Management and utilization practices of natural pasture in Western Ethiopia

Kassahun Gurmessa*
Department of Animal Science, Salale University, P.O Box, 395, Nekemte, Ethiopia

Abstract

A survey was conducted in highland and mid altitude areas of Horro and Guduru districts of Oromia Regional States, Western Ethiopia with the objective of assessing the management system and status of grazing land. A single-visit multi subject formal survey method was followed to gather data about grassland holding, management systems and livestock holding. A total of 210 respondents, 60 from highland and 150 households from mid altitude were selected and interviewed. Data were collected and analyzed using descriptive stastics. The result showed all households of highland and 89.3% of mid altitude had private grazing land. About 38.3%, 61.7% and 100% of surveyed households of highland and 17.33%, 64% and 98% of mid altitude households respectively have practice of cut and carry, paddock feeding and free grazing systems of grazing. The practice of natural pasture preservation in the form of closure was very common and practiced by 95% of highland and 67.33% of mid altitude surveyed households with overall mean of 75.2%. Only few farmers, about 16.7% of highland and 29.33% of surveyed household of mid altitude have practice of hay making, while the practice of silage making was not known in both agro ecologies. The cause for the decreasing size of grazingland was ranked by the respondents. The major causes were listed as cultivation, expansion of towns (villagizations), investments and land allocation for social services (church, health services, offices). Accordingly the contribution of cultivation, expansion of towns, social services and investments were ranked as 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th respectively in highland, while cultivation/land allocation for social service, expansion of towns and investment ranked as 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th cause respectively in mid altitude. The cause for the deterioration of quality of grazing land was ranked similarily in both agro-ecologies. Accordingly disappearance of better and quality species was ranked 1st cause, expansion of invasive plants 2nd and depletion of soil as 3rd cause. Improved herding system like herd splitting in which different species of different feeding habit are kept separately were less known in both agro-ecologies. Therefore improved forage development with good practices of conservation and good livestock management systems should be focus of intervention in both agro-ecologies.

Introduction

Livestock is an important and integral part the agriculture sector of Ethiopia. The subsector contributes about 16.5% of the national Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and 35.6% of the agricultural GDP [1]. It also contributes 15% of export earnings and 30% of agricultural employment [2]. The livestock subsector currently support and sustain livelihoods for 80% of all rural population.

The population of livestock of Ethiopia is believed to be the largest in Africa and an estimate indicates that the country is a home for about 54 million cattle, 26 million sheep and 24 million goats,1.9 million horse, 6.8 million donkeys, 0.4 million of mules and 0.9 million camels [3]. Of the total livestock population of the country, about 75% of the cattle, 75% of the sheep and 34% of the goat population in Ethiopia are found in the highlands.

Despite high livestock population and existing favorable environmental conditions, the current livestock output of the country particularly in highland mixed crop livestock production system is little. For example the milk output per cow is estimated 1.3 litres per day [3]. This is associated with a number of complex and inter-related factors such as inadequate feed and nutrition, widespread diseases, poor genetic potential of local breeds, market problem, inefficiency of livestock development services with respect to credit, extension, marketing, and infrastructure [4-8].

The grassland region of Ethiopia accounts for some 30.5 percent of the area of the country and is most extensive in the western, southern and south-eastern semi-arid lowlands. On the more humid side, open grassland and grassland with some trees are common; grasses may cover as much as 90 percent of the area [9].

According to estimates of CSA 2013 about 57.5%, 29.6% and 7.1
and the efficient use of available feed resources demands understanding the prevailing status of feed resources and knowing practices of managing available feed resources of the rural community. Therefore, the present study was designed to assess the prevailing management system and status of grazinglands in Western highland and mid-altitude areas of Ethiopia.

Materials and methods

Description of the study area

The study was conducted in Horro and Guduru districts of Horro Guduru Wollega Zone of Oromia Regional state, Western Ethiopia. The two districts are found between 36° - 37° E longitudes and 9° – 10° N latitude. They are found at altitude that ranges between 1450 – 3100 and 1500 – 2450 m. a. s.l. respectively for Horro and Guduru districts. The mean annual rainfall ranges between 1350-1400 mm. They have mean temperature that ranges between 12-32°C respectively [10,11].

Sampling procedures

Assessment of grazingland management: A single-visit formal survey method [12] was followed to gather data about grassland holding and management systems of the study areas. Informal survey and group discussions were conducted with elders, key informants, and development agents working on the sites and district administrative officers. The information gathered through the above process were summarized and used as a basis to design a semi-structured questionnaire to quantify the most important parts of the study and the households were taken as a unit of analysis. Trained enumerators were used to assist in the collection of the data.

Pre test questionnaire was used to study the type of grazingland owned, management, and status of grazing land. The districts was stratified into two using altitude as criteria [9,13,14]. Accordingly, the kebeles; which are the lowest administrative units in the study districts were grouped into two agro-ecological zones. Finally, a total of 210 households of which 60 from highland and 150 households were selected from mid altitude randomly based on the proportional number of households and the size of each stratum.

Statistical analysis

The computer software Stastical Package for Social Siences (SPSS of version 17.0) was employed to analyze data of survey work. An indices were calculated for ranking data using the formula: Index = Σ of {3 for rank 1 + 2 for rank 2 + 1 for rank 3} for overall reasons/criterias.

Result and discussions

Household resources

Average age of respondents, household size, land holding and use pattern and livestock holding of surveyed households is shown in Table 1. There was no significant difference in age of surveyed households. The average family member reported were similar (P>0.05) it was 7.1 for highland and 6.9 for mid altitude. The results of the analysis show that the overall average family size per household (hh) was 6.9 and was comparably higher than 5.6 members/households reported for Dendi districts of central part of Ethiopia [15] and comparably similar with 6.98 members reported for Mulu-Sulula and lower than family size of 8.10 members reported for Degem [16]. The result of the present study also showed that the average landholding per hh of the two agro–ecologies were similar (P>0.05). There was significant difference (P<0.001) in livestock holding between highland and mid altitude areas of the present study areas. The large population of livestock holding of highland could be due to the prevailing larger area of grazing land and the probable less incidence of disease that could exist in highland (Table 1 and PRA result).

Type of grazing land: The type and owner ship of grazing lands of surveyed households of highland and mid-altitude areas of Horro and Guduru districts is depicted in the Table 2 below. Two types ownership of grazing lands were identified, i.e. communal and private grazing land (Table 2). Due to expansion of cultivation land at the expense of communal grazing land and depletion of the remaining communal grazing land as a result of over grazing; the large segment of the community keep a portion of cultivable land for private grazing. As a result all surveyed households of highland and 89.3% of mid–altitude have their own grazingland. The average private grazing land size holding per surveyed households of highland

### Table 1: Mean and standard errors of household resources at Selale.

| Variables                     | Highland Mean±SE | Mid altitude Mean±SE | Overall Mean±SE | Significance |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Age of respondents            | 40.32±1.02        | 41.39±0.91            | 41.08±0.71      | NS          |
| Family size (%)               | 7.12±0.31         | 6.87±0.23             | 6.94±0.18       | NS          |
| Cultivation land (ha)         | 3.70±0.26         | 3.51±0.11             | 3.56±0.11       | NS          |
| Grazing land (ha)             | 0.73±0.08         | 0.47±0.03             | 0.54±0.03       | ***         |
| Total landholding             | 4.43±0.26         | 3.98±0.11             | 4.11±0.11       | NS          |
| Livestock number TLU          | 13.00±0.60        | 9.72±0.45             | 10.65±0.38      | ***         |

SE= Standard Errors, ha= hectare, TLU= Tropical Livestock Unit, NS = None Significant, *** = P<0.001, N= Number

### Table 2: Types and sizes of private grazingland of surveyed households.

| Description               | Highland N (%) | Mid-land N (%) | Overall N (%) |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| Private grazing land      |                |                |               |
| Own                      | 60 (100.0)     | 134 (89.3)     | 194 (92.4)    |
| Do not own               | -              | 16 (10.7)      | 16 (7.6)      |
| Type of grazingland      |                |                |               |
| Virgin                   | 3 (5.0)        | 47 (31.3)      | 50 (26.04)    |
| Fallow                   | 57 (95.0)      | 87 (64.9)      | 142 (74.00)   |

N = Number of respondents
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(0.73±0.08 ha/hh) was significantly higher (P<0.05) than the holdings of mid-altitude (0.47±0.03 hectare) households (Table 1). Comparably the overall size of private grazing land size of the two agro–ecologies were higher than 0.3, 0.4 and 0.1 hectare reported for Diga, Jeldu and Fogera districts of Ethiopia located at Western, Central and Northern part of the country respectively [15,17].

Majority of the type grazing land owned by surveyed household was fallow grass land followed by virgin grass land, which has shown variation according to the agro–ecological areas of respondents. About 95% of respondents of highland and 64.9% of surveyed households of mid–altitude possess fallow grazing land; whereas, only 5% highland and 31.3% of surveyed households of mid altitude possess virgin grassland (Table 2). This variation could be aroused due to the difference in intensity of cultivation. There is a social by in both agro–ecologies that prohibits shifting of virgin communal grazing land to private grazing land for private use. This social bylaw also forced individual households to reserve a portion of their private land that was previously used for cultivation for grazing and this bylaw allow private ownership of virgin grassland only if the grass land is surrounded by individual cultivated land and in accessible for others to drive their animal to this particular area which is locally called as “Gataa”. Such type of grazing land when protected for long years will develop some bushes and trees that could be source of firewood and wood for construction. This social bylaw also allow Communal grazing of “Gataa”, private grazing land and crop aftermath during dry season (January to May) when cattle are allowed to move anywhere to scavenge their feed without herding by herders. In this local areas this time is also known by name “Gadhisili” meaning livestock are released free of herding men for grazing (PRA result).

Grazing systems of grassland: The production system practised in highland and mid altitude areas of Horro and Guduru districts is crop livestock production system [18]. However the grazing systems practised in these areas varies according to season, size and type of grazing land and size of individual livestock. Extensive grazing, paddock feeding and Cut and carry system are listed as major grazing system of the two agro–ecologies. Furthermore, tether feeding is recently started by farmers owning very few livestock on road side (Table 3).

Extensive system of grazing is common practice of grazing for the entire highland and 98 % of mid–altitude surveyed households. It is mainly practised on communal grazing land throughout the grazing time. According to the perceptions of surveyed households, the reason for extensive grazing of communal grazing land is for two purposes; first this is for competitive exploitation of the communal land as there is no formal control over use of communal grazing land and the second purpose is to allow enough to for their private grazing lands’ vegetations to attain more vegetative part and better forage quality which could be used for the time of scarcity.

Paddock system of grazing is mainly practised on private grazing land. During the onset of rain both Private Grazing Land (PGL) and communal grazing lands are allowed for extensive grazing for short time. Then private grazing land is protected from grazing until it reaches maximum growth stage. During this time; animals are mainly allowed to graze on meager herbage found along roadside and in Communal Grazing Land (CGL). After the whole crop land is cultivated the privately owned enclosure is used for paddock feeding and cut and carry system. This starts at end of September to November in which cattle graze on communal land at morning to mid days, and then allowed for grazing protected private grazing land late afternoon. The paddock feeding system is common and practiced by about 55% of highland and 64% of surveyed households of mid altitude. This type of grazing system is common and reported for other parts of the country [19,20] Figure 1.

The present study result also revealed that the practice of cut and carry system of feeding was less popular and practiced only by about 38.3% and 17.3% of surveyed household of highland and mid–altitude respectively. Such system of feeding is allowed mainly for sick, oxen and equines especially for horses.

Generally the present study revealed that natural pasture sustains livestock for about 6 months (June to December) until cultivated crop land is harvested and open for grazing. This conforms to the general research report that natural pasture is one of the major sources of animal feed in the country [3,9,20].

Practice and forms of conserving pasture: The practices and forms of uses and conservation of herbage from grassland known in the two agro–ecologies are summarized in Table 4. Of the total surveyed households about 83.3% of highland and 70.7% of mid–altitude either don’t have experience of conserving pasture in the form of silage or hay for the time of forage scarcity. Enclosure of pasture seems to be more practiced among farmers having practice of conserving pasture. The present study also indicated that about 95% of surveyed households of highland and 67.3% of mid altitude enclose their private grazing land until it reach maximum biomass yield. This enclosure is used either for paddock feeding, cut and carry or for hay making. The result of present study showed that hay making was practiced only by 16.7 % of households of highland and 29.3% of surveyed households of mid–altitude and also revealed none of the respondents of the two agro–ecologies has started or know silage making.

The enclosed grazing land is majorly used for paddock feeding which is traditionally known as ‘Kuta’ grazing. This type of grazing involves feeding a portion of enclosure first and then shifting to ungrazed portion after the first portion is well grazed and this will continues until the whole enclosure is well
grazed. In this system of grazing (‘Kuta’system), priority of feeding is given according to the age, and species of the animal. Accordingly small ruminants and calves are allowed to graze first, followed by matured cattle and then equines are allowed to graze finally in mixed with other live stocks.

Feed prioritization and herding system: In both agro-ecologies of the present study areas surveyed households has reported that there is traditional knowledge of selective feeding their productive animals according to their economic importance, physiological status and their health. Accordingly oxen are the first, lactating cows are the second and fattening animals are 3rd prioritized in feeding and sick and pregnant cows are 4th and 5th respectively (Table 5). This selective feeding of productive animal is a good indication of their understanding of the role of proper feeding in enhanced productivity of their animal and need to be adopted in other parts of the country for better livestock sector improvement of the country.

Farmers’ perception on the status of grazing land

Size of grazing land: The perception of surveyed households on status of grazing land for both agro–ecologies is presented in Table 6 below. According to the perception of respondents of the two agro–ecologies the allocation of grazing lands for cultivation, expansion of town, investment and expansion of social services were listed as the main causes for the decline of the size of grazingland. All respondents of highland and 99.3 % of the mid altitude raised expansion of cultivation land as the first cause for the decreasing size of grazingland and the share of the rest reasons were minimal. Similar observations were also reported for Ginchi and Bosona districts of Ethiopia [20–22]. This indicates that the demand for integration of improved forage development and crop production so as to sustain the lives of the poor farming communities of the areas. Quality of grazing land: almost all surveyed household of the two agro–ecologies believe that the quality of grazingland is decreasing (Table 6). Disappearance of nutritious and palatable species, expansion of invasive plants, and depletion of soil fertility were reported to be the main cause respectively. In these areas, during the wet season, grazing lands contain a significant proportion of wild Trifolium species which is grazed prior to full blooming causing incidence of bloating which result the affected animals often death within a short period of time especially in mid wet season. Other invasive and noxious plants like Raphanus raphanistrum (gommene), Parthenium hysterophorus were also reported to be the most invedors. This conforms to the general idea that overgrazing of natural grazing lands results in invasion of unpalatable weeds and woody plants [9,23–25].

Figure 1: Paddock grazing.

Table 4: Practice of pasture conservation and forms of conserving.

| Activities         | High (n=60) | Mid highland (n=60) | Overall |
|--------------------|-------------|---------------------|---------|
| Enclosing          | 57 (95.0)   | 101 (67.3)          | 158 (75.2) |
| Conserve as hay    | 10 (16.7)   | 44(29.3)            | 54 (25.7) |
| Conserve as silage | -           | -                   | -       |
| I don’t conserve   | 50 (83.3)   | 106(70.7)           | 156 (74.3) |

N = Number of respondents

Table 5: Feed prioritization practiced by surveyed households of highland and mid highlands of Horro and Guduru districts.

| Agro –ecology | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | Index |
|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| High land     |     |     |     |     |     |       |
| Oxen          | 37  (61.7) | 23  (38.3) | -   | -   | -   | 0.31  |
| Lactating     | 23  (38.3) | 37  (61.7) | -   | -   | -   | 0.29  |
| Fattening     | -   | -   | 41  (68.3) | 19 (31.7) | - | 0.18  |
| Sick          | -   | -   | 21  (35.0) | 39 (65)  | -  | 0.16  |
| Pregnant      | -   | -   | -   | -   | 16  (26.7) | 0.02  |
| Mid high land |     |     |     |     |     |       |
| Oxen          | 121 (80.7) | 22  (14.7) | 7 (4.7) | - | - | 0.32 |
| Lactating     | 25 (16.7) | 124 (82.7) | - | 1(0.7) | - | 0.28 |
| Fattening     | 3 (2.0) | 10 (6.7) | 118 (78.7) | 19 (12.7)| - | 0.20 |
| Sick          | 1 (0.7) | 1(0.7) | 18 (12.0) | 130 (86.7) | - | 0.14 |
| Pregnant      | -   | -   | -   | -   | 55  (36.7) | 0.02 |

N = Number of respondents
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Therefore weed control and weeds are major problems in both perennial and annual pasture and forage crops and needs to be controlled.

Figure 2. Show the disappearing and endangered herbaceous species of grassland as listed by surveyed households. About 10 important and common species of herbacious plants were listed by surveyed house holds. Of which nine were grasses and one anual legume. Among them Cynodon dactylon, Pennisetum clandestinum and pennisetum sphacelatum were listed as endangered species by large percent of respondents due to overgrazing and natural calamities.

Practice of establishing improved pasture

One of the best opportunities for highland farmers to use land efficiently would be through the introduction of improved pasture and forages in the farming system. Figure 3 below shows the percentage of surveyed households experiencing cultivation of improved forages on grass land. The most cultivated improved forages in the present study areas were listed and described by surveyed households. Rhodes grass, Oats, Elephant grasses and alfalfa were among the most frequently cultivated and used in both agro-ecologies. Among surveyed households only 28.3% of highland and 6% of mid altitude households have started cultivation of improved pasture. The experience seems higher in highland than mid altitude, which could be varied due to the prevailing larger size of private grazing land and intensive cultivation of crop in highland community.

Conclusion

Grassland is the major feed resources for livestock in the study areas especially during rainy season June to December. The trend of reserving grazing land for private use was entirely practiced by all respondents of highland and by majority of mid-altitude residents. Free grazing on both communal and

### Table 6: Status of the size and quality of grazing land and reasons for change as perceived by surveyed households.

| Reasons                                      | A- Size of Grazing | B- Quality of grazing land |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
|                                              | Increasing N (%)  | No change N (%) | Decreasing N (%) | 1st N (%) | 2nd N (%) | 3rd N (%) | 4th N (%) | INDEX |
| High land                                    | -                  | -                | 60 (100)         | 40 (66.7) | 14 (23.3) | 4 (6.7)   | -         | 0.40  |
| Cultivation                                  | -                  | -                | -                | 60 (100)  | 14 (23.3) | 4 (6.7)   | -         | 0.247 |
| Expansion of town/villages                   | -                  | -                | -                | -         | 42 (70.0) | 4 (6.7)   | -         | 0.247 |
| Investment                                   | -                  | -                | -                | -         | 3 (5.0)   | 16 (26.7) | 41 (68.3) | 0.137 |
| Social service                                | -                  | -                | -                | -         | 15 (25.0) | 40 (66.7) | 5 (8.3)   | 0.216 |
| Mid high land                                | -                  | 1 (0.7)          | 149 (99.3)       | 1 (7.5)   | 148 (98.7) | 32 (21.3) | 0.381     |
| Cultivation                                  | -                  | -                | 149 (99.3)       | 1 (7.5)   | -         | -         | -         | 0.399 |
| Expansion of town/villages                   | -                  | -                | -                | -         | 26 (17.3) | 97 (64.7) | 27 (18.0) | 0.199 |
| Investment                                   | -                  | -                | 1 (7.5)          | 149 (99.3) | 9 (6.0)   | 33 (22.0) | 107 (71.3) | 0.136 |
| Social service                                | -                  | -                | -                | -         | 114 (76.0) | 20 (13.3) | 16 (10.7) | 0.265 |
| Mid high land                                | -                  | 1 (7.5)          | 149 (99.3)       | 1 (7.5)   | -         | -         | -         | 0.399 |
| Disappearance of better spps.                | -                  | -                | 95 (83.3)        | 6 (10.0)   | 4 (6.7)   | -         | -         | 0.641 |
| Expansion of invasive plants                 | -                  | -                | 4(6.7)           | 46 (76.7)  | 10 (16.7)  | -         | -         | 0.317 |
| Depletion of soil                            | -                  | -                | 6 (10.0)         | 8 (13.3)   | 46 (76.7)  | -         | -         | 0.222 |
| Mid high land                                | -                  | 2 (1.3)          | 148 (98.7)       | 1 (7.5)   | -         | -         | -         | 0.381 |
| Disappearance of better spps.                | -                  | -                | 75 (50.0)        | 43 (28.7)  | 32 (21.3)  | -         | -         | 0.342 |
| Expansion of invasive plants                 | -                  | -                | 48 (32.0)        | 62 (41.3)  | 40 (26.7)  | -         | -         | 0.277 |
| Depletion of soil                            | -                  | -                | 27 (18)          | 45 (30.0)  | 78 (52.0)  | -         | -         | 0.277 |
conserving pasture in the form of hay and the use of pasture land in the form enclosure was very common in both agro-ecologies. Almost all surveyed household agree that the size of grazing lands were drastically decreased due to expansion of cultivation land, investments and expansion of cultivation land and some social service. They believe that the quality of grazing land has also decreased as a result of disappearance of highly palatable and quality species, invasions of invasive weeds and depletion of the soil. Mixed species herding was practiced in both agro-ecologies. This entails herding of animals of different feeding habit (grazers and browsers) together. Therefore, based on the result the following recommendations are forwarded for improving pastureland and livestock development in the areas.

- The practice of land allocation for private grazing should be encouraged with the improved feeding systems.
- Conservation of pasture in the form of silage and hay should be a close intervention area to mitigate feed shortage that might be the cause for low performance of livestock especially during dry season.
- There is a need to integrate crop with high yielding and quality forage species to improve feed shortage that might arise as a result of cultivation, investment and allocation of land for social services and decrease in the quality of grazing land.
- The indiscriminate continuous grazing and absence of control over the communal grazing land needs due attention through improved management system and participation of the community.
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