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Abstract

Orchestra musicians are at high risk of neuro-mechanical disorders due to the intense stresses their body withstand, leading to pain and injury. This review presents a comprehensive account of the works on the circumstances and types of playing related mobility disorders of upper strings players, as well as on the relevant neuro-mechanical factors and perspectives to those disorders. The following aspects are considered: asymmetry and imbalance in the musculo-skeletal system, muscle-bone-joint interactions, repetitive overloading and fatigue. An additional factor relates to neuro-muscular redundancy in the motor system, whereby more muscles and tendons than strictly necessary are engaged in performing a motor task, thus making the system indeterminate, with no unique solution. This same task can be performed with different muscle combinations. It is thus of interest to verify whether playing disorders may be alleviated by considering alternative techniques of performance.
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Of the orchestra musicians, players of the upper string instruments have been reported to be among the most vulnerable to playing related musculo-skeletal disorders (PRMD). 1,7 More recently, Gembris et al. 9 reported that, compared to other instruments, string players have an above-average likelihood of suffering from physical problems. Accordingly, violinists are the most frequently studied players and the following physical factors have been cited to contribute to the development of their PRMD: unnatural posture, relatively high loading forces on their limbs and trunk, repetitive movements, vibrations and fatigue. 9,10 Specifically, in the upper strings players, unnatural and asymmetrical postures are encountered in activating the movements of the arms, hands and fingers. 11 In addition, these players play almost continually during the performance, which makes them even more prone to fatigue and injury. Data about the high prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders in the upper limbs of string players, particularly upper string players are presented in Appendix A. Past work relating PRMD to neuro-mechanical factors has been confined to specific aspects, such as EMG, 12-16 kinematics of the bowing limb, 10,12,14 and postural issues. 10,17,18 This review provides a comprehensive neuro-mechanical perspective of the factors responsible for injuries encountered by orchestra upper strings musicians. Next section deals with the combined action of the muscle-tendon-bone-joint complex on loading of the bones, tendons and joints and how this is affected by muscle imbalance. The repetitive activity of playing induces fatigue and weakening of the muscles resulting in imbalance and altered body posture. A common consequence of imbalance relates to the subacromial impingement syndrome within the rotator cuff which causes considerable discomfort and pain. Third section presents the concept of neuro-muscular redundancy in performing human activity in general and in violin playing, in particular. The redundant muscular activity of each of the upper limbs during upper strings playing is described and the concept of biomechanical indeterminacy is introduced. Fourth section addresses the questions of asymmetry in posture and in function of upper strings players. The consequences of asymmetry are examined in the light of the required playing activities and the coordination between muscle activity and kinetics is discussed. Fifth section is devoted to the loading forces in the tendons and joints of the left hand index finger caused by string fingering, based on direct force measurements and on a biomechanically indeterminate model. The resolution of the forces within the tendons and joints allow to further reveal the cartilage stresses within the joints. The role of the muscles and their attached tendons as shock absorbers is further described.
in sixth section. Specifically, as a result of muscle fatigue
the shock absorbing capability is hampered, resulting in
more impulsive loading and increasing the risk of
overload injuries. Seventh section describes the
phenomenon of repetitive strain injuries in upper string
players, following abrupt and/or impulsive loading in
either the right side as a result of intensive bow strokes,
or the left side resulting from rapid fingering. The
resemblance between upper string musicians and
head and industrial workers from the point of
view of risk of injury and types of injuries is treated in
In eighth section although musicians can be referred to as
instruments players, they are often deprived in terms of
accessing onsite management of their musculoskeletal
disorders. Finally, Appendix A presents the prevalence of
musculoskeletal disorders: prevalence by site in the
upper limb (Table A1), prevalence by playing instrument
(Table A2) and prevalence within the string players
((strings only). A high prevalence of musculoskeletal
disorders in the upper limbs, particularly within the string
instruments players is noted.

**Muscle-Tendon-Bone-Joint Interactions and Muscle Imbalance**

Muscles and tendons are responsible for the dynamic
loading on joints

Muscles and bones act together in the human body. The
bones provide the structural basis and support and the
attached muscles provide, through their tendons, torques
across the joints. At equilibrium, these torques act to
stabilize the joints and under dynamic conditions they
work as actuators for generating motion. Due to their
short lever arms around the joint, the muscle/tendon
forces responsible for exerting the torques are high. Thus,
contracting muscles and their attached tendons are
greatly responsible for the high-intensity loading on the
bones and joints. Such a situation is encountered in
the violinist’s hand during playing, when the muscles,
tendons, bones and joints are highly loaded. This is
more emphasized during abrupt or impulsive playing
such as playing tremolo, arpeggio etc., resulting in peak
loads.

**Muscle Imbalance**

Muscle imbalance occurs when muscles that surround the
joint provide either lower or higher torque magnitudes
than functionally required, thus resulting in the disruption
of the joint function. A common example of pathological
imbalance occurs when the neck flexors (such as
glenohumeral) and scapular stabilizing muscles (such as
scapulothoracic) become chronically weakened by
fatigue due to extensive or repetitive activity. This
evokes a compensatory action of tightening the pectoral,
trapezius, sternocleidomastoid, andlevator scapulae
muscles. This type of muscle imbalance is known as
Upper Crossed Syndrome. In musicians with PRMD,
upper crossed syndrome was reported in the context of
the postural stabilization system. Maintaining an
unnatural posture for long periods of time not only can
lead to discomfort and pain, but also to fatiguing of the
muscles. To diagnose the upper crossed syndrome condition, tests were conducted to determine the
muscles’ strength and the flexion pattern of the head and
neck. The tests also involved palpation of the upper
trapezius for tightness and testing of the pectoral muscles
for shortness. The reported results indicated a higher
occurrence of the upper crossed syndrome in upper
strings players compared to players of other instruments.
Muscle imbalance induces in turn an altered body
posture, consisting of a forward positioning of the head

**Table A1.** Incidence (% of tested players) of pain and/or musculo-skeletal disorder by site in the upper limb

|                  | Newmark & Hochberg¹⁶ | Fry¹⁹ | Abreu-Ramos¹²⁴ (Upper Strings) | Lederman⁶⁴ (Strings only) |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| Shoulder         | 9                     | 35    | 63                          |                        |
| Elbow            | 20                    | 10    | 20                          |                        |
| Forearm          | 40                    | 34    |                             |                        |
| Wrist            | 16                    |       |                             |                        |
| Hand/wrist       | 41                    |       |                             |                        |
| General Musculoskeletal (including spine) |   | 92 |                             |                        |
| Peripheral nerve |                       |       |                             | 19*                    |

*including: carpal tunnel syndrome, cubital tunnel syndrome (elbow), De Quervain’s syndrome

**Table A2.** Incidence (% of tested players) of pain and/or musculo-skeletal disorder by instrument

| Instrument        | Fry¹⁹ | Lederman⁶⁴ (For Any of the String Instruments) |
|-------------------|-------|-----------------------------------------------|
| violin            | 75    | 89                                            |
| Viola             | 75    |                                               |
| Cello             | 73    |                                               |
| Bass              | 60    |                                               |
| Woodwinds         | 69    | 52                                            |
| Brass             | 39    |                                               |
| Percussion        | 32    | 63                                            |
and an elevation and protraction of the shoulders. This alters the resting position of the scapula, changes the positional axis of the glenoid fossa and stimulates shoulder pain. Additionally, excessive stresses are applied to several regions, including the cervico-cranial junction, the cervico-cervical transition with the C4 and C5 spinal segments, and the T4 segment. Without treatment, it can break down the mechanics of the shoulder and deep arm movement patterns, leading to symptoms of inflammation of the tendons (tendonitis) and of the joint’s bursa (bursitis), to rotator cuff injury as well as to functional impingement of the joint, the latter resulting from the compression of soft tissue structures between the humeral head and the undersurface of the acromion process and/or the coraco-acromial ligament.

Measurement of Muscle Imbalance

Surface electromyography (EMG) has been applied to study the patterns of muscle activation in playing different instruments, primarily as a means of understanding which groups of muscles are the most engaged during specific activities and hence may be at greatest risk for fatigue and pain. Such studies have generally focused on muscles of the upper trunk and the upper limb. In violinists, several research groups studied muscle imbalance by using quantitative EMG of the bilateral trapezius, deltoid, biceps, and triceps. EMG was compared between players with performance-related pain in the neck and shoulder region and those of asymptomatic violinists. The rectified EMG signal of the pain group was found to be significantly higher on the trapezius (highest), deltoid and biceps on the painful neck and shoulder. However, these results only provided indication of muscle activity but not of the actual level of fatigue and the perceived effort from the players. It was thus concluded that the pain group used significantly more force compared to the pain-free group. 

Risks in increased deltoid activity

The deltoid, as the prime abduction mover of arm, is in fact an antagonist muscle of the adduction pectoralis major and of the latissimus dorsi. Additionally, with elevating the arm the deltoid also elevates the humeral head. To counterbalance the resulting compression of the humeral head against the undersurface of the acromion and decrease the risk of injury of the supraspinatus tendon, a simultaneous contraction of the infraspinatus, teres minor and subscapularis rotator cuff muscles takes place. Thus, an increased deltoid activity will usually be followed by a decrease in subacromial space and an increased compression of the humeral head, increasing the risk of injuring the supraspinatus tendon.

Significance of Subacromial impingement syndrome

Subacromial Impingement Syndrome (SIS), the most common disorder of the shoulder, is a painful condition resulting from loss of subacromial space. SIS can be of two types: structural and functional. The first type is characterized by physical loss of area in the subacromial space due to inflammation (e.g. of the supraspinatus tendon) or to bony growth. In functional impingement, the relative loss of subacromial space is secondary to altered scapulohumeral mechanics resulting from glenohumeral instability and muscle imbalance. From the studies of Potau et al. and of Philipson et al., it appears that in upper strings players, a combination of these two types of impingement takes place.

Neuro-muscular redundancy

Multi-muscle activity

An interesting question in human activity is how many muscles are required for performing a given motor task? Not less intriguing is the question relating to the number of muscles actually engaged in this motor task. A trivial
example is the rising of one’s straight arm sideways to a horizontal position while standing still. Directly responsible for creating this motion are the deltoid, supraspinatus and upper trapezius with the accompanying extension of the pectorals (major and minor) and latissimus dorsi, all from the same side as the raised arm. It can be demonstrated, however, that the activities of other muscles are essential both for performing this task and for keeping the arm in the raised position and the body in equilibrium. From the contralateral side, these include the trapezius and other neck muscles, the erector spinae muscles and the gluteus medius and minimus muscles. From the same side as the elevated arm, the reaction force on the foot is increased and so is the activity in the muscles of the hip, thigh, calf, feet and toes. Thus, it is evident that in such a trivial body movement quite a number of muscles have to be involved from both sides of the body. Moreover, the additional involvement of muscles due to breathing and to the swaying motion of the body taking place during standing still should be accounted for. Another activity demonstrating the multitude of muscle involvement is human level walking, which is a dynamic activity of cyclic nature. Using electromyograms of the major muscles of the lower limb in locomotion have revealed the sequence of muscle activation and have shown that no less than 31 muscle groups are engaged in each leg during walking. A striking analogy between multi-muscle performance and multi-instrument symphonic score is demonstrated in Figure 1. Figure 1a shows the sequence of muscle activation in a gait cycle, involving 28 of the muscle groups of the human lower limb. Figure 1b shows two consecutive pages from the beginning of the third movement of Tschaikowsky’s symphony no.6 (“Pathetique”). Although 24 instrument lines can be counted, there are in fact more instruments because in some lines there are two roles like in the Oboes and Bassoons (2 of each). Like with the muscles, not all instruments are active all the time and in both cases accurate control is required: central nervous system in walking and orchestra conductor in musical performance.

Muscles in violin playing

In violin playing, the neck and shoulder muscles of the left side are engaged in holding the instrument. The sternocleidomastoid supports the violin during rotation and depression movement of the chin. The trapezius, while taking part in supporting and securing the violin, also holds the head in place, and acts as a stabilizer muscle for the continually abducted left arm. The left shoulder muscles, particularly the anterior deltoid, are used to support the raised left arm. The left biceps brachii is the principal muscle being used while playing as it facilitates and sustains supination and flexion of the elbow with its antagonistic triceps, the latter being active to stabilize and control the elbow in its partly extended position. In the forearm, the wrist and finger flexor and extensor muscles are used to control the fingering movements in the hand. With no significant extension movements occurring in the left arm, the triceps is primarily used for specific technical tasks such as vibrato, which is a quick repeated increase and decrease in the frequency of a played note. In a more specific way of studying vibrato playing, EMG was used to reveal the periodic pattern of the following muscles: the left biceps brachii, flexor digitorum, extensor digitorum, and pronator teres, came on and off in a periodic fashion, while the deltoid was constantly active. On the right side, the trapezius muscle is responsible for facilitating the bowing motion. The shoulder muscles, such as the deltoid, have been described as being active during the continual movement of the right arm when playing. The greatest muscle activity is found when the shoulder is horizontally abducted and flexed, at the beginning of a down-bow, especially at low speeds. The biceps muscle in the right arm is active in both the down and up-bow movement, although it is more forceful during the up-bow (flexion of the elbow and shoulder) as it works against gravity during that motion. EMG analysis shows that the biceps works more in the elbow than in the shoulder movement. During the down-bow, the deltoid and biceps in the right arm act eccentrically because their torques have to perform work against gravity torque. In the forearm the flexors and extensors are used to control the bow. The spatial distributions of surface EMG of the right trapezius and right and left erector spinae muscles were monitored during bowing. The results showed that sliding the bow upward from the tip toward the tail results in a 50% higher muscle activity of the trapezius muscle than a downward bow. An increasing trapezius activity was also observed as the string position became increasingly lateral, from the most medial string toward the most lateral one. It was also reported that the activity of the left and right erector spinae muscles was reduced by 20% in case a backrest support was used by the violin player. In this same study, the effect of the Detaché technique of playing notes with broad but separate bow strokes was also measured. Examples of orchestral Detachés can be heard in Beethoven’s 7th Symphony, 2nd movement (allegretto), or Mahler’s 1st Symphony, 3rd movement “frere Jacques” Afsharipour et al. found that playing Detaché tail (sliding the bow downward, from the tail toward the tip) causes higher activity of the right erector spinae compared to the left erector spinae to keep the player balanced. In performing Detaché tip (sliding the bow upward from the tip toward the tail), the lumbar activities of the left and right side are balanced. This was explained as follows: the erector spinae muscles assist in the control of bending forward at the waist as well as in returning to the erect position. During performing fast movements such as Detaché tail, the player rotates and somewhat bends toward his left. This movement, is likely to cause asymmetry in the activity of the lumbar muscles. In playing the Detaché tip no leaning toward the left is needed since the length of
the bow compensate the required length to reach the string with the tip of the bow.

**Concentric vs eccentric muscle activity in Bowing**

In the elbow joint of the bowing arm, the transition from up-bow to down-bow, is performed through alternating the amount of activation of each of the biceps/triceps muscles. In the up-bow movement while the elbow is flexing, the higher biceps activity is concentric. It should be reminded that concentric terminology applies here because both the torque produced by the biceps and the elbow angular velocity are in the same direction. For changing to down-bow, while the elbow is in the course of extension, the triceps take over (here too, contracting concentrically). It has been shown that both antagonistic biceps and triceps muscles are active during up-bow and down-bow motions, with the biceps being dominant during up-bow and the triceps during down-bow. It should be noted, however, that the action of the non-dominant muscle is eccentric: for instance, during down-bow the biceps’ torque and the elbow angular velocity are in opposite directions to each other (the biceps’ role during down-bow is to control the motion of the elbow by somewhat braking its movement). Co-contraction of the elbow biceps and triceps muscles during the application of an elbow torque is illustrated in Figure 2 during down-bowing motion of the right arm. The required net elbow torque $T$ determines the magnitude of the biceps $F_1$ and triceps $F_2$ forces to be applied as follows: $F_1 = (T + F_2 b)/a$, where $a$ and $b$ are the distances to the elbow joint of the biceps and triceps, respectively. It is noted that $F_1$ strongly depends on the amount of co-activation of the antagonist triceps muscle $F_2$. This co-activation provides an example for the indeterminacy of the required muscle force, whose solution strongly depends on the amount of co-activation.

**Origin of redundancy**

The origin of the abovementioned neuro-muscular redundancy can be found in the descending pathways from the central nervous system to the peripheral nervous system. As a matter of fact, there exist multiple pathways for the performance of a given motor task such that a given central command may be executed in different ways and, conversely, the same end-result can be achieved from different trigger commands. A trivial example to illustrate the non-uniqueness of activation is how a joint torque of required intensity can be generated. Trivially, it can be produced by the contraction of one muscle only. However, the same required torque can also be produced by the summation of non-equal and opposing muscle forces from both sides of the joint. In this case, there will be an infinite number of ways to produce the same torque, involving the co-activation, or co-contraction, of antagonist muscles.

From the purely mechanical aspect, such co-activation is undesirable because, despite the fact that the torque remains unchanged, it results in a higher net force in the joint. Nevertheless, co-activation is physiologically beneficial because it facilitates stability and controllability of posture and motion. Here are examples of co-activation in playing the upper strings instrument: in the left forearm, the wrist and finger flexor as well as extensor muscles are used to control the fingering movements in the hand. Similarly, in the right forearm both the flexors and extensors are used to control the bow.

**Physiological benefits of redundancy**

Muscle redundancy involves more acting muscle groups than actually required and necessitates patterns of timings and intensities of those muscles, to be controlled by the central nervous system. It may be argued, though, that the involvement of all the participating muscles is not essential. It is probably this redundancy, however, that provides the smooth and graceful motion, both in walking and in playing. In the latter case, it allows to produce those specific and accurate movements, in both the hands and arms, which are essential to effectively achieve the proper tone, pitch, volume and quality of sound. It has been reported that upper string players tend to involve more effort with larger muscle groups than actually required. In playing, redundancy also refers to the multitude of ways in which a tone or a series of tones can be produced. From the purely biomechanical aspect, this refers to the specific muscles engaged in playing. It is also affected by the way the instrument is being held. Various playing techniques have been reported, opening to the player several different ways to play a given passage or circumvent a difficulty. However, in the course of learning and with increasing practice, one of those techniques is usually favored and adopted and an individual optimal efficiency can be achieved. In general, redundancy of the neuro
muscular system opens possibilities of exploring alternative ways of playing in cases injury comes about.

**Biomechanical Indeterminacy**

Indeterminacy occurs when the number of unknowns exceeds the number of available biomechanical equations (see later Section Biomechanical Model). Indeterminacy is associated with a multitude of possible solutions of the available system of equations and is due to the multitude of muscles, tendons and ligaments. Conventional methods of addressing mechanical indeterminacy usually refer to the implementation of optimization criteria,\(^{55}\) which provide supplementary equations intended to eliminate inadequate solutions. The level of indeterminacy is expected to decrease with the reduction of redundancy and more accurate solutions can be achieved. For instance, in cases of muscle deficiency or muscle paralysis the system’s redundancy is reduced.\(^{56}\) At any event, information from electromyograms may become instrumental in resolving the musculo-skeletal system of equations muscle, by providing direct information about the muscle forces through their activities.\(^{57}\)

**Asymmetry in Posture and Function**

**Left and right sides do different things**

Postural and functional asymmetry in upper string instruments stems from the structure of the instrument and the way this instrument is being held and played.\(^{58}\) The workload is not distributed symmetrically between both sides. As described earlier, on the left side the hand performs fine motor movements and the lower arm display extreme postures, resulting in a higher load on the left arm. On the right side, the upper limb performs the bowing and the shoulder is in a sustained state of abduction and flexion focusing playing disorders in that site.\(^{10,59}\)

**Consequences of Asymmetry**

The upper extremity is the most common site of injury with the left side reported to be the more affected.\(^{6,10,60,61}\) The combination of asymmetrical postures and repetitive movements is particularly stressful and was reported to contribute to common pattern of overuse injuries in muscle, tendon and nerve damage, such as medial or lateral epicondyritis, myofascial pain, and wrist tendinitis.\(^{4,10,58,62-64}\)

**Neck, shoulder, chest and spine**

Usually, the weight of instrument is held partly by the upper chest and clavicle and partly by the hand.\(^{6,65}\) Holding the instrument more horizontally and more to the upper chest and clavicle and partly by the hand.\(^{6,65}\) The workload of the left arm is in prolonged elbow flexion. In addition to the effort required by the proximal joints and fingers to grasp the instrument correctly, a considerable effort is required to hold down and release the strings, in order to rapidly move between the positions of the different notes. This effort is enhanced due to excessive internal rotation of the left arm, involves a change in wrist and elbow angles and is accompanied by movements up and down the neck of the instrument.\(^6\) High intensity force is also needed to lift the fingers from the string and keep them elevated.\(^{17,40}\) Often the left wrist is flexed as the fingers curl to apply pressure to the strings. This is the classic position to induce carpal tunnel syndrome and may promote flexor carpi ulnaris tendinitis and ulnar nerve entrainment at the elbow and wrist.\(^{67}\) The repetitive dynamic loading may lead to injury at the tendon sheaths, at the fingers as well as at the muscles that move them.\(^{68}\) A more detailed analysis of the tendon and joint loads will follow later.

**Elbow, wrist, hand and fingers**

Ulnar neuropathy (cubital tunnel syndrome) at the elbow is often seen in bowed upper string players because their left arm is in prolonged elbow flexion. In addition to the effort required by the proximal joints and fingers to grasp the instrument correctly, a considerable effort is required to hold down and release the strings, in order to rapidly move between the positions of the different notes. This effort is enhanced due to excessive internal rotation of the left arm, involves a change in wrist and elbow angles and is accompanied by movements up and down the neck of the instrument.\(^6\) High intensity force is also needed to lift the fingers from the string and keep them elevated.\(^{17,40}\) Often the left wrist is flexed as the fingers curl to apply pressure to the strings. This is the classic position to induce carpal tunnel syndrome and may promote flexor carpi ulnaris tendinitis and ulnar nerve entrainment at the elbow and wrist.\(^{67}\) The repetitive dynamic loading may lead to injury at the tendon sheaths, at the fingers as well as at the muscles that move them.\(^{68}\) A more detailed analysis of the tendon and joint loads will follow later.

**In the right side**

Here, while the bowing is carried out, the palm of the hand is in pronation.\(^{19}\) The sustained state of abduction and flexion of the right shoulder can result in rotator cuff tendinitis\(^{67}\) and high incidence of shoulder pain.\(^6\) In relation to this latter syndrome,\(^{16}\) found that during bowing the right upper arm was elevated by 30-90° for as long as a fourth of a violinists working time.\(^{45}\) Players with forward head posture and poor axial extension may
experience difficulty with prolonged bowing. In some playing techniques the bowing arm performs a sharp full-range pronation of the forearm with extension of the elbow on down-stroke. This may develop myofascial tension on the pronator teres and compress the median nerve (resembling carpal tunnel syndrome) and evoke entrapment of the ulnar nerve.  

EMG and Kinematics

The coordination between muscle activity and kinematics of the limb is of great interest. In studies on 3-D motion analyses of the bowing arm of string musicians and including angles of shoulder, elbow and wrist joints, individual reproducibility and clear differences between players in repeated testing was reported. Kinematics measurements were combined with EMG of the trapezius and serratus muscles to compare between injured violinists with SIS and uninjured violinists. The results of that study indicated that in the SIS group, the trapezius activity was shorter in duration than in the uninjured group. Additionally, in the SIS group there was increased posterior scapular tilting and increased scapular upward rotation, both were longer in duration. There was also an increased scapular internal rotation. The glenohumeral joint had a reduced amplitude in flexion and an increased amplitude in external rotation compared to the uninjured musicians. The muscle recruitment pattern of the serratus anterior and the upper trapezius was found to be consistent with these kinematic variations.

Forces in tendons and joints of the left hand index finger caused by string fingering

Finger-Fingerboard force

The force values within the tendons and joints of the left hand fingers while pressing the strings against the fingerboard are of great interest because they reflect on the potential damage caused there due to prolonged and repetitive intensive playing. A force transducer was thus introduced to measure the force exerted by the finger against the fingerboard during playing of a tone at different tempi. In the process of pressing the string, two force phases were reported: the first is the exertion of a transient force against the tension of the string during the pressing-down motion until contact with the fingerboard is reached. The second phase is exertion of an additional force to secure clamping of the string onto the fingerboard in the required pitch position. The reported finger force values ranged from 4.5 N at tempi smaller than 2 Hz to 1.7 N at tempi exceeding 2 Hz. The highest force in the index finger. The finger force was reported to be lower at softer dynamic levels of the tone.

Biomechanical Model

To evaluate the effect of these forces on the hand’s tendons and joints, we refer to a previously developed biomechanical 3-D dynamic model for the index finger. In this model, the index finger is controlled by seven muscles and the two interphalangeal joints, proximal (PIP) and distal (DIP), are represented as hinge joints capable of flexion and extension only. The metacarpophalangeal joint (MP) is modeled as a saddle joint capable of both flexion-extension as well as abduction-adduction motions. The model combines the following: equations of motion, force constraint equations describing the anatomy of the interacting tendons, changing geometry during motion, and elasticity of the tendons, yielding altogether 8 equations with 14 unknowns for the tendon and joint forces (7 tendons plus 2+2+3=7 joint components). To solve this indeterminate problem, a non-linear optimization approach based on minimization of the square of muscle stresses was used. It should be pointed that muscle stress is the most popular optimization criterion in musculo-skeletal biomechanics and the reason for squaring the stress values is intended to accentuate differences between the different tendons taking part in the model-solution. A pinch force was introduced to serve as external input load on the index finger. This force included three phases: free flexing motion with no contact force, decelerating motion with increasing contact force, and full intensity pinch force without motion (chosen here to be of 1 N magnitude). The model solution was intended to predict the tendon forces needed to produce a specified motion and interaction with the environment and was validated by comparison with previously published EMG data.

Tendon and Joint Forces

The model results indicated that the flexor digitorum profundus (FDP) force reached nearly 5 N at full pinch. The joint forces at the MP and PIP joints were at ~8 N and the DIP was negligible. The opposing extensor digitorum communis (EC) force exhibited a noticeable peak at mid excursion, reflecting its braking effect of the compressing motion. Once the external pinch force took effect, this extensor force gradually decreased. The bands of the extensor mechanism participated in a manner similar to the long extensor, in moderating the flexion action. The extensor slip (ES) bore particularly large forces (8 N) originating mainly from the elasticity of the extensor mechanism itself. This large force is required due to the relatively small moment arm of the ES. The terminal extensor (TE) was also active, supporting the distal phalanx. The forces of the radial band (RB) and ulnar band (UB) acted symmetrically to maintain the extensor mechanism balanced. The three intrinsic muscles remained silent during all phases of the motion. When increasing the model magnitude of the input pinch force to 6 N (six fold the previous one), the forces obtained were as follows: FDP reached 20 N, the flexor digitorum superficialis (FDS) 8 N and the joint forces reached 25 N for both the PIP and MP joints. The DIP force was in this case noticeable, though much smaller, nearly at 9 N. At 6 N pinching intensity the extensor digitorum communis EC peaked at 12 N and the intrinsic radial interosseous (RI) was clearly noticeable at 9 N.
From joint forces to joint stresses

The justification of integrating the two studies of Kinoshita et al.\textsuperscript{30} and Brook et al.\textsuperscript{21} comes from the following reasons: (a) comparable loading (or pinching) force 4.5 N in the former study, versus 1-6 N in the latter; (b) in both studies load application is divided into two phases including transient force followed by full force. Thus, the force of 4.5 N measured by Kinoshita et al.\textsuperscript{70} infers on the joint and tendon forces derived in the Brook et al.\textsuperscript{21} for the index finger. For comparison, the reported joint forces for piano playing were 10.9, 19.3 and 31.6 N for the DIP, PIP and MP joints, respectively.\textsuperscript{73} These values were slightly larger than those of keyboard typing. Using data about surface areas of the finger joints,\textsuperscript{76} the above joint loads for violin playing yield average joint cartilage stresses of 190, 410 and 230 kPa for the DIP, PIP and MP joints, respectively. For piano playing the reported average stresses were 226, 314 and 295 kPa for the DIP, PIP and MP joints, respectively. Comparing these stresses with those of bearing weight joints, the average stress in the hip is around 1.8 MPa (for a typical load of 3 body weights, roughly corresponding to standing on one leg) but the peak stress may locally reach up to 13.5 MPa in the anterior aspect of the acetabulum.\textsuperscript{77} Thus, the DIP and PIP joints’ cartilage of the index finger appears to be much less stressed than that of weight-bearing joints. However, although osteoarthritis due to joint degeneration is not reported to be a common problem in upper strings players, there are instances of index DIP accumulated joint degeneration.\textsuperscript{78} This is because the left fingers are moved at very high speeds and in a repetitive manner, potentially placing them at risk for injury due to accumulated fatigue damage.\textsuperscript{3,5,39}

Shock Absorption and Muscle Fatigue

Apart from their function as joint actuators, muscles and their attached tendons also act as active shock absorbers capable of attenuating impact and high-frequency loads.\textsuperscript{79} Muscle fatigue, however, was shown to hamper the muscles from effectively absorbing impact shocks and therefore protecting the skeleton and joints from damage.\textsuperscript{90-94} For instance, studies in the lower limb have shown that during running muscle fatigue provokes an increase in the strain rate in the tibia, rather than in the maximal strain\textsuperscript{79} suggesting that loading of the bones and joints becomes more impulsive as fatigue progresses. Fatigue can thus be considered to be a type of muscle deficiency that potentially endangers the skeleton and joints with the likely consequence of reduction of the smoothness of motion, which is essential for normal movement. Due to the stiffness nature of movement, higher-frequency dynamic components contribute to loading abruptness and to enhanced joint degeneration.\textsuperscript{7,85-87} Thus, in situations of fatigue or more generally muscle-tendon impairment, both force production and shock absorption, are hampered with the following consequences: (a) increased transmission of impulsive loads; (b) loading imbalance on the joints, resulting in increased bending stresses on the supporting bones; (c) altered kinematics; and (d) increased risk of overload injuries and of joint degeneration.

Repellent Strain Injury in Upper string players

Repellent strain injuries (RSI) occur in tissues in response to repetitive stresses over multiple cycles, when the body's ability to adapt is exceeded.\textsuperscript{95} In upper bowed string instruments, playing often requires multiple rapid, repetitive, and forceful movements by many small hand muscles whose strengths are significantly lower than those of the larger muscles, thus placing intrinsic muscles at risk for injury.\textsuperscript{88,89} Thus, the muscles, nerves and tendons of the forearm, elbows, wrists, hands, neck and shoulders are at great risk. Factors influencing the incidence of RSI include: (a) type of repetitive activities and fatigue, e.g. continuously performing a long-time high-intensity activity; (b) poor posture and (c) exposure to abrupt changes in the tissue loading.\textsuperscript{85}

The short term effect of RSI is a sudden appearance of pain, such as muscle-tendon strain injury.\textsuperscript{90-92} Accumulated long-term damage is found in the joints and spine,\textsuperscript{93,94} including inflammatory disorders with muscle-tendon strain and spine diagnosis being the most common in violinists and violists.\textsuperscript{7,78,86,90,95} Examples of abrupt and/or impulsive loading in upper string playing may result from: (a) stressful activation of bow strokes, such as during, tremolo, détache, Martele and arpeggio,\textsuperscript{15} or (b) stressful activation of the left wrist and forearm muscles due to string fingering, such as during vibrato.\textsuperscript{7}

Stressful activation of bow strokes

Sustained tremolo playing occurs when the bow is moved rapidly up and down, while the muscles of the neck, shoulder-girdle complex and the wrist flexors and extensors are practically held in a state of isometric contraction. These quick back and forth movements of the right wrist may last over prolonged periods of time (e.g., in beginning of Bruckner 9th and Mahler 2nd symphony; prelude to Wagner's Die Walkure; Nielsen 5th symphony) and can contribute to overuse injury of the extensor carpi radialis and flexor carpi ulnaris muscle-tendon units.

Occasionally, the ulnar nerve can become compressed in the ulnar (Guyon's) canal, provoking pain.\textsuperscript{67}

Stressful activation of left wrist and forearm muscles

Another example presents itself during passages requiring rapid changes over the four strings of the instrument (e.g. solo viola bows arpeggios back and forth near the bridge of the instrument, as in the second movement of Berliz symphony Herold in Italy) may strain the right rotator cuff, deltoid, and pectoralis muscles.\textsuperscript{67}

Ackermann and Adams mention left side abductor digiti minimus and dorsal interosseus muscle strains as additional injury site of the player.\textsuperscript{96}
Common types of RS injuries

More generally, common types of RS injuries of the upper extremities in string players include the following:66-97

(a) carpal tunnel syndrome (also called median nerve compression): a repetitive use injury of the wrist or finger movement that is associated with pressure on the nerves that run through the wrist. The carpal tunnel is a channel in the palm side of the wrist. The carpal tunnel surrounds the tendons used to bend the fingers and wrist; and also the median nerve which controls the thumb.

(b) tennis elbow (also called radial nerve entrapment) can happen by overuse of the arm, forearm, and hand muscles resulting in elbow pain, e.g. when the arm is frequently bent and extended.

(c) other repetitive use injuries, including tenosynovitis, bursitis, thoracic outlet syndrome and tendonitis are common in the neck and shoulders; in fact, these also make up a significant portion of the injuries seen in professional athletes.

Analogy with Overhead Sports and Industrial Workers Injuries

Overhead Sports injuries

Similarities between sportspeople and musicians have previously been acknowledged, with musicians being referred to as musical or instrumental athletes.86-98-100

Like with athletes, musicians can be trained about the risks of musculoskeletal disorders (MSD) and how to prevent, minimize, or manage these disorders. Both population groups begin their careers at a young age, and have to commit to daily intensive exercising and practicing. Both are typically competitive, requiring high-level skills and are often exposed to pain during their activity. However, while in athletes MSD’s can be either of traumatic or non-traumatic types, in musicians they are usually of the overuse, non-traumatic, type.101 It is thus of interest to compare between upper string players and overhead athletes from the point of view of neuro-musculoskeletal activities and disorders. From the perspective of health support, the populations of musicians and athletes differ significantly.101,102 While the athletes benefit from ongoing support from the sports medicine discipline, orchestra musicians are often deprived in terms of accessing onsite management of their MSDs.103-107 The consequence may be delayed diagnosis and treatment of the musicians’ MSD’s, while denying the accompanying pain and discomfort. A typical example of injury in overhead athletes is related to the severe loading on the upper limb of tennis players in whom the impacts from each strike are associated with accumulated damage, the most frequent being tennis elbow. As mentioned earlier, the tennis elbow injury also known as radial nerve entrapment, is also common in upper strings players as a result of overuse of the arm, forearm, and hand muscles, e.g. when the arm is frequently bent and extended, resulting in elbow pain.

Another example in overhead athletes damage is due to imbalance between the eccentrically-activated external rotator cuff muscles and the concentrically-activated internal rotator cuff muscles which is a primary risk factor for glenohumeral joint pain and injuries.108 Athletes with shoulder pain have a decreased rotator cuff muscle strength and are more susceptible to rotator cuff tears and labrum lesions.109 This imbalance can progress to either tissue damage and pain or to altered movement pattern.23,33 Subacromial impingement syndrome of the shoulder is the most common and painful disorder in overhead athletes32 and usually results from multiple factors including, among others nonphysiologic glenohumeral and scapular motion and altered kinematics of the head of the humerus.31 As shown earlier, upper string players are also at increased risk for shoulder impingement in the bowing arm.14 The muscle imbalance found in musicians in the posture stabilization system was reported to contribute to the SIS.20 In both athletes and upper string players a combination of structural and functional types of subacromial impingement syndrome takes place.29,32-34 Fatigue of the rotator cuff muscles, particularly in the infraspinatus, and teres minor muscles affects the scapular resting position and scapular kinematics as well as external rotation and stabilization functions of the shoulder.110-111 Changes in scapular kinematics may affect the amount of contact area in the subacromial space and facilitate impingement.112-114 Likewise, in the upper strings players impingement is accompanied by altered kinematics in the scapula and glenohumeral joint and in the activation pattern of the serratus anterior and the upper trapezius muscles.14,115

Industrial vocations

Chronic upper limb pain may also result from working occupation, involving tasks of repetitive nature. Despite the variety of tasks, similar predispositions to MSDs may develop in this group of workers in characteristic sites of the upper limb. Some examples are found from within the following areas: food production, construction, shop assistance and the like.116-119 The types of injury encountered here include fibrositis, rotator cuff syndrome, rheumatoid arthritis, cervical referred pain (cervical radiculopathy), lateral epicondylitis (tennis elbow), de Quervain’s tenosynovitis and carpal tunnel syndrome.120 For instance, meatcutters were reported to have about 9% prevalence of epicondylitis and 4.5% of tenosynovitis. The risk increased with age and number of exposure years.121 Another disorder is the relatively high prevalence of tenosynovitis and humeral tendinitis in assembly-line packers.119 For comparison, in the upper strings players often the left wrist is flexed as the fingers curl to apply pressure to the strings. This is the classic position to induce carpal tunnel syndrome and may promote flexor carpi ulnaris tendonitis and ulnar nerve entrapment at the elbow and wrist.87 Other similar overuse injuries in upper strings include neurologic
Entrapment neuropathies, anoxia (venous congestions), traction on neural tissue (due to awkward playing posture and to friction), carpal tunnel syndrome (compression of digital nerves from gripping bow tightly), ulnar neuropathies in violinists (constant flexion of left elbow, more common), radial neuropathies (tennis elbow, due to post interosseous branch of radial nerve becoming entrapped, though it is less common), thoracic outlet syndrome; ulnar neuropathy at elbow; carpal tunnel; cervical radiculopathy.

Summary
A combination of factors exposes string musicians to neuro-musculo-skeletal disorders associated with pain and damage. These include: overuse due to the long playing hours involving repetitive movements under stressful conditions in unnatural posture. Although the disorders are usually non-traumatic, they may often lead to prolonged or even permanent damage. These include bursitis and tendinopathies of the shoulder muscles, rotator cuff tendonitis, injury at the tendon sheaths, medial or lateral epicondylitis (or tennis elbow), myofascial pain, and wrist tendonitis (and/or carpal tunnel syndrome, De Quervain’s syndrome). In cases of intensive performance, a traumatic injury may result, requiring drastic means of intervention. This review provides a description and interpretation of the playing-related motor disorders in performing musicians, specifically violinists and upper strings players. It should be reminded that a large variety of methodologies (as summarized in Table 1) was implemented to describe these motor disorders.

These methodologies have included kinematic, dynamic, electromyographic measurements and modeling. Additionally, non-engineering methods including physical examination, visual assessment and quantitative questionnaire have been used.

The playing related motor disorders are further interpreted by means of biomechanical concepts, including asymmetry and imbalance in the musculo-skeletal system; muscle-bone-joint interactions in imbalance; static and repetitive overloading; effects of fatiguing and of selective muscle fatiguing on kinematics and neuro-muscular redundancy. This approach should provide foundations for further improving devices and techniques aimed at reducing damage associated with prolonged playing.

Appendix A: Prevalence of musculo-skeletal disorders

A1. Prevalence by site in the upper limb
Numerous studies on the incidence of musculo-skeletal disorders which lead to pain and/or injury in orchestra musicians have been published. It should be mentioned that most musicians’ disorders are of the overuse type, i.e., non-traumatic. A comparative summary of the results of some of these studies by the site in the upper limb is presented in Table A1. Under the general definition of musculoskeletal injuries, including spine disorders, the high incidence of 89 percent of the population of players is particularly noticeable. The distribution among the other sites reveals a large variability among the various report, from 9 to 63 percent.

A2. Prevalence by playing instrument
The prevalence of playing disorders by instruments within the orchestra is displayed in Table A2 for some published reports. It should be noted that the large variability seen both in Tables A1 and A2 is due to the size and type of the population of players studied in the different studies (professionals or non-professionals players, age, gender, etc).

| Table 1 Methodologies used to diagnose playing-related musculo-skeletal disorders |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Method                                          | Description                                              | References     |
| Electrogoniometers                              | Postural changes (pronation supination; radial ulnar deviation) | 10              |
| Electromyography                                | Surface EMG of muscles such as trapezius etc; EMG spatial distribution; Muscle imbalance | 9,12,13,15,16,27,28,29,41,30 |
| 3-D Kinematics + EMG                            | EMG analysis using the exposure variation analysis (EVA)     | 12,13,14,40,50,69 |
| 3-D Kinematics + Forceplates + EMG              | Changes in musculo-kinematic configuration and muscle activity during playing | 51              |
| Physical examination; visual assessment and quantitative questionnaire | For muscle strength, tightness etc… Task-specific scale about performance-related musculo-skeletal disorders and qualitative posture assessment | 24,96,1,6,17 |
| Force transducer                                | Finger-fingerboard force                                   | 70,71          |
| Modeling                                        | Dynamic model for index finger to reveal muscle and joint forces | 21              |
A3. Prevalence within string players

Among the various orchestra players, the string players (violin, viola, cello and bass) have the highest prevalence (89 percent, Table A2) of pain and/or musculo-skeletal disorder.\textsuperscript{64} Particularly, the upper string players have been identified as the most affected group of instrumentalists and the most vulnerable to playing related musculo-skeletal disorders, leading to pain and injury. Thus, the upper body and upper extremities are the most common sites of injury of violin and viola players.

List of acronyms

- EMG – electromyography
- DIP - distal interphalangeal joint
- EC - extensor digitorum communis
- ES - extensor slip
- FDP: flexor digitorum profundus
- FDS: flexor digitorum superficialis
- MP: metacarpo-phalangeal joint
- PIP: proximal interphalangeal joint
- PRMD: playing related musculo-skeletal disorders
- RB: radial bone
- RI: radial intersosseus
- RSI: repetitive strain injuries
- SIS: subacromial impingement syndrome
- TE: terminal extensor
- TOS: thoracic outlet syndrome
- UB: ulnar bone
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