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ABSTRACT

We examine the processes of formation and destruction of LiH molecules in the primordial gas at temperatures $T \leq 5000 \, \text{K}$ in the framework of standard Friedmann cosmological models and we compute the optical depth of the Universe due to elastic Thomson scattering of cosmic background photons on LiH. With the help of a simple model of evolution of a spherical density perturbation we follow the linear growth and the subsequent collapse of protogalactic clouds of various masses, evaluating consistently their physical characteristics and chemical composition. We determine the expected level of anisotropy of the cosmic background radiation due to the presence of LiH molecules in high-redshift protogalactic clouds. We conclude that LiH spectral features generated in the primordial gas are hardly detectable with current techniques and instrumentation.
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1 INTRODUCTION

It has been suggested that a finite amount of molecules such as $\text{H}_2$, $\text{H}_2^+$, $\text{HD}$, $\text{HD}^+$, $\text{H}_2\text{D}^+$, $\text{HeH}^+$, $\text{LiH}$ and $\text{LiH}^+$ in the primordial gas can induce in the cosmic background radiation (CBR) both spectral distortions and spatial anisotropies (see Dubrovich 1994 and Maoli 1994 for recent reviews). For example, if the CBR spectrum had some intrinsic distortion in the Wien region originated at high redshift ($z > \sim 100$) by whatsoever process of early energy release, then molecules act as heat pumps, absorbing energy in their rovibrational levels and re-emitting this energy at lower frequencies via rotational transitions (Dubrovich 1977, 1983). Clearly, such a process can occur only if the CBR spectrum deviates from a pure Planck profile. Dubrovich & Lipovka (1995) have performed detailed calculations of this effect for $\text{H}_2\text{D}^+$ molecules: the distortions appear like narrow absorption and emission features in the CBR spectrum.

Spatial anisotropies in the CBR can be produced by Thomson scattering of photons on molecules (or electrons) located in protoclouds moving with a peculiar radial velocity component $v_{\text{pec}}$ (Sunyaev & Zel’dovich 1972, 1980; Dubrovich 1977, 1983; Maoli 1994; Maoli, Melchiorri & Tosti 1994). If the abundance of molecules in the primordial gas is sufficiently high, the cumulative effect of elastic Thomson scattering can even create a “curtain” that blurs primordial CBR anisotropies (Dubrovich 1993). The level of secondary anisotropies in the CBR temperature at a frequency $\nu$ is simply

$$\frac{\Delta T}{T} = \frac{v_{\text{pec}}}{c} (1 - e^{-\tau_{\nu}}), \quad (1)$$

where $\tau_{\nu}$ is the optical depth at frequency $\nu$ through the cloud. The advantage offered by molecules is that they possess much larger photon scattering cross sections (by more than 10 orders of magnitudes) than free electrons. The derivation of the resulting $\Delta T/T$ requires the solution of the equation of radiative transfer in the expanding Universe, described in detail in Appendix A.

In addition, the presence of molecules in protogalactic clouds may induce small fluctuations in the CBR temperature when the population of rovibrational levels deviates from the equilibrium (Boltzmann) distribution corresponding to the actual radiation temperature $T_r$. Since the temperature of the gas $T_g$ and the radiation temperature are different for $z < \sim 10^3$, the populations of molecular levels may differ from a Boltzmann distribution with temperature $T_r$ in clouds of sufficiently high density where collisional excitation processes become significant. The level of anisotropy produced in this case is proportional to the difference between $T_g$ and the excitation temperature of the molecular transition.

The efficiency of the coupling between CBR photons and the primordial gas clearly depends on the chemical composition of the gas, and therefore a description of the...
chemistry of the early Universe is necessary. A large number of papers has been devoted to this problem (e.g. Dalgarno & Lepp 1987; Palla 1988; Puy et al. 1993; Palla, Galli & Silk 1995).

Of all primordial molecules, lithium hydride (LiH) is of considerable interest since the high dipole moment (5.9 debyes) of this molecule makes its rotational and rotovibrational transitions particularly strong (see e.g. Zemke & Stwalley 1980, Bellini et al. 1994, Gianturco et al. 1996). For this reason, the possibility of detecting LiH features in the spectrum of the CBR and in high redshift protogalactic clouds has raised a considerable interest both theoretically (Dubrovich 1994, Maoli 1994, Maoli et al. 1994, Maoli et al. 1996) and observationally (de Bernardis et al. 1993). Specific observational programs are in progress (Signore et al. 1994).

It is important to remind that the abundance of LiH in the pre-galactic gas is proportional to the primordial abundance of Li (hereafter [Li/H]$_p$), which is still highly controversial. It was originally shown by Spite & Spite (1982) that the Li abundance on the surface of very metal-poor Pop II stars ([Li/H]$_{\text{pop II}}$ $\simeq 10^{-10}$) is basically independent on metallicity (the so-called Spite plateau). The Pop II value compares well with the abundances of the other light elements predicted by standard big-bang nucleosynthesis and might therefore represent the true primordial Li: [Li/H]$_p$ $\simeq$ [Li/H]$_{\text{pop II}}$ $\simeq 2 \times 10^{-10}$. However, evidence is accumulating for a higher primordial value, of the order of [Li/H]$_p$ $\simeq 10^{-9}$. From observations made with the Keck telescope of a sample of sub-giants in the globular cluster M92, Deliyannis, Boesgaard & King (1995) have recently found evidence of significant dispersion in the measured values of [Li/H]$_{\text{pop II}}$ values, strongly suggesting that some amount of depletion has occurred among Spite’s plateau stars. If this were the case, then the Li abundance of Pop II stars could be the result of depletion from a higher initial primordial value, a result consistent with the rotating stellar models by Pinsonneault, Deliyannis & Demarque (1992). As a possible way to distinguish between the high-[Li]$_p$ and the low-[Li]$_p$ scenarios, Steigman (1996) has recently proposed to determine the ratio of [Li/K] from absorption features along different lines-of-sight in the Galaxy and the Large Magellanic Cloud. At the present stage, available data along the line-of-sight of SN1987A favor a primordial abundance of Li lower by at least a factor $\sim$ 2 than the present Pop I value ([Li/H]$_{\text{pop I}}$ $\simeq 1.6 \times 10^{-8}$).

Since the main quantities determined in this study (abundances, optical depths and anisotropies) depend on the assumed initial Li abundance, we should expect an overall increase of their values by an order of magnitude, if the “high” value of [Li]$_p$ were to be confirmed by future observations.

## 2 Formation and Destruction of Lithium Hydride

The LiH molecule has been the subject of a large number of theoretical investigations aimed at determining the conditions for its formation in various astrophysical contexts. Kirby & Dalgarno (1978) (see also Bochkarev & Khersonskii 1985) considered the photodissociation of LiH following absorption from the $v = 0$ vibrational level of the ground $X^1\Sigma^+$ state into the vibrational continuum of the $A^3\Sigma^+$ or $B^3\Pi$ excited states. The cross sections and rates for the inverse radiative association processes

$$\text{Li}(^2P) + H \rightarrow \text{LiH}(^1\Sigma^+) + \text{LiH}(^1\Sigma^+) + h\nu,$$

$$\text{Li}(^2P) + H \rightarrow \text{LiH}(B^3\Pi) \rightarrow \text{LiH}(^1\Sigma^+) + h\nu,$$

have been recently computed by Gianturco & Gori Giorgi (1996b). Since the Li($^2P$) level lies about 1.85 eV above the Li($^3S$) level, the formation of LiH molecules via electronically excited levels is important only when the temperature is greater than some thousand degrees K. At lower temperatures, processes involving transitions between vibrational levels of the ground $X^1\Sigma^+$ state and its continuum become the dominant mechanisms of radiative association and dissociation of LiH. Such physical conditions are realized in the interior of dense clouds, and in the primordial pre-galactic gas at epoch $z \lesssim 500$.

For the direct radiative association of Li($^2S$) and H,

$$\text{Li}(^2S) + H \rightarrow \text{LiH}(X^1\Sigma^+) + h\nu,$$

Lepp & Shull (1984), using semi-classical arguments, estimated a rate coefficient $R_{\text{ass}} \simeq 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, a value adopted in several studies of the chemistry of the early Universe (e.g. Lepp & Shull 1984; Puy et al. 1993; Palla et al. 1995). Khersonskii & Lipovka (1993) performed a fully quantum mechanical calculation analysis of this reaction. Their results show a rapid increase of the cross sections for...
Table 1. List of considered reactions

| reaction | rate (cm³ s⁻¹) | notes | reference |
|----------|----------------|-------|-----------|
| 1) Li⁺ + e → Li + hν | 1.036 × 10⁻¹⁰[√\(T_\text{g}/107.7\times(1 + \sqrt{T_\text{g}/107.7}0.6612\times(1 + \sqrt{T_\text{g}/1.177 \times 10^7})1.3388)]⁻¹ | quantal calculation | (a) |
| 2) Li + hν → Li⁺ + e | 5.6 × 10⁻¹⁰T_\text{g}⁻¹⁰.¹⁵ + 7.2 × 10⁻¹⁵T_\text{g}⁻¹²¹⁻¹ | quantal calculation (adopted) | (d),(e) |
| 3) Li(²S) + H → LiH(³Σ⁺) + hν | 1.0 × 10⁻¹⁰T_\text{g}⁻⁰.⁴⁶¹ | quantal calculation | (c) |
| 4) LiH(X(³Σ⁻)) + hν → Li(²S) + H | 1.9 × 10⁻¹⁴T_\text{g}⁻⁰.₃₄ | detailed balance applied to (7) | |
| 5) Li(²P) + H → LiH(X(³Σ⁺)) + hν | 2.6 × 10⁻¹⁰T_\text{g}⁻⁰.₁₂ | quantal calculation (f) | |
| 6) LiH(X(³Σ⁺)) + H + hν | 2.6 × 10⁻¹⁰T_\text{g}⁻¹/₂ - 7.6 × 10⁻⁹⁺ | experimental cross section | (g) |
| 7) Li(²P) + H → LiH(X(³Σ⁺)) + hν | 6.3 × 10⁻⁶T_\text{g}⁻¹/₂ | experimental cross section | (g) |
| 8) LiH(X(³Σ⁺)) → Li(²P) + H + hν | 6.3 × 10⁻⁶T_\text{g}⁻¹/₂ | experimental cross section | (h) |
| 9) Li⁺ + H⁻ → Li + H | 2.5 × 10⁻¹⁰T_\text{g}⁻⁰.⁹ | detailed balance applied to (10) | |
| 10) Li⁺ → Li⁺ + e | 6.3 × 10⁻⁶T_\text{g}⁻¹/₂ | detailed balance applied to (10) | |
| 11) Li⁺ + e → Li⁺ + hν | 2.5 × 10⁻¹⁰T_\text{g}⁻⁰.⁹ | quantal calculation | (i) |
| 12) Li⁺ + H⁻ + Li⁺ + H | 1.7 × 10⁻¹³T_\text{g}⁻⁰.₃₅ | quantal calculation | (j) |
| 13) Li⁺ + H⁻ → Li⁺ + H + hν | 6.3 × 10⁻⁶T_\text{g}⁻¹/₂ | detailed balance applied to (15) | |
| 14) Li⁻ + H⁻ → Li + H⁺ | 1.4 × 10⁻¹⁰T_\text{g}⁻⁰.₉ | quantal calculation | (d),(e) |
| 15) Li⁺ + H⁻ → Li⁺ + H + hν | 6.3 × 10⁻⁶T_\text{g}⁻¹/₂ | quantal calculation | (d),(e) |
| 16) Li⁺ + hν → Li⁺ + H⁺ | 6.3 × 10⁻⁶T_\text{g}⁻¹/₂ | quantal calculation | (d),(e) |
| 17) Li⁺⁺ + e → Li⁺ + H | 1.4 × 10⁻¹⁰T_\text{g}⁻⁰.₄⁷ | estimate | (k) |
| 18) Li⁺⁺ + H → Li⁺ + H⁺ | 1.4 × 10⁻¹⁰T_\text{g}⁻⁰.₄⁷ | estimate | (k) |
| 19) Li⁺⁺ + H → Li⁺ + H₂ | 1.4 × 10⁻¹⁰T_\text{g}⁻⁰.₄⁷ | estimate | (k) |
| 20) Li⁺⁺ + H → Li⁺ + H⁺ | 1.4 × 10⁻¹⁰T_\text{g}⁻⁰.₄⁷ | estimate | (k) |
| 21) Li⁺⁺ → Li⁺ + e | 1.4 × 10⁻¹⁰T_\text{g}⁻⁰.₄⁷ | estimate | (k) |
| 22) Li⁺⁺ → Li⁺ + H⁺ | 1.4 × 10⁻¹⁰T_\text{g}⁻⁰.₄⁷ | estimate | (k) |
| 23) Li⁺⁺ → Li⁺ + H₂ | 1.4 × 10⁻¹⁰T_\text{g}⁻⁰.₄⁷ | estimate | (k) |
| 24) Li⁺⁺ → Li⁺ + H + H | 1.4 × 10⁻¹⁰T_\text{g}⁻⁰.₄⁷ | estimate | (k) |
| 25) Li⁺⁺ → Li⁺ + H + H | 1.4 × 10⁻¹⁰T_\text{g}⁻⁰.₄⁷ | estimate | (k) |
| 26) Li⁺⁺ → Li⁺ + H + H | 1.4 × 10⁻¹⁰T_\text{g}⁻⁰.₄⁷ | estimate | (k) |
| 27) Li⁺⁺ → Li⁺ + H + H | 1.4 × 10⁻¹⁰T_\text{g}⁻⁰.₄⁷ | estimate | (k) |

(a) Verner & Ferland (1996); (b) Lepp & Shull (1984); (c) Khersonskii & Lipovka (1993); (d) Dalgaro, Kirby & Stancil (1996); (e) Gianturco & Gori Giorgi (1996a); (f) Gianturco & Gori Giorgi (1996b); (g) Pearl & Hayton (1994); (h) Ramsbottom, Bell & Berrington (1994); (i) Kimura, Dutta & Shimakura (1994); (j) Stancil & Zygelman (1996) (k) Stancil, Lepp & Dalgaro (1996).
Table 2. Final fractional abundances

|                | \(\eta_0 = 0.7\) | \(\eta_0 = 4.5\) | \(\eta_0 = 10\) |
|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                | \(z = 10\)       | \(z = 0\)        | \(z = 10\)       | \(z = 0\)       |
| \(\rm{H^+}, e\) | \(4.6 \times 10^{-3}\) | \(4.6 \times 10^{-3}\) | \(7.2 \times 10^{-4}\) | \(7.1 \times 10^{-4}\) |
| \(\rm{H^-}\)    | \(9.9 \times 10^{-11}\) | \(9.9 \times 10^{-13}\) | \(1.5 \times 10^{-12}\) | \(1.5 \times 10^{-14}\) |
| \(\rm{Li}\)     | \(8.2 \times 10^{-10}\) | \(8.5 \times 10^{-10}\) | \(1.6 \times 10^{-10}\) | \(1.7 \times 10^{-10}\) |
| \(\rm{Li^+}\)   | \(2.8 \times 10^{-10}\) | \(2.5 \times 10^{-10}\) | \(4.5 \times 10^{-11}\) | \(3.8 \times 10^{-11}\) |
| \(\rm{Li^-}\)   | \(5.0 \times 10^{-20}\) | \(4.2 \times 10^{-12}\) | \(4.3 \times 10^{-21}\) | \(3.9 \times 10^{-23}\) |
| \(\rm{LiH}\)    | \(1.5 \times 10^{-18}\) | \(4.2 \times 10^{-19}\) | \(2.2 \times 10^{-19}\) | \(9.8 \times 10^{-20}\) |
| \(\rm{LiH^+}\)  | \(8.1 \times 10^{-17}\) | \(1.2 \times 10^{-16}\) | \(8.5 \times 10^{-18}\) | \(2.2 \times 10^{-17}\) |

Figure 2. Fractional abundance (by number) of atoms, ions and molecules as function of the redshift for the standard model. The effect of the radiative association of LiH in excited electronic levels is shown by the dashed line.

Figure 3. Processing rates of the most important reactions for the formation of LiH. The curves are labelled with the corresponding reaction numbers listed in Table 1.

recombined. We have also considered the possibility of a high [Li/H]_p, as discussed in the Introduction. If [Li/H]_p is close to 10^{-9}, standard big-bang nucleosynthesis allows either a “low” or a “high” value of \(\eta_0\) (\(\eta_0 \approx 0.7\) or \(\eta_0 \approx 10\), respectively). The primordial Li abundance predicted by models of inhomogeneous Big Bang nucleosynthesis can even be considerably larger than the values adopted here, but since its value depends sensitively on a number of parameters and assumptions (see e.g. Mathews et al. 1990), for simplicity we consider models based only on standard Big Bang nucleosynthesis results. The initial fractional abundances of \(\text{H}, \text{He and Li}\) are 0.936, 0.064 and 1.1 \times 10^{-9} for the \(\eta = 0.7\) model, 0.926, 0.074 and 2.1 \times 10^{-10} for the \(\eta = 4.5\) model, and 0.923, 0.077 and 1.1 \times 10^{-9} for the \(\eta = 10\) model.

Our chemical network includes about one hundred reactions among 21 chemical species. Reactions involving Li-bearing species and the adopted rates are listed in Table 1. For the recombination rate (reaction 1) we have adopted the accurate fitting formula given by Verner & Ferland (1996), based on the photoionization cross sections computed by Li

by Peach, Saraph & Seaton (1988) and Gould (1978). The recombination rate coefficient of Li shown in Table 1 is in good agreement with the results by Caves & Dalgarno (1978).

The evolution of the gas temperature \(T_g\) is governed by the equation (see e.g. Galli 1990; Puy et al. 1993; Palla et al. 1995)

\[
dT_g dt = -2T_g \frac{\dot{R}}{R} + \frac{2}{3Kn} [(\Gamma - \Lambda)_{\text{Compton}} + (\Gamma - \Lambda)_{\text{mol}}].
\]  \tag{2}

The first term represents the adiabatic cooling associated to the expansion of the Universe (\(R\) is the scale factor of the Universe). The other two terms represent respectively the net transfer of energy from the CBR to gas (per unit time and unit volume) via Compton scattering of CBR photons on electrons,

\[
(\Gamma - \Lambda)_{\text{Compton}} = \frac{4k\sigma_T \lambda T^4 (T_g)}{m_e c^2 n_e},
\]  \tag{3}

and via excitation and de-excitation of molecular transitions,
(\Gamma - \Lambda)_{\text{mol}} = \sum_{i>j}(n_i C_{ij} - n_j C_{ji})h\nu_{ij},

(4)

where \(C_{ij}\) and \(C_{ji}\) are the collisional excitation and de-excitation coefficients and \(n_i\) are the level populations. The adopted expressions for the radiative and collisional coefficients of LiH as well as the procedure followed to determine the level populations are summarized in Appendix B. For the molecular heating and cooling of the gas we have considered the contributions of \(H_2\), HD and LiH.

When the rate of collisional de-excitation of the roto-vibrational molecular levels is faster (slower) than their radiative decay, the energy transfer function \(\Gamma - \Lambda\) can become an effective heating (cooling) source for the gas (cf. Khersonskii 1986, Puy et al. 1993). In general, the contribution of molecules to the heating/cooling of the gas becomes important only during the phase of collapse of protogalactic objects (see Sect. 4).

The chemical/thermal network is completed by the equation for the redshift

\[
\frac{dt}{dz} = -\frac{1}{H_0(1+z)^2\sqrt{1 + \Omega_0 z}},
\]

(5)

where \(H_0\) is the Hubble constant. The density \(n(z)\) of baryons at redshift \(z\) is

\[n(z) = \Omega_0 n_{\text{cr}}(1 + z)^3,\]

(6)

where \(n_{\text{cr}}\) is the critical density. The abundance of atoms and molecules is measured with respect to the total number of baryons: \(f(H) = n(H)/n\) and so on.

### 3.1 Results

The evolution of the fractional abundances of \(H\), \(H^+\), \(H^-\), \(Li\), \(Li^+\), \(Li^-\), \(LiH^+\) and LiH for the standard model is shown in Fig. 2 as function of the redshift \(z\). The abundances at \(z = 10\) and \(z = 0\) for all the models considered are listed in Table 2.

In all models, the final abundance of LiH is extremely small, of the order of \(10^{-10}-10^{-18}\). The maximum final abundance \((\sim 5 \times 10^{-19})\) is obtained for the \(\eta_{0} = 10\) model. Fig. 3 shows the relative contribution of individual chemical reactions to the formation of LiH in the early Universe. Radiative association from \(Li(^2S)\) is significant only for \(z > 650\), with the process \(B^1\Pi \rightarrow X^1\Sigma^+\) being dominant. Otherwise, LiH is formed mostly by radiative association from \(Li(^2S)\) (reaction 3). At \(z \sim 80\) the reactions of associative detachment (21) and, most importantly, (22) also contribute to the formation of LiH. Notice however that although the value of the rate coefficients for the latter reactions is rather uncertain (see SLD), the asymptotic value of the abundance of LiH is largely determined by reaction (3), whose rate is now well established (Dalgarno, Kirby & Stancil 1996, Gianturco & Gori Giorgi 1996a).

The evolution of \(Li^+\) for \(z < 100\) closely mimicks that of \(H^-\), both ions being removed at low temperature by reactions of mutual neutralization with \(H^+\) at a rate proportional to \(T_e^{-1/2}\). Since \(T_e \propto (1 + z)^2\) in this range of redshift, the abundances of \(H^-\) and \(Li^-\) decrease like \((1 + z)^{-2}\), as shown in Fig. 2. Our computed abundances of \(H^+, \ H^-\), \(Li\) and \(LiH^+\) at \(z = 10\) are in excellent agreement with the results obtained by SLD (within 10–20%), our abundance of \(Li^+\) being a factor 1.8 smaller. Our values for \(Li^-\) and LiH at \(z = 10\) are smaller by large factors \((\sim 900\ and\ \sim 300,\ respectively)\) than those published by SLD, but the two computations give essentially the same results when a sign mistake in the rate adopted by SLD for reaction (10) is corrected.

An interesting result is that LiH\(^+\) is more abundant than LiH for \(z \lesssim 18\), by a factor \(\sim 200\) for the standard model. This is in agreement with the early prediction by Dalgarno & Lepp (1987).

### 3.2 Optical depth

Having obtained the LiH abundance as function of the redshift, we are now able to compute, with the help of the formulae listed in Appendix A, the total optical depth of the Universe due to elastic Thomson scattering of CBR photons on LiH molecules (see eq. [A9]). The result is shown in Fig. 4 as function of the frequency of observation \(\nu\). The maximum optical depth for the standard model is \(\tau_{\text{LiH}} = 6 \times 10^{-11}\), at \(\nu = 30\) GHz. Pure rotational transitions of the \(\nu = 0\) level dominate at this frequency. The general behaviour of \(\tau_{\text{LiH}}(\nu)\) shown in Fig. 4 is in agreement with the one obtained by Maoli et al. (1994). The attenuation of CBR anisotropies being of order \(\tau_{\text{LiH}}\), little room is left for the "molecular blurring" suggested by Dubrovich (1993). Even for the high [Li/H] model with \(\eta_{10} = 10\), where a larger optical depth is obtained, the induced attenuation is still insignificant.
Table 3. Physical quantities for a $10^{10} M_\odot$ collapsing protogalaxy

| $t/t_{ff}$ | $z$ | $n$ (cm$^{-3}$) | $T_g$ (K) | $R$ (kpc) | $\theta$ (°) | $v_{\text{coll}}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $v_{\text{spec}}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $f$(H$_2$) | $f$(HD) | $f$(LiH) |
|------------|-----|----------------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 0.0        | 10.00 | 1.38 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ | 9.6 | 38.8 | 28.2 | 0.0 | 90 | 4.5 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ | 5.3 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ | 2.2 $\times$ 10$^{-19}$ |
| 0.1        | 7.45 | 1.41 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ | 9.8 | 38.5 | 22.8 | 3.8 | 103 | 4.5 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ | 5.4 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ | 2.4 $\times$ 10$^{-19}$ |
| 0.2        | 6.03 | 1.49 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ | 10 | 37.8 | 19.6 | 7.6 | 113 | 4.6 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ | 5.4 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ | 2.8 $\times$ 10$^{-19}$ |
| 0.3        | 5.10 | 1.64 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ | 11 | 36.6 | 17.2 | 12 | 121 | 4.6 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ | 5.4 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ | 3.0 $\times$ 10$^{-19}$ |
| 0.4        | 4.41 | 1.91 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ | 12 | 34.8 | 15.2 | 16 | 129 | 4.6 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ | 5.4 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ | 3.4 $\times$ 10$^{-19}$ |
| 0.5        | 3.91 | 2.34 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ | 14 | 32.5 | 13.4 | 21 | 135 | 4.7 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ | 5.5 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ | 3.6 $\times$ 10$^{-19}$ |
| 0.6        | 3.47 | 3.22 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ | 17 | 29.2 | 11.4 | 27 | 142 | 4.7 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ | 5.6 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ | 4.2 $\times$ 10$^{-19}$ |
| 0.7        | 3.13 | 4.99 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ | 23 | 25.3 | 9.4 | 33 | 148 | 4.8 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ | 5.8 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ | 4.8 $\times$ 10$^{-19}$ |
| 0.8        | 2.86 | 9.81 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ | 36 | 20.2 | 7.2 | 45 | 153 | 5.0 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ | 6.5 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ | 5.6 $\times$ 10$^{-19}$ |
| 0.9        | 2.63 | 3.31 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$ | 80 | 13.4 | 4.8 | 65 | 157 | 5.8 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ | 9.5 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ | 7.2 $\times$ 10$^{-19}$ |
| 0.95       | 2.54 | 1.05 $\times$ 10$^{-1}$ | 172 | 9.15 | 3.2 | 85 | 150 | 7.9 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ | 1.1 $\times$ 10$^{-8}$ | 9.2 $\times$ 10$^{-19}$ |

4 A SIMPLE MODEL OF A COLLAPSING PRIMORDIAL CLOUD

So far our attention has been directed exclusively to the global effects of LiH molecules in the homogeneous primordial gas. One of the main limitations to the occurrence of detectable features is the low molecular abundance obtained in such a context. It is therefore of interest to follow the chemical (and thermal) evolution of the first density inhomogeneities that emerged from the expanding primordial gas. Those initial density perturbations whose mass exceeded the Jeans mass were unstable to gravitational collapse and evolved to form galaxies and galaxy clusters. The increase in density during the first (quasi adiabatic) phase of collapse can provide suitable conditions for the build-up of considerably larger molecular fraction than in the surrounding expanding Universe. In addition, velocity gradients in a cloud may contribute to enhance the effective optical depth of localized regions in the Universe (Maoli et al. 1994, 1996).

Let us consider then the collapse of a purely baryonic primordial cloud in order to determine the abundance of the relevant molecular species as function of time and to predict the level of anisotropies induced by molecular transitions during the collapse of the cloud. We follow the approach of Lahav (1986) considering a spherical homogeneous cloud of mass $M$, uniform density $n$ and radius $R$ contracting (or expanding) in a homologous fashion ($\rho(r) \propto r$). We assume that the radius of the cloud reaches its maximum value at the turn-around epoch $z_{ta}$, when the density and temperature of the cloud are related to the corresponding quantities in the ambient gas by

$$
\rho_{ta} = \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{2/3} \rho_{g}(z_{ta}),
$$

$$
T_{ta} = \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{4/3} T_{g}(z_{ta}).
$$

The radius at turn around $R_{ta}$ is fixed by the mass and density of the cloud. In adiabatic collapse the temperature of the gas in the cloud, $T_{g}$, increases like $R^{-2}$.

By virtue of the hypothesis of homologous collapse, the primordial cloud may be treated in the same way as the expanding Universe in the previous Sections. For example, eq. (2) for the gas temperature still holds if one replaces the scale factor of the Universe with the cloud’s radius. The optical depth of the cloud by elastic Thomson scattering of CBR photons on LiH molecules is given again by eq. (A9), but the cosmological velocity gradient is now replaced by the velocity gradient due to gravitational collapse. A more sophisticated calculation of the optical depth, taking into account projection effects, can be found in Maoli et al. (1996).

Defining a non-dimensional radius $\xi$ and temperature $\theta$ by

$$
\rho = \xi R_{ta}, \quad T_{g} = T_{ta}\theta^{2}. \quad \text{(9)}
$$

the equations for the radius and for the temperature can be written as (Lahav 1986)

$$
\frac{d^2\xi}{dt^2} = \frac{5kT_{ta}}{\mu m_{H}R_{ta}^{2}}\theta^{3} - \frac{GM}{R_{ta}^{2}}\xi^{-2}, \quad \text{(10)}
$$

and

$$
\frac{d\theta}{dt} = \frac{2}{3} \left(\Gamma - \Lambda \right)_{\text{Compton}} + \left(\Gamma - \Lambda \right)_{\text{mol}} \xi^{2}. \quad \text{(11)}
$$

It is convenient to express time in units of the free-fall time for the cloud,

$$
t_{ff} = \pi \left(\frac{R_{ta}^{3}}{8GM}\right)^{1/2}. \quad \text{(12)}
$$

Once the mass $M$ and the turn-around redshift $z_{ta}$ are fixed, the evolution of the model cloud is determined by the integration of eq. (10) and (11). A relation between $M$ and $z_{ta}$ can be obtained by prescribing the power spectrum of the density perturbations (see e.g. Gunn & Gott 1972, Lahav 1986). For simplicity, we prefer to use a reference value $z_{ta} = 10$ for all masses.

We consider here a low-mass cloud with $M = 5 \times 10^7 M_\odot$, slightly above the Jeans mass at $z_{ta} = 10$ ($M_J = 3 \times 10^5 M_\odot$), and a galactic-size cloud with $M = 10^{10} M_\odot$. Fig. 5 shows the time evolution of the cloud’s radius, density and temperature (all normalized to their initial turn-around values) for the $M = 5 \times 10^7 M_\odot$ case. The evolution is followed for about three free-fall times for illustrative purposes only; in a more realistic model, relaxing the assumption of homologous contraction/expansion, the actual evolution of the cloud is expected to deviate from the one shown here owing to the shocking of different mass shells (see e.g. Haiman, Thoul & Loeb 1996). Because of molecular cooling, the model cloud performs a series of oscillations of decreasing amplitude and period, in striking contrast with the corresponding evolution in the adiabatic case (dashed
Lithium hydride in the early Universe and in protogalactic clouds

Figure 5. Time evolution of radius, density and temperature for a primordial cloud of mass $M = 5 \times 10^5 M_\odot$. All quantities are normalized to their initial turn around values. Time is in units of the free-fall time. Dashed lines: adiabatic evolution; solid lines: non-adiabatic evolution.

Figure 6. Upper panel: fractional abundances of the relevant atomic and molecular species as function of time for the same primordial cloud as in Fig. 5. Lower panel: absolute value of the net energy transfer due to molecular and Compton heating/cooling processes.

5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The presence of LiH in collapsing protogalactic clouds may induce fluctuations of the CBR brightness temperature on scales of $\sim 10''$ which can be in principle revealed by the use of arrays of telescopes and aperture synthesis techniques. However, it is clear from the values of $\tau_{LiH}$ listed in Table 4 that the expected spectral features of LiH in protoclouds are negligible at all times.
Table 4. Optical depth of the first three rotational transitions of LiH for a $10^{10} M_{\odot}$ collapsing primordial cloud

| $t/t_\text{ff}$ | $\tau_{10}$ | $\tau_{21}$ | $\tau_{32}$ |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 0.0           | $2.8 \times 10^{-10}$ | $4.2 \times 10^{-10}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| 0.1           | $1.5 \times 10^{-11}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-11}$ | $4.4 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 0.2           | $1.1 \times 10^{-11}$ | $9.6 \times 10^{-12}$ | $1.7 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 0.3           | $1.0 \times 10^{-11}$ | $7.0 \times 10^{-12}$ | $8.6 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.4           | $1.1 \times 10^{-11}$ | $6.2 \times 10^{-12}$ | $5.4 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.5           | $1.2 \times 10^{-11}$ | $5.6 \times 10^{-12}$ | $3.6 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.6           | $1.4 \times 10^{-11}$ | $5.6 \times 10^{-12}$ | $2.6 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.7           | $1.9 \times 10^{-11}$ | $6.4 \times 10^{-12}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.8           | $2.8 \times 10^{-11}$ | $8.2 \times 10^{-12}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.9           | $5.8 \times 10^{-11}$ | $1.5 \times 10^{-11}$ | $3.0 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.95          | $1.2 \times 10^{-10}$ | $3.0 \times 10^{-11}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{-12}$ |

SLD in a similar analysis. The abundance of LiH$^+$ for $z \lesssim 18$ is about two orders of magnitude larger than the abundance of LiH.

(b) Taking into account the possibility of a higher value of $[\text{Li}]_p$, the final abundance of LiH and LiH$^+$ may increase up to $\sim 10^{-18} - 10^{-17}$.

(c) The estimate of the optical depth due to resonant scattering of the CBR photons on LiH molecules yields a value of $\tau_{\text{LiH}}^\text{max} \sim 10^{-11} - 10^{-10}$ at a frequency of 30 GHz. Most of the contribution to the optical depth comes from rotational transitions between high $J$ levels. The attenuation of pre-existing anisotropies of the CBR is therefore completely negligible.

(d) The enhancement of the LiH abundance during the collapse of protogalactic clouds gives rise to fluctuations in the temperature of the CBR associated with the peculiar motion of the object. For a $10^{10} M_{\odot}$ protogalactic cloud reaching its turn-around at $z_{\text{ta}} = 10$, the expected level of anisotropy is far too low to be of any observational relevance during most of the collapse phase. The actual abundance of LiH in the latest phases of collapse cannot be quantitatively predicted by the methods presented here.
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APPENDIX A: RADIATIVE TRANSFER IN THE EXPANDING UNIVERSE

The equation of radiative transfer in the expanding Universe can be written as (e.g. Peebles 1971)

\[ \frac{1}{c} \frac{dJ_\nu}{dz} = \frac{\kappa_\nu J_\nu - j_\nu}{H_0 (1+z)^2 \sqrt{1 + \Omega_0 z}} + \frac{3J_\nu}{c (1+z)}, \]  
(A1)

where

\[ \kappa_\nu = \frac{c^2}{8\pi \nu^5 n_i A_{ul} g_u} \left( 1 - \frac{g_u n_u}{g_i n_i} \right) \phi(\nu - \nu_{ul}), \]  
(A2)

\[ j_\nu = \frac{h \nu}{4\pi} n_u A_{ul} \phi(\nu - \nu_{ul}). \]  
(A3)

Here \( \phi(\nu - \nu_{ul}) \) is the normalized line-shape function for a transition of frequency \( \nu_{ul} \).

In terms of the function \( J_\nu = J_\nu (1+z)^{-3} \), eq. (A1) takes the form

\[ \frac{H_0 (1+z)^2 \sqrt{1 + \Omega_0 z}}{c \kappa_\nu} \frac{dJ_\nu}{dz} = J_\nu - \frac{S_\nu}{(1+z)^3}, \]  
(A4)

where \( S_\nu \) is the source function defined as

\[ S_\nu = \frac{j_\nu}{\kappa_\nu} = \frac{2h \nu^3}{c^2} \left( \frac{g_u n_u}{g_i n_i} - 1 \right)^{-1}. \]  
(A5)

Eq. (A4) can be formally solved in the usual way multiplying each term for the integrating factor \( e^{\Gamma \nu} \) where

\[ \Gamma_\nu = -\int \frac{c \kappa_\nu}{H_0 (1+z)^2 \sqrt{1 + \Omega_0 z}} dz, \]  
(A6)

obtaining

\[ J_\nu(\tau_\nu) = J_\nu(0) e^{-\tau_\nu} + e^{-\tau_\nu} \int_0^{\tau_\nu} \frac{S_\nu}{(1+z)} e^{\nu'} d\tau' \nu. \]  
(A7)

Consider now a single transition of frequency \( \nu_{ul} \). Absorption of radiation observed today at frequency \( \nu \) occurs in an interval of the order of the thermal width \( \Delta \nu_{th} \) around the line frequency \( \nu_{ul} \), or, equivalently, in a range of redshift \( \Delta z_i = (1+z_i) \Delta \nu_{th}/\nu_{ul} \) around the “interaction” redshift \( z_i \) defined by the condition

\[ \nu(1+z_i) = \nu_{ul}. \]  
(A8)

If the Doppler width of the line is small, as it is the case in the present context, then the size (in redshift) of the interaction region around \( z_i \) is also small, and the integral in eq. (A6) can be simplified as

\[ \tau(z_i) = \frac{c^3}{8\pi \nu_{ul}^3 A_{ul} g_u} \left[ 1 - \frac{g_i n_u(z_i)}{g_u n_i(z_i)} \right] n_i(z_i) \frac{dv}{dz} |_{z_i}^{-1}, \]  
(A9)

where

\[ \kappa_\nu = \frac{c^2}{8\pi \nu^5 n_i A_{ul} g_u} \left( 1 - \frac{g_u n_u}{g_i n_i} \right) \phi(\nu - \nu_{ul}), \]  
(A2)
\[
\frac{dv}{dr}_{zi} = H_0(1 + zi)\sqrt{1 + \Omega_0 zi}
\]  
(A10)

is the “cosmological” velocity gradient, due to the expansion of the Universe. The region contributing to the optical depth has a size

\[
\Delta t = \frac{dt}{dz} \Delta z_i = \frac{\Delta \tau_{th}}{\nu_{at}} \frac{c}{[dt/dr]_{zi}}.
\]  
(A11)

In the same way, since

\[
\int_{\nu}^{\nu'} \frac{S_{\nu'} - S_{\nu}}{(1 + z)^3 d\nu' = \frac{S_{\nu}(z_i)}{(1 + z)^3} [1 - e^{-\tau(z_i)}].
\]  
(A12)

for \(\Delta \tau_{th} = \sum J_{\nu}(0) e^{-\tau(z_i)} + e^{-\tau(z_i)} [1 - e^{-\tau(z_i)}]S_{\nu}(z_i),\)

and the relative perturbation in the CBR intensity at the present epoch is

\[
\Delta J_{\nu} = [R(z_i) - 1] \int [1 - e^{-\tau(z_i)}].
\]  
(A14)

\[
R(z_i) = \left[ \frac{g_i m(z_i)}{g_{\nu u}(z_i)} - 1 \right]^{-1} \left\{ \exp \left[ \frac{h \nu_{at}}{kT(z_i)} \right] - 1 \right\}.
\]  
(A15)

For a series of transitions of different frequencies \(\nu^{(k)}\), the total optical depth at any observed frequency \(\nu\) is given by the sum \(\tau = \sum \tau^{(k)}\) of all the single-line contributions \(\tau^{(k)}\) evaluated at the redshift of interaction \(z^{(k)} = \nu^{(k)}/\nu\).

Remember that for black-body radiation the relative distortion in the radiation intensity \(J_{\nu}\), and in the brightness temperature \(T\) are related by

\[
\Delta J_{\nu} = \frac{x e^x}{e^x - 1} \frac{\Delta T}{T},
\]  
(A16)

where \(x = h \nu/kT\).

**APPENDIX B: RADIATIVE AND COLLISIONAL PROCESSES**

The calculation of the level populations of LiH requires the knowledge of radiative and collisional rate coefficients (\(R_{ij}\) and \(C_{ij}\)) from each state \(i\) to any other state \(j\). We have included in our computations only rovibrational transitions satisfying the selection rules \(\Delta v = 0, \pm 1\) for \(v = 0 \rightarrow 10\) and \(\Delta J = 0, \pm 1\) for \(J = 0 \rightarrow 25\).

### B1 Radiative processes

Complete tabulations of the Einstein coefficients for vibro-rotational transitions of LiH are not available in the literature. For the sake of completeness and homogeneity, we have computed all the radiative transition probabilities by using the appropriate formulae valid for diatomic molecules in the electric dipole approximation. The rate of spontaneous emission for pure rotational transitions is given by (e.g. Shu 1991)

\[
A_{i \rightarrow J} = \frac{6\pi^2 \mu^2}{3hc^2} D_0^2 \frac{J}{2J+1}.
\]  
(B1)

where \(D_0 = 5.888\) debyes (Zemke & Stwalley 1980) is the value of the dipole moment evaluated at the equilibrium separation for the two nuclei. For roto-vibrational transitions,

\[
A_{(v,J) \rightarrow (v'J+1)} = \frac{8\pi^2 \mu^2}{3hc^2} \frac{d^2}{dr_0} \frac{v_{fJ}}{v_{fJ}},
\]  
(B2)

where

\[
f_J = \begin{cases} 
J/(2J+1) & \text{if } J \rightarrow J-1, \\
(J+1)/(2J+1) & \text{if } J \rightarrow J+1,
\end{cases}
\]  
(B3)

\(\nu_0 = 1405.65\) cm\(^{-1}\) (Huber & Herberg 1979) is the fundamental frequency of oscillation of LiH, and \(d^2/dr_0 = 0.4132\) (Zemke & Stwalley 1980) is the derivative of the dipole moment evaluated at the equilibrium position. When a comparison is possible, the Einstein coefficients computed as indicated above agree with the accurate but sparse values tabulated by Zemke & Stwalley (1980) to better than 10%.

The radiative transition rates \(R_{ij}\) can be expressed as function of the corresponding Einstein coefficients \(A_{ij}\)

\[
R_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
A_{ij}[1 + \beta_{ij}(T_i)] & \text{if } i > j \\
(g_i/g_j)A_{ji}\beta_{ji}(T_i) & \text{if } i < j
\end{cases}
\]  
(B4)

where

\[
\beta_{ij} = \left[ \exp \left( \frac{E_i - E_j}{kT_i} \right) - 1 \right]^{-1}.
\]  
(B5)

### B2 Collisional processes

We consider only excitation and de-excitation of LiH by collision with H atoms, and we factorize \(C_{ij} = n(H)\gamma_{ij}\). The cross sections \(\sigma_{ij}\) for the excitation of rotational levels of LiH by collision with He atoms have been calculated by Jendrek & Alexander (1980) on the basis of the potential surface for the (LiH, He) system determined by Silver (1980). These theoretical cross sections are in good agreement with experimental values (Davies 1986). The collisional excitation rates \(\gamma_{ij}(T_k)\) can be obtained from the known cross sections by integrating over a Maxwellian distribution of particle velocities. From the cross sections given by Jendrek & Alexander (1980) we have obtained accurate values of \(\gamma_{ij}\) at \(T_k = 3000\) K. In order to compute upward rates for generic \(J\) and \(J'\) we have made use of the infinite order sudden factorisation formula (Goldflam et al. 1977) in the form given by Varshalovich & Khersonskii (1977):

\[
\gamma_{J,J'}(T_k) = (2J + 1) \sum_{\ell = \ell_1}^{\ell_2} \left( \frac{J' - J}{0 \ 0 \ 0} \right) \gamma_{ij}(T_k),
\]  
(B6)

where the summation index \(\ell\) assumes integer values between \(\ell_1 = |J' - J|\) and \(\ell_2 = J' + J\), and the term in parenthesis is a Wigner 3-J symbol. This expression is valid for collision energies much larger than rotational energy spacings.

The corresponding de-excitation rates are then computed by using the principle of detailed balance. The resulting collisional rate coefficients are of the order of \(1.5 \times 10^{-10}\) cm\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\) at \(T_k = 3000\) K. Since deexcitation cross sections tend to be nearly constant at low energies for collisions between neutral particles (Spitzer 1978), approximate values of \(\gamma_{ij}(T_k)\) at lower temperatures can be obtained by multiplying the de-excitation coefficients at \(T_k = 3000\) K by the factor \((T_k/3000\) K\(^{1/2}\). An additional factor \(\sqrt{3}\) must be adopted to account for the difference in the reduced masses of the (Li,H) and (Li,He) systems.