Molecular mechanisms of dendritic cell migration in immunity and cancer
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Abstract
Dendritic cells (DCs) are a heterogeneous population of antigen-presenting cells that act to bridge innate and adaptive immunity. DCs are critical in mounting effective immune responses to tissue damage, pathogens and cancer. Immature DCs continuously sample tissues and engulf antigens via endocytic pathways such as phagocytosis or macropinocytosis, which result in DC activation. Activated DCs undergo a maturation process by downregulating endocytosis and upregulating surface proteins controlling migration to lymphoid tissues where DC-mediated antigen presentation initiates adaptive immune responses. To traffic to lymphoid tissues, DCs must adapt their motility mechanisms to migrate within a wide variety of tissue types and cross barriers to enter lymphatics. All steps of DC migration involve cell–cell or cell–substrate interactions. This review discusses DC migration mechanisms in immunity and cancer with a focus on the role of cytoskeletal processes and cell surface proteins, including integrins, lectins and tetraspanins. Understanding the adapting molecular mechanisms controlling DC migration in immunity provides the basis for therapeutic interventions to dampen immune activation in autoimmunity, or to improve anti-tumour immune responses.
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Introduction
Dendritic cells (DCs) are professional antigen-presenting cells central to the induction of adaptive immune responses and to the promotion of self-tolerance. In 1973, Steinman and Cohn were the first to isolate these cells from murine peripheral lymphoid organs and named them after their constantly extending and retracting fine dendritic cell processes [1]. Steinman was later awarded a Nobel Prize for this discovery and his subsequent work in determining the role of DCs in adaptive immunity [2]. DCs are a highly heterogeneous population of cells, which have historically been categorised according to their phenotype, function or location. However, DCs have more recently been defined as a haematopoietic lineage in their own right [3]. DCs originate from precursor cells, such as monocytes and pre-DCs, in the bone marrow. Upon leaving the bone marrow, these precursors migrate to peripheral tissues and secondary lymphoid organs via blood vessels. There are five classic subsets of DCs defined in humans—conventional DCs type 1 and 2 (cDC1 and cDC2), plasmacytoid DCs (pDC), monocyte-derived DCs (moDC), and Langerhans cells [4]—and there are equivalent DC subsets in mice [5] (Table 1). Recently, this classification has been revisited, and additional DC subsets are defined [6, 7]. Each subset resides in a different niche throughout the body and has a specific role in the immune response [8, 9] (Table 1).

Dendritic cells are challenged with trafficking enormous distances throughout their life cycle, exiting the bone marrow, and entering and seeding all organs and tissues, then upon activation migrating to lymphoid tissues to initiate adaptive immunity. Within the tissues, immature DCs act as sentinels, and alert to signs of tissue damage or infection [10–12]. Importantly, DCs play a key role in initiating an anti-cancer immune response [13, 14] as they can also detect tumour antigens produced by cancer cells, such as mutated...
or aberrantly expressed proteins [15]. Uptake of foreign antigen induces DC maturation which enables them to migrate to the lymph nodes via lymphatic vessels [16, 17]. Once activated, DCs migrate to enter lymphatic vessels to traffic to lymphoid tissues where they must identify and activate their cognate T cells to initiate adaptive immunity [18, 19].

En route through the body, DCs traverse a wide range of diverse tissue environments and are required to cross barriers between different tissues and vessels to carry out their function. Immature DCs first scan peripheral tissues, before migrating through the lymphatics and, finally, within secondary lymphoid tissues such as lymph nodes. This wide range of microenvironments requires DCs to deploy different migration mechanisms, controlled by a diverse range of soluble and membrane-bound proteins. In this review, we discuss the molecular mechanisms involved in DC migration through these diverse environments focusing on the roles of the actin cytoskeleton and membrane proteins, including adhesion molecules and tetraspanins. Tetraspanins, a family of transmembrane proteins, interact with membrane and intracellular proteins to organise the plasma membrane into tetraspanin-enriched microdomains, which facilitate cell–cell interactions and effective downstream signalling [20–22]. Tetraspanins are expressed on DCs [23], and several tetraspanins have been implicated in controlling DC migration through interactions with C-type lectin receptors, integrins or small GTPases [24–27]. Finally, we explore DC migration in cancer. Understanding which migratory mechanisms may be impaired can aid in the development of anti-cancer therapies aiming to restore DC function and enhance presentation of tumour antigens.

| Human DC subset | Mouse DC subset | Development and function | Surface markers | Tetraspanin surface expression |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Classical DC type 1 (cDC1) | CD8α+DC | Bone marrow-derived, myeloid origin | CD13 | CD9 |
|                  |                  | Able to cross-present antigens to CD8+ T cells via MHC class I | CD103 | CD37 |
|                  |                  | Promote Th1 and natural killer cell responses | CD141 | CD53 |
|                  |                  | Involved in immunity against intracellular pathogens, viruses, and cancer | CLEC9A | CD81α/β |
|                  |                  |                           | XCR1 | CD82 |
|                  |                  |                           |                  | CD151 |
|                  |                  |                           |                  | Tspan31 |
| Classical DC type 2 (cDC2) | CD4+DC | Bone marrow-derived, myeloid origin | CD11c | CD9 |
|                  |                  | Able to activate Th1, Th2, Th17, and CD8+ T cells | CD11b | CD53 |
|                  |                  | Involved in immunity against bacteria and fungi | SIRPα | CD81α/β |
| Plasmacytoid DC (pDC) | Plasmacytoid DC (pDC) | Bone marrow-derived, lymphoid origin | CD123 | CD9α or CD9β |
|                  |                  | Secrete large amounts of IFN type 1 in response to TLR7/9 activation | CD303/CLEC-4C | CD37 |
|                  |                  | Mainly involved in anti-viral immunity | CD304/NRP1 | CD53α/β |
| Langerhans cell | Langerhans cell | Derived from erythromyeloid progenitors found in the foetal liver | CD1a | CD81α/β |
|                  |                  | Reside within epithelial layers | CD207/Langerin | CD82 |
|                  |                  | Capable to self-renew | E-Cadherin | CD151α/β |
|                  |                  |                           | Not studied to date | Tspan31 |
| Monocyte-derived DC (moDC) | Bone marrow-derived DC (BMDC) | Bone marrow-derived, myeloid origin | CD1a | Not studied to date |
|                  |                  | Present in tissues during steady state, but also expand populations of tissue-resident DCs during inflammation | CD1c | |
|                  |                  |                           | CD11c | |
|                  |                  |                           | CCR2 | |

Each human DC subset has a distinct development and function, and is identified by the expression of different surface markers, and tetraspanin expression pattern [4, 5, 89, 90, 165, 166].
Seeding of peripheral tissues

It is believed that the final differentiation into immature DCs happens upon leaving the blood and entering the tissues [28–31]. Similar to other leukocytes, extravasation of DC precursors is thought to occur in three main steps and involves coordinated signalling through cytokines, selectins and integrins [32–34], potentially mediated by tetraspanin proteins [26, 27]. First, tethering occurs which causes the cells to slow down and roll along the endothelium. L-selectin expressed on circulating (pre-)DCs, and E- and P-selectin on activated endothelial cells are required for this process [35]. L-selectin or P-selectin deficient mice showed impaired leukocyte rolling and homing of lymphoid and peripheral tissues [36, 37]. P-selectin-dependent rolling is decreased in the absence of tetraspanin CD63 [38]. This is explained by decreased surface expression and clustering of P-selectin in CD63-deficient endothelial cells, indicating tetraspanin CD63 as an important partner protein for P-selectin [38].

Secondly, DC precursor cells undergo adhesion resulting in arrest of movement. This is initiated by binding of chemoattractants expressed by blood endothelium to CX3CR1 on pre-DCs [29, 39]. This causes a conformational change and activation of α4β1 and β2 integrins on the DC precursor cells, which will subsequently bind their ligands such as ICAM-1/2, VCAM-1, and MAdCAM-1 expressed on blood endothelial cells [32]. This causes firm adhesion and arrest of the cells, and finally, exit from the blood vessels via diapedesis [32]. Five tetraspanin family members, CD9, CD37, CD53, CD81, CD82, and CD151, are involved in the regulation of α4β1 and/or β2 integrins on several leukocyte types [40–49], but their role on rolling and transmigration of DC precursor cells into peripheral tissues is not explored. Cd37 and Cd81 knockout mice have a normal immune system development [50, 51], and it is therefore not expected that these tetraspanins are required for homing of DC precursor cells to peripheral tissues. However, as some tetraspanin proteins are genetically similar [52], compensation mechanisms by other tetraspanins in this process cannot be excluded.

Activation of dendritic cells by pathogens and danger signals

Immature DCs are activated upon recognising pathogen-associated or damage-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs or DAMPs) via pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) [53, 54]. PAMPs are mostly derived from pathogens and include molecular motifs, such as bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or nucleic acids [55]. In contrast, DAMPs are danger signals, many of which are aberrantly expressed self-molecules, produced upon stress or injury, for example dying cells, necrosis or cancer [53, 56]. PRRs are found both on and within many immune cells allowing detection of both extracellular and intracellular danger signals, respectively [55]. One important subgroup of PRRs is the Toll-like receptors (TLRs), a protein family composed of twelve different receptors expressed on leukocytes and stromal cells, which are able to detect both DAMPs and PAMPs [53, 57]. TLR stimulation initiates a signalling cascade resulting in activation of transcription factors, including NF-κB [58]. NF-κB is known to promote the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines, which further stimulates an immune response [59]. In some cell lines, NF-κB has been shown to upregulate expression of the chemokine receptor CCR7, a critical signalling molecule for the homing of DCs to the lymphoid tissues [58, 60]. Additionally, others have suggested that inflammatory cytokines produced in response to TLR stimulation, such as tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNFα), may activate DCs in certain tissues [61, 62]. However, in vivo experimental evidence has shown that these mediators in isolation are not sufficient to induce full activation of DCs within secondary lymphoid tissues [63].

One common DAMP molecule, released upon cellular damage, is adenosine triphosphate (ATP), which is normally only present at very low levels within tissues. DCs sense high levels of extracellular ATP through P2X7 purinergic receptors, which triggers fast migration of DCs [64]. ATP-dependent activation of P2X7 instigates the opening of pannexin 1 (Panx1) membrane channels in the plasma membrane. This permits the release of intracellular ATP, which is able to act in an autocrine fashion to perpetuate fast migration. As well as stimulating Panx1 channels, P2X7 activation also allows entry of extracellular calcium into the DC [64], which may directly or indirectly stimulate reorganisation of the actin cytoskeleton. This happens particularly at the cell rear where it causes the formation of a large pool of F-actin critical for fast DC migration [64].

Migration of dendritic cells within peripheral tissues

A population of immature DCs resides in every tissue of the body. They constantly patrol and sample for antigens, which are engulfed by receptor-mediated phagocytosis or non-specific macropinocytosis [65, 66]. Immature DCs prioritise these endocytic processes to facilitate their immune sentinel function. Conversely, immature DCs have a limited migratory capacity and there is low expression of molecules required for antigen presentation [67]. Immature Langerhans
cells reside within epithelial layers and constitute one of the first lines of immunological defence against pathogens [68]. Lack of migratory activity allows them to form a dense network across the interfaces between tissues and the external environment. In this sessile state, Langerhans cells repeatedly extend and retract protrusions into intercellular spaces and also between epidermal cells. This behaviour enables sampling of a large area of the epidermis whilst remaining stationary [69, 70]. Other immature DC subsets do not tend to remain sessile, although their movement is still limited until they undergo maturation. Once DCs recognise a potential threat, they switch their behaviour away from endocytosis and towards migration. To move through tissues, DCs form actin-rich protrusions at the leading edge of the cell, which is accompanied by passive movement at the trailing edge, allowing the so-called “flowing” of the cell [71]. Conversely, “squeezing” of the cell, allowing forward movement of the nucleus, is facilitated by the motor protein myosin II, resulting in contraction at the cell rear. DCs have been described to rapidly move in an amoeboid-like fashion, using high actomyosin contractility through the cell cortex to constantly alter their shape [72–75]. This mode of motility is independent of integrins as ablation of integrin function by deletion of all integrin heterodimers and Talin, responsible for integrin activation, did not affect DC migration in three-dimensional (3D) environments or in vivo [71].

The discovery that DC migration within tissues is integrin-independent brought into question the previous assumptions regarding the role of mechanical forces in migration. Adhesive cells are known to exert large forces upon the surfaces on which they migrate, decreasing their sensitivity to small forces [76–78]. Conversely, it has been shown that cells migrating independently of adhesion molecules exert significantly smaller forces on the substrate [78, 79], suggesting that migrating DCs may indeed be sensitive to small forces. Hydraulic resistance, created by displacement of fluid as cells move through tissue, coupled with geometric confinement is the main factors which restrict DC movement within tissues. However, immature DCs have decreased sensitivity to hydraulic resistance as a consequence of their constitutive ability to engulf extracellular fluid non-specifically by macropinocytosis [65, 78]. Inhibition of macropinocytosis was shown to restore barotaxis (i.e. following paths of least resistance) in immature DCs [78]. Although the main function of macropinocytosis is antigen uptake, its ability to attenuate hydraulic resistance and thus overcome barotaxis is very useful. This permits immature DCs to patrol tissues more thoroughly, particularly through (parts of) tissues with high hydraulic resistance which may otherwise be inaccessible. Potentially, DCs may be able to increase macropinocytosis in response to external stimuli, like increased volume of extracellular fluid, facilitating effective sentinel activity during inflammation [78].

Whilst patrolling tissues, immature DCs tend to move at fluctuating speeds [80]. This can be explained by the antagonistic effects of myosin IIA in fast cell migration versus macropinocytosis. During phases of slow movement, high levels of myosin IIA are observed at the front of DCs [81]. Further analysis using a microfluidic device capable of separately altering myosin IIA activity at the front and back of the cell revealed that the slow movement was caused by myosin IIA activity at the cell front. This suggests that anterior accumulation of myosin IIA slows down DC movement by disrupting the normal front-to-back myosin gradient within the cell. Enrichment of myosin IIA at the DC front is controlled by the MHC class II-associated protein invariant chain (CD74) [81]. The localisation of myosin at the DC front is, furthermore, important for macropinocytosis, as both myosin II-deficient and CD74-deficient DCs showed less efficient formation and rearward intracellular transport of macropinosomes [81]. Another putative reason for the variable speeds observed within immature DCs is the regulation of filamentous actin (F-actin) within the cell. During slow movement, F-actin is accumulated at the cell front of immature DCs [82]. Conversely, during phases of faster movement, nucleation of a small pool of F-actin at the cell rear promotes cell migration. It was suggested that the protein complex Arp2/3, known to nucleate branched actin [83], may be responsible for the accumulation of F-actin at the cell front. In agreement with this hypothesis, inhibition of Arp2/3 in immature DCs resulted in a reduction of F-actin at the front of the cell. Furthermore, the Arp2/3 complex, activated by the small GTPase Cdc42, was shown to be specifically localised around macropinosomes at the front of the cell. Knock-out of the Arp2/3 complex protein ARPC2 further showed the importance of the Arp2/3 complex in effective formation of macropinosomes [82]. Thus, fluctuating speeds of immature DCs facilitate effective endocytosis during space exploration by immature DCs. Both myosin IIA and the Arp2/3 complex play important roles in macropinosome formation required for efficient antigen uptake by immature DCs.

**Directional migration of dendritic cells towards lymphatics**

Activation of DCs by PRR stimulation causes DC maturation [54]. During the maturation process, DCs downregulate processes linked to their sentinel function. Macropinocytosis is decreased by downregulation of Cdc42 and a reduction of Arp2/3 levels within the cell [82]. In response to decreased macropinocytosis, DC sensitivity to hydraulic resistance is increased, and thus, they begin to undergo barotaxis. Neutrophils have also been shown to exhibit barotaxis in confinement, favouring the path of least resistance [84]. Barotactic
movement enables the activated DCs to take the most direct route to the nearest lymph vessel, as they avoid long routes and dead ends which have a higher resistance [78]. Furthermore, upon maturation, DCs increase the expression of cell surface molecules related to antigen presentation and directional migration (Table 2) [85–88]. Differential expression of tetraspanins CD9 and CD81 on human pDCs (Table 1) defines subsets with different localisation and function [89, 90]. However, it is currently unknown if DC activation and maturation changes tetraspanin expression, and as such controls DC migration.

Expression of the G-protein coupled chemokine receptor CCR7 is required for DC migration through the lymphatic system [91]. The chemokines CCL19 and CCL21 are both ligands of CCR7, but CCL21 is thought to be the chemokine critical for DC migration [92, 93], whereas CCL19 plays a less significant role [94]. Lymphatic endothelial cells (LECs) are constitutively expressing CCL21 allowing chemotaxis of DCs during steady state [92, 95]. Upon inflammation, CCL21 expression is upregulated on LECs following the detection of pro-inflammatory cytokines, like TNFα, which facilitates increased haptotaxis of DCs towards the nearest lymphatic vessel [95]. Haptotaxis is a form of directed cell movement along immobilized gradients of adhesion cues or chemokines [92, 96]. The highly positively charged C-terminus of CCL21 can bind to heparin sulphates present on cell surfaces and within the extracellular matrix, thus forming a long-lasting local gradient of CCL21 on LECs [92]. The gradient starts approximately 90 μm from the lymphatic vessel, which coincides with the distance at which DCs shift from random to highly directional movement [92]. Oligomerisation of CCR7 on the cell surface of DCs, induced by the inflammatory mediator prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), has been postulated to play a role in efficient migration of some DC subsets towards CCL21 [97]. CCR7 oligomerisation allows binding and activation of Src family kinases, initiating Src signalling pathways in addition to G-protein coupled receptor signalling from CCR7. Phosphorylation of oligomeric CCR7 by Src at a tyrosine residue creates a binding site for further signalling molecules containing SH2-domains, which is important for efficient cell migration towards CCL21 [97]. The gap junction protein connexin43 (Cx43) expressed in cDCs has also been identified as a potential player in DC migration towards CCL21 [98]. In vitro studies using bone marrow-derived DCs (BMDCs) from mice with reduced Cx43 expression revealed defective migration towards CCL21. Moreover, reduced cDC migration to the lymph node in vivo was observed in mice expressing a truncated form of Cx43 [98]. Although there was no direct connection defined between Cx43 and the directional movement of DCs, it has previously been noted that connexin interacts with c-Src kinase involved in CCL21-directed movement [97–99]. Human monocyte-derived mature DCs highly express the GPI-anchored protein semaphorin 7A

| Maturation markers | Function | Tetraspanin interactiona |
|-------------------|----------|-------------------------|
| Adhesion          | Semaphorin 7A (Sema7A) | Stimulate moDC migration by reducing adhesion and promoting protrusion formation | Unknown |
|                    | Lymphocyte function-associated antigen 1 (LFA1) | Integrin able to regulate the duration of contact between DCs and naïve T cells during antigen presentation | CD9 [41], CD53 [49, 170, 171], CD81 [172], CD82 [48] |
| Antigen cross-presentation | Major histocompatibility complex I (MHC-I) | Allow presentation of intracellular protein-derived peptides to CD8+ T cells | CD53 [49, 173], CD82 [174] |
|                    | Major histocompatibility complex II (MHC-II) | Allow presentation of extracellular protein-derived peptides to CD4+ T cells | CD9, CD37, CD53, CD63b, CD81, CD82 [49, 173, 175–178] |
| Co-stimulation     | CD40     | Receptor involved in further DC activation | Unknown |
|                    | CD80, CD83, CD86 | Co-stimulatory surface proteins needed for T-cell activation | CD151 [179]c |
| Migration          | Chemokine receptor 7 (CCR7) | Chemokine receptor required for DC migration to the LN | Unknown |
|                    | C-type lectin-like receptor 2 (CLEC-2) | Interaction with podoplanin, a glycoprotein expressed on the surface of LECs and FRCs | CD37 [127] |

aTetraspanin interaction with these molecules has not all been reported on DCs
bCD63 is localised intracellulary
cCD151 on DCs controls co-stimulation of T cells during antigen presentation via MHC-II, but the exact mechanism is unknown
Entry into lymphatics

To reach the lymph nodes, DCs must enter the afferent lymphatic vessels by a process known as intravasation. DCs tend to enter the lymphatics at the blind-ended initial lymphatics, called lymphatic capillaries [101, 102]. The first step in intravasation involves crossing the extracellular matrix barrier of the basement membrane surrounding the lymphatic vessel. This basement membrane has a discontinuous structure, and intravasating DCs are shown to form actin-rich protrusions for gaps to traverse into the lymphatics [102]. DCs extend a cell protrusion into the opening, before contracting the cell rear to squeeze through the extracellular matrix barrier [102]. Next, they must cross the monolayer of LECs. Between oak-leaf shaped LECs that align the lymphatic capillaries, there are specialised junctions, containing a button-like distribution of adhesion molecules [101]. Similar to other endothelial cell junctions, the adhesion molecules expressed include tight junction proteins and VE-cadherin. However, these specialised junctions also specifically express high levels of the lymphatic vessel endothelial protein (LYVE-1), which acts as receptor for hyaluronic acid (HA) [103]. HA is found to be expressed on the surface of DCs, and HA binding is increased upon DC maturation [104, 105]. DCs attach to LECs via interactions between HA and LYVE-1 transmigratory cups, which extend from the LECs and engulf the DC, facilitating entry into the lymphatic vessels and entry into the lymphatic capillary [105]. Disruption of the interaction between LYVE-1 and HA using monoclonal antibodies resulted in reduced entry of DCs in the lymphatic vessels [105], suggesting that this molecular interaction is critical for transmigration.

As discussed above, DC migration through a 3D environment (i.e., the tissue) occurs independently of integrins [71]. However, upon inflammation, lymphatic endothelium upregulates expression of integrin ligands (e.g., ICAM1 and VCAM1), promoting adhesion-mediated DC transmigration [95, 106, 107]. In the presence of the pro-inflammatory cytokine TNFα, blocking of β2 integrin using monoclonal antibodies resulted in a reduction in DC transmigration [95]. The adhesion molecule L1 (also known as L1CAM or CD171) is involved in neuronal cell migration and cell–cell adhesion by intercellular binding to L1 or integrins [108]. L1 is also expressed on the surface of some DC subsets, including Langerhans cells [109, 110]. L1-negative DCs show reduced adhesion to the endothelium and impaired transmigratory capacity across the lymphatic endothelium [110], indicating L1 as an important player in DC intravasation.

CCL21 is also thought to play a role in DC intravasation as it stimulates DC migration across the endothelium in vitro [95]. CCL21 has been observed within intracellular vesicles and the trans-Golgi network within LECs [111]. Molecular interactions between DCs and LECs, and mechanical forces exerted by DCs onto the LECs, increase intracellular calcium concentrations, which acts as a signal for secretion of intracellular CCL21 [111], and may stimulate the DC to pass through the LEC monolayer. In addition, the molecular and physical signals acting on the LEC may combine to propagate entry into the lymphatics [111]. Semaphorin 3A, expressed on LECs, may also be involved in the guidance of DCs into the lymphatics [112]. Semaphorin 3A is able to promote actomyosin contraction of the cell rear via its receptor components Plexin-A1 and Neuropilin-1 (NRP1), found at the trailing edge of the cell. This contraction facilitates squeezing of the DC through the lymphatic endothelium, and mice with deletion of the Plexin-A1 gene Plxna1 were shown to have reduced migration to the lymph nodes [112].

Migration through lymphatics and entry into the lymph nodes

Once DCs have entered lymphatics, they require 24–72 h to reach the draining lymph nodes. Within the lymphatics, DCs move slowly along the vessel wall. Passive movement along with flowing lymph may play a role, but the hydrodynamic forces within the slow-moving lymph of the capillaries are suspected to be insufficient [113]. Within the
lymphatics, similar to interstitial movement, DCs crawl by active extension of protrusions at the cell front [114]. Rho-associated protein kinase (ROCK)-driven contractility has been suggested to play a role in intralymphatic DC migration [115–117]. Inhibition of ROCK in steady state was shown to slightly decrease intralymphatic migration, but during inflammation, the contribution of ROCK activity was much more significant [117]. Furthermore, intralymphatic migration of DCs towards the lymph nodes is thought to be reliant on interactions between CCL21 and CCR7 [91, 113]. CCL21 is present both within the lymph and on the luminal surface of LECs, and forms a functional gradient within the lymphatic capillaries [113]. Although blockade of either CCR7 or CCL21 did not affect the movement of DCs within the lymphatics, it did severely impact migration towards the lymph node [113], suggesting that the CCL21 gradient is required for directional DC migration towards the lymph node. After leaving the lymphatic capillaries, DCs enter the large collecting lymph vessels. Lymph is able to flow faster in these vessels due to the presence of contracting lymphatic muscle cells surrounding the vessels, and intraluminal valves which prevent backflow of the lymph [118]. This higher speed allows DCs to passively move with the lymph up to speeds of around 1200 µm/min [114].

Upon entry into the lymph node, DCs migrate through the floor of the subcapsular sinus towards the paracortex, which is composed of T lymphocytes and fibroblastic reticular cells (FRCs) [119]. FRCs are specialised fibroblasts that produce and enwrap reticular fibres, made of collagen fibrils and other extracellular matrix components, which together form the conduit network allowing lymph to flow through the lymph node. FRCs form a 3D network within the lymph node, which serves as a scaffold for immune cell migration [120–122]. FRCs also express both CCL19 and CCL21, thereby contributing to the chemokine gradient guiding DCs towards the lymph node paracortex to activate T cells [123]. In addition to CCR7/CCL21 interaction, FRCs and LECs express the glycoprotein podoplanin [124], the ligand for C-type lectin-like receptor 2 (CLEC-2) upregulated on DCs during maturation [1] [127]. CLEC-2 activation initiates a signalling cascade via spleen tyrosine kinase (Syk) [132]. Podoplanin binding to CLEC-2 results in Syk-dependent activation of Vav, which, in turn, activates the RhoGTPase Rac1 driving formation of actin-rich protrusions. Indeed, increased Rac1 activity was observed upon CLEC-2 activation by recombinant podoplanin [126]. Simultaneously, RhoA activity was decreased, which reduced the level of phosphorylated myosin light chain (pMLC) within the cell, resulting in decreased actomyosin contractility enabling DCs to spread along the FRC surface [126]. Tetraspanin CD37 directly interacts with CLEC-2, and expression of CD37 is required for clustering of CLEC-2 upon podoplanin binding [127]. DCs from Cd37 knock-out mice, similar to CLEC-2-deficient DCs, show impaired protrusion formation upon stimulation with recombinant podoplanin, and reduced migratory capacity [127, 133]. This indicates that CD37-enriched microdomains facilitate localisation of CLEC-2 and downstream signalling activation.

Tetraspanin CD81 can directly interact with Rac1 GTPase [134], and is required for Rac1 and integrin localisation at the leading edge of DCs [135]. CD81 knock-down DCs were unable to form lamellipodia protrusions, which significantly reduced their migratory capacity in the presence of a CCL19 gradient in a 2D environment. Loss of CD81 expression did not affect integrin-independent DC migration in a 3D environment [135], indicating that CD81 only controls adhesion-mediated cell migration by coupling integrin function to the actin cytoskeleton. Conversely, tetraspanin CD82 is upregulated in activated DCs, and decreases DC migration by reducing activation of RhoA [136]. This results in cytoskeletal rearrangements allowing DC spreading, which facilitates the formation of stable interactions between DCs and T cells to present antigens and effectively induce an immune response [136].

**Dendritic cell migration in cancer**

Tumour cells have often lost the ability to undergo programmed cell death [137], so activation of the immune system is a powerful therapeutic strategy to both eradicate tumour cells and prevent further growth at metastatic sites [13, 14]. The cellular composition of the tumour microenvironment is critical to tumour growth and in determining response to therapy [138]. Besides tumour cells, the tumour microenvironment consists of innate and adaptive immune cells, and other stromal cells such as fibroblasts [139]. Immune cell types within the tumour microenvironment can promote or inhibit cancer progression, but pDCs...
play a dual role [140]. On one hand, pDCs suppress anti-tumour immunity by activating regulatory T cells within the tumour microenvironment [141, 142]. As such, recruitment and presence of pDCs in tumours are often correlated with poor prognosis [141, 142]. On the other hand, pDCs activated with tumour antigens, either ex vivo [143, 144] or via in vivo targeting [145], drive a potent cytotoxic CD8+ T cell response against the tumour. This double pDC function in cancer can potentially be explained by functional differences in pDC subsets, which are characterized by differential expression of tetraspanin CD9 and CD81 [89, 90]. CD9−CD81+ pDCs induce regulatory T cells and are immunosuppressive, whereas CD9+CD81− pDCs secrete type I interferon (IFNα) and drive anti-tumour immunity via activation of cytotoxic CD8+ T cells [89, 90]. Expression of these two tetraspanins can be used to characterize pDC subsets in the tumour microenvironment, and to enrich for pDCs with immune promoting capacity in therapeutic DC vaccinations [146].

CDCs, and in particular cDC1s, have been associated with immune destruction of cancers [147, 148]. The anti-cancer functions of cDC1s are associated with their ability to take up and cross-present tumour antigens via MHC class I molecules to naïve CD8+ T cells in the tumour-draining lymph node [149]. Upon activation, cytotoxic CD8+ T cells are able to migrate to the tumour and kill cancer cells. cDC1 cells are further able to assist CD8+ T cells by producing large amounts of interleukin-12 (IL-12), a cytokine which is known to support the cytotoxic effector function of CD8+ T cells [150]. Although cDC1s are seldom found in the tumour microenvironment, their presence in melanoma is linked to increased T cell infiltration [151]. Therefore, it was suggested that if levels of cDC1 in the tumour microenvironment could be increased, it may increase the efficacy of immunotherapy.

One recent line of research suggests that the inflammatory environment of tumours can be targeted therapeutically to alter DC infiltration and enhance anti-tumour T cell responses [147]. Prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) is an eicosanoid secreted by many cells throughout the body, particularly during cell death [152]. PGE2 has been linked to the promotion of cancer by causing immunosuppression, as well as supporting processes such as growth and survival in cancer cells [153]. Deletion of the Ptgs1 and Ptgs2 genes coding for cyclooxygenase (COX) enzymes prevents the production of PGE2 and facilitates accumulation of cDC1s within the tumour [154]. In COX-deficient tumours, cDC1s form clusters at a distance from the tumour edge and blood vessels within the tumour, demonstrating more effective infiltration [155]. These PGE2-deficient tumours also had increased levels of natural killer (NK) cells, which localised in the same areas as cDC1s. NK cells recruit cDC1s to the tumour by highly expressing chemokines CCL5 and XCL1 [155]. Gene expression data sets and The Cancer Genome Atlas confirmed a similar relationship between NK cells and cDC1s in patients, and furthermore, higher intratumoral expression of NK cells and cDC1s positively correlates with survival in some cancers, including melanoma [155]. Since COX activity can be effectively blocked by existing drugs including aspirin, this mechanism of improving DC infiltration to tumours continues to be of huge interest for enhancing the effectiveness of immunotherapy.

In addition to suppressing immune responses by preventing immune cell recruitment, tumours are also able to control DC migration to tumour-draining lymph nodes. Cancer-associated vasculature has increased expression of the adhesion protein L1 [110]. Although its biological relevance in the tumour is not well understood, it was speculated that this may promote migration of non-antigen activated, immature DCs to the lymph node, where they can drive a tolerogenic response which supports tumour immune escape [110]. Alternatively, the tumour can inhibit DC migration to the tumour-draining lymph node by overexpressing transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β) [156–158], a cytokine found to be produced by many different cancers, and has been associated with poor outcome [159, 160]. TGF-β has previously been shown to inhibit the expression of CCR7 on BMDCs [161], suggesting that a lack of chemokine guidance may explain the decreased capacity of DCs to reach the tumour-draining lymph node. There have also been reports that TGF-β produced by the tumour may be able to travel to the tumour-draining lymph node and cause apoptosis of DCs [162]. A decreased number of DCs within the tumour-draining lymph node creates an immunosuppressive environment which may facilitate metastasis to these lymph nodes [157].

Concluding remarks

As sentinels of the immune system and bridge between the innate and adaptive immune system, DCs migrate through different tissues and across many barriers. DCs are equipped with a molecular toolbox to adapt to these different environments (Fig. 1). Environmental cues and cell–cell interactions result in integrin activation, increased expression of chemokine and C-type lectin receptors, and changes to the actin cytoskeleton. One mechanism crucial for the migration of activated DCs is the interaction between the chemokine CCL21 and its receptor CCR7 located on the surface of DCs [88, 91]. Although other molecular processes contribute to DC migration, none of them result in DC arrival at the lymph node without chemokine guidance. Except CCR7 [88, 91], deletion of other proteins did not completely inhibit DC migration, suggesting that proteins work synergistically to enable efficient and fast migration, but that on their own, they are not essential for DC migration to the lymph nodes.
Cancer cells often have immune escape mechanisms, which prevents the development of a successful anti-tumour immune response. The capability of DCs to present antigen to and activate T cells makes them essential for T cell-mediated tumour rejection [13, 14]. As such, modulation of DC function is of emerging interest to improve anti-cancer immunotherapy [147]. Most research has been focused on improving activation of DCs using tumour antigens or TLR ligands, and administration of ex vivo activated DCs, the so-called DC vaccines [147]. However, these strategies do not take into account the migratory capacity of DCs. Inhibition or reduction of TFG-β1 in tumours could potentially be used to increase migration of DCs to the tumour-draining lymph node to present tumour antigens [157, 158]. Furthermore, dampening PGE₂ in the tumour microenvironment may be a novel strategy to increase the recruitment of DCs to the tumour [155]. This has particular relevance to a recently published study which indicated that intratumoral DCs may play a role in the efficacy of anti-tumour responses in anti-PD-1 therapy, which is already used in practice [163].

Tetraspanins control several aspects of anti-tumour immunity [164], but their role in DC migration from the tumour to the lymph nodes and vice versa has not been extensively addressed. One study reported increased tumour growth in Cd37 knock-out mice [133]. This was caused by an impaired T cell-driven anti-tumour immune response due to migration failure of CD37-deficient DCs. Further research on the role of tetraspanins in controlling protein expression and DC signalling may enable the discovery of therapeutic strategies targeting tetraspanins to promote DC migration [164]. In conclusion, studies modulating DC migration in cancer are necessary to determine if this strategy, potentially in combination with current therapies, will improve anti-cancer immunity.
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