Characterization of a Nitrite Reductase Involved in Nitrifier Denitrification*
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Background: Copper nitrite reductases convert nitrite to nitric oxide in microorganisms.

Results: The copper nitrite reductase from an ammonia oxidizing bacterium is unusually oxygen tolerant and has several unique structural features.

Conclusion: The structure of this copper nitrite reductase enables it to function in an aerobic environment.

Significance: This enzyme plays a key role in an environmentally important pathway.

Nitrifier denitrification is the conversion of nitrite to nitrous oxide by ammonia-oxidizing organisms. This process, which is distinct from denitrification, is active under aerobic conditions in the model nitrifier *Nitrosomonas europaea*. The central enzyme of the nitrifier denitrification pathway is a copper nitrite reductase (CuNIR). To understand how a CuNIR, typically inactivated by oxygen, functions in this pathway, the enzyme isolated directly from *N. europaea* (NeNIR) was biochemically and structurally characterized. NeNIR reduces nitrite at a similar rate to other CuNIRs but appears to be oxygen tolerant. Crystal structures of oxidized and reduced NeNIR reveal a substrate channel to the active site that is much more restricted than channels in typical CuNIRs. In addition, there is a second fully hydrated channel leading to the active site that likely acts a water exit pathway. The structure is minimally affected by changes in pH. Taken together, these findings provide insight into the molecular basis for NeNIR oxygen tolerance.

Nitrifier denitrification is the conversion of NO₂⁻ via NO to N₂O, a potent greenhouse gas, by ammonia-oxidizing organisms (1). This biochemical pathway has been well documented in ammonia-oxidizing bacteria, and there is evidence that ammonia-oxidizing archaea may harbor a similar pathway (2–4). In ammonia oxidizing bacteria, NO₂⁻ is produced from the aerobic oxidation of ammonia via hydroxylamine (5, 6). The NO₂⁻ is reduced to NO resulting in the consumption of one electron by a copper nitrite reductase (CuNIR) encoded by the *nirK* gene. NO is then reduced to N₂O using a variety of NO reductases (7–11). Nitrifier denitrification is distinct from denitrification because it does not appear to be inhibited by oxygen, and the final product is N₂O rather than N₂ (4, 9). This pathway also differs from a physiological perspective: typical denitrification supports oxidative phosphorylation in the absence of oxygen, whereas the function of nitrifier denitrification remains unresolved.

Three functions of the nitrifier denitrification pathway have been proposed. First, it may be a strategy to conserve oxygen for ammonia oxidation under oxygen-limiting conditions. Second, it may confer resistance to nitrite toxicity. Third, it could improve the kinetics of hydroxylamine oxidation by providing additional terminal electron acceptors (9, 12, 13). All three of these functions require tolerance to fluxes of oxygen. The nitrifier denitrification pathway may fulfill some or all of these interrelated functions depending on the growth environment. Moreover, the physiological function of the pathway likely varies among different ammonia oxidizing bacteria. This notion is supported by phylogenetic analysis of *nirK* sequences from different nitrifying bacteria. There are three separate sequence clusters, each with distinct characteristics. Cluster 1 *nirK*s are in multigene operons under regulation of a nitrite/nitric oxide sensor, cluster 2 *nirK*s have a fused N-terminal plastocyanin-like domain, and cluster 3 *nirK*s resemble typical CuNIRs from α-proteobacterial denitrifiers (7). In addition, the responses of different ammonia-oxidizing bacteria strains to nitrite addition are variable, consistent with divergent physiologies of the pathway (14).

The best characterized nitrifier denitrification pathway is that of *Nitrosomonas europaea*, a model nitrifying organism. The *N. europaea* CuNIR (NeNIR) falls within *nirK* phylogenetic cluster 1 and is part of an operon under control of the nitrite/nitric oxide sensor *NsrR* (15, 16). The multigene operon containing *nirK* also encodes two uncharacterized cytochromes, NcgB and NcgC, and a well characterized multicopper oxidase (MCO) (NcgA, also known as blue copper oxidase or NeMCO), of which the physiological function remains unknown (17–19). Most of the research on NeNIR has focused on strains deficient in the *nirK* gene as well as knock-outs of *ncgA*, *ncgB*, and *ncgC* (9, 20–22). Knock-outs of each gene within the operon result in increased sensitivity to nitrite. In addition, extensive analysis of
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the nirK-deficient strains combined with growth and metabolic studies (13, 23) indicate a close relationship between ammonia oxidation and nitrite reduction.

Despite its central role in nitrifier denitrification, NeNIR has not been isolated and characterized. Early work resulted in partially purified samples (24–26) that were likely a mixture of NeNIR, NeMCO, and hydroxylamine oxidoreductase. Sequence alignments indicate that NeNIR is similar to other CuNIRs typically found in denitrifiers (7). It possesses conserved ligands to the type 1 (T1) copper center, which is responsible for oxidizing proteinaceous electron donors, and to the type 2 (T2) copper center, which is the site of nitrite binding and reduction. NeNIR also contains conserved histidine and aspartic acid residues, which position nitrite adjacent to the T2 copper center (27, 28). Comparison of the sequence to those of structurally characterized CuNIRs indicates that it is most similar to the CuNIR from Neisseria gonorrhoeae (NgNIR) (29) with 37% identity.

Of particular interest is the observation that the N. europaea nitrifier denitrification pathway is active under aerobic conditions (4), suggesting that NeNIR might have an unusual ability to avoid inactivation by oxygen. CuNIRs are generally believed to be inactivated under aerobic conditions by generation of hydrogen peroxide. It has been demonstrated that CuNIRs can reduce oxygen at a fairly significant rate (~3% of the rate of nitrite reduction) and prolonged exposure to oxygen in the presence of reduced azurin leads to enzyme inactivation, which can be prevented by addition of catalase (30, 31). In addition, CuNIR activity is inhibited when Alcaligenes faecalis cultures are subjected to rapid increases in oxygen concentration (31). To understand how NeNIR resists inactivation under aerobic conditions and to gain further insight into its role in nitrifier denitrification, we have purified native NeNIR, investigated its activity properties, and determined its crystal structure at two pH values and in the oxidized and reduced forms.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cell Growth and Purification—Cultures of N. europaea were grown as described previously and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen for later use (17). Frozen cells were suspended to ~25 mg (wet weight) ml⁻¹ in a buffer containing 20 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1 mM MgCl₂, and 20 mM Pipes, pH 7.0, and then lysed by two passes through a 15,000 psi microfluidizer. The lysed cells were ultracentrifuged at 125,000 × g for 1 h to remove insoluble material. NH₄SO₄ was added to the supernatant of the 125,000 × g spin to a final concentration of 0.4 g ml⁻¹ protein solution and allowed to stir for ~10 min. The protein solution was then ultracentrifuged at 125,000 × g for 30 min to remove precipitated proteins. The resultant supernatant was applied to a 20-ml phenyl-Sepharose column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with a buffer containing 2.5 mM NH₄SO₄, 1 mM MgSO₄, 50 μM CuSO₄, 10% glycerol, and 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0. The protein was eluted using a 400-ml gradient with buffer containing 1 mM MgSO₄, 50 μM CuSO₄, 10% glycerol, and 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0. NeNIR typically elutes ~250 ml into the gradient.

Fractions containing NeNIR were concentrated to <10 ml using an Amicon Ultra-15 centrifugal device and dialyzed against 1 liter of buffer containing 1 mM MgSO₄, 50 μM CuSO₄, 10% glycerol, and 5 mM Tris, pH 8.0, for at least 2 h. The dialysis buffer was changed two times. The desalted NeNIR fractions were loaded onto a 5-ml Source 15Q column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 1 mM MgSO₄, 50 μM CuSO₄, 10% glycerol and 5 mM Tris, pH 8.0, and eluted over a 200-ml gradient with a buffer containing 2.5 mM NH₄SO₄, 1 mM MgSO₄, 50 μM CuSO₄, 10% glycerol, and 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0. NeNIR typically elutes 15 ml into gradient. Fractions containing NeNIR were concentrated to ~<1 ml using an Amicon Ultra-15 and further purified by size exclusion chromatography on a Sephacryl-200 column equilibrated with 20 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 10% glycerol, and 20 mM Pipes, pH 7.0.

Activity Assays—Nitrite reductase activity was determined by monitoring the rate of nitrite-dependent methyl viologen oxidation (32) and by monitoring the rate of o-dianisidine oxidation (30). To measure activity using methyl viologen, the following protocol was performed inside of a Coy anaerobic chamber: 10 μL of 50 mM sodium dithionite was added to a screw top cuvette containing 980 μL of solution composed of 1.5 mM methyl viologen, 1 mM catalase, and 50 mM Pipes, pH 7.0. This typically yielded ~650 μM reduced methyl viologen. NaNO₂ (5 μL) was placed on the side wall of the cuvette to prevent mixing. The screw top cuvette was then sealed with a threaded lid containing a rubber septum. Prior to NeNIR addition, the vial was inverted to mix the NaNO₂ with the methyl viologen solution, resulting in a final concentration of 2 mM NaNO₂. The solution was allowed to stabilize for several minutes until a reliable background rate could be obtained (typically 2–5 min). The reaction was then initiated by the addition of 695 pmol of NeNIR. Methyl viologen oxidation was monitored at 730 nm (ε = 2,143 M cm⁻¹) using a Cary 5000 UV-VIS spectrometer, and the data were fit using a linear regression with the program Origin (version 8) over the course of 1 min. Reported data are from an average of four replicates.

For o-dianisidine dependent activity, a saturated solution of o-dianisidine was prepared by stirring excess o-dianisidine in 50 mM Pipes, pH 7.0, overnight followed by filtering with a 0.22-μm filter. For a typical assay, NaNO₂ and catalase were added to 950 μL of o-dianisidine-saturated buffer and brought to a final volume of 1 ml to give a final concentration of 10 mM NaNO₂ and 1 mM catalase. Reactions were also performed without catalase and without NaNO₂. Reactions containing NaNO₂ were initiated by the addition of 17.3 pmol NeNIR, whereas reactions without NaNO₂ were initiated by the addition of 2.5 nmol NeNIR. For anaerobic experiments, all manipulations were performed in a Coy chamber except for addition of NeNIR, which was performed using a gastight syringe. All reactions were performed in at least triplicate, and o-dianisidine oxidation was monitored at 460 nm (ε = 11,600 M cm⁻¹).

Crystallization and Data Collection—NeNIR was crystallized by the sitting drop vapor diffusion method at 4 °C by mixing 1 μL of 10 mg ml⁻¹ NeNIR with 1 μL of a well solution containing 5% glycerol, 20% PEG 3350, 0.7–1.05 mM LiCl, and either 75 mM Tris, pH 8.5, or 100 mM sodium cacodylate, pH 6.5. Rod-shaped crystals (pH 8.5) and hexagon-shaped crystals (pH 6.5) grew within 3 to 4 days. Reduced crystals were obtained by soaking crystals grown at pH 6.5 in well solution containing 100 mM ascorbate. All crystals were cryoprotected in well solution containing 30% glycerol and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Data collection was performed at sector 21 (the
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| TABLE 1 | Data collection and refinement statistics |
|---------|----------------------------------------|
|         | Data set (PDB code) | ph 8.5 (4KNT) | ph 6.5 (4KNU) | ph 6.5 reduced (4KNS) |
| Data collection | | | | |
| Space group | C2 | C2 | P2₁ | P2₁ |
| Cell dimensions | a = 100, b = 123, and c = 88 Å; γ = 97° | a = 100, b = 123, and c = 88 Å; γ = 97° | a = 121 Å; γ = 101° | a = 120 Å; γ = 101° |
| Resolution (Å)² | 2.4 (2.49–2.40) | 1.9 (1.90–1.95) | 1.8 (1.80–1.85) | 2.2 (2.20–2.26) |
| Rcryst | 0.191 (0.387) | 0.096 (0.443) | 0.120 (0.463) | 0.112 (0.530) |
| Completeness (%)² | 100 (100) | 98.6 (94.5) | 99.6 (98.1) | 91.0 (91.7) |
| Refinement | | | | |
| Rwork/Rfree, d | 16.9/20.0 | 17.1/20.9 | 18.5/22.9 |
| Ramachandran outliers (%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Average B-factor | 19.6 | 14.4 | 27.1 |
| Bond lengths (Å) | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.005 |
| Bond angles | 0.609° | 1.244° | 0.923° |

² Values in parentheses refer to the highest resolution shell.
² Rcryst = ∑|Fo|−|Fc|/|Fobs|, where the summation is over all reflections.
² Rwork = ∑|Fo|−|Fc|/|Fo|, and Rfree = ∑|Fo|−|Fc|/|Fo|. For calculation of Rfree, 5% of the reflections were reserved.
² r.m.s.d., root mean square deviation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Activity—Purified NeNIR was green in color and exhibited an optical spectrum with features at 450 and 597 nm, which are typical for a green CuNIR (Fig. 1) (27). The rate of nitrite reduction using methyl viologen as an electron donor was 288 ± 14.5 s⁻¹. This rate is similar to other CuNIRs (30, 41) and suggests that differences in the substrate channel (see below) do not significantly affect its ability to reduce nitrite. The rate of nitrite reduction using o-dianisidine was measured aerobically (atmospheric oxygen concentrations) and anaerobically as well as with and without catalase (Table 2). Similar to the CuNIR from Alcaligenes faecalis (AnNIR) (30), the NeNIR o-dianisidine-dependent rate of NO₂⁻ reduction was significantly lower than the methyl viologen-dependent rate. This difference is likely due to the fact o-dianisidine has a much higher reduction potential
than methyl viologen. No o-dianisidine oxidation was detected in assays performed aerobically without NO$_2$. The o-dianisidine-dependent activity assays reveal that oxygen does not inhibit nitrite reduction, and consistent with this observation, the presence of catalase has no effect on activity under aerobic or anaerobic conditions. Activity is actually enhanced in the presence of oxygen. The chemical basis for this enhancement is not immediately clear, but it is most likely due to an oxygen-dependent abiotic reaction between NO produced by the enzyme and o-dianisidine. Notably, NeNIR does not reduce oxygen. Even when the concentration of NeNIR is increased 144-fold, oxygen reduction is not detectable. By contrast, AfNIR reduces O$_2$ at a rate of ~3% of the NO$_2$ reduction rate using either o-dianisidine or pseudoazurin as the electron donor (30). Taken together, these findings suggest that NeNIR is oxygen-tolerant. It would be informative to perform similar experiments using the native NeNIR electron donor rather than o-dianisidine, but its identity remains unknown.

**Overall Structure and Metal Centers**—NeNIR is a cylindrical homotrimer (Fig. 2) that closely resembles other CuNIRs despite the low sequence similarity (~40% identity). The coordination environment and copper-to-ligand distances (Table 3) are also very similar to those in other structurally characterized CuNIRs and are consistent with NeNIR following the same catalytic cycle. However, the structure reveals several important differences (see below) that provide insight into the observed oxygen tolerance of NeNIR.

**Channels to the Type 2 Copper Center**—In typical CuNIRs, the T2 copper center is accessible by a single channel, which is responsible for delivering substrates, releasing product, and transferring protons (42–45). The channel roughly resembles a funnel with the opening formed by four different surface loops and β-strands 7 and 8 of the second domain. Even though the channel is funnel shaped, it is still relatively wide, and the T2 site is readily accessible (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, B and D). By contrast, access to the T2 site in NeNIR is much more restricted due to two structural features. First, the backbone of one of the chan-

---

**TABLE 2**

| Sample                  | Turnover $s^{-1}$ |
|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Ambient$^a$             | 1.42 ± 0.06       |
| Ambient + catalase      | 1.23 ± 0.05       |
| Anaerobic               | 0.78 ± 0.11       |
| Anaerobic + catalase    | 0.72 ± 0.05       |

$^a$ Atmospheric oxygen concentrations.

**TABLE 3**

|                        | pH 6.5 | pH 8.5 | Reduced |
|------------------------|--------|--------|---------|
| Molecules per asymmetric unit | 6      | 3      | 6       |
| **Type 1 copper**      |        |        |         |
| His-83                 | 2.10 ± 0.02 | 2.14 ± 0.01 | 2.21 ± 0.06 |
| His-131                | 2.10 ± 0.04 | 2.14 ± 0.02 | 2.20 ± 0.07 |
| Cys-123                | 2.23 ± 0.03 | 2.26 ± 0.04 | 2.30 ± 0.05 |
| Met-136                | 2.34 ± 0.03 | 2.47 ± 0.02 | 2.42 ± 0.10 |
| **Type 2 copper**      |        |        |         |
| His-88                 | 2.01 ± 0.04 | 2.03 ± 0.02 | 2.11 ± 0.04 |
| His-122                | 2.05 ± 0.05 | 2.07 ± 0.02 | 2.12 ± 0.08 |
| His-277                | 2.08 ± 0.03 | 2.12 ± 0.02 | 2.06 ± 0.08 |
| H$_2$O/OH              | 2.44 ± 0.06 | 2.31 ± 0.07 |         |

**FIGURE 2.** Overall architecture of NeNIR. Domains 1 and 2 of one monomer are shown in *pink* and *purple*, and the other two monomers are shown in *green* and *gray*. Type 1 copper centers are shown as *dark blue spheres*, and type 2 copper centers are shown as *light blue spheres*.

**FIGURE 3.** Comparison of channels to type 2 copper center. NeNIR is shown in *pink*, and AfNIR (PDB code 1OE1) is shown in *green*. Important loops are highlighted in *magenta*.
nel forming loops is longer and extends deeply into the channel. This loop is six amino acids longer than the equivalent loop in *Alcaligenes xylosoxidans* (AxNIR) (Fig. 3, extended loop), whereas the loop on the other side of the channel is one amino acid shorter than that AxNIR (Fig. 3, truncated loop). The net result is a more confined opening than that in typical CuNIRs (43).

The second key structural feature of the NeNIR channel is the presence of residue Met-128. This residue constricts the end of the channel such that the channel is no longer funnel-shaped, and only a single solvent molecule can be accommodated (Fig. 4, A and C, and Fig. 5A). This well ordered water molecule lies within 3.49 ± 0.06 Å of the sulfur atom of Met-128 and 2.72 Å ± 0.09 Å of the side chain oxygen of Asp-86, which is also hydrogen bonded to a coordinated water molecule (Fig. 5A). The distance between the sulfur atom of Met-128 and the water molecule is consistent with a sulfur-to-water hydro-
gen bond (46). In addition, the sulfur atom is 5.80 ± 0.03 Å from the T2 copper ion, and it is conceivable that this residue could interact directly with bound substrate. Importantly, structural alignments with other CuNIRs indicate that NeNIR is the only one with methionine at this position; the analogous location in most other CuNIRs is occupied by valine (e.g., AFNIR and AxNIR, Fig. 4, B and D) or isoleucine (e.g., Rhodobacter sphaeroides (RsNIR)).

Unlike other CuNIRs, the NeNIR T2 copper center is also accessible by a second fully hydrated channel (Fig. 5B). This water channel is located at the monomer-monomer interface and extends in the opposite direction from the substrate channel. Its location is structurally analogous to a region in AxNIR that consists of several waters gated by a histidine residue and was thought to be a proton channel (termed the primary proton channel) (43–45) as well as to the proposed water exit channel found in MCOs (47). The channel contains eight well ordered solvent molecules connected by an elaborate hydrogen bonding network that extends all the way from the T2 copper center to the surface of the protein. Interactions of solvent molecules within the channel involve backbone hydrogen bonds, whereas interactions at the channel mouth engage the side chains of several residues, including Arg-91, Thr-112, and Asp-114. It should be noted that a glycerol molecule is hydrogen bonded to Arg-91, Asp-114, Asn-115, and Asp-234 on the protein surface and may influence the precise location of the channel opening.

**The Effects of pH**—To further understand the physical properties of the water channel and the confined space around the T2 copper center, structures of oxidized NeNIR at pH 8.5 and 6.5 as well as reduced NeNIR at pH 6.5 were compared with one another and to the structures of RsNIR at pH 6.0 and pH 8.4 (Fig. 6) (48, 49). RsNIR was selected for analysis because structures are available in the same pH range as those obtained for NeNIR. The hydrogen bonding network and the positions of solvent molecules within the water channel are not affected by lowering the pH of NeNIR to 6.5. In RsNIR at pH 6.0, the water molecule coordinated to the T2 site shifts by 1.2 Å, whereas only a slight elongation in bonding distance is observed in NeNIR at pH 6.5 (Fig. 6). Although the less pronounced effect could be due in part to the slightly higher pH of the NeNIR structure (NeNIR cannot be crystallized below pH 6.5), the lack of perturbation of the hydrogen-bonding network and water channel structure suggests that NeNIR is less sensitive to pH than other CuNIRs. The relatively minor effect of pH on the structure might be due to the presence of Met-128, which shields the T2 site from bulk solvent via its hydrogen bonding interactions (Fig. 5A). This idea is supported by volume-filling analysis, which shows that Met-128 displaces a large volume of solvent in the substrate channel and alters the shape of the substrate channel near the T2 copper center (Fig. 4C).

**Electron Donor Docking Site**—Docking of proteinaceous electron donors near the T1 copper center and intermolecular electron transfer from the T1 to the T2 site have been studied extensively (50, 51). X-ray and NMR structures of CuNIRs bound to their electron donors (cytochrome c analogs and pseudoazurin) combined with mutagenesis data have defined two specific regions critical for donor binding, the tower loop and the hydrophobic patch (50–53). In a typical CuNIR, the tower loop extends from the second domain over the T1 copper site located in the N-terminal domain (29) and helps position the proteinaceous electron donor on the hydrophobic patch directly above the T1 site, which lies between the hydrophobic patch and tower loop (Fig. 7A). In NeNIR, the tower loop is truncated by 17 residues as compared with AxNIR and by nine residues as compared with NgNIR (29, 50). As a result, the NeNIR tower loop does not extend nearly as far over the N-terminal domain as its AxNIR counterpart. The portion of the NeNIR tower loop facing the N-terminal domain is instead structurally similar to NgNIR (Fig. 7A). An extensive region of the NgNIR and AxNIR tower loops that resides on the top of the C-terminal domain is completely absent in NeNIR.

The size and conformation of the NeNIR tower loop is reminiscent of the tower loop in NeMCO, the two-domain MCO encoded by the same operon as NeNIR (Fig. 7C) (17). This MCO is unique because it has considerable oxidase activity toward proteinaceous electron donors, suggesting it is a terminal oxidase (18). The truncated tower loops found in NgNIR and NeMCO have been proposed to allow access of a larger electron donor or to decrease specificity of the electron donor (17, 29). The structural similarity between the NeNIR and NeMCO tower loops might suggest that they utilize the same electron donor. However, comparison of the hydrophobic patches in NeMCO and NeNIR indicates that the NeMCO surface is considerably more negatively charged (Fig. 7, B and D), which would be consistent with different donors. Thus, it may be that NeNIR and NeMCO either obtain electrons from two...
separate donors or that they interact with the same donor in different ways. The NcgB and NcgC proteins, also encoded by the nirK operon, are obvious candidates, but these two proteins form a complex, which is not typical of small electron carrier proteins. Other possible candidates include the tetraheme cytochrome c554 (the electron carrier from hydroxylamine oxidoreductase to the quinone oxidase), cytochrome c552 (cytochrome c analog), and nitrosocyanin (54, 55).

Mechanistic Implications—There are two proposed mechanisms for CuNIR. For many years, the prevailing mechanism involved displacement of the coordinated water molecule by nitrite at the oxidized T2 copper followed by electron transfer from the T1 to the T2 site. This mechanism is often referred to as the “ordered” or the “bind first mechanism” (56). However, there is strong kinetic evidence and single molecule data indicating that CuNIRs may follow a “random sequential mechanism” in which either nitrite can bind first or electron transfer from the T1 to the T2 copper center can occur prior to nitrite binding (57, 58). In the random sequential mechanism, the order of events is proposed to be dictated by substrate concentrations and pH. If the bind first mechanism is operational in NeNIR, the unique water channel may play a critical role. Because the NeNIR substrate channel narrows to width of one solvent molecule, the coordinated water molecule must be displaced by nitrite into the water channel rather than into the constrained substrate channel. The water channel may not be as important if the T2 site is reduced before nitrite binding because the coordinated water molecule becomes disordered upon reduction of NeNIR, similar to other CuNIRs (59). In reduced NeNIR, ordered solvent is not observed in the substrate channel near the T2 center, but following reduction, there is probably still solvent present that would need to be displaced into the water channel.

The water channel could also play a role in proton transfer. It has been demonstrated that AxNIR catalysis involves proton-coupled electron transfer and residue Asn-90, which is near the entrance of the substrate channel, is critical for proton transfer. The AxNIR mutant in which Asn-90 is replaced with serine has a 70% loss in activity, whereas mutations in the channel former known as the primary proton channel (analogous to the NeNIR water channel) do not affect activity (45, 60). Interestingly, the NeNR residue equivalent to AxNIR Asn-90 is a serine (Ser-84), implying that NeNR probably utilizes a different proton transfer pathway.

**FIGURE 7.** Electron donor docking sites in CuNIRs. A, superposition of NeNIR (pink, tower loop in magenta) with the AxNIR-cytochrome c551 co-crystal structure (green, PDB code 2ZON) and NgNIR (gray, PDB code 1KBW). B, NeNIR surface colored by electrostatic potential. C, superposition of NeNIR (pink and magenta) and NeMCO (blue, PDB code 3G5W) highlighting the tower loop. D, NeMCO (PDB code 3G5W) surface colored by electrostatic potential.
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Beyond constraining the substrate channel, residue Met-128 might play a direct role in preventing oxygen reduction. In particular, it has been proposed that O$_2$ binds at the T2 site in an end-on manner and is reduced to peroxide (30). Peroxide formation in a CuNIR would occur via a stable one-electron reduced oxygen species. Presumably, the bound oxygen would be located in the same position as the bound water molecule, linked to the Met-128 hydrogen-bonding network (Fig. 5A). In a typical CuNIR, the open substrate channel and could likely accommodate any number of reactive oxygen species. In NeNIR, the proximity of the sulfur of Met-128 to the T2 copper site may prevent formation of a reactive oxygen species either by sterically blocking oxygen from binding in a reactive conformation (which is unlikely because NO$_2^-$ readily binds) or by preventing formation of reactive intermediates.

In sum, NeNIR is an oxygen tolerant CuNIR, consistent with its presence in a primarily aerobic organism. The NeNIR crystal structures reveal a constrained substrate channel due to the presence of Met-128 and a second water channel that may function as an egress for displaced water molecules. These two features are completely unprecedented in CuNIRs and suggest a new paradigm for functional aerobic CuNIR activity. Finally, the NeNIR electron donor docking site is structurally similar to that in NeMCO but differs in electrostatic properties, consistent with distinct electron donors. Functional expression of NeNIR in a recombinant host and site-directed mutagenesis as well as identification of the native electron donors will be required to further understand these unique characteristics.
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