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ABSTRACT

The measurement of retinal nerve fiber layer thickness can help significantly in the early diagnosis of glaucoma and monitoring of its progression. The objective of this study was to compare the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness by optical coherence tomography in primary open angle glaucoma, glaucoma suspects and normal Nepalese population. This was a hospital based cross-sectional study conducted in the Ophthalmology Out Patient Department of Manipal Teaching Hospital, Pokhara. Total 100 numbers of subjects (40 primary open angle glaucoma, 30 glaucoma suspects and 30 normal people) were evaluated. Complete ophthalmological examinations including tonometry, gonioscopy, optical coherence tomography, perimetry were performed. Statistical analysis was carried out using Epi-info 7. The result showed that the average retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness was 70.22±12.07µm in right eye and 69.42±11.53µm in left eye in primary open angle glaucoma (POAG), 88.87±10.39µm in right eye and 88.73±9.59µm in left eye in glaucoma suspects (GS) and 94.40±9.21µm in right eye and 94.73±6.76µm in left eye in normal group respectively. The mean RNFL thickness was statistically significant in all three comparison groups except in nasal quadrant. The mean RNFL thickness was statistically significant in two comparison groups except in GS-Normal (nasal and temporal quadrant), GS-POAG (left nasal quadrant) and Normal-POAG (left nasal quadrant). The study concluded that the RNFL thickness is lower in POAG as compared to glaucoma suspects and normal group in the Nepalese population.
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INTRODUCTION

Glaucoma is defined by a characteristic optic neuropathy that is consistent with remodeling of the connective tissue elements of the optic nerve head and with loss of neural tissue associated with the eventual development of the distinctive patterns of visual dysfunction. Its early diagnosis and treatment of glaucoma has been shown to reduce the rate of disease progression and improve patient's quality of life. 

Glaucoma is one of the main causes of irreversible legal blindness worldwide and the second cause of loss of vision in patient over 40 years of age in the developed countries, with an important impact on quality of life. Early diagnosis and treatment of glaucoma has been shown to reduce the rate of disease progression and improve patient's quality of life. 

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) developed in 1991, is an imaging technology that performs high-resolution, cross-sectional imaging of the optic nerve head, retinal nerve fiber layer and macula. Retinal nerve fiber loss precedes measurable optic nerve head and visual field damage and is observed in 60% of eyes approximately six years before any detectable visual field defect in glaucoma. OCT is used for the detection of early structural glaucomatous nerve alternations that precede optic disc and visual field damage. Retinal nerve fiber layer analysis is the most commonly used scanning protocol for glaucoma diagnosis.

The objective of the study was to compare the retinal nerve fiber layer thickness by optical coherence tomography in primary open angle glaucoma (POAG), glaucoma suspects (GS) and the normal Nepalese population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a hospital based cross-sectional study conducted in OPD of the Department of Ophthalmology, Manipal Teaching Hospital, Pokhara, Nepal for one year (from April 2019 to March 2020). Ethical approval was taken from the Institutional Review Committee of the Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara before the start of the study. The total of 100 patients aged 40 years and older were enrolled for the study (40 patients of POAG, 30 patients of GS and 30 normal samples) after taking informed consent. The patients with opacity in cornea, lens or vitreous, refractive error more than +/- 5.0 Dsph or 2.0 Dcyl or those with optic nerve pathology other than glaucoma or any other neurological damage were excluded from the study. Patients with hypertensive and diabetic retinopathy or history of prior intraocular surgery or trauma were also excluded from the study.

Relevant history and detailed ocular examination was performed including refraction, anterior segment evaluation by slit lamp and fundus evaluation after full dilatation using +90D Volk lens and +20D lens. Intraocular pressure was measured with applanation tonometer, gonioscopy with Volk Three - Mirror Gonio lens, visual field examination with Humphrey SITA Standard, 24-2 Static threshold perimeter (Model no. Humphrey field Analyser II – 1 series Ziess company), central corneal thickness with optical coherence tomography and optic disc cube 200x200 and retinal nerve fiber thickness measurement by using Carl Zeiss Spectral Domain OCT V3.

Primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) is defined by following three criteria:
1. An intraocular pressure (IOP) consistently above 21 mmHg in at least one eye
2. An open, normal appearing anterior chamber angle with no apparent ocular or systemic abnormalities that might account for the elevated IOP
3. Optic nerve head damaged with typical glaucomatous visual field changes.

Criteria for glaucoma suspect: Subjects with raised intraocular pressure or glaucomatous optic disc changes or visual field abnormalities in isolation.

Criteria for normal: Subjects with no history of ocular disease. Intraocular pressure should be less than or equal to 21 mmHg, normal optic disc appearance and normal perimetry.

The statistical tests used were mean, chi-square and analysis of variance (ANOVA). Data entry and analysis was done in Epi-info version 7. The p-value less than 0.05 was considered significant in this study.

RESULTS

A total of 100 patients were included in the study. The mean age ± standard deviation was 62.03±13.39 years in POAG group, 56.03±12.61 years in GS group and 50.57±9.01 years in normal group. The mean age difference
between the three groups was statistically significant. There was statistical significance in gender of the participants, but not in their place of residence (Table 1).

Table 2 shows the comparison of the mean retinal nerve fiber layer thickness in POAG, GS and normal groups. The mean was statistically significant in average RNFL as well as in

| Variables          | POAG (n=40) | GS (n=30) | Normal (n=30) | Chi –square | p-value |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|---------|
| Mean Age ±SD       | 62.03 ±13.39 | 56.03 ±12.61 | 50.57 ±9.01   |             | 0.0007  |
| Gender             |             |           |               |             |         |
| Female             | 18          | 24        | 23            | 11.79       | 0.0027  |
| Male               | 22          | 6         | 7             |             |         |
| Residence          |             |           |               |             |         |
| Urban              | 25          | 22        | 21            | 1.0034      | 0.6055  |
| Rural              | 15          | 8         | 9             |             |         |

Table 2: Comparison of mean retinal nerve fiber layer thickness between the study groups.

| RNFL thickness (in µm) | POAG     | GS       | Normal    | p-value |
|------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|
|                        | Right eye| Left eye | Right eye | Left eye | Right eye | Left eye | Right eye | Left eye | Right eye | Left eye | Right eye | Left eye |
| Average                | 70.22(±12.07) | 88.87(±10.39) | 94.40(±9.21) | 0.0000  |
|                        | 69.42(±11.53) | 88.73(±9.59)  | 94.73(±6.76) | 0.0000  |
| Superior quadrant      | 91.05(±20.88) | 110.20(±15.11) | 121.10(±14.80) | 0.0000  |
|                        | 89.32(±23.42) | 114.26(±16.13) | 124.90(±12.64) | 0.0000  |
| Nasal quadrant        | 61.87(±7.47)   | 67.73(±10.70)  | 67.56(±11.63) | 0.0192  |
|                        | 60.17(±8.50)   | 65.00(±10.81)  | 64.06(±11.06) | 0.1026  |
| Inferior quadrant     | 76.12(±31.58)  | 117.06(±16.38) | 128.30(±17.50) | 0.0000  |
|                        | 76.50(±28.07)  | 114.16(±13.58) | 126.80(±15.13) | 0.0000  |
| Temporal quadrant     | 50.52(±11.58)  | 60.30(±8.87)   | 62.16(±5.88)  | 0.0000  |
|                        | 52.45(±9.47)   | 61.33(±13.11)  | 61.00(±6.96)  | 0.0003  |

Table 3: Comparison of mean retinal nerve fiber layer thickness between two study groups.

| RNFL thickness (in µm) | GS-POAG (p-value) | GS-Normal (p-value) | Normal-POAG (p-value) |
|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Average                | 0.0000            | 0.0332              | 0.0000                |
|                        | 0.0000            | 0.0069              | 0.0000                |
| Superior quadrant      | 0.0000            | 0.0065              | 0.0000                |
|                        | 0.0000            | 0.0062              | 0.0000                |
| Nasal quadrant         | 0.0192            | 0.9541              | 0.0153                |
|                        | 0.1026            | 0.7422              | 0.1005                |
| Inferior quadrant      | 0.0000            | 0.0129              | 0.0000                |
|                        | 0.0000            | 0.0012              | 0.0000                |
| Temporal quadrant      | 0.0003            | 0.9026              | 0.0001                |
|                        | 0.0000            | 0.3411              | 0.0000                |
superior, inferior and temporal quadrant in both right and left eyes, except in nasal quadrant.

Table 3 shows the comparison of the mean retinal nerve fiber layer thickness between the two groups. The mean RNFL thickness was statistically significant between two comparison groups except in GS-Normal (nasal and temporal quadrant), GS-POAG (left nasal quadrant) and Normal-POAG (left nasal quadrant).

**DISCUSSION**

Glaucoma is the second leading cause of blindness worldwide. The main goal of glaucoma management is to diagnose when patients are asymptomatic. Visual field testing is important in the diagnosis and monitoring of glaucoma, however, standard perimetry alone cannot detect visual field defects until 20%-40% of ganglion cells have been lost. Retinal nerve fiber layer defects have been detected earlier than visual field defects with Optical coherence tomography. Measuring RNFL thickness by OCT helps in quantitative assessment of glaucomatous structural loss.

In our study the mean age (±SD) was 62.03 years (±13.39 years) in POAG group, 56.03 years (±12.61 years) in GS group and 50.57 years (±9.01years) in normal group and was statistically significant. A study in India noted that the mean age ±SD was 57.4±10.4 years in glaucomatous eyes, 51.6±10.5 years in eyes with ocular hypertension and 51.1±12.9 years in normal eyes and was significantly higher in patients with glaucoma compared with normal patients and patients with ocular hypertension. Our results were similar to a study in Greece which noted superior quadrant RNFL thickness was thickest (136.7±18) followed by inferior (131.4±20.6), nasal (107.2±17.8) and temporal (79.5±15.3). Our study was different from the study done in Greece which noted superior quadrant RNFL thickness was thickest (136.7±18) followed by inferior (134.5±18.1), nasal (107.2±17.8) and temporal (79.5±15.3). A study by Mansoori et al reported that mean RNFL thickness was 112.5±6.5µm in normal eyes, 110.1±10.9µm in eyes with ocular hypertension and 93.4±16.9µm in glaucomatous eyes. Mean RNFL thickness showed a significant difference between glaucomatous eyes, normal eyes and eyes with ocular hypertension. Mean RNFL thickness between normal eyes with ocular hypertension wasn't statistically significant.

Our study was different from the study done in Greece which noted superior quadrant RNFL was thickest (136.7±18) followed by inferior (134.5±18.1), nasal (107.2±17.8) and temporal (79.5±15.3). Anton et al reported that mean RNFL thickness around the disc and superior and inferior RNFL thickness was significantly thinner in glaucomatous eyes than in eyes with ocular hypertension or normal eyes. They found no significant difference in RNFL parameters between eyes with ocular hypertension and normal eyes. Most studies using Stratus OCT have identified the average and inferior average RNFL thickness as the best discrimination between normal and glaucomatous eyes. Our study also found inferior quadrant RNFL thickness to be the best parameter to differentiate between normal and glaucoma.

In our study, the RNFL thickness difference was statistically significant in all the quadrants except nasal between the study groups. However, only the superior and inferior RNFL was thinned significantly between normal and GS eyes. It may be due to the fact that the superior and inferior poles of the optic nerve head are more vulnerable to glaucomatous damage. A significant difference in quadratic RNFL thickness across all comparison groups except Normal vs GS in which nasal and temporal
RNFL thickness did not differ significantly as reported from a study by Khanal et al. Guedes et al reported that mean RNFL was the only parameter in which a statistically significant difference was observed between the normal and GS groups.

In conclusion, this study showed that there was statistical significance in RNFL thickness in POAG group as compared to GS and normal groups. RNFL thickness measurement by OCT can be used as an early tool to differentiate between normal, glaucoma suspect and glaucomatous eyes. This could help in early diagnosis and proper management of glaucoma patients. It would also help in the follow up of these patients.
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