From the point of view of an analyst, one of the most delightful things about complex K-theory is that it has a nice realization by analytical objects, namely (pseudo)differential operators and their Fredholm indices. This connection allows quite a bit of interesting information to flow both ways: from analysis to topology and vice versa.

This talk will try and give a sketch of this picture, and consists of three parts or themes. The first is “the Gysin map as the index,” describing the families index theorem of Atiyah and Singer, and how the pushforward along a fibration in K-theory can be realized as the index of a family of operators. The second is “spin$^c$ as an orientation,” in which I discuss Clifford algebras, spin and spin$^c$ structures, Dirac operators and the analytic realization of the Thom isomorphism for complex K-theory. Finally (I did not have time to get to this part in the actual Talbot talk) I will discuss the constructions leading to higher index maps (i.e. $K^1$ instead of $K^0$; of course this is more interesting for real K-theory than for complex K-theory), namely Clifford-linear differential and Fredholm operators. The best reference for almost everything in this talk is the wonderful book [LM89] by Lawson and Michelson. I cannot recommend this book highly enough. I will also try and give references to original sources (essentially all of which involve Atiyah as an author).

1. Some notation and facts

First let us get down some notation and facts about K-theory that will be of use in the following. Let $V \to X$ be a (not necessarily complex) vector bundle. There are many ways of constructing the Thom space of $V$, which will be denoted $X^V$:

$$X^V := DV/SV = V/\partial V = P(V \oplus 1)/\infty.$$ 

The first space denotes the unit disk bundle of $V$ (with respect to some choice of metric) quotiented out by the unit sphere bundle; the second denotes the radial compactification of $V$ quotiented out by its boundary; the third denotes the projective bundle associated to $V \oplus 1$ (where 1 is the trivial complex line bundle), modulo the section at infinity. Indeed we could take any compactification of $V$ modulo the points added at infinity.

In any cohomology theory, the reduced cohomology of $X^V$ is of interest. In index theory, however, we prefer to think of the K-theory of $X^V$ as compactly

\[1\]Note that this constructs the fiberwise one point compactification of $V$.\]
supported K-theory$^2$ of the space $V$:

$$K^*_c(V) := \tilde{K}^*(X^V) = K^*(\mathbb{V}, \partial \mathbb{V}).$$

There is a convenient representation of relative even K-theory of a pair $(X, A)$, where $A \subset X$ is a nice enough subset, known as the difference bundle construction:

$$K^0(X, A) = \left\{ E, F, \sigma : E \xrightarrow{\cong} F \mid \sigma \right\} / \sim,$$

where $E, F \rightarrow X$ are vector bundles and $\sigma : E \rightarrow F$ is a bundle map covering the identity on $X$, which restricts to an isomorphism over $A$. The equivalence relations amount to stabilization and homotopy. Intuitively this should be clear; if $E$ and $F$ are isomorphic over $A$, then $[E] - [F]$ should be trivial in K-theory when restricted to $A$.

Unpacking this in the case of compactly supported K-theory for $V$, we conclude that we can represent $K^0_c(V)$ by

$$K^0_c(V) = \left\{ [\pi^*E, \pi^*F, \sigma] : \sigma_{V \setminus 0} : \pi^*E \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi^*F \right\},$$

where $\pi : V \rightarrow X$ is the projection and 0 denotes the zero section. Indeed, the pair $(\mathbb{V}, \partial \mathbb{V})$ is homotopy equivalent to $(V, V \setminus 0)$, and by contractibility of the fibers of $\mathbb{V}$, any vector bundles over $V$ are homotopic to ones pulled up from the base, i.e. of the form $\pi^*E$.

Let $V \rightarrow X$ now be a complex vector bundle. The Thom isomorphism in K-theory states that $V$ has a K-theory orientation, so that $\tilde{K}^*(X^V) = K^*(X)$. Specifically, $\tilde{K}^*(X^V) \cong K^*_c(V)$ is a freely generated, rank one module over $K^*(X)$, and in the representation of compactly supported K-theory discussed above, the generator, or Thom class$^3$ $\mu \in K^0_c(V)$ has the following nice description.

**Proposition.** The Thom class $\mu \in K^0_c(V)$ for a complex vector bundle $V \rightarrow X$ can be represented as the element

$$\mu = [\pi^*]_{\text{even}} \Delta V, \pi^* \Delta^\text{odd} V, \mu \in K^0_c(V), \quad \mu = v_\wedge - v^* \cdot v,$$

where the isomorphism off 0 is given by $\sigma(v) = \mu(v) = v_\wedge - v^* \cdot v$, the first term denoting exterior product with $v$ and the second denoting the contraction with $v^*$ (equivalently, the inner product with $v$), with respect to any choice of metric.

The isomorphism $\mu = \ell(v)$ is an example of Clifford multiplication, about which we will have much more to say in section 5.

Finally, a bit about Fredholm operators. Let $H$ be a separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space, and recall that a bounded linear operator $P$ is Fredholm if it is invertible modulo compact operators, which in turn are those operators in the norm closure of the finite rank operators. Thus $P$ is Fredholm iff there exists an operator $Q$ such that $PQ - \text{Id}$ and $QP - \text{Id}$ are compact. One upshot of this is that $\ker(P)$ and $\text{coker}(P) = \ker(P^*)$ are finite dimensional.

---

$^2$Though we shall only need it for vector bundles, by compactly supported cohomology of any space $M$ can be thought of as the relative cohomology of $(M^+, \infty)$ where $M^+$ denotes the one-point or otherwise compactification of $M$. This is consistent if we agree to take $M^+ = M \cup \{pt\}$ for compact $M$.

$^3$We’ll discuss orientation classes more generally in section 3, and we’ll interpret the Thom class in terms of spin$^c$ structures in section 7.
The relationship between Fredholm operators and K-theory starts with the observation of Atiyah [Ati67] that the space of Fredholm operators on $H$ classifies $K^0$:

**Proposition (Atiyah).**

$$[X, \text{Fred}(H)] = K^0(X),$$

where the left hand side denotes homotopy classes of maps $X \to \text{Fred}(H)$, the latter given the operator topology.\(^4\)

Morally, the idea is to take a map $P : X \to \text{Fred}(H)$, and examine the vector bundles $\ker(P)$ and $\text{coker}(P)$, whose fibers at a point $x \in X$ are the finite dimensional vector spaces $\ker(P(x))$ and $\text{coker}(P(x))$, respectively. Of course this is a bit of a lie, since the ranks of these bundles will generally jump around as $x$ varies; nevertheless, it is possible to stabilize the situation and see that the class

$$[\ker(P)] - [\text{coker}(P)] \in K^0(X)$$

is well-defined.

### 2. Differential operators and families

One of the most important sources of such maps $X \to \text{Fred}(H)$ are families of differential operators on $X$. Let’s start with differential operators themselves. A working definition of the **differential operators of order** $k$, $\text{Diff}^k(X; E, F) : C^\infty(X; E) \to C^\infty(X; F)$, where $E$ and $F$ are vector bundles over $X$ is the following local definition.

$$\text{Diff}^k(X; E, F) \ni P \equiv \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} a_\alpha(x) \partial_x^\alpha, \quad a_\alpha(x) \in \text{Hom}(E_x, F_x),$$

where we’re employing multi-index notation: $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|\alpha| = \sum_i \alpha_i$, $\partial_x^\alpha = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}$, where $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ are local coordinates on $X$.

This local expression for $P$ does not transform well under changes of coordinates; however, the highest order terms (those with $|\alpha| = k$) do behave well. If we consider $\partial_x^\alpha \in \text{Sym}^{[|\alpha|]}T_xX$, we can view it as a monomial map $T^*_x X \to \mathbb{R}$ of order $|\alpha|$. If $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ are coordinates on $X$ inducing coordinates $(x, \xi) = (x_1, \ldots, x_n, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n)$ on $T^*X$, the monomial obtained is just

$$\partial_x^\alpha \xi^\alpha = \xi^{\alpha_1} \cdots \xi^{\alpha_n}.$$  

Summing up all the terms of order $k$ gives us a homogeneous polynomial of order $k$, which because of the $a_\alpha(x)$ term is a homogeneous polynomial on $T^*_x X$ valued in $\text{Hom}(E_x, F_x)$. The claim is that this **principal symbol**

$$\sigma(P)(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| = k} a_\alpha(x) \xi^\alpha \in C^\infty(T^*X; \text{Hom}(\pi^*E, \pi^*F))$$

is well-defined.

An operator is **elliptic** if its principal symbol is invertible away from the zero section $0 \in T^*X$. The canonical example of an elliptic operator is $\Delta$, the Laplacian (on functions, say), a second order operator whose principal symbol is $\sigma(\Delta)(\xi) = |\xi|^2$, where $\xi \in T^*X$ and the norm comes from a Riemannian metric. The canonical non-example on $\mathbb{R} \times X$ is $\Box = \partial_t^2 - \Delta$, the D’Alembertian or wave-operator, whose

\(^4\)The precise topology one should take on $\text{Fred}(H)$ becomes a little difficult in twisted K-theory, but (I guess!) not here.
The principal symbol is \( \sigma(\Box) = \tau^2 - |\sigma|^2 \), where \((\tau, \sigma) \in T^* \mathbb{R} \times T^* X\), which vanishes on the (light) cones \( \{ \tau = \pm |\xi| \} \).

The reader whose was paying particularly close attention earlier will note that the symbol of an elliptic differential operator is just the right kind of object to represent an element in the compactly supported K-theory\(^5\) of \( T^* X \) since it is invertible away from 0 \( \subset T^* X \):

\[
P \text{ elliptic } \iff \left[ \pi^* E, \pi^* F, \sigma(P) \right] \in K_0^0(T^* X).
\]

For our purposes, the other important feature of an elliptic operator \( P \) on a compact manifold is that it extends to a Fredholm operator \( P : L^2(X; E) \to L^2(X; F) \). Actually, this is a bit of a lie, since if \( k > 0 \), \( P \in \text{Diff}^k(X; E, F) \) is unbounded on \( L^2(X; E) \), and we should really consider it acting on its maximal domain in \( L^2(X; E) \), which is the Sobolev space \( \mathcal{H}^k(X; E) \) which itself has a natural Hilbert space structure. However, since the order of operators is immaterial as far as index theory is concerned, we will completely ignore this issue for the rest of this note, pretending all operators in sight are of order zero\(^6\), which act boundedly on \( L^2 \).

Now let \( X \to Z \) be a fibration of compact manifolds with fibers \( X_z \cong Y \). A family of differential operators with respect to \( X \to Z \), is just a set of differential operators on (vector bundles over) the fibers \( X_z \), parametrized smoothly by the base \( Z \). For a formal definition, take the principal \( \text{Diff}(Y) \) bundle \( \mathcal{P} \to Z \) such that \( X = \mathcal{P} \times_{\text{Diff}(Y)} Y \); then the differential operator families of order \( k \) are obtained as\(^7\)

\[
\text{Diff}^k(X/Z; E_1, E_2) = \mathcal{P} \times_{\text{Diff}(Y)} \text{Diff}^k(Y; E_1, E_2).
\]

As before, there is a principal symbol map

\[
\text{Diff}^k(X/Z; E_1, E_2) \ni P \mapsto \sigma(P) \in C^\infty(T^*(X/Z); \text{Hom}(\pi^* E_1, \pi^* E_2)),
\]

where \( T^*(X/Z) \) denotes the vertical (a.k.a. fiber) cotangent bundle. Once again \( P \) is elliptic if \( \sigma(P) \) is invertible away from the zero section; if this is the case, \( P \) extends to a family of Fredholm operators on the Hilbert space bundles

\[
\mathcal{H}_i \to Z \quad i = 1, 2 \quad \text{with fiber } (\mathcal{H}_i)_z = L^2(X_z; E_1).
\]

By Kuiper’s theorem that the unitary group of an infinite dimensional Hilbert space is contractible, the bundles \( \mathcal{H}_i \) are trivializable, so trivializing and identifying \( \mathcal{H}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{H}_2 \) (all separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces are isomorphic), we obtain a map

\[
P : Z \to \text{Fred}(H), \quad H \cong L^2(Y)
\]

which must therefore have an index in the even K-theory of \( Z \):

\[
\text{Diff}^*(X/Z; E, F) \ni P \text{ elliptic } \iff \text{ind}(P) = [\ker(P)] - [\text{coker}(P)] \in K^0(Z).
\]

---

\(^5\)In fact, any element of \( K^0_0(T^* X) \) can be represented as the symbol of an elliptic pseudodifferential operator, though we shall not discuss these here.

\(^6\)In fact it is always possible to compose \( P \) with an invertible pseudodifferential operator (of order \(-k\)) so that the composite has order zero, without altering the index of \( P \). One such choice is \((1 + \Delta)^{-k/2}\), another is \((1 + P^* P)^{-1/2}\).

\(^7\)I will be sloppy about distinguishing between families vector bundles on the fibers and vector bundles on the total space \( X \). In fact they are the same.
Just as in the case of the single operator, the principal symbol of the family \( P \) represents a class in compactly supported K-theory of \( T^* (X/Z) \):

\[
[\pi^* E, \pi^* F, \sigma(P)] \in K^0_c(T^* (X/Z)).
\]

We will come back to the relationship between these two objects in a moment; for now you should think of the index as an assignment which maps \([\pi^* E, \pi^* F, \sigma(P)] \in K^0_c(T^* (X/Z))\) to \( \text{ind}(P) = [\ker(P)] - [\text{coker}(P)] \in K^0(Z) \). This is well-defined since any two elliptic operators with the same principal symbol are homotopic through elliptic (hence Fredholm) operators, and since the index is homotopy invariant, any two choices of operators \( P, P' \) with the same symbol \( \sigma(P) = \sigma(P') \) will have the same index in \( K^0(Z) \).

3. Gysin maps

In the first Talbot talk, Jesse Wolfson discussed the Gysin map in K-theory associated to an embedding. We will need a similar kind of Gysin map associated to fibrations. Let \( p : X \rightarrow Z \) be a smooth fibration with \( Z \) compact but not necessarily having compact fibers. By the theorem of Whitney, we can embed any manifold into \( \mathbb{R}^N \) for sufficiently large \( N \), and since \( Z \) is compact, this can be done fiberwise to obtain an embedding of fibrations from \( X \) into a trivial fibration:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \rightarrow & Z \times \mathbb{R}^N \\
\downarrow \quad p & \downarrow \quad \text{pr}_1 & \downarrow \\
Z & \rightarrow & \\
\end{array}
\]

Let \( \nu \rightarrow X \) denote the normal bundle to \( X \) with respect to this embedding; by the collar neighborhood theorem it is isomorphic to an open neighborhood of \( X \) in \( Z \times \mathbb{R}^N \). The open embedding \( i : \nu \hookrightarrow Z \times \mathbb{R}^N \) induces a wrong way map

\[
\tilde{i} : \Sigma^N Z \rightarrow X^\nu
\]

by adding points at infinity and considering the quotient map \( Z \times \mathbb{R}^N/\infty \rightarrow \nu/\infty \). Thus we obtain a Gysin (a.k.a. “wrong way,” “umkehr,” “pushforward,” “shriek”) map

\[
\tilde{i}^* : \tilde{h}^*(X^\nu) \rightarrow \tilde{h}^*(\Sigma^N Z) = h^{*-N}(Z)
\]

in any generalized cohomology theory \( h^*(\cdot) \). If the fibration is oriented (in a sense defined below), we will actually obtain a map from the cohomology of \( X \) to that of \( Z \).

Let \( V \rightarrow X \) be a vector bundle. We say that \( V \) has an orientation for the cohomology theory \( h^* \) (which we are assuming is multiplicative) if there is a global (Thom) class \( \mu \in \tilde{h}^0(X^V) \) which restricts to the multiplicative unit \( \mu_x \in \tilde{h}^0(X^V_x) = \tilde{h}^0(S^n) = \tilde{h}^0(\text{pt}) \) (here \( n \) is the rank of the vector bundle); if such a class exists, we have a Thom isomorphism

\[
h^*_c(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{h}^{*+n}(X^V).
\]

Specifically, \( \tilde{h}^*(X^V) \) is a freely generated module over \( h^*_c(X) \) with generator \( \mu \).

We say a fibration \( X \rightarrow Z \) is oriented with respect to the cohomology theory if \( T(X/Z) \rightarrow X \) has an \( h^* \) orientation. Indeed, if this is the case, the orientation
on $T(X/Z) \to X$ induces a Gysin map associated to an oriented fibration

$$p_! : h^*_c(X) \to h^{*-n}(Z)$$

via the composition $h^*_c(X) \cong \tilde h^{+(N-n)}(X^\nu) \xrightarrow{i} h^{*-n}(Z)$. Note in particular that the degree shifts by $N$ cancel; indeed the Gysin map is completely independent of the choice of embedding $X \to Z \times \mathbb{R}^N$.

4. The Gysin map as the index

Now let us return to families of elliptic differential operators. Given $P \in \text{Diff}^k(X/Z; E, F)$, we have the element $[\pi^* E, \pi^* F, \sigma(P)] \in K^0_c(T^*(X/Z))$, which maps to the index $\text{ind}(P) = [\ker(P)] - [\coker(P)] \in K^0(Z)$. The famous index theorem of Atiyah and Singer [AS68] [AS71] can now be stated quite simply.

**Theorem** (Atiyah-Singer). The index map

$$\text{ind} : [\pi^* E, \pi^* F, \sigma(P)] \in K^0_c(T^*(X/Z)) \to [\ker(P)] - [\coker(P)] \in K^0(Z)$$

coincides with the Gysin map $K^0_c(T^*(X/Z)) \to K^0(Z)$ associated to the oriented fibration $\nu : T^*(X/Z) \to T^*(X/Z) \to Z$.

In short, $\text{ind} = p_! : K^0_c(T^*(X/Z)) \to K^0(Z)$.

In particular, we can recover the integer index $\text{ind}(P) = \dim \ker(P) - \dim \coker(P) \in Z$ of a single operator on a compact manifold $X$ from the case $Z = \text{pt}$; from the unique map $X \to \text{pt}$, we get an oriented fibration $T^*X \to \text{pt}$ and a Gysin map $p_! : K^0_c(T^*X) \to K^0(\text{pt}) = Z$.

Let us unpack this a bit. We have the fibration $T^*(X/Z) \to Z$ which factors as $T^*(X/Z) \to X \to Z$. As noted above there is always an embedding of $X$ into a trivial Euclidean bundle $Z \times \mathbb{R}^N \to Z$. This induces an embedding $T^*(X/Z) \hookrightarrow Z \times T^*\mathbb{R}^N = Z \times \mathbb{R}^{2N}$, so we have the following situation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
T^*(X/Z) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^{2N} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^N \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
Z & & \\
\end{array}$$

The point is that, because the embedding $T^*(X/Z) \hookrightarrow Z \times \mathbb{R}^{2N}$ comes from an embedding of $X$, the normal bundle $\nu \to T^*(X/Z)$ carries a canonical complex structure. Indeed, if we denote by $NX \hookrightarrow X$ the normal bundle of $X$ with respect to $X \hookrightarrow Z \times \mathbb{R}^N$, then $\nu$ is isomorphic to two copies of $NX$:

$$\nu \cong NX \oplus NX \cong NX \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

\footnotetext[8]{It is a theorem that if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are vector bundles over $X$, than orientability of any two of $\alpha, \beta, \alpha \oplus \beta$ implies orientability of the third.}

\footnotetext[9]{We'll see below why this fibration is canonically oriented.}
one copy representing the normal to the base \( X \), and the other copy representing the normal to the fiber \( T^*(X/Z) \), \( x \in X \). Thus we conclude that, whether or not the fibration \( X \to Z \) has a K-theory orientation, \( T^*(X/Z) \to Z \) always has a K-theory orientation, so we have a Gysin map

\[ p^* : K^0_0(T^*(X/Z)) \to K^0_0(Z), \]

which coincides with the index map on elements in \( K^0_0(T^*(X/Z)) \) which represent symbols of elliptic differential operators\(^{10} \). Note that there is no degree shift since \( T^*(X/Z) \) has even dimensional fibers over \( Z \) and K-theory is 2-periodic.

In the next sections we shall discuss the conditions necessary for \( X \to Z \) to have a K-theory orientation, and how to realize the Gysin map \( K^0_0(X) \to K^0_0(Z) \) in terms of elliptic differential operators and their indices; this will involve a digression through Clifford algebras, spin groups, spin structures and Dirac operators. Later we will see how to deal with objects in odd K-theory.

5. Clifford algebras

Let \( (V, q) \) be a finite dimensional vector space over \( \mathbb{R} \) with \( q \) a non-degenerate quadratic form. The (real) Clifford algebra \( Cl(V, q) \) is the universal object with respect to maps \( f : V \to A \), where \( A \) is an associative algebra with unit, satisfying \( f(v) \cdot f(v) = -q(v)1 \). It can be constructed as a quotient of the tensor algebra:

\[ Cl(V, q) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V^\otimes n / I, \quad I = \langle v \otimes v + q(v)1 \rangle \]

where \( I \) is the ideal generated by all elements of the form \( v \otimes v + q(v)1 \).

As a vector space (but not as an algebra unless \( q \equiv 0 \)) \( Cl(V, q) \) is isomorphic to the exterior algebra \( \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\dim V} \Lambda^n V \); in particular if \( \{ e_i \} \) is a basis of \( V \), then \( \{ e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_k} : i_1 < \cdots < i_k \} \) form a basis for \( Cl(V, q) \), which under multiplication are subject to the relation

\[ e_i e_j = -e_j e_i - 2q(e_i, e_j) \]

where we denote also by \( q \) the bilinear form associated to \( q \). Computations are easiest when \( \{ e_i \} \) is an orthonormal basis, whence the multiplication simplifies to the rules \( e_i e_j = -e_j e_i, i \neq j \) and \( e_i^2 = -1 \).

In fact \( Cl(V, q) \) is a \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded algebra. Indeed, if we let \( Cl^0(V, q) \) and \( Cl^1(V, q) \) be the images of \( \Lambda^{\text{even}} V \) and \( \Lambda^{\text{odd}} V \), respectively, under the vector space isomorphism with the exterior algebra, it is easy to check that

\[ Cl(V, q) = Cl^0(V, q) \oplus Cl^1(V, q) \quad \text{and} \quad Cl^0(V, q) \cdot Cl^0(V, q) \subset Cl^{(i+j) \mod 2}(V, q). \]

Alternatively, the involution \( \alpha : V \to V : v \mapsto -v \) extends multiplicatively to an involution on all of \( Cl(V, q) \):

\[ \alpha : Cl(V, q) \to Cl(V, q), \quad \alpha^2 = 1, \quad \alpha : V \ni v \mapsto -v \in V \]

and we can define \( Cl^0(V, q) \) and \( Cl^1(V, q) \) as the positive and negative eigenspaces of \( \alpha \), respectively. Note in particular that \( V \subset Cl^1(V, q) \) as a vector subspace.

\(^{10} \)As remarked in previous footnotes, it is desirable to broaden one’s focus to include pseudodifferential operators, for then every element of \( K^0_0(T^*(X/Z)) \) can be represented as the symbol of an elliptic pseudodifferential operator which extends to a Fredholm operator, so the index map extends to all of \( K^0_0(T^*(X/Z)) \) and is equal to the Gysin map.
We can also form the complex Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}\ell(V, q)$ by tensoring up with $\mathbb{C}$:

$$\mathbb{C}\ell(V, q) := \mathbb{C}\ell(V \otimes \mathbb{C}, q_\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}\ell(V, q) \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$ 

Complex Clifford algebras are those of primary importance for this talk, since it concerns (mostly) complex K-theory. There is a parallel relationship between real Clifford algebras and real K-theory.

We will denote the Clifford algebra of Euclidean $n$-space by

$$\mathbb{C}\ell_n := \mathbb{C}\ell(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$$

and call it the (real) Clifford algebra of dimension $n$. Similarly, we will denote the complex Clifford algebra of dimension $n$ by

$$\mathbb{C}\ell_n := \mathbb{C}\ell(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) = \mathbb{C}\ell(\mathbb{C}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle).$$

It is a rather nice fact that complex Clifford algebras are isomorphic to matrix algebras\(^{11}\).

**Proposition.**

$$\mathbb{C}\ell_{2n} \cong M(2^n, \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{C}\ell_{2n+1} \cong M(2^n, \mathbb{C}) \oplus M(2^n, \mathbb{C})$$

where $M(k, \mathbb{C})$ denotes the algebra of $k \times k$ complex matrices.

As a consequence of this, the representations of $\mathbb{C}\ell_n$ are easy to classify: $\mathbb{C}\ell_{2n}$ has a unique irreducible representation of (complex) dimension $2^n$ given by the obvious action of $M(2^n, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2^n}$; and $\mathbb{C}\ell_{2n+1}$ has two distinct irreps of dimension $2^n$ corresponding to action of one or the other of the factors of $M(2^n, \mathbb{C})$.

The last tidbit we shall need is the algebra isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}\ell_{n-1} \cong \mathbb{C}\ell_0^n$$

(note that $\mathbb{C}\ell_0^n$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}\ell_n$). This is obtained by considering the map

$$f : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\ell_0^n \quad \text{given on basis vectors by}$$

$$\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \ni e_i \mapsto f(e_i) = e_i e_n \in \mathbb{C}\ell_0^n.$$ 

This satisfies $f(v) \cdot f(v) = v e_n v e_n = -q(v)1$ and thus generates an algebra map $\mathbb{C}\ell_{n-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\ell_0^n$ by the universal property, which is easily seen to be bijective.

This isomorphism leads to an equivalence between graded $\mathbb{C}\ell_n$ modules and ungraded $\mathbb{C}\ell_{n-1}$ modules. In the one direction, if $M = M^0 \oplus M^1$ is a graded module over $\mathbb{C}\ell_n$, then $M^0$ and $M^1$ are (possibly inequivalent!) modules over $\mathbb{C}\ell_{n-1} \cong \mathbb{C}\ell_0^n$. In the other direction, given a $\mathbb{C}\ell_{n-1}$-module $M$, the we can form $M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\ell_0^n} \mathbb{C}\ell_n$, which is a graded module over $\mathbb{C}\ell_n$.

Putting this fact together with the classification of irreps above, we see that, for even Clifford algebras, there is a unique irreducible $\mathbb{C}\ell_{2n}$ module

$$S_{2n} = S_{2n}^+ \oplus S_{2n}^-$$

which splits as the two inequivalent irreps of $\mathbb{C}\ell_{2n} \cong \mathbb{C}\ell_{2n-1}$. Hence it has two inequivalent gradings, either $S^0 \oplus S^1 = S^+ \oplus S^-$ or $S^0 \oplus S^1 = S^- \oplus S^+$. On the other hand, for odd Clifford algebras, there is a unique graded $\mathbb{C}\ell_{2n+1}$ module

$$S_{2n+1} = S_{2n+1}^+ \oplus S_{2n+1}^-$$

\(^{11}\)In fact real Clifford algebras are also isomorphic to matrix algebras over $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$, or a direct sum of two such, with an 8-periodic pattern related to Bott periodicity in the real setting.
since both $S_{2n+1}^+$ and $S_{2n+1}^-$ must be equivalent to the unique irrep of $C_{2n+1} \cong C_{2n}$.

6. Spin and Spin\(^c\) Groups

Given $\langle V, q \rangle$, the group Spin($V, q$) is the universal cover of the special orthogonal group SO($V, q$). We can find it inside the Clifford algebra of $V$ as follows. Let $\Cl(V, q)^\times$ be the group of units inside $\Cl(V, q)$. This group acts on $\Cl(V, q)$ by a twisted conjugation:

$$\Cl(V, q)^\times \times \Cl(V, q) \ni (x, v) \mapsto x v \alpha(x)^{-1}$$

where $\alpha|_{\Cl(V, q)} = (-1)^i \Id$ is the involution from earlier. The Clifford group $\Gamma \subset \Cl(V, q)^\times$ is the subgroup which fixes the subspace $V \subset \Cl(V, q)$; it also preserves the quadratic form $q$ and hence maps to the orthogonal group O($V, q$) with kernel $\mathbb{R}^\times$:

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^\times \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow \text{O}(V, q) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Up to a scalar factor, there is a natural choice of multiplicative norm $|\cdot| : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^\times$, and the Spin group of $\langle V, q \rangle$, Spin($V, q$), is defined to be the subgroup of norm 1 elements covering the special orthogonal group SO($V, q$):

$$\text{Spin}(V, q) := \{ u \in \Gamma : |u| = 1, u \text{ maps to } \text{SO}(V, q) \} \subset \Gamma.$$

Alternatively, it can be defined as the subgroup of $\Cl(V, q)^\times$ generated by finite products of the form $v_1 \cdots v_{2n}, v_i \in V, q(v_i) = 1$ with an even number of factors. We have the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \{ \pm 1 \} \longrightarrow \text{Spin}(V, q) \longrightarrow \text{SO}(V, q) \longrightarrow 1$$

and Spin($V, q$) is compact if $q$ has positive signature. It also lies in the 0-graded component of $\Cl(V, q)$:

$$\text{Spin}(V, q) \subset \Cl^0(V, q).$$

The spin group of $\langle \mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rangle$ will simply be called the spin group of dimension $n$, and denoted

$$\text{Spin}_n := \text{Spin}(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle).$$

From now on, we focus on the even dimensional case. Since Spin$_{2n} \subset \Cl_{2n}$, we have a complex representation coming from the irreducible $\Cl_{2n} = \Cl_{2n} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ module $S_{2n}$. In fact, since Spin$_{2n} \subset \Cl^0_{2n}$, this splits as two inequivalent, irreducible half spin representations

$$S_{2n} = S_{2n}^+ \oplus S_{2n}^-.$$

We denote these fundamental representations by

$$\rho_{1/2}^\pm : \text{Spin}_{2n} \longrightarrow \text{GL}(S_{2n}^\pm).$$

The representation

$$\rho := \rho_{1/2}^+ \oplus \rho_{1/2}^- : \text{Spin}_{2n} \longrightarrow \text{GL}(S_{2n}) = \text{GL}(S_{2n}^+ \oplus S_{2n}^-)$$

is called the fundamental spin representation and vectors in $S_{2n}$ are called spinors. We’ll see the importance of spinors in defining K-theory orientation classes in section 7.

\textsuperscript{12}The subgroup of norm 1 elements covering O($V, q$) is called Pin($V, q$), a joke which is apparently due to Serre.
As we are primarily interested in complex representations, there is another group inside $C^\ell_n$ to discuss. The Spin$^c$ group associated to $(V,q)$ is the quotient

$$\text{Spin}^c(V,q) = \text{Spin}(V,q) \times_{Z_2} U_1$$

where the $Z_2$ is generated by the element $(-1, -1) \in \text{Spin}(V,q) \times U_1$. We have the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \{\pm 1\} \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(V,q) \rightarrow \text{SO}(V,q) \times U_1 \rightarrow 1$$

As with the spin group, $\text{Spin}^c(V,q)$ sits inside $C^\ell_n$,

$$\text{Spin}^c(V,q) \subset C^\ell_0(V,q) \subset C^\ell_n = C^\ell_n \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

and, for the canonical spin$^c$ groups of even dimension, $\text{Spin}^c_{2n} := \text{Spin}^c(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, we have a fundamental spin$^c$ representation

$$\rho := \rho_{1/2}^{\pm} : \text{Spin}_{2n} \rightarrow \text{GL}(S_{2n}) = \text{GL}(S_{2n}^+ \oplus S_{2n}^-)$$

on the spinors $S_{2n}$, where again, $S_{2n}$ is the unique irreducible $C^\ell_{2n}$ module.

7. Spin$^{(c)}$ structures

Let us now transfer this to a manifold setting. We'll define spin and spin$^c$ structures on a manifold and see that they produce K-theory orientations on the tangent bundle. Given a Riemannian manifold $(X, g)$, we can form the (complex) Clifford bundle

$$C^\ell(X) \rightarrow X, \quad C^\ell(X)_x := C^\ell(T_x X, g_x), \text{ for all } x \in X,$$

which is a bundle of complex Clifford algebras of dimension $n = \dim(X)$. A complex vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ is called a Clifford module if it carries a fiberwise action

$$c^\ell : C^\ell(X) \rightarrow \text{End}(E).$$

Such an action, if it exists, will be called Clifford multiplication.

Of course, over each $x \in X$, any Clifford module decomposes as a direct sum of irreducible modules over $C^\ell(X)_x$, but this is not necessarily true globally. This leads us to the notion of spin and spin$^c$ structures on $X$.

Let $P_{SO}(X) \rightarrow X$ be the frame bundle of $X$, i.e. the principal $SO_n$ bundle to which $TX$ is associated:

$$TX = P_{SO}(X) \times_{SO_n} \mathbb{R}^n.$$  

$X$ is called a spin manifold if there exists a principal Spin$_n$ bundle $P_{\text{Spin}}(X)$ and a bundle map

$$\begin{align*}
\text{Spin}_n & \rightarrow SO_n \\
\downarrow & \downarrow \\
P_{\text{Spin}}(X) & \rightarrow P_{SO}(X) \\
\downarrow & \downarrow \\
X & \rightarrow X
\end{align*}$$

\[\text{Of course we also have the real Clifford bundle } C^\ell(X) \rightarrow X, \text{ but we shall not need it for our applications. More generally, we can define Clifford bundles } C^\ell(V) \rightarrow X \text{ and } C^\ell(V) \rightarrow X \text{ whenever } V \rightarrow X \text{ is a vector bundle with inner product.}\]
which is a 2-sheeted cover of $P_{SO}(X)$. $P_{Spin}(X)$ is called a \textit{spin structure on $X$}.\textsuperscript{14}

The obstruction to obtaining such a cover of $P_{SO}(X)$ is the second Stiefel-Whitney class\textsuperscript{15} of $X$:

\[ X \text{ is spin iff } w_2(X) \equiv 0, \]

and if $X$ is spin, the possible spin structures of $X$ are parametrized by $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

A \textit{spin\textsuperscript{c} structure on $X$} consists of a complex line bundle $L \rightarrow X$ and a lift

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{Spin}^{c}_n \\
\downarrow
\end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c}
SO_n \times U_1 \\
\downarrow
\end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c}
P_{Spin}^{c}(X,L) \\
\downarrow
\end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c}
P_{SO}(X) \times P_{U_1}(L) \\
\downarrow
\end{array} \rightarrow X \]

which is a 2-sheeted covering of $P_{SO}(X) \times P_{U_1}(L)$, where $P_{U_1}(L)$ is the structure bundle of $L$. $X$ is called a \textit{spin\textsuperscript{c} manifold} if such a lift exists. The obstruction to obtaining $P_{Spin}^{c}(X,L)$ is the class $w_2(X) + c_1(L)(\text{mod } 2)$; thus

\[ X \text{ is spin\textsuperscript{c} iff } w_2(X) = \alpha(\text{mod } 2) \text{ for some } \alpha \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \]

Being spin\textsuperscript{c} is a weaker condition than being spin:

\textbf{Proposition.} If $X$ is spin, then it has a canonical spin\textsuperscript{c} structure associated to the trivial line bundle, so

\[ X \text{ spin } \implies X \text{ spin\textsuperscript{c}}. \]

Additionally, any (almost) complex manifold has a canonical spin\textsuperscript{c} structure.

\textbf{Proposition.} If $X$ is an almost complex manifold, then $w_2(X) = c_1(X)(\text{mod } 2)$, and $X$ has a canonical spin\textsuperscript{c} structure associated to the determinant line bundle $\bigwedge^n TX$ (which satisfies $c_1\left(\bigwedge^n TX\right) = c_1(X)$).

Note that if $X$ is both spin and almost complex, the spin\textsuperscript{c} structure coming from the spin structure is generally \textit{not} the same as the one coming from the almost complex structure.

The importance of spin\textsuperscript{c} structures is the following proposition, which says that, given a spin\textsuperscript{c} structure, Clifford modules are globally reducible, and in bijection with the set of $\mathbb{C}ell_n$ modules.

\textbf{Proposition.} If $X$ is spin\textsuperscript{c}, then every Clifford module $E \rightarrow X$ has the form

\[ E = P_{Spin}^{c}(X,L) \times \sigma F, \]

where $\sigma : \text{Spin}^{c}_n \rightarrow \text{GL}(F)$ is a representation of Spin\textsuperscript{c} which extends to a representation of $\mathbb{C}ell_n$ (here $n = \dim(X)$).

\textsuperscript{14}Similarly, a general vector bundle with inner product $V \rightarrow X$ admits a spin structure whenever $P_{SO}(V)$ admits a 2-sheeted cover $P_{Spin}(V)$.

\textsuperscript{15}This is straightforward to see by trying to patch $P_{Spin}(X)$ together over a trivializing cover. In order to do so, we must have a Cech “cohomology class” (I’m using quotes since the coefficients are in a nonabelian group; nevertheless $H^1(X; SO_n)$ is a based set) in $H^1(X; SO_n)$ which is the image of a class in $H^1(X; \text{Spin}_n)$. Using the long exact sequence associated to

\[ 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \text{Spin}_n \rightarrow SO_n \rightarrow 1, \]

the image of this class in $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is exactly $w_2(X) \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$.\]
Turning the construction around, we obtain Clifford modules over a spin\textsuperscript{c} manifold \(X\) for every representation of \(\mathbb{C}\ell_n\); in particular for \(\dim(X) = 2n\), we have the complex spinor bundle
\[
\Sigma(X) = \Sigma^+(X) \oplus \Sigma^-(X) = P_{\text{Spin}^c}(X, L) \times_{\rho_1/\rho_2} S^+ \oplus S^- 
\]
with the (graded) action
\[
c\ell : \mathbb{C}\ell(X) \to \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Sigma^+(X) \oplus \Sigma^-(X)).
\]

Finally, we can get to the main point about spin\textsuperscript{c} structures, which is that they allow us to construct K-theory orientation classes\textsuperscript{16} for \(T^*X \to X\).

**Proposition.** If an even dimensional manifold \(X\) has a spin\textsuperscript{c} structure and \(\Sigma(X) = \Sigma^+(X) \oplus \Sigma^-(X)\) is the bundle of spinors, then
\[
\mu = [\pi^*\Sigma^+(X), \pi^*\Sigma^-(X), c\ell] \in K_c^0(T^*X)
\]
is an orientation/Thom class for complex K-theory, so \(K_c^*(T^*X)\) is freely generated by \(\mu\) as a module over \(K^*(X)\), and
\[
K_c^*(T^*X) \cong K^*(X).
\]

Note that \(T^*X \subset \mathbb{C}\ell^1(X)\), so \(c\ell(\xi) : \Sigma^\pm(X)_x \to \Sigma^\mp(X)_{x,\xi}\) for \((x, \xi) \in T^*X\); moreover, this multiplication is invertible with inverse \(|\xi|^{-2} c\ell(\xi)\) provided \(\xi \neq 0\).

As a side remark, let me point out that an analogous theorem is true for spin\textsuperscript{c} structures and real K-theory: If \(X\) is spin and \(8n\) dimensional, then \([\pi^*\Sigma^+(X), \pi^*\Sigma^-(X), c\ell] \in KO^0(T^*X)\) is an orientation class, and \(KO^*(X) \cong KO^*_c(T^*X)\).

Note that if \(X\) is almost complex, with the corresponding spin\textsuperscript{c} structure, then we can identify \(\Sigma(X)\) with \(\bigwedge^c T^*X\); in this case,
\[
\Sigma^\pm(X) \cong \bigwedge^\text{even/odd} T^*X
\]
and \(c\ell(\xi) = \xi \wedge -\xi^* \cdot \cdot \) under this identification. Thus we recover the Thom element
\[
\mu = [\pi^*\bigwedge^\text{even} V, \pi^*\bigwedge^\text{odd} V, c\ell] \in K_c^0(V)
\]
for complex bundles, and we see that the Thom isomorphism for such bundles can be thought of as a special case of the isomorphism for spin\textsuperscript{c} bundles.

Finally, let us briefly discuss what this looks like in the setting of a fibration \(X \to Z\). In this case the relevant Clifford bundle is
\[
\mathbb{C}\ell(X/Z) = \mathbb{C}\ell(T(X/Z), g) \to X,
\]
and the fibration is oriented as long as \(T(X/Z) \to X\) admits a spin\textsuperscript{c} structure. Indeed, if it does, we have the orientation class
\[
\mu = [\pi^*\Sigma^+(X/Z), \pi^*\Sigma^-(X/Z), c\ell] \in K_c^0(T^*(X/Z))
\]
constructed from the bundles of spinors \(\Sigma^\pm(X/Z) \to X\).

\textsuperscript{16}In fact the proposition is valid for general vector bundles \(V \to X\) with spin\textsuperscript{c} structure; the analogous element \(\mu = [\pi^*\Sigma^+(V), \pi^*\Sigma^-(V), c\ell] \in K_c^0(V)\) is a Thom class.
8. Dirac operators

In this section we’ll see that the orientation classes discussed above are in fact the symbols of particularly nice (families of) elliptic differential operators. Let \( E \rightarrow X \) be any Clifford module over \( X \). Suppose \( E \) is endowed with a connection \( \nabla : C^\infty(X; E) \rightarrow C^\infty(X; T^*X \otimes E) \) such that

\[
\nabla(\ell f(\xi)s) = \ell(\nabla^{LC}(\xi)s + \ell(\xi)\nabla s
\]

where \( \nabla^{LC} \) is the Levi-Civita connection, which extends canonically to \( \mathcal{C}\ell(X) \rightarrow X \). Such a connection (which always exists) is called a **Clifford connection** on \( E \).

Given such data, we can construct a canonical first order, elliptic, differential operator \( D \in \text{Diff}^1(E; E) \) called a **Dirac operator**; at a point \( x \in X \), \( D \) is defined by

\[
D_x = \sum \ell(e_i)\nabla e_i, \quad \text{for an orthonormal basis } \{e_i\} \text{ of } T_xX.
\]

**Proposition.** Such a Dirac operator is an elliptic, essentially self adjoint (on \( L^2(X; E) \)) operator with principal symbol

\[
\sigma(D)(\xi) = i\ell(\xi).
\]

If \( E = E^+ \oplus E^- \) is a graded \( \mathcal{C}\ell(X) \) module, then \( D \) has the form

\[
D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D^- \\ D^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

with \( D^+ \) and \( D^- \) mutual adjoints.

Note that \( \sigma(D^2) = \sigma(D)^2 = |\xi|^2 \text{Id} \), so \( D^2 \) is a Laplacian operator on \( E \).

If \( X \) is a spin\(^c\) manifold, we can form a canonical **spin\(^c\) Dirac operator**

\[
\partial = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial^- \\ \partial^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Diff}^1(X; S^+(X) \oplus S^-(X))
\]

acting on the spinors \( \mathbb{S}(X) \), and it follows that

\[
[\pi^*\mathbb{S}^+(X), \pi^*\mathbb{S}^-(X), \sigma(\partial^\pm)] \in K_0^0(T^*X)
\]

is an orientation class for K-theory. This realizes the Thom isomorphism as follows. We can always twist \( \partial \) by a vector bundle \( E \rightarrow X \) by trivially extending the Clifford action to the bundle \( \mathbb{S}(X) \otimes E \) and taking a product connection to get \( \partial_E \in \text{Diff}^1(X; \mathbb{S}(X) \otimes E) \). Then for an element

\[
[E] - [F] \in K_0(X),
\]

the image under the Thom isomorphism \( K_0^0(X) \rightarrow K_0^0(T^*X) \) is the element\(^{17}\)

\[
[\pi^*\mathbb{S}^+(X) \otimes E, \pi^*\mathbb{S}^-(X) \otimes E, \sigma(\partial_E)] - [\pi^*\mathbb{S}^+(X) \otimes F, \pi^*\mathbb{S}^-(X) \otimes F, \sigma(\partial_F)] \in K_0^0(T^*X).
\]

For a complex manifold \( X \), you have probably already met the canonical spin\(^c\) Dirac operator. Indeed, using the identifications \( \mathbb{S}(X) \cong \bigwedge^c_{\mathbb{C}} T^*X \cong \bigwedge^0,\text{even} T^*X, \bigwedge^0,\text{odd} T^*X \), one can easily see that

\[
\partial^+ = \partial + \partial^* \in \text{Diff}^1(X; \bigwedge^0,\text{even} T^*X, \bigwedge^0,\text{odd} T^*X)
\]

\(^{17}\)The better way to write this is to use \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) gradings everywhere. Let \( E = E \oplus F \) considered as a graded vector bundle and form \( \mathbb{S}(X) \otimes E \), where \( \otimes \) denotes the graded tensor product. Then \( \partial \) extends to a graded, twisted operator \( \partial_E \), and the orientation class is given by \( [\pi^*(\mathbb{S}(X) \otimes E)^+, \pi^*(\mathbb{S}(X) \otimes E)^-, \sigma(\partial_E)] \in K_0^0(T^*X) \). We’ll talk more about gradings in section 9.
is just the **Dolbeault operator** acting from even to odd harmonic forms.

Finally, in the case of an oriented fibration $X \to Z$, we construct in precisely the same way the canonical family of spin$^c$ Dirac operators

$$\mathcal{D} \in \text{Diff}^+(X/Z; \mathbb{S}^+(X/Z) \oplus \mathbb{S}^-(X/Z))$$

and of course

$$[\pi^*\mathbb{S}^+(X/Z), \pi^*\mathbb{S}^-(X/Z), \sigma(\mathcal{D})] \in K^0_c(T^+(X/Z))$$

is the Thom class.

This gives the analytical realization of the Gysin map $K^0(X) \to K^0(Z)$; namely, it coincides with the analytical index of the family of spin$^c$ Dirac operators, twisted by the given element in $K^0(X)$:

$$K^0(X) \ni [E] - [F] \mapsto \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}_E - \mathcal{D}_F) \in K^0(Z).$$

### 9. Higher Index

We will develop two pictures of the higher $K$-groups of a manifold $X$, in analogy to the two we’ve developed for $K^0(X)$, namely, the Grothendieck group of vector bundles, and the classifying space consisting of Fredholm operators. Really this whole story is a bit more interesting in the case of real $K$-theory, and much of what we describe below will be valid if one replaces $K^*(X)$ by $KO^*(X)$ and $\mathcal{C}l_*$ by $\mathcal{C}l_*$ (with the obvious exception of 2 periodicity of $\mathcal{C}l$ modules, which would be replaced by an analogous 8-fold periodicity of $\mathcal{C}l$ modules).

Fix $k$ for a moment, and consider the semigroup of $\mathcal{C}l_k$ modules. Of course this can be completed to a group by the usual Grothendieck construction, and we denote the **Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{C}l_k$ modules** by $\mathcal{M}_k$. Now, the inclusion $i : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ induces an injective algebra homomorphism $i : \mathcal{C}l_k \to \mathcal{C}l_{k+1}$, which gives a restriction operation

$$i^* : \mathcal{M}_{k+1} \to \mathcal{M}_k$$

on Clifford modules. It turns out that the interesting object to consider is $\mathcal{M}_k/i^*\mathcal{M}_{k+1}$.

Actually, it is more convenient at this point to work in terms of graded modules. Thus, let $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_k$ denote the **Grothendieck group of graded $\mathcal{C}l_k$ modules**. Again we have a restriction

$$i^* : \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{k+1} \to \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_k,$$

and from the equivalence between graded $\mathcal{C}l_k$ modules and ungraded $\mathcal{C}l_{k-1}$ modules, we have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_k/i^*\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{k+1} \cong \mathcal{M}_{k-1}/i^*\mathcal{M}_k.$$ 

Furthermore, it is easy to check using the representation theory of complex Clifford algebras, that we have the following periodicity (related of course to Bott periodicity)

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_k/i^*\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{k+1} \cong \mathcal{M}_{k-1}/i^*\mathcal{M}_k = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Let $W = W^0 \oplus W^1 \in \mathcal{M}_k$, and form the trivial bundles $E^i = D^k \times W^i$ over the unit disk $D^k \subset \mathbb{R}^k$. We can form the element

$$\{ E^0, E^1, \text{cl}(\cdot) \} \in K^0(D^k, S^k)$$

where $\text{cl}(\cdot) : S^k \subset \mathbb{R}^k \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathcal{C}l_k \to \text{Iso}(W^0, W^1)$. This bundle isomorphism over $S^k$ can be shown to extend over $D^k$ if and only if $W$ actually comes from a
graded elements of \( K \) two are contractible, and we take Fred (meaning positive off of a finite dimensional subspace), essentially negative, or neither. The first open components Fred modules instead of vector bundles.

It is an instructive exercise to recover the vector bundle representation of a result uses periodicity of K-theory in the proof.

obvious periodicity of Clifford modules, it is not actually so. Indeed, the ABS [ABS64] contains an analogous result for real K-theory and \( C_\ell \) modules, modulo those which admit a graded \( C_\ell \) module. A stabilization procedure would be needed to recover the vector bundle representation of \( K^0(X) \) from this definition. Indeed, since \( C_\ell_0 = \mathbb{C} \) with the trivial grading and \( C_\ell_1 = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \), we see that graded \( C_\ell_0 \) modules are just vector bundles of the form \( E \oplus F \), which extend to \( C_\ell_1 \) modules only if \( E \cong F \) (since the action of the generator of the 1-graded part of \( C_\ell_1 \) must be an isomorphism: \( 0 \oplus 1 : E \oplus F \xrightarrow{\cong} F \oplus E \)). Thus we have the equation \( E \oplus E = 0 \in K^0(X) \) or equivalently \( -E \oplus 0 = 0 \oplus E \), and we see that we can identify \([E] - [F]\) in the old representation with \( E \oplus F \) in this new representation.

Next we can generalize the Fredholm operator representation of \( K^0(X) \), following Atiyah and Singer’s paper [AS69]. Let \( H = H^0 \oplus H^1 \) be a graded, separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space, and assume \( H \) is a module over \( C_\ell_k \) (for a given \( k \), but then the action can be extended for all \( k \)). Let

\[
\text{Fred}_k(H) = \{ P \in \text{Hom}(H^k; H^{k+1}) \mid P \text{ Fredholm and commutes with } C_\ell_k \}
\]

be the space of graded (i.e. acting as 1-graded elements) Fredholm operators\(^{19}\) commuting (in the graded sense) with \( C_\ell_k \). We will call these the \( C_\ell_k \)-linear Fredholm operators. These form a classifying space for \( K^{-k}(\ast) \):

**Theorem** (Atiyah-Singer). There is an explicit homotopy equivalence

\[
\text{Fred}_k(H) \simeq \Omega \text{Fred}_{k-1}(H)
\]

for all \( k \), and therefore

\[
[X, \text{Fred}_k(H)] = K^{-k}(X)
\]

Again, for \( P \in [X, \text{Fred}_k(H)] \) one can morally take \([\ker P] \in K^{-k}(X)\), since at each point \( \ker P \) is a graded \( C_\ell_k \) module. A stabilization procedure would be required to make this precise, and I have to admit I’ve never seen it written down, though I’m sure it’s possible.

\(^{18}\)I’m not sure of a good reference for this explicit representation of higher K-theory. It is implicit in Karoubi’s formulation, but he takes the algebraic approach, with projective \( C^0(X) \) modules instead of vector bundles.

\(^{19}\)Actually this is a bit of an oversimplification. When \( k \) is odd, \( \text{Fred}_k(H) \) can be separated into open components \( \text{Fred}^+_k \), \( \text{Fred}^-_k \) and \( \text{Fred}^*_k \) consisting of operators which are essentially positive (meaning positive off of a finite dimensional subspace), essentially negative, or neither. The first two are contractible, and we take \( \text{Fred}^+_k(H) \) in this case.
Finally, one can make the Atiyah-Singer index construction go through in this case (again, I’ve not seen this written explicitly, but reliable sources assure me it’s true!). Namely, given a fibration \(X \to Z\), if one has a \(\mathbb{C}\ell_k\) linear family of elliptic differential operators 
\[
P \in \text{Diff}^1(X; E^0 \oplus E^1),
\]
meaning that \(P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_1 \\ P_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\) is graded and commutes in the graded sense with an action \(\mathbb{C}\ell_k \to \text{End}_{gr}(E^0 \oplus E^1)\), then 
\[
\text{ind}(P) = [\ker P_0 \oplus \ker P_1] \in K^k(Z)
\]
and that this index coincides with the Gysin map 
\[
\text{ind} = p_* : K^k_*(T^*X/Z) \to K^k(Z).
\]

Of course, since \(K^1(\text{pt}) = 0\), operators on a manifold \(X\) never have any interesting odd index (however a family of operators might, provided \(K^1(Z) \neq 0\)). For real K-theory, however, this can be an interesting and useful concept. For instance, the Kervaire semicharacteristic on a \((4k + 1)\) manifold \(X\) can be computed (see [LM89]) as the odd index in \(KO^1(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\) of a \(\mathbb{C}\ell_1\) linear elliptic differential operator on \(X\).

For references, see [ABS64, AS68, AS69, AS71, Ati67, LM89].
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