^{18}$F-FBAT determined by Logan model-independent graphical analysis. Data are mean ± SD; *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.005$, and ***$P < 0.001$ compared to the controls; #$P < 0.05$, ##$P < 0.005$, and ###$P < 0.001$ compared to the LPS 3 h group.
cortex, cerebellum, and brainstem. After LPS induction, the radioactivity of $^{18}$F]FBAT in the whole brain, cortex, cerebellum, and brainstem reflected the concentration of $^{18}$F]FBAT in the blood pool and was surprisingly high at 3 h postinjection of LPS. Radioactive accumulation of $^{18}$F]FBAT was 1.43 ± 0.11 SUV in the whole brain, 0.90 ± 0.07 SUV in the cortex, 0.60 ± 0.03 SUV in the cerebellum, and 0.98 ± 0.09 SUV in the brainstem (Figure 7; 3 h-LPS red solid line). At 24 h after LPS stimulation and 30 min after the tracer was injected, $^{18}$F]FBAT accumulation reached 0.90 ± 0.13 SUV in the whole brain, 0.62 ± 0.02 SUV in the cortex, 0.54 ± 0.02 SUV in the cerebellum, and 0.67 ± 0.07 SUV in the brainstem (Figure 7; 24 h-LPS blue solid lines).

The parametric images were used to determine the standardized uptake value ratios (SURs) after normalization to the control group (Figure 8). SURs (SUV$_{LPS-3h}$ to SUV$_{control}$) were 2.16 ± 0.18 (*P < 0.05) in the whole brain, 1.53 ± 0.05 (*P < 0.05) in the cortex, 1.41 ± 0.21 (*P < 0.05) in the cerebellum, and 1.91 ± 0.12 (*P < 0.05) in the brainstem. The quantitative measures of $^{18}$F]FBAT accumulation at 30 min post i.v. injection of $^{18}$F]FBAT at various time points in the LPS-induced model are summarized in Figure 9(a) and Table 1.

Mouse brain exposure to $^{18}$F]FBAT was estimated in all conditions (control or LPS-induced groups) using the mean area under the curve of the tissue radioactivity from 0 to 30 min (AUC; SUV-min). Integrated activity (0–30 min) showed more $^{18}$F]FBAT retention in the LPS 3 h group in comparison to control or LPS 24 h mice (Figure 9(b) and Table 2).

3.8. Neuroinflammation Appears Early on PET iNOS Imaging. In model-independent Logan graphical analysis of dynamic PET imaging data using blood as the reference tissue devoid of iNOS protein expression, the average volume distribution ($V_i$, mL/cm$^3$) for $^{18}$F]FBAT in brain regions was 0.40 ± 0.02 (Figure 9(b)). In control animals, $V_i$ of $^{18}$F]FBAT in the brain regions was 0.29 ± 0.05. The differences in $V_i$ between the LPS and control groups were statistically significant in the cortex, cerebellum, and brainstem (Figure 9(c) and Table 3).

Additional to using Logan graphical analysis to calculate volume distribution ($V_i$, mL/cm$^3$), the net influx rate constant ($K_i$, min$^{-1}$) was also calculated using two-tissue compartment model. The whole brain $K_i$ of $^{18}$F]FBAT (0.007 ± 0.003 min$^{-1}$) in the LPS group was significantly higher than that of controls (4.45E – 06 ± 2.01E – 06 min$^{-1}$). The influx ($k_1$, mL/cm$^3$/min) and efflux ($k_2$, 1/min) rate constants of $^{18}$F]FBAT in the whole brain were no difference between the control and LPS groups which are consistent with the moderate lipophilicity of the radiotracer. The $^{18}$F]FBAT k1, k2, and k3 rate constants and $K_i$ for various brain structures are presented in Table 4.

3.9. Aminoguanidine Significantly Inhibits the Accumulation of $^{18}$F]FBAT in iNOS-Expressing Regions of the Brain of LPS-Induced Mice. In vivo dynamic PET/MR imaging revealed pretreatment with aminoguanidine (50 mg/kg) 30 min before LPS induction significantly reduced $^{18}$F]FBAT accumulation by decreasing iNOS expression, with

### Table 1: Comparison of PET standardized uptake value (SUV) and standardized uptake value ratio (SUR) of $^{18}$F]FBAT in control vs. LPS mice at 3 or 24 h post i.v. injection of LPS (SUR$_{LPS}$ to SUV$_{control}$).

|          | Control         | LPS 3 h         | LPS 24 h        | LPS 3 h+AMG       |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Whole brain | 0.709 ± 0.200   | 1.428 ± 0.410*** | 0.900 ± 0.270   | 0.312 ± 0.05###  |
| SUR     | 1.000           | 2.163 ± 0.177*  | 1.351 ± 0.451   | N/A               |
| Cortex  | 0.604 ± 0.150   | 0.904 ± 0.251*  | 0.620 ± 0.041   | 0.320 ± 0.063### |
| SUR     | 1.000           | 1.525 ± 0.046*  | 0.970 ± 0.303   | N/A               |
| Cerebellum | 0.448 ± 0.115   | 0.600 ± 0.066*  | 0.542 ± 0.052   | 0.310 ± 0.037#   |
| SUR     | 1.000           | 1.406 ± 0.211*  | 1.233 ± 0.112   | N/A               |
| Brainstem | 0.540 ± 0.153   | 0.976 ± 0.244*  | 0.671 ± 0.148   | 0.350 ± 0.055##  |
| SUR     | 1.000           | 1.906 ± 0.116*  | 1.273 ± 0.163   | N/A               |

Data are mean ± SEM; n = 6 per group; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005, and ***P < 0.001 compared to controls; †P < 0.05, ‡P < 0.005, and §§P < 0.001 compared to LPS 3 h.

### Table 2: Comparison of PET mean area under the curve (AUC$_{0–30min}$) of $^{18}$F]FBAT in control vs. LPS mice at 3 or 24 h post i.v. injection of LPS.

|          | Control         | LPS 3 h         | LPS 24 h        | LPS 3 h+AMG       |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Whole brain | 1678.6 ± 239.3  | 3365.4 ± 489.24** | 2411.5 ± 291.53 | 193.6 ± 93.84### |
| Cortex  | 1214.6 ± 133.7  | 2194.9 ± 250.98** | 1688.5 ± 61.56  | 195.5 ± 98.80### |
| Cerebellum | 1049.5 ± 132.7  | 1702.7 ± 125.49* | 1614.7 ± 217.86 | 194.6 ± 95.58### |
| Brainstem | 1307.1 ± 296.3  | 2722.5 ± 652.86** | 1867.5 ± 227.00 | 219.8 ± 104.72### |

Data are mean ± SEM; n = 6 per group; *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.005 compared to the controls; ***P < 0.001 compared to the LPS 3 h group.
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corresponding SUVs of 0.31 ± 0.08, 0.32 ± 0.09, 0.31 ± 0.09, and 0.35 ± 0.10 in the whole brain, cortex, cerebellum, and brainstem, respectively (Figures 6, 7, and 9(a)). Logan graphical analyses showed pretreatment with aminoguanidine significantly reduced the AUC and $V_t$ values of the whole brain, cortex, cerebellum, and brainstem (* * * $P < 0.001$ and * * $P < 0.05$, respectively; Figures 9(b) and 9(c)). The quantitative measures of [18F]FBAT accumulation 30 min after i.v. administration of [18F]FBAT with or without the iNOS inhibitor are summarized in Tables 2 and 3.

3.10. Quantitative Immunostaining Supports the Results of In Vivo PET/MR Imaging with [18F]FBAT. To validate the ability of PET/MRI to specifically detect iNOS expression and quantify regional-specific uptake of [18F]FBAT, the mice were sacrificed after imaging and the brains were excised for histologic analyses ($n = 3$ per group). Immunostaining for iNOS (Figure 10(a)) confirmed the region-specific localization of iNOS in the brain observed in the [18F]FBAT PET/MRI images (Figure 10(a) white squares).

Quantitative analysis of the immunostained sections confirmed iNOS immunoreactivity preferentially accumulated in viable portions of the cerebellum and cortex of mice with LPS-induced inflammatory responses (in the 3 h LPS group; Figure 10(b)). Moreover, higher iNOS immunoreactivity was observed in the cortex, granular layer, and Purkinje cells in the cerebellum at 3 h postinjection of [18F]FBAT. In the cortex, iNOS immunoreactivity was higher at 3 h after injection of LPS than 24 h after injection of LPS (*** $P < 0.001$), whereas iNOS immunoreactivity in the cerebellum was not significantly different between the LPS 3 h and 24 h groups (Figure 10(b)). Pretreatment with aminoguanidine significantly reduced LPS-induced iNOS immunoreactivity in the cortex (** $P < 0.05$), but not in the cerebellum, compared to the LPS 3 h group.

4. Discussion

[18F]-labeled aromatics are the most commonly prepared using $S_n$Ar reactions [23, 24]. These reactions typically require high temperatures (often >150°C) and are restricted to electron-deficient substrates. In this study, we initially used a nitro compound as a precursor [15], though the radiochemical yield (<0.1%, uncorrected for decay) and molar activity (2 GBq/µmol) were very poor. It would be very difficult to carry out radiofluorination by substituting nitro group on the benzene ring if there were no electron-withdrawing groups in the nitrobenzene ring. Recent advances have expanded the scope of nucleophilic aromatic radiofluorination by using triarylsulfinium diarylselenone [25] [26] or iodonium ylide precursors [27–29]. The Sanford group previously reported Cu-mediated fluorination of aryl trifluoro- borates, aryboronate esters, and aryboronic acids with KF [30] which provides an alternative way to perform radiofluorination of benzene ring with the lack of electron-withdrawing groups and obtain an acceptable yield. Copper-mediated aromatic nucleophilic radiofluorination was used to radiosynthesize [18F]FBAT by [31] a boron ester 2-N-protected precursor. Pyridine is essential for copper-mediated radiolabeling. The radiochemical yield increased when we increased the pyridine/Cu(II)(OTf)$_2$ molar ratio from 15 to 25, in agreement with a previous study [32]. However, future studies will explore the potential strategies for reducing the time (200 min) and cost of radiosynthesis for [18F]FBAT.

Radionabeled [18F]F-NOS has been previously investigated and demonstrated that iNOS activity correlated significantly with lung tissue measurements in healthy volunteers and in myocardial tissue of orthotopic heart transplant patients undergoing surveillance for rejection [33, 34]. Expression of iNOS in activated macrophages was assessed in vivo using [18F]F-6-(2-fluoropropyl)-4-methyl-pyridin-2-amine, both in a LPS-induced lung inflammation of mouse model and endotoxin-induced lung inflammation in healthy volunteers. Significantly higher [18F]F-6-(2-fluoropropyl)-4-methyl-pyridin-2-amine uptake was reported in the lungs of mice treated with LPS than control mice [15]. However, no studies of neuroinflammation in animal or humans using this approach have yet been reported.

To our knowledge, this is the first report of [18F]FBAT synthesis and the first histologically validated study of [18F]FBAT for in vitro and in vivo PET imaging. We
demonstrated that LPS-induced microglial BV2 cells, which are the most frequently used in vitro model of primary microglia [35], exhibited significantly higher accumulation of [18F]FBAT than control cells. Preliminary semiquantitative measurements (metabolite-uncorrected) of [18F]FBAT-PET demonstrated rapid, high accumulation of [18F]FBAT in the brains of mice with LPS-induced brain inflammation. We also observed significantly higher accumulation of
[18F]FBAT in the brain at 3 h after LPS stimulation than at 24 h after LPS stimulation.

Our metabolism study showed a rapid decrease of the parent fraction in plasma where roughly 50% of the metabolites was detected at 15 min postinjection of [18F]FBAT. However, most of the iNOS radioligands are available also known to show similar metabolism. For example, [11C]L-NAME revealed that at 10 min postinjection 65% of the radioactivity was [11C]methanol (metabolite) in dog [36]; within 5 min postinjection, only 40.3% of the activity in the blood was parent [18F]FBAT [9]. This metabolism in the plasma may be a disadvantage in terms of [18F]FBAT use since it makes its quantification more difficult. Nevertheless, the contribution of the major metabolites of [18F]FBAT circulating in blood which led to accumulation of [18F]FBAT-derived radioactivity in the brain needs to be accounted for in future investigations.

The results of in vivo biodistribution studies showed that the highest uptake in both groups was observed in the liver and kidneys, which are likely to be the major metabolic and/or excretory sites for [18F]FBAT. The rapid whole-body redistribution and fast renal clearance of [18F]FBAT from circulation make this radiotracer especially suitable for imaging of the chest/abdominal area, specifically of the lung. No significant accumulation of [18F]FBAT-derived radioactivity was detected in the skeletal structures up to 30 min postinjection of [18F]FBAT, which suggests that the radiotracer was not catabolized or defluorinated in vivo. Our results of whole-body biodistribution of [18F]FBAT-derived radioactivity were in agreement with iNOS distribution in organs in male BLAB/c mice that reported iNOS mRNA and protein expression 6 h after LPS stimulation was observed in many organs. Among them, the highest iNOS expression was in the lungs followed by that in the kidneys, heart, gut, and liver [37].

A similar pattern of radioactivity biodistribution was previously reported for S-[11C]methyliidothiourea ([11C]MITU) and S-[(18F)fluoroethyl]iidothiourea ([18F]FEITU) in mature female Sprague-Dawley rats in control and pretreated with 10-20 mg/kg LPS, 6 h before tracer injection of radiotracers [12], and also similar with that in mature male C57BL/6 mice with intravenous injection with LPS (10 mg/kg) 6 h prior to the tracer injection to induce iNOS expression [16].

In this initial evaluation, we used AUC and V1 of [18F]FBAT to quantify [18F]FBAT accumulation in the brain from the PET images. The AUC0-30min and V1 values of [18F]FBAT in the whole brain were averagely 1.9 ± 0.21 and 1.4 ± 0.22-fold lower in control mice than in the LPS 3 h group. After treatment with selective iNOS inhibitor, AUC0-30min and V1 values showed a statistically significant reduction in AUC and V1 of [18F]FBAT in the LPS group (P < 0.001 and P < 0.05, respectively).

Further studies such as coupling imaging of PET [18F]FBAT and dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI (DCE-MRI) assess dose dependence of LPS on BBB permeability/disruption in rodent model or neuroinflammation produced by intracerebral injection of LPS.

The higher resolution 7T PET/MR system was used to enable more detailed assessment of the heterogeneous accumulation of [18F]FBAT radioactivity. The PET/MR results indicated that the radioactivity of [18F]FBAT correlated with the levels of iNOS expression induced by cellular inflammatory responses in the brain observed using quantitative immunohistochemistry (QIHC). Pretreatment of animals with the selective iNOS inhibitor aminoguanidine significantly decreased [18F]FBAT accumulation in all structures of the brain, consistent with the QIHC studies.

In comparison with previous reports of iNOS imaging agents [11-16], the results of our in vivo PET imaging studies with [18F]FBAT in mouse brain expressing different levels of iNOS after LPS inducement are more selective. Predominant accumulation of [18F]FBAT in iNOS-expressing brain regions could be implied to be responsive to therapy with selective iNOS inhibitor (e.g., aminoguanidine) [38].

The LPS animal model of neuroinflammation used in this study has several essential advantages, including technical ease and high reproducibility, particularly with respect to the magnitude of the inflammatory response elicited. Shortly after administration of LPS, high levels of proinflammatory cytokines are released and lead to rapid development of systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) and subsequent dose-dependent mortality [39, 40]. Thus, a well-characterized method is needed to monitor the inflammatory reactions in vivo after LPS treatment. Hou et al. [41] used [18F]-ROStrace PET imaging targeted to superoxide and reported the level of neuroinflammation induced by LPS (5 mg/kg) was strongly associated with the severity of the pain and distress scores [42].

Another critical issue that needs to be addressed is whether [18F]FBAT in the systemic circulation crosses the BBB and directly activates cells within the CNS. Based on the current results, we consider that [18F]FBAT crosses the BBB and cell membranes bidirectionally by nonfacilitated diffusion, due to its physicochemical characteristics (Log P 1.40). Therefore, the rate constants of [18F]FBAT influx (k1FBAT) and efflux (k2FBAT) across the BBB and cell membranes are assumed to be high and not rate limiting. The critical issue of whether LPS actually disrupts the BBB and results in overestimation of [18F]FBAT accumulation in the brain can be answered using the pharmacokinetic two-compartment model. Based on our preliminary evaluation, [18F]FBAT influx (k1FBAT) and efflux (k2FBAT) were similar with or without LPS induction; however, k3 was significantly different between LPS-injected and control mice. This suggests that the higher [18F]FBAT V1 observed in the brain of LPS-injected mice is most likely due to increased expression of iNOS in the brain parenchyma. Also, due to the low brain uptake of the tracer (1 to 2 SUV), cerebral passage of [18F]FBAT does not depend on cerebral blood flow. Thus, V1 represents the most robust parameter for quantifying [18F]FBAT uptake and is not affected by changes in cerebral blood flow. Nevertheless, further metabolite analysis of [18F]FBAT in the brain and knowledge of the dose-response relationship between LPS and [18F]FBAT accumulation in the brain are required to validate the implications of BBB disruption by LPS.

5. Conclusion

An automated robotic method was established for radiosynthesis of [18F]FBAT from a boron ester precursor in a
hot cell. A HPLC method was employed to purify the product. The radiochemical purity of $[^{18}F]$FBAT was greater than 99%, and the corrected radiochemical yield was about 2.2-3.1% (EOS). Preliminary in vitro and in vivo evaluations demonstrated $[^{18}F]$FBAT could potentially be used to detect iNOS activity and expression in LPS-induced neuroinflammation. Further investigations, including optimization of the radiochemical yield and detailed brain region-specific distribution in animal PET/MRI imaging research applications, are currently underway in our laboratory.

**Abbreviations**

$[^{11}C]L$-NAME: N-Omega-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester  
$[^{11}C]$MITU: S-[^{11}C]Methylisothiourea  
$[^{18}F]$FEITU: S-2-$[^{18}F]$Fluoroethyliso-thiourea  
$[^{18}F]$FBAT: $\left(4'\text{-Amino-5',8'-difluoro-1'H-spiro[4,2]-quinazolin-1-yl}\right)$-(4-fluorophenyl) methanone  
CNS: Central nervous system  
EOS: End of synthesis  
eNOS: Endothelial NOS  
FFDI: 8-Fluoro-3-(4-fluorophenyl)-3,4-dihydro-1-isooquinolinamine  
HPLC: High-performance liquid chromatography  
IHC: Immunohistochemistry  
IL-1b: Interleukin 1 beta  
IL-6: Interleukin-6  
IL-8: Interleukin-8  
iNOS: Inducible nitric oxide synthase  
LPS: Lipopolysaccharide  
NCA: No-carrier-added  
NO: Nitric oxide  
nNOS: Neuronal NOS  
PET: Positron emission tomography  
QHC: Quantitative immunohistochemistry  
ROI: Region of interest  
SD: Standard deviation  
SUR: Standardized uptake ratio  
TNF-$\alpha$: Tumor necrosis factor alpha.

**Data Availability**

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

**Ethical Approval**

All animal handling procedures were approved by the National Yang-Ming University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC No. 1050910), and animal study was performed according to the Guidelines for Animal Experimentation of National Yang Ming Chiao Tung.

**Conflicts of Interest**

The authors have no financial or competing interests to declare.

**Authors’ Contributions**

S.H.H.Y. and W.S.H. contributed in conceptualization; S.H.H.Y., C.W.C., C.L.C., D.Y.C., Z.X.G., and H.T.C. contributed in methodology; C.H.C., T.H.Y., Y.Y.G., C.T.H., G.Y.L., K.H.M., and P.Y.W. contributed in investigation; S.H.H.Y. and C.W.C. contributed in writing; S.H.H.Y., C.W.C., and W.S.H. contributed in funding acquisition. Wen-Sheng Huang contributed equally to this work and is the co-first author.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to thank Prof. Huey-Jen Tsay (Institute of Neuroscience, National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University, Taipei) and Ms. Shu-Meng Hsu for the technical support with the in vitro studies. We thank the Molecular Imaging Facility Small Animal 7T PET/MR and Brain Research Center at National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University for the technical support. The Featured Areas Research Center Program within the framework of the Higher Education Sprout Project by the Ministry of Education (MOE) in Taiwan is also gratefully acknowledged. Funding for this study was provided by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan (MOST 103-2314-B-371-001), Veterans General Hospital, Taiwan (V106B-003), and Medical Affairs Bureau of the Ministry of National Defense, Taiwan (MAB-108-053).

**Supplementary Materials**

See supplementary material for the structures of PET tracers in inOS research mentioned in this article, the NMR spectra or LC/MS chromatogram of $[^{18}F]$FBAT precursor or standard, and the retention time ($t_R$) of $[^{18}F]$FBAT in HPLC analysis. Suppl. Fig. 1: examples of PET tracers in iNOS research. Suppl. Fig. 2: (A) NMR spectra of $[^{18}F]$FBAT precursor. (B) LC/MS chromatogram of $[^{18}F]$FBAT precursor. Suppl. Fig. 3: (A) NMR spectra of FBAT standard. (B) LC/MS chromatogram of FBAT standard. Suppl. Fig. 4: (A) The retention time ($t_R$) of $[^{18}F]$FBAT in semi-preparative HPLC was 10.07 min. (B) The retention time ($t_R$) of $[^{18}F]$FBAT in HPLC analysis was 14.38 min. (C) The retention time ($t_R$) of authentic FBAT in HPLC analysis was 13.93 min. (Supplementary Materials)

**References**

[1] V. Mollace, C. Muscoli, E. Masini, S. Cuzzocrea, and D. Salvemini, “Modulation of prostaglandin biosynthesis by nitric oxide and nitric oxide donors,” Pharmacological Reviews, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 217–252, 2005.

[2] W. K. Alderton, C. E. Cooper, and R. G. Knowles, “Nitric oxide synthases: structure, function and inhibition,” The Biochemical Journal, vol. 357, no. 3, pp. 593–615, 2001.

[3] D. J. Stuehr, “Mammalian nitric oxide synthases,” Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, vol. 1411, no. 2-3, pp. 217–230, 1999.
Molecular Imaging

[4] M. A. Marletta, “Nitric oxide synthase: function and mechanism”, Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology, vol. 338, pp. 281–284, 1993.

[5] M. A. Marletta, A. R. Hurshman, and K. M. Rusche, “Catalysis by nitric oxide synthase”, Current Opinion in Chemical Biology, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. 656–663, 1998.

[6] J. N. Sharma, A. Al-Omran, and S. S. Parvathy, “Role of nitric oxide in inflammatory diseases”, Inflammopharmacology, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 252–259, 2007.

[7] J. Zhao, W. Bi, S. Xiao et al., “Neuroinflammation induced by lipopolysaccharide causes cognitive impairment in mice”, Scientific Reports, vol. 9, no. 1, 2019.

[8] R. Franco and D. Fernandez-Suarez, “Alternatively activated microglia and macrophages in the central nervous system”, Progress in Neurobiology, vol. 131, pp. 65–86, 2015.

[9] J. MacMicking, Q. W. Xie, and C. Nathan, “Nitric oxide and macrophage function”, Annual Review of Immunology, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 323–350, 1997.

[10] S. J. Kim, M. S. Ha, E. Y. Choi, J. I. Choi, and I. S. Choi, “Nitric oxide production and inducible nitric oxide synthase expression induced by Prevotella nigrecens lipopolysaccharide”, FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 51–58, 2005.

[11] E. Brouillet, D. Roeda, H. Valette, C. Fuseau, M. C. Guyot, and C. Crouzel, “In vivo metabolites of Neu-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester: methanol and Neu-nitro-L-arginine”, European Journal of Pharmacology, vol. 293, no. 4, pp. 487–490, 1995.

[12] J. Zhang, T. J. McCarthy, W. M. Moore, M. G. Currie, and M. J. Welch, “Synthesis and evaluation of two positron-labeled nitric oxide synthase inhibitors, s-[151]methylisothiourea and s-(2-[18F]fluoroethyl)isothiourea, as potential positron emission tomography tracers”, Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, vol. 39, no. 26, pp. 5110–5118, 1996.

[13] J. Zhang, A. H. Cross, T. J. McCarthy, and M. J. Welch, “Measurement of upregulation of inducible nitric oxide synthase in the experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis model using a positron emitting radiopharmaceutical”, Nitric Oxide, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 263–267, 1997.

[14] H. Beaton, P. Hamley, D. J. Nicholls, A. C. Tinker, and A. V. Wallace, “3,4-Dihydro-1-isouquinolinamines: a novel class of nitric oxide synthase inhibitors with a range of isoform selectivity and potency”, Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters, vol. 11, no. 8, pp. 1023–1026, 2001.

[15] H. Tian and Z. Lee, “Radiosynthesis of 8-fluoro-3-(4-[18F]fluorophenyl)-3,4-dihydro-1-isouquinolinamine ([18F]FFDI), a potential pet radiotracer for the inducible nitric oxide synthase”, Current Radiopharmaceuticals, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 49–53, 2008.

[16] D. Zhou, H. Lee, J. M. Rothsuss et al., “Design and synthesis of 2-amino-4-methylpyridine analogues as inhibitors for inducible nitric oxide synthase and in vivo evaluation of [18F]6-(2-fluoropropyl)-4-methyl-pyrindin-2-amine as a potential PET tracer for inducible nitric oxide synthase”, Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, vol. 52, no. 8, pp. 2443–2453, 2009.

[17] Y. Henchoz, D. Guillarme, S. Rudaz, J. L. Veuthey, and P. A. Carrupt, “High-throughput log p determination by ultraperformance liquid chromatography: a convenient tool for medicinal chemists”, Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 396–399, 2008.

[18] M. Işık, D. Levorse, D. L. Mobley, T. Rhodes, and J. D. Chodera, “Octanol-water partition coefficient measurements for the sample blind prediction challenge”, Journal of Computer-Aided Molecular Design, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 405–420, 2020.

[19] A. Kumar, S.-H. Chen, M. B. Kadiiska et al., “Inducible nitric oxide synthase is key to peroxynitrite-mediated, lps-induced protein radical formation in murine microglial bv2 cells”, Free Radical Biology and Medicine, vol. 73, pp. 51–59, 2014.

[20] Y. Yoshioka, Y. Sugino, A. Tozawa et al., “Dopamine inhibits lipopolysaccharide-induced nitric oxide production through the formation of dopamine quinone in murine microglia bv2 cells”, Journal of Pharmacological Sciences, vol. 130, no. 2, pp. 51–59, 2016.

[21] C. Svensson, S. Z. Fernaeus, K. Part, K. Reis, and T. Land, “LPS-induced inos expression in Bv-2 cells is suppressed by an oxidative mechanism acting on the jnk pathway–a potential role for neuroprotection”, Brain Research, vol. 1322, pp. 1–7, 2010.

[22] J. Logan, J. S. Fowler, N. D. Volkow et al., “Graphical analysis of reversible radioligand binding from time-activity measurements applied to [N-13C]-methyl-[-13C]-cocaine pet studies in human subjects”, Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 740–747, 1990.

[23] D. J. Adams and J. H. Clark, “Nucleophilic routes to selectively fluorinated aromatics”, Chemical Society Reviews, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 225–231, 1999.

[24] M. Tredwell and V. Gouverneur, “[18F] labeling of arenes”, Angewandte Chemie (International Ed. in English), vol. 51, no. 46, pp. 11426–11437, 2012.

[25] L. Mu, C. R. Fischer, J. P. Holland et al., “[18F]-radiolabeling of aromatic compounds using triarylsulfonium salts”, European Journal of Organic Chemistry, vol. 2012, no. 5, pp. 889–892, 2012.

[26] K. Sander, T. Gendron, E. Yiannaki et al., “Sulfonium salts as leaving groups for aromatic labelling of drug-like small molecules with fluoride-18”, Scientific Reports, vol. 5, no. 1, 2015.

[27] I. Satyamurthy, J. J. Dalal, J. P. S. Sawhney et al., “The Indian consensus document on cardiac biomarker”, Indian Heart Journal, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 73–82, 2014.

[28] J. Cardinale, J. Ermert, S. Humpert, and H. H. Coenen, “Iodonium ylides for one-step, no-carrier-added radiofluorination of electron rich arenes, exemplified with 4-(([18F]fluorophenoxy)-phenyl)methyl) piperidine nitromethyl (nert ligands),” Ric Advances, vol. 4, no. 33, pp. 17293–17299, 2014.

[29] B. H. Rotstein, N. A. Stephenson, N. Vasdev, and S. H. Liang, “Spirlocyclic hyperpolarized [18F]-fluoride-IMediated radiofluorination of non-activated and hindered aromatics,” Nature Communications, vol. 5, no. 1, 2014.

[30] Y. Ye, S. D. Schimler, P. S. Hanley, and M. S. Sanford, “Cu(otf)2-mediated fluorination of aryltriflouroborates with potassium fluoride,” Journal of the American Chemical Society, vol. 135, no. 44, pp. 16292–16295, 2013.

[31] M. Tredwell, S. M. Preshlock, N. J. Taylor et al., “A general copper-mediated nucleophilic [18F]fluorination of arenes,” Angewandte Chemie (International Ed. in English), vol. 53, no. 30, pp. 7751–7755, 2014.

[32] A. V. Mossine, A. F. Brooks, K. J. Makaravage et al., “Synthesis of [18F]arenes via the copper-mediated [18F]fluorination of boronic acids,” Organic Letters, vol. 17, no. 23, pp. 5780–5783, 2015.

[33] H. J. Huang, W. Isakov, D. E. Byers et al., “Imaging pulmonary inducible nitric oxide synthase expression with PET”, Journal of Nuclear Medicine, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 76–81, 2015.
[34] P. Herrero, R. Laforest, K. Shoghi et al., "Feasibility and dosimetry studies for 18F-NOS as a potential PET radiopharmaceutical for inducible nitric oxide synthase in humans," *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 53, no. 6, pp. 994–1001, 2012.

[35] A. Henn, "The suitability of BV2 cells as alternative model system for primary microglia cultures or for animal experiments examining brain inflammation," *ALTEX*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 83–94, 2009.

[36] D. Roeda, C. Crouzel, E. Brouillet, and H. Valette, "Synthesis and _in vivo_ distribution of no-carrier-added _N_ (ω)-nitro-l-arginine [11C]methyl ester, a nitric oxide synthase inhibitor," *Nuclear Medicine and Biology*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 509–512, 1996.

[37] W. Kan, K.-s. Zhao, Y. Jiang et al., "Lung, spleen, and kidney are the major places for inducible nitric oxide synthase expression in endotoxic shock: role of p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase in signal transduction of inducible nitric oxide synthase expression," *Shock*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 81–87, 2004.

[38] J. A. Corbett and M. L. McDaniel, "The use of aminoguanidine, a selective inos inhibitor, to evaluate the role of nitric oxide in the development of autoimmune diabetes," *Methods*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 21–30, 1996.

[39] N. A. Karrow, "Activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and autonomic nervous system during inflammation and altered programming of the neuroendocrine-immune axis during fetal and neonatal development: lessons learned from the model inflammagen, lipopolysaccharide," *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 144–158, 2006.

[40] C. M. Blatteis, "Endotoxic fever: new concepts of its regulation suggest new approaches to its management," *Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, vol. 111, no. 1, pp. 194–223, 2006.

[41] C. Hou, C. J. Hsieh, S. Li et al., "Development of a positron emission tomography radiotracer for imaging elevated levels of superoxide in neuroinflammation," *ACS Chemical Neuroscience*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 578–586, 2018.

[42] E. Carstens and G. P. Moberg, "Recognizing pain and distress in laboratory animals," *ILAR Journal*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 62–71, 2000.