Fungal Keratitis in a Tertiary Hospital in Malaysia
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Abstract

Background

Fungal keratitis is one of the commonest causes of corneal blindness in developing countries including Malaysia. We aim to evaluate the sociodemographic background, clinical features, predisposing factors, laboratory findings, management, complications, and visual outcome of patients with fungal keratitis in a tertiary hospital in Malaysia.

Methods

A retrospective review of medical and microbiology records of all patients treated for corneal ulcer from 2015 to 2019 at Hospital Sultanah Bahiyah was performed. Sociodemography, predisposing risk factors, clinical characteristics, causative organisms, and final visual outcome were analyzed.

Results

We identified 103 patients with a diagnosis of fungal keratitis. The majority of the patients were of Malay ethnicity (85.4%) and male gender (81.6%), with an age range of 21 to 60 years (94.1%). Ocular trauma was the main predisposing factor in 82 (79.6%) patients. Poor visual improvement was observed in groups with ulcer more than 4mm (67.5%), presence of hypopyon (50.9%), and high intraocular pressure (75.0%) upon presentation. Fusarium spp. (19.4%) was the commonest fungus isolated followed by Aspergillus spp. (5.8%). All patients were prescribed either topical, oral, intracameral, or combined therapy, whereas 20 (19.4%) patients required surgical intervention, of which 16 (15.5%) underwent penetrating keratoplasty and three (2.9%) required evisceration.

Conclusion

The epidemiological, socioeconomic, and predisposing factors may facilitate timely diagnosis and prompt treatment to achieve a better visual outcome and minimize complications including corneal blindness.
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tertiary referral center in northern Malaysia. This study was granted ethical approval by the Malaysian National Institute of Health and was registered in the National Medical Research Registry (NMRR-20-1473-55317).

All corneal scrape samples undertaken from January 2015 to December 2019 were extracted from electronic records. Patients with the diagnosis of fungal keratitis were identified and included in this study. The database was then used to retrieve patients’ demographic information, clinical comorbidities, predisposing factors, clinical features of fungal keratitis, laboratory findings, duration of hospitalization, treatments, complications, and visual outcome of the patients.

The comprehensive assessment included medical history, presenting visual acuity, slit-lamp biomicroscopic examination, and corneal scraping of the affected eye. Microscopic evaluation, which included gram stain and 10% potassium hydroxide (KOH) mount, was performed on collected corneal smears as part of the standard protocol. Other culture samples were sent using blood agar, chocolate agar, Sabouraud dextrose agar, and MacConkey agar.

Treatment given to patients was individualized based on clinical evaluation and laboratory findings. Routine follow-ups at regular intervals were conducted to assess the treatment efficacy, disease progression, and possible complications. Final visual acuity was taken at least six months after completion of treatment. Visual acuity was recorded using the Snellen chart, grouped as good (6/6 to 6/12), moderate (6/15 to 6/60), and severe (worse than 3/60) [5]. Patients with incomplete data were excluded from this study.

Statistical analysis for descriptive analysis was performed using SPSS 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Pearson’s chi-square test was used to determine the relationship between two categorical data. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

A total of 103 patients with the diagnosis of fungal keratitis were enrolled in this study. The mean age was 51.1±17.1 years (range: 19-87 years). The majority of patients were male (n=84, 81.6%), while 19 (18.4%) were female. Majority of them Malay ethnicity (85.4%), followed by Chinese (6.8%), non-Malaysian (6.8%), and Indian (1.0%). Only 15 (14.6%) smokers were recorded, while 17 (16.5%) patients had diabetes mellitus (Table 1).
TABLE 1: Sociodemographics of patients

| Demographic variables | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Age (years)           |              |                |
| <21                   | 1            | 1.0            |
| 21–40                 | 37           | 35.9           |
| 41–60                 | 29           | 28.2           |
| 61–80                 | 31           | 30.1           |
| >80                   | 5            | 4.9            |
| Gender                |              |                |
| Male                  | 84           | 81.6           |
| Female                | 19           | 18.4           |
| Ethnicity             |              |                |
| Malay                 | 88           | 85.4           |
| Chinese               | 7            | 6.8            |
| Indian                | 1            | 1.0            |
| Others/foreigners     | 7            | 6.8            |
| Smoker                |              |                |
| Yes                   | 15           | 14.6           |
| No                    | 88           | 85.4           |
| Diabetes mellitus     |              |                |
| Yes                   | 17           | 16.5           |
| No                    | 86           | 83.5           |

Our findings showed that ocular trauma was the major predisposing ocular factor (82, 79.6%), followed by corneal suture related in six (5.8%) patients, contact lens related in four (3.9%) patients, bullous keratopathy in three (2.9%) patients, ocular surface disease in two (1.9%) patients, and no known predisposing factor in six (5.8%) patients. Among those with ocular trauma, 29.1% were due to vegetative matter as compared to 27 (26.2%) cases caused by non-vegetative matter such as stone, sand, dust, metal, chemical, and insect (Table 2).
Table 2: Predisposing ocular factors for fungal keratitis

Table 3 showed the final visual acuity in our patients in relation to various clinical features. Large ulcer diameter \((p<0.001)\), central location of the fungal ulcer \((p=0.006)\), presence of hypopyon \((p=0.002)\), and high intraocular pressure (IOP) \((p<0.001)\) were significantly associated with worse final visual acuity. The duration of symptoms prior to presentation had no important association with visual outcome \((p>0.05)\) (Table 3).
| Presenting interval (days) | Good  | Moderate | Severe | p-Value<sup>ı</sup> |
|----------------------------|-------|----------|--------|---------------------|
| ≤3                         | 23 (42.6) | 11 (20.4) | 20 (37.0) | 0.114              |
| >3                         | 13 (26.5) | 18 (36.7) | 18 (36.7) |                    |

| Size, largest diameter (mm) | Good  | Moderate | Severe | p-Value<sup>ı</sup> |
|-----------------------------|-------|----------|--------|---------------------|
| ≤2                          | 17 (65.4) | 4 (15.4) | 5 (19.2) | <0.001              |
| 2–4                         | 16 (43.2) | 15 (40.5) | 6 (16.2) |                    |
| >4                          | 3 (7.5) | 10 (25.0) | 27 (67.5) |                    |

| Location | Good  | Moderate | Severe | p-Value<sup>ı</sup> |
|----------|-------|----------|--------|---------------------|
| Central  | 5 (15.6) | 7 (21.9) | 20 (62.5) |                    |
| Paracentral | 24 (43.6) | 18 (32.7) | 13 (23.6) | 0.006              |
| Peripheral | 7 (43.8) | 4 (25.0) | 5 (31.3) |                    |

| Hypopyon | Good  | Moderate | Severe | p-Value<sup>ı</sup> |
|----------|-------|----------|--------|---------------------|
| Present  | 13 (22.8) | 15 (28.3) | 29 (50.9) | 0.002              |
| Absent   | 23 (50.0) | 14 (30.4) | 9 (19.6) |                    |

| Intraocular pressure (mm Hg) | Good  | Moderate | Severe | p-Value<sup>ı</sup> |
|------------------------------|-------|----------|--------|---------------------|
| 10–21                        | 36 (43.3) | 24 (28.9) | 23 (27.7) | <0.001              |
| >21                          | 0 (0.0) | 5 (25.0) | 15 (75.0) |                    |

**TABLE 3: Presenting and final visual acuity based on clinical features**

*Visual acuity: good, 6/6–6/12; moderate, 6/15–3/60; severe, <3/60

<sup>ı</sup>Pearson’s chi-square test: p < 0.05 is significant

Out of 103 cases, causative fungi were successfully isolated in 41 (39.8%) cases, in which *Fusarium* spp. was mostly yielded (20, 19.4%) followed by *Aspergillus* spp. (n=6, 5.8%) (Table 4).
TABLE 4: Causative fungus, antifungal prescribed, and surgical Intervention

| Frequency (% | Percentage (%) |
|---------------|----------------|
| **Causative fungus** |               |
| Fusarium spp. | 20 | 19.4 |
| Aspergillus spp. | 6 | 5.8 |
| Curvularia spp. | 3 | 2.9 |
| Non-sporulating hyaline hyphomycetes | 3 | 2.9 |
| Candida spp. | 2 | 1.9 |
| Bipolaris spp. | 2 | 1.9 |
| Nigrospora spp. | 2 | 1.9 |
| Chrysosporium spp. | 1 | 1.0 |
| Unidentified | 62 | 60.2 |
| **Antifungal prescribed** |               |
| Single topical antifungal | 9 | 8.7 |
| Dual topical antifungals | 40 | 38.8 |
| Combined topical and oral antifungals | 44 | 42.7 |
| Topical, oral, and intracameral antifungals | 10 | 9.7 |
| **Surgical intervention** |               |
| Penetrating keratoplasty | 16 | 15.5 |
| Evisceration | 3 | 2.9 |
| Corneal glue with bandage contact lens | 3 | 2.9 |
| Tarsorrhaphy | 1 | 1.0 |
The patient profile in our study found that most of them were Malay (85%), male (82%), and young (65% aged 21 to 60 years). Ocular trauma resulted in almost 80% of cases, predominantly caused by vegetative matters (30, 29.1%). This conforms with the local sociodemographic profile in which Malay is the major ethnicity and young males are the main workforce in a largely agricultural state, including farmers, fishermen, and laborers. Our results are in line with several other local studies [1,2,5]. Koh et al. found that diabetes mellitus was a common risk factor for corneal ulcer due to impaired corneal barrier function and delayed epithelial healing [10]. However, no significant relationship can be established between smokers or diabetic patients with fungal keratitis in our study.

Visual acuity was assessed using the Snellen chart at the first presentation and subsequent visits. Final visual acuity was assessed at least six months from the completion of optimal treatment. Delayed presentation and treatment are known to be associated with poorer visual outcome. Around 47.5% of patients in our study presented more than three days from the onset. Factors contributing to the delayed presentation included lack of awareness, self-remedy, and over-the-counter drugs used. Our study demonstrated that large ulcer diameter (> 4mm), central fungal ulceration, presence of hypopyon, and high IOP (> 21 mmHg) on presentation were poor prognostic factors for the final visual outcome. Our study findings were parallel with studies conducted by Chitamparam et al. and Prajna et al. [7,11]. Central fungal ulceration disrupted the visual axis, resulting in worse final vision as compared to paracentral and peripheral ulceration [7].

*Fusarium* spp., *Aspergillus* spp., and *Candida* spp. are known to be the commonest causative fungi in mycotic keratitis [6]. Our study revealed *Fusarium* spp. was the main causative fungus (19.4%) followed by *Aspergillus* spp. (5.8%). Yap et al., Mohd-Tahir et al., and Chitamparam et al. also demonstrated *Fusarium* spp. as the commonest causative organism in fungal keratitis [1,2,7].

Most of our patients received either dual topical antifungal (amphotericin B and fluconazole) or combined topical and oral antifungal (fluconazole). Only 10% of our patients required combined topical, oral, and intracameral antifungal. Cochrane review suggested that natamycin was superior in treating *Fusarium* fungal ulcers compared to other antifungal agents. However, natamycin is expensive and is not routinely available in Malaysia due to its cost [12]. Sharma et al. showed that there was no significant extra benefit of intracameral amphotericin B over topical therapy in the Indian population [13].

Surgical treatment is indicated in cases refractory to medical therapy and deep or severe fungal keratitis [14]. Twenty (19.4%) patients from our study had to undergo surgical intervention such as PK and evisceration. The surgical rate in our study is almost similar to another local study in Kelantan by Mohd-Tahir et al. who showed that 19.5% of patients required PK and 4.3% required evisceration [2]. A study carried out in North China recorded 37.8% of PK was performed in their patients due to delay in presentation and wrong usage of drugs received prior to admission [14]. PK remains the commonest surgical intervention with promising improvement in visual outcome [15,16].

There are several limitations to our study. Due to the retrospective nature and incomplete medical records, sociodemographic information such as occupation, education level, and household income could not be evaluated. Efficacy of different treatment regime is also incomparable due to the retrospective nature of this study and the lack of standardized treatment protocol. In any case, the existing data may help identify commoner causative organisms based on the clinical features and predict the patients' final visual outcome.

**Conclusions**

Ocular trauma was the main predisposing factor in our study due to high involvement in agricultural and outdoor activities. Bigger ulcer size, central corneal involvement, presence of hypopyon, high IOP, and poor vision at presentation are factors for poorer final visual outcome. A high index of suspicion of fungal keratitis especially when treating patients with known predisposing factors facilitates early diagnosis and prompt treatment, preventing unfavorable visual outcome.

**Additional Information**

**Disclosures**

**Human subjects:** Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. Malaysian National Institute of Health issued approval NMRR-20-1473-55317. **Animal subjects:** All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

**References**
1. Yap JY, Tan KV, Sonny-Teo KH: A 3-year retrospective review of corneal ulcers in Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia. Fam Med Prim Care Rev. 2019, 21:e62-6. 10.5114/fmpcr.2019.82980
2. Mohd-Tahir F, Norhayati A, Siti-Rahian I, Ibrahim M: A 5-year retrospective review of fungal keratitis at Hospital University Sains Malaysia. Interdiscip Perspect Infect Dis. 2012, 2012:851563. 10.1155/2012/851563
3. Gopinathan U, Garg P, Fernandes M, Sharma S, Athmanathan S, Rao GN: The epidemiological features and laboratory results of fungal keratitis: a 10-year review at a referral eye care center in South India. Cornea. 2002, 21:555-9. 10.1097/00003226-200208000-00004
4. Ansari Z, Miller D, Galor A: Current thoughts in fungal keratitis: diagnosis and treatment. Curr Fungal Infect Rep. 2013, 7:209-18. 10.1007/s12281-013-0150-1
5. Omor N Muna'aim MA, Saleh BM, Kasim ZM, Isa MM: An 8 year retrospective review if microbial keratitis in a secondary referral centre in Malaysia. Mal J Med Health Sci. 2017, 13:47-57.
6. Brown L, Leck AK, Gichangi M, Burton MJ, Denning DW: The global incidence and diagnosis of fungal keratitis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2021, 21:e49-57. 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30448-5
7. Chitamparam S, Lim TH, Tai E, Ibrahim M: Mycotic keratitis in a tertiary hospital in northeastern Malaysia. Turk J Ophthalmol. 2020, 50:352-8. 10.4274/tjo.galenos.2020.57609
8. Rautaraya B, Sharma S, Kar S, Das S, Sahu SK: Diagnosis and treatment outcome of fungal keratitis at a tertiary eye care center in eastern India. BMC Ophthalmol. 2011, 11:39. 10.1186/1471-2415-11-39
9. Saha S, Banerjee D, Khetan A, Sengupta I: Epidemiological profile of fungal keratitis in urban population of West Bengal, India. Oman J Ophthalmol. 2009, 2:114-8. 10.4103/0974-620X.57510
10. Koh YY, Sun CC, Hsiao CH: Epidemiology and the estimated burden of microbial keratitis on the health care system in Taiwan: A 14-year population-based study. Am J Ophthalmol. 2020, 220:152-9. 10.1016/j.ajo.2020.07.026
11. Prajna NV, Krishnan T, Rajaraman R, et al.: Predictors of corneal perforation or need for therapeutic keratoplasty in severe fungal keratitis: a secondary analysis of the Mycotic Ulcer Treatment Trial II. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2017, 135:987-91. 10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2017.2914
12. FlorCruz NV, Pezzon IV, Evans JR: Medical interventions for fungal keratitis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012, CD004241. 10.1002/14651858.CD004241.pub5
13. Sharma N, Sankaran P, Agarwal T, et al.: Evaluation of intracameral amphotericin B in the management of fungal keratitis: randomized controlled trial. Ocul Immunol Inflamm. 2016, 24:493-7. 10.3109/09273948.2015.1057597
14. Xie L, Zhong W, Shi W, Sun S: Spectrum of fungal keratitis in north China. Ophthalmology. 2006, 113:1945-8. 10.1016/j.ophtha.2006.05.035
15. Xie L, Dong X, Shi W: Treatment of fungal keratitis by penetrating keratoplasty. Br J Ophthalmol. 2001, 85:1070-4. 10.1136/bjo.85.9.1070
16. Xie L, Zhai H, Shi W: Penetrating keratoplasty for corneal perforations in fungal keratitis. Cornea. 2007, 26:158-62. 10.1097/01.ico.0000248381.24519.0d