A cytomegaloviral protein reveals a dual role for STAT2 in IFN-γ signaling and antiviral responses

Albert Zimmermann,¹ Mirko Trilling,² Markus Wagner,³ Manuel Wilborn,² Ivan Bubic,⁴ Stipan Jonjic,⁴ Ulrich Koszinowski,³ and Hartmut Hengel¹

¹Institut für Virologie, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany
²Division of Viral Infections, Robert Koch Institute, 13353 Berlin, Germany
³Department of Virology, Max von Pettenkofer Institute, 81377 Munich, Germany
⁴Department of Histology and Embryology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Rijeka, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia

A mouse cytomegalovirus (MCMV) gene conferring interferon (IFN) resistance was identified. This gene, M27, encodes a 79-kD protein that selectively binds and down-regulates for signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT)-2, but it has no effect on STAT1 activation and signaling. The absence of pM27 conferred MCMV susceptibility to type I IFNs (α/β), but it had a much more dramatic effect on type II IFNs (γ) in vitro and in vivo. A comparative analysis of M27⁺ and M27⁻ MCMV revealed that the antiviral efficiency of IFN-γ was partially dependent on the synergistic action of type I IFNs that required STAT2. Moreover, STAT2 was directly activated by IFN-γ. This effect required IFN receptor expression and was independent of type I IFNs. IFN-γ induced increasing levels of tyrosine-phosphorylated STAT2 in M27⁻ MCMV-infected cells that were essential for the antiviral potency of IFN-γ. pM27 represents a new strategy for simultaneous evasions from types I and II IFNs, and it documents an unknown biological significance for STAT2 in antiviral IFN-γ responses.

IFNs represent a major line of defense against viruses connecting innate and adaptive immunity. IFNs are classified into two distinct types: type I (α/β) and type II (γ). Type I IFNs are synthesized in direct response to an infection with a virus. IFN-α is encoded by a multigene family and is predominantly synthesized by leukocytes. In contrast, the product of the IFN-β gene is produced by most cell types, in particular by fibroblasts. IFN-γ is produced by activated T lymphocytes and NK cells in response to the recognition of virus-infected cells. The binding of IFN-α/β and IFN-γ to their cognate transmembrane receptors initiates distinct JAK-STAT–dependent signaling pathways (1, 2). The IFN-α/β receptor (IFNAR) is composed of two subunits, IFNAR1 and IFNAR2. Upon ligand binding, receptor-associated TYK2 and JAK1 are activated and phosphorylate STAT2, which allows for the recruitment of STAT1 (3). Phosphorylated STAT1/STAT2 heterodimers are translocated to the nucleus and associate with the DNA-binding protein p48 to form the heterotrimeric IFN-stimulated gene factor 3 (ISGF3) complex, which binds to IFN-stimulated response element (ISRE) sequences of IFN-α/β-inducible genes (1, 3). IFN-γ receptors (IFNGRs) consist of IFNGR1 and IFNGR2, which are associated with JAK1 and JAK2, respectively (4). IFNGR triggering results in the formation of paired binding sites for STAT1. STAT1 homodimers translocate to the nucleus where they bind to γ-activated sequence (GAS) elements to activate transcription of IFN-γ–inducible genes. Although functional similarities between types I and II IFNs exist, the systems are not redundant with respect to the induction of specific genes and the control of certain virus infections.

CMVs constitute prototypes of the β-herpesviruses; human CMV (HCMV) and mouse CMV (MCMV) share a similar pathobiology and have collinear genomes. Cellular immunity and IFNs play prominent roles in CMV immune control (5–7). Specifically, IFN-γ is essential for the control of MCMV replication in the salivary glands (SGs) and the effector
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function of CD8+ T cells in vivo (5, 8). CMV genes affecting host immune functions increase the available time window for replication and facilitate virus shedding (9). The failure of infected cells to respond to both types of IFNs suggested that CMVs disrupt IFN receptor (IFNAR) signaling by unknown gene functions (8, 10-12). We report on the identification and characterization of the first cytomegaloviral IFN response antagonist. To search for genes that disrupt IFN signaling, we pursued a systematic approach by constructing IFN-responsive, ISRE-reporting cell lines suitable for subsequent phenotypic screening with an MCMV TnMax16-transposon insertion library. The discovered gene, M27, encoding a 79-kD protein, proved to be an inhibitor of both IFNAR- and IFNNGR-mediated responses. The viral inhibitor down-regulated STAT2 exclusively, whereas STAT1-dependent functions remained unaffected. The dramatically increased susceptibility of ΔM27-MCMV replication to IFN-γ was dependent on IFN-β secretion, IFNAR signaling, and STAT2 activation; this demonstrated a synergistic action of type I IFNs with IFN-γ. In addition, STAT2 was found to be directly activated by IFN-γ in the absence of type I IFN responses. The findings document a direct, as well as an indirect, augmentation of IFNAR responses via STAT2 as a prerequisite for efficient antiviral gene expression in response to IFN-γ.

RESULTS
Identification of MCMV M27 as a candidate gene affecting ISRE-dependent gene expression
To monitor viral functions affecting IFN-inducible transcription throughout the CMV replication cycle, we generated a reporter cell line expressing the luciferase gene under the control of an ISRE-dependent promoter. The 3T3-ISRE-luc cells responded to IFN-α in a dose-dependent manner over a range of 3–4 log₂ steps of IFN concentration. After infection, the IFN-α-induced, ISRE-dependent luciferase activity continuously declined and was abolished 36 h p.i. (Fig. 1A). This effect required MCMV gene expression because UV-inactivated MCMV was not capable of mediating this inhibition. In the presence of the CMV polymerase inhibitor phosphonoacetic acid (PAA), we observed a substantial inhibition of the IFN-α response, which indicated that MCMV E gene expression was required to block the IFN-α response. To identify the MCMV gene products responsible for this inhibition, we used a forward genetic procedure based on a random, single Tn insertion mutagenesis of the MCMV genome cloned as a bacterial artificial chromosome BAC (13). 3T3-ISRE-luc cells were infected with a large panel of reconstituted TnMax16 containing MCMV BAC mutants and tested for an IFN-α-induced luciferase expression. Although the bulk of MCMV mutants were able to suppress the IFN-α-stimulated luciferase expression, three independent mutants failed to suppress the response. The TnMax16 insertion site in all three mutants was mapped to the M27 gene, which is dispensable for replication in vitro but plays an important role in growth and virulence in mice (14).

We constructed a targeted mutant with a complete deletion of M27 (ΔM27-MCMV) and a revertant virus (M27rev) in which the M27 open reading frame was reinserted into the ΔM27-MCMV genome. In contrast to ΔM27-MCMV, the MCMV-WT and M27rev almost completely inhibited the IFN-α-induced ISRE response at 29 h p.i. (Fig. 1B). An MCMV mutant expressing a hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged M27 protein (M27-HA) was constructed to determine the kinetics of M27 protein expression. The 79-kD M27 protein...
\( \text{M27 confers resistance of MCMV replication against type I and type II IFNs}\)

To prove that the candidate gene M27 is causally related to IFN-induced cellular functions, a multistep replication analysis was performed. Primary C57BL/6 mouse embryonal fibroblasts (MEFs) pretreated with IFN-\(\alpha\) and IFN-\(\gamma\) for 48 h before infection revealed a 5- and a 50-fold decrease, respectively, of MCMV-WT replication by 96 h p.i. (Fig. 2 A), confirming earlier results that demonstrated the moderate effect of IFNs in inhibiting the growth of MCMV in fibroblasts (11). In contrast, the replication of M27-MCMV yielded 20-fold lower titers by IFN-\(\alpha\) at 96 h p.i., confirming an enhanced antiviral effect. Most strikingly, a much more dramatic effect was observed with 500 U/ml IFN-\(\gamma\), which inhibited M27-MCMV replication almost completely by four orders of magnitude (Fig. 2 A). The reintroduction of M27 into the M27 genome (M27rev) restored the MCMV-WT phenotype against both IFNs. An increased sensitivity of M27-MCMV versus M27rev was observed at concentrations as low as 3 U/ml IFN-\(\gamma\) (Fig. 2 B), confirming different dose responses for both viruses. In summary, the data proved that M27 acts antagonistic to both IFN-\(\alpha\) and IFN-\(\gamma\).

\( \text{pm27 down-regulates STAT2}\)

To gain insight into the molecular mechanism of the pM27-dependent inhibition, we analyzed the overall levels of STAT molecules in cells infected with MCMV-WT, M27-MCMV, and M27HA STAT2 was strongly down-regulated at 24 h p.i. and reached a maximal abundance at 24 h p.i. pm27 was not recovered under conditions of selective IE gene expression (Fig. 1 C). The viral polymerase inhibitor PAA decreased pM27 synthesis, indicating a typical early–late pattern of MCMV gene expression compatible with the time course of the MCMV-induced inhibition of ISRE-dependent transcription.

\( \text{Figure 2. Inhibition of M27-MCMV replication by IFN-}\alpha\text{ and IFN-}\gamma\text{. (A) Primary C57BL/6-MEFs were infected with MCMV-WT, M27-MCMV, and M27rev (0.01 PFU/cell each). Titors of infectious virus were determined by a standard plaque assay. Growth curves were obtained after 48 h of preincubation of cells without IFN, 500 U/ml IFN-\(\alpha\), or 500 U/ml IFN-\(\gamma\). (B) C57BL/6-MEFs were treated with graded concentrations of IFN-\(\gamma\) and infected as in A with MCMV-WT and M27-MCMV (0.01 PFU/cell each). Viral titers obtained at 96 h p.i. were shown in relation to doses of IFN-\(\gamma\).}\)

\( \text{Figure 3. pm27 selectively affects STAT2. (A) pm27 down-regulates STAT2. C57BL/6-MEFs were either mock infected or infected with MCMV-WT, M27-MCMV, or M27HA (10 PFU/cell each) for 24 h. Equivalent amounts of cell lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE and analyzed by Western blot for STAT2, STAT1, IRF9/p48, IRF1, MCMV IE1/pp89, and \(\beta\)-actin. (B) NIH 3T3 were either mock infected, infected with VV-WT as a control, or infected with rVVM27FL (5 PFU/cell each). Cell lysates were prepared 16 h p.i. and analyzed by Western blot for STAT2, STAT1, pm27, and \(\beta\)-actin. (C) pm27 forms a complex with STAT2. STAT2-\(\gamma\) fibroblasts were infected with rV expressing M27FL or STAT2-\(\gamma\)-HA, or coinfected with both viruses (3 PFU/cell each). Cell lysates were prepared 8 h.p.i. using an EMSA buffer, split into two aliquots, and subjected to immunoprecipitation using anti-HA and anti-FLAG antibodies, respectively. Immunoprecipitates were analyzed by Western blot for STAT2, pm27, and \(\beta\)-actin.}\)
STAT1 was marginally down-regulated by all viruses 24 h p.i., whereas IFN response factor 9 (IRF9)/p48 was not affected (Fig. 3 A). Moreover, protein levels of IRF1, a factor critically involved in IFN-γ–induced MHC II expression and able to activate ISREs (15), were not affected by M27 expression in MCMV-infected cells (Fig. 3 A). The STAT2-directed effect of pM27 was corroborated by the finding that the IFN-α–induced nuclear accumulation of STAT2 was intact in ∆M27-MCMV–infected cells but impaired by M27-HA-MCMV (unpublished data).

To investigate whether the selective expression of pM27 is sufficient to mediate the down-regulation of STAT2, we expressed the M27-FLAG sequence by a recombinant vaccinia virus (rVV), rVV-M27FL. STAT2 was found to be drastically reduced by rVV-M27FL but not by control VV-WT. No effect was observed with regard to STAT1 (Fig. 3 B). To test whether there is a physical interaction between pM27 and STAT2, the proteins were expressed by an rVV, either alone or together, and immunoprecipitated. A Western blot analysis of immunoprecipitates detected pM27 in anti-STAT2–precipitated material and vice versa after coexpression of both proteins (Fig. 3 C). STAT1 was not found in the pM27–STAT2 complex (unpublished data). The loss of STAT2 occurred also in STAT1−/− fibroblasts (reference 16; unpublished data) and thus affects STAT2 monomers, indicating that the molecular mechanism used by pM27 is thoroughly different from the parainfluenza virus V protein directed effect of pM27 was corroborated by the finding that the proviral effect of pM27 is strictly dependent on STAT2.

The proviral effect of pM27 is strictly dependent on STAT2

According to current concepts, STAT1, but not STAT2, is required for IFNGR signaling (18, 19), although the levels of STAT1 expression are decreased in STAT2-deficient fibroblasts (20). To test whether pM27 has any effect on STAT1 that does not involve the degradation of STAT1, we analyzed the IFN-γ–induced DNA-binding activity of STAT1 by electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) in WT- and STAT2-deficient fibroblasts using a GAS–specific probe (21). As demonstrated in Fig. 4 A, STAT1 activation was intact in MCMV-WT and ∆M27-MCMV–infected MEFs, excluding the effects of pM27 on STAT1 phosphorylation, dimerization, and DNA binding. This held true in cells lacking STAT2, ruling out the possibility that STAT2 effected STAT1 function (Fig. 4 A). Moreover, the induction of IRF1 gene expression mediated by STAT1 dimers (γ-activated factor) was identical in MCMV-WT– and ∆M27-MCMV–infected cells (unpublished data). However, this result still leaves open the possibility that pM27 causes resistance to IFN-γ by acting on a target other than STAT2. To test whether pM27 is able to block IFNGR-dependent responses in the absence of STAT2, we studied MCMV-WT and ∆M27-MCMV replication in STAT2−/− fibroblasts (20). As shown in Fig. 4 B, the absence of STAT2 completely abolished the difference between MCMV-WT and ∆M27-MCMV, proving that STAT2 is a prerequisite to demonstrate any effect of pM27 on IFNGR signaling. This confirmed STAT2 as the only relevant target of pM27 for IFNGR signaling. Moreover, the reintroduction of STAT2 in STAT2−/− fibroblasts increased the sensitivity of ∆M27-MCMV but not MCMV-WT to IFN-α and, importantly, IFN-γ (unpublished data); however, the antiviral capacity of fibroblasts expressing endogenous STAT2 was clearly superior, confirming previous findings by Park et al. (20).

The antiviral efficiency of IFN-γ is contingent on type I IFN

IFNAR components and IFN-β production were suggested to enhance IFN-γ signaling under certain conditions through the association of the two non–ligand-binding receptor chains, IFNAR1 and IFNGR2 (22). To address the possibility that pM27 disrupts the cross talk between IFNRs by depleting STAT2, we determined the antiviral efficiency of IFN-γ in IFN-β–deficient primary MEF (Fig. 5 A). The antiviral efficiency of IFN-γ was strongly diminished (Fig. 5 A), but readily restored by the addition of exogenous IFN-α or IFN-β in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 5 B). These data
indicate that a substantial part of the antiviral efficiency of IFN-\(\beta\)/H9253 depends on a synergistic action with type I IFNs (i.e., on IFN-\(\alpha\)/H9252 secretion and IFNAR1 signaling). However, the synergism of type I and type II IFNs could not explain the complete proviral effect of pM27. Importantly, in the absence of type I IFNs, the replication of M27-MCMV was still more sensitive to IFN-\(\beta\)/H9253 compared with MCMV-WT, reaching 10-fold lower levels of progeny virus (Fig. 5 B). The fact that IFN-\(\beta\)/H9253 exerted an antiviral effect on M27-MCMV replication in the absence of type I IFN function led us to conclude that STAT2 must also act as a direct mediator of IFN-\(\beta\)/H9253 responses.

STAT2 activation in response to IFN-\(\gamma\)

To assess the capacity of IFN-\(\gamma\) to activate STAT2 in the absence of IFNAR signaling, we analyzed protein phosphorylation on tyrosine after the treatment of IFNAR-deficient MEFs for various times with IFNs (Fig. 6 A). As expected, IFN-\(\alpha\) and IFN-\(\beta\) failed to activate STAT2 in IFNAR-deficient cells (Fig. 6 B and not depicted). In infected cells, STAT1 levels were slightly lower than in uninfected cells, and IFN-\(\gamma\)-dependent phosphorylation of STAT1 occurred in both M27-MCMV- and in MCMV-WT-infected cells (Fig. 6 A). Notably, IFN-\(\gamma\)-induced tyrosine phosphorylation of STAT2 in IFNAR1-deficient cells was detectable within 5 min of incubation and increased to higher levels within 60 min of incubation (Fig. 6 A). The same result was obtained in ΔM27-MCMV–infected cells, whereas phosphorylated STAT2 was not detectable in MCMV-WT–infected cells (Fig. 6 A). The induction of STAT2 by IFN-\(\gamma\) did not occur in IFNGR1-deficient cells (Fig. 6 B), proving that STAT2 activation by IFN-\(\gamma\) is indeed coupled to IFNGR signaling. To test whether IFN-\(\gamma\) induces STAT2 phosphorylation in further cells, we analyzed a broad range

Figure 5. MCMV replication in IFN-\(\beta\)-deficient cells. (A) Primary IFN-\(\beta\)-deficient MEFs were infected with MCMV-WT and ΔM27-MCMV (0.01 PFU/cell each). Titers of the infectious virus were determined by a standard plaque assay. Growth curves were obtained after 48-h preincubation of cells without IFN, or with 500 U/ml IFN-\(\alpha\), 500 U/ml IFN-\(\gamma\), or a combination of 100 U/ml IFN-\(\alpha\) and 100 U/ml IFN-\(\gamma\). (B) Primary IFN-\(\beta\)-deficient MEFs were treated with 500 U/ml IFN-\(\gamma\) in combination with graded concentrations of IFN-\(\alpha\) and infected with MCMV-WT and ΔM27-MCMV (0.01 PFU/cell each). Viral titers obtained at 96 h p.i. were shown in relation to doses of IFN-\(\alpha\). The titers in the presence of IFN-\(\gamma\) and in the complete absence of type I IFN are highlighted by arrows.

Figure 6. Phosphorylation of STAT2 in response to IFN-\(\gamma\). (A) Primary IFNAR1-deficient MEFs were either mock infected or infected with MCMV-WT or ΔM27-MCMV (10 PFU/cell each) for 24 h before being exposed to 500 U/ml IFN-\(\gamma\) for the indicated time. Equivalent amounts of cell lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE and analyzed by Western blot for p-Tyr689 STAT2, and reprobed for STAT2, p-Tyr701 STAT1, STAT1, and \(\beta\)-actin. (B) Primary IFNAR1- and IFNGR-deficient MEFs were either left untreated or treated with IFN-\(\alpha\) (100 U/ml and 10 U/ml, respectively) or 500 U/ml IFN-\(\gamma\) for 20 min. Equivalent amounts of cell lysates were analyzed by Western blot for p-Tyr689 STAT2 and reprobed for STAT2, p-Tyr701 STAT1, STAT1, and \(\beta\)-actin. (C) NIH 3T3 fibroblasts and J774 macrophages were either left untreated or treated with IFN-\(\alpha\) (100 U/ml and 10 U/ml, respectively) or 500 U/ml IFN-\(\gamma\) for 20 min. Equivalent amounts of cell lysates were analyzed by Western blot for p-Tyr689 STAT2 and reprobed for STAT2 and \(\beta\)-actin. (D) NIH 3T3 fibroblasts and IFNAR-deficient MEFs were not exposed or exposed to 500 U/ml IFN-\(\gamma\) or 50 U/ml IFN-\(\alpha\) for 20 min. Equal protein amounts from 1:1 mixtures of nuclear and cytoplasmic cell extracts were evaluated by EMSA with an ISRE probe (reference 47). Supershifting was performed by the addition of a STAT2-specific antibody before incubation with the probe. The mobility of ISGF3 is indicated in the right margin.
of primary cells and cell lines. Although at clearly lower levels when compared with IFN-α, IFN-γ exposure of NIH 3T3 fibroblasts and J774 macrophages resulted in a rapid phosphorylation of STAT2 (Fig. 6 C), corroborating earlier experiments (23). The same type of result was also observed in BALB/c splenocytes, primary C57BL/6 MEFs, mouse SVEC endothelial cells, and human MRC5 fibroblasts (unpublished data). To determine whether the IFN-γ-mediated activation of STAT2 was sufficient to induce the formation of ISGF3 complexes and DNA binding, EMSA assays using an ISRE-specific probe were performed. As demonstrated in Fig. 6 D, the binding of ISGF3 to ISRE was observed in response to IFN-γ in NIH 3T3– and IFNAR1-deficient cells, confirming published data (24). The same result was seen in ΔM27–MCMV– but not MCMV-WT–infected cells (unpublished data), proving that IFN-γ induced STAT2 results in ISGF3–mediated signaling.

Considering the relatively low levels of STAT2 activation reached within 60 min of IFN-γ exposure versus the substantial inhibition achieved during two rounds of ΔM27–MCMV replication (Fig. 5), one could surmise that higher levels of phosphorylated STAT2 were generated during infection. To test this possibility, IFNAR-deficient cells were analyzed on extended IFN-γ treatment (Fig. 7 A). Unlike mock-infected cells, nucleoplasmic levels of phosphorylated STAT2 became strongly increased in ΔM27–MCMV–infected, IFNAR-deficient cells. Consequently, the formation and DNA binding of ISGF3 also accumulated over time in ΔM27–MCMV–infected, IFN-γ–exposed, IFNAR1-deficient cells (unpublished data). To assess the antiviral impact of STAT2 activation in the type I versus type II IFN–mediated inhibition of MCMV replication, we compared the yield of ΔM27–MCMV and MCMV-WT replication in MEFs deficient in STAT2, IFN-β, IFNGR, and IFNAR expressions in the presence of either 500 U/ml IFN-α or 500 U/ml IFN-γ at 96 h p.i. As demonstrated previously (Fig. 4 B), no difference in virus growth was observed for ΔM27–MCMV and MCMV-WT when replicating in IFN-α– and IFN-γ–treated, STAT2-deficient MEFs (Fig. 7 B). In agreement with the data presented in Fig. 5, ΔM27–MCMV replication was ~13-fold lower in IFN-β–deficient cells treated with either exogenous IFN-α or IFN-γ when compared with MCMV-WT, demonstrating that STAT2 is implicated in both the type I and type II IFN pathways.

IFN-α treatment of IFNAR-deficient cells did not influence ΔM27–MCMV growth when related to MCMV-WT replication, whereas IFN-γ blocked ΔM27–MCMV ~20-fold better than MCMV-WT (Fig. 7 B). The enhanced inhibition of ΔM27–MCMV by IFN-γ was abolished in IFNGR-deficient cells, but evident when using IFN-α (Fig. 7 B). Considering that pM27 exclusively affects STAT2, these data demonstrate that both types of IFNs require STAT2 to block MCMV replication. In summary, the findings establish a STAT2- and ISGF3-dependent pathway of IFN-γ signaling for antiviral responses.

**M27 is essential for MCMV replication in vivo, and replication of ΔM27–MCMV is restored in IFNAR- and IFNGR–deficient mice**

To evaluate the significance of M27 in vivo, ΔM27–MCMV and M27rev virus titers were determined in the major target organs of C57BL/6 (Fig. 8 A) and 129/Sv (unpublished data) mice. M27rev replicated in all tissues, reaching peak titers in the liver at 7–14 d and in the SGs at day 14, before MCMV growth was cleared in all organs except the SGs. In contrast, ΔM27–MCMV did not replicate in the liver and did not spread to the SGs. Thus, the deletion of M27 resulted in a dramatic replication deficiency of MCMV in vivo, confirming published data (14). To dissect the relative impact of STAT2 activation by IFN-α/β and IFN-γ in vivo, we studied the absence of the M27 expression in MCMV-infected IFNGR– and IFNAR-deficient mice. If the attenuated phenotype was because of a STAT2-dependent effect on IFNAR as well as IFNGR signaling, it is reasonable to assume that ΔM27–MCMV should replicate to higher titers in mice lacking IFNGR (25) and IFNAR, at least during the first days of infection before the onset of adaptive immunity. Replication of ΔM27–MCMV in IFNGR1-deficient mice reached titers in the liver comparable to those seen with M27rev at day 3 p.i. in C57BL/6 mice. Thereafter, ΔM27–MCMV was rapidly cleared in...
of organ). (D) The dotted line shows the detection limit of organ titration (the median of total SG titers or 1 g of liver from five infected animals. The and SGs were determined by a standard plaque assay. Each value represents survival over time.

In a further set of experiments, higher virus doses were administered intravenously. Under these conditions, M27rev caused a lethal infection in 90% of IFNAR1-deficient mice and ~50% of IFNGR1-deficient mice (Fig. 8 D), respec-

**DISCUSSION**

Based on a systematic approach of forward mutagenesis using the random transposon insertion into a CMV–BACmid and the screening of mutants with an IFN-sensitive reporter gene, this study identifies the long sought after CMV antagon-ist of IFNR signaling. The Tn insertion found in three independent mutants guided the search to a single gene, M27, as a causal principle. This gene is conserved between all β-herpesvirinae. Remarkably, we discovered that the M27-encoded, 79-kD protein blocked both IFN-α/β and IFN-γ responses via STAT2 and, thus, has a huge impact on viral fitness in vivo. The selective removal of the STAT2 protein by pm27 is executed via the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway of protein degradation (unpublished data). In this study, we took advantage of a panel of targeted, single gene KO host cells and used pm27 as a selective tool to allow the dissection of STAT2-dependent antiviral effects. This combined approach provided the unique opportunity to assess the relative impact of IFNs and their intracellular signal transduction pathways with regard to their antiviral potency.

**pm27 substrate specificity**

According to the current understanding, STAT1, but not STAT2, has a central role in both IFNAR and IFNGR signaling (2, 4, 18, 19, 26). The inhibition of STAT1, which represents a common component between the IFN-α/β and the IFN-γ signaling pathways, is an obvious strategy for a virus that causes infected cells to resist the action of IFNs regardless of whether the IFNs were produced by infected cells or activated immune cells. Indeed, several viruses, including members of Paramyxoviridae, follow this principle (26). Therefore, the specificity of pm27 for its cellular target, STAT2, deserves comment. Considering the relative resistance of MCMV replication against IFN-γ and IFN-α conferred by pm27, our findings come as a surprise. Most indicators reveal that pm27 has an exquisite specificity for monomeric STAT2, but not for STAT1, supported by evidence such as: (a) both mouse and human STAT2, but not STAT1, were down-regulated; no effect was seen with regard to STAT3 and STAT4 (unpublished data), which have also been implicated in IFNR signaling (for review see reference 19); (b) pm27 forms a physical complex with STAT2 but not STAT1; (c) the nuclear accumulation of STAT2, but not STAT1, was affected (unpublished data); (d) the IFN-γ–induced DNA-binding activity of STAT1 was intact in MCMV-infected fibroblasts; and (e) most important, ΔM27-MCMV replicates as efficiently as MCMV-WT in STAT2-deficient fibroblasts, indicating that the proviral activity of pm27 depends exclu-
sively on STAT2 expression. A moderate decrease of STAT1 protein levels in MCMV-infected cells occurred independently of M27, pointing to an additional viral function undermining IFN responsiveness.

**STAT2 activation by IFN-γ**

STAT2 has a well-established role as an essential mediator of signal transduction through the type I IFNR, because of its incorporation into ISGF3 complexes (19, 20, 26). Our findings provide several lines of evidence indicating that STAT2 plays an additional role after triggering the IFNGR. First, STAT2 is activated by IFN-γ in a broad range of cell types, as indicated by tyrosine phosphorylation. Second, STAT2 activation is abolished in IFNGR-deficient cells. Third, IFN-γ induces ISGF3 complex formation and DNA binding. Fourth, IFN-γ exerted a pM27-dependent antiviral activity when acting in the complete absence of type I IFNs (i.e., in IFNAR1-deficient and IFN-β-deficient cells). Fifth, cellular genes known to be activated by IFN-γ (e.g., the immunoproteasome subunits LMP2, LMP7, and MECL1) are inducible in M27-MCMV–infected, but blocked in MCMV-WT–infected, cells (27). And sixth, MCMV replication and the outcome of infection in IFNAR1-deficient mice (with intact IFNGR expression) were dependent on pM27, suggesting that STAT2 plays a decisive antiviral role for IFNGR-mediated responses in vivo. Notably, our findings are not completely unprecedented. A previous study reported an impaired inhibition of vesicular stomatitis virus replication by IFN-γ in STAT2-deficient cells (20). This result was interpreted to be caused by an impaired autocrine loop in which IFN-γ promotes its antiviral activity by the induction of type I IFNs (20). Based on our finding that STAT2 activation in response to IFN-γ occurs within minutes, we can exclude this explanation. The fact that IFN-γ induces STAT2 phosphorylation in many types of cells (Fig. 7 B), raises the possibility that this signaling pathway is of broad significance for various tissues and is critical for the control of a variety of viral pathogens. Clearly, further components of this IFNGR-coupled signaling pathway remain to be elucidated (e.g., the IFNGR-associated tyrosine kinase[s], which recognize STAT2 as a substrate). One candidate kinase is represented by JAK1, which is associated with IFNGR and was demonstrated to be able to mediate tyrosine phosphorylation of STAT2 when expressed in insect cells (28).

It is self-evident that the different degrees of STAT2 activation by type I versus type II IFN cannot simply be translated into its relative antiviral effectiveness. IFN-γ generates relatively low levels of activated STAT2 in normal cells, which explains why this pathway escaped attention in the past. Guided by a clear pM27- and STAT2-dependent phenotype of MCMV replication, we discovered high levels of phosphorylated STAT2 in M27-MCMV–infected cells within hours of IFN-γ exposure without any involvement of IFNAR signaling (Fig. 7 A). At first glance, the activation of STAT2 by IFN-γ, resulting in ISGF3 formation, might appear simply redundant with the stronger induction of this transcription complex by type I IFNs. Instead, the intrinsic capacity of IFNGR to induce not only STAT1 dimers, but also STAT2 phosphorylation and thus ISGF3 autonomously, is of great biological advantage. A large number of viruses, including HCMV (29) and many RNA viruses, are able to repress the transcriptional activation of type I IFN genes, and poxviruses prevent the binding of secreted type I IFNs to IFNAR (26). Such countermeasures foil the attempt to induce ISGF3; i.e., the autocrine loop of type I IFNs enhancing IFN-γ. In such a scenario, ISGF3 activation by IFN-γ, even at lower levels, may provide a substantial qualitative, as well as a quantitative, augmentation of IFNAR/GAS-driven transcriptional responses and represent a decisive adaptive strategy of the antiviral defense. As demonstrated in MCMV-infected, IFNAR–deficient mice, this antiviral mechanism can protect from lethal disease (Fig. 8 D). On the other hand, ISGF3 becomes an attractive target for viral interference because it is of utmost importance for IFNAR, as well as IFNGR signaling. Remarkably, HCMV shares this strategy with MCMV by down-regulating the third component of ISGF3, IRF9/p48 (30).

The proviral effect of pM27 on MCMV replication was particularly prominent in cells pretreated with high doses of IFNs; i.e., after the complete activation of the IFN-mediated pathways and the establishment an antiviral state. From this observation, we must conclude that the maintenance of the antiviral state critically requires an intact and permanent function of STAT2. This implies that the antiviral state, by itself, is not a long-lasting biological condition but is readily reversed when IFNAR signaling is disrupted.

**pM27 disruption of type I and type II IFN synergy**

Another prominent finding of our study is the fact that IFN-γ relies in part on type I IFNs to achieve a potent antiviral response. This effect requires only minute amounts of type I IFNs (Fig. 5) and may explain the antiviral synergism that has been observed with other herpesviruses like HSV (31). The required levels of IFN-α/β are easily achieved either by virus induction and/or by IFN-γ itself, which induces type I IFNs (32). In fact, the antiviral activity of IFN-γ in cells with the capacity to establish the autocrine type I IFN loop may generally include the synergistic effect of type I IFNs. Only the complete withdrawal of any IFN-α/β secretion or the prevention of signaling (e.g., in IFNAR–deficient cells) discloses the relatively weak antiviral response exerted by IFN-γ alone. Therefore, although the disruption of STAT2 by pM27 only demonstrates a moderate effect with regard to the antiviral potency of type I IFNs, it has much more dramatic consequences for the antiviral efficiency of IFN-γ. The augmentation of type II by type I IFNs is unidirectional, as the IFN-α–mediated inhibition in IFNGR1-deficient cells is not affected (22, 27).

According to classical concepts, IFNAR and IFNGR have been considered as separate receptor complexes, with
each activating a specific set of STAT proteins (2, 4, 18, 19). Several explanations for the IFN synergy observed here are possible. The cross communication of both IFNs could operate as molecular cross talk between non–ligand-binding IFNR components (i.e., IFNAR1 and IFNγR2), which were proposed to directly interact in caveolar membranes and thus couple both receptor pathways (22). There is evidence that STAT2 as well as STAT1 are indeed physically associated with IFNAR1 and IFNγR2 after IFN-γ treatment (22), providing a possible pM27 checkpoint to interfere with. In addition, the synergy between both pathways may be achieved at a transcriptional level by potentiating the transcriptional activation of antiviral genes because of a concerted action of γ-activated factor and ISGF3.

By using pM27 to attack a single molecular target, STAT2, MCMV performs the dual function of withstanding type I and type II IFNs. By depleting STAT2, pM27 gains control over IFN signaling by (a) disrupting IFNAR signal transduction; (b) preventing the synergy of type I IFNs with IFN-γ, which is of primary importance for MCMV replication; and (c) blocking the downstream signals induced by a direct activation of STAT2 by IFN-γ. In addition, pM27 may even affect GAS-dependent responses by reducing the expression level of STAT1, which is controlled by STAT2 (20).

Consequences of M27 expression for MCMV immunity

Besides its direct activity in promoting virus replication in the presence of IFNs, pM27 is also likely to have immunomodulatory proviral effects. IFN-γ stimulates a multitude of genes implicated in antigen presentation, cell adhesion, chemotaxis, and inflammation (33). In fact, the antiviral effector function of both CD8+ and CD4+ T cells depends critically on IFN-γ (5, 8, 34). Replicating under the permanent and selective pressure of IFNs, CMVs have developed gene functions that counteract IFN-induced gene expression. CMV-infected cells were shown to resist IFN-γ-induced MHC class II expression, transcriptional activation, and antigen presentation to CD8+ T cells (8, 10, 12, 35–37). Therefore, it is conceivable that M27-MCMV–infected cells differ from M27 expressing cells with regard to antigen presentation. In fact, the expression of IFN-γ–inducible proteasome subunits, which is prevented in MCMV-WT infected cells, is at least partially restored in the absence of M27 (27). Such differences may explain our observation that the initial restoration of M27-MCMV replication in IFNγ-deficient mice is rapidly cleared at later time points of infection when T cell responses are mounted. Conversely, M27-expressing MCMV achieves a sustained phase of replication, demonstrating that STAT2 constitutes an important target whose selection is required to gain a window of opportunity for viral replication and spread in an IFN-producing environment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

MCMV infection of mice and plaque assay for the detection of MCMV in tissues. C57BL/6 and IFNγR−/− (provided by S.H.E. Kaufmann, Max-Planck-Institute for Infection Biology, Berlin, Germany) backcrossed with C57BL/6 mice and IFNγR1−/− mice (25) were bred in the Central Animal Facility of Rijeka University, in accordance with the guidelines contained in the International Guiding Principles for Biomedical Research Involving Animals. The Ethical Committee at the University of Rijeka approved all animal experiments. 6–8-wk-old mice were infected i.p. with 2 × 105 PFU of tissue culture–derived ΔM27-MCMV or M27rev diluted in a volume of 500 μl. Mice were killed at day 3, 7, 14, or 21 p.i., and the organs were frozen immediately at −70°C. The titer of infectious virus was determined by triturating organ homogenates of liver and SGs using a standard plaque assay (6). Cells. Primary MEFs of C57BL/6, IFNAR−/−, IFNγR−/−, or IFN-β−/− (provided by T. Leanderson, Lund University, Lund, Sweden; reference 38) were prepared as previously described (39). MEFs were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, penicillin, and streptomycin, and 2 mM glutamine, and they were used for subsequent experiments within three passages. Murine STAT1−/− cells (provided by D. Levy, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY; reference 16), SVEC (CRL-2181), J774 macrophages (TIB-67), human MRC-5 fibroblasts (CCL-171; all three from American Type Culture Collection), and CV1 cells were grown in DMEM with 10% FCS. For the culture of NIH 3T3 (CRL1658; American Type Culture Collection), 3T3-IRF-1 luc cells (provided by E. Hellebrand, Max von Pettenkofer-Institut, Munich, Germany) and STAT2−/− fibroblasts (provided by C. Snidler, Columbia University, New York, NY; reference 20), FCS was replaced with 5% normal calf serum.

Viruses, IFN conditions, and virus replication analysis. The MCMV-WT viruses used were either MCMV strain Smith or the BAC-derived WT recombinant MW97.01 with WT properties in vitro and in vivo (40). Viable MCMV random Tn insertion mutants were retrieved from a library of TnHex1.6 containing MCMV BACs after the transfection of NIH 3T3 cells (13). The Tn insertion site was determined by DNA sequencing as described previously (41). Virus stocks were prepared and virus titers were measured by a standard plaque assay on MEFs. MCMV infection was enhanced by centrifugation at 800 g for 30 min. The selective expression of MCMV immediate early gene products was achieved as described previously (8). Late phase gene expression was prevented by 250 μg/ml phosphonoacetic acid. For the replication analysis, MEFs in passage 2 were infected with 0.01 PFU/cell MCMV and frozen at −70°C at the time points indicated in Figs. 2 A, 4 B, and 5 A. Susceptibility to IFNs was assayed by virus growth in the presence of recombinant mouse IFN-α or IFN-γ (PBL Biomedical Laboratories) after preincubation with IFNs for 48 h before infection. In some experiments, type I IFN neutralizing antibodies (PBL Biomedical Laboratories) were used. The infection of subconfluent 3T3 or STAT1−/− cells with VV was performed overnight with 5 PFU/cell. Virus titers were measured by plaque assay on CV1 cells.

BAC-based mutagenesis and construction of recombinant MCMV viruses. Recombinant MCMV were generated according to a previously published procedure (42) using the BAC plasmid pSM36 (40). For the construction of the ΔM27-MCMV mutant, a PCR fragment was generated from the contiguous primers 5′-M27 (5′-ATATTCCTCTTGTTTCGCTGGGTGACGTGTTACGTA-3′) and 3′-DELM27 (5′-GAGAGAACACCAGGCTCAGTATTATGGT-3′). The 3′-terminal addition of the HA-tag sequence to the M27 ORF, a PCR fragment was generated using the same template and the primers 5′-M27 and 3′-M27-HA (5′-GACTTACCTGGCTGTTAAGTACGATG-3′) (43) as template DNA. For the 5′-terminal addition of the HA-tag sequence to the M27 ORF, a PCR fragment was generated using the same template and the primers 5′-M27 and 3′-M27-HA (5′-GACTTACCTGGCTGTTAAGTACGATG-3′) (43) as template DNA. For the 5′-terminal addition of the HA-tag sequence to the M27 ORF, a PCR fragment was generated using the same template and the primers 5′-M27 and 3′-M27-HA (5′-GACTTACCTGGCTGTTAAGTACGATG-3′) (43) as template DNA. For the 5′-terminal addition of the HA-tag sequence to the M27 ORF, a PCR fragment was generated using the same template and the primers 5′-M27 and 3′-M27-HA (5′-GACTTACCTGGCTGTTAAGTACGATG-3′) (43) as template DNA. For the 5′-terminal addition of the HA-tag sequence to the M27 ORF, a PCR fragment was generated using the same template and the primers 5′-M27 and 3′-M27-HA (5′-GACTTACCTGGCTGTTAAGTACGATG-3′) (43) as template DNA.
The Kn′ was excised from both BACs by FLFl-mediated recombination (42), generating the MCMV BACs pSM3fr-M27/HA and pSM3fr-M27/ΔM27. The M27rev virus was constructed from a 5.2-kb KpnI fragment (nt 30293–35480) containing the M27 gene and flanking homologues sub-cloned into the shuttle vector pST76KSR (provided by M. Messerle, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany). The M27 gene from plasmid pST76KSR-M27 was inserted into pSM3fr-M27/ΔM27 by two-step homologous recombination (44), resulting in the BAC pSM3fr-M27rev. The correct mutagenesis of all recombinant MCMV BACs was confirmed by a restriction analysis and the sequencing of the M27 genome region. The recombinant viruses ΔM27/MCMV, M27HA, and M27rev were reconstituted by the transfection of MEF using Superfect reagent (Qiagen). Residual BAC sequences were removed by sequenced cellular culture passages as previously described (40).

Generation of VV recombinants (rVV). The M27 ORF was amplified from MCMV using the primers az-M27-1 (5′-CTCTTCTAGATCT-AGGATCTGGCAGGGAGG-3′) and az-M27-F2 (5′-CACACAGATTCTGC-AGTCACTTGCTGTCGTCGTCCTTGTAGTC-3′) and az-M27-F2 contains an EcoRI site (in italics), and az-M27-F2 contains an EcoRI site (in italics) and the coding sequence for the FLAG epitope (underlined). The PCR fragment was cloned into the vaccinia expression vector p7.5k, resulting in p7.5K131-M27/ΔM27, which was used to generate rVM27FL after homologous recombination into the thymidine kinase locus of vaccinia strain Copenhagen as described previously (45). For the generation of rVVSTAT2HA, the STAT2 gene was sub-cloned from pRC-STAT2HA (a gift from C. Schindler) into p7.5K131. The HA tag was added using the primers az-STAT2-1 (5′-TTCTCTTATCCCCGAATCCCTC-3′) and az-STAT2-HA (5′-CGGGTATCCCTCAGCGGAATTCCGGAAGACACTTGATTGTACGGCTCTTACAAAGG-3′) containing the HA coding sequence (underlined). The rVVs were isolated by selection with β-DU using tk−143 cells.

Reporter gene assays. 3T3-ISRE-luc cells were selected after cotransfection with pcDNA1-neo (Invitrogen) and pISRE (Strategene) containing five copies of an ISRE consensus sequence upstream of the firefly luciferase gene, and then cultured in the presence of 100 μg/ml G418. For reporter gene assays, either uninfected cells or MCMV-infected cells (10 PFU/cell) were induced with recombinant mouse IFN-α for 5 h and harvested in lysis buffer. Luciferase activity was then measured according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Roche) using a microlight luminometer (model LB 96 V; Berthold).

EMSA, immunoblot analysis, and immunoprecipitation. Extracts were performed according to established protocols (46). Cells were infected (10 PFU/cell) and washed before being lysed in cytoplasmic extraction buffer (10 mM KCl, 20 mM Hepes, 0.2% NP-40, 0.1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 0.1 mM vanadate, 0.1 mM PMSF, 1 mM dithiothreitol, and complete protease inhibitors, pH 7.4; Roche). The extracts were spun at 16,000 g for 16 s at 4°C. The supernatants were collected, spun for 10 min, and used as cytosolic extracts for EMSA and immunoblot. The pellets were washed in PBS and suspended in nuclear extraction buffer (420 mM KCl, 20 mM Hepes, 0.1 mM vanadate, 20% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM PMSF, 1 mM dithiothreitol, and complete protease inhibitors, pH 7.6). After incubation on ice for 30 min, the extracts were spun at 16,000 g for 20 min at 4°C, and the supernatants were used as nuclear extracts. A 1:1 mix of nuclear and cytosolic lysate was incubated with 1 ng of 32P-labeled M67 GAS (21) or ISG15 ISRE probe (47) for 5 min at room temperature. The binding activity was visualized by a phosphoimaging system (model Storm 820; Molecular Dynamics). The supershift was performed with rabbit anti-serum recognizing STAT1 (E23; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) or murine STAT2 (20).

For immunoblotting, equal amounts of cell lysate were suspended in a Laemmli sample buffer. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose filters. Immunoblot analyses were performed with anti-HA–specific rat mAb 3F10 (Roche), anti-FLAG–specific mouse mAb M2 (Sigma–Aldrich), monoclonal mouse anti–β-actin (Sigma–Aldrich), mouse mAb raised against MCMV pp89 (CROMA 101), rabbit antisera specific for STAT1 (E23) or murine STAT2 (provided by C. Schindler; reference 20), antisera against IRP9/IRP8 (C20; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), IRF1 (M20; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), and mAb recognizing p-Tyr163 STAT1 (A2; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and p-Tyr689 STAT2 (Tyros 689; Upstate Biotechnology). Proteins were visualized using the ECL-Plus chemiluminescence system (GE Healthcare). Immunoprecipitation was performed as previously described (48) using anti-HA or anti-FLAG antibodies after cell lysis using EMSA buffer.
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