Quantification of Extracellular Matrix Proteins from a Rat Lung Scaffold to Provide a Molecular Readout for Tissue Engineering
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The use of extracellular matrix (ECM) scaffolds, derived from decellularized tissues for engineered organ generation, holds enormous potential in the field of regenerative medicine. To support organ engineering efforts, we developed a targeted proteomics method to extract and quantify extracellular matrix components from tissues. Our method provides more complete and accurate protein characterization than traditional approaches. This is accomplished through the analysis of both the chaotrope-soluble and -insoluble protein fractions and using recombinantly generated stable isotope labeled peptides for endogenous protein quantification. Using this approach, we have generated 74 peptides, representing 56 proteins to quantify protein in native (nondecellularized) and decellularized lung matrices. We have focused on proteins of the ECM and additional intracellular proteins that are challenging to remove during the decellularization procedure. Results indicate that the acellular lung scaffold is predominantly composed of structural collagens, with the majority of these proteins found in the insoluble ECM, a fraction that is often discarded using widely accepted proteomic methods. The decellularization procedure removes over 98% of intracellular proteins evaluated and retains, to varying degrees, proteoglycans and glycoproteins of the ECM. Accurate characterization of ECM proteins from tissue samples will help advance organ engineering efforts by generating a molecular readout that can be correlated with functional outcome to drive the next generation of engineered organs.
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Organ transplantation is an established, lifesaving therapy for patients with chronic end-stage diseases. However, transplantation as a therapeutic option is limited by availability of suitable donor organs (1). Although advancements in surgical techniques, such as successful implementation of bilateral lung transplants and improved immunosuppressant treatments, have led to more successful outcomes in recent years, the percentage of people that die while on the transplant wait list has increased (2, 3). One attractive approach to meet this demand is the in vitro generation of organs using decellularized tissues as scaffolds for recellularization. For complex organs such as the lung, these tissue scaffolds can be derived from a donor organ that would have otherwise been unfit for transplantation. This whole organ scaffold can be recellularized using a patient’s own primary or stem-derived cells, thus eliminating many issues related to graft/host incompatibility. This approach was recently used to generate lungs that, when implanted in rat recipients, allowed for gas exchange (4, 5). However, examination of the lung indicated leakage of erythrocytes into the alveolar space, indicating a compromised capillary-endothelial barrier. These exciting results highlighted the potential of the method for organ transplantation but also the need for improved molecular readouts to guide engineering efforts.

Efficient reseeding of decellularized scaffolds has been shown to be dependent on retaining native ECM structural integrity and elasticity (6). Local variations in expression of abundant proteins in the ECM scaffolding (collagens, laminins, fibronectins) have been correlated to variance in cell repopulation and subsequent proliferation (7). It is thought that retaining specific ECM components and architectures may allow cells to be directed back to a tissue-specific niche during reseeding and that small changes in abundance of...
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The ECM is largely responsible for defining the biomechanical properties of organs. Maintaining structural rigidity and native microarchitecture through the decellularization process makes an acellular organ a good candidate to serve as a tissue scaffold (19, 20). These same characteristics are a central reason why the ECM is challenging to characterize using common bottom-up proteomics approaches (21). Currently accepted and widely used digestion methods require proteins to be solubilized for bottom-up proteomic analysis (22). Recent papers have reported characterization of the ECM fraction from tissues through the use of strong chaotropes (11, 21, 23–27) or cellular fractionation followed by strong detergent (10, 28, 29). However, in our experience, these protocols invariably yield various sizes of an insoluble protein-containing pellet when applied to a variety of tissue samples (heart, lung, and mammary gland). On one end of the spectrum, methods utilizing deglycosylation and enzymatic digestions for clarification of partial solubilized protein slurry yields good ECM coverage with a high number of spectral matches for collagen alpha-1(I), a highly abundant ECM protein in lung (28). On the other end of the spectrum, methods using only detergents or chaotropes for solubilization result in protein pellets that are generally removed prior to LC-MS/MS analysis. These pellets often contained a majority of fibrillar proteins, resulting in quantitative errors. Consistent with this finding, several of these studies characterizing tissue engineered lungs do not report the identification of collagen alpha-1(I) (8, 10, 30). We believe these observations result from a failure to solubilize and enzymatically digest insoluble ECM proteins. To this end, we explored the use of chemical digestion of the insoluble pellet to improve coverage of the ECM proteome from tissue. This method has been used to quantify protein levels from native and decellularized lungs to determine decellularization specificity and efficiency. The accurate characterization of ECM proteins from lung samples should advance tissue engineering efforts by yielding a readout that can be correlated with functional outcome to drive further development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents Section—Reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) unless otherwise noted. The pET-21b vector was obtained from Novagen (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Molecular biology reagents were obtained from New England Biolabs (Ipswich, MA). Sodium chloride and ammonium persulfate were purchased from Acros Organics (part of Thermo Fisher Scientific) and TEMED from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA). Consumables were from Oxygen Inc. (Union City, CA). Bis-acrylamide was from Amresco (Solon, OH). Formic acid (FA), trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), and potassium chloride were obtained from Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland), and acetonitrile (ACN) was from Burdick & Jackson (Morristown, NJ). Tryptsin (sequencing grade, TPCK treated) was from Promega (Madison, WI).

Organ Procurement and Decellularization—All animal procedures were conducted in accordance with Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care guidelines and were approved by the Yale Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Organs were harvested and decellularized for comparative analysis by the proteomic methods described here. For native controls, lungs from two adult Fischer 344 rats were procured. An additional two lungs were procured and decellularized as previously described (31). Briefly, extracted heart, lung, and trachea blocs were cannulated via the pulmonary artery and trachea. The lungs were mounted in a bioreactor and decellularized via perfusion with a hypertonic detergent solution for 2–3 h (500 ml) at 37 °C. After treatment with decellularization solution, benzonase endonuclease (90 U/ml) was administered via the trachea, followed by extensive rinsing with PBS via the pulmonary artery. Fully rinsed lungs were decontaminated to eliminate any remaining bacterial burden with antibiotics/antimycotics for at least 48 h.

QconCAT Design and Purification—Qconcat constructs were designed to quantify structurally and functionally relevant extracellular matrix proteins, and common non-ECM proteins often found in ECM preparations. In general, we sought to cover the majority of readily identified ECM proteins from our global proteomic experiments. In house data, datasets from Naba et al. (28) PeptideAtlas (32), and Global Proteome Machine DataBase (33) were used to select protein specific and quantotypic (34) peptides. The following considerations were used to prioritize peptides for inclusion: (1) We attempted to exclude peptides with M, N-terminal Q or E, poly K, or R termini, commonly sequenced in multiple charge states and known post-translational modification sites; (2) peptides longer than eight residues...
but shorter than 14 residues were preferred; (3) peptides unique to a single protein or protein family; and (4) when possible, we attempted to select peptides with homology across Homo sapiens (60% of peptides conserved), Mus (79% of peptides conserved across rodent models), and the remainder were specific to Rattus sequences (17%). Using these principles, two QconCAT genes were designed and synthesized (Genewiz Inc., eQ1 & eQ2) covering 56 proteins with 74 peptides (sequences can be found in supplemental material). The gene product was cloned into the Nddell and BamHI sites of the pET-21b vector. The constructs were transformed into an E. coli BL21-DE3 LysA ArgA auxotroph (35), plated on M9 media supplemented with $^{13}$C$_6$-Arg and $^{13}$C$_6$-Lys in two successive rounds, then expressed and purified as previously described (36). Briefly, this strain was grown in 250 ml M9 media supplemented with $^{13}$C$_6$-Arg and $^{13}$C$_6$-Lys (Isotec) for 3 h at 37 °C (time to reach an OD$_{600}$ of 0.7). Following a 4 h induction with 1 mM isopropyl 1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside, cells were pelleted by centrifugation and lysed with a detergent solution (BugBuster®, Novagen) using high energy sonication, three rounds of 30 s (Sonics® Ultrasonic Processor, Model GE505, Power: 90%). After centrifugation, soluble material was removed and Inclusion bodies were washed with the lysis solution and then solubilized in 6 M guanidine-hydrochloride. Immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) over nickel-NTA resin (GenScript®) was used to purify the QconCAT polypeptides. Isotopically labeled QconCAT proteins were initially quantified by monitoring the UV signal at A$_{280}$ and by Bradford assays. Final quantification was determined through a dilution series with quality control Alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH, SwissProt P00330) peptides from the QconCAT and characterized QC standard yeast ADH digests (Michrom Bioreources, Inc.). A time-course analysis of QconCAT digestion in a single protein or protein family; and (4) when possible, we attempted to select peptides with homology across Homo sapiens (60% of peptides conserved), Mus (79% of peptides conserved across rodent models), and the remainder were specific to Rattus sequences (17%). Using these principles, two QconCAT genes were designed and synthesized (Genewiz Inc., eQ1 & eQ2) covering 56 proteins with 74 peptides (sequences can be found in supplemental material). The gene product was cloned into the Nddell and BamHI sites of the pET-21b vector. The constructs were transformed into an E. coli BL21-DE3 LysA ArgA auxotroph (35), plated on M9 media supplemented with $^{13}$C$_6$-Arg and $^{13}$C$_6$-Lys in two successive rounds, then expressed and purified as previously described (36). Briefly, this strain was grown in 250 ml M9 media supplemented with $^{13}$C$_6$-Arg and $^{13}$C$_6$-Lys (Isotec) for 3 h at 37 °C (time to reach an OD$_{600}$ of 0.7). Following a 4 h induction with 1 mM isopropyl 1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside, cells were pelleted by centrifugation and lysed with a detergent solution (BugBuster®, Novagen) using high energy sonication, three rounds of 30 s (Sonics® Ultrasonic Processor, Model GE505, Power: 90%). After centrifugation, soluble material was removed and Inclusion bodies were washed with the lysis solution and then solubilized in 6 M guanidine-hydrochloride. Immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) over nickel-NTA resin (GenScript®) was used to purify the QconCAT polypeptides. Isotopically labeled QconCAT proteins were initially quantified by monitoring the UV signal at A$_{280}$ and by Bradford assays. Final quantification was determined through a dilution series with quality control Alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH, SwissProt P00330) peptides from the QconCAT and characterized QC standard yeast ADH digests (Michrom Bioreources, Inc.). A time-course analysis of QconCAT digestion in a
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resulting in an false discovery rate (FDR) of 0.1%. The mass spectrometry data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium Database (40) via the PRoteomics IDENTifications partner repository, the assigned dataset identifier is PXD001213 and DOI 10.6019/PXD001213.

SMR data obtained on the QTRAP® were directly loaded into a Skyline® file containing all expected precursor ions. Transition quality, peak shape, and peak boundaries were manually validated prior to export of integrated $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C peak areas for each peptide. Data were exported, and the average peptide ratio was determined by taking the average of the $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratio of the four transitions selected for identification and quantification of each peptide. Ratios outside the limits of quantification (LOQ, 1 fmol for most peptides) and below the isotope incorporation percentage (98.4–99.8%) for each reporter peptide were thrown out. Limits of detection (LOD), LOQ, linear dynamic range, and digestion efficiency were all controlled for and empirically determined (as reviewed (41)) prior to running biological samples. Briefly, for each peptide, (I) LOD was defined as the lower limit to achieve a signal/noise of at least 3, (II) LOQ was defined as the upper and lower limits that achieve a coefficient of variance (CV) of less than 20%, (III) linear dynamic range was determined by plotting the integrated peak areas of a gradient of the light peptide ($^{12}$C form) against constant heavy peptide ($^{13}$C form) and achieving an R value of at least 0.95, and (IV) digestion efficiency was optimized to the method at which the presence of peptides with missed tryptic cleavage sites (screened by MALDI-TOF) accounted for less than 1% of the fully trypticized peptide probe. Control peptides from yeast alcohol dehydrogenase were included in each QconCAT and a dilution series with a commercial ADH digest (Michrom Bioresources, Inc.) was used to determine the concentration of QconCAT polypeptides. All data were compiled in a spreadsheet for calculating protein abundance, and gene ontology annotations were extracted using the Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (42).

RESULTS

Sample Preparation Method Development—To provide molecular characterization of the ECM from native and decellularized lungs, a sample preparation approach that allowed for as complete tissue protein characterization as possible was required. Primary objectives of this work were to identify and quantify the proteins that remain after the decellularization procedure and, of equal importance, characterizing the proteins that were removed. Initial tissue preparation for bottom-up proteomics using published protocols consistently resulted in an insoluble pellet after chaotrope or detergent extraction. Amino acid analysis revealed that this pellet contained protein with a high percentage of glycine and proline compared with the detergent- and chaotrope-soluble fractions. This amino acid profile led us to our first hypothesis; the chaotrope insoluble pellet, which is commonly discarded in proteomic approaches, is primarily composed of fibrillar proteins of the ECM. This class of proteins is known to contain complex crosslinks (43) and therefore is likely to be insoluble in common buffer systems, even those that have strong protein denaturing and solubilizing properties.

Here we developed an extended protein extraction procedure aimed at characterizing both the chaotrope soluble and insoluble protein in tissue samples. The procedure, outlined in Fig. 1, begins with an initial extraction using a high salt, CHAPS buffer with mechanical disruption to remove detergent-soluble proteins. This first step is aimed at removing the bulk of cellular proteins while minimizing the extraction of ECM proteins. Reducing the salinity, varying the detergent, or utilizing deglycosylases and/or nucleases at this step in the procedure reduced the extraction efficiency of cellular proteins or altered the profile of ECM proteins in the remaining pellet as determined by initial nontargeted LC-MS/MS analysis.

The most widely accepted ECM extraction protocols involve differential tissue fractionation followed by solubilizing the remaining protein in a strong denaturing buffer; radioimmune precipitation assay buffer (Nonidet P-40, sodium deoxycholate and SDS) (10, 29), SDS (23, 24), guanidine-hydrochloride (11, 25), or urea (21, 26–28). Our pilot studies using these protocols resulted in relatively similar ECM protein profiles and uniformly yielded a protein-containing pellet after extraction. Based this observation and our previous amino acid analysis results that identified protein in the chaotrope insoluble pellet, we employed a cyanogen bromide (CNBr) digestion step after chaotrope buffer extraction (Fig. 1). CNBr selectively hydrolyzes the C-terminal peptide bond of methionine, generating protein fragments that can be extracted and subjected to enzymatic digestion for integration into our analytical workflow. Global LC-MS/MS analysis of this fraction from native lung, which we term chaotrope insoluble ECM (iECM), revealed a subset of ECM proteins found in the chaotrope soluble (sECM) fraction with varied relative abundances as determined by label free methods (Fig. 2). The iECM fraction contained a greater percentage of fibrillar ECM molecules than any other fraction. Gene ontology analysis of proteins accounting for 95% of total ion current for each fraction revealed that 67% of the spectral intensity for identifications in this fraction mapped to fibrillar ECM proteins, 18% to basement membrane, and 1% to other ECM proteins, while only 14% mapped to plasma and intracellular proteins (Fig. 2). In contrast, the native lung’s cellular fractions (F1–3) resulted in no fibrillar ECM identifications, with 98% mapping to proteins associated with plasma and cellular localization as determined by gene ontology analysis. The sECM fraction was split, with 44% of the total ion current mapping to fibrillar proteins, and 40% to plasma and cellular proteins. Although a unique protein profile exists between the sECM and iECM fraction in terms of peptide spectral matches, few unique proteins were identified in the iECM fraction at this level of analysis.

Relative quantitative proteomics is widely used to compare protein level differences between samples. We compared peptide spectral matches between native and decellularized lungs to assess both relative protein level differences between samples, as well as the changes in the sECM and iECM protein profile between the two lung types. As shown in a subset of representative proteins in Table I (global proteomics data can be found in supplemental Table S1), the majority of
ECM proteins are identified in Fractions 4 and 5 (sECM and iECM, respectively). Interestingly, when we compare the ratio of proteins found in a decellularized lung to that of a native lung between those fractions, a different profile emerges between the two sets. For example, analyzing just the cellular (F1–3) and sECM (F4) fractions of COL6A1, would suggest a nearly threefold decrease in protein abundance after decellularization. However, the complete profile of the lung (F1–5) shows only a 14% decrease due to the iECM fraction containing more than twice the spectral matches in the decellularized lung compared with the native. Additionally, global proteomics suggests efficient removal of prominent structural ECM proteoglycans and glycoproteins such as decorin and fibrillin-1, a surprising finding based on the known interaction of these proteins with those not depleted. While additional fractionation may provide the identification of these proteins, anomalies such as this will remain a problem for proteins near the limit of detection. While additional fractionation may provide the identification of these proteins, anomalies such as this will remain a problem for proteins near the limit of detection. In addition, the stochastic nature of peptide selection will remain a confounding factor when attempting to quantify proteins in complex tissue proteomes.

Relative quantification results also indicated over a twofold increase in fibrillar collagens (types I, II, and V) even after normalizations accounting for protein weights and sample loads. Lamin A/C appears to be efficiently depleted during the decellularization process, despite known challenges of completely removing nuclear matrix. In contrast, h H2A, appears enriched postdecellularization, despite reports of efficient DNA depletion (5, 44, 45). Similarly, cellular proteins such as cytoskeletal actins, tubulins, and mitochondrial ATP synthase subunit alpha-1 appear to be insufficiently removed by the decellularization process according to the peptide spectral match comparisons in Table I. These and the above examples are universally inconsistent with previous reports that indicate near complete depletion of intracellular components, while retaining key components of the ECM (4, 44, 46, 47). Due to these significant qualitative and quantitative inconsistencies utilizing untargeted LC-MS/MS, we deemed this method insufficient to accurately measure differences in protein levels between the two lung types.

Development of Quantitative Method—To overcome these limitations, we developed two QconCATs containing a total of 74 peptides selected from 55 ECM, ECM-related, and cellular proteins of interest and one peptide each representing a standard yeast protein (supplemental Table S2). We first developed and optimized SRM assays for each peptide contained within the QconCATs. Collision energy, declustering potential, and retention time were sequentially optimized using the Skyline software to achieve the highest signal intensity for each transition. All optimization was completed in a background of trypsin digested E. coli lysate at a 1/400 ratio (wt./wt.) to mimic the matrix effects of a complex protein sample.

The newly developed scheduled SRM method for each QconCAT (supplemental Fig. S1) was used to test digestion
efficiency, stability, LOQ, LOD, and linear dynamic range of the assay. Digestion of all QconCAT peptides was determined to be complete within 1 h of incubation with trypsin, with intensity of the peptide signals remaining stable at 3, 6, and 18 h time points. The LOD was determined to be between 200 and 500 amol, depending on the peptide; an LOQ of 1 fmol was observed for most peptides. Linearity was maintained over at least four orders of magnitude, with all but two peptides maintaining linearity up to a 150:1 ratio (12C/13C). Of the initial 74 QconCAT peptides, 61 were used for quantification of peptides from the lung samples. Three peptides were excluded from the analysis due to variability during LOQ/linear dynamic range experiments, eight were below the LOQ in the biological samples analyzed. The remaining two peptides from Saccharomyces cerevisiae ADH were used as internal controls for quantification. These control peptides allow for normalization of any variability introduced during the sample preparation procedure or LC-MS/MS analysis and yielded a high level of reproducibility as shown in Fig. 3.

Quantification by Fraction—The quantitative analysis of 49 proteins with a heat map representing the total concentration of protein per fraction is shown in Fig. 4. As expected, the cytoskeletal and nuclear components are abundant in the detergent fractions (F1–3) of the native lung. In contrast, and in line with expectations, CHAPS extraction of the acellular lung yielded very little protein (Fractions 1–3). The overall lack of protein from decellularized lung in Fractions 1–3 reflects their previous removal during the decellularization regimen used to generate the scaffold. Most of the components that make up the ECM scaffold—basement membrane proteins, collagens, glycoproteins, and proteoglycans—are predominantly extracted into Fractions 4 and 5. Focusing on Fraction 4, we note that the basement membrane and collagen proteins detected in the native lung are more abundant when compared to the decellularized sample (Fig. 4). This indicates that the decellularization process not only removes cellular components but also solubilizes some of these ECM proteins. This is also true of the glycoproteins and additional proteoglycans evaluated in this study, though the discrepancy is somewhat less striking. Similarity between the iECM fraction (Fraction 5) of native and decellularized lung indicate that the structural proteins (largely fibrillar collagens) are relatively undisturbed by the decellularization process. Together, these proteins...
data indicate that quantitative information is incomplete for these proteins without analyzing both the soluble and insoluble ECM (Fractions 4 and 5).

Protein levels appear less consistent between the biological replicates in the decellularized lungs compared with the native lungs (Fig. 4). This variability is likely introduced during the decellularization process, which involves several buffer changes in the bioreactor over the course of several hours. Additionally, normalized z scores depicted in the heat maps can represent minor fluctuations in protein levels if the total range is small. Thus, while it is not surprising that the biological variability is greater in the decellularized lung when compared with the native, these variations may represent a narrow range of the protein levels across fractions.

To determine the total abundance of QconCAT-targeted proteins in each fraction of a native lung, we calculated the total molar quantity, and plotted representative proteins by gene ontology classification (Fig. 5). Cellular proteins were predominantly solubilized in the first detergent and high salt extraction step (Fraction 1), with over 97% of the protein in this fraction for the proteins we monitored. Interestingly, 70–80% of proteins that facilitate cell-ECM adhesion and cross-talk elute in the sECM fraction (Fraction 4), with the remainder solubilized during the initial detergent extractions (Fractions 1–3). This may reflect subpopulations of these proteins based on cellular and matrix location and interaction partners. Proteins of the basement membrane elute in the cellular fraction to a minor extent, while the majority of protein is split between the sECM and iECM fractions. Finally, fibrillar proteins that serve as the structural base of tissue scaffolding remain largely insoluble even in strong chaotrope; nearly 80% of these proteins were observed in the iECM fraction.

To determine the efficiency of our decellularization procedure, we evaluated the composition of lung tissue by protein class for native and decellularized lung (Fig. 6), with the caveat that we are monitoring a subset of ECM and an even smaller set of intracellular proteins. In line with expectations, collagens represent nearly 85% of the total protein weight of the decellularized lung. However, ~7% of quantified protein weight is accounted for by the few cellular proteins monitored here. Less than 20% of the original ECM proteoglycans and glycoproteins are retained in the decellularized matrix. To further evaluate the efficiency of our decellularization procedure, at the protein level, we calculated the molar quantity per gram of lung for each protein (supplemental Table S3). As expected, decellularized lungs are largely composed of structural collagens. The decellularization process was effective at retaining over 75% of the fibrillar collagens and 30% of the basement membrane components (laminins), and these findings are consistent with a previous report by Petersen et al. (44) Although cytoskeletal and nuclear proteins made up a significant percentage of the decellularized lung, we observed that less than 2% of the total original cellular protein remains. Many of the ECM glycoproteins and proteoglycans are extracted during the decellularization process, with less than 20% identified in the final scaffold.
DISCUSSION

This work stems from the first successful in vivo lung implantation using an engineered organ based on a scaffold derived from a decellularized organ (4). In this study, successful gas exchange was observed but only for several hours, limited by defects in coagulation and basement membrane barrier function. These studies highlighted the need for higher resolution molecular readouts to guide organ engineering. Understanding the integrity and composition of basement membranes and other aspects of the matrix are critical for successful generation of organs for transplantation.

Accurate characterization of native and decellularized organs has important relevance for tissue engineering efforts because it provides a molecular-level readout for functional variability observed in engineered organ testing. Commonly used methods for protein characterization depend on surrogate analysis of select proteins or class of proteins. For example, collagen levels are estimated using a colorimetric hydroxyproline assay (48). The ability of these methods to generate an accurate representation of the tissue proteome is inadequate. Despite rapid technological and computational advances in proteomics, comprehensive quantification of tissues remains a challenging problem. We set out to overcome two issues hampering our ability to accurately characterize the ECM from tissue engineered lungs: (1) solubilizing the full complement of ECM proteins and (2) accurate quantification to allow for ECM proteome comparison of heterogeneous tissues.

The ability to accurately characterize proteins from tissue is highly dependent on consistent and efficient extraction and
solubilization steps (49). The extracellular matrix proteins that make up the structurally rigid scaffolds used for tissue engineering have varying degrees of resistance to common detergent and chaotrope extractions. We used CNBr in strong acid solution to further digest protein in the insoluble pellet (iECM) that remains after detergent (CHAPS) and chaotrope (urea) protein extraction. Historically, chemical digestion with CNBr was used to isolate collagen peptides (50), generate large peptides for middle-down proteomics (51), and more recently to facilitate the identification of membrane proteins (52). The use of CNBr is advantageous for extracting the iECM fraction due to its specificity for cleavage at the C-terminal of methionine within proteins. Additionally, tightly packed proteins can sterically hinder enzymatic digestion facilitated by proteases, which is circumvented with chemical digestion. The robustness of the QconCAT method relies on targeted peptides to be in a known population with minimal heterogeneity; as a result, we avoided methionine-containing peptides in our QconCAT design when possible. This allows for the use of CNBr digestion to generate peptide fragments that can be solubilized and further digested with proteases so as to provide peptides compatible with stable isotope quantitative methods. Other methods for isolation and digestion of collagen and ECM proteins, such as pepsin or collagenase treatment, can be used for traditional bottom-up proteomics but are not amendable to quantitative methods due to their lack of specificity.

The comprehensive comparison of protein abundances between tissue samples is critical to understand the cellular processes that regulate or disregulate the homeostatic equilibrium of an organ. Relative quantitative mass spectrometry by global shotgun MS has emerged over the past decade as a powerful analytical platform to address some aspects of this aim. However, quantitative accuracy and precision of shotgun MS results remains strongly dependent on sample complexity. This is evident in the results shown in Table I where apparent protein levels remaining in the decellularized lung contradict published results attained through orthogonal assays. Sample complexity is known to negatively affect critical parameters for proteome analysis—the dynamic range, LOD, and analysis reproducibility, factors that have been reviewed in depth (53). Even with the combination of constantly evolving instrumentation to increase proteome coverage and the increased ability to multiplex (e.g. iTRAQ/TMT reagents), accurate intrasample quantitative comparisons remains challenging due to a range of factors that affect peptide detection. The QconCAT approach presented here circumvents these issues by providing a semiquantitative measure of protein levels between two heterogeneous tissues, a native and decellularized lung.
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**Fig. 5.** Proteins elute by functional classification. Fractional analysis of protein elution represented as a percentage of the total concentration of that protein in a native lung. Each quantified protein in this graph is represented by a single QconCAT peptide. Error bars are calculated as a standard error of the mean from two biological replicates and three technical triplicates.

**Fig. 6.** Defining proteins of native and decellularized lung tissue by weight. Chart representing total weight of quantified proteins (supplemental Table 2) sorted by protein class. The third column (diagonal lines) in each protein class represents an estimate of the percentage of each protein class retained after the bioreactor decellularization procedure.

Reporter peptide shared by one or more protein isoforms: ActA1 includes Act-A1/A2/B/C1/G1/G2, Tubb includes Tubb-4a/4b/5, and H2A includes H2A subtypes 1A-K,2,3,4.
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cellularized lung. Protein level concentration within tissues has proven to be an important determinant in producing structurally and functionally competent tissues (54). A quantitative understanding of protein levels throughout the process of organ engineering in combination with detailed understanding of protein composition have the potential to accelerate development of methods to reproducibly generate competent engineered organs.

The use of stable isotope-labeled analytes has long been accepted as the gold standard for quantification in the field of mass spectrometry (55, 56). Stable isotope dilution mass spectrometry allows for absolute quantification since the reporter peptides behave identically (or very similarly depending on the isotopic label) to the endogenous peptides being quantified through chromatographic separation, MS ionization, and tandem MS fragmentation (57). In addition, recovery during sample processing can be monitored as the isotope-labeled QconCATs can be added prior to enzymatic digestion. However, this advantage comes at the cost of needing to perform extensive validation experiments. A key component of the validation is ensuring accurate quantification of the QconCAT peptides themselves. To accomplish this, we optimized digestion conditions and utilized standard yeast ADH digests to quantify ADH peptides from each QconCAT to serve as internal controls for quantification. These measures resulted in high analytical precision and allowed for the reliable detection of relatively small changes in protein abundance.

A disadvantage of the QconCAT approach is that generation of peptides with post-translational modifications is not currently possible. Synthetic peptides on the other hand can be synthesized to have virtually any observed modification. While a vast array of synthetic peptides can be purchased commercially, very few derived from ECM proteins are currently available and synthesis of relatively pure peptides in the microgram range quickly becomes cost prohibitive, whereas we are able to generate milligram quantities of highly pure labeled QconCATs for ~1% of the cost of synthesized peptides using a bacterial expression system. Ultimately, analytical accuracy of quantitative approaches that employ SIL peptides, such as the one presented here, rely on several factors including digestion efficiency and peptide recovery. The local environment of tryptic cleavage sites is different between the QconCAT peptides and the equivalent peptides in the endogenous proteins. Thus, it is important to determine and use digestion conditions that have been optimized for digestion yield.

Quantities of synthetic peptides added to digests can be optimized to yield maximum dynamic range of quantification. However, initial quantification relies on purity and amino acid analysis, when enough material is available, and differential loss during sample processing can confound results (58–60). This is not the case for the QconCATs as the stoichiometry between peptides is known, and with the inclusion of quality control yeast peptides and the corresponding yeast digest standard we obtain intrarun quantification of the labeled peptides. Together, these factors contribute to the utility of the QconCAT approach to provide accurate quantification in a cost-effective manner when compared with traditional methods.

The two QconCATs designed and produced here contain a total of 74 tryptic peptides that facilitate the quantification of 56 proteins. To increase the utility of these constructs, we attempted to select peptides with homology between human sequences and the two most common rodent models used in biomedical research, mouse and rat. One of the main caveats of this method is the assumption that quantification of a peptide directly correlates with the concentration of the parent protein. Some ECM proteins are known to have many splice-variants and are susceptible to proteolytic processing and turnover (61).

Future efforts will be aimed at generating additional QconCATs to increase coverage of the ECM proteome and additional proteins that have the potential to impact recellularization outcomes such as growth factors. Based on our previous work, it is likely that growth factors will be removed during the decellularization process. This supposition is supported by our global proteomics data in which growth factors were not confidently identified in the decellularized lung. However, future application of the methodology will involve quantitative variation with alterations of the decellularization and recellularization procedures, the latter of which will likely include added factors that influence cell signaling, developmental programs, and direct cell attachment. Additional labeled peptides to increase coverage of ECM proteins will not only add a level of statistical confidence to the method but will also lead to isoform specific information, giving a more complete molecular readout of tissue scaffolding.

One of the major challenges of developing engineered organs for transplantation is creating scaffolding that retain specific cues from the local microenvironment that facilitate regeneration of highly specialized structures such as capillaries and alveoli. Thus, the discovery that the iECM fraction not only contains protein but also is composed of a large subset of basement membrane and fibrillar proteins underscores the importance of this fraction.

Depletion of basement membrane proteins such as collagen IV and laminins is relevant to recellularization, as these proteins possess abundant binding sites for both endothelial and epithelial cells. Collagen IV promotes cell adhesion to a greater degree than either fibronectins or laminins (62, 63). In addition to adhesion, these proteins differentially regulate migration and proliferation (64). Collagen IV is the predominant collagen of basement membranes in most tissues and contains multiple cell-binding domains (65, 66). Mesenchymal, epithelial, and endothelial cells all bind to collagen IV. Furthermore, the alpha chains of collagen IV are regionally distributed, both in the lung (67) and the kidney (68), which supports the concept of local ECM niches that may influence cellular
phenotype. More than 35% of collagen IV extraction occurs in the iECM fraction, (Fraction 5), highlighting the importance of this fraction in molecular characterization of tissue. Our current protocol can be used to monitor these basement membrane proteins and correlate these levels to recellularization efficiency and transplant outcomes.

Laminins, which are also present in the sECM and iECM fractions, play a prominent role in promoting cell-type specific efficiency and transplant outcomes. Absence of the laminin alpha-5 gene in knockout gamma 2 chain results in less organized tracheal epithelial airways in developing and adult lung and absence of the gamma 2 chain results in less organized tracheal epithelial junctions (69). Absence of the laminin alpha-5 gene in knockout mice results in fewer type II cells and a near-absence of type I cells (70). Laminin-chain-specific information of this type has been challenging to obtain, providing evidence that our method outperforms immunohistochemistry methods in several respects. The presence or absence of basement membrane proteins yields key information about the availability of binding sites that may impact the efficiency of recellularization and may ultimately correlate with the ability of the scaffold to promote organ-specific cell phenotypes that lead to successful organ generation. The level of detail provided by our method generates a critical and heretofore unavailable link between in vivo studies and recellularization of acellular scaffolds.

Proteins of the ECM play an important role in defining the mechanical properties of tissues. Glycoproteins and proteoglycans are important to the viscoelastic behavior of the tissue and stabilize the collagen and elastin networks, thereby contributing to the maintenance of overall tissue architecture. Removal of proteoglycans tends to result in “softer” tissue as evidenced by decreased stress per unit strain, though this is somewhat less important than the contribution of fibrillar collagen networks throughout the tissue (71). Fibrillar collagens are largely responsible for the ultimate tensile strength of organs; the apparent preservation of these proteins suggests that the tensile mechanics should be relatively similar between native and decellularized lungs. Indeed, this aligns with our previous work comparing native and CHAPs-decellularized lungs (72). Despite the importance and abundance of the ECM components discussed, a significant percentage of ECM protein is being discarded by methods that only utilize detergent or chaotrope solubilization during sample preparation. Therefore, the methods described here represent an advancement in the characterization of decellularized lung scaffolds, which should be applicable to other decellularized organs, and tissue proteomes in general.

Beyond quantitative accuracy, the presented methodology for fractionation can provide insight into specific ECM microenvironments. For example, it is likely that fibrotic tissue has a larger percentage of ECM proteins in the insoluble fraction when compared with neighboring nonfibrotic tissue. Likewise, different chemical decellularization approaches will remove variable amounts of soluble and possibly insoluble components. If assay throughput is a priority, the procedure can be modified to pool the sECM and iECM fractions for chemical digestion to create one ECM fraction for analysis. However, many important matricellular ECM proteins from native tissues are predominantly solubilized in chaotrope buffer (sECM, Fig. 4), and these proteins can be two or more orders of magnitude lower in concentration than fibrillar proteins found in the iECM fraction. Thus, combining these fractions to increase throughput may result in lower level ECM proteins being below the LOQ.

One advantage of using native tissue over synthetic scaffolds for engineered organ generation is that ECM proteins remaining after decellularization can leave a “footprint” of the previously resident cells (73). This microenvironmental niche may facilitate organ specific features during cellular reseeding, Proteoglycans and glycoproteins of the ECM are known to facilitate many of the complex cell–cell and cell–matrix interactions critical for specialized structures such as capillaries and alveoli. Our results indicate that only 5–25% of these protein classes remain after decellularization using our previously published methods (31). At this time, the importance of retaining these ECM components versus having them generated by resident cells during recellularization is not known. In addition, our results are consistent with previous reports regarding the levels of remaining cellular proteins (less than 2%). The specific profile and amount of cellular protein required to elicit an immune response is poorly defined. The protein composition of the decellularized microenvironment that facilitates optimal recellularization to regenerate a functional organ is not known. The methods presented here can be used to characterize current tissue engineering scaffolds and allow for refinement of decellularization procedures based on protein profiles, both with respect to extent of antigen removal and structural protein conservation.

In summary, by combining a stepwise extraction and digestion procedure with a targeted LC-SRM approach, we were able to establish a rapid method for analysis of proteins from native tissue samples and matched decellularized organs. Our targeted method proved to be more reproducible and sensitive than a more traditional discovery-based proteomic approach. In addition, the chaotrope insoluble fraction was assessed with the use of an extraction step based on chemical digestion. This fraction led to the discovery of an ECM-rich fraction that would have been discarded using standard strong denaturants aimed at solubilizing extracellular matrix proteins. Utilization of the QconCAT method for generating SIL peptides allowed for a level of quantification that would have been difficult to achieve using labeled or label-free relative quantification methods. Refinement and optimization of this approach should provide a valuable assay to the research community by defining organ scaffolds and tissue proteomes at a molecular level never before achieved. Future work will involve using these methods to correlate protein profiles with functional testing and outcomes of transplantation experiments to provide the next generation of engineered organs.
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