Effect of feeding *Tephrosia bracteolate* on the bioavailability of macro minerals in goat
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**Abstract**

Sixteen West African Dwarf Goats (8 bucks and 8 does) were balanced for age, sex and weight (average of 5.79±0.60 kg), to evaluate the effect of *Tephrosia bracteolate* (Tb) on macro mineral utilization. To examine the effect of *Tephrosia bracteolate* (Tb), different levels of Tb were used (Tb20, Tb40, Tb60 and Tb80). Ca absorbed (gm) ranged from 0.64 (Tb20) to 1.57 (Tb80) and Ca retention was from 0.62 (Tb20) to 1.56 (Tb80) which was partially linear. In both absorption and retention of Ca, Tb20 and Tb80 found the lowest (P<0.05) and the highest (P<0.05) value respectively. Like Ca, P also followed the same trend in case of retention and absorption as well. Concerning Mg, the lowest (0.41, 0.40) and the highest (0.67, 0.65) absorbed and retained value (g/day) was observed in Tb 20 and Tb 80 respectively. Tb80 showed significantly higher Na absorption than Tb20. In case of Na retention, Tb80 showed the highest (P<0.05) retention than other groups. In K absorption (g/day), increasing trends (P<0.05) were observed from Tb20 (0.44) to Tb80 (1.25). In case of K retention, Tb80 found the highest (P<0.05) K retention than other groups. These positive balances of minerals result linearly appreciating with the increase of *T. bracteolate* in the diets which might be due to leguminous properties in *T. bracteolate*. *T. bracteolate* can be used as a roughage source for goats without any remarkable adverse or, side or ill effects which affect the well-being of animals feeding.
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**Introduction**

The importance of forage legume has been emphasized over the years and they form a very important part of goat diets especially in rural areas. The presence of mineral elements in animal feeds is vital for animal metabolic processes. Grazing or rural livestock productions from tropical countries do not receive mineral supplementation except for common salt (NaCl) and must depend almost exclusively upon forage for their mineral requirements (McDowell, 1985). Although, lack of energy and protein are the main limiting factors in livestock products. Mineral deficiencies also seem to limit various production and reproduction traits in the animal. The role of trace elements in production is a key feature of sustainable agricultural systems. *Tephrosia* being a legume has all the nutrients in almost complete and balance quantity (Protein, Energy, Vitamins and Minerals). It contains phyto-estrogens which have accelerated efficient nutrients utilization. These species have wide adaptability and biodiversity (annual or biennial) depending on habitat and can be established through seedling recruitment (Daniel 1871). *Tephrosia bracteolate* is a useful plant of West Tropical Africa (Hutchinson et al., 1954). Guinea grass (*Panicum maximum*) is a widely distributed tussock lump forming grass that grows best on warm frost-free areas. It is considered the best grass for ruminant production and is highly relished by a goat which is readily available and stimulates ruminal microbial growth (Ajayi et al., 2005). Various works have been done in a combination of this legume and the grass on the performance characteristics of goats (Adeloye, 1994 and Anugwa et al., 2000). Ensuing hypothesis is that there is no significant difference in response from legume levels inclusion which informs the experimenting the effects of different levels of *Tephrosia bracteolate* on the macromineral balance in West African dwarf goats fed with concentrate.

**Materials and Methods**

**Experimental site**

The trials were carried out at the Teaching and Research Rocky Filer farm of University of
Ibadan, Ibadan Oyo state (forest savannah Transition zone) located at 7o15'-7o30' N and Longitude 3°45'- 4°001 East at an altitude of between 200 and 300 meters above sea level and climate is of sub-humid type. The soil is well-drained and belongs to the Alfisols (Rhodic Kandiustalf) order (FAO 2000).

Pen Management

The pens and metabolism cages were swept and dusted and were later fumigated with Dettol (Chloroxylenol®, a strong antiseptic/disinfectant) manufactured by Reckitt Benckiser Ogun state, Nigeria at the rate of 27ml to 1 liter of water and also with Diazintol (Diazinon Dimpylate® a strong and broad-spectrum insecticide manufactured by Alfasan International B.V.Holland), at the rate of 2ml to 1 litre of water. A mixture of used automobile engine oil (1 liter) and sieved wooden ash (250 gram) was basally applied on the floor to repel soldier ants, dorylus spp. Wood shaven was later spread on the floor of pens fortnightly and changed respectively until the end of the trial.

Experimental Design and Treatments

The animals were divided into 5 groups (4 each) after balancing for age and weight. Each group was randomly assigned to one of the five treatments and individual animals were completely randomized within the pens. Each animal was fed twice daily with both forages (4% body weight of forage allowance) and with concentrate (1% body weight of concentrate allowance). Both allowances constitute the feed allowance which was 5% body weight of the animal as shown in Table 2. This feed allowance was constantly adjusted as animal weight changes. Each component was served in separate containers and ad libitum fresh drinking water was available to the animal.

Table 1: Dietary treatments allocation

| Ingredients                  | Tb20 | Tb40 | Tb60 | Tb80 |
|------------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Tephrosia bracteolata (Tb)   | 20   | 40   | 60   | 80   |
| Panicum maximum (Pm)         | 60   | 40   | 20   | -    |
| Tb + Pm (forage allowance 80%, 4% BW) | 4   | 4   | 4   | 4    |
| Concentrate 20% (1% BW)      | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| Forage+ Concentrate (Feed allowance, 5% BW) | 5   | 5   | 5   | 5    |

The live weight of goats was measured at the beginning of the trial and subsequently at a weekly interval in the morning before the feed was offered. Daily feed intake, weight change, and mortality were recorded to assess the performance. To calculate daily feed intake, the amount of T. bracteolata, Panicum maximum and concentrate offered to and refused by each animal were recorded daily. Feed samples offered to the goats collected three times per week. Samples were oven-dried at 650°C for 48 hours. For DM determination, samples were oven-dried at between 100-1050°C for 48 hours in an oven at the beginning of the trial and subsequently at
weekly intervals. The goats were transferred to metabolism cages in the last 12 days. This was made of welded wire mesh fitted with removable feeders and arranged for the quantitative collection of feces and urine separately under the same feeding and management during the growth trial. The animals were left to adjust” in the cages for 5 days. After which total feces and urine produced by individual animals were collected. In accordance with CIOMS (1985), offered and refused feed was recorded daily and samples bulked separately for each animal for the entire collection period. Total fecal output and urine were collected in the morning before feeding and watering. The feces were weighed fresh and 10% aliquots of each day’s collection for each animal were taken and prepared for storage and DM determination as mentioned earlier. Feeds and fecal samples were separately and thoroughly mixed and milled to pass through a 0.60 mm sieve and stored in hermetically sealed containers before laboratory analysis. The urine was collected in a plastic tray placed under each cage, 10ml of 10% concentrated H2SO4 was added to the tray daily to prevent microbial colonization and prevent NH4 volatilization from the urine. The total output of urine for the animal was measured and 10% aliquots were saved in stoppered and numbered plastic bottles and stored at -50°C until needed for chemical analysis.

**Table 2: Concentrate Composition**

| Ingredients                        | Percentages |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Sorghum brewery’s waste (DUSA)    | 40.00       |
| Corn offal                         | 40.00       |
| Palm Kernel cake                   | 14.00       |
| Bone Meal                          | 2.00        |
| Oyster shell                       | 2.00        |
| Salt                               | 2.00        |

**Chemical/ Laboratory Analysis**

Feed samples (*Tephrosia bracteolata*, *Panicum maximum* and Concentrate) and fecal samples were oven-dried for 48 hours at 650 °C for DM determination and sub-samples were ground through 1mm sieve and stored in airtight containers. These sub-samples, urine samples, fecal samples were digested using the wet method, digested with concentrated Nitric acid (HNO3) and Perchloric acid (HClO3) at a ratio of 5:1. The concentration of Ca, Mg, Na, and K were estimated with atomic absorption spectrophotometer (model 490 Gallenkamp London) while phosphorus was measured colorimetrically according to Harris and Popati (1954), as described by the Association of Official Analytical Chemist (A.O.A.C., 2000.)

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were further subjected to analyses using one-way ANOVA / completely randomized design using individual goats as replicates. Model sums of the square were partitioned to test the linear and quadratic trend of inclusion/supplementation using the general linear models procedures using S.A.S (2000) and significantly different means were separated using least significance difference at 0.5 level of probability in the same package.

**Results**

Tables 1 and 2 show the dietary treatment allocation and concentrate composition of the diet supplied to the goat while the chemometric value of supplied roughage and concentrate are shown in Table 3. Absorption (g/day) of calcium (Ca) under different treatments is shown in Table 4. Intake (g/day) of calcium was highest (P<0.05) in Tb 60 (2.57) followed by Tb 80 (2.09), and the lowest was observed in Tb 20 (0.89). Absorption of calcium (g/day) as indicated in Table 4 showed (P<0.05) that Tb60 > Tb 80 (1.29) > Tb 40 (0.79) >Tb 20 (0.64). Calcium retention also followed the same trend as absorption and found the highest retention in Tb60 (P<0.05) than others.

**Table 3: Chemo-metric value of supplied roughage and concentrate**

| Nutrients (%DM) | *Tephrosia bracteolata* | Guinea grass | Concentrate |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Calcium        | 1.42                     | 0.72         | 1.84        |
| Phosphorus     | 0.29                     | 0.35         | 0.79        |
| Magnesium      | 0.68                     | 0.49         | 0.77        |
| Ca:P           | 4.90                     | 2.06         | 2.33        |
| Sodium         | 0.10                     | 0.39         | 0.73        |
| Potassium      | 1.25                     | 1.36         | 0.62        |
| Ca:Mg          | 2.09                     | 1.47         | 2.39        |
| P:Mg           | 0.43                     | 0.71         | 1.03        |
| Na:K           | 0.08                     | 0.29         | 1.17        |
| k/Ca+Mg        | 0.60                     | 1.12         | 0.24        |
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Table 4: Calcium balance by goats fed Guinea grass and concentrate supplemented with increasing levels of Tephrosia bracteolata

| Parameters       | Supplementation level | SEM | Probability |
|------------------|------------------------|-----|-------------|
| Calcium intake   |                         |     |             |
| (gd⁻¹)          | Tb 20                  | Tb40 | Tb60 | Tb80 |     | L | Q |
| 0.89            | 1.18                   | 2.57 | 2.05 | 0.67 | X | X |
| Calcium excretion|                         |     |             |
| Feecal (gd⁻¹)   |                        |     |             |
| 0.25            | 0.39                   | 1.00 | 0.76 | 0.14 | XXX| Ns|
| Urine (gd⁻¹)    |                        |     |             |
| 0.02            | 0.06                   | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | XXX| Ns|
| Total (gd⁻¹)    |                        |     |             |
| 0.27            | 0.45                   | 1.01 | 0.79 | 0.21 | XXX| Ns|
| % of intake     |                        |     |             |
| (Faecal)        | 28.09                  | 33.05 | 38.91 | 37.07 | 2.47 | XX| X |
| (Urine)         | 2.25                   | 5.08 | 0.39 | 1.46 | 1.15 | XXX| Ns|
| Calcium absorbed|                         |     |             |
| (gd⁻¹)          |                        |     |             |
| 0.79            | 1.57                   | 1.29 | 0.24 | X | X |    |
| % of intake     | 71.91                  | 66.95 | 61.09 | 62.93 | 5.16 | X | X |
| Calcium retained|                         |     |             |
| (gd⁻¹)          |                        |     |             |
| 0.62            | 0.73                   | 1.57 | 1.29 | 0.31 | X | X |    |
| % of intake     | 69.66                  | 61.86 | 61.09 | 62.93 | 3.78 | X | X |
| % of absorbed   | 96.88                  | 92.41 | 100  | 100  | 4.83 | Ns| Ns|    |

abcd: means of the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05); SEM: Standard Error of Mean; #: Level of inclusion calculated as percentage of total daily feed allowance of 50g DM kg⁻¹ body weight; #: Probability for Linear (L) and Quadratic (Q) trends; X: p<0.05; XX P<0.01; XXX P<0.001.

There was a marked (p<0.05) linear increase among treatments in Phosphorus intake which shows in Table 5. Similarly, absorption (g/day) and retention (g/day) followed the same trend. In both cases, Tb20 shows the lowest and Tb80 shows the highest absorption and retention per day among treatments. Tb80 significantly differed from Tb20 in terms of phosphorus absorption and retention. No P was found in urine during urine analysis. There was a distinct difference observed between Ca and P utilization especially in excretion through urine.

Magnesium (Mg) balance with increasing levels of Tephrosia bracteolata is shown in Table 6. There was a slight linear and quadratic effect of Tb inclusion on its intake (g/day) Tb40 (0.62) to Tb60 (1.77). There was similar trend of intake reflecting on the pattern (g/day) of absorption Tb40 (0.40) to Tb60 (1.13) and retention Tb40 (0.37) and Tb60 (1.10) respectively.

Sodium (Na) balance by WAD Goats fed Guinea grass and concentrate supplemented with increasing levels of Tephrosia bracteolata are shown in Table 7. In case of intake, absorbed and retained Na increasing trend was observed in treatment from Tb20 to Tb80. An increasing trend was observed from Tb20 to Tb80 in both quadratic and linear concerning Na absorption and retention from an inclusion level standpoint. Tb80 showed significantly higher Na absorption than Tb20. In case of Na retention, Tb80 showed the highest (P<0.05) retention than other groups.

Potassium (K) balance is shown in Table 8 which revealed that marked and pronounced effect (P<0.05) of the level was observed in intake (g/day) which was increased from Tb20 (0.63) to Tb80 (1.65). In case of absorption (g/day)
increasing trends (P<0.05) were observed from Tb20 (0.44) to Tb80 (1.25). In terms of retention (g/day), it was found that Tb40 (0.35) was lower (P<0.05) than Tb20 (0.40) and Tb60 (0.99). Tb80 found the highest (P<0.05) K retention than other groups.

Discussion

The values contained in Table 3 were all sufficiently within the range required (Mg: 0.04-1.00, Ca: 0.18-1.04 and P: 0.16-0.37) by goat. The K and Na were also within or more than the range of goat requirements (0.50 – 0.80 and 0.04 – 0.10 respectively) (Ogungbesan et al., 2011; Ogungbesan et al., 2014; Ogungbesan et al., 2014b). The highest apparent Ca observed in Tb60 reflects the advantages among others of legume which is efficient utilization of ion; although with the smallest intake this was also observed by Ogungbesan et al., 2011. Apparent retention was highest in Tb 60, which means more absorption takes place after digestion. This result corroborates the findings of Reid et al., (1987) and Robertson et al., (1996) Who reported that higher intake leads to higher fecal and urinary excretion of minerals, though the Tb increment did not influence linearly the balance. Various factors affect calcium utilization, such as plant factors, animal factors and the fate of nutrients in-vivo (Hacker and Ternouth, 1987). Besides, vitamin D plays an important role in Ca utilization which cannot be ignored. Calcium homeostasis is also regulated in a complex manner by parathyroid (PTH) and calcitonin by increasing and decreasing the serum level of calcium through a ‘Push-pull feedback system’ (Ogungbesan 2004).

Following the report of NRC (1980), phosphorus is absorbed in the small intestine and urinary excretion can occur only when it is in excess amount. P sources, intestinal pH, lactose intake, iron, aluminium, manganese, potassium, magnesium, fat etc. can affect phosphorus utilization or metabolism (NRC 1980).

Table 5: Phosphorus balance by goats fed Guinea grass and concentrate supplemented with increasing levels of Tephrosia bracteolata

| Parameters                      | Supplementation level | SEM | Probability |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-----|-------------|
| Phosphorus intake (gd⁻¹)        | Tb20 | Tb40 | Tb60 | Tb80 |     | L   | Q   |
| Phosphorus excretion            | 0.28 cd | 0.31 bc | 0.34 b | 0.48 a | 0.03 | xxx | Ns  |
| Feecal (gd⁻¹)                   | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | Ns  | Ns  |
| Urine (gd⁻¹)                    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Ns  | Ns  |
| Total (gd⁻¹)                    | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | Ns  | Ns  |
| % of intake                     | 3.57 a | 3.22 a | 2.94 a | 2.08 a | 0.52 | xxx | Ns  |
| (Faecal)                        | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Ns  | Ns  |
| (Urine)                         | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | Ns  | Ns  |
| Phosphorus absorbed (gd⁻¹)      | 0.27 h | 0.30 ab | 0.33 ab | 0.47 a | 0.10 | xxx | Ns  |
| % of intake                     | 96.43 | 96.77 | 97.06 | 97.92 | 0.38 | Ns  | Ns  |
| Phosphorus retained (gd⁻¹)      | 0.27 h | 0.30 ab | 0.33 ab | 0.47 a | 0.07 | xxx | Ns  |
| % of intake                     | 96.43 | 96.77 | 97.06 | 97.92 | 1.83 | Ns  | Ns  |
| % of absorbed                   | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0.00 | Ns  | Ns  |

abcd: means of the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05); SEM: Standard Error of Mean; +: Level of inclusion calculated as percentage of total daily feed allowance of 50g DM kg⁻¹ body weight; #: Probability for Linear (L) and Quadratic (Q) trends; X: p<0.05; XX P<0.01; XXX P<0.001.
An irregular pattern was reported in terms of Tb increment in magnesium absorption and retention but was in line with the assertion of NRC (1980) that urinary excretion is almost usually a reflection of the quantity of magnesium absorption. Its absorption could also be impaired by K, Ca, Mg, fat, sulfate, citrate and trans-aconitate (Church 1988). Mg metabolism can also be influenced by k/(Ca + Mg) factor which implies that high potassium content in the diet can interfere with absorption of Mg along the brush border of intestine, its high ruminal solubility, rapid absorption in the rumen and the GI tract relative to others has been confirmed and cataloged by Van Eys and Reid (1987). Master and White (1996) also indicated the interaction of N, P, K, and Na on Mg absorption and Mg movement through the peritoneal cavity by “dialysis” against Ca free medium without necessarily reflecting on the serum chemistry of the animal. The absence of sodium in urine confirms the report of the Church (1988) that it is almost completely reabsorbed from the colon (Large intestine) of ruminants. He also stated that the uptake from the small intestine is influenced by the presence of sugars and amino acids (Church DC, 1988). Similarly, the ratio of Na:K is also vital in the absorption of both element, which should not be less than 0.1. Na deficiency, although leads to “geophagia” which indirectly increases the iron intake, its functions in the maintenance of the acid-base balance and the buffering system as well as its role in the absorption of nutrients especially protein cannot be overlooked (Master and White, 1996).

The last electrolyte to be considered is potassium, whose intake and absorption was linearly affected by supplementation of different levels of Tb, which is in line with the higher intake, fecal and urinary pattern reported by Ogungbesan 2004. In addition substantial urinary pattern reported by Ammerman et al. (1995). In general, minerals utilization can be affected by different plant factors like mineral concentration and mineral availability. These can be influenced by organic or inorganic bio crystallization. Because the inorganic form is relatively difficult to be absorbed by the animal especially Ca and P (hydroxylapatite and whitlockite respectively) which is processes that occurred during the deposition of inorganic constituent and also a basic phenomenon of plant growth (McManus et al., 1979).
### Tephrosia bracteolate feeding in west African dwarf goat

**Table 7:** Sodium balance by goats fed Guinea grass and concentrate supplemented with increasing levels of *Tephrosia bracteolata*

| Parameters          | Supplementation level | SEM  | Probability |
|---------------------|-----------------------|------|-------------|
| Sodium intake (gd⁻¹)| Tb 20     | Tb 40| Tb 60       | Tb 80       |
|                     | 0.10<sup>a</sup> | 0.14<sup>b</sup> | 0.12<sup>b</sup> | 0.16<sup>a</sup> | 0.04 | x  | x |
| Sodium excretion    |                       |      |             |             |
| Feacal (gd⁻¹)       | 0.02<sup>a</sup> | 0.03<sup>a</sup> | 0.02<sup>a</sup> | 0.02<sup>a</sup> | 0.00 | Ns | x |
| Urine (gd⁻¹)        | 0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.00 | Ns | Ns |
| Total (gd⁻¹)        | 0.02<sup>a</sup> | 0.03<sup>a</sup> | 0.02<sup>a</sup> | 0.02<sup>a</sup> | 0.00 | Ns | x |
| % of intake         |                       |      |             |             |
| (Faecal)            | 20.00<sup>a</sup> | 21.42<sup>a</sup> | 16.66<sup>b</sup> | 12.50<sup>c</sup> | 1.52 | xx | x |
| (Urine)             | 0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.00 | Ns | Ns |

abcd: means of the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (P < 0.05); SEM: Standard Error of Mean; +: Level of inclusion calculated as percentage of total daily feed allowance of 50g DM kg⁻¹ body weight; #: Probability for Linear (L) and Quadratic (Q) trends; X: p<0.05; XX P<0.01; XXX P<0.001.

**Table 8:** Potassium balance by goats fed Guinea grass and concentrate supplemented with increasing levels of *Tephrosia bracteolata*

| Parameters          | Supplementation level | SEM  | Probability |
|---------------------|-----------------------|------|-------------|
| Potassium intake (gd⁻¹)| Tb20   | Tb40| Tb60       | Tb80       |
|                     | 0.63<sup>c</sup> | 0.84<sup>b</sup> | 1.54<sup>a</sup> | 1.65<sup>a</sup> | 0.18 | xxx | Ns |
| Potassium excretion |                       |      |             |             |
| Feacal (gd⁻¹)       | 0.19<sup>c</sup> | 0.38<sup>a</sup> | 0.32<sup>b</sup> | 0.40<sup>a</sup> | 0.07 | xx | x |
| Urine (gd⁻¹)        | 0.04<sup>b</sup> | 0.11<sup>b</sup> | 0.23<sup>a</sup> | 0.06<sup>c</sup> | 0.01 | x  | x |
| Total (gd⁻¹)        | 0.23<sup>c</sup> | 0.49<sup>b</sup> | 0.55<sup>a</sup> | 0.46<sup>b</sup> | 0.12 | x  | x |
| % of intake         |                       |      |             |             |
| (Faecal)            | 30.15<sup>b</sup> | 45.23<sup>a</sup> | 20.79<sup>d</sup> | 24.24<sup>c</sup> | 2.16 | x  | x |
| (Urine)             | 6.34<sup>b</sup> | 13.09<sup>a</sup> | 14.9<sup>2a</sup> | 3.63<sup>c</sup> | 1.04 | x  | xx |

abcd: means of the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (P < 0.05); SEM: Standard Error of Mean; +: Level of inclusion calculated as percentage of total daily feed allowance of 50g DM kg⁻¹ body weight; #: Probability for Linear (L) and Quadratic (Q) trends; X: p<0.05; XX P<0.01; XXX P<0.001.
The amount and distribution within the various cell wall fractions are known as bioavailability. If high mineral content is present in non-degradable fraction, like the ligno-cellulosic portion, it will not be easily solubilized or released for absorption (Serra et al, 1996). Digestibility of feed matrix and antagonistic and synergistic effect of different nutrients also affect mineral utilization. The presence of phytates and oxalates in the diet interfere the utilization of Mg, Ca, P and Zn (Ogungbesan et al., 2005). Animal factors like appetite, ruminal microbial population dynamics, genotypic or phenotypic differences, age, sex, physiological states also affect mineral utilization. Production and reproduction demand, production type that influences activity and storage capacity can determine the "True absorptive coefficient" (TAC) that dictates retention, excretion and storage or functional pool nutrient mobilization in the entire animal system (Jarrige, 1999).

Conclusion

The results of the experiment show the utilization of different vital minerals which indicate the advantages and importance of legumes like T. bracteolata in WAD goat diet. In conclusion, T. bracteolata can be used as a roughage source for goats without any remarkable adverse or, side or ill effects which affect the well-being of animals feeding. It can be recommended that as almost all nutrient utilization (retention) increased linearly with levels, other trials like carcass analysis, reproductive performances can be carried out on goats and other ruminants.
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