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Abstract

We discuss supersymmetric black holes embedded in a Gödel-type universe with cosmological constant in five dimensions. The spacetime is a fibration over a four-dimensional Kähler base manifold, and generically has closed timelike curves. Asymptotically the space approaches a deformation of AdS\textsubscript{5}, which suggests that the appearance of closed timelike curves should have an interpretation in some deformation of \( D = 4, \mathcal{N} = 4 \) super-Yang-Mills theory.

Finally, a Gödel-de Sitter universe is also presented and its causal structure is discussed.
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1 Introduction

For specific cases we have already a fairly good understanding of (vacuum) geometries in which string theory can be embedded without breaking supersymmetry. A general picture is however still missing, but one step in this direction is the classification of supersymmetric bosonic field configurations of lower-dimensional supergravity, obtained by compactification of string theory (or M-theory). For the minimal supergravity in five dimensions this was recently done in [1,2]*, where the BPS solutions were classified by a Killing vector field, which is always present due to supersymmetry. In fact, unbroken supersymmetry requires the existence of at least one Killing spinor, which in turn implies the existence of a Killing vector. This Killing vector is constructed as fermionic bi-linear, and can be null or timelike, but not spacelike. The null case describes pp-wave-type solutions, whereas examples with a timelike Killing vector are the BPS black holes [4].

There is another class of BPS solutions with a timelike Killing vector, that are however neither asymptotically flat nor anti-de Sitter as in the case of gauged supergravity. These are the Gödel-type solutions of [1], which are pathological in the sense that they exhibit closed timelike curves (CTCs), which are not shielded by any horizon. Many attempts have been made to understand or to cut-off the regions with CTCs by holographic screens or by appropriate probes [5–10], but so far a deeper understanding of this phenomenon is still missing. One interesting observation is the link of the ungauged case to an integrable model and the appearance of a pole in the partition function indicating a phase transition [11, 12]. In gauged supergravity on the other hand, all CTCs disappear if the cosmological constant is sufficiently large [13]. The situation here is reminiscent of the rotating BMPV black hole [14] in asymptotically flat spacetime, where CTCs are present only in the over-rotating case, but disappear if the mass (for fixed angular momentum) becomes large enough [15,16].

In this paper, we want to discuss in more detail the interplay between (rotating) black holes and Gödel solutions. We are particularly interested in black holes embedded in Gödel universes with cosmological constant, that approach asymptotically a deformation of AdS$_5$. The AdS/CFT correspondence opens then the possibility to relate

*For a systematic classification of BPS supergravity solutions in other dimensions cf. [3].
the appearance of closed timelike curves in the bulk to properties (like loss of unitar-
ity) of a dual field theory residing on the deformed boundary of the five-dimensional
spacetime.

In detail, the remainder of this paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we con-
struct various BPS black hole solutions embedded in a Gödel-AdS spacetime. We
discuss their causal structure and point out a possible holographic interpretation of
the appearance of closed timelike curves in the AdS/CFT correspondence. In section
3 a more general class of BPS solutions is constructed. These solutions are given in
terms of a fibration over a four-dimensional Kähler base manifold which is a complex
line bundle over a two-dimensional surface of constant curvature. They include a
Gödel-type deformation of the rotating AdS black holes obtained recently in [17] as
a special subcase. Finally, in section 4 we present a Gödel-de Sitter universe and
analyze some of its physical properties.

2 Supersymmetric Gödel-AdS black holes

2.1 Construction of the supergravity fields

Gauntlett and Gutowski [2] classified all supersymmetric solutions of minimal gauged
supergravity in five dimensions, with bosonic action

\[ S = \frac{1}{4\pi G} \int \left( -\frac{1}{4}[\nabla R - 2\Lambda] \ast 1 - \frac{1}{2} F \ast F - \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} F \wedge F \wedge A \right). \tag{2.1} \]

The solutions fall into two classes, depending on whether the Killing vector con-
structed from the Killing spinor is timelike or null. Let us consider the former class.
In order to make our paper self-contained, we briefly review the results of [2] for the
timelike case. The line element can be written as

\[ ds^2 = f^2(dt + \omega)^2 - f^{-1} h_{mn} dx^m dx^n, \tag{2.2} \]

where \( h_{mn} \) denotes the metric on a four-dimensional Kähler base manifold \( B \) and

\[ f = -\frac{2\chi^2}{R}, \tag{2.3} \]
where $R$ is the scalar curvature of $\mathcal{B}$ and $\chi$ is related to the cosmological constant by $\chi^2 = 2\Lambda^\dagger$. The one-form $\omega$ is determined by

$$fd\omega = G^+ + G^- \tag{2.4}$$

where $G^+$ is a self-dual two-form on the base manifold, given by

$$G^+_{mn} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\chi} (\mathcal{R}_{mn} - \frac{1}{4} R X^{(1)}_{mn}) , \tag{2.5}$$

with $\mathcal{R}$ the Ricci form and $X^{(1)}$ the Kähler form of $\mathcal{B}$. On the other hand $G^-$ is an anti-self-dual two-form and is decomposed as

$$G^- = \lambda^1 X^{(1)} + \lambda^2 X^{(2)} + \lambda^3 X^{(3)} , \tag{2.6}$$

where $X^{(2)}$ and $X^{(3)}$ are additional anti-self-dual two-forms on $\mathcal{B}$, that, together with $X^{(1)}$, satisfy the algebra of unit quaternions,

$$X^{(i)}_m X^{(j)}_p = -\delta^{ij} \delta_m^p + \epsilon^{ijk} X^{(k)}_m X^{(n)}_n . \tag{2.7}$$

The coefficient $\lambda^1$ is fixed in terms of the base space geometry,

$$\lambda^1 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\chi R} \left( \frac{1}{2} \nabla^m \nabla_m R + \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{R}_{mn} \mathcal{R}^{mn} - \frac{1}{3} R^2 \right) , \tag{2.8}$$

with $\nabla$ denoting the Levi-Cività connection on the base manifold with respect to $h$. If we adopt complex coordinates $z^j, \bar{z}^\bar{j}$ on $\mathcal{B}$ with respect to $X^{(1)}$ (i.e., $X^{(1)}_k = i \delta^j_k$, $X^{(1)}_\bar{k} = -i \delta^\bar{j}_k$), then $\lambda^2$ and $\lambda^3$ are determined by the differential equation

$$\Theta_j = -(\partial_j - i P_j) [R(\lambda^2 - i\lambda^3)] , \tag{2.9}$$

which implies that $\lambda^2 - i\lambda^3$ is fixed up to an arbitrary antiholomorphic function on the base. In (2.9), $P$ and $\Theta$ are given by

$$P_m = \frac{1}{8} (X^{(3)}_{np} \nabla_m X^{(2)}_{np} - X^{(2)}_{np} \nabla_m X^{(3)}_{np}) , \tag{2.10}$$

$$\Theta_m = X^{(2)}_m X^{(3)}_n (\ast_4 T)_n , \tag{2.11}$$

with

$$T = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\chi} \left( -dR \wedge \mathcal{R} + d \left[ \frac{1}{2} \nabla^m \nabla_m R + \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{R}_{mn} \mathcal{R}^{mn} - \frac{1}{12} R^2 \right] \wedge X^{(1)} \right) . \tag{2.12}$$

†With mostly minus signature, positive $\Lambda$ corresponds to AdS and negative $\Lambda$ to dS.
In summary, $f$ and $G^\pm$ are fixed by the geometry of the base manifold (up to an antiholomorphic function); then, $\omega$ is given by (2.4) and finally the gauge potential reads

$$A_m = \chi^{-1} P_m + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} f \omega_m, \quad A_t = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} f.$$  

Note that all fields are independent of $t$.

In order to obtain black hole solutions immersed in a Gödel-type universe, we choose as metric on the base manifold

$$h_{mn} dx^m dx^n = H^{-2} dr^2 + \frac{r^2}{4} H^2 (\sigma_3^L)^2 + \frac{r^2}{4} [(\sigma_1^L)^2 + (\sigma_2^L)^2],$$  

where

$$H(r) = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\chi^2}{12} r^2 (1 + \frac{\mu}{r^2})^3}.$$  

For $\mu = 0$, this metric reduces to the Bergmann metric, which is Einstein-Kähler. The right-invariant (or "left") one-forms on SU(2) are given by

$$\sigma_1^L = \sin \phi d\theta - \cos \phi \sin \theta d\psi,$$
$$\sigma_2^L = \cos \phi d\theta + \sin \phi \sin \theta d\psi,$$
$$\sigma_3^L = d\phi + \cos \theta d\psi,$$  

with the Euler angles $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$, $0 \leq \psi \leq 4\pi$. By introducing the complex coordinates

$$z^1 = h(r) \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{\frac{i}{2} (\phi + \psi)}, \quad z^2 = h(r) \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{\frac{i}{2} (\phi - \psi)},$$

where

$$h(r) = \exp \int \frac{dr}{H^2 r},$$

one can verify that (2.13) is Kähler, with Kähler potential given by

$$K(r) = \int \frac{r dr}{H^2}.$$  

For the metric (2.13) one finds [2]: $\Theta = 0$, $R = -2\chi^2 (1 + \mu/r^2)$ and

$$X^{(1)} = d\left( \frac{r^2}{4} \sigma_3^L \right),$$
$$X^{(2)} = H^{-1} \frac{r}{2} dr \wedge \sigma_1^L + \frac{r^2}{4} H d\sigma_1^L,$$
$$X^{(3)} = H^{-1} \frac{r}{2} dr \wedge \sigma_2^L + \frac{r^2}{4} H d\sigma_2^L.$$  
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as well as
\[ P_{z_1} = \frac{i\chi^2}{8r^2h(r)^2}(r^2 + \mu)^2 z_1, \quad P_{z_2} = \frac{i\chi^2}{8r^2h(r)^2}(r^2 + \mu)^2 z_2. \] (2.20)

As \( \Theta = 0 \), Eq. (2.9) admits the trivial solution \( \lambda^2 = \lambda^3 = 0 \) giving the supersymmetric electrically charged AdS black holes\(^\dagger\) first constructed in [18]\(^\S\). It is however possible to solve (2.9) in general. To this end, we note that
\[ P_i = \partial_i L(r), \] (2.21)

where
\[ L(r) = \int \frac{i\chi^2}{4H^2r^3}(r^2 + \mu)^2 dr. \] (2.22)

This leads to the general solution
\[ \lambda^2 - i\lambda^3 = \frac{\mathcal{F}(z_1, z_2)}{R} e^{iL}, \] (2.23)

with \( \mathcal{F} \) denoting an arbitrary antiholomorphic function. If we choose \( \mathcal{F} \) to be constant, we get the supersymmetric solution

\[
    ds^2 = f^2(dt + \omega)^2 - f^{-1}(H^{-2}dr^2 + \frac{r^2}{4}H^2(\sigma_3^L)^2 + \frac{r^2}{4}(\sigma_1^L)^2 + (\sigma_2^L)^2)), \\
    A = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}f[dt - \mathcal{F}_1 h^2(r)\sigma_1^L + \mathcal{F}_2 h^2(r)\sigma_2^L],
\] (2.24)

with
\[
    f^{-1} = 1 + \frac{\mu}{r^2}, \\
    \omega = \frac{\chi r^2}{4\sqrt{3}} \left( 1 + \frac{\mu}{r^2} \right)^3 \sigma_3^L - \mathcal{F}_1 h^2(r)\sigma_1^L + \mathcal{F}_2 h^2(r)\sigma_2^L,
\] (2.25)

where \( \mathcal{F}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{F}_2 \) are arbitrary constants related to the real and imaginary part of \( \mathcal{F} \) respectively and the function \( h(r) \) is given by (2.17).

For \( \mu = \chi = 0 \), the solution (2.24) reduces to the maximally supersymmetric Gödel-type universe found in [1]. Turning on the parameter \( \mu \) while keeping \( \chi = 0 \) yields the
\(^\dagger\)Actually these solutions describe naked singularities. In a slight abuse of notation, we shall nevertheless refer to them as black holes.
\(^\S\)For generalizations to the case of gauged supergravity coupled to vector multiplets see [19].
one half supersymmetric Gödel black hole studied in detail in [20]. For $F_1 = F_2 = 0$, $\chi \neq 0$, we recover the AdS black holes of [18]. In the case $\mu = 0$, $\chi \neq 0$ (2.24) describes a generalization of the Gödel-type universe of [1] to include a cosmological constant. This solution was first given in [2], and its chronological structure was studied in [13]. Although the geometry (2.24) has a naked singularity at $r^2 + \mu = 0$ ($R_{\mu \nu \rho \lambda} R^{\mu \nu \rho \lambda} \sim \frac{f^{11}}{H^4}$) not hidden by an event horizon, we shall refer to it as a black hole immersed in a Gödel-type universe with cosmological constant. In section 3 we shall construct Gödel-type deformations of AdS black holes with genuine horizons.

### 2.2 Physical discussion

In what follows, we will discuss some physical properties of (2.24). First of all, let us consider its chronological structure. One finds that the induced metric on hypersurfaces of constant $t$ and $r$ is always spacelike iff

$$g(r) \equiv F_1^2 + F_2^2 + \sqrt{(F_1^2 + F_2^2)^2 + \frac{\chi^2 r^4}{12 f^6 h^4} (F_1^2 + F_2^2) - \frac{r^2}{2 f^3 h^4}} < 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.26)

For $g(r) > 0$ it becomes timelike and thus closed timelike curves (CTCs) appear. When we approach the naked singularity at $r^2 = -\mu$ (where $f \to \infty$), $g(r)$ goes to $2(F_1^2 + F_2^2)$ and thus, as long as $F_1$ and $F_2$ are nonvanishing, we have always CTCs near the singularity. On the other hand, for $r \to \infty$, $g(r)$ is negative provided

$$\frac{\chi^2}{3} h^4(\infty)(F_1^2 + F_2^2) < 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.27)

where $h(\infty)$ indicates the value of $h$ at infinity, which is easily shown to be a constant. If (2.27) is satisfied, there are no CTCs if $r$ is sufficiently large. However, this conclusion is only valid on constant time slices, i.e. $dt = 0$. If we instead allow $t$ to vary, one can construct through every point in spacetime a CTC. Namely, by going inside the future light cone towards the black hole singularity, constructing there a time machine as discussed in [13] and finally coming back to the starting point. We expect $F_1^2 + F_2^2$ to measure the angular momentum/magnetic flux, so (2.27) should have an interpretation as a bound on the angular momentum or magnetic flux of the solution (2.24). We shall come back to this point below.

---

*For black holes in Gödel spacetimes without cosmological constant cf. [20, 21].*
Asymptotically for $r \to \infty$ the metric (2.24) does not approach AdS$_5$, but a deformation thereof. The induced metric on hypersurfaces of constant $r$ is, for large $r$, conformal to
\[
ds^2 = \frac{\chi}{2\sqrt{3}} \sigma_3^L (dt - F_1 h^2(\infty) \sigma_1^L + F_2 h^2(\infty) \sigma_2^L) - \frac{1}{4} [(\sigma_1^L)^2 + (\sigma_2^L)^2 + (\sigma_3^L)^2],
\]
which is always nondegenerate. If $F_1$ and $F_2$ were zero, (2.28) would be the standard metric on $\mathbb{R} \times S^3$ (after setting $\phi = \phi' + \chi t/\sqrt{3}$), but for $F_1$ or $F_2$ different from zero, (2.28) describes a deformation of this standard metric. According to the AdS/CFT correspondence, the bulk solution (2.24) should have a dual description in terms of (a deformation of) $D = 4, \mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills theory defined on the curved manifold (2.28). In what follows we shall consider more in detail the supergravity solution (2.24) with $\mu = 0$, i.e., the G"odel-deformation of AdS$_5$, and its CFT dual. We have then
\[
h^2(r) = \frac{C r^2}{1 + \frac{C}{12} r^2},
\]
where $C$ denotes an arbitrary integration constant that can be absorbed into $F_{1,2}$.

In order to see which operators/VEVs are turned on in the CFT, one has to do a Fefferman-Graham expansion of the supergravity fields, which in our case consist of the metric and the U(1) gauge field only. For the gauge field we have the asymptotic behaviour
\[
A = \frac{6\sqrt{3}}{\chi^2} (-F_1 \sigma_1^L + F_2 \sigma_2^L) (1 - 12 \chi^{-2} r^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-4})).
\]
Now a massless vector field $A$ in AdS$_5$, which naturally couples to a CFT R-current $J_I$, typically falls off like $r^{-2}$ or like $r^0$ for $r \to \infty$. The latter behaviour is the non-normalizable mode corresponding to the insertion of the dual operator. From (2.29) we see that in our case the dual operator is inserted, i.e., the CFT is deformed by the term
\[
\int d^4x \sqrt{-\gamma} A^{I}_\mu J^\mu_I,
\]
where $\gamma$ denotes the determinant of the metric (2.28) and $I$ is an SO(6) R-symmetry index. (In our case $A^{I}_\mu$ takes values in the Cartan subgroup SO(2) $\times$ SO(2) $\times$ SO(2) of

\[\text{For a nice review of the procedure see [22].}\]
\[\text{**A gauge invariant way of saying this is that the "electric" field $F_{a \alpha}$ ($a = t, \phi, \theta, \psi$) falls off like $r^{-3} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-5})$ and the "magnetic" field $F_{ab}$ like $r^0 + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2})$.}\]
SO(6), with all three components equal). $J$ has dimension $\Delta = 3$, and thus our bulk solution is described by a relevant deformation of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills theory residing on the curved manifold (2.28). Of course this deformation preserves only part of the original supersymmetry. The situation encountered here is somewhat similar to that for the Gödel black hole without cosmological constant studied in [20]. Both this spacetime and the BMPV black hole are described (after uplifting to ten dimensions) by a deformation of the D1-D5-pp-wave system, but the BMPV perturbation is normalizable whereas the Gödel perturbation is non-normalizable and corresponds to the insertion of an operator in the dual two-dimensional CFT [20]. (In the BMPV case, symmetry is broken spontaneously, whereas in the Gödel case it is broken explicitly). For the BMPV black hole, the rotation corresponds to a VEV of the CFT $R$-currents. Now the classification of unitary representations of superconformal algebras typically yields inequalities on the conformal weights and $R$-charges. Generically unitarity is violated if the $R$-charges become too large. It has been shown in [23] that the threshold where CTCs develop in the bulk of the BMPV black hole (when the angular momentum becomes too large, overrotating case) corresponds exactly to a unitarity bound in the dual CFT.

It would be interesting to see whether a similar holographic interpretation can be given to the bound (2.27), i.e., if the appearance of closed timelike curves in the bulk is related to loss of unitarity in the dual field theory. From (2.28) we see that $J$ gets also a VEV. This is of course a consequence of the deformation (2.30) of the CFT Lagrangian, in the same way in which an external magnetic field applied to a ferromagnet implies a magnetization. The $R$-charge in our case is given in terms of the constants $F_{1,2}$, so in principle a unitarity bound on the $R$-charges could lead to an inequality like (2.27). To finally answer this question one needs the residual superalgebra preserved by the solution (2.24) after lifting to ten dimensions, which we will not attempt to determine here.

In any case, by analyzing (2.28), it is straightforward to show that beyond the bound (2.27), the CFT metric itself develops CTCs. This can be seen by considering e.g. the
vector \( v = \xi^L_1 + \xi^L_3 \), where

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi^L_1 &= \sin \phi \partial_\theta + \cot \theta \cos \phi \partial_\phi - \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \theta} \partial_\psi, \\
\xi^L_2 &= \cos \phi \partial_\theta - \cot \theta \sin \phi \partial_\phi + \frac{\sin \phi}{\sin \theta} \partial_\psi, \\
\xi^L_3 &= \partial_\phi,
\end{align*}
\]

denote the left vector fields on SU(2). \( v \) has closed orbits and becomes timelike whenever (2.27) is violated. We probably cannot make sense of a quantum field theory on a spacetime with CTCs, e.g., the Cauchy problem is ill-defined, there is no notion of an S-matrix, and so on. This means that beyond the bound (2.27) the boundary CFT is probably itself pathological. It seems thus to be a general pattern that whenever CTCs develop in the bulk, the holographic dual is not well-defined.

It would be desirable to have a mechanism which avoids or forbids these Gödel-type deformations that suffer from CTCs. In [24] it was argued (for the example of the overrotating three-charge black hole in five dimensions) that stringy effects would prohibit any attempt to build the causality violating regions, i.e., once all stringy effects are taken into account, our usual notion of chronology will emerge as a protected law of nature. It would be interesting to see exactly how such a “stringy protection of chronology” is realized in our case. Another interesting way could be to construct the non-extreme solutions and to investigate the thermodynamical stability, but we leave a more detailed discussion of this for future work.

As a last point of the physical discussion, we compute the holographic stress tensor [25] of the solution (2.24) with \( \mu = 0 \)††. To this end, we first write the metric in a way in which it is manifestly asymptotically AdS (modulo the deformation mentioned above). After shifting \( \phi \to \phi + \chi t/\sqrt{3}, t \to t - t_0 \), where \( \tan(\chi t_0/\sqrt{3}) = -\mathcal{F}_2/\mathcal{F}_1 \), we get

\[
\begin{align*}
ds^2 &= \left(1 + \frac{\chi^2}{12} r^2\right)(dt + \bar{\omega})^2 - \frac{dr^2}{1 + \frac{\chi^2}{12} r^2} - \frac{r^2}{4} \left[(\sigma^L_1)^2 + (\sigma^L_2)^2 + (\sigma^L_3 - \frac{\chi}{\sqrt{3}} \bar{\omega})^2\right], \\
A &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2 \bar{\omega}},
\end{align*}
\]

(2.31)

††While this paper has been revised, ref. [26] appeared in which the holographic energy-momentum tensor was also calculated.
where we defined
\[ \tilde{\omega} = \frac{r^2 \mathcal{F}}{1 + \frac{\chi^2}{12} r^2} \left[ \sigma_1^T \cos \frac{\chi t}{\sqrt{3}} + \sigma_2^T \sin \frac{\chi t}{\sqrt{3}} \right], \]
and \( \mathcal{F} = \sqrt{F_1^2 + F_2^2} \). Note that the shift of the angle \( \phi \) leads to an explicit time-dependence of the boundary metric.

The complete (Lorentzian) action reads
\[ S = S_{\text{bulk}} + S_{\text{surf}} + S_{\text{ct}}, \quad (2.32) \]
where \( S_{\text{bulk}} \) is given in (2.1),
\[ S_{\text{surf}} = -\frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{\partial M} d^4x \sqrt{-\sigma} K \quad (2.33) \]
denotes the Gibbons-Hawking boundary term required to have a well-defined variational principle, and
\[ S_{\text{ct}} = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{\partial M} d^4x \sqrt{-\sigma} \left[ -\frac{3}{\ell} + \frac{\ell R}{4} \right] \quad (2.34) \]
is a surface counterterm introduced in [25] to render the total action finite. In (2.33) and (2.34), \( \sigma_{ab} \) is the induced metric on the boundary \( \partial M \) of the spacetime \( M \), and \( K \) denotes the trace of the extrinsic curvature \( K_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_a n_b + \nabla_b n_a) \) of \( \partial M \), where \( n_a \) is the outward pointing unit normal to \( \partial M \). \( R \) is the scalar curvature of \( \sigma_{ab} \), and \( \ell = 2\sqrt{3}/\chi \). Note that in five dimensions, in general one encounters also logarithmic divergences in the computation of the action. These divergences, which cannot be removed by adding local counterterms like (2.34), are related to the Weyl anomaly of the dual CFT [27]. However, as one readily verifies, in our case there are no logarithmic divergences, so there is no conformal anomaly in the dual field theory. We will come back to this point below.

One can now construct a divergence-free stress tensor given by [25]
\[ T_{ab} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-\sigma}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \sigma_{ab}} = -\frac{1}{8\pi G} \left[ K_{ab} - K \sigma_{ab} - \frac{3}{\ell} \sigma_{ab} - \frac{\ell}{2} G_{ab} \right], \quad (2.35) \]
where \( G_{ab} \) denotes the Einstein tensor built from \( \sigma_{ab} \). If we choose \( \partial M \) to be a
four-surface of fixed $r$, we get

$$8 \pi G T_{tt} = \frac{3 \ell}{8 r^2} + \frac{8 \ell^2 F^2}{r^2} + O(r^{-4}),$$
$$8 \pi G T_{t\phi} = \frac{4 \ell^4 F^2}{r^2} + O(r^{-4}),$$
$$8 \pi G T_{t\theta} = -\frac{\ell^3 F}{8 r^2} \sin(\phi + 2t/\ell) + O(r^{-4}),$$
$$8 \pi G T_{t\psi} = \frac{\ell^3 F}{8 r^2} \left[ \sin \theta \cos(\phi + 2t/\ell) + 32 \ell F \cos \theta \right] + O(r^{-4}),$$
$$8 \pi G T_{\phi\phi} = \frac{\ell^3}{32 r^2} + \frac{2 \ell^5 F^2}{r^2} + O(r^{-4}),$$
$$8 \pi G T_{\phi\theta} = -\frac{13 \ell^3 F}{16 r^2} \sin(\phi + 2t/\ell) + O(r^{-4}),$$
$$8 \pi G T_{\phi\psi} = \frac{\ell^3}{16 r^2} \left[ 13 \ell F \sin \theta \cos(\phi + 2t/\ell) + \frac{1}{2} \cos \theta + 32 \ell^2 F^2 \cos \theta \right] + O(r^{-4}),$$
$$8 \pi G T_{\phi\psi} = \frac{\ell^3}{32 r^2} + \frac{5 \ell^5 F^2}{2 r^2} \sin^2(\phi + 2t/\ell) + O(r^{-4}),$$
$$8 \pi G T_{\psi\psi} = -\frac{\ell^4 F}{4 r^2} \left[ 5 \ell F \sin \theta \sin(2\phi + 4t/\ell) + \frac{13}{4} \cos \theta \sin(\phi + 2t/\ell) \right] + O(r^{-4}),$$
$$8 \pi G T_{\psi\psi} = \frac{\ell^3}{8 r^2} \left[ \frac{1}{4} + 20 \ell^2 F^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2(\phi + 2t/\ell) + 16 \ell^2 F^2 \cos^2 \theta \right.$$  
$$+ 13 \ell F \sin \theta \cos \theta \cos(\phi + 2t/\ell) \right] + O(r^{-4}).$$

The metric on the manifold on which the dual CFT resides is defined by

$$\gamma_{ab} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\ell^2}{r^2} \sigma_{ab},$$

which yields

$$\gamma_{ab} dx^a dx^b = (dt + \Omega)^2 - \frac{\ell^2}{4} \left[ (\sigma_1^L)^2 + (\sigma_2^L)^2 + (\sigma_3^L - \frac{2}{\ell} \Omega)^2 \right],$$

where

$$\Omega = \ell^2 F \left( \sigma_1^L \cos \frac{2t}{\ell} + \sigma_2^L \sin \frac{2t}{\ell} \right).$$

The field theory stress tensor $\hat{T}^{ab}$ is related to $T^{ab}$ by the rescaling [28]

$$\sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma_{ab} \hat{T}^{bc} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \sqrt{-\sigma} \sigma_{ab} T^{bc},$$
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which amounts to multiplying all expressions for $T_{ab}$ given above by $r^2/\ell^2$ before taking the limit $r \to \infty$, in order to obtain $\hat{T}_{ab}$. Alternatively, the CFT energy-momentum tensor $\hat{T}_{ab}$ could have been obtained from a Fefferman-Graham expansion of the five-dimensional metric [22, 28]. We see that in our case, apart from the R-current, also the stress tensor gets a VEV. We have checked that $\hat{T}_{ab}$ is conserved and traceless, $\mathcal{D}_a \hat{T}^{ab} = 0$, $\gamma^{ab} \hat{T}_{ab} = 0$, where $\mathcal{D}$ denotes the connection of the metric $\gamma$.

The tracelessness means that there is no conformal anomaly in the dual CFT.

The holographic stress tensor can also be used to compute conserved quantities like mass and angular momentum of the spacetime. To do this, we indicate by $u^\mu$ the unit normal vector of a spacelike hypersurface $^4S_t$ at constant $t$, and by $\Sigma$ the spacelike intersection $^4S_t \cap \partial M$ embedded in $\partial M$ with induced metric $\Sigma_{ab}$. Then, for any Killing vector field $\xi^\mu$ there is an associated conserved charge

$$Q_\xi = \int_\Sigma d^3x \sqrt{-\Sigma} u^\mu T_{\mu\nu} \xi^\nu.$$  \hfill (2.37)

Under the shift $\phi \to \phi + 2t/\ell$, the Killing vector $\partial_t$ goes to $\partial_t - \frac{2}{\ell} \partial_\phi$. We find that the conserved charge associated to this Killing vector, which we will call the mass of the solution, is given by

$$M = \frac{3\pi \ell^2}{32G} + \frac{\pi \ell^4 F^2}{G}. \hfill (2.38)$$

Using the AdS/CFT dictionary $\ell^3/G = 2N^2/\pi$, this can also be written as

$$M = \frac{3N^2}{16\ell} + 2N^2 \ell F^2. \hfill (2.39)$$

The first term is just the Casimir energy for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM on $\mathbb{R} \times S^3$ [25], whereas the second term arises from the Gödel-deformation. The isometry group of the Gödel-AdS$_5$ spacetime (2.24) (with $\mu = 0$) is $\mathbb{R} \times SU(2)_R$, where $\mathbb{R}$ is generated by $\partial_t - \frac{2}{\ell} \partial_\phi$, and SU$(2)_R$ by the right vector fields (3.31). The conserved charges associated to $\xi^R_i$ turn out to be zero, so the angular momenta of the solution vanish. At first sight, this might seem surprising, because the one-form $\omega$ in (2.24) causes a rotation. The point is that the amount of this rotation depends on the angles on the three-sphere, so locally the spacetime rotates, but globally not. (The angular momentum density appearing in (2.37) is nonvanishing, but the integral over it is zero). Although there is no global angular momentum, the additional term appearing in the mass $M$ proportional to $F^2$ might have an interpretation as rotational energy, because the
associated energy density is proportional to the square of the angular momentum density.

3 More general supersymmetric solutions

The solution (2.24) is actually a special case of a more general class of BPS solutions, that are given in terms of a base space $\mathcal{B}$ which is a complex line bundle over a two-dimensional surface $\Sigma$. For the metric on $\mathcal{B}$ we choose

$$h_{mn} dx^m dx^n = \frac{dr^2}{V(r)} + V(r)(d\phi + A)^2 + F^2(r)d\Sigma^2,$$

(3.1)

where the one-form $A$ on $\Sigma$ and the functions $V(r), F(r)$ will be determined below by requiring that (3.1) be the metric on a Kähler manifold. Although arbitrary surfaces $\Sigma$ might be possible, we shall consider only the case where $\Sigma$ is a space of constant curvature $k$, where without loss of generality $k = 0, \pm 1$. For the line element $d\Sigma^2$ on $\Sigma$ we can take

$$d\Sigma^2 = d\theta^2 + S^2(\theta)d\psi^2,$$

(3.2)

with

$$S(\theta) = \begin{cases} \sin \theta, & k = 1, \\ \sinh \theta, & k = -1, \\ 1, & k = 0. \end{cases}$$

(3.3)

The anti-self-dual two-forms $X^{(i)}$ on the base manifold $\mathcal{B}$ can be chosen as

$$X^{(1)} = e^1 \wedge e^2 - e^3 \wedge e^4,$$

$$X^{(2)} = e^1 \wedge e^3 + e^2 \wedge e^4,$$

$$X^{(3)} = e^1 \wedge e^4 - e^2 \wedge e^3,$$

where the vierbein is given by

$$e^1 = \frac{dr}{\sqrt{V(r)}}, \quad e^2 = \sqrt{V(r)} \sigma_3, \quad e^3 = F(r) \sigma_1, \quad e^4 = F(r) \sigma_2,$$

and we defined

$$\sigma_1 = \sin \alpha \phi \, d\theta - S(\theta) \cos \alpha \phi \, d\psi,$$

$$\sigma_2 = \cos \alpha \phi \, d\theta + S(\theta) \sin \alpha \phi \, d\psi,$$

$$\sigma_3 = d\phi + A,$$

(3.4)
with $\alpha$ to be determined below. $X^{(1)}$ is then closed provided

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{cases} 
n \cos \theta \, d\psi, & k = 1, 
n -n \cosh \theta \, d\psi, & k = -1, 
n \frac{n}{2} (\psi \, d\theta - \theta \, d\psi), & k = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

$\alpha = k/n$ and

$$F^2(r) = nr, \quad (3.6)$$

where $n$ is an arbitrary constant. Eq. (3.5) means that $dA$ is proportional to the Kähler form on $\Sigma$. It can then be checked that for arbitrary $V(r)$, the two-forms $X^{(2)}$ and $X^{(3)}$ satisfy

$$\nabla_m X_{np}^{(2)} = P_m X_{np}^{(3)},$$

$$\nabla_m X_{np}^{(3)} = -P_m X_{np}^{(2)}, \quad (3.7)$$

with $P$ given by

$$P = \left( \frac{k}{n} - \frac{V'(r)}{2} - \frac{V(r)}{2r} \right) \sigma_3. \quad (3.8)$$

Note that (3.7) implies (2.10).

In conclusion, (3.1) is a Kähler metric for arbitrary function $V(r)$, provided $\mathcal{A}$ and $F(r)$ satisfy (3.5) and (3.6) respectively. The Kähler form on $\mathcal{B}$ is given by $X^{(1)}$. This general base manifold $\mathcal{B}$ can be used as a starting point for the construction of a variety of new supersymmetric solutions of minimal gauged supergravity in five dimensions. Note that for general $V(r)$, the one-form $\Theta$ defined in (2.11) does not vanish, which makes it rather difficult to solve equation (2.9). If we choose $n = 1$ and

$$V(r) = r \left[ k + \frac{\chi^2}{3} r \left( 1 + \frac{\mu}{r} \right)^3 \right], \quad (3.9)$$

where $\mu$ denotes an arbitrary parameter, $\Theta$ vanishes. The base manifold has then the scalar curvature

$$R = -2 \chi^2 \left( 1 + \frac{\mu}{r} \right), \quad (3.10)$$

which yields for the function $f$

$$f^{-1} = 1 + \frac{\mu}{r}. \quad (3.11)$$

The spherical case $k = 1$ leads (after the coordinate transformation $r \rightarrow r^2/4$) to the supersymmetric Gödel black hole (2.24) already discussed above. Let us therefore
focus our attention to the cases \( k = -1 \) and \( k = 0 \). For \( k = -1 \), \( \Sigma \) is a hyperbolic space (or a quotient thereof). One can choose the complex coordinates

\[
z^1 = h(r) \cosh \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\phi - \psi)} \quad , \quad z^2 = h(r) \sinh \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\phi + \psi)} ,
\]

(3.12)
on \( B \) with respect to \( X^{(1)} \), where

\[
h(r) = \exp \left[ - \int \frac{dr}{2V(r)} \right] .
\]

(3.13)

This leads to

\[
P_{z^1} = -\frac{i\chi^2}{2rh(r)^2}(r + \mu)^2 z^1 \quad , \quad P_{z^2} = \frac{i\chi^2}{2rh(r)^2}(r + \mu)^2 z^2 ,
\]

(3.14)
or

\[
P_i = \partial_i L(r) \quad , \quad L(r) = \int \frac{i\chi^2}{2Yr}(r + \mu)^2 dr
\]

(3.15)

for the holomorphic components of the one-form \( P \). Using this as well as \( \Theta = 0 \), one can solve Eq. (2.9) to obtain \( \lambda_2 \) and \( \lambda_3 \) up to an arbitrary antiholomorphic function \( F(\bar{z}^1, \bar{z}^2) \), which we will take to be constant. One arrives then finally at the BPS solution

\[
ds^2 = f^2(dt + \omega)^2 - f^{-1}(V^{-1}dr^2 + V(\sigma_3)^2 + r[(\sigma_1)^2 + (\sigma_2)^2]) ,
\]

\[
A = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} f[dt - \mathcal{F}_1 h^2(r)\sigma_1 + \mathcal{F}_2 h^2(r)\sigma_2] ,
\]

(3.16)

with

\[
\omega = \frac{\chi^2}{\sqrt{3}} f^{-3}\sigma_3 - \mathcal{F}_1 h^2(r)\sigma_1 + \mathcal{F}_2 h^2(r)\sigma_2 ,
\]

(3.17)

where \( \mathcal{F}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{F}_2 \) are arbitrary constants related to the real and imaginary part of the antiholomorphic function \( \mathcal{F} \). The one-forms \( \sigma_i \) and \( h(r) \) are given by (3.4) and (3.13) respectively and for \( \mu = 0 \), (3.16) reduces to the solution found in [13]. For \( \mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_2 = 0 \), we recover the hyperbolic black holes of [29].

For \( k = 0 \), \( \Sigma \) is flat and as in [30], we choose as complex coordinates

\[
\zeta = \frac{1}{2}(\theta - i\psi) , \quad S = -\int \frac{dr}{V(r)} - i\phi + \frac{1}{4}(\theta^2 + \psi^2) ,
\]

(3.18)
in terms of which the base space metric reads

\[
h_{mn}dx^m dx^n = V(dS - 2\tilde{\zeta} d\zeta)(d\tilde{S} - 2\zeta d\tilde{\zeta}) + 4r d\zeta d\bar{\zeta} .
\]

(3.19)
This yields
\[ P_S = -\frac{i\chi^2}{4} (r + \mu)^2, \quad P_\zeta = \frac{i\chi^2}{2r} (r + \mu)^2 \zeta, \] (3.20)
which implies again (3.15). Proceeding like in the cases \( k = \pm 1 \), we get then the supersymmetric solution
\[
\begin{align*}
    ds^2 &= f^2(dt + \omega)^2 - f^{-1}(V^{-1} dr^2 + V(\sigma_3)^2 + r[(\sigma_1)^2 + (\sigma_2)^2]), \\
    A &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} f [dt - F_1(-h^2(r) d\psi + \phi d\theta + \frac{1}{4} \theta^2 d\psi) \\
    &\quad + F_2(h^2(r) d\theta + \phi d\psi - \frac{1}{4} \psi^2 d\theta)],
\end{align*}
\] (3.21)
with
\[
\omega = \frac{\chi}{\sqrt{3}} f^{-3} \sigma_3 - F_1(-h^2(r) d\psi + \phi d\theta + \frac{1}{4} \theta^2 d\psi) \\
    + F_2(h^2(r) d\theta + \phi d\psi - \frac{1}{4} \psi^2 d\theta),
\]
where again \( F_1, F_2 \) are constants,
\[
h^2(r) = \int \frac{dr}{V(r)},
\]
and the one-forms \( \sigma_i \) are given by (3.4). For \( \mu = 0 \), (3.21) reduces to the solution found in [13] whereas for \( F_1 = F_2 = 0 \), we obtain the supersymmetric black holes of [29]. It is interesting to note that these black holes were recovered in [2] by taking a different base manifold. This means that different base geometries can lead to the same BPS solution of gauged supergravity.

As a final choice, which includes both (3.9) and the supersymmetric AdS\(_5\) black holes obtained recently in [17], we take \( k = 1 \), \( n = 1 \) and
\[
V(r) = a_2 r^2 + a_1 r + a_0 + \frac{a_{-1}}{r},
\] (3.22)
which behaves for large \( r \) as the Bergmann metric and for small \( r \) as the black hole discussed before. But depending on the parameters there can be a horizon for some finite \( r \); see below. As the only restriction on the parameters, we impose \( \Theta = 0 \) yielding
\[
3a_{-1}(a_1 - 1) = a_0^2,
\] (3.23)
and hence the base space is now parameterized by three parameters. The scalar curvature of the base space becomes then

\[ R = -2 \left( 3a_2 + \frac{a_1 - 1}{r} \right), \] (3.24)

which implies

\[ f^{-1} = \frac{3a_2}{\chi^2} + \frac{a_1 - 1}{\chi^2 r}. \] (3.25)

In order to solve (2.9), we introduce complex coordinates as in (2.16), with \( h(r) \) given by

\[ h(r) = \exp \int \frac{dr}{2V(r)}. \] (3.26)

This leads to

\[ P_{z_1} = -\frac{i}{\hbar^2} \left( 1 - \frac{V'}{2} - \frac{V}{2r} \right) \bar{z}_1, \quad P_{z_2} = -\frac{i}{\hbar^2} \left( 1 - \frac{V'}{2} - \frac{V}{2r} \right) \bar{z}_2, \] (3.27)

or

\[ P_i = \partial_i L(r), \quad L(r) = -i \int \left( 1 - \frac{V'}{2} - \frac{V}{2r} \right) \frac{dr}{V} \] (3.28)

for the holomorphic components of the one-form \( P \). Using this as well as \( \Theta = 0 \), one can again solve Eq. (2.9) to obtain \( \lambda^2 \) and \( \lambda^3 \) up to an arbitrary antiholomorphic function \( \mathcal{F}(\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2) \), which we take as usual to be constant. One obtains then the supersymmetric solution

\[
\begin{align*}
ds^2 &= f^2(dt + \omega)^2 - f^{-1}(V^{-1}dr^2 + V(\sigma_3^L)^2 + r[(\sigma_1^L)^2 + (\sigma_2^L)^2]) , \\
A &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} f \left[ dt - \mathcal{F}_1 h^2(r) \sigma_1^L + \mathcal{F}_2 h^2(r) \sigma_2^L \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{3a_0 a_2 - (a_1 - 1)^2}{4\chi^2 r} f \sigma_3^L ,
\end{align*}
\] (3.29)

with

\[
\omega = \frac{3r(a_1 - 1)^2 + (18a_2 r^2 + 2a_0)(a_1 - 1) + 18r^3 a_2^2 + 9a_0a_2r^3}{2\sqrt{3}r^2 \chi^3} \sigma_3^L \\
\quad - \mathcal{F}_1 h^2(r) \sigma_1^L + \mathcal{F}_2 h^2(r) \sigma_2^L .
\]

Note that by rescaling

\[
t \to \gamma^{-1} t , \quad r \to \gamma r , \quad a_0 \to \gamma a_0 , \quad a_2 \to \gamma^{-1} a_2 , \quad \mathcal{F}_{1,2} \to \gamma^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{1,2} ,
\]
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we can set $a_2 = \chi^2 / 3$.

We recover our solution (2.24) if $a_{-1} = \mu^3 \chi^2 / 3$, $a_0 = \mu^2 \chi^2$, $a_1 = 1 + \mu \chi^2$, whereas the choice $a_{-1} = a_0 = 0$, $a_1 = 4a_2$, $\mathcal{F}_{1,2} = 0$ yields the rotating supersymmetric black holes with regular event horizon obtained recently in [17]. (The rotation parameter $a$ corresponds to their $\alpha$; the radial coordinate $\rho$ used in [17] is related to $r$ by $r = 12a_2^2 \chi^{-2} \sinh^2 \frac{\chi \rho}{2\sqrt{3}}$.) As before, the Gödel deformation for this black hole corresponds to non-vanishing values of $\mathcal{F}_{1,2}$, which gives

$$ds^2 = f^2(dt + \omega)^2 - f^{-1}\left(V^{-1}dr^2 + V(\sigma_3^L)^2 + r[(\sigma_1^L)^2 + (\sigma_2^L)^2]\right),$$

$$A = \sqrt{3} f \left[dt + \left(1 + \frac{12a^2}{\chi^2 r}\right) - \frac{\omega}{4a^2}\right] - \frac{(4a^2 - 1)^2}{4\chi^2 r} f \sigma_3^L,$$

with

$$\omega = \frac{3(4a^2 - 1)^2 + 6\chi^2 r(4a^2 - 1) + 2r^2 \chi^4}{2\sqrt{3} r \chi^3} \sigma_3^L + \left(1 + \frac{12a^2}{\chi^2 r}\right) - \frac{\omega}{4a^2} \left(-\mathcal{F}_1 \sigma_1^L + \mathcal{F}_2 \sigma_2^L\right),$$

$$V = \frac{\chi^2}{3} r^2 + 4a^2 r, \quad f^{-1} = 1 + \frac{4a^2 - 1}{\chi^2 r}.$$

Generically this solution contains CTCs. This follows from the fact that asymptotically for $r \to \infty$ it approaches the Gödel-type deformation of AdS$_5$ studied in [13]. One can show (by expanding $g_{\psi \psi}$ for $r \to \infty$) that e.g. $\partial_\psi$ can become timelike (at least for large $r$), provided

$$\mathcal{F}_1^2 + \mathcal{F}_2^2 > \frac{3}{\chi^2}.$$  

(3.30)

It would be nice to see whether the spacetime contains no CTCs at all if $\mathcal{F}_1^2 + \mathcal{F}_2^2$ lies below this bound (as is the case for $a^2 = 1/4$ [13]). We will not attempt to do this here. Note that $r = 0$ is a Killing horizon of the Killing vector $\xi = \partial_t$. It is straightforward to show that the surface gravity

$$\kappa^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^\nu \xi^\mu \nabla_\mu \xi_\nu|_{\text{Hor.}}$$

vanishes, as it must be for supersymmetric black holes. The isometry group $\mathbb{R} \times U(1)_L \times SU(2)_R$ of the spacetime with $\mathcal{F}_{1,2} = 0$ [17] is broken down to $\mathbb{R} \times SU(2)_R$.
by the Gödel deformation, i.e., by nonvanishing $F_1$ or $F_2$. The $SU(2)_R$ is generated by the right vector fields

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi^R_1 &= -\sin \psi \partial_\theta - \cot \theta \cos \psi \partial_\psi + \frac{\cos \psi}{\sin \theta} \partial_\phi, \\
\xi^R_2 &= \cos \psi \partial_\theta - \cot \theta \sin \psi \partial_\psi + \frac{\sin \psi}{\sin \theta} \partial_\phi, \\
\xi^R_3 &= \partial_\psi.
\end{align*}
$$

(3.31)

For $F_{1,2} = 0$, one has an additional left Killing vector $\partial_\phi$ corresponding to $U(1)_L$.

The general solution (3.29) might have "event horizons" at $r = r_H > 0$, if $V(r_H) = 0$. It is straightforward to show that in this case the metric on the horizon would not be Euclidean, or, in other words, CTCs would develop outside the horizon. Thus, strictly speaking, the zeroes of $V(r)$ are not really horizons, rather we expect them to show a repulson-like behaviour, as in the case of the overrotating BMPV black hole [16].

(Note that the surface $r = r_H, dt = 0$, which becomes Lorentzian, is tangent to what we would naively call a horizon. This means that there are timelike vectors tangent to the horizon, but a horizon is a null surface, and timelike vectors cannot be tangent to null surfaces, so $r = r_H$ cannot be a true event horizon†.) We leave a more detailed analysis of the general solution for the future.

Using our base manifold (3.1), constructing generalizations of the black holes of [17] to the case of flat ($k = 0$) or hyperbolic ($k = -1$) horizons should be straightforward. It would also be interesting to obtain the most general function $V(r)$ in the line bundle (3.1) that has $\Theta = 0$. Unfortunately this condition leads to a rather complicated fifth order differential equation for $V(r)$ that we were not able to solve in general. Another open question is whether the rotating black holes of [31] (which also have CTCs [32]) can be described by using the base (3.1), and if so, whether they still have $\Theta = 0$.

4 The Gödel-de Sitter universe

We close this paper by presenting a generalization of the Gödel-type universe of [1] to the case $\Lambda < 0$ (which, with our signature, corresponds to de Sitter). As it has been observed in [18,33], one can embed asymptotically flat supersymmetric black

†We thank C. A. R. Herdeiro for discussions on this point.
holes into a de Sitter space by introducing a specific time dependence, which is either a time exponential multiplying the radial coordinate or simply an appropriate linear function in time added to the harmonic function. This procedure, which breaks of course supersymmetry, can also be done for the case at hand yielding for the metric and gauge field (that solve the equations of motion),

\[
    ds^2 = f^2(dt + \omega)^2 - f^{-1}(dr^2 + \frac{r^2}{4}((\sigma_1^L)^2 + (\sigma_2^L)^2 + (\sigma_3^L)^2)),
\]

\[
    A = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} f[dt - \mathcal{F}_1 r^2 \sigma_1^L + \mathcal{F}_2 r^2 \sigma_2^L],
\]

\[
    \omega = -\mathcal{F}_1 r^2 \sigma_1^L + \mathcal{F}_2 r^2 \sigma_2^L,
\]

\[
    f^{-1} = \sqrt{-\frac{2\Lambda}{3}} t + \mathcal{H},
\]

where \(\mathcal{H}\) denotes an arbitrary harmonic function on the flat base manifold. This metric has a curvature singularity at \(f^{-1} = 0\), which gives an \(r\)-dependent lower bound for the time \(t\). This repulsion-type initial singularity is the starting point of the eternal expanding multi black hole space-time. There is also a time reversal, collapsing solution, which has a future singularity and can be obtained by changing the sign in front of \(t\) in the function \(f\). For \(\Lambda = 0\), it reduces to a solution found in [1] with the Gödel universe corresponding to \(\mathcal{H} = 1\). Unlike the AdS case, for \(t \to \infty\) the geometry does approach de Sitter space. The Gödel deformation (\(\sim \mathcal{F}_{1/2}\)) yields again regions with CTCs, which are now time dependent. In fact, on slices with \(dt = dr = 0\), CTCs occur whenever

\[
    4r^2(\mathcal{F}_1^2 + \mathcal{F}_2^2) > f^{-3},
\]

and since \(f\) is a time- and radial-dependent function, this relation defines a shell which moves through spacetime with increasing velocity \(\dot{r}\) towards larger values of \(r\). In fact, as \(f\) goes to zero for \(t \to \infty\), this means that on any slice given by \(dt = 0\) the CTCs disappear for sufficiently large \(t\). On the other hand, if we go back in time, any point of space enters the region with CTCs. Another question is whether for a given time any point is part of a CTC. This would be the case if one can travel into the region of CTCs, go back in time there and return to the starting point. As long as we are outside the region defined by (4.2), \(t\) is a good time coordinate. Let us consider radial velocities only. From the metric one finds as maximal radial velocity
\((\dot{r})_{\text{max}} = f^{3/2}\), which has to be compared with the velocity of the region of CTCs as given in \((4.2)\). For \(\mathcal{H} = 1 + \frac{\dot{r}}{f}\) we find, that whenever the relation

\[
(\dot{r})_0 = \frac{\sqrt{-6\Lambda}}{4\sqrt{(\mathcal{F}_1^2 + \mathcal{F}_2^2)f + 6\mu}} > f^{3/2}
\]

holds, a radial signal cannot reach the region of CTCs and therefore, regions satisfying this relation are free of CTCs.
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