Palladium-catalyzed stereoselective domino arylation–acylation: an entry to chiral tetrahydrofluorenone scaffolds†
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A palladium-catalyzed domino arylation-cyclization of biocatalytically derived cyclic 1,3-dienes is demonstrated. The reaction introduces a high degree of structural complexity in a single step, giving access to tricyclic tetrahydrofluorenones with full regio- and stereoselectivity. The transformation proceeds through a novel acylation-terminated Heck-type sequence, and quantum chemical calculations indicate that C–H activation is involved in the terminating acylation step.

Palladium-catalyzed domino reactions provide an efficient means of rapidly constructing bi-, tri- and tetracyclic molecules, and have been applied in the formation of various natural products and heterocycles. The tricyclic hydrofluorenone motif is well suited to benefit from such a synthesis and is present in a number of known bioactive compounds, such as taiwaniaquinol, asterogynin B, and kinamycin F (Fig. 1).

Our investigation was triggered by the discovery that the Pd-catalyzed arylation of diene 1 with 2-iodobenzaldehyde resulted in the formation of tricyclic tetrahydrofluorenone 2 (Scheme 2). Attempted use of other palladium or silver sources was not successful, while the amount of aryl halide could be lowered to 1.5 equiv with only a minor lowering of the yield (see ESI†).

Diene 1 derives from a biocatalytic arene oxidation (BAO) product, readily obtainable in multigram quantities via a dearamatizing oxidation of benzoic acid by R. eutropha B9 (Scheme 3). A range of other diene substrates were also prepared from the same BAO oxidation product by acetal...
protection of the diol followed by functional group conversion of the carboxylic acid.

Compounds 1 and 3–9 were then evaluated with 2-iodo-5-methoxybenzaldehyde as the aryl halide (Scheme 4). Methyl amide 3 performs well, affording 10 in 61% yield. Secondary amide 4 and aryl amide 5 are also compatible, producing 11 and 12 in somewhat lower yields. A better result (74%) is obtained with isopropylamide 1. Weinreb amide 14 provides a useful functionality for further transformations. Alcohol 15 could be formed by switching to DMF as the solvent, albeit in a low yield. For diene 8, with a pendant carboxylic acid, degradation of the diene starting material took place under the reaction conditions. For methyl ester 9, no conversion to product was seen, indicating that the amide hydrogen might have an activating effect in the reaction.

The scope of aryl halides was then evaluated with diene 1 under the same reaction conditions. With 2-iodobenzaldehyde, cyclization product 2 can be isolated in 61% yield. 2-Iodo-5-methoxybenzaldehyde affords 13 in 74% yield and the isomeric 2-iodo-4-methoxybenzaldehyde product 16 can be isolated in 58% yield using a higher reaction temperature. A higher temperature is also needed if the aryl iodide is substituted by a second halide or by a CF₃-group (17–19), while dimethylated product 20 can be formed using the standard reaction conditions. Heteroaromatic aryl halides, containing a thiophene or indole moiety, proved unsuccessful. Likewise, the reaction seems limited to aryl iodides, with only trace amounts of 2 formed when 2-bromobenzaldehyde is used.

Acetonide deprotection to form 21 was also demonstrated, (Scheme 5). Diol 21 crystallizes readily, permitting structural elucidation by X-ray diffraction (Fig. 2).

We here propose a mechanism in which, after the initial arylation of the diene through migratory insertion, the formed organopalladium intermediate undergoes an acylation by the adjacent aldehyde. As no non-cyclized Heck-product is detected, this acylation must be favoured over the β-hydrida elimination forming 22 (Scheme 6, path C). In earlier work
from our group, we have seen that β-hydride elimination is indeed favoured if no formyl group is present on the aryl halide. To form product 2, two pathways are possible: the cyclization could either result from an aldehyde C–H activation, followed by C–C bond formation via reductive elimination (path A). Alternatively, it might result from an aldehyde insertion into the Pd–C bond, followed by oxidation of the formed benzylic alkoxide by β-hydride elimination (path B).

Both C–H activation and aldehyde insertion have been suggested in related aryl Pd-acylations. Rodrigo reported an aldehyde C–H activation by an alkylpalladium species leading to a decarbonylation, however, only one example of an alkylation between a C(sp3)–Pd species and an aldehyde has been disclosed. An aldehyde insertion pathway was suggested in this case, but no mechanistic investigation was conducted to distinguish between these two pathways. As no distinction between the two mechanisms can be made based on our experiments, we have conducted a quantum chemical investigation using Density Functional Theory calculations. Calculations were performed at the SMD-B3LYP-D3/def2-TZVPD//def2-SVPD level of theory using Gaussian 16.23 Computational details, energies and optimized geometries of all structures can be found in the ESL.

† The formation of product 2 without added ligand was chosen as a model reaction to limit the cost of the calculations. Fig. 3 shows computed reaction profiles for the two possible acylations to form 2 (paths A and B) and β-hydride elimination to form 22 (path C). The three reaction profiles all start from the intermediate IN1, which is formed after initial oxidative addition of 2-iodobenzaldehyde, displacement of iodide by acetate and subsequent migratory insertion of 1.

Our calculations predict path A, involving C–H activation, to be dominant, and clearly favoured over aldehyde insertion. The initial C–H activation step (TS3) has a barrier of 12.8 kcal mol⁻¹ and is predicted to be the highest energy point along this reaction path. The second step of path A proceeds through deprotonation of the formed palladium(IV) complex (IN3) and loss of acetic acid through TS4, producing the palladium(II) species IN4. The IN4 intermediate is then predicted to undergo a reductive elimination through TS5 to form product 2. A possible alternative mechanism to pathway A is C–H activation through a concerted metalation-deprotonation, which has been suggested in related aryl acylations. However, despite exhaustive efforts, no transition state for this type of mechanism could be located.

The aldehyde insertion (TS1) in pathway B computes as having a relatively high barrier of 28.6 kcal mol⁻¹. A possible explanation for the high relative energy of TS1 is that the highly directional C(sp³)–Pd bond is almost completely broken in this transition state. Our modelling of this reaction shows that if aldehyde insertion would occur, then subsequent oxidation of the formed palladium alkoxide IN2 has a relatively low barrier. In other words, if IN2 is formed it could proceed to form product 2. Our calculations suggest that the rate determining step for path C is deprotonation of the palladium-hydride complex formed after the β-hydride elimination. This deprotonation (TS7) has a barrier of 13.7 kcal mol⁻¹, which is ~1 kcal mol⁻¹ higher than the barrier for C–H activation. A predicted lower reaction rate for β-hydride elimination (path C) agrees with our experiments, where no arylation product 22 was observed. The backwards barrier for the alkene insertion forming IN1 was calculated to 19.1 kcal mol⁻¹, making the formation of IN1 irreversible (ESI † Part 2). The migratory insertion transition state contains a hydrogen bond between the amide and the aldehyde, indicating that the amide can help facilitate the reaction with ortho aryl halides.

In summary, we have presented a palladium-catalyzed stereoselective domino arylation-cyclization reaction of enzymatically derived dienes with 2-iodobenzaldehydes. The combination of enzyme- and transition metal catalysis allows for introduction of a high degree of molecular complexity in just a few steps, from two simple aromatic precursors, i.e. benzoic acid and a 2-iodobenzaldehyde. The reaction proceeds in good yields and tolerates a range of aryl iodides, as well as some variation in terms of the diene substrate. In total, 12 homochiral products are reported with yields ranging from 14–74%. Quantum chemical calculations suggest that the cyclization is a result of a C–H activation that follows the initial Heck-type carbopalladation. The C–H activation is competitive despite the presence of a pendent β-hydrogen. This cyclization is, to our knowledge, the first example of a cyclative Heck-type alkene carbopalladation-acylation domino reaction.
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