The Mass and Axial Charge of The Heavy Baryon

Nan Jiang, Xiao-Lin Chen, and Shi-Lin Zhu

1Department of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
2Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing 100871, China

We investigate the anti-triplet and sextet heavy baryon systems with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^+$ in the framework of the heavy baryon chiral perturbation theory (HBChPT). We first calculate the chiral corrections to the heavy baryon mass from the SU(3) flavor breaking effect up to $O(p^3)$. Then we extend the same formalism to calculate the chiral corrections to the axial charges of the heavy baryons in the isospin symmetry limit.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

With the discovery of the Higgs Bosons, all particles within the standard model were established. However, the low energy behavior of the strong interaction remains extremely challenging due to the complicated infrared structure of quantum chromodynamics (QCD). Compared with the light mesons and baryons, the heavy flavored hadron systems containing a single heavy quark are particularly interesting. In fact, there exists the additional heavy quark spin and flavor symmetry when the heavy quark mass goes to infinity. The observables can be expanded in terms of $1/m_Q$ where $m_Q$ is the heavy quark mass.

When the two light quarks within the ground state heavy baryon are in the flavor anti-triplet, the quantum number of the heavy baryon is $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$. When the two light quarks are in the symmetric flavor sextet, the quantum number of the heavy baryon can be either $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$ or $\frac{3}{2}^+$. In the recent years, many charmed and bottomed baryons were observed experimentally [1]. On the other hand, the scattering lengths of heavy baryons with Goldstone bosons were calculated in Refs. [2, 3]. The possible deuteron-like hadronic molecular states composed of two heavy baryons were investigated in Ref. [4]. The pionic coupling constants of the heavy baryons played an important role in the above work.

In this work, we will investigate the heavy anti-triplet and sextet systems. We will calculate the chiral corrections to the heavy baryon mass and axial charge from the SU(3) flavor breaking effects by employing HBChPT. We adopt the heavy quark limit and discard all the recoil corrections. We include the corrections up to $O(p^3)$ from both the strong and electromagnetic interaction. There were many references on the chiral corrections to the axial currents of the nucleon octet [5-8]. We adopt the same approach to study the axial charges of the heavy baryons.

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we introduce the effective chiral Lagrangians at the leading order. In section 3, we calculate the chiral corrections to the masses of the anti-triplet and sextet baryon systems. In section 4, we calculate the chiral corrections to the axial charges in the isospin symmetry limit. The last section is a short summary.

II. THE HBCHPT FORMALISM

The approximate chiral symmetry and its spontaneous breaking play an important role in the low energy hadron interaction. Chiral perturbation theory (ChPT) [9] provides a systematic expansion of the physical observables in terms of small momentum $p$ and the mass of Goldstone bosons $m$. In fact, ChPT has been widely used to study the low-energy hadron interaction.

In the early stage, ChPT was employed to study the purely mesonic system [10, 11]. Later it was extended to discuss the baryon-meson system [6-8]. At the lowest order, the couplings between the baryon and pseudoscalar
mesons ($\pi, K, \eta$) are solely governed by chiral dynamics. With the consistent power counting scheme, one can construct the effective Lagrangians of the meson baryon system and calculate physical quantities order by order [15, 16].

In order to deal with the heavy baryon system, the heavy baryon chiral perturbation theory (HBChPT) was developed [17–20], which provides a convenient framework to make a dual expansion in terms of both the small momentum and $\Lambda$, where $M$ is the heavy baryon mass. On the other hand, the infrared regularization scheme was introduced to preserve both the power counting and analyticity in the framework of the relativistic baryon ChPT [21]. In this work, we use HBChPT to investigate the heavy baryon systems.

For the heavy baryons multiplet (Qqq), only the two light quarks participate in the flavor transformation with the heavy quark Q acting as a spectator. The pseudoscalar meson field $s$ and spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ are related terms in formulas till the numerical analysis.

The relationships are

\[ \phi = \left( \begin{array}{c} \pi^0 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2} \eta} \pi^+ \\ \sqrt{2} \pi^- \end{array} \right), \quad B_3 = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \Lambda^+_c & \Xi^+_c \\ -\Lambda^+_c & 0 & \Xi^0_c \\ -\Xi^+_c & -\Xi^0_c & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad B_6 = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^{++}_c & \Lambda^{++}_c & \Xi^{++}_c \\ 1 & \Sigma^{+}_c & \Xi^{+}_c \\ \Sigma^{0}_c & \Xi^{0}_c & \Omega^{0}_c \end{array} \right) \]

The spin-$\frac{3}{2}$ baryons $B^{\mu}_{0,1,2}$ are the so-called Rarita-Schwinger vector-spinor fields [22], which are similar to $B_6$. We adopt the nonlinear realization of the chiral symmetry and its spontaneous breaking and introduce the following building blocks.

\[ U(x) = e^{\frac{i}{\Lambda} \bar{\phi}(x)}, \quad u^2 = U \]
\[ \Gamma_\mu = \frac{1}{2}(u^\dagger \partial_\mu u + u \partial_\mu u^\dagger) \]
\[ u_\mu = \frac{i}{2}(u^\dagger \partial_\mu u - u \partial_\mu u^\dagger) \]
\[ D_\mu B = \partial_\mu B + \Gamma_\mu B + B \Gamma^T_\mu \]

The superscript $T$ denotes the transpose in the flavor space. The pion decay constant $F_0 \approx 92.4$ MeV. The leading order pseudoscalar meson and heavy baryon Lagrangian is $O(p^3)$, which reads [23]

\[ L^{(1)}_0 = \frac{1}{2} tr[B_3(i\partial \cdot M_3)B_3] + tr[B_6(i\partial \cdot M_6)B_6] + tr[\tilde{B}_6^{\mu\nu}(-\gamma_\mu \partial_\nu M_6) + i(\gamma_5 D_\mu + \gamma_\mu D_5) - \gamma_\mu (i\partial \cdot M_6)\gamma_\nu]B_6^{\mu\nu}] \]

\[ L^{(1)}_{\text{int}} = g_1 tr(\tilde{B}_6 \cdot \gamma_5 B_3) + g_2 tr(B_6 \cdot \gamma_5 B_3 + h.c.) + g_3[tr(\tilde{B}_6^{\mu\nu} u_\mu B_6) + h.c.] + g_4[tr(\tilde{B}_6^{\mu\nu} u_\mu B_3) + h.c.] + g_5 tr(\tilde{B}_6^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 B_6^{\mu\nu}) + g_6 tr(\tilde{B}_6^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 B_3) \]

where $L^{(1)}_0$ is the free part and $L^{(1)}_{\text{int}}$ contains the interaction at $O(p^3)$. From the quark model and flavor SU(3) symmetry, the axial coupling constants $g_1 = 0.98$ [2, 3], $g_1 = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3} g_2}$ [23]. The heavy quark spin flavor symmetry leads to the following relations among these coupling constants, i.e., $g_3 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3} g_1}, g_5 = -\frac{3}{2} g_1, g_4 = -\sqrt{3} g_3$ [23]. Within the anti-triplet, the total angular momentum of the two light quarks is zero. The conservation of the angular moment and parity forbids the coupling of pseudoscalar mesons with the anti-triplet heavy baryons, hence $g_6 = 0$. However, we keep the $g_6$-related terms in formulas till the numerical analysis.

The heavy baryon formulation of ChPT consists in an expansion in terms of $\frac{k}{4\pi F_0}$ and $\frac{k}{M_B}$, where $k$ is the small residual component of external baryon. In the framework of HBChPT, the baryon field $B$ is decomposed into the large (or light) component $N$ and the small (or heavy) component $\mathcal{H}$. By using the path integral theory, the small component can be integrated out. Thus, the reduced effective Lagrangian only relies on the large component [13]. There relationships are

\[ B = e^{-i m v \cdot x} (N + \mathcal{H}) \]
\[ N = e^{i m v \cdot x} \frac{1}{2} B, \quad \mathcal{H} = e^{i m v \cdot x} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\not{v}}{2} B, \]
where $v^\mu$ is the on-shell velocity. For the spin-$\frac{3}{2}$ baryon, the large component is denoted as $T^\mu$. In the heavy quark limit, the nonrelativistic Lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} tr[N_3(i v \cdot D)N_3] + tr[N_6(i v \cdot D - \delta_1)N_6] + tr(\bar{T}^\rho[-g_{\mu\sigma}(iv \cdot D - \delta_2)]T^\sigma)$$

$$+ 2g_2 tr(N_3S \cdot uN_3) + 2g_2 tr(N_6S \cdot uN_6) + h.c.] + g_3 [tr(T^\mu u_\nu N_6) + h.c.]$$

$$+ g_4 [tr(T^\mu u_\nu \delta_3) + h.c.] + 2g_5 tr(T^\sigma S \cdot u\sigma_\nu) + 2g_6 tr(N_3S \cdot u\delta_3)$$

(5)

The mass difference parameters are defined as $\delta_1 = M_6 - M_3, \delta_2 = M_6 - M_6$. In the isospin symmetry limit, $\delta_1 = 126.52$ MeV, $\delta_2 = 67.03$ MeV. Here, we choose the average mass of the spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ anti-triplet, sextet and spin-$\frac{3}{2}$ sextet baryons as $M_3 = 2286.46$ MeV, $M_6 = 2454.02$ MeV, $M_6' = 2518.4$ MeV respectively.

### III. THE HEAVY BARYON MASS

In the framework of HBChPT, the correction to the self energy of the heavy baryons from the explicit flavor SU(3) breaking terms is $O(p^2)$. The one loop chiral correction appears at $O(p^3)$.

#### A. The Counter Terms

The SU(3) flavor symmetry-breaking (SB) Lagrangian at $O(p^2)$ reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{bc}^{(2)} = b_1 tr(\bar{B}_6 \chi + \bar{B}_6) + b_5 g_{\mu\nu} tr(\bar{B}_6^\mu \chi + \bar{B}_6^\nu) + b_6 tr(\bar{B}_3 \chi + \bar{B}_3)$$

$$+ c_1 tr(\bar{B}_6 B_6) tr\chi + c_5 g_{\mu\nu} tr(\bar{B}_6^\mu B_6^\nu) tr\chi + c_6 tr(\bar{B}_3 B_3) tr\chi$$

(6)

where

$$\chi = 2B_0 M, \quad \mathcal{M} = \text{diag}(m_u, m_d, m_s)$$

$$\chi_+ = u^\dagger \chi u + u\chi^\dagger u = 2\chi + O(\phi^2)$$

$m_u, m_d, m_s$ are the $u, d, s$ quark mass. The constant $B_0$ is related to the quark condensate. In the ChPT framework, the divergence from the loop diagram is absorbed by the counter terms at the same or lower orders. All the self-energy functions $\Sigma$ of counter terms are listed as follows:

- $\Sigma_{bc}(\Sigma^0_c) = 4b_1 B_0 m_d + 4B_0 c_1 m_d + 4B_0 c_1 m_s + 4B_0 c_1 m_u$
- $\Sigma_{bc}(\Xi^0_c) = 2b_1 B_0 m_d + 4B_0 c_1 m_d + 2b_1 B_0 m_s + 4B_0 c_1 m_s + 4B_0 c_1 m_u$
- $\Sigma_{bc}(\Omega^0_c) = 4B_0 c_1 m_d + 4B_0 c_1 m_s + 4B_0 c_1 m_u$
- $\Sigma_{bc}(\Sigma^+_c) = 2b_1 B_0 m_d + 4B_0 c_1 m_d + 4B_0 c_1 m_s + 2b_1 B_0 m_u + 4B_0 c_1 m_u$
- $\Sigma_{bc}(\Xi^+_c) = 4B_0 c_1 m_d + 2b_1 B_0 m_s + 4B_0 c_1 m_s + 2b_1 B_0 m_u + 4B_0 c_1 m_u$
- $\Sigma_{bc}(\Sigma^c_+^c) = 4B_0 c_1 m_d + 4B_0 c_1 m_s + 4b_1 B_0 m_u + 4B_0 c_1 m_u$

- $\Sigma_{bc}(\Xi^c_+) = 4b_6 B_0 m_d + 8B_0 c_6 m_d + 4b_6 B_0 m_s + 8B_0 c_6 m_s + 8B_0 c_6 m_u$
- $\Sigma_{bc}(\Lambda^c_+) = 4b_6 B_0 m_d + 8B_0 c_6 m_d + 8B_0 c_6 m_s + 4b_6 B_0 m_u + 8B_0 c_6 m_u$
- $\Sigma_{bc}(\Xi^c_+) = 8B_0 c_6 m_d + 4b_6 B_0 m_s + 8B_0 c_6 m_s + 4b_6 B_0 m_u + 8B_0 c_6 m_u$

- $\Sigma_{bc}(\Sigma^c_+) = -4b_5 B_0 m_d - 4B_0 c_5 m_d - 4b_5 B_0 m_s - 4B_0 c_5 m_s - 4B_0 c_5 m_u$
- $\Sigma_{bc}(\Xi^c_+) = -2b_5 B_0 m_d - 4B_0 c_5 m_d - 2b_5 B_0 m_s - 4B_0 c_5 m_s - 4B_0 c_5 m_u$
- $\Sigma_{bc}(\Omega^c_+) = -4B_0 c_5 m_d - 4b_5 B_0 m_s - 4B_0 c_5 m_s - 4B_0 c_5 m_u$
- $\Sigma_{bc}(\Sigma^c_+) = -2b_5 B_0 m_d - 4B_0 c_5 m_d - 4B_0 c_5 m_s - 2b_5 B_0 m_u - 4B_0 c_5 m_u$
- $\Sigma_{bc}(\Xi^c_+) = -4B_0 c_5 m_d - 2b_5 B_0 m_s - 4B_0 c_5 m_s - 2b_5 B_0 m_u - 4B_0 c_5 m_u$
- $\Sigma_{bc}(\Omega^c_+) = -4B_0 c_5 m_d - 4b_5 B_0 m_s - 4B_0 c_5 m_s - 4b_5 B_0 m_u - 4B_0 c_5 m_u$
B. Loop Contribution

The lowest order loop correction is \( O(p^3) \) where the interaction vertex in Figure 1 arises from \( \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(1)} \). The single line represents a spin-\( \frac{1}{2} \) baryon and double line a spin-\( \frac{3}{2} \) baryon. In the computation of the Feynman diagrams, we need the spin projection operators \( P_{(33),\mu}^{(3)} \) of the spin-\( \frac{3}{2} \) heavy baryons \( \text{[24–26]} \). Some properties of the spin projection operator and the Pauli-Lubanski spin operator \( S^{\mu} \) are collected in Appendix VI D.

The self-energy function can be written as

\[
\Sigma_{6,3,1} = C_{6,3}(A_{6,3,1} + B_{6,3,1}\epsilon)f(m,\omega)
\]
\[
\Sigma_{6,3,II} = C_{6,3}(A_{6,3,II} + B_{6,3,II}\epsilon)f(m,\omega)
\]
\[
\Sigma_{6^*,1} = C_{6^*}(A_{6^*,1} + B_{6^*,1}\epsilon)f(m,\omega)
\]
\[
\Sigma_{6^*,II} = C_{6^*}(A_{6^*,II} + B_{6^*,II}\epsilon)f(m,\omega)
\]

(7)

(8)

The function \( f(m,\omega) \) is defined in the Appendix VI C. The parameters \( A \) and \( B \) are related to the dimension \( d = 4 - \epsilon \) in the dimensional regularization. For the spin-\( \frac{1}{2} \) particles \( A_I + B_I\epsilon = -\frac{1}{4}, A_{II} + B_{II}\epsilon = \frac{d-2}{d-1} \). For the spin-\( \frac{3}{2} \) particles, \( A_I + B_I\epsilon = -\frac{(d+1)(d-3)}{4(d-1)^2}, A_{II} + B_{II}\epsilon = \frac{1}{d-1} \). The coefficients \( C \) are listed in Table VII in the appendix.

We calculate the loop contribution for each type of diagrams listed in Figure 1 separately. The intermediate and external baryons have the same spin for the type-I loops while their spin is different for the type-II loops. Throughout our calculation, we use the \( \text{MS} \) (modified minimal subtraction) scheme. The simplest case corresponds to \( \delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0 \), where the self-energy correction has a very simple form,

\[
\Sigma_{\text{loop}} \propto m^3_{\phi}
\]

where \( m_{\phi} \) is the pseudoscalar meson mass.

C. QED Effect

The mass splitting of the isospin multiplets mainly arises from QED effects and the mass difference between up and down quarks. Light quarks have different charges. In fact, the tree-level QED correction starts at \( O(p^2) \). They
also act as the counter terms.

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{QED}}^{(2)66} = e_1^{66} \text{tr}(\bar{B}_0 Q_+^2 B_0) + e_2^{66} \text{tr}(\bar{B}_0 Q_+ B_0)\text{tr}Q_+ + e_3^{66} \text{tr}(\bar{B}_0 B_0)\text{tr}Q_+^2 \\
+ e_4^{66} \text{tr}(\bar{B}_0 Q_+ B_0 Q_+^2) \\
\mathcal{L}_{\text{QED}}^{(2)33} = e_1^{33} \text{tr}(\bar{B}_3 Q_+^2 B_3) + e_2^{33} \text{tr}(\bar{B}_3 Q_+ B_3)\text{tr}Q_+ + e_3^{33} \text{tr}(\bar{B}_3 B_3)\text{tr}Q_+^2 \\
\mathcal{L}_{\text{QED}}^{(2)6^6} = e_4^{6^6} \rho_{\mu\nu} \text{tr}(\bar{B}_0^\mu Q_+^2 B_0^\nu) + e_5^{6^6} \rho_{\mu\nu} \text{tr}(\bar{B}_0^\mu Q_+ B_0^\nu)\text{tr}Q_+ + e_6^{6^6} \rho_{\mu\nu} \text{tr}(\bar{B}_0^\mu B_0^\nu)\text{tr}Q_+^2 \\
+ e_7^{6^6} \rho_{\mu\nu} \text{tr}(\bar{B}_0^\mu Q_+ B_0^\nu Q_+^2) \\
\] (9)

where

\[
Q_+ = \frac{1}{2}(u^\dagger Qu + uQu^\dagger)
\]

\[
Q = 2q_l + q_c I = e \text{ diag}(2,0,0)
\]

\[
q_l = e \text{ diag}(\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}), \quad q_c = \frac{2}{3} e
\]

To some extent, the above effective Lagrangians mimics the electromagnetic spin-flavor interaction in the quark model, which arises from the hard photon exchange between two constituent quarks and is the important source of the isospin symmetry breaking. All the self-energy functions \(\Sigma\) of QED counter terms are listed as follows:

\[
\Sigma_{\text{QED}}(\Xi_c^0) = 4e_1^{2}e_3^{66}
\]
\[
\Sigma_{\text{QED}}(\Xi_c^0) = 4e_1^{2}e_3^{66}
\]
\[
\Sigma_{\text{QED}}(\bar{\Xi}_c^0) = 4e_1^{2}e_3^{66}
\]
\[
\Sigma_{\text{QED}}(\Xi_c^+) = 2e_1^{2}e_6^{66} + 2e_2^{2}e_6^{66} + 4e_3^{2}e_6^{66}
\]
\[
\Sigma_{\text{QED}}(\Sigma_c^+) = 2e_1^{2}e_6^{66} + 2e_2^{2}e_6^{66} + 4e_3^{2}e_6^{66}
\]
\[
\Sigma_{\text{QED}}(\bar{\Sigma}_c^+) = 4e_1^{2}e_6^{66} + 4e_2^{2}e_6^{66} + 4e_3^{2}e_6^{66} + 4e_4^{2}e_6^{66}
\]
\[
\Sigma_{\text{QED}}(\bar{\Xi}_c^+) = 4e_1^{2}e_6^{66} + 4e_2^{2}e_6^{66} + 4e_3^{2}e_6^{66} + 4e_4^{2}e_6^{66}
\]
\[
\Sigma_{\text{QED}}(\Xi_c^{*0}) = -4e_1^{2}e_3^{6^6} \\
\Sigma_{\text{QED}}(\Sigma_c^{*0}) = -4e_1^{2}e_3^{6^6} \\
\Sigma_{\text{QED}}(\bar{\Sigma}_c^{*0}) = -4e_1^{2}e_3^{6^6} \\
\Sigma_{\text{QED}}(\Xi_c^{*+}) = -2e_1^{2}e_3^{6^6} - 2e_2^{2}e_3^{6^6} - 4e_3^{2}e_6^{6^6} \\
\Sigma_{\text{QED}}(\Sigma_c^{*+}) = -2e_1^{2}e_3^{6^6} - 2e_2^{2}e_3^{6^6} - 4e_3^{2}e_6^{6^6} \\
\Sigma_{\text{QED}}(\bar{\Sigma}_c^{*+}) = -4e_1^{2}e_3^{6^6} - 4e_2^{2}e_3^{6^6} - 4e_3^{2}e_6^{6^6} - 4e_4^{2}e_6^{6^6}
\]

The QED correction may also appear at \(O(p^2)\) from the photon loop. With

\[
r_\mu = l_\mu = -QA_\mu
\]

where \(Q\) is the charge operator and \(A_\mu\) represents the photon field, the chiral connection \(\Gamma_\mu\) is modified as follows

\[
\Gamma_\mu = \frac{1}{2}(u^\dagger \partial_\mu u + u \partial_\mu u^\dagger) - \frac{i}{2}(u^\dagger r_\mu u + u l_\mu u^\dagger)
\]

\[
= \Gamma_\mu^0 + \Gamma_\mu^{r,l}
\] (12)
At the lowest order
\[
\Gamma^\text{QED}_\mu = iA_\mu Q + O(\phi^2),
\]
\[
\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{con, QED}} = -v^\mu A_\mu trN(QN + N'Q'^T)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The correction to the self-energy from the following photon loop vanishes with the infrared regularization as pointed out in Ref. [27].

\[
O(p^3)
\]

The charge operator may also enter \( u_\mu \).
\[
u_\mu = \frac{i}{2}(u^\dagger \partial_\mu u - u \partial_\mu u^\dagger) + \frac{i}{2}[u^\dagger(-i\tau_\mu)u - u(-i\tau_\mu)u^\dagger]
\]
\[
= u_\mu^0 + u_\mu^\dagger
\]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

However, its contribution is of higher order.

To sum up, the heavy baryon mass reads
\[
M = \hat{M} + \Sigma_{bc} + \Sigma_{\text{QED}} + \Sigma_{\text{loop}}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where \( \hat{M} \) is the bare mass without the chiral corrections.

### D. Numerical Results

| Loop contribution | Heavy Baryon Masses |
|-------------------|---------------------|
|                   | case I | case II | case I+II | Experimental data | Fit 1 for case I | Fit 2 for case I | Fit 2 for case I+II |
| \( M_{\Sigma^{++}} \) | -305.00 | -100.87 | -405.87 | 2454.02 | 2449.04 | 2448.38 |
| \( M_{\Sigma^{+}} \) | -307.91 | -101.83 | -409.74 | 2452.90 | 2452.90 | 2452.90 |
| \( M_{\Xi^0} \) | -310.68 | -102.50 | -413.18 | 2453.76 | 2456.90 | 2457.85 |
| \( M_{\Xi^{++}} \) | -512.58 | -177.86 | -690.44 | 2575.60 | 2573.14 | 2574.83 |
| \( M_{\Xi^0} \) | -516.23 | -180.30 | -696.53 | 2577.90 | 2576.25 | 2577.13 |
| \( M_{\Omega^0} \) | -722.19 | -258.91 | -981.09 | 2695.20 | 2695.20 | 2695.20 |
| \( M_{\Lambda^+} \) | -108.81 | -217.63 | -326.44 | 2286.46 | 2286.46 | 2286.46 |
| \( M_{\Lambda^0} \) | -358.79 | -717.57 | -1076.36 | 2467.80 | 2467.80 | 2467.80 |
| \( M_{\Omega^0} \) | -362.44 | -724.89 | -1087.33 | 2470.88 | 2473.14 | 2476.23 |
| \( M_{\Sigma^{++}} \) | -252.18 | -153.69 | -405.87 | 2518.40 | 2513.29 | 2512.92 |
| \( M_{\Sigma^{+}} \) | -254.58 | -155.17 | -409.74 | 2517.50 | 2517.50 | 2517.50 |
| \( M_{\Xi^0} \) | -256.25 | -156.93 | -413.18 | 2518.40 | 2522.44 | 2522.51 |
| \( M_{\Xi^{++}} \) | -444.65 | -245.78 | -690.44 | 2645.90 | 2644.76 | 2642.45 |
| \( M_{\Xi^0} \) | -450.75 | -245.78 | -696.53 | 2645.90 | 2645.18 | 2644.82 |
| \( M_{\Omega^{++}} \) | -647.27 | -333.83 | -981.10 | 2765.90 | 2765.90 | 2765.90 |

| \( b_1^L \) | -1.69 | -2.10 |
| \( c_1^L \) | -6.27 | -6.49 |
| \( b_2^L \) | -1.12 | -2.43 |
| \( c_2^L \) | -2.71 | -2.91 |
| \( b_3^L \) | -1.65 | -2.11 |
| \( c_3^L \) | -6.30 | -6.64 |
In our numerical analysis, the LEC’s $b$ and $c$ are replaced by the dimensionless parameters $b'$ and $c'$, which are defined in Eqs. (16), (17)

\begin{align}
    b'_{1.5} &= B_0 b_{1.5}, \quad c'_{1.5} = B_0 c_{1.5} + \frac{\tilde{\sigma} \varphi \bar{M}_{6.6^*}}{4(m_u + m_d + m_s)} \\
    b'_6 &= B_0 b_6, \quad c'_6 = B_0 c_6 + \frac{\tilde{\sigma} \varphi \bar{M}_{3}}{8(m_u + m_d + m_s)}
\end{align}

(16) (17)

With the experimental values of the heavy baryon masses as input, we extract the values of the LEC’s $b$’s and $c$’s. Fit I corresponds to the case of including the type-I loop corrections only. $b'_1 = -1.69, c'_1 = -6.27, b'_5 = -1.12, c'_5 = -2.71, b'_6 = -1.65, c'_5 = -6.30$. Fit II contains both the type-I and type-II loop corrections. $b'_1 = -2.10, c'_1 = -6.49, b'_6 = -2.43, c'_6 = -2.91, b'_5 = -2.11, c'_5 = -6.64$. We also list the contribution of the type-I loop and sum of type-I and type-II loops in the 2nd to 4th columns of Table I explicitly. The heavy baryon masses with the notation $\frac{1}{2}$ in the 4th-5th columns are the predicted values. The mass splitting between the spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ charm baryons was also discussed in Ref. 27.

The LEC’s at $O(p^2)$ were estimated in Refs. 2, 3, where the SU(3) flavor symmetry breaking Lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = c_{1} \text{tr} \tilde{B}_{6} \chi_{+} B_{6} + c_{1} \text{tr} \tilde{B}_{3} \chi_{+} B_{3} + \cdots$$

with

$$\tilde{\chi}_{+} = \chi_{+} - \frac{1}{3} \text{tr} \chi_{+}$$

The authors first constructed the flavor SU(4) Lagrangian. Then they reduced the SU(4) Lagrangian into the SU(3) form. In this way, they estimated the LEC’s. Using the Gell-Mann-Okubo relation, the LEC’s extracted in Refs. 2, 3 correspond to the following values of $b$’s

$$b'_{1.5} = B_0 b_{1.5} \approx -2.30$$

$$b'_6 = B_0 b_6 \approx -1.22$$

These values are consistent with the above values extracted from fitting to experimental data in this work.

The spin and flavor representation of the external and intermediate baryons may be different. Their mass splitting will contribute to the self-energy through the chiral loop. Such corrections are quite important in the nucleon octet and $\Delta$ decuplet case. We consider three cases. Fit 3 corresponds to the case when the type-I loop correction is included with $\delta \neq 0$. Fit 4 includes both types of loop corrections with $\delta \neq 0$. Fit 5 corresponds to the inclusion of both types of loop corrections with $\delta \neq 0$ and QED effects.

We collect the fit results Table I. Comparing the 4th column in Table I and Table II, we notice that the loop contributions are suppressed after considering the mass difference $\delta$. On the other hand, the parameters $b$’s and $c$’s become slightly larger. $b'_1 = -2.05, c'_1 = -6.44, b'_6 = -2.37, c'_6 = -2.87, b'_5 = -1.95, c'_5 = -6.50$.

When we consider QED corrections, more LEC’s contribute to the self-energy. The LEC’s $e_3^{66}, e_3^{6*6^*}, e_3^{33}$ can be absorbed by $c'_1, c'_5, c'_6$.

\begin{align}
    c'_{1.5} &= B_0 c_{1.5} + \frac{\varphi \bar{M}_{6.6^*}}{4(m_u + m_d + m_s)} + e^{2} e_3^{66} e_3^{6*6^*} \\
    c'_6 &= B_0 c_6 + \frac{\varphi \bar{M}_{3}}{8(m_u + m_d + m_s)} + e^{2} e_3^{33}
\end{align}

(18) (19)

In this case, $b'_1 = -2.06, c'_1 = -6.43, b'_6 = -2.37, c'_6 = -2.86, b'_5 = -1.97, c'_5 = -6.49$. The values of $e^{2}(e_1 + e_2)$ and $e^{2}e_4$ are given in Table II. The heavy baryon masses with $\frac{1}{2}$ in the 5th-7th columns are the predicted values.

In the isospin symmetry limit, the divergences from the loop diagrams can be absorbed by the LEC’s (or counter term) at $O(p^2)$. However, the low-energy constants at $O(p^3)$ are not enough to cancel the divergences from the loop diagrams at $O(p^3)$ when we consider the SU(2)-breaking corrections, which was also pointed out in Ref. 27. Chiral symmetry ensures that the divergences will be absorbed by the counter terms at the higher order if we treat the SU(2) symmetry breaking terms as the higher order correction.
TABLE II: Chiral loop corrections to the heavy baryon masses in unit of MeV with $\delta \neq 0$ and QED effects.

| Loop contribution $\Sigma^\text{loop}$ | Mass of baryons             | Fit 3 for case I | Fit 4 for case I+II | Fit 5 for case I+II with QED |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| $M_{\Sigma^{++}}$                    | $-278.36 - 103.34 - 381.70$ | 2449.56$^d$     | 2448.91$^d$        | 2454.02$^d$                |
| $M_{\Sigma^{+}}$                     | $-281.59 - 104.32 - 385.91$ | 2452.90         | 2452.90             | 2452.90                     |
| $M_{\Sigma^0}$                       | $-283.95 - 104.93 - 388.88$ | 2457.11$^d$     | 2458.13$^d$        | 2453.76$^d$                |
| $M_{\Xi^+}$                          | $-480.54 - 181.43 - 661.97$ | 2569.42$^d$     | 2570.48$^d$        | 2572.66$^d$                |
| $M_{\Xi^0}$                          | $-484.24 - 183.82 - 668.06$ | 2572.30$^d$     | 2572.59$^d$        | 2570.40$^d$                |
| $M_{\Omega^+}$                       | $-676.81 - 262.29 - 939.09$ | 2695.20         | 2695.20             | 2695.20                     |
|                                       | $-111.09 - 177.57 - 288.66$ | 2286.46         | 2286.46             | 2286.46                     |
|                                       | $-367.68 - 650.46 - 1018.14$ | 2467.80         | 2467.80             | 2467.80                     |
|                                       | $-371.24 - 657.02 - 1028.26$ | 2473.36$^d$     | 2476.66$^d$        | 2470.88                     |

TABLE III: The decay width of the heavy baryons in unit of MeV.

| Experimental data case I loop | case II loop | $\Gamma_{\Sigma^{++}}$ | $\Gamma_{\Sigma^+}$ | $\Gamma_{\Sigma^0}$ |
|------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| $\Gamma_{\Sigma^{++}}$      | 2.23         | 2.60                   |                      |                      |
| $\Gamma_{\Sigma^+}$         | < 4.6        | 3.29                   |                      |                      |
| $\Gamma_{\Sigma^0}$         | 2.2          | 2.60                   |                      |                      |
| $\Gamma_{\Xi^{++}}$         | 14.9         | 8.10                   |                      |                      |
| $\Gamma_{\Xi^+}$            | < 17         | 8.96                   |                      |                      |
| $\Gamma_{\Xi^0}$            | 16.1         | 8.10                   |                      |                      |
| $\Gamma_{\Omega^+}$         | < 3.1        | 6.28                   |                      |                      |
| $\Gamma_{\Omega^0}$         | < 5.5        | 6.28                   |                      |                      |

In the above analysis, $\delta$ is the difference of the average mass between baryons in the different representations. In the derivation of the imaginary part of the loop diagrams, one should be cautious about the choice of $\delta$. For example, the process $\Sigma_c \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ + \pi$ is forbidden if we choose the average value: $\delta_{\Sigma_c \Lambda_c^+} = M_{\Sigma_c} - M_{\Lambda_c^+} = 126.52 \text{MeV} < M_{\pi}$. To avoid such a paradox, we used the experimental mass as input to calculate $\delta_{\Sigma_c \Lambda_c^+}$ and imaginary part of the self-energy. For all the other processes, $\delta$ takes the average value. We collect the experimental and theoretical width $\Gamma$ in Table III. These values are consistent with experimental data.

IV. THE AXIAL CHARGE OF THE HEAVY BARYON

The baryon axial charge is a very important physical observable, which can be measured through semileptonic decays. In this section, we will explore the chiral corrections to the axial charges $g_1$ to $g_6$ in Eq. (4). At the leading order, the axial currents are determined by chiral symmetry entirely. At $O(p^2)$, the loop contributions arise from the
vertex correction and wave function renormalization while the correction from the chiral connection vanishes in the heavy quark limit \( M_c \rightarrow \infty \).

### A. The Axial Currents

The axial currents at the tree level can be obtained from the Eq. \( 5 \). With the external source \( r^\mu, l^\mu \) in Eqs. \( 12 \) and \( 14 \):

\[
  r_\mu = \frac{\lambda^a}{2} r^a_\mu, \quad l_\mu = \frac{\lambda^a}{2} l^a_\mu
\]

where \( \lambda^a \) is the Gell-Mann generator in the flavor space, the difference of the chiral currents \( R^{a,\mu} \) and \( L^{a,\mu} \) leads to the axial current

\[
  A^{a,\mu} = R^{a,\mu} - L^{a,\mu}
\]

The axial currents arising from the chiral connection and \( O(p) \) interaction terms are

\[
  A_{\text{con}}^{a,\mu}(3) = \frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \left[ N_5 (u^T \gamma^\rho u - u \gamma^\rho u^T) N_3 + N_3 (u^T \gamma^\rho u - u \gamma^\rho u^T) N_5 \right]
\]

\[
  A_{\text{con}}^{a,\mu}(6) = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \left[ N_6 (u^T \gamma^\rho u - u \gamma^\rho u^T) N_6 + N_6 (u^T \gamma^\rho u - u \gamma^\rho u^T) N_6 \right]
\]

\[
  A_{\text{con}}^{a,\mu}(6^*) = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \left[ -g_{\rho\sigma} \left[ \overline{T}^\nu (u^T \gamma^\rho u - u \gamma^\rho u^T) T^\sigma + \overline{T}^\nu T^\sigma (u^T \gamma^\rho u - u \gamma^\rho u^T) T^\sigma \right] \right]
\]

\[
  A^{a,\mu}(g_1) = \frac{1}{2} g_1 tr[N_6 S^\mu (u^T \gamma^\rho u + u \gamma^\rho u^T) N_6]
\]

\[
  A^{a,\mu}(g_2) = \frac{1}{2} g_2 tr[N_6 S^\mu (u^T \gamma^\rho u - u \gamma^\rho u^T) N_6 + h.c.]
\]

\[
  A^{a,\mu}(g_3) = \frac{1}{4} g_3 tr[\overline{T}^\nu (u^T \gamma^\rho u + u \gamma^\rho u^T) N_6 + h.c.]
\]

\[
  A^{a,\mu}(g_4) = \frac{1}{4} g_4 tr[\overline{T}^\nu (u^T \gamma^\rho u + u \gamma^\rho u^T) N_6 + h.c.]
\]

\[
  A^{a,\mu}(g_5) = \frac{1}{2} g_5 tr[\overline{T}^\nu S^\mu (u^T \gamma^\rho u + u \gamma^\rho u^T) T^\sigma]
\]

The lowest order axial charges arising from the \( g_1 - g_5 \) terms of the sextet and anti-triplet are collected in Table IV where we only list the channels allowing the semileptonic decays.

The \( O(p^3) \) axial current arise from the \( O(p) \) Lagrangian. The \( O(p^3) \) \( SU(3) \) symmetry-breaking Lagrangian \( L^{(3)}_{\text{counter}} \) contributes to the \( O(p^2) \) corrections to the axial current. Moreover, these new vertices will cancel the infinity from the loop corrections.

\[
  L^{(3)}_{\text{counter}} = d_1 tr(\overline{B}_6 \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \{u_\mu, \chi_+\} B_6) + f_1 tr(\overline{B}_6 \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 u_\mu B_6 \chi_+^T) + h_1 tr(\overline{B}_6 \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 u_\mu B_6) tr \chi_+ +
  + d_2 tr(\overline{B}_6 \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \{u_\mu, \chi_+\} B_5) + f_2 tr(\overline{B}_6 \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 u_\mu B_5 \chi_+^T) + h_2 tr(\overline{B}_6 \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 u_\mu B_5) tr \chi_+ + h.c.
  + d_3 tr(\overline{B}_6 \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \{u_\mu, \chi_+\} B_3) + f_3 tr(\overline{B}_6 \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 u_\mu B_3 \chi_+^T) + h_3 tr(\overline{B}_6 \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 u_\mu B_3) tr \chi_+ + h.c.
  + d_4 tr(\overline{B}_6 \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \{u_\mu, \chi_+\} B_3) + f_4 tr(\overline{B}_6 \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 u_\mu B_3 \chi_+^T) + h_4 tr(\overline{B}_6 \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 u_\mu B_3) tr \chi_+ + h.c.
\]
The axial charges $g_{(ij)}^{(2)}$ etc arise from the wave function renormalization.

The renormalized matrix elements of the axial currents can be written as

\[
\langle N_i | A_{\mu}^{a,\mu} (g_5) | N_j \rangle = g_{a,1,2} (g_5^{(2)}) + g_{a,1,2} (g_5^{(1)}) + g_{a,1,2} (g_5^{(0)}) + g_{a,1,2} (g_5^{(0)}) + g_{a,1,2} (g_5^{(0)})
\]

\[
\langle T_\mu | A_{\mu}^{a,\mu} (g_5) \rangle = g_{a,1,2} (g_5^{(2)}) + g_{a,1,2} (g_5^{(1)}) + g_{a,1,2} (g_5^{(0)}) + g_{a,1,2} (g_5^{(0)}) + g_{a,1,2} (g_5^{(0)})
\]

\[
\langle N_i | A_{\mu}^{a,\mu} (g_3,\lambda) | T_{\mu} \rangle = g_{a,1,2} (g_3^{(2)}) + g_{a,1,2} (g_3^{(1)}) + g_{a,1,2} (g_3^{(0)}) + g_{a,1,2} (g_3^{(0)}) + g_{a,1,2} (g_3^{(0)})
\]

$g_{ij}^{(2)}$ etc are the corrections at the one-loop level in Figure 2. $g_{ij}^{(1)}$ etc arise from the wave function renormalization.

**B. The Vertex Correction**

At the one-loop level, there are four Feynman diagrams as shown in Fig. 2, where the filled circle represents the axial current vertex. Diagrams c and d arise from the chiral connection in Eq. (20).
The vertex correction diagram (a) can be classified into three or four different types according to the Lorentz structure in the loop integrals, which are displayed in Fig. 3(a) in Appendix A. For the vertex corrections to the axial charges $g_1$ and $g_2$, type I denotes the case that only the spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ baryons participate in the intermediate process. Type II contains only the spin-$\frac{3}{2}$ baryons as intermediate states. Type III contains both the spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ and spin-$\frac{3}{2}$ baryons. For the other axial charges, the classification of the vertex correction diagrams is similar.

With the contraction formulae between the spin projection operator $P_{\xi(3)}^{\mu \nu}$, Pauli-Lubanski vector $S^\mu$ and the metric $g_{\mu \nu}$ listed in Appendix B, we obtain the expressions of the axial currents from the vertex correction diagram (a).

$$A_{ij}^{\alpha \mu}(g_1, g_2) = g_{1,2(1)}^{\alpha} \delta_{ij} S^\mu u_j (a_{1,2,1} + b_{1,2,1} \epsilon) \frac{\Delta f}{\Delta \omega}, \quad a_{1,2,1} + b_{1,2,1} \epsilon = \frac{d - 3}{d - 1} \frac{-1}{d - 1}$$

$$A_{ij}^{\alpha \mu}(g_1, g_2)_{II} = g_{1,2(1)}^{\alpha} \delta_{ij} S^\mu u_j (a_{1,2,II} + b_{1,2,II} \epsilon) \frac{\Delta f}{\Delta \omega}, \quad a_{1,2,II} + b_{1,2,II} \epsilon = \frac{2(d - 2)}{d - 1} \frac{-1}{d - 1}$$

$$A_{ij}^{\alpha \mu}(g_1, g_2)_{III} = g_{1,2(1)}^{\alpha} \delta_{ij} S^\mu u_j (a_{1,2,III} + b_{1,2,III} \epsilon) \frac{\Delta f}{\Delta \omega}, \quad a_{1,2,III} + b_{1,2,III} \epsilon = \frac{(d - 3)(d - 2)(d + 1)}{(d - 1)^2} \frac{-1}{d - 1}$$

(27)
The function of higher order in the

The matrix elements of the renormalized axial current between the initial and final states read

\[ A^{a,\mu}(g_5)_{\text{I}} = g_{5i(j)}g_{\rho\sigma}u_j^\rho S^\mu u_j^\sigma (a_{5,1} + b_{5,1}\epsilon) \frac{\Delta f}{\Delta \omega}, \quad a_{5,1} + b_{5,1}\epsilon = \frac{-1}{d-1} \]

\[ A^{a,\mu}(g_5)_{\text{II}} = g_{5i(j)}g_{\rho\sigma}u_j^\rho S^\mu u_j^\sigma (a_{5,11} + b_{5,11}\epsilon) \frac{\Delta f}{\Delta \omega}, \quad a_{5,11} + b_{5,11}\epsilon = \frac{-2}{d-1} \]

\[ A^{a,\mu}(g_5)_{\text{III}} = g_{5i(j)}g_{\rho\sigma}u_j^\rho S^\mu u_j^\sigma (a_{5,111} + b_{5,111}\epsilon) \frac{\Delta f}{\Delta \omega}, \quad a_{5,111} + b_{5,111}\epsilon = \frac{d^3 - 5d^2 + 3d - 7}{4(d-1)^2} \frac{-1}{d-1} \]

\[ A^{a,\mu}(g_5)_{\text{IV}} = g_{5i(j)}g_{\rho\sigma}u_j^\rho S^\mu u_j^\sigma (a_{5,1111} + b_{5,1111}\epsilon) \frac{\Delta f}{\Delta \omega}, \quad a_{5,1111} + b_{5,1111}\epsilon = \frac{(d-3)(d+1)}{2(d-1)^2} \frac{-1}{d-1} \]

The parameters \(a, b\) arise from the loop integration with the dimensional regularization scheme. The coefficients \(g_{ij}^a\) are listed in Table XIV-XVIII while the function \(\frac{\Delta f}{\Delta \omega}\) is defined in Appendix VI C.

\(A^{b,\mu}\) is the correction from the vertex diagram (b):

\[ A^{b,\mu}(g_1, g_2) = g_{12i(j)}u_i S^\mu u_j I(m) \]

\[ A^{b,\mu}(g_5) = g_{5i(j)}g_{\rho\sigma}u_j^\rho S^\mu u_j^\sigma I(m) \]

\[ A^{b,\mu}(g_3, g_4) = g_{34i(j)}u_i u_j^\rho S^\mu u_j^\sigma I(m) \]

(30)

The loop corrections from diagrams (c) and (d) vanish in the heavy baryon limit \(M_B \to \infty\). Their contributions are of higher order in the \(\frac{1}{M_B}\) expansion. The analogous situation occurs in the nucleon octet case. Interested readers may refer to Refs. [7, 8].

C. The Wave function Renormalization

The composite axial current operator also receives the correction from the wave function renormalization [225]. The renormalization factor can be derived from the self-energy function

\[ Z = \frac{1}{1 - \Sigma' \approx 1 + \Sigma'} = \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial (v \cdot k)} \bigg|_{v \cdot k^2 = 0} \]

The matrix elements of the renormalized axial current between the initial and final states read

\[ r(B_i | A^{a,\mu} | B_j)^r = (B_i | A^{a,\mu} | B_j) \]

\[ = (B_i | A^{a,\mu} | B_j) + \frac{1}{2} (\Sigma_i' + \Sigma_j') (B_i | A^{a,\mu} | B_j) \]

\[ = \bar{u}_i S^\mu u_j g_{ij}^{(0)} (1 + \lambda_{ij}) \]

(31)

where \(g_{ij}^{(0)}\) is the axial charge at the tree level. The coefficients \(\lambda_{ij}\) are collected in Table XIV-XVIII. Comparing with Eqs. (24), we have \(g_{ij}^{(0)} = g_{ij}^{(0)} \lambda_{ij}\). The real part of \(\Sigma'\) reads

\[ \Sigma_{\text{Re}}' = (A + B \epsilon) \left[ (m^2 - \omega^2) \frac{\partial J}{\partial \omega} - 2 \omega J(m, \omega) \right] \bigg|_{\omega = \delta} \]

\[ = A \left[ (m^2 - \omega^2) \frac{\partial J}{\partial \omega} - 2 \omega J(m, \omega) \bigg|_{\text{Re}} \right] - B \frac{1}{4 \pi^2} (m^2 - 3\omega^2) \]

(32)

The function \(J\) is defined in Appendix VI C.
D. Numerical Results of the Chiral Correction to the Axial Charge

In principle, the axial charges of the heavy baryons can be extracted from the measurement of their semileptonic decays. However, there does not exist any experimental data now. The lack of the data renders the determination of the low energy constants $d, f, h$ etc very difficult.

The pseudoscalar couplings of the heavy baryons \[4\]. The axial charges are related to the coupling constants $a$ input, the authors first extracted the pseudoscalar couplings of the constituent quarks, and then determined the pseudoscalar couplings of the nucleons can be determined very well experimentally. With the pion nucleon coupling $g = \frac{2F_0}{M_a + M_b} g_{pBB}$

From the values listed in Ref. \[4\], we have $g_1 = 1.46$ and $g_2 = -0.93$. With the relationship among various $g$'s in Section II we get $g_1 = -2.19, g_3 = 1.26, g_4 = 1.61$. In the following analysis, we regard the above values of the axial charge as the "pseudo" experimental data and use them as input to extract various low energy constants. The values of LECs $d' = B_0 d, f' = B_0 f, h' = B_0 h$ (MeV$^{-1}$) are

$$d'_1 = -0.9 \times 10^{-3}, f'_1 = 2.6 \times 10^{-3}, h'_1 = 0.7 \times 10^{-3}$$

| TABLE VI: The chiral corrections to the axial charges. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Loop-a (with the same spin states in the loop only) | Loop-a (Full) | Loop-b | Wave function renormalization effect | Fit values |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| $g_{N^+}$ | $-0.06$ | $-0.38$ | $0.22$ | $0.31$ | $1.46$ |
| $g_{N^0}$ | $-0.04$ | $-0.27$ | $0.32$ | $0.32$ | $1.46$ |
| $g_{N^+}$ | $-0.09$ | $-0.60$ | $0.45$ | $0.53$ | $1.71^1$ |
| $g_{N^0}$ | $-0.03$ | $-0.24$ | $0.32$ | $0.27$ | $1.32^1$ |
| $g_{N^+}$ | $-0.04$ | $-0.34$ | $0.45$ | $0.38$ | $1.46$ |
| $g_{N^0}$ | $-0.04$ | $-0.29$ | $0.27$ | $0.51$ | $-0.93$ |
| $g_{N^+}$ | $-0.08$ | $-0.34$ | $0.19$ | $0.63$ | $-0.93$ |
| $g_{N^0}$ | $-0.05$ | $-0.34$ | $0.28$ | $0.33$ | $-0.93$ |
| $g_{N^+}$ | $-0.15$ | $-0.66$ | $0.39$ | $0.82$ | $-1.21^1$ |
| $g_{N^0}$ | $0.07$ | $0.34$ | $0.28$ | $-0.67$ | $-0.61^1$ |
| $g_{N^+}$ | $0.10$ | $0.48$ | $0.39$ | $-0.95$ | $-0.62^1$ |
| $g_{N^0}$ | $1.84$ | $3.32$ | $-0.33$ | $-0.55$ | $-2.19$ |
| $g_{N^+}$ | $1.27$ | $2.13$ | $-0.47$ | $-0.53$ | $-2.19$ |
| $g_{N^0}$ | $2.37$ | $5.09$ | $-0.67$ | $-1.00$ | $-2.19$ |
| $g_{N^+}$ | $0.82$ | $1.84$ | $-0.48$ | $-0.46$ | $-1.64^1$ |
| $g_{N^0}$ | $1.16$ | $2.60$ | $-0.67$ | $-0.65$ | $-1.71^1$ |
| $g_{N^+}$ | $-0.29$ | $0.10$ | $0.15$ | $1.26$ |
| $g_{N^0}$ | $-0.21$ | $0.14$ | $0.15$ | $1.26$ |
| $g_{N^+}$ | $-0.21$ | $0.14$ | $0.15$ | $1.26$ |
| $g_{N^0}$ | $-0.40$ | $0.19$ | $0.27$ | $1.57^1$ |
| $g_{N^+}$ | $-0.14$ | $0.14$ | $0.13$ | $1.22^1$ |
| $g_{N^0}$ | $-0.20$ | $0.19$ | $0.18$ | $1.37^1$ |
| $g_{N^+}$ | $-0.45$ | $0.19$ | $0.25$ | $1.50^1$ |
| $g_{N^0}$ | $-0.21$ | $0.14$ | $0.12$ | $1.15^1$ |
| $g_{N^+}$ | $-0.30$ | $0.19$ | $0.17$ | $1.26^1$ |

In Ref. \[4\], the authors calculated the pseudoscalar couplings of the heavy baryons. Within the framework of the chiral quark model, both the pseudoscalar couplings of the nucleons and heavy baryons can be expressed in terms of the pseudoscalar couplings of the constituent quarks. Since there exist plenty of nucleon nucleon scattering data, the pseudoscalar couplings of the nucleons can be determined very well experimentally. With the pion nucleon coupling as input, the authors first extracted the pseudoscalar couplings of the constituent quarks, and then determined the pseudoscalar couplings of the heavy baryons \[4\]. The axial charges are related to the coupling constants $g_{pBB}$.
\[ d'_2 = 1.2 \times 10^{-3}, \quad f'_2 = -1.7 \times 10^{-3}, \quad h'_2 = -1.3 \times 10^{-3} \]
\[ d'_3 = 2.4 \times 10^{-3}, \quad f'_3 = -19.1 \times 10^{-3}, \quad h'_3 = -11.5 \times 10^{-3} \]
\[ d'_4 = 0.3 \times 10^{-3}, \quad f'_4 = 4.5 \times 10^{-3}, \quad h'_4 = 4.1 \times 10^{-3} \]
\[ d'_4 = -5.7 \times 10^{-3}, \quad f'_4 = 10.2 \times 10^{-3}, \quad h'_4 = 9.4 \times 10^{-3} \]

In our numerical analysis we also need the values of the axial charges at \( O(p) \) \( g_1^{(0)} = 0.98, \quad g_2^{(0)} = -0.60, \quad g_3^{(0)} = -1.47, \quad g_4^{(0)} = 0.85, \quad g_4^{(0)} = 1.04 \). We collect the numerical results of the chiral corrections to the axial charges in Table \( \text{VI} \). We also list the separate contributions from the vertex correction and wave function renormalization.

The 2nd column in Table \( \text{VI} \) corresponds to the vertex corrections from diagram (a) where the intermediate and external heavy baryons have the same spin. The 3rd column contains the contribution from all types of diagram (a). The contributions from the diagram (b) and wave function renormalization are listed in the 4th and 5th columns. The last column is the fit value of the axial charge. From Table \( \text{VI} \) we can see that the chiral expansion converges well. The axial charges with the notation \( \frac{1}{2} \) in the last column are the predicted values.

We have calculated the flavor SU(3) breaking chiral corrections to the axial charges of the heavy baryons in the exact isospin limit. We notice that the divergences from diagram (a) for the flavor structure (1+1i2) can be absorbed by the counter terms completely. In contrast, the divergences from diagram (a) for the flavor structure (4+i5) cannot be absorbed by the counter terms completely with the explicit SU(3) breaking. Only in the exact SU(3) flavor symmetry limit, both divergence can be absorbed by the counter terms.

For example, let’s consider the axial current \( A_{\Lambda^0, \Xi^0}^{\mu} \) and \( A_{\Sigma^0}^{\mu} \). The two processes occupy the same position in the weight diagram and have the same form of counter terms due to the SU(3) symmetry at the tree level. The corrections to \( A_{\Lambda^0, \Xi^0}^{\mu} \) from diagram (a) contain the \( \pi^0 \) and \( \pi^\pm \) loops. The corrections to \( A_{\Sigma^0}^{\mu} \) contain the \( \pi^0 \) and \( K^\pm \) loops. In the isospin limit but with the explicit SU(3) flavor breaking, the divergence from the \( \pi^\pm \) and \( K^\pm \) loops can not be canceled by the same counter terms.

On the other hand, the axial currents with the flavor (1+1i2) do not suffer from such problems. For instance, \( A_{\Xi^0}^{\mu} \) and \( A_{\Xi^0}^{\mu} \) contain the \( \pi^0, K^+ \) loops and \( \pi^0, K^0 \) loops respectively. The divergences can be canceled exactly in the isospin symmetry limit even with explicit SU(3) symmetry breaking. The underlying reason is the asymmetry between the triplet and sextet representations.

The axial currents between two sextet representations or two octet representations do not suffer from the above such problems. The same situation occurs to the wave function renormalization. However, once again, the chiral symmetry ensures that the divergences can be absorbed into the higher order counter terms if the SU(3)-breaking terms are regarded as higher order.

\[ \text{V. SUMMARY} \]

In short summary, we have calculated the one-loop chiral corrections to the masses and axial charges of the charmed anti-triplet and sextet heavy baryon systems in the HBChPT framework.

We have systematically considered the mass splitting of the heavy baryons due to the explicit SU(3) breaking, up and down quark mass difference, and QED effects. The resulting charmed baryon masses and decay widths are in good agreement with experimental data.

We have calculated the chiral loop contributions from the vertex corrections and wave function renormalization to the axial charges of the heavy baryons in the isospin symmetry limit but with explicit SU(3) breaking. The convergence of the chiral expansion is quite good. In the future, the axial charges of the heavy baryons may be measured through their semileptonic decays experimentally. The axial charges play an important role in the study of the loosely bound molecular states composed of two heavy baryons.

Hopefully the expressions of the chiral loop corrections to the masses and axial charges of the heavy baryons will be useful in the chiral extrapolation of the lattice simulation data of these two quantities where the pion mass on the lattice is larger than its experimental value.
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VI. APPENDIX

A. Categories of the vertex correction diagram (a).

FIG. 3: The flavor and Lorentz structures of diagram (a) for \( g_1 \).

FIG. 4: The flavor and Lorentz structures of diagram (a) for \( g_2 \).
FIG. 5: The flavor and Lorentz structures of diagram (a) for $g_5$.

FIG. 6: The flavor and Lorentz structures of diagram (a) for $g_3$. 
B. Tables

TABLE VII: The coefficients $C$ in the self-energy function.

|                     | case I meson loop |                      | case II meson loop |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
|                     | $K^\pm$ | $K^0/K^0$ | $\eta$ | $\pi^\pm$ | $\pi^0$ | $K^\pm$ | $K^0/K^0$ | $\eta$ | $\pi^\pm$ | $\pi^0$ |
| $C_{\Sigma^+}$      | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ |
| $C_{\Xi^0}$         | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ |
| $C_{\Xi^+}$         | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ |
| $C_{\Xi^-}$         | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ |

case II meson loop

|                     | $K^\pm$ | $K^0/K^0$ | $\eta$ | $\pi^\pm$ | $\pi^0$ | $K^\pm$ | $K^0/K^0$ | $\eta$ | $\pi^\pm$ | $\pi^0$ |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| $C_{\Sigma^+}$      | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ |
| $C_{\Xi^0}$         | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ |
| $C_{\Xi^+}$         | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ |
| $C_{\Xi^-}$         | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g_1^2}{F_0}$ |
TABLE VIII: The coefficients $g_{1(ij)}^a$ of the axial current from diagram (a).

|         | type I                  | type II                 | type III                |
|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| $g_{\Xi^+\Xi^0}$ | K-loop $\sqrt{2}\frac{\left(3g_0^2\right)}{F_0^2} - \frac{2g_0\eta_1}{2F_0} + \frac{g_0\eta_1}{F_0}$ | $\frac{2g_0\eta_1}{F_0}$ | $\frac{2g_0\eta_1}{F_0}$ |
|         | $\eta$-loop $\frac{3g_0^2}{2F_0} - \frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ |
|         | $\pi$-loop $\frac{3g_0^2}{2F_0} - \frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ |
| $g_{\Sigma^+\Sigma^0}$ | K-loop $\frac{3g_0^2}{2F_0} - \frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ |
|         | $\eta$-loop $\frac{3g_0^2}{2F_0} - \frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ |
|         | $\pi$-loop $\frac{3g_0^2}{2F_0} - \frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ |
| $g_{\Xi^+\Omega^0}$ | K-loop $\frac{3g_0^2}{2F_0} - \frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ |
|         | $\eta$-loop $\frac{3g_0^2}{2F_0} - \frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ |
|         | $\pi$-loop $\frac{3g_0^2}{2F_0} - \frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ |

TABLE IX: The coefficients $g_{2(ij)}^a$ of the axial current from diagram (a).

|         | type I                  | type II                 | type III                |
|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| $g_{\Lambda^+\Xi^0}$ | K-loop $\frac{3g_0^2}{2F_0} - \frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ |
|         | $\eta$-loop $\frac{3g_0^2}{2F_0} - \frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ |
|         | $\pi$-loop $\frac{3g_0^2}{2F_0} - \frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ |
| $g_{\Xi^+\Xi^0}$ | K-loop $\frac{3g_0^2}{2F_0} - \frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ |
|         | $\eta$-loop $\frac{3g_0^2}{2F_0} - \frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ |
|         | $\pi$-loop $\frac{3g_0^2}{2F_0} - \frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ |
| $g_{\Xi^+\Omega^0}$ | K-loop $\frac{3g_0^2}{2F_0} - \frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ |
|         | $\eta$-loop $\frac{3g_0^2}{2F_0} - \frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ |
|         | $\pi$-loop $\frac{3g_0^2}{2F_0} - \frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ | $\frac{g_0\eta_1}{2F_0}$ |
TABLE X: The coefficients $g_{0}^{\phi_{i}}$ of the axial current from diagram (a).

|                  | type I                  | type II                   | type III                  |
|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| $\Sigma_{c}^{+} + \Xi_{c}^{0}$ |                         |                           |                           |
| $g_{\Sigma_{c}^{+} + \Xi_{c}^{0}}$ | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}}{2F_{0}^{2}}$ | $- \frac{g_{1}^{2}g_{2}}{2F_{0}}$ | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}g_{3}}{2F_{0}}$ |
| $\nu$-loop       | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}}{2F_{0}^{2}}$ | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}g_{2}}{2F_{0}}$ | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}g_{3}}{2F_{0}}$ |
| $\pi$-loop       | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}}{2F_{0}^{2}}$ | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}g_{2}}{2F_{0}}$ | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}g_{3}}{2F_{0}}$ |
| $\Sigma_{c}^{0} + \Sigma_{0}^{0}$ |                         |                           |                           |
| $g_{\Sigma_{c}^{0} + \Sigma_{0}^{0}}$ | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}}{4F_{0}^{2}}$ | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}g_{2}}{4F_{0}}$ | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}g_{3}}{4F_{0}}$ |
| $\nu$-loop       | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}}{4F_{0}^{2}}$ | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}g_{2}}{4F_{0}}$ | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}g_{3}}{4F_{0}}$ |
| $\pi$-loop       | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}}{4F_{0}^{2}}$ | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}g_{2}}{4F_{0}}$ | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}g_{3}}{4F_{0}}$ |
| $\Xi_{c}^{+} + \Xi_{c}^{0}$ |                         |                           |                           |
| $g_{\Xi_{c}^{+} + \Xi_{c}^{0}}$ | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}}{2F_{0}^{2}}$ | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}g_{2}}{2F_{0}}$ | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}g_{3}}{2F_{0}}$ |
| $\nu$-loop       | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}}{2F_{0}^{2}}$ | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}g_{2}}{2F_{0}}$ | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}g_{3}}{2F_{0}}$ |
| $\pi$-loop       | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}}{2F_{0}^{2}}$ | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}g_{2}}{2F_{0}}$ | $\frac{g_{1}^{2}g_{3}}{2F_{0}}$ |
### TABLE XI: The coefficients $g_{a(i,j)}$ of the axial current from diagram (a).

|        | type I                     | type II                    | type III                   | type IV                    |
|--------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| $g_{\Xi^+\Xi^0}$ | $K$-loop: $\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_3}{F_0}$ | $\eta$-loop: $\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_3}{F_0}$ | $\pi$-loop: $\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_3}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_3}{F_0}$ |
| $g_{\Sigma^+\Sigma^0}$ | $K$-loop: $\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_3}{F_0}$ | $\eta$-loop: $\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_3}{F_0}$ | $\pi$-loop: $\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_3}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_3}{F_0}$ |
| $g_{\Xi^+\eta^0}$ | $K$-loop: $\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_3}{F_0}$ | $\eta$-loop: $\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_3}{F_0}$ | $\pi$-loop: $\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_3}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_3}{F_0}$ |
| $g_{\Xi^+\eta^0}$ | $K$-loop: $\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_3}{F_0}$ | $\eta$-loop: $\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_3}{F_0}$ | $\pi$-loop: $\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_3}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_3}{F_0}$ |
| $g_{\Xi^+\pi^0}$ | $K$-loop: $\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_3}{F_0}$ | $\eta$-loop: $\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_3}{F_0}$ | $\pi$-loop: $\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_3}{F_0}$ | $\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_3}{F_0}$ |
### TABLE XII: The coefficients $\gamma^a_{(4g)}$ of the axial current from diagram (a).

|          | type I       | type II      | type III     | type IV      |
|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| $g_{\Lambda^+ \Sigma^0}$ | $g_{\eta}$ | $g_{\pi^0}$ | $g_{\pi^0}$ | $g_{\pi^0}$ |
| $g_{\Xi^+ \Xi^0}$ | $g_{\Xi^+ \Xi^0}$ | $g_{\Xi^+ \Xi^0}$ | $g_{\Xi^+ \Xi^0}$ | $g_{\Xi^+ \Xi^0}$ |
| $g_{\Xi^+ \Omega^0}$ | $g_{\Xi^+ \Omega^0}$ | $g_{\Xi^+ \Omega^0}$ | $g_{\Xi^+ \Omega^0}$ | $g_{\Xi^+ \Omega^0}$ |

### TABLE XIII: The coefficients $g^b_{(4g)}$ of the axial current from diagram (b).

|          | $K$-loop | $\eta$-loop | $\pi$-loop |
|----------|----------|-------------|------------|
| $g_{\Xi^+ \Xi^0}$ | $g_{\Xi^+ \Xi^0}$ | $g_{\Xi^+ \Xi^0}$ | $g_{\Xi^+ \Xi^0}$ |
| $g_{\Xi^+ \Omega^0}$ | $g_{\Xi^+ \Omega^0}$ | $g_{\Xi^+ \Omega^0}$ | $g_{\Xi^+ \Omega^0}$ |


TABLE XIV: The coefficients $\lambda_{1(4)}$ of the axial current from the wave function renormalization.

|            | case I          | case II         |
|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| $\lambda_{\Sigma^+\Sigma^0}$ | K-loop $\frac{5g_0}{2F_0} + \frac{g_2}{F_0} + \frac{2g_2}{F_0}$ | $-\frac{9g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{9g_2}{2F_0}$ |
|            | $\eta$-loop $\frac{g_2}{3F_0} + 3g_2 + \frac{2g_2}{F_0}$ | $-\frac{g_2}{3F_0}$ | $-\frac{g_2}{3F_0}$ |
|            | $\pi$-loop $\frac{3g_2}{2F_0} + 3g_2 + \frac{2g_2}{F_0}$ | $-\frac{3g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{3g_2}{2F_0}$ |
| $\lambda_{\Sigma^+\Sigma^0}$ | K-loop $\frac{9g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{5g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{9g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{9g_2}{2F_0}$ |
|            | $\eta$-loop $\frac{5g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{5g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{2g_2}{F_0}$ | $-\frac{5g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{5g_2}{2F_0}$ |
|            | $\pi$-loop $\frac{9g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{5g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{2g_2}{F_0}$ | $-\frac{9g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{9g_2}{2F_0}$ |
| $\lambda_{\Sigma^+\Xi^0}$ | K-loop $\frac{7g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{4g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{7g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{7g_2}{2F_0}$ |
|            | $\eta$-loop $\frac{4g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{4g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{2g_2}{F_0}$ | $-\frac{4g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{4g_2}{2F_0}$ |
|            | $\pi$-loop $\frac{7g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{4g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{2g_2}{F_0}$ | $-\frac{7g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{7g_2}{2F_0}$ |

TABLE XV: The coefficients $\lambda_{2(4)}$ of the axial current from the wave function renormalization.

|            | case I          | case II         |
|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| $\lambda_{\Lambda^+\Sigma^0}$ | K-loop $\frac{7g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{4g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{7g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{7g_2}{2F_0}$ |
|            | $\eta$-loop $\frac{4g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{4g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{2g_2}{F_0}$ | $-\frac{4g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{4g_2}{2F_0}$ |
|            | $\pi$-loop $\frac{7g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{4g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{2g_2}{F_0}$ | $-\frac{7g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{7g_2}{2F_0}$ |
| $\lambda_{\Xi^+\Xi^0}$ | K-loop $\frac{7g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{4g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{7g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{7g_2}{2F_0}$ |
|            | $\eta$-loop $\frac{4g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{4g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{2g_2}{F_0}$ | $-\frac{4g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{4g_2}{2F_0}$ |
|            | $\pi$-loop $\frac{7g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{4g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{2g_2}{F_0}$ | $-\frac{7g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{7g_2}{2F_0}$ |
| $\lambda_{\Lambda^+\Xi^0}$ | K-loop $\frac{7g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{4g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{7g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{7g_2}{2F_0}$ |
|            | $\eta$-loop $\frac{4g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{4g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{2g_2}{F_0}$ | $-\frac{4g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{4g_2}{2F_0}$ |
|            | $\pi$-loop $\frac{7g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{4g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{2g_2}{F_0}$ | $-\frac{7g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{7g_2}{2F_0}$ |
| $\lambda_{\Sigma^+\Xi^0}$ | K-loop $\frac{7g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{4g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{7g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{7g_2}{2F_0}$ |
|            | $\eta$-loop $\frac{4g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{4g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{2g_2}{F_0}$ | $-\frac{4g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{4g_2}{2F_0}$ |
|            | $\pi$-loop $\frac{7g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{4g_2}{2F_0} + \frac{2g_2}{F_0}$ | $-\frac{7g_2}{2F_0}$ | $-\frac{7g_2}{2F_0}$ |
TABLE XVI: The coefficients $\lambda_{ii'}$ of the axial current from the wave function renormalization.

|                      | case I      | case II     |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| $\lambda_{\Xi^+\Xi^0}$ | $K$-loop: $\frac{5g_0^2}{2F_0^2} - \frac{g_0^4}{2F_0^2} - 4F_0^2$ | $\frac{g_0^4}{2F_0^2} - 4F_0^2$ |
|                      | $\eta$-loop: $\frac{g_0^2}{3F_0^2} - \frac{g_0^4}{12F_0^2}$ | $\frac{g_0^4}{12F_0^2}$ |
|                      | $\pi$-loop: $\frac{g_0^4}{2F_0^2} - 4F_0^2$ | $\frac{g_0^4}{2F_0^2} - 4F_0^2$ |
| $\lambda_{\Sigma^+\Sigma^0}$ | $K$-loop: $\frac{9g_0^2}{4F_0^2} - \frac{9g_0^4}{16F_0^2} - 5g_0^4$ | $\frac{9g_0^4}{16F_0^2} - 5g_0^4$ |
|                      | $\eta$-loop: $\frac{17g_0^2}{24F_0^2} - \frac{17g_0^4}{96F_0^2} - 16F_0^2$ | $\frac{17g_0^4}{96F_0^2} - 16F_0^2$ |
|                      | $\pi$-loop: $\frac{g_0^2}{2F_0^2} - \frac{g_0^4}{16F_0^2}$ | $\frac{g_0^4}{16F_0^2}$ |
| $\lambda_{\Xi^+\Xi^0}$ | $K$-loop: $\frac{7g_0^2}{4F_0^2} - \frac{7g_0^4}{16F_0^2} - 3g_0^4$ | $\frac{7g_0^4}{16F_0^2} - 3g_0^4$ |
|                      | $\eta$-loop: $\frac{5g_0^2}{24F_0^2} - \frac{5g_0^4}{96F_0^2} - 16F_0^2$ | $\frac{5g_0^4}{96F_0^2} - 16F_0^2$ |
|                      | $\pi$-loop: $\frac{11g_0^4}{8F_0^2} - \frac{11g_0^4}{32F_0^2} - 7g_0^4$ | $\frac{11g_0^4}{32F_0^2} - 7g_0^4$ |
| $\lambda_{\Sigma^+\Xi^0}$ | $K$-loop: $\frac{7g_0^2}{4F_0^2} - \frac{7g_0^4}{16F_0^2} - 3g_0^4$ | $\frac{7g_0^4}{16F_0^2} - 3g_0^4$ |
|                      | $\eta$-loop: $\frac{5g_0^2}{24F_0^2} - \frac{5g_0^4}{96F_0^2} - 16F_0^2$ | $\frac{5g_0^4}{96F_0^2} - 16F_0^2$ |
|                      | $\pi$-loop: $\frac{11g_0^4}{8F_0^2} - \frac{11g_0^4}{32F_0^2} - 7g_0^4$ | $\frac{11g_0^4}{32F_0^2} - 7g_0^4$ |
TABLE XVII: The coefficients $\lambda_{\delta^Ij}$ of the axial current from the wave function renormalization.

|           | case I                                      | case II                                      |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| $\lambda_{\epsilon_\epsilon^0}$ | $\lambda_{\pi_\pi^0}$ | $\lambda_{\eta_\eta^0}$ | $\lambda_{\pi_\pi^0}$ |
| $\eta$-loop | $\frac{55}{4P_0} + \frac{91}{4F_0} + \frac{39}{4F_0} + \frac{8}{4F_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ |
| $\pi$-loop  | $\frac{55}{4P_0} + \frac{91}{4F_0} + \frac{39}{4F_0} + \frac{8}{4F_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ |

| $\lambda_{\epsilon_\epsilon^0}$ | $\lambda_{\pi_\pi^0}$ | $\lambda_{\eta_\eta^0}$ | $\lambda_{\pi_\pi^0}$ |
| $\eta$-loop | $\frac{55}{4P_0} + \frac{91}{4F_0} + \frac{39}{4F_0} + \frac{8}{4F_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ |
| $\pi$-loop  | $\frac{55}{4P_0} + \frac{91}{4F_0} + \frac{39}{4F_0} + \frac{8}{4F_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ |

| $\lambda_{\epsilon_\epsilon^0}$ | $\lambda_{\pi_\pi^0}$ | $\lambda_{\eta_\eta^0}$ | $\lambda_{\pi_\pi^0}$ |
| $\eta$-loop | $\frac{55}{4P_0} + \frac{91}{4F_0} + \frac{39}{4F_0} + \frac{8}{4F_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ |
| $\pi$-loop  | $\frac{55}{4P_0} + \frac{91}{4F_0} + \frac{39}{4F_0} + \frac{8}{4F_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ |

| $\lambda_{\epsilon_\epsilon^0}$ | $\lambda_{\pi_\pi^0}$ | $\lambda_{\eta_\eta^0}$ | $\lambda_{\pi_\pi^0}$ |
| $\eta$-loop | $\frac{55}{4P_0} + \frac{91}{4F_0} + \frac{39}{4F_0} + \frac{8}{4F_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ |
| $\pi$-loop  | $\frac{55}{4P_0} + \frac{91}{4F_0} + \frac{39}{4F_0} + \frac{8}{4F_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ |

| $\lambda_{\epsilon_\epsilon^0}$ | $\lambda_{\pi_\pi^0}$ | $\lambda_{\eta_\eta^0}$ | $\lambda_{\pi_\pi^0}$ |
| $\eta$-loop | $\frac{55}{4P_0} + \frac{91}{4F_0} + \frac{39}{4F_0} + \frac{8}{4F_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ |
| $\pi$-loop  | $\frac{55}{4P_0} + \frac{91}{4F_0} + \frac{39}{4F_0} + \frac{8}{4F_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ |

| $\lambda_{\epsilon_\epsilon^0}$ | $\lambda_{\pi_\pi^0}$ | $\lambda_{\eta_\eta^0}$ | $\lambda_{\pi_\pi^0}$ |
| $\eta$-loop | $\frac{55}{4P_0} + \frac{91}{4F_0} + \frac{39}{4F_0} + \frac{8}{4F_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ |
| $\pi$-loop  | $\frac{55}{4P_0} + \frac{91}{4F_0} + \frac{39}{4F_0} + \frac{8}{4F_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ | $\frac{55}{4P_0} - \frac{91}{4P_0}$ |
TABLE XVIII: The coefficients $\lambda_{(ij)}$ of the axial current from the wave function renormalization.

| | case I | case II |
|---|---|---|
| $\lambda_{\Lambda^+\Sigma^+\eta}$ | $K$-loop $\frac{g_\lambda}{F_0} + \frac{g_\lambda}{2F_0} + \frac{3g_\lambda}{2F_0} - \frac{9g_\lambda}{8F_0} - \frac{9g_\lambda}{2F_0}$ | $K$-loop $5\frac{g_\lambda}{F_0} + 5\frac{g_\lambda}{2F_0} + \frac{3g_\lambda}{2F_0} - \frac{5g_\lambda}{4F_0} - \frac{5g_\lambda}{2F_0}$ |
| | $\eta$-loop $\frac{g_\lambda}{3F_0} + \frac{g_\lambda}{3F_0} - \frac{9g_\lambda}{96F_0} - \frac{9g_\lambda}{36F_0}$ | $\eta$-loop $\frac{3g_\lambda}{6F_0} + \frac{g_\lambda}{6F_0} - \frac{9g_\lambda}{96F_0} - \frac{9g_\lambda}{36F_0}$ |
| | $\pi$-loop $\frac{g_\lambda}{12F_0} + \frac{g_\lambda}{12F_0} - \frac{3g_\lambda}{32F_0} - \frac{3g_\lambda}{32F_0}$ | $\pi$-loop $\frac{3g_\lambda}{18F_0} + \frac{3g_\lambda}{18F_0} - \frac{3g_\lambda}{32F_0} - \frac{3g_\lambda}{32F_0}$ |
| $\lambda_{\Xi^+\Xi^+\pi}$ | $K$-loop $\frac{g_\lambda}{F_0} + \frac{g_\lambda}{2F_0} + \frac{3g_\lambda}{2F_0} - \frac{9g_\lambda}{8F_0} - \frac{9g_\lambda}{2F_0}$ | $K$-loop $5\frac{g_\lambda}{F_0} + 5\frac{g_\lambda}{2F_0} + \frac{3g_\lambda}{2F_0} - \frac{5g_\lambda}{4F_0} - \frac{5g_\lambda}{2F_0}$ |
| | $\eta$-loop $\frac{g_\lambda}{3F_0} + \frac{g_\lambda}{3F_0} - \frac{9g_\lambda}{96F_0} - \frac{9g_\lambda}{36F_0}$ | $\eta$-loop $\frac{3g_\lambda}{6F_0} + \frac{g_\lambda}{6F_0} - \frac{9g_\lambda}{96F_0} - \frac{9g_\lambda}{36F_0}$ |
| | $\pi$-loop $\frac{g_\lambda}{12F_0} + \frac{g_\lambda}{12F_0} - \frac{3g_\lambda}{32F_0} - \frac{3g_\lambda}{32F_0}$ | $\pi$-loop $\frac{3g_\lambda}{18F_0} + \frac{3g_\lambda}{18F_0} - \frac{3g_\lambda}{32F_0} - \frac{3g_\lambda}{32F_0}$ |
| $\lambda_{\Xi^+\omega^0\pi}$ | $K$-loop $\frac{g_\lambda}{F_0} + \frac{g_\lambda}{2F_0} + \frac{3g_\lambda}{2F_0} - \frac{9g_\lambda}{8F_0} - \frac{9g_\lambda}{2F_0}$ | $K$-loop $5\frac{g_\lambda}{F_0} + 5\frac{g_\lambda}{2F_0} + \frac{3g_\lambda}{2F_0} - \frac{5g_\lambda}{4F_0} - \frac{5g_\lambda}{2F_0}$ |
| | $\eta$-loop $\frac{g_\lambda}{3F_0} + \frac{g_\lambda}{3F_0} - \frac{9g_\lambda}{96F_0} - \frac{9g_\lambda}{36F_0}$ | $\eta$-loop $\frac{3g_\lambda}{6F_0} + \frac{g_\lambda}{6F_0} - \frac{9g_\lambda}{96F_0} - \frac{9g_\lambda}{36F_0}$ |
| | $\pi$-loop $\frac{g_\lambda}{12F_0} + \frac{g_\lambda}{12F_0} - \frac{3g_\lambda}{32F_0} - \frac{3g_\lambda}{32F_0}$ | $\pi$-loop $\frac{3g_\lambda}{18F_0} + \frac{3g_\lambda}{18F_0} - \frac{3g_\lambda}{32F_0} - \frac{3g_\lambda}{32F_0}$ |
C. Integrals and Functions

1. The integral with one meson line and one baryon line in Fig. 1

\[
\begin{aligned}
J(m, \omega) &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left[ \omega(R - 1) + \omega \ln \frac{m^2}{\mu^2} + K \right], \omega = v \cdot k + \delta \\
I(m) &= \frac{m^2}{16\pi^2} \left( R + \ln \frac{m^2}{\mu^2} \right)
\end{aligned}
\]  

(33)

and

\[
J_{\alpha \beta} = C_{21} g_{\alpha \beta} + C_{20} v_\alpha v_\beta
\]

where

\[
C_{21} = \frac{1}{d - 1} \left[ (m^2 - \omega^2)J(m, \omega) + \omega I(m) \right] = \frac{1}{d - 1} f(m, \omega)
\]

The definition of \( f \) can be read from above easily.

2. The integrals with one meson line and two baryon lines in Fig. 3-7

When the masses of the two baryons in diagram (a) are the same, we introduce the integrals

\[
\{ L, L_{\mu}, L_{\mu \nu} \} = \frac{1}{i} \int d^4 q \frac{\{ 1, q_{\mu}, q_{\mu \nu} \}}{(m^2 - q^2 - i\varepsilon)(v \cdot q + \omega + i\varepsilon)^2}
\]

using

\[
\frac{1}{|v \cdot q + \omega|^2} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \frac{1}{|v \cdot q + \omega|}
\]

There is a relation between \( L \) and \( J \)

\[
\{ L, L_{\mu}, L_{\mu \nu} \} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \{ J, J_{\mu}, J_{\mu \nu} \}
\]

(35)

When the masses of the two baryons in diagram (a) are different, we define the integrals

\[
\{ F, F_{\mu}, F_{\mu \nu} \} = \frac{1}{i} \int d^4 q \frac{\{ 1, q_{\mu}, q_{\mu \nu} \}}{(m^2 - q^2 - i\varepsilon)(v \cdot q + \omega_1 + i\varepsilon)(v \cdot q + \omega_2 + i\varepsilon)}
\]

using

\[
\frac{1}{|v \cdot q + \omega_1| |v \cdot q + \omega_2|} = \frac{1}{\omega_1 - \omega_2} \left( \frac{1}{|v \cdot q + \omega_1|} - \frac{1}{|v \cdot q + \omega_2|} \right)
\]

The relation between \( F \) and \( J \) is

\[
\{ F, F_{\mu}, F_{\mu \nu} \} = -\frac{1}{\omega_1 - \omega_2} \left\{ J(\omega_1) - J(\omega_2), J_{\mu}(\omega_1) - J_{\mu}(\omega_2), J_{\mu \nu}(\omega_1) - J_{\mu \nu}(\omega_2) \right\}
\]

(37)

Especially, for the second-order tensor formula, \( F_{\alpha \beta} \) and \( L_{\alpha \beta} \) can be expressed as a sum of the two Lorentz structure. \( F_{\alpha \beta}^{20} \) and \( L_{\alpha \beta}^{20} \) are proportional to \( v^\alpha v^\beta \) and vanish when contracted with \( S^\mu \) and \( T^\mu \). So, we are concerned about the remaining part only

\[
F_{\alpha \beta} = -\frac{1}{\omega_1 - \omega_2} (J_{\alpha \beta}(\omega_1) - J_{\alpha \beta}(\omega_2)) = F_{\alpha \beta}^{21} + F_{\alpha \beta}^{20}
\]
$F_{\alpha\beta}^{21} = g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{-1}{d-1} \frac{f(m,\omega_1) - f(m,\omega_2)}{\omega_1 - \omega_2}$  \hspace{1cm} (38)

$L_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} J_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) = L_{\alpha\beta}^{21} + L_{\alpha\beta}^{20}$

$L_{\alpha\beta}^{21} = g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{-1}{d-1} \frac{\partial f(m,\omega)}{\partial \omega}$  \hspace{1cm} (39)

$F_{\alpha\beta}^{21}$ and $L_{\alpha\beta}^{21}$ can be uniformed as

$$g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{-1}{d-1} \Delta f$$

where

$$\Delta f = \begin{cases} 
      m^2 \frac{\Delta J(\omega)}{\Delta \omega} - \frac{\Delta(\omega^2 J(\omega))}{\Delta \omega} + I(m), & \omega_1 \neq \omega_2 \\
      (m^2 - \omega^2) \frac{\partial J(\omega)}{\partial \omega} - 2 \omega J(\omega) + I(m), & \omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega 
   \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta J(\omega)$ denotes $\frac{J(\omega_1) - J(\omega_2)}{\omega_1 - \omega_2}$, and similar conventions hold for $\frac{\Delta(\omega^2 J(\omega))}{\Delta \omega}$ and $\frac{\Delta(\omega^3 J(\omega))}{\Delta \omega}$. Combining with the parameters $a$ and $b$, the integral from diagram (a) can be written as

$$(a + b) \frac{\Delta f}{\Delta \omega} = a \begin{cases} 
      m^2 \frac{\Delta J}{\Delta \omega} - \frac{\Delta(\omega^2 J)}{\Delta \omega} + I, & (\omega_1 \neq \omega_2) \\
      (m^2 - \omega^2) \frac{\partial J}{\partial \omega} - 2 \omega J + I, & (\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega) 
   \end{cases} - \frac{b}{4\pi^2} \left[ \frac{3}{2} m^2 - \frac{\Delta(\omega^3 J)}{\Delta \omega} \right]$$

**D. The contraction formulae for $S^\mu$ and $P^\pm_{(33)\mu}$**

The Pauli-Lubanski vector $S^\mu$ and projection operator $P^\pm_{(33)\mu}$ are defined as follows

$$S^\mu = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_5 (\gamma^\mu \not\!\!\! \rho - \not\!\!\! \nu)$$

$$P^\pm_{(33)\mu} = g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{3}{d-1} S^\mu S^\nu + \frac{4}{d-1} S^\mu S^\nu$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

In the calculation of the loop correction of the self-energy function and axial charges, the following formulae are very useful.

$$P^{\rho\sigma} g_{\rho\sigma} = d - 2$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

$$S^\sigma P^{\rho\mu} = \frac{4}{d-1} S^\rho S^\mu S^\sigma + g_{\perp}^{\rho} S^\sigma + \frac{2}{d-1} S^\rho g_{\perp}^{\mu} - \frac{2}{d-1} g_{\perp}^{\rho} S^\mu$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

$$P^{\mu\sigma} S^\rho = \frac{4}{d-1} S^\rho S^\mu S^\sigma + S^\rho g_{\perp}^{\mu} + \frac{2}{d-1} g_{\perp}^{\rho} S^\sigma - \frac{2}{d-1} g_{\perp}^{\rho} S^\mu$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

$$S^\alpha P^{\rho\sigma} S_\alpha = \frac{2(d-2)}{d-1} S^\mu$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

$$S^\alpha P^{\rho\sigma} S_\alpha = \left( \frac{1}{d} + \frac{1}{d-1} \right) g_{\perp}^{\rho} + \frac{5 - d}{d-1} S^\rho S^\sigma$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)

$$P^{\rho\lambda} S^\mu P^\chi_{\sigma} = \frac{4(d+1)}{(d-1)^2} S^\rho S^\mu S^\sigma + S^\mu g_{\perp}^{\rho} + \frac{2}{d-1} (g_{\perp}^{\rho} S^\sigma + S^\rho g_{\perp}^{\sigma})$$  \hspace{1cm} (46)

$$P^{\rho\lambda} S^\mu P^\lambda_{\rho} = \frac{(d-3)(d-2)}{(d-1)^2} S^\mu$$  \hspace{1cm} (47)
\begin{align}
\mathcal{P}^{\alpha\lambda} S^\mu P_\lambda^\sigma S_\alpha &= \frac{2(d-3)(d+1)}{(d-1)^2} S^\mu S^\sigma + \frac{(d-3)(d+1)}{2(d-1)^2} g_{\mu\sigma} \\
S^\alpha P^{\sigma\lambda} S_\mu P_\lambda^\rho S_\sigma &= -\frac{(d+1)(d-7)}{(d-1)^2} S^\rho S^\mu S^\sigma - \frac{d^2 - 6d + 1}{2(d-1)^2} (S^\rho g_{\mu\sigma} + g_{\rho\mu} S^\sigma) \\
&\quad + \frac{d^3 - 5d^2 + 3d - 7}{4(d-1)^2} S^\mu g_{\rho\sigma}
\end{align}
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