PPKs mediate direct signal transfer from phytochrome photoreceptors to transcription factor PIF3
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Upon light-induced nuclear translocation, phytochrome (phy) sensory photoreceptors interact with, and induce rapid phosphorylation and consequent ubiquitin-mediated degradation of, transcription factors, called PIFs, thereby regulating target gene expression and plant development. Nevertheless, the biochemical mechanism of phy-induced PIF phosphorylation has remained ill-defined. Here we identify a family of nuclear protein kinases, designated Photoregulatory Protein Kinases (PPK1–4; formerly called MUT9-Like Kinases (MLKs)), that interact with PIF3 and phyB in a light-induced manner in vivo. Genetic analyses demonstrate that the PPKs are collectively necessary for the normal light-induced phosphorylation and degradation of PIF3. PPK1 directly phosphorylates PIF3 in vitro, with a phosphosite pattern that strongly mimics the light-induced pattern in vivo. These data establish that the PPKs are directly involved in catalysing the photoactivated-phy-induced phosphorylation of PIF3 in vivo, and thereby are critical components of a transcriptionally centred signalling hub that pleiotropically regulates plant growth and development in response to multiple signalling pathways.
Plants constantly monitor ambient light conditions to adjust their growth and development for optimal photosynthesis and survival. The red and far-red wavelength information is perceived by the phytochrome (phy) family of sensory photoreceptors (phyA-E in Arabidopsis).

Phytochromes (phys) synthesized in seedlings germinated in darkness are mainly localized in the cytoplasm in the inactive Pr conformer. Upon red-light irradiation, the photoreceptors are converted to the active Pf conformation, and rapidly translocate into the nucleus, where they interact directly with a subfamily of basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors, termed PIFs (Phytochrome-Interacting Factors; PIF1–8 (refs 2,3)). This interaction induces phosphorylation, ubiquitination and degradation of the PIFs4–10, thereby regulating the expression of a large number of PIF target genes within minutes11–14. Although over the years there have been reports of autophosphorylation associated with biochemically isolated plant phy preparations15, and very recently of transphosphorylation activity towards PIF3, localized in the photosensory domain of recombinant oat phyA16, it has remained unclear whether these unusual activities are responsible for the multisite phosphorylation pattern that is necessary in vivo to trigger the normal signalling process16. In fact, the reported in vitro phy-stimulated phosphorylation of PIF3 (ref. 16) did not show the strong mobility shift on gel electrophoresis that is emblematic of normal light-induced phosphorylation in vivo4,9, indicating that other kinase(s) are necessary to induce multisite phosphorylation of PIF3 in the light.

Here, using mass spectrometry, we have identified a small family of protein kinases, designated photoregulatory protein kinases (PPKs) that rapidly associate with PIF3 and phyB in response to light-induced, intracellular phy activation in young Arabidopsis seedlings. In vivo and in vitro functional analysis of these kinases indicates that they facilitate photoactivated-phyB-induced, multisite phosphorylation and degradation of PIF3 in the nucleus.

Results

In vivo light induces a phyB-PIF3-PPK1 trimolecular complex. Previously we used co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) and mass spectrometric methods to identify proteins that interact with PIF3 in a light-dependent manner in vivo in Arabidopsis, and found components of an LRBCullin3 E3 ligase complex, as well as all members of the phy family17. In addition, we also identified a small family of Casein Kinase 1-like proteins as red-light-specific, PIF3-interacting proteins in three biological replicates (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Fig. 1). We have named these proteins PPks, PPK1, PPK2, PPK3 and PPK4, which are encoded by the At3g13670, At5g18190, At3g03940 and At2g25760 loci, respectively. These kinases were previously named MUT9-LIKE KINASEs (MLKs; MLK4, MLK1, MLK2 and MLK3, respectively) and were shown to be nuclear proteins regulating hypocotyl elongation in red light18,19. However, the signalling mechanism involved in this regulation was not determined. We propose the PPK nomenclature to reflect their central biological and biochemical functions in photosensory perception and signal transduction.

The light-dependent interaction of PPK1 with PIF3 was further confirmed here by co-IP assays using transgenic lines expressing both PIF3:MYC and PPK1:CFP. These assays involved performing co-IPs from seedling extracts to test for interactions pre-induced by light in the cell (defined here for convenience as ‘in vivo co-IP’s). The data show significantly higher levels of both PIF3:MYC and endogenous phyB co-precipitating from red-light-pulse (Rp)-treated than dark (Dk)-control seedling extracts when using PPK1:CFP as bait (Fig. 1b). Moreover, interestingly, there is evidence of preferential binding of PPK1 to the mobility-shifted, phosphorylated species of PIF3 in the Rp light-stimulated samples. These data indicate that photoactivated phyB induces formation of a phyB-PIF3-PPK1 trimolecular complex in vivo that appears to facilitate the phosphorylation of PIF3.

Interaction between PPK1 and PIF3 was also detected in yeast two-hybrid assays and in transiently transfected plant cells using a Bimolecular Fluorescence Complementation (BiFC) (or split mVenus) assay (Fig. 1c,d). The BiFC data show that PPK1 and PIF3 interact in the plant cell nucleus (Fig. 1d and Supplementary Fig. 2a). Analysis of the subnuclear dynamics of a PPK1:CFP fusion protein in response to a short red-light exposure is suggestive of partial translocation to subnuclear foci (Supplementary Fig. 2b), reminiscent of the light-induced co-localization of phy and PIF proteins in nuclear ‘speckles’ or ‘photobodies’4,9,10. However, we have previously shown that normal phosphorylation of PIF3 is not necessary for its translocation into photobodies4, so it may not be unexpected that the PPKs do not obligatorily co-translocate with PIF3 and phyB into these foci. Because of the constraints imposed by the BiFC assay, requiring exposure of the cells to light during manipulations to capture the fluorescence images, we were unable to unambiguously assess whether the observed PPK1–PIF3 interaction per se was light-dependent or not. This question requires more refined analysis with this technology. Both PPK1 and PIF3 are expressed globally throughout the seedling (Supplementary Fig. 2c), in parallel to phyB22, providing the potential for interaction in essentially all tissues.

In vitro co-IP assays using PPK1:MYC synthesized in HeLa cell extracts as bait were performed to further investigate the nature of the PPK1–PIF3 interaction. We examined PPK1 binding affinity for wild-type PIF3, as well as phospho-mimic (D6) and phospho-dead (A6) PIF3 mutant variants, carrying either S-to-D or S-to-A substitutions, respectively, in the five most strongly light-induced phosphosites (six residues) previously identified in vivo4. The results show that all PIF3 variants bind PPK1 with similarly high affinity (Fig. 1e), suggesting that PPK1 directly interacts with PIF3 and that light-induced PIF3 phosphorylation is not required for this interaction. The apparent preferential binding of PPK1 to phosphorylated PIF3 species in vivo could reflect photoactivated-phyB stabilization of the PPK1–PIF3 interaction during active phosphorylation. Direct interaction between PPK1 and PIF3 was also demonstrated by in vitro co-IP assays using PPK1 as prey (Supplementary Fig. 3a), and additional assays indicate that PPK2, PPK3 and PPK4 also bind to PIF3 with affinities similar to PPK1 (Supplementary Fig. 3b). This result is consistent with potential functional redundancy among the PPKs in catalysing PIF3 phosphorylation.

Both the N-terminal kinase domain and C-terminal domain of the PPKs are highly conserved19. However, the full-length PPK1 and N-terminal kinase domain (PPK1N) show similar PIF3 binding affinity (Supplementary Fig. 3c), suggesting that the kinase domain is the major determinant of binding affinity with PIF3 in vitro.

PPK1 displays relatively weak affinity for phyB in vitro, with no phy-conformer preference (Fig. 1f). This result is in contrast to the well-established, robust preferential binding of PIF3 to the light-activated Pf conformer of phyB, confirmed here, as a positive control, in parallel with the same phyB samples (Fig. 1f). Further co-IP assays confirmed the formation of a phyB-PIF3-PPK1 trimolecular complex in vitro, but did not detect any phyB-Pf enhanced binding of PPK1 to PIF3 under these conditions (Supplementary Fig. 3d). These results could suggest that other molecular component(s) may be involved in the light-regulated interaction of PPK1 and PIF3 in vivo, or that...
**Figure 1 | In vivo light promotes the interaction of PPKs with PIF3 and phyB.** (a) PIF3-interacting proteins detected by co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) from cell extracts and subsequent mass spectrometric analysis. Spectral counts from three biological replicates of dark (Dk)-grown and red-light-pulse (Rp)-treated seedlings, respectively. (b) In vivo light-induced interaction of PPK1 with PIF3 and phyB detected by co-IP and subsequent immunoblot analysis. Protein extracts from Dk- or Rp-treated seedlings of the indicated genotypes were immunoprecipitated with anti-GFP antibodies, to pull down CFP-tagged PPK1 as bait, and the immunoblot was probed with either anti-MYC antibody (top, Prey), anti-phyB antibody (middle, Prey) or anti-GFP antibody (bottom, Bait) to detect PIF3:MYC, phyB and PPK1:CFP, respectively. (c) PIF3 and PPK1 interact in yeast-2-hybrid (Y2H) assays. LexA-DNA-binding-domain-fused PPK1 or GFP were used as bait, and B42 activation-domain-fused PIF3 or empty vector were used as prey in a standard Y2H configuration. Error bars represent standard error (s.e.) from three biological replicates. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 (Student’s t-test). (d) PIF3 and PPK1 interact in transient-transfection, Bimolecular Fluorescence Complementation (BiFC) assays. Light-grown *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves were transfected with the constructs indicated and then exposed to 10 min FR light and incubated in darkness for 72 h before microscopic analysis. Constructs used: YFP:PPK1, yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) fused to PPK1 protein; N-YFP and C-YFP, N- and C-terminal domains of split mVenus210 fluorescent protein, respectively, fused (or not) to PIF3 or PPK1 proteins. All split-Venus constructs also carried the mTo2 Golgi-localized marker as a positive control for transfection. Imaging configuration: Bright field, YFP emission filter; CFP (Cyan Fluorescent Protein) emission filter. Quantification of nuclei displaying split-Venus fluorescence shown in Supplementary Fig. 2a. Scale bar, 5 μm. (e) Interaction of in vitro-synthesized recombinant PPK1 and PIF3 proteins detected by co-IP assays as described in b, except that the PPK1 bait was tagged with MYC (PPK1:MYC), and immunoprecipitated with anti-MYC antibodies, and the PIF3 prey was tagged with HIS (PIF3:HIS). YFP:MYC bait was used as a negative control. The immunoblot was probed with either anti-HIS antibody (top, Prey) or anti-MYC antibody (bottom, Bait). WT, wild-type PIF3 sequence; A6, PIF3 variant with phospho-dead mutations; D6, PIF3 variant with phosphomimic mutations. (f) In vitro-synthesized, recombinant PPK1 and phyB interact as detected by co-IP assays as described in b, except that the PPK1 bait was tagged with MYC (PPK1:MYC) and immunoprecipitated with anti-MYC antibodies, and the phyB prey was tagged with FLAG (phyB:FLAG). PIF3:MYC was used as a positive-control bait for light-induced phyB activation and YFP:MYC as a negative control. The immunoblot was probed with either anti-FLAG antibody (top and middle, Pr) or anti-MYC antibody (bottom, Bait). Pfr and Pr samples were irradiated with R light only, or R followed by FR, respectively, before immunoprecipitation.
that reduces phyB levels in the light\textsuperscript{4,17}. Consistent with reduced phosphorylation, thereby providing a negative-feedback attenuation mechanism of PIF3 from its DNA binding sites. We have not attempted to define which of these, or other possible mechanisms (including the apparent trans-cellular phyB signalling recently reported\textsuperscript{23}), might underlie this phenomenon, and so the question remains unresolved. The two other triple-mutant combinations, ppk124 and ppk134, display similar molecular and visible phenotypes to ppk123 (Fig. 3d,e). The red-light hypersensitive phenotype of the ppk123 triple mutant is strongly suppressed in the phyB mutant (Fig. 3b), consistent with the conclusion that the hypersensitive phenotype of the ppk123 triple mutant is mainly due to reduced phyB degradation in the light. Furthermore, both the reduced light-induced phyB degradation and hypersensitive phenotype of the ppk124 mutant can be complemented by transgenic expression of PPKI (Fig. 3d,e). Similarly, the reduced light-induced PIF3 phosphorylation and degradation of the amiR-PPK1234 quadruple-knockdown mutant (Fig. 2c) is accompanied by reduced phyB degradation and a hypersensitive phenotype in red light (Fig. 3f,g).

These data strongly suggest that these four PPK genes are collectively necessary for normal light-induced PIF3 phosphorylation, and consequently PIF3 and phyB degradation. This proposed functional role is consistent with the parallel global spatial gene expression patterns of PPKI, PIF3 and PHYB (Supplementary Fig. 2 and ref. 22) noted above. These data suggest a mechanistic basis for the recently reported involvement of the PPKs in red-light regulated hypocotyl elongation\textsuperscript{18}. Conceptually, these results indicate that the suppressive activity of high phyB levels overrides the antagonistic promotive activity of higher PIF3 levels on hypocotyl growth, as was the case for the lrb mutants\textsuperscript{17}. We suggest that this effect could result from either phyB activity independent of PIF3, from reduced intrinsic activity of PIF3, or from phyB-induced dissociation of PIF3 from its DNA binding sites. We have not attempted to define which of these, or other possible mechanisms (including the apparent trans-cellular phyB signalling recently reported\textsuperscript{23}), might underlie this phenomenon, and so the question remains unresolved.

Interestingly, the ppk123 mutant responds like wild type to continuous, prolonged far-red (FRC) light (Supplementary Fig. 6), indicating the absence of a function of these PPKs in

Figure 2 | PPKs are collectively necessary for light-induced PIF3 phosphorylation and degradation in vivo. Both light-induced phosphorylation and degradation of endogenous PIF3 are reduced in higher order ppk mutants. (a) Rapid mobility shift (phosphorylation) and (b) subsequent degradation in ppk1ppk2ppk3 (ppk123) and ppk1ppk2ppk4 (ppk124) triple mutants compared to wild-type (Col) in response to light. (c) Phosphorylation and degradation in artificial microRNA PPK1PPK2PPK3PPK4 (amiR-PPK1234) quadruple-knockdown mutant. Dark-grown (Dk) seedlings were irradiated with red light for 10 min (a, Rp), or the period indicated (b,c) before protein extraction and immunoblot analysis using anti-PIF3 antibodies. PIF3-P: phosphorylated PIF3; NS: nonspecific bands.

Light-induced PIF3 phosphorylation in vivo requires PPKs. To examine the functional activity of the PPKs in light-induced PIF3 phosphorylation and degradation in the cell, single T-DNA insertion mutants were obtained for all four PPK genes (Supplementary Fig. 4). Double and triple ppk mutants were then generated by crossing the single mutants. However, we could not recover a quadruple ppk mutant, indicating that the PPKs are collectively essential for development. Both the ppk1 single and ppk2ppk3ppk4 (ppk234) triple mutants have normal PIF3 protein levels in dark-grown seedlings, and a relatively normal light-induced mobility-shift (phosphorylation) and degradation of PIF3 (Supplementary Fig. 5a), consistent with the relatively normal phenotype of these mutants for either dark- or red light-grown seedlings (Supplementary Fig. 5b). By contrast, both the ppk1ppk2ppk3 (ppk123) and the ppk1ppk2ppk4 (ppk124) triple mutants show a strongly lower light-induced, endogenous-PIF3 mobility shift (phosphorylation) and degradation (Fig. 2a,b), suggesting that these four PPKs are collectively necessary for the light-induced PIF3 phosphorylation and degradation. A collective function for all four PPKs is further strongly indicated by the robust reduction in PIF3 phosphorylation and degradation rate in the artificial microRNA PPK1PPK2PPK3PPK4 (amiR-PPK1234) quadruple-knockdown mutant compared to Col WT in the light (Fig. 2c).

We showed previously that light-induced multisite PIF3 phosphorylation promotes concurrent degradation of PIF3 and phyB through concurrent ubiquitination by LRB E3 ligases, thereby providing a negative-feedback attenuation mechanism that reduces phyB levels in the light\textsuperscript{4,17}. Consistent with reduced light-induced PIF3 phosphorylation and degradation in the ppk123 triple mutant, light-induced phyB degradation is also strongly reduced in the mutant (Fig. 3a). Similar to the lrb123 triple mutant, the ppk123 triple mutant is hypersensitive to red light, consistent with the higher levels of phyB in both these genotypes under prolonged red light (Fig. 3b,c)\textsuperscript{17}. The two other triple-mutant combinations, ppk124 and ppk134, display similar molecular and visible phenotypes to ppk123 (Fig. 3d,e). The red-light hypersensitive phenotype of the ppk123 triple mutant is strongly suppressed in the phyB mutant (Fig. 3b), consistent with the conclusion that the hypersensitive phenotype of the ppk123 triple mutant is mainly due to reduced phyB degradation in the light. Furthermore, both the reduced light-induced phyB degradation and hypersensitive phenotype of the ppk124 mutant can be complemented by transgenic expression of PPKI (Fig. 3d,e). Similarly, the reduced light-induced PIF3 phosphorylation and degradation of the amiR-PPK1234 quadruple-knockdown mutant (Fig. 2c) is accompanied by reduced phyB degradation and a hypersensitive phenotype in red light (Fig. 3f,g).
phyA-regulated hypocotyl elongation under FR-high-irradiance (FR-HIR) conditions. This result is consistent with the previously reported absence of a detectable role of PIF3 in FRC-regulated hypocotyl elongation. The possibility of kinases in addition to the PPKs acting in phyB-induced PIF3 phosphorylation noted above might extend to different kinases mediating phyA- and phyB-induced PIF phosphorylation.

PPK1 phosphorylates PIF3 in vitro mimicking in vivo pattern. To examine whether PPK1 can directly catalyse phosphorylation of PIF3, we performed in vitro kinase assays using affinity-purified recombinant PPK1 and PIF3. The data show that PPK1 induces a strong mobility shift in wild-type PIF3, but not the PIF3 A20 variant, with S/T to A mutations in the majority of the in vivo, light-induced phosphosites of PIF3 (ref. 4) (Fig. 4a, Supplementary Fig. 7a,b), and that this mobility-shift represents robust PPK1-catalysed transphosphorylation of the PIF3 protein, absent from the kinase-dead mutant-variant mPPK1 (Fig. 4b). High-resolution, high-accuracy mass-spectrometric analysis of this in vitro PPK1-phosphorylated PIF3 identified about 20 phosphorylation sites, including 13 of the 16 light-induced phosphosites that were previously identified in vivo analysis (Fig. 4c). Moreover, the pattern of phosphopeptide signals for these in vitro sites is generally consistent with that across the light-induced sites obtained from the in vivo assay (Fig. 4d,e). Conversely, in addition, among the five constitutive, non-light-induced PIF3 phosphosites identified in dark-grown seedlings in our previous in vivo assay, only one (S323) was identified in the present in vitro assay as phosphorylated, and the phospho-peptide signals for this, and most of the newly identified sites, are relatively low (Fig. 4d,e). Quantitative mass spectrometric analysis using a Parallel Reaction Monitoring (PRM) method further demonstrates that these 13 light-induced sites were phosphorylated by wild type, but not kinase-dead-mutant, PPK1 in vitro (Supplementary Fig. 7c–m). Collectively, those data indicate that the light-induced in vivo phosphorylation sites in PIF3 are the primary target sites of PPK1 in vitro.

The specificity of the in vitro phosphorylation of PIF3 by PPK1 was further examined by comparing it with the evolutionarily-related rat Casein Kinase 1d (CK1d). The data show that unlike
Figure 4 | PPK1 phosphorylates PIF3 at light-inducible phosphosites in vitro. (a) PPK1 induces a strong mobility shift in PIF3 in an in vitro kinase assay. PPK1 and MYC-tagged PIF3 variants, affinity-purified after expression in E. coli, were combined under protein-kinase-assay conditions and examined for an induced, potentially phosphorylation-related, mobility-shift in PIF3 by immunoblot blot analysis using anti-MYC antibody. mPPK1: kinase-dead PPK1 and MYC-tagged PIF3 variants, affinity-purified after expression in E. coli. (b) PPK1 phosphorylates PIF3 in vitro. Phosphoproteins from the indicated in vitro protein-kinase-assay combinations were detected by western blot using pIMAGO-biotin. (c,d) Mass-spectrometric analysis of in vitro, PPK1-catalysed phosphoproteins in PIF3 compared to those sites established as rapidly induced (c), or unaffected (d), by red light (Rp) in vivo. d (left), constitutively phosphorylated, non-light-induced in vivo, (right) not detectably phosphorylated in dark or light in vivo, PPK1-induced in vitro. Phosphopeptide Signal (%) corresponds to the percent of the residues at each site that are phosphorylated in Dk-grown or Rp-treated seedlings, or in PPK1-treated PIF3 in vitro. D6, strongly light-induced sites in vivo. Data are the means of biological repeats ± s.e. (e) Schematic depiction of PIF3 phosphosites. Red bars represent phosphosites that are both light-induced in vivo and catalysed by PPK1 in vitro. Black bars represent sites that are constitutively phosphorylated in vivo, but not detectably phosphorylated by PPK1 in vitro, except S323. Green bars represent newly identified phosphosites catalysed by PPK1 in vitro. APB, active phyB-binding domain; APA, active phyA-binding domain; bHLH, basic helix-loop-helix domain.

PPK1, CK1d does not induce a strong mobility shift in PIF3 (Fig. 5a). Similarly, the patterns of protein-band phosphorylation catalysed by CK1d and PPK1 in the PIF3 preparations are different, indicating that PIF3 phosphorylation by CK1d is qualitatively different and quantitatively less than that by PPK1 (Fig. 5b). Conversely, although PPK1 can weakly phosphorylate casein in vitro, the level is much lower than for CK1d and qualitatively different (Fig. 5c).

Although we did not detect any light-induced interaction of phyB-Pfr with PPK1 (Fig. 1f), or phyB-enhanced interaction of PIF3 with PPK1 (Supplementary Fig. 3d), in vitro, the possibility remained that light-activated phyB might enhance PPK1-catalysed phosphorylation of PIF3 in some way. However, we observed no phyB-Pfr-specific enhancement of the PPK1-induced mobility-shift of PIF3, under our in vitro kinase-assay conditions (Fig. 6a), despite verification that the PIF3 used in this assay bound preferentially and robustly to the phyB-Pfr conformer, as expected (Fig. 6b). Nor did we observe any mobility shift or pIMAGO-detectable phosphorylation of PIF3 in the presence of phyB-Pfr alone, without PPK1 (Fig. 6a,c,e). The limit of detection of PPK1 enzymatic activity is at a 50-fold lower protein concentration than phyB (Fig. 6d,e), indicating that PPK1 has a 50-fold higher molar capacity to phosphorylate PIF3 than any potential kinase activity intrinsic to phyB. Thus, we did not see any evidence for the recent proposal that intrinsic kinase activity in the phy molecule itself might provide ‘priming’ phosphorylation of PIF3 that promotes multisite phosphorylation by other kinase(s). However, we did observe a 120-kD, conspicuously-phosphorylated, PIF3 band in the presence of both phyB and PPK1, that was absent from reactions containing PPK1 and PIF3 only (Fig. 6a,c). This band does not appear to represent PPK1-catalysed phosphorylation of phyB itself, because no such band was detected in reactions containing phyB and PPK1 only (Fig. 6c). The observation that the intensity of the phosphorylated band is equivalent in the presence of the Pr and Pfr forms of phyB indicates that the phyB molecule can partially enhance PPK1 kinase activity towards PIF3, in a
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Figure 5 | Specificity of in vitro phosphorylation of PIF3 by PPK1.
(a) Unlike PPK1, CK1 does not induce a strong phosphorylation-related
mobility-shift in PIF3 in vitro. PIF3 from the in vitro kinase assays as
indicated was detected by immunoblot using a MYC antibody. CK1: rat
Casein Kinase 1d. (b) PIF3 phosphorylation by CK1 is qualitatively different
and quantitatively less than that by PPK1. Phosphoproteins from the
indicated in vitro kinase assays were detected by immunoblot using
pIMAGO-biotin. Signals from the kinase-only tracks indicate auto-
phosphorylation activity of PPK1. A dilution (30%) of the proteins in the
third lane was loaded in the first lane. Bottom panel shows the coomassie-
stained membrane. Lower bands are presumptive PIF3 degradation
products. (c) Casein phosphorylation by CK1 is greater than, and
qualitatively different to, that by PPK1. Phospho-Casein from the indicated
in vitro kinase assays was detected by immunoblot using pIMAGO-biotin.

Discussion
The mechanism by which photoactivated phytochrome transfers
its signalling information to its signalling partner(s) in plant
cells has been of central interest for decades, including
the popular proposal that the phy molecule itself functions
as a photoactivated S/T protein kinase, evolutionarily descended
from related prokaryotic His-kinase progenitors
. The discovery that the Pfr-specific binding of the phy molecule to
the PIFs induces rapid phosphorylation of the transcription
factors in the nucleus was consistent with this possibility
. Investigating the alternative possibility of involvement of
a third-party kinase, Bu et al. reported that Arabidopsis CK2
can phosphorylate PIF1 at multiple sites in vitro, and
that mutation of these sites reduces the rate of light-induced
PIF1 degradation in vivo. However, because these mutations did
not alter the extent of light-induced phosphorylation in vivo,
and loss of function ck2 mutants enabling examination of the
necessity of the CK2 family for PIF1 phosphorylation were
not available, the question of the linkage of this catalytic activity
to normal phy-PIF1 signalling has remained open. Our present
data indicate that the PPKs are collectively necessary for
light-induced, intracellular phosphorylation of PIF3, and that
PPK1 has a catalytic capacity and phosphosite specificity that is
very similar to the kinase(s) responsible for this in vivo
phosphorylation. We propose a mechanistic model, therefore
(Fig. 7), whereby light-activated phyB migrates into the nucleus
and binds to PIF3, followed by recruitment or activation of the
PPKs, which then catalyse the multisite transphosphorylation
of PIF3, that is recognised by the LRB E3 ligases, leading to
concurrent ubiquitination and degradation of both PIF3
and phyB
. The possibility that the absence of phyB-Pfr-
enhanced PPK1 activity towards PIF3 in our in vitro kinase assays
results from the absence of an additional factor(s) that is
necessary for the light-stimulated phosphorylation process
in vivo, is represented by X in Fig. 7. We see no evidence that
this role is filled by kinase activity intrinsic to the phyB molecule
itself towards PIF3 under our conditions, as was recently
reported. Based on these and previous negative findings,
together with sequence evidence that the plant phys have
evolved from prokaryotic progenitor His-kinases, we propose
that phyB functions as a pseudokinase facilitating PIF3
phosphorylation by the PPKs.

In the broader context, there is emerging evidence that
the PPKs may function in multiple related signalling pathways.
Notably, it has been reported that the PPKs (MLKs) are recruited
to the circadian-related evening complex in green seedlings,
in a photoactivated-phyB-requiring manner
. In addition,
these kinases have been shown to regulate the activity of the
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Figure 6 | phyB stimulates PPK1-catalysed PIF3-phosphorylation in a non-light-dependent manner in vivo but does not detectably autonomously phosphorylate PIF3. 
(a) Mobility-shift (upper panel) and pIMAGO (lower panel) assays detect differential PPK1-catalysed phosphorylation of PIF3 in vitro. 
In vitro protein kinase reactions containing the protein combinations indicated were performed, followed by detection of the PIF3:MYC fusion protein by immunoblot, using anti-MYC antibodies (upper panel), and detection of phosphoproteins using pIMAGO-biotin on immunoblots (lower panel). Pr or Pfr indicate inclusion of the inactive or active conformer of phyB, respectively. 
Replicates indicate biological replicates of the kinase assays. (b) The functional activity of phyB-Pfr was verified using an established in vitro pull-down assay with PIF3 as bait. The immunoblot was probed with an MYC (for PIF3 bait) or 
FLAG (for phyB prey) antibody. 
(c) Detection of PPK1-catalysed PIF3, and potential phyB, phosphorylation in vitro using mobility-shift (upper panel) and 
pIMAGO (lower panel) assays as in a. (d) Comassie staining of phyB and PPK1 proteins used in the kinase assays in a. (e) PPK1 kinase activity is at least 50-fold greater than any potential intrinsic phyB kinase activity. Phosphoproteins from the in vitro kinase reactions indicated were detected by immunoblot using pIMAGO-biotin. Numbers refer to the fold-dilutions of the PIF3+PPK1 in vitro kinase reaction shown in a compared to the undiluted PIF3+Pfr reaction.

Methods
Statistics. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample size. The experiments were not randomized, and investigators were not blinded to allocation during experiments and outcome assessment.

Plant materials and growth conditions. Three T-DNA insertion SALK lines
(PPK2 (At5g18190); SALK_026482; PPK3 (At1g30390); SALK_000758; PPK4
(At2g25760); SALK_017302) were obtained from ABRC. The remaining T-DNA insertion GABI-Kat line (PPK1 (At3g13670); GABI_756G08) was obtained from NASC. The higher order mutant combinations were generated by crossing these lines.

The artificial microRNA (amiRNA) line targeting all four
PPKs is a gift from Dr Chentao Lin.

The 35S::PIF3::MYC (ref. 4), pPIF3::GUS (ref. 13) and 35SBZR1::GFP (ref. 35)
transgenic lines were as previously described. The 35S::PPK1::CFP transgenic lines
were generated as follows: a PPK1 cDNA was subcloned into PCR8/GW/TOPO TA
cloning vector (Invitrogen) using primers specified in Supplementary Table 1, then
transferred into a binary vector (pEarlygate 102) containing a 3SS promoter and
a GFP fusion at the C-terminus. The resulting 3SS::PPK1::CFP binary vector
was transferred into a binary vector (pEarlygate 102) containing a 3SS promoter, TOPO TA cloning vector, then
transferred into a gateway binary vector pGWB3 containing a GUS reporter gene. Finally
the binary vector was transformed into Col WT Arabidopsis.

Seeds were stratified for 5 days at 4 °C in darkness, and induced to germinate
with 3 h white light before exposure to a terminal 5-min FR (60 μmol m−2 s−1)
on growth medium as reported36. For immunoprecipitation (IP) and immunoblot
analysis, seedlings were grown in darkness for 2.5–3 days at 21 °C, then either
kept in the dark, or given a saturating 30 s pulse of red (R) light, followed by
dark incubation for a total of 10 min (Rp), or the time indicated. For seedling
phenotypes, seedlings were grown either in darkness, continuous red light
(6 μmol m−2 s−1) or continuous far-red (3 μmol m−2 s−1 or as indicated) for
4 days at 21 °C. Measurements were done with at least 30 seedlings with three
biological repeats.

blue-light photoreceptor CRY2 (ref. 34). Thus, the PPKs appear to have evolved with the potential to function in coordinating the activities of the circadian, red-light and blue-light photosensory pathways in plants through participation as components of dynamically changing multimolecular complexes.
Mass spectrometric analysis of PIF3-interacting proteins. Immunopurification of the YFP-PIF3-507 fusion protein, and mass spectrometric analyses, were performed catalytically loop of the PPK protein domain. The degraded peptides were purified by LTQ-Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) coupled with a Nanoacquity UPLC (Waters). Three biological repeats of IP-MS were performed and spectral counts of both unique and shared peptides from each protein identified in the samples were recorded.

Co-immunoprecipitation from seedling extracts. For co-IP using PPK1:CFP as bait, collected tissues were ground in liquid nitrogen. Proteins were then extracted into MOPS buffer (100 mM MOPS, pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% NP40, 1% Triton X-100, 20 mM Iodoacetamide, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 2 μg/ml aprotinin, 5 μg/ml Leupeptin, 1 μg/ml pepstatin, 2 × Complete protease inhibitor Cocktail and PhosStop cocktail from Roche), centrifuged and filtered through two layers of cotton. For purification of protein A agarose beads, and then incubated with in-house rabbit anti-GFP antibodies for 1 h at 4°C. PPK1:CFP fusion-proteins were then captured with protein A agarose beads for another hour at 4°C, washed five times with IP buffer, transferred into a new tube before elution with boiling SDS sample buffer. The co-IP experiment was repeated three times.

In vitro co-IP assays. In vitro co-IP assays were performed using proteins expressed in Hela cell lysates. cDNAs were amplified using the primers listed in Supplementary Table 1. Full-length or N-terminal PPK1 cDNA (encoding the kinase domain) was first cloned into pCR8/GW/TOPO vector, then transferred into an in vitro expression vector pT7CEFE1 (Pierce) with a C-terminal HA, MYC or FLAG tag. Full-length PPK2, PPK3 and PPK4 cDNAs were cloned into the T7CEF (MYC vector downstream of the T7 promoter) and T7CEFE1 (ha-ha Cloning Plus according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Clontech Catalogue No: 638909). The phbf and various PIF3 vectors were as described. Proteins were synthesized using the 1-step human coupled IVT kit-dNA (Pierce). Bait and prey proteins were either co-expressed, or mixed for 1 h at room temperature after expression, then diluted in PBS buffer (1 × PBS, 1 × protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), 0.1% NP-40) and preclarified with beads. The bait proteins were captured using anti-MYC antibodies (Abcam 9132) and protein G beads (Millipore). The IP products were then washed three times with PBS buffer, transferred into a new tube before elution with boiling SDS sample buffer. All in vitro co-IP assays were repeated at least twice.

Immunoblots and quantification. Immunoblots and quantification of bands were performed as described. Briefly, proteins were separated by SDSPAGE, and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. For immunoblot analysis of in vitro co-IP assays, horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated antibody against HIS tag (GenScript The HIS-HRP, Cat. No. A00612, 0.1 μg ml−1), MYC tag (Cell Signaling 9B11 Cat. No. 2040S, 1:4,000 dilution), HA tag (Roche 3E10, Cat. No. 12038138A130, 1:5,000 dilution), GM2 (SIGMA M2029) and Casein (Sigma A5859, 2:000 dilution) were used to detect the IP products. For co-IP assays from seedling extracts, mouse monoclonal antibodies against the MYC tag (Cell Signaling 9B11, Cat. No. 2276, 1:5,000 dilution), GFP (Clontech, IL-8, Cat. No. 632381, 1:800 dilution) or phbf (B1) were used for immunodetection. Rabbit polyclonal antibody against BSA (Promega), and an ECL prime chemiluminescence kit (Amersham) was used to detect the IP products. Antibodies (Abcam 9132) and protein G beads (Millipore). The IP products were then washed three times with PBS buffer, transferred into a new tube before elution with boiling SDS sample buffer. All in vitro CO-IP assays were repeated at least twice.

Quantification of phosphorylation using mass spectrometry. For mass spectrometric analysis of PIF3 phosphorylation, following in vitro kinase reaction using wild-type and mutant PPK1, in-solution Trypsin or AspN digestion (enzyme to substrate ratio at 1:20) was carried out overnight after reduction and alkylation. Peptides were cleaned by ziptip. Data were first acquired in the Data Dependent Acquisition (DDA) mode and searched against the TAIR database (http://www.arabidopsis.org/) from December 2010, concatenated with sequence randomized version of each protein (a total of 35,386 entries) using Protein Prospector with parameters the same as described. PRM acquisition using a 4 min window was scheduled with an orbitrap resolution at 60,000 (at m/z = 200), AGC value 2× and maximum fill time of 100 ms. The isolation window for each precursor was set at 1.2 m/z unit. Both DDA and PRM data were acquired o n a high resolution and accurate mass quadrupole-Orbitrap Q Exactive HF mass spectrometer coupled with Dionex UPLC (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with the same LC gradient, analytical column and normalized collision energy at 27. Quantification was carried out at both the MS1 and MS2 levels. For MS1, an extracted ion chromatogram of each peptide was generated using a 10 ppm window corresponding to the monoisotopic peak and the intensity was used for ratio calculation. PRM data, which provides high-precision targeted quantification, were processed by skyline.

Histochemical GUS staining. Histochemical GUS staining assays were performed on 2-day-old seedlings as described using a modified substrate buffer (1 × PBS (pH 7.0), 1 mM K3Fe(III)(CN)6, 0.5 mM K4Fe(II)(CN)6, 1 mM EDTA, 200 mM NaCl, 0.02% Triton X-100, 0.1 mg ml−1 X-gluc). Data from biological replicates of two independent transgenic lines were collected, and representative images are shown for each transgene.

Year2-hybrid analysis. A full-length PPK1 cDNA in an entry vector (G11831 from ABRC) was cloned into a pEG202 vector to generate the lexA BD:PPK1 construct. A full-length PIF3 entry vector was cloned into a JA45 gateway vector to generate the B42AD:PIF3 construct. The BD-PIF3 and BD-GFP constructs were described in reference. All constructs were transferred into yeast stain EGY48. Yeast-two hybrid interaction assays were performed using a standard liquid ONPG assay as described in Clontech Yeast Protocols Handbook.

BIFC transient transfection assays in Nicotiana leaves. Full-length PPK1 cDNA in a gateway entry vector (G11831 from ABRC) was cloned into the pEarleyGate 104 vector to generate the 35S:PPK1 vector. For BIFC assays, the system described in ref. 38 was used. This system employs an optimized single vector BiFC construct, which utilizes monomeric Venus (mVenus) split at residue 210, and contains an integrated mTurquoise2 (mTq2) marker to precisely identify transformed cells in order to distinguish true split-Venus negatives. Full-length PIF3 and PPK1 cDNAs were amplified using primers specified in Supplementary Table 1 and cloned into pDOE5 for PIF3–PIF3 interactions, and into pDOE8 for PIF3–PPK1 interactions. Plasmids were transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens GV3101. Nicotiana benthamiana plants were grown in long day conditions (16h white light (120 μmol m−2 s−1) at dark) at 22°C. Agroinfiltration was performed as described in ref. 39, using a final OD600 of 0.1 for each culture. For each infiltration, plants were given a 10 min FRP (235 μmol m−2 s−1) and kept in the dark. Infiltrated
Nicotiana leaves were tested 3 days post-inoculation. The abaxial epidermis of test leaves was peeled, and images were taken using a Leica DM4000 B microscope fitted with a VFP filter. At least five leaves from different plants were examined for each construct. For signal quantification, samples were examined with a $\times 40$ objective and nuclei with a fluorescent signal were counted in five microscopic fields per construct. The number of nuclei displaying fluorescence in each field was expressed as a percentage of the total number of nuclei visible in that field (Supplementary Fig. 2a).

Epifluorescence analysis of PPK1:CFP. Epifluorescence microscopy analysis of PPK1:CFP in the hypocotyl cell of a PPK1:CFP (ppk124) transgenic line were performed by using an Axioskop 200 microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochem, Germany) with excitation and detection of the CFP fluorophore. Images were recorded with a digital Axiocam camera (Zeiss) and processed for optimal presentation using the Adobe Photoshop software package.

Data availability. The authors declare that all data supporting the findings of this study are available within the manuscript and its supplementary files or are available from the corresponding author on request.
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