Abstract. Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is characterized by an infiltrative growth pattern with intense desmoplastic stroma comprised of cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs). Additionally, the histological characteristics are considered to play a vital role in the poor prognosis of PDAC. However, the density of cancer cells, degree of desmoplasia and vascular proliferation varies in individual cases. We hypothesized that preoperative radiological images would reflect histological characteristics, such as cancer cell density, CAF density and microvessel density. To clarify the association between the histological characteristics and radiological images of PDAC, the cancer cell density, CAF density and microvessel density from surgical specimens were measured with immunostaining, and the time density curve of dynamic contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CECT) was analyzed. Overall, the initial slope between non-enhanced and arterial phases was correlated with microvessel density, and the second slope between arterial and portal phases was correlated with CAF and cancer cell densities. In conclusion, the present study suggested the possibility of estimating cancer cell, CAF and microvessel densities using the TDC of dynamic CECT.

Introduction

Pancreatic cancer is one of the most malignant tumors of the digestive system globally (1). The Global Cancer Observatory (GLOBOCAN) estimated pancreatic cancer caused >432,242 deaths per year, accounting for 4.5% of all deaths worldwide in 2018 (2). Inspite of the achievements in diagnosis and management of pancreatic cancer, the disease-free overall 5-year survival rate is ~6% (2-9%) (3). Histologically, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is the most common histological type. The most typical histological feature of PDAC is an infiltrative growth pattern with intensive desmoplastic stroma that is comprised of cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) characterized by \( \alpha \)-smooth muscle actin (\( \alpha \)SMA) expression (4). Several studies have suggested that CAFs promote cancer progression through various intercellular communications, such as transforming growth factor b (TGF-b) signaling, stimulating angiogenesis etc. (5-11).

In fact, the growth pattern of PDAC and degree of stromal desmoplasia varies in individual cases. The infiltrative growth pattern with abundant fibrous stroma is indeed the most common type; however, some patients show solid growth. We hypothesized that these histological differences in PDAC would be associated with the preoperative image, such as that obtained via dynamic contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CECT). This hypothesis was inspired by the following studies of contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (CEMRI). In studies on breast cancer, the time intensity curve (TIC) of CEMRI is considered to reflect tumor grade (12-14). The TIC forms a line chart that represents contrast enhancement changes dependent on time. The TIC consists of early and delayed phases; the early phase is described as slow, medium or rapid depending on the curve steepness, whereas the delayed phase occurs after the peak enhancement and is characterized as persistent if it continues to increase, as a plateau if it levels off and as washout if it decreases. Persistent...
kinetics are generally aligned more with benign lesions, whereas washout kinetics are generally suspected to be malignant and plateau kinetics are considered indeterminate (14). In Hirosaki University Hospital (Aomori, Japan), patients with PDAC are routinely examined with dynamic CECT as part of a fixed protocol. The usefulness of dynamic CECT in the diagnostic imaging and staging of pancreatic cancer has been established. Typical PDAC appears as hypodense masses compared with adjacent normal pancreatic tissue and draws the time density curve (TDC) for CECT as TIC is drawn for CEMRI. Comparative analysis between histology and TIC has been established in breast cancer; however, to the best of our knowledge only 1 study focused on the association between imaging diagnosis and PDAC histology (15). Therefore, the present study investigated the association between the histological characteristics and TDC of PDAC.

Materials and methods

Patients. A total of 59 patients with PDAC without preoperative chemotherapy, who were surgically treated between January 2012 and June 2018, were investigated after obtaining each patient's informed consent for using their clinical records and pathological specimens at the Hirosaki University Hospital (Hirosaki, Japan). The patient cohort was comprised of 34 women and 25 men, with a median age of 68 years (range, 53-84 years), and with tumors located in the pancreatic head (23 cases), pancreatic body (27 cases) and pancreatic tail (9 cases). All patients underwent dynamic CECT, and none of them had a contrast media allergy or renal function problems that would prevent them from undergoing CECT. Operative procedures were determined according to the location of the primary tumors and tumor spread. Pancreatoduodenectomy was conducted in the 21 patients with pancreatic head cancer, and distal pancreatectomies were conducted in the 36 patients with pancreatic body and tail cancer. A total of 2 patients with pancreatic head cancer underwent a total pancreatectomy due to tumor spread in the main duct of the pancreatic body. At the Hirosaki University Hospital, patients are administered TS1 (tegafur/gimeracil/oteracil) as standard postoperative chemotherapy for pancreatic cancer and the course is followed up. TS-1 was administered orally twice daily at a dose of 80 mg/m²/day for 4 weeks and 2 weeks rest. This cycle was repeated for half a year. In the present study, TS1 was administered to 55 patients. TS1 administration was discontinued in 4 cases due to adverse effects. Survival data were obtained from hospital medical charts. A total of 49 patients were surveyed, but 10 patients did not complete the follow-up survey. The median observation period was 27.8 months.

Histological analysis. All surgical specimens were fixed with 10% formalin at room temperature for 24 h. In cases of pancreatic head tumors, surgical specimens were sliced at right angles to the common bile duct, whereas those in the pancreatic body or tail tumor were sliced at right angles to the main pancreatic duct. Tumors were embedded in paraffin, and 4-μM sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin for pathological evaluation. The sections were stained at room temperature with Mayer's hematoxylin for 20 min and with eosin for 5 min. Pathological evaluations, including pathological T, N and M categories, and staging were conducted for all patients according to an up-to-date TNM classification from the Union for International Cancer Control (8th edition) (16). The PDAC differentiation was classified as well differentiated (G1), moderately differentiated (G2) and poorly differentiated (G3) according to the World Health Organization classification of tumors of the digestive system (5th edition) (17). If intratumoral heterogeneity (i.e., variation in the degree of differentiation) was present, a higher grade was assigned.

Immunostaining and histological image analyses. To measure the cancer cell, CAF and microvessel densities, the largest cross section of tumor was measured. Immunostaining of cytokeratin AE1/AE3, αSMA and cluster of differentiation 31 (CD31) was conducted. Cytokeratin AE1/AE3 is a pan-epithelial marker. Although there is no specific marker for CAFs, αSMA is widely used as a CAF marker in various human cancer types, such as lung cancer (18), cholangiocarcinoma (19), gastric cancer (20) and breast cancer (21). CD31 is a pan-vascular endothelial marker that is positive for both normal endothelial and tumor endothelial cells (22). For the immunostaining examination, sections on microslides were deparaffinized using the standard avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex method with automated immunostainer (Benchmark XT; Ventana Medical Systems, Inc.). In brief, deparaffinized slides were treated with tris-EDTA buffer (pH 7.8) at 95°C for 44 min. For blocking endogenous peroxides and protein, the slides were treated with 5% non-fat dry milk at 37°C for 4 min. The slides were incubated with primary antibody for 60 min at room temperature. The clones and dilution ratios of primary antibodies were as follows: Cytokeratin AE1/AE3 (monoclonal mouse; clone AE1, AE3; 1:100; cat. no. 41281; Nichirei Bioscience, Inc.), αSMA (monoclonal mouse; clone 1A4; cat. no. M0851; 1:100; Dako; Agilent Technologies Inc.) and CD31 (monoclonal mouse; clone JC70A; cat. no. M0823; 1:40; Dako; Agilent Technologies Inc.). Reaction products were visualized by iVIEW DAB Detection kit including secondary antibody of biotin-binding goat anti mouse immunoglobulin G (IgG) (1:1,000; cat. no. 760-091; Ventana Medical Systems, Inc.; Roche Diagnostics). The largest slice was equally divided by field of view (field number was 22) using a microscope with a 4X objective lens and a 10X eyepiece (Fig. 1A-C). BX53 light microscope (Olympus Corporation), CellSens software v.2.3 64 bit and DP74 digital camera (both Olympus Corporation) were used for capturing images. An attempt was made to exclude regions where the tumor and non-tumor tissue were mixed in the margins and to exclude the lumens of enlarged ducts from the measuring area, combining these images with observations from H&E stained tissues. Whole images of the largest slice of tumor with immunostaining were captured (Fig. 1D-F), and immunostaining images were binarized using Image J software [Java 1.6.0_24 (64-bit); National Institutes of Health]. Binarized images showed immunostaining-positive and immunostaining-negative components as red and white (Fig. 1G-I). The area of immunostaining-positive components was measured as the pixel number, using thresholds with minimum and maximum values of 0 and 120, respectively. Cancer cell density was measured as cytokeratin AE1/AE3-positive pixel number divided by the total area pixel.
number, and CAF density was measured as the αSMA-positive pixel number minus the CD31-positive pixel number divided by the total stromal area pixel number, i.e., total area pixel number minus cancer cell pixel number. Microvessel density was measured as the CD31-positive pixel number divided by the total stromal area pixel number.

**Radiological imaging analysis.** Dynamic contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CECT) images were investigated using the fixed protocol applied to all patients with pancreatic cancer in the Hirosaki University Hospital. CT was conducted using a 64-detector row CT scanner (Discovery CT750 HD; GE Healthcare), using the following parameters: Detector configuration, 64x0.625; tube voltage, 120 kV; automatic tube current modulation; collimation, 40 mm; tube rotation time, 0.5 sec; pitch, 0.8; field of view, 35x35 cm; image matrix, 512x512; and slice thickness, 5 mm. After obtaining unenhanced images, a non-ionic contrast medium dose of 600 mgI/kg body weight with an iodine content of 300 mgI/ml (Iopamiron 300/370, Bayer Yakuhin Ltd.; Omnipaque 300, Daiichi-Sankyo Co., Ltd.; Iopromide 300/370, Fujifilm Toyama Chemical Co., Ltd.; Iomelon 350, Eisai Co., Ltd.; Optiray 320, Fuji Pharma Co., Ltd.) was intravenously injected within 30 sec, and scanning of the arterial, portal venous and equilibrium phases began at 35-40 sec, 60-70 sec and 180 sec after initiating the contrast medium injection (Fig. 2A-D). To draw a TDC, Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine data (EV insite R version 3.4.0.0 from PSP corporation or ShadeQuest/ViewR V1.24 from Yokogawa Medical Solutions) were used. The primary tumor was indicated as the hypovascular area surrounded by a yellow dotted line. The region of interest (ROI) was indicated as the area surrounded by a solid yellow line (Fig. 2A-D). The ROI was drawn on the central area of the tumor and avoided tumor margins, the vascular structure and artificial materials such as stents. The ROI was drawn on the central area of the tumor, as the marginal area includes both tumor and non-tumor tissue on the H&E slides. After measuring the CT number of ROIs in each phase, the TDC was created (Fig. 2E). T1 indicates the non-enhanced phase, T2 the arterial phase, T3 the portal phase and T4 the equilibrium phase. H1 is the CT number of the non-enhanced phase (T1), H2 is that of the arterial phase (T2), H3 is that of the portal phase (T3) and H4 is that of the equilibrium phase (T4). δ1 is the initial slope between non-enhanced and arterial phases, δ2 the second slope between arterial and portal phases and δ3 the third slope between portal and equilibrium phases.

**Statistical analysis.** The correlations between d1-3 and the densities of cancer cells, CAFs and microvessels were statistically analyzed using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient. Correlation was defined as statistically significant if the p value (r) was calculated as lrl > 0.2. Survival analyses were conducted using the Kaplan-Meier method to estimate event rates and the log-rank test for survival comparisons between patient groups. Cancer cell density, CAF density and microvessel density were divided into high and low groups based on respective median.
values. The median value of cancer cell density was 18.3%, CAF density was 20.4%, and microvessel density was 1.05%. All statistical evaluations were conducted using R v.3.6.3 (http://www.r-project.org) and EZR v.1.41 (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University) software.

Results

Clinicopathological findings of PDAC. The pathological T category was represented as pT1c with 7 cases (12%), pT2 with 38 cases (64%) and pT3 with 14 cases (24%). Pathological N category was represented as pN0 with 20 cases (34%) and pN1 with 23 cases (39%). Patients with distant metastasis (27%) were not included. Staging was recorded as stage IA in 4 cases (7%), stage IB in 14 cases (24%), stage IIA in 2 cases (3%) and stage III in 17 cases (29%) (Table I). Tumor differentiation was well differentiated (G1) in 4 cases (7%), moderately differentiated (G2) in 42 cases (71%) and poorly differentiated (G3) in 13 cases (22%). The median cancer cell density was 18.3% (range, 5.0-41.7%), the CAF density was 20.4% (range, 0.5-55.1%) and the microvessel density was 1.05% (range, 0.09-0.40%) (Table II).

TDC and histopathology of PDAC. Three combinations showed statistically significant correlations: δ1 was correlated with microvessel density (r=0.366, P=0.004) and δ2 was correlated with both cancer cell density (r=-0.280, P=0.032) and CAF density (r=-0.301, P=0.020). Additionally, δ3 was not associated with either cancer cell density (r=-0.003, P=0.979) or CAF density (r=-0.234, P=0.074) (Fig. 3); δ2 was not associated with microvessel density (r=-0.080, P=0.547) and δ3 was not associated with cancer cell density (r=-0.087, P=0.510), CAF density (r=0.037, P=0.782) or microvessel density (r=-0.005, P=0.969) (Table III). Representative cases were shown in Fig. 4. The TDC of Case 1 showed a gentle upslope in δ1 and δ2 (Fig. 4). Histologically, Case 1 showed a solid growth pattern with high cancer cell density, high CAF density and low microvessel density (Fig. 4). On the other hand, the TDC of Case 2 showed a rapid upslope in δ1 and δ2 (Fig. 4). Histologically, Case 2 showed an infiltrative growth pattern with low cancer cell density, low CAF density and high microvessel density (Fig. 4).

Association between cancer cell density, CAF density and microvessel density. There were no significant correlations between cancer cell density and CAF density (r=0.062, P=0.645), CAF density and microvessel density (r=0.240, P=0.069), or cancer cell density and microvessel density (r=0.076, P=0.572) (Table IV).

Prognostic analysis of PDAC. Differences in overall survival time between high and low cancer cell density groups (P=0.522), between high and low CAF density groups (P=0.573), and between high and low microvessel density groups (P=0.871) were not significant (Fig. 5).

Discussion

The present study demonstrated the possibility that TDC allows us to predict the histological characteristics of PDAC. The initial slope between the non-enhanced phase and the arterial phase (δ1) was positively correlated with microvessel density, and the second slope between the arterial phase and the portal phase (δ2) was negatively correlated with cancer cell density and CAF density. For example, the TDC with a high δ1 indicated high vascularization, and the TDC with a low δ2 indicated high cancer cell density and high CAF density. Tumors with a high δ1 and a low δ2 tended to display histological characteristics such as a solid growth pattern with a stroma containing many CD31-positive microvessels and dense αSMA-positive fibrosis. Thus, the shape of the TDC reflects the tumor growth pattern and characteristics of the tumor stroma.
Table I. Clinical features of 59 patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

| Clinical features          | Value       |
|---------------------------|-------------|
| Mean age (range), years   | 69.2 (53-84)|
| Sex, n (%)                |             |
| Female                    | 34 (58)     |
| Male                      | 25 (42)     |
| Location, n (%)           |             |
| Pancreatic head           | 23 (40)     |
| Pancreatic body           | 27 (46)     |
| Pancreatic tail           | 9 (24)      |
| Pathological T category, n (%) |         |
| pT0, pTis, pT1a, pT1b, n (%) | 0 (0)       |
| pT1c                      | 7 (12)      |
| pT2                       | 38 (64)     |
| pT3                       | 14 (24)     |
| pT4                       | 0 (0)       |
| Pathological N category, n (%) |         |
| n0                        | 20 (34)     |
| n1                        | 23 (39)     |
| n2                        | 16 (27)     |
| M category, n (%)         |             |
| M0                        | 59 (100)    |
| M1                        | 0 (0)       |
| Staging, n (%)            |             |
| Stage 0                   | 0 (0)       |
| Stage IA                  | 4 (7)       |
| Stage IB                  | 14 (24)     |
| Stage IIA                 | 2 (3)       |
| Stage IIB                 | 22 (37)     |
| Stage III                 | 17 (29)     |
| Stage IV                  | 0           |

First, the initial slope between the non-enhanced and arterial phases (d1) was found to be positively correlated with microvessel density. The steepness of δ1 represents microvessel proliferation in the cancer stroma. It has been shown that the attenuation value of the peak enhancement of the tumor and the peak enhancement value divided by time are positively correlated with the extent of tumor vascularity in lung cancer and renal cell carcinoma (23,24). In a study of 36 patients with PDAC, Hattori et al (15) reported that when using conventional dynamic multidetector CT (MDCT), the ratios of enhanced values in the arterial phase to the tumor-aorta enhanced values were correlated with CD34-positive microvessel density, as counted in five hot spots at high magnification. The present results regarding δ1 and microvessel density were consistent with those of this previous study. Since δ1 represents data on changes in contrast agents entering the tumor tissue over time, it is more likely to be correlated with tumor vascularity. Although the difference in overall survival between the high and low microvessel density groups was not significant in the present study, angiogenesis...
has been considered a poor prognostic factor in many cancer types, such as breast (25‑27), lung (28,29), and colorectal (30) cancer. However, Katsuta et al (31) reported that the high expression of CD31 is associated with better overall survival time in patients with PDAC based on mRNA expression from RNA sequencing data (31). The study further elaborated that PDAC expressing high levels of CD31 has mature and stable vessels that supply anti‑cancer immune cells. Thus, the association between tumor vascularity and prognosis in PDAC appears to be controversial.

Second, the second slope between the arterial and portal phases ($\delta_2$) was found to be negatively correlated with both cancer cell and CAF density. The gentleness or descent of $\delta_2$ is considered to represent high‑density cancer cells and CAFs. The study by Hattori et al (15) also reported that the extent of fibrosis was negatively correlated with the absolute value in the pancreatic phase of conventional dynamic MDCT, which is equivalent to the portal phase in the present study. The extent of fibrosis was scored according to the ratio of fibrosis in the tumor using Elastica van Gieson staining, with a score of 1‑3 (15). The present study reinforced these results with quantitative data focusing on $\alpha$SMA‑positive fibroblasts. Generally, contrast agents have two‑compartment pharmacokinetics with intravascular and extravascular‑extracellular components. After an intravenous injection, contrast agents circulate and reach organs via the arterial system in the arterial phase. Thereafter, contrast agents leak from the microvessels to the pancreatic tissue in the portal phase. Lastly, contrast agents that accumulate in the pancreatic tissue return to the microvessels during the equilibrium phase. In the present study, a non‑ionic iodine contrast agent was used, i.e., one not distributed into the cytoplasm of cancer cells and CAFs.

### Table III. Correlations between TDC and histological findings of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

| Slope | Cancer cell density | CAF density | Microvessel density |
|-------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|
|       | r       | P‑value   | r       | P‑value   | r       | P‑value   |
| $\delta_1$ | -0.003 | 0.979     | 0.234 | 0.074     | 0.366 | 0.004     |
| $\delta_2$ | -0.280 | 0.032     | -0.301 | 0.020     | -0.080 | 0.547     |
| $\delta_3$ | -0.087 | 0.510     | 0.037 | 0.782     | -0.005 | 0.969     |

CAF, cancer‑associated fibroblast; TDC, time density curve; $\delta_1$, the initial slope between non‑contrast and arterial phases of TDC; $\delta_2$, the second slope between arterial and portal phases of TDC; $\delta_3$, the third slope between portal and equilibrium phases of TDC.

![Figure 4. Representative cases. (A) TDCs of cases 1 and 2. Case 1 showed a gentle upslope in $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$. Case 2 showed a rapid upslope in $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$. (B) The histological characteristics of cases 1 and 2. Case 1 showed a solid growth pattern with high cancer cell density, high CAF density and low microvessel density. Case 2 showed an infiltrative growth pattern with low cancer cell density, low CAF density and high microvessel density. (C) The specifications of cases 1 and 2. Cytokeratin AE1/AE3 (%) represents cancer cell density, $\alpha$SMA (%) represents CAF density and CD31 (%) represents microvessel density. TDC, time density curve; T1, non‑enhanced phase; T2, arterial phase; T3, portal phase; T4, equilibrium phase; $\delta_1$, the initial slope between T1 and T2; $\delta_2$, the second slope between T2 and T3; $\delta_3$, the third slope between T3 and T4; $\alpha$SMA, $\alpha$‑smooth muscle actin; CAF, cancer‑associated fibroblast; CD31, cluster of differentiation 31.](image-url)
but into the extracellular matrix (32). Therefore, cancer cells and CAFs work as obstacles to the spread of contrast agents, causing the negative correlations between δ2 and cancer cell and CAF densities. CAF proliferation has been considered a poor prognostic factor in numerous studies (5‑11,33,34). However, the difference in overall survival time between the high and low CAF density groups was not significant in the present study. A possible reason for the differences between the present study and previous studies is the non‑specific immuno‑
staining of αSMA. In the present study, the entire tumor area was measured, not just the tumor infiltrated areas, in order to compare tissue sections and radiological images. Furthermore, immunostaining was performed using an automated method with Image J. Therefore, it is possible that non‑specific αSMA immunostaining was detected. By contrast, a recent study revealed that there are two types of CAFs: Cancer‑promoting CAFs and cancer‑restraining CAFs (35). Cancer‑promoting CAFs express αSMA (36) and cancer‑restraining CAFs express Meflin, a glycosylphosphatidylinositol‑anchored protein (37). Currently, in situ hybridization is recommended for detecting Meflin on tissue slides, as Meflin immunostaining often results in non‑specific staining (37). Meflin is an attractive marker for future research to distinguish cancer‑restraining CAFs from cancer‑promoting CAFs.

Although the present study demonstrated a significant correlation between the TDC and histological characteristics, the correlation coefficients were small (rl=0.2‑0.3). The following technical limitations are possible reasons for these small values. First, it was challenging to achieve complete exclusion of the marginal area, including both tumor and non‑tumor tissues from the tissue assessment area, as cytokeratin AE1/AE3, αSMA and CD31 were stained on both the tumor and non‑tumor tissues. On the other hand, it is important that the microenvironment of the tumor center is different from the tumor margin. The present study did not investigate the issue, and it is a challenge for the future. Second, it was difficult to achieve a complete match between the ROI of dynamic CECT and the actual tissue assessment area. This problem would be solved by a new radiological technique, dual‑energy CT (DECT). DECT can draw higher resolution images than conventional CT. The present study did not use DECT, as it is a newer method at Hirosaki University Hospital. This is a topic for future research.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that the TDC of dynamic CECT was associated with histological components of PDAC such as cancer cell density, CAF density, and microvessel density with quantitative methods and results for the first time. The initial slope of TDC was positively correlated with microvessel density, and the second slope of TDC was negatively correlated with cancer cell density and CAF density. The present study suggested that the TDC of dynamic CECT was useful to predict histological characteristics of PDAC.

Table IV. Correlations among histological findings of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

| Histological findings | Cancer cell density | CAF density | Microvessel density |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|
|                       | r   | P-value | r   | P-value | r   | P-value |
| Cancer cell density   | -   | -      | 0.0618 | 0.645  | 0.0758 | 0.572  |
| CAF density           | -   | -      | -    | -      | 0.24  | 0.0691 |
| Microvessel density   | -   | -      | -    | -      | -    | -      |

CAF, cancer‑associated fibroblast.
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