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Abstract

Exploiting insights on strings moving in pp-wave backgrounds, we show how open strings emerge from $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SU(N) Yang-Mills theory as fluctuations around certain states carrying R-charge of order $N$. These states are dual to spherical D3-branes of $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ and we reproduce the spectrum of small fluctuations of these states from Yang-Mills theory. We discuss the emergence of the $G^2$ light degrees of freedom expected when $G$ such D3-branes nearly coincide. The open strings running between the branes can be quantized easily in a Penrose limit of the spacetime. Taking the corresponding large charge limit of the Yang-Mills theory, we reproduce the open string worldsheets and their spectra from field theory degrees of freedom.

1 Introduction

The AdS/CFT correspondence states that the SU(N) Yang-Mills theory with 16 supersymmetries is equivalent to IIB string theory quantized around the AdS$_5 \times S^5$ background [1]. Recently, it has been shown that in some large charge limits of the field theory, corresponding to Penrose limits of spacetime [2], the worldsheets of fundamental closed strings in spacetime can be explicitly reconstructed from Yang-Mills fluctuations [3]. These results explicitly realize the long-standing goal of showing that Yang-Mills theories with many colours have a description in terms of closed string theories. The purpose of this paper is to show how open strings also emerge from supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory, by quantizing fluctuations around states of large R-charge and displaying their worldsheets.\(^1\)

\(^{1}\)Recently [5, 6] showed how open strings emerge from gauge theories dual to string theories that have open strings in the perturbative spectrum. In these cases, the dual field theory has quarks marking the endpoints of open string worldsheets. Here we are interested in situations in which
Open strings arise in string theory as the phonons of D-branes and so we seek the Yang-Mills descriptions of D-brane states of AdS$_5 \times S^5$. Since most stable D-branes in AdS are infinite in size they are also infinitely massive, and so represent superselection sectors of the Yang-Mills. However, AdS$_5 \times S^5$ also admits giant gravitons, namely finite-energy spherical D3-branes localized at the origin of AdS and propagating on the sphere $[12]$. If $G$ coincident D3-branes of the same size are present in the system, the open strings running between them should realize, at low energies, a $U(G)$ gauge theory on the $S^3 \times R$ worldvolume of the giants.

Giant graviton states of spacetime are created in Yang-Mills theory by determinant and sub-determinat operators as proposed in $[13]$ and confirmed in $[14]$. In Sec. 2 we show how Yang-Mills theory reproduces the spectrum of small fluctuations of giant gravitons. We discuss the emergence of the $G^2$ degrees of freedom expected when $G$ giants nearly coincide. In Sec. 3 we display a Penrose limit in which the open strings propagating on giants can be quantized simply. Taking the corresponding large charge limit in Yang-Mills theory, we reconstruct the open string worldsheets from field theory degrees of freedom, and show that the field theory and string spectra match up to an $O(g_s)$ correction which we expect arises from open string one-loop effects in the pp-wave background. In all these matches of spectra, energies in spacetime are mapped onto conformal dimensions of operators in the SU(N) Yang-Mills theory. As we will see, the relevant operators are generically not BPS, but nevertheless their dimensions do not grow in the $N \to \infty$ limit.

Since we have a complete second-quantized formulation of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills, this theory is supposed to give us a non-perturbative description of strings. If this is really so, various subsectors of the theory should contain the holographic duals to all possible string backgrounds. How do we find these subsectors and the gravitational backgrounds that they describe? Past experience with the M(atrix) model of M theory $[15]$, the AdS/CFT correspondence $[1]$, and pp-wave backgrounds $[3]$ has suggested that large-charge, low-energy limits of string theory can give rise to holographic relations between field theories and string backgrounds. Likewise, in Sec. 4 we argue that our results, coupled with the observations in $[16]$, suggest that different large charge limits of Yang-Mills give rise in the infrared to theories dual to different backgrounds for strings.

open strings emerge in pure supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory as fluctuations around states dual to D-branes in spacetime. D-branes in pp-wave backgrounds and open strings propagating on them have been studied in $[8, 9, 10]$. In $[11]$ branes were found in the matrix model associated to pp-wave backgrounds. For earlier discussion of open strings in SU(N) gauge theory, see $[7]$.
2 Spherical D3-branes and Their Fluctuations

Scalar fluctuations: The best semiclassical description of a graviton with angular momentum of order $N$ on the $S^5$ of $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ is in terms of a large D3-brane wrapping a 3-sphere and moving with some velocity \[12\]. This is the giant graviton. The transition from a graviton mode in a spherical harmonic to a macroscopic brane is explained by the Myers effect \[17\] in the presence of flux on the $S^5$. In $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$, the radius of the spherical D3-brane is $\rho^2 = lR^2/N$, where $l$ is the angular momentum on the $S^5$ of the state, $R$ is the radius of the sphere, and $N$ the total 5-form flux through the 5-sphere. Since the radius of the D3 brane giant graviton is bounded by the radius $R$ of the $S^5$, there is an upper bound on the angular momentum $l \leq N$.

The spectrum of small fluctuations of the giant graviton was calculated in \[18\]. When the giant graviton expands into an $S^3$ on the $S^5$, it has six transverse scalar fluctuations, of which four correspond to fluctuations into $\text{AdS}_5$ and two are fluctuations within $S^5$. These vibration modes can be written as a superposition of scalar spherical harmonics $Y_k$ on the unit $S^3$. In \[18\] it was found that the frequencies of the four modes corresponding to fluctuations in $\text{AdS}_5$ with wave-functions $Y_k$ are given by

$$\omega_k = \frac{k + 1}{R}$$

Similarly, the two vibration mode frequencies corresponding to fluctuations in $S^5$ are

$$\omega^-_k = \frac{k}{R}, \quad \omega^+_k = \frac{k + 2}{R}$$

Giants and their scalar fluctuations from CFT: In \[13\], it was shown that giant gravitons are dual to states created by a family of subdeterminants:

$$O_l = \text{subdet}_l Z \equiv \frac{1}{l!} \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_l a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{N-l}} \epsilon^{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_l a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{N-l}} Z_{j_1}^{i_1} Z_{j_2}^{i_2} \cdots Z_{j_l}^{i_l}$$

(So $O_N$ is the same as the determinant of $\Phi$.) Here, $Z = \phi^5 + i\phi^6$ is a complex combination of two of the six adjoint scalars in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory.\(^2\) These subdeterminants have a bounded R-charge, with the full determinant saturating the bound. The bound on the R-charge is the field theory explanation of the angular momentum bound for giants. A giant graviton is a 1/2 BPS state of the CFT and breaks the SO(6) R-symmetry of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory down to $U(1) \times \text{SO}(4)$. The $U(1)$ corresponds to the plane of motion of the giant gravitons while the SO(4) corresponds to the rotation group of the $S^3$ worldvolume of the giants. Under the $U(1)$ $Z$ and

\(^2\)The $S^5$ in the bulk can be described by $X_1^2 + \ldots + X_6^2 = R^2$. The operator $O_N$ in \[13\] corresponds to a giant graviton moving in the $X^5, X^6$ plane. The trajectory of such a giant will trace out a circle of radius $(1 - \frac{1}{N})R$ in this plane. Notice that the maximal giant with $l = N$ is not really moving on the $S^5$. Its angular momentum arises from the Chern-Simons interaction on its worldvolume and the background flux.
$Z$ have charges $\pm 1$ while the other scalars $\phi^i$ ($i = 1 \cdots 4$) of the Yang-Mills theory are neutral. The giant gravitons in (3) therefore carry a $U(1)$ charge $l$ and, being protected operators, their conformal dimensions are $\Delta = l$. Under the $SO(4)$, $Z$ is neutral, but the $\phi^i$ transform as a $4$.

To map the fluctuations of a giant graviton to the CFT, we can replace $Z$ in (3) by other operators, along lines similar to [19] for the dibaryon in the theory of D3-branes at a conifold singularity. The resulting operator should carry the same $U(1)$ charge as the giant. Therefore, their conformal dimension in the free limit should take the value $\Delta = l + \omega R$ where $\omega$ is appropriate fluctuation frequency in [11] or [2]. Finally, since the scalar vibrations of giants are in the $Y_k$ scalar spherical harmonics of $S^3$, i.e. the symmetric traceless representation of $SO(4)$, it is natural to use operators formed by the symmetric traceless products of the four scalars $\phi^i$, ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$).

Suppose $O^k$ is the $k$th symmetric traceless product of $\phi^i$. Consider the operators:

$$O^k_m = \epsilon_{i_1 \cdots i_4 a_1 \cdots a_n} \epsilon^{j_1 \cdots j_4 a_1 \cdots a_n} Z_{j_1}^{i_1} \cdots Z_{j_l}^{i_l} (D_m Z O^k)^{i_l}_{j_l}$$

(4)

$O^k_m$ are operators with $U(1)$ charge $l$, in the $k$th symmetric traceless representation of $SO(4)$, and have dimension $\Delta = l + k + 1$. The index $m = 1 \cdots 4$ refers to the four Cartesian directions of $R^4$ in radial quantization of $S^3 \times R$. Clearly, $O^k_m$ has the quantum numbers to match the AdS polarized fluctuations with spectrum [11]. (Note that unlike (3) we have not normalized these operators to have unit two-point functions.)

Now consider

$$O^- = \epsilon_{i_1 \cdots i_4 a_1 \cdots a_n} \epsilon^{j_1 \cdots j_4 a_1 \cdots a_n} Z_{j_1}^{i_1} \cdots Z_{j_l}^{i_l} (O^k)^{i_l}_{j_l}$$

(5)

$$O^+ = \epsilon_{i_1 \cdots i_4 a_1 \cdots a_n} \epsilon^{j_1 \cdots j_4 a_1 \cdots a_n} Z_{j_1}^{i_1} \cdots Z_{j_l}^{i_l} Z_{j_{l+1}}^{i_{l+1}} (O^k)^{i_{l+1}}_{j_{l+1}}$$

(6)

These operators have $U(1)$ charge $l$, are in $k$th symmetric traceless representation of $SO(4)$, and have conformal dimensions $\Delta^- = l + k$ and $\Delta^+ = l + k + 2$. Clearly we have found operators with quantum numbers matching the $S^3$ polarized fluctuations whose spectrum is [2]. (Again, we have not chosen to normalize these operators to have unit two-point functions.) Note that the operators (5, 6) cannot be constructed for the maximal giant graviton, i.e., when $l = N$. The corresponding analysis of fluctuations in [18] leads to a similar conclusion since the relevant equations are ill-defined for the maximal giant.

In general, most fluctuations of giant gravitons are not BPS [18] and so we expect anomalous dimensions to develop quantum mechanically. From the spacetime point of view these would be studied by finding solutions to the open-string loop corrected

---

3Recall that giant gravitons in global AdS map onto states of Yang-Mills theory on $S^3 \times R$ and that energy in spacetime maps to energy $E$ in the field theory. Using the state-operator correspondence, the energy of states on $S^3 \times R$ maps to the dimension $\Delta = RE$ of operators on $R^4$, which we will typically discuss.
equations of motion of a D-brane. (The DBI action used in \[18] included all \(\alpha'\) corrections at disk order but not string loop corrections.) Since these corrections are hard to compute in spacetime it is interesting to examine them in the field theory. In the appendix we show that the interactions of \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) Yang-Mills do produce anomalous dimensions for \([15, 16]\), but, surprisingly, these corrections do not grow with \(N\). As shown in the appendix the anomalous dimension is \((J - 1)g_s/\pi\). At weak coupling and large \(N\), therefore, these are non-BPS operators whose dimensions are protected from large corrections.

**Multiple giants from Yang-Mills:** Consider a CFT states made by the product of \(G\) identical giant graviton operators. This should represent \(G\) giants of the same size moving in concert on the \(S^5\). Such a group of giants should have \(G^2\) strings stretching between them. At low energies these string should give rise to a \(U(G)\) gauge theory living on the worldvolume of the spherical D3-branes. The spectra that we described above we derived from fluctuations of a single giant, and therefore apply to the \(U(1)^G\) part of this low-energy gauge theory. Below we will display candidate operators dual to the expected \(6G^2\) scalar fluctuations of the branes. In the quadratic limit relevant to small fluctuations all of these will have the same spectrum as we see below. (Again, there are small quantum corrections to the spectrum that are negligible in the large \(N\) limit.)

For simplicity consider two maximal giant gravitons, corresponding to the product of two determinants in the CFT \(\det_1 Z \det_2 Z\). Here we have introduced labels analogous to Chan-Paton indices for each of the determinants representing a giant graviton. Taking \(x_1\) and \(x_2\) to be coordinates on the \(S^3\) on which the Yang-Mills theory is defined, we could define the operator \(\det Z(x_1) \det Z(x_2)\) so that it makes sense to treat them as distinguishable in this way. After constructing the operators of interest to us as described in the text we later let \(x_1 \to x_2\). For each of the operators \(\mathcal{O}_{m,+,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldots,\ldot
stretched between two giants. It appears that the four operators we find have a
natural interpretation as the expected operators from the adjoint representation of
$U(2)$ group. However, there is a subtlety. When $x_1 \rightarrow x_2$, $O_{12,-}^k = O_{21,-}^k$ and
$O_{11,-}^k = O_{22,-}^k$ by an exchange of dummy indices. What is more, it can be shown that
in this limit the operators $O_{11,-}^k = NO_{12,-}^k$ also. This is surprising at first because $O_{12}$
intertwines indices between two determinants, but this fact can be shown as follows.
First, observe that (7) is zero when $l_N \neq j_N$. In this case there exists an $l_x = j_n$ where $1 \leq x \leq N - 1$, and so the sum overs the permutations of $k_1, \ldots k_N$ gives zero.
We are left with $l_N = j_N$ in which case the operator is unchanged by switching these
two indices. Thus $O_{11,-}^k$ is proportional to $O_{12,-}^k$. The proportionality factor between
these operators is $N$ because the former has $N^2$ choices of $j_N, l_N$, while requiring
$l_N = j_N$ leaves $N$ choices.

In fact this is exactly what we should expect since coincident D-branes are identical
and there is no difference between the strings running between different pairs of
branes; the change of dummy variables relating (7) and (8) when $x_1 \rightarrow x_2$ is an
example of this. To display the four strings running between two branes we have to
separate the branes from each other. The generalization of this discussion to $G$
giants and the associated $G^2$ degrees of freedom from the adjoint of $U(G)$ is obvious.

There is some issue as to whether multiple less-than-maximal giant gravitons are
described by a product of subdeterminant operators or by a sude determinant of products
(see [13, 14, 19]). Above we restricted ourself to the largest giants for which this issue
does not arise since the determinant of products is the product of determinants. It
would be interesting to test our proposal that fluctuations of strings between branes
are described by intertwined operators, by taking one of them to be a maximal giant
and another to be a smaller one. Strings running between such branes are stretched
and should have a corresponding gap in their spectra. It would be interesting to
test this by trying to match the vibrational energies of strings stretched between the
maximal and next-to-maximal sized giants.

3 Open string world sheets from CFT

3.1 Penrose limits for open strings

We will see that for open strings moving with a large angular momentum on the giant
graviton D3 brane, we can construct the open string world sheet in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SU(N)$
Yang-Mills theory. To that end, we start by looking at the geometry seen by such an
open string. The AdS$_5 \times$ S$^5$ metric is:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{d}s^2 &= R^2 [-dt^2 \cosh^2 \rho + d\rho^2 + \sinh^2 \rho \, d\Omega_3^2 + d\psi^2 \cos^2 \theta + d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\Omega_3^2] \\
\text{d}\Omega_3^2 &= d\varphi^2 + \cos^2 \varphi d\eta^2 + \sin^2 \varphi d\xi^2
\end{align*}
\]
where $R = (4\pi \alpha'^2 g N)^{1/4}$ is the AdS scale. Consider the near maximal giant graviton at $\theta \sim \pi/2$ which is moving in the $\psi$ direction.\footnote{Strictly speaking, the maximal giant is at rest and all its angular momentum comes from the five-form flux.} The world volume of the giant spans $(t, \varphi, \eta, \xi)$ and the giant graviton is at $\rho = 0$ and $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$. We want to find the geometry seen by an open string ending on the giant graviton, moving rapidly in the $\eta$ direction at the equator of $S^3$ given by $\varphi = 0$. We define light cone coordinates $\tilde{x}^± = t^± = \frac{y^2}{R}, \chi = \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta$, and focus on the region near $\rho = \chi = \varphi = 0$ by rescaling:

$$x^+ = \tilde{x}^+, \quad x^- = R^2 \tilde{x}^-, \quad \rho = \frac{r}{R}, \quad \chi = \frac{y}{R}, \quad \varphi = \frac{u}{R}, \quad R \to \infty.$$ (9)

In this limit, the metric becomes,

$$\frac{ds^2}{-4} = dx^+ dx^- - (\tilde{r}^2 + \tilde{y}^2 + \tilde{u}^2)(dx^+)^2 + d\tilde{y}^2 + d\tilde{u}^2 + d\tilde{r}^2$$ (10)

where $\tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{y}$ parameterize points on two $R^2$s and $\tilde{r}$ parameterizes points on $R^4$. The 5-form flux that supports the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background becomes

$$F_{+1234} = F_{+5678} = \text{Const} \times \mu$$ (11)

in this limit, thereby breaking the $SO(8)$ isometry of the metric (10) to $SO(4) \times SO(4)$. We find that the open string sees the standard pp wave geometry. The light cone action becomes [20],

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi \alpha'} \int dt \int_0^{2\pi \alpha'} d\sigma \left[ \partial_\tau X^I \partial_\tau X^I - \partial_\sigma X^I \partial_\sigma X^I - \mu^2 X^I X^I + 2i \bar{S}(\partial + \mu \Pi) S \right]$$ (12)

where $\Pi = \Gamma^{1234}$ and $S$ is a Majorana spinor on the worldsheet and a positive chirality spinor $8_s$ under $SO(8)$ which is the group of rotations in the eight transverse directions. The $X^I$ transform as $8_v$ under this group. The fermionic term in the action breaks the $SO(8)$ symmetry that is otherwise present to $SO(4) \times SO(4)$. The open strings we are interested in have Neumann boundary conditions in the light cone directions $x^\pm$ and Dirichlet boundary conditions in the six transverse directions parameterized by $\tilde{r}$ and $\tilde{y}$.

$$\partial_\sigma X^\alpha = \partial_\tau X^i = 0$$ (13)

where $\alpha = 7, 8$. The coordinates used in (10), $\tilde{u} = (x^7, x^8)$, $\tilde{r} = (x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4)$ and $\tilde{y} = (x^5, x^6)$. Such open strings were quantized by Dabholkar and Parvizi in [8]. Here, we quote their results. The spectrum of the light cone Hamiltonian ($H \equiv -p_+$) is

$$H = E_0 + E_N$$

$$E_0 = \mu \left( \sum_{\alpha=7,8} \bar{a}_0^\alpha a_0^\alpha - 2i S_0 \Gamma^{56} S_0 + e_0 \right)$$

$$E_N = \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \neq 0} \omega_n a_n^i a_{-n}^i + i \sum_{n \neq 0} \omega_n S_n S_{-n} \right).$$ (14)
where we have defined the bosonic and fermionic creation and annihilation operators $a^*_n$ and $S_n$ as in [8]. Here $e_0 = 1$ is the zero point energy for the D3 brane and

$$\omega_n = \text{sign}(n)\sqrt{\left(\frac{n}{2\alpha'p^+}\right)^2 + \mu^2}. \quad (15)$$

There are only two bosonic zero modes coming from two directions in light cone gauge which have Neumann boundary conditions (these would have been momentum modes but in the pp wave background, the zero mode is also a harmonic oscillator). The fermionic zero mode is $S_0$ which transforms in $8_s$ of SO(8).

The D3 brane occupies $x^+, x^-, x^7, x^8$ and has six transverse coordinates $x^1 \cdots x^6$. In the light cone, only an SO(2)$_U$ subgroup of the SO(1,3) symmetry of the D3 brane world volume is visible. In addition, the SO(6) group transverse to the D3-brane is broken down to SO(2)$_Z \times$ SO(4) by the 5-form background flux. Hence we have the embedding

$$SO(8) \supset SO(2)_Z \times SO(2)_U \times SO(4) \quad (16)$$

The spinor $8_s$ decomposes as

$$8_s \rightarrow (2, 1)\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \oplus (2, 1)\left(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right) \oplus (1, 2)\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right) \oplus (1, 2)\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad (17)$$

where the superscripts denote SO(2)$_Z \times$ SO(2)$_U$, and we have written SO(4) representations as representations of SU(2) $\times$ SU(2). The fermionic zero modes $S_0$ can be arranged into fermionic creation and annihilation operators:

$$\bar{\lambda}_\alpha \equiv S_{0\alpha}^{\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)}, \quad \lambda_\alpha \equiv S_{0\alpha}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right)}$$

$$\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}} \equiv S_{0{\dot{\alpha}}}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)}, \quad \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}} \equiv S_{0{\dot{\alpha}}}^{\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right)} \quad (18)$$

The commutation relations are

$$\{\bar{\lambda}_{\alpha}, \lambda^\beta\} = \delta^\beta_\alpha, \quad \{\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\lambda}^\dot{\beta}\} = \delta^\dot{\beta}_{\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (19)$$

The energy contribution from the zero mode oscillators is given by

$$E_0 = m(\bar{a}_{07}a^*_7 + \bar{a}_{08}a^*_8 + \bar{\lambda}_\alpha\lambda^\alpha - \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}\lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} + 1) \quad (20)$$

$$= m(\bar{a}_{07}a^*_7 + \bar{a}_{08}a^*_8 + \bar{\lambda}_\alpha\lambda^\alpha + \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}} - 1) \quad (21)$$

as in [8]. We choose $\bar{\lambda}_\alpha$ and $\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}$ as creation operators.\footnote{This convention differs from [8] but is convenient for us.} The vacuum state is invariant under SO(4) $\times$ SO(2)$_U$ and carries charge $-1$ under SO(2)$_Z$:

$$a^*_0| -1, 0\rangle = 0, \quad \lambda^\alpha| -1, 0\rangle = 0, \quad \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}| -1, 0\rangle = 0 \quad (22)$$

Other modes with zero worldsheet momentum $(n = 0)$ are constructed by acting with creation operators $\bar{\lambda}_\alpha$, $\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}$ and $\bar{a}^*_0$. The vacuum state $|-1, 0\rangle$ has $E_0 = -1$ and
carries \(-1\) units of angular momentum in the \(x^5x^6\) direction. Since the maximal giant graviton that we are considering carries angular momentum \(N\) in this direction, we see that \(N - 1\) is the total angular momentum of the giant and the ground state of its open strings in our Penrose limit. Likewise the fermionic contribution to the energy ground state lowers it to \(N - 1\) from the value \(N\) for the maximal giant. Below we will present the complete perturbative spectrum of the string quantized in this way and map all the states to operators of \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) Yang-Mills theory.

### 3.2 Open string world sheet in SYM

String theory in global \(\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5\) is dual to \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) Yang-Mills theory on \(S^3 \times R\). States in spacetime map to states of the field theory, and by the state-operator correspondence for conformal theories, are related to operators on \(R^4\). The global symmetry of the theory is \(\text{SO}(4) \times \text{SO}(6)\) where \(\text{SO}(4)\) is the rotation group of \(R^4\) corresponding to the \(\text{SO}(4)\) appearing in (16). \(\text{SO}(6)\) is the R-symmetry group, corresponding to the rotation group of \(S^5\) in the bulk spacetime. The Yang-Mills theory has six adjoint scalar fields \(\phi^1 \cdots \phi^6\) which transform as the fundamental of \(\text{SO}(6)\). The complex combinations \(Z = \phi^5 + i\phi^6, Y = \phi^3 + i\phi^4, U = \phi^1 + i\phi^2\) are charged under three different \(\text{SO}(2)\) subgroups of \(\text{SO}(6), \text{SO}(2)_{Z,Y,U}\), which correspond to rotations in three independent planes of the bulk \(S^5\). We will denote charges under these \(\text{SO}(2)\) groups as \(J_{Z,Y,U}\). As we have discussed, giant gravitons carry a charge of order \(N\) under \(\text{SO}(2)_Z\), and are created by subdeterminant operators. The Penrose limit of open strings on giants corresponds to strings moving in the spacetime direction corresponding to \(Y\). We will propose a field theory description of the worldsheets of open strings on the maximal giant graviton.

We will denote the conformal dimension of the operators dual to such strings by

\[
\tilde{\Delta} = N + \Delta
\]

where the additive \(N\) arises because the background giant has this dimension. Mapping the data of the Penrose limit to the field theory we find that the conformal dimension \(\Delta\) of the excitation above the giant and \(\text{SO}(2)_Y\) charges of these states are related to the lightcone Hamiltonian (14) of strings as

\[
H = -p_+ = 2p^- = \Delta - J_Y = \tilde{\Delta} - N - J_Y.
\]

We are going to consider states of fixed \(p_+\) so that \(\Delta \approx J_Y\). Likewise the other lightcone momentum maps as

\[
-p_- = 2p^+ = \frac{\Delta + J_Y}{R^2},
\]

where \(R\) is the \(\text{AdS}\) scale. Note that to have a fixed non-zero value of \(p_-\), \(J_Y\) must be of order \(\sqrt{N}\). The contribution to Hamiltonian from higher oscillator modes of the
lightcone string (15) then translates into \[^6\]

\[(\Delta - N - J_Y)_n = (\Delta - J_Y)_n = \omega_n = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\pi g_N n^2}{J_Y^2}} \]  

in the Yang-Mills theory up to small corrections that vanish at large \(N\).

**The ground state:** From the previous section, the ground state of strings on maximal giants carries \(SO(2)_Z\) charge \(-1\) and lightcone energy \(-1\). So the overall state including the giant carries an \(SO(2)_Z\) charge \(N - 1\) and \(\Delta - J_Y = -1\). Furthermore, we achieve the Penrose limit by considering states with \(SO(2)_Y\) charge \(J\) of order \(\sqrt{N}\). To describe the ground state of the string we therefore seek an operator that is a modification of the \(det Z\) creating the maximal giant which has the charges just listed. A suitable candidate is:

\[\epsilon_{i_1 \cdots i_N} \epsilon^{j_1 \cdots j_N} Z^{i_1 \cdots i_{N-1}}_{j_1} (YY \cdots Y)^{i_N}_{j_N} \leftrightarrow |G_N; -1, 0\rangle \]  

where we have inserted a product of \(J\) Ys in place of one Z. (We have chosen not to normalize this operator to have a unit two-point function.) The notation \(|G_N; -1, 0\rangle\) indicates a single maximal giant graviton with an open string in its ground state as defined in (22). The Zs create the D3 brane giant graviton and, as we show below, the string of Ys explicitly reconstructs the worldsheet of open string propagating on a giant in a Penrose limit. The absence of a trace on the indices of the product of Ys will be responsible for making this an open string worldsheet, and in the presence of multiple giants, Chan-Paton factors will emerge from the ability to intertwine these indices between different giant operators.

The operator (27), just like the small fluctuations (4,5,6), is not BPS and therefore receives quantum corrections to its dimension. Surprisingly, as we show in the Appendix, these corrections do not grow with \(N\) and lead to an anomalous dimension of \((J - 1)g_s/\pi\). This extra piece is very small compared to the BMN anomalous dimension \(\frac{g_N}{J_Y^2}\) if we take \(g_2 = \frac{J_Y^2}{N}\) small to suppress the non-planar contributions of the \(SO(2)_Y\) operators.

\[\text{anomalous dimensions } [24, 25]\]

Nevertheless, the anomalous dimension of our operator differs from the prediction from the spacetime calculation of Dabholkar and Parvizi [8] by \((J - 1)g_s/\pi\). This difference, being of \(O(g_s)\), suggests a loop open-string effect. Perhaps the interesting phenomenon of a non-BPS operator acquiring only a small anomalous dimension occurs because of the restoration of supersymmetries in the pp-wave limit [21].

**Rest of the zero modes:** The remainder of the zero modes on the string worldsheet arose from the ground state (22) by the action of the creation operators \(\lambda_\alpha\), \(\Lambda_b\) and \(\bar{a}_0^T\). In Yang-Mills theory we can construct operators corresponding to these
states by inserting into the string of the Ys the two scalars $\phi^{1,2}$ and four of the 16 gaugino components of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills which have $\text{SO}(2)_Y$ charge $J_Y = 1/2$ and $\text{SO}_Z$ charge $J = 1/2$. These gaugino components in which we are interested transform as $(2, 1)$ and $(1, 2)$ under the $\text{SO}(4) = \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2)$ rotation group of four-dimensional Yang-Mills and so we will collect into two spinors $\psi_\alpha$ and $\psi_\bar{\alpha}$. This matches the charges carried by the four creation operators $\bar{\lambda}_\alpha$ and $\lambda_\alpha$ identified on the lightcone string worldsheet in $[3]$. So we identify the string worldsheet zero mode creation operators with operator insertions into (27) as follows:

$$\bar{\lambda}_\alpha, \lambda_\alpha \leftrightarrow \psi_\alpha, \psi_{\bar{\alpha}}$$

For example,

$$\bar{\lambda}_\alpha |G_N; -1, 0\rangle \leftrightarrow \epsilon_{i_1 \ldots i_N} \epsilon^{j_1 \ldots j_N} Z^{i_1}_{j_1} \cdots Z^{i_{N-1}}_{j_{N-1}} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{J_Y} Y^l \psi_\alpha Y^{j_Y-l} \right)^{i_N}_{j_N}$$

(29)

Each action of a zero mode operator on the lightcone string vacuum adds a similar sum to the dual field theory operator. It is interesting to see a detailed match between field theory operators and the quantum numbers for states created by acting by worldsheet fermionic zero modes. Each of these operators takes the form $\frac{1}{N^2} \epsilon_{i_1 \ldots i_N} \epsilon^{j_1 \ldots j_N} Z^{i_1}_{j_1} \cdots Z^{i_{N-1}}_{j_{N-1}} Y^{i_N}_{j_N}$ with $Y$ given as below:

| State          | Rep.     | $H = \Delta - J_Y$ | $V$                       |
|----------------|----------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| $| -1, 0\rangle$ | $(1, 1)^{(-1, 0)}$ | $-1$               | $Y^{J_Y}$                 |
| $\bar{\lambda}_\alpha -1, 0\rangle$ | $(2, 1)^{(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}$ | $0$                | $\sum_{l=0}^{J_Y} Y^l \psi_\alpha Y^{j_Y-l}$ |
| $\lambda_\alpha -1, 0\rangle$ | $(1, 2)^{(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})}$ | $0$                | $\sum_{l=0}^{J_Y} Y^l \psi_{\bar{\alpha}} Y^{j_Y-l}$ |
| $\bar{\lambda}_\alpha \lambda_\beta -1, 0\rangle$ | $(1, 1)^{(0, 1)}$ | $1$                | $\sum_{l_1=0}^{J_Y} Y^{l_1} \psi_\alpha Y^{j_Y-l_1} \psi_\beta Y^{j_Y-l_1}$ |
| $\bar{\lambda}_\alpha \lambda_\beta -1, 0\rangle$ | $(2, 2)^{(0, 0)}$ | $1$                | $\sum_{l_1=0}^{J_Y} Y^{l_1} \psi_\alpha Y^{j_Y-l_1} \psi_{\bar{\alpha}} Y^{j_Y-l_1}$ |
| $\lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta -1, 0\rangle$ | $(1, 1)^{(-1, -1)}$ | $1$                | $\sum_{l_1, l_2=0}^{J_Y} Y^{l_1} \psi_\alpha Y^{j_Y-l_1} \psi_\beta Y^{j_Y-l_1} \psi_{\bar{\alpha}} Y^{j_Y-l_1}$ |
| $\bar{\lambda}_\alpha \lambda_\beta \lambda_\beta -1, 0\rangle$ | $(2, 1)^{(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})}$ | $2$                | $\sum_{l_1=0}^{J_Y} Y^{l_1} \psi_\alpha Y^{j_Y-l_1} \psi_\beta Y^{j_Y-l_1} \psi_{\bar{\alpha}} Y^{j_Y-l_1} \psi_\beta Y^{j_Y-l_1}$ |
| $\bar{\lambda}_\alpha \lambda_\beta \lambda_\beta -1, 0\rangle$ | $(1, 1)^{(1, 0)}$ | $3$                | $\sum_{l_1=0}^{J_Y} Y^{l_1} \psi_\alpha Y^{j_Y-l_1} \psi_\beta Y^{j_Y-l_1} \psi_{\bar{\alpha}} Y^{j_Y-l_1} \psi_\beta Y^{j_Y-l_1} \psi_{\bar{\alpha}} Y^{j_Y-l_1} \psi_\beta Y^{j_Y-l_1}$ |

The superscripts denote charges under $\text{SO}(2)_Z \times \text{SO}(2)_U$ and we have indicated the energy of fluctuations above the giant graviton which itself has energy $N$. As in the case of the string ground state, anomalous dimensions arising from interactions between the Zs and the other fields do not grow in the $N \to \infty$ limit (see the Appendix). As above, anomalous dimension is shifted by $(J - 1)\bar{g}_s / \pi$ presumably matching a one-loop open string effect that was not considered in $[3]$. Anomalous dimensions do not arise due to interactions between $\phi^{1,2}$ and Y or between $\bar{\psi}$ and Y because of the symmetrization of fields within the string of Ys.
Higher oscillators and string spectrum from $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory: To construct the higher oscillator states of open strings in analogy with [3] we can insert operators representing string fluctuations into the worldsheet represented by the string of Ys in (27). (Again we choose not to normalize these operators here to have a unit two point function.) A phase depending on the position of insertion into the string of Ys represents the oscillator level. In effect, the phases reconstruct the Fourier representation of a momentum state on the string worldsheet in position space along the string. The operators we can insert include those in (28) corresponding to the directions in which the open string has Neumann boundary conditions. For example:

$$a^7_{-n}|G_N; -1, 0\rangle \leftrightarrow \epsilon_{i_1\cdots i_N} \epsilon^{j_1\cdots j_N} Z_{j_1}^{i_1} \cdots Z_{j_{N-1}}^{i_{N-1}} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{J_Y} Y^l \phi^1 Y^{J_Y-l} \cos \left( \frac{\pi n l}{J_Y} \right) \right)^{i_N}_{j_N}$$

(30)

In addition, although there are no zero modes in directions with Dirichlet boundary conditions for the open string, there are higher oscillator excitations. These will correspond to insertions of

$$\bar{a}^i \leftrightarrow D_i Y \quad i = 1 \cdots 4$$
$$\bar{a}^{5,6} \leftrightarrow \phi^{5,6}$$

(31)

with a position dependent phase $\sin \left( \frac{\pi n l}{J_Y} \right)$:

$$a^5_{-n}|G_N; -1, 0\rangle \leftrightarrow \epsilon_{i_1\cdots i_N} \epsilon^{j_1\cdots j_N} Z_{j_1}^{i_1} \cdots Z_{j_{N-1}}^{i_{N-1}} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{J_Y} Y^l \phi^5 Y^{J_Y-l} \sin \left( \frac{\pi n l}{J_Y} \right) \right)^{i_N}_{j_N}$$

(32)

The higher fermionic oscillators correspond to similar insertions of $\psi_\alpha$ and $\dot{\psi}_\alpha$ with similar phases. Note that the phase $\frac{\pi n l}{J_Y}$ is half of the phase appearing in the closed string construction of [3]. This is necessary to correctly reproduce the open string spectrum from field theory.

All operators constructed as in (30,32) carry charge $J_Y$ under SO(2)$_Y$ and so to compare the string spectrum (26) to the field theory we need only compute the conformal dimension of the operator. Although the interactions between Zs and the operators within the string of Ys continue to be suppressed as for the zero modes (see the Appendix), the presence of phases in (30,32) leads to anomalous dimensions that we must compute in order to match the spectrum (26) [3]. Below we will work with the example (30) but an identical story applies to all the other operators. (We leave out most of the details of the calculation since it is exactly parallel to the work in [3].)

To start it is useful to expand the energies (26) in a power series in $gN/J_Y^2$:

$$\omega_n = (\Delta - J_Y)_n = 1 + \frac{\pi gN n^2}{2 J_Y^2} + \cdots$$

(33)
The classical dimension of (30) is \( \tilde{\Delta} = N - 1 + J_Y + 1 = N + J_Y \). In the interacting theory anomalous dimensions will develop. To study this we have to compute correlation functions of (30). Even in the free limit, there are many non-planar diagrams in these correlators which are not suppressed even at large \( N \) because the operator itself has dimension comparable to \( N \) [13]. However, within any diagram the interactions between the Ys and themselves is dominated by planar sub-diagrams because \( J_Y \sim \sqrt{N} \) and because when \( N \) is large nonplanarity only becomes important when more that \( \sim N^{2/3} \) fields are involved [13]. The free contractions between Zs and themselves and the Ys and themselves give rise to the classical dimension of the operators. Interactions between Ys and Zs are discussed in the Appendix and give rise to an anomalous dimension of \((J - 1)g_s/\pi\). As above, we expect this shift to be related to a one-loop open string effect in the pp-wave spacetime.

If we introduce an additional operator \( O \) within the string of Ys as in (30), there will be further interactions between \( O \) and Y which we will discuss here. There are also interactions between \( O \) and Z, which, as we show in the Appendix, lead to small corrections that vanish in the large \( N \) limit. The diagrams connecting \( O \) and Y arise because of the 4 point vertex in the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) theory. For the operator (30) the relevant vertex is:

\[
\mathcal{G}^2_{YM} \text{tr}([Y, \phi^1][\bar{Y}, \phi^1])
\]

This can lead to diagrams which exchange the position of \( \phi \) and Y in the string of Ys in (30) while leaving the Zs untouched. Summing all such diagrams is a computation almost identical to Appendix A of [3]. The only difference arises from the different position dependent phase in relating the higher oscillators to operators as in (30). The result is:

\[
(\Delta - J_Y)_n = 1 + \frac{\pi g N n^2}{2 J_Y^2}
\]

This correctly reproduces the first order correction to the energy in (33). In fact, the full square root in (26) can be straightforwardly reproduced by iterating the interaction along the lines of Appendix A in [3]. (Again, the interaction between the Zs and the other fields will shift the 1 to \( 1 + (J - 1)g_s/\pi \) as discussed earlier.)

In Sec. 2 we discussed how the \( G^2 \) low energy fluctuations of \( G \) coinciding giants can arise from states with multiple determinants with intertwined indices (see the discussion around (7) and (8)). A similar construction in the Penrose limit of G coinciding D3-branes yields strings stretched between each pair of branes. The spectra of each of these strings is identical and is reproduced as above. The presence of a Chan-Paton factor labelling the string endpoints is confirmed by point-splitting the location of the determinant operators in Yang-Mills theory. At low energies these strings must give rise to a new \( U(G) \) gauge theory. Note, however, that only gauge-invariant operators built from this theory will be visible unless the \( U(G) \) is broken by separating the branes. This is related to the observation in Sec. 2 that when the
branes coincide, all the $G^2$ operators describing fluctuations of the multiple giants become identical.

4 Discussion

In this paper we have shown how open strings can emerge in pure supersymmetric SU(N) Yang-Mills theory as fluctuations around very heavy states with energy of order $N$, which map onto spherical D-branes in $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$. In a large momentum limit we have explicitly constructed the open string worldsheet and its spectrum of oscillations from Yang-Mills theory. This shows that the large $N$ limit of SU(N) Yang-Mills theory cannot be described as a closed string theory alone – open strings are also needed.

The emergence of open strings in this way sheds an interesting light on the difficult problem of achieving a background independent formulation of M theory. $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills theory is a second-quantized theory and is supposed to give us a non-perturbative definition of string theory. If this is really so all the different backgrounds of string theory, and their holographic duals should somehow emerge from different sectors of Yang-Mills theory. Our results in this paper point to one interesting way in which this can happen: large charge limits of Yang-Mills theory give rise to new holographic dualities. Consider SU(N) theory in a state obtained by acting with $G \gg N$ sub-determinants. This theory is dual to $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ with length scale $R_N = (4\pi \alpha'^2 g_s N)^{1/4}$ and $G$ giant gravitons. After back-reaction the resulting spacetimes are charged black holes of $\text{AdS}_5$ whose singularity can be understood as a condensate of giant gravitons [22] (also see [16]). Since the number of D3 brane giants exceeds the number of background branes creating the spacetime, we expect that near the giants, a new $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ will emerge with length scale $R_G = (4\pi \alpha'^2 g_s G)^{1/4}$ and an SU(G) holographic dual. Evidence for this was given in [16] from considerations of black hole entropy. From the perspective of the dual SU(N) theory, we expect that in a sector with $G \gg N$ subdeterminants fluctuations give rise to a new SU(G) gauge theory in the infrared. The results of this paper suggest how this happens. The open strings running between the giant gravitons in AdS space emerge explicitly from the Yang-Mills theory as we have indicated. In the appropriate limit these strings will give rise to a new SU(G) Yang-Mills theory dual to the large-charge limit of the charged AdS$_5$ black holes.

The above discussion and [16] suggest that in a sector where SU(N) Yang-Mills theory carries an R-charge $J \gg N$, the convenient holographic description is in terms of operators on the worldvolume of D3-brane giants that are present in the system. Curiously, from the point of view of the original Yang-Mills dual to AdS$_5$, the giant worldvolume is some sort of “internal” direction, as is the entire $S^5$ on which the giant rotates. If there were a way to see the 3-brane worldvolume explicitly in Yang-Mills
theory, just as string worldsheets are explicit in Penrose limits, this intuition could
be made precise. Note that in the pp-wave limit of $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ \cite{3}, there are two $R^4$
factors, one coming from the AdS space and the other from the sphere. Interestingly,
the pp-wave limit, as a sector of Yang-Mills with R-charge of order $\sqrt{N}$ is somehow
halfway to the point where giant gravitons dominate the physics of AdS. In effect, as
the R-charge increases, the infrared of the Yang-Mills theory on $S^3 \times R$
that is dual to $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ interpolates to the holographic dual to pp-waves \cite{23} and then back to
a new Yang-Mills theory now defined on a different sphere.
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A Protected dimensions in the $N \to \infty$ limit

The operator corresponding to the open string ground state in \cite{27},

$$
O = \epsilon_{i_1 \ldots i_N} \epsilon^{j_1 \ldots j_N} Z_{i_1}^{j_1} \cdots Z_{i_{N-1}}^{j_{N-1}} (Y^j)^{i_N}_{j_N}
$$

is not BPS with respect to the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ algebra. As such, its dimension is not protected.
In particular, it can develop an anomalous dimension due to an interaction between
the Y and Zs. In this appendix, we will show that such an anomalous dimension does
not grow in the $N \to \infty$ limit relevant to pp-waves.

The relevant part of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM is

$$
S = \frac{1}{2 \pi g_s} \int d^4 x \tr \left( \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + D_\mu Z D^\mu Z + D_\mu Y D^\mu Y + [Z, Z][Y, Y] - 2[Z, Y][Z, Y] \right)
$$

Where $\tr([Z, Z][Y, Y])$ is the D-term potential, and $-2\tr([Z, Y][Z, Y])$ is the F-term
potential. According to the argument in Appendix B in \cite{25}, The D-term and gluon
exchange cancel at one loop order (this is based on techniques in previous papers
\cite{26, 27}), so we only need to consider the contributions from F-term. The scalar
propagators are

$$
\langle Z_i^j (x) Z_k^l (0) \rangle = \langle Y_i^j (x) Y_k^l (0) \rangle = \delta_i^l \delta_j^k \frac{2 \pi g_s}{4 \pi^2} \frac{1}{|x|^2},
$$

The two point function can be written as the sum of a free and an one-loop
interacting part:

$$
\langle O(x) O^*(0) \rangle = \langle O(x) O^*(0) \rangle_f + \langle O(x) O^*(0) \rangle_i.
$$
The free part is straightforward to calculate. The result is:

$$\langle O(x)O^*(0) \rangle_f = (N-1)! \frac{1}{N!^2} \frac{1}{|x|^{2(N+J-1)}} N^{J-1} \left( \frac{2\pi g_s}{4\pi^2} \right)^{N+J-1} = \frac{C}{|x|^{2\Delta}} \quad (40)$$

where $C \equiv (N-1)! \frac{1}{N!^2} \frac{1}{|x|^{2(N+J-1)}} (2\pi g_s)^{N+J-1}$ and $\Delta = (N+J-1)$. The factor of $(N-1)!$ counts the number of contractions between the $Z$s, $N!^2$ is the result of contracting four $\epsilon$ tensors in pairs and $N^{J-1}$ results from $J-1$ loops from contracting the $Y$s planarly.

The interacting part receives contributions from F-term potential

$$V_F = -2\text{tr}([Z,Y][Z,Y]) = -2\text{tr}(ZYZY + ZYZZ - ZYZZ - YZZY) \quad (41)$$

The calculation of the one-loop interactive part is to insert the operator $-\frac{1}{2\pi g_s} V_F$, then integrate the three point function over the position of the inserted operator. First we consider inserting $-\frac{1}{\pi g_s} \text{tr}(ZYZY(y))$,

$$\int d^4y \langle O(x)O^*(0)(-\frac{1}{\pi g_s})\text{tr}(ZYZY(y)) \rangle = \frac{1}{|x|^{2\Delta}} \left( \frac{2\pi g_s}{4\pi^2} \right)^{N+J-1} \int d^4y \frac{1}{|y|^{4}|x-y|^4}$$

$\times \epsilon_{i_1 \cdots i_N} \epsilon^{j_1 \cdots j_N} \epsilon_{k_1 \cdots k_N} \epsilon^{l_1 \cdots l_N} \langle Z_{i_1}^j \cdots Z_{i_{N-1}}^j (YJ)^{k_1}_{j_{N-1}} Z_{l_1}^{k_N} \cdots Z_{l_{N-1}}^{k_N} (YJ)^{l_N}_{k_N} : \text{tr}(ZYZ) : \rangle$

we extract the log divergent piece

$$\int d^4y \frac{1}{|y|^{4}|x-y|^4} = 4\pi^2 \log(|x|\Lambda) \quad (42)$$

and the large $N$ power piece

$$\epsilon_{i_1 \cdots i_N} \epsilon^{j_1 \cdots j_N} \epsilon_{k_1 \cdots k_N} \epsilon^{l_1 \cdots l_N} \langle Z_{i_1}^j \cdots Z_{i_{N-1}}^j (YJ)^{k_1}_{j_{N-1}} Z_{l_1}^{k_N} \cdots Z_{l_{N-1}}^{k_N} (YJ)^{l_N}_{k_N} : \text{tr}(ZYZ) : \rangle$$

$$= (N-1)^2(N-2)! \epsilon_{i_1 \cdots i_N} \epsilon^{j_1 \cdots j_N} \epsilon_{k_1 \cdots k_N} \epsilon^{l_1 \cdots l_N} \langle (YJ)^{k_1}_{j_{N-1}} (YJ)^{l_N}_{k_N} : \text{tr}(ZYZ) : \rangle$$

$$= (N-1)^3(N-2)!^3 \langle \langle YJ : \text{tr}(YJ) \rangle : \text{tr}(YJ) : \rangle - \langle \text{tr}(YJ : YJ) \rangle \rangle$$

$$= (N-1)^3(N-2)!^3 (JN^{J+1}) \quad (43)$$

where in the last step the first term dominate and gives $JN^{J+1}$ (The factor of $J$ comes from $J$ ways of contracting). So

$$\int d^4y \langle O(x)O^*(0)(-\frac{1}{\pi g_s})\text{tr}(ZYZY(y)) \rangle = -\frac{J g_s}{\pi} \frac{C}{|x|^{2\Delta}} \log(|x|\Lambda) \quad (44)$$

Similarly

$$\int d^4y \langle O(x)O^*(0)(-\frac{1}{\pi g_s})\text{tr}(YZZY(y)) \rangle = -\frac{J g_s}{\pi} \frac{C}{|x|^{2\Delta}} \log(|x|\Lambda) \quad (45)$$
Now we consider inserting $\frac{1}{\pi g_s} \text{tr}(Z Y Z Y(y))$,
\[
\int d^4 y \langle O(x) O^*(0) \rangle \text{tr}(Z Y Z Y(y)) = \frac{1}{|x|^{2\Delta}} \left( \frac{2\pi g_s}{4\pi^2} \right)^{N+J+1} |x|^4 \int d^4 y \frac{1}{|y|^4 |x-y|^4} 
\]
\[
\times \epsilon_{i_1 \cdots i_N} \epsilon_{j_1 \cdots j_N} \epsilon_{k_1 \cdots k_N} \epsilon_{l_1 \cdots l_N} \langle Z_{i_1}^{j_1} \cdots Z_{i_{N-1}}^{j_{N-1}} (Y)^{k_1}_{j_N} Z^{-1}_{l_1} \cdots Z^{-1}_{l_{N-1}} (Y')^{k_N}_{l_N} : \text{tr}(Z Y Z Y) : \rangle
\]
where the large $N$ power piece is
\[
\epsilon_{i_1 \cdots i_N} \epsilon_{j_1 \cdots j_N} \epsilon_{k_1 \cdots k_N} \epsilon_{l_1 \cdots l_N} \langle Z_{i_1}^{j_1} \cdots Z_{i_{N-1}}^{j_{N-1}} (Y)^{k_1}_{j_N} Z^{-1}_{l_1} \cdots Z^{-1}_{l_{N-1}} (Y')^{k_N}_{l_N} : \text{tr}(Z Y Z Y) : \rangle
\]
\[
= (N-1)^2 (N-2)! \epsilon_{i_1 \cdots i_N} \epsilon^{j_1 \cdots j_N} \epsilon_{k_1 \cdots k_N} \epsilon^{l_1 \cdots l_N}
\]
\[
\times \delta_{i_1}^{j_1} \ldots \delta_{i_{N-2}}^{j_1} \delta_{j_1}^{k_1} \ldots \delta_{j_{N-2}}^{k_1} \delta_{k_1}^{l_1} \ldots \delta_{l_1}^{l_1} \langle (Y)^{j_N}_{i_N} (Y')^{k_N}_{l_N} : \text{tr}(Y Y') \rangle
\]
\[
= (N-1)^2 (N-2)!^3 \langle \text{tr}(Y Y Y') \rangle
\]
\[
= (N-1)^2 (N-2)!^3 (N^2 + 2)
\]
So
\[
\int d^4 y \langle O(x) O^*(0) \rangle \text{tr}(Z Y Z Y(y)) = \frac{g_s}{\pi} \frac{C}{|x|^{2\Delta}} \log(|x|\Lambda) \tag{47}
\]
Similarly
\[
\int d^4 y \langle O(x) O^*(0) \rangle \text{tr}(Y Y Z (y)) = \frac{g_s}{\pi} \frac{C}{|x|^{2\Delta}} \log(|x|\Lambda) \tag{48}
\]
So the total contribution by summing \(\mathbb{H}1\) \(\mathbb{H}5\) \(\mathbb{H}7\) \(\mathbb{H}8\) is
\[
\langle O(x) O^*(0) \rangle_i = - \frac{2(J-1)g_s}{\pi} \frac{C}{|x|^{2\Delta}} \log(|x|\Lambda) \tag{49}
\]
So the anomalous dimension is \((J-1)\frac{2g_s}{\pi}\). It is interesting to note when \(J = 1\), the anomalous dimension cancels. It is what we should expect, since when \(J = 1\) this operator is half BPS operator representing giant graviton in a slightly different direction.

**Anomalous dimensions for operators corresponding to small fluctuations**

In Section 2, we proposed operators \(\mathbb{H}1\) \(\mathbb{H}5\) \(\mathbb{H}6\) corresponding to small fluctuations of spherical D3 branes, and mapped the dimension of these operators to the excitation spectrum obtained in \(\mathbb{H}8\). Being non-BPS, these operators can develop anomalous dimensions. A calculation almost identical to the one above reveals that the anomalous dimensions of these operators are given by the above \((J-1)\frac{2g_s}{\pi}\) plus terms that are at most of order \(\frac{g_s}{N^2}\) and are therefore suppressed in the large $N$ limit.
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