Long-term neural recordings using MEMS based movable microelectrodes in the brain
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INTRODUCTION

One of the critical requirements of the emerging class of neural prosthetic devices is to maintain good quality neural recordings over long time periods. We report here a novel MEMS (Micro Electro Mechanical Systems) based technology that can move microelectrodes in the event of deterioration in neural signal to sample a new set of neurons. Microscale electro-thermal actuators are used to controllably move microelectrodes post-implantation in steps of approximately 9 μm. In this study, a total of 12 movable microelectrode chips were individually implanted in adult rats. Two of the twelve movable microelectrode chips were not moved over a period of 3 weeks and were treated as control experiments. During the first 3 weeks of implantation, moving the microelectrodes led to an improvement in the average signal to noise ratio (SNR) from 14.61 ± 5.21 dB before movement to 18.13 ± 4.99 dB after movement across all microelectrodes and all days. However, the average root-mean-square values of noise amplitudes were similar at 2.98 ± 1.22 μV and 3.01 ± 1.16 μV before and after microelectrode movement. Beyond 3 weeks, the primary observed failure mode was biological rejection of the PMMA (dental cement) based skull mount resulting in the device loosening and eventually falling from the skull. Additionally, the average SNR for functioning devices beyond 3 weeks was 11.88 ± 2.02 dB before microelectrode movement and was significantly different (p < 0.01) from the average SNR of 13.34 ± 0.919 dB after movement. The results of this study demonstrate that MEMS based technologies can move microelectrodes in rodent brains in long-term experiments resulting in improvements in signal quality. Further improvements in packaging and surgical techniques will potentially enable movable microelectrodes to record cortical neuronal activity in chronic experiments.
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The SUMMiT V™ (Sandia Ultra-planar Multi-level MEMS Technology 5, with 5-layers of polysilicon) process, as stated above, the microelectrodes consist of two or three polysilicon layers of different thicknesses, whereas the actuators, electro-thermal strips, ratchets, shuttle and other mechanical structures are defined in all five polysilicon layers. After micromachining the final layer, the devices are released using hydrofluoric acid, which removes the oxide layer. The polysilicon layers for the microelectrodes are highly doped (10^{19}/cm^3) with phosphorus (n-type), making the microelectrode conducting. The fabrication of the microelectrodes used in the MEMS device is integrated with the fabrication of the microactuators in the SUMMiT V™ process.

**Packaging of MEMS Device**

The chip was bonded to a 40-pin chip carrier (Spectrum Semiconductor Materials, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA) using a non-conducting adhesive. The chip carrier was cut on one side prior to bonding in order to create an open cavity, which would allow the microelectrodes to extend off the edge of the chip. The MEMS device was then wire bonded to the chip carrier using gold wire. A glass cover was bonded to the top of the chip carrier in order to protect the device. The chip carrier was then bonded using surface mount techniques (SMT) to a custom made PCB that contained a 16-channel Omnetics™ connector (NPD-AA, Omnetics Corp., Minneapolis, Mn.) for connecting the headstage during recording sessions. Two stainless steel (316L) wires (50 μm diameter) were bonded to the PCB using conductive epoxy, which acted as the reference and ground electrodes. In order to prevent fluid or debris entry into the chip post-implantation we mounted a composite material consisting of silicone gel and nylon mesh to the open cavity area of the chip carrier (Jackson et al., 2009). This material helps prevent fluid from entering the chip and is soft enough to allow our microelectrodes to penetrate through with ease. Two of the devices were packaged without the mesh encapsulation material. The overall dimensions of the device are 14 mm × 17 mm × 3 mm. However, this can be reduced by using a smaller chip carrier. The overall weight of the device is ~1.9 g. After the device had been completely funcitoned, the reader is referred to our earlier report (Muthuswamy et al., 2005a) for more information on the principle of actuation and fabrication of the array of movable microelectrodes.
In order to validate the use of our movable microelectrode chip or device to obtain functional neural recordings under long-term experimental conditions we implanted a total of \( n = 12 \) Sprague-Dawley rats (including two control animals). Each device contained one or two functional microelectrodes since the third microelectrode was damaged in all 12 devices during the fabrication process. The control group consisted of two animals that were implanted for a period of 12 weeks in which the microelectrodes were not moved. The rats were approximately 300 g in weight prior to the start of the experiment. All animal procedures were carried out with the approval of the Institute of Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Arizona State University, Tempe. The experiments were performed in accordance with the National Institute of Health (NIH) guide for the care and use of laboratory animals.

**SURGERY**

The microelectrodes were extended down off the edge of the chip (2–3 mm). The microelectrodes were insulated by dip coating with epoxylite (48-1696 P.D. George Company., St. Louis, MO, USA) (Verhagen et al., 2003). The insulation was etched using an epoxy stripper (MS-111 Miller-Stephenson Inc., Sylmar, CA, USA) to create one recording site at the tip of each microelectrode. Approximately 1000 \( \mu m^2 \) area was etched to create the recording site. The size of the etched recording site varied among microelectrodes due to variabilities in the dip coating and etching process; however control was maintained by monitoring the electro-chemical impedance spectra during and after etching. A hard bake process followed after the microelectrodes were etched (30 min at 199°C). The final thickness of the insulation varied slightly due to the dip coating process, but typical thicknesses were between 5–10 \( \mu m \). A micrograph of the packaged device is shown in Figure 3.

---

**FIGURE 1** | (A) Micrograph of the movable microelectrode chip wire bonded on the chip carrier showing the three microelectrodes extended out at the bottom of the chip. The picture on the right shows a closer view of the bottom of the microchip with the microelectrodes and microactuators. | (B) Schematic of a single polysilicon microelectrode and the associated thermal-microactuators/electro-thermal strips (chip is 3-mm wide and 6-mm long). | (C) SEM of the middle microelectrode (50-\( \mu m \) wide) and the associated electro-thermal strips, and ratchets that move the microelectrodes. The device is externally controlled by application of voltage pulses via the labeled bond pads.
All efforts were made to minimize animal suffering and to use only the number of animals necessary to produce reliable scientific data. The animals were induced using a mixture of (50 mg/ml) ketamine, (5 mg/ml) xylazine, and (1 mg/ml) acepromazine administered intramuscularly with an initial dosage of 0.1 ml/100 g body weight. Throughout the surgery, updates were given as needed at a dose of 0.05 ml/100 g body weight. The anesthesia for update contained a mixture of 50 mg/ml ketamine and 5 mg/ml xylazine. The rat was attached to a stereotaxic frame (Kopf Instruments, Tujunga, CA, USA). After the skull was exposed, two stainless steel bone screws (19010-10 Fine Science Inc., Foster City, CA, USA) were screwed into the skull to act as anchors. Two small craniotomies (∼0.5 mm) were drilled for the reference and ground electrodes. A larger craniotomy (3 × 2 mm) was drilled with the center point being 2.5 mm lateral to the midline and 2.5 mm posterior to the bregma. After the bone chips were removed, the dura was carefully incised and pulled back away from the center of the craniotomy. The device was mounted on a micromanipulator using bees wax. The microelectrodes were slowly inserted into the brain at a rate of 10 μm/s. The microelectrodes were
implanted to a depth of approximately 1 mm in order to record from the rodent somatosensory cortex. The reference and ground wires were manually inserted into the smaller craniotomies. After implantation, dental cement (PMMA) was used to secure the device to the skull.

DATA COLLECTION/ANALYSIS

Neural recordings were obtained using a 16-bit multi-channel recording system (TDT Inc., Alachua, FL, USA). The rats were lightly sedated for the recording sessions. Recordings were taken 3–4 times a week for a period of 2–3 weeks. Each recording session contained three to five 1-min segments. Recordings from the control group were taken once a week for a period of 12 weeks. The neural signals were sampled at 12 kHz and bandpass filtered from 300–3000 Hz, with a gain of 10,000. The recording system was connected to the device via a headstage with matching Omnetics™ connector, which was then routed through an A/D converter. The animal was placed in shielded faraday cage to prevent any unwanted noise. Raw data and individual spikes were recorded. Spikes were sorted online by transferring any signal that was greater than three times the standard deviation of the amplitude distribution into a separate file, to be analyzed offline.

The spike waveforms were sorted offline using Offline Sorter (Plexon Inc., Dallas, TX, USA). The sorting technique used principal component analysis to sort the spikes into various signals or units. The continuous neural recordings were transferred into a custom made Matlab™ algorithm to determine signal to noise ratio (SNR), average signal amplitude (peak to peak), and the average noise amplitude. Signals were detected using an amplitude threshold of three times the standard deviation of the recorded signal. Amplitudes below this threshold were considered noise. The SNR was determined by the ratio of signal power to the noise power as shown below:

\[
SNR = 10 \cdot \log \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{p} s_{ij}^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{b} n_{ij}^2} \right)
\]

where \(s_{ij}\) is the signal data values of series \(j\) at the \(i\)th point, \(p\) is the total number of signal points, \(n_{ij}\) is the noise data values of series \(j\) at the \(i\)th point, and \(b\) is the total number of noise points. A SNR of 10 dB represents an average signal amplitude that is approximately three times larger than the average noise amplitude. The root-mean-square (RMS) value of the noise was calculated for duration of the experiment.

If the SNR was less than 10 dB, the microactuators were activated to move the microelectrodes either up or down in increments of 100 μm until a neuronal signal or unit activity was detected with SNR greater than 10 dB. The threshold of 10 dB was chosen since neuronal activity with SNR less than 10 dB was not visually perceptible in the recordings. Typically, the microelectrodes were moved up or down by 100 μm at a rate of (approximately 9 μm/s) until visually discernible signals were identified. The microelectrodes were moved either up or down depending on the current depth of the microelectrode tip below the cortical surface. The direction of the microelectrode movement (upward or downward) was randomized for each recording session to assess if there was a directional bias to the change in SNR in response to microelectrode movement and also to ensure that the microelectrode was in the same general vicinity as the original implant site. The microelectrodes were moved in 100 μm increments in order to potentially sample a different set of target neurons than the ones in the current position. In order to move the microelectrodes the headstage had to be removed and replaced with another connector to enable activation of the actuators. After the microelectrodes were moved, the headstage was replaced and recordings were taken. The overall time in between recordings was approximately 1 min, including switch time and time to activate the actuators.

IMPEDANCE MEASUREMENTS

The impedance of each microelectrode was tested in vivo by a 3-electrode method using an electro-chemical workstation (CH Instruments Inc., Austin, TX, USA). The counter and reference electrode were both made of stainless steel wire similar to (Weiland and Anderson, 2000). A voltage was applied between the reference and the polysilicon microelectrode whereas current was measured between the counter and the polysilicon microelectrode. Voltages with amplitudes of 5 mV and frequencies between 100Hz–100 kHz were applied to each device and the impedance was measured. Two or three repeat impedance measurements were taken during each session. The value at 1 kHz was monitored over the period of the experiment in all of the microelectrodes (\(n = 14\)).

RESULTS

This study included results from \(n = 12\) MEMS based movable microelectrodes implanted in \(n = 10\) rats and \(n = 2\) stationary microelectrodes (control) implanted in two additional rats. Among the displacements produced in all the microelectrodes that were moved, the maximum cumulative distance travelled by a microelectrode was \(\sim 700 \mu\text{m}\) (i.e., that particular microelectrode was moved seven times with a displacement of 100 μm each time either in the upward or downward direction). The maximum overall displacement from the initial position was 200 μm below the initial position. The average displacement of all the microelectrodes that were moved was 60 μm below the initial position.

Overall, device functionality and microelectrode mobility was maintained in 6 out of 8 of the devices that had the mesh encapsulation material to prevent fluid penetration into the device from the implant site. Both of the encapsulated devices that had fluid infiltration, labeled as device 1 and device 3 in Table 1, showed failure at 14 days and at 89 days due to subsequent debris formation after dehydration of the fluids. Analysis of the failure mode after the experiment indicated that the mesh was improperly coated with silicone gel during the encapsulation process. All of the devices without the mesh encapsulation (devices# 9–12, including the two control devices in which the microelectrodes were not moved) failed due to the presence of fluids and subsequent solid debris on the devices. The two devices implanted
The average SNR of each of the microelectrodes before and after ranged from 30–400 μV with typical noise values of 3-μV RMS. A typical recording segment with bursts of spike activity from day 1, 4, 8, and 21 the microelectrode was obtaining signals. However, on the other days (6, 12, 14, 16, and 18) the SNR was too low to distinguish between signal and noise so the microelectrodes were moved resulting in significant increase in the SNR. The 10 microelectrodes in the eight mesh-encapsulated devices were moved 43 times for the first 21 days and resulted in a significant improvement in SNR in 41 of those cases.

Another observed failure mode that is particularly relevant in chronic long-term studies, was the tissue rejection of the dental cement based cranial mounting method. In all implanted animals, tissue penetrated underneath the dental cement as a wound-healing response and created a growing barrier that eventually popped the devices from the skull. In most cases, the tissue ingrowths imposed such a powerful structural stress that the entire implanted device popped off with the bone screws embedded in the dental cement and the skull. Such mounting failures occurred at varying time points in all eight mesh-encapsulated devices ranging from 30 days (in device #8) to 174 days (in device 4) post-implantation. Out of the eight devices which had mounting failure, five failed in the first 90 days, while three failed after 120 days. Therefore, the maximum life span of the current mounting technique using dental cement appears to range from ~3–6 months.

CORTICAL RECORDINGS
A brief recording segment of ~3 s for both (a) before and (b) after microelectrode movement is shown in Figure 4. The “before” segment shows the absence of any significant spiking activity. However, after actuation (microelectrode moved down ~100 μm) a significant increase in spike activity with signal levels of ~ 300 μV was seen. The noise levels on the other hand remain constant at ~3-μV RMS. A typical recording segment with bursts of spike activity from day 83 is shown in Figure 5.

For the first 21-days post-implantation, the spike amplitudes ranged from 30–400 μV with typical noise values of 3-μV RMS. The average SNR of each of the microelectrodes before and after actuation over the first 21-days post-implantation is shown in Figure 6A. If the SNR of the spike activity was larger than 10 dB, the microelectrodes were not actuated, and the corresponding recorded data was labeled “before actuation.” During the same period, the overall average SNR was 14.61 ± 5.21 dB after actuation and 18.13 ± 4.99 dB after actuation. Microelectrode movement consistently led to significant improvements in SNR values from each device shown in Figure 6A. For instance, in Figure 6A on the bottom left hand side of the graph shows that at day 1, 4, 8, and 21 the microelectrode was obtaining signals without actuation. However, on the other days (6, 12, 14, 16, and 18) the SNR was too low to distinguish between signal and noise so the microelectrodes were moved resulting in significant increase in the SNR. The 10 microelectrodes in the eight mesh-encapsulated devices were moved 43 times for the first 21 days and resulted in a significant improvement in SNR in 41 of those cases.

Five out of the eight devices survived beyond 3 weeks of implant duration. The SNR values from these remaining functional devices are shown in Figure 6B. The average SNR for all functioning microelectrodes across the devices beyond 3 weeks of implant time was 11.88 ± 2.02 dB before actuation and was significantly different from 13.34 ± 0.919 dB after actuation (p < 0.01). In Figure 6B, there were 6 out of 11 instances when movement of the microelectrode led to significant improvements in SNR. The improvement in SNR values (~3–5 dB) was however lower compared to the improvements observed in the first 3 weeks.

In the two devices that were not encapsulated where the microelectrodes were actuated, the average improvement in SNR was ~3–5 dB as shown in Figure 6C. The average SNR over the 12 weeks for the control microelectrodes which were not moved are shown in Figure 6D. The results show that the SNR was low during the first couple of weeks, then increased at week 3, and remained above 12 dB after the third week.

Table 1 | Summary of observed failure modes.

| Devices with mesh encapsulation (with actuation) | Broken spring after encapsulation | Omnetics interconnect malfunction | Impaired movement ability | Device isolation from fluid | Device mounting failure |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Device 1                                         | ×                                | ×                               |                           | ×                         | ×                      |
| Device 2                                         |                                  | ×                               |                           | ×                         | ×                      |
| Device 3                                         |                                  |                                  | ×                         |                          | ×                      |
| Device 4                                         | ×                                | ×                               | ×                         |                          | ×                      |
| Device 5                                         |                                  |                                  |                           |                          | ×                      |
| Device 6                                         |                                  |                                  |                           |                          | ×                      |
| Device 7                                         |                                  |                                  |                           |                          | ×                      |
| Device 8                                         |                                  |                                  |                           |                          | ×                      |

| Devices (without mesh with actuation)            |                                  |                                  |                           |                          | ×                      |
| Device 9                                         |                                  |                                  |                           |                          | ×                      |
| Device 10                                        |                                  |                                  |                           |                          | ×                      |
| Control (without mesh without actuation)         |                                  |                                  |                           |                          | ×                      |
| Device 11                                        |                                  |                                  |                           |                          | ×                      |
| Device 12                                        |                                  |                                  |                           |                          | ×                      |
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There was no significant difference ($p > 0.10$) in the average RMS values of noise before ($2.98 \pm 1.22 \mu V$) and after actuation ($3.01 \pm 1.16 \mu V$) in the first 3-weeks post-implant as shown in Figure 7.

**DISCUSSION**

This study validates the ability to use our MEMS based movable microelectrodes for semi-chronic neural recordings in the cerebral cortex. Single and multi-unit signals were obtained for six of eight devices for at least 28 days. Of these devices, three devices were able to obtain neural recordings for at least the duration of the experiment (Figure 9). The average magnitude at 1 kHz during the first 3 weeks of the experiment was $341 \pm 161 \Omega$. 

**IMPEDEANCE MEASUREMENTS**

A typical bode plot of the electro-chemical impedance spectra is shown in Figure 8, which shows the impedance magnitude and phase change versus frequency (100 Hz–100 kHz). The average magnitude of the impedance trend at 1 kHz was monitored over the duration of the experiment (Figure 9). The average magnitude at 1 kHz during the first 3 weeks of the experiment was $341 \pm 161 \Omega$.
76 days (~11 weeks). In one device, active neural recordings were obtained for up to 83 days (device #2) suggesting the potential use of these devices in long-term Neurophysiological experiments. Recordings beyond 83 days had to be terminated due to unrelated health issues (dehydration and adverse reactions to the anesthetic injection site) of the rat implanted with device #2. During the first 3 weeks the SNR before and after actuation were significantly different ($p < 0.01$) with values of $14.61 \pm 5.21$ dB and $18.13 \pm 4.99$ dB respectively. The devices that went beyond 3 weeks showed a smaller but still significant increase in SNR before and after actuation with values of $11.88 \pm 2.02$ dB and $13.34 \pm 0.919$ dB respectively.
The ability to maintain SNR above 10 dB in all the devices that were tested demonstrates the ability to achieve a certain level of recording consistency by moving the microelectrodes intermittently unlike fixed microelectrodes where wide variations are observed in the recording quality both within an individual microelectrode over time and across different microelectrodes in different experiments. Recent studies have suggested variations in spike signal amplitudes within a 24-hr duration (Ryu and Shenoy, 2009) using fixed microelectrode arrays. A tightly designed closed-loop control system that adjusts the position of the movable microelectrodes featured here in response to any change in the SNR will potentially lead to not only maintaining a minimum SNR (as demonstrated here) but a stable SNR that does not fluctuate. Such control algorithms have already been successfully demonstrated in short-term experiments using bulky motors to move the microelectrodes (Cham et al., 2005) to achieve stable SNR values.

We used a criterion that a SNR of 10 dB would be undesirable since the spike amplitude is indistinguishable from the surrounding noise amplitudes. However, at an SNR of 12 dB or higher, the units were visually discernible and were of a quality that conventional detection and sorting algorithms such as what we have used in this study were able to detect and sort them. Therefore, in order to make an assessment of relevance for cortical prosthetic applications, neuronal recordings with SNRs 12 dB or higher that were discernible by conventional detection and sorting algorithms can be considered useful and hence relevant. This would make neuronal recording corresponding to every single data point in Figure 6A after microelectrode movement useful for cortical prosthetic application. In Figure 6B, only a subset (four out of the six) of the neuronal recordings corresponding to the six instances that showed significant improvement would actually be useful for cortical prosthetic application.

It is possible that microelectrode movement of 100 μm used here in response to SNR dropping below 10 dB could lead to drifts in relative position of the neuron and the microelectrode. However, we attempted to minimize the possibility of such drifts by moving the microelectrode very slowly at a rate of approximately 9 μm/s. The decrease
in SNR of many of the recordings beyond 3-weeks post-implant is not unusual and might be a result of the isolation of the microelectrode from active neurons due to gliosis in the tissue surrounding the implant. The active neurons near the microelectrode may also have migrated away. Beyond 3 weeks, in 5 out of 11 cases, microelectrode movement does not lead to significant improvement in the SNR values. This might indicate that the electro-thermal microactuators are not as effective in moving the microelectrodes past the glial sheath that typically envelops the implants after 4 weeks. If that is the case, designing microactuators with greater force capabilities would enable the microelectrodes to penetrate and move past the glial sheath more effectively. The current actuators can produce forces of around several hundred micro-Newton. It is also possible that it is more difficult to find viable neurons in the vicinity of the microelectrode tips beyond 3 weeks. This would have to be confirmed by sampling the brain space more carefully at higher spatial resolution.

The packaging techniques used, allowed for six out of eight (∼75%) encapsulated MEMS devices to be capable of moving the microelectrodes and recording multi-unit data from them throughout the duration of the experiment. Two of the devices without the mesh encapsulation (device 9 and 10) showed fluid entry at day...
problem 21-days post-implant due to debris entering the connector, which made it difficult to make secure connections with the headstage thus increasing the noise levels. Additionally, all the actuators and recording springs were catastrophically broken 49 days post-implantation in device 4. The likely cause for this mechanical failure in device 4 is yet unknown.

Device 1 had a little fluid entry on day 14, which prevented movement afterwards. However, we were still able to maintain functional neural recordings from Device 1 for four more days. Device 3 had fluid penetration 89-days post-implantation. A primary reason for this failure was due to the poor packaging of the device. It is speculated that the ion-rich biological fluid may be the primary reason for affecting device function. Nevertheless, this failure mode was observed in only two of eight implanted devices with encapsulation, suggesting that further refinements in the encapsulation method may help completely prevent failure due to fluid entry in future studies. While the microactuators themselves can function in fluids, the failure appears to result from solid debris left behind after dehydration of the fluid that had entered. Results from the two control experiments demonstrate viable neuronal recordings for approximately 3 weeks, suggesting fluid accumulation does not catastrophically affect the recording ability of the microelectrodes but does impair its mobility.

The primary failure mode beyond 3 weeks was the rejection of device due to significant tissue ingrowth on the skull under the dental cement. The tissue penetration resulted in partial degradation of the PMMA based dental cement, weakening the structural stability of the implanted device on the skull. The stresses exhibited by the tissue on the device-skull interface were strong enough to separate the mounted bone screws with the PMMA from the skull. The maximum lifespan of the PMMA/dental cement mounting technique without additional maintenance is ∼3–6 months. The primary reason for this mounting failure may be caused by the chronic irritation of the skin at the dental cement skin interface. Continual maintenance of the implant site by removal of any tissue ingrowths would be required in future experiments. Alternatives might be to utilize an adhesive to prevent tissue growth and to practice improved surgical techniques to prevent general chronic inflammation.

The packaging techniques used in this study are not ideal for chronic recordings, considering the relatively large size and weight of the chip carriers and underlying PC boards. In addition lack of mechanical isolation between the OmneticsTM connector and the implanted device increases the likelihood of failure due to recurrent mechanical stresses during insertion of connectors for recording. Although these movable microelectrodes are smaller than any other currently used movable microelectrodes for neural recordings, further work is necessary to optimize the form factor and weight for chronic experimentation. We have recently developed novel flexible MEMS techniques that reduces the size of the interconnects and packaging to chip-scale size (∼3 mm × 6 mm) and reduces the total weight to ∼250 mg (Jackson and Muthuswamy, 2009). This new packaging needs to be tested for chronic recordings in future studies. The MEMS based devices can be scaled up to increase the number of electrodes, and the weight would not be significantly altered. This can be accomplished through die thinning and advanced packaging and interconnect techniques.

9 and 14 respectively. The other eight electrodes remained functional (movable) for at least 28 days. Only one implanted device had total device failure (device 4). Device 4 also had a connector...
Muthuswamy, 2009). Using such techniques the distance between the microelectrodes can be reduced from the current 800 μm to 400 μm or less and a 3-D array of movable microelectrodes that can precisely sample x-, y- and the z- directions in the brain tissue can be accomplished.

The results of this study show that microelectrode movement always led to a significant ($p < 0.01$) improvement in SNR in the first 3-weeks post-implant and in 6 out of 11 instances beyond 3-weeks post-implant. In a typical application, the microelectrodes may not need to be moved as often as was done in this study. We had used an ad-hoc approach in this study to move the microelectrode in response to any decrease in the SNR below 10 dB. However, it is possible that neurons that were active in the previous recording session may become silent (due to a variety of reasons such as sedation levels, behavior etc) in the subsequent recording session. On such occasions, even keeping the microelectrode stationary and waiting-out the silent periods in a neuronal activity may restore the SNR. However, the strategy based solely on waiting-out the silent periods for the restoration of SNR is ineffective in the long-term as demonstrated by fixed microelectrode arrays in use currently. The goal of this paper was to validate that the microelectrodes can move and obtain high SNR when there are no signals being obtained in their current position.

The increase in signal levels subsequent to microelectrode movement is most likely caused by the ability of the microelectrodes to move closer to a functional neuron. The noise levels (RMS) did not significantly ($p > 0.10$) change before and after movement. The RMS of the noise was 2.98 and 3.01 μV before and after movement respectively. Since the direction of movement was randomized, both upward and downward of the microelectrodes appear to be equally effective in improving the SNR values of the neuronal recordings.

The results from the impedance data show that the average impedance at 1-kHz on the first day after the implant was 427 ± 145 kΩ, while the average over the first 3 weeks was 341 ± 161 kΩ. This showed a marginal decrease of ~85 kΩ. The decrease in the impedance occurs within the first couple of days, which is most likely caused from thin sections of the insulation layer that was not properly etched away. After day 5, the impedance values became more stable with marginal increases and decreases from day to day recordings. The large variations in impedance values from one sample to another are likely due to lack of control over the amount of insulation that is etched away, or the thickness of the insulation layer. The Bode phase plot of Figure 8 shows a capacitive behavior for the microelectrodes at low frequencies. It is speculated that there may have been a gradual increase in the surface area of the recording site due to the presence of proteins and other biological factors leading to an overall decrease in impedance.

Finally, one of the major challenges to overcome with these MEMS devices is their packaging. Typically MEMS devices are hermetically sealed in order to prevent fluid or debris from entering the movable components. However, hermetic packaging is not feasible for the above devices since the microelectrodes extend off the edge of the chip. We have recently developed a novel packaging technique in order to prevent fluid entry and still allow our microelectrodes to move or function normally after implantation (Jackson and Muthuswamy, 2009; Jackson et al., 2009).

**CONCLUSIONS**

We tested the ability to use MEMS based movable microelectrodes to record long-term neural activity in the cerebral cortex of adult rodents. This is the first study, to our knowledge that used MEMS based actuators to move microelectrodes post-implantation in long-term experiments. A couple of the devices failed due to leakage issues in the packaging. Future work will be directed towards improving the packaging and tethering of the MEMS device on the skull in order to obtain chronic recordings.

We conclude that movable microelectrodes using MEMS actuators is a promising approach to help increase the SNR of neuronal recordings in long-term experiments. Optimization of the packaging and surgical mounting will likely lead to successful neuronal recordings lasting several years from cortical regions of the brain.
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