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SUMMARY

Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) modulate various biological processes, but their role in host antiviral responses is largely unknown. Here we identify a lncRNA as a key regulator of antiviral innate immunity. Following from the observation that a lncRNA that we call negative regulator of antiviral response (NRAV) was dramatically downregulated during infection with several viruses, we ectopically expressed NRAV in human cells or transgenic mice and found that it significantly promotes influenza A virus (IAV) replication and virulence. Conversely, silencing NRAV suppressed IAV replication and virus production, suggesting that reduction of NRAV is part of the host antiviral innate immune response to virus infection. NRAV negatively regulates the initial transcription of multiple critical interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs), including IFITM3 and MxA, by affecting histone modification of these genes. Our results provide evidence for a lncRNA in modulating the antiviral interferon response.

INTRODUCTION

Thousands of lncRNAs are pervasively transcribed in mammalian cells. Accumulating data indicate that they are an important class of regulatory RNAs in a variety of cellular processes (Mercer et al., 2009). To serve the function of signaling, decoying, scaffolding, or guiding, lncRNAs employ their motifs to interact with other molecules (Guttman and Rinn, 2012; Wang and Chang, 2011). Most recently, three lncRNAs (murine NeST, human THRIL, and NEAT1) are shown to regulate the innate immunity by modulating the transcription of IFN-γ, TNF-α, and IL8, respectively (Cullen, 2013; Gomez et al., 2013; Imamura et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014). In addition, mouse lincRNA-Cox2 plays a central role in control of the Pam3CSK4-induced inflammatory response (Carpenter et al., 2013). Whole transcriptome studies have also demonstrated the differential expression of lncRNAs in SARS coronavirus-infected mice (Peng et al., 2010) and enterovirus 71-infected RD cells (Yin et al., 2013), suggesting the functional involvement of lncRNAs in antiviral immunity. Interestingly, several lncRNAs have been shown to modulate viral infection. For example, 7SL and NEAT1 are evidenced to interfere with the HIV-1 virion package and posttranscriptional expression (Wang et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2013). lncRNA VIN can facilitate influenza A virus (IAV) propagation (Winterling et al., 2014). Despite these progresses, the specific functions of these lncRNAs in the host defense process remain incompletely characterized.

IAV infection poses a significant threat to global health (Mänz et al., 2013), but the mechanisms underlying IAV-host interaction are still elusive. Host anti-IAV response is initiated by the recognition of viral components by pathogen recognition receptors (PRRs), such as retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I), melanoma differentiation factor 5 (MDA5), and toll-like receptor 3 (TLR3). Through the signaling cascade downstream the stimulated receptors, transcription factors including IRF3/7 and NF-κB are activated. Type I and III interferons (IFNs) are then rapidly produced, which induce the synthesis of hundreds of antiviral proteins encoded by IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs). Consequently, the accumulation of ISG proteins in cytosol, including the well-known IFN-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats IFIT2, IFIT3 (Fensterl et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2011), IFN-induced transmembrane protein 3 (IFITM3) (Everitt et al., 2012), and myxovirus resistance 1 (human MxA or mouse Mx1) (Mänz et al., 2013), provides antiviral protection through multiple mechanisms. Importantly, modulation of anti-IAV immunity epigenetically has emerged to be a critical mechanism. After the activation of transcription factors, a transcriptional regulation cascade is triggered (Smale, 2012). The cascade includes...
multiwave wof transcriptional activity and inhibition controlled by a complex network. First of all, regulations of promoter activity and chromatin structure are essential steps for the transcription initiation. For example, the activation of the promoters of immune genes iif2, ifit3, and mx1 requires nucleosome remodeling through Swi/Nucl/Sucrose NonFermentable (SWI/NSF) complexes and histone modifications (H3K4me3 or H3K9/K14ac) (Ramirez-Carrozza et al., 2008). IFN-γ promoter is reported to be upregulated by IncRNA NeST, which binds with H3K4 methylase complex component WDR5 to alter histone methylation levels (Gomez et al., 2013). In addition, the mRNA maturation and stabilization are also critical posttranscriptional regulation steps. Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins (hnRNPs) regulate gene transcription and subsequent modification of the newly synthesized RNA (pre-mRNA) in nucleus. Recent studies have shown that hnRNP L and hnRNP A/B are associated with the induction of immunity genes TNF-α and CCL5 through interaction with IncRNA THRIL and lincRNA-Cox2, respectively (Carpenter et al., 2013; Li et al., 2014). These data suggest that additional coregulators are required for transcriptional activation/inhibition of innate immunity genes.

In this study, genome-wide profiling of IncRNA expression identified a human IncRNA, designated NRAV, that played a critical role in anti-IAV infection. In vitro and in vivo data showed that NRAV functioned as a negative regulator in the host antiviral immunity by repression of ISG expression through strict control of the transcription rate. Furthermore, we found that NRAV regulated the expression of MxA and IFITM3, likely through affecting histone modification of their genes. These results reveal a layer of the regulation of host innate defense during the IAV infection.

RESULTS

Human NRAV Is Identified as a IncRNA Controlling Virus Infection

To investigate the roles of host IncRNAs in IAV infection, genome-wide IncRNA microarrays were performed of human alveolar epithelial cells (A549) infected with or without influenza virus A/WSN/33 (H1N1) for 12 hr. A total of 494 upregulated and 413 downregulated IncRNAs following the viral infection were detected (fold change >2) and clustered (Figure 1A, left). Nine IncRNAs were selected as candidates after an in silico screen (see Supplemental Information available online) and confirmation by RT-PCR (Figure 1A, right).

To identify the functional IncRNAs, viral activity screening was performed (Figures S1A and S1B). IncRNA NRAV was found to affect the virus replication most significantly, and thus it was chosen for in-depth study. The human IncRNA gene nrav (LOC100506668, uc001tyk, also named as dynll1-as1/t) is located on chromosome 12q24.31, overlapping with the antisense strand of dynin light chain coding gene dynll1 within intron 1 (Figure 1B). No protein-coding potential was found in NRAV by analysis using ORF Finder (NCBI), coding potential calculator (score is ~0.743) (Figure S1C), and PhyloCSF (score is ~3.452) (Lin et al., 2011). Using polysome analysis, we further observed that NRAV displayed different distribution patterns in sucrose gradient fractions as compared with control protein-coding mRNA of GAPDH that locates in the same fractions as polysome, demonstrating the noncoding potential of NRAV (Figures S1D and S1E). Importantly, both qRT-PCR and northern blotting confirmed that NRAV expression was markedly reduced in IAV-infected A549 cells (Figures 1C and 1D). Northern blot analysis using a specific probe (793 nt) demonstrated that the major form of human NRAV was the transcript of approximately 1,200 nt (Figure 1D). Consistently, determination of 5’ and 3’ ends of NRAV by RACE studies revealed that NRAV transcript is exactly 1,176 nt and contains a polyadenylated (12 As) tail (Figures 1E and S1F; Table S1).

Furthermore, we observed that NRAV was downregulated in a virus dose- and infection time-dependent manner (Figure 1F). Interestingly, NRAV was expressed in various human cell lines, and its expression was dramatically reduced after IAV infection in all examined cell lines susceptible to the infection, but not in cell lines (HeLa, HepG2) less permissive to IAV replication (Figure 1G). Surprisingly, NRAV was also significantly downregulated by infections of several other viruses, including a negative ssRNA virus Sendai virus (SeV), a dsRNA virus Muscovy Duck Reovirus (MDRV), and a DNA virus herpes simplex virus (HSV) (Figures 1H and 1I). In contrast, NRAV levels were not affected by pseudovirus transduction, LPS treatment, etoposide stimulation, or serum withdrawal (Figures S1G–S1J). Together, these experiments demonstrate that reduction of NRAV level is associated with viral infection.

In addition, we identified NRAV homolog-coding sequences in monkey and mouse genomes through Blast (NCBI) in silico analysis. However, we only detected NRAV homolog transcript in monkey Vero cells, but not in mouse cells by RT-PCR (Figures S1K and S1L). These results suggest that the nrav gene may be conserved but evolved to be differentially regulated.

Altering NRAV Expression Has Profound Effects on IAV Replication in Human Cells

To further determine the functionality of NRAV in IAV infection, we generated A549 and 293T cell lines stably expressing whole length of the human NRAV or specific shRNAs targeting NRAV using the retroviral vectors or shRNA-based lentivectors (Figures 2A, S2A, and S2B). Although IAV infection reduced the endogenous NRAV expression, it had no significant effects on the ectopically expressed NRAV and could not diminish the difference of NRAV expression between sh-Luc control cells and NRAV knockdown cells (Figure 2B). Strikingly, both the virus growth kinetics measured by haemagglutination assay and the virus titers determined by plaque-forming test showed that forced expression of NRAV significantly promoted the viral replication, while disruption of NRAV expression consistently impaired the virus reproduction in A549 cells (Figures 2C–2E). The sh-NRAV-1 cells with lower NRAV expression were used in further studies. Similar results were obtained from NRAV overexpression and knockdown in 293T cells (Figure S2C). The increased virus titers in supernatant from NRAV-overexpressing cells were further confirmed by western blotting using an antibody against the IAV hemagglutinin (HA) (Figures S2D and S2E). Because IAV infection caused a marked decrease in NRAV expression in A549 cells, we determined whether NRAV levels in NRAV-knockdown cells were lower than those in the control cells during IAV infection. Indeed, the knockdown cells showed clearly low levels of NRAV compared with the controls (Figure 2F). However, the DYNLL1 levels were not affected by
altered expression of NRAV, and therefore NRAV functions unlikely through a cis-effect on DYNLL1 (Figures S2F and S2G).

These data suggest that lncRNA NRAV is involved in regulating IAV replication, and downregulation of NRAV in infected cells might be a host self-protection response to the virus infection, which may be critical to viral clearance.

Expression of Human NRAV Significantly Increases IAV Virulence in Transgenic Mice

Although we did not succeed in detecting mouse lncRNA NRAV, mouse genome contains the nrav homolog sequence. To further define the role of NRAV in IAV infection, we wished to establish a more physiological model system. For this, transgenic (TG) mice expressing human NRAV were generated as previously described (Wei et al., 2014). The transgenic founders with high NRAV expression in lung were selected (Figure 3A).

The TG mice and wild-type (WT) littermates were intranasally inoculated with WSN virus, and the influence of NRAV on the virulence and infection kinetics was analyzed. As expected, the IAV showed a considerably higher virulence in TG mice than that in WT mice. Under our experimental condition, body weight loss of infected TG mice was observed on day 4 postinfection (dpi) (Figure 3B). By 5–9 dpi,
NRAV Negatively Regulates the Expression of Several Critical ISGs

In an attempt to define the mechanism of NRAV affecting IAV replication, we performed a cDNA microarray to profile the cellular transcriptional response to NRAV overexpression in A549 cells infected with WSN for 16 hr. The microarray data displayed 882 genes upregulated and 1,538 genes downregulated (over 2-fold change, p < 0.05) in NRAV-overexpressing cells as compared with the controls (Figure 4A, left). Many of the differentially expressed genes were found to be associated with pathogen infection and viral reproduction through pathway analysis and Gene Ontology (GO) analysis (Figures S3A and S3B). Surprisingly, we identified 107 ISGs from differentially expressed genes in NRAV-overexpressing cells, and strikingly, the enrichment score of these ISGs was significantly high (21.3) using the analysis with interferome (Rusinova et al., 2013) (Table S2).

Since ISGs are important antiviral effectors, we focused specifically on the ISG genes for further studies. Importantly, mRNA levels of some critical ISGs were significantly reduced in NRAV-overexpressing cells, including IFIT2, IFIT3, IFITM3, OASL, and MxA (Figure 4A, right). This finding was further confirmed by qRT-PCR (Figure 4B). In contrast, the mRNA levels of these ISGs were upregulated in NRAV knockdown cells (Figure 4C). Furthermore, the expression of ISGs regulated by NRAV was examined in IAV-infected NRAV TG mice and WT littermates. Consistently, we found that the levels of these ISGs in TG mice were significantly reduced as compared with those in WT controls after infection with IAV (Figures 4D and 4E). These results reveal that NRAV functions as a negative regulator of some ISGs during the IAV infection in vitro and in vivo.

Based on these data, we hypothesized that NRAV might impair host antiviral response through downregulation of some key ISGs, and if so, forced expression of these ISGs could reverse the effects of NRAV overexpression on IAV pathogenesis. To this end, exogenous IFIT2, IFIT3, IFITM3, or MxA was transiently expressed in the cell lines overexpressing NRAV or empty vector (EV) (Figure S3C). Indeed, forced expression of IFIT2, IFIT3, IFITM3, or MxA reversed the effect of NRAV on the IAV replication despite existence of excessive NRAV, whereas expression of control DDX3X, a component of TBK1-dependent innate immune response, had no such a function (Figures S3D and S3E). Because previous studies have shown that MxA interacts with IAV protein NP to inhibit the viral transcription (Mänz et al., 2013), we tested whether NRAV knockdown had any effects on IAV cRNA levels. Indeed, we found that the cRNA levels were clearly low in NRAV-depleted cells compared to the control at 8 hpi (Figure S3F), suggesting that the increased MxA caused by NRAV downregulation may block IAV transcription. However, altering NRAV expression has no significant effect on viral entry at early stage of viral infection (4 hr) (Figure S3G). These results suggest that IncRNA NRAV is critically involved in regulation of innate immune response via controlling the levels of several critical ISGs during the viral infection.

NRAV Suppresses MxA Expression Induced by Different Virus Infection and IFN Stimulation

Results presented above revealed that MxA levels were the most significantly affected by altering NRAV expression. To confirm

Figure 2. Altering NRAV Expression Has Profound Effects on IAV Replication in Human Cells

(A and B) The efficiency of NRAV overexpression and shRNA-based knockdown was determined by RT-PCR (A) in uninfected A549 cells or by qRT-PCR (B) in WSN infected A549 cells.

(C and D) IAV replication kinetics of NRAV-overexpressing (C) and NRAV knockdown (D) A549 cells were examined by hemagglutinin (HA) assay (moi = 0.3). The virus titers in supernatants were measured at indicated time points.

(E) IAV replication was examined by plaque assay. Virus titers in supernatants were measured at 16 hpi. Shown are representative results from infected overexpression cells (moi = 0.3) and knockdown cells (moi = 1).

(F) The expression of NRAV in infected NRAV knockdown cells was analyzed at indicated time (moi = 1) by qRT-PCR. Cells expressing empty vector (EV) or luciferase shRNA (sh-Luc) were used as controls. n = 3; means ± SEM. See also Figure S2.

infected TG mice exhibited a consistent decrease in body weight, and with an average loss of approximately 25% on 8 dpi. All infected TG mice died within 9 dpi (Figure 3C). Under the same conditions, however, inoculated WT littermates started body weight loss on 5 dpi, with an average loss of approximately 8% on 8 dpi, and only approximately 40% of infected WT mice succumbed within 9 dpi (Figure 3C). Approximately 60% infected WT mice gained body weight gradually after 8 dpi and finally survived.

To further evaluate the in vivo effect of NRAV on IAV pathogenesis, we compared the viral loads and pathologies of the infected TG mice with WT littermates. Strikingly, the lung viral titer in TG mice was significantly higher than that in WT mice (Figure 3D), indicating more active replication of IAV in TG mice expressing NRAV. Remarkably, pathologic examination by hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining displayed more severe inflammation in the lungs of infected TG mice than those of the WT controls (Figure 3E). Together, these observations reveal that expression of IncRNA NRAV renders TG mice more susceptible to IAV infection.
this finding, western blotting was performed to examine MxA protein. Similarly, we observed that MxA protein levels were markedly affected by altered NRAV expression (Figure S4A). Thus, MxA was selected for further studies. To further define the functional involvement of NRAV in regulating MxA expression, we investigated the effect of NRAV on MxA expression induced by different virus infections or stimulations. Interestingly, overexpression of NRAV resulted in a significant decrease in MxA expression in all cells infected with SeV for 12 hr or MDRV or HSV for 24 hr (Figures 5A–5C and S4B–S4D). In addition, when the cells were stimulated with bacterial lipopolysaccharides (LPSs) for 3 hr, the MxA level in NRAV-overexpressing cells was also significantly reduced as compared with the control cells (Figures S4E and S4F).

Because virus-induced MxA expression is regulated by cytokine-activated JAK/STAT1 signaling, we determined whether NRAV had any effects on the activation of this signaling. To test this possibility, phosphorylation of STAT1 was examined by western blotting. Surprisingly, no significant difference in the levels of p-STAT1 was observed between the infected NRAV-overexpressing cells and the control cells (Figure 5D).

Additionally, we found that the expression of MxA induced by IFN-β or IL29 was significantly reduced in the NRAV-overexpressing cells compared with the control (Figures 5E, 5F, S4J, and S4K). Together, these results reveal that NRAV negatively regulates MxA expression in response to broad stimulations without significantly altering total cytokine production and JAK/STAT1 signaling.

**NRAV Inhibits the Initial Transcription of MxA and IFITM3, Likely through Regulating Histone Modifications of the ISG Genes**

Next, we investigated how NRAV might regulate the ISG expression. To this end, we determined the cellular localization of NRAV and found that although NRAV was localized both in the cytoplasm and nucleus, more NRAV was distributed in the nucleus of A549 cell (Figures 6A and S5A). Thus, we presumed that NRAV might be involved in transcriptional control of these ISGs. The pre-mRNA level can represent the initial transcription rate. Therefore, the primers to examine the pre-mRNA levels of MxA (preMxA) and IFITM3 (preIFITM3) were designed as previously described (Zeisel et al., 2011) (Figure 6B). We observed that the preMxA and preIFITM3 levels in infected NRAV-overexpressing cells were lower than those in control (p < 0.05), while no bands were observed in no reverse transcriptase control cells. Consistently, no significant difference in MxA levels was detected in the fresh A549 cells stimulated with supernatants derived from either IAV-infected NRAV-overexpressing cells or infected control cells (Figures S4H and S4I, left). A similar result was obtained from the NRAV-depleted cells and the control cells (Figure S4I, right). Additionally, we found that the expression of MxA induced by IFN-β or IL29 was significantly reduced in the NRAV-overexpressing cells compared with the control (Figures 5E, 5F, S4J, and S4K). Together, these results reveal that NRAV negatively regulates MxA expression in response to broad stimulations without significantly altering total cytokine production and JAK/STAT1 signaling.
indicating that NRAV may regulate the initial transcription of MxA and IFITM3. Furthermore, we observed that the promoter activity of both MxA and IFITM3 was significantly reduced in NRAV-overexpressing cells compared with control (moi = 3; 16 hpi) (left). Significantly changed ISGs and unchanged ISGs and IFN receptors are shown (right). The RNA quantitation data are shown as centered and scaled log2 data in heatmaps. (B and C) The mRNA levels of ISGs in NRAV-expressing and EV control cells (B) or NRAV knockdown and sh-Luc control cells (C) infected with or without WSN were determined by qRT-PCR (n = 3). (D and E) The mRNA levels of mIFIT2, mIFIT3, mIFITM3, and mMx1 in infected TG or WT mouse lungs were determined by RT-PCR (D) or by qRT-PCR (E). In (D), 1 and 2 indicate two individuals. Data are shown as means ± SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01. See also Figure S3 and Table S2.

expression of MxA was still inhibited in the presence of NRAV. In addition, we examined the mRNA decay rate of MxA in the infected cells treated with actinomycin D (ActD), since lncRNAs can activate mRNA decay through recruiting STAU1 to mRNAs (Kretz et al., 2013). No significant difference in the mRNA degradation rates was detected between NRAV-overexpressing cells and control cells (Figures S5F and S5G). These data indicate that NRAV may be not associated with MxA DNA methylation and post-transcriptional regulation of MxA.

Histone modification at transcription start sites is a crucial step for the regulation of gene transcription, and previous studies have proposed that lncRNAs are involved in these processes (Wang and Chang, 2011). Next, we investigated the histone 3 lysine 4 trimethylation (H3K4me3) as an active mark and histone 3 lysine 27 trimethylation (H3K27me3) as a repression signal by performing chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP). We found that the H3K4me3 enrichments at the mxa and ifitm3 transcription start sites in NRAV-overexpressing cells were obviously impaired as compared with those in control cells following IAV infection (Figures 6F and S5H). In contrast, the H3K27me3 enrichment at mxa gene locus in infected NRAV-overexpressing cells exhibited remarkably higher than that in control cells, although the H3K27me3 enrichment at ifitm3 remained unchanged (Figures 6G and S5I). Consistently, NRAV knockdown resulted in a significant increase in H3K4me3 enrichments and a significant decrease in H3K27me3 enrichments at mxa and ifitm3 transcription start sites (Figures S5J and S5K). These data reveal that NRAV may function to inhibit the ISG transcription by affecting the histone modifications of these genes.
A549 cells stimulated by IFN-
(E and F) The MxA mRNA levels in NRAV overexpressing cells and control western blotting. indicated time. STAT1 and its Tyr701-phosphorylation were determined by (D) A549 cells overexpressing NRAV or control were infected with WSN for cells (B), and HSV infected A549 cells (C) (means ± SEM; n = 3).

Figure 5. NRAV Suppresses MxA Expression Induced by Different Virus Infection and IFN Stimulation

(A–C) The MxA mRNA levels in following NRAV cells and EV cells were determined by qRT-PCR: SeV infected A549 cells (A), MDRV infected 293T cells (B), and HSV infected A549 cells (C) (means ± SEM; n = 3).

(D) A549 cells overexpressing NRAV or control were infected with WSN for indicated time. STAT1 and its Tyr701-phosphorylation were determined by western blotting.

(E and F) The MxA mRNA levels in NRAV overexpressing cells and control A549 cells stimulated by IFN-β (E) or IL29 (F) (50 ng/ml) for 3 hr were detected by qRT-PCR (means ± SEM; n = 3). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01. See also Figure S4.

To further identify the functional protein partners of NRAV, we performed RNA pull-down by using biotinylated NRAV antisense probes or scramble control probes. Interestingly, a specific NRAV-bound protein in resting A549 cells was pulled down and identified to be ZO-1-associated nucleic acid binding protein (ZONAB) by mass spectrometry (Figures 6H and S6A; Table S3). This finding was further confirmed by RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) showing that the amount of NRAV precipitated with anti-ZONAB Ab was dramatically higher than that of GAPDH control (Figure S6B). We next determined the role of ZONAB in MxA expression. The shRNA-based ZONAB knockdown was performed and verified by qRT-PCR (Figure S6C). Interestingly, the levels of MxA mRNA were significantly decreased after silencing ZONAB in both infected and uninfected cells (Figure 6I). When ZONAB was depleted in NRAV-overexpressing cells, the MxA mRNA was decreased to a lower level (Figure 6J), while NRAV was not affected by altered ZONAB expression (Figure S6D, left). Consistently, the exogenous expression of ZONAB in NRAV-overexpressing cells partially reversed the NRAV-mediated suppression of MxA expression (Figures S6D–S6F). These results indicate that ZONAB is involved in MxA transcription as a positive regulator.

The Spatial Structure of Functional Moieties Was Essential for IncRNA NRAV Function

The diverse functions of IncRNAs are based on their propensity to fold into thermodynamically stable secondary and higher-order structures (Mercer and Mattick, 2013). To determine the functional structures of IncRNA NRAV, we designed and constructed eight truncation and deletion mutants based on the predicted secondary structure of NRAV through three softwares, RNAfold (Gruber et al., 2008), Centroidfold, and Genebee (Figures 6H and S6A; Table S3). To determine structures (Mercer and Mattick, 2013). To determine the functional structures of lncRNA NRAV, we designed and constructed eight truncation and deletion mutants based on the predicted secondary structure of NRAV through three softwares, RNAfold (Gruber et al., 2008), Centroidfold, and Genebee (Figures 6H and S6A; Table S3). To determine structures

DISCUSSION

Although much emphasis has been placed on investigating host protein factors in the activation of innate immune responses to IAV infection, little is known about the role of IncRNAs in these processes. IncRNA THRIL, NeST, NEAT, and lincRNA-Cox2 have been reported to regulate the expression of TNF-α, IFN-γ, IL8, and inflammatory response, respectively (Carpenter et al., 2013; Gomez et al., 2013; Imamura et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014). Here we report a human IncRNA named as NRAV, which is expressed in various human cells, but significantly downregulated during the IAV infection and infections with ssRNA virus (SeV), dsRNA virus (MDRV), and DNA virus (HSV). Importantly, we have revealed that overexpression of NRAV promotes the IAV replication in vitro and in vivo by suppressing the expression of several key ISGs, such as IFIT2, IFIT3, IFITM3, and MxA, very likely through affecting the histone modifications of these ISG genes. These findings establish that NRAV functions as an important regulatory molecule via negatively regulating the expression of some crucial antiviral proteins, which modulates the host innate immune response against IAV infection and maybe more broadly involved in other viral infections.

In uninfected cells, NRAV likely contributes to precise control of the expression of these critical ISGs. When virus infection is sensed, the reduction of NRAV would benefit the rapid accumulation of the antiviral proteins to facilitate the clearance of virus. Therefore, downregulation of NRAV may be initiated by host as a self-protection response. This is coherent with the tight and exquisite control of antiviral response that ensures rapid defense against pathogens with minimal inflammatory damage. A number of negative regulators of innate immunity have been found, such as SOCS1 and SOCS3, which negatively regulate
IFN-activated JAK-STAT signaling to control the ISG transcriptional response to IFN stimulation (Akhtar and Benveniste, 2011). LincRNA-Cox2 also mediates the repression of some immune genes (Carpenter et al., 2013). Although the mechanism underlying downregulation of NRAV by viral infection remains elusive, the expression of NRAV is likely controlled by particular pathways activated upon sensing the viral infection. Indeed, we found that the NRAV downregulation was induced only by viral RNA which is produced during virus replication (Figures S7A–S7E) and newly synthesized protein(s) (Figure S7F). However, these proteins might include neither virus-induced cytokines nor IFNAR1 (Figures S7G–S7J). We observed that reduction of NRAV was not caused by increase in RNA decay (Figure S7K), indicating that this protein(s) might be relevant with the transcriptional regulation of NRAV. CpG islands and some transcription factor binding sites on the upstream of nrav were predicted (Figure S7L). Interestingly, DNA methyltransferase might participate in the regulation of NRAV (Figures S7M–S7P). These findings suggest that virus infection might induce the transcription inhibition of nrav through epigenetic modification.

We identified that NRAV critically regulated several key antiviral effectors in innate immunity. Strikingly, the transcriptional regulations of these genes are distinct, and multiple mechanisms are involved. For example, MxA/Mx1 is regulated through strictly IFN-dependent pathway, while IFIT2 and IFIT3 are through both IFN-dependent and IFN-independent pathways.
Interestingly, these NRAV-modulated ISGs have recently been reported belonging to a subset of ISGs which are regulated by an IKKi-associated specific signal pathway (Ng et al., 2011; Tenoever et al., 2007). In this study, we found that the initial transcription rates of MxA and IFITM3 were reduced and the histone modifications (active mark H3K4me3 and repressive mark H3K27me3) were altered by NRAV. Several lncRNAs have been reported to regulate chromatin remodeling on specific gene location through directly binding with hnRNPs (Carpenter et al., 2013; Li et al., 2014). Although we have excluded the possibility that NRAV functions through regulating IFN-JAK/STAT1 pathway, the molecular mechanism by which NRAV regulates the initial transcription and histone modifications remains unknown. On the other hand, NRAV was shown to interfere with the MxA and IFITM3 promoter activity in a luciferase reporter system. These data suggest that there might exist multiple mechanisms underlying NRAV-mediated regulation of ISG transcription.

It has been thought that lncRNAs usually interact with other molecules to exert regulatory activities. In this study, ZONAB was identified as a NRAV-associated protein involved in MxA transcription regulation. ZONAB is a multifunctional protein that regulates transcription of cyclin D1 and PCNA as an important transcription factor and posttranscriptionally regulates other protein and mRNA levels in cytoplasm (Lima et al., 2010; Nie et al., 2012). Although it is unclear whether ZONAB functions as a transcription factor of ISG expression, we found a ZONAB binding sequence (invert CCAAT) at −219 to −215 of MxA transcription start region (Dolfini and Mantovani, 2013), suggesting the potential involvement of ZONAB in initial transcription of MxA. Additionally, as a transcription factor ZONAB might also be involved in histone modifications and nucleosome packing (Rothenberg, 2014). It has been thought that ZONAB can upregulate several chromatin remodeling components (histone H4 and HMG-I) and MYC that recruits core histone-modifying enzymes to DNA (Sourisseau et al., 2006). Further experiments are needed in the future to address how ZONAB interacts with NRAV to regulate ISG expression.

Human NRAV is an intronic antisense lncRNA of dynein light-chain gene dynll1. Although Dynein is shown to be recruited by many viruses to facilitate their replication and enhance their spread, and direct interaction of Dynll with virions is identified (Merino-Gracia et al., 2011), we did not observe significant change in the Dynll1 levels after altering the NRAV expression (GEO accession number GSE48874; Figures S2F and S2G). Of interest is that hundreds of genes differentially expressed in NRAV-overexpressing cells and the pathway and GO analysis indicated that many are associated with pathogen infection and viral reproduction. In addition, the expression of NRAV in different types of human cells also indicates its broad functions. The expression of human NRAV in multiple tissues of TG mice including lung, thymus, and bone marrow might be important for the IAV pathogenesis. Therefore, the role of NRAV may be not limited to the modulation of ISGs. Moreover, the decline of...
The NRAV level can also be induced by other RNA/DNA virus infections. Hence, we surmise that NRAV-related cellular response may be a universal defense against virus infection. The exact relationship between NRAV distribution in different tissues and its antiviral activities needs to be determined.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Microarray and Data Analysis
The InCRNA cDNA microarray was from Arraystar (Arraystar, Rockville, MD). The cDNA microarray was performed using Human 12x135K gene expression microarray (Roche NimbleGen, Madison, WI). Total RNAs from three independent groups of WSN-infected A549 cells or control cells were prepared using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). cDNA synthesis, labeling, hybridization, and data analysis were carried out as previously described (Guo et al., 2012) (see Supplemental Information).

Cells, Viruses, Antibodies, and Plasmids
Cells, viruses, and antibodies were described in the Supplemental Information. For plasmid construction, human IFIT2, IFIT3, IFITM3, MxA, and DDX3X were subcloned into the pcDNA3.1 and pCMV5a vectors. shRNA-based knockdown plasmids were generated with a psiH1-GFP lentiviral vector expressing shRNA.

Viral Infection and Virus Titers Assay
A549 cells were infected with IAV WSN, Sendai virus (SeV), or herpes simplex virus (HSV), and 293T cells were infected with Muscovy duck reovirus (MDRV). Virus titers in supernatants were determined (see Supplemental Information).

5′ and 3′ RACE
The 5′ and 3′ RACE analyses were performed using the SMARTer RACE cDNA amplification Kit (Clontech) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. RACE PCR products were cloned into pZer0Back (Tiangen, Beijing, China) and sequenced.

Transgenic Mice and Virus Challenge
The mouse experimental design and protocols used in this study were approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (permit number PZIMCAS2012001). The studies of mice were performed in strict accordance with the Regulation of Institutional Research Ethics Committee of Institute of Microbiology. The NRAV transgenic C57BL/6 mice were created as previously described (Wang et al., 2014; Wei et al., 2014). Mice were inoculated intranasally with WSN. Mouse lungs were collected for lung viral loads assay and H&E staining (see Supplemental Information).

RNA Pull-Down Assay, RNA Immunoprecipitation, and Chromatin Immunoprecipitation
Uninfected A549 cell lysates were used for RNA pull-down assay and RIP, and IAV-infected A549 cells were subjected to ChIP assays using the Magna ChiP A/G chromatin immunoprecipitation kit (Millipore) following the manufacturer’s instructions as described in Supplemental Information.

Generation of Stable Cell Lines and Cell Stimulation
The stable NRAV-overexpressing cells and A549 cell lines stably expressing NRAV were generated with a prime-a-Gene Labeling System (Promega). The assay was performed by using Northernmaster-gly kit (Invitrogen) and autoradiography.

Statistical Analysis
Comparison between groups was made using Student’s t test. Data represent the mean ± SEM. Differences were considered statistically significant with p < 0.05.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information includes seven figures, three tables, and Supplemental Experimental Procedures and can be found with this article at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2014.10.001.
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