Ezetimibe combined with standard diet and exercise therapy improves insulin resistance and atherosclerotic markers in patients with metabolic syndrome
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ABSTRACT
Aims/Introduction: Ezetimibe lowers serum lipid levels by inhibiting intestinal absorption of dietary and biliary cholesterol. However, the effect of ezetimibe on insulin resistance remains unclear. The aim of the present study was to examine this issue in patients with metabolic syndrome in local-dwelling Japanese, who were not being treated with lipid-lowering drugs.

Materials and Methods: In 2009, 1,943 participants received a health examination in the Tanushimaru Study, a Japanese cohort of the Seven Countries Study, of whom 490 participants had metabolic syndrome. Among them, 61 participants (41 men and 20 women) were examined in the present study. They were treated with 10 mg of ezetimibe once a day for 24 weeks, combined with standard diet and exercise therapy.

Results: Bodyweight (P < 0.001), body mass index (P < 0.001), systolic blood pressure (P = 0.003), diastolic blood pressure (P < 0.001), triglycerides (P = 0.002), non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (P = 0.001), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (P < 0.001) and homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (P = 0.011) significantly decreased after the observational period. There were no statistically significant differences in the effects of ezetimibe between men and women. Univariate analysis showed that the reduction of homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance was not associated with the improvement of other metabolic components.

Conclusions: Ezetimibe combined with standard diet and exercise therapy improves not only bodyweight and atherogenic lipid profiles, but also insulin resistance, blood pressure and anthropometric factors in metabolic syndrome in local-dwelling Japanese. Interestingly, the improvement of insulin resistance had no correlation with other metabolic components.

INTRODUCTION
Ezetimibe lowers serum lipid levels by inhibiting intestinal absorption of dietary and biliary cholesterol. Its lipid-lowering effects on low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c) are generally consistent in all subgroups ever analyzed, independent of baseline lipid profile, the presence of hypertension or diabetes mellitus and body mass index (BMI)¹. Several clinical studies have reported that combination therapy with ezetimibe and statin strongly reduces LDL-c, remnant-like particle cholesterol (RLP-c) and triglycerides, and increases high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c) in patients with metabolic syndrome or diabetes²–¹⁰. In particular, in patients with metabolic syndrome, combination therapy with ezetimibe and mild statin was significantly more effective than strong statin alone in reducing LDL-c¹¹. It has also been reported that ezetimibe has some pleiotropic effects, such as improvement of inflammatory...
markers, insulin sensitivity, liver dysfunction, endothelial function and metabolic disorders. However, all of these previous studies have been carried out in healthy volunteers or patients with dyslipidemia treated by statin, whereas no attention has been focused on patients with metabolic syndrome, who have not been treated with lipid-lowering drugs. Therefore, we carried out an epidemiological study to elucidate the clinical effects of ezetimibe on insulin resistance and atherosclerotic markers in local-dwelling Japanese.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants and Study Design

In 2009, we carried out physical examinations on the inhabitants of Tanushimaru in Fukuoka (a cohort of the Seven Countries Study). Informed consent was obtained from all of the participants in accordance with the ethics committee guidelines of Kurume University. As previously reported, the demographic backgrounds of the participants in this area are similar to those of the Japanese general population. We examined 1,943 people over the age of 40 years (774 men and 1,169 women), and identified 490 participants with metabolic syndrome. After excluding participants aged over 76 years (n = 125), we further excluded patients treated with lipid-lowering drugs (n = 99) from the present study. We invited those patients with metabolic syndrome (n = 266) who were not being treated with lipid-lowering drugs to participate in the study. Among them, 141 participants visited us for a detailed explanation of the protocol of this study. A total of 76 people declined our proposal, and in the end, 65 participants (43 men and 22 women) were enrolled in the present study. Four participants later dropped out, leaving a total of 61 participants (41 men and 20 women) available for analysis in the present study (Figure 1).

This was a single-arm interventional study without a control group. The enrolled participants were treated with 10 mg ezetimibe once a day for 24 weeks. Standard diet and exercise therapy were recommended during the study period. Height and weight were measured, and BMI was calculated as weight (kilograms) divided by the square of height (square meters) as an index of obesity. Waist circumference was measured at the level of the umbilicus in the standing position. Blood pressure (BP) was measured in the supine position twice at 3-min intervals using an upright standard sphygmomanometer. Vigorous physical activity and smoking were avoided for at least 30 min before BP measurement. The second BP with the fifth-phase diastolic pressure was used for analysis. Carotid intima-media thickness (c-IMT) of the common carotid artery was determined by using duplex ultrasonography (Sonosite”TITAN”, ALOKA, Tokyo, Japan) with a 10-MHz transducer in the supine position. A single well-trained sonographer, who was blinded to the participants’ background, recorded longitudinal B-mode images at the diastolic phase of the cardiac cycle. The images were magnified and printed with a high-resolution line recorder (LSR-100A; Toshiba, Tochigi, Japan). The c-IMT defined by Pignoli et al. was measured as the distance from the leading edge of the first echogenic line to the leading edge of the second echogenic line. The first line represented the lumen-intimal interface; the collagen-containing upper layer of the tunica adventitia formed the second line. At each longitudinal projection, the site of the greatest thickness, including plaque, was sought along the arterial walls nearest the skin and farthest from the skin from the common carotid artery to the internal carotid artery. Three determinations of c-IMT were carried out at the site of the greatest thickness and at two other points, 1 cm upstream and 1 cm downstream from this site. These three determinations were averaged. The greatest value among the six averaged IMTs (3 from the left and 3 from the right) was used as the representative value for each individual. Measurements of c-IMT were carried twice in pre- and post-examinations. BMI, waist and BP were measured once a month.

Blood was drawn from the antecubital vein in the morning after a 12-h fast for determinations of lipids profiles (LDL-c, triglycerides, HDL-c, non-HDL-c and RLP-c), free fatty acid (FFA), fasting plasma glucose (FPG), fasting immune-reactive insulin (IRI), hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c [National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program]), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine and uric acid. Fasting blood samples were centrifuged within 1 h after collection. Serum RLP-c was measured by immune-separation technique (using an immune-affinity gel containing monoclonal antibodies to human apolipoprotein [apo] B-100 and apo A-1). Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was calculated as FPG (mg/dL) x fasting IRI (μU/mL)/405 and used as a marker of insulin resistance. High-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) and white blood cell count (WBC) were measured as inflammatory markers. Aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and γ-glutamyl transpeptidase (γ-GTP) were measured as markers of liver dysfunction, and creatine phosphokinase (CPK) was measured as a marker of ezetimibe side-effects. All of the blood tests were measured in a commercially available laboratory (The Kyodo Igaku Laboratory, Fukuoka, Japan).

Figure 1 | Flow chart of enrolled participants.
According to the new definition by the Japanese Committee for the Diagnostic Criteria of metabolic syndrome in April 2005, we defined metabolic syndrome as the presence of two or more abnormalities in addition to waist circumference (≥85 cm in men and ≥90 cm in women). Other abnormalities examined were dyslipidemia, hypertension and glucose intolerance/diabetes mellitus. Dyslipidemia in metabolic syndrome was defined as plasma triglycerides ≥150 mg/dL, or HDL <40 mg/dL in men or 50 mg/dL in women. Glucose intolerance/diabetes mellitus was diagnosed by the use of antidiabetic drugs and/or fasting glucose ≥110 mg/dL. Hypertension was diagnosed by the use of antihypertensive drugs and/or systolic blood pressure ≥130 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥85 mmHg.

Statistical Analysis
Results are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. Variables that were not normally distributed and/or showed homogeneity of variances were analyzed by the Mann–Whitney test for independent samples. Normally distributed variables were analyzed using a paired t-test. To determine factors influencing anthropometric and laboratory findings before and after ezetimibe treatment, paired t-test was carried out in all participants, and then the association was analyzed separately in men and women. The association between the reduction of HOMA-IR and metabolic components was tested using multiple regression analysis. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were carried out using SAS version 9.3 (SAS Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

RESULTS
The 61 participants consisted of 41 men and 20 women with a mean age of 63.7 ± 8.1 years in men and 63.3 ± 9.6 years in women (Table 1). The mean BMI was 27.2 ± 2.7 kg/m² in men and 29.0 ± 4.4 kg/m² in women. The mean waist circumference was 95.0 ± 6.4 cm in men and 101.6 ± 10.5 cm in women. The mean LDL-c was 128.1 ± 36.2 mg/dL in men and 136.8 ± 35.1 mg/dL in women, respectively.

Bodyweight (P < 0.001), BMI (P < 0.001), systolic (P = 0.003) and diastolic (P < 0.001) BPs were significantly decreased in all participants after 24 weeks of ezetimibe treatment (Table 2). Serum levels of triglycerides (P = 0.002), non-HDL-c (P = 0.001), LDL-c (P < 0.001) and HOMA-IR (P = 0.011) also decreased significantly after the observational period (Table 3). In men (n = 41), the anthropometric data showed that ezetimibe treatment significantly decreased systolic (P = 0.001) and diastolic (P < 0.001) BPs (Table 4). Although bodyweight, BMI or waist circumference were variable before and after the observational period, the treatment significantly decreased serum levels of triglycerides (P = 0.006), non-HDL-c (P = 0.002), LDL-c (P = 0.002), IRI (P = 0.002) and HOMA-IR (P = 0.001; Table 5).

Table 1 | Characteristics of study participants

|                        | Men (n = 41) | Women (n = 20) |
|------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Age (years)            | 63.7 ± 8.1  | 63.3 ± 9.6    |
| BMI (kg/m²)            | 27.2 ± 2.7  | 29.0 ± 4.4    |
| Waist circumference (cm)| 95.0 ± 6.4  | 101.6 ± 10.5  |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)| 138.1 ± 12.9 | 133.3 ± 14.9  |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)| 87.3 ± 10.4  | 80.9 ± 8.6    |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL)  | 191.4 ± 96.1| 169.4 ± 87.3  |
| HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)| 46.5 ± 10.5 | 57.4 ± 18.6   |
| Non-HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)| 185.5 ± 95.6 | 208.8 ± 153.7 |
| LDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)| 128.1 ± 36.2| 136.8 ± 35.1  |
| Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dL)| 108.5 ± 28.5 | 100.4 ± 14.7  |
| Hemoglobin A1c (%)     | 5.7 ± 1.1   | 5.7 ± 0.4     |
| Insulin (uU/mL)*       | 15.6 (2.7-119.2) | 11.8 (2.6-49.9) |
| HOMA-IR*               | 6.0 (0.68-40.2) | 3.0 (0.7-10.2) |
| Medication for hypertension | 20 (48.8%)  | 2 (4.9%)      |
| Medication for diabetes mellitus | 13 (65.0%) | 2 (10.0%)    |

*Data are means ± standard deviation, geometric mean and range. These variables are shown in the original scale after analysis using log (natural)-transformed values. BMI, body mass index; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment insulin resistance; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

In women (n = 20), the anthropometric data showed that ezetimibe treatment significantly decreased only diastolic BP (P = 0.005; Table 6). Although bodyweight, BMI or waist circumference were variable before and after the observational period, the treatment significantly decreased serum levels of triglycerides (P = 0.038), non-HDL-c (P = 0.005), LDL-c (P = 0.001) and HOMA-IR (P = 0.047; Table 7). There were no statistically significant differences between men and women in the effects of ezetimibe (data not shown).

When the patients were divided into high- and low-HOMA-IR groups using the median of HOMA-IR, the high-HOMA-IR group (n = 30) showed a dramatically significant decrease (P = 0.007) of HOMA-IR, whereas the low-HOMA-IR group (n = 31) showed a mild but significant, increase (P = 0.033; Figure 2). Univariate regression analysis showed that BMI, waist circumference, systolic and diastolic BPs, LDL-c, triglycerides, HDL-c, and non-HDL-c were not significantly associated with the reduction of HOMA-IR (Table 8). In the high HOMA-IR group, there were also no significant associations (Table 9). Furthermore, none of the metabolic components (hypertension, dyslipidemia and diabetes mellitus) affected the reduction of HOMA-IR (Table 10). The characteristics of the high-HOMA-IR group are shown in Table 11. BMI (P = 0.002), diastolic BP (<0.001), triglycerides (P = 0.024), non-HDL-c (P < 0.001) and LDL-c (P < 0.001) were significantly decreased after the observational period.

DISCUSSION
The major novel findings of the present study are that 24 weeks of ezetimibe treatment combined with standard diet...
Many investigators have shown that ezetimibe ameliorated atherogenic lipid profiles \(^3\) - \(^8,10\) - \(^12\); however, there are only a few reports regarding anthropometric data, and the results were inconsistent \(^13,14,29\). Although it has been shown that ezetimibe did not significantly change body weight, waist circumference and BMI \(^13,27\), Yagi et al. \(^14\) have shown that ezetimibe treatment significantly reduced body weight, BMI, waist circumference and blood pressure, as also shown in the present study. It has been previously shown that ezetimibe markedly reduced visceral fat assessed by abdominal computed tomography scan \(^28\), suggesting that ezetimibe might play a unique role in some specific populations, such as those with metabolic syndrome \(^4,4,27,29\).

Our present study showed that ezetimibe combined with standard diet and exercise therapy also ameliorated insulin resistance in addition to lipid profiles. This has been previously demonstrated in an experimental study, which indicated that ezetimibe improved insulin resistance in Zucker fatty rats, a model of obesity \(^30\). It has also been reported that combination therapy with low-dose statin (pravastatin) and ezetimibe improved insulin resistance markedly better than high-dose pravastatin monotherapy \(^31\), which suggested that the combined lipid-lowering therapy might be more favorable in high-risk patients with dyslipidemia and glucose intolerance. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that ezetimibe significantly reduced the fasting insulin level (\(-12.8\%\) reduction) and HbA1c.

### Table 2 | Anthropometric data before and after ezetimibe treatments

|                          | Baseline | 24 weeks | \(P\)-value |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|-------------|
| Body weight (kg)         | 73.0 ± 10.4 | 71.4 ± 10.2 | <0.001 |
| BMI (kg/m\(^2\))         | 27.8 ± 3.4 | 27.3 ± 3.4 | <0.001 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 97.1 ± 8.5 | 95.8 ± 7.8 | 0.076 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 136.5 ± 136 | 130.8 ± 121 | 0.003 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 85.2 ± 10.2 | 77.1 ± 9.3 | <0.001 |
| Carotid IMT (left)       | 0.75 ± 0.17 | 0.77 ± 0.13 | 0.085 |
| Carotid IMT (right)      | 0.74 ± 0.15 | 0.75 ± 0.16 | 0.244 |

BMI, body mass index; IMT, intimal-media thickness.

### Table 3 | Laboratory findings before and after ezetimibe treatments

|                    | Baseline | 24 weeks | \(P\)-value (95% CI) |
|--------------------|----------|----------|---------------------|
| AST (IU/L)         | 25.0 ± 11.3 | 26.8 ± 16.7 | 0.350 |
| ALT (IU/L)         | 261.1 ± 147 | 268.1 ± 149 | 0.501 |
| \(\gamma\)-GTP (IU/L) | 54.9 ± 77.6 | 46.9 ± 63.9 | 0.054 |
| CPK (IU/L)         | 143.5 ± 69.1 | 138.2 ± 81.9 | 0.726 |
| BUN (mg/dL)        | 166.6 ± 5.0 | 173.3 ± 5.1 | 0.323 |
| Creatinine (mg/dL) | 0.81 ± 0.25 | 0.81 ± 0.26 | 0.324 |
| Uric acid (mg/dL)  | 5.94 ± 1.46 | 5.95 ± 1.75 | 0.801 |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL)* | 188.7 (52–376) | 155.6 (54–777) | 0.002 (1.05 to 61.57) |
| HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) | 50.0 ± 14.4 | 51.2 ± 15.6 | 0.444 |
| Non HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) | 165.5 ± 37.1 | 134.8 ± 31.9 | 0.001 |
| LDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) | 131.8 ± 33.4 | 105.7 ± 28.4 | <0.001 |
| Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dL) | 105.3 ± 25.4 | 108.1 ± 28.2 | 0.506 |
| Hemoglobin Alc (%)  | 5.77 ± 0.61 | 5.69 ± 0.79 | 0.651 |
| Insulin (mU/mL)*    | 145.0 (26–119.2) | 120.0 (24–270) | 0.211 (−1.87 to 7.67) |
| HOMA-IR*           | 4.21 (0.65–402) | 3.08 (0.53–14.2) | 0.011 (−0.84 to 3.42) |
| White blood cell count (x10\(^3\)/mm\(^3\)) | 6118 ± 1430 | 5710 ± 1316 | 0.403 |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL)   | 14.3 ± 1.6 | 14.4 ± 1.7 | 0.324 |
| Platelet (x10\(^9\)/mm\(^3\)) | 227 ± 4.8 | 228 ± 5.3 | 0.540 |
| High sensitivity CRP (mg/dL)* | 0.13 (0.011–2.000) | 0.11 (0.010–1.000) | 0.263 (−0.04 to 0.78) |
| RLP-c (mg/dL)*      | 6.06 (16.1–18.9) | 7.52 (19.4–53) | 0.529 (−2.12 to 2.82) |
| Free fatty acid (mEq/dL) | 0.55 ± 0.28 | 0.47 ± 0.23 | 0.259 |

*Data are means ± standard deviation, geometric mean and range. These variables are shown in the original scale after analysis using log (natural)-transformed values. \(\gamma\)-GTP, \(\gamma\)-glutamyl transpeptidase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; CI, confidence interval; CPK, creatine phosphokinase; CRP, C-reactive protein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; RLP-c, remnant-like particle cholesterol.
It has been demonstrated that ezetimibe monotherapy ameliorates vascular function in patients with hypercholesterolemia through decreasing oxidative stress\(^3\), and that sex hormone concentrations are inversely associated with markers of inflammation and oxidative stress in men\(^3\). In the present study, IRI levels and HOMA-IR are higher in men than in women. We found no statistically significant sex differences in the effects of ezetimibe in anthropometric and laboratory data, probably because of the limited number of enrolled participants. Future studies with large numbers of patients are required to clarify any sex differences in the effects of ezetimibe, particularly with respect to sex hormone concentrations and oxidative stress.

In the present study, we confirmed that ezetimibe improves insulin resistance by reducing IRI and HOMA-IR in men and HOMA-IR in women. In particular, HOMA-IR was dramatically and significantly decreased in the high HOMA-IR group, as shown in Figure 2. Furthermore, none of the metabolic components affected the reduction of HOMA-IR (Table 10). In the present study population, we also recommended the standard diet and exercise therapies. To exclude the effects of the standard diet and exercise therapies, we examined the additional data in our other cohort. We enrolled 60 participants, who received health check-up examinations in our cohort carried out during 2001 and 2003 in Uku town in Japan, who took no medicine and who were recommended to follow stan-

### Table 4 | Anthropometric data before and after ezetimibe treatments in men

|                      | Baseline       | 24 weeks      | P-value |
|----------------------|----------------|---------------|---------|
| Body weight (kg)     | 74.8 ± 7.9     | 73.0 ± 7.7    | 0.150   |
| BMI (kg/m\(^2\))     | 27.2 ± 2.7     | 26.7 ± 2.5    | 0.193   |
| Waist circumference  | 95.0 ± 6.4     | 94.0 ± 6.3    | 0.039   |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 138.1 ± 12.9 | 130.7 ± 15.2 | 0.001   |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 87.3 ± 10.4 | 79.0 ± 8.9  | 0.001   |
| Carotid IMT (left)   | 0.75 ± 0.17    | 0.78 ± 0.13   | 0.186   |
| Carotid IMT (right)  | 0.74 ± 0.16    | 0.78 ± 0.18   | 0.145   |

BMI, body mass index; IMT, intimal-media thickness.

(\(-3.4\% \) reduction)\(^2\); however, results have been inconsistent. Kikuchi et al.\(^3\) reported that ezetimibe restored the postprandial dysregulation of lipid, but did not affect glucose metabolism in a double-blind randomized cross-over trial.

As it has been reported that statin therapy increased the risk of diabetes\(^3\), it is important to consider whether monotherapy (statin only) or combined therapy (ezetimibe plus statin) has a more favorable effect in patients with dyslipidemia complicated with glucose intolerance, as in metabolic syndrome with regard to insulin resistance. As shown in the present study, ezetimibe is a prime candidate for use in patients with metabolic syndrome and underlying insulin resistance.

### Table 5 | Laboratory findings before and after ezetimibe treatments in men

|                   | Baseline       | 24 weeks      | P-value (95% CI) |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| AST (IU/L)        | 26.4 ± 12.9    | 25.8 ± 9.2    | 0.405           |
| ALT (IU/L)        | 27.9 ± 16.2    | 27.5 ± 13.7   | 0.452           |
| γ-GTP (IU/L)      | 68.2 ± 906     | 58.2 ± 756    | 0.294           |
| CPK (IU/L)        | 151.6 ± 743    | 1484 ± 87.1   | 0.429           |
| BUN (mg/dL)       | 163 ± 49       | 171 ± 45      | 0.222           |
| Creatinine (mg/dL)| 0.88 ± 0.25    | 0.90 ± 0.26   | 0.362           |
| Uric acid (mg/dL) | 6.35 ± 12.2    | 6.48 ± 15.5   | 0.337           |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL)* | 172.4 (52–376) | 1439 (56–777) | 0.006 (–14.4 to 65.4) |
| HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) | 46.5 ± 10.5 | 47.3 ± 11.5  | 0.372           |
| Non HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) | 185.5 ± 95.6 | 1393 ± 30.7   | 0.002           |
| LDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) | 128.1 ± 36.2 | 1060 ± 29.8  | 0.002           |
| Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dL) | 108.5 ± 28.5 | 1064 ± 26.7  | 0.366           |
| Hemoglobin A1c (%) | 5.7 ± 1.1      | 5.6 ± 0.7     | 0.312           |
| Insulin (µU/mL)*  | 15.6 (2.7–119.2)| 7.8 (2.4–49.4)| 0.002 (–3.27 to 9.52) |
| HOMA-IR*          | 6.00 (0.68–40.2)| 2.14 (0.53–14.2)| 0.001 (–1.43 to 5.02) |
| White blood cell count (×10\(^3\)/mm\(^3\)) | 6356 ± 1438 | 5966 ± 1185 | 0.009 |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL) | 15.0 ± 1.4     | 15.0 ± 1.6    | 0.500           |
| Platelet (×10\(^3\)/mm\(^3\)) | 22.3 ± 4.1 | 22.8 ± 4.6 | 0.302 |
| High sensitivity CRP (mg/dL)* | 0.09 (0.011–1.200) | 0.07 (0.010–1.000) | 0.152 (–0.05 to 0.12) |
| RLP-c (mg/dL)*    | 5.15 (1.6–18.9)| 6.11 (1.9–45.3)| 0.376 (–3.12 to 3.42) |
| Free fatty acid (mEq/L) | 0.53 ± 0.28 | 0.47 ± 0.23  | 0.146           |

*Data are means ± standard deviation, geometric mean and range. These variables are shown in the original scale after analysis using log (natural)-transformed values. γ-GTP, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; CI, confidence interval; CPK, creatine phosphokinase; CRP, Creative protein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; RLP-c, remnant-like particle cholesterol.
Table 6 | Anthropometric data before and after ezetimibe treatments in women

|                      | Baseline          | 24 weeks        | P-value |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Body weight (kg)     | 69.5 ± 13.7       | 68.3 ± 13.6     | 0.391   |
| BMI (kg/m²)          | 29.0 ± 4.4        | 28.5 ± 4.7      | 0.365   |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 101.6 ± 10.5     | 99.6 ± 9.6      | 0.267   |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 133.3 ± 14.9 | 129.2 ± 11.7    | 0.170   |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 80.9 ± 8.6     | 73.4 ± 9.0      | 0.005   |
| Carotid IMT (left)   | 0.75 ± 0.17       | 0.75 ± 0.12     | 0.500   |
| Carotid IMT (right)  | 0.72 ± 0.14       | 0.69 ± 0.10     | 0.220   |

BMI, body mass index; IMT, intimal-media thickness.

Figure 2 | Differential improvement of homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) between high- and low-HOMA-IR groups. When the patients are divided into high- and low-HOMA-IR groups by using the median of HOMA-IR, the high group (n = 30) shows a dramatically significant decrease of HOMA-IR, whereas the low group (n = 31) shows a more modest, but still significant, increase of HOMA-IR.

Table 7 | Laboratory findings before and after ezetimibe treatments in women

|                      | Baseline          | 24 weeks        | P-value (95% CI) |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| AST (IU/L)           | 21.9 ± 6.6        | 28.8 ± 25.9     | 0.128            |
| ALT (IU/L)           | 226 ± 10.5        | 254 ± 17.2      | 0.269            |
| γ-GTP (IU/L)         | 276 ± 23.5        | 250 ± 16.0      | 0.348            |
| CPK (IU/L)           | 124.5 ± 52.0      | 1184 ± 68.4     | 0.376            |
| BUN (mg/dL)          | 173 ± 5.0         | 177 ± 6.3       | 0.413            |
| Creatinine (mg/dL)   | 0.67 ± 0.19       | 0.63 ± 0.15     | 0.232            |
| Uric acid (mg/dL)    | 5.11 ± 1.57       | 4.93 ± 1.69     | 0.365            |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL) | 149.9 (64–371)   | 1089 (54–443)   | 0.038 (4.19 to 90.71) |
| HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) | 574 ± 186       | 589 ± 196      | 0.403            |
| Non HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) | 159.8 ± 43.7  | 128.2 ± 27.4   | 0.005            |
| LDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) | 136.8 ± 35.1   | 105.1 ± 26.4   | 0.001            |
| Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dL) | 100.4 ± 14.7 | 111.4 ± 31.2   | 0.081            |
| Hemoglobin Alc (%)   | 5.7 ± 0.4         | 5.6 ± 1.0       | 0.340            |
| Insulin (µU/mL)*     | 11.8 (26–49.9)    | 9.2 (3.5–110)   | 0.140 (4.59 to 941) |
| HOMA-IR*             | 3.01 (0.7–10.2)   | 2.11 (0.87–7.22) | 0.047 (<1.14–1.84) |
| White blood cell count (x10³/mm³) | 5628 ± 1316  | 5213 ± 1444   | 0.174            |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL)    | 13.1 ± 1.0        | 13.0 ± 1.0      | 0.377            |
| Platelet (x10⁹/mm³)  | 23.7 ± 6.1        | 22.9 ± 6.5      | 0.345            |
| High sensitivity CRP (mg/dL)* | 0.14 (0.023–0.194) | 0.13 (0.014–0.495) | 0.356 (<0.07 to 0.39) |
| RLP-c (mg/dL)*       | 7.12 (2.1–14.7)   | 7.47 (1.9–22.3) | 0.421 (<4.11 to 5.87) |
| Free fatty acid (mEq/L) | 0.58 ± 0.29    | 0.49 ± 0.23     | 0.142            |

*Data are means ± standard deviation, geometric mean and range. These variables are shown in the original scale after analysis using log (natural)-transformed values. γ-GTP, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; CI, confidence interval; CPK, creatine phosphokinase; CRP, C-reactive protein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; RLP-c, remnant-like particle cholesterol.

standard diet and exercise therapies. Their HOMA-IR was 1.21 ± 0.84 at baseline in 2001 and 1.31 ± 0.87 at 2-year follow up in 2003. In this added data, there was no significant change in the insulin resistance (P = 0.272), suggesting that standard diet and exercise therapies have no significant effects on insulin resistance. However, the relationship between ezetimibe and the improvement of insulin resistance is still controversial. One of the potential mechanisms by which ezetimibe is able to improve insulin resistance is to inhibit the absorption of oxidized cholesterol. Another potential mechanism could be the improvement of postprandial hyperlipidemia, because visceral fat cells store triglycerides under conditions of excessive calorie intake, and release free fatty acids and triglycerides after lipolysis under exercise and/or fasting conditions, which is able to affect insulin resistance. It has been reported that ezetimibe combined with statin therapy improved insulin resistance,
Table 8 | Univariate regression analysis for the reduction of homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance

| Metabolic components | β   | SE  | P-value |
|----------------------|-----|-----|---------|
| BMI (kg/m²)          | 1.279 | 1.075 | 0.240 |
| Waist (cm)           | 0.281 | 0.231 | 0.229 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | -0.032 | 0.071 | 0.648 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 0.039 | 0.095 | 0.683 |
| LDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) | -0.046 | 0.051 | 0.375 |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL)* | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.454 |
| HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) | -0.144 | 0.113 | 0.209 |
| Non-HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) | -0.026 | 0.045 | 0.563 |

BMI, body mass index; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.
*These variables are shown in the original scale after analysis using log (natural)-transformed values.

Table 9 | Univariate regression analysis for the reduction of homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance in the high homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance group

| Metabolic components | β   | SE  | P-value |
|----------------------|-----|-----|---------|
| BMI (kg/m²)          | 1.753 | 2.192 | 0.433 |
| Waist (cm)           | 0.421 | 0.416 | 0.322 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | -0.001 | 0.128 | 0.998 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 0.095 | 0.202 | 0.643 |
| LDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) | -0.059 | 0.094 | 0.535 |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL)* | 0.009 | 0.014 | 0.536 |
| HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) | -0.361 | 0.262 | 0.182 |
| Non-HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) | -0.051 | 0.077 | 0.510 |

BMI, body mass index; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.
*These variables are shown in the original scale after analysis using log (natural)-transformed values.

Table 10 | Difference of metabolic factors before and after ezetimibe treatments for the reduction of homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance

| Metabolic factors | HOMA-IR at baseline | HOMA-IR at 24 weeks |  P-value |
|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Hypertension (n = 57) | 2.10                | 2.11                | 0.879   |
| Dyslipidemia (n = 37) | 2.46                | 2.33                | 0.556   |
| Diabetes mellitus (n = 21) | 4.04                | 3.42                | 0.622   |

HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance.

although the effect was not correlated with a decrease in the LDL-c level, and that the effect of ezetimibe on the improvement of insulin resistance was shown only in ezetimibe monotherapy, but not in combined therapy with statin. Taken together, ezetimibe monotherapy rather than combined therapy might be favorable to reduce LDL-c or non-HDL-c in regard to glucose intolerance, especially in patients with higher levels of HOMA-IR.

Several limitations should be mentioned for the present study. First, our study was a single-arm interventional study without a control group. Without a control group, the effect observed cannot be totally attributed to the intervention alone. There might have been a placebo or Hawthorne effect and regression to the mean. Second, the present study was carried out in Japan, where the incidence of obesity is low compared with Caucasians. Third, the number of attendants and the period of follow up might have been insufficient to elucidate the role of ezetimibe. Fourth, in the low-HOMA-IR group, the inhibition of the absorption of intra-intestinal lipid might not work in insulin resistance. The mechanisms are not still clear. Fifth, in the present study, there was no significant change in IMT by ezetimibe treatment, probably because of the limited number of participants with a short observational period. Sixth, high FPG overestimates HOMA-IR calculation, which might affect the results in the present study. Nevertheless, the pleiotropic effect of the monotherapy treatment is striking, and deserves further investigation. Additional studies of ezetimibe with a large sample size examining predictive measures of major atherosclerotic diseases are required. In conclusion, ezetimibe combined with standard diet and exercise therapy improves not only bodyweight and atherogenic lipid profiles, but also insulin resistance, blood pressure and anthropometric factors in metabolic syndrome in local-dwelling Japanese. Interestingly, the improvement of insulin resistance has no correlation with that of other metabolic components.
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