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ABSTRACT

The popularity of face recognition systems has increased due to their non-invasive method of image acquisition, thus boasting the widespread applications. Face ageing is one major factor that influences the performance of face recognition algorithms. In this study, the authors present a comparative study of the two most accepted and experimented face ageing datasets (FG-Net and morph II). These datasets were used to simulate age invariant face recognition (AIFR) models. Four types of noises were added to the two face ageing datasets at the preprocessing stage. The addition of noise at the preprocessing stage served as a data augmentation technique that increased the number of sample images available for deep convolutional neural network (DCNN) experimentation, improved the proposed AIFR model and the trait ageing features extraction process. The proposed AIFR models are developed with the pre-trained Inception-ResNet-v2 deep convolutional neural network architecture. On testing and comparing the models, the results revealed that FG-Net is more efficient over Morph with an accuracy of 0.15%, loss function of 71%, mean square error (MSE) of 39% and mean absolute error (MAE) of -0.63%.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The paper aim at carrying out a comparative analysis of augmented datasets (FG-Net dataset and morph datasets). Both performances (accuracy, loss function, mean square error (MSE), and mean absolute error (MAE)) for trait-ageing invariant face recognition (AIFR) systems are compared. The significate of the study is that both datasets are used for AIFR. Data augmentation via the addition of noises to both datasets at the preprocessing phase greatly increases the accuracy and other parameters of AIFR.

Literature review

Many comparisons exist in the literature between the performances of augmented datasets on age invariant recognition systems. The augmented dataset is usually used independently of each other to verify the invariability of designed face recognition systems. Two of the most common face image datasets used in age-invariant face recognition, FG-NET and MORPH [1] are usually at the centre of comparisons made to check the performance of age-invariant face recognition system. The goal is to have a good performance for
Comparisons between the performance of augmented datasets on age-invariant face recognition systems extend to niche applications like finding missing children who are discovered at a much later time (longer than ten years) [3]. The importance of comparisons, especially for niche applications, is emphasized in [4]. The factors that degrade the performance of face recognition systems are so numerous that it is sensible to have as many augmented datasets from as many providers as possible. The abundant evidence of the robustness of any age-invariant face recognition system is usually presented after it has passed the rigorous condition of being subject to varieties of augmented datasets [5]. The evidence is generally in the form of performance metrics like accuracy [6]. These performance metrics are used to gauge how well face recognition systems can accurately recognize face images of various subjects regardless of the source of the image, the noise added to the image and other forms of augmentation.

The region of the face used [7] to develop the age-invariant face recognition model plays a significant role in the design of age-invariant face recognition systems that are robust. The region of the face, when extracted from various datasets, could give non-identical performances on the designed face recognition model. This submission extends to other face recognition models designed to checkmate the negative effect of trait ageing. At the centre of comparisons of augmented datasets is accuracy [8], [9]. The precision with which the designed face recognition model can identify subjects’ facial image after they have been designed to discriminate between real and generated images, estimate age and identify subjects. New applications of age-invariant face recognition systems like soft biometrics [10] take the comparison between augmented datasets seriously. The verification/identification process is thoroughly confirmed for as many augmented datasets as possible to verify the accuracy of the face recognition system. The algorithms used to develop age-invariant face recognition systems such as support vector machine (SVM) [11], principal component analysis (PCA) and the like, perform differently for various forms of augmented datasets. The authors in [12] tested the recognition system performance of a modelled age-invariant face recognition system after passing face images through the designed and optimized adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) classifier. The reviews made in [13] and [14] give in-depth studies of the performances of various augmented datasets on designed age-invariant face recognition system. The studies focused on the challenges of face recognition as it relates to the verification of designed face recognition systems using different augmented datasets. The studies were able to identify the challenges faced by adaptive and age-invariant face recognition systems through extensive and thorough comparisons using different augmented datasets.

- **Fg-Net dataset specifications and complexities**

There are 1002 images of 82 various persons with ages spanning from birth to 69 years in the FG-Net database. The most common age group in the dataset is within the (<41 years) age group. Some of the pictures of subjects in the FG-NET database were digitally taken recently while others were scanned copies of the original photographs taken from personal collections of the subjects. The quality of the images in the FG-NET database depends significantly on the skill of the photographer, the condition of the photograph, the sophistication of the imaging tools used and the durability of the photographic paper found in personal collections. Thus there are variations in sharpness, illumination, resolution, background, facial expression, camera angles, and facial hair. These variations make the FG-NET database a good one for AIFR research and samples of same subject (person) ranging from ages 2 to 43 is as shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Image age progression of Fg-Net dataset subject 1 at ages 1,5,8,10,14,16,…,28,29,33,40,43](Image 91x141 to 518x253)

- **Morph dataset II specifications and complexities**

A longitudinal face database, MORPH Album 2 is a well-known publicly available dataset for face recognition research. The face images in the MORPH database vary in age, sex, background. The MORPH...
dataset was collected in uncontrolled environments (the pictures were taken in real-world conditions) and thus has a very unique range of facial expressions. The photographs in the MORPH database were taken over a period of four years and the database is regularly updated. MORPH Album 2 contains 55,134 face images of 13,000 subjects along with metadata that shows that majority of the images were acquired in a period of four years. Example images, age progression, and statistics of MORPH Album 2, as shown in Figure 2. Figure 2(a) for white male and Figure 2(b) for african-american female.

![Image progression for white male and african-american female](image)

Figure 2. These figures are, (a) Image progression for white male, (b) Image progression for african-american female.

Comparative analysis of Fg-Net ageing dataset and morph dataset II

Some of the remarkable dissimilarity between the Fg-Net and the Morph datasets is that children dominate photos from Fg-Net. In contrast, most pictures from Morph are mainly from adult persons [15]. Also, the age gap between the images of the same subjects in the Fg-Net dataset is significantly wide-ranging as compare to the once in Morph dataset, which is relatively small [16], as shown in Table 1. Besides, Fg-Net contains subjects from one caucasian race, whereas Morph dataset contains the caucasoid, negroid, and mongoloid races [17]. Furthermore, the total images (samples) in Fg-Net are 1002 with 82 subjects, while that of Morph is 55,134 with 13,658 subjects [18]-[22], while details of both datasets are as shown in Table 2 and Table 3 and Table 4 depicts the Morph numbers of facial image and decade-of-life. However, the Similarity is that both datasets contain face images of the same subjects at various age gaps. The sole reason makes both ageing datasets and can be compared experimentally base on this fact [5]-[8].

| Table 1. Comparison of FGNET and MORPH ageing datasets [23] |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Database | Images | Subjects | Age range | Resolution |
| FG-NET ageing database | 1,002 high-resolution colour or grey-scale images | 82 multiple race subjects | 0 to 69 years High 294 images of females 430 images of males | High |
| MORPH database | Album 1 1,724 face images | 515 | 46 days to 29 years | 240×200 pixels |
| | Album 2 more than 20,000 | 4,000 | 16 to 77 years | - |

| Table 2. Number of sample images in each age group of FG-NET dataset [24] |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Age group (in years) | Number of samples |
| 0-9 | 371 |
| 10-19 | 339 |
| 20-29 | 144 |
| 30-39 | 79 |
| 40-49 | 46 |
| 50-59 | 15 |
| 60-69 | 8 |
| Total | 1,002 |

| Table 3. Number of facial images based on gender and ethnicity from MORPH dataset [25] |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| African | European | Asian | Hispanic | Other | Total |
| Male | 36,832 | 7,961 | 141 | 1,667 | 44 | 46,645 |
| Female | 5,757 | 2,598 | 13 | 102 | 19 | 8,489 |
| Total | 42,589 | 10,559 | 154 | 1,769 | 63 | 55,134 |
2. RESEARCH METHOD

2.1. Pre-processing the FG-NET database for deep learning

A mammoth amount of data is needed to train a deep neural network. The FG-NET dataset has only 10-15 face images of each subject at different ages amounting to 1002 images. The size of the FG-NET dataset is too small for deep neural network application. We preprocessed the images in the database by adding noise to it. The addition of noise to the FG-NET dataset helped increase the total amount of pictures available for deep learning application. The augmentation of the dataset was done at the preprocessing stage to allow for improved feature extraction. The following steps were followed to augment the FG-NET dataset with noise.

a. Convert all images to three channels with matrix entries for red, green an blue (RGB) for uniformity.

b. Viola Jones face detector crops all face images and removes all background details from the face images for richer feature extraction by the proposed deep learning model.

c. Five different versions of each image is created by the addition of four types of noise namely:
   - No noise (original cropped image preserved)
   - Poisson noise
   - Salt and pepper noise
   - Speckle noise
   - Gaussian noise

The number of images available for deep learning experimentation was increased from 1002 to 5010 with up to about 45-90 images per subject. The addition of noise also helped with getting the deep neural network to extract richer features from the face image for AFIR. Algorithm 1 shows the noise injection image (data augmentation) procedures.

2.2. Pre-processing the morph database for deep learning

A mammoth amount of data is needed to train a deep neural network. The MORPH Album 2 dataset has only 1-5 face images of each subject at different ages amounting to 13,000 images. The size of the is too small for deep neural network application. We preprocessed the images in the database by adding noise to it. The addition of noise to the MORPH Album 2 dataset helped increase the total amount of pictures available for deep learning application. The augmentation of the dataset was done at the preprocessing stage to allow for improved feature extraction. The following steps were followed to augment the MORPH Album 2 dataset with noise:

a. Convert all images to three channels with matrix entries for red, green an blue (RGB) for uniformity.

b. Viola Jones face detector crops all face images and removes all background details from the face images for richer feature extraction by the proposed deep learning model.

c. Five different versions of each image is created by the addition of four types of noise namely:
   - No noise (original cropped image preserved)
   - Poisson noise
   - Salt and pepper noise
   - Speckle noise
   - Gaussian noise

The number of images available for deep learning experimentation was increased from 13,000 to 27,500 with up to about 5-25 images per subject. The addition of noise also helped with getting the deep neural network to extract richer features from the face image for AFIR.

Table 4. Morph numbers of facial image and decade-of-life [26]

| Age group (in years) | Number of samples – Male | Number of samples – Female | Total Number of Samples |
|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| < 20                 | 6,638                    | 831                       | 7,469                  |
| 20-29                | 14,016                   | 2,309                     | 16,325                 |
| 30-39                | 12,447                   | 2,910                     | 15,357                 |
| 40-49                | 10,062                   | 1,988                     | 12,050                 |
| 50+                  | 3,482                    | 451                       | 3,933                  |
| Total                | 46,645                   | 8,489                     | 55,134                 |
2.3. Feature extraction and classification using convolutional neural network

Over a million images from the ImageNet database was used to train the Inception-ResNet-v2 convolutional neural network (CNN). The images that was used to train the Inception-ResNet-v2 CNN forms part of the database for the imagenet large-scale visual recognition challenge. Inception-ResNet-v2 has 164 layers and can classify images into 1000 object classes. The CNN accept images of size 299x299 for classification. The Inception-ResNet-v2 was used in this study to learn features for age invariant face recognition using a process called transfer learning. Transfer learning is the process of adapting a pre-trained neural network for another task for which it was not originally trained. Transfer learning was used to learn age invariant features from the FG-NET and MORPH datasets for AIFR. Figure 3 and Table 5 shows a summary of the network architecture of Inception-ResNet-v2. In order to use the Inception-ResNet-v2 network, MATLAB R2018b was installed and downloaded the installer of the deep learning toolbox model for Inception-ResNet-v2 network from [27]. Run the installer to install the Inception-ResNet-v2 network in MATLAB R2018b.
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3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Evaluation methodology

MAE, MSE, Accuracy, and Loss function were used to check the performance of the proposed AIFR model [30]-[35].

3.1.1. Accuracy

Accuracy is derived from the true positive (TP), true negative (TN), false positive (FP), and false negative (FN) values as shown in (1). True positives are correct positive classifications. True negatives are correct negative classifications. False positives are wrong positive classifications and false negatives are wrong negative classifications.

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\text{TP+TN}}{\text{TP+TN+FP+FN}} \times 100\% \tag{1}
\]

3.1.2. Mean squared error

The mean squared error (MSE) is a predictor value that is always positive. A score closer to zero better. Where, N, in this instance, is the sums of iteration, \( f_i \) is the training loss values and \( y_i \) is the testing loss values. Consequently, MSE is calculated, as presented in (2) [36], [37].

\[
\text{MSE} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (f_i - y_i)^2 \tag{2}
\]

The MSE is the average \( \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \right) \) of the squares of the inaccuracies \( (f_i - y_i)^2 \).

3.1.3. Mean absolute error

The mean absolute error (MAE) is a measure of the disparity between two values. In this circumstance between \( y_i \) which is the values of training loss and \( \hat{y}_i \) which is the value of the testing loss, \( n \) is the sums of iteration. Consequently, MAE is calculated, as presented in (3) [38].

\[
\text{MAE} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i - \hat{y}_i|}{n} \tag{3}
\]

The MAE is the mean of the total errors \( (|y_i - \hat{y}_i|) \).

3.1.4. Loss function

Categorical cross-entropy is a loss function used to calculate the variation concerning two probability disseminations. This dissimilarity is computed for respectively iteration in the training and testing dataset. The technique to calculate the likelihood variation is as shown in the (4) [39].

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{cross}(y\hat{y})} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{c=1}^{C} y_i^c \cdot \log(\hat{y}_i^c) \tag{4}
\]

Where \( x \) is the input value, \( y \) is the true value, \( \hat{y} \) is forecast value by the method, \( N \) is the sum of iteration and \( C \) is the sum of class labels. Wen et al. [40] recommended a loss function called centre loss in adding to using the definite cross-entropy loss. The idea is to growth the discriminative power of the completely learned features by declining the intra-class variations. The centre loss function is as shown in (5).

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{center}(y\hat{y})} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{c=1}^{C} (\hat{y}_i^c - c_{y_i}^c)^2 \tag{5}
\]

While \( c_{y_i} \) is the \( y_i^{th} \) class centre of the features and \( N \) is the sum of iterations. Wen et al. [40] detected that (5) seen not accomplish the expected result. Two modifications were done by Wen et al. [40] to decide this problem. First, the modification is to bring up to data the centers founded on a mini-batch as a additional for the entire dataset. For the second modification is the institution of two new variables \( \alpha \) and the \( \delta - f \)unction. \( \alpha \) is used to regulate the learning rates of the centre, and the \( \delta - f \)unction is a Boolean that results in 1 if the situation is true and 0 if the situation is false. In (6) defines the updated function of the class centre.

\[
\Delta c_{y_i}(y, \hat{y}) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{C} \delta(y_i = j) \delta(y = \hat{y}) (c_{y_i}^c - c_{y_i}^\prime)^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{C} \delta(y_i = j)} \tag{6}
\]
The novel centre of each class is as shown in (7):
\[ c_{j+1}^t = c_j^t - \alpha \Delta c_j^t \] (7)

While \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \), Wen et al. [40] introduce \( \lambda \) to balance the two-loss functions of the total loss function. The complete function is shown in (8).
\[ L = L_{cross} + \lambda L_{center} \] (8)

In the event \( \lambda \) is set to 0, the total loss function is equal to the categorical cross-entropy function is used.

**3.2. Results and Discussion**

This section deals with the results and comparative analysis of augmented datasets (FG-Net and Morph II) performances for trait-ageing invariant face recognition system. Figure 4 shows FG-Net and Morph datasets training, and testing accuracies results in comparative analysis. With FG-Net dataset outperforming the Morph dataset with a mean testing accuracy of 0.15%. While Figure 5 shows FG-Net and Morph training and testing loss (error) results in comparative analysis. With mean FG-Net dataset output performance, the Morph dataset testing loss of 71%. Table 6 shows a summary of the result performance of augmented datasets of FG-Net and Morph dataset. All this implied that FG-Net dataset have will perform better than Morph dataset during deployment of these model in age invariant face recognition (AIFR) system.

| Variable                  | FG-Net Dataset | Morph Dataset | Percentage Difference |
|---------------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| Accuracy (Testing)        | 99.94%         | 99.79%        | 0.15%                 |
| Loss Function (Testing)   | 0.0039%        | 0.0067%       | 71%                   |
| Mean Square Error (MSE)   | 0.0155         | 0.0094        | 39%                   |
| Mean Absolute Error (MAE) | 0.0634         | 0.0638        | -0.63%                |

Furthermore, Figure 4 emphasize in graphical form the characteristics of FG-Net and morph training and testing accuracies results in comparative analysis. While Figure 5 highlights in graphical form the attributes of FG-Net and Morph training and testing loss (error function) results in comparative analysis. Figure 6 in graphical form the characteristics of FG-Net and morph squared error results comparative analysis. Finally, Figure 7 in graphical form the attributes of FG-Net and morph absolute error results comparative analysis.

![Figure 4. FG-Net and morph training and testing accuracies results comparative analysis (the result is best viewed in colour)](image)
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Figure 5. FG-Net and morph training and testing loss (error function) results in comparative analysis (the result is best viewed in colour)

Figure 6. FG-Net and morph squared error results comparative analysis (the result is best viewed in colour)

Figure 7. FG-Net and Morph absolute error results comparative analysis (the result is best viewed in colour)
4. CONCLUSION
This paper compared two of the most acceptable and experimented face ageing dataset (FG-NET and Morph II). These datasets were used to simulate age invariant face recognition (AIFR) models. The obtained results show that FG-Net and Morph datasets are similar, and the little difference may be due to randomness for augmenting the dataset.
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