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1. Introduction

Interest in dental erosion and its role in tooth wear increased considerably since the mid-1990s. Early studies on tooth wear in humans were, in the main, based on teeth from archeologically obtained skulls. In later studies, contemporary adult populations were examined, but in neither the early nor the later periods of study, erosion was rarely, if ever, mentioned as a possible etiological factor [1] (Figure 1).

The definition and diagnosis of dental erosion have not been agreed upon among researchers and clinicians, which can explain some of the confusion and perhaps the earlier lack of interest in the subject [2]. The diet of our ancestors was often tough and contained coarse particles, which required heavy chewing. The resulting wear facets were further influenced by the abrasive components of the food. Modern diets would appear to lack such abrasives but can contain acids, which can demineralize enamel and potentiate attrition and abrasion. Nevertheless, there are findings supporting the existence of dental erosion even in medieval populations [3, 4].

Although the terms attrition, erosion, and abrasion are the commonly accepted nomenclature used in dentistry to characterize tooth wear, the terms do not explain the wear process. Neither do they imply causation, instead describing clinical outcomes of a number of underlying events. In this regard, the science of tribology may more accurately characterize the process of tooth wear. There are several tribological mechanisms, although the mechanisms that apply in tooth wear may be explained in terms of two-body abrasion (typically attrition) or three-body abrasion interacting with an acidic or abrasive fluid/slurry (typically erosion and abrasion) [5].

The earliest form of tooth wear was found mainly on occlusal, incisal and proximal surfaces, whereas modern erosive tooth wear has additional characteristics that include...
Figure 1: Extensive tooth wear of maxillary teeth in a medieval man estimated to be 35 to 45 years old. The loss of the first right molar was most likely caused by wear penetrating into the pulp subsequently leading to an inflammatory process in the periapical jawbone [6].

the buccal and palatal/lingual surfaces. Tooth wear in archeological material was, from an anthropological point of view, considered pathologic only if the function of the tooth was lost [7]. This may be one reason why dental erosion may have been overlooked as a possible cause in studies of tooth wear in those populations even though its morphological features sometimes have similarities with what we see today (Figure 2).

A further change in emphasis regarding the subject occurred in the mid-1990s: studies on tooth wear shifted from that of adult wear to wear in children and adolescents, as well as from “general tooth wear,” that is, attrition and abrasion, to giving greater attention to the significance of etiological factors resulting in erosive tooth wear or dental erosion. Recent studies from a large number of countries all over the world have confirmed that the prevalence of erosive wear, especially among children and adolescents, is high (Table 1). Besides this, some longitudinal studies show that the occurrence of erosion is increasing and that erosive lesions that are already present progress rapidly [8–10]. Even if the prevalence rates vary substantially, it is evident that dental erosion is a common finding in populations from all over the world, especially among children and adolescents (Table 1). During the past few years, definitions of diagnosis and grading of the erosive lesions have been highlighted, and it is to be hoped that such moves towards greater standardization may lead to greater concordance between studies conducted and the methods used. As a result of these findings, dental erosion deserves serious consideration in clinical dentistry today. Despite the common finding of an increase of tooth wear, especially in the younger population during the last decade, the number of publications in PubMed dealing with, for example, dental caries, surpasses studies on dental erosion by a factor of over 20: over the past 10 years, obtaining 335 hits for dental erosion; 8750 for dental caries.

This paper is not a systematic review, which would have needed a more focused aim than is presently the case. It was also obvious from the literature review conducted that very few papers would have fulfilled the requirements of highest-ranking evidence, according to the hierarchy of evidence-based medicine, that is, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and/or systematic reviews of RCTs. Given that, many of the selected articles present valuable findings that add to the body of knowledge on dental erosion. It is, therefore, the purpose of this paper to give an overview of current knowledge of dental erosion, based on a scrutiny of the literature.

2. Etiology of Dental Erosion

The etiology of dental erosion is conventionally divided into “extrinsic” and “intrinsic” factors [34]. Any of the acidic products that we put into the mouth, that is, what we eat and drink, and also what has been termed occupational-related erosion, often caused by airborne acid that reaches the teeth, for example, in workers in certain industries, or people who are wine taster [35–40], are considered “extrinsic” factors. There has been a considerable increase in the intake of soft drinks in recent decades, and these often are high in acidic content [41]. It is clear that, in children and adolescents today, the dominant causative factor for erosion is soft drinks [25, 42–44] (Figures 3 and 4).

The “intrinsic” factors include various diseases and habits, which lead to an influx of acidic stomach content into the oral cavity and, so, influencing and/or affecting the teeth. In these cases, for example in patients suffering from eating disorders and gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), vomiting and regurgitation, there is an increased risk for erosion [45–49] (Figures 5 and 6). A comprehensive review of GERD appears in this Special Issue [50]. Rumination is a special form of gastric disease which is believed to affect mainly intellectually disabled patients, although its occurrence in the normal population may have been underestimated [51]. The condition involves GERD in combination with voluntary or
Table 1: Prevalence of dental erosion, according to studies from different countries. Prevalences, as listed, refer to erosion that reaches to the dentin or deeper.

| Country         | Age (yr) | No. of individuals | Prevalence (%) | Author(s) and publication yr |
|-----------------|----------|--------------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| Children        |          |                    |                |                              |
| United Kingdom  | 4-5      | 178                | 30             | Millward et al. 1994 [15]    |
| United Kingdom  | 5        | >1000              | 24             | Downer 1995 [16]             |
| United Kingdom  | 1.5–4.5  | 1658               | 8              | Moynihan and Holt 1996 [17]  |
| Saudi Arabia    | 5-6      | 354                | 34             | Al-Majed et al. 2002 [18]    |
| Ireland         | 5        | 202                | 21             | Harding et al. 2003 [19]     |
| India           | 5-6      | 100                | 30             | Deshpande and Hugar 2004 [20]|
| China           | 3-5      | 1949               | 1              | Luo et al. 2005 [21]         |
| Germany         | 2–7      | 463                | 13             | Wiegand et al. 2006 [22]     |
| Sweden          | 5-6      | 135                | 13             | Hasselkvist et al. 2010 [23] |
| Adolescents     |          |                    |                |                              |
| United Kingdom  | 14       | 1035               | 30             | Milosevic et al. 1994 [24]   |
| United Kingdom  | 15       | >1000              | 2              | Downer 1995 [16]             |
| Saudi Arabia    | 20       | 95                 | 16             | Johansson et al. 1996 [25]   |
| Cuba            | 12       | 1010               | 17             | Kunzel et al. 2000 [26]      |
| Saudi Arabia    | 12–14    | 862                | 26             | Al-Majed et al. 2002 [18]    |
| Iceland         | 15       | 278                | 6              | Arnadottir et al. 2003 [27]  |
| United Kingdom  | 14       | 1308               | 13             | Dugmore and Rock 2003 [9]    |
| United Kingdom  | 14       | 2351               | 53             | Bardsley et al. 2004 [28]    |
| Turkey          | 11       | 153                | 28             | Caglar et al. 2005 [29]      |
| Brazil          | 12       | 389                | 2              | Correr et al. 2009 [30]      |
| Netherlands     | 15       | 622                | 24             | El Aidi et al. 2010 [10]     |
| Iceland         | 12       | 757                | 1              | Arnadottir et al. 2010 [31]  |
| Iceland         | 15       | 750                | 6              | Arnadottir et al. 2010 [31]  |
| Sweden          | 13-14    | 227                | 12             | Hasselkvist et al. 2010 [23] |
| Sweden          | 18-19    | 247                | 22             | Hasselkvist et al. 2010 [23] |
| Adults          |          |                    |                |                              |
| Switzerland     | 26–30    | 197                | 11             | Lussi et al. 1991 [32]       |
| Switzerland     | 46–50    | 194                | 19             | Lussi et al. 1991[32]        |
| United Kingdom  | 22       | 1010               | 77             | Daly et al. 2011 [33]        |

Figure 3: (a) A 6-year-old boy with dental erosion associated with a high intake of soft drinks and juice. Note the “melted” appearance of the buccal surfaces on teeth nos. 51–61. (b) Palatally on the maxillary front teeth the pulp is visible through the remaining tooth substance. Published with permission from the Swedish Dental Journal [2].

involuntary regurgitation of swallowed solid food which is then rechewed and reswallowed; the erosive damage might well be severe (Figure 7).

A large number of diseases and syndromes are associated with dental erosion. While the background to this can be that both “extrinsic” and “intrinsic” factors are at play, the net effect may be that acid reaches the tooth surface while there is also present a deterioration in the quantity/quality of saliva, a reduction of oro-motor function, various medications, or mouth breathing. Examples of these, in addition to GERD
and eating disorders, are diabetes, high blood pressure, cerebral palsy, salivary gland agenesis, Sjögren's and Down syndromes, and drug abuse, such as alcohol and ecstasy, but not excluding the caffeine-dependence that cola drinks can induce [52–55].

One consequence of the modern lifestyle and the various lifestyle-related diseases of today is that the dentition is more frequently than earlier times, exposed to acidic challenges and the consequent increased risk for dental erosion [56].

This somewhat sudden change in lifestyle, resulting in more acidic challenges for the dentition than earlier, can be compared with the lifestyle change following the 2nd World War that caused a large increase in sugar consumption, which was associated with the subsequent increase in the incidence of dental caries [34]. Although knowledge about dental erosion has improved in recent years, there is an urgent need for further research in order to better and more fundamentally understand its occurrence.

3. Clinical Characteristics of Dental Erosion

Dental erosion is defined as "loss of dental hard tissue by a chemical process that does not involve the influence of bacteria" [57]. It occurs as a result of acidic attacks during simultaneous unsaturation of both hydroxyl- and fluorapatite in saliva, causing loss of dental hard tissue, layer by layer [58]. Early enamel erosion causes no clinical discol-oration or softening of the tooth surface and is, therefore, in
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THE CLINICAL SITUATION, DIFFICULT TO DETECT BOTH VISUALLY AND/OR
BY TACTILE EXAMINATION. IN ADDITION, ANY PATIENT SYMPTOMS,
in these early stages, are often absent or very limited. More
pronounced changes in macromorphology occur when the
erosive damage is more severe. The condition will then be
easier to recognize and more likely to present symptoms [2]
as well as affecting the oral health-related quality of life of
patients [33].

EARLIER IT WAS STATED THAT AN ERODED SURFACE ALWAYS GAVE
THE IMPRESSION OF BEING A MATT SURFACE [59]. IT WAS ALSO STATED
THAT DENTAL EROSION ONLY COULD BE DIAGNOSED ON TEETH THAT HAD
NO OPPOSING OCCLUSAL CONTACTS [60]. TODAY IT IS UNDERSTOOD
THAT THE SURFACE APPEARANCE OF AN EROSI VE LESION IS EITHER BLANK
OR MATT AND THAT EROSION CAN BE DIAGNOSED EVEN IF THE TOOTH
SURFACES HAVE OPPOSING OCCLUSAL CONTACTS. THE EROSI VE LESION
CAN BE UNEVEN AND PRODUCE SMALL CONCAVITIES. MOST OFTEN,
HOWEVER, THE SURFACE IS SLIGHTLY ROUNDED OR FLAT AND SOMETIMES
IT GIVES THE IMPRESSION OF HAVING "MELTED" [25] (FIGURE 3(B)).

IN TODAY'S POPULATIONS, DENTAL EROSION OCCURS ON ALL
TOOTH SURFACES BUT IS COMMON PALATALLY ON MAXILLARY ANTERIOR
TEETH AND ON OCCLUSAL SURFACES OF LOWER FIRST MOLARS [25,
61]. PROXIMAL EROSI VE LESIONS ARE DIFFICULT TO DIAGNOSE BUT
ARE PROBABLY RARE, WHEREAS A CERVICAL SHOULDER FORMATION
(FIGURES 4(A) AND 8(B)), AS WELL AS THE REVERSED V-SIGN INCISALLY ON MAXILLARY CENTRAL INCISORS ARE MORE COMMON
(Figure 8(a)). "CUPPING" IS A CONCAVITY IN THE ENAMEL, USUALLY
ON A CUSP TIP, WITH OR WITHOUT DENTINAL INVOLVEMENT, IS A
COMMON SIGN OF DENTAL EROSION, AND IN POSTERIOR TEETH THEY
ARE USUALLY LOCATED ON FIRST MOLARS ESPECIALLY IN THE LOWER
JAW (FIGURE 9). CUPPINGS ARE STRONGLY CORRELATED WITH DENTAL
EROSION AND SHOULD BE CAREFULLY LOOKED FOR AS IT HAS BEEN
STATED THAT THEY CAN BE REGARDED AS AN INDICATOR OF THE ONSET
OF EROSION [62]. IN ADVANCED CASES OF EROSION, THE PULP CAN
BE VISIBLE THROUGH THE REMAINING TOOTH SUBSTANCE. THIS IS
ESPECIALLY THE CASE IN THE MAXILLARY CENTRAL INCISORS IN THE
PRIMARY DENTITION BUT CAN ALSO BE SEEN IN THE PERMANENT
DENTITION (FIGURES 3 AND 10).

ON THE BASIS OF ARCHEOLOGICAL DATA, POPULATIONS FROM
THE PAST EXPERIENCED ENDODONTIC COMPLICATIONS THAT WERE
MOSTLY RELATED TO TOOTH WEAR, WHEREAS TODAY THEY ARE MOST
OFTEN RELATED TO DENTAL CARIES [63]. WHILE PROGRESSION OF THE
WEAR OBSERVED IN SKELETAL MATERIALS WAS CONSIDERED AS "LINEAR,
INDEED EVEN ALLOWING AGE DETERMINATION [64], THE WEAR IN
CONTEMPORARY POPULATIONS MAY PROGRESS AS A COMBINATION
OF "LINEAR" DETERIORATION, BUT ALSO SUPERIMPOSED "BURSTS,"
POSSIBLY COINCIDING WITH THE PRESENCE OF CERTAIN LIFESTYLE
FACTORS OR LIFETIME EVENTS [65]. S INCE EPISODIC WEAR DOES
NOT PRECLUDE THE OCCURRENCE OF A MORE SLOWLY PROGRESSING
"BACKGROUND" WEAR OF THE OCCLUSAL SURFACES, IT ADDS A FURTHER
DIMENSION TO THE PHENOMENON OF WEAR [1], AND, MOST
IMPORTANTLY, TO ITS MANAGEMENT. IT WILL BE EVIDENT THAT THE
LOSS OF TOOTH SUBSTANCE BROUGHT ABOUT BY DENTAL EROSION MAY
AT SOME STAGE PRESENT DISSATISFACTION FOR PATIENTS; IT IS TO BE
HOPED THAT AT AN EARLY ENOUGH STAGE THE ATTENDING DENTIST
WILL HAVE IDENTIFIED THE MATTER, INFORMED THE PATIENT AND
IMPLEMENTED AN INITIAL PREVENTIVE STRATEGY. HOWEVER, ACTUAL
COMPLAINTS FROM THE PATIENT ARE MORE LIKELY IN THE LATER
STAGES, RESULTING IN AESTHETIC, ORTHODONTIC, AND FUNCTIONAL
COMPLICATIONS AND/OR BE ASSOCIATED WITH SENSITIVITY AND
PAIN [66], MOST OF WHICH WILL REQUIRE THE CONSIDERATION OF
RESTORATIVE AND OTHER INTERVENTIONS.

4. OTHER TYPES OF TOOTH WEAR AND THEIR RELATION
to DENTAL EROSION

TOOTH WEAR HAS A MULTIFACTORIAL ETIOLOGY AND IS USUALLY A RESULT
OF MORE THAN A SINGLE MECHANISM [67]. IN ADDITION TO EROSION,
OTHER TYPES OF WEAR CAN OCCUR IN PARALLEL. THIS INCLUDES, FOR
EXAMPLE, ATTENTION (TOOTH WEAR CAUSED BY CONTACT BETWEEN
OCCLUSAL/INCISAL SURFACES) AND ABRASION (TOOTH WEAR CAUSED
BY A FOREIGN BODY SUCH AS A TOOTHBRUSH, OR BITING ON A HAIRPIN OR
PENCIL).

IT IS WELL RECOGNIZED THAT ENAMEL RECENTLY SOFTENED BY ACID
WILL/MAY/CAN WEAR EASIER BY CONCURRENT MECHANICAL IMPACT,
COMPARED TO ENAMEL THAT WAS NOT SO SOFTENED [68]. TODAY,
THERE IS EVIDENCE THAT A KEY ELEMENT IN A SEVERELY WORN
DENTITION IS EROSION, AND THAT ATTENTION AND ABRASION ARE OF
LESSER IMPORTANCE [1, 69–71].

THERE IS NO STRONG SUPPORT FOR THE (PREVIOUSLY) COMMON
BELIEF THAT BRUXISM IS THE MAIN CAUSE OF TOOTH WEAR. IT
HAS BEEN SHOWN THAT IN INDIVIDUALS WITH TOOTH WEAR AND
SIMULTANEOUS BRUXISM, EROSION, AND NOT ATTENTION (BRUXISM)
IS THE DOMINANT ETIOLOGICAL FACTOR RELATED TO THE LOSS OF TOOTH
SUBSTANCE [72]. WHETHER BRUXISM IS A CAUSATIVE FACTOR IN

(a)

(b)

Figure 8: A 13-year-old girl who has a high intake of soft drinks.
(a) Buccal erosion and crown shortening of the maxillary front
teeth. Note the typical “inverted V-sign” often seen in cases of soft-
drink-induced dental erosion. Mandibular incisors are relatively
intact. (b) Severe erosive damage, with shoulder formation on
the palatal surfaces of maxillary anterior teeth. Published with
permission from the Journal of the Swedish Dental Association 
(Tandläkartidningen) [12].
Figure 9: Examples of “cupping” of different severities in 3 individuals. (a) Cuppings of lesser extent on 36 mesiobuccal cusp in a 20-year-old man who has high intake of cola drinks. (b) Cuppings on 36 in a 22-year-old man with congenital agenesis of salivary glands. (c) Fused cuppings on teeth nos. 84 and 85 in a 5-year-old boy. Published with permission from the Journal of the Swedish Dental Association (Tandläkartidningen) [12].

Figure 10: Erosion in the primary dentition in a 6-year-old girl who has high intake of juice, fruit drink, cola, and fruit. (a) Shortening of the crown height on teeth nos. 51–61 as a consequence of dental erosion. (b) Note that the pulp is visible through the remaining tooth substance of teeth nos. 51–61 palatally. Published with permission from the Swedish Dental Journal [2].

Tooth wear is still not fully understood, but it is fair to state that it’s role has probably been overestimated [73]. If strict diagnostic assessment of bruxism is carried out (e.g., polysomnography), no clear conclusions can be drawn about the role of either bruxism in tooth wear or in relation to failing restorations [73, 74]. In addition, it is feasible, even likely, that the tongue can influence the loss of tooth surface by abrasive action on teeth, following their “softening” by an acid attack. Whether the tongue might also act as a reservoir for acid after an acidic challenge has been discussed. This may not be the case after intake of an acidic drink since the pH on the tongue surface recovers very quickly after drinking [66].

4.1. Noncarious Cervical Lesions. Noncarious cervical lesions (NCCLs) are by tradition often considered equivalent to toothbrush abrasion. However, research has shown that the cause of these injuries cannot be blamed on intense or improper brushing techniques alone, as they may occur subgingivally, in individuals who seldom brush their teeth, in archeologically recovered material (clearly before the toothbrush era), and even in animals [25, 75–80].

Definitions of the various forms of NCCL are often imprecise which may be one explanation for the wide range of prevalences reported. Three types can be easily observed and/or be discernible, namely, a shallow cervical lesion, a grooved cervical lesion, and a wedge-shaped cervical lesion [56]. The most common NCCL is the shallow cervical erosion. NCCLs appear to develop with age from a shallow lesion into the other types mentioned, with wedge-shaped lesions being most prevalent in older adults. NCCLs are common on the facial and buccal surfaces of the maxillary anterior and premolar teeth and the mandibular premolar teeth [56].

Significant correlations have been found between NCCLs and the presence of occlusal erosive lesions as well as occlusal attrition [2, 81]. Wedge-shaped NCCLs have been called abfractions, assumed to be caused by heavy stress on the teeth (namely, due to heavy chewing or bruxism), or in combination with an acidic challenge which will result in strain microfractures along the buccal cemento-enamel junction, making the area more prone to substance loss...
5. Diagnosis and Grading of Dental Erosion in the Clinic

For the purposes of appropriate clinical decision making, it is necessary to quantify the severity of erosion at a certain point of time, as well as the progression of erosion during a specific time interval. Different techniques are available, ranging from sophisticated optical or laser scanning methods to relatively simple ordinal scales [88]. The latter scales are mostly designed for epidemiological studies, but can be appropriately adapted for clinical use. Examples of such scales are shown in Tables 2 [25] and 3 [1]. More recently, digital scanning systems, now on the market, may offer great opportunities as scanning can be performed intraorally, on study casts and impressions. Software is now available which allows superimposition of scanned images from different occasions in order to assess the amount and rate of tooth substance loss.

Different scales have been used in different systems for clinical examination and diagnosis of dental erosion. The systems have different approaches to score surface loss in enamel and dentin. There is no consensus whether dentin exposure is an adequate measure of the severity of erosive damage, given that the existence of dentinal exposure is in itself very difficult to diagnose clinically [89].

Approaches to diagnosis and recording are/have been based upon cervical, bucco-palatal, or inciso-occlusal surfaces, and full mouth/partial mouth or combinations thereof. In addition, selection criteria, sampling technique, and age composition have varied. Data obtained from different studies are, therefore, often difficult to compare, which leaves the epidemiological basis for the occurrence of dental erosion uncertain [90]. Both full mouth recording, involving grading of all teeth, and partial recording, involving only specific marker teeth, may be used. Generally, full mouth recording is more time consuming for both dentist and patient and is more feasible for research than for routine clinical use. The marker teeth to be used for clinical recording should be ones that are commonly affected by erosion as well as having easily detectable clinical features (when erosion is present). In this regard, a simplified erosion partial recording system (SEPRS) has been developed, namely, using maxillary central incisors palatally and cuppings on lower first molars in the permanent dentition (total 4 surfaces) and maxillary central incisors palatally and cuppings on all four molars in the primary dentition (total 6 surfaces) (scoring by using Tables 2 and 3). By using this system, specificity and sensitivity close to 100% were obtained in relation to scoring of all maxillary canines/incisors and first permanent/all primary molars [23].

6. Prevalence of Dental Erosion

Cross-sectional population studies of dental erosion have reported a varying prevalence (Table 1). The interpretation of early studies was that the presence of erosion was
increasing among children and the youth, but this was with some reservation as comparative/longitudinal studies did not exist. That the most severe damage found was on the palatal surfaces of maxillary anterior teeth caused some confusion since the focus had previously been on occlusal and incisal wear. Today, it has been confirmed in many countries, that dental erosion, particularly palatal damage of the upper front teeth, are common among children and young people.

Recent longitudinal studies in children and adolescents are of special value given the limitations of noncomparability of earlier studies. A longitudinal study from Germany showed an increase in erosive damage in children between 1977–87 and 1990–99. The number of lesions nearly doubled during this period of time; erosion into dentin on at least one primary tooth increased from 18 to 32%, and on the first mandibular molars from 4 to 9% [8]. Similar findings have been reported in British adolescents [91]. In the UK, 27% of the 12-year-olds had developed new or more advanced erosive damage at age 14. Lesions into the dentin were noted in 5% of 12-year-old children, which by the age of 14 had increased to 13%. The corresponding figures for erosion confined to the enamel were 56 and 64% [9]. In a recent study from the Netherlands that followed children from the age of 12 to the age of 15, the incidence of new dental erosion decreased during this 3-year period while the prevalence of deep enamel/dentin erosion increased from 2% to 24% in children who already had erosion at the age of 12 years [10].

6.1. Relationship between Cuppings, NCCLs, and Dental Erosion. The presence of cuppings on first molar teeth is widely accepted as a clinical sign of erosion. In a sample of Saudi young men, cuppings on the first molars were found in 49% of individuals [25]. In high and low erosion groups from the same sample [25] the prevalence of cuppings was 64% and 41%, respectively [42]. German children with erosion showed 87% cuppings at age 11 and 94 percent at the age of 16 [8]. Australian studies have shown that cuppings are both more frequent and larger in size in erosion patients younger than 27 years compared to older erosion patients. This has been interpreted as a result of a lifestyle change that led to an increased consumption of acidic drinks, a choice which was seen as far more pervasive among youngsters [62]. Prevalences of cuppings in 5–6-year-old, 13–14-year-old and 18–19-year-old Swedes were 72%, 46%, and 66%, respectively, and had a significant correlation with the presence of erosion on anterior maxillary teeth [23].

NCCLs were noted in 25% in an unselected material of young men, while in patients groups with high and low erosion the prevalence was 58% and 10%, respectively [42]. Among Swedish children at the age of 5–6 years, NCCLs were found in 44% of the individuals, among 13–14 years, in 87%, and among 18–19 years, in 98% [23]. In the latter study, a significant correlation between mean erosion scores on maxillary anterior permanent teeth and number of buccal NCCLs was found for the groups of 13–14 years and 18–19 years but not for primary teeth (group 5–6 years). Others have made similar findings [81]. A quarter of the subjects in one study showed wedge-shaped lesions, abfractions, and 5% of all teeth exhibited such lesions [86] and if other more indistinct types of NCCLs were included, a prevalence of 62% was reported of the subjects investigated [87].

7. The Individual’s Defense against Erosion

Studies of both primary and permanent teeth have shown that tooth surface hardness plays a role in the development of erosive damage. Although primary teeth are softer than permanent teeth, the erosive process progresses at the same rate on both types. Children have larger variations and slower salivary sugar clearances and also lower salivary flow rates than adults. In addition, primary teeth are “smaller” than permanent teeth. Considering hard tissue morphology, quality of saliva, and other salivary conditions, the erosion of deciduous teeth is therefore likely to manifest more quickly than it does on permanent teeth [92–96].

Saliva is one of the most important defense mechanisms for dental erosion. Oral clearance of an acidic product varies individually with the salivary secretion rate but also by the individual’s ability to swallow. It has been demonstrated that a dry-mouth individual runs a higher risk of erosion than an individual with normal salivary secretion rate [97], and that children with erosion, despite having low caries activity, have saliva with properties similar to saliva of children with high caries activity [98]. It has also been suggested that salivary buffering capacity is of greater importance in cases of erosion compared to that of dental caries [99]. In this respect, it should be noted that children normally have a lower salivary secretion rate than adults [100] and also a lower capacity of swallowing.

The pellicle that saliva forms on teeth varies in thickness not only between individuals but also between different locations in the mouth. Studies have shown that the salivary pellicle, depending on its thickness, offers some protection for acid erosion on enamel [101, 102]. However, on a newly eroded surface a rapid buildup of new pellicle will adapt strongly to the eroded surface, thus hindering remineralization. The capacity of a pellicle to protect against erosion was shown to be limited in the face of a weak acidic challenge on enamel, and nonexistent for such a challenge on dentin [103]. It will be clear that the various factors influencing pellicle and plaque formation can be decisive for where erosive damage will occur, and for its severity. It has been suggested that different protein interactions may be of importance in this regard [104], one such factor being salivary concentration of urea [66, 105].

The importance of method of consumption has been investigated regarding drinking. The method of drinking, namely, how an acidic beverage is drunk, is of great importance for the outcome of the erosive attack. A “retaining” drinking technique, that is, keeping the drink in the mouth before it is swallowed increases the risk of erosion as the contact time between the tooth and drink is extended. It has been shown by clinical research that patients with high erosion more frequently use a “retaining” drinking technique than patients with a low degree of dental erosion. Method of drinking is assumed to depend on many different factors, such as perceived taste, quantity of carbonic acid and the
ability to swallow [66, 106] and maybe even to behavioral aspects.

Oral hygiene habits are correlated with erosion, and especially so if they are carried out in conjunction with an ongoing acidic attack on the surfaces of the teeth [107]. It has been suggested that the acid-induced, softened tooth surface needs about an hour in the presence of saliva to remineralize, and so be better able to resist abrasion from toothbrushing [108]. It has been reported that patients with erosion often have good gingival conditions and a small amount of plaque [66]; it is also known that a more methodical, rigorous oral hygiene technique is associated with erosion to a greater extent than does a more sporadic and less systematic method [42]. That being so, this is not meant to imply that the proven benefits of good oral hygiene practice should be compromised “for the purpose of avoiding erosive wear.”

8. Lifestyle and Behavioral Factors and Dental Erosion

It is well known that both oral health and general health are affected by lifestyle and behavioral factors [109–111]. Lifestyle change over time and often reflects societal factors. These factors commonly include food choices and drinking habits, level of physical activity, stress-related disorders, and/or abuse of substances, amongst others.

A significant change in today’s lifestyle, as mentioned earlier, is the sharply increasing consumption of acidic beverages mainly in groups of children and young people [41, 112, 113]. Another example is that people choose a new “healthy lifestyle,” the net, unplanned outcome being that they have a diet with an increased content of acidic products. Examples of this are vegetarians and those who diet or fast in order to lose weight [114–116]. The desire to keep fit can be tied to the need to drink plentifully when training in the gym, on the track, or at home; the risk here is of taking a sour drink, and often under conditions of a deteriorated salivary condition [117, 118].

A more “unhealthy” lifestyle can similarly have negative implications for the risk of erosion. Stressed, perhaps over-worked, take a quick lunch “on-the-run,” control the heartburn that they likely to have with medication that may relieve a gastrointestinal problem, but can also lead to reduced salivary secretion [119]. Similarly, drug abusers [120–122], those, such as the young IT freak who stays awake through the night with the help of a caffeine-containing cola drink, and others living relatively “unhealthy” lives, are at risk for erosion. Prevalence of dental erosion appears not to follow a clear socioeconomic pattern [16, 123, 124] or to demonstrate direct sex differences [16, 24, 125, 126], although it does vary between different age groups. In China, 3–5 year-old children generally show a low prevalence of erosive tooth surface loss. The children with erosion had parents with higher education who also, to a greater extent than others, embraced the Western way of life and let their children have frequent intakes of fruit drink in the baby bottle just before bedtime [21].

The complex interactions among social, behavioral, and circumstantial factors that contribute to the development of dental erosion are very important considerations in furthering our understanding of, and managing, the condition. Although this is a complicated task, the growing challenge the condition poses requires that every effort be made in this research direction.

9. Clinical Aspects

Attempts have been made to develop grading systems of the severity of wear that would have applicability in the clinical setting. A recent development is a screening and monitoring system (BEWE) that is based on treatment need categories [127]. The proponents regard the system as an important tool that has the possibility to become an integral part of the regular oral and dental clinical examination that patients undergo at routine recalls; the benefits, they imply, outweigh the oversimplification of a complex and varied condition. Thus, there has been strong criticism leveled against the BEWE system due to several shortcomings: for example, not considering pain, sensitivity or poor aesthetics, which are crucial factors in the clinical decision making determining the need for intervention, or not [128]. The assessment of the need for treatment for erosive damage must always be made on an individual basis taken into account a multitude of factors. This means that the same degree of damage can be in need of treatment in one patient but not in another. Naturally, such complex decisions cannot be made from a scoring system only. A patient diagnosed with erosion should be followed up with individualized recall periods, and an assessment of the possible progression from the different investigations should be made. If necessary, a medical consultation and/or complementary medical examination should be carried out.

9.1. Preventive Strategies as the First Line in Management

Prevention will frequently involve a need for lifestyle changes, not only for the individual but also for the whole family. To effectively eliminate or reduce the acidic effect on teeth is clearly of far greater value than, for example, recommending treatment with different fluoride products. These have a more limited clinical effect in dental erosion [129–136], even if their positive effect in the prevention of dental caries is well established. The use of neutralizing products such as antacid drugs has been shown to increase intraoral pH after an acidic challenge [137, 138], while rinsing with bicarbonate decreased tooth surface loss after artificially induced erosion, it did not alter surface microhardness [139]. A large variety of dental care products for prevention of dental erosion is on the market but there is no formula or product available today that provides adequate protection against erosion [140]. A product that may be promising, however, may be one containing casein phosphopeptide-amorphous calcium phosphate (CPP-ACP) [141].

The erosive potential of food and drinks is a measure of its capacity to demineralize tooth substance. In general, erosive tooth wear on enamel takes place if the pH is below 5.5. However, studies have shown that modification of this critical pH is possible by addition of, for example, calcium or
9.2. Restorative Treatment. It would not be an overstatement to say that all clinical decision-making related to interventional procedures come down to a careful weighing of the benefits and the risks of the treatment options being considered. The restoration of teeth with tooth surface loss is no exception, and it is certainly not necessary to restore all cases of tooth surface loss. Furthermore, there are no clear guidelines for appropriate restorative treatment of erosive damage, and there is a striking lack of evidence regarding the long-term outcomes of any particular treatment methods and material. All this calls for caution in clinical decision-making [73]. In the young dentition, composite restorations constitute the mainstay of restorative interventions, while expensive conventional fixed and removable prosthodontics was, and still remains, at the center of rehabilitation of the extensively worn adult dentition—when treatment is indicated. Such treatment is also complex and generally highly invasive, adding to the dilemma of having to further remove tooth substance for retentive needs when faced with already an erosively-reduced tooth. To reemphasize the previous section, prevention is the golden rule for success.
Figure 12: A 19-year-old man with extensive tooth wear affecting maxillary anterior teeth caused by excessive soft drink intake, drunk by the “retaining” drinking technique (a–c). Note the pronounced wear on palatal and buccal surfaces with shoulder formations (b). Patient is provided with palatal acrylic onlays ad modum Dahl (cemented with resin cement) producing posterior disclusion (d, e). After 4 months the posterior occlusal relationship has normalized (f) and after preparation there is enough space for the restorations (g). Full ceramic Empress crowns cemented on teeth nos. 14–24 (h–j) [13, 14].
Lucrily, in many cases of wear and especially in the young patient, the focus of restoration will be concentrated to the anterior segment of maxillary teeth, usually for aesthetic reasons, but not exclusively so. The problem of restoring worn anterior teeth when little available interocclusal space exists is apparent. In this regard, a less radical alternative to complete occlusal reconstruction, based on the principles of combined forced intrusion of anterior teeth and suprastructure of posterior teeth was first described by Dahl et al. [145]. The method has consistently been shown to be reliable in clinical studies [146–149]. Most children and adolescents deemed to require restorative treatment can be treated with a Dahl approach, or modifications thereof. Several such modifications have been described following the original report, including placement of single- or multiple-bonded restorations at increased vertical dimension of occlusion (VDO) in anticipation of rapid reestablishment of full intercuspal being attained by the nonoccluding teeth [148]. Thus it can be seen that, besides the traditional “subtractive” approach of conventional rehabilitative methods, a shift towards greater conservatism through an “additive” approach is underway in the form of direct and indirect resin composite restorations [150–152], as well as other materials. The result can be both esthetically and functionally very satisfactory (Figure 11). In older patients the same approach may well be used. In one study, a shorter life-span with both direct and indirect resin composite was reported in patients with erosion compared to the control group [153]. In other clinical reports, promising results were shown [154, 155].

With modern ceramics, using an adhesive technique, similarly good results can be achieved (Figure 12). It seems possible that the all-too-frequent failures seen after traditional reconstructive efforts may be more controllable through a staged, reversible reconstructive approach that relies to a large extent on adhesive technology, for example, bonded composite restorations [152, 156]. Given the possibilities that such innovative approaches offer, it must be acknowledged that clinicians have provided, and continue to provide, rehabilitative strategies for managing their patients’ worn dentitions that range traditionally from extensive prosthetodontics to an increasing reliance on adhesive techniques. Since all restorations have a finite lifespan, it is necessary for the clinician to know that early diagnosis and preventive strategies should always be used in patients at risk so as to avoid the development of severe wear and the need for complicated, extensive rehabilitation.

10. Conclusions

Although it may be difficult to identify a single cause of tooth wear that may be seen clinically, dental erosion is increasingly and more consistently recognized as an essential feature of the wear seen.

The interest in dental erosion has been steadily increasing since the mid-1990s. Dental erosion has a multifactorial background, with individual and lifestyle factors having great significance. Dental erosion is commonly seen in children and young people. The location of erosive lesions is typically on the palatal surfaces of maxillary anterior teeth and the occlusal surfaces of mandibular first molars. Erosion appears also to be a significant factor in the development of noncarious cervical lesions.

It is important for the oral healthcare team to recognize the early signs and symptoms of dental erosion and to understand its pathogenesis. This is key to understanding what the focus of the management approach needs to be.

Preventive strategies are the essential first line in management, and will include lifestyle changes. To promote healthier behaviours in adolescents, their choices of lifestyle will often need further investigation and collaboration between different types of healthcare workers.

When restoration of erosive damage is indicated, it should preferably, and if clinically and technically possible, be based on principles of reversibility. Thus the technique used should be “additive” rather than “subtractive.”

Notwithstanding the greater knowledge base that exists compared to about 15 years ago, there is still a need for further research and a better understanding of dental erosion, be it of the process itself and its causation, or the most appropriate ways to deal with its consequences.

References

[1] A. Johansson, “A cross-cultural study of occlusal tooth wear,” *Swedish Dental Journal*, vol. 86, pp. 1–59, 1992.
[2] A. K. Johansson, “On dental erosion and associated factors,” *Swedish Dental Journal*, no. 156, pp. 1–77, 2002.
[3] S. Eliasson and S. Richter, “Tooth wear in medieval icelanders,” The XXth. *Nordic Medical Congress*, Program Abstracts, pp. 49, Abstract 32, 2005.
[4] N. D. Robb, E. Cruwys, and B. G. Smith, “Regurgitation erosion as a possible cause of tooth wear in ancient British populations,” *Archives of Oral Biology*, vol. 36, no. 8, pp. 595–602, 1991.
[5] L. H. Mair, “Wear in dentistry—current terminology,” *Journal of Dentistry*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 140–144, 1992.
[6] M. M. R. Ford, Eds., *St. Per in Skara, Excavations of a Medieval Graveyard in the Swedish Town of Skara*, Skara, Sweden, 2010.
[7] J. A. Kaidonis, “Tooth wear: the view of the anthropologist,” *Clinical Oral Investigations*, vol. 12, supplement 1, p. 69, 2008.
[8] S. Ganss, J. Klimek, and K. Giese, “Dental erosion in children and adolescents—a cross-sectional and longitudinal investigation using study models,” *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 264–271, 2001.
[9] C. R. Dugmore and W. P. Rock, “The progression of tooth erosion in a cohort of adolescents of mixed ethnicity,” *International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 295–303, 2003.
[10] H. El Aidi, E. M. Bronkhorst, M. C. Huysmans, and G. J. Train, “Dynamics of tooth erosion in adolescents: a 3-year longitudinal study,” *Journal of Dentistry*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 131–137, 2010.
[11] A. K. Johansson and G. E. Carlsson, Eds., *Dental Erosion–Bakgrund och Kliniska Aspekter*, Förlagshuset Gothia, Stockholm, Sweden, 2006.
[12] A. K. Johansson, “Dental erosion. Modernt tandslitage och ny folksjukdom,” The *Journal of the Swedish Dental
Association (Tandläkarutningen), vol. 97, no. 4, pp. 56–61, 2005.

[13] F. Blomqvist, Postgraduate Dental Education Center, Örebro, Sweden, personal communication.

[14] A. Johansson and G. E. Carlsson, "The role of toothwear in occlusion," in Toothwear: The ABC of the Worn Dentition, Farid Khan and W. G. Young, Eds., pp. 168–181, Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, UK, 2011.

[15] A. Millward, L. Shaw, and A. Smith, "Dental erosion in four-year-old children from differing socioeconomic backgrounds," ASDC Journal of Dentistry for Children, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 263–266, 1994.

[16] M. C. Downer, "The 1993 national survey of children's dental health," British Dental Journal, vol. 178, no. 11, pp. 407–412, 1995.

[17] P. J. Moynihan and R. D. Holt, "The national diet and nutrition survey of 1.5 to 4.5 year old children: summary of the findings of the dental survey," British Dental Journal, vol. 181, no. 9, pp. 328–332, 1996.

[18] I. Al-Majed, A. Maguire, and J. J. Murray, "Risk factors for dental erosion in 5-6 year old and 12—14 year old boys in Saudi Arabia," Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 38–46, 2002.

[19] M. A. Harding, H. Whelton, D. M. O'Mullane, and M. Cronin, "Dental erosion in 5-year-old Irish school children and associated factors: a pilot study," Community Dental Health, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 165–170, 2003.

[20] S. D. Deshpande and S. M. Hugar, "Dental erosion in children : an increasing clinical problem," Journal of the Indian Society of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 118–127, 2004.

[21] Y. Luo, X. J. Zeng, M. Q. Du, and R. Bedi, "The prevalence of dental erosion in preschool children in China," Journal of Dentistry, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 115–121, 2005.

[22] A. Wiegand, J. Muller, C. Werner, and T. Attin, "Prevalence of erosive tooth wear and associated risk factors in 2—7-year-old German kindergarten children," Oral Diseases, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 117–124, 2006.

[23] A. Hasselkvist, A. Johansson, and A. K. Johansson, "Dental erosion and soft drink consumption in Swedish children and adolescents and the development of a simplified erosion partial recording system," Swedish Dental Journal, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 187–195, 2010.

[24] A. Milosevic, P. J. Young, and M. A. Lennon, "The prevalence of tooth wear in 14-year-old school children in Liverpool," Community Dental Health, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 83–86, 1994.

[25] A. K. Johansson, A. Johansson, D. Birkhed, R. Omar, S. Baghdadi, and G. E. Carlsson, "Dental erosion, soft-drink intake, and oral health in young Saudi men, and the development of a system for assessing erosive anterior tooth wear," Acta Odontologica Scandinavica, vol. 54, no. 6, pp. 369–376, 1996.

[26] W. Kunzel, M. Santa Cruz, and T. Fischer, "Dental erosion in Cuban children associated with excessive consumption of oranges," European Journal of Oral Sciences, vol. 108, no. 2, pp. 104–109, 2000.

[27] I. B. Arnadottir, S. R. Sæmundsson, and W. P. Holbrook, "Dental erosion in Icelandic teenagers in relation to dietary and lifestyle factors," Acta Odontologica Scandinavica, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 25–28, 2003.

[28] P. R. Bardsey, S. Taylor, and A. Milosevic, "Epidemiological studies of tooth wear and dental erosion in 14-year-old children in North West England. Part 1: the relationship with water fluoridation and social deprivation," British Dental Journal, vol. 197, no. 7, pp. 413–416, 2004.

[29] E. Caglar, B. Kargul, I. Tanboga, and A. Lussi, "Dental erosion among children in an Istanbul public school," Journal of Dentistry for Children, vol. 72, no. 1, pp. 5–9, 2005.

[30] G. M. Correr, R. C. B. Alonso, M. A. Correa, E. A. Campos, F. Baratto-Filho, and R. M. Puppin-Rontani, "Influence of diet and salivary characteristics on the prevalence of dental erosion among 12-year-old schoolchildren," Journal of Dentistry for Children, vol. 76, no. 3, pp. 181–187, 2009.

[31] I. B. Arnadottir, W. P. Holbrook, H. Eggertsson et al., "Prevalence of dental erosion in children: a national survey," Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology, vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 521–526, 2010.

[32] A. Lussi, M. Schaffner, P. Hotz, and P. Suter, "Dental erosion in a population of Swiss adults," Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 286–290, 1991.

[33] B. Daly, J. T. Newton, J. Fares et al., "Dental tooth surface loss and quality of life in university students," Primary Dental Care, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 31–35, 2011.

[34] J. M. ten Cate and T. Imfeld, "Dental erosion, summary," European Journal of Oral Sciences, vol. 104, no. 2, part 2, pp. 241–244, 1996.

[35] A. K. Johansson, A. Johansson, V. Stan, and C. G. Ohlson, "Silicone sealers, acetic acid vapours and dental erosion: a work-related risk?" Swedish Dental Journal, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 61–69, 2005.

[36] W. M. Amin, S. A. Al-Omoush, and F. N. Hattab, "Oral health status of workers exposed to acid fumes in phosphate and battery industries in Jordan," International Dental Journal, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 169–174, 2001.

[37] M. L. Tuominen, R. J. Tuominen, F. Fubusa, and N. Mgalula, "Tooth surface loss and exposure to organic and inorganic acid fumes in workplace air," Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 217–220, 1991.

[38] A. Mulic, A. B. Tveit, L. H. Hove, and A. B. Skare, "Dental erosive wear among Norwegian wine tasters," Acta Odontologica Scandinavica, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 21–26, 2010.

[39] H. D. Kim, Y. C. Hong, D. H. Koh, and D. I. Paik, "Occupational exposure to acidic chemicals and occupational dental erosion," Journal of Public Health Dentistry, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 205–208, 2006.

[40] A. Wiegand and T. Attin, "Occupational dental erosion from exposure to acids—a review," Occupational Medicine, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 169–176, 2007.

[41] M. F. Jacobson, "Liquid candy: how soft drinks are harming Americans’ health," 2005, http://www.cspinet.org/new/pdf/liquid_candy_final_w_new_supplement.pdf.

[42] A. K. Johansson, A. Johansson, D. Birkhed et al., "Dental erosion associated with soft-drink consumption in young Saudi men," Acta Odontologica Scandinavica, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 390–397, 1997.

[43] T. Jendsdottir, I. B. Arnadottir, I. Thorsdottir et al., "Relationship between dental erosion, soft drink consumption, and gastroesophageal reflux among Icelanders," Clinical Oral Investigations, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 91–96, 2004.

[44] C. Murakami, L. B. Oliveira, A. Sheilam, M. S. Nahás Pires Corrêa, A. E. Haddad, and M. Bönecker, "Risk indicators for erosive tooth wear in Brazilian preschool children," Caries Research, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 121–129, 2011.

[45] R. Öhrn, K. Enzell, and B. Angmar-Månsson, "Oral status of 81 subjects with eating disorders," European Journal of Oral Sciences, vol. 107, no. 3, pp. 157–163, 1999.
pathogenesis of noncarious cervical lesions,” *Quintessence International*, vol. 31, no. 7, pp. 475–482, 2000.

[81] E. Khan, W. G. Young, S. Shahabi, and T. J. Daley, “Dental cervical lesions associated with occlusal erosion and attrition,” *Australian Dental Journal*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 176–186, 1999.

[82] J. Bevenius, P. L’Estrange, S. Karlsson, and G. E. Carlsson, “Idiopathic cervical lesions: in vivo investigation by oral microendoscopy and scanning electron microscopy. A pilot study,” *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 1993.

[83] J. O. Grippo, M. Simring, and S. Schreiner, “Attrition, abrasion, corrosion and abfraction revisited: a new perspective on tooth surface lesions,” *The Journal of the American Dental Association*, vol. 135, no. 8, pp. 1109–1118, 2004.

[84] D. W. Bartlett and P. Shah, “A critical review of non-carious cervical (wear) lesions and the role of abfraction, erosion, and abrasion,” *Journal of Dental Research*, vol. 85, no. 4, pp. 306–312, 2006.

[85] M. Addy, “Tooth brushing, tooth wear and dentine hypersensitivity—are they associated?” *International Dental Journal*, vol. 55, no. 4, supplement 1, pp. 261–267, 2005.

[86] O. Bernhardt, D. Gesch, C. Schwahn et al., “Epidemiological evaluation of the multifactorial aetiology of abfractions,” *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 17–25, 2006.

[87] W. A. Smith, S. Marchan, and R. N. Rafeek, “The prevalence and severity of non-carious cervical lesions in a group of patients attending a university hospital in Trinidad,” *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 128–134, 2008.

[88] T. Attin, “Methods for assessment of dental erosion,” *Monographs in Oral Science*, vol. 20, pp. 152–172, 2006.

[89] W. P. Holbrook and C. Ganss, “Is diagnosing exposed dentine a suitable tool for grading erosive loss?” *Clinical Oral Investigations*, vol. 12, supplement 1, pp. S33–S39, 2008.

[90] J. H. Nunn, “Prevalence of dental erosion and the implications for oral health,” *European Journal of Oral Sciences*, vol. 104, no. 2, part 2, pp. 156–161, 1996.

[91] J. H. Nunn, P. H. Gordon, A. J. Morris, C. M. Pine, and A. Walker, “Dental erosion—changing prevalence? A review of British national children’s surveys,” *International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 98–105, 2003.

[92] C. G. Crossner, J. C. Hase, and D. Birkhed, “Oral sugar clearance in children compared with adults,” *Caries Research*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 201–206, 1991.

[93] A. K. Johansson, R. Sorvari, D. Birkhed, and J. H. Meurman, “Dental erosion in deciduous teeth—an in vivo and in vitro study,” *Journal of Dental Research*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 333–340, 2001.

[94] B. T. Amaechi, S. M. Higham, and W. M. Edgar, “Factors influencing the development of dental erosion in vitro: enamel type, temperature and exposure time,” *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, vol. 26, no. 8, pp. 624–630, 1999.

[95] A. Lussi, N. Kohler, D. Zero, M. Schaffner, and B. Megert, “A comparison of the erosive potential of different beverages in primary and permanent teeth using an in vitro model,” *European Journal of Oral Sciences*, vol. 108, no. 2, pp. 110–114, 2000.

[96] G. M. Correr, R. C. Alonso, S. Consani, R. M. Puppin-Rontani, and I. L. Ferracane, “In vitro wear of primary and permanent enamel. Simultaneous erosion and abrasion,” *American Journal of Dentistry*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 394–399, 2007.

[97] V. K. Järveni, I. I. Rytömaa, and O. P. Heinonen, “Risk factors in dental erosion,” *Journal of Dental Research*, vol. 70, no. 6, pp. 942–947, 1991.

[98] E. A. O’Sullivan and M. E. Curzon, “Salivary factors affecting dental erosion in children,” *Caries Research*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 82–87, 2000.

[99] J. Tenovuo, “Salivary parameters of relevance for assessing caries activity in individuals and populations,” *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 82–86, 1997.

[100] C. G. Crossner, “Salivary flow rate in children and adolescents,” *Swedish Dental Journal*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 271–276, 1984.

[101] J. H. Meurman and R. M. Frank, “Scanning electron microscopic study of the effect of salivary pellicle on enamel erosion,” *Caries Research*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 1–6, 1991.

[102] B. T. Amaechi, S. M. Higham, W. M. Edgar, and A. Milosevic, “Thickness of acquired salivary pellicle as a determinant of the sites of dental erosion,” *Journal of Dental Research*, vol. 78, no. 12, pp. 1821–1828, 1999.

[103] A. T. Hara, M. Ando, C. Gonzalez-Cabezas, J. A. Cury, M. C. Serra, and D. T. Zero, “Protective effect of the dental pellicle against erosive challenges in situ,” *Journal of Dental Research*, vol. 85, no. 7, pp. 612–616, 2006.

[104] Z. Cheaib and A. Lussi, “Impact of acquired enamel pellicle modification on initial dental erosion,” *Caries Research*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 107–112, 2011.

[105] T. Piangprach, C. Hengtrakool, B. Kukiattrakoon, and U. Kedjarune-Legatt, “The effect of salivary factors on dental erosion in various age groups and tooth surfaces,” *The Journal of the American Dental Association*, vol. 140, no. 9, pp. 1137–1143, 2009.

[106] A. K. Johansson, P. Lingström, T. Imfeld, and D. Birkhed, “Influence of drinking method on tooth-surface pH in relation to dental erosion,” *European Journal of Oral Sciences*, vol. 112, no. 6, pp. 484–489, 2004.

[107] C. A. Hemingway, D. M. Parker, M. Addy, and M. E. Barbour, “Erosion of enamel by non-carbonated soft drinks with and without toothbrushing abrasion,” *British Dental Journal*, vol. 201, no. 7, pp. 447–450, 2006.

[108] T. Jaeggi and A. Lussi, “Toothbrush abrasion of erosively altered enamel after intraoral exposure to saliva: an in situ study,” *Caries Research*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 455–461, 1999.

[109] N. M. Nuttall, “The impact of oral health on people in the UK in 1998,” *British Dental Journal*, vol. 190, no. 3, pp. 121–126, 2001.

[110] T. Österberg, G. E. Carlsson, and V. Sundh, “Trends and prognoses of dental status in the Swedish population: analysis based on interviews in 1975 to 1997 by Statistics Sweden,” *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 177–182, 2000.

[111] J. Nunn, J. Morris, C. Pine, N. B. Pitts, G. Bradnock, and J. Steele, “The condition of teeth in the UK in 1998 and implications for the future,” *British Dental Journal*, vol. 189, no. 12, pp. 639–644, 2000.

[112] L. Harnack, J. Stang, and M. Story, “Soft drink consumption among US children and adolescents: nutritional consequences,” *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, vol. 99, no. 4, pp. 436–441, 1999.

[113] L. B. Messer and W. G. Young, “Childhood diet and dental erosion,” in *Tooth Wear. The ABC of the Worn Dentition*, E. Khan and W. G. Young, Eds., pp. 34–49, Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, UK, 2011.

[114] E. Linkosalo, P. Halonen, and H. Markkanen, “Factors related to dental health and some salivary factors in finnish seventh-day adventists,” *Proceedings of the Finnish Dental Society*, vol. 84, no. 5-6, pp. 279–289, 1988.
genous erosion in tooth roots," Australian Dental Journal, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 263–266, 2005.

[135] L. Hove, B. Holme, B. Ogaard, T. Willumsen, and A. B. Treit, "The protective effect of TiF4, SnF2 and NaF on erosion of enamel by hydrochloric acid in vitro measured by white light interferometry," Caries Research, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 440–443, 2006.

[136] A. Young, P. S. Thane, E. Saxegaard, G. Jonski, and G. Rölla, "Effect of stannous fluoride toothpaste on erosion-like lesions: an in vivo study," European Journal of Oral Sciences, vol. 114, no. 3, pp. 180–183, 2006.

[137] J. H. Meurman, T. Kuittinen, M. Kangas, and T. Tuisku, "Buffering effect of antacids in the mouth—a new treatment of dental erosion?" Scandinavian Journal of Dental Research, vol. 96, no. 5, pp. 412–417, 1988.

[138] B. Lindquist, P. Lingström, L. Fändriks, and D. Birkhed, "Influence of five neutralizing products on intra-oral pH after rinsing with simulated gastric acid," European Journal of Oral Sciences, vol. 119, no. 4, pp. 301–304, 2011.

[139] D. C. Messias, C. P. Turssi, A. T. Hara, and M. C. Serra, "Sodium bicarbonate solution as an anti-erosive agent against simulated endogenous erosion," European Journal of Oral Sciences, vol. 118, no. 4, pp. 385–388, 2010.

[140] A. Lussi, "Dental erosion—novel remineralizing agents in prevention or repair," Advances in Dental Research, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 13–16, 2009.

[141] R. Gupta and V. Prakash, "CPP-ACP complex as a new adjunctive agent for remineralisation: a review," Oral Health & Preventive Dentistry, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 151–165, 2011.

[142] T. Jensdottir, B. Nauntofte, C. Buchwald, and A. Bardow, "Erosive potential of acidic candies in saliva and effects of calcium," Caries Research, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 68–73, 2007.

[143] T. Jensdottir, A. Bardow, and P. Holbrook, "Properties and modification of soft drinks in relation to their erosive potential in vitro," Journal of Dentistry, vol. 33, no. 7, pp. 569–575, 2005.

[144] R. Öhrn and B. Angmar-Mánsson, "Oral status of 35 subjects with eating disorders—a 1-year study," European Journal of Oral Sciences, vol. 108, no. 4, pp. 275–280, 2000.

[145] B. L. Dahl, O. Krogstad, and K. Karlsen, "An alternative treatment in cases with advanced localized attrition," Journal of Oral Rehabilitation, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 209–214, 1975.

[146] M. B. Gough and D. J. Setchell, "A retrospective study of 50 treatments using an appliance to produce localised occlusal space by relative axial tooth movement," British Dental Journal, vol. 187, no. 3, pp. 134–139, 1999.

[147] B. L. Dahl and O. Krogstad, "Long-term observations of an increased occlusal face height obtained by a combined orthodontic/prosthetic approach," Journal of Oral Rehabilitation, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 173–176, 1985.

[148] N. J. Foyser, R. W. Porter, P. F. Briggs, H. S. Chana, and M. G. Kelleher, "The Dahl concept: past, present and future," British Dental Journal, vol. 198, no. 11, pp. 669–676, 2005.

[149] H. Chana, M. Kelleher, P. Briggs, and R. Hooper, "Clinical evaluation of resin-bonded gold alloy veneers," The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, vol. 83, no. 3, pp. 294–300, 2000.

[150] P. Briggs, K. Bishop, and M. Kelleher, "Case report: the use of indirect composite for the management of extensive erosion," The European Journal of Prosthodontics and Restorative Dentistry, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 51–54, 1994.

[151] K. H. Yip, R. J. Smiles, and J. A. Kaidonis, "Case report: management of tooth tissue loss from intrinsic acid erosion," The European Journal of Prosthodontics and Restorative Dentistry, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 101–106, 2003.
F. J. Burke, M. G. Kelleher, N. Wilson, and K. Bishop, "Introducing the concept of pragmatic esthetics, with special reference to the treatment of tooth wear," *Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 277–293, 2011.

D. Bartlett and G. Sundaram, "An up to 3-year randomized clinical study comparing indirect and direct resin composites used to restore worn posterior teeth," *International Journal of Prosthodontics*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 613–617, 2006.

K. W. Hemmings, U. R. Darbar, and S. Vaughan, "Tooth wear treated with direct composite restorations at an increased vertical dimension: results at 30 months," *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, vol. 83, no. 3, pp. 287–293, 2000.

T. Attin, T. Filli, C. Imfeld, and P. R. Schmidlin, "Composite vertical bite reconstructions in eroded dentitions after 5 · 5 years: a case series," *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 73–79, 2011.

N. H. Creugers and A. van’t Spijker, "Tooth wear and occlusion: friends or foes?" *International Journal of Prosthodontics*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 348–350, 2007.