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Abstract

Background

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and obesity are independently associated with increased risk for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), the leading cause of mortality in patients with NAFLD. Many NAFLD patients are lean, but their ASCVD risk compared to obese subjects with NAFLD is unclear.

Methods

Data from the 2008–2011 Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys database were analysed (n = 4,786). NAFLD was defined as a comprehensive NAFLD score of ≥40 or a liver fat score of ≥−0.640. ASCVD risk was evaluated using the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association guidelines. A high probability of ASCVD was defined as an ASCVD risk of >10%.

Results

The frequency of subjects without NAFLD, with obese NAFLD, and with lean NAFLD was 62.4% (n = 2,987), 26.6% (n = 1,274), and 11.0% (n = 525), respectively. Subjects with lean NAFLD had a significantly higher ASCVD score and prevalence of a high ASCVD risk (mean 15.6 ± 14.0 and 51.6%) than those with obese NAFLD and without NAFLD (mean 11.2 ± 11.4 and 39.8%; 7.9 ± 10.9 and 25.5%, all P < 0.001). Subjects with lean NAFLD and significant liver fibrosis showed a significantly higher odds ratio for a high risk for ASCVD than those with obese NAFLD with or without significant liver fibrosis (odds ratio = 2.60 vs. 1.93; P = 0.023).

Conclusions

Despite a more favourable metabolic profile, subjects with lean NAFLD had a significantly higher ASCVD score and prevalence of high ASCVD risk than those with obese NAFLD. Similarly, lean subjects with significant liver fibrosis had a higher probability of ASCVD than obese subjects in the subpopulation with NAFLD.

Background

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the most common liver disease worldwide. Although its prevalence varies geographically, overall it is around 25% globally (1–3). Its increasing prevalence is linked to the alarming increase in obesity, insulin resistance, and diabetes mellitus (DM), which are risk factors for both NAFLD and cardiovascular disease (CVD). Therefore, there is a higher prevalence of CVD among individuals with NAFLD compared to those without NAFLD, and CVD is the leading cause of mortality in individuals with NAFLD (4–7).
NAFLD is associated with obesity and its related comorbidities, but it can also develop in individuals with a body mass index (BMI) $< 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ in Caucasians and $< 23 \text{ kg/m}^2$ in Asians; these are defined as having lean NAFLD (8). This sub-phenotype of patients with NAFLD has been described in various ethnicities, particularly in Asia, and in 10–20% of non-obese Americans and Caucasians (9, 10). The pathophysiological mechanisms are unclear and may include dysfunctional adipose tissue, altered body composition, genetic mutations, epigenetic changes early in life, and a different gut microbiota composition (10–12). Although this phenotype has a more favourable metabolic profile than obese NAFLD, patients with lean NAFLD can develop the full spectrum of liver damage that characterises non-lean NAFLD (13, 14). The natural history and long-term prognosis of patients with lean NAFLD are unclear, but lean NAFLD is not a benign condition. Some data suggest it is associated with a worse mortality rate and accelerated disease progression despite a more favourable metabolic risk profile (15, 16).

NAFLD and obesity are independently associated with increased CVD risk (17–19). However, the relative risks for patients with obese and lean NAFLD have not been analysed. Thus, we investigated whether CVD risk differs according to obese vs. lean NAFLD and NAFLD with significant liver fibrosis, using data from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES).

**Methods**

**Subjects**

The KNHANES is a nationwide, population-based, cross-sectional health examination and survey that is annually conducted by the Division of Chronic Disease Surveillance of the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention of the Ministry of Health and Welfare to monitor the general health and nutritional status of the general civilian population of South Korea (20, 21). Subjects are randomly selected from 600 randomly selected districts in cities and provinces of South Korea to provide a representative sample of the Korean population.

As shown in Fig. 1, of the 37,753 subjects in the KNHANES 2008–2011, we initially selected 19,110 subjects aged $\geq 40$ years (8,315 men and 10,795 women). Of these, 14,324 were excluded based on insufficient data to calculate the risk for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) or a history of ASCVD; positivity for serologic markers of hepatitis B virus or hepatitis C virus, or hepatocellular carcinoma at enrolment or a history thereof; heavy alcohol consumption ($> 210 \text{ g/week for men and } 140 \text{ g/week for women}$); or insufficient clinical and laboratory information to calculate BMI or the magnitude of liver fibrosis or steatosis.

**NAFLD and liver fibrosis**

NAFLD was defined using previously validated fatty liver prediction models (Supplementary table 1): the comprehensive NAFLD score (CNS) and the NAFLD liver fat score (LFS); a CNS of $\geq 40$ or a LFS of $\geq -$
0.640 were considered indicative of NAFLD (22, 23). We assessed the fibrotic burden of subjects with NAFLD (n = 1,799) using validated liver fibrosis prediction models: the NAFLD fibrosis score (NFS) and BARD score (24) (Supplementary table 1). Significant liver fibrosis was defined as NFS ≥ 0.676 or BARD score ≥ 2.

Assessment of ASCVD risk and cardiometabolic disease components

ASCVD risk was evaluated using the 10-year ASCVD risk score from the 2013 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) guidelines (25). Subjects with an ACC/AHA ASCVD risk > 10% were considered to have a high probability of ASCVD. Based on the criteria for the Asian-Pacific region (26), subjects were considered obese at BMI ≥ 25 kg/m². Central obesity was defined based on the waist circumference criteria of the Korean Society for the Study of Obesity (≥ 90 cm for men and ≥ 85 cm for women) (26). DM was defined based on use of insulin or oral hypoglycaemic agents, fasting plasma glucose ≥ 126 mg/dL, or glycated haemoglobin ≥ 6.5%. Subjects were diagnosed as hypertensive at systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg, or if they were taking antihypertensive medications. The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the epidemiology collaboration Eq. (27) and chronic kidney disease (CKD) was defined as eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m² (28). Hyper-low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol anaemia was defined according to the 2004 update of the ATP III guidelines, or current use of an anti-dyslipidaemia drug (e.g., statin) for both sexes (29). Hypo-high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol anaemia was defined as HDL < 40 mg/dL for men and < 50 mg/dL for women. Hypertriglyceridemia was defined as serum levels of triglyceride ≥ 150 mg/dL or use of triglyceride-lowering agents. Proteinuria was defined as more than one positive dipstick test or urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio > 30 mg/g, according to the guidelines of the Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (28). Proteinuria was assessed in 4,588 subjects via urinalysis.

Clinical parameters and biochemical analyses

The KNHANES data include medical history, smoking habit, alcohol consumption, exercise level, and disease diagnosis and/or treatment, based on direct interviews and self-reporting using standardised health questionnaires (30). Smoking status was categorised as non- or current smoker. Regular exercise was defined as engaging in moderate or vigorous exercise on a regular basis (≥ 20 min at a time, at least three times per week) (31).

After an overnight fast (≥ 8 h), blood specimens were collected, processed, and transported in cold storage to the Central Testing Institute (Neodin Medical Institute, Seoul, South Korea). Blood samples were analysed within 24 h of transportation, as described previously (31). The homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was assessed as reported elsewhere (32).

Statistical analyses

The characteristics of the subjects were analysed according to NAFLD and obesity status using one-way analysis of variance for continuous variables and χ² tests for categorical variables, followed by post hoc
analyses using the Bonferroni method. The association between ASCVD risk and obesity in NAFLD was evaluated using a $\chi^2$ test after transforming the variables into quartiles.

Multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed to determine the independent association between high ASCVD risk (ACC/AHA ASCVD risk > 10%) and obesity status in NAFLD after adjusting for age and sex in Model 1. The variables in Model 1 (smoking, exercise, waist circumference, hypertension, DM, HOMA-IR, CKD, hyper-LDL cholesterolemia, and hypo-HDL cholesterolemia) were adjusted in Model 2. In addition, to assess the effects of a high ASCVD risk, the adjusted Model 2 was applied to NAFLD, obesity, hypertension, DM, smoking, exercise, CKD, hyper-LDL cholesterolemia, and hypo-HDL cholesterolemia. Finally, we evaluated whether NAFLD with liver fibrosis was independently associated with a high ASCVD risk in the subpopulation with NAFLD.

Because the total cholesterol, triglyceride, HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, insulin, and HOMA-IR values were not normally distributed, analyses were performed using log-transformed data to achieve approximately symmetrical distributions. Continuous and categorical variables are expressed as means ± SDs and numbers (%), respectively. A $P<0.05$ was considered indicative of statistical significance. Statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 23.0 for Windows (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

Baseline characteristics of the study population

After excluding 14,324 subjects according to the exclusion criteria, 4,786 subjects (1,740 men and 3,046 women) were included in the statistical analyses (Fig. 1). Baseline characteristics according to NAFLD and obesity status are shown in Table 1. The frequencies of subjects without NAFLD, obese subjects with NAFLD, and lean subjects with NAFLD were 62.4% (n = 2,987), 26.6% (n = 1,274), and 11.0% (n = 525), respectively.
| Variables                          | Subjects without NAFLD (n = 2,987, 62.4%) | Obese subjects with NAFLD (n = 1,274, 26.6%) | Lean subjects with NAFLD (n = 525, 11.0%) | *P* value |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| **Demographic variables**         |                                          |                                 |                                 |           |
| Age, years                        | 56.6 ± 11.7                              | 58.2 ± 10.6§                   | 60.5 ± 10.8§, ||               | < 0.001   |
| Male gender                       | 924 (30.9)                               | 513 (40.3) §                   | 303 (57.7) §, ||               | < 0.001   |
| Waist circumference, cm           | 77.4 ± 7.0                               | 91.9 ± 6.4§                   | 85.4 ± 6.1§, ||               | < 0.001   |
| Body mass index, kg/m²             | 22.3 ± 2.2                               | 27.5 ± 2.2§                   | 23.7 ± 1.1§, ||               | < 0.001   |
| Systolic blood pressure, mmHg     | 118.4 ± 17.6                             | 125.8 ± 16.4§                 | 124.8 ± 17.0§                 | < 0.001   |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg    | 73.8 ± 10.0                              | 78.7 ± 10.0§                  | 76.6 ± 10.5§, ||              | < 0.001   |
| Hypertension                      | 824 (27.6)                               | 684 (53.7) §                  | 251 (47.8) §                  | < 0.001   |
| Metabolic syndrome                | 541 (18.1)                               | 942 (73.9) §                  | 377 (71.8) §                  | < 0.001   |
| Diabetes mellitus                 | 153 (5.1)                                | 291 (22.8) §                  | 171 (32.6) §, ||              | < 0.001   |
| Current smoker                    | 365 (12.2)                               | 184 (14.4)                     | 118 (22.5) §, ||              | < 0.001   |
| Central obesity                   | 710 (23.8)                               | 1,090 (85.6) §                | 242 (11.8) §, ||              | < 0.001   |
| Obesity                           | 337 (11.3)                               | 1,274 (100) §                 | 0 (0) §, ||                   | < 0.001   |
| Exercise                          | 472 (15.8)                               | 191 (15.0)                     | 64 (12.2)                      | 0.046     |
| **Laboratory variables**          |                                          |                                 |                                 |           |
| Fasting blood glucose, mg/dL      | 93.8 ± 12.7                              | 108.3 ± 28.7§                 | 117.3 ± 40.6§, ||             | < 0.001   |
| Insulin, µIU/mL a                  | 8.6 ± 3.2                                | 12.6 ± 5.8§                   | 10.9 ± 5.8§, ||               | < 0.001   |
| Homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance a | 2.0 ± 0.9                          | 3.4 ± 2.0§                    | 3.1 ± 2.0§, ||                | < 0.001   |
| Variables                                      | Subjects without NAFLD (n = 2,987, 62.4%) | Obese subjects with NAFLD (n = 1,274, 26.6%) | Lean subjects with NAFLD (n = 525, 11.0%) | P value |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------|
| Total cholesterol, mg/dL                      | 191.2 ± 34.5                              | 202.4 ± 37.5 §                               | 199.4 ± 38.0 §                            | < 0.001 |
| Triglyceride, mg/dL a                         | 104.3 ± 54.6                              | 175.2 ± 95.7 §                               | 219.4 ± 128.4 §, ||                       | < 0.001 |
| High density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL a | 54.5 ± 12.4                               | 47.0 ± 10.5 §                               | 43.9 ± 9.6 §, ||                          | < 0.001 |
| Low density lipoprotein cholesterol, mg/dL a  | 119.8 ± 30.7                              | 125.8 ± 34.0 §                              | 119.0 ± 34.2 §                            | < 0.001 |
| Serum creatinine, mg/dL                       | 0.8 ± 0.2                                 | 0.9 ± 0.2 §                                 | 0.9 ± 0.2 ||                              | < 0.001 |
| Estimated glomerular filtration rate, mL/min/1.73 m² | 89.8 ± 15.4                              | 86.1 ± 16.1 §                               | 85.1 ± 14.8 ||                            | < 0.001 |
| Aspartate aminotransferase, IU/L a            | 20.7 ± 6.8                                | 25.0 ± 11.5 §                               | 25.4 ± 10.8 §, ||                         | < 0.001 |
| Alanine aminotransferase, IU/L a              | 16.7 ± 7.4                                | 28.0 ± 18.8 §                               | 29.6 ± 18.2 ||                            | < 0.001 |
| Platelet count, 10⁹/L a                       | 255.2 ± 58.7                              | 257.4 ± 58.9                                | 251.5 ± 25.5                              | 0.950   |
| Gamma glutamyl-transpeptidase, IU/L a         | 24.0 ± 41.9                               | 39.6 ± 44.4 §                               | 50.6 ± 69.2 §, ||                         | < 0.001 |
| Proteinuria                                   | 28 (1.0)                                  | 36 (2.9) §                                  | 17 (3.3) §                                | < 0.001 |
| Liver steatosis                               |                                          |                                               |                                           |         |
| Comprehensive NAFLD score                     | 13.7 ± 11.5                               | 73.4 ± 17.2 §                               | 59.4 ± 14.6 §, ||                         | < 0.001 |
| NAFLD liver fat score                         | 16.1 ± 12.3                               | 56.1 ± 19.4 §                               | 44.2 ± 16.9 §, ||                         | < 0.001 |

Continuous variables are expressed as mean ± SD and categorical variables were expressed as n (%).

a Log-transformed.

§P < 0.05 by post hoc analyses when compared without NAFLD.

||P < 0.05 by post hoc analyses when compared obese NAFLD.
Obese subjects with NAFLD were significantly older (mean 58.2 vs. 56.6 years) and there was a significantly higher proportion of males’ sex those without NAFLD (40.3% vs. 30.9%) (all $P < 0.05$; hereafter, all $P < 0.05$ unless otherwise noted); the same was true among lean subjects (mean 60.5 vs. 56.6 years; 57.7% vs. 30.9%). Subjects with NAFLD, regardless of obese or lean status, showed unfavourable characteristics, such as larger waist circumference, higher BMI, higher blood pressure, and higher frequencies of hypertension, metabolic syndrome, DM, central obesity, and obesity compared to those without NAFLD.

Compared to subjects with obese NAFLD, those with lean NAFLD were significantly older (mean 60.5 vs. 58.2 years) and there were significantly more males (57.7% vs. 40.3%). They had significantly lower waist circumferences (mean 85.4 vs. 91.9 cm), BMIs (mean 23.7 vs. 27.5 kg/m$^2$), and diastolic blood pressures (mean 76.6 vs. 78.7 mmHg) but higher rates of diabetes (32.6% vs. 22.8%) and current smoking (22.5% vs. 14.4%). In addition, they had significantly higher levels of fasting blood glucose (mean 117.3 vs. 108.3 mg/dL), triglycerides (mean 219.4 vs. 175.2 mg/dL), AST (mean 25.4 vs. 20.7 IU/L), ALT (mean 29.6 vs. 28.0 IU/L), and gamma glutamyl-transpeptidase (GGT) (mean 50.6 vs. 39.6 IU/L) levels, but significantly lower levels of insulin (mean 10.9 vs. 12.6 µIU/mL), HOMA-IR (mean 3.1 vs. 3.4), HDL (mean 43.9 vs. 47.0 mg/dL), LDL (mean 119.0 vs. 125.8 mg/dL), and eGFR (mean 85.1 vs. 86.1 mL/min/1.73 m$^2$). Finally, they had a significantly lower CNS (mean 59.4 vs. 73.4) and LFS (mean 44.2 versus 56.1). The frequencies of hypertension, metabolic syndrome, and regular exercise were similar between the groups. As expected, CNS and LFS were significantly higher in subjects NAFLD than in those without NAFLD (mean 73.4 and 59.4 vs. 13.7 for CNS; mean 56.1 and 44.2 vs. 16.1 for LFS).

**Association between ASCVD risk and NAFLD/obesity status**

The ASCVD score and relative risk of ASCVD according to NAFLD/obesity status are shown in Fig. 2. Subjects with lean NAFLD had a significantly higher ASCVD score and prevalence of a high ASCVD risk (mean 15.6 ± 14.0 and 51.6%), followed by subjects with obese NAFLD and those without NAFLD (mean 11.2 ± 11.4 and 39.8% and 7.9 ± 10.9 and 25.5%, respectively; all $P < 0.001$). Similar findings were obtained when NAFLD was defined using the LFS (*Supplementary Fig. 1*).

**Relative risks for cardiometabolic risk factors stratified by NAFLD/obesity status**

The adjusted relative risks for cardiometabolic factors were analysed when NAFLD was defined based on the CNS (Table 2). Risks for hypertension, DM, hypo-HDL cholesterol, hypertriglyceridaemia, and proteinuria were significantly higher in the lean group whereas the risks of CKD and hyper-LDL cholesterol were significantly higher in the obese group.
Table 2
Odd ratios and 95% confidential intervals for cardiometabolic risk factors stratified by obesity and NAFLD status using comprehensive NAFLD score.

| Variables                      | Subjects without NAFLD | Obese subjects with NAFLD | Lean subjects with NAFLD |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                | 1.00 (ref.)             | 3.23 (2.78–3.73)          | 5.40 (4.37–6.68)         |
| Hypertension                   |                         | *P* < 0.001               | *P* < 0.001              |
| Diabetes mellitus              | 1.00 (ref.)             | 5.40 (4.37–10.29)         | 8.00 (6.22–10.29)        |
|                                |                         | *P* < 0.001               | *P* < 0.001              |
| Chronic kidney disease         | 1.00 (ref.)             | 1.85 (1.37–2.50)          | 1.50 (1.01–2.23)         |
|                                |                         | *P* < 0.001               | *P* = 0.043              |
| Hyper-LDL cholestrolemia       | 1.00 (ref.)             | 2.24 (1.94–2.59)          | 2.09 (1.71–2.55)         |
|                                |                         | *P* < 0.001               | *P* < 0.001              |
| Hypo-HDL cholestrolemia        | 1.00 (ref.)             | 2.94 (2.55–3.39)          | 4.29 (3.48–5.31)         |
|                                |                         | *P* < 0.001               | *P* < 0.001              |
| Hypertriglyceridemia           | 1.00 (ref.)             | 5.46 (4.72–6.30)          | 10.29 (8.29–12.76)       |
|                                |                         | *P* < 0.001               | *P* < 0.001              |
| Proteinuria                    | 1.00 (ref.)             | 2.90 (1.76–4.77)          | 3.01 (1.61–5.61)         |
|                                |                         | *P* < 0.001               | *P* = 0.001              |

Adjusted for age and sex.

Next, we repeated the analysis after defining NAFLD based on the LFS ([Supplementary table 2](#)).

**Association between a high probability of ASCVD and NAFLD/obesity status**

The associations between a high probability of ASCVD and NAFLD/obesity status with multi-step adjustments are shown in Table 3. When CNS was used to define NAFLD and the relative risk of ASCVD was assessed after sufficient adjustment (Model 2), lean subjects had a higher OR for a high probability of ASCVD than those with obese NAFLD (OR = 2.63 vs. 2.05; all *P* < 0.001) or subjects without NAFLD. We also repeated the analysis after defining NAFLD based on the LFS ([Supplementary table 3](#)).
Table 3
Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals of a high probability of ASCVD according to obesity and NAFLD based on the comprehensive NAFLD score.

| Models     | Subjects without NAFLD | Obese subjects with NAFLD | Lean subjects with NAFLD |
|------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Crude      | 1.00 (ref.)            | 1.93 (1.68–2.22)          | 3.12 (2.58–3.77)         |
|            |                        | *P* < 0.001               | *P* < 0.001              |
| Model 1    | 1.00 (ref.)            | 3.68 (2.89–4.69)          | 3.71 (2.68–5.14)         |
|            |                        | *P* < 0.001               | *P* < 0.001              |
| Model 2    | 1.00 (ref.)            | 2.05 (1.37–3.07)          | 2.63 (1.61–3.58)         |
|            |                        | *P* = 0.001               | *P* < 0.001              |

Model 1: adjusted for age and sex
Model 2: adjusted for age, sex, smoking, exercise, waist circumference, hypertension, diabetes, HOMA-IR, chronic kidney disease, and hyper-LDL cholesterol.

Association between ASCVD risk and significant liver fibrosis stratified by NAFLD/obesity status

Because fibrosis progression is significantly associated with an increased risk for ASCVD among subjects with NAFLD (33), we selected subjects with NAFLD for further statistical analyses (n = 1,799). Lean subjects with NFS-defined significant liver fibrosis had a significantly higher ASCVD score and prevalence of a high ASCVD risk (mean 25.6 ± 15.7 and 82.0%), followed by obese subjects with NFS-defined significant liver fibrosis and those without NFS-defined significant liver fibrosis (mean 19.5 ± 14.1 and 70.3%; and 9.7 ± 9.8 and 33.3%, respectively; all *P* < 0.001) (Fig. 3). When BARD was used to define significant liver fibrosis, similar findings were obtained (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Association between a high probability of ASCVD and significant liver fibrosis stratified by NAFLD/obesity status

The associations between a high probability of ASCVD and significant liver fibrosis stratified by obesity among subjects with NAFLD according to multi-step adjustments are shown in Table 4. When NFS was used to define significant fibrosis and the relative risk of ASCVD was assessed after sufficient adjustment (Model 2), subjects with lean NAFLD and significant liver fibrosis showed a significantly higher OR for the risk of ASCVD than subjects with obese NAFLD with or without significant liver fibrosis (OR = 2.60 vs. 1.93; *P* = 0.023). When BARD was used to define significant liver fibrosis, similar findings were obtained (Supplementary table 4).
Table 4

Odds ratios and 95% confidential intervals of a high probability of ASCVD according to obesity and significant fibrosis based on the NAFLD fibrosis score

| Models   | NAFLD with no fibrosis | Obese NAFLD subjects with significant fibrosis | Lean NAFLD subjects with significant fibrosis |
|----------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Crude    | 1.00 (ref.)            | 1.66 (1.33–2.08)                               | 2.61 (1.98–3.43)                              |
|          |                        | *P* < 0.001                                    | *P* < 0.001                                  |
| Model 1  | 1.00 (ref.)            | 2.20 (1.59–3.06)                               | 2.53 (1.40–4.56)                              |
|          |                        | *P* < 0.001                                    | *P* = 0.002                                  |
| Model 2  | 1.00 (ref.)            | 1.93 (1.28–3.63)                               | 2.60 (1.14–5.91)                              |
|          |                        | *P* = 0.002                                    | *P* = 0.023                                  |

Model 1: adjusted for age and sex

Model 2: adjusted for age, sex, smoking, exercise, waist circumference, hypertension, diabetes, HOMA-IR, chronic kidney disease, and hyper-LDL cholesterolemia.

Discussion

A close association between NAFLD and obesity has consistently been reported (3, 19). In this context, most subjects with NAFLD are overweight/obese and have varying components of metabolic syndrome (4, 34). Nonetheless, a significant proportion of subjects with NAFLD are lean, although the clinical implications are unclear (35). Accordingly, using nationwide, population-based, cross-sectional data, we investigated whether ASCVD risk differs according to obesity in patients with NAFLD and checked whether similar results can be reproduced in subgroups with significant liver fibrosis.

Subjects with lean NAFLD had a significantly higher ASCVD score and a greater chance of having high ASCVD risk than those with obese NAFLD. Hypertension, DM, hypo-HDL cholesterolemia, hypertriglyceridemia, and albuminuria significantly increased the risk of ASCVD in lean compared to obese subjects with NAFLD. After appropriate adjustment, lean subjects with NAFLD had a significantly higher ASCVD risk than obese subjects with or without NAFLD. Furthermore, after adjustment, lean subjects with significant liver fibrosis had the highest ASCVD risk, followed by obese subjects with significant liver fibrosis and those without significant liver fibrosis.

This study had several strengths. First, the selected cohort was large (n > 4,000), ensuring statistical reliability and robust results. Furthermore, the proportion of lean subjects with NAFLD in the cohort (11.0%) was similar to that in previous Asian studies (9–23.5%) (13, 36). This suggests that the subjects were selected appropriately and that the results are applicable to other Asian populations, although further validation is required for other ethnic groups. In addition, the prevalence of subjects with NAFLD (37.6%) was similar to that in a recent Asian study (37). Therefore, our study population, a nationwide
representative cohort, was selected appropriately based on non-invasive surrogates for statistical analyses.

Second, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of an independent association between ASCVD risk and NAFLD according to obesity status. NAFLD and obesity are significant risk factors for cardiovascular events (17–19). However, after controlling for important confounders, lean NAFLD was associated with a higher ASCVD score and an increased prevalence of a high ASCVD risk compared to obese NAFLD; moreover, this finding was reproduced in the subgroup with significant liver fibrosis. In addition, our results provide evidence on the prognosis of lean NAFLD. Unlike the prognosis and complications of overall NAFLD, the data on lean NAFLD are scarce. Two studies have reported a higher mortality rate for lean compared to obese NAFLD (38, 39). Moreover, cardiovascular disease accounted for approximately one quarter of the causes of death (38). In our study, subjects with lean NAFLD were at markedly increased risk for individual cardiometabolic components and ASCVD compared to those with obese NAFLD. These data indicate that lean subjects with NAFLD should be counselled about the risk for unfavourable cardiovascular outcomes and managed accordingly (40).

Third, the influence of NAFLD on ASCVD might have been biased because most subjects with NAFLD had simple hepatic steatosis, which has a very favourable prognosis. Thus, we selected subjects with NAFLD to determine whether the co-existence of significant liver fibrosis is significantly associated with ASCVD risk, because liver fibrosis can be considered a sequela of the inflammatory process of NAFLD. In addition, liver fibrosis is the single most important factor as well as a clinically relevant issue that correlates with poor outcomes. In the subgroup with NAFLD, around 20% of subjects had significant liver fibrosis, indicating that lean subjects had higher ASCVD risk than obese subjects among those with NAFLD and significant fibrosis.

We are also aware of several unresolved issues that should be addressed. First, although we used well-validated liver fibrosis and steatosis prediction models, liver imaging and histological information was not available because of the high cost of ultrasonography and ethical concerns regarding screening of a large national population-based cohort. KNHANES participants who gave informed consent underwent only serum tests. In addition, because cancer diagnosis in the KNHANSE was based on a questionnaire, not ultrasonography, subjects with HCC were excluded.

Second, because of the cross-sectional nature of the study, we could not assess the longitudinal dynamic association between changes in NAFLD, obesity status, and ASCVD risk. We were also unable to assess the effects of therapeutic interventions, such as lifestyle modification, exercise, weight loss, medications, nutritional support, and protein supplements, on NAFLD, obesity, and ASCVD risk. Nevertheless, our results reveal the need to screen patients with NAFLD, particularly lean patients, to identify those at high risk for ASCVD requiring intensive medical therapy.

Third, we used a pooled cohort risk equation to assess ASCVD risk and did not examine the risk for real clinical events during follow-up. The 10-year ASCVD risk with primary prevention is estimated in the blood
cholesterol guidelines of the ACC/AHA. However, because the equation for calculating ASCVD risk might have been in the study population, our findings should be interpreted with caution.

Finally, several serum markers, such as HbA1c, were available for only a small proportion of the subjects. Thus, the incremental influence on the final results, not simply the presence of DM, could not be assessed. In addition, detailed information regarding anti-hypertensive and anti-diabetic drugs was not available, preventing analyses of their influence. Furthermore, although we excluded subjects known to have chronic liver diseases (such as viral hepatitis and alcoholic liver disease), those with other types of such diseases (such as Wilson disease, autoimmune liver disease, or primary biliary hepatopathy) might have been included, which may have biased the results. Moreover, as a result of the limitations of general medical examination, we could not adjust for dietary preference and genetic risk factors (including palatin-like phospholipase domain-containing 3 and transmembrane 6 superfamily member 2), which could affect the risk for lean NAFLD (42).

Although the characteristics and underlying pathophysiological mechanism of lean NAFLD/NASH are unclear, individuals with a low BMI are likely to have reduced lean body mass, particularly muscle mass, which could lead to unfavourable traits related to cardiovascular outcomes (43). In addition, fat tissue in a distinct depot may have protective functions, particularly in people with chronic diseases (44). Subcutaneous fat can act as a metabolic reservoir, protecting other organs from lipotoxicity and ectopic fat formation, and leg fat is associated with lower ACD risk and cardiometabolic risk factors (45).

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, this nationwide survey of a representative sample of Korean individuals demonstrated that, despite a more favourable metabolic profile, subjects with lean NAFLD had a significantly higher ASCVD score and prevalence of a high ASCVD risk than those with obese NAFLD. Similarly, lean subjects with significant liver fibrosis were at higher risk for ASCVD than obese subjects in the subpopulation with NAFLD. In this context, the presence of fatty liver should prompt clinicians to address metabolic conditions that could modify the long-term outcomes, irrespective of body weight. Prospective, well-designed, longitudinal studies are needed to elucidate the complex relationships among NAFLD, obesity, and cardiovascular risk.
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ASCVD score and relative risk for ASCVD according to NAFLD/obesity status (A and B). Subjects with lean NAFLD had a significantly higher ASCVD score (A) and prevalence of a high ASCVD risk (B) followed by subjects with obese NAFLD and those without NAFLD (all P < 0.001). ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.
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ASCVD score and relative risk for ASCVD according to NFS-defined significant liver fibrosis stratified by NAFLD/obesity status (A and B). Lean subjects with NFS-defined significant liver fibrosis had a significantly higher ASCVD score (A) and prevalence of a high ASCVD risk (B), followed by obese subjects with significant liver fibrosis and those without significant liver fibrosis (all P < 0.001). ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.
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