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Abstract—This paper introduces an original study of low-pass (LP) negative group delay (NGD) circuit. The family of the proposed passive network cross-topology was rarely investigated in the literature. It acts as a tri-port passive circuit presenting a cross-shaped topology. The present study of tri-port passive circuit is originally based on S-matrix modelling. The identification method of LP-NGD function type is established. The considered passive tri-port topology is innovatively constituted by a resistorless LC-passive network. Thanks to the impedance 3-D matrix modelling, the cross-circuit S-parameters are analytically expressed. Then, the NGD analysis at very low-frequencies is presented. The LP-NGD behavior existence condition of the cross-circuit in function of the L and C components is established. The relevance of the tri-port NGD circuit theory is verified by a proof-of-concept of resistorless cross-circuit. Analytical modelling, simulation, and experimentation confirmed the LP-NGD design feasibility with NGD value of about −2 ns and 6.67 MHz cut-off frequency.

1. INTRODUCTION

The first experimentations of the unfamiliar negative group delay (NGD) effect to non-specialist electronic engineer were realized in 1980s [1, 2]. The NGD existence demonstration in optical wavelength was developed by means of negative group velocity (NGV) dispersive media [3, 4]. Artificial metamaterial structures with possibility to operate with negative refractive group index which implies also the NGD effect were designed.

Despite the remarkable experimental work on the NGD effect, the physical meaning was a fascinating curious question for many researchers. The same effect was verified in early 2000s, with negative refractive index artificial transmission line (TL) metamaterials [5, 6]. However, the metamaterial based NGD circuits are incredibly lossy which can present attenuation more than 30 dB to reach significant NGD values [5, 6]. Because of Kramers-Kronig relation [7], most of NGD passive structure designs need to be accompanied by a transmission loss to have minimum NGD at the expected frequencies. The trade-off between NGD and transmission loss is generally quantified in [8–10]. Nowadays, one of the NGD researcher challenges is to elaborate electronic circuit with lower loss at expected NGD values. To study such RF and microwave circuits, relevant design method developed with S-parameter equivalent modelling is necessary [11].

Then, the NGD microstrip circuit design becomes a research topic attracting RF and microwave and electronic researchers [5–8, 11–20]. Distributed NGD circuit with second order resistive-capacitive
topology was proposed [12]. Microwave NGD topologies based on transversal filter approach was introduced [13, 14]. The NGD effects were investigated theoretically and experimentally with various topologies as absorptive bandstop filter [15] and interference technique microstrip circuits [16]. In 2010s, the main challenge was opened on the design of low-attenuation [17] and compact NGD passive circuits [18, 19]. Those low attenuation NGD circuits were expected to be exploited in the microwave areas as the design of a tunable center frequency device [20]. LF NGD topologies constituted by R, L, and C lumped components were also introduced [21, 22]. Based on low-frequency (LF) topologies, it was demonstrated that NGD circuit outputs can propagate in time-advance with the corresponding inputs [21–23]. By taking these different emerging NGD topologies into account, most of electronic engineers were wondering about a simple manner of NGD analysis. Simple classification of elementary NGD circuits was initiated by the analogy between the filter and NGD functions [24–27]. The classes of low-pass (LP) [24, 25], high-pass (HP) [24–26], and stopband [24–27] NGD circuits were proposed.

However, different from the classical electronic functions (filters, amplifiers, antennas, phase shifters, power combiners/dividers), the NGD circuits [3–25] available in the literature are only designed with two-port topologies. By curiosity, we would like to study the design feasibility of tri-port NGD topologies with electrical interconnect circuits [28, 29]. Some rare research works on multi-port LP-NGD topologies [30–33] were recently investigated. Typical three- [30, 31] and four-port [31–33] topologies of bandpass (BP) NGD circuit were proposed. However, the LP-NGD circuit study with resistorless topology remains a challenging and original task. The present paper develops a resistorless cross topology of LP-NGD circuit. The proposed topology is constituted by LC-network. The paper is organized in three main sections as follows:

- Section 2 is focused on the tri-port cross topology under investigation. The $S$-matrix modelling will be expressed from impedance or $Z$-matrix.
- Section 3 elaborates the LP-NGD theorization of the original resistorless topology.
- Section 4 discusses the simulation and experimental validation with a proof-of-concept (PoC).
- Then, Section 5 is the final conclusion of the paper.

2. TOPOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION AND $S$-MATRIX MODELLING

The present section describes the three-port cross-topology. The $S$-matrix model by means of $Z$-matrix will be established.

2.1. Description of the Tri-Port Cross-Topology

The LP-NGD cross-topology is only composed of inductor and capacitor elements. Fig. 1 introduces the general topology of the tri-port passive cell constituted by three series impedances represented by $Z_k = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and Z-shunt admittance through node M. It acts as a 3-ports circuit fed by nodes $M_k = \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Each series branch is traversed by currents $I_k$ and the shunt branch by:

$$I_1(s) + I_2(s) + I_3(s) = 0 \quad (1)$$
where \( s = j\omega \) is the Laplace variable and the angular frequency, \( \omega \). The cross-topology will be analytically modelled in the following subsection.

### 2.2. S-Matrix Modelling

The cross-topology can be fundamentally modelled from the generalized Ohm’s law:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_1(s) \\
V_2(s) \\
V_3(s)
\end{bmatrix} = 
\begin{bmatrix}
I_1(s) \\
I_2(s) \\
I_3(s)
\end{bmatrix} \times 
\begin{bmatrix}
Z_1(s) + Z(s) & Z(s) & Z(s) \\
Z(s) & Z_2(s) + Z(s) & Z(s) \\
Z(s) & Z(s) & Z_3(s) + Z(s)
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Based on circuit and system theory, the associated \( Z \)-matrix is represented by:

\[
[Z(s)] = 
\begin{bmatrix}
Z_1(s) + Z(s) & Z(s) & Z(s) \\
Z(s) & Z_2(s) + Z(s) & Z(s) \\
Z(s) & Z(s) & Z_3(s) + Z(s)
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

By denoting \( R_0 = 50 \Omega \), the reference impedance, the corresponding \( S \)-parameters are given by:

\[
[S(s)] = 
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

By the relationship in Eq. (4), the \( S \)-parameter coefficients were calculated in function of the cross-circuit parameters. The following paragraph explores the analytical results.

### 2.3. Reflection and Transmission Coefficient Expressions of the Resistorless Cross-Circuit

The resistorless circuit is considered as an LC-network with inductor and capacitor elements defined by, respectively:

\[
Z_m=\{1,2,3\}(s) = L_m s
\]

\[
Z(s) = \frac{1}{C s}.
\]

The reflection coefficients are:

\[
S_{mm}(s) = \frac{CL_1 L_2 L_3 s^4 - \sum_{k=1}^{3} n_{kk}^{mm} s^k - R_0^2}{\sum_{k=0}^{4} d_k s^k}
\]

with:

\[
\begin{align*}
n_{11}^1 &= R_0 (2L_1 - R_0^2 C) \\
n_{11}^2 &= R_0^2 C (L_2 + L_3 - L_1) + L_1 L_2 + L_3 (L_1 + L_2) \\
n_{11}^3 &= R_0 [L_1 L_2 + (L_1 - L_2) L_3] \\
n_{22}^1 &= R_0 (2L_2 - R_0^2 C) \\
n_{22}^2 &= R_0^2 C (L_1 - L_2 + L_3) + L_1 L_2 + L_3 (L_1 + L_2) \\
n_{22}^3 &= R_0 [L_1 L_3 - L_2 (L_1 + L_3)] \\
n_{33}^1 &= R_0 (2L_3 - R_0^2 C) \\
n_{33}^2 &= R_0^2 C (L_1 + L_2 - L_3) - L_1 L_2 - (L_1 + L_2) L_3 \\
n_{33}^3 &= R_0 [L_1 + L_2) L_3 - L_1 L_2]
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
    d_0 &= 3R_0^2 \\
    d_1 &= R_0\left[R_0^2C + 2(L_1 + L_2 + L_3)\right] \\
    d_2 &= L_1L_2 + L_3(L_1 + L_2) + R_0^2C(L_1 + L_2 + L_3) \\
    d_3 &= R_0C\left[L_1L_2 + L_3(L_1 + L_2)\right] \\
    d_4 &= CL_1L_2L_3
\end{align*}
\]

(12)

By taking \(m, n = \{1, 2, 3\}\) with \(m \neq n\), the transmission coefficients can be written as:

\[
S_{mn}(s) = \frac{2R_0(n_{mn}^s + R_0)}{4\sum_{k=0}^{4} d_k s^k}
\]

(13)

with:

\[
\begin{align*}
    n_{21} &= L_3 \\
    n_{31} &= L_2 \\
    n_{32} &= L_1
\end{align*}
\]

(14)

Knowing the S-matrix model, the LP-NGD analysis will be developed in the next section.

3. TRI-PORT CIRCUIT LP-NGD THEORY APPLIED TO CROSS-TOPOLOGY

This section describes the cross-topology LP-NGD theory. After the S-matrix modelling, the LP-NGD analysis will be developed.

3.1. LP-NGD Function Ideal Specifications

The LP-NGD function analysis is based on the frequency dependent magnitude:

\[
S_{mn}(\omega) = |S_{mn}(j\omega)|
\]

(15)

and phase:

\[
\varphi_{mn}(\omega) = \arg \left[S_{mn}(j\omega)\right].
\]

(16)

The associated GD response is defined by:

\[
GD_{mn}(\omega) = -\frac{\partial \varphi_{mn}(\omega)}{\partial \omega}.
\]

(17)

From this GD definition, the corresponding electronic circuit can be assumed as a typical NGD function if there is an angular frequency, \(\omega_c > 0\), where:

\[
GD(\omega_c) < 0.
\]

(18)

The NGD cut-off frequencies are the roots of equation:

\[
GD(\omega) = 0.
\]

(19)

Fig. 2 represents the LP-NGD function ideal responses:

\[
GD(\omega \approx 0) = GD_n < 0
\]

(20)

and NGD cut-off angular frequency, \(\omega_0\). According to the expected attenuation loss and matching limitations, \(S_{21\min}\) and \(S_{11\max}\), in the NGD bandwidth \((\omega \leq \omega_n)\), we can suppose that the S-parameter magnitudes respect the conditions:

\[
S_{mn} > \min(S_{mn})
\]

(21)

\[
S_{mm} > \max(S_{mm})
\]

(22)
3.2. LF NGD Analyses

At LF ($\omega \approx 0$), the reflection and transmission coefficients established in Equations (8) and (13) will become:

\[
\begin{align*}
S_{mm}(\omega \approx 0) &= |S_{mm}(s \approx 0)| = R_0^2/d_0 = 1/3 \\
S_{nn}(\omega \approx 0) &= |S_{nn}(s \approx 0)| = 2R_0^2/d_0 = 2/3.
\end{align*}
\]

(23)

It is noteworthy that the $S$-matrix does not depend on the cross-topology inductive and capacitive parameters. The key step of this analytical investigation is based on the intermediate calculation of the phase and GD from expressions (16) and (17), respectively. After intensive mathematical calculations, we can theoretically demonstrate that $GD_{mn}$ at LF between the transmission ports $m$ and $n$ of the cross-topology introduced in Fig. 1 are given by the following expressions:

\[
\begin{align*}
GD_{21}(\omega \approx 0) &= R_0C + \frac{2(L_1 + L_2) - L_3}{3R_0} \\
GD_{31}(\omega \approx 0) &= R_0C + \frac{2(L_1 + L_3) - L_2}{3R_0} \\
GD_{32}(\omega \approx 0) &= R_0C + \frac{2(L_3 + L_2) - L_1}{3R_0}.
\end{align*}
\]

(24) (25) (26)

By denoting $p \neq \{m,n\}$, this GD can be compactly rewritten as:

\[
GD_{mn}(\omega \approx 0) = R_0^2C + \frac{2(L_m + L_n) - L_p}{3R_0}.
\]

(27)

From this last equation, we remark that the capacitive shunt element is responsible for the positive GD contribution. In the case of transmission from different port $m$ to port $n$, the NGD effect is mainly induced by the opposite inductive element $L_p$.

Figure 2. LP-NGD function (a) GD, (b) transmission coefficient and (c) reflection coefficient ideal responses.

3.3. LP-NGD Existence Condition and Synthesis Equation of Inductive Elements

The quantity “$L_p$” in Equation (27) enables one to think that the resistorless cross-topology can behave as LP-NGD function. The mathematical existence condition is:

\[
\begin{align*}
GD_{mn}(\omega \approx 0) < 0 \\
S_{mn}(\omega \approx 0) \neq 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(28)

It means that the cross-topology GD between port $m$ and port $n$ can be negative under the condition:

\[
L_p \geq R_0^2C + 2(L_m + L_n).
\]

(29)

Supposing given the capacitor, the inductors can be calculated in function of desired NGD value ($GD_0 < 0$), by the equation:

\[
2(L_m + L_n) - L_p = R_0(3GD_0 - R_0C).
\]

(30)

This relation can also be rewritten as:
- For NGD corresponding to transmission between port 1 and port 2:
  \[ L_3 = 2(L_1 + L_2) - R_0(3GD_0 - R_0C). \quad (31) \]
- For NGD corresponding to transmission between port 1 and port 3:
  \[ L_2 = 2(L_1 + L_3) - R_0(3GD_0 - R_0C). \quad (32) \]
- For NGD corresponding to transmission between port 2 and port 3:
  \[ L_1 = 2(L_2 + L_3) - R_0(3GD_0 - R_0C). \quad (33) \]

### 3.4. LP-NGD Cut-Off Frequencies

Under the particular condition:
\[ L_p \gg \sup(L_n, L_m) \quad (34) \]
the transmission coefficient given in Equation (13) becomes:
\[ S_{mn}(s) \approx \frac{2(L_p^s + R_0)}{R_0(L_pCs^2 + 3) + R_0^2Cs}. \quad (35) \]

The equivalent detailed quantities corresponding to transmission between port 2 and port 1, port 3 and port 1, and port 3 and port 2 can be expressed as, respectively:
\[ S_{21}(s) \approx \frac{2(L_3^s + R_0)}{R_0(L_3Cs^2 + 3) + R_0^2Cs}, \quad (36) \]
\[ S_{31}(s) \approx \frac{2(L_2^s + R_0)}{R_0(L_2Cs^2 + 3) + R_0^2Cs}, \quad (37) \]
\[ S_{32}(s) \approx \frac{2(L_1^s + R_0)}{R_0(L_1Cs^2 + 3) + R_0^2Cs}. \quad (38) \]

In this case, the cross-circuit NGD cut-off angular frequency can be estimated by:
\[ \omega_{mn}^0 \approx \sqrt{\frac{(2L_p^s + R_0^2C)(2L_p^s + 25R_0^2C) - 2L_p^s - 7R_0^2C}{2L_p^sC}}. \quad (39) \]

Accordingly, the NGD cut-off frequencies corresponding to transmission between port 2 and port 1, port 3 and port 1, and port 3 and port 2 can be expressed as, respectively:
\[ \omega_{21}^0 \approx \sqrt{\frac{(2L_3^s + R_0^2C)(2L_3^s + 25R_0^2C) - 2L_3^s - 7R_0^2C}{2L_3^sC}}. \quad (40) \]
\[ \omega_{31}^0 \approx \sqrt{\frac{(2L_2^s + R_0^2C)(2L_2^s + 25R_0^2C) - 2L_2^s - 7R_0^2C}{2L_2^sC}}. \quad (41) \]
\[ \omega_{32}^0 \approx \sqrt{\frac{(2L_1^s + R_0^2C)(2L_1^s + 25R_0^2C) - 2L_1^s - 7R_0^2C}{2L_1^sC}}. \quad (42) \]

To verify the LP-NGD theory feasibility, a tri-port cross-circuit prototype will be considered in the next section.

### 4. SIMULATED AND EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATIONS

The present section deals with the tri-port cross-topology LP-NGD validation. The synthesis, design, and implementation of the PoC are described. After experimental setup introduction, the modeled, simulated, and measured results will be examined.
4.1. Description of Cross-Circuit PoC

Similar to classical electronic circuits, the tri-port cross-circuit prototype was designed with inductor and capacitor lumped components. It was implemented on FR4 dielectric substrate. Fig. 3(a) shows the cross-circuit schematic designed with ADS® simulator from Keysight Technologies®. The fabricated circuit shown in Fig. 3(b) has a physical size of 30 mm × 50 mm.

![Cross-Circuit Schematic](image1)

![Fabricated Circuit](image2)

**Figure 3.** (a) Schematic and (b) photo of the fabricated NGD cross-circuit.

The substrate physical characteristics are addressed in the first row of Table 1. The circuit design was performed with the application of synthesis Equation (30) for the desired LF NGD, \( GD_{21}(f \approx 0) = GD_0 = -2 \text{ ns} \). The electrical component parameters are indicated in the second row of Table 1. Similar to the RF classical circuits, the cross-circuit test is based on the three-port \( S \)-parameter measurement.

| Structure       | Description | Parameters | Values |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|--------|
| Substrate       | Relative permittivity | \( \varepsilon_r \) | 4.5    |
|                 | Loss tangent | \( \tan(\delta) \) | 0.02   |
|                 | Thickness    | \( h \)    | 1.6 mm |
| Lumped components | Inductor    | \( L_1 \) | 10 nH  |
|                 |              | \( L_2 \) | 15 nH  |
|                 |              | \( L_3 \) | 620 nH |
|                 | Capacitor    | \( C \)    | 100 pF |

**Table 1.** Parameters of the cross-circuit prototype.

4.2. Experimental Setup of the Tri-Port Circuit Prototype

Our tri-port cross-circuit prototype is a typical RF passive device. The proposed LP-NGD characterization is fundamentally performed based on the \( S \)-parameter modelling. Similar to classical RF circuits, the experimental investigation was carried out by considering a three-port testing technique. Fig. 4 highlights the experimental setup.

The measurement was performed with a Vector Network Analyzer (VNA) referenced ENA Series E5071C from Keysight Technologies® which has frequency band delimited from 9 kHz to 8.5 GHz. The \( S \)-parameter measurement test was made under SOLT calibration. The obtained validation results will be discussed in the following section.
4.3. Validation Results of LP-NGD Aspect with a Resistorless Cross Circuit Prototype

The cross-circuit experimental validation consists in the comparison between the calculated, simulated (ADS®), and measured results from 10 kHz to 60 MHz. The calculated results were obtained with MATLAB® by programming the transmission and reflection coefficients established in Equations (8) and (13), respectively. The S-parameter magnitudes of the cross-circuit PoC are displayed in Fig. 5. The measured S-parameter slight divergence is mainly due to the component inaccuracies and parasitic effects. The reflection and transmission coefficients between port 1 and port 2 are in very good agreement. $S_{21}$ reach their maximal values between 25 MHz and 35 MHz, and $S_{11}$ present their minimal values between 15 MHz and 25 MHz.

![Figure 5. Calculated, simulated, and measured (a) $S_{21}$, (b) $S_{11}$ and (b) $S_{22}$ of the cross-circuit prototype shown in Fig. 4.](image)

To verify the LP-NGD behavior, the three GD responses between the cross-circuit access ports are also plotted in Fig. 6. The measured GD observed discrepancies are mainly due to tolerances and parasitic effects of the employed components, and the measurement systematic errors. It can be understood from Fig. 6(a) that the tested cross-circuit presents an LP-NGD response between port 1 - port 2. However, the GDs between port 1-port 3 and port 2-port 3 which are shown by Fig. 6(b) and Fig. 6(c), respectively, are always positive.

Table 2 presents the comparison of the LP-NGD specifications from the calculation, simulation, and
Table 2. Comparison of calculated, simulated and measured cross-circuit LP-NGD specifications.

| Approach | $f_0$    | $GD_{21}(f \approx 0)$   | FoM  | $S_{21}(f \approx 0)$ | $S_{11}(f \approx 0)$ |
|----------|----------|--------------------------|------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Calc.    | 6.67 MHz | $-1.998 \text{ ns}$      | $13.327 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-3.521 \text{ dB}$   | $-9.541 \text{ dB}$   |
| Simu.    | 6.67 MHz | $-1.994 \text{ ns}$      | $13.3 \times 10^{-3}$   | $-3.522 \text{ dB}$   | $-9.542 \text{ dB}$   |
| Meas.    | 8 MHz    | $-1.78 \text{ ns}$       | $14.26 \times 10^{-3}$  | $-3.534 \text{ dB}$   | $-9.668 \text{ dB}$   |

Figure 6. Calculated, simulated, and measured (a) $GD_{21}$, (b) $GD_{31}$ and (c) $GD_{32}$ of the cross-circuit prototype shown in Fig. 4.

measurement results. The LP-NGD FoM $= f_0 \cdot |GD_{21}(f \approx 0)|$ which represents the NGD value-NGD bandwidth product is also indicated in Table 2. In the perspective of this work, the FoM achieved with a resistorless network can be compared to the achievable FoM of a simple single-stage resistor-based circuit, for the same amplitude variation at cut-off (around 1 dB) and the maximum out-of-band gain (2.5 dB roughly).

4.4. Sensitivity Analyses (SA)

The cross-circuit SAs were performed by considering inductor and capacitor relative variations of $+/-10\%$. The SAs focus on the cross-circuit parameters corresponding to the transmission between port1-port2, $GD_{21}$, $S_{11}$, and $S_{21}$. Fig. 7(a), Fig. 7(b), and Fig. 7(c) display the surface plots of the computed GD, reflection, and transmission coefficient results. The computed results are represented with respect to the frequency and variation of inductor element $L_1$ which varies linearly from $L_{1\text{min}} = 9 \text{ nH}$ to $L_{1\text{max}} = 11 \text{ nH}$. Fig. 8(a), Fig. 8(b), and Fig. 8(c) represent the similar results for the case of inductor element $L_2$, varied from $L_{2\text{min}} = 13.5 \text{ nH}$ to $L_{2\text{max}}=16.5 \text{ nH}$. Fig. 9(a), Fig. 9(b), and Fig. 9(c) show the surface plots of the GD, reflection, and transmission coefficients in the case of $L_3$ varied linearly from $L_{3\text{min}} = 540 \text{ nH}$ to $L_{3\text{max}} = 640 \text{ nH}$. Fig. 10(a), Fig. 10(b), and Fig. 10(c) highlight the variation of GD, reflection, and transmission coefficients with also surface plot. In this last case, the SA is applied to the variation of the capacitor element $C$, from $C_{\text{min}} = 90 \text{ pF}$ to $C_{\text{max}} = 110 \text{ pF}$. We can

Figure 7. Surface plots of (a) $GD_{21}$, (b) $S_{21}$ and (c) $S_{11}$ versus $(f, L_1)$. 
underline that the LP-NGD behavior is still verified despite the relative variation because condition (14) remains satisfied. The minimal and maximal values of the NGD cut-off frequency and the GD value at LF for each result of SAs are indicated in Table 3. Moreover, $S_{21}$ and $S_{11}$ conserve their behaviors in the NGD frequency band for the four cases of SAs. As the tested cross-circuit constituting components satisfy condition $L_3 \gg \text{sup} \{L_1, L_2\}$, the variation influences of $L_1$ and $L_2$ can be neglected on the LP-NGD behavior. However, with the 10% tolerance of the capacitor, the NGD cut-off frequency and GD at LF present respectively 15.3% and 8.3% relative variations.

Table 3. Minimal and maximal values of NGD cut-off frequencies and GD values.

| Considered SA parameter | $f_{0 \text{min}}$ | $f_{0 \text{max}}$ | $GD_{21 \text{min}}(f \approx 0)$ | $GD_{21 \text{max}}(f \approx 0)$ |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| $L_1$                   | 6.67 MHz          | 7 MHz             | $-2.01 \text{ ns}$        | $-1.98 \text{ ns}$       |
| $L_2$                   | 6.67 MHz          | 6.67 MHz          | $-2.02 \text{ ns}$        | $-1.98 \text{ ns}$       |
| $L_3$                   | 6.67 MHz          | 6.67 MHz          | $-2.4 \text{ ns}$         | $-1.6 \text{ ns}$        |
| $C$                     | 6.51 MHz          | 7.59 MHz          | $-2.16 \text{ ns}$        | $-1.83 \text{ ns}$       |
5. CONCLUSION

An original study of three-port circuit operating as LP-NGD function type is investigated. The developed study elaborates the NGD behavior of topology represented by a cross-shape circuit. Different from the recent NGD investigation on tri-port topology, the present one is essentially constituted by a resistorless LC-network.

An innovative analytical approach on LP-NGD function identification is developed. The 3-D S-matrix model of the cross-topology is established. The LP-NGD analysis and existence condition are theoretically described in function of the lumped LC component parameters. A PoC of lumped cross-circuit prototype was designed, simulated, fabricated, and tested to validate the LP-NGD function. Calculation, simulation, and measurement results in very good correlation confirm the LP-NGD behavior of the signal transmitted between port1 and port2 of the cross-circuit prototype. The influences of each component +/−10% tolerance on the NGD response are studied by the SAs.

The present resistorless cross-topology has a significant benefit compared to [8, 10] in terms of 3-dB bandwidth designs with higher out-of-band gains (over 20 dB) which may induce important amplitude/phase distortion metric. This distortion stays quite low in the present research work which has roughly 1-dB bandwidth and only 2.5 dB out-of-band gain.

By using an adequate equalization technique [34, 35], the three-port NGD circuit can be used for reducing the GD effects [36, 37] in an electronic and communication system.
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