Correlation of choroidal thickness with age in healthy subjects: automatic detection and segmentation using a deep learning model
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Abstract

Propose The proposed deep learning model with a mask region-based convolutional neural network (Mask R-CNN) can predict choroidal thickness automatically. Changes in choroidal thickness with age can be detected with manual measurements. In this study, we aimed to investigate choroidal thickness in a comprehensive aspect in healthy eyes by utilizing the Mask R-CNN model.

Methods A total of 68 eyes from 57 participants without significant ocular disease were recruited. The participants were allocated to one of three groups according to their age and underwent spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) or enhanced depth imaging OCT (EDI-OCT) centered on the fovea. Each OCT sequence included 25 slices. Physicians labeled the choroidal contours in all the OCT sequences. We applied the Mask R-CNN model for automatic segmentation. Comparisons of choroidal thicknesses were conducted according to age and prediction accuracy.

Results Older age groups had thinner choroids, according to the automatic segmentation results; the mean choroidal thickness was $253.7 \pm 41.9$ μm in the youngest group, $206.8 \pm 35.4$ μm in the middle-aged group, and $152.5 \pm 45.7$ μm in the oldest group ($p<0.01$). Measurements obtained using physician sketches demonstrated similar trends. We observed a significant negative correlation between choroidal thickness and age ($p<0.01$). The prediction error was lower and less variable in choroids that were thinner than the cutoff point of 280 μm.

Conclusion By observing choroid layer continuously and comprehensively. We found that the mean choroidal thickness decreased with age in healthy subjects. The Mask R-CNN model can accurately predict choroidal thickness, especially choroids thinner than 280 μm. This model can enable exploring larger and more varied choroid datasets comprehensively, automatically, and conveniently.
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**Introduction**

The choroid, located underneath the retina and above the sclera, is the posterior portion of the uveal tract. It comprises numerous blood vessels and pigmented stroma. The choroid is vital for visual physiology. For example, it supplies nutrients and oxygen to the outer retina, including the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and photoreceptors; moreover, choroidal melanocytes absorb excess light [1, 2].

Pathological changes in the choroid are related to many vision-threatening diseases, such as age-related macular degeneration (AMD), polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy (PCV), central serous chorioretinopathy (CSCR), pathologic myopia, and autoimmune disease; choroidal thickness is also associated with these diseases [3–6]. Detecting the choroid and estimating its thickness can facilitate clinical diagnosis and disease progression monitoring tasks [7]. Previous studies mainly relying on discontinuous measurement have reported that choroidal thickness is negatively correlated with age in healthy eyes, not only in terms of the subfoveal choroidal thickness (SFCT), but also in relation to the thickness over the nasal site and temporal site [8–10].

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is a convenient approach to identifying and measuring the structure of the posterior visual segment, which can provide details of the retinal and choroidal layers. Owing to technological advancements and population aging, OCT is becoming increasingly essential for diagnosing many ocular diseases. Accordingly, an appropriate model for automatic recognition of features in medical images is crucial. For instance, a choroid segmentation model can aid ophthalmologists in accurate clinical diagnoses and effective management as well as in the development of a database or comparison site for different groups. In addition, manually outlining the boundary of the choroid is a time-consuming task, and it can be challenging when the borders are ambiguous. Therefore, developing an automatic OCT image analysis and segmentation model is crucial.

Depicting the choroid border is complex, especially at the choroidal–scleral interface (CSI), because of the inconsistent and inhomogeneous tissue texture. In the past decade, scholars have proposed several automatic models for segmenting the choroid from OCT images. For example, Tian et al. presented a mathematical algorithm for detecting Bruch’s membrane by searching for the pixel with the largest gradient value near the RPE and delineating the CSI using the Dijkstra algorithm [11]. This algorithm is implemented semiautomatically, requiring time-consuming manual sketching tasks. Deep learning models are gradually being utilized in automatic feature recognition in choroidal images. Masood et al. and He et al. have proposed approaches based on a combination of morphological and deep learning methodologies involving convolutional neural network (CNN) [12, 13]. They developed CNN classifiers and a $l_2$-$l_q$ ($0 < q < 1$) fitter model based on the LeNet-5 framework; their classifiers can delineate the outer boundary of the choroid, but the convolutional layers can extract only shallow features. Mask region-based CNN (Mask R-CNN), a classic neural network algorithm for instance segmentation, constitutes a powerful model that can complete object detection, classification, and instance segmentation simultaneously [14]. Hsia et al. proposed a Mask R-CNN model for the automatic segmentation of the choroid. They observed that their model could preserve crucial information on location, including shallow and deep layer features, and that it could achieve an accurate prediction rate and faster choroidal boundary segmentation [15]. Overall, Mask R-CNN models are accurate and effective in the automatic segmentation of choroid images.

Previous studies evaluating choroidal thickness in different age groups have mainly relied on discontinuous measurements at different sites of the choroid, such as subfoveal, temporal or nasal to fovea [9, 10, 16, 17]. An automatic segmentation model might provide continuous measurements and more comprehensive quantifications of choroidal thickness.

Accordingly, we investigated the comprehensive and general choroidal thickness by continuous measurement in healthy subjects from different age groups in Taiwan by using the deep learning method with the Mask R-CNN model.

**Materials and methods**

Participants and clinical evaluation

We recruited participants of different ages from Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taiwan. The
inclusion criterion was having a refractive error, measured as the spherical equivalent (SE), of between $-6.0$ and $+3.0$ D; we used this criterion to reduce the possible influence of high myopia, high hyperopia, and axial length. We excluded individuals with ocular diseases that would potentially influence the appearance and shape of the retina and choroid, such as hypertensive retinopathy, diabetic retinopathy, ocular tumors, autoimmune diseases, uveitis, subretinal fluid, or large drusens. We also excluded individuals who had previously received intraocular interventions, such as vitrectomy or intravitreal injection. Accordingly, this study included 57 healthy subjects aged from 21 to 79 years (total eyes: 68).

Data compilation

We applied an OCT image dataset composed of 68 eyes from 57 participants. All the participants underwent a spectral domain OCT (SD-OCT) scan (Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany). Enhanced depth imaging (EDI)-OCT would be performed subsequently under the condition of inadequate quality or depth in SD-OCT images. The quality and depth of these images were confirmed by physicians and were considered adequate for the visualization and analysis of the choroid. Each OCT scan sequence comprised 25 two-dimensional slices covering a $6 \times 6$ mm area surrounding the center of the fovea. Total 1700 images in eyes were included in our dataset. The interval between consecutive slices was 24 μm. The spatial resolution of each image was 1008 × 596 pixels, with 1 pixel corresponding to 4 μm. Each OCT image was monochrome with 256 Gy levels. In addition, we removed any dispensable parts of the image by clipping every slice into a $480 \times 480$ pixel format. Physicians outlined the contours of the choroids in all OCT scan sequences by using LabelMe [18]. We judged the CSI to be the outer border of the choroid, and we considered Bruch’s membrane to represent the inner border.

Segmentation using Mask R-CNN model

We used Mask R-CNN model for choroid detection. The model involves a two-stage framework. In the first stage, an image is convolved to generate distinguishable feature maps and recommendations through a regional proposal network (RPN). In the second stage, the proposals are classified and bounding boxes and masks are generated. The proposed model is based on a deep residual network (ResNet) and a feature pyramid network (FPN). The Mask R-CNN model has standard convolutional and fully connected layers, which are used for mask generation and object detection.

The proposed model receives an OCT image and outputs a mask of the choroid. The Mask R-CNN model involves three steps. First, a $480 \times 480$ pixel region-of-interest image is extracted and then used in the training network to extract features and generate a feature map. Second, the generated feature map is sent to the RPN to locate proposals related to the choroid. Third, the proposals are subjected to classification and regression processes, after which the fully connected network (FCN) is implemented to generate a mask for the choroid. Figure 1 illustrates the framework of the proposed Mask R-CNN model.

Statistical analysis

We used SPSS (version 20) for our statistical analyses. We applied the analysis of variance (ANOVA) to compare variables between the different age groups. Spearman’s correlation and linear regression were applied to examine the relationship between age, SE, and choroidal thickness. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical significance was defined as a two-tailed $p$ value of less than 0.05 for all statistical analyses.

Results

We included a total of 68 eyes from 28 men and 29 women in this study. We divided the participants into 20–39-, 40–59-, and 60–79-year age groups, comprising 19, 22, and 27 eyes, respectively. Table 1 presents the demographic and clinical characteristics of the different age groups.

Table 2 lists the mean choroidal thicknesses predicted by our Mask R-CNN model. The 20–39-year group had the largest predicted mean choroidal thickness ($253.7 \pm 41.9$ μm), followed by the 40–59-year group ($206.8 \pm 35.4$ μm). The 60–79-year group had the lowest choroidal thickness ($152.5 \pm 45.7$ μm). In each age group, normal distribution was identified by the Shapiro–Wilk test.
(p = 0.14, 0.73, and 0.80, in the 20–39-, 40–59-, and 60–79-year groups, respectively). Our ANOVA results revealed significant differences in mean choroidal thickness between the three groups (p < 0.01). Furthermore, a post hoc analysis revealed a statistical difference in choroidal thickness between any two groups (all p < 0.01). Table 3 lists the mean choroidal thicknesses measured on the basis of physician sketches. The mean choroidal thicknesses were 312.4 ± 49.4, 219.6 ± 35.7, and 179.2 ± 48.8 μm in the 20–39-, 40–59-, and 60–79-year groups, respectively, indicating statistically significant differences. Compared with the manually sketched contours, the average error obtained
for the automatic predictions by our proposed Mask R-CNN model was 8.56 pixels.

The linear regression revealed a significant negative correlation between age and the automatically predicted mean choroidal thickness ($R^2=0.53$, $p<0.01$). Figure 2 presents a scatterplot of the mean choroidal thickness by age, which shows that choroidal thickness decreases as age increases. The regression formula is expressed as follows: mean choroidal thickness ($\mu$m) = $337.7 - 2.7 \times$ age. In addition, we observed similar trends for the choroidal thickness measured on the basis of the manual sketches; that is, the mean choroidal thickness increased in the older age group ($p<0.01$), and a negative linear correlation was revealed between age and the derived mean choroidal thickness ($R^2=0.59$, $p<0.01$).

We performed further analysis to establish the relationship between choroidal thickness and model prediction accuracy. Figure 3 presents a scatterplot and box plot of prediction error versus choroidal thickness, with the cutoff point being set at 280 μm based on the dataset labeled by physicians. The average prediction error was $6.49 \pm 3.57$ pixels in subjects with choroidal thicknesses of less than 280 μm ($n=49$) and $13.90 \pm 7.94$ pixels in those with choroidal thicknesses of greater than 280 μm ($n=19$, $p<0.01$). As indicated by the box plot in Fig. 3a, the proposed model achieved lower and less variable prediction errors in subjects with thinner choroids. Moreover, we observed a positive linear

**Fig. 2** Correlations between age and mean choroidal thickness predicted by the proposed deep learning model ($p<0.01$, mean choroidal thickness ($\mu$m) = $-2.7 \times$ age + $337.7$, $R^2=0.526$)

**Fig. 3** Relationship between prediction error and choroidal thickness for sketches manually labeled by a physician. The cutoff point for choroidal thickness was 280 μm. a Scatterplot of correlations between prediction error (pixels) and choroidal thickness ($R^2=0.01$, $p=0.54$ below the cutoff point; $R^2=0.21$, $p=0.048$ above the cutoff point). b Box plot of the prediction error (pixels); the left side depicts the prediction error (pixels) of choroidal thickness below the cutoff point (280 μm; $Q1=3.79$, $Q2=5.79$, $Q3=7.33$, $n=49$); the right side depicts choroidal thickness above the cutoff point ($Q1=8.78$, $Q2=11.16$, $Q3=17.23$, $n=19$)
Correlation between prediction error and choroidal thicknesses of greater than 280 μm ($R^2 = 0.21$, $p = 0.048$; Fig. 3b). No significant relationship was observed between prediction error and choroidal thicknesses of less than 280 μm and ($R^2 = 0.01$, $p = 0.54$). Figure 4 demonstrates the choroidal contours delineated manually by physicians and those predicted by our model for two participants. One of the two participants had a thinner choroid, and the prediction error was 4.88 pixels; the other participant had a thicker choroid, and the prediction error was higher.

In our study, only subjects without high myopia or hyperopia (SE refraction error range from −6.0 to +3.0 D) were included. In our participants, the mean SE was −1.26 D with standard deviation of 2.01 D, and no significant correlation was identified between choroidal thickness and SE ($R^2 = 0.04$, $p = 0.11$).

**Discussion**

The major findings of this study are outlined as follows: (1) The overall and comprehensive choroidal thickness reduced significantly for every 20-year increase in age. (2) A negative correlation was demonstrated between age and choroidal thickness. (3) Mask R-CNN model achieved satisfactory prediction accuracy in subjects with choroidal thicknesses of less than 280 μm.

Apart from the documented discontinuous measurement, we aimed to explore the general choroidal thickness. We established that overall choroidal thickness decreased as age increased in subjects without significant ocular diseases or a history of intraocular operation. This finding is consistent with previous studies including Japanese, Korean, Chinese, and Iranian populations that focused on discontinuous measurement on specific sites, such as SFCT, temporal, or nasal site [8–10, 16, 17].

**Fig. 4** Delineation of the choroidal contour in two cases. **Case 1:** 61-year-old participant (error: 4.9 pixels). **Case 2:** 38-year-old participant (error: 17.2 pixels). **a** Green outline indicates the contour from physician sketch for case 1, with a mean choroidal thickness of 150.7 μm; **b** red outline represents the deep learning model prediction of case 1, with a mean choroidal thickness of 136.8 μm; **c** green outline indicates the contour from the physician’s sketch of case 2, with a choroidal thickness of 298.7 μm; and **d** red outline represents the deep learning model prediction of case 2, with a choroidal thickness of 221.3 μm. The relatively imprecise contour of the choroidal outer boundary is noted.
In addition, our study revealed no significant correlation between refractive error and choroidal thickness in our participants, for whom the refractive error was between \(-6.0\) and \(+3.0\) D. This finding is in line with the results of reported studies [16, 19, 20]. Furthermore, on the basis of a multiple regression analysis, Ikuno et al. reported that axial length was not significantly associated with choroidal thickness \((p = 0.22)\) [16]. On the other hand, most participants in our study denied systemic diseases or smoking history, whereas hypertension was reported in four subjects (five eyes), and diabetes mellitus (DM) was also noted in four subjects (five eyes) in total 57 participants (68 eyes). We had confirmed that no hypertensive retinopathy or diabetic change was noted in these cases. Insignificant correlation between choroidal thickness and hypertension had been reported previously [21, 22]. Between healthy group and DM patients without diabetic retinopathy or with mild non-proliferative retinopathy, no significant difference of choroidal thickness had been documented [23, 24]. Therefore, our finding was still reliable despite few cases with hypertension or DM.

For our Mask R-CNN model predictions, the mean choroidal thickness was \(198.3 \pm 58.4\) μm, which was lower than that obtained using physician sketches \((229.5 \pm 70.6\) μm). The error observed for our model \((8.56\) pixels\) is slightly higher than the average error \((4.56\) pixels\) observed for a previously proposed model [15]. We noticed that the prediction error was higher in the 20–39-year group, with thicker choroids, than in the other two groups. Figure 4 also depicts the difficulty in accurately delineating the choroidal outer boundary around the CSI when a thicker choroid is presented. We speculate that prediction accuracy could be affected in cases with thicker choroids. Possible reasons are that the quality and visibility of the CSI could be poorer in images of thicker choroids. In addition, the training and testing datasets comprised images of subjects aged from 21 to 79 years, for whom the choroidal thickness and morphology varied; such variations might engender challenges in accurately predicting the choroidal thickness. Further analysis demonstrated that the average prediction error observed for subjects with choroidal thicknesses of more than 280 μm was significantly higher and more variable than that observed for those with choroidal thicknesses of less than 280 μm. Specifically, the prediction error was 6.49 pixels in subjects with choroidal thicknesses of less than 280 μm, and this value was not related to the change in choroidal thickness. This finding indicates that the proposed deep learning model is reliable and applicable under this condition. However, in subjects with choroidal thicknesses of greater than 280 μm, the prediction error varied, and prediction error was positively correlated with choroidal thickness. This demonstrates that the proposed model is more suitable for images from subjects with choroidal thicknesses that do not exceed 280 μm. Previous studies have demonstrated that the successful measurement rate decreased as SFCT increased. A previous study reported that EDI-OCT [25] appeared to improve the successful measurement rate, but obvious differences existed between thinner and thicker choroids [26]. Therefore, for subjects with extremely thick choroids and pachychoroid diseases, such as PCV or CSCR, their findings and data should be interpreted cautiously.

The choroid is stratified into three layers: the choriocapillaris with small vessels in the superficial layer; Sattler’s layer with medium-sized vessels in the middle; and Haller’s layer, the outer layer with large vessels. Choroidal morphology and thickness are influenced by not only physiological changes, but also pathological factors [27]. Tissue water content and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) are possible factors contributing to reduced choroidal thickness in healthy elderly people. A previous study that applied a water-drinking test revealed that choroidal thickness expanded when the amount of water in the body increased [28]. Considering that the amount and proportion of water in the body gradually decrease with age, this may explain the findings in our study. In addition, the RPE secretes VEGF toward the basal side of the choroid, and it plays an essential role in choroidal development [29]. The VEGF receptors are located in the choriocapillaris. Previous studies have reported that as age increases, the diameter of the choriocapillaris and thickness of the choroid shrink, while the thickness of Bruch’s membrane increases [30, 31]. The accumulation of lipid content with age is considered to cause the thickening of Bruch’s membrane, which possibly occludes the movement of water-soluble agents between the RPE and the choroid. Reduced VEGF secretion into the choriocapillaris may lead to the shrinking of the choroid. In addition to normal aging physiology, the alternation of the choroid has been reported in chorioretinal disease; for
example, Haller’s layer, Sattler’s layer, and choroidal volume or thickness have been reported to be significantly decreased in certain patients with diabetes mellitus or AMD [32, 33]. Reduced choroidal thickness was also noted in retinitis pigmentosa [34].

Previous studies have reported several approaches to evaluating choroidal thickness in different age groups. Such approaches mainly involve manual measurements of choroidal thickness, including SFCT, at a single site or at sites located at different distances (e.g., 1 or 3 mm) from the fovea superiorly, inferiorly, temporally, and nasally. SFCT is generally the highest among the measured sites [9, 10, 16, 17]. However, the mentioned approaches have several potential limitations; for example, they cannot be used to fully evaluate the appearance and shape of the choroid, and the overall thickness might be mis-calculated in a discontinuous or unsmooth choroidal contour. To conduct a thorough examination of choroidal topographic features, Ouyang et al. proposed choroidal thickness mapping under the concept of spatial distribution using SD-OCT [35]. Hirata et al. introduced a swept-source OCT (SS-OCT) approach for choroidal volume mapping [36]. Furthermore, Chhablani et al. reported that EDI-OCT exhibited high repeatability and reproducibility in manual choroidal volume measurements [37]. Choroidal spatial distribution indices (CSDIs), derived from choroidal volume, were proposed for quantifying the choroidal topographic distribution [38].

Some of the aforementioned methods measure only specific sites of the choroid rather than the entire layer, and others require manual choroidal segmentation. This might be a time-consuming and operator-dependent process, making it difficult to build a relatively large population database. Our proposed Mask R-CNN model is advantageous because it is based on deep learning. Our model estimates choroidal thickness by measuring numerous continuous points through automatic segmentation, thus providing an extensive and intact viewpoint for evaluation, in contrast to previously reported approaches. In addition, automatic segmentation and measurement with high accuracy can facilitate the establishment of larger and more extensive databases more rapidly and consistently.

The present study has certain limitations. First, although the data were adequate for training and validation, and the results in each group achieved statistically significance, the number of subjects in each group was not high. Further research with a larger sample size might provide more comprehensive analyses, such as analyses stratified by each decade of age. Second, especially for thicker choroids, precisely delineating the choroidal boundary was challenging, even EDI-OCT was applied in some ambiguous cases. Lower predictive accuracy in thicker choroids has been reported. Furthermore, SS-OCT may address this problem [39–41]. On the other hand, we aimed to investigate the comprehensive choroidal thickness, and our results indicated the average thickness. Thickness over specific region such as SFCT or sites located from fovea nasally or temporally was not evaluated in the study. Further information might be provided in the following research by deep learning model.

The application of the Mask R-CNN model revealed the relationship between overall choroidal thickness and age in this study. Through this model, future studies can compare choroidal morphologies in different axial length or in various ocular diseases, such as AMD, PCV, and CSCR. In combination with the deep learning model, further evaluation might be examined automatically, such as choroidal volume mapping, CSDI, or choroidal vascularity index assessment [42, 43]. In this way, the choroid can be evaluated using detailed and robust information rapidly and reliably.

Overall, by utilizing the Mask R-CNN model for choroidal boundary depiction, our study revealed that the general choroidal thickness decreased with age, indicating a negative correlation with age. The model achieved acceptable predictive accuracy, especially in thinner choroids. We anticipate applying this efficient model in further research with a larger sample size and more heterogeneous population.
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