Preliminary lattice study of $I = 0 \, K\overline{K}$ scattering
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Abstract We deliver the realistic ab initio lattice investigations of $K\overline{K}$ scattering. In the Asqtad-improved staggered dynamical fermion formulation, we carefully measure $K\overline{K}$ four-point function in the $I = 0$ channel by moving wall sources without gauge fixing, and clearly find an attractive interaction in this channel, which is in agreement with the theoretical predictions. An essential ingredient in our lattice calculation is to properly treat the disconnected diagram. Moreover, we explain the difficulties of these lattice calculations, and discuss the way to improve the statistics. Our lattice investigations are carried out with the MILC 2+1 gauge configuration at lattice spacing $a \approx 0.15$ fm.
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1 Introduction

The $K\overline{K}$ interactions are hitherto poorly understood [1,2], our principal incentive for lattice study of $K\overline{K}$ scattering was preliminarily explained in Ref. [1], as an effort to reveal the perplexing properties of the scalar resonances $a_0(980)$ and $f_0(980)$, whose masses are in the vicinity of $K\overline{K}$ threshold. Some experiments of the $K\overline{K}$ scattering were carried out at the cooler synchrotron COSY facilities [3–8], which suggests a vigorous clue of $K\overline{K}$ background. Moreover, the $K\overline{K}$ interactions are believed to be fundamental process to many intriguing physical phenomena, for instance, kaon condensation in neutron stars [9], and a lattice investigation on kaon condensation was explored [10].

Though the study of $K\overline{K}$ scattering from QCD is basically a non-perturbative problem, some theoretical (or phenomenological) attempts are being made to examine $K\overline{K}$ scattering [11,16]. Lattice QCD is currently the unparalleled non-perturbative method which enables us to learn $K\overline{K}$ scattering from first principles. Moreover, due to the incorporation of the scalar-isoscalar and scalar-isovector sector into the meson-meson scattering with the recently joint efforts in theory as well as experiment [11,16], lattice study of $K\overline{K}$ scattering is eventually evolving into a valuable enterprise.

Nevertheless, until now, no lattice study on $K\overline{K}$ scattering in the $I = 0$ channel has been reported chiefly because it is fairly arduous to rigorously calculate the rectangular $R_s$ and vacuum $V$ diagrams [1]. Motivated by lattice exploration on $K^+K^+$ scattering by NPLQCD [17] and encouraged by our preliminary lattice investigation on $K\overline{K}$ scattering in the $I = 1$ channel [1], we here further probe the $K\overline{K}$ scattering in the $I = 0$ channel.

It should be stressed that the physical evaluation of the $K\overline{K}$ scattering length in the $I = 0$ channel is a genuine two coupled-channel problem [2,18], which can be generally described by $\pi\pi$ and $K\overline{K}$ channels (we call $\pi\pi$ as channel 1, while $K\overline{K}$ channel 2). The relevant $S$-matrix is a $2\times2$ unitary matrix comprising three real parameters [2,18], all of which are functions of the energy, and a relationship among three parameters are provided by the so-called generalized Lüscher’s formula [18]. $K\overline{K}$ scattering in the $I = 1$ channel should be handled analogously, then lattice study of $\pi\eta$ scattering is extremely wanted [1,2]. In a nutshell, it is definitely required to involve channel 1 ($I = 0 \, \pi\pi$ scattering) for a physical evaluation of $K\overline{K}$ scattering length in the $I = 0$ channel. With our recent lattice attempts on channel 1 in Ref. [19], it is becoming more and more prospective to solve this intriguing two coupled-channel problem, and lattice study on channel 2 is highly desirable.
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In this paper, we make use of the MILC gauge configurations with the 2+1 flavors of the Asqtad improved staggered sea quarks \cite{20, 21} to compute the channel 2 ($I = 0$ $K\bar{K}$ scattering). The technique introduced in Ref. \cite{22}, namely, the moving wall sources without gauge fixing, is exploited to get the rigorous accuracy, and a clear signal of attraction is observed. As presented later, our lattice-calculated $K\bar{K}$ scattering is feasible and charming.

## 2 Method

In keeping with the original derivations and notations in Ref. \cite{1}, we shortly review the required formulas for lattice study of $K\bar{K}$ scattering enclosed in a torus. To make this work self-sustaining, all the basic pieces will be recapitulated successively.

Given degenerate $u/d$ quark masses, topologically only four quark line diagrams remain to contribute to $K\bar{K}$ scattering amplitude in the $I = 0$ channel, which are exhibited in Fig. 1.

Fig. 1. Quark-line diagrams contributing to the $I = 0$ $K\bar{K}$ scattering amplitudes four-point functions. Short bars render the wall sources. Open circles are sinks for local $K\bar{K}$ operators. Blue and red lines indicate the light $u$ and strange $s$ quark lines, respectively.

To prohibit the convoluted color Fierz rearrangement of quark lines \cite{22}, we normally take $t_1 \neq t_2 \neq t_3 \neq t_4$ and select $t_1 = 0$, $t_2 = 1$, $t_3 = t$, and $t_4 = t + 1$, respectively. In Fig. 1, we illustrated the quark-line diagrams, which are familiarly marked as direct ($D$), rectangular ($R_u$ and $R_s$), and vacuum ($V$) diagrams, respectively \cite{1}. It is not difficult to calculate the direct diagram. Nonetheless, it is pretty challenging to compute other three diagrams \cite{1}.

These diagrams were calculated by the moving wall sources technique initiated by Kuramashi et al. \cite{22}, i.e., each propagator, corresponding to a wall source at $t = 0, \cdots, T - 1$, is represented by

$$
\sum_x D_{n,x} G_t(x) = \sum_x \delta_{n,(x,t)}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T - 1,
$$

where $D$ is the Dirac quark matrix for quark action, $D$, $R_u$, $R_s$, and $V$ are schematically displayed in Fig. 1.

and can be rewritten in terms of the quark propagators $G$ \cite{1}, namely,

$$
C_D(t_4, t_3, t_2, t_1) = \sum_{x_1, x_4} \langle \text{Tr}[G^{(u)}_{t_1}(x_4, t_4)G^{(s)}_{t_1}(x_3, t_3)]
\times \text{Tr}[G^{(u)}_{t_2}(x_2, t_2)G^{(s)}_{t_2}(x_2, t_2)]\rangle,
$$

$$
C_{R_u}(t_4, t_3, t_2, t_1) = \sum_{x_1, x_3} \langle \text{Tr}[G^{(u)}_{t_1}(x_2, t_2)G^{(u)}_{t_1}(x_2, t_2)]\rangle,
$$

$$
C_{R_s}(t_4, t_3, t_2, t_1) = \sum_{x_2, x_3} \langle \text{Tr}[G^{(s)}_{t_1}(x_3, t_3)G^{(s)}_{t_1}(x_3, t_3)]\rangle,
$$

$$
C_{V}(t_4, t_3, t_2, t_1) = \sum_{x_2, x_3} \langle \text{Tr}[G^{(u)}_{t_1}(x_2, t_2)G^{(s)}_{t_1}(x_2, t_2)]\rangle,
$$

where the Hermiticity properties of $G$ are employed to nicely get rid of the $\gamma^5$ factors, and the vacuum expectation value should be subtracted from the vacuum diagram.

The rectangular ($R_u$ and $R_s$) and vacuum ($V$) diagrams unavoidably incur gauge-variant noise \cite{22}, and which is usually suppressed through fulfilling the gauge field average without gauge fixing \cite{1, 22, 27}.

In the isospin limit, the $I = 0$ $K\bar{K}$ four-point correlation function can be stated on the basis of four diagrams \cite{1}:

$$
C_{K\bar{K}}(t) = D - N_f R_u - 2N_f R_s + 2V,
$$

where the extra factors $N_f$ in the valence fermion loops are addressed by inserting the staggered-flavor factor $N_f$ \cite{28}. The four-fold degeneracy of the staggered sea quarks is gotten rid of by performing the quadruplicate root of the fermion determinant \cite{28}.

The energy $E_{K\bar{K}}$ of the $K\bar{K}$ system is able to be gained from the $K\bar{K}$ four-point function at large $t$, whose asymptotic form behavior is provided as \cite{20}.

$$
C_{K\bar{K}}(t) = Z_{K\bar{K}} \cosh \left[ E_{K\bar{K}} \left( t - \frac{T}{2} \right) \right] + (-1)^t Z'_{K\bar{K}} \cosh \left[ E'_{K\bar{K}} \left( t - \frac{T}{2} \right) \right] + \cdots,
$$

where the oscillating term is a typical characteristic of the Kogut-Susskind formulation \cite{20}.

The magnitude of the center-of-mass scattering momentum $k$ is related to the energy $E_{K\bar{K}}$ of the $K\bar{K}$ system in a torus of size $L$ by

$$
k^2 = \frac{1}{4} (E_{K\bar{K}})^2 - m_K^2, \quad k = \frac{2\pi}{L} q,
$$

where the dimensionless momentum $q \in \mathbb{R}$, and $m_K$ is kaon mass.
In practice, we also evaluate the ratios
\[
R^X(t) = \frac{C_R(0, 1, t, t+1)}{C_R(0, t) C_R(1, t+1)}, \quad X = D, R_u, R_s \text{ and } V,
\]
where \(C_R(0, t)\) and \(C_R(1, t+1)\) are kaon propagators with zero momentum. It is worthwhile to stress that these ratios really assist us to qualitatively comprehend the relevant physical quantities, although we do not use them to estimate the energy shift.

It is worth mentioning that the contributions of the non-Nambu-Goldstone kaons in the intermediate states is exponentially suppressed for high \(t\) due to their relatively larger masses in contrast with those of Goldstone kaon [28], and this systematic error is overlooked in the present study.

3 Simulation results

In this work, we measured \(K\bar{K}\) four-point function on the 0.15 fm MILC lattice ensemble of 601 \(20^3 \times 48\) gauge configurations with the 2+1 flavors of the Asqtad-improved staggered sea quarks with the bare quark masses \(am_{u/d}/am_s = 0.00484/0.0484\) and bare gauge coupling \(10/g^2 = 6.566\), which has a physical volume around 3.0 fm [20, 21]. The inverse lattice spacing \(a^{-1} = 1.373\) GeV [20, 21]. The mass of the dynamical strange quark is near to its physical value [20, 21], and the light \(u/d\) quark masses are equal. In the present study, the valence strange quark mass is fixed to its physical value [20, 21]. It is noteworthy that the MILC gauge configurations are generated using the fourth root of the staggered fermion determinant, which nicely removes the quadruple degeneracy of the staggered sea quarks [31].

The prevailing conjugate gradient approach is exploited to achieve the requisite inverse matrix element of the Dirac fermion matrix, and the periodic boundary condition is enforced to the spatial and temporal directions. The propagators of \(I = 0 K\bar{K}\) scattering are measured on each of the 48 time slices, and explicitly gathered at the end of the measurements. For each kaon source, six fermion matrix inversions are demanded for the possible three color selections. Altogether, 288 matrix inversions are carried out on a single configuration. Consequently, the statistics are turned out to be significantly improved.

The individual amplitude ratios \(R^X (X = D, R_u, R_s \text{ and } V) \) of the \(K\bar{K}\) four-point functions are plotted in Fig. 2 as the functions of \(t\). The direct amplitude \(R^D\) is in the vicinity of unity, indicating a weak interaction in this channel. Following an initial increase up to \(t \sim 4\), the rectangular \(R^{R_u}\) and \(R^{R_s}\) amplitudes exhibited a roughly linear decrease up until \(t \sim 16\), and the systematically oscillating behaviour in large \(t\) is obviously noted [29], which is a typical feature of the staggered scheme [1, 28, 29]. It is interesting to notice that the rectangular \(R^{R_s}\) amplitude oscillate much strongly than that of \(R^{R_u}\). The “trumpet” shape of the rectangular \(R^{R_u}\) amplitude is the signature for the lattice study of \(I = 0 K\bar{K}\) scattering with staggered scheme, which is turned out to the most important parts of \(I = 0 K\bar{K}\) scattering. The intensive oscillation of the rectangular \(R^{R_u}\) amplitude is due to the staggered scheme, nevertheless, its quantitative mass dependence is not yet comprehensive to us and demands an additional investigations.

The vacuum amplitude is fairly small up to \(t \sim 8\), and quickly lost signals after that. On the basis of the Lepage’s analytical arguments [31], we are able to deduce that the error of the disconnected diagram is generally independent of \(t\) and grows exponentially as \(e^{2m_K t} [1]\), meanwhile the errors of the rectangular \(R_u\) and \(R_s\) diagrams grow exponentially as \(e^{(2m_K - m_{R_u}) t}\).
and \(e^{(2m_K-m_\pi)t}\), respectively, where \(m_\pi\) is mass of a fictitious flavor nonsinglet meson \(\bar{s}s\) \cite{32} (see Ref. \cite{33} for the evaluation of \(m_\pi\)). This means that the calculation of the rectangular \(R_a\) diagram is relatively cheap, while the calculations of the rectangular \(R_s\) and vacuum \(V\) diagrams are fairly challenging.

The magnitudes of the errors of these ratios are in quantitative agreement with these predictions as shown in Fig. 3. Fitting these errors \(\delta R_K(t)\) by a single exponential fit ansatz \(\delta R^X(t) \sim e^{\alpha X^t}\) over \(8 \leq t \leq 18\), we secure \(\alpha_{R}=0.2457, 0.5813\) and \(0.7575\) for \(X = R_a, R_s\) and \(V\), respectively, which can be fairly compared with our measurements of \(am_\pi = 0.1750(1), am_K = 0.3780(1)\) and \(am_{\pi s} = 0.5012(2)\) on the same MILC gauge configurations in this work. In principle, the vacuum amplitude can be reasonably granted to be still small for large \(t\), and discarded in the remaining analysis \cite{22}. Nevertheless, we still incorporate it such that this calculation has integrity.

![Fig. 3. Errors of the ratios \(R_X(t)\) (\(X = R_a, R_s\) and \(V\)) as the functions of \(t\). Solid lines are single exponential fits over \(8 \leq t \leq 16\).](image)

The point operator has a big overlap with excited states, and it is traditional to use the wall-source operator together with a point sink to efficiently reduce these overlap \cite{33}. In practice, we calculate the kaon propagators by

\[
C_K^{WP}(t) = \langle 0|K^{+}(t)W_{K^{+}}(0)|0\rangle,
\]

where \(K^{+} = \bar{s}q_{u, d}\), \(W_{K^{+}}\) is wall-source operator for \(K^{+}\) meson \cite{30, 32}. To ease the notation, the summation over the spatial coordinates of sink is not written out, and we adopt the shorthand notation: “WP” for the wall-source point-sink propagators \cite{19, 32}. In practice, we exploited the available wall source quark propagators \(G\), which were used to calculate \(\pi\pi\) amplitudes, to evaluate kaon propagators,

\[
C_K^{WP}(t) = \sum_{x_2} \langle \text{Tr} G^{(u\pi)}_{t_1} (x_2, t_2) G^{(s\pi)}_{t_1} (x_2, t_2) \rangle,
\]

where \(t = t_2 - t_1\), and we also evaluated kaon propagators on each of the 48 time slices, and explicitly gathered these results, and the statistics are proved to be remarkably improved.

Ignoring the excited state contaminations, the kaon mass \(m_K\) can be obtained at large \(t\) with a single exponential fit ansatz \cite{21}

\[
C_K^{WP}(t) = A_K^{WP} [e^{-m_Kt} + e^{-m_K(t-t)}],
\]

where \(A_K^{WP}\) is overlapping amplitude, which is subsequently exploited to estimate the wraparound contributions \cite{34, 35}, and for easier notation the superscript WP in \(A_K\) is leaved out in the rest of analyses.

From Fig. 2 we clearly observe a contamination from the wraparound effects \cite{34, 35} approximately starting at \(t = 16 \sim 18\), which acts as a constant contribution and spoils \(K\) four-point functions in large \(t\) (in particular around \(T/2\)), since one of two kaons can propagate \(T-t\) time steps backwards with the periodic boundary condition imposed in the temporal direction. Luckily, it is approximately suppressed by the factor \(\exp(-m_K T)/\exp(-2m_K t)\) as compared with the forward propagation of the \(K\) state \cite{34, 35}. In practice, we can carefully choose the fitting ranges obeying \(t_{\text{max}} \ll T/2\) to reduce this unwanted pollution \cite{34}.

Additionally, if kaon mass is small enough, this wraparound term is turned out to be involved for an acceptable fit,

\[
C_{K\pi\pi}(t) = C + Z_{K\pi\pi} \cosh \left[ E_{K\pi\pi}(t- \frac{T}{2}) \right] + (-1)^{t} Z'_{K\pi\pi} \cosh \left[ E'_{K\pi\pi}(t- \frac{T}{2}) \right] + \cdots, \tag{7}
\]

where \(C\) is a constant relevant to the wraparound pollution, which can be calculated as well though \cite{34, 35}

\[
C = 2A^2_k e^{-m_KT}. \tag{8}
\]

Using Eq. (8), the overlapping amplitudes \(A_K\) and kaon masses \(m_K\) are accurately secured from corresponding kaon correlators and these values are fairly accurate to evaluate the wraparound terms by Eq. (7). All of these values are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Summaries of evaluated wraparound term from overlapping amplitude \(A_K\) and kaon mass \(m_K\). The first and second block shows our fitted \(A_K\) and \(am_K\), and column 3 gives the wraparound term estimated by Eq. (8), where its errors are combined from the errors of \(A_K\) and \(m_K\).

| \(m_K\)          | \(A_K\)          | \(C\)          |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 0.37803(14)     | 558.85(90)      | 0.00822(6)     |

* For the MILC gauge configuration used in Ref. \cite{19}, it is ready to verify that \(m_\pi \approx 2m_K - m_{\pi s}\) and \(m_{\pi s} \approx 2m_K - m_\pi\).
In practice, $K\bar{K}$ four-point correlation function is fit by denoting a fitting range $[D_{\text{min}}, D_{\text{max}}]$ and varying the values of the minimum fitting distances $D_{\text{min}}$ and with the maximum fitting distance $D_{\text{max}}$ either at $T/2$ or where the fractional errors transcended about 30% for two successive time slices. In addition, the fitting parameter $C$ was firmly confined by priors to the lattice-estimated wraparound term $C$ recorded in Table 1. The “effective energy” plots as a function of $D_{\text{min}}$ are displayed in Fig. 4. The central value and statistical error per time slice were estimated by the standard jackknife procedure.

In this work, the energies $E_{K\bar{K}}$ of the $I = 0$ $K\bar{K}$ system were extracted from the effective energy plots, and we pick up the energy $E_{K\bar{K}}$ by the full consideration of a plateau in the energy as a function of $D_{\text{min}}$, a good confidence level ($\chi^2/\text{dof}$), and enough large $D_{\text{min}}$ to suppress the excited states [1]. From Fig. 4, we keenly observe the plateau in the effective energy is pretty short. This is not surprise for us since, as we explained above, the signals of the rectangular $R_s$ and $V$ diagrams are fairly noisy, as a consequence, we should select small $D_{\text{min}}$ to get an acceptable fits. On the other hand, if we choose pretty small $D_{\text{min}}$, then the pollution from excited states cannot be neglected. In practice, only the time range $6 \leq D_{\text{min}} \leq 7$ can be considered. This indicates that the robust calculations of the rectangular $R_s$ and $V$ diagrams are high desirable for the more sophisticated lattice computation of the $I = 0$ $K\bar{K}$ scattering in the future.

The $K\bar{K}$ four-point functions in the $I = 0$ channel are exhibited in Fig. 5 where the fitted functional forms are compared with lattice data. The systematically oscillating features are expectedly observed in large $t$ [2]. The scattering momentum $k^2$ in lattice units calculated by Eq. (3), along with the fitted $E_{K\bar{K}}$, its fitting range and fitting quality ($\chi^2/\text{dof}$) are listed in Table 2. The statistical errors of the scattering momentum $k^2$ are combined from the uncertainties of the energy $E_{K\bar{K}}$ and kaon mass $m_K$ in quadrature.

From Table 2 we clearly note that the interaction for the $I = 0$ $K\bar{K}$ scattering is attractive, which is in good agreement with the theoretical (or phenomenological) predictions [11–16]. We are particularly satisfactory about these results considering the aforementioned extremely difficulties of the lattice calculations for the rectangular $R_s$ and vacuum $V$ diagrams [1]. Nonetheless, we are clearly aware that there still have a large statistical error for Fit A (omitting the vacuum term: Vout) and rather big error in Fit B (involving the vacuum diagram: Vin) [1], which are absolutely needed to be improved in the future.

| Fit A (Vout) | Fit B (Vin) |
|-------------|-------------|
| $E_{K\bar{K}}$ | 0.74764(98) | 0.7481(31) |
| $k^2$ | $-0.00597(72)$ | $-0.00568(221)$ |
| Range $[D_{\text{min}}, D_{\text{max}}]$ | 6 – 16 | 6 – 16 |
| $\chi^2/\text{dof}$ | 12.5/6 | 8.5/6 |
4 Conclusions and outlooks

In this initiatory work, we carried out a lattice calculation of $K\bar{K}$ scattering in the $I = 0$ channel, where both rectangular $R_s$ diagram and vacuum $V$ diagram play a crucial role. We took advantage of the moving wall source without gauge fixing [22] to calculate all the four diagrams classified in Ref. [1], and clearly observed an attractive signal in this channel with the consideration of wraparound effect, which is in well agreement with the theoretical (or phenomenological) predictions [11][16].

Confronting the afore-discussed intrinsic difficulties of lattice calculations on the rectangular $R_s$ and vacuum $V$ diagrams, we still launched a lattice study to examine $I = 0 K\bar{K}$ scattering with scant computational resources. Unquestionably, due to low statistics, the uncertainties are rather large, so these simulations are definitely in the preliminary stage, and various sources of systematic error need to be elucidated comprehensively. In principle, the signal-to-noise ratio is able to be further improved by launching the same computation on the lattice ensembles with a smaller kaon mass. Another important way to improve the statistics is to use more lattice gauge configurations. Moreover, according to the aforementioned discussions, the error of the disconnected $V$ and rectangular $R_s$ diagrams grow exponentially as $e^{2m \pi} t$ and $e^{2m K - m_{\pi}} t$, respectively, where pion mass $m_{\pi}$ and kaon mass $m_K$ are measured in lattice units ($a m_{\pi}$ and $a m_K$). Since for the same pion and kaon masses, the extracted values of $a m_{\pi}$ and $a m_K$ are smaller for the simulations on a larger lattice volume, consequently, performing the calculation on a larger volume with a smaller kaon mass maybe will be the most feasible way to improve the statistics.

Since it is a pioneer trial of lattice examination of the $I = 0 K\bar{K}$ scattering, our principal aim is to demonstrate this approach in a conceptually clean way, and achieve out our anticipated objective. Most of all, we show that the moving wall source technique can be employed to investigate $K\bar{K}$ scattering in the $I = 0$ channel. This raises a good prospect that this strategy maybe be successfully exploited to deal with two coupled-channel problem [2, 18]. With our lattice efforts on channel 1 in Ref. [19], at present, if we are able to compute the $\pi\pi \rightarrow K\bar{K}$ scattering in the $I = 0$ channel, in principle, we can solve this problem. We unceasingly appeal for enough computational resources to accomplish this peculiar and challenging task, and preparing a series of lattice studies toward these targets.
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