Abstract The Covariant Spectator Theory is applied to the description of quarks and the pion. The dressed quark mass function is calculated dynamically in Minkowski space and used in the calculation of the pion electromagnetic form factor. The effects of the mass function on the pion form factor and the different quark-pole contributions to the triangle diagram are analyzed.

1 Introduction

Meson form factors are important physical observables that contain the information on the structure of a meson, and various physical processes and quantities depend on them. A prominent example that has recently attracted much attention is the muon anomalous magnetic moment, a low-energy observable both measured and calculated to extremely high precision. Its present experimental value persistently deviates from the theoretical prediction by more than 3σ, a discrepancy often interpreted as a signature of “physics beyond the Standard Model”. Therefore, in order to draw definite conclusions, it is of highest importance to increase the accuracy of both measurement and theoretical calculations. The largest theoretical uncertainty come from the hadronic vacuum polarization and hadronic light-by-light scattering contributions. For the latter, the most relevant contributions are the pseudoscalar-meson-pole diagrams related to the meson transition form factors, and the dressed-quark-loop diagram, all of which depend on the dressed quark propagator and the dressed quark–photon vertex. These contributions to the muon anomaly are diagrammatically depicted in Fig. 1.

This article focuses on the dressed quark propagators (i.e. the dressed quark mass function), the dressed quark–photon vertex, and the meson vertex functions as the ingredients for the calculation of meson electromagnetic form factors. In particular, we concentrate here on the pion electromagnetic form factor in the spacelike region of momentum-transfer squared $Q^2 > 0$. The pion is the ideal test case for relativistic calculations because of its simplicity and the large amount of experimental data available from various hadron facilities worldwide, such as the Jefferson Lab and FAIR-GSI.
Various theoretical methods have been developed in order to describe the non-perturbative dynamics underlying the pion and other hadronic bound states. For instance, lattice QCD [1–4], Hamiltonian approaches based on Dirac’s forms of dynamics [5–11], as well as methods based on the Dyson–Schwinger/Bethe–Salpeter (DSBS) approach and the mass gap equation [12–23] have made significant contributions to our understanding of hadrons.

Our theoretical framework is the Covariant Spectator Theory (CST) [24–29], a nonperturbative approach with the unique feature that it allows the use of confining interaction kernels with a Lorentz scalar structure without violating chiral symmetry. Similar to the DSBS approaches, it incorporates both relativistic covariance and dynamical chiral–symmetry breaking, features that are indispensable for the description of the light mesons, such as the pion, π, and η, η′. In contrast to lattice QCD and the DSBS approaches, the CST equations are solved in Minkowski space, which allows, for instance, for a straightforward extension of form factor results from the spacelike- to the timelike-$Q^2$ region. This region is relevant for medium effects searched for in experiments to study matter under extreme conditions.

2 Quark Mass Functions in CST

Dynamical chiral-symmetry breaking implies the dynamical generation of a constituent quark mass via the strong interaction. In the charge-conjugation-invariant formulation of CST [30,31], this is realized by the CST Dyson equation given by

$$S^{-1}(p) = S_0^{-1}(p) + \frac{1}{2} Z_R \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{m}{E_k} \mathcal{V}(p, \hat{k}_\sigma) \Lambda(\hat{k}_\sigma),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $S(p) = Z(p^2)[M(p^2) - p - i\epsilon]^{-1}$ and $S_0(p) = [m_0 - p - i\epsilon]^{-1}$ are the dressed and bare quark propagators, respectively, with $p$ the off-shell quark four-momentum, $M(p^2) = Z(p^2)[A(p^2) + m_0]$ the dressed quark mass function, $A(p^2)$ the scalar part of the quark self-energy, $Z(p^2)$ the wave-function renormalization, $m_0$ the bare quark mass, $m$ the (dressed) constituent quark mass, $Z_R \equiv Z(m^2)/(1 - 2mM'(m^2))$ a constant with $M' \equiv dM/dp^2$, $\mathcal{V}(p, \hat{k}_\pm)$ the strong-interaction kernel, $\Lambda(k) = (M(k^2) + \hat{k})/2M(k^2)$, and $\hat{k}_\pm = (\pm E_k, \vec{k})$ is the on-shell quark four-momentum with $E_k = \sqrt{m^2 + \vec{k}^2}$. The constituent quark mass $m$ is determined as the value of the mass function where $S$ has a real pole, i.e. $m \equiv M(m^2)$. Equation (1) describes the dynamical generation of the dressed quark mass function due to its dressing through the interaction kernel. In the present work we use a kernel of the form

$$\mathcal{V}(p, \hat{k}_\pm) = (1 \otimes 1 + \gamma^5 \otimes \gamma^5) V_L(p, \hat{k}_\pm) + \gamma^\mu \otimes \gamma^\mu h^2(p^2) \frac{C}{2m} (2\pi)^3 \delta^3 \left( \frac{m}{\sqrt{p^2}} - p - \hat{k} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $V_L(p, \hat{k}_\pm)$ is a covariant generalization in momentum space of the linear confining potential, satisfying the condition [24–29]

$$\int \frac{d^3k}{E_k} V_L(p, \hat{k}_\pm) = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

which is the CST version of the property that describes the vanishing of the nonrelativistic linear potential at the origin in coordinate space. The last term of the kernel of Eq. (2) is a covariant generalization in momentum space of a constant potential $C$ in coordinate space, and $h(p^2)$ is a strong quark form factor. In Ref. [32] we proved that the kernel of Eq. (2) satisfies the axial-vector Ward–Takahashi identity which ensures dynamical chiral–symmetry breaking. This works with a mixed (equal-weight) Lorentz pseudoscalar-scalar linear confining kernel since such a kernel does not contribute to the CST Dyson Eq. (1) for the dressed quark propagator because of the property of Eq. (3). After solving Eq. (1) analytically in the chiral limit ($m_0 = 0$), where $Z_RC \rightarrow m$, the dynamical quark mass function is given by

$$M(p^2) = mh^2(p^2).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The strong quark form factor $h(p^2)$ depends on the chiral-limit constituent quark mass $m$ and a mass cutoff parameter $\Lambda$. Both these parameters are determined by a fit of the mass function Eq. (4) at negative
3 The Pion Electromagnetic Form Factor in CST

Next we use the quark mass function in the calculation of the pion electromagnetic form factor. In impulse approximation, the electromagnetic pion current is calculated from the sum of two triangle diagrams in which the virtual photon couples either to the quark or to the antiquark. One of these diagrams is shown in Fig. 3.
The pion current is given by
\[
F(Q^2) (P_+ + P_-)^\mu = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \text{Tr} \left[ \tilde{\Gamma}(k, p_+) S(p_+) j^\mu(p_+, p_-) S(p_-) \right. \\
\left. \times \Gamma(p_-, k) S(k) \right],
\]
where \( F(Q^2) \) is the pion electromagnetic form factor and \( Q^2 = -q^2 = -(P_+ - P_-)^2 \) is the virtuality of the photon. According to the charge-conjugation-invariant CST prescription of how to perform the \( k_0 \) contour integration in Eq. (5) one takes all six quark propagator-pole contributions into account. These are the two positive- and negative-energy spectator quark poles at \( k^2 = m^2 \) and the four positive- and negative-energy poles at \( p_\pm^2 = m^2 \) of the active quark to which the photon couples [34,35].

For the dressed off-shell quark–photon vertex \( j^\mu \) we use the current given by [34,35]
\[
j^\mu(p_+, p_-) = h(p_+^2) \left[ f(p_+, p_-) \gamma^\mu + \delta(p_+, p_-) \Lambda(-p_+) \gamma^\mu \right. \\
+ \delta(p_-, p_+) \gamma^\mu \Lambda(-p_-) + g(p_+, p_-) \Lambda(-p_+) \gamma^\mu \Lambda(-p_-) \right] h(p_-^2),
\]
where \( f, \delta, \) and \( g \) are scalar functions determined through the Ward–Takahashi identity in terms of the \( h \) form factors, according to the Gross–Riska prescription [36–38], to ensure gauge invariance. For the pion vertex function \( \Gamma \), we take a simple Ansatz near the chiral limit of the form \( \tilde{\Gamma}(p_-, k) \propto h(p_\pm^2) h(k^2) \gamma^5 \) and \( \Gamma(k, p_+) \propto h(p_+^2) h(k^2) \gamma^5 \) [34,35]. Notice that the \( h \)'s appearing in the pion vertex functions are the same as in the mass function given in Eq. (4) and displayed in Fig. 2.

4 Results and Conclusions

In Fig. 4 the ratio \( F_{\text{spect}} / F_{\text{act}} \) versus \( Q^2 \) is shown, where \( F_{\text{spect}} \) and \( F_{\text{act}} \) are the spectator and active quark-pole contributions to the pion electromagnetic form factor, respectively. Also shown are the results obtained with constant quark masses and quark mass functions in order to analyse the effect of the momentum dependence of the quark mass in the pion form factor. In Fig. 5 the results of \( F_{\text{act}} \) when calculated with different mass functions in the timelike region (see Fig. 2) are compared.

One conclusion of this work can be drawn from Fig. 4: For small pion masses \( \mu \), the active quark contributions \( F_{\text{act}} \) are as important as the spectator contributions \( F_{\text{spect}} \), over the whole range of \( Q^2 \), and only for large \( \mu \) and large \( Q^2 \), \( F_{\text{act}} \) is suppressed as compared to \( F_{\text{spect}} \) by about 30%. This suppression is slightly stronger...
Fig. 5 $F_{act}$ when calculated with different quark mass functions, corresponding to the ones shown in Fig. 2

for momentum-dependent than for constant quark masses. For small $\mu$, $F_{act}$ and $F_{spect}$ are nearly identical, not only in magnitude but also in shape, even for large $Q^2$. Furthermore, we find that the pion form factor in this model is surprisingly insensitive to the functional form of the strong quark form factors and quark mass function, as can be seen in Fig. 5.

The present results were obtained with the simple kernel (2), for which the quark wave-function renormalization $Z(p^2) = 1$. In future calculations with more sophisticated kernels for which $Z(p^2) \neq 1$, we expect deviations from the present results, in particular, in the small-$Q^2$ region. From lattice QCD [33], $Z(p^2)$ is expected to fall below 1 at small spacelike $p^2$, which would effectively decrease our pion form factor integral at small-$Q^2$ (for a similar model calculation see the discussion in Ref. [39]). This is expected to improve our form factor result for physical pion masses which, for $Z(p^2) = 1$, is seen to overshoot the experimental data at small $Q^2$ [34, 35].

Despite its simplicity, this first calculation of the pion form factor in the charge-conjugation-invariant CST showed the importance of the different quark-pole contributions to the triangle diagram and its insensitivity to the choice of the time-like dependence of the strong quark form factors. It will be the reference work for future form factor calculations within this framework.
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