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Proton pump inhibitor (PPI) is a prodrug which is activated by acid. Activated PPI binds covalently to the gastric H⁺, K⁺-ATPase via disulfide bond. Cys813 is the primary site responsible for the inhibition of acid pump enzyme, where PPIs bind. Omeprazole was the first PPI introduced in market, followed by pantoprazole, lansoprazole and rabeprazole. Though these PPIs share the core structures benzimidazole and pyridine, their pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics are a little different. Several factors must be considered in understanding the pharmacodynamics of PPIs, including: accumulation of PPI in the parietal cell, the proportion of the pump enzyme located at the canaliculus, de novo synthesis of new pump enzyme, metabolism of PPI, amounts of covalent binding of PPI in the parietal cell, and the stability of PPI binding. PPIs have about 1 hour of elimination half-life. Area under the plasmic concentration curve and the intragastric pH profile are very good indicators for evaluating PPI efficacy. Though CYP2C19 and CYP3A4 polymorphism are major components of PPI metabolism, the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of racemic mixture of PPIs depend on the CYP2C19 genotype status. S-omeprazole is relatively insensitive to CYP2C19, so better control of the intragastric pH is achieved. Similarly, R-lansoprazole was developed in order to increase the drug activity. Delayed-release formulation resulted in a longer duration of effective concentration of R-lansoprazole in blood, in addition to metabolic advantage. Thus, dexlansoprazole showed best control of the intragastric pH among the present PPIs. Overall, PPIs made significant progress in the management of acid-related diseases and improved health-related quality of life.
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Introduction

Since the parietal cell was known to secrete gastric acid, many drugs were developed to target the parietal cell in order to inhibit the acid secretion. The major functional targets in the parietal cell were the histamine type 2 (H2) receptor and the gastric H⁺, K⁺-ATPase.¹² Histamine binds to the H2 receptor, leading to elevation of intracellular cyclic AMP concentrations and activation of protein kinase A (PKA). One effect of PKA activation is the
phosphorylation of cytoskeletal proteins involved in the transport of the gastric $\text{H}^+$, $\text{K}^+$-ATPase from cytoplasm to the plasma membrane, i.e., from the vesicular and/or tubular vesicular membrane to the canalculus. In the canalculus, the gastric $\text{H}^+$, $\text{K}^+$-ATPase can access KCl of the extracellular region and exchange the intracellular proton with the extracellular K ion, which represents the gastric acid secretion. The H2 receptor is crucial in making the acid secreting morphology of the parietal cell, while the gastric $\text{H}^+$, $\text{K}^+$-ATPase is the final functional work on the acid secretion.

The H2 receptor antagonist was first introduced in 1977 and dramatically increased the healing rates of peptic ulcer disease. The receptor antagonist, however, showed limited healing effects on the gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) since the antagonist provided limited pH control in the stomach. In order to get better pH control in the stomach, the acid secreting enzyme was considered as a drug target. The proton pump inhibitor (PPI), introduced in 1989, targeted the gastric $\text{H}^+$, $\text{K}^+$-ATPase and reflected a major medical therapeutic breakthrough in the treatment of peptic ulcers and GERD, resulting in more rapid healing of the lesions and symptom relief.

PPI is a prodrug which is activated by acid. PPI inhibits the gastric $\text{H}^+$, $\text{K}^+$-ATPase by covalent bonding at cysteines near the ion pathway. Due to the property of covalent bonds, the inhibitory activity lasts longer.

**Structure of the Gastric $\text{H}^+$, $\text{K}^+$-ATPase**

The gastric $\text{H}^+$, $\text{K}^+$-ATPase is an $\alpha$, $\beta$-heterodimeric enzyme. The $\alpha$ subunit, with molecular mass of about 100 kDa, has the catalytic site and the $\beta$ subunit, with peptide mass of 35 kDa, is strongly but non-covalently associated with the $\alpha$ subunit.

The gastric $\alpha$ subunit has conserved sequences along with the other P2 type ATPases, the sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca$^{2+}$-ATPase and the Na$^+$, K$^+$-ATPase, for the ATP binding site and the phosphorylation site. The phosphorylation site was observed to be at Asp386, which is well conserved in other P-type ATPases. The membrane topology of the $\alpha$ subunit has been shown to contain 10 membrane spanning segments. The $\beta$ subunit consists of about 290 amino acids with a single transmembrane segment, which is located at the region near the N-terminus. There are 3 disulfide bridges in the luminal region of the $\beta$ subunit. Six and seven putative N-glycosylated sites (AsnXaaSer and AsnXaaThr) are found in pig and rabbit, respectively. Seven N-glycosylated sites of the gastric $\text{H}^+$, $\text{K}^+$-ATPase $\beta$ subunit are conserved in rat and human.

The $\alpha$ subunit of the $\text{H}^+$, $\text{K}^+$-ATPase is strongly associated with the $\beta$ subunit. The region of the sequence Arg898 to Arg922 in the $\alpha$ subunit was known to have strong interactions with the extracytoplasmic domain of the $\beta$ subunit. In the plasma membrane, the gastric $\text{H}^+$, $\text{K}^+$-ATPase may function as an ($\alpha$, $\beta$); dimeric heterodimer. The important observation was that the membrane preparation showed full stoichiometry with respect to ATP binding (1 mol/mol of $\alpha$-$\beta$) and half stoichiometry with respect to inhibitor binding and phosphorylation, suggesting an ($\alpha$, $\beta$)$_2$ oligomer.

The $\text{H}^+$, $\text{K}^+$-ATPase $\alpha$ subunit is composed of 10 transmembrane helices (TM1 through TM10) and 3 cytosolic domains: N (nucleotide binding), P (phosphorylation) and A (activation) (Fig. 1). Recently, 2 dimensional crystals of the $\text{H}^+$, $\text{K}^+$-ATPase were analyzed in the presence of the phosphate analog BeF$^-$ and an inhibitor SCH28080. This structure of the E2P conformation was similar to that of Ca$^{2+}$-ATPase. The overall structure shows characteristic features of the ADP-insensitive E,P conformation, to which SCH28080 is preferentially bound. The BeF$^-$-bound phosphorylation site (Asp386) at the P domain is covered by the $\alpha$ subunit, and the ADP-bound N domain is separated from the P domain, thus the bound phosphate analog seems to be isolated from both ADP and the bulk solution.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1.** A model structure of the gastric $\text{H}^+$, $\text{K}^+$-ATPase. The gastric $\text{H}^+$, $\text{K}^+$-ATPase $\alpha$ subunit has 3 lobes, N (ATP binding), P (phosphorylation) and A (activation) domains in the cytoplasmic domain, and 3 transmembrane segments in the membrane domain. The gastric $\beta$ subunit has short cytoplasmic region, 1 transmembrane segment, and a heavily glycosylated extracellular region. The number of Asn sites having carbohydrates is based on pig $\text{H}^+$, $\text{K}^+$-ATPase.
Proton Pumping Mechanism of the Gastric H\textsuperscript{+}, K\textsuperscript{+}-ATPase

The H\textsuperscript{+}, K\textsuperscript{+}-ATPase exchanges intracellular hydrogen ions for extracellular potassium ions by consuming ATP. The H\textsuperscript{+}/ATP ratio was independent of external KCl and ATP concentration. However, the stoichiometry of H\textsuperscript{+} per ATP was different depending on the luminal pH. The H\textsuperscript{+} for K\textsuperscript{+} stoichiometry of the H\textsuperscript{+}, K\textsuperscript{+}-ATPase per ATP hydrolyzed was 1 at low pH, and 2 at neutral pH or near neutral pH.

The H\textsuperscript{+}, K\textsuperscript{+}-ATPase has many reaction steps for pumping the proton (Fig. 2). The H\textsuperscript{+}, K\textsuperscript{+}-ATPase binds the hydronium ion on the cytoplasmic side at high affinity, which is called E\textsubscript{1} conformation. The initial step is the reversible binding of ATP to the enzyme in the absence of added K\textsuperscript{+}, followed by a Mg\textsuperscript{2+} (and hydronium) dependent transfer of γ-phosphate of ATP to Asp386 of the catalytic subunit (E\textsubscript{2}-P-H\textsuperscript{+}). Following phosphorylation, the conformation changes from E\textsubscript{2}-P-H\textsubscript{+}O\textsuperscript{−} to the E\textsubscript{2}-P-H\textsubscript{2}O\textsuperscript{−} form, which has high affinity for K\textsuperscript{+} and low affinity for H\textsubscript{2}O\textsuperscript{+}, allowing release of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsuperscript{+} and binding of K\textsuperscript{+} from the extra-cytoplasmic surface of the enzyme. Breakdown of the E\textsubscript{2}P form requires K\textsuperscript{+} or its congeners on the outside face of the enzyme. With dephosphorylation, the E\textsubscript{1}K\textsuperscript{+} conformation is produced with a low affinity for K\textsuperscript{+}, releasing K\textsuperscript{+} to the cytoplasmic side and allowing rebinding of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsuperscript{+}.

The addition of K\textsuperscript{+} to the enzyme-bound acyl phosphate results in a biphasic dephosphorylation. The faster initial step is dependent on the concentration of K\textsuperscript{+}, whereas the slower step is not affected by K\textsuperscript{+} concentration. The second phase of phospho-enzyme breakdown is accelerated in the presence of K\textsuperscript{+} but, at K\textsuperscript{+} concentrations exceeding 500 μM, the rate becomes independent of K\textsuperscript{+} concentration. This shows that 2 forms of phosphoenzyme exist. The first form, presumably E\textsubscript{1}P is K\textsuperscript{+} insensitive and converts spontaneously in the rate-limiting step to E\textsubscript{2}P, the K\textsuperscript{+} sensitive form. ATP binding to the H\textsuperscript{+}, K\textsuperscript{+}-ATPase occurs in both the E\textsubscript{1} and the E\textsubscript{2} state, but with a lower affinity in E\textsubscript{2} state. The Mg\textsuperscript{2+} remains occluded in the P domain near Asp730 until dephosphorylation in contrast to the Na\textsuperscript{+}, K\textsuperscript{+}-ATPase where the Mg ion is released from E\textsubscript{2}P.

Proton and Potassium Ion Pathway

A model of H\textsuperscript{+} and K\textsuperscript{+} transport was proposed as follows.

There is an ion channel gate at the middle of the membrane where transmembrane segments TM5, TM6 and TM3, and 3 hydroxym ion binding sites (H1, H2 and H3) exist. The H1 hydroxym ion binding site consists of E795, E936 and D824. The hydroxym site H2 consists of E795, E820 and D824. Lys-NH\textsubscript{3}+ -791 is above the hydroxym site H2. With phosphorylation and formation of E\textsubscript{1}P, K791 moves into the second hydroxym site containing E795, E820 and D824 forming E\textsubscript{2}P and the lys-NH\textsubscript{3}+ displaces the H\textsubscript{2}O\textsuperscript{+} outward. At pH < 3.0, the hydroxym at H1 remains bound due to the higher pKa of this site so the K\textsuperscript{+} binds only at H2, displacing K791 upward. With dephosphorylation, K\textsuperscript{+} moves into H3, where E820, E343 and the carbonyls of V337 and V341 are reoriented to allow the exiting of K\textsuperscript{+} along TM3. Critical to the coupling of ATP binding and subsequent phosphorylation is the conformational change where the A domain rotates clockwise to bring the N and P domain into apposition, with the bound ATP acting like a hook to retain this conformation until dephosphorylation.

The model generated for the E\textsubscript{2}P conformation showed a channel for the passage of K\textsuperscript{+} from the luminal vestibule to the ion occlusion site near the middle of the membrane. The M5/M6 loop presents the first protein segment encountered for passage of the ion into the channel. The only pathway to the site accessed by the ion is between the carbonyl oxygens of L811 and G812 and the thiol of C813. This leads to apparent binding to these 2 carboxyls and 2 molecules of water. This appears from the model to be the initial entry site into the channel. A notable feature of the luminal face of the enzyme modeled in the E\textsubscript{2}P form is the presence of a luminal vestibule bounded by TM4, TM5 and TM6.
and the connecting exoplasmic loops between TM3-TM4 and TM5-TM6 containing Cys813 as part of the loop between TM5 and TM6. This vestibule is a natural exit and entry point for transported cations, and also provides access to the different classes of inhibitors of the gastric H\(^+\), K\(^+\)-ATPase such as the PPIs and the acid pump antagonists. Proton pump inhibits the pump enzyme by covalently binding to the thiol of Cys813. Depending on the structure of the PPI, additional binding sites at different site were observed.

**Proton Pump Inhibitors**

Since a substituted benzimidazole was first reported to inhibit the H\(^+\), K\(^+\)-ATPase, many inhibitors of the H\(^+\), K\(^+\)-ATPase have been synthesized (Fig. 3). The first pump inhibitor used clinically was 2-[(3, 5-dimethyl-4-methoxypyridin-2-yl) methylsulfinyl]-5-methoxy-1H-benzimidazole, omeprazole. This compound is a weak base, \(\sim pK_a 4\). The H\(^+\), K\(^+\)-ATPase in the parietal cell secretes acid into the secretory canaliculus generating a pH of \(< 1.0\) in the lumen of this structure. The acidity of this space allows accumulation of weak bases of this pKa. Weak bases of a pKa less than 4.0 can be accumulated only in this acidic space and no other acidic space in the body. Then, this compound is rapidly activated by the high acidity and inhibits acid secretion by binding to the cysteines accessible to the activated form. Other covalent binding inhibitors belonging to the substituted benzimidazole family were followed. These reagents are weak base, acid activated compounds, which form cationic sulfenamides or sulfenic acids in highly acidic environments. The thiophilic compounds formed react with the SH group of cysteines in the ATPase to form relatively stable disulfides (Fig. 4). Omeprazole has a stoichiometry of 2 moles inhibitor bound per mole phosphoenzyme under acid transporting conditions and is bound only to the \(\alpha\) subunit even in vivo. The binding sites of omeprazole are Cys813 and Cys892. The sites of reaction of the different PPIs on the enzyme differ according to the particular PPI. However, all PPIs react with Cys813 in the loop between TM5 and TM6 that fixes the enzyme in the E\(_2\) configuration. Lansoprazole reacts with Cys813 and Cys321, these being in the luminal vestibule, whereas pantoprazole reacts with Cys813 and Cys822. The reaction with Cys822 confers a rather special property to the covalently inhibited enzyme, namely irreversibility to reducing agents in vitro and in vivo due to the lack of accessibility of Cys822. An innovation was introduced by specific enantiomer (e.g., the S-enantiomer of omeprazole, esomeprazole, and the R-enantiomer of lansoprazole, dexlansoprazole). This specific enantiomer has an advantage over metabolism.

**Cellular Mechanism of Proton Pump Inhibitors in the Stomach**

In the human body, the only acidic space below pH 4 is the
stomach. With the realization that these PPIs are weak bases with a pKₐ between ~4.0 (omeprazole, lansoprazole and pantoprazole) and 5.0 (rabeprazole), it was clear that they would accumulate in the acidic space of the secretory canaliculus of the stimulated parietal cell. This acid space dependent concentration of the PPIs is their first important property that determines their therapeutic index, giving a concentration at the luminal surface of the pump that is about 1000-fold of that in the blood. The second vital step is the low pH dependent conversion from the accumulated prodrug to the activated species that is a highly reactive cationic thiophilic reagent. This means that protonation of these compounds is required for their activation to form disulfides with cysteines of the H⁺, K⁺-ATPase. The order of acid stability is pantoprazole > omeprazole > lansoprazole > rabeprazole. When the rate of conversion of different compounds was measured as a function of pH, it was found that the pH dependence of activation reflected protonation of the benzimidazole moiety. This explained the different activation rates among the PPIs. The chemical reaction pathway for acid activation of the PPIs is shown in Figure 4.

PPIs are prodrugs, effective only after protonation as discussed above. After accumulation in the stimulated secretory canaliculus of the parietal cell and binding to the gastric H⁺, K⁺-ATPase, the protonation activates to form the thiophilic drug that reacts with luminally accessed cysteines on the acid pump enzyme. It follows that the presence of acid secretion is necessary for their action. Hence it is recommended that they be given ~30 minutes before meal to ensure that the pumps are active when peak concentrations of the PPIs are present in the blood. It is also necessary to protect them from gastric acid prior to absorption. Because PPIs have a relatively short half-life and not all pumps are activated, it takes about three days to reach steady state inhibition of acid secretion as a balance is struck between covalent inhibition of active pump, subsequent stimulation of inactive pumps after the drug has been eliminated from the blood and de novo synthesis of new pumps.

The pump protein has a half life of about 54 hours in the rat (and probably in man), thus about 20% new pumps are synthesized over 24 hours. Additionally, it may be that there is greater pump synthesis at night than during the day. Bedtime administration will not add to inhibition of nocturnal acid breakthrough, since the drug will have disappeared by the time nighttime acid secretion is evident. Assuming that about 70% of pumps are activated by breakfast and that the PPI is given 30-60 minutes before, it can be calculated that steady state inhibition on
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once a day dosage is at about 66% of the maximal acid output. Increasing the dose has virtually no effect once optimal dosage has been reached, but increasing dose frequency has some effect, shown by dexlansoprazole delayed release formulation.

### Linear Relationship Between the Inhibition of Gastric Acid Secretion and Covalent Binding of Proton Pump Inhibitor

The inhibition of acid secretion was compared to the binding amounts of omeprazole in vivo (Shin, unpublished data). Inhibitory activity by PPIs was linear to the binding amounts of PPIs in the pump enzyme (Fig. 5). In this experiment, radioactive omeprazole was orally administrated at a dosage of 10 mg/kg to make full inhibition, and the drug concentration in the plasma level and the inhibition of acid secretion were measured at timed intervals. Then, the stomachs were isolated at a given time and the pump enzyme was separated from each stomach. Radioactive omeprazole bound to the enzyme was counted, and the quantity of the enzyme was determined. Maximum binding of omeprazole to the pump enzyme with full inhibition of acid pumping was 2.5 nmol/mg of the enzyme, which was fully agreed with the reported data.32 As shown in Figure 5, the inhibition of acid secretion decreased as the binding amounts of omeprazole decreased. The relationship was linear. Plasma level of the drug was not correlated with the inhibition or binding amounts except administration beginning time. Drug concentration in the blood abolished fast with the elimination half-life about 7-10 minutes in rats, while the inhibition prolonged since the inhibition was achieved by covalent binding of activated omeprazole. This clearly shows that measuring the plasma level of the drug cannot reveal the inhibition of the drug.

Several factors must be considered to understand the pharmacodynamics of PPIs: accumulation of PPI in the parietal cell, proportion of the pump enzyme located at the canaliculus, de novo synthesis of new pump enzyme, metabolism of PPI, amounts of covalent binding of PPI in the parietal cell and the stability of PPI binding.

### Stability of Proton Pump Inhibitor Binding

If covalent binding of PPI to the enzyme is inert, only de novo biosynthesis was responsible for restoration of ATPase activity. The half-life of PPI binding will be same as the half-life of the pump enzyme. However, there was a discrepancy of half-life among the pump enzyme, the PPI binding, and the inhibition of PPI. Half-life of the rat pump enzyme was about 54 hours33 but restoration of the enzyme activity after PPI administration was about 15 hours of half-life.32 In other experiments, the half-time of restoration of acid secretion in omeprazole treated rats was 20 hours.34,35 The half-life of omeprazole in vivo bound to the enzyme in rats was about 12 hours,31 which was a little faster than that of the enzyme activity restoration. Activated PPI binding to the pump enzyme is achieved through the disulfide forming between the activated PPI and cysteines of the enzyme. Disulfide bond is pretty weak on reductive cleavage. In the parietal cell, there are 2-3 mM of glutathione, which can cleave the disulfide. If glutathione can access the disulfide of PPI bound enzyme, glutathione can cleave the PPI, resulting in restoration of the enzyme activity. Since omeprazole binds at Cys813 and Cys892, different accessibility of glutathione to each cysteine may result in faster cleavage. Only Cys813 bound omeprazole is responsible for the inhibition, in other words, restoration of the enzyme activity depends on the cleavage of disulfide of PPI at Cys813. Different restoration of the pump enzyme activity was observed among PPIs. Restoration of the pump activity was much slower in pantoprazole treated rats with biphasic mode. Incubation of the inhibited ATPase with glutathione resulted in a different rate of loss in the binding of omeprazole and pantoprazole. These observations showed that removal of the drug’s binding to Cys813 accounts for the fast phase of recovery of acid secretion, while the
slow recovery occurs because of the delay in removal of the drug from Cys822.31,32 Both residues, Cys813 and Cys822, are equally labeled by pantoprazole in vivo. Thus the stability of PPI binding is one of the factors affecting the duration of the inhibitory activity.

**Metabolism of the Proton Pump Inhibitor**

The PPIs are inactive in their native form and are rapidly metabolized by the liver. Since PPI is an acid-activated prodrug, it is important to keep the PPI plasma level high until the gastric acid secretes. Maintaining high plasma level of the drug is significantly affected by the character of the metabolism. Metabolism of PPIs is dependent on the cytochrome P450 system. CYP2C19 and CYP3A4 polymorphism are major components for this. Omeprazole is converted to hydroxyl and 5-O-demethyl metabolites by CYP2C19 and to the sulfone by CYP3A4.36 According to the metabolic rate of omeprazole, individuals are classified as homozygous extensive metabolizer (homoEM), heterozygous extensive metabolizer (heteroEM) and poor metabolizer (PM). PMs exhibit a 3 to 10 folds higher area under the plasma concentration curve (AUC) than homoEM, while heteroEMs exhibit a 2 to 3 folds higher AUC.37,38 The CYP2C19 genotype greatly influenced this difference. The most extensively described variant alleles for PMs are CYP2C19*2 and CYP2C19*3, which encode for nonfunctional proteins. Omeprazole is a racemic mixture of 2 enantiomers, R-omeprazole and S-omeprazole. Each enantiomer showed different affinity to the CYP enzyme. R-omeprazole was more sensitive to CYP2C19 while S-omeprazole was less sensitive.39,40 Therefore, S-omeprazole provided better plasma level of the drug. Like omeprazole, lansoprazole also was extensively metabolized by CYP2C19 and CYP3A4. Major metabolites of lansoprazole are 5-hydroxy lansoprazole and the sulfone. Similar patterns of metabolism were observed in pantoprazole and rabeprazole.

**Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics of Proton Pump Inhibitor**

It is clear that the quantity of PPI bound to the enzyme is directly linked to the inhibition of gastric acid secretion. However, it is very difficult to measure the quantity of PPI binding in vivo, so we need another parameter substituting the quantity of PPI binding. As discussed above, the plasma level of the drug was not linear to the inhibitory activity. It was, however, observed that the gastric antisecretory effect was related to the total dose and AUC, whereas the peak level or the shape of the curve was of minor importance.41,42 This enables AUC to correlate with the activity. However, this relationship is only acceptable up to a certain level such as the ED50 level of dosage. Linear relationship between the inhibitory activity and AUC was not shown at higher dosage of the drug. Though the relationship between AUC and the inhibition was not linear at higher dosage of the drug due to the short half-life of the drug and the limited exposure of the enzyme to the drug, at least AUC showed the efficacy of the drug with good reliability. Unlike the animal model, the measurement of in-
hilitation of acid output in human is not easy, so measuring intragastric pH is used to present the inhibition due to drug activity. Actually, control of the intragastric pH is very important in healing acid-related diseases and eradicating *Helicobacter pylori*. The duration time of intragastric pH over 3 is crucial for healing duodenal ulcers. In order to get successful treatment of GERD, percent time of the intragastric pH > 4 should be high, as is true for eradicating *H. pylori*. Therefore, duration time of intragastric pH over 3 or 4 and mean (or median) intragastric pH become powerful tools in evaluating the drug’s efficacy. Mean intragastric pH was shown to have some linearity with AUC, however, the degree of acid suppression shown by intragastric pH profile would be the best in vivo parameter with which to compare the potency of PPIs.

All PPIs have about 1 hour of the elimination half-life, but the time to maximum plasma concentration (t\text{max}) was widely deviated from 1 hour to 5 hours by drug formulation and/or food effect. Pharmacokinetic properties are summarized in Table.

After the clinical efficacy of omeprazole 20 mg was well studied, other PPIs were compared to omeprazole. For example, lansoprazole 30 mg was compared to omeprazole 20 mg. One study showed slightly improved acid suppression by lansoprazole 30 mg while another study showed no significant difference. Lansoprazole 30 mg was not superior to omeprazole 40 mg. When pantoprazole 40 mg was compared with omeprazole 20 mg, a significantly higher daytime pH on both day 1 and 7 was observed with pantoprazole, however pantoprazole and omeprazole have a similar potency on a mg for mg basis. Generally speaking, omeprazole, lansoprazole, pantoprazole and rabeprazole have similar efficacy for healing the acid-related diseases.

S-omeprazole has an advantage on metabolism as its plasma concentration is higher than that of omeprazole. AUC of S-omeprazole was much higher than that of omeprazole. Thus, S-omeprazole, named as esomeprazole, gave improved intragastric pH profile as expected. For instance, Lind et al reported that mean duration time with intragastric pH > 4 was 16.8 hours and 24-hour median intragastric pH 4.9 was measured by esomeprazole 40 mg.

All studies related with esomeprazole demonstrated that esomeprazole 40 mg once daily (od) is superior to all other PPIs at standard doses in terms of achieving higher 24-hour median intragastric pH and the number of patients achieving intragastric pH \( \geq 4.0 \) for at least 12 hours per day. Since esomeprazole was superior to other PPIs for acid suppression, better healing rates on acid-related diseases were achieved. Clinical studies demonstrated that esomeprazole 40 mg od for up to 8 weeks provided higher rates of healing of erosive GERD, along with a greater proportion of patients with sustained resolution of heartburn, than omeprazole 20 mg, lansoprazole 30 mg, or pantoprazole 40 mg od.

The metabolic advantage of esomeprazole increases the plasma concentration, resulting in higher AUC, however its short half-life (60-90 minutes) is still the key issue in drug efficacy. There is no drug present at night. In order to keep reasonable plasma level of the drug, twice-daily dosing was designed and provided significantly greater acid suppression than once-daily dosing. Esomeprazole 40 mg bd has also been shown to be superior to pantoprazole 40 mg bd and lansoprazole 30 mg bd in maintaining intragastric pH at 4.0 or lower. Twice-daily dosing of esomeprazole provides significantly greater acid suppression than once-daily dosing and may, therefore, be a reasonable consideration for patients requiring greater acid-suppression for GERD.

Recently a novel formulation of the R-enantiomer of lansoprazole has been introduced. This is a dual release formulation of 60 mg of PPI with normal enteric coating release at around pH 5.0 and a coating labile at pH 7.0 releasing dexlansoprazole some hours later to try to provide night time drug levels. A similar

---

**Table.** Pharmacokinetic Property of Proton Pump Inhibitors

| Parameter | Omeprazole 20 mg | Lansoprazole 30 mg | Pantoprazole 40 mg | Rabeprazole 20 mg | Esomeprazole |
|-----------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|
| t\text{max} (hr) | 1-4 | 1.2-2.1 | 2-4 | 3-5 | 1.0-3.5 |
| C\text{max} (\mu mol/L) | 0.23-23.2 | 1.62-3.25 | 2.87-8.61 | 1.14 | 2.1-2.4 at 20 mg, 4.7-5.1 at 40 mg |
| AUC (\mu mol·hr/L) | 0.58-3.47 | 4.6-13.5 | 5.22-13.04 | 2.22 | 4.2 at 20 mg, 12.6 at 40 mg |
| V (L/kg) | 0.13-0.25 | 0.4 | 0.15 | 0.22-0.26 |
| CL (mL/min) | 400-620 | 400-650 | 90-225 | 160-330 |
| t\text{1/2} (hr) | 0.5-1.2 | 0.9-2.1 | 0.8-2.0 | 0.6-1.4 | 1.3-1.6 |

\( t\text{max} \): time to maximal plasma concentration; \( C\text{max} \): maximal plasma concentration; \( AUC \): area under the plasmic concentration curve; \( V \): apparent volume of distribution; \( CL \): clearance; \( t\text{1/2} \): elimination half-life.
strategy has been adopted for rabeprazole.\(^\text{18}\)

Dexlansoprazole delayed-release (DR) 60 mg gave better control of intragastric pH than esomeprazole 40 mg (Fig. 6). Mean gastric pH values for dexlansoprazole DR and esomeprazole were 4.3 and 3.7 respectively. Night time pH control was significantly improved with dexlansoprazole. At > 12-24 hours postdose, mean percentage of time with pH > 4 and average of mean pH were 60% and 4.5 respectively. Under the same situation, esomeprazole showed 42% of mean percentage of time with pH > 4 and an average of mean pH 3.5.\(^\text{39}\)

Rabeprazole extended-release (ER) 50 mg formulation was developed to provide prolonged gastric acid suppression and potentially improved clinical outcomes in GERD patients. One study shows that Rabeprazole ER groups provided mean durations of 18.5-20.2 hours (77.0-84.1% of 24-hour) on day 5. Percentage of night-time (10 pm-8 am) with intragastric pH > 4 vs. esomeprazole 40 mg (15.9 hours/66.1% of 24-hour) on day 5. Percentage of night-time (10 pm-8 am) with intragastric pH > 4 was higher with the rabeprazole ER groups (57.0-72.4%) vs. esomeprazole 40 mg (32.8%).\(^\text{60}\)

Modified-release or ER of PPIs apparently has longer effective plasma concentration. This provides a better chance to block the gastric H\(^+\), K\(^+\)-ATPase activity, which allows better intragastric pH control.

There have been some concerns about the safety of PPIs. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has warned against the use of certain PPIs by patients on clopidogrel. However, a randomized controlled trial that compared clopidogrel alone with the combination of clopidogrel and omeprazole found no increase in adverse cardiovascular outcomes and a reduction in the rate of adverse gastrointestinal outcomes attributable to omeprazole.\(^\text{61}\)

**Conclusion**

Since PPIs were introduced, considerable progress has been achieved in the management of acid-related diseases. The intragastric target pH can be maintained above the threshold level of > 3 (peptic ulcer) or > 4 (GERD) by PPIs. Metabolism of PPI was different among individuals. There are three groups of metabolizers: homoEM, heteroEM and PM, as described above. This difference was from the variation of CYP2C19 phenotype. Specific enantiomers such as S-omeprazole and R-lansoprazole had significant advantage over the CYP2C19 enzyme. Better control of the intragastric pH was achieved by this specific enantiomer.

Though the shape of the plasma concentration curve or the peak level was of minor importance, AUC was relatively linear-fit with the antisecretory inhibition. Good linearity was observed between the amounts of PPI binding and the inhibition. Measuring the intragastric pH and AUC is enough to judge the drug efficacy. DR or ER of the drug enabled the night time pH control due to prolonged time of effective plasma concentration.

In patients with GERD, standard doses of esomeprazole and dexlansoprazole maintain intragastric pH above 4 for significantly longer periods compared with standard doses of other PPIs after 5 days of treatment. PPI treatment in GERD has been reported to result in the improvement of health-related quality of life indices.
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