**R_H**: a genetic metric for measuring intrahost *Plasmodium falciparum* relatedness and distinguishing cotransmission from superinfection
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**Abstract**

Multiple-strain (polygenomic) infections are a ubiquitous feature of *Plasmodium falciparum* parasite population genetics. Under simple assumptions of superinfection, polygenomic infections are hypothesized to be the result of multiple infectious bites. As a result, polygenomic infections have been used as evidence of repeat exposure and used to derive genetic metrics associated with high transmission intensity. However, not all polygenomic infections are the result of multiple infectious bites. Some result from the transmission of multiple, genetically related strains during a single infectious bite (cotransmission). Superinfection and cotransmission represent two distinct transmission processes, and distinguishing between the two could improve inferences regarding parasite transmission intensity. Here, we describe a new metric, R_H, that utilizes the correlation in allelic state (heterozygosity) within polygenomic infections to estimate the likelihood that the observed complexity resulted from either superinfection or cotransmission. R_H is flexible and can be applied to any type of genetic data. As a proof of concept, we used R_H to quantify polygenomic relatedness and estimate cotransmission and superinfection rates from a set of 1,758 malaria infections genotyped with a 24 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) molecular barcode. Contrary to expectation, we found that cotransmission was responsible for a significant fraction of 43% to 53% of the polygenomic infections collected in three distinct epidemiological regions in Senegal. The prediction that polygenomic infections frequently result from cotransmission stresses the need to incorporate estimates of relatedness within polygenomic infections to ensure the accuracy of genomic epidemiology surveillance data for informing public health activities.
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**Significance Statement:**

Accurate assessments of malaria transmission intensity are a critical component of public health surveillance and intervention campaigns. Here, we developed a metric that would determine whether multiple-strain infections resulted from multiple or single mosquito bites. This issue is relevant to public health because multiple-strain infections are frequently assumed to reflect multiple bites and high transmission rates. We show that a large fraction of multiple-strain infections in Senegal are the result of a single bite and thus not as useful for informing transmission intensity as previously believed. Future work should focus on reassessing the relationship between multiple-strain infections and transmission intensity to ensure malaria genomic surveillance produces accurate transmission assessments to public health programs.

**Introduction**

The past two decades have seen an increase in the collection and application of pathogen genetics in public health surveillance programs. Genomic epidemiology surveillance is now used for a wide variety of viral, bacterial, and eukaryotic pathogens (1–5). For *Plasmodium falciparum*, a major focus of genomic epidemiology surveillance has been to identify genetic markers associated with parasite transmission. Collectively, these surveys have revealed several genetic markers associated with parasite transmission (6–9). Chief among them is the frequency of clonal (genetically identical) parasites in the population, the frequency of multistrain (polygenomic) infections, and the number of strains per infection (commonly referred to as complexity of infection [COI]) (10–12).

Each of these metrics represents different aspects of parasite transmission. The increased frequency of clonal parasites is hypothesized to reflect decreases in transmission due to a reduc-
Superinfection and cotransmission. (A) Under standard assumptions of superinfection, individuals in high-transmission settings are exposed to multiple infectious bites and have multiple opportunities for outcrossing. This results in parasite populations characterized by high proportion of polygenomic infections, high COIs, and few clones. Individuals in low-transmission settings are exposed to fewer infectious bites, resulting in fewer polygenomic infections and low COIs. Low transmission also limits outcrossing and promotes the transmission of clonal parasite strains. (B) Cotransmission weakens the relationships proposed in panel (A) because polygenomic infections can also result from a single bite. Cotransmission is initiated when a mosquito ingests multiple parasite strains from an initial polygenomic infection. The number of strains present in the initial mosquito blood feed depends on the COI and strain proportions in the initial host. These parasites undergo sexual outcrossing to produce genetically related sporozoites that are transmitted into a new host. Cotransmissions do not reflect multiple exposures and instead represent a single exposure event.

Results

Genomic epidemiology of Kédougou, Thiès, and Richard Toll

A total of 1,758 malaria infections were collected and genotyped using a TaqMan-based molecular barcode (Fig. 2; see the “Materials and methods” section). These samples were collected from three regions of Senegal: Kédougou, Thiès, and Richard Toll. Kédougou has the highest intensity of transmission (Fig. 2B), with incidences of 300 to 500 + cases per thousand reported by the National Malaria Control Program (PNLP, Programme National de Lutte contre le Paludisme) (30–35) between 2014 and 2020. Thiès and Richard Toll have lower transmission intensity and incidences of <10 and <1 case per thousand. Based on incidence data, we expected Kédougou to have the least amount of clonal population structure, the highest proportion of polygenomic infections, and the highest COI. The allele frequencies observed for each of the assays used in the molecular barcode are presented in Fig. S1.
Polygenomic fraction and COI were elevated in Kédougou (Fig. 3 and Fig. S2A). The inverse-variance-weighted averages for polygenomic fraction (the proportion of infections polygenomic) were 0.53 (0.50, 0.57) for Kédougou, 0.27 (0.24, 0.31) for Thiès, and 0.33 (0.28, 0.38) for Richard Toll. There was no statistically significant change associated with the sampling year in Richard Toll between 2012 and 2015 (ordinary least-squares regression, slope = −0.01, P-value = 0.601 for Richard Toll). For Thiès and Kédougou, we found a small, but statistically significant increase in the polygenomic fraction from 2015 to 2020 at a rate of 0.03 (0.010, 0.04) per year (P-value = 0.01) for Thiès and 0.020 (0.001, 0.035) per year for Kédougou (P-value = 0.04). As expected, we observed the least amount of clonal population structure in Kédougou (Fig. 3B and C). We detected a total of 7 clonal haplotypes in Kédougou, 23 in Richard Toll, and 38 in Thiès. We found no evidence of persistent clonal haplotypes in Kédougou (Fig. 3C). Two of the clonal haplotypes in Richard Toll and six of the clonal haplotypes in Thiès were detected in multiple years (Fig. 3F and I). Most clonal haplotypes were detected twice, but some haplotypes were detected five or more times per year in Thiès and Richard Toll.

Our point estimates for the unique monogenomic fraction were 0.95 (0.92, 0.98) for Kédougou, 0.70 (0.66, 0.75) for Thiès, and 0.86 (0.83, 0.90) for Richard Toll (Fig. 3B, E, and H and Fig. S2B). These were calculated as the inverse-variance-weighted average across all years. We found little evidence that the unique monogenomic fraction changed significantly over time in Kédougou between 2015 and 2019 or Richard Toll between 2012 and 2015 (ordinary least-squares regression, P-value >0.23). Interestingly, we detected a small increase of 0.07 (0.038, 0.102) per year (P-value = 0.004) in the unique monogenomic fraction in Thiès, but the increase was negligible when compared with

Fig. 2. Epidemiology of Senegal and sampling structure. (A) Sampling of clinical isolates throughout Senegal. The white circles indicate the locations of the sampling clinics. The shaded areas denote the administrative regions that each of the clinics are in: Kédougou (red), Thiès (orange), and Richard Toll/St. Louis (blue). (B) Longitudinal incidence profiles obtained from the 2015 to 2020 annual Senegal National Malaria Control Program (PNLP, Programme National de Lutte contre le Paludisme) reports (30–35). Region-level incidences were used to represent the incidences of each clinic site. The shading represents two Poisson SDs from the mean. (C) Examples of the 24 SNP molecular barcode. Homozygous sites were denoted by A, T, C, or G. Heterozygous sites were denoted by N. Missing sites due to assay failure were denoted by X. Barcodes with two or more Ns were considered polygenomic. Longitudinal sample profiles for (D) Kédougou, (E) Thiès, and (F) Richard Toll. Gray bars indicate monogenomic samples, while colored bars indicate polygenomic samples. Black numbers and colored numbers are the monogenomic and polygenomic sample sizes for each year, respectively. Shapefiles for the map used in panel (A) were accessed from https://data.humdata.org/dataset/senegal-administrative-boundaries under the Creative Commons Attribution for Intergovernmental Organisations (CC BY-IGO).
the differences in the unique monogenomic fraction between populations.

The real McCOI-based estimates for polygenomic fraction (COI > 1) were 0.40 (0.44, 0.36) for Kédougou, 0.20 (0.17, 0.24) for Thiès, and 0.26 (0.22, 0.30) for Richard Toll (Fig. S2C). The average COI of polygenomic infections was 2.70 (2.53, 2.92), 2.30 (2.15, 2.45), and 2.32 (2.32, 2.48) in Kédougou, Thiès, and Richard Toll, respectively. Note that these estimates exclude monogenomic samples. However, the distribution of COI was highly skewed with most polygenomic infections: 0.60 (0.54, 0.66), 0.74 (0.66, 0.83), and 0.80 (0.75, 0.83) of the polygenomic infections in Kédougou, Thiès, and Richard Toll, respectively, estimated to have COI = 2.

Verifying the accuracy of $R_H$ for identifying cotransmission and superinfection based on samples genotyped with the 24 SNP molecular barcode

The main goal of this study was to determine if genetic metrics that measure intrahost heterozygosity and inbreeding could
distinguish superinfection from cotransmission. Theoretically, an $R_H > 0$ reflects cotransmission, an $R_H = 0$ reflects superinfection with COI = 2, and an $R_H < 0$ reflects superinfection with COI ≥ 3. However, it was unclear whether the 24 SNP molecular barcodes would bias $R_H$ estimates and result in errors in its interpretation. To address this, we simulated polygenomic infections genotyped with the 24 SNP molecular barcode under different hypotheses of superinfection and cotransmission ("Materials and methods" section, Supplementary Material).

These simulations showed that an $R_H$ quantified from the 24 SNP molecular barcode could be used to distinguish superinfection from cotransmission (Fig. 4A). Superinfection with a COI = 2 resulted in a theoretical expectation ($R_H$) of zero. Superinfection with COI > 2 resulted in negative $R_H$ values of −0.5 for a COI = 3 infection and −1.0 for a COI = 4 infection. Cotransmission resulted in positive $R_H$ values even when the COI of the initial infection was high. For cotransmission chains originating from a COI = 2 superinfection, $R_H$ increased from 0.40 to 0.60 and 0.70 following the first, second, and third cotransmission event.

However, these simulations also showed that individual superinfection and cotransmission events could result in positive and negative $R_H$ values (Fig. 4A). To address this, we used the Bayes factor to define a classification scheme that would (1) distinguish cotransmission from superinfection (Fig. S3C), (2) identify serial cotransmission (Fig. S3D) or (3) estimate the COI of superinfections (Fig. S3E and F). Based on these results, we defined cotransmission as $R_H > 0.30$, superinfection with COI = 2 as $−0.1 < R_H < 0.3$, and superinfection with COI > 2 as $R_H < −0.1$ (Table 1).

Of key interest was to determine whether using a threshold of $R_H > 0.30$ accurately discriminated cotransmission from superinfection. With this threshold, the true cotransmission identification rates were 0.66 (0.63, 0.69), 0.85 (0.83, 0.87), and 0.93 (0.92, 0.95) for the first, second, and third cotransmission events following a COI = 2 superinfection (Fig. 4B). These rates decreased to 0.47...
Table 1. Classification of polygenomic infections as cotransmission or superinfection using RH.

| RH  | Classification               |
|-----|------------------------------|
| > 0.4 | Cotransmission               |
| 0.3 > RH > 0.4 | Likely cotransmission      |
| −0.1 > RH > 0.3 | Superinfection, COI = 2     |
| −0.1 > RH > −0.2 | Superinfection, likely COI = 2 |
| −0.4 > RH > −0.2 | Superinfection, COI = 3     |
| −0.6 > RH > −0.4 | Superinfection, likely COI = 3 |
| −0.8 < RH < −0.6 | Superinfection, likely COI = 4 |
| −0.8 < RH | Superinfection, COI = 4     |

The boundaries and classification notations are based on the standards for Bayes factor classification described in ref. (36).

(0.44, 0.51), 0.73 (0.70, 0.76), and 0.88 (0.87, 0.91) following a COI = 5 superinfection. This threshold misidentified 0.13 (0.11, 0.15), 0.006 (0.002, 0.011), and 0.0 (0.0, 0.002) of COI = 2 to 4 superinfection events, respectively, as cotransmission (Fig. 4C).

We next evaluated the performance of this threshold using three diagnostic tests designed to evaluate how accurately a given threshold distinguishes cotransmission and serial cotransmissions from COI = 2 superinfections (Fig. S4). These tests showed that the optimum threshold differs for cotransmission and serial cotransmissions and depends on the COI of the originating polygenomic infection (Fig. S4B to D). In general, the optimal threshold is lower for cotransmission events originating from high COI infections. In the context of Senegal, where the average COI was 0.13 (Fig. 4A), we found that most polygenomic infections from Kédougou were the most likely result of cotransmission. This was smaller than either Thiès or Richard Toll, where the proportion was 0.53 (0.38, 0.69) and 0.52 (0.45, 0.60), respectively. These cotransmitted polygenomic infections were classified as either COI = 1 or COI = 2 by the THE REAL McCOIL (Fig. S7). Approximately half of the THE REAL McCOIL COI = 2 samples had RH values consistent with cotransmission.

These elevated RH values could also result from a technical inability to accurately detect heterozygous sites (29). From the laboratory-generated 3D7, Dd2, and TM90C6B mixtures, we knew that heterozygous site detection was reduced in polygenomic mixtures with extreme strain ratios (Supplementary Material). We adjusted the heterozygosity of each polygenomic barcode based on the strain proportions inferred from the cycle thresholds (CTs) reported by the TaqMan-based barcode assays (Supplementary Material Figs. S8 and S9). This adjustment resulted in reduced RH values but had no effect on our overall results (Fig. S10).

The normalization of observed polygenomic heterozygosity to the expected heterozygosity of a COI = 2 superinfection is critical and differentiates RH from previous metrics of intrahost heterozygosity such as FWS (37–41). FWS normalizes intrahost heterozygosity with the expected heterozygosity of a COI = 2 and COI = 3 superinfections always had an expected RH of 0.0 and −0.5, respectively (Fig. S6).

**R**\textsubscript{H} detects widespread cotransmission in Kédougou, Thiès, and Richard Toll

We next calculated RH for all the polygenomic infections collected from Kédougou, Thiès, and Richard Toll. Individual polygenomic samples had a wide range in individual RH values, with some samples with RH values close to one and some samples with negative RH values (Fig. 5A to C). Despite this variation, the average RH (RH\textsubscript{H}) in each population was positive but inversely associated with regional incidence estimates. The RH\textsubscript{H} was 0.14 (0.12, 0.17) in Kédougou, 0.25 (0.23, 0.28) in Thiès, and 0.31 (0.29, 0.34) in Richard Toll. We also noted that the polygenomic infections collected from Thiès in 2018 exhibited anomalously low RH values relative to those collected between 2015 and 2017 and between 2019 and 2020. Excluding the 2018 samples resulted in an RH\textsubscript{H} of 0.30 (0.26, 0.32) for Thiès.

Based on the RH thresholds for classifying superinfection and cotransmission defined in Table 1, we found that most polygenomic infections were the result of cotransmission (Fig. 5D to F). Despite its relatively high incidence, we found that 0.43 (0.33, 0.54) of the polygenomic infections from Kédougou were the most likely result of cotransmission. This was smaller than either Thiès or Richard Toll, where the proportion was 0.53 (0.38, 0.69) and 0.52 (0.45, 0.60), respectively. These cotransmitted polygenomic infections were classified as either COI = 1 or COI = 2 by the THE REAL McCOIL (Fig. S7). Approximately half of the THE REAL McCOIL COI = 2 samples had RH values consistent with cotransmission.

**Discussion**

To date, most genetic epidemiology analyses have ignored cotransmission and interpreted polygenomic fraction and COI under simple assumptions of superinfection. However, it is uncertain how well this assumption holds as transmission falls in moderate- or near-elimination settings where superinfection is expected to be comparatively rare. Resolving this conundrum requires collecting additional data regarding polygenomic relatedness and cotransmission rates across diverse epidemiological settings.

To enable broad-scale genetic surveillance of polygenomic relatedness and cotransmission in parasite populations, we developed a new metric, RH, that normalizes the observed polygenomic heterozygosity with the expected heterozygosity of a COI = 2 superinfection as a possible means for distinguishing superinfection from cotransmission in parasite populations. Polygenomic infections with less heterozygosity than a typical COI = 2 superinfection indicate cotransmission, while polygenomic infections with more indicate a superinfection whose COI is greater than 2.

The normalization of observed polygenomic heterozygosity to the expected heterozygosity of a COI = 2 superinfection is critical and differentiates RH from previous metrics of intrahost heterozygosity such as FWS (37–41). FWS normalizes intrahost heterozygosity...
Fig. 5. Polygenomic infections exhibit reduced heterozygosity. (A to C) The individual $R_H$ estimates for each of the clinical polygenomic samples collected from (A) Kédougou (red), (B) Thiès (orange), and (C) Richard Toll (blue). The open-faced square indicates the average $R_H$ of the samples in each year and the dark line is the $R_H$ point estimate obtained for the entire region. The $R_H$ point estimate for the entire region and its associated 95% confidence interval are reported in the legend. The proportion of polygenomic infections inferred to be the result of cotransmission or superinfection with COI of two (COI = 2), three (COI = 3), or four strains (COI = 4) in Kédougou (D), Thiès (E), and Richard Toll (F), respectively. The error bars indicate two binomial SDs from the mean for each category.

ity to the total, population-level heterozygosity of the population ($H_S$, Eq. S10). Unlike $F_{WS}$, the sampling of genetic clones is excluded from $R_H$ because they are indistinguishable from COI = 1 monogenomic infections and do not directly contribute to out-crossing or cotransmission. In highly diverse populations with few genetic clones, $F_{WS}$ behaves similarly to $R_H$. However, $F_{WS}$ estimates are sensitive to the presence of parasite clones in the population. This complicates $F_{WS}$ based inferences of either cotransmission or COI because these inferences would need to be recalibrated to consider the frequency of clones in each population.

In contrast, $R_H$ is unaffected by the frequency of parasite clones, and its predictions remain consistent across populations with different clonalities. This property makes $R_H$ particularly relevant for examining parasite populations in historically low-transmission settings such as Southeast Asia and South America, where population fragmentation and clonal parasite populations are the norm (37, 42, 43). In fact, the frequency of parasite clones in these regions can be as extreme as the simulated populations used in Fig. S6; 60% of the *P. falciparum* cases collected in Quibdó, Columbia, in 2001 showed evidence of being infected by the same parasite strain (44). While the advantages of $R_H$ are clearest in low-transmission settings with highly clonal parasite populations, it can also be advantageous in moderate- and high-transmission settings to account for parasite clonality arising from falling transmission intensity or focal transmission (45).

Another advantage of $R_H$ compared to other metrics used to assess relatedness or inbreeding (22, 28, 37) is that $R_H$ does not require whole genome sequencing or that the genomes within polygenomic infections be phased. In fact, barcode-derived $R_H$ were consistent with whole genome sequence-derived estimates of $F_{WS}$ despite relying on a small number of SNPs present throughout the genome. This greatly expands our ability to assess superinfection and cotransmission in epidemiological settings using accessible genotyping technologies like the 24 SNP T AQman-based molecular barcodes where whole genome sequencing is unfeasible due to logistical or financial constraints.

Estimating $R_H$ from the 24 SNP molecular barcode also avoids some of the issues involving microsatellites (39). Use of an SNP barcode rather than microsatellites based on di- and trinucleotide repeats avoids the potential problems of replication slippage and unequal crossing over, which can alter the number of repeating units (46). SNP markers are not prone to such events, although they may change due to random mutation or gene conversion; these events are infrequent.

Using $R_H$, we provide new evidence that cotransmission is ubiquitous among symptomatic infections reporting to clinics in three sites spread across Senegal. These results are consistent with our previous analyses of whole-genome sequences of polygenomic infections in Thiès, which also suggest that cotransmission occurs at high frequency (24). Here, we also found evidence of high rates of cotransmission in both Richard Toll and Kédougou. These results were unexpected for Kédougou, where the incidence was 300 to 500 + cases per thousand per year, as the prevailing consensus was that polygenomic infections in moderate- to high-transmission settings were the result of superinfection. However, our results are consistent with previous reports of cotransmission in polygenomic infections collected from Malawi, where transmission is high (25, 26). Altogether, our results showed that at
Materials and methods

Sample collection

Samples were collected through passive-case detection from febrile patients reporting to health posts or clinics during the malaria transmission season in Senegal (September to December), or actively detected in households in response to a case detected at the Richard Toll clinics. Patients over 6 months of age with fever within the past 24 hours of visiting the clinic with no history of antimalarial use were diagnosed with malaria using microscopy or rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs). Filter papers spotted with blood were collected from malaria-positive patients in Thiès and Kédougou. RDTs were collected from malaria-positive patients in Richard Toll as previously described (49). Ethical approval for the study was obtained (IRB Protocols: 16,330 and 17 to 1288 from Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health).

Molecular barcode genotyping and SNP calling

The molecular barcode consists of a series of 24 neutral SNPs spread across the malaria genome that are genotyped using a panel of TaqMan-based quantitative PCR genotyping assays. Nucleic acid material was extracted from either filter paper or RDT material and preamplified using the methods described in (49, 51). A description of the reagents and methods used for the TaqMan assays is in (29).

Criteria for calling homozygous and heterozygous sites were determined using a set of laboratory-generated mixes containing 3D7, Dd2, and TM90C6B DNA mixed with proportions ranging from 1 : 1 to 1 : 5 (Supplementary Material Table S1). These criteria were based on the normalized CTs calculated by the Applied Biosystems ViiA 7 Real-Time PCR System (v1.2). Baselines for allele 1 and allele 2 were determined by the software, and the ARN that determines CTs was set to 20.

Homozygous sites were denoted by their allelic identity (A, T, C, and G) and identified as sites with (1) no heterogeneous amplification, (2) heterogeneous amplification where the difference in CT between allele 1 and allele 2 (ΔCT) was greater than 8, or (3) heterogeneous amplification, where one of the alleles had a CT > 38. For homozygous sites with heterogeneous amplification, the allelic identity was determined by the allele with the smaller CT value.

Heterozygous sites were denoted as “N” and identified as sites with heterogeneous amplification and (1) a ΔCT < 8 and (2) CT < 38 for both alleles. Barcodes with two or more heterozygous sites were considered polygenomic. Sites without amplification were considered missing and denoted as “X.” Barcodes with more than two missing sites were excluded from analysis. These thresholds were identified by benchmarking their accuracy in detecting heterogeneous and homozygous sites using a set of lab-generated DNA mixtures generated from DNA isolated from 3D7, Dd2, and TM90C6B P. falciparum strains (Supplementary Material Figs. S11 to S13).

Whole genome sequencing

Monogenomic and polygenomic samples collected from 2020 were submitted for whole genome sequencing. Selective whole genome amplification was performed on extracted DNA and used to construct libraries with NEBNext Ultra II library Kit for Illumina short-read sequencing. Variant-calling and read alignment was performed following the best practice standards set by the PF3k consortium. Briefly, short-reads were aligned to the P. falciparum 3D7 reference genome (PlasmoDB v. 28) using BWA-mem and Picard Tools, Variants were called using HaplotypeCaller in GATK v3.5.

Analyses were carried out using a set of ~150,000 SNPs identified from a set of 1,328 monogenomic samples obtained from the PF3k database (52). These sites were chosen to (1) exclude the core chromosomal regions, (2) reside in nonoverlapping 2 kb windows whose average intrahost heterozygosity < 0.03, (3) be farther than...
 seven base pairs from indels, and (4) have an average intrahost heterozygosity < 0.04 across all samples.

Inverse-variance weighting
To correct for the varying sample sizes observed in each year, we used the inverse-variance-weighted average, which is defined as

\[ \hat{y} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\text{years}}} y_i \sigma_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\text{years}}} \sigma_i^2}, \]  

(1a)

\[ \text{Var}(\hat{y}) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\text{years}}} \sigma_i^2}, \]  

(1b)

where \( n_{\text{years}} \) is the number of sample years, \( y_i \) is the average of the ith year, and \( \sigma_i^2 \) is the variance of the ith year.

\( R_{\text{H}}: \) a malaria-specific estimate of polygenomic infection heterozygosity
\( R_{\text{H}} \) is derived from Sewall Wright’s original definition for the inbreeding coefficient (53), which was defined as the correlation between alleles in uniting gametes relative to those drawn at random within a subpopulation. \( R_{\text{H}} \) is not specific to the 24 SNP molecular barcode and can be broadly applied to SNP-based genotyping methods. \( R_{\text{H}} \) is related to the \( F_{\text{WS}} \) metric (37–41). Refer to the Supplementary Material for additional details regarding \( F_{\text{WS}} \) and its differences with \( R_{\text{H}} \).

\( R_{\text{H}} \) is defined as

\[ R_{\text{H}} = \frac{H_{\text{mono}} - H_{\text{poly}}}{H_{\text{mono}}} \]  

(2)

\( H_{\text{mono}} \) was defined by sampling monogenomic barcode pairs, summing the total number of discordant alleles between the two and dividing it by the number of comparable sites. Comparable sites were defined as those that were nonmissing and homozygous in both sampled monogenomic barcodes. As a result, \( H_{\text{mono}} \) represents the expected heterozygosity of a COI = 2 superinfection and does not include the sampling of nonunique barcodes. \( H_{\text{poly}} \) is the expected heterozygosity in polygenomic samples and defined as the number of heterozygous sites divided by the number of nonmissing sites in the polygenomic barcode.

Evaluating \( R_{\text{H}} \)
Individual estimates of \( R_{\text{H}} \) for each polygenomic sample (\( R_{\text{H, sample}} \)) were obtained by rearranging Eq 1 and minimizing Eq 3 using the scipy.optimize.minimize package (v1.5.2) for Python 3 (v3.8.5) with bounds set at -1 and +1. Setting the lower bound to values below -1 did not affect our calculations.

\[ f(R_{\text{H, sample}}) = (H_{\text{mono, sample}} - R_{\text{H, sample}}H_{\text{mono, sample}} - H_{\text{poly, sample}})^2 \]  

(3)

where \( H_{\text{mono, sample}} \) is the expected heterozygosity obtained from sampling 200 monogenomic pairs from the monogenomic barcodes present in each sample year. A sampled monogenomic pair was treated as an independent one and sampling was proportional to the frequency of each monogenomic barcode haplotype in each sample year. Monogenomic pairs consisting of identical monogenomic barcode haplotypes were excluded because these would not be recognized as polygenomic infections. \( H_{\text{poly, sample}} \) is the observed heterozygosity of the polygenomic sample. This process was repeated 200 times and the average was used as the point estimate of \( R_{\text{H, sample}} \).

The average \( R_{\text{H}} \) (\( \hat{R}_{\text{H, region}} \)) for each region was calculated through bootstrapping. Bootstrapped estimates of \( R_{\text{H, region}} \) were obtained by calculating the average from 200 randomly sampled (with replacement) \( R_{\text{H, sample}} \) estimates observed in the region during the specified sample year. This calculation was repeated 200 times to obtain the final bootstrapped distribution of \( R_{\text{H, region}} \). The average and SDs of these bootstrapped distributions were then used to evaluate the inverse-variance-weighted average.

COI estimation
COI was estimated using the categorical method of THE REAL McCOIL (21) with the following parameter values: maxCOI = 25, threshold_ind = 20, threshold_site = 20, and err_method = 3. All other parameters used the default values. The median value estimated by THE REAL McCOIL was used as the point estimate of COI for each sample.

Simulating superinfection and cotransmission
Superinfection was simulated as the random sampling of unique monogenomic barcodes. Sites that were concordant across all sampled barcodes were considered homozygous. Sites that were discordant were considered heterozygous. Sites that were missing or heterozygous in the sampled monogenomic barcodes were excluded when quantifying heterozygosity and \( R_{\text{H}} \). Our superinfection simulations assume mass action and do not incorporate spatial or temporal transmission heterogeneity.

Cotransmission simulations assume mass action and do not incorporate spatial or temporal transmission heterogeneity.

\( \text{Cotransmission} \) was simulated using a model that simulates the mating and sexual recombination of parasites as they are sampled and deposited by the mosquito vector (27). The model generates identity-by-descent maps that determine which portions of the genomes in cotransmitted strains were inherited from either of the parental strains present in the original polygenomic infection. All cotransmission chains were initiated from a superinfection with two to five randomly sampled monogenomic barcodes that were assumed to be unrelated.

The parameters used in the model were described in (27). Briefly, oocyst counts were drawn from a modified two-parameter Weibull distribution defined by

\[ f(\text{oocyst count} | \text{scale}, \text{shape}) = 1 + \text{round down}(\text{Weibull}(\text{scale, shape})) \]  

(4)

where the scale = 2.5 and shape = 1. The round down in Eq. 4 indicates that value drawn from the Weibull distribution is round down to the nearest integer. This results in a distribution whose median is 2, mean is 3, and interquartile range is between 1 and 4. This distribution reflects the low oocyst intensities observed in naturally infected mosquitoes (54–57). Model predictions were robust to different assumptions regarding oocyst counts (Supplementary Material, Figs. S14 and S15).

Infected hepatocyte counts were drawn from a lognormal distribution (mean = 1.8, SD = 0.8) whose sampled values were rounded down to the nearest integer unless the sample was less than 1 (58). In this case, the sampled value was set to 1. Uneven strain proportions were not simulated because their primary effect is on the probability of maintaining cotransmission chains (27).

Based on the identity-by-descent maps generated by the model, the barcodes of cotransmitted strains were generated by (i) determining which sites of the barcode were inherited from the first or second strain of the original infection, and (ii) copying the allelic
identities of those parental barcode sites. After each cotransmission event, we sampled two unique, cotransmitted strains to generate polygenomic barcodes representing cotransmitted polygenomic infections with COI = 2.
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