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**Abstract**

This study was carried out with the objective of determining the quantity of selected essential and nonessential metals; K, Na, Mg, Ca, Cu, Mn, Cr, Cd, Fe and Zn in the leaf and stem of *Rhamnus prinoides*. Samples were collected from the low-altitude (1500–1670 meters above sea level) and medium-altitude (1670–2000 meters above sea level) areas of Bako Tibe. Wet acid-digestion using a mixture of HNO₃, HClO₃ and H₂O₂ for leaf (2.5, 1, 0.5 mL) and for stem (2.5, 1, 1 mL) was used. K and Na were analysed using flame photometry, Ca and Mg were determined titrimetrically and the other metals with flame atomic absorption spectrometry (FAAS) after appropriate quality control measures were undertaken to verify and maintain the quality of the data generated. The results of the study showed that the average concentrations determined were ranged from 8855.543 (stem) to 12927.3 (leaf) mg kg⁻¹ for K, 226.214 (leaf) to 308.657 (stem) mg kg⁻¹ for Na, 6144 (stem) to 11120 (leaf) mg kg⁻¹ for Ca, 352.34 (leaf) to 1526.809 (stem) mg kg⁻¹ for Mg, 29.0995 (leaf) to 49.913 (stem) mg kg⁻¹ for Cu, 3.357 (stem) to 13.107 (stem) mg kg⁻¹ for Mn, 1.714 (leaf) to 2.374 (stem) mg kg⁻¹ for Cr, 8.58 (leaf) to 10.73 (stem) mg kg⁻¹ for Fe, 3.483 (leaf) to 18.36 (stem) mg kg⁻¹ for Zn and below method detection limit for Cd. The concentrations of the metals were also compared with recommended maximum permissible limits and some international reports; and found to be in a good agreement indicating no exposure risk of using the leaves and stems of *Rhamnus prinoides* under the current situation.

**INTRODUCTION**

*Rhamnus prinoides* L’Herit, common name dogwood, Amharic name Gesho, family Rhamnaceae, is a wide spread plant species in East, Central and South African countries. It is a native plant to Ethiopia, Botswana, Eritrea, Lesotho, Namibia, South Africa, Swaziland, Uganda and exotic to Kenya. It also occurs in Cameroon, Sudan and Angola. The African dogwood, *R. prinoides* (Rhamnaceae) is a dense shrub or a tree that grows up to 6 m high (Berhanu and Teshome, 1995; Hailemichael et al., 2007; Afewerk and Chadravanshi, 2012).

The Gesho plant (*R. prinoides*), which is different from hop (*Humulus lupulus*) is widely cultivated in Ethiopia and is available dried in the local market (Haimanot, 2011); the leaves and stems of this plant are used to impart the characteristic bitter flavour to domestically brewed beverages known as Tella and Tej and it is estimated that well over 5 million people consume these beverages daily (Berhanu and Teshome, 1995). The leaves and stems of Gesho are indispensable ingredients in the making of these traditional fermented beverages. It has been reported that the plant regulates the microflora responsible for the fermentation process. It plays a major role to suppress certain bacteria during the fermentation process (Hailemichael et al., 2007). It is also a valuable cash crop; leaves/twigs or stems are used by most of individuals in the country.

The *Rhamnus prinoides* L’Herit is also used as traditional medicine. In some African countries its leaf is used for the treatment of the diseases/disorders like stomach complications, joint pain, fever, diarrhea, common cold, malaria, body weakness, appetizer and pneumonia, sprains, gonorrhea, colic, rheumatism and ringworm infections (Berhanu and Teshome, 1995; Hailemichael et al., 2007). Specifically, in Southern Africa, the chief use of the tree is for magic; it is widely used as a protective charm toward off lightning and evil influences from homes and crops (Berhanu and Teshome, 1995; Hailemichael et al., 2007).

It is also known that the source of mineral nutrients for human being is mostly plant materials consumed in the form of drinks, food or medicine. So, since *R. prinoides* is one of the main constitutes of traditional drinks and also used as traditional medicines (serve to cure different diseases for human being and domestic animals), the knowledge of their mineral content is very useful. Thus, it is very important to assess the essential, non-essential and toxic mineral nutrients that can be accumulated in the
stated plant species so as to address the individual daily intake of mineral nutrients.

The objectives of the study were to: (i) optimize digestion procedure for the stem and leaf of Gesho samples to determine mineral contents by flame photometry and FAAS, (ii) determine the amounts of essential and non-essential metals in stem and leaf of the plant, (iii) compare the amounts of essential and non-essential metals in stem and leaf of the plant within the lowland and medium altitude, as well as between the lowland and medium altitude, and (iv) compare the amounts of essential and non-essential metals in stem and leaf of the plant with the literature values. For which the samples of the leaves and stems of *Rhamnus prinoides* were collected from the sites of lowland and medium altitude of Bako Tibe district of West Showa zone.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Apparatus and Glassware**

A stainless steel cutter, drying oven (DHG-9070A), mortar and pestle, 0.5 mm stainless steel sieve, electronic analytical balance with 0.0001 sensitivity (AA-2000DS, Deriver Instrument Company) micropipette (0–50 μL), borosilicate beakers (100, 250 mL), hot plate (Glory dial 1-5), volumetric flasks (50, 100 and 1000 mL), Whatman filter paper (No. 42), funnels, capped glass bottles (50 mL), flame atomic absorption spectrophotometer (SL194, Double beam AAS, Elico) and flame photometer (CL 378, Elico) were used.

**Chemicals and Reagents**

- HNO₃ (65–68%, Uni-Chem° Chemical reagents, India), HClO₄ (70–72%, Uni-Chem° Chemical reagents, India), extra pure hydrogen peroxide H₂O₂ (30%, Uni-Chem° Chemical reagents), aqua-regia (1:3, HNO₃: HCl), HCl (35–38%, LR, s.d.fine-CHEM, India), H₂O₂, (HIMEDIA®), stock standard solutions (1000 ppm) prepared in 20 mL 1:1HNO₃ for Cu and Fe metal diluted to 1 L, 17 mL 1:1 HCl for Zn and Fe diluted to 1 L and distilled water (boiled for 30 minutes) were used.

**Stock and Working Intermediate Metal Standard Preparation**

The stock standard solutions of each of the metals of interest were prepared at the concentrations of 1000 mg mL⁻¹. The working intermediate metal standard solutions (100 μg mL⁻¹ each) were prepared by diluting 10 mL of 1000 μg mL⁻¹ individual metal stock standard solutions with distilled water (boiled for 30 minutes) to a 100 mL volume.

**Calibration Metal Standard Solutions**

A blank and five calibration standard solutions of different concentration levels were prepared for each metal from the respective working intermediate standard solutions (100 μg mL⁻¹). The metal concentrations include 1.5, 2.5, 3.5, 4.5, 5.0, 5.5 μg mL⁻¹ for Cr; 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 1.25 μg mL⁻¹ for Cd; 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0 μg mL⁻¹ for Cu; 1.0, 1.75, 2.5, 3.25, 4.0 μg mL⁻¹ for Mn; and 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 4.0, 8.0 μg mL⁻¹ for Fe and Zn. These calibration standard concentrations were within the working linear range of the instrument used for analysis. Beginning with the blank and working toward the highest standard, the solutions were aspirated and the readings were recorded.
Analysis of Laboratory Control Samples

Triplicates of LCSs prepared from sucrose spiked with the target analyte of known concentration the same to sample spike were analyzed along with each batch of the leaf and stem samples. LCS recoveries and the RSD values of the LCS recoveries values for each metal of interest were calculated and used to control overall analytical method accuracy and precision, respectively which were independent of sample matrix effects (Csuros and Csuros, 2002; Miller and Miller, 2010).

Analysis of Stem and Leaf of *R. prinoides* Samples for Metal Levels

Five replicate analyses were carried out on each sample for the determinations of Na and K with flame photometry and Cu, Mn, Cr, Cd, Fe and Zn with FAAS; and the concentrations of Ca and Mg were determined by complexometric EDTA titration with the procedure given in FAO (Haimanot, 2011).

Statistical Analysis

The metal level of each *R. prinoides* samples were analysed by FAAS, FAES and titration. During the processes of sample preparation and analysis a number of random errors may be introduced in each aliquot and in each replicate measurement. The variation in means of the analytes of samples collected from the two sites was tested by using T-test of SPSS Version20 Software to test whether the source for variation was from experimental procedure or heterogeneity among the samples.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Moisture Correction Factor of Stem and Leaf Samples

The moisture content of the four samples of air dried *R. prinoides* was 2.582–3.409%. Therefore, the air dried gesho cultivated in lowland and medium altitude areas of Bako has comparable moisture content with the value 2.5-14.4% reported for typical analysis of lumber (Simpson, 1999).

Optimization of Digestion Procedures for Leaf and Stem Samples

From the optimized procedures, the mixture of 2.5 mL HNO<sub>3</sub>, 1 mL HClO<sub>4</sub> and 0.5 mL H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, digestion time of 120 min and digestion temperature of 170±4.37° C (at dial 2) were found to be the optimal condition for 0.5 g *R. prinoides* leaf sample and applied for complete digestion of samples. After digestion, the samples were cooled and diluted to 50 mL.

In the same manner, the optimum conditions 2.5 mL HNO<sub>3</sub>, 1.5 mL HClO<sub>4</sub> and 1 mL H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> mixtures, digestion time of 110 min and digestion temperature of 180±2.582° C (at dial 2.5) were obtained for 0.5 g *R. prinoides* stem samples.

Quality Assurance/Control Calibration

Calibration curves for the various concentration ranges showed good correlation coefficients ranged between 0.996 and 0.999 (Table 3), which were all greater than the required limit (0.995) for trace element analysis (US EPA, 2007). This showed that there was good correlation (or relationship) between concentration and absorbance indicating good calibration of the instrument.
Matrix Spike and Matrix Spike Duplicate Results

The highest average recovery obtained was 103.409% (Cu in stem) and the lowest 91.875% (Na in leaf) (Table 2) where all were in the range 80–120%, which is recommended for matrix spike recovery of major element analyses by FAAS (US EPA, 2008). This indicated that the analytical process has provided the required matrix-dependant accuracy. Therefore, the method was well applicable for all the matrices and all the results obtained were trustable.

RPD values of MS and MSD obtained ranged between 1.556 (K in stem) and 13.451 (Fe in stem) (Table 2) which were in the acceptance limit (≤15%) (FAO, 2008). This showed that the overall analytical process was less affected by matrix-specific variability and the data generated was in the acceptable quality range.

Method Accuracy

The percent recoveries of each sample spike (n=3) were determined for each metal as shown in Table 1 and all lied in the acceptable range (80–120%) for metal analysis (US EPA, 2008). This showed that the method was well applicable for all the matrices and all the results obtained were trustable.

RPD values of MS and MSD obtained ranged between 1.556 (K in stem) and 13.451 (Fe in stem) (Table 2) which were in the acceptance limit (≤15%) (FAO, 2008). This indicated that the analytical method, which covers digestion and instrumental measurement steps, has provided acceptable repeatability or precision.

Instrument Detection, Method Detection and Quantification Limits

The instrument detection limits ranged between 0.21 and 0.72 mg kg⁻¹, indicating good sensitivity of the measuring instrument for analyses. The MDL values lied in the range 0.797 (Cr in leaf)–4.73 (Fe in stem) mg kg⁻¹ and method quantification limit values 1.76 (Cd in leaf)–11 (Fe in stem) mg kg⁻¹ (Table 3). All the values were found to be in the required limit (Temminghoff and Houbia, 2004). This indicated that the method was well applicable for the determination of the essential and nonessential metals.

### Table 1: Recovery and precision test for the optimized procedure from sample spike (n = 3)

| Metals | Sample type | Conc. in sample (µg mL⁻¹) | Amount spiked (µg mL⁻¹) | Conc. in spiked sample (µg mL⁻¹) | Recovery (%) | Precision (% RSD) |
|--------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| K      | Leaf        | 129.90±1.572              | 4.0                     | 133.88±1.815                 | 95.83±6.292  | 6.318            |
|        | Stem        | 104.46±0.896              | 4.0                     | 108.27±0.983                 | 95.16±2.673  | 2.809            |
| Na     | Leaf        | 2.60±0.520                | 4.0                     | 2.637±0.520                  | 95.83±4.544  | 4.908            |
|        | Stem        | 6.03±0.153                | 4.0                     | 10±0.436                     | 90.16±12.583 | 12.689           |
| Cu     | Leaf        | 28.31±1.263               | 2.5                     | 30.20±1.366                  | 93.87±6.19   | 4.524            |
|        | Stem        | 29.48±0.525               | 2.5                     | 31.51±0.606                  | 89.94±4.17   | 1.923            |
| Mn     | Leaf        | 10.26±0.467               | 2.0                     | 12.16±0.382                  | 95±4.38      | 3.139            |
|        | Stem        | 7.45±0.721                | 2.0                     | 9.41±0.651                   | 98.03±3.71   | 6.914            |
| Cr     | Leaf        | 1.48±0.023                | 2.5                     | 3.95±0.0311                  | 98.79±1.652  | 0.786            |
|        | Stem        | 1.06±0.117                | 2.5                     | 3.53±0.0544                  | 99.38±2.595  | 1.537            |
| Cd     | Leaf        | 0.02±0.007                | 0.5                     | 0.50±0.032                   | 96.27±4.202  | 4.922            |
|        | Stem        | 0.013±0.0047              | 0.5                     | 0.50±0.0312                  | 98.86±6.125  | 6.245            |
| Fe     | Leaf        | 8.1±1.153                 | 3.0                     | 10.92±1.1325                 | 94.3±2.917   | 10.362           |
|        | Stem        | 7.73±11.01                | 3.0                     | 80.60±11.002                 | 95.7±2.079   | 13.649           |
| Zn     | Leaf        | 10.17±0.0643              | 3.0                     | 13.12±0.107                  | 98.39±1.844  | 0.818            |
|        | Stem        | 20.86±2.612               | 3.0                     | 23.70±2.534                  | 94.51±5.331  | 10.69            |

RSD = Relative standard deviation

### Table 2: Mean %R and RPD values of metals from MS and MSD; and %R and RSD values of LCSs analysed along with real samples for method validation.

| Metals | LCS (%R, n=3) | LCS (RSD) | MS and MSD (%R, n=2) | MS and MSD (RPD) |
|--------|---------------|-----------|----------------------|------------------|
| Leaf   | Stem          | Leaf      | Stem                 | Leaf             | Stem           |
| K      | 94.17±6.29    | 96.67±1.44| 6.681                | 1.493            | 100±8.84       | 94.88±3.712     | 12.5            | 5.534           |
| Na     | 90.83±7.64    | 91.67±8.04| 8.408                | 8.767            | 91.88±6.19     | 92.5±7.071      | 9.524           | 10.811          |
| Cu     | 98.55±6.17    | 97.41±7.01| 6.256                | 7.194            | 91.95±3.61     | 103.41±3.75     | 5.128           | 1.905           |
| Mn     | 100.07±5.73   | 96.65±6.32| 5.721                | 6.537            | 94.88±1.69     | 97.4±5.96       | 6.186           | 8.978           |
| Cr     | 98.26±2.5     | 99.69±1.23| 2.55                 | 1.235            | 98.15±1.74     | 100.1±2.317     | 1.556           | 2.198           |
| Cd     | 98.6±4.06     | 100.6±3.86| 4.12                 | 3.834            | 101.3±2.12     | 96.2±4.243      | 9.428           | 12.121          |
| Fe     | 99.75±3.76    | 96.71±0.68| 3.77                 | 0.71             | 98.5±8         | 98.617±7.13     | 9.839           | 13.451          |
| Zn     | 99.72±5.12    | 96.59±1.68| 5.13                 | 1.74             | 94.75±0.15     | 92.07±0.632     | 1.59            | 4.015           |

LCS = laboratory control samples, %R = percent recovery, RSD = relative standard deviation, MS = matrix spike, MSD = matrix spike duplicate, RPD = relative percent difference
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Table 3: Instrument detection limit (IDL), method detection limit (MDL), method quantitation limit (MQL) and correlation coefficients of calibration curves for metals determined in stem and leaf samples of *R. prinoides*.

| Metals | Correlation coefficient of calibration curves (r²) | Calibration equation | IDL (mg kg⁻¹) | MDL (mg kg⁻¹) for | MQL (mg kg⁻¹) for |
|--------|---------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|
| K      | -                               |                      | 0.72         | 3.97             | 7.09             | 6.28             |
| Na     | 0.999                           | Y = 0.033X±0.003     | 0.62         | 3.28             | 5.28             | 4.85             |
| Cu     | 0.996                           | Y = 0.008X±0.003     | 0.15         | 1.60             | 1.25             | 3.95             | 3.34             |
| Mn     | 0.999                           | Y = 0.013X±0.002     | 0.13         | 0.73             | 0.60             | 1.89             | 1.59             |
| Cr     | 0.999                           | Y = 0.082X±0.002     | 0.06         | 0.50             | 0.51             | 1.02             | 1.05             |
| Cd     | 0.998                           | Y = 0.015X±0.005     | 0.59         | 1.20             | 2.30             | 3.08             | 3.97             |
| Fe     | 0.997                           | Y = 0.049X±0.020     | 0.44         | 1.24             | 2.08             | 3.37             | 3.69             |

Laboratory Sample Controls Result

The percent recovery values of LCS lied in the range 90.833 (Na in leaf)–100.6% (Cd in stem) and their relative standard deviations 0.71 (Fe in stem)–8.767 (Na in stem) (Table 2). And all the values were found under standard control limits 80–120% for LCS recovery, and ≤15% for RSD (Csuros and Csuros, 2002). This showed that the method used for the study has provided the required level of accuracy and precision throughout the analytical process.

Metals in the Leaf Samples

Levels of selected essential and nonessential metals were determined in two 0.5 g leaf samples of *R. prinoides* (of lowland and medium altitude areas) and the data of total concentrations of the metals in the leaf samples studied were summarized in Table 4.

Table 4: Average concentration (mean ± SD, n = 5, mg kg⁻¹ air dry weight) of metals in stem and leaf samples of *R. prinoides*.

| Metals | Leaf (mg kg⁻¹ air dry weight) | Stem (mg kg⁻¹ air dry weight) |
|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|        | Lowland | Medium altitude | Lowland | Medium altitude |
| K      | 12927.3±346.915 | 11651.3±333.437 | 10239.5±386.225 | 8855.5±269.944 |
| Na     | 226.214±19.235  | 320.214±10.368  | 308.657±7.906   | 413.657±7.906   |
| Ca     | 7424.2±158.997  | 3120.210±126.491 | 6144±190.997    | 7416±153.883    |
| Mg     | 352.34±13.143   | 1526.809±122.978 | 413.617±126.638 | 1210±133.081    |
| Cu     | 29.10±3.453     | 36.978±6.428     | 46.338±4.745    | 49.91±5.829     |
| Mn     | 13.10±0.28      | 7.357±1.976      | 9.407±0.259     | 3.357±0.198     |
| Cr     | 1.714±0.34      | 1.714±0.193      | 2.174±0.296     | 2.374±0.237     |
| Cd     | BMDL            | BMDL             | BMDL            | BMDL            |
| Fe     | 8.58±1.23       | 10.71±3.38       | 9.387±3.37      | 10.73±0.101     |
| Zn     | 3.48±1.54       | 7.91±4.99        | 16.33±3.04      | 18.36±6.12      |

BMDL = below method detection limit, SD = standard deviation

As shown in the table, the mean Potassium concentrations in the leaf samples were 12927.3 mg kg⁻¹ for lowland and 11651.3 mg kg⁻¹ for medium altitude. So, K concentrations were relatively higher than other metals, which were still below the FAO/WHO maximum permissible limit of 1–5% K in plant dry matter (FAO, 2006), indicating no exposure risk to it.

The Sodium concentrations of the leaf samples were determined in two 0.5 g leaf samples of *R. prinoides* (lowland and medium altitude areas). These concentrations were below the WHO recommendation on sodium maximum consumption for adults, which is 2 g sodium/day (WHO, 2012).

The average concentration of Calcium in the leaf samples was 7424 mg kg⁻¹ in the lowland areas and 11120 mg kg⁻¹ in the medium altitude areas. Ca concentration was greater in the leaf samples of the medium altitude areas which was slightly greater than typical concentration in plant dry matter of 0.2–1.0% (FAO, 2006).

Magnesium concentrations in the leaf samples were found 352.34 mg kg⁻¹ (lowland) and 1526.809 mg kg⁻¹ (medium altitude), which was greater in the leaf sample of the medium altitude areas.

Concentrations of Copper in leaf samples were 29.095 and 36.978 mg kg⁻¹. The greater concentration of Cu was the one found in leaf sample of medium altitude areas and both concentrations were below the maximum permissible limit of 40 mg kg⁻¹ dry weight (FAO/WHO, 1995).

Concentration of Manganese in the leaf samples of lowland was 13.107 mg kg⁻¹ and that of medium altitude areas was 7.357 mg kg⁻¹, being lower in leaf samples of medium altitude areas. Both Mn concentrations were below the tolerable limit of 500 mg kg⁻¹ dry weight (Council of US, 2002). The critical concentration of Mn is 300–500 ppm dry weight and the estimated safe and adequate daily dietary intake in adults is 11 mg/day (Khan et al., 2008).

Iron was determined in the leaf samples and was found to be 8.58 and 10.71 mg kg⁻¹ in leaf samples of lowland and medium altitude areas, respectively. In the UK, the Guidance Level for supplemental intake of iron is 17 mg/day for adults. The WHO’s thresholds for iron adequacy ranges between 0 and 6% according to age and sex (SACN, 2010). Thus, the concentrations of Fe obtained by this research were in this recommended threshold for Fe.
Zinc, analyzed in both leaf samples was 3.48 and 7.91 mg kg\(^{-1}\) in the case of lowland and medium altitude areas, respectively. The amounts of Zn were below the US Recommended Daily Allowance (RDA) which is 15 mg/day for males and 12 mg/day for females and estimated maximum intake of 77 mg/day (http://www.google.com.et/search?output=search&scsite=psyab&q=Risk+Assessment%2C+Zn+pdf).

Chromium was detected in both the leaf samples although at very low concentrations. Its concentration was observed to be 1.714 mg kg\(^{-1}\) in leaf samples of both lowland and medium altitude areas. The daily intake of Cr 50–200 μg has been recommended for adults by US National Academy of Sciences (Khan et al., 2008) and the minimum reporting limit for Cr in tissue is 1 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (US EPA, 2012).

The mean Cadmium concentrations were below method detection limits in leaf and were below the acceptable concentration for foodstuff which is around 1 ppm (Khan et al., 2008), indicating no exposure risk to Cd.

Generally, when the distribution of the selected essential and nonessential metals over each leaf sample of \(R.\) prinoides was observed, they were found to vary in the order: K > Ca > Mg > Na > Cu > Mn > Fe > Zn > Cr > Cd (BMDL) in leaf samples of lowland areas, K > Ca > Mg > Na > Cu > Zn > Fe > Mn > Cr > Cd (BMDL) in leaf samples of medium altitude areas.

**Metal Levels of Leaf and Stem**

The levels of ten metals (four major and six trace) were determined in two stem samples and the results were summarized in Table 4. This data indicated the concentrations of K, Ca, Mg, Na, Cu, Mn, Fe, Zn and Cr to be 10239.54, 6144, 413.617, 308.657, 46.338, 9.407, 9.387, 16.33 and 2.174 mg kg\(^{-1}\) respectively in the lowland stem samples of \(R.\) prinoides; and 8855.543, 7416, 1210.213, 413.657, 9.407, 9.387, 16.33 and 2.174 mg kg\(^{-1}\) respectively in the medium altitude stem samples of \(R.\) prinoides. The concentrations of all metals were greater in the medium altitude stem samples of \(R.\) prinoides except that of K which was greater in the lowland stem samples of \(R.\) prinoides and that of Fe, Zn and Cr which were comparable in both samples. And all the concentrations were under the FAO/WHO maximum permissible limit of typical concentration in plant dry matter 1–5% for K, 0.2–1.0% for Ca, 0.1–0.4% for Mg, 20–500 μg g\(^{-1}\) for Mn, 5–20 μg g\(^{-1}\) for Cu, 50–250 μg g\(^{-1}\) for Fe, 21–150 μg g\(^{-1}\) for Zn (FAO, 2006) and the minimum reporting limit 1 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for Cr in tissue (US EPA, 2012).

Generally, the distributions of the selected essential and nonessential metals in each stem samples of \(R.\) prinoides, they were in the order of: K > Ca > Mg > Na > Cu > Zn > Mn > Fe > Cr > Cd (BMDL) in lowland stem samples and K > Ca > Mg > Na > Cu > Zn > Fe > Mn > Cr > Cd (BMDL) in medium altitude stem samples.

**Metal Levels of Lowland Leaf and Stem**

The analyzed selected metal levels of lowland stem and leaf samples of the \(R.\) prinoides indicated that they were irregularly distributed. The concentration of K was highest in leaf and lowest in stem. Na, Mg, Cu, Fe and Cr levels were highest in stem, lowest in leaf; Ca and Mn were highest in leaf, lowest in stem; Zn levels were lowest in leaf and highest in stem samples; and Cd levels were below method detection limits in both sample items (Table 4).

**Metal Levels of Medium altitude Leaf and Stem**

The distributions of selected essential and nonessential metal levels analyzed in the medium altitude stem and leaf samples of \(R.\) prinoides were observed to be irregular. The concentration of K was highest in leaf and lowest in stem. Na, Fe, Zn and Cu levels were highest in stem, lowest in leaf. Ca was highest in leaf, lowest in stem. Concentrations of Mg, Mn and Cr were highest in leaf, lowest in stem and Cd levels were below method detection limits in both sample items (Table 4).

**Metal Levels of Leaf and Stem**

The findings of this study were compared with some other related published reports conducted in some other parts of the world with regard to the essential and nonessential metal levels in leaf and stem samples. The mean levels of Na and K in leaf and stem of \(R.\) prinoides of this study were lower than the one reported by Idris et al. (2011) on nutritional composition of the leaves and stems of \(ocimum\) gratissimum in Nigeria. The amounts of Ca and Mg in leaf and stem of \(R.\) prinoides of present study were higher than that obtained by Idris et al. (2011) in Nigeria where as that of Zn in leaf and stem were slightly lower and Fe were lower in leaf and greater in stem than the value reported by Idris et al. (2011); and Ca in leaf was comparable; Mg, Mn, Fe, Zn and Cr in leaf was lower and Cu was slightly greater compared to the results reported by Minaleshewa (2010) on khat here in Ethiopia. The levels of Mn, Fe, Zn and Cd were lower and that of Cu were higher in leaf than the values in leaf reported by Khattak and Khattak (2011) in Pakistan.

A study conducted here in Ethiopia, investigated the levels of essential and nonessential metals in the leaf and stem of \(R.\) prinoides cultivated in Ethiopia (Afewerk and Chandravanshi, 2012). The study was confirmed concentrations of Ca, Mg, Cu, Mn, Fe, Zn, Cr and Cd to be 6304–22236, 302–5706, 6.5–73, 8.12–17.9, 47.9–187, 12.2–43, 5.08–20.6 and 0.81–3.1 respectively in leaf and stem 3601–5675, 2635–5528, 16.8–233, 2.6–3.98, 22.0–124, 17.4–28.2, ND–16.3 and ND–1.56 respectively in stem. The same trend has been observed in the present study except that of Ca and Mn in stem which were somewhat greater; and Mg and Fe in both and Zn in leaf were below this range.

**CONCLUSIONS**

A wet acid-digestion method using the mixture of \(HNO_3\), \(HClO_4\) and \(H_2O_2\) for preparation of leaf and stem samples were optimized, validated and used. The determinations of selected essential and non-essential metal levels (K, Na, Ca, Mg, Cr, Cu, Zn, Fe, Mn and Cd) in the samples were made by flame photometer, flame atomic absorption spectrophotometer and complexometric titration with EDTA. The quality of the analytical data generated and general laboratory performance were continuously monitored and verified through the analyses of several quality control parameters including CCS, LCSs, MS, MSD, method blank and laboratory...
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solvent/reagent blanks. The analyses results ensured that the laboratory systems such as instrumentation, sample preparation, analysis and data acquisition were all operating within acceptable guidelines.

T-test was performed among the leaf and stem samples of the two sites separately to assess whether the essential and nonessential metals were distributed in significantly different concentrations or not. The test results showed that there were significant differences (p < 0.05, at 95% CL) in the concentrations of all metals of interest among the analyzed leaf and stem samples except Fe and Zn (in leaf and stem) and Cu and Cr (in stem). The metals such as K, Ca, Mg, Na and Cu were generally found in higher concentrations than the rest metals in all of the samples. However, in comparison with the recommended maximum permissible limits, the levels of all metals of interest were in the similar range of the FAO/WHO or EPA tolerable limits which means that they were found under the safe limits. This indicated that there was no risk of exposure through the use of this plant in the case of the two sampling areas. The metal levels investigated in leaf and stem samples were also found to be comparable with other similar studies conducted over different parts of the world.

The variations of the metal concentrations in the leaf and stem may be ascribed to: nature of the leaf and stem (i.e., the differences in physiological properties of metal uptake that varies from site to site, exposure surface area and plant age); the physical and chemical nature of the soil where the plant grew (cation exchange capacity, organic matter content and soil pH); atmospheric deposition of the metals (which may be influenced by innumerable environmental factors such as temperature, moisture and pH) (Kalra, 1998). In short, leaves and stems are good sources of a healthy diet; and they are not exposure routes to some metal contaminations under the current existing situation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to express the deepest thanks to Ambo University for the financial support and laboratory facility.

REFERENCES

Afewerk, G., Chandravanshi, B.S. (2012). Levels of Essential and Non-essential Metals in Rhamnus prinoides (Gesho) Cultivated in Ethiopia. Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Ethiopia 26(3): 329–342.

Berhanu, A.M., Teshome, K. A. (1995). Bitter Principle of Rhamnus prinoides and Other Constituents of the Leaves. Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Ethiopia 9(2): 107–114.

Council of US. (2002). Guide Lines on Metals and Alloys Used as Food Contact Materials.

Csuros, M., Csuros, C. (2002). Environmental sampling and analysis for metals. CRC Press, USA.

FAO. (2006). Plant Nutrition for Food Security. A Guide for Integrated Nutrient Management by Roy RN. FAO 16.

FAO. (2008). Guide to Laboratory Establishment for Plant Nutrient Analysis by Roy RN. FAO Fort Pi Nut Bul 19.

FAO/WHO. (1995). Codex General Standard for Contaminants and Toxins in Food and Feed (CODEX STAN 193-1995).

Haimanot, A. (2011). Isolation and Characterization of the Dominant Yeast in the Traditional beverages of Ethiopia; Tella and Tej. M.sc. Thesis, Addis Ababa University.

Idris, S., Iyaka, Y.A., Ndamiitso, M.M., Paiko, Y.B. (2011). Nutritional Composition of the Leaves and Stems of Ocimum Gratissimum. Journal of Emerging Trends in Engineering and Applied Sciences 2(5): 801-805.

Kalra, Y.P. (1998). Handbook of Reference Methods for Plant Analysis. Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, USA.

Khan, Sh.A., Khan, L., Hussain, I., Marwat, K.B., Akhtar, N. (2008). Profile of Heavy Metals in Selected Medicinal Plants. Pakistan Journal of Weed Science Research 14(1-2): 101-110.

Khattak, M.I., Khattak, M.I. (2011). Study of Heavy Trace Metals in Some Medicinal–Herbal Plants of Pakistan. Pakistan Journal of Botony 43(4): 2003–2009.

Miller, N.J., Miller, C.J. (2010). Statistics and Chemometrics for Analytical Chemistry, 6th ed. Pearson Education Limited, UK.

Minaleshewa, A. (2010). Concentration Levels of Essential and Non-essential Metals in Ethiopian Khat (Catha edulis Forsk). Biological Trace Element Research 138: 316–325.

SACN. (2010). Iron and Health. TSO (The Stationery Office), London.

Simpson, W.T. (1999). Drying and Control of Moisture Content and Dimensional Changes. Chapter 12.

Temminghoff, E.J., Houba, V.J. (2004). Plant Analysis Procedures Second Edition. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands.

US EPA. (2007). Solutions to Analytical Chemistry Problems with Clean Water Act Methods. EPA 821-R-07-002, Washington, DC.

US EPA. (2008). National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review. USEPA-540-R08-01, Washington, DC.

US EPA. (2012). Laboratory Operations and Quality Assurance Manual. Analytical Support Branch, Athens, Georgia 30605–2700.

WHO. (2012). Guideline: Sodium Intake for Adults and Children. Geneva.