Spatio-temporal entanglement of twin photons: an intuitive picture

Alessandra Gatti
Istituto di Fotonica e Nanotecnologie, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32, Milano, Italy
Alessandra.Gatti@mi.infn.it

Lucia Caspani,* Tommaso Corti, Enrico Brambilla
Dipartimento di Scienze e Alta Tecnologia, Università dell’Insubria, Via Valleggio 11, Como, Italy

Ottavia Jedrkiewicz
Istituto di Fotonica e Nanotecnologie, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32, Milano, Italy

We draw an intuitive picture of the spatio-temporal properties of the entangled state of twin photons, where they are described as classical wave-packets. This picture predicts a precise relation between their temporal and transverse spatial separations at the crystal output. The space-time coupling described by classical arguments turns out to determine in a precise way the spatio-temporal structure of the quantum entanglement, analysed by means of the biphotonic correlation and of the Schmidt dimensionality of the entanglement.
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1. Introduction

The process of parametric down-conversion (PDC) occurring in a nonlinear crystal is a widely employed source of entangled photons. One of the appealing feature of this process is the possibility of generating high-dimensional entanglement, both because various degrees of freedom of the photon pair are entangled (polarization, time-energy, position-momentum), and because spatial and temporal entanglement is realized in a high-dimensional Hilbert space, due to the naturally ultra-broad bandwidths of PDC.

Depending on the application, traditional approaches often focus on a single degree of freedom at a time, or even when considering them simultaneously, treat them as independent. However, as for many nonlinear optical processes, PDC is ruled by phase matching, which establishes an angular dispersion relation that links the fre-
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frequencies and the angles of emission of the generated photons in a non-factorable way. A non-trivial consequence is that, unless the spectral bandwidths considered are very narrow, it is not possible in general to speak about a purely temporal or spatial entanglement of the state, but rather the entanglement involves the spatial and temporal degrees of freedom of twin photons in a non-separable way. Recent theoretical \textsuperscript{1,2,3,4,5} and experimental \textsuperscript{6,7} works have indeed demonstrated that the correlation function of twin photons or twin beams generated in collinear phase matching conditions have a peculiar X-shape in the spatio-temporal domain, which entails the attracting possibility of controlling the temporal properties of entanglement by acting on the spatial degrees of freedom of twin photons, or vice versa.

In this work we shall introduce a somehow intuitive picture of the origin of such spatio-temporal entanglement, where twin photons are pictured as quasi-classical wave-packets. We shall demonstrate how the phase matching mechanism, i.e. the conservation of energy and momentum in the microscopic process, involves a precise relation between the temporal delays and the transverse spatial separation of the members of a pair, which turns out into a characteristic geometry of their quantum correlation in the space-time domain.

From a more formal perspective, the second part of this work will address the issue of the degree of the entanglement of the state quantified by the so-called Schmidt number. A comparison with the results obtained in either a purely spatial or a purely temporal model shows that the degree of entanglement of the PDC state in the full 3D spatio-temporal domain cannot in general be trivially reduced to the product of the Schmidt numbers obtained in models with lower dimensionality, unless the detected bandwidth is very narrow \textsuperscript{5}. Interesting differences between the case of collinear and non-collinear phase matching will be analysed, giving also in this case evidence of the role of phase matching in determining the geometrical properties of the state.

2. Spatio-temporal description of parametric down-conversion

A spatio-temporal model for parametric down-conversion has been described in detail in \textsuperscript{8,2}. Although some of the following results can be generalized to the high gain regime of PDC, and to type II phase matching, for the sake of simplicity we shall restrict to type I PDC in the ultra-low gain regime, where very few photon pairs with identical polarization are generated in each spatio-temporal mode.

We consider an intense laser pump field of central frequency $\omega_p$, propagating along the z-axis into a slab of $\chi^{(2)}$ nonlinear crystal of length $l_c$, cut for type I phase matching. The model \textsuperscript{8,2} is formulated in terms of a propagation equation along the crystal slab for the quantum field operator $\hat{A} (\vec{w}, z)$, associated with the down-converted field of central frequency $\omega_p/2$. The variable

$$\vec{w} = (\vec{q}, \Omega)$$

(1)

is a short-hand notation for the 3D spatio-temporal Fourier coordinate, where $\vec{q} = (q_x, q_y)$ is the photonic wave-vector in the direction transverse to the propagation
axis \( z \), and \( \Omega = \omega - \omega_p/2 \) is the frequency shift from the central frequency. In the following we shall denote the 3D coordinate in the direct domain as
\[
\vec{\xi} = (\vec{r}, t)
\]
(2)
where \( t \) is time and \( \vec{r} = (x, y) \) is the spatial coordinate spanning the transverse plane at the crystal exit face (near-field plane), with the convention \( \vec{w} \cdot \vec{\xi} = \vec{q} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t \).

In the undepleted pump approximation, where the pump can be considered a c-number field, the propagation equation can be formally solved as a perturbative expansion in powers of the dimensionless coupling strength \( g = \chi^{(2)} \alpha_p l_c \), where \( \chi^{(2)} \) is a parameter proportional to the second-order susceptibility of the medium and \( \alpha_p \) is the peak value of the pump amplitude. In the ultra-low gain regime, defined by \( g \ll 1 \), the first order of such expansion provides the following input-output relation linking the signal field operator at the output face of the crystal with the one at the input face
\[
\hat{A}(\vec{w}, l_c) = e^{i k_{sz}(\vec{w}) l_c} \left[ \hat{A}(\vec{w}, 0) + g \int \frac{d^3 \vec{w}'}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \hat{A}_p(\vec{w} + \vec{w}', 0)e^{-i \frac{\Delta(\vec{w}, \vec{w}')}{2}} \text{sinc} \frac{\Delta(\vec{w}, \vec{w}')}{2} \hat{A}^\dagger(\vec{w}', 0) \right].
\]
(3)
In this equation \( \hat{A}_p \) is the Fourier transform of the pump beam profile at the crystal input face: \( \hat{A}_p(\vec{w}) := \int \frac{d^3 \vec{\xi}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} A_p(\vec{\xi}, 0)e^{-i \vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{w}} \), where normalization is such that \( A_p(\vec{\xi} = 0, z = 0) = 1 \); \( \Delta \) is the phase mismatch function, which accounts for the conservation of longitudinal momentum in the microscopic PDC process
\[
\Delta(\vec{w}, \vec{w}') = [k_{sz}(\vec{w}) + k_{sz}(\vec{w}') - k_{pz}(\vec{w} + \vec{w}')] l_c
\]
(4)
\( k_{sz} \) being the longitudinal component of the signal wave vector, \( k_{pz} \) the analogous quantity for the pump. This quantity determines the efficiency of each elementary down-conversion process, in which a pump photon in the mode \( \vec{w} + \vec{w}' = (\vec{q} + \vec{q}', \Omega + \Omega') \), splits into two twin photons in the modes \( \vec{w} = (\vec{q}, \Omega) \) and \( \vec{w}' = (\vec{q}', \Omega') \), respectively, with conservation of the energy and transverse momentum.

From the relation (3), assuming that the input signal field is in the vacuum state, the probability amplitude of generating a pair of photons in the Fourier spatiotemporal modes \( \vec{w} \) and \( \vec{w}' \), i.e. the biphoton amplitude in the Fourier domain, is readily calculated
\[
\tilde{\psi}(\vec{w}, \vec{w}') := \langle \hat{A}(\vec{w}, l_c) \hat{A}(\vec{w}', l_c) \rangle
\]
\[
= \frac{g}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \hat{A}_p(\vec{w} + \vec{w}', l_c)e^{i \frac{\Delta(\vec{w}, \vec{w}')}{2}} \text{sinc} \frac{\Delta(\vec{w}, \vec{w}')}{2}
\]
(5)
where the expectation value in (4) is taken over the input vacuum state and \( \hat{A}_p(\vec{w}, l_c) = e^{i k_{pz}(\vec{w}) l_c} \hat{A}_p(\vec{w}, 0) \) is the profile of the pump field after it has propagated linearly to the crystal end face.

So far we used a field formalism, where the field operators evolve from the input to the output face of the crystal, while the state remains in the vacuum state.
Alternatively, one can introduce an equivalent state formalism, where the state evolves along the crystal. By applying the equivalent of the transformation to the state, one obtains the well-known form of the state generated by PDC

\[ |\phi_{PDC}\rangle = |0\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int d\vec{w}_1 \int d\vec{w}_2 \tilde{\psi}(\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2) \hat{A}^\dagger(\vec{w}_1) \hat{A}^\dagger(\vec{w}_2)|0\rangle \]

with the biphoton amplitude \( \tilde{\psi} \) being given by (6) and \( |0\rangle \) being the vacuum state.

3. An intuitive picture of the spatio-temporal entanglement

The phase matching mechanism (the conservation of energy and momentum) imposes a precise relationship between the frequencies of the emitted signal-idler waves and their transverse wave vectors, which can be seen as a balance between group velocity dispersion and diffraction. In this section we shall provide an intuitive picture of how this relationship turns into a relationship between the temporal and spatial separations of twin photons, expressed, in the case of collinear phase matching, by the X-structure of the spatio-temporal correlation.

Let us consider the limit of a plane-wave and monochromatic pump, where the only allowed processes are those where twin photons are generated in the symmetric modes \( \vec{w}, -\vec{w} \). Let us focus on phase matched modes, which satisfy the condition

\[ \Delta_{pw}(\vec{w}) := \Delta(\vec{w}, -\vec{w}) = k_{sz}(\vec{w}) + k_{sz}(-\vec{w}) - k_p = 0, \]

that is

\[ \sqrt{k_s^2(\Omega) - q^2} + \sqrt{k_s^2(-\Omega) - q^2} - k_p = 0, \]

where and \( k_s(\Omega) \) is the signal wave-number at frequency \( \omega_p/2 + \Omega \). Equation (9) defines in the 3D-space \( (\vec{q}, \Omega) \) a surface, identified by the phase matching curve \( |\vec{q}| = q_{pm}(\Omega) \).

The longitudinal momentum conservation is complemented by the transverse momentum conservation, which requires that twin photons at conjugate frequencies \( \pm \Omega \), are emitted with opposite transverse wave vectors: \( \vec{q}(\Omega) = -\vec{q}(-\Omega) \), with \( |\vec{q}(\Omega)| = q_{pm}(\Omega) \).

The total derivative of Eq.(9) with respect to \( \Omega \) (i.e. the derivative performed following the phase matching curve) vanishes identically, and therefore:

\[ \frac{d}{d\Omega} \Delta_{pw}(q, \Omega) = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} \Delta_{pw}(q, \Omega) = - \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \Delta_{pw}(q, \Omega) q'_{pm}(\Omega). \]

Let us now assume that a pair of photons is created at a point \( z \) along the crystal (see Fig. 1), and let us multiply both sides of Eq.(10) by the longitudinal distance
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\( l_c - z \) travelled until the crystal end face. By performing the simple derivatives involved in Eq. (10), we obtain the identity

\[
\left[ \frac{(l_c - z)}{v_g(\Omega) \cos \theta(\Omega)} - \frac{(l_c - z)}{v_g(-\Omega) \cos \theta(-\Omega)} \right] = (l_c - z) \left[ \tan \theta(\Omega) + \tan \theta(-\Omega) \right] q_{pm}(\Omega),
\]

where \( v_g(\Omega) = \left(\frac{dk_s(\Omega)}{d\Omega}\right)^{-1} \) is the group velocity at frequency \( \Omega \), and \( \tan[\theta(\Omega)] = q_{pm}(\Omega)/k_{sz}[q_{pm}(\Omega),\Omega] \).

So far, we have performed only mathematical manipulations on the phase-matching relation. Let us now interpret the result (11). We picture twin photons as two wave-packets centered around the conjugate frequencies \( \pm \Omega \), and propagating along directions imposed by the phase matching conditions. That is, if a signal photon is emitted at frequency \( \Omega \), it will propagate at an angle \( \theta(\Omega) \) with respect to the \( z \) axis given by \( \sin[\theta(\Omega)] = q_{pm}(\Omega)/k_s(\Omega) \), with a velocity \( v_g(\Omega) \). Its twin photon will be emitted at frequency \( -\Omega \) and will propagate at an angle \( \theta(-\Omega) \) with a velocity \( v_g(-\Omega) \). Therefore \( \frac{(l_c - z)}{v_g(\pm\Omega) \cos \theta(\pm\Omega)} \) represents the time employed by a signal (idler) photon to reach the crystal exit face from the point \( z \) where it was created, and we can interpret the left hand side of Eq. (11) as the temporal delay \( \Delta t(\Omega, z) \) acquired during propagation along the crystal by a pair of twin photon created at point \( z \) with frequencies \( \pm \Omega \).

Similarly, \( (l_c - z) \tan \theta(\pm\Omega) \) is the radial distance travelled by a signal (idler) photon from the point where it was created to the crystal exit face. Therefore the quantity \( (l_c - z)[\tan \theta(\Omega) + \tan \theta(-\Omega)] \) at the right hand side is the transverse separation \( \Delta r(\Omega, z) \) at the exit face of the crystal of a pair of twin photons created at point \( z \) with frequencies \( \pm \Omega \) (we remind that twin photons always travel in transversally opposite directions, therefore the sum of their radial distances from the generation point represents their transverse separation, see Fig. 1).

We have thus found that the phase matching condition, through Eq. (11), im-
poses a relation between the temporal delays and the transverse separations of twin photons at the crystal exit face
\[ \Delta t(\Omega, z) = \Delta r(\Omega, z) q'_{pm}(\Omega). \] (12)

The nature of this relation, i.e. the shape of the spatio temporal correlation, depends on the shape of the phase matching curve via its derivative \( q'_{pm}(\Omega) \).

A closer insight can be gained by making a Taylor expansion of the phase mismatch function (9) around \( \vec{q} = 0, \Omega = 0 \):
\[ \Delta_{pw}(\vec{q}, \Omega) = \Delta_0 - \frac{q^2 l_c}{k_s} + k''_s l_c \Omega^2 \ldots \] (13)

with \( \Delta_0 = (2k_s - k_p)l_c \) being the collinear phase mismatch at degeneracy, \( k_s = k_s(\Omega = 0) \), and \( k''_s = d^2k_s(\Omega)/d\Omega^2|_{\Omega=0} \). We can consider the two limiting cases:

a) Collinear phase matching \( \Delta_0 = 0 \). In a large neighbourhood of \( \Omega = 0 \), the phase matching curve corresponds to two straight lines with opposite slopes (see also Fig.2b)
\[ q_{pm}(\Omega) = \pm \sqrt{k_s k''_s} \Omega \] (14)
(where the + or - sign has to be taken for \( \Omega \) positive or negative, respectively), so that \( q'_{pm}(\Omega) = \pm \sqrt{k_s k''_s} \) and Eq.(12) becomes
\[ \Delta t(\Omega, z) = \pm \sqrt{k_s k''_s} \Delta r(\Omega, z). \] (15)

This equation tells us that, whatever was the position along the crystal where twin photons were generated, whatever is their temporal frequency, they arrive at the end face of the crystal with a temporal delay proportional to their spatial transverse separation (with a plus or minus sign). Since we do not know where along the crystal and with which frequency twin photons were created, there is an uncertainty in their arrival times and transverse separations. However, when they are found separated by a distance \( \Delta r \), they will be also separated in time by an amount \( \Delta t = \pm \sqrt{k_s k''_s} \Delta r \). This entirely classical reasoning thus predicts a strong coupling between spatial and temporal degrees of freedom of twin photons. In particular Eq.(15), when seen in the full 3D space \( \Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta t \), describes a biconical surface, thus predicting that the spatio-temporal correlation has a non-factorable biconical geometry, which appears as an \( X \) in any plane containing one spatial coordinate and time.

b) Non-collinear phase matching \( \Delta_0 \gg k''_s l_c \Omega^2 \). In this conditions, in the neighbourhood of \( \Omega = 0 \) the phase matching curve basically becomes a horizontal straight line (see also Fig.2c)
\[ q_{pm}(\Omega) = \sqrt{k_s \Delta_0/l_c} + k_s k''_s \Omega^2 \approx \sqrt{k_s \Delta_0/l_c} \] for \( \Delta_0 \gg k''_s l_c \Omega^2 \) (16)
so that \( q'_{pm}(\Omega) \approx 0 \) and Eq.(12) predicts that
\[ \Delta t(\Omega, z) \approx 0, \] (17)
independently from the radial separation $\Delta r$. This result tells us that twin photons are most likely to be found together in time at the exit face of the crystal, irrespectively from their position in their transverse cross section, and suggests that for increasing values of the parameter $\Delta_0$ the spatial and temporal degree of freedom of twin photon should become progressively independent. This feature is indeed reflected by the structure of the quantum spatio-temporal correlation that will be described in the next section.

4. Formal calculation of the spatio-temporal correlation

Besides the classical-looking picture developed in the previous section, a full quantum calculation of the spatio-temporal correlation function can be performed, as done in [12].

In the low-gain regime the spatio-temporal correlation properties of twin photons are entirely described by the biphoton amplitude in the direct domain:

$$
\psi(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\xi}') := \langle \hat{A}(\vec{\xi})\hat{A}(\vec{\xi}') \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\vec{w} \int d\vec{w}' \psi(\vec{w}, \vec{w}') e^{i\vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{w} + i\vec{\xi}' \cdot \vec{w}'}
$$

where $\psi$ is given by Eq. (6), and we remind that $\vec{\xi} = (\vec{r}, t)$, where $\vec{r}$ is the transverse coordinate in the cross-section at the exit face of the crystal slab. Thus the function (18) gives the probability amplitude of finding a pair of photons at times $t, t'$ and positions $\vec{r}, \vec{r}'$, respectively, at the end face of the crystal.

A rather simple expression can be written in the nearly plane-wave pump approximation (see [2] for details), where the pump spectral profile is assumed to be narrow enough (the pump pulse has a wide enough cross-section and long enough duration), so that the following approximation holds:

$$
\tilde{\psi}(\vec{w}, \vec{w}') \approx \frac{g}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \hat{A}_p(\vec{w} + \vec{w}', l_c) e^{+i\Delta(\vec{w}, l_c) / 2} \text{sinc} \left( \frac{\Delta(\vec{w}, l_c)}{2} \right).
$$

(19)

The limit (19) is not difficult to reach, and very often corresponds to the actual experimental implementations of PDC (see e.g. the experiment in [24]). As explained in [2], it requires that the pump pulse is longer than the temporal delay between the pump and the signal wave due to group velocity mismatch (for a 4 mm BBO-Beta Barium Borate crystal $\approx 350$ fs), and that its cross section is larger than their spatial walk-off (for a 4 mm BBO crystal $\approx 220 \mu m$).

In this limit, the biphoton spatio-temporal correlation takes the factorized form:

$$
\psi(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\xi}') = A_p \left( \frac{\vec{\xi} + \vec{\xi}'}{2}, l_c \right) \psi_{pw}(\vec{\xi}' - \vec{\xi})
$$

(20)

$$
\psi_{pw}(\vec{\xi}' - \vec{\xi}) = g \int \frac{d\vec{w}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{\xi}' \cdot \vec{w} - i\vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{w}} \text{sinc} \left( \frac{\Delta_{pw}(\vec{w})}{2} \right) e^{i\Delta_{pw}(\vec{w}) / 2}.
$$

(21)

Thus, when plotted as a function of the mean spatio-temporal coordinate of twin photons, the biphotonic correlation follows the slow variation of the pump spatio-temporal profile. On the other hand, as a function of the temporal and spatial separation of twin photons, it has a fast variation represented by the Fourier transform.
of the sinc function, strongly peaked around the phase matching curve \( \Delta_{pw} = 0 \). Fig. 2 plots examples of such a spatio-temporal correlation, calculated in the two limiting cases of exactly collinear and extremely non-collinear phase matching discussed in the former section. This figure shows a clear agreement between the fully quantum mechanical calculation and the qualitative prediction based on the classical argument of the former section. In fact, for collinear phase matching the quantum correlation of Fig. 2 exhibits a X-shaped geometry, which would appear as a bicone in the 3D space, implying a proportionality between the temporal delays of twin photons and their spatial separation. As discussed in detail in [1] and demonstrated experimentally in [2], the asymptotes of the X-structure lie exactly on the lines \( \Delta t = \pm \sqrt{k_x k_y} \Delta r \) as predicted by the classical argument of Eq. (15). Conversely, for non-collinear phase matching, the spatio-temporal quantum correlation progressively shrinks and tends to assume the sigar-like shape of Fig. 2d, where the
temporal and spatial separation of twin photons are basically independent.

5. Schmidt number of the spatio-temporal entanglement

In the framework of the high-dimensional entanglement realized by spontaneous PDC, an important question concerns the number of entangled modes generated by the process or in other words of the degree of entanglement of the state. This can be quantified by the Schmidt number. Traditional evaluation of the Schmidt dimensionality of parametric-down conversion typically have concentrated on either the purely temporal entanglement or on the purely transverse spatial entanglement. In a recent work some of us studied the Schmidt dimensionality of the two-photon state generated by PDC in the framework of a fully 3D spatio-temporal model, and showed that in conditions of collinear phase matching this cannot be reduced to the product of Schmidt number calculated in models restricted to the purely temporal and purely spatial domain.

This is basically the result shown in Fig. 3a, where the Schmidt number calculated in the 3D spatio-temporal model for PDC ($K_{3D}$) in collinear conditions is compared with the product of the temporal ($K_{1D}$) Schmidt number times the spatial ($K_{2D}$) Schmidt number, as a function of the collected temporal bandwidth $\Omega_{max}$. In this plot we see that, as soon as the collected bandwidth exceeds $\approx 10^{14}$ Hz, the degree of the spatio-temporal entanglement does not factorizes into its purely temporal and spatial counterparts, which is again an evidence of the space-time coupling occurring in conditions of collinear phase matching, predicted in Sec. 3 with qualitative arguments and evidenced in Sec. 4 by the non-factorable X-correlation of Fig. 2.

On the other side, the panel (b) of Fig. 3 shows the same comparison in conditions of non-collinear phase matching. In this case $K_{3D} \approx K_{1D} \times K_{2D}$ over a much larger range of collected spectral bandwidths, Thus the analysis of the Schmidt number of the entanglement gives again evidence, from a completely different perspective, of the transition from a state where the spatial and temporal degrees of freedom are strongly coupled to a state whose spatial and temporal properties can be considered almost independent. In this transition, the classical phase matching conditions play a major role.

The calculation of the Schmidt numbers reported in Fig. 3 proceeds along the following steps (see for more details):

First of all, a simple bipartition of the system is introduced, by considering the action of a 50:50 beam splitter on the downconverted light at the output of the crystal slab. Second, the two-photon state conditioned to the joint detection of a pair of photons in the output modes $A_1, A_2$ of the beam-splitter is considered:

$$|\phi\rangle = \int d\vec{w}_1 \int d\vec{w}_2 \tilde{\psi}(\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2) \hat{A}^\dagger_1(\vec{w}_1) \hat{A}^\dagger_2(\vec{w}_2) |0\rangle_1 |0\rangle_2 .$$

(22)
Finally the Schmidt number is introduced as

$$K = \frac{1}{\text{Tr}\{\rho_1\}}$$

(23)

where $\rho_1$ is the reduced density matrix of the subsystem 1

$$\rho_1 = \text{Tr}_2\{|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|\}.$$  

(24)

As reported in detail in Ref. 5, starting from the expression of the conditional two-photon state, an integral formula for the Schmidt number can be derived, which reads:

$$K = \frac{N^2}{B}$$

(25a)

where

$$N = \int dw_1 \langle \phi_{\text{PDC}} | \hat{A}^\dagger (\vec{w}_1) \hat{A}(\vec{w}_1) | \phi_{\text{PDC}} \rangle = \int dw_1 \int dw_2 \left| \tilde{\psi}(\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2) \right|^2$$

(25b)

$$B = \int dw_1 \int dw_2 \int dw_3 \int dw_4 \tilde{\psi}(\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2) \tilde{\psi}(\vec{w}_3, \vec{w}_4) \tilde{\psi}^\ast(\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_4) \tilde{\psi}^\ast(\vec{w}_3, \vec{w}_2)$$

(25c)

Notice that by using simple mathematical properties of the Fourier transform, relations can be turned into identical relations involving the biphoton amplitude $\tilde{\psi}(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\xi}')$ in the direct domain in place of the amplitude $\tilde{\psi}(\vec{w}, \vec{w}')$ in the Fourier domain (the two amplitudes are in fact linked by a Fourier transform, see Eq 18): the degree of entanglement is independent whether one looks at photons generated in the Fourier space or in the conjugate one.

Ref. 5 described various approximations for formula (25), which lead to interesting analytical or semianalytical results for the Schmidt number. For simplicity, our Fig. 3 presents only the numerical results for $K$, where the integrals in Eqs. (25b)
are evaluated with a Montecarlo method, and \( \tilde{\psi} \) is given by Eq. (6). In the 3D case, each of the integrals in the above formulas is evaluated in the 3D space \( w_i = (q_i, \Omega_i) \), with \( |q_i| \) and \( \Omega_i \) ranging from zero up to some maximum values \( q_{\text{max}} \) and \( \Omega_{\text{max}} \), which simulate the presence of spatial or temporal filters (in Fig. 3, \( q_{\text{max}} \) is fixed to a value large enough to include all the phase matching curve). In the 2D model, the integration variable becomes the 2D spatial coordinate \( \vec{w}_i \rightarrow \vec{q}_i \), ranging up to \( q_{\text{max}} \), while the temporal frequency is fixed at \( \Omega = 0 \). In the 1D model, the integration variable is only the temporal frequency \( \vec{w}_i \rightarrow \Omega_i \in (0, \Omega_{\text{max}}) \), while the spatial coordinate is fixed to \( |q| = q_{\text{pm}}(\Omega = 0) \). Precisely in panel (a) \( |q| = 0 \), while in panel (b) \( |q| = \sqrt{k_s k_s'}/\ell_c \).

6. Conclusions

In this work we have developed an intuitive picture of the spatial and temporal properties of the entangled state generated by parametric-down-conversion, where twin photons are described as classical wave-packets. In this way we have shown that the phase matching mechanism, which links the angle and frequency of emission of light, can be translated into a precise relation between the temporal and transverse spatial separation of twin photons when they emerge from the nonlinear crystal. Althought our reasoning is tailored to describe type I PDC, we believe that it is quite general, and could be easily adapted to describe other nonlinear processes governed by phase matching.

This coupling between spatial and temporal degrees of freedom, described by classical arguments, turns out to determine in a precise way the spatio-temporal structure of the quantum entanglement. In particular, the quantum biphotonic correlation is shown to be skewed in space and time along the same trajectories predicted by the classical argument. The space-time coupling in the parametric process manifests itself also in the properties of the Schmidt number, which, in this case, gives an estimate of the number of modes effectively involved in the entanglement: in general it turns out that the number of spatio-temporal modes does not equal to the product of purely spatial and purely temporal modes.

Finally, we have shown that by properly manipulating the phase matching conditions it is possible to modify the relation between temporal and spatial separation of twin photons, thereby modifying the structure of the entangled state. In particular by changing the crystal tuning angle so to achieve strongly non collinear phase matching, we see a transition from a non-separable X-geometry to an almost separable geometry in space and time. This latter situation could be indeed very interesting for applications because twin photons can be relatively localized in time within few fs without the need of resolving their positions, i.e. an extremely broad temporal frequency bandwidth can be achieved independently from the spatial measurement.
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