A synthetic pathogen mimetic molecule induces a highly amplified synergistic immune response via activation of multiple signaling pathways†

Naorem Nihesh,‡ Saikat Manna,† ‡ Bradley Studnitzer, Jingjing Shen and Aaron P. Esser-Kahn† *

The current understanding of how the immune system processes complex information during natural infections is yet to be exploited for the molecular design of potent immune activators. Here, we address this challenge by design of a pathogen-mimetic molecule that simultaneously co-activates cell-surface active, endosomal and cytosolic immune receptors.

The immune system has evolved to identify complex combinations of microbial patterns and exploit the interdependencies of various microbial signals to generate an effective response during infections.1 Whole cell vaccines derived from live pathogens can mimic such responses, thereby generating robust lifelong immunity.1,2 However, the generation of a robust response has remained elusive with subunit vaccines that consist of protein antigens. A major roadblock is the rational design of efficacious immunostimulants, or adjuvants employed in these vaccines. For instance, traditionally employed aluminum salt adjuvants primarily induce T-helper cell 2 (Th2) biased responses and fail to generate robust antigen-specific cellular responses.4,7 Furthermore, adjuvants developed by targeting pathogen-sensing pathways such as MPLA and CpG, only activate a single immune signaling pathway, thereby poorly mimicking the mechanisms of pathogen recognition. Thus, the design and development of molecules that integrate complex mechanisms of pathogen signaling, to generate robust immune activation still remains both a challenge and necessity.

In our attempt to explore pathogen sensing through molecular design, we previously demonstrated the development of multi-Toll-like receptor (TLR) agonist adjuvants by covalently linking three TLR agonists.8–10 These studies employed the use of a triazine scaffold to install unique combinations of three TLR-agonists using sequential orthogonal conjugation chemistry. The TLR tri-agonists synthesized include TLR2/6_4_7, TLR2/6_4_9, TLR1/2_4_9, TLR1/2_4_7, and TLR4_7_9.8–10 Each tri-agonist combination elicited unique cytokine responses and generated tailored immune response when applied in vaccines.8–10 However, recent studies of various immune signaling pathways during infections have demonstrated the critical importance of crosstalk between different classes of pathogen sensing pathways involving various cellular compartments.11–15 For instance, a study by Mellman and coworkers demonstrated that bacterial sensing by cell-surface TLR receptors in innate immune cells leads to the over-expression of endo-lysosomal peptide transporters, and the generation of endosomal membrane tubules. This serves to prime cells for any subsequent detection of bacterial NOD2 ligands at the endosomal membrane.16 Furthermore, studies on NLRP3 inflammasome activation – which plays a critical role in pathogen clearance – has demonstrated that a TLR dependent priming signal is necessary for robust NLRP3 activation. This indicates the interdependencies of these two signaling responses.17–21

However, such mechanistic findings are yet to be exploited in the design of molecular systems that induce co-operativity and synergism between multiple pathogen-sensing pathways to generate robust immune responses. Hence, the critical question is: can well-known pairwise synergistic immune activation be effectively combined in a synthetic system to generate higher order interactions similar to microbial sensing? To understand the dynamics of such cellular signaling processes at a molecular level, here we report a new class of immunomodulators that elicits activation of three distinct classes of pathogen-sensing pathways involving distinct cellular compartments similar to a pathogen.

The design of our pathogen-mimetic immunomodulator incorporated a surface-active TLR2, an endosomal-membrane active NOD2 and cytosolic NLRP3 inflammasome activating ligands. We thereby assembled Pam3CSK4 (TLR2/6 agonist, synthetic analogue of bacterial lipoprotein),22 Muramyl dipeptide (MDP, NOD2 agonist: the minimal immunomodulatory structure of bacterial cell wall peptidoglycan)23 and TAT-
GWWWG peptide (cell penetrating peptide, NLRP3 activator) on a trimeric scaffold (Fig. 1).

The motivation behind this design was to induce crosstalk between each component and enhance NOD2 and NLRP3 inflammasome activation following initial cellular priming by cell-surface TLR2 stimulation. Additionally, we hypothesized that MDP when chemically conjugated to TAT-GWWWG would result in enhanced NOD2 activation and this proved to be true (Fig. 2C). We conjecture that this enhancement in response is the result of increased cytosolic delivery of MDP mediated by TAT-GWWWG.

The synthesis of our tri-agonist molecule (4) employed sequential conjugation chemistry used previously in the synthesis of TLR tri-agonists. The synthesis started with development of a triazine core (1) with three orthogonal conjugation handles consisting of an NHS ester, an alkyne, and a protected maleimide (ESI, Scheme S1†). Following this, amine-functionalized MDP (a, ESI Scheme S2†) was conjugated to core (1) using NHS chemistry to give core_MDP (2). The core_MDP was then heated to 110 °C for 6 h to reveal active maleimide via furan deprotection, following which cysteine-modified Pam2CSK4 (b) was conjugated via thiol–maleimide reaction to give Pam2CSK4_core_MDP (3). This was followed by conjugation of azide-functionalized TAT-GWWWG peptide (c) to Pam2CSK4_core_MDP (3) via Cu(i) catalyzed cycloaddition chemistry to afford the final tri-agonist molecule (4, ESI† for synthetic details, purification & characterization). Additionally, all of the dimeric agonist compounds, PAM2CSK4_MDP (3, ESI Scheme S3†), PAM2CSK4_TAT-GWWWG (7, ESI Scheme S4†) and MDP_TAT-GWWWG (8, ESI, Scheme S5†) were also synthesized to measure the contribution of di-agonist components to the immune response.

Following synthesis, we next proceeded to analyze the immunological properties of the tri-agonist. We analyzed the

Fig. 1 Synthesis of TAT-GWWWG_Pam2CSK4_MDP tri-agonist (4). (A) Synthesis scheme (B) schematic presentation of the linked tri-agonist. (C) MALDI trace of the linked tri-agonist.
cytokine profile elicited by murine bone marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDCs) in vitro on stimulation with the tri-agonist (100 nM) or equivalent amount of unlinked agonists mixture for 6 h. Parallel studies were performed by incubating equivalent quantities of linked di-agonists and single agonists as well. Analysis of levels of cytokines secreted by agonist stimulation indicated that the linked tri-agonist combination elicited 70% ± 60% (95% confidence interval, CI) higher TNF-α and 160% ± 53% higher IL-6 production compared to the most potent di-agonist combination (Fig. 2A and B). Most notably, compared to an equivalent mixture of unlinked agonists, linking the three ligands boosted the synergistic IL-6 secretion by 155% ± 52% and TNF-α secretion by 151% ± 95% (Fig. 2A and B). These results indicated that synergistic cellular co-activation by localization of these microbial signals induced crosstalk to enhance immune activation. With these exciting results, we next proceeded to analyze whether the tri-agonist activated all the target pathogen sensing pathways. To further validate NLRP3-inflammasome activation by the tri-agonist, we analyzed for secretion of IL-1β, a common marker of inflammasome activation. Stimulation with the tri-agonist resulted in 600% ± 130% higher levels of IL-1β compared to an equivalent mixture of unlinked agonists (ESI†). Additionally, studies with the tri-agonist with WT BMDCs along with MCC-950, a NLRP3 specific inhibitor displayed a 62% ± 20% reduction in IL-1β activity, thereby validating NLRP3 activation (Fig. 2D).

Our studies indicated complex dynamics of cellular co-activation. To further analyze these responses, we studied the transcriptional response in BMDCs upon stimulation with unlinked agonists and linked tri-agonist. The RNA of BMDCs was extracted after 6 h of stimulation with the agonists or PBS and differential gene expression was compared. As seen in the heatmap (Fig. 3A), we observed a similar trend in responses when the BMDCs were activated with the unlinked agonists or linked tri-agonist.

However, key differences exist in magnitude of this regulation. For instance, compared to the unlinked mixture, the linked tri-agonist generated higher expression of CXCR3, a key receptor for interferon induced chemo-attractants that helps differentiate naïve T cells into Th1 effector T cells (Fig. 3B). Additionally, it induced greater differences in expression for...
both interferon-gamma (IFN-γ) and interferon gamma receptor 1 (IFNGR1) – which dimerizes with IFNGR2 to detect IFN-γ (Fig. 3C and D). The greater upregulation of IFN-γ, along with the greater downregulation of IFNGR1, indicates the tri-agonist induces high levels of IFN-γ signaling. This can possibly be an effect of enhanced IL-18 secretion due to inflammasome activation by the linked tri-agonist. We hypothesize this high expression of CXCR3 and IFN-γ with the linked tri-agonist would induce robust tailored T cell responses, specifically Th1 polarized responses.

Although high cytokine levels of TNF-α was observed at 6 h, the transcriptional levels of TNF-α were strongly downregulated at the same time point. This difference in response is likely down to kinetics, as TNF-α is an inflammatory response gene that does not require new protein synthesis (Fig. 3E). As a result, TNF-α transcription is commonly upregulated at earlier time-points and peaks within 2 h of stimulation, supporting this difference in the transcriptional levels compared to the cytokine levels.

With promising in vitro results and the indication of robust tailored cellular responses, we proceeded to determine if amplified immune response from the linked tri-agonist would translate to its use as a vaccine adjuvant in vivo. Groups of five mice were immunized via intramuscular (IM) injection with ovalbumin (OVA, 100 mg) adjuvanted with linked tri-agonist (5 nmol) or equivalent quantities of unlinked agonist mixture. Vaccines were formulated in Addavax (AV), an MF-59 like oil-in-water nano-emulsion. Parallel studies were also performed...
with unadjuvanted OVA or OVA admixed with Addavax as controls. Mice were boosted in an identical fashion on day 14. On day 24 blood sera were collected to analyze for antibody titer (Fig. 4A) and splenocytes were harvested to analyze for antigen-specific T cell responses (Fig. 4B and C). It was observed that the linked tri-agonist formulation enhanced IFN-γ secreting CD4+ T cells response by 200% ± 60% and IFN-γ secreting CD8+ T cells response by 40% ± 30% compared to unlinked combination of agonists (Fig. 4B and C). It also elicited 300% ± 115% higher antibody responses compared to OVA/Addavax formulation (Fig. 4A). However, the antibody response to the linked and the unlinked combination was similar. There can be various reasons for this observation. Mainly, just as TLR2 activation was the main driver of the in vitro cytokine response (Fig. 2E and F), it is possible that the antibody response is also primarily driven by TLR2 engagement. As a result of which there is not much difference between the linked and the unlinked combinations. Moreover, the most important find is that the linked combination enhances both CD8+ and CD4+ T cell response relative to the unlinked combination. It can be envisioned that the adjuvancy of the tri-agonist can be improved by making structural changes to the construct. We are currently exploring the use of D configuration amino acids instead of L amino acids for the synthesis of TAT-GWWWG which will possibly make it more resistant to proteolysis. Also, the potency of the tri-agonist may be improved by using Murabutide, a more potent NOD2 activator compared to Muramyl dipeptide. These changes will likely improve the NOD2 and NLRP3 activation and improve humoral and cellular responses. Additionally, formulation development to enhance pharmacokinetics may improve the activity of the tri-agonist.

Nevertheless, these results demonstrated that the tri-agonist served as a potent adjuvant in vivo which provides a unique immune stimulatory method with the potential to improve cellular responses in vaccine adjuvants.

Conclusions

In our previous work on polyvalent TLR agonist we demonstrated that TLR tri-agonist stimulate distinct, combination-dependent innate immune responses. Building on the understanding on dynamics of cellular co-activation of innate immune signaling pathways, here we developed a small-molecule tri-agonist inspired by the mechanisms of stimulation of multiple different pattern-sensing pathways by a pathogen. It gave us insight into how pathogen-sensing pathways respond to multiple input signals as is often the case with infections. Our data reveal that the complex combinatorial logic of pathogen sensing pathways can be incorporated in molecular design to modulate the innate immune system. Our studies also indicated that trimeric combinations of pairwise interactions between multiple pathogen sensing pathways can generate complex higher-order interactions that is not achieved in an unconstrained system or with dimeric interactions. This work thus provides a framework to harness complex pathogen sensing in designing efficacious pathogen-mimetic immune therapies. This study thus provides a framework to rationally design other multi-agonist constructs with highly synergistic immune activation. We are currently expanding our toolkit and employing the tri-agonists for application in various infectious disease vaccines.
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