OCT4 increases BIRC5 and CCND1 expression and promotes cancer progression in hepatocellular carcinoma
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Abstract

Background: OCT4 and BIRC5 are preferentially expressed in human cancer cells and mediate cancer cell survival and tumor maintenance. However, the molecular mechanism that regulates OCT4 and BIRC5 expression is not well characterized.

Methods: By manipulating OCT4 and BIRC5 expression in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) cell lines, the regulatory mechanism of OCT4 on BIRC5 and CCND1 were investigated.

Results: Increasing or decreasing OCT4 expression could enhance or suppress BIRC5 expression, respectively, by regulating the activity of BIRC5 promoter. Because there is no binding site for OCT4 within BIRC5 promoter, the effect of OCT4 on BIRC5 promoter is indirect. An octamer motif for OCT4 in the CCND1 promoter has directly and partly participated in the regulation of CCND1 promoter activity, suggesting that OCT4 also could upregulated the expression of CCND1. Co-suppression of OCT4 and BIRC5 induced cancer cell apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, thereby efficiently inhibiting the proliferative activity of cancer cells and suppressing the growth of HCC xenografts in nude mice.

Conclusion: OCT4 can upregulate BIRC5 and CCND1 expression by increasing their promoter activity. These factors collusively promotes HCC cell proliferation, and co-suppression of OCT4 and BIRC5 is potentially beneficial for HCC treatment.
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Background

Recurrence and metastasis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) depend on the persistent proliferative activity of cancer cells. BIRC5, also called Survivin, has been shown to play a pivotal role in cancers by influencing cell division and proliferation and by inhibiting apoptosis [1]. Many studies using clinical specimens have shown that BIRC5 is invariably overexpressed in a majority of human cancers and is linked to poor patient prognosis but is rarely expressed in normal tissues [2]. Based on the abnormally high activation of BIRC5 during carcinogenesis in various types of cancers, treatment that targets BIRC5 has been increasingly recognized as a promising therapy for various cancers. However, when the anti-BIRC5 agent is used alone, the long-term efficacy remains uncertain and is variable for different types of cancers; tumors have always relapsed and regrown in later stages after treatment. Many factors are involved in the regulation of BIRC5 expression and function, and all of these factors influence the efficacy of BIRC5-targeting strategies. We have found that P16 reactivation in HCC cells down-regulates BIRC5 expression and limits CDK4 import into nuclei, and then exhibits the effect of cell cycle arrest and the induction of detachment-induced apoptosis [3]. Another research group has reported that the octamer-binding transcription factor 4 (OCT4) regulates BIRC5 expression, which was dramatically
decreased in OCT4 knockdown murine embryonic stem cells [4].

OCT4, a member of the POU-domain transcription factor family, plays a pivotal role in the regulation and maintenance of the cellular pluripotent state [5,6]. More recently, the expression of OCT4 in human cancer cells has been demonstrated [7-9]. OCT4 activates the transcription of downstream target genes via its octamer motif (5'-ATGCAAAT-3') [10], and various genes have been reported to have the OCT4 binding sites, including fibroblast growth factor 4 (FGF-4) [11]. Both global chromatin immunoprecipitation assays and global expression profiling have been used to characterize the gene regulatory network governed by OCT4, and a large list of candidate target genes, whose regulatory sequences are recognized by OCT4, has been generated [12,13]. OCT4 also can bind to other similar sequence motifs [13,14]. However, many downstream target genes do not have OCT4 motifs but might still be valid candidates as putative indirect targets of OCT4. The BIRC5 promoter was reported to not have binding sites for OCT4, although OCT4 knockdown in murine embryonic stem cells has been shown to decrease the expression level of BIRC5 protein, suggesting an indirect effect of OCT4 on BIRC5 expression [4].

In this study, we investigated the regulatory mechanism and significance of OCT4 on BIRC5 and CCND1 expression in HCC. Although the roles for BIRC5 and OCT4 in cancers are well-recognized in a number of previous studies, we gave the first evidence that OCT4 indirectly manipulates the expression and function of BIRC5, and also directly upregulates the expression of CCND1. These factors collude to promote cancer cell proliferation and resist cancer cell apoptosis. This innovative finding provides new insight into the regulation of OCT4 on CCND1 expression through a previously unidentified mechanism and indicates a variety of novel biological and prognostic markers, as well as potential therapeutic targets, for cancer diagnosis and treatment.

Methods

Vectors and adenoviruses

Vectors expressing the specific small hairpin RNA (shRNA), including BIRC5-shRNA, OCT4-shRNA and Dual-shRNA, were synthesized by and purchased from Wuhan Genesil Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Wuhan, China). The 19-nt sense DNA of BIRC5-shRNA (5'-GAAA GTGCCGGTGGCCTAC-3') targets base pairs 436–454 of the BIRC5 gene (HSU75285), and the 19-nt sense DNA of OCT4-shRNA (5'-CCCTCAGTTCAGCTGCA CTG-3') targets base pairs 1233–1253 of the OCT4 gene (DQ486513.1). Both gene elements were controlled by the U6 promoter. A mock control shRNA vector (Ctrl-shRNA, 5'-GACTTCATAAGGCGCATGC-3') was concomitantly constructed.

Full-length OCT4 cDNA was cloned into pDC315 (Microbiot Biosystems, Ontario, Canada) at the EcoRI and SalI sites to generate pDC315-OCT4. Sequences of the shRNA loop were digested from shRNA vectors and then inserted into pDC315 at the BamHI and SalI sites to obtain pDC315-shBIRC5, pDC315-shOCT4 and pDual-shRNA. The plasmids pDC315-OCT4, pDC315-shBIRC5, pDC315-shOCT4 and pDual-shRNA were transfected into HEK293 cells (Microbiot Biosystems, Ontario, Canada) using the Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen Corporation Shanghai Representative Office, Shanghai, China) together with the type 5 adenovirus packaging plasmid pBHGloxdelE13cre (Microbiot Biosystems, Ontario, Canada) to generate a set of adenoviruses named Ad5-OCT4, Ad5-shBIRC5, Ad5-shOCT4 and AdDual-shRNA.

Promoter-regulated reporter gene vectors

The luciferase plasmid pSRVN-Luc, in which luciferase expression was under the control of the BIRC5 promoter (nucleotides 1824–2800, GenBank U75285), was kindly provided by Himanshu Garg (Center of Excellence for Infectious Disease, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, TX). The BIRC5 promoter was amplified with the indicated primers (P1: 5’-cgGCTAGCattacagc cagag-3’; P2: 5’-gaAGATCTgcggccgccccctct-3’) and inserted into an EGFP plasmid at the NheI and BglII sites to yield pSRVN-EGFP.

The wild type CCND1 promoter (wPro; nucleotides 2501–3178, GenBank Z29078.1) was amplified from HepG2 genomic DNA with the indicated primers (P3: 5’-cgGGAATTCGAGTGGAGGAGG-3’; P4: 5’-cgGAATTC AAGCTTggctggggctcttc ctg-3’) and inserted into the luciferase plasmid at the HindIII and BglII sites to generate pGL3wPro-Luc. The base pairs “ATTTGCAAT” in wPro from –252 to –245 were replaced by base pairs “ATCTGTAT” and “ATTTGAAATGCAAT” (PORE motif) to construct the motif-mutated promoter (mPro) and motif-enhanced promoter (ePro), respectively. The mPro-controlled luciferase plasmid (pGL3mPro-Luc) and the ePro-controlled luciferase plasmid (pGL3ePro-Luc) were generated.

Animal experiments

Hep3B cells were subcutaneously injected into the right flanks of BALB/c (nu/nu) mice (10^3 cells per mouse) (Shanghai Experimental Animal Center, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China) to establish xenograft tumors. Ten weeks later, mice were separated randomly into 4 groups (Dual-shRNA, BIRC5-shRNA, OCT4-shRNA and blank control groups) with 5 mice per group. Mice in the virus-treated groups were given 5 viral
intratumoral injections once every other day for a total dosage of $10^9$ pfu virus per mouse. Mice in the control group were given the same volume of viral preservation solution (10 mmol/L Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 2 mmol/L MgCl$_2$, 4% sucrose). Tumor size was measured regularly, and the tumor volume was estimated with the formula $a \times b^2 \times 0.5$,

in which $a$ and $b$ represent the maximal and minimal diameters, respectively. The animal welfare guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals were approved by the Animal Care Committee of Second Military Medical University (No. SCXX2009-0003).

Statistical analysis

The experimental data were statistically analyzed using the student’s $t$ test, and two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) according to the properties of the data. All tests were performed using the PASW Statistics 18.0 software. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. The detailed methods is available at Journal’s website as Additional file 1: Supplementary Methods.

Results

BIRC5 expression was associated with OCT4 in HCC cells

To verify the regulation of OCT4 and BIRC5 expression in HCC cells, a plasmid vector expressing BIRC5-specific small hairpin RNA (BIRC5-shRNA) or OCT4-specific small hairpin RNA (OCT4-shRNA) and an adenovirus vector expressing OCT4 (Ad5-OCT4) were constructed and used to manipulate the expression of BIRC5 and OCT4 in HCC cells. Based on Western blotting results, the parental HCC cells, including Hep3B, HepG2, PLC/PRF5, SMMC-7721, BEL-7402, SK-Hep-1 and BEL-7404, were all positive for BIRC5, although the expression levels were lower in SMMC-7721, BEL-7402 and BEL-7404 cells than in Hep3B, HepG2, PLC/PRF5 and SK-Hep-1 cells. Regarding OCT4 expression, Hep3B, HepG2, PLC/PRF5 and SK-Hep-1 cells were positive and BEL-7402 and BEL-7404 cells were negative (Figure 1A). Using flow cytometric analysis, we analyzed CD133 expression of HCC cell lines. The results showed that the percentages of CD133-positive cells were consistent with OCT4 expression in these cell lines (Figure 1B).

Because Hep3B cells expressed both BIRC5 and OCT4, and BEL-7404 cells expressed OCT4 but not OCT4, both cell lines were transfected with BIRC5-shRNA and OCT4-shRNA vectors, and BEL-7404 cells were infected with Ad5-OCT4. After transfection with BIRC5-shRNA, BIRC5 expression was considerably down-regulated in Hep3B and BEL-7404 cells, whereas OCT4 expression was not affected. However, after transfection with OCT4-shRNA, OCT4 expression was inhibited; BIRC5 was also clearly down-regulated even in the OCT4-negative BEL-7404 cells. To further validate this observation, BEL-7404 cells were infected with Ad5-

OCT4, and the results demonstrated that adenovirus-mediated overexpression of OCT4 leads to a significant up-regulation of BIRC5 (Figure 1C).

The dynamic localization and expression levels of BIRC5 and OCT4 were analyzed in HCC cells by immunofluorescent labeling. BIRC5 expression was observed in the cytoplasm of Hep3B parental cells and was inhibited after transfection with BIRC5-shRNA. OCT4 expression was detected in the nuclei of Hep3B parental cells and was inhibited after transfection with OCT4-shRNA. OCT4-shRNA transfection consequently resulted in the down-regulation of BIRC5 and also induced cell apoptosis, as indicated by the occurrence of nuclear condensation and apoptotic bodies (Figure 1D). In BEL-7404 cells, BIRC5 expression was increased by adenovirus-mediated OCT4 expression in the cytoplasm and nuclei (Figure 1E).

OCT4 upregulated BIRC5 expression by activating the BIRC5 promoter

The expression of BIRC5 varied with changes in the expression of OCT4, suggesting that BIRC5 is under the control of OCT4. To explore the superior-subordinate relationship between BIRC5 and OCT4, the BIRC5 proximal promoter was amplified (Figure 2A) and cloned into luciferase reporter gene and enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) gene vectors, and the BIRC5 promoter activity was then measured in HCC cells. Compared to the normal BJ cell line, the relative activity of BIRC5 promoter in HCC cells was higher, particularly in the Hep3B, PLC/PRF5 and HepG2 HCC cell lines. OCT4-negative cells, such as BEL-7402 and BEL-7404, also presented high BIRC5 promoter activity compared to BJ cells (Figure 2B). After the inhibition of OCT4 expression with OCT4-shRNA, the relative BIRC5 promoter activity in Hep3B cells was significantly suppressed ($p = 0.0099$). Adenovirus-induced OCT4 expression in BEL-7404 cells resulted in an increase in BIRC5 promoter activity ($P = 0.0199$). Results using the EGFP vector were consistent with those using the luciferase vector (Figure 2C).

OCT4 upregulated CCND1 expression by activating the CCND1 promoter

OCT4 can control cell cycle by up-regulating target genes associated with cell cycle [10]. Therefore, we screened for OCT4 binding sites in the promoter regions of these cell cycle regulators and found that the CCND1 proximal promoter contains an octamer motif at −252 to −245 (Figure 3A). After CCND1 expression in HCC cells was confirmed by Western blotting, we cloned the wild type, motif-mutated, and motif-enhanced CCND1 promoters and investigated OCT4 regulatory function using the CCND1 promoter.
Figure 1 BIRC5 and OCT4 expression in HCC cell lines. (A) Cell lines were cultured in 6-well plates at a density of $10^5$ cells/well for 48 h, and then harvested for measuring expression of BIRC5 and OCT4 by Western blotting. Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as the loading control, and densitometry analysis was performed to show BIRC5 and OCT4 expression levels normalized with GAPDH density. (B) Cells were harvested, the CD133-positive cells were quantified by flow cytometry and showed in percentages of total cells counted; *, $P<0.05$; **, $P<0.01$. (C) Cells were infected with Ad5-OCT4 at an MOI of 20 pfu/cell, or transfected with shRNA vectors at 20 μg/well. BIRC5 and OCT4 expression was measured by Western blotting. Densitometry analysis was performed to show BIRC5 expression levels, normalized with GAPDH density; *, $P<0.05$; **, $P<0.01$. (D, E) The parental, adenovirus-infected and shRNA-transfected HCC cells, including Hep3B (D) and BEL-7404 (E), were cultured in Lab-Tek chamber at a density of $10^4$ cells/well for 48 h, fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 30 min, and labeled by the indicated fluorescent antibodies. DAPI was used to stain cellular nuclei, original magnification 400×.
Figure 2 BIRC5 promoter activity in HCC cells. (A) Scheme of binding sites for transcription factors in the BIRC5 proximal promoter region. (B) Cell lines, including the indicated parental, adenovirus-infected and shRNA-transfected cells, were seeded on 24-well plates at a density of $5 \times 10^4$ cells/well and transfected with the BIRC5 promoter-driven luciferase plasmid pSRV-Luc (200 ng/well). The relative activity of BIRC5 promoter in HCC cells was measured and shown in histograms; *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$. (C) Cells were seeded on 96-well plates at a density of $5 \times 10^3$ cells/well, transfected with the BIRC5 promoter-driven EGFP plasmid pSRV-EGFP (2 μg/well), and observed under a fluorescent microscope 48 h later, original magnification 200 ×.
Figure 3 CCND1 promoter activity in HCC cells. (A) The wild type CCND1 promoter (wPro) containing the transcription factor binding sites was cloned from HepG2 DNA genome. From the wPro, the motif-mutated promoter (mPro) and motif-enhanced promoter (ePro) within −252 to −245 were amplified and used to construct the CCND1 promoter-driven luciferase plasmids, pGL3wPro-Luc, pGL3mPro-Luc and pGL3ePro-Luc. (B) CCND1 and OCT4 expression was detected by Western blotting in HCC cells. GAPDH was used as the loading control, and densitometry analysis was performed to show CCND1 and OCT4 expression levels normalized with GAPDH density; **, \( P < 0.01 \). (C) The indicated parental, adenovirus-infected and shRNA-transfected cells were seeded on 24-well plates at a density of \( 5 \times 10^4 \) cells/well and transfected with the plasmids pGL3wPro-Luc, pGL3mPro-Luc and pGL3ePro-Luc at 200 ng/well. The relative activity of CCND1 promoter in HCC cells was measured and shown in histograms; *, \( P < 0.05 \); **, \( P < 0.01 \).
Both Hep3B and BEL-7404 cells were positive for CCND1 expression. Silencing of OCT4 expression by OCT4-shRNA resulted in the down-regulation of CCND1 expression in Hep3B cells, whereas enhanced OCT4 expression by Ad5-OCT4 infection led to an up-regulation of CCND1 expression in BEL-7404 cells (Figure 3B). The relative CCND1 promoter activity was detected using the luciferase reporter assay (Figure 3C). In OCT4-positive Hep3B cells, the activity of mutated promoter (mPro) was slightly lower compared to that of the wild type promoter (wPro) \((P = 0.0644)\), whereas the activity of the enhanced promoter (ePro) with a PORE motif was significantly higher \((P = 0.0446)\). After the silencing of OCT4 expression, wPro or ePro activity was decreased relative to the parental cells \((wPro: P = 0.0192; ePro: P = 0.0075)\).

In OCT4-negative BEL-7404 cells, the three promoters consistently maintained a similar level of activity. However, when OCT4 was re-expressed, wPro and ePro activity was significantly increased \((wPro: P = 0.0106; ePro: P = 0.0167\), compared with the parental cells).

Co-suppression of OCT4 and BIRC5 inhibits Hep3B cell growth and induces cell apoptosis

To further explore the functions of OCT4 and BIRC5 in cancer cell proliferation, a dual-target shRNA vector (Dual-shRNA) that targeted OCT4 and BIRC5 was constructed, and its inhibitory effect on HCC cells was compared to that of the mono-target shRNA vectors. After transfection with the shRNA vectors, cancer cell viability was slightly lower with each of the vectors (Figure 4A). The inhibitory effect of OCT4-shRNA on Hep3B cell viability was stronger than that of BIRC5-shRNA, and...
Figure 5 (See legend on next page.)
Co-suppression of OCT4 and BIRC5 induces Hep3B cell cycle arrest

The parental and shRNA-transfected Hep3B cells were then examined by flow cytometry using propidium iodide (PI) staining to identify phases of cell cycle arrest. Compared with the parental cells, OCT4-shRNA transfection resulted in a G1-phase arrest ($P = 0.0193$) and G2-phase decrease ($P = 0.0067$); BIRC5-shRNA transfection resulted in a G2-phase arrest ($P = 0.0129$), and Dual-shRNA transfection resulted in a distinct G1-phase arrest ($P = 0.0072$) and S-phase decrease ($P = 0.0024$; Figure 5).

Simultaneously silencing of OCT4 and BIRC5 exhibits strong antitumor potency against HCC xenograft tumors in nude mice

Hep3B xenograft tumors were established in nude mice and infected with adenoviruses carrying OCT4-shRNA or/and BIRC5-shRNA. Compared with the control group, adenovirus with Dual-shRNA exerted the strongest inhibitory effect on tumor growth because the tumor inhibitory rate was 67.59% ($P = 0.0001$) compared to 44.54% ($P = 0.0020$) and 25.25% ($P = 0.0418$) in the OCT4-shRNA and BIRC5-shRNA groups, respectively (Figure 6A). After completing the treatments, tumors in the BIRC5-shRNA group gradually regrew, but those in the OCT4-shRNA group showed a longer-lasting growth-inhibitory effect. Adenovirus with Dual-shRNA exhibited the greatest antitumor potency ($P = 0.0358$ for the OCT4-shRNA group, $P = 0.0025$ for the BIRC5-shRNA group, versus the Dual-shRNA group). After the observation period, the mice were killed, and the tumors were removed. The tumor weights also indicated that the Dual-shRNA group had the greatest antitumor efficacy (Figure 6B). Paraffin-embedded tumor sections were examined by immunohistochemistry and terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP nick end labelling (TUNEL). In the blank control group, cancer cells were positive for OCT4 and BIRC5 expression, and few tumor cells were positive for TUNEL staining. However, in the BIRC5-shRNA group, BIRC5 expression was down-regulated and the apoptotic cell percentage was increased. When OCT4 expression was down-regulated by OCT4-shRNA in tumor cells, BIRC5 expression was also suppressed accompanied by an increase in the percentage of apoptotic cells. The Dual-shRNA simultaneously silenced OCT4 and BIRC5 expression and resulted in a significant increase in apoptotic cells in Hep3B xenograft tumors (Figure 6C).

Discussion

As a member of the inhibitors of apoptosis protein (IAPs) family, BIRC5 is preferentially expressed in human cancer cells and has multiple functions, including the inhibition of cell apoptosis [1], control of the cell cycle [15,16], promotion of tumor angiogenesis [17,18], resistance to chemotherapy or radiotherapy [19], acceleration of metastasis and recurrence [20,21], and regulation of cancer cell autophagy [22], all of which favour cancer cell survival and tumor maintenance. Therefore, multiple strategies have been employed to target BIRC5 for cancer therapy by silencing BIRC5 expression with small interfering RNA [23] or antisense oligonucleotides [24], inhibiting the BIRC5 promoter activity with small-molecule antagonists [25], and interfering BIRC5 function with dominant-negative mutant forms of the protein [26]. Some of these strategies are being applied in clinical trials at various phases, and the initial results are promising when combined with other treatments, such as chemotherapy or radiotherapy [16,27]. Although certain strategies for cancer therapy targeting BIRC5 have shown a varied extent of antitumor efficacy, the potential benefit of single anti-BIRC5 treatment in different types of cancers is uncertain. Although the down-regulation of BIRC5 expression by anti-BIRC5 agents can inhibit the growth of cancer, tumors consistently obtain growth capabilities in later stages, demonstrating that this treatment approach remains poorly characterized and requires further study.

BIRC5 expression is precisely regulated at transcriptional and post-translational levels. The signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (Stat-3), β-catenin-activated T-cell factor (TCF) transcription factor, hypoxia-inducible factor-1 alpha (HIF-1α) and Sp1 transcription factor promote BIRC5 expression by increasing BIRC5 promoter activity [28-31]. Sp1-mediated BIRC5 expression can be suppressed by p53 [32]. The stability of BIRC5 protein represents another potential method of regulating BIRC5 function. BIRC5 protein is phosphorylated at Thr34 by cdc2 kinase, which prevents BIRC5 proteosome-
mediated clearance or degradation [33]. Recently, OCT4 was reported to have a regulatory effect on BIRC5 expression [4].

OCT4 belongs to the family of POU-domain transcription factors, which are involved in the regulation of cell growth and differentiation in a variety of tissues [11,34]. Many studies have shown that OCT4 expression is restricted to germline and pregastrulation embryos and also to embryonal carcinomas and testicular germ cell tumors [7], but not expressed in mature somatic cells. Further evidence has shown that some cancer cells, such as breast, bladder, prostate, liver, head and neck squamous cell cancer and non-small cell lung cancers, are positive for OCT4 expression [7-9,35-39]. Therefore, OCT4 acts as a multifunctional factor not only in stem cells but also in many cancers, and the expression of OCT4 causes more malignant histological phenotypes, including rapid progression, great metastasis, and short cancer-related survival. However, one study unexpectedly found that adult human peripheral blood mononuclear cells, which are genetically stable and mainly terminally differentiated cells with a limited lifespan, express OCT4; this finding challenges the paradigm of OCT4 as a marker of pure stem cells and provides novel insight into the

Figure 6 Antitumour efficacy of OCT4 and BIRC5 co-suppression in mouse xenograft models. (A) Hep3B cells were injected into BALB/c (nu/nu) mice for establishing xenograft tumours. Adenoviruses carrying Dual-shRNA, BIRC5-shRNA, OCT4-shRNA were used to treat tumours by intratumoral injections with a total dosage of $10^9$ pfu viruses per mouse, and the tumour volume was measured every week. (B) Observation was ended (day 39 after treatment) when the tumours were over 2,500 mm$^3$. Mice were killed, tumours were removed and weighed; *, $P < 0.05$, n = 5; **, $P < 0.01$, n = 5. (C) The paraffin-embedded consecutive sections of tumours were detected for OCT4 and BIRC5 expression by immunohistochemistry and for counting apoptotic cells by TUNEL, original magnification 200x.
role of OCT4 in fully differentiated cells [11]. OCT4 functions by directly or indirectly activating a series of downstream target genes. By characterizing the genes in OCT4-mediated regulatory networks, it has been found that many candidate target genes that are directly regulated by OCT4 have an OCT4-binding octamer motif [10]. However, a large number of target genes, such as BIRC5 [4], have no OCT4 motifs and might be indirectly regulated by OCT4. Therefore, transcriptional regulation of target genes by OCT4 is very complicated, and it is necessary to understand the key gene network that maintains cell pluripotency in embryo development and governs cell differentiation and proliferation in cancer progression.

To clarify the relationship between OCT4 and BIRC5 in HCC, we first analyzed the OCT4 and BIRC5 expression levels in HCC cell lines, including Hep3B, HepG2, PLC/PRF5, SMMC-7721, BEL-7402 and BEL-7404. All cell lines were positive for BIRC5 expression, although only the Hep3B, HepG2 and PLC/PRF5 cells were positive for OCT4 expression; SMMC-7721 cells were weakly positive for OCT4 expression. OCT4 and BIRC5 expression was also investigated by immunohistochemistry in 49 pairs of cancer and liver tissues taken from HCC patients. They were overexpressed in HCC compared with the corresponding liver tissues (Additional file 2: Table S1). BIRC5 immunostaining was mainly localized in cancer cell cytoplasm and nuclei, and OCT4 expression was localized in cancer cell nuclei (Additional file 3: Figure S1).

We found that the expression levels of OCT4 in HCC cell lines were consistent with the percentages of CD133-positive cells, suggesting that OCT4 expression might be related to CD133 expression. By manipulating the expression of OCT4 and BIRC5, we found that BIRC5 expression silencing did not influence OCT4 expression in Hep3B and BEL-7404 cells. However, downregulation of OCT4 expression inhibited BIRC5 expression, even in the OCT4-negative BEL-7404 cells, and increasing OCT4 expression by infection with adenovirus carrying the OCT4 gene in BEL-7404 cells up-regulated BIRC5 expression. In exploring the superior-subordinate relationship between BIRC5 and OCT4, we found that the relative activity of the BIRC5 promoter in HCC cells was controlled by OCT4. These results seemingly demonstrated that BIRC5 is a downstream target gene of OCT4.

Functional binding sites for the transcription factors SP1, KLF5, HIF-1α, Rb/E2F, TCF4 and Egr1 have been found in the BIRC5 gene promoter, suggesting that these factors regulate BIRC5 gene expression [40]. However, a binding site for OCT4 is not found in the BIRC5 promoter region, suggesting that OCT4 may indirectly regulate BIRC5 expression [13,40]. In addition to the Rb suppressor and E2F activators (i.e., E2F1, E2F2 and E2F3) that bind directly to the BIRC5 promoter and regulate BIRC5 transcription [41], the regulatory proteins CDK4, SKP2, Rad51, BRCA2, E2F-DP1, CCND1, Stat3, Rb and p21 can activate the SP1 promoter [42], which then indirectly leads to an increase in BIRC5 expression. These factors are all involved in cell cycle regulation. In addition, OCT4 modulates the cell cycle by up-regulating CDKN1B, CDKN1C, CDK6 and MAPK4 [10]. Coincidentally, by screening the binding sites in the promoter regions of these cell cycle regulators, we found an octamer motif for OCT4 at −252 to −245 in the CCND1 proximal promoter. Further studies have confirmed that CCND1 expression and promoter activity is strictly correlated with OCT4 expression levels in OCT4-positive Hep3B cells. When the OCT4 motif in the CCND1 promoter was mutated or modified with a PORE motif that could bind two OCT4 molecules, the promoter activity was suppressed or enhanced, respectively. We also observed high CCND1 promoter activity in OCT4-negative BEL-7404 cells. These results suggested that the OCT4 motif might participate in the regulation of CCND1 promoter activity, and that there are other factors that regulate CCND1 promoter activity in HCC cells.

In the in vitro experiments, silencing of BIRC5 expression effectively induced apoptosis and cell cycle arrest in HCC cells, thereby inhibiting cancer cell proliferation and decreasing cancer cell viability. Co-suppression of OCT4 and BIRC5 further enhanced the inhibitory effect on cancer cell proliferation. In the in vivo experiments, BIRC5-shRNA expression inhibited the growth of HCC xenograft tumors by inducing cell apoptosis, although tumor growth was restored in the late stage after the adenovirus injections ceased. The Dual-shRNA that targeted both OCT4 and BIRC5 inhibited tumor growth with great efficiency for a long period of time. These results showed that OCT4 and BIRC5 collusively educe cell proliferation. Clinical follow-up information also demonstrated that the HCC patients who showed co-expression of OCT4 and BIRC5 in cancer tissues had poorer disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) than patients who were negative for both OCT4 and BIRC5 (Additional file 4: Figure S2).

Conclusions
This study demonstrated that OCT4 is an upstream gene that indirectly upregulates BIRC5 expression, and directly upregulates CCND1 expression. These factors collude to promote the proliferation of cancer cells and contribute to the poor prognosis of HCC patients. The innovative finding provides new insight into the regulation of OCT4-BIRC5 or OCT4-CCND1 signaling in HCC, which may be helpful for cancer diagnosis and treatment.
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Additional file 2: Table S1. OCT4 and BIRC5 expression related to HCC clinicopathological features.

Additional file 3: Figure S1. OCT4 and BIRC5 overexpression in clinical hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) specimens. (A) Forty-nine pairs of cancer tissues and liver tissues were taken from clinical HCC patients. All patients underwent hepatectomy at the Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital (Shanghai, China) between September 25, 2007, and September 30, 2009. The study patients were confirmed to have no distant metastases, detectable ascites, or chemotherapy and radiotherapy before surgery. The resected lesions were diagnosed pathologically as HCC after surgery. Paraffin-embedded consecutive sections were subjected to immunohistochemical examination for BIRC5 and OCT4 expression using the UltraSensitive Streptavidin Peroxidase Kit (Fuzhou Maixin Biotechnology Development Co., Fuzhou, China), original magnification 200x. (B) OCT4 and BIRC5 expression levels were scored according to the percentages of positive cells counted within 5 high-power fields, positive cell percentages from <10% to <100% were defined as score 1 to 9, all cells that were negative were scored as zero. Patient with 2 or more than score 2 was qualified as positive case. The clinical study was performed after obtaining the informed consent from every patient and did not show the patients’ names.

Additional file 4: Figure S2. Correlation of OCT4 and BIRC5 to prognosis of HCC patients. (A) Follow-up for HCC patients started on the operation date and ended on August 1, 2010. The median duration of follow-up was 12.67 months (ranging from 1.6 to 34.2 months). During the follow-up period, patients were monitored for tumor recurrence by examination of serum AFP, abdominal ultrasound, chest radiography, computed tomography and/or magnetic resonance imaging; finally patients were also subjected to imaging diagnosis to find recurrent tumors. The disease-free survival (DFS) was defined as the time period from the follow-up start date to the date of tumor recurrence (for the patients with tumor recurrence) or the follow-up end date (for the patients without tumor recurrence), and the overall survival (OS) was defined as the time period from the start date of follow-up to the date of death or the end date of follow-up. Survival curves were calculated by the Kaplan-Meier method, and the significant difference among the three groups was compared using log-rank test. (B) Every two groups were compared and shown in Kaplan-Meier curves.
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