A REALIZATION THEOREM FOR MODULES OF CONSTANT JORDAN TYPE AND VECTOR BUNDLES
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Abstract. Let $E$ be an elementary abelian $p$-group of rank $r$ and let $k$ be a field of characteristic $p$. We introduce functors $F_i$ from finitely generated $kE$-modules of constant Jordan type to vector bundles over projective space $P^{r-1}$. The fibers of the functors $F_i$ encode complete information about the Jordan type of the module.

We prove that given any vector bundle $F$ of rank $s$ on $P^{r-1}$, there exists a $kE$-module $M$ of stable constant Jordan type $[1]^s$ such that $F_1(M) \cong F$ if $p = 2$, and such that $F_1(M) \cong F^*(F)$ if $p$ is odd. Here, $F : P^{r-1} \to P^{r-1}$ is the Frobenius map. We prove that the theorem cannot be improved if $p$ is odd, because if $M$ is any module of stable constant Jordan type $[1]^s$, then the Chern numbers $c_1, \ldots, c_{p-2}$ of $F_1(M)$ are divisible by $p$.

1. Introduction

The class of modules of constant Jordan type was introduced by Carlson, Friedlander and the second author [5], and then consequently studied in [1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8]. The connection between modules of constant Jordan type and algebraic vector bundles on projective varieties was first observed and developed by Friedlander and the second author in [7] in the general setting of an arbitrary infinitesimal group scheme. In the present paper, we study this connection for an elementary abelian $p$-group.

Let $k$ be a field of characteristic $p$ and let $E$ be an elementary abelian $p$-group of rank $r$. We define functors $F_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq p$) from finitely generated $kE$-modules of constant Jordan type to vector bundles on projective space $P^{r-1}$, capturing the sum of the socles of the length $i$ Jordan blocks. The following is the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. Given any vector bundle $F$ of rank $s$ on $P^{r-1}$, there exists a finitely generated $kE$-module $M$ of stable constant Jordan type $[1]^s$ such that

(i) if $p = 2$, then $F_1(M) \cong F$;

(ii) if $p$ is odd, then $F_1(M) \cong F^*(F)$, the pullback of $F$ along the Frobenius morphism $F : P^{r-1} \to P^{r-1}$.

The $kE$-modules produced this way are usually large. For example, in [1], the first author showed how to produce a finitely generated $kE$-module $M$ of constant Jordan type such that $F_2(M)$ is isomorphic to the rank two Horrocks–Mumford bundle on $P^4$. In this case, the construction used to prove our main theorem produces a module $M$ of dimension many hundred times $p^5$ plus two such that...
\( \mathcal{F}_1(M) \cong F^*(\mathcal{F}_{HM}) \), whereas the construction in \cite{H} produces a module of dimension 30p^5 of stable constant Jordan type \([p-1]^{30}[2]^2[1]^{26}\) such that applying \( \mathcal{F}_2 \) gives \( \mathcal{F}_{HM}(-2) \).

The theorem for \( p = 2 \) may be thought of as a version of the Bernstein–Gelfand–Gelfand correspondence \cite{BG}, since the group algebra of an elementary abelian 2-group in characteristic two is isomorphic to an exterior algebra. But for \( p \) odd it says something new and interesting. In particular, it is striking that the group in characteristic two is isomorphic to an exterior algebra. But for Gelfand correspondence \cite{3}, since the group algebra of an elementary abelian 2-group in characteristic \( p \) is determined by \( \gamma \) and \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) and \( \lambda \), and the functors

\[
\text{constant Jordan type } \langle \gamma \rangle = \langle \alpha \rangle = \langle \beta \rangle = \langle \lambda \rangle = 1
\]

produce algebraic vector bundles. Section 3, together with Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2, gives limitations on the vector bundles appearing as \( \mathcal{F}_1(M) \) with \( M \) of stable constant Jordan type \([1]^s\).

**Theorem 1.2.** Suppose that \( M \) has stable constant Jordan type \([1]^s\). Then \( p \) divides the Chern numbers \( c_m(\mathcal{F}_1(M)) \) for \( 1 \leq m \leq p - 2 \).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give basic definitions of the functors \( \mathcal{F}_i \) and show that, applied to modules of constant Jordan type, they produce algebraic vector bundles. Section 3, together with Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2, analyze behavior of the functors \( \mathcal{F}_i \) with respect to Heller shifts and duals. This analysis plays a key role in the proof of our main theorem. In Section 4 we construct a functor from the bounded derived category of coherent \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{p-1}} \)-modules to the stable module category of \( kE \). This functor is employed in the proof of Theorem 1.1, which occupies Section 5. Finally, in Section 6 we discuss Chern classes of the functors \( \mathcal{F}_i \) and prove Theorem 1.2.

### 2. Definition of the functors \( \mathcal{F}_i \)

Let \( k \) be a perfect field of characteristic \( p \). Let \( E = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_r \rangle \) be an elementary abelian \( p \)-group of rank \( r \), and set \( X_i = g_i - 1 \in kE \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq r \). Let \( J(kE) = \langle X_1, \ldots, X_r \rangle \) be the augmentation ideal of \( kE \). The images of \( X_1, \ldots, X_r \) form a basis for \( J(kE)/J^2(kE) \), which we think of as an affine space \( \mathbb{A}^r_k \) over \( k \). Let \( K/k \) be a field extension. If \( 0 \neq \alpha = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r \), we define

\[
X_\alpha = \lambda_1 X_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r X_r \in K E.
\]

This is an element of \( J(K E) \) satisfying \( X_\alpha^p = 0 \). If \( M \) is a finitely generated \( kE \)-module, then \( X_\alpha \) acts nilpotently on \( M_K = M \otimes K \), and we can decompose \( M_K \) into Jordan blocks. They all have eigenvalue zero, and length between 1 and \( p \). We say that \( M \) has constant Jordan type \([p]^{a_p} \cdots [1]^{a_1}\) if there are \( a_p \) Jordan blocks of length \( p \), \ldots, \( a_1 \) blocks of length 1, independently of the choice of \( \alpha \). Since \( a_p \) is determined by \( a_{p-1}, \ldots, a_1 \) and the dimension of \( M \), we also say that \( M \) has stable constant Jordan type \([p-1]^{a_{p-1}} \cdots [1]^{a_1}\). Note that the property of having constant Jordan type and the type itself do not depend on the choice of generators \( \langle g_1, \ldots, g_r \rangle \) (see \cite{BG}).

We write \( k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_r] \) for the coordinate ring \( k[\mathbb{A}^r] \), where the \( Y_i \) are the linear functions defined by \( Y_i(X_j) = \delta_{ij} \) (Kronecker delta). We write \( \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \) for the corresponding projective space. Let \( \mathcal{O} \) be the structure sheaf on \( \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \). If \( \mathcal{F} \) is a sheaf of \( \mathcal{O} \)-modules and \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \), we write \( \mathcal{F}(j) \) for the \( j \)th Serre twist \( \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}(j) \). If \( M \) is a finitely generated \( kE \)-module, we write \( \hat{M} \) for the trivial vector bundle \( M \otimes_k \mathcal{O} \), so that \( \hat{M}(j) = M \otimes_k \mathcal{O}(j) \). Friedlander and the second author \cite{7} \S4 define a map of
vector bundles $\theta_M : \tilde{M} \to \tilde{M}(1)$ by the formula
\[
\theta_M(m \otimes f) = \sum_{i=1}^r X_i(m) \otimes Y_i f.
\]
By abuse of notation we also write $\theta_M$ for the twist $\theta_M(j) : \tilde{M}(j) \to \tilde{M}(j+1)$.
With this convention we have $\theta^p_M = 0$.

We define functors $F_{i,j}$ for $0 \leq j < i \leq p$ from finitely generated $kE$-modules to
coherent sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ by taking the following subquotients of $\tilde{M}$:
\[
F_{i,j}(M) = \frac{\ker \theta_M^{j+1} \cap \operatorname{im} \theta_M^{i-j-1}}{(\ker \theta_M^{i+1} + \operatorname{im} \theta_M^{i-j}) + (\ker \theta_M^j \cap \operatorname{im} \theta_M^{i-j-1})}.
\]
We then define
\[
F_i(M) = F_{i,0}(M) = \frac{\ker \theta_M \cap \operatorname{im} \theta_M^{i-1}}{\ker \theta_M \cap \operatorname{im} \theta_M^i}.
\]
For a point $0 \neq \alpha \in k^r$ and the corresponding operator $X_\alpha : M \to M$, we also define
\[
F_{i,\alpha}(M) = \frac{\ker X_\alpha \cap \operatorname{im} \theta_M^{i-1}}{\ker X_\alpha \cap \operatorname{im} X_\alpha^i}.
\]
Note that $F_{i,\alpha}(M)$ is evidently well-defined for $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$.

In the next proposition we show that functors $F_i$ take modules of constant Jordan
type to algebraic vector bundles (equivalently, locally free sheaves), and that they
commute with specialization.

**Proposition 2.1.**

1. Let $M$ be a $kE$-module of constant Jordan type $[p]^{\alpha_1} \cdots [1]^{\alpha_1}$. Then the
   sheaf $F_i(M)$ is locally free of rank $\alpha_i$.

2. Let $f : M \to N$ be a map of modules of constant Jordan type. For any point
   $\tilde{\alpha} = [\lambda_1 : \cdots : \lambda_r] \in \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ with residue field $k(\tilde{\alpha})$ we have a commutative
   diagram
   \[
   \begin{array}{ccc}
   F_i(M) \otimes \mathbb{O} k(\tilde{\alpha}) & \xrightarrow{F_i(f)} & F_i(N) \otimes \mathbb{O} k(\tilde{\alpha}) \\
   \mathbb{O} \to F_{i,\alpha}(M) & & \mathbb{O} \to F_{i,\alpha}(N) \\
   \end{array}
   \]

**Proof.** (1). Since the module $M$ is fixed throughout the proof, we shall use $\theta$ to
denote $\theta_M$.

Note that $\ker \theta \cap \im \theta^i = \ker \{\theta : \im \theta^i \to \im \theta^{i+1}\}$. Hence, we have a short exact
sequence
\[
(2.1.1) \quad 0 \to \ker \theta \cap \im \theta^i \to \im \theta^i \to \im \theta^{i+1} \to 0.
\]
Since $M$ has constant Jordan type, $\im \theta^i$ is locally free by [7 4.13]. Therefore,
specialization of the sequence $(2.1.1)$ at any point $\tilde{\alpha} = [\lambda_1 : \cdots : \lambda_r]$ of $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ yields
a short exact sequence of vector spaces
\[
(2.1.2) \quad 0 \to \left(\ker \theta \cap \im \theta^i\right) \otimes \mathbb{O} k(\tilde{\alpha}) \to \im \theta^i \otimes \mathbb{O} k(\tilde{\alpha}) \to \im \theta^{i+1} \otimes \mathbb{O} k(\tilde{\alpha}) \to 0.
\]
Lemma 2.2. For any point \( \bar{\alpha} \), \( \text{Im} \theta^i \otimes_{O_i} k(\bar{\alpha}) \approx \text{Im} \{ X^i_j : M \to M \} \). In particular, the dimension of fibers of \( \text{Im} \theta^i \) is constant and equals \( \sum_{j=i+1}^{p} a_j(j - i) \). We can rewrite the sequence \[2.1.2\] as

\[ 0 \to (\text{Ker} \theta \cap \text{Im} \theta^i) \otimes_{O_i} k(\bar{\alpha}) \to \text{Im} X^i_\alpha \to \text{Im} X^{i+1}_\alpha \to 0. \]

Hence, the fiber of \( \text{Ker} \theta \cap \text{Im} \theta^i \) at a point \( \bar{\alpha} \) equals \( \text{Ker} X_\alpha \cap \text{Im} X^i_\alpha \). In particular, \( \text{Ker} \theta \cap \text{Im} \theta^i \) has fibers of constant dimension equal to

\[ \sum_{j=i+1}^{p} a_j(j - i) - \sum_{j=i+2}^{p} a_j(j - i - 1) = \sum_{j=i+1}^{p} a_j. \]

Applying \[7, 4.11\] (see also \[10, V. ex. 5.8\]), we conclude that \( \text{Ker} \theta \cap \text{Im} \theta^i \) is locally free of rank \( \sum_{j=i+1}^{p} a_j \).

Consider the short exact sequence that defines \( F_i(M) \):

\[ 0 \to \text{Ker} \theta \cap \text{Im} \theta^i \to \text{Ker} \theta \cap \text{Im} \theta^{i-1} \to F_i(M) \to 0. \]

Specializing at \( \bar{\alpha} \), we get

\[ (\text{Ker} \theta \cap \text{Im} \theta^i) \otimes_{O_i} k(\bar{\alpha}) \to (\text{Ker} \theta \cap \text{Im} \theta^{i-1}) \otimes_{O_i} k(\bar{\alpha}) \to F_i(M) \otimes_{O} k(\bar{\alpha}) \to 0 \]

\[ \text{Ker} X_\alpha \cap \text{Im} X^i_\alpha \to \text{Ker} X_\alpha \cap \text{Im} X^{i-1}_\alpha \to F_i(M) \otimes_{O} k(\bar{\alpha}) \to 0. \]

The first arrow of the bottom row is clearly an injection. Hence,

\[ \dim(F_i(M) \otimes_{O} k(\bar{\alpha})) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} a_j - \sum_{j=i+1}^{p} a_j = a_i \]

for any point \( \alpha \in \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \). Applying \[7, 4.11\] again, we conclude that \( F_i(M) \) is locally free (of rank \( a_i \)).

Statement (2) follows immediately by applying the last diagram to both \( M \) and \( N \). \( \square \)

Lemma 2.2. \( \tilde{M} \) has a filtration in which the filtered quotients are isomorphic to \( F_{i,j}(M) \) for \( 0 \leq j < i \leq p \).

Proof. We consider two filtrations on \( \tilde{M} \), the “kernel filtration” and the “image filtration”:

\[ 0 \subset \text{Ker} \theta_M \subset \ldots \subset \text{Ker} \theta_{M}^{p-1} \subset \tilde{M}, \]

\[ 0 = \text{Im} \theta_M^{p} \subset \text{Im} \theta_{M}^{p-1} \subset \ldots \subset \text{Im} \theta_M \subset \text{Im} \theta_{M}^{0} = \tilde{M}. \]

To simplify notation, we set \( \mathcal{K}_j = \text{Ker} \theta_{M}^{j} \) and \( \mathcal{J}_i = \text{Im} \theta_{M}^{p-i} \). Using the standard refinement procedure, we refine the kernel filtration by the image filtration:

\[ \mathcal{K}_j \subset (\mathcal{K}_{j+1} \cap \mathcal{J}_1) + \mathcal{K}_j \subset \ldots \subset (\mathcal{K}_{j+1} \cap \mathcal{J}_i) + \mathcal{K}_j \subset (\mathcal{K}_{j+1} \cap \mathcal{J}_{i+1}) + \mathcal{K}_j \subset \ldots \subset \mathcal{K}_{j+1}. \]
For any three sheaves $A, B, C$ with $B \subset A$, the second isomorphism theorem and the modular law imply that

$$\frac{A + C}{B + C} \cong \frac{A + (B + C)}{B + C} \cong \frac{A}{A \cap (B + C)} \cong \frac{A}{B + (A \cap C)}.$$ 

Hence, we can identify the subquotients of the refined kernel filtration above as

$$(K_{j+1} \cap I_\ell + 1) + K_j \cong (K_{j+1} \cap I_\ell + 1) + (K_j \cap I_\ell + 1).$$

Setting $i = p - \ell + j$, we get that the latter quotient is precisely $F_{i,j}(M)$ (note that when $j > \ell$, the corresponding subquotient is trivial).

\[\square\]

**Lemma 2.3.** For $0 \leq j < i$, we have a natural isomorphism $F_{i,j}(M) \cong F_i(M)(j)$.

**Proof.** For $0 < j < i$, the map $\theta_M: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}(1)$ induces a natural isomorphism $F_{i,j}(M) \rightarrow F_{i,j-1}(M)(1)$. Since $F_{i,0} = F_i$, the result follows by induction on $j$. \[\square\]

**Remark 2.4.** It follows from the proof of Proposition 2.1 that the subquotient functors $F_{i,j}$ are linked as follows:

- $F_{p,p-1} \rightarrow F_{p-1,p-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_{p-1,0} \rightarrow F_{p,0}$
- $F_{p,1} \rightarrow F_{p,2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_{p}\rightarrow F_{p-1,0}$
- $F_{p-1,1} \rightarrow F_{p-1,2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_{p-1}\rightarrow F_{p-2,0}$
- $F_{p-2,1} \rightarrow F_{p-2,2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_{p-2}\rightarrow F_{p-3,0}$
- $\cdots$...

We finish this section with an example.

**Example 2.5.** Let $M = kE/J^2(kE)$. Then $M$ has constant Jordan type $[2][1]^{r-1}$. In the short exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow M/\text{Rad}M \xrightarrow{\theta} \tilde{\text{Rad}}M(1) \rightarrow F_1(M)(1) \rightarrow 0$$

the map $\theta$ (induced by $\theta_M$) is equal to the map defining the tangent bundle (or sheaf of derivations) $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)^r \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rightarrow 0.$$ 

It follows that $F_1(M) \cong \mathcal{T}(-1)$. On the other hand we have $F_{2,1}(M) \cong \mathcal{O}$, and hence $F_2(M) \cong \mathcal{O}(-1)$. 
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3. Twists and syzygies

We need a general lemma whose proof we provide for completeness.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( X \) be a Noetherian scheme over \( k \), and let \( M, N \) be locally free \( \mathcal{O}_X \)-modules. Let \( f: M \to N \) be a morphism of \( \mathcal{O}_X \)-modules such that

\[
f \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} k(x): M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} k(x) \to N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} k(x)
\]

is an isomorphism for any \( x \in X \). Then \( f \) is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** It suffices to show that \( f \) induces an isomorphism on stalks. Hence, we may assume that \( X = \text{Spec} R \), where \( R \) is a local ring with the maximal ideal \( \mathfrak{m} \), and \( M, N \) are free modules. Since specialization is right exact, \( f \) is surjective by Nakayama’s lemma. Hence, we have an exact sequence of \( R \)-modules:

\[
0 \to \ker f \to M \to N \to 0.
\]

Since \( N \) is free, \( \text{Tor}_1^R(N, R/\mathfrak{m}) \) vanishes, and hence \( \ker f \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m} = 0 \). By Nakayama’s lemma, \( \ker f = 0 \); therefore, \( f \) is injective.

\( \square \)

**Theorem 3.2.** Let \( M \) be a finite-dimensional \( kE \)-module and let \( 1 \leq i \leq p - 1 \). Then there is a natural isomorphism

\[
\mathcal{F}_i(M)(-p + i) \cong \mathcal{F}_{p-i}(\Omega M).
\]

**Proof.** Consider the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \to & \tilde{\Omega} M & \to & \tilde{P}_M & \to & \tilde{M} & \to & 0 \\
& & \downarrow \theta_{\Omega M} & & \downarrow \theta_{P M} & & \downarrow \theta_M & & \\
0 & \to & \tilde{\Omega} M(1) & \to & \tilde{P}_M(1) & \to & \tilde{M}(1) & \to & 0,
\end{array}
\]

where \( P_M \) is a projective cover of \( M \). Let

\[
\delta: \text{Ker} \theta_M \to \text{Coker} \theta_{\Omega M}
\]

be the switchback map. A simple diagram chase in conjunction with the fact that \( \theta_{P_M} = 0 \) yields that the restriction of \( \delta \) to \( \text{Ker} \theta_M \cap \text{Im} \theta_{\Omega M} \) lands in

\[
\frac{\text{Ker} \theta_{\Omega M}^{p-i}}{\text{Ker} \theta_{\Omega M}^{p-i} \cap \text{Im} \theta_{\Omega(M)}(1)}.
\]

Projecting the latter onto

\[
\mathcal{F}_{p-i,p-i-1}(\Omega M)(1) = \frac{\text{Ker} \theta_{\Omega M}^{p-i}}{\text{Ker} \theta_{\Omega M}^{p-i-1} \cap \text{Im} \theta_{\Omega(M)}(1)},
\]

we get a map of bundles:

\[
\delta': \text{Ker} \theta_M \cap \text{Im} \theta_{\Omega M} \to \mathcal{F}_{p-i,p-i-1}(\Omega M)(1).
\]

Since \( \delta' \) evidently kills \( \text{Ker} \theta_M \cap \text{Im} \theta_{\Omega M} \), we conclude that \( \delta' \) factors through \( \mathcal{F}_i(M) \). Hence, we have an induced map

\[
\tilde{\delta}: \mathcal{F}_i(M) \to \mathcal{F}_{p-i,p-i-1}(\Omega M)(1).
\]
A simple block count shows that this is an isomorphism at each fiber. Hence, by Lemma 3.4, this is an isomorphism of bundles. Thus using Lemma 2.3 (i.e., applying \( \theta_{2M} \) a further \( p-i-1 \) times), we have
\[
\mathcal{F}_i(M) \cong \mathcal{F}_{p-i,p-i-1}(\Omega M)(1) \cong \mathcal{F}_{p-i}(\Omega M)(p-i).
\]

Twisting by \( \mathcal{O}(-p+i) \), we get the desired isomorphism.

Let \( f: M \to N \) be a map of \( kE \)-modules. The naturality of the isomorphism
\[
\mathcal{F}_i(M)(-p+i) \cong \mathcal{F}_{p-i}(\Omega M)
\]
is equivalent to the commutativity of the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{F}_i(M)(-p+i) & \cong & \mathcal{F}_i(N)(-p+i) \\
\mathcal{F}_{p-i}(\Omega M) & \cong & \mathcal{F}_{p-i}(\Omega N).
\end{array}
\]

The commutativity follows from the construction of the map \( \tilde{\delta} \) and naturality of the “shifting isomorphism” of Lemma 2.3. \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.3.** Let \( M \) be a finite-dimensional \( kE \)-module and let \( 1 \leq i \leq p-1 \). Then \( \mathcal{F}_i(\Omega^2 M) \cong \mathcal{F}_i(M)(-p) \).

**Proof.** Apply the theorem twice. \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.4.** We have \( \mathcal{F}_i(\Omega^{2n} k) \cong \mathcal{O}(-np) \), and \( \mathcal{F}_{p-i}(\Omega^{2n-1} k) \cong \mathcal{O}(1-np) \).

**Proof.** This follows from the theorem and the corollary, using the isomorphism \( \mathcal{F}_i(k) \cong \mathcal{O} \). \( \square \)

**Remark 3.5.** If \( p = 2 \), then Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.4 reduce to the statements that \( \mathcal{F}_i(\Omega M) \cong \mathcal{F}_i(M)(-1) \) and \( \mathcal{F}_i(\Omega^2 k) \cong \mathcal{O}(1-n) \).

For a coherent sheaf \( \mathcal{E} \), we denote by \( \mathcal{E}^\vee = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}) \) the dual sheaf.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let \( M^* \) be the \( k \)-linear dual of \( M \), as a \( kE \)-module. Then
\[
\mathcal{F}_i(M^*) \cong \mathcal{F}_i(M)^\vee(-i+1).
\]

**Proof.** We shall first establish the following isomorphism:
\[
(3.6.1) \quad \mathcal{F}_{i,i-1}(M^*) \cong \mathcal{F}_{i,0}(M)^\vee.
\]

By definition, \( \mathcal{F}_{i,i-1}(M^*) \simeq \frac{\text{Ker } \theta_{i+1}}{\text{Ker } \theta_i \cap \text{Im } \theta_{i-1}} \). Let \( \phi \in \text{Ker } \theta_{i+1} \). Hence \( \phi : \tilde{M} \to \mathcal{O} \) is an \( \mathcal{O} \)-linear map such that \( \phi \circ \theta_i = 0 \). Restricting \( \phi \) to \( \text{Ker } \theta_i \cap \text{Im } \theta_{i-1} \), we obtain a linear map
\[
\phi' : \text{Ker } \theta_i \cap \text{Im } \theta_{i-1} \to \mathcal{O}.
\]
The condition \( \phi \circ \theta_i = 0 \) immediately implies that \( \phi' \) vanishes on \( \text{Ker } \theta_i \cap \text{Im } \theta_{i-1} \).

Hence, it induces a linear map on the quotient:
\[
\overline{\phi} : \mathcal{F}_i(M) = \frac{\text{Ker } \theta_i \cap \text{Im } \theta_{i-1}}{\text{Ker } \theta_i \cap \text{Im } \theta_{i-1}} \to \mathcal{O}.
\]
We define
\[ \Phi: \text{Ker} \theta^i_{M}, \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_O(\mathcal{F}_i(M), 0) = \mathcal{F}_i(M)^\vee \]
via
\[ \phi \mapsto \tilde{\phi}. \]
Suppose that \( \phi \in \text{Ker} \theta^{i-1}_{M}. \) Then \( \phi \circ \theta^{i-1}_{M} = 0 \) and, hence, \( \phi' = 0. \) Now suppose that \( \phi \in \text{Ker} \theta^i_{M} \cap \text{Im} \theta_{M}. \) Then \( \phi = \psi \circ \theta_{M} \) for some linear map \( \psi : M \rightarrow O. \)
This implies that \( \phi \downarrow_{\text{Ker} \theta_{M}} = 0. \) Therefore, \( \phi' = 0. \) We have thus established that \( \Phi \) vanishes on \( \text{Ker} \theta^{i-1}_{M} + \text{Ker} \theta^i_{M} \cap \text{Im} \theta_{M}. \) Hence, it induces a map
\[ \tilde{\Phi}: \mathcal{F}_{i-1}(M^*) = \frac{\text{Ker} \theta^i_{M^*}}{\text{Ker} \theta^i_{M^*} \cap \text{Im} \theta_{M^*}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_i(M)^\vee. \]
It follows from construction that the map is \( O \)-linear. A simple block count shows that it induces an isomorphism on fibers. Hence, \( \tilde{\Phi} \) is an isomorphism.

The theorem now follows from (3.6.1) together with Lemma 2.3. \( \square \)

We finish this section with exactness properties of the functors \( \mathcal{F}_i, \) which will be essential in the proof of the main theorem.

Let \( \mathcal{C}(kE) \) be the exact category of modules of constant Jordan type as introduced in [4]. This is an exact category in the sense of Quillen: the objects are finite-dimensional \( kE \)-modules of constant Jordan type, and the admissible morphisms are morphisms which can be completed to a locally split short exact sequence. We call a sequence of \( kE \)-modules
\[ 0 \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow M_2 \longrightarrow M_3 \longrightarrow 0 \]
locally split if it is split upon restriction to \( k[X\alpha]/X\alpha^p \) for any \( 0 \neq \alpha \in k^r. \)

**Proposition 3.7.** The functor \( \mathcal{F}_i: \mathcal{C}(kE) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}_k) \) is exact for \( 1 \leq i \leq p - 1. \)

**Proof.** Let
\[ 0 \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow M_2 \longrightarrow M_3 \longrightarrow 0 \]
be a locally split short exact sequence of modules of constant Jordan type. Then the Jordan type of the middle term is the sum of Jordan types of the end terms. Hence, \( \text{rk} \mathcal{F}_i(M_2) = \text{rk} \mathcal{F}_i(M_1) + \text{rk} \mathcal{F}_i(M_3) \) for any \( i. \) Consider the map \( \mathcal{F}_i(M_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i(M_1). \) By Proposition 2.21 the specialization \( \mathcal{F}_i(M_2) \otimes_O k(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i(M_3) \otimes_O k(\alpha) \) is surjective at any point \( \alpha \in \mathbb{P}^{r-1}. \) Arguing as in Lemma 3.1 we conclude that \( \mathcal{F}_i(M_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i(M_3) \) is surjective. Similarly, we show that \( \mathcal{F}_i(M_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i(M_2) \) is injective. Finally, the equality \( \text{rk} \mathcal{F}_i(M_2) = \text{rk} \mathcal{F}_i(M_1) + \text{rk} \mathcal{F}_i(M_3) \) implies exactness in the middle term. \( \square \)

4. **The Construction**

In this section we construct a functor
\[ \mathcal{G}: \text{D}^b(0\text{-mod}) \rightarrow kE\text{-stmod} \]
from the bounded derived category of coherent \( 0 \)-modules to the stable module category of \( kE. \)

Since \( H^1(E, k) \) is the vector space dual of \( J(kE)/J^2(kE), \) there are elements \( y_1, \ldots, y_r \) forming a vector space basis for \( H^1(E, k) \) and corresponding to the linear functions \( Y_1, \ldots, Y_r \) on \( J(kE)/J^2(kE) \) introduced in Section 2. For \( p > 2, \) we
write \( \beta : H^1(E, k) \to H^2(E, k) \) for the Bockstein map, and we set \( x_i = \beta(y_i) \). The cohomology ring \( H^*(E, k) \) has the following structure:

\[
H^*(E, k) = \begin{cases} 
k[y_1, \ldots, y_r] & p = 2, \\
\Lambda(y_1, \ldots, y_r) \otimes k[x_1, \ldots, x_r] & p \text{ odd},
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \deg(y_i) = 1 \) and \( \deg(x_i) = 2 \).

We shall write \( \text{Hom} \) for the Hom in the stable module category. The isomorphism \( H^1(E, k) \simeq \text{Hom}(\Omega^{n+1}k, \Omega^n k) \) implies that for a generator \( y_i \in H^1(E, k) \) we have a corresponding map \( y_i : \Omega^{n+1}k \to \Omega^n k \) in the stable module category, and similarly for \( x_i \) for \( p \) odd. For our construction, we need to choose canonical representatives of these maps so that they strictly commute, that is, commute as maps in \( kE\text{-mod} \), not just in the stable module category. We proceed to describe such a choice.

Let \( C = \langle g \rangle \) be a cyclic group of order \( p \), and let \( C \) be the periodic projective resolution of the trivial \( kC \)-module \( k \) which is given by

\[
\cdots \xrightarrow{k} kC \xrightarrow{1-g} kC \xrightarrow{1+g+\cdots+g^{p-1}} kC \xrightarrow{1-g} kC \to 0.
\]

If \( p = 2 \), this is period of period one, and there is a degree one map \( \hat{y} : C \to C \) representing \( y \in H^1(C, k) \). If \( p \) is odd, it has period two, and there is a degree two map \( \hat{x} : C \to C \) representing \( x \in H^2(C, k) \).

Now if \( E = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_r \rangle \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p)^r \), we let

\[
P = C \otimes \cdots \otimes C.
\]

Then \( P \) is a minimal projective resolution of \( k \) as a \( kE \)-module. Let

\[
\hat{y}_i = 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{y} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 : P \to P \quad (p = 2),
\]

\[
\hat{x}_i = 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{x} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 : P \to P \quad (p \text{ odd}).
\]

Here, all terms in the tensor product are equal to one except the \( i \)th term which is \( \hat{y} \), respectively \( \hat{x} \). The elements \( \hat{y}_i \) and \( \hat{x}_i \) represent the elements \( y_i, \hat{x}_i \in H^1(E, k) \) (\( p = 2 \)), respectively \( x_i \in H^2(E, k) \) (\( p \) odd). These maps commute by construction, and so we get a ring homomorphism

\[
\begin{align*}
k[y_1, \ldots, y_r] & \to \text{Hom}^*_E(P, P) \quad (p = 2), \\
k[x_1, \ldots, x_r] & \to \text{Hom}^*_E(P, P) \quad (p \text{ odd}).
\end{align*}
\]

Since \( \Omega^n(k) \) is the \( n \)th kernel in the complex \( P \), we get induced maps which by abuse of notation we write as

\[
\begin{align*}
y_i : \Omega^{n+1}(k) & \to \Omega^n(k) \quad (p = 2), \\
x_i : \Omega^{n+2}(k) & \to \Omega^n(k) \quad (p \text{ odd})
\end{align*}
\]

for all \( n \geq 0 \). Dualizing, we get these maps for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). They strictly commute, in the sense that \( y_i y_j \) and \( y_j y_i \) give the same map \( \Omega^{n+2}(k) \to \Omega^n(k) \) (\( p = 2 \)), and \( x_i x_j \) and \( x_j x_i \) give the same map \( \Omega^{n+4}(k) \to \Omega^n(k) \) (\( p \) odd).

Let \( C \) be a complex in \( \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}\text{-mod}) \). By the Hilbert syzygy theorem, the Cartan-Eilenberg resolution of \( C \) can be taken to have entries of the form \( \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \mathcal{O}(i_j) \). Hence, we can replace \( C \) with a quasi-isomorphic complex which has the form

\[
\bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_r} \mathcal{O}(a_{n,j}) \to \cdots \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_1} \mathcal{O}(a_{1,j}) \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_0} \mathcal{O}(a_{0,j}).
\]
Each of the maps in this complex is a matrix whose entries are homogeneous polynomials in $Y_1, \ldots, Y_r$. Replacing each $Y_i$ with $y_i$ if $p = 2$ and with $x_i$ if $p$ is odd, where $y_i$ and $x_i$ are the maps defined in (4.0.1), gives matrices of homogeneous polynomials on $y_i$ for $p = 2$ (and $x_i$ for $p$ odd) which we may use to form the following sequence of objects and maps in $kE$-mod:

\[
\bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_n} \Omega^{-\varepsilon a_{n,j}}(k) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_1} \Omega^{-\varepsilon a_{1,j}}(k) \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_0} \Omega^{-\varepsilon a_{0,j}}(k),
\]

where $\varepsilon = 1$ if $p = 2$ and $\varepsilon = 2$ if $p$ is odd. Since the maps $y_i$ ($p = 2$), respectively $x_i$ ($p$ odd), strictly commute, we get a complex in $kE$-mod and, hence, an object in $D^b(kE$-mod). We call the resulting complex $\widetilde{G}(C)$.

Note that chain maps between bounded complexes of coherent $O$–modules which are direct sums of Serre twists of the structure sheaf and a chain homotopy between such chain maps are all given by matrices of polynomials on $Y_i$, so that they are realized as chain maps and chain homotopies in $D^b(kE$-mod). This observation implies the following proposition, a detailed proof of which we leave as an exercise for an interested reader.

**Proposition 4.1.** The association

\[
\widetilde{G} : D^b(O$-mod) \to D^b(kE$-mod)
\]

which sends a bounded complex of coherent $O$–modules quasi–isomorphic to

\[
\bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_n} O(a_{n,j}) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_1} O(a_{1,j}) \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_0} O(a_{0,j})
\]

to the complex

\[
\bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_n} \Omega^{-\varepsilon a_{n,j}}(k) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_1} \Omega^{-\varepsilon a_{1,j}}(k) \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_0} \Omega^{-\varepsilon a_{0,j}}(k)
\]

in $D^b(kE$-mod) as defined in (4.0.3) is a triangulated functor.

We denote by $K^b$(proj) the category of perfect complexes over $kE$, that is, the thick subcategory of $D^b(kE$-mod) consisting of complexes quasi–isomorphic to bounded complexes of free $kE$-modules. There is a localization sequence

\[
K^b$(proj) $\longrightarrow D^b(kE$-mod) $\longrightarrow kE$-stmod
\]

(see [12]). Denote the corresponding localization functor by $\mathcal{R}$:

\[
\mathcal{R} : D^b(kE$-mod) $\to kE$-stmod.
\]

We define $\mathcal{G} : D^b(O$-mod) $\to kE$-stmod as a composition $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{R} \circ \widetilde{G}$:

\[
\mathcal{G} : D^b(O$-mod) $\xrightarrow{\widetilde{G}} D^b(kE$-mod) $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} kE$-stmod .
\]

Since $\mathcal{R}$ is a triangulated functor, Proposition 4.1 implies that $\mathcal{G}$ is a triangulated functor.
The proof of the main theorem (Theorem 1.1) will rely on the following two properties of the functor $\mathcal{F}$ to be proved in Section 5:

1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an algebraic vector bundle of rank $s$ on $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. Consider $\mathcal{F}$ as a complex in $D^b(O$-mod) concentrated in degree 0. Then $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F})$ is a module of stable constant Jordan type $[1]^s$.

2. Under the assumption of (1), we have

$$F_1(\mathcal{F}) \cong \begin{cases} F, & \text{if } p = 2, \\ F^*(\mathcal{F}), & \text{if } p \text{ is odd}, \end{cases}$$

where $F : \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \to \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is the Frobenius map.

5. Proof of the main theorem

We start with several technical lemmas. Because of the difference in structure of the cohomology ring, we divide the initial discussion into two cases, according to $p = 2$ or $p$ odd.

Case 1 ($p = 2$). We define a $k$-algebra homomorphism

$$\rho : H^*(E, k) = k[y_1, \ldots, y_r] \to k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_r]$$

by $\rho(y_i) = Y_i$. Recall that we have an isomorphism $O(-n) = F_1(k)(-n) \cong F_1(\Omega^n k)$ by Remark 3.3.5.

**Lemma 5.1.** If $\zeta \in H^n(E, k)$ is represented by a cocycle $\hat{\zeta} : \Omega^{n+j} k \to \Omega^j k$ (with $j \in \mathbb{Z}$), then the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{F}_1(\Omega^n k)(-j) \\
\downarrow \cong \\
\mathcal{F}_1(\Omega^{n+j} k)
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{F}_1(k)(-j) \\
\downarrow \cong \\
\mathcal{F}_1(\Omega^j k)
\end{array}
$$

commutes.

**Proof.** Consider $\hat{\zeta} : \Omega^n k \to k$. The commutative diagram (3.2.2) applied to $\hat{\zeta}$ and iterated $j$ times becomes

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{F}_1(\Omega^n k)(-j) \\
\downarrow \cong \\
\mathcal{F}_1(\Omega^{n+j} k)
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{F}_1(k)(-j) \\
\downarrow \cong \\
\mathcal{F}_1(\Omega^j k)
\end{array}
$$

Hence, it suffices to assume that $j = 0$. Additivity of the functor $\mathcal{F}_1$ allows us to assume that $\zeta$ is a monomial on generators $y_1, \ldots, y_r$. Finally, since multiplication in cohomology corresponds to composition of the corresponding maps on Heller shifts of $k$, it suffices to prove our statement for a degree one generator $\zeta = y_i$.

In the case $j = 0$, $\zeta = y_i$, we need to show that the following diagram commutes (this is the diagram above twisted by $O(1)$):

(5.1.1)

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{F}_1(k) \\
\downarrow \cong \\
\mathcal{F}_1(\Omega k)(1)
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{F}_1(k)(1) \\
\downarrow \cong \\
\mathcal{F}_1(\Omega k)(1)
\end{array}
$$

\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{F}_1(k) \\
\downarrow \cong \\
\mathcal{F}_1(\Omega k)(1)
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{F}_1(k)(1) \\
\downarrow \cong \\
\mathcal{F}_1(\Omega k)(1)
\end{array}
$$
Let $E_i$ be the subgroup of index two in $E$ such that $y_i$ is inflated from $E_i$ to $E$, namely the subgroup generated by all of $g_1, \ldots, g_r$ except $g_i$. Then $y_i$ represents the class of the extension

$$0 \to k \to M_i \to k \to 0,$$

where $M_i$ is the permutation module on the cosets of $E_i$. This is a length two module on which $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ act as zero except for $X_i$, which acts as a Jordan block of length two. We have a commutative diagram of $kE$-modules

(5.1.2) \hfill

$$
\begin{array}{c}
0 \to \Omega k \to P_0 \to k \to 0 \\
\downarrow \hat{g_i} \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \\
0 \to k \to M_i \to k \to 0.
\end{array}
$$

The left vertical isomorphism $\delta: \mathcal{F}_1(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_1(\Omega k)(1)$ of the diagram (5.1.1) is given by the switchback map for the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \Omega k \to P_0 \to k \to 0$$

as in diagram (5.2.1). Applying $\theta$ to the commutative diagram on free $\mathcal{O}$-modules induced by the module diagram (5.1.2), we get a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
0 \to \tilde{\Omega} k \to \tilde{P}_0 \to \mathcal{O} \to 0 \\
\downarrow \tilde{\hat{g}_i} \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \\
0 \to \tilde{\Omega} k(1) \to \tilde{P}_0(1) \to \mathcal{O}(1) \to 0 \\
\downarrow \tilde{\hat{g}_i}(1) \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \\
0 \to \mathcal{O} \to \tilde{M}_i \to \mathcal{O} \to 0 \\
\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \\
0 \to \mathcal{O}(1) \to \tilde{M}_i(1) \to \mathcal{O}(1) \to 0,
\end{array}
$$

where all horizontal arrows going from back to front are given by the operator $\theta$ on the corresponding module. The map $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_1(\mathcal{O} k) \to \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{O} k)(1)$ is induced by $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_1(\mathcal{O} k) \to \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{O} k)(1)$. To compute the composite $\mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{O} k) \to \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{O} k)(1)$ we first do the switchback map of the top layer and then push the result down via $\mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{O} k) \to \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{O} k)(1)$. Since the diagram is commutative, we can first push down via the identity map of the right vertical back arrow and then do the switchback of the bottom layer. Hence, the composite $\mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{O} k) \to \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{O} k)(1)$ is given by the switchback map of the bottom layer, that is, of the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
0 \to \mathcal{O} \to \tilde{M}_i \to \mathcal{O} \to 0 \\
\downarrow \theta_k \downarrow \theta_{\mathcal{M}_i} \downarrow \theta_k \\
0 \to \mathcal{O}(1) \to \tilde{M}_i(1) \to \mathcal{O}(1) \to 0.
\end{array}
$$

The left and right hand vertical maps here are zero. Hence, the switchback map $\delta: \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}(1)$ is given by multiplication by $\theta_{\mathcal{M}_i}$, which is given by multiplication by $Y_i$ in this situation.

Case 2 ($p$ is odd). We define a $k$-algebra homomorphism

$$\rho: H^*(E, k) \to k[x_1, \ldots, x_r] \to k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_r]$$

by $\rho(x_i) = Y_i^p$. 
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Lemma 5.2. Let $p$ be an odd prime. Let $\zeta$ be a degree $n$ polynomial in $k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$, regarded as an element of $H^{2n}(E, k)$. If $\zeta$ is represented by a cocycle $\hat{\zeta} : \Omega^{2(n+j)}k \to \Omega^{2j}k$, then the diagram

$\mathcal{O}(-p(n+j)) \xrightarrow{\rho(\zeta)} \mathcal{O}(-pj) \xrightarrow{=} \mathcal{F}_1(\Omega^{2(n+j)}k) \xrightarrow{f(\hat{\zeta})} \mathcal{F}_1(\Omega^{2j}k)$

commutes.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof in the case $p = 2$, but more complicated. Again it suffices to treat the case where $\zeta = x_i$ and $j = 0$. In other words, we need to compute the composite $\mathcal{F}_1(\hat{x}_i) \circ f$ in the diagram

$\mathcal{F}_1(k) \xrightarrow{\gamma_p} \mathcal{F}_1(k)(p) \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{F}_1(\Omega^2k)(p) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_1(\hat{x}_i)} \mathcal{F}_1(k)(p)$,

where $f : \mathcal{F}_1(k) \to \mathcal{F}_1(\Omega^2k)(p)$ is the isomorphism of Corollary 5.3. Let

$0 \to \Omega^2k \to P_1 \to P_0 \to k \to 0$

be a truncated projective resolution of $k$. Tracing through the proof of Theorem 5.2, we see that $f : \mathcal{F}_1(k) \to \mathcal{F}_1(\Omega^2k)(p)$ is a composite of three maps:

1. the switchback of the top two rows of the diagram (5.2.1) below which gives the isomorphism $\mathcal{F}(k) \to \mathcal{F}_{p-1,p-2}(\Omega k)(1)$,
2. followed by the isomorphism $\theta^{p-2}_{\hat{x}_i} : \mathcal{F}_{p-1,p-2}(\Omega k)(1) \to \mathcal{F}_{p-1}(\Omega k)(p-1)$ of Lemma 2.3
3. followed by another switchback map, now for the bottom two rows of diagram (5.2.1), which gives the isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_{p-1}(\Omega k)(p-1) \simeq \mathcal{F}_1(\Omega^2k)(p)$:

(5.2.1)

$0 \to \Omega^2k(p-1) \to \tilde{P}_1(p-1) \to \tilde{\Omega}k(p-1) \to 0$

Let $E_i$ be the subgroup of index $p$ such that $x_i$ is inflated from $E/E_i$, namely the subgroup generated by all of $g_1, \ldots, g_r$ except for $g_i$. We let $M_i$ be the permutation module on the cosets of $E_i$. This is a length $p$ module on which $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ act as
zero except for $X_i$, which acts as a Jordan block of length $p$. Then $x_i$ represents
the class of the 2-fold extension
$$0 \to k \to M_i \to M_i \to k \to 0,$$
where the middle map is multiplication by $X_i$. We construct a diagram analogous
to (5.2.1) for this extension:

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{c}
0 \to \tilde{N}_i \to \tilde{M}_i \to \mathcal{O} \to 0 \\
\downarrow \\ \\
0 \to \tilde{N}_i(1) \to \tilde{M}_i \to \mathcal{O}(1) \to 0 \\
\downarrow^{\theta_{N_i}^{p-2}} \\
0 \to \mathcal{O}(p-1) \to \tilde{M}_i(p-1) \to \tilde{N}_i(p-1) \to 0 \\
\downarrow \\ \\
0 \to \mathcal{O}(p) \to \tilde{M}_i(p) \to \mathcal{O}(p) \to 0.
\end{array}
\end{equation}

Here, $N_i = \text{Im} \{X_i : M_i \to M_i\}$. Just as in the proof of Lemma 5.2, the module
diagram

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{c}
0 \to \Omega^2 k \to P_1 \to P_0 \to k \to 0 \\
\downarrow^{x_i} \\
0 \to k \to M_i \to M_i \to k \to 0
\end{array}
\end{equation}

induces a commutative diagram of vector bundles with (5.2.1) on top and (5.2.2)
at the bottom. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 5.1 we compute the composite $\mathcal{F}_1(\hat{x}_i) \circ f$ by first mapping the rightmost $\mathcal{O}$ of diagram (5.2.1) identically to the rightmost $\mathcal{O}$ of diagram (5.2.2), and then applying our composite of a switchback, followed by $\theta_{N_i}^{p-2}$, followed by another switchback in the diagram (5.2.2). The maps $\theta_{M_i} : \tilde{M}_i \to \tilde{M}_i(1)$ and $\theta_{N_i} : \tilde{N}_i \to \tilde{N}_i(1)$ are simply multiplication by $Y_i$. Since the leftmost and rightmost vertical arrows in (5.2.2) are zero, to compute the composite of the three maps involved in diagram (5.2.2), we have to multiply first by $Y_i$, then by $Y_i^{p-2}$, then by $Y_i$ again. Hence, $\mathcal{F}_1(\hat{x}_i) \circ f = Y_i^p$. \hfill \Box

Let

$$F : \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \to \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$$

be the Frobenius morphism, that is, the morphism given on the level of homogeneous coordinate algebras by

$$k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_r]^{Y_i \to Y_i^p} \to k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_r].$$

Then the pullback functor

$$F^* : \mathcal{O}\text{-mod} \to \mathcal{O}\text{-mod}$$

is exact and induces a functor

$$F^* : D^b(\mathcal{O}\text{-mod}) \to D^b(\mathcal{O}\text{-mod}).$$
In the next proposition we shall use the functor $\tilde{G} : \text{D}^b(\mathcal{O}\text{-mod}) \to \text{D}^b(kE\text{-mod})$ defined in Section 4.

**Proposition 5.3.** Let 
$$C = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_n} \mathcal{O}(a_{n,j}) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_1} \mathcal{O}(a_{1,j}) \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_0} \mathcal{O}(a_{0,j})$$
be a complex of $\mathcal{O}$-modules. Then
$$\mathcal{F}_1(\tilde{G}(C)) = \begin{cases} C & p = 2 \\ F^*(C) & p \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** By definition,
$$\tilde{G}(C) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_n} \Omega^{-ca_{n,j}}(k) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_1} \Omega^{-ca_{1,j}}(k) \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_0} \Omega^{-ca_{0,j}}(k).$$

Applying $\mathcal{F}_1$ term by term, we get
$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_n} \mathcal{F}_1(\Omega^{-ca_{n,j}}(k)) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_1} \mathcal{F}_1(\Omega^{-ca_{1,j}}(k)) \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_0} \mathcal{F}_1(\Omega^{-ca_{0,j}}(k)).$$

The statement now follows from Lemma 5.1 for $p = 2$ and Lemma 5.2 for $p$ odd. □

The following lemma will be applied to modules over $K[X_\alpha]/(X_\alpha^p)$, where projective and injective modules coincide.

**Lemma 5.4.** Let $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : A \oplus P \to B \oplus Q$ be an injective map of modules, with $a : A \to B$ a split injection, $P$ and $Q$ projective and injective. Then $\phi$ is a split injection.

**Proof.** Let $B = B_1 \oplus B_2$, where $B_1 = \text{Im} a$, and let
$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : A \to B_1 \oplus B_2, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} : P \to B_1 \oplus B_2.$$

With this notation, we have
$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & b_2 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : A \oplus P \to B_1 \oplus B_2 \oplus Q.$$

Since $a_1$ is an isomorphism, there exist maps $u : B_1 \to Q$, $v : P \to A$ such that $c = ua_1$ and $b_1 = a_1 v$. Hence,
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -u & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & b_2 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 \\ 0 & d - ub_1 \end{pmatrix} : A \oplus P \to B_1 \oplus B_2 \oplus Q.$$

By assumption, this map is injective. Hence, the map
$$\begin{pmatrix} b_2 \\ d - ub_1 \end{pmatrix} : P \to B_2 \oplus Q$$
is injective and therefore split. □
Lemma 5.5. Let $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$ be a short exact sequence of $kE$-modules, such that $A$ and $B$ have trivial stable constant Jordan type and $\mathcal{F}_1(A) \to \mathcal{F}_1(B)$ is injective. Then $C$ is of trivial stable constant Jordan type and $\mathcal{F}_1(C)$ is the cokernel of $\mathcal{F}_1(A) \to \mathcal{F}_1(B)$.

Proof. Applying Lemma 5.4 to the restriction of the sequence to $\mathcal{F}_1(A) \to \mathcal{F}_1(B)$, we conclude that this sequence is locally split. Hence, $C$ is a module of trivial constant Jordan type. By Proposition 3.7, applying $\mathcal{F}_1$ gives an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{F}_1(A) \to \mathcal{F}_1(B) \to \mathcal{F}_1(C) \to 0. \quad \Box$$

We are now ready to prove the main theorem.

Theorem 5.6. Given any vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$ of rank $s$ on $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$, there exists a finitely generated $kE$-module $M$ of stable constant Jordan type $[1]^s$ such that

(i) if $p = 2$, then $\mathcal{F}_1(M) \cong \mathcal{F}$;

(ii) if $p$ is odd, then $\mathcal{F}_1(M) \cong F^s(\mathcal{F})$, the pullback of $\mathcal{F}$ along the Frobenius morphism $F : \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \to \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$.

Proof. Given a vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$, using the Hilbert syzygy theorem we can form a resolution by sums of twists of the structure sheaf:

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_r} \mathcal{O}(a_{r,j}) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_1} \mathcal{O}(a_{1,j}) \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_0} \mathcal{O}(a_{0,j}) \to \mathcal{F} \to 0.$$ 

Equivalently, $\mathcal{F}$, considered as a complex concentrated in degree 0, is quasi-isomorphic to the complex

$$(5.6.1) \quad C = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_r} \mathcal{O}(a_{r,j}) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_1} \mathcal{O}(a_{1,j}) \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_0} \mathcal{O}(a_{0,j}).$$

Let

$$M = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}),$$

where $\mathcal{G} : D^b(\mathcal{O}-\text{mod}) \to kE-\text{stmod}$ is the functor defined towards the end of Section 4. We shall prove that $M$ satisfies the conclusions of the theorem.

Let

$$C_{\geq i} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_r} \mathcal{O}(a_{r,j}) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_1} \mathcal{O}(a_{1,j})$$

be a truncation of $C$, $0 \leq i \leq r$. We set $M_i = \mathcal{G}(C_{\geq i})$. In particular, $M_0 = M$. We shall show by the downward induction on $i$ that $M_i$ has trivial stable constant Jordan type and that $\mathcal{F}_1(M_i)$ is the cokernel of the rightmost map in the complex $C_{\geq i}$ for $p = 2$ or $F^s(C_{\geq i})$ for $p$ odd. Applied to $i = 0$, this will give us the desired result about $M_0 = M$.

By definition, $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{G}$. Furthermore, we have

$$(5.6.2) \quad \mathcal{G}(C) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_r} \Omega^{-e_{a_{r,j}}}(k) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_1} \Omega^{-e_{a_{1,j}}}(k) \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_0} \Omega^{-e_{a_{0,j}}}(k).$$

Since $\mathcal{G}$ preserves triangles, the triangle

$$C_{\geq i+1} \to C_i \to C_{\geq i}$$
in $\text{D}^b(\mathcal{O}\text{-mod})$ gives rise to the triangle
\[ M_{i+1} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_i} \Omega^{-e_{a_i,j}}(k) \rightarrow M_i \]
in $\text{stmod}(kE)$ for any $i$, $0 \leq i \leq r - 1$.

By Proposition 6.3 applying $\mathcal{F}_1$ to the complex (5.6.2), we obtain either the original complex (5.6.1) if $p = 2$ or its Frobenius twist if $p$ is odd.

Since $M_r = \mathcal{F}(C_r) = \bigoplus \Omega^{-e_{a_r,i}}(k)$, we immediately get that $M_r$ is a module of trivial stable constant Jordan type. Moreover, $\mathcal{F}_1(M_r) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1(\bigoplus \Omega^{-e_{a_r-1,i}}(k))$ is an injection since it is either the original leftmost map of the complex $C$ ($p = 2$) or of the complex $F^*(C)$ ($p$ odd). Therefore, we may apply Lemma 6.1 to the triangle
\[ M_r \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_{r-1}} \Omega^{-e_{a_{r-1},j}}(k) \rightarrow M_{r-1}. \]

This implies that $M_{r-1}$ has trivial stable constant Jordan type and that $\mathcal{F}_1(M_{r-1})$ is the cokernel of the rightmost map of the complex $C_{\geq (r-1)}$ ($p = 2$) or the complex $F^*(C_{\geq (r-1)})$ ($p$ odd). Proceeding in the same manner by downwards induction on $i$, we show that $M_i$ has trivial stable constant Jordan type and that $\mathcal{F}_1(M_i)$ is the cokernel of the rightmost map of the complex $C_{\geq i}$ ($p = 2$) or the complex $F^*(C_{\geq i})$ ($p$ odd) for any $i$, $0 \leq i \leq r - 1$. Hence, for $i = 0$ we get that $M = M_0$ has trivial stable constant Jordan type and that $\mathcal{F}_1(M)$ is the cokernel of $C$, the resolution of $\mathcal{F}$ ($p = 2$) or the cokernel of $F^*(C)$ ($p$ odd) which is a resolution of $F^*(\mathcal{F})$ since $F^*$ is exact. Therefore, $\mathcal{F}_1(M) \simeq \mathcal{F}$ for $p = 2$ and $\mathcal{F}_1(M) \simeq F^*(\mathcal{F})$ for $p$ odd. The fact that the stable type of $M$ is $[1]^s$ now follows from Proposition 2.1. \hfill \Box

6. Chern numbers

Recall that the Chow ring of $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is
\[ A^*(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[h]/(h^r). \]

If $\mathcal{F}$ is a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$, we write
\[ c(\mathcal{F}, h) = \sum_{j \geq 0} c_j(\mathcal{F}) h^j \in A^*(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}) \]
for the Chern polynomial, where $c_0(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ and the $c_i(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r - 1$) are the Chern numbers of $\mathcal{F}$.

If $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of vector bundles, then we have the Whitney sum formula
\[ c(\mathcal{F}', h) = c(\mathcal{F}, h)c(\mathcal{F}'', h). \]

Lemma 6.1. The formula for Chern numbers of twists of a rank $s$ vector bundle is
\[ c_m(\mathcal{F}(i)) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} i^j \binom{s-m+j}{j} c_{m-j}(\mathcal{F}). \]

Equivalently, the total Chern class of the twists is given by
\[ c(\mathcal{F}(i), h) = \sum_{n=0}^{s} c_n(\mathcal{F}) h^n (1 + ih)^{s-n}. \]

Proof. See Fulton [9, Example 3.2.2]. \hfill \Box
More explicitly,
\[ c_1(\mathcal{F}(i)) = c_1(\mathcal{F}) + is, \]
\[ c_2(\mathcal{F}(i)) = c_2(\mathcal{F}) + i(s - 1)c_1(\mathcal{F}) + i^2 \binom{s}{2}, \]
\[ c_3(\mathcal{F}(i)) = c_3(\mathcal{F}) + i(s - 2)c_2(\mathcal{F}) + i^2 \binom{s - 1}{2}c_1(\mathcal{F}) + i^3 \binom{s}{3}, \]
and so on.

**Lemma 6.2.** For a vector bundle \( \mathcal{F} \) of rank \( s \) on \( \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \) we have
\[ c(\mathcal{F}, h)c(\mathcal{F}(1), h) \cdots c(\mathcal{F}(p-1), h) \equiv 1 - sh^{p-1} \quad (\text{mod } (p, h^p)). \]

**Proof.** We write
\[ c(\mathcal{F}) = \prod_{j=1}^{s} (1 + \alpha_j h), \]
where the \( \alpha_j \) are the Chern roots. Then the formula (6.1.1) is equivalent to
\[ c(\mathcal{F}(i)) = \prod_{j=1}^{s} (1 + (\alpha_j + i) h). \]

Thus we have
\[ c(\mathcal{F})c(\mathcal{F}(1)) \cdots c(\mathcal{F}(p-1)) = \prod_{j=1}^{s} (1 + \alpha_j h)(1 + (\alpha_j + 1) h) \cdots (1 + (\alpha_j + p - 1) h). \]

Now by Fermat’s little theorem, we have the identity
\[ x(x + y) \cdots (x + (p - 1)y) \equiv x^p - xy^{p-1} \quad (\text{mod } p) \]
and so putting \( x = 1 + \alpha_j h, \ y = h \) we obtain
\[ c(\mathcal{F})c(\mathcal{F}(1)) \cdots c(\mathcal{F}(p-1)) \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{s} ((1 + \alpha_j h)^p - (1 + \alpha_j h)h^{p-1}) \quad (\text{mod } p) \]
\[ \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{s} (1 - h^{p-1} + (\alpha_j^p - \alpha_j)h^p) \quad (\text{mod } p) \]
\[ \equiv 1 - sh^{p-1} \quad (\text{mod } (p, h^p)). \]

**A priori,** this is a congruence between polynomials with algebraic integer coefficients. But if two rational integers are congruent mod \( p \) as algebraic integers, then they are also congruent modulo \( p \) as rational integers. This is because their difference, divided by \( p \), is both an algebraic integer and a rational number, therefore an integer. \( \square \)

We now restate and prove Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 6.3.** Suppose that \( M \) has stable constant Jordan type \([1]^s\). Then \( p \) divides the Chern numbers \( c_m(\mathcal{F}_1(M)) \) for \( 1 \leq m \leq p - 2 \).

**Proof.** Since \( M \) has stable Jordan type \([1]^s\), we have \( \mathcal{F}_2(M) = \cdots = \mathcal{F}_{p-1}(M) = 0 \). Hence, the trivial vector bundle \( \tilde{M} \) has a filtration with filtered quotients (not in
order) $\mathcal{F}_1(M), \mathcal{F}_p(M), \mathcal{F}_p(M)(1), \ldots, \mathcal{F}_p(M)(p-1)$. So we have
\[
1 = c(M, h)
= c(\mathcal{F}_1(M), h)c(\mathcal{F}_p(M), h)c(\mathcal{F}_p(M)(1), h) \cdots c(\mathcal{F}_p(M)(p-1), h)
\equiv c(\mathcal{F}_1(M), h) \pmod{(p, h^{p-1})}
\]
by Lemma 6.2. It follows that the coefficients $c_m(\mathcal{F}_1(M))$ are divisible by $p$ for $1 \leq m \leq p-2$.

**Remark 6.4.** For $p = 2$ this theorem says nothing. But for $p$ odd, it at least forces $c_1(\mathcal{F}_1(M))$ to be divisible by $p$. As an explicit example, the twists of the Horrocks–Mumford bundle $\mathcal{F}_{HM}(i)$ have $c_1 = 2i + 5$ and $c_2 = i^2 + 5i + 10$ (11). For $p \geq 7$ these cannot both be divisible by $p$, and so there is no module $M$ of stable constant Jordan type $[1]^2$ and integer $i$ such that $\mathcal{F}_1(M) \cong \mathcal{F}_{HM}(i)$.

**Remark 6.5.** The conclusion of the theorem is limited to the modules of stable constant Jordan type $[1]^s$. For example, if $M_n$ is a “zig-zag” module of dimension $2n + 1$ for $\mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}/p$, then $\mathcal{F}_1(M_n) \cong \mathcal{O}(-n)$ and $\mathcal{F}_1(M_n^*) \cong \mathcal{O}(n)$ for any $n \geq 0$ (see [12] §6).
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