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ABSTRACT

Objective: To investigate associations of giving birth with morbidity in terms of hospitalisation and social consequences of morbidity in terms of sickness absence (SA), while taking familial (genetics and shared environmental) factors into account.

Design: Prospective register-based cohort study. Estimates of risk of hospitalisation and SA were calculated as HRs with 95% CIs.

Setting: All female twins, that is, women with a twin sister, born in Sweden.

Participants: 5118 Swedish female twins (women with a twin sister), born during 1959–1990, where at least one in the twin pair had their first childbirth (T0) during 1994–2009 and none gave birth before 1994.

Main outcome measures: Hospitalisation and SA during year 3–5 after first delivery or equivalent.

Results: Preceding the first childbirth, the mean annual number of SA days increased for mothers, and then decreased again. Hospitalisation after T0 was associated with higher HRs of short-term and long-term SA (HR for short-term SA 3.0; 95% CI 2.5 to 3.6 and for long-term SA 2.3; 95% CI 1.6 to 3.2). Hospitalisation both before and after first childbirth was associated with a higher risk of future SA (HR for long-term SA 4.2; 95% CI 2.7 to 6.4). Familial factors influenced the association between hospitalisation and long-term SA, regardless of childbirth status.

Conclusions: Women giving birth did not have a higher risk for SA than those not giving birth and results indicate a positive health selection into giving birth. Mothers hospitalised before and/or after giving birth had higher risks for future SA, that is, there was a strong association between morbidity and future SA.

INTRODUCTION

In most countries with high labour force participation, women have higher levels of sickness absence (SA) than men.1–4 Many theories and mechanisms regarding this have been suggested, for example, women having higher morbidity, higher workload (when combining paid and unpaid work), tougher situation on the labour market, men being the norm for how the labour market is organised, gender bias in healthcare and social insurance systems, discrimination, domestic violence, etc.5–9 Another hypothesis behind this gender difference in SA focuses on SA during pregnancy and after childbirth.6–13 Several studies show that women have higher SA during pregnancy,10–14 and that pregnancy-related SA explains half of the gender differences in SA in fertile ages.15

One of the changes in society that may affect health after childbirth is that the mean age for having the first child has increased over the past years and that women with a higher level of morbidity now give birth. Further, nowadays, the proportion of caesarean sections has increased as well as vacuum extraction deliveries, leading to a risk of later health problems.16–17

In this study, the focus is on childbirth, morbidity and SA among women, to get a better understanding of mechanisms behind SA among women in fertile ages. There are hardly any studies on the associations between giving birth and morbidity and SA, and those conducted have focused on a relatively short time period right after childbirth, most often within the first year following the child delivery,18–19 while prospective studies with longer follow-up of mothers are rare.6 12 20

Pregnancy, delivery and the postpartum period may imply large physical, mental and social changes during a short period of time,
increasing the risk for disease and injury. Different types of physical and mental disorders are common during pregnancy and after childbirth—and can in some cases be long standing or even permanent—but however, this is hardly studied at all. Giving birth may be associated with a higher risk of certain diseases demanding hospitalisation, such as cardiovascular diseases, musculoskeletal diseases, mental disorders and different types of injuries. For women with a disease present before the childbirth, the disorder might deteriorate after childbirth. Regarding symptoms related to childbirth, high prevalence has been shown 6 months after childbirth for, for example, low back pain, fatigue, headache and sleep disorders. Most of these symptoms seem to remain up to 1 year after childbirth. Besides physical health effects, depression and other mental disorders have also been linked to childbirth, but again prospective studies with longer follow-up are lacking. Even though having children has been shown to contribute to an overall well-being, this is not the case for everybody and especially not among single mothers whose economic situation and thereby possibilities for good healthcare might be worse.

Morbidity can be measured in different ways, for example, through self-reports or visits to healthcare. We will use data on more severe morbidity that involves assessments from physicians, that is, morbidity that has led to hospitalisation, a measure that has so far not been used in this type of studies. SA is not a good measure of morbidity—most people with morbidity are not on SA. Instead, SA is considered a very good measure of social consequences of morbidity, in terms of not being able to support yourself from work. Yet there are surprisingly few studies on the association between morbidity and SA, and in media and among some researchers sometimes the level of morbidity among sickness absentees is questioned, especially for female sickness absentees—their SA is rather considered related to attitudes than to morbidity.

Studies examining the role of familial factors (ie, genetic and shared (mainly childhood) environment) on morbidity have shown that genetics tends to explain a moderate to large extent of the variability in most of the chronic diseases. For example, heritability for mental disorders varies between 30% and 90%, whereas genetic factors have been shown to explain 30–60% of the total variation in musculoskeletal disorders. Taken together, familial factors are important to account for when studying the association between morbidity (here in terms of hospitalisation) and SA as studies otherwise could lead to erroneous conclusions. Twin settings provide a powerful tool for studies of these aspects in research of SA, a research area where different selection biases otherwise might have an impact on results. Twins in a pair are optimally matched on genetic (100% for MZ pairs and on average 50% for DZ pairs) and common environmental factors through childhood (100% for both MZ and DZ twin pairs when reared together) in addition to age and sex (for the same-sexed pairs). An experimental design, which can control for these familial influences, is to examine discordant twin sisters, that is, where one twin sister has given birth and the other has not. If discordant twin sisters show similar associations as the analyses of the whole cohort, this would indicate that the childbirth may actually be a contributing cause of the future SA. If instead the association found among the whole cohort cannot be replicated within discordant twin sisters, then familial factors are of importance. Influence of familial factors (genetic and common environment) is indicated if the association found in the analyses of the whole cohort disappears or changes considerably in the analyses of discordant twin pairs.

The aim of the study was to investigate the associations of giving birth with subsequent morbidity in terms of hospitalisation and SA. Familial factors (genetics and shared/early environmental) were taken into account in order to assess if familial factors explain a potential association between childbirth and future hospitalisation and SA.

METHODS

Participants and data sources

We performed a prospective population-based cohort study of female twins. All female twins, that is, women with a twin sister, born in Sweden between 1959 and 1990 were selected from the Swedish Twin Registry (STR). After excluding women who delivered their first child before 1994 or whose twin sister had her first delivery before 1994, and twins where none in the pair had their first delivery between 1994 and 2009 (n=7304), the final cohort comprised 5118 women of which 95% had income from work, the others had income from parental benefits, unemployment benefits, student benefits, different types of sickness benefits or a mixture of those (in the year prior to the birth year, ie, T(−1)). All had some of those types of income, all granting rights to SA benefits. The STR is the largest population-based register of twin births in the world, with information such as birth date, sex, zygosity and pair identification. The selection of the study population is illustrated in figure 1.

The unique personal identity number assigned to each Swedish resident was used to link information from several nationwide population-based registers at an individual level up until 2009 as follows. The Causes of Death Register was used to obtain information on date of death. This register contains information on all deceased Swedish residents since 1952. Information on all deliveries (including stillbirths) was derived from the Medical Birth Register, which was established in 1975 and includes information on almost all births in Sweden. In order to increase the coverage on delivery, we also used the National Patient Register (NPR) to obtain information on all deliveries. This register was
founded in 1964 and includes all individuals admitted to any psychiatric or general hospital. Information on hospitalisation with a principal diagnosis for delivery (as defined by the International Classification of Disease (ICD): ICD-9: 650, 651.9, 652.2, 669.5-8; and ICD-10: O80-84) was obtained. The NPR was also used to obtain annual information on other hospitalisations, that is, inpatient care. Annual information on educational level and on SA days was obtained from Statistics Sweden.

Time in relation to childbirth

The studied women were followed in relation to year of first childbirth, referred to as T₀. In order to compare those who gave birth with those who did not, T₀ for the women who did not give birth was defined as the year of her twin sister’s first childbirth.

Hospitalisation

Data on hospitalisation covered the period 6 years prior to, and 6 years after, the year of the first childbirth (T₀) or equivalent. For descriptive purposes, the total and the average number of hospitalisation days per year were calculated.

In order to examine if hospitalisation prior to (or after) childbirth increases the risk for future hospitalisation, the first part of the regression analyses considered hospitalisation as both exposure and outcome. We created one dichotomous variable for hospitalisation before T₀ (ie, exposure), and two dichotomous outcome variables for hospitalisation during year 3–5 after T₀: one for hospitalisation with any diagnosis and one excluding hospitalisation with diagnoses related to pregnancy, childbirth and the postpartum period.

In order to answer the research question “Does hospitalisation prior to (or after) childbirth increase the risk for future SA?”, we created two dichotomous hospitalisation exposure variables: one for hospitalisation before T₀ and one variable: hospitalisation year 1–2 after T₀ (excluding diagnoses related to pregnancy, childbirth and the postpartum period).

Sickness absence

SA can be measured in several ways, related to duration and incidence. We used the following four measures: total and average number of SA days per year; number of SA days during year 3–5 after T₀; and number of SA days >90 sick leave days during year 3–5 after T₀.

Sickness insurance in Sweden

In Sweden, all residents aged 16–65 years who have income from work, unemployment benefits, parental benefits or student benefits are entitled to sickness benefits from the Swedish Social Insurance Agency, if unable to work due to disease or injury. Among employed individuals, sick pay was in most cases paid by the employer during the first 14 days of a sick leave spell, which means that we do not have data on most of the short sick leave spells. In most of the years studied, there was no limitation to duration of a sick leave spell. Sickness benefits covered 80% of lost income, up to a certain level. All were covered by a healthcare insurance covering the right to hospital care when needed, at a much reduced cost of less than €10 a day. Care during pregnancy and delivery was free.

Potential confounding factor

As research has shown socioeconomic disparities in age at first birth (eg, the higher the education, the later the first birth), we adjusted for educational level at T₀. Years of education was classified into four categories: <9 years of compulsory school, 10–12 years of education (senior high school), ≥13 years of education (college/university), missing.

Statistical analysis

First, Cox proportional hazard models with constant time-at-risk were applied to estimate HRs with 95% CIs for having at least one hospitalisation after childbirth (T₀). In a first model (model a), adjustments were made for age. Second (model b), additional adjustments were made for delivery year and educational level at time of T₀. Thereafter, twin pairs who were discordant with respect to outcomes, that is, having at least one
hospitalisation after \( T_0 \) were analysed using Conditional Cox regression. In the next step, we analysed the associations between childbirth, hospitalisation and SA by fitting three Cox regression models (table 3). The first (model a) was adjusted for birth year. In the second model (model b), additional adjustments were made for delivery year and educational level at time of \( T_0 \). In the third model (model c), previous hospitalisation was included. Thereafter, twin pairs who were discordant (Conditional Cox regression) with respect to outcomes, that is, having had SA or long-term SA (>90 days), were analysed.

Finally, in the step where we analysed the association between hospitalisation before and after first childbirth, and subsequent SA (table 4), we adopted the first two models (model a–b) from table 3. In a third model (model c), we fitted an additional model in which adjustments were made for subsequent deliveries.

The proportional hazards assumption was checked by including time-dependent versions of all the covariates in the models. This did not indicate a violation of the proportionality assumption.

All statistical analyses were performed with SAS V.9.3 and STATAV12.1.

The STROBE checklist was used in designing and reporting the study.

RESULTS

Of the 5118 women included in the study, 77% had given birth at least once between 1994 and 2009 (table 1). Of these women, nearly 70% also had given birth later, at least once. A majority of the women who gave birth had their first delivery before the age of 30 (61%). The educational level distribution was rather similar when comparing those who gave birth with those who did not. When hospitalisations with diagnoses for pregnancy and childbirth were excluded, 30% of the women, that is, the 1183 where both in a pair gave birth and the 407 twins where only one in the pair gave birth had at least one hospitalisation during the period 6 years prior to and 6 years after their first delivery (the delivery year excluded). The corresponding rate for the women who had not given birth was 27%. Half of the women who gave birth had at least 1 day of SA during the period 6 years prior to or the 6 years after first delivery, when the year for childbirth was excluded. The majority of the women had no SA at all in the 6 years following childbirth.

The average annual number of days with inpatient care and SA, respectively, is presented in figure 2. Up to year \( T_0 \), women who did not give birth had a higher average number of hospitalisation days and SA days compared with those who gave birth. Women who gave birth had approximately 0.3 hospitalisation days per year, whereas those who did not give birth had around 0.5 hospitalisation days per year. The average number of SA days for women giving birth was 3.8 days/year and for those not giving birth 5.0 days/year. SA differences between those giving birth and those not giving birth were statistically significant with the exception of year (−4) and (−3). For inpatient care, the differences between those giving birth and those not giving birth were not statistically significant. The average number of inpatient days increased rapidly during \( T_0 \) for women who gave birth, especially because of hospitalisation due to pregnancy and childbirth. After \( T_0 \), the number of SA days decreased quickly among these women. For women who gave birth, days with inpatient care and SA in the years following \( T_0 \) were to a large extent associated with subsequent childbirths.

Table 2 presents HR for the association between childbirth and hospitalisation for all diagnoses and for diagnoses where those related to pregnancy, childbirth and the postpartum period were excluded. When these diagnoses were removed, women who did not give birth and who who had been hospitalised at least once before \( T_0 \) had twice the risk of future hospitalisation compared with those not hospitalised prior to \( T_0 \) (HR=2.3; 95% CI 1.6 to 3.3) after adjustments for birth year, delivery year and educational level. The association was explained by familial factors in the analysis of discordant twin pairs (HR 1.2; 0.8 to 1.9).

When restricting the analyses to women who gave birth, a total of 2792 women were studied, that is, 1396 complete pairs in which both twins had given birth. The reference group constituted of mothers who had not been hospitalised prior to their first childbirth. Mothers who had been hospitalised before their first childbirth had a slightly higher HR for future hospitalisation when diagnoses related to pregnancy and childbirth were excluded (HR 1.5; 1.2 to 2.0). This association disappeared in the analyses of discordant twin pairs, suggesting an influence of familial factors (HR 1.0; 0.8 to 1.3).

Regardless of childbirth status, hospitalisation year 1–2 after \( T_0 \) was a predictor of having a new SA in year 3–5 after \( T_0 \) (table 3). Compared with women who did not give birth and who were not hospitalised in the first 2 years after \( T_0 \), mothers hospitalised after their first childbirth had an HR of 2.4 (2.0–2.9) for a new SA after adjustments for birth year, delivery year and educational level. Hospitalisation after \( T_0 \) was also associated with a new long-term SA (>90 days) in year 3–5 after \( T_0 \) (table 3). Women who did not give birth had a nearly threefold risk of a new long-term SA in year 3–5 after \( T_0 \) (HR 2.8; 1.5 to 5.1), whereas women who gave birth had an HR of 1.8 (1.3 to 2.5). The analyses of twin pairs discordant for having a new long-term SA in year 3–5 after \( T_0 \) showed attenuated point estimates, hence suggesting that familial effects may play a role.

Table 4 presents results from the analyses in which we considered hospitalisation before and after first childbirth as exposure, and new SA year 3–5 after \( T_0 \) as outcome. Mothers who had been hospitalised at least once both before and after their first childbirth had a higher risk of future SA (HR 1.8; 1.4 to 2.2). These women also had a higher risk of long-term SA; however, these risk estimates were not statistically significant. After controlling for familial confounding, the estimated risk for long-term SA for those who had been hospitalised...
before T₀ was in the same direction but the HR was reduced, which suggests that familial factors may also have an influence on the studied association.

**DISCUSSION**

In this register study of a population-based Swedish cohort of female twins born between 1959 and 1990, the associations of delivery with hospitalisation and SA were examined, as well as whether familial (ie, genetic and shared, mainly childhood, environmental) factors were contributing to these associations. Just before the first childbirth, the SA days increased much for mothers, an increase that gradually decreased during the years after the delivery. We found hospitalisation prior to T₀ to be a risk factor for future hospitalisation, regardless of

| Variables | Both gave birth | Twin pairs where only one in the pair gave birth |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| N         | 2792            | 1163                                           |
| Number of deliveries |                  | Twin 1 (gave birth) | Twin 2 (did not give birth) | Total |
| One delivery | 711 (25%)  | 501 (43%) | 1163 (50%) | 1163 (57%) | 2942 (57%) |
| Two or more deliveries | 2081 (75%)  | 662 (57%) | 1163 (50%) | 1163 (57%) | 2176 (43%) |
| Age at T₀ (years) |                  |                   |                   |                   |                  |
| 16–19     | 47 (2%)        | 33 (2%)        | 1163 (50%) | 1163 (57%) | 2942 (57%) |
| 20–24     | 511 (18%)      | 239 (21%)      | 1163 (50%) | 1163 (57%) | 2663 (52%) |
| 25–29     | 1150 (41%)     | 444 (38%)      | 1163 (50%) | 1163 (57%) | 2663 (52%) |
| 30–34     | 847 (30%)      | 323 (28%)      | 1163 (50%) | 1163 (57%) | 2176 (43%) |
| 35–39     | 207 (7%)       | 109 (9%)       | 1163 (50%) | 1163 (57%) | 2069 (40%) |
| >40       | 30 (1%)        | 15 (1%)        | 1163 (50%) | 1163 (57%) | 78 (2%) |
| Zygosity  |                  |                   |                   |                   |                  |
| Monozygotic | 1726 (62%)  | 608 (52%)      | 608 (52%) | 2942 (57%) |
| Dizygotic | 1066 (38%)     | 555 (48%)      | 555 (48%) | 2176 (43%) |
| Highest attained education* (years) |                |                   |                   |                  |
| ≤9        | 179 (6%)       | 74 (6%)        | 55 (5%)    | 308 (6%) |
| 10–12     | 1434 (51%)     | 608 (52%)      | 621 (53%)  | 2663 (52%) |
| ≥13       | 1173 (42%)     | 474 (41%)      | 422 (36%)  | 2069 (40%) |
| Information on education missing | 6 (0%) | 7 (1%) | 65 (6%) | 78 (2%) |
| Hospitalisation† |             |                   |                   |                  |
| At least one hospitalisation during the period 6 years prior through 6 years after T₀ | 2161 (77%) | 703 (60%) | 325 (28%) | 3189 (62%) |
| At least one hospitalisation during the period 6 years prior through 6 years after T₀ (excluding hospitalisations with a diagnosis for pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium) | 865 (31%) | 315 (27%) | 314 (27%) | 1494 (29%) |
| At least one hospitalisation during the period 6 years prior through 6 years after T₀ (excluding hospitalisations with a diagnosis for pregnancy, and childbirth) | 1183 (42%) | 407 (35%) | 325 (28%) | 1915 (37%) |
| At least one hospitalisation during the period 6 years prior to T₀ | 679 (24%) | 251 (22%) | 217 (19%) | 1147 (22%) |
| At least one hospitalisation during the period 6 years after T₀ | 1965 (70%) | 585 (50%) | 168 (14%) | 2718 (53%) |
| At least one hospitalisation both before and after T₀ | 483 (17%) | 133 (11%) | 60 (5%) | 676 (13%) |
| SA‡ |                  |                   |                   |                   |                  |
| At least one SA during the period 6 years prior through 6 years after T₀ | 1526 (55%) | 530 (46%) | 365 (31%) | 2420 (47%) |
| At least one SA during the period 6 years prior to T₀ | 690 (25%) | 274 (24%) | 224 (19%) | 1188 (23%) |
| At least one SA during the period 6 years after T₀ | 1245 (45%) | 382 (33%) | 221 (19%) | 1848 (36%) |

T₀ is defined as the year for first childbirth for women who gave birth, and as the year of the twin sister’s childbirth for those who did not give birth.

*At the time of T₀.
†Excluding hospitalisations occurring during T₀.
‡Excluding SA occurring during T₀.

SA, sickness absence.
Table 2  Cox proportional HR with 95% CI for the association between childbirth, hospitalisation before T$_0$, and subsequent hospitalisation (ie, during year 3–5 after T$_0$) in twins where at least one in the pair had her first childbirth during 1994–2009 and none before 1994, both including and excluding hospitalisation related to pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium

| Status childbirth and hospitalisation | All diagnoses | Diagnoses related to pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium excluded* |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                      | All women (n=5118) | Model a† | Model b‡ | Discordant twin pairs§,‡ | Model a† | Model b‡ | Discordant twin pairs§,‡ |
| No childbirth, no hospitalisation before T$_0$** | 1 (REF) | 1 (REF) | 1 (REF) | 1 (REF) | 1 (REF) | 1 (REF) |
| No childbirth, hospitalisation before T$_0$** | 2.5 (1.7 to 3.6) | 2.3 (1.6 to 3.3) | 2.4 (1.3 to 4.5) | 2.7 (1.8 to 3.9) | 2.3 (1.6 to 3.4) | 1.2 (0.8 to 1.9) |
| Childhood, no hospitalisation before T$_0$** | 6.1 (5.0 to 7.5) | 5.5 (4.5 to 6.8) | 8.3 (5.8 to 11.8) | 1.1 (0.8 to 1.3) | 0.9 (0.7 to 1.2) | 0.9 (0.7 to 1.1) |
| Childhood, hospitalisation before T$_0$** | 6.5 (5.2 to 8.1) | 5.6 (4.4 to 7.1) | 7.9 (5.4 to 11.5) | 1.9 (1.4 to 2.5) | 1.4 (1.1 to 1.9) | 0.9 (0.7 to 1.2) |

|                                      | Women who had at least one childbirth (n=2792) | Model a† | Model b‡ | Discordant twin pairs††,‡ | Model a† | Model b‡ | Discordant twin pairs††,‡ |
| No hospitalisation before T$_0$** | 1 (REF) | 1 (REF) | 1 (REF) | 1 (REF) | 1 (REF) | 1 (REF) |
| Hospitalisation before T$_0$** | 1.0 (0.9 to 1.2) | 1.0 (0.9 to 1.1) | 1.0 (0.8 to 1.2) | 1.7 (1.3 to 2.3) | 1.5 (1.2 to 2.0) | 1.0 (0.8 to 1.3) |

---

*Diagnoses O00-O99.
†Adjusted for birth year.
‡Model a, and additional adjustments for delivery year and educational level.
§Twins pairs where one had a hospitalisation during the follow-up and the other did not (n=1016 pairs).
¶Twins pairs where one had a hospitalisation during the follow-up and the other did not (n=456 pairs).
**During the period 1–5 years prior to T$_0$.
††Twins (both giving birth) where one had a hospitalisation during the follow-up and the other did not (n=508 pairs).
‡‡Twins (both giving birth) where one had a hospitalisation during the follow-up and the other did not (n=271 pairs).
Table 3  Cox proportional HR with 95% CI for the association between childbirth, hospitalisation and new sickness absence (SA) in year 3–5 after T₀, in twins where at least one in the pair had their first childbirth during 1994–2009

| Status childbirth and hospitalisation | SA in year 3–5 after T₀ (regardless of the number of days) | Long-term SA (>90 days) in year 3–5 after T₀ |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                                       | Model a* | Model b† | Model c‡ | Discordant twin pairs§, ‡ | Model a* | Model b† | Model c‡ | Discordant twin pairs¶, ‡ |
| All women (n=5118)                    |          |          |          |                          |          |          |          |                          |
| No childbirth, no hospitalisation     | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)                  | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)                  |
| year 1–2 after T₀**                   | 2.7 (1.8 to 4.1) | 2.2 (1.5 to 3.4) | 2.1 (1.4 to 3.2) | 1.5 (0.8 to 2.9) | 4.5 (2.5 to 8.2) | 3.5 (1.9 to 6.2) | 2.8 (1.5 to 5.1) | 1.1 (0.5 to 2.3) |
| No childbirth, hospitalisation        |          |          |          |                          |          |          |          |                          |
| year 1–2 after T₀**                   | 2.1 (1.8 to 2.5) | 1.8 (1.5 to 2.2) | 1.8 (1.5 to 2.2) | 1.7 (1.3 to 2.2) | 1.1 (0.8 to 1.6) | 1.0 (0.7 to 1.4) | 1.0 (0.7 to 1.3) | 0.8 (0.6 to 1.2) |
| Birth, no hospitalisation             |          |          |          |                          |          |          |          |                          |
| year 1–2 after T₀**                   | 3.0 (2.5 to 3.6) | 2.4 (2.0 to 2.9) | 2.4 (2.0 to 2.9) | 2.0 (1.5 to 2.6) | 2.3 (1.6 to 3.2) | 1.8 (1.3 to 2.5) | 1.8 (1.3 to 2.5) | 1.0 (0.7 to 1.5) |
| Women who had at least one childbirth (n=2792) |          |          |          |                          |          |          |          |                          |
| No hospitalisation year 1–2 after T₀**| 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)                  | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)                  |
| Hospitalisation year 1–2 after T₀**   | 1.3 (1.2 to 1.5) | 1.3 (1.1 to 1.4) | 1.2 (1.1 to 1.4) | 1.2 (1.0 to 1.5) | 2.0 (1.5 to 2.6) | 1.9 (1.4 to 2.5) | 1.8 (1.4 to 2.4) | 1.4 (1.0 to 1.9) |

Three models for adjustments as well as for discordant twin pairs.
*Adjusted for birth year.
†Model a, and additional adjustments for delivery year and educational level.
‡Model b, and additional adjustments for earlier hospitalisation.
§Twin pairs where one had an SA during the follow-up and the other did not (n=835 pairs).
¶Twin pairs where one had a long-term SA during the follow-up and the other did not (n=277 pairs).
**Diagnoses O00-O99 excluded.
††Twin pairs where one had an SA during the follow-up and the other did not (n=542 pairs).
‡‡Twin pairs where one had a long-term SA during the follow-up and the other did not (n=184 pairs).
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childbirth status, as well as when excluding hospitalisation due to childbirth. Furthermore, hospitalisation after the first childbirth was associated with a higher HR of both short-term and long-term future SA. An additional analysis focusing solely on the women who gave birth showed that hospitalisation both before and after the first childbirth was associated with a higher risk of future SA. Familial factors seemed to have an influence on the association between hospitalisation and long-term SA, regardless of childbirth status.

In line with some previous studies,10 20 50 51 we found that SA increased in women during the time before the first childbirth. Giving birth was also associated with a future somewhat higher risk for SA, in line with that of those not giving birth. However, this higher risk could be due to subsequent pregnancies. A recent Norwegian study found that the increased SA risk in women in the years after pregnancy disappeared when SA during subsequent pregnancies were accounted for.20 Several explanations have been suggested for such higher levels of SA, among others the double burden hypothesis.20 52-54 This hypothesis has been questioned, as research has indeed shown that women who occupy multiple roles tend to be healthier than those who enact fewer roles.50-57 Thus, on the contrary, the combination of employment and parenthood does not seem to imply worse health. In our study, the annual rates of SA decreased steadily after the first childbirth, speaking in favour of this hypothesis. Further studies of this are warranted.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine long-term associations between childbirth, hospitalisation and both short-term and long-term SA. Women who gave birth did not have a higher risk for long-term SA or for hospitalisation (besides hospitalisation due to subsequent pregnancy and childbirth) when compared with women who did not give birth.

Those who did not give birth had a more stable pattern of SA during the studied period, however, with a slight increase in annual SA days over the years. This is in line with a Swedish study that examined whether family obligations influence the risk of SA in publicly employed women and found a slight risk increase for SA among women without children.58 Further, a broad systematic literature review published in 2004 provided no evidence of an association between having children in the household and an increased risk of SA.59 It is important to consider family situation when examining the association between having children and morbidity, as single women with children have been shown to have worse health30 31 60 and higher levels of SA.65

Even though pregnancy, delivery and the postpartum period may increase the risk for disease and injury,25-27 our findings do not suggest that women who gave birth are more likely to be hospitalised after their first childbirth, except for hospitalisations related to subsequent deliveries. We found no differences in risk for future hospitalisation when comparing those who gave birth with those who did not. Thus, giving birth does not have to be associated with subsequent health problems. The fact that we found no differences in future hospitalisation between those who gave birth and those who did not may have different explanations. As mentioned above, one could expect that there is a health selection, where women who do not give birth may have worse
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Table 4

| Model | No hospitalisation either before T₀ or during year 1 to 5 | Hospitalisation before T₀ but not during year 1 to 5 | Hospitalisation both before and after T₀ | Hospitalisation after T₀ but not during year 1 to 5 | Hospitalisation only during year 1 to 5 |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Model a | 1 (REF) 1.0 (0.8 to 1.3) 1.0 (0.8 to 1.4) 1.0 (0.9 to 1.2) | 1.2 (1.1 to 1.4) 1.2 (1.1 to 1.4) 1.1 (0.9 to 1.2) | 1.2 (1.1 to 1.4) | 1.2 (1.1 to 1.4) | 1.2 (1.1 to 1.4) |
| Model b | 1 (REF) 2.0 (1.6 to 2.5) 2.0 (1.6 to 2.5) 2.0 (1.6 to 2.5) | 1.8 (1.4 to 2.2) 1.8 (1.4 to 2.2) 1.8 (1.4 to 2.2) | 1.6 (1.3 to 2.1) | 1.6 (1.3 to 2.1) | 1.6 (1.3 to 2.1) |
| Model c | 1 (REF) 2.0 (1.6 to 2.5) 2.0 (1.6 to 2.5) 2.0 (1.6 to 2.5) | 1.8 (1.4 to 2.2) 1.8 (1.4 to 2.2) 1.8 (1.4 to 2.2) | 1.6 (1.3 to 2.1) | 1.6 (1.3 to 2.1) | 1.6 (1.3 to 2.1) |

**Countries**: Sweden. **Date**: 1994–2009 (n=2792). **Exposure**: Hospitalisation status before and after the first childcare. **Outcome**: New sickness absence (SA) in year 3 after T₀, in twins who had their first childbirth during 1994–2009 (n=2792) – SA in year 3 after T₀ (regardless of the number of days). **Long-term SA (>90 days) in year 3 after T₀**: **Model a**: and additional adjustments for delivery year and educational level. **Model b**: and additional adjustments for subsequent deliveries. **Model c**: and additional adjustments for all subsequent deliveries. **Long-term SA**: Regardless of the number of days. **Diagnoses**: O00-O99 excluded.

**Strengths and limitations**

The strengths of this study are the population-based prospective cohort study design, including all in the study population, not a sample, using nationwide registers with high completeness and validity. Further, we used a large study cohort without loss to follow-up. With a twin study design, we were able to take familial influences into account. When measuring both exposure and health and choose not to have or cannot have a child. Also, giving birth is in itself a risk factor for future morbidity. Further, during the past decades, the number of women who voluntarily do not want to become parents has increased worldwide.

Hospitalisation prior to the first childbirth or equivalent (T₀) was a risk factor for future SA for all women, regardless of childbirth status. In particular, women who had been hospitalised both before and after T₀ were at risk for future SA. Furthermore, we found an association between hospitalisation and future long-term SA.

When comparing women who gave birth with those who did not, with respect to exposure to hospitalisation and risk of SA, mothers hospitalised at least once at least once after the first childbirth had a slightly higher risk for future SA regardless of duration, whereas those who did not give birth but were hospitalised after T₀ had a higher risk for long-term SA.

Hospitalisation both before and after the year T₀ seems to be the strongest indicator, that is, these individuals had the highest risk for future SA. Consequently, the women who had been hospitalised both before and after T₀ had the highest risk for future SA. Our analyses of women who gave birth revealed a graded association between hospitalisation and SA, where those who only were hospitalised before or after their first childbirth had a higher risk of SA, whereas those who were hospitalised both before and after the first childbirth had even higher risks. Thus, we found a strong association between morbidity and future SA among women who gave birth—an association that often has been questioned regarding women with children.

Familial factors seemed to contribute to the association between hospitalisation before and after T₀ among those who did not give birth. The influence of familial factors may relate to morbidity among women not giving birth—morbidity that might be more severe and potentially also being relatively strongly influenced by genetics. Familial factors also played a role in the associations between hospitalisation and future long-term SA. This could be related to the fact that the hospitalisation usually is required for severe conditions that may have a strong genetic component. However, since genetics has been shown to influence the risk of disability pension (DP) and some indications exist that genetics also plays a role in long-term SA and mortality, it can be suspected that the effects of familial factors on SA can be either direct or through other influential factors.
outcome with register-based data, we avoid problem with recall bias.

This study, however, has some limitations. First of all, we do not know whether the women who did not give birth were childless voluntarily or not. Differences in health between voluntary and involuntary childlessness have been shown, where voluntarily childless women showed higher levels of overall well-being, which might be related to hospitalisation and/or SA. Using inpatient care as a measure of morbidity has both its strengths and limitations. One limitation is that we thus selected more severe types of morbidity, and hence that does not include morbidity treated in outpatient care. Also, having had other types of morbidity data might have given another picture, and hopefully future studies will have such information. Another limitation is that the terms for inpatient care have changed during the studied period. In Sweden, inpatient care has over the years is a result of a shift in the responsibility for inpatient care from hospitals to outpatient care. Therefore, some of the decrease in hospitalisation over the years is a result of a shift in the responsibility for inpatient care from hospitals to outpatient care facilities; however, this affected all women equally, and is to some extent handled by adjusting for birth year. The CIs are wider in the years far from T0, for example, T6, due to fewer follow-up years for some—for example, for those who gave birth late during the studied period. Also, we lack information on the shorter SA spells among those employed. Further, the STR contains all twin births in Sweden; hence, immigrants are not included in this study, and as a consequence the external validity might be lower for women born outside Sweden.

It is important to be aware that giving birth is in focus here, irrespective of whether the child survived or not. Some of the women who did not give birth might be mothers, for example, due to adoption. Other studies in this area have ‘being mother’ or ‘living with child’ as an exposure term, rather than ‘giving birth’.

CONCLUSION

Women giving birth did not have a higher future SA risk than those not giving birth and results indicate a positive health selection into giving birth. Mothers with different types of morbidity, in terms of hospitalisation before and/or after giving birth, had higher risks for future SA. Most women had no SA in the 6 years following childbirth and those who had SA generally had that for shorter periods. Among the few women who had severe morbidity, in terms of hospitalisation, the future risk for SA was, as expected, higher. Hospitalisation prior to T0 was strongly associated with later hospitalisation and later SA. The high levels of inpatient care in women who did not give birth suggest that there is a health selection in giving birth, where the women who give birth have better health initially. However, most women had no hospitalisation and no SA.
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