Novel insights on premature progesterone elevation: a mini-review
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ABSTRACT

In vitro fertilization (IVF) success involves ovarian stimulation with conventional or mild stimulation protocols aimed to yield an adequate number of mature oocytes. These oocytes can be further fertilized and generate good quality embryos to be transferred, ideally in the same cycle. Since 2013, following the results of a meta-analysis including more than 60,000 IVF cycles, the negative effects of premature progesterone elevation on reproductive outcomes have been demonstrated. Nowadays, evidence is emerging on the limited regulation on serum progesterone values, demonstrating significantly lower live birth rates in patients with either low (<0.5ng/ml) late follicular phase progesterone or higher levels decreasing sharply. This review discusses and summarizes the different mechanisms of progesterone elevation and its impact on IVF treatments. Different approaches to diminish the impact of progesterone on fertility outcomes are also addressed.

Keywords: progesterone, rise, IVF, premature, luteinization, embryo

INTRODUCTION

In vitro fertilization (IVF) success involves ovarian stimulation with conventional or mild stimulation protocols aimed to yield an adequate number of mature oocytes. These oocytes can be further fertilized and generate good quality embryos to be transferred, ideally in the same cycle. Since 2013, following the results of a meta-analysis including more than 60,000 IVF cycles, the negative effects of premature progesterone elevation on reproductive outcomes have been demonstrated. Premature luteinization occurs in vivo after ovulation trigger, with either human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) or a gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonist, mimicking the luteinizing hormone surge that occurs during the menstrual cycle (Griffin et al., 2014). Progesterone plays a critical role in IVF success, since it is a critical regulator of endometrial receptivity. Since 2013, following the results of a meta-analysis including more than 60,000 IVF cycles, the negative effects of premature progesterone elevation on reproductive outcomes were demonstrated (Venetis et al., 2013). Evidence has since emerged to show the limited regulation on serum progesterone values and reveal the significantly lower live birth rates in patients with either low (<0.5ng/ml) late follicular phase progesterone or higher levels decreasing sharply (Arvis et al., 2019). In the pre-gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogue era, late follicular phase elevations of serum progesterone resulted from a premature luteinizing hormone (LH) elevation during controlled ovarian stimulation, correctly defined as premature luteinization (Al-Azem et al., 2012). GnRH analogues made LH suppression feasible and diminished cycle cancellations due to premature luteinization. Unfortunately, elevated progesterone levels on the day of ovulation trigger still occur in 5% to 50% of IVF cycles, despite optimal LH suppression (Huang et al., 2016). Nowadays, this process is defined as a rise in serum progesterone concentration towards the end of the follicular phase above a threshold concentration, usually set arbitrarily (Van Vaerenbergh et al., 2011).

The exact mechanism by which progesterone rises on the late follicular phase is currently a matter of debate. Moreover, it is still difficult to define the optimal cut-off level in stimulated IVF cycles, with thresholds varying from 0.8 to 2.0ng/ml (Drakopoulos et al., 2019). The most commonly used threshold is 1.5ng/ml on ovulation trigger day, supported by the presence of a significant change in gene profile expression in endometrial tissue among infertile patients (Labarta et al., 2011). However, caution is strongly recommended in setting the progesterone cut-off level. There is high variability between assays used for progesterone measurement. It is essential to notice that progesterone-derived metabolites (e.g. five alpha-pregnane-dione and 20 alpha-dihydroprogesterone) are present in significant amounts in circulation. These metabolites are chemically very similar to progesterone and might lead to a cross-reaction with the progesterone anti-serum on direct immunoassays (de Ziegler et al., 2018).

In this review, different mechanisms of progesterone elevation and its impact on IVF treatments are discussed and summarized. Different approaches to diminish the impact of progesterone on fertility outcomes are also addressed.

MECHANISMS OF PROGESTERONE ELEVATION DURING OVARIAN STIMULATION

During conventional and mild ovarian stimulation protocols, estrogen and progesterone levels reach supra-physiological levels due to multiple follicular growth derived from continuous stimulation required to maintain high FSH serum concentration above the threshold needed for follicle development. In a natural menstrual cycle, estrogens and progesterone are secreted and reach relatively low serum levels before ovulation, as shown in Table 1. In response, estradiol mainly regulates the isoform mixture of secreted FSH and down-regulates pituitary expression of the glycosyltransferases responsible for the less-acidic FSH isoforms as ovulation approaches, consequently affecting FSH half-life (Yding Andersen, 2002). Once estrogen exceeds a threshold, it produces a positive feedback on LH surge, which takes on average 36 hours to complete (de Ziegler et al., 2007). Interestingly, LH stimulates theca cell receptors by inducing the production of Cytochrome P450 CYP 17 enzymatic complex (17 hydroxylase and 17-20 lyase activities) responsible for the conversion of progesterone (via delta four pathway) and pregnenolone (delta five pathway) into 17 hydroxylated products and androgens (Hugues, 2012). By mid-cycle and alongside LH surge, meiotic inhibition is invalidated. The oocyte can undergo germinal vesicle (GV) breakdown to produce a haploid metaphase II oocyte, with a mature cytoplasm that can be fertilized and develop into an embryo (Dumesic et al., 2015).

In IVF treatments, the criteria for the initial FSH dose is still unclear, and clinicians often choose the exogenous FSH starting dose according to the patient’s clinical history and characteristics, primarily taking into account the
outcome of prior stimulations and, secondly, the age of the female patient and her ovarian reserve markers. From 36.8% to 52.4% of the patients have received an excessive FSH starting dose (Papaleo et al., 2016). This excessive FSH dose can provide different outcomes according to age and ovarian response. In a first scenario, on a patient with good ovarian reserve and appropriate response, excessive FSH might lead to multifollicular development, resulting in a large number of follicles, with each follicle contributing to progesterone elevation in systemic circulation (Lawrenz & Fatemi, 2017). Also, it is vital to keep in mind that FSH has a direct stimulation effect on granulosa cell lines and triggers the expression of 3-beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (3-beta-HSD) and progesterone biosynthesis in these cells, which then increases the conversion of pregnenolone into progesterone in a dose-dependent fashion. Likewise, FSH cannot stimulate 17-alpha-hydroxylase in granulosa cell line.

Along with continuously high FSH levels in the absence of LH support may exceed the ovarian activity to transform them into estrogen pathway efficiently (Lawrenz et al., 2018a). In a regular menstrual cycle, intrafollicular progesterone concentrations go up as the follicular diameter increases and get as far as the equivalent to 1,000 times the circulating levels in preovulatory follicles (de Ziegler et al., 2018). The previously mentioned mechanism delays the conversion of progesterone into androgens in theca cells, promoting their accumulation and leak into the systemic circulation (Lawrenz et al., 2018a). These findings sustain the theory that intense and constant ovarian stimulation close to the late follicular phase may be the primary cause of premature progesterone elevation (Drakopoulos et al., 2019).

In a second scenario, women with poor ovarian response (POR) tend to receive high FSH doses, although they respond with a limited number of follicles, which does not correlate with ovarian steroidogenic activity. As a matter of fact, low gonadotropin responses are often distinguished by serum estradiol levels achieved through a 7 to 14 day controlled ovarian stimulation period (Luborsky et al., 2002). Ageing oocytes display several impaired quality markers, such as a compromised balance between mitochondria-smooth endoplasmic reticulum (MSER) aggregates and mitochondria-vesicle complexes, along with other ultrastructural markers such as specific defects in spindle location, chromosome alignment, and tubulin distribution in aged human oocytes (Bianchi et al., 2015). There is some evidence suggesting how paracrine and autocrine mechanisms in the oocyte-cumulus complex might protect the preovulatory follicle, which comprises progesterone-elevation inhibition and follicular luteinization. In the presence of an ageing oocyte and a low ovarian reserve, these checkpoints are deficient (Younis, 2019). These conclusions are supported by the fact that messenger RNA (mRNA) expression of steroidogenic enzymes (aromatase and 17-beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase) is significantly lower in women of advanced age (Wu et al., 2015).

Besides ovarian steroid secretion, some authors noted that progesterone and androgens (testosterone and androstenedione) peak in the early morning during ovarian stimulation for IVF. These sex hormone elevations occur in line with circadian adrenal function. Figure 1 summarizes the main mechanisms that possibly act on premature progesterone rise.

### STIMULATION LENGTH AND INTENSITY

Some authors claimed that LH deficiency might cause premature progesterone elevation, and that LH support might reduce the risk of it happening. The idea was dismissed based on the fact that LH activity increases progesterone production during the follicular phase. (Lawrenz et al., 2018b). This point of view supports the findings of a systematic review published in 2012, which demonstrated that FSH alone or in combination with recombinant LH (rLH) did not produce consistent serum progesterone increases. Additionally, the FSH-hCG combination may be linked to ascending serum progesterone levels (Hugues, 2012). Today, evidence from systematic reviews supports the indication of LH supplementation to patients with adequate ovarian reserve parameters, individuals with unexpected poor response to ovarian stimulation with rFSH monotherapy, and patients aged 36-39 years (Alviggi et al., 2018).

Recent evidence from post hoc analysis of the data from the ENGAGE and PURSUE trials indicates that coriollitropin alfa was linked to significantly lower incidence of progesterone elevation than recombinant FSH (rFSH). The pharmacokinetic profile of coriollitropin shows higher FSH activity during the first two days, followed by decreased FSH activity. This pharmacokinetic pattern mimicked a step-down protocol and showed a marked decrease (5.4% vs. 18.3%) in premature progesterone incidence (Lawrenz et al., 2016). Also, coriollitropin alfa mimics the natural cycle regulation on FSH half-life by modifying the molecule’s acidity, as discussed previously. In our experience, high FSH doses do not correlate well with the number of retrieved oocytes in conventional ovarian stimulation protocols (Vázquez et al., 2021). It is worth noting that excessive gonadotropin stimulation might impair the oocyte's developmental competence due to alterations in spindle formation, which create a path for aneuploidy (Bianchi et al., 2015). Therefore, we encourage the use of nomograms and predictive models to help clinicians guide their clinical decisions. In a mathematical model, Nisal et al. (2020) demonstrated that predictive models can achieve a significantly lower dosage for each patient and that almost 98% of the patients had higher mature follicles for the optimal control profile than the physician-specified dosage. Several authors have found similar evidence and proposed the use of a cost-effective way to customize assisted reproduction technology treatments (Chalumeau et al., 2018; van Tilborg et al., 2017; Allegra et al., 2017).

---

### Table 1. Plasma concentrations, ovarian secretion rates, and total production rates of estradiol, E1, and progesterone during the various phases of the menstrual cycle.

| Steroid   | Early follicular | Late follicular |
|-----------|------------------|-----------------|
|           | Plasma concentration (pg/mL) | Secretion rate (mg/day) | Production rate (mg/day) | Plasma concentration (pg/mL) | Secretion rate (mg/day) | Production rate (mg/day) |
| Estradiol | 60               | 0.07            | 0.08             | 330-700                  | 0.4-0.8                    | 0.33-0.66                |
| E1        | 50               | 0.08            | 0.11             | 150-300                  | 0.25-0.5                   | 0.39-1.30                |
| Progesterone |                 |                 |                  |                           |                           |                           |

Modified from Stanczyk et al. (2013)
Regarding the length of ovarian stimulation, it should be noted that serum progesterone levels rise linearly with both follicle size and maturity (Schneyer et al., 2000). Therefore, delaying the ovulation trigger might lead to further follicle growth and higher serum progesterone levels. Harada et al. (1996) described in a retrospective study that altering the timing of ovulation trigger when an insidious rise in progesterone is detected may lead to improved embryo quality and implantation rates. A post hoc analysis of the Ensure study demonstrated that prolonged and intense stimulation were associated with a higher incidence of premature progesterone rise on the trigger day (Lawrenz et al., 2018). Decreased immature oocyte rate is also a concern in IVF cycles, with some cycles reaching a 30% immaturity rate. Evidence also shows that insufficient gonadotropin exposure may lead to nuclear and cytoplasmic immaturity. Yang et al. (2019) conducted a retrospective cohort study and found that oocyte maturation rates were significantly lower with shorter stimulation periods (<6 days) compared to longer stimulation periods (>7 days) in normal responders. It is still unclear whether immature oocytes are generated from small antral follicles at the time of oocyte retrieval or large preovulatory follicles that do not respond to hCG (Lee et al., 2012). Clinicians often struggle to increase the length of stimulation and recover more mature oocytes or reduce the time to pregnancy by avoiding freezing all embryos because of a premature progesterone rise. Some authors have performed a randomized controlled trial designed to evaluate the number of mature oocytes at retrieval. They found that patients with a high (>1.5ng/ml) elevation in serum progesterone and a 24-hour delay in oocyte maturation trigger were associated with a significantly higher number of mature oocytes, fertilized oocytes, and good quality embryos. However, this approach did not yield a higher number of mature oocytes in patients with moderately elevated serum progesterone levels (>1ng/ml and <1.5ng/ml) (Vandekerckhove et al., 2014). Authors such as Davar et al. (2017) did not find differences in the number of mature oocytes from delaying the hCG trigger.

Presently, mild ovarian stimulation protocols seem to be part of the measures that might palliate these effects. The idea that oral agents might prevent excessive responses along with patient-friendly ovarian stimulation is promising. Nevertheless, some authors have reached different conclusions. Yang et al. (2019b) conducted a randomized parallel controlled study and found that letrozole supplementation did not reduce the rising progesterone levels in the late follicular phase in high responders. Labarta et al. (2018) found the same results in women with poor ovarian response (according to the Bologna criteria), in that no significant differences were found between mild and conventional ovarian stimulation in terms of progesterone levels on hCG day.

**IMPACT OF PREMATURE PROGESTERONE RISE AND ENDOMETRIAL RECEPTIVITY**

Endometrial receptivity is a tightly regulated process, with estrogen and progesterone as its major regulators. Estrogen commands the proliferative phase of the menstrual cycle, while the secretory phase is mainly under the control of progesterone. Embryo implantation implies the apposition, attachment, and adhesion of the blastocyst to the luminal epithelium (LE) (Kelleher et al., 2018). Several endometrial receptivity markers have been described in association with this event. The most studied markers are transcriptional factors such as HOXA genes. HOXA-10 and HOXA-11, observed in the mid-luteal phase of the human menstrual cycle, have equally important roles in implantation. In conjunction with HOXA genes, there is a family of proteins with almost 21 isoforms that possess diverse functions, including redox reactions, protein folding, and chaperone activity. Aside from these functions, they have been described to play an essential role in the implantation process by modulating embryo attachment (Fernando et al., 2021).
Several previous studies (Al-Azemi et al., 2012; Bosch et al., 2010; Venetis et al., 2013; Labarta et al., 2011) demonstrated the harmful effects of high progesterone levels on endometrial receptivity, an event that explains, at least partially, adverse reproductive outcomes in women undergoing IVF cycles. Progesterone is crucial for endometrial glandular development and initiates the modifications needed for implantation. In a prospective study, endometrial biopsies from women with premature progesterone rise revealed a significantly advanced histological staging, by 0.3 to 1.0 days. Other authors reached similar conclusions respective to histological dating (Van Vaerenbergh et al., 2011). The proportion of glandular–stroma asynchrony was also significantly higher. Liu et al. (2015) found that high progesterone levels on hCG day were associated with the number of uterine natural killer (uNK) cells. Considering that uNK cells do not express progesterone receptors, it is reasonable to believe that progesterone might exert its effects indirectly via cytokines or other soluble factors produced by uterine stromal cells (Agostinis et al., 2019). Carlino et al. (2012) demonstrated that uterine stromal cells from fertile or menopausal women could release chemerin in response to progesterone and 17β estradiol, therefore supporting the migration of peripheral NK cells through stromal cells. In an animal model, the cytokine leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) is strongly expressed in the endometrium during the implantation window in response to the nidatory surge in estrogen from the ovary (Kelleher et al., 2018). LIF is primarily expressed in the glandular epithelium, and it is required for implantation since it initiates embryo attachment (Kelleher et al., 2018). In progesterone-treated pregnant mice, the level of LIF mRNA expression was inhibited compared to controls. Furthermore, progesterone-treated pregnant mice had a significantly lower number of implantation sites compared to controls (Liang et al., 2018). Higher progesterone levels on hCG day led to significantly higher uNK cell counts in the endometrium (7 days after hCG administration) than normal progesterone concentrations (Liu et al., 2015). However, the role of uNK cells in the endometrium is still unclear, and further research is needed to establish their effects on the implantation process. Conjointly excessive progesterone levels affect the expression of estrogen (ER) and progesterone receptors (PR). In a mouse model, Liang et al. (2018) demonstrated that excessive progesterone levels reduced the expression of progesterone and estrogen receptors, and were associated with significantly decreased expression of well-known markers of human in vitro decidualization in a dosage-dependent manner. These results supported the findings published by Van Vaerenbergh et al. (2011), which showed significant impacts on endometrial gene expression on a crucial protease for insulin-like growth-factor-binging protein 4, known as pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A (PAPP-A). The results published by Van Vaerenbergh et al. (2011) provide evidence that there is a small number of divergent endometrial gene expression between <0.9ng/ml to 1.5ng/ml and a sizable amount of differentially expressed genes in patients with more than 1.5ng/ml.

Therefore, this evidence supports the harmful effect of high progesterone levels on the implantation process in a dose-dependent fashion. Evidence is accumulating on the different effects on ovarian response produced by different progesterone level ranges. In a retrospective cohort study, Oktem et al. (2019) found that high responders were not free from the detrimental effects of premature progesterone elevation; the difference was that deleterious effects in high responders started at a higher threshold. Oktem et al. (2019) observed that in poor responders, a significant reduction in the probability of pregnancy appeared in the 1.5 to 1.9ng/ml range, while in normal responders a harmful effect began at 3.0 to 3.4ng/ml. High responders suffered from deleterious effects when progesterone reached 4.0 to 4.4ng/ml.

**PREMATURE PROGESTERONE RISE AND EMBRYO QUALITY**

In an apparent contradiction with previous findings, published data has pointed out that there is an association between the progesterone level on hCG day and the rate of top embryo quality (TEQ). It seems that patients with elevated progesterone levels during the late follicular phase are at risk of not having top quality blastocysts. According to Huang et al. (2016), the top quality embryo rate was significantly different in individuals with serum progesterone levels <2.0ng/ml before oocyte maturation. Some authors reached different conclusions and found that premature progesterone rise affects oocyte quality and therefore embryo quality according to ovarian response. Poor ovarian responders are the most affected by premature progesterone rise, with a significant reduction in high quality embryo rates compared to poor responders without elevated progesterone. Intermediate and high ovarian responders were not significantly different in terms of high quality embryo rate between individuals with and without elevated progesterone levels (Bu et al., 2014). A few authors concluded that elevated progesterone levels affect live birth rates regardless of protective features such as age and good ovarian response (Hill et al., 2015).

In animal models such as cattle and horses, the follicles remain sensitive to gonadotropins even when they are growing under high levels of progesterone and/or long lasting exposure to progesterone. Animal reproduction studies showed that progesterone-treated sheep had greater numbers of harvested oocytes and embryos, higher fertilization rates, and higher proportions of grade-1 embryos (Cuadro et al., 2018). Other authors found no significant differences in the number of MI oocytes retrieved, fertilization, blastocyst or euploid blastocyst rates between follicular and luteal phase stimulation (Ubaldi et al., 2016; Kuang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2017). Zhang et al. (2017) found that embryos produced during the luteal phase resulted in higher implantation rates than embryos obtained with follicular phase stimulation.

Evidence on double stimulation protocols is growing. Vaiarelli et al. (2018) found that high progesterone environments might yield competent oocytes, collected from follicular and luteal phase stimulations, which ultimately reach similar outcomes in terms of fertilization, blastulation, and euploidy rates, as well as good clinical outcomes after single blastocyst transfers. Double stimulation provides more euploid blastocysts in 65.5% of the patients against 42% of the patients if only follicular phase stimulation is performed (Vaiarelli et al., 2020).
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