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ABSTRACT

By using the multifiber spectrograph FLAMES mounted at the ESO–VLT, we have obtained high-resolution spectra for 18 giant stars, belonging to three old globular clusters of the Large Magellanic Cloud (namely NGC 1786, 2210, and 2257). While stars in each cluster showed quite homogeneous iron content, within a few cents of dex (the mean values being [Fe/H] = −1.75 ± 0.01 dex, −1.65 ± 0.02 dex and −1.95 ± 0.02 dex for NGC 1786, 2210, and 2257, respectively), we have detected significant inhomogeneities for the [Na/Fe], [Al/Fe], [O/Fe], and [Mg/Fe] abundance ratios, with evidence of [O/Fe] versus [Na/Fe] and [Mg/Fe] versus [Al/Fe] anticorrelations. The trends detected nicely agree with those observed in Galactic Globular Clusters, suggesting that such abundance anomalies are ubiquitous features of old stellar systems and they do not depend on the parent galaxy environment. In NGC 1786 we also detected two extreme O-poor, Na-rich stars. This is the first time that a firm signature of extreme chemical abundance anomalies has been found in an extragalactic stellar cluster.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Stars in Galactic Globular Clusters (GCs) are known to be homogeneous in their overall metal content. However, in the recent years significant star-to-star abundance variations of some light elements (namely Li, C, N, O, Na, Mg, and Al) have been discovered (see, e.g., Gratton et al. 2004; Carretta 2008a; Yong et al. 2008), suggesting that Galactic GCs harbor at least two subpopulations with different chemical abundance ratios. The normal population is similar to the halo field one, with average [O/Fe],[Mg/Fe] and [Al/Fe] enhanced by a factor of 2–3 with respect to the solar value, and [Na/Fe] between solar and half solar. The anomalous population has significant [O/Fe] and moderate [Mg/Fe] depletion, and significant (up to an order of magnitude) [Na/Fe] and [Al/Fe] enhancement with respect to the solar value. Moreover, super-O-poor stars in the [O/Fe] distributions have been measured in a few Galactic GCs, as in NGC 2808 (Carretta et al. 2006) and M13 (Sneden et al. 2004), reaching [O/Fe] ~ −1.2 dex, while [Na/Fe] remains about constant.

The detection of abundance anomalies in extragalactic clusters is still controversial and only few and sparse measurements exist so far. Hill et al. (2000) analysed three giants of the old cluster NGC 2210 in the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC), finding a general homogeneity for [O/Fe] (+0.14 dex, σ = 0.10 dex) but a large spread for the [Al/Fe] ratio, with [Al/Fe] = +0.11 dex (σ = 0.32 dex). Johnson et al. (2006) discussed the chemical content of 4 LMC old clusters (namely, Hodge 11, NGC 1898, 2005 and 2019). All the stars analyzed have normal [O/Fe] and [Na/Fe]: only one star in NGC 1898 shows a largely enhanced [Al/Fe]= +0.77 dex. Letarte et al. (2006) reported the chemical composition of three old clusters in Fornax, finding two stars (one in Fornax 1 and one in Fornax 3) with [O/Fe] ~ +0.2 dex and enhanced [Na/Fe] ~ +0.4 dex. These measurements, although too sparse to probe any scenario, suggest that chemical anomalies can be found also in old extragalactic globulars.

This Letter is the third, after Ferraro et al. (2006) and Mucciarelli et al. (2008), of a series of papers aimed at studying in an homogeneous way the chemical composition of a sample of LMC clusters. For all the targets the same analysis procedure and basic assumptions for model atmospheres, atomic data and solar values have been adopted. In this Letter we present chemical abundance patterns for 18 giants in three old clusters in the LMC (namely NGC 1786, 2210, and 2257), with the purpose of clarifying the level of chemical inhomogeneity within these stellar systems. The entire set of abundances for these clusters will be presented in a forthcoming paper.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

18 giants located in three old LMC clusters (NGC 1786, 2210, and 2257) have been observed with FLAMES at the ESO–VLT telescope (ID Proposal 080.D-0368(A)). The target stars have been selected along the red giant branch of IR color–magnitude diagram (A. Mucciarelli et al., 2009, in preparation) with typical $K_s \sim 12.8$–13.5 magnitudes and $(J − K_s) \sim 0.6$–0.8 colors. All the targets have been observed with the UVES Red Arm (covering the ~4800–6800 Å wavelength range) and the HR 11 and HR 13 GIRAFFE/MEDUSA setups (covering the 5600–5840 Å and 6120–6400 Å ranges, respectively). The spectra have been acquired in series of 8–9 exposures of ~45 minutes each and prereduced by using the UVES and GIRAFFE ESO pipeline,3 including bias subtraction, flat fielding, wavelength calibration and spectrum extraction. Single spectra have been coadded (reaching signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) ~ 40–60 and S/N ~ 80–100 per pixel for the UVES and GIRAFFE spectra,

3. http://www.eso.org/sci/data-processing/software/pipelines/.

* Based on observations collected at the Very Large Telescope of the European Southern Observatory (ESO), Cerro Paranal, Chile, under programme 080.D-0368(A).
respective) and finally normalized to unity in the continuum. Radial velocities have been measured by using the IRAF task FXCOR, performing a cross-correlation between the targets and a template of similar spectral type, and heliocentric corrections have been applied. Figure 1 shows portions of the UVES spectra for two stars of NGC 1786, with some lines of interest indicated.

The abundance analysis has been performed using the LTE spectral line analysis code ROSA (developed by R. G. Gratton 1988, private communication) and the model atmosphere grids of Kurucz (1993). The abundances of Fe, Na, Mg, and Al have been computed from equivalent widths (EWs) measurements, while the O abundance has been obtained from spectral synthesis, to account for the contribution of the Ni line at 6300.31 Å to the line profile of the [O I] line at 6300.31 Å (for sake of comparison, when possible, we measured also the weaker [O I] line at 6363.8 Å). Na abundances have been derived from the 5682–5688 Å doublet and, when possible, the 6154–6160 Å doublet, Mg has been measured from the 5711 Å and 6318 Å lines, while Al from the 6696–6698 Å doublet. O, Na, and Al abundances and excitation potential.

Corrections for LTE departure have been applied to the Na abundances, using those computed by Gratton et al. (1999). For some stars the Al or [O I] lines are too faint and we provide upper limits only, derived by using synthetic spectra (for [O I]) or (in the case of Al) computing the abundance corresponding to the minimum measurable EW. Guess values for $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\log g$ have been derived from dereddened $J K$ photometry, by using the $E(B-V)$ values reported by Persson et al. (1983) and the ($J-K_0-T_{\text{eff}}$ relation by Alonso et al. (1999). Generally, the photometric $T_{\text{eff}}$ well satisfies the excitation equilibrium and only for a few targets we readjusted the $T_{\text{eff}}$ values in order to take into account residual trends between neutral iron abundances and excitation potential. Photometric gravities have been computed by using the isochrones of the Pisa Evolutionary Library (Cariulo et al. 2004) and adopting a distance modulus of $(m-M)_0=18.5$ and an evolutionary mass of $0.85 \, M_\odot$. We readjusted also $\log g$ values by imposing the ionization equilibrium between Fe i and Fe ii. Also microturbulent velocities have been derived empirically by minimizing residual trends between Fe i abundances and expected line strengths. For the GIRAFFE spectra, for which no Fe ii line is available, gravities have been derived by using a suitable $T_{\text{eff}}$ versus $\log g$ relationship, obtained from the UVES spectra.

3. RESULTS

The average value of each abundance ratio (and the corresponding internal error and dispersion) for all the stars observed in each cluster is listed in Table 2, together with the adopted atmospheric parameters. Internal errors due to the EW measurement have been estimated by dividing the line-to-line scatter obtained in each star from the Fe i lines by the square root of the observed lines number. First guesses of the stellar parameters are from photometric information, then they are spectroscopically optimized. This means that the measurements of $T_{\text{eff}}$, $\log g$, and $v_t$ are not independent. By varying each stellar parameter by a given amount and keeping the other fixed and by summing in quadrature all these terms one can obtain an upper limit to the total uncertainty in the derived abundance. To better estimate the uncertainty associated with the atmospheric parameters, we adopted the procedure already used by Cayrel et al. (2004) which takes also into account the covariance terms. Since the largest uncertainties in the abundance determination arise from the uncertainty in $T_{\text{eff}}$, we have varied the $T_{\text{eff}}$ of our best model by $+100$ K and we repeated the analysis procedure for star NGC 1786–1501, chosen as representative of the entire sample, re-optimizing the other parameters. This procedure provides variations of $+0.08$, $+0.13$, $–0.07$, $–0.04$, and $–0.05$ dex for $[\text{Fe/H}]$, $[\text{O/Fe}]$, $[\text{Na/Fe}]$, $[\text{Mg/Fe}]$, and $[\text{Al/Fe}]$, respectively. The total error for each abundance ratio has been computed by the sum in quadrature of the errors due to EW measurement and atmospheric parameters. In the following we schematically summarize the main results.

NGC 1786: we measured seven stars, finding an iron content of $[\text{Fe/H}]=–1.75 \pm 0.01$ dex ($\sigma = 0.02$ dex) and an heliocentric radial velocity $V_r = 264.3 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$ ($\sigma = 5.7 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$). These results are consistent with the Ca ii triplet analysis by Olszewski et al. (1991) that found $[\text{Fe/H}]=–1.87 \pm 0.2$ dex. Two stars (#2310 and #2418) show large depletion of $[\text{O/Fe}]$ and $[\text{Mg/Fe}]$, and large enhancement of $[\text{Na/Fe}]$ and $[\text{Al/Fe}]$. This error corresponds to the $1\sigma$ variation of the slope between Fe i abundances and excitation potential.

---

Figure 1. Comparison between the spectra of the NGC 1786–2310 (left panels) and NGC 1786–1321 (right panels) stars. Arrows mark some Na, O, and Al analysed features. The difference in the depth of these lines (despite similar atmospheric parameters) points toward real abundance differences in these stars. Moreover we plotted for the [O I] lines also the corresponding best-fit synthetic spectra, computed with $[\text{O/Fe}]=–0.6$ dex for the star NGC 1786–2310 (upper limit) and with $[\text{O/Fe}]=+0.25$ dex for the star NGC 1786–1321.
Table 1
Atomic Data and Equivalent Widths for the Sample Stars.

| El. | λ (Å) | E.P. (eV) | log gf | 1786–978 (mA) | 1786–1248 (mA) | 1786–1321 (mA) | 1786–1436 (mA) | 1786–1501 (mA) | 1786–2310 (mA) |
|-----|-------|----------|--------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| O   | 6300.31 | 0.00   | −9.75 | Syn           | Syn           | Syn           | Syn           | Syn           | Syn           |
| Na  | 6363.79 | 0.02   | −10.25 | Syn           | Syn           | Syn           | Syn           | Syn           | Syn           |
| Na  | 5682.65 | 2.10   | −0.67 | 26.0          | 17.9          | 10.0          | 0.0           | 47.8          | 58.2          |
| Na  | 5688.22 | 2.10   | −0.37 | 63.0          | 34.4          | 24.2          | 22.2          | 77.0          | 78.0          |
| Na  | 6154.23 | 2.10   | −1.57 | 0.0           | 0.0           | 0.0           | 0.0           | 9.3           | 0.0           |
| Na  | 6160.75 | 2.10   | −1.26 | 14.1          | 0.0           | 0.0           | 0.0           | 16.6          | 25.5          |
| Mg  | 5711.09 | 4.34   | −1.73 | 52.8          | 66.6          | 67.6          | 53.0          | 77.7          | 36.2          |
| Mg  | 6318.71 | 5.11   | −1.94 | 0.0           | 12.0          | 13.3          | 0.0           | 0.0           | 0.0           |

(This table is available in its entirety in a machine-readable form in the online journal. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.)

Table 2
Adopted Atmospheric Parameters and Derived Abundances for the NGC 1786, 2210 and 2257 LMC Clusters

| ID   | T eff (K) | log g | [A/H] (dex) | ν (km s⁻¹) | [Fe/H] (dex) | [O/Fe] (dex) | [Na/Fe] (dex) | [Mg/Fe] (dex) | [Al/Fe] (dex) | Spectrum   |
|------|---------|------|----------|----------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| SUN  | 4250    | 0.57 | −1.75 | 1.40 | −1.73 ± 0.02 | −0.15 ± 0.12 | 0.47 ± 0.03 | 0.25 ± 0.06 | ...       | G          |
| 978  | 4250    | 0.75 | −1.75 | 1.70 | −1.74 ± 0.02 | 0.26 ± 0.08 | 0.07 ± 0.08 | 0.43 ± 0.08 | <0.27      | U          |
| 1248 | 4250    | 0.65 | −1.75 | 1.80 | −1.73 ± 0.01 | 0.31 ± 0.07 | −0.18 ± 0.07 | 0.41 ± 0.07 | <0.11      | G          |
| 1321 | 4250    | 0.76 | −1.75 | 1.70 | −1.76 ± 0.02 | 0.18 ± 0.09 | −0.01 ± 0.09 | 0.40 ± 0.09 | ...        | G          |
| 1436 | 4250    | 0.55 | −1.80 | 1.80 | −1.79 ± 0.01 | 0.30 ± 0.08 | 0.60 ± 0.06 | 0.49 ± 0.12 | 0.79 ± 0.08 | U          |
| 1501 | 4100    | 0.47 | −1.75 | 1.90 | −1.72 ± 0.01 | <−0.60    | 0.66 ± 0.05 | −0.21 ± 0.08 | 1.02 ± 0.06 | U          |
| 2130 | 4160    | 0.47 | −1.80 | 1.50 | −1.75 ± 0.02 | <−0.40    | 0.77 ± 0.03 | −0.31 ± 0.07 | ...        | G          |
| Average |        |      |        |      | −1.75 ± 0.01 | −0.01 ± 0.14 | 0.35 ± 0.14 | 0.22 ± 0.13 | 0.55 ± 0.16 |            |
| σ    | 0.02    |      |        |      |            |           | 0.37        | 0.36        | 0.34        | 0.43        |

NGC 1786: we measured five giants, finding an iron content of [Fe/H]=−1.65 ± 0.02 dex (σ = 0.04 dex) and a mean heliocentric velocity of V₇ = 337.5 km s⁻¹ (σ = 1.9 km s⁻¹). The previous analysis by Olszewski et al. (1991) provides a lower iron content ([Fe/H]=−1.97 ± 0.2 dex), while the high-resolution spectroscopic analysis by Hill et al. (2000) provides [Fe/H]=−1.75 ± 0.10 dex. Both [O/Fe] and [Mg/Fe] appear normal and homogeneous, with average values of [O/Fe]=+0.21 (σ = 0.09 dex) and [Mg/Fe]=+0.33 (σ = 0.09 dex), while [Na/Fe] and [Al/Fe] show a much larger spread. In particular, stars #309 and #431 have large (greater than 0.5 dex) [Na/Fe] and [Al/Fe] enhancement.

NGC 2210: we measured five giants, finding an iron content of [Fe/H]=−1.95 ± 0.02 dex (σ = 0.04 dex) and heliocentric velocity of V₇ = 299.4 km s⁻¹ (σ = 1.5 km s⁻¹). The Ca II triplet analysis performed by Grocholski et al. (2006) provides an higher iron content ([Fe/H]=−1.59 ± 0.02 dex), while the findings obtained by Hill (2004) by using high-resolution spectroscopic point toward a lower value of iron ([Fe/H]=−1.86 ± 0.10 dex). Four (namely #189, #586, #842, and #993) out of six stars are [O/Fe] depleted and [Na/Fe] enhanced. The other two (#136 and #295) stars have normal [O/Fe] and enhanced [Na/Fe] and especially [Al/Fe] (>+0.8 dex) with respect to the solar value.

Note. Last column indicates the corresponding adopted spectrum, G for GIRAFFE and U for UVES.

[Al/Fe] (as measured in #2310 only). Star #1501 shows normal [O/Fe] and largely enhanced [Na/Fe] and [Al/Fe], while star #978 show depletion of [O/Fe] and some enhancement of [Na/Fe].

NGC 2210: we measured five giants, finding an iron content of [Fe/H]=−1.65 ± 0.02 dex (σ = 0.04 dex) and a mean heliocentric velocity of V₇ = 337.5 km s⁻¹ (σ = 1.9 km s⁻¹). The previous analysis by Olszewski et al. (1991) provides a lower iron content ([Fe/H]=−1.97 ± 0.2 dex), while the high-resolution spectroscopic analysis by Hill et al. (2000) provides [Fe/H]=−1.75 ± 0.10 dex. Both [O/Fe] and [Mg/Fe] appear normal and homogeneous, with average values of [O/Fe]=+0.21 (σ = 0.09 dex) and [Mg/Fe]=+0.33 (σ = 0.09 dex), while [Na/Fe] and [Al/Fe] show a much larger spread. In particular, stars #309 and #431 have large (greater than 0.5 dex) [Na/Fe] and [Al/Fe] enhancement.

NGC 2257: we measured six stars, finding an iron content of [Fe/H]=−1.95 ± 0.02 dex (σ = 0.04 dex) and heliocentric velocity of V₇ = 299.4 km s⁻¹ (σ = 1.5 km s⁻¹). The Ca II triplet analysis performed by Grocholski et al. (2006) provides an higher iron content ([Fe/H]=−1.59 ± 0.02 dex), while the findings obtained by Hill (2004) by using high-resolution spectroscopic point toward a lower value of iron ([Fe/H]=−1.86 ± 0.10 dex). Four (namely #189, #586, #842, and #993) out of six stars are [O/Fe] depleted and [Na/Fe] enhanced. The other two (#136 and #295) stars have normal [O/Fe] and enhanced [Na/Fe] and especially [Al/Fe] (>+0.8 dex) with respect to the solar value.
The analysis presented in this Letter demonstrates that the iron abundance (as well as the other iron peak and α-elements; A. Mucciarelli et al., 2009, in preparation) is remarkably constant (within 0.1 dex) within each cluster. Conversely, the selected stars show a significant spread in the [Na/Fe] and [Al/Fe] abundance ratios, that largely exceed (at a level of 2-4 σ) the observational error. Moreover, in the case of NGC 1786 and NGC 2257 also a large spread in [O/Fe] and [Mg/Fe] has been found. On the other hand, the star-to-star differences in the absorption line intensities of Na, O, and Al can be easily appreciated from the comparison shown in Figure 1: this evidence confirms that a real spread in the content of these elements does exist among stars within the same cluster. Figure 2 shows the behavior of [Na/Fe] as a function of [O/Fe] (left panel) and of [Al/Fe] as a function of [Mg/Fe] (right panel) for the observed stars (stars in different clusters are marked with different symbols, see caption). As apparent from the figure, the measured abundance ratios define a trend that nicely matches the distribution observed in Galactic GCs (small circles), tracing the so-called Na–O and Al–Mg anticorrelations. In order to quantify the correlation between the observed abundances, we measured the Spearman rank coefficient finding $C_S = -0.65$ between [O/Fe] and [Na/Fe] and $C_S = -0.50$ between [Mg/Fe] and [Al/Fe], corresponding to a probability of ~99% and ~95%, respectively, that the two set of abundance ratios be anti-correlated. This is the first clear-cut evidence that Na–O and Al–Mg anticorrelations do occur in extragalactic clusters, suggesting that this feature might be ubiquitous to old globular clusters regardless of the parent galaxy environment.

Figure 3 shows the distribution of the [O/Fe] abundance ratio as a function of [O/Na] for the old LMC and Galactic GCs of Figure 2. This plane is specially useful to trace the stars distribution along the Na–O anticorrelation and to better define the locus of the most extreme O-poor stars, defined as $[O/Fe] < -0.4$ dex (Sneden et al. 2004) and/or $[O/Na] < -0.9$ dex (Carretta et al. 2008b). It is also worth noticing that two stars (#2310 and #2418) in NGC 1786 are super-O-poor (with [O/Fe] $<-0.4$ dex) and also largely depleted in [Mg/Fe] and (in the case of #2310) largely enhanced in [Na/Fe] and [Al/Fe]. This is the first time that an evidence of extreme chemical abundance anomalies has been firmly measured in an extragalactic stellar cluster.
4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The two main results of this Letter are: (1) the first discovery of Na–O and Mg–Al anticorrelations in the metal content of extragalactic GCs; (2) the first detection of super-O-poor stars in such objects. Chemical anomalies and anticorrelations detected in Galactic GCs are currently interpreted in a scenario where the anomalous stars have formed from the ashes of a previous generation able to pollute the pristine gas with material processed by proton-capture reactions. Although still matter of debate, two possible polluters have been proposed: intermediate-mass (3–8 $M_\odot$) asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars during the Hot Bottom Burning phase (Ventura et al. 2001; Ventura & D’Antona 2008) and fast rotating massive stars (Decressin et al. 2007). Theoretical models by D’Antona & Caloi (2008) suggest that the second generation of polluted stars should be a significant fraction of the entire cluster population ($\sim$50–70%) and also He enriched. Recently, Renzini et al. (2008) proposed a critical discussion of the possible self-enrichment scenarios, showing as only the AGB stars are able to produce subsequent generations with the observed features.

Several cluster parameters affect the development and the extension of the anticorrelations, i.e. density, mass, metallicity, orbital parameters. Chemical anomalies have been detected exclusively in the Galactic GCs (with a clear-cut correlation between cluster mass and the [O/Na] distribution) and not in the less massive and dense Open Clusters (OCs) or in the field stars, pointing out that the environment density and mass play a key role in retaining the ejecta of the first generation. Less pronounced abundance anomalies at increasing cluster metallicity have been also suggested by theoretical models by Ventura et al. (2001) and Ventura & D’Antona (2008) in the framework of the AGB scenario. Moreover, the tight correlation shown by Carretta (2006) between the [O/Na] distribution and the orbital parameters of the Galactic GCs indicates that GCs less perturbed by the interactions with the Galactic Disk have more pronounced chemical anomalies.

The observations presented in this Letter demonstrate that this scenario can apply also to old clusters in the LMC. In Figure 3, for sake of comparison, we have also plotted (as a large gray rectangle) the locus defined by 27 stars measured by Mucciarelli et al. (2008) in four intermediate-age LMC clusters and obtained by analyzing high-resolution spectra with the same methodology adopted here. As apparent from the figure, all the measured giants in the intermediate-age LMC clusters show [O/Na] values consistent with a normal pre-enriched stellar population while [O/Fe] (for a given [O/Na]) is systematically lower than in old GCs (either Galactic or in the LMC). A similar (slightly subsolar) abundance has been found also for the other $\alpha$-elements abundances (Mucciarelli et al. 2008). This is consistent with a pre-enrichment powered by both types II and Ia SNe over a long (~10 Gyr) timescale.

The old LMC clusters studied here show mass and metallicity comparable with the ones of the Galactic GCs. The fact that, at variance with the older LMC and Galactic GCs, the intermediate-age LMC clusters do not show clear chemical abundance anomalies could be explained as a combined effect of high metallicity and moderate mass. Indeed, the four intermediate-age clusters studied by Mucciarelli et al. (2008) are significantly more metal-rich and less massive ($M \sim 5 \times 10^4$ to $\sim 2 \times 10^5 M_\odot$; Mackey & Gilmore 2003; D. Mackey 2009, private communication) than the old LMC clusters studied here ($\sim 2\times 10^5 M_\odot$; Mackey & Gilmore 2003). It is worth noticing that these intermediate-age clusters are old enough to have already experienced self-enrichment (if any), because the pollution by AGB should work within the first 100 Myr of the cluster life.

The mass/metallicity threshold scenario also agrees with the fact that the few Galactic GCs with high metallicity ([Fe/H] $\sim$0.7–0.4 dex) and relevant abundance anomalies (as 47 Tuc, NGC 6388 and NGC 6441) are definitively the most massive clusters ($\sim 10^6 M_\odot$) in the Galactic system, while those with mass $\sim 10^5 M_\odot$ are all metal-poor.

The detection of abundance anomalies in the three old LMC clusters presented here suggests that old (relatively massive) metal-poor clusters can undergo a self-enrichment process, regardless of the parent galaxy environment. The results presented here and in Mucciarelli et al. (2008), combined with the findings in the Galactic GCs and OCs, seem to suggest a mass/metallicity threshold effect that allows (if we consider the metal-rich regime) only very massive clusters to exhibit pronounced chemical anomalies. Moreover, due to not well known orbital parameters of the LMC clusters, we cannot exclude the effect due to the interaction with the Galaxy and Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC) fields, similar to the one between several Galactic GCs and the Galactic Disk. Bekki & Chiba (2005) point out that the LMC and SMC are in the present-day binary orbit in the last 5 Gyr, while Besla et al. (2007) suggest as the LMC–SMC system is on its first close passage about the Galaxy, being entered in the Galactic virial radius less than $\sim$3 Gyr ago. These scenarios suggest as the most recent cluster populations could be strongly disturbed by the near tidal fields, both of the Galaxy and the SMC, pulling away the AGB ejecta and erasing the chemical signatures of the self-enrichment also in the intermediate-age cluster massive enough. On the other hand, the old clusters have been less affected by similar perturbations, retaining the first-generation gas. However, many more extragalactic clusters in the Magellanic Cloud and other Local Group galaxies need to be observed to further investigate the occurrence of this process with varying structural and physical cluster parameters and the host galaxy.
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