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Background. Metabolic syndrome has been linked to an increased cancer risk, but the role of dyslipidaemia in gastrointestinal malignancies is unclear. We aimed to assess the risk of oesophageal, stomach, colon, and rectal cancers using serum levels of lipid components.

Methods. From the Swedish Apolipoprotein Mortality Risk (AMORIS) study, we selected 540,309 participants (>20 years old) with baseline measurements of total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), and glucose of whom 84,774 had baseline LDL cholesterol (LDL), HDL cholesterol (HDL), apolipoprotein B (apoB), and apolipoprotein A-I (apoA-I). Multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression was used to assess glucose and lipid components in relation to oesophageal, stomach, colon, and rectal cancer risk. Results. An increased risk of oesophageal cancer was observed in persons with high TG (e.g. HR: 2.29 (95% CI: 1.42–3.68) for the 4th quartile compared to the 1st) and low LDL, LDL/HDL ratio, TC/HDL ratio, log (TG/HDL), and apoB/apoA-I ratio. High glucose and TG were linked with an increased colon cancer risk, while high TC levels were associated with an increased rectal cancer risk.

Conclusion. The persistent link between TC and rectal cancer risk as well as between TG and oesophageal and colon cancer risk in normoglycaemic individuals may imply their substantiality in gastrointestinal carcinogenesis.

1. Introduction

The prevalence of dyslipidaemia is increasing worldwide [1, 2], either alone or as part of the metabolic syndrome [3]. Many epidemiological studies have consistently linked the metabolic syndrome as well as its separated components, most importantly impaired glucose metabolism and obesity, with increased risk of cancer [4–6]. However, the association between abnormal levels of serum lipid components as the main features of dyslipidaemia and the risk of individual cancers is unclear. For gastrointestinal malignancies, a positive linear association has been reported between triglycerides (TG) and risk of colon and rectal cancer [7–9]. In contrast, the role of total cholesterol (TC) in oesophageal, stomach, colon, and rectal cancer development remains inconsistent in observational studies [9–11]. Little is known about how other specific lipid markers such as high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL) and their components, apolipoprotein A-I (apoA-I) and apolipoprotein B (apoB), affect cancer risk [12, 13].

To date, the precise mechanism by which serum lipids contribute to the development of cancer is unknown. Dyslipidaemia enhances oxidative stress as well as chronic inflammation [14–16] and is highly related to insulin resistance [17], all of which have been linked to carcinogenesis. Besides circulating lipids, an experimental study has
shown that dietary lipids may induce local inflammation in alimentary tract which is thought to enhance development of gastrointestinal malignancies [18]. Nevertheless, evidence for a link between dietary fat and cholesterol in the development of oesophageal, stomach, colon, and rectal cancer is still inconclusive [19–21]. In this study we explored the link between serum lipid components and risk of oesophageal, stomach, colon, and rectal cancers in a large Swedish population-based cohort.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Population and Data Collection. The Swedish AMORIS database has been described in detail elsewhere [22–28]. Briefly, this database is based on the linkage of the CALAB database (1985–1996) to several Swedish national registries such as the National Cancer Register, the National Patient Register, the Cause of Death Register, the consecutive Swedish Census-during 1970–1990, and the National Register of Emigration by using the Swedish 10-digit personal identity number to provide information on socio-economic status (SES), vital status, cancer diagnosis, and emigration. The CALAB database includes data from 351,487 male and 338,101 female healthy individuals having clinical laboratory testing as part of a general health checkup or outpatients referred for laboratory testing. No individuals were inpatients at the time their blood samples were taken and none were excluded for disease symptoms or because of treatment. This study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the ethics review board of the Karolinska Institute approved the study.

From the AMORIS cohort, we selected all participants aged >20 years old whose serum levels of TC (mmol/L), TG (mmol/L) and glucose (mmol/L) were measured at baseline, that is, at time of study entry (n = 540,309). Of those, a total of 89,153 participants had baseline measurements of body mass index (BMI, kg/m²) (Subgroup A) and a total of 84,774 had baseline measurement of more detailed lipid markers including LDL (mmol/L), HDL (mmol/L), apoB (g/L), and apoA-I (g/L) (Subgroup B) (Figure 1). All participants were free from cancer at time of study entry and none were diagnosed with cancer within the first three months of follow-up. Followup time was defined as time from baseline examination until date of diagnosis of oesophageal, stomach, colon or rectal cancer, date of death, emigration out of Sweden, or study closing date (December 31, 2002), whichever occurred first.

Information on age and fasting status was also obtained from the CALAB database. Diagnosis of oesophageal (ICD-7: 150), stomach (ICD-7: 151), colon (ICD-7: 153), and rectal cancer (ICD-7: 154) was taken from the National Cancer Registry. Data for death and migration were obtained from the Swedish Cause of Death Register and the National Register of Emigration. From the Population and Housing Census, information about socioeconomic status (SES) was also collected. SES is based on occupational groups and classifies gainfully employed subjects into manual workers and nonmanual employees, below designated blue-collar and white-collar workers [29].

TC and TG were measured enzymatically, whereas apoB and apoA-I were measured by immunoturbidimetric methods [22, 30]. The concentrations of LDL and HDL were calculated, and the validation procedures have been reported previously [23]. The balance of cholesterol can be reflected by the ratio of TC to HDL (TC/HDL) or LDL to HDL cholesterol (LDL/HDL). This balance can also be assessed by using a ratio of apoB/apoA-I, as these particles are components of LDL and HDL to bind and transport cholesterol [31]. Glucose was measured enzymatically with a glucose oxidase/peroxidase method. All methods were fully automated with automatic calibration and performed at one accredited laboratory [22].

2.2. Data Analysis. Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated to assess the relation between glucose and different lipid components (TC, TG) and BMI. Multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression was used to assess the association between quartiles of lipid components as well as their ratios in relation to the risk of oesophageal, stomach, colon, and rectal cancer. To gain insight into the possible role of insulin resistance, we also assessed glucose in relation to gastrointestinal cancer risk. A test for trend was conducted by using assignment to quartiles as an ordinal scale. All models were adjusted for glucose, TC and TG levels (if not strongly correlated with the covariate of interest), as well as age, gender, SES, and fasting status.

We repeated the above analyses using log transformation of the continuous lipid components as well as dichotomized values based upon the cutoffs used in cardiovascular prevention and the National Cholesterol Education Programme (NCEP) (cutoffs: 6.11 mmol/L, 6.50 mmol/L, 1.71 mmol/L, 1.03 mmol/L, 4.10 mmol/L, 5.00, 3.50, 1.50, 1.05, 1.00, and 0.50 for glucose, TC, TG, HDL, LDL, TC/HDL, LDL/HDL, apoB, apoA-I, apoB/apoA-I, and log (TG/HDL), resp.) [32–34].

Considering the putative link between obesity, dysli- piidaemia, and cancer, in Subgroup A the associations between
glucose, TC, TG, and risk of gastrointestinal cancers were assessed while adjusting for BMI. Furthermore, to assess the role of glucose, we performed a stratified analysis using dichotomized levels of glucose (cut-off: 6.11 mmol/L) for both the log transformation and dichotomized values of TC and TG. Adjustment for BMI and stratification by glucose levels were not done for Subgroup B due to the small number of gastrointestinal cancers in this subgroup.

We evaluated reverse causation between dyslipidaemia and cancer through a sensitivity analysis in which those with follow-up time < 3 years were excluded (n = 12,750). All analyses were conducted with Statistical Analysis Systems (SAS) release 9.1.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

3. Results

During a mean followup of 12 years, a total of 318 oesophageal cancers, 776 stomach cancers, 2472 colon cancers, and 1510 rectal cancers were diagnosed (Table 1). The majority of the population was gainfully employed because most measurements were taken as part of health examinations done at company health checkups. Pearson Correlation Coefficients showed that glucose, TC, and TG were associated with BMI, with TG being most strongly linked to BMI (r = 0.32, P value < 0.0001) and glucose the weakest (r = 0.19, P value < 0.0001), respectively.

3.1. Oesophageal Cancer. A positive association was found between quartiles of TG, HDL, and risk of oesophageal cancer (e.g., HR for TG: 1.47 (95% CI: 0.91–2.36), 1.64 (95% CI: 1.02–2.65), 2.29 (95% CI: 1.42–3.68) for the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th quartiles, compared to the 1st, with P-value for trend: < 0.001). In addition, there was a negative trend between quartiles of LDL, LDL/HDL ratio, TC/HDL ratio, log (TG/HDL), and apoB/apoA-I ratio and oesophageal cancer risk (Table 2). Similar associations were found when we used log transformations and dichotomized values of the lipid components (Table 3). When we stratified by glucose levels, high TG levels (≥1.71 mmol/L) and glucose <6.11 mmol/L increased the risk of oesophageal cancer (HR: 1.38 (95% CI: 1.05–1.83) compared to low TG) (Table 4).

3.2. Stomach Cancer. Quartiles of HDL were negatively associated with risk of stomach cancer, albeit not statistically significant (Table 2). In contrast, a positive association was found between the 2nd quartile of the apoB/apoA-I ratio and risk of stomach cancer, although no clear trend was apparent (HR: 2.00 (95% CI: 1.06–3.78) compared to the 1st quartile, P value for trend 0.19). No association was observed when using log transformations and dichotomized values of lipid components (Table 3). Similarly, stratification by glucose levels (Table 4) did not show any relation between glucose, lipid components and stomach cancer risk.

3.3. Colon Cancer. We found a positive trend between quartiles of glucose, TG and colon cancer risk (e.g., HR for TG: 1.09 (95% CI: 0.94–1.26), 1.21 (95% CI: 1.05–1.41), and 1.30 (95% CI: 1.12–1.52) for 2nd, 3rd, and 4th quartile, compared to the 1st with P value for trend < 0.001) (Table 2). This linear association was confirmed when we assessed log transformations and dichotomized values of TG (Table 3). Stratification by glucose levels resulted in a positive association between high TG levels and colon cancer risk in persons with glucose <6.11 mmol/L (Table 4).

3.4. Rectal Cancer. We observed a positive association between quartiles of TC and the risk of rectal cancer (HR: 1.11 (95% CI: 0.91–1.35), 1.10 (95% CI: 0.91–1.33), 1.32 (95% CI: 1.09–1.59) for 2nd, 3rd, and 4th quartile, compared to the 1st with P value for trend <0.001) (Table 2). Similar results were found when we used log transformations and dichotomized values of lipid components (Table 3). Glucose-stratified analysis showed that TG was consistently positively associated with rectal cancer risk in both those with high and normal glucose levels (Table 4).

We repeated all the above analyses in Subgroup A and found no statistically significant results, with or without additional adjustment for BMI (results not shown). Finally, we performed a sensitivity analysis by excluding those with follow-up time < 3 years, but this did not substantially alter our findings (results not shown).

4. Discussion

The present study showed that risk of oesophageal cancer was positively associated with serum levels of TG, and negatively with LDL, LDL/HDL ratio, TC/HDL ratio, log (TG/HDL), and apoB/apoA-I ratio. Meanwhile, we observed a higher risk of colon cancer with high levels of glucose and TG, and an increased risk of rectal cancer in those with high TC levels. Stratification by glucose levels showed that risk of colon cancer was positively associated with TG levels in primarily those with glucose < 6.11 mmol/L.

Several mechanisms have been suggested to link altered levels of serum lipids and development of cancer. Lipid peroxides in lipoproteins are known to induce cellular damage and inflammatory response [14, 35], which may promote malignant transformation of normal cells. Furthermore, elevated levels of LDL as well as reduced levels of HDL have been linked to an increased activity of proinflammatory markers such as TNF-α and IL-6 [36, 37]. On the other hand, TG and TC biosyntheses are essentially regulated by insulin [38], and hyperinsulinaemia occurring has been shown to promote carcinogenesis in animal studies [39]. Therefore, it is also possible that lipid components mediate the role of insulin in cancer development instead of acting as an independent risk factor.

Alternatively, lipid components may act as a marker of other exposure such as lifestyle that actually modifies the risk of gastrointestinal cancer, and thus it may not be fruitful for prevention to target lipids per se. Nevertheless, the use of hydroxymethylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitors or statins, a widely used lipid-lowering agent, have been suggested to lower the risk of colorectal and gastric cancer in several Western and Asian studies [40–42].
Table 1: Baseline characteristics of study population.

|                          | Oesophageal cancer (n = 318) | Stomach cancer (n = 776) | Colon cancer (n = 2472) | Rectal cancer (n = 1510) | All (n = 540309) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| Age                      |                             |                          |                         |                          |                  |
| Mean (SD)                | 55.75 (10.46)              | 57.83 (11.80)            | 57.93 (11.60)           | 56.75 (10.82)            | 43.84 (13.83)    |
| Gender                   |                             |                          |                         |                          |                  |
| Male                     | 234 (73.58)                | 497 (64.05)              | 1375 (55.62)            | 938 (62.12)              | 290294 (53.73)   |
| Female                   | 84 (26.42)                 | 279 (35.95)              | 1097 (44.38)            | 572 (37.88)              | 250015 (46.27)   |
| Socioeconomic status     |                             |                          |                         |                          |                  |
| White collar             | 110 (34.59)                | 248 (31.96)              | 915 (37.01)             | 577 (38.21)              | 200231 (37.06)   |
| Blue collar              | 154 (48.43)                | 372 (47.94)              | 1059 (42.84)            | 700 (46.36)              | 256413 (47.46)   |
| Not gainfully employed or missing | 54 (16.98) | 156 (20.10) | 498 (20.15) | 233 (15.43) | 83665 (15.48) |
| Mean follow-up time (year) | 8.09 (4.73) | 7.62 (4.68) | 8.50 (4.67) | 8.53 (4.54) | 12.31 (4.13) |
| Fasting status           |                             |                          |                         |                          |                  |
| Fasting                  | 183 (57.55)                | 473 (60.95)              | 1534 (62.06)            | 953 (63.11)              | 298337 (55.22)   |
| Not fasting              | 15 (4.72)                  | 48 (6.19)                | 147 (5.95)              | 96 (6.36)                | 78318 (14.30)    |
| Missing                  | 120 (37.74)                | 255 (32.86)              | 791 (32.00)             | 461 (30.53)              | 163654 (30.29)   |
| Glucose (mmol/L)         |                             |                          |                         |                          |                  |
| Mean (SD)                | 5.49 (2.07)                | 5.36 (1.81)              | 5.27 (1.50)             | 5.26 (1.56)              | 4.97 (1.25)      |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L)|                             |                          |                         |                          |                  |
| Mean (SD)                | 5.90 (1.17)                | 6.00 (1.26)              | 6.05 (1.16)             | 6.11 (1.17)              | 5.55 (1.16)      |
| Triglycerides (mmol/L)   |                             |                          |                         |                          |                  |
| Mean (SD)                | 1.72 (1.37)                | 1.48 (0.94)              | 1.49 (0.95)             | 1.47 (1.03)              | 1.31 (0.99)      |
| LDL cholesterol (mmol/L) |                             |                          |                         |                          |                  |
| Mean (SD)                | 3.40 (1.15)                | 3.90 (1.11)              | 3.88 (1.03)             | 3.93 (1.05)              | 3.59 (1.08)      |
| HDL cholesterol (mmol/L) |                             |                          |                         |                          |                  |
| Mean (SD)                | 1.49 (0.42)                | 1.52 (0.45)              | 1.55 (0.48)             | 1.57 (0.49)              | 1.53 (0.44)      |
| Apolipoprotein B (mmol/L)|                             |                          |                         |                          |                  |
| Mean (SD)                | 1.23 (0.35)                | 1.33 (0.30)              | 1.35 (0.34)             | 1.33 (0.33)              | 1.22 (0.34)      |
| Apolipoprotein A-I (mmol/L)|                             |                          |                         |                          |                  |
| Mean (SD)                | 1.46 (0.22)                | 1.43 (0.25)              | 1.46 (0.25)             | 1.46 (0.26)              | 1.43 (0.23)      |
| LDL/HDL                  |                             |                          |                         |                          |                  |
| Mean (SD)                | 2.76 (2.17)                | 2.86 (1.27)              | 2.84 (1.42)             | 2.84 (1.48)              | 2.68 (1.59)      |
| Total cholesterol/HDL    |                             |                          |                         |                          |                  |
| Mean (SD)                | 4.04 (1.69)                | 4.37 (1.68)              | 4.39 (1.87)             | 4.38 (1.95)              | 4.15 (2.13)      |
| Triglycerides/HDL        |                             |                          |                         |                          |                  |
| Mean (SD)                | 1.81 (3.93)                | 1.18 (1.12)              | 1.25 (1.32)             | 1.21 (1.28)              | 1.16 (1.74)      |
| ApoB/ApoA-I              |                             |                          |                         |                          |                  |
| Mean (SD)                | 0.86 (0.28)                | 0.96 (0.26)              | 0.96 (0.31)             | 0.94 (0.30)              | 0.88 (0.30)      |
| BMI (kg/m²)              |                             |                          |                         |                          |                  |
| <18.5                    | 3 (6.82)                   | 2 (1.77)                 | 6 (1.58)                | 1 (0.43)                 | 1608 (1.80)      |
| 18.5–25                  | 17 (38.64)                 | 54 (47.79)               | 196 (51.58)             | 123 (52.34)              | 52509 (58.90)    |
| 25–30                    | 20 (45.45)                 | 40 (35.40)               | 139 (36.58)             | 85 (36.17)               | 28339 (31.79)    |
| ≥30                      | 4 (9.09)                   | 17 (15.04)               | 39 (10.26)              | 26 (11.06)               | 6697 (7.51)      |

a Measured in Subcohort B.
b Measured in Subcohort A.
| Glucose (mmol/L) | Oesophageal cancer | Stomach cancer | Colon cancer | Rectal cancer |
|------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|
| <4.40            | 40 1.00 (Ref)      | 99 1.00 (Ref)  | 310 1.00 (Ref) | 242 1.00 (Ref) |
| 4.40–4.80        | 65 1.01 (0.68–1.50) | 185 1.12 (0.88–1.44) | 572 1.14 (1.00–1.32) | 338 0.85 (0.72–1.00) |
| 4.80–5.20        | 65 0.88 (0.59–1.32) | 201 1.06 (0.83–1.35) | 622 1.12 (0.98–1.29) | 346 0.77 (0.65–0.91) |
| ≥5.20            | 148 1.27 (0.89–1.87) | 291 0.96 (0.74–1.23) | 968 1.21 (1.05–1.40) | 584 0.88 (0.74–1.05) |
| P value for trend| 0.16               | 0.41           | 0.03         | 0.23          |

| Total cholesterol (mmol/L) | Oesophageal cancer | Stomach cancer | Colon cancer | Rectal cancer |
|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|
| <4.70                     | 41 1.00 (Ref)      | 89 1.00 (Ref)  | 266 1.00 (Ref) | 150 1.00 (Ref) |
| 4.70–5.50                 | 72 0.93 (0.63–1.37) | 172 1.01 (0.78–1.31) | 499 0.96 (0.83–1.11) | 316 1.11 (0.91–1.35) |
| 5.50–6.30                 | 99 0.99 (0.69–1.44) | 212 0.96 (0.74–1.23) | 718 1.04 (0.90–1.21) | 405 1.10 (0.91–1.33) |
| ≥6.30                     | 106 0.79 (0.54–1.15) | 303 1.03 (0.80–1.32) | 989 1.03 (0.90–1.19) | 639 0.88 (0.74–1.05) |
| P value for trend          | 0.28               | 0.54           | 0.19         | 0.0003        |

| Triglycerides (mmol/L) | Oesophageal cancer | Stomach cancer | Colon cancer | Rectal cancer |
|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|
| <0.70                 | 22 1.00 (Ref)      | 74 1.00 (Ref)  | 248 1.00 (Ref) | 168 1.00 (Ref) |
| 0.70–1.03             | 79 1.47 (0.91–2.36) | 225 1.17 (0.90–1.52) | 682 1.09 (0.94–1.26) | 424 0.97 (0.81–1.16) |
| 1.03–1.60             | 83 1.64 (1.02–2.65) | 223 1.19 (0.91–1.56) | 710 1.21 (1.05–1.41) | 410 0.97 (0.80–1.16) |
| ≥1.60                 | 134 2.29 (1.42–3.68) | 254 1.17 (0.90–1.55) | 832 1.30 (1.12–1.52) | 508 1.03 (0.86–1.25) |
| P value for trend      | 0.0001             | 0.44           | <0.0001      | 0.49          |

| HDL cholesterol (mmol/L) | Oesophageal cancer | Stomach cancer | Colon cancer | Rectal cancer |
|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|
| <1.25                    | 18 1.00 (Ref)      | 19 1.00 (Ref)  | 59 1.00 (Ref) | 28 1.00 (Ref) |
| 1.25–1.52                | 16 2.14 (0.97–4.71) | 29 0.88 (0.51–1.50) | 107 1.24 (0.93–1.67) | 50 1.04 (0.69–1.58) |
| 1.52–1.80                | 16 2.64 (1.16–6.03) | 27 0.76 (0.43–1.37) | 89 1.04 (0.75–1.43) | 50 1.04 (0.67–1.61) |
| ≥1.80                    | 10 2.04 (0.79–5.27) | 26 0.62 (0.33–1.17) | 116 1.25 (0.89–1.74) | 73 1.40 (0.89–2.17) |
| P value for trend         | 0.09               | 0.12           | 0.26         | 0.36          |

| Apolipoprotein B (mmol/L) | Oesophageal cancer | Stomach cancer | Colon cancer | Rectal cancer |
|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|
| <0.97                    | 11 1.00 (Ref)      | 13 1.00 (Ref)  | 37 1.00 (Ref) | 28 1.00 (Ref) |
| 0.97–1.18                | 20 0.97 (0.45–2.10) | 20 0.88 (0.43–1.82) | 102 1.39 (0.94–2.05) | 48 0.76 (0.47–1.23) |
| 1.18–1.42                | 11 0.39 (0.15–1.03) | 42 1.51 (0.73–3.12) | 131 1.28 (0.85–1.93) | 83 0.84 (0.52–1.37) |
| ≥1.42                    | 15 0.45 (0.14–1.44) | 40 1.45 (0.59–3.59) | 145 1.18 (0.72–1.92) | 69 0.48 (0.26–0.89) |
| P value for trend         | 0.08               | 0.16           | 0.77         | 0.05          |

| Apolipoprotein A-I (mmol/L) | Oesophageal cancer | Stomach cancer | Colon cancer | Rectal cancer |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|
| <1.27                       | 14 1.00 (Ref)      | 31 1.00 (Ref)  | 91 1.00 (Ref) | 57 1.00 (Ref) |
| 1.27–1.40                   | 11 1.10 (0.49–2.43) | 32 1.07 (0.65–1.77) | 88 1.05 (0.78–1.41) | 40 0.74 (0.49–1.12) |
| 1.40–1.56                   | 18 1.88 (0.91–3.86) | 22 0.63 (0.36–1.10) | 114 1.19 (0.89–1.58) | 54 0.87 (0.60–1.28) |
| ≥1.56                       | 14 1.70 (0.78–3.70) | 30 0.75 (0.43–1.31) | 122 1.20 (0.89–1.61) | 77 1.15 (0.79–1.67) |
| P value for trend            | 0.08               | 0.13           | 0.17         | 0.31          |

| LDL/HDL                       | Oesophageal cancer | Stomach cancer | Colon cancer | Rectal cancer |
|------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|
| <1.74                        | 18 1.00 (Ref)      | 18 1.00 (Ref)  | 80 1.00 (Ref) | 39 1.00 (Ref) |
| 1.74–2.32                    | 13 0.58 (0.28–1.21) | 23 1.12 (0.60–2.10) | 98 0.99 (0.73–1.34) | 53 1.11 (0.72–1.68) |
| 2.32–3.16                    | 13 0.43 (0.20–0.93) | 44 1.79 (0.99–3.23) | 117 0.92 (0.68–1.25) | 68 1.12 (0.73–1.71) |
| ≥3.16                        | 13 0.28 (0.12–0.66) | 30 1.16 (0.57–2.35) | 120 0.79 (0.56–1.13) | 68 1.00 (0.62–1.63) |
| P value for trend             | 0.003              | 0.37           | 0.17         | 0.99          |
Table 2: Continued.

|                  | Oesophageal cancer | Stomach cancer | Colon cancer | Rectal cancer |
|------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|
|                  | n                  | HR (95% CI)    | n            | HR (95% CI)   | n            | HR (95% CI)   |
| Total cholesterol/HDL<sup>+</sup> |                    |                |              |               |              |               |
| <2.95            | 12                 | 1.00 (Ref)     | 18           | 1.00 (Ref)    | 74           | 1.00 (Ref)    | 35           | 1.00 (Ref)    |
| 2.95–3.66        | 16                 | 1.02 (0.47–2.17) | 26           | 1.14 (0.62–2.11) | 104         | 1.04 (0.77–1.40) | 61           | 1.28 (0.84–1.95) |
| 3.66–4.68        | 14                 | 0.71 (0.31–1.59) | 36           | 1.43 (0.78–2.64) | 112         | 0.93 (0.68–1.27) | 64           | 1.13 (0.72–1.75) |
| ≥4.68            | 15                 | 0.42 (0.17–1.05) | 35           | 1.33 (0.65–2.73) | 125         | 0.84 (0.58–1.20) | 68           | 1.03 (0.62–1.72) |
| P value for trend|                    | 0.04           | 0.33         | 0.25          | 0.90         |
| Triglycerides/HDL<sup>+</sup> |                    |                |              |               |              |               |
| <0.44            | 5                  | 1.00 (Ref)     | 21           | 1.00 (Ref)    | 83           | 1.00 (Ref)    | 48           | 1.00 (Ref)    |
| 0.44–0.70        | 11                 | 1.71 (0.59–4.94) | 26           | 1.14 (0.63–2.06) | 89           | 0.91 (0.68–1.24) | 57           | 0.99 (0.67–1.47) |
| 0.70–1.23        | 21                 | 2.48 (0.91–6.75) | 30           | 1.25 (0.67–2.32) | 113         | 0.98 (0.72–1.33) | 58           | 0.84 (0.55–1.27) |
| ≥1.23            | 20                 | 1.37 (0.43–4.42) | 38           | 1.88 (0.83–4.27) | 130         | 1.00 (0.67–1.49) | 65           | 0.81 (0.46–1.42) |
| P value for trend|                    | 0.52           | 0.18         | 0.97          | 0.36         |
| ApoB/ApoA-I<sup>+</sup> |                    |                |              |               |              |               |
| <0.67            | 15                 | 1.00 (Ref)     | 14           | 1.00 (Ref)    | 70           | 1.00 (Ref)    | 37           | 1.00 (Ref)    |
| 0.67–0.84        | 16                 | 0.68 (0.33–1.39) | 22           | 1.16 (0.59–2.29) | 88           | 0.85 (0.62–1.17) | 52           | 0.93 (0.60–1.42) |
| 0.84–1.05        | 13                 | 0.39 (0.18–0.86) | 46           | 2.00 (1.06–3.78) | 120         | 0.89 (0.65–1.21) | 76           | 1.00 (0.66–1.52) |
| ≥0.85            | 13                 | 0.31 (0.13–0.74) | 33           | 1.37 (0.66–2.84) | 137         | 0.86 (0.61–1.21) | 63           | 0.67 (0.41–1.10) |
| P value for trend|                    | 0.005          | 0.19         | 0.61          | 0.16         |

<sup>a</sup>Not adjusted for TC.
<sup>b</sup>Not adjusted for TG.
<sup>c</sup>Measured in Subcohort B.

This early evidence, albeit requiring further confirmation, indicates that lipids are directly involved in cancer causative pathways, either as an independent culprit or a mediator of other factors responsible for carcinogenesis.

4.1. Oesophageal Cancer. We found a positive linear association between TG levels and risk of oesophageal cancer. Prior studies have indicated the positive link between dietary fat as well as obesity and the risk of oesophageal adenocarcinoma [43–45], but little evidence has been reported regarding the role of serum lipids. However, high levels of TG and TC have been reported to be related to increased incidence of lymph node metastasis in patients with resectable oesophageal adenocarcinoma [46]. The present study also observed a negative association between oesophageal cancer risk and several other lipid components. LDL is easily oxidized and may serve as a marker for lipid peroxidation [47], which is thought to enhance carcinogenesis. Interestingly, in a population-based study in China, the levels of LDL as well as antibody targeting oxidized LDL (ox-LDL) were lower in the groups with more advanced stage of oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma compared to those with normal oesophageal squamous epithelium [48]. As oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma remains the majority of oesophageal cancer in Eastern countries [49], such finding may not necessarily reflect the association between lipid components and oesophageal cancer in general. However, our findings, which are based on a European population, also suggest a protective role of LDL, which suggests a more complex etiology for oesophageal cancer in the context of the lipid metabolism.

4.2. Stomach Cancer. We observed a weak negative trend between HDL levels and risk of stomach cancer. Low levels of HDL have been associated with increased inflammatory activity [50], which may contribute to cancer development. A higher incidence of stomach dysplasia has also been reported for lower HDL levels in a Korean case-control study [51]. There was no association between TG levels and stomach cancer risk in the large European cohort Me-Can [8, 52], which is consistent with what we found in AMORIS. There was also no association between TC and stomach cancer, which is in contrast with the inverse relation between TC levels and stomach cancer risk in Asian studies [10, 53]. Differences in diet and ethnicities related to stomach cancer risk may explain these opposite findings [54].

4.3. Colorectal Cancer. We observed an increased risk of colon cancer in those with high glucose and TG levels, and an increased risk of rectal cancer in those with high TC levels. Both in vitro and animal studies have shown that high dietary fat and cholesterol increase lipid peroxidation and alter immune responses in colon [15, 55, 56]. Furthermore, fat intake has been shown to modify Ras and ERK signaling activities, which play an important role in the development of colorectal cancer [57]. Additionally, insulin resistance which results in elevated glucose levels have also been suggested to greatly impact the relation between dyslipidemia and gastrointestinal cancer [17]. Higher levels of glucose and TG have been linked to increased colon and rectal cancer risks in several European cohorts [8, 52, 54]. Meanwhile, a Korean study showed elevated TC to be positively associated with a marginally increased risk of colon cancer in men.
Table 3: Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals for GI cancer for lipid components as continuous variables and based on cut-off points used in cardiovascular disease prevention, adjusted for age, gender, SES, fasting status, glucose (continuous), total cholesterol (continuous), and triglycerides (continuous).

|                     | Oesophageal cancer | Stomach cancer | Colon cancer | Rectal cancer |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|
|                     | n                  | HR (95% CI)    | n            | HR (95% CI)   |
| **Glucose (mmol/L)**|                    |                |              |               |
| Unit increase in log| 2.03 (0.45–9.12)   | 1.09 (0.41–2.88)| 2.30 (1.18–4.48)| 1.14 (0.54–2.44)|
| <6.11               | 271                | 1.00 (Ref)     | 686          | 1.00 (Ref)    |
| ≥6.11               | 47                 | 1.33 (0.87–2.01)| 90           | 1.02 (0.76–1.38)|
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L)**|       |                |              |               |
| Unit increase in log| 0.63 (0.35–1.13)   | 0.99 (0.67–1.47)| 1.10 (0.88–1.37)| 1.83 (1.38–2.44)|
| <6.50               | 224                | 1.00 (Ref)     | 523          | 1.00 (Ref)    |
| ≥6.50               | 94                 | 0.90 (0.70–1.15)| 253          | 1.04 (0.89–1.22)|
| Triglycerides (mmol/L)b|                  |                |              |               |
| Unit increase in log| 1.62 (1.31–2.01)   | 1.04 (0.90–1.21)| 1.17 (1.08–1.27)| 0.99 (0.89–1.09)|
| <1.71               | 214                | 1.00 (Ref)     | 569          | 1.00 (Ref)    |
| ≥1.71               | 104                | 1.37 (1.06–1.76)| 207          | 1.04 (0.87–1.23)|
| LDL cholesterol (mmol/L)c|                       |                |              |               |
| Unit increase in log| 0.13 (0.03–0.49)   | 1.40 (0.28–6.91)| 0.82 (0.37–1.80)| 0.80 (0.27–2.37)|
| <4.10               | 44                 | 1.00 (Ref)     | 69           | 1.00 (Ref)    |
| ≥4.10               | 13                 | 0.70 (0.29–1.65)| 46           | 1.08 (0.62–1.88)|
| HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)c|                      |                |              |               |
| Unit increase in log| 3.66 (1.41–9.49)   | 0.82 (0.39–1.71)| 1.44 (0.98–2.12)| 1.24 (0.73–2.12)|
| <1.03               | 6                  | 1.00 (Ref)     | 10           | 1.00 (Ref)    |
| ≥1.03               | 51                 | 4.02 (1.43–11.32)| 105         | 1.81 (0.85–3.86)|
| Apolipoprotein B (mmol/L)c|                    |                |              |               |
| Unit increase in log| 0.25 (0.06–1.01)   | 1.72 (0.42–7.03)| 1.30 (0.63–2.68)| 0.44 (0.18–0.99)|
| <1.50               | 43                 | 1.00 (Ref)     | 84           | 1.00 (Ref)    |
| ≥1.50               | 14                 | 1.38 (0.62–3.06)| 31           | 1.01 (0.58–1.75)|
| Apolipoprotein A-I (mmol/L)c|                  |                |              |               |
| Unit increase in log| 7.56 (1.58–36.10)  | 0.58 (0.18–1.91)| 1.54 (0.83–2.85)| 1.41 (0.61–3.27)|
| <1.05               | 0                  | N/A            | 3            | 1.00 (Ref)    |
| ≥1.05               | 57                 | N/A            | 112          | 1.28 (0.40–4.08)|
| LDL/HDLc            |                    |                |              |               |
| Unit increase in log| 0.41 (0.20–0.81)   | 1.16 (0.66–2.01)| 0.78 (0.59–1.04)| 0.82 (0.55–1.21)|
| <3.50               | 48                 | 1.00 (Ref)     | 87           | 1.00 (Ref)    |
| ≥3.50               | 9                  | 0.44 (0.20–0.98)| 28           | 1.17 (0.70–1.95)|
| Total cholesterol/HDLc|                     |                |              |               |
| Unit increase in log| 0.18 (0.07–0.49)   | 1.18 (0.56–2.48)| 0.73 (0.50–1.08)| 0.80 (0.47–1.38)|
| <5.00               | 47                 | 1.00 (Ref)     | 87           | 1.00 (Ref)    |
| ≥5.00               | 10                 | 0.32 (0.14–0.74)| 28           | 0.97 (0.57–1.66)|
| Log (triglycerides/HDL)c|                   |                |              |               |
| Unit increase       | 0.84 (0.47–1.50)   | 1.32 (0.75–2.35)| 0.94 (0.72–1.23)| 0.95 (0.65–1.40)|
| <0.5                | 45                 | 1.00 (Ref)     | 92           | 1.00 (Ref)    |
| ≥0.5                | 12                 | 0.39 (0.16–0.97)| 23           | 1.22 (0.61–2.44)|
| ApoB/ApoA-Ic        |                    |                |              |               |
| Unit increase in log| 0.29 (0.12–0.70)   | 1.49 (0.68–3.24)| 0.91 (0.61–1.35)| 0.66 (0.39–1.13)|
| <1.00               | 42                 | 1.00 (Ref)     | 73           | 1.00 (Ref)    |
| ≥1.00               | 15                 | 0.51 (0.27–1.00)| 42           | 0.95 (0.61–1.48)|

*aNot adjusted for total cholesterol.
*bNot adjusted for triglycerides.
*cMeasured in Subcohort B.
Table 4: Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals for GI cancer for lipid components as continuous variables and based on cut-off points used in cardiovascular disease prevention, stratified by glucose levels, adjusted for age, gender, SES, fasting status, glucose (continuous), total cholesterol (continuous), and triglycerides (continuous).

| Glucose < 6.11 mmol/L | Oesophageal cancer | Stomach cancer | Colon cancer | Rectal cancer |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| n HR (95% CI)         | n HR (95% CI)     | n HR (95% CI) | n HR (95% CI)| n HR (95% CI)|
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L)\(^a\) | Unit increase in log | 0.53 (0.28–1.02) | 1.00 (0.65–1.53) | 1.05 (0.83–1.34) | 1.75 (1.29–2.38) |
|                       | <6.50             | 192 1.00 (Ref) | 463 1.00 (Ref) | 1483 1.00 (Ref) | 889 1.00 (Ref) |
|                       | ≥6.50             | 79 0.89 (0.68–1.18) | 223 1.03 (0.87–1.22) | 735 1.00 (0.91–1.10) | 464 1.15 (1.02–1.29) |
| Triglycerides (mmol/L)\(^b\) | Unit increase in log | 1.62 (1.28–2.05) | 1.08 (0.92–1.26) | 1.19 (1.09–1.30) | 0.97 (0.87–1.09) |
|                       | <1.71             | 193 1.00 (Ref) | 521 1.00 (Ref) | 1678 1.00 (Ref) | 1044 1.00 (Ref) |
|                       | ≥1.71             | 78 1.38 (1.05–1.83) | 165 1.07 (0.89–1.28) | 540 1.16 (1.05–1.29) | 309 0.98 (0.86–1.12) |
| Glucose ≥ 6.11 mmol/L | Total cholesterol (mmol/L)\(^a\) | Unit increase in log | 1.02 (0.23–4.47) | 0.68 (0.23–2.05) | 1.26 (0.65–2.45) | 2.79 (1.19–6.56) |
|                       | <6.50             | 32 1.00 (Ref) | 60 1.00 (Ref) | 164 1.00 (Ref) | 83 1.00 (Ref) |
|                       | ≥6.50             | 15 0.90 (0.70–1.15) | 30 1.04 (0.66–1.64) | 90 1.01 (0.77–1.32) | 74 1.73 (1.25–2.40) |
|                       | Triglycerides (mmol/L)\(^b\) | Unit increase in log | 1.59 (0.98–2.60) | 0.95 (0.65–1.38) | 1.17 (0.93–1.46) | 1.11 (0.84–1.47) |
|                       | <1.71             | 21 1.00 (Ref) | 48 1.00 (Ref) | 124 1.00 (Ref) | 73 1.00 (Ref) |
|                       | ≥1.71             | 26 1.27 (0.69–2.32) | 42 0.96 (0.62–1.48) | 130 1.20 (0.93–1.55) | 84 1.15 (0.83–1.60) |

\(^a\)Not adjusted for TC.
\(^b\)Not adjusted for TG.

[53], but a nested case-control study in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study showed an inverse association between TC and colon cancer risk [9]. As Western diet has been linked to increased colorectal cancer risk [58], cultural-related lifestyle may contribute to such discrepancy in the relation between lipid metabolism and risk of colorectal cancer in different ethnic groups.

4.4. Strengths and Limitations. The major strength of this study is the large number of subjects with baseline measurements of lipid biomarkers and glucose, all measured at the same clinical laboratory. The use of national registers provided detailed follow-up information on diagnosis of cancer, time of death, and emigration for all subjects. The AMORIS population was mainly selected by analyzing blood samples from healthy checkups in nonhospitalized individuals. However, this healthy cohort effect would not affect the internal validity of the current study and is likely to be minor since it has been shown that the AMORIS cohort is similar to the general working population of Stockholm County in terms of SES and ethnicity [59]. A limitation of this study is that there was no record of lipid-lowering medications, and only limited data on BMI was available which confined the statistical power when also studying BMI. However, lipid-lowering medication was not used widely in Sweden during the earlier part of the observation period for this study (1985–1996) [60]. Additionally, there was no available information on other possible confounders such as smoking status, alcohol consumption, nor was there on tumor grade, stage or histology. As lipid metabolism is altered as cancer develops [60, 61], reverse causation may be another reason for some of the inconsistencies with other studies. However, exclusion of the first three years of followup did not alter our findings.

5. Conclusion

The current study provides new evidence linking the lipid metabolism to oesophageal cancer etiology and showed a positive association between TC and rectal cancer risk as well as between TG and oesophageal and colon cancer risk in normoglycaemic individuals. To consider the role of dietary fat as well as serum lipids in carcinogenesis, more detailed studies are needed to clarify the effects of both systemic and local effect of lipid constituents on gastrointestinal cancer development. Further investigations in this subject should also take into account other metabolic determinants including insulin and obesity.
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