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ABSTRACT

This paper proposes a novel multi-exposure image fusion method based on exposure compensation. Multi-exposure image fusion is a method to produce images without color saturation regions, by using photos with different exposures. However, in conventional works, it is unclear how to determine appropriate exposure values, and moreover, it is difficult to set appropriate exposure values at the time of photographing due to time constraints. In the proposed method, the luminance of the input multi-exposure images is adjusted on the basis of the relationship between exposure values and pixel values, where the relationship is obtained by assuming that a digital camera has a linear response function. The use of a local contrast enhancement method is also considered to improve input multi-exposure images. The compensated images are finally combined by one of existing multi-exposure image fusion methods. In some experiments, the effectiveness of the proposed method are evaluated in terms of the tone mapped image quality index, statistical naturalness, and discrete entropy, by comparing the proposed one with conventional ones.

Index Terms— Multi-exposure fusion, image enhancement, exposure compensation

1. INTRODUCTION

The low dynamic range (LDR) of the imaging sensors used in modern digital cameras is a major factor preventing cameras from capturing images as good as those with human vision. Various methods for improving the quality of a single LDR image by enhancing the contrast have been proposed [1–10]. However, contrast enhancement cannot restore saturated pixel values in LDR images. Because of such a situation, the interest of multi-exposure image fusion has recently been increasing. Various research works on multi-exposure image fusion have already been reported [11–20]. These fusion methods utilize a set of differently exposed images, “multi-exposure images”, and fuse them to produce an image with high quality. Their development was inspired by high dynamic range (HDR) imaging techniques [11–20]. The advantage of these methods, compared with HDR imaging techniques, is that they can eliminate three operations: generating HDR images, calibrating a camera response function (CRF), and preserving the exposure value of each photograph.

However, the conventional multi-exposure image fusion methods have several problems due to the use of a set of differently exposed images. The set should consist of a properly exposed image, overexposed images and underexposed images, but determining appropriate exposure values is problematic. Moreover, even if appropriate exposure values are given, it is difficult to set them at the time of photographing. In particular, if the scene is dynamic or the camera moves while pictures are being captured, the exposure time should be shortened to prevent ghost-like or blurring artifacts in the fused image.

To overcome these problems, this paper proposes a novel multi-exposure image fusion method based on exposure compensation. The goal of the proposed method is to produce appropriate multi-exposure images from input multi-exposure ones, and to generate an HDR image that clearly represents the overall image area, by fusing them. The proposed method adjusts the luminance of the input multi-exposure images on the basis of the relationship between the exposure values and pixel values, which is obtained by assuming that a digital camera has a linear response function. Moreover, the use of a local contrast enhancement method allows us to improve input multi-exposure images. The compensated images are finally combined by one of existing multi-exposure image fusion methods.

We evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed method in terms of the quality of generated images. In the simulations, the proposed method is compared with conventional ones, by using the tone mapped image quality index (TMQI), statistical naturalness, and discrete entropy. The results show that the proposed method can produce images with higher quality than conventional ones.

2. PREPARATION

Existing multi-exposure fusion methods use images taken under different exposure conditions, i.e., “multi-exposure images.” Here we discuss the relationship between exposure values and pixel values. For simplicity, we focus on grayscale images in this section.

2.1. Relationship between exposure values and pixel values

Figure 1 shows a typical imaging pipeline for a digital camera [21]. The radiant power density at the sensor, i.e., irradiance $E$, is integrated over the time $\Delta t$ the shutter is open, producing an energy density, commonly referred to as exposure $X$. If the scene is static during this integration, exposure $X$ can be written simply as the product of irradiance $E$ and integration time $\Delta t$ (referred to as “shutter speed”):

$$X(p) = E(p)\Delta t,$$

where $p = (x, y)$ indicates the pixel at point $(x, y)$. A pixel value

$$I(p) \in [0, 1]$$

in the input image $I$ is given by

$$I(p) = f(X(p)),$$

where $f$ is a function combining sensor saturation and a camera response function (CRF). The CRF represents the processing in each camera which makes the final image $I(p)$ look better.

Camera parameters, such as shutter speed and lens aperture, are usually calibrated in terms of exposure value (EV) units, and the
proper exposure for a scene is automatically decided by the camera. The exposure value is commonly controlled by changing the shutter speed although it can also be controlled by adjusting various camera parameters. Here we assume that the camera parameters except for the shutter speed are fixed. Let $\Delta \tau$ be the proper exposure value and shutter speed under the given conditions, respectively. The exposure value $E_i[EV]$ of an image taken at shutter speed $\Delta t_i$ is given by

$$v_i = \log_2 \Delta t_i - \log_2 \Delta \tau.$$  

From (1) to (3), images $I_0$ and $I_i$ exposed at $[0][EV]$ and $v_i[EV]$, respectively, are written as

$$I_0(p) = f(E(p)\Delta \tau)$$

$$I_i(p) = f(E(p)\Delta t_i) = f(2^{v_i} E(p)\Delta \tau).$$

Assuming function $f$ is linear, we obtain the following relationship between $I_0$ and $I_i$:

$$I_i(p) = 2^{v_i} I_0(p).$$

Therefore, the exposure can be varied artificially by multiplying $I_0$ by a constant. This ability is used in a new multi-exposure fusion method, which is described in the next section.

### 2.2. Scenario

For a multi-exposure fusion method to produce high quality images, the input images should represent the bright, middle, and dark regions of the scene. These images generally consist of a properly exposed image ($v_i = 0[EV]$), overexposed images ($v_i > 0$), and underexposed images ($v_i < 0$). For example, three multi-exposure images might be taken at $v_i = -1, 0, +1[EV]$.

However, there are several problems in photographing multi-exposure images.

- Determining appropriate exposure values for multi-exposure image fusion.
- Setting appropriate exposure values at the time of photographing when there are time constraints.
- Using an image taken at $0[EV]$ as it might not represent the scene properly.

To overcome these problems, this paper proposes a novel multi-exposure fusion method based on the relationship between the exposure values and pixel values.

### 3. PROPOSED MULTI-EXPOSURE IMAGE FUSION

The outline of the proposed method is illustrated in Fig. 2. To enhance the quality of multi-exposure images, local contrast enhancement is applied to luminance $L_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq N, i \in \mathbb{N}$) calculated from the $i$-th input image $I_i$, and then exposure compensation and tone mapping are applied. Next, image $I_f$ with improved quality is produced by existing multi-exposure image fusion. Here we consider input image $I_i$ with exposure value $v_i$ that satisfies $v_i < v_{i+1}$.

### 3.1. Local contrast enhancement

If the input images do not represent the scene clearly, the quality of an image fused from them will be lower than that of an image fused from ideally exposed images. Therefore, the dodging and burning algorithm is used to enhance the local contrast [22]. The luminance $L_{ci}$ enhanced by the algorithm is given by

$$L_{ci}(p) = \frac{L_i^2(p)}{L_{ci}(p)},$$

where $L_i(p)$ is the local average of luminance $L_i(p)$ around pixel $p$. It is obtained by applying a low-pass filter to $L_i(p)$. Here, a bilateral filter is used for this purpose.

$L_{ai}(p)$ is calculated using the bilateral filter:

$$L_{ai}(p) = \frac{1}{c_i(p)} \sum_{q \in \Omega} L_i(q) g_{\sigma_1}(q - p) g_{\sigma_2}(L_i(q) - L_i(p)),$$

where $\Omega$ is the set of all pixels, and $c_i(p)$ is a normalization term such as

$$c_i(p) = \sum_{q \in \Omega} g_{\sigma_1}(q - p) g_{\sigma_2}(L_i(q) - L_i(p)),$$

where $g_{\sigma}$ is a Gaussian function given by

$$g_{\sigma}(p) = (x, y) = C_\sigma \exp \left( -\frac{x^2 + y^2}{\sigma^2} \right)$$

using a normalization factor $C_\sigma$. Parameters $\sigma_1 = 16$ and $\sigma_2 = 3/255$ are set in accordance with [22].

### 3.2. Exposure compensation

The purpose of the exposure compensation is to adjust the luminance of each input image $I_i$, so that adjusted images have appropriate exposure values for multi-exposure image fusion. The luminance $L_i'$ of adjusted image $I_i'$ is simply obtained by, according to eq. (6),

$$L_i'(p) = \alpha_i L_{ai}(p),$$

where parameter $\alpha_i > 0$ indicates the degree of adjustment. Next, the way to estimate the parameter $\alpha_i$ is described.

#### 3.2.1. Estimating parameter $\alpha_i$

In $N$ input images, the $j$-th image $I_j$ has middle brightness, and the overexposed (or underexposed) areas in $I_j$ are smaller than those in the other images. Therefore, the quality of image $I_j$ should be better than that of the other images. We thus estimate parameter $\alpha_j$ from the $j$-th image in order to map the geometric mean $T_{ej}$ of luminance $L_{ej}$ to middle-gray of the displayed image, or 0.18 on a scale from zero to one, as in [12], where the geometric mean of the luminance values indicates the approximate brightness of the image.

The geometric mean $T_{ej}$ of luminance $L_{ci}(p)$ is calculated using

$$T_{ej} = \exp \left( \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{p \in \Omega} \log \left( \max \left( L_{ci}(p), \epsilon \right) \right) \right),$$

where $\epsilon$ is set to a small value to avoid singularities at $L_{ci}(p) = 0$. Parameter $\alpha_j$ is derived using eq. (13) from

$$\alpha_j = \frac{0.18}{T_{ej}}.$$  

The adjusted version $I_i'$ of the $k$-th input image $I_k (k \neq j)$ should be brighter or darker than $I_i'$ if $k > j$ or $k < j$, respectively. Therefore, parameter $\alpha_k$ is calculated as

$$\alpha_k = \frac{0.18 \cdot 2^{k-j}}{L_{oik}}.$$  

### 3.3. Tone mapping

Since the adjusted luminance value $L_i'(p)$ often exceeds the maximum value of the common image format, pixel values might be lost due to truncation of the values. This problem is overcome by using a tone mapping operation to fit the adjusted luminance value into the interval $[0, 1]$. 


The luminance $L''_i$ of an enhanced multi-exposure image is obtained by applying a tone mapping operator $F_i$ to $L_i$:

$$L''_i(p) = F_i(L_i(p)).$$

Reinhard’s global operator is used here as a tone mapping operator $F_i$ [12].

Reinhard’s global operator is given by

$$F_i(L(p)) = \frac{L(p) \left( 1 + \frac{L(p)}{L_{\text{white}}[i]} \right)}{1 + L(p)},$$

where parameter $L_{\text{white}}[i] > 0$ determines luminance value $L(p)$ as $F_i(L(p)) = 1$. Note that Reinhard’s global operator $F_i$ is a monotonically increasing function. Here, let $L_{\text{white}}[i] = \max L'_i(p)$. We obtain $L''_i(p) \leq 1$ for all $p$. Therefore, truncation of the luminance values can be prevented.

Combining $L''_i$, luminance $L_i$ of the $i$-th input image $I_i$, and RGB pixel values $C_i(p) \in \{R_i(p), G_i(p), B_i(p)\}$ of $I_i$, we obtain RGB pixel values $C''_i(p) \in \{R''_i(p), G''_i(p), B''_i(p)\}$ of the enhanced multi-exposure images $I''_i$:

$$C''_i(p) = \frac{L''_i(p)}{L_i(p)} C_i(p).$$

### 3.4. Fusion of enhanced multi-exposure images

Enhanced multi-exposure images $I''_i$ can be used as input for any existing multi-exposure image fusion methods. While numerous methods for fusing images have been proposed, here we use those of Mertens et al. [3], Sakai et al. [9], and Nejatib et al. [10]. A final image $I_f$ is produced as

$$I_f = \mathcal{F}(I''_1, I''_2, \ldots, I''_N),$$

where $\mathcal{F}(I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_N)$ indicates a function to fuse $N$ images $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_N$ into a single image.

### 4. SIMULATION

We evaluated the proposed method in terms of the quality of generated images $I_f$.

#### 4.1. Comparison with conventional methods

Two simulations “Simulation 1” and “Simulation 2” were carried out to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed method. Three fusion methods mentioned above were used as a fusion method $\mathcal{F}$.

To evaluate the quality of the images produced by each method, objective quality assessments are needed. Typical quality assessments such as the peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR) and structural similarity index (SSIM) are not suitable for this purpose because they use the target image with the highest quality as the reference one. We therefore used the tone mapped image quality index (TMQI) [24] and discrete entropy as quality assessments as the quality assessments as they do not require a reference image.

TMQI represents the quality of an image tone mapped from an HDR image; the index incorporates structural fidelity and statistical naturalness. An HDR image is used as a reference to calculate structural fidelity. A reference is not needed to calculate statistical naturalness. Since the processes of tone mapping and photographing are similar, TMQI is also useful for evaluating photographs. Discrete entropy represents the amount of information in an image.

#### 4.2. Simulation conditions

The conditions of two simulations are described here. The differences between the two simulations is in how to prepare input images, i.e., original ones.

##### 4.2.1. Simulation 1 (using HDR images)

In Simulation 1, HDR images were utilized to prepare input images. The following procedure was used to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed method.

1. Map HDR image $I_H$ to three multi-exposure images $I_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ with exposure values $v_i = i - 2$[EV] by using a tone mapping operator (see Fig. 3(a)).
2. Obtain $I_f$ from $I_i$ using the proposed method.
3. Compute TMQI values between $I_f$ and $I_H$.
4. Compute discrete entropy of $I_f$.

In step 1, the tone mapping operator corresponds to function $f$ in eqs. (4) and (5) (see Fig. 1). As assumed for eq. 6, a linear operator was utilized as the tone mapping operator.

We used 60 HDR images selected from available online databases [24, 25].

##### 4.2.2. Simulation 2 (photographing directly)

In Simulation 2, photographs taken by Canon EOS 5D Mark II camera were directly used as input images $I_i$ (see Fig. 3(b)). Since there were no HDR images for Simulation 2, the first step in Simulation 1 was not needed. In addition, structural fidelity in the TMQI could not be calculated due to the non-use of HDR images. Thus, we used only statistical naturalness in the TMQI for the evaluation. Other conditions were the same as for Simulation 1.

#### 4.3. Simulation results

Simulation results are illustrated under Simulation 1 and Simulation 2, respectively, where a higher score indicates better visual quality.
indicates the higher score.

Table 1: Experimental results for Simulation 1 (TMQI). Boldface indicates the higher score.

| Method       | Input image | Mertens [5] | Sakai [9] | Nejati [10] |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| Adjuster     | 0.8796      | 0.8879      | 0.9082    | 0.9122      | 0.9563      | 0.9521      |
| ApartmentFlo | 0.8747      | 0.8331      | 0.8462    | 0.8439      | 0.8250      | 0.8436      |
| Balls        | 0.8371      | 0.8269      | 0.8300    | 0.8419      | 0.8460      | 0.8184      | 0.8204      |
| BrightRings  | 0.7748      | 0.7771      | 0.7868    | 0.7773      | 0.7882      | 0.7855      | 0.8091      |
| Cannon       | 0.8412      | 0.9203      | 0.9205    | 0.9336      | 0.9340      | 0.9039      | 0.9031      |
| GoldenGate   | 0.7754      | 0.7911      | 0.8030    | 0.7915      | 0.8029      | 0.8269      | 0.8432      |
| Average      | 0.8086      | 0.8531      | 0.8695    | 0.8536      | 0.8703      | 0.8574      | 0.8713      |

Table 2: Experimental results for Simulation 1 (statistical naturalness). Boldface indicates the higher score.

| Method       | Input image | Mertens [5] | Sakai [9] | Nejati [10] |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| Adjuster     | 0.2507      | 0.2507      | 0.2507    | 0.2507      |
| ApartmentFlo | 0.2750      | 0.4541      | 0.4240    | 0.4337      |
| Balls        | 0.1145      | 0.0930      | 0.1046    | 0.1503      | 0.1677      |
| BrightRings  | 0.0224      | 0.0340      | 0.0780    | 0.0342      | 0.0788      |
| Cannon       | 0.1051      | 0.4956      | 0.4967    | 0.5760      | 0.5786      | 0.4082      | 0.4385      |
| GoldenGate   | 0.0351      | 0.0755      | 0.0879    | 0.0767      | 0.0892      | 0.1902      | 0.2348      |
| Average      | 0.2055      | 0.3717      | 0.4374    | 0.3751      | 0.4421      | 0.3919      | 0.4439      |

Table 3: Experimental results for Simulation 1 (discrete entropy). Boldface indicates the higher score.

| Method       | Input image | Mertens [5] | Sakai [9] | Nejati [10] |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| Adjuster     | 7.225       | 7.520       | 7.516     | 7.511       | 7.525       | 7.567       | 7.574       |
| ApartmentFlo | 5.648       | 6.315       | 7.211     | 6.328       | 7.219       | 6.191       | 6.751       |
| Balls        | 7.412       | 7.723       | 7.745     | 7.736       | 7.754       | 7.772       | 7.794       |
| BrightRings  | 1.491       | 2.070       | 2.280     | 2.262       | 2.484       | 2.807       | 2.707       |
| Cannon       | 6.913       | 7.566       | 7.568     | 7.556       | 7.556       | 7.521       | 7.525       |
| GoldenGate   | 7.235       | 7.127       | 7.129     | 7.186       | 7.171       | 7.477       | 7.531       |
| Average      | 6.017       | 6.572       | 6.730     | 6.656       | 6.787       | 6.633       | 6.755       |

Table 4: Experimental results for Simulation 2 (statistical naturalness). Boldface indicates the higher score.

| Method       | Input image | Mertens [5] | Sakai [9] | Nejati [10] |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| Adjuster     | 0.0000      | 0.0000      | 0.3756    | 0.0000      | 0.3756      | 0.0000      | 0.6374      |
| Lobby        | 0.0006      | 0.0063      | 0.5988    | 0.0058      | 0.6035      | 0.0054      | 0.5541      |
| Window       | 0.0044      | 0.0333      | 0.4679    | 0.0308      | 0.4644      | 0.0261      | 0.5080      |

Table 5: Experimental results for Simulation 2 (discrete entropy). Boldface indicates the higher score.

4.3.1. Simulation 1

Figure 4 shows images $I_f$ produced by each multi-exposure image fusion method. Tables 1 and 3 summarize the TMQI score, statistical naturalness score, discrete entropy score for Simulation 1, respectively. For TMQI ∈ [0, 1] (and statistical naturalness ∈ [0, 1]), a larger value means higher quality. The results in Table 1 indicate that the proposed method improves the quality of the produced images. Tables 2 and 4 show trends similar to that in Table 1.

These results demonstrate that the proposed method allows us to generate images with higher quality than that of one generated by original multi-exposure image fusion methods.

4.3.2. Simulation 2

Tables 2 and 3 likewise show the results for Simulation 2. As shown in Table 3, the statistical naturalness scores for conventional methods were extremely low when the original image was completely dark, like that shown in Fig. 4(b). The results mean that most areas in the resulting images are not viewable.

Using the proposed method enhanced the brightness and contrast of the input images (see Fig. 4(c)). This increased the statistical naturalness scores significantly (see Table 3).

Table 3 also shows a trend similar to that in Table 2. These results also demonstrate the proposed method enables us to produce images with higher quality, than conventional fusion methods.

5. CONCLUSION

This paper has proposed a novel multi-exposure image fusion method based on exposure compensation. The proposed method enhances the quality of input multi-exposure images by using local contrast enhancement, exposure compensation and tone mapping. To improve their quality, the proposed method utilizes the relationship between exposure values and pixel values. Experimental results showed that the proposed method can produce images with higher quality, than conventional multi-exposure image fusion methods, in terms of TMQI, statistical naturalness, and discrete entropy.
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