Comparative chemical and biological investigations of three Saudi Astragalus species
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ABSTRACT
Aim: The chemical profile and biological activities of alcoholic extracts of the aerial parts of three Saudi Astragalus species have been comparatively investigated in this research.

Materials and Methods: Three Saudi Astragalus species (A. spinosus Vahl, A. armatus Willd, and A. sieberi DC.) were collected from the wild area of Rafha city, Northern border region in Saudi Arabia. Phytochemical screening was carried out using the general standard procedure, total flavonoid content (TFC) and total polyphenolic content (TPC) were determined by AlCl₃ colorimetric method and Folin–Ciocalteu reagent method, respectively. Flavonoid markers (kaempferol, apigenin, rutin, luteolin, and quercetin) and phenolic compounds (gallic, caffeic, coumaric, ferulic, cinnamic, syringic, and chlorogenic acids) were quantitatively traced for the first time in these Saudi Astragalus species using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method. The antibacterial and antifungal studies were carried out by well diffusion method. Cytotoxic activities studies were carried out against Hep G-2, HCT-116, and A-549 cancer cell lines using 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay procedure. Antioxidant activities were measured using 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) method. Immunostimulant activity was examined using lymphocyte proliferation method.

Results: The chemical screening confirmed the presence of triterpenes, flavonoids, sterols, glycosides, saponins, and polyphenolic compounds and absence of anthraquinones in all species, while A. spinosus shows the highest percentages of TFC and TPC. Ethyl acetate fractions of A. spinosus and A. sieberi showed potent cytotoxic activities, expressed as 50% inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) = 50.2, 22.6, and 29.1 µg/ml for A. spinosus and 39.8, 28.8, and 47.2 µg/ml for A. sieberi against tumor cell lines, HepG-2, HCT-116, and A-549, respectively. Astragalus spinosus showed a DPPH radical scavenging effect (IC₅₀) = 69 µg/ml, compared with other two species (IC₅₀) = 161 and 313 µg/ml for A. armatus and A. sieberi, respectively. The Astragalus samples showed mild antimicrobial activities and immunomodulating activities.

Conclusion: The present research shows the quality control testing, for the first time, of three Saudi Astragalus species and Astragalus-containing recipes. The present work provides valuable information for new drug or food supplement research and development.

1. INTRODUCTION
The plants of medicinal values still play a significant role in maintaining health status of the mankind and communities. These plants contain natural substances that exert specific physiological effects on the human body [1]. The modern medicines are usually natural, natural mimics, or modified natural product structures. Natural products and their new structures are still the most important source for drug discovery and drug design in the modern medicine. For example, the period approximately between the 1940s and 2014, 131 out of 175 (i.e., 75%) approved small molecules are natural or natural mimics, with 85 (49%) actually being either natural products or natural product derivatives [2]. Furthermore, The World Health Organization supports the use of traditional medicine, provided that it is proven effective and safe. In developing and developed countries, a large number of people live in extreme poverty and some suffer and probably die due to
lack of safe water and medicine, they have no choice other than primary health care [3]. It is always published that 80% of people in Asia and Africa (approximately 80% of the world’s mankind) use traditional medicines [4]. Therefore, it is necessary to look inward to search for herbal medicines in order to validate the ethnomedicinal use and subsequently to isolate and characterize compounds that will be considered as new drug leads or new drugs in the near future [3]. Genus Astragalus is one of the largest genera (about 3,000 Astragalus species) of Fabaceae worldwide [5–6]. Astragalus species are used in folk medicine for treatment of several human ailments in rural areas such as cough, hypertension, bronchitis, stomach ulcer, gynecological disorders, diabetes, and scorpion bites [7]. Some Astragalus species are considered as valuable sources for the economically important natural products, e.g., gum tragacanth which is obtained from A. gummifer and the extract of dried roots of A. membranaceus grown in East Asia which are applied well in traditional Chinese Medicines for treatment of many diseases, e.g., impaired immunity, inflammation, nephropathy, high blood pressure, diabetes mellitus, liver cirrhosis, leukemia, and uterine cancer [8–14]. In North Africa, some species are applied for cough, asthma, arthritis, and scorpions’ stings [15]. In academia, several Astragalus species have been investigated using many biological screening modules, for example, anti-inflammatory, analgesic, antiviral, free radical scavenging, anticancer, immunostimulant, and cardiotonic activities [16–20]. After the scientific scrutiny, it was found that there are no available data about the chemical and/or biological activities of the Saudi Astragalus species (A. spinosus, A. armatus, and A. sieberi). The present study deals comparatively with the biological activities and chemical profiling of the Astragalus species of northern border region of KSA. Targeted biological evaluation comprises cytotoxicity, antimicrobial, immunostimulant, and antioxidant activities. The chemical study in the present work includes phytochemical screening, determination of total polyphenolic contents (TPCs), and total flavonoid contents (TFC) in addition to HPLC tracing of flavonoid and phenolic acid markers. The outcome of this study is expected to provide new valuable information regarding the phytochemical profile and biological activities of these Astragalus species which help in the identification of these Astragalus species and support new drug and food research and development.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1. Plant Material

The aerial parts of A. spinosus, A. armatus, and A. sieberi (Fig. 1) were collected from wild area of Rafhaa city, Northern border region, Saudi Arabia in May 2017. Voucher specimens are kept in the herbarium of Natural product chemistry Dept., College of Pharmacy, Northern Border University, Rafhaa, Saudi Arabia. The plants were air dried in shade then powdered. Each plant powder was extracted separately with 70% methanol till exhaustion; the extracts were dried under vacuum using rotatory evaporator at 45°C and kept in refrigerator.

2.2. Phytochemical Screening

Phytochemical screening of the aqueous-methanolic extracts of Astragalus species were carried out to test for the presence of major phytoconstituents. The general phytochemical tests were carried out using the standard procedures as described by Mir et al. [3] and Dyayiya et al. [21].

2.3. Determination of Total Flavonoid Content and Total Polyphenolic Content

Determination of TFCs using AlCl₃, colorimetric method [22] and determination of TPCs using Folin–Cioclatu reagent method [22] for the Astragalus samples were determined using UV/Vis spectrophotometer (6105, Jenway Ltd., England).

2.4. HPLC Tracing of Flavonoid and Phenolic Acid Markers

Five standard flavonoid markers (kaempferol, luteolin, rutin, apigenin, and quercetin) and seven phenolic acids (gallic, chlorogenic, caffeic, coumaric, ferulic, cinnamic, and syringic acids) were used as reference compounds in this experiment. The presence of these compounds was traced in Astragalus samples and their concentrations in the samples were tentatively determined using HPLC analytical methods by comparison of the areas under the peaks with those of serial dilutions of reference compounds. For HPLC analyses, the following units and conditions were applied; Detector: UV/vis Detector (GBC); Pump: LC 1110 Pump (GBC); Software: Win Chrome Chromatography Version 1.3; Column: KROMASIL 150 * 4.6 mm; Flow Rate: 0.8 ml/minute (for flavonoids) and 1.0 ml/minute (for phenolic acids); Detection: UV 356 nm (for flavonoids) and UV 280 nm (for phenolic acids); Eluent: [Acetonitrile:water:formic acid, (85:14:1)] (for flavonoids) and Methanol:water:tetrahydrofuran:acetic acid, (23:75:1:1) (for phenolic acids).

2.5. Antioxidant Activity

The antioxidant activity of Astragalus samples were determined using the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) free radical scavenging assay [23] in triplicate and average values were considered, where freshly prepared (0.004% w/v) methanol solution of DPPH radical was prepared and stored at 10°C in the dark. A methanol solution of the test sample was prepared. A 40 µl aliquot of the methanol solution was added to 3 ml of DPPH solution. Absorbance measurements were recorded immediately with a UV-visible spectrophotometer (Milton Roy, Spectronic 1201). The decrease in absorbance at 515 nm was determined continuously with data being recorded at 1-minute intervals until...
the absorbance was stabilized (16 minutes). The absorbance of DPPH radical without antioxidant (control) and the reference compound (Ascorbic acid) was also measured. All determinations were performed in three replicates and averaged. The percentage inhibition (PI) of the DPPH radical was calculated according to the formula: 
\[ PI = \frac{(AC-AT)(AC)}{AC} \times 100 \]  
Where: AC = Absorbance of the control at \( t = 0 \) minute, AT = absorbance of the test sample + DPPH at \( t = 16 \) minutes.

2.6. Cytotoxic Activity
The total extract of each plant sample was diluted with distilled water, defatted with n-hexane, and fractionated into two fractions; FA (ethyl acetate fraction) and FB (rest of the total extract). The obtained fractions of each sample were tested for cytotoxic activity using three mammalian cell lines: HepG-2 cells (human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line), HCT-116 (colon carcinoma), and A-549 cells (human lung carcinoma) which were obtained from VACSEERA Tissue Culture Unit. The procedure for cytotoxicity evaluation was applied using viability assay [24].

2.7. Antimicrobial Activity
Antimicrobial activities of different FA and FB fractions of each Astragalus sample were carried out using well diffusion method [24] at antimicrobial activity unit in the Regional Center for Mycology and Biotechnology, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt, using two fungal strains Aspergillus fumigatus (RCMB 002008) and Candida albicans RCMB 005003 (1) ATCC 10231, two Gram-positive bacteria Staphylococcus aureus (RCMB 010010) and Bacillus subtilis RCMB 015 (1) NRRL B-543, and two Gram-negative bacteria Proteus vulgaris RCMB 004 (1) ATCC 13315 and Escherichia coli (RCMB 010052) ATCC 25955; the diffusion agar technique was applied, Well diameter: 6.0 mm (100 µl was tested), where Ketoconazole (100 µg/ml), Gentamycin (4 µg/ml) were used as positive control for antifungal and antibacterial activities, respectively, the samples were tested at 10 mg/ml concentration.

2.8. Immunostimulant Activity

2.8.1. Isolation of lymphocytes from rat blood
Blood was withdrawn from orbital plexus of Wistar albino rats and lymphocytes were isolated using Ficoll histopaque. The lymphocytes were washed twice with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and resuspended in complete RPMI 1640 medium (RPMI 1640 with 10% fetal calf serum and 1% antibiotic-antimycotic solution). The cell number was adjusted to \( 1 \times 10^8 \) cells/ml by counting in hemocytometer and cell viability was tested by the trypan blue dye exclusion technique.

2.8.2. Lymphocyte proliferation assay
Effect of the tested samples on lymphocyte proliferation was carried out using MTT assay. The number of lymphocytes was adjusted to \( 10^6 \) cells/ml in RPMI-1640 and 100 µl cell suspensions were seeded into a 96-well tissue culture plate (Nunc, Denmark). Each control (\( n = 4 \)) and treated (\( n = 4 \)) cell suspension was repeated in triplicate. The final volume of the wells was made up to 200 µl with the tested compound at a concentration of 100 µg/ml of each Astragalus sample and 10 mg of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) sample. The plate was incubated at 28°C for 48 hours in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. The colorimetric MTT method [25] was used to determine the proliferation of lymphocytes. After 48 hours culture, 20 µl of MTT (5 mg/ml PBS) was added to each well of the leukocyte culture and incubated at 28°C for 4 hours. The formazan crystals were dissolved by adding 150 µl of dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) (Sigma, USA) to each well, followed by 25 µl glycin buffer (0.1 M glycine, 0.1 M NaCl, pH 10.5). The contents of the wells were mixed thoroughly with a micropipette and incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes. Formazan development was read at 595 nm using a microplate reader (SunRise, Tecan, USA). One set was treated with mitogen LPS (10 µg/ml in PBS). The proliferation stimulation index (SI) was calculated according to the following equation: 
\[ SI = \frac{OD_{sample}}{OD_{control}} \times \frac{OD_{control}}{OD_{control}} \]  
The results are expressed as means ± standard error [26].

2.8.3. Chemicals
LPS and MTT were purchased from Sigma Aldrich chemical company, St. Louis, USA.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1. Percentage of Extractive Matter
Fifty-gram dry plant powder of each Astragalus sp. was extracted with 70% aqueous methanol. The plant extract of each sample was concentrated under vacuum at 45°C till dryness. The percentage of dry extracts of A. spinosus, A. armatus, and A. sieberi were 11.1%, 12.0%, and 14.5%, respectively.

3.2. Phytochemical Screening
The comparative phytochemical screening confirmed the great similarity of all species (Table 1), all Astragalus samples showed positive results for the presence of carbohydrates and/or glycosides, sterols and/or triterpenes, flavonoids, tannins and/or phenolic compounds, and saponins in all sample. Also, it shows the presence of traces of alkaloids and/or nitrogenous compounds in A. sieberi and A. armatus only; while anthraquinones were not detected in all samples.

| Plant species | A. Sieberi | A. spinosus | A. armatus |
|---------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Carbohydrates and/or glycosides | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| Sterols and/or triterpenes | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| Flavonoids | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| Anthraquinones | – | – | – |
| Saponins | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| Alkaloids/nitrogenous bases | + | – | + |
| Tannins/phenolic compounds | ++ | ++ | ++ |

Key: (−) not detectable, (+) trace amount, (+++) abundant.
3.3. Cytotoxic Activity
The activity of the fractions, FA and FB, of each Astragalus sample were tested versus three human cell lines HepG-2, HCT-116, and A-549 cells (Fig. 2). Cytotoxic activity study showed promising results, especially for ethyl acetate fractions of A. spinosus and A. sieberi where the IC$_{50}$ were 50.2, 22.6, and 29.1 μg/ml for ethyl acetate fraction of A. spinosus, and 39.8, 28.8, and 47.2 μg/ml for ethyl acetate fraction A. sieberi versus the cancer cell lines, HepG-2, HCT-116, and A-549, respectively.

3.4. Antimicrobial Activity
The antimicrobial activities are expressed as zone of inhibition in mm beyond well diameter (6 mm). The experiment showed the poor antimicrobial effect of all sample extracts; however, mild effects (inhibition zones 10, 11, and 8 mm) were recorded for A. Sieberi FA, A. spinosus FA, A. armatus FA, respectively against Escherichia coli (RCMB 010052). While A. Sieberi FB, A. Spinous FB showed inhibition zones 9 and 8 mm, respectively, against Proteus vulgaris RCMB 004 (1) ATCC 13315 strain.

3.5. Antioxidant Assay
The result of antioxidant activity assay regarding the concentrations responsible for 50% DPPH scavenging activities (IC$_{50}$) confirmed the potent effect of A. spinosus (IC$_{50}$) = 69 μg/ml, compared with the other two species (IC$_{50}$) = 161, and 313 μg/ml for A. armatus and A. sieberi total extract, respectively, while IC$_{50}$ of the reference material (ascorbic acid) was 14.2 μg/ml.

3.6. Determination of Total Flavonoid and Total Polyphenolic Contents
Although the comparative phytochemical screening confirmed the great similarity of all three species, total flavonoid, and total phenolic estimations shows a quite differences, where A. spinosus contain the highest percentages of both TFC and TPC; while the least percentage were detected in A. armatus. TFCs were calculated as 19.21, 17.8, and 37.91 mg rutin equivalent/g plant powder for A. sieberi, A. armatus, and A. spinosus, respectively; while TPCs were calculated as 21.13, 21.72, and 49.12 mg gallic acid equivalent/g plant powder for A. sieberi, A. armatus, and A. spinosus, respectively (Fig. 3). These findings can probably explain the great efficacy of A. spinosus extract compared with other species, especially A. armatus.

3.7. HPLC Tracing of Flavonoid and Phenolic Acid Markers
HPLC is a powerful and famous technology and has many beneficial applications in natural product research, including detection, isolation, purification, structure elucidation, qualitative, and quantitative estimation of natural products [27,28]. Tracing of flavonoid markers by HPLC shows the highest percentages of quercetin, luteolin, and apigenin in A. spinosus compared with other species. However, A. armatus contains the highest amount of kaempferol and rutin (Table 2). Tracing of phenolic acid markers by HPLC also shows the highest percentages of coumaric, cinnamic, and gallic acids in A. spinosus, while A. sieberi showed high percentages of gallic, chlorogenic, ferulic, and syringic acids, whereas A. sieberi showed high percentages of chlorogenic, caffeic, ferulic, and syringic acids (Table 3).

3.8. Immunostimulant Activity
The immunostimulant assay was done in the present study in order to compare the obtained results with those reported for root extract of some Astragalus species (e.g., A. membranaceous and A. mongholicus). The proliferation SI for each mitogen (i.e., different Astragalus extracts and LPS) was obtained from the equation: (SI = O.D. of experimental/O.D. of control). The proliferation stimulation indices obtained from immunostimulant assay were 2.63 ± 0.46; 1.25 ± 0.13; 0.98 ± 0.08 for A. spinosus, A. sieberi, A. armatus, respectively. This result confirmed the superiority of A. armatus.

Table 2: HPLC tracing of standard flavonoid markers.

| Flavonoids     | A. spinosus (µg/g) | A. sieberi (µg/g) | A. armatus (µg/g) |
|----------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Kaempferol     | 22.6              | 21.1             | 35.0              |
| Luteolin       | 27.9              | 19.3             | 14.5              |
| Rutin          | 18.4              | 17.7             | 35.0              |
| Apigenin       | 31.0              | 33.5             | 17.2              |
| Quercetin      | 39.1              | 14.3             | 23.3              |
**3. CONCLUSION**

This comparative study provided valuable information about the promising biological activities, phytochemical profiles, and helps in chemical identification and characterization of three Saudi *Astragalus* species. However, the research will continue to isolation and identification of the bioactive natural products, especially of the ethyl acetate fractions of these species. The future research studies have also extend to include the chemical and biological evaluation of the root extracts of these Saudi species, especially the immunomodulation activities with the aim of isolation and identification of individual secondary metabolites which may be considered as effective drug leads in the near future.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The author gratefully acknowledges the approval and the support of this research study by the grant No. 7351-PHM-2017-1-8-F from Deanship of scientific research at Northern Border University, its address is Arar-P.O. Box, 1321—Arar, 91431—Rafhaa international highway-Northern Border University, K.S.A.

**REFERENCES**

1. Okwu DE. Evaluation of the chemical composition of indigenous spices and flavoring agents. Global JPureAppSci 2001;7:455–9.

2. Newman DJ, Cragg GM. Natural products as sources of new drugs from 1981 to 2014. J Nat Prod 2016;79:629–61.

3. Mir AM, Sawhney SS, Jassal MMS. Qualitative and quantitative phytochemical screening of *Tarraxacum officinale*. Wudpecker J Pharm Pharmacol 2013;2:001–5.

4. Oyebode O, Kandala N-B, Chilton PJ, Lifford RJ. Use of traditional medicine in middle-income countries: a WHO-SAGE study. Health Policy Plan 2016;31:984–91.

5. Podlech D. The genus *Astragalus* L. (Fabaceae) in Europe with exclusion of the former Soviet Union. Feddes Repertor 2008;119:310–87.

6. Benchadi W, Haba H, Lavau C, Harakat D, Benkahled M. Secondary metabolites of *Astragalus cruciatus* link, and their chemotaxonomic significance. Rec Nat Prod 2013;7:105–13.

7. Labed A, Ferhat M, Labed-Zouda I, Kaplaner E, Zerizer S, Voutquenne-Nazabadioko L, et al. Compounds from the pods of *Astragalus armatus* with antioxidant, anticholinesterase, antibacterial and phagocytic activities. Pharm Biol 2016;54:3026–32.

8. Avunduk S, Mitain-Offer A-C, Alankus-Caliskan O, Miyamoto T, Senol SG, Lacaille-Dubois MA. Triterpene glycosides from the roots of *Astragalus flavescens*. J Nat Prod 2008;71:141–5.

9. Choudhary MI, Jan S, Abbaskhan A, Musharraf S, Sattar SA, Rahman A-U. Cycloartenol triterpenoids from *Astragalus bicuspid*. J Nat Prod 2008;71:1557–60.

10. Li X, Qu L, Dong Y, Han L, Liu E, Fang S, et al. A review of recent research progress on the *Astragalus* genus. Molecules 2014;19:18850–80.

11. Fathiazad F, Khosropanah MK, Movafeghi A. Cycloartenyl-type glycosides from the roots of *Astragalus caspicus* Bieb. Nat Prod Res 2010;24:1069–78.

12. Yang L-P, Shen J-G, Xu W-C, Li J, Jiang J-Q. Secondary metabolites of the genus *Astragalus*: structure and biological activity update. Chem Biodivers 2013;10:1004–54.

13. Savran T, Gulcemal D, Masullo M, Karyildirim T, Polat E, Piacente S, et al. Cycloartenyl glycosides from *Astragalus erinaceus*. Rec Nat Prod 2012;6:230–6.

14. Horo I, Bedir E, Masullo M, Piacente S, Ozgokce F, Alankus-Caliskan O. Saponins from *Astragalus hareftae* (NAB.) SIRJ. Phytochemistry 2012;84:147–53.

15. Khalfallah A, Karioti A, Berrehal D, Kabouche A, Lucchi M, Kabouche Z, et al. Flavonoid triglycosides from *Astragalus armatus*. Planta Med 2011;77:47.

16. Li HF, Tal W, Yair M, Keren S, Ella R, Shamgar BE. The effects of a Chinese herb formula, anti-cancer number one (ACNO), on NK cell activity and tumor metastasis in rats. Inter Immunoph 2001;1:1947–56.

17. Huang X, Liu Y, Song FR, Liu Z, Liu S. Studies on principal components and antioxidant activity of different radix astragali samples using high performance liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization multiple- stage tandem mass spectrometry. Talanta 2009;78:1090–101.

18. Hong F, Xiao W, Ragapathi G, Lau CB, Leung PC, Yeung KS, et al. The known immunologically active components of Astragalus account for only a small proportion of the immunological adjuvant activity when combined with conjugate vaccines. Planta Med 2011;77:817–24.

19. Nalbantsyov A, Nesil T, Erden S, Calis I, Bedir E. Adjuvant effects of *Astragalus saponins* macrophyllosponbin B and astragaloide VII. J Ethnopharmacol 2011;134:897–903.

20. Shojaii A, Motagheinejad M, Norouzi S, Motevalian M. Evaluation of anti-inflammatory and analgesic activity of the extract and fractions of *Astragalus hamosus* in animal models. Iran J Pharm Res 2015;14:263–699.

21. Dayiya NA, Oymetian IA, Matewu R, Oyedoji OO, Oluwafemi SO, Nke-Cungag BN, et al. Chemical analysis and biological potential of valerian root as used by herbal practitioners in the Eastern Cape Province, South Africa. AJFCM 2016;13:114–22.

22. Ashour M. Practical authentication and quality control of *Tilia* Flos. JBPAS 2017;6:1122–56.

23. Yen GC, Duh PD. Scavenging effect of methanolic extracts of peanut hulls on free-radical and active-oxygen species. *J Agric Food Chem* 1994;42:629–32.

24. Ashour MA, Abdelwahab MF. Antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities of plant-derived endophytic metabolites from northern border region, KSA. IAJPS 2018;8:01122–32.

25. Daly JG, Olivier G, Moore AR. A colorimetric assay for the quantification of brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*) lymphocyte mitogenesis. Fish Shellfish Immunol 1995;5:266–73.

---

**Table 3:** HPLC tracing of standard phenolic acid markers.

| Phenolic acids | *A. spinosus* (µg/g) | *A. sieberi* (µg/g) | *A. armatus* (µg/g) |
|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Gallic        | 3.43               | 3.61               | 2.36              |
| Chlorogenic   | 1.86               | 3.91               | 3.24              |
| Caffeic       | 2.08               | 1.13               | 2.95              |
| Coumaric      | 3.00               | 1.97               | 1.85              |
| Ferulic       | 2.45               | 3.33               | 2.91              |
| Cinnamic      | 3.49               | 1.00               | 2.08              |
| Siringic      | 1.91               | 3.10               | 2.63              |
26. Plumb JA, Milroy R, Kaye SB. Effects of the pH dependence of 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide-formazan absorption on chemosensitivity determined by a novel tetrazolium-based assay. Cancer Res 1989;49:4435–40.

27. Ashour M. Structure elucidation of bioactive marine natural products using modern methods of spectroscopy. PhD Thesis, (Strukturaufklärung bioaktiver mariner Naturstoffe mit modernen Methoden der Spektroskopie) Duesseldorf 6. 12. 2006. Available via http://www.Uni-duesseldorf.De

28. El-Naggar EMB, Azazi M, Švajdlenka E, Žemlička M. Artemisinin from minor to major ingredient in Artemisia annua cultivated in Egypt. J Appl Pharm Sci 2013;3(08):116–23.

How to cite this article:
Ashour MA. Comparative chemical and biological investigations of three Saudi Astragalus species. J Appl Biol Biotech 2019;7(05):56–61.