Impact of Three-Dimensional Surgical Simulation on Pancreatic Surgery
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Abstract

Background/Aims: Anatomical variations are frequently encountered during hepato-biliary-pancreatic surgeries, requiring surgeons to have a precise understanding of the surgical anatomy in order to perform a safe surgery. We evaluated the impact of novel three-dimensional (3D) surgical simulation on pancreatic surgeries to enhance surgical residents’ understanding.

Methodology: Between January 2013 and May 2014, 61 preoperative 3D surgical simulations were performed. The consistency (0–10, with 10 representing 100% consistency) among the 15 surgical residents’ anatomical drawings from multidetector computed tomography images and the simulated 3D images by SYNAPSE VINCENT® was assessed. We divided the surgical residents into two groups – first- to fifth-year postgraduate doctors (group A) and sixth- to tenth-year postgraduate doctors (group B) – and compared the self-assessment scores between these two groups.

Results: In terms of the self-assessment scores, a statistically significant difference was observed between the two groups (p < 0.001).

Conclusions: In this study, 3D surgical simulation was useful for preoperative assessments prior to pancreatic surgery, especially in younger postgraduate surgeons.
dimensional (3D) anatomical relationships among these entities. In the past, surgeons assessed the consistency between the multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) images obtained before surgery and the actual anatomy observed during surgery. However, surgical residents often experience difficulties in accurately constructing the anatomy from two-dimensional MDCT images. The development of recent imaging technology, such as 3D reconstruction software, aids in easy recognition of accurate 3D images. We focused on the use of 3D surgical simulations to share accurate information with the entire surgical staff, including assistants, and to recognize precise surgical anatomy [3–7].

Since 2010, we have constructed 3D images from MDCT datasets of patients prior to hepatic resection and shared the images with the surgical staff [8, 9]. Furthermore, we were the first to develop novel 3D models by integrating MDCT and magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) images, and we have extended the use of this simulation to biliary-pancreatic surgeries [3, 4, 10]. We evaluated the impact of novel 3D surgical simulation on pancreatic surgeries including pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) and distal pancreatectomy (DP) to enhance surgical residents’ understanding.

**Methodology**

**Evaluation of 3D Images for Pancreatic Surgery**

Sixty-one patients underwent pancreatectomy between January 2013 and May 2014. Preoperative 3D surgical simulations and navigation were performed. First, the 15 surgical residents (4 in their third postgraduate year, 4 in their fourth, 3 in their sixth, and 4 in their seventh) drew 3D image sketches from MDCT images. Furthermore, to evaluate the differences between the anatomical drawings that the surgical residents sketched and the simulated 3D images based on the number of years of postgraduate experience, self-drawn sketches based exclusively on the MDCT images were compared with 3D simulated images in the preoperative conferences, which is supported by our Department. Finally, self-assessment scores, indicating the differences between the 3D images wherein a 10 on a 10-point scale indicated 100% consistency, were determined using questionnaires (Appendix). A total of 181 self-assessment questionnaire responses from all surgical residents were obtained. To assess whether the difference of the self-assessment scores was influenced by the number of postgraduate years, we divided the surgical residents into two groups – first- to fifth-year postgraduate doctors (group A) and sixth- to tenth-year postgraduate doctors (group B) – and compared the self-assessment scores between these two groups. When drawing their sketches, the surgical residents were instructed to pay particular attention to the following points: (a) the route of the arterial system, especially the hepatic artery, the splenic artery, and the divergent patterns of the inferior pancreaticoduodenal artery (IPDA); (b) the route of the venous system, especially the left gastric vein, the inferior mesenteric vein, and the divergent patterns of the gastro-colic trunk; and (c) the tumor location and dissection line of the pancreas. Two or more senior surgeons assessed the reproducibility of the sketches and questionnaire scores. The participants of the conference include surgical staff (including young surgeons), senior surgeons (including those who are specializing in pancreatic surgery), and medical students. The self-assessment by the young surgeons for the 3D anatomical structure was performed as a part of the preoperative conference.

First, the consistency between the surgical residents’ 3D drawing and the simulated 3D image was discussed by the participants. Thereafter, the young surgeons were asked to complete the questionnaire about the consistency between their 3D drawings and the simulated 3D images. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tsukuba University Hospital.

**3D Images Used in the Present Study**

We used the SYNAPSE VINCENT® medical imaging system (Fujifilm Medical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) to construct 3D images from MDCT images. We also developed 3D images by integrating MDCT and MRCP images to produce accurate preoperative anatomical images (Fig. 1). By integrating these two images, we were able to understand the anatomical relationships among the bile duct and the pancreatic duct, the vascular components, i.e. the hepatic artery and portal vein, and the parenchymal organs of the pancreas and liver. A preoperative conference based the 3D images also enabled the preoperative sharing of 3D anatomical images with the surgical staff [3, 4, 10].
Surgical Procedures

The surgical procedures depend on the pancreatic location of the primary tumor. Among the 61 patients, 49 underwent subtotal stomach-preserving PD and modified Child’s reconstruction [11, 12]. The remaining 12 patients underwent DP. Systemic regional lymphadenectomy was performed in all cancer patients: the lymph nodes included in the dissection were those in the hepatoduodenal ligament, the posterior pancreaticoduodenal nodes, and the nodes along the common hepatic artery. In a case performed with 3D surgical simulation and navigation, the surgical team observed the preoperative simulated 3D image on a large display during the surgery. Therefore, the surgical team was able to communicate and discuss the critical points of the surgical procedure. All surgical procedures were performed under the supervision of one or two senior pancreatic surgeons.

Statistical Analyses

The correlation of the outcomes for surgeries performed prior to and after the adoption of 3D surgical simulations was analyzed using the χ² test or Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS (version 21; IBM, Armonk, NY, USA), and p values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Patient Characteristics

The characteristics of 61 patients who were subject to preoperative 3D surgical simulations and navigation are presented in Table 1. The mean age was 68 years. There were 34 men and 27 women. In total, 24 patients were diagnosed with pancreatic cancer, 13 patients with intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm, 11 patients with biliary cancer, 4 patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor, 2 patients with duodenal cancer, and 7 patients with another diagnosis. Forty-nine patients received PD, and 12 patients received DP. Portal vein resection was performed in 5 patients. The mean value of the main duct diameter was 3.19 mm.

Questionnaires for 3D Simulation Images

The overall mean score of all 181 self-assessment questionnaire responses was 5.33. The mean scores were 4.29 ± 2.11 (n = 85) and 7.45 ± 1.45 (n = 96) for surgical residents in groups A and B, respectively. A statistically significant difference was observed between the two groups (p < 0.001) (Fig. 2).
Discussion

In this study, the questionnaire survey revealed that the surgical residents’ preoperative understanding of the anatomical MDCT images differed from the simulated 3D images by 30–60%, and that the 3D surgical simulations were helpful for the surgical residents to understand anatomical images. Particularly, the most error-prone anatomical structure was the route of the arterial system, especially the hepatic artery. Therefore, we discussed the consistency between the surgical residents’ 3D drawings and the 3D model in preoperative conference. We assumed that this discussion improved the self-constructed anatomical images created by the surgical residents. Based on the assessments stratified by the number of postgraduate years of experience, a tendency in which consistent scores increased as the postgraduate years advanced was noted. This result indicated that surgical experience is necessary for young residents to visualize the 3D anatomical structures from MDCT images. The improved understanding of the 3D anatomical images and the sharing of these images with the surgical staff was thought to contribute to reductions in operation time and intraoperative blood loss as well as the mastery of surgical techniques and advances in surgical instruments [5–7]. Furthermore, we assumed that the present 3D model was especially useful

Table 1. Characteristics of the patients who underwent 3D surgical simulation and navigation (n = 61)

| Characteristic                        | Group A | Group B | p       |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Age, years                           | 68 ± 12.4 | |         |
| Males/females                        | 34/27       | |         |
| Primary disease                      |         |         |         |
| Pancreatic cancer                    | 24       | | < 0.001 |
| IPMN                                 | 13       | |         |
| Biliary cancer                       | 11       | |         |
| Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor      | 4        | |         |
| Duodenal cancer                      | 2        | |         |
| Others                               | 7        | |         |
| Surgical procedure                   |         |         |         |
| Pancreaticoduodenectomy              | 49       | |         |
| Distal pancreatectomy                | 12       | |         |
| Portal vein resection                | 5        | |         |
| Main pancreatic duct diameter        | 3.19 ± 2.01 | |         |

Data are presented as n or mean ± SD. 3D, three-dimensional; IPMN, intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm.

Fig. 2. The self-assessment scores between the self-constructed 3D images and the simulated 3D images among surgical residents. A statistically significant difference was observed between the two groups.
for cancer surgery, which required systemic regional lymphadenectomy. Improving the preoperative understanding of the 3D anatomic images, including the blood vessels and organs, as well as sharing the images with the surgical staff might contribute to safer and more radical cancer surgery.

The assessment method used in the present study (i.e., self-assessment by using a questionnaire) might be considered subjective. However, this method (i.e., repeated self-assessment by 15 young unskilled surgeons with regard to the 3D anatomical structure) was more appropriate for the evaluation of the contributions of 3D surgical simulation to the anatomical understanding than the assessment performed by skilled experts. In addition, this assessment method was also useful in the training of young surgeons, considering that surgical training is one of our missions other than ensuring safe surgery. In particular, pancreatic surgery requires surgeons to have a precise understanding of the surgical anatomy. It is difficult for young surgeons to have a precise anatomical knowledge of the region because they do not have sufficient surgical experience. We assumed that their 3D drawings from the MDCT and the self-assessment questionnaire after preoperative conference with reconstructed 3D images contributed to teaching the actual 3D anatomical relationships during pancreatic surgery.

Recent developments in MDCT technology, which provide rapidly acquired multiphase datasets in the early/delayed phase, allow for the continuous acquisition of the CT view. In 2001, Kamel et al. [13] reported the reconstruction of 3D images for surgical planning in potential donors, which were evaluated for adult living right lobe liver transplantation. Many institutes in Japan began to construct 3D images from MDCT datasets of patients undergoing hepatic resection to facilitate surgical planning and allow for the sharing of the complicated anatomical images with the surgical staff [8, 9, 14, 15]. However, in biliary and pancreatic surgeries, information regarding the bile and pancreatic ducts is essential, but the 3D simulations from MDCT images appeared to be insufficient. We originally developed 3D images by integrating MDCT and MRCP images to produce accurate preoperative anatomical images, and we applied this method for the depiction of hepatic anatomy prior to hepato-biliary-pancreatic surgery [10]. By integrating these two images, it is possible to better understand the anatomical relationships among the bile or pancreatic duct arrangements, vascular components, i.e. hepatic artery and portal vein, and the parenchymal organs, i.e. pancreas and liver. Moreover, preoperative sharing of the anatomical 3D images with the surgical staff became possible.

In conclusion, 3D surgical simulation was useful for understanding and sharing surgical information about pancreatic surgery, especially in younger postgraduate surgeons. We propose the use of 3D images as a new modality for preoperative assessment before pancreatic surgery. The present study has limitations. Because this was a single-center study with a relatively small patient cohort, these results will need to be prospectively confirmed by additional multicenter large-scale studies.
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Appendix

Self-Assessment Questionnaire

Please indicate your postgraduate year ____

In terms of the three points below, please indicate the anatomical differences between the 3D images and your self-drawn 3D image sketches on a 10-point scale, with 10 indicating 100% anatomical consistency.

1. The route of the arterial system, especially the hepatic artery, the splenic artery, and the divergent patterns of the inferior pancreaticoduodenal artery (IPDA)
   (0 • 1 • 2 • 3 • 4 • 5 • 6 • 7 • 8 • 9 • 10)

2. The route of the venous system, especially the left gastric vein, the inferior mesenteric vein, and the divergent patterns of the gastro-colic trunk
   (0 • 1 • 2 • 3 • 4 • 5 • 6 • 7 • 8 • 9 • 10)

3. The tumor location and dissection line of the pancreas
   (0 • 1 • 2 • 3 • 4 • 5 • 6 • 7 • 8 • 9 • 10)
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