BACH2 regulates CD8+ T cell differentiation by controlling access of AP-1 factors to enhancers
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T cell antigen receptor (TCR) signaling drives distinct responses depending on the differentiation state and context of CD8+ T cells. We hypothesized that access of signal-dependent transcription factors (TFs) to enhancers is dynamically regulated to shape transcriptional responses to TCR signaling. We found that the TF BACH2 restrains terminal differentiation to enable generation of long-lived memory cells and protective immunity after viral infection. BACH2 was recruited to enhancers, where it limited expression of TCR-driven genes by attenuating the availability of activator protein-1 (AP-1) sites to Jun family signal-dependent TFs. In naive cells, this prevented TCR-driven induction of genes associated with terminal differentiation. Upon effector differentiation, reduced expression of BACH2 and its phosphorylation enabled unrestrained induction of TCR-driven effector programs.

After infection or immunization, naive CD8+ T cells undergo burst-like clonal proliferation and differentiation to generate a population of effector cells reactive against pathogen-associated antigens. After resolution of infection, the majority of responding cells are eliminated, allowing brisk restoration of immune homeostasis. A fraction of cells escape this fate and persist as memory cells1–6. The presence of greater numbers of antigen-specific memory cells enables more efficient pathogen clearance upon secondary infection. Thus, dynamic regulation of T cell differentiation, proliferation and survival is required to generate then curtail effector responses while maintaining a subset of pathogen-specific memory cells after withdrawal of antigen.

TCR signaling is critical to both initiation and diversification of CD8+ T cell responses. Strong or repeated TCR signaling drives progressive changes in gene expression that result in loss of lymphoid homing potential, acquisition of effector cell functions and, ultimately, terminal effector differentiation and apoptosis7,8. Conversely, memory cells differentiate in response to weak antigen signals that are insufficient to drive full effector differentiation1,5,9. Consequently, memory cells manifest only a subset of transcriptional changes accompanying effector differentiation, and their intermediate state of differentiation enables them to remain functionally quiescent, survive and circulate among secondary lymphoid tissues, where they can be efficiently recruited into secondary responses10–12. TCR signaling not only has a role in diversification of CD8+ T cell responses but also induces functionally distinct outcomes within specific subpopulations of CD8+ T cells. TCR stimulation of naive cells predominantly results in proliferation and differentiation, stimulation of effector cells drives rapid induction of effector cytokines and cytotoxic molecules, and stimulation of terminally differentiated effector cells induces apoptosis1,8,9.

AP-1 family TFs have a central role in transducing TCR-driven effector programs. AP-1 TFs, including c-Jun, JunD and JunB; c-Fos, Fosb, Fosl1 and Fosl2; and BATF1, BATF2 and BATF3, contain basic leucine zipper (bZip) domains that enable them to form heterodimeric complexes at palindromic 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA) response elements (TREs; 5′-TGA(C/G)TCA-3′)13,14. Members of the Jun family of AP-1 TFs are phosphorylated in response to TCR signaling and recruited to TREs within the enhancers of multiple genes involved in effector differentiation, where they predominantly activate gene expression15–20. We hypothesized that modulation of the availability of AP-1 sites to Jun TFs allows TCR-driven effector programs to be modulated in a stage-specific and contextual manner in CD8+ T cells, allowing for generation of transcriptionally intermediate memory cells.

BACH2 is a 92-kDa transcriptional repressor of the bZip TF family21. BACH2 promotes the differentiation of Foxp3+ regulatory T cells, and this function is required under homeostatic conditions...
to prevent lethal inflammation. In B cells, BACH2 is critical for somatic hypermutation and class-switch recombination, and its absence leads to impaired generation of class-switched antibody responses. BACH2, like the AP-1 TFs, contains a bZip domain and binds to Maf recognition elements (MAREs), which embed a TRE sequence. Silencing of Bach2 mRNA after activation of CD8+ T cells in vitro results in reduced cellular persistence. These observations led us to explore whether BACH2 regulates CD8+ T cell differentiation in vivo by controlling access of AP-1 family TFs to the regulatory elements of TCR-induced genes.

RESULTS

BACH2 is required for CD8+ T cell memory
Defective generation of Foxp3+ Treg cells in Bach2−/− mice results in unrestrained effector differentiation among conventional T cells. To evaluate the cell-intrinsic function of BACH2 in CD8+ T cells, we reconstituted C57BL/6 mice with 1:1 mixtures of congenically distinct CD45.1+ wild-type (WT) and Thy-1.1+ Bach2−/− mature lineage-depleted (Lin−) bone marrow (BM) cells (Supplementary Fig. 1a) and evaluated their CD8+ T cells. We observed low frequencies of CD45.1+ CD8+ T cells within the Bach2−/− compartment, suggesting a cell-intrinsic function of BACH2 in either the generation or maintenance of antigen-primed CD8+ T cell responses (Supplementary Fig. 1b,c). We therefore sought to test whether BACH2 controls responses to defined antigens. We used an acute viral infection model wherein recombinant vaccinia virus expressing chicken egg ovalbumin (VV-OVA) is recognized by CD8+ T cells. We cotransferred at a 1:1 ratio into recipient C57BL/6 mice (Fig. 1a) and Supplementary Fig. 1d), which were then infected with VV-OVA. Bach2−/− CD8+ T cells showed impaired expansion and a near-complete failure to establish long-lived memory responses (Fig. 1b,c). The reduced ratio of Bach2−/− to WT cells in spleens of immunized mice was similar to that in the lungs and liver, but there was a further reduction in the frequency of Bach2−/− cells in lymph nodes (Fig. 1d). Thus, Bach2 is required for maintenance of CD8+ T cell responses after primary infection in vivo.

To test the function of BACH2 in mediating secondary protective immunity after primary infection, we infected mice with a recombinant strain of Listeria monocytogenes expressing the OVA257–264 epitope (LM-OVA). Consistent with decreased maintenance of CD8+ T cell responses in the absence of BACH2, mice that had initially received Bach2−/− OT-I cells showed significant impairment in their ability to clear LM-OVA compared with mice that had received WT cells (Fig. 1e). We also asked whether BACH2 is required for secondary recall responses. We cotransferred 1:1 ratios of WT and Bach2−/− central memory T cells (T(CM)) and effector memory (T(EM)) cells isolated at day 14 after primary infection into infection-naive recipients then infected these mice with VV-OVA. Notably, both Bach2−/− T(CM) and T(EM) cells showed defective secondary response kinetics, although the defect was more pronounced in T(CM) than in T(EM) cells (Fig. 1f,g). Collectively, these findings indicate that BACH2 has a nonredundant cell-autonomous role in maintenance of primary and secondary CD8+ T cell responses in vivo and in vivo establishment of protective immunity.

Figure 1 Bach2 is required for maintenance of primary and secondary CD8+ T cell responses in vivo and establishment of protective immunity. (a) Pre-transfer flow cytometry analysis of CD45.1+ WT and Thy1.1+ Bach2−/− (KO) naive OT-I cells mixed at a 1:1 ratio. (b,c) Kinetic analysis of cells in a after transfer into recipient mice and infection with VV-OVA. Representative flow cytometry analysis (b) and replicate measurements (c) are shown. (d) Ratio of WT and KO CD8+ T cells in various organs at day 21 after infection, normalized to ratios in spleen. (e) Bacterial titers after LM-OVA infection of mice previously administered individual transfers of naive WT or KO OT-I cells and infected with VV-OVA. (f) Secondary recall responses mediated by WT and KO T(CM) and T(EM) cells isolated at day 14 after primary infection in vivo establishment of protective immunity as in c upon 1:1 mixed transfer into infection-naive recipients subsequently infected with VV-OVA. Numbers in flow cytometry plots (a,b) indicate percentages of cells in outlined areas. NS, not significant; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.005; ****P < 0.001 (unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test). Data (a-g) are representative of two independent experiments with 4–6 mice per genotype and time point (error bars represent mean ± s.e.m. in c–g).
Figure 2 BACH2 represses terminal effector cell differentiation of CD8+ T cells during viral infection. (a–d) Surface phenotype of cells before (a) or at indicated time points (b) after mixed transfer of naive WT and Bach2−/− (KO) OT-I CD8+ T cells into recipient mice and infection with VV-OVA. Representative flow cytometry (a,b) and replicates measurements of CD62L (c) and KLRG1 (d) on the surface of transferred cells are shown. Too few KO cells were detected at day 90 for phenotypic analysis. (e) Intracellular cytokine staining of IFN-γ expression by WT and KO CD8+ T cells from spleens of mice 5 d after infection. (f) Overexpression of BACH2 prevents terminal effector cell differentiation during secondary responses to infection in vivo. Expression of CD62L, CD44 and KLRG1 on the surface of CD8+ T cells 5 d after transfer of GFP+ (transduced) OT-I CD8+ T into mice subsequently infected with VV-OVA. (g) Expression of IFN-γ and TNF by transferred cells after brief restimulation ex vivo. Numbers in flow cytometry plots (a–g) indicate percentages of cells in outlined areas. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.005; ****P < 0.001 (unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test). Data (a–g) are representative of two independent experiments with 4–6 mice per genotype and time point (error bars represent mean ± s.e.m. in e–g). EV, empty vector.

generation of protective immunity after primary viral infection.

BACH2 represses terminal differentiation

Progressive acquisition of effector characteristics is accompanied by loss of lymphoid homing potential and reduction in cellular lifespan1,7,11. We asked whether defective maintenance and lymphoid homing of Bach2−/− CD8+ T cells responding to viral infection are accompanied by changes in differentiation state. Among antigen-experienced CD8+ T cells, CD62L+ central memory cells, CD62L−KLRG1− effector cells and KLRG1+ terminally differentiated effector cells have been described1. We measured expression of CD62L and KLRG1 on adoptively transferred naive WT and Bach2−/− OT-I CD8+ T cells after viral infection. We observed excessive induction of terminally differentiated KLRG1+ cells and near-complete loss of CD62L+ TCM cells among surviving Bach2−/− cells at late time points after infection (Fig. 2a–d). However, the decline in total CD8+ T cell numbers among Bach2−/− cells resulted in a decrease in the absolute number of terminally differentiated effector cells (Supplementary Fig. 2a) in addition to memory cells. Consistent with augmented effector differentiation of Bach2−/− cells, we noted higher expression of the effector cytokine interferon-γ (IFN-γ) upon brief restimulation ex vivo (Fig. 2e). Conversely, retroviral overexpression of BACH2 in OT-I CD8+ T cells prevented acquisition of terminally differentiated effector cell characteristics upon transfer into mice subsequently infected with VV-OVA, as indicated by increased expression of CD62L and lower expression of CD44 and KLRG1 (Fig. 2f). Additionally, cells transduced with BACH2 expressed reduced amounts of IFN-γ and the effector cytokine tumor necrosis factor (TNF) (Fig. 2g).

Terminal differentiation is associated with loss of memory precursor potential among effector cells26. Accordingly, we observed a loss of CD127*KLRG1+ memory precursor effector cells (MPECs) and an increase in the frequency of CD127−KLRG1+ short-lived effector cells (SLEC) among Bach2−/− cells at day 7 after infection (Fig. 3a). We also noted a reduction in the frequency of CD127+ cells within the CD62L−KLRG1− effector population that predominates at day 7 (Supplementary Fig. 2b). We did not, however, observe differences in CD43 or CD27 expression27–29 (Supplementary Fig. 2c,d). We also observed increased acquisition of effector cell characteristics after stimulation of Bach2−/− CD8+ T cells in vitro, and this was antigen dependent (Supplementary Fig. 3a), proliferation independent (Supplementary Fig. 3b) and associated with high expression of lymphocyte activation markers CD25 and CD69 (Supplementary Fig. 3c).

Terminal differentiation of CD8+ T cells is accompanied by induction of apoptosis30,31. We measured rates of proliferation and apoptosis among WT and Bach2−/− CD8+ T cells responding to viral infection. Whereas BACH2 was dispensable for sustaining early proliferation (Fig. 3b), we observed substantially increased rates of apoptosis in Bach2−/− cells at days 5, 7 and 10 after infection (Fig. 3c), coinciding with their marked decrease in cell number. Defective survival was not attributable merely to induction of apoptosis at the naive cell stage, as we observed high rates of apoptosis in successive rounds of cell division after stimulation of Bach2−/− naive CD8+ T cells in vitro (Supplementary Fig. 3d). Terminal differentiation of CD8+ T cells is accompanied by depletion of antiapoptotic Bcl-2 proteins26,32,33. We observed decreased expression of Bcl-2 family proteins Bcl-2, Bcl-xL and Mcl-1 in CD8+ T cells (Fig. 3d).
BACH2 controls TCR-driven transcriptional programs

AP-1 TFs bind to genomic TREs and have central roles in transducing TCR-driven effector programs. We hypothesized that BACH2 represses TCR-driven terminal effector differentiation by binding to TREs and attenuating the activity of AP-1 factors. We mapped the phenotypes of WT and Bach2−/− effector cells were specifically analyzed (Supplementary Fig. 4c and Supplementary Table 3). Thus, BACH2 represses terminal effector differentiation and apoptosis, enabling generation of long-lived memory cells after viral infection.

BACH2 suppresses differentiation of short-lived effector cells and apoptosis during CD8+ T cell responses to viral infection.

(a) Expression of CD127 and KLRG1 on the surface of OT-I cells at day 7 after mixed transfer of naive FACS-sorted WT and KO OT-I CD8+ T cells into recipient mice and infection with VV-OVA. **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.001. (unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test).

(b) BrdU incorporation by WT and Bach2−/− (KO) CD8+ T cells at the indicated time points after infection. Mice were injected intraperitoneally with BrdU 16 h before harvest at each time point. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005 (unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test).

(c) Annexin V and propidium iodide staining of cells at indicated time points after infection. **P < 0.01; ****P < 0.001 (unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test). NS, not significant. Numbers in gates (a,c) or adjacent to outlined areas (b) indicate percentages of cells. Data are representative of two independent experiments with 4–6 mice per genotype and time point (a–c; error bars represent mean ± s.e.m.).

Table 2. Gene expression differences between WT and Bach2−/− cells persisted but were substantially mitigated when fractionated populations of CD62L−KLRG1− effector cells were specifically analyzed (Supplementary Fig. 4c and Supplementary Table 3). Thus, BACH2 represses terminal effector differentiation and apoptosis, enabling generation of long-lived memory cells after viral infection.

The extensive colocalization of BACH2 at known AP-1 binding sites on CD8+ T cells suggests that BACH2 may function to limit TCR-driven gene expression in CD8+ T cells. A prediction of this hypothesis is that the majority of TCR-driven genes repressed by BACH2 will not be differentially expressed in Bach2−/− and WT cells before stimulation. To test this, we measured global changes in gene expression induced by brief (6 or 18 h) ex vivo stimulation of naive CD44+CD62L+ WT and Bach2−/− CD8+ T cells using RNA-seq. We identified 192 TCR-driven genes that were upregulated in Bach2−/− cells at 0, 6 or 18 h after stimulation (fold change (FC) > 2; P < 0.05) (Fig. 5a). Most of these genes (163, 84%) did not show significant differences in expression at 0 h (i.e., before stimulation) (Fig. 5b, c and Supplementary Tables 4–6).

As early as 6 h after stimulation, we observed a notable increase in induction of genes encoding proteins associated with effector differentiation in Bach2−/− cells, including Ifng, Cd44, Ccl1, Ccl9, Icam1, Lif, Lta, Gadd45b and Tc39c. A majority (72.3%) of these genes upregulated in Bach2−/− cells contained mapped BACH2 binding sites within 15 kb upstream and 3 kb downstream of their gene bodies, suggesting that BACH2 directly represses their TCR-induced expression. The genes identified were highly enriched among
transcripts induced in terminally differentiated KLRG1⁺ effector cells responding to VV-OVA infection compared with naive precursors (Fig. 5d and Supplementary Table 8).

BACH2-mediated repression of TCR-driven gene expression is exemplified at the Ifng and Gp49a (Lilr-b4) loci (Fig. 5e), where we observed colocalization of BACH2 and AP-1 factors at known⁶ or putative regulatory elements (Fig. 5e). To test whether excessive TCR-induced gene expression

Figure 4 Enrichment of BACH2 at AP-1 sites within CD8⁺ T cell genomes. (a) Distribution of genome-wide BACH2 binding sites in d5 in vitro-activated CD8⁺ T cells relative to annotated features of known genes. Numbers indicate percentages. UTR, untranslated region. (b) Consensus motif enriched in BACH2 binding sites in CD8⁺ T cells (top) and AP-1 consensus motif (JASPAR; bottom). (c) Genome-wide colocalization analysis of BACH2 with indicated enhancer-associated post-transcriptional histone modifications or p300 within d5 in vitro-activated CD8⁺ T cells. (d-f) Colocalization of JunB (d), c-Jun (e) or JunD (f) at genome-wide BACH2 binding sites in CD8⁺ T cells. Histograms indicate number of peaks at various distances from BACH2 peaks. Pie charts (inset) indicate proportions of Jun binding sites (red) that directly overlap with BACH2 binding sites. Binomial tests were used to determine peak significance within ChIP-seq data, and a threshold of \( P < 1 \times 10^{-5} \) was used for peak calling.

Figure 5 BACH2 represses TCR-driven transcriptional programs in CD8⁺ T cells. (a) Genes upregulated in naive Bach2⁻/⁻ (KO) CD8⁺ T cells compared with WT cells before (0 h) or after stimulation for 6 or 18 h with plate-bound anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 (\( P < 0.05 \), log₂ FC > 1). Of these, 192 TCR-induced genes (upregulated by stimulation of WT or KO cells at 6 or 18 h) were identified. (b) Hierarchical cluster analysis showing expression of TCR-induced genes upregulated in KO cells. Fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM) values are normalized to row maxima. (c) Fraction of genes in b differentially expressed in KO compared with WT cells at 0, 6 and 18 h (\( P < 0.05 \), log₂ FC > 1). NS, not significant. (d) Enrichment of genes in b among transcriptional differences between terminally differentiated KLRG-1⁺ OT-I cells and naive cells. Normalized enrichment score (NES) = 1.9; \( Q < 0.0001 \). (e) Alignments showing mRNA expression in WT and KO naive CD8⁺ T cells (top) and mapped BACH2, JunD and H3K4me1 binding sites (bottom). (f) mRNA expression relative to Actb in naive WT and KO CD8⁺ T cells pretreated for 1 h with JNKi (12.5 μM) or vehicle (veh) at 37 °C and stimulated with plate-bound anti-CD3 and anti-CD28. * \( P < 0.01 \); ** \( P < 0.001 \) (unpaired two-tailed Student’s \( t \)-test). (g) mRNA expression at indicated time points after anti-CD3 restimulation of GFP⁺ (transduced) cells infected with retrovirus encoding BACH2 or BACH2 lacking its bZIP domain (ΔZIP) or empty vector (EV) during primary stimulation. AU, arbitrary units. Average FPKM values (b) and analyses of two replicate measurements per condition (a,c–e) are shown. Data in f and g represent mean ± s.e.m. of two independent experiments.
Figure 6 BACH2 restricts access of Jun family TFs to regulatory elements of TCR-induced genes. (a) Histogram of JunD enrichment centered around genome-wide BACH2 binding sites in WT and Bach2−/− (KO) d5 in vitro–activated CD8+ T cells. Inset, immunoblot analysis of BACH2 and JunD expression. Numbers below blot indicate densitometric values of JunD abundance relative to β-actin. (b) Average assay for transposase-accessible chromatin (ATAC)-seq signal around BACH2 binding sites in WT and KO d5 in vitro–activated CD8+ T cells. RPM, reads per million. (c) Comparison of differences between average JunD binding at BACH2 binding sites with differences in mRNA expression of associated genes. TCR-induced genes (induced by 2 h anti-CD3 stimulation of d5 in vitro–activated CD8+ T cells) and noninduced genes are shown separately. Statistical significance was evaluated by two-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. (d) Representative alignments of ChiP-, ATAC- and RNA-seq measurements in d5 in vitro–activated CD8+ T cells at selected loci showing concordantly increased mRNA expression and JunD binding in Bach2−/− compared with WT cells. Arrowheads indicate increased JunD binding at BACH2 binding sites in Bach2−/− cells. (e) mRNA expression at specific time points after restimulation of transduced (GFP+) d5 in vitro–activated CD8+ T cells infected with retrovirus expressing BACH2 or BACH2 ΔZip or empty vector (EV) during primary stimulation. AU, arbitrary units. (f) mRNA expression WT and KO CD8+ T cells activated in vitro in the presence of JNKi (12.5 μM) or vehicle (veh). *P < 0.001 (unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test). (g) Ratio of KO to WT cells in the spleens of recipient mice after transfer of congenically distinct GFP+ (transduced) WT and KO OT-I cells (~1:1 ratio) infected with various retroviruses during primary stimulation and infection with VV-OVA for 5 d. AU, arbitrary units; NS, not significant; *P < 0.01 (unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test). Data and analyses are based on one (a), four (b) or two (c) measurements or represent two repeated experiments (e–g; error bars represent mean ± s.e.m.).

in Bach2−/− cells was driven by Jun factors, we used JNKi, a well-characterized pharmacological inhibitor of Jun N-terminal kinase (Jnk)37, which activates Jun family TFs in response to TCR signaling38. Pretreatment with JNKi partially reversed excessive induction of Gp49a and Ifng mRNA in naive Bach2−/− cells after stimulation (Fig. 5f). Moreover, BACH2-mediated repression of TCR-driven Gp49a and Ifng expression was abrogated in cells overexpressing BACH2 with a disrupted bZip domain (ΔZip BACH2), indicating that this suppression is dependent on the bZip domain (Fig. 5g). Collectively, these results indicate that BACH2 represses TCR-driven transcriptional programs associated with terminal effector differentiation in naive CD8+ T cells.

BACH2 limits access of Jun family TFs to its binding sites

We hypothesized that BACH2 represses TCR-driven gene expression by competing with AP-1 factors for DNA binding. A prediction of this hypothesis is that loss of BACH2 will lead to increased binding of Jun family TFs at BACH2 binding sites. BACH2 was expressed at readily detectable levels in WT d5 in vitro–activated CD8+ T cells (Fig. 6a and Supplementary Fig. 5a). We restimulated WT and Bach2−/− in vitro–activated CD8+ T cells with plate-bound anti-CD3 for 2 h and subjected them to ChIP-seq analysis of JunD binding or RNA-seq analysis. Notably, Bach2−/− cells showed increased global binding of JunD at BACH2 binding sites compared with WT cells (Fig. 6a) despite having similar levels of total JunD (Fig. 6a). This JunD enrichment was restricted to shared JunD–BACH2 binding sites, as average JunD binding was not significantly increased at JunD sites where BACH2 does not bind (Supplementary Fig. 5b). Moreover, a proportion of JunD binding sites identified in Bach2−/− cells was not detected in WT cells, indicating that BACH2 prevents JunD binding with extremely high efficiency at a subset of loci (Supplementary Fig. 5c,d and Supplementary Table 9). In contrast to increased JunD binding, we observed little difference in chromatin accessibility at BACH2 binding sites between WT and Bach2−/− d5 in vitro–activated CD8+ T cells (Fig. 6b). Similarly, we found no correlation between the differences in JunD binding observed at BACH2 binding sites and levels of chromatin accessibility in WT and Bach2−/− cells (Supplementary Fig. 6a). Thus, within in vitro–activated CD8+ T cells, BACH2 inhibits JunD binding without affecting chromatin accessibility. This behavior is consistent with a function of BACH2 as a passive transcriptional repressor39.

We next asked whether differences in JunD binding were associated with differences in gene expression. We compared average differences in JunD binding at BACH2 binding sites with differences in expression of associated genes in WT and Bach2−/− d5 in vitro–activated CD8+ T cells. Increased JunD binding in Bach2−/− cells was positively correlated with increased expression of associated genes, especially among TCR-induced genes (Fig. 6c,d and
We confirmed increased JunD binding by qPCR-based ChIP quantification at select loci and extended our analysis by measuring occupancy of JunB and c-Jun, in addition to JunD, at these sites (Supplementary Fig. 6b). We observed increased JunD binding at all loci examined, increased c-Jun binding at a majority of loci and no increases in Jun binding in Bach2−/− cells. Loss of Bach2 ChIP signals in Bach2−/− cells confirmed specificity of the ChIP assay (Supplementary Fig. 6b).

Because passive transcriptional repressors work through competition with transcriptional activators, they often share DNA-binding domains with the transcriptional activators they antagonize39. Bach2 and AP-1 TFs share DNA-binding bZip domains. Consistent with a requirement for the bZip domain in repression of TCR-induced genes, Bach2 inhibition of TCR-induced gene expression was abrogated in cells transduced with Bach2 ΔZip (Fig. 6e). Additionally, and similarly to our observations in naive cells, excessive induction of TCR-driven genes in Bach2−/− d5 in vitro–activated cells was partially reversed upon pharmacological inhibition of Jun activity with JNKi (Fig. 6f). This led us to ask whether maintenance of CD8+ T cell responses to acute infection is dependent on the Bach2 bZip domain. Retroviral overexpression of Bach2 partially rescued the secondary response of d5 in vitro–activated Bach2−/− OT-I cells upon transfer and VV-OVA infection in vivo, whereas overexpression of ΔZip Bach2 did not (Fig. 6g). Collectively, these results indicate that Bach2 functions as a passive repressor of TCR-driven transcriptional programs, competing for access with AP-1 factors at a subset of its binding sites.

Stage-specific control of TCR-driven programs by Bach2

Given that effector differentiation proceeds in cells harboring intact Bach2 loci, we asked whether Bach2 expression is attenuated to permit effector differentiation in a subset of cells. Analyzing known genome-wide distributions of histone modifications in CD8+ T cells40, we noted decreased promoter-bound H3K4me3 at the Bach2 locus in memory and effector cells compared with naive cells (Fig. 7a,b). Consistently, Bach2 mRNA expression was highest in naive OT-I CD8+ T cells and was progressively downregulated in central memory (CD62L+KLRG1−), effector (CD62L−KLRG1+) and terminally differentiated effector (CD62L−KLRG1+) cells 21 d after VV-OVA infection (Fig. 7c). We observed corresponding changes in expression of Bach2 mRNA and the encoded protein in bulk populations of CD8+ T cells at serial time points after infection, with early decreased expression at days 5 and 7, when effector cells predominate, and increased expression at day 30, when memory cells recrudesce (Supplementary Fig. 7). Thus, expression of Bach2 is dynamically regulated in CD8+ T cells at distinct stages of differentiation.

We asked whether modulation of Bach2 mRNA expression enables stage-specific control of its function. CD62L−KLRG1− cells isolated at day 7 after infection (d7 Eff) expressed lower levels of Bach2 mRNA and the encoded protein than naive cells (Fig. 7d). Both WT and Bach2−/− d7 Eff cells are present in sufficient numbers for transcriptional analysis. We hypothesized that the effect of Bach2 deficiency on TCR-driven gene expression would be less substantial in d7 Eff cells than in naive cells, corresponding to its lower expression in these cells. We conducted RNA-seq analysis of TCR-induced gene expression in WT and Bach2−/− naive and d7 Eff cells. We identified TCR-driven genes that were upregulated in naive Bach2−/− cells compared with WT cells at 0 or 6 h after stimulation (Fig. 7e). We then compared TCR-induced expression of these genes in WT and Bach2−/− naive and d7 Eff cells (Fig. 7f,g and Supplementary Table 11). Notably, most of the genes differentially expressed in naive cells were not significantly differentially expressed between WT and Bach2−/− d7 Eff cells at 0 or 6 h after stimulation, corresponding with reduced Bach2 expression in this subset (Fig. 7f,g). Thus, dynamic regulation of Bach2 expression enables stage-specific control of TCR-driven transcriptional programs.

Contextual control of Bach2 function by phosphorylation

Incomplete extinguishment of Bach2 mRNA expression in KLRG1− terminally differentiated CD8+ T cells prompted us to explore whether Bach2’s function is further regulated by post-translational modification. We noted decreased mobility of Bach2 upon denaturing gel electrophoresis of lysates from cells that were briefly restimulated with anti-CD3, which was reversed upon treatment with lambda phosphatase (Fig. 8a). This suggested that Bach2 is
phosphorylation upon TCR stimulation. A highly conserved serine residue (S520) of murine BACH2 corresponds to a previously reported phosphorylation site in human BACH2 in chronic myeloid leukemia cell lines41 (Fig. 8b). The residues surrounding S520 form a consensus motif of the serine–threonine kinase Akt. To test whether BACH2 S520 is phosphorylated by Akt after TCR stimulation, we transduced CD8+ T cells with retroviruses encoding WT BACH2 or a substitution mutant BACH2(S520A) after primary stimulation in IL-2 and IL-12 and restimulated with anti-CD3 4 d after transduction, preincubating with AKTi or rapamycin for 1 h. IP, immunoprecipitation; lys, cell lysate. (d) Detection of p-BACH2 after addition of purified Akt1 and ATP to Flag-BACH2 or Flag-BACH2(S520A) immunoprecipitates. (e) Representative flow cytometry (top) and quantification (bottom) of cytokine expression and cell size in CD8+ T cells transduced with retroviruses expressing BACH2 or BACH2(S520A) or empty vector (EV) during primary stimulation in IL-2 and IL-12 and restimulated on anti-CD3-coated plates in the presence of brefeldin A. FSC, forward scatter; NS, not significant; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.005 (unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test). (f,g) Localization of BACH2-GFP in CD8+ T cells transduced with various retroviruses and cultured as in e. Cells were briefly restimulated with plate-bound anti-CD3 (2 h) and stained for Thy-1.1 during the last 30 min of culture. Representative cells (f) and the ratio of nuclear to cytoplasmic mean pixel intensity (MPI) in replicate cells (g) are shown. ***, ***P < 0.005. Data are representative of three (a,c,d) or two (e,g) independent experiments and three culture replicates per group (e,g).
a mechanism for extrinsic modulation of BACH2 function and contextual control of CD8+ T cell differentiation.

**DISCUSSION**

Here we report a cell-autonomous role for BACH2 in regulating CD8+ T cell differentiation and function after primary and secondary infection in vivo. BACH2 represses terminal effector differentiation and apoptosis within a subset of cells responding to viral infection, allowing generation of long-lived memory cells that mediate secondary protection upon pathogen reencounter. BACH2 binds to AP-1-like sites within enhancers and modulates their availability to Jun family signal-dependent TFs. In less differentiated cells, this enables BACH2 to prevent TCR-driven induction of genes associated with terminal differentiation; in effector cells, reduction of Bach2 mRNA expression and phosphorylation of BACH2 protein permit TCR-driven induction of effector cell transcriptional programs.

The observation that BACH2 modulates binding of Jun factors without affecting chromatin accessibility in activated CD8+ T cells suggests that BACH2 engages in passive transcriptional repression through competition with AP-1 factors for DNA binding. This is consistent with published observations that BACH2 exerts chromatin-independent repression of TPA-induced luciferase reporter gene expression driven by nonchromatinized MARE sequences. These results do not exclude the possibility that BACH2 modulates chromatin structure in other cell types or CD8+ T cell subsets. The localized function of BACH2 in modulating access of AP-1 factors at enhancers is characteristic of short-range repression, which permits multiple enhancers to function autonomously within a promoter; this function is compatible with a requirement for complex regulation of genes involved in lymphocyte differentiation. IRF4 is known to assemble with AP-1 factors at AP-1–IRF composite elements. We did not observe statistically significant differences in Irf4 mRNA expression between WT and Bach2−/− cells. Because Irf4 is therefore unlikely to be a transcriptional target of BACH2 in CD8+ T cells, we conclude that differences in AP-1 factor binding in Bach2−/− cells are not attributable to an IRF4-dependent mechanism. It is also notable that only a subset of TCR-induced gene loci contributed strongly to the correlation between differences in JunD binding and gene expression in WT and Bach2−/− cells. These findings suggest that the extent of competition between BACH2 and AP-1 and its effect on gene expression may vary in a site-specific fashion. It will be important for future investigations to dissect the molecular mechanisms and sequence-level determinants of site-specific regulation of BACH2 function.

We found that reduced transcription of Bach2 in effector cells corresponded to a decrease in its ability to restrain TCR-induced gene expression, enabling stage-dependent control of its function. BACH2 was also post-translationally modified to enable further contextual regulation of its function. Our finding that BACH2 phosphorylated at S520 is functionally inactivated is consistent with published observations in chronic myeloid leukemia cells that phosphorylation at a homologous residue in human BACH2 causes nuclear exclusion and functional inactivation. Further investigations will be required to precisely quantify the effect of changes in BACH2 expression and nuclear exclusion on binding of BACH2 and AP-1 factors at enhancers. We used TCR stimulation to study BACH2 phosphorylation; however, the finding that BACH2 is phosphorylated by Akt provides a potential mechanism by which a variety of extrinsic cues modulate T cell differentiation. The PI3K–Akt pathway integrates diverse antigenic, inflammatory, costimulatory and metabolic signals and regulates multiple aspects of lymphocyte biology. It will be important to investigate the range of signals that regulate immune function via phosphorylation of BACH2 and how phosphorylation affects BACH2 function at endogenous levels of expression.

Collectively, our results show that BACH2 regulates transcriptional responses of CD8+ T cells to TCR signaling, enabling appropriate control of responses to viral infection. Our findings provide a basis for further investigation into how BACH2 controls the differentiation and function of CD8+ T cells and other lymphocyte lineages. Additionally, our results identify a new target for therapeutic manipulation of lymphocyte function in the context of chronic infection, autoimmunity and cancer.

**METHODS**

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.

**Accession codes.** Gene Expression Omnibus: Microarray data have been deposited under accession code GSE77857.

**Note:** Any Supplementary Information and Source Data files are available in the online version of the paper.
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ONLINE METHODS

Mice and reagents. Experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the NCI and were performed in accordance with NIH guidelines. C57BL/6, OT-I transgenic, B6-PrpcrePepcBo/Boyl (Ly5.1) and B6-PL-Thy1.1/CyJ (Thy-1.1) mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory. Bach2−/− mice, which have been described21,22, were backcrossed >16 times with C57BL/6 mice. Female mice, age 8–12 weeks, were used for experiments unless otherwise specified. Congenically distinct WT and Bach2−/− naïve CD262.1/CD44+ CD8+ T cells were isolated by flow cytometric sorting from spleens and lymph nodes of mixed BM chimeric mice 6–12 weeks after reconstitution. For in vitro stimulation assays, naïve CD8+ T cells were activated with plate-bound anti-CD3 (2C11; 1 µg/ml; eBioscience) and soluble anti-CD28 (37.51; 1 µg/ml; eBioscience) in medium containing 100 IU recombinant human IL-2 for 5 d. Where indicated, AKT1 was added to culture medium at a concentration of 1 µM (AKT inhibitor VIII, Calbiochem). JNKi was added at a concentration of 12.5 µM (INK Inhibitor I, 1-Form, Calbiochem), and rapamycin (Sigma-Aldrich) was added at a concentration of 100 ng/ml.

Viral infection and kinetic analysis. For experiments assessing the response of CD8+ T cells to acute viral infection, 2 × 106 congenerically distinct WT or Bach2−/− naïve CD44+CD262L1/OT-1 CD8+ T cells were transferred into recipient mice. Immediately after transfer, mice were infected with 1 × 106 plaque-forming units (PFU) VV-OVA. Naïve cells for transfer were isolated by flow cytometry from mice reconstituted with 1:1 mixtures of bone marrow (BM) cells from WT, CD45.1+ and Bach2−/− Thy-1.1+ OT-1 TCR-transgenic mice. Where indicated, WT and Bach2−/− naïve cells were mixed at a 1:1 ratio before transfer.

Retroviral transduction. pMIG- and pMIT-BACH2 constructs have been described previously21,22. S520A substitution mutations were generated by site-directed mutagenesis (Agilent). pMIT-BACH2-GFP and BACH2(S520A)-GFP were generated by cloning synthesized BACH2-GFP and BACH2(S520A)-GFP fusion constructs into the NotI and Clal restriction sites within pMIT. Platinum-E ecotropic packaging cells (Cell Biolabs) were plated 1 d before transfection on poly-l-lysine–coated 10-cm plates (Becton Dickinson) at a concentration of 6 × 106 cells per plate. Packaging cells were transfected with 20 µg of retroviral plasmid DNA along with 6 µg pCCL-Eco plasmid DNA using 60 µl Lipofectamine 2000 in 3 ml OptiMEM (Invitrogen) for 8 h in antibiotic-free medium. Medium was replaced 8 h after transfection, and cells were incubated for a further 48 h. Retroviral supernatants were collected and spun at 2,000 × g for 2 h at 32 °C into 24-well non-tissue–culture–treated plates coated overnight in RetroNectin (20 µg/ml; Takara Bio), 1 µg/ml anti-CD3 (2C11) and 1 µg/ml anti-CD28 (37.51) (eBioscience). Cells were cultured with 100 IU recombinant human IL-2. For experiments involving functional assessment and subcellular localization of BACH2(S520A), medium was additionally supplemented with 0.2–20 ng/ml IL-12 (Peprotech).

Antibodies and flow cytometry. The following fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies to surface and intracellular antigens were used at 0.25–1 × 10−3 µg/ml: anti-Thy-1.1 (OX-7), anti-CD45.1 (A20), anti-KLRG1 (2F1), anti-CD25 (PC61), anti-CD62L (MEL-14), anti-IFN-γ (XMG1.2), anti-TNF (MP6-XT22), anti-CD44 (IM7), and anti-CD8α clone 53–67–6 (BD Biosciences). Cells were incubated with specific antibodies for 30 min on ice in the presence of 2 × 105 OT-1 cells were transferred intravenously into recipients before infection with 1 × 106 PFU VV-OVA. Where indicated, WT and Bach2 KO naïve cells were selected on the basis of cell size, focus attributes and Thy-1.1, GFP and DAPI positivity. Cellular masks were defined as bounded by the Thy-1.1–APC cytoplasmic membrane signal, nuclear masks were defined using the DAPI signal, and a cytoplasmic mask was defined by subtracting the nuclear mask from the cytoplasmic mask. Mean pixel intensity of the BACH2-GFP signal was computed within the nuclear and cytoplasmic masks separately, and the ratio of the two values was analyzed to assess nuclear localization.

Immunoblotting and immunoprecipitation. CD8+ T cells and HEK293T cells were lysed in IP lysis buffer (Pierce). The following antibodies were used for immunoblotting: anti-BACH2 (ab83364, lot GR40626-2, Abcam); anti-RXXPs (110B7E), anti-pAkt T308 (49D7), anti-pS6K1 T389 (9205) and anti-S6K1 (9202) (Cell Signaling); and anti-β-actin (AC-74; Sigma-Aldrich). For immunoprecipitation experiments Flag-BACH2/BACH2(S520A) was immunoprecipitated after overnight incubation with M-2 anti-Flag monoclonal antibodies (Sigma-Aldrich) using protein A/G magnetic beads (Dynal). After several washes, immunoprecipitated proteins were released from beads by competitive elution with Flag peptide according to the manufacturer's instructions (Sigma-Aldrich) or by boiling in 1× Laemmli buffer. Proteins similarly immunoprecipitated from HEK293T cells transfected with WT or BACH2(S520A) were subjected to kinase assays while bound to beads and in the presence of ATP (Sigma-Aldrich) and kinase reaction buffer according to the manufacturer's instructions (Cell Signaling).

Quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). Cells were isolated by flow cytometric sorting or harvested from cell cultures and transferred into RNA later solution (Ambion) for storage at −80 °C. Total RNA from pelleted cells was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). First-strand cDNA synthesis was performed using the high-capacity cDNA synthesis kit (Applied Biosystems) in the presence of Superscript III RNase inhibitor (Ambion). cDNA was used as a template for qPCR reactions using Taqman primer-probes against specified mRNA transcripts (Applied Biosystems). Reactions were performed using Fast Universal PCR Mastermix (Applied Biosystems) and thermocycled in quadruplicate 10 µl reactions in 384-well plates. Signals in the FAM channel were normalized to ROX intensity, and threshold cycle (Ct) values were calculated using automatically determined threshold values using SDS software (Applied Biosystems).

Bone-marrow chimera experiments and measurement of antiviral response kinetics. For bone marrow (BM) reconstitution experiments, C57BL/6 mice were administered 1,000 Gy total-body γ-radiation from a 137Cs source before intravenous injection of BM cells with or without depletion of mature lineages from single-cell BM preparations from 6- to 10-week-old mice using antibody-coupled magnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec). To measure antiviral responses, 2 × 104 OT-1 cells were transferred intravenously into recipients before infection with 1 × 106 PFU VV-OVA. Where indicated, WT and Bach2 KO naïve cells were sorted from mixed-BM-chimeric mice and mixed at a 1:1 ratio before transfer.

In vivo BrdU labeling. For analysis of cellular proliferation in vivo, mice were administered 2 mg BrdU suspended in 500 µl PBS at 16 h (antiviral kinetic experiment) or every day for 5 d (steady-state proliferation in mixed-BM chimeras) before analysis. Incorporated BrdU was detected using the APC BrdU staining kit (BD Biosciences).

RNA sequencing and analysis. RNA-seq analyses were performed using ≥2 biological replicates. RNA sequencing was performed and analyzed as described previously22. Briefly, total RNA was prepared from cells using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen). 200 ng total RNA was subsequently used to prepare RNA-seq library by using TruSeq RNA sample prep kit (FC-122-1001,
positive (negative) strand was shifted mapped into nonoverlapping 10-bp windows, and the location of reads on BAM files, which represent the genomic coordinates of each read. Reads were uniquely mapped reads were retained. The output of Bowtie was converted to graphs were generated and viewed using the IGV (Integrative Genomics viewer). For PCR-based confirmation of BACH2 binding, chromatin immunoprecipitation was performed as described above, and qPCR reactions were carried out on input and immunoprecipitated DNA using the Power SYBR Green kit (Applied Biosystems). Genome-wide measurement of chromatin accessibility and computational alignment of generated data were performed using ATAC-Seq on 50,000 d5 activated CD8+ T cells as described54. Subsequent bioinformatic analyses were performed as described below.

**ChIP-seq analysis.** For sequencing of immunoprecipitated DNA, DNA fragments were blunt-end ligated to Illumina adaptors, amplified, and sequenced by using the HiSeq2000 platform (Illumina). Sequenced reads of 50–125 bp were obtained by using the Illumina Pipeline. Sequenced reads were aligned to the mouse genome (NCBI37/mm9) with Bowtie 0.12.9 (ref. 55); only uniquely mapped reads were retained. The output of Bowtie was converted to BAM files, which represent the genomic coordinates of each read. Reads were mapped into nonoverlapping 10-bp windows, and the location of reads on positive (negative) strand was shifted ±75 bp from its 5′ start to determine the approximate center of the DNA fragment associated with the reads. Genomic graphs were generated and viewed using the IGV (Integrative Genomics viewer)36, and we aligned the BACH2, JunD, c-Jun, p300, H3K4me1, H3K27ac and input control in CD8+ T cells.

**Peak calling, motif discovery and genome-wide distribution analysis.** We used MACS 1.4.2 (ref. 57) to call binding sites (peaks) relative to input control libraries. The binomial distribution test was used to assess peak significance, and a P value threshold of 1 × 10−5 was used unless otherwise specified. For motif discovery, the most significant 1,000 peaks ranked by lowest P value were used, and 100-bp DNA sequences centered on each peak summit were analyzed using MEME to characterize the BACH2 consensus binding motif in mouse CD8+ T cells. The discovered motifs were compared with the JASPAR motif database to evaluate motif similarities. 5′ untranscribed regions (UTRs), 3′ UTRs, introns, exons and intergenic regions were defined according to the RefSeq database. Peaks were identified to be associated with genes if they were found between 15 kb upstream of the transcription start site and 3 kb downstream of the transcription end site of that gene. The distance between summits of two peaks was calculated and plotted as histograms using the R package.

**Genome-wide binding profiles.** Genome-wide binding profiles were established by counting the reads into binned windows (20 bp/bin) relative to peak summits. Normalized read densities were plotted in the range [−1 kb, 1 kb] centered on peak summits. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to evaluate the significance between different distributions.

**Statistical testing.** Unless otherwise specified, statistical tests were performed using unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test. Where necessary, the Shapiro–Wilk test was used to test for normality of the underlying sample distribution. No binding was done, as objective quantitative assays such as flow cytometry, were used. Experimental sample sizes were chosen using power calculations with preliminary experiments or were based on previous experience of variability in similar experiments. Samples that had undergone technical failure during processing were excluded from analyses. Where relevant, recipient mice were randomized before adoptive transfer.
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