In this paper, we study fuzzy congruence relations and kernel fuzzy ideals of an Ockham algebra \((A, f)\), whose truth values are in a complete lattice satisfying the infinite meet distributive law. Some equivalent conditions are derived for a fuzzy ideal of an Ockham algebra \(A\) to become a fuzzy kernel ideal. We also obtain the smallest (respectively, the largest) fuzzy congruence on \(A\) having a given fuzzy ideal as its kernel.

1. Introduction

Zadeh [1] introduced the concept of fuzzy sets, which has been found to be very useful in diversely applied areas of science and technology. In the last two decades, several articles have been written on the application of fuzzy sets. For instance, in medical diagnosis, Kaur and Chaira [2] proposed a novel fuzzy clustering approach that enhances the quality of vague CT scan/MRI image before segmentation. In addition, many authors (e.g., [3–6]) applied the theory of fuzzy sets in decision-making. Furthermore, the theory of fuzzy sets has been conveniently and successfully applied in abstract algebra. The study of fuzzy subalgebras of various algebraic structures has been started after Rosenfeld wrote his seminal paper [7] on fuzzy subgroups. His paper has provided sufficient motivation to researchers to study fuzzy subalgebras of different algebraic structures. For instance, fuzzy ideals and fuzzy filters of MS-algebras [8–10], some generalizations of fuzzy ideals in distributive lattices [11–13], fuzzy ideals and fuzzy filters of partially ordered sets [14, 15], and fuzzy ideals of universal algebras [16–18] are some of recent works on fuzzy subalgebraic structures.

As an extension of Zadeh’s fuzzy set theory [1], Atanassov [19] introduced the intuitionistic fuzzy sets (IFS), characterized by a membership function and a nonmembership function. Further investigation has been made by other scholars to apply the theory of intuitionistic fuzzy sets in the class of BG-algebras [20], B-algebras [21], and BCK-algebras [22] as well.

A fuzzy congruence relation on general algebraic structures is a fuzzy equivalence relations which is compatible (in a fuzzy sense) with all fundamental operations of the algebra. The notions of fuzzy congruence relations were studied in various algebraic structures: in semigroups (see [23, 24]), in groups, rings, and semirings (see [25–30]), in modules and vector spaces (see [31, 32]), in lattices (see [33, 34]), in almost distributive lattices and MS-algebras (see [35, 36]), and, more generally, in universal algebras (see [37–39]).

The notion of Ockham algebras was initially introduced by Berman [40] in 1977. In simple terminology, an Ockham algebra is a bounded distributive lattice equipped with a dual endomorphism. Blyth and Silva [41] have studied and characterized kernel ideals in Ockham algebra. The purpose of this paper is to apply the theory of \(L\)-fuzzy sets in the class of Ockham algebras, where \(L\) is a complete lattice satisfying the infinite meet distributive law:

\[
a \land \sup S = \sup \{a \land x : x \in S\},
\]
for any \( a \in L \) and \( S \subseteq L \). To be specific, we study \( L \)-fuzzy congruences and \( L \)-fuzzy kernel ideals of Ockham algebras and investigate their properties. We also derive some equivalent conditions for every \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of an Ockham algebra \( A \) to become an \( L \)-fuzzy kernel ideal. We give an internal characterization for the smallest and the largest \( L \)-fuzzy congruences on \( A \) having a given \( L \)-fuzzy ideal as a kernel.

### 2. Preliminaries

This section contains some basic definitions and results which will be used the sequel.

**Definition 1** (see [42]). An Ockham algebra is an algebra \((A; \wedge, \vee, f, 0, 1)\) of type \((2, 2, 1, 0, 0)\) in which \((A; \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)\) is a bounded distributive lattice and \( x \mapsto f(x) \) is a unary operation on \( A \) such that \( f(0) = 1 \), \( f(1) = 0 \), and the following de Morgan laws hold

\[
(\forall x, y \in A) f(x \lor y) = f(x) \land f(y),
\]

\[
(\forall x, y \in A) f(x \land y) = f(x) \lor f(y).
\]

For simplicity, we denote an Ockham algebra \((A; \wedge, \vee, f, 0, 1)\) by a pair \((A, f)\).

**Definition 2** (see [42]). A congruence relation on an Ockham algebra \((A, f)\) is a lattice congruence \( \theta \) on \( A \) such that \( x, y \in A \), \((x, y) \in \theta \Rightarrow (f(x), f(y)) \in \theta \).

**Definition 3** (see [41]). By an ideal of an Ockham algebra \((A, f)\), we mean an ideal of \( A \) as a distributive lattice. Moreover, an ideal \( I \) of an Ockham algebra \( A \) is called a kernel ideal if there exists a congruence \( \theta \) on \((A, f)\) such that

\[ I = \theta(0) = \{ x \in A : (x, 0) \in \theta \}. \]

By an \( L \)-fuzzy subset \( \mu \) of a nonempty \( X \), we mean a mapping from \( X \) into \( L \). The set of all \( L \)-fuzzy subsets of \( X \) is denoted by \( L^X \).

**Definition 4** (see [43]). Let \( \mu, \sigma \in L^X \). Then, the Cartesian product of \( \mu \) and \( \sigma \), denoted by \( \mu \times \sigma \), is defined by, for all \( x, y \in X \),

\[
(\mu \times \sigma)(x, y) = \mu(x) \land \sigma(y).
\]

The union and intersection of any family \( \{ \mu_i \}_{i \in \Delta} \) of \( L \)-fuzzy subsets of \( X \), respectively, denoted by \( \bigcup_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i \) and \( \bigcap_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i \), are defined by

\[
\left( \bigcup_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i \right)(x) = \sup_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i(x),
\]

\[
\left( \bigcap_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i \right)(x) = \inf_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i(x),
\]

for all \( x \in X \), respectively.

**Definition 5** (see [44]). For any \( \mu \) and \( \sigma \) in \( L^X \), define a binary relation \( \leq \) on \( L^X \) by

\[
\mu \leq \sigma \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \mu(x) \leq \sigma(x), \text{for all } x \in X.
\]

It can be easily verified that \( \leq \) is a partial order on the set \( L^X \) of \( L \)-fuzzy subsets of \( X \) and the poset \((L^X, \leq)\) forms a complete lattice, in which, for any \( \{ \mu_i \}_{i \in \Delta} \subseteq L^X \),

\[
\sup_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i = \bigcup_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i,
\]

\[
\inf_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i = \bigcap_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i.
\]

The partial ordering \( \leq \) is called the pointwise ordering.

For \( \mu \in L^X \) and \( a \in L \), the set

\[
\mu_a = \{ x \in X : \mu(x) \geq a \},
\]

is called the \( a \)-level subset of \( \mu \), and for each \( x \in X \), we have

\[
\mu(x) = \bigvee \{ a \in L : x \in \mu_a \}.
\]

For any \( a \in L \), we write \( \bar{a} \) to denote the constant \( L \)-fuzzy subset of \( X \) which maps every element of \( X \) onto \( a \).

**Definition 6** (see [7]). Let \( f \) be a function from \( X \) into \( Y \), and let \( \mu \) be an \( L \)-fuzzy subset of \( X \). Then, the image of \( \mu \) under \( f \), denoted by \( f(\mu) \), is an \( L \)-fuzzy subset of \( Y \) given by, for all \( y \in Y \),

\[
f(\mu)(y) = \begin{cases} \sup \{ \mu(x) : x \in f^{-1}(y) \}, & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

The preimage of \( \sigma \) under \( f \), symbolized by \( f^{-1}(\sigma) \), is an \( L \)-fuzzy subset of \( X \) and

\[
f^{-1}(\sigma)(x) = \sigma(f(x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.
\]

**Definition 7** (see [33]). An \( L \)-fuzzy subset \( \mu \) of a lattice \( X \) with \( 0 \) is said to be an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of \( X \) if \( \mu(0) = 1 \) and \( \mu(a \vee b) = \mu(a) \land \mu(b) \), for all \( a, b \in X \).

Dually, an \( L \)-fuzzy subset \( \mu \) of a lattice \( X \) with \( 1 \) is said to be an \( L \)-fuzzy filter of \( X \) if \( \mu(1) = 1 \) and \( \mu(a \land b) = \mu(a) \lor \mu(b) \), for all \( a, b \in X \).

An \( L \)-fuzzy ideal (respectively, filter) \( \mu \) of \( X \) is said to be proper if it is not a constant map \( \bar{a} \). By an \( L \)-fuzzy binary relation on a nonempty set \( X \), we mean an \( L \)-fuzzy subset of \( X \times X \). For an \( L \)-fuzzy binary relation \( \Theta \) on \( X \) and each \( a \in L \), the set

\[
\Theta_a = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \Theta(x, y) \geq a \},
\]

is called the \( a \)-level binary relation of \( \Theta \) on \( X \).

**Definition 8** (see [45]). An \( L \)-fuzzy relation \( \Theta \) on a nonempty set \( X \) is said to be

1. Reflexive if \( \Theta(x, x) = 1 \), for all \( x \in X \)
2. Symmetric if \( \Theta(x, y) = \Theta(y, x) \), for all \( x, y \in X \)
(3) Transitive if, for each \( x, y \in X \), \( \Theta(x, y) \geq \Theta(x, z) \cap \Theta(z, y) \), for all \( z \in X \).

A reflexive, symmetric, and transitive \( L \)-fuzzy relation on \( X \) is called an \( L \)-fuzzy equivalence relation on \( X \).

### 3. \( L \)-Fuzzy Congruences of Ockham Algebras

In this section, we give various characterizations of an \( L \)-fuzzy congruence relation of an Ockham algebra. Throughout this section and the rest, \( A \) stands for an Ockham algebra \( (A, f) \).

**Definition 9.** An \( L \)-fuzzy equivalence relation \( \Theta \) on \( A \) is called an \( L \)-fuzzy congruence relation on \( A \) if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. \( \Theta(a \land c, b \land d) \land \Theta(a \lor c, b \lor d) \geq \Theta(a, b) \land \Theta(c, d) \)
2. \( \Theta(f(a), f(b)) \geq \Theta(a, b) \), for all \( a, b, c, d \in A \).

An \( L \)-fuzzy equivalence relation on \( A \) that satisfies condition (1) is called a lattice \( L \)-fuzzy congruence on \( A \). The following two lemmas give important characterization for \( L \)-fuzzy congruence relations in Ockham algebras.

**Lemma 1.** An \( L \)-fuzzy relation \( \Theta \) on \( A \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy congruence relation on \( A \) if and only if \( \Theta_a \) is a congruence relation on \( A \), for all \( a \in L \).

**Lemma 2.** An \( L \)-fuzzy equivalence relation \( \Theta \) on \( A \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy congruence relation on \( A \) if and only if, for any \( a, b, x, y \in A \),

\[
\Theta(f(a), f(b)) \land \Theta(a \lor x, b \lor x) \land \Theta(a \land x, b \land x) \geq \Theta(a, b).
\]

(13)

For any \( a \in L \) and \( L \)-fuzzy congruence relation \( \Theta \), define an \( L \)-fuzzy subset \( \Theta_a \) of \( A \) by

\[
\Theta_a(x) = \Theta(a, x), \quad \text{for all } x \in A.
\]

(14)

We call \( \Theta_a \) an \( L \)-fuzzy congruence class of \( \Theta \) determined by \( a \), and in particular, \( \Theta_0 \) is called the kernel of \( \Theta \). One can easily observe that kernel \( \Theta_0 \) of \( \Theta \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of \( A \).

**Lemma 3.** Let \( \Theta \) be a fuzzy congruence on \( A \). For any \( x, y \in A \), the following holds:

1. \( \Theta_x = \Theta_y \) if and only if \( \Theta(x, y) = 1 \)
2. Either \( \Theta_x = \Theta_y \) or there exists \( a \in L - \{1\} \) such that \( \Theta_x \cap \Theta_y \leq \Theta_a \).

Let us put \( A/\Theta = \{ \Theta(x): x \in A \} \) and define binary operations \( \land, \lor \) and a unary operation \( f \) on \( A/\Theta \) by

\[
\Theta(x) \land \Theta(y) = \Theta(x \land y), \quad \Theta(x) \lor \Theta(y) = \Theta(x \lor y),
\]

\[
f(\Theta(x)) = \Theta(f(x)).
\]

It is routine to verify that \( (A/\Theta, \land, \lor, f, \Theta_0, \Theta_1) \) is an Ockham algebra, and it is called the quotient Ockham algebra of \( A \) modulo \( \Theta \). For an \( L \)-fuzzy subset \( \mu \) of \( A \), we write \( \Theta_0(\mu) \) (respectively, \( \Theta_1(\mu) \)) to denote the smallest \( L \)-fuzzy congruence (respectively lattice \( L \)-fuzzy congruence) on \( A \) containing \( \mu \times \mu \). It was proved in [34] that, for any \( x, y \in A \),

\[
(\Theta_0(\mu))(x, y) = \lor \{ \mu(i): x \land i = y \lor i \}, \quad (16)
\]

whenever \( \mu \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of \( A \) and

\[
(\Theta_1(\mu))(x, y) = \lor \{ \mu(j): x \lor j = y \land j \}, \quad (17)
\]

whenever \( \mu \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy filter of \( A \).

For a given \( L \)-fuzzy ideal \( \mu \) of \( A \), we shall now investigate the smallest \( L \)-fuzzy congruence \( \Theta_0(\mu) \) of \( A \) containing \( \mu \times \mu \).

**Definition 10.** An \( L \)-fuzzy subset \( \mu \) of \( A \) is called an \( L \)-fuzzy down set (respectively, \( L \)-fuzzy up set) if, for any \( x, y \in A \), \( \mu(x) \geq \mu(y) \) (respectively, \( \mu(x) \leq \mu(y) \)) whenever \( x \leq y \).

**Lemma 4.** Let \( \mu \) be an \( L \)-fuzzy subset of \( A \). Then, the \( L \)-fuzzy subset \( \mu^1 \) of \( A \) defined by

\[
\mu^1(x) = \lor \{ \mu(y): x \leq y \}, \quad \text{for all } x \in A,
\]

(18)

is the smallest \( L \)-fuzzy down set containing \( \mu \).

**Proof.** Let \( x \in A \). Since \( x \leq x \), we clearly have

\[
\mu^1(x) = \lor \{ \mu(y): x \leq y \} \geq \mu(x).
\]

(19)

Thus, \( \mu \subseteq \mu^1 \). Let \( x, y \in A \) such that \( x \leq y \). Now,

\[
\mu^1(x) = \lor \{ \mu(z): x \leq z \}
\]

\[
\geq \lor \{ \mu(z): y \leq z \}
\]

\[
= \mu^1(y).
\]

(20)

Therefore, \( \mu^1 \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy down set of \( A \). Let \( \sigma \) be any \( L \)-fuzzy down set of \( A \) such that \( \mu \subseteq \sigma \). For any \( x \in A \), we have

\[
\mu^1(x) = \lor \{ \mu(y): x \leq y \} \leq \lor \{ \sigma(y): x \leq y \} = \sigma(x).
\]

(21)

This implies that \( \mu^1 \subseteq \sigma \). Therefore, \( \mu^1 \) is the smallest \( L \)-fuzzy down set of \( A \) containing \( \mu \). □

Dually, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.** Let \( \mu \) be an \( L \)-fuzzy subset of \( A \). Then, the \( L \)-fuzzy subset \( \mu^1 \) of \( A \) defined by

\[
\mu^1(x) = \lor \{ \mu(y): y \leq x \}, \quad \text{for all } x \in A,
\]

(22)

is the smallest \( L \)-fuzzy up set containing \( \mu \).

**Lemma 6.** Let \( \mu \) be an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of \( A \). For each nonnegative integer \( \alpha \), define \( \mu_{2^\alpha} = (f^{2\alpha}(\mu))^1 \), \( L \)-fuzzy ideal \( \mu^1 \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy filter of \( A \).

**Proof.** Now, since
\[ \mu_{2n}(0) = \left( f^{2n}(\mu) \right)^{\dagger}(0) = \mathcal{V} \left\{ f^{2n}(\mu)(y) : 0 \leq y \right\} \]
\[ \geq f^{2n}(\mu)(0) \quad (\text{Since } 0 \leq 0) \]
\[ = \mathcal{V} \left\{ \mu(a) : a \in f^{2n}(\mu)^{-1}(0) \right\} \quad (\text{Since } f^{2n}(\mu)^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset) \]
\[ \geq \mu(0) = 1 \quad (\text{Since } 0 \in f^{2n}(\mu)^{-1}(0)). \]

(23)

We have \( \mu_{2n}(0) = 1 \).

Again, let \( x, y \in A \). Then, if \((\forall w)(x \leq w), \ (f^{2n})^{-1}(w) = \emptyset \) or \((\forall z)(y \leq z), \ (f^{2n})^{-1}(z) = \emptyset \), then we have

\[ \mu_{2n}(x) \land \mu_{2n}(y) = \left( f^{2n}(\mu) \right)^{\dagger}(x) \land \left( f^{2n}(\mu) \right)^{\dagger}(y) \]
\[ = \mathcal{V} \left\{ f^{2n}(\mu)(w) : x \leq w \right\} \land \mathcal{V} \left\{ f^{2n}(\mu)(z) : y \leq z \right\} \]
\[ = 0 \quad \leq \mu_{2n}(x \lor y). \]

(24)

If \((\exists w)(x \leq w), \ (f^{2n})^{-1}(w) \neq \emptyset \) and \((\exists z)(y \leq z), \ (f^{2n})^{-1}(z) \neq \emptyset \), we have

\[ \mu_{2n}(x) \land \mu_{2n}(y) = \left( f^{2n}(\mu) \right)^{\dagger}(x) \land \left( f^{2n}(\mu) \right)^{\dagger}(y) \]
\[ = \mathcal{V} \left\{ f^{2n}(\mu)(w) : x \leq w \right\} \land \mathcal{V} \left\{ f^{2n}(\mu)(z) : y \leq z \right\} \]
\[ = \mathcal{V} \left\{ \mu(a) : x \leq f^{2n}(a), \quad a \in A \right\} \land \mathcal{V} \left\{ \mu(b) : y \leq f^{2n}(b), \quad b \in A \right\} \]
\[ = \mathcal{V} \left\{ \mu(a) \land \mu(b) : x \leq f^{2n}(a), y \leq f^{2n}(b), \quad a, b \in A \right\} \]
\[ \leq \mathcal{V} \left\{ f^{2n}(\mu) : x \lor y \leq f^{2n}(a \lor b), \quad a, b \in A \right\} \]
\[ \leq \left( f^{2n}(\mu) \right)^{\dagger}(x \lor y) = \mu_{2n}(x \lor y). \]

(25)

Again, as \( \mu_{2n} \) is an L-fuzzy down set, we clearly have \( \mu_{2n}(x) \land \mu_{2n}(y) \geq \mu_{2n}(x \lor y) \), and hence, \( \mu_{2n}(x \lor y) = \mu_{2n}(x) \land \mu_{2n}(y) \). Therefore, \( \mu_{2n} \) is an L-fuzzy ideal of \( A \). Analogously, we can prove that \( \mu_{2n+1} \) is an L-fuzzy filter of \( A \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 7.** Let \( \mu \) be any L-fuzzy ideal of \( A \). Put \( \mu_{\circ} = \mathcal{V}_{n \geq 0} \mu_{2n} \) and \( \mu' = \mathcal{V}_{n \geq 0} \mu_{2n+1} \). Then,

\[ \mu' \left( f(x) \right) \geq \mu_{\circ} \left( x \right), \quad \text{for all } x \in A. \]
\[ \mu_{\circ} \left( f(x) \right) \geq \mu' \left( x \right), \quad \text{for all } x \in A. \]

(26)

**Proof.** Let \( x \in A \). If \((\forall y)(x \leq y), \ (f^{2n})^{-1}(y) = \emptyset \), we have

\[ \mu_{\circ}(x) = \mathcal{V}_{n \geq 0} \mu_{2n}(x) = \mathcal{V}_{n \geq 0} \left( \bigwedge_{n \geq 0} \mu_{2n}(x) \right) \]
\[ = \mathcal{V}_{n \geq 0} \left( \bigwedge_{n \geq 0} f^{2n}(\mu) \right)^{\dagger}(x) \]
\[ = \mathcal{V}_{n \geq 0} \left( \bigwedge_{n \geq 0} f^{2n}(\mu) \right)^{\dagger}(x) \quad (27) \]

If \((\exists y)(x \leq y), \ (f^{2n})^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset \), then

\[ \mu_{\circ}(x) = \mathcal{V}_{n \geq 0} \mu_{2n}(x) \]
\[ = \mathcal{V}_{n \geq 0} \left( \bigwedge_{n \geq 0} \mu_{2n}(x) \right) \]
\[ = \mathcal{V}_{n \geq 0} \left( \bigwedge_{n \geq 0} f^{2n}(\mu) \right)^{\dagger}(x) \]
\[ = \mathcal{V}_{n \geq 0} \left( \bigwedge_{n \geq 0} f^{2n}(\mu) \right)^{\dagger}(x) \]
\[ = \mathcal{V}_{n \geq 0} \left( \bigwedge_{n \geq 0} f^{2n}(\mu) \right)^{\dagger}(x) \]
\[ = \mathcal{V}_{n \geq 0} \left( \bigwedge_{n \geq 0} f^{2n}(\mu) \right)^{\dagger}(x) \]
\[ = \mu'(f(x)). \]

(28)

Similarly, we can show that \( \mu_{\circ}(f(x)) \geq \mu' \left( x \right) \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 8.** Let \( \mu \) be an L-fuzzy ideal of \( A \). Then, the L-fuzzy binary relation \( \Omega_L \) on \( A \) defined by

\[ \Omega_L(x, y) = \mathcal{V} \left\{ \mu_{\circ}(a) \land \mu'(b) : (x \lor a) \land b = (y \lor a) \land b \right\}, \]

(29)

for all \( x, y \in A \) is an L-fuzzy congruence relation on \( A \).

**Proof.** Clearly, \( \Omega_L(x, y) \) is reflexive and symmetric. We show that it is transitive. Let \( x, y, z \in A \). If \((x \lor a) \land b = (y \lor a) \land b \land (y \lor c) \land d = (z \lor c) \land d \), then it can be easily verified that \((x \lor (a \lor c)) \land (b \lor d) = (z \lor (a \lor c)) \land (b \lor d) \). Thus, we have
\( \Omega_L(\mu)(x, y) \land \Omega_L(\mu)(y, z) = \forall \{ \mu_\text{con}_x(a) \land \mu^*(b) : (x \lor a) \land b = (y \lor a) \land b \} \land \forall \{ \mu_\text{con}_y(c) \land \mu^*(d) : (y \lor c) \land d = (z \lor c) \land d \} \)

\[ = \forall \{ \mu_\text{con}_x(a) \land \mu_\text{con}_y(c) \land \mu^*(b, d) : (x \lor a) \land b, (y \lor c) \land d = (z \lor c) \land d \} \]

\[ = \Omega_L(\mu)(x, z). \]

Therefore, \( \Omega_L(\mu) \) is a transitive, and hence, it is an \( L \)-fuzzy equivalence relation on \( A \).

Next, we show that \( \Omega_L(\mu) \) satisfies the substitution properties. If 

\[ ((x \land z) \lor (a \lor c)) \land (b \land d) = ((y \land w) \lor (a \lor c)) \land (b \land d), \]

\[ \Omega_L(\mu)(x, y) \land \Omega_L(\mu)(z, w) = \forall \{ \mu_\text{con}_x(a) \lor \mu^*(b) : (x \lor a) \land b = (y \lor a) \land b \} \land \forall \{ \mu_\text{con}_y(c) \lor \mu^*(d) : (y \lor c) \land d = (z \lor c) \land d \}

\[ = \forall \{ \mu_\text{con}_x(a) \lor \mu_\text{con}_y(c) \lor \mu^*(b, d) : (x \lor a) \land b, (y \lor c) \land d = (z \lor c) \land d \} \]

\[ = \Omega_L(\mu)(x \land z, y \land w). \]

Similarly, we can show that

\[ \Omega_L(\mu)(x, y) \land \Omega_L(\mu)(z, w) \leq \Omega_L(\mu)(x \lor z, y \lor w). \] (32)

Finally, if \( (x \lor a) \land b = (y \lor a) \land b \), then it can be easily verified

\[ \Omega_L(\mu)(x, y) = \forall \{ \mu_\text{con}_x(a) \land \mu^*(b) : (x \lor a) \land b = (y \lor a) \land b \} \land \forall \{ \mu_\text{con}_y(c) \land \mu^*(d) : (y \lor c) \land d = (z \lor c) \land d \}

\[ = \forall \{ \mu_\text{con}_x(a) \lor \mu_\text{con}_y(c) \lor \mu^*(b, d) : (x \lor a) \land b, (y \lor c) \land d = (z \lor c) \land d \}

\[ = \Omega_L(\mu)(x \land z, y \lor w). \]

Thus, \( \Omega_L(\mu) \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy congruence on \( A \). \( \square \)

**Theorem 1.** For any \( L \)-fuzzy ideal \( \mu \) of \( A \),

\[ \Omega_L(\mu) = (\Theta_{\text{lat}})_L(\mu_\text{con}) \lor (\Theta_{\text{lat}})_L(\mu^*), \]

where the join is taken in the lattice of \( L \)-fuzzy congruences on \( A \).

**Proof.** We first show that \( (\Theta_{\text{lat}})_L(\mu_\text{con}) \subseteq \Omega_L(\mu) \) and \( (\Theta_{\text{lat}})_L(\mu^*) \subseteq \Omega_L(\mu) \). Now, for any \( x, y \in A \), we have

\[ (x \land a) \land b = (y \lor a) \land b, (z \lor c) \land d = (w \lor c) \land d, \text{ then, after routine work, we obtain} \]

\[ (f(x) \lor f(b)) \land (f(a) \lor f(b)) = (f(y) \lor f(b)) \land (f(a) \lor f(b)). \] (33)

Now,

\[ (\Theta_{\text{lat}})_L(\mu_\text{con})(x, y) = \forall \{ \mu_{\text{con} s}(s) : x \land s = y \lor s \}

\[ = \forall \{ \mu_{\text{con} s}(s) \land \mu^*(f(s)) : (x \land s) \land f(s) = (y \lor s) \land f(s) \}

\[ = \Omega_L(\mu)(x, y). \]

Hence, \( (\Theta_{\text{lat}})_L(\mu_\text{con}) \subseteq \Omega_L(\mu) \). Similarly, we have \( (\Theta_{\text{lat}})_L(\mu^*) \subseteq \Omega_L(\mu) \). This implies that

\[ (\Theta_{\text{lat}})_L(\mu_\text{con}) \lor (\Theta_{\text{lat}})_L(\mu^*) \subseteq \Omega_L(\mu). \] (37)

To establish the reverse inclusion, let \( x, y \in A \). Then,
\[ \Omega_L(\mu)(x, y) = \bigvee \{ \mu_x(s) \land \mu^*(t): (x \lor s) \land t = (y \lor s) \land t \} \]
\[ \leq \bigvee \{ \mu_x(s) \land \mu^*(t): x \land (x \lor s) \land t = x \land (y \lor s) \land t \} \]
\[ \leq \bigvee \{ \mu^*(t): x \land t = x \land (y \lor s) \land t \} \]
\[ = (\Theta_{int})_L(\mu^*)(x, x \land (y \lor s)), \]
\[ \Omega_L(\mu)(x, y) = \bigvee \{ \mu_x(s) \land \mu^*(t): (x \lor s) \land t = (y \lor s) \land t \} \]
\[ \leq \bigvee \{ \mu_x(s) \land \mu^*(t): x \land (x \lor s) \land t = x \land (y \lor s) \land t \} \]
\[ = \bigvee \{ \mu_x(s) \land \mu^*(t): x \land t = x \land (y \lor s) \land t \} \]
\[ \leq \bigvee \{ \mu_x(s) \land \mu^*(t): (x \land t) \lor s = (x \land (y \lor s)) \lor t \} \]
\[ \leq \bigvee \{ \mu_x(s): (x \land (y \lor s)) \lor s = (x \land y) \lor s \} \]
\[ = (\Theta_{int})_L(\mu_x)(x \land (y \lor s), x \land y). \]

This implies that
\[ \Omega_L(\mu)(x, y) \leq (\Theta_{int})_L(\mu^*)(x, x \land (y \lor s)) \land (\Theta_{int})_L(\mu_x)(x, x \land y) \leq ( (\Theta_{int})_L(\mu^*) \lor (\Theta_{int})_L(\mu_x))(x, x \land y). \]

Similarly,
\[ \Omega_L(\mu)(x, y) \leq ( (\Theta_{int})_L(\mu^*) \lor (\Theta_{int})_L(\mu_x))(x \land y, y). \]

Hence,
\[ \Omega_L(\mu) = ( (\Theta_{int})_L(\mu^*) \lor (\Theta_{int})_L(\mu_x)). \]

**Corollary 1.** \( \Omega_L(\mu) \) is the smallest \( L \)-fuzzy congruence on \( A \) containing \( \mu \times \mu \).

### 4. L-Fuzzy Kernel Ideals

In this section, we study \( L \)-fuzzy kernel ideals in Ockham algebras and give several characterizations for them.

**Definition 11.** An \( L \)-fuzzy ideal \( \mu \) on \( A \) is called a kernel \( L \)-fuzzy ideal if \( \mu = \Theta_0 \) for some \( L \)-fuzzy congruence \( \Theta \) of \( A \).

The following observation is immediate.

**Lemma 9.** If \( \mu \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of \( A \) such that \( f^2(\mu) \subseteq \mu \), then, for every \( n \),
\[ f^{2n}(\mu) \subseteq \mu, \]
\[ f^{2n+1}(\mu) \subseteq (f(\mu))^2, \]
from which it follows that
\[ \mu, = \mu, \]
\[ \mu^* = (f(\mu))^2. \]

The following lemma gives an internal characterization for \( L \)-fuzzy kernel ideals in Ockham algebras.

**Lemma 10.** An \( L \)-fuzzy ideal \( \mu \) of \( A \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy kernel ideal if and only if it satisfies the following properties:

1. \( f^2(\mu) \subseteq \mu \)
2. \( (f(\mu))^2 \subseteq \mu(x \land t) \subseteq \mu(x), \) for all \( x, t \in A \)

**Proof.** Let \( \mu \) be an \( L \)-fuzzy kernel ideal of \( A \). Then, there exists an \( L \)-fuzzy congruence \( \Theta \) on \( A \) such that \( \mu = \Theta_0 \). Let \( x \in A \). If \( (f^2)^{-1}(x) = \emptyset \), then \( f^2(\mu)(x) = 0 \leq \mu(x) \). Let \( (f^2)^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset \), and consider the following:
\[ f^2(\mu)(x) = \bigvee \{ \mu(a): x = f^2(a) \} \]
\[ = \bigvee \{ \Theta(a, 0): x = f^2(a) \} \]
\[ \leq \Theta(f^2(a), 0) \]
\[ = \Theta(x, 0) \land \mu(x). \]

Hence, \( f^2(\mu)(x) \subseteq \mu(x) \), for all \( x \in A \). Therefore, (1) holds. To prove (2), let \( x, t \in A \). If \( f^{-1}(t) = \emptyset \), clearly (2) holds. Suppose that \( f^{-1}(t) \neq \emptyset \). Then,
\[ (f(\mu))^2 \subseteq \mu(x \land t) \subseteq \bigvee \{ \mu(a): a \leq t \land \mu(x \land t) \}
\]
\[ = \bigvee \{ \Theta(y, 0): \Theta(y, 0) \subseteq \Theta(t \land x, 0) \}
\]
\[ = \Theta(x, 0) \land \Theta(t \land x, 0) \]
\[ \leq \Theta(t, 1) \land \Theta(t \land x, 0) \]
\[ \leq \Theta(t \land x, x) \land \Theta(t \land x, 0) \]
\[ = \Theta(x, 0) \land \mu(x). \]

Conversely, suppose that \( \mu \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of \( A \) satisfying conditions (1) and (2). Consider the \( L \)-fuzzy congruence \( \Omega_L(\mu) \) given in Lemma 8. Now, we claim that \( \mu = (\Omega_L(\mu))_0 \). Let \( x \in A \). Then,
Consider the subset \( \mathcal{F}_2(A) = \{ \mu \in \mathcal{F}(A): f^2(\mu) \subseteq \mu \}. \) Then, we have the following results.

**Theorem 3.**

1. \( \mathcal{F}_2(A) \) is a complete sublattice of \( \mathcal{F}(A) \)
2. If \( \mu \) is a kernel \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of \( A \), then \( \mu \in \mathcal{F}_2(A) \)

**Proof.** Let \( \mu_1: i \in \Delta \} \) be a nonempty subset of \( \mathcal{F}_2(A) \). Then, \( f^2(\mu_i) \subseteq \mu_i \), for all \( i \in \Delta \). Now, we claim that \( \cap_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i \in \mathcal{F}_2(A) \).

Let \( x \in A \). Suppose that \((f^2)^{-1}(x) = \emptyset \). Then,

\[
\begin{align*}
f^2(\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i)(x) &= \sup_{i \in \Delta} \left\{ \inf_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i(x) : x = f^2(a) \right\} \\
&= \sup_{i \in \Delta} \left\{ \inf_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i(x) : x = f^2(a) \right\} \\
&= \inf_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i(x) \\
&\leq \inf_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i(x) \\
&= \left( \bigcap_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i \right)(x).
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, the claim is true. Since \( \chi_A \) is greatest element of \( \mathcal{F}_2(A) \), we have \( \mathcal{F}_2(A) \) is a complete sublattice of \( \mathcal{F}(A) \).

It follows from Lemma 10.

**Lemma 12.** Let \( \mu \) be an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of \( A \). Then, the \( L \)-fuzzy subset \( \bar{\mu} \) of \( A \) defined by

\[
\bar{\mu}(x) = \bigvee_{i \in A} \left\{ \mu(i) \wedge \mu(x \wedge f(i)) : i \in A \right\}, \quad \text{for all } x \in A,
\]

is an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of \( A \) containing \( \mu \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \mu \) be an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of \( A \). Now, since

\[
\bar{\mu}(0) = \bigvee_{i \in A} \left\{ \mu(i) \wedge \mu(0 \wedge f(i)) : i \in A \right\} \\
= \bigvee_{i \in A} \left\{ \mu(i) : i \in A \right\} \\
\geq \mu(0) = 1.
\]

We have \( \bar{\mu}(0) = 1 \). Let \( x, y \in A \). Then,
Again since 
\[
\bar{\mu}(x) = \bigvee \{ \mu(i) \land \mu(x \land f(i)) : i \in A \} \\
\geq \bigvee \{ \mu(i) \land ((x \lor y) \land f(i)) : i \in A \} \\
= \mu(x \lor y).
\]

Similarly, we have \( \bar{\mu}(y) \geq \bar{\mu}(x \lor y) \), and hence, \( \bar{\mu}(x) \land \bar{\mu}(y) \geq \bar{\mu}(x \lor y) \). Therefore, \( \bar{\mu}(x \lor y) = \bar{\mu}(x) \land \bar{\mu}(y) \). Again, since, for any \( x \in A \),
\[
\bar{\mu}(x) = \bigvee \{ \mu(i) \land \mu(x \land f(i)) : i \in A \} \\
\geq \mu(0) \land \mu(x \land f(0)) \\
= 1 \land \mu(x \lor 1) \\
= \mu(x),
\]
we have \( \mu \subseteq \bar{\mu} \). Therefore, \( \bar{\mu} \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of \( A \) containing \( \mu \).

In the following theorem, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of an Ockham algebra to be a kernel \( L \)-fuzzy ideal.

**Theorem 4.** An \( L \)-fuzzy ideal \( \mu \) of \( A \) is a kernel \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of \( A \) if and only if \( f^2(\mu) \subseteq \mu \) and \( \mu = \bar{\mu} \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \mu \) is a kernel \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of \( A \). Then, by Lemmas 10 and 12, we have \( f^2(\mu) \subseteq \mu \) and \( \mu \subseteq \bar{\mu} \). Now, since, for any \( x \in A \),
\[
\bar{\mu}(x) = \bigvee \{ \mu(i) \land \mu(x \land f(i)) : i \in A \} \\
\leq \bigvee \{ f(\mu)(f(i)) \land \mu(x \land f(i)) : i \in A \} \\
\leq \bigvee \{ f^2(\mu)(f(i)) \land \mu(x \land f(i)) : i \in A \} \\
\leq \mu(x),
\]
we have \( \mu \subseteq \bar{\mu} \). Hence, \( f^2(\mu) \subseteq \mu \) and \( \mu = \bar{\mu} \).

Conversely, suppose that \( \mu \) is an \( L \)-fuzzy ideal of \( A \) satisfying the given condition. Then, by Lemma 11, it is enough to show that
\[
(f(\mu))^2(\bar{\mu})(x) \land \mu(x \land t) \leq \mu(x), \quad \text{for all } x, t \in A.
\]

Let \( x, t \in A \) if \( ((f^2)^{-1}(t)) = \emptyset \); clearly, (57) holds.

Suppose that \( ((f^2)^{-1}(t)) \neq \emptyset \). Then,
\[
(f(\mu))^2(\bar{\mu})(x) = \bigvee \{ f(\mu)(x) \land f(\mu)(y) : y \in ((f^2)^{-1}(t)) \}
\]

Hence, \( f^2(\bar{\mu}) \subseteq \bar{\mu} \), i.e., \( \bar{\mu} \in \mathcal{F}_2(A) \).

Since \( \mu \subseteq \bar{\mu} = \xi(\mu) \), \( \xi \) is extensive. Also, let \( \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{F}_2(A) \) such that \( \mu \subseteq \nu \). Then,
\[
(f(\mu))^2(\bar{\mu})(x) \land \mu(x \land t) \leq \mu(x), \quad \text{for all } x, t \in A.
\]
\[ \xi(\mu)(x) = \bar{\mu}(x) \]
\[ = \bigvee \{ \mu(i) \land \mu(x \land f(i)), \quad i \in A \} \]
\[ \leq \bigvee \{ \nu(i) \land \nu(x \land f(i)), \quad i \in A \} \]
\[ = \overline{\nu}(x) \]
\[ = \xi(\mu)(x). \]

Thus, \( \xi(\mu) \subseteq \xi(\nu) \), and hence, \( \xi \) is isotone. Finally, we show that \( \xi \) is idempotent.

Let \( x \in A \). Then,

Thus, \( \xi(\mu) \subseteq \xi(\mu) \), and we have \( \xi(\mu) = \xi(\mu) \). Therefore, \( \xi \) is idempotent. This proves the result.

5. Smallest and Largest L-Fuzzy Congruences with a Given L-Fuzzy Kernel Ideal

In this section, we describe the smallest and the largest \( L \)-fuzzy congruences on an Ockham algebra having a given \( L \)-fuzzy kernel ideal.

From Lemmas 8 and 9, we can easily observed that, for any kernel \( L \)-fuzzy ideal \( \mu \) the smallest \( L \)-fuzzy congruence on \( A \) with kernel \( \mu \) is \( \Omega_L(\mu) \) which is given by

\[ \Omega_L(\mu)(x, y) = \bigvee \{ \mu(b) \land (f(b))^\top \}: \quad (x \lor a) \land b = (y \lor a) \land b \].

(62)

We can in fact establish the following simpler version.

\[ \Phi_L(\mu)(x, y) = \bigvee \{ \mu(i) \land (x \lor i) \land f(i): \quad x \lor a \land b = (y \lor a) \land b \}, \]

(62)

\[ \leq \Omega_L(\mu)(x, y). \]

\[ \textbf{Theorem 6. If } \mu \in \mathcal{F}_K(A), \text{ then the smallest } L \text{-fuzzy congruence on } A \text{ with kernel } \mu \text{ is the } L \text{-fuzzy relation } \Phi_L(\mu) \text{ is given by} \]

\[ \Phi_L(\mu)(x, y) = \bigvee \{ \mu(i) \land (x \lor i) \land f(i): \quad x \lor a \land b = (y \lor a) \land b \}, \]

(63)

\[ \text{for all } x \in A. \]

\[ \text{Proof. To prove it enough to show that } \Phi_L(\mu) = \Omega_L(\mu), \text{ thus } \mu(i) \leq f^{-1}(f(\mu))(i) = f(\mu)(f(i)), \text{ we have} \]

\[ \Phi_L(\mu)(x, y) = \bigvee \{ \mu(i) \land (x \lor i) \land f(i): \quad x \lor a \land b = (y \lor a) \land b \}, \quad i \in A \]

(64)

\[ = \bigvee \{ \mu(i) \land f(\mu)(f(i)): \quad x \lor a \land b = (y \lor a) \land b \}, \quad i \in A \]

\[ \leq \Omega_L(\mu)(x, y). \]

Hence, \( \Phi_L(\mu) \subseteq \Omega_L(\mu) \).

Since \( \mu \) is kernel \( L \)-fuzzy ideal,
\[\Omega_L(\mu)(x, y) = \bigvee \{\mu(i) \land (f(\mu))^1(j) : (x \lor i) \land j = (y \lor i) \land j, \ i, j \in A\},\]
\[\Omega_L(\mu)(x, y) = \bigvee \{\mu(i) \land (f(\mu))^1(j) : (x \lor i) \land j = (y \lor i) \land j, \ i, j \in A\},\]
\[= \bigvee \{\mu(i) \lor \mu(k) : f(k) \leq (j) \}, \ (x \lor i) \land j = (y \lor i) \land j, \ i, j \in A\}
\[= \bigvee \{\mu(i) \lor \mu(k) : (x \lor i) \land f(k) \}, \ i, k \in A\}
\[= \bigvee \{\mu(i) \lor \mu(k) : (x \lor i) \land f(k) \}, \ i, k \in A\}
\[\leq \bigvee \{\mu(i \lor k) : (x \lor i \lor k) \land f(i \lor k) \}, \ i, k \in A\}
\[= \Phi_L(\mu) (x, y).\]

(65)

Hence, \(\Omega_L(\mu) = \Phi_L(\mu)\). Thus, \(\Phi_L(\mu)\) is the smallest \(L\)-fuzzy congruence with kernel \(\mu\).

Having described the smallest \(L\)-fuzzy congruence on \(A\) with a given kernel \(L\)-fuzzy ideal, we now proceed to determine the largest such \(L\)-fuzzy congruence.

For a given \(L\)-fuzzy ideal \(\mu\) of \(A\), each \(a \in A\), and for each \(\sigma \in \mathbb{N}\), consider the \(L\)-fuzzy subset of \(A\) defined by
\[W^\mu_{a, \sigma}(x) = \mu(f^\sigma(a) \land x) \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in A,\]
and an \(L\)-fuzzy binary relation \(\Theta^\mu_n\) on \(A\) defined by, for all \(a, b \in A\),
\[\Theta^\mu_n(a, b) = \sup\{W^\mu_{a, \sigma}(x) \land W^\mu_{b, \sigma}(x) : x \in A\}.\]

(66)

Lemma 13. \(\Theta^\mu_n\) is a lattice \(L\)-fuzzy congruence of \(A\) and \(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Theta^\mu_n\) is an \(L\)-fuzzy congruence of \(A\).

Proof. For all \(a, x \in A\),
\[\Theta^\mu_n(a, a) = \sup\{W^\mu_{a, \sigma}(x) \land W^\mu_{b, \sigma}(x) : x \in A\}\]
\[= \sup\{\mu(f^\sigma(a) \land x) \land \mu(f^\sigma(b) \land x) : x \in A\}\]
\[\geq \mu(f^\sigma(a) \land 0) \land \mu(f^\sigma(b) \land 0)\]
\[= \mu(0) = 1.\]

(67)

This implies \(\Theta^\mu_n\) is reflexive. Clearly, \(\Theta^\mu_n\) is symmetric:
\[\Theta^\mu_n(a, b) \land \Theta^\mu_n(b, c) = \sup\{W^\mu_{a, \sigma}(x) \land W^\mu_{b, \sigma}(x) : x \in A\}\]
\[\land \sup\{W^\mu_{b, \sigma}(y) \land W^\mu_{c, \sigma}(y) : y \in A\}\]
\[= \sup\{W^\mu_{a, \sigma}(x) \land W^\mu_{b, \sigma}(x) \land W^\mu_{c, \sigma}(y) : x, y \in A\}\]
\[\land \sup\{W^\mu_{b, \sigma}(y) : x, y \in A\}\]
\[\leq \sup\{W^\mu_{a, \sigma}(x) \land W^\mu_{c, \sigma}(y) : x, y \in A\}\]
\[\leq \sup\{W^\mu_{a, \sigma}(x) \land y \land W^\mu_{c, \sigma}(x \land y) : x, y \in A\}\]
\[= \Theta^\mu_n(a, c).\]

(68)

This implies \(\Theta^\mu_n\) is transitive.
\[\Theta^\mu_n(a \lor c, b \lor d) = \sup\{W^\mu_{a \lor c, \sigma}(x) \land W^\mu_{b \lor d, \sigma}(x) : x \in A\}\]
\[= \sup\{\mu(f^\sigma(a \lor c) \land x) \land \mu(f^\sigma(b \lor d) \land x) : x \in A\}\]
\[\cdot \text{If } n - \text{even}\]
\[= \sup\{\mu(f^\sigma(a) \lor f^\sigma(c) \land x) \land \mu(f^\sigma(b) \lor f^\sigma(d) \land x) : x \in A\}\]
\[\geq \sup\{\mu(f^\sigma(a \lor c) \land x) \land \mu(f^\sigma(b \lor d) \land x) : x \in A\}\]
\[= \sup\{\mu(f^\sigma(a) \land x) \land \mu(f^\sigma(b) \land x) : x \in A\}\]
\[\land \sup\{\mu(f^\sigma(c) \land x) \land \mu(f^\sigma(d) \land x) : x \in A\}\]
\[= \Theta^\mu_n(a, b) \land \Theta^\mu_n(c, d).\]

(70)

Also, if \(n\) is odd
\[\Theta^\mu_n(a \lor c, b \lor d) = \sup\{\mu(f^\sigma(a) \land f^\sigma(c) \land x) \land \mu(f^\sigma(b) \land f^\sigma(d) \land x) : x \in A\}\]
\[\geq \sup\{\mu((f^\sigma(a) \land x) \land \mu((f^\sigma(b) \land x) : x \in A\}\]
\[= \Theta^\mu_n(a, b).\]

(71)

Similarly, \(\Theta^\mu_n(a \lor c, b \lor d) \geq \Theta^\mu_n(c, d)\). This implies \(\Theta^\mu_n(a \lor c, b \lor d) \geq \Theta^\mu_n(a, b) \land \Theta^\mu_n(c, d)\). Hence, \(\Theta^\mu_n\) is compatible with \(\lor\). Similarly, \(\Theta^\mu_n\) is compatible with \(\land\). Hence, \(\Theta^\mu_n\) is a lattice \(L\)-fuzzy congruence of \(A\).

Put \(\Theta^\mu = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Theta^\mu_n\). Then, clearly \(\Theta^\mu\) is a lattice \(L\)-fuzzy congruence of \(A\). Next, we show that, for any \(a, b \in A\),
\[\Theta^\mu(f^\sigma(a), f^\sigma(b)) \geq \Theta^\mu(a, b),\]
\[\Theta^\mu(f^\sigma(a), f^\sigma(b)) = \left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Theta^\mu_n\right)(f^\sigma(a), f^\sigma(b))\]
\[= \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Theta^\mu_n(f^\sigma(a), f^\sigma(b))\]
\[= \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Theta^\mu_n(f^\sigma(a), f^\sigma(b))\]
\[= \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup\{\mu(f^\sigma(a) \land x) \land \mu(f^\sigma(b) \land x) : x \in A\}\]
\[\geq \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup\{\mu(f^\sigma(a) \land x) : x \in A\}\]
\[= \Theta^\mu_n(a, b)\]
\[= \Theta^\mu(a, b).\]

Thus, \(\Theta^\mu(a, b) \leq \Theta^\mu(f^\sigma(a), f^\sigma(b))\). Hence, \(\Theta^\mu\) is an \(L\)-fuzzy congruence of \(A\). □
Theorem 7. If $\mu \in \mathcal{F}.\mathcal{F}_K(A)$, then the largest $L$-fuzzy congruence relation on $A$ with kernel $\mu$ is $\Theta^\mu$.

Proof. First, we prove that $\ker \Theta^\mu = \mu$. For any $x \in A$,

\[
\mu(x) \leq \mu(f^n(x)) \\
\leq \mu(f^n(x) \wedge a) \\
= \mu(f^n(x)) \wedge \mu(a) \\
= \mu(x, a).
\] (73)

Since $W_{0,0}^\mu(a) = 1$. This implies

\[
\mu(x) \leq W_{0,0}^\mu(a) \wedge W_{0,0}^\mu(a) \\
\leq \inf_{n \in N} \{\sup_{a \in A} W_{x,a}^\mu(a) : a \in A\} \\
= \inf_{n \in N} (\Theta^n(x, 0)) \\
= (\Theta(x, 0)) = \ker \Theta^\mu(x).
\] (74)

This implies $\mu \subseteq \ker \Theta^\mu$. Consequently,

\[
\ker \Theta^\mu(x) = \inf_{n \in N} \{\sup_{a \in A} W_{x,a}^\mu(a) : a \in A\} \\
\leq \sup_{n \in N} W_{x,n}^\mu(a) \wedge W_{0,n}^\mu(a) : a \in A \\
= \sup_{a \in A} (\mu(f^n(x)) \wedge \mu(f^n(0) \wedge a) : a \in A \\
\leq \mu(x).
\] (75)

This implies $\ker \Theta^\mu \subseteq \mu$. Hence, $\ker \Theta^\mu = \mu$.

Finally, we prove that $\Theta^\mu$ is the largest $L$-fuzzy congruence relation on $A$. Now, let $\Phi$ be any $L$-fuzzy congruence relation on $A$ with kernel $\mu$. We show that $\Phi \subseteq \Theta^\mu$. For $a, b, x \in A$, $\Phi(a, b) = \ker \Phi(a) = \mu(a) \leq \mu(a \wedge x) = W_{a,0}^\mu(x)$ and $\Phi(0, b) = \ker \Phi(0) = \mu(b) \leq \mu(b \wedge x) = W_{0,0}^\mu(x)$. This implies $\Phi(a, b) \wedge \Phi(0, b) \leq W_{a,0}^\mu(x) \wedge W_{0,0}^\mu(x) \leq \sup \{W_{a,n}^\mu(x) \wedge W_{b,n}^\mu(x) : x \in A\}$ for all $n \in W$.

It follows that

\[
\Phi(a, b) = \inf_{n \in N} \{\sup_{x \in A} W_{a,x}^\mu(x) \wedge W_{b,x}^\mu(x) : x \in A\} \\
= \Theta^\mu(a, b).
\] (76)

Hence, $\Theta^\mu$ is the largest $L$-fuzzy congruence relation on $A$ having $\mu$ as a kernel.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we study $L$-fuzzy congruences and $L$-fuzzy kernel ideals in Ockham algebras and investigate their properties. A set of equivalent conditions is derived for an $L$-fuzzy ideal $\mu$ of $A$ to become an $L$-fuzzy kernel ideal. We obtain the smallest, respectively, the largest $L$-fuzzy congruences on $A$ having a given $L$-fuzzy ideal as a kernel and describe it using algebraic operations in a fuzzy setting.

It is under investigation by the authors to characterize those subvarieties of the class of Ockham algebras using $L$-fuzzy congruence relations.
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