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Abstract

VB-groupoids define a special class of Lie groupoids which carry a compatible linear structure. In this paper, we show that their differentiable cohomology admits a refinement by considering the complex of cochains which are \( k \)-homogeneous on the linear fiber. Our main result is a Van Est theorem for such cochains. We also work out two applications to the general theory of representations of Lie groupoids and algebroids. The case \( k = 1 \) yields a Van Est map for representations up to homotopy on 2-term graded vector bundles. Arbitrary \( k \)-homogeneous cochains on suitable VB-groupoids lead to a novel Van Est theorem for differential forms on Lie groupoids with values in a representation.
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1 Introduction

The Van Est theorem [14, 15] is a classical result relating the differentiable cohomology associated to a Lie group with the underlying Lie algebra cohomology. More precisely, given a Lie group $G$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, the Van Est map is a chain map $VE : C^p(G) \to CE^p(\mathfrak{g}) = \Lambda^p \mathfrak{g}^*$ taking (normalized) differentiable $p$-cochains on $G$ to Lie algebra $p$-cochains. It is defined by

$$VE(f)(u_1, ..., u_p) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} sgn(\sigma) R_{u_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots R_{u_{\sigma(p)}} f,$$

(1.1)

where $R_u : C^p(G) \to C^{p-1}(G)$ is the operator which differentiates $f(\cdot, g_2, ..., g_p)$ at the unit $e$ with respect to the right-invariant vector field corresponding to $u$. The map $VE$ can be seen as a model for the pull-back of functions along the projection of the universal $G$-bundle $EG \to BG$. The Van Est theorem then states that, if $G$ is (topologically) $p_0$-connected, the map induced by $VE$ in cohomology is an isomorphism for $p \leq p_0$ and injective for $p = p_0 + 1$. In the setting of Lie groupoids, the Van Est Theorem was first studied by A. Weinstein and P. Xu [25] for $p_0 = 1$ and later generalized for arbitrary degrees by M. Crainic [9] (see also the more recent work of D. Li-Bland and E. Meinrenken, [19]).

In this paper, we provide a refinement of this theorem for a particular class of Lie groupoids endowed with a compatible linear structure, called VB-groupoids [24] (see also [7, 16, 17] and references therein). In this case, the linear structure allows us to refine the Van Est theorem by looking at homogeneous cochains and we are able to derive several interesting applications from this general result.

To illustrate our approach, we shall examine here a simple situation involving a Lie group $G$ and a linear representation $\rho : G \to Aut(V)$ on a (finite dimensional) real vector space $V$. The associated complex of differentiable cochains for $G$ with values in $V$ is $C^p(G,V) = \{f : G^p \to V : f(g_1, ..., g_p) = 0 \text{ if } g_i = e\}$ with a differential $\delta : C^p(G,V) \to C^{p+1}(G,V)$ which encodes $\rho_G$ (see Example 2.5 below for an explicit formula). Infinitesimally, associated to the induced Lie algebra representation $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to End(V)$, we have the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of Lie algebra cochains with values in $V$, namely $CE^p(\mathfrak{g}, V) = \Lambda^p \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes V$.

In this setting, there exists a natural analogue of the Van Est map

$$\Psi_p : C^p(G,V) \to CE^p(\mathfrak{g}, V).$$

(1.2)

How to prove a Van Est theorem for $\Psi_p$? There are two approaches: the first one is to try re-prove the statement from scratch mimicking the proof of the standard case. The second one is to deduce the desired result from the known Van Est theorem for Lie groupoids by relating the map (1.2) to the Van Est map $VE$ for the action groupoid $V = V^* \rtimes G$. It is the second approach we pursue in this paper.

To relate $VE$ and $\Psi_p$, notice that both $V$ and its space of $p$-composable arrows $B_p V$ define vector bundles $V \to G$ and $B_p V \to G^p$, respectively. (Actually, $B_p V$ is isomorphic to $V^* \rtimes G^p$.) One can then show that the differentiable cochains $f \in C^\infty(B_p V)$ which are fiberwise $k$-homogeneous define a subcomplex

$$C^p_{k-hom}(V) \subset C^p(V).$$

Analogously, the Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{v} = V^* \rtimes \mathfrak{g}$ of $V$ also defines a trivial vector bundle $\mathfrak{v} \to \mathfrak{g}$ and the fiberwise $k$-homogeneous cochains define a subcomplex

$$CE^p_{k-hom}(\mathfrak{v}) \subset \Lambda^p \mathfrak{v}^*.$$
The key point is that VE preserves $k$-homogeneous cochains, thus restricting to a map

$$\text{VE}_{k\text{-hom}} : C^p_{k\text{-hom}}(V) \to CE^p_{k\text{-hom}}(v)$$

which, from a simple homological algebra argument (see Section 2.4 below), is an isomorphism (resp. injective) in cohomology whenever VE is. Finally, to obtain the Van Est theorem for $V$-valued cochains one has to verify that

$$H^p(C^\bullet_{1\text{-hom}}(V)) \simeq H^p(C^\bullet(G,V)), \quad H^p(CE^\bullet_{1\text{-hom}}(v)) \simeq H^p(\Lambda^\bullet g^* \otimes V), \quad \text{VE}_{1\text{-hom}} \simeq \Psi_{\rho}.$$ 

In this paper, we follow the same reasoning but with $V$ replaced by an arbitrary $VB$-groupoid. The main arguments follow directly as above but non-trivial computational effort needs to go into the last ingredient of the argument, namely, into relating the complexes of homogeneous (groupoid and algebroid) cochains to certain complexes already introduced in the literature from different perspectives. In particular, we shall obtain explicit formulae for the underlying Van Est maps.

We work out two applications: in the first, we deduce a Van Est theorem for representations up to homotopy in 2-term graded vector bundles $\mathbb{V}$ by looking at 1-homogeneous cochains and generalizing the case of $\rho$ above, recovering results from [11]. In the case of the adjoint representation, our approach can be seen as the realization of the original idea proposed in [11] for showing a rigidity result for certain proper groupoids. (This last result was also proven in [11] using different methods.) The second application provides a new Van Est theorem for differential forms on Lie groupoids with coefficients in a representation, generalizing the work of [1] on the Bott-Shulman complex and [12] on Spencer operators. It is interesting to notice that, in this second application, another idea is incorporated (which has its roots in supergeometry and was used in a Lie-theoretic context by Mehta [23]): forms in $\Lambda^k V^*$ are $k$-homogeneous functions on $V^k$. For this application, we shall need the refinement of the Van Est theorem in its full extent (i.e. for $k$-homogeneous cochains, where $k$ is arbitrary). Even in the particular case of differential forms with trivial coefficients, our proof of the corresponding Van Est theorem is new and can be seen as illustration of the usefulness of homogeneous cochains.

Outline of the paper.

- In Section 2 we set up some notation, introduce homogeneous cochains on VB-groupoids and algebroids and provide our main result: the corresponding refinement of the Van Est theorem.

- In Section 3 we specialize to 1-homogeneous cochains and deduce a Van Est result for representations up to homotopy. Along the way, we mention how this argument can be used to provide an alternative proof of the rigidity conjecture as originally proposed in [11].

- In Section 4 by means of $k$-homogeneous cochains in suitable VB-groupoids and algebroids, we prove a Van Est theorem for differential forms with coefficients in a representation.

To keep the main text as simple as possible, we decided to postpone to the Appendix some of the more technical or computational parts of the arguments in Section 4. Most of the explicit formulas contained in the Appendix follow from extensions of known lift properties of vector fields to Lie groupoids (see [21, 22]). We would like to mention that part of this paper grew out of the project of understanding the Lie theory of multiplicative tensors on Lie groupoids [8].

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank H. Bursztyn and O. Brahic for useful discussions. A. C. would also like to thank R. Mehta for his insightful ideas in the early stages of this work.

2 Homogeneous cochains and the Van Est map for VB-groupoids

In this section, we present a refinement of groupoid and algebroid cohomology theory for VB-groupoids and VB-algebroids by considering $k$-homogeneous cochains. We also show that an analogue of the Van Est theorem holds for such homogeneous cochains.
2.1 Homogeneous functions on vector bundles

Given any vector bundle \( \pi : V \to B \), fiberwise multiplication by non-negative scalars \( h : \mathbb{R}_+ \times V \to V \) defines an action of the multiplicative monoid \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) which we shall call the homogeneous structure of \( V \to B \). Following \[13\], we recall that the homogeneous structure completely characterizes the underlying vector bundle structure and that, in particular, a smooth map between the total spaces defines a vector bundle morphism if and only if it commutes with the underlying \( \mathbb{R}_+ \)-actions. (See \[7\] for applications of these ideas in a Lie theoretic context.)

For each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), we consider

\[
C_{k}^{\infty}(V) := \{ f \in C^{\infty}(V) : h^*_\lambda f = \lambda^k f, \ \forall \lambda \geq 0 \},
\]

the set of fiberwise \( k \)-homogeneous functions. Note that

\[
C_{0}^{\infty}(V) = \{ f \in C^{\infty}(V) : \exists f_0 \in C^{\infty}(B), f = f_0 \circ \pi \} \cong C^{\infty}(B).
\]

Multiplication of functions gives a map \( C_{k}^{\infty}(V) \times C_{k}^{\infty}(V) \to C_{k+k}^{\infty}(V) \) and, in particular, each \( C_{k}^{\infty}(V) \) is a \( C^{\infty}(B) \)-module. In fact, \( C_{k}^{\infty}(V) \cong \Gamma(B, S^k V^*) \), for the symmetric algebra bundle \( S^k V^* \to B \). The isomorphism \( \Gamma(B, V^*) \cong C_{1}^{\infty}(V) \) takes a section \( \mu \in \Gamma(B, V^*) \) to the fiberwise-linear function \( \ell_\mu \in C_{1}^{\infty}(V) \) given by

\[
\ell_\mu(v) = \langle \mu(b), v \rangle, \ \forall v \in V_b, \ b \in B.
\]

The \( k \)-th derivative along the fiber defines a projection \( P_{k}^{\infty} : C^{\infty}(V) \to C_{k}^{\infty}(V) \),

\[
P_{k}^{\infty}(f) = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \lambda^k}(h^*_\lambda f)_{|\lambda=0}.
\]

If \( (x, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \) are trivializing coordinates on \( V \), then

\[
P_{k}^{\infty}(f)(x, \xi) = \sum_{k_1 + \cdots + k_n = k} \frac{1}{k_1! \cdots k_n!} \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial \xi_1^{k_1} \cdots \partial \xi_n^{k_n}}(x, 0) \xi_1^{k_1} \cdots \xi_n^{k_n}.
\]

2.2 Homogeneous groupoid cochains

Let \( \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M \) be a Lie groupoid with source and target maps, \( s, t : \mathcal{G} \to M \), unit \( 1 : M \to \mathcal{G} \), inversion \( \iota : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G} \) and multiplication \( m : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G} \). We denote by \( B_p \mathcal{G} \) the manifold of composable \( p \)-tuples \((B_0 \mathcal{G} = M)\). The nerve of \( \mathcal{G} \) is the simplicial manifold whose space of \( p \)-simplices is \( B_p \mathcal{G} \) with the simplicial structure given by the face maps: \( \partial_i : B_p \mathcal{G} \to B_{p-1} \mathcal{G} \), \( i = 0, \ldots, p \), defined by

\[
\partial_i(g_1, \ldots, g_p) = \begin{cases} (g_2, \ldots, g_p), & \text{if } i = 0, \\ (g_1, \ldots, g_{i-1}, g_i g_{i+1}, g_{i+2}, \ldots, g_p), & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq p-1, \\ (g_1, \ldots, g_{p-1}), & \text{if } i = p, \end{cases}
\]

and the degeneracy maps: \( s_i : B_{p-1} \mathcal{G} \to B_p \mathcal{G} \), \( i = 0, \ldots, p-1 \), defined by

\[
s_i(g_1, \ldots, g_{p-1}) = (g_1, \ldots, g_i, 1_{t(g_{i+1})}, g_{i+1}, \ldots, g_{p-1}).
\]

For \( p = 1 \), \( \partial_0 = t \), \( \partial_1 = s \) and \( s_0 = 1 \).

The nerve defines a functor \( B_* \) from the category of Lie groupoids to the category of simplicial manifolds. For a groupoid morphism \( \phi : \mathcal{G}_1 \to \mathcal{G}_2 \), the morphism \( B\phi : B\mathcal{G}_1 \to B\mathcal{G}_2 \) is defined by \( B\phi(g_1, \ldots, g_p) = (\phi(g_1), \ldots, \phi(g_p)) \).

The space of \( (normalized) \ p\cochains \ C^{p}(\mathcal{G}) \) on \( \mathcal{G} \) consists of smooth functions \( f : B_p \mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{R} \) such that \( s^*_i f = 0 \), for \( i = 0, \ldots, p-1 \). These define a cochain complex with differential \( \delta : C^{p-1}(\mathcal{G}) \to C^p(\mathcal{G}) \) defined by

\[
\delta = \sum_{i=0}^{p} (-1)^i \partial^*_i.
\]
The differentiable cohomology of $G$ is the cohomology of the complex $(C^\bullet(G), \delta)$ and we shall denote it by $H^\bullet(G)$. For $f_1 \in C^p(G)$, $f_2 \in C^p'(G)$, the cup product $f_1 \ast f_2 \in C^{p+p'}(G)$ is defined by

$$(f_1 \ast f_2)(g_1, \ldots, g_{p+p'}) = f_1(g_1, \ldots, g_p)f_2(g_{p+1}, \ldots, g_{p+p'})$$ \hfill (2.3)

It defines an algebra structure on $C^\bullet(G)$ which passes to cohomology due to the Leibniz formula

$$\delta(f_1 \ast f_2) = \delta(f_1) \ast f_2 + (-1)^p f_1 \ast \delta(f_2).$$

In the following, we shall investigate how the differentiable cohomology of a $VB$-groupoid interacts with its underlying homogeneous structure.

**Definition 2.1.** A $VB$-groupoid is given by a commutative square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
V & \xrightarrow{h^G} & G \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
E & \xrightarrow{h_\lambda} & M,
\end{array}
$$

where the left and right sides are Lie groupoids and the top and bottom sides are vector bundles satisfying the following compatibility condition:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
V & \xrightarrow{h_\lambda^V} & V \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
E & \xrightarrow{h_\lambda} & E,
\end{array}
$$

defines a Lie groupoid morphism for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where $h_\lambda^V : V \to V$, $h_\lambda : E \to E$ are the homogeneous structures corresponding to $V \to G$, $E \to M$, respectively. We shall denote the structure maps of $V \rightrightarrows E$ by $s_V, t_V, 1_V, \iota_V, m_V$.

Instead of looking at the homogeneous structure, $VB$-groupoids can be alternatively defined by focusing on the fiberwise defined sum (see [17]). Our choice of definition comes from [7] where the two definitions are shown to be equivalent (see Theorem 3.2.3 therein).

$VB$-groupoids have found several applications in the latter years ([6, 7, 8, 17, 21, 22] just to mention a few). Natural examples of $VB$-groupoids are given by the tangent $TG \rightrightarrows TM$ and cotangent $T^*G \rightrightarrows \text{Lie}(G)^*$ groupoids, which provide intrinsic versions of the adjoint and coadjoint representations (up to homotopy, see Section 3 below) of a Lie groupoid $G$. Ordinary representations also provide examples of $VB$-groupoids, as we shall see in detail in Example 2.5 below.

From now on, we shall focus on introducing homogeneous cochains on a $VB$-groupoid and to study their properties with respect to the Van Est map, while having in mind the applications to be developed in Sections 3 and 4. The first result states that $B_\lambda$ restricts to a functor from $VB$-groupoids to simplicial vector bundles.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $V \rightrightarrows E$ be a $VB$-groupoid over $G \rightrightarrows M$. The space of $p$-composable arrows $B_p V$ is a vector bundle over $B_p G$. Moreover, the face and degeneracy maps are all vector bundle maps.

**Proof:** Consider $V^p = V \times \cdots \times V$ as a vector bundle over $G^p$. We shall present $B_p V$ as a subbundle of $V^p$ restricted to $B_p G \subseteq G^p$. It follows from the commutativity of (2.4) that $B_p V$ projects onto $B_p G$. As $B_p V$ is smooth submanifold of $V^p$, it remains to check that it is invariant by the homogeneous structure of the vector bundle $V^p \to G^p$ (see [18]). This is a straightforward consequence of the fact that (2.5) is a groupoid morphism. The statement regarding the face and degeneracy maps follows now from the fact that the multiplication $m_V : B_2 V \to V$ is a vector bundle map (see also [7]). 

Note that the homogeneous structure $h_\lambda^{B_p G} : B_p V \to B_p V$ of the vector bundle $B_p V \to B_p G$ satisfies

$$B_p h_\lambda^{G} = h_\lambda^{B_p G}.$$
It is now a straightforward consequence of Lemma 2.2 that homogeneous cochains define a subcomplex of the differentiable cohomology of $\mathcal{V}$.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be a VB-groupoid. If $P^G_p : C^\infty(B_p \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow C^\infty_k(B_p \mathcal{V})$ is the projection (2.1) induced by $h^G_p$, then

$$P^G_p \circ \delta = \delta \circ P^G_p,$$

In particular,

$$\delta(C^\infty_k(B_p \mathcal{V})) \subset C^\infty_k(B_{p+1} \mathcal{V}).$$

Thus, for a VB-groupoid $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, we define natural subcomplexes of $(C^\bullet(\mathcal{V}), \delta)$ by considering the set of fiberwise $k$-homogeneous functions,

$$C^k_{\hom}(\mathcal{V}) := C^\infty_{k-hom}(\mathcal{V}^{\bullet}) \text{ and } H^k_{\hom}(\mathcal{V}) = H(C^\bullet(\mathcal{V})).$$

**Remark 2.4.** For $k = 0$, $C^0_{\hom}(\mathcal{V}) \simeq C^\bullet(\mathcal{G})$ and the cup product (2.3) on $C^\bullet(\mathcal{V})$ induces a right $C^\bullet(\mathcal{G})$-module (resp. $H^\bullet(\mathcal{G})$-module) structure on $C^\bullet_{\hom}(\mathcal{V})$ (resp. $H^k_{\hom}(\mathcal{V})$).

**Example 2.5.** Let $C \rightarrow M$ be a (left) representation of the Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M$. The vector bundle $\mathcal{V} = t^*C^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ carries a VB-groupoid structure $t^*C^* \Rightarrow C^*$ defined by

$$s_\mathcal{V}(g, \xi) = \Delta_g^* (\xi), \quad t_\mathcal{V}(g, \xi) = \xi$$

$$\iota_\mathcal{V}(g, \xi) = (g^{-1}, \Delta_g^* (\xi)), \quad 1_\mathcal{V}(\xi) = (1_e(\xi), \xi) \quad \text{and} \quad m_\mathcal{V}((g, \xi_1), (h, \xi_2)) = (gh, \xi_1),$$

where $\Delta_g : C_0(g) \rightarrow C_0(g)$ is the action of $g \in \mathcal{G}$. Note that $t^*C^* = C^* \rtimes \mathcal{G}$, the action groupoid for the adjoint action of $\mathcal{G}$ on $C^*$. As vector bundles over $B_p \mathcal{G}$, one has that $B_p(t^*C^*) = t^p_\mathcal{G}C^*$ where $t_p : B_p \mathcal{G} \rightarrow M$ is given by $t_p(g_1, \ldots, g_p) = t(g_1)$ and the isomorphism is given by $((g_1, \xi_1), \ldots, (g_p, \xi_p)) \mapsto ((g_1, \ldots, g_p), \xi_1)$. In particular,

$$C^p_{\hom}(\mathcal{V}) \cong \Gamma(B_p \mathcal{G}, t^p_\mathcal{G}C).$$

The right $C^\bullet(\mathcal{G})$-module structure on $C^1_{\hom}(\mathcal{V})$ corresponds to a right module structure on $\Gamma(B \mathcal{G}, t^* C)$ given by

$$(\phi \ast f)(g_1, \ldots, g_{p+p'}) = \phi(g_1, \ldots, g_p)f(g_{p+1}, \ldots, g_{p+p'}) \quad (\phi \in C^\bullet(\mathcal{G}), f \in C^p(\mathcal{G})).$$

(2.6)

Moreover, the differential on $C^\bullet_{\hom}(\mathcal{V})$ corresponds to the differential on $\Gamma(B \mathcal{G}, t^* C)$ given by

$$\delta(f)(g_1, \ldots, g_{p+1}) = \Delta_{g_1}(\phi(g_2, \ldots, g_p)) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (-1)^i \phi(g_1, \ldots, g_ig_{i+1}, \ldots, g_p) + (-1)^p \phi(g_1, \ldots, g_{p-1}).$$

Hence, as $H^\bullet(\mathcal{G})$-modules, $H^1_{\hom}(\mathcal{V}) \cong H^\bullet(\mathcal{G}, C)$, the cohomology of $\mathcal{G}$ with coefficients on the representation $C$ (see [7]). More generally, $H^k_{\hom}(\mathcal{V}) \cong H^\bullet(\mathcal{G}, S^k C)$.

### 2.3 Homogeneous algebroid cochains

Given a VB-groupoid $\mathcal{V} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, its Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ inherits the structure of a VB-algebroid (see [7] and references therein). As for VB-groupoids, we take our working definition from [7].

**Definition 2.6.** A VB-algebroid is given by a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{v} & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{g} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
E & \rightarrow & M,
\end{array}$$

(2.7)
where the left and right sides are Lie algebroids and the top and bottom sides are vector bundles satisfying the following compatibility condition:

\[ \xymatrix{ h^g_{\lambda} \ar[r]^{h_{\lambda}} & v \ar[d] \ar[r]^{p} & v \ar[d] \ar[r]^{h_{\lambda}} & E \ar[r]_{h_{\lambda}} & E, } \]

(2.8)

defines a Lie algebroid morphism for each \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \), where \( h^g_{\lambda}, h_\lambda \) are the homogeneous structures of the vector bundles \( v \to g \) and \( E \to M \), respectively.

Parallel to VB-groupoids, VB-algebroids together with Lie theory for VB-objects have found several applications in the latter years (again, we list a just few of the available references [6, 7, 8, 10, 16, 21, 22]). The tangent \( TA \to TM \) and the cotangent lift \( T^*A \to A^* \) define examples of VB-algebroids corresponding to \( TG \) and \( T^*G \) when \( A = \text{Lie}(G) \), providing intrinsic versions of the adjoint and coadjoint representations (up to homotopy, see Section 3 below) of a Lie algebroid \( A \). Ordinary representations of \( A \) also provide examples of VB-groupoids, as explained in Example 2.9 below. We shall now investigate the infinitesimal version of the notion of homogeneous cochains.

For any Lie algebroid \( A \to M \), let \( CE^p(A) := \Gamma(M, \Lambda^pA^*) \) and \( d : CE^p(A) \to CE^{p+1}(A) \) be the (Chevalley-Eilenberg) differential. The Lie algebroid cohomology \( H^*(A) \) is the cohomology of the complex \( (CE^*(A),d) \). The wedge product on \( \Gamma(M, \Lambda^kA^*) \) induces a graded commutative algebra structure on \( H^*(A) \).

When considering a VB-algebroid \( A = v \), the dual \( v^* \) is always taken with respect to the Lie algebroid side \( v \to E \), so that \( CE^p(v) = \Gamma(E, \Lambda^p v^*) \). The space of fiberwise (with respect to \( v \to g \)) \( k \)-homogeneous \( p \)-forms on \( v \to E \) is

\[ \Gamma_k\text{-hom}(E, \Lambda^p v^*) := \{ \alpha \in \Gamma(M, \Lambda^p v^*) : h^g_{\lambda} \ast \alpha = \lambda^k \alpha, \ \forall \lambda \geq 0 \}. \] (2.9)

The wedge product induces a map

\[ \wedge : \Gamma_k\text{-hom}(E, \Lambda^p v^*) \times \Gamma_{k'}\text{-hom}(E, \Lambda^{p'} v^*) \to \Gamma_{k+k'}\text{-hom}(E, \Lambda^{p+p'} v^*). \]

Similarly to (2.1), there exists a projection \( P^p_{k,\text{-hom}} : \Gamma(E, \Lambda^p v^*) \to \Gamma_k\text{-hom}(E, \Lambda^p v^*) \) defined by

\[ P^p_{k,\text{-hom}} \alpha = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k}(h^g_{\lambda} \ast \alpha)|_{\lambda=0}. \] (2.10)

**Proposition 2.7.** Let \( v \to E \) be a VB-algebroid. For each \( k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and every \( p \geq 0 \),

\[ P^p_{k,\text{-hom}} \circ d = d \circ P^p_{k,\text{-hom}}. \]

In particular,

\[ d(\Gamma_k\text{-hom}(E, \Lambda^p v^*)) \subset \Gamma_{k+1}\text{-hom}(E, \Lambda^{p+1} v^*). \]

**Proof:** Since the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential \( d \) is a local operator, we can assume \( v \to E \) is trivial. By looking at \( h^g_{\lambda} \ast \alpha \) as a smooth 1-parameter family of forms, one can see that \( d \) commutes with \( d/\lambda \). The statement then follows from the fact that \( h^g_{\lambda} \) is a Lie algebroid morphism and, hence, \( h^g_{\lambda} \ast \) commutes with \( d \).

Thus, for each \( k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), the \( k \)-homogeneous forms define a subcomplex \( CE^k_{k,\text{-hom}}(v) \) of \( (CE^*(v),d) \). The notation we shall use is

\[ CE^p_{k,\text{-hom}}(v) := \Gamma_k\text{-hom}(E, \Lambda^p v^*) \] and \( H^k_{k,\text{-hom}}(v) = H(CE^k_{k,\text{-hom}}(v)) \).

**Remark 2.8.** For \( k = 0 \), \( \Gamma_{0,\text{-hom}}(E, \Lambda^p v^*) \cong \Gamma(M, \Lambda^p g^*) \) and the wedge product turns \( \Gamma_k\text{-hom}(E, \Lambda^p v^*) \) (resp. \( H^k_{k,\text{-hom}}(v) \)) into a right \( \Gamma(M, \Lambda^* g^*) \)-module (resp. \( H^*(g) \)-module).
Example 2.9. Let $C \to M$ be a representation of the Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g} \to M$ defined by a $\mathfrak{g}$-connection $\nabla : \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}) \times \Gamma(C) \to \Gamma(C)$. Consider the vector bundle $\nu = C^* \times_M \mathfrak{g} \to C^*$. Given $u \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$, let $\chi_u : C^* \to \nu$ be the section given by

$$
\chi_u(\xi) = (\xi, u(m)), \quad \xi \in C^*_m.
$$

(2.11)

The sections $\chi_u$ with $u$ varying on $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$ generate $\Gamma(C^*, \nu)$ as a $C^\infty(C^*)$-module. One can now show that the action algebroid structure $C^* \times \mathfrak{g} \to C^*$, determined by

$$
[\chi_{u_1}, \chi_{u_2}] = \chi_{[u_1, u_2]}, \quad u_1, u_2 \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g});
$$

$$
\rho_\nu(\chi_u)(\ell_\mu) = \ell_{\nabla_u \mu} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_\nu(\chi_u)(f \circ \pi) = (\mathcal{L}_{\rho(u)} f) \circ \pi, \quad f \in C^\infty(M), \quad \mu \in \Gamma(C),
$$

endows $\nu \to C^*$ with a VB-algebroid structure, where $\pi : C^* \to M$ is the projection. The chain complex $CE^*_p(\mathfrak{g})$ is naturally isomorphic to $\Gamma(\Lambda^p \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes C)$ with the Koszul differential

$$
d\nabla \gamma(u_1, \ldots, u_{p+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i+1} \nabla_u \gamma(u_1, \ldots, \hat{u}_i, \ldots, u_{p+1})
$$

$$
+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+1} (-1)^{i+j} \gamma([u_i, u_j], u_1, \ldots, \hat{u}_i, \ldots, \hat{u}_j, \ldots, u_{p+1}), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma(\Lambda^p \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes C).
$$

More precisely, the evaluation map $ev : CE^*_p(\mathfrak{g}) \to \Gamma(\Lambda^p \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes C)$,

$$
\langle ev(\alpha)(u_1, \ldots, u_p), \xi \rangle = \alpha(\chi_{u_1}(\xi), \ldots, \chi_{u_p}(\xi)), \quad \text{for} \quad u_1, \ldots, u_p \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \xi \in C^*
$$

defines a chain isomorphism. The induced right $\Gamma(\Lambda^p \mathfrak{g}^*)$-module structure on $\Gamma(\Lambda^p \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes C)$ is wedge multiplication on the right in the $\Lambda^p \mathfrak{g}^*$ factor. In particular, as $H(\mathfrak{g})$-modules, $H^*_p(\nu) \cong H^*(\mathfrak{g}, C)$, the cohomology of $\mathfrak{g}$ with values in the representation $C$. As for groupoids, $H^*_p(\mathfrak{g}) \cong H^*(\mathfrak{g}, S^\infty C)$.

2.4 Van Est theorem for homogeneous cochains

Let $G = M$ be a Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{g}$. For every section $u \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$, consider the corresponding right invariant vector field $\tilde{u} \in \mathfrak{X}(G)$. In the following, we shall denote by $B_p G$ the vector field on the space of $p$-composable arrows $B_p G$ given by

$$
B_p u(g_1, \ldots, g_p) = (\tilde{u}(g_1), 0, \ldots, 0).
$$

(2.12)

Let us now recall the definition of the Van Est map. First, using the degeneracy map $s_0 : B_{p-1} G \to B_p G$, we define $R_u : C^p(G) \to C^{p-1}(G)$ by

$$
R_u = s_0 \circ \mathcal{L}_{B_p u}.
$$

The Van Est map $\text{VE} : C^p(G) \to CE^p(\mathfrak{g})$ is defined as follows (39): for a $p$-cochain $f \in C^p(G)$,

$$
\text{VE}(f)(u_1, \ldots, u_p) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} sgn(\sigma) R_{u_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots R_{u_{\sigma(p)}}(f).
$$

(2.13)

In [39] it is shown that it defines a chain map between the underlying complexes which preserves the corresponding product structures. We shall also need the following naturality result about VE.

Lemma 2.10. Let $H_1, H_2$ be Lie groupoids with Lie algebroids $\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2$, respectively. If $\phi : H_1 \to H_2$ is a Lie groupoid morphism with the corresponding Lie algebroid morphism $\text{Lie}(\phi) : \mathfrak{h}_1 \to \mathfrak{h}_2$, then

$$
\text{VE}(B_p \phi^* f) = \text{Lie}(\phi)^* \text{VE}(f), \quad \forall f \in C^p(H_2).
$$

Proof: For any $\chi \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{h}_1)$ we can write

$$
\text{Lie}(\phi)(\chi) = \sum_i \gamma_i (\chi_i \circ \phi_0) \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{h}_0^* \mathfrak{h}_2),
$$

(2.14)
where \( \phi_0 = B_0 \phi : M_1 \to M_2 \) denotes the map between objects induced by \( \phi \), \( \gamma_i \in C^\infty(M_1) \) and \( \chi_i \in \Gamma(b_2) \). A direct computation shows that

\[
R_\chi((B_0 \phi)^* f) = \sum_i (t_{2i-1}^* \gamma_i)(B_{p-1} \phi)^*(R_\gamma f), \quad \forall f \in C^p(H_2).
\]

If we apply the above formula \( p \)-times, we notice that most terms in \( R_{\chi_1} \cdots R_{\chi_p} (B_0 \phi)^* f \) will vanish since \( \text{VE} \) is defined on normalized cochains (namely, \( s^i f = 0 \)) but the term

\[
\sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_p} \gamma_{i_1} \cdots \gamma_{i_p} \phi_0^* (R_{\chi_1} \cdots R_{\chi_p} f).
\]

We thus get the statement of the Lemma.

The main result about the Van Est map in the present context is the following Theorem due to M. Crainic.

**Theorem 2.11** (\([\text{M}]\)). Let \( G \) be a Lie groupoid and let \( g \) be its Lie algebroid. The Van Est map \((\text{2.13})\) induces an algebra homomorphism

\[
\text{VE} : H^\bullet(G) \to H^\bullet(g).
\]

Moreover, if \( G \) has \( p_0 \)-connected source fibers, then \( \text{VE} \) is an isomorphism in degrees \( p \leq p_0 \), and it is injective for \( p = p_0 + 1 \).

To get our refinement of Theorem\([\text{2.11}]\) for homogeneous cochains on VB-groupoids and algebroids, we first state the following homological algebra fact.

**Homological Lemma.** Let \((C_i^\bullet, \delta_i)\) be differential complexes, \( i = 1, 2 \), endowed with projections \( P_i : C_i^\bullet \to C_i^\bullet \) (i.e. \( P_i \circ \delta_i = \delta_i \circ P_i \) and \( P_i^2 = P_i \)). If \( F : C_1^\bullet \to C_2^\bullet \) is a morphism satisfying \( F \circ P_1 = P_2 \circ F \), then for each \( p \) such that \( F : H^p(C_1) \to H^p(C_2) \) is injective (resp. surjective) its restriction \( F_p : H^p(S_1) \to H^p(S_2) \) is also injective (resp. surjective), where \( S^\bullet_i = P_i(C_i^\bullet) \).

We are thus left with studying the behaviour of the projections onto homogeneous cochains under the Van Est map. To that end, let \( V \rightrightarrows E \) be a VB-groupoid over \( G \rightrightarrows M \) and let \( v \to E \) be its Lie algebroid.

**Proposition 2.12.** For each \( k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and every \( p \geq 0 \),

\[
\text{VE} \circ P_{k-hom}^{\phi,p} = P_{k-hom}^{\phi,p} \circ \text{VE}.
\]

In particular, \( \text{VE}(C^\infty_{k-hom}(B_p V)) \subset \Gamma_{k-hom}(\Lambda^p v^*) \).

**Proof:** Let \( h^\phi_\lambda : V \to \mathcal{V} \), \( h^\theta_\lambda : v \to \mathcal{V} \) be the homogeneous structures of the vector bundles \( V \to G \), \( v \to G \), respectively. By Lemma \([\text{2.10}]\) the fact that \( h^\phi_\lambda \) is a groupoid homomorphism with \( \text{Lie}(h^\phi_\lambda) = h^\theta_\lambda \) implies that

\[
\text{VE} \circ h^\phi_\lambda^* = h^\theta_\lambda^* \circ \text{VE}, \quad \forall \lambda.
\]

Hence, by applying \( \frac{d}{d\lambda}|_{\lambda=0} \) on both sides, one obtains the commutation relation between \( \text{VE} \) and the projections \( P_{k-hom}^{\phi,p} \). The result now follows directly.

The restriction of the Van Est map to the subcomplex of \( k \)-homogeneous cochains shall be denoted by

\[
\text{VE}_{k-hom} := \text{VE}|_{C^\bullet_{k-hom}(V)} : C^p_{k-hom}(V) \to C E_p^p_{k-hom}(v).
\]

**Example 2.13** (0-homogeneous cochains). For \( k = 0 \), using the isomorphisms \( C^p_{0-hom}(V) \cong C^p(G) \) and \( C E_p^0_{0-hom}(v) \cong C E^p_0(g) \), one can check that \( \text{VE}_{0-hom} \cong \text{VE}_G : C^p(G) \to C E^p_0(g) \). To see this, take \( f \in C^p_0(V) \) and \( \chi_1, \ldots, \chi_p \in V \), and notice that \( \text{VE}_{0-hom}(f)(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_p) \) only depends on the
projections \( u_i \in \mathfrak{g} \) of \( \chi_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, p \). Hence, to compute \( \text{VE}_{0-\text{hom}} \), it suffices to take \( \chi_1, \ldots, \chi_p \) linear sections \( \phi \) of \( \mathfrak{v} \) covering \( u_1, \ldots, u_p \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}) \). In this case,

\[
\text{VE}_{0-\text{hom}}(f) (\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_p) = \pi^*_{E} \text{VE}_{G} (f_0) (u_1, \ldots, u_p),
\]

where \( f = \pi^*_{B,G} f_0, \ f_0 \in C^\infty(\mathcal{B}_p \mathcal{G}) \) and \( \pi_E : E \to M, \ \pi_{B_p V} : B_p \mathcal{V} \to B_p \mathcal{G} \) are the vector bundle projections.

We are now ready to state and prove our main theorem.

**Theorem 2.14.** Let \( \mathcal{G} \to M \) be a Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid \( \mathfrak{g} \). For a VB-groupoid \( \mathcal{V} \to E \) over \( \mathcal{G} \) with underlying VB-algebroid \( \mathfrak{v} \to E \), the Van est map on \( k \)-homogeneous cochains induces a module homomorphism

\[
\text{VE}_{k-\text{hom}} : H^\bullet(\mathcal{V}) \to H^\bullet(\mathfrak{v})
\]

covering the algebra homomorphism \( \text{VE}_{\mathcal{G}} : H^\bullet(\mathcal{G}) \to H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) \). Moreover, if \( \mathcal{G} \) has \( p_0 \)-connected source fibers, then \( \text{VE}_{k-\text{hom}} \) is an isomorphism for all \( p \leq p_0 \) and it is injective for \( p = p_0 + 1 \).

**Proof:** The \( H^\bullet(\mathcal{G}) \)-module structure on \( H^\bullet(\mathfrak{v}) \) comes from the cup product of \( C^\bullet_{k-\text{hom}}(\mathcal{V}) \) and \( C^\bullet_{0-\text{hom}}(\mathcal{V}) \cong C^\bullet(\mathcal{G}) \). So, the first statement follows from the fact that \( \text{VE}_{k-\text{hom}} \) is the restriction of the Van Est map of \( \mathcal{V} \) to homogeneous cochains and that \( \text{VE}_{0-\text{hom}} \cong \text{VE}_{\mathcal{G}} \).

Let us now assume that \( \mathcal{G} \) has \( p_0 \)-connected source fibers. First note that this implies that \( \mathcal{V} \to M \) is also source \( p_0 \)-connected. Indeed, a source fiber of \( \mathcal{V} \to E \) is an affine bundle over the corresponding source fiber of \( \mathcal{G} \to M \). So, the Van Est Theorem 2.11 implies that \( \text{VE} : H^p(\mathcal{V}) \to H^p(\mathfrak{v}) \) is an isomorphism for \( p \leq p_0 \) and injective for \( p = p_0 + 1 \). The result will now follow from Proposition 2.12 by applying the Homological Lemma to \( F = \text{VE}, \ (C^\bullet_1, \delta_1) = (C^\infty(\mathcal{B}_p \mathcal{V}), \delta), (C^\bullet_2, \delta_2) = (\Gamma(E, \Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{v}^*), d) \) with projections \( P_1 = F^\bullet_{k-\text{hom}} : C^\infty(\mathcal{B}_p \mathcal{V}) \to C^\infty_{k-\text{hom}}(\mathcal{B}_p \mathcal{V}) \) and \( P_2 = F^\bullet_{0-\text{hom}} : \Gamma(E, \Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{v}^*) \to \Gamma_{k-\text{hom}}(E, \Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{v}^*) \).

\[ \square \]

## 3 1-Homogeneous cochains and representations up to homotopy

In \[16\] \[17\], it was shown that VB-groupoids and VB-algebroids provide an intrinsic version of the notion of (2-term) representation up to homotopy, generalizing the example given in the introduction, as well as Examples 2.5 and 2.9 above. In this section, we show how Theorem 2.14, when applied to \( 1 \)-homogeneous cochains, recovers a Van Est result for the underlying 2-term representations up to homotopy of \[4\]. We also comment on how this approach realizes the original proposal in \[11\] for proving a rigidity conjecture.

### 3.1 VB-groupoid and VB-algebroid cohomology

Following \[17\], given VB-groupoid \( \pi : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{G} \) we define \( C^p_{VB}(\mathcal{V}) \) to be the space of \( 1 \)-homogeneous cochains \( \phi \in C^\infty_{1-\text{hom}}(\mathcal{B}_p \mathcal{V}) \) satisfying the following two additional conditions:

1. \( \phi(0_{\mathcal{G}}, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{p-1}) = 0 \),
2. \( \phi(0_{\mathcal{G}}, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_p) = \phi(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_p) \),

for all \( (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_p) \in \mathcal{B}_p \mathcal{V} \) and \( g \in \mathcal{G} \) such that \( (0_{\mathcal{G}}, \xi_1) \in \mathcal{B}_2 \mathcal{V} \). As observed in \[17\], condition 1 above implies that \( \phi(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_p) \) only depends on \( \xi_1 \) and on the projections \( g_i = \pi(\xi_i) \in \mathcal{G}, i = 1, \ldots, p \), while condition 2 is a left-invariance property.

It is shown in \[17\] that \( C^\bullet_{VB}(\mathcal{V}) \) defines a subcomplex of \( C^\bullet_{1-\text{hom}}(\mathcal{V}) \). Moreover, the cup product with \( C^\bullet_{0-\text{hom}} \mathcal{V} \cong C^\bullet(\mathcal{G}) \) defines a right \( C^\bullet(\mathcal{G}) \)-submodule structure on \( C^\bullet_{VB}(\mathcal{V}) \). The following Lemma relates the cohomology of the two complexes.

**Lemma 3.1.** The inclusion \( i : C^\bullet_{VB}(\mathcal{V}) \hookrightarrow C^\bullet_{1-\text{hom}}(\mathcal{V}) \) induces a isomorphism of right \( H^\bullet(\mathcal{G}) \)-modules in cohomology.

\[ ^1 \text{A linear section } \chi \text{ of } \mathfrak{v} \text{ is a section } \chi : E \to \mathfrak{v} \text{ which is a vector bundle homomorphism covering a section } u : M \to \mathfrak{g} \text{ (see } [10]). \]
Proposition 3.3. Be trivial in several cases as shown by the following proposition. Cohomological vanishing for proper groupoids The VB-groupoid cohomology can be shown to be a right-module homomorphism over \( VE \) and using the recursion hypothesis. □

For a VB-algebroid \( v \to A \), the **VB-algebroid cochain complex** is defined exactly as the complex of 1-homogeneous cochains

\[
CE^1_{V B}(v) := CE^1_{1-hom}(v).
\]

The restriction of the Van Est map to 1-homogeneous cochains as in Section 2.4 provides a chain map

\[
VE_{1-hom}(v) : C^1_{1-hom}(v) \to CE^1_{V B}(v).
\]

Its restriction to the subcomplex \( C^1_{V B}(v) \subseteq C^1_{1-hom}(v) \) defines a chain map that we shall denote by

\[
VE_{V B} : C^1_{V B}(v) \to CE^1_{V B}(v).
\]

**Corollary 3.2.** With the notations above, the Van Est map

\[
VE_{V B} : H^1(C^1_{V B}(v)) \to H^1(CE^1_{V B}(v))
\]

is a right-module homomorphism over \( VE_\mathcal{G} : H^1(\mathcal{G}) \to H^1(\mathfrak{g}) \). Moreover, if \( \mathcal{G} \) is source \( p_0 \)-connected, then \( VE_{V B} \) is an isomorphism in degree \( p \), for all \( p \leq p_0 \) and it is injective for \( p = p_0 + 1 \).

**Cohomological vanishing for proper groupoids** The VB-groupoid cohomology can be shown to be trivial in several cases as shown by the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.3.** When \( \mathcal{G} \) is a proper groupoid or, more generally, admits a Haar system \( d\mu \) together with a cut off function \( c \in C^\infty(M) \) (see, e.g., [3] and the proof below), then

\[
H^p(C^1_{V B}(v)) = 0, \quad p \geq 2.
\]
Proof: The idea is to define a map $C_{VB}^p(V) \ni \phi \mapsto \kappa(\phi) \in C_{VB}^{p-1}(V), p \geq 2$ by the formula

$$\kappa(\phi)(\xi_1, ..., \xi_{p-1}) = \int_{t^{-1}(s(g_p-1))} \phi(\xi_1, ..., \xi_{p-1}, \sigma(h, s_V(\xi_{p-1}))) \ c(s(h)) \ d\mu(h),$$

where $g_i = \pi(\xi_i) \in G, i = 1, ..., p-1$ as before and $\sigma : t^*E \to V$ is any linear splitting of the epimorphism $t_V : V \to t^*E$. Notice that the r.h.s. in the formula above is independent of the choice of $\sigma$ since $\phi$ only depends on $(g_1, ..., g_{p-1}, h)$ and $\xi_1$. The key point is that, for $\delta \phi = 0, \phi \in C_{VB}^p(V), p \geq 2$, then $\delta \kappa(\phi) = (-1)^p \phi$, hence leading to the above cohomological vanishing. This statement can be checked by direct computation: let us denote $\xi_{p+1}(h) = \sigma(h, s_V(\xi_{p-1}))$ for $h \in t^{-1}(s(g_p))$ and $\eta_p(k) = \sigma(k, s_V(\xi_{p-1}))$ for $k \in t^{-1}(s(g_p-1))$, then

$$\delta \kappa(\phi)(\xi_1, ..., \xi_p) = \int_{t^{-1}(s(g_p))} \left[ \phi(\xi_2, ..., \xi_p, \xi_{p+1}(h)) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (-1)^i \phi(\xi_1, ..., \xi_i \xi_{i+1}, ..., \xi_p, \xi_{p+1}(h)) \right] c(s(h)) \ d\mu(h)$$

$$+ (-1)^p \int_{t^{-1}(s(g_p-1))} \phi(\xi_1, ..., \xi_{p-1}, \eta_p(k)) \ c(s(k)) \ d\mu(k)$$

$$= (-1)^p \int_{t^{-1}(s(g_p-1))} [-\phi(\xi_1, ..., \xi_{p-1}, \xi_p \xi_{p+1}(h)) + \phi(\xi_1, ..., \xi_p)] c(s(h)) \ d\mu(h)$$

$$+ (-1)^p \int_{t^{-1}(s(g_p-1))} \phi(\xi_1, ..., \xi_{p-1}, \eta_p(k)) \ c(s(k)) \ d\mu(k)$$

$$= (-1)^p \phi(\xi_1, ..., \xi_p).$$

Above, the first equality follows from the definitions of $\delta$ and $\kappa$, the second equality follows by applying $\delta \phi = 0$ inside the square brackets and, finally, the third equality follows by the normalization condition $\int_{t^{-1}(s(g))} c(s(h)) \ d\mu(h) = 1$ and by the left invariance of the measure $\int_{t^{-1}(s(g))} f(gh) \ d\mu(h) = \int_{t^{-1}(s(g))} f(k) \ d\mu(k)$ together with the independence of $\phi(\xi_1, ..., \xi_p)$ on the $\xi_j$'s for $j > 1$, as was mentioned before.

Let us now mention an application of the above general vanishing result, following [11]. Given a Lie algebroid $g \to M$, there exists a complex $C^*_g$ controlling the deformations of $g$ and which is related to VB-cohomology as follows. Consider the induced linear Poisson structure on $g^*$, $\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 T_g^*)$. The cotangent Lie algebroid $T^*g \to g^*$ has the property that its Chevalley-Eilenberg complex $(CE(T^*g), d)$ is isomorphic to the Poisson complex $(X(g^*), [\pi, \cdot])$ (see [21]). Under this isomorphism, the subcomplex $C_{VB}^*(T^*g) \subset CE^*(T^*g)$ corresponds to the so-called linear Poisson complex $X_{lin}(g^*)$ of $g^*$. On the other hand, Proposition 7 in [11] shows that $X_{lin}(g^*) \cong C^*_g$, so that

$$C_{VB}^*(T^*g) \cong X_{lin}(g^*) \cong C^*_g.$$

On the groupoid side, for $G \Rightarrow M$ a Lie groupoid, the complex $C_{VB}(T^*G)$ was shown in [10] to be isomorphic to the complex $C^*_g(G)$ controlling deformations of the Lie groupoid structure.

In this context, Corollary 3.2 recovers a result from [10]: the map

$$VE_{def} : H^*_def(G) \to H^*_def(g)$$

defines (a graded) module homomorphism covering $VE : H^*(G) \to H^*(g)$ which induces isomorphisms in degrees $p \leq p_0$ and monomorphism in degree $p = p_0 + 1$ whenever $G$ is source $p_0$-connected.

By combining this result with our general vanishing criteria (Proposition 3.3 above), we further obtain an independent proof of the (cohomological) rigidity conjecture of [11]: if $G$ is proper and source 2-connected, then $H^2_{def}(g) = 0$. Note that the map $VE_{def}$ is the ”lin-version” of the Van Est map which was assumed to exist in [11] as a step towards proving their conjecture.

Remark 3.4. The conjecture was originally proved in [7] using a Van Est result for representations up to homotopy. In particular, they use a vanishing result for cohomologies with coefficients in representations up to homotopy established in [2]. Our vanishing result should be considered as a geometric counterpart to theirs in the 2-term case (see below).
3.2 Splittings and representations up to homotopy

VB-groupoids and VB-algebroids can be (non-canonically) split into the base Lie groupoid/alghebroid data and representation-like information on the fibers (recall examples 26, 27). It turns out that the correct notion encoding this split data is that of a (2-term) representations up to homotopy (2, 3, 10, 17), which we now recall.

Let \( G \rightrightarrows M \) be a Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid \( \mathfrak{g} \to M \) and \( \mathcal{E} = C[1] \oplus E \) a graded vector bundle over \( M \) with \( C \) in degree \(-1\) and \( E \) in degree \( 0 \). The associated space of \( \mathcal{E} \)-valued (normalized) \( p \)-cochains is defined as \( \text{Change } \mu_{-1}, \mu_0 \) to \( \mu C, \mu E \) and \( \mathcal{E} = E_{-1} \oplus E_0 \to C[1] \oplus E \).

The resulting cohomology is denoted by \( H(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{E})^p \) where \( s_1 : B_1\mathcal{G} \to B_{1+1}\mathcal{G} \) is the \( i \)-th degeneracy map. There is a (right) \( \mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{G}) \)-module structure on \( C(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{E})^\bullet \) defined by \( \mu \ast f = (\mu_E \ast f, \mu_C \ast f) \), where each component is given by formula (3.2). A representation up to homotopy of \( \mathcal{G} \) on \( \mathcal{E} \) is a \( \mathbb{R} \)-linear map \( D_G : C(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{E})^\bullet \to C(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{E})^{\bullet+1} \) satisfying \( D_G^2 = 0 \) and

\[
D_G(\mu \ast f) = D_G(\mu) \ast f + (-1)^p \mu \ast (\delta f), \quad \mu \in C(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{E})^p, f \in C^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{G}).
\]

The resulting cohomology is denoted by \( H(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{E}) \). Note that \( \ast \) defines a right \( H(\mathcal{G}) \)-module structure on \( \mathcal{E} \).

A representation up to homotopy on \( \mathcal{E} \) can be alternatively given by quasi-actions \( \Delta, \Delta^C \) of \( \mathcal{G} \) on \( \mathcal{E} \) and \( C \), respectively, a bundle map \( \partial : C \to E \) and a smooth correspondence which, for each \( (g_1, g_2) \in B_2\mathcal{G} \), gives a linear map \( \Omega(g_1, g_2) : E_{g_2} \to C|_{g_1}, \) satisfying certain structural equations (see 3 [17]). Moreover, in analogy with the case of an ordinary representation (c.f. Example 26), a representation up to homotopy of \( \mathcal{G} \) on \( \mathcal{E} \) endows \( V = s^E \oplus g \circ C^\bullet \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R} \) with a VB-groupoid structure [17]. The structure maps are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_V(\xi, g, \eta) &= (\Delta^C_g)^*\xi - \partial g \eta, \\
\tau_V(\xi, g, \eta) &= \xi, \quad \xi \in C^*|_{g}, \eta \in E^*|_{g},
\end{align*}
\]

for compatible arrows and \( 1_V(\xi) = (\xi, 1_m, 0) \), for \( \xi \in C^*|_m \). Finally, in [17] the authors show that every VB-groupoid can be presented (non-canonically) in this form, thus establishing a correspondence between VB-groupoids and 2-term representations up to homotopy of \( \mathcal{G} \).

The above correspondence between VB-groupoid structures and representations up to homotopy can be understood from the following relation between the cochain complex associated to \( \mathcal{E} \) and that of \( 1 \)-homogeneous cochains on \( V \). Consider the map \( \Psi : C(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{E})^p \to C_{1-\hom}(B_{p+1}V) \) defined by

\[
\Psi(\mu)((\xi_1, g_1, \eta_1), \ldots, (\xi_{p+1}, g_{p+1}, \eta_{p+1})) = \langle \eta_1, \mu_E(g_2, \ldots, g_{p+1}) \rangle + \langle \xi_1, \mu_C(g_1, \ldots, g_{p+1}) \rangle.
\]

In [17] (see Theorem 5.6), it is proven that \( \Psi : C(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{E})^\bullet \to C_{1-\hom}^\bullet(V) \) is a monomorphism of graded \( C(\mathcal{G}) \)-modules satisfying

\[
\Psi \circ (-D_G) = \delta \circ \Psi
\]

whose image coincides with the VB-groupoid cochain complex \( C^\bullet_B(V) \subset C_{1-\hom}^\bullet(V) \) (shifted by one, hence the minus sign in the eq. above). We then obtain, as a direct consequence of Lemma 3.1

**Lemma 3.5.** The induced map in cohomology \( \Psi : H^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{E}) \to H_{1-\hom}^{p+1}(V) \) is an isomorphism of right \( H^\bullet(\mathcal{G}) \)-modules for all \( p \).

**The infinitesimal counterpart.** Let \( \mathfrak{g} \) be a Lie algebroid, \( \mathcal{E} \) as before, and consider

\[
\Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{E})^p = \Gamma(A^p\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes E) \oplus \Gamma(A^{p+1}\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes C).
\]

The space \( \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{E}) \) is a right \( \Gamma(A^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*) \)-module with multiplication defined by wedge product on the right on the \( A^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^* \) factor. A representation up to homotopy of \( \mathfrak{g} \) on \( \mathcal{E} \) is a \( \mathbb{R} \)-linear map \( D_G : \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{E})^{\ast+1} \to \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{E})^{\ast+1} \) satisfying \( D_G^2 = 0 \) and \( D_G(\omega \wedge \beta) = D_G(\omega) \wedge \beta + (-1)^p \omega \wedge d\beta, \omega \in \Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{E})^p, \beta \in \Gamma(A^\mathfrak{g}^*) \). We denote the cohomology of \( (\Omega(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{E}), D_G) \) by \( H(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{E}) \).
As in the VB-groupoid case, VB-algebroid structures on $\mathfrak{v} = C^* \times_M G \times_M E^* \to C^*$ are in 1:1 correspondence with representations up to homotopy of $G$ on $\mathcal{E} = C[1] \oplus E$ (see [10]). We recall here how this correspondence can be seen from the cohomological perspective. The space of sections $\Gamma(C^*, \mathfrak{v})$ is generated, as a $C^\infty(C^*)$-module, by sections:

$$\chi_u(\xi) = (\xi, u(m), 0), \quad \Upsilon_v(\xi) = (\xi, 0, q(m)),$$

for $\xi \in C^*|_m, u \in \Gamma(G), q \in \Gamma(E^*)$. Define a map

$$ev : CE^{p+1}_{1-hom}(\mathfrak{v}) \to \Omega(G, \mathcal{E})^p, \quad ev(\alpha) = (\hat{\alpha}_E, \hat{\alpha}_C),$$

where $\hat{\alpha}_E \in \Gamma(\Lambda^p g^* \otimes E)$ and $\hat{\alpha}_C \in \Gamma(\Lambda^{p+1} g^* \otimes C)$, by

$$\hat{\alpha}_E \in \Gamma(\Lambda^p g^* \otimes E) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\alpha}_C \in \Gamma(\Lambda^{p+1} g^* \otimes C),$$

for $u_1, \ldots, u_{p+1} \in \Gamma(G), q \in \Gamma(E^*)$.

**Lemma 3.6.** Under the identification $\Gamma(\Lambda^* g^*) \cong CE_{hom,0}(\mathfrak{v})$, the map $ev$ is a (right) $\Gamma(\Lambda^* g^*)$-module isomorphism.

**Proof:** Let $\{\xi_i^k\}_{k=1}^{\text{rank}(C^*)}$, $\{\gamma_i\}_{j=1}^{\text{rank}(E)}$ and $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\text{rank}(E)}$ be local frames for $C^*$, $g^*$ and $E$ respectively. We shall identify $e_i$ (resp. $\gamma_j$) with the corresponding section of $\mathfrak{v}^* : C^*|_m \ni \xi \mapsto (\xi, 0, e_i(m))$ (resp. $\xi \mapsto (\xi, \gamma_i(m), 0)$). Locally, any element of $\alpha \in CE^{p+1}_{1-hom}(\mathfrak{v})$ is written

$$\alpha(m, \xi) = \alpha_k A_{1\ldots j_{p+1}}^k (m) \gamma_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \gamma_{p+1} + B_{1\ldots j_{p}}^i (m) e_i \wedge \gamma_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \gamma_p,$$

where $\xi = a_k \xi_k^k(m)$. From the definition, one sees that

$$A_{1\ldots j_{p+1}}^k (m) = \langle \hat{\alpha}_C (u_{j_1}, \ldots, u_{j_{p+1}}), \xi_k^k (m) \rangle$$

$$B_{1\ldots j_{p-1}}^i (m) = \langle \hat{\alpha}_E (u_{j_1}, \ldots, u_{j_{p-1}}), \eta_i (m) \rangle,$$

where $\{u_j\}, \{\eta_i\}$ are local frames for $g$ and $E^*$ dual to $\{\gamma_j\}, \{e_i\}$, respectively. It is now straightforward to prove the statement. \hfill \square

Hence, the operator $\mathcal{D}_G$ defined by $\mathcal{D}_G \circ ev = ev \circ (-d)$, where $d$ is the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential of $\mathfrak{v}$, defines a representation up to homotopy of $G$ on $\mathcal{E}$. (Note that $ev$ shifts degree by minus one, hence the sign in the definition of $\mathcal{D}_G$.) It is shown in [10] that, moreover, every VB-algebroid can be split as $\mathfrak{v} \simeq C^{\infty*} \times_M G \times_M E^* \to C^*$, thus establishing a correspondence between VB-algebroids and 2-term representations up to homotopy of $G$.

Given a representation up to homotopy $\mathcal{D}_G : C(G, \mathcal{E}) \to C(G, \mathcal{E})$ of $G$ on $\mathcal{E}$, the VB-groupoid $\mathcal{V} \cong C^*$ defined by $\mathcal{D}_G$, seen as a Lie groupoid over $C^*$, has a Lie algebroid whose underlying bundle is precisely $\mathfrak{v} = C^* \times_M g \times_M E^* \to C^*$. In this case, the above construction of $\mathcal{D}_G$ can understood as the differentiation of the representation of $\mathcal{D}_G$, namely, $\mathcal{D}_G = Lie(\mathcal{D}_G)$. (See also [3].)

**Remark 3.7.** A representation up to homotopy of $G$ on $\mathcal{E}$ can be alternatively described by a map $\partial : C = E, g$-connections $\nabla^E, \nabla^C$ on $E$ and $C$, respectively and a curvature term $R \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2 g^* \otimes \text{Hom}(E, C))$ satisfying some compatibility equations (see [2, 17]). We refer to [2, 17] for the formulas of the operators $(\partial, \nabla^E, \nabla^C, R)$ corresponding to $Lie(\mathcal{D}_G)$ in terms of the data defining $\mathcal{D}_G$.

### 3.3 The Van Est theorem for representations up to homotopy

Let us define $\mathcal{V}_{rep} : C(G, \mathcal{E})^p \to \Omega(G, \mathcal{E})^p$ by $\mathcal{V}_{rep} := ev \circ V E_{1-hom} \circ \Psi$. Diagrammatically,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
C(G, \mathcal{E})^k & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & C^\infty_{1-hom}(\mathcal{V}^{(k+1)}) \\
\mathcal{V}_{rep} & \downarrow & \mathcal{V}_{E_{1-hom}} \\
\Omega(G, \mathcal{E})^k & \xrightarrow{ev} & C^{E_{1-hom}}(\mathfrak{v})
\end{array}$$

(3.5)
It is clear from the previous discussion that $\text{VE}_{\text{rep}}$ is a chain map.

**Theorem 3.8.** The Van Est map $\text{VE}_{\text{rep}} : H^\bullet(G, E) \to H^\bullet(g, E)$ is a right module homomorphism over $\text{VE}_g : H^\bullet(g) \to H^\bullet(g)$. Moreover, if $G$ is source $p_0$-connected, then the induced map in cohomology $\text{VE}_{\text{rep}} : H^p(G, E) \to H^p(g, E)$ is an isomorphism for $-1 \leq p \leq p_0 - 1$ and it is injective for $p = p_0$.

**Proof:** This is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 2.14 Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6. Notice the shift in grading for which one has isomorphisms. This arises because one has to apply Thm. 2.14 to $C_{1,\text{hom}}(B_{k+1}G) \to CE_{k+1}^\bullet(\mathfrak{v})$ in order to analyse $C(G, E)^k \to \Omega^k(g, E)$.

The fact that the above cohomology groups are isomorphic was also proven in [4] using different techniques (in the more general setting of representations on arbitrarily graded vector bundles). Notice that, from our perspective, it just arises as a refinement of the usual Van Est map for $\nu$ for 1-homogeneous cochains.

**Remark 3.9.** (Formulas for $\text{VE}_{\text{rep}}$) For $u \in \Gamma(g)$, define $R_u : C^p(G, E) \to C^{p-1}(G, E)$ by:

$$(R_u\mu_C)(g_1, \ldots, g_p) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \bigg|_{\epsilon=0} \Delta^C_{\phi^u(t(g_1))^{-1}}\mu_C(\phi^u(t(g_1)), g_1, \ldots, g_p),$$

where $\phi^u : M \to G$ is the flow of the right-invariant vector field $\overrightarrow{u}$ and the definition $R_u\mu_E$ is analogous. Note that our conventions are different from [4]. One can now check the identities:

$$R_{x*}\Psi(\mu) = \Psi(R_u\mu_C, 0), \quad R_T\Psi(\mu) = q^*(\mu_E, \eta)$$

$$R_{x*}R_{t*}\Psi(\mu) = q^*(R_c\mu_E, \eta), \quad R_Tx_*R_{t*}\Psi(\mu) = 0,$$

where $q : B_u\nu \to B_uG$ is the projection map. Using these identities, it is now straightforward to check that $\text{VE}_{\text{rep}}(\mu) = (\mu_E, \mu_C) \in \Gamma(A^p\Lambda^g \otimes E) \oplus \Gamma(A^{p+1}\Lambda^g \otimes C)$ is given by

$$\tilde{\mu}_E(u_1, \ldots, u_p) = (-1)^p \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} \text{sgn}(\sigma) R_{u_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots R_{u_{\sigma(p)}} \mu_0,$$

$$\tilde{\mu}_C(u_1, \ldots, u_{p+1}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{p+1}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) R_{u_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots R_{u_{\sigma(p+1)}} \mu_C.$$

### 4 Differential forms with values in a representation

In this Section, we study differential forms on a Lie groupoid $G$ with values in a representation $C \to M$. These objects were introduced in [12] together with their infinitesimal counterparts, the Spencer operators. We here provide a Van Est theorem for them as an application of our main result. The key point is the idea of seeing forms as homogeneous functions.

#### 4.1 The Van Est theorem for differential forms with coefficients

We start this section by formally defining the ingredients entering the Van Est theorem for forms with coefficients (Theorem 4.4 below) without any reference to the VB-groupoids and algebroids. Later, we shall show how VB-groupoids and algebroids provide a useful framework to interpret many of the definitions and to give a proof of Theorem 4.4.

Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid, $C \to M$ be a representation of $G$ and consider the map $t_p : B_p G \to M$, $t_p(g_1, \ldots, g_p) = t(g_1)$. When no confusion arises, we shall omit the reference to $p$ and simply denote $t_p$ by $t$. The space of $q$-differential forms on $G$ with coefficients in $C$ is the complex $\Omega^q(B_p G, t^*C)$. It carries a differential $\delta : \Omega^q(B_{p-1} G, t^*C) \to \Omega^q(B_p G, t^*C)$ defined by

$$\delta\omega(g_1, \ldots, g_p) = \Delta^C_{g_1} \circ \partial^u_0 \omega + \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^i \partial^u_i \omega, \quad \text{for } p \geq 2$$

$$\delta\omega = t^*\omega - \Delta^g \circ s^* \omega, \quad \text{for } p = 1.$$
It is straightforward to check that $\delta^2 = 0$.

Note that, for $\omega \in \Omega^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{t}^*)$, $\delta\omega\big|_{(g_1, g_2)} = \Delta_{g_i} \circ \text{pr}_1^* \omega - \text{pr}_2^* \omega + \text{pr}_1^* \omega$

where $\text{pr}_i(g_1, g_2) = g_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. In this case, a form $\omega \in \Omega^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{t}^*)$ which satisfies $\delta \omega = 0$ is called multiplicative (see [12]). Note that $\Omega^p(B_p\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{t}^*)$ is a right $\mathcal{G}$-module for $\mathfrak{g}^*$ with the module structure defined as usual by:

$$(\omega \ast f)\big|_{(g_1, g_p, g_{p+p'})} = \omega\big|_{(g_1, g_p, g_{p+p'})} f(g_{p+1}, \ldots, g_{p+p'}) \quad \omega \in \Omega^p(B_p\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{t}^*), \ f \in \mathfrak{t}^{p'}(\mathcal{G}).$$

**Remark 4.1.** In the case of trivial coefficients (i.e. when $\mathcal{G}$ is the trivial line bundle), the de Rham differential turns $\Omega^\ast(\mathcal{G}) = \Omega^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{t}^*)$ into a double complex known as the Bott-Shulman double complex associated to $\mathcal{G}$ (see [11] and references therein). In the remaining of this paper, we shall focus on the cohomology of $\delta$ alone and leave the investigation of compatible double complex structures (corresponding to 'multiplicative linear flat connections') for future work.

Let $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow M$ be the Lie algebroid of $\mathcal{G}$. Similarly to [11], we define the Weil complex $W^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g}, C)$ to be the space of sequences $c = (c_0, c_1, \ldots)$, where each

$$c_k : \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}) \times \cdots \times \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \Omega^{p-k}(M, \Lambda^k \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes C)$$

is a $\mathbb{R}$-linear skew-symmetric map whose failure at being $C^\infty(M)$-linear is controlled by

$$c_k(f u_1, \ldots, u_{p-k}|\cdot) = f c_k(u_1, \ldots, u_{p-k}|\cdot) + df \wedge c_{k+1}(u_2, \ldots, u_{p-k}, u_1, \cdot), \forall f \in C^\infty(M). \quad (4.1)$$

For each $q$, the complex $W^{\ast}q(\mathfrak{g}, C)$ carries a differential $d_W : W^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g}, C) \rightarrow W^{p+1,q}(\mathfrak{g}, C)$ which we now define. First, note that $\Omega^\ast(M, \Lambda^k \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes C)$ is a module for the Lie algebra $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$. Indeed, for $\alpha \in \Omega^\ast(M), \ P \in \Gamma(\Lambda^k \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes C)$,

$$u \cdot (\alpha \otimes P) = (\mathcal{L}_\rho(u)\alpha) \otimes P + \alpha \otimes (u \cdot P), \ u \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$$

defines an action of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$ on $\Omega^\ast(M, \Lambda^k \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes C)$, where

$$(u \cdot P)(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = \nabla_u P(v_1, \ldots, v_k) - \sum_{i=1}^k P(v_1, \ldots, [u, v_i], \ldots, v_k),$$

and $\nabla : \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}) \times \Gamma(C) \rightarrow \Gamma(C)$ is the $\mathfrak{g}$-connection giving the representation $C$. Now, $d_W$ is defined by

$$d_W(c)_k(u_1, \ldots, u_{p-k+1}|v_1, \ldots, v_k) = (-1)^k \left( d_{CE}(c_k)(u_1, \ldots, u_{p-k+1}|v_1, \ldots, v_k) \right. \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k i_{\rho(v_j)c_{k-1}}(u_1, \ldots, u_{p-k+1}|v_1, \ldots, v_j, \ldots, v_k) \right) \quad (4.2)$$

where $d_{CE}$ is Chevalley-Eilenberg differential on the complex $C^\ast(\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}), \Omega^{q-k}(M, \Lambda^k \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes C))$. The signs in the differential here changed because of the order convention. There is a right $\Gamma(\Lambda^k \mathfrak{g}^*)$-module structure on $W^{\ast}q(\mathfrak{g}, C)$. It is defined, for $\beta \in \Gamma(\Lambda^p \mathfrak{g}^*)$ and $c \in W^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g}, C)$ by

$$(c \wedge \beta)_k(u_1, \ldots, u_{p+p'-k}|\cdot) = \sum_{\sigma \in S(p-k, p')} \text{sgn}(\sigma)c_k(u_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, u_{\sigma(p-k)} \beta(u_{\sigma(p-k+1)}, \ldots, u_{\sigma(p+p'-k)}),$$

where $S(p-k, p')$ is the space of $(p-k, p')$-unshuffles.

**Proposition 4.2.** $W^{\ast}q(\mathfrak{g}, C)$ is a right dg-module for $\Gamma(\Lambda^k \mathfrak{g}^*)$. 
This result will follow from a evaluation isomorphism similar to \[3.4\] (see Proposition 4.11 below) between \(W^{0, q}(\mathfrak{g}, C)\) and another right \(dg\)-module for \(\Gamma(\Lambda^q \mathfrak{g}^*)\). It is important to remark that all the signs appearing in the above formula for \(d_W\), as well as in formula \[4.4\] below, are natural consequences of a simple ordering convention in the definition of this evaluation isomorphism. Remark about our sign conventions.

**Remark 4.3.**

- \(W^{0, q}(\mathfrak{g}, C) = \Omega^q(M, C)\). In this case, for \(c \in W^{0, q}(\mathfrak{g}, C)\), \(d_W(c) = (d_W(c)_0, d_W(c)_1)\) where \(d_W(c)_0 : \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}) \to \Omega^q(M, C)\), and \(d_W(c)_1 \in \Omega^{q-1}(\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes C)\) are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
d_W(c)_0(u) &= u \cdot c, \\
d_W(c)_1(v) &= i_{\rho(v)}c.
\end{align*}
\]

- For \(W^{1, q}(\mathfrak{g}, C)\), its elements are \(c = (c_0, c_1)\), where \(c_0 : \Gamma(g) \to \Omega^q(M, C)\), \(c_1 \in \Omega^{q-1}(\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes C) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda^{q-1} T^*M \otimes C)\). In this case,

\[
\begin{align*}
d_W(c)_0(u_1, u_2) &= u_1 \cdot c_0(u_2) - u_2 \cdot c_0(u_1) - c_0([u_1, u_2]) \\
d_W(c)_1(u|v) &= i_{\rho(v)}c_0(u) - u \cdot c_1(v) + c_1([u, v]) \\
d_W(c)_1(v|u) &= -i_{\rho(v)}c_1(v_2) - i_{\rho(v_2)}c_1(v_2).
\end{align*}
\]

Note that, in the case \(p = 1\), the equation \(d(c) = 0\) is equivalent to \((c_0, c_1)\) being a \(C\)-valued Spencer operator on \(\mathfrak{g}\) \([12]\) and, thus, in particular, to \((c_0, c_1)\) being an infinitesimally multiplicative form \([1]\) when \(C = \mathbb{R}\), with the trivial representation.

**The Van Est map.** Given \(u \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g})\), let \(\phi_e^u : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}\) be the flow of the right-invariant vector field \(\overline{\mathcal{V}}\). The flow of the corresponding vector field \(B_p u \in \mathfrak{x}(B_p \mathcal{G})\) is given by

\[
\psi_e^u(g_1, \ldots, g_p) = (\phi_e^u(g_1), g_2, \ldots, g_p).
\]

Define operators \(R_u : \Omega^q(B_p \mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{t}^* \mathcal{C}) \to \Omega^q(B_p \mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{t}^* \mathcal{C})\), \(J_u : \Omega^q(B_p \mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{t}^* \mathcal{C}) \to \Omega^{q-1}(B_p \mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{t}^* \mathcal{C})\) by

\[
\begin{align*}
R_u \omega|_{(g_1, \ldots, g_{p-1})} &= s_0^1 \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \Delta_{\phi_e^u(t(g_1))}^{-1} \circ \psi_e^u \omega \right) \\
J_u \omega &= s_0^1 i_{B_p u} \omega. \quad (4.3)
\end{align*}
\]

The Van Est map \(VE_\Omega : \Omega^q(B_p \mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{t}^* \mathcal{C}) \to W^{p, q}(\mathfrak{g}, C)\), \(VE_\Omega(\omega) = (c_0(\omega), c_1(\omega), \ldots)\), has each \(c_k(\omega)\) given by

\[
c_k(\omega)(u_1, \ldots, u_{p-k}|v_1, \ldots, v_k) = (-1)^{k(k-1)} \sum_{\sigma \in S(p)} \text{sgn}(\sigma)(-1)^{\epsilon(\sigma, k)} D_{\sigma(1)} \ldots D_{\sigma(p)} \omega, \quad (4.4)
\]

where

\[
D_j = \begin{cases} J_{v_j}, & \text{if } j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}, \\
R_{u_{j-k}}, & \text{if } j \in \{k+1, \ldots, p\} \end{cases} \quad (4.5)
\]

and

\[
\epsilon(\sigma, k) = \# \{(i, j) \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \times \{1, \ldots, k\} \mid i < j \text{ and } \sigma^{-1}(i) > \sigma^{-1}(j)\}.
\]

**Theorem 4.4.** \(VE_\Omega\) is a chain map and its induced map on cohomology \(VE_\Omega : H^*(\Omega^q(B_p \mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{t}^* \mathcal{C})) \to H^*(W^{*, q}(\mathfrak{g}, C))\) is a right module homomorphism over \(VE_\mathcal{G} : H^*(\mathcal{G}) \to H^*(\mathfrak{g})\). Moreover, if \(\mathcal{G}\) is source \(p_0\)-connected, then

\[
VE_\Omega : H^p(\Omega^q(B_p \mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{t}^* \mathcal{C})) \to H^p(W^{*, q}(\mathfrak{g}, C))
\]

is an isomorphism for \(p \leq p_0\) and it is injective for \(p = p_0 + 1\), for each fixed \(q\).

In the remainder of the paper, we will prove Theorem 4.4 by showing how it can be framed as a Van Est result for a class of VB-groupoids. Notice that the above theorem recovers Theorem 5.1 of reference [1] (up to some sign conventions) when \(C = M \times \mathbb{R}\) with the trivial representation. It is interesting to note that, even in this particular case, our proof is independent of the one given in [1].
4.2 Forms as functions

The key idea in the proof of Proposition 4.3 is that differential forms can be seen as homogeneous functions on a suitable space. In this subsection, we shall elaborate on this classical viewpoint.

Let \( V_1, \ldots, V_{q+1} \) be vector bundles over \( B \) and consider the fiber-product \( \prod_{j=1}^{q+1} V_j = V_1 \times_B \cdots \times_B V_{q+1} \) with the natural vector bundle structure over \( B \) (the Whitney sum \( V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{q+1} \to B \)).

**Simple functions.** For \( i = 1, \ldots, q+1 \), let \( 0_i : \prod_{j \neq i} V_j \to \prod_j V_j \) be the inclusion which puts a zero in the \( i \)-th coordinate. A function \( f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\prod_j V_j) \) is said to be simple if

\[
0_i f = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \ldots, q+1.
\]

For a subset \( I \subset \{1, \ldots, q+1\} \), denote by \( |I| \) its cardinality and by \( 0_I : \prod_I V_j \to \prod_j V_j \) the inclusion which puts a zero in the entries indicated by the elements of \( I \). Define \( P_I : \mathcal{C}^\infty(\prod_j V_j) \to \mathcal{C}^\infty(\prod_j V_j) \), \( l = -1, 0, 1, \ldots, q \), by

\[
P_{(l)}(f) = P_{(l-1)}(f) - \sum_{|I| = q+1-l} 0_I P_{(l-1)}(f), \quad \text{for } l = 0, \ldots, q. \tag{4.6}
\]

Each \( P_{(l)} \), \( l = 0, \ldots, q \), is a projection onto the space of functions of \( \prod_j V_j \) which vanishes whenever \( (q+1-l) \) entries are zero. In particular, \( P_{sp} := P_{(q)} \) is a projection onto the space of simple functions.

**Multilinearity and skew-symmetry.** The map

\[
\Gamma(B, V^*_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V^*_{q+1}) \ni \mu_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_{q+1} \mapsto (\ell_{\mu_1} \circ pr_1) \cdots (\ell_{\mu_{q+1}} \circ pr_{q+1}) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\prod_1^{q+1} V_j)
\]

is a monomorphism of \( \mathcal{C}^\infty(B) \)-modules, where \( pr_i : \prod_{j=1}^{q+1} V_j \to V_i \) is the projection onto the \( i \)-th summand. It follows from Taylor’s Theorem that its image is the space of simple \( q+1 \)-homogeneous functions.

We shall be mainly interested in the case \( V_1 = \cdots = V_q = V \) and \( V_{q+1} = W^* \) and we shall denote the \( q \)-fold fiber product \( V \times_B \cdots \times_B V \) by \( V^q \). A function \( f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(V^q \times_B W^*) \) is said to be skew-symmetric if

\[
f(v_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(q)}, \xi) = sgn(\sigma) f(v_1, \ldots, v_q, \xi), \forall v_i \in V, \xi \in W^*, \sigma \in S_q.
\]

The map \( P_{sk} : \mathcal{C}^\infty(V^q \times_B W^*) \to \mathcal{C}^\infty(V^q \times_B W^*) \) defined by

\[
P_{sk}(f) = \frac{1}{q!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_q} sgn(\sigma) f \circ \sigma, \tag{4.7}
\]

is a projection onto the space of skew-symmetric functions, where \( S_q \) is the symmetric group and \( \sigma : V^q \to V^q \) is the permutation of the first \( q \) entries belonging to \( V \) according to \( \sigma \). Let us define

\[
\tilde{\gamma} : \Gamma(B, \Lambda^q V^* \otimes W) \to \mathcal{C}^\infty(V^q \times_B W^*)
\]

\[
\omega = (\mu_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mu_q) \otimes \xi \mapsto q! P_{sk} \left( (\ell_{\mu_1} \circ pr_1) \cdots (\ell_{\mu_q} \circ pr_q) (\ell_\xi \circ pr_{q+1}) \right) \tag{4.8}
\]

It is straightforward to check that \( \tilde{\gamma} \) is a monomorphism of \( \mathcal{C}^\infty(B) \)-modules whose image is the space of simple, skew-symmetric \( q+1 \)-homogeneous functions. We shall denote the image of \( \tilde{\gamma} \) by \( \mathcal{C}^\infty_{ext}(V^q \times_B W^*) \).

The projections \( P_{sk}, P_{sp}, P_{q+1-hom} \) commute with each other, and so

\[
P_{ext} := P_{sk} \circ P_{sp} \circ P_{q+1-hom} : \mathcal{C}^\infty(V^q \times_B W^*) \to \mathcal{C}^\infty_{ext}(V^q \times_B W^*) \tag{4.9}
\]

is a projection onto \( \mathcal{C}^\infty_{ext}(V^q \times_B W^*) \).
Example 4.5. For $V = B \times \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\{\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n\}$ be a local frame for $W$ and $\{e^1, \ldots, e^n\}$ be a global frame for $V^*$. A point $p \in \times^q_B V \times_B W^*$, $q \leq n$, has coordinates

$p = (x, y_1, \ldots, y_q, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m)$, $x \in B$, $y_\ell = (y_{1,\ell}, \ldots, y_{n,\ell}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\xi_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

For a function $f \in C^\infty(\times^q_B V \times_B W^*)$, $P_{\text{ext}}f = \frac{1}{q!} \delta(\omega_f)$, where $\omega_f \in \Gamma(B, \wedge^q V^* \otimes W)$ is given by

$$
\omega_f(p) = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \ldots < k_q \leq n} \sum_{i \in S_q} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \frac{\partial^{q+1} f}{\partial y_{k_1(1),1} \ldots \partial y_{k_q(\sigma),q}} \xi_i^1(x,0) e^{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e^{k_q} \otimes \theta_i(x)
$$

4.3 The VB-groupoid behind the curtains.

We here define the VB-groupoid whose differentiable cochain complex contains the complex of differential forms with coefficients. Later on, we show how the Weil complex is embedded in the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of its Lie algebroid.

Differential forms with coefficients. Let $T\mathcal{G} \cong TM$ be the tangent groupoid, obtained by taking the derivative of all the structure maps defining $\mathcal{G}$. Let us introduce the VB-groupoid $\mathcal{G}_q \cong \mathcal{M}_q$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{G}_q = T\mathcal{G} \times_{\mathcal{G}} \cdots \times_{\mathcal{G}} T\mathcal{G} \times_{\mathcal{G}} \mathfrak{t}^* \mathcal{C}^* \quad \overset{q\text{-times}}{\longrightarrow} \quad \mathcal{G}
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}_q = TM \times_M \cdots \times_M TM \times_M \mathcal{C}^* \quad \overset{q\text{-times}}{\longrightarrow} \quad \mathcal{M}
$$

(4.10)

where the structure maps are defined componentwise and $\mathfrak{t}^* \mathcal{C}^* \cong \mathcal{C}^*$ is the action groupoid corresponding to the right action of $\mathcal{G}$ (see Example 4.5) on $\mathcal{C}^*$ obtained by taking adjoints. We shall frequently omit the subscript $q$ when no confusion arises. The $q$-fold fiber products on (4.10) shall also be denoted as $\times_q T\mathcal{G} \times_{\mathcal{G}} \mathfrak{t}^* \mathcal{C}^*$ and $\times_q TM \times_M \mathcal{C}^*$.

Lemma 4.6. The space of $q$-composable arrows $B_p \mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic as a vector bundle over $B_p \mathcal{G}$ to the $q$-fold fiber product $TB_p \mathcal{G} \times_{B_p \mathcal{G}} \cdots \times_{B_p \mathcal{G}} TB_p \mathcal{G} \times_{B_p \mathcal{G}} \mathfrak{t}^* \mathcal{C}^*$. More concisely,

$$
B_p \mathcal{G} = B_p(\times_q T\mathcal{G} \times_{\mathcal{G}} \mathfrak{t}^* \mathcal{C}^*) \cong (\times_q TB_p \mathcal{G}) \times_{B_p \mathcal{G}} \mathfrak{t}^* \mathcal{C}^*.
$$

(4.11)

The proof consists in simply defining the isomorphism:

$$
B_p \mathcal{G} \ni (U_1, \ldots, U_p) \mapsto ((U_1)^{(1)}, \ldots, (U_1)^{(p)}), \ldots, ((U_q)^{(1)}, \ldots, (U_q)^{(p)}), (g_1, \ldots, g_p, \xi_1),
$$

where each $(U_i)^{(j)} = (U_{1}^{(i)}, \ldots, U_{q}^{(i)}, (g_i, \xi_i)) \in \mathcal{G}$.

One important consequence of the isomorphism (4.11) is that the space of differential forms $\Omega^q(B_p \mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{t}^* \mathcal{C})$ can be identified with a subspace of $C^\infty(B_p \mathcal{G})$, which we shall denote by $C^\infty_{\text{ext}}(B_p \mathcal{G})$. It is the image of the map $\delta$:

$$
\delta : \Omega^q(B_p \mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{t}^* \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\times_q TB_p \mathcal{G} \times_{B_p \mathcal{G}} \mathfrak{t}^* \mathcal{C}^*) \cong C^\infty(B_p \mathcal{G}).
$$

(4.12)

In order to characterize $C^\infty_{\text{ext}}(B_p \mathcal{G})$ more explicitly, note that, given a permutation $\sigma \in S_q$, the permutation map $\sigma : \times_q T\mathcal{G} \times_{\mathcal{G}} \mathfrak{t}^* \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \times_q T\mathcal{G} \times_{\mathcal{G}} \mathfrak{t}^* \mathcal{C}$ is a groupoid morphism and, under the isomorphism $\delta$,

$$
B_p \sigma_\mathcal{G} \cong \sigma_\mathcal{G} B_p,
$$

(4.13)

There is a more general fact playing a role here: Whitney sums of VB-groupoids yield VB-groupoids (see $\mathfrak{G}$).
for the corresponding permutation map \( \sigma_{B_p,G} : \times B_p G \times B_{p+1}G \to T_p G \times B_p G \). Similarly, the zero maps \( 0^i \in G_{q-1} \to G_q \), \( i = 1, \ldots, q \) and \( 0^q_{q+1} : \times T_q G \to G_q \) are groupoid morphisms and

\[
B_p 0^i_q \cong 0^i_{B_p G}, \ \forall \ i = 1, \ldots, q + 1. \tag{4.14}
\]

Hence,

\[
C^\infty_{\text{ext}}(B_p G) = \{ f \in C^\infty_{\text{(q+1)-hom}}(B_p G) \mid (B_p \sigma g)^* f = \text{sgn}(\sigma) f, (B_p 0^i_q)^* f = 0, \forall \sigma \in S_q, \ i = 1, \ldots, q + 1 \}.
\]

Note that the projection \( \delta \) gives here, under the isomorphism \( 4.11 \), a projection \( P_{\text{ext},G} : C^\infty(B_p G) \to C^\infty_{\text{ext}}(B_p G) \).

**Proposition 4.7.** The projection \( P_{\text{ext},G} \) satisfies

\[
P_{\text{ext},G} \circ \delta = \delta \circ P_{\text{ext},G}.
\]

In particular, \( C^\infty_{\text{ext}}(B_p G) \) is a subcomplex.

Commutation of the projection and differential. **Proof:** The result follows directly from \( 4.13 \), \( 4.14 \) and the fact that

\[
(B_{p+1}\phi)^* \delta f = \delta (B_p G)^* f,
\]

for an arbitrary groupoid morphism \( \phi : H_1 \to H_2 \) and \( f \in C^p(H_2) \).

In the following, we shall denote by \( C^\bullet_{\text{ext}}(G) \) and \( H^\bullet_{\text{ext}}(G) \) the complex \( (C^\infty_{\text{ext}}(B_p G), \delta) \) and its cohomology, respectively.

**Proposition 4.8.** The map \( \delta : \Omega^q(B_p G, T^* C) \to C^\infty_{\text{ext}}(G) \) is a dg-module isomorphism.

**Proof:** Let \( \partial_i : B_{p+1} G \to B_p G, \theta_i : B_{p+1} G \to B_p G, i = 0, \ldots, p + 1 \), be the face maps and \( s_j : B_{p+1} G \to B_p G, s_j : B_{p+1} G \to B_p G, j = 0, \ldots, p - 1 \), be the degeneracy maps for \( G \) and \( G \), respectively. The result follows from the fact that

\[
\theta^*_0 \mathcal{F}_\omega = \mathcal{F}_{\partial_1 \omega}, \quad \theta^*_1 \mathcal{F}_\omega = \mathcal{F}_{\partial_2 \omega},
\]

\[
s^*_j \mathcal{F}_\omega = \mathcal{F}_{s^* j \omega}, \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega^q(B_p G, T^* C),
\]

when restricted to the fiber over \( (g_1, \ldots, g_p) \in B_p G \).

**The Weil complex.** The Lie algebroid \( \mathcal{A}_q \to M \) of the Lie groupoid \( 4.10 \) \( G_q \to M_q \) is the \( q \)-fold fiber-product \( \times T_q G \times \pi^* C^* \to \times T M \times C^* \), where \( \pi : g \to M \) denotes the projection map of the Lie algebroid of \( G \).

**Definition 4.9.** Let \( \alpha \in \Gamma(M, \Lambda^* \mathcal{A}^*) \). We say that \( \alpha \) is skew-symmetric with respect to \( \mathcal{A} \to g \) if

\[
\sigma^*_g \alpha = \text{sgn}(\sigma) \alpha, \ \forall \sigma \in S_q \tag{4.15}
\]

where \( \sigma_g : \mathcal{A}_q \to \mathcal{A}_q \) permutes the q-coordinates on \( \times T_q G \) according to \( \sigma \). Similarly, \( \alpha \) is multi-linear with respect to \( \mathcal{A} \to g \) if

\[
\lambda^*_\mathcal{A} \alpha = \lambda^{i+1} \alpha \tag{4.16}
\]

\[
(0^i \mathcal{A}) \alpha = 0, \ \forall i = 1, \ldots, q + 1 \tag{4.17}
\]

where \( \mathcal{A}_q \to \mathcal{A}_q \) is the homogeneous structure of the vector bundle \( \mathcal{A}_q \to g \), \( 0^i_q : \mathcal{A}_{q-1} \to \mathcal{A}_q \) and \( 0^q_{q+1} : \times T_q g \to \mathcal{A}_q, i = 1, \ldots, q \), are the zero maps.

\[\text{As with VB-groupoids, Whitney sums of VB-algebroids yield VB-algebroids. Moreover, Whitney sums are preserved by the Lie functor (see \textbf{[4]}).}\]
Let $\Gamma_{\text{ext}}(M, \Lambda^pA^*_\mathfrak{g})$ be the subspace of $\Gamma(M, \Lambda^pA^*_\mathfrak{g})$ of skew-symmetric multi-linear forms with respect to $\mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{g}$. In particular, $\Gamma_{\text{ext}}(M, \Lambda^pA^*_\mathfrak{g}) \subset \Gamma_{(q+1)\text{-hom}}(M, \Lambda^pA_q)$. In the following, we shall frequently omit the reference to $q$ on the Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{A}_q$. There exists a projection $P_{\text{ext}, q}: \Gamma(M, \Lambda^pA_q) \to \Gamma_{\text{ext}}(M, \Lambda^pA^*_q)$ obtained exactly as [4.10] composing the projection $P_{(q+1)\text{-hom}}^{(p)}$ with the ones constructed from the zero maps $0^p_i$ and permutations $\sigma^q$ exactly as in (4.6) and (4.7), respectively.

**Proposition 4.11.** There exists a right $\Gamma(\Lambda^*\mathfrak{g}^*)$-module isomorphism $ev: CE_{\text{ext}}(\mathfrak{A}) \to W^{*\cdot q}(\mathfrak{g}, C)$ satisfying

$$ev \circ d = d \circ ev.$$  

We refer to the Appendix (see Proposition A.3) for a proof. It is important to note that Proposition A.11 implies that $W^{*\cdot q}(\mathfrak{g}, C)$ is a right $dg$-module for $\Gamma(\Lambda^*\mathfrak{g}^*)$ as stated in Proposition 4.2. It is also worth noting that $ev$ is a map defined similarly to (4.3) (i.e. it evaluates an element $\alpha \in \Gamma_{\text{ext}}(M, \Lambda^pA^*_q)$ on a set of generators of $\Gamma(M, \mathfrak{A})$ to give the sequence $(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \ldots) \in W^{p\cdot q}(\mathfrak{g}, C)$).

**Remark 4.12.** An alternative characterization of $\Gamma_{\text{ext}}(M, \Lambda^pA^*_\mathfrak{g})$ can be given by seeing vector bundles as Lie groupoids (with multiplication given by addition on the fibers). Denote $\mathfrak{A}^{(p)} = x^p_M \mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{(p)} = x^p_M \mathfrak{g}$. One has $\mathfrak{A}^{(p)} = Bp_M \mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{(p)} = Bp_M \mathfrak{g}$. In particular, the isomorphism 4.11 implies that

$$\mathfrak{A}^{(p)} \cong x^{\mathfrak{g}^{(p)}}_M T\mathfrak{g}^{(p)} x^{\mathfrak{g}^{(p)}} M \pi^* C^*,$$

as vector bundles over $\mathfrak{g}^{(p)}$, where $\pi: \mathfrak{g}^{(p)} \to M$ is defined (following the previous convention for $t: \mathcal{G}^{(p)} \to M$) as $\pi(u_1, \ldots, u_p) = \pi(u_1)$. Hence, $\Omega^q(\mathfrak{g}^{(p)}, \pi^* C)$, the space of differential forms on $\mathfrak{g}^{(p)}$ with values on $C$, can be embedded as a subspace of $C^\infty(\mathfrak{A}(p))$ via (4.8). Similarly, $\Gamma(\mathfrak{M}, \Lambda^pA)$ can also be embedded as a subspace of $C^\infty(\mathfrak{A}(p))$. One can now check that

$$\Gamma_{\text{ext}}(M, \Lambda^pA^*_\mathfrak{g}) = \Gamma(M, \Lambda^pA^*_\mathfrak{g}) \cap \Omega^q(\mathfrak{g}^{(p)}, \pi^* C).$$

We would like to mention that in the case where $C = \mathbb{R}$, with the trivial representation, Li-Bland and Meinrenken [17] gave a similar characterization of the Weil algebra as a subspace of differential forms on $\mathfrak{g}$. In this context, the case $p = 1$ was already studied by Bursztyn-Cabrera-Ortiz in [22, 23].

### 4.4 Proof of the Van Est Theorem for differential forms with coefficients

Let $\text{VE}: (C^*(\mathcal{G}), \delta_G) \to (\Gamma(M, \Lambda^*\mathfrak{A}^*_\mathfrak{g}), d)$ be the Van Est map [22,13] for the groupoid $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{M}$.

**Proposition 4.13.**

$$\text{VE} \circ P_{\text{ext}}^{\mathfrak{g}^{(p)}} = P_{\text{ext}}^{\mathfrak{g}^{(p)}} \circ \text{VE}.$$  

In particular, $\text{VE}(\Gamma_{\text{ext}}(Bp_M \mathfrak{g})) \subset \Gamma_{\text{ext}}(M, \Lambda^pA^*_\mathfrak{g})$. 

Remark 4.15. The space \( \Omega^q(B_p G, t^* C) \) is a bigraded right module for the bigraded algebra \( \Omega^*(B_p G) \) with the cup product \( \cup \). The multiplication is given by

\[
\omega \cup \eta = (-1)^{q' \cdot p} \text{pr}^* \omega \wedge \text{pr}'^* \eta, \quad \omega, \eta \in \Omega^q(B_p G, t^* C),
\]

where \( \text{pr} : B_{p+p'} G \to B_p G \) (resp. \( \text{pr}' : B_{p+p'} G \to B_{p'} G \)) is the projection onto the first \( p \) arrows (resp. last \( p' \) arrows). It is interesting to note that such module structure can also be described within the VB-groupoid context. Indeed, by considering the projections \( \tilde{\text{pr}} : \mathcal{G}^{q+q'} \to \mathcal{G}^q \) and \( \tilde{\text{pr}}' : \mathcal{G}^{q+q'} \to \times_T^q \mathcal{G} \), one can check that \( \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\omega, \eta} \in C^\infty(B_{p+p'} \mathcal{G}^{q+q'}) \) can be obtained from \( (B_p \tilde{\text{pr}})^* \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\omega} \in C^\infty(B_p \mathcal{G}^{q+q'}) \) and \( (B_{p'} \tilde{\text{pr}}')^* \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\eta} \in C^\infty(B_{p'} \mathcal{G}^{q+q'}) \) by skew-symmetrizing their cup product

\[
(B_p \tilde{\text{pr}})^* \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\omega} \ast (B_{p'} \tilde{\text{pr}}')^* \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\eta} \in C^\infty(B_{p+p'} \mathcal{G}^{q+q'}).
\]

Similarly, one can define a bigraded module structure on \( W^{**}(g, C) \) for the Weil algebra \( W^{**}(g) \) using the wedge product for their models as subcomplexes of the Chevalley-Eilenberg complexes. These bigraded module structures should be useful for studying "multiplicative linear flat" connections on \( C \).

A Formulas for the evaluation map

In this Appendix we turn to the proof of Lemma 4.14 relating the formula for \( \text{VE}_{q\mathcal{G}} \) with the standard Van Est map for \( \mathcal{G} \) and \( \mathcal{A} \). In the process, we also give a detailed description (c.f. eq. (A.8) below) of the map \( ev : \Gamma_{q\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{M}, \Lambda^p \mathcal{A}^*) \to W^{p,q}(g, C) \) making use of special sections of \( \mathcal{A}_q \).
A.1 Special sections

Let $TB \to B$ be the tangent bundle of $B$. Given a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(B)$, let $X^T, X^v \in \mathfrak{X}(TB)$ be its tangent and vertical lift, respectively. Define $X^{T,q}, X^{v,q}, \ j = 1, \ldots, q$ vector fields on the manifold $\times_B^q TB$ as follows

$$X^{T,q}(v_1, \ldots, v_q) = (X^T(v_1), \ldots, X^T(v_q))$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1)
$$X^{v,q}(v_1, \ldots, v_q) = (0, v_1, \ldots, X^v(v_j), \ldots, 0).$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.2)

Let now $G = M$ be a Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \to M$. For a representation $C \to M$ of $G$, consider the Lie groupoid (4.10), $\times^q \mathfrak{g} T \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \times \pi^* C^\ast \to M$ TM $\times M C^\ast$, with corresponding Lie algebroid $\times^q \mathfrak{g} T \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \pi^* C^\ast$. For a section $u : M \to \mathfrak{g}$, let $Tu : TM \to T\mathfrak{g}$ be its derivative and $\chi_u : C^\ast \to \pi^* C^\ast = C^\ast \times_M \mathfrak{g}$ the section defined by (2.11). The expressions

$$\nabla(u(x_1, \ldots, x_q), \xi) = (Tu(x_1), \ldots, Tu(x_q), \chi_u(\xi))$$
$$Z_i u(x_1, \ldots, x_q, \xi) = (T0(x_1), \ldots, T0(x_i) + \frac{d}{de} \bigg|_{e=0} (eu(m)), \ldots, T0(x_q), 0),$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, q$, $x_1, \ldots, x_q \in TmM$, $\xi \in C^\ast_m$ and $m \in M$, define sections of the Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{A} = \times^q \mathfrak{g} T \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \pi^* C^\ast \to M = \times^q \mathfrak{g} TM \times_M C^\ast$. It is known that $\nabla u$ and $Z_i u$, $i = 1, \ldots, q$, generate $\Gamma(M, \mathfrak{A})$ as a $C^\infty(M)$ module.

**Lemma A.1.** As vector fields on $B_p(\times^q \mathfrak{g} T \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \pi^* C^\ast) \cong \times^q \mathfrak{g} T \mathfrak{g} \times_B \mathfrak{g} \pi^* C^\ast$, the following identities hold

$$B_p(\nabla u) = ((B_pu)^T, X_u)$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.3)
$$B_p(Z_i u) = ((B_pv)^T, 0),$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.4)

where $X_u \in \mathfrak{X}(\pi^* C^\ast)$ is the vector field whose time-$\epsilon$ flow is given by

$$(g_1, \ldots, g_p, \xi) \mapsto (\psi^\epsilon_u(g_1, \ldots, g_p), \Delta^\epsilon_{\phi^\epsilon_u(t(g_l))}(\xi)).$$

In particular, for $\omega \in \Omega(B_p G, \pi^* C)$,

$$\mathfrak{s}_0^\ast \mathcal{L}_{B_p(\nabla u)} \mathfrak{g}_\omega = \mathfrak{g} R_u \omega$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.5)
$$\mathfrak{s}_0^\ast \mathcal{L}_{B_p(Z_i u)} \mathfrak{g}_\omega = (-1)^i \mathfrak{g} J_u \omega \circ \text{pr}_{i-1,q},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.6)

where $R_u$ and $J_u$ were defined in eq. (1.3). $\text{pr}_{i-1,q} : \times^q \mathfrak{g} T \mathfrak{g} \times_B \mathfrak{g} \pi^* C^\ast \to \times^q \mathfrak{g} T \mathfrak{g} \times_B \mathfrak{g} \pi^* C^\ast$ is the projection which forgets the $i$-th component and $\mathfrak{s}_0$ is the first degeneracy map for $G$.

**Proof:** For $u \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$, consider the sections $Zu, Tu$ of $T\mathfrak{g} \to TM$, where

$$Zu(x) = T0(x) + \frac{d}{de} \bigg|_{e=0} (eu(m)), \ x \in TmM.$$  \hspace{1cm}

One has that $\mathcal{T}u = \mathcal{T}^u, \mathcal{Z}u = \mathcal{U}^u$, as vector fields on $T\mathfrak{g} = TM$ (see [21]). Also, the flow of the right invariant vector field $\mathfrak{X}_u \in \mathfrak{X}(\pi^* C^\ast)$ is given by

$$(g, \xi) \mapsto (\phi^\epsilon_u(g), \phi^\epsilon_u(t(g_l))^{-1} \cdot \xi).$$

The identities (A.3), (A.4) now follow from analysing the flows together with the rearrangement isomorphism (4.11). Hence, for $\omega \in \Omega(B_p G, \pi^* C)$,

$$\left(\mathcal{L}_{B_p(\nabla u)} \mathfrak{g}_\omega\right)(1, \ldots, 1, \psi^\epsilon_u(g_1), \ldots, \psi^\epsilon_u(g_p), \phi^\epsilon_u(t(g_l))) = \left(\xi, \phi(t(g_l))^{-1} \cdot (\psi^\epsilon_u)^\ast \omega(1, \ldots, 1, \psi^\epsilon_u(g_1), \ldots, \psi^\epsilon_u(g_p), \phi^\epsilon_u(t(g_l)))\right).$$

Footnotes:

- The flow at time $\epsilon$ of $X^T$ (resp. $X^v$) is the derivative of the flow at time $\epsilon$ of $X$ (resp. translation by $\epsilon X$).
- This follows from a general result regarding core and linear sections of double vector bundles (see [20]).
Now, (A.5) follows from the commutation relations on Proposition 4.8. The identity (A.6) follows similarly. □

A.2 The evaluation map

We shall now describe the chain isomorphism \( ev : \Gamma_{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{M}, \Lambda^p \Lambda^*) \to W^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g}, C) \). First, for \( \alpha \in \Gamma_{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{M}, \Lambda^p \Lambda^*) \subset \Gamma(\mathbb{M}, \Lambda^p \Lambda^*) \), define

\[
\tilde{c}_k(\alpha) : (x^{p-k}\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})) \times (x^k\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})) \to C^\infty(\times^q M \times M C^*)
\]
as:

\[
\tilde{c}_k(\alpha)(u_1, \ldots, u_{p-k}|v_1, \ldots, v_k) = \alpha(Z_{i_1}v_1, \ldots, Z_{i_k}v_k, T_{i_1}, \ldots, T_{i_{p-k}}).
\]

**Lemma A.2.** There exists a map \( c_k(\alpha) : x^p\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}) \to \Omega^{q-k}(M, C) \) such that

\[
c_k(\alpha) = \tilde{c}_k(\alpha) \circ pr_{[1,k]}, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Gamma_{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{M}, \Lambda^p \Lambda^*_q),
\]

where \( pr_{[1,k]} : x^q TM \times M C^* \to x^{q-k} TM \times M C^* \) is the projection which forgets the first \( k \) entries.

**Proof:** The multilinearity with respect to the both vector bundle structures, \( \Lambda \to \mathbb{M} \) and \( \Lambda \to \mathfrak{g} \), implies that \( \tilde{c}_k(\alpha)(u_1, \ldots, u_{p-k}|v_1, \ldots, v_k) = F_\alpha \circ pr_{[1,k]} \), where \( F_\alpha \in C^\infty(\times^{q-k} TM \times M C^*) \) is given by

\[
F_\alpha(y_1, \ldots, y_{q-k}, \xi) = \tilde{c}_k(\alpha)(u_1, \ldots, u_{p-k}|v_1, \ldots, v_k)(0_{m}, \ldots, 0_{m}, y_1, \ldots, y_{q-k}, \xi).
\]

We now have to check that \( F_\alpha \in C^\infty_{\text{ext}}(\times^{q-k} TM \times M C^*) \), i.e. \( F \) is \( q-k+1 \)-homogeneous, simple and skew-symmetric. The homogeneity of \( F_\alpha \) follows from the homogeneity of \( \alpha \) together with the linearity of the sections \( Tu \) and the properties of the section \( Z_j v \):

\[
Z_j(v)|\{0_m, \ldots, 0_m, \lambda y_{1-1}, \lambda y_{q-k}, \lambda \xi\} = h^\mathfrak{g}_\lambda \left( Z_j\left( \frac{1}{\lambda} v \right)|\{0_m, \ldots, 0_m, y_{1-1}, y_{q-k}, \xi\} \right), Z_j(\lambda v) = \lambda \cdot Z_j(v), \quad \lambda > 0,
\]

where \( \cdot \) stands for the multiplication for \( \Lambda \to \mathbb{M} \). The simplicity of \( F_\alpha \) follows from the identity

\[
(F_\alpha \circ 0_i) \circ pr_{[1,k]} = ((0^i_{k+1})^\mathfrak{g}_\lambda)(Z_{i_1}v_1, \ldots, Z_{i_k}v_k, T_{i_1}, \ldots, T_{i_{p-k}}) = 0,
\]

for \( i = 1, \ldots, q-k+1 \). Finally, let \( \sigma \in S_{q-k} \subset S_q \), seen as the subgroup acting as the identity on \( \{1, \ldots, k\} \). One can check that

\[
(F_\alpha \circ \sigma_M) \circ pr_{[1,k]} = (\sigma^*_{\mathfrak{g}}\alpha)(Z_{i_1}v_1, \ldots, Z_{i_k}v_k, T_{i_1}, \ldots, T_{i_{p-k}})
\]

\[
= sgn(\sigma) \cdot \alpha(Z_{i_1}v_1, \ldots, Z_{i_k}v_k, T_{i_1}, \ldots, T_{i_{p-k}})
\]

\[
= sgn(\sigma) F_\alpha \circ pr_{[1,k]}.
\]

This shows that \( F_\alpha \in C^\infty_{\text{ext}}(\times^{q-k} TM \times M C^*) \) and, therefore, there exists \( c_k(\alpha) : x^p\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}) \to \Omega^{q-k}(M, C) \) such that

\[
F_\alpha = \tilde{c}_k(\alpha)(u_1, \ldots, u_{p-k}|v_1, \ldots, v_k).
\]

Our aim is to prove that

\[
ev(\alpha) = (c_0(\alpha), c_1(\alpha), \ldots)
\]

defines a map from \( \Gamma_{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{M}, \Lambda^p \Lambda^*) \) into \( W^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g}, C) \). First note that the sequence \( (c_0(\alpha), c_1(\alpha), \ldots) \) completely determines \( \alpha \in \Gamma_{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{M}, \Lambda^p \Lambda^*) \). Indeed, as \( \Gamma(\mathbb{M}, \Lambda) \) is generated as \( C^\infty(\mathbb{M}) \)-module by sections of the type \( Tu, Z_jv, \) any element of \( \Gamma(\mathbb{M}, \Lambda^p \Lambda^*) \) is determined by its values on these sections. Now, one can check that, for \( \alpha \in \Gamma_{\text{ext}}(\mathbb{M}, \Lambda^p \Lambda^*) \),

\[
i_{Z_jv}i_{Z_jw} \alpha = 0, \quad \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, q
\]

(A.9)
and, for a permutation $\sigma \in S_q$,

$$\alpha(Z_{\sigma(1)}v_1, \ldots, Z_{\sigma(k)}v_k, T\mu_1, \ldots, T\mu_{p-k}) = \text{sgn}(\sigma) \alpha^*_{M}(\alpha(Z_1v_1, \ldots, Z_kv_k, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{p-k})).$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.10)$$

Hence, to recover $\alpha$ from its values on the sections $T\mu, Z_v$, it suffices to know the values of $\alpha$ encoded on the sequence $(c_0(\alpha), c_1(\alpha), \ldots)$. The next result gives the desired proof of Proposition 4.11.

**Proposition A.3.** Given $\alpha \in \Gamma_{\text{ext}}(M, \Lambda^p\Lambda^* \otimes C)$, one has that:

1. $c_k(\alpha)$ is skew-symmetric on the $u$'s entries.
2. $c_k(\alpha)$ is symmetric on the $v$'s entries.
3. Given $f \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$c_k(\alpha)(u_1, \ldots, u_{p-k}|v_1, \ldots, f v_k) = f c_k(\alpha)(u_1, \ldots, u_{p-k}|v_1, \ldots, v_k)$$

$$c_k(\alpha)(f u_1, \ldots, u_{p-k}|v_1, \ldots, v_k) = f c_k(\alpha)(u_1, \ldots, u_{p-k}|v_1, \ldots, v_k)$$

$$+ df \wedge c_{k+1}(\alpha)(u_2, \ldots, u_{p-k}|v_1, \ldots, v_k)$$

In particular, each $c_k$ can be seen as a $\mathbb{R}$-linear skew-symmetric map $c_k : \times^{p-k} \Gamma(g) \to \Omega^{q-k}(M, S^k g^* \otimes C)$. Moreover, the map $\text{ev} : \Gamma_{\text{ext}}(M, \Lambda^p\Lambda^* \otimes C) \to W^{p,q}(g, C)$ defined by $[A.8]$ is a right $\Gamma(\Lambda^* g^*)$-module isomorphism satisfying

$$\text{ev} \circ d_{\text{ext}} = d_W \circ \text{ev}.$$ 

**Proof:**

1. This follows directly from the skew-symmetry of $\alpha$ with respect to $A \to M$.

2. Let $\sigma \in S_k \subset S_q$, seen as the subgroup acting as the identity on $\{k+1, \ldots, q\}$. From [A.10] and the skew-symmetry of $\alpha$ with respect to $A \to M$,

$$\alpha(Z_{\sigma(1)}v_1, \ldots, Z_{\sigma(k)}v_k, T\mu_1, \ldots, T\mu_{p-k}) = \text{sgn}(\sigma) \alpha(Z_{\sigma(1)}v_1, \ldots, Z_{\sigma(k)}v_k, T\mu_1, \ldots, T\mu_{p-k})$$

$$= (\text{sgn}(\sigma))^2 \alpha(Z_1v_1, \ldots, Z_kv_k, T\mu_1, \ldots, T\mu_{p-k}).$$

In the second equality we have used the fact that $\alpha(T\mu_1, \ldots, T\mu_{p-k}, Z_{\sigma(1)}v_1, \ldots, Z_{\sigma(k)}v_k) \in C^\infty(\times^q TM \times M C^*)$ does not depend on the first $k$ coordinates.

3. One can check that

$$Z_i(fv) = (f \circ \pi) \cdot Z_i v$$

$$T(fu) = (f \circ \pi) \cdot T u + \sum_{j=1}^q (\ell_{df} \circ \text{pr}_j) \cdot Z_j u$$

where all the sums and scalar multiplications are with respect to $\pi : A \to M$, $\text{pr}_j : \times^q TM \times M C^* \to TM$ is the projection onto the $j$-th factor and $\ell_{df} \in C^\infty(T M)$ is the linear function corresponding to $df \in \Omega^1(M)$.

To simplify notation, we identify $\Omega^{q-k}(M, C)$ with its image on $C^\infty(\times^{q-k} TM \times M C^*)$ under $\mathfrak{g}$ in the following. The first equation of (3) is now straightforward to check. As for the second, it follows from [A.9] and [A.10] that

$$c_k(\alpha)(fu_1, \ldots, u_{p-k}|v_1, \ldots, v_k) \circ \text{pr}_{[1,k]} = (f \circ \pi) \alpha(Z_1v_1, \ldots, Z_kv_k, T\mu_1, \ldots, T\mu_{p-k})$$

$$+ \sum_{j=k+1}^q (\ell_{df} \circ \text{pr}_j) \alpha(Z_1v_1, \ldots, Z_kv_k, Z_ju_1, T\mu_2, \ldots, T\mu_{p-k})$$

$$= (f \circ \pi)c_k(\alpha)(u_1, \ldots, u_{p-k}|v_1, \ldots, v_k) \circ \text{pr}_{[1,k]}$$

$$+ \sum_{j=k+1}^q (-1)^{j-k-1}(\ell_{df} \circ \text{pr}_j) \alpha(Z_1v_1, \ldots, Z_kv_k, Z_{k+1}u_1, T\mu_2, \ldots, T\mu_{p-k}) \circ \sigma^j$$

$$\text{(*)}$$
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where \( \sigma \in S_q \) is the cycle \((j \rightarrow j+1 \rightarrow \ldots k \rightarrow k+1)\), for \( k+1 \leq j \leq q \), which has sign equal to \((-1)^{j-k-1}\). It is now straightforward to check that \((*)\) equals \(df^* \wedge c_{k+1}(u_2, \ldots, u_{p-k}|v_1, \ldots, v_k, u_1) \circ \text{pr}_{[1,k]}\).

It remains to prove that \(\text{ev}\) is a dg-module isomorphism. Let us first prove that \(\text{ev}\) commutes with the multiplication. Let \(\beta \in \Gamma(A^p \mathfrak{g}^p) \cong \Gamma_0\text{-hom}(M, A^p K^*)\) and consider \(\text{ev}(\alpha \wedge \beta) = (c_0(\alpha \wedge \beta), c_1(\alpha \wedge \beta), \ldots)\).

By definition,

\[
c_k(\alpha \wedge \beta)(u_1, \ldots, u_{p+1-k}|v_1, \ldots, v_k) \circ \text{pr}_{[1,k]} = (\alpha \wedge \beta)(Z_1 v_1, \ldots, Z_k v_k, T u_1, \ldots, T u_{p+1-k})
\]

\[
= \sum_{\sigma \in S(p-k, p')} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \alpha(Z_1 v_1, \ldots, Z_k v_k, T u_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, T u_{\sigma(p-k)}) \beta(T u_{\sigma(p-k+1)}, \ldots, T u_{\sigma(p+1-k)}).
\]

where \(S(p-k, p')\) is the space of \((p-k, p')\)-unshuffles and the last equality follows from the fact that the contraction of \(\beta\) with any section of type \(Z.v\) is zero. The result now follows easily.

Finally, to prove that \(\text{ev}\) intertwines the differential, consider

\[
\text{ev}(d\alpha) = (c_0(d\alpha), \ldots, c_k(d\alpha), \ldots),
\]

where

\[
c_k(d\alpha)(u_1, \ldots, u_{p+1-k}|v_1, \ldots, v_k) \circ \text{pr}_{[1,k]} = d\alpha(Z_1 v_1, \ldots, Z_k v_k, T u_1, \ldots, T u_{p+1-k})
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{j+1} L_{\rho(Z_j v_j)} \alpha(Z_1 v_1, \ldots, \hat{Z_j} v_j, \ldots) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-k+1} (-1)^{i+k+1} L_{\rho(T u_i)} \alpha(Z_1 v_1, \ldots, \hat{T u_i}, \ldots)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+1-k} (-1)^{i+j} \alpha([T u_i, T u_j], \ldots, \hat{T u_i}, \ldots, \hat{T u_j}, \ldots)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^{p+1-k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{j+k+1} \alpha([Z_j v_j, T u_i], \ldots, \hat{Z_j} v_j, \ldots, \hat{T u_i}, \ldots).
\]

Notice that there are no terms containing \([Z_j v_j, Z_j v_j]\) since these brackets are all zero. To study the remaining terms, we shall use some properties of the tangent Lie algebroid \(T \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T M\) (see [21]) and the action algebroid \(C^* \times_M \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow C^*\).

(A) From \([10]\),

\[
\alpha(Z_1 v_1, \ldots, \hat{Z_j} v_j, \ldots, Z_k v_k, T u_1, \ldots, T u_{p+1-k})
\]

\[
= (-1)^{k-j} \sigma^*_{M} (\mathfrak{F}_{k-1}(\alpha)(u_1, \ldots, u_{p+1-k}|v_1, \ldots, v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_k) \circ \text{pr}_{[1,k-1]})
\]

where \(\sigma = (j \rightarrow k \rightarrow (j+1) \rightarrow \ldots k \rightarrow k+1) \in S_q\). Now,

\[
\text{pr}_{[1,k-1]} \circ \sigma_{M}(x_1, \ldots, x_q, \xi) = (x_j, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_q, \xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(Z_j v_j) = (\rho(v_j)^{\gamma_q, 0})
\]

and

\[
L_{(X^\gamma_q, 0)}(\mathfrak{F}) = \mathfrak{F}_{ix} \circ \text{pr}_{(1)}, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \omega \in \Omega^q(M, C)
\]

where \(\text{pr}_{(1)} : \times^q T M \times_M C^* \rightarrow \times^{q-1} T M \times_M C^*\) is the projection which forgets the first component. These facts imply that

\[
(A) = (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (i_{\rho(v_j)} c_{k-1}(\alpha)(u_1, \ldots, u_{p+1-k}|v_1, \ldots, v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_k)) \circ \text{pr}_{[1,k]}
\]
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(B) The fact that $\rho(Tu_i) = (\rho(u_i)^T, \rho(\chi_u))$, where $(\cdot)^T$ stands for tangent lift implies that, for $\omega \in \Omega^p(M, C)$, $L_{\rho(Tu_i)}\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\omega}_{u_i, \omega}$, where $u \cdot (\beta \otimes \mu) = L_{\rho(u)}\beta \otimes \mu + \beta \otimes \nabla u \mu$, $\beta \in \Omega^q(M)$, $\mu \in \Gamma(C)$ and $\nabla$ is the $g$-connection on $C$ defining the representation of $g$ on $C$. Hence,

$$(B) = (-1)^k \sum_{i=1}^{p-k+1} (-1)^{i+1} (u_i \cdot c_k(u_1, \ldots, \hat{u}_i, \ldots, u_{p+1-k}|v_1, \ldots, v_k)) \circ \text{pr}_{[1,k]}$$

(C,D) From the identities $[Tu_i, Tu_j] = T[u_i, u_j]$ and $[Tu_i, Z_j v_j] = Z_j [u_i, v_j]$, it is straightforward to check that

$$(C) = (-1)^k \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p-k+1} (-1)^{i+j} (c_k(\alpha)(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{p-k+1}) \circ \text{pr}_{[1,k]}$$

$$(D) = (-1)^k \sum_{i=1}^{p-k+1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^j (c_k(\alpha)(u_1, \ldots, \hat{u}_i, \ldots, u_{p-k+1}|v_1, \ldots, v_k)) \circ \text{pr}_{[1,k]}$$

Hence,

$$(A) + (B) + (C) + (D) = d_W(c(\alpha))_k (u_1, \ldots, u_{p-k}|v_1, \ldots, v_k) \circ \text{pr}_{[1,k]} \implies c(d(\alpha))_k = d_W(c(\alpha))_k,$$

as we wanted. □

### A.3 The proof of Lemma 4.14

**Lemma 4.14 rephrased.** Let $\omega \in \Omega^q(B, G, t^* C)$ and consider $\text{VE}_\Omega(\omega) = (c_0(\omega), c_1(\omega), \ldots)$ as defined in 4.14. Also, let $\alpha = \text{VE}_\text{ext}(\tilde{\omega}) \in \Gamma_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{M}, \Lambda^p A^*)$ and consider $\text{ev}(\alpha) = (c_0(\alpha), c_1(\alpha), \ldots)$ defined by A.7. Then,

$$c_k(\omega) = c_k(\alpha), \quad \forall \ k \geq 0.$$

**Proof:** From (4.14),

$$\tilde{\omega}_{c_k(\alpha)(u_1, \ldots, u_{p-k}|v_1, \ldots, v_k)} \circ \text{pr}_{[1,k]} = \text{VE}_\text{ext}(\tilde{\omega})(Z_1 v_1, \ldots, Z_k v_k, Tu_1, \ldots, Tu_{p-k})$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} \text{sgn}(\sigma) R_{X_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots R_{X_{\sigma(p)}} \tilde{\omega},$$

where $\chi_i = Z_i v_i$ (resp. $Tu_i$-k), if $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ (resp. if $i \in \{k+1, \ldots, p\}$). The main ingredients of the proof are the identities from Lemma A.1

$$R_{Tu_i}\tilde{\omega} = s_0 L_{B, T}Tu_i \tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\omega}_{R_{u_i}\omega}$$

$$R_{Z_i v_i}\tilde{\omega} = (-1)^{i-1} \tilde{\omega}_{J_{i, \omega} \circ \text{pr}_{[1,q]}},$$

where $\text{pr}_{[1,q]} : \times^{q-1} TB G \times_B G \times^* C^* \rightarrow \times^{q-1} TB G \times_B G \times^* C^*$ is the projection which forgets the $i$-th component. In the rest of the proof, the difficulty lies in the combinatorics needed to count the number of $(-1)$’s appearing due to the presence of the sections $Z_i v_i$.

Let $0 \leq r \leq p$, $1 \leq s \leq q$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq s$. For $\eta \in \Omega^{s-1}(B, G, t^* C)$, one can check that

$$R_{Tu}(\tilde{\omega}_{\eta} \circ \text{pr}_{(i)}^{r,s}) = \tilde{\omega}_{R_{u_i}\eta} \circ \text{pr}_{(i)}^{r-1,s}$$

and

$$R_{Z_i v_i}(\tilde{\omega}_{\eta} \circ \text{pr}_{(i)}^{r,s}) = \begin{cases} 
(-1)^{j-1} \tilde{\omega}_{J_{j, \eta} \circ \text{pr}_{(j)}^{r-1,s-1} \circ \text{pr}_{(i)}^{r-1,s}} & \text{if } i > j \\
(-1)^{j-1} \tilde{\omega}_{J_{j, \eta} \circ \text{pr}_{(j)}^{r-1,s-1} \circ \text{pr}_{(i)}^{r-1,s}} & \text{if } i < j \\
0 & \text{if } i = j.
\end{cases}$$
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Let us now fix a permutation $\sigma \in S_q$. For $1 \leq l \leq k$, let $j_l = \sigma^{-1}(l)$, for $l \geq 1$ and set $j_0 = 0$. Denote by $\tau$ the permutation of $\{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $j_{r(0)} < \cdots < j_{r(k)}$. One can now prove by induction that, for $j_r(0) \leq r < j_{r(l+1)}$,

$$R_{\chi_{\sigma(r+1)}} \cdots R_{\chi_{\sigma(p)}} \Delta \omega = \delta(k, l) \left( \bar{S}_{D_{\sigma(r+1)}} \cdots D_{\sigma(p)} \omega \circ \text{pr}^{r, q}_{(l)} \circ \cdots \circ \text{pr}^{r, q}_{(k-1)} \right), \tag{A.11}$$

where the $D_j$'s are the operators \cite{Crainic2003}, $\{i_1 < \cdots < i_{k-l}\} = \{\tau(l+1), \ldots, \tau(k)\}$ and

$$\delta(k, l) = (-1)^{k-l}(-1)^{r(l+1)+\cdots+r(k)}(-1)^{N(\tau, l)}$$

with

$$N(\tau, l) = \# \{(i, j) \in \{l+1, \ldots, k\} \times \{l+1, \ldots, k\} \mid i < j \text{ and } \sigma^{-1}(i) > \sigma^{-1}(j)\}.$$

Note that, for $l = 0$,

$$\delta(k, 0) = (-1)^k(-1)^{1+\cdots+k}(-1)^{\epsilon(\sigma, k)} = (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}(-1)^{\epsilon(\sigma, k)}.$$

In particular, when $r = 0$, we have

$$\bar{S}_{c_k}(\alpha)(u_1, \ldots, u_{p-k}|v_1, \ldots, v_k) \circ \text{pr}_{[1, k]} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} \text{sgn}(\sigma)R_{\chi_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots R_{\chi_{\sigma(p)}} \Delta \omega$$

$$= (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} \text{sgn}(\sigma)(-1)^{\epsilon(\sigma, k)} \bar{S}_{D_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots D_{\sigma(p)} \omega \circ \text{pr}^{0, q}_{(1)} \circ \cdots \circ \text{pr}^{0, q}_{(k)}$$

$$= \bar{S}_{c_k}(\omega)(u_1, \ldots, u_{p-k}|v_1, \ldots, v_k) \circ \text{pr}_{[1, k]}$$

This concludes the proof. \hfill \Box
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