Adiabatic pumping via avoided crossings in stiffness modulated quasiperiodic beams
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In this manuscript we report on adiabatic pumping in quasiperiodic stiffness modulated beams. We show that distinct topological states populating nontrivial gaps can nucleate avoided crossings characterized by edge-to-edge transitions. Such states are inherently coupled when a smooth variation of the modulation phase is induced along a synthetic dimension, resulting in topological edge-to-edge transport stemming from distinct polarizations of the crossing states. We first present a general framework to estimate the required modulation speed for a given transition probability in time. Then, this analysis tool is exploited to tailor topological pumping in a stiffness modulated beam.

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of topological insulators in physics has drawn great interest in the past years, due to the opportunity to achieve defect immune and lossless energy transport within different research fields and physical platforms, such as photonics \cite{1, 2}, quantum systems \cite{3, 4}, and acoustics \cite{5–8} among others. In mechanics, topologically protected edge waves have been extensively studied in analogy with quantum systems. Indeed, the systematic combination of topology to the study of nontrivial band structures has opened a new branch of studies under the name of topological mechanics \cite{9}. Topological examples include elastic analogues to the Quantum Hall Effect (QHE) \cite{10–13}, the Quantum Spin Hall Effect (QSHE) \cite{14–17} and Quantum Valley Hall Effect (QVHE) \cite{18–22}, which are associated with robust propagation mechanisms of technological relevance for next generation applications involving elastic wave manipulation, isolation and waveguiding. Other approaches to topology-based design leverage nontrivial topological properties emerging from a relevant higher-order parameter space projected in lower dimensional physical systems \cite{23–26}. In this context, the projection of a nontrivial topology to a physical set of parameters reflects on modulation families (either spatial or spatiotemporal), which can be exploited to manipulate wave propagation and the localization phenomenon \cite{26, 27}. Recently, 2D rotationally symmetric quasicrystals have been shown to exhibit aperiodic Hofstadter spectrum, which can be populated by two-way propagating modes spatially localized within the bulk or in correspondence of the external edges \cite{28–30}. 1D Fibonacci-based phononic circuits have been both theoretically and experimentally studied, with emphasis on the self-similar dynamic behavior and modified propagation velocity \cite{31–33}; a similar configuration have been successfully employed to tailor topological transport of photons across a Fibonacci chain \cite{34}. According to the bulk-edge corresponding principle, the formation of localized edge states is inherently linked with the topological characteristics of the wavenumber-parameter space \cite{35–37}. In other words, the edge state localization can be parameterized through a projection phase \cite{38, 39}. When such a parameter is smoothly varied along a second dimension, the edge state transforms from being left (right) to right (left) localized, therefore establishing a topological pump \cite{40–44}. Recent examples include mechanical lattices with periodic couplings \cite{45}, elastic plates with smoothly varying square-wave modulations \cite{46}, acoustic systems with spatially modulated geometry \cite{47}, and magneto-mechanical structures with time-varying parameters \cite{48}. In general, an adiabatic transformation of the edge state is necessary for a successful realization of a topological waveguide. This requires a slow variation of the phase parameter in space or time, as shown in Ref. \cite{49} for a chain of pre-compressed cylinders with controllable contact stiffness. In contrast, higher modulation velocities eventually lead to scattering of energy to bulk modes, Bloch mode conversion, and nonreciprocity \cite{49, 50}.

In the attempt to provide an estimate of the required speed of modulation in edge-to-edge transformations, we report on a quasiperiodic stiffness modulated beam. This specific configuration supports topological boundary modes, whose frequency and mode polarization is function of a modulation phase parameter, which is suitably varied in time through established techniques \cite{51–54}. It is illustrated that distinct topological modes can populate the same gap and through a smooth variation of the phase parameter in time - can nucleate crossing states, or avoided crossings \cite{55, 56}. This phenomenon is also known as band veering and mode veering in the context of elastic wave propagation and structural dynamics \cite{57–60} and generally implies coupled crossing states, expanding the range of opportunities in topology-based waveguiding through a phase modulation. Moreover, we estimate the required speed of variation of the phase for a given transition probability, as a function of few critical parameters of the crossing states. We demonstrate that, depending upon the phase speed of variation along the temporal dimension, a localized state can simply cross the intersection (fast modulation) without shape modification, or can split in two separate states
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localized at both edges (intermediate speed of modulation) or can fully transform into a state localized at the opposite boundary (slow modulation). To this end, we first present the theoretical framework to compute transition probabilities applied to a simple spring mass system through a paraxial approximation of the equation of motion. Then, the same theory is applied to study adiabatic and non-adiabatic transformations in the quasiperiodic beam. This study is relevant for the optimization of pumping protocols in mechanics, which suits applications involving wave splitting and de-multiplexing, such as nondestructive evaluation, signal transmission and realization of logic circuits based on elastic wave propagation.

II. ADIABATIC TRANSFORMATIONS THROUGH AVOIDED CROSSINGS

We start considering a simple 2 mass-spring system illustrated in Fig. 1(a) in which the point masses \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) are respectively grounded through linear springs \( k_1(\phi(t)) = k_0 [1 - \alpha \cos(\phi)] \) and \( k_2(\phi(t)) = k_0 [1 + \alpha \cos(\phi)] \) that are smooth functions of time through a control phase parameter \( \phi(t) = \phi_i + \omega_m t \), where \( \phi_i \) and \( \omega_m \) are the initial phase and the angular velocity, respectively. \( \alpha \) is the stiffness modulation amplitude. It is assumed that \( m_1 = m_2 = m \). In addition, a linear time-invariant spring \( k_{12} = \varepsilon k_0 \) is placed between the first and second mass and represents a weak coupling between the mass displacements \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \), for a sufficiently small value of \( \varepsilon \). Upon linear momentum balance, one can write the elastodynamic equations governing the motion of the system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\ddot{x}_1 + \omega_1^2 x_1 - \Gamma \sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2} x_2 &= 0 \\
\ddot{x}_2 - \Gamma \sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2} x_1 + \omega_2^2 x_2 &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  
\hspace{1cm} (1)

in which \( \omega_{1,2} = \sqrt{(k_{1,2} + k_{12})/m} \) and the off-diagonal terms are independent of time. \( \Gamma = k_{12}/\sqrt{m^2 \omega_1 \omega_2} \) represents the coupling coefficient, which is analogue to Rabi’s frequency for quantum systems. Eq. 1 is written in compact form:

\[ M \ddot{x} + K x = 0 \]  
\hspace{1cm} (2)

one can seek Ansatz solutions in the form \( x = \tilde{x}_0 e^{i \omega t} \) yielding the adiabatic frequencies \( \omega_{\pm} \), i.e. the frequencies corresponding to the coupled states through the parameter \( \Gamma \). That is:

\[
\omega_{\pm} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left[ \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 \pm \sqrt{(\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2)^2 + 4 \Gamma^2 \omega_1 \omega_2} \right]}
\]  
\hspace{1cm} (3)

it is evident that, for \( \Gamma = 0 \), one gets uncoupled states \( \omega_{1,2}^D \) = \( \omega_{1,2} \). Under this condition, \( \omega_{1,2}^D \) are known as diabatic frequencies. The location of the states is mapped through Eq. 3 upon varying the modulation phase \( \phi \) and is illustrated in Fig 1(b), where \( \Omega_D(\phi)_{\pm} = \omega_{1,2}^D(\phi)/\omega_0 \) is a dimensionless frequency, with \( \omega_0 = \sqrt{k_0/m} \). Similarly, a dimensionless angular velocity of modulation \( \Omega_m = \omega_m/\omega_0 \) is defined. The investigation domain is limited in the neighborhood of \( \phi = \pi/2 \) around which, for \( \Gamma = 0 \), the lower and upper diabatic frequencies \( \Omega_D^- \) and \( \Omega_D^+ \) (represented in red) are linear and coincident for \( \Omega_D^+ = 1 \). Interestingly, for \( \phi = \pi/2 \) and \( \Omega_D^+ = 1 \) the spectrum undergoes a transformation such that the associated mode polarizations \( x_D^i(\phi_i) = [1, 0]^T \) and \( x_D^j(\phi_i) = [0, 1]^T \) interchange each other, i.e. \( x_D^i(\phi_i) \rightarrow x_D^j(\phi_j) \) and \( x_D^j(\phi_i) \rightarrow x_D^i(\phi_j) \). To elucidate this concept, \( x_D^i \) and \( x_D^j \) are displayed in Figs. 1(a) II – III employing dashed and solid red curves for \( x_1^D \) and \( x_2^D \), respectively. In contrast, \( \Gamma \neq 0 \) implies opening of the crossing cone and coupling between otherwise degenerate states. The adiabatic spectrum \( \Omega_A^D(\phi) \) emerges when nonzero coupling is considered and, specifically, it deviates from the diabatic spectrum when the coupling between states is stronger, as shown by the black curve in Fig. 1(b). The corresponding mode polarizations \( x_A^i \) and \( x_A^j \), represented by the black curves in Fig. 1(a) II – III, can be regarded as smooth perturbation to the diabatic solutions due to weak coupling \( \Gamma \). In other words, one can evaluate diabatic states by assuming adiabatic solutions and nullifying \( \Gamma \), which is generally unknown in more complicated case-studies, such as quasiperiodic systems. To overcome this issue, we hereafter present a systematic approximation of diabatic states and coupling parameter, which will be used later in the paper to estimate transition probabilities in adiabatic transformations. Let us consider the system for \( \phi = \phi_i \), which is sufficiently far away from \( \phi = \pi/2 \), such that the adiabatic and diabatic states \( \Omega_A^D(\phi_i) \) and \( \Omega_D^D(\phi_i) \) and corresponding polarizations \( x_A^D(\phi_i) \) and \( x_D^D(\phi_i) \) are approximately coincident. We also observe that, if \( \Gamma = 0 \), the diabatic eigenvector basis \( \Psi^D = [x_D^D(\phi_i), x_D^D(\phi_f)] \) remains unaltered with \( \phi \) (except from the crossing point at \( \phi = \pi/2 \), in which the eigenvectors simply exchanges each others). This implies that, under of change of coordinates \( x = \Psi^D q \), the modal displacements \( q \) are uncoupled for any \( \phi \) value, that is:

\[
(-\omega^2 M_q + K_q(\phi)) q = 0
\]  
\hspace{1cm} (4)

where \( M_q = (\Psi^D)^T T \Psi^D \) and \( K_q(\phi) = (\Psi^D)^T K \Psi^D \) are the diagonal mass and stiffness matrices, respectively. In contrast, if \( \Gamma \neq 0 \) the adiabatic eigenvector basis \( \Psi_A^D \) undergoes a smooth modification for \( \phi_i \rightarrow \phi_f \) (see Fig. 1(a) II – III), starting from initial and final values that well approximate the diabatic basis \( \Psi^D \). Enforcing \( \Psi_{i,f}^A = \Psi_{i,f}^D \) a new change of coordinates \( x = \Psi_{i,f}^A q \) reflects into a symmetric stiffness matrix \( K_q(\phi) = (\Psi_A^D)^T K \Psi_A^D \), in which the off-diagonal terms embody the modal coupling \( K_{q2}^{12} = K_{q1}^{21} \). The coefficients of \( K_q(\phi) \) are illustrated in Fig. 1(c) and are evaluated
employing the change of coordinates \( x = \Psi^D_i q \) for \( \phi_i < \phi < \phi_f \) and \( x = \Psi^D_f q \) for \( \phi = \phi_f \) to compensate for the eigenfrequency interchange. As expected, \( K^1_{12} \) reaches the maximum value of \( \epsilon \) for \( \phi = \pi/2 \) and is responsible for the frequency and shape difference between diabatic and adiabatic states. It is therefore straightforward to conclude that enforcing \( K^1_{12} = K^2_{21} = 0 \) into Eq. 4, the modal coupling breaks and, as a result, one gets approximated diabatic frequencies which, in turn, are illustrated with blue dots in Fig 1(b). It is worth mentioning that this procedure yields an estimation of the coupling, as \( \Psi^D_i,f \) only approximates \( \Psi^{i,f}_D \). Such estimation becomes more accurate as the adiabatic basis \( \Psi^D_i,f \) converges to \( \Psi^{i,f}_D \). Now, in order to find the transition probabilities for an eigensolution \( \Omega^A_{1,2} (\phi_i) \) for \( t = 0 \) belonging to the bottom branch \( \Omega^A_k \) to jump to the upper branch \( \Omega^A_f \) for smooth modulations \( \phi(t) = \phi_i + \omega_m t \), we proceed with the following approximation of the equation of motion, with the aim to present a mechanical analogue to the Landau-Zener model. We remark that, at this step, the temporal evolution of the diabatic states \( \Omega^D_{1,2} (t) \) is known and corresponds to the path that preserves the starting mode polarization unaltered through \( x^D_i \rightarrow x^D_f \), which is highlighted with a yellow band for \( \Omega^D_3 (t) \) in Fig. 1(b). Let’s assume the following solution for the elasto-dynamic Eq. 2:

\[
x = \frac{1}{2} \left[ a(t) e^{i \omega_1(t)t} + a^*(t) e^{-i \omega_1(t)t} \right]
\]  

where \( a = [a_1, a_2]^T \) are the complex envelopes of oscillators’ displacement and \( \omega_1(t) = \Omega^D_1 (t) \omega_0 \) the temporal evolution of \( \Omega^D_1 \) during the transformation. A similar relation holds for \( \omega_2(t) = \Omega^D_2 (t) \omega_0 \), while for ease of visualization, the time dependence of \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \) in the derivation is implicitly assumed. Differentiating Eq. 5 with respect to time:

\[
\ddot{x} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ c(t) e^{i \omega_1(t)t} + c^*(t) e^{-i \omega_1(t)t} \right] \quad \text{with:}
\]

\[
c(t) = \ddot{a} - i 2 \dot{a} \omega_1 + \omega_1 t - a \left( i \dot{\omega}_1 t + 2 \omega_1 \right) + \omega_1 t^2
\]  

It is now considered a paraxial approximation of the equation of motion, thus neglecting the higher order derivatives for \( \omega_1 \) and \( a \), i.e. \( \dot{\omega}_1 t << \omega_1, \omega_1 t << \omega_1 \) and we assume that \( \omega_1 << \omega_f^2 \):

\[
c(t) = \ddot{a} - i 2 \dot{a} \omega_1 - a \omega_1^2
\]  

with \( \ddot{a} \ll i 2 \dot{a} \omega_1 + a \omega_1^2 \), and we get to:

\[
c(t) = -i 2 \dot{a} \omega_1 - a \omega_1^2
\]  

Plugging Eq. 5-8 into Eq. 1 yields the following dynamical system akin to the Schrödinger equation:

\[
-2 i \omega_1 \dot{a}_1 + \Gamma \sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2} a_2 = 0
\]

\[
-2 i \omega_1 \dot{a}_2 + \left( \omega_2^2 - \omega_1^2 \right) a_2 = \Gamma \sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2} a_1
\]  

which can be rewritten as a second-order ordinary differential equation with time-varying coefficients, by differentiating the first equation and merging it with the second:

\[
\ddot{a}_1 + \frac{\omega_1 + i \left( \omega_2^2 - \omega_1^2 \right)}{2} \dot{a}_1 + \frac{\Gamma^2 \omega_2}{4 \omega_1} a_1 = 0
\]
To further simplify the equation it is assumed that \( \bar{\omega}_1 \bar{a}_1 \approx 0 \), and we consider that the frequency difference between \( \omega_\pm \) is a linear function of time, which allows for the following approximations:

\[
\frac{\omega_2^2 + \omega_1^2}{2\omega_1} \approx \omega_2 - \omega_1 \approx \beta t; \\
\Gamma^2 \frac{\omega_2^2}{\omega_1} \approx \Gamma^2 \approx \Gamma^2_{\pi/2} = \left( \frac{k_{12}}{m\omega_{CR}} \right)^2
\]

(11)

where \( \omega_{CR} \) is the diabatic frequency evaluated in the crossing point \( \phi_{CR} = \pi/2 \). \( \beta \) is proportional to the modulation angular velocity:

\[
\beta = \frac{d(\omega_2 - \omega_1)}{dt} = \omega_m \gamma
\]

(12)

and the term \( \gamma = \partial(\omega_2 - \omega_1)/\partial \phi \) is a constant that approximates linear behavior of the diabatic states in the neighborhood of \( \omega_{CR} \). We finally get to:

\[
\bar{\alpha} + i\beta \bar{t}\bar{a} + \frac{\Gamma^2_{CR} \bar{a}}{4} = 0
\]

(13)

where \( \Gamma_{CR} \) is \( \Gamma \) evaluated in correspondence of the crossing point and represents the frequency separation between states for \( \phi = \phi_{CR} \). The probability function \( P(t) = |a_1(t)|^2 \) for the energy to keep the same modal shape is quantified through Eq. 13, for a given initial condition \( |a_1(t_0), a_2(t_0)| \), which are normalized such that \( |a_1(t)|^2 + |a_2(t)|^2 = 1 \). Also, seeking asymptotic solutions for \( t \to \infty \) yields a constant probability

\[
P(t \to \infty) = e^{-\frac{\gamma \omega_m}{2}},
\]

which defines the energy distribution between the states \( \Omega_\pm \) at the end of the transformation.

Finally, assuming that at the initial time instant the energy is entirely located in the bottom state, we enforce initial conditions for \( a_1 \) to be \( |a_1(t_0)| = 1 \), which allows for a numerical solution of Eq. 13 in terms of temporal evolution of transition probabilities.

We complete the first part of the manuscript numerically solving Eq. 1 with \( \phi_i = 0.45\pi, \alpha = 0.3, m = 1, \kappa = 0, \varepsilon = 0.005 \) and upon comparison between the numerically computed time histories \( x(t) \) with respect to the corresponding probabilities. Specifically, the system is excited using a narrowband tone burst excitation for a sufficiently long time period \( T \) with a force \( F = [1, 0]^T \sin(\Omega^A(t_i) \omega_m t)(1 - \cos(2\pi T/t_i)) \) having central frequency \( \Omega^A(t_i) \) computed at initial time \( t_i \), in order to excite only the state belonging to the bottom branch. After the energy is injected to the target state \( \Omega^A(t_i) \), three distinct smooth modulations \( \phi = \phi_1 + \omega_m t \) are imposed assuming \( \Omega_m = 1.3 \cdot 10^{-3}, 1.9 \cdot 10^{-4}, \text{and} 1.6 \cdot 10^{-5} \), which correspond to probabilities of \( P = 0.9, 0.5, \) and \( 2.4 \cdot 10^{-4} \) respectively, evaluated upon inversion of the probability function:

\[
\Omega_m = -\frac{\pi}{2\omega_0} \Gamma_{CR}^2 \gamma \log(P)
\]

(14)

Consistently with prior works [54], Eq. 14 illustrates a relationship between the angular velocity \( \Omega_m \), the slope \( \gamma \), and frequency separation \( \Gamma_{CR} \) of the avoided crossing, for a given probability \( P \). That is, the higher the frequency separation, the higher the angular velocity can be for a generic value of \( P \).

To validate the aforementioned considerations, three spectrograms are computed employing a fourier transform of the displacement field in reciprocal space \( \mathbf{x}(\omega,\kappa, t) \), by properly windowing the temporal history using a moving Gaussian function [45]. For ease of visualization, the second dimension is eliminated by considering the RMS value along \( \kappa \). The spectrograms in Fig. 2(a-c) are in good agreement with respect to the steady-state and temporal probabilities displayed in Figs. 2(d-f) which, in turn, well describe the transitions occurring through the phase modulation. We remark that, for a better visualization of the steady state probability value, the time simulation duration is increased to \( T_{sim} \), whereby the final phase modulation time \( T_f = (\phi_f - \phi_1)/\omega_m \) is highlighted with a vertical blue line. Specifically, Fig. 2(a,d) display a fast transition with frequency shift and without eigenvector transformation, which is consistently described by the probability \( P = 0.9 \) for a state to keep the same polarization and therefore to jump from \( \Omega^A \) to \( \Omega^A+ \). Fig. 2(b,c) instead describe frequency splitting, in which half \( (P = 0.5) \) of the energy remains to \( \Omega^A \) and half jumps to \( \Omega^A+ \). Finally, Fig. 2(c,f) illustrate an almost adiabatic transition with eigenvector transformation, in which the starting state remains located at the bottom branch \( \Omega^A \), which yields the almost zero probability for the state to keep the initial polarization.

It is worth mentioning that the steady state probability \( P(t \to \infty) \) and the corresponding time history obtained from numerical integration of Eq. 13 exhibits a small difference in the steady-state behavior, especially when the numerical integration duration is short. This mismatch results from the different time domains considered for computing the aforementioned solutions which, in one case is \([0, \infty)\) and in the second case is \([0, T_f]\).

III. EDGE-TO-EDGE PUMPING IN A QUASIPERIODIC BEAM

Consider now a real and application-oriented case-study, in which a plain aluminum beam is equipped with periodically placed smart piezoelectric patches, for a total of \( N = 24 \) pairs bonded on the top and bottom surfaces. The coupling between electrical and mechanical domains enables stiffness modulation when subjected to certain electrical boundary conditions which, in the case
FIG. 2. (a–c) spectrograms $|\hat{\omega}(\Omega, t)|_{RMS}$ of the simulated spring mass system under narrowband spectrum tone burst excitation adopting three different angular velocities $\Omega_m$. (a) Fast modulation $\Omega_m = 1.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$. (b) Intermediate angular velocity $\Omega_m = 1.9 \cdot 10^{-4}$ and (c) slow modulation $\Omega_m = 1.6 \cdot 10^{-5}$. (d–f) Estimated probability for a state belonging to $\Omega_0^A$ to keep the same polarization and jump to $\Omega_0^B$ in correspondence of $\phi_{CR} = \pi/2$ for distinct angular velocity values. The black curve represents the dynamic probabilities without the approximation introduced in Eq 11. Red curve: probability time history through full approximation of the equation of motion. The asymptotic solution is illustrated with black dashed lines.

at hand, are negative capacitance (NC) shunts. In addition, the circuit’s components are temporally modulated in time providing effective Young’s modulus variation according to a predetermined modulation law. Such a configuration has been successfully employed in prior studies concerning space-time modulations [52] and shown in Fig. 3(a). The corresponding physical and geometrical properties are reported in Appendix A. Let’s assume that consecutive sub-elements are stiffness modulated in the following fashion:

$$E_k = E_{s,0} [1 + \alpha \cos (2\pi \theta k + \phi (t))]$$

(15)

where $E_{s,0}$ is the mean effective Young’s modulus of the sandwich structure and $\alpha$ a dimensionless modulation amplitude. $\theta$ is the projection parameter that characterizes the discrete sampling from the sinusoidal function to the $k^{th}$ piezo stiffness, and defines the wavelength of the modulation along the beam. $\phi (t) = \phi_i + \omega_m t$ is a phase parameter which is a smooth function of time, whereby its linear variation corresponds to a modulation traveling toward either positive or negative $x$ coordinates, depending on the sign of $\omega_m$. Interestingly, such a modulation embodies nontrivial topological properties, which reflects into a fractal spectrum associated with $\theta$ variations, and investigated employing a constant modulation phase $\phi = 0$, as shown through the Hofsatter butterfly in Fig. 3(b). For ease of visualization, we employ a greater number of sub-elements $S = 240$ and we impose continuity conditions for the displacements and rotations in correspondence of the left and right boundaries, to geometrically resemble a ring. The analyzed domain is limited within $\theta \in [0,1]$, since $f$ is $2\pi$-periodic with $\theta$, i.e. $f(2\pi \theta) = f(2\pi + 2\pi \theta)$. Moreover, since $\cos(2\pi \theta) = \cos(2\pi (1-\theta))$, then $f(2\pi \theta)$ is symmetric about $\theta = 0.5$ and $f(2\pi \theta) = f(2\pi - 2\pi \theta)$. The resulting spectrum, representative of the supported states, reveals the presence of well separated frequency gaps. The nontrivial nature of such gaps is quantified through a graphical interpretation of the Integrated Density of States (IDS), which is illustrated in Fig. 3(c). The frequency separation between the Bulk bands reflects on sharp jumps in the IDS as a function of $\theta$, which is suitably described through a linear function $IDS = n + m\theta$ and represented with dashed red lines. A label for the gaps $C_g = m$ is defined as the slope $m$ of such lines and
FIG. 3. Schematic of the beam under clamped-clamped boundary conditions and quasiperiodic stiffness modulation. Quasiperiodicity is achieved by means of non-repeating control signals \( k-1, k, k+1, \ldots \) able to locally alter the electrical parameters of the NC shunt. In the schematic, a temporal modulation of \( R_1 \) is assumed. (b) Hofstadter butterfly associated with a commensurate realization of a stiffness modulated beam upon varying the projection parameter \( \theta \). The vertical dashed line corresponds to the configuration adopted to study edge-to-edge transitions. (c) Integrated Density of States (IDS) as a function of \( \theta \). The IDS is characterized by sharp jumps in correspondence of the bandgaps and identified by straight lines \( IDS = n + m\theta \). The slope of the lines \( m \) determines the labels of the gap \( C_g = m \). (d) Spectrum of the system for \( \theta = 0.075 \) and upon varying the modulation phase \( \phi \). A pair of states is spanning the gap and generate the avoided crossing. Adiabatic states in black and approximated diabatic states in red. In the figure, the schematic of the expected polarization for each branch is illustrated. (e) II – III Estimated Modal Dependence Factor (MDF) between modes \#25, \#26 and full spectrum.

Inherently linked to the topological modes supported by the beam [39]. We now focus our attention above the first trivial gap (\( C_g = 0 \)), and we employ a quasiperiodic configuration of the system, whose projection parameter \( \theta = 0.075 \) corresponds to the red dashed line in Fig. 3(b). The associated spectrum upon varying the modulation phase \( \phi \) is illustrated in Fig 3(d) and exhibits a first nontrivial gap (\( C_g = 1 \)) at approximately 6 kHz. Interestingly, a pair of topological edge states is observed when cyclic variation of \( \phi \) are considered, whose dependence with \( \phi \) manifests as avoided crossing. The topological characteristics and localization properties of similar quasiperiodic configurations have been extensively discussed in [45]. Here, instead, we investigate on the avoided crossing dynamics, which is observable within \([\phi_i, \phi_f] = [2.82\pi, 2.88\pi]\). A zoomed view in the neighborhood of \( \phi_{CR} \) is illustrated in Fig 3(e), corresponding to mode polarizations which are left and right localized for \( f^A_1(\phi_i), f^A_2(\phi_f) \) and \( f^D_1(\phi_i), f^D_2(\phi_f) \) respectively, providing opportunities for edge-to-edge transitions, similarly to section II.

In contrast to simple spring-mass systems, the modal coupling and diabatic frequencies are unknown and, for an estimation of the latter, we exploit the numerical procedure previously discussed. To this end, the adiabatic basis \( \Psi^A_i \) and \( \Psi^A_f \) are computed through a finite element approximation of the system based on Euler Bernoulli beam theory (each unit cell is discretized using 10 finite elements, for a total of \( N_{F.E.} = 240 \)), yielding the following eigenvalue problem:

\[
( -\omega^2 \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{K} ) \mathbf{w} = 0
\]  

which is sufficiently accurate to describe the dynamic behavior of the beam within the frequency range of inter-
FIG. 4. (a-c) Spectrograms $|\hat{w}(f,t)|_{\text{RMS}}$ resulting from the numerical time history obtained through narrowband burst excitation of the quasiperiodic beam. The shape of the force is tailored to favor the excitation of the left-localized mode. The modulation phase is varied with three different angular velocity levels $\omega_m$. (a) Fast modulation $\omega_m = 20\pi$. (b) Intermediate angular velocity $\omega_m = 3.15\pi$. (c) Slow modulation $\omega_m = 0.26\pi$. (d-f) Corresponding displacement field in space and time. (d) without edge-to-edge transition. (e) with 50% energy left localized and 50% right localized. (f) Complete edge-to-edge pumping.
temporal evolution of the beam’s displacement (see Fig. 4(d)) illustrates that the topological state remains left localized (except for some energy that leaks to the right), which reflects the mode polarization $\alpha^A$ associated with the branch the solution belongs to. When the intermediate angular velocity of $\omega_m = 3.15\pi$ is applied to the system, corresponding to $P = 0.5$, the energy content splits between two states which are left and right localized respectively, as shown in Fig. 4(b,e). Finally, a slow modulation, characterized by $\omega_m = 0.26\pi$ and $P = 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$, results in a complete edge-to-edge transition from the left to right boundaries, therefore achieving a topological pump. The corresponding spectrogram demonstrates that the edge-to-edge transition occurs with a frequency shift, so that the initial state keeps belonging to the bottom branch with negligible scattering of energy to the neighboring modes.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this manuscript it is demonstrated that the coupling between distinct topological states populating the same gap leads to the formation of avoided crossings characterized by edge-to-edge transitions. The avoided crossing dynamics is investigated in the context of quasiperiodic stiffness modulated beams and, specifically, we have shown a systematic procedure to break the modal coupling upon approximation of the diabatic frequencies and corresponding basis, which is used to estimate the required modulation angular velocity for a given edge-to-edge transition probability. The results presented in the paper can be of technological relevance for applications involving elastic energy splitting and demultiplexing, frequency conversion and waveguiding in phononic circuits.
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Appendix A: Data of the quasiperiodic beam

In this manuscript the analysis are performed considering the electroelastic beam illustrated in Fig. 3(a), which is made of an aluminum substrate with cross section $b \times H = 20 \text{ mm} \times 1 \text{ mm}$ and total length $l = 576 \text{ mm}$. An array of piezoelectric patches, separated by a 2 mm distance, consists of 24 piezo-pairs bonded on opposite surfaces with material density $\rho_p = 7.9 \text{ kg/dm}^3$, short circuit Young’s modulus $E_p = 62 \text{ GPa}$, and size $b \times h_p \times l_p = 20 \times 1 \times 22 \text{ mm}$. The boundary conditions are clamps applied to both beam’s ends. Each patch is connected to a shunt circuit emulating a series negative capacitance (NC), for a total of 48 shunts, which provide an effective stiffness decrease to the beam sandwich when the circuit is active [52].

In the case at hand, the modulation law reflects the electrical boundary conditions applied to the piezoelectric patches in agreement with the circuit schematic in Fig. 3(a) which, in turn, locally alters the effective Young’s modulus of the material in the following fashion:

$$E_{p{SU}} = E_p \frac{C_N - C_p^T}{C_N - C_p^T} \quad (A1)$$

where $C_N = C_0 \frac{R_2}{R_0}$ is the value of the synthetic NC shunt under the assumption of infinite bias resistance $R_0$ [61, 62]. Other circuit parameters are listed in Tab. A1. A continuous modulation of $R_2$ allows for a smooth variation of the associated equivalent sandwich stiffness $E_s$, which is function of the shunted Young’s modulus $E_{SU}$:

$$E_s = \frac{E_{ul} I_{ul} + 2 E_{p{SU}} I_p}{I_{ul} + 2 I_p} \quad (A2)$$

where:

$$I_{ul} = \frac{b H^3}{12}, \quad I_p = \frac{b h_p^3}{12} + bh_p \left( \frac{H}{2} + \frac{h_p}{2} \right)^2 \quad (A3)$$

where $E_{ul} = 70 \text{ GPa}$ and $H = 1 \text{ mm}$ are the substrate Young’s modulus and thickness. The modulation parameters $\alpha$ and $E_{s,0}$ used Eq. 15 are depending on the maximum and minimum achievable values for $E_s(t)$:

$$\alpha = \frac{E_m}{E_{s,0}} = 0.275$$

$$E_m = \frac{E_{s,M\text{AX}} - E_{s,MIN}}{2} \quad (A4)$$

$$E_{s,0} = \frac{E_{s,M\text{AX}} + E_{s,MIN}}{2}$$

FIG. A1. Schematic and adopted notation of stiffness for the patch ($E_p$), the sandwich ($E_s$) and of relevant modulation parameters.
In a similar way, the equivalent density of the layered
\[ \rho \]
which is constant in time, where \( \rho = 2700 \text{ Kg/m}^3 \). where \( \rho_{al} \) is the piezoelectric strain coefficient.

Appendix B: Modal dependence factor

Let’s consider the \( i^{th} \) solution resulting from the eigenvalue problem:
\[ (K(\phi) - \lambda_i M) \Psi_i = 0 \quad i = 1, \ldots, n \] (B1)
where \( \lambda_i = \omega_i^2 \) and the eigenvectors are mass normalized, such that \( \Psi_i^T M \Psi_j = \delta_{ij} \), and \( \delta_{ij} \) is the Kronecker delta. Similarly to Fox and Kapoor [63], we compute a sensitivity of \( \lambda_i \) with respect to the modulation phase \( \phi \), which is representative of the rate of change of \( \lambda_i \) in response to a variation of \( \phi \). Differentiating eq. B1 one gets:
\[ \frac{d\lambda_i}{d\phi} = \Psi_i^T \frac{dK(\phi)}{d\phi} \Psi_i - \lambda_i \frac{dM}{d\phi} \] (B2)
where \( dM/d\phi = 0 \), since the density is not modulated. The eigenvector sensitivity \( d\Psi_i/d\phi \) writes:
\[ \frac{d\Psi_i}{d\phi} = \sum_{r \neq i} \Psi_i^T \frac{dK(\phi)}{d\phi} \Psi_r = \sum_{r \neq i} \frac{\kappa_{ir}}{\Delta \lambda_{ir}} \Psi_r \] (B3)
where \( \Delta \lambda_{ir} \) is the difference between \( i^{th} \) and \( r^{th} \) eigenvalues and \( \kappa_{ir} = \Psi_i^T \frac{dK(\phi)}{d\phi} \Psi_r \) is the modal coupling between \( i^{th} \) and \( r^{th} \) states. If two eigenvalues \( \lambda_i \) and \( \lambda_k \) are sufficiently far from the remaining states, such that the term \( \Delta \lambda_{ik} \) makes their contribution negligible, the expression B3 simplifies as:
\[ \frac{d\Psi_{i,k}}{d\phi} \approx \frac{\kappa_{ik}}{\Delta \lambda_{ik,ki}} \Psi_{k,i} \] (B4)
with \( \kappa_{ik} = \kappa_{ki} \). Now, the effective coupling between states \( i \) and \( k \) is quantified through the Modal Dependence Factor (MDF):
\[ MDF_{ik} = \frac{(\kappa_{ik})^2}{\sum_{r \neq i} (\kappa_{ir})^2/\Delta \lambda_{ir}^2} \] (B5)
which is the ratio between the modal coupling between modes \( i, k \) and the coupling of mode \( i \) to all modes excepts for itself. A graphical representation of \( MDF_{ik} \) is illustrated in 3(e) II – III for modes 25 and 26 upon varying \( \phi \).
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