ALGEBRAIC BACKGROUND FOR NUMERICAL METHODS, CONTROL THEORY AND RENORMALIZATION

by

Dominique Manchon

Abstract. — We review some important algebraic structures which appear in a priori remote areas of Mathematics, such as control theory, numerical methods for solving differential equations, and renormalization in Quantum Field Theory. Starting with connected Hopf algebras we will also introduce augmented operads, and devote a substantial part of this chapter to pre-Lie algebras. Other related algebraic structures (Rota-Baxter and dendriform algebras, NAP algebras) will be also mentioned.
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1. Introduction

It is known since the pioneering work of A. Cayley in the Nineteenth century [10] that rooted trees and vector fields on the affine space are closely related. Surprisingly enough, rooted trees also revealed to be a fundamental tool for studying not only the integral curves of vector fields, but also their Runge-Kutta numerical approximations [9].

The rich algebraic structure of the $k$-vector space $\mathcal{T}$ spanned by rooted trees (where $k$ is some field of characteristic zero) can be, in a nutshell, described as follows: $\mathcal{T}$ is both the free pre-Lie algebra with one generator and the free Non-Associative Permutative algebra with one generator [14], [22], and moreover there are two other pre-Lie structures on $\mathcal{T}$, of operadic nature, which show strong compatibility with the first pre-Lie (resp. the NAP) structure ([14], [11], [48]). The Hopf algebra of coordinates on the Butcher group of [9], i.e. the graded dual of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathcal{T})$ (with respect to the Lie bracket given by the the first pre-Lie structure) was first investigated in [21], and intensively studied by D. Kreimer for renormalization purposes in Quantum Field Theory ([19], [39], see also [7]).

This chapter is organized as follows: the first section is devoted to general connected graded or filtered Hopf algebras, including renormalization of their characters. The second section gives a short
presentation of operads in the symmetric monoidal category of vector spaces, and the third section will treat pre-Lie algebras in some detail: in particular we will give a “pedestrian” proof of the Chapoton-Livernet theorem on free pre-Lie algebras. In the last section Rota-Baxter, dendriform and NAP algebras will be introduced.

Acknowledgements: We thank the referees for their careful reading and their suggestions which greatly helped to improve the final version. We also thank Nicolás Andruskiewitsch for illuminating discussions on Hopf algebra filtrations.

2. Hopf algebras: general properties

We choose a base field $k$ of characteristic zero. Most of the material here is borrowed from [47], to which we refer for more details.

2.1. Algebras. — A $k$-algebra is by definition a $k$-vector space $A$ together with a bilinear map $m : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ which is associative. The associativity is expressed by the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{m \otimes I} & A \otimes A \\
I \otimes m & & m \\
A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{m} & A \\
\end{array}
$$

The algebra $A$ is unital if moreover there is a unit $1$ in it. This is expressed by the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
k \otimes A & \xrightarrow{u \otimes I} & A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{I \otimes u} & A \otimes k \\
\sim & & m & & \sim \\
A & & A & & A \\
\end{array}
$$

where $u$ is the map from $k$ to $A$ defined by $u(\lambda) = \lambda 1$. The algebra $A$ is commutative if $m \circ \tau = m$, where $\tau : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ is the flip, defined by $\tau(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$.

A subspace $J \subset A$ is called a subalgebra (resp. a left ideal, right ideal, two-sided ideal) of $A$ if $m(J \otimes J)$ (resp. $m(A \otimes J)$, $m(J \otimes A)$, $m(J \otimes A + A \otimes J)$) is included in $J$.

To any vector space $V$ we can associate its tensor algebra $T(V)$. As a vector space it is defined by:

$$
T(V) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} V^{\otimes k},
$$

with $V^{\otimes 0} = k$ and $V^{\otimes k+1} := V \otimes V^{\otimes k}$. The product is given by the concatenation:

$$
m(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_p, v_{p+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{p+q}) = v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{p+q}.
$$

The embedding of $k = V^{\otimes 0}$ into $T(V)$ gives the unit map $u$. Tensor algebra $T(V)$ is also called the free (unital) algebra generated by $V$. This algebra is characterized by the following universal property: for any linear map $\varphi$ from $V$ to a unital algebra $A$ there is a unique unital algebra morphism $\tilde{\varphi}$ from $T(V)$ to $A$ extending $\varphi$. 


Let $A$ and $B$ be unital $k$-algebras. We put a unital algebra structure on $A \otimes B$ in the following way:

$$(a_1 \otimes b_1)(a_2 \otimes b_2) = a_1a_2 \otimes b_1b_2.$$  

The unit element $1_{A \otimes B}$ is given by $1_A \otimes 1_B$, and the associativity is clear. This multiplication is thus given by:

$$m_{A \otimes B} = (m_A \otimes m_B) \circ \tau_{23},$$

where $\tau_{23} : A \otimes B \otimes A \otimes B \rightarrow A \otimes A \otimes B \otimes B$ is defined by the flip of the two middle factors:

$$\tau_{23}(a_1 \otimes b_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes b_2) = a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes b_1 \otimes b_2.$$

2.2. Coalgebras. — Coalgebras are objects which are somehow dual to algebras: axioms for coalgebras are derived from axioms for algebras by reversing the arrows of the corresponding diagrams:

A $k$-coalgebra is by definition a $k$-vector space $C$ together with a bilinear map $\Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes C$ which is co-associative. The co-associativity is expressed by the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
C \otimes C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & C \otimes C \\
\downarrow{I \otimes \Delta} & & \downarrow{\Delta} \\
C \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & C
\end{array}$$

Coalgebra $C$ is co-unital if moreover there is a co-unit $\varepsilon : C \rightarrow k$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
k \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes I} & C \otimes C \\
\downarrow{I \otimes \varepsilon} & & \downarrow{\Delta} \\
C & \xrightarrow{\sim} & C \otimes k
\end{array}$$

A subspace $J \subset C$ is called a subcoalgebra (resp. a left coideal, right coideal, two-sided coideal) of $C$ if $\Delta(J)$ is contained in $J \otimes J$ (resp. $C \otimes J$, $J \otimes C$, $J \otimes C + C \otimes J$) is included in $J$. The duality alluded to above can be made more precise:

**Proposition 1.** — 1. The linear dual $C^*$ of a co-unital coalgebra $C$ is a unital algebra, with product (resp. unit map) the transpose of the coproduct (resp. of the co-unit).

2. Let $J$ be a linear subspace of $C$. Denote by $J^\perp$ the orthogonal of $J$ in $C^*$. Then:

- $J$ is a two-sided coideal if and only if $J^\perp$ is a subalgebra of $C^*$.
- $J$ is a left coideal if and only if $J^\perp$ is a left ideal of $C^*$.
- $J$ is a right coideal if and only if $J^\perp$ is a right ideal of $C^*$.
- $J$ is a subcoalgebra if and only if $J^\perp$ is a two-sided ideal of $C^*$.

**Proof.** — For any subspace $K$ of $C^*$ we shall denote by $K^\perp$ the subspace of those elements of $C$ on which any element of $K$ vanishes. It coincides with the intersection of the orthogonal of $K$ with $C$, via the canonical embedding $C \hookrightarrow C^{**}$. So we have for any linear subspaces $J \subset C$ and $K \subset C^*$:

$$J^\perp = J, \quad K^\perp \supset K.$$

Suppose that $J$ is a two-sided coideal. Take any $\xi, \eta$ in $J^\perp$. For any $x \in J$ we have:

$$<\xi \eta, x> = <\xi \otimes \eta, \Delta x> = 0,$$

as $\Delta x \subset J \otimes C + C \otimes J$. So $J^\perp$ is a subalgebra of $C^*$. Conversely if $J^\perp$ is a subalgebra then:

$$\Delta J \subset (J^\perp \otimes J^\perp) = J \otimes C + C \otimes J,$$
which proves the first assertion. We leave it to the reader as an exercise to prove the three other assertions along the same lines. 

Dually we have the following:

**Proposition 2.** — Let $K$ a linear subspace of $C^*$. Then:

- $K^\perp$ is a two-sided coideal if and only if $K$ is a subalgebra of $C^*$.
- $K^\perp$ is a left coideal if and only if $K$ is a left ideal of $C^*$.
- $K^\perp$ is a right coideal if and only if $K$ is a right ideal of $C^*$.
- $K^\perp$ is a subcoalgebra if and only if $K$ is a two-sided ideal of $C^*$.

**Proof.** — The linear dual $(C \otimes C)^*$ naturally contains the tensor product $C^* \otimes C^*$. Take as a multiplication the restriction of $t\Delta$ to $C^* \otimes C^*$:

$$m = t\Delta : C^* \otimes C^* \rightarrow C^*,$$

and put $u = t\varepsilon : k \rightarrow C^*$. It is easily seen, by just reverting the arrows of the corresponding diagrams, that coassociativity of $\Delta$ implies associativity of $m$, and that the co-unit property for $\varepsilon$ implies that $u$ is a unit. 

Note that the duality property is not perfect: if the linear dual of a coalgebra is always an algebra, the linear dual of an algebra is not in general a coalgebra. However the restricted dual $A^\circ$ of an algebra $A$ is a coalgebra. It is defined as the space of linear forms on $A$ vanishing on some finite-codimensional ideal $[57]$.

The coalgebra $C$ is cocommutative if $\tau \circ \Delta = \Delta$, where $\tau : C \otimes C \rightarrow C \otimes C$ is the flip. It will be convenient to use Sweedler’s notation:

$$\Delta x = \sum_{(x)} x_1 \otimes x_2.$$

Cocommutativity expresses then as:

$$\sum_{(x)} x_1 \otimes x_2 = \sum_{(x)} x_2 \otimes x_1.$$

Coassociativity reads in Sweedler’s notation:

$$(\Delta \otimes I) \circ \Delta(x) = \sum_{(x)} x_{1:1} \otimes x_{1:2} \otimes x_2 = \sum_{(x)} x_1 \otimes x_{2:1} \otimes x_{2:2} = (I \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta(x),$$

We shall sometimes write the iterated coproduct as:

$$\sum_{(x)} x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_3.$$

Sometimes we shall even mix the two ways of using Sweedler’s notation for the iterated coproduct, in the case we want to keep partially track of how we have constructed it $[20]$. For example,

$$\Delta_3(x) = (I \otimes \Delta \otimes I) \circ (\Delta \otimes I) \circ \Delta(x) = (I \otimes \Delta \otimes I)(\sum_{(x)} x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_3) = \sum_{(x)} x_1 \otimes x_{2:1} \otimes x_{2:2} \otimes x_3.$$
To any vector space $V$ we can associate its tensor coalgebra $T^c(V)$. It is isomorphic to $T(V)$ as a vector space. The coproduct is given by the deconcatenation:
\[
\Delta(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) = \sum_{p=0}^{n} (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_p) \bigotimes (v_{p+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n).
\]

The co-unit is given by the natural projection of $T^c(V)$ onto $k$.

Let $C$ and $D$ be unital $k$-coalgebras. We put a co-unital coalgebra structure on $C \otimes D$ in the following way: the comultiplication is given by:
\[
\Delta_C \otimes_D = \tau_{23} \circ (\Delta_C \otimes \Delta_D),
\]
where $\tau_{23}$ is again the flip of the two middle factors, and the co-unity is given by $\varepsilon_{C \otimes D} = \varepsilon_C \otimes \varepsilon_D$.

**2.3. Convolution product.** — Let $A$ be an algebra and $C$ be a coalgebra (over the same field $k$). Then there is an associative product on the space $L(C,A)$ of linear maps from $C$ to $A$ called the convolution product. It is given by:
\[
\varphi * \psi = m_A \circ (\varphi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta_C.
\]
In Sweedler’s notation it reads:
\[
\varphi * \psi(x) = \sum_{(x)} \varphi(x_1) \psi(x_2).
\]
The associativity is a direct consequence of both associativity of $A$ and coassociativity of $C$.

**2.4. Bialgebras and Hopf algebras.** — A (unital and co-unital) bialgebra is a vector space $H$ endowed with a structure of unital algebra $(m,u)$ and a structure of co-unital coalgebra $(\Delta,\varepsilon)$ which are compatible. The compatibility requirement is that $\Delta$ is an algebra morphism (or equivalently that $m$ is a coalgebra morphism), $\varepsilon$ is an algebra morphism and $u$ is a coalgebra morphism. It is expressed by the commutativity of the three following diagrams:

A Hopf algebra is a bialgebra $H$ together with a linear map $S : H \rightarrow H$ called the antipode, such that the following diagram commutes:
In Sweedler’s notation it reads:
\[ \sum_{(x)} S(x_1)x_2 = \sum_{(x)} x_1S(x_2) = (u \circ \varepsilon)(x). \]

In other words the antipode is an inverse of the identity \( I \) for the convolution product on \( L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}) \). The unit for the convolution is the map \( u \circ \varepsilon \).

A primitive element in a bialgebra \( \mathcal{H} \) is an element \( x \) such that \( \Delta x = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \). A grouplike element is a nonzero element \( x \) such that \( \Delta x = x \otimes x \). Note that grouplike elements make sense in any coalgebra.

A bi-ideal in a bialgebra \( \mathcal{H} \) is a two-sided ideal which is also a two-sided co-ideal. A Hopf ideal in a Hopf algebra \( \mathcal{H} \) is a bi-ideal \( J \) such that \( S(J) \subset J \).

2.5. Some simple examples of Hopf algebras. —

2.5.1. The Hopf algebra of a group. — Let \( G \) be a group, and let \( kG \) be the group algebra (over the field \( k \)). It is by definition the vector space freely generated by the elements of \( G \): the product of \( G \) extends uniquely to a bilinear map from \( kG \times kG \) into \( kG \), hence a multiplication \( m : kG \otimes kG \to kG \), which is associative. The neutral element of \( G \) gives the unit for \( m \). The space \( kG \) is also endowed with a co-unital coalgebra structure, given by:
\[ \Delta(\sum \lambda_i g_i) = \sum \lambda_i g_i \otimes g_i \]
and:
\[ \varepsilon(\sum \lambda_i g_i) = \sum \lambda_i. \]
This defines the coalgebra of the set \( G \): it does not take into account the extra group structure on \( G \), as the algebra structure does.

**Proposition 3.** — The vector space \( kG \) endowed with the algebra and coalgebra structures defined above is a Hopf algebra. The antipode is given by:
\[ S(g) = g^{-1}, g \in G. \]

**Proof.** — The compatibility of the product and the coproduct is an immediate consequence of the following computation: for any \( g, h \in G \) we have:
\[ \Delta(gh) = gh \otimes gh = (g \otimes g)(h \otimes h) = \Delta g \Delta h. \]
Now \( m(S \otimes I)\Delta(g) = g^{-1}g = e \) and similarly for \( m(I \otimes S)\Delta(g) \). But \( e = u \circ \varepsilon(g) \) for any \( g \in G \), so the map \( S \) is indeed the antipode.

**Remark 4.** — if \( G \) were only a semigroup, the same construction would lead to a bialgebra structure on \( kG \): the Hopf algebra structure (i.e. the existence of an antipode) reflects the group structure (the existence of the inverse). We have \( S^2 = I \) in this case, but involutivity of the antipode is not true for general Hopf algebras.

2.5.2. Tensor algebras. — There is a natural structure of cocommutative Hopf algebra on the tensor algebra \( T(V) \) of any vector space \( V \). Namely we define the coproduct \( \Delta \) as the unique algebra morphism from \( T(V) \) into \( T(V) \otimes T(V) \) such that:
\[ \Delta(1) = 1 \otimes 1, \quad \Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x, \quad x \in V. \]
We define the co-unit as the algebra morphism such that \( \varepsilon(1) = 1 \) and \( \varepsilon|_V = 0 \) This endows \( T(V) \) with a cocommutative bialgebra structure. We claim that the principal anti-automorphism:
\[ S(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) = (-1)^nx_n \otimes \cdots \otimes x_1 \]
verifies the axioms of an antipode, so that $T(V)$ is indeed a Hopf algebra. For $x \in V$ we have $S(x) = -x$, hence $S \ast I(x) = I \ast S(x) = 0$. As $V$ generates $T(V)$ as an algebra it is easy to conclude.

2.5.3. Enveloping algebras. — Let $\mathfrak{g}$ a Lie algebra. The universal enveloping algebra is the quotient of the tensor algebra $T(\mathfrak{g})$ by the ideal $J$ generated by $x \otimes y - y \otimes x - [x, y]$, $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 5. — $J$ is a Hopf ideal, i.e. $\Delta(J) \subset H \otimes J + J \otimes H$ and $S(J) \subset J$.

Proof. — The ideal $J$ is generated by primitive elements (according to proposition 7 below), and any ideal generated by primitive elements is a Hopf ideal (very easy and left to the reader).

The quotient of a Hopf algebra by a Hopf ideal is a Hopf algebra. Hence the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is a cocommutative Hopf algebra.

2.6. Some basic properties of Hopf algebras. — We summarize in the proposition below the main properties of the antipode in a Hopf algebra:

Proposition 6. — (cf. [57] proposition 4.0.1) Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hopf algebra with multiplication $m$, co-multiplication $\Delta$, unit $u : 1 \mapsto 1$, co-unit $\varepsilon$ and antipode $S$. Then:

1. $S \circ u = u$ and $\varepsilon \circ S = \varepsilon$.
2. $S$ is an algebra antimorphism and a coalgebra antimorphism, i.e. if $\tau$ denotes the flip we have:
   $$m \circ (S \otimes S) \circ \tau = S \circ m, \quad \tau \circ (S \otimes S) \circ \Delta = \Delta \circ S.$$
3. If $\mathcal{H}$ is commutative or cocommutative, then $S^2 = I$.

For a detailed proof, see Chr. Kassel in [39].

Proposition 7. —
1. If $x$ is a primitive element then $S(x) = -x$.
2. The linear subspace $\text{Prim} \mathcal{H}$ of primitive elements in $\mathcal{H}$ is a Lie algebra.

Proof. — If $x$ is primitive, then $(\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta(x) = 2\varepsilon(x)$. On the other hand, $(\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta(x) = \varepsilon(x)$, so $\varepsilon(x) = 0$. Then:
   $$0 = (u \circ \varepsilon)(x) = m(S \otimes I)\Delta(x) = S(x) + x.$$

Now let $x$ and $y$ be primitive elements of $\mathcal{H}$. Then we can easily compute:

$$\Delta(xy - yx) = (x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x)(y \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y) - (y \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y)(x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x)$$
$$= (xy - yx) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (xy + yx) + x \otimes y + y \otimes x - y \otimes x - x \otimes y$$
$$= (xy - yx) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (xy - yx).$$

3. Connected Hopf algebras

We introduce the crucial property of connectedness for bialgebras. The main interest resides in the possibility to implement recursive procedures in connected bialgebras, the induction taking place with respect to a filtration or a grading. An important example of these techniques is the recursive construction of the antipode, which then “comes for free”, showing that any connected bialgebra is in fact a connected Hopf algebra.
3.1. Connected graded bialgebras. — A graded Hopf algebra on $k$ is a graded $k$-vector space:

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_n$$

endowed with a product $m : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$, a coproduct $\Delta : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, a unit $u : k \to \mathcal{H}$, a co-unit $\varepsilon : \mathcal{H} \to k$ and an antipode $S : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ fulfilling the usual axioms of a Hopf algebra, and such that:

1. $\mathcal{H}_p \mathcal{H}_q \subset \mathcal{H}_{p+q}$
2. $\Delta(\mathcal{H}_n) \subset \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \mathcal{H}_p \otimes \mathcal{H}_q$.

If we do not ask for the existence of an antipode $H$ we get the definition of a graded bialgebra. In a graded bialgebra $\mathcal{H}$ we shall consider the increasing filtration:

$$\mathcal{H}^n = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{n} \mathcal{H}_p.$$ 

It is an easy exercice (left to the reader) to prove that the unit $u$ and the co-unit $\varepsilon$ are degree zero maps, i.e. $1 \in \mathcal{H}_0$ and $\varepsilon(\mathcal{H}_n) = \{0\}$ for $n \geq 1$. One also can show that the antipode $S$, when it exists, is also of degree zero, i.e. $S(\mathcal{H}_n) \subset \mathcal{H}_n$. It can be proved as follows: let $S' : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ be defined so that $S'(x)$ is the $n^\text{th}$ homogeneous component of $S(x)$ when $x$ is homogeneous of degree $n$. We can write down the coproduct $\Delta(x)$ with the Sweedler notation:

$$\Delta(x) = \sum_{(x)} x_1 \otimes x_2,$$

where $x_1$ and $x_2$ are homogeneous of degree, say, $k$ and $n-k$. We have then:

$$m \circ (S' \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \Delta(x) = \sum_{(x)} S'(x_1)x_2 = n\text{th component of } \sum_{(x)} S(x_1)x_2 = \varepsilon(x)1.$$

Similarly, $m \circ (\text{Id} \otimes S') \circ \Delta(x) = \varepsilon(x)1$. By uniqueness of the antipode we get then $S' = S$.

Suppose moreover that $\mathcal{H}$ is connected, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_0$ is one-dimensional. Then we have:

$$\text{Ker } \varepsilon = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{H}_n.$$

**Proposition 8.** — For any $x \in \mathcal{H}^n, n \geq 1$ we can write:

$$\Delta x = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x + \tilde{\Delta} x,$$

$$\tilde{\Delta} x \in \bigoplus_{p+q=n, p \neq 0, q \neq 0} \mathcal{H}_p \otimes \mathcal{H}_q.$$ 

The map $\tilde{\Delta}$ is coassociative on $\text{Ker } \varepsilon$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_k = (I^\otimes k-1 \otimes \tilde{\Delta})(I^\otimes k-2 \otimes \tilde{\Delta})...\tilde{\Delta}$ sends $\mathcal{H}^n$ into $(\mathcal{H}^{n-k})^\otimes k+1$.

**Proof.** — Thanks to connectedness we clearly can write:

$$\Delta x = a(x \otimes 1) + b(1 \otimes x) + \tilde{\Delta} x$$

with $a, b \in k$ and $\tilde{\Delta} x \in \text{Ker } \varepsilon \otimes \text{Ker } \varepsilon$. The co-unit property then tells us that, with $k \otimes \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H} \otimes k$ canonically identified with $\mathcal{H}$:

$$x = (\varepsilon \otimes I)(\Delta x) = bx,$$

$$x = (I \otimes \varepsilon)(\Delta x) = ax,$$

hence $a = b = 1$. We shall use the following two variants of Sweedler’s notation:

$$\Delta x = \sum_{(x)} x_1 \otimes x_2,$$

$$\tilde{\Delta} x = \sum_{(x)} x' \otimes x''.$$
the second being relevant only for \( x \in \text{Ker} \varepsilon \). If \( x \) is homogeneous of degree \( n \) we can suppose that the components \( x_1, x_2, x', x'' \) in the expressions above are homogeneous as well, and we have then \(|x_1| + |x_2| = n\) and \(|x'| + |x''| = n\). We easily compute:

\[
(\Delta \otimes I)\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \otimes 1 + x' \otimes 1 \otimes x'' + 1 \otimes x' \otimes x'' + (\tilde{\Delta} \otimes I)\tilde{\Delta}(x)
\]

and

\[
(I \otimes \Delta)\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \otimes 1 + x' \otimes 1 \otimes x'' + 1 \otimes x' \otimes x'' + (I \otimes \tilde{\Delta})\tilde{\Delta}(x),
\]

hence the co-associativity of \( \tilde{\Delta} \) comes from the one of \( \Delta \). Finally it is easily seen by induction on \( k \) that for any \( x \in \mathcal{H}^n \) we can write:

\[
(7) \quad \tilde{\Delta}_k(x) = \sum x^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{(k+1)},
\]

with \(|x^{(j)}| \geq 1\). The grading imposes:

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} |x^{(j)}| = n,
\]

so the maximum possible for any degree \(|x^{(j)}|\) is \( n - k \).

\[\square\]

3.2. An example: the Hopf algebra of decorated rooted trees. — A rooted tree is an oriented graph with a finite number of vertices, one among them being distinguished as the root, such that any vertex admits exactly one incoming edge, except the root which has no incoming edges. Here is the list of rooted trees up to five vertices:

\[
\begin{align*}
\bullet & \quad \bullet \\
\bullet & \quad \bullet \\
\bullet & \quad \bullet \\
\bullet & \quad \bullet \\
\bullet & \quad \bullet \\
\bullet & \quad \bullet \\
\bullet & \quad \bullet \\
\bullet & \quad \bullet \\
\bullet & \quad \bullet \\
\bullet & \quad \bullet \\
\end{align*}
\]

A rooted forest is a finite collection of rooted trees. The Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{CK}} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_{\text{CK}}^{(n)} \) is the Hopf algebra of rooted forests over \( k \), graded by the number of vertices. It is the free commutative algebra on the linear space \( T \) spanned by nonempty rooted trees. The coproduct on a rooted forest \( u \) (i.e. a product of rooted trees) is described as follows: the set \( U \) of vertices of a forest \( u \) is endowed with a partial order defined by \( x \leq y \) if and only if there is a path from a root to \( y \) passing through \( x \). Any subset \( W \) of the set of vertices \( U \) of \( u \) defines a subforest \( w \) of \( u \) in an obvious manner, i.e. by keeping the edges of \( u \) which link two elements of \( W \). The coproduct is then defined by:

\[
(8) \quad \Delta_{\text{CK}}(u) = \sum_{V \subseteq U, W < V} v \otimes w.
\]

Here the notation \( W < V \) means that \( y < x \) for any vertex \( x \) of \( v \) and any vertex \( y \) of \( w \) such that \( x \) and \( y \) are comparable. Such a couple \((V, W)\) is also called an admissible cut, with crown (or pruning) \( v \) and trunk \( w \). We have for example:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{\text{CK}}(\bullet) &= \bullet \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \bullet + \bullet \otimes \bullet \\
\Delta_{\text{CK}}(\bigvee) &= \bigvee \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \bigvee + 2 \otimes 1 + \bullet \otimes \bullet
\end{align*}
\]
With the restriction that $V$ and $W$ be nonempty (i.e. if $V$ and $W$ give rise to an ordered partition of $U$ into two blocks) we get the restricted coproduct:

\[
\tilde{\Delta}_{CK}(u) = \Delta_{CK}(u) - u \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes u = \sum_{\substack{V \cup W = U \\ W \subset V, V \cup W \neq \emptyset}} v \otimes w,
\]

which is often displayed as $\sum (u) u' \otimes u''$ in Sweedler's notation. The iterated restricted coproduct writes in terms of ordered partitions of $U$ into $n$ blocks:

\[
\tilde{\Delta}^{n-1}_{CK}(u) = \sum_{\substack{V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_n = U \\ V_n < \cdots < V_1, V_j \neq \emptyset}} v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n,
\]

and we get the full iterated coproduct $\Delta^{n-1}_{CK}(u)$ by allowing empty blocks in the formula above. Coassociativity of the coproduct follows immediately.

Note however that the relation $<$ on subsets of vertices is not transitive. The notation $V_n < \cdots < V_1$ is to be understood as $V_i < V_j$ for any $i > j$, $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

### 3.3. Connected filtered bialgebras

A filtered bialgebra on $k$ is a $k$-vector space together with an increasing $\mathbb{N}$-indexed filtration:

\[H^0 \subset H^1 \subset \cdots \subset H^n \subset \cdots, \quad \bigcup_n H^n = H\]

endowed with a product $m : H \otimes H \to H$, a coproduct $\Delta : H \to H \otimes H$, a unit $u : k \to H$, a co-unit $\varepsilon : H \to k$ and an antipode $S : H \to H$ fulfilling the usual axioms of a bialgebra, and such that:

\[
\begin{align*}
H^p \cdot H^q &\subset H^{p+q} \\
\Delta(H^n) &\subset \sum_{p+q=n} H^p \otimes H^q.
\end{align*}
\]

It is easy (and left to the reader) to show that the unit $u$ and the co-unit $\varepsilon$ are of degree zero, if we consider the filtration on the base field $k$ given by $k^0 = \{0\}$ and $k^n = k$ for any $n \geq 1$. Namely, $u(k^n) \subset H^n$ and $\varepsilon(H^n) \subset k^n$ for any $n \geq 0$.

If we ask for the existence of an antipode $S$ we get the definition of a filtered Hopf algebra if the antipode is of degree zero i.e. if:

\[
S(H^n) \subset H^n
\]

for any $n \geq 0$. Contrarily to the graded case, it is not likely that a filtered bialgebra with antipode is automatically a filtered Hopf algebra (see e.g. [51, Lemma 5.2.8], [4] and [3]). The antipode is however of degree zero in the connected case:

For any $x \in H$ we set:

\[
|x| := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N}, \ x \in H^n\}.
\]

Any graded bialgebra or Hopf algebra is obviously filtered by the canonical filtration associated to the grading:

\[
H^n := \bigoplus_{i=0}^n H_i,
\]

and in that case, if $x$ is a homogeneous element, $x$ is of degree $n$ if and only if $|x| = n$. We say that the filtered bialgebra $H$ is connected if $H^0$ is one-dimensional. There is an analogue of proposition $\S$ in the connected filtered case, the proof of which is very similar:
Proposition 9. — For any $x \in \mathcal{H}^n, n \geq 1$ we can write:

$$\Delta x = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x + \Delta x,$$

$$\tilde{\Delta} x \in \sum_{p+q=n, p \neq 0, q \neq 0} \mathcal{H}^p \otimes \mathcal{H}^q.$$  

The map $\tilde{\Delta}$ is coassociative on $\text{Ker } \varepsilon$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_k = (I \otimes I) \cdots (I \otimes I \otimes \tilde{\Delta})$ sends $\mathcal{H}^n$ into $(\mathcal{H}^{n-k}) \otimes I^{k+1}$.

As an easy corollary, the degree of the antipode is also zero in the connected case, i.e. $S(\mathcal{H}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^n$ for any $n$. This is an immediate consequence of the recursive formulae (19) and (20) below.

3.4. The convolution product. — An important result is that any connected filtered bialgebra is indeed a filtered Hopf algebra, in the sense that the antipode comes for free. We give a proof of this fact as well as a recursive formula for the antipode with the help of the convolution product: let $\mathcal{H}$ be a (connected filtered) bialgebra, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be any $k$-algebra (which will be called the target algebra): the convolution product on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A})$ is given by:

$$\varphi \ast \psi(x) = m_{\mathcal{A}}(\varphi \otimes \psi) \Delta(x)$$

$$= \sum_{(x)} \varphi(x_1)\psi(x_2).$$

Proposition 10. — The map $e = u_{\mathcal{A}} \circ \varepsilon$, given by $e(1) = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $e(x) = 0$ for any $x \in \text{Ker } \varepsilon$, is a unit for the convolution product. Moreover the set $G(\mathcal{A}) := \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}), \varphi(1) = 1_{\mathcal{A}} \}$ endowed with the convolution product is a group.

Proof. — The first statement is straightforward. To prove the second let us consider the formal series:

$$\varphi^{*^{-1}}(x) = \left( e - (e - \varphi) \right)^{*^{-1}}(x)$$

$$= \sum_{k \geq 0} (e - \varphi)^{*^k}(x).$$

Using $(e - \varphi)(1) = 0$ we have immediately $(e - \varphi)^{*^k}(1) = 0$, and for any $x \in \text{Ker } \varepsilon$:

$$\varphi^{*^k}(x) = (-1)^k m_{\mathcal{A},k^{-1}}(\varphi \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi) \tilde{\Delta}_k(x).$$

When $x \in \mathcal{H}^n$ this expression vanishes then for $k \geq n + 1$. The formal series ends up then with a finite number of terms for any $x$, which proves the result.

Corollary 11. — Any connected filtered bialgebra $\mathcal{H}$ is a filtered Hopf algebra. The antipode is defined by:

$$S(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0}(ux - I)^{*^k}(x).$$

It is given by $S(1) = 1$ and recursively by any of the two formulae for $x \in \text{Ker } \varepsilon$:

$$S(x) = -x - \sum_{(x)} S(x')x''$$

$$S(x) = -x - \sum_{(x)} x' S(x'').$$

Proof. — The antipode, when it exists, is the inverse of the identity for the convolution product on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$. One just needs then to apply Proposition 10 with $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{H}$. The two recursive formulas come directly from the two equalities:

$$m(S \otimes I)\Delta(x) = m(I \otimes S)\Delta(x) = 0$$

fulfilled by any $x \in \text{Ker } \varepsilon$. □
Let \( g(\mathcal{A}) \) be the subspace of \( \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}) \) formed by the elements \( \alpha \) such that \( \alpha(1) = 0 \). It is clearly a subalgebra of \( \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}) \) for the convolution product. We have:
\[
G(\mathcal{A}) = e + g(\mathcal{A}).
\]
From now on we shall suppose that the ground field \( k \) is of characteristic zero. For any \( x \in \mathcal{H}^n \) the exponential:
\[
e^{x\alpha}(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\alpha^k(x)}{k!}
\]
is a finite sum (ending up at \( k = n \)). It is a bijection from \( g(\mathcal{A}) \) onto \( G(\mathcal{A}) \). Its inverse is given by:
\[
\Log(1 + \alpha)(x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \alpha^k(x).
\]
This sum again ends up at \( k = n \) for any \( x \in \mathcal{H}^n \). Let us introduce a decreasing filtration on \( \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}) \):
\[
\mathcal{L}^n := \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{L}, \alpha|_{\mathcal{H}^n-1} = 0 \}.
\]
Clearly \( \mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{L} \) and \( \mathcal{L}_1 = g(\mathcal{A}) \). We define the valuation \( \text{val} \) of an element \( \varphi \) of \( \mathcal{L} \) as the greatest integer \( k \) such that \( \varphi \) is in \( \mathcal{L}_k \). We shall consider in the sequel the ultrametric distance on \( \mathcal{L} \) induced by the filtration:
\[
d(\varphi, \psi) = 2^{-\text{val}(\varphi-\psi)}.
\]
For any \( \alpha, \beta \in g(\mathcal{A}) \) let \( [\alpha, \beta] = \alpha * \beta - \beta * \alpha \).

**Proposition 12.** — We have the inclusion:
\[
\mathcal{L}^p * \mathcal{L}^q \subset \mathcal{L}^{p+q},
\]
and moreover the metric space \( \mathcal{L} \) endowed with the distance defined by (24) is complete.

**Proof.** — Take any \( x \in \mathcal{H}^{p+q-1} \), and any \( \alpha \in \mathcal{L}_p \) and \( \beta \in \mathcal{L}_q \). We have:
\[
(\alpha * \beta)(x) = \sum_{(x)} \alpha(x_1)\beta(x_2).
\]
Recall that we denote by \( |x| \) the minimal \( n \) such that \( x \in \mathcal{H}^n \). Since \( |x_1| + |x_2| = |x| \leq p + q - 1 \), either \( |x_1| \leq p - 1 \) or \( |x_2| \leq q - 1 \), so the expression vanishes. Now if \( (\psi_n) \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( \mathcal{L} \) it is immediate to see that this sequence is locally stationary, i.e. for any \( x \in \mathcal{H} \) there exists \( N(x) \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \psi_n(x) = \psi_{N(x)}(x) \) for any \( n \geq N(x) \). Then the limit of \( (\psi_n) \) exists and is clearly defined by:
\[
\psi(x) = \psi_{N(x)}(x).
\]

As a corollary the Lie algebra \( \mathcal{L}_1 = g(\mathcal{A}) \) is pro-nilpotent, in a sense that it is the projective limit of the Lie algebras \( g(\mathcal{A})/\mathcal{L}^n \), which are nilpotent.

**3.5. Characters.** — Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be a connected filtered Hopf algebra over \( k \), and let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a \( k \)-algebra. We shall consider unital algebra morphisms from \( \mathcal{H} \) to the target algebra \( \mathcal{A} \). When the algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) is commutative we shall call them slightly abusively characters. We recover of course the usual notion of character when the algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) is the ground field \( k \).

The notion of character involves only the algebra structure of \( \mathcal{H} \). On the other hand the convolution product on \( \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}) \) involves only the coalgebra structure on \( \mathcal{H} \). Let us consider now the full Hopf algebra structure on \( \mathcal{H} \) and see what happens to algebra morphisms with the convolution product:
**Proposition 13.** — Let $\mathcal{H}$ be any Hopf algebra over $k$, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative $k$-algebra. Then the characters from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ form a group $G_1(\mathcal{A})$ under the convolution product, and for any $\varphi \in G_1(\mathcal{A})$ the inverse is given by:

\begin{equation}
\varphi^{-1} = \varphi \circ S.
\end{equation}

**Proof.** — Using the fact that $\Delta$ is an algebra morphism we have for any $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$:

\[ f * g(xy) = \sum_{(x)(y)} f(x_1y_1)g(x_2y_2). \]

If $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative and if $f$ and $g$ are characters we get:

\[
\begin{align*}
    f * g(xy) &= \sum_{(x)(y)} f(x_1)f(y_1)g(x_2)g(y_2) \\
                 &= \sum_{(x)(y)} f(x_1)g(x_2)f(y_1)g(y_2) \\
                 &= (f * g)(x)(f * g)(y).
\end{align*}
\]

The unit $e = u_\mathcal{A} \circ \varepsilon$ is an algebra morphism. The formula for the inverse of a character comes easily from the commutativity of the following diagram:

We call infinitesimal characters with values in the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ those elements $\alpha$ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A})$ such that:

\[ \alpha(xy) = e(x)\alpha(y) + \alpha(x)e(y). \]

**Proposition 14.** — Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a connected filtered Hopf algebra, and suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a commutative algebra. Let $G_1(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_1(\mathcal{A})$) be the set of characters of $\mathcal{H}$ with values in $\mathcal{A}$ (resp the set of infinitesimal characters of $\mathcal{H}$ with values in $\mathcal{A}$). Then $G_1(\mathcal{A})$ is a subgroup of $G$, the exponential restricts to a bijection from $\mathfrak{g}_1(\mathcal{A})$ onto $G_1(\mathcal{A})$, and $\mathfrak{g}_1(\mathcal{A})$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$.

**Proof.** — Take two infinitesimal characters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ with values in $\mathcal{A}$ and compute:

\[
(\alpha * \beta)(xy) = \sum_{(x)(y)} \alpha(x_1y_1)\beta(x_2y_2)
\]

\[
= \sum_{(x)(y)} \left( \alpha(x_1)e(y_1) + e(x_1)\alpha(y_1) \right) \cdot \left( \beta(x_2)e(y_2) + e(x_2)\alpha(y_2) \right)
\]

\[
= (\alpha * \beta)(x)e(y) + \alpha(x)\beta(y) + \beta(x)\alpha(y) + e(x)(\alpha * \beta)(y).
\]

Using the commutativity of $\mathcal{A}$ we immediately get:

\[
[\alpha, \beta](xy) = [\alpha, \beta](x)e(y) + e(x)[\alpha, \beta](y),
\]
which shows that \( g_1(\mathcal{A}) \) is a Lie algebra. Now for \( \alpha \in g_1(\mathcal{A}) \) we have:
\[
\alpha^{*n}(xy) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \alpha^{*k}(x)\alpha^{*(n-k)}(y),
\]
as easily seen by induction on \( n \). A straightforward computation then yields:
\[
\exp(\alpha)(xy) = \exp(\alpha)(x)\exp(\alpha)(y),
\]
with
\[
\exp \alpha := \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\alpha^k}{k!} = e + \alpha + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} + \cdots.
\]
The series above makes sense thanks to connectedness, as explained in Paragraph 3.4. Now let \( \varphi = e + \gamma \in G_1(\mathcal{A}) \), and let \( \log(\varphi) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{j-1}\gamma^j}{j} \). Set \( \varphi^t := \exp(t \log \varphi) \) for \( t \in k \). It coincides with the \( n \)th convolution power of \( \varphi \) for any integer \( n \). Hence \( \varphi^t \) is an \( \mathcal{A} \)-valued character of \( \mathcal{H} \) for any \( t \in k \). Indeed, for any \( x, y \in \mathcal{H} \) the expression \( \varphi^t(xy) - \varphi^t(x)\varphi^t(y) \) is polynomial in \( t \) and vanishes on all integers, hence vanishes identically. Differentiating with respect to \( t \) at \( t = 0 \) we immediately find that \( \log \varphi \) is an infinitesimal character.

\[\square\]

**3.6. Group schemes and the Cartier-Milnor-Moore-Quillen theorem.** —

**Theorem 15 (Cartier, Milnor, Moore, Quillen).** — Let \( \mathcal{U} \) be a cocommutative connected filtered Hopf algebra and let \( g \) be the Lie algebra of its primitive elements, endowed with the filtration induced by the one of \( \mathcal{U} \), which in turns induces a filtration on the enveloping algebra \( \mathcal{U}(g) \). Then \( \mathcal{U} \) and \( \mathcal{U}(g) \) are isomorphic as filtered Hopf algebras. If \( \mathcal{U} \) is moreover graded, then the two Hopf algebras are isomorphic as graded Hopf algebras.

**Proof.** — The following proof is borrowed from L. Foissy’s thesis. The embedding \( \iota : g \to \mathcal{U} \) obviously induces an algebra morphism
\[
\varphi : \mathcal{U}(g) \to \mathcal{U}.
\]

It is easy to show that \( \varphi \) is also a coalgebra morphism. It remains to show that \( \varphi \) is surjective, injective, and respects the filtrations. Let us first prove the surjectivity by induction on the coradical filtration degree:

\[
d(x) := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N}, \tilde{\Delta}^n(x) = 0\}.
\]

Set \( \mathcal{U}^n := \{x \in \mathcal{U}, d(x) \leq n\} \), and similarly for \( \mathcal{U}(g) \). We can limit ourselves to the kernel of the co-unit. Any \( x \in \mathcal{U}^1 \cap \text{Ker} \varepsilon \) is primitive, hence \( \varphi : \mathcal{U}(g)^1 \to \mathcal{U}^1 \) is obviously a linear isomorphism. Now for \( x \in \mathcal{U}^n \cap \text{Ker} \varepsilon \) (for some integer \( n \geq 2 \)) we can write, using cocommutativity:
\[
\tilde{\Delta}^{n-1}(x) = \sum_{(x)} x^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{(n)}
= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sum_{(x)} x^{(\sigma_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{(\sigma_n)}
\]
where the \( x^{(j)} \)’s are of coradical filtration degree 1, hence primitive. But we also have:
\[
\tilde{\Delta}^{n-1}(x^{(1)} \cdots x^{(n)}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} x^{(\sigma_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{(\sigma_n)}.
\]

Hence the element \( y = x - \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{(x)} x^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{(n)} \) belongs to \( \mathcal{U}^{n-1} \). It is a linear combination of products of primitive elements by induction hypothesis, hence so is \( x \). We have thus proved that \( \mathcal{U} \) is generated by \( g \), which amounts to the surjectivity of \( \varphi \).
Now consider a nonzero element \( u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \) such that \( \varphi(u) = 0 \), and such that \( d(u) \) is minimal. We have already proved \( d(u) \geq 2 \). We now compute:

\[
0 = \Delta(\varphi(u)) = (\varphi \otimes \varphi)\Delta(u) = (\varphi \otimes \varphi)\left(u \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes u + \sum_{(x)} u' \otimes u''\right) = \sum_{(u)} \varphi(u') \otimes \varphi(u'').
\]

By minimality hypothesis on \( d(u) \), we get then \( \sum_{(u)} u' \otimes u'' = 0 \). Hence \( u \) is primitive, i.e. \( d(u) = 1 \), a contradiction. Hence \( \varphi \) is injective. The compatibility with the original filtration or graduation is obvious.

Now let \( \mathcal{H} : \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}^n \) be a connected filtered Hopf algebra and let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a commutative unital algebra. We suppose that the components of the filtration are finite-dimensional. The group \( G_1(\mathcal{A}) \) defined in the previous paragraph depends functorially on the target algebra \( \mathcal{A} \): In particular, when the Hopf algebra \( \mathcal{H} \) itself is commutative, the correspondence \( \mathcal{A} \mapsto G_1(\mathcal{A}) \) is a group scheme. In the graded case with finite-dimensional components, it is possible to reconstruct the Hopf algebra \( \mathcal{H} \) from the group scheme. We have indeed:

**Proposition 16.** —

\[
(30) \quad \mathcal{H} = \left( \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_1(k)) \right)^{\circ},
\]

where \( \mathfrak{g}_1(k) \) is the Lie algebra of infinitesimal characters with values in the base field \( k \), where \( \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_1(k)) \) stands for its enveloping algebra, and where \((-)^\circ\) stands for the graded dual.

In the case when the Hopf algebra \( \mathcal{H} \) is not commutative this is no longer possible to reconstruct it from \( G_1(k) \).

### 3.7. Renormalization in connected filtered Hopf algebras

We describe in this section the renormalization à la Connes-Kreimer ([39], [19]) in the abstract context of connected filtered Hopf algebras: the objects to be renormalized are characters with values in a commutative unital target algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) endowed with a renormalization scheme, i.e. a splitting \( \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_- \oplus \mathcal{A}_+ \) into two subalgebras. An important example is given by the minimal subtraction scheme of the algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) of meromorphic functions of one variable \( z \), where \( \mathcal{A}_+ \) is the algebra of meromorphic functions which are holomorphic at \( z = 0 \), and where \( \mathcal{A}_- = z^{-1} \mathbb{C}[z^{-1}] \) stands for the “polar parts”. Any \( \mathcal{A} \)-valued character \( \varphi \) admits a unique Birkhoff decomposition:

\[
\varphi = \varphi_+^{-1} * \varphi_+,
\]

where \( \varphi_+ \) is an \( \mathcal{A}_+ \)-valued character, and where \( \varphi(\text{Ker} \varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{A}_- \). In the MS scheme case described just above, the renormalized character is the scalar-valued character given by the evaluation of \( \varphi_+ \) at \( z = 0 \) (whereas the evaluation of \( \varphi \) at \( z = 0 \) does not necessarily make sense).

We consider here the situation where the algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) admits a renormalization scheme, i.e. a splitting into two subalgebras:

\[
\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_- \oplus \mathcal{A}_+
\]

with \( 1 \in \mathcal{A}_+ \). Let \( \pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_- \) be the projection on \( \mathcal{A}_- \) parallel to \( \mathcal{A}_+ \).
Theorem 17. — 1. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a connected filtered Hopf algebra. Let $G(A)$ be the group of those $\varphi \in L(\mathcal{H}, A)$ such that $\varphi(1) = 1_A$ endowed with the convolution product. Any $\varphi \in G(A)$ admits a unique Birkhoff decomposition:

\begin{equation}
\varphi = \varphi^* \ast \varphi_+,
\end{equation}

where $\varphi_-$ sends $1$ to $1_A$ and $\text{Ker} \varepsilon$ into $A_-$, and where $\varphi_+$ sends $\mathcal{H}$ into $A_+$. The maps $\varphi_-$ and $\varphi_+$ are given on $\text{Ker} \varepsilon$ by the following recursive formulas:

\begin{align}
\varphi_-(x) &= -\pi \left( \varphi(x) + \sum_{(x)} \varphi_-(x') \varphi(x'') \right) \\
\varphi_+(x) &= (I - \pi) \left( \varphi(x) + \sum_{(x)} \varphi_-(x') \varphi(x'') \right)
\end{align}

2. If the algebra $A$ is commutative and if $\varphi$ is a character, the components $\varphi_-$ and $\varphi_+$ occurring in the Birkhoff decomposition of $\varphi$ are characters as well.

Proof. — The proof goes along the same lines as the proof of Theorem 4 of [19]: for the first assertion it is immediate from the definition of $\pi$ that $\varphi_-$ sends $\text{Ker} \varepsilon$ into $A_-$, and that $\varphi_+$ sends $\text{Ker} \varepsilon$ into $A_+$. It only remains to check equality $\varphi_+ = \varphi_+ \ast \varphi$, which is an easy computation:

\begin{align}
\varphi_+(x) &= (I - \pi) \left( \varphi(x) + \sum_{(x)} \varphi_-(x') \varphi(x'') \right) \\
&= \varphi(x) + \varphi_+(x) + \sum_{(x)} \varphi_-(x') \varphi(x'') \\
&= (\varphi_+ \ast \varphi)(x).
\end{align}

The proof of assertion 2) goes exactly as in [19] and relies on the following Rota-Baxter relation in $A$:

\begin{equation}
\pi(a) \pi(b) = \pi(\pi(a)b + a\pi(b)) - \pi(ab),
\end{equation}

which is easily verified by decomposing $a$ and $b$ into their $A_\pm$-parts. Let $\varphi$ be a character of $\mathcal{H}$ with values in $A$. Suppose that we have $\varphi_-(xy) = \varphi_-(x) \varphi_-(y)$ for any $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $|x| + |y| \leq d - 1$, and compute for $x, y$ such that $|x| + |y| = d$:

\begin{equation}
\varphi_-(xy) = \pi(X) \pi(Y),
\end{equation}

with $X = \varphi(x) - \sum_{(x)} \varphi_-(x') \varphi(x'')$ and $Y = \varphi(y) - \sum_{(y)} \varphi_-(y') \varphi(y'')$. Using the formula:

\begin{equation}
\pi(X) = -\varphi_-(x),
\end{equation}

we get:

\begin{equation}
\varphi_-(x) \varphi_-(y) = -\pi(XY + \varphi_-(x)Y + X \varphi_-(y)),
\end{equation}

hence:

\begin{align}
\varphi_-(x) \varphi_-(y) &= -\pi \left( \varphi(x) \varphi(y) + \varphi_-(x) \varphi(y) + \varphi(x) \varphi_-(y) \\
&\quad + \sum_{(x)} \varphi_-(x') \varphi(x'') \varphi(y) + \sum_{(y)} (\varphi(x) + \varphi_-(x)) \varphi_-(y') \varphi(y'') \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{(x)(y)} \varphi_-(x') \varphi(x'') \varphi_-(y') \varphi(y''),
\end{align}

We have to compare this expression with:

\begin{align}
\varphi_-(xy) &= -\pi \left( \varphi(xy) + \varphi_-(x) \varphi(y) + \varphi_-(y) \varphi(x) \\
&\quad + \sum_{(x)} \varphi_-(x') \varphi(x'') \varphi_-(y) \varphi(y'') \right).
\end{align}
These two expressions are easily seen to be equal using the commutativity of the algebra $A$, the character property for $\varphi$ and the induction hypothesis.

**Remark 18.** — Assertion 2) admits a more conceptual proof (see the notes by K. Ebrahimi-Fard in the present volume), which is based on the following recursive expressions for the components of the Birkhoff decomposition: define the Bogoliubov preparation map as the map $b : G(A) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, A)$ recursively given by:

$$b(\varphi)(x) = \varphi(x) + \sum_{(x)} \varphi_-(x') \varphi(x'') .$$

Then the components of $\varphi$ in the Birkhoff decomposition read:

$$\varphi_- = -\pi \circ b(\varphi), \quad \varphi_+ = (I - \pi) \circ b(\varphi).$$

Bogoliubov preparation map also writes in more concise form:

$$b(\varphi) = \varphi_- \ast (\varphi - e).$$

Plugging equation (37) inside (36) and setting $\alpha := e - \varphi$ we get the following expression for $\varphi_- :$

$$\begin{align*}
\varphi_- & = e + P(\varphi_- \ast \alpha) \\
& = e + P(\alpha) + P(P(\alpha) \ast \alpha) + \cdots + P\left(\underbrace{P(...P(\alpha) \ast \alpha) \cdots \ast \alpha}_{n \text{ times}}\right) + \cdots
\end{align*}$$

where $P : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, A) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, A)$ is the projection defined by $P(\alpha) = \pi \circ \alpha$. The renormalized part $\varphi_+$ satisfies an analogous recursive expression:

$$\begin{align*}
\varphi_+ & = e + \bar{P}(\varphi_- \ast \alpha) \\
& = e + \bar{P}(\varphi_- \ast \beta) \\
& = e + \bar{P}(\beta) + \bar{P}(\bar{P}(\beta) \ast \beta) + \cdots + \bar{P}\left(\underbrace{\bar{P}(\cdots \bar{P}(\beta) \ast \beta) \cdots \ast \beta}_{n \text{ times}}\right) + \cdots
\end{align*}$$

with $\beta := \varphi^{-1} \ast \alpha = e - \varphi^{-1}$, and where $\bar{P} = I - P$ is the projection on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, A)$ defined by $\bar{P}(\alpha) = (I - \pi) \circ \alpha$.

### 4. Pre-Lie algebras

Pre-Lie algebras are sometimes called Vinberg algebras, as they appear in the work of E. B. Vinberg under the name “left-symmetric algebras” on the classification of homogeneous cones. They appear independently at the same time in the work of M. Gerstenhaber on Hochschild cohomology and deformations of algebras, under the name “pre-Lie algebras” which is now the standard terminology. The term “chronological algebras” has also been sometimes used, e.g. in the fundamental work of A. Agrachev and R. Gamkrelidze. The notion itself can be however traced back to the work of A. Cayley which, in modern language, describes the pre-Lie algebra morphism $F_a$ from the pre-Lie algebra of rooted trees into the pre-Lie algebra of vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^n$ sending the one-vertex tree to a given vector field $a$. For a survey emphasizing on geometric aspects, see [8].
4.1. Definition and general properties. — A left pre-Lie algebra over a field $k$ is a \(k\)-vector space \(A\) with a bilinear binary composition \(\rhd\) that satisfies the left pre-Lie identity:

\[(a \rhd b) \rhd c - a \rhd (b \rhd c) = (b \rhd a) \rhd c - b \rhd (a \rhd c),\]

for \(a, b, c \in A\). Analogously, a right pre-Lie algebra is a \(k\)-vector space \(A\) with a binary composition \(<\) that satisfies the right pre-Lie identity:

\[(a < b) < c - a < (b < c) = (a < c) < b - a < (c < b).\]

The left pre-Lie identity rewrites as:

\[L_{[a,b]} = [L_a, L_b],\]

where \(L_a : A \to A\) is defined by \(L_a b = a \rhd b\), and where the bracket on the left-hand side is defined by \([a, b] := a \rhd b - b \rhd a\). As an easy consequence this bracket satisfies the Jacobi identity: If \(A\) is unital (i.e. there exists \(1 \in A\) such that \(1 \rhd a = a \rhd 1 = 1\) for any \(a \in A\)) it is immediate thanks to the fact that \(L : A \to \text{End} A\) is injective. If not, we can add a unit by considering \(\overline{A} := A \oplus k.1\) and extend \(L : \overline{A} \to \text{End} \overline{A}\) accordingly. As any right pre-Lie algebra \((A, <)\) is also a left pre-Lie algebra with product \(a \rhd b := b < a\), one can stick to left pre-Lie algebras, what we shall do unless specifically indicated.

4.2. The group of formal flows. — The following is taken from the paper of A. Agrachev and R. Gamkrelidze \[2\]. Suppose that \(A\) is a left pre-Lie algebra endowed with a compatible decreasing filtration, namely \(A = A_1 \supset A_2 \supset A_3 \supset \cdots\), such that the intersection of the \(A_j\)'s reduces to \(\{0\}\), and such that \(A_p \supset A_q \subset A_{p+q}\). Suppose moreover that \(A\) is complete with respect to this filtration. The Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula:

\[C(a, b) = a + b + \frac{1}{2}[a, b] + \frac{1}{12}([a, [a, b]] + [b, [b, a]]) + \cdots\]

endows then \(A\) with a structure of pro-unipotent group. An example of this situation is given by \(A = hB[[h]]\) where \(B\) is any pre-Lie algebra, and \(A_j = h^j B[[h]]\). This group admits a more transparent presentation as follows: introduce a fictitious unit \(1\) such that \(1 \rhd a = a \rhd 1 = a\) for any \(a \in A\), and define \(W : A \to A\) by:

\[W(a) := e^{L_a} 1 - 1 = a + \frac{1}{2} a \rhd a + \frac{1}{6} a \rhd (a \rhd a) + \cdots.\]

The application \(W\) is clearly a bijection. The inverse, denoted by \(\Omega\), also appears under the name "pre-Lie Magnus expansion" in \[23\]. It verifies the equation:

\[\Omega(a) = \frac{L_{\Omega(a)}}{e^{L_{\Omega(a)}} - Id} a = \sum_{i \geq 0} B_i L_{\Omega(a)}^i a,\]

where the \(B_i\)'s are the Bernoulli numbers. The first few terms are:

\[\Omega(a) = a - \frac{1}{2} a \rhd a + \frac{1}{4} (a \rhd a) \rhd a + \frac{1}{12} a \rhd (a \rhd a) + \cdots\]

Transferring the BCH product by means of the map \(W\), namely:

\[a \# b = W(C(\Omega(a), \Omega(b))),\]

we have \(W(a) \# W(b) = W(C(a, b)) = e^{L_a} e^{L_b} 1 - 1\), hence \(W(a) \# W(b) = W(a) + e^{L_a} W(b)\). The product \# is thus given by the simple formula:

\[a \# b = a + e^{L_{\Omega(a)}} b.\]
The inverse is given by \( a^{-1} = W(−Ω(a)) = e^{-L_Ω(a)}1 − 1 \). If \((A, \triangleright)\) and \((B, \triangleright)\) are two such pre-Lie algebras and \(ψ : A → B\) is a filtration-preserving pre-Lie algebra morphism, it is immediate to check that for any \(a, b ∈ A\) we have:

\[
ψ(a b) = ψ(a)◦ψ(b).
\]

In other words, the group of formal flows is a functor from the category of complete filtered pre-Lie algebras to the category of groups.

When the pre-Lie product \(\triangleright\) is associative, all this simplifies to:

\[
a b = a \triangleright b + a + b
\]

and

\[
a^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 + a} − 1 = \sum_{n≥1}(-1)^n a_n.
\]

4.3. The pre-Lie Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem. — This paragraph exposes a result by D. Guin and J-M. Oudom [32]

**Theorem 19.** — Let \(A\) be any left pre-Lie algebra, and let \(S(A)\) be its symmetric algebra, i.e. the free commutative algebra on \(A\). Let \(A_{\text{Lie}}\) be the underlying Lie algebra of \(A\), i.e. the vector space \(A\) endowed with the Lie bracket given by \( [a, b] = a \triangleright b − b \triangleright a \) for any \(a, b ∈ A\), and let \(U(A)\) be the enveloping algebra of \(A_{\text{Lie}}\), endowed with its usual increasing filtration. Let us consider the associative algebra \(U(A)\) as a left module over itself.

There exists a left \(U(A)\)-module structure on \(S(A)\) and a canonical left \(U(A)\)-module isomorphism \(η : U(A) → S(A)\), such that the associated graded linear map \(Grη : GrU(A) → S(A)\) is an isomorphism of commutative graded algebras.

**Proof.** — The Lie algebra morphism

\[
L : A → \text{End} A
\]

\[
a → (L_a : b → a \triangleright b)
\]

extends by Leibniz rule to a unique Lie algebra morphism \(L : A → \text{Der} S(A)\). Now we claim that the map \(M : A → \text{End} S(A)\) defined by:

\[
M_a u = a u + L_a u
\]

is a Lie algebra morphism. Indeed we have for any \(a, b ∈ A\) and \(u ∈ S(A)\):

\[
M_a M_b u = M_a (b u + L_b u) = abu + a L_b u + L_a (b u) + L_a L_b u = abu + a L_b u + b L_a u + (a \triangleright b) u + L_a L_b u.
\]

Hence

\[
[M_a, M_b] u = (a \triangleright b − b \triangleright a) u + [L_a, L_b] u = M_{[a, b]} u,
\]

which proves the claim. Now \(M\) extends, by universal property of the enveloping algebra, to a unique algebra morphism \(M : U(A) → \text{End} S(A)\). The linear map:

\[
η : U(A) → S(A)
\]

\[
u → M_u 1
\]
is clearly a morphism of left \( \mathcal{U}(A) \)-modules. It is immediately seen by induction that for any \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A \) we have \( \eta(a_1 \cdots a_n) = a_1 \cdots a_n + v \) where \( v \) is a sum of terms of degree \( \leq n - 1 \). This proves the theorem.

**Remark 20.** — Let us recall that the symmetrization map \( \sigma: \mathcal{U}(A) \to S(A) \), uniquely determined by \( \sigma(a^n) = a^n \) for any \( a \in A \) and any integer \( n \), is an isomorphism for the two \( A_{\text{Lie}} \)-module structures given by the adjoint action. This is not the case for the map \( \eta \) defined above. The fact that it is possible to replace the adjoint action of \( \mathcal{U}(A) \) on itself by the simple left multiplication is a remarkable property of pre-Lie algebras, and makes Theorem 19 different from the usual Lie algebra PBW theorem.

Let us finally notice that, if \( p \) stands for the projection from \( S(A) \) onto \( A \), we easily get for any \( a_1, \ldots, a_k \in A \):

\[
(55) \quad p \circ \eta(a_1 \cdots a_k) = L_{a_1} \cdots L_{a_k} 1 = a_1 \triangleright (a_2 \triangleright (\cdots (a_{k-1} \triangleright a_k) \cdots))
\]

by a simple induction on \( k \). The linear isomorphism \( \eta \) transfers the product of the enveloping algebra \( \mathcal{U}(A) \) into a noncommutative product \( * \) on \( S(A) \) defined by:

\[
(56) \quad s * t = \eta(\eta^{-1}(s)\eta^{-1}(t)).
\]

Suppose now that \( A \) is endowed with a complete decreasing compatible filtration as in Paragraph 4.2. This filtration induces a complete decreasing filtration \( S(A) = S(A)_0 \supset S(A)_1 \supset S(A)_2 \supset \cdots \), and the product \( * \) readily extends to the completion \( \hat{S}(A) \). For any \( a \in A \), the application of \( \eta \) gives:

\[
(57) \quad p(e^{*a}) = W(a)
\]

as an equality in the completed symmetric algebra \( \hat{S}(A) \).

According to (49) we can identify the pro-unipotent group \( \{e^{*a}, a \in A\} \subset \hat{S}(A) \) and the group of formal flows of the pre-Lie algebra \( A \) by means of the projection \( p \), namely:

\[
(58) \quad p(e^{*a})#p(e^{*b}) = p(e^{*a} * e^{*b})
\]

for any \( a, b \in A \).

## 5. Algebraic operads

An operad is a combinatorial device which appeared in algebraic topology (J-P. May, [49]), coined for coding “types of algebras”. Hence, for example, a Lie algebra is an algebra over some operad denoted by \( \text{Lie} \), an associative algebra is an algebra over some operad denoted by \( \text{Assoc} \), a commutative algebra is an algebra over some operad denoted by \( \text{Com} \), etc.

### 5.1. Manipulating algebraic operations. —

Algebra starts in most cases with some set \( E \) and some binary operation \( * : E \times E \to E \). The set \( E \) shows most of the time some extra structure. We will stick here to the linear setting, where \( E \) is replaced by a vector space \( V \) (over some base field \( k \)), and \( * \) is bilinear, i.e. a linear map from \( V \otimes V \) into \( V \). A second bilinear map is deduced from the first by permuting the entries:

\[
(59) \quad a *_{\text{op}} b := b * a.
\]

It makes also sense to look at tri-, quadri- and multilineal operations, i.e. linear maps from \( V^\otimes n \) to \( V \) for any \( V \). For example it is very easy to produce twelve trilinear maps starting with the bilinear map \( * \) by considering:

\[
(a, b, c) \mapsto (a * b) * c,
\]

\[
(a, b, c) \mapsto a * (b * c),
\]
and the others deduced by permuting the three entries \( a, b \) and \( c \). One could also introduce some tri-or multilinear operations from scratch, i.e. without deriving them from the bilinear operation \(*\). One can even consider 1-ary and 0-ary operations, the latter being just distinguished elements of \( V \). Note that there is a canonical 1-ary operation, namely the identity map \( e : V \to V \). Note at this stage that the symmetric group \( S_n \) obviously acts on the \( n \)-ary operations on the right by permuting the entries before composing them.

The bilinear operation \(*\) is not arbitrary in general: its properties determine the "type of algebra" considered. For example \( V \) will be an associative or a Lie algebra if for any \( a, b, c \in V \) we have respectively:

\[
(a * b) * c = a * (b * c),
\]

\[
(a * b) * c + (b * c) * a + (c * a) * b = 0, \quad a * b - b * a = 0.
\]

The concept of operad emerges when one tries to rewrite such relations in terms of the operation \(*\) only, discarding the entries \( a, b, c \). For example, the associativity axiom \((60)\) informally expresses itself as follows: composing twice the operation \(*\) in two different ways gives the same result. Otherwise said:

\[
(* o_1 *) + (* o_1 *) \circ \sigma + (* o_1 *) \circ \sigma^2 = 0, \quad * + * \circ \tau = 0,
\]

where \( \tau \) is the flip \((21)\) and \( \sigma \) is the circular permutation \((231)\). The next paragraph will give a precise meaning to these "partial compositions", and we will end up with giving the axioms of an operad, which is the natural framework in which equations like \((62)\) and \((63)\) make sense.

5.2. The operad of multilinear operations. — Let us now look at the prototype of algebraic operads: for any vector space \( V \), the operad \( \text{Endop}(V) \) is given by:

\[
\text{Endop}(V)_n = \mathcal{L}(V^\otimes n, V).
\]

The right action of the symmetric group \( S_n \) on \( \text{Endop}(V)_n \) is induced by the left action of \( S_n \) on \( V^\otimes n \) given by:

\[
\sigma.(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) := v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}.
\]

Elements of \( \text{Endop}(V)_n \) are conveniently represented as boxes with \( n \) inputs and one output: as illustrated by the graphical representation below, the partial composition \( a \circ_i b \) is given by:

\[
a \circ_i b(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{k+l-1}) := a(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i-1} \otimes b(v_i \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i+l-1}) \otimes v_{i+l} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{k+l-1}).
\]

The following result is straightforward:

**Proposition 21.** — For any \( a \in \text{Endop}(V)_k, b \in \text{Endop}(V)_l, c \in \text{Endop}(V)_m \) one has:

\[
(a \circ_i b) \circ_{i+j-1} c = a \circ_i (b \circ_j c), \quad i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}, \ j \in \{1, \ldots, l\} \text{ (nested associativity property)},
\]

\[
(a \circ_i b) \circ_{i+j-1} c = (a \circ_j c) \circ_i b, \quad i, j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}, \ i < j \text{ (disjoint associativity property)}.
\]
The identity \( e : V \to V \) satisfies the following unit property:

\[
\begin{align*}
  e \circ a &= a \\
  a \circ_i e &= a, & i = 1, \ldots, k,
\end{align*}
\]

and finally the following equivariance property is satisfied:

\[
(71) \quad a \circ_i b,\tau = (a \circ_{\sigma} b) \circ_i (\sigma,\tau)
\]

where \( \iota_i(\sigma,\tau) \in S_{k+l-1} \) is defined by letting \( \tau \) permute the set \( E_i = \{i,i+1,\ldots,i+l-1\} \) of cardinality \( l \), and then by letting \( \sigma \) permute the set \( \{1,\ldots,i-1,E_i,i+l,\ldots,k+l-1\} \) of cardinality \( k \).

The two associativity properties are graphically represented as follows:

5.3. A definition for linear operads. — We are now ready to give the precise definition of an algebraic operad:

**Definition 1.** — An operad \( \mathcal{P} \) (in the symmetric monoidal category of \( k \)-vector spaces) is given by a collection of vector spaces \( (\mathcal{P}_n)_{n \geq 0} \), a right action of the symmetric group \( S_n \) on \( \mathcal{P}_n \), a distinguished element \( e \in \mathcal{P}_1 \), and a collection of partial compositions:

\[
\circ_i : \mathcal{P}_k \otimes \mathcal{P}_l \to \mathcal{P}_{k+l-1}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, k
\]

\[
(a,b) \mapsto a \circ_i b
\]

subject to the associativity, unit and equivariance axioms of Proposition 21.

The global composition is defined by:

\[
\gamma : \mathcal{P}_n \otimes \mathcal{P}_{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{P}_{k_n} \to \mathcal{P}_{k_1+\cdots+k_n}
\]

\[
(a,b_1,\ldots,b_n) \mapsto \left( \cdots \left( (a \circ_{n-1} b_{n-1}) \circ_{n-2} b_{n-2} \right) \cdots \right) \circ_1 b_1
\]

and is graphically represented as follows:

The operad \( \mathcal{P} \) is augmented if \( \mathcal{P}_0 = \{0\} \) and \( \mathcal{P}_1 = k.e \). For any operad \( \mathcal{P} \), a \( \mathcal{P} \)-algebra structure on the vector space \( V \) is a morphism of operads from \( \mathcal{P} \) to \( \text{Endop}(V) \). For any two \( \mathcal{P} \)-algebras \( V \) and \( W \), a morphism of \( \mathcal{P} \)-algebras is a linear map \( f : V \to W \) such that for any \( n \geq 0 \) and for any \( \gamma \in \mathcal{P}_n \) the following diagram commutes,
where we have denoted by the same letter $\gamma$ the element of $P_n$ and its images in $\text{Endop}(V)_n$ and $\text{Endop}(W)_n$.

Now let $V$ be any $k$-vector space. The free $P$-algebra is a $P$-algebra $F_P(V)$ endowed with a linear map $\iota : V \hookrightarrow F_P(V)$ such that for any $P$-algebra $A$ and for any linear map $f : V \to A$ there is a unique $P$-algebra morphism $\overline{f} : F_P(V) \to A$ such that $f = \iota \circ \overline{f}$. The free $P$-algebra $F_P(V)$ is unique up to isomorphism, and one can prove that a concrete presentation of it is given by:

$$F_P(V) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} P_n \otimes S_n V^\otimes n,$$

the map $\iota$ being obviously defined. When $V$ is of finite dimension $d$, the corresponding free $P$-algebra is often called the free $P$-algebra with $d$ generators.

There are several other equivalent definitions for an operad. For more details about operads, see e.g. [42], [45].

**5.4. A few examples of operads. —**

**5.4.1. The operad $\text{Assoc}$. —** This operad governs associative algebras. $\text{Assoc}_n$ is given by $k[S_n]$ (the algebra of the symmetric group $S_n$) for any $n \geq 0$, whereas $\text{Assoc}_0 := \{0\}$. The right action of $S_n$ on $\text{Assoc}_n$ is given by linear extension of right multiplication:

$$\left(\sum_i \lambda_i \sigma_i\right) \sigma := \sum_i \lambda_i (\sigma_i \sigma).$$

Let $\sigma \in \text{Assoc}_k$ and $\tau \in \text{Assoc}_l$. The partial compositions are given for any $i = 1, \ldots, k$ by:

$$\sigma \circ_i \tau := \iota_i(\sigma, \tau),$$

with the notations of (71). The reader is invited to check the two associativity axioms, as well as the equivariance axiom which reads:

$$(\sigma \sigma') \circ_i (\tau \tau') = (\sigma \circ_{\sigma'(i)} \tau)(\sigma' \circ_i \tau')$$

for any $\sigma, \sigma' \in \text{Assoc}_k$ and $\tau, \tau' \in \text{Assoc}_l$. Let us denote by $e_k$ the unit element in the symmetric group $S_k$. We obviously have $e_k \circ_i e_l = e_{k+l-1}$ for any $i = 1, \ldots, k$. In particular,

$$e_2 \circ_1 e_2 = e_2 \circ_2 e_2 = e_3.$$

Now let $V$ be an algebra over the operad $\text{Assoc}$, and let $\Phi : \text{Assoc} \to \text{Endop}(V)$ be the corresponding morphism of operads. Let $\mu : V \otimes V \to V$ be the binary operation $\Phi(e_2)$. In view of (110) we have:

$$\mu \circ_1 \mu = \mu \circ_2 \mu.$$

In other words, $\mu$ is associative. As $e_k$ can be obtained, for any $k \geq 3$, by iteratively composing $k-2$ times the element $e_2$, we see that any element of $\text{Assoc}_k$ can be obtained from $e_2$, partial compositions, symmetric group actions and linear combinations. As a consequence, any $k$-ary operation on $V$ which is in the image of $\Phi$ can be obtained in terms of the associative product $\mu$, partial compositions, symmetric group actions and linear combinations. Summing up, an algebra over the operad $\text{Assoc}$ is nothing but an associative algebra. In view of (72), the free $\text{Assoc}$-algebra over a vector space $W$
is the (non-unital) tensor algebra $T^+(W) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} W^\otimes k$.

In the same line of thoughts, the operad governing unital associative algebras is defined similarly, except that the space of 0-ary operations is $k.e_0$ with $e_k \circ_i e_0 = e_{k-1}$ for any $i = 1, \ldots, k$. The unit element $u : k \to V$ of the algebra $V$ is given by $u = \Phi(e_0)$. The free unital algebra over a vector space $W$ is the full tensor algebra $T(W) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} W^\otimes k$.

5.4.2. The operad $\text{Com.}$. — This operad governs commutative associative algebras. $\text{Com}_n$ is one-dimensional for any $n \geq 1$, given by $k.\overline{e}_n$ for any $n \geq 0$, whereas $\text{Com}_0 := \{0\}$. The right action of $S_n$ on $\text{Com}_n$ is trivial. The partial compositions are defined by:

\[
\overline{e}_k \circ_i \overline{e}_l = \overline{e}_{k+l-1} \text{ for any } i = 1, \ldots, k.
\]

The three axioms of an operad are obviously verified. Let $V$ be an algebra over the operad $\text{Com}$, and let $\Phi : \text{Com} \to \text{Endop}(V)$ be the corresponding morphism of operads. Let $\mu : V \otimes V \to V$ be the binary operation $\Phi(\gamma_2)$. We obviously have:

\[
\mu \circ_1 \mu = \mu \circ_2 \mu, \quad \mu = \mu.\tau,
\]

where $\tau \in S_2$ is the flip. Hence $\mu$ is associative and commutative. Here, any $k$-ary operation in the image of $\Phi$ can be obtained, up to a scalar, by iteratively composing $\overline{e}_2$ with itself. Hence an algebra over the operad $\text{Com}$ is nothing but a commutative associative algebra. In view of (72), the free $\text{COM}$-algebra over a vector space $W$ is the (non-unital) symmetric algebra $S^+(W) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} S^k(W)$.

The operad governing unital associative algebras is defined similarly, except that the space of 0-ary operations is $k.e_0$ with $e_k \circ_i e_0 = e_{k-1}$ for any $i = 1, \ldots, k$. The unit element $u : k \to V$ of the algebra $V$ is given by $u = \Phi(e_0)$. The free unital algebra over a vector space $W$ is the full symmetric algebra $S(W) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} S^k(W)$.

The map $e_k \to \overline{e}_k$ is easily seen to define a morphism of operad $\Psi : \text{Assoc} \to \text{Com}$. Hence any $\text{Com}$-algebra is also an $\text{Assoc}$-algebra. This expresses the fact that, forgetting commutativity, a commutative associative algebra is also an associative algebra.

5.4.3. Associative algebras. — Any associative algebra $A$ is some degenerate form of operad: indeed, defining $\mathcal{P}(A)$ by $\mathcal{P}(A)_1 := A$ and $\mathcal{P}(A)_n := \{0\}$ for $n \neq 1$, the collection $\mathcal{P}(A)$ is obviously an operad. An algebra over $\mathcal{P}(A)$ is the same as an $A$-module.

This point of view leads to a more conceptual definition of operads: an operad is nothing but an associative unital algebra in the category of “$S$-objects”, i.e. collections of vector spaces $(\mathcal{P}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with a right action of $S_n$ on $\mathcal{P}_n$. There is a suitable "tensor product" $\boxtimes$ on $S$-objects, however not symmetric, such that the global composition $\gamma$ and the unit $u : k \to \mathcal{P}_1$ (defined by $u(1) = e$) make the following diagrams commute:
These two diagrams commute if and only if $e$ verifies the unit axiom and the partial compositions verify the two associativity axioms and the equivariance axiom \[45\].

6. Pre-Lie algebras (continued)

6.1. Pre-Lie algebras and augmented operads. —

6.1.1. General construction. — We adopt the notations of Paragraph 5. The sum of the partial compositions yields a right pre-Lie algebra structure on the free $P$-algebra with one generator, more precisely on $F^+_P := \bigoplus_{n\geq 2} P_n/S_n$, namely:

\[
\alpha \triangleleft b := \sum_{i=1}^{k} a \circ_i b.
\]

Following F. Chapoton \[13\] one can consider the pro-unipotent group $G_P$ associated with the completion of the pre-Lie algebra $F^+_P$ for the filtration induced by the grading. More precisely Chapoton’s group $G_P$ is given by the elements $g \in \hat{F}_P$ such that $g_1 \neq 0$, whereas $G^e_P$ is the subgroup of $G_P$ formed by elements $g$ such that $g_1 = e$.

Any element $a \in P_n$ gives rise to an $n$-ary operation $\omega_a : F^{<n}_P \to F_P$, and for any $x, y_1, \ldots, y_n \in F^+_P$ we have\[1\] \[48\]:

\[
\omega_a(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \triangleleft x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_a(y_1, \ldots, y_j \triangleleft x, \ldots, y_n).
\]

6.1.2. The pre-Lie operad. — Pre-Lie algebras are algebras over the pre-Lie operad, which has been described in detail by F. Chapoton and M. Livernet in \[14\] as follows: $PL_n$ is the vector space of labelled rooted trees, and partial composition $s \circ_i t$ is given by summing all the possible ways of inserting the tree $t$ inside the tree $s$ at the vertex labelled by $i$.

The free left pre-Lie algebra with one generator is then given by the space $\mathcal{T} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} T_n$ of rooted trees, as quotienting with the symmetric group actions amounts to neglect the labels. The pre-Lie operation $(s, t) \mapsto (s \rightarrow t)$ is given by the sum of the graftings of $s$ on $t$ at all vertices of $t$. As a consequence of \[81\] we have \[48\]:

\[
(s \rightarrow t) \triangleleft u = (s \triangleleft u) \rightarrow t + s \rightarrow (t \triangleleft u).
\]

The first pre-Lie operation $\triangleleft$ comes from the fact that $PL$ is an augmented operad, whereas the second pre-Lie operation $\rightarrow$ comes from the fact that $PL$ is the pre-Lie operad itself! Similarly:

Theorem 22. — The free pre-Lie algebra with $d$ generators is the vector space of rooted trees with $d$ colours, endowed with grafting.

6.2. A pedestrian approach to free pre-Lie algebra. — We give in this paragraph a direct proof of Theorem 22 without using operads. It is similar to the proof of the main theorem in \[14\] about the structure of the pre-Lie operad, except that we consider unlabelled trees. We stick to $d = 1$ (i.e. one generator), the proof for several generators being completely analogous. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the vector space spanned by rooted trees. First of all, the grafting operation is pre-Lie, because for any trees $s$, $t$ and $u$ in $\mathcal{T}$ the expression:

\[
s \rightarrow (t \rightarrow u) - (s \rightarrow t) \rightarrow u
\]

\[1\] We thank Muriel Livernet for having brought this point to our attention.
is obtained by summing up all the possibilities of grafting $s$ and $t$ at some vertex of $u$. As such it is obviously symmetric in $s$ and $t$. Now let $(A,\triangleright)$ be any left pre-Lie algebra, and choose any $a \in A$. In order to prove Theorem 22 for one generator, we have to show that there is a unique pre-Lie algebra morphism $F_a : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow A$ such that $F_a(\bullet) = a$. We obtain easily for the first trees:

$$F_a(\bullet) = a$$
$$F_a(\mathbf{1}) = a \triangleright a$$
$$F_a(\mathbf{1}) = (a \triangleright a) \triangleright a$$
$$F_a(\mathbf{V}) = a \triangleright (a \triangleright a) - (a \triangleright a) \triangleright a.$$ 

Can we continue like this? We proceed by double induction, firstly on the number of vertices, secondly on the number of branches, i.e. the valence of the root. Write any tree $t$ with $n$ vertices as $t = B_+(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$, where the $t_j$’s are the branches, and where $B_+$ is the operator which grafts the branches on a common extra root. By the induction hypothesis on $n$, the images $F_a(t_j)$ are well-defined.

Suppose first that $k = 1$, i.e. $t = B_+(t_1) = t_1 \rightarrow \bullet$. Then we obviously have $F_a(t) = F_a(t_1) \triangleright a$. Suppose now that $F_a(s)$ is unambiguously defined for any tree $s$ with $n$ vertices and $k'$ branches with $k' \leq k - 1$. The equation:

$$t = B_+(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$$
$$= t_1 \rightarrow B_+(t_2, \ldots, t_k) - \sum_{j=2}^{k} B_+(t_2, \ldots, t_{j-1}, t_1 \rightarrow t_j, t_{j+1}, \ldots, t_n)$$

shows that, if $F_a(t)$ exists, it is uniquely defined by:

$$F_a(t) = F_a(t_1) \triangleright F_a(B_+(t_2, \ldots, t_k)) - \sum_{j=2}^{k} F_a(B_+(t_2, \ldots, t_{j-1}, t_1 \rightarrow t_j, t_{j+1}, \ldots, t_n)).$$

What remains to be shown is that this expression does not depend on the choice of the distinguished branch $t_1$. In order to see this, choose a second branch (say $t_2$), and consider the expression:

$$T := t_1 \rightarrow (t_2 \rightarrow B_+(t_3, \ldots, t_k)) - (t_1 \rightarrow t_2) \rightarrow B_+(t_3, \ldots, t_k),$$

which is obtained by grafting $t_1$ and $t_2$ on $B_+(t_3, \ldots, t_k)$. This expression is the sum of five terms:

1. $T_1$, obtained by grafting $t_1$ and $t_2$ on the root. It is nothing but the tree $t$ itself.
2. $T_2$, obtained by grafting $t_1$ on the root and $t_2$ elsewhere.
3. $T_3$, obtained by grafting $t_2$ on the root and $t_1$ elsewhere.
4. $T_4$, obtained by grafting $t_1$ on some branch and $t_2$ on some other branch.
5. $T_5$, obtained by grafting $t_1$ and $t_2$ on the same branch.

The terms $F_a(T_2) + F_a(T_3), F_a(T_4)$ and $F_a(T_5)$ are well-defined by the induction hypothesis on the number of branches, and obviously symmetric in $t_1$ and $t_2$. We thus arrive at:

$$F_a(t) = F_a(t_1) \triangleright \left( F_a(t_2) \triangleright F_a(B_+(t_3, \ldots, t_k)) \right) - \left( F_a(t_1) \triangleright F_a(t_2) \right) \triangleright F_a(B_+(t_3, \ldots, t_k))$$
$$- F_a(T_2) - F_a(T_3) - F_a(T_4) - F_a(T_5),$$

which is symmetric in $t_1$ and $t_2$ thanks to the left pre-Lie relation in $A$. The expression $\mathbf{(11)}$ is then the same if we exchange $t_1$ with the branch $t_2$ or any other branch, hence it is invariant by any permutation of the branches $t_1, \ldots, t_n$. This proves Theorem 22 for one generator. The general case is proven similarly except that we have to replace $a \in A$ by a collection $\{a_1, \ldots, a_d\}$. 
6.3. Right-sided commutative Hopf algebras and the Loday-Ronco theorem. — J.-L. Loday and M. Ronco have found a deep link between pre-Lie algebras and commutative Hopf algebras of a certain type: let $\mathcal{H}$ be a commutative Hopf algebra. Following [44], we say that $\mathcal{H}$ is right-sided if it is free as a commutative algebra, i.e. $\mathcal{H} = S(V)$ for some $k$-vector space $V$, and if the reduced coproduct verifies:

$$\Delta(V) \subset \mathcal{H} \otimes V.$$  \((86)\)

Suppose moreover that $V = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^n$ is graded with finite-dimensional homogeneous components. Then the graded dual $A = V^0$ is a left pre-Lie algebra, and by the Milnor-Moore theorem, the graded dual $\mathcal{H}^0$ is isomorphic to the enveloping algebra $U(A_{\text{Lie}})$ as graded Hopf algebra. Conversely, for any graded pre-Lie algebra $A$ the graded dual $U(A_{\text{Lie}})^0$ is free commutative right-sided ([44] Theorem 5.3).

The Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{CK}$ of rooted forests enters into this framework, and, as it was first explicitied in [12], the associated pre-Lie algebra is the free pre-Lie algebra of rooted trees with grafting: to see this, denote by $(\delta_s)$ the dual basis in the graded dual $\mathcal{H}_{CK}^0$ of the forest basis of $\mathcal{H}_{CK}$. The correspondence $\delta : s \mapsto \delta_s$ extends linearly to a unique vector space isomorphism from $\mathcal{H}_{CK}$ onto $\mathcal{H}_{CK}^0$. For any tree $t$ the corresponding $\delta_t$ is a primitive element of $\mathcal{H}^0$. We denote by $\ast$ the (convolution) product of $\mathcal{H}^0$. We have:

$$\delta_t \ast \delta_u - \delta_u \ast \delta_t = \delta_{t \cup u - u \cup t}. \quad \text{(87)}$$

Here $t \smallfrown u$ is obtained by grafting $t$ on $u$, namely:

$$t \smallfrown u = \sum_v N'(t, u, v)v, \quad \text{(88)}$$

where $N'(t, u, v)$ is the number of partitions $V(t) = V \sqcup W$, $W < V$ such that $v|_V = t$ and $v|_W = u$.

Another normalization is often employed: considering the normalized dual basis $\tilde{\delta}_t = \sigma(t)\delta_t$, where $\sigma(t) = |\text{Aut } t|$ stands for the symmetry factor of $t$, we obviously have:

$$\tilde{\delta}_t \ast \tilde{\delta}_u - \tilde{\delta}_u \ast \tilde{\delta}_t = \tilde{\delta}_{t \cup u - u \cup t}, \quad \text{(89)}$$

where:

$$t \rightarrow u = \sum_v M'(t, u, v)v, \quad \text{(90)}$$

where $M'(t, u, v) = \frac{\sigma(t)\sigma(u)}{\sigma(v)}N'(t, u, v)$ can be interpreted as the number of ways to graft the tree $t$ on the tree $u$ in order to get the tree $v$. The operation $\rightarrow$ then coincides with the grafting free pre-Lie operation introduced in Paragraph 6.1.2\(^2\).

The other pre-Lie operation $\smallfrown$ of Paragraph 6.1.2 more precisely its opposite $\smallfrown$, is associated to another right-sided Hopf algebra of forests $\mathcal{H}$ which has been investigated in [11] and [48], and which can be defined by considering trees as Feynman diagrams (without loops): Let $T'$ be the vector space spanned by rooted trees with at least one edge. Consider the symmetric algebra $\mathcal{H} = S(T')$, which can be seen as the $k$-vector space generated by rooted forests with all connected components containing at least one edge. One identifies the unit of $S(T')$ with the rooted tree $\bullet$. A subforest of a tree $t$ is either the trivial forest $\bullet$, or a collection $(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ of pairwise disjoint subtrees of $t$, each of them containing at least one edge. In particular two subtrees of a subforest cannot have any common vertex.

\(^2\)The two notations $\rightarrow$ and $\smallfrown$ come from [11], but have been exchanged.
Let $s$ be a subforest of a rooted tree $t$. Denote by $t/s$ the tree obtained by contracting each connected component of $s$ onto a vertex. We turn $\mathcal{H}$ into a bialgebra by defining a coproduct $\Delta : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ on each tree $t \in \mathcal{T}'$ by:

$$\Delta(t) = \sum_{s \subseteq t} s \otimes t/s,$$

where the sum runs over all possible subforests (including the unit $\bullet$ and the full subforest $t$). As usual we extend the coproduct $\Delta$ multiplicatively onto $S(\mathcal{T}')$. In fact, co-associativity is easily verified. This makes $\mathcal{H} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_n$ a connected graded bialgebra, hence a Hopf algebra, where the grading is defined in terms of the number of edges. The antipode $S : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is given (recursively with respect to the number of edges) by one of the two following formulas:

$$S(t) = -t - \sum_{s, \bullet \neq s \subseteq t} S(s) t/s,$$

$$S(t) = -t - \sum_{s, \bullet \neq s \subseteq t} s S(t/s).$$

It turns out that $\mathcal{H}_{CK}$ is left comodule coalgebra over $\mathcal{H}[11, 48]$, in the sense that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{H}_{CK} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{CK} \\
\Delta_{CK} \downarrow & & \downarrow I \otimes \Delta_{CK} \\
\mathcal{H}_{CK} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{CK} & \xrightarrow{\Phi \otimes \Phi} & \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{CK} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{CK} \\
\Phi \otimes \Phi \downarrow & & \downarrow m_{1,3} \\
\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{CK} \otimes \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{CK} & & \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{CK} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{CK}
\end{array}$$

Here the coaction $\phi : \mathcal{H}_{CK} \to \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{CK}$ is the algebra morphism given by $\Phi(1) = \bullet \otimes 1$ and $\Phi(t) = \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}(t)$ for any nonempty tree $t$. As a consequence, the group of characters of $\mathcal{H}$ acts on the group of characters of $\mathcal{H}_{CK}$ by automorphisms.

### 6.4. Pre-Lie algebras of vector fields.

#### 6.4.1. Flat torsion-free connections.

Let $M$ be a differentiable manifold, and let $\nabla$ the covariant derivation operator associated to a connection on the tangent bundle $TM$. The covariant derivation is a bilinear operator on vector fields (i.e. two sections of the tangent bundle): $(X,Y) \mapsto \nabla_X Y$ such that the following axioms are fulfilled:

$$\nabla_{fX}Y = f\nabla_X Y,$$

$$\nabla_X(fY) = f\nabla_X Y + (X,f)Y$$

(Leibniz rule).

The torsion of the connection $\tau$ is defined by:

$$\tau(X,Y) = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X,Y],$$

and the curvature tensor is defined by:

$$R(X,Y) = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X,Y]}.$$
**Proposition 23.** — For any smooth manifold $M$ endowed with a flat torsion-free connection $\nabla$, the space $\chi(M)$ of vector fields is a left pre-Lie algebra, with pre-Lie product given by:

$$X \triangleright Y := \nabla_X Y.$$  

Note that on $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ endowed with its canonical flat torsion-free connection, the pre-Lie product is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} (f_i \partial_i) \triangleright (g_j \partial_j) &= f_i(g_j \partial_j) \partial_j. \end{aligned}$$

6.4.2. Relating two pre-Lie structures. — As early as 1857, A. Cayley discovered a link between rooted trees and vector fields on the manifold $\mathbb{R}^n$ endowed with its natural flat torsion free connection, which can be described in modern terms as follows: let $T$ be the free pre-Lie algebra on the space of vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^n$. A basis of $T$ is given by rooted trees with vertices decorated by some basis of $\chi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. There is a unique pre-Lie algebra morphism $\mathcal{Y}$, the Cayley map, such that $\mathcal{Y}(\bullet_X) = X$ for any vector field $X$.

**Proposition 24.** — For any rooted tree $t$, each vertex $v$ being decorated by a vector field $X_v$, the vector field $\mathcal{Y}(t)$ is given at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ by the following recursive procedure: if the decorated tree $t$ is obtained by grafting all its branches $t_r$ on the root $r$ decorated by the vector field $X_r = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \partial_i$, i.e. if it writes $t = B^X_r(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}(\bullet_{X_r}) &= X_r, \\ \mathcal{Y}(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{Y}_i(t) \partial_i \text{ with:} \\ \mathcal{Y}_i(t)(x) &= f_i^{(k)}(x)(\mathcal{Y}(t_1)(x), \ldots, \mathcal{Y}(t_k)(x)), \end{aligned}$$

where $f_i^{(k)}(x)$ stands for the $k$th differential of $f_i$.

**Proof.** — From (97) we get for any vector field $X$ and any other vector field $Y = \sum_{j=1}^n g_j \partial_j$:

$$X \triangleright \sum_{j=1}^n g_j \partial_j = \sum_{j=1}^n (X \cdot g_j) \partial_j.$$  

In other words, $X \triangleright Y$ is the derivative of $Y$ along the vector field $X$, where $Y$ is viewed as a $C^\infty$ map from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$. We prove the result by induction on the number $k$ of branches: for $k = 1$ we check:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}(s \to \bullet_Y)(x) &= (\mathcal{Y}(s) \triangleright \mathcal{Y}(\bullet_Y))(x) \\ &= (\mathcal{Y}(s) \triangleright Y)(x) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (\mathcal{Y}(s) \cdot g_j)(x) \partial_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n g_j(x)(\mathcal{Y}(s)(x)) \partial_j. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can compute, using the Leibniz rule and the induction hypothesis (we drop the point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ where the vector fields are evaluated):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}(B^Y_r(t_1, \ldots, t_k)) &= \mathcal{Y}(t_1 \to B^Y_r(t_2, \ldots, t_k)) - \sum_{r=2}^k \mathcal{Y}(B^Y_r(t_2, \ldots, t_{r-1}, t_1 \to t_r, t_{r+1}, \ldots, t_k)) \\ &= \mathcal{Y}(t_1) \triangleright \sum_{j=1}^n f_i^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{Y}(t_2), \ldots, \mathcal{Y}(t_k)) \partial_j - \sum_{r=2}^k \sum_{j=1}^n f_i^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{Y}(t_2), \ldots, \mathcal{Y}(t_{r-1}), \mathcal{Y}(t_1) \triangleright \mathcal{Y}(t_r), \mathcal{Y}(t_{r-1}), \ldots, \mathcal{Y}(t_k)) \partial_j. \end{aligned}$$
\[= \sum_{j=1}^{n} f^{(k)}_j(Y(t_1), \ldots, Y(t_k)) \partial_j.\]

**Corollary 25 (closed formula).** — For any rooted tree \(t\) with set of vertices \(V(t)\) and root \(r\), each vertex \(v\) being decorated by a vector field \(X_v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X^i_v \partial_i\), the vector field \(Y(t)\) is given at \(x \in \mathbb{R}^n\) by the following formula:

\[
(101) \quad Y(t)(x) = \sum_{F : V(t) \to \{1, \ldots, n\}} \left( \prod_{v \in V(t) - \{r\}} \partial_{I(v)}(X^F(v))(x) \right) \partial_{I(r)}(X^F(r))(x) \partial_{F(r)},
\]

with the shorthand notation:

\[
(102) \quad \partial_{I(v)} := \prod_{w \to v} \partial_{F(w)},
\]

where the product runs over the incoming vertices of \(v\).

Now fix a vector field \(X\) on \(\mathbb{R}^n\) and consider the map \(d_X\) from rooted trees to vector field-decorated rooted trees, which decorates each vertex by \(X\). It is obviously a pre-Lie algebra morphism, and \(F_X := Y \circ d_X\) is the unique pre-Lie algebra morphism which sends the one-vertex tree \(\bullet\) to the vector field \(X\).

### 6.5. B-series, composition and substitution.

— B-series have been defined by E. Hairer and G. Wanner, following the pioneering work of J. Butcher \[9\] on Runge-Kutta methods for the numerical resolution of differential equations. Remarkably enough, rooted trees revealed to be an adequate tool not only for vector fields, but also for the numerical approximation of their integral curves. J. Butcher discovered that the Runge-Kutta methods form a group (since then called the Butcher group), which is nothing but the character group of the Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra \(\mathcal{H}_{CK} [7]\).

Consider any left pre-Lie algebra \((A, \triangleright)\), introduce a fictitious unit \(1\) such that \(1 \triangleright a = a \triangleright 1 = a\) for any \(a \in A\), and consider for any \(a \in A\) the unique left pre-Lie algebra morphism \(F_a : (\mathcal{T}, \rightarrow) \rightarrow (A, \triangleright)\) such that \(F_a(\bullet) = a\). A B-series is an element of \(hA[[h]] \oplus k1\) defined by:

\[
(103) \quad B(\alpha; a) := \alpha(\emptyset)1 + \sum_{s \in \mathcal{T}} h^{\nu(s)} \frac{\alpha(s)}{\sigma(s)} F_a(s),
\]

where \(\alpha\) is any linear form on \(\mathcal{T} \oplus k\emptyset\) (here \(\sigma(s)\) is the symmetry factor of the tree, i.e. the order of its group of automorphisms). It matches the usual notion of B-series \[36\] when \(A\) is the pre-Lie algebra of vector fields on \(\mathbb{R}^n\) (it is also convenient to set \(F_a(\emptyset) = 1\)). In this case, the vector fields \(F_a(t)\) for a tree \(t\) are differentiable maps from \(\mathbb{R}^n\) to \(\mathbb{R}^n\) called elementary differentials. B-series can be composed coefficientwise, as series in the indeterminate \(h\) whose coefficients are maps from \(\mathbb{R}^n\) to \(\mathbb{R}^n\). The same definition with trees decorated by a set of colours \(D\) leads to straightforward generalizations. For example P-series used in partitioned Runge-Kutta methods \[36\] correspond to bi-coloured trees.

A slightly different way of defining B-series is the following: consider the unique pre-Lie algebra morphism \(F_a : \tilde{T} \rightarrow hA[[h]]\) such that \(F_a(\bullet) = ha\). It respects the gradings given by the number of vertices and the powers of \(h\) respectively, hence it extends to \(\tilde{F}_a : \tilde{T} \rightarrow hA[[[h]]\), where \(\tilde{T}\) is the completion of \(T\) with respect to the grading. We further extend it to the empty tree by setting \(\tilde{F}_a(\emptyset) = 1\). We have then:

\[
(104) \quad B(\alpha; a) = \tilde{F}_a \circ \delta^{-1}(\alpha),
\]
where \( \tilde{\delta} \) is the isomorphism from \( \hat{T} \oplus k\emptyset \) to \( (T \oplus k\emptyset)^* \) given by the normalized dual basis (see Paragraph 6.3).

We restrict ourselves to \( B \)-series \( B(\alpha; a) \) with \( \alpha(\emptyset) = 1 \). Such \( \alpha \)'s are in one-to-one correspondence with characters of the algebra of forests (which is the underlying algebra of \( \mathcal{H}_{CK} \)) by setting:

\[
\alpha(t_1 \cdots t_k) := \alpha(t_1) \cdots \alpha(t_k).
\]

The Hairer-Wanner theorem [36, Theorem III.1.10] says that composition of \( B \)-series corresponds to the convolution product of characters of \( \mathcal{H}_{CK} \), namely:

\[
B(\beta; a) \circ B(\alpha; a) = B(\alpha \ast \beta, a),
\]

where linear forms \( \alpha, \beta \) on \( T \oplus k\emptyset \) and their character counterparts are identified modulo the above correspondence.

Let us now turn to substitution, after [16]. The idea is to replace the vector field \( a \) in a \( B \)-series \( B(\beta; a) \) by another vector field \( \tilde{a} \) which expresses itself as a \( B \)-series, i.e. \( \tilde{a} = h^{-1}B(\alpha; a) \) where \( \alpha \) is now a linear form on \( T \oplus k\emptyset \) such that \( \alpha(\emptyset) = 0 \). We suppose here moreover that \( \alpha(\bullet) = 1 \). Such \( \alpha \)'s are in one-to-one correspondence with characters of \( \mathbb{H} \). The following proposition is proved in [11]:

**Proposition 26.** — For any linear forms \( \alpha, \beta \) on \( T \) with \( \alpha(\bullet) = 1 \), we have:

\[
B(\beta; \frac{1}{h}B(\alpha; a)) = B(\alpha \ast \beta; a),
\]

where \( \alpha \) is multiplicatively extended to forests, \( \beta \) is seen as an infinitesimal character of \( \mathcal{H}_{CK} \) and where \( \ast \) is the dualization of the left coaction \( \Phi \) of \( \mathcal{H} \) on \( \mathcal{H}_{CK} \) defined in Paragraph 6.3.

The condition \( \alpha(\bullet) = 1 \) is in fact dropped in [11, Proposition 15]: the price to pay is that one has to replace the Hopf algebra \( \mathcal{H} \) by a non-connected bialgebra \( \tilde{\mathbb{H}} = S(T) \) with a suitable coproduct, such that \( \mathcal{H} \) is obtained as the quotient \( \tilde{\mathbb{H}}/\mathcal{J} \), where \( \mathcal{J} \) is the ideal generated by \( \bullet - 1 \). The substitution product \( \ast \) then coincides with the one considered in [16] via natural identifications.

### 7. Other related algebraic structures

**7.1. NAP algebras.** — NAP algebras (NAP for Non-Associative Permutative) appear under this name in [40], and under the name “left- (right-)commutative algebras” in [22]. They can be seen in some sense as a “simplified version” of pre-Lie algebras.

**7.1.1. Definition and general properties.** — A left NAP algebra over a field \( k \) is a \( k \)-vector space \( A \) with a bilinear binary composition \( \triangleright \) that satisfies the left NAP identity:

\[
a \triangleright (b \triangleright c) = b \triangleright (a \triangleright c).
\]

for any \( a, b, c \in A \). Analogously, a right NAP algebra is a \( k \)-vector space \( A \) with a binary composition \( \triangleleft \) satisfying the right NAP identity:

\[
(a \triangleleft b) \triangleleft c = (a \triangleleft c) \triangleleft b.
\]

As any right NAP algebra is also a left NAP algebra with product \( a \triangleright b := b \triangleleft a \), one can stick to left NAP algebras, what we shall do unless specifically indicated.
7.1.2. Free NAP algebras. — The left Butcher product \( s \circ t \) of two rooted trees \( s \) and \( t \) is defined by grafting \( s \) on the root of \( t \). For example:

\[
\begin{align*}
\circ \downarrow &= \Upsilon, & \downarrow \circ \downarrow &= \Upsilon.
\end{align*}
\]

The following theorem is due to A. Dzhumadil’daev and C. Löfwall \[22\], see also \[40\] for an operadic approach:

**Theorem 27.** — The free NAP algebra with \( d \) generators is the vector space spanned by rooted trees with \( d \) colours, endowed with the left Butcher product.

**Proof.** — We give the proof for one generator, the case of \( d \) generators being entirely similar. The left NAP property for the Butcher product is obvious. Let \((A, \triangleright)\) be any left NAP algebra, and let \( a \in A \). We have to prove that there exists a unique left NAP algebra morphism \( G_a \) from \((T, \circ)\) to \((A, \triangleright)\) such that \( G_a(\bullet) = a \). As in the pre-Lie case, we proceed by double induction, first on the number \( n \) of vertices, second on the number \( k \) of branches. In the case \( k = 1 \) the tree \( t \) writes \( B_+ + (t_1) = t_1 \circ \bullet \), hence \( G_a(t) = G_a(s) \triangleright a \) is the only possible choice. Now a tree with \( k \) branches writes:

\[
(111)\quad t = B_+(t_1, \ldots, t_k) = t_1 \circ (t_2 \circ \cdots \circ (t_k \circ \bullet)\ldots).
\]

The only possible choice is then:

\[
(112)\quad G_a(t) = G_a(t_1) \triangleright (G_a(t_2) \triangleright \cdots \triangleright (G_a(t_k) \triangleright a)\ldots),
\]

and the result is clearly symmetric in \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) due to the left NAP identity in \( A \). Using the induction hypothesis the result is also invariant under permutation of the branches 2, 3, \ldots, \( k \). Hence it is invariant under the permutation of all branches, which proves the theorem. \( \square \)

Despite the similarity with the pre-Lie situation described in Paragraph 6.2, the NAP framework is much simpler due to the set-theoretic nature of the Butcher product: for any trees \( s \) and \( t \), the Butcher product \( s \circ t \) is a tree whereas the grafting \( s \to t \) is a sum of trees. We obtain for the first trees:

\[
\begin{align*}
G_a(\bullet) &= a \\
G_a(\downarrow) &= a \triangleright a \\
G_a(\downarrow \downarrow) &= (a \triangleright a) \triangleright a \\
G_a(\Upsilon) &= a \triangleright (a \triangleright a).
\end{align*}
\]

7.1.3. NAP algebras of vector fields. — We consider the flat affine \( n \)-dimensional space \( E_n \) although it is possible, through parallel transport, to consider any smooth manifold endowed with a flat torsion-free connection. Fix an origin in \( E_n \), which will be denoted by \( O \). For vector fields \( X = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \partial_i \) and \( Y = \sum_{j=1}^n g_j \partial_j \) we set:

\[
(113)\quad X \triangleright_O Y = \sum_{j=1}^n (X_O g_j) \partial_j,
\]

where \( X_O := \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(O) \partial_i \) is the constant vector field obtained by freezing the coefficients of \( X \) at \( x = O \).

**Proposition 28.** — The space \( \chi(\mathbb{R}^n) \) of vector fields endowed with product \( \triangleright_O \) is a left NAP algebra. Moreover, for any other choice of origin \( O' \in E_n \), the conjugation with the translation of vector \( O'O \) is an isomorphism from \( (\chi(\mathbb{R}^n), \triangleright_O) \) onto \( (\chi(\mathbb{R}^n), \triangleright_{O'}) \).
Proof. — Let \( X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i \partial_i, Y = \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j \partial_j \) and \( Z = \sum_{k=1}^{n} h_k \partial_k \) be three vector fields. Then:

\[
X \circ Y = \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{\partial_k}(Y_{\partial_k}) \partial_k
\]

is symmetric in \( X \) and \( Y \), due to the fact that the two constant vector fields \( X_O \) and \( Y_O \) commute. The second assertion is left as an exercise for the reader.

With the notations of Paragraph 6.4 there is a unique NAP algebra morphism

\[
(115) \quad Y_O : (T, o) \rightarrow (\chi(\mathbb{R}^n), o),
\]

the frozen Cayley map, such that \( Y_O(\bullet X) = X \). Considering also the unique NAP algebra morphism \( G_{X,O} = Y_O \circ d_X : (T, o) \rightarrow (\chi(\mathbb{R}^n), o) \), the maps \( G_{X,O}(t) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) are called the frozen elementary differentials.

Proposition 29. — For any rooted tree \( t \), each vertex \( v \) being decorated by a vector field \( X_v \), the vector field \( Y_O(t) \) is given at \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) by the following recursive procedure: if the decorated tree \( t \) is obtained by grafting all its branches \( t_k \) on the root \( r \) decorated by the vector field \( X_r = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i \partial_i \), i.e. if it writes \( t = B^X_r(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \), then:

\[
Y_O(\bullet X_r) = X_r,
\]

\[
Y_O(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{O,i}(t) \partial_i \text{ with :}
\]

\[
(117) \quad Y_{O,i}(t) = f_i^{(k)}(x)(Y_O(t_1)(O), \ldots, Y_O(t_k)(O)),
\]

where \( f_i^{(k)}(x) \) stands for the \( k^{th} \) differential of \( f_i \) evaluated at \( x \).

Proof. — We prove the result by induction on the number \( k \) of branches: for \( k = 1 \) we check:

\[
Y_O(s \circ \bullet Y)(x) = (Y_O(s) \circ Y)(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (Y_O(s) \circ g_j)(x) \partial_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j(x)(Y_O(s)(O)) \partial_j.
\]

Now we can compute, using the induction hypothesis and the fact that the vector fields \( Y_O(t_j)(O) \) are constant:

\[
Y_O(B^Y_r(t_1, \ldots, t_k))(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (Y_O(t_1) \circ B^Y_r(t_2, \ldots, t_k))(x)
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_i^{(k-1)}(Y_O(t_2)(O), \ldots, Y_O(t_k)(O)) \partial_j
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_i^{(k)}(x)(Y_O(t_1)(O), \ldots, Y_O(t_k)(O)) \partial_j.
\]

□

Corollary 30 (closed formula). — With the notations of Corollary 28, for any rooted tree \( t \) with set of vertices \( \mathcal{V}(t) \) and root \( r \), each vertex \( v \) being decorated by a vector field \( X_v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_v \partial_i \), the
vector field $\mathcal{Y}_O(t)$ is given at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ by the following formula:

$$\mathcal{Y}_O(t)(x) = \sum_{F : V(t) \to \{1, \ldots, n\}} \left( \prod_{v \in V(t) - \{r\}} \partial_{I(v)}(X_v^{F(v)}(O)) \right) \partial_{I(r)}(X_r^{F(r)}(x)) \partial_{F(r)}.$$

7.2. Novikov algebras. — A Novikov algebra is a right pre-Lie algebra which is also left NAP, namely a vector space $A$ together with a derivation $D$

$$a * (b * c) - (a * b) * c = a * (c * b) - (a * c) * b,$$

$$a * (b * c) = b * (a * c).$$

Novikov algebras first appeared in hydrodynamical equations \[5, 54]. The prototype is a commutative associative algebra together with a derivation $D$, the Novikov product being given by:

$$a * b := (Da)b.$$

The free Novikov algebra on a set of generators has been given in \[22\, Section 7\] in terms of some classes of rooted trees.

7.3. Assosymmetric algebras. — An assosymmetric algebra is a vector space endowed with a bilinear operation which is both left and right pre-Lie, which means that the associator $a * (b * c) - (a * b) * c$ is symmetric under the permutation group $S_3$. This notion has been introduced by E. Kleinfeld as early as 1957 \[37\] (see also \[33\]). All associative algebras are obviously assosymmetric, but the converse is not true.

7.4. Dendriform algebras. — A dendriform algebra \[43\] over the field $k$ is a $k$-vector space $A$ endowed with two bilinear operations, denoted $\prec$ and $\succ$ and called right and left products, respectively, subject to the three axioms below:

$$a \prec (b \prec c) = a \prec (b \prec c + b \succ c)$$

$$a \succ (b \prec c) = a \succ (b \prec c)$$

$$a \succ (b \succ c) = (a \prec b + a \succ b) \succ c.$$

One readily verifies that these relations yield associativity for the product

$$a * b := a \prec b + a \succ b.$$

However, at the same time the dendriform relations imply that the bilinear product $\triangleright$ defined by:

$$a \triangleright b := a \succ b - b \prec a,$$

is left pre-Lie. The associative operation $*$ and the pre-Lie operation $\triangleright$ define the same Lie bracket, and this is of course still true for the opposite (right) pre-Lie product $\ll$:

$$[a, b] := a * b - b * a = a \triangleright b - b \triangleright a = a < b - b < a.$$

In the commutative case (commutative dendriform algebras are also named Zinbiel algebras \[41, 43\]), the left and right operations are further required to identify, so that $a \succ b = b \prec a$. In this case both pre-Lie products vanish. A natural example of a commutative dendriform algebra is given by the shuffle algebra in terms of half-shuffles \[56\]. Any associative algebra $A$ equipped with a linear integral-like map $I : A \to A$ satisfying the integration by parts rule also gives a dendriform algebra, when $a \prec b := aI(b)$ and $a \succ b := I(a)b$. The left pre-Lie product is then given by $a \triangleright b = [I(a), b]$. It is worth mentioning that Zinbiel algebras are also NAP algebras, as shown by the computation below (dating back to \[56\]):

$$a \succ (b \succ c) = (a \succ b + a \prec b) \succ c$$

$$= (a \succ b + b \succ a) \succ c$$

$$= b \succ (a \succ c).$$
There also exists a twisted version of dendriform algebras, encompassing operators like Jackson integral $I_q$ [25]. Returning to ordinary dendriform algebras, observe that:

\[(127) \quad a \star b + b \triangleright a = a \triangleright b + b \triangleright a.\]

This identity generalizes to any number of elements, expressing the symmetrization of $((a_1 \triangleright a_2) \triangleright a_3) \cdots) \triangleright a_n$ in terms of the associative product and the left pre-Lie product [26]. For more on dendriform algebras and the associated pre-Lie structures, see [23, 24, 25, 26] and K. Ebrahimi-Fard’s note in the present volume.
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