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32-Channel self-grounded bow-tie transceiver array for cardiac MR at 7.0T
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Purpose: Design, implementation, evaluation, and application of a 32-channel Self-Grounded Bow-Tie (SGBT) transceiver array for cardiac MR (CMR) at 7.0T.

Methods: The array consists of 32 compact SGBT building blocks. Transmission field ($B_1^+$) shimming and radiofrequency safety assessment were performed with numerical simulations and benchmarked against phantom experiments. In vivo $B_1^+$ efficiency mapping was conducted with actual flip angle imaging. The array’s applicability for accelerated high spatial resolution 2D FLASH CINE imaging of the heart was examined in a volunteer study (n = 7).

Results: $B_1^+$ shimming provided a uniform field distribution suitable for female and male subjects. Phantom studies demonstrated an excellent agreement between simulated and measured $B_1^+$ efficiency maps (7% mean difference). The SGBT array afforded a spatial resolution of (0.8 × 0.8 × 2.5) mm³ for 2D CINE FLASH which is by a factor of 12 superior to standardized cardiovascular MR (CMR) protocols. The density of the SGBT array supports 1D acceleration of up to R = 4 (mean signal-to-noise ratio (whole heart) ≥ 16.7, mean contrast-to-noise ratio ≥ 13.5) without impairing image quality significantly.

Conclusion: The compact SGBT building block facilitates a modular high-density array that supports accelerated and high spatial resolution CMR at 7.0T. The array provides a technological basis for future clinical assessment of parallel transmission techniques.
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Advances in cardiovascular MR (CMR) at ultrahigh magnetic field strengths (UHF, $B_0 \geq 7.0$T) foreshadow some of the potential benefits to be expected as this technology moves to translational research and clinical science.\(^1\)\(^2\) Transferring UHF-CMR into the clinic remains a major challenge since the advantages are sometimes hampered by concomitant physics-related phenomena. UHF-CMR is particularly susceptible to non-uniformities in the radiofrequency (RF) transmission field ($B_1^+\)\). To address this obstruction several reports refer to the development of enabling technology tailored for UHF-CMR.\(^3\) Research directions for $B_1^+$ inhomogeneity compensation include RF pulse design and enabling multi-channel RF coil technology. Pioneering RF array developments for CMR at 7.0T include stripline-elements,\(^4\) stripline waveguide like elements,\(^5\) loop elements,\(^6\)\(^-\)\(^10\) dipoles\(^11\)\(^-\)\(^12\), slot-antennas,\(^13\) loop-dipoles,\(^14\)\(^-\)\(^15\) and dipole building block elements.\(^16\)\(^-\)\(^17\)

Dipole antenna configurations have gained increased attention for UHF-CMR. Electric dipoles run the trait of a linearly polarized current pattern, where RF energy is directed perpendicular to the dipole along the Poynting vector to the object under investigation resulting in a symmetrical, rather uniform excitation field with increased penetration depth.\(^14\)\(^-\)\(^16\)\(^-\)\(^18\) At UHF-MRI linear (curl-free) current patterns provided by electric dipoles help to approach ultimate intrinsic signal-to-noise ratio (SNR).\(^19\) Current dipole and loop-dipole RF arrays rely on geometrical decoupling limiting the number of transmitting (TX) elements that can be placed per unit area.\(^11\)\(^-\)\(^14\)\(^-\)\(^15\) Yet, a high number of elements is unfavorable to increase the degrees of freedom and improve $B_1^+$ at a deep-seated cardiac region of interest (ROI).\(^20\) For reception (RX) a high number of RF array elements afford parallel imaging with increased acceleration.\(^21\) High-permittivity and low-loss dielectric materials facilitate dipole antenna shortening and are promising to provide sufficient decoupling allowing high channel count RF arrays.\(^16\)\(^-\)\(^22\)\(^-\)\(^23\)

To summarize, RF arrays tailored for UHF-CMR should meet the requirements of patient safety, patient comfort, and ease of clinical use to harmonize the technical specifications with the clinical needs. This includes lightweight, flexibility, the capability to accommodate multiple body habitus and anatomical variants, a modular and multi-dimensional arrangement of RF building blocks together with a sensitive region large enough to cover the human heart.\(^24\) Recent investigations demonstrated the feasibility of a Self-Grounded Bow-Tie (SGBT) building block at 7.0 T MRI.\(^23\)\(^-\)\(^25\) The hallmark of this antenna type is its strong main lobe directivity and small size, based on a dielectrically filled housing for effective wavelength shortening and antenna size reduction.\(^23\)\(^-\)\(^26\)\(^-\)\(^27\) Recognizing these opportunities it is conceptually appealing to pursue the development of an SGBT-based high-density TX/RX RF array tailored for UHF-CMR. To meet this goal this work reports on the design, implementation, evaluation, and application of a modular, lightweight 32-channel SGBT TX/RX array for CMR at 7.0 T. For this purpose, the proposed RF array is examined in electromagnetic field (EMF) simulations and benchmarked against phantom experiments. The feasibility of the RF array is demonstrated for high spatial resolution 2D CINE imaging of the heart in healthy subjects as a mandatory precursor to broader patient studies.

For the in vivo feasibility study, subjects without any known history of cardiac disease were included after approval by the local ethical committee (registration number EA1/256/19, Ethikcommitt am Campus Charité – Mitte, Berlin, Germany). Informed written consent was obtained from each volunteer prior to the study.

The RF antenna building block consists of an SGBT antenna with a dielectric-filled housing (Figure 1).\(^23\)\(^-\)\(^27\) The SGBT dipole antenna has a strong main lobe directivity and limited back radiation due to the self-grounded backplane.\(^26\) The antenna was manufactured with a 0.3 mm copper sheet, to ensure a mechanically robust setup. The additive manufactured housing is based on lithography (Form2, Formlabs, Somerville, MA, United States of America) and filled with deuterium oxide (D2O, 99.9%, $\varepsilon_r \approx 81$ at 297.2 MHz, Sigma Aldrich GmbH, Munich, Germany) as dielectric to shorten the effective RF wavelength and to reduce the overall antenna size. The building block surface facing the object under investigation consists of a 0.5 mm FR-4 sheet (Figure 1C). From the SGBT antenna tip, a parallel transmission line was connected to the tuning and matching network at the backside of the building block containing, a variable nonmagnetic ceramic capacitor (~1-23 pF, Voltronics Inc., Denville, NJ, United States of America) and a nonmagnetic air-core inductor (12.2 nH, Coilcraft Inc., Cary, IL, United States of America). The circuit network was covered with lithography-based manufactured housing for the individual element (Figure 1C,D).

To constitute the high-density TX/RX cardiac array sixteen SGBT building blocks were combined to form the anterior section. Sixteen SGBT building blocks were integrated into the posterior section (Figure 2). For this purpose, the SGBT building blocks were placed next to each other as close as
possible with three rows of building blocks along the head-feet direction. A shift of the array to the left side of the subject was introduced to place the center of the antenna array above the heart (Figure 2A). The anterior RF building blocks were fixed by hook-and-loop fasteners allowing a flexible connection between the building blocks and a close-fitting to the upper torso, regardless of sex or body mass index (BMI). For the posterior section, a holder system was made from acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) material using a rapid prototyping system (BST 1200es, Dimension Inc., Eden Prairie, MN, USA). The holder system accommodated the SGBT building blocks and was integrated into the patient table cushions, hence no extra free magnet bore space is consumed. To reduce EMF reflections, a hydrogel pad was placed between the anterior and posterior sections of the RF array and the object under investigation (Figure 2C). The hydrogel (\(\varepsilon_r \approx 82, \sigma \approx 0.17 \, \text{S/m}\)) consists of xanthan (0.4% mass fraction, Roth AG, Arlesheim, Switzerland), locust bean gum (0.4% mass fraction, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany), and agarose (0.2% mass fraction, Roth AG, Arlesheim, Switzerland) filled in a vacuum-sealed bag.\(^\text{28}\) For RF safety and to avoid excessive local specific absorption rate (SAR) in the vicinity of the conductors, the thickness (\(\geq 5 \, \text{mm}\)) of the hydrogel pad and the design of the building block casing assures a minimum distance between the RF array and the object.

### 2.4 Hardware

MR experiments were conducted on a 7.0T whole-body MR scanner (MAGNETOM, Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany) equipped with an 8 kW RF power amplifier (RFPA,
Stolberg HF-Technik AG, Stolberg-Vicht, Germany) and a gradient system with a maximum slew rate of 170 mT/m/ms and gradient strength of 38 mT/m. To drive the RF array, the transmit signal was divided into 32 equal amplitude signals using a cascade of one 1:2, two 1:4, and eight 1:4 Wilkinson power splitters (Power Splitter 297.2 MHz, MRI.TOOLS GmbH, Berlin, Germany). The phase adjustments (α_{exc}) of the individual channels were incorporated by using phase-shifting coaxial cables (Figure 2D). The 32-channels of the proposed cardiac array were connected to the MR signal chain using four eight-channel multi-purpose interface boxes with transmit/receive switches and integrated low-noise preamplifiers (Stark Contrast, Erlangen, Germany).

2.5 | EMF simulations

EMF simulations were performed using the finite integration technique (FIT) solver of CST Microwave Studio (CST Studio Suite 2020, Dassault Systèmes, Vélizy-Villacoublay Cedex, France) with a broadband excitation at 297.2 ± 50.0 MHz. The simulations were performed for a rectangular phantom setup (156 × 374 × 318 mm³, εᵣ = 48, σ = 0.47) and for the human voxel models Ella and Duke from the virtual population.29 The voxel models were used from the upper neck to the navel at a resolution of 1.0 × 1.0 × 1.0 mm³. The dielectric material specification of the phantom was measured with an open-end coaxial probe.30 Tissue properties were defined at 297.2 MHz according to the tissue database of the IT’IS Foundation.31 All simulations were performed within a model of the MRI bores’ RF shield. The simulation resolution was kept below 4.0 × 4.0 × 4.0 mm³ for all configurations. B_{1+}^\text{eff} efficiency was calculated by dividing the magnetic transmit RF field by the root mean square of the input power in kW.

2.6 | Evaluation and optimization of SGBT

The antenna dimensions width (w₀), length (l₀), and dihedral angle (α) were investigated using CST Microwave Studio
to achieve the highest possible $B_1^+$ efficiency divided by the building blocks’ footprint ($B_1^+$ efficiency to footprint ratio). For this purpose, the SGBT building block and the hydrogel pad were placed centrally above the heart of the human voxel models (Ella and Duke) and the lateral wall of the heart was used as ROI as indicated in Figure 3A.

### 2.7 Co-simulation, transmission field shaping, and SAR calculation

A co-simulation was performed in MATLAB 2019b (MathWorks, Natick, MA) for channel-wise tuning and matching $(f = 297.2$ MHz) with a lossy capacitance (equivalent series resistance $= 0.2$ Ω, equivalent series inductance $= 1$ nH) and a lossy inductance $(Q = 45)$. EMF simulation results were scaled accordingly and together with the material matrices used to calculate $B_1^+$ fields and SAR distributions averaged over 10g tissue or material $(\text{SAR}_{10g})$. To reduce the computational effort for the $B_1^+$ shimming approach the channel-wise transmission field was regridded to an isotropic resolution of $4.0 \times 4.0 \times 4.0$ mm$^3$ and SAR$_{10g}$ was compressed using virtual observation points (VOPs) at an overestimation factor of 0.01. RF power loss calculation was performed using a quadratic-form-based framework for loss analysis in multichannel arrays. All results referring to the power absorption were calculated without losses in the signal chain, i.e., the reference plane was at the input of the balun on the SGBT antenna. The intrinsic transmit efficiency and the intrinsic SNR distributions were calculated as an optimum superposition of $B_1^+$ and $B_1^−$ with respect to the power absorbed by the voxel model. Assuming complete dominance of sample noise, this yields a theoretical upper bound for the $B_1^+$ shimming performance and is proportional to image SNR. The intrinsic measures were contrasted with the realistic transmit efficiency and the realistic SNR with considering all coil losses. The performance ratio $(\%)$ of the RF array reveals the ratio of the intrinsic and the realistic $B_1^+$ and $B_1^−$ superpositions. The values derived in this way can be used to quantitatively compare expected SNR and transmit efficiency of different arrays and to assess theoretical electromagnetic upper bounds.

EMF shaping was performed using a combined approach for Ella and Duke, where the minimum $B_1^+$ in the ROI is maximized by using the target function

$$f(\text{exc}) = \min (B_1^+_{\text{Ella}}(\text{exc})) + \delta \cdot \min (B_1^+_{\text{Duke}}(\text{exc})) - \lambda \cdot \max (\text{VOP}_{\text{Ella}}(\text{exc}) + \text{VOP}_{\text{Duke}}(\text{exc}))$$

with the $B_1^+$ scaling factor $(\delta = 1 \ldots 4)$ and VOPs scaling factor $(\lambda = 0.001 \ldots 5)$. The phase optimization was performed with a generic algorithm implemented in the global optimization toolbox of MATLAB 2019b.

### 2.8 Phantom experiments for EMF simulations validation

To examine the RF characteristics of the cardiac array and of the signal chain, bench measurements were performed using a four-channel vector network analyzer (ZN 4, Rohde & Schwarz, Memmingen, Germany) in conjunction with a switching matrix (ZN-Z84, Rohde & Schwarz, Memmingen, Germany).

A custom-made rectangular phantom $(156 \times 374 \times 318$ mm$^3$) consisting of deionized water, sucrose $(1425.7$ g/L), NaCl $(58.7$ g/L), agarose $(25$ g/L), and CuSO$_4$ $(0.75$ g/L) was used to validate the EMF simulations obtained for the 32-channel SGBT antenna building block array. Simulated $B_1^+$ efficiency distributions were benchmarked against measured $B_1^+$ efficiency maps for transversal slices through each of the three SGBT antenna building block rows. For this evaluation, two-phase setting modes were used: (1) the equal phase excitation $(\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_{32} = 0°)$ and (2) the phase shim excitation $(\alpha_{\text{exc}})$ obtained with the proposed optimization algorithm.

$B_1^+$ efficiency field measurements were conducted with a non-slice-selective actual flip angle imaging (AFI) method (spatial resolution $= 1.0 \times 1.0 \times 2.5$ mm$^3$, rectangular pulse PD $= 1$ ms TE $= 2.19$ ms, TR$_1$ $= 42$ ms, TR$_2$ $= 122$ ms, BW $= 500$ Hz/Px, nominal FA $= 50°$, V$_\text{ref} = 520$ V, 64 slices) and calculated offline in MATLAB 2019b. Measured losses in the signal chain of the MRI system were considered in the simulation results. Pixel-by-pixel difference maps were calculated using a plane resolution of $1.0 \times 1.0$ mm$^2$ where the $B_1^+$ mask $(B_1^+ \geq 4 \mu T/\sqrt{kW}$ in simulation without losses) was used for cropping low signal areas. An ROI was defined in the phantom to evaluate the simulation and measurement results.

### 2.9 Volunteer studies

The in vivo study was performed in seven healthy subjects (three females, four males; age $= 29\text{-}59$; average BMI $= 23.8 \pm 2.1$ kg/m$^2$; minimum BMI $= 20.2$ kg/m$^2$; maximum BMI $= 27.2$ kg/m$^2$). The study design contained $B_1^+$ efficiency mapping (two subjects), 2D CINE FLASH imaging (seven subjects), assessment of spatial resolution enhancement (four subjects), and parallel imaging (four subjects). For retrospective cardiac gating and prospective cardiac triggering electrocardiogram (ECG) electrodes and an MR stethoscope (EasyACT, MRI.TOOLS GmbH, Berlin, Germany) were placed between the hydrogel pad and the anterior chest wall.

To facilitate 3D flip angle measurement, a radial phase encoding (RPE) gradient-echo acquisition scheme was modified to acquire two interleaved TRs, which enables the computation of absolute $B_1^+$ efficiency maps according to the AFI approach (RPE-AFI). RPE-AFI was obtained with: spatial resolution $= 5.0 \times 5.0 \times 5.0$ mm$^3$, rectangular pulse PD $= 0.5$ ms, TE $= 2.04$ ms, TR$_1$ $= 10$ ms, TR$_2$ $= 50$ ms, nominal...
FIGURE 3 Summary of the results obtained for the optimization of the SGBT antenna geometry using the human voxel model Ella and Duke. A. The ROI used for the $B_1^+$ efficiency calculation within the optimization is marked by the black cross. The normalized deviation [%] from the respective maximum of the ratio between the $B_1^+$ efficiency and the footprint of the building block is shown for a parametric sweep ($\alpha = 75^\circ \ldots 120^\circ$ and $l_b = 40$ mm $\ldots$ 80 mm) and an antenna width of $w_a = 35$ mm (B), $w_a = 40$ mm (C), and $w_a = 45$ mm (D). The maximum $B_1^+$ efficiency to building block footprint ratio is highlighted by a red cross for each parameter sweep.
FA = 66°, $V_{\text{ref}} = 520$ V, and 12 readouts per radial line following Dietrich S. et al.\textsuperscript{39}

2D CINE FLASH imaging of the heart was performed to obtain short axis (SAX), two-chamber (2CV), three-chamber (3CV), and four-chamber (4CV) views of the human heart (spatial resolution = $1.1 \times 1.1 \times 2.5$ mm$^3$, TE = 2.09 ms, TR = 4.55 ms, GRAPPA R = 2, views per segment = 10, cardiac phases = 30, BW = 446 Hz/Px, nominal FA = 22°, $V_{\text{ref}} = 520$ V). Imaging parameters were slightly adjusted for subject 1, subject 3, and subject 7 (TE = 2.14-2.17 ms, TR = 4.72-5.58 ms). The noise correlation matrix was derived from averaging noise pre-scans obtained for each subject without RF excitation.\textsuperscript{40}

The antenna arrays’ parallel imaging performance was evaluated using acceleration factors of up to R = 6 and GRAPPA reconstruction.\textsuperscript{43} Prospective imaging of the 4CV and SAX were performed for spatial resolutions: (1) $1.8 \times 1.8 \times 6.0$ mm$^3$ according to standardized protocols used in CMR practice\textsuperscript{41} (TE = 1.75 ms, TR = 3.96 ms, views per segment = 10, cardiac phases = 30), (2) $1.4 \times 1.4 \times 4.0$ mm$^3$ (TE = 1.84 ms, TR = 4.14 ms, views per segment = 10, cardiac phases = 30), (3) $1.1 \times 1.1 \times 2.5$ mm$^3$ (see above), and (4) $0.8 \times 0.8 \times 2.5$ mm$^3$ (TE = 2.11 ms, TR = 4.75 ms, GRAPPA R = 2, views per segment = 10, cardiac phases = 30). The normalized signal intensity profile along a circumferential trajectory inside the myocardium was plotted for a mid-ventricular SAX at end-diastole for four subjects. The results were labeled using the common segmentation of the myocardium.\textsuperscript{42}

The power splitters introduced losses of $-0.27$ dB for the 1:2 and $-0.49$ dB for the 1:4 with a maximum phase error of 1° each. The phase cables were capsulated in a box and manufactured with less than 2.2° phase deviation. Including all other parts of the system (cables between the described elements, the antenna cables, the TX/RX switch boxes, and the phase cables) the losses were found to be $-5.15$ dB at a cumulative worst-case phase error of 9.9°.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | RF antenna building block design and characteristics

The results of the EMF simulations are summarized in Figure 3, suggesting a small antenna width for an enhanced $B^+_2$ efficiency to footprint ratio. Coupling between the elements, on the other hand, favors an increased antenna width, resulting in a lower coupling between SGBT building blocks in an RF array. The final antenna design ($w_a = 40.0$ mm, $l_a = 69.0$ mm, $\alpha = 115.0°$) was defined as a trade-off between the $B^+_2$ efficiency to footprint ratio and the decoupling behavior. The result was deduced from the EMF simulations with the human voxel model Duke, because of the reduced $B^+_2$ efficiency compared to Ella (Figure 3). Following the implementation, the SGBT building block exhibits a weight of 156 g and a size of 89.3 $\times$ 48.0 $\times$ 25.8 mm$^3$. This SGBT building block configuration allows a nearest-neighbor coupling of $S_{ij} \leq -11.2$ dB at 0° relative angle and 0 mm distance between the SGBT building blocks when placed on the thorax of the human voxel models Duke ($S_{ij} = -12.7$ dB) and Ella ($S_{ij} = -11.2$ dB). The decoupling performance relies on geometrical decoupling and allows the use in an RF array without additional decoupling measures (eg, impedance transformation network and preamplifier decoupling). In comparison, EMF simulations with $w_a = 35$ mm and $w_a = 45$ mm revealed a coupling of $S_{ij} \leq -10.6$ dB and $S_{ij} \leq -12.3$ dB. The power absorption analysis of a single channel revealed that on average 61% and 71% of the input is absorbed in the body of Ella and Duke for the 32-channel array. The other losses are comprised of a material including the hydrogel pad (20-26%), coupling (8-11%), and lumped element losses (1%).

3.2 | Cardiac array assembly

The anterior and posterior sections consist of sixteen SGBT building blocks each, covering a surface area of about 686 cm$^2$, respectively (Figure 2A). The total weight of the anterior section is approximately 2.5 kg. In the experimental setup the reflection and the coupling coefficient were found to be $S_{ij} < -10.2$ dB and $S_{ij} < -14.1$ dB. Figure 2B shows the noise correlation matrix which revealed a value of $-0.258$ or below within the array for all subjects.

3.3 | Hardware

The antenna arrays’ parallel imaging performance was evaluated using acceleration factors of up to R = 6 and GRAPPA reconstruction.\textsuperscript{43} Prospective imaging of the 4CV and SAX was used at a resolution of $1.1 \times 1.1 \times 2.5$ mm$^3$ (TE = 2.5 ms, TR = 4.55 ms, views per segment = 10, BW = 446 Hz/Px, and a nominal FA = 22° at $V_{\text{ref}} = 520$ V).

The acquired data was assessed for each individual subject to determine the SNR values of the myocardium from the SNR values of the ventricular blood pool in the 4CV and SAX.\textsuperscript{45} The normal-
3.4 Co-simulation, transmission field shaping, and SAR calculation

Numerical simulations of the 32-channel RF array revealed a reflection of $S_{ii} < -27$ dB and coupling of $S_{ij} < -12$ dB. The factor $\delta$ of the proposed mixed $B_1^+$ shimming within the heart of Ella and Duke allowed a $B_1^+$ efficiency gain for Duke at the expense of Ella. Dependent on the $B_1^+$ scaling factor $\delta$ and the VOPs scaling factor $\lambda$ an optimum phase set was calculated maximizing the minimum $B_1^+$ of both voxel models. The optimized phase set ($\alpha_{\text{exc}} = [0; 275; 241; 191; 135; 48; 0; 241; 204; 164; 128; 310; 273; 239; 169; 108; 0;...$}
FIGURE 4  Summary of results obtained from the proposed optimized phase shim excitation ($\alpha_{exc} = -0°; 275; 241; 191; 135; 48; 0; 241; 204; 164; 128; 310; 273; 239; 169; 108; 0; 34; 33; 24; 9; 97; 126; 135; 115; 61; 38; 95; 82; 32; 311; 0°)$ using the human voxel models Ella and Duke. A, $B^+_i$ efficiency is visualized for three orthogonal slices through the center of the cardiac ROI (highlighted in red). SAR$_{10g}$ is visualized as a maximum projection for three orthogonal views. For Ella, the simulations revealed (mean ± SD [min]) $B^+_1 = (6.2 ± 1.8 [3.4]) \mu T/\sqrt{W}$ and SAR$_{10g,max} = 0.25 W/kg. Duke showed $B^+_1 = (5.6 ± 1.9 [2.8]) \mu T/\sqrt{W}$ and SAR$_{10g,max} = 0.30 W/kg. B, Intrinsic transmit efficiency and transmit efficiency performance ratio for the three orthogonal slices through the center of the cardiac ROI for Ella and Duke. For Ella, the intrinsic transmit efficiency revealed (mean ± SD [min]) $B^+_1 = (0.68 ± 0.27 [0.36]) \mu T/\sqrt{W}$ and $B^+_1$ performance ratio = (87.4 ± 1.2 [82.7])%. For Duke, $B^+_1 = (0.61 ± 0.31 [0.24]) \mu T/\sqrt{W}$ and $B^+_1$ performance ratio = (89.9 ± 1.4 [83.2])% was obtained. C, The intrinsic SNR and SNR performance ratio for three orthogonal slices through the cardiac ROI of Ella and Duke. For Ella, an intrinsic SNR (mean ± SD [min]) of $B^-_1 = (0.66 ± 0.25 [0.36]) \mu T/\sqrt{W}$ and $B^-_1$ performance ratio = (87.2 ± 1.5 [82.0])% was observed. For Duke, $B^-_1 = (0.60 ± 0.30 [0.26]) \mu T/\sqrt{W}$ and $B^-_1$ performance ratio = (90.1 ± 1.4 [85.3])% was estimated.

Summary of results obtained from the proposed optimized phase shim excitation ($\alpha_{exc} = -0°; 275; 241; 191; 135; 48; 0; 241; 204; 164; 128; 310; 273; 239; 169; 108; 0; 34; 33; 24; 9; 97; 126; 135; 115; 61; 38; 95; 82; 32; 311; 0°)$ corresponding to $\delta = 2.0$ and $\lambda = 0.025$ showed both, a fair balance of the $B^+_i$ efficiency results of Ella and Duke with considerable low SAR$_{10g}$.

Figure 4A shows the $B^+_i$ efficiency distribution within the heart of the human voxel models for the proposed optimized phase shim excitation. A $B^+_1$ efficiency distribution of (mean ± SD [min]) $(6.2 ± 1.8 [3.4]) \mu T/\sqrt{W}$ for Ella and $(5.6 ± 1.9 [2.8]) \mu T/\sqrt{W}$ for Duke was obtained. The SAR$_{10g}$ distributions (Figure 4A) were normalized to an average total power of 1.0 W and demonstrate a maximum of 0.30 W/kg for both voxel models (0.25 W/kg for Ella and 0.30 W/kg for Duke). Considering the described hardware losses in the RF chain and a maximum allowed SAR$_{10g}$ of 20 W/kg in the first level controlled mode, the input power was limited to 8.1 W per channel including a safety factor of 1.7. The intrinsic transmit efficiency revealed (mean) $0.68 \mu T/\sqrt{W}$ for Ella and $0.61 \mu T/\sqrt{W}$ for Duke, while the realistic transmit efficiency was $0.59 \mu T/\sqrt{W}$ for Ella and $0.55 \mu T/\sqrt{W}$ for Duke, corresponding to a transmit efficiency performance ratio of 87.4% for Ella and 89.9% for Duke. The intrinsic SNR revealed 0.66 $\mu T/\sqrt{W}$ for Ella and 0.60 $\mu T/\sqrt{W}$ for Duke, while the realistic SNR was 0.58 $\mu T/\sqrt{W}$ for Ella and 0.54 $\mu T/\sqrt{W}$ for Duke. The SNR performance ratio was found to be 87.2% for Ella and 90.1% for Duke. The power absorption analysis of $\alpha_{exc}$ demonstrated that 65% and 74% of the input power is absorbed in the body of Ella and Duke. The other losses are comprised of 13-16% material including the hydrogel pad, 10-16% coupling, and 1% lumped element losses.

3.5  |  Phantom experiments for EMF simulations validation

The simulated and measured $B^+_i$ efficiency maps showed a good quantitative agreement with the signal chain losses and the patient table cable losses of −6.37 dB (an additional −1.22 dB on top of the −5.15 dB losses) being included. Figure 5 shows the data for three slices through the phantom. The absolute (simulation − measurement) voxel difference obtained for all three slices was found to be (mean ± SD) $(-0.26 ± 0.45) \mu T/\sqrt{kW}$ for an equal phase excitation and $(-0.01 ± 0.50) \mu T/\sqrt{kW}$ for the proposed optimized phase excitation. The difference relative to the simulation results is $(-6.1 ± 12.3)%$ and $(-2.2 ± 14.5)%$ for the equal and the proposed phase excitation ($\alpha_{exc}$). The $B^-_1$ efficiency distribution within the ROI in Figure 5C shows (mean ± SD [min]) $(6.2 ± 1.8 [3.4]) \mu T/\sqrt{W}$ and $B^-_1$ performance ratio = (87.2 ± 1.5 [82.0])% was observed. For Duke, $B^-_1 = (0.60 ± 0.30 [0.26]) \mu T/\sqrt{W}$ and $B^-_1$ performance ratio = (90.1 ± 1.4 [85.3])% was estimated.

3.6  |  Volunteer study

Figure 6 shows in vivo flip angle maps and the corresponding thresholded $B^+_1$ efficiency distributions (FA $\leq 15°$) for sagittal, coronal, and transversal views through the heart. In vivo $B^+_1$ efficiency (mean ± SD) within the cardiac ROI was $2.8 ± 0.9 \mu T/\sqrt{W}$ for the female (subject 4) and $2.3 ± 0.7 \mu T/\sqrt{W}$ for the male (subject 5). For comparison with the simulation results (Ella: $6.2 ± 1.8 \mu T/\sqrt{W}$; Duke: $5.6 ± 1.9 \mu T/\sqrt{W}$), the in vivo data are scaled by the measured losses ($-6.37 dB$) and correspond to 5.8 $\mu T/\sqrt{W}$ for the female subject and 4.8 $\mu T/\sqrt{W}$ for the male subject as a mean value for the cardiac ROI.

Figure 7 shows the 4CV, 3CV, 2CV, and SAX views of the heart obtained from 2D CINE FLASH using the optimized phase setting ($\alpha_{exc}$). For the acquisition, an in-plane spatial resolution of $1.1 × 1.1 mm^2$ and a slice thickness of 2.5 mm were used. The image quality over all subjects included in our feasibility study was consistent without major signal voids due to destructive interferences. 4CV and SAX views of the heart at increasing spatial resolutions are shown in Figure 8. The overall image quality and enhancements in the spatial resolution enabled the visualization of fine subtle anatomic structures including the compact layer of the right ventricular free wall and the remaining trabecular layer. Pericardium, mitral, and tricuspid valves and their associated papillary muscles, and trabeculae are identifiable. The high spatial resolution protocol ($0.8 × 0.8 × 2.5 mm^3$) presents a 12-fold improvement in the spatial resolution versus a standardized clinical CMR protocol. A normalized signal intensity distribution obtained for the left ventricular myocardium...
is shown in Figure 8C for each spatial resolution. The results show no major signal voids for the SAX and a signal intensity variation of approximately ±50% with the lowest signal being found in the lateral wall of the left ventricle.

Figure 9 shows a dataset including SNR maps and g-factor maps for R = 2 to R = 6. The spatial resolution (1.1 × 1.1 × 2.5 mm³) presents a 6-fold improvement in the spatial resolution versus a standardized clinical CMR protocol. 41 For...
all acceleration factors used for the acquisition of 4CV and SAX views, the CNR mean and standard deviation, as well as the SNR whole-heart mean, minimum, and maximum are summarized in Table 1 for all subjects. The mean g-factor whole-heart mean of all subjects was ranging between 1.1 (R = 2) and 2.4 (R = 6) for the 4CV and between 1.1 (R = 2) and 2.5 (R = 6) for the SAX. In analogy the analysis of the g-factor whole-heart max allows the assessment of the worst-case noise amplification. For R = 4 it was found to be (mean [max]) 2.2 [2.7] for the 4CV and 2.0 [2.4] for the SAX. This performance affords the acquisition of up to 4 slices per breath-hold with clinically acceptable image quality.

Noise amplification associated with 1D parallel imaging increased severely with R = 6 as demonstrated in Figure 9.

**FIGURE 6** A, In vivo flip angle (FA) maps acquired with a 3D radial sampled free-breathing AFI (spatial resolution = 5.0 × 5.0 × 5.0 mm³, rectangular pulse PD = 0.5 ms TE = 2.04 ms, TR₁ = 10 ms, TR₂ = 50 ms, nominal FA = 66°, V_ref = 520 V, and 12 readouts per radial line) in a female and male human subject. B, B¹⁺ efficiency maps calculated based on FA results with FA ≤ 15° rejected. FA and B¹⁺ are shown for a sagittal (first column), coronal (second column), and axial slice (third column) through the heart (cardiac ROI highlighted in red) as well as a minimum projection in the axial direction (4th column). For the female subject, the in vivo measurements revealed (mean ± SD) FA = (35.7 ± 11.7)° and B¹⁺ = (2.8 ± 0.9) µT/√kW. For the male subject, FA = (28.2 ± 10.1)° and B¹⁺ = (2.3 ± 0.7) µT/√kW were observed.

**DISCUSSION**

This work reports on the design, implementation, evaluation, and application of a modular 32-channel SGBT TX/RX array tailored for CMR at 7.0 T. The compact SGBT antenna building blocks support a flexible and reconfigurable arrangement of a high-density array that conveniently conforms to an average upper torso. The in vivo CMR feasibility study revealed good image quality, anatomic coverage, B¹⁺ penetration depth, blood myocardium contrast (ie, CNR), and SNR. The overall image quality and the high spatial resolution help to reduce partial volume effects. These improvements may be particularly useful for visualizing small rapidly moving structures like valve cusps, assessing subtle anatomical features such as...
trabeculae, or extending morphologic assessment to the right ventricle including patients with congenital heart disease.\textsuperscript{47,48}

The footprint of the SGBT building block (89.3 × 48.0 × 25.8 mm\textsuperscript{3}) is reduced by 64\% versus a very well established fractionated dipole (300 × 40 × 20 mm\textsuperscript{3}),\textsuperscript{11} 59\% versus a bow-tie building block (150 × 70 × 40 mm\textsuperscript{3}),\textsuperscript{17} 43\% versus a single-side adapted dipole (143 × 70 × 42 mm\textsuperscript{3}),\textsuperscript{16} and 87\% versus a self-matched leaky-wave antenna (384 × 85 × 18 mm\textsuperscript{3}).\textsuperscript{5} The weight of the proposed building block is 156 g which is 56 g heavier compared to a 32-channel cardiac loop

\textbf{FIGURE 7} End-diastolic 4CV, SAX, 2CV, and 3CV derived from 2D CINE FLASH imaging (spatial resolution = 1.1 × 1.1 × 2.5 mm\textsuperscript{3}, TE = 2.09 ms, TR = 4.55 ms, GRAPPA R = 2; views per segment = 10, cardiac phases = 30, BW = 446 Hz/Px, nominal FA = 22°, \textit{V}_{\text{ref}} = 520 V). Subject 1 is shown as a whole field of view (FOV) and as ROI magnified view. All seven subjects (three female, four male; age: 29-59; BMI: 23.8 ± 2.1 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}) were examined without subject-specific adjustment of the SGBT antenna array geometry or the phase set.
element configuration with approximately 100 g (per channel), but 264 g lighter compared to a 16-channel cardiac bow-tie building block configuration exhibiting a weight of 420 g per building block (size 40 × 150 × 70 mm³ filled with D₂O).⁶,¹⁷ As the hydrogel pad is an integral part of the SGBT antenna array, the weight of the pad adds 1.3 kg, which results in additional 81 g per channel for the anterior part of the antenna array.

The combined (Ella and Duke) $B_1^+$ shim procedure aimed to balance $B_1^+$ for both models and to provide a more generalized phase shim ($\alpha_{exc}$) that supports a broader spectrum of cardiac anatomy. Individual $B_1^+$ optimization revealed a $B_1^+$ efficiency (mean ± SD [min]) of (6.2 ± 1.4 [4.0]) μT/√kW for Ella and (5.8 ± 2.0 [3.0]) μT/√kW for Duke. Previous reports on transmission field shaping of loop antenna configurations tailored for CMR at 7.0 T documented a $B_1^+$ efficiency of 7.4 ± 3.6 μT/√kW (4 channel array), 5.4 ± 3.1 μT/√kW (8 channel array), and 6.5 ± 3.1 μT/√kW (16-channel array).⁷ This translates into an at least 55% higher standard deviation and 38% higher coefficient of variation (ratio of standard deviation to mean), resulting in a reduced $B_1^+$ homogeneity.

The maximum SAR₁₀₀ obtained for both human voxel models does not exceed 0.3 W/kg per Watt of input power using the proposed optimized phase set. This outcome is improved or similar to previously reported RF array configurations tailored for CMRI at 7.0 T.⁶,⁸,¹⁰,¹⁴,¹⁵,¹⁷,⁴⁹ Simulation results
derived for a 32-channel loop array configuration tailored for CMR at 7.0 T revealed a realistic transmit efficiency of (mean) 0.47 µT/√W for Ella and of 0.44 µT/√W for Duke and a transmit efficiency performance ratio of 73.5% for Ella and 74.9% for Duke.6 This suggests an at least 25% improved realistic transmit efficiency at an elevated performance ratio for the SGBT antenna array (0.59 µT/√W and 87.4% for Ella, 0.55 µT/√W, and 89.9% for Duke). The simulated $B_1$ superpositions for the SGBT antenna array revealed that the efficiency reduction due to intrinsic coil losses is relatively constant throughout the ROI. This presents an advantage over loop arrays, where peripheral SNR experiences a stronger

**FIGURE 9** Results (female, subject 2) derived from 1D parallel imaging using acceleration factors ranging from R = 2 to R = 6. GRAPPA accelerated 2D CINE FLASH (spatial resolution = 1.1 x 1.1 x 2.5 mm$^3$, TE = 2.5 ms, TR = 4.55 ms, views per segment = 10, BW = 446 Hz/Px, nominal FA = 22°, $V_{ref} = 520$V) images (first and 4th row), SNR scaled maps (second and fifth row), and g-factor map (third and sixth row) for 4CV (A) and SAX view (B); both with phase encoding direction A-P
TABLE 1 4CV and SAX view analysis of GRAPPA accelerated image acquisition at increasing reduction factors (R) of four subjects (two female / two male)\textsuperscript{a}

| View     | Analysis                     | R = 2   | R = 3   | R = 4   | R = 5   | R = 6   |
|----------|------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 4CV      | CNR: Mean ± Std              | 27.0 ± 1.9 | 19.5 ± 2.1 | 13.5 ± 1.9 | 9.9 ± 1.7 | 6.3 ± 1.7 |
|          | SNR\textsubscript{whole-heart,mean}: Mean [Min | Max] | 31.6 [29.6 | 22.9 [20.6 | 16.7 [15.5 | 12.2 [10.6 | 8.6 [7.5 | 11.0 |
|          |                              | 34.2    | 24.7    | 18.8    | 14.9    |         |
|          | SNR\textsubscript{myocardium,mean}: Mean [Min | Max] | 18.4 [16.0 | 13.6 [10.9 | 10.1 [8.3 | 7.0 [5.6 | 19.7 | 5.2 [4.3 | 17.2 |
|          |                              | 20.7    | 16.1    | 12.6    |         |         |
|          | g-factor\textsubscript{whole-heart,mean}: Mean [Max] | 1.1 [1.1 | 1.3 [1.4 | 1.5 [1.6 | 1.9 [2.1 | 2.4 [2.7 |         |
|          | g-factor\textsubscript{whole-heart,max}: Mean [Max] | 1.3 [1.4 | 1.8 [2.1 | 2.2 [2.7 | 3.3 [4.4 | 4.3 [5.2 |         |
| SAX      | CNR: Mean ± Std              | 41.0 ± 5.5 | 26.9 ± 3.0 | 19.9 ± 2.9 | 12.5 ± 1.9 | 8.4 ± 2.3 |
|          | SNR\textsubscript{whole-heart,mean}: Mean [Min | Max] | 38.2 [32.7 | 26.7 [24.0 | 19.5 [16.6 | 14.0 [11.8 | 9.5 [8.0 | 11.7 |
|          |                              | 42.4    | 30.4    | 21.8    | 16.9    |         |
|          | SNR\textsubscript{myocardium,mean}: Mean [Min | Max] | 18.4 [16.6 | 13.3 [11.2 | 9.7 [8.6 | 7.5 [6.3 | 8.5 | 5.5 [4.6 | 16.1 |
|          |                              | 19.6    | 16.9    | 10.9    |         |         |
|          | g-factor\textsubscript{whole-heart,mean}: Mean [Max] | 1.1 [1.1 | 1.3 [1.4 | 1.5 [1.6 | 1.9 [2.2 | 2.5 [3.0 |         |
|          | g-factor\textsubscript{whole-heart,max}: Mean [Max] | 1.3 [1.3 | 1.7 [1.9 | 2.0 [2.4 | 2.8 [3.1 | 4.0 [4.6 |         |

\textsuperscript{a}The data contain the contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR, mean and SD), the SNR for the whole heart and the myocardium (mean, minimum, and maximum), and the geometry factor (g-factor, mean, and max).

degradation due to coil losses compared to more central locations.\textsuperscript{50}

The hydrogel pad promotes the performance by enhancing EMF coupling to the subject. Without the hydrogel pad and an optimized phase set, the mean \( B_1^+ \) efficiency in the heart is reduced by 45% for Ella and 39% for Duke in the same simulation setup, co-simulation, and \( B_1^+ \) shimming approach. The calculation of the optimal \( B_1 \) superposition for simulations without the hydrogel pad revealed a lower performance ratio for \( B_1^+ \) (Ella: 52%, Duke: 60%) and \( B_1^- \) (Ella: 49%, Duke: 57%).

The phantom study revealed a good agreement between simulations and measurements which is documented by a difference of 7% of the mean \( B_1^+ \) efficiency values within the defined ROI. The in vivo mean \( B_1^+ \) efficiency (female: \( B_1^+ = 2.8 \mu T/\sqrt{kW} \), male: \( B_1^+ = 2.3 \mu T/\sqrt{kW} \)) showed a deviation of 6% and 14% versus the simulations (Ella: \( B_1^+ = 2.9 \mu T/\sqrt{kW} \); Duke: \( B_1^+ = 2.7 \mu T/\sqrt{kW} \)) including the losses in the RF signal chain of −6.37 dB.

The small antenna building block size combined with the excellent decoupling behavior enables the setup of high-density arrays, where the building blocks can be arranged close to each other without additional decoupling measures. The compactness of the RF array benefits parallel imaging performance, where electrodynamics dictates a rapid SNR degeneration at high 1D accelerations. In recognition of the benefits of the SGBT antenna, the high-density RF array might be translated into a reduction of noise amplification in parallel imaging with the goal to preserve SNR by using 2D acceleration versus 1D acceleration.\textsuperscript{51-53} 2D parallel imaging of the heart is only practical in the slice direction if compact RF elements such as the SGBT are used as RF arrays. The mean whole heart SNR values and CNRs of the proposed SGBT antenna array outperform the SNR and CNR reported for a 32-channel loop array configuration with the exception of the SAX view at \( R = 6.\textsuperscript{5} \) For the 4CV and the SAX view at \( R = 4 \) the mean SNR\textsubscript{whole-heart,mean} was 17 and 20 for the SGBT design versus 11 and 17 for the 32-channel loop array.\textsuperscript{6} The mean CNR for 4CV and SAX at \( R = 4 \) were found to be 14 and 20 for the SGBT antenna array which compares to 3 and 10 for the loop array configuration.\textsuperscript{6} The benchmarking of the mean g-factor\textsubscript{whole-heart,mean} revealed lower values for \( R \leq 3 \), whereas increased reduction factors (\( R > 3 \)) showed superior performance of the loop array compared to the SGBT array.\textsuperscript{6} Due to differences in the acquisition and the image reconstruction, the results of this comparison need to be interpreted with caution and are subject to variations in the GRAPPA reconstruction.

The SGBT building block was matched and tuned to a resonance frequency of 297.2 MHz in this work. It can be conveniently adapted to a wideband configuration supporting resonance frequencies of up to 600 MHz which would facilitate CMR at magnetic field strengths of up to 14.0 T.\textsuperscript{23,27} A high-density TX/RX array accommodating wideband SGBTs would afford \( ^{1}H/^{19}F \) CMR which would promote translational research by benefiting explorations into molecular CMR including assessment of cardiac inflammation.\textsuperscript{54,55}

Recognizing the opportunities of adding a thermal intervention dimension to an MRI device for studying the role of temperature in biological systems and disease our high-density RF array opens a trajectory to an integrated, multi-purpose RF applicator. This applicator accommodates RF-induced heating, in vivo temperature mapping using MR thermometry, anatomic and functional MRI, and the option for x-nuclei MRI (Thermal MR).\textsuperscript{56-61} Potential clinical applications extend beyond diagnostic cardiac imaging and can
serve as a platform to treat cardiovascular diseases, where localized RF intervention might be used, eg, terminate defective electrical pathways. Studies will reveal whether UHF-MR guided targeted RF heating for focal RF ablation can be used to terminate defective electrical pathways in the heart, and offer an alternative approach to current invasive intracardiac catheterization for the treatment of tachycardia.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

To conclude, the presented high-density transceiver array supports CMR at 7.0 T using a single feeding RF power amplifier mode without the need for subject-specific shimming or coil adjustments for the considered BMI range. The proposed cardiac TX/RX array is compatible with a multiple feeding RF power amplifier mode and contributes to the technological basis for the future clinical assessment of parallel transmit techniques designed for cardiac MR at ultrahigh magnetic fields. This work demonstrated the feasibility of the proposed modular TX/RX array for cardiac MR but the range of applications can be extended to renal imaging, abdominal imaging, pelvic imaging, thorax, and lumbar spine imaging, as well as other large-volume imaging MR applications by reconfiguring the SGBT building block-based array. With appropriate multi-transmit systems that offer more than today’s state-of-the-art 8 or 16 TX channels, one might envisage the implementation of cardiac coil arrays with 32 and more TX/RX elements with the ultimate goal to break ground for many elements upper torso or body RF coil array.
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