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Abstract

Racial/ethnic minorities have been disproportionately impacted by COVID-19. The effects of COVID-19 on the long-term mental health of minorities remains unclear. To evaluate differences in odds of screening positive for depression and anxiety among various racial and ethnic groups during the latter phase of the COVID-19 pandemic, we performed a cross-sectional analysis of 691,473 participants nested within the prospective smartphone-based COVID Symptom Study in the United States (U.S.) and United Kingdom (U.K.) from February 23, 2021 to June 9, 2021. In the U.S. (n=57,187), compared to White participants, the multivariable odds ratios (ORs) for screening positive for depression were 1.16 (95% CI:
1.02 to 1.31) for Black, 1.23 (1.11 to 1.36) for Hispanic, and 1.15 (1.02 to 1.30) for Asian participants, and 1.34 (1.13 to 1.59) for participants reporting more than one race/other even after accounting for personal factors such as prior history of a mental health disorder, COVID-19 infection status, and surrounding lockdown stringency. Rates of screening positive for anxiety were comparable. In the U.K. (n=643,286), racial/ethnic minorities had similarly elevated rates of positive screening for depression and anxiety. These disparities were not fully explained by changes in leisure time activities. Racial/ethnic minorities bore a disproportionate mental health burden during the COVID-19 pandemic. These differences will need to be considered as health care systems transition from prioritizing infection control to mitigating long-term consequences.

**Introduction**

The coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has led to over 178 million infections and 3.8 million deaths across the globe since December 2019 [1]. Widespread lockdowns forcing social isolation, coupled with widespread economic uncertainty [2,3] and the emotional toll of acute and chronic illness on those afflicted and their loved ones may have a negative long-term impact on mental health and well-being. Early work has demonstrated increased mental health symptoms linked to depression, and anxiety, as well as more frequent rates of substance abuse and suicide [2,4,5].

In the U.S., approximately 40% of the population is comprised of racial and ethnic minorities (i.e., non-White individuals), and in the U.K., this represents approximately 14% of the population [6,7]. Minority communities have disproportionately borne the burden of COVID-19, and have consistently had the highest rates of infection, severe disease, hospitalization and death from the virus [8,9]. These racial and ethnic disparities in COVID-19 in the U.S. and the U.K. reflect long-standing structural inequities and institutional racism in both countries, including discriminatory urban planning that has resulted in segregation into higher density housing and economic forces that have identified certain occupations as essential, and thus exempt from policies that might otherwise allow for greater social distancing [10–14].

Consequently, communities of color have been adversely impacted by the public health measures aimed at reducing the spread of COVID-19, including more frequent exemptions from mandatory lockdowns. Thus, we sought to assess the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on odds of screening positive for depression and anxiety among a diverse cohort of individuals in the U.S. and the U.K.

**Materials and methods**

**Study design and participants**

We performed a cohort study in the U.S. and U.K. using the COVID Symptom Study (CSS) smartphone application. The application (“app”), developed by Zoe Global Ltd. in collaboration with researchers at the Massachusetts General Hospital, King’s College London, Lund University, and Uppsala University, has previously been described in detail [15]. In brief, the app was launched in the U.K. and U.S. in March 2020. Participants were initially recruited through social media outreach, invitations from the investigators of long-running cohort
studies to their volunteers, and from the general public through the Apple App Store and Google Play Store.

The CSS app offers participants a guided interface to report baseline demographic information and relevant comorbidities, as well as information on potential COVID-19 symptoms and testing results. Participants are prompted to log daily, even when asymptomatic, for the longitudinal collection of incident symptoms and COVID-19 testing results. At enrollment, participants provided written consent to the use of information for research and agreed to applicable privacy policies and terms of use. This research study was approved by the Mass General Brigham Human Research Committee (Institutional Review Board Protocol 2020P000909) and King’s College London Ethics Committee (REMAS ID 18210).

**Choice of primary measure and ascertainment of mental health outcomes**

Beginning February 23, 2021, we introduced a supplemental mental health questionnaire to U.K. and U.S. CSS app users, which we offered to all active users at the time of release. To maximize participation while minimizing user burden, our primary outcomes were based on the validated 4-item Patient Health Questionnaire for Depression and Anxiety (PHQ-4) [16], a brief screening tool for which two items from the longer 7-item General Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7) instrument and two from the 9-item Personal Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) for depression were used to identify at-risk individuals.

The two questions for depression (PHQ-2) and two for anxiety (GAD-2) that were incorporated into the PHQ-4 have previously been demonstrated to account for 84% of the total variance, i.e. discriminating abilities, of the longer-form instruments from which they were derived and were strongly associated with functional impairment, disability days, healthcare usage, and functional status [16]. The questions (S1 Table) have four identical responses related to the frequency of depression/anxiety symptoms over the past 2 weeks: Not at all, several days, more than half the days, nearly every day. Responses are then scored on a Likert/ordinal scale (i.e., Not at all = 0 and nearly every day = 3) with a summed score greater than or equal to 3 by domain (e.g., depression or anxiety) representing a positive screen. In addition to PHQ-2 and GAD-2 scores, we queried whether participants had ever previously been diagnosed with a mental health condition and whether their pre- vs. peri-pandemic leisure time activities had changed (S1 Table).

**Ascertainment of racial/ethnic identity**

Information collected using the CSS application has previously been provided [15]. Briefly, at the time of download/study enrollment, participants were asked with which race and/or ethnicity they self-identified based on standardized categories from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) in the U.S. [17] and the Office for National Statistics in the U.K. (S2 Table) [18]. In the U.S., Hispanic classification was defined as any race of Hispanic or Latino ancestry. Non-Hispanic categories were defined as each respective race not of Hispanic or Latino ancestry. Responses were then aggregated in a manner consistent with prior analyses. In both countries, those who identified as “Mixed Race” or selected more than one race were categorized as “More than one race”. We excluded individuals who selected “Prefer not to say” as their response or did not answer these questions (9% of respondents).

**Ascertainment of other covariates**

We collected information on age (years), sex at birth (male, female, or other), weight (kg) and height (meters) were used to calculate body mass index (BMI, <18.5, 18.5-24.9, 25-29.9, and ≥30 kg/m^2), prior history of diabetes, heart disease, lung disease, kidney disease, or active
malignancy (each yes/no), smoking history (current/prior vs. never), and frontline HCW status (yes/no). We longitudinally ascertained whether they had ever tested positive for COVID-19 (yes/no), which was previously shown to have excellent agreement between self-report and confirmed test reports (S1 Methods).

To adjust for other regional factors, we linked volunteered participant location data (i.e., zip codes) to community-level socio-demographic indices related to education and income, as well the Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker’s stringency index [19], a published resource to capture and score government policies related to public closure and containment, e.g., the strictness of lockdown policies designed to encourage social distancing.

Statistical analysis
To investigate the determinants of mental health disparities during the COVID-19 pandemic, we performed multivariable logistic regression to estimate odds ratios (OR) for achieving a PHQ-2 or GAD-2 score ≥3 (yes/no) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) conditioned upon age, sex, and date of mental health questionnaire completion, adjusting for history of prior mental health diagnosis, diabetes, heart disease, lung disease, kidney disease, current/prior smoking status, BMI, prior history of COVID-19 infection, occupation as frontline HCW, geographic region (U.S.)/country (U.K.), and socio-demographic factors based on community-level measures of educational and financial deprivation, as well as lockdown stringency. We also performed stratified analyses among relevant patient subgroups and analyses by geographical region in which the referent group was White persons of the same subgroup (e.g., in stratified analyses of Black HCWs, odds of screening positive for depression or anxiety were compared to those of White HCWs). Two-sided p-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using R 4.0.3 (Vienna, Austria) and packages from the Bioconductor 3.12 release.

Results
Participant characteristics
Between February 23, 2021 and June 9, 2021, we enrolled 691,473 individuals (n= 57,187 U.S. and n=643,286 U.K participants). In the U.S., White participants tended to be older, reside in communities with higher income and educational attainment, and more frequently reported a prior mental health diagnosis than non-White individuals (Table 1). Similar trends in education and income were observed in the U.K.

Racial and ethnic differences in depression and anxiety symptoms
In the U.S. and U.K., racial and ethnic minorities were more likely to screen positive for depression. In the U.S., compared to non-Hispanic White, the age-adjusted ORs for a PHQ-2 score ≥3 was 1.21 (95% CI: 1.07 to 1.37) for Black, 1.23 (1.12 to 1.36) for Hispanic participants, and 1.55 (95% CI: 1.32 to 1.83) for individuals reporting more than one/other race (Table 2).

Additional modeling adjusting for personal risk factors, including a prior history of mental health disease, community-level income, education, and local lockdown stringency somewhat attenuated our findings with a multivariable OR of 1.16 (95% CI: 1.02 to 1.31) for Black, 1.23 (1.11 to 1.36) for Hispanic participants, and 1.34 (95% CI: 1.13 to 1.59) for individuals reporting more than one/other race (Table 2). For Asian participants in the U.S., multivariable modeling strengthened, rather than weakened, the association between race and depressive symptoms, with an adjusted OR of 1.15 (1.02 to 1.30). We found comparably elevated odds of
Table 1. Baseline characteristics of study participants by race and ethnicity according to country of enrollment.

| Age (years) | United States (n=57187) | United Kingdom (n=634286) |
|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
|             | White | Black | Hispanic | Asian | Other | White | Black | South Asian | Middle East/East Asian | Other |
| <25         | 654 (1.3) | 45 (2.7) | 138 (6.2) | 163 (7.6) | 18 (2.5) | 7451 (1.2) | 117 (3.2) | 166 (2.7) | 72 (2.5) | 198 (3.0) |
| 25-34       | 2164 (4.3) | 59 (3.5) | 269 (12.0) | 186 (8.6) | 41 (5.7) | 33342 (5.7) | 399 (10.9) | 643 (10.3) | 358 (12.4) | 761 (11.5) |
| 35-44       | 4095 (8.1) | 115 (6.9) | 332 (14.8) | 219 (10.2) | 83 (11.6) | 74032 (12.0) | 600 (16.4) | 1311 (21.0) | 1560 (20.8) | 5599 (11.5) |
| 45-54       | 5723 (11.4) | 234 (14.1) | 402 (18.0) | 252 (11.7) | 98 (13.7) | 126942 (20.6) | 975 (26.6) | 1652 (26.5) | 683 (23.7) | 1591 (24.1) |
| >75         | 6968 (13.8) | 207 (12.4) | 196 (8.8) | 551 (25.5) | 92 (12.8) | 38103 (6.2) | 88 (2.4) | 232 (3.7) | 107 (3.7) | 178 (2.7) |

| Female sex | 37566 (74.5) | 1394 (83.8) | 1551 (69.3) | 1382 (64.1) | 514 (71.7) | 410263 (66.7) | 2499 (68.1) | 3737 (60.0) | 1833 (63.5) | 4545 (68.9) |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 27.1 (6.3) | 30.0 (7.1) | 28.0 (6.6) | 25.2 (5.3) | 28.4 (689) | 26.8 (6.3) | 28.5 (7.8) | 25.7 (6.1) | 25.4 (6.3) | 26.6 (7.2) |
| Comorbidities | 12040 (23.7) | 582 (3.6) | 132 (5.0) | 177 (8.2) | 48 (6.7) | 20470 (3.3) | 12203 (2.0) | 80 (2.2) | 259 (4.2) | 104 (3-6) |
| Diabetes    | 2376 (4.7) | 189 (11.4) | 132 (5.9) | 177 (8.2) | 48 (6.7) | 20470 (3.3) | 182 (5.0) | 449 (7.2) | 100 (3.5) | 235 (3.6) |
| Heart Disease | 3560 (7.1) | 110 (6.6) | 122 (5.4) | 166 (7.7) | 58 (8.1) | 22433 (3.6) | 99 (2.7) | 288 (4.6) | 100 (3.5) | 202 (3.1) |
| Lung Disease | 1414 (2.8) | 47 (2.8) | 35 (1.6) | 40 (1.9) | 29 (4.1) | 12627 (2.1) | 64 (1.7) | 111 (1.8) | 54 (1.9) | 111 (1.7) |
| Kidney Disease | 901 (1.8) | 41 (2.5) | 39 (1.7) | 48 (2.2) | 20 (2.8) | 5524 (0.9) | 47 (1.3) | 71 (1.1) | 27 (0.9) | 49 (0.7) |
| Cancer      | 1389 (2.8) | 43 (2.6) | 46 (2.1) | 48 (2.2) | 17 (2.4) | 7218 (2.0) | 45 (2.2) | 55 (1.7) | 20 (1.4) | 52 (1.6) |
| Education   | 45.9 (18.4) | 35.9 (17.6) | 40.1 (19.0) | 46.7 (17.6) | 43.9 (19.0) | 7.2 (2.5) | 6.6 (2.6) | 7.38 (2.45) | 7.63 (2.32) | 7.41 (2.40) |
| Income      | 812881 (314746) | 67947 (286326) | 761936 (312160) | 80695 (31792) | 777624 (290122) | 7.0 (2.5) | 5.64 (2.70) | 6.5 (2.6) | 6.6 (2.6) | 6.5 (2.6) |
| Lockdown stringency | 59.0 (7.5) | 57.9 (6.5) | 58.6 (7.0) | 64.6 (9.1) | 59.6 (8.0) | 86.4 (3.0) | 86.3 (3.2) | 86.4 (3.1) | 86.5 (3.0) | 86.3 (3.2) |
| Current/prior smoking | 14520 (28.8) | 474 (28.5) | 577 (25.8) | 548 (25.4) | 247 (34-4) | 125141 (20.4) | 773 (21.1) | 841 (13.5) | 493 (17.1) | 1314 (19.9) |
| Healthcare worker | 3957 (7.8) | 137 (8.2) | 195 (8.7) | 152 (7.0) | 56 (7.8) | 24837 (4.0) | 209 (5.7) | 440 (7.1) | 152 (5.3) | 254 (3.8) |
| Prior Covid-19 | 2684 (5.3) | 119 (7.2) | 255 (11.4) | 89 (4.1) | 41 (5.7) | 33727 (5.5) | 343 (9.3) | 537 (8.6) | 210 (7.3) | 489 (7.4) |

(Continued)
In the U.S., all racial categories were defined as each respective race not of Hispanic or Latino ancestry, and census-level data on education assessed the proportion of the general population above age 25 years with a Bachelor’s degree and income using median annual household income (U.S. dollars/year). In the U.K., census-level data on education used the education and income scores for the Index of Multiple Deprivation, respectively. Lockdown stringency was assessed using the Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker’s index for overall government response at the time of mental health questionnaire completion. N (percentages) presented for categorical variables. Values are means (SD) for continuous variables. Values of polytomous variables may not sum to 100% due to rounding. Abbreviations: BMI (body mass index), m (meter), kg (kilogram).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0271661.t001

a positive depression screen among racial and ethnic minorities living in the U.K. compared to White persons.

With respect to anxiety symptoms, Hispanic individuals living in the U.S. had significantly greater odds of achieving a GAD-2 score ≥ 3 with a fully-adjusted OR of 1.23 (95% CI: 1.12 to 1.35). In the U.K., South Asian and Middle East/East Asian participants more frequently attained a positive screen for anxiety. In both countries, compared to depressive symptoms, race-based differences in reported anxiety symptoms were not as readily apparent with odds generally lower for anxiety compared to depression. In the U.S., we observed regional differences in the COVID-19-related mental health burden with the Northeast, South, and West at particularly high-risk of reporting symptoms of depression and anxiety; in the U.K., participants in Wales were disproportionately affected compared to other U.K. countries (S3 Table).

Table 2. PHQ and GAD scores by race and ethnicity according to country of enrollment.

|                      | United States (n=57187) | United Kingdom (n=634286) |
|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
|                      | White | Black | Hispanic | Asian | Other | White | Black | South Asian | Middle East/East Asian | Other |
| Number PHQ–2 ≥3 / total (%) | 6325/50410 (13%) | 263/1664 (16%) | 443/2239 (20%) | 292/2157 (14%) | 146/717 (20%) |
| Age-adjusted OR (95% CI)¹ | 1-0 [ref.] | 1.21 (1.07 to 1.37) | 1.23 (1.12 to 1.36) | 0.92 (0.82 to 1.04) | 1.55 (1.32 to 1.83) |
| Multivariable-adjusted OR (95% CI)² | 1-0 [ref.] | 1.16 (1.02 to 1.31) | 1.23 (1.11 to 1.36) | 1.15 (1.02 to 1.30) | 1.34 (1.13 to 1.59) |
| Number GAD–2 ≥3 / total (%) | 7388/50410 (15%) | 259/1664 (16%) | 544/2239 (24%) | 289/2157 (13%) | 142/717 (20%) |
| Age-adjusted OR (95% CI)¹ | 1-0 [ref.] | 1.01 (0.89 to 1.15) | 1.17 (1.07 to 1.28) | 0.73 (0.64 to 0.82) | 1.23 (1.04 to 1.45) |
| Multivariable-adjusted OR (95% CI)² | 1-0 [ref.] | 1.01 (0.89 to 1.14) | 1.23 (1.12 to 1.35) | 0.91 (0.81 to 1.03) | 1.12 (0.94 to 1.32) |

|                      | United States | United Kingdom |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------|
|                      | White | Black | South Asian | Middle East/East Asian | More than one/other |
| Number PHQ–2 ≥3 / total (%) | 115277/614902 (19%) | 890/3669 (24%) | 1529/6231 (25%) | 665/2885 (23%) | 1477/6599 (22%) |
| Age-adjusted OR (95% CI)¹ | 1-0 [ref.] | 1.18 (1.11 to 1.26) | 1.20 (1.14 to 1.26) | 1.11 (1.03 to 1.20) | 1.07 (1.02 to 1.13) |
| Multivariable-adjusted OR (95% CI)² | 1-0 [ref.] | 1.09 (1.01 to 1.17) | 1.36 (1.29 to 1.44) | 1.30 (1.20 to 1.41) | 1.11 (1.05 to 1.17) |
| Number GAD–2 ≥3 / total (%) | 107468/614902 (17%) | 848/3669 (23%) | 1402/6231 (23%) | 585/2885 (20%) | 1501/6599 (23%) |
| Age-adjusted OR (95% CI)¹ | 1-0 [ref.] | 1.13 (1.06 to 1.21) | 1.10 (1.04 to 1.15) | 0.97 (0.89 to 1.05) | 1.10 (1.04 to 1.15) |
| Multivariable-adjusted OR (95% CI)² | 1-0 [ref.] | 1.07 (1.00 to 1.15) | 1.26 (1.19 to 1.33) | 1.11 (1.02 to 1.21) | 1.11 (1.05 to 1.17) |

¹Conditioned upon age and date of mental health questionnaire completion.
²Additional conditioning upon sex and adjustment for personal history of mental health diagnosis, diabetes, heart disease, lung disease, kidney disease, current smoking status, body mass index, prior reported history of COVID-19 infection, and HCW status, as well as education, income, and lockdown stringency at the community level. Abbreviations: CI (confidence interval), OR (odds ratio), PHQ-2 (Patient Health Questionnaire-2), GAD-2 (Generalized Anxiety Disorder 2-item).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0271661.t002
To evaluate whether the observed links between race and mental health outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic differed based on population subgroups, we performed stratified analyses by sex, prior mental health history, frontline healthcare worker status, income, education, and lockdown stringency. In the U.S., we observed greater odds of screening positive for depression among Black HCWs compared to White HCWs (Fig 1). In general, odds of depression and anxiety tended to be higher among U.S. racial and ethnic minority individuals in the highest age group (≥75 years) compared to White persons of the same age group (Fig 1). In the U.S. and U.K., we found no consistent relationship between race, ethnicity, and depression/anxiety according to community-level lockdown stringency (Fig 1). No significant differences were observed among other subgroups assessed in both countries, and findings related to anxiety revealed similar within-group concordance. Similarly, racial and ethnic disparities in depression and anxiety were not reflected by differences in pre- vs. peri-pandemic leisure-time activities, including time spent with pets, working, smoking/vaping or drinking, nor time spent alone, which were grossly comparable across race/ethnicity groups in the U.S. and U.K. (Fig 2).

Discussion

This analysis among a large population of individuals in the U.S. and U.K. showed racial and ethnic minorities were at greater odds of depression and anxiety in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic. Compared to White HCWs, Black HCWs had higher odds of depression and anxiety symptoms. This trend was also observed among older racial and ethnic minority individuals compared to older White persons. There is a growing body of literature linking worsened mental health outcomes to the drastic disruptions in everyday life that have characterized the COVID-19 pandemic [20]. Specifically, a 3-fold increase in reported symptoms of depression was noted in the peri-pandemic compared to the pre-pandemic period², with young adults, lower income individuals, and those with greater exposure to stressors and lower health literacy at particularly heightened risk [20,21]. Similarly, amongst college students, approximately 90% reported increased depressive symptoms and 60% noted increased anxiety symptoms during the pandemic [22].

Racial and ethnic mental health disparities, or differences in the prevalence rates, diagnoses, access to care and sources of care [23], have been noted in prior studies. Increased risk of formally-diagnosed anxiety in Hispanic individuals compared to Black respondents has been demonstrated in other investigations [24]. Further, higher risk of anxiety has been noted in Hispanic individuals with good or excellent English proficiency compared to those with lower proficiency [25]. These prior findings may help explain our observation of higher odds of anxiety symptoms in Hispanic individuals using our smartphone-based survey with no corresponding increase among other racial and ethnic minorities.

Racial and ethnic minorities face significant challenges related to the diagnosis and treatment of mental health disorders in the U.S. and the U.K. including poor access to mental healthcare services due to structural inequities and systematic disinvestment within minority communities [10,11,26], disparate treatment by providers who may minimize their symptoms due to implicit bias and racism, and even systematic differences in prescribed treatment choices.[27–29] Further, perceived discrimination is also associated with negative mental health outcomes for minorities in both the U.S. and U.K. [28], while the considerable stigma surrounding mental illness has long-been been established among Black and Hispanic populations [30–32].

Our study is strengthened by the population-scale enrollment of a diverse group of participants from two similarly afflicted nations using a common data collection instrument. Our
### A. PHQ score

| Stratum | US | US | US | US |
|---------|----|----|----|----|
| Age < 25 |    |    |    |    |
| Age 25-34 |    |    |    |    |
| Age 35-44 |    |    |    |    |
| Age 45-54 |    |    |    |    |
| Age 55-64 |    |    |    |    |
| Age 65-74 |    |    |    |    |
| Age 75+ |    |    |    |    |
| Sex |    |    |    |    |
| Male |    |    |    |    |
| Female |    |    |    |    |
| Race/Ethnicity |    |    |    |    |
| Black |    |    |    |    |
| Hispanic |    |    |    |    |
| Asian |    |    |    |    |
| Other |    |    |    |    |
| Education |    |    |    |    |
| Lower Income |    |    |    |    |
| Higher Income |    |    |    |    |
| Lower Education |    |    |    |    |
| Higher Education |    |    |    |    |
| Lower Stringency |    |    |    |    |
| Higher Stringency |    |    |    |    |

### B. GAD score

| Stratum | US | US | US | US |
|---------|----|----|----|----|
| Age < 25 |    |    |    |    |
| Age 25-34 |    |    |    |    |
| Age 35-44 |    |    |    |    |
| Age 45-54 |    |    |    |    |
| Age 55-64 |    |    |    |    |
| Age 65-74 |    |    |    |    |
| Age 75+ |    |    |    |    |
| Sex |    |    |    |    |
| Male |    |    |    |    |
| Female |    |    |    |    |
| Race/Ethnicity |    |    |    |    |
| Black |    |    |    |    |
| Hispanic |    |    |    |    |
| Asian |    |    |    |    |
| Other |    |    |    |    |
| Education |    |    |    |    |
| Lower Income |    |    |    |    |
| Higher Income |    |    |    |    |
| Lower Education |    |    |    |    |
| Higher Education |    |    |    |    |
| Lower Stringency |    |    |    |    |
| Higher Stringency |    |    |    |    |

Fig 1. Odds of screening positive for depression or anxiety according to population subgroup. Stratified analyses for A. PHQ and B. GAD scores, respectively, each conditioned upon age, sex, and date of mental health questionnaire completion, and adjusted for personal history of mental health diagnosis, diabetes, heart disease, lung disease, kidney disease, current smoking status, body mass index, prior reported history of COVID-19 infection, HCW status, and community-level education, income, and lockdown stringency except in a given strata. Referent is White individuals of...
binational study design offered a unique opportunity to consider the degree to which broad differences in nationalized care access could result in differences in the observed mental health burden of racial and ethnic minorities. Our digital platform to rapidly collect a validated instrument to assess risk of depression and anxiety can help provide real-time actionable insights, while our collection of extensive demographic and comorbidity information generally not available in registry-level data or large-scale surveillance efforts, with external linkage to community-level information on education, income, and lockdown stringency helped deepen our understanding of the observed mental health disparities.

We recognize several important limitations. As with all studies relying primarily on volunteered information, measurement and reporting bias are possible. However, our internal validation study (S1 Methods) demonstrates that self-reported information from the general population could be accurately and faithfully reported, particularly as it pertains to our primary outcome (perceived mental health symptoms). While we enrolled a comparatively lower number of patients than in registry-level data sets, we were able to stratify analyses by age, sex, and race to account for potential confounding factors. Additionally, we controlled for personal history of mental health conditions, diabetes, heart disease, lung disease, kidney disease, current smoking status, body mass index, prior reported history of COVID-19 infection, HCW status, and community-level education, income, and lockdown stringency except in a given strata. Referent is White individuals of the same subgroup.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0271661.g01

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0271661.g02

Fig 2. Changes in peri-pandemic behavior by race and ethnicity. Proportions have been rescaled among individuals to whom a given question was deemed applicable (i.e., time spent with pets reported among pet owners). Stratified analyses conditioned upon age, sex, and date of mental health questionnaire completion, and adjusted for personal history of mental health diagnosis, diabetes, heart disease, lung disease, kidney disease, current smoking status, body mass index, prior reported history of COVID-19 infection, HCW status, and community-level education, income, and lockdown stringency except in a given strata. Referent is White individuals of the same subgroup.
proportion of racial and ethnic minorities compared to national censuses in both countries, there were relatively high absolute numbers for most groups allowing for statistically robust between-group comparisons [6,7]. To limit participant burden, greater detail on racial/ethnic self-identity was not obtained, prohibiting disaggregated analyses by subgroup, and our current categorizations may oversimplify or incompletely characterize the different experiences of minority participants in the U.S. and U.K. Despite employing similar strategies in the U.K. and the U.S. to recruit members of the general public and participants of long-running cohort studies, the enrolled population in the U.K. was much larger than the U.S. However, our sample size remained substantial in both countries, allowing for internally consistent country-specific estimates.

While greater than 80% of U.S. adults use smartphones [33], we acknowledge the COVID Symptom Study could have comparatively lower penetrance among certain socioeconomic/age groups. However, under-recruitment of more deprived or less technologically-literate participants would have attenuated observed differences, and we demonstrate that racial/ethnic disparities in mental health outcomes persisted despite uniform access to technology. Finally, our cohort of study volunteers willing to share information about mental health symptoms may not represent a random sampling of the U.S. and U.K. population, though our survey was available to all active participants, and the observed differences in depressive and anxiety symptoms among racial and ethnic minorities remain internally valid.

Conclusion
In closing, we found a greater risk for symptoms of depression and anxiety amongst racial and ethnic minorities in the U.S. and the U.K. during the COVID-19 pandemic. Our findings highlight the urgency for open discussions surrounding mental health and wellness among minority communities disproportionately impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic who stand to suffer well beyond its presumed conclusion. Discussions around these topics may alleviate the stigma surrounding mental illness in such populations and ensure that those who need treatment are identified in a timely fashion.
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