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Physical, socio-economic, cultural and mental challenges faced by students have been associated with adverse impacts on school wellbeing, resulting in increased school dropout and deviant behaviour. This systematic review has analysed the present knowledge on factors associated with school dropouts to identify psychological interventions for promoting school wellbeing. A systematic search was done of the ScienceDirect, APA PsycINFO, Emerald and Google Scholar electronic databases. A hand-search was also done of the reference list of the included studies. The initial search resulted in 448 studies, and the search of the references list of the considered studies resulted in 28 more articles. The application of the eligibility criteria resulted in the inclusion of 38 studies in the review. The study established several factors associated with school dropouts and social deviance, such as school climate, school structure, and those defining social interaction among students. Mental and emotional health was identified as the main factor influencing school dropout and social deviance. A positive school climate should be the primary consideration for promoting school wellbeing. School administrations, teachers, and parents should collaborate to positively improve conditions in schools.
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INTRODUCTION

School completion rates have shown a marked improvement over much of the past century, rising from single digits at the turn of the 20th century (Ramsdal and Wynn, 2022). This shift has been associated with educational changes such as the standards movement in education, social activities and cultural changes. Nevertheless, the dropout problem has endured through these changes, even amid higher school completion rates (Dupéré et al., 2015). School dropout has considerable consequences, including perverse implications on employment, lifetime earnings, and health literacy. Students often fail to complete high school for complex reasons that manifest earlier in their lifetimes (Dupéré et al., 2015; Krane et al., 2016).

School dropout rates are linked to physical and mental problems, substance abuse, antisocial behaviour, negative school attitudes, low quality of education, parenting problems and family challenges (Ramsdal and Wynn, 2021). These factors can be shown to have an initial impact on school wellbeing, which often leads to school dropout. Nevertheless, the uniqueness of these factors necessitates a multifactorial approach for risk and needs assessment to promote the creation of interventions aimed at mitigating school dropout (Gubbels et al., 2019).
School dropouts self-report various reasons for leaving school, yet these do not accurately construct the picture of the underlying problem. It is usually difficult to establish a causal relationship between any single factor and the decision to quit school. However, preliminary studies have provided a framework that delineates the factors associated with students' individual characteristics and elements related to the institutional aspects of their families, schools, and communities (Rumberger and Lim, 2008). The latter category encompasses school wellbeing, which is correlated to school dropout rates. According to Doll et al. (2013), dropping out is the culmination of a much longer process of leaving school, beginning long before the day that a student eventually ceases attendance. However, Doll et al. (2013) identified a different framework for the analysis of factors influencing dropping out of schools. The framework constitutes push, pull and falling out elements, and while each type of dropout antecedent has credence, pull factors demonstrated the highest rates (Bryk and Thum, 1989; Doll et al., 2013).

The key difference between push, pull and falling out factors is agency. In push factors, the school is the agent whereby a student is removed from school due to a consequence. In pull factors, the student is the agent, such that attractions or distractions lure them out of school. In terms of falling out elements, circumstances exist that neither the school nor the student can remediate, and as a result, the connection students have with school gradually diminish (Doll et al., 2013). Dropping out factors are associated with the characteristics of the individual students—their educational performance, behaviours, attitudes and backgrounds—as well as the characteristics of the families, schools and communities where they live and go to school. However, Rumberger and Lim (2008) determined that no single factor can entirely explain a student's decision to continue school until graduation.

According to Rumberger and Lim (2008), dropouts have higher rates of unemployment, lower earnings, poorer health and higher rates of mortality, higher rates of criminal behaviour and incarceration, as well as increased dependence on public assistance compared to graduates. Still, Drapela (2004) established that dropping out of high school has no substantive effect on later drug use. This relationship was assessed with two fundamental measures of association, zero-order correlations and partial correlations. Deviant behaviours such as post-dropout drug use, as measured by tobacco, alcohol, and marijuana consumption, were shown to have statistically significant but non-substantive relationships to dropping out among dropouts (Croninger and Lee, 2001; Drapela, 2004). According to Fernández-Suárez et al. (2016), alcohol abuse and substance use have direct consequences on individual characteristics related to deviant behaviours. Furthermore, significant dropout risk associated with poor mental health majorly occurs in vocational and higher education (Rumberger and Rotermund, 2012; Hjorth et al., 2016).

To address the dropout crisis requires a better understanding of why students drop out; however, identifying the causes of dropping out is extremely difficult (Rumberger and Lim, 2008). The dropout problem is considered a multifactorial phenomenon resulting in an emphasis on and development of school-wide multi-component interventions and strategies, mainly based on school wellbeing research (Johansson and Uhnno, 2019). School wellbeing constitutes factors relating to school characteristics, the school as an organisation, the school climate or culture, and the collaboration of professionals in the school. These factors include inadequate or inefficient disciplinary frameworks, poor academic climate and low school attachment, and conflicts. According to Gallup (2017), a significant solution to school dropping out would be for governments to allocate more funds to districts that report alarming rates. This intervention would attract higher-quality teachers to the area who, in turn, are better suited to motivate students to stay in school and complete their education.

According to Lee-St. John et al. (2018), drop out intervention strategies research should go beyond the typical school boundaries to mitigate dropout risk factors. Still, schools cannot achieve outreach independently and will require significant, meaningful and effective partnerships with community agencies. However, the barrier to research regarding dropout intervention strategies is that many interventions that can be comprehensively evaluated are narrow in focus and modest in scope. Moreover, complex interventions that address the comprehensive needs of students at risk of dropout can be challenging to study (Lee-St. John et al., 2018). The purpose of this systematic review is to examine the current state of knowledge regarding the risk factors associated with school dropping out and deviant behaviours and identify interventions used to prevent dropouts, as well as their outcomes and effectiveness.

METHODS

Literature Search and Reporting
This research paper has been reported based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) 2009 guidelines. A systematic literature searches until 27 March 2022 was carried out over multiple electronic databases, including PubMed, Google Scholar, ScienceDirect and CINAHL. A hand search of the reference lists of the studies obtained in the initial search was also conducted to maximise the scope of the data search. To ensure that the most cited and recently published articles were obtained, results on the first two pages of the databases were majorly considered. A list of terms was formulated for the research questions, and Boolean operators were used to group phrases and keywords. The following keywords were used in the search process: (“teacher-student relationship” OR “school wellbeing” OR “school climate” OR “student wellbeing”) AND (“dropout OR misbehaviour OR deviation OR defiance”); all the sources were published between 2000 and 2022.

Guidelines and Selection Criteria
To select relevant studies, several inclusion and exclusion criteria were formulated. The eligibility criteria were such that they allowed the comprehensive examination of psychological protocols and strategies that minimise social distress and promote school wellbeing, while ensuring the reporting of quality findings. The studies also had to focus on the students'
lives in school. Additionally, articles were also included if they reported on correlated factors associated to a student or a teacher. Consequently, studies were excluded if they did not include as participants were students or teachers, and if they did not empirically evaluate the relationships between factors in the school environment, dropout and social deviant behaviours.

The titles of the articles obtained in the primary search were analysed to ensure they discussed the subject under consideration. Following this process, the included articles were subjected to abstract screening, which resulted in the elimination of more articles. The articles included after the title and abstract screening needed to have answered the research questions. Subsequently, the remaining articles were then subjected to a full-text reading to examine their level of evidence and determine their significance in this systematic review.

Assessment of Methodological Quality
The articles were subjected to methodological quality assessment prior to the data extraction process; all the articles had to pass the criteria to be considered for data extraction. The assessment items were clarity in stating the research question, participant sampling method information, study design, data collection methods, data analysis, study limitations and comparison to the existing literature on the research topic. The studies also had to compare study findings with existing literature. Articles that comprehensively discussed these aspects and followed the criteria were considered high quality.

Data Extraction and Synthesis
Data from studies that passed the eligibility criteria were extracted into pre-defined descriptor tables. The tables collected information related to the following aspects: author, year, study design, number of participants, objective statement and study findings. Research findings were examined using a reciprocal translation approach and primarily involved considerations of psychological protocols and/or strategies for promoting school wellbeing.

RESULTS

Search Results
The initial database search yielded 448 citations and reference list search resulted in eight citations. The 244 articles that remained after elimination of duplicates were subjected to title and abstract screening. Finally, the application of the eligibility criteria resulted in the inclusion of 38 articles.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS
Results were organised according to the main themes emerged from the analysis of the included studies. Specifically, below are reported the risk and protective factors that were found leading to school dropout and social deviance in each article. In addition to this, summary of results related to the interventions found were reported below (Tables 1, 2).

School Dropout
Studies that evaluated the risks of dropping out were Temple et al. (2000), Hess and Copeland (2001), Lee and Burkam (2003), Christle et al. (2007), Archambault et al. (2009), Lessard et al. (2010), Bergeron et al. (2011), Frostad et al. (2014), Austin et al. (2022) and Saleem et al. (2022). Different studies evaluated the effects of different school climate factors and student characteristics on dropout risks.

Student–Teacher Relationships
Most studies found a negative association between student–teacher relationships (STR) and the risk factor of dropping out (Lee and Burkam, 2003; Murray and Malmgren, 2005; Barile et al., 2011; Bergeron et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2013; Frostad et al., 2014; Littlecott et al., 2019; Song, 2021; Zheng, 2021). This means that in schools where there is a positive STR, there are low dropout rates. Some of the articles stated that STR did not affect dropping out directly. Lessard et al. (2010) stated that STR is related to a student's academic achievement and satisfaction levels, which are in turn associated with dropout rates. Also, a study by Wang et al. (2013) stated that STR was able to reduce dropout rates by mitigating the effects of negative peer pressure and conflicted parent–child relationships. It does not matter whether STR varies across school size and sector (Lee and Burkam, 2003), or if it has direct or indirect effects on dropout cases, what matters is that by using this research, education stakeholders can use STR as a measure of expected dropout rates. This association is significant, such that research done on the intention to leave came to the same conclusion (Frostad et al., 2014). Lee and Burkam (2003) also stated that positive relationships with staff and administrators was equally impactful as STR. Barile et al. (2011) went further and stated that evaluation of teachers by students led to a positive STR climate.

Academic Curriculum and Student Achievement
Students who achieved good levels of academic scores were reported as less likely to drop out of schools (Lee and Burkam, 2003; Lessard et al., 2010; Cittone and Villani, 2019; Hou et al., 2021). To explain the cause and effect of academics on dropout rates, Lessard et al. (2010) associated an increase in academic achievement to an increase in commitment by the student. Dropout rates are not only affected by the academic success of the student but also by the teaching curriculum adapted by the school. Lee and Burkam (2003) found that schools offering mathematics courses had 28% lower dropout rates. The aim of the school curriculum should be to keep students 'comfortably' busy by not overstraining them but also not giving them too much free time.

Another curriculum factor was the administering of preschool education to students (Temple et al., 2000). Students who
received pre-school education had a 24% less risk of dropping out compared to those in the control group. The causal relation is that preschool education reduces grade retention, frequent school mobility and increases parental involvement.

**School Structure and Organisation**

Some of the school characteristics that were evaluated were race composition, gender composition, school size and sector. Christle et al. (2007) and Lee and Burkam (2003) both found that schools with a low-percentage of white students experienced reduced rates of dropouts. The reason for this is not well-known, but Welsh (2001) and Gottfredson et al. (2005), on the other hand, agreed that schools with higher ratios of African–American and Hispanic students had high levels of misconduct. The level of misconduct was later on linked by Archambault et al. (2009) and Loukas et al. (2010) to levels of dropout.

Schools with high, very high and low number of students experience higher risks of child dropout (Lee and Burkam, 2003; Christle et al., 2007; Marx et al., 2017). Even though Christle et al. (2007) stated a statistically insignificant association, other reviews (Prevatt and Kelly, 2003; Christenson and Thurlow, 2004) found a relationship between school size and risks of dropping out. The reason given was that high population negatively affects academic achievement, STRs and social deviance for the students.

In analysing school structures, the issue of school policies came up in Barile et al. (2011), with policies like teacher evaluation and rewarding teachers were evaluated. The researchers

### TABLE 1 | Study descriptor table.

| Author and year         | Study design             | Region                                      | Number of students                                      |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Archambault et al., 2009| Longitudinal study       | Quebec, Canada                              | 11,827 high school students                            |
| Bergeron et al., 2011   | Case study               | Quebec, Canada                              | 2,360 secondary school students                         |
| Christie et al., 2007   | Case study               | Kentucky, United States                     | 196 high schools                                        |
| Frostad et al., 2014    | Retrospective study      | Norway                                      | 2,015 upper secondary students                          |
| Gottfredson et al., 2005| Retrospective study      | United States                               | 254 public secondary schools                            |
| Lee and Burkam, 2003    | Retrospective study      | United States                               | 3,840 students                                           |
| Lessard et al., 2010    | Exploratory case study   | Quebec, Canada                              | 4,312 high school students (2,227 girls and 2,085 boys) |
| Wang et al., 2013       | Longitudinal study       | United States                               | 1,400 students                                           |
| Welsh, 2001             | Retrospective study      | United States                               | 4,640 middle school students                            |
| Barile et al., 2011     | Longitudinal study       | United States                               | 7,779 students                                           |
| Hess and Copeland, 2001 | Case study               | United States                               | 92 students                                              |
| Kelly et al., 2021      | Case study               | Florida, United States                      | 109 students                                             |
| LaRusso et al., 2007    | Retrospective study      | United States                               | 476 adolescent students                                  |
| Loukas et al., 2006     | Retrospective study      | United States                               | 489 students                                             |
| Loukas et al., 2010     | Longitudinal study       | Central Texas, United States                | 476 adolescent students                                  |
| Murray and Malmgren, 2005| Randomised control study | United States                               | 48 African–American students                             |
| Ryan and Patrick, 2001  | Longitudinal study       | United States                               | 233 students                                             |
| Temple et al., 2000     | Prospective study        | Chicago, United States                      | 1,159 African–American and Hispanic students             |
| Piñeiro-Cossio et al., 2021| Review                 | European countries, United States and United Kingdom |
| Johns et al., 2019      | Symposium                | Chicago                                     | 40 experts bringing in the needs of schools and families |
| Marx et al., 2017       | Review                   | Canada, United States, Northern Israel, New Zealand, Croatia and Southern Brazil | 297,994 secondary school students                          |
| O’Reilly et al., 2018   | Review                   | United Kingdom, Australia, USA, Sweden, Denmark, Germany, Ireland | 9,700 students aged 5–19                                   |
| Hou et al., 2021        | Cross-sectional study    | Australia                                   | 1,392 students aged 12.7–16.24                           |
| Gobat et al., 2021      | Case study               | Wales                                       | 22 secondary school students                             |
| Littlecott et al., 2019 | Case study               | Wales and United Kingdom                    | About 3,800 school students                              |
| Fernandez and Brenner, 2022| Longitudinal study      | United states                               | 1,010 primary to second grade school students            |
| Chan et al., 2022       | Case study               | California                                  | 55,383 first and second grade students                   |
| Saleem et al., 2022     | Case study               | United States                               | 440 students university students                         |
| O’Donnell et al., 2022  | Longitudinal study       | United States                               | 294 secondary school students                            |
| Austin et al., 2022     | Interview                | United States                               | 75 students with an average age of 11.6 years             |
| Costee et al., 2022     | Interview                | South Africa                                | 22 students of the age group 10–15                       |
| Fu et al., 2022         | Case study               | China                                       | 496 teachers from special education schools              |
| Salceda et al., 2022    | Focus group              | Spain                                       | 13 Teenagers age group 15–18                            |
| Tsukawaki and Imura, 2022| Ethnography             | Japan                                       | 500 primary and first grade students                     |
| Citrone and Villani, 2019| Book chapter—review    | Europe                                      | Children in age preschool                                |
| Song, 2021              | Review                   | Various Afferents                           | Not specified                                            |
| Zheng, 2021             | Review                   | Varies Afferents                            | Not specified                                            |
| Hunter et al., 2022     | Experimental study       | Colorado                                    | 18 junior high school teenagers                          |
| Author and year       | Objective statement                                                                 | Wellbeing factor                                                                 | Theme                                         |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Archambault et al., 2009 | To assess the contribution of student engagement to school dropout                   | Students engagement and its specific dimensions                                  | School dropout                                |
| Bergeron et al., 2011 | To examine the association between STR and achievement motivation with a student's intention to dropout | Teacher–student relationship (STR)                                                | Student's intention to drop out of school     |
| Christie et al., 2007 | To examine the relationship between school characteristics and dropout rates         | School size, student body, student's ethnicity, academic achievement, attendance rate, suspension rate, school-law violation rate | School dropout                                |
| Fostad et al., 2014   | To assess the relationship between social participation and motivation to leave school | Social participation                                                              | School dropout                                |
| Gottfredson et al., 2005 | To explore the association between school climate characteristics and school crime and disorder | School's organisational characteristics                                           | School crime and disorder                     |
| Lee and Burkam, 2003  | To explore the relationship between a school's structure and organisation and a student's decision to dropout | Learning curriculum, sector and size, and STR.                                   | Student's intention to drop out of school     |
| Lessard et al., 2010  | To analyse the relationship between students’ school wellbeing and intention to dropout | Commitment, achievement, satisfaction                                              | Student’s intention to drop out of school     |
| Wang et al., 2013     | To investigate the relationship between STR and adolescent depression and misconduct | STR                                                                              | Behavioural problems in students              |
| Welsh, 2001           | To explore the effects of school climate and student characteristics on school disorder | School climate and student characteristics                                         | Behavioural problems in students              |
| Barile et al., 2011   | To investigate associations between teacher evaluation and reward policies, and student performance and dropout | STR climate                                                                       | Effects of teacher evaluation and reward policies |
| Hess and Copeland, 2001 | To investigate the relationship between coping strategies for stress and rates of finishing school. | Stress-coping strategies                                                           | Dropout rate                                  |
| Kelly et al., 2021    | To investigate the efficacy of psycho-spiritual education on school wellbeing and school climate | Mentoring program teaching psycho-spiritual principles                            | School wellbeing and perceived school climate |
| LaRusso et al., 2007  | To examine the implications of a respectful school climate on student drug use and depression | School climate (support from teachers)                                             | Student drug use and depressive traits        |
| Loukas et al., 2006   | To examine if the school climate is associated to adolescent conduct problems through school connectedness | School climate (interaction and competition among students and satisfaction with classes) | Student conduct problems                     |
| Loukas et al., 2010   | To examine the contributions of early school connectedness to adolescent behaviour problems in school | School connectedness (social relations)                                          | Student conduct problems                     |
| Murray and Malmgren, 2005 | To examine the effects of increasing adolescent–teacher relationship                | STR                                                                              | Effects of improved STR                       |
| Ryan and Patrick, 2001 | To investigate the relation between school social environment and students’ motivation and engagement in school | Class social environment                                                          | Student motivation and engagement             |
| Temple et al., 2000   | To investigate the effects of participation in the Chicago Child–Parent Centre and Expansion Program on school dropout | Early childhood intervention                                                      | Problematic behaviour and dropout rates       |
| Piñeiro-Cossio et al., 2021 | To analyse interventions for the improvement of psychological wellbeing at school | Activities physics                                                              | Wellbeing school                              |
| Johns et al., 2019    | To discuss the findings of the Symposium on Protective Factors for LGBTQ Students  | School climate, supporting educators, student identity                           | Protective factors for LGBTQ students        |
| Marx et al., 2017     | To evaluate the effects of postponing the start of lessons to support health, education and wellbeing in secondary school students | Postponing the beginning of lessons                                              | Start time of lessons and hours of sleep for increased wellbeing |
| O’Reily et al., 2018  | To identify those interventions that can support the promotion of students’ mental health | Internal cooperation of the school community                                    | School interventions for wellbeing at school |
| Hou et al., 2021      | To improve wellbeing literacy to increase wellbeing                                 | Literacy on welfare                                                              | Literacy and wellbeing at school              |
| Gobat et al., 2021    | For formative and pragmatic evaluations of the educational process to promote school wellbeing | Mapping of the socio-cultural and political contest                              | Promoting wellbeing through a restorative practice approach |
| Littlecott et al., 2019 | For understanding the social interactions of school staff to foster student wellbeing | Interactions mediated by social networks                                         | Role of school staff and social network on student welfare |
| Fernandez and Benner, 2022 | To propose coping strategies to reduce the malaise resulting from discriminatory treatment in educational disparities | Coping strategies                                                               | Discriminations in educational disparities    |
TABLE 2 | Continued

| Author and year | Objective statement | Wellbeing factor | Theme |
|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|-------|
| Chan et al., 2022 | To assess the support students receive and the perceived degree of wellbeing | Family, peer and school support | Support and wellbeing in school |
| Saleem et al., 2022 | To test a protective effect of racial-ethnic socialisation on ethnicity-related stress | Ethnic-racial socialisation | Ethnic-racial socialisation to reduce the negative effects of stress related to ethnic differences |
| O’Donnell et al., 2022 | To test a longitudinal model of promoting confidence in adults and psychological wellbeing among adolescents | Positive expectations from adults | Promotion of student welfare through adult support |
| Austin et al., 2022 | To evaluate the relationship between racial-ethnic connectedness and behavioural and emotional problems | Racial-ethnic connectedness | Effects of racial-ethnic connectedness on the wellbeing of African-American students |
| Coetzee et al., 2022 | To evaluate the usefulness of mental health programs for reducing symptoms of anxiety and depression | Mental health programmes | Positive effects of mental health programs on symptoms of anxiety and depression in students |
| Fu et al., 2022 | To study the relationship between social support, self-efficacy and the perceived wellbeing of teachers | Social support | Positive effects of social support on well being |
| Salceda et al., 2022 | To analyse the effects of a Dialogic Literary Gatherings intervention on well-being and school performance | Meetings literary dialogical | Dialogic Literary Gatherings intervention to promote wellbeing and academic achievement |
| Tsukawaki and Imura, 2022 | To assess the type of humour that has positive effects on students’ mental health | Teachers’ humour | The effect of teachers’ humour on student wellbeing |
| Cittone and Villani, 2019 | To allow the revision work carried out to identify the positive effect of psychomotor intervention on multiple areas of development | Psychomotor intervention | The positive effect of psychomotor intervention on movement, cognition and emotions |
| Song, 2021 | To investigate the effects of teachers’ optimism and effectiveness on student wellbeing | Optimism and effectiveness of teachers | The effect of teachers’ optimism and effectiveness on student wellbeing |
| Zheng, 2021 | To assess the importance of teacher support on student wellbeing | Quality of the STR | The importance of teacher support on student wellbeing |
| Hunter et al., 2022 | To assess the effects of culturally rooted afterschool programmes on students’ self-esteem, resilience and cultural identity | Cultural rootedness of planned afterschool programmes | The effect of culturally rooted afterschool programmes on students’ self-esteem, resilience and cultural identity |

cautioned against awarding achieving students to ‘good’ teachers, since this causes a negative STR environment. Furthermore, Lee and Burkam (2003) reported that public schools faced more dropout rates than private schools.

Student Emotional and Mental Health
Most governments in the world categorise education as a basic need; however, some school factors may hinder this requirement. The emotional and mental state of a student can be affected by factors within or outside school. Emotional wellbeing was evaluated in terms of school connectedness (LaRusso et al., 2007; Loukas et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2013; O’Reilly et al., 2018; Piñeiro-Cossio et al., 2021; Tsukawaki and Imura, 2022), family relations (Wang et al., 2013), loneliness (Frostad et al., 2014), and school engagement (Jimerson et al., 2003; Archambault et al., 2009). For example, in assessing students’ frame of mind, Archambault et al. (2009), used three aspects of school engagements: a measure of how much students liked school, and affective and behavioural engagement. School engagement predicted dropouts with a statistically significant correlation ratio of 0.15.

Most researchers concluded that mental health was connected to conduct problems, which were in turn connected to dropout rates. Hess and Copeland (2001) stated that students who sought more professional psychiatric help had a positive association with misbehaviour and were more likely to drop out of school. Emotional stability is an important aspect of a student’s wellbeing.

Student Misconduct
Most studies associated dropping out with the increase in behaviour disorder (Hess and Copeland, 2001; Ryan and Patrick, 2001; Welsh, 2001; Gottfredson et al., 2005; Coetzee et al., 2022; Fernandez and Benner, 2022). There was significant positive association between dropout rates and law violation, suspension, and board violation rates (Christle et al., 2007). In the study by Hess and Copeland (2001), the researchers reported that students who showed high levels of stress had equally high levels of disorderly and risky behaviour involvement, and were more likely to drop out of school.

Social Deviance
There were a few studies that evaluated the causes behind social deviance and misbehaviour in schools, presented as follows: Hess and Copeland (2001); Welsh (2001), Gottfredson...
et al. (2005), Murray and Malmgren (2005), Loukas et al. (2006, 2010), LaRusso et al. (2007), Gobat et al. (2021) and Chan et al. (2022). The reasons for behavioural problems in schools are school climate, student characteristics, and emotional and mental health of students.

**School Climate**

There are a lot of factors that define the school climate, as illustrated by Welsh (2001), Gottfredson et al. (2005), Johns et al. (2019) and Hunter et al. (2022). Tables 3, 4 show the association between these factors and student behaviour deviance. Some of the most important factors that led to social misbehaviour are disrespect for student’s views and perspectives, unfairness and lack of clarity in school rules, poor school administration, poorly organised schools, high number of students, and lack of morale by teachers (Welsh, 2001; Gottfredson et al., 2005). The lack of morale, means that teachers are less involved with students and do not teach out of passion and rather treat it as a job.

**Students’ Emotional and Mental Health**

Students’ misconduct are mainly results of emotional and mental issues. Issues like depressive traits (LaRusso et al., 2007), feeling of social isolation (LaRusso et al., 2007; Loukas et al., 2010), lack of interest in schools (Loukas et al., 2006, 2010) and high stress levels (Hess and Copeland, 2001).

**School-Based Student Behavioural Characteristics**

Apart from emotional issues, other student factors that promote misbehaviour are increase in age, high student retention rate, high ratio of African–American or Hispanic students, high ratio of male students and students spending more time in school activities (Welsh, 2001; Gottfredson et al., 2005; O’Donnell et al., 2022; Salceda et al., 2022). When students spend more time in non-academic activities, they have a lot of free time to indulge in breaking rules (Lee and Burkam, 2003).

**Promoting Wellbeing in Schools**

With regard to the interventions outlined in these studies, Murray and Malmgren (2005) examine the effects of an intervention aimed at increasing adolescent–teacher relationship, finding an improvement of the STR. Kelly et al. (2021) investigated the efficacy of a mentoring program based on psycho-spiritual education and aimed at enhancing school wellbeing and school climate. They found positive effects of psycho-spiritual education on school wellbeing and perceived school climate. Finally, Temple et al. (2000) investigated the effects of students’ participation in the Chicago Child–Parent Centre and Expansion Program on school dropout, finding a decrease in in problematic behaviours and dropout rates.

**DISCUSSION**

School dropout could be defined as a multifactorial phenomenon (Johansson and Uhnoo, 2019) determined by several risk and protective factors that can hinder or enhance students' wellbeing and academic performance (Ramsdal and Wynn, 2022). The main aim of this review was to identify these risk and protective factors highlighted in literature to usually inform teachers, parents, the general public and interventions that can foster students’ school engagement reducing drop out and social deviant behaviours.

From our results emerged that STR and students’ emotions and mental health represents the main factors in predicting students’ drop out and social deviant behaviours.

With regard to students’ emotions and mental health the studies included in this review showed that the social wellbeing of students has an effect on the student’s feelings of belonging to school (LaRusso et al., 2007; Frostad et al., 2014). In this regard, the social setting in a school should be able to mitigate negative emotions like feelings of incompetency, lack of involvement and dislike of schooling life, and consequently increase the commitment and interest to learn. In addition to this, Frostad et al. (2014) stated that loneliness, in contrast to other factors like gender, teacher support and academic

### TABLE 3 | Show of associations between school climate, student characteristics and behaviour problems.

| School climate and student characteristics | Behaviour problems |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------|
|                                          | Offending | Misconduct | Victimisation | Avoidance | Feelings of safety |
| Respect for students                     | NA        | NA         | NA            | NA        | NA                 |
| School planning and action               | NE        | NE         | NA            | NA        | NA                 |
| Fairness of rules                        | NA        | NA         | NA            | NA        | PA                 |
| Clarity of rules                         | NA        | NA         | NA            | NA        | PA                 |
| Student influence:                       | NA        | NA         | NE            | PA        | PA                 |
| Age                                      | PA        | NE         | NA            | NA        | PA                 |
| Race (majority of students are non-white)| PA        | PA         | NA            | NE        | NE                 |
| Gender (majority of students are female) | NE        | NA         | NA            | NA        | PA                 |
| Involvement in school activities         | PA        | PA         | PA            | PA        | NA                 |
| Positive peer associations               | NA        | NA         | NA            | NA        | PA                 |
| Belief in school rules                   | NA        | NA         | NA            | NA        | PA                 |

PA, positive association; NA, negative association; NE, no effect.
achievement, had the strongest associations with the intention to leave. From this review has also emerged that a positive school climate helps in mitigating the effect of negative family life and peer pressure. If students do not feel comfortable in school and at home, they are most likely to turn to friends who will mislead them into misbehaviour. Schools are thus responsible for the school crimes committed by their students; if schools provide the right climate by creating a positive STR, they will be able to reduce rates of students engaged in misbehaviour and misconduct.

Psychosocial factors negatively intervene in enhancing the relationships between dropouts and students’ difficulty in managing educational issues (Finn, 1989; Kratochwill and Stoiber, 2000). Specifically, several studies on dropout, have highlighted the importance of relationships in school dropout processes (Ramsdal et al., 2018). Students who had been separated from their parents over longer periods of time, had struggled to find friends in school, had not supportive teachers, and had struggled with mental health issues reported higher levels of dropout and social deviant behaviours. In particular, positive teacher–child relationships were found to reduce the association between early mental health problems and school dropout (Holen et al., 2018). Relationships in general seem to play an important role in school dropout. Teacher support and loneliness, indeed, predict students’ intention to leave school (Frostad et al., 2014).

Our review identified also other factors related to dropout and social deviance such as school organisation and structure, student individual characteristics and academic achievements. However, according to research that has explored students’ perceptions about school dropout with qualitative interviews, the main challenge of these students is represented by the management of stress related to social situations associated with failure and humiliation (Ramsdal and Wynn, 2022). According to literature, it seems that they lack the necessary resources to cope with these social situation, and this contributed to prolonged stress and failure to maintain their educational goals (16, 17 and 29).

Finally, with regard to interventions outlined in the reviewed studies several important points could be highlighted. Murray and Malmgren (2005) recommended that teachers should be more involved with their students, while Kelly et al. (2021) recommended the enrolment of students into programmes teaching psycho-spiritual principles of universal mind, consciousness and thought. They stated that the teachings improved the student's mental health. Temple et al. (2000) recommended preschool education to teach students about the importance of education and how to handle any education-related issues. In addition to this, schools should improve STR by establishing medium-populated schools (Lee and Burkam, 2003) and treating students equally, despite their academic success (Barile et al., 2011). The teachers should also be respectful to students and willing to regard a student's perspective instead of harshly discriminating their mental capabilities. Some schools should also reevaluate their school curriculum to exert just the right amount of academic stress on students. Social relations are an important part of any person's life, hence, schools should find a way of improving positive peer interactions. For instance, low achievers should stop being discriminated against, rather teachers should help them in their area of interest, be it music or arts. Schools should also improve the psycho-social climate in schools (Gottfredson et al., 2005). Professional help for emotional issues like stress and depression should be made readily available at school. Furthermore, this review demonstrates that mental health is important to a student's wellbeing (LaRusso et al., 2007; Archambault et al., 2009; Loukas et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2013; Frostad et al., 2014); hence, the school should provide readily available mental healthcare.

### LIMITATIONS

The findings of this review should be interpreted in light of the limitations of our own work. Only assessed English-language literature has been assessed and may, therefore, significant findings reported in other languages have been overlooked. Although an exhaustive search was conducted, a relevant search term may have been omitted and consequently relevant studies may have not been retrieved. Finally, although we attempted to screen the retrieved studies thoroughly, it is possible that some salient studies were overlooked. Nonetheless, to the best of our knowledge, this review is the first to systematically review predictors of school dropout and deviant behaviours at school.

### CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE STUDY

Just like any other part of life, education has its own challenges. School wellbeing majorly impacts students’ dropout rates and social deviance problems, with the causes being related to the school climate, STR to a great extent, the school structure and social interactions among students. Schools should know that their environments have a huge impact on the student’s mental health, hence if preventive measures fail, treatment should be readily available.

To date, no single effect of interventions aimed at increasing school completion has been found to be explained by one
single factor within the various factors associated with the risk of dropping out of school, confirming the multidimensional nature of these variables (Ramsdal and Wynn, 2022).

This review aimed at reports on a number of factors that can affect a student’s dropping-out rate and social deviance, in comparison most earlier studies that have only focussed on one factor, usually inform the creation of preventive and supportive interventions.

Future research perspectives could focus on the use of psycho-educational intervention protocols, not only in the school context but also in the wider community context.
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