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Steroid hormones are found to play a major role in the genesis and progression of mammary tumors. The aim of this study was to immunohistochemically detect the presence of estrogen receptor alpha (ERα), estrogen receptor beta (ERβ), and progesterone receptor (PR) and also to study the association between these markers in 29 cases of benign (11) and malignant (18) canine mammary tumors. ERα immunostaining was noticed in only one case of carcinosarcoma specifically in the nuclei of epithelial and a few myoepithelial cells. ERβ immunostaining was noticed in the nuclei and cytoplasm of epithelial cells and smooth muscles lining the blood vessels. Immunoexpression of ERβ was 82% in benign tumors and 78% in malignant tumors. PR immunostaining was expressed in the nuclei of epithelial cells in both benign and malignant tumors. Among the 15 PR+ cases, 6 (55%) were of benign type, and 9 (50%) were of malignant type. The most common group of hormone receptor was the ERα−/PR+/ERβ+ (46%) in benign tumors and ERα−/PR−/ERβ+ (38%) in malignant tumors. Although there was no significant association between ERα and PR with ERβ, the findings indicated that ERβ was consistently expressed in both benign and malignant tumors, irrespective of ERα and PR status.

1. Introduction

Mammary gland tumors are among the most common neoplasms in dogs and have been reported worldwide. The majority of mammary neoplasms in female dogs are of epithelial origin, and approximately 50% of them are malignant [1]. These neoplasms have a complex morphology in forming epithelial, mixed, and mesenchymal types [2]. Histologic evidence of cancer does not invariably imply a malignant clinical course. Therefore, reliable prognostic factors are of great importance for estimating the individual risk of unfavourable clinical outcome. There are some recognized, well-accepted prognostic factors of malignant mammary neoplasms in the dog, and these include tumor size, lymph node status, histologic type, histologic malignancy grade, degree of nuclear differentiation, and distant metastasis. There are also other proven or controversial host and tumor prognostic factors such as HER-2, p53, PCNA, and Ki-67, and the number of new ones are steadily increasing [3]. Although several studies have been carried out on the prognostic aspects of canine mammary neoplasms, some areas, especially the role of steroid hormone receptors, remain uncertain [4]. The risk of canine mammary neoplasia is affected by exposure to estrogen in early mammary development. The relative risk for mammary neoplasms in female dogs spayed before estrous is 0.5%, after the first cycle 8%, 26% after the second cycle; with the protective effect being lost after about 4 cycles. Inspite of this, there are only limited reports on clinical utilization of proliferation markers and steroid receptors in canine mammary neoplastic conditions [5]. Both estrogen and progesterone are mitogenic by autocrine or paracrine mechanisms. Since steroids regulate the expression of certain cyclins or kinase inhibitors, they may control cell-cycle progression directly [6].

The development of most mammary gland carcinomas is estrogen dependent, and the majority of canine mammary gland carcinomas express estrogen receptors (ERs). Benign tumors and well-differentiated tumors are more likely to be
ER-positive, whereas undifferentiated, anaplastic tumors are more likely to be ER-negative [4]. Estrogen receptor expression has also been found to be associated with the hormonal status of the dog. Younger, intact dogs were more likely to have ER-positive tumors than older ovario-hysterectomized dogs.

In contrast to the normal breast, most premalignant breast lesions express high levels of ERα, and ERα-expressing breast cancer cells are hormone dependent and undergo regression when estrogen is removed [7]. Thus ERα is a well-established predictive marker of hormone sensitivity in breast cancer as well as a positive prognostic marker. In addition to being involved in the initial malignant transformation, the ER may also represent a rationale therapeutic target in canine mammary gland neoplasms, as in breast cancer of women [8]. In a study on immunohistochemical detection of ERα in a canine mammary tumor [4], it was reported that ERα immunostaining was localized in the nuclei of normal, benign, and malignant epithelial and also in myoepithelial cells, whereas the normal and neoplastic stromal cells, cartilaginous cells, and bone cells in mixed neoplasms were negative.

Martín De las Mulas and coworkers [9] studied the immunohistochemical detection of ERβ in normal and tumor-affected canine mammary glands. They reported the expression of ERβ in the nuclei of acinar and ductal epithelial cells and some basal myoepithelial cells. Myoepithelial cells of the complex and mixed tumors, chondrocytes of mixed tumors, and sarcoma cells were negative. Benign mixed and complex neoplasms had a higher expression of the ERβ than simple neoplasms, suggesting that ERβ expression may be a favorable prognostic factor because the grade of malignancy of complex carcinomas is lower than that of simple carcinomas. However, the data with regard to ERβ expression in invasive neoplasms of the human breast and its relationship to prognosis are somewhat contradictory, with some groups reporting that the presence of this receptor is a good prognostic factor and others reporting the reverse. Therefore, the role played by ERβ in mammary neoplasms is not clear. It was suggested that ERα and ERβ play different roles in cell proliferation and carcinogenesis of breast cancer, partly by mediating the transcription of various genes via different types of deoxyribonucleic acid enhancers. Experimental studies indicated that ERβ may modulate ERα transcriptional activity. Therefore, ERβ determination may provide additional information on the responsiveness of canine mammary carcinomas to different endocrine treatments. Considering these factors, it was suggested that the ratio between ERα and ERβ expression in canine mammary neoplasms may be useful to identify subgroups of ER-positive neoplasms [9].

Progesterone is essential for the development and growth of the mammary glands, but it also increases the risk of development of mammary neoplasia. Mechanisms involved in progesterone-induced mammary gland neoplasms include an upregulation of growth hormone (GH) production within the mammary gland [8]. Progesterone receptor (PR) status is a marker for hormone responsiveness and disease prognosis in breast cancer. PR-negative neoplasms generally have poor prognosis than progesterone receptor-positive neoplasms [10]. Positive PR staining has been reported in the nucleus of normal and neoplastic epithelial cells as well as in myoepithelial cells of normal and neoplastic canine mammary tissues. In addition, cytoplasm of the spindle as well as stellate cells of complex and mixed tumors was also reported to be immunoreactive to PR [3].

ERα and PR receptors are present in more than 50% of mammary neoplasms in dogs [4]. In addition to their ability to predict the response to hormonal therapy, ER and PR status also aids in differentiation of the neoplasm, thereby aiding assessment of patient prognosis [3].

The aim of the present study was to immunohistochemically detect the presence of ERα, ERβ, and PR receptors in benign and malignant canine mammary tumors and also identify the association between these markers.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Tissue Samples. Mammary tumor samples (29 numbers) were obtained by excisional biopsy (mastectomy or nodulectomy) from dogs presented at the teaching hospital of Rajiv Gandhi College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences (RAGACOVAS), veterinary dispensaries, and other private veterinary practitioners in and around Puducherry, south India. After detailed gross examination, representative tissue samples were fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin [11].

2.2. Histopathology. The tissue samples fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin were processed for histopathological examination by routine paraffin-embedding technique and microtomy [11]. Five-micron thick sections were stained by haematoxylin and eosin method [11], and the tumors were classified according to the WHO histological classification of canine mammary neoplasms [12].

2.3. Immunohistochemistry (IHC). Immunohistochemical detection of ERα, ERβ, and PR was performed on 29 formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue samples representing both benign and malignant neoplasms. IHC assays were performed on 4-μm sections of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue samples. The ready-to-use monoclonal mouse anti-human ERα clone 1D5 (Biogenex, USA) and the polyclonal ready-to-use mouse anti-human ERβ (Biogenex, USA) were used with a Super Sensitive Polymer-HRP Detection System (BioGenex, USA). Dewaxed and rehydrated sections were subjected to heat antigen retrieval by microwaving in 0.01 M citrate buffer, pH 6.0 for 30 minutes at 640 W (80% power). The ready-to-use mouse anti-human PR Clone PR88 (Biogenex, USA) was used with the Super Sensitive Polymer-HRP Detection System (BioGenex, USA). Dewaxed and rehydrated sections were subjected to heat antigen retrieval by microwaving in citrate buffer 0.01 M, pH 6.0 for 10 minutes at 800 W plus two periods of 10 minutes at 320 W. All further IHC-staining procedures were carried out according to the instructions in the test kits. Tissue sections were counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin. Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded
Table 1: Immunostaining percentage (%) and staining intensity (I) of ERα, ERβ, and PR in different canine mammary tumors.

| S1. No. | Tumor type                      | ERα% (I) | ERβ% (I) | PR% (I) |
|---------|---------------------------------|----------|----------|---------|
| 1       | Papillary cystadenoma           | Negative | 10.7 (+) | Negative |
| 2       | Fibrocystadenoma                | Negative | 33.6 (+) | 44.4 (+++) |
| 3       | Duct papilloma                  | Negative | 57.2 (++)| 18.5 (+)  |
| 4       | Fibroadenoma                    | Negative | 47.1 (+++) | Negative |
| 5       | Fibroadenoma                    | Negative | 36.1 (++) | 51.4 (++) |
| 6       | Mixed mammary tumor             | Negative | Negative | 53.5 (+++) |
| 7       | Mixed mammary tumor             | Negative | 25.2 (+) | Negative |
| 8       | Mixed mammary tumor             | Negative | 36.8 (++) | 51.9 (+++) |
| 9       | Mixed mammary tumor             | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| 10      | Mixed mammary tumor             | Negative | 57.6 (++) | 60.2 (+++) |
| 11      | Mixed mammary tumor             | Negative | 79.6 (+++) | Negative |
| 12      | Papillary adenocarcinoma         | Negative | 10.5 (++) | Negative |
| 13      | Papillary adenocarcinoma         | Negative | Negative | 61.9 (+++) |
| 14      | Papillary cystadenocarcinoma     | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| 15      | Papillary cystadenocarcinoma     | Negative | 15.9 (++) | 60.5 (+) |
| 16      | Papillary cystadenocarcinoma     | Negative | Negative | 46.4 (++) |
| 17      | Papillary cystadenocarcinoma     | Negative | 11.3 (++) | Negative |
| 18      | Papillary cystadenocarcinoma     | Negative | 11.1 (+) | 72.5 (+++) |
| 19      | Solid adenocarcinoma            | Negative | 48.5 (++) | 17 (+) |
| 20      | Solid adenocarcinoma            | Negative | 26 (+) | Negative |
| 21      | Solid adenocarcinoma            | Negative | 77.3 (+++) | Negative |
| 22      | Complex adenocarcinoma          | Negative | 29.2 (+) | Negative |
| 23      | Complex adenocarcinoma          | Negative | 94.7 (++) | Negative |
| 24      | Complex adenocarcinoma          | Negative | 29.2 (+) | 32.3 (++) |
| 25      | Carcinosarcoma                  | Negative | 16.1 (++) | Negative |
| 26      | Carcinosarcoma                  | 15.96 (+++) | 91.1 (++) | Negative |
| 27      | Sebaceous adenocarcinoma        | Negative | Negative | 19.4 (++) |
| 28      | Squamous cell carcinoma         | Negative | 33.2 (+) | 43.5 (+++) |
| 29      | Hemangiopericytoma              | Negative | 99 (++) | 35.8 (++) |

3. Results

3.1. Histopathology. Based on histopathological findings, the tumors were categorised as benign (11 cases) and malignant (18 cases). The benign tumors were adenoma (2), duct papilloma (1), fibroadenoma (2), and benign mixed tumor (6). The malignant tumors were papillary adenocarcinoma (2), papillary cystadenocarcinoma (5), solid adenocarcinoma (3), complex adenocarcinoma (3), carcinosarcoma (2), sebaceous adenocarcinoma (1), squamous cell carcinoma (1), and hemangiopericytoma (1).

3.2. Immunohistochemistry. In the positive controls, localization of ERα, ERβ, and PR was restricted to the nucleus of cells in all cases of positivity (surface and glandular epithelial cells, stromal fibroblasts of the endometrium, smooth muscle cells of the myometrium, and human breast carcinoma cells). In the negative controls, all nuclei were negative. Immunostaining patterns of ERα, ERβ and PR in various benign and malignant mammary tumors are represented in Table 1.

3.3. ERα Staining. ERα staining was observed as a brown nuclear staining in the tumor tissue sections. Out of 29 samples analyzed, only one case of carcinosarcoma showed strong positive signals for ERα, in the nuclei of both
proliferating myoepithelial and epithelial cells (Figure 1). All the other neoplasms (benign and malignant) were negative.

3.4. ERβ Staining. Out of 29 samples analyzed for ERβ immunostaining, 23 cases (79%) expressed positive signals. Strong nuclear (Figure 2) and weak cytoplasmic staining were observed in glandular and ductular epithelial cells. The vascular endothelium and smooth muscles lining the blood vessels also gave strong signals (Figure 3). ERβ-staining intensity was abundant in 17%, moderate in 34%, and mild in 28% of the cases.

Immunexpression of ERβ in case of benign tumors was 82% (9/11), and in malignant tumors it was 78% (14/18). Among the benign tumors, duct papilloma had the highest ERβ expression, and among the malignant tumors the maximum expression was noticed in a case of hemangiopericytoma. There was no significant difference (P > 0.05) in ERβ expression between benign and malignant tumors.

3.5. PR Staining. Out of 29 samples subjected for PR immunostaining, 15 cases (52%) showed positive signals in the nuclei of neoplastic alveolar and ductal epithelial cells (Figure 4). Very few stromal cells expressed positive immunosignals for PR. Distribution of PR-staining intensity in mammary tumors indicated that 28% of the tumors expressed abundant intensity (+++) followed by 17% of moderate (+++) and 7% of mild (+) type.

Out of the 15 PR positive cases, 6 (55%) were of benign type, and 9 (50%) were of malignant type. Among the benign tumors, the highest expression was noticed in benign mixed tumor, and, among the malignant tumors, papillary adenocarcinoma expressed the maximum immunostaining. There was no significant difference (P > 0.05) in PR expression between benign and malignant tumors.

3.6. Combined Expression of Steroid Receptors. Expression of ERα was noticed only in a solitary case of carcinosarcoma, but it was negative for PR. In both benign and malignant tumors, PR and ERβ expression was noticed. The most frequent combination recorded in benign tumors was ERβ+PR+, whereas it was ERβ+PR− in malignant tumors. Chi square (χ²) analysis revealed no significant association between the distribution of ERα and PR with tumor type (benign or malignant). The combined expressions of ERα, PR, and ERβ, PR in canine benign and malignant mammary tumors are represented in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

3.7. Association of ERβ with ERα and PR Status in Benign and Malignant Tumors. The associated expression of ERβ with ERα and PR is represented in Tables 4 and 5, respectively.

The most common receptor expression in benign tumors was ERα−/PR+/ERβ+ combination and in malignant tumors it was ERα−/PR−/ERβ+ combination. Chi square (χ²) analysis indicated no significant association between these receptor combinations.

4. Discussion

Mammary gland tumors account for more than 50% of all tumors in the female dog [1]. About 65% of mammary tumors in dogs are benign mixed tumors, and 25% are carcinomas. Steroid hormones have been reported to play a key role in the development of mammary neoplasms [8].

The role of ovarian hormones has been well established in human breast cancer studies [14]. In dogs and cats, the involvement of steroid hormones in the development of mammary carcinomas is supported by the protective effects of ovariectomy. Intact females have four times greater risk of getting mammary tumors than the neutered females [15]. Although steroid hormone receptors have been described in canine and feline mammary tumors 25 years ago [1], studies on the role of steroid hormone receptors in the development of mammary tumors in these species are scarce. Progesterone and estrogen receptors are present in both normal and neoplastic tissues. Ninety-five percent of normal canine mammary tissues contain progesterone and/or estrogen receptors. Over 50% of canine mammary tumors express estrogen and progesterone receptors [16].

Progesterone and estrogen have a crucial role in the control of mammary gland proliferation and tumor formation.
Table 2: Distribution of ERα and PR in benign and malignant mammary tumors.

| Tumor type | ERα+PR+ | ERα+PR− | ERα−PR+ | ERα−PR− | Total |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| Benign     | —       | —       | 6 (54.55%) | 5 (45.45%) | 11    |
| Malignant  | —       | 1 (5.56%) | 9 (50%) | 8 (44.44%) | 18    |
| Total      | 0       | 1       | 15      | 13      | 29    |

Table 3: Distribution of ERβ and PR in benign and malignant mammary tumors.

| Tumor type | ERβ+PR+ | ERβ+PR− | ERβ−PR+ | ERβ−PR− | Total |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| Benign     | 5 (45.45%) | 4 (36.36%) | 1 (9.09%) | 1 (9.09%) | 11    |
| Malignant  | 6 (33.33%) | 8 (44.44%) | 3 (16.67%) | 1 (5.56%) | 18    |
| Total      | 11      | 12      | 4       | 2       | 29    |

Figure 3: Fibrocystadenoma showing ERβ-abundant expression in the endothelium and smooth muscles lining the blood vessels. Immunoperoxidase/DAB substrate/Mayer’s haematoxylin counterstain x400.

Figure 4: Fibroadenoma showing PR expression in the nuclei of epithelial and stromal cells. Immunoperoxidase/DAB substrate/Mayer’s haematoxylin counterstain x400.

In dogs, prolonged exposure of the bitch to progesterone stimulates proliferation of the mammary epithelium. The physiological effect of these hormones is mediated mainly by receptors expressed in the mammary tissue [16]. The estrogen receptor (ER) is a regulator of mammary epithelial growth, proliferation, and differentiation whose complex cellular interactions are mediated by a multitude of ligands, cofactors, and other stimuli. ER is important for normal breast development and function but also plays a role in the development and progression of breast cancer. In the clinical setting, breast cancer patients with an ER-positive status have the greatest likelihood of responding to endocrine therapies [17]. In 1996, the existence of a second related ER subtype, ERβ, was reported [18]. ERα and ERβ genes are present on different chromosomes with the greatest homology (close to 100%) in their DNA-binding domains [19]. The results of some studies have suggested that ERα and ERβ are coexpressed in most breast cancers, but there is evidence that a more complex relationship between these two molecules exists [20]. Recent data have indicated that ERβ expression is higher in premalignant than in invasive disease and is higher in lobular compared with ductal carcinomas in humans [21]. Further evidence suggests that loss of ERβ expression correlates with increased tumor aggressiveness in breast cancers [14]. Estrogens are known to bind ERβ with affinity similar to ERα, and the transcriptional activation via the estrogen response element (ERE) is identical for both receptor forms. ERα and ERβ can also form biologically functional receptor heterodimers in tissues in which they are coexpressed.

In the present study, the expression of estrogen (ERα, ERβ) and progesterone receptors were studied in canine mammary tumor tissue sections using immunohistochemistry. The expression of ERα was observed only in a solitary case of carcinosarcoma, and all the other 28 neoplasms (benign/malignant) were negative. In earlier studies on canine mammary tumors, a significantly higher expression of ERα was recorded in benign tumors compared to malignant tumors [4, 5].

In the literature, there are very few reports available on the activity and expression of ERβ in canine mammary neoplasms [9, 22]. In the present study, ERβ expression was observed in both benign and malignant mammary tumors. Immunoreactive products to ERβ were found markedly in the nuclei of glandular epithelial-type cells. Occasionally, a faint cytoplasmic staining of the glandular epithelial cells was also observed. No immunoreactivity was noticed in the myoepithelial-type cells of complex and mixed tumors, chondrocytes of mixed tumors which was in agreement with an earlier report [9]. Immunoeexpression of ERβ in case of benign tumors was 82% (9/11), and in malignant tumors it
was 78% (14/18). ERβ expression in case of benign tumors was highest in duct papilloma. The maximum immunostaining among the malignant tumors was noticed in a solitary case of hemangiopericytoma. A higher expression of ERβ in benign tumors compared to malignant tumors has been reported in dogs [9].

In the present study, PR was expressed in the nuclei of epithelial cells in both benign and malignant tumors, whereas the cartilage and bone cells were negative which concorded with an earlier report [23].

The present study also revealed that there was no significant difference in PR expression between benign and malignant tumors. This finding was in contradiction to an earlier report [16] wherein they reported that there was a significant difference in the PR expression between benign and malignant mammary tumors. This discrepancy could be attributed to the lesser number of mammary tumor cases analyzed in the present study.

Analyses of classical ER and PR have become accepted and useful tools in the prognosis and prediction of hormonal therapy response in human breast cancer [7]. ERα and PR receptors are present in more than 50% of mammary neoplasms in dogs [4]. In addition to the ability to predict the response to hormonal therapy, ER and PR status also aids in differentiation of the neoplasm, thereby aiding assessment of patient prognosis [3].

In the present study, the most frequent hormone receptor combination observed was ERα+/PR+ combination in 54.55% of benign and 50% of the malignant tumors. However, 44.44% of the malignant tumors were negative for both ERα and PR receptor expression. A study reported that the most frequent hormone receptor combination in benign neoplasms was ERα+ PR+ group and ERα− PR+ among the malignant ones [3].

With respect to ERβ and PR, the most frequent hormone receptor combination in the present study among benign tumors was ERβ+/PR+ group (45.45%) and among the malignant neoplasms ERβ+/PR- group (44.44%). There are no comparative studies in canine mammary neoplasms on the status of ERβ with PR expression; however, human breast cancer studies have recorded a higher expression of ERβ with PR in malignant cases [14].

In the present study, the association of ERα and PR with ERβ was assessed. The most common hormone receptor combination observed was ERα−/PR+/ERβ+ combination (46%) in benign tumors and ERα−/PR-/ERβ+ combination (38%) in malignant tumors. Although there was no significant association between ERα and PR with ERβ in both benign and malignant tumors, these findings indicated that ERβ was consistently expressed irrespective of the ERα and PR status. There are no comparative studies in canine mammary tumors on the association of ERα and PR with ERβ status. Coexpression of ERβ with ERα and PR, as well as its association with the other indicators of low biological aggressiveness of breast cancer indicated that ERβ-positive tumors were likely to respond to hormonal therapy [14].

Canine mammary tumors are challenging for both clinicians and pathologists because the tumors are difficult to fully characterize; thus, their behavior and prognosis are difficult to predict. However, prognosis and therapy of mammary tumors can be done based on the hormonal receptor expression profiles of the neoplasm as has been practiced in human breast cancer studies. Tumors which are positive for either ER (ERα/ERβ) or PR or both, have a better prognosis than those that are negative for both the receptors. Prognostic power, feasibility, economy, reproducibility, and, if possible, its applicability without highly sophisticated equipments should determine the introduction of new diagnostic methods in clinical oncology.
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