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The problem of optimizing the operation of production lines is considered as an important direction of production management. In this regard, the task of optimal control of the production line is one of the main problems of modern production management. One of the approaches to solving this problem is associated with the development of mathematical models that allow for the formation of an optimal mode of operation. Such models are used to determine the optimal operation of the production line, taking into account the specifics of the production process. The paper deals with the statement of the task of optimal control of the production line using the additional time of equipment operation. The model of the production line is presented in the form of a partial differential equation, which describes the behavior of the production line flow parameters. The model takes into account the specifics of the production process and the technological operations, which are modeled as a system of balance equations. The model allows for the formation of an optimal mode of operation of the production line, ensuring the maximum efficiency of the production process.
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1 Introduction

On the production line of the enterprise is required to process a batch of products [1]. For the process identified:

a) the sequence of operations and their technological parameters;
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b) the equipment necessary to perform the operation, the parameters of its work and the layout scheme;
c) the properties of the object of labor and the laws of the transfer of resources to objects of labor as a result of the impact of equipment.

It is assumed that the production technology during the production cycle does not change, that is, the parameters characterizing the operation remain unchanged. The duration of the shift is given, is eight hours. Reducing the duration of the production cycle is possible by changing the mode of loading equipment. The amount of equipment loading in the processing of a batch of products will be characterized by the shift factor of the equipment during the day $K_{Sm}$. We believe that the cost of one hour of equipment operation is different for each operation and depends on the time of day. The flow parameters of the model of the controlled production process in the two-step description are inter-operating reserve characterized by density $[\chi]_{b}(t,S)$, and by the flow of objects of labor $[\chi]_{b}(t,S)$ on the technological route [2-5]. To describe the behavior of flow parameters in space and time, we use the one-dimensional coordinate space $(t,S)$ [6, 7]. The coordinate $S$ determines the place of the object of labor in the technological route. The introduced one-dimensional coordinate space $(t,S)$ allows us to construct compact models for controlling the parameters of a production line. We divide the coordinate axis $0S$ into segments $\Delta S_{m} \in [S_{m-1}, S_{m}]$. Coordinates $S_{m-1}$ and $S_{m}$ characterizes the beginning and end of the $m$-th operation, $m = 1, M$. At the same time, we assume that $S_0 = 0$, $S_M = S_d$, where $S_d$ is the cost of production.

Let the function $z_{c}(t,S_{m})$ determine the cost of the excess costs of the resources required for the use of additional equipment within one hour of the $m$-th operation. The dependence of the function $z_{c}(t,S_{m})$ on time implies that during the production cycle, the cost of the excess costs of resources required to perform the operation on additional equipment may vary over time. Under the use of additional equipment we understand the use of backup equipment, the required time or the main equipment in additional time (shift factor $K_{Sm} < 3$) [8].

One of the approaches to synchronize the processing performance of objects of labor in different operations of the production line is to use the main equipment in additional time between the main technological shifts (control of the shift of technological equipment for a given technological operation). If the time used by the equipment during single-shift operation is selected as the time axis of the state space, then the state of the backlog during the period between the end of the shift and the beginning of another shift in the case of using the main equipment during the second and third shift will change abruptly by the number of processed products during the second and third shift.

Let us introduce the distribution density of the cost of the above-standard costs of technological resources required to perform work on additional equipment within an hour for the technological route in the interval $[0; S_d]$:

$$S_{m} \int_{S_{m-1}}^{S_d} \omega_{c}(t,S)dS = z_{c}(t,S_{m}),$$

$$S_{d} \int_{0}^{S_{d}} \omega_{c}(t,S)dS = \sum_{m=1}^{M} S_{m} \int_{S_{m-1}}^{S_{d}} \omega_{c}(t,S)dS = \sum_{m=1}^{M} z_{c}(t,S_{m}).$$

We introduce a function $W_{d}(t,S)$ that characterizes the work of additional equipment (main equipment in the second or third shift, shift factor $K_{Sm} > 1$).

Define $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} W_{d}(t,S)dt$ as the number of additional equipment (located in the vicinity $S$ of the technological route coordinates) hours for a period of time $\Delta t = (t_2 - t_1)$. As a result of the inclusion of additional equipment that ensures the processing of objects of labor in the second and third shift at a rate equal to the rate of operation of the main equipment $[\chi]_{d}(t,S)$, the overall rate of movement of objects of labor at the point of the technological route with the coordinate $S$ increases by $[\chi]_{d}(t,S) \cdot W_{d}(t,S)$. During $\Delta t = (t_2 - t_1)$ the work of the additional equipment, an additional flow of objects of labor with the total number of units
\[ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} [\chi_{\nu}(t,S) \cdot W_{\delta}(t,S)] dt \]

will pass through the point of the technological route with the coordinate \( S \).

The excess costs \( C_{cb}(T_d) \) required to ensure the operation of additional equipment located on the segment \( \Delta S_m \in [S_{m-1}, S_m] \) and used to perform the \( m \)-th operation for the duration of the production cycle \( T_d \), we define the integral:

\[ C_{cb}(T_d) = \int_{0}^{T_d} \int_{0}^{S_d} W_{\delta}(t,S) \cdot \omega_{x}(t,S) dS dt \]

**Relevance and practical significance**

The scientific novelty consists in the development of a method for designing control systems for the parameters of the production line of enterprises with a continuous method of organizing production based on the PDE model of the control object.

In this case, the control object, the production line is represented by a dynamic system with distributed parameters along the technological route. The optimal control of the parameters of the production line is sought in the form of superpositions of delta functions.

The proposed method of designing a system for controlling the flow parameters of a production line can be used as the basis for designing highly efficient production flow control systems for enterprises manufacturing semiconductor products in the automotive industry.

**Production Line Model**

Line parameters for continuous production flow with a sufficiently large number of operations satisfy the balance equation system:

\[ \frac{\partial [\chi_{\nu}(t,S)]}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial [\chi_{\nu}(t,S)]}{\partial S} = 0, \quad [\chi_{\nu}(t,S)] = [\chi_{\nu}]_{\nu}(t,S). \]  

(1)

The normative tempo \( [\chi_{\nu}]_{\nu}(t,S) \) of processing items of labor for a production line is set at each point of the technological route and for each point in time. The parameters of the model of the controlled production process in the two-step description are the inter-operational reserves, which characterize \( [\chi_{\nu}]_{\nu}(t,S) \) the density of distribution of objects of labor along the technological route, and their rate of movement \( [\chi_{\nu}]_{\nu}(t,S) \) [1].

We control the value of the flow parameters \( [\chi_{\nu}]_{\nu}(t,S), [\chi_{\nu}]_{\nu}(t,S) \) by regulating the place of activation of the auxiliary equipment in the technological route and the duration of its activation (change in the coefficient of the loading of the process equipment \( K_{sm} > 1 \)).

Control function \( U_{\delta}(t,S) = W_{\delta}(t,S) \) determines the duration of the inclusion of additional equipment in the specified place of the technological route with the coordinate \( S \) at the time \( t = t_q \), where \( t_q \) is the end time of the \( q \)-th shift \( (q = 1,2,3,...) \). The planning interval for the line in question is equal to the interval of three shifts (daily planning interval) with one-shift operation of the main equipment. As an additional, the main equipment is used, which processes \( K_{sm} > 1 \) the objects of labor in the second and third shift with the pace \( [\chi_{\nu}]_{\nu}(t,S) \).

The behavior of the parameters \( [\chi_{\nu}]_{\nu}(t,S), [\chi_{\nu}]_{\nu}(t,S) \) of the production line is limited by the initial conditions of the distribution of objects of labor along the technological route and the purpose of management:

\[ [\chi_{\nu}]_{\nu}(0,S) = [\chi_{\nu}]_{\nu}(S), \quad [\chi_{\nu}]_{\nu}(T_{d},S) = [\chi_{\nu}]_{\nu}(S), \]

as well as the boundary conditions determining the receipt from the warehouse of raw materials, materials for the first operation and the output of finished products from the last operation:

\[ [\chi_{\nu}]_{\nu}(t,0) = [\chi_{\nu}]_{\nu}(t,S), \quad [\chi_{\nu}]_{\nu}(t,0) = [\chi_{\nu}]_{\nu}(t). \]
In the absence of the inclusion of additional equipment parameters \( [\chi]_0(t, S), [\chi]_1(t, S), [\chi]_{\nu'}(t, S) \) in the moment \( t_q \) the end of the \( q \)-th shift and the start of the \((q+1)\)-th shift are continuous functions of time \( t \) with the continuous derivatives of the \( n \)-th order:

\[
[\chi]_0(t_{q-}, S) = [\chi]_0(t_{q+}, S), \quad \frac{\partial^n [\chi]_0(t_{q-}, S)}{\partial t^n} = \frac{\partial^n [\chi]_0(t_{q+}, S)}{\partial t^n},
\]

\[
[\chi]_1(t_{q-}, S) = [\chi]_1(t_{q+}, S), \quad \frac{\partial^n [\chi]_1(t_{q-}, S)}{\partial t^n} = \frac{\partial^n [\chi]_1(t_{q+}, S)}{\partial t^n},
\]

\[
[\chi]_{\nu'}(t_{q-}, S) = [\chi]_{\nu'}(t_{q+}, S), \quad \frac{\partial^n [\chi]_{\nu'}(t_{q-}, S)}{\partial t^n} = \frac{\partial^n [\chi]_{\nu'}(t_{q+}, S)}{\partial t^n}.
\]

The notation \( t_{q-} \) and \( t_{q+} \), means that the functions \( [\chi]_0(t, S), [\chi]_1(t, S), [\chi]_{\nu'}(t, S) \) are considered in the infinitely small neighborhood to the left and to the right of \( t_q \). We believe that the regulatory parameters characterizing the operation during the production cycle \( T_d \) remain unchanged in time:

\[
[\chi]_0(t, S) = [\chi]_0(0, S) - \frac{\partial [\chi]_0(S)}{\partial S} t, \quad [\chi]_1(t, S) = [\chi]_{\nu'}(t, S) = [\chi]_{\nu}(S).
\]

Condition

\[
\frac{\partial [\chi]_{\nu}(S)}{\partial S} \bigg|_{S=S_m} < 0
\]
corresponds to increase in the density of inter-operating reserve for a technological \( m \)-th operation during the cycle time \( T_d \) for the case when there is no inclusion of additional equipment in the second and third shifts. In this case, the rate of processing of parts at the technological operation will be considered constant over time. The average daily rate can be changed depending on the shift value of the process equipment. The time value corresponds to the time \( t_q \) of completion of works in the \( q \)-th shift under the single-mode operation mode of the main equipment (duration of the work shift, hours):

\[
\Delta t_q = (t_q - t_{q-1}) = 8.
\]

The number of inter-operating reserve at the moment of time corresponding to the completion of work in the \( q \)-th shift is equal to the number at the beginning of the work of the \((q+1)\)-th shift (2). The increase in the density of inter-operating reserves with time will lead to overflow of the capacity of the inter-operating bunker located in the point of the technological route defined by the coordinate \( S_m \), and ultimately, to the overflow of the production line with the subsequent stopping of the production line.

The conditions for the occurrence of the drive overflow process and the study of the evolution of its development for the technological route section \([0, S_m]\) are described in detail in [4, 6, 9-12].

To ensure the smooth operation of the production line, it is necessary to synchronize the rate of processing of objects of labor in individual operations within the time interval between the beginning of the \( t_q \)-th and the beginning of the \( t_{q+1} \)-th work shift.

We supplement equations (1) with the control function \( U_{\delta}(t, S) \):

\[
\frac{\partial [\chi]_0(t, S)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial [\chi]_1(S)}{\partial S} = - \frac{\partial ([\chi]_{\nu}(S) \cdot U_{\delta}(t, S))}{\partial S},
\]

\[
U_{\delta}(t, S) = W_{\delta}(t, S) = W(t, S) \cdot \delta(t - t_q), \quad t_q = 8 \cdot q \quad W(t_q, S) \leq 8,
\]
determining the duration of the inclusion of equipment in the position \( S \) at the time between the end of the \( q \)-th and the beginning of the \((q+1)\)-th shift \((q = 1, 2, 3...))}. The control of the flow parameters carried
out as a result of the use of additional equipment at the moment of time \( t_q \) between the end of the \( q \)-th shift and the beginning of the \((q+1)\)-th shift is determined through the Dirac delta function \( \delta(t-t_q) \) [13, 14]. The equation for changing the density of inter-operating reserve (3) can be integrated over time:

\[
[\mathcal{X}]_q(t, S) = [\mathcal{X}]_q(0, S) - \frac{\partial [\mathcal{X}]_q(S)}{\partial S} \tau - \sum_{q=1}^{k} \left( [\mathcal{X}]_q(S) \cdot W(t_q, S) - [\mathcal{X}]_{q-1}(S) \cdot W(t_{q-1}, S) \right),
\]

(4)

where the replenishment time points of the production line are \( 0 < t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_q < t_{q+1} < \ldots < t_k \leq \tau \) (hour) with the duration of the work the additional equipment \( W(t_1, S), W(t_2, S), \ldots, W(t_k, S) \) (hour).

Replenishment time moments \( t_q \) and the duration of additional equipment operation \( W(t_q, S) \leq 8 \) (h) depend on the choice of control. The total number of objects of labor in the inter-operational reserve of the technological operation, bounded by the coordinates of the technological route \( S_{m-1} \) and \( S_m \), is the quantity:

\[
S_m = \int_{S_{m-1}}^{S_m} \left( [\mathcal{X}]_q(t, S) - [\mathcal{X}]_0(0, S) \right) dS - \sum_{q=1}^{k} \left( [\mathcal{X}]_q(S_{m-1}) - [\mathcal{X}]_{q-1}(S_{m-1}) \right) \cdot W(t_q, S_{m-1}) - [\mathcal{X}]_q(S_{m-1}) \cdot W(t_q, S_{m-1}),
\]

where

\[
\frac{S_m}{S_{m-1}} \left( [\mathcal{X}]_q(t, S) - [\mathcal{X}]_0(0, S) \right) dS - \text{changing backlog} \ m \text{-th operation for time } \tau;
\]

\[
[\mathcal{X}]_q(S_{m-1}) \tau \text{ - the number of objects of labor, which arrived at the } m \text{-th operation with } (m-1) \text{-th during the time } \tau \ (h);
\]

\[
[\mathcal{X}]_q(S_m) \tau \text{ - the number of objects of labor, which took from } m \text{-th operation to } (m+1) \text{-th in time } \tau \ (h);
\]

\[
[\mathcal{X}]_q(S_{m-1}) \cdot W(t_q, S_{m-1}) \text{ - the number of objects of labor, which arrived at the } m \text{-th operation with the } (m-1) \text{-th as a result of the work of additional equipment during the time } W(t_q, S_{m-1}) \text{ between the beginning of the } q \text{-th and the beginning of the } (q+1) \text{-th shift};
\]

\[
[\mathcal{X}]_q(S_m) \cdot W(t_q, S_m) \text{ - the number of objects of labor, which went with the } m \text{-th operation on the } (m-1) \text{-th as a result of the work of additional equipment during the time } W(t_q, S_m) \text{ between the beginning of the } q \text{-th and the beginning of the } (q+1) \text{-th shift}.
\]

The rupture of the function \( [\mathcal{X}]_0(t, S) \) corresponding to the value \( [\mathcal{X}]_q(S_m) \cdot W(t_q, S_m) \), is determined by the work of the additional equipment with the capacity \( [\mathcal{X}]_q(S_m) \) included between \( t_q \) and \( t_{q+1} \) the shift for processing the subject of labor for a time \( W(t_q, S_m) \).

**Statement of the task of optimal control of the production line**

In a fairly general form, the task of building an optimal program for controlling flow parameters \( [\mathcal{X}]_0(t, S), [\mathcal{X}]_1(t, S) \), when additional equipment is turned on using additional equipment, can be formulated as follows: determine the state of parameters \( [\mathcal{X}]_0(t, S) \in G_0, [\mathcal{X}]_1(t, S) \in G_1 \) for each route point \( S \in [0, S_q] \) during the period of time \( t \in [0, T_q] \) while managing \( U(t, S) \in G_U \) the additional equipment operation time

\[
U(t, S) = W(t, S) \cdot \delta(t-t_q), \quad t_q = 8 \cdot q,
\]

delivering a minimum of functionality

\[
C_{cb} = \int_0^T \int_0^{S_q} U(t, S) \cdot \omega_c(t, S) dS dt \to \min,
\]

(5)

with differential connections.
with restrictions along the trajectory on the phase variables \([\dot{X}](t,S)\) determined by the storage capacity

\[
0 \leq [\dot{X}](t,S), \quad [\dot{X}](t,S) \leq [\dot{X}]_{G}(S).
\]

with constraints along the trajectory on the control \([7]\)

\[
0 \leq U_{\delta}(t,S), \quad 0 \leq \int_{t_{q}-0.5\Delta q}^{t_{q}+0.5\Delta q} U_{\delta}(t,S)dt \leq U_{\delta G}(S) = 8, \quad \Delta q \to 0,
\]

initial conditions

\[
[X](0,0) = [X]_{0}(0),
\]

final state (control goal)

\[
[X](T_{d},S) = [X]_{T_{d}}(S)
\]

and boundary conditions

\[
[X](t,0) = [X]_{0}(0), \quad [X](t,S_{d}) = [X]_{q}(S_{d}),
\]

where \(t_{q} = 8q\) is the end time of the \(q\)-th shift; \(\delta(t-t_{q})\)- delta function. Control is carried out in the time interval between shifts

\[
t \in \left[t_{q} - \frac{\Delta t_{q}}{2}, t_{q} + \frac{\Delta t_{q}}{2}\right], 0 < t_{1} < t_{2} < ... < t_{q} < T_{d}.
\]

Control \(U_{\delta}(t,S)\) should be understood as a certain impulse, which is an idealization of a sufficiently large in the magnitude of ordinary control during a shift concentrated in a neighbourhood of a point \(t_{q}\) [13]. The value of the function \(U_{\delta}(t,S)\) at the time point \(t\) specifies the number of hours of operation of the additional equipment at the location of the technological route with a coordinate \(S\).

Condition (6) for different parts of the route is recorded under the assumption that the duration of the work of additional equipment cannot exceed the duration of the technological shift equal to eight hours.

The tempo of processing of objects of labor along the technological route is a given function \([X]_{q} = [X]_{q}(S)\) from the coordinate \(S\). The tempo of movement of objects of labor \([X](t,0) = [X]_{0}(0)\),

coming in the form of raw materials and materials to the production line, and the tempo \([X](t,S_{d}) = [X]_{q}(S_{d})\) finished parts leaving the last operation, do not depend on time, consistent with the plan of supplying the production with raw materials and the plan of shipments of finished products. Functional (5) defines the criterion for the quality of control of flow parameters during the production cycle \(T_{d}\), reflects the above-standard costs for all technological operations associated with the use of additional equipment. It is assumed that the cost of an hour of operation of additional equipment is set different for each operation and depends on the time, determined by the function. In determining the optimal control program, we assume that the intervals between shifts are adjacent to each other \(\Delta t_{q}(t,S)\).

We assume that the main equipment works continuously during the work shift. To ensure the continuity of the flow of items of labor on the technological route

\[
[X]_{G}(S) \geq [X](t,S) \geq 0,
\]

the operation of the additional equipment must be controlled in such a way that during the shift in the interval \(t \in \left[t_{q}, t_{q+1}\right]\) the main equipment functions continuously. Strict equality

\[
[X]_{G}(S) = [X](t,S), \quad [X](t,S) = 0,
\]
corresponding to filling the bunker with labor objects and emptying it, is allowed only in the time interval

\[ t \in \left[ t_q - \frac{\Delta t_q}{2}, t_{q+1} - \frac{\Delta t_q}{2} \right]. \]

Integrating the balance equations in the specified time interval, we get:

\[
[\mathcal{X}]_0 \left( t_{q+1} - \frac{\Delta t_q}{2}, S \right) = [\mathcal{X}]_0 \left( t_q - \frac{\Delta t_q}{2}, S \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial S} \left( \frac{\partial [\mathcal{X}]_0 q(S)}{\partial S} \right) \cdot 8 - \frac{\partial}{\partial S} \int_{t_q}^{t_{q+1}} \frac{\partial [\mathcal{X}]_0 q(S) \cdot U_\delta(t, S) dt}{t_q - \frac{\Delta t_q}{2}}.
\]

what allows to write down condition of inadmissibility of overflow of the inter-operational bunker

\[
[\mathcal{X}]_0 G(S) - [\mathcal{X}]_0 \left( t_q - \frac{\Delta t_q}{2}, S \right) + \frac{\partial [\mathcal{X}]_0 q(S)}{\partial S} \cdot 8 + \frac{\partial}{\partial S} \int_{t_q}^{t_{q+1}} [\mathcal{X}]_0 q(S) \cdot U_\delta(t, S) dt \geq 0
\]

and the condition of inadmissibility so the inter-operational bunker was empty

\[
[\mathcal{X}]_0 \left( t_q - \frac{\Delta t_q}{2}, S \right) - \frac{\partial [\mathcal{X}]_0 q(S)}{\partial S} \cdot 8 - \frac{\partial}{\partial S} \int_{t_q}^{t_{q+1}} [\mathcal{X}]_0 q(S) \cdot U_\delta(t, S) dt \geq 0.
\]

The function \([\mathcal{X}]_0 (t_q, S)\) determines the distribution of objects of labor according to the technological route at the moment of time \(t = t_q\), corresponding to the beginning of the \(q\)-th shift.

Control \(U_\delta(t, S)\) with restrictions on phase variables and with restrictions on control, ensures the achievement of the control target with the minimum value of the integral (5) and with given differential constraints, is the optimal program for the flow parameters of the production line. In the absence of control, the equation of relations is (1). Changing the number of objects of labor in the area of the production line within the operation is only possible due to the receipt of objects of labor from the previous operation and their care for the subsequent as a result of technological processing. Term \(\frac{\partial [\mathcal{X}]_0 q(S) \cdot U_\delta(t, S)}{\partial S}\) containing control \(U_\delta(t, S)\), plays the role of a source or sink [1] of objects of labor in the considered element of the volume of phase space. The initial conditions and the goal of management determine the distribution of objects of labor along the route at the initial and final point in time.

**Conclusion**

Achievement of the production system with the initial distribution of objects of labor \([\mathcal{X}]_0(S)\) along the technological route in a precise line over the duration of the production cycle \(T_q\) of the final state \([\mathcal{X}]_{0f_d}(S)\) can be implemented in a variety of ways, each of which is called a control program. In the technical problems of managing the state of the production line, the question arises of finding the most optimal program for the use of resources (optimal control). The mathematical reflection of this fact is that the control of the parameters of the production line should be chosen from the condition of minimum integral (5).

This paper shows that along with traditional models for controlling the parameters of production flow lines, an important role is played by control models associated with the use of partial differential equations (PDE models). A PDE-model for controlling the parameters of a production line has been considered, taking into account restrictions on the volume of inter-operating bunkers along the technological route.

The developed model allows you to determine the schedule of switching on and off the process equipment. A method for controlling the synchronization of technological operations of an industrial
production line is considered. The quality criterion of the production system is written, which allows building optimal control over the parameters of a production line operating in multi-shift mode. It is shown that partial differential equations that act as differential constraints for phase variables are replaced by a system of equations for the coefficients of decomposition of production line parameters, which allowed us to obtain the control function in the form of time dependence and position (coordinates) in the technological route.
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