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**Background.** Neuropeptides exert their activity through binding to G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs). GPCRs are well-known drug targets in the pharmaceutical industry and are currently discussed as targets to control pest insects. Here we investigate the neuropeptide adipokinetic hormone (AKH) system of the desert locust *Schistocerca gregaria*. The desert locust is known for its high reproduction, and for forming devastating swarms consisting of billions of individual insects. It is also known that *S. gregaria* produces three different AKHs as ligands but has only one AKH receptor. The AKH system is known to be essential for metabolic regulation, which is necessary for reproduction and flight activity.

**Methods.** Nuclear magnetic resonance techniques (NMR) in a dodecylphosphocholin (DPC) micelle solution were used to determine the structure of the three AKHs. The primary sequence of the *S. gregaria* AKH receptor (AKHR) was used to construct a 3D molecular model. Next, the 3 AKHs were individually docked to the receptor, and dynamic simulation of the whole ligand-receptor complex in a model membrane was performed.

**Results.** Although the three endogenous AKHs of *S. gregaria* have quite different amino acids sequences and chain length (two octa- and one decapeptide), NMR experiments assigned a turn structure in DPC micelle solution for all. The GPCR-ModSim program identified human kappa opioid receptor (hk-OR) to be the best template after which the *S. gregaria* AKHR was modeled. All three AKHs were found to have the same binding site on this receptor, interact with similar residues of the receptor and have comparable binding constants. Molecular switches were also identified; the movement of the receptor could be visually shown when ligands (AKHs) were docked and the receptor was activated.

**Conclusions.** The study proposes a model of binding of the three endogenous ligands to the one existing AKH receptor in the desert locust and paves the way to use such a model for the design of peptide analogs and finally, peptide mimetics, in the search for novel species-specific insecticides based on receptor-ligand interaction.
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Abstract

Background. Neuropeptides exert their activity through binding to G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs). GPCRs are well-known drug targets in the pharmaceutical industry and are being considered as novel targets to control pest insects. Here we investigate the neuropeptide adipokinetic hormone (AKH) system of the desert locust *Schistocerca gregaria*. The desert locust is known for its high reproduction, and for forming devastating swarms consisting of billions of individual insects. It is also known that *S. gregaria* produces three different AKHs as ligands but has only one AKH receptor. The AKH system is known to be essential for metabolic regulation, which is necessary for reproduction and flight activity.

Methods. Nuclear magnetic resonance techniques (NMR) in a dodecylphosphocholin (DPC) micelle solution were used to determine the structure of the three AKHs. The primary sequence of the *S. gregaria* AKH receptor (Schgr-AKHR) was used to construct a 3D molecular model. Further, the 3 AKHs were individually docked to the receptor, and dynamic simulation of the whole ligand-receptor complex performed in a model membrane.

Results. Although the three endogenous AKHs of *S. gregaria* have quite different amino acids sequences and chain length (two octa- and one decapeptide), NMR experiments suggested that they all had a turn structure in DPC micelle solution. The human kappa opioid receptor (hκ-OR)
was identified as the best template for homology modeling of Schgr-AKHR. All three AKH peptides were found to have the same binding site on this receptor, interact with similar residues of the receptor and have comparable binding constants. Molecular switches were also identified; the movement of the receptor could be visually shown when the peptides were docked and the receptor activated.

Conclusions. The study proposes a model of binding of three endogenous ligands to the one existing AKH receptor in the desert locust and paves the way to use such a model for the design of peptide analogs and finally, peptide mimetics, in the search for novel species-specific insecticides based on receptor-ligand interaction.

Introduction

In 1976 the primary structure of the first metabolic insect neuropeptide was published (Stone et al. 1976). The decapeptide was isolated from the retrocerebral glands (corpora cardiaca) of the migratory (*Locusta migratoria*) and desert (*Schistocerca gregaria*) locusts. This neuropeptide was functionally paramount in mobilizing lipids, especially during flight episodes and, hence, was classified as an adipokinetic hormone (AKH). Its modern code name is Locmi-AKH-I (for primary structure, see Table 1). Subsequently, in both locust species, a second species-specific AKH octapeptide was found (Gäde et al. 1986; Siegert et al. 1985) (see Table 1). Later, a third AKH, again an octapeptide, was isolated and functionally characterized from *L. migratoria* (Oudejans et al. 1991) (see Table 1). Genome data mining lead to the discovery of a putative fourth AKH in the migratory locust (Veenstra 2014). The sequence of this octapeptide was identical to an AKH, Aedae-AKH, previously cloned from the yellow fever mosquito, *Aedes aegypti* (Kaufmann et al. 2009). It was also found in the corpora cardiaca of the alderfly, *Sialis lutaria* (Gäde et al. 2009) and the desert locust (Marchal et al. 2018).

All these peptides are members of the large AKH/red pigment-concentrating hormone (RPCH) family, which occurs not only in insects and crustaceans, but also evolved in molluscs (Johnson et al. 2014; Li et al. 2016). The AKH gene codes an mRNA that is translated into a pre-propeptide with the following features: a signal peptide is followed immediately by the respective AKH peptide, a glycine amidation site, a dibasic processing site and, C-terminally, another putative peptide of variable length (Gäde & Marco 2013). After cleavage and post-translational modification, the structure of the mature AKH is characterized by a chain length of 8 to 10 amino acids, a pyroglutamate residue (pGlu) at the N-terminus and a carboxyamide at the C-terminus. The amino acids Leu, Ile, Val, Tyr or Phe are found at position 2, Asn or Thr at position 3, the aromatic residues Phe or Tyr at position 4, Ser or Thr at position 5, and various amino acids at position 6, 7 and 10. The aromatic Trp is always present at position 8 and Gly at position 9 (Gäde 2004; Gäde 2009).

Most insect neuropeptides, including AKHs, exert their activity via binding to G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). In the pharmaceutical industry GPCRs are well known drug targets, and insect neuropeptide GPCRs are being considered as targets for the control of pest insects.
A number of AKH receptors have been cloned and sequenced (Alves-Bezerra et al. 2016; Caers et al. 2012; Hou et al. 2017, to name a few) and the AKH system with its primarily metabolic function has been identified as a putative target as well (Gäde & Goldsworthy 2003). With this aim, recent studies (Marchal et al. 2018) characterized the AKHR from the desert locust and used a mammalian cell-based bioluminescence assay to investigate activation of the cloned receptor by a number of naturally-occurring peptides (Marchal et al. 2018).

The first 3D-structure of an AKH hormone peptide derived from nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy was published by Zubrzycki and Gäde in 1994 (Zubrzycki & Gade 1994). Modeling of binding of members of the AKH/RPCH family to their cognate receptors has also been undertaken before: one model has been proposed for an insect, the malaria mosquito, *Anopheles gambiae* (Mugumbate et al. 2013) and another for a crustacean, the water flea *Daphnia pulex* (Jackson et al. 2018). Although the receptors share spatial regions, the binding modes of the two ligands have different orientation (Jackson et al. 2018). In both cases, however, the receptor has only one endogenous, octapeptide ligand. In the current study, three AKH neuropeptides (one decapeptide and two octapeptides, see Table 1) are present in *S. gregaria*; two of these peptides have been found to be active in lipid mobilization (Gäde 1990) and two of these peptides bind to the same receptor (Marchal et al. 2018). The challenge, hence, was to understand how such different peptides could all activate the same receptor. The available information on the peptides and the AKH receptor of *S. gregaria*, gives us the opportunity to model the binding of these three peptides to their cognate receptor. This information could then be used to explain how the different peptides are able to activate the same receptor. This step is necessary in order to design novel peptidomimetic agonist or antagonists, which can be synthesized and tested as cheap pest insect control substances.

**Materials &Methods**

Schgr-AKH-II was synthesized by GL Biochem Ltd (Shanghai, China), Aedae-AKH by Pepmic Co., Ltd. (Suzhou, China) and Locmi-AKH-I by Peninsula Laboratories (Belmont, California, USA). Purity was checked with HPLC-MS and it was found to be > 95 to 98% pure. The peptides were not sufficiently soluble in water for the NMR experiments and so solutions were prepared in 30% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) and/or in a dodecylphosphocholin (DPC) micelle solution. Typically, 1 mg of sample was dissolved in 0.5 ml of either 20 mM phosphate buffer + 30% DMSO or 10:1 (v/v) H₂O:D₂O solution which was 150 mM in deuterated DPC-d38 (Cambridge Isotopes, 98.6% d) and buffered with 20 mM potassium phosphate buffer. Peptide–peptide interactions were minimized by maintaining a peptide to micelle ratio of 1:3, assuming 50 molecules of DPC per micelle (Jackson et al., 2018). Sodium 4,4-dimethyl-4-silapentane-1-sulfonate (DSS) was used as an internal standard.

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) experiments were performed on either, a Bruker Advance 700 MHz spectrometer or a Bruker Advance 600 MHz spectrometer with a prodigy probe. A mixing time of 60 ms was used for Total Correlation Spectroscopy (TOCSY) (Hwang & Shaka 1995). A mixing time of 150 ms was used for nuclear Overhauser spectroscopy (NOESY)
Spectral assignments were based on the method of Wüthrich and Weber et al. 1988; Wüthrich 1986. $^{13}$C assignments were based on Heteronuclear Single Quantum Coherence (HSQC) spectra (Sklenar et al. 1993).

**Peptide molecular dynamics (MD)**

The three AKH peptides were built using Maestro [Schrödinger, Inc., New York, NY, USA] and energy minimized using a steepest descent algorithm. NMR restrained molecular dynamic simulations in vacuum, water and DPC were performed using GROMACS version 5.1.2 (Van Der Spoel et al. 2005). Although only the final results in DPC micelle solution are relevant to the current study, the three different environments were used to adequately search conformational space in a reasonable amount of time. Thus, the vacuum simulation was done at 600 K to allow the peptide to move faster and overcome any conformational barriers. All simulations were performed using the OPLS-AA all–atom force field with a time step of 2 fs. The LINCS algorithm was used to constraint all bonds. A cut-off of 1.0 nm was used for van der Waals interactions and electrostatic interactions for real space calculations. Vacuum simulations were first done to search conformational space by collecting 100 snapshots of the trajectory during a 10 ns simulation, at 600 K. Each conformation was then annealed to 300 K over 40 ps. Cluster analysis of the resulting structures, using the linkage algorithm of GROMACS and a cut-off of 0.1 nm on the backbone atoms, gave one single large cluster. The conformer in the cluster with the lowest energy was used for simulations in water. Using the tip4p water model, a box containing the peptide and ~7000 water molecules was constructed. Following equilibration, molecular dynamics was performed for 10 ns at 300 K under NPT conditions. In total, 200 structures were collected at 50 ps intervals. Cluster analysis was performed as before and the results used in the DPC/water simulations.

For simulations in a water/DPC mixture, the lowest energy structure obtained previously was placed in the center of a 7 nm cubic box filled with ~10 000 SPC water molecules and a 52 DPC molecule micelle as obtained from Tieleman et al. (Tieleman et al. 2000) The micelle was translated so that the center of the micelle was at the bottom edge of the box. This meant that, using periodic boundary conditions, half the micelle was at the bottom of the box and the other half was at the top. The peptide was then placed in the center of the box. Energy minimization was carried out using the steepest descent method to a tolerance of 10 kJ mol$^{-1}$ or to machine precision. Two stages of system equilibration were performed to solvate the peptide and to achieve a steady state starting temperature, pressure and density. The first stage of equilibration involved performing MD for 100 ps under NVT conditions at 300 K followed in the second stage by a further 1 ns MD under NPT conditions. The final MD simulation was for 10 ns during which 200 snapshots were collected. Cluster analysis was performed in the same manner as before.

**Homology modeling**
The primary sequence of the adipokinetic hormone receptor from *S. gregaria*, Schgr-AKHR, was obtained from the GenBank (GenBank ID: AVG47955.1). Transmembrane (TM) helix predictions were computed on-line using PSIPRED (http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/) (Jones 2007; Jones et al. 1994; Nugent & Jones 2009). The results showed that this sequence had seven TM helices (Figure S1).

The GPCR-ModSim Web server (http://gpcr-modsim.org/) (Rodríguez et al. 2012) was used for template selection and preliminary sequence alignment of Schgr-AKHR. This server accepts an amino acid sequence as input and searches templates by multiple sequence alignments with the query sequence. GPCR-ModSim identified the human kappa opioid receptor (hκ-OR) (PDB ID: 4DJH; Wu et al., 2012) as the best template. Subsequently, the sequence alignment between Schgr-AKHR and hκ-OR was manually edited to remove gaps in the transmembrane domains without disrupting their conserved regions. Finally, homology models for Schgr-AKHR were constructed using Modeler 9v7. This is an automated homology modeling program that performs automated protein homology modeling and loop modeling for the receptor by satisfaction of spatial restraints (Sali 1995). The quality of the selected model was evaluated for internal consistency and reliability, such as stereochemical quality, using PROCHECK (Laskowski et al. 1996). The quality of the non-bonded atom interactions was evaluated using ERRAT (Colovos & Yeates 1993a).

**Docking studies**

The validated Schgr-AKHR model and the structure of the DPC micellar structure of the 3 AKH peptides were prepared for docking simulations using Protein Preparation Wizard and LigPrep of the Schrödinger suite (Schrödinger Inc., New York, NY, USA). Site-directed mutagenesis studies (Kooistra et al. 2013), molecular modeling and structural analyses (Li et al. 2010) suggest that most of the class A GPCRs share a similar binding pocket c.f. retinal bound to rhodopsin, carazolol bound to beta-2 adrenergic receptor (Vilar et al. 2011) and Anoga-HrTH bound to the AKH receptor of *A. gambiae* (Mugumbate et al. 2011). Thus, the extra-cellular portion of the receptor was used for the docking simulations.

**GLIDE docking** (Trott & Olson 2010) was used for peptide docking with a grid space of 72 x 72 x 72, which covered all extracellular loops and helices. The receptor grid was generated for peptide ligands and the docking precision was SP-Peptides. This setting automatically increases the number of poses collected.

**MD of docked structure**

The best poses from the docking studies were used as starting structures for a 2 μs molecular dynamics simulation in a 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC) membrane. Using the CHARMM-GUI [www.charm-gui.org] the docked complex was placed in a POPC membrane (128 POPC molecules) such that it spanned the membrane. The construct was then converted to an OPLS-AA, all–atom, force field. Using GROMACS, ~12000 water molecules were added and the charge neutralized by adding 19 Cl− ions. Several steps of equilibration were
used, to pack the membrane around the receptor. This was followed by 1 μs of NPT simulation at 300 K with Berendsen pressure coupling (Berendsen et al. 1984) and a tau-p of 2.0. The free energy of binding of the final structures, from the dynamic simulations, were calculated using Prime-MM-GBSA (Schrödinger Inc., New York, NY, USA).

Results

Spectral assignment

The chemical shift assignments of the three AKH ligands in DPC are given in Tables 2 – 4. Previously, Berjanskii & Wishart (Berjanskii & Wishart 2006) as well as Tremblay (Tremblay 2010) have shown that the chemical shifts of peptides are sensitive to the secondary structure and flexibility of the polypeptide. Structuring-induced chemical shift changes (observed shifts minus random coil reference values) were analyzed using the CSDb algorithm available at andersenlab.chem.washington.edu/CSDb/about.php (Eidenschink et al. 2009; Fesinmeyer et al. 2004). Figures 1 a-c show such plots for the three ligands. For Schgr-AKH-II both H^N and H_α are shifted up-field, while for Locmi-AKH-I and Aedae-AKH, only the H^N deviations are up-field. The H_α deviations are small and random. It has been shown that polypeptides with a helical structure have, H^N and H_α chemical shifts which are, on average, ~0.30 ppm less than their random coil values, while β-sheet structures have shifts of ca. 0.6 ppm (Szilágyi 1995). Thus, each of these peptides has a β-turn structure. Similar results were found for a number of other deca- and octapeptidic members of the AKH family, i.e. for Declu-CC, Melme-CC and Dappu-RPCH (Jackson et al. 2018). However, downfield shifts were previously found for Anoga-HrTH from the Anopheles mosquito (Mugumbate et al. 2011; Mugumbate et al. 2013).

Using the Random Coil Index tool (Tremblay 2010), the chemical shifts were also used to estimate the model-free order parameter, S^2, of the peptides (see Figure 1d). An order parameter of 1 means the peptide is rigid, while an order parameter of 0 means the peptide has no structure. Figure 1d shows that Locmi-AKH-I is very ordered, with a maximum order parameter of 0.9 around proline, whereas the C-terminal has less ordering (S^2 = 0.30). On the other hand, Schgr-AKH-II and Aedae-AKH are much more flexible. The order parameter for these two peptides range from 0.1 to 0.4, which is similar to that of Dappu-RPCH, an AKH peptide member from the crustacean water flea (Jackson et al. 2018).

MD simulation with DPC micelle

Figure 2 shows the solution structures of the 3 AKH ligands in DPC micelle solution. In each case, the molecular dynamics was started with the peptide in water, but they rapidly diffused to interact with the DPC micelle. Depending on the starting orientation of the peptide relative to the micelle, the peptide would make contact with the phospholipid and move away until a stable orientation was established. This is shown in Figure 3 where the peptide/DPC contact area is plotted as a function of time. For Locmi-AKH-I, contact between the DPC and micelle is established during the equilibration period, for Aedae-AKH it is established after 30 ns, while for...
Schgr-AKH-II, even after 60 ns the peptide is still not permanently attached to the micelle. It is interesting to note that the contact area between Locmi-AKH-I is much higher than that for the other two peptides and Locmi-AKH-I is much more rigid even though it is longer, a decapeptide versus an octapeptide. The interaction between the peptides and the lipid surface, as shown by the contact area, is important as it has been postulated that, before the ligand binds to its receptor, it first binds to the cell membrane surface. Thus, surface binding is an important step in receptor activation.

In order to show how the structure of the peptides changed during the molecular dynamics, an overlay of each peptide is shown in Figure 2a, b and c. Cluster analysis of the trajectory gave a single large cluster for each peptide with a number of smaller, high energy, clusters. The root conformer and an overlay of each cluster is shown in Figure 2. The predominant conformation of each peptide does have a turn feature but the details differ for each AKH. Locmi-AKH-I (Fig. 2a) has a clear β-turn around its proline residue; Aedae-AKH (Fig. 2b) has a more open structure compared to the other peptides and no marked turn around proline; Schgr-AKH-II (Fig. 2c) is tightly coiled in DPC solution.

Receptor Construct

Use of the GPCR-ModSim server gave the crystal structure of the hκ-OR (PDB ID: 4DJH), with 2.9 Å resolution, as a top template for Schgr-AKHR. The crystal structure has the selective antagonist JDTic complexed to the receptor (Hu et al., 2012). This template has the highest sequence identity (26.33%) compared to other templates as shown in Figure S2. hκ-OR belongs to the class A (rhodopsin-like), γ-subfamily of G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) and was selected to build models for Schgr-AKHR. The initial sequence alignment from the GPCR-ModSim server was aligned manually with the use of Chimera (Pettersen et al. 2004a; Pettersen et al. 2004b)). The predicted transmembrane helices of Schgr-AKHR and the PDB structural assignments of hκ-OR were also used to confirm the alignment as shown in Figure S1. The sequence analysis shows that the conserved residues in the seven TM helices (TM1-TM7) of hκ-OR are also highly conserved within Schgr-AKHR (conserved residues highlighted by purple coloured boxes in Figure S1), indicating that they may be involved in functions, such as signaling and ligand binding, of Schgr-AKHR. Additionally, as in hκ-OR, disulfide forming cysteine residues (Cys-131 and Cys-210) are conserved in Schgr-AKHR. The predicted TM helices of Schgr-AKHR (Figure S3) are consistent with the TM helices of hκ-OR: there are no gaps or insertions in these regions, signifying that the target sequence is correctly aligned with the template sequence and, hence, can be used for the modeling process.

The homology models of Schgr-AKHR were built using the Modeler 9v7 program. The input parameters of Modeler were set to generate 100 models with high structural optimization. A disulfide bridge, Cys-131–Cys-210, was defined as in the template structure. The best model was selected based on the lowest PDF (molecular probability density function) energies and DOPE score (discrete optimized protein energy) for the docking simulations. The selected models’ qualities were subsequently assessed with structural evaluation programs such as PROCHECK.
and ERRAT. Ramachandran plot analyses of the Schgr-AKHR model from PROCHECK are shown in Figure S4. All the residues were either in favored or in allowed regions, indicating that the backbone torsion angles (phi and psi) of this model are reliable. In addition, the ERRAT score, so-called overall quality factor, was computed on the Schgr-AKHR model to check the quality of its non-bonded atomic interactions. The normally accepted score range for a high-quality model is >50 (Colovos & Yeates 1993b). The ERRAT score for the Schgr-AKHR model was 78, showing that the model is within the high-quality range. All these validation methods demonstrated that the model is reliable and can be used for further studies. The final 3D structure of the Schgr-AKHR model, as shown in Figure S5A, is similar in overall fold with the template protein hκ-OR (Fig. S5B). The superposition of the Schgr-AKHR model with that of hκ-OR displayed a 2.804 Å root mean squared deviation (RMSD) for 1332 atoms pairs. This low RMSD demonstrates that the overall tertiary structure of the model are similar to the template structure. In addition, the superimpositions indicate that the seven transmembrane helices are highly conserved with hκ-OR. However, there are slight structural variations in the loop regions of the model.

**Ligand Docking**

LigPrep was used to generate multiple conformers of the three AKH peptides, which were then docked to the Schgr-AKHR model. A receptor grid was generated for the extra-cellular half of the GPCR and the peptide docked using SP-Peptide precision. One hundred different poses were collected and scored for each peptide. An overlay of the highest scoring poses gave the same receptor binding site for all three peptides. This binding pocket consisted of a cleft running across the top of Schgr-AKHR, between helices 2, 6 and 7 and extra-cellular loops 2 and 4 (details shown in Figures 4 – 6). The peptides lay along this cleft. It was found that, while the GLIDE protocol tried to dock many different conformations of the peptide, only those with some turn structure were successful. Many of the docked poses had similar GLIDE scores but different sets of peptide/receptor interactions. During the docking, it was found that the orientation of the peptide within the binding pocket did not change. For this reason, the docking was repeated with the peptide rotated through 180 degrees around the axis of the transmembrane helices i.e., the direction of N-terminus to the C-terminus was reversed. Again, the peptide could be docked successfully, indicating that the binding pocket was quite promiscuous. Although either orientation of the peptide would bind to the receptor, the binding energies of the two orientations differed by some 50 kcal/mol and hence the original orientation was chosen for further study.

The docked structure of each AKH peptide with the highest binding energy, was used as the starting structure for molecular dynamics of the complex in a POPC membrane. During the dynamics, the ligands were found to move, and individual side-chains rotate within the binding site, making and breaking H-bonds to various residues of the receptor. This was essentially the same as what was found during the GLIDE docking. While the POPC membrane added to the computational cost of the simulation, it was necessary to prevent the trans-membrane helices of
the receptor from moving apart. Snapshots of the simulation were transferred to Maestro, where Prime-MM-GBSA was used to calculate the binding energy. Figure 4a and b shows an overlay of several snapshots of the dynamic simulation of the octapeptide, Schgr-AKH-II, in its receptor binding pocket. As can be seen, the overall conformation of Schgr-AKH-II remains the same (Figure 4c) but the side-chains move within the binding site, forming and breaking intra- and inter-molecular H-bonds. There is also some movement of the receptor during the dynamics. The free energy of binding of the different snapshots were not significantly different and ranged from -94 to -116 kcal/mol over the 1 µs simulation.

The bound conformation of Schgr-AKH-II is shown in Figure 4c, while Figure 4d is an overlay of bound Schgr-AKH-II and its lowest energy conformation in DPC micelle solution. The agreement of these two conformers is remarkable, especially considering that the GLIDE – SP protocol generates some 100 different starting conformations for the peptide docking. Figure 5a shows the bound conformation of Locmi-AKH-I, while Figure 5b is an overlay of this bound conformer and the lowest energy conformer found in DPC micelle solution. The agreement here is not as close as that for Schgr-AKH-II, but the same turn structure is seen. Figure 5c shows Locmi-AKH-I in the receptor binding pocket, while Figures 5d and e show the details of how Locmi-AKH-I fits into the receptor: the decapeptide stretches across the cleft in the receptor with the central portion of the peptide fitting into the binding pocket, but the two termini pointing outside the binding pocket. Locmi-AKH-I gave the most trouble during the docking stage as poses were frequently rejected. During the molecular dynamics, the terminal amide of this decapeptide was sometimes found to H-bond to a POPC molecule, which is of course not present during the GLIDE docking. The final binding energy for Locmi-AKH-I was -98 kcal/mol.

Figure 6a and b show how Aedae-AKH fits into the binding pocket of the Schgr-AKHR model. The arrangement is similar to that of Locmi-AKH-I, except, in this case, the termini do not extend outside the receptor. In the case of Aedae-AKH, ECL4 folds over the top of the binding site, trapping the peptide inside. The conformation of bound Aedae-AKH is shown in Figure 6c, and an overlay with the DPC micelle solution conformation is shown in Figure 6d. Again, these two conformations are very similar, supporting the idea that the peptides are pre-arranged on the cell surface. The binding energy of Aedae-AKH was -88 kcal/mol.

Ligand interaction diagrams for the three ligands are shown in Figure 7, while Table 5 lists the interactions between the ligands and Schgr-AKHR. From these data, it is clear that all three AKH ligands have very similar interactions with Schgr-AKHR. Both Schgr-AKH-II and Aedae-AKH, H-bond to His169 of the receptor, while W8 of Locmi-AKH-I π-stacks with this residue. In Locmi-AKH-I, the amide carbonyl, pE1CO, H-bonds to Lys281, while in Aedae-AKH it is pE3Oe1 which H-bonds to Lys281. The terminal residue of both Schgr-AKH-II and Locmi-AKH-I H-bond to Lys288.

Analysis of molecular switches
A feature of class A GPCRs is the presence of highly conserved molecular switch motifs. These switches, which play key roles in the stabilization of the receptor in an inactive and active state, include a TM3-6 lock, a SPLF switch, a tyrosine toggle and a DRY ionic lock. The breaking of these switches results in movement of the transmembrane helices, which can activate the receptor (Trzaskowski et al. 2012). These switches are the same as those reported for the AKH receptor of Drosophila melanogaster, Tribolium castaneum, A. gambiae and Rhodnius prolixus (Rasmussen et al. 2015), suggesting that the activation mechanism of Schgr-AKHR may be the same.

The DRY ionic lock between arginine and tyrosine is postulated to open and close during receptor activation. This is shown in Figure 8. In the inactive state, the DRY switch is closed (Figure 8b) but upon ligand binding, TM6 and TM3 twist, opening this switch (Figure 8c).

The TM3-6 lock involves two residues in the binding pocket, Arg\textsuperscript{107} on TM3 and Tyr\textsuperscript{265} on TM6 (Figure 9a). In the inactive state these two residues are far apart, but on ligand activation, they move closer together. Fig 9a also shows, in the active state, Arg\textsuperscript{107} H-bonding with Glu\textsuperscript{190} of ECL4. It was noted before that this loop closes over the binding pocket after ligand binding. In Fig 9a one can also clearly see how TM6 and TM3 move together on the extra-cellular side but move away from each other on the intra-cellular side, upon activation.

The tyrosine toggle switch involves the NPxxY motif on TM7 and Tyr\textsuperscript{213} on TM5 (Fig 9b).

**Discussion**

As has been found before, insect neuropeptides are flexible in solution but generally have a preferred β-turn conformation (Mercurio et al. 2018; Shen et al. 2018; Zubrzycki 2000). Using CD spectroscopy, Cusinato et al. (Cusinato et al. 1998) proposed a P II extended conformation for the AKH/RPCH peptides, at low temperatures, in aqueous solution. However, they found that the majority of AKH/RPCH peptides adopted a β-turn conformation in the presence of 0.6% SDS. This is what was found in DPC micelle solution by both NMR chemical shift and molecular modeling results for the three peptides Schgr-AKH-II, Aedae-AKH and Locmi-AKH-I in the current study. These peptides are not very soluble in water but are readily soluble in DPC micelle solution, which is a clear indication that they interact with the micelle. Previously, using DOSY NMR spectroscopy, we showed that the crustacean AKH member, Dappu-RPCH, binds to the micelle in DPC solution, and that the micelle consists of ~ 50 DPC molecules (Jackson et al. 2018). This was also shown by the molecular modeling for the 3 locust peptides in the present study. Locmi-AKH-I interacted strongly with the micelle and perhaps this is the reason why this peptide had a much higher order parameter than the other two peptides.

Despite chain length and sequence differences, all three peptides were found to have the same binding site on the receptor. They all had similar binding constants and interacted with the same receptor residues. This is in agreement with previous results of Marchal et al. (Marchal et al. 2018) where many members of the AKH family activated Schgr-AKHR in vitro, to the same extent and it was concluded that this receptor was quite promiscuous. In the present study, multiple binding poses were found for the peptides in the binding site and this was confirmed by
molecular dynamics in a POPC membrane. Here each peptide was found to move within the
binding site, interacting with different residues. This may account for the same receptor being
activated naturally by all three peptides. Interestingly, during the molecular dynamics, the
receptor itself moved, closing over the binding site and opening up on the intra-cellular side.
This motion has been postulated to result in receptor activation, with a G-protein able to bind to
the more open receptor. Figure 8a, which is an overlay of the active and inactive receptor,
shows this movement of the helices. Measurements show that Ala-243, on TM6, moves some
6.4 Å on receptor activation. One can also see that ECL6 and ECL4 (Figure 4b) close over the
binding site.

It is interesting to compare and contrast the binding of Schgr-AKH-II, Aedae-AKH and Locmi-
AKH-I with the binding of a crustacean red pigment-concentrating hormone from *Daphnia
pulex*, Dappu-RPCH, to its cognate receptor, Dappu-RPCHR (Jackson et al. 2018). Both
receptors have similar binding sites involving TM2, 6 and 7 but Dappu-RPCHR also uses
extracellular loops 1, 2 and 3, while Schgr-AKHR involves loops 2 and 4 (and loop 6 in the
active receptor). Dappu-RPCH undergoes significant conformational changes upon receptor
binding, having a more extended structure in solution but a more pronounced β-turn when
bound. On the other hand, Schgr-AKH-II, Aedae-AKH and Locmi-AKH-I, undergo very little
conformational change upon receptor binding. This might account for the higher binding
constant of these three peptides relative to Dappu-RPCH. The similarity between the
AKH/RPCH systems is understandable given the evolution of the AKH/corazonin/ACP/GnRH
receptor superfamily and their ligands. (Hauser & Grimmelikhuijzen 2014)
The presented model of Schgr-AKHR can be compared to another class A GPCR, the human
gonadotropin releasing hormone receptor, GnRHR (Flanagan & Manilall 2017). This receptor
has an exaggerated bend around Pro, in a CWxPY motif found on TM6. In GnRHR, this bend is
stabilized by a water mediated H-bond between Cys^{47} and Tyr^{51} on TM6 of the CWxPY motif
and by H-bonding to a residue in TM7. The presented model of Schgr-AKHR also has this
CWxPY motif in TM6, which results in a proline kink. In the active receptor, Cys and Tyr
residues are correctly oriented for water mediated H-bonding, with a distance of 3.18 Å between
them. Also, Trp^{262} of the CWxPY motif was found to H-bond to Asn^{297} of TM7. The
functional importance of this motif was demonstrated by mutations associated with congenital
hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (CHH). This rare disorder results from decreased production
or secretion of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) and/or lack of action of GnRH upon
GnRHR. There are some 25 genes identified in this condition, but if the Pro residue is
substituted by Arg, there is complete disruption of the receptor function. This shows the
importance of this proline kink. On the other hand, when the Cys of this receptor motif is
mutated to Tyr or Ala, the GnRH ligand does not bind to the receptor (Flanagan & Manilall
2017). Interestingly, in the inactive Schgr-AKHR, there was a H-bond between Trp-CO and
Tyr-NH but there was no H-bond between Trp^{262} and Asn^{297}. Upon ligand binding, however,
the helices moved in such a way that the one H-bond broke and the other formed. This is similar
to the DRY switch described above. Binding similarities between GnRHR and Schgr-AKHR are
not unexpected. It has long been accepted that GnRH and AKH are peptides belonging to the same superfamily because not only are the ligands structurally closely related but also the cognate receptors (Gäde et al. 2011; Hauser & Grimmelikhuijzen 2014; Roch et al. 2011; Roch et al. 2014).

Conclusions

In this paper, we have shown that the putative receptor, Schgr-AKHR, is a member of the Class A superfamily of G-protein coupled receptors. It has 7 transmembrane helices and the same conserved residues as other AKH receptors. Schgr-AKHR also has a number of molecular switch motifs, which are a feature of class A GPCRs. Our results show that the three endogenous peptides, Schgr-AKH-II, Aedae-AKH and Locmi-AKH-I, all bind to the same receptor binding site and with very similar binding constants. This may be surprising, as the three ligands are very different; their sequence is different and one is a decapeptide, while the other two are octapeptides. However, L. migratoria and S. gregaria, have only one AKH receptor so it could be expected that the three endogenous peptides would have to bind to this single receptor. These results also fit previous findings that, in vitro, the AKH receptor of S. gregaria is equally well activated by a number of AKH members.

The similarities in the ligand binding of Schgr-AKHR and Dappu-RPCH/Dappu-RPCHR supports the evolutionary development of the AKH/corazonin/ACP/GnRH receptor superfamily. The next step in this study would be to use in silico screening to identify suitable agonists or antagonists, which could act as next generation insecticides.
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Figure 1

NMR chemical shift deviations and model-free order parameters of Schgr-AKH-II, Locmi-AKH-I and Aedae-AKH in DPC micelle solution.

Plots of $H_\alpha$ and $H^N$ random coil chemical shift deviations of: (a) Schgr-AKH-II. (b) Locmi-AKH-I (c). Aedae-AKH. (d) Model-free order parameter, $S^2$. 
Figure 2

Solution cluster overlay and the root conformation of Schgr-AKH-II, Locmi-AKH-I and Aedae-AKH in DPC micelle solution.

(A) Cluster overlay of Locmi-AKH-I. (B) Root conformer of Locmi-AKH-I. (C) Cluster overlay of Aedae-AKH-I. (D) Root conformer of Aedae-AKH-I. (E) Cluster overlay of Schgr-AKH-II. (F) Root conformer of Schgr-AKH-II
Figure 3

Contact surface area between the peptides and DPC micelle.

Plot of contact area between peptide and a DPC micelle during a 60 ps simulation of Locmi-AKH-I, Aedae-AKH-I and Schgr-AKH-II, as a function of time.
Figure 4

Structure of Schgr-AKH-II in solution and bound to Schgr-AKHR.

(a) Overlay of several snapshots of the dynamic simulation of Schgr-AKH-II in the Schgr-AKH Rreceptor binding pocket. Helices are labelled H1 - 7. (b) Enlargement of binding pocket showing orientation of peptide. Important extracellular loops are labelled. (c) Conformation of bound Schgr-AKH-II. (d) Overlay of best binding pose and root conformer from simulation in DPC micelle solution.
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Figure 5

Structure of Locmi-AKH-I in solution and bound to Schgr-AKHR.

(a) Conformer of bound Locmi-AKH-I. (b) overlay of bound Locmi-AKH-I (blue) and in DPC micelle solution. (c) Pictorial representation of Schgr-AKHR with Locmi-AKH-I in the binding pocket. (d) Enlargement of binding pocket showing the orientation of the peptide. (e) Binding pocket surface with Locmi-AKH-I inserted. Surface is coloured according to electrostatic potential, red for negative potential, blue for positive potential and grey for neutral potential.
Figure 6

Structure of Aedae-AKH in solution and bound to Schgr-AKHR.

(a) Pictorial representation of Schgr-AKHR with Aedae-AKH in the binding pocket. (b) Enlargement of binding pocket showing the orientation of peptide. (c) Conformer of bound Aedae-AKH. (d) Overlay showing the different conformers of bound Aedae-AKH (blue) and in DPC solution. (e) Binding pocket surface with Aedae-AKH inserted. Surface colored according to electrostatic potential, red for negative potential, blue for positive potential and green for neutral potential.
Figure 7

Protein - Ligand interaction diagrams.

(a) Aedae-AKH, (b) Schgr-AKH-II, (c) Locmi-AKH-I. Residues are represented as colored spheres, labeled with the residue name and residue number, and colored according to their properties, green for hydrophobic, blue for positive charge and red for negative charge. The ligand is displayed as a 2D structure. Interactions between the residues and the ligand are drawn as lines, colored by interaction type, purple for H-bonding and green for pi-pi stacking. The binding pocket is indicated by a line drawn around the ligand, colored by the color of the nearest residue. Solvent exposure is indicated on the ligand atoms, and by the break in the line drawn around the pocket.
Figure 8

Comparison of active and inactive Schgr-AKH-II receptor.

(a) Overlay of active (colored) and inactive (green) S. gregaria receptor. (b) Closed DRY switch. (c) open DRY switch. Only polar hydrogens are shown.
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Figure 9

Schgr-AKHR molecular switches of the active and inactive receptor.

(A) TM3-6 lock of inactive receptor. (B) TM3-6 lock of active receptor. (C) Tyrosine toggle switch of inactive receptor. (D) Tyrosine toggle switch of active receptor. (E) CWxPY motif on TM6 of inactive receptor. (F) CWxPY motif on TM6 of active receptor.
Table 1 (on next page)

Primary structure of the adipokinetic peptides of locusts. Conserved residues are highlighted in bold and underlined.
**Table 1.** Primary structure of the adipokinetic peptides of locusts. Conserved residues are highlighted in bold and underlined.

| Peptide name      | Sequence                  | Species                        |
|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Locmi-AKH-I       | pELNFTPNWGT amide         | *L. migratoria; S. gregaria*   |
| Locmi-AKH-II      | pELNFSAGW amide           | *L. migratoria*                |
| Locmi-AKH-III     | pELNFTPW amide            | *L. migratoria*                |
| Locmi-AKH-IV      | pELTFTPSW amide           | *L. migratoria, S. gregaria*   |
| (= Aedae-AKH)     |                           |                                |
| Schgr-AKH-II      | pELNFSGTW amide           | *S. gregaria*                  |
Table 2 (on next page)

$^1$H chemical shifts of Schgr-AKH-II.

A. DPC micelle solution, pH 4.5, 20mM phosphate, Temp = 280 K  
B. 30% DMSO solution, pH 4.5, 20mM phosphate, Temp = 298 K
Table 2. 1H chemical shifts of Schgr-AKH-II:

A. DPC micelle solution, pH 4.5, 20mM phosphate, Temp = 280 K

| # | Res | HN  | Hα  | Hβ (Hβ') | Others          |
|---|-----|-----|-----|----------|-----------------|
| 1 | Glu | 7.742 | 4.361 | 2.335 | Hγ:2.483,1.951 |
| 2 | Leu | 8.259 | 4.232 | 1.614,1.318 | Hδ:0.863 |
| 3 | Asn | 8.364 | 4.660 | 2.767,2.647 | Hδ:6.864,7.565 |
| 4 | Phe | 7.978 | 4.561 | 3.071,2.992 |
| 5 | Ser | 8.096 | 4.289 | 3.782,3.689 |
| 6 | Thr | 7.923 | 4.179 | 3.908 | Hγ: 1.040 |
| 7 | Gly | 8.145 | 3.845,3.905 |
| 8 | Trp | 7.790 | 4.587 | 3.181,3.183 | Hδ:7.164, Hε:9.894,7.513, Hζ:7.360,6.959,Hη:7.005 |

B. 30% DMSO solution, pH 4.5, 20mM phosphate, Temp = 298 K

| # | Res | HN  | Hα  | Hβ (Hβ') | Others          |
|---|-----|-----|-----|----------|-----------------|
| 1 | Glu | 7.770 | 4.173 | 2.238 | Hγ:2.361,1.888 |
| 2 | Leu | 8.199 | 4.160 | 1.436,1.304 | Hδ:0.732,0.780 |
| 3 | Asn | 8.208 | 4.501 | 2.519,2.594 | Hδ:6.777,7.440 |
| 4 | Phe | 8.077 | 4.467 | 3.046,2.849 |
| 5 | Ser | 8.134 | 4.318 | 3.710,3.667 |
| 6 | Thr | 7.917 | 4.165 | 4.076 | Hγ:na,1.050 |
| 7 | Gly | 8.132 | 3.794,3.691 |
| 8 | Trp | 7.874 | 4.466 | 3.187,3.018 | Hδ:7.096, Hε:10.111,7.539, Hζ:7.355,7.021,Hη:7.103 |
Table 3 (on next page)

$^1$H and $^{13}$C chemical shifts of Locmi-AKH-I.

$^1$H and $^{13}$C chemical shifts of Locmi-AKH-I in DPC micelle solution at 285 K, a pH of 5.0 and 20 mM phosphate buffer.
Table 3 Locmi-AKH-I; pELNTPNWGT-NH2 in DPC solution pH 5.0, Temp = 285K

| # | Res | HN   | $H_a$ ($C_\alpha$) | $H_\beta$ ($H_\beta'$) ($C_\beta$) | Others                  |
|---|-----|------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | Glu | 4.09 | 1.642               |                                     | Hy:1.541,1.145          |
| 2 | Leu | 8.271| 4.32 (54.7)         | 1.501,1.715                        | H\&:1.620,H\&:0.978,0.964 |
|   |     |      |                     | (41.8)                             | C\&1 23.4, C\&2 25.0    |
| 3 | Asn | 8.491| 4.71                | 2.715,2.806                        | H\&:6.871,7.585          |
|   |     |      |                     |                                     | (38.6)                  |
| 4 | Phe | 7.768| 4.67(52.3)          | 2.984,3.072                        | H\&:7.182,H\&:7.260,H\&:7.289 |
|   |     |      |                     | (40.3)                             | C\& 131.5, C\& 130.8, C\& 130.6 |
| 5 | Thr | 7.987| 4.49 (57.8)         | 4.015                              | Hy:1.080                |
|   |     |      |                     | (69.9)                             | Cy2 20.7                |
| 6 | Pro | 4.44 (59.0) | 2.031,2.586       | Hy:2.418                          |
|   |     |      |                     | (27.8)                             | Cy 31.9                 |
| 7 | Asn | 8.336| 4.68                | 2.672,2.801                        | H\&:6.851,7.563          |
|   |     |      |                     |                                     | (35.6)                  |
| 8 | Trp | 7.529| 4.74                | 3.301                              | H\&:7.247, 7.091,H\&:7.448,7.617,H\&:7.130 |
|   |     |      |                     | (29.7)                             | C\& 126.4, C\& 121.1, C\& 123.6, C\& 113.5, 120.2 |
| 9 | Gly | 8.316| 3.94,4.05 (45.3)    |                                     |                         |
| 10|Thr | 7.988| 4.288 (61.5)        |                                     | Hy:1.207 Cy 21.3        |
Table 4 (on next page)

$^1$H chemical shifts of Aedae-AKH.

$^1$H chemical shifts of Aedae-AKH in DPC micelle solution at 285 K, a pH of 5.0 and 20 mM phosphate buffer.
| # | Res | HN | HA | HB (HB') | Others |
|---|-----|----|----|---------|--------|
| 1 | Glu | 4.41 | 2.36 | Hγ:2.53, 1.962 |
| 2 | Leu | 8.26 | 4.38 | 1.47, 1.66 | Hγ:1.68, Hδ:0.91, 0.932 |
| 3 | Thr | 8.20 | 4.33 | 4.18 | Hγ:1.10 |
| 4 | Phe | 7.82 | 4.66 | 3.28 | Hδ:7.15, Hε:7.22, Hζ:7.25 |
| 5 | Thr | 7.96 | 4.42 | 3.93 | Hγ:1.02 |
| 6 | Pro | 4.42 | 1.44, 1.56 | Hγ:1.97, 2.53, Hδ:3.08, 3.38 |
| 7 | Ser | 8.28 | 4.25 | 3.81 |
| 8 | Trp | 7.82 | 4.63 | 2.93, 3.04 | Hδ:7.21, Hε:9.99, 7.06, Hζ:7.40, 7.58, Hη:7.09 |
Table 5 (on next page)

List of interactions between peptide and receptor in the binding pocket of Schgr-AKHR.
Table 5. List of interactions of ligand/receptor in binding pocket of Schgr-AKHR receptor.

| Ligand      | Receptor | Ligand      | Receptor | Ligand      | Receptor |
|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|
| pE\(^1\)O\(_{c1}\) | His169   | pE\(^1\)CO  | Lys281   | pE\(^1\)CO  | His169   |
| N\(^3\)NH\(_2\)   | Cys269   | N\(^3\)CO   | Trp273   | pE\(^1\)O\(_{c1}\) | Lys281   |
| F\(^4\)\(\pi-\pi\) stack | Trp93    | T\(^5\)OH   | Gln287   | S\(^7\)OH   | Cys178   |
| S\(^5\)OH     | Ser92    | N\(^7\)CO   | Trp87    | S\(^7\)NH   | Cys178   |
| G\(^7\)NH     | Lys288   | N\(^7\)NH\(_2\) | Ser92    | W\(^8\)H\(_{c1}\) | Ser180   |
| G\(^7\)CO     | Trp87    | T\(^{10}\)CO | Lys288   | W\(^8\)NH\(_2\) | Ser183   |
| W\(^8\)CO     | Lys288   | W\(^8\)\(\pi-\pi\) stack | His169   |             |          |
| W\(^8\)\(\pi-\pi\) stack | Tyr175   | T\(^{10}\)NH\(_2\) | His28    |             |          |