tells us that there are on average $10^5-10^6$ ml$^{-1}$ of bacteria living freely in the water, so a few milliliters already contain more than are still being discovered frequently. The vast variety of bacteria mated $2 \times 10^6$ bacterial species in the ocean, and new strains are still being discovered frequently. The vast variety of bacteria mated $2 \times 10^6$ bacterial species in the ocean, and new strains may rival or exceed that of the “free-living” bacteria. Hence, what we have learned in traditional aquatic microbial ecology represents merely a fraction of the microbial world. Within these networks a bacterium can travel long distances, communicate and closely interact with other bacteria and efficiently exchange genetic information with one another. The presence of microbial networks within the water column demands better sampling strategies and a new way to understand bacterial ecology, evolution and functions within the broader context of systems biology.

Although invisible to the naked eyes, bacteria are ubiquitous in all aquatic (including marine) ecosystems. The true diversity of aquatic bacteria is unknown and unknowable, but there are estimated $2 \times 10^6$ bacterial species in the ocean, and new strains are still being discovered frequently. The vast variety of bacteria performs so many critical functions in aquatic biogeochemical cycles and trophic processes that the biosphere will surely collapse without bacteria.

Aquatic microbial research has seen unprecedented pace of development in recent years thanks to the advances in molecular and biogeochemical techniques. It is therefore timely to revisit our concept of the aquatic microbial world.

The traditional view of aquatic microbial ecology presumes that “free living” bacteria contribute to the majority of bacterial production within the water body. A basic textbook still tells us that there are on average $10^5-10^6$ ml$^{-1}$ of bacteria living freely in the water, so a few milliliters already contain more than enough material for microbiologists to work with. Indeed, on an oceanographic expedition microbiologists often draw just a few milliliters of water from a Niskin bottle for measuring bacterial abundance and production. Meanwhile, other scientists on board may be retrieving sediment traps with abundant aggregates and fecal pellets inside them or preserving zooplankton samples or hauling in a net-full of fish. These samples are categorized separately (bacteria, aggregates, zooplankton, fish) and processed and understood within the confines of the respective disciplines that mimic the way we dissect an aquatic ecosystem.

Microhabitats in the Pelagic Environment

However, bacteria do not live in isolation from each other or other inhabitants. It is a common knowledge that in terrestrial systems most bacteria live on surfaces or inside a host. Research on benthic habitats and coral reefs has also focused on attached microbial consortia. Why should there be any difference in the pelagic aquatic environment? Bacteria within a water column can reach high abundances in microhabitats such as aggregates, fecal pellets, surface and gut of a zooplankter or a fish (Fig. 1). However, due to their patchy spatial and temporal distribution, they are often not sampled properly or largely ignored by aquatic microbiologists.

Albeit limited, existing literature data show that total bacterial abundance associated with various microhabitats may rival or even exceed “free living” bacteria in the aquatic environment. What microbiologists have learned based on traditional sampling methods therefore represents only a fraction of the aquatic microbial world. These microhabitat communities of bacteria are not only important in terms of abundance and biomass, but their behavior, physiology and composition are also distinctly different from their “free living” counterpart. For examples, they have elevated enzymatic activities, growth and production, and may also experience higher grazing mortality and viral infection. The equivalent bacterial concentrations associated with these microhabitats are orders of magnitude higher than ambient bacterial concentrations, indicating active bacterial colonization and growth in/on these organic-rich microhabitats.

Assuming an average free-living bacterial concentration of $10^6$ ml$^{-1}$, the average distance between two neighboring cells is 100 μm or approx. 100 cell lengths. This is a formidable distance for communication between two bacterial cells due to fast dissipation of chemical signals. In comparison, on a densely colonized...
High diffusion coefficient; e.g., $3.8 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^2$s$^{-1}$, the population will disperse no more than 2.6 cm per day by swimming alone. In addition to limited motility, the water column of large lakes and oceans are often vertically stratified, permanently or seasonally, such that the pycnocline further prevents mixing of bacteria between upper and lower water layers.

Yet, bacteria seem to have an ingenious way to overcome this limitation—by hitch hiking on large particles and organisms. Aggregates and fecal pellets sink up to several hundred meters a day bringing attached bacteria with them, but this is primarily a one-way ride from the surface water to the deep water. Mobile organisms such as diurnally migrating zooplankton, on the other hand, move up and down across the pycnocline multiple times, working as a conveyor belt to transport bacteria in both directions. These long-range dispersal processes affect the distribution, resource access and genetic information exchange between different bacterial populations (see below).

**Hitching a Ride**

Only relatively recently did researchers begin to appreciate the fact that many aquatic bacteria are motile, but this motility remains quite limited. The run-and-tumble motion of individual bacteria leads to diffusion-like dispersal of a population, and the dispersal distance ($L$) can be estimated as $L^2 = 2Dt$ where $D$ is diffusion coefficient and $t$ is time. Even with a relatively high diffusion coefficient; e.g., $3.8 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^2$s$^{-1}$, the population will disperse no more than 2.6 cm per day by swimming alone. In addition to limited motility, the water column of large lakes and oceans are often vertically stratified, permanently or seasonally, such that the pycnocline further prevents mixing of bacteria between upper and lower water layers.

Yet, bacteria seem to have an ingenious way to overcome this limitation—by hitch hiking on large particles and organisms. Aggregates and fecal pellets sink up to several hundred meters a day bringing attached bacteria with them, but this is primarily a one-way ride from the surface water to the deep water. Mobile organisms such as diurnally migrating zooplankton, on the other hand, move up and down across the pycnocline multiple times, working as a conveyor belt to transport bacteria in both directions. These long-range dispersal processes affect the distribution, resource access and genetic information exchange between different bacterial populations (see below).

**Shelters for Bacteria**

Death is a part of life and for bacteria there is no exception. The seemingly constant abundance of free-living bacteria in most aquatic environments, in contrast to their high growth rate, suggests that there must be a high mortality rate among them. Death could be due to predation, viral infection or environmental stresses. The many folds and turns inside an aggregate

---

**Figure 1.** Different conceptual views of the aquatic microbial ecosystem. In the traditional view (A), bacterial communities are mainly dominated by free-living bacteria and attached bacterial communities in microniches are rather isolated from each other. Water column stratification also limits exchanges of bacteria between water layers. In our proposed microbial networks (B), free-living and attached bacteria are tightly linked with each other via a network of microhabitats represented here by aggregates, fecal pellets, plankton and higher organisms. Mobile organisms also effectively transport bacteria over long distances and across boundaries. Within a microhabitat, such as a copepod, dense populations of diverse bacteria can closely communicate and exchange genetic information with each other, be protected from external hazards, exploit high concentration of organic matters and drive biogeochemical reactions that are otherwise not favored in the surrounding water.
or a zooplankter could effectively shelter bacteria from external hazardous elements. *Vibrio cholerae*, the bacterium that causes the disease cholera, finds its ‘partner in crime’ in small crustacean zooplankton.\(^{38,39}\) This bacterium turns virulent when attached to chitin surfaces\(^{40}\) and becomes more resistant to disinfection.\(^{41}\) Many other bacteria attached to aggregates and zooplankton have also been found to survive harmful UV radiation, heat and chemicals.\(^{39}\) These findings have important implications for the spread of aquatic pathogens and water treatment strategies.

There is, however, not a strict separation between “attached” and “free” bacteria in the pelagic environment. A bacterium may attach and detach from a microhabitat, the likelihood of which depends on the bacterium’s physiological conditions,\(^{42}\) surface grazing mortality,\(^{43,44}\) substrate characteristics,\(^{45}\) pre-existing microbial community\(^{20}\) and chemical cues,\(^{36}\) among other factors. Attached bacterial communities may also release progeny into the surrounding water.\(^{46}\) Consequently, there is a dynamic exchange between attached and free bacteria, and thanks to the high production rate of attached bacteria, microhabitats may be an important source of bacteria to even the free living populations.\(^{45}\)

Because different microhabitats may emerge or disappear in a random manner, and because different bacteria may enter or exit a microhabitat at different times, there could be countless ways for bacteria to establish both short-term and long-term microbial networks. In this sense, a microhabitat in the pelagic environment is unlike the relatively more stable bacterial consortia in soil.\(^{47}\)

### Gene Exchange Market

Compared to higher organisms, the bacterial genome is very malleable and gene exchanges between bacterial cells would allow them to change and adapt to environmental conditions much more rapidly than mutation alone may allow.\(^{48}\) This can be achieved only when the genetic information is passed along by a mediator such as a plasmid or a virus or when two bacteria come close enough for conjugation.\(^{49}\) However, in the free water column the long distances between randomly moving bacteria makes gene exchanges more difficult. In contrast, when a large number of bacteria are confined within a microhabitat, this microenvironment turns into an exchange market where rapid lateral gene transfer can occur between bacteria.\(^{50}\) By hitchhiking on mobile organisms bacteria may even travel long distances and trade genetic information with remote populations.\(^{35}\)

We may therefore envision that various particles and organisms within the water column constitute a hidden microbial network through which bacterial genetic information busily flows and be redistributed, leading to rapid changes in bacterial community structure and functions over ecological as well as evolutionary time scales.\(^{51}\)

### Microhabitats and the Global Carbon Cycle

Photosynthesis and respiration are the yin and yang of the carbon cycle. Bacteria account for most of the respiration in the aquatic environment returning photosynthetically fixed carbon to the atmosphere as carbon dioxide.\(^{52}\) Sinking detritus is a major component of the so-called biological pump for exporting fixed carbon from the euphotic zone to the deep sea, hence removing it from sea-air exchange.\(^{53}\) Questions, however, remain concerning bacterial turnover of particulate organic carbon within the mesopelagic zone (100–1,000 m).\(^{34}\) A recent modeling study suggests that free-living bacteria and attached bacteria are each responsible for turning over 38% of the detrital carbon in the mesopelagic zone and together they respire ~85% of the exported carbon.\(^{55}\) Critical unknowns in the model are POC solubilization and subsequent DOC leakage due to attached bacteria and growth efficiency of particularly attached bacteria in the deep sea.\(^{56,57}\) That study highlights the need for proper sampling and measuring bacteria attaching to detrital particles and other microhabitats in understanding the global carbon cycle.

### Conclusion

Here, we show that the traditional view of aquatic microbial ecologists is largely biased by not taking into account the true spatial and temporal dynamics of aquatic systems. Recent studies imply that bacteria associated to particles and organisms come in close contact to each other and are dispersed via multiple vectors between microhabitats—even over large distances. Hence, within the expansive and seemingly homogeneous water column there exist vast and hidden networks that bring bacteria together allowing for interactions and efficient exchange of genetic information. To better understand the adaptation, evolution and functions of distinct microbial populations, we therefore need to know their distribution and behavior in time and space and their interactions with other microbes and higher organisms within these networks. The complexity of these networks will require better sampling strategies and interpretation of bacterial data within the broader context of systems biology.\(^{58}\)
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