Neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio as a potential marker for differential diagnosis between spinal tuberculosis and pyogenic spinal infection
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Abstract

Objective: Distinguishing spinal tuberculosis and pyogenic spinal infection is extremely important. The neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio (NLR), a simple indicator, has been shown to be a novel inflammatory marker. The objective of our study was to determine whether the NLR could be a potential indicator for discriminating spinal tuberculosis (STB) from pyogenic spinal infection (PSI).

Methods: We compared the clinical and laboratory characteristics of 146 patients diagnosed with STB and 60 participants with PSI from the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University. The NLR’s diagnostic ability for differential diagnosis was assessed and compared to other hematological indicators, including the platelet–lymphocyte ratio (PLR).

Results: The NLR in STB patients was considerably lower than that in PSI patients [3.85 (2.70–5.71) vs. 10.82 (6.79–17.62), \( P < 0.001 \)]. An NLR of 6.742 was proposed as an optimal cutoff value for distinguishing patients with STB from those with PSI (sensitivity 78.33%, specificity 83.56%). However, the NLR’s area under the curve [0.87, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.81–0.92] was considerably higher than that of the PLR (0.73, 95% CI 0.65–0.80; \( P < 0.0001 \)).

Conclusion: NLR levels could be a valuable laboratory diagnostic for distinguishing patients with STB from those who have PSI.
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Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is a leading cause of infectious disease death in adults globally, with almost 30,000 new cases diagnosed each day [1]. Spinal tuberculosis (STB) accounts for more than half of skeletal TB cases, while skeletal TB accounts for more than 10% of extrapulmonary TB cases [2]. Throughout history, TB has been considered a disease of the poor, and it indirectly causes economic burden among societies [3]. In developing nations, STB is more common, and one of its features is delayed diagnosis [4]. Approximately 10–40% percent of patients with STB develop neurological impairment, which leads to tetraplegia in some severe cases [5]. As a result, it is important to diagnose and treat STB as early as possible. The diagnosis of STB is challenging largely due to the similarity of its clinical and imaging findings to those of pyogenic spinal infection (PSI); therefore, it is essential to identify additional biomarkers for the differential diagnosis [6].

The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) has recently acquired prominence as a simple and low-cost biomarker of systemic inflammation [7]. Because the NLR includes neutrophils and lymphocytes in its computation, it is thought to be more reliable than other absolute counts...
and the PLR was considered to be a marker for identifying platelet count/lymphocyte count and PLR. NLR values were obtained from medical records. The NLR was calculated as follows: NLR = neutrophil count/lymphocyte count and PLR = platelet count/lymphocyte count. All of the above variables were compared between STB and PSI patients.

Statistical analysis
Normally distributed parameter data were analyzed by Student’s t test, and for nonnormally distributed data, we used the Mann–Whitney U test. Depending on the data distribution, continuous variables were reported as the mean ± standard deviation or median (25–75% interquartile range). Numbers (n) and percentages (%) were used to express categorical variables. The Chi-square test was used to examine qualitative variables. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to summarize specificity and sensitivity. The most relevant cut-off values for the NLR, PLR, and other parameters were determined using the maximum Youden index. P values of 0.05 or less were considered significant, and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. SPSS 26.0, GraphPad Prism 9.0.0, and MedCalc 19.6.4 were used to conduct all statistical analyses.

Results
Clinical data and laboratory tests
A total of 206 patients were included in this study (Fig. 1), of which 146 patients were diagnosed with STB and 60 had PSI. Patients with STB and PSI had an average age of 55.70 ± 17.16 and 63.68 ± 11.52 years, respectively. A total of 53.42% (78/146) of STB patients were male, and 56.67% (34/60) of PSI patients were male. Gender differences between STB and PSI individuals were not observed (P = 0.671). Table 1 shows the demographics and baseline clinical features of the two groups. The median NLR values were 3.85 (STB group) and 10.82 (SI group). Patients with STB had considerably lower total neutrophil counts, monocyte counts, NLRs, and PLRs than patients with PSI, although the lymphocyte counts and platelet counts were significantly higher in STB patients than in PSI patients (Table 1).

Differential diagnostic value of the NLR in discriminating STB from PSI
The area under the curve (AUC) and the maximum Youden index were obtained to identify the most useful cutoff levels. The AUC values for the parameters were as follows: neutrophil count, 0.82 (95% CI 0.76–0.88); lymphocyte count, 0.83 (95% CI 0.77–0.89); monocyte count, 0.60 (95% CI 0.51–0.68); platelet count, 0.81 (95% CI 0.75–0.87); NLR, 0.87 (95% CI 0.81–0.92); and PLR, 0.73 (95% CI 0.65–0.80) (Table 2 and Fig. 2). Among the variables mentioned above, the NLR has the greatest area under the curve (AUC) value. Furthermore, the cutoff value for the NLR was less than 6.742. The NLR has a sensitivity and specificity of 83.56% and 78.33%.
respectively, for distinguishing STB patients from SI participants when using this cutoff value (Table 2). At a cutoff value of 207.7, the sensitivity and specificity of PLR were 78.33% and 59.59%, respectively. The distribution of the NLR of STB patients was lower than that of PSI subjects (**P < 0.001) (Fig. 3).

Discussion
Spinal tuberculosis is the most common kind of extrapulmonary tuberculosis [18]. The majority of STB patients have experienced symptoms for several months at the time of diagnosis, and most cases of STB remain undiagnosed [19, 20]. Early clinical manifestations, laboratory findings, and imaging abnormalities lack specificity and may not be distinct enough to distinguish it from its close mimics, particularly pyogenic spinal infection [21]. If the diagnosis can be identified in a timely manner, it will not only help delay the progression of patients but also reduce the economic pressure and avoid the occurrence of spinal deformities [20]. Accordingly, a precise differential diagnosis between STB and PSI is essential in clinical practice.

Various stressful events, particularly systemic inflammation, also lead to elevated neutrophil counts and decreased lymphocyte counts [22]. This suggests one method for NLR to operate as a barometer in a variety of clinical conditions, and the NLR has been considered a diagnostic indicator for acute bacterial meningitis [7], prostate cancer [14], and pulmonary tuberculosis [15, 16]. The NLR and PLR have continued to draw attention as novel markers in many studies [13], as they are both simple, economic, and easily measurable markers that

---

**Fig. 1** Flowchart of patient inclusion and analysis procedures. STB spinal tuberculosis, PSI pyogenic spinal infection, ROC receiver operating characteristic, NLR neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio
can be directly calculated from routine blood tests at admission [23, 24].

Our results demonstrated differences in those parameters between patients with STB and PSI. The NLR (AUC, 0.87, 95% CI 0.81–0.92) showed the highest diagnostic value in distinguishing STB from PSI. An NLR < 6.742 was suggested as the optimal cutoff value for discriminating patients with STB from patients with PSI; therefore, appropriate diagnosis and intervention may need to be done quickly.

The PLR and other parameters (such as neutrophil or monocyte count) were also analyzed in our study, and they showed lower AUCs than NLR. It should also be mentioned that neutrophil counts showed remarkable discriminative value, but less so than the NLR. Furthermore, the NLR is easily available at most institutions and is more easily affordable to obtain than other markers. Therefore, it is proposed that the NLR might be a valuable marker for differentiating STB from PSI.

### Table 1 Baseline clinical characteristics of the population

| Parameters       | STB              | PSI              | \( P \)  
|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------
| Age, years (mean ± SD) | 55.70± 17.16 | 63.68 ± 11.52 | 0.001   
| Gender (male/female) | 78.68 | 34.26 | 0.671   
| Neutrophil \((x \times 10^9/L)\) | 6.23 (5.46–7.48) | 9.12 (7.52–10.95) | < 0.001 |
| Lymphocyte \((x \times 10^7/L)\) | 1.60 (1.19–2.01) | 0.88 (0.58–1.17) | < 0.001 |
| Monocyte \((x \times 10^9/L)\) | 0.43 (0.36–0.57) | 0.54 (0.39–0.63) | 0.029   
| Platelet \((x \times 10^9/L)\) | 310 (271–347) | 248 (234–272) | < 0.001 |
| NLR | 3.85 (2.70–5.71) | 10.82 (6.79–17.62) | < 0.001 |
| PLR | 191.9 (150.6–255.8) | 292.9 (213.4–399.5) | < 0.001 |

Values are shown as the mean, standard deviation, median (IQR), or numbers. Differences between groups were analyzed using Student’s t test or the Mann–Whitney U test for continuous variables and the Chi-square test for categorical variables.

STB: spinal tuberculosis; PSI: pyogenic spinal infection; NLR: neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio; PLR: platelet–lymphocyte ratio.

### Table 2 ROC curves were used to analyze the diagnostic utility of various factors in distinguishing STB from PSI

| Markers              | AUC    | 95% CI  | Cutoff  | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | Maximum Youden index |
|----------------------|--------|---------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------
| Neutrophil count     | 0.82   | 0.76–0.88 | 6.996   | 85.00           | 70.55           | 0.556                |
| Lymphocyte count     | 0.83   | 0.77–0.89 | 0.937   | 65.00           | 89.73           | 0.547                |
| Monocyte count       | 0.60   | 0.51–0.68 | 0.525   | 53.33           | 70.55           | 0.239                |
| Platelet count       | 0.81   | 0.75–0.87 | 292.5   | 95.00           | 64.38           | 0.594                |
| NLR                  | 0.87   | 0.81–0.92 | 6.742   | 78.33           | 83.56           | 0.619                |
| PLR                  | 0.73   | 0.65–0.80 | 207.7   | 78.33           | 59.59           | 0.379                |

AUC: area under the curve, CI: confidence interval, NLR: neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio, PLR: platelet–lymphocyte ratio.
There are various limitations to this study that should be highlighted. First, this is a nonrandomized single-center study with a limited sample size. More extensive prospective studies are needed. Second, the cutoff value for the NLR in this study was established mainly from in-hospital individuals in the Chinese population. The optimal cutoff value may need to be assessed outside of China to account for potential differences across ethnicities and to account for different reference ranges for hematological parameters.

In conclusion, our study suggested that the NLR, which can easily be evaluated, may be a good marker for discriminating patients with STB from patients with PSI. In our situation, the NLR's differential diagnostic ability outperformed that of the PLR. The NLR should be included in regular tests in individuals with an uncertain diagnosis between STB and PSI.
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