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SUMMARY

There is increasing evidence that the architecture of long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs)—just like that of proteins—is hierarchically organized into independently folding sub-modules with distinct functions. Studies characterizing the cellular activities of such modules, however, are rare. The lncRNA growth arrest specific 5 (GAS5) is a key regulator of cell survival in response to stress and nutrient availability. We use SHAPE-MaP to probe the structure of GAS5 and identify three separate structural modules that act independently in leukemic T cells. The 5’ terminal module with low secondary structure content affects basal survival and slows the cell cycle, whereas the highly structured core module mediates the effects of mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibition on cell growth. These results highlight the central role of GAS5 in regulating cell survival and reveal how a single lncRNA transcript utilizes a modular structure-function relationship to respond to a variety of cellular stresses under various cellular conditions.

INTRODUCTION

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) represent a broad class of transcripts with critical roles in all aspects of cellular biology and a wide spectrum of molecular functions (Kopp and Mendell, 2018). They are aberrantly expressed in various cancers, are key players in tumor development and progression, and are linked to resistance against chemotherapy, demonstrating their potential as biomarkers and therapeutic targets (Arun et al., 2018). Determining the specific molecular function of individual lncRNAs, however, has proven difficult, and the mechanisms of most lncRNAs remain uncharacterized.

Structural studies of lncRNAs can provide valuable insight into their functional properties. Focused, in-depth secondary structure analyses of individual lncRNAs, for example, have identified modular architectures with distinct sub-domains (Hawkes et al., 2016; Ilik et al., 2013; Novikova et al., 2012; Smola et al., 2016; Somarowthu et al., 2015). Few studies, however, have tested if these sub-domains function independently in cells (Quinn et al., 2014; Uroda et al., 2019; Chilhon and Pyle, 2016).

The growth arrest-specific 5 (GAS5) gene encodes a lncRNA that was identified in a subtraction cDNA library enriched for RNA sequences preferentially expressed in growth-arrested cells (Schneider et al., 1988). Later studies showed that it has modular architectures with distinct sub-domains (Hawkes et al., 2016; Ilik et al., 2013; Novikova et al., 2012; Smola et al., 2016; Somarowthu et al., 2015). Few studies, however, have tested if these sub-domains function independently in cells (Quinn et al., 2014; Uroda et al., 2019; Chilhon and Pyle, 2016).

The growth arrest-specific 5 (GAS5) gene encodes a lncRNA that was identified in a subtraction cDNA library enriched for RNA sequences preferentially expressed in growth-arrested cells (Schneider et al., 1988). Later studies showed that it is required for normal growth arrest, slows down the cell cycle (Mourtada-Maarabouni et al., 2008), and controls apoptosis (Kino et al., 2010; Mourtada-Maarabouni et al., 2010; Pickard et al., 2013). In agreement with this role in inhibiting cell proliferation, GAS5 expression is reduced in numerous cancers, including breast, lung, gastric, pancreatic, bladder, and prostate cancer, as well as renal cell carcinoma, B cell lymphoma, and leukemia (Yu and Li, 2015).

The GAS5 gene contains a number of intronic small nucleolar RNAs (snorRNAs) involved in ribosome biogenesis (Smith and Steitz, 1998). It is therefore highly transcribed in all tissue types and is one of the most highly expressed lncRNAs in the genome (Gibb et al., 2011). Like many other lncRNAs, the GAS5 RNA is spliced and polyadenylated, and it associates with ribosomes (Coccia et al., 1992; Renganathan et al., 2014). In actively dividing cells, GAS5 levels are kept low by non-sense mediated decay (NMD) (Mourtada-Maarabouni and Williams, 2013; Smith and Steitz, 1998), a process requiring active translation (Isken and Maquat, 2007). Upon exposure to stress such as density arrest or nutrient deprivation, translation is inhibited, and GAS5 levels increase (Coccia et al., 1992; Fleming et al., 1998). Under these conditions, GAS5 exerts its negative effects on cell proliferation and survival.

The mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway is a central hub for regulating cell growth in response to intra- and extracellular signals, such as growth factors and mitogens or the availability of nutrients and ATP. Inhibition of mTOR by rapamycin results in inhibition of cell proliferation, and GAS5 is required for this effect in human immune cells (Mourtada-Maarabouni et al., 2010). These observations establish GAS5 as a central...
cellular regulator responding to various stress signals by inhibiting cell growth. However, mechanistic insight into the function of the GAS5 IncRNA is limited.

At its 3’ terminal end (nucleotides 546–566), GAS5 contains a predicted stem-loop structure that specifically interacts with steroid receptors (SRs) and blocks DNA-dependent steroid signaling (Hudson et al., 2014; Kino et al., 2010). In steroid-sensitive cancer cells, such as prostate cancers, this SR binding motif is responsible for GAS5 effects on cell growth (Hudson et al., 2014). This is not true in other cell types, however, where proliferation is not strongly dependent on SR signaling. Therefore, other regions in GAS5 must be active and use different mechanisms to regulate cell survival.

Here, we use Selective 2’ Hydroxyl Acylation analyzed by Primer Extension by Mutational Probing (SHAPE-MaP) chemical probing to analyze the secondary structure of GAS5 in vitro and in cellulo. We find that the secondary structure of endogenous GAS5 resembles that of in vitro transcribed GAS5 RNA. The molecule contains three separate structural modules: a 5’ module with low secondary structure content; a highly structured core module; and the SR binding module, which forms separate from the rest of the molecule close to its 3’ end. Functional studies in leukemic T cells show that the 5’ module mediates GAS5’s role in inhibiting basal cell survival and slowing the cell cycle, whereas the core module is required for mediating the effects of mTOR inhibition. These results confirm that the GAS5 structural modules function independently in cells, and each module acts under different cellular conditions, likely using different molecular mechanisms.

RESULTS

In Vitro SHAPE-MaP Identifies Three Structural Modules within GAS5

We used SHAPE-MaP with 1-methyl-7-nitroisatoic anhydride (1M7) to probe the secondary structure of in vitro transcribed GAS5 IncRNA (ENST00000450589.5). Modified RNA was reverse transcribed and amplified for sequencing by two overlapping PCRs of 400 nucleotides in length each (Figure S1). We obtained sequencing read depths of ~100,000–200,000 per nucleotide and mutation rates of modified samples of ~0.1% (Figure S1). The resulting mutation profiles allowed us to determine accurate SHAPE reactivities for GAS5 nucleotides 1–599 (Figure 1A; SHAPE reactivities and pairing probabilities). SHAPE reactivities in the overlap between the two PCR products determined in separate analyses showed good agreement (Spearman R = 0.79; Figure S1). Independent experiments performed either on the same day (Spearman R = 0.88) or several months apart also showed good agreement (Spearman R = 0.81; Figure S1).

The SHAPE reactivity profile of GAS5 shows the typical pattern of defined regions of low and high reactivities corresponding to low and high nucleotide flexibility (Figure 1B). The resulting GAS5 structure model identified a modular architecture with three separate sub-modules (Figures 1B and 1C). Interestingly, the short hairpin containing the SR binding motif is formed as predicted previously (Hudson et al., 2014; Kino et al., 2010) and is located at the 3’ terminus as an independent structural module that is separated from the rest of the molecule. This is consistent with the evolutionary origin of this element. It arose in the haplorhine lineage from an Alu insertion at the GAS5 intron11/exon12 boundary (Hudson et al., 2014). Hence, it is not present in, for example, mice, and it appears to have been added as an independent structural and functional module late in evolution. This observation is reminiscent of the modular architecture and function of proteins, in which domains are combined in a mix-and-match fashion to create large, multi-domain proteins with diverse functions. In analogy, the addition of the SR binding motif expanded the functional repertoire of GAS5.

A second structural module at the 5’ terminus of GAS5 is characterized by high SHAPE reactivities reflecting low secondary structure content with mostly short stretches of base-paired regions (nucleotides 1–170; 78 out of 170 nucleotides predicted to be base paired, 46%). This module coincides with GAS5’s putative open reading frame (nucleotides 31–183; Smith and Steitz, 1998), and the low structural content is consistent with efficient translation initiation as well as elongation and might explain the rapid and efficient degradation of the message by NMD. Low secondary structure content also facilitates binding to miR-21 and miR-135, the binding sites of which are located within this module (Hu et al., 2016; Song et al., 2014; Xue et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2013). Finally, the single-stranded RNA stretches in this region are also ideal for interaction with other complementary nucleic acids such as genomic DNA or nascent RNAs. These are common targets for IncRNA regulation via the recruitment of protein factor such as chromatin regulatory proteins.

The central region, downstream of the open reading frame and upstream of the SR binding motif, constitutes the third structural module of GAS5. It has a relatively high secondary structure content (nucleotides 172–540; 250 out of 369 nucleotides predicted to be base paired, 68%). This structured core contains 12 helices (core helices cH1–cH12), 9 stem loops, 2 three-way junctions, and a multi-way junction connecting helices cH4 to cH11 (Figure 1C). The high secondary structure content suggests this module forms a compact core and may act as a scaffold to recruit protein interaction partners via distinct binding surfaces.

For some nucleotides in some of the core module helices (in particular, cH2, cH7, and cH10), we measured high SHAPE reactivities (Figures 1B and 1C). SHAPE experiments do not distinguish well between structural flexibility and the presence of multiple conformations in an RNA. Highly SHAPE-modified nucleotides within stems may suggest that these regions in GAS5 can adopt multiple stable conformations.

In Cellulo Structure Probing Is Similar to In Vitro Data

To assess the structure of GAS5 in its cellular environment, we probed endogenous GAS5 in HeLa cells (Figure 2). The resulting SHAPE profile of endogenous GAS5 shows a similar distribution of SHAPE reactivities as samples prepared in vitro (Figure 2A). The degree of correlation between in cellulo and in vitro data was high and similar to that for in-cellulo-measured SHAPE reactivities in the overlap of the two GAS5 amplicons used for high-throughput sequencing (Figure 2B). To assess potential local differences, we calculated windowed correlation coefficients over the entire sequence (Figure 2C). The results show that in cellulo data more strongly correlate with in vitro data than with a random distribution.
While these data show that _in vitro_ transcribed GAS5 is highly similar to the endogenous lncRNA, local correlation between the two is weaker than between two independent _in vitro_ datasets, in particular in the first \(-450\) nucleotides (Figure 2C).

The observed minor differences between endogenous and _in vitro_ transcribed RNA may result from effects of heat denaturation and refolding on the _in vitro_ sample leading to more compact structures (Dethoff and Weeks, 2019). Another source of difference is found in the cellular environment of endogenous GAS5. Interactions of GAS5 with proteins or other nucleic acids may affect SHAPE reactivities. To investigate these differences further, we applied the _deltaSHAPE_ algorithm (Smola et al., 2015a) for the identification of protein binding sites from _in cellulo_ SHAPE-MaP data (Figure S2). Comparison of _in cellulo_ data with three independent _in vitro_ datasets revealed localized sites of differences. The 5’ module contains regions with reduced SHAPE reactivities, which is usually associated with protein binding sites (Smola et al., 2016) and may also reflect the translation of the

---

**Figure 1. SHAPE-MaP of GAS5 RNA _In Vitro_ Identifies a Modular Architecture**

(A) Exon structure of GAS5 with the putative ORF and the SR binding motif highlighted.
(B) Nucleotide resolution SHAPE reactivities for _in vitro_ transcribed and folded GAS5 are shown color coded for low, medium, and high reactivities. Below: base-pairing probabilities in GAS5. Arcs represent base pairs and are color coded by probability.
(C) Minimum free energy secondary structure model of GAS5 color coded for SHAPE reactivities. Structural modules are highlighted.
Putative open reading frame by ribosomes. The core module comprises sites with enhanced cellular SHAPE reactivities, suggesting that minor structural changes in the structured core occur in endogenous GAS5 (Smola et al., 2016). It is important to note, however, that the SHAPE differences observed here are smaller than those previously reported for very stable ribonucleoproteins (RNPs) (Smola et al., 2015a). The original analysis was developed using benchmark RNAs that are short (<300 nucleotides) and form highly stable ribonucleoprotein complexes (e.g., 5S rRNA and U1 small nuclear RNA [snRNA]). Smaller differences in the case of GAS5 may result from several factors, such as incomplete saturation of GAS5 with cellular protein binding partners under the conditions tested, transient protein binding, or differences between the cytoplasmic and nuclear populations of GAS5 (probed together in our experiments). All these factors will dilute any differences in SHAPE reactivities and may explain the observed data.

**Apoptosis and Cell Cycle Arrest Are Mediated by the 5′ Module**

The SR binding motif mediates most of the effects of GAS5 on the basal survival of steroid-driven cancer cells (Hudson et al., 2014). A single mutation in this motif that abrogates SR binding almost completely abolished its effects on cell survival (Hudson et al., 2014), consistent with this motif being an independent functional module. In immune cells, which are less dependent on SR signaling for growth (Mourtada-Maarabouni et al., 2008), the SR binding motif mutant only partially reduces the effects of GAS5. This suggests that other regions of the lncRNA play important roles in regulating cell survival in those cells.

To test if the 5′ terminal and core modules identified by SHAPE are functioning independently in cells, we overexpressed these RNAs in Jurkat and CEM-C7 leukemic T cells (Figures 3A and 3B). Overexpression of GAS5 induces robust negative effects on cell survival (Figure 3C). These effects are mediated by an increase in apoptosis and a slowing of the cell cycle, with an increased proportion of cells in G0/G1 and a corresponding decrease of cells in G2/M (Figures 3D–3F). Of the two GAS5 structural modules, overexpression of the core region did not cause any significant effect on cell viability, apoptosis, or cell cycle. In contrast, the 5′ terminal module significantly reduced cell viability, increased apoptosis, and completely phenocopied the effects on the cell cycle (Figures 3C–3F). The additional negative effects of full-length GAS5 on viable cell number and apoptosis...
may be explained by the activity of the SR binding motif (Hudson et al., 2014).

**mTOR Signaling Is Mediated through the GAS5 Structured Core Domain**

mTOR inhibition in leukemic T cells slows cell growth, and a substantial proportion of this effect is mediated through GAS5 (Mourtada-Maarabouni et al., 2010). To test if the individual modules could explain the role of GAS5 in the mTOR pathway, we measured their effects in the presence of a series of mTOR inhibitors in Jurkat cells (Figures 3G and 3H). Jurkat cells were transfected with the GAS5 modules, and 24 h post-transfection, cells were treated with mTOR inhibitors. Cell growth was monitored another 72 h later. Cells expressing the 5’ module did not

**Figure 3. The GAS5 5’ and Core Modules Act Independently under Different Cellular Conditions**

(A)–(F), cells were transfected with GAS5 constructs, or empty pcDNA3 vector as control. After 24 h, cells were replated at a fixed density for analysis after a further 48 h. *p < 0.01 compared with cells transfected with vector alone (one-way ANOVA and Dunnet’s post hoc analysis).

(A and B) Cellular GAS5 levels for Jurkat (A) and CEM-C7 cells (B) were determined by qRT-PCR at 24 h post-transfection using two different Taqman assays to distinguish the two modules: Exon 1 for the 5’ module and exon boundary 10/11 for the core module.

(C) Cell viability was determined by flow cytometry.

(D) Basal apoptosis level was measured by acridine orange staining.

(E and F) Cell cycle analysis was assessed by flow cytometry following nuclear propidium iodide staining.

(G) Jurkat cells were transfected with one of the GAS5 modules or empty vector. Cells were treated with mTOR inhibitors 24 h post-transfection. Cell growth was measured using MTS (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium) after 72 h. Results are represented as the percentage of inhibition of cell proliferation relative to vehicle-treated cells. *p < 0.01 compared with cells transfected with vector alone (one-way ANOVA and Dunnet’s post hoc analysis).

(H) Jurkat cells were transfected with specific GAS5 siRNAs (SI03652537 targeting exon 3 = siRNA #1; SI03652544 targeting exon 7 = siRNA #2) or negative control siRNA (C0 siRNA) and cultured at 37°C. After 48 h, cells were transfected with one of the GAS5 modules or empty vector and treated with rapamycin (2.5 mM) 24 h post-transfection. Cell growth was measured using MTS after 48 h. Results are represented as the percentage of inhibition of cell proliferation relative to vehicle-treated cells. *p < 0.01 compared with cells transfected with (−)siRNA; **p < 0.01 compared with cells transfected with pcDNA3.1 (one-way ANOVA and Dunnet’s post hoc analysis).

(I) qRT-PCRs for quantifying endogenous GAS5 levels. *p < 0.01 compared with cells transfected with (−)siRNA (one-way ANOVA and Dunnet’s post hoc analysis).

It is of note here that siRNAs #1 and #2 were designed to target GAS5 in the 5’ and core modules, respectively, so that overexpression of the modules could be selectively controlled. qRT-PCR results, however, showed that each siRNA targeting GAS5 reduced the extent of overexpression of both modules more so than did the control siRNA (Figure S3). This does not affect interpretation of the results since increased levels of the core module led to an increase in growth inhibition in all cases. Data represent mean ± SEM from four independent experiments.
experience any increased inhibition of growth upon mTOR inhi-
bition, compared to cells transfected with the empty vector (Fig-
ure 3G). The core module, however, significantly enhanced the
effect of mTOR inhibition on cell growth with all mTOR inhibitors
tested (Figure 3G).

To further support these observations, we knocked down
endogenous GAS5 using small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) and
re-introduced the GAS5 modules. Cell growth was then moni-
tored after exposure to rapamycin. siRNAs efficiently knocked
down endogenous GAS5 (Figure 3I). Subsequent overexpres-
sion of the 5’ module did not have any effect, whereas overex-
pression of the core module consistently increased the inhibition
of growth in response to mTOR inhibition (Figure 3H).

The results of these experiments confirm that the core module
acts when mTOR is inhibited, whereas the 5’ module does not
affect cell growth under these conditions. Together, these exper-
iments show that both the 5’ module and the core module have
the capacity to regulate cell growth in leukemic T cells, but they
do so under different cellular conditions.

**Distinct Structural Elements Are Required for GAS5
Module Functions**

To test whether individual structural features present in the 5’
module or core modules are required for function, we disrupted a set of
well-defined secondary structures in each GAS5 module. This
strategy has been successfully applied in other studies to deter-
mine the precise location of functional RNA elements (Uroda
et al., 2019; Chillon and Pyle, 2016). The effects of mutations
were measured in leukemic T cells under normal growth condi-
tions for the 5’ module (Figures 4A and 4B) and in the presence
of mTOR inhibitors for the core module (Figures 4C and 4D).

The 5’ module contains six secondary structures of varying
length, ranging from 2 to 13 base pairs. We introduced mutations
to disrupt two well-defined structures with low SHAPE reactiv-
ties (5’H2 and 5’H3; Figure 5A). Expression of these constructs
in Jurkat or CEM-C7 cells showed that helix 5’H2 is not required
for proper function of the 5’ module (Figure 4B). No effect was
observed on cell viability or levels of apoptosis when compared
to the wild-type 5’ module. Disruption of helix 5’H3, however,
abolished effects on viability and apoptosis completely. Thus,
while the 5’ module is mostly unstructured, it contains a small
hairpin structure (5’H3) that is responsible for its negative effects
on cell survival.

The highly structured core module was tested in Jurkat cells
under conditions of mTOR inhibition. We chose four stable heli-
ces (cH4, cH6, cH11, and cH12) and introduced mutations to
disrupt these helices and probe their function in cells. Mutation
of helices cH11 and cH12 did not have an effect on the function
of the core module. Core module constructs containing muta-
tions in helices cH4 and cH6, however, were not able to reduce
cell growth beyond the level of the control (Figure 4D). Helix ch4 is formed by long-range interactions between nucleotides 258–272 and 463–476 (Figure 4C). It is located at the center of the core module and bridges the three-way junction of helices ch3, ch4, and ch12 with the multi-way junction connecting helices ch4 to ch11. Disruption of this structure may have effects on the integrity of the core module as a whole, which could explain why it is required for function. Helix ch6 is part of a short, seven-base-pair hairpin structure. Abolishing ch6 ablates the core module’s effect on cell growth, suggesting that it is responsible for mediating its activity directly.

While it is possible that mutations of individual secondary structures may have broader effects on the overall structure of the GAS5 modules, these experiments strongly support the notion that the GAS5 structural modules we identified using SHAPE-MaP contain defined secondary structure elements that are required for their negative effects on basal cell survival of leukemic T cells.

The GAS5 Protein Interactome

The presence of defined secondary structures required for the function of GAS5 and its modules suggests that specific proteins recognize these structures and mediate the downstream effects of GAS5 on cell survival. To identify such proteins, we performed pull-down experiments using biotinylated, in vitro transcribed RNA and Jurkat cell lysates. Pull-downs were conducted with full-length GAS5, the 5’ module, the core module, or the complete reverse complement of GAS5 as a negative control. Proteins associating with these RNAs were then quantified using mass spectrometry (Figure 5).

We found 273, 448, and 619 proteins significantly enriched over controls in the full-length, 5’, and core module samples, respectively. Gene Ontology (GO) analyses of the proteins associating with the GAS5 samples identified enriched RNA-related processes (mRNA processing, splicing, ribonucleoprotein complex assembly, translation elongation) for the full-length and core modules. GO analysis of enriched proteins shows that the 5’ module associates with proteins involved in the cell cycle, which is in agreement with our cellular assays showing that the 5’ module, but not the core module, regulates cell survival through changes in the cell cycle (Figure 5A). Full-length GAS5, however, did not enrich for these proteins. Individual pull-downs were not performed with equimolar amounts of biotinylated RNA, but rather with an equal mass (3 µg). As a result, the shorter 5’ module sample (167 nucleotides) contained approximately 4 times more molecules compared to full-length GAS5. This may explain a greater enrichment of cell cycle proteins for the 5’ module.

A number of the most highly enriched proteins belong to the CCR4-NOT (Carbon Catabolite Repression—Negative On TATA-less) complex (CNOT1, CNOT2, CNOT3, CNOT6L, CNOT7, CNOT9, CNOT10, and CNOT11; Figures 5B–5D). This complex is enriched in all GAS5 samples, including the 5’ and core modules, suggesting that multiple parts of GAS5 are able to associate with it. Interaction with this complex and modulation of its activity would provide GAS5 with a broad platform to affect cell survival at multiple steps in gene expression. The CCR4-NOT complex is involved in almost all aspects of gene expression, including chromatin modification and transcription as well as mRNA processing, export, deadenylation, and translation (Collart, 2016).

In summary, the proteomics analysis identified groups of proteins that specifically interact with GAS5 and its structural modules. Differences between proteins associating with the different modules allude to their different activities under varying cellular conditions. These results may serve as a resource for further investigation into the molecular mechanisms employed by GAS5 to regulate cell survival.

DISCUSSION

Their flexibility in size and structure and their unique ability to form specific complexes with proteins, DNA, and other cellular RNAs make IncRNAs ideal molecular scaffolds to bring together different biomolecules in order to regulate and organize cellular processes (Deveson et al., 2017). A modular structural architecture is an important benefit, allowing IncRNAs to assemble distinct, functionally independent effector complexes on different parts of their structure and therefore diversify their functional toolkit.
GASS is a lncRNA with antiproliferative effects that is downregulated in numerous cancers. A short hairpin structure at its 3' terminus acts as a decoy for SRs and inhibits their signaling (Hudson et al., 2014; Kino et al., 2010). Beyond that, not much is known about how GASS reduces cell growth and viability and which elements are required for these effects.

To further characterize GASS function, we determined its secondary structure in vitro and in cellulo using chemical probing experiments (Figures 1 and 2). The results show that GASS contains three structural modules with distinct sequence and structure: a 5' module with low secondary structure content, a highly structured core module, and the previously predicted SR binding short hairpin motif.

Highly modular structures have been observed for other lncRNAs (Hawkes et al., 2016; Novikova et al., 2012; Somarow-thu et al., 2015; Tsai et al., 2010), and the individual modules are thought to be functionally important. The SR binding hairpin of GASS, for instance, has previously been shown to act independently of the rest of the molecule via interaction with SRs (Hudson et al., 2014; Kino et al., 2010). Here, using functional assays in leukemic T cells, we show that the 5' and the core modules also function independently and do so in different cellular contexts. Under normal growth conditions in leukemic T cells, with little dependence on SR signaling, the 5' module is responsible for many of the effects of GASS on cell viability, apoptosis, and the cell cycle (Figure 3). The core module has no effect under those conditions. When cells were treated with mTOR inhibitors, however, we find that the core module is active, and the 5' module has no effects (Figure 3). Under these conditions, the core module recapitulates the previously observed function of GASS in mediating the inhibition of growth upon shutting down the mTOR signaling pathway (Mourtada-Maarabouni et al., 2010). Mutations within specific RNA secondary structure elements in the 5' and core modules disrupt their effects in cells, showing that distinct structural features are critical for each module’s function. Thus, GASS uses its modular structural organization to assemble distinct functional complexes on different parts of its sequence, each modulating cell growth independent of the other. This allows GASS to reliably respond to cellular stress in different cell types and under different external conditions.

How did these structural modules of GASS arise? While there is currently no evidence that GASS encodes a functional protein product, it is possible that when the GASS gene first appeared in evolution, its ORF initially produced a functional protein. GASS belongs to the family of 5' terminal oligopyrimidine (5' TOP) genes (Smith and Steitz, 1998), which include all ribosomal proteins as well as translation elongation factors. This suggests that its initial function was related to translation. Over time, the transcript may have gained beneficial non-coding RNA functions in its 3' untranslated region. Subsequently, with evolutionary pressure low on the ORF itself, it lost its coding function, and GASS changed into an lncRNA gene. Here, we show that the 5' module, which covers the putative ORF, functions independently at the RNA level and regulates cell survival. This shows that the ORF not only lost its coding function, but also gained novel, non-coding functions. Finally, the SR binding module at the GASS 3' end was added more recently in evolution via an Alu insertion in the haplorrhine lineage (Hudson et al., 2014). As a result, human GASS now encodes an lncRNA with at least three structural modules, each with a separate, independent function.

The translation of 5' TOP genes is selectively inhibited under conditions of nutrient deprivation. It is, thus, conceivable that the non-coding functions of GASS evolved as part of the adaptive response to nutrient starvation, which involves the slowing of cell growth as a means to enhance survival. Cells respond to various kinds of stress with transcript-specific—such as for the 5' TOP family—or general post-transcriptional mechanisms that reprogram translation to favor survival (Ivanov et al., 2011). By regulating GASS using NMD, a process that requires active translation, cells ensure that any global negative effects on translation as a response to stress increase the levels of GASS so that it can exert its antiproliferative effects. This establishes GASS as a key effector in the cellular stress response.

Our understanding of the mechanisms employed by GASS to regulate cell survival is still very limited. The GASS structural modules identified here likely assemble into complexes with other cellular components (proteins, DNA, and RNA) that mediate GASS’s effects. We used a proteomics approach to identify proteins that specifically interact with GASS and its structural modules. The results show strong interaction of GASS with the CCR4-NOT machinery, suggesting that it may module gene expression through this large multi-component complex. However, further mechanistic explorations will be necessary to substantiate these results and to gain further insight into GASS’s biological mechanism.
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**STAR METHODS**

**KEY RESOURCES TABLE**

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins** | | |
| DNase I | New England Biolabs | Cat#M0303S |
| Biotin-11-CTP | Sigma Aldrich | Cat#4739205001 |
| Superscript II reverse transcriptase | Invitrogen | Cat#M1302 |
| Microspin™ G-25 columns | GE Healthcare | Cat#27532501 |
| Q5® High-Fidelity DNA polymerase | New England Biolabs | Cat#M0491S |
| AmpureXP beads | Beckman Coulter | Cat#A63880 |
| Lysyl Endopeptidase | Wako Chemicals | Cat#12505061 |
| Kapa Biosystems Hyperprep Plus DNA kit | Roche | Cat#07962380001 |
| Streptavidin Magnetic Beads | New England Biolabs | Cat#S1420S |
| Trypsin | Promega | V5280 |
| Rapamycin | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat#553210 |
| Everolimus | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat#SML2282 |
| Temsirolimus | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat#PZ0020 |
| AZD8055 | Selleckchem | Cat#S1555 |
| BEZ235 | Selleckchem | Cat#S1009 |
| CellTitier 96® AQwells One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay (MTS) | Promega | Cat#G3582 |
| Muse Cell Cycle Reagent | Merck Millipore | Cat#MCH100106 |
| Acridine orange | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat#A1301 |
| Random primers | Invitrogen | Cat#48190-011 |
| RNaseOUT recombinant ribonuclease inhibitor | Invitrogen | Cat#10777019 |
| SensiFAST Probe Hi-ROX mix | Bioline | Cat#BIO-82020 |
| Hs03671981_s1 | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat#4331182 |
| Hs03464472_m1 | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat#4331182 |
| Muse® Annexin V & Dead Cell Kit | Merck Millipore | Cat#MCH100105 |
| Muse® Count & Viability Kit | Merck Millipore | Cat#MCH100102 |
| Spermidine | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat#S2626 |
| Silencer Negative Control No. 1 siRNA | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat#AM4611 |
| GASS siRNA#2 | QIAGEN | Cat#SI03652544 |
| GASS siRNA#1 | QIAGEN | Cat#SI03652537 |
| **Critical Commercial Assays** | | |
| RNaseasy Mini Kit | QIAGEN | Cat#74104 |
| PureLink™ PCR purification kit | Invitrogen | Cat#K310001 |
| Muse annexin V and dead cell assay kit | Merck Millipore | Cat#MCH100105 |
| Cell Count and Viability Kit | Merck Millipore | Cat#MCH100102 |
| Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep kit | ZYMO RESEARCH | Cat#R2050 |
| Omniscript® RT kit | QIAGEN | Cat#205111 |
| Mycoalert Mycoplasma Detection Kit | Lonza | Cat#LT07-318 |
| **Deposited Data** | | |
| Gas5 SHAPE data (in vitro data 1) | This Paper | https://doi.org/10.17632/98cwbscjvn.1 |
| Gas5 SHAPE data (in vitro data 2) | This Paper | https://doi.org/10.17632/98cwbscjvn.1 |
| Gas5 SHAPE data (in cellulo) | This Paper | https://doi.org/10.17632/98cwbscjvn.1 |
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RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead Contact
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Eric Ortlund (eortlun@emory.edu).

Materials Availability
Plasmids generated in this study are available upon request.

Data and Code Availability
Raw sequencing data used for SHAPE analyses were deposited at Mendeley Data: https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/98cwbscjvn/draft?an=a5ba08ab-66e3-4e4f-9f4a-25de8676c8e4

The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD018584.

---

**REAGENT or RESOURCE SOURCE IDENTIFIER**

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| Gas5 pull-down mass spectrometry proteomics data | This Paper | PXD018584 |
| Experimental Models: Cell Lines | | |
| CCRF-CEM cell line (Subclone C7KM1) | ATCC | Cat#CCL-119 |
| Jurkat, Clone E6-1 | ATCC | Cat#TIB-152 |
| Oligonucleotides | | |
| Human GAS5 Exon 12: Forward primer (CTTCTGGGCTCAAGTGATCCT)- | Applied Biosystems | CTTCTGGGCTCAAGTGATCCT |
| Gas5_1-15_FW | IDT | TTTGAGGTAGAGTC |
| Human GAS5 Exon 12 Reverse primer | Applied Biosystems | TGTGATGAGACTCTCATAG |
| pcDNA3_Gas5_FW | IDT | GCTAGCGTTTAACCTAAGCTTGA |
| Gas5_400_RE | IDT | GATAACAGGTCTGCTGCTGAT |
| Gas5_227_FW | IDT | TTCTGGAAGCTTGGTTATCCC |
| RT01 | IDT | GGTATTGCAAAAATT TATTTAAATGGAGACA |
| Recombinant DNA | | |
| pcDNA3.1: Gas5 (1-167) 5'H3mut | This paper | N/A |
| pcDNA3.1: Gas5 (163-545) cH4mut | This paper | N/A |
| pcDNA3.1: Gas5 (163-545) cH6mut | This paper | N/A |
| pcDNA3.1: Gas5 (163-545) cH11mut | This paper | N/A |
| pcDNA3.1: Gas5 (163-545) cH12mut | This paper | N/A |
| pcDNA3.1: Gas5 | Hudson et al., 2014 | N/A |
| pcDNA3.1: Gas5 (1-167) | This paper | N/A |
| pcDNA3.1: Gas5 (163-545) | This paper | N/A |
| pcDNA3.1: Gas5 (1-167) 5'H2mut | This paper | N/A |
| Software and Algorithms | | |
| ShapeMapper 2 | Siegfried et al., 2014 | https://weekslab.com/software/ |
| DeltaSHAPE | Smola et al., 2015a | https://weekslab.com/software/ |
| RNAstructure | Xu and Mathews, 2016 | https://rna.urmc.rochester.edu/RNAstructure.html |
| MaxQuant v1.6.01 | MaxQuant | https://www.maxquant.org |
| Thermo Foundation 2.0 | Thermo Fisher | https://www.thermofisher.com/us/en/home/industrial/mass-spectrometry/mass-spectrometry-software.html |
EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Jurkat cell line (Clone E6–1) and apoptosis-sensitive cloned CEM-C7 CKM1 cell line (CCRF-CEM) were obtained from ATCC. Cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium (Sigma) supplemented with 10% heat inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS; Hyclone), 2 mM L-glutamine and 200 μg/ml gentamycin (Sigma), at 37°C in a 5% CO2 humidified incubator. Both cell lines were mycoplasma-free as tested with the Mycoalert Mycoplasma Detection Kit (Lonza, Slough, UK).

METHOD DETAILS

Preparation of RNA

Full-length GAS5 RNA was generated by in vitro transcription using recombinant T7 RNA polymerase and pcDNA3 containing GAS5 (NR_002578.2 bases 1–631). The in vitro transcription reaction was carried out in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 25 mM MgCl2, 5 mM DTT and 2 mM spermidine with 2 mM of each NTP. Recombinant T7 RNA polymerase (0.1 mg/ml) was added and the reaction was incubated for 4 h at 37°C. Sample was DNaseI treated, extracted with phenol/chloroform/IAA, and the RNA was PAGE-purified. Biotinylated RNA for pull-down experiments was prepared under the same conditions with additional 0.5mM biotin-CTP present in the transcription reaction.

Synthesis of 1-methyl-7-nitroisatoic anhydride

1M7 was synthesized as described previously (Turner et al., 2013).

Modification of in vitro transcribed GAS5 RNA with 1M7

SHAPE-MaP was carried out according to published protocols (Smola et al., 2015b). For each reaction 500ng RNA was prepared in 12 μL water, heated to 95°C for 2 minutes, and placed on ice immediately. 6 μL of 3.3x folding buffer (333 mM HEPES, pH8.0, 333 mM NaCl, 33 mM MgCl2) was added and the sample was incubated at 37°C for 20 minutes to fold. 9 μL of sample was transferred to a tube containing either 1 μL 100mM 1M7 in DMSO or plain DMSO as the no reagent control. After 75 s incubation at 37°C the sample was placed on ice and 40 μL water was added.

For the denaturing control reaction 250ng RNA was prepared in 3 μL water. 3 μL formamide and 1μL 10x denaturing control buffer (500 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 40 mM EDTA) was added and the sample was incubated at 95°C for 2 minutes to denature the RNA. The hot sample was then transferred to a new tube containing 1 μL 100 mM 1M7, mixed well, and immediately incubated at 95°C for one minute. Sample was then placed on ice and 40 μL water was added.

Finally, all samples were purified using the RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The elution volume was 150 μL.

Modification of cellular RNA with 1M7

Cells were plated in 6-well plates at 0.8 x 10⁶ cells/well and grown for 4 days to reach density arrest under which endogenous GAS5 levels are increased (Smith and Steitz, 1998). Cells were washed with 1 mL of PBS, then 900 μL fresh growth medium and 100 μL 100 mM 1M7 (or plain DMSO) were added, and the solution was immediately mixed by swirling the culture plate. Samples were incubated for 5 minutes at 37°C, after which total RNA was extracted using TRIzol™ (Invitrogen).

Reverse transcription of 1M7 modified RNA

1 μL of primer RT01 at 2 μM was added to 10 μL of purified, modified (or control) RNA (or 3 μg of RNA modified in cellulo) and the samples were incubated at 65°C for 5 minutes. After cooling on ice 8 μL 2.5x SHAPE MaP buffer (125 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 187.5 mM KCl, 15 mM MnCl2, 25 mM DTT and 1.25 mM dNTPs) was added and the samples were incubated at 42°C for 5 minutes. Finally, 1 μL of Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) was added and the samples were incubated at 42°C for 3 hours. Reverse transcriptase was inactivated by incubation 70°C for 15 minutes and samples were purified using Microspin™ G-25 columns (GE Healthcare).

Amplification of cDNA for SHAPE-MaP

Two overlapping amplicons of GAS5 of ~400 nt length were generated by PCR using Q5® High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB). Primers are listed in the Key Resources Table. 50μL PCR reactions were prepared and purified using a PureLink™ PCR purification kit (Invitrogen).

Library preparation and high-throughput sequencing

PCR amplicons were cleaned using the AmpureXP beads (Beckman Coulter). The DNA was quantified using a fluorometric based method and 10 ng was used for library preparation using the Kapa Biosystems Hyperprep Plus DNA kit. The amplicons were sequenced on an Illumina Miseq instrument in a paired-end 300 cycle format.

The raw sequencing data is available at: https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/98cwbscjvn/draft?a=c5ba08ab-66e3-4e4f-9f4a-25de8676cbe4
SHAPE data analysis
SHAPE reactivity data was analyzed using the ShapeMapper 2 software, the arc plot was generated using the SuperFold software (Siegfried et al., 2014), and SHAPE differences were calculated using the DeltaSHAPE software (Smola et al., 2015a) (all can be found at https://weekslab.com). GAS5 secondary structure was modeled using the RNAstructure software (https://rna.urmc.rochester.edu/RNAstructure.html) (Xu and Mathews, 2016) and in vitro SHAPE reactivity data.

Mutation rates of the *in cellulo* modified sample was lower than *in vitro* samples (0.06% median rate versus 0.09%, respectively; Figures S2 and S3), most likely owing to limited diffusion of 1M7 into the cells. Mutation rates of denaturing controls for *in cellulo* samples (generated by RNA extraction and exposure to 1M7 under denaturing conditions similar to *in vitro* samples) were too low and not usable. Instead we used denaturing control data from *in vitro* SHAPE samples for *in cellulo* samples as well.

The resulting quality data generated from *in cellulo* samples was sufficient for analysis as evidenced by small relative standard errors of SHAPE reactivities (Figure S2) and good agreement in the region of overlap between the two separate PCRs reactions employed to amplify the GAS5 cDNA: the two amplicons overlap between nucleotides 248 and 379 and SHAPE reactivities calculated independently for each amplicon correlate well in that region (Spearman R = 0.70; Figure 2C).

Effects of GAS5 constructs on the basal survival of Jurkat and CEM-C7 leukemic T cells
Cells were transfected with GAS5 constructs, or empty pcDNA3 vector as control. After 24 h, cells were replated at a fixed density for analysis after a further 48 h. Cellular GAS5 levels for Jurkat and CEM-C7 cells were determined by RT-qPCR at 24h post transfection using two different Taqman assays, Hs03671981_s1 (exon 1) and Hs03464472_m1 (exon boundary 10-11, position 409).

Apoptosis was routinely determined by assessment of nuclear morphology by fluorescence microscopy after staining with acridine orange (25 μg/ml); cells containing condensed or fragmented chromatin were scored as apoptotic. Apoptosis level was also determined by flow cytometry using a Muse annexin V and dead cell assay kit, according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Merck Millipore, Cat # MCH100105). Representative images of Annexin V stained Jurkat cells is shown in Figure S4.

Cell viability was determined using a commercial Cell Count and Viability Kit (Merck Millipore; Cat # MCH 100102) and a Muse flow cytometer (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) (Mohammed et al., 2016).

Cell cycle analysis was carried using nuclear propidium iodide (PI)-staining procedure and flow cytometry (Mohammed et al., 2016). Transfected cells were harvested 24 hours post-transfection and re-plated in fresh medium at 2 x 10^5 cells/well in 6-well plates. Following incubation for 48 hours, 1 million cells were washed in PBS before re-suspending the pellet in 200 μL PBS. Cells were then fixed in 1 mL ice cold (70% ethanol / 30% PBS) and stored at -20°C for at least 3 hours prior to cell cycle analysis. Fixed cells were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 1500 rpm. The supernatant was discarded and the cell pellet was re-suspended in 200 μL of Muse Cell Cycle Reagent (Merck Millipore # MCH100106). Cells were incubated for 30 minutes in the dark and data acquisition was carried out using the Millipore Muse cell analyzer (Mohammed et al., 2016).

Effects of GAS5 constructs on cell growth in the presence of mTOR inhibitors
Jurkat cells were transfected with one of the constructs or empty vector. Cells were treated with the mTOR inhibitors 24 post transfection. Cell growth was measured using MTS after 48 and 72 hours. Results are represented as the percentage inhibition of cell proliferation relative to vehicle-treated cells.

For experiments with knock-down, Jurkat cells were transfected with specific GAS5 siRNAs (SIO3652537 targeting exon 3 = siRNA 1; SIO3652544 targeting exon 7 = siRNA2) or negative control siRNA ([+]siRNA) and cultured at 37°C. After 48h, cells were transfected with one of the constructs or empty vector and treated with Rapamycin (2.5 mM) 24h post transfection. Cell growth was measured using MTS after 48 hours. Results are represented as the percentage inhibition of cell proliferation relative to vehicle-treated cells.

RNA-pulldown
The RNA pulldown experiments were carried out described in Panda et al. (2016). For each individual pulldown sample approximately 2 million Jurkat cells were required. Each sample was done in quadruplicates from four different passages of cells. Cells were centrifuged at 500 g for 5 minutes, washed with PBS once and resuspended in lysis buffer (10 mM HEPES (pH7.5), 100 mM KoAc, 10 mM MgoAc, 1% NP-40. 2.5 mM DTT, cOmplete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche)). Cells were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, then thawed, and incubated for 40 minutes on a nutating platform at 4°C. Samples were then spun down at 15,000 g for 15 minutes at 4°C. Protein concentrations were measured and each pulldown was done with 1mg of total protein. Biotinylated RNA was prepared using recombinant T7 RNA Polymerase and buffers (see above). 3 µg of RNA (full-length GAS5, nucleotides 1-167, nucleotides 163-545, or the complete GAS5 reverse complement sequence) was incubated with jurkat cell lysates (1 mg total protein) for three hours on ice.

In the meantime, beads were prepared as follows. 50 µL of streptavidin magnetic beads (NEB) were used per pulldown reaction. Beads were washed three times with lysis buffer (no protease inhibitors), incubated with 1mg BSA per 200 µL beads for one hour, and washed four times with lysis buffer. Beads were then added to the RNA and jurkat cell lysates and incubated at 4°C overnight on a nutating platform. Beads were then washed four times with lysis buffer (without NP-40) and finally resuspended in 50 µL lysis buffer (without NP-40) for mass spectrometry analysis.
On bead digestion

After removing the supernatant from the IP samples, the bead solutions were resuspended in 200 µl of 50 mM NH4HCO3. The samples were reduced with 1mM dithiothreitol (DTT) for 30 minutes at room temperature. This was followed by alkylation with 5mM iodoacetamide (IAA) for 30 minutes in the dark. The samples were then digested with 1:100 (w/w) lysyl endopeptidase (Wako) for 2 hours at room temperature. Trypsin (Promega) was added at 1:50 (w/w) and digestion was allowed to proceed overnight. The resulting peptides solutions were desalted with a Sep-Pak C18 column (Waters) and dried under vacuum.

LC-MS/MS analysis

Dried peptides were reconstituted in 10 µL of loading buffer (0.1% formic acid, 0.03% TFA, 1% acetonitrile). The sample (2 µL) was loaded onto and eluted from a self-packed C18 fused silica column (25 cm x 75 µm internal diameter (ID); New Objective, Woburn, MA) driven by a Easy nLC 1200 coupled to a Fusion mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, San Jose, CA). Elution was performed over a 140-minute gradient at a rate of 200 nl/min with buffer B ranging from 3% to 99% (buffer A: 0.1% formic acid in water, buffer B: 0.1% formic in 80% acetonitrile). The mass spectrometer cycle was programmed to collect at the top speed for 3 s cycles with higher-energy collision dissociation (HCD) fragmentation. The MS scans (350-1500 m/z range, 200,000 AGC, 50 ms maximum ion time) were collected at a resolution of 120,000 at m/z 200 in profile mode while the HCD MS/MS spectra (1.2 m/z isolation width, 30% collision energy, 10,000 AGC target, 35 maximum ion time) were detected in the ion trap. Dynamic exclusion was set to exclude previous sequenced precursor ions for 20 s within a 10 ppm window. Precursor ions with +1, and +7 or higher charge states were excluded from sequencing.

Protein Identification and Quantification with MaxQuant

RAW data was analyzed using MaxQuant v1.6.01 with Thermo Foundation 2.0 for RAW file reading capability. The search engine Andromeda was used to build and search a concatenated target-decoy UniProt Knowledgebase (UniProtKB) containing both Swiss-Prot and TrEMBL human reference protein sequences (90,307 target sequences downloaded April 21, 2015), plus 245 contaminant proteins included as a parameter for Andromeda search within MaxQuant (Cox et al., 2011). Methionine oxidation (+15.9949 Da), and protein N-terminal acetylation (+42.0106 Da) were included as variable modifications (up to 5 allowed per peptide); cysteine was assigned a fixed carbamidomethyl modification (+57.0215 Da). Only fully tryptic peptides were considered with up to 2 missed cleavages in the database search. A precursor mass tolerance of ± 20 ppm and a 0.6 Da product ion tolerance was used. Other search settings included a maximum peptide mass of 4,600 Da, a minimum peptide length of 7 residues and match between runs. The false discovery rate (FDR) for peptide spectral matches, proteins, and site decoy fraction were all set to 1 percent. The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE (Perez-Riverol et al., 2019) partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD018584.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Assays for determination of cell viability, apoptosis, survival, and cell cycle

Details about statistical analyses of these experiments can be found in the legend of Figures 3 and 4.

Mass Spectrometry Quantitative Data Processing and Statistics

MaxQuant grouped protein quantification based on the MaxLFQ normalization algorithm (Cox et al., 2014) was extracted from MaxQuant output for 3,108 non-decoy proteins. Of these, 3,026 proteins were quantified in at least 3 out of 4 technical replicate LC-MS/MS run RAW data for at least one of the 4 different RNA baits. This filtering is important because imputation according to an informative missingness assumption, or missing not at random (MNAR) values remain for these well-quantified proteins with consistent non-missing quantification. LFQ normalized data for all but the outlier sample, determined later, had a Pearson correlation of at least 0.98 to unnormalized summed intensity data. Missing value imputation was performed in R according to the algorithm for LFQ intensity imputation described by Tyanova et al., with each sample’s missing values imputed with a random distribution within 0.3 SD of the population mean minus 1.8 SD of that sample’s population of nonmissing quantitations (Tyanova et al., 2016). Then outlier detection was performed using a 3SD cutoff for the z-scored connectivity measure calculated by the R WGCNA package fundamentalNetworkConcepts function on an adjacency matrix calculated for the imputed LFQ data iteratively until no outliers could be found; one outlier was removed (one replicate of the full-length GASS sample). One-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc test for significance of differences between pairs of grouped samples was performed in R using base functions and TukeyHSD. Then volcano plots using Tukey p values were plotted in R using ggplot2 and plotly packages using an in-house script.