The “spiritual essence” of St. Antony and its counterparts in other culturally–historical contexts

Introduction

In his book devoted to the Letters of St. Antony Samuel Rubenson characterized the anthropology of the founder of monasticism writing that “…man’s real self, his nature, is his ‘spiritual essence’… This essence is now hidden in the body, but it does not belong to it, and will not be dissolved with it… Individuality is manifested in body and soul, while the ‘spiritual essence’ is manifest in the mind, which is created in the image of God’s mind, Christ, and thus equal for all.”

“The body is to Antony not evil per se, nor is it responsible for its misuse… In his letter on repentance (Letter I) he describes how each member of the body can be purified, how by ousting the evil it can be made a seat of virtue… all can become pure through the work of the mind guided by the Spirit.”

This scholar puts the ideas of St. Antony, expressed in his Letters, into the context of the Platonic and Origenist teachings. Without challenging these doctrinal relations of the ideas found in the texts attributed to the founder of monasticism, it seems reasonable to consider their more general characteristic features within the framework of late antique and medieval culture and the religious milieus other than Christian. For this purpose in this paper I would intend to make an attempt at adding some parallels to the notion of the “spiritual/intellectual essence/nature,” and in this way broadening the spiritual and intellectual context in which the ideas and the ways of their expression, contained in the writings of St. Antony, acquired their proper forms. In the course of our analysis and process of comparison of notions and ideas in seemingly remote historical and religious contexts, similar to that contained in the Letters of St. Antony, the whole picture of their genetic relations will become, probably, more evident, which may justify an undertaken rather unusual approach to the subject under consideration.

The spiritual/intellectual nature of St. Antony and a conception of the primordial luminous man

In his article devoted to the problem of early Christian anthropological conceptions Lautaro Roig Lanzillotta has observed that in the context of the tripartite anthropology in ancient Christian tradition the notions of the nous and pneuma are interchangeable, since in the tripartite structure in the Acts of Andrew man consists of the intellect, soul and body, while in the valentinian teaching man consists of spirit, soul and body. Moreover, in the Acts of Andrew “the intellect is conceived of as the highest and most divine element and as such is called ‘man’ (person).” To this might be added that Wesley Williams used the Valentinian texts for the reconstruction of an esoteric Jewish teaching about the (d)evolution of the spiritual Man-Light created on the first day, and Origen in his First Homily on Genesis
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2 explicitly equates the spirit and intellect, which is the spiritual man capable of the vision of God. And St. Antony, as it was noted by Rubenson, following Origen, calls “his correspondents ‘Israelites’, or ‘holy Israelites in their spiritual essence’, which he… interprets as ‘a mind that sees God’.” It can be observed that the equation of spirit and intellect, as it seems, goes back to the Paulinian First Letter to the Corinthians 2:10-16 where Paul discusses the superiority of the pneumaticos anthrîpos over the psychicos anthropos, and concludes, as Gilles Quispel has remaked, that “we, however, St. Paul adds, have the “noun”, that is: we have the “pneuma” of Christ (2,16).”

A similar association of the Spirit and the intellectual substance of a human being can be traced in the Letters of Saint Antony the Great, although, as it was noted by Rubenson, Antony’s “letters do not equate the mind with the spirit, but with a few exceptions reserve the term ‘spirit’ for the gift given to man by God. Therefore, the spirit is according to Antony not a part of man, but a gift of God.” Nevertheless, this “gift of God” serves as an instructor for a human being in the process of the knowledge of one’s self.

For if a man draws near to grace, then Jesus will say to him, ‘I will no longer call you servants, but I will call you my friends and...
my brothers: for all things that I have heard of my Father I have made known unto you.’ (John 15:15.) For those who have drawn near, and have been taught by the Holy Spirit, have known themselves according to their intellectual substance.\(^\text{10}\)

According to Anthony the Great, the self of a Christian as his intellectual substance is one, which means that the celestial self can be manifest in a neighbour, and by this the knowledge of God is achieved, which is stated in the following passage: And all these names are given them, be they man or woman, according to the different principle of their works; for all are from one. For this cause, therefore, he who sins against his neighbour sins against himself; and he who does evil to his neighbour does evil to himself; and he who does good to his neighbour, does good to himself. Otherwise, who is able to do ill to God, or who is there who could hurt Him, or who could refresh Him, or who could ever serve Him, or who could ever bless Him, that He should need his blessing, or who is able to honour Him with the honour that is His due, or to exalt Him as He deserves? Therefore, while we are still clothed in this heavy body, let us rouse up God in ourselves by incitement of each other, and deliver ourselves to death for our souls and for each other; and if we do this, we shall be manifesting the substance of His compassion for us. Let us not be lovers of ourselves, so as not to become subject to their inconstant power. For he who knows himself, knows all men. Therefore, it is written, ‘He called all things out of nothingness into being.’ (Cf. Wisd. 1:14.) Such statements refer to our intellectual nature, which is hidden in this body of corruption, but which did not belong to it from the beginning, and is so to be freed from it. But he who can love himself, loves all men.\(^\text{11}\)

In this construction one can recognize the teaching about a primordial Man-Light, constituting the “intellectual nature,” while the individuals are differentiated by their names,\(^\text{12}\) that is, by another expression of a celestial self of a Christian believer. It this way, it seems probable that the conception of the “intellectual (or intellectual) essence” in the Letters of St. Antony may have implications of the ancient Jewish and Jewish-Christian mystical teachings about the primordial creation of the First Man as a luminous spiritual entity.

An intellectual luminous nature in the cosmographia of Bernardus Silvestris

The evidence of the survival of the conception of the spiritual and intellectual nature of the primordial human creature can be traced, as it seems, in a remote context in the Latin West, since one encounters in the writings of Eriugena the notion on the “intellectual nature.” In his article “Jean Scot et la Métaphysique des Nombres” Edouard Jeanneau attracted a scholarly attention to the passage from the Periphyseon III. 108-122, containing the discussion of the nature of numbers.

According to Eriugena, if the numbers always remain in the unity, they are eternal, since what always remains is eternal. Therefore, nobody can have any doubt concerning the eternity of the numbers. However, the numbers are also created (facti); they are eternal in the monad, but created in their being multiplied. The first explanation of these seemingly contradictory statements consists in the following argument: the numbers are eternal in the monad vi et potestate, and they are created in the genera and species actu et operae. For the clarification of this idea Eriugena uses a comparison of the numbers with the liberal arts. Every art (ars) is contained in the most secret recesses of the intellectual nature (in secretissimis intellectualis naturae sinibus) in a simple form, without division, quantity, quality, place, time, or any accident.\(^\text{13}\)

As it was discussed by Jeanneau, in Eriugena the Son, the Word of God, is in the “sinus” of the Father and is himself the “sinus” of the Father. In the Word of God were created the primordial causes, which are the “nature created and creating”, and thus the expression “sinus naturae” is usually meant by Eriugena to denote the primordial causes. Eriugena makes a distinction between all what is produced from the primordial causes “in matter and form in times and places” (in materia et forma in temporibus et locis), which belong to the realm conventionally called by men “being”, and all what remains in these primordial causes, “naturae sinibus”, which, according to the same convention, is called “non-being”.\(^\text{14}\)

Furthermore, in the opinion of Peter Dronke, the Eriugenian conception of the “recesses of the nature” (sinus naturae), probably, was adapted and re-worked by the twelfth-century author Bernardus Silvestris in his work Cosmographia (De mundi universitate). As it was observed by this scholar, “it is worth noting that, when Scotus speaks of the primordial causes as ‘Nature that both creates and is created’, he often inclines to personification. He speaks of the causes ‘in the most secret recesses of Natura’s breasts’ (in secretissimis Naturae sinibus), or of ‘Natura’s most secret and intimate breasts’ (secretissimos intimosque Natura(sinibus) – this last phrase also signifying for him the midpoint of Paradise. Such expressions, which do not originate with Scotus, but which he developed with a flair and abundance previously unparalleled, have been admirably studied recently by Edouard Jeanneau”.\(^\text{15}\)

One may note also in the Cosmographia of Bernardus Silvestris the role, attributed to the personified Nature, the origin and character of which is a much discussed and controversial matter.\(^\text{16}\) This Nature is the “blessed fruitfulness”? of the womb of Nous who, in its turn, is “the fountain of light, seed-bed of life, a good born of the divine goodness, that fullness of knowledge which is called the “mind” of the most high. This Nous, then, is the intellect of supreme and all-powerful God, a nature born of His divinity. In her are the images of unfailing life, the eternal likenesses, the intelligible universe, sure knowledge of things to come. There, as though in a clearer glass, might be seen all that God’s hidden will brings to pass through...
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The mystical presence of the divine apparition for the soul is described, with reference to this Hermetic book, exploring the image of the sun. …when you first start to look inside of yourself, to your managing spirit that connects you with your star and that is the perfect nature that Hermes the Wise mentioned in his book saying that the microcosm, in which he meant the human, if he was perfected in his nature, his soul would be in the position of the stationary Sun in the sky that shines with its light on the whole world so as the perfect nature it spreads in the soul so its rays connects with the power of wisdom and pulls it till it is centered in the soul that is originally its place like exactly the Sun pulls the ray of the world and lifts it up in the sky.

One may note that an astrological treatise Ghāyat al-Hakīm was translated into Latin under the title of “Picatrix” at the court of Alphonso the Wise of Castil in the 13th century, while the original Arabic text was written in the 10th–11th centuries, and the ideas underlying this treatise can be, at least indirectly, familiar to Bernardus Silvestris, who could use an image of the solar light to present the Nature, deriving this light from Nous.

In the text of Ghāyat al-Hakīm, Book 3, chapter 12 its author defines the notion of “nature” in the following way: In truth, it is an equivocal term. It stands (1) for “living being”, (2) for something which is peculiar to a thing, (3) for the humours, (4) for the world, for the heaven, and for the power made by the Creator, may He be exalted, to be the cause for the influence over coming-to-be and passing-away, and the movement and rest in everything which moves and rests. On account of this (a) the ancients defined it as follows: the principle of movement and rest. (b) The philosopher also defined it: form belonging to the heavenly bodies (ṣūra jismiyā), which is in the human body thought the mediation of the sphere between it and the soul.

This passage, as Samuel M. Stern has observed, is almost identical with the text from the 9th chapter from The Book of Definitions of a 9th-10th century Jewish physician and Neoplatonic philosopher Isaac Israel, a native of Egypt, where this author presented various definitions of the conception of “Nature,” among which a sphere is enumerated: ‘Nature’ is an equivocal term. It stands… for (5) the sphere and the spherical power which is appointed by the Creator, may He be exalted, in nature for the sake of influencing coming-to-be and passing-away, increase and decrease, movement and rest; for this reason the ancient philosopher defined it from its property (a) and said that ‘nature’ was movement out of rest and rest out of movement. The philosopher defined it from its essence (b) by saying: ‘Nature’ is a power belonging to the heavenly body (qawwa jismiyā) which is in human bodies through the mediation of the sphere between the soul and the bodies.

The Book of Definitions, containing the discussion of this conception, was translated into Latin by Gerard of Cremona (1114-87)
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and in an abbreviated version by an anonymous author,30 and, probably, can be familiar to Bernardus Silvestris, in whose Cosmographia I, 2 can be found a presentation of the sphere as a manifestation of the world-soul: Then the world-soul, from the origin of life or of light, flowed down as if in a kind of emanation as the vitality and glory of the cosmos. In its descent it appeared as a globe of extended size and determined contexts, but which could not be seen with the eyes, only in the mind. Its quite clear substance presented the appearance of a liquid, flowing fountain.31

The discussed by Isaac Israeli “power belonging to the heavenly body which is in human bodies through the mediation of the sphere between the soul and the bodies,” is, probably, echoed in the following statement of the author of Ghāyat al-Hakīm (Picatrix): Aristotle said that every philosopher has a power from the spirits that gives him inspiration and strength and opens the closed doors of wisdom that are connected to this power and that it is the philosopher’s high star that affects him. This power grows with him and nourishes him.32

An idea, contained in this statement, probably, can be traced in the text of Cosmographia: ...when the new design, the new creation of man has taken place, a “genius” will be assigned to watch over him (genius in eius custodiam deputatus), drawn from this most merciful and serviceable race of spiritual powers, whose benevolence is so deep-seated, and unalterable, that they shun out of a hatred of evil, any contact with the vile or displeasing; but when, through the inspiration of divine powers, some virtuous act is undertaken, they are ever at hand... the genius which is joined to man from the first stages of his conception, and shows him, by forebodings of mind, dreams (sororip imagine), or portentous displays of external signs, the dangers to be avoided.33

Therefore, it seems possible that the Hermetic conception of the “Perfect Nature” is represented as the juncture of the luminous Nous and Natura-creatrix and as its counterpart in the form of a genius in an individual human being, and this conception, in general, might represent a version of the early Christian idea of a Primordial spiritual and intellectual Man, especially taking into consideration the suggestion of Gilles Quispel that the Hermetic conception of the primordial Man (Anthrôpos) in the Poimandres goes back to the description of the Glory of God made by Prophet Ezekiel as the form of Man (demuth kemarēh adam or eidos anthrōpou).34 To this may be added that in the Cosmographia of Bernardus Silvestris the earthly paradise, the “paradise” where the new Man, that is, Homo-microcosmos, was made, can represent a re-elaboration of Eriugena’s concept of the paradise as a human nature in its original divine condition.40 This conception, probably, corresponds to the treatment of a “paradise greater than Eden” in the Book IV of the treatise On the Soul of the eleventh-century Byzantine author Niketas Stethatos, analyzed by Alexander Golitzin, where Niketas wrote that the “human being [anthropos] is seen as a kind of great [world] in the small... God created together with the soul, in the soul, in the whole of the human being made according to His image, the intelligible and invisible world in order that it may be contemplated here [i.e., in the human person] as neighbor to the perceptible.”41 This theme is also continued in the treatise Contemplation of Paradise: “God made the human being in the beginning as a great world... thus, as in a greater world, He planted intelligibly in him another divine paradise greatly transcending the perceptible one... [which] is illuminated by the sun of righteousness. This, indeed, is the place of the Kingdom of Heaven.”42
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This kind of imagery can go back to a conception, elaborated in the context of a Syriac Christianity in the writings a Greek-speaking Syriac author of the fourth century commonly known as Ps.-Macarius. As Kallistos Ware has observed, “this precise identity is a mystery and is likely to remain such, unless fresh evidence comes unexpectedly to light...” There is general agreement that the author of the Macarian writings has no connection with the Coptic Desert Father, St. Macarius of Egypt (c. 300-c.190). The milieu presupposed in the Homilies is definitely Syria rather than Egypt. Although the language used by the author is Greek, his highly distinctive vocabulary and imagery are Syrian... the Homilies date basically from 380s, and are probably written in Mesopotamia and Asia Minor.44 For this author, the sun and the light are the symbols of the comprehension of God by outer and inner man: “As the eyes of the sensible vision see the sensible sun, so the eyes of the soul should see the intelligible light of the sun of “justice”45 (Hom. II Aus Typus III).” The visible sun is compared to the soul, which is on the earth with its earthly thoughts, while the pure soul with its celestial thoughts is considered to be higher than the sun in the spiritual celestial realm. (49, 3, 32-41) For as the sun when it has come up upon the earth is totally on the earth, but when it comes to the setting in the west, it brings together all of its rays, retiring to its own home, so too the soul that is not reborn from above of the Spirit is totally on the earth, scattered about in thoughts and mind over the earth, even unto its ends. When it is deemed worthy to receive the heavenly birth and communion of the Spirit, it brings together all of its thoughts, and, taking them with it, it enters unto the Lord into the heavenly dwelling, not made by hands. And all its thoughts become heavenly and pure and holy, passing into the divine air.46

This two-layered construction resembles a Hermetic anthropological conception transmitted by a 3rd century alchemist Zosimus of Panopolis, according to whom, as Henry Corbin described it, “there is the earthly Adam, the outer man of flesh... subject to the Elements, to planetary influences, and to Fate; the four letters comprising his name47 “encipher” the four cardinal points of the earthly horizon. And there is the man of light..., the hidden spiritual man, the opposite pole to corporeal man.”48

Furthermore, according to Corbin, the conception of the “Perfect Nature” in a referred previously Ghāyāt al-Hakīm (the “Goal of the Sage”) originates from the ancient Christian writings, such as the Hymn of the Pearl or Shepherd of Hermas. This scholar compared
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the mystical experience of Hermas with the similar experience of the narrator of a Hermetic treatise Poimandres, where at the beginning of the treatise he recognizes his celestial Self as the Nous. Corbin described this experience in the following way: “Hermas is at home, seated on his bed in a state of deep meditation. Suddenly a strange-looking personage enters, sits down at his side and announces: “I have been sent by the Most Holy Angel to live beside thee all the days of thy life.” Hermas thinks that the apparition is trying to tempt him: “Who art thou then? For I know to whom I have been entrusted.” Then he said to me: “Dost thou not recognize me?” “No,” “I am the Shepherd to whose care thou hast been entrusted.” And while he spoke, his aspect changed. And behold I recognized the one to whom I had been entrusted.

Whether or not one is willing to see in the prologue of Hermas a Christian replica to the Hermetic Poimander, the fact remains that Christology was not originally quite what it later became.49 Corbin also noted that the etymology of the name “Poimandres” can imply the notions of both the “Nous” and the “Shepherd” (νοομάρχος). The problem of the etymology of the title “Poimandres” was studied in detail by Peter Kingsley who demonstrated that the name Poimandres is of Egyptian origin and means “Understanding of Re” or “Intelligence of Re”, and that this figure, in fact, introduces itself by the etymology of its own name, translated into Greek; this concept refers to the Ancient Egyptian personified and divinised abstraction Sia, “Understanding” or “Intelligence”, often associated, and in fact identified with one of the sons of Re, the god of knowledge and wisdom Thoth; in the creation of the universe he assists Re together with another divinised abstraction, Hū, “Word” or “Command”.50 On the other hand, the same scholar wrote that the “transcription of the Egyptian name P-em tecnología into Greek in the specific form Poimandres is in itself – perfectly understandable; and yet there can be little doubt that at the same time other factors were at work here as well. Any Greek-speaking native of Egypt would almost certainly have been aware that ‘shepherd of the people’ was a standard phrase in Homer; Aeschylus had used the one-word expression ‘shepherd-of-men’ (poimēnōr), while the theme of a shepherd of men (poimēn andrōn) received a further boost from Platonic literature; and, last but not least, personal names formed from the basic world Pōimēn, ‘shepherd’, were far from unusual in Graeco-Roman Egypt.”51 In this case, one can suppose an opposite play in the title of the Shepherd of Hermas (Ποιμην Ἑρμᾶς), namely, that the name Hermas can be associated with the name Hermes (especially considering that the form of the genitive case of both names is the same, Ἑρμᾶς), which intentionally points to the connection with the Hermetic context.

Concerning the nature of the Hermas’ Shepherd, Corbin remarked that “it is not by chance that in the little book of Hermas the expressions “Son of God,” “Archangel Michael,” “Most Holy Angel,” and “Magnificent Angel” are interchangeable.”52 According to the study made by Bogdan Bucur, it would be more correctly to say that the “Son of God” is identified with the angelomorphic supreme “holy spirit,”53 and one may note also that, as it was indicated by Birger A. Pearson, the collection of texts from the first half of the second century, called
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the Shepherd of Hermes, can be found among “the extant Christian manuscripts (or fragments thereof) dating to the second century and preserved in Egypt,” and, as Albertus F.J. Klijn has remarked, one cannot deny a certain popularity of this text in Egypt. In this way, this collection of writings was known in the spiritual milieu, in which the ideas and conceptions of St. Antony originated and found their written and oral expressions.

On the other hand, in his numerous studies Corbin has demonstrated how the Hermetic conception of the “Perfect Nature” was developed by Islamic Iranian philosophers in whose writings this entity is named the scholar’s “Witness in Heaven,” his “suprasensory personal Guide,” “Sun of the mystery;” “Sun of the heart,” “Sun of high knowledge,” “Sun of the Spirit.”

One may observe that similar ideas and terminology were used in the Christian tradition, namely, in the mentioned previously Contemplation of Paradise by Niketas Stethatos, analyzed by Alexander Golitzin, who discovered there the features of the ancient Jewish and Christian apocalyptic tradition. Golitzin has indicated that Niketas highlighted the role of a spiritual experience of a Christian instructor whom he calls the “true bishop” and who is “both initiate and communicator of heavenly mysteries.” This scholar described in the following words the conception of a true spiritual father according to Niketas Stethatos and its supposed origins: “the “true bishop,” “initiate,” “Mystagogue,” and “priest of the divine mysteries” has a number of other titles as well. These include mediator (μεσίτης), leader or abbot (ἡγούμενος), lawgiver (νομοθέτης), guide (ὁδηγός, ὁδηγός), teacher (διδάσκαλος) physician (ἰατρὸς), nazirite (ναζιραῖος), prophet (προφήτης), sage, friend of God, spiritual father (“begetting other souls in Christ,” theologian, apostle, and finally, “earthly angel” (ἐπίγειος ἄγγελος), and “heavenly man” (ἄνθρωπος οὐρανός). This list, particularly the first and last titles, mediator and earthly angel/heavenly man, leads me to suggest that, for Niketas, the place of the otherworldly being in the ancient apocalypses who acts as guide and interpreter for the seer, the angelus interpres, is taken over by the spiritual father. The latter, in and for himself, stands surely in the place of the ancient seer of apocalyptic. He is transformed into light and has acquired angelic status, but, and precisely in view of the latter change, he becomes indeed for other their “guide” and “interpreter,” the one who directs his disciples to a like experience of heaven and who then explains the vision’s meaning.

The difference from the Hermetic and Iranian Sufi understanding of the “celestial guide” is that in this role is considered a holy man, in whom, like in the figure of Pachomius, invisible God is seen as in a mirror. The pupils of St. Pachomius, as is recorded in the Greek Vita 22, “as if, through the purity of his heart, were seeing the invisible God as in a mirror” (ὡς ἐν ἐσόπτρῳ). Therefore, for a Christian believer to contemplate a saint would mean to encounter his celestial self and be saved. This can be a representation of another version of the spiritual Nature/Essence of St. Antony, which is a celestial Self of a Christian, one for all of them and at the same time manifested in the neighbor, only contrary to the anti-anthropomorphic approach to God, characteristic of the religious thought of St. Antony, this time the invisible God can be seen in a mirror of a spiritual guide, represented by a real human being.

Conclusion

As it can be seen from the previous discussion, the terms used by St. Antony for the characterization of his conception of a “spiritual/intellectual nature” correspond to the ancient Christian tripartite anthropological construction, on the top of which a true Christian acquires, as St. Paul says in his First Letter to the Corinthians 2:10-16, the mind (“nous”) of Christ, that is, becomes the pneumaticos mediator and earthly angel/earthly heavenly man, leads me to suggest that, for Niketas, the place of the otherworldly being in the ancient apocalypses who acts as guide and interpreter for the seer, the angelus interpres, is taken over by the spiritual father. The latter, in and for himself, stands surely in the place of the ancient seer of apocalyptic. He is transformed into light and has acquired angelic status, but, and precisely in view of the latter change, he becomes indeed for other their “guide” and “interpreter,” the one who directs his disciples to a like experience of heaven and who then explains the vision’s meaning.

The summary of the results of these studies is presented in Corbin, The Man of Light... p. 8-9.
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