Learning Point of the Article:
Possibility of Giant cell tumor Ulna should be entertained in patients with swellings about distal forearm. Keeping in view its high recurrence rate, resection and stump stabilisation goes a long way in achieving disease free status and excellent function.

Abstract
Introduction: Giant cell tumors (GCTs) of distal ulna are extremely rare accounting for 0.45%–3.2% of all the cases of GCTs. These are locally aggressive and have a higher rate of recurrence of up to 40% with conservative modality of treatment. Proximity to carpus and diminished range of motion makes their treatment a challenge.
Case Report: A 27-year-old male presented to us with swelling right distal ulna. X-ray and MRI were suggestive of GCT. The diagnosis was confirmed by core needle biopsy. The patient was managed by wide resection ulna with extensor carpi ulnaris tenodesis.

Conclusion: GCT ulna although very rare in presentation is a possible diagnosis. Wide resection of ulna is a viable treatment option to achieve disease free status. Extensor carpi ulnaris tenodesis helps stabilization of ulnar stump.
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Introduction
Giant cell tumor (GCT) of the bone is a rare, benign, and locally aggressive tumor of skeletally mature person, peaking in the third decade of life [1]. It accounts for 3–5% of all primary bone tumors [2] and is epimetaphyseal in location in long bones. It is accounting for about 3–5% of all primary bone tumors [2]. GCT distal ulna is very rare in incidence (0.45–3.2%) and only few cases have been reported in literature [3]. This paper described a young male who had GCT distal ulna and was managed by wide resection and ulnar stump stabilization with extensor carpi ulnaris tendon.

Case Report
A 27-year-old male, a hotel manager by profession, presented to us with a painful swelling over right distal ulna and inability to have pain free movements at wrist for the past 6 months. For initial 3 months, the swelling was peanut sized but progressed slowly thereafter. At the time of presentation, it was a walnut size swelling (3 × 2 cm) associated with dull aching pain aggravated on exertion (Fig. 1a). There was no history of trauma, fever, loss of weight, or swelling elsewhere in body. The swelling was diffusely tender and uniformly elastically hard and non-adherent to overlying skin. Movements at wrist (dorsiflexion and palmar flexion) and radioulnar joints (pronosupination) were painful and restricted. Plain X-ray of the left ulna in distal 3rd showed an expansile, multilobular, and radiolucent lesion with a clear margin. There was no periosteal reaction, but pathological fracture could be appreciated anteromedially (Fig. 1b). Other X-rays including chest and blood investigations were found to be normal.

MRI revealed a lobulated expansile lesion 33 × 21 mm in dimensions, located in distal third ulna, iso to hyperintense on T1, hyperintense on T2, and STIR with thin cortices and a breach on anteromedial surface with surrounding soft-tissue enhancement (Fig. 1c and d). Core needle biopsy confirmed
the lesion as GCT. Histopathology revealed multinucleate tumor giant cells with a background of mononuclear stroma. On the basis of clinical and radiographic evaluations, the lesion was found to be Campanacci Grade III lesion.

Operative steps

The patient was taken for surgery under regional anesthesia. Lateral incision was made over distal 3rd ulna subcutaneous border islanding the biopsy scar. Based on pre-operative planning based on MRI, level of osteotomy of ulna was decided at 8 cm from head of ulna (Fig. 2a). Gradually, all around dissection of tumor and distal ulna was done taking care not to injure the capsule and with a 1 cm cuff of healthy soft tissue. Care was taken to isolate and retract dorsal sensory branch of ulnar nerve. The ECU tendon was dissected free from the tumor mass and longitudinally split just proximal to the cut end of the ulna. The tendon was passed through a drill hole, 5 mm above the end of the ulnar stump in a dorsal to volar direction with the forearm held in supination. The tendon was then directed to the ulnar side and sutured back on itself, thus stabilizing ulnar stump (Fig. 2b and c). Postoperatively, the forearm and wrist were immobilized in slab for a period of 2 weeks following which gentle wrist and forearm exercises were started (Fig. 2d and e). Resected specimen was sent for histopathology and confirmed the diagnosis of GCT (Fig. 2f). At 1 year follow-up, no complications, for example, recurrence of tumor and carpus translation, were noted. The patient had a pain-free full range of dorsiflexion, palmar flexion, and pronosupination (Fig. 3).

Discussion

GCT is essentially a benign but locally aggressive tumor, located in epiphyseal region of long bones, and has the potential to metastasize to lungs [4]. A very low incidence of 0.45–3.2% but highly aggressive nature (higher than GCT radius) has been noted for GCT distal ulna [3].

On histopathology, these tumors are well circumscribed with areas of hemorrhage and necrosis. Microscopically, these are characterized by the presence of a number of osteoclast like giant cells in a background of mononuclear stroma. Since GCT ulna is a highly aggressive tumor and has a high potential for local recurrence, the treatment of GCTs focuses on minimizing the recurrences.

Various treatment options have been reported in literature for GCTs of ulna which range from extended curettage and bone grafting/cementation to wide resection, with or without reconstruction with allografts, prosthesis, or bone transport by...
Higher recurrence rates in GCT ulna expose patient to an increased risk of pulmonary metastasis [8]. A six-fold increase in risk for metastasis has been reported with recurrence [9] resulting in mortality in the range of 14–23% in studies with follow-up longer than 8 years [10]. This factor also has prompted surgeons to go for wide resection in GCT ulna, especially in Enneking III lesions [11]. Literature provides a variety of options for resection distal ulna, either reconstruction or leaving ulnar stump alone. Reconstructions with allograft, prosthesis, or bone transport with Ilizarov have been reported [8, 12]. While allograft reconstruction requires bone bank facilities, Ilizarov bone transport is time consuming and use of prosthesis is limited by its availability and cost-effectiveness and possible complications like loosening. Hence, we chose resection of distal ulna followed by ulnar stump stabilization with ECU.

Resection alone as a treatment option for GCT distal ulna alleviates risk of recurrence and pulmonary metastasis [8]. A six-fold increase in risk for metastasis has been reported with recurrence [9] resulting in mortality in the range of 14–23% in studies with follow-up longer than 8 years [10]. This factor also has prompted surgeons to go for wide resection in GCT ulna, especially in Enneking III lesions [11]. Literature provides a variety of options for resection distal ulna, either reconstruction or leaving ulnar stump alone. Reconstructions with allograft, prosthesis, or bone transport with Ilizarov have been reported [8, 12]. While allograft reconstruction requires bone bank facilities, Ilizarov bone transport is time consuming and use of prosthesis is limited by its availability and cost-effectiveness and possible complications like loosening. Hence, we chose resection of distal ulna followed by ulnar stump stabilization with ECU.

Reconstruction with adjuvants during intralesional curettage has been advocated by some, while high recurrence rates (40%) have been reported with intralesional curettage alone. Intralesional curettage with adjuvants has reported a recurrence rate of 18% [3]. Some others have stressed on adequate removal of tumor as a more important predictive factor for the outcome of surgery [5]. There is a consensus on management of Grade I and II lesions of GCT ulna in the form of curettage; [3, 6] while Grade III lesions have been managed by wide resection ulna [4]. Zhang et al. compared outcomes of curettage (Grade 1) with resection (Grade II) in GCTs distal ulna. Post-operative recurrence was found to be significantly higher in patients treated by curettage as compared to en bloc resection (P = 0.024) [7].

Higher recurrence rates in GCT ulna expose patient to an increased risk of pulmonary metastasis [8]. A six-fold increase in risk for metastasis has been reported with recurrence [9] resulting in mortality in the range of 14–23% in studies with follow-up longer than 8 years [10]. This factor also has prompted surgeons to go for wide resection in GCT ulna, especially in Enneking III lesions [11]. Literature provides a variety of options for resection distal ulna, either reconstruction or leaving ulnar stump alone. Reconstructions with allograft, prosthesis, or bone transport with Ilizarov have been reported [8, 12]. While allograft reconstruction requires bone bank facilities, Ilizarov bone transport is time consuming and use of prosthesis is limited by its availability and cost-effectiveness and possible complications like loosening. Hence, we chose resection of distal ulna followed by ulnar stump stabilization with ECU.

Resection alone as a treatment option for GCT distal ulna alleviates risk of recurrence and pulmonary metastasis [8], but is known to compromise stability of carpus, radioulnar convergence, ulnar stump stability, and rarely rupture of digital extensor tendons [8, 13]. Still studies have reported excellent outcome (75%) following distal ulna resection without any reconstruction [14].

In this study, ECU was longitudinally slit and a part of it was rerouted through drill hole made in proximal ulnar stump. At 1 year follow-up, the functional outcome was very good. Hence, wide resection of ulna, especially in Grade III tumors, is associated with good functional results and less recurrence rate.

**Conclusion**

This case highlights the fact that wide resection is a viable treatment option, especially in Grade III GCTs distal ulna. Tenodesis of ulnar stump with extensor carpi ulnaris split tendon goes a long way in stabilizing the ulnar stump and achieving a desirable wrist function.

**Clinical Message**

GCT ulna is a very rare presentation. Keeping in view the higher incidence of recurrence with these tumors, wide resection has emerged as a reasonable option to achieve a disease-free status and an optimum wrist function.
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