The Oxidation Behaviour of Alloys Based on the Ni–Co–Al–Ti–Cr System
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Abstract The isothermal oxidation behaviour of a series of quinary Ni–Co–Al–Ti–Cr alloys were studied at 800 °C. Alloys with higher Cr concentrations exhibited lower mass gain after 100-h exposure, as did the alloys richest in Ni and Al for a given Cr concentration. Extensive internal oxidation and nitridation was also observed in all alloys, except those containing the highest concentrations of Ni and Al. All alloys studied generated continuous chromium oxide layers, beneath which alumina particles were observed. Compositional analysis of the subscales identified shallower Cr concentration gradients in alloys containing equiatomic levels of Ni and Co, suggesting increased availability of Cr in the alloy. Thermodynamic calculations confirmed that these alloys contained higher concentrations of Cr in their γ matrices as a result of a combination of both the elemental partitioning behaviour and the increased mole fraction of γ′ precipitates forming in the alloy.
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Introduction

The worldwide desire to reduce aerospace emissions requires the next generation of gas turbine engines to operate at higher temperatures and faster rotational speeds. Such operating conditions are beyond the capability of current polycrystalline...
Ni-based superalloys and, therefore, new alloys capable of operating at temperatures as high as 800 °C, are required. Such polycrystalline Ni-based superalloys usually employ high concentrations of Cr to allow the formation of a continuous chromia (Cr$_2$O$_3$) scale and achieve the requisite environmental resistance. In addition, these alloys also include a range of other elemental additions to further improve their properties. Recently, elevated levels of Co and Ti have been shown to be beneficial, providing improved high temperature strength and creep resistance [1, 2]. However, in addition to their mechanical performance, the environmental resistance of these alloys is also critical, as surface degradation can accelerate fatigue crack initiation during high temperature exposure, leading to premature failure [3–5]. Yet, to date, the influence of elevated Co and Ti concentrations on the oxidation characteristics of Ni-based superalloys has not been systematically studied.

The oxidation behaviours of simple binary and ternary Ni- and Co-based alloys, as well as those of more complex, commercially available superalloys, are well established [6–14]. Previous studies on Ni–Cr and Co–Cr binary alloys have determined that a concentration of Cr in excess of 10 wt.% is required to allow the formation of a protective chromia layer [6]. The parabolic growth rate of this oxide has been shown to decrease as the concentration of Cr increases, reaching a minimum around 20 - 25 wt.% [15, 16]. The presence of Al in the alloy has also been shown to improve the oxidation characteristics of chromia scale forming alloys by increasing the Cr activity [17].

Whilst alloying with Ti is known to confer significant benefits to the mechanical properties of superalloys by increasing the APB energy and hardening the $\gamma'$ [18], it has been reported to give rise to differing effects on the oxidation behaviour of Ni–Cr and Co–Cr based alloys. Nagai et al. [19], demonstrated that the oxidation rate of Ni–20Cr wt.% alloys increased significantly with the addition of Ti, as did the propensity for oxide spallation. In contrast, El-Dahshan [20] and Wagner et al. [21], reported that the oxidation kinetics of Co–Cr alloys decreased significantly with Ti additions. The formation of the protective chromia was accelerated with the addition of Ti, with a Cr$_x$Ti$_y$O$_z$ spinel forming at the metal-oxide interface, further blocking diffusion and improving the adhesion of the protective scale. The rapid formation of the chromia scale on Co–Cr alloys containing Ti suggested that the amount of Cr required to obtain a minimum oxidation rate in Co alloys could be decreased in the presence of Ti. In commercial chromia forming Ni-base superalloys, Ti has been implicated in the observed acceleration of oxidation damage. In a study by Cruchley et al. [8], it was suggested that the presence of tetravalent Ti cations within the chromia scale of RR1000 led to a concomitant increase in the defect concentration and, therefore, accelerated growth kinetics.

Whilst, the effects of individual alloying elements on the oxidation characteristics of Ni-based superalloys are well established, the effect of co-additions of key alloying elements is poorly understood. This work aims to outline the oxidation behaviour of nine Ni–Co–Al–Ti–Cr alloys at 800 °C.
Experimental Procedures

To investigate the oxidation behaviour of γ'/γ' alloys from the Ni–Co–Al–Ti–Cr system, a series of nine different compositions were evaluated. The alloys contained three discrete concentrations of Cr; 10, 15 and 20 at.%, and a total of 10 at.% Al + Ti. At each Cr concentration the Ni:Co and Al:Ti ratios varied from 3:1 to 1:1 to 1:3. The nominal compositions of the alloys are given in Table 1. The naming convention of the alloys was chosen to reflect the composition ratios as follows: the first 2 numbers denote the Cr content in the alloy, for example an alloy containing a nominal 15 at.% Cr was named 15##; the subsequent number reflects the ratio of Ni:Co, number 1 was used to denote a Ni:Co ratio equal to 1:3, 2 denoted Ni:Co ratios equal to 1:1 and 3 denoted Ni:Co ratios equal to 3:1; similarly, the last letter was used to identify the Al:Ti ratio, with a indicating a ratio of 1:3, b indicating a ratio of 1:1 and c indicating a ratio of 3:1.

50 g bars of each alloy were prepared from elemental metals with purities greater than 99.5% via vacuum arc melting on a water cooled Cu hearth. Each ingot was inverted and melted a total of five times to improve the homogeneity of the final material. After casting, the bars were encapsulated in quartz tubes under an Ar-atmosphere and homogenised in the γ phase field for 48 h, followed by bench cooling.

5 × 5 × 1 mm samples were cut from the homogenised bars for thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and isothermal oxidation testing at 800 °C. This temperature was chosen as it is the target operating temperature of new Ni-based alloys containing elevated concentrations of Co and Ti. Mass gain data for each alloy was acquired using a Setaram Instruments Setsys Evolution TGA during a 100-hour exposure at 800 °C under air, flowing at 30 ml min⁻¹ and a pressure of 1 bar. The samples were loaded into an alumina crucible and hung from the microbalance. Parabolic rate constants (Kₚ) were determined for each alloy using the area corrected mass gain (m) and exposure time (t) by fitting the acquired data with the parabolic expression given in Eq. 1 using WaveMetrics Igor Pro [22], in which the

Table 1 Nominal compositions of the alloys evaluated, alongside the actual compositions measured using energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX)

| Alloy | Nominal composition (at.%) | Actual composition (at.%) |
|-------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
|       | Ni  | Co  | Al  | Ti  | Cr  | Ni  | Co  | Al  | Ti  | Cr  |
| 101a  | 20.0| 60.0| 2.5 | 7.5 | 10.0| 27.2| 50.7| 3.1 | 8.1 | 10.9|
| 102b  | 40.0| 40.0| 5.0 | 5.0 | 10.0| 39.5| 38.6| 5.9 | 5.3 | 10.7|
| 103c  | 60.0| 20.0| 7.5 | 2.5 | 10.0| 58.2| 19.6| 8.6 | 2.8 | 10.8|
| 151a  | 18.8| 56.2| 2.5 | 7.5 | 15.0| 18.3| 54.7| 3.0 | 7.8 | 16.2|
| 152b  | 37.5| 37.5| 5.0 | 5.0 | 15.0| 36.8| 36.5| 5.4 | 5.2 | 16.1|
| 153c  | 56.2| 18.8| 7.5 | 2.5 | 15.0| 54.8| 18.4| 8.0 | 2.7 | 16.1|
| 201a  | 17.5| 52.5| 2.5 | 7.5 | 20.0| 17.2| 50.3| 3.1 | 7.9 | 21.5|
| 202b  | 35.0| 35.0| 5.0 | 5.0 | 20.0| 34.3| 33.5| 5.7 | 5.2 | 21.3|
| 203c  | 52.5| 17.5| 7.5 | 2.5 | 20.0| 50.6| 17.4| 8.5 | 2.5 | 21.0|
variable $m_0$ accounted for the mass gain associated with the transient oxidation that occurred before parabolic growth was established. For comparison purposes, the data was also analysed using conventional straight line fits through plots of $m^2$ against $t$, from which the gradient of the obtained line corresponds to the $K_p$ value.

$$m = m_o + \sqrt{K_p}t$$  

(1)

Isothermal oxidation testing was also conducted at 800 °C for 1000 h under ambient atmospheric conditions. To ensure that any spalled oxides were captured, all samples were placed in alumina crucibles prior to the thermal exposure. Analyses of oxide thickness, internal damage and elemental partitioning between the oxide phases were carried out using a JEOL 5800 scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with an Oxford Instruments energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) system.

Bulk alloy compositions were assessed from material in the homogenised state by averaging five 500 × 500 µm area EDX scans. Thermodynamic modeling, using the Thermo-Calc software and the TCNi5 database, was used to predict the equilibrium mole fractions and corresponding elemental concentrations of both the $\gamma$ and $\gamma'$ phases at 800 °C.

**Results**

**Homogenised Alloys and Microstructure**

The nominal compositions of the alloys and those measured using EDX analysis are given in Table 1. The majority of the measured compositions were determined to be within 1 at.% of the nominal values. However, in alloy 101a (Ni–60Co–2.5Al–
larger deviations were observed, particularly in the concentrations of Ni and Co, which approached about 10 at.%. Whilst this was not ideal, the composition of the alloy was still in keeping with the intended systematic variation required for this study. All of the alloys displayed a uniform $\gamma/\gamma'$ microstructure [23] following their respective homogenisation heat treatments and a representative example of a secondary electron micrograph from alloy 103c (Ni–20Co–7.5Al–2.5Ti–10Cr) is shown in Fig. 1 and micrographs from all alloys are presented in the supplementary online information. The $\gamma'$ precipitates varied from ~ 40 to 65 nm in diameter for all the alloys examined, and existed in similar volume fractions. Accurate quantification of the $\gamma'$ volume fraction was not possible due to the differential etch response of the compositions examined, which resulted in more $\gamma'$ being exposed in those alloys that were etched more deeply.

Mass Gain During 100-h Isothermal Exposures

The area corrected mass gain as a function of time for each of the nine alloys during the 100-h exposure in the TGA is shown in Fig. 2. These results indicate that the alloys containing 10 at.% Cr oxidised more than those with higher Cr contents. The trend between the 15 and 20 at.% Cr containing alloys is less clear, as the different alloys are interspersed. This indicated that both the Al:Ti and Ni:Co ratios influenced the oxidation behaviour of the alloys. However, for a given ratio, lower mass gains were observed with alloys containing higher levels of Cr, for example,

![Image of graph showing mass gain per unit area as a function of time for all alloys during exposure in a TGA at 800 °C.](image)

Fig. 2 Mass gain per unit area as a function of time for all alloys during exposure in a TGA at 800 °C. For alloys containing 15 and 20 at.% Cr, the fitted parabolas are shown overlaid over the TGA data points.
alloy 201a (Ni–52.5Co–2.5Al–7.5Ti–20Cr) exhibited a lower mass gain than alloy 151a (Ni–56.2Co–2.5Al–7.5Ti–15Cr).

The extent to which the observed mass gains could be described by parabolic oxidation kinetics was evaluated by fitting the data obtained with Eq. 1. The area corrected mass gain curves from the alloys containing 10 at.% Cr could not be reliably fitted with this function. Alloy 101a (Ni–60Co–2.5Al–7.5Ti–10Cr) oxidised at a rate greater than that described by parabolic kinetics, whilst alloys 102b (Ni–40Co–5Al–5Ti–10Cr) and 103c (Ni–20Co–7.5Al–2.5Ti–10Cr) exhibited rapid oxidation during the first 10 h but subsequently gained mass at a far slower rate for the remainder of the test. Conversely, the temporal evolution of the mass gained by the alloys containing 15 and 20 at.% Cr were well described by the parabolic relationship. The fitted rate constants ($K_p$) for these alloys, calculated using the procedures described in the experimental section, are given in Table 2, along with the associated errors, which are extremely small. Given the inadequacy of the fits to the data obtained from the alloys containing 10 at.% Cr, no rate constants have been included. Whilst the results for the $K_p$ values were found to be slightly different depending on the procedure used to calculate the value, the overall ranking trends observed were found to be the same. The rate constants given in Table 2 suggest that alloys with elevated levels of Ni and Al have greater oxidation resistance than those containing high levels of Co and Ti. However, this trend does not hold for the mass gained by the alloys containing 10 at.% Cr, shown in Fig. 2, where alloy 103c (Ni–20Co–7.5Al–2.5Ti–10Cr), which had the greatest Ni and Al content gained more mass than alloy 102b (Ni–40Co–5Al–5Ti–10Cr), which had intermediate Ni and Al levels. In addition, it can be seen that, had the tests been extended to times greater than 100 h, larger mass gains would have been likely to be observed from alloy 151a (Ni–56.2Co–2.5Al–7.5Ti–15Cr) than alloy 102b (Ni–40Co–5Al–5Ti–

| Alloy                  | Parabolic rate constant (mg$^2$ cm$^{-4}$ h$^{-1}$) (From parabola fits) | Parabolic rate constant (mg$^2$ cm$^{-4}$ h$^{-1}$) (From line fits) |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 151a (Ni–56.2Co–2.5Al–7.5Ti–15Cr) | 4.441 ± 0.007 × 10$^{-3}$                                                          | 4.886 ± 0.005 × 10$^{-3}$                                          |
| 152b (Ni–37.5Co–5Al–5Ti–15Cr)     | 1.323 ± 0.002 × 10$^{-3}$                                                          | 1.616 ± 0.002 × 10$^{-3}$                                          |
| 153c (Ni–18.8Co–7.5Al–2.5Ti–15Cr)  | 6.462 ± 0.004 × 10$^{-4}$                                                          | 6.047 ± 0.030 × 10$^{-4}$                                          |
| 201a (Ni–52.5Co–2.5Al–7.5Ti–20Cr)  | 1.978 ± 0.003 × 10$^{-3}$                                                          | 2.421 ± 0.002 × 10$^{-3}$                                          |
| 202b (Ni–35Co–5Al–5Ti–20Cr)        | 1.035 ± 0.002 × 10$^{-3}$                                                          | 1.108 ± 0.007 × 10$^{-3}$                                          |
| 203c (Ni–17.5Co–7.5Al–2.5Ti–20Cr)  | 3.708 ± 0.001 × 10$^{-5}$                                                          | 3.670 ± 0.001 × 10$^{-5}$                                          |
Fig. 3  Secondary electron images of the oxidised surface cross-sections of the alloys containing 10 at.\% Cr after exposure at 800 °C for 1000 h and the corresponding elemental distribution maps
10Cr). This indicates that Cr content alone does not provide superior oxidation resistance in alloys based on this quinary system.

**Oxide Characterisation Following Exposure for 1000 h**

With the exception of alloy 101a (Ni–60Co–2.5Al–7.5Ti–10Cr), the mass gain data shown in Fig. 2 suggests that steady state oxidation was established in all of the alloys within the 100 h of TGA testing. Therefore, assuming scale adherence, prolonged exposure to the same condition would be expected to thicken the oxide layers without changing the oxide species formed. Thus, the cross-sections of the oxides developed following the 1000-h isothermal exposure were characterised using SEM. X-ray diffraction was also performed on all samples exposed at 800 °C for 1000 h. However, due to the complexity of the oxides formed and the similarities of their crystal structures, the unambiguous determination of the phases present was not possible.

Cross-sections of the oxidised surfaces of alloys 101a (Ni–60Co–2.5Al–7.5Ti–10Cr), 102b (Ni–40Co–5Al–5Ti–10Cr) and 103c (Ni–20Co–7.5Al–2.5Ti–10Cr) are shown in Fig. 3, along with the corresponding elemental concentration maps determined by EDX. In all cases, the left hand side of the secondary electron image (labeled SEI) corresponds to the base alloy, whilst the exposed surface is on the right. The six accompanying elemental concentration maps correspond to the five constituent metals and oxygen.

Alloy 101a (Ni–60Co–2.5Al–7.5Ti–10Cr), shown in Fig. 3a, produced a complex layered oxide structure. Within the base material, fingers rich in Al and O penetrated ~30 μm below an ~5 μm thick Cr and O rich layer, which also contained significant levels of Ti. Above this layer, a thick, porous region was observed containing a mixture of oxides rich in Ni, Cr and Ti. Another Cr and O rich layer, ~2 μm thick, was present above this, onto which a Ti, Ni and Co oxide was adhered. The surface oxide was predominately Co based, with isolated Ni rich regions.

As can be seen in Fig. 3b, alloy 102b (Ni–40Co–5Al–5Ti–10Cr) exhibited a much simpler oxide structure and a thinner internal damage zone. Sub-scale damage extended ~10 μm below the lowest continuous oxide layer and consisted of a Ti rich phase and thick Al and O rich fingers. Above this zone a continuous, reasonably planar chromium oxide, ~5 μm thick, had formed, on top of which was the surface oxide, rich in Ni, Co and Ti.

The overall oxidation damage observed in alloy 103c (Ni–20Co–7.5Al–2.5Ti–10Cr), Fig. 3c, was much more extensive than that seen in alloy 102b (Ni–40Co–5Al–5Ti–10Cr). A thin Ti rich layer was the deepest observed feature, above which had formed Al and O rich fingers, approximately ~5 μm in length. A continuous chromium oxide layer, ~2 μm thick, was observed above the fingers, but in contrast to alloy 102b (Ni–40Co–5Al–5Ti–10Cr) this layer was highly rumpled, more akin to that seen in alloy 101a (Ni–60Co–2.5Al–7.5Ti–10Cr). Similarly, a thick mixed oxide layer was observed in alloy 103c (Ni–20Co–7.5Al–2.5Ti–10Cr), although in this case the region was rich in Co, Cr and Ti. The surface oxide in alloy
Fig. 4 Secondary electron images of the oxidised surface cross-sections of the alloys containing 15 at.% Cr after exposure at 800 °C for 1000 h and the corresponding elemental distribution maps.
Fig. 5 Secondary electron images of the oxidised surface cross-sections of the alloys containing 20 at.% Cr after exposure at 800 °C for 1000 h and the corresponding elemental distribution maps.
103c (Ni–20Co–7.5Al–2.5Ti–10Cr) was found to be highly porous and based on Ni and Co.

The oxide formations observed in the alloys containing 15 at.% Cr are shown in Fig. 4 and exhibited simpler and thinner oxide layers than those of the 10 at.% Cr series. Alloy 151a (Ni–56.2Co–2.5Al–7.5Ti–15Cr), Fig. 4a, showed Ni rich finger-like features approximately 40 μm below the surface. In contrast to the base alloy, these features were depleted in both Co and Cr but showed elevated levels of Ti. Critically, no evidence of oxygen enrichment was detected at this depth and, therefore, these features were believed to be an intermetallic phase rather than an oxide. Above these features, a ~20 μm thick region that contained two interpenetrating phases was observed, one rich in Ti and the other rich in Al and O. A continuous chromium oxide layer, ~6 μm thick, had formed on top of this region, above which the surface oxide was found, rich in Ni, Co and Ti.

The oxides formed on alloy 152b (Ni–37.5Co–5Al–5Ti–15Cr), Fig. 4b, showed many similarities with those formed on alloy 151a (Ni–56.2Co–2.5Al–7.5Ti–15Cr). Approximately 30 μm beneath the free surface, features enriched in Ni and depleted in Co and Cr could be observed. Since no O was observed at this depth, these features were assumed to be an intermetallic phase. Above this intermetallic phase, an extensive sub-scale network, ~25 μm thick, comprising Ti and Al rich phases could be seen. A continuous, planar chromium oxide layer, ~4 μm thick, was observed above the sub-scale layers. Unlike any of the preceding alloys, the surface oxide observed on alloy 152b (Ni–37.5Co–5Al–5Ti–15Cr) consisted solely of Ti and O.

The extent of oxidation damage observed in alloy 153c (Ni–18.8Co–7.5Al–2.5Ti–15Cr), Fig. 4c, was the smallest of the alloys containing 15 at.% Cr. An extremely thin band of Ti rich particles were detected at a depth ~20 μm below the surface, over which an ~5 μm thick region of Al and O rich fingers was observed. A continuous chromium oxide layer had formed above the fingers, on top of which mixed oxide surface blisters had developed, rich in Ni, Co, Cr and Ti.

The oxide scales formed in the alloys containing 20 at.% Cr are shown in Fig. 5. Extensive sub-scale damage was observed in alloy 201a (Ni–52.5Co–2.5Al–7.5Ti–20Cr), Fig. 5a, which penetrated to a maximum depth of ~25 μm below the surface. At the greatest depth, small features rich in Ni and lean in Co and Cr were seen. Above these features, the damaged region contained a network of Ti rich particles interspersed with an Al rich phase. No evidence of elevated oxygen concentrations were found at this depth. Above this region a continuous, planar chromium oxide layer, ~5 μm thick, had formed, on top of which a discontinuous Ti and O based film was observed.

The oxide scale profile observed in alloy 202b (Ni–35Co–5Al–5Ti–20Cr), Fig. 5b, was similar to that of alloy 201a (Ni–52.5Co–2.5Al–7.5Ti–20Cr). Small features rich in Ni and lean in Co and Cr were again seen at the greatest depth. The overlaid sub-scale damaged region extended to ~25 μm below the surface and had Ti rich particles at its greatest depth, followed by fingers, some of which were Al rich. Although, unlike alloy 201a (Ni–52.5Co–2.5Al–7.5Ti–20Cr), some fingers with elevated levels of Al, Cr and O were also observed. Above the fingers, an
A ~7 μm thick chromium oxide layer was observed on top of which a thin, near continuous, Ti rich surface oxide layer was found. Alloy 203c (Ni–17.5Co–7.5Al–2.5Ti–20Cr), Fig. 5c, displayed the least oxidation damage of any of the alloys in this study. The internal damage only reached ~10 μm below the surface and comprised a thin Ti rich layer below Al and O rich fingers. A thin, ~2 μm, continuous chromium oxide layer was observed above the damaged sub-scale region, followed by a discontinuous Ti rich oxide film.

Discussion

The microstructures of all of the alloys examined comprised uniform dispersions of γ’ precipitates within the γ matrix. Given the similarity between the sizes of the γ’ precipitates and their volume fractions, it is believed that microstructural differences did not contribute significantly to the oxidation characteristics of the alloys examined. Instead, the mass gains recorded using TGA analysis and the nature of the oxide strata were controlled by the alloy compositions.

TGA is a popular technique for the evaluation of the oxidation kinetics of alloys. However, care must be taken when analysing TGA data, as the results can be significantly influenced by the heating rates, furnace atmosphere and gas flow-rates, as well as sample related factors such as geometry and surface finish. Therefore, the TGA data reported was only used to rank the relative behaviour of the alloys.

The TGA results presented in Fig. 2 indicated that, in general, increasing the Cr concentration, whilst keeping the ratios of all other elements constant, resulted in a lower mass gain per unit area, as well as a lower parabolic oxidation rate constant. In addition, with the exception of the alloys containing 10 at.% Cr, higher Ni and Al contents were more resistant to oxidation than those with elevated levels of Co and Ti. For all alloys, the measured mass gains were observed to be consistent with the thickness of the external oxide scales. However, the depth of sub surface oxidation damage observed on the cross sections of the samples was not directly correlated with the mass gains recorded by TGA, with extensive sub scale damage being observed in all alloys except those containing the highest Ni and Al contents, series ##3c (7.5Al–2.5Ti). The cross sections of all of the oxidised samples, shown in Figs. 3, 4, and 5, contained continuous chromium oxide layers. The alloys containing the highest concentrations of Ni and Al, series ##3c (7.5Al–2.5Ti), exhibited substantially thinner chromium oxide scales than those containing higher Co and Ti levels, series ##1a (2.5Al–7.5Ti) and ##2b (5Al–5Ti). This result is consistent with the high solubility of Ti in chromia [24]. As a p-type metal deficient semiconductor, the accommodation of Ti\textsuperscript{4+} cations requires a concomitant increase in the number density of vacancies [25, 26]. This increases the ionic conductivity of the oxide scale, leading to faster oxidation rates and thicker chromia scales.

Immediately beneath the chromium oxide scale, internal aluminium oxide and nitrides were observed to form in all alloys. This is to be expected as the partial pressures of oxygen and nitrogen at which these species form are significantly lower than that of their chromium counterparts [27]. Critically, a continuous alumina layer was not observed to form in any of the alloys tested. Alongside the Al containing
particles, Ti rich features were observed. The location of these features did not correspond to elevated concentrations of oxygen, and therefore, they were believed to be nitrides. In Fig. 6, an example of the elemental maps of Ti, Al and N are shown for alloy 152b (Ni–37.5Co–5Al–5Ti–15Cr), confirming the presence of elevated concentrations of nitrogen in these regions. This result is also consistent with other studies of the oxidation behaviour of Ni-based superalloys [8, 14, 28, 29]. The work of Krupp et al. [30, 31] indicated that increased Cr concentrations may result in nitridation to a greater depth in the alloy. Their observation is consistent with the results obtained from the ##1a (2.5Al–7.5Ti) and ##2b (5Al–5Ti) alloys, which showed systematically more prevalent Ti nitrides in the subscale with increased Cr concentration. Although, this trend was less apparent in the ##3c (7.5Al–2.5Ti) alloys, where the occurrence of this phase was less clear owing to the lower concentration of Ti in these alloys.

In the ##1a (2.5Al–7.5Ti) alloys, which contained the highest levels of Ti, particles rich in Ni and Ti were observed to form beneath the network of nitrides and aluminium oxide. This phase was believed to be the intermetallic \( \eta\)-Ni$_3$Ti phase. In this region, internal oxidation and nitridation may reduce the local Al concentration in the alloy to a level that allows this phase to form [32]. The lower Cr concentrations observed in this phase are also consistent with it being \( \eta \), as this phase is known to have limited solubility for Cr [33]. Similarly, examination of the Ni–Ti binary phase diagrams suggested that the \( \eta\)-Ni$_3$Ti phase was the only possible phase at the concentrations of Ti and Ni observed [34]. Whilst not an oxide or nitride, this phase forms as a direct result of the internal oxidation and nitridation and is therefore considered as part of the oxidation-induced damage in the alloy. Occurrences of this phase were also observed in the ##2b (5Al–5Ti) alloys, although they were far less extensive than those in the ##1a (2.5Al–7.5Ti) alloys as a result of their lower overall Ti concentration.

Thick Ni, Co and Ti rich oxides were observed to form over the chromium oxide layers in the alloys containing 10 and 15 at.% Cr, with the exception of alloy 152b (Ni–37.5Co–5Al–5Ti–15Cr). These were believed to be spinel-type (AB$_2$X$_4$) oxides, however, their crystallographic nature could not be determined. Notably, the alloys that formed this layer also showed pronounced Cr depletion regions beneath the chromium oxide scale. This suggests that the Cr concentrations in these alloys were insufficient to readily form the chromium oxide layer during the transient

\[ \text{Fig. 6} \quad \text{Secondary electron image of the oxidised surface cross-section of alloy 152b (Ni–37.5Co–5Al–5Ti–15Cr) after exposure at 800 °C for 1000 h and the corresponding elemental distribution maps for Ti, Al and N} \]
stages of oxidation, allowing significant oxidation of the other species present in the alloy before the continuous chromium oxide layer was established. In addition, alloys 101a (Ni–60Co–2.5Al–7.5Ti–10Cr) and 103c (Ni–20Co–7.5Al–2.5Ti–10Cr) showed large amplitude rippling of the oxide scale. This phenomenon is related to the formation of the Cr depleted layer and is known to occur when diffusion through the chromium oxide is faster than the supply of Cr from the base alloy [13]. In such circumstances, a region with a steep chromium concentration gradient will develop and any perturbations in the oxide-metal interface will be unstable and grow into the alloy. The lack of oxide rippling in alloy 102b (Ni–40Co–5Al–5Ti–10Cr), the absence of a Ni, Co and Ti rich oxide above the chromium oxide scale in alloy 152b (Ni–37.5Co–5Al–5Ti–15Cr) and the shallower Cr depleted regions observed in all of the alloys ##2b (5Al–5Ti) are interesting, as all of these observations suggest that Cr is more mobile in these alloys than their ##1a (2.5Al–2.5Ti) and ##3c (7.5Al–2.5Ti) counterparts. This suggests that the Cr activity in these alloys does not vary linearly with the Ni:Co ratio.

The apparent increased mobility of Cr in the ##2b (5Al–5Ti) alloys was rationalised through calculations of the phase equilibria of the alloys. Thermodynamic calculations were performed using the Thermo-Calc software with the TCNi5 thermodynamic database. The concentrations of Cr predicted to be in the γ matrix and the mole fraction of γ′ precipitates are presented in Table 3. Interestingly, the Cr concentration predicted in the γ matrix was always highest in the ##2b (5Al–5Ti) series, for any given Cr concentration in the alloy. This could arise as a result of a higher volume fraction of γ′ particles in the ##2b (5Al–5Ti) alloys, as this phase is known to reject Cr into the γ matrix, or alternatively, elevated Cr levels might arise as a result of changes in the elemental partitioning between the γ and γ′ phases. From Table 3, the mole fraction of γ′ precipitates in the material is predicted to be higher in the ##2b (5Al–5Ti) type alloys, although, this is only a marginal difference when compared to the values obtained in the ##3c (7.5Al–2.5Ti) type alloys, suggesting that a combination of both mechanisms is in effect. However, care is required in extending this argument across the entire range of alloys considered as

| Alloy | Cr in γ matrix (at.%) | Mole fraction of γ′ precipitates (%) |
|-------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 101a  (Ni–60Co–2.5Al–7.5Ti–10Cr) | 12.29 | 22.95 |
| 102b  (Ni–40Co–5Al–5Ti–10Cr) | 13.87 | 30.37 |
| 103c  (Ni–20Co–7.5Al–2.5Ti–10Cr) | 13.13 | 28.62 |
| 151a (Ni–56.2Co–2.5Al–7.5Ti–15Cr) | 19.47 | 28.00 |
| 152b (Ni–37.5Co–5Al–5Ti–15Cr) | 21.85 | 33.57 |
| 153c (Ni–18.8Co–7.5Al–2.5Ti–15Cr) | 21.08 | 33.22 |
| 201a (Ni–52.5Co–2.5Al–7.5Ti–20Cr) | 26.54 | 31.90 |
| 202b (Ni–35Co–5Al–5Ti–20Cr) | 30.11 | 35.52 |
| 203c (Ni–17.5Co–7.5Al–2.5Ti–20Cr) | 29.13 | 35.05 |
many of the alloys have compositions outside the assessed ranges of the databases used for the thermodynamic calculations. This limitation is most evident in alloy 101a (Ni–60Co–2.5Al–7.5Ti–10Cr), which is predicted to have a $\gamma'$ volume fraction significantly lower than that of the other alloys, despite microstructural observations indicating that the $\gamma'$ volume fraction was similar in all alloys.

Conclusions

In this study, the oxidation characteristics of a series of $\gamma/\gamma'$ alloys based on the Ni–Co–Al–Ti–Cr quinary system have been investigated. For a given Al and Ti content, increasing Cr concentrations from 10 to 20 at.%, at the expense of Ni and Co, resulted in a systematic reduction in the mass gained after a 100-hour exposure. However, alloys rich in Ni and Al gained less mass than those rich in Co and Ti for a given Cr concentration. The overall mass gains were observed to be consistent with the thicknesses of the external oxide scales, but not with the depth of internal damage. Therefore, these results illustrate that oxidation resistance is not simply a function of Cr content in these alloys. Alloys with equal concentrations of Ni and Co and Al and Ti showed evidence of higher Cr mobilities than either Ni and Al rich or Co and Ti rich compositions. Thermodynamic calculations suggested that this effect arose as a result of anomalously high Cr concentrations in the former alloys, caused by a synergistic effect of the increased $\gamma'$ mole fraction, which rejects Cr into the matrix, and the modification of the elemental partitioning behaviour of the alloy.
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