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Abstract

Energy crisis and environmental sustainability have attracted global attention to microalgal biofuels. The present study investigated the impact of organic carbon sources on growth and bio-oil accumulation by an oleaginous microalga *Desmodesmus subspicatus* LC172266 under mixotrophic culture condition. Glucose and glycerol supported higher growth rates and lipid productivities than sucrose, fructose, mannitol and acetate. Each of the organic carbon source tested supported significantly (P < 0.05) higher growth rates and lipid productivities than the photoautotrophic culture (without organic carbon source). The lipid productivity obtained with a mixture of optima concentrations of glucose and glycerol (5.0 gL⁻¹ glycerol + 10.0 gL⁻¹ glucose) (0.14875 ± 0.002 g/L/day) was about 25% and 66% higher than the values obtained with only 10.0 gL⁻¹ glucose and 5.0 gL⁻¹ glycerol respectively. When a batch culture with 5 gL⁻¹ glycerol was fed with 0.5 gL⁻¹ glucose daily the cell growth and lipid productivity were lower than the values obtained in a batch culture with a mixture of glucose and glycerol. The lipid productivity obtained in a 4-L photobioreactor was 94% (0.217 gL⁻¹day⁻¹), higher than the value obtained in a flask culture with 10.0 g/L glucose (0.112 gL⁻¹day⁻¹) and 46% higher than the value obtained in a flask culture with 5.0 gL⁻¹ glycerol (0.086 gL⁻¹day⁻¹).

1.0 Introduction

The need for sustainable and environmentally friendly energy resource as a possible replacement of fossil energy is apparently more pressing now than ever before. The global warming and its attendant problems are believed to be associated largely to the use of fossil fuels (Eze et al. 2017). Microalgae has become the global focus as the best alternative energy resource that can possibly replace or complement fossil energy in the near future. However, cost efficiency remains a hurdle against commercializing microalgae biodiesel. The primary factors that determine cost efficiency of biodiesel production by microalgae include but not limited to the lipid productivity and downstream processing. The lipid productivity is a function of lipid content and biomass content both of which can be species-specific as well as culture condition-dependent (Eze et al. 2020). Such culture conditions include phototrophy, mixotrophy and heterotrophy. There have been extensive research and development focused on photoautotrophic, heterotrophic and mixotrophic culture conditions to increase the biomass production and total lipid content by different microalgae species (Lin et al. 2015; Kong et al. 2020, Eze et al. 2020). Photoautotrophic mode of cultivation is characterized by low productivity, and at commercial scale requires large space either for natural/artificial ponds or photobioreactors to enable high biomass harvest. Apart from the increased cost associated with phototrophic mode, the global population increase is against structures that requires large space for optimum performance. This is expressed in the modern-day technology which perform efficiently at miniaturized size. Heterotrophic and mixotrophic cultivation have the potentials for high biomass concentrations and lipid contents within comparably small space (Gim et al. 2014; Kong et al. 2020). The lipid and biomass productivities of some strains of microalgae have been reported to be significantly higher in mixotrophic than heterotrophic or autotrophic cultures (Eze et al. 2017; Ogbonna and Ogbonna 2018; Patnaik and Mallick 2020; Kong et al. 2020).
Although the addition of organic carbon sources to mixotrophic cultivation comes at a cost, the expected increase in productivity is far higher than the additional cost. Furthermore, although attention is being shifted to the use of waste effluents either as a supplement or wholly as the medium (Gupta and Parwar 2019), such wastes are not without some limitations. For instance, depending on the source, the waste may contain some toxic components that inhibit the growth of microalgal species. Furthermore, the concentrations of nutrients available in the waste medium may be too high or too low for optimum growth and productivity. These tend to defeat the potentials of mixotrophic cultivation for high biomass productivity. There is also higher energy, and thus cost for separation of biomass from the waste medium after cultivation. More importantly, wastes can contaminate the product of interest requiring further purification than necessary which consequently increases the downstream processing cost.

By and large, pure organic carbon sources are still holding high prospects for biodiesel production by microalgae. However, the impact of different organic carbon sources on microalgal species is species-specific. This necessitates the need to screen for the best organic carbon sources in terms of lipid productivity for specific microalgal species. According to Kong et al. (2020), high biomass yield is obtained through addition of suitable carbon source. The possibility of synergistic effects of mixed organic carbon sources for specific species is worth investigating as such information is scarce in literature. The few reports existing in literature (Kong et al. 2013; Sun et al., 2014; Bajwa et al. 2016; Patnaik and Mallick 2019) were done in laboratory flasks of small culture volumes and were not verified for reproducibility in higher culture volumes such as in photobioreactors.

In the present study, different organic carbon sources were screened for optimum lipid productivity by *Desmodesmus subspicatus* LC172266. Glycerol and glucose were selected as the best organic carbon sources for lipid accumulation by *Desmodesmus subspicatus* in mixotrophic cultures. The synergistic effects of a mixture of glucose and glycerol were investigated in batch flask culture, batch 4L volume novel photobioreactor and in fed-batch mixotrophic cultures.

### 2.0 Materials And Methods

#### 2.1 Microalgae identification

The microalga *Desmodesmus subspicatus* was obtained from the Department of Microbiology, University of Nigeria, Nsukka. The identification has been reported previously (Eze et al. 2017).

#### 2.2 Inoculum preparation

The microalgal strain was sub cultured in BG-11 growth medium. The inoculum was prepared by transferring 10% stock culture (20 mL) into a 500 mL Erlenmeyer flask containing 200 mL BG-11 medium. It was incubated under continuous light illumination (50 μmol.m⁻².s⁻¹) in a rotary shaker (100 rpm and 30 °C) (Algae Tron Ag 230) for 10 days.

#### 2.3 Medium composition
The BG-11 medium was composed (in g/L): NaNO₃, 0.25; K₂HPO₄, 0.04; MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.075; CaCl₂·2H₂O, 0.027; C₆H₈O₇, 0.006; C₆H₈O₇·nFe·nNH₃, 0.006; EDTA, 0.001; NaCO₃, 0.02; and 1.0 mL A5 + Co stock solution. The composition of the A5 + Co stock solution was distilled water, 1.0 L; H₃BO₃, 2.860 g; ZnSO₄·7H₂O, 0.222 g; MnCl₂·4H₂O, 1.81 g; CuSO₄·5H₂O, 0.079 g; Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O, 0.390 g and Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, 0.0494 g. The medium was dispensed (200 mL each) into 500 mL Erlenmeyer flasks after adjusting the pH to 7.2.

2.4 Cultivation of microalgae in batch flasks.

2.4.1. Effect of different organic carbon sources

The BG-11 medium (200 mL) was supplemented with 5.0 g/L of either glucose, glycerol, sucrose, fructose, mannitol or acetate in 500 mL Erlenmeyer flasks. The flasks which were covered with foams stuck were sterilized at 121 °C for 15 min. The seed culture (10%) was inoculated into the autoclaved medium in triplicates and the cultures were incubated at 30 °C in a rotary shaker at the rotation speed of 100 rpm under 50 µmol.m⁻².s⁻¹ continuous light illuminations for 8 days. Samples (5.0 mL) were taken at 2-day intervals for measurement of cell concentrations. At the end of the eight days, 5.0 mL of the culture was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min, and the cell pellet was dried in an oven at 70 °C for 24 h. The total dry biomass and oil contents were determined.

2.4.2 Determination of the optimum concentrations of the organic carbon sources

Erlenmeyer flasks (500 mL) containing 200 mL of BG-11 medium supplemented with 5.0 gL⁻¹, 10.0 gL⁻¹, 20.0 gL⁻¹ or 40.0 gL⁻¹ glucose or glycerol were separately used for the cultures. The experiment was set up in the rotary shaker in replicates as described before. The cultures were sampled as before, and cell concentrations, growth rates and the lipid contents were determined.

2.4.3 Effects of mixtures of glycerol and glucose

Erlenmeyer flasks (500 mL) containing 200 mL of the growth medium and supplemented with different combinations of glycerol and glucose (5.0 gL⁻¹ glycerol + 2.0 gL⁻¹ glucose, 5.0 gL⁻¹ glycerol + 5.0 gL⁻¹ glucose, 5.0 gL⁻¹ glycerol + 10.0 gL⁻¹ glucose, 10.0 gL⁻¹ glycerol + 2.0 gL⁻¹ glucose, 10.0 gL⁻¹ glycerol + 5.0 gL⁻¹ glucose, 10.0 gL⁻¹ glycerol + 10.0 gL⁻¹ glucose) were inoculated with the pre-culture and cultivated in triplicates on a rotary shaker as described above.

2.4.4 Fed-batch cultures with a mixture of glycerol and glucose.

Erlenmeyer flask (500 mL) containing 200 mL of the growth medium containing either 2.0, 5.0 or 10.0 gL⁻¹ glycerol were inoculated with the pre-culture in triplicates. They were cultivated in batch mode (for 24 hours), and subsequently, 0.5 gL⁻¹ glucose was added daily to each flask. The experiment was set up on a rotary shaker as described in section 2.4.4. The cultures were sampled as before for measurement of cell concentrations. The dry biomass and lipid contents were determined at the end of the cultivation.
2.5 Cultivation in a 4-L Photobioreactor

A 4.0 L photobioreactor equipped with inclined reflective broth guide (Eze et al. 2017) was filled with autoclaved BG-11 medium (2.7 L) containing either 5.0 gL\(^{-1}\) glycerol or 10.0 gL\(^{-1}\) glucose. It was inoculated with 300 mL pre-culture, giving a height of 0.02m above the inclined surface. It was aerated with air + 5.0 % CO\(_2\) at an air flow rate of 1.5 L/min and illuminated at 100 µmol/m\(^2\)/s light intensity. The cultivation lasted for seven days during which 5.0 mL was sampled each day for measurement of cell concentration using a haemocytometer or UV-VIS spectrophotometer. The cells were harvested on the seventh day by centrifugation at 5000 g and the cell pellet dried as described in section 2.4.1 above. The dry biomass and oil content were determined.

2.6 Analytical Methods

2.6.1 Cell growth rate

The cell concentrations were measured either by the optical density (OD\(_{680}\)) using a UV/VIS spectrophotometer (GENESYS 10S UV–Vis, Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) or cell count using haemocytometer. The biomass concentrations were determined from the OD or cell count vs dry cell weight calibration curve. The specific growth rates \(\mu\) (day\(^{-1}\)) were calculated as \(1/t \times \ln (X_m/X_0)\). Here, \(X_0\) (g L\(^{-1}\)) is the cell concentration on day 3 or 4; \(X_m\) (g L\(^{-1}\)) is the cell concentration on day 7 or 8 while \(t\) (day) is 4 or 5 days.

2.6.2 Measurement of Lipid Concentrations

A known dry weight of \(D.\ subspicatus\) (0.2 g) was weighed out in a mortar and pulverized mechanically using a pestle. The method of Bligh and Dyer (1959) was used to determine the total lipid content.

2.5 Statistical analysis

All the experiments were performed in three replicates (n = 3). Analysis of Variance (ANOVA single classification) was used to test for significant differences. Least Significant Difference (LSD) was used to separate the means. The results are expressed or plotted as means \(\pm\) S.E.

3.0 Results

3.1 Effect of organic carbon sources on the growth and lipid accumulation by \(D.\ subspicatus\) under mixotrophic culture condition.

\(D.\ subspicatus\) had high growth rates and biomass accumulation, in culture media containing each of the carbon sources except mannitol. Glucose, glycerol and sucrose supported higher lipid content than fructose, mannitol and acetate (Fig. 1). Amongst the six organic carbon sources tested, glucose and glycerol were the best in terms of growth and lipid productivity. The effectiveness of the various carbon
sources in supporting lipid productivity can be ranked as glycerol > glucose > sucrose > fructose > acetate > mannitol.

3.2 Effects of glucose and glycerol concentrations on growth and lipid production.

On the whole, glucose supported higher growth rate than glycerol for each of the concentrations tested except 2.0 gL\(^{-1}\) concentrations (Fig. 2d). The optima concentrations of glucose and glycerol for biomass production were 10.0 gL\(^{-1}\) and 5.0 gL\(^{-1}\) respectively. With these concentrations, the final biomass concentrations were 3.2±0.03 gL\(^{-1}\) and 2.38±0.03 gL\(^{-1}\) respectively (Fig. 2c). With 5.0 gL\(^{-1}\) glycerol, the lipid content (0.29±0.005 gg\(^{-1}\) cell) was the highest, followed by 0.280.004± gg\(^{-1}\) cell obtained with 10.0 gL\(^{-1}\) glucose (Fig. 2d). Under these conditions, the lipid productivities were 0.112±0.0009 gL\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) for glycerol and 0.08628±0.001 gL\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) for glucose (Table 1).

3.3 Use of mixed glucose and glycerol for cultivation of D. subspicatus.

It was observed that a mixture of glucose and glycerol did not significantly improve the growth rate of D. subspicatus when compared with optimal concentrations of the individual carbon sources (Fig. 3). Among the various concentrations of and ratios of glucose to glycerol, a mixture 5.0 gL\(^{-1}\) glycerol + 10.0 gL\(^{-1}\) glucose led to the highest cell biomass (3.45±0.02 gL\(^{-1}\)) concentration of D. subspicatus (Fig. 3). Synergistic effects of the two carbon sources were observed in terms of lipid content and productivity when a mixture of 5.0 g/L glycerol and 10.0 g/L glucose was used. Under this condition, the lipid productivity (0.14875±0.002 g/L/day) was about 25% higher than the value obtained with 10.0 g/L glucose only, and 66% higher than the value obtained with 5.0 gL\(^{-1}\) glycerol only (Fig. 3 and Table 1).

3.4 Effects of daily feeding of 0.5 g/L glucose to different concentrations of glycerol.

Daily feeding of 0.5 gL\(^{-1}\) glucose to different glycerol concentrations improved biomass accumulation when compared with the values obtained with the different glycerol concentrations only (Fig. 4). However, these values were significantly lower (P < 0.05) than the value obtained with the optimal concentrations of glucose and glycerol (Fig. 3). On the other hand, the lipid productivities obtained with the daily feeding did not differ significantly (p > 0.05) from the values obtained with the corresponding basal glycerol concentrations only (Fig. 4). Comparatively, optima glucose and glycerol concentrations gave a lipid productivity that is about 41% higher (Fig. 3) than the value obtained with daily addition of 5.0 gL\(^{-1}\) glycerol + 0.5 gL\(^{-1}\) glucose (Fig. 4). In all the cases, daily addition of glucose resulted in higher cell growth and final cell concentrations. With initial glycerol concentration of 2.0 g/L, daily addition of 0.5 gL\(^{-1}\) of glucose resulted in slight increase in lipid content of the cells but there was no significant effect on lipid productivity. However, with higher initial glycerol concentrations (5.0 and 10.0 gL\(^{-1}\)), daily addition of glucose resulted in significant decrease in the lipid content of the cells and biomass yield but no significant change in lipid productivity due to increased cell growth rates (Fig. 4). The biomass concentrations obtained without glucose additions were higher than the values obtained with daily addition of glucose.
3.4 Effect of optimal concentrations of glucose and glycerol on *D. subspicatus* in a photobioreactor with inclined reflective broth guide.

The average cell concentration of $22.27 \times 10^7$ cell/mL (5.83 gL$^{-1}$) and lipid productivity (0.217 gL$^{-1}$day$^{-1}$) produced with a basal medium containing 10.0 g/L glucose was significantly higher (p < 0.05) than the values (2.24 gL$^{-1}$) and (0.122 gL$^{-1}$day$^{-1}$) obtained with 5.0 gL$^{-1}$ glycerol (Fig. 5). Also, the cell growth rate and dry cell biomass obtained with 10.0 gL$^{-1}$ glucose only was higher than the values obtained with 5.0 gL$^{-1}$ glycerol. (Fig. 5). In comparison with the biomass concentration obtained in flask culture with glucose (3.2 gL$^{-1}$) or glycerol (2.38 gL$^{-1}$), the biomass obtained with 10 gL$^{-1}$ glucose (5.83 gL$^{-1}$) or 5.0 gL$^{-1}$ glycerol (3.57 gL$^{-1}$) was about 120% and 200% higher respectively in the 4 L reactor. However, the lipid contents were lower in the photobioreactor (0.26 gg$^{-1}$ cell) and (0.24 gg$^{-1}$ cell) than in the flask cultures (0.28 gg$^{-1}$ cell) and (0.29 gg$^{-1}$ cell) for 10.0 gL$^{-1}$ glucose and 5.0 gL$^{-1}$ glycerol respectively.

**Discussion**

The ability of *Desmodesmus subspicatus* to use different organic carbon sources as the energy source is important because it can minimize the effects of seasonal and diurnal light limitation on growth in outdoor cultures. There were similar reports on some microalgae species being able to utilize different organic carbon sources under mixotrophic or heterotrophic condition (Lin et al. 2015; Sharma et al. 2016; Kumar and Saramma 2017; Kong et al. 2020). The variations in the cell growth and lipid productivities elicited by different organic carbon sources may be due to different abilities to assimilate the carbon sources (Kong et al. 2020). Glucose and glycerol were found to be the best in terms of effectiveness in stimulating high biomass and lipid production. This is in agreement with other recent reports in literature (Sharma et al. 2016; Morais et al. 2021). For glucose, the explanation was that glucose being the raw material for photosynthesis, is utilized in the presence of light to produce ATP and NAD(P)H which accelerate biomass growth and lipid accumulation (Sharma et al. 2016). On the other hand, glycerol enters the cells by simple diffusion without any extra energy and is also a substrate for triacylglycerol (TAG) synthesis which enhance biomass growth and lipid accumulation. Majority of research on mixotrophic cultivation of microalgae using limited amounts of glucose have demonstrated that it is an effective method to obtain high microalgal biomass, lipid and protein accumulation, especially for strains of *Chlorella sorokiniana* (Wan et al. 2011).

However, the other carbon sources such as mannitol, fructose or sucrose need more complicated inter-conversion metabolic process to provide energy for algal growth as well as lipid production. Although glucose and fructose had the same number of carbon atoms, fructose cannot directly be converted into glucose-6-phosphate in the microalgae (Gim et al. 2014).

The effectiveness of glycerol in stimulating high biomass and lipid production in *D. subspicatus* is very important as it demonstrates the feasibility of using crude glycerol, which is a byproduct of biodiesel production, as for biodiesel oil production. This will lead to significant reduction in the cost of biodiesel. Kong et al. (2013) reported similar results where algal cultures grown only on glycerol in shake flasks...
showed a specific growth rate of 0.1 h⁻¹ and final lipid yield of 0.31 g g⁻¹ of substrate whose values were similar to those observed on pure glucose, 0.096 h⁻¹ and 0.24 g of lipid per g of substrate, respectively. However, the reason for this effectiveness of glycerol was not explained.

The effects of different concentrations of glucose and glycerol on both oleaginous microorganisms and other microalgae under mixotrophic conditions have been reported (Gim et al. 2014; Ngangkham et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2013; Cheirslip and Torpee 2012; Eze et al. 2017). Although higher glucose concentrations (40.0 g L⁻¹) lead to decrease in cell concentration, the mean lipid content (g/g) was at maximum. According to Wang et al. (2012), the optimum glucose concentration for *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* was 1.0 g/L although the glucose concentrations tested ranged between 0.5 gL⁻¹ and 5.0 gL⁻¹. In a work by Cheirslip and Torpee (2012), where four strains of microalgae were cultivated using different concentrations of glucose under mixotrophic condition, they found that the cell dry weight of a marine *Chlorella* sp. and *Nannochloropsis* sp. increased when the initial glucose concentration was increased from 0 to 10 gL⁻¹, but no further increase was observed when glucose concentration was increased up to 20 gL⁻¹. In the same work, the lipid production by the marine *Chlorella* sp. increased from 117 to 651.2 mgL⁻¹ when the initial glucose concentration was increased from 0 to 20 gL⁻¹, while the lipid production by *Nannochloropsis* sp. increased from 109.8 to 798.1 mgL⁻¹ when the initial glucose concentration was increased from 0 to 15 gL⁻¹. The variation in the optimum glucose concentrations for different species cultivated under the same condition as reported by different researchers suggests that glucose optimum concentrations may be species specific.

The cell growth and lipid accumulation with various glycerol concentrations as reported in this work is an indication of some species’ ability to utilize glycerol as organic carbon source. According to Richmond (1986), glycerol as an osmoticum (a substance that has the capacity of raising the osmotic strength of the solution and consequently keeps the osmotic equilibrium in cells) is an economical carbon source for an energy supply. Furthermore, glycerol is a very compatible solute for enzymes and membranes, with almost no toxic effect even at high concentrations. Glycerol and light have been reportedly used as substrates for mixotrophic cultivation of microalgae, yielding significant positive results. For example, in a culture media supplemented with 0.1 M glycerol and 165 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹, the growth rate of *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* was 74% higher than the value obtained in autotrophic culture although not without a pronounced lag phase (Ceron Garcia et al. 2000). The comparable biomass content of the cultivated microalgae isolates at low concentrations of glucose or glycerol (5.0 gL⁻¹) as reported in this work may imply that some microalgae species can assimilate glycerol at about the same rate as glucose at low concentrations. Consequently, the optimum glycerol concentration in this work is 5.0 gL⁻¹ which is lower than the optimum concentration of glucose (10.0 gL⁻¹). However, lipid productivities obtained with the optima glucose and glycerol concentrations were different. Wood et al. (1999) reported that *Nannochloropsis* sp., *Rhodomonas reticulate*, and *Cyclotella cryptic* seem to prefer glycerol over glucose or acetate by using mixotrophic metabolism and positively responding to environmental changes such as
when nitrate is added to the medium. The use of glycerol, a major by-product of biodiesel production, as a substrate is very significant since the cost of production will be reduced.

The use of mixture of glucose and glycerol as the organic carbon sources for cultivation of microalgae under mixotrophic condition has been reported (Kong et al. 2013). In this work, the biomass and lipid productivities were higher than the values reported by Kong et al. (2013). This may probably be due to higher glucose concentration used in this work (10.0 gL$^{-1}$) as against 2.0 gL$^{-1}$ glucose used by Kong et al. (2013).

Cultivation in a medium containing basal 5.0 gL$^{-1}$ glycerol and daily addition of 0.5 g/L glucose led to reduction in cell growth rate, biomass concentration and lipid productivity when compared with the effect of pure batch culture containing 5.0 gL$^{-1}$ glycerol and 10 gL$^{-1}$ glucose. The reason for this is not known and this is perhaps the first time that such a culture system is reported. It could not have been a result of basal glycerol substrate inhibition since there was no significant difference when the basal glycerol concentrations were reduced to 2.0 gL$^{-1}$ or increased to 10 gL$^{-1}$.

Furthermore, the high growth rate and lipid productivities obtained with the optima concentrations of glucose and glycerol in both the shake flask and photobioreactor shows the feasibility of the process scale up.

**Conclusion**

*D. subspicatus* has the capacity to utilize several organic carbon sources for cell growth and lipid production. Both glucose and glycerol induced higher cell growth and lipid productivity than other organic carbon sources. Low concentration of glycerol (2.0 gL$^{-1}$) is more commercially viable for biomass production of *D. subspicatus* than higher glycerol concentrations.
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### Tables

Table 1

The effect of different concentrations of glucose and glycerol on lipid productivities by *Desmodesmus subspicatus*

| Carbon source concentrations (gL⁻¹) | Glucose    | Glycerol   |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| 2                                  | 0.051±0.001| 0.034±0.0007|
| 5                                  | 0.066±0.001| 0.086±0.001|
| 10                                 | 0.112±0.0009| 0.073±0.001|
| 20                                 | 0.095±0.002| 0.038±0.002|
| 40                                 | 0.098±0.002| 0.021±0.0009|

### Figures
Figure 1

Effect of different organic carbon sources on cell growth and lipid productivity by *D. subspicatus* in mixotrophic culture. The organic carbon concentration was 5.0 g L$^{-1}$ while the cultivation was done for eight days.

![Figure 1](image)

Figure 2

Effect of different concentrations of glucose and glycerol on cell growth (A-B), final cell concentration (c), lipid content and growth rate (D) of *D. subspicatus* under mixotrophic condition. The cultivation was done for eight days.

![Figure 2](image)
Figure 3

Synergistic effect of different concentrations of glucose and glycerol on cell growth and lipid productivity of *D. subspicatus* under mixotrophic condition. The cultivation was done for eight days.

![Graph showing cell concentration over cultivation time](image)

Figure 4

The effect of daily addition of 0.5 gL$^{-1}$ glucose to culture with different basal glycerol concentrations on cell growth and lipid production by *D. subspicatus* under mixotrophic condition.

![Graph showing effect of daily addition of glucose](image)
Figure 5

Effect of optima concentrations of glucose and glycerol on cell concentrations (A), cell growth and lipid productivity (B) of *D. subspicatus* cultivated in a 4L volume novel photobioreactor for eight days under mixotrophic condition.