Long Tidal Tails in Merging Galaxies and Their Implications
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ABSTRACT

We investigate the properties of long tidal tails using the largest to date sample of 461 merging galaxies with $\log(M_*/M_\odot) \geq 9.5$ within $0.2 \leq z \leq 1$ from the COSMOS survey in combination with Hubble Space Telescope imaging data. Long tidal tails can be briefly divided into three shape types: straight (41 per cent), curved (47 per cent) and plume (12 per cent). Their host galaxies are mostly at late stages of merging, although 31 per cent are galaxy pairs with projected separations $d > 20\,kpc$. The high formation rate of straight tidal tails needs to be understood as the projection of curved tidal tails accounts for only a small fraction of the straight tails. We identify 165 tidal dwarf galaxies (TDGs), yielding a TDG production rate of 0.36 per merger. Combined with a galaxy merger fraction and a TDG survival rate from the literature, we estimate that ~5 per cent of local dwarf galaxies are of tidal origin, suggesting the tidal formation is not an important formation channel for the dwarf galaxies. More than half of TDGs are located at the tip of their host tails. These TDGs have stellar masses in the range of $7.5 \leq \log(M_*/M_\odot) \leq 9.5$ and appear compact with half-light radii following the $M_*/R_e$ relation of low-mass elliptical galaxies. However, their surface brightness profiles are generally flatter than those of local disc galaxies. Only 10 out of 165 TDGs have effective radii larger than 1.5 $kpc$ and would qualify as unusually bright ultra-diffuse galaxies.
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1 INTRODUCTION

In the Λ Cold Dark Matter (ΛCDM) cosmological model, cosmic structure and dark matter haloes grow in a hierarchical manner, placing galaxy mergers as major drivers of galaxy formation and evolution (e.g. Hopkins et al. 2006). The mergers of galaxies not only drive the ex situ mass growth to form more massive galaxies (e.g. Bundy et al. 2009; Fakhouri, Ma & Boylan-Kolchin 2010; Oser et al. 2010; Moustakas et al. 2013; Rodriguez-Gomez et al. 2016; Martin et al. 2017), but also invoke gravitational torques/disruption to shape the kinematic and physical properties of the merger remnants (e.g. Hopkins et al. 2007; Ellison et al. 2013; De Propris et al. 2014; Thorp et al. 2019). The past two decades have witnessed significant progress in our understanding of galaxy merger rate across cosmic age both observationally (Patton et al. 2002; Conselice et al. 2005b; Lin et al. 2004; Lotz et al. 2008a; Jogee et al. 2009; Robaina et al. 2009; Lotz et al. 2011; Xu et al. 2012; Man, Zirm & Toft 2016; Wen & Zheng 2016; Mantha et al. 2018) and theoretically (Stewart et al. 2009; Hopkins et al. 2010b; Fakhouri, Ma & Boylan-Kolchin 2010; Rodríguez-Gomez et al. 2015). Recently, more efforts have turned to characterizing galaxy mergers of different types and their essential roles in regulating the evolution of galaxies over a wide range of properties.

Galaxy mergers are often classified into different types in terms of the properties of the merging galaxies, such as their mass ratio (major versus minor; Bournaud, Jog & Combes 2005; Lotz et al. 2010, 2011; Ownsworth et al. 2014) and gas fraction (wet versus dry; Bell et al. 2006; Lin et al. 2008). Major mergers, often defined by a mass ratio of $m/M > 0.3$, have been widely studied in both simulations and observations. As the most violent process of galaxy interactions, major mergers trigger intense star formation (Sanders & Mirabel 1996; Mihos & Hernquist 1996; Teyssier, Chapon & Bournaud 2010), in at least some cases ignite active galactic nuclei (AGNs) (Sanders et al. 1988; Bahcall et al. 1997; Treister et al. 2012; Barrows et al. 2017; Ricci et al. 2017) and induce morphological transformation from discs into spheroids (e.g. Barnes 1992; Genzel et al. 2001; Naab & Burkert 2003; Lotz et al. 2008b; Bournaud et al. 2011). In contrast, minor mergers occur more often than major merg-
ers and supply stars and gas into the primary galaxies. Observational evidence suggests that minor mergers are dominantly responsible for the size growth of massive galaxies (e.g. Newman et al. 2012; Bluck et al. 2012; Hilz, Naab & Ostriker 2013) and for triggering star formation in at least the nearby universe (Kaviraj 2014). The minor mergers might even induce morphological transformation through disc instabilities for $z > 1$ galaxies (e.g. Welker et al. 2014).

Gas-rich mergers behave very differently from gas-poor mergers. At least some mergers drive gas inflows which fuel star formation and can trigger AGN activity. These gas inflows are expected to be important in influencing the growth of bulges (Hopkins et al. 2009, 2010a), but the relationship between merger parameters and bulge growth is far from straightforward (Bell et al. 2017; Gargiulo et al. 2019). Disc survival and regrowth is expected to be impacted by gas content also, where even gas-rich major mergers are predicted to lead to disc-dominated remnants (Robertson et al. 2006).

On the contrary, dry mergers of gas-poor galaxies proceed with dissipationless processes and sustain little star formation, being a key driver of the mass growth and structural evolution of massive elliptical galaxies (van Dokkum 2005; Bell et al. 2006) and the Brightest Cluster Galaxies (BCGs) at $z < 1$ (e.g. Lin et al. 2013). Moreover, the internal structure and orbital parameters (prograde versus retrograde) of the merging galaxies also influence the properties of the post-merger galaxies (Darg et al. 2010; Martin et al. 2018). A comprehensive picture of shaping the detailed properties of present-day galaxies is still lacking.

Indeed, tidal features generated in a galaxy merger strongly depend on the mass ratio, gas fraction, internal structure and orbital parameters of the merging galaxies, and thus can be used to characterize the merger (Duc & Renaud 2013; Barnes 2016). For instance, bridges and long tidal tails can be generated in major mergers between equal-mass discs (Toomre & Toomre 1972; Barnes 1992), and tidal streams are often produced in the minor merger events such that satellite galaxies fall into a more massive galaxy (Martínez-Delgado et al. 2010). Such tidal features are frequently detected around massive galaxies, tracing the merger events happened in the recent past (Duc et al. 2015; Morales et al. 2018; Hood et al. 2018; Kado-Fong et al. 2018). In particular, long tidal tails are exclusively created in the major mergers involving disc galaxies with preferentially prograde orbits (Struck & Smith 2012; Duc & Renaud 2013). The shape of tidal tails may be influenced by the shape of the gravitational potential wells and underlying dark matter haloes. Dubinski, Mihos & Hernquist (1996) showed through simulations that galaxies with a low halo-to-disc mass ratio can produce long tidal tails in the merging process. Springel & White (1999) further pointed out that long tidal tails can be generated when the halo spin in sufficiently large for merging galaxies with a high halo-to-disc ratio. Moreover, using TDGs on tidal tails, Bournaud, Duc & Masset (2003) found that dark matter haloes around spiral galaxies are at least ten times larger than the stellar discs. Therefore, long tidal tails are useful probes for dark matter halo parameters and galaxy structures. The characteristics of tidal tails are affected by the orbital parameters, disc orientations as well as stellar and gas masses and the specific angular momentum of the merging galaxies (Struck & Smith 2012; Ploeckinger et al. 2018). Long tidal tails from mergers of disc galaxies thus provide hints on the orientation between their spin and orbital parameters.

Moreover, star clusters and tidal dwarf galaxies (TDGs) can be formed in tidal tails (Barnes & Hernquist 1992; Bournaud & Duc 2006; Wetzstein, Naab & Burkert 2007). Previous observational studies of TDGs focused on individual objects or small samples (Duc et al. 2000; Duc & Mirabel 1998; Hancock et al. 2009; Mohamed, Reshetnikov & Sotnikova 2011; Kaviraj et al. 2012). A systematic investigation of tidal tails using a sufficiently large sample of merging galaxies is required to address how the tidal tails are created in reality, draw constraints on how spiral galaxies merge with each other in the cosmic web, and examine the formation of TDGs and star formation under these extreme conditions.

Although there have been many simulations to study the morphology of merging galaxies and tidal tails. However, it is not clear how many shapes real tidal tails have, how do they relate to the merger parameters and how they are formed. At the same time, solving the problem of the relationship between the TDG formed in the tidal tail and the shape of the tidal tail will help understanding merging processes and star formation in tidal tails. In this work, we analyse a sample of 461 merging galaxies with long tidal tails (defined as having sizes comparable to the effective radii of the host galaxy, Wen & Zheng (2016)) to characterize tidal tails as well as their parent galaxies. We briefly introduce the sample and data in Section 2. Section 3 presents our analysis and results and we discuss the implications in Section 4. Through out this paper, we adopt a concordance cosmology of $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$. All photometric magnitudes are given in the AB system.

2 SAMPLE AND DATA

We adopt the sample of 461 long-tidal-tail merging galaxies (LTTGs) in the COSMOS field from Wen & Zheng (2016). They identified these LTTGs using Hubble Space Telescope (HST)/ACS F814W (I) imaging data and multi-band deep survey data and catalogs in COSMOS (e.g. Leauthaud et al. 2007; Capak et al. 2007). The HST/ACS F814W science images have a scale of 0.03′ per pixel and reach a limiting magnitude limit of 25.6 m ag for extended sources within a circular aperture radius of 0.3′. The Full Width at Half Maximum (FWHM) of the point-spread function (PSF) of the F814W images is 0.09′, corresponding to a physical size of 297 pc at $z = 0.2$ and of 723 pc at $z = 1$ (Koekemoer et al. 2007). The HST imaging data cover an area of 1.64 deg$^2$ and provide high-resolution morphological information in the rest-frame optical for galaxies at $z < 1$. The stellar mass and photometric redshift catalogs come from Muzzin et al. (2013), based on the UltraVISTA survey, providing a total of 154,803 Ks-band-detected sources down to $5\sigma = 23.4$ mag with a 90 per cent completeness for point sources.

In Wen & Zheng (2016), a morphological classification method, namely the $A_O$-$D_O$ method, was used to select LTTGs. As a non-parametric method for galaxy morphology, the $A_O$-$D_O$ method is dedicated to probing asymmetric structures in the outskirts of a galaxy (Wen, Zheng & An 2014). In the method, the image of a galaxy is divided into two parts, the inner half-light region (IHR) and the outer half-light region (OHIR). Each region contains half the total flux of the galaxy. Two parameters are used: the outer asymmetry, $A_O$, measures the asymmetry of OHR and the outer centroid deviation, $D_O$, measures the deviation (or offset) between the flux-weighted centroids of the IHR and the OHIR. The parameters are sensitive to the asymmetric structures in the outskirts such as long tidal tails. Galaxies with more disturbed morphologies tend to have higher $A_O$ and $D_O$. In practice, $A_O$ is calculated using the formula:

$$A_O = \frac{\Sigma |I_0 - I_{180}| - \delta_2}{\Sigma |I_0| - \delta_1},$$  

where $I_0$ refers to the light distribution of OHR of an image; $I_{180}$
represents the $180^\circ$-rotation version of $I_0$; $I_0-I_{180}$ yields the residual image; $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$ are corrections for the noise contributions to the flux image $I_0$ and the residual image $I_0-I_{180}$, respectively. The outer centroid deviation $D_O$ is measured as below:

$$D_O = \frac{\sqrt{(x_O-x_I)^2 + (y_O-y_I)^2}}{R_{eff}}$$

where $(x_I, y_I)$ and $(x_O, y_O)$ refer to the flux-weighted centroid position of the IHR and of the OHR in pixels, respectively, and $R_{eff}$ is the normalized effective radius in pixels estimated using $R_{eff} = \sqrt{n_c/\pi}$. Here $n_c$ is the area in pixels of the IHR. More details about the method can be found in Wen, Zheng & An (2014).

Two steps were adopted for identifying LTTGs in Wen & Zheng (2016). Firstly, they pre-selected 13,227 galaxies with morphologies showing a certain degree of disturbance using the $A_O$, $D_O$ method from 35,076 galaxies with $\log(M_*/M_\odot) \geq 9.5$ within $0.2 \leq z \leq 1$ in the COSMOS field. Secondly, the 13,227 candidates were visually examined using the HST/ACS F814W science images. A total of 461 merging galaxies were identified with long tidal tails. See Wen & Zheng (2016) for more details about the sample selection. We use this sample to analyse their morphologies and the substructures of their tidal tails.

3 ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Using HST/ACS F814W imaging data, we characterize the morphological properties of our sample LTTGs, including the nuclear separation distance, the shape and length of tidal tails and substructures of tidal tails such as clumps, TDGs and gaps.

3.1 Nuclear Separation Distance of Merging Galaxies

Simulations show that the growth of tidal tails is closely coupled with the orbital parameters and the merging stage of a galaxy merger (Toomre & Toomre 1972; Barnes 1992). In a general merging process, two galaxies approach each other, pass their pericentre, and undergo a damped oscillation with dynamical friction allowing the galaxies to lose energy and angular momentum, while violent relaxation happening in the final stages as they merge into a single remnant. A close passage of one galaxy next to the other would lead to varying gravitational forces across the extended galaxies. The sides of the galaxies facing each other are more attracted than the opposite sides (Duc & Renaud 2013). This effect allows galaxies to produce a long tidal tail and a short counter tail during the merging process. The nuclear separation distance is a crude tracer of the merging stage, although the separation oscillates a bit before the final collision. In particular, after the first pass the nuclear separation distance increases but the two galaxies appear different from the approaching pair before the first pass. The merging galaxies after the first pass are strongly disturbed and thus can be distinguished from the galaxy pair in the beginning of merging without apparent tidal features (e.g., tidal tails).

If tidal tails are associated with the remnant of a previous merger event, the nuclear separation distance in the ongoing merger is irrelevant with the status of the tidal tails. We argue that such cases should be rare and have marginal effects on our analysis, considering that massive galaxies statistically underwent even less than one major merger event over 8 Gyr (e.g., Jogee et al. 2009).

We measure the nuclear separation distance ($d$) from the HST images of our sample galaxies. For galaxy pairs, it is easy to find the centre of merging galaxies. For galaxies in their late merger phase, we use the method from Cui et al. (2001) to find galactic nuclei. We visually examine the light distribution of a merging system to find the core of the merging galaxies. If there is a dust lane, the single nucleus of a galaxy could be mistaken as a double-nucleus galaxy. In this sample, dust lanes are found in only a few merging galaxies in the final stage. If a galactic core is obscured by a dust lane, then we count it as a single core. Note that some sample galaxies contain only a single galactic nucleus, being most likely in the final stage of the merging process. We set $d = 0$ for these single-nucleus mergers. In contrast, two nuclei are distinguishable even in a merger remnant with disturbed morphology. We refer such systems to as merger remnants with $d < 5$ kpc. On the other hand, 8 percent of our sample merging systems contain more than two galaxies (or galactic
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nuclei) with \( d < 30 \text{kpc} \). For these multiple-galaxy merging systems, the smallest separation distance to the galaxy with long tidal tails is taken as the nuclear separation distance.

Figure 1 shows the distribution of the measured nuclear separation distance for our 461 sample LTTGs. The uncertainties of our measurements of the nuclear separation distance are typically 10 per cent, and increase at decreasing separation. The typical photometric error \((\delta z/\langle 1 + z \rangle) \approx 0.013\) at \( z < 1.5 \) induces negligible uncertainties in size measurement (0.05 kpc in the transverse distance at 1° at \( z = 1 \)). Our sample consists of 98 (21 per cent) single-nucleus mergers, and 90 (20 per cent) mergers with \( d < 5 \text{kpc} \), 128 (28 per cent) close pairs with \( 5 \leq d \leq 20 \text{kpc} \), and 145 (31 per cent) galaxy pairs with \( d > 20 \text{kpc} \). It is clear that the long tidal tails are mostly associated with the merging galaxies in the later stages (\( d < 20 \text{kpc} \)). This is not surprising because long tidal tails are caused by strong interactions. For the galaxy pairs with \( 20 < d < 55 \text{kpc} \), we suspect that these galaxy pairs have undergone the first pass as the long tidal tails can be seen as a sign of violent interaction. Simulations indicate that long tidal tails can be visible over \( \sim 1 \text{Gyr} \), mostly between the first pass and the final coalescence in the observations similar to these adopted here (Barnes 1992; Lotz et al. 2008b; Ji, Peirani & Yi 2014). Roughly speaking, the phase with \( d > 20 \text{kpc} \) accounts for 50 per cent of the timescale, while the phase with \( d < 5 \text{kpc} \) represents 35 per cent (Ji, Peirani & Yi 2014). Considering the projection effect, a large fraction of widely-separated merger pairs (\( d > 20 \text{kpc} \)) may appear as \( 5 \leq d \leq 20 \text{kpc} \). The three bins of \( d < 5 \text{kpc} \), \( 5 \leq d \leq 20 \text{kpc} \) and \( d > 20 \text{kpc} \) account for roughly 30, 30 and 40 per cent of the long-tail-visible timescale. We thus conclude that the results shown in Figure 1 are globally consistent with the timescales given by the simulations, although the uncertainties of timescales are large.

### 3.2 The Shape of Tidal Tails

We visually examine the shapes of long tidal tails in our sample galaxies. A pair of tidal tails is often generated by one disc galaxy while merging. Due to the projection effect and low surface brightness of counter tails, only one tidal tail is visible in some cases. Moreover, we note that the shape of a tidal tail seen from the HST image is not the same as the configuration in the real space. Three of us (J.R., M.Q. and R.W.) independently classified the morphologies of the long tidal tails. The agreed classification by at least two of us is adopted. Controversial results were obtained for about 10 per cent targets. We were re-examined to set classification. Our visual examination of all long tidal tails in our sample shows that these long tidal tails can be roughly classified into three shapes.

Figure 2 presents example images of the three types of tidal tails, defined as straight, curved, and plume. The key features of the three shape types are as follows:

- **Straight** — the tidal tails are straight or slightly curved. The width of the tails is usually significantly smaller than their length and the size of their host galaxies.
- **Curved** — the tidal tails are strongly curved with a shape like “S”, “U” or “V”. The tails are relatively thin and their width is much smaller than their length. We also include ring-shape tails into this type.
- **Plume** — the tidal tails spread widely in a form without a certain shape. Such tails usually have low surface brightness (SB), sticking to the outskirts of the host galaxies.

In interacting galaxies with two tidal tails, one is a long tail and the other is usually shorter. The long tail is often curved or straight, and the counter tail sometimes appears to be a plume tail. In our classification, we count a merging system with a plume tail as the plume type if the plume tail is the major one or comparable to the other tail in size. If there is no plume tail, we use the longest tail to identify the shape type, even though the shorter tails might have a different type.

The number of our sample LTTGs with straight, curved or plume tails is 192 (41 per cent), 213 (47 per cent) and 56 (12 per cent), respectively. It is clear that the long tidal tails in our sample mostly have straight and curved shapes. The plume tails are relatively rare. We notice that the number of the straight tails is comparable to that of the curved tails. This is inconsistent with the idea that the straight tails are the projected curved tails as curved tails seen edge-on should account for only a small fraction of all viewing angles. A quantitative analysis on the separation of the
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**Figure 3.** Histogram of tail lengths for three types of tidal tails. The total number is 192, 213 and 56 for straight, curved and plume, respectively. The data bin width is 5 kpc and data bars are placed at the centre of corresponding data bins. Red and blue bars are shifted for clarification. The blank, half-shaded and filled regions represent contributions from \( 0.2 < z < 0.39 \), \( 0.39 < z < 0.64 \) and \( 0.64 < z < 1.0 \) respectively, so that they correspond to the same time interval of 1.8 Gyr.

**Figure 4.** Clumps on the tidal tails in four LTTGs from our sample. The red circles mark the identified clumps.
two kinds of straight tails would need a larger sample limited in stellar mass and redshift in order to eliminate various effects. The future wide and deep imaging surveys (e.g., EUCLID, Laureijs et al. 2011; China Space Station Telescope, Gong et al. 2019; and MESSIER, Valls-Gabaud et al. 2017) will provide sufficiently large data sets to address this issue.

We measure the length of tidal tails based on the optical brightness of the stellar component of a tail. The H I gas of the tail may extend to much longer distances (e.g., Duc et al. 2000). A brightness of the stellar component of a tail. The H I gas of the data sets to address this issue.

future wide and deep imaging surveys (e.g., EUCLID, Laureijs et

clumps can form Super Star Clusters (SSCs) or TDGs within a rela-
tively short time-scale. In contrast, the stellar component in low

surface brightness along the tidal tail is not often bounded into these
clumps. A detailed investigation of the substructures of tidal tails en-
ables to shed light into the formation of the clumps (Barnes & Hem-
quist 1992; Bournaud & Duc 2006; Wetzstein, Naab & Burkert 2007).

3.3 Substructures of Tidal Tails

Gravitational collapse drives gas to form clumps in a tidal tail. The
clumps can form Super Star Clusters (SSCs) or TDGs within a rela-
tively short time-scale. In contrast, the stellar component in low

surface brightness along the tidal tail is not often bounded into these

The outer part of the profile, where the surface brightness is lower

than that of the tidal tails, is fitted with a power-law function 

\[ \Sigma = a r^{\beta} + \gamma \] and we derive the missing flux out of the

turnover radius using the light-weighted best-fitting radial profile.
The total flux and the half-light radius (i.e., effective radius \( R_e \)) are derived from the composite radial profile (inner observed + outer best-fitting) of the clamp after correcting for the PSF effect using an empirical PSF radial profile derived from stars. We also measure the radius within which 90% per cent of light is enclosed from the radial profile.

The central surface brightness, i.e., the mean surface brightness within
Table 1. The properties and measured tail parameters of our sample of 461 LTTGs.

| ID       | R. A. (J2000.0) | Dec (J2000.0) | z  | log($M_{\ast}/M_\odot$) | Nucleus Number | Nuclear Distance (kpc) | Shape Type | Tail Length (kpc) | N$_{TDG}$ | LP$_{TDG}$ |
|----------|-----------------|--------------|----|--------------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------|------------------|-----------|------------|
| 218      | 150.4096792     | 1.6409722    | 0.94 | 10.20                   | S              | -                      | L          | 15.54            | 1         | 1          |
| 708      | 150.3942292     | 1.6660750    | 0.60 | 9.66                    | D              | 2.52                   | L          | 4.46             | 0         | 0          |
| 737      | 150.6348000     | 1.6665639    | 0.85 | 10.10                   | S              | 3.40                   | C          | 11.04            | 0         | 0          |
| 939      | 150.5893583     | 1.6272472    | 1.00 | 10.40                   | M              | 6.68                   | P          | 22.29            | 0         | 0          |
| 1646     | 150.3790417     | 1.6888722    | 0.32 | 10.80                   | D              | 13.23                  | L          | 21.22            | 0         | 0          |
| 1658     | 150.6933292     | 1.6939667    | 0.97 | 10.70                   | D              | 35.08                  | C          | 32.39            | 0         | 0          |
| 1692     | 150.3774917     | 1.6955000    | 0.88 | 9.65                    | S              | 11.07                  | P          | 12.15            | 0         | 0          |
| 3042     | 150.4835500     | 1.7334778    | 0.71 | 10.30                   | M              | 35.08                  | C          | 32.39            | 0         | 0          |
| 3362     | 150.6746708     | 1.7463167    | 0.96 | 9.82                    | S              | -                      | L          | 14.19            | 0         | 0          |
| 4335     | 150.4133292     | 1.7678472    | 0.91 | 9.79                    | D              | 11.07                  | P          | 12.15            | 0         | 0          |

The machine-readable and complete version of this table is available online.

$^a$Nucleus Number — S: single; D: double; M: multiple. $^b$Shape Type — L: straight, C: curved, P: plume. $^c$N$_{TDG}$ — Number of TDGs on tidal tail. $^d$LP$_{TDG}$ — Location Parameter, 0: no TDG; 1: TDGs at the tip of tidal tails; 2: TDGs on tidal tails; 3: TDGs at the tip and on the tidal tails.

Figure 7. Stellar mass versus concentration parameter ($c = R_{90}/R_e$) for 165 TDGs identified from our LTTG sample (red circles) and 49 dwarf galaxies from Conselice et al. (2003a) (blue squares).

Figure 8. Stellar mass versus effective radius for TDGs and dwarf galaxy populations collected from the literature. Red filled circles represent TDGs from our sample. Blue stars refer to ultra-diffuse galaxies from Shi et al. (2017). Green filled squares are the satellites of early-type galaxies from Duc et al. (2014). Cyan filled triangles show TDGs in the Local Group from Dbrighausen & Krauss (2013) and dark-purple triangles are TDGs at intermediate redshifts Wen et al. (2012). TDGs generated in simulations from Yang et al. (2014) are displayed with black open diamonds. The solid black line represent the best fit $M_\ast - R_e$ relation of TDGs in this work. The blue dot-dashed line, green dotted line and magenta dashed line represent respectively the $M_\ast - R_e$ relation of elliptical galaxies with $M_\ast < 10^{10} M_\odot$, little blue spheroid (LSB) and spiral galaxies from Lange et al. (2016).
are statistically more massive. This is not surprising because these TDGs are distributed in the redshift range of $0.2 < z < 1$.

TDGs are believed to form through gas collapse followed by infall of stars (Duc & Mirabel 1998; Duc et al. 2000) and new stellar populations can be formed at the centre of TDGs. The competition between collapse time-scale and star formation time-scale controls the light concentration of the TDGs. We quantify the concentration of TDGs using a concentration parameter $c$, defined as the ratio between the 90 per cent-light and 50 per cent-light radii ($c = R_{90}/R_{50}$) (e.g. Shimasaku et al. 2001; Strateva et al. 2001; Kauffmann et al. 2003). This parameter $c$ reaches a lower limit of $\sqrt{3}/5 \approx 1.34$ for a flat light distribution. Galaxies with more light concentrated in the inner part usually have a larger $c$. Early-type galaxies are usually with $c \geq 2.6$ and late-type star-forming galaxies mostly have $1.8 < c < 2.6$ (e.g., Strateva et al. 2001; Kauffmann et al. 2003). The median of $c$ is 1.65 for our sample of 165 TDGs. Figure 7 shows stellar mass versus $c$ for the 165 TDGs in comparison with dwarf galaxies (DGs) adopted from Conselice et al. (2003a). It is clear that the TDGs are dramatically less concentrated than DGs, no cores and rather flat surface brightness distribution in the inner parts. We note that Sérsic models are also often used to describe the surface brightness profile of a galaxy. The concentration parameter $c$ is a proxy of Sérsic index $n$. Fitting the PSF-corrected surface brightness profile of TDGs in our sample with a single Sérsic function, we obtain that the majority of our TDGs are with $n < 1$, being consistent with $c < 2.3$ for these TDGs. Table 2, we present the stellar mass, effective radius, $F814W$ absolute magnitude and concentration parameter of the 165 TDGs.

We examine the mass-size relation of TDGs in comparison with different populations of dwarf galaxies. We collect samples of dwarf galaxies from the literature and show them in Figure 8. The TDGs from our sample are comparable to those in the nearby universe given in Dabinhausen & Kroupa (2013) and in intermediate redshifts from Wen et al. (2012). Interestingly, the early-type satellite galaxies of the nearby galaxies from Duc et al. (2014) fall into the same region as TDGs, implying that some of the satellites might be tidally originated.

We fit the $M_\star - R_e$ relation of TDGs with a power-law, giving the best fit as $\log R_e = 0.24 (\log (M_\star/M_\odot) - 10) + 1.84$, shown with the black-solid line in Figure 8. We also present the $M_\star - R_e$ relations from Lange et al. (2016) for spiral galaxies (magenta-dashed line), elliptical galaxies (blue dot-dashed line) and blue spheroids (green-dotted line). We can see that TDGs obey the same $M_\star - R_e$ relation as elliptical galaxies and blue spheroids of similar stellar masses. In contrast, spiral galaxies are more extended than ellipticals, blue spheroids and TDGs. In addition, we present ultra-diffuse galaxies (UDGs) from Shi et al. (2017) in Figure 8 for comparison. We can see that TDGs are much smaller than UDGs of similar stellar masses. Of 165 TDGs, only 10 TDGs are with $R_e > 1.5$ kpc. However, these extended TDGs have central surface brightness at least two magnitudes brighter than UDGs, as shown in Figure 6, and therefore cannot be considered as bona-fide UDGs unless they constitute their brighter end.

### Table 2. The parameters of 165 tidal dwarf galaxies identified from 126 merging galaxies with long tidal tails.

| ID      | R.A. (J2000.0) | Dec (J2000.0) | z     | $\log(M_\star)$ ($M_\odot$) | $R_e$ (kpc) | $m_\text{F814W}$ (mag) | $c$ |
|---------|----------------|---------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----|
| TDG-218 | 150.409721     | 1.614231      | 0.94  | 8.999                         | 0.483       | 26.000                   | 1.79|
| TDG-737 | 150.634840     | 1.668839      | 0.85  | 8.629                         | 0.679       | 25.277                   | 1.47|
| TDG-1692| 150.377754     | 1.605574      | 0.88  | 8.685                         | 1.734       | 24.813                   | 1.62|
| TDG-4590| 150.625481     | 1.771972      | 0.23  | 7.252                         | 0.391       | 25.496                   | 1.68|
| TDG-7458| 150.409206     | 1.849473      | 0.38  | 8.886                         | 1.102       | 23.686                   | 1.60|
| TDG-7613| 150.674547     | 1.853663      | 0.51  | 8.689                         | 0.491       | 24.227                   | 1.75|
| TDG-9348-2| 150.485706   | 1.855948      | 0.26  | 7.939                         | 0.633       | 24.077                   | 1.76|
| TDG-1360S-1| 150.497095   | 1.961050      | 0.55  | 7.759                         | 0.245       | 25.452                   | 1.54|
| TDG-1360S-2| 150.497169   | 1.961050      | 0.35  | 7.796                         | 0.363       | 25.360                   | 1.98|

* $F814W$ magnitude; $^b$ concentration ($c = R_{50}/R_{90}$)

#### 3.3.3 Isolated Clumps Around the Merging Galaxies

Tidal tails gradually fade and eventually become undetectable after coalescence of two galaxies. However, clumps formed on the tidal tails can still be detected after the tidal tail has dissipated. In such case, one may expect to see clumps around a merging system without clearly-visible tidal tails connecting them. Indeed, we find similar objects in our sample. Figure 9 shows two merging galaxies with surrounding clumps. The two objects exhibit clear tidal features but the diffuse component of their tidal tails are hardly detectable. We point out that our selection for LTTGs disfavors such systems as their long tidal tails become fainter than the limiting surface brightness.

On the other hand, the cosmic dimming may lead to the same effect on the detection of the tidal tails. We demonstrate the case by redshifting merging galaxies to higher redshifts using low-$z$ observed objects and counting for the cosmic dimming effect. In Figure 10, the left panel gives one LTTG at $z = 0.69$ from our sample; the right three panels present the simulated images of this object redshifted to $z = 0.9$, 1.1 and 1.3 with an unchanged limiting surface brightness. It can be seen that the tidal tail and the entire system gradually fade at increasing redshift and these clumps loss connections through the tail bridges at $z > 1$. The simulated object at $z > 1$ can not be identified as a merging system.

A clump at the tip of a tidal tail is often barely connected. The tip clump accretes surrounding materials and quickly creates a gap to the tail. The top two panels of Figure 5 demonstrate such cases. However, such clumps can be clearly identified. We thus include them into our clump sample. The tip clumps are exclusively TDGs.

**Figure 9.** HST F814W images of two merging galaxies in our sample. The contours shown with magenta lines mark the detection boundaries (1.2 times the sky background noise level). The surrounding clumps are clearly visible.
4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Formation of Tidal Tails in Relation to Their Shapes

In galaxy merging processes, the shape of tidal tails is governed by galaxy morphologies, kinematics, merger parameters, merger stage and the viewing angle (e.g. Toomre & Toomre 1972; Barnes 1992). An effective way to assess the formation of these tidal tails is to match an observed merger with simulated ones in morphology and take the merger parameters from the matched simulation to model the observed merger and formation of the associated tidal tails. Figure 11 illustrates a real merger from our sample and a simulated merger from the GalMer database (Chilingarian et al. 2010). The snapshot of the simulated merger is chosen to be analog to the morphology of the real merger. This simulation models a prograde merger at the intermediate stage in which the tidal tails keep growing. We point out that it is a big effort to pinpoint a close match of simulated mergers to each of all 461 LTTGs in our sample and figure out how these mergers were undergoing. It is worth doing but beyond the scope of this work. Here we discuss the formation mechanisms for the three types of tidal tails in our sample.

**Straight tails** — Our results show that the fractions of straight and curved tails are comparable in our sample. The straight tails represent a large fraction (~ 40 per cent) of the overall tidal tails. Straight tails are statistically shorter than curved tails, as shown in Figure 3. Their host galaxies are mostly single nucleus or close pairs, are likely being in the late stages of merging processes. In Figure 12, we present three more examples of straight tails hosted by double-nucleus systems. Compared with the curved tails, the straight tails tend to have a lower mean surface brightness and host less clumps as well as TDGs.

We note that curved tidal tails mostly lie in the same plane of their rotating host galaxies. They could be viewed as straight from the edge-on angle. However, the fraction of the edge-on curved tidal tails is small if the inclination of these merging galaxies is not preferentially aligned. And such projection effect of the tidal tails can be clarified in nearby merging galaxies (Bournaud & Duc 2004). We thus believe that the majority of straight tidal tails were formed to be straight.

It is unclear how to generate straight tidal tails in galaxy mergers at a high rate. From the properties of the straight tails, we suspect that they are associated with the late stages of galaxy mergers, and probably strongly stretched by violent tidal forces. Moreover, the lack of clumps and/or relatively short lengths may hint that their parent galaxies are not sufficiently massive. Further efforts are needed to interpret the formation of straight tidal tails.

**Curved tails** — Simulation suggest that long curved tails are often produced in the prograde mergers of disc galaxies. The length of the curved tails are significantly affected by the merger parameters such as the different inclination angle and the mass ratio. It is clear that curved tails formed after their first pericentre passage. This stage represents a relatively long period of the entire merging process (Barnes 1992).

**Plume tails** — This type of tidal tails may be associated with the retrograde mergers of disc galaxies. In retrograde merging, the materials of the tails are driven away by tidal force, and then fall back to the host galaxies and form extended plume tails. Moreover, plume tails are in place after coalescence. Around the merger remnant, the tails and fallback materials dissipate and form a plume appearance located at the outskirts of the host galaxies.

4.2 Detection Completeness

Our sample of 461 LTTGs is increasingly incomplete at \( z > 0.4 \) in detecting long tidal tails down to the limiting surface brightness of 25.1 mag arcsec\(^{-2}\) (3σ detection, Wen & Zheng 2016). Figure 10 demonstrated the increasing detection incompleteness due to the cosmic dimming effect. Wen & Zheng (2016) examined the F814W surface brightness of these tidal tails and estimated the detection completeness (as shown in their figure 9). The tidal tail detection is complete above an intrinsic surface brightness of 23.1 mag arcsec\(^{-2}\) at \( z < 0.4 \) after correcting for the cosmic dimming effect, and the completeness becomes 78, 72, and 62 per cent at \( z \sim 0.5, 0.7 \) and 0.9, respectively.

We classified the long tidal tails into three shape types. Among them, plume tails are statistically fainter in surface brightness than...
straight and curved tails. The detection completeness is thus lower than for plume tails than the other two types and our sample contains only a few plume tails at $z > 0.6$. This bias lowers the fraction of the plume type in our sample.

4.3 Formation of TDGs

We identified 165 TDGs in 126 LTTGs. This is so far the largest sample of TDGs at $0.2 < z < 1$. The properties of TDGs in our sample, as shown in Figure 6, are generally consistent with those reported in previous works for individual cases in the nearby universe or small samples of TDGs. Based on the to date largest TDG sample, we estimated a production rate of TDGs to be 0.36 per major merger. In order to estimate the contribution of TDGs to the dwarf population in the local universe, we selected a subsample of TDGs with $8.5 \leq \log(M_*/M_\odot) \leq 9.5$ and calculate the production rate of TDGs in this mass range. The production rate of TDGs in this mass range is $\sim 0.17$ TDGs per long-tidal tail merger system. Combining galaxy mass functions at $z < 4$ from Laigle et al. (2016) with the LTTG merger fraction from Wen & Zheng (2016), we obtain a TDG density function in the mass range of $\log(M_*/M_\odot) \geq 9.5$. Adopting the TDG survival rates from Bournaud & Duc (2006), we calculate the accumulated number density of survived TDGs to be $1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ Mpc$^{-3}$ over the past $1 - 12$ Gyr and $1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ Mpc$^{-3}$ over $0 - 1$ Gyr lookback time.

Considering that some TDGs in $0 - 1$ Gyr do not have enough time to escape from tidal tails and evolve into isolated dwarf galaxies, we find that the number density of dwarf galaxies evolved from TDGs since 12 Gyr ago is about $2 \times 10^{-4}$ Mpc$^{-3}$. From the local galaxy mass function (Bell et al. 2003), we obtain that dwarf galaxies with $8.5 \leq \log(M_*/M_\odot) \leq 9.5$ have a number density of about $7 \times 10^{-3}$ Mpc$^{-3}$ in the local universe. This means that about $\sim 3$ per cent of dwarf galaxies are contributed by TDGs. Considering that half of the merging galaxies have long tidal tails and not all of the merging systems can produce TDGs (Bournaud & Duc 2006; Wen & Zheng 2016), we estimate that $\sim 5$ per cent of dwarf galaxies are of tidal origin. This is consistent with the result given in Wen et al. (2012). Such a low production rate indicates that the tidally-originated dwarf galaxies do not represent a significant fraction of the dwarf population in the same stellar mass regime.

We denote that most of the TDGs are associated with curved (85/165) and straight (55/165) tails. Interestingly, about half of TDGs are located at the tip of their host tails. We compare the tidal tails hosting TDGs with those containing no TDGs, finding no systematic difference in their properties. We suspect that gas fraction might be important for triggering the formation of TDGs (e.g., Duc, Bournaud & Maset 2004). Our current investigation is unable to tell the reasons why some tidal tails can form TDGs at the tip while the others do not. Simulations of galaxy mergers can help to understand the formation mechanisms of TDGs.

TDGs are believed to contain little dark matter because of their tidal origin (Bournaud & Duc 2006). Our TDGs have spheroidal-like morphologies with stellar mass mostly in the range of $\log(M_*/M_\odot) \sim 7.5 - 9.5$ and half-light radius around $R_e \sim 0.8$ kpc. Our results show that TDGs follow the mass-size relation of ellipticals and spheroids in the same stellar mass range (Figure 8). This coincidence suggests that at the low-mass end the central part of ellipticals and spheroids are likely dominated by the baryonic matter. In addition, our results reveal that the average surface brightness profile of TDGs is globally flatter than that of disc galaxies. We suspect that TDGs in our sample are still in the formation process and not dynamically relaxed yet, making them even more extended than disc galaxies. It is worth noting that the properties of TDGs, i.e., relatively small size and low Sérsic index, are similar to those of high-$z$ star-forming galaxies. TDGs could be thus used to explore the physical processes of rapid star formation that more often occur in high-$z$ galaxies.

Recently, some UDGs were claimed to be dominated by bary-
onic matter and possibly tidal originated. We examine our TDGs to see if some of them could be the UDG candidates. We note that UDGs are defined to have $R_e > 1.5$ kpc and the central surface brightness of $24 - 26$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$ (e.g. Koda et al. 2015; Shi et al. 2017). In our sample of 165 TDGs, there are only 10 with $R_e > 1.5$ kpc. Their central surface brightness are, however, at least two magnitudes brighter than that of UDGs. Indeed, the limiting surface brightness of the COSMOS HST imaging data does not allow us to detect UDGs associated with our sample LTTGs. On the other hand, all of the TDGs on tidal tails are likely forming new stars through attracting surrounding gas and thus show a high brightness temporarily. We cannot deny the possibility that at least some of the 10 extended UDGs would fade dramatically and satisfy the criteria for being UDGs. This is supported by the finding that some old TDGs exhibit properties similar to those of UDGs (e.g., Duc et al. 2014).

4.4 Spiral Arms and Curved Tails

Spiral arms in (barred) disc galaxies, in particular the “loose” ones, appear like curved tails and might contaminate our sample. We argue that the contamination is marginal and our results are not affected. Our sample galaxies are selected to have disturbed morphologies with strong tidal features in the outskirts. As shown in Figure 2, 4 and 5, the long tidal tails in these galaxies are asymmetric and extended. We also check all 461 LTTGs and pick up these with arm structures. Figure 13 shows the HST images of four sample galaxies with the least disturbed tidal arms. Both spiral arms and tidal tails can be seen in these galaxies. The spiral arms are symmetric and closely linked with the core of the host galaxies. In contrast, the tidal tails are diffuse and more extended. In some merging pairs of galaxies with “loose” spiral arms, tidal forces may drive the arms open and turn them into curved tails. This mechanism does not require strong tidal forces to break the original disc structures, likely responsible for part of the curved tails in galaxy pairs with nuclear separation distance $d > 20$ kpc.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Using HST/ACS F814W imaging data in conjunction with publicly-available multi-wavelength data in the COSMOS field, we analyse the morphological properties for a sample of 461 merging galaxies with long tidal tails. We summarize our results as follows:

- Of 461 LTTGs, 91 (21 per cent) are single-nucleus merging galaxies, 90 (20 per cent) are partially-coalesced with nuclear separation distance $d < 20$ kpc, 128 (28 per cent) are close pairs with $d < 20$ kpc, and 145 (31 per cent) are galaxy pairs with $d > 20$ kpc. Comparison of our sample galaxies with simulations suggests that long tidal tails are mostly generated in prograde galaxy mergers.
- The long tidal tails can be classified mainly into three shape types: straight, curved and plume. Our sample consists of 192 (41 per cent) LTTGs with straight tails, 213 (47 per cent) with curved tails, and 56 (12 per cent) with plume tails. We find that the curved tails viewed edge-on may be responsible for only a small fraction of the straight tails. It remains to be understood how galaxies merge to generate straight tails at such a high rate. We argue that the shape of tidal tails is generally coupled with the stage of merging processes although it also relies on the merger parameters.
- We identify 177 clumps from all long tidal tails of our 461 merging galaxies. Of them, 165 are classified as tidal dwarf galaxies residing in 126 host merging galaxies, yielding a production rate of 0.36. We estimate that ~5 per cent of local dwarf galaxies are tidally originated. Our results show that most of the TDGs are associated with curved (85/165) and straight (55/165) tails. More than half of TDGs are located at the tip of their host tails.
- We find that TDGs follow the mass-size relation of local elliptical galaxies over $7.5 \lesssim \log (M_*/M_\odot) \lesssim 9.5$, while their surface brightness profiles appear statistically flatter than an exponential disc. These indicate that TDGs are still in the formation process, make them analogous objects for studying rapid star formation that commonly occur in high-$z$ star-forming galaxies.
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