 Murine-β-coronavirus-induced neuropathogenesis sheds light on CNS pathobiology of SARS-CoV2
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Abstract
The pandemic caused by SARS-CoV-2 has caused widespread infection and significant mortality across the globe. Combined virology perspective of SARS-CoV-2 with a deep-rooted understanding of pathophysiological and immunological processes underlying the clinical manifestations of COVID-19 is of prime importance. The characteristic symptom of COVID-19 is respiratory distress with diffused alveolar damage, but emerging evidence suggests COVID-19 might also have neurologic consequences. Dysregulated homeostasis in the lungs has proven to be fatal, but one cannot ignore that the inability to breathe might be due to defects in the respiratory control center of the brainstem. While the mechanism of pulmonary distress has been documented in the literature, awareness of neurological features and their pathophysiology is still in the nascent state. This review makes references to the neuro-immune axis and neuro-invasive potential of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV2, as well as the prototypic H-CoV strains in human brains. Simultaneously, considerable discussion on relevant experimental evidence of mild to severe neurological manifestations of fellow neurotropic murine-β-CoVs (m-CoVs) in the mouse model will help understand the underpinning mechanisms of Neuro-COVID. In this review, we have highlighted the neuroimmunopathological processes in murine CoVs. While MHV infection in mice and SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans share numerous parallels, there are critical differences in viral recognition and viral entry. These similarities are highlighted in this review, while differences have also been emphasized. Though CoV-2 Spike does not favorably interact with murine ACE2 receptor, modification of murine SARS-CoV2 binding domain or development of transgenic ACE-2 knock-in mice might help in mediating consequential infection and understanding human CoV2 pathogenesis in murine models. While a global animal model that can replicate all aspects of the human disease remains elusive, prior insights and further experiments with fellow m-β-CoV-induced cause-effect experimental models and current human COVID-19 patients data may help to mitigate the SARS-CoV-2-induced multifactorial multi-organ failure
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Introduction
Coronaviruses (CoVs) are large enveloped non-segmented positive-sense RNA viruses and potent pathogens for humans and animals, usually associated with respiratory, gastrointestinal, hepatic, and neurological diseases (de Wilde et al. 2018; Fehr and Perlman 2015; Weiss and Leibowitz 2011; Weiss and Navas-Martin 2005). Prototype human-CoVs (H-CoVs), such as 229E, OC43, NL63, and HKU1, cause mild respiratory disorders (Corman et al. 2018; Esper et al. 2005; van der Hoek et al. 2006; Woo et al. 2005). Previously, two emergent CoVs, the severe acute respiratory syndrome CoV (SARS-CoV) and Middle East respiratory syndrome CoV (MERS-CoV), have drawn global attention for their aggressive virulence and potential lethality, aggravated by severe respiratory distress (de Wit et al. 2016; Perlman and Netland 2009). However, the recent emergence of deadly novel H-CoV, SARS-CoV-2 due to
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its heightened zoonotic potential, virulence, and sustained lethality has led the entire world into COVID-19 crisis, and the human health is at risk (Zhou et al. 2020a; Zhu et al. 2020). There is an urgent need to better understand the host-pathogen interaction and pathobiology of SARS-CoV-2 inducing COVID-19 to identify and design rational therapeutic strategies. This current understanding of SARS-CoV2 pathobiology stems primarily from differential patients’ data with minimal experimental evidence with limitations. Critical barriers like limited access to biosafety level 3 laboratories to work with SARS-CoV-2 and lack of reliable animal models until now demands the utilization of existing experimental animal models to comprehend the cause-effect mechanism of immunoregulation and molecular mechanism of host immune response against CoV2.

Mouse hepatitis virus (MHV), a fellow β-coronavirus, provides an excellent experimental platform to understand the pathobiology of respiratory, enteric, hepatic, and neurological manifestation due to their differential organ tropism, similar to human-CoVs (Bender and Weiss 2010; Das Sarma 2010, 2014; Das Sarma et al. 2001; Fleming et al. 1986; Lavi et al. 1984b; Stohlman and Weiner 1981; Tardieu et al. 1986, 1982). There are five naturally occurring, well known, and thoroughly studied strains of MHV. MHV-1 induces respiratory disease (De Albuquerque et al. 2006), similar to SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV2. Whereas MHV-3 results in hepatitis, thrombosis in the liver (like SARS-CoV2 induces thrombosis in the brain), vasculitis, initial epididymitis, meningitis, and encephalitis but does not induce lesions in the white matter of the CNS (Tardieu et al. 1986). MHV-JHM, along with MHV-A59 and its isogenic recombinant strains, have been studied for ages as a mouse model of virus-induced acute stage meningitis, encephalitis, myelitis, and chronic progressive demyelination concurrent with axonal loss of the CNS (Das Sarma 2010, 2014; Das Sarma et al. 2008, 2009; Houtman and Fleming 1996; Lavi et al. 1984b; Stohlman and Weiner 1981). All the different strains of MHV have been highlighted in Table 1. Despite several similarities in viral structure, organ tropism, and disease course, a critical difference between strains of MHV and SARS-CoV-2 is in their viral receptor (CEACAM1a without co-receptors for MHV and ACE2 with co-receptors for SARS-CoV2). Thus, MHV can provide some insights into the viral biology of SARS-CoV-2, though it may not wholly recapitulate the vividness of this new virus, due to differences in virus entry or recognition. Even if the knowledge from fellow murine-β-CoV-induced model may not be extrapolated directly into human SARS-CoV2 studies, it may provide proof-of-concept data and act as a surrogate model or framework, especially for the neuro-CoV2 pathogenesis studies.

The significant impediment in establishing murine models of SARS-CoV2 is the lack of proper receptors in mice. Murine ACE-2 does not efficiently bind to CoV2 spike protein. It should be emphasized that while SARS-CoV2 utilizes ACE-2 as its cognate receptor, m-CoV uses CAECAM-1 receptor to initiate infection. Studies are aimed at resolving these issues by developing humanized mouse

| Table 1 Different strains of MHV |
|-------------------------------|
| **Strain** | **Organ-tropism** | **Disease caused** |
| MHV-1 | Pneumotropic | Respiratory distress, Pneumonitis (inflammation in lungs) |
| MHV-3 | Neurotropic, hepatotropic | Hepatitis (inflammation in liver), Vasculitis (inflammation of blood vessels), Initial ependymitis (inflammation of ependymal cells in BBB), Meningitis (inflammation of meninges), Encephalitis (inflammation in Brain Parenchyma) |
| MHV-JHM | Highly neurotropic | Meningitis, Encephalitis, Myelitis (inflammation in spinal cord), Demyelination (loss of myelin sheath on axons) |
| MHV-A59 | Neurotropic, hepatotropic | Hepatitis, Meningitis, Encephalitis, Optic neuritis (inflammation of optic nerve), Myelitis, Demyelination and axonal loss, Retinal ganglionic cell degeneration |
| MHV-2 | Hepatotropic with very limited ability to enter CNS | Hepatitis, Limited meningitis |
models that express the hACE2 receptor, modifying CoV2 spike to effectively bind with murine-ACE2, studying aged mice strains to mimic conditions in old-age human patients, utilizing several knockout mice strains intending to replicate the mechanisms involved in human infection (Muñoz-Fontela et al. 2020). However, these models are preliminary, and CNS pathobiology has not been well studied in these models yet. Though our understanding of the SARS-CoV-2 impact on CNS and its pathogenesis is rudimentary, however evolving precipitously, we hope that this review will provide a useful scaffold and help understand the many neurologic mechanisms of COVID-19 using insights from m-CoVs.

**Preview of H-CoV pathogenesis and their neurological manifestations**

The largest known RNA viruses, CoVs, are divided into four genera: α-CoVs, β-CoVs, γ-CoVs, and δ-CoVs. To date, six human coronaviruses (HCoVs) have been recognized; among them are the α-CoVs (NL63 and 229E) and the β-CoVs (OC43, HKU1), severe acute respiratory syndrome-CoV (SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2), and Middle East respiratory syndrome-CoV (MERS-CoV) (Forni et al. 2017; Su et al. 2016). γ-coronaviruses are known to infect only avian species, and δ-coronaviruses can infect both mammalian and avian species (Su et al. 2016). New CoVs have appeared to emerge periodically in humans, mainly due to the high prevalence, vast genetic diversity, frequent recombination of their genomes, and the ever-increasing human-animal interface. HCoVs- 229E, -OC43, -NL63, and -HKU1 trigger mild common seasonal cold symptoms, whereas the severe respiratory syndrome can be induced by SARS-CoV, the MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 infection (Corman et al. 2018; de Wit et al. 2016; Esper et al. 2005; Forni et al. 2017; Su et al. 2016). γ-coronaviruses are known to infect only avian species, and δ-coronaviruses can infect both mammalian and avian species (Su et al. 2016). New CoVs have appeared to emerge periodically in humans, mainly due to the high prevalence, vast genetic diversity, frequent recombination of their genomes, and the ever-increasing human-animal interface. HCoVs- 229E, -OC43, -NL63, and -HKU1 trigger mild common seasonal cold symptoms, whereas the severe respiratory syndrome can be induced by SARS-CoV, the MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 infection (Corman et al. 2018; de Wit et al. 2016; Esper et al. 2005; Forni et al. 2017; Perlman and Netlan 2009; van der Hoek et al. 2006; Woo et al. 2005).

Cellular entry of coronaviruses depends upon the binding of the viral spike (S) protein to a specific cellular receptor and subsequent S protein priming by cellular proteases (Du et al. 2009; Shirato et al. 2013; Walls et al. 2016). SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 employ Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) as a receptor for cellular entry, whereas MERS deploy dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) (Hoffmann et al. 2020; Li et al. 2003; Raj et al. 2013). The binding affinity of the S protein and ACE2 was found to be a significant determinant of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 replication rate and disease severity (Hoffmann et al. 2020; Li 2015; Shang et al. 2020b; Zhou et al. 2020a). SARS-CoV2 virus entry also depends on TMPRSS2 protease activity (Hoffmann et al. 2020). However, pre-activation by prior cleavage of the proprotein convertase furin reduces SARS-CoV2 dependency on cellular proteases for entry, unlike SARS-CoV infection (Shang et al. 2020a). ACE2 and TMPRSS2 have been detected in both nasal and bronchial epithelium, more specifically in alveolar epithelial type II cells, which are central to SARS-CoV-2 entry and pathogenesis (Ziegler et al. 2020).

Accruing evidence from animal models and studies of H-CoVs suggests a neurotropic potential of these viruses (Arbour et al. 2000; Jacomy et al. 2006; van der Hoek 2007). Two different serogroups of H-CoVs, OC43, and 229E, primarily denoted as respiratory tract pathogens, are known to cause up to one-third of the common colds but can also induce pneumonia, meningitis, radiculitis, and diarrhea (Corman et al. 2018; Esper et al. 2005; van der Hoek 2007). Other virulent and epidemic strains of H-CoVs are also associated with rare CNS and PNS manifestations. Encephalitis, encephalopathy, acute disseminated encephalomyelitis, acute-flaccid paralysis, motor neuropathy, myopathy, cerebrovascular disorder, rare post/para infectious Bickerstaff’s brainstem encephalitis, and various other neurological symptoms like headache, neck stiffness, and seizures have been observed with several H-CoV infections like SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, OC43, and 229E in humans in the past decade (Hung et al. 2003; Jacomy et al. 2006; Kim et al. 2017; Lau et al. 2004; Morfopoulou et al. 2016; Turgay et al. 2015). In fact, SARS-CoV was detected in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) by RT-PCR (Gu and Kortieweg 2007; Hung et al. 2003). Various continuous human neural cell lines and specifically both human fetal astrocytes and adult microglia get infected with H-CoV strain-OC43 (Arbour et al. 1999a). Similarly, RNA amplification revealed infection of fetal astrocytes, adult microglia, and a mixed culture of adult oligodendrocytes and astrocytes by strain 229E (Arbour et al. 1999b; Talbot et al. 1993). Mice are naturally susceptible to infection with H-CoV OC43 human strain. Intranasal infection in the mice-induced neurological symptoms, similar to human patients infected from H-CoVs, with long-term viral persistence (Jacomy et al. 2006; Jacomy and Talbot 2003).

**Overview of SARS-CoV2 systemic pathogenesis with a special emphasis on its neurological manifestations**

Present studies suggest that the pathogenesis initiates with SARS-CoV-2 entry through the nasal epithelium, which acts as a portal for initial infection and transmission (Wu et al. 2020a; Xu et al. 2020a). Virus replication initiates in the lungs, causing damage to pneumocytes, stemming into diffuse alveolar damage and loss of function (Menter et al. 2020; Schaefer et al. 2020; Xu et al. 2020b). However, pneumocytes in the lungs and lower respiratory tract are merely the first targets; this virus can infect various other
organs, including the eyes, kidneys, intestines, heart, testes, blood vessels, and brain (Guan et al. 2020; Huang et al. 2020; Mao et al. 2020). Reports so far identified angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) as a receptor for SARS-CoV2, which provided an insight into its cellular entry and tropism (Hoffmann et al. 2020; Letko et al. 2020; Shang et al. 2020b; Walls et al. 2020; Wrapp et al. 2020; Ziegler et al. 2020).

Further studies also confirmed that the interaction of ACE-2 with the Receptor Binding Domain of the CoV-2 spike protein is the primary driver of this disease phenomenon (Hoffmann et al. 2020; Shang et al. 2020b; Wrapp et al. 2020; Zhou et al. 2020a). The scope and nature of the detriment are dependent upon the modulation of ACE-2 in the Renin-angiotensin pathway and the consequent immune stimulation (Wang et al. 2020). Equilibrium of the inflammatory response is a critical frontier in CoV2 tropism. Rapid replication and elevated viral titer in COVID-19 promote systemic cytokine release as a defense mechanism. However, if dysregulated, it causes immunopathological injury to the organs (García 2020; Henderson et al. 2020). Therefore, a timely switch from the host proinflammatory response to the subsequent immunosuppressive stage is the critical checkpoint for defining CoV2 infection. On the one hand, overactivation of the immune system can produce "cytokine storm" leading to lung inflammation, fluid accumulation, and thrombosis. On the other hand, dampened cytokine response can lead to uncontrolled viral replication, which can be manifested as severe clinical symptoms.

Although a dysregulated homeostasis in the lungs has proven to be fatal in COVID-19, mounting evidence is reporting that SARS-CoV-2 is not only confined to the respiratory tract but can also invade the central nervous system (CNS), inducing neurological complications, such as headache, nausea, and vomiting (Koralnik and Tyler 2020; Mao et al. 2020). Neurological symptoms may start as early as days 3–7 with or after respiratory or systemic features. From the pathological aspect, recent studies reported that COVID-19 patients exhibit CNS meningoencephalomyelitis, acute disseminated encephalitis, ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke, venous sinus thrombosis, and endothelialitis (Frontera 2012; Koralnik and Tyler 2020; Mao et al. 2020; Moriguchi et al. 2020; Oxley et al. 2020; Poyiadji et al. 2020; Varga et al. 2020). Some very recent studies suggested the possibility of demyelinating lesions in the white matter of the brain and spinal cord (Brun et al. 2020; Reichard et al. 2020; Zanin et al. 2020; Zoghi et al. 2020).

In the peripheral nervous system (PNS), COVID-19 has been associated with dysfunction of smell and taste, muscle injury, difficulty concentrating, altered consciousness, neuropsychiatric disorders, focal seizures, and the Guillain-Barre syndrome (GBS) with weakness of limbs with or without sensory loss (Gautier and Ravussin 2020; Gutiérrez-Ortiz et al. 2020; Helms et al. 2020; Koralnik and Tyler 2020; Toscano et al. 2020). Variants of GBS like Miller Fischer syndrome and polyneuritis cranialis have also been noted (Gutiérrez-Ortiz et al. 2020; Toscano et al. 2020). Some studies showed the presence of SARS-CoV2 in the cerebrospinal fluid (Moriguchi et al. 2020; Wu et al. 2020b). Another study highlighted the ability of SARS-CoV2 to replicate in neuronal cell in vitro (Chu et al. 2020). Reports suggested a potential sympathetic storm in the CNS of COVID-19 patients, leading to seizure-like reflexes (Lu et al. 2020; Manganelli et al. 2020). The lungs’ inability to procure oxygen might be partially due to the defects in the respiratory control center of the brainstem (Li et al. 2020; Manganelli et al. 2020). Thus, the pandemic nature, co-morbidities, and multifactorial pathogenic mechanisms of COVID-19 pose a global threat to the entire nervous system.

Neuroinvasion of SARS-CoV2 and its potential contribution in the pathobiology of respiratory failure in patients with COVID-19 establishes a lung-brain axis in the COVID-19 pathobiology, which may have a steering implication for the development of preventive therapeutics and treatment of SARS-CoV-2-induced respiratory failure as well as other neurological complications. While a whole-hearted attempt is going on to understand patients’ asymptomatic and symptomatic disease processes, we cannot disregard the possibility of viral persistence in the recovered patients. β-coronaviruses mRNA is known for its persistence, even when the infectious virus particles get cleared, and acute inflammation gets resolved (Das Sarma 2010, 2014; Das Sarma et al. 2000; Lane and Buchmeier 1997; Lavi et al. 1984a; Perlman et al. 1990). The persistence of viral RNA can be a prerequisite for chronic stage progressive disease in recovered patients. Apart from direct cytopathic damage by SARS-CoV2 replication in CNS (induced by systemic propagation and binding to ACE-2 receptor in the CNS), an immune-mediated systemic response can also induce secondary neuropathological manifestations as a result of hypoxia, sepsis, cytokine storm, and multi-organ failure upon SARS-CoV2 infection. In those cases where the virus was not detected in the CNS, reports suggested that the neuropathological changes may be secondary to the severe systemic inflammation. For example, ischemic/hypoxic encephalopathy could be due to reduced oxygen supply to the brain, which may result from cytokine storm-induced pneumonia (Al-Sarraj et al. 2020). Acute cerebrovascular accidents were also reported which could occur due to the global hypercoagulable state of the body, evidenced by increased D-Dimers, prolonged prothrombin time, hemorrhagic infarctions, and endothelial injury (González-Pinto et al. 2020). One clinical data also noted brain resident microglial cell activation in COVID-19 patients to be parallely associated with diffused alveolar...
damage, thrombosis, and haemophagocytosis (Hanley et al. 2020). However, further studies are warranted to understand these potential parainfectious processes affecting COVID-19 patients, apart from the direct cytopathic CNS damage caused by SARS-CoV2.

**Probable routes of SARS-CoV2 entry into the CNS**

Previous studies have shown that H-CoVs can invade the CNS transsynaptically under still indeterminate circumstances. Infection of SARS-CoV has been reported in the brains of both patients and experimental animals, where the brainstem was heavily infected (Glass et al. 2004; Gu et al. 2005; Netland et al. 2008). Likewise, some other coronaviruses are also known to spread via synaptically connected routes to the cerebrospinal fluid. The mechanisms of SARS-CoV2 entry into the CNS have been extensively studied using a variety of animal models. For example, SARS-CoV2 has been shown to infect the olfactory bulb and other brain areas, including the cerebrospinal fluid, and chemoreceptors in the lung and lower respiratory airways (Bernstein et al. 2018; Hadziefendic and Haxhiu 1999; Kalia and Mesulam 1980; Li et al. 2012). SARS-CoV and OC43 infection in a mice model established that it potentially spreads trans-axonally from the nose to olfactory neurons to the olfactory bulb and other brain areas, including the cerebrospinal fluid, and chemoreceptors in the lung and lower respiratory airways. Taking hints from all previously identified H-CoVs, it is postulated that SARS-CoV2 can follow three distinct routes. One of the most common routes is from nasal epithelium to the bronchi and the lower respiratory tract, as mentioned previously. Like OC43 and SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV2 can also follow a retrograde axonal transport via olfactory bulb and get direct access to the brain parenchyma (Dubé et al. 2018; Netland et al. 2008). There is also a possibility of transport from the lungs to the brainstem and then to the spinal cord via the lung-brain axis (via cranial nerves), given the high innervation of lungs (Li et al. 2012). In fact, a very recent autopsy study immunohistochemically showed that SARS-CoV2 spike and nucleocapsid proteins were present in medulla oblongata and the cranial nerves originating from the brainstem (Matschke et al. 2020). This warrants the further understanding of the lung-brain axis as a route of SARS-CoV2 transmission. Moreover, some studies suggest that SARS-CoV2 can also follow an enteric route through the parasympathetic vagus nerve, taking insights from hemagglutinating encephalomyelitis virus (HEV) (Andries and Pensaert 1980). SARS-CoV2 can also enter the CNS upon viremia (Tseng et al. 2007), breaching the blood-brain barrier (BBB) by directly infecting ACE-2 receptor-containing brain vascular endothelial cells (Choi et al. 2020; Varga et al. 2020). It can also use the circulating leucocytes as vectors (Trojan horse) to enter the CNS upon BBB damage, as seen in SARS-CoV infection (Gu et al. 2005) (Fig. 1).

**m-CoV MHV infection provides an ideal experimental animal model for neuro-COVID-19**

Similar to H-CoVs, after intranasal infection, MHV too can either travel to the periphery or can travel from neuron to neuron via olfactory nerves, up to the olfactory bulb from where it spreads across the brain and eventually goes to the spinal cord (Perlman et al. 1989). There are three very commonly used neurotropic strains of MHV. MHV-JHM is a highly virulent neurotropic strain that can cause severe encephalitis and demyelination but cannot infect the liver (Bergmann et al. 2006; Fleming et al. 1986). MHV-A59 is a dual hepato-neurotropic strain of MHV which induces acute hepatitis, meningoencephalomyelitis, optic neuritis, and persistent infection in the CNS with characteristic chronic progressive demyelination and axonal loss, which is instrumental in understanding the mechanism of human neurological disease Multiple sclerosis (MS) (Dandekar et al. 2001; Das Sarma 2010; Das Sarma et al. 2000; Lavi et al. 1984b; Shindler et al. 2008). MHV-A59 is denoted as the demyelinating (DM) strain of MHV. MHV-2, another closely related strain to MHV-A59, can cause hepatitis with a limited ability to invade CNS, which can only induce meningitis without encephalitis, myelitis demyelination (Das Sarma 2010; Sarma et al. 2001). The disease outcome and degree of infection variably depend on numerous factors like age and strain of the mice, the strain of the virus, virulence of the virus, and even the route of inoculation. Some strains of MHV are highly virulent, while others exert mild but persistent disease symptoms. It thus provides a platform to decipher the cause-effect relationship in an experimental animal model to analyze the neurological disease progression, correlating with the viral titer kinetics.

**Comparative study of isogenic recombinant strains of MHV-A59: a tool to understand viral-induced neuroinflammatory demyelination**

The comparative neuropathological studies between parental MHV-A59 and its isogenic spike recombinant strain, RSA59, in comparison with parental non-demyelinating (NDM) MHV-2 and its isogenic strain RSMHV2 made a paradigm shift in the understanding of the role of the spike protein in viral antigen spread, cell-to-cell fusion, and its associated pathogenesis. RSA59 has the spike from parental DM strain MHV-A59, and RSMHV2 has the spike from the parental NDM MHV-2 strain, in
the background of DM MHV-A59 (Fig. 2) (Das Sarma et al. 2002). It also denoted that Spike protein in m-CoV may not be the only determinant of viral entry as both the recombinant strains can enter into the CNS and can induce acute phase (days 5–7 p.i.) meningoencephalitis characterized by the accumulation of inflammatory cell positive for leucocyte common antigen (LCA) and CD11b, denoting microglia/monocyte/macrophages (Fig. 2) (Das Sarma et al. 2008). Though, both the strains induce similar acute neuroinflammation, only RSA59 can induce chronic progressive demyelination with a concurrent axonal loss, which peaks at day 30 p.i. (chronic phase of inflammation) (Das Sarma 2010, 2014; Das Sarma et al. 2008, 2009) (Fig. 2). Viral antigen trafficking studies revealed that the induction of demyelination is a multifactorial outcome depending upon the successful axonal transport from the gray to white matter, in both the spinal cord and optic nerve, from the point of inoculation in the brain, and the persistence of the virus in the glial cells of the white matter (Das Sarma et al. 2008; Kenyon et al. 2015; Shindler et al. 2011). From the aspect of genomic control of pathogenic properties, spike protein is one of the primary targets for developing anti-virals, due to the antigenic properties of its S1 domain and the fusogenic properties of the S2 domain. To dissect the minimal essential motif of spike required for the fusogenicity and pathogenicity and to design the potential therapeutic targets, very recent studies, by combining in-silico molecular modeling, NMR studies, in combination with in vitro and in vivo experiments, demonstrated that two consecutive central proline in the fusion peptide of spike protein provides rigidity due to the lack of dihedral fluctuations and is responsible for cell to...
cell fusion and consecutive neuropathogenesis in RSA59 strain infection (Singh et al. 2019). At the same time, by using targeted recombination, the deletion of one proline showed that the mutant strain is impaired in its ability to cause the cell to cell fusion, retrograde axonal transport, consecutive demyelination, and retinal ganglionic cell loss, as a part of virus-induced neurodegeneration (Rout et al. 2020; Singh et al. 2019).

### Parallels between m-neuro-COVID and COVID-19

#### Innate immunity and delayed interferon responses

MHVs are weak inducers of IFNα/β in vitro and show delayed induction in vivo (Roth-Cross et al. 2007). Upon MHV-A59 infection, astrocytes exhibit delayed but substantially upregulated IFNα/β mRNA levels, along with microglia. MHC-I surface expression by microglia coincides with IFNα/β expression. MHV-A59 infection in the type 1 interferon receptor-deficient (IFNAR−/−) mice as well as astrocytes deficient in IFNAR induced significantly accelerated the disease course, enhanced infectious viral particles in the CNS, and direct neuron infection with heightened mortality (Cervantes-Barragan et al. 2007; Hwang and Bergmann 2018, 2019). This IFNAR abrogation on astrocytes led to diminished T cell recruitment into the CNS but enhanced IFN-γ production (Hwang and Bergmann 2018). However, despite enhanced levels of IFN-γ, the virus replicated profusely, suggesting that IFN-γ is unable to exert its antiviral functions like IFN-γ-dependent class II transactivator (CIITA) activation of MHC-II expression on microglial cells, leading to diminished T cell infiltration and damage to neurons by uncontrolled virus replication (Hwang and Bergmann 2019). This emphasizes the dependence of IFN-γ on IFNα/β production and activation in the CNS. It should also be noted that elevated and sustained levels of IFNα/β antagonizes IFN-γ-induced MHC-II based activation of macrophages. This desensitization prevents the toxic IFN mediated cytokine storm as well as detrimental chemokine expression by activating interferon-stimulating genes (ISGs) (Hwang and Bergmann 2019). ISGs act as negative regulators of the signalling cascade, limiting disproportionate immune responses, which may lead to excessive tissue damage (Ivashkiv and Donlin 2014). Therefore, IFN-responses can moderate both protective as well as pathogenic responses, depending on the context of their activation and the crosstalk between IFNα/β and IFN-γ. Some studies also highlighted the direct roles of ISGs. Deficiency of Ifit2/ISG54 causes uncontrolled MHVA59/RSA59 replication and enhanced encephalitis via impaired IFNα/β induction in macrophages (Butchi et al. 2014). Moreover, a very recent study highlighted that Ifit2 deficiency cause reduced microglia/macrophage activation induces lower levels of CX3CR1 expression on microglia and reduced CD4+ T and NK T cell infiltration in the CNS (Das Sarma et al. 2020). Drawing a parallel between MHV-A59/RSA59 and previous SARS-CoV infection, it is evident that dysregulated type I interferon and inflammatory monocyte-macrophage responses induce deleterious pneumonia in SARS-CoV-infected mice (Channappanavar et al. 2016). SARS-CoV infection in IFNAR−/− C57BL/6 mice showed higher viral titers (Mordstein et al. 2010).

Mechanistically, both structural and non-structural proteins of MHV have the potential to inhibit RNase L activity, a downstream effect of type I IFN signalling (Ye et al. 2007; Zhao et al. 2013, 2012). MHV-N can exert its IFN-antagonizing function by targeting PACT (a cellular dsRNA-binding protein which binds to RIG-I and MDA5 to induce IFN production) and impairing PACT-RIG-I/MDA5 interactions and RIG-I/MDA5 activation for the downstream IFN production (Ding et al. 2017). A similar mechanism has been observed in SARS-CoV. The nsp1 protein of MHV also plays an important role, interfering with type I IFN response. Similarly, nsp1 proteins of SARS-CoV induce degradation of type 1 interferon mRNA in infected cells, preventing the accumulation of IFN-β mRNA, and inhibit type I IFN signalling by reducing STAT1 phosphorylation (Lei et al. 2013). Studies show that MHV does not induce nuclear translocation of IFN-β transcription factor interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF-3) or IFNβ gene induction, but does not block these pathways either, like SARS-CoV. Experiments advocate that papain-like protease domain 2 (PLP2), a catalytic domain of the nonstructural protein 3 (nsp3) blocks TANK-binding kinase-1 (TBK1) present upstream of IRF3 and also prevents translocation of IRF3 itself to the nucleus (Wang et al. 2011). A very recent study highlighted the importance of highly conserved selective packaging of positive-sense genomic RNA (gRNA) for MHV virulence and immune evasion to prevent sensitization to type I IFN innate immune response (Athmer et al. 2018). A similar phenomenon can be utilized by SARS-CoV2 to evade initial innate immunity.

COVID-19 patient post-mortem lung tissues and serum samples showed undetectable levels for both IFNβ and the IFNγ family of interferons and low ISGs, despite profusely activated proinflammatory cytokines/chemokines (Blanco-Melo et al. 2020). A very recent study suggested the presence of auto-antibodies against type 1 IFNs (IFN-ω and IFN-α) in the critical cases of COVID-19 (Bastard et al. 2020). A concurrent study reported the genetic loss of function variants at human loci known to govern type 1 IFN immunity in COVID-19 patients (Zhang et al. 2020). Studies suggested that SARS-CoV2 might not be a potential
inducer of IFN response at a low multiplicity of infection (MOI), but high MOI infections with robust replication could detect IFN-I and –III signatures (Blanco-Melo et al. 2020). Thus, IFN response may be directly proportional to the viral load. In another study, RNA-sequence analysis of inflammatory monocyte-derived macrophages (IMMs) in the bronchoalveolar fluid (BALF) of severely ill COVID-19 patients prompted strong interferon gene signatures, suggesting that initial interferon signatures may be protective; however, persistent IFN-gamma signalling may propagate untimely and excessive macrophage activation (Liao et al. 2020). Together these studies suggest that a compromised IFN response at the beginning of infection leads to unrestrained CoV2 replication and its systemic
isogenic in nature (Navas and Weiss 2003; Phillips et al. 1999), retrovirulence by the recombinant strain infection, which is otherwise JHM, JHM.SD in A59 background (rA59/S JHM) induces high neurolymphocytes CD4+/CD8+ T (CXCL9, CXCL10, and CCL5), monocytes, and natural killer cells (CCL2, CCL5), and Chemokines are known to recruit neutrophils (CXCL1), to upregulate IL-1α, IL-1β, IL2, IL6, IL15, IL13, IL17, all initiates with the activation of brain resident astrocytes and microglia (Hosking and Lane 2010). Peripheral leukocyte recruitment begins as early as day 3 p.i., beginning with the cells of the innate immune response, predominantly myeloid cells, such as neutrophils and monocytes/macrophages. Lymphoid cells like CD4, CD8, and natural killer T (NK-T) cells start to enter the CNS from day 5 p.i., coinciding with the peak of viral titer, and hits the maxima at day 7 p.i., in coordination with declining viral titer. While CD8+ T cells begin to disappear as early as day 10 p.i. and NK T cells reduce in number, a significant number of CD4+ T cells are present in the inflamed brain even on day 16 p.i. (Chakravarty et al. 2020). Recent Affymetrix microarray analysis of the spinal cords of both RSA59 and RSMHV2 showed elevated expression of inflammatory mediators and innate immune responses. RSA59 is associated with the pronounced activation of microglia, as evidenced by characteristic changes in cellular morphology and increased expression of microglia-specific Iba1 (ionized calcium-binding adaptor molecule involved in GTPase-dependent membrane ruffling and phagocytosis). mRNA expression revealed upregulation of inflammatory mediators known to be released by activated microglia, including chemokines CXCL10, CXCL1, CXCL9, CCL3, CXC3R1, CCL5, and CCL12 along with robust upregulation of proinflammatory markers like IL-6, IL-1β, IL-12, IL-15, TNF-α, IFN-γ, and IL-10 (Chatterjee et al. 2014). There was a radical upregulation of CD8+, CD74, and CD52, which are cell surface markers for monocyte/macrophage/microglia. Interestingly, conventional T cells (CD4, CD8) and B cell transcripts showed insignificant upregulation at the acute phase (Chatterjee et al. 2014). Thus, activated microglia/macrophages at the acute stage can contribute to a local CNS microenvironment that eventually regulates viral replication and IFN-β production during the acute phase by managing the infiltration of peripheral lymphoid cells. Expression of adaptive immune response genes showed prominent upregulation only during the chronic phase (Biswas et al. 2016). A recent study of RSA59 infection in CD4/- mice showed that acute stage hepatitis and meningoencephalomyelitis are not altered in the absence of CD4+ T cells, suggesting that mounting initial inflammation is independent of CD4+ T cells. However, the loss of CD4+ T cells caused acute poliomylitis (gray matter inflammation) and dorsal root ganglionic (DRG) inflammation, which is rarely observed in wildtype mice at the acute phase (Chakravarty et al. 2020). It was interesting to note that there was significantly reduced CD11b+ microglia/macrophage in the CNS in the absence of CD4+ T cells at the acute phase of RSA59 infection. There was a parallel reduction in chemokine CCL5 or RANTES expression, suggesting that the lower numbers of CD11b+ cells might be the result of dampened infiltration of monocytes/macrophages in the absence of synchronization by CD4+ T cells (Chakravarty et al. 2020). Thus, T lymphocytes and microglia/macrophages dissemination, while overt interferon response at the later stages may also lead to a dysregulated immune system.

Differential cellular responses and cytokine/chemokine responses induced by mild but persistent infection of m-CoV RSA59: understanding the mild-moderate cases of COVID-19 and role of virus persistence

Neuro-innate inflammation

The acute stage of MHV-A59 infection in C57BL/6 mice initiates with the activation of brain resident astrocytes and microglia. Type I astrocytes and microglia have been shown to upregulate IL-1α, IL-1β, IL2, IL6, IL15, IL13, IL17, all three interferons, and TNF, in vitro (Lavi and Cong 2020). Chemokines are known to recruit neutrophils (CXCL1), monocytes, and natural killer cells (CCL2, CCL5), and lymphocytes CD4+/CD8+ T (CXCL9, CXCL10, and CCL5), are secreted initially by activated astrocytes and microglia (Hosking and Lane 2010). Peripheral leukocyte recruitment begins as early as day 3 p.i., beginning with the cells of the innate immune response, predominantly myeloid cells, such as neutrophils and monocytes/macrophages. Lymphoid cells like CD4, CD8, and natural killer T (NK-T) cells start to enter the CNS from day 5 p.i., coinciding with the peak of viral titer, and hits the maxima at day 7 p.i., in coordination with declining viral titer. While CD8+ T cells begin to disappear as early as day 10 p.i. and NK T cells reduce in number, a significant number of CD4+ T cells are present in the inflamed brain even on day 16 p.i. (Chakravarty et al. 2020). Recent Affymetrix microarray analysis of the spinal cords of both RSA59 and RSMHV2 showed elevated expression of inflammatory mediators and innate immune responses. RSA59 is associated with the pronounced activation of microglia, as evidenced by characteristic changes in cellular morphology and increased expression of microglia-specific Iba1 (ionized calcium-binding adaptor molecule involved in GTPase-dependent membrane ruffling and phagocytosis). mRNA expression revealed upregulation of inflammatory mediators known to be released by activated microglia, including chemokines CXCL10, CXCL1, CXCL9, CCL3, CXC3R1, CCL5, and CCL12 along with robust upregulation of proinflammatory markers like IL-6, IL-1β, IL-12, IL-15, TNF-α, IFN-γ, and IL-10 (Chatterjee et al. 2014). There was a radical upregulation of CD68, CD74, and CD52, which are cell surface markers for monocyte/macrophage/microglia. Interestingly, conventional T cells (CD4, CD8) and B cell transcripts showed insignificant upregulation at the acute phase (Chatterjee et al. 2014). Thus, activated microglia/macrophages at the acute stage can contribute to a local CNS microenvironment that eventually regulates viral replication and IFN-β production during the acute phase by managing the infiltration of peripheral lymphoid cells. Expression of adaptive immune response genes showed prominent upregulation only during the chronic phase (Biswas et al. 2016). A recent study of RSA59 infection in CD4/- mice showed that acute stage hepatitis and meningoencephalomyelitis are not altered in the absence of CD4+ T cells, suggesting that mounting initial inflammation is independent of CD4+ T cells. However, the loss of CD4+ T cells caused acute poliomylitis (gray matter inflammation) and dorsal root ganglionic (DRG) inflammation, which is rarely observed in wildtype mice at the acute phase (Chakravarty et al. 2020). It was interesting to note that there was significantly reduced CD11b+ microglia/macrophage in the CNS in the absence of CD4+ T cells at the acute phase of RSA59 infection. There was a parallel reduction in chemokine CCL5 or RANTES expression, suggesting that the lower numbers of CD11b+ cells might be the result of dampened infiltration of monocytes/macrophages in the absence of synchronization by CD4+ T cells (Chakravarty et al. 2020). Thus, T lymphocytes and microglia/macrophages
Bridging of the innate and adaptive immune system in neuroinflammatory demyelination

Upon RSA59 infection, as the infectious viral titer goes down beyond the detection limit after day 10 p.i., and the virus replication is controlled, the number of effector inflammatory cells also decrease; however, viral mRNA persists (Das Sarma 2010, 2014; Das Sarma et al. 2002; Lavi et al. 1984a). Such persistent infection in mice contributes to demyelination, which is characterized clinically in the form of partial-to-complete hind-limb paralysis and histopathologically by the loss of myelin sheath as observed with Luxol Fast Blue staining (Das Sarma et al. 2009). The amalgamation of spike mediated transsynaptic-axonal transport of RSA59 resulting in evasation of the aggressive immune system, its ability to infect the white matter oligodendrocytes, and its persistence in the white matter are the key checkpoints for the chronic progression of demyelination, axonal loss, and optic nerve demyelination, as discussed previously (Das Sarma 2010; Kenyon et al. 2015; Shindler et al. 2011; Singh et al. 2019).

While the viral spread is critical, host immune activation also plays a prominent part. RSA59 infection is also represented with the accumulation of CD11b+/Iba1+ microglia/macrophages filled with myelin debris within the demyelinating plaques at the chronic phase of inflammation (Das Sarma et al. 2009). High-resolution TEM microscopy demonstrated direct contact of the macrophage cell membrane with the outer myelin sheath and multiple vacuoles at the inner myelin lining. Axon was completely intact, but the myelin was lifted apart from the axon fiber, as if the microglia/macrophages were stripping off the myelin sheath (Das Sarma 2014; Das Sarma et al. 2009). This suggests that virus replication, viral tropism, viral spread, and host immune responses together mediate the myelin destruction.

A recent study highlighted the critical axis of microglia/macrophage and CD4+ T cells in ameliorating demyelination. RSA59 infection in CD4−/− mice showed elevated microglia/macrophage activation at the chronic stage of inflammation. Characteristic axon blebbing pathology was observed in the brains of infected CD4−/− mice, where the neuropil showed inflammation and vacuolation with aggravated microglia/macrophage accumulation at the demyelinating plaque (Chakravarty et al. 2020). These microglia/macrophages were of M2 phenotype (anti-inflammatory), attempting to control the prolonged viral persistence and restore homeostasis to prevent further tissue damage, but failed without the help of CD4+ T cells. M2 microglia/macrophages also present high phagocytic ability. Their activation and persistence, therefore, accentuate the direct myelin stripping, leading to significantly exacerbated demyelination and axonal loss in CD4−/− mice (Chakravarty et al. 2020).

Thus, to re-iterate, RSA59-induced neuroinflammation is initiated primarily by the activation of CNS resident immune cells like astrocytes and microglia, which set the stage for innate immune inflammation. However, it requires help from the adaptive branch of the immune system, notably CD4+ T cells, to restore the homeostasis by balancing the proinflammatory milieu and switching the microglial activation to an anti-inflammatory state at the chronic stage of inflammation. CD4+ T cells thus act as a bridge between the innate and adaptive immune systems and provide help in the activation of classical humoral immunity.

Humoral immunity

While the acute phase of infection is independent of humoral immunity, the chronic demyelinating phase of MHV infection requires antibody-secreting cells (ASCs) to prevent re-emergence of the virus (Bergmann et al. 2006). RSA59 infection, and not RSMHV2 infection, uniquely induces tenfold upregulation of immunoglobulins like IgmRNAs like Iggh3, IGI, Igk-V28, and Igkv4 at the chronic phase of infection via B cell activation (Biswas et al. 2016).

In summary, utilizing RSA59 infection of the CNS as a model of virus-induced encephalomyelitis with chronic progressive demyelination and concurrent axonal loss has provided substantial experimental evidence to understand the nexus between the cellular and molecular components of the two arms of host immunity: the innate and adaptive immune responses, along with the interplay of cytokines and chemokines responsible for the cellular recruitment, activation, and antiviral activity. Thus, RSA59/RSMHV2 infection is a model of a protective regulated inflammation where viral replication is controlled with the help of CNS resident microglia in orchestration with infiltrating monocyte/macrophages and optimally activated T-lymphocytes, along with regulated cytokine and chemokine secretion. This model thus depicts the balanced scenario of host survival with or without chronic progressive disease. Very recent studies identified the presence of biomarkers like GFAP (Gliarial Fibrillary acidic protein, present in astrocytes) in the plasma of mild-moderate SARS-CoV2 patients, and NfL (neurofilament light chain protein, present in neuronal outgrowths) in the blood plasma of critically ill patients requiring ventilation, possibly reflecting a phenomenon of early astrocytic/glial activation and more delayed axonal/neuronal damage, similar to MHV-A59/RSA59 neuroinflammation (Kanberg et al. 2020). Furthermore, a current study also found microglial activation and CD8+ T cell infiltration in the autopic brains of patients.
with COVID-19 symptoms (Matschke et al. 2020). However, the complex pathophysiology of COVID-19 in the brain is yet to be completely unravelled.

**Insights gained from a highly neurovirulent strain of m-CoV, MHV-JHM, to explain the critical cases of COVID-19**

In contrast to the moderate but persistent infection and neuroinflammation induced by RSA59, the highly neurovirulent JHM.SD infection depicts a system with robust virus replication, profuse cytokine/chemokine storm, but suboptimal CD4 and CD8 response, leading to highly severe disease pathology, and results in death (Bender and Weiss 2010; Rempel et al. 2004).

Lethal JHM.SD infection causes prolonged innate immune responses with high IFN-β production that goes beyond day 5 p.i. and robust upregulation of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines like IL-6, IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-12, CCL3, CCL4, CCL5/RANTES, CXCL10, TNF-α, and macrophage chemoattractants like macrophage inflammatory proteins (MIP1 and MIP2) (Bergmann et al. 2006; Liu and Lane 2001; Rempel et al. 2004). This profound cytokine storm leads to enhanced chemotaxis of innate immune cells like neutrophils, macrophages, and natural killer (NK) cells into the CNS and leads to the destruction of the brain parenchyma. It should be noted that neurovirulence directly reciprocates to the magnitude of immune response and the levels of cytokines/chemokines induction. JHM.SD shows higher proinflammatory cytokines, massive macrophage/microglia accumulation, and lower IFN-γ as compared to with MHV-A59 infection (Rempel et al. 2004). Along with diffuse innate immunity, JHM.SD also showed reduced T cell responses and concomitant IFN-γ levels (Rempel et al. 2004). Using the targeted recombination system, it was clearly shown that macrophage chemoattractant expression levels were influenced by spike gene and thus were more for the chimeric rA59/S<sub>SJM</sub> (inserting JHM.SD spike in A59 background). However, it was interesting to note that T cell infiltrating chemokines like CXCL9 and CXCL10 and subsequent responses were higher in the isogenic recombinant strain developed by inserting A59 spike in JHM.SD background (rJHM/S<sub>SA59</sub>). Also, these rA59/S<sub>SJM</sub> chimeric mice exhibited profuse viral spread like JHM. SD but showed robust upregulation of CD8<sup>+</sup> response like A59, and survival enhanced (Bender and Weiss 2010; Phillips et al. 2002), suggesting that T cell responses can be coordinated by other background genes, rather than spike only. Though CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells are required for adequate viral clearance, they have also been shown to exhibit a pathogenic role during acute MHV.JHM infection. Mutation in one of the MHC-II epitope M133 of highly virulent JHMV strain is shown to reduce the mortality from 100% to 0 at day 7 p.i (Anghelina et al. 2009).

While JHM.SD infection cannot explain the chronic phase of the disease due to high mortality, attenuated variant of MHV-JHM, 2.2-V-1 is used to understand the role of T cell response. Similar to the conventional strain of MHV-A59/RSA59 intracranial inoculation of mice, 2.2-V-1 induces activation and expression of CXCL9 and CXCL10 by CNS resident astrocytes and microglia (Lane and Hosking 2010; Phares et al. 2013). However, in contrast to MHV-A59, which initiates with the activation of microglia/macrophages first and which later paves the way for activated T cells to take charge of the immune response (by day 5–7), 2.2-V-1 also activates virus-specific CD8 T cells in the cervical lymph nodes as early as day 3 p.i. (which was absent upon JHM.SD infection)(Marten et al. 2003). CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells start accumulating in the brain by day 5 p.i., reaching the peak by day 7 p.i (Marten et al. 2003). These virus-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells secrete IFN-γ, produce granzyme, and induce cytolyis mediated viral clearance. Perforin-mediated cytolysis eliminates MHV from astrocytes and microglia, and IFN-γ prevents MHV replication within oligodendroglia in vivo (González et al. 2006; Lin et al. 1997). Cytokine and Chemokine transcripts detected at the initial include IL-6, IL-10, IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-12, CXCL10, CXCL9, MCP-1, MCP-3, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, and RANTES (CCL5), CXCR3, CCR1, CCR2, and CCR5. Studies show that neutralization of CCL5, CXCL9, and CXCL10 during the acute stage of infection leads to augmented viral replication and decreased infiltration of CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in the CNS (Lane and Hosking 2010). As the virus gets cleared by day 12 p.i., the number of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells decline, and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell loses its cytolytic activity. CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells play direct roles of secreting high levels of IFNγ and upregulating MHC-II on the antigen-presenting cells (APCs), as well as supporting roles like preventing CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell apoptosis and regulate their infiltration into the CNS parenchyma, during the acute stage of infection (Bergmann et al. 2006; Lane and Hosking 2010).

Mice that survive the acute phase of infection develops a chronic immune-mediated demyelinating disease, as a result of virus persistence (Perlman et al. 1990). Both CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> cells play important roles in the induction of demyelination. They have redundant but unidentical roles in MHV-JHM induced demyelination. MHV-JHM (V5A13.1) infection showed a significant reduction in the severity of demyelination in CD4/- mice as compared to both CD8-/- and wildtype mice with concurrent decreased levels of RANTES/CCL5, i.e., reduced microglia/macrophage infiltration (Lane et al. 2000). Adoptive transfer of CD4<sup>+</sup>T in MHV-JHM-infected RAG1/- resulted in more severe clinical disease, but less demyelination whereas CD8<sup>+</sup>T
IFNγ is majorly secreted by CD4+ T cells than CD8+ T cells. Other studies reveal the importance of IFNγ regulation. Different lines demonstrate viral replication (yellow), IFN response (black), innate immune activation (red), adaptive T cell activation (violet), and humoral responses (blue). Solid line in the left panel depicts the mild-moderate COVID-19 disease course, followed by recovery, whereas dotted lines in the right panel depict the scenario of severe COVID-19 disease course, leading to moribidity. Panel b is a flow chart to describe the cause-effect relationship of protective-regulated inflammation, and pathogenic-dysregulated inflammation, parallel to the individual line-graphs depicted in Panel a. Panel c (left panel) is a line-graph depiction of the time-kinetics of the differential acute mild-to-moderate neuroinflammation induced by MHV-A59/RSA59, similar to mild-moderate symptoms of COVID-19. MHV-A59/RSA59 also induces chronic progressive demyelination as early as days 5–6 p.i., which reaches its peak in the spinal cord at day 30 p.i. By day 10 p.i., inflammation resolves in the brain, virus particles get cleared from the system, but viral RNA persists. Panel c (right panel) depicts the acute severe neuroinflammation leading to moribidity or death by highly neurovirulent MHV-JHM.SD, like severe symptoms of COVID-19. Like panel a, different lines demonstrate viral replication (yellow), IFN response (black), innate immune activation (red), adaptive T cell activation (violet), and humoral responses (blue), and demyelination (green). Solid line in the left panel depicts the MHV-A59/RSA59 acute neuroinflammation to chronic progressive demyelination peaking at day 30 post-infection (p.i.), whereas dotted lines in the right panel depict JHM.SD disease course followed by moribidity around days 7–10 p.i.

Hence, by analyzing the differential outcome of infection with specific strains of MHV (MHV-RSA59 and MHV-JHM (JHM.SD and 2.2-V-1) and the differential role of inflammatory components in protective vs pathogenic consequences, this comprehensive review aims to explain the differential signs, symptoms, and severity of the patients suffering from COVID-19.

Knowledge of m-CoV cytokine storm corresponds to systemic SARS-CoV2 dysregulated immune activation and cytokine response

SARS-CoV2 replication reaches its peak at days 5–6 p.i. and start exerting cytopathic effects (Chu et al. 2020; Zhu et al. 2020). Proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines responsible for extravasation of inflammatory cells to the site of infection like IL-1β, IL-6, IFNγ, MCP1, IL-10, CXCL10, IL1Rα, CCL2, CCL7, CCL8, CXCL2, CXCL8, CXCL9, and CXCL16, CXCL17, IL-2alpha, IL-7, IL-10, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), macrophage inflammatory protein 1α (MIP1α), and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) upregulate in vitro as well as in BALF and blood of the COVID-19 patients, along with inflammatory markers like C-reactive proteins, ferritin, and D-dimers (Blanco-Melo et al. 2020; Coperchini et al. 2020; Huang et al. 2020; Ziegler et al. 2020). The magnitude of these cytokines increases with the severity of the disease, exactly comparable to the two strains of neurotropic MHV (MHV-A59 and JHM. SD). Figure 3 compares the differences between mild-moderate vs. severe cases of COVID-19. Moreover, it depicts the immuno-cellular similarities between the mild-moderate cases of COVID-19 and MHV-A59/RSA59 induced neuroinflammation, and simultaneously between severe/critical cases of COVID-19 and disease course of highly neurovirulent JHM.SD. IL-6 secreting CD14+ CD16+ inflammatory monocytes and monocyte-derived FCN1+ peripheral macrophages has been observed to be significantly high in the severely-ill in contrast to the mildly-sick patients, which was directly proportional to the viral load (Coperchini et al. 2020; Huang et al. 2020). (Zhou et al. 2020b), (Liao et al. 2020). Intriguingly, SARS-CoV2 nucleocapsid protein has been found in secondary lymphoid IL-6+ macrophages (Merad and Savarin and Bergmann 2018).
Martin 2020), which could be a reflection of macrophages phagocytizing the infected cells or could be due to direct infection of these macrophages. However, further studies are required for validation. The most interesting fact, which is different in SARS-CoV vs. SARS-CoV2, is the expression of IL-10, which has been shown to be decreased in SARS-CoV (Chen and Subbarao 2007), and other coronavirus infections like MHV, but very strikingly increased upon SARS-CoV2 infection. This discrepancy could result from a negative feedback loop orchestrated by the immune cells to counteract the overt IL-6 response, but further studies are necessary to come to a definite conclusion. This hypercytokinaemia, called the “Cytokine storm,” establishes a dysregulated immune system, perhaps as a consequence of unhindered viral replication or probably prolonged virus persistence, which further orchestrate an excessive induction of innate immune cells to the site of infection, ensuing global T-cell lymphopenia especially of the CD8+ T cell subset. In the severely affected patients (Zheng et al. 2020). Post-mortem TUNEL assay suggests increased levels of lymphocyte apoptosis and elevated expression levels of death receptor FAS which may be a result of direct infection/lysis of T cells by CoV2 or could be caused by phagocytizing macrophage-derived IL-6 (Merad and Martin 2020). The CD4+ and CD8+ T cells observed within first-week post SARS-CoV2 infection, although less in number, showed high expression of activation markers CD44, CD69, and CD38, along with HLA-DR and CD45RO (Zhou et al. 2020b). Moreover, Th1 cells present in the ICU cases were mainly pathogenic with increased cytokine production, similar to m-CoV JHM.SD. The absolute numbers of B cells were also gradually decreased with increased disease severity (Tay et al. 2020). Overall loss of protective T cell responses and aggravated/dysregulated response by monocyte/macrophages responses initiate an endless vicious cycle to which the multi-organ system falls prey.

**Conclusion**

In summary, from the innate immune inflammation aspect, the cytokine storm with the amplified innate immune responses is primarily responsible for the tissue damage in the lungs and in fact, CNS upon both m-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 infection. In both neurotropic strains of m-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, recognition and rapid clearance of pathogens by the innate immune system provide the first line of defense; however, excessive activation of the innate immune system in response to pathogens can lead to pathological inflammatory consequences, like cytokine storm, leading to morbidity and mortality of the m-CoV JHM.SD strain as well as SARS-CoV-2. Identifying the underlying factors that elicit such injurious reactions and devising therapeutics that block such factors would provide a viable therapy against COVID-19. Moreover, high levels of circulating pro-inflammatory cytokines can cause confusion and alteration of consciousness, whereas a weakened T cell response may be unable to eliminate virus-infected cells in the CNS, causing further neurologic dysfunction. While early immune responses clear the virus and prevent subsequent pathology, it also supports a paradigm where persistently activated cell-mediated immunity enhances the neuropathology, depicted by microglia-mediated myelin stripping in RSA59 induced neuroinflammation. This establishes that neuro-inflammation is a double-edged sword and provides useful clues for hypothesizing SAR-CoV-2 neuro-immune response.

**Discussion**

In the COVID-19 pandemic, ARDS, along with neurological dysfunction, poses a significant physical, mental, and socio-economic burden to humanity. Considerable and thorough studies of the CSF cytokine profile, T cell-microglia response against SARS-CoV-2 in the brain, and post-mortem studies, including an autopsy of nervous tissue and muscle tissues, are the necessary paramount tools required urgently to understand the neuropathogenesis of COVID-19 better. Further studies are required to elucidate the occurrence and nature of COVID-19-related CNS damage and differentiate the mechanisms between direct virus-infected CNS disorders and consequent immune-mediated CNS damage.

There are chances that the virus may not infect the lower respiratory tract at all, instead may travel to the nervous system and remain latent for a long time and subsequently reactivate when the immune system is suppressed. Therefore, recognizing neurological symptoms associated with SARS-CoV-2 in patients with a mild or asymptomatic respiratory infection is challenging but holds utmost importance.

While traditionally, the receptor’s expression profile is one of the significant determinants of cell tropism, it is not true that all cells that express the receptor or even the cells with the highest expression are the primary targets of the CoVs strains. This is epitomized by MHV studies, as MHV strain JHM.SD is highly neurovirulent and cannot replicate in the liver but the receptor CEACAM1a (MHV Receptor) is expressed highly in the liver but at barely detectable levels in neurons (Miura et al. 2008). Though considerable evidence experimentally embodied the regulatory role of Spike protein that impacted tissue tropism and pathogenesis, other back-ground genes,
including nucleocapsid, membrane and replicase, and accessory genes, are also important determinants of CoV biology and pathogenesis. Various strains of parental m-CoV, including MHV-1, MHV-3, MHV-A59, and MHV-JHM, with its isogenic recombinant strain made a significant understanding of the assembly of numerous differential pathogenic outcome from diffused alveolar lung damage, thrombosis to hepatitis, epididymitis, acute stage meningoencephalitis with chronic stage demyelination concurrent with axonal loss, and bulbar axonal vacuolation, optic neuritis, and retinal ganglionic cell loss in the experimental animal models. Identification of the disparity of pathogenic sequel of strains of m-CoVs may be instrumental in understanding the differential SARS-CoV-2’s manifestations. In this context, our review adds to the present knowledge and current affairs of beta-coronavirus infection and its possible neuroinflammatory demonstration.
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