Insect photoperiodism: Bünning’s hypothesis, the history and development of an idea
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Abstract. In insects, the photoperiodic system comprises a linked sequence of events from photoreception to final seasonally-appropriate phenotypes such as overwintering diapause. The first and last of these events are reasonably well known, but central phenomena such as those distinguishing short from long days (time measurement) and the nature, accumulation and transfer of this information through development, metamorphosis and sometimes across generations remains obscure. Bünning’s intuitive suggestion that photoperiodic time measurement was a function of the circadian system, made eight decades ago, however, has provided a framework for numerous studies investigating these connections. This review examines the development of Bünning’s hypothesis from its origin in plants to the physiology of diapause in insects. Despite considerable inter-species differences, a close and probably causal relationship between circadian rhythmicity and photoperiodism is indicated.

INTRODUCTION

In 1936, the German plant physiologist Erwin Bünning suggested that time measurement inherent in the photoperiodic phenomenon was a function of the circadian system (Bünning, 1936). The importance of photoperiodism had been appreciated since Garner & Allard (1920) showed that many plants could only flower and fruit when daylength fell within certain limits, some responding to long days, others to short. These observations were quickly followed by studies on seasonal morph determination in aphids (Marchovitch, 1923, 1924), migration in birds (Rowan, 1926), seasonal breeding cycles in mammals (Baker & Ranson, 1932) and control of diapause in the silkworm (Kogure, 1933). The selective advantages provided by these phenomena were immediately apparent. The function of circadian rhythmicity, however – although known to control daily up-and-down movements of plant leaves since the 18th century (De Mairan, 1729; Zinn, 1759) – remained more obscure. Charles & Francis Darwin in their book *On the Power of Movement in Plants*, for example, recognised that leaf movements were heritable and must therefore be of importance to the plants concerned (Darwin & Darwin, 1880), but could not suggest a selective advantage for such a phenomenon. Bünning’s insightful suggestion that photoperiodism and circadian rhythmicity were somehow related seemed to provide such a function.

First attempts to test Bünning’s hypothesis were whole-plant and whole-animal experiments, many of which were designed to compare properties of the photoperiodic responses of organisms with the canonical features of circadian rhythmicity. This approach revealed many parallels between the two time-measuring systems, but progress remained slow, mainly because the fundamental nature of circadian rhythmicity itself was largely unknown. In insects this situation began to change following the identification of the circadian ‘clock’ gene *period* by Konopka & Benzer (1971) and the subsequent elucidation of the molecular genetics of circadian rhythmicity, particularly for *Drosophila melanogaster* (e.g. Hall, 2003; Hardin, 2005). Further delay then occurred, however, because *D. melanogaster* was shown to possess a form of dormancy more like a non-photoperiodic quiescence (Saunders, 2020), although it showed some diapause-like characteristics. Only within the last few years has the extensive repertoire of genetic techniques formerly confined to *D. melanogaster* been extended to non-*Drosophila* species showing both circadian rhythmicity and robust photoperiodic diapause responses. Foremost among these techniques has been RNA-interference (‘gene silencing’) and – with the prospect of CRISPR or ‘gene editing’ – such technologies should provide the means to address fundamental relationships between the circadian system and seasonal photoperiodism. This review examines the history of Bünning’s hypothesis, initially in plants and then in insects, over the eight decades or so since 1936 when it was first proposed. The role of circadian ‘clock’ genes in insect photoperiodism is not discussed in detail having been reviewed extensively elsewhere (Saunders & Bertossa, 2011; Goto, 2013; Saunders, 2020).
Fig. 1. Bünning's hypothesis (1936) for the involvement of circadian rhythmicity in photoperiodic time measurement (schematic). A – the circadian oscillation in a short day (or long night) autumnal cycle of 10L: 14D; B – the oscillation in a long-day (or short-night) summer cycle of 16L: 8D. That portion of the oscillation above the midline represents the 'photophil' half-cycle; that below the midline represents the 'scotophil'. The small vertical arrows mark the constant phase of the oscillation at 'dawn'; the red areas in B show light encroaching into the 'scotophil' half-cycle to produce long-day effects. Redrawn after Bünning (1960).

1. Early experiments with plants and insects

After receiving his doctorate from the University of Göttingen in 1929, Bünning accepted an assistantship in Jena and then, during the 1930s and up to the end of the war in 1945, occupied positions at the Universities of Königsberg, Strasbourg and Cologne before settling permanently in Tübingen from 1946 (see Chandrashekaran, 2006). Although he proposed his model for photoperiodic time measurement as early as 1936, a more explicit version was only later revealed at the Cold Spring Harbor symposium on Biological Clocks in 1960. This version of the model (Fig. 1) – specifically for plant photoperiodism – shows a circadian oscillation entrained by ‘short day’ (10L: 14D) and ‘long day’ (16L: 8D) light-dark cycles. In this model Bünning (1936, 1960) proposed that the 24-h period of the oscillation comprised two half-cycles, a 12-h ‘photophil’ or light requiring section and a 12-h ‘scotophil’ or dark requiring section. Short-day effects were produced when the light was restricted to the photophil (i.e. in 10L: 14D) but long-day effects when light extended into the scotophil (i.e. in 16L: 8D). For plants, therefore, his model proposed that the circadian oscillation was phase-set at dawn, measured daylength and included a particular light-sensitive phase early in the night which, when illuminated, produced long-day effects. Light, therefore, played two roles: entrainment and photoinduction.

1.1 Night interruption experiments and the Bünsow protocol

In the immediate post-war years Bünning and his colleagues used ‘night-interruption’ techniques to test the model, with systematic scanning of the ‘nights’ of extended light-dark cycles (i.e. 48 or 72 h) with a short supplementary light pulse designed to locate the presumed light-sensitive phase. In the long-day plant *Hyoscyamus niger* 2 h light breaks placed systematically across the 39-h dark phase of a 48-h cycle (9L: 39D) revealed maxima of flower induction 16 h after dawn and again at 40 h, the two peaks being about 24 h apart, an interval equivalent to a circadian period (τ) (Clas & Lang, 1947). Similar results were obtained for the plant *Kalanchoë blossfeldiana* in 48 h and 72 h cycles (Bünsow, 1953; Melchers, 1956). Meanwhile, at the University of California at Los Angeles, Hamner (1960), working with the Biloxi variety of soybean maintained in a 72 h cycle of 8L: 64D, showed that flowering was induced when the scanning pulse fell 16, 40 and 64 h after dawn – again at 24 h intervals. This technique, clearly showing circadian involvement in photoperiodic induction, became known as the Bünsow protocol.

Among the insects, Bünsow responses were first clearly recorded in the parasitic wasp *Nasonia vitripennis* (Saunders, 1970) (Fig. 2). In this species, larval diapause occurs just before pupation but is induced by photoperiod experienced in the maternal generation (Saunders, 1965, 1966). Female wasps exposed to long days lay eggs within the puparia of their blow fly hosts which give rise to nondiapausing or continuously-developing progeny. Those exposed to the short days of autumn, however, produce nondiapausing progeny for the first few days before switching abruptly to the production of larvae entering diapause. Wasps exposed to 2-h light breaks in a 48-h cycle (12L: 36D) (Saunders, 1970) showed peaks of long-day effect (delayed switching) when the scanning pulses fell 19, 43 and 67 h after dawn, 24 or τ h apart. In a 72-h cycle (12L: 60D) peaks of long-day effect occurred 19, 43 and 67 h, again τ h apart (Fig. 2). These results constituted ‘positive’ Bünsow effects, consistent with circadian involvement in the photoperiodic phenomenon.
A second test for the involvement of the circadian system in plant photoperiodism was devised by K.C. Hamner and his associates (Blaney & Hamner, 1957; Nanda & Hamner, 1973a, 1974) using cycles ranging from 12 to 72 h in length; the photophase was also varied in each cycle from 4 to 28 h (Fig. 3a). In these experiments, progeny from wasps on days 14 to 15 of adult life were assessed for diapause. At this age wasps exposed to ‘strong’ short days had all switched to the production of diapausing larvae, whereas those exposed to long days continued to produce developing progeny (Saunders, 1965, 1966), the 14 to 15-day ‘slice’ thereby providing an accurate and convenient assessment of the photoperiodic response. Results of these NH experiments (Fig. 3a) showed a high incidence of larval diapause when the adults were exposed to cycles close to 24, 48 and 72 h in length, but a low incidence of diapause in cycles close to 36 and 60 h. For the flesh fly Sarcophaga argyrostoma (Saunders, 1973a), NH experiments produced a similar circadian periodicity (Fig. 3b). Results for both species are examined more closely in later sections.

In other insects, ‘positive’ Nanda-Hammer results have now been recorded in at least 12 species from 6 orders (Orthoptera, Homoptera, Coleoptera, Lepidoptera, Diptera and Hymenoptera) and from two species of Acarina (Saunders, 2002, pp. 352–353). ‘Negative’ Nanda-Hammer results have also been recorded; these will be discussed later in section 6.

2. Drosophila pseudoobscura: Pittendrigh’s model for the photoperiodic clock

Working with the pink boll worm moth Pectinophora gossypiella, Adkisson (1964, 1966) used the night interruption technique to systematically probe the dark phases of a range of 24-h light-dark cycles (6L : 18D to 13L : 11D) with 1 h pulses of light. This procedure produced not one, but two discrete phases of diapause inhibition, one (point A) early in the night and another (point B) late in the night. This unexpected result raised important questions: were there two light-sensitive (photoinducible) phases in Bünning’s scotophil or, if there was only one, was it at A or B? Pittendrigh (1966) solved this apparent conundrum by comparing Adkisson’s results with his own observations on the entrainment of the pupal eclosion rhythm of Drosophila pseudoobscura to ‘skeleton’ photoperiods and used these observations as a basis of a model for the photoperiodic clock – even though this species was apparently ‘day neutral’ without a photoperiodic, or a diapause, response.

Pittendrigh (1966) made four important observations: (1) that the eclosion oscillator of D. pseudoobscura achieved steady-state entrainment to the combination of main light phase and the scanning pulse, which he called an ‘asymmetrical skeleton’ photoperiod. He also showed, however, (2) that the eclosion oscillator damped out in extended periods of light but recommenced, upon transfer to darkness, at a particular phase equivalent to the start of the subjective night (at a phase called Circadian Time, CT 12 h). Furthermore, (3) he stressed that whenever light impinged upon a circadian oscillation it caused a phase shift, either a delay or an advance. Therefore, in the version of Bünning’s model that he now proposed (Fig. 4), the photoperi-
The incidence of pupal diapause was very low in cycles containing a short night (e.g. 12L : 8D and 16L : 8D) but approached 100% in cycles containing a long night (e.g. 12L : 12D and 16L : 12D), almost regardless of the duration of L (Saunders, 1973a). Beck (1962) studied the induction of larval diapause in the European corn borer *Ostrinia nubilalis* raised in cycles containing D values of 10, 12 or 14 h combined with a wider range of L. He found the highest incidence of diapause (90% or over) when D was within this narrow range. Maximum diapause induction also occurred when L + D was close to the period of the presumed circadian oscillator.

The relative importance of L and D for larval diapause induction in *O. nubilalis* was later indicated in a ‘circadian surface’ calculated by Pittendrigh (1972) from Beck’s data in which diapause incidence was plotted against the lengths of D and of L in a three-dimensional plot with points of equal diapause incidence presented as ‘contours’. This type of plot (Fig. 5) clearly showed a central ‘mountain’ of high diapause incidence peaking close to 11L : 12D – a period of 23 h, equivalent to that of a circadian oscillator that may underlie the response. Although these data were not extended to cover longer cycle lengths, as in the Nanda-Hammer protocol, Pittendrigh predicted that further ‘mountains’ of high diapause incidence should occur at circadian intervals as the D axis was extended.

Extended plots of this type were later produced for *S. argyrostoma* and *N. vitripennis* (Saunders, 1973a, 1974). In the flesh fly (Fig. 6A), two ‘mountains’ of high diapause incidence were revealed, about 24 h apart. Moreover, in lighting regimes containing a short fixed photophase, diapause maxima occurred parallel to light-on, but once the photophase exceeded about 12 h the maxima followed light-off (Saunders, 1973a) because the rhythm is re-initiated at the light-dark transition at a constant phase equivalent to that at the time of the light-dark transition in a cycle of 12L : 12D. This behaviour is reminiscent of that shown by the pupal eclosion rhythm of *D. pseudoobscura* in constant darkness after a last photophase longer than about 12
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h (Pittendrigh, 1966) – and also in S. argyrostoma (Petersen & Saunders, 1980) – and confirms earlier observations that nightlength measurement commences at ‘dusk’ after longer photophases. Such behaviour might suggest a slow accumulation of photons during the photophase, reaching a threshold after about 12 h of illumination. The circadian surface obtained for N. vitripennis (Fig. 6B) shows a more complex pattern of diapause maxima in extended nightlengths (Saunders, 1974); this response pattern will be considered further in section 8.

4. Three models for the photoperiodic clock

The photoperiodic clock model shown in Fig. 4 is appropriate for S. argyrostoma, but comparative studies have revealed substantial differences in other species. In order to account for such differences, Pittendrigh (1972) proposed three versions of Bünning’s general hypothesis: (1) External Coincidence which – like Bünning’s original proposition – consisted of a single circadian oscillation, phase-set (entrained) by the light-dark cycle in such a way that a light-sensitive (or ‘photoinducible’) phase, φ, occurred at point B, the critical nightlength after the onset of darkness, as described above for S. argyrostoma. This model supposed that light had two effects: entrainment and pho-

toinduction (of the diapause to nondiapause switch in development). (2) The second model, Internal Coincidence, consisted of two oscillators separately entrained by the ‘dawn’ and ‘dusk’ transitions of the daily light-dark cycle, whose internal or mutual phase relationship changed with the length of the photophase, induction of diapause or development occurring according to the ‘overlap’ between particular phases of the two components. Such a model – previously also envisaged by Russian investigators (Fy-

shchenko, 1966; Danilevskii et al., 1970) – supposed that light had only one role, that of entrainment. (3) In a third model, or ‘Resonance’ principle, Pittendrigh supposed that circadian rhythmicity was not necessarily involved in time measurement itself, but at a more downstream level between the clock and the processes it controlled. These inter-

actions could explain ‘positive’ Nanda-Hamner profiles in which the inductive effect was high in cycles close to the circadian period or multiples thereof (i.e. τ or modulo τ), but low when the period of the light-dark cycle was far from τ. Other circadian-based models for the insect photo-

periodic clock have been suggested; these are listed by Saunders (2002, p. 397; Vaz Nunes & Saunders, 1999) but are not considered further.

In contrast to the models outlined above, non-repetitive ‘hourglass-like’ timers have been proposed, most importantly in the extensive work of A.D. Lees on the photo-

periodic regulation of seasonal morphs in the green vetch aphid, Megoura viciae (Lees, 1973). In this insect re-

productive mode was thought to be regulated by a non-circadi-

an or linear timer measuring nightlength, the short nights of summer leading to parthenogenetic and viviparous morphs (virginoparae) whereas the long nights of autumn induce the production of males and of females (oviparae) that lay diapausing eggs. Hourglass-like clocks and their relation-

ship to the circadian system are examined in section 6.

5. The photoperiodic clock-counter mechanism

Photoperiodic regulation of insect diapause com-

prises a linked sequence of events from (1) photoreception, through (2) nightlength or daylength measurement by the photoperiodic clock and (3) accumulation of these events by a ‘counter’ mechanism to (4) the endocrine effectors of the diapause state. In this review, the second and third of these events will be examined in more detail, particularly the effect of temperature on the proportion of insects enter-

ing diapause and the fall in diapause incidence under very short photophases, both of which involve aspects of circadian rhythmicity. Firstly, however, properties of the photoperiodic ‘counter’ mechanism will be reviewed, with reference to both N. vitripennis and S. argyrostoma.

5.1 The photoperiodic counter

Most insects, having measured the length of the night (or of the day) by the photoperiodic clock, then proceed to accumulate (‘add up’) the effects of such daily cycles to an internal threshold that triggers diapause or nondiapause development; this constitutes the photoperiodic ‘counter’ mechanism (Saunders, 1981). In N. vitripennis, for example, wasps exposed to long-night cycles of 12L:12D

Fig. 6. Isoinduction circadian surfaces derived from Nanda-Hamner experiments (data shown in Fig. 3). In A – Sarcophaga argyros-
toma, two ‘mountains’ of high diapause incidence in the extended night are shown, peak incidence parallel to dawn after a short photophase but parallel to dusk once the photophase becomes longer that about 12 h. In B – Nasonia vitripennis, three principal ‘mountains’ (a, b and c) are evident, the ascending slopes of which appear to lie parallel to dusk and the descending slopes parallel to dawn; a fourth ‘mountain’ (d) is also evident after photophases longer than 24 h. These results suggest that significant differences exist between the photoperiodic clocks of the two species; these differences are addressed in the text. L shows the light component of each cycle as a ‘light wedge’. Data from Saunders (1973, 1974).
at temperatures of 15, 20, 25 and 30°C produced most of their first progeny as continuously-developing individuals but then switched, one by one, to the production of larvae that entered diapause. Although the length of reproductive life and the daily rate of oviposition were both temperature-dependent (Q_{10} between 2 and 3), the ‘switch points’ (or required day numbers, RDNs) of the wasps at the four temperatures showed a high degree of temperature compensation, with a Q_{10} value of about 1.04 (Saunders, 1966). A similar relationship between temperature and diapause induction was found for pupal diapause induction in the flesh fly S. argyrostoma (Saunders, 1971, 1992) and for larval diapause in the blow fly Calliphora vicina (Saunders, 1987b). Interaction between the temperature-compensated (circadian-related) summation of light cycles and the temperature-dependent length of the photoperiodic ‘sensitive period’ resulted in a lower incidence of diapause at higher than at lower temperatures.

5.2 The photoperiodic response curve

Fig. 7 shows typical photoperiodic response curves (PPRCs) for a mid-latitude species (S. argyrostoma). Vertical lines marked MW and MS show the approximate shortest (mid-winter) and longest (mid-summer) daylengths at about 55°N, including periods of twilight. The entire range of illumination from continuous darkness (DD) to continuous light (LL) is then divided into four sections. Sections a and d are outside the natural range and b only occurs during the winter when the insects are already in diapause. Only section c is of ecological significance: it is dominated by the abrupt critical daylength (CDL) or critical night-length (CNL) separating the two developmental pathways, diapause or nondiapause.

The fall in diapause incidence in ‘ultrashort’ days (region a in Fig. 7) cannot be explained by either Bünning’s original model (Fig. 1) or by Pittendrigh’s version of External Coincidence (Fig. 4) because the presumed photoperiodic oscillator in these models would be expected to persist (“free-run”) in extended periods of darkness giving rise to a consistently high diapause incidence. In S. argyrostoma, however, ultra-short photophases of about 1 to 5 h elicit low amplitude Type 1 phase response curves (PRCs) in which pulses of light elicit only small phase shifts, whereas longer light pulses give high amplitude Type 0 PRCs eliciting much larger phase shifts and more rapid entrainment (see Winfree, 1970; Saunders, 1978a). With very strong short-day pulses (10 to 14 h) the Type 0 PRCs become straight lines parallel to the end of the pulse, regardless of the circadian time at its inception, thereby setting the oscillation to CT 12 at light-off, as described above in section 3. Since Type 0 PRCs give large phase shifts, either advance or delay, steady-state entrainment of the photoperiodic oscillator to the light cycle is rapidly accomplished with few transient cycles, facilitating efficient summation by the counter mechanism. Type 1 PRCs, on the other hand, give much smaller phase shifts requiring the photoperiodic oscillator to pass through a greater number of transient cycles before steady state is achieved (Saunders, 1982a), the consequence of which is reduced diapause incidence. An increase in the irradiance of ultra-short photophases applied to S. argyrostoma served to increase the amplitude of the phase shifts from Type 1 towards Type 0 (see Winfree, 1970 for the Drosophila case) and resulted in a decrease in the number of transient cycles, thereby increasing the proportion of larvae entering pupal diapause (Saunders, 1982a). Since photoperiodic induction depends in part on the summation of consecutive light-dark cycles by the counter mechanism during the sensitive period (Saunders, 1971) the conclusion is that diapause incidence is affected by the number of transient cycles experienced before steady-state entrainment is attained.

6. Damping circadian oscillators: The hourglass-oscillator controversy

‘Negative’ Bünsow and Nanda-Hamner results (section 1) have been used as evidence that photoperiodic time measurement is not a function of the circadian system, but is produced by a linear, non-oscillatory ‘hourglass’ timer. The most persuasive evidence in favour of this suggestion came from the extensive work of Lees (1965, 1973) on the green vetch aphid M. viciae, in which short summer nights induced aphids to produce a succession of wingless, viviparous and parthenogenetic generations (virginoparae), whereas long autumnal nights led to the production of males and also winged sexual females (oviparae) laying diapausing eggs. ‘Negative’ Nanda-Hamner results obtained for M. viciae and some other species were in distinct contrast to those showing a circadian involvement in photoperiodic timing and led to a lasting controversy regarding the nature of the insect photoperiodic clock.
Comparative studies of time measurement, however, revealed many similarities between those showing hourglass-like responses and those considered to use a clock with circadian characteristics. For example, in common with most other insects, nightlength in *M. viciae* occupies a central role in time measurement (Lees, 1965) although extended dark periods are only recorded as a single long night with no evidence of persistent circadian oscillation. Furthermore, night interruption experiments in the aphid using a long night cycle (e.g. in 13.5L:10.5D), with the dark phase systematically scanned by 1-h light pulses, produced two points of long-day (or short-night effect) as in many other species (section 2), although Lees (1973) interpreted this result as a linked sequence of biochemical reactions rather than the result of photic entrainment of a circadian oscillation. In addition, action spectra (Lees, 1966, 1971) showed that maximum sensitivity for an interruption early in the night (peak A) was in the blue (450 to 470 nm) whereas that for an interruption late in the night (at peak B) showed sensitivity extending from blue into the red end of the spectrum, a result clearly comparable to that for the flesh fly *Sarcophaga similis* (Goto & Numata, 2009), a species for which external coincidence is indicated (see section 7). The only consistent difference between the circadian-based and hourglass-like models, therefore, was the presence or absence of persistent oscillation in extended periods of darkness.

Resolution of this divergence of opinion was first provided by Bünning himself (1960, 1969) who suggested that the photoperiodic oscillator underwent dampening in extended periods of darkness but was ‘boosted’ to full amplitude by a train of light pulses. With this interpretation, hourglass-like clocks are best regarded as heavily dampened oscillators, probably having the same molecular components as continuously running systems but persisting at most for only a few cycles unless exposed to light pulses. Data showing such dampening are hard to demonstrate, even for only a few cycles over 24 h, producing an hourglass-like response (Fig. 8, curve A) (data in Saunders, 1973a) very similar to that obtained for *S. argyrostoma*, however, this result was only observed at moderate temperature (20 and 22°C) (Fig. 8, curve C): at lower temperature (16°C) diapause incidence was almost 100% in all cycle lengths over 24 h, producing an hourglass-like response (Fig. 8, curve A) (data in Saunders, 1973a) very similar to that obtained for *M. viciae* at 15°C (Lees, 1973). The effects of temperature on circadian resonance are complex. For example, at lower temperature, the effect on diapause incidence is three-fold: (1) diapause incidence is directly increased by chilling, (2) the photoperiodic ‘sensitive period’ (duration of larval development, for example) is increased (Saunders, 1971), but (3) the clock oscillation becomes dampened in amplitude (Lewis & Saunders, 1987; Saunders & Lewis, 1987a, b) based on extensive experimentation. Further discussion for oscillator dampening in photoperiodism is provided in section 7.

Hourglass-like photoperiodic responses are also apparent in several species of high latitude drosophilids such as *Drosophila ezoana* (Vaze & Helfrich-Förster, 2016) and *D. montana* (Kauranan et al., 2019; Tyukmaeva et al., 2020). In these species lengthening autumnal nightlength is measured by a clock presenting properties of external coincidence but results of Nanda-Hamner experiments fail to show repeated cycles of nightlength measurement in extended darkness. Such results indicate hourglass-like photoperiodic clocks based on heavily dampened oscillators.

Fig. 8 shows what may be expected for this type of clock in Nanda-Hammer experiments. For a mid-latitude species such as *S. argyrostoma*, a ‘positive’ Nanda-Hammer result occurs with a high incidence of diapause in cycles close to 24, 48 and 72 h in length, but a low incidence in cycles close to 36 and 60 h. In *S. argyrostoma*, however, this result was only observed at moderate temperature (20 and 22°C) (Fig. 8, curve C): at lower temperature (16°C) diapause incidence was almost 100% in all cycle lengths over 24 h, producing an hourglass-like response (Fig. 8, curve A) (data in Saunders, 1973a) very similar to that obtained for *M. viciae* at 15°C (Lees, 1973).
Saunders, 1987) so that the greatly reduced diapause peaks in 48-h and 72-h cycles (Fig. 8 curve B) are less dampened, remaining similar in amplitude (Saunders, 1982b). Further increase in temperature, however, may eliminate diapause in all of these cycles because nothing can be reported below 0%.

In insects such as *M. viciae* and the high-latitude drosophilids, *D. ezoana* and *D. montana* that are presumed to have heavily dampened photoperiodic clocks, periodic occurrence of diapause was inapparent even at moderate temperature (Lees, 1986; Vaze & Helfrich-Förster, 2016; Tyukmaeva et al., 2020). Although diapause incidence in cycles longer than 24 h was variable, it was random with no obvious periodicity. However, in a 48-h cycle diapause incidence was approximately half of that in a 24-h cycle, and in a 72-h cycle it was approximately one third, reflecting the number of times a boosting light pulse occurred in each cycle. The conclusion must be that the photoperiodic mechanism in these examples is a heavily dampened oscillator, presenting properties of an hourglass-like timer, but measuring nightlength in natural, 24 h cycles according to the principles of external coincidence.

### 7. Experimental and theoretical analysis of External Coincidence in *Sarcophaga argyrostoma*

In external coincidence light has two functions: firstly, it entrains the photoperiodic oscillator to the light-dark cycle by inducing phase shifts, either advances or delays, and secondly, it controls the switch between diapause and non-diapause development by interacting with the photoinducible phase (φ). This suggests that further analysis of the photoperiodic phenomenon should include study of phase changes elicited by experimental lighting regimes. Since the photoperiodic oscillator remains hidden, however, further progress was dependent on using an *overl* rhythm as ‘hands of the clock’ – following Bünning (1960) who used up-and-down movements of bean seedling leaves for this purpose. This experimental approach was adopted for *S. argyrostoma* using the rhythm of adult eclosion as an indicator of phase (Saunders, 1978a), the success of this procedure depending on the two phenomena – eclosion and diapause induction – being sufficiently similar in most of their basic circadian properties.

Phase shifts engendered by single 12-h light pulses (240 μW cm⁻²) interrupting darkness during the photoperiodic ‘sensitive period’ (from first instar larvae to the point at which mature larvae enter the soil to form puparia) were used to construct an ‘extended’ PRC for the eclosion rhythm (Fig. 9). Parental flies were raised in continuous light (LL) and when first instar larvae were deposited on the meat, cultures were transferred to darkness (DD), the phase of the oscillation at the LL/DD transition being equivalent to CT 12 h (Pittendrigh, 1966; Peterson & Saunders, 1980). Phase shifts caused by the 12 h light pulses during the first day or two gave high-amplitude Type 0 responses which then steadily declined through a series of lower-amplitude Type 1 PRCs to final extinction before the 3rd instar larvae burrow to pupariate. A – time when larvae leave their food; B – mature (3rd instar) larvae sieved from sawdust; C to D – time of maximal puparium formation. (From Saunders, 1979a.)

![Fig. 9. *Sarcophaga argyrostoma*. An ‘extended phase response curve’ (PRC) throughout the larval sensitive period. Newly deposited 1st instar larvae were transferred from constant darkness (LL) to darkness (circadian time, CT 12 h) and then exposed to single 12 h pulses of light at intervals during development. The PRCs obtained were high amplitude Type 0 (Winfree, 1970) during the first two days in darkness but then steadily declined through a series of lower amplitude Type 1 responses until final extinction before the 3rd instar larvae burrow to pupariate. A – time when larvae leave their food; B – mature (3rd instar) larvae sieved from sawdust; C to D – time of maximal puparium formation.](Image)
from LL to DD on days 2, 3 and 5 of larval development, and then tested with 12 h light pulses, showed initial boosting of response to Type 0 PRCs, but then a rapid decline to Type 1 (Saunders, 1978a). Type 0 PRCs (Winfree, 1970) induced by 12 h light pulses during early larval development (photoperiodically the most sensitive period) were sufficient to set the clock to a phase relationship close to its final value; the following Type 1 PRCs with reduced phase shifts were then sufficient to maintain that relationship by ‘fine tuning’.

In order to proceed further, a ‘family’ of eclosion rhythm PRCs was then constructed for light pulses with duration between 1 and 20 h, applied during the first 24 h of larval life, the time when larvae were maximally sensitive to photoperiod. Pulses of 1 to 3 h, and probably also 4 h, of white light (240 µW cm⁻²) were found to elicit low-amplitude Type 1 PRCs during the first subjective night whereas those of 5 h or more induced higher-amplitude Type 0 PRCs (Saunders, 1978a). These data were then used in a computer program designed to calculate approaches to steady state entrainment in a wide range of experimental light cycles performed to investigate phase relationships between the photoinducible phase (φ₀) and the light (see below). Since the critical nightlength in S. argyrostoma was shown to be 9.5 h, φ₀ was calculated to lie at about CT 12 + 9.5 h, or at CT 21.5 h. Results of this experimental procedure, therefore, could be used to determine whether φ₀ – a phase centred at CT 21.5 h, but presumably wider – was illuminated (or not) and these data compared with rates of diapause induction. And since the circadian period of the eclosion rhythm was very close to 24 h, these calculations could be conducted in ‘real time’.

This experimental procedure was applied to cultures exposed to a wide range of lighting cycles including skeleton photoperiods, night interruption and Nanda-Hammer experiments (Saunders, 1978a, 1979b). Cultures of first instar larvae were transferred from LL into DD (phase = CT 12h). Phase shifts caused by each light pulse in the sequence of light pulses during the photoperiodic sensitive period were then computed noting whether or not φ₀ coincided with (or came close to) illumination by a main light component or a scanning pulse. In practically every case diapause incidence was found to be high when the photoinducible phase fell in the dark, but low when it coincided with, or came very close to, a short light pulse or a longer light component, providing strong evidence for external coincidence as the basis for photoperiodic induction in S. argyrostoma.

This conclusion was particularly compelling in cycles comprising 1 h light pulses in cycle lengths (T h) ranging from T = 21 h (1L : 20D) to T = 30.5 h (1L : 29.5D) encompassing the primary range of entrainment of the presumed photoperiodic oscillation (Saunders, 1979b). In ‘T-experiments’ of this type, Pittendrigh (1965, 1966) showed that when T is greater than the period of the oscillation (τ h) the 1-h light pulse must come to lie in the early subjective night (CT 12 to 18 h) to cause phase delays in each cycle (to correct τ to T) whereas when T is less than τ, the pulse must fall in the late subjective night (CT 18 to 24 h) to cause the necessary phase advances. Just by altering cycle length in these T cycles, therefore, a short light pulse can be made to illuminate different circadian phases. Results of this experiment (Fig. 10) showed that when T was greater than τ (and φᵢ computed to fall in the dark) diapause incidence was high, whereas when T was less than τ (and φᵢ computed to coincide with, or come close to, the 1 h pulse of light) diapause incidence was greatly reduced.

Further evidence consistent with external coincidence in flesh flies has since been obtained in action spectrum studies in S. similis (Goto & Numata, 2009). Like earlier studies with M. viciae (Lees, 1973), maximum long-day response to light pulses early in the scotophase (at point A of night interruption experiments) was observed in the blue-green (470 to 583 nm) region of the spectrum, perhaps indicating entrainment by CRYPTOCHROME, whereas a wider response up to and including red (395 to 660 nm) was observed for light pulses late in the night (at point B), suggesting that coincidence of light with φᵢ involves an additional photoreceptor, possibly opsin-based and absorbing longer wavelength light. Also, working with latitudinal strains of S. similis, Yamaguchi & Goto (2019) showed that φᵢ occurred earlier in the night in flies from more northerly locations, consistent with the shorter critical night length observed at these higher latitudes. In addition, Yamamoto et al. (2017) demonstrated systematic changes in PERIOD-immunoreactivity in cerebral neurons of S. similis larvae raised in long (12L : 12D) and short (16L : 8D) nights, suggesting that period and its protein PER may be constituents of the photoperiodic clock in this species.

8. The Internal Coincidence alternative: Dawn and dusk oscillators

Although external coincidence (Fig. 4) is an appropriate model for the photoperiodic clock in flesh flies it may be less appropriate for some other species, suggesting that different circadian-based clocks probably exist – such as internal coincidence in which the clock comprises (at least) two oscillators, one a ‘morning’ component phase-set by ‘dawn’ and a second or ‘evening’ component phase-
set by ‘dusk’ (e.g. Tyshchenko, 1966; Pittendrigh, 1972). Data from Nanda-Hamner experiments with *N. vitripennis* (Fig. 3a), for example, revealed circadian involvement in the photoperiodic response (Saunders, 1974), but did not easily lend themselves to interpretation in terms of simple external coincidence. In particular, the ‘ascending slopes’ of the high diapause ‘mountains’ in an extended ‘circadian surface’ (Fig. 6b) appeared to be parallel to dusk whereas the ‘descending slopes’ appeared to lie parallel to dawn, initially suggesting an interaction between two oscillators, as in the internal alternative.

Additional evidence, initially in favour of an internal coincidence type of clock in *N. vitripennis*, was then obtained from two further experiments. The first of these demonstrated that the diapause-nondiapause switch may be regulated by daily thermoperiod in the complete absence of light (Saunders, 1973b), and the second showing identical action spectra for ‘long-day’ nondiapause development caused by supplementary light pulses applied both early and late in the subjective night (Saunders, 1975). Results of these experiments, therefore, differed from comparable experiments with flesh flies (Saunders, 1978b, 1984; Goto & Numata, 2009) underlining differences between the two species.

More complex versions of internal coincidence (often termed ‘circadian resonance’) are also theoretically possible (Pittendrigh, 1981; Saunders, 2016, 2020) but will not be considered further in this review.

### 9. Future directions

External coincidence is an adequate representation of the photoperiodic clock in flesh flies. It may also represent the clock in other species, particularly for holometabola in which diapause occurs in larvae and pupae, and in those studies suggesting a light-sensitive photoinducible phase and probable brain-centred photoreception (e.g. Bowen et al., 1984). It is also indicated in some hemimetabola such as the aphid *M. vicicte* which present similar characteristics (Lees, 1964, 1973).

In flesh flies and other insects presenting external coincidence, further progress should include characterisation of the photoinducible phase: whether it is represented, for example, by opsin-based pigments in the larval brain, and how dawn light interacts with these putative photoreceptors and ‘clock’ neurons, ultimately regulating the release of prothoracicotropic hormone (PTTH) from the brain to initiate the PTTH-ecdysteroid cascade controlling diapause or nondiapause development (Richard & Saunders, 1987; Richard et al., 1987). In *S. argyrostoma* the nature of the photoperiodic ‘counter’ mechanism remains obscure. What is the nature of the accumulating diapause-promoting product – the ‘diapause titre’ of Gibbs (1975), or the value INDSUM in the computer model of Lewis & Saunders (1987) – and how is it transmitted through successive instars only to ‘switch off’ PTTH release before pupal-adult differentiation (Richards et al., 1987)?

In *N. vitripennis*, further progress should include identification of photoreceptors in the compound eyes, perhaps by RNA-interference studies of opsin genes. The oscillatory (circadian) nature of the photoperiodic clock in *Nasonia* is also one of the least understood aspects of diapause induction. Early interpretation of the data reviewed above (Saunders, 1974) suggested that photoperiod was measured by seasonal changes in the phase relationship between two circadian oscillators, one phase set by dawn (‘morning’) and the other by dusk (‘evening’), and that light had its role in entrainment – but not in photoinduction by temporal coincidence with a light-sensitive, or photoinducible phase. The conclusion that photoperiodic time measurement in *Nasonia* presents characteristics of internal coincidence therefore remains a possible interpretation. However, much of this evidence comes from Nanda-Hamner experiments which are difficult to interpret – and evidence from the Bünsow protocol (Fig. 2) seems to show a single light-sensitive phase during each subjective night and therefore more consistent with external than with internal coincidence. This divergence in interpretation poses the question: is the photoperiodic clock in *N. vitripennis* based on one oscillator (as in external coincidence), or on two (as in the internal alternative)?

In the *Nasonia* circadian surface (Fig. 6b), the three high diapause ‘mountains’ or peaks are evidence attesting to the underlying circadian nature of the photoperiodic response. Each of the three peaks, however, are compounded from several light-dark cycles. The first of these (peak a), centring around 24 h, is a compound of all of the 14 or 15 24-h cycles encountered by the adult wasps before the larvae they produced were assessed for diapause or nondiapause development. The second (peak b), around 48 h, is similarly a compound of the seven 48-h cycles encountered during the experiment, and the third (peak c) is a compound of only three 72-h cycles. Data contributing to peaks two and three, therefore, contain two or three cycles of DD free-run, respectively, during which time a photoperiodic oscillator may dampen.

In the first peak it is evident that diapause incidence rises abruptly about 9 h after light-off in cycles containing photophases longer than about 12 h, thus showing a critical nightlength (CNL) as in ‘natural’ 24 h light cycles similar to that observed for *S. argyrostoma* (and external coincidence). This relationship to dusk is less well marked in the second peak. In the third peak it becomes apparent that diapause onset is becoming parallel to dawn rather than to dusk. The reason for this trend may be that, in these longer cycles, the oscillation dampens rapidly in darkness after each light pulse, weakening the ‘strength’ of the photophases to a point below which it is no longer phase-set to CT 12h at the light-to-dark transition, leaving it to measure the passage of time from dawn rather than dusk. Therefore, although Nanda-Hamner experiments (Fig. 6b) may indicate internal coincidence in *N. vitripennis*, features of external coincidence are also apparent. Given that this wasp has become an important model species for studies in genetics, behaviour and ecology (Werren & Loehlin, 2009), these complexities suggest that results using Nanda-Hamner experiments with *Nasonia* require further investigation.
In conclusion, experimental approaches based on known circadian properties have provided strong evidence supporting Erwin Bünning’s insightful proposition that photoperiodic time measurement is a function of the circadian system. Molecular investigations will undoubtedly produce stronger evidence for this association, but experiments such as those described in the present review, based on a comparative approach of photoperiodic timing in a circadian context, should further strengthen this connection and reveal important specific differences.
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