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Soil microbiota plays an important role in the sustainable production of the different types of agrosystems. Among the members of the plant microbiota, mycorrhizal fungi (MF) and plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB) interact in rhizospheric environments leading to additive and/or synergistic effects on plant growth and health. In this manuscript, the main mechanisms used by MF and PGPB to facilitate plant growth are reviewed, including the improvement of nutrient uptake, and the reduction of ethylene levels or biocontrol of potential pathogens, under both normal and stressful conditions due to abiotic or biotic factors. Finally, it is necessary to expand both research and field use of bioinoculants based on these components and take advantage of their beneficial interactions with plants to alleviate plant stress and improve plant growth and production to satisfy the demand for food for an ever-increasing human population.
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INTRODUCTION

The world's global population continues to grow alarmingly in the vast majority of countries, leading to various problems in feeding them (Salcedo Gastelum et al., 2020). The latest report on the State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World, published 13 July 2020, clearly shows that “almost 690 million people suffered from hunger in 2019, that is 10 million more than in 2018 and slightly <60 million more in 5 years.” Moreover, these numbers rise dramatically when considering people unable to maintain a healthy or nutritious diet. Asia, Africa, Latin America, and the Caribbean are the geographical areas characterized by the highest number of undernourished people (381, 250, and 48 million, respectively). Globally, the percentage of undernourished people is estimated to be about 9% of the world's population. Although this amount has not undergone significant variation over time, there has been a constant upward trend since 2014, indicating that in the last 5 years the problems of inadequate global nutrition has grown proportionally with the increase of the world population (http://www.fao.org/3/ca9692en/online/ca9692en.html*). Some authors have outlined various intersecting challenges related to world food insecurity ranging from the need for more equitable food distribution, avoiding food waste and ameliorating the impact of climate change (Prosekov and Ivanova, 2018). Another important factor is the production of vegetable crops in a more sustainable way, which involves making a more rational and healthy use of the soil in the long term, avoiding its contamination with the excessive use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides, in addition to the toxic side effects in animal and human health (Mahmood et al., 2016; Khatoon et al., 2020).
One of the essential factors of a healthy soil are the microorganisms that inhabit it; the soil microbiota is an essential part of biogeochemical cycles, since microorganisms recycle and solubilize essential nutrients for plant growth. Thus, microbial inoculants must be friendly to the environment (Madsen, 2011; Mercado-Blanco et al., 2018). However, much of the world’s arable soils do not have optimal cultivation conditions, a problem that presents an additional challenge, i.e., plants growing under conditions of abiotic and biotic stress. Abiotic stresses include drought, soil salinity, flooding, extremes of temperature, and contamination with organic pollutants and heavy metals, while biotic factors include the effects on agricultural production caused by plant pathogens, such as insects, fungi, bacteria, viruses, and nematodes (Santoyo et al., 2017; Gimenez et al., 2018; Gamalero and Glick, 2020). However, the same soil, and in particular, those that inhabit the rhizosphere, may contain beneficial microorganisms, whose associations with plants facilitate plant growth. The rhizosphere may be defined as the part of the soil that is directly influenced by root exudates, which include metabolites, amino acids, or vitamins that can serve as nutrients and stimulate the growth of microbial populations (Olanrewaju et al., 2019; Vives-Peris et al., 2020).

In rhizospheric soil, multiple interactions that are essential to stimulate the growth, health, and development of plants take place among the microorganisms (Trivedi et al., 2020). Two of the main players in this ecosystem are mycorrhizal fungi and plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB) (Rakhlami et al., 2019; Phour et al., 2020). These microorganisms play a key role in sustainable agricultural production, and can enable growers to lessen or avoid the use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides (Molina-Romero et al., 2021). Mycorrhizae (a combination of mycelium of the fungus and the roots of the plant) form networks that capture water and nutrients from the soil, which facilitate the acquisition of the plant. PGPB can also solubilize various nutritional resources or produce antimicrobial compounds that antagonize potential phytopathogens (Bonfante and Anca, 2009; Genre et al., 2020). Interestingly, some bacteria can modulate mycorrhizal symbiosis with the plant. In fact, species of the genus *Pseudomonas* have been widely studied for their ability to help the mycorrhization process, sometimes being called Mycorrhiza Helper Bacteria (MHB) (Garbaye and Bowen, 1989; Rigamonte et al., 2010; Xing et al., 2018). Thus, the tripartite interaction between mycorrhizae, bacteria and plants can be an excellent strategy for sustainable agricultural production (Glick, 2020; Phour et al., 2020), particularly in regions where abiotic stresses decrease the quality of crops. For example, the salinization of soils in a large part of the world’s regions greatly inhibits the growth of plants (Etesami and Beattie, 2017; Etesami and Glick, 2020). Some authors have proposed that up to 20% of the world’s total arable land is affected by this problem, especially in arid and semi-arid regions (Horie et al., 2012; Hanin et al., 2016; Gamalero et al., 2020). In these regions, high temperatures and water scarcity also negatively affect agricultural production, increasing the costs to irrigate crops efficiently (Rodriguez-Flores et al., 2019). In addition, biotic factors, such as the presence of pathogens and pests can destroy crops. Some recent data by Savary et al. (2019) indicate that the annual losses of wheat, rice, maize, potato, and soybean crops worldwide vary between 10 and 40% of total production, bringing serious economic losses for producers, mainly in highly populated and food-deficit regions. In this sense, the soil microbiota, including mycorrhizae and PGPB, can act to improve the growth and production of plants in the presence of these stresses.

### OVERVIEW OF MYCORRHIZAE

The term mycorrhiza comes from the Greek for “fungus” and “root.” In general, mycorrhizal fungi can colonize and form a symbiosis with plant roots externally or internally. Ectomycorrhizae (ECM) are mainly found in associations with trees and shrubs; while endomycorrhizae can be of the arbuscular type (AM), ericoid, and orchid mycorrhizas. AM form associations with a great diversity of plant taxa, while the ericoid mycorrhizae are restricted to the order Ericales and the orchid mycorrhizas to the family Orchidaceae (Genre et al., 2020). For the purposes of this manuscript, only studies related to ECM and AM are discussed due to their widespread distribution and use in agricultural environments, as well as their known interaction with rhizosphere bacteria.

AM have various propagules, such as spores, hyphae, and rhizomorphs, which are structures that survive in environments such as the rhizosphere. When some ECM spores germinate and form hyphae, these can come into contact with the roots of susceptible plants and form an external mantle, while some others penetrate (mainly) between the cells of the root epidermis, generating a Hartig net. This network increases the contact area between the root cells and the soil, facilitating the uptake and transport of nutrients between both partners (Singh et al., 2016; Genre et al., 2020).

The symbiotic interaction between AM and plant roots is believed to be ~400 million years old; moreover, AM inhabit the vast majority of terrestrial plants (Van Der Heijden, 2010). The colonization and signaling processes between mycorrhizae and plants has been more widely studied in AM compared to the interaction between plants and other types of mycorrhizae (Bonfante and Anca, 2009). In general, the stimulation of spore germination in AM is dependent on the plant, since some root exudates can stimulate this process, however, it is not essential. Once the spores germinate, the hyphae must come into contact with the root to begin the colonization process. Volatile organic compounds and strigolactones (chemical compounds produced by plant roots) can initiate and direct the hyphal growth of the fungus, attracting it to enter a symbiotic relationship. Carbon dioxide is one of the volatiles that stimulates the growth of hyphae in AM and is sometimes essential as a nutrient for their growth (Gadkar et al., 2001). In contrast, AM produce Myc factors (short-chain chitin oligomers, sulfated, and non-sulfated lipochitoooligosaccharides) to stimulate pre-colonization of the root. Some mutant and transgenic plants with altered synthesis of hormones such as auxins, ethylene, abscisic acid, salicylic acid, strigolactones, jasmonic acid and gibberellic acid, have shown poor, reduced, late or low colonization by AM (Bucher et al., 2014). In order to achieve complete root colonization, the
arbuscular mycorrhiza is required to develop the hyphopodia or appressoria on the surface of the root, while arbuscular structures are formed within the cortical cells (inter- and intracellular). These arbuscules are hyphae that grow in a branching and spiral way, from which the AM take their name (Figure 1). Root colonization by one AM fungus species does not prevent the establishment of mycorrhizal symbioses with other AM fungal species. In fact, a single plant may be colonized by several different fungal species. As a result, AM fungi can simultaneously interact with different plant hosts and thereby interconnect plants by a so-called common mycorrhizal network (CMN) where both partners can decide on the preferential partners not depending on a single one for the provision of nutrients. Therefore, the CMN connects plants belonging to the same or different species, and represents a long distance transport system for nutrients, water, and stress chemicals in soil, thus affecting survival, and fitness behavior and competitiveness of all of the partners involved in the network (Bücking et al., 2016). To learn more about the molecular and signaling processes involved in the colonization of plants by AM and other mycorrhizal processes, some excellent articles are recommended (Bonfante and Anca, 2009; Bucher et al., 2014; Genre et al., 2020).

**MECHANISMS USED BY MYCORRHIZAE TO FACILITATE PLANT GROWTH AND IMPROVE SOIL HEALTH**

Much of the arable soils in the world lack sufficient elemental nutrients (e.g., nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, zinc), or their bioavailability, to be taken up by plants. In addition, poor agricultural practices such as excessive use of fertilizers, can lead to undesirable ecological side effects such as environmental pollution including the emission of greenhouse gases, downstream contamination, and eutrophication (Parihar et al., 2019). In fact, it is known that ~50% of the nitrogen fertilizer that is applied onto crops is not taken up by the plants. Therefore, much of this fertilizer is wasted, polluting the ecosystem (Garnett et al., 2009). Below are some of the main mechanisms used by mycorrhizae to optimize the use of soil nutrients and help promote plant growth.

**Nutrient Uptake and Improvement of Plant Nutrition**

Numerous studies have shown that one of the main plant growth promotion mechanisms used by mycorrhizae is the facilitation of nutrient uptake such as phosphorus, although evidence suggests that other elements such as nitrogen, sulfur, and zinc are also a fundamental part of this symbiosis (Richardson et al., 2009; Gahan and Schmalenberger, 2014; Hodge and Storer, 2014). In general, mycorrhiza obtains nutrients from the soil and transports them to plant cells in assimilable forms, and in return, the plant supplies the mycorrhiza with photosynthetically fixed carbon (C). It has been suggested that mycorrhiza behave as a carbon sink receiving between 5 and 15% of the total photosynthesized carbon (Bryla and Eissenstat, 2005; Hodge and Storer, 2014).

Mycorrhization processes may be stimulated in plants growing in nitrogen-limiting soils (Hodge and Storer, 2014). Therefore, given that a large part of agricultural soils lacks sufficient nitrogen to actively promote plant growth, establishing a symbiosis with mycorrhiza is essential for plant growth and production. The external hyphae of mycorrhizae can take up ammonium (NH\(^{4+}\)), mobile nitrate NO\(^{-3}\) or nitrogenous amino acids such as arginine (Govindarajulu et al., 2005). During the interaction with some other prokaryotic organisms (e.g., ammonia oxidizing bacteria and archaea), mycorrhizae may be a fundamental part of the functioning of an ecosystem and the N cycle, by carrying out the conversion of NH\(^{4+}\) to NO\(^{3-}\) during nitrification, and mineralizing organic N to inorganic forms. Genera such as *Rhizobium*, *Mesorhizobium*, and *Sinorhizobium* interact with legumes, can fix atmospheric N and convert it to ammonia. Other nitrogen-fixing bacteria, such as *Azospirillum*, can fix nitrogen in association with non-leguminous plants and in plant organs other than nodules (Hernández-Esquível et al., 2020). Various studies have shown that some AM may have a positive impact on the rhizosphere microbiome and be capable of altering the amount of carbon and nitrogen available to other organisms (Hodge and Storer, 2014).

P is also typically a limiting element for plant growth. This nutrient is typically found as orthophosphate anions (predominantly HPO\(_4^{2-}\) and H\(_2\)PO\(_4^{-}\)) from the soil, although in most soils the concentration of orthophosphate in solution is low (Richardson et al., 2009). In one study, it was shown that when a root-hairless mutant (*brb*) of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* cv. Pallas) was grown in low P contents, mycorrhization significantly increased the uptake of this nutrient in this plant. The authors concluded that the mycorrhizal effect substituted for the presence of root hairs during P uptake, and that it was essential in promoting plant growth (Jakobsen et al., 2005). Besides that, it has been well-demonstrated that root colonization by mycorrhizal fungi is strongly suppressed by the presence of high soil concentration of phosphate (Baylis, 1967; Mosse, 1973). However, the level of inhibition can be different according to the fungal partner and the plant species (Johnson, 1993; Van Geel et al., 2016).

**Soil Nutrient Loss Reduction**

Mycorrhizae are widely known for their role in improving plant nutrition. However, some mechanisms such as facilitating the avoidance of the loss of nutrients from the soil are poorly understood. Mycorrhizae, and in particular AM, play a relevant role in this process. Some processes by which AM reduce and/or prevent nutrient loss from the soil include the production of glomalin (He et al., 2020). The protein glomalin (a glycoprotein) significantly improves soil aggregation, thus preventing the loss of nutrients from soil by leaching and denitrification. Also, glomalin can chelate inorganic phosphorus and retain it for subsequent use by mycorrhiza and plants (Vlček and Pohanka, 2020). AM can also participate in the regulation of nitrification processes, increase water intake, as well as the regulatory systems that involve the loss of nutrients in the form of gases, mainly nitrogen. In this regard, species such as *Glomus intraradices*, *Rhizophagus irregularis*, *Funnelliformis mosseae*, *Claroideoglomus*
**FIGURE 1** Schematic illustration of the endo- and ectomycorrhizal general differences and interactions in the rhizosphere. Plant strigolactones and volatile compounds attract beneficial ecto- and endomycorrhiza and plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB). Ectomycorrhizae form an external mantle, while endomycorrhizae mainly penetrate between the cells of the root epidermis to generate a Hartig net mycorrhizae and auxin-like compounds produced by the mycorrhizae to stimulate pre-colonization of the plant roots.

*claroideum* have been shown to be efficient at preventing the loss of nutrients from the soil, and thereby indirectly, stimulate plant growth (Van Der Heijden, 2010; Bender et al., 2015; Bowles et al., 2018).

**Soil Aggregation**

As previously mentioned, AM produce and excrete glomalins that can improve soil aggregation, avoiding the loss of nutrients from the soil by processes such as leaching. Glomalins and glomalin-related soil proteins (GRSP) are highly effective and give added value to bioinoculation products based on AM. These proteins exhibit physical and chemical properties that make them suitable for use in improving the structure of soils, particularly those affected by erosion processes. Glomalins are difficult to destroy, show poor water solubility, and in general, they are very stable proteins that protect the surface of soil aggregates (He et al., 2020; Vlček and Pohanka, 2020). In a recent study, GRSPs produced by three different species of AM (*Diversispora epigaea*, *Paraglomus occultum*, and *Rhizoglomus intraradices*) were used to inoculate trifoliate orange (*Citrus trifoliata*) and shown to contribute significantly to the retention of soil organic carbon (He et al., 2020). In addition to the retention of nutrients, a better soil structure can also help to retain more water, avoiding generating additional stress in crop systems.

**Soil Bioremediation**

Agricultural soils can be affected by different pollutants which negatively affect the health of the soil and therefore, plant growth and production. The action of the rhizosphere microbiota, including fungi and bacteria, can ameliorate some aspects of this stress. For example, glomalin-related soil proteins (GRSP) produced by species like *Glomus intraradices*, *Glomus versiforme*, and *Acaulospora laevis* can function as bioindicators of pollutants in the soil and other aquatic environments. This is due to the ability of these GRSPs to interact and bind to contaminating metals in the soil (Wang et al., 2020). Other studies have shown that GRSPs, as well as various mycorrhizal fungi that produce them including the genera *Ilyonectria*, *Chaetomium*, *Gibberella*, *Paraphoma*, *Schizothecium*, *Pseudorobillarda*, *Tetracladium*, *Ganoderma*, *Cadophora*, *Exophiala*, *Knufia*, *Mycoleptodiscus*, *Cyphellophora*, *Fusicolla*, *Devriesia*, *Didymella*, *Plenodomus*, *Pyrenochaetopsis*, *Symbiotaphrina*, *Phallus*, *Coprinellus*, *Plectosphaerella*, *Septoria*, and *Hypholoma* can function in contaminated environments and be potential bioremediators; particularly, in contaminated soils with polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (Gałazka et al., 2020).

Some mycorrhizal fungi have naturally evolved the ability to degrade/transform polluting compounds generated by human actions (Aguilar et al., 2011). Likewise, some soil bacteria are also highly effective in bioremediation processes, often acting synergistically with AM to form consortia that allow efficient cleaning of contaminated soils. This is important because agricultural soils are frequently surrounded and affected by industrial activities (Maas et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2020).
**Primor Revolutionary Plant Defense**

Mycorrhiza are not particularly known to be direct antagonists of plant pathogens, nor do they contain the same antimicrobial arsenals as some other organisms (Vigo et al., 2000; Ravnskov et al., 2020; Santoyo et al., 2021). Nevertheless, (1) AM symbiosis can reduce some of the damage to plants that is caused by soil-borne plant pathogens or parasites, (2) the enhancement of plant resistance/tolerance differs according to the AM species, (3) the level of plant protection changes with the phytotrophic organism species, and (4) plant protection is modulated by a variety of soil and environmental conditions. AM can promote plant protection against phytopathogens through various indirect mechanisms. For example, mycorrhiza are capable of stimulating the defense mechanisms of plants, such as the production of phenolic compounds and an increase in plant pathogenesis-related proteins (PR) (Shafl et al., 1999; Song et al., 2015). Other indirect mechanisms include the competition with pathogens for nutrients and spaces on the plant as well as the improvement of the general health of the plant. Anatomical and morphological changes in the root system such as lignification and topology of the root system, can make the plant less susceptible to root infection and more efficient in nutrient uptake (Berta et al., 1993, 2002). Another aspect that should be taken into account is the stimulation of the plant’s defenses through changes in the rhizospheric microbiome. AM can stimulate the production of root exudates which, in turn, modulate the bacterial community (Offre et al., 2007) and possibly stimulate the proliferation of pathogen-antagonistic bacterial populations in the rhizosphere (Hodge and Storer, 2014). In turn, some bacteria can promote the growth and colonization of mycorrhizal fungi (Garbaye, 1994).

**OVERVIEW OF PLANT GROWTH-PROMOTING BACTERIA (PGPB)**

Plants are surrounded (below- and above-ground) by a plethora of microorganisms, including a large number of bacterial species. Those known as plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB) refers to bacteria that can associate with and significantly influence plant growth (Orozco-Mosqueda et al., 2018, 2020). The external areas from where they can stimulate plant growth include the phyllosphere, the surface of the aerial parts of the plant including the flowers, seeds, stems and leaves (Liu et al., 2020). Another widely studied microsystem is the rhizosphere, where a large part of the interactions with mycorrhizae take place. The rhizosphere is the part of the soil that is directly influenced by the root exudates (Trivedi et al., 2020). This ecosystem, which can vary in size and depends on the root system of each plant, can extend from a few centimeters to several meters, as is the case for the rhizosphere of trees and shrubs that have a broad root system. The rhizosphere contains an extensive reservoir of PGPB, and a great diversity of plant beneficial species have been isolated from this region of the soil (Kuzuyakov and Razavi, 2019).

The bacterial diversity of the plant rhizosphere is dynamic and can vary depending on several biotic and abiotic factors. For example, in a study of the maize rhizosphere, analyzed through different stages of growth, it was found that in general the dominant genera were Massilia, Burkholderia, Ralstonia, Dyella, Chitinophaga, and Sphingobium. While the genera Massilia, Flavobacterium, Arenimonas, and Ohtaekwangia were relatively abundant at early growth stages, populations of the genera Burkholderia, Ralstonia, Dyella, Chitinophaga, Sphingobium, Bradyrhizobium, and Variorovax were dominant in the later stages of plant growth (Li et al., 2014).

Abiotic factors such as pH, the soil water content, salinity, aggregate size, and soil texture, as well as the presence of heavy metals and the soil temperature, also greatly influence its composition and the bacterial population structure associated with plants (Vos et al., 2013; Santoyo et al., 2017). Moreover, under stress conditions, plants can recruit a beneficial microbiome that allows them to better interact and resist stressful conditions. For example, drought-sensitive plants (Capsicum annuum L.) cultivated in a traditional Egyptian farm, recruited bacteria with plant growth-promoting capacities from the rhizosphere and helped them to ameliorate drought stress conditions by increasing the photosynthetic capacity of the plant as well as the total biomass (Marasco et al., 2012).

PGPB and plants also interact in the plant endosphere. PGPB that colonize internal plant tissues, without causing damage to the plant, may be defined as plant growth-promoting bacterial endophytes (Santoyo et al., 2016). Bacterial endophytes utilize essentially the same mechanisms to promote plant growth as other PGPB, however, genomic comparison studies with rhizosphere bacteria indicate that they have a few unique genetic features (Ali et al., 2014). For example, endophytic bacteria contain mechanisms that allow them to colonize the endophytic environment, such as the production of cell wall degrading enzymes (cellulase, glucanase, and chitinase), production of carbohydrate active enzymes (CAZymes) and flagellar and secretion systems (e.g., T6SSs). Genomic studies of endophytic bacterial species have confirmed these genetic requirements, and examples include Azospirillum, Arthrobacter, Bacillus, Burkholderia, Devisia, Dyadobacter, Enterobacter, Gluconacetobacter, Leifsonia, Methylabacterium, Microbacterium, Micrococcus, Paenibacillus, Pantoomonas, Phylobacillus, Pantobacterium, Phylobacillus, Rhodanobacter, Rheinheimera, Sphingomonas, Stenotrophomonas, and Pedobacter (Orozco-Mosqueda and Santoyo, 2021). Genomic analyses of these endophytic species indicate that together with rhizospheric or phyllospheric bacteria, they present a common core that allows them to stimulate plant growth through both direct and indirect mechanisms (Ali et al., 2014; Orozco-Mosqueda and Santoyo, 2021). Some of these bacterial plant promotion mechanisms are discussed below.

**MECHANISMS USED BY PGBP TO FACILITATE PLANT GROWTH**

The direct and indirect mechanisms used by PGPB have been previously reviewed, in both the absence (Glick, 2012; Santoyo et al., 2016; Khatoon et al., 2020; Phour et al., 2020) and presence of different abiotic and biotic stresses (Glick, 2004; Mayak et al.,...
2004; Palaniyandi et al., 2014; Ullah et al., 2019; Morales-Cedeño et al., 2021). However, here we review some of them briefly.

**Direct Mechanisms**

Direct mechanisms of plant growth promotion include the facilitation of resource and nutrient acquisition such as the solubilization and mineralization of phosphates (Glick, 2012), a mechanism also employed by mycorrhizae to facilitate plant growth and nutrition. PGPB may also produce siderophores that can solubilize and bind iron from the rhizosphere.

Rhizobia, as well as some other PGPB can fix atmospheric N₂, convert it into ammonia and provide it to plants. The uptake of bacterially-fixed nitrogen not only facilitates plant growth, but can also increase the nutritional value of crop plants (Peralta et al., 2004). Another direct mechanism of plant growth stimulation involves the production of hormones that play an important role in the growth and development of the plant including indoleacetic acid, cytokinin, gibberellin, abscisic acid, salicylic acid, brassinosteroids, and jasmonate (Munné-Bosch and Müller, 2013). Some PGPB species can produce more than one hormone with the impact of hormone(s) dependent on the endogenous concentration of that hormone in the plant. In addition to producing phytohormones, many PGPB possess the enzyme ACC deaminase which can lower plant ethylene levels (Glick, 2004). The crosstalk between hormones produced by PGPB and those by the plant can regulate various growth stages, either in the presence or absence of different types of biotic or abiotic stress (Santoyo et al., 2017; Kumar et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020).

**Indirect Mechanisms**

PGPBs can stimulate plant growth indirectly, that is, they can restrict the functioning of potential pathogens and/or stimulate the plant's immune system. The indirect mechanisms of PGPB are therefore of great interest in their application in the field, since they avoid the use of chemical biocides (Adesemoye and Kloeper, 2009; Adesemoye et al., 2009; Khtaoon et al., 2020). The fact that a PGPB exhibits both direct and indirect mechanisms of plant growth promotion can be an advantage for being part of a more complete bioinoculant (Hernández-León et al., 2015), and, if a bacterial strain with both types of mechanisms interacts beneficially with other rhizospheric microorganisms, such as mycorrhizae, the beneficial effect on the plant can be synergistic. Hence, there is considerable interest in deciphering the molecular mechanisms of microbial interactions.

Some PGPB produce a series of lytic enzymes that act by lysing the cell walls of pathogenic fungi and oomycetes. Fungal and oomycetes cell walls are dynamic structures that are essential for cell morphogenesis, viability, and pathogenesis (Bowman and Free, 2006). Lytic enzymes produced by PGPB include glucanase, chitinase, cellulase, protease, and lipase activity. The fungal cell walls contain beta-D-glucans, cellulose, protein, and chitin; while oomycetes contain mostly quintin, beta-D-glucans and alpha-D-mannans, and cellulose in minor amounts (Inglis and Kawchuk, 2002). Oomycetes are able to form hyphae, and the vast majority of organisms in the class Oomycetes are plant pathogens, including obligate biotrophs like the downy mildews Bremia lactucae, Hyaloperonospora parasitica, and Plasmopara viticola; white rusts like Albugo spp.; hemibiotrophs like Phytophthora infestans and Phytophthora sojae; and necrotrophs like Phytophthora cinnamomi, Pythium spp. (Hardham, 2007; Dodds et al., 2009). Some other well-known pathogenic fungi include genera like Botrytis spp., Rhizoctonia spp., Fusarium spp., and Verticillium spp. All of these pathogens cause serious damage to crops so that inhibiting the development of the diseases that they cause through the application of PGPB is imperative for the sustainability of agricultural ecosystems (Morales-Cedeño et al., 2021). Lytic enzymes produced by PGPB can also induce damage to the eggshells of plant parasitic nematodes, which are composed of a protein matrix and chitin. This activity can be of great interest when developing a new biofertilizer/biopesticide since nematodes cause ~12.3% of the losses per year suffered globally by and especially in developing countries (Gamalero and Glick, 2020).

Major indirect mechanisms include the synthesis of antibiotic compounds, whether they are diffusible (e.g., 2, 4-diacylphloroglucinol, phenazines, pyoluteorin, pyrrolnitrin, etc.) or volatile (e.g., dimethyl disulfide, dimethylhexadecylamine, hydrogen cyanide, etc.) (Glick, 2012). In addition, indirect antagonism mechanisms include the colonization of spaces on the plant that might otherwise be occupied by phytopathogens and the synthesis of chelating compounds such as siderophores, which can act as antagonists under iron-limiting conditions, due to the fact that they make iron less available to the harmful microbiota (Kloeper et al., 1980; Dowling and O’Gara, 1994). Some of the compounds and enzymes mentioned above (e.g., pyoverdine, chitin or β-glucans) can also act as triggers of induced systemic resistance (ISR) in plants. ISR induction by these compounds (and other compounds including jasmonates, salicylic acid, lipopolysaccharides, etc.) has been demonstrated on Arabidopsis, tomato, bell pepper, muskmelon, watermelon, sugar beet, tobacco, cucumber, among many other plants during both greenhouse and field trials (Choudhary and Johri, 2009; Sarma et al., 2015).

When plants are stressed by various biotic factors, they synthesize excess amounts of ethylene. This stress hormone can cause senescence, chlorosis, and abscission in plants, exacerbating the damaging effects caused by the pathogens (Dubois et al., 2018; Etesami and Glick, 2020). Therefore, lowering ethylene levels in stressed plants can lead to improved growth, and some PGPB have the capacity to do this through the production of the enzyme 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase. ACC deaminase cleaves ACC, a precursor of ethylene synthesis, into α-ketobutyrate and ammonia (Orozco-Mosqueda et al., 2020). Reducing the levels of the ethylene precursor, ACC, decreases ethylene production, freeing the plant of such a stress signal. Multiple studies have demonstrated the efficacy of the ACC deaminase enzyme produced by PGPB to protect plants from attack by pathogens, including fungi and oomycetes. Similarly, it has been observed that ACC deaminase (and some other compounds such as trehalose) can also decrease ethylene levels in plants stressed by various abiotic factors, such as flooding, salinity, and heavy metal contamination in...
soils or drought (Cheng et al., 2007; Brígido et al., 2019; Del Carmen Orozco-Mosqueda et al., 2019; Paço et al., 2020). Figure 2 provides a schematic representation of the individual and synergistic mechanisms exerted by mycorrhizae and PGPB.

**INTERACTION BETWEEN MYCORRHIZAE AND PLANT GROWTH-PROMOTING BACTERIA**

**Interactions in the Absence of Stress**

While the literature regarding AM/PGPB interactions is quite large, a search using the words ectomycorrhizal fungi and PGPB as keywords reveals only 11 papers in the scientific literature. Similarly, when searching ectomycorrhizal fungi and MHB only 33 papers are shown since the year 1996. This indicates that the amount of available information regarding the interactions between ectomycorrhizal fungi and plant beneficial bacteria is limited and is mainly focused on the positive effects of the bacterial strains on the development of the fungal partner (and, indirectly, on plant growth). The trend of the published papers is easily understandable if considering that the ectomycorrhizal symbiosis involves a large number of fungal species (10,000 species belonging to Basidiomycota, Ascomycota, and some Zygomycota), but a very limited amount of plant species including most coniferous trees, larch, birch, beech, oak, and eucalypts. Some of these fungi have a strict host specificity and only associate with one tree species, for example, *Suillus grevillei* with *Larix decidua* (Churchland and Grayston, 2014). On the other hand, AM, belonging to the subphylum Glomeromycota (Spatafora et al., 2016), are able to establish an obligate symbiosis with ~65% of all known land plant species, most of which have an economical or agricultural importance.

The first report of bacterial-ectomycorrhizal interactions was by Garbaye and Bowen (1989) who demonstrated that bacterial strains isolated from the mantle of the *Pinus radiata–Rhizopogon luteolus* ectomycorhizas favor the establishment of ectomycorrhizal symbiosis. In 1994, Garbaye defined this specific group of microorganisms as mycorrhization-helper bacteria (MHB) referring to bacteria able to enhance mycorrhizal colonization by 1.2–17.5 times through the stimulation of the pre-symbiotic growth of the fungus, as well as the development of the mycelium during the symbiotic stages. Since then, a number of MHB belonging to Gram-negative Proteobacteria, Gram-positive Firmicutes, and Actinobacteria have been described both for ecto- and arbuscular mycorrhizae (Frey-Klett et al., 2011). Bacteria living inside AM cells or propagules were first described by Mosse (1970). About 12 years later, other bacterial cells were found in the spores of *Glomus mosseae* (now *Funneliformis mosseae*), *Gigaspora margarita* and *Gigaspora heterogama* (MacDonald et al., 1982). Thus, the occurrence of endobacteria inside AM cytoplasms has been well-documented.

Based on electron microscopy images it has been possible to distinguish two morphotypes of bacterial cells. One morphotype is represented by rod-shaped, gram-negative cells typically associated with AM belonging to *Gigasporaceae*. Initially, they were considered to be unculturable *Burkholderia* and further classified as *Candidatus Glomeribacter gigasporarum* (Bianciotto et al., 2004). These bacterial cells were found with a high density (about 19,000 bacteria per spore in all fungal compartments except arbuscules) and were vertically transmitted during fungal sporulation (Bianciotto et al., 2003). Bacterial genes involved in phosphate transport (*pst*) and host cell colonization (*vacB*) were detected suggesting an involvement of bacterial cells in the provision of nutrients (Ruiz-Lozano and Bonfante, 1999, 2000). Subsequently, using a fungal line cured of these endobacteria it has been finally demonstrated that the bacteria confer an ecologically relevant fitness to the fungal host, especially at the presymbiotic stage (Lumini et al., 2007).

The second morphotype includes coccoïd bacterial cells living inside AM spores and hyphae colonizing plant roots grown in field conditions that have been collectively called “bacterium-like organism” (BLO) (MacDonald et al., 1982). Based on the results obtained, the question arises: are the BLOs in the fungal cytoplasm the result of an occasional re-infection by free-living bacteria, or is this their distinctive feature? This question remained unsolved until 2010 when Naumann et al. (2010) analyzed the spores of 16 species of AM belonging to highly divergent Glomeromycota lineages from 28 spore cultures from four different continents by FISH, electron microscopy and phylogenetic analysis. The occurrence of BLO were observed in 19 of the 28 spore cultures and were associated with 11 of the 16 AM tested species. Microscopic observation revealed that BLO were coated by a thick Gram-positive cell wall and embedded in the fungal cytoplasm. However, sequencing of the bacterial cells showed that their DNA clustered in a monophyletic clade not related to any other bacterial taxa, but forming a subclade within...
FIGURE 1 | Description of the individual and synergistic mechanisms exerted by mycorrhizae and PGPB. Mycorrhizae and PGPB contain very few individual mechanisms to interact with their plant host. For example, PGPB are mostly known as biocontrol agents of phytopathogens, while mycorrhizae have excellent activities to improve diverse soil properties; however, most of these shared beneficial activities between mycorrhizae and PGPB may be acting synergically to stimulate plant growth (directly or indirectly) either in the absence or presence of abiotic and biotic stress. Plant root exudates also stimulate the colonization of PGPB, as well as the mycorrhization process, accelerating the tripartite interaction (Plant-Mycorrhizae-PGPB).

The Mollicutes, a class of the Tenericutes phylum whose members are typically wall-less. Based on this new classification, they are now referred as Mollicutes/mycoplasma-related endobacteria or MRE. Although it has been speculated that they can modulate the fungal host fitness, MRE function remain to be investigated. More recently, in order to recognize this unique lineage of endosymbionts, the new species “Candidatus Moeniiplasma glomeromycotorum” has been proposed (Naito et al., 2017).

Besides bacteria living as endophytes inside mycorrhizal fungi, bacteria living in the rhizosphere or mycorrhizosphere and behaving as PGPB can have a direct impact on plants that is equivalent to that of the fungus. The resulting effect can be expressed as plant growth and development, biocontrol or tolerance against phytopathogenic organisms and improvement of nutritional value.

The first publications on AM/PGPB interactions reported heterogeneous and often inconsistent plant responses to combined inoculation in open field conditions (Meyer and Linderman, 1986; Germida and Walley, 1996) highlighting the occurrence of a high degree of specificity between plant, bacterial species and AM isolates. While effects on plant growth and yield following inoculation with AM/PGPB are widely reported (Gamalero et al., 2009; Fadiji and Babalola, 2020; Moreira et al., 2020), the impact of these microorganisms on root development has been less explored.

Co-inoculation of Pseudomonas fluorescens 92rk and the AM Glomus mosseae BEG12 (now Funneliformis mosseae) on tomato plants yielded a synergistic effect on root fresh weight and phosphorus uptake. Looking at root morphogenesis, the treatment with the bacterial strain and the AM fungus significantly increased the number of tips, the root length, area, volume, and branching compared to plants inoculated with only one of the microorganisms (Gamalero et al., 2004). All of these modifications make the root system more efficient in nutrient uptake and soil exploration.

The mechanisms by which bacteria stimulate AM colonization are still poorly understood, but certain bacterial activities, such as the production of metabolites increasing root cell permeability
and hormone synthesis, are expected to be involved. Among the plant hormones, ethylene has a controversial role on mycorrhizal symbiosis establishment. While it has been indicated that ethylene can significantly inhibit mycorrhizal colonization, especially under P deficient conditions (Zsögön et al., 2008), low levels of ethylene favors mycorrhizal symbiosis establishment in plants cultivated at high phosphorus level (Torres de los Santos et al., 2016).

PGPB that produce the enzyme ACC deaminase are able to modulate plant ethylene concentrations. For example, the effect of co-inoculation of the ACC deaminase-producing strain Pseudomonas sp. UW4 (Duan et al., 2013) or its mutant, impaired in ACC deaminase synthesis, with the AM fungus Gigaspora rosea on cucumber plants was reported (Gamalero et al., 2008). Consistent with the observation made on pea plants inoculated with rhizobia able to synthesise ACC deaminase (Ma et al., 2003), strain UW4, but not the mutant, increased AM colonization and arbuscule abundance of G. rosea. Moreover, P. sp. UW4 inoculated with the AM induced synergistic effects on plant biomass, total root length and total leaf projected area. In addition, the photosynthetic efficiency was improved in plants inoculated with the two microorganisms.

Although positive interaction between AM fungi and PGPB have been frequently found in plants growing under controlled conditions, knowledge of the impact of these microorganisms on plant growth and nutrition, in open fields is scant. It has recently been demonstrated that inoculation of a bacterial consortium containing two rhizobia strains (Ensifer meliloti RhOF4 and E. meliloti RhOF155), two PGPB (Acinetobacter sp. BS17 and Rahnella aquatilis PGP27), and an AM mixture (Glomus sp., Sclerocystis sp., and Acaulospora sp.) on wheat and faba bean plants grown in open field conditions induced synergistic effects (Raklami et al., 2019). Plant treatment with these microorganisms improved the growth parameters (shoot and root dry weights) of both plant species. Enhancement of shoot and root dry weights, and the number of leaves in faba bean plants reached 130, 200, and 78% of the control values, respectively. Similarly, wheat shoot and root dry weights and number of leaves were enhanced by 293, 258, and 87%, respectively. Inoculation with the PGPB, AM and Rhizobia consortium improved the yield of the two plant species as observed from the number and weight of bean pods (270 × 10^4 ha⁻¹ and 30737.5 kg ha⁻¹) and wheat spikes (440 × 10^4 ha⁻¹ and 10560 kg ha⁻¹).

Plant inoculation with AM fungi and PGPB seems to satisfy two objectives of modern agriculture; combination of these microorganisms not only increases plant biomass, but also positively affects the nutritional value of seeds and fruits (Duhamel and Vandenkoornhuyse, 2013; Bona et al., 2018).

### Interactions in the Absence of Stress Under Field Conditions

Different studies have been performed both under controlled and in open field conditions. For example, inoculation with a mixed mycorrhizal inoculum and/or two PGPB (Pseudomonas fluorescens Pf4 and Pseudomonas sp. 5V.m1K) increased the yield and modulated the soluble sugar, organic acid, and vitamin (ascorbic and folic acid) contents in strawberry plants cultivated under reduced fertilization. Co-inoculation with AM fungi and each of the two PGPB led to an increased flowering rate and level of fruit production. Fruits with larger size, and higher concentrations of sugars and vitamins were produced by AM/PGPB inoculated plants compared to those produced by uninoculated ones. The results obtained in this work highlight the role of microorganisms living in the rhizosphere affecting fruit crop quality when plants are grown with a reduced amount of chemicals, suggesting a possible application for sustainable agriculture (Bona et al., 2017).

Similar results have been obtained in maize plants with experiments performed in the field. Maize plants, inoculated with AM fungi and PGPB, harvested after 7 months of growth in open-field conditions showed increased plant growth and yield. The main effect of AM was on the aerial plant parts (+16.6% increased shoot dry weight compared to untreated plants), while Pseudomonas fluorescens Pf4 positively affected root growth (+68% increase compared to uninoculated plants). Both spikes and grains produced by inoculated plants were larger than those produced by non-inoculated plants. Interestingly, the two microorganisms differentially modulated the nutritional components: the PGPB enhanced grain starch content, especially the digestible fraction, whereas the AM fungi increased the amount of protein, especially the zein, content. Maize inoculation with both the PGPB and AM fungi resulted in additive effects on grain nutrient composition (Berta et al., 2014).

Tomato plants of two different cultivars (Bona et al., 2017, 2018) inoculated with AM fungi and two PGPB (Pseudomonas sp. 19Fv1T or P. fluorescens C7) and grown in open field conditions with low fertilization levels showed enhanced flower and fruit production, as well as increased tomato size. According to the tomato cultivar, sugar and vitamins concentration was increased by the selected microorganisms; the most significant effect of AM fungi inoculation was the enhancement of citric acid content in fruits, while bacteria positively modulated sugar production and the resulting sweetness of the tomatoes. Although the two bacterial strains did not affect root colonization, the glucose and fructose amount was highest in the combined treatment (+13% increased glucose and +19% increased fructose). This is important for sweetness perception when tomatoes are intended for industrial use. Moreover, all of the combinations of microorganisms led to a higher malic acid content, while co-inoculation of AM/PGPB increased the β-carotene concentration in the fruits. These results clearly demonstrated that the combined use of AM and PGPB allowed for a 30% decrease in the application of chemical fertilizers without any risk of yield reduction leading to a significant benefit to the economy, environment and human health.

The interactions between rhizobia and AM fungi in terms of facilitating plant yield have been reported in a number of studies in the literature (Requena et al., 1997; Mortimer et al., 2008; Raklami et al., 2019). However, there is scant information regarding the impact of rhizobia and AM fungi co-inoculation on the nutritional value of legume seeds. Recently, Massa et al. (2020) demonstrated that Phaseolus vulgaris plants inoculated with AM and R. leguminosarum PhVyNOD3
and grown in open field conditions produced seeds with a different nutritional profile compared to uninoculated plants. Although the yield and fiber content did not vary among the treatments, only R. leguminosarum PhVYNOD3 enhanced the starch concentration in seeds. Moreover, the seed protein amount was higher when the seeds were from rhizobium-inoculated plants either with or without AM compared to uninoculated control plants. In addition, the mineral content of Mg, K, and Zn were higher in seeds produced by mycorrhizal plants and Mn was more abundant in seeds from plants inoculated only with the rhizobia strain. Interestingly, the number of nodules did not change in AM inoculated plants; however, the mycorrhizal colonization was higher in mycorrhizal plants inoculated with the rhizobia strain than in plants treated only with AM. Besides demonstrating that the use of rhizobia and AM fungi as biopesticides allows for the reduction of chemical fertilization, without any reduction of the yield, this work showed that appropriate biofertilizers can improve the nutritional quality of a crop (Table 1).

**Interactions in the Presence of Abiotic Stress**

PGPB and AM fungi are widely known for their beneficial activities when co-inoculated into various agricultural crops. As previously mentioned, this type of consortium can improve soil fertility, improve nutrient acquisition, and stimulate plant growth and production (Berta et al., 2014; Raklami et al., 2019; Massa et al., 2020). PGPB and AM not only act in the absence of some type of stress but can also improve plant growth under abiotic stress. For example, the presence of strains of Brevibacillus spp. (from lead-contaminated soil) co-inoculated with AM fungi was a relevant factor in the mycorrhization process. In addition, such co-inoculation improved the development of Trifolium pratense L. plants under adverse environmental conditions, like lead contamination (Vivas et al., 2003). This suggests that there is a close interaction between bacteria and AMF, as there is a stimulation of the beneficial activities of AM by bacterial strains.

Similarly, this type of interaction has been seen between strains of Sphingomonas sp., Pseudomonas sp., Massilia sp., and Methylobacterium sp. and an AM fungus (Phylum Glomeromycota) in a soil that was highly contaminated with aliphatic and aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons. The presence of the aforementioned genera was reported when sampling within intra-radical propagules, which were collected from the roots of plants (Solidago rugosa) grown in sediments contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbons. The propagules collected showed surprisingly high bacterial richness associated with AM within the roots of plants. The authors suggested that the bacteria associated with mycorrhizae could be acting in synergy in processes such as mycorrhization and phytoremediation (Ilfis et al., 2014).

Recently, the synergy between PGPB and AM in interaction with plants growing in contaminated agricultural soils, as well as phytoremediation activities, has been recently reported (Guarino et al., 2020). The phytoremediation of soil contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbons was evaluated in two plant species, Oloptum miliaceum and Pennisetum setaceum. Interestingly, this hydrocarbon breakdown process was carried out by rhizobacteria with good emulsification activity, in addition to the fact that these enzymatic activities were stimulated by AM in the rhizosphere. These results suggested that choosing bacteria with good production of lipopolysaccharides, ACC deaminase and able to synthesize siderophores, would be an effective strategy to attack recalcitrant molecules, such as petroleum hydrocarbons (Liu et al., 2015).

In this sense, a microbial consortium composed of a plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium (Variovorax paradoxus 5C-2), a nitrogen-fixing rhizobium strain (Rhizobium leguminosarum by Viciae RCAM1066) and an AM fungus (Glomus sp. 1Fo), managed to stimulate accumulation and tolerance to cadmium in cadmium-resistant pea plants (Pisum sativum L.) (Belimov et al., 2020). Importantly, the stimulation of cadmium tolerance was comparable to the agricultural crop Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L.). Species belonging to the Brassicaceae family are widely known for their excellent abilities to mediate soils contaminated with heavy metals and metalloids, including arsenic, lead, copper, zinc, and cadmium (Salido et al., 2003; Turan and Esringü, 2007; Belimov et al., 2020).

Another type of stress that plants often face is the limitation of water or drought, which can greatly limit their growth and production (Sharma and Dubey, 2005). Some regions of the world are classified as arid or semi-arid areas, where plants cannot grow at the high temperatures that evaporate the available water and that generate constant stress due to the scarcity of water. Mycorrhizal and PGPB can alleviate some of this stress in plants (Gamalerio et al., 2009; Bona et al., 2017; Emmanuel and Babalola, 2020), as demonstrated by Pereira et al. (2016) in their study where they observed that endophytic native Bacillus strains co-inoculated with strains of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus, increase drought tolerance in Lavandula dentata plants by stimulating oxidative metabolism. Additionally, microorganisms increased plant growth and nutrition under these stress conditions. It should be noted that the bacteria, as well as the AM, were pre-evaluated and showed tolerance to drought, an important requirement when selecting microorganisms as inoculants under these conditions.

A mixture of AM and PGPB to contain water stress in walnut plants (cv. Chandler) was evaluated by (Belrooz et al., 2019). The authors used the bacteria Azotobacter chroococcum and Azospirillum lipofirum together with the AM Glomus mosseae and G. etunicatum, as well as respective single inoculations. The results showed that the co-inoculation of the microbial consortium, compared to the separate inoculations of each microorganism, alleviated the negative effects (reduced growth and leaf nutrient content such as N, P, and Zn) of drought stress on walnut seedlings. Therefore, the simultaneous application of AM and PGPB increased the production of some metabolites involved in water stress tolerance, such as total phenolic content, proline level, total soluble sugar, and starch content. Similarly, the inoculated plants improved their peroxidase enzyme activity.

Another study that has shown that mixed inoculations of AM (Claroideoglomus etunicatum) and PGPB (Micococcus yunnanensis) can improve and stimulate the growth of hervaceous plants sensitive to drought (Dracocephalum...
In this study, the authors evaluated three irrigation regimes (40, 70 and 100% field capacity), observing various negative effects in non-inoculated plants. On the other hand, plants inoculated with a mixture of *Claroideoglomus etunicatum* and *C. claroideum* improved their growth under stress conditions, presenting stimulation of their antioxidant enzymatic activities, such as ascorbate peroxidase, guaiacol peroxidase, and superoxide dismutase.

Salt stress has serious negative consequences on agricultural production. The effects of soil salinity have similar effects to those of drought in plants, and therefore, the responses are also similar (Forni et al., 2017). For example, both drought and high salt cause the stimulation of the biosynthesis of compatible osmoprotectants and the activation of antioxidant enzymes. However, saline stress, unlike water stress, increases the concentration of salts (Na^+ and Cl^-) causing an imbalance of these ions, resulting in alterations in gene expression and cellular metabolism (Hu and Schmidhalter, 2005). Several studies on inoculations with AM and PGPB separately have shown improvements in alleviating the toxic effects caused by excess salt in plants (Porcel et al., 2012; Ilangumaran and Smith, 2017; Orozco-Mosqueda et al., 2020). However, there is evidence that the simultaneous inoculation of both groups of microorganisms can be synergistic (Gamalero et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2015; Santoyo et al., 2015).

A recent study showed that the co-inoculation of bacteria of the genus *Pseudomonas* and *Pantoaea*, together with the AM *Rhizoglosum irregular*, can have a positive effect on the nutrient content of corn plants (*Zea mays*) including nitrogen and magnesium, in addition to reducing the ions such as Na^+ that hyper-accumulate in saline soils. The authors concluded that mixed inocula (*R. irregular*, *P. reaktans* EDP28, and *P. ali* ZS 3-6) is an effective means of relieving salt stress in corn plants (Moreira et al., 2020).

Other mixtures of AM and PGPB have also shown good results in corn plants (Lee et al., 2015; Krishnamoorthy et al., 2016). *Glomus etunicatum* and *Methyllobacterium oryzae* CBMB20

---

### TABLE 1 | Examples of pioneering and recent works reporting interactions between mycorrhizae and bacteria.

| Bacteria | Mycorrhizae | Crop/plant species | Type of interaction or effect on plant | References |
|----------|-------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|
| Actinomycetes, fluorescent bacteria | *Rhizopogon luteolus* | *Pinus radiata* | Stimulation of ectomycorrhizal infection | Garbaye and Bowen, 1989 |
| *Pseudomonas cepacia* RM5, *P. aeruginosa* R90, *P. fluorescens* R92, *P. putida* R104 | *Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF)* | *Wheat* (*Triticum aestivum* L. cv. *Lauria*) | Alteration of rooting patterns and mycorrhizal colonization | Germida and Walley, 1996 |
| *Pseudomonas putida* | *Vesicular Arbuscular-Mycorrhizal Fungi* | *Clover/Trifolium subterraneum* L. | Increment of Fe, Cu, Al, Zn, Co and Ni elements in shoot | Meyer and Linderman, 1986 |
| *Pseudomonas fluorescens* 92rk and P190r | *Glomus mosseae* BEG12 (now *Funneliformis mosseae*) | *Tomato/Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill. | Synergistic effect on root fresh weight and phosphorus uptake | Gamaiero et al., 2004 |
| *Pseudomonas* sp. UW4 wild type and Acds^-* mutant | *Gigaspora rosea* | *Cucumber/Cucumis sativum* L. | Stimulation of plant growth by the Acds^- strain | Gamaiero et al., 2008 |
| *α- and β-Proteobacteria* | *Tuber borchii* | None | Emission of truffle aroma | Spilvallo et al., 2015 |
| *Pseudomonas fluorescens* C7 | *Rhizophagus intraradices, R.* aggregatus, *Septoglomus viscosum*, *Claroideoglomus etunicatum* and *C. claroideum* | *Tomato/Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill. | Improved tomato quality and reduced fertilization | Bona et al., 2018 |
| *Pseudomonas fluorescens* P4 | *Rhizophagus intraradices, Glomus aggregatum, Glomus viscosum, Glomus etunicatum*, and *Glomus claroideum* | *Maize/Zeae mays* L. | Improved plant development and grain quality production | Berta et al., 2014 |
| *Pseudomonas* sp. 19Fv1T and *P. fluorescens* C7 | *Rhizophagus intraradices, Glomus aggregatum, Glomus viscosum, Glomus etunicatum* and *Glomus claroideum* | *Tomato/Solanum lycopersicon* L. | Improved yield, quality and nutritional value of tomato | Bona et al., 2017 |
| *Ensifer mellei* ROF4, *E. mellei* ROF155, *Acinetobacter sp.* BS17 and *Rhahnella aquatilis* PGP27 | *Glomus sp.*, *Sclerotocystis sp.*, and *Acaulospora sp.* | *Faba bean/Vicia faba* L. and *wheat/Triticum durum* L. | Improvement on crop nutrition and production, as well as better soil fertility | Rakami et al., 2019 |
| Fifteen Rhizobial strains | *Rhizophagus intraradices, R.* aggregatus, *Septoglomus viscosum*, *Claroideoglomus etunicatum* and *C. claroideum* | *Common bean/Phaseolus vulgaris* cv. *Billò* | Positive effect on seed quality and reduced fertilization | Massa et al., 2020 |

*moldavica* was carried out by Ghanbarzadeh et al. (2020). Moldavian balm is a herb with multiple uses in the pharmaceutical, cosmetic, food, and flavoring industries (Amini et al., 2020). In this study, the authors evaluated three irrigation regimes (40, 70 and 100% field capacity), observing various negative effects in non-inoculated plants. On the other hand, plants inoculated with a mixture of *Claroideoglomus etunicatum* and *Micrococcus yunnanensis* improved their growth under stress conditions, presenting stimulation of their antioxidant enzymatic activities, such as ascorbate peroxidase, guaiacol peroxidase, and superoxide dismutase.
strains, when co-inoculated in soil, significantly increased dry biomass, AM root colonization, and nutrient accumulation in maize plants under salt stress (Lee et al., 2015), while *R. intraradices* and *Massilia* sp. RK4 exhibited better results on AM root colonization and nutrient accumulation in plants compared to single inoculation with the fungus (Krishnamoorthy et al., 2016). In both studies, the microorganism mixtures lead to the improved growth of corn under conditions of salinity stress.

Some mechanisms of plant growth promotion in bacteria, such as ACC deaminase activity, have been evaluated in their interaction with AM such as *Gigaspora rosea* BEG9. For this, ACC deaminase-producing strain *Pseudomonas* sp. UW4 (AcdS<sup>+</sup>) and its mutant unable to produce ACC deaminase (AcdS<sup>−</sup>) were evaluated in their interaction with the AM *Gigaspora rosea* BEG9. The two abovementioned strains were, separately, co-inoculated with BEG9 in cucumber plants under salt stress conditions. The results showed that the ACC deaminase activity was important when interacting with the fungus, with a synergistic effect being observed between the PGPB and the AM when stimulating the growth and photosynthetic capacity of the plants, compared to plants that were co-inoculated with the mutant strain, lacking ACC activity (Gamalero et al., 2010) (Table 2).

### Interactions in the Presence of Biotic Stress

The mycorrhizal symbiosis has multiple beneficial impacts on plant stress tolerance, including in adverse environmental situations where there is scarcity of water or a high level of salt or toxic metals. However, mycorrhizal fungi also exhibit benefits during interaction with plants challenged with pathogens (biotic stress) through the action of several proposed indirect mechanisms, such as competitive exclusion and providing a protective mantle in roots (Hooker et al., 1994). In addition, mycorrhizae induce the proliferation of antagonistic bacteria associated with plants, as well as inducing the production of antimicrobial compounds in mycorrhized plants (Edwards et al., 1998). As previously mentioned, mycorrhizae also stimulate the defense mechanisms of plants such as producing phenolic compounds and increasing the synthesis of plant pathogenesis-related proteins (PR) (Shaul et al., 1999; Al-Askar and Rashad, 2010; Song et al., 2015).

Some authors have emphasized that the symbiosis between endo and ectomycorrhiza with plants may only suppress the action of pathogens (i.e., fungi or nematodes) under certain conditions (Vigo et al., 2000; Ravnskov et al., 2002; Whipp, 2004; Wehner et al., 2010). For example, some studies suggest that the root architecture of plants, whether mycorrhized or not, is an important factor for susceptibility to attack by pathogens (Sikes, 2010). On the other hand, negative interactions between the soil microbiota and arbuscular mycorrhizae have also been proposed by some research groups (Ravnskov et al., 2002; Svenningsen et al., 2018). It has also been postulated that rhizosphere pathogens have adapted very well to parasitize mycorrhizal hosts and to be able to colonize plants causing disease (Graham, 2001). However, positive cooperation between AM fungi and PGPB in suppressing phytopathogenic organisms or eliciting plant defenses has been widely reported both for aerial and soil borne pathogens, as well as for bacteria, fungi, and parasitic nematodes (Akhtar et al., 2011; D’Amelio et al., 2011; Freire Cruz and de Oliveira Soares, 2014; Imperiali et al., 2017; Nepomuceno et al., 2019; Alaux et al., 2020; Ravnskov et al., 2020).

Thus, D’Amelio et al. (2011) described the effects of the co-inoculation of *Pseudomonas putida* S1P1Rif and *F. mosseae* BEG12 on *Chrysanthemum carinatum* plants infected by chrysanthemum yellows phytoplasma (CY), also focusing on phytoplasma replication and viability. The AM/PGPB combination led to a lower number of infected plants after exposure to leafhopper vectors, delayed symptom expression, and modifications of root morphology especially of root tip number and branching degree. Phytoplasma infected plants showed reduced growth compared to uninfected ones, but inoculation with AM/PGPB enhanced plant biomass and rescued the inhibition of plant development caused by the phytoplasma. Inoculation with *P. putida* S1P1Rif and *F. mosseae* BEG12 did not affect phytoplasma replication and viability. However, phytoplasma cells observed in the phloem elements were often degenerated and senescent.

Tomato plants infested with the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* and inoculated with two PGPB (*Pseudomonas jessenii* R62 and *Pseudomonas synxantha* R81) and an AM strain (*Rhizophagus irregularis*) showed increased plant growth and reduced nematode infection. In addition, AM/PGPB treated plants exhibited significant increases of phenolics (28%) and defensive enzymes i.e., peroxidase (PO; 1.26-fold), polyphenoloxidase (PPO; 1.35-fold), and superoxide dismutase (SOD; 1.09-fold) involved in development of resistance to the nematode (Sharma and Sharma, 2017). Unfortunately, this work shows an effect of AM/PGPB in disease suppression without identifying the mechanisms responsible for this effect. However, one possible mechanism is the elicitation of mycorrhiza-induced resistance (MIR) by AM against biotic stresses. In a model proposed by Cameron et al. (2013) it has been suggested that AM and PGPB can cooperate in a complex sequence of interactions to trigger MIR. According to this model, mycorrhizal development is favored during presymbiotic growth by the release of strigolactones by the root system of the host plant (Akiyama et al., 2005). During the first stages of the symbiotic phase, a localized response mediated by a transitory rise of salicylic acid occurs; this, in turn, activates the salicylic acid dependent plant defense pathways. However, a compromise with the fungus, aiming to establish a symbiosis, must be found so that the AM try to inhibit these pathways probably reprogrammes plant local defenses, through the activation of the synthesis of abscisic acid (Ton et al., 2009). As a result, the composition of the root exudates changes and the defenses that depend on abscisic acid are activated. Then, when the mycorrhizal symbiosis is established and stable, bacteria living in the mycorrhizosphere can elicit plant defense pathways dependent on jasmonic acid and ethylene (Van der Ent et al., 2009).

In another recent study (Nepomuceno et al., 2019), an inoculant based on *Glomus mosseae* and *G. fasciculatum* was evaluated, reduced the application of chemical fertilizers by 50-100%, and together with other growth-promoting bacteria
plant, such as *Alcaligenes sp.*, *Lichtheimia sp.*, and *Brevibacterium sp.*, protected onion crops against the attack of the soil borne pathogen *Sclerotium rolfsii*. Interestingly, the results showed that neither the inoculation with mycorrhizae nor bacteria separately provided protection to the onion plants, and that it required the participation of both microorganisms to reduce the incidence of the disease from 20 to 40%. The above suggests a synergy between mycorrhizae and bacteria to control pathogens such as *Sclerotium rolfsii*.

Freire Cruz and de Oliveira Soares (2014) also reported that the use of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus, *Gigaspora margarita*, and the PGPB *Paenibacillus rhizospherae* to alleviate white root rot (caused by the root fungal pathogen *Rosellinia necatrix* Earle ex Prill) in Japanese apricot (*Prunus mume*) and three other fruit seedlings (grape, fig, and apple). The application of the AM + PGPB mixture showed beneficial results in apricot, fig, and apple seedlings, by reducing the severity caused by the pathogen, but not in apple. Therefore, although the positive results depended on the plant species analyzed, the authors suggest that the combination of AM + PGPB may have a synergistic impact on certain species of fruit seedlings and that its presence in soils could be used as an indicator of fruit tree tolerance to the soilborne pathogen *Rosellinia necatrix*.

Few studies explore the effect of the application of microorganisms in the field, where environmental conditions and biotic factors can significantly influence the results. However, this type of study is necessary to understand such interactions and how “control” them and predict stochastic situations. Thus, Imperiali et al. (2017) evaluated the inoculation of wheat seeds with PGPB (*P. protegens* CHA0-Rif and *P. chlororaphis* PCL1391), AM (*Rhizoglossum irregularis*), and four entomopathogenic nematodes (*Heterorhabditis megidis*, *H. bacteriphora*, *Steinernema carpocapsae*, and *S. feltiae*), and evaluated their effect over a growing season. While all three organisms survived and adapted to field conditions, the best positive effect was observed following the
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**TABLE 2** | Example of works reporting the beneficial interaction between Mycorrhizae and plant growth-promoting bacteria under abiotic and biotic stress conditions.

| Bacteria | Mycorrhizae | Crop/plant species | Type of stress/benefit | References |
|----------|-------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------|
| *Brevibacillus spp.* | Mixture of AM fungi | Red clover/Triticum pratense L. | Stimulation of plant growth under heavy-metal contamination (Pb) | Vivas et al., 2003 |
| *Sphingomonas sp.*, *Pseudomonas sp.*, *Massilia sp.*, and *Methyllobacterium sp.* | Glomeromycota | Solidago rugosa Mill. | Potential stimulation of plant growth in a contaminated soil with aliphatic and aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons | Ifis et al., 2014 |
| *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *Viciae* RCM1066 and *Variorovax paradoxus* 5C-2 | Glomus sp. 1Fo | Pea/Pisum sativum L. | Increased accumulation and tolerance to cadmium | Belimov et al., 2020 |
| *Azotobacter chroococcum* and *Azoospillum* liporum | Glomus mosseae and G. etunicatum | Walnut plants/Juglans regia cv. Chandler | Reduction of negative effects of drought stress on plants | Behrooz et al., 2019 |
| *Micrococcus yunnanensis* | Clioideoglomus etunicatum | Draccocephalum moldavica | Plant growth promotion under drought stress and stimulation of antioxidant enzymatic activities | Ghanbarzadeh et al., 2020 |
| *Methyllobacterium oryzae* CBM820 | Glomus etunicatum | Maize/Zeae mays L. | Increased plant biomass, AMF root colonization, and nutrient uptake | Lee et al., 2015 |
| *Massilia sp.* RK4 | R. intraradices | Maize/Zeae mays L. | MF root colonization and nutrient accumulation in plants | Krishnamoorthy et al., 2016 |
| *Pseudomonas putida* UW4 (AcdS+) and a mutant unable to produce the ACC deaminase enzyme | Gigaspora rosea BEG9 | Cucumber/Cucumis sativus L. | Amelioration of plant growth under salt stress | Gamaiero et al., 2010 |
| *Pseudomonas reactans* EDP28, and *Pantoea alli* ZS 3-6 | Rhizoglomus irregular | Maize/Zeae mays L. | Synergic effects to promote plant growth under salt stress | Moreira et al., 2020 |
| *Pseudomonas putida* S1P1R1f | *F. mosseae* BEG12 | Chrysanthemum cinatium | Increased plant tolerance against chrysanthemum yellows phytoplasma (“Candidatus Phytoplasma asteris”) | D’Amelio et al., 2011 |
| *Pseudomonas jessenii* R62 and *Pseudomonas synxantha* R81 | *Rhizoglossum irregularis* | Tomato/Solanum lycopersicum cv. PT-3 | Protection against the root-knot nematode Meloidogyne incognita | Sharma and Sharma, 2017 |
| *Alcaligenes sp.*, *Lichtheimia sp.*, and *Brevibacterium sp.* | *Glomus mosseae* and *G. fasciculatum* | Onions/Allium cepa L. var. Red Creole | Protection against the pathogen *Sclerotium rolfsii* | Nepomuceno et al., 2019 |
| *Paenibacillus rhizospherae* | *Gigaspora margarita* | Apricot/Prunus mume and other fruit seedlings | Tolerance to the soilborne pathogen *Rosellinia necatrix* infection | Freire Cruz and de Oliveira Soares, 2014 |
| *P. protegens* CHA0-Rif and *P. chlororaphis* PCL1391 | *Rhizoglossum irregularis* | Wheat/Triticum aestivum | Prevalence and persistence of mix inoculum on wheat rhizosphere | Imperiali et al., 2017 |
treatment of a wheat crop under biotic stress caused by *Oscinella frit*. This insect is considered to be a major agricultural pest, boring into the shoots of oats, wheat, maize, barley, and grasses (Imperiali et al., 2017).

In a 2-year field experiment, the combination of PGPB (*Pseudomonas monteilii*) and AM (*Glomus fasciculatum*) had a protective effect on *Coeus forskohlii*, a medicinal plant that is the source of forskolin, against root rot and wilt caused by the fungal pathogens *Ralstonia solanacearum* and *Fusarium chlamydosporum*. Besides increasing plant height (+38%), tuber yield (+103%) and forskolin content (+25%), plant inoculation with AM/PGBP reduced wilt incidence (−68%) and root rot (−63%) in *C. forskohlii* compared to uninoculated control plants. Moreover, the bacterial strain behaves as a MHB on *G. fasciculatum* increasing its colonization efficiency (Singh et al., 2013).

Previous works show that the interactions between both groups of microorganisms, AM and PGPB, as well as their individual contributions (Miransari, 2011), are required in inoculants to stimulate the health and plant growth of agricultural crops, protecting them from potential pathogens (Table 2).

**CONCLUSION**

Mycorrhizae-bacteria interactions, which occur mainly in rhizospheric soil, are of great relevance to stimulate plant growth, as well as for amelioration of plant abiotic and biotic stress. While a number of the reported studies are directly relevant to improving plant agriculture, deciphering the detailed molecular and ecological interactions between AM and PGBP is essential to developing a better understanding of the synergistic behavior between these groups of microorganisms.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

GS, EG, and BG wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

**FUNDING**

GS thanks Coordinación de la Investigación Científica-UMSNH (2020-2021) and Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, México (Propuesta A1-S-15956) for supporting our research projects.

---

**REFERENCES**

Adesemoye, A. O., and Kloepper, J. W. (2009). Plant-microbes interactions in enhanced fertilizer-use efficiency. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 85, 1–12. doi: 10.1007/s00253-009-2196-0

Adesemoye, A. O., Torbert, H. A., and Kloepper, J. W. (2009). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria allow reduced application rates of fungicides. *Microb. Ecol.* 58, 921–929. doi: 10.1007/s00248-009-9531-y

Aguilar, R., Hormazábal, C., Gaete, H., and Neaman, A. (2011). Spatial distribution of copper, organic matter and pH in agricultural soils affected by mining activities. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 11, 125–145. doi: 10.4067/S0718-951620100300010

Akhtar, M. S., Siddiqui, Z. A., and Wiemken, A. (2011). *Alternative Farming Systems, Biotechnology, Drought Stress and Ecological Fertilisation* (Dordrecht: Springer) 263–292. doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-0381-9

Akiyama, K., Matsuoki, K. L., and Hayashi, H. (2005). Plant sesquiterpenes induce hyphal branching in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Nature* 435, 824–827. doi: 10.1038/nature03608

Al-Askar, A. A., and Rashad, Y. M. (2010). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: a biocontrol agent against common bean Fusarium root rot disease. *Plant Pathol.* 9, 31–38. doi: 10.3923/ppj.2010.31.38

Alaux, P. L., Naveau, F., Declerck, S., and Cranenbrouck, S. (2020). Common mycorrhizal network induced JA/ET genes expression in healthy potato plants connected to potato plants infected by *Phytophthora infestans*. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11:602. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00602

Ali, S., Duan, J., Charles, T. C., and Glick, B. R. (2014). A bioinformatics approach to the determination of genes involved in endophytic behavior in *Burkholderia* spp. *J. Theor. Biol.* 343, 193–198. doi: 10.1016/j.jtbi.2013.10.007

Amini, R., Ebrahimi, A., and Dabbagh Mohammad Nasab, A. (2020). Moldavian balm (*Dracocephalum moldavica*) essential oil content and composition as affected by sustainable weed management treatments. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 150:112416. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2020.112416

Baylis, G. T. S. (1967). Experiments on the ecological significance of phymycotous mycorrhizas. *New Phytol.* 66, 231–243. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1967.tb06001.x

Behrooz, A., Vahdati, K., Rejali, F., Lotfi, M., Sarikhani, S., and Leslie, C. (2019). Arbuscular mycorrhiza and plant growth-promoting bacteria alleviate drought stress in walnut. *HortScience* 54, 1087–1092. doi: 10.21273/HORTSCIL13961-19

Belimov, A. A., Shaposhnikov, A. I., Azarova, T. S., Makarova, N. M., Safonova, V. I., Litviniskiy, V. A., et al. (2020). Microbial consortium of PGPB, rhizobia and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus makes pea mutant SGECDt comparable with Indian mustard in cadmium tolerance and accumulation. *Plants* 9, 1–21. doi: 10.3390/plants9080975

Bender, S. F., Conen, F., and Van der Heijden, M. G. A. (2015). Mycorrhizal effects on nutrient cycling, nutrient leaching and N2O production in experimental grassland. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 80, 283–292. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.10.016

Berta, G., Copetta, A., Gamalero, E., Bona, E., Cesarò, P., Scarafoni, A., et al. (2014). Maize development and grain quality are differentially affected by mycorrhizal fungi and a growth-promoting pseudomonad in the field. *Mycorrhiza* 24, 161–170. doi: 10.1007/s00572-013-0523-x

Berta, G., Fusconi, A., and Hooker, J. E. (2002). Arbuscular mycorrhizal modifications to plant root systems: scale, mechanisms and consequences. *Mycorrhiza Technol. Agric.* 71–85. doi: 10.1007/978-3-0348-8173-6

Berta, G., Fusconi, A., and Trotta, A. (1993). VA mycorrhizal infection and the morphology and function of root systems. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 33, 159–173. doi: 10.1016/0098-8479(93)90063-L

Bertaux, J., Schmid, M., Prevost-Boure, N. C., Churin, J. L., Hartmann, A., Garbaye, J., et al. (2003). In situ identification of intracellular bacteria related to *Paenibacillus* spp. in the mycelium of the ectomycorrhizal fungus *Laccaria bicolor* *S28N*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69, 4243–4248. doi: 10.1128/AEM.69.7.4243-4248.2003

Bianciotto, V., Genre, A., Jargeat, P., Lumini, E., Becard, G., and Bonfante, P. (2004). Vertical transmission of endobacteria in the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Gigaspora margarita* Through generation of vegetative spores. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 70, 3600–3608.

Bianciotto, V., Lumini, E., Bonfante, P., and Vandamme, P. (2003). “*Candidatus Glomeribacter gigasporagigasporum*” gen. nov., sp. nov., an endosymbiont of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 53, 121–124. doi: 10.1099/ijis.0.02382-0

Bona, E., Cantamessa, S., Massa, N., Manassero, P., Marsano, F., Copetta, A., et al. (2017). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and plant growth-promoting pseudomonads improve yield, quality and nutritional value of tomato: a field study. *Mycorrhiza* 27, 1–11. doi: 10.1007/s00572-016-0727-y
Bona, E., Todeschini, V., Cantamessa, S., Cesaro, P., Copetta, A., Lingua, G., et al. (2018). Combined bacterial and mycorrhizal inocula improve tomato quality at reduced fertilization. Sci. Horticult. 234, 160–165. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2018.02.026

Bonfante, P., and Anca, I. A. (2009). Plants, mycorrhizal fungi, and bacteria: a network of interactions. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 63, 363–383. doi: 10.1146/annurev.micro.091208.073504

Bowles, T. M., Jackson, L. E., and Cavagnaro, T. R. (2018). The pivotal role of ethylene in plant development. J. Plant Physiol. Pathol. 02, 1–5. doi: 10.4172/2329-955X.1000114

Boudour, M., and Vandenkoornhuyse, P. (2013). Sustainable agriculture: possible strategies for agroecosystem restoration. J. Exp. Bot. 64, 3571–3593. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert247

Boulton, J., and Delgado-Baquerizo, M. (2014). Mycorrhizal-Bacterial Amelioration of Plant Stress. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems | www.frontiersin.org

Boutt, P., and Anca, I. A. (2009). Plants, mycorrhizal fungi, and bacteria: a network of interactions. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 63, 363–383. doi: 10.1146/annurev.micro.091208.073504

Bowler, T. M., Jiang, W., Cheng, Z., Heikkila, J. J., and Glick, B. R. (2013). The complete genome sequence of the plant growth-promoting bacterium *Pseudomonas putida* UW4. PLoS ONE 8:e58640. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0058640

Bouvier, M., Hause, B., Krajinš, K., and Küster, H. (2014). Through the doors of perception to function in arbuscular mycorrhizal symbioses. New PhytoL 204, 833–840. doi: 10.1111/nph.12862

Buckling, H., Mensah, J. A., and Fellbaum, C. R. (2016). Common mycorrhizal networks and their effect on the bargaining power of the fungal partner in the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. Commun. Integr. Biol. 9, 1–4. doi: 10.1080/19420889.2015.1107684

Bryan, J. L., and Dighton, J. (2012). Mycorrhiza−plant signalling in the context of symbiosis and stress. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 15, 539–545. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2013.06.004

Cheng, Z., Park, E., and Glick, B. R. (2007). 1-Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase from *Pseudomonas putida* UW4 facilitates the growth of canola in the presence of salt. Can. J. Microbiol. 53, 912–918. doi: 10.1139/W07-050

Choudhary, D. K., and Johri, B. N. (2009). Interactions of Bacillus spp. and plants - with special reference to induced systemic resistance (ISR). Microbiol. Res. 164, 493–513. doi: 10.1016/j.mrres.2008.08.007

Churchland, C., and Gravston, S. J. (2014). Specificity of plant-microbe interactions in the tree mycorrhizosphere biome and consequences for soil C cycling. Front. Microbiol. 5:261. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00261

D’Amelio, R., Berta, G., Gamalero, E., Massa, N., Avidano, L., Cantamessa, S., et al. (2011). Increased plant tolerance against chrysanthemum yellows phytoplasma (*Candidatus Phytoplasma asteris*) following double inoculation with *Globus mossae* BEG12 and *Pseudomonas putida* SIP1PR1. Plant Pathol. 60, 1014–1022. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3059.2011.02479.x

Del Carmen Orozco-Mosqueda, M., Duan, J., DiBernardo, M., Zetter, E., Campos-Genre, A., Lanfranco, L., Perotto, S., and Bonfante, P. (2020). Unique and specific features of *Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi* in root systems of plants. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 64, 297–303. doi: 10.1093/femsle/fna1804

Duan, J., Jiang, W., Cheng, Z., Heikkila, J. J., and Glick, B. R. (2013). The complete genome sequence of the plant growth-promoting bacterium *Pseudomonas putida* UW4. PLoS ONE 8:e58640. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0058640

Dubois, M., Van den Broeck, L., and Inzé, D. (2018). The pivotal role of ethylene in plant development. Trends Plant Sci. 23, 311–323. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2018.01.003

Duhamel, M., and Vandenkoornhuyse, P. (2013). Sustainable agriculture: possible trajectories from mutualistic symbiosis and plant neodomestication. Trends Plant Sci. 18, 597–600. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2013.08.010

Edwards, S. G., Young, J. P. W., and Fitter, A. H. (1998). Interactions between *Pseudomonas* flavescens biocontrol agents and *Globus mossae*, an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus, within the rhizosphere. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 166, 297–303. doi: 10.1016/S0168-6941(98)01904-X

Emmanuel, O. C., and Babalola, O. O. (2020). Productivity and quality of horticultural crops through co-inoculation of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and plant growth promoting bacteria. Microbiol. Res. 239:126569. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2020.126569
Marasco, R., Rolli, E., Ettoumi, B., Viganì, G., Mapelli, F., Borin, S., et al. (2012). A drought resistance-promoting microbe is selected by root system under desert farming. PLoS ONE 7:e48479. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0048479

Massa, N., Cesaro, P., Todeschini, V., Capraro, J., Scarafoni, A., Cantamessa, S., et al. (2020). Selected autotrophiconous rhizobia, applied in combination with AM fungi, improve seed quality of common bean cultivated in reduced fertilization condition. Appl. Soil Ecol. 148:103507. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103507

Mayak, S., Tirosh, T., and Glick, B. R. (2004). Plant growth-promoting bacteria that confer resistance to water stress in tomatoes and peppers. Plant Sci. 166, 525–530. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2003.10.025

Mercado-Blanco, J., Abrantes, I., Caracciolo, A. B., Bevivino, A., Giancio, A., Genni, P., et al. (2018). Belowground microbiota and the health of tree crops. Front. Microbiol. 9:10066. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.010066

Meyers, J. R., and Linderman, R. G. (1986). Response of subterranean clover to dual inoculation with vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and a plant growth-promoting bacterium. Pseudomonas putida. Soil Biol. Biochem. 18, 185–190. doi:10.1016/0038-0717(86)90025-8

Miransari, M. (2011). Interactions between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and soil bacteria. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 89, 917–930. doi:10.1007/s00253-010-3004-6

Molina-Romero, D., Juárez-Sánchez, S., Venegas, B., Ortiz-González, C. S., Baez, A., Morales-García, Y. E., et al. (2021). A bacterial consortium interacts with different varieties of maize, promotes the plant growth, and reduces the application of chemical fertilizer under field conditions. Front. Sustain. Food Syst. 4:293. doi: 10.3389/fspsf.2020.616757

Morales-Cedeño, L. R., Orozco-Mosqueda, M. del C., Loeza-Lara, P. D., Parra-Cota, F. I., de los Santos-Villalobos, S., and Santoyo, G. (2021). Plant growth-promoting bacterial endophytes as biocontrol agents of pre- and post-harvest diseases: fundamentals, methods of application and future perspectives. Microbiol. Res. 242:126612. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2020.126612

Moreira, H., Pereira, S. I. A., Vega, A., Castro, P. M. L., and Marques, A. P. G. C. (2020). Synergistic effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and plant growth-promoting bacteria benefit maize growth under increasing soil salinity. J. Environ. Manage. 257:109982. doi:10.1016/j.envman.2019.109982

Moreira, H. P. E., Pereira-Sánchez, M. A., and Valentine, A. J. (2008). The role of arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization in the carbon and nutrient economy of the tripartite symbiosis with nodulated Phaseolus vulgaris. Soil Biol. Biochem. 40, 109–1127. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.11.014

Mosse B (1970) Honey-coloured, sessile Endogone spores. II. Changes in fine structure during spore development. Arch Microbiol 74, 129–145

Mosse, B. (1973). Plant growth responses to vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza of onion (Allium cepa L. var. Red Creole). J. Ecol. 61, 653–667. doi: 10.2307/22585

Munné-Bosch, S., and Müller, M. (2013). Hormonal cross-talk in the tripartite symbiosis with nodulated Phaseolus vulgaris. Front. Plant Sci. 4:293. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00259

Naito, M., Desiré, A., González, J. B., Tao, G., Morton, J. B., Bonfante, P., et al. (2017). 'Candidatus Moneiplasma glomeromycotorum', an endobacterium of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 67, 1177–1184. doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.017785

Naumann, M., Schüßler, A., and Bonfante, P. (2012). The obligate endobacteria of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are ancient heritable components related to the molicutes. ISME J. 6, 862–871. doi:10.1038/ismej.2010.21

Nepomuceno, R. A., Brown, C. M. B., Mozica, P. N., and Brown, M. B. (2019). Biological Control Potential of Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Root Inoculant (VAMRI) and associated phosphate solubilizing bacteria, Pseudochroabacter asacharolyticum against soilborne phytopathogens of Onion (Allium cepa L. var. Red Creole). Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Prot. 52, 714–732. doi: 10.1080/033323508.201464058

Ofire, P., Privato, B., Siblot, S., Gamalerio, E., Corberand, T., Lemanceau, P., et al. (2007). Identification of bacterial groups preferentially associated with mycorrhizal roots of Medicago truncatula. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73, 913–921. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02402-06

Olanrewaju, O. S., Ayangbenro, A. S., Glick, B. R., and Babalola, O. O. (2019). Plant health: feedback effect of root exudates-exudate-biome interactions. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 103, 1155–1166. doi:10.1007/s00253-018-9556-6

Orozco-Mosqueda, M. D. C., Glick, B. R., and Santoyo, G. (2020). ACC deaminase in plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB): an efficient mechanism to counter salt stress in crops. Microbiol. Res. 235:126439. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2020.126439
Ruiz-Lozano, J. M., and Bonfante, P. (2000). A Burkholderia strain living inside the arbuscular mychorrhizal fungus Gigaspora margarita possesses the vacB gene, which is involved in host cell colonisation by bacteria. *Microbiol. Ecol.* 39, 137–144. doi: 10.1007/s002480000008

Salcedo-Gastelum, L. A., Díaz Rodríguez, A. M., Félix-Pablos, C. M., Parra Cota, F. I., Santoyo, G., Puente, M. L., et al. (2020). The current and future role of microbial culture collections in world food security. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* 4:291. doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2020.614739

Salido, A. L., Hasty, K. L., Lim, J. M., and Butcher, D. J. (2006). Plant growth-promoting bacterial endophytes. *Microbiol. Res.* 151:3. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2005.11.008

Sarma, B. K., Yadav, S. K., Singh, S., and Singh, H. B. (2015). Microbial consortium-mediated plant defense against phytopathogens: readdressing for enhancing efficacy. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 87, 25–33. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.04.001

Savary, S., Willocquet, L., Pethybridge, S. J., Esker, P., McRoberts, N., and Nelson, A. (2019). The global burden of pathogens and pests on major food crops. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 3, 430–439. doi: 10.1038/s41559-017-0258-y

Sharma, I. P., and Sharma, A. K. (2017). Physiological and biochemical changes in tomato cultivar PT-3 with dual inoculation of mycorrhiza and PGPR against root-knot nematode. *Symbiosis* 71, 175–183. doi: 10.1007/s13199-016-0423-x

Sharma, P., and Dubey, R. S. (2005). Drought induces oxidative stress and enhances the activities of antioxidant enzymes in growing rice seedlings. *Plant Growth Regul.* 46, 209–221. doi: 10.1007/s10727-005-0002-2

Shaul, O., Galili, S., Volpin, H., Ginzberg, I., Elad, Y., Chet, I., et al. (1999). Mycorrhiza-induced changes in disease severity and PR protein expression in tobacco leaves. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 12, 1000–1007. doi: 10.1094/MPMI.1999.12.11.1000

Sikes, B. A. (2010). When do arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi protect plant roots from pathogens? *Plant Signal. Behav.* 5, 763–765. doi: 10.4161/psb.5.6.11776

Singh, D. P., Singh, H. B., and Prabha, R. (2016). Microrganisms in Sustainable Agricultural Productivity: Vol. 1: Research Perspectives (New Delhi: Springer) 1–343. doi: 10.1007/978-81-322-3717-6

Singh, R., Soni, S. K., and Kalra, A. (2013). Synergy between Glomus fasciculatum and a beneficial Pseudomonas in reducing root diseases and improving yield and forskolin content in Coleus forskohlii Briq. under organic field conditions. *Mycorrhiza* 23, 35–44. doi: 10.1007/s00572-012-0447-x

Song, Y., Chen, D., Lu, K., Sun, Z., and Zeng, R. (2015). Enhanced tomato disease resistance primed by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:786. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00786

Spatafora, J. W., Chang, Y., Benny, G. L., Lazarus, K., Smith, M. E., Berbee, M. L., et al. (2016). A phylum-level phylogenetic classification of zygomycete fungi based on genome-scale data. *Mycologia* 108, 1028–1046. doi: 10.3852/16-042

Splitsvåg, R., Deveau, A., Valdez, N., Kirchhoff, N., Frey-Klett, P., and Karlovsky, P. (2015). Bacteria associated with truffle-fruiting bodies contribute to truffle aroma. *Environ. Microbiol.* 17, 2647–2660. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12521

Svenningsen, N. B., Watts-Williams, S. J., Joner, E. J., Battini, F., Efthymiou, A., Cruz-Paredes, C., et al. (2018). Suppression of the activity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi by the soil microbiota. *ISME J.* 12, 1296–1307. doi: 10.1038/s41396-018-0059-3

Ton, J., Flors, V., and Mauch-Mani, B. (2009). The multifaceted role of ABA in disease resistance. *Trends Plant Sci.* 14, 310–317. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2009.03.006

Torres de los Santos, R., Molinero Rosales, N., Ocampo, J. A., and García-Garrido, J. M. (2016). Ethylene alleviates the suppressive effect of phosphate on arbuscular mycorrhiza formation. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* 35, 611–617. doi: 10.1007/s00344-015-9570-1