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Abstract
Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV), a member of the Phlebovirus genus in the Bunyaviridae family, is transmitted by mosquitoes and infects both humans and domestic animals, particularly cattle and sheep. Since primary RVFV strains must be handled in BSL-3+ or BSL-4 facilities, a RVFV cell–cell fusion assay will facilitate the investigation of RVFV glycoprotein function under BSL-2 conditions. As for other members of the Bunyaviridae family, RVFV glycoproteins are targeted to the Golgi, where the virus buds, and are not efficiently delivered to the cell surface. However, overexpression of RVFV glycoproteins using an alphavirus replicon vector resulted in the expression of the glycoproteins on the surface of multiple cell types. Brief treatment of RVFV glycoprotein expressing cells with mildly acidic media (pH 6.2 and below) resulted in rapid and efficient syncytia formation, which we quantified by β-galactosidase α-complementation. Fusion was observed with several cell types, suggesting that the receptor(s) for RVFV is widely expressed or that this acid-dependent virus does not require a specific receptor to mediate cell–cell fusion.

Fusion occurred over a broad temperature range, as expected for a virus with both mosquito and mammalian hosts. In contrast to cell fusion mediated by the VSV-G glycoprotein, RVFV glycoprotein-dependent cell fusion could be prevented by treating target cells with trypsin, indicating that one or more proteins (or protein-associated carbohydrate) on the host cell surface are needed to support membrane fusion. The cell–cell fusion assay reported here will make it possible to study the membrane fusion activity of RVFV glycoproteins in a high-throughput format and to screen small molecule inhibitors for the ability to block virus-specific membrane fusion.
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Introduction
Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV) infects both humans and many domesticated animals, causing acute fevers and, sometimes, retinal or hepatic complications with hemorrhagic symptoms (Flick and Boulouy, 2005). Approximately 1–3% of humans that become infected with RVFV die of the disease, with case fatality proportions significantly higher for infected animals. RVFV, which is spread by infected mosquitoes, was first described in Kenya in 1931 and has since been documented throughout much of Africa. In 2000, RVFV spread to the Arabian peninsula, where it caused the first recorded outbreak outside of the African continent (CDC, 2000a, 2000b).

RVFV is a member of the genus Phlebovirus in the family Bunyaviridae. Members of the Bunyaviridae family have a single-stranded, tripartite RNA genome of negative polarity. The medium RNA segment (M segment) encodes the viral glycoproteins, GN and GC, and two non-structural proteins, which are translated as a polyprotein precursor (Schmaljohn, 2001). The glycoproteins GN and GC are sufficient for RVFV entry, which is predicted to employ a class II fusion mechanism that is activated by low pH following endocytosis of the virion (Garry and Garry, 2004; Ronka et al., 1995). The receptor(s) or attachment factors for RVFV are unknown, although the virus infects a wide range of cell types.

Study of the RVFV lifecycle is difficult due to the fact that primary virus strains must be handled in BSL-3+/4 containment facilities. The inherent difficulty of studying aspects of RVFV under these conditions can be avoided if assays are developed that allow for investigation of the viral proteins in a BSL-2
setting. For example, a cell–cell fusion assay using only the glycoproteins GN and GC would make it possible to study the structure and function of these proteins under BSL-2 conditions. While fusion assays for members of the Bunyaviridae family have been described, including La Crosse virus in the genus Orthobunyavirus and Hantaan virus in the genus Hantavirus, no fusion assay has been described for viruses in the genus Phlebovirus (Arikawa et al., 1986; Jacoby et al., 1993; Ogino et al., 2004; Plassmeyer et al., 2005; Pobjecky et al., 1986). One of the difficulties in the development of a cell–cell fusion assay for RVFV is that its glycoproteins are targeted to and retained in the Golgi, where the virus buds. When expressed individually, GN is targeted to the Golgi while GC is retained in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Gerrard and Nichol, 2002; Wasmoen et al., 1988). Thus, GN is necessary for the proper Golgi localization of GC during viral assembly.

To study the fusion activity of the RVFV glycoproteins, we overexpressed GN and GC in hopes of saturating the Golgi retention mechanisms that normally prevent efficient transport to the cell surface. While plasmid vectors did not allow for sufficiently high levels of expression to achieve this goal, expression of the glycoproteins from an alphavirus replicon vector led to readily detectable cell surface expression. We found that brief low pH incubation of multiple cell types expressing the RVFV glycoproteins on their surface resulted in cell–cell fusion. Expression of the omega and alpha subunits of β-galactosidase in the effector and target cells, respectively, made it possible to quantify fusion by measuring enzymatic activity of the α-complemented β-galactosidase (Holland et al., 2004). Cell–cell fusion was induced when cells were incubated at pH 6.2 or below, occurred over a broad range of temperatures, and was dependent upon one or more trypsin-sensitive proteins (or protein-associated carbohydrate) on the surface of target cells. The development of a high-throughput cell–cell fusion assay for RVFV will make it possible to study the structure–function relationships of the viral glycoproteins and to identify host cell factors needed to support RVFV entry.

Results

Expression of RVFV glycoproteins on the cell surface

To study the membrane fusion activity of RVFV glycoproteins in the context of a cell–cell fusion assay, they must first be transported from the Golgi to the cell surface. To achieve this,
we overexpressed the G\textsubscript{N}/G\textsubscript{C} polyprotein from the ZH501 strain of RVFV in HeLa cells either from the eukaryotic expression vector pWRG7077-R4 (Spik et al., 2006) or from VEE or Sindbis-like virus-based replicon vectors (Heise et al., 2003; Thompson et al., 2006) and examined their localization by indirect immunofluorescence microscopy. When the RVFV glycoproteins were expressed from the plasmid vector, they were targeted to the Golgi and were not expressed on the cell surface at levels that could be detected, consistent with other studies (Gerrard and Nichol, 2002; Wasmoen et al., 1988) (Figs. 1A and B). Since proteins that are retained in the Golgi can sometimes be targeted to the cell surface upon overexpression (Bos et al., 1993; Linstedt et al., 1997), we next examined the localization of the RVFV glycoproteins when expressed from the alphavirus vectors. When compared to expression via pWRG7077, we found that both the VEE and Sindbis virus vectors produced high levels of the RVFV glycoproteins as judged by immunoblot analysis (Fig. 1E and data not shown), though G\textsubscript{N} and G\textsubscript{C} were too close in size (55 kDa and 57 kDa, respectively) to clearly resolve. In addition, both G\textsubscript{N} and G\textsubscript{C} were detected on the surface of non-permeabilized cells when expressed via the VEE vector (Figs. 1C and D). Similar results were obtained with the Sindbis-like virus replicon, but because the VEE replicon particles (VRP) could be produced to higher titers, these were used in subsequent experiments.

To quantify the extent to which the RVFV glycoproteins were delivered to the cell surface, 293T/17 cells were transfected with pWRG7077-R4 or infected with VRPs, both expressing strain ZH501 M segment. The next day, the cells were stained with hyperimmune mouse ascitic fluid prepared against RVFV and analyzed by flow cytometry. We found that expression of the RVFV glycoproteins from the pWRG7077-R4 plasmid resulted in low levels of surface expression, while expression via the VRP resulted in much higher levels of surface expression (Fig. 1F). Thus, overexpression of the RVFV glycoproteins by an alphavirus vector results in readily detectable surface expression, perhaps as a result of saturating normal Golgi retention or retrieval mechanisms.

**RVFV cell–cell fusion using replicon vectors**

Since alphavirus replicon vectors expressed RVFV glycoproteins on the surface of infected cells, they were utilized to develop a cell–cell fusion assay. Members of the *Bunyaviridae* family, including *Phleboviruses*, are pH-dependent (Gonzalez-Scarano, 1985; Gonzalez-Scarano et al., 1984; Hacker and Hardy, 1997; Jacoby et al., 1993; Ronka et al., 1995). Therefore, 24 h after infection with VRPs, we briefly incubated cells in a low pH buffer to induce conformational changes in the glycoproteins that might normally occur after endocytosis of the virus. If the glycoproteins undergo the proper conformational changes, the membranes of two adjacent cells may fuse, forming a syncytium. We found that several cell types formed syncytia following expression of the RVFV glycoproteins and brief treatment at pH 5.2, including 293T/17 cells, HeLa cells, FLK cells, Huh-7 and HepG2 liver cells, CHO cells, and Vero cells (Fig. 2 and data not shown). Syncytia formation was not observed when cells were maintained at neutral pH nor was fusion observed when cells infected with a control VRP expressing no glycoproteins (vector 3014) were incubated at either low or neutral pH (Fig. 2). Thus, cell–cell fusion was dependent upon the presence of RVFV glycoproteins at the cell surface as well as upon incubation at mildly acidic pH.

**β-galactosidase α-complementation quantification of cell–cell fusion**

To more rigorously characterize the conditions under which RVFV glycoprotein-mediated fusion occurred, we sought to develop a quantitative cell–cell fusion assay based upon the use of the VEE replicon system and activation of a reporter

![Fig. 2. Low pH-triggered syncytia formation of VEE replicon particle infected cells. Vero, Huh-7 (human hepatoma), or FLK (fetal lamb kidney) cells were infected with either an empty VRP (vector 3014; expresses no glycoproteins) or a VRP expressing the RVFV glycoproteins as indicated in the figure. Results with the negative control empty vector are shown only for the Vero cells. After 24 h infection, the cells were treated with buffer at pH 7.4 or pH 5.2 for 1 min then incubated with DMEM 10% FBS for 2 h. The cells were then fixed with methanol, stained with Giemsa, and photographs taken. Arrows indicate syncytia.](image-url)
Target 293T/17 cells were transfected with pCMV pH-independent virus (Bossart et al., 2002; Tamin et al., 2002). Assayed.

protein synthesis shut-off such that fusion could still be dependent virus) (White et al., 1981), and Nipah virus (NiV, a virus infecting those from RVFV, vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV, a pH-dependent) constructs or infected with VRPs expressing the RVFV glycoproteins or no glycoproteins (empty vector). The cells were treated with buffer at pH 5.2 or 7.4 for 10 min. Cells were then incubated 2.5 h with media at 37 °C, after which the cells were lysed and β-galactosidase activity measured. Each experiment was completed in triplicate; each envelope was normalized to 100% at pH 5.2, with VRP empty vector normalized to RVFV fusion at pH 5.2. Bars indicate standard error of the mean.

gene after syncytia formation. However, due to the ability of alphavirus replicons to inhibit host protein synthesis after infection (Kaariainen and Ranki, 1984), multiple approaches that relied upon the expression of a reporter gene subsequent to cell–cell fusion failed. Therefore, we explored the use of the β-galactosidase α-complementation-based fusion assay. In this assay, the alpha subunit of β-galactosidase is expressed in one cell population, while the omega subunit is expressed in another. If fusion between the cell populations occurs after they are mixed, the alpha and omega subunits (produced prior to cell–cell fusion) assemble and functional β-galactosidase is formed, the activity of which can be readily quantified following cell lysis and addition of a chemiluminescent substrate (Holland et al., 2004). By expressing the relatively stable omega subunit in 293T/17 cells 24 h prior to infection with an alphavirus replicon vector, we reasoned that sufficient protein would remain following alphavirus-induced host–cell protein synthesis shut-off such that fusion could still be assayed.

The β-galactosidase α-complementation assay was used to quantify fusion induced by several viral glycoproteins, including those from RVFV, vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV, a pH-independent virus) (White et al., 1981), and Nipah virus (NiV, a pH-independent virus) (Bossart et al., 2002; Tamin et al., 2002). Target 293T/17 cells were transfected with pCMVα (Holland et al., 2004) expressing the alpha subunit of β-galactosidase, while 293T/17 effector cells were transfected with pCMVω, expressing the omega subunit of β-galactosidase. In addition, effector cells were cotransfected with plasmids expressing either the VSV or NiV glycoproteins. Alternatively, effector cells were infected with VRPs expressing the RVFV glycoproteins or a vector that did not express any glycoprotein 24 h after transfection of the cells with the pCMVα plasmid. The effector and target cells were mixed 48 h after transfection, allowed to interact for 45–60 min, and then incubated for 10 min at the indicated pH (Fig. 3). Two and one-half hours later, the cells were lysed and β-galactosidase activity measured.

We found that cells expressing the RVFV glycoproteins fused at pH 5.2, with relative light units (RLU) of 60–100 typically being measured. In contrast, only background levels (typically <10 light units) of β-galactosidase activity were measured at pH 7.4. As expected, cells expressing the VSV-G glycoprotein fused efficiently at acid pH (typically 100–150 RLU), while cells expressing the NiV glycoproteins fused at both pH 7.4 and pH 5.2 (typically 350–500 RLU). Significant levels of β-galactosidase activity were not obtained when cells infected with a VRP that did not express a viral glycoprotein were used. We optimized the conditions needed for RVFV glycoprotein-mediated membrane fusion by varying the length of low-pH treatment and the length of time allowed for syncytia formation/α-complementation. We determined that RVFV fusion was optimal with low pH treatment incubation for

Fig. 3. β-galactosidase α-complementation quantification of cell–cell fusion. 293T/17 target cells transfected with pCMVα were mixed with 293T/17 effector cells transfected with pCMVω and either transfected with VSV-G or NiV glycoprotein constructs or infected with VRPs expressing the RVFV glycoproteins or no glycoproteins (empty vector). The cells were treated with buffer at pH 5.2 or 7.4 for 10 min. Cells were then incubated 2.5 h with media at 37 °C, after which the cells were lysed and β-galactosidase activity measured. Each experiment was completed in triplicate; each envelope was normalized to 100% at pH 5.2, with VRP empty vector normalized to RVFV fusion at pH 5.2.

Bars indicate standard error of the mean.

Fig. 4. pH and temperature dependence of RVFV glycoprotein induced cell–cell fusion. 293T/17 target cells transfected with pCMVα were mixed with 293T/17 effector cells transfected with pCMVω and infected with either an empty VRP vector or the VRP vector expressing the RVFV glycoproteins. In panel A, the cells were treated with buffer at the specified pH for 10 min. The cells were then incubated 2.5 h with media at 37 °C at pH 7.4, after which β-galactosidase activity was measured. In panel B, the temperature dependence of cell–cell fusion was determined by mixing the effector and target cells for 1 h at 37 °C, then incubating them at the indicated temperature for an additional 10 min. The cells were then incubated 2.5 h with media at 37 °C at pH 7.4, after which β-galactosidase activity was measured. In panel B, the temperature dependence of cell–cell fusion was determined by mixing the effector and target cells for 1 h at 37 °C, then incubating them at the indicated temperature for an additional 10 min. The cells were then incubated 2.5 h with media at 37 °C at pH 7.4, after which β-galactosidase activity was measured. In panel B, the temperature dependence of cell–cell fusion was determined by mixing the effector and target cells for 1 h at 37 °C, then incubating them at the indicated temperature for an additional 10 min. The cells were then incubated 2.5 h with media at 37 °C at pH 7.4, after which β-galactosidase activity was measured.
17 effector cells transfected with pCMV glycoproteins or no glycoproteins (empty vector). The cells were treated with buffer at pH 5.2 or 7.4 for 10 min. Cells were then incubated 2.5 h with media at 37 °C pH 7.4, after which β-galactosidase activity was measured. Each experiment was completed in triplicate; each envelope was normalized to 100% at pH 5.2, with VRP empty vector normalized to RVFV fusion at pH 5.2. Bars indicate standard error of the mean.

6–10 min and that syncytia formation was easily quantifiable 2.5 h after acid treatment (data not shown).

**pH and temperature dependence of RVFV glycoprotein-mediated membrane fusion**

To determine the pH threshold at which the RVFV glycoproteins mediate membrane fusion, we used the β-galactosidase complementation assay, incubating cells at pH values ranging from pH 5.0 to pH 7.4 for 10 min, prior to a 2.5-hour incubation at neutral pH. The RVFV glycoproteins began to induce cell–cell fusion at pH 6.2, with near maximal fusion being attained at pH 5.0 (Fig. 4A). Fusion activity often decreased below pH 5.0 (data not shown), perhaps due to cytotoxic effects. An empty VRP did not induce fusion at any pH value (Fig. 4A). Each experiment was completed in triplicate, and all of the values were normalized to RVFV induced fusion at pH 5.0. VSV-G was used as a positive control and induced fusion at pH values of less than 6.4, consistent with previously published reports (White et al., 1981) (data not shown).

Since RVFV infects both mammals and mosquitoes, the glycoproteins should induce cell–cell fusion over a range of temperatures. To examine this, the β-galactosidase α-complementation fusion assay was used to quantify fusion at different temperatures, from 4 °C to 37 °C (Fig. 4B). Cells were mixed together at 37 °C for 1 h, and then incubated at the indicated temperature for 10 min. The pH was then adjusted to pH 5.2 at the specified temperature for 10 min, the media was neutralized, and the cells returned to 37 °C 10 min later to ensure that the efficiency of α-complementation at different temperatures was not a consideration for interpretation of the fusion results. We found that RVFV glycoproteins induced cell–cell fusion from room temperature (24 °C) up to 37 °C, with increased fusion at 28 °C, 32 °C and 37 °C. When the experiment was performed at 42 °C, no quantifiable cell–cell fusion was observed (data not shown). The data indicate that RVFV fused at lower temperatures, although the glycoproteins functioned optimally at temperatures from 28 to 37 °C.

**RVFV receptor characterization: trypsin inhibition**

Although the receptor and/or attachment factors are not known for RVFV, the cell–cell fusion assay can be used to begin to understand the interactions between the glycoproteins and the cellular receptor(s) for RVFV. To this end, target cells were treated with trypsin, at 7 μg/μl or 15 μg/μl, to cleave proteins from the target cell surface. RVFV glycoprotein-induced cell–cell fusion was inhibited by trypsin treatment of the target cells, indicating that the receptor(s) for RVFV is trypsin-sensitive (Fig. 5). NiV was used as a positive control since ephrin-B2, the receptor for NiV (Bonaparte et al., 2005; Negrete et al., 2005), is a trypsin-sensitive protein (Bossart et al., 2001; Eaton et al., 2004). As seen in Fig. 5, NiV glycoprotein-induced cell–cell fusion was inhibited at both pH 5.2 and pH 7.4 by trypsin treatment of the target cells. This effect was not the product of nonspecific toxicity of the trypsin treatment because VSV-G-induced cell–cell fusion was not affected, which agrees with previously published reports that the VSV cellular receptor is not protease sensitive (Schlegel et al., 1983) (Fig. 5). Thus, one or more proteins (or protein-associated carbohydrate) on the surface of target cells are needed for RVFV glycoprotein-mediated membrane fusion to occur.

**Discussion**

Relatively little is known about the structure and function of RVFV glycoproteins. While it is evident that GN and GC must attach the virus to the cell surface and mediate membrane fusion, little is known about their oligomeric structures, the roles that GN and GC play in virus entry, or the mechanisms by which antibodies neutralize RVFV. Likewise, the host cell factors needed for RVFV entry are largely uncharacterized, though the broad species and cell tropism of RVFV suggests that it either interacts with a highly conserved receptor or can bind to a variety of receptors or attachment factors.

The greatest stumbling block in developing a cell–cell fusion assay for RVFV is that its glycoproteins, like those of other
Because RVFV infects many cell types, with no known cell refractory to RVFV infection, it is likely that the receptor for RVFV is widespread or that there is no single receptor needed for virus infection. As noted previously, some acid-dependent viruses require specific receptors, whereas others can elicit fusion with artificial membranes at low pH, thus demonstrating that the presence of host cell proteins is not required (Corver et al., 2000; White and Helenius, 1980). To further explore the target cell requirements for RVFV glycoprotein-mediated fusion, we treated target cells with trypsin and found that this largely abolished RVFV-dependent membrane fusion, though it did not affect fusion elicited by VSV-G. This indicates that a host cell surface protein or protein-associated carbohydrate is necessary for RVFV cell–cell fusion, and perhaps for virus infection as well. Currently, we are in the process of analyzing a more extensive panel of proteases for their effects on RVFV fusion.

The fusion mechanism for members of the *Bunyaviridae* family is not known, though modeling studies suggest that these RVFV glycoprotein-induced fusion occurred over a broad temperature range, as might be expected for a virus that replicates in both mammalian and insect hosts. This correlates with data from fusion-from-without assays using La Crosse virus, which induces syncytia formation at temperatures above 25 °C (Pobjecky et al., 1986). Furthermore, the growth of many members of the *Bunyaviridae* family, including Tahyna virus (Danielova, 1975), is decreased at incubation at lower temperatures in cell culture. Experiments examining the susceptibility of *Culex pipiens* and *Aedes fowleri* mosquitoes to RVFV determined that adult mosquitoes held at lower temperatures (13–19 °C) were less susceptible to RVFV infections, which correlates with our data indicating less RVFV-induced fusion at lower temperatures (Brubaker and Turell, 1998; Turell, 1989; Turell et al., 1985); however, there is no evidence that fusion efficiency is the only factor in mosquito susceptibility to RVFV infection.

Inhibition of RVFV-induced cell–cell fusion was attempted using neutralizing anti-RVFV sera; however, the antibodies were unable to block cell–cell fusion. Several reports have shown that some neutralizing anti-RVFV antibodies do not block virus binding to the cell (Besselaar and Blackburn, 1992, 1994), a phenomenon also seen with Dugbe virus, a member of the *Nairovirus* genus (Green et al., 1992). In the context of a cell–cell fusion assay, the presence of antibodies may not completely block the proximity of the overexpressed RVFV glycoproteins to the target cell membrane. Therefore, when the pH is lowered, conformational changes triggered in the glycoproteins may still induce cell–cell fusion even when antibodies are present. Furthermore, a large amount of antibody was necessary for inhibition of the Hantaan virus cell–cell fusion assay (Ogino et al., 2004), indicating inefficient inhibition of cell–cell fusion. This phenomenon has also been seen for other virus families; for example, higher concentrations of entry inhibitors are necessary to block HIV cell–cell fusion when compared to inhibition of infection (Reeves et al., 2005).

Thus, the fact that RVFV glycoproteins induced cell–cell fusion at low pH is not surprising. However, it remains to be determined if RVFV needs other cellular factors in addition to low pH for membrane fusion to occur. For example, the SARS coronavirus (Simmons et al., 2005) and Ebola virus (Chandran et al., 2005) envelope proteins require a low-pH dependent endoproteolytic cleavage event in order for fusion to be elicited, while the ASLV envelope protein must first bind to its specific receptor, which triggers conformational changes in the envelope protein that render it competent to elicit membrane fusion upon subsequent exposure to low pH (Mothes et al., 2000). Since cell surface RVFV glycoproteins were capable of eliciting efficient cell–cell fusion, it is less likely that proteolytic activation of either G\textsubscript{N} or G\textsubscript{C} is a required triggering event, though this possibility cannot be ruled out. Whether binding to a specific receptor might be needed prior to acid treatment is also not known and cannot be addressed at present since the receptor(s) for RVFV is unknown.
viruses employ a class II fusion mechanism, similar to members of the Alphaviridae and Flaviviridae families (Garry and Garry, 2004; Tischler et al., 2005). While there is no specific experimental evidence for this, the pH dependence of the virus and the necessity for both G\textsubscript{N} and G\textsubscript{C} for fusion are consistent with a class II fusion mechanism. The fusion assay described here will allow further investigation of the structural elements of G\textsubscript{C} necessary to induce cell–cell fusion. The development of this quantitative cell–cell fusion assay will make it possible to more fully explore the conditions needed for RVFV-induced membrane fusion, to study the structure–function relationships of the viral glycoproteins, and to screen for small molecules that block RVFV entry or fusion that could be used as antiviral drugs.

Materials and methods

Cell lines

Vero E6 (African green monkey kidney fibroblast (CV-1)), HeLa (human, cervix carcinoma), U87 (human, glioblastoma–astrocytoma), FLK (fetal lamb kidney), CHO (Chinese hamster ovary), MDBK (Madin-Darby bovine kidney), PK13 (porcine fibroblasts), BHK (baby hamster kidney), and 293T/17 (human embryonic kidney) cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Supernatants were harvested at 24 h post-infection or transfection, cell extracts were prepared in 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4, 5 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, and Complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Applied Sciences, Indianapolis, IN). Cell lysates were incubated at 4 °C for 10 min and then centrifuged at 10,000×g for 10 min. The supernatant was mixed with sample buffer (0.08 M Tris–HCl, pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 5% β-mercaptoethanol, 0.005% bromophenol blue) and incubated at 95 °C for 3 min before electrophoresis in Criterion 4–15% Tris–HCl sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Immunoblot analysis was performed using rabbit polyclonal antibodies raised against RVFV peptides in both G\textsubscript{N} and G\textsubscript{C} at residue 374 (CFEHKGQYKTDGQTKRE) and G\textsubscript{C} at residue 976 (CFERGLPQTRNDKTFAAASK) (ProSci, Poway, CA), goat anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Amersham Pharmacia, Buckinghamshire, UK) followed by visualization with SuperSignal West Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate (Pierce Biotechnology, Inc., Rockford, IL).

Localization and surface expression of RVFV glycoproteins

To visualize RVFV proteins on cells transfected with the RVFV M segment, HeLa cells grown on 12 mm glass coverslips (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) in 24 well plates were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Twenty-four hours post-transfection, the cells were fixed using 2% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. Cells were permeabilized with 0.5% TX-100 for 10 min. To prevent nonspecific antibody binding, cells were then incubated with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing 4% FBS for 30 min. The cells were incubated with hyperimmune mouse ascitic fluid (HMAF) against RVFV (generous gift from Dr. Mike Parker at USAMRIID) and sheep anti-TGN46 (Soteco Inc., Raleigh, NC) Golgi antibody diluted 1:250 in PBS 4% FBS for 1 h. A 1:500 dilution of an anti-mouse Alexa-594 secondary antibody (Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA) was used to detect the RVFV antibody, goat anti-sheep Alexa-488 not encode the entire non-structural M segment proteins (Spik et al., 2006). The codon-optimized NiV-F (pcNiV-F\textsubscript{opt}, accession no. AY816748) and NiV-G (pcNiV-G\textsubscript{opt}, accession no. AY816746), a kind gift from Dr. Benhur Lee at the University of California, Los Angeles, were synthesized chemically and subcloned into the pcDNA3.1 mammalian expression vector (Levrey et al., 2005).

Expression of RVFV glycoproteins

To analyze the expression of RVFV glycoproteins in cells infected with the alphavirus replicons, we performed immuno-blotting as previously described (Bertolotti-Ciarlet et al., 2005). Briefly, 293T/17 cells were infected with VEE replicons expressing the RVFV glycoproteins at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 5 or transfected with pWRG7077-R4 using Lipofectamine 2000 following manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA). At 24 h post-infection or transfection, cell extracts were prepared in 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4, 5 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, and Complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Applied Sciences, Indianapolis, IN). Cell lysates were incubated at 4 °C for 10 min and then centrifuged at 10,000×g for 10 min. The supernatant was mixed with sample buffer (0.08 M Tris–HCl, pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 5% β-mercaptoethanol, 0.005% bromophenol blue) and incubated at 95 °C for 3 min before electrophoresis in Criterion 4–15% Tris–HCl sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Immunoblot analysis was performed using rabbit polyclonal antibodies raised against RVFV peptides in both G\textsubscript{N} and G\textsubscript{C} at residue 374 (CFEHKGQYKTDGQTKRE) and G\textsubscript{C} at residue 976 (CFERGLPQTRNDKTFAAASK) (ProSci, Poway, CA), goat anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Amersham Pharmacia, Buckinghamshire, UK) followed by visualization with SuperSignal West Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate (Pierce Biotechnology, Inc., Rockford, IL).
secondary antibody (Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA) was used to detect the Golgi, and the nuclei were stained with DAPI (4′,6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole) at a 1:1000 dilution. The coverslips were mounted onto slides using Fluoromount-G (SouthernBiotech, Birmingham, AL).

To analyze cellular expression of RVFV glycoproteins expressed from alphavirus replicons by indirect immunofluorescence, HeLa cells grown on 12 mm coverslips in a 24 well plate were infected with a VEE replicon particle (VRP) expressing RVFV glycoproteins (Collett et al., 1985) or an empty VRP. The replicons were diluted to an MOI of 5 in 200 μl PBS 1% FBS. After 1 h, 250 μl DMEM 10% FBS was added to each well, and the cells were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C. Cells were fixed and stained as described for transfected cells. Immunofluorescent images were taken on a Nikon E600 microscope at 60× magnification utilizing UV illumination.

To analyze surface expression of RVFV glycoproteins by flow cytometry, 293T/17 cells were transfected with pWRG7077-R4 RVFV M segment ZH501 using Lipofectamine 2000 or infected with the VRP expressing RVFV M segment ZH501 at a MOI of 5 for 24 h. Cells were then surface stained with anti-RVFV mouse HMAF for 1 h on ice then stained with anti-mouse secondary antibody conjugated to phycoerythrin (PE) for 5 min for 24 h. Cells were then incubated with 100 μl DMEM 10% FBS was added to each well, and the cells were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C. Cells were fixed and stained as described for transfected cells. Immunofluorescent images were taken on a Nikon E600 microscope at 60× magnification utilizing UV illumination.

**RVFV syncytia formation fusion assay**

Cells infected with Girdwood (Sindbis-like) or VEE alphavirus replicon particles encoding RVFV M segment strain ZH501, as described above, were treated with 100 μl Earle’s salt solution 20 mM HEPES (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid) at the specified pH for 1 to 10 min at 37 °C 24 h post-infection. 500 μl DMEM 10% FBS was then added, and the cells were incubated for 1–2 h at 37 °C. Cells were then fixed with methanol on ice for 5–7 min and allowed to dry before treatment for 15 min with Giemsa stain (Sigma, Saint Louis, MO) diluted 1:10 in water at room temperature. Images were captured using a Nikon Eclipse TE300 microscope at 40× magnification.

**RVFV β-galactosidase α-complementation quantification**

To quantify the fusion activity of RVFV glycoproteins, we used the β-galactosidase fusion assay as described by Holland et al. using 293T/17 cells. β-galactosidase α-complementation is based on the fact that the β-galactosidase enzyme is a dimer; the dimerization domain (the alpha subunit) can be separated from the rest of the protein (the omega subunit). Target cells were transfected with pCMVα (Holland et al., 2004) expressing the alpha subunit of β-galactosidase, while the effector cells were transfected with pCMVω, expressing the omega subunit of β-galactosidase. As a positive control for pH-dependent fusion, vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein (VSV-G) expressing cells were used; 7 μg pBlueScript-VSV-G was cotransfected with 7 μg pCMVω into effector cells. As a positive control for pH-independent fusion, Nipah virus (NiV) codon optimized F and G protein expressing cells were used; 3.5 μg pcDNA3.1 NiV F, 3.5 μg pcDNA3.1 NiV G, and 7 μg pCMVα were cotransfected into effector cells. For RVFV glycoprotein expressing cells, 293T/17 cells were transfected with 7 μg pCMVω using Lipofectamine 2000. Twenty-four hours post-transfection, the pCMVω transfected cells were infected with VRPs expressing RVFV glycoproteins from the ZH501 M segment or with empty 3014 VRP, as described above. Twenty-four hours post-transfection, all cells were treated with 500 mM sodium butyrate to boost expression of proteins from the pCMV vectors.

Forty-eight hours post-transfection, the cells were resuspended in DMEM, and 2.5×10⁵ cells of targets and effectors were combined (Holland et al., 2004). The mixed cells were incubated for 30 to 60 min to allow for effector and target cell binding. Then, cells were gently spun down at 800–900 rpm for 2 min, the supernatant removed and the cells treated with 100 μl of Earle’s salt solution with 20 mM HEPES and 20 mM MES from pH 5.0 to 7.4 for 5–10 min at 37 °C to induce pH-dependent conformational changes in glycoproteins and allow for cell–cell fusion. The pH was neutralized by adding 500 μl DMEM 10% FBS with 10 mM HEPES, and the cells then incubated at 37 °C for 2.5 to 4 h to allow for syncytia formation and α-complementation. To monitor the extent of fusion, the cells were spun down, lysed using Galacto-Star lysis buffer, and read following the Galacto-Star directions (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Each experiment was completed in triplicate. At least three individual experiments were normalized to 100% at low pH, and then the normalized values were averaged together.

**Temperature dependence of RVFV-mediated membrane fusion**

To analyze the effect of different temperatures on the fusion activity of RVFV glycoproteins, the fusion assay described above was utilized with several modifications. The pCMVα transfected target cells and RVFV glycoprotein expressing effector cells were combined at 37 °C and incubated for 1 h to allow for cell–cell binding. The cells were pelleted at 800–900 rpm for 2 min, and the supernatant aspirated. Then, 100 μl of buffer at the desired pH and specified temperature, from 4 °C to 37 °C, was added for 10 min. As described above, DMEM 10% FBS with 10 mM HEPES was added to normalize the pH and the cells were incubated at the specified temperatures for an additional 10 min. The cells were then incubated at 37 °C for 2.5 h to enable β-galactosidase complementation to occur under identical conditions regardless of the temperature at which the cells were incubated at acid pH.

**Fusion inhibition by trypsin**

To analyze the effect of trypsin treatment on RVFV glycoprotein fusion activity, pCMVα transfected target cells were suspended in DMEM then treated with 7 or 15 μg/μl of trypsin (l-1-tosylamido-2-phenylethyl chloromethyl ketone)
(Sigma, St. Louis, MO) for 15 min at 37 °C. Soybean trypsin inhibitor (50 μg/μl; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) was then added and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C to inactivate the trypsin, preventing cleavage of proteins on the effector cells. The targets and effectors were then combined for 45 min, and the fusion assay completed and results analyzed as described above.
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