Yellow fluorescent graphene quantum dots as a phosphor for white tunable light-emitting diodes†
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In recent decades, quantum dots have been considered to be highly promising photoluminescent materials for white light devices. During the application of quantum dots in the fabrication of white LEDs, the spectrum and color temperature of the devices are modulated; these devices often involve quantum dots with different emission wavelengths. In this study, yellow-green emitting graphene quantum dots (GQDs) were fabricated using a simple, low-cost and eco-friendly method. The obtained GQDs were cast in UV-curable siloxane. Then, a polymer film with superior optical transparency and excellent monodisperse properties of GQDs was formed. Via the simple adjustment of thickness and the GQD concentration of the color convert matrix, tunable color temperatures (3196–10 870 K) of the GQD-based white light-emitting diodes (LEDs) were achieved. The CIE (Commission Internationale de L’Eclairage) coordinates of the GQD-based white light-emitting diodes matched well with the blackbody radiation curve. Using the fluorescent polymeric matrix in white LEDs, good quality emission and gratifying stability could be obtained. Moreover, this indicates that this technology has the potential for applications in high-end lighting.

Introduction

In recent decades, white light-emitting diodes (LEDs) have become increasingly important in illumination, display backlighting, and traffic signal lights as well as many other application areas due to their high energy efficiency, long lifetime, fast response speed and high reliability.1 To produce a white LED, there are three common ways. The first method uses the tricolor chip multichip module, which is considered as the costliest strategy;2 the second way uses the combination of a UV chip and multiple phosphors, which will increase the cost of manufacturing and reduce the stability of the spectrum.3,4 The third approach, the most commonly used method to fabricate white LEDs, involves the use of yellow phosphors as a wavelength conversion layer, and the blue-chip serves as an excitation source.5 Compared with the multiple phosphor approach, the single-phosphor approach eliminates the need to consider the mass ratio of several phosphors. Moreover, it has simplified the technology of device fabrication and reduced energy loss during the operation of the device. A typical yellow phosphor is Y3Al5O12:Ce3+, prepared with rare-earth materials. However, the high cost of raw materials and the environmental damage caused during the mining process are the fatal weaknesses limiting the widespread use of rare-earth phosphors. Furthermore, the relatively low color rendering index (CRI) of the white LEDs based on the Y3Al5O12:Ce3+ yellow phosphor hinders the development of this phosphor for application in high-quality lighting and displays.6 Thus, new yellow fluorescent materials should be developed to replace the traditional phosphors.

Recently, a large number of studies have been conducted on the application of semiconductor quantum dots.7,8 However, the harsh preparation conditions and the unsolvable problem of toxicity still pose huge challenges to the industrial production as well as the use of semiconductor quantum dots. In fact, the low-cost and non-toxic fluorescent carbon materials are the optimal choices in this regard. Graphene quantum dots (GQDs), a new type of zero-dimensional carbon nanomaterials, have attracted significant attention since their first report in 2008.9 Owing to their low toxicity, excellent biological compatibility, facile synthesis and unique physical nature, GQDs can play an essential role in multiple fields (e.g., chemical sensing,10,11...
catalysis,\textsuperscript{12} and bioimaging\textsuperscript{13–17}). In addition to the above-mentioned properties, excellent photoluminescence (PL) and high surface grafting make the GQDs useful for application in optoelectronic devices.\textsuperscript{18–28} More importantly, as a novel phosphor, GQDs have the potential to substitute rare-earth phosphors in white LEDs.\textsuperscript{29}

In most cases, there are two ways to apply the GQDs in LEDs. In general, luminescent materials directly serve as the emitting part. However, this requires accurate optimization of the device structure and technology.\textsuperscript{30} The other way is to use the light-emitting materials as the colour conversion layer of the lighting-emitting chip.\textsuperscript{31} The second way that deals with the colour conversion layer is relatively easier. Despite this, GQDs must be uniformly dispersed in a suitable solid matrix to avoid self-quenching caused by the aggregation of GQDs. In addition, their mechanical and chemical stabilities could be improved.\textsuperscript{32}

In this study, yellow-green emitting GQDs served as the new phosphors. The as-prepared GQDs were cast in UV-curable siloxane, and a polymer film was formed with superior optical transparency and excellent monodisperse properties of GQDs. Via the simple adjustment of the thickness and the GQD concentration of the colour convert matrix, tunable colour temperatures (3196–10 870 K) of the GQD-based white LEDs were achieved, and their CIE coordinates matched well with the blackbody radiation curve. The polymeric matrix was applied in white LEDs with good luminescence quality and gratifying stability; this indicated the potential of the GQDs for application in high-end lighting.

Experimental

Material and instruments

We purchased the silicone resins ET-821A and ET-821B, a UV adhesive (U-613), blue-light-chips (EZ1000), and a silver adhesive (M705-S101-S4) from the market. Moreover, we used a spekron coating integrating sphere and a fluorescence spectrophotometer (HITACHI F4500) to investigate the electroluminescence properties and absorption characteristics. In addition, the PL properties were examined by the FLS920 fluorescence spectrometer. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed using the JEM-2100F electron microscope at the acceleration voltage of 200 kV with a CCD camera. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were obtained using the Nicolet AVATAR 360 FTIR instrument.

Synthesis of GQDs

Based on a previous study,\textsuperscript{33} the GQDs were synthesized by the following method. Typically, 0.05 g of 1,5-diaminonaphthalene was slowly added to a mixed solvent of 7 mL of ethanol and 3 mL of chloroform under stirring. The solution was sonicated for 30 min. Then, the prepared solution was transferred to a 25 mL polytetrafluoroethylene autoclave and reacted at 180 °C for 12 h. After being cooled down, the solution was taken out and filtered through a 220 nm filter. The resulting GQD solution was placed in a rotary evaporator; the organic solvent was evaporated to dryness (50 °C), and the GQD solid was added into other solvents, such as hexamethylene, toluene, ethylene glycol, ethanol, etc.

Preparation of blue-light devices for experiment

The blue-light chip was welded on the silver coating using a conductive silver paste, and a gold wire was bonded with the pad of the chip and the substrate. Subsequently, the silicone resins A and B were uniformly mixed (ET-821A : ET-821B = 4 : 1) using a centrifugal blender. To protect the chip from the solvent of GQDs, the silicone resin was covered on the LED chip. Then, the LED coated with the silicone resin mixture was heated for curing at 150 °C in a drying box for 10 min.

Fabrication of the white LEDs

To attain a more stable external environment for the GQDs, they were introduced into a UV curable adhesive matrix in this study. As shown in Fig. 1, the approach used for preparing the GQD/UV
Results and discussion

The morphology of the GQDs was examined, as shown in Fig. 2. According to the TEM images (Fig. 2a and b), the as-prepared GQDs have a size distribution range from 1 to 7 nm with an average size of 4 nm. The high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image (Fig. 2c) presents the high crystallinity of the GQDs. The lattice spacing of 0.21 nm (Fig. 2c) was consistent with the in-plane lattice spacing of graphene. Furthermore, the peak located at 23.9° corresponded to the (002) diffraction facet of graphite carbon (Fig. S1†). The uniform size distribution and clear lattice structure implied that the GQDs were successfully obtained. The AFM images of the GQDs (Fig. 2d) indicate that the average height of the GQDs is 3.46 nm. Accordingly, this suggests that the GQDs are primarily multilayer structures.6

At first, UV-vis absorption and PL tests were carried out on the GQDs to investigate their PL properties. The PL test was performed by fixing the excitation wavelength and scanning the intensity of the light emitted from the toluene solution of the GQDs. Finally, the relationship between the emission wavelength and the wavelength of the incident light was obtained. As shown in Fig. 3a, the emission wavelength was independent of the excitation wavelength. Moreover, the emission intensity of the GQD solution increased with a decrease in the excitation wavelength of the light. A slight red-shift (not more than 5 nm) was found in the emission spectrum of the GQD toluene solution when the excitation wavelengths were 360 nm, 390 nm, 420 nm, and 450 nm. Previous studies have suggested that the GQDs have excitation-independent property.37-39 In the UV-vis absorption spectra (Fig. 3b), the strong characteristic absorption peak at 324 nm corresponds to the n → π* transition of the C≡O bond,40 and the relatively weak absorption peak at 407 nm is ascribed to doping with chlorine.41 Moreover, the excitation spectrum of the GQDs presents two peaks at 330 nm and 409 nm close to their UV-vis absorption peaks. For better comprehension, the PL spectra obtained using different solvents were compared. Fig. 3c shows that the PL spectra of the GQDs dissolved in cyclohexane, toluene, ethylene glycol and ethanol solvents. Compared with the case of the toluene solution, as shown in Fig. 3c, the main peaks in the case of other solutions were slightly changed under excitation at 450 nm.42 In addition to this, a splitting pattern was observed in the ethanol solution spectrum, and an anomaly peak located at 544 nm appeared. To investigate this, the functional groups of GQDs were identified by FTIR spectroscopy (Fig. S2†). The peak of C–Cl at 535 cm⁻¹ demonstrated the chlorine doping of GQDs. The double peak issue, as described in previous studies, arises from the different electronic transition pathways introduced into the band structure of GQDs as a result of chlorine doping.43 Moreover, no double-peak or multi-peak emission was observed in the group without chlorine doping.35 The abovementioned results suggested that the PL of the prepared GQDs originated not only from their quantum size effect but also from their surface functional groups.

GQDs at a concentration of 24 mg mL⁻¹ were uniformly mixed with a UV curable glue at the mass ratios of 0.78 mg g⁻¹, 0.1 mg g⁻¹, 0.01 mg g⁻¹ and 0 mg g⁻¹. Fig. 4a shows that the prepared GQD/UV adhesive composites change from colorless to buff to brown with the increasing GQD concentration. An image of the prepared GQD/UV adhesive composites with different concentrations of GQDs placed in ceramic cups under a UV lamp (wavelength: 365 nm) is illustrated in Fig. 4b. Due to the PL properties of the prepared GQD/UV adhesive composites, when the UV lamp was turned on, the films turned brighter. With an increase in the concentration of GQDs in the gel, it was found that the emission peaks had a certain degree of redshift and became wider. The color of the emitted light was green inclined to yellow, as shown in Fig. 4b. The shift of the emission peaks and the wider PL spectra of the GQD/UV glue composites than those of the GQDs in solvents (Fig. 4c) originate from reabsorption.44 The red shift could be attributed to the increased absorption of the GQDs with a decrease in the excitation wavelength, as shown in the Fig. 3b. When the concentration of GQDs is high, the absorption degree of a short wavelength is much higher than that of a long wavelength. As a result, most of the short-wavelength light is absorbed and not emitted, and the long wavelengths easily penetrate the resin due to its lower absorption coefficient.45

![Fig. 2](image-url) (a) TEM image of the GQDs. (b) The distribution of particle size. (c) HRTEM image. (d) AFM image of the GQDs and their height distributions.
According to the aforementioned results, the GQDs in toluene were embedded in the gel with a strong fluorescence (Fig. 5). Taking Rhodamine B as a reference, we measured a quantum yield of 28% in the gel, slightly less than 31% in the solution; this illustrated that it is suitable to embed the quantum dots in gels.

Furthermore, the mixture containing a suitable dose of GQDs was coated on the blue light-emitting InGaN diodes to fabricate white LEDs. These samples at three different concentrations showed the characteristic peak with a slight red shift. Fig. 5 presents the spectra of the LED samples under the three GQD concentration conditions. The coating thickness of the quantum dot gels on the LED chip was changed to realize the optimization of the spectra, great colour coordinates and colour temperature regulation. In this regard, the thick conversion layer worked as the optical path of the emitted light; the absorption of the emitted light enhanced with an increase in the optical path length. When the concentration was very low or very high, as shown in Fig. 5a and c, the spectrum was slightly affected by the optical path (thickness of coating). In the case of a suitable concentration (Fig. 5b), the spectrum could be controlled well by the length of the optical path. As shown in Fig. 5b, the blue light ratio decreased with an increase in the thickness of the colour conversion layer. Moreover, the correlated colour temperature decreased. The emission peak at 460 nm originated from the InGaN-based LEDs, and the broad

---

**Fig. 3**  (a) PL intensity of the GQDs in a toluene solution with various excitation wavelengths. (b) The UV-vis absorption (black line) and photoluminescence excitation (PLE) spectra (red line) of the GQDs in a toluene solution when the emission peak is located at 510 nm. (c) Photoluminescence spectra of the GQDs in different solvents under 450 nm excitation.

**Fig. 4** Images of the films prepared with different concentrations of GQDs under (a) visible light and (b) UV light. (c) PL spectra of the films prepared with different concentrations of GQDs.

---

**Fig. 5** The luminescence spectra of the LED samples coated with polymeric matrices of different thicknesses containing different GQDs concentrations: (a) 0.01 mg g⁻¹, (b) 0.1 mg g⁻¹, and (c) 0.78 mg g⁻¹.
emission peak near 580 nm covered almost the entire visible range from blue to red. This broader emission peak caused by the multiple reabsorptions of the initial emission peak results in a higher colour rendering index, which is crucial for improving the quality of light in LED illumination.

As shown in Fig. 6, the scattered dots in the CIE coordinates correspond to the LEDs with various concentrations and thicknesses of the coating. The inset images of the LEDs match with those obtained at the three concentrations. With an increase in the coating thickness, the dots moved from a low CCT position to a high CCT. Colour temperature-tunable white LEDs were achieved only by adjusting the concentration and thickness of the QGD films. Moreover, the CIE coordinates matched the blackbody radiation curve well. Table 1 shows that the higher the concentration of GQDs in the gel, the less the correlated colour temperature. This is because reabsorption will be greater with an increase in QGD content. White LEDs coated with low-concentration QGD/UV resin composites will have a higher luminous efficiency than those coated with high-concentration QGD/UV resin composites. The improvement of light efficiency is due to the increase in the transparency caused by the decrease of GQD contents in the resin, and the light extraction becomes easy. When the mass of GQDs is 0.1 mg g⁻¹, the sample has superior light quality. The device has a higher color rendering index than those fabricated with monochromatic phosphors. Moreover, the cost of the QGD synthesis is much lower than that of traditional quantum dots with the same level of luminous efficacy. GQDs in other solvents were also investigated, but their luminous efficacy was nearly one-third of that in toluene (Table S1†). The comparison of our results with those of other studies is presented in Table S2.†

To explore the characteristics of GQD-based white LED in depth, the device spectrum of colour conversion layer is measured under different working currents. Fig. 7a shows that with an increase in the working current, the emission intensity of the prepared device enhanced correspondingly. The spectrum of the GQD-based white LED (mass ratio UV resin : QDs = 1 g : 0.1 mg) presented peaks at 455 nm and 582 nm, which did not shift with the increasing current. With an increase in current, the CIE chromaticity coordinates remained almost unchanged (Fig. 7b). According to Fig. 7c, the luminous efficacy changed slightly in the low working current range and dropped down when the current continued to increase, which is caused by the higher temperature under bigger operation current. Fig. 7d displays that the colour rendering index varied from 84.4 to 87.2. In general, the device was maintained at a relatively stable status when loaded with different working currents. We also investigated the time stability of the device (Fig. S3†). The prepared device was kept in a drying cabinet environment for 6 months, and the luminous flux attenuation was less than 30%, which indicated that the device had great stability.

| Concentration (mg g⁻¹) | CRI | Luminous efficacy (lm W⁻¹) | CCT (K) |
|------------------------|-----|--------------------------|--------|
| 0.01                   | 32–61 | 29–33                   | > 12 862 |
| 0.10                   | 83–86 | 18–22                   | 4176–10 870 |
| 0.78                   | 66–73 | 4–9                     | 3196–3802 |
Conclusions

In conclusion, GQDs were successfully obtained from 1,5-diazenonaphthalene via a facile and cost-efficient route. The GQDs were introduced into a UV curable matrix and distributed uniformly without any surface treatment. This advantage is very significant for the application of GQDs in white LEDs. Moreover, we could realize spectrum tuning by only adjusting the concentration and thickness of the GQD films. Good quality white LEDs were obtained by coating a suitable GQD colour convert matrix with an appropriate GQD content on the blue light LEDs; furthermore, due to the use of the UV curable matrix, there is no need to consider the effect of high temperature caused by heat curing for silicone encapsulation; owing to the low cost and stability of the process, GQDs become a preferred candidate in the field of optoelectronic devices.
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