Groundwater fluctuations during a debris flow event in western Norway – triggered by rain and snowmelt
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Abstract. Pore pressure is crucial in triggering debris slides and flows. Here we present measurements of groundwater pore pressure and temperature recorded by a piezometer 1.6 m below the surface on a slope susceptible to debris flows in western Norway. One of the largest oscillations in data collected over 4 years coincided with a debris flow event on the slope that occurred during storm Hilde on 15–16 November 2013. More than 100 landslides were registered during the storm. Precipitation totaled about 80–100 mm in 24 h, locally up to 129 mm, and an additional trigger factor for the landslides was a rapid rise in air temperature that caused snowmelt. In the studied slope a fraction of the precipitation first fell as snow. On 15 November, the groundwater level in the hillslope rose by 10 cm/h and reached 44 cm below the surface. At the same time, air temperature rose from 0°C to over 8°C, and the groundwater temperature dropped by 1.5°C. The debris flow probably occurred late in the evening of 15 November, when the groundwater level reached its peak. Measurements of the groundwater in the hillslope in the period 2010–2013 show that the event in 2013 was not exceptional. Storm Dagmar on 25–26 December 2011 caused a similar rise in groundwater level but did not trigger any failures. The data suggest that during heavy rainstorms the slope is in a critical state for a landslide to be triggered for a short time – about 4–5 h.

1 Introduction

It is well known that groundwater pore pressure is crucial in triggering shallow debris slides and flows (e.g., Iverson, 1997), but how exactly does pore pressure vary in a hillslope during a rainstorm? How much and how rapidly does the groundwater level rise before a landslide is triggered? And, for how long during a rainstorm is the slope landslide-prone? We try to answer these questions using groundwater level data logged by an automated piezometer installed in a borehole on a hillslope in western Norway, where a debris flow occurred during heavy rainfall and snowmelt in November 2013.

Such instrumental data are rarely provided during rapid landslide events. Several studies have measured the hydrologic response to rainstorms in hillsides prone to shallow slides (e.g., Sidle, 1986; Johnson and Sitar, 1990; Fannin and Jaakkola, 1999; Collins et al., 2012) or measured the response to artificial sprinkling of water over a slope to force a landslide to occur (e.g., Harp et al., 1990; Reid et al., 1997). Only a few studies provide instrumental data directly from a natural debris flow event (Reid et al., 1988; Montgomery et al., 2009). Here, we present continuous groundwater level measurements from a hillside susceptible to shallow debris slides and flows for the period 2010–2013. The data include the day when a debris flow occurred in this particular slope during the storm named Hilde on 15–16 November 2013.

During this storm, the intense rainfall and snowmelt triggered more than 100 landslides in western Norway. The storm generated relatively large amounts of precipitation.
Near the coast, on the western slopes of the mountain range, precipitation was 90–120 mm in 24 h; farther inland precipitation was lower (30–90 mm) but fell first as snow on higher ground. Most of the mass movements were debris slides and flows (114), but rockfalls (28) and snow avalanches (7) also occurred (Fig. 1). Many roads were damaged, a bus got stuck, people were evacuated, and houses and cars were damaged by slide material, but fortunately no one was killed (Fig. 2). The number of mass movements makes this one of the largest landslide events in Norway during the last 2 decades (Krøgli et al., 2018).

The storm was a classic extreme precipitation event on the west coast of Norway. A warm mature front, partly occluded, passed southern Norway on 15 November. The pressure configuration, with a very low-pressure system northeast of Iceland and a high-pressure center southwest of the UK, generated a strong north–south pressure gradient and induced a southwesterly flow of moist warm air towards western Norway (Fig. 3). It rained heavily as the moist air was lifted by the warm front. The precipitation further intensified as the flow ascended over the mountains of western Norway. This weather situation leads to the formation of narrow plumes of intense high-level moisture, often referred to as atmospheric rivers. These cause most of the extreme precipitation events on the west coast of Norway (Azad and Sorteberg, 2017).

2 The hillside and instrumentation

The hillside we instrumented is typical of western Norway and is prone to debris flows and shallow slides. The hillside is near Sogndal, east of the lake at Anestølen (Fig. 4). Recent slide events on this slope occurred on 18 May 2004, 22 September 2007 (Tyssebotn and Velle, 2010) and 15 November 2013 (Olsen et al., 2015) (Fig. 4b). The lower part of the slope is covered by slide deposits and till, and the average slope angle is 25–26°. The sediment-covered slope tapers off upwards into steeper and exposed bedrock and cliffs (Fig. 5a). From the outcrops of the slide scar of the 2007 slide event (Fig. 4b), we found that the thickness of the deposits on the slope varies, probably between 2 and 5 m.

Present-day average temperature ranges between 10 and 15° C (July) and −1 to −10° C (February), with a mean annual temperature of 0–2° C (1971–2000); see also Fig. S1. The mean annual precipitation is 1655 mm (1991–2020) measured at the weather station at Selseng (Fig. 4a). Maximum average snow depth is between 1 and 2 m (senorge.no, 2021). The vegetation is dominated by grass and heath vegetation and small birch trees.

We drilled through boulders and relatively firm deposits down to 2.4 m below the surface using a hammer drill powered by compressed air (Fig. 5b). The borehole is located near the 2007 landslide, 40 m south of the slide scar and about 200 m upslope from the toe of the landslide, at an altitude of 484 m. We pushed and hammered threaded pipes, 1 m long and 32 mm in diameter, down into the borehole. The pipes were subsequently screwed together. The lower pipe (piezometer) has 12 slits, 10 cm long and 2 mm in width, to allow for water seepage. The data logger, a Mini-Diver (DI 501) manufactured by Van Essen Instruments, was attached to a wire and inserted into the pipe. The lower end of the Mini-Diver is at 1.64 m below the ground surface (Fig. 5b). The drilling and instrumentation are described in Tyssebotn and Velle (2010).

The weather station at Anestølen is operated by the Hydrological Department at the Norwegian Water Resources and Energy Directorate and measures air temperature, precipitation, wind, atmospheric pressure, snow depth and groundwater level (Fig. 4b). Precipitation is measured every 10 min, but for periods the bucket that collects the rain was full, and the data are not reliable. However, we found the measurements of precipitation to be reliable around the time of storm Hilde (Olsen et al., 2015). The other precipitation data we present are 24 h totals measured manually at Selseng (Fig. 4a), 3.5 km south of Anestølen at about the same al-
Figure 2. Photos of landslides that occurred during storm Hilde in 2013; see Table 1 for details. (a) Skredestranda, photo: Jan Helge Aalbu, 16 November 2013. (b) Anestølen, this study, photo: Kevin Saurin, 6 October 2014. (c) Oldedalen at Yri, photo: Jan Helge Aalbu, 16 November 2013.
Figure 3. Mean sea level pressure (hPa) on 15 November at 12:00 UTC (13:00 LT, local time). Large amounts of warm and moist air blew towards the Norwegian coast because of low pressure northeast of Iceland and high pressure southwest of England. The air was close to 100% saturated with water vapor, which precipitated as the air cooled when it rose over the mountains in western Norway. The red line indicates the position of the warm front, and the blue line is the cold front. The warm air sector lies between the red and blue lines. The purple line indicates an occluded front, which means that the cold front has caught up with the warm front.

Table 1. Information of the landslides shown in Fig. 2a, b and c.

| Figure | Location | Date   | Time (hour, LT) | Top altitude | End altitude | Length of slide | Mean slope | Comment |
|--------|----------|--------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|------------|---------|
| 2a     | Skredestrand, south of lake Hornindalsvatnet, Nordfjord. | 15 Nov | 21–22          | 620 m        | 53 m         | 830 m         | 34°        | The landslide continued into lake Hornindalsvatnet (53 m altitude) and covered 250 m of the road. Road was closed for several days. Triggered small tsunami <1 m (Sognesand, 2018; Nve, 2021). |
| 2b     | Anestøl, Sogndal – this study. | 15 Nov | ∼19–23         | 700 m        | 439 m        | 550 m         | 25°        | See details in this paper. |
| 2c     | Oldedalen, Yri, Nordfjord. | 15 Nov | ∼23            | 480 m        | 33 m         | 780 m         | 30°        | The largest of three slides that occurred around lake Oldevatnet (33 m altitude). Damaged road and took out electricity. Triggered tsunami in the lake (Nve, 2021). |

using atmospheric pressure measured at the airport in Sogn达尔 (station 55700, downloaded from http://eklima.met.no, last access: 21 October 2019). The difference in altitude between the weather station (442 m above sea level) and the sensor in the borehole at the slope (484 m) is 42 m. This corresponds to a pressure difference of about 5 hPa, which we subtracted from the atmospheric measurements. The difference in altitude between the airport (497 m) and the borehole is only 13 m. For these measurements, we ignored the altitude difference. The Mini-Diver was set to record measurements every 4 h, and we obtained almost continuous measurements for the years 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 (dataset: Bondevik, 2021). All times in this paper are in local time (LT) unless stated otherwise.
The 2013 debris flow

The debris flow happened in the evening of 15 November or during the following night. It was first observed by a person driving an all-terrain vehicle to the mountain farm at Anestølen in the morning of 16 November; he found that the road was covered by wet debris and was unable to proceed (Fig. S2). The driver thinks the flow happened sometime during the night but cannot exclude the possibility that it was the evening before, on 15 November (Olsen et al., 2015). The nearest landslides to Anestølen (see three triangles in Fig. 1 immediately west of this site) happened on the evening of 15 November and during the night and early morning of 16 November at 02:00 and 07:30.

The landslide is a typical debris flow with levees and lobes. The flow had a point source at an altitude of 720 m, widened downslope and continued in a channel with levees on both sides (Figs. 2b, S3). The channel was eroded about 2 to 3 m into the deposits and exposed the bedrock in certain places. A spillover lobe is evident on the southern side of the flow. Towards the lake, the flow split into three different paths (Fig. 2b) (Olsen et al., 2015) and ended in the lake (439 m altitude). Here, a fan and a delta of fine-grained material, mostly sand and organic material, were deposited (Fig. S5). The length of the debris flow is about 550 m. We inspected the landslide on 17 November (Fig. S4) and found snow inside the very wet slide debris. The entire lake was colored brown by suspended sediment from the debris flow that had entered the lake.

4 Results

The groundwater level on the slope varies according to season and precipitation. In winter the groundwater table is low, and in 2013 the water level was below the sensor in February and March, apparently because the ground was frozen (e.g., Ireson et al., 2013) (Fig. 6). During spring, from April to June, the groundwater is recharged by snowmelt, rain and thawing of the frozen ground (Du et al., 2019), which cause high peaks and rapid changes. In summer and fall, the groundwater level is controlled by precipitation events and normally oscillates between 150 and 75 cm below the ground (Figs. 6, S7–S9). A few times in the summer, the groundwater level is lower than the sensor. Most of the oscillations show a rapid, almost instantaneous, rise and a longer, slower, decline – the oscillations are clearly asymmetric (Fig. 7).

The peaks and troughs of the oscillations occur simultaneously upslope and in the valley bottom (piezometer at the weather station), but the peaks upslope are much sharper and have higher amplitudes (Fig. 7). The valley bottom is saturated almost every time there is a precipitation event. The upslope peaks reach different heights, depending on the amount of infiltration (Fig. 7). Another difference is in the shape of the peaks. The upslope maxima are very sharp, lasting less than 4 h, while the maxima downslope are broad. The peaks dissipate more rapidly upslope than downslope, as has already been described elsewhere, e.g., Alaska (Sidle, 1986, 1984). The groundwater level starts to decline within hours
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after reaching peak levels, while the valley bottom peaks last for half a day or more (Fig. 8).

Groundwater temperatures follow the season but show small, irregular oscillations that reflect infiltration episodes. The temperature curves (Figs. 6, S7–S9) show a steady, slow decline through the winter to about 1.5–2 °C and an abrupt drop in the spring when temperature reaches its minimum (0.5–1.2 °C), with wiggles in the temperature curve reflecting meltwater reaching the sensor, followed by a large rise from May to August up to 9.5–10 °C. In September the groundwater temperatures start a steady decline towards the winter minimum. However, the temperature curves show a number of small anomalies that coincide with peaks in groundwater level. These anomalies are caused by infiltration of surface water that is either colder or warmer than the groundwater. Infiltration episodes between October and May cause the temperature to drop; the largest observed were drops of 1.5 and 1.8 °C related to snowmelt (Figs. 6, S8). Between May and October, surface water is warmer than the groundwater; infiltration episodes cause temperature rises, with the largest recorded being about 1 °C and associated with summer rain events in July (Fig. S8) and August (Fig. 6).

One of the largest oscillations in both groundwater level and temperature occurred during storm Hilde on 15–16 November 2013 and triggered the landslide event. In only 8 h, the groundwater level rose by 46 cm, and the temperature dropped by 1.5 °C (Fig. 8). The precipitation at Anestølen began at 08:20 on 15 November and fell as snow until about 14:30, in all 22 mm (3.3 mm/h), because the air temperature was between 0 and 0.6 °C (blue segment of red curve in Fig. 8). From about 15:00, the air temperature rose quickly and reached 9.5 °C at midnight (Fig. 8), and precipitation was 4.2 mm/h until 22:30. The response in groundwater level was a rapid rise, 9.5 cm/h (Fig. 9), and a drop in temperature, from 4.9 to 3.4 °C between 15:00 and 19:00.

Prior to the storm event, there was a thin cover of snow on the ground. The snow pillow at the weather station measured 20 mm of snow water equivalent before the storm began (Fig. 6). Photos of the landslide the morning after the storm show patches of snow on the ground (Figs. S2–S4). We also observed lumps of snow inside the slide debris. This suggests that there was about 10–20 cm of snow on the ground prior to the arrival of warm, moist air with the storm.

Figure 5. Profile of the hillside just south of the southern slide scar of the 2007 landslide (Fig. 4b), showing the borehole with the instrumented piezometer. (a) Average steepness of the sediment-covered slope is 25–26°. The dashed line indicates where we believe the boundary between till and bedrock is located, based on observations from the 2007 slide scar (Fig. 4b). (b) The Mini-Diver (sensor) is suspended from a wire in the piezometer and anchored 1.64 m below the surface. The top 60 cm of deposits consists of organic soil and weathered till, with firm till below that. We drilled through boulders at depths of ca. 1 and 1.5 m.
Discussion

One weakness of this study is that the measurements on the slope are from one piezometer only. The hydrological response to the rainfall depends on antecedent moisture conditions and the porosity, hydraulic conductivity and thickness of deposits on the slope (Johnson and Sitar, 1990; Montgomery et al., 2009). The slope is covered by till and colluvium, which are deposits that vary widely in composition and grain size. Thus, observations from one borehole may not be representative of other areas on the same slope (Fannin and Jaakkola, 1999). The distance from the borehole to the 2013

Figure 6. The upper diagram shows groundwater level (blue curve) and groundwater temperature (black curve) from the borehole on the slope in 2013. The middle diagram shows 24 h precipitation at the weather station at Selseng (Fig. 4a) (station no. 55700, http://eklima.met.no, last access: 4 February 2019). The lower diagram shows the snow water equivalent measured with a snow pillow at the weather station 77.24.0 (Fig. 4b). The small wiggles in the temperature curve end on 26 May – the same day the snow pillow was free of snow. One of the most pronounced oscillations is on 15–16 November, when a debris flow occurred on this hillslope.
debris flow is about 400 m (Fig. 4b). Another weakness is that the piezometer in the hillslope was set to a recording interval of 4 h, which is too long to capture details of the most rapid changes (Fannin and Jaakkola, 1999). The strength of the study is the continuous measurements of both pore pressure and groundwater temperature in conjunction with the nearby weather station over a period of 4 years that also covers a landslide event.

5.1 The storm Hilde landslide event: 15–16 November 2013

The groundwater level in the piezometer on the slope peaked between 19:00 and 23:00, and this is probably the time window when the debris flow was triggered. We measured the peak at 23:00 at 44 cm below surface, but because the piezometer only took measurements every 4 h and because the rise of the groundwater declined between 19:00 and 23:00 without any change in precipitation or air temperature, it is likely that the actual peak was reached earlier than 23:00 and was higher than 44 cm (see Fig. 8). Extrapolations of the groundwater level curve from 19:00 and 23:00 indicates that the peak might well have been at a depth of 30 cm and sometime around 20:30–21:30 (Fig. 8, dashed line). From a study in Oregon, USA, Montgomery et al. (2009) concluded that most of their piezometers recorded that groundwater was still rising at the time of a debris flow failure, and this suggests that the debris flow at Anestølen occurred earlier than 23:00.

The substantial drop in groundwater temperature suggests that part of the infiltration was from snowmelt. There was already some snow on the ground on 15 November (Fig. 6), and the precipitation in the morning and until about 15:00 fell as snow because of the low air temperature (Fig. 8). During this time there was no response in the groundwater level or temperature. However, after 15:00, when the warm, moist air of the storm reached Anestølen, the groundwater rose by 9.5 cm/h, and at the same time, the temperature of the groundwater dropped by 0.2 °C/h. The rapid snowmelt added extra water to the slope and augmented the infiltration rate and groundwater rise, as seen from rainfall on snow on slopes in Alaska (Sidle, 1984).

The groundwater level in the hillslope continued to rise as long as the infiltration rate was higher than the downslope discharge of groundwater (Fig. 10, middle panel). The heavy rain ceased at 22:30, and after 23:00 the groundwater level fell by 3 cm/h (Fig. 10, lower panel). The groundwater level was still rising just after 19:00, so the peak, when groundwater was less than 50 cm from the surface, must have been shorter than 4 h. Such a short peak suggests that very little groundwater from higher up the slope or from bedrock fractures (Johnson and Sitar, 1990) could have contributed to the rise in groundwater level. The entire groundwater rise can be explained by vertical infiltration through the surface. The full amplitude of the groundwater peak was 54 cm during storm...
Figure 8. Air temperatures, precipitation and groundwater between 14 and 18 November 2013. The upper diagram shows air temperature (red curve) and precipitation in millimeters (mm) every 10 min. The lower diagram shows the groundwater level and groundwater temperature. Blue segments of the air temperature curve show periods when the temperature is close to 0 °C. Groundwater probably reached its highest level between 19:00 and 23:00, shown as a dotted line and a question mark.

Hilde (Fig. 8), and this is an order of magnitude larger than the total amount of rainfall and snow (53 mm). A similar relationship has been found in other studies (e.g., Sidle, 1984).

5.2 Other groundwater episodes

Melting of the snow cover in combination with rain leads to high groundwater levels. In 2013 we recorded the year’s highest peaks in April and May (Fig. 6), when the ground was partly frozen and still covered by snow (Fig. 6). The high peaks correspond to lows in the groundwater temperature curve, reflecting the infiltration of cold meltwater. The highest peaks were on 15 April and 16 May (33 and 28 cm below the ground, respectively) and coincided with rain (Fig. 6), high air temperatures (>8 °C) (Fig. S1) and thawing of the frozen soil. This high groundwater level did not cause any sliding, perhaps because parts of the soil on the slope were still frozen.

The fluctuations that occurred during storm Dagmar on 26–27 December 2011 were exceptional and similar to those during storm Hilde event. During storm Dagmar, groundwater rose to 28 cm below the surface, and the groundwater temperature dropped by 1.8 °C (Fig. S8). The groundwater rose by 13.5 cm/h between 19:00 and 23:00 on 26 December (Fig. S11). A major cause was a considerable increase in air temperature that melted a lot of snow, in combination with some precipitation (37.5 mm; Fig. S8). In spite of this, there were no observations of any sliding on this particular slope.

Another episode when there was a very rapid rise in groundwater level occurred on 18–19 August in 2012. Then, the groundwater rose from below the sensor and up to 97 cm below the surface (Fig. S7) at a rate of more than 15.7 cm/h (Fig. S10). This was the highest rate measured during the
Figure 9. Rates of change of the groundwater level upslope in 2013. The highest rates are in April and May and are caused by melting of the snow cover and thawing of the ground.

4 years of recordings (Figs. 9, S10–S12). Nevertheless, no landslide was observed, because the groundwater level was too low when the rain started, and the peak did not reach higher than 97 cm below the surface. This shows that it is not the rise itself but the level that the groundwater reaches that is important.

When the groundwater level in the piezometer rises above 50 cm below the surface, the slope reaches a critical condition. Such a high groundwater level was only recorded three times during the 4 years of observations (2010–2013): during snow melting in mid-April and early May 2013 and during the storms Hilde in 2013 and Dagmar in 2011. This corresponds to only 0.13 % of the 4 years of data. On these occasions parts of the slope might have been fully saturated or artesian conditions might exist, especially in depressions or areas where conductive layers are thinning out or are blocked by less permeable deposits (Sidle, 1984; Johnson and Sitar, 1990). In order for the pore pressure to reach such a high level during a rainstorm, the groundwater level before the onset of the storm has to be sufficiently high, i.e., not much deeper than 1 m below the ground. In addition, our data indicate that the groundwater level will only be above 50 cm for a very short time, probably less than 5–6 h, and that these conditions only persist as long as infiltration is higher than the downslope discharge of groundwater. This pattern is in contrast to groundwater measurements from the nearby weather station in the valley bottom, which show much broader peaks.

We thus think that a network of instrumented piezometers in some selected slopes susceptible to debris flows and debris slides could improve the Norwegian warning system. The Norwegian forecasting and warning service for rainfall- and snowmelt-induced landslides is based on weather forecast of precipitation and air temperature as well as hydrological models (Krøgli et al., 2018). The models are checked with real-time data of precipitation, air temperature, water discharge and groundwater levels. However, the groundwater data are from piezometers installed in rather flat terrain and not from slopes susceptible to landslides. Our study shows a large difference in duration and amplitudes between the simultaneous groundwater fluctuations in the valley bottom and the groundwater fluctuations up on the slope. To also include groundwater data from piezometers in slopes susceptible to debris flows could increase the accuracy of the warning system.

5.3 Future changes in precipitation and snowmelt

Many of the landslides triggered during storm Hilde were caused by simultaneous heavy rainfall and strong snowmelt. Such rain-on-snow events in western Norway may become more frequent in the future. Storm Hilde was a typical atmospheric river storm, a type that has caused 57 of 60 extreme daily precipitation events in western Norway since 1900. Of these, 62 % occurred in the months November, December and January – while none occurred in April, May, June and July (Azad and Sorteberg, 2017). The frequency of extreme precipitation events over Norway has increased by 25 %–35 % over the last 120 years (Sorteberg et al., 2018), and modeling indicates that it will increase further in the future (Hanssen-Bauer et al., 2017). Since most of these events occur in the winter months, it is very likely that we will see
Figure 10. Diagrams to explain the situation on the hillslope during storm Hilde on 15–16 November 2013. The purple section of the clock and purple segment of the groundwater curve in the graph in the lower right corner show the time. (a) Between 08:00 and 14:30, air temperatures were close to 0 °C, and the precipitation fell as snow. Groundwater level was stable (graph in lower right corner). (b) Between 14:30 and 22:30, the air temperature rose to 9 °C, it rained 4.2 mm/h, groundwater level rose by 9.5 cm/h and groundwater temperatures dropped by 1.6 °C. (c) After 22:30, the heavy rain ceased, and the groundwater dropped by 3 cm/h.

6 Conclusions

Storm Hilde, 15–16 November 2013, in western Norway generated relatively large amounts of precipitation near the coast on the western slopes of the mountain range (90–120 mm in 24 h). Farther inland, precipitation was lower (30–90 mm) but fell first as snow on higher ground. The strong warm front in the storm gave rise to a rapid temperature increase of 8–9 °C, initiating snowmelt that supplemented the rainfall and led to a rapid rise in groundwater. This situation triggered over 100 landslides in western Norway.

We measured groundwater levels in a hillslope that failed in this storm, at Anestølen in western Norway. The groundwater responded rapidly to the rainfall and the increase in air temperature during the storm and rose by 9.5 cm/h, simultaneously with a pronounced drop in groundwater temperature of 0.2 °C/h. The groundwater peak reached at least 44 cm below the surface, and the groundwater temperature had dropped by 1.6 °C when the debris flow was triggered.

During the storm the slope remained saturated or near saturated for a short time, and the critical conditions of the slope lasted only for 4–5 h.

Two episodes stand out from the data collected over 4 years (2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013) in the hillslope piezometer – the groundwater peaks during storm Hilde in 2013 and storm Dagmar in 2011; both storms had groundwater levels that reached higher than 50 cm below the surface.

Normally the groundwater level in the hillslope piezometer fluctuated between 150 and 75 cm below the surface. The groundwater level was below 50 cm for 99.87 % of the 4 years of data.

The infiltration of surface water is clearly recorded in the groundwater temperature curve as a short-lived anomaly. The anomaly depends on the amount of infiltration and on the temperature difference between the ground and the air. The largest negative anomalies (up to 1.5–1.8 °C) are related to episodes of rain on snow in the fall. Infiltration of summer rain caused at most a 1 °C positive temperature anomaly.

Data availability. The data from the hillslope piezometer used in this study are available under https://doi.org/10.18710/NUFZ4U (Bondevik, 2021).

Supplement. The supplement related to this article is available online at: https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-25-4147-2021-supplement.
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