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Abstract

Background: Cervical cancer is one of the principal causes of cancer death among women worldwide. It is the second most common cancer and the leading cause of cancer-related death in Ethiopian women; about 77.6% of women died of 6,294 new cases reported in 2019. Early screening for cervical cancer has substantial advantage to reduce the incidence, morbidity, and mortality attributed to cervical cancer. So far, there are limited evidence on the level of cervical cancer screening uptake and its determinant in low- and middle-income countries including Ethiopia. Consequently, the current study aimed to assess the level of cervical cancer screening uptake and its determinant among women of Ambo town, western Oromia, Ethiopia.

Methods: A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted among 422 women aged 20-65years. Systematic random sampling was employed to recruit the eligible women from randomly selected sub-districts found in Ambo town. Interviewer-administered questionnaire was used to collect the data. Epi info was used for data entry and management from which it was exported to SPSS version 25 for detailed analysis. Variables in binary logistic regression with a \( p\)-value <0.25 were candidate for multivariable logistic regression. Estimates were presented using odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CI. Statistical Significance was declared at \( p \) value<0.05.

Results: In the present study 392 women were participated giving a response rate of 93%. Overall, 63% of the respondents were known the availability of cervical cancer screening service. Only 8.7% (34) of the study participants were received cervical cancer screening in their lifetime. Being in the age group of 30-39 years (AOR=3.2; 95% CI [1.22, 8.36]) and 40-49years (AOR=4.8; 95% CI [1.42, 16.41]), having cervical cancer related discussion with health care provider (AOR=3.5; 95% CI [1.17, 10.7]), and knowing availability cervical cancer screening service (AOR=2.8; 95% CI [1.03, 7.87]) were significantly associated with uptake of cervical cancer screening.

Conclusion: In this study, cervical cancer screening uptake is very low. The study also highlighted important factors that affect uptake of cervical cancer screening service. Therefore, this study result call urgent interventions by all stockholders to increase cervical cancer service uptake through continues promotions and by securing its availability and accessibility for all eligible women at all levels.

Introduction

Cervical cancer (CC) is the fourth most prevalent leading cause of cancer deaths among women worldwide; accounting for 311,000 women's deaths annually (1, 2). More than 99% of the cervical cancer cases result due to infection with high-risk human papillomaviruses (HPV) (HPV subtypes 16 and 18), which is transmitted through sexual contact (3, 4). Cervical cancer-related mortality is reducing in affluent countries since the launch of the formal screening program (5). In contrast, the burden and mortality as a result of CC are increasing in low and middle-income countries (LMICs) due to the absence of well-organized screening and HPV vaccination services (4, 6, 7). According to 2015 report, of 270,000 cervical cancer deaths, 243,000 was occurred in LMICs (4).

In Africa, cervical cancer is the leading cause of cancer-specific mortality in women. The incidence of cervical cancer is high in Sub-Saharan African (SSA) countries; it was 24% in the 2013 report (8). The disease is steadily increasing and result in more than 75,000 new cases and 50,000 deaths per year in SSA (9, 10). Of the African
countries, the burden of cervical cancer is higher in southern Africa. In 2018 the incidence of CC was 43.1% with a 20% mortality rate (11). Scientists predicted that by the year 2030, more than 443,000 women die of CC; and the majority (398,700) of the deaths will occur in SSA (1, 12). Ethiopia also shares a high incidence of cervical cancer. About 4,884 Ethiopian women died of 6,294 new cases reported in 2019 by the HPV information center of the country (13). Cervical cancer is the second most common cancer and the principal cause of cancer related death among Ethiopian women (14).

There are strategies launched by the World Health Organization (WHO) for controlling and prevention CC and its consequences. Vaccination for HPV and screening for eligible women are some of the proposed strategies (15, 16). Vaccination for the high-risk subtypes of HPV (5) is the primary preventive mechanism of CC (16). Infection by high-risk human papillomaviruses (HPV 16 and 18) reduced by 70% in countries where at least 50% of eligible females were vaccinated (17). Moreover, screening for the HPV at the early stage of the disease was more efficient for detecting cervical pre-cancers and provided high protection against cervical cancer (5, 18, 19).

In high-income countries, the screening methods shifts from cytology-based to molecular screening, and co-testing to achieve the anticipated goals (6). On the other hand, visual inspection with acetic acid (VIA), HPV testing-based screening, and a "single-visit screen and treat" (20) are the alternative screening methods in LMICs (6, 21). Cervical cancer incidence (25%) and mortality (35%) was reduced as a result of a single round screening with VIA (22). Moreover, a randomized control trial (RCT) in rural India revealed a significant reduction of advanced cervical cancer (53%) and deaths (48%) with a single round screening with high risk-HPV testing compared with the existing standard of care only for opportunistic screening (23).

Though, WHO recommended screening of women aged 30-49 years (24) and screening for cervical cancer between age 21 to 65 years substantially reduces cervical cancer incidence (25), advanced stage of the disease (23), and mortality (22, 23, 25, 26); still, there are different barriers that hinder the utilization of the screening services. Absence of linkage of diagnosis and treatment (6), traditional practices and beliefs (27, 28), socioeconomic status (29–31), public awareness (31, 32), fear of cancer and out-comes of screening (31–33), and health care access (30, 31, 33) are barriers of cervical cancer screening uptake mainly in LMICs. A few qualitative studies identified the lack of understanding of the benefits of the screening as barriers to CC screening in LMICs (28, 31). A systematic literature review indicated that lack of partner support, social stigmatization, cost of the services, and health care providers’ attitudes were barriers to cervical cancer screening service utilization in SSA (33).

Studies have shown that education intervention and self-sampling for HPV testing increased cervical cancer screening rates by fold (34, 35). Likewise, awareness of cervical cancer, family history of the disease, and experiencing the signs and symptoms of the disease were facilitators for the utilization of screening services by the women (31). In the study area, data related to the level of CC screening uptake and determinant factors is lacking; thus, the present study aimed to assess the level of cervical cancer screening uptake and determinant factors among women of Ambo town, western Oromia, Ethiopia.

Methods

Study area, period, and participants
A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted from December 01, 2017 to January 30, 2017, in Ambo town capital of west Shewa zone, western Ethiopia. The town is located 114 kilometres to the west of Addis Ababa.

According to the health planning, monitoring, and evaluation department report, the town has a total of 97,317 population, of which 18.6% of them were women of reproductive age group.

In Ambo town there are six sub-districts, one general, one referral and teaching hospital, two health centers, one Maternal and child health (MCH) clinic, and twenty private clinics that were providing different reproductive health services in the town. Currently, it is only the government hospitals that providing cervical cancer screening services. All women aged between 20 and 65 years who are residing in Ambo town were the source population. Consequently, randomly selected women aged 20-65 years (25) and who reside in the town during the data collection period were the sample population.

**Sample size and sampling procedure**

The sample size was calculated by using the single population proportion formula with the assumption of 95% CI, 50% proportion of women who uptake cervical cancer screening services, and 5% margin of error was used to obtain a sample size of 384, with adjustment of 10% non-response, the final sample size was 422.

\[ n = \frac{1.96^2 \times 0.5(1-0.5)}{0.05^2} = 384 \]

From six sub-districts of Ambo town, three sub-districts; namely sub-districts 01, 02, and 06 were selected by a simple random sampling technique. A total of 6705, 3513, and 3306 eligible women were found in sub-districts 01, 02 and 06, respectively. From those respective sub-districts the sample size for this study was proportionally allocated; accordingly, 209, 109, and 103 women were selected from sub-districts 01, 02, and 06, respectively. Study participants were selected by systematic random sampling technique. The first household with eligible subject was selected by the lottery method and then every 36th household with eligible subject were included in the study. Women aged 20-65 years were interviewed from the selected households and if there were more than one woman in the household, the lottery method was used to select one.

**Data collection tools and data collectors**

The questionnaire was adapted from similar studies conducted in Gonder town (36), Jimma (37), and India (38). It was first prepared in English and then translated to local language (Afan Oromo) and back-translated into English by language experts to ensure its consistency. An interviewer-administered pre-tested questionnaire was used for data collection. A pre-test was done on 5% women live in different sub-districts to avoid contamination of information. The data were collected by six BSc Nurses and supervised by two MSc Nurses. Data collectors and supervisors were trained for two days before data collection. Personal identifiers were not included in the questionnaires to ensure the participants' confidentiality. Supervisors and principal investigator checked the completeness of the questionnaires daily.

The tool covers variables like: socio-demographic characteristics, reproductive and sexual history, cervical cancer and screening knowledge, and cervical cancer screening uptake.
Operational definitions

Cervical cancer screening uptake

The proportion of women who have ever been screened for cervical cancer at least once in their life time (39).

Data processing and analysis

Data were coded, entered, and cleaned using Epi info version 3.5.1 and exported to SPSS version 25 statistical package for analyses. Descriptive statistics were computed and presented using tables and figures. The outcome variable is dichotomous coded as “1” when the respondents were screened for CC, otherwise “0”. Frequencies and proportions were calculated for a description related to socio-demographic and other variables. Relationships between dependent and independent variables investigated using the Binary logistic regression model. Those variables with p-value below 0.25 in bivariate logistic regression were included in a multivariate logistic regression model for controlling potential confounding effects. The independent predictors for cervical cancer screening uptake are presented using adjusted odds ratio (AOR) with its 95% CI. A statistical significance was established as p < 0.05.

Results

Socio-demographic characteristics

A total of 392 women aged 20-65 years old interviewed making a 92.9% response rate. The mean age of the study participants was 30.1 years (± 9.1SD). The majority (96.4%) of the respondents were from the Oromo ethnic group. About two-third of the women were married, and 117 (29.8%) of them completed college and above. Nearly half (48%) of the women were housewives in their occupation (Table 1).
Table 1
Socio-demographic characteristics of study participants in Ambo town western Oromia, Ethiopia, December-January 2017

| Variable (n = 392) | Category     | Frequency | Percentage |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------|------------|
| Age (yrs)         | 20–29        | 228       | 58.2       |
|                   | 30–39        | 109       | 27.8       |
|                   | 40–49        | 34        | 8.7        |
|                   | > 49         | 21        | 5.4        |
| Ethnicity         | Oromo        | 378       | 96.4       |
|                   | Others*      | 14        | 3.6        |
| Religion          | Orthodox     | 151       | 38.5       |
|                   | Protestant   | 228       | 58.2       |
|                   | Others**     | 13        | 3.3        |
| Marital status    | Single       | 97        | 24.7       |
|                   | Married      | 254       | 64.8       |
|                   | Divorced     | 17        | 4.3        |
|                   | Widowed      | 24        | 6.2        |
| Educational status| Illiterate   | 67        | 17.1       |
|                   | Attend primary | 103     | 26.3       |
|                   | Attend secondary | 105   | 26.8       |
|                   | College and above | 117 | 29.8       |
| Occupation        | House wife   | 88        | 48         |
|                   | Private employee | 42     | 10.7       |
|                   | Governmental employee | 80 | 20.4       |
|                   | Daily laborer | 38        | 9.7        |
|                   | Student      | 44        | 11.2       |
| Income            | No income    | 37        | 9.4        |
|                   | 100–1000 ET birr | 157   | 40.1       |
|                   | > 1000 ET birr | 198   | 50.5       |

* Amhara and Gurage, **Catholic, and waqefata, Muslim

Reproductive characteristics of the respondents
About 256 (65.3%) of the participants had a single sexual partner, while the rest (34.7%) had multiple sexual partners in their lifetime.

Two hundred and forty-three (62.0%) of the participants had two and above pregnancies (Table 2).

| Variable (n = 392)                      | Category      | Frequency | Percent |
|----------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Age at first intercourse               | < 18 years    | 159       | 40.6    |
|                                        | ≥ 18 years    | 233       | 59.4    |
| Have you been pregnant                 | Yes           | 324       | 82.7    |
|                                        | No            | 68        | 17.3    |
| Number of pregnancy                    | < 2           | 149       | 38.0    |
|                                        | ≥ 2           | 243       | 62.0    |
| Have you give birth                    | Yes           | 300       | 76.5    |
|                                        | No            | 92        | 23.5    |
| Number of children                     | < 2           | 156       | 39.8    |
|                                        | ≥ 2           | 236       | 60.2    |
| Lifetime number of sexual partner      | Single partner| 256       | 65.3    |
|                                        | Multiple partner| 136     | 34.7    |
| History of abortion                    | Yes           | 47        | 12.0    |
|                                        | No            | 345       | 88.0    |
| History of contraceptive use           | Yes           | 208       | 53.1    |
|                                        | No            | 184       | 46.9    |

Knowledge of cervical cancer

Three hundred and eight (78.6%) subjects have ever heard of cervical cancer; mass media was the most sources of information reported by study subjects (Fig. 1).

Of the study participants, only 180 (45.9%) of them know of cervical cancer, while more than half (54.1%) of the women did not know cancer of the cervix.

Most (68.3%) of the women reported unusual vaginal bleeding as the symptom of CC, while the rest reported vaginal discharge mixed with blood (42.2%), dyspareunia (32.2%), pelvic pain (12.8%), and the least reported back pain (2.2%) as the symptom of CC. Regarding the preventive mechanisms of cervical cancer, only 45 (11.5%) and 15 (3.8%) of the women knew HPV vaccination and screening for cervical cancer prevent CC, respectively.
Cervical cancer screening uptake and reason for not getting screened

Only 34 (8.7%) of the women had ever screened for cervical cancer in their lifetime. However, 247(63.0%) of the women had knowledge about cervical cancer screening. Papanicolaou (Pap) test (44.1%) was the most screening type reported by respondents who had ever screened for cervical cancer, followed by a blood test (35.3%), and visual inspection with acetic acid (VIA) (20.6%). Not getting sick of CC is the main reason for non-utilization of CC screening services (Fig. 2).

Factors associated with uptake of cervical cancer screening

In bivariate logistic regression analysis: age, knowing the availability of the screening service in the public hospital, history of early sexual initiation, know the consequence of advanced cervical cancer (metastasis and bleeding), knowledge of CC screening, and discussion on cervical cancer with health care providers were statistically significant with the uptake of cervical cancer screening. However, in multivariable logistic regression analysis; age, knowledge on the consequence of advanced cervical cancer (metastasis and bleeding), knowledge of CC screening, and discussion with health care provider on CC screening were remained significantly associated with cervical cancer screening uptake (Table 3).

This study indicated that those women who were in the age group of 30–39 years and 40–49 years were 3.2 times (AOR = 3.2; 95% CI [1.22,8.36]) and 4.8 times (AOR = 4.8;95% CI [1.42,16.41]) more likely to uptake cervical cancer screening compared to those women whose ages between 20-29 years, respectively. Those women who knew giving birth to many children as risk factor for cervical cancer were 2.7 times (AOR = 2.7; 95% CI [1.26, 5.98]) more likely to utilize cervical cancer screening compared to their counterparts. Women who had knowledge about cervical cancer screening were 2.8 times (AOR = 2.8; 95% CI [1.03, 7.87]) more likely to uptake cervical cancer screening than their counterparts. Furthermore, the present study found that knowledge on the consequences of advanced cervical cancer increases the uptake of cervical cancer screening by the study subjects. Those women who knew metastasis and bleeding were the consequence of advanced cervical cancer were 2.9 times (AOR = 2.9; 95% CI [1.20, 6.95]) and 3.1times (AOR = 3.1; 95% CI [1.16, 8.29]) more likely to utilize the CC screening service than their counterparts, respectively.
### Table 3
Determinants of cervical cancer screening uptake among women of Ambo town, western Oromia, Ethiopia, December-January 2017

| Variables                      | Total | Cervical cancer screening uptake | COR, 95% CI | AOR, 95% CI |
|-------------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                               |       | Total | Yes | No | No | No | (% ) | (%) | (%) | (%) |
| **Age (yrs)**                 |       |       |     |    |    |    |      |      |      |      |
| 20–29                         | 228   | 10(4.4) | 218(95.6) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 30–39                         | 109   | 14(12.8) | 95(87.2) | 3.2(1.38, 7.5)* | 3.2(1.22, 8.36)* |
| 40–49                         | 34    | 6(17.6) | 28(82.4) | 4.7(1.57, 13.84)* | 4.8(1.42, 16.41)* |
| > 49                          | 21    | 4(19.0) | 17(81.0) | 5.1(1.46, 18.09)* | 4.3(0.94, 19.58) |
| **Education status**          |       |       |     |    |    |    |      |      |      |      |
| Illiterate                    | 67    | 8(11.9) | 59(88.1) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Attend primary education      | 103   | 12(11.7) | 91(88.3) | 1.0(0.38, 2.52) | 1.1(0.42, 3.09) |
| Attend secondary education    | 105   | 7(6.7) | 98(93.3) | 0.5(0.18, 1.53) | 0.8(0.26, 2.43) |
| College and above             | 117   | 7(6.0) | 110(94.0) | 0.5(0.16, 1.36) | 0.9(0.26, 2.89) |
| **Marital status**            |       |       |     |    |    |    |      |      |      |      |
| Single                        | 97    | 5(5.2) | 92(94.8) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Married                       | 254   | 24(9.4) | 230(90.6) | 1.9(0.71, 5.2) | 1.0(0.29, 3.10) |
| Divorced                      | 27    | 2(7.4) | 25(92.6) | 1.5(0.27, 8.04) | 0.5(0.07, 3.06) |
| Widowed                       | 14    | 3(21.4) | 11(78.6) | 5(1.1, 23.93)* | 1.7(0.26, 11.48) |
| **Income**                    |       |       |     |    |    |    |      |      |      |      |
| < 100 ETH birr                | 37    | 1(2.7) | 36(97.3) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 100–1000 ETH birr             | 157   | 17(10.8) | 140(89.2) | 4.4(0.56, 33.95) | 4.5(0.52, 39.22) |
| > 1000 ETH birr               | 198   | 16(8.1) | 182(91.9) | 3.2(0.41, 24.63) | 2.9(0.32, 26.80) |
| **Give birth to many children**|       |       |     |    |    |    |      |      |      |      |
| Yes                           | 80    | 15(18.8) | 65(81.3) | 3.6(1.72, 7.37)* | 2.7(1.26, 5.98)* |
| No                            | 312   | 19(6.1) | 293(93.9) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| **Screening service availability** |   |       |     |    |    |    |      |      |      |      |
| Yes                           | 48    | 8(16.7) | 40(83.3) | 2.5(1.04, 5.77)* | 1.9(0.75, 4.81) |
| No                            | 344   | 26(7.6) | 318(92.4) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Variables                  | Total | Cervical cancer screening uptake | COR, 95%CI  | AOR, 95% CI |
|---------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                           |       | Yes (%)                          |             |             |
| Early sexual initiation risk for CC |       | Yes | 38 | 9(23.7) | 29(76.3) | 4.1(1.74,9.57)* | 2.2(0.86,5.70) |
|                           |       | No | 354 | 25(7.1) | 329(92.9) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Knew metastasis           |       | Yes | 53 | 11(20.8) | 42(79.2) | 3.6(1.64,7.91)* | 2.9(1.20,6.95)* |
|                           |       | No | 339 | 23(6.8) | 316(93.2) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Knew bleeding             |       | Yes | 30 | 9(30.0) | 21(70.0) | 5.8(2.39,13.93)* | 3.1(1.16,8.29)* |
|                           |       | No | 362 | 25(6.9) | 337(93.1) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Knowledge on CC screening |       | Yes | 247 | 29(11.7) | 218(88.3) | 3.7(1.41,9.85)* | 2.8(1.03,7.87)* |
|                           |       | No | 145 | 5(3.4) | 140(96.6) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Knowledge on cervical cancer |       | Yes | 180 | 19(10.6) | 161(89.4) | 1.6(0.76,3.15) | 1.0(0.44,2.16) |
|                           |       | No | 212 | 15(7.1) | 197(92.9) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Discussed CC with HCP     |       | Yes | 31 | 6(19.4) | 25(80.6) | 2.9(1.08,7.54)* | 3.5(1.17,10.7)* |
|                           |       | No | 361 | 28(7.8) | 333(92.2) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heard cervical cancer     |       | Yes | 308 | 31(10.1) | 277(89.9) | 3.0(0.9,10.14) | 2.7(0.73,9.69) |
|                           |       | No | 84 | 3(3.6) | 81(96.4) | 1.00 | 1.00 |

CC = cervical cancer, HCP = health care provider, *p-value < 0.05

Discussion

A total of 392 women participated in the study gives a response rate of 92.9%. In this study, only 8.7% of women reported that they ever had a screening for cervical cancer. The finding was similar to a study conducted in Ilorin,
North Central Nigeria (8%) (40) and in Hosanna town (10%) of Ethiopia (41).

However, the level of cervical cancer screening uptake of the present study was lower than former studies conducted in Dessie town (11%) (42), Mekele town (20%) (43), and Debremarkos town (21%) of Ethiopia (44). These discrepancies may be due to the difference in service availability in the study area and design, study participants awareness towards CC, and the age of the women included in the studies. In the Bante et al study (44) all the reproductive age group of women were included, whereas the present study included only women in the age group of 20-65 years which is eligible for the cervical cancer screening (25). Another study (45), also indicated that 17% of undergraduate female University students were underwent cervical cancer screening in their life time. The level of screening from this study also higher than that of the present study; the reason might be due to the variation of awareness level among the university students and women in the general community. Studies showed that women who had higher level of education (46–48) and knowledge on cervical cancer (41–43), and its screening (43, 47) were more utilized the screening services than their counterparts.

Conversely, the cervical cancer screening level of the present study was higher than the community based studies conducted among women in Finote-Selam city (7.3%), north west Ethiopia (49), in Butajira district, (2.3%), southern Ethiopia (48), and in Debre Markos town (5.4%), northwest Ethiopia (47). The inconsistencies might be due to the difference in study setting and age groups included in the studies.

The current study revealed that: age (being within the age group of 30-39 yrs and 40-49 yrs), knew metastasis and bleeding are the consequences of advanced CC, and Knowledge on CC screening, discussion with health care provider on CC screening, and give birth to many children were predictors of cervical cancer screening uptake. Women in the age group of 30-39 years (3.2 times) and 40-49 years (4.8 times) more likely to be screened for cervical cancer compared to the reference group (those aged between 20-29years). The finding was in line with studies conducted in public hospitals found in the Tigray region (50) and Mekele town (43) that reported being aged between 30-39 years were 2 times more likely to utilize screening services than those who were 21-29 years old. Moreover, the likelihood of cervical cancer screening uptake is increasing as age increased. Those women whose age ranges 40-49 years were 4 times (50), 3.1 times (47) and 2.4 times (51) more likely to utilize the screening services compared to 21–29 years old. Also studies conducted in Dessie town (42), Debre Markos town (44), and Finote Selam city (49) revealed that women aged between 34–49 years were, 6.0 times, 3.2 times, and 2.8 times more likely to be screened for CC than the younger age group, respectively.

Had a discussion with health care providers about cervical cancer screening was also increasing its utilization; those women who had ever discussed with the health care providers about CC screening were 3.5 times more likely to be screened than their counterparts.

This finding also supported by studies conducted in Debre-Markos town and Bahir-Dar city (44, 52), which revealed that being informed about CC screening by health professionals increases its utilization by 6.8 folds. Former studies also indicated that knowledge on cervical cancer screening was increased CC screening uptake by 2.36 fold (43) and by 4.02 fold (47). The present study also in concordance with those studies; women who had knowledgeable of cervical cancer screening were 2.8 times more likely to uptake cervical screening than those who did not know the screening.

Furthermore, the present study revealed that the knowledge of metastasis and bleeding are the outcome of untreated CC which is due to luck of cervical cancer screening uptake. Those women who knew metastasis and
bleeding were 2.2 and 3.1 times more likely to utilize CC screening, respectively, than those who did not knew the advanced cervical cancer. Bezabih and his colleagues revealed that the risk of invasive cervical cancer is 10.3 times higher among women who had more than 4 children (37). This finding is in agreement with our result multipara women were 2.7 times more likely to uptake cervical screening than null para women.

The main reason for not up taking the cervical cancer screening were self-perceived health (85.8%), lack of information (13.4%), and fear of screening test result (2.8%). This result also in harmony with studies conducted in Hossana, southern Ethiopia (53) and Addis Ababa (54) that indicated a lack of information and awareness were barriers to CC screening uptake. Cluster-randomized trial study conducted in Butajira district showed that self-assertion of being healthy and fear of screening were the main reason for non-utilizing screening services (55).

**Conclusion**

In this study, cervical cancer screening uptake is low. Knowledge about CC screening, had discussion with HCP about cervical cancer screening, and knowledge metastasis and bleeding as they are the consequences of advanced CC were the main predictors of cervical cancer screening uptake. Therefore, this study result call urgent interventions by all stockholders and specially the health sector should give priority on continues promotions to equip the women with good knowledge about CC screening and ensure its utilization through securing its availability and accessibility for all eligible women at all levels.
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**Figure 1**

Sources of information of cervical cancer reported by the study subjects (multiple responses were considered; thus the sum might be more than sample size)
Figure 2

Main reason for not up-taking cervical cancer screening by women of Ambo town, western Oromia, Ethiopia, December-January 2017