Targeted axillary biopsy and sentinel lymph node biopsy for axillary restaging after neoadjuvant chemotherapy
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INTRODUCTION

The axilla is evaluated by many techniques in patients with previous surgical treatment of breast cancer; such as sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB), preoperative ultrasound (US), and US-guided tissue diagnosis. On the other hand, breast surgery is delayed after neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC), which is an important treatment phase, especially for patients with node-positive and advanced breast cancer. In patients undergoing NAC, malignant cells can be cleared from initial metastatic nodes in a significant number of patients. Therefore, the evaluation of nodal pathologic response to chemotherapy is a

Purpose: Accurate restaging of the axilla after neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) is an important issue to ensure de-escalating axillary surgery in patients with initial metastatic nodes. We aimed to present our results of targeted axillary biopsy (TAB) combined with sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) for axillary restaging after NAC.

Methods: In 64 breast cancer patients who underwent NAC, biopsy-proven positive nodes were marked with clips before NAC, and ultrasound-guided wire localization of clip-marked nodes was performed after NAC. Patients underwent TAB and SLNB for post-NAC axilla restaging.

Results: Identification rates of post-NAC TAB and SLNB were 98.4% and 87.5%, respectively \((P = 0.033)\). Histopathology revealed a nodal pathologic complete response (pCR) rate of 47% in which axillary lymph node dissection (ALND) was avoided. TAB alone and SLNB alone detected residual disease in 29 (85.3%) and 20 (58.8%) patients \((P = 0.029)\), respectively. Whereas rates of up to 97% had been achieved with a combination of TAB and SLNB. The pCR rates after NAC were 64.3% for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 positive and triple-negative tumors and 13.6% in luminal tumors \((P = 0.0002)\).

Conclusion: Pathologic analysis following TAB combined with SLNB revealed the pCR rates to NAC in a considerable number of patients that provided de-escalation of axillary surgery. A combination of SLNB and TAB was found to be an accurate procedure in establishing residual nodal disease. This combined procedure in patients with initially positive nodes was a reliable method for post-NAC axillary restaging.
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Aspiration biopsy (FNAB). Pathological analysis reported the nodes were evaluated with core biopsy and/or fine-needle and axilla. Radiologically suspicious breast lesions and axillary metastasis. That decided on the eligibility of patients for NAC as appropriate were first evaluated by a breast cancer multidisciplinary team of patients was obtained before their management. All patients obtained before commencing the study. Full informed consent Institutional Review Board approval (No. TUEK 771-06) was all patients had clinical T1 to 3, N1, and M0 breast cancer. According to the TNM classification of American Joint Committee on Cancer 7th or 8th edition, and December 2019. Breast cancer who underwent NAC between January 2018 and after NAC [3,6]. Clinical and radiological evidence of good nodal response to NAC resulted in the de-escalation of axillary surgery after chemotherapy. An important issue here is to accurately identify initially positive nodes for restaging the axilla after NAC. Such identification is challenging if nodes are not properly determined and marked before chemotherapy. After NAC, the false-negative rate (FNR) of SLNB alone is high in breast cancer patients with nodal metastasis [7,8]. Marking clip, radioactive seeds, tattooing with activated charcoal, and wire placement have been used to localize cytologically proven metastatic node [4,5,9-13]. Targeted lymph node biopsy after NAC is strongly dependent on accurately marking initially positive nodes under US guidance.

We hypothesized that targeted axillary biopsy (TAB) with the dual technique is effective for post-NAC axillary restaging. Our TAB comprised pre-NAC identifying and marking positive lymph nodes with clips under US guide, and post-NAC identifying clipped nodes and localizing them with a guidewire under US imaging for targeted excision. In this prospective study, we aimed to present our results of TAB combined with SLNB for axillary restaging after NAC.

Methods

A prospective study was conducted on 64 patients with breast cancer who underwent NAC between January 2018 and December 2019. According to the TNM classification of American Joint Committee on Cancer 7th or 8th edition, all patients had clinical T1 to 3, N1, and M0 breast cancer. Institutional Review Board approval (No. TUEK 771-06) was obtained before commencing the study. Full informed consent of patients was obtained before their management. All patients were first evaluated by a breast cancer multidisciplinary team that decided on the eligibility of patients for NAC as appropriate management of their breast cancer with axillary metastasis.

Initial evaluation of patients with imaging methods, needle biopsies, and histopathology

All patients had bilateral mammograms. US of the breast and axilla. Radiologically suspicious breast lesions and axillary nodes were evaluated with core biopsy and/or fine-needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB). Pathological analysis reported the type of breast malignancy, the molecular status of the tumor (expression of estrogen, progesterone and human epidermal growth factor receptors, estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 [HER2]) and ki-67 proliferation index. Cytological analysis after fine needle aspiration (FNA) from axillary nodes established initial nodal status.

Marking positive axillary nodes before neoadjuvant chemotherapy

Breast cancer cases with up to 3 involved axillary nodes were eligible for TAB. Before chemotherapy, positive lymph nodes were marked under local anesthesia. A marker clip (UltraClip II Tissue Marker, US ribbon, 17 gage × 10 cm; BARD, Tempe, AZ, USA) was placed with US guidance in biopsy-proven metastatic axillary nodes. Clips were inserted into only 1 positive lymph node (ultrasonographically most suspected and largest pathologic) in all patients. The breast radiologist placed the clip within the hypoechoic cortex of the lymph nodes. In order to properly find the right nodes, the location of clipped lymph nodes and their relations with anatomical structures were recorded by US.

Chemotherapy and monitoring of patients during and after neoadjuvant chemotherapy

The patients received standard chemotherapy regimens (4 cycles of doxorubicin with cyclophosphamide [DC] every 3 weeks followed by weekly taxane-based chemotherapy for 12 weeks in all patients). Four cycles of trastuzumab were administered every 3 weeks with taxane-based chemotherapy in 21 HER2 positive patients before post-NAC surgery. Axillary nodal tumor response was monitored by physical examination and US so that nodal status was established by same experienced breast-dedicated radiologist. Axillary nodal status was monitored after 4 cycles of DC and after taxane-based chemotherapy before post-NAC surgery.

Axillary lymph node localization before post-neoadjuvant chemotherapy surgery

On the day of surgery, clipped nodes were localized, and flexible marking wires (Breast Localization Needles, 20 gage × 10 cm; Geotek, Ankara, Turkey) were placed in the clipped nodes under US by a breast radiologist. Marking wires were used to guide exploration of clipped nodes during axillary surgery, and proper removal of the right nodes.

Sentinel lymph node biopsy

Five milliliters of a blue dye (isosulfan blue) were injected into the retroareolar breast tissue. Axillary exploration was performed 5 minutes after injection. Blue staining lymph nodes were identified, removed, and sent for pathological examination.
during surgery.

**Targeted axillary biopsy: excision of clipped and wire-localized lymph nodes**

In addition to blue staining sentinel nodes, wire-localized clipped nodes were excised and sent to the radiology department.

**Imaging of lymph nodes specimen**

Specimen US and/or radiography of excised nodes was performed to confirm presence of clips in the excised nodes. Clipped nodes were verified by specimen radiography either in blue staining sentinel nodes or TAB specimen.

**Intraoperative cytology and axillary dissection**

The specimen was first sent for pathological analysis so that frozen section and/or imprint cytology could be performed to detect malignant cells in lymph nodes. If the pathology report was negative for malignancy, no further dissection was performed. If the pathology report revealed metastases in the excised nodes, axillary lymph node dissection (ALND) was performed. Identification rate (IR) of targeted nodes was determined after US and/or radiologic imaging and pathology report. The number of removed nodes was determined and recorded in the specimen by the pathologic examination. The final pathological status of the axilla was established by histopathological analysis. Nodal tumor response to NAC was evaluated in that nodal pCR was defined as the absence of malignant cells in the lymph nodes. Nodal tumor response to NAC was also evaluated according to molecular subtypes. Accuracy of TAB and SLNB combination for restaging the axilla after NAC was assessed with US, surgical exploration, and pathological analysis.

**Statistical analysis**

All variables that were expressed as numbers and percentages were compared using Fisher exact test. The P-values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

## RESULTS

The mean initial size of metastatic nodes was 16.9 mm (range, 11–29 mm). Pathological analysis showed that 87.5% of patients had invasive ductal tumors and 68.7% of patients had T2 tumors. When the molecular subtypes of patients were analyzed, triple-negative (TN) and HER2 tumors accounted for 65.6% of all patients (Table 1).

**Identification rate of clipped nodes after neoadjuvant chemotherapy**

Biopsy-proven initial metastatic nodes marked with clips and localized with a guidewire were identified by US on the day of axillary surgery in 63 patients. Thus, the IR was 98.4% (Table 2).

### Table 1. Patients and tumor characteristics

| Characteristic | Data |
|----------------|------|
| No. of patients | 64 |
| Age (yr) | 43.7 (25–70) |
| Tumor stage | |
| T1 | 3 (4.7) |
| T2 | 44 (68.8) |
| T3 | 17 (26.6) |
| Nuclear grade | |
| 1 | 1 (1.6) |
| 2 | 13 (20.3) |
| 3 | 50 (78.1) |
| Tumor type | |
| Invasive ductal | 56 (87.5) |
| Invasive lobular | 2 (3.1) |
| Others | 6 (9.4) |
| Molecular subtype | |
| Luminal A | 4 (6.3) |
| Luminal B | 18 (28.1) |
| Triple-negative | 21 (32.8) |
| HER2 | 21 (32.8) |
| Size of clipped node (mm) | 16.9 (11–29) |
| Excised node | 4.6 (2–9) |

Values are presented as number only, mean (range), or number (%).

### Table 2. Axillary nodes identification after neoadjuvant chemotherapy and intraoperative pathology results

| Identification method | Identified patient | Unidentified patient | Intraoperative pathology |
|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
|                       |                    |                      | Negative | Positive | P = 0.029 |
| US identified and wire-localized LNs with clips (TAB) | 63 (98.4) | 1 (1.6) | 34 | 29 | P = 0.033 |
| SLNB with blue dye | 56 (87.5) | 8 (12.5) | 36 | 20 | |
| Combination of TAB and SLNB | 63 (98.4) | 1 (1.6) | 30 | 33 | |
| Unidentified node with both methods | 1 (1.6) | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Values are presented as number (%) or number only.

US, Ultrasound; LN, lymph node; TAB, targeted axillary biopsy; SLNB, sentinel lymph node biopsy.
Sentinel lymph nodes (SLNs) were identified in 56 patients, thus the IR was 87.5%. Clipped nodes were not identified in 1, and blue-dyed nodes in 8 patients (P = 0.033, Table 2). An average of 4.6 (range, 2–9) nodes were removed as targeted and sentinel nodes.

Axillary surgery
ALND was directly performed in 1 (1.6%) patient with unidentified targeted and sentinel nodes. In 63 patients, marked nodes were excised for cytological analysis during surgery. In all patients, successful clip removal was documented by specimen radiography (Fig. 1).

Histopathological status of the axilla
Intraoperative pathological analysis of targeted nodes was negative in 30 patients (46.9%); as such, no further axillary dissection was performed. The final pathology report revealed pCR in these patients (Table 3). The remaining 34 patients had residual disease leading to ALND. Lymph nodes could be identified in 33 of 34 patients. Intraoperative pathology revealed residual disease in nodes with both clip and blue dye of 16 patients (47.1%), and either in clipped nodes alone or in blue dye nodes alone of the remaining 17 patients (Table 3). Wire-localized clipped nodes alone and blue-dyed nodes alone detected residual disease in 29 (85.3%) and 20 (58.8%) of 34 patients (P = 0.029), respectively. Whereas, rates of up to 97% were achieved with the combination of TAB and SLNB. Pathology results according to the identification method of lymph nodes in patients with residual disease could be evaluated in 4 groups (Table 3).

Group 1: This group comprised 46 patients in whom lymph nodes contained both clip and blue dye (coincidence clip and blue dye in the same nodes), of which 16 patients with post-NAC residual disease underwent ALND.

Group 2: This group comprised 10 patients of whom lymph nodes either with clip alone or with blue dye alone were identified separately. Nodes with clip alone were metastatic in 6, and nodes with blue dye alone were metastatic in 4 patients. Thus, all 10 patients underwent ALND.

Group 3: This group comprised 7 patients of whom lymph nodes with clip alone were metastatic. Thus, all 7 patients underwent ALND. In this group, lymph nodes were not identified by SLNB with blue dye.

Group 4: The only patient in whom axillary lymph nodes could not be identified with both methods directly underwent ALND.

Table 3. Axillary dissection after intraoperative pathology results according to identification methods of axillary nodes

| Group | Identification method                                      | Patient | Intraoperative pathology | Residual disease (n = 34) | Axillary dissection |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| 1     | Both clips and blue dye in same nodes                     | 46 (71.9) | 30 (pCR; non-axillary dissection) | 16 | 16 (47.1) | 16 |
| 2     | Clips or blue dye in separate nodes                       | 10 (15.6) | 6 | 4 | 4 (11.8) | 10* |
|       | Nodes with dye                                            |         | 4 | 6 | 13 (38.2) | |
|       | Nodes with clip                                           |         | None | 7 | | |
| 3     | Nodes with clip alone                                     | 7 (10.9) | None | None | 1 (2.9) | 1 |
| 4     | Unidentified node with both methods                       | 1 (1.6) | None | None | 34 (100) | 34 |
|       | Axillary dissection                                       | None | None | None | | |

Values are presented as number (%) or number only.
pCR, pathologic complete response.
*All 10 patients underwent axillary dissection based on either nodes with clip alone (n = 6) or nodes with blue dye alone (n = 4) were positive for malignancy.
According to the molecular subtype classification, 42 patients (65.6%) had TN and HER2 positive tumors. The nodal pCR rate in TN and HER2 tumors was significantly higher than in luminal tumors (P = 0.0002). Sixty-four percent of TN and HER2 positive tumors had nodal pCR (Table 4).

### DISCUSSION

Patients who are candidates for NAC generally have axillary metastasis. In our series, US-guided FNA, and cytological examination successfully verified metastatic nodes before NAC. Axillary status was evaluated by US and US-guided FNAB and/or core biopsy that previous studies had confirmed as successful evaluation of the axilla before NAC [1,3,10,11,14]. Significant changes may occur in the axilla due to pathological response to NAC. In this stage, the most important issue is accurate post-NAC axilla restaging which was previously metastatic. Adequate assessment of nodal pathological response to chemotherapy is crucial to properly determine patients requiring ALND. Breast surgeons could avoid ALND after pathologic evaluation of targeted and sentinel nodes. Which method could accurately restage the axilla after NAC and evaluate the pathological response to chemotherapy?

TAB seems to be an an effective method of restaging the axilla after NAC and has emerged as a new staging option in biopsy-proven node-positive patients who convert to node-negative after chemotherapy [3-59]. First of all, accurate pre-NAC marking of metastatic nodes is of paramount importance in identifying the correct nodes after NAC. We think that the first step of successful TAB is the effective marking of positive nodes in the pre-NAC period, which in our study, all such nodes were clip-marked with US guidance. We suggest that the marking clip should be placed within the hypoechoic cortex of the node instead of within the fatty hilum to improve visibility and prevent dislocation. We think that lymph node marking is mandatory before pathologic changes due to chemotherapy in order to detect the correct targets during post-NAC surgery. Morency et al. [15] reported that post-NAC axillary US was not appropriate as a standalone staging procedure in patients who present with N+ breast cancer. Previous studies emphasizing the importance of pre-NAC marking reported that the correct nodes were easily identifiable by US and other methods if metastatic nodes were properly marked before NAC [8,11-13,16,17]. These procedures should be done by an experienced breast-dedicated radiologist who identifies clipped nodes with a high success rate. Our high IR of clipped nodes confirmed the statement that surgical targets should be clearly designated before chemotherapy for successful TAB. In addition to clip marking before NAC, our dual technique of TAB was completed with wire localization of clipped nodes with US guidance just before surgery, which significantly improved the successful removal of targeted nodes allowing more accurate staging. Thanks to this dual technique, our IR attained 98.4% that TAB was evaluated as an accurate method of restaging the axilla after NAC. Plecha et al. [16] reported that the IR of wire-localized clipped nodes was 97% in 91 patients. If biopsy-proven positive nodes were marked before chemotherapy, IRs of more than 95% would have been reported [3,8,12,17,18].

In addition to TAB, we also used SLNB in combination with targeted excision. Wire localization of pre-NAC clipped nodes was significantly more effective than SLN in the accurate identification of lymph nodes (P = 0.039). Our SLNB-IR of 87.5% showed a significant contribution of SLN to axillary staging. We can comment that post-NAC combination of both methods provided adequate identification of nodes for accurate restaging of the axilla. In a recent series, IRs of SLN after NAC between 85% and 98% have been reported [10-13,19,20]. Previous studies reported that the combination of TAB with SLN excision increased IR to 100% [3,4,21-23]. Simons et al. [24] reported good rates for SLN alone (87.8%), marked node alone (92.8%), and combination procedure (99.3%) when compared with our results of 87.5%, 98.4%, and 98.4%, respectively. Based on high IR values of combined techniques, we can comment that the accuracy of combination procedures was considerably high for post-NAC restaging of the axilla.

Every effort should be spent to enhance the accuracy of TAB and/or SLNB after NAC, and to ensure de-escalating axillary surgery. We can comment that proper evaluation of both clip-marked and blue-dyed nodes was an important predictor of nodal residual disease. ALND remains the standard part of...
breast surgery for patients who present with node-positive disease. On the other hand, NAC can eradicate axillary metastasis in a significant proportion of patients for which post-NAC restaging of the axilla is crucial in sparing patients the morbidity of ALND. Similarly, in the majority of such series, the eradication rate of nodal metastases was reported between 33% and 45% after NAC in patients with biopsy-proven positive nodes before NAC [1-3,10,14,25,26]. Pathological analysis of lymph nodes after surgery revealed nodal pCR to NAC in a considerable proportion (46.9%) of our breast cancer cases. Previous studies using combinations of TAB and SLNB for restaging the axilla in patients with biopsy-proven node-positive disease reported an axillary pCR rate between 31% and 65%. Therefore, the de-escalating of post-NAC axillary dissection is an important issue in breast cancer surgery [3,5,9,20,27,28]. In our series, an average of 4.6 lymph nodes were excised during post-NAC TAB and SLNB. Patients with nodal pCR did not undergo ALND. We know that excising a higher number of SLNs and/or clip-marked nodes in TAB reduces FNR. Previous studies have reported that when more than 2 nodes were excised in TAB and SLNB, FNR was less than 5%. Even 2%. After accurate TAB, recurrence rate in the axilla was very low at follow-up [3,6,8,15,17,29]. Therefore, thanks to TAB, ALND could be avoided in patients with nodal pCR to NAC.

Another aim of targeted axillary surgery is to detect residual disease leading to ALND. Our results showed that a combination of both methods was the most effective modality in detecting residual disease. Targeted clipped nodes alone detected 85.3%, while SLNB alone 58.8% of 34 patients with residual disease. In some patients, 2 methods completed each other for identification of metastatic nodes. Thus, the detection rate of residual disease was up to 97% with combined methods in patients with residual nodal disease. In our study, diagnostic components (of 97%) of metastatic nodes were SLN with blue dye alone, marked node with clip alone, and nodes with both clip and blue dye in 11.8%, 38.2%, and 47.1% of patients with residual nodal disease, respectively. Simons et al. [24] reported the same components as 11%, 23%, and 66%, respectively. We can conclude that a combination of SLNB and TAB was more accurate than either approach alone.

Previous studies reported that several procedures with different materials have been performed to mark positive nodes before NAC [6,11-13]. In addition to the clip and guidewire, activated charcoal [9,12], radioactive seeds [5,13,29,30], and carbon microparticles [11] were used to mark clipped nodes for post-NAC surgery. Metastatic nodes were marked with clip before NAC, and clipped nodes were marked using $^{125}$I seed after NAC [5,23,28]. Complementary methods increased IR of lymph nodes, but not every center is suitable for the application and evaluation of radioactive material. Tattooing with activated charcoal was another method of targeting positive lymph nodes before NAC [9,12]. Unfortunately, the tattoo does not allow for tracking of targeted nodes by US during the post-NAC period. Whereas clips and guidewire have a clear advantage of being easily visible with US.

The nodal pathological response to chemotherapy was correlated with the tumor molecular subtype. More aggressive types of breast cancer generally give a better response to chemotherapy. According to tumor biology, we found significantly higher nodal pCR rates (64.3%) in patients with TN and HER2 positive disease compared with luminal disease (P = 0.0002). Results of previous studies also confirmed high pCR rates, between 50% and 62%, in patients with HER2 positive and TN tumor compared with luminal subtypes [1,4,24,25].

Metastatic lymph nodes marked with clip before NAC and wire localization increased IR of correct nodes in TAB after NAC. TAB was found significantly more effective than SLNB with blue dye, but the accuracy of pathologic analysis of such nodes was improved by their combination. Lack of SLNB with the radioisotope method was a partial limitation of our study. A considerable nodal pCR rate to NAC was obtained according to the molecular subtype of the tumor in that a significantly higher pCR rate was found in HER2 and TN tumors. NAC considerably reduced residual tumor burden in axillary lymph nodes. Based on the pathological response to NAC, standard ALND could be avoided in significant proportions of patients despite initial metastatic nodes. The combination of SLNB and TAB was more accurate than either approach alone to establish residual nodal disease. Therefore, this combined procedure in breast cancer patients with initially biopsy-proven positive nodes was a reliable procedure for post-NAC axillary restaging.
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