Effect of Quarry Rock Dust as a Binder on the Properties of Fly Ash and Slag-Based Geopolymer Concrete Exposed to Ambient and Elevated Temperatures
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Abstract: This study presents the performance of quarry rock dust (QRD) incorporated fly ash (FA) and slag (SG) based geopolymer concretes (QFS-GPC) exposed to ambient and elevated temperatures. A total of five QFS-GPC mix types were prepared. The quantity of FA (50%) was kept constant in all the mixes, and SG was replaced by 5%, 10%, 15%, and 20% of QRD. The fresh, hardened properties of the QFS-GPC mixes, viz., workability, compressive strength, splitting tensile strength, and flexural strengths, and XRD for identification of reaction phases were evaluated. The prepared mixes were also heated up to 800 °C to evaluate the residual compressive strength and weight loss. The workability of the QFS-GPC mixes was observed to be reduced by increasing the dosage (0 to 20%) of QRD. Superplasticizer (SP) was used to maintain the medium standard of workability. The compressive, tensile, and flexural strengths were increased by replacing SG with QRD up to 15%, whereas a further higher dosage (20%) of QRD reduced the mechanical strengths of the QFS-GPC mixes. The strength of the QFS-GPC specimens, heated to elevated temperatures up to 800 °C, was reduced persistently with the increased contents of QRD from 0 to 20%. It was concluded from the study that QFS-GPC can be used to achieve 30 MPa strength of concrete.
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1. Introduction

The ordinary Portland cement (OPC) has been used as a major ingredient for construction activities worldwide, which is generally used as a binder in the concrete [1,2]. The ecological benefits and engineering properties of concrete have been improved by geopolymers, an alternative for the OPC/conventional concrete [3,4]. The geopolymer concrete (GPC) possesses good engineering properties with reduced emission of greenhouse gases and is also known as green concrete [5]. GPC can not only lessen the carbon footprint associated with OPC but can also use a bulk quantity of industrial by-product/waste materials...
such as fly ash (FA), blast furnace slag (SG), ceramic wastes, and silica fumes, etc. [5–8]. The waste materials such as FA, rice husk ash, SG, metakaolin, mine waste, silt, tungsten, iron, copper and gold ores, marble, and some industrial by-products are a promising hazard to the environment. Fossil fuels are used in thermal power plants to generate electricity that produces a significant quantity of FA wastes, about 800 million tonnes globally [9], out of which only a minor portion (20–30%) is used [10]. The improper dumping of this residue causes environmental issues such as water and air pollution. Some of these materials can be used as building materials, thus reducing landfill costs and protecting the environment from harmful effects. Therefore, GPC has been under study due to its relatively better mechanical properties and environmental benefits. The polymeric materials are inorganic and produce geopolymers by the polymerization of aluminosilicates with alkaline solutions that harden and strengthen at ambient temperatures [11].

The GPC has two main constituents other than the aggregates: aluminosilicate source materials and an alkaline activator solution (AAS). The most used alkaline activator is a combination of sodium silicate (SS) and sodium hydroxide (SH) solutions, though potassium hydroxide and potassium silicate can also be used. AAS plays an important role in the polymerization process [12]. The source binder materials in a GPC must have silicate and aluminate in a significant amount. The use of local raw materials is an important consideration and is suitable for an economical and geofriendly environment. The benefits of using local raw materials include low transportation cost, low amount of energy for transportation, better overall control, and reduced emissions and energy usage. The local natural sources of aluminosilicate materials are bentonite and metakaolin clay, mine waste, silt, tungsten, iron, copper and gold ores, etc. [6–8,13], whereas the waste by-product materials FA [14,15], SG [16], ceramic wastes, and silica fumes [17], etc., can be used for the preparation of geopolymer concrete due to their abundant availability.

The selection of source materials for making GPC depends on numerous factors including availability, cost, and applications [18]. Most researchers have worked on the development of GPC with heat curing [19,20]. The FA has been used as an appropriate raw material for GPC due to its wide range of availability and suitable composition of alumina and silica. The previous studies [19,21] have described that FA-based GPC has good durability and mechanical properties after heat curing at 60 to 85 °C. At ambient temperature, the reaction process of FA is too slow [15]. The heat curing conditions are generally appropriate for industrial precast constructed members and usually cannot be practiced for cast-in-situ construction. Hence, it is important to develop a type of GPC without heat curing to expand its field and site application. This can further reduce the cost and energy consumption linked with the elevated temperature curing.

A few studies have tried to improve the reactivity of FA by varying the SS/SH ratios, alkaline activating content, and molarity of SH to achieve good results at the ambient curing conditions [22]. One of the acceptable methods is to add calcium-rich materials such as SG in GPC at ambient curing conditions. The inclusion of calcium-rich materials in GPC can accelerate FA dissolution and improve reaction product formation at ambient curing conditions [23]. The incorporation of SG in GPC results in better engineering properties at ambient conditions [3,4,24,25]. Several studies have been carried out to investigate the effect of SG on the fresh, mechanical, and durability properties of GPC [3,4,16,23–25].

The QRD is a calcium-rich waste material of the rock crushing industry that is produced as a cloud of unwanted dust during the manufacturing process of coarse aggregates. A portion of this unwanted waste is often used on-site as a filling material for the quarry pits. This waste can be effectively used as a construction material to preserve the environment and natural resources. The QRD has been used as a partial replacement of sand in GPC [24] and mortars [25]. The consumption of QRD in concrete is recommended mainly in the regions where the sand is not available in abundant quantity [26]. The suitability of QRD to be used as a sand replacement material shows superior mechanical properties of concrete such as compressive, tensile, and flexural strengths [26–28]. The complete replacement of sand with QRD gave superior compressive strength properties [29].
The QRD having a surface area of 6000 cm$^2$/g can be utilized as a precursor to making alkali-activated binders [30]. It was identified that a combination of an equal amount of QRD waste and OPC contents usually reduced the superplasticizer (SP) requirements and enhanced the compressive strength of OPC concrete cubes [27]. Some studies have used QRD as a partial replacement of sand in GPC concrete [28,31–35]; however, studies on the development of a GPC mix by blending quarry rock dust (QRD) wastes as a binding agent are rather limited [36,37].

This study has been undertaken to investigate the effects of QRD wastes as a binding agent on the engineering properties of GPC mixes containing low calcium FA and SG. The QRD waste is used as a partial replacement of SG (a binder material) in the QRD, FA, and SG-based GPC (QFS-GPC) mix to produce eco-friendly construction material. The SG was replaced by 0%, 5%, 10%, 15%, and 20% of QRD. The objective of the present study is to observe the performance of QFS-GPC for workability and mechanical properties at ambient temperature curing conditions. According to the building codes, structural concrete members should fulfill the fire safety requirements [36,37] since the buildings may be exposed to fire hazards. Generally, the best fire resistance properties of concrete are achieved by alkali-activated mortars (AAMs) when used in construction as building material [32]. Therefore, in the present study, the fire resistance properties such as the residual compressive strength and the weight loss of the QFS-GPC mix specimens will also be determined at elevated temperatures, i.e., 400 and 800 °C by heating the specimens in a controlled electric furnace.

In the current study, the main intension of using QRD waste as geopolymer binding material is to meet the annual production of concrete due to limited production of FA, SG, and other waste materials. The use of QRD as a geopolymer binder is very limited as it has only been used as a filler material. The performance of QRD-incorporated fly ash (FA) and slag (SG) based geopolymer concretes exposed to ambient and elevated temperatures is investigated. An optimal mix of QFS-GPC will be ascertained based on the performance criteria mentioned above. Moreover, the contributing influence on the mechanical and thermal resistance properties of geopolymer concrete was focused and discussed herein.

2. Experimental Program

The experimental program includes the preparation of source materials for preparing the QFS-GPC mix types, mixing of the ingredients, preparation of samples for different test types, and performance of the tests to determine fresh, mechanical, and elevated temperature properties. The following subsections provide detail of the material specifications, mix types and proportions, and specimens for different tests according to the standard practice.

2.1. Material Specifications

The three waste materials used as the source materials in QFS-GPC are QRD, FA, and SG. The QRD wastes were obtained from the quarries at Margallah Hills located near Taxila (Pakistan). The QRD is collected at the bottom of the aggregate crushers during the formation of the coarse aggregates. It was ground to achieve the required size equivalent to the OPC particles, which can be sieved through a 45-µm sieve [28]. The grinding process of the QRD is shown in Figure 1. The quarry waste was obtained from the Margalla stone hill crushers and was subjected to ball mill grinding machine for 2 days in laboratory. Furthermore, the resulting 90% material passing 45 µm was used. The FA and SG used in the present study are shown in Figure 2. The processed SG used in this study has pozzolanic and cementitious properties confirming ASTM C989/C989M-18a [34]. The color of SG looks off-white due to the occurrence of hydraulic reaction of water during mixing, and its particle size was 45 µm. The low-calcium FA (Class F), taken from Port Qasim coal-fired power plant in Karachi (Pakistan), was used as a source of aluminosilicate constituents for preparing of GPC mixes with QRD and SG. The appearance of FA was grey and fulfills the requirement of the ASTM C618-19 [35]. The X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectroscopy was used to assess the chemical composition of FA, SG, and QRD as summarized in
Table 1. The silica and alumina compositions are 58.32% and 29.5% in FA, 34.38% and 12.98% in SG, and 9.35% and 1.64% in QRD, respectively, in the binder materials (Table 1). The QRD has the highest content of calcium oxides (CaO), i.e., 47.13% as compared to SG (37.33%) and FA (1.57%). The level of calcium, aluminum, and silica oxides played an important role in the geopolymer matrix by forming calcium silicate hydrate (CSH), calcium aluminum silicate hydrate (CASH), and sodium aluminosilicate hydrate (NASH) in the polymerization procedure [32,33]. For comparing the mechanical properties of QFS-GPC with the conventional/OPC concrete, OPC-conforming ASTM type II [25] has been used for control specimens to compare with the GPC specimens. The physical properties and chemical composition of the OPC used are presented in Table 2.

![Grinding process of QRD waste](image1)

**Figure 1.** The grinding process of QRD waste.

![FA and SG used in the study](image2)

**Figure 2.** The FA and SG used in the study.
Table 1. The chemical composition of QRD, SG, and FA from XRF analysis.

| Material  | QRD (%) | SG (%) | FA (%) |
|-----------|---------|--------|--------|
| SiO$_2$   | 9.35    | 34.38  | 58.32  |
| Al$_2$O$_3$ | 1.64   | 12.98  | 29.5   |
| Fe$_2$O$_3$| 1.03    | 1.29   | 1.13   |
| CaO       | 47.13   | 37.33  | 1.57   |
| MgO       | 1.25    | 5.59   | 0.50   |
| K$_2$O    | 0.20    | 0.82   | -      |
| Na$_2$O   | -       | 0.29   | 0.35   |
| SO$_3$    | 0.08    | 0.23   | 4      |
| SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ | 5.70 | 2.64 | 2.03 |
| LOI (loss on ignition) | 38.65 | 4.31 | 5.17 |

Table 2. The chemical and physical characteristics of type II OPC used for control specimens.

| Chemical Characteristics | Results (%) | Physical Characteristics | Results |
|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------|
| CaO                      | 64.2        | Specific surface         | 322 m$^2$/kg |
| SiO$_2$                  | 22.0        | Consistency              | 30%     |
| Al$_2$O$_3$              | 5.50        | Initial setting time     | 1 h:42 min |
| Fe$_2$O$_3$              | 3.50        | Final setting time       | 3 h:55 min |
| SO$_3$                   | 2.90        | Specific gravity         | 3.5     |
| MgO                      | 2.50        | Soundness                | No soundness |
| K$_2$O                   | 1.00        | Colour                   | Grey    |
| Na$_2$O                  | 0.20        |                          |         |
| LOI                      | 0.64        |                          |         |

The natural river sand from Lawrencepur (near Attock, Pakistan) was used as fine aggregates in the present study. The coarse aggregates (CA) were taken from Margallah quarries (near Taxila, Pakistan). The maximum and minimum size of CA are kept as 20 mm and 10 mm, respectively, according to the specifications of ASTM C136/C136M-19 [37]. The physical properties of sand and CA are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. The characteristics of sand and CA used in the study.

| Property                      | Sand  | CA   |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|
| Bulk Density (kg/m$^3$)       | 1624  | 1547 |
| Saturated Surface dry water absorption (%) | 1.25 | 0.80 |
| 10% fine value (KN)           | -     | 157  |
| Specific gravity              | 2.74  | 2.68 |
| Maximum aggregate size (mm)   | 4.75  | 20   |
| Minimum aggregate size (mm)   | -     | 10   |

The GPC mix is generally more viscous and has gluey characteristics than the conventional OPC mix due to the high viscosity of SH and SS solutions [4]. The chemical composition of the SS solution is shown in Table 4. To increase the workability of the fresh ternary blended GPC mixes, a water-reducing admixture commercially identified as Sikament-520 was used as a superplasticizer (SP). The amount of SP was varying in the mixes to achieve a medium range of slump (50 to 89 mm) [38] as summarized in Table 5.

Table 4. The chemical properties of Sodium Silicate (SS) solution.

| Compound | Quantity |
|----------|----------|
| Na$_2$O  | 8.93%    |
| SiO$_2$  | 29.8%    |
| Water    | 61.78%   |
| Density  | 1400 kg/m$^3$ |
Table 5. The composition and proportions of mixes used in the study.

| Group No. | Group ID | Mixture Proportions | Concrete Mixture Quantity (kg/m³) |
|-----------|----------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1         | OPC      |                      |                                  |
| 2         | GPC-A    | 50 50 0 0.5 12 1.5 400 200 200 80 120 680 751 340 10 140 |
| 3         | GPC-B    | 50 45 5 0.5 12 1.5 400 200 180 20 80 120 680 751 340 12 35 |
| 4         | GPC-C    | 50 40 10 0.5 12 1.5 400 200 160 40 80 120 680 751 340 14 35 |
| 5         | GPC-D    | 50 35 15 0.5 12 1.5 400 200 140 60 80 120 680 751 340 14.5 35 |
| 6         | GPC-E    | 50 30 20 0.5 12 1.5 400 200 120 80 80 120 680 751 340 14.5 35 |

Note: W (Water); B (Binder); C (Cement); OPC (Ordinary Portland Cement); AAL (Alkaline Activator Solution); QRD (Quarry Rock Dust); SG (Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag); FA (Fly Ash); SH (Sodium Hydroxide); SS (Sodium Silicate); M(molarity); SP (Superplasticizers); S (Sand); CA (Coarse Aggregates).

The AAS to binder (AAS/B) ratio, defined as the sum of their ratio by mass to the total mass of binder, was kept constant for all mixes at 0.50. In the binder, three types of source materials, FA, SG, and QRD, were used. The AAS/B was calculated using Equation (1) [36] as follows:

\[
\frac{\text{AAS}}{\text{B}} = \frac{\text{SH} + \text{SS}}{\text{QRD} + \text{FA} + \text{SG}} = 0.50
\]

2.2. Mix Types, Proportions, and Preparation of Specimens for Tests

A total of 5 mix types were prepared to study the effect of QRD incorporation on QFS-GPC mixes. One mix type is prepared with the OPC to be taken as a reference/control mix. The five QFS-GPC mixes were prepared with varying QRD ratios by weight. The mixed proportions of materials used in this study are shown in Table 5. All the QFS-GPC specimens were prepared with the same molarity of SH, AAS/B ratio, and SS/SH ratio. The labels GPC-A, GPC-B, GPC-C, GPC-D, and GPC-E represent different specimen groups of design mix. In all GPC mixes, FA was kept constant, and SG was replaced by QRD at different ratios, viz., 0%, 5%, 10%, 15%, and 20% in groups GPC-A, GPC-B, GPC-C, GPC-D, and GPC-E, respectively.

The alkaline activating solution (AAS) was prepared by mixing SH and SS in predetermined quantities. The AAS played a significant role in the geopolymerization process. The SH solution of 12M molarity was prepared by mixing NaOH pellets having 98% purity in water. Since an exothermic reaction takes place from the preparation of SH solution, a significant amount of heat was produced during this preparation. The SH solution, therefore, was prepared 24 h before its mixing with SS solution to develop AAS. The SH and SS solutions were mixed at least 30 min before their application. The ratio between SS and SH was kept constants for all the mixes at 1.5.

A mechanical mixer of 0.15-m³ capacity with a speed of 20 rev/min was used for mixing the ingredients. Firstly, all the ingredients including FA, QRD, SG, sand, and CA were dry mixed for 2 min to ensure uniformity of the mixture. Then, AAS, SP, and extra water were added gradually as required and mixing is continued for another 3 min. The freshly mixed concrete was then instantly cast into three different molds, i.e., cubes (150 mm × 150 mm × 150 mm) for compressive strength, cylinders (150 mm × 300 mm) for splitting tensile strength, and prisms (100 mm × 100 mm × 500 mm) for flexural strength tests. A total of 360 specimens comprising 180 cubes, 108 cylinders, and 72 prisms were cast. For each testing age, three specimens were cast, and their average value was taken after testing for the results and discussion. All the freshly prepared specimens were kept in a laboratory room under ambient conditions. After 24 h, the samples were de-molded, then QFS-GPC and OPC specimens were kept in the open sunlight and the curing tank respectively until the day of testing.
The fresh mix property, i.e., workability of OPC and GPC mixes, was investigated by using a slump cone test following the ASTM C143/C143M-20 [38]. The mechanical properties of each mix under ambient curing conditions are assessed by cubes for the compressive strength following BS EN 12390-3:2019 [39], cylinders for the splitting tensile strength following ASTM C496/C496M-17 [40], and prisms for the flexural strength test following ASTM C78/C78M-21 [41], using a universal testing machine (UTM) of 4000 kN capacity. For ascertaining properties at elevated temperature, cubic specimens of dimension 150 mm × 150 mm × 150 mm were prepared for each mix type. After 56 days of ambient curing, the specimens were heated in an automatic electric furnace of 1000 °C capacity under two temperature settings, i.e., 400 °C and 800 °C, for 1 h following ASTM E 119-20 [42]. After heating to the required temperature, the specimens were cooled at room temperature. Then, the residual compressive strength was determined following BS EN 12390-3:2019 [39]. The weight of each cubic specimen before and after exposure to elevated temperature was obtained to find the weight loss due to exposure at the relevant elevated temperature. The reaction phases of OPC and QFS-GPC specimens were identified by X-ray diffraction test.

3. Results and Discussion

The results and discussion of the present research study include the fresh property, i.e., workability, the mechanical properties, i.e., compressive, split tensile, flexural strength, residual compressive strength, and weight loss, and the X-ray diffractions of the OPC and QFS-GPC specimens are described.

3.1. Workability

GPC has sticky characteristics due to the high viscosity of the SH and SS solutions [11]. Therefore, the workability of the GPC mixes is generally lower than the conventional OPC mixes. The GPC mixes can be compacted well by using vibrators, even for the concrete with relatively low slump values. Therefore, keeping in view the compaction conditions, GPC can be classified into three categories depending upon the slump values achieved, i.e., highly workable, medium workable, and low workable [21]. The GPC mixture is considered highly workable when it achieves a workability of 90 mm and over. When the workability of the GPC mixture is between 50 mm and 89 mm, it is considered as medium workable, while slump values below 50 mm are classified as low workable. In the present study, a medium workable mix (of slump value between 50 and 89 mm) was considered as the target slump. It can be observed from Figure 3 that the slump values of the control mix samples have an average value of 85 mm. The average slump values of the GPC-A, GPC-B, GPC-C, GPC-D, and GPC-E mixes were observed as 75 mm, 75 mm, 80 mm, 73 mm, and 70 mm, respectively. It was observed during the test that the workability of QFS-GPC decreased gradually by increasing the replacement levels of QRD from 5% to 20%. Therefore, the target slump values (medium workability [21]) of the mix groups were achieved by gradually increasing dosages of SP from GPC-A to GPC-E as mentioned in Table 5 while keeping the amount of water added the same in all the QFS-GPC mixes. It was also confirmed from the previous studies that the use of QRD up to 50% as replacement of sand in both OPC and GPC mixes reduced the workability [24]. In QFS-GPC mixes, the incorporation of QRD has negative effect on the workability. It results in decreasing the workability of the mix considerably, and handling become difficult during the placement of fresh QFS-GPC by incorporation of QRD. Hence, to attain the medium workability standard [21], extra water and superplasticizer were used.
Figure 3. The slump values of control (OPC) and QFS-GPC mixes to the target slump (medium workable) after the addition of the varying amount of SP.

3.2. Compressive Strength

Compressive strength is one of the significant properties of concrete, which is linked with other properties as well. It is generally used to ascertain the mechanical strength and durability-related characteristics of concrete structural elements. The compressive strength tests were carried out on cubical specimens (150 x 150 x 150 mm) at the ages of 7, 28, and 56 days using a universal testing machine of 4000 kN capacity following BS EN 12390-3:2019 [39]. From Figure 4, it can be observed that there was an increase in the compressive strength in all the mix samples from 7 to 28 and 56 days. The replacement of QRD with SG up to 15% (GPC-D) has shown the maximum overall strength of 33.55 MPa at 56 days. However, the compressive strength was decreased when the QRD content was further increased from 15% to 20%, i.e., in the GPC-E mix, which showed 22.77 MPa strength at 56 days, nearly 24% and 32% less than the control (OPC) and GPC-D mixes, respectively. For the control (OPC) mix, increments of 23% and 19% in the compressive strength were observed from 7 to 28 and 28 to 56 days, respectively, with an overall increase in the strength of 46% from 7 to 56 days. Meanwhile, in GPC-A, GPC-B, GPC-C, GPC-D, and GPC-E, increments of 40%, 32%, 33%, 23%, and 34%, respectively, were observed in the strength from 7 to 28 days, with an overall increase of 49%, 48%, 47%, 31%, and 57%, respectively, from 7 to 56 days under ambient curing conditions. The target compressive strength of 30 MPa (of control OPC concrete specimen) was accomplished by GPC-B (30.44 MPa), GPC-C (32.22 MPa), and GPC-D (33.55 MPa) with 50% FA and SG/QRD contents of 45/5%, 40/10%, and 35/15%, respectively. It can be observed that the replacement of SG beyond 15% with QRD has a negative effect on the compressive strength of QFS-GPC. Several additions/replacements in geopolymer concrete can be used to enhance its mechanical properties. Melamine fibers were used in the literature shows around 10% enhancement in strength from 5% fiber addition [43].
Figure 4. The compressive strength values of control (OPC) and QFS-GPC samples at 7, 28, and 56 days.

The increase in the strength can be attributed to the content of calcium oxide [44]. The contents of CaO in FA, SG, and QRD used in present research study are 2%, 37.33%, and 47.13%, respectively (Table 1). There is a significant role of CaO content in the polymerization process of GPC mixtures. The CaO content aids in accelerating the polymerization process at ambient as well as at low temperatures [45,46]. In the present study, the CaO contents were increased with the replacement of SG by QRD, which has the maximum CaO content (47.13%) among all the source or binder materials. Therefore, the addition of CaO (by replacing SG with QRD) in the GPC matrix increased the compressive strength [46] due to the pore size reduction of specimens. However, beyond the 15% replacement of SG by QRD, a significant reduction in strength was observed. This reduction in the compressive strength can be due to excess of CaO contents in QRD and the partial hydration of CaO in the alkaline medium to form Ca(OH)₂ [45] and incomplete geopolymerization [46,47]. This trend of decrease in strength can be due to the addition of extra water and superplasticizer to maintain workability.

3.3. Splitting Tensile Strength

Splitting tensile strength is an engineering property of concrete that is used in design features of construction elements such as those associated with the beginning and propagation of cracks, anchorage, and shear strength in structural members [3]. The splitting tensile strength not only represents the true tensile strength but also depicts the failure patterns of specimens under the action of loads (splitting load). The tensile strength test was carried out following ASTM C496/C496M-17 [40] on cylindrical specimens (150 × 300 mm) at the ages of 7, 28, and 56 days after casting, and results are shown in Figure 5.

It can be observed from the figure that the tensile strength is increasing for QFS-GPC samples until 15% replacement of SG by QRD (GPC-D); while a further increase in QRD, i.e., 20% (GPC-E), showed a decrease in tensile strength. From the test results at 7 days, the specimens of OPC, GPC-A, GPC-B, GPC-C, GPC-D, and GPC-E depicted 1.57 MPa, 1.45 MPa, 1.49 MPa, 1.56 MPa, 1.66 MPa, and 1.09 MPa split tensile strengths, respectively. Only the samples of GPC-D had shown higher early (7 days) and later age (28 and 56 days) tensile strengths than the OPC control specimens. The 7 days tensile strengths of GPC-A, GPC-B, GPC-C, and GPC-E are 7.6%, 5%, 1%, and 31%, respectively, lower than the control mix sample, while GPC-D has a 5.7% higher tensile strength than the control specimen at 7 days. However, the tensile strength tests at 28 and 56 days depicted 4.8% and 6.6%, respectively, lower strengths of GPC-D than the control OPC mix, which shows slightly
Figure 5. The splitting tensile strength of control OPC and QFS-GPC samples at 7, 28, and 56 days.

At the age of 28 days, the tensile strength for the control OPC mix and GPC-A to E were observed as 1.98 MPa, 1.75 MPa, 1.82 MPa, 1.88 MPa, 2.08 MPa, and 1.64 MPa, respectively. There was a decrease in the tensile strength of GPC-A to E of 16%, 12.5%, 9.6%, 4.8%, and 21%, respectively, from the strength of the control OPC mix. However, GPC-D has the least difference (less than 5%) from the strength of the OPC specimen. Among the QFS-GPC mixes, the tensile strength of mix GPC-D is 13.14% more than GPC-A. Geopolymer concrete performs better in terms of compressive strength. However, the tensile strength of GPC depends on the initiation of cracks in the matrix. The previous study has reported that geopolymer concrete performs better in terms of tensile strength as compared to the ordinary Portland cement concrete [36,48]. Another study reported that the ACI standard design code better predicts the tensile strength of geopolymer concrete. Use of up to 30% GGBS in geopolymer concrete increased the splitting tensile strength [36]. Similar upward trend in tensile strength in the current study was observed with up to 15%QRD in geopolymer concrete.

At the age of 56 days, the splitting tensile strengths of the control mix and GPC-A to E were observed as 2.27 MPa, 1.93 MPa, 1.97 MPa, 2.03 MPa, 2.12 MPa, and 1.85 MPa, respectively. The tensile strengths of the GPC-A to E mixes were less than the control OPC mix by 15%, 13%, 10.6%, 6.6%, and 18.5%, respectively. There was an improvement in the tensile strength of all the QFS-GPC specimens from 7 to 28 and 56 days; however, the tensile strength has been observed to be less than the conventional concrete specimens at all ages except for GPC-D, which has slightly more strength at 7 days than the control OPC specimen. Furthermore, the trends of increases in tensile strengths are similar to that of the compressive strength results, where up to 15% replacement of SG by QRD has improved the strength. The maximum tensile strength achieved by any QFS-GPC specimen was attained by GPC-D (2.12 MPa), which is slightly less (6.6%) than the control OPC specimen at
56 days. The improvement in the strength of the QFS-GPC specimens at all ages was due to an increase of calcium-bearing mixtures in the dissolution binders, which yielded a reaction product from both SG and FA [49]. The QRD has maximum CaO content (47%) among all the source materials. The increase in strength with increasing the replacement levels of QRD is due to the increased CaO contents which reduce the pore sizes in the geopolymer matrix consequently improving mechanical properties. However, the replacement level of QRD beyond 15% decreased the tensile strength due to the incomplete hydration of CaO contents of QRD present in excess quantity (47%) in the alkaline medium to form Ca(OH)\(_2\) [45]. The tensile strength also reduces due to the deficient geopolymerization process [47].

### 3.4. Flexural Strength

The flexural strength of the OPC and GPC specimens was evaluated by the third point loading test on prism specimens (100 × 100 × 500 mm) following ASTM C78/C78M-21 [41]. It was performed to find the modulus of rupture (MOR) of prism specimens at the age of 28 and 56 days. The shape and texture of binders and aggregates can affect the flexural strength of concrete [28]. Kaosol (2010) reported that the impact of binder and aggregate shape and texture is more obvious in the modulus of rupture test than the cylindrical split tensile or uniaxial compression tests [49]. He ascribed that the presence of stress gradient behavior delays the process of cracking specimens, which leads to the ultimate failure. The results of the flexural strength test of all the groups are shown in Figure 6. The trend of increasing strength observed in the GPC mixes is similar to the compressive and tensile strength results. The flexural strength of the OPC mix is 2.62 MPa at 28 days, while the GPC-A, GPC-B, GPC-C, GPC-D, and GPC-E have 2.45 MPa, 2.53 MPa, 2.64 MPa, 2.87 MPa, and 2.31 MPa, respectively. The control OPC mix has 6.49%, 3.44%, and 11.83% more flexural strength at 28 days than the GPC-A, GPC-B, and GPC-E, respectively, whereas GPC-C and GPC-D have 0.64% and 6.11% more flexural strength than the control OPC mix specimens at 28 days. It can be observed from Figure 6 that the flexural strength of GPC-D is the maximum among all the OPC and GPC mix specimens at 56 days. The flexural strength of the GPC-D mix is 15%, 10%, 7%, and 20% higher than the GPC-A, GPC-B, GPC-C, and GPC-E, respectively. The control OPC mix has 14.41%, 11.03%, 7.63%, and 4.24% higher flexural strength than GPC-E, GPC-A, GPC-B, and GPC-C, respectively.
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**Figure 6.** The flexural strength of the control (OPC) and QFS-GPC specimens at 28 and 56 days.

The replacement of QRD up to 15% has resulted in increasing the flexural strength of all GPC mix specimens. The flexural strength of GPC-B, GPC-C, and GPC-D at 56 days
is 3.81%, 7.62%, and 14.92% higher than the GPC-A (without QRD content). The rough and angular texture crushed particles such as QRD [50] produce the concrete with higher flexural strength than with the rounded shape constituents. The physical and chemical bond developed by the angular shape particles is stronger than the bond developed by the rounded particles [49,51]. Bakri et al. (2013) and Rao et al. (2012) also found that the replacement of QRD with sand increases the strength properties of concrete [52,53]. A reduction in the flexural strength was observed with increasing the QRD content beyond 15% (GPC-E). This decrease in flexural strength is due to the incomplete hydration of excess lime (CaO) contents (present in QRD) in the alkaline medium to make Ca(OH)\(_2\) and an incomplete geopolymrization process [44,45,47].

3.5. Residual Compressive Strength and Weight Loss after Heating to 400 °C and 800 °C

Geopolymers had shown better mechanical properties at lower exposure temperatures. However, the reduction increases after high temperature exposure [54]. For ascertaining properties at elevated temperature, cubic specimens (of dimensions 150 mm × 150 mm × 150 mm) were prepared for each mix type. After 56 days of ambient curing, the specimens were heated in an automatic controlled electric furnace of 1000 °C capacity under two temperature settings, i.e., 400 °C and 800 °C, for 1 h following ASTM E 119-20 [49]. After heating to the required temperature, the specimens were cooled at room temperature. Then, the residual compressive strength was determined following BS EN 12390-3: 2019 [39]. The weight of each cubic specimen before and after exposure to elevated temperature was obtained to find the weight loss due to exposure at the relevant elevated temperature. The residual compressive strength of the test specimens is shown in Figure 7. The results for the specimens heated at 400 °C show that the control OPC mix has 38.40%, 41.55%, 49.71%, 49.79%, and 50.83% more residual compressive strength than GPC-A, GPC-B, GPC-C, GPC-D, and GPC-E, respectively. Among the GPC mixes, GPC-A has 4.95%, 6.98%, 7.09%, and 8.78% higher residual compressive strength than GPC-B, GPC-C, GPC-D, and GPC-E, respectively, at 400 °C. The residual compressive strength of the GPC mixes, i.e., GPC-A, GPC-B, GPC-C, GPC-D, and GPC-E, after heating at 800 °C was further decreased by 14.41%, 12.32%, 3.5%, 2.07%, and 15.9%, respectively, compared to the compressive strength of their respective specimens at 400 °C. The residual compressive strength test results of the specimens exposed to elevated temperature are in agreement with the previous research. The compressive strength significantly decreased after the temperature escalated from 200 to 800 °C. The basalt-reinforced geopolymer foams showed better properties after exposure to high temperature. The compressive strength significantly improved after exposure to temperatures higher than 600 °C [55].

The test results show that strength is decreasing persistently by the replacement of SG with QRD, and the minimum residual strength was observed in the GPC-E specimens with 20% QRD content.

The test results for the weight loss of all types of mixes are shown in Figure 8. It can be observed that the control OPC mix is the most fire-resistant since it has the lowest weight loss among all the mixes. The weight loss in the QFS-GPC mixes was observed to be more with a rise in temperature. Among the QFS-GPC mixes, GPC-A has the lowest weight loss as compared to the other QFS-GPC mixes. Geopolymers revealed the reduction in the mechanical strength when exposed to elevated temperatures [56,57]. It is in agreement with the existing literature. Blended ash geopolymer concrete in the previous research showed a decrease in strength at higher exposure temperatures (400–800 °C). However, the strength increased up to 200. It could be attributed to the stable mix of blended ash geopolymer as compared to the ordinary Portland cement concrete [58]. At 400 °C, the weight loss of the GPC-A specimen was 29.55% higher than the OPC mix. Among the QFS-GPC specimens, the weight loss of GPC-A was 7.27%, 10.45%, 58.64%, and 70% lower than the GPC-B, GPC-C, GPC-D, and GPC-E specimens, respectively. At 800 °C temperature, the weight loss of GPC-A was 20.35% higher than the control mix. The QFS-GPC mixes, GPC-B, GPC-C, GPC-D, and GPC-E have 2.36%, 3.64%, 28.73%, and 33.64% higher weight loss than the
GPC-A specimens, respectively. It can be observed that the weight loss of the QFS-GPC specimens increased with the increase in QRD content from 0% to 20%.
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**Figure 7.** The residual compressive strength of OPC and QFS-GPC specimens after exposure to 400 °C and 800 °C temperatures.

| Mix designation | 400 °C | 800 °C |
|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Control Mix     | 14.44  | 11.82  |
| GPC-A           | 8.88   | 7.6    |
| GPC-B           | 8.44   | 7.4    |
| GPC-C           | 7.26   | 7.2    |
| GPC-D           | 7.25   | 7.1    |
| GPC-E           | 6.0    | 4.14   |

It can be concluded from the above observations that the damage extent and strength reduction appeared to be higher in those specimens with the higher calcium content. The product of CaCO3 decomposition resulted in the rise of volume, initiating the progress of cracks [57]. This phenomenon can be ascribed to two distinct processes occurring in the specimens as the temperature rises, i.e., the strength improvement due to the continuance of the geopolymerization process and strength loss as the thermal conflict of specimens to resist temperature elevation [53,59]. Kong et al (2010) reported that the
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**Figure 8.** The weight loss in OPC and QFS-GPC specimens after exposure to 400 °C and 800 °C temperatures.
thermal variability between the geopolymer matrix and aggregates is the outcome of a heavy loss of geopolymer concrete at high temperatures. The control OPC mix has maximum residual compressive strength among all the mixes. Whereas, GPC-A and GPC-E have the maximum and minimum residual compressive strengths, respectively, among all the QFS-GPC mixes. It is well established from the previous studies that the elevated temperature results in accelerating the bond-breaking process of the alkali-activated geopolymer matrix [60–62]. In the present study, SG and QRD have higher quantities of CaO content, i.e., 37.33% and 47.13%, respectively. It indicates that QRD-incorporated GPC specimens are relatively less fire-resistant.

3.6. X-ray Diffraction of OPC and QFS-GPC Mixes

The XRD results are presented in Figure 9. Figure 9 illustrates the results of the XRD configuration ($2\theta = 10–75^\circ$) of the control (OPC) and QFS-GPC specimens observed after the ambient curing of 28 days. The reactions in OPC and QFS-GPC mixes arise in the exceedingly significant region in a range of $2\theta = 20–30^\circ$. For the sample of the GPC-D mix, the sharp diversions peaks are observed in this region ($2\theta = 20–30^\circ$) as compared to all other trials comprising OPC mix (control mix). These sharp peaks illustrate that the mix GPC-D is highly crystalline than other mixes of the present study. Similarly, the mixes of OPC and GPC-D in a range between $2\theta = 40–50^\circ$ are illustrating the peaks which represent the development of crystalline phases while the samples of other mixes (GPC-A, GPC-B, GPC-C, and GPC-E) represent the formation of amorphous phases. A previous study on the elevated temperature of geopolymer concrete showed semi-crystalline aluminosilicates before and after exposure to 200 °C. The development of crystalline phases was observed in this study, which is in agreement with the previous study [58].

![Figure 9. XRD pattern of OPC and QFS-GPC specimens.](image-url)
The restricted periodicity of particles (atoms) in the range of $2\theta = 60-70^\circ$ also represents in GPC-C, GPC-D, and GPC-E samples due to the presence of QRD contents. So, it can be illustrated from the XRD diffractogram that an increase of QRD contents in all QFS-GPC mixes up to 15% increases the compressive strength due to the development of crystalline phases.

4. Conclusions

This study presented the results of an investigation to observe the influence of incorporating QRD wastes as a binding agent on the fresh and mechanical properties at ambient curing conditions of FA- and SG-blended GPC mixes, which are also exposed to elevated temperatures to observe their residual strength properties. The following conclusions can be drawn from the results of the study:

i. Increasing the QRD replacement resulted in decreasing the workability of the QFS-GPC mixes.

ii. The mechanical strength of the QFS-GPC mixes generally increased by increasing the QRD content from 0% to 15%, while beyond this replacement level, i.e., 20%, strength decreased.

iii. GPC-D specimens prepared with 50% FA, 35% SG, and 15% QRD yielded the maximum compressive strength amongst all the QFS-GPC specimens at 7, 28, and 56 days of ambient curing. The maximum splitting tensile and flexural strength was also observed in GPC-D specimens at ambient curing conditions.

iv. The residual compressive strength of the QFS-GPC mixes decreased with an increase in QRD content from 0% to 20%. The weight loss after heating to elevated temperature is also consistently more in QFS-GPC specimens with increasing QRD content. It can be observed, therefore, that the QFS-GPC mixes have relatively inferior strength performance under elevated temperature as compared to OPC concrete.

v. The XRD results show that mix GPC-D has the maximum strength due to the formation of crystalline phases while in other mixes (OPC, GPC-A, GPC-B, GPC-C, and GPC-E), mostly amorphous phases were identified.

It can be inferred from this study that ternary blended GPC mixes of good quality can be produced at ambient curing conditions by incorporating the recycled QRD wastes. A compressive strength of 20–33.5 MPa can be achieved with 50% FA and by replacing the remaining 50% SG content with 5–20% QRD content. The optimum content of source materials for ternary blended QFS-GPC mixes is 50% FA, 35% SG, and 15% QRD, which can yield a compressive strength of 33.5 MPa, a split tensile strength of 2.12 MPa, and a flexural strength of 3.62 MPa. This optimum mix can be used for cast-in-situ applications at ambient curing conditions; however, the structural member may require fireproofing treatment.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, K.H. and F.B.; methodology, K.H., F.B. and R.M.W.; software, K.H. and M.A.M. and E.E.H.; validation, F.B., M.I and N.A.; formal analysis, K.H. and Z.X.; investigation, K.H. and R.F.T.; data curation, K.H.; writing—original draft preparation, K.H.; writing—review and editing, F.B, M.A. and F.A.; supervision, F.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by [Taif University, Researchers Supporting Project] grant number [TURSP-2020/196].

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All the data is available within this manuscript.

Acknowledgments: Authors would like to acknowledge the finical support provided from Taif University Researchers Supporting Project Number (TURSP-2020/196).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.
References

1. Mohammadhosseini, H.; Yatim, J.M.; Sam, A.R.M.; Awal, A.A. Durability performance of green concrete composites containing waste carpet fibers and palm oil fuel ash. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2017, 144, 448–458. [CrossRef]

2. Asaad, M.A.; Sarbini, N.N.; Sulaiman, A.; Ismail, M.; Huseen, G.F.; Majid, Z.A.; Raja, P.B. Improved corrosion resistance of mild steel against acid activation: Impact of novel Elaeis guineensis and silver nanoparticles. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 2018, 63, 139–148. [CrossRef]

3. Fan, X.; Zhang, M. Behaviour of inorganic polymer concrete columns reinforced with basalt FRP bars under eccentric compression: An experimental study. *Compos. Part B Eng.* 2016, 104, 44–56. [CrossRef]

4. Reed, M.; Lokuge, W.; Karunaseena, W. Fibre-reinforced geopolymer concrete with ambient curing for in situ applications. *J. Mater. Sci.* 2014, 49, 4297–4304. [CrossRef]

5. Deb, P.S.; Nath, P.; Sarker, P.K. The effects of ground granulated blast-furnace slag blending with fly ash and activator content on the workability and strength properties of geopolymer concrete cured at ambient temperature. *Mater. Des.* 2014, 62, 32–39. [CrossRef]

6. Longarini, N.; Crespi, P.G.; Zucca, M.; Giordano, N.; Silvestro, G. The advantages of fly ash use in concrete structures. *Inżynieria Miner.* 2014, 15, 141–145.

7. Bhattacharjee, B. Effect of relative proportion of pozzolana on compressive strength of concrete under different curing conditions. *Int. J. Eng.* 2008, 2, 20.

8. Vance, K.; Kumar, A.; Sant, G.; Neithalath, N. The rheological properties of ternary binders containing Portland cement, limestone, and metakaolin or fly ash. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 2013, 52, 196–207. [CrossRef]

9. Nasser, S.; Kuenzel, C.; Palomo, A.; Fernández-Jiménez, A. High temperature resistance of a very high volume fly ash cement paste. *Cem. Concr. Compos.* 2014, 45, 234–242. [CrossRef]

10. Valencia-Saavedra, W.; de Gutiérrez, R.M.; Gordillo, M. Geopolymeric concretes based on fly ash with high unburned content. *Constr. Build. Mater.* 2018, 165, 697–706. [CrossRef]

11. Fang, G.; Ho, W.K.; Tu, W.; Zhang, M. Workability and mechanical properties of alkali-activated fly ash-slag concrete cured at ambient temperature. *Constr. Build. Mater.* 2018, 172, 476–487. [CrossRef]

12. Palomo, A.; Grutzek, M.W.; Blanco, M.T. Alkali-activated fly ashes: A cement for the future. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 1999, 29, 1323–1329. [CrossRef]

13. Muñiz-Villarreal, M.S.; Manzano-Ramírez, A.; Sampieri-Bulbarela, S.; Gasca-Tirado, J.R.; Reyes-Araiza, J.L.; Rubio-Avalos, J.C.; Pérez-Bueno, J.J.; Apatiga, L.M.; Zaldívar-Cadena, A.; Amígó-Borrás, V. The effect of temperature on the geopolimerization process of a metakaolin-based geopolymer. *Mater. Lett.* 2011, 65, 995–998. [CrossRef]

14. Chindaprasirt, P.; Chareerat, T.; Sirivivatnanon, V. Workability and strength of coarse high calcium fly ash geopolymer. *Cem. Concr. Compos.* 2007, 29, 224–229. [CrossRef]

15. Somna, K.; Jaturapitakkul, C.; Kajitvichyanukul, P.; Chindaprasirt, P. NaOH-activated ground fly ash geopolymer cured at ambient temperature. *Fuel* 2011, 90, 2118–2124. [CrossRef]

16. Kumar, S.; Kumar, R.; Mehrrota, S.P. Influence of granulated blast furnace slag on the reaction, structure and properties of fly ash based geopolymer. *J. Mater. Sci.* 2010, 45, 607–615. [CrossRef]

17. Qing, Y.; Zhan, Z.; Deyu, K.; Rongshen, C. Influence of nano-SiO2 addition on properties of hardened cement paste as compared with silica fume. * Constr. Build. Mater.* 2007, 21, 539–545. [CrossRef]

18. Lloyd, N.; Rangan, V. Geopolymer concrete with fly ash. In Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Sustainable Construction Materials and Technologies, Ancone, Italy, 28–30 June 2010; UWM Center for By-Products Utilization: Milwaukee, WI, USA, 2010; pp. 1493–1504.

19. Reza, S.M.S. Experimental Studies of Strength and Cost Analysis of Mortar Using Bagasse Waste Obtained from Sugarcane Factory of Bangladesh. *J. Environ. Treat. Tech.* 2019, 7, 300–305.

20. Dehwah, H.A.F. Corrosion resistance of self-compacting concrete incorporating quarry dust powder, silica fume and fly ash. *Constr. Build. Mater.* 2012, 37, 277–282. [CrossRef]

21. Felekoğlu, B.; Tosun, K.; Baradan, B.; Alton, A.; Uyulgan, B. The effect of fly ash and limestone fillers on the viscosity and compressive strength of self-compacting repair mortars. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 2006, 36, 1719–1726. [CrossRef]
28. Meisuh, B.K.; Kankam, C.K.; Buabin, T.K. Effect of quarry rock dust on the flexural strength of concrete. *Case Stud. Constr. Mater.* 2018, 8, 16–22. [CrossRef]

29. Ilangovana, R.; Mahendranada, N.; Nagamanib, K. Strength and durability properties of concrete containing quarry rock dust as fine aggregate. *ARPN J. Eng. Appl. Sci.* 2008, 3, 20–26.

30. Ortega-Zavalazo, D.E.; Santana-Carrillo, J.L.; Buriaga-Díaz, O.; Escalante-García, J.I. An initial study on alkali activated limestone binders. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 2019, 120, 267–278. [CrossRef]

31. Hake, S.L.; Damgir, R.M.; Patankar, S.V. Temperature effect on lime powder-added geopolymer concrete. *Adv. Civ. Eng.* 2018, 2018, 6519754. [CrossRef]

32. Saavedra, W.G.V.; de Gutiérrez, R.M. Performance of geopolymer concrete composed of fly ash after exposure to elevated temperatures. *Constr. Build. Mater.* 2017, 154, 229–235. [CrossRef]

33. Husein, G.F.; Sam, A.R.M.; Mirza, J.; Tahir, M.M.; Asaad, M.A.; Islam, M.; Shah, K.W. Waste ceramic powder incorporated alkali activated mortars exposed to elevated Temperatures: Performance evaluation. *Constr. Build. Mater.* 2018, 187, 307–317. [CrossRef]

34. ASTM. Standard Specification for Slag Cement for Use in Concrete and Mortars; ASTM: Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2012.

35. ASTM Committee C-09 on Concrete and Concrete Aggregates. Standard Specification for Coal Fly Ash and Raw or Calcined Natural Pozzolan for Use in Concrete; ASTM: Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2013.

36. Al-Majidi, M.H.; Lampropoulos, A.; Cundy, A.; Meikle, S. Development of geopolymer mortar under ambient temperature for in-situ applications. *Constr. Build. Mater.* 2016, 120, 198–211. [CrossRef]

37. ASTM Committee. Standard Test Method for Sieve Analysis of Fine and Coarse Aggregates; 136-06 (2006); ASTM: Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2006.

38. ASTM Committee C43. Standard Test Method for Slump of Hydraulic-Cement Concrete; ASTM: Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2012.

39. British Standard. *Testing Hardened Concrete. Making and Curing Specimens for Strength Tests*; 12390-2, 2009; British Standard: London, UK, 2009; pp. 1–12.

40. ASTM Committee. Standard Test Method for Splitting Tensile Strength of Cylindrical Concrete Specimens; C496/C496M-11; ASTM: Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2011.

41. ASTM Committee. Standard Test Method for Flexural Strength of Concrete (Using Simple Beam with Third-Point Loading); ASTM: Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2020.

42. ASTM International. *ET19-20 Standard Test Methods for Fire Tests of Building Construction and Materials*; ASTM: Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2020.

43. Kozub, B.; Bazan, P.; Mierzwiński, D.; Kornijejenko, K. Fly-Ash-Based Geopolymers Reinforced by Melamine Fibers. *Materials* 2021, 14, 400. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

44. Temuujin, J.V.; Van Riessen, A.; Williams, R. Influence of calcium compounds on the mechanical properties of fly ash geopolymer pastes. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2009, 167, 82–88. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

45. Lee, W.K.W.; Van Deventer, J.S. The effect of ionic contaminants on the early-age properties of alkali-activated fly ash-based cements. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 2002, 32, 577–584. [CrossRef]

46. Temuujin, J.; Van Riessen, A. Effect of fly ash preliminary calcination on the properties of geopolymer. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2009, 164, 634–639. [CrossRef]

47. Dutta, D.; Ghosh, S. Effect of lime stone dust on geopolimerisation and geopolymeric structure. *IJETAE* 2012, 2, 50–57.

48. Zhang, H.Y.; Kodur, V.; Wu, B.; Cao, L.; Wang, F. Thermal behavior and mechanical properties of geopolymer mortar after exposure to elevated temperatures. *Constr. Build. Mater.* 2016, 109, 17–24. [CrossRef]

49. Kaosol, T. Reuse water treatment sludge for hollow concrete block manufacture. *Energy Res. J.* 2010, 1, 131–134. [CrossRef]

50. Ibraheem, M.; Butt, F. Fresh and Mechanical Properties of Ambient Cured Terrary Blended Geopolymer Concrete Reinforced with Steel Fibers. In Proceedings of the 2nd Conference on Sustainability in Civil Engineering, Jamshoro, Pakistan, 5–7 December 2019.

51. Mehta, P.K.; Monteiro, P.J. Concrete: Microstructure, Properties, and Materials; McGraw-Hill Publishing: New York, NY, USA, 2006.

52. Rao, K.B.; Desai, V.B.; Mohan, D.J. Experimental investigations on mode II fracture of concrete with crushed granite stone fine aggregate replacing sand. *Mater. Res.* 2012, 15, 41–50. [CrossRef]

53. Bakri, A.; Mustafa, A.M.; Norazian, M.N.; Mohamed, M.; Kamardin, H.; Ruzaidi, C.M.; Liyana, J. An initial study on alkali activated limestone aggregate replacing sand. *Mater. Res.* 2013, 421, 390–394. [CrossRef]

54. Luhar, S.; Nicolaides, D.; Luhar, I. Fire Resistance Behaviour of Geopolymer Concrete: An Overview. *Buildings* 2021, 11, 82. [CrossRef]

55. Le, V.S.; Louda, P.; Tran, H.N.; Nguyen, P.D.; Bakalova, T.; Ewa Buczkosowa, K.; Dufkova, I. Study on Temperature-Dependent Properties and Fire Resistance of Metakaolin-Based Geopolymer Foams. *Polymers* 2020, 12, 2994. [CrossRef]

56. Chithambaram, S.J.; Kumar, S.; Prasad, M. Thermo-mechanical characteristics of geopolymer mortar. *Constr. Build. Mater.* 2019, 213, 100–108. [CrossRef]

57. Yang, Z.; Mocadlo, R.; Zhao, M.; Sisson Jr, R.D.; Tao, M.; Liang, J. Preparation of a geopolymer from red mud slurry and class F fly ash and its behavior at elevated temperatures. *Constr. Build. Mater.* 2019, 221, 308–317. [CrossRef]

58. Hussin, M.; Bhutta, M.; Azreen, M.; Ramadhanhaysah, P.; Mirza, J. Performance of blended ash geopolymer concrete at elevated temperatures. *Mater. Struct.* 2015, 48, 709–720. [CrossRef]

59. Adetayo, O.A.; Dahunsi, B.I.O. Charring Rate Characteristics of Some Selected Southern Nigeria Structural Wood Species Based On Their Fire Resistance Ability. *Acta Tech. Corviniensis Bull. Eng.* 2018, 11, 91–96.
60. Ismail, M.; Ismail, M.E.; Muhammad, B. Influence of elevated temperatures on physical and compressive strength properties of concrete containing palm oil fuel ash. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2011**, *25*, 2358–2364. [CrossRef]

61. Roviello, G.; Ricciotti, L.; Ferone, C.; Colangelo, F.; Tarallo, O. Fire resistant melamine based organic-geopolymer hybrid composites. *Cem. Concr. Compos.* **2015**, *59*, 89–99. [CrossRef]

62. Waqas, R.M.; Butt, F.; Zhu, X.; Jiang, T.; Tufail, R.F. A Comprehensive Study on the Factors Affecting the Workability and Mechanical Properties of Ambient Cured Fly Ash and Slag Based Geopolymer Concrete. *Appl. Sci.* **2021**, *11*, 8722. [CrossRef]