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Small interfering RNA (siRNA) has a huge potential for the treatment or prevention of various lung diseases. Once the RNA molecules have successfully entered the target cells, they could inhibit the expression of specific gene sequence through RNA interference (RNAi) mechanism and generate therapeutic effects. The biggest obstacle to translating siRNA therapy from the laboratories into the clinics is delivery. An ideal delivery agent should protect the siRNA from enzymatic degradation, facilitate cellular uptake and promote endosomal escape inside the cells, with negligible toxicity. Lung targeting could be achieved by systemic delivery or pulmonary delivery. The latter route of administration could potentially enhance siRNA retention in the lungs and reduce systemic toxic effects. However the presence of mucus, the mucociliary clearance actions and the high degree branching of the airways present major barriers to targeted pulmonary delivery. The delivery systems need to be designed carefully in order to maximize the siRNA deposition to the diseased area of the airways. In most of the pulmonary siRNA therapy studies in vivo, siRNA was delivered either intratracheally or intranasally. Very limited work was done on the formulation of siRNA for inhalation which is believed to be the direction for future development. This review focuses on the latest development of pulmonary delivery of siRNA for the treatment of various lung diseases.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
1. Introduction

RNA interference (RNAi) has huge therapeutic potential in treating many diseases by silencing the expression of the target gene in a post-transcriptional manner. The mechanism of RNAi has been extensively reviewed in the literature [1–6]. In brief, RNAi can be achieved artificially by three major ways: (i) introducing long double stranded RNA (dsRNA) which is cleaved into small interfering RNA (siRNA) by the enzyme Dicer in the cytoplasm, leading to the degradation of target mRNA; (ii) introducing plasmid DNA encodes for short hairpin RNA (shRNA) which is processed by Dicer into siRNA; and (iii) introducing small interfering RNA (siRNA) directly to initiate the mRNA degradation process.

For the last two decades, scientists have been investigating the use of nucleic acids including DNA and antisense oligonucleotide as therapeutic agents. The biggest hurdle we are encountering is delivery, and the same problem applies to RNAi therapy. Being negatively charged hydrophilic macromolecules that are highly susceptible to nuclease degradation, nucleic acids are incapable of crossing the biological membrane on their own to reach the site of action. A delivery vector is therefore required to protect the therapeutic nucleic acids from enzymatic degradation, facilitate cellular uptake and release nucleic acids at the site of action inside the cells. Amongst the types of nucleic acids that are involved in the RNAi, siRNA is the most popular candidate being studied in RNAi therapy. Introduction of long dsRNA (typically consist of 500 to 1000 base pairs) is known to induce interferon (IFN) response in RNAi, siRNA is the most popular candidate being studied in RNAi the cells. Amongst the types of nucleic acids that are involved in the cellular uptake and release nucleic acids at the site of action inside therapeutic nucleic acids from enzymatic degradation, facilitate action. A delivery vector is therefore required to protect the therapeutic nucleic acids from enzymatic degradation, facilitate cellular uptake and release nucleic acids at the site of action inside the cells. Amongst the types of nucleic acids that are involved in the RNAi, siRNA is the most popular candidate being studied in RNAi therapy. Introduction of long dsRNA (typically consist of 500 to 1000 base pairs) is known to induce interferon (IFN) response in RNAi, siRNA is the most popular candidate being studied in RNAi the cells. Amongst the types of nucleic acids that are involved in the cellular uptake and release nucleic acids at the site of action inside therapeutic nucleic acids from enzymatic degradation, facilitate action. A delivery vector is therefore required to protect the therapeutic nucleic acids from enzymatic degradation, facilitate cellular uptake and release nucleic acids at the site of action inside the cells. Amongst the types of nucleic acids that are involved in the RNAi, siRNA is the most popular candidate being studied in RNAi therapy. Introduction of long dsRNA (typically consist of 500 to 1000 base pairs) is known to induce interferon (IFN) response in RNAi, siRNA is the most popular candidate being studied in RNAi the cells.

RNAi can be potentially used to treat or prevent diseases affecting the airways, such as lung cancer [26–30], various types of respiratory infectious diseases [16,31–36], airway inflammatory diseases [37–39] and cystic fibrosis [40]. siRNA delivery to the lungs could be achieved either by local delivery or systemic delivery. The former route offers several important benefits over the latter, such as a lower dose of siRNA is required, reduction of undesirable systemic side effects and improved siRNA stability due to lower nuclease activity in the airways than in the serum. Furthermore lungs could potentially serve as an interesting site for delivering siRNA for systemic effect due to its high vascularization, large surface area and ultra thin epithelium of the alveoli [41]. All these factors allow efficient and rapid siRNA absorption.

The process of pulmonary siRNA delivery is summarized in Fig. 1. There are several ways to administer siRNA locally into the lungs. Inhalation is the most common and the easiest method for pulmonary drug delivery and could be applied to siRNA delivery. siRNA for inhalation can be formulated into liquid aerosol or dry powder aerosol. The intranasal route is another common way to deliver siRNA into the airways due to the ease of administration and the intranasal siRNA preparation can be easily administered into the nasal cavity as nasal suspension. Intratracheal route of administration is also employed to deliver siRNA into the lungs. However this method of administration is relatively invasive and non-physiologic [42]. It is generally considered to be used in animal studies only rather than for clinical applications. Regardless of the administration route, it is very important to maintain siRNA stability and biological activity during manufacturing and delivery.

Similar to DNA delivery, both viral and non-viral vectors are being employed to deliver siRNA. Viruses are extremely efficient nucleic acids delivery vectors as they are evolved to transfer their genetic material into the host cells. To enable viruses to deliver nucleic acids for therapeutic use, the viruses must first be genetically engineered to remove their virulence. The main advantage of using viral vectors is their high transduction efficiency compared to transfection by non-viral methods. Viruses such as adenovirus [43–45], adeno-associated virus [46], and lentivirus [47,48] are being investigated to deliver siRNA to lung cells. Despite their high nucleic acids transfer efficiency, there are safety concerns regarding the use of viral vectors.

From the lessons we have learnt in DNA delivery, there are high risks associated with the utilization of viral vectors [49]. Immune response to viruses is the major challenge to viral delivery [50]. It

| Table 1 | Summary of clinical trials of siRNA therapy. |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Latest stage development       | Target disease                           |
| III (terminated-unlikely to     | AMD                                      |
| meet primary endpoint)         | Intravitreal injection/naked siRNA       |
| II                              | AMD                                      |
| RSV infection                   | Intravenous injection/naked siRNA        |
| II                              | Acute kidney injury                      |
| II                              | Intravenous injection/naked siRNA        |
| II                              | AMD                                      |
| Ocular hypertenion & glaucoma  | Intravenous injection/vesiclexatin        |
| I                              | Solid state tumors                       |
| I                              | Intravenous injection/vesiclexatin        |
| I                              | Intravenous injection/lipid nanoparticles |
| I                              | Intravenous injection/lipid nanoparticles |
| I                              | Intravenous injection/lipid nanoparticles |
| I                              | Intravenous injection/lipid nanoparticles |
| I                              | Intravenous injection/lipid nanoparticles |
| I                              | Intravenous injection/vesiclexatin        |
| I                              | Intravenous injection/vesiclexatin        |
| III (terminated-unlikely to     | AMD                                      |
| meet primary endpoint)         | Intravitreal injection/naked siRNA       |
| II                              | AMD                                      |
| RSV infection                   | Intravenous injection/naked siRNA        |
| II                              | Acute kidney injury                      |
| II                              | Intravenous injection/naked siRNA        |
| II                              | AMD                                      |
| Ocular hypertenion & glaucoma  | Intravenous injection/vesiclexatin        |
| I                              | Solid state tumors                       |
| I                              | Intravenous injection/vesiclexatin        |
| I                              | Intravenous injection/vesiclexatin        |
| I                              | Intravenous injection/vesiclexatin        |
| I                              | Intravenous injection/vesiclexatin        |
| Ref                             | Bevasiranib                              |
| Ref                             | AGN211745                                |
| Ref                             | ALN-027                                  |
| Ref                             | ALN-0102                                 |
| Ref                             | PF-4523665                               |
| Ref                             | Calando Pharmaceuticals                   |
| Ref                             | Alnylam Pharmaceuticals                   |
| Ref                             | Alnylam Pharmaceuticals                   |
| Ref                             | Alnylam Pharmaceuticals                   |
| Ref                             | Alnylam Pharmaceuticals                   |
| Ref                             | Alnylam Pharmaceuticals                   |
| Ref                             | Alnylam Pharmaceuticals                   |
| Ref                             | Alnylam Pharmaceuticals                   |
| Ref                             | Alnylam Pharmaceuticals                   |
| Ref                             | Alnylam Pharmaceuticals                   |
| Ref                             | Alnylam Pharmaceuticals                   |

AMD: Age-related Macular Degeneration, DME: Diabetic Macular Edema, NAION: Non-Arteritic Anterior Ischemic Optic Neuropathy, RSV: Respiratory Syncytial Virus.
limits the effectiveness of therapy in repeated administration. Severe case of immune response can even lead to organ failure and the consequences could be fatal [51]. Furthermore, several types of virus may insert their genome randomly into the host chromosomes, disturbing gene function and resulting in insertional mutagenesis [52–54]. After the infamous Gelsinger case (18 years old volunteer Gelsinger died in a gene therapy trial using adenovirus) [51] and the French X-SCID case (one third of children developed leukemia in a gene therapy trial using retrovirus) [55], it is not hard to imagine that the chance of using viral vectors in any type of human nucleic acids therapy to get approval from the FDA will be extremely slim. The viral vectors are excellent agents to deliver siRNA for proof-of-concept studies, but appear to lack of real clinical application because of the safety issues. Alternative way to deliver siRNA is by non-viral methods which include any method that does not involve the use of viruses. The therapeutic siRNA are either administered directly into the site of action or delivered by non-viral vectors. Commonly used non-viral vectors for siRNA delivery include lipids, polymers and peptides.

Thomas et al. reviewed the non-viral siRNA delivery to the lung [56] and the review (published in 2007) focused on the delivery of siRNA for the treatment of three different lung virus infections: influenza, respiratory syncytial virus infection and severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). The field of siRNA delivery has rapidly expanded since then and a good number of in vivo studies were carried out in the past few years to investigate the local delivery of siRNA against various lung diseases including lung cancer [57], mycobacteria infection [36], pulmonary fibrosis [58, 59], respiratory alphaherpesvirus infection [31], and other endogenous gene targets in the lungs [60, 61]. In this review, we present the latest development of siRNA therapy for the treatment of various lung diseases.

2. Delivering siRNA to the lung

2.1. Challenges of pulmonary delivery

The pulmonary delivery of therapeutic macromolecules such as proteins and peptides has been investigated for over thirty years [41]. The challenges of siRNA delivery via the pulmonary route are similar to the delivery of other macromolecules. In order to develop an efficient siRNA pulmonary delivery system, it is important to understand the anatomical and physiological properties of the respiratory tract in the first place.

The respiratory tract can be divided into two regions: (i) the conducting region which consists of nasal cavity, pharynx, trachea, bronchi and bronchioles; and (ii) the respiratory region which consists of the respiratory bronchioles and alveoli. The conducting region is responsible for air conductance and the respiratory region is where the gaseous exchange takes place. The most prominent feature of the respiratory tract is the high degree of branching. According to the Wiebel's model of lung, there are 24 generations in total. This highly branched structure comprises airways with varying length and diameter presents an early barrier to targeted pulmonary delivery. Many lung diseases affect the lower region of the lungs. For the therapeutic agents to reach the diseased area, they must follow the airstream around the bend along the branched airway to the deep
lungs. The size of particles is an important factor in determining the site of deposition as illustrated in Fig. 2 [62]. In pulmonary delivery, size of particle is expressed in terms of aerodynamic diameter. Large particles (>6 μm aerodynamic diameter) carry high momentum and are more likely to be impacted on the airway wall at bifurcations instead of following the changing airstream. Therefore they are usually deposited higher up in the airway such as the back of the throat or pharynx. For small particles (<1 μm aerodynamic diameter), their movements are determined by Brownian motion. They are mostly exhaled during normal tidal breathing but pausing can enhance their deposition as the probability of the latter is proportional to the square root of time [63]. The optimal particle size for efficient deposition at the lower respiratory tract is found to be between 1 and 5 μm [41,64]. As the particle size further decreases towards the nanoscale, deposition in the lung increases again due to the increasing diffusional mobility [65]. For nanoparticles that are less than 100 nm, they appear to settle effectively to the alveolar region with a fractional deposition of around 50%. However, these ultrafine particles usually enter the lungs as larger agglomerates which can be broken down relatively easily into smaller particles on deposition. Various elimination pathways for nanoparticles exist in the lungs, including coughing, dissolution, mucociliary escalator, translocation from the airways to other sites, phagocytosis by macrophages and neuronal uptake. What has not been established is the quantitative relationship of these pathways [66]. Very little is known about exactly how siRNA is cleared from the lungs.

Major barriers to pulmonary delivery include the mucociliary clearance action of the ciliated epithelial cells, and the presence of mucus, alveolar fluid and macrophages along different parts of the airways [67,68]. Particles that are deposited on the ciliated cells are rapidly removed by mucociliary clearance and are eventually being coughed up or swallowed. The mucus lines the epithelial from the nasal cavity to the terminal bronchioles [69]. The major component of mucus is mucins which are glycosylated proteins. Mucus constitutes a physical barrier as it increases the viscosity of the moist surface of the lung epithelial cells, thereby reducing drug penetration and diffusion rate. The alveolar fluid is found on the surface of alveoli epithelium as a thin layer of pulmonary surfactant which comprises phospholipids and other surfactant proteins. It has been reported that the pulmonary surfactant severely impedes the transfection efficiency of lipid-based nucleic acids delivery system, but not polymer-based system [70–72]. The alveolar macrophages located in the alveoli rapidly engulf the foreign particles by phagocytosis as a defense mechanism [73]. The siRNA that is taken up into the macrophages are subsequently degraded inside the cells.

At disease state, the physiological conditions of the airways might be altered and pose a huge impact on the efficiency of the pulmonary delivery system. During infection and inflammation, there is an increase in mucus secretion and the mucociliary clearance is impaired [73,74]. The thickness, the viscosity and the composition of the mucus layer depend on the pathological condition and vary between individual [67]. It is important that these factors are being considered during the development of pulmonary delivery system for different therapeutic applications.

To overcome the anatomical and physiological barriers of the lungs, several delivery strategies can be incorporated. Besides using particles with small aerodynamic diameter suitable for deposition in the lower airways, it has been reported that the use of large porous particles can effectively avoid phagocytosis by the alveolar macrophages and prolong retention time in the lungs [75–77]. Porous particles over 10 μm in geometric diameter usually have a smaller aerodynamic diameter so that they are within the ideal aerodynamic size range for effective lung deposition, but their actual geometric size is too large to be removed by macrophages. To overcome the mucus barrier, the use of mucolytic agents, such as nacystelyn which breaks down the three dimensional gel network of mucus, or the use of mucus inhibitor, such as glycopyrrolate which inhibit mucus secretion, could be considered [78]. However their clinical benefits are limited [67,78]. Inhaled mannitol has been clinically proven to increase the mucus clearance in patients with cystic fibrosis or bronchiectasis [79,80] and to improve the hydration and surface properties of sputum [81]. Reducing the mucus barrier by mannitol inhalation prior to the delivery of siRNA may thus be beneficial. The use of ultrasound and magnetic field has also been reported to direct and control the site of deposition of nucleic acids delivery systems in the airways [82–84].

2.2. Intracellular barriers to siRNA delivery

Once the siRNA has reached the surface of the target cells of the respiratory tract, assuming it successfully gets away with the extracellular barriers, it still has to cross the cellular membrane and gain access into the cytoplasm where RISC, the final target of siRNA, is located. siRNA is a negatively charged hydrophilic macromolecules with a molecular weight around 13 kDa. Base on its physicochemical properties, siRNA is not able to cross the biological membrane on its own. Therefore one of the main functions of a delivery vector is to facilitate the cellular uptake of siRNA.

Endocytosis is the major cellular uptake pathway known to be involved in non-viral nucleic acids delivery [85]. For efficient endocytosis to occur, particles should be under 150 nm in size. Particles within this size range could also avoid macrophage uptake and thereby delayed lung clearance [86]. For particles that enter the respiratory tract as large aggregates, they must be redispersed or deaggregated into the appropriate size before endocytosis could take place. Yet there are several different types of endocytic pathways which in turn affect the set of barriers the macromolecules may encounter, and the eventual fate of molecules could be very different [85]. Clathrin-mediated endocytosis is the major and the best-characterized endocytic pathway that occurs constitutively in all mammalian cells. Particles that are taken up by the cells through this pathway are enclosed in clathrin-coated vesicles. They are then transported into early endosomes, which fuse to form late endosomes and subsequently into the lysosomes. During the process, the pH inside the vesicles drops gradually to as low as pH 5.0 in the lysosomes where degradative enzymes including nuclease are present. Therefore the therapeutic siRNA must be able to exit from the endosomes/lysosomes into the cytoplasm before it is degraded by the nuclease (Fig. 1).

There are several strategies that can be employed to promote endosomal escape of siRNA. Polymers such as polyethylenimine (PEI) have the ability to trigger endosomal release. The 'proton sponge
hypothesis’ suggested that due to the high buffering capacity of PEI over
a wide range of pH, the polymer becomes protonated as the pH drops
inside the endosomes. This leads to the influx of chloride ions, protons
and subsequently water into the endosomes. Eventually high osmotic
pressure develops, causing the endosomes to burst open and release the
contents into the cytoplasm [87]. However the cellular effect of
‘endosomes bursting’ has not been properly discussed or evaluated
and the PEI is also known to be associated with toxicity problem.
Another strategy is to incorporate pH sensitive fusogenic peptides to
the delivery system. Fusogenic peptides such as GALA [88], KALA [89,90]
INF7 [91] etc., have been employed in siRNA delivery both in vitro and in
vivo. These peptides have the ability to undergo pH-dependent
conformational change. At low pH, they adopt a ‘membrane-disrupting’
conformation and destabilize the endosomal membrane, thereby
releasing the contents of endosomes into the cytoplasm.

Apart from clathrin-mediated endocytosis, caveolae-mediated
endocytosis is another mechanism that is involved in the uptake of
nucleic acids delivery systems [85]. After internalization, the delivery
systems are enclosed in caveolin-coated vesicles called caveosomes
which are non-acidic. Nuclease and other degradative enzymes are
absence in caveosomes. The delivery systems can be directly
transported to the Golgi and/or endoplasmic reticulum, thereby
avoiding the lysosomal degradation. Since caveolin is abundantly
expressed in many cell types including lung tissues [92,93], it is an
important route of cell internalization in pulmonary delivery, and
perhaps a more efficient route compared to clathrin-mediated
endocytosis, especially with the delivery system that lacks the ability
to escape from the acidic endosomal compartmens.

The entrapment of siRNA inside the endosomes/lysosomes not
only leads to the degradation of the nucleic acids, but may also trigger
the activation of innate immunity. There has been evidence suggested
that synthetic siRNA can be recognized by the toll-like receptors
(TLRs), TLR7 and TLR8, inside the endosomal compartments, thereby
stimulating the innate immune response [94–96]. 2’ modification of
siRNA could circumvent the problem but this approach may adversely
affect the gene silencing efficiency. Alternatively, early escape from or
completely bypassing the endosomes during the intracellular delivery
process could perhaps avoid this immunostimulatory activity of
siRNA. Moschos et al. [61] reported that when TAT-conjugated siRNA
was administered to the lung of the mouse, no immune response was
observed. However when penetratin-conjugated siRNA was adminis-
tered in the same way, innate immune response was observed,
possibly through the activation of TLR. Since siRNA, TAT or penetratin
alone did not induce innate immune responses, the different fate
between TAT-conjugated and penetratin-conjugated siRNA systems
could only be explained by their different cellular uptake mechanism.
This study demonstrated the importance of the cellular uptake
pathway and the intracellular trafficking in establishing the safety
profile as well as the efficiency of siRNA delivery.

Lastly, phagocytosis is another cellular uptake mechanism that
occurs in the respiratory tract. Since this route of uptake can only be
performed by specialized cells such as alveolar macrophages, it is not
expected to play an important role in siRNA delivery [85]. Unless the
therapeutic siRNA is aimed to target the alveolar macrophages, e.g.
treatment of mycobacterium infection, this pathway should be totally
avoided, as molecules being taken up this pathway are eventually
degraded in the phagolysosomes of the cells.

3. Pulmonary route of administration

Direct pulmonary delivery in humans is achieved by inhalation of
aerosol generated by either an inhaler or nebulizer. Before a new
therapeutic agent is used in a human clinical trial, it must demonstrate
pre-clinical efficacy in suitable animal model with good translatability
to humans [97]. There is no exception to therapeutic siRNA. In addi-
tional to inhalation, intratracheal and intranasal route are often used
to deliver therapeutic agents including siRNA to the lungs of animals
because of the relatively simple setup. The summary of the in vivo
studies of non-viral siRNA delivery to the lung is provided in Table 2,
and the routes of administration commonly used in these studies are
illustrated in Fig. 3. Intratracheal and intranasal methods may not be
practical in the clinical setting. Intratracheal route is an invasive
method of delivery which is not appropriate for human use. The
success in delivering siRNA via intranasal route in rodents which is an
obligate nose breathers cannot be extrapolated to human use because
of the very different lung anatomy [98]. It is therefore important to
take into consideration the route of administration in animal studies
when assessing the delivery and therapeutic efficacy of a formulation
for lung delivery.

3.1. Inhalation route

Inhalation is the most popular and a non-invasive way to deliver
therapeutic agents into the lungs. Three main types of inhalation devices
are currently available to deliver drug to the lung through inhalation.
These include metered dose inhalers (MDIs), dry powder inhalers
(DPIs) and nebulizers. With appropriate modification and optimization,
these devices could be applied to pulmonary delivery of siRNA. To date,
MDIs are the most commonly used inhalers. They are pressurized
dosage form in which the therapeutic agents are either dissolved or
suspended in propellants such as chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) and
hydrofluoroalkanes (HFAs). The propellants serve to provide a driving
pressure to aerosolize the drug for inhalation into the respiratory tract.
However both CFCs and HFAs are known to have environmental impact.
The compatibility of formulation with propellants is another potential
problem and the lung deposition is generally poor with MDIs. It may not
be the best direction for developing inhaliabe siRNA.

DPIs are aerosol systems in which drugs are inhaled as clouds of
dry particles. The use of DPIs appears to be a promising way to deliver
siRNA to the lungs as they demonstrated successful in vivo delivery
of other therapeutic macromolecules including insulin [108], parathy-
roid hormone [109] and low molecular weight heparin [110,111].
The formulation challenges and potential solutions for delivery of
macromolecules such as proteins as powder aerosols have been
reviewed [112]. Formulating biological macromolecules as powders
for aerosol delivery is a challenge as it requires not only flowability
and dispersibility of the powders but also biochemical stability of
the macromolecules. To satisfy the latter requirement, proteins are
usually formulated in amorphous glasses which are, however, phy-
sically unstable and tend to crystallize with inter-particulate bond
formation and loss of powder dispersibility. In addition, the biochem-
ical stability requirement limits the manufacturing processes that
can be used for protein powder production. Similar issues will be
encountered for siRNA. Nevertheless, possible ways to tackle these
challenges have been addressed [112]. The inhaled dry powder form
of insulin (Exubera, marketed by Pfizer) was approved in Europe and
the US for the treatment of diabetes in 2006 [113]. Although the
product was withdrawn from the market in the subsequent year,
Pfizer stressed that disappointing sales is the major reason for the
withdrawal rather than safety or efficacy issues [114]. The safety
profile of inhaled insulin was indeed reassuring and the efficacy was
not inferior to the conventional injection formulations [115–119]. A
second inhalable insulin product in dry powder form, Afresa, is
currently awaiting for FDA approval. This encourages further deve-
lopment of dry powder form of macromolecules for inhalation.

There are different designs of DPI device and their delivery per-
formance may vary. The key advantages of DPIs are the improved
stability and sterility of biomolecules over liquid aerosols, and the
propellant-free formulation [120]. Inhalable dry powder forms of
proteins and peptides are commonly produced by spray-drying
[121,122] and the same technique could be applied to siRNA
[122,123]. Size of the spray-dried product must be carefully optimized
for efficient delivery to the desirable site along the respiratory tract. A suitable delivery agent or formulation is required to protect the nucleic acids from degradation caused by the shear force and raised temperature during the drying process. The major drawback of DPIs is that drug deposition could be dependent on the patient inspiration flow rate. Therefore a suitable DPI device must be carefully designed to minimize such variation. In addition, the problem associated with de-aggregation of dry powders must be overcome [120].

Nebulizers are used to generate liquid aerosol and can be utilized to deliver large volumes of drug solutions or suspensions for inhalation. They are frequently used for drugs that are unsuitable to be formulated into MDIs or DPIs, and could be considered for siRNA delivery. During the process of nebulization, high shear stress is exerted on the siRNA which may lead to degradation of the nucleic acids. This is a particular problem as 99% of generated aerosol droplets are recycled back into the reservoir [41] and the shear stress could be repeatedly exerted to the nucleic acids. In addition, biomolecules tend to be less stable in liquid form than in dry powder form. Stability is the prime concern in delivering siRNA with nebulizers. A suitable delivery vector is therefore required to protect siRNA from both physical and chemical degradation.

Although inhalation is a common way to deliver drug to the lungs, to our best knowledge, none of the in vivo study on siRNA therapy is intended for inhalation. Most of the in vivo studies use either intratracheal or intranasal route of delivery to the lungs. This could be due to the difficulty in formulating inhalable siRNA, especially in maintaining the stability and biological activity of siRNA during manufacturing and delivery process. Recently, Jensen et al. [123]

### Table 2
Summary of in vivo study of non-viral siRNA delivery to the lung.

| Route of administration | siRNA/siRNA target | Delivery vectors | Animal model | Notes | Year |
|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------|-------|------|
| Intrapulmonary           | PAI-1               | Naked unmodified siRNA | C57BL/6 mice | Successfully reduced PAI-1 level in bronchoalveolar fluid | 2010 |
| Intrapulmonary           | siRNA-cy3           | Naked unmodified siRNA | C57BL/6 mice | Low and inhomogeneous siRNA distribution in the lung | 2010 |
| Intranasal               | GAPDH               | Polymer (chitosan, chitosan-imidazole) | BALB/c mice | ~45% knockdown efficiency for both formulation | 2010 |
| Intratracheal            | siGLO Green         | Lipid (DharmaFECT) | C57BL/6 mice | siRNA distributed within epithelium cells of bronchi and bronchioles | 2010 |
| Intratracheal            | SPARC siRNA-cy3     | Naked modified siRNA (2’-O-methyl modification) | C57BL/6 mice | Successfully reduced inflammation in lungs | 2010 |
| Intratracheal            | E-cadherin          | Liposomes (AtuFECT01/DPSyPe/DSPE-PEG) | C57BL/6 mice | High and homogenous siRNA distribution in lungs | 2010 |
| Intratracheal            | VE-cadherin SFPD    | XCL1 Naked unmodified siRNA | C57BL/6 mice | Expression of XCL1 was suppressed by ~40–50% at mRNA and protein level | 2009 |
| Intratracheal            | EHV-1               | Naked unmodified siRNA (Lipofectamine) | BALB/c mice | Successfully inhibit viral infection | 2009 |
| Intratracheal            | siGLO red           | Liposomes (DOTAP) | Athymic nude mice | No significant difference between the naked siRNA and lipoplex | 2009 |
| Intratracheal            | EGFP                | Polymer (PEI and PEI-PEG) | C57BL/6 mice | Longer retention in the lungs as compared to intravenous route of administration | 2009 |
| Inhalation (Nebulizer)   | Akt1                | Polymer (polymerestamine) | A/J mice | Successfully suppressed lung cancer progression | 2008 |
| Intratracheal            | p38 MAP kinase      | Naked siRNA | BALB/c mice | Peptide-siRNA formulations did not improve knockdown compared to naked siRNA and induced inflammatory response | 2007 |
| Intrapulmonary           | PAI-1               | Lipid (cholesterol) | C57BL/6 mice | Expression of PAI-1 was ~40% | 2010 |
| Intrapulmonary           | GPC                 | Cell penetrating peptide (TAT and penetratin) | C57BL/6 mice | Cholesterol-siRNA formulations extends duration but not magnitude of knockdown compared to naked siRNA | 2006 |
| Intratracheal            | RSV-P               | Naked unmodified siRNA (Cs-thiol modification) | BALB/c mice | Significant GFP knockdown in epithelial cells of bronchioles, ~37% expression of GFP compared to GFP mismatch | 2005 |
| Intratracheal            | siSC2-5             | Naked unmodified siRNA (TransIT-TKO) | Rhesus macaque | Both formulations effectively inhibited RSV infection | 2005 |
| Intratracheal            | Fas                 | Naked unmodified siRNA | C57BL/6 mice | mRNA expression of Fas and caspase 8 were significantly reduced in lung tissue | 2005 |
| Intratracheal            | Caspase 8           | Naked unmodified siRNA | C57BL/6 mice | Animals were protected from hemorrhagic shock and sepsis-induced acute lung injury | 2005 |
| Intratracheal            | KC MIP-2            | Naked unmodified siRNA | C57BL/6 mice | mRNA expression of KC and MIP-2 were reduced by ~40% in lung tissue, IL-6 and MPO activity were also reduced | 2005 |
| Intratracheal            | HO-1                | Naked unmodified siRNA | C57BL/6 mice | Successfully silence endogenous gene expression in the lung | 2004 |
| Intratracheal            | GAPDH               | Surfactant (InfaSurf) | C57BL/6 mice | GAPDH level in lung was inhibited to 50% in 24 h and 67% in 7 days | 2004 |
| Intratracheal + intravenous | NP PA               | Lipid (Oligofectamine) | BLB/cAnNCR mice | Intranasal + intravenous delivery successfully inhibited viral replication at site of infection, less effective when only intravenous route was used | 2004 |
reported the production of siRNA loaded poly(L,L-lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) nanoparticles by spray-drying. The integrity and biological activity of siRNA was successfully preserved during the drying process and the physicochemical properties of the particles are suitable for inhalation. It will be interesting to evaluate the in vivo performance of such formulation.

3.2. Intratracheal route

Intratracheal route is commonly used for lung delivery in animal studies for all kinds of drugs. However its clinical application is very limited. The method was initially described as an exposure technique to evaluate respiratory tract toxicity from airborne materials [42]. It was later adapted to assess pulmonary drug delivery, mainly in rodents [64]. Intratracheal route is a non-physiological and extremely uncomfortable delivery technique [42], it is therefore not employed as a routine route of drug administration for human use. Traditional method requires surgical procedure including tracheotomy, and the animal has to be anesthetized, usually with sodium pentobarbital or combination of xylazine and ketamine. The animal is then placed on a surgical board. The trachea is exposed and an endotracheal tube or needle is inserted through an incision made between the tracheal cartilaginous rings, projecting its tip at a defined position just before the tracheal bifurcation. The drug solution or suspension is instilled in the airways through the tube using a microsyringe (Fig. 3a).

A relatively non-invasive way to achieve pulmonary delivery via the intratracheal route was described by Bivas-Benita et al. [124]. No surgical procedure is required but the animal still needs to be anesthetized. While still under anesthesia, the tongue of the animal is gently pulled out and a microsprayer or similar device is carefully inserted endotracheally to deliver the aerosol into the lungs. Alternatively the animal is intubated through the mouth and trachea using a catheter or needle and the drug is being instilled in solution or suspension form. In these procedures, since the delivery is made through the mouth, it is also referred as oro-tracheal route [64], but correct insertion to the trachea may not be straight-forward (Fig. 3b).

Many in vivo studies employed the intratracheal route to deliver siRNA to the lungs [36,59,61,70,100]. The main advantage of intratracheal delivery is that it ensures high delivery efficiency with minimal drug lost, making it an excellent delivery method for proof-of-concept study when local delivery to the lung is desirable. The success of intratracheal siRNA delivery to the lungs of mouse was first reported by Perl et al. [103]. The group demonstrated that by using mice with over-expression of GFP, the intratracheal instillation of siRNA targeting GFP displayed a reduced fluorescent intensity in the lungs but not in the liver or the spleen. To investigate the gene knockdown efficiency, siRNA targeting fas and caspase-8 were delivered in the same way. The mRNA expression of fas and caspase-8 in lung tissues was found to be significantly reduced. This work also showed that the siRNAs did not induce lung inflammation as assessed by lung tissue interferon-α, tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and interleukin (IL)-6 levels. Interestingly, delivery vector was not required to achieve in vivo siRNA transfection. Rosas-Taraco et al. [36] also reported successful delivery of naked siRNA through the intratracheal route. After the aerosolized siRNA targeting XCL1 was administered intratracheally to mouse infected with tuberculosis, the expression of XCL1 in the lungs was suppressed by 40–50% at both mRNA and protein level. Since the expression of XCL1 affects the formation of the lung granuloma which is known to be associated with mycobacterium tuberculosis infection, the local delivery of siRNA may be exploited as a novel therapy for the treatment of tuberculosis. The observation of successful in vivo gene knockdown mediated by naked siRNA is discussed later.

Some studies were performed to compare siRNA mediated silencing with or without delivery vector via the intratracheal route. Moschos et al. [61] reported that naked siRNA failed to mediate any gene silencing in vitro in mouse fibroblast cell lines whereas conjugation of siRNA to cell penetrating peptides (TAT or penetratin), or lipid carrier (cholesterol) resulted in 20–40% gene silencing effect at mRNA level. Surprisingly when they proceeded to in vivo study, they found that naked siRNA managed to produce a 30–45% gene knockdown at mRNA level. Neither TAT nor penetratin improved the transfection efficiency as compared of naked siRNA. The use of cell penetrating peptides was even found to trigger inflammatory response in lung tissues. In a more recent study, Guthier et al. [60] demonstrated that the epithelial E-cadherin was reduced moderately by 21% in mouse lung tissues following intratracheal delivery of naked target-specific siRNA, but no significant reduction of endothelial VE-cadherin or lamin B1 was observed. The use of lipid-based delivery vector (DPhyPE/DSPE-PEG) was found to evoke inflammatory response in the lungs.

Despite the success of intratracheal route of siRNA delivery in animal models, it must be noted that intratracheal route is an artificial way to deliver drug into the lungs. Drug deposition by this route tends to be less uniform as compared to inhalation [64]. Since intratracheal
route avoids the oropharynx deposition, it reduces drug loss. In addition, the effect of aerosol size which is the most critical factor affecting human lung deposition was not the focus of investigation in these studies. Therefore it is difficult to rely on this route of administration to accurately evaluate the *in vivo* delivery efficiency of a particular formulation.

### 3.3. Intranasal route

Intranasal route is another very popular way to deliver siRNA to the lungs in animal studies [31,34,58,60,99,101,102,105–107], partly because of its easy experimental setup. The animals are usually lightly to deeply anesthetized. The siRNA formulations are then instilled drop-wise to the naris to be breathed (Fig. 3c). There were a number of studies showed success in siRNA delivery to the lung *in vivo* through this route. Zhang et al. [105] were the first to demonstrate that lung-specific siRNA delivery could be achieved in mouse by intranasal administration without the need for any delivery vector. Naked siRNA targeting for heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) effectively suppressed the expression of HO-1 gene in the injured lung models of mouse. Soon, the delivery of naked siRNA via intranasal route was shown to be successful in inhibiting lung viral infection in mouse [31,102] and rhesus macaque [34].

Since the anatomy and physiology of the lungs between mouse and human are very different, the success we see in intranasal siRNA delivery to the lungs could not be easily translated to human use. Mice are obligate nasal breathers, it is not surprising to see a high proportion of siRNA delivered through the nose was deposited in the lungs. In addition, the use of anesthetics in these animal studies may have impaired the mucociliary action [125], thereby overestimating the transfection efficiency of the formulations. In order to evaluate the feasibility of lung delivery via the nasal route in humans, Heyder et al. [126] performed an experiment on healthy adult volunteers who were asked to inhale mono-disperse particles through the nose at a fixed flow and volume. It was found that only about 3% of 1–5 μm particles were deposited in the bronchial airways through nose breathing. The majority of particles were deposited in the nose instead. For this reason, intranasal route is perfect for delivering siRNA to the nasopharynx area.

In fact one of the human clinical trials, which is currently at phase II stage, uses this route to deliver siRNA for the treatment of human RSV infection [15,16]. RSV is an upper respiratory disease. ALN-RSV01 is the siRNA, developed by Alnylam Pharmaceuticals, designed to inhibit the replication of RSV by interrupting the synthesis of the viral nucleocapsid protein. Since RSV replicates almost exclusively in the single outermost layer of cells of the respiratory epithelium including the lining of nasal passages and trachea, local delivery of ALN-RSV01 to nasopharynx could potentially treat the infections. ALN-RSV01 is delivered without a delivery vector as a nasal spray and targets the upper respiratory tract instead of the deep lung area. The author has also pointed out that the nasal spray of ALN-RSV01 would not be expected to reach the lower respiratory tract, and the development of an aerosolized drug that targets the lower respiratory tract will be desirable in future trials for the treatment of naturally infected patients [16].

Furthermore, intranasal route is being developed for a long time to administer macromolecules such as proteins and peptides for systemic delivery [125,127]. The relatively large absorptive surface area in the nasal cavity and the high vascularization of the nasal mucosa facilitate rapid absorption. The intranasal route is definitely a route to explore for systemic delivery of siRNA.

### 4. Non-viral delivery of siRNA to the lung

A delivery vector is often required to facilitate the cellular delivery of siRNA as the highly charged, hydrophilic natures of the macromolecules make it unable to cross the biological membrane to reach its target sites. Due to the safety concerns with viral-vector, many siRNA delivery studies focus on the development of non-viral vectors. An ideal siRNA delivery vector should consist of the following criteria: (i) condense siRNA into nanosized particles; (ii) protect siRNA from enzymatic degradation; (iii) facilitate cellular uptake; (iv) promote endosomal escape; (v) release siRNA into the cytoplasm where the RISC is located and (vi) negligible toxicity. In addition, optimal delivery should be achieved without compromising the gene silencing activity and specificity of siRNA. Commonly used non-viral siRNA delivery vector includes lipids, polymers and peptides. Interestingly, naked siRNA was also found to be successful in producing gene silencing effect *in vivo*. These vectors are illustrated in Fig. 4 and the pulmonary delivery using each of these systems is discussed in detail.

#### 4.1. Naked siRNA

The term ‘naked siRNA’ or ‘unformulated siRNA’ refers to the delivery of siRNA without using any delivery agent. This includes the delivery of both unmodified siRNA and modified siRNA, formulated in saline or other simple excipients such as 5% dextrose. Since unmodified siRNA is susceptible to nuclease degradation, chemically modified siRNA was introduced initially to address this issue by increasing the nuclease resistance. The siRNA can also be chemically modified to improve potency, increase specificity, reduce immune response and reduce off-target effects. The subject of siRNA modification has been thoroughly reviewed by Watts et al. [128]. The major consideration of siRNA modification is to ensure that the gene silencing efficiency of siRNA is not adversely affected.

While the systemic delivery of naked siRNA generally failed to produce significant gene silencing effect [35,129,130], surprisingly there were some successes in delivering naked, unmodified siRNA locally including to the lung [31,34,36,58,60,102,103,105] (Table 1). Some of these studies have already been discussed in section 2.3.2 and 2.3.3. Usually the naked siRNA were administrated either intranasally or intratracheally to the mouse and the siRNA were targeted against endogenous or viral genes. In some cases, the use of delivery vectors such as lipids or peptides showed no or only marginal improvement of gene silencing efficiency in the lung compared to naked siRNA [31,61,102]. These observations were truly intriguing, as the question of how the naked siRNA crossed the biological membrane in order to achieve the post-transcriptional gene silencing remains to be answered, although the reduced nuclease activity in the lung may provide part of the explanation [70]. Bitko et al. [102] reported that naked unmodified siRNA was able to prevent respiratory viral infection through siRNA-mediated gene knockdown when the naked siRNA was administrated intranasally in the mouse. The authors suggested that the lung tissue is perhaps more receptive to exchange of molecules naturally or when infected, and this explanation is yet to be confirmed.

Nevertheless, the delivery of naked siRNA has been extended to clinical trials. As mentioned in the previous section, ALN-RSV01, which is a modified form of siRNA, were administrated intranasally as nasal spray without the use of any delivery vector and the initial data confirmed its efficiency in reducing RSV infection [15,16]. Regardless of the mystery of how the naked siRNA can cross the cell membrane, gain access into the cytoplasm and remain intact to perform its biological action, the performance of naked siRNA so far in animal models and human studies are promising. The major advantage of using naked siRNA is simplicity without the need to concern about the toxicity and inflammatory responses associated with certain delivery vectors. However, it must be stressed that in order to make the formulation applicable for deep lung delivery in human, aerosol inhalation is still the administration route of choice. A particulate carrier will be required to deliver the siRNA in dry powder form, or a delivery agent will be needed to protect the siRNA from shear force.
produced during the nebulizing process in suspension form. The use of delivery agents could also enhance specific cells targeting, improve pharmacokinetics and facilitate cellular uptake [131]. There were studies indicated that the use of optimized delivery vector could significantly improve lung delivery efficiency in animal models including nonhuman primates as compared to naked siRNA [132,133]. Therefore the development of an effective vector for pulmonary siRNA delivery is still the focus of research in the field.

4.2. Lipid-based delivery vectors

Lipid-based delivery systems are commonly used to deliver siRNA both in vitro and in vivo [134]. Typically cationic lipids or liposomes are used to form complexes with the negatively charged siRNA through spontaneous electrostatic interaction and the complexes are referred as lipoplexes. Many commercial siRNA transfection agents are lipids-based system, some of which are also employed for in vivo pulmonary delivery, e.g. Oligofectamine™ [107], TransIT-TKO [102] and DharmFECT [59]. The major challenges of using lipid-based delivery vectors in the clinic are their toxicity and the non-specific activation of inflammatory cytokines and interferon responses [135]. When the lipoplexes are to be aerosolized, special attention must be given to the stability [136] as they may undergo physical and chemical changes that may result in immature release and hence degradation of siRNA.

4.2.1. Cationic lipoplexes and liposomes

In order to maximize stability and delivery efficiency, and minimize toxic side effects, it is crucial to optimize the lipid composition, lipids to siRNA ratio and the lipoplexes preparation methods. Lipoplexes are easy to prepare and generally have good transfection efficiency due to their efficient interaction with the negatively charged cell membranes. However they also present some disadvantages such as poor stability and poor reproducibility [137]. Moreover, cationic lipids or liposomes are generally more toxic than their neutral counterparts. A study performed by Dokka et al. [138] showed that pulmonary administration of cationic liposomes, Lipofectamine and DOTAP, elicited dose–response toxicity and pulmonary inflammation in mice. The effect was more pronounced with the multivalent Lipofectamine than the monovalent DOTAP. In addition, they found that neutral and anionic liposomes did not exhibit lung toxicity.

4.2.2. PEGylated lipids

To circumvent the problem associated with positive surface charge, hydrophilic polymer such as polyethylene glycol (PEG) has been employed to shield the surface charge of cationic lipids or liposomes, with the attempt to reduce the inflammatory response. PEG covalently linked to a phospholipid has been used for many years to prolong circulation in the bloodstream by reducing opsonization and subsequent cells capture by the mononuclear phagocyte system [139]. The cationic lipid, Genzyme Lipid (GL-67), was previously investigated for plasmid DNA delivery to the lung for the treatment cystic fibrosis in human clinical trials [140,141]. GL-67 consisted of DOPE:DMPE-PEG5000 (at a molar ratio of 1:2:0.05) was complexed with DNA and the resulting lipoplexes were aerosolized and administrated to the patients with a jet nebulizer. For patients who received the treatment, their chloride abnormalities were found to be significantly improved and the bacterial adherence in the lungs was reduced. The promising results have led to the investigation of the same lipid-based system for siRNA delivery. Griesenbach et al. [142] assessed the in vivo efficiency of GL-67 in delivering siRNA into the lung of mice via the intranasal route. The siRNA targeting β-galactosidase (β-gal) was found to be localized in alveolar macrophages. β-gal mRNA was reduced by approximately 33%, but there was no significant change in β-gal protein expression. Perhaps the use of nebulizer could be considered for further investigation of this lipidic system.

4.2.3. Neutral lipids

Apart from PEGylation, another way to avoid toxicity and inflammatory response of lipid-based system is to use neutral lipid-
based systems. Although neutral systems have the more favorable safety profile, the lack of interaction with the negatively charged siRNA has limited their use as delivery vector. There are several methods to overcome this issue. One of the strategies is to link siRNA and lipids together through direct conjugation instead of electrostatic interaction. It has been demonstrated that chemical conjugation of siRNA to cholesterol can facilitate siRNA uptake in vivo after systemic administration [143]. Moschos et al. [61] applied this strategy to pulmonary delivery of siRNA. The cholesterol-siRNA conjugates were delivered to the mice by intratracheal administration. The duration of gene knockdown effect was extended by the cholesterol-conjugated system at mRNA level as compared to naked siRNA. However the magnitude of knockdown was not improved. Importantly, the cholesterol-siRNA conjugates did not elicit inflammation in mice tissues.

4.2.4. Lipids particles

An alternative strategy to improve the safety profile of lipid-based system is to encapsulate the siRNA inside the neutral lipid particles. Semple et al. [144] introduced the utilization of pH sensitive ionizable aminolipids to facilitate efficient encapsulation of antisense oligonucleotide in lipid vesicles. The important characteristic of these lipids is that they exhibit positive charge at acidic pH and neutral charge at physiological pH, thereby providing means for the lipids to efficiently interact with nucleic acids and the lipid vesicles can be rendered neutral at physiological pH. Semple et al. further developed this technique to produce stable nucleic acid lipid particles (SNALP) of uniform size with high siRNA encapsulation and delivery efficiency [145]. The two important parameters underlying lipid design for SNALP-mediated delivery are (i) the pKa of the ionizable cationic lipid, which determines the surface charge of the lipid particles under different pH conditions; and (ii) the ability, when protonated, to induce a nonbilayer phase structure when mixed with anionic lipids. This property of the lipids determines the membrane destabilizing capacity and the endosomolytic potential of the lipid particles. The SNALP demonstrated efficient in vivo gene silencing when administered intravenously in nonhuman primates [145,146]. These systems could be further exploited for pulmonary delivery.

4.2.5. Lipid-like molecules

Recently, a new class of lipid-like delivery molecules, termed lipidoids, was developed for siRNA delivery [132,147]. A combinatorial approach was employed to allow the rapid synthesis of a large library of structurally diverse lipidoids. The library was screened for the ability to deliver siRNA in vitro and in vivo. The leading candidate for in vivo siRNA mediated gene knockdown was identified as 9βN₂–G5. This system was tested for the ability to inhibit RV replication in the lungs. After intranasal administration to the mouse model of RV infection, the naked siRNA provided one log reduction in viral plaques in lung tissues, whereas the lipidoid system at the same dose of siRNA provided greater than two log reductions in viral plaques [132]. This method provides the possibility of rapid design of new lipid-based materials for pulmonary siRNA delivery.

4.3. Polymer-based delivery vectors

One the attractive properties of polymer-based delivery vectors is their versatile nature that allows their physicochemical characteristics to be modified relatively easily to fit their purposes. In addition, it has been suggested that polymers generally do not evoke as strong an immune response as liposomes [13]. In general, polymer-based vectors can be divided into two categories: polycations and polymeric nanoparticles. Synthetic polycations such as polyethyleneimine (PEI) [87], polyamidoamine (PAMAM) dendrimers [148] and natural polycations such as chitosan [149] are used for delivering DNA for a long time. These polymers have high cationic charge density and form polyplexes spontaneously with the negatively charged nucleic acids through electrostatic interaction. The size of polyplexes is affected by the molecular weight of polymers, the charge ratio, the pH and ionic strength of the medium. A net positive charge is required to maintain colloidal stability of the polyplexes. Because of the difference in physicochemical properties between plasmid DNA and siRNA, this approach is found to be less successful in delivering siRNA [150]. The stiffer structure of siRNA results in weaker interaction with polycations [151]. As a consequence, the polyplexes are less efficient in protecting siRNA against nuclease. Increase the amount of polycations may offer better protection but it might contribute to toxicity. The alternative way to deliver siRNA using polymer is to prepare polymeric nanoparticles which are usually solid nanoparticles made from hydrophobic polymers such as poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) [122,152]. The siRNA is either dispersed throughout the polymer matrix, or surrounded by a polymeric shell. The polymeric nanoparticles could offer better protection to siRNA, but the loading efficiency is the main challenge to this delivery method.

4.3.1. PEI

Synthetic polymer PEI is commonly used in siRNA delivery due to its high intracellular delivery efficiency. According to the proton sponge hypothesis as mentioned earlier in Section 2.2, the high buffering capacity of PEI inside the acidic endosomes leads to the swelling and rupture of endosomes, thereby promoting endosomal escape [87]. PEI is considered as the ‘gold standard’ for in vitro gene delivery and its transfection efficiency depends on the molecular weight and degree of branching. Merkel et al. [70] investigated the use of PEI and PEI–PEG polyplexes for siRNA delivery to the lung. The polyplexes containing siRNA targeting EGFP were administered to the actin-EGFP expressing mice through intratracheal instillation. The result showed that the PEI–PEG system displayed a better delivery efficiency with a 42% knockdown of EGFP expression in the lung tissues. However the PEI–PEG polyplexes also produced a moderate proinflammatory effect as the levels of TNF-α and IL-6 were elevated. PEI also associates with problems such as relatively high toxicity and the lack of biodegradability [86]. To tackle these problems, Xu et al. developed a new degradable PEI derivatives, poly(ester amine)-alt-PEG copolymer for in vivo siRNA delivery [57]. A previous study confirmed the biodegradability and low toxicity of this polymer [152]. For in vivo pulmonary delivery, the polyplexes containing siRNA targeting Akt1 were aerosolized and administered to mouse models of lung cancer through a nose-only inhalation system. The lung cancer progression of treated mice was significantly suppressed without showing any significant sign of toxicity. To facilitate rapid development of PEI derivatives for siRNA delivery, Thomas et al. [153] employed a high throughput synthesis and screening method to create a combinatorial library of biodegradable PEI derivatives to identify a novel vectors for gene delivery. The study focused on DNA delivery through intravenous injection to the mice and the technique could be easily adapted for the investigation of pulmonary siRNA delivery.

4.3.2. Chitosan

Chitosan has attracted much attention as potential vector for siRNA delivery due to its natural, biocompatible, biodegradable and low toxicity nature. In addition, the mucoadhesive and mucosa permeation properties of chitosan make it particularly favorable for pulmonary delivery. Unfortunately, it only shows moderate in vitro and in vivo transfection efficiency, possibility due to its relatively weak ability to promote endosomal escape [86,149]. Chitosan-based formulation for siRNA delivery has been thoroughly reviewed by Mao et al. recently [154]. The feasibility of using chitosan to deliver siRNA into the lungs was demonstrated by Howard et al. [101]. Chitosan/siRNA nanoparticles were prepared by the addition of small volume of siRNA into chitosan stock solution in sodium acetate buffer.
After the nanoparticles were administered intranasally to EGFP-expressing transgenic mice, the number of EGFP-expressing epithelial cells in the bronchioles was reduced by 43% (compare to untreated control) and 37% (compared to mismatch control) [101], and the stability of the system increased with the molecular weight and degree of deacetylation [155]. A recent study conducted by the same group described the aerosolization of chitosan/siRNA nanoparticles for intratracheal administration with the attempt to improve lung deposition in mice [133]. The aerosol was generated by an Aeroprobe™ nebulizing catheter which was inserted non-invasively into the animal below the vocal cords. This delivery route was compared with the intranasal route and, as expected, the results confirmed that the aerosolized formulation improved the lung deposition of the same siRNA dosage, and the EGFP expression in the transgenic mice model was reduced by 68%. However the author also pointed out that catheter insertion ensured sufficient delivery and the effect of size in lung deposition was not investigated. The size of aerosol droplet generated in this study was around 20 μm which is not suitable for inhalable formulation. It is critical to reduce droplet diameters in the range of 1–5 μm to allow optimal lung deposition in human following inhalation. To enhance the siRNA delivery efficiency of chitosan, Katas et al. [151] described the ionic gelation method using sodium tripolyphosphate to produce siRNA nanoparticles. Compare to electrostatic complexation, the chitosan/siRNA nanoparticles produced by ionic gelation method showed a better in vitro gene silencing effect due to better stability and higher loading efficiency. It would be interesting to assess the in vivo performance of such system through pulmonary delivery.

4.3.3. PLGA

PLGA is a biodegradable and biocompatible polymer that is being investigated to provide controlled release of therapeutic macromolecules such as peptides, proteins and plasmid DNA [156,157]. The versatility of PLGA allows chemical modification and many derivatives of PLGA with tailored properties are designed to fit their delivery purposes. Unlike polycations, PLGA does not form polyplexes with nucleic acids. Instead the nucleic acids are encapsulated in the PLGA nanoparticles. By manipulating the degradation rate of PLGA, sustained release of the encapsulated nucleic acids could be achieved. To design an inhalable formulation, particle size is of extreme importance. Double emulsion-solvent evaporation is conventionally used to prepare PLGA nanoparticles and the dry particles are then collected by lyophilization [157]. However large specific surface area of the particles often leads to aggregation and the ice formation during lyophilization process also facilitates aggregation. As a result particles prepared by this method are not desirable for inhalable formulation as large particle size is likely to be impacted out in the oropharynx area. Takashima et al. [158] demonstrated that aggregation of PLGA particles containing plasmid DNA could be effectively minimized without loss of in vitro transfection efficiency using spray-drying technique. This method was soon adapted by Jensen et al. [123] who demonstrated the production siRNA containing PLGA nanoparticles by spray-drying with controlled size distribution that are intended for inhalation. PLGA nanoparticles were co-spray-dried with a carbohydrate excipient such as lactose, trehalose and mannitol to protect the siRNA containing nanoparticles against the shear forces and raised temperature during the process of spray-drying, and to ensure the particle size is suitable for inhalation. The physicochemical properties and the powder yield of the formulation could be optimized by varying the amount of excipients and the nanoparticles to excipients ratios. The study showed that the integrity and biological activity of the siRNA were preserved during the spray-drying process. The potential of this technique to generate inhalable siRNA formulation will be confirmed by further investigation on lung deposition and in vivo gene silencing efficiency.

4.4. Peptide-based delivery vectors

Since the discovery of TAT protein from HIV-1 which is responsible for the cellular uptake of the virus [159], a variety of cell-penetrating peptides (CPPs) have been derived or synthesized. CPPs are frequently employed to facilitate the transport of therapeutic macromolecules into the cells and this strategy has been extended to the delivery of siRNA [160,161]. CPPs and derivatives that are investigated for siRNA delivery included TAT [61,162,163], penetratin [163–165], transportan [163], MPG [166,167], CADY [168,169] and LAH4 [170]. The peptides are either covalently attached to siRNA through disulphide bond formation, or bind to siRNA through electrostatic interaction to form complexes in a non-covalent manner. Due to the sequence diversity of different CPPs, their mechanism of action is also expected to vary. Some of these peptides improve cellular delivery by efficient transport of their cargo across the biological membranes, whereas others promote endosomal escape and prevent lysosomal degradation. However the exact mechanisms of these peptides are still controversial. The activities of different CPPs have been extensively reviewed [161,167,171–174].

Although a variety of CPPs have been investigated for siRNA delivery, very few studies reported their use for in vivo pulmonary delivery. To date only one group has described the use of CPPs for in vivo delivery of siRNA. Using siRNA targeting p38 MAP kinase, Moschos et al. [61,175] compared the gene silencing effect between naked siRNA, TAT-siRNA conjugates and penetratin-siRNA conjugates both in vitro and in vivo. The peptides were conjugated to the siRNA through disulfide linkage. All siRNA conjugates, but not naked siRNA, showed small but significant reduction (20–36%) of p38 MAP kinase expression at mRNA level in mouse fibroblast L929 cell lines. Interestingly, when the systems were administrated intratracheally to mouse model, naked siRNA was able to produce a 30–45% knockdown of p38 MAP kinase in the lung of the animal despite the absence of knockdown effect in vitro. Although moderate gene knockdown was observed in TAT- and penetratin-siRNA conjugated systems, the TAT or penetratin peptide alone also produced similar knockdown level, suggesting that the CPPs could be the modulators of the gene knockdown effect. Furthermore, penetratin-siRNA conjugates were found to induce an in vivo innate immune response, but not with the naked siRNA, TAT-siRNA conjugates, penetratin or TAT alone. The understanding of the mechanism of CPPs activity was crucial to determine their application in siRNA delivery. In addition, it has been suggested that covalent attachment of CPP to siRNA may have a negative effect on cellular delivery as the biological activity of the peptides may have been altered during chemical modification [161]. The non-covalent complexing method provides an alternative strategy but the effect in lung delivery to animal model remains to be seen. More work needs to be done in this area to develop an effective peptide-based siRNA vector system for in vivo pulmonary delivery.

5. Conclusions

Since the discovery of siRNA, its therapeutic potential has been rapidly recognized. Many studies have been carried out in the past few years in delivering siRNA to the lungs for the treatment of various lung diseases. However the majority of these investigations focus on the design of siRNA molecules to target a specific disease instead of looking into the delivery perspective. In order to make siRNA therapy practical in treating human lung diseases, we believe that the inhalation route, especially in dry powder form, is the best of choice. Unfortunately there is very few literature described siRNA formulation specifically designed for inhalation for clinical use. Very recently, Jensen et al. [123] reported the spray-drying method to produce PLGA based siRNA nanoparticles with physiochemical
properties that are intended for inhalation, but they have not reached the stage of in vivo study yet. The major challenges to pulmonary delivery of siRNA include: (i) there is no obvious correlation between in vitro and in vivo study (e.g. the naked siRNA failed to show gene silencing effect in vitro in many studies, but the in vivo studies often proved otherwise); (ii) it is very hard to translate the information from animal (especially rodents which are the most frequently used model) to human as the anatomy and physiology of the respiratory tract between animal and human are very distinct; and (iii) the administration routes used for animal studies are not suitable for human use, and it is extremely difficult to evaluate the delivery efficiency of the formulation before it enters the clinical study. Since many studies have successfully proved the therapeutic efficiency of siRNA on various lung diseases, the final hurdle we need to overcome is the development of inhalable and stable siRNA formulations for human use in a practical way before siRNA therapeutics for lung diseases become available in the clinic.
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