A second criterion for sigma phase formation in high-entropy alloys

Ming-Hung Tsai*, Keng-Che Chang, Jian-Hong Li, Ruei-Chi Tsai and An-Hung Cheng

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, National Chung Hsing University, 250 Kuo Kuang Road, Taichung 40227, Taiwan

(Received 17 October 2015; final form 12 November 2015)

The presence of the sigma phase in high-entropy alloys significantly affects their mechanical properties. Therefore, control of sigma phase formation is crucial. Current understanding of the stability of the sigma phase is largely based on the valence electron concentration (VEC) criterion. Here, we show that VEC alone is insufficient to describe its stability. A second criterion for sigma phase formation based on alloy composition is proposed. A new parameter called paired sigma-forming element (PSFE) content is invented to quantify this criterion. If PSFE content is lower than the threshold value, the sigma phase will not form even if the VEC is correct.
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High-entropy alloy (HEA) is a novel alloy design concept that has received significant attention in recent years.[1–3] It has been reported that HEAs can have high strength/hardness,[4–7] exceptional damage tolerance (at cryogenic temperatures),[8] outstanding wear resistance,[9] exceptional high-temperature strength,[10,11] very good structural and chemical stabilities at high temperatures,[12,13] and good corrosion resistance.[14,15]

The sigma phase is one of the most frequently seen intermetallic phases in HEAs. It can sometimes be found in as-cast alloys, but it often forms during annealing at 600–1,000°C.[6,16–19] The sigma phase is very hard and brittle, and its presence after annealing can significantly change the mechanical properties of the alloy. For example, the formation of a sigma phase matrix in Al₅Cr₃₂Fe₃₅Ni₂₂Ti₆ hardens the alloy from HV481 to HV950, but reduces its fracture toughness (KIC) to ∼ 5 Mn m⁻³/₂.[19] The sigma phase is also known to reduce the tensile ductility of HEAs.[20,21] However, the sigma phase can bring advantages in some cases. For example, alloys with high fractions of the sigma phase are known to be very resistant to thermal softening.[10] The sigma phase can also be used as a surface protection layer that has outstanding wear resistance, if its formation is controlled properly.[22] In any case because the existence of the sigma phase evidently affects the mechanical properties, predicting the existence of the sigma phase and controlling their volume fraction become critical in the design of HEAs.

Because the formation mechanism of the sigma phase in HEAs and conventional alloys can be very different, conventional strategies to predict sigma phase formation do not work for HEAs. For example, PHACOMP [23,24] and new PHACOMP [25] are both strategies to predict sigma phase formation in superalloys. However, their premises—the sigma phase being precipitated from a face-centered cubic matrix with a known composition—apparently does not hold in many HEAs.[26] Very recently, the formation conditions of the sigma phase in HEAs have been discussed in some publications.[20,26] In particular, a criterion for sigma phase formation in HEAs was proposed.[26] The criterion states that HEAs containing Cr and V as A elements are prone to sigma phase formation if their valence electron concentrations (VECs) fall between 6.88 and 7.84. This criterion successfully predicts sigma phase formation in a number of HEAs.[20,27,28] However, the VEC criterion alone is insufficient to describe the complete picture of sigma phase formation in HEAs. For example, many binary alloys do not develop the sigma phase even though they have the “right” VEC—their binary phase diagrams simply do not predict so. Similarly, if five elements that do not form any binary sigma phase between each other are chosen to form a HEA, it is unlikely that this...
HEA will form the sigma phase, even if its VEC is correct.

This argument is supported by the compositions of sigma phases in published HEA literatures. These sigma phases are typically rich in elements that form binary sigma phases with each other. For example, the sigma-phase-forming pairs in the Al_{0.5}CrFe_{1.5}MnNi_{0.5} alloy [18] are Cr–Fe and Cr–Mn. Al and Ni do not form the sigma phase with other elements in the alloy. The composition of the sigma phase in this alloy is indeed very rich in Cr, Fe, and Mn—the concentrations of them in this sigma phase sum up to 94.8 at%. In other words, generally it is the elements which form binary sigma phases with each other that compose the sigma phases in HEAs. Thus, these elements can be regarded as the ‘raw material’ to produce the sigma phase. Without sufficient ‘raw material’, the sigma phase is unlikely to be formed even if the VEC is correct.

In this paper, we discuss the effect of the content of these ‘raw materials’ to the formation and volume fraction of the sigma phase in HEAs. A parameter called paired sigma-forming element (PSFE) content is proposed to evaluate the amount of raw material available in the alloy. A series of alloys with different PSFE contents is designed and fabricated to probe such effects.

The alloys in the present study were prepared by vacuum arc melting. Raw materials with purities higher than 99.5% were placed in a water-cooled Cu mold and melted in Ar atmosphere. Melting was repeated for at least four times to ensure the liquid mixed well. The cast alloys were then aged at 700°C for 24 h to allow the formation of the sigma phase. The crystal structures of the alloys were identified using an X-ray diffractometer (BRUKER-D8 Discover). The X-ray used was Cu Kα radiation operated at 40 kV and 30 mA, and the scanning speed was 0.2°–2°/min. Microstructure observations were conducted on a JOEL-5400 scanning electron microscope (SEM) with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy capability. When the sigma phase was identified in the X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern, its volume fraction was determined from SEM images using standard image analysis techniques.

First, we introduce the concept of PSFE. Binary sigma phases are formed between so-called A and B elements. In transition metals, A elements are group VB-VIIB elements and B elements are group VIIB-VIIB elements. For example, when Mo serves as the A element, the corresponding sigma-forming B elements include Mn, Fe, and Co. If two composing elements in a multi-component alloy are known to form binary sigma phase, they are called the sigma-forming elements (SFEs) in that alloy. For instance, in the AlCoCrFeNi alloy, Cr is the only A element, and the corresponding B elements available in the alloy are Co and Fe. Therefore, Cr, Co, and Fe are the SFEs in AlCoCrFeNi. Note that although Al and Ni also form sigma phases (e.g. in Nb-Al and V-Ni), their respective A elements (Nb and V) are not present in the alloy. Thus, they cannot be regarded as SFEs in this case.

The structure prototype of the sigma phase is Cr_{50}Fe_{50} (Pearson symbol: tP30). Therefore, Al_{50}B_{50} is considered as the representative formula of the sigma phase. This means that it takes equal amount of A and B elements to form the sigma phase. Thus, the amount of ‘raw material’ in an alloy that can be used to form the sigma phase depends not on the content of SFE, but on the content of SFE that is paired. If an alloy contains 30 at% of A element, 50 at% of B element, and 20 at% of other elements that do not form the sigma phase with any other components, then the content of PSFE is 60 at%, i.e. 30 at% A and 30 at% of B. Note that although the content of B element is 50 at%, only 30 at% of B is paired, because there is only 30 at% of A element to pair with it. In short, one first identifies the SFEs in the alloy and determines the respective contents of A and B elements. Then, to obtain the content of PSFE, simply double the content of A or B element, whichever is smaller.

A total of 32 HEAs with different PSFE contents were designed and fabricated. Most of the alloys are designed specifically for this study, while some are taken from the literature.[10,29,30] Table 1 lists the notations, PSFE contents, compositions, VECs, and volume fractions of the sigma phase of the 32 alloys. A wide span of PSFE contents is included, ranging from 5 to 80 at%. The VEC values of all the alloys except one fall in the sigma-forming VEC range for Cr- and V-containing HEAs (6.88–7.84). The exception is alloy A25, which is taken from [30]. This alloy contains Mo, another known A element. It has been pointed out that alloys containing Mo can have different sigma-forming VEC ranges.[26]

The volume fractions of sigma phases in each alloy are plotted against their respective PSFE contents in Figure 1. The behavior of the alloys can be divided into three stages according to their PSFE contents. The first stage occurs when the PSFE content is low. At this stage, none of the alloys (A1–A7) develop the sigma phase. The XRD patterns of these alloys are shown in Figure 2. Indeed, in all these patterns, peaks corresponding to the sigma phase are not detected. The second stage is the intermediate stage. At this stage, the alloys may or may not develop the sigma phase, depending on their compositions. The third stage is the sigma-prone stage, which occurs at high PSFE contents. At this stage, all the alloys develop the sigma phase after annealing. The transition between the three stages occurs at two threshold PSFE contents. From Figure 1, the first threshold PSFE content (transition between stages I and II) is somewhere between 20 and 25 at%. The second threshold PSFE content (transition between stages II and III) is between 40 and 45 at%. The above results have important implications for the design of HEAs:
Table 1. Notations, PSFE contents (at%), compositions, VECs, and volume fractions of the sigma phase (%) of the 32 alloys used in this study.

| Alloy notation | PSFE content | Composition | VEC | Volume fraction of $\sigma$ |
|----------------|--------------|-------------|-----|-----------------------------|
| A1             | 5%           | Co28.75Fe31.25Ni7.5Ti30V2.5 | 7.16 | 0                           |
| A2             | 10%          | Al16.5Co22.5Cr3Fe31.25Ni30Ti13.5 | 7.36 | 0                           |
| A3             | 10%          | Al15CrFe30Ni30Ti20          | 6.95 | 0                           |
| A4             | 15%          | Al22Co28Ni31.75Ti10.75V7.5  | 7.16 | 0                           |
| A5             | 15%          | Co25Fe25Ni32.5Ti22.5V7.5    | 7.68 | 0                           |
| A6             | 20%          | Co25Fe2.5Ni10Ti10V10       | 6.95 | 0                           |
| A7             | 20%          | Al10Co20Cr10Fe10Ni4Ni10Ti10 | 7.16 | 0                           |
| A8             | 25%          | Al17.5Co24Cr7.5Fe25Ni17.5V6.25 | 7.36 | 0                           |
| A9             | 25%          | Al18.75Co24Cr6.25Fe25Ni17.5V6.25 | 7.36 | 0                           |
| A10            | 25%          | Al15Co24.75Cr12.5Fe21.875Ni26.25 | 7.77 | 0                           |
| A11            | 25%          | Co15.625Cr12.5Fe15.625Mn12.5Ni18.750Ti25 | 7.16 | 0                           |
| A12            | 25%          | Al11.25Co25Cr22.5Fe15.25Ni30Ti12.5 | 7.57 | 0                           |
| A13            | 30%          | Al25Fe25Mn17.5Ni12.5Ti15    | 7.68 | 17                          |
| A14            | 30%          | Al15Co20Cr15Fe30Ni20        | 6.95 | 0                           |
| A15            | 35%          | Co25Cr12.5Mn30Ti17.5Ni5     | 6.95 | 0                           |
| A16            | 35%          | Al20Co18.75Cr10Fe13.75Ni30V7.5 | 7.36 | 10                          |
| A17            | 40%          | Al17Co20Cr20Fe25Ni20        | 7.36 | 40                          |
| A18            | 40%          | Al6.7Co23.3Cr20Fe21.7Ni12.5Ti13.5 | 7.77 | 0                           |
| A19            | 40%          | Al25Fe20Cr30Ni10Zr20       | 7.40 | 21                          |
| A20            | 45%          | Al10Co26.25Cr15Fe26.25Ni26.25Ti20V7.5 | 7.36 | 17                          |
| A21            | 50%          | Al10Co26.25Cr15Fe26.25Ni26.25Ti20 | 7.36 | 50                          |
| A22            | 50%          | Al16.7Co16.7Cr16.7Fe16.7Mn16.7Ni25 | 7.33 | 45                          |
| A23            | 60%          | Al19.5Co19.5Cr19.5Fe11.5Mo9.5Ni19.5 | 7.02 | 55                          |
| A24            | 65%          | Al16.9Co16.9Cr16.9Fe16.9Mn15.3Ni16.9 | 7.02 | 75                          |
| A25            | 67%          | Co22.2Cr22.2Fe22.2Mo11.1Ni22.2 | 8.00 | 15                          |
| A26            | 67%          | Al8Co13Cr21Fe13Mn13Ni30     | 7.65 | 20                          |
| A27            | 70%          | Al8Co41Cr15Fe9Ni5Ti5       | 7.36 | 56                          |
| A28            | 75%          | Al56Co18.87Cr37.74Fe18.87Ni18.87 | 7.53 | 44                          |
| A29            | 77%          | Al15.4Co15.4Cr15.4Fe15.4Mo7.4Ni15.4 | 6.92 | 66                          |
| A30            | 80%          | Co20Cr20Fe20Ni20Mo20       | 7.80 | 58                          |
| A31            | 80%          | Co20Cr20Fe20Ni20V20        | 7.60 | 57                          |
| A32            | 80%          | Co20Cr20Fe20Ni20V20       | 7.80 | 57                          |

As long as the PSFE content of an alloy is 20 at% or lower, it will not develop the sigma phase even if its VEC value falls in the sigma-forming region. In other words, there is a threshold PSFE content below which sigma phase formation is suppressed. This provides an additional dimension (other than adjusting the VEC) to design sigma-free HEAs. Additionally, as long as the PSFE content does not exceed 40 at%, the alloy may still remain sigma-free. However, the factor that controls sigma phase formation at the second stage is still unclear.

In addition to the existence of the three different stages, it is also important to observe how the PSFE content affects the volume fraction of the sigma phase. This is also seen in Figure 1. The trend is somewhat counterintuitive—higher PSFE contents do not necessarily lead to higher sigma phase volume fractions. For example, CoCr$_2$FeNi (A32) has a high PSFE content of 80 at% but it contains only 5 vol% of the sigma phase. In contrast, the PSFE content of Al$_{10}$Co$_{33.75}$Cr$_{23}$Ni$_{12.5}$Ti$_{10}$ (A21) is only 50 at%, but it contains 50 vol% of the sigma phase. However, the PSFE content does affect the distribution of the sigma phase volume fraction, particularly its maximum value. This can be seen by delineating the distribution areas of data points in stages II and III, which are shown as trapeziums in Figure 1. Both trapeziums have a larger height on the right side, which demonstrates that the maximum volume fraction of the sigma phase increases with the PSFE content.

The existence of threshold PSFE content for sigma phase formation is not unreasonable. Firstly, the sigma phases in the present study are formed between (Cr, V, Mo) and (Mn, Fe, Co, Ni). Sigma phases of these compositions typically have small negative, sometime even positive, enthalpies of formation. For example, the formation enthalpies of CrFe, Mo$_3$Co$_2$, and VFe are 4, $-4$, and $-8$ kJ (mole of atoms)$^{-1}$, respectively.$[31]$ This suggests that these sigma phases are not very energetically competitive compared with other intermetallic phases. Secondly, the higher configurational entropy in HEA is known to increase the solubility of composing elements in the phases.$[1]$ Therefore, when the PSFE content is sufficiently low, the SFEs can stay in existing phases without exceeding their solubility limits. In that case, no sigma phase will be formed.

The increase in the maximum sigma phase volume fraction with increasing PSFE content is also not unexpected. As mentioned previously, PSFEs can be
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Figure 1. The PSFE contents and sigma phase volume fractions of the 32 alloys used in this study. The behaviors of the alloys can be divided into three stages based on the PSFE content.

Figure 2. XRD patterns of alloys A1–A7. No sigma phase is detected in these alloys despite their ‘correct’ VEC.

regarded as the ‘raw material’ to form the sigma phase. Once all the raw materials are consumed, sigma phase formation cannot proceed. Thus, the maximum amount of sigma phase attainable in an alloy should certainly be proportional to the raw material available in that alloy, i.e. the PSFE content. The roughly linear relationship between the two clearly supports this argument. It should be noted, however, that a higher PSFE content does not always translate to a higher sigma phase volume fraction. This is because the type of phases present in an alloy and their respective volume fraction are the result of a rather complex competition among all possible phases. The volume fraction of the sigma phase depends not only on the composition of the sigma phase, but also on the composition of other co-existing phases. Because the situation (type of existing phases and their compositions) differs in each alloy, the volume fraction of the sigma phase can also vary significantly. If other phases are more competitive, the volume fraction of the sigma phase can be evidently lowered. In other words, having a sufficient amount of raw material for the sigma phase does not mean that these raw materials will all be used to produce the sigma phase.

Although the VEC criterion provides useful guideline to the existence of the sigma phase, it alone is insufficient to describe the complete picture of sigma phase formation in HEAs. If the content of PSFE is low, no sigma phase will be observed even if the VEC is correct—Figure 1 has clearly demonstrated this. The effect of PSFE content is therefore as important as that
of the VEC value, and is a necessary factor for reliable predictions of sigma phase formation. Thus, PSFE content should be regarded as the second criterion for sigma phase formation in HEAs. The two criteria are complementary to each other, and the sigma phase will form only if both criteria are satisfied. The effect of PSFE content was not observed in previous literatures regarding sigma phase formation because the PSFE contents in many HEAs exceed 40 at%. This is because Cr, Fe, and Co are among the most frequently used elements in HEAs, but any quinary equimolar HEA that contains (Cr, Fe) or (Cr, Co) has a PSFE content of 40 at%. In deriving the VEC criterion, 15 out of the 18 alloys used have PSFE contents $\geq 40$ at%.[26] In particular, all the alloys that fall within the sigma-forming VEC range have PSFE contents $\geq 40$ at%. Therefore, the PSFE content criterion is automatically satisfied and its effect is hidden.

Finally, it should be noted that the elements involved in the present study include Al, Co, Cr, Fe, Mn, Mo, Ni, Ti, V, and Zr. If the alloys of interest have very different threshold composing elements, they may have different threshold PSFE contents between the three stages.

In summary, the effect of PSFE content on sigma phase formation is probed in a series of HEAs that has the correct VEC for sigma phase formation. Three stages are identified based on the PSFE content of the alloys. Below the first threshold PSFE content (somewhere between 20 and 25 at%), the sigma phase is not observed even if the VEC of the alloy is between 6.88 and 7.84. Above the second threshold PSFE content (somewhere between 40 and 45 at%), the sigma phase appears in all alloys after annealing. In between the two threshold values, the sigma phase may or may not develop in the alloy. The effect of PSFE content on sigma phase formation is significant, and should therefore be regarded as the second criterion of sigma phase formation. The two criteria are complementary to each other, and the sigma phase will form only if both criteria are satisfied. It is also noticed that alloys with higher PSFE contents do not necessarily contain higher volume fractions of the sigma phase. However, the maximum volume fraction of the sigma phase do increase with the PSFE content.
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