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Abstract. Biannual mass azithromycin distribution reduces all-cause child mortality in some settings in sub-Saharan Africa; however, adverse events and short-term infectious outcomes following treatment have not been well characterized. Children aged 0–59 months were recruited in Nouna Town, Burkina Faso, and randomized 1:1 to a single directly observed oral 20 mg/kg dose of azithromycin or placebo. At 14 days after treatment, caregivers were interviewed about adverse event symptoms their child experienced since treatment and if they had sought health care for their child. All children had tympanic temperature measured at the 14-day visit. We compared adverse events and clinic visits using logistic regression models between azithromycin- and placebo-controlled children. Of 450 children enrolled, 230 were randomized to azithromycin and 220 to placebo. On average, children were aged 28 months, and 50.9% were female. Caregivers of 20% of children reported that their child experienced at least one adverse event, with no significant difference between study arms (19.9% azithromycin; 20.0% placebo, logistic regression P = 0.96). Vomiting was more often reported by caregivers of azithromycin-treated children than by those of placebo-treated children (7.2% azithromycin, 1.9% placebo, logistic regression P = 0.01). There were no significant differences in other adverse events or clinic visits. Adverse events following a single oral dose of azithromycin in preschool children were rare and mild. Azithromycin administration appears safe in this population.

INTRODUCTION

Biannual mass azithromycin distribution to preschool children has been shown to decrease all-cause child mortality in some areas of sub-Saharan Africa.1–3 The mechanism behind this effect is likely due to an overall decrease in infectious burden.4,5 However, mass administration of azithromycin may have some risk of adverse events, including mild gastrointestinal events or more severe adverse events such as infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis (IHPS) or allergic reaction. Adverse events among young children participating in studies of azithromycin for child mortality have not been well described.

Azithromycin for trachoma control has generally been well tolerated. Most adverse events following azithromycin distribution for trachoma control are mild gastrointestinal events, such as nausea and vomiting.6–8 No increase in adverse events was observed in children aged 1–5 months in the Niger site of the Macrolides Oraux pour Réduire les Décès avec un Oeil sur la Résistance (MORDOR) study, although there was a decrease in caregiver-reported diarrhea approximately 1 month after treatment in children receiving azithromycin compared with placebo.8 This may be reflective of a decrease in clinical diarrhea in children receiving azithromycin because of a protective effect of azithromycin, which would further support the mechanistic hypothesis of an overall reduction in infection burden following azithromycin treatment. Serious adverse events may also occur following azithromycin administration. Observational studies have indicated that young infants may be at increased risk of IHPS following macrolide use, including azithromycin, particularly when administered before 42 days of life.9 Active surveillance for nonserious adverse events was not undertaken in children aged 6 months and older in MORDOR.

The Gut and Azithromycin Mechanisms in Infants and Neonates study was designed to assess changes in the microbiome, infection, and growth in children aged 0–59 months over a 6-month period following a single oral dose of azithromycin compared with placebo. Here, we report adverse events and clinic visits during the 2-week period immediately after treatment to better understand the safety and short-term impact of azithromycin compared with placebo in young children.

METHODS

Study setting. This study took place in Nouna town, Burkina Faso. Nouna is the capital of Kossi Province in northwestern Burkina Faso and has approximately 20,000 residents. Nouna is situated within the Nouna Health and Demographic Surveillance Site, which has been operating in Nouna town and 58 surrounding communities since 1992.10 This study was reviewed and approved by the institutional review boards at the University of California, San Francisco, and the Comité National d’Éthique pour la Recherche (National Ethics Committee of Burkina Faso) in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. Written informed consent was obtained from the caregiver of each study participant.

Eligibility and recruitment. Children were eligible for participation in the trial if they were aged between 8 days and 59 months, lived in Nouna town, were planning to be available for the full 6-month duration of the study, could feed orally (to ensure they could take the study medication), and had no known allergies to macrolides. Children were recruited by mobilization in the community. Mobilizers visited households with children younger than 5 years, informed caregivers about
the study, and caregivers were instructed to bring their children to Nouna district hospital for eligibility assessment and enrollment. To facilitate sample collection for some study outcomes, all study procedures took place at Nouna district hospital.

### Baseline procedures

After the eligibility assessment, caregivers completed a brief baseline questionnaire, which included questions related to the child’s breastfeeding status and maternal characteristics including the mother’s age and education. Anthropometric measurements, including height, weight, and mid-upper arm circumference, were taken from all children. Standing height was taken for children who could stand or recumbent length for those who could not (Shorr-Board, Weight and Measure LLC, Olney, MD). Children were weighed standing if able or in the arms of a caregiver (Seca 874 flat floor scale, Seca GMBH & Co., Hamburg, Germany). Height-for-age, weight-for-age, and weight-for-height Z-scores were calculated based on WHO 2006 standards.

### Randomization

Children were randomized in a 1:1 fashion to a single dose of oral azithromycin or placebo. The randomization list was generated without stratification or blocking by the trial biostatistician in R version 3.6.1 (the R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) using a masked seed value.

### Interventions and masking

Both azithromycin and placebo were prepared as a pediatric oral suspension. Weight-based dosing was used for children younger than 12 months (equivalent to 20 mg/kg), and a height stick approximation was used for children older than 12 months, as in trachoma studies and in the MORDOR study. Azithromycin and placebo were identical in appearance and taste to facilitate masking. To ensure masking, each child’s study identification number was linked to a treatment letter. Study treatment bottles were labeled with letters, and each child was treated with medication from a bottle that corresponded to their treatment letter. The only difference in the appearance of the medication bottles was the study treatment letter. Study participants, outcome assessor, and study staff and investigators were masked to treatment assignment. Treatment was administered at the Nouna district hospital following completion of all baseline assessments and was directly observed. Allocation concealment was achieved by linking study identification numbers to treatment letters that only appeared in the study’s electronic data capture system after the child was enrolled.

### Outcome assessment

Children returned to the hospital approximately 2 weeks after treatment. During this visit, caregivers completed a brief interview about symptoms their child experienced in the previous 2 weeks following treatment, including abdominal pain, vomiting, diarrhea, constipation, and skin rash. Caregivers were asked about experience of any of these symptoms since treatment. These adverse events were based on previous findings from azithromycin studies for child mortality and trachoma. Caregivers were also asked if they had sought care for their child in the previous 2 weeks, and if so, the diagnosis (e.g., malaria, pneumonia, and diarrhea). Each child’s tympanic temperature was measured. Although no children younger than 28 days were enrolled, the study’s protocol specified increased adverse event screening for these children, following an identical protocol to that of an ongoing randomized controlled trial of neonatal azithromycin distribution for the prevention of infant mortality.

Children enrolled at < 28 days of age are followed weekly for 3 weeks following enrollment. If a caregiver reports vomiting, children are screened for projectile vomiting and other signs of IHPS and referred for a diagnostic ultrasound if indicated.

### Sample size determination

The sample size was based on the primary outcome for the trial, Shannon’s diversity index of the gut microbiome.

### Statistical methods

Baseline characteristics of the study sample were calculated as means and SDs for continuous variables and proportions for categorical variables. All outcomes were dichotomous in this analysis. We calculated the proportion of participants experiencing any adverse event (caregiver reported at least one) by study arm, and each adverse event individually. We calculated proportions with fever at the time of the follow-up visit (defined as tympanic temperature > 37.5°C). Finally, we calculated the proportion of participants with any clinic visit and specific reasons for clinic visits. We calculated odds ratios for each outcome separately using a logistic regression model predicting the odds of the outcome with the randomized treatment arm as the predictor. We then calculated age-stratified proportions and odds ratios for all adverse events in categories of 0–11 months, 12–23 months, and 24–59 months. Because the primary purpose was to evaluate safety endpoints, we did not use multiple comparison corrections to be conservative. All analyses were intention-to-treat and included all randomized children, regardless of whether or not they received their randomized assignment. All analyses were conducted in R version 3.6.1 (the R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

### RESULTS

Of 450 children screened, all were eligible and enrolled in November 2019; 230 were randomized to azithromycin and 220 to placebo (Figure 1). No children younger than 42 days were enrolled in this study. Children were similarly aged in the azithromycin (mean age 28 months, SD 15 months) and placebo (mean age 28 months, SD 14 months) and 53% and 49% of children in the azithromycin and placebo groups were female, respectively (Table 1). Of the 450 children enrolled, 441 (98%) received study treatment. Of the nine children recorded to not have received study treatment, six (66.7%) were reported to have spit it up and three (33.3%) were reported to have vomited. There were no significant differences in receiving treatment between arms (98.7% received treatment in the azithromycin arm and 97.3% received treatment in the placebo arm, P = 0.33).

Caregivers of 20% of children reported that their child had experienced at least one adverse event in the 14-day period following treatment, with no difference in report of adverse events between study arms (19.9% azithromycin; 20.0% placebo, odds ratio [OR] = 0.99, 95% CI: 0.62–1.59, P = 0.96; Table 2). The most commonly reported adverse event was fever, which was reported in 16.7% of children in both study arms (OR: 1.00, 95% CI: 0.50–1.66, P > 0.99), followed by diarrhea (8.1% azithromycin, 6.2% placebo, OR: 1.34, 95% CI: 0.04–24.0, P = 0.44). Vomiting was more often reported by caregivers of azithromycin-treated children than by those of placebo-treated children (7.2% azithromycin, 1.9% placebo, OR: 4.0, 95% CI: 1.44–14.1, P = 0.01). There was no significant evidence of a difference in other adverse events or in tympanic temperature (Table 2). There were no differences in adverse events in age-stratified models (Table 3).

Approximately 5% of caregivers reported that they sought health care for their child during the 14-day period following
treatment. Although the occurrence of primary healthcare visits was lower in azithromycin- than in placebo-treated children, this difference was not statistically significant (4.1% azithromycin, 6.2% placebo, OR: 0.64, 95% CI: 0.28–1.52, \( P = 0.32 \); Table 4). Malaria was the most common reason for seeking care, but there was no significant difference in specific reasons for care-seeking by study arm.

**DISCUSSION**

We documented an increase in vomiting in the 2-week period following treatment in azithromycin- compared with placebo-treated children, consistent with well-described gastrointestinal side effects associated with azithromycin use.\(^{14,15}\) Biannual mass azithromycin distribution has been shown to lead to a decrease in all-cause child mortality in some settings.\(^{1,2,16,17}\) However, the MORDOR study was a large simple trial,\(^{18}\) and was not designed to evaluate individual-level outcomes, including adverse events. A sub-study of the original MORDOR study demonstrated no significant difference in adverse events in children aged 1–5 months.\(^{8}\) In this sub-study, there was no significant difference in vomiting in azithromycin- compared with placebo-treated infants. However, vomiting was much more common in both arms in the infant sub-study than in the present study, as expected with this younger age-group. Any increase in vomiting in azithromycin-treated infants may have been masked by an overall increase in vomiting between the two arms. Studies of azithromycin administration to neonates for a variety of indications have generally found low prevalence of gastrointestinal side effects, with the most common side effect reported being vomiting.\(^{14,15}\) Vomiting can be a symptom of IHPS, a rare but serious condition in neonates and young infants that requires surgical intervention. In observational studies, macrolide use has been suggested to increase the risk of IHPS in infants younger than 42 days.\(^{9}\) Although we did not enroll any infants younger than 42 days and this study was not specifically designed to evaluate the role of macrolides on IHPS, we had rigorous screening protocols in place for children in this age range. No deaths occurred during the present study.

| Table 1 | Baseline characteristics by study arm (N = 450) |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
|         | Azithromycin (N = 230) | Placebo (N = 220)* |
| Female gender, n (%) | 122 (53.0) | 107 (48.9) |
| Mean age (SD) (months) | 28 (15) | 28 (14) |
| Currently breastfeeding, n (%) | 93 (40.4) | 78 (35.6) |
| Mean weight-for-height Z-score (SD) | −0.54 (1.3) | −0.35 (1.2) |
| Mean height-for-age Z-score (SD) | −1.0 (1.3) | −0.9 (1.4) |
| Mean mid-upper arm circumference (SD) (cm) | 14.2 (1.3) | 14.4 (1.2) |
| Mean mother’s age (SD) (years) | 28 (6.5) | 28 (6.4) |
| Mother’s education, n (%) | | |
| None | 120 (52.2) | 121 (55.0) |
| Primary | 61 (26.5) | 56 (25.5) |
| Secondary or higher | 49 (21.3) | 42 (19.1) |

*Baseline survey missing for one participant in the placebo arm; responses may not add to 100%.
study, and no children were diagnosed with IHPS, suggesting that none of the vomiting cases reported in this study were related to IHPS. An ongoing large randomized controlled trial is evaluating the safety of azithromycin specifically in neonates, and is expected to provide more definitive evidence of any effect of azithromycin on IHPS in neonates.13

We were unable to demonstrate a difference in other adverse events (e.g., diarrhea and fever) or clinic visits between children treated with azithromycin compared with placebo-treated children. These adverse events could be due to common childhood infections (e.g., enteric pathogens or malaria). They could be either decreased (via reduction in infection burden) or increased (via common gastrointestinal side effects of azithromycin, in the case of diarrhea) in children receiving azithromycin compared with placebo. An analysis of a sub-study of the MORDOR trial found a significant decrease in malaria parasitemia in communities receiving azithromycin compared with placebo,4 and azithromycin for trachoma treatment was via reduction in community transmission rather than individual-level effects. Both MORDOR and trachoma studies treat entire communities, and children may benefit from the effects of such mass community treatment because of reductions in pathogen transmission. Individually treated children would not benefit from an overall reduction in community transmission. Second, we assessed outcomes at 14 days after treatment. The duration may have been too short to show meaningful effects, and any effects likely would be via treatment of existing infection. The study was designed and powered for the microbiome outcome, which is more sensitive than the binary indicators in this analysis, and if the effect of treatment was via reduction in current infection, the study may have been underpowered to detect effects.

The results of this study must be considered in the context of several limitations. Children in this study were recruited in Nouna and Burkina Faso found a decrease in clinic visits and non-malarial fever in children receiving azithromycin plus SMC compared with SMC alone.21 The same study showed no difference in adverse events between study arms.21 There are several reasons why our results may have differed from previous studies. First, reductions in childhood infections may be mediated by reduction in community transmission rather than individual-level effects. Both MORDOR and trachoma studies treat entire communities, and children may benefit from the effects of such mass community treatment because of reductions in pathogen transmission. Individually treated children would not benefit from an overall reduction in community transmission.

### Table 2

| Any adverse event* | Azithromycin (N = 221), n (%) | Placebo (N = 209), n (%) | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Any adverse event* | 44 (19.9)                    | 42 (20.0)                | 0.99 (0.62–1.59) | 0.96   |
| Fever (self-report)* | 37 (16.7)                   | 35 (16.7)                | 1.00 (0.60–1.66) | > 0.99 |
| Abdominal pain* | 0 (0.0)                      | 2 (1.0)                  | N/A         | N/A    |
| Vomiting* | 16 (7.2)                      | 4 (1.9)                  | 4.0 (1.44–14.1) | 0.01   |
| Diarrhea* | 18 (8.1)                      | 13 (6.2)                 | 1.34 (0.64–2.86) | 0.44   |
| Constipation* | 1 (0.4)                      | 1 (0.5)                  | 0.95 (0.04–24.0) | 0.97   |
| Skin rash* | 0 (0.0)                      | 1 (0.5)                  | N/A         | N/A    |
| Fever, tympanic† | 21 (9.5)                     | 14 (6.7)                 | 1.45 (0.72–2.99) | 0.30   |

* No children < 1 month of age were enrolled.
† Care-giver reported adverse events occurring at any time during the 14-day post-treatment period.

### Table 3

| Age-stratified adverse events following azithromycin or placebo treatment among children aged 0–59 months (N = 430) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Any adverse event† | Azithromycin N = 23, n (%) | Placebo N = 26, n (%) | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Age 0–11 months* | Any adverse event† | 9 (39.1) | 7 (26.9) | 1.74 (0.53–6.0) | 0.37   |
| Fever (self-report)† | 8 (34.8) | 6 (23.1) | 1.78 (0.51–6.47) | 0.37   |
| Abdominal pain† | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Vomiting† | 4 (17.4) | 1 (3.9) | 5.26 (0.71–107.5) | 0.15   |
| Diarrhea† | 3 (13.0) | 1 (3.9) | 3.75 (0.44 to 79.1) | 0.27   |
| Constipation† | 1 (4.4) | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Skin rash† | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Age 12–23 months | Any adverse event† | 15 (20.0) | 13 (25.0) | 0.75 (0.32–1.76) | 0.50   |
| Fever (self-report)† | 12 (16.0) | 9 (17.3) | 0.91 (0.35–2.41) | 0.85   |
| Abdominal pain† | 0 | 1 (1.9) | N/A | N/A |
| Vomiting† | 5 (6.7) | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Diarrhea† | 11 (14.7) | 8 (15.4) | 0.95 (0.35–2.62) | 0.91   |
| Constipation† | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Skin rash† | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Age 24–59 months | Any adverse event† | 20 (16.3) | 22 (16.8) | 0.96 (0.49–1.87) | 0.91   |
| Fever (self-report)† | 17 (13.8) | 20 (15.3) | 0.89 (0.44–1.79) | 0.74   |
| Abdominal pain† | 0 | 1 (0.8) | N/A | N/A |
| Vomiting† | 7 (5.7) | 3 (2.3) | 2.57 (0.70–12.2) | 0.18   |
| Diarrhea† | 4 (3.3) | 4 (3.1) | 1.07 (0.25–4.60) | 0.93   |
| Constipation† | 0 | 1 (0.8) | N/A | N/A |
| Skin rash† | 0 | 1 (0.8) | N/A | N/A |

* No children < 1 month of age were enrolled.
† Care-giver reported adverse events occurring at any time during the 14-day post-treatment period.
town, which is peri-urban and may have better access to health care than rural areas, higher vaccination coverage, and lower mortality rates. Although we expect adverse events following azithromycin to be broadly similar in children in rural versus urban settings, the prevalence of infectious outcomes may have been lower in these children than would be expected in a more rural setting. As previously mentioned, this study was powered for the primary outcome (microbiome) and was likely underpowered to detect differences in less common outcomes, such as clinic visits. With the exception of tympanic temperature, outcomes were reported by caregivers who may have over- or under-reported visits. However, because the study was placebo-controlled and masked, we do not believe reporting would have been different with respect to study arm, and thus would attenuate effects toward the null. Furthermore, the short duration of follow-up would likely reduce the probability of misclassification of outcomes by caregiver report.

Single oral dose of azithromycin led to an increase in vomiting over the 2-week period following treatment, but no other differences in adverse events posttreatment. Most adverse events following azithromycin are mild and gastrointestinal, so this result was not surprising. These data support the safety of azithromycin when given to young children for prevention of mortality.
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