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Maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy and risk of obesity in offspring: a prospective cohort study

D-K Li1,2, JR Ferber1 and R Odouli1

BACKGROUND/OBJECTIVES: In-utero exposures through adverse fetal programming are emerging as an important contributing factor to the epidemic of childhood obesity. This study examines the impact of in-utero exposure to caffeine on the risk of childhood obesity in offspring.

SUBJECTS/METHODS: A prospective study of pregnant women with 15 years follow-up of their offspring was conducted to examine the impact of in-utero exposure to caffeine on the risk of childhood obesity. Maternal caffeine intake was prospectively ascertained during pregnancy and outcome measures (body mass index (BMI)) were ascertained from medical charts, with 17 BMI measurements per child, on average, during the follow-up period. Potential confounders including known perinatal risk factors for childhood obesity were adjusted for using the generalized estimating equations model with repeated measurements.

RESULTS: After controlling for potential confounders, compared with those without caffeine exposure, in-utero exposure to caffeine overall is associated with 87% increased risk of childhood obesity: odds ratio (OR) = 1.87, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.12–3.12. This association demonstrated a dose–response relationship: OR = 1.77 (1.05–3.00) for maternal daily caffeine intake < 150 mg per day, OR = 2.37 (1.24–4.52) for caffeine intake ≥ 150 mg per day during pregnancy, respectively. We also observed a linear relationship: every one unit increase (log10 scale) in the amount of maternal caffeine intake was associated with 23% increased risk of obesity in offspring. The dose–response relationship appears stronger for persistent obesity than for transitory obesity (occasional high BMI), and for girls than for boys.

CONCLUSIONS: We observed an association of in-utero exposure to caffeine with increased risk of childhood obesity. If this observation is further replicated in other studies, the finding will contribute to the understanding of fetal programming of childhood diseases and development of intervention strategy to prevent childhood obesity.
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INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of childhood obesity has been increasing at an alarming pace worldwide and has contributed significantly to the increase in an array of adverse chronic health outcomes, including type 2 diabetes and metabolic disorders.1–3 The World Health Organization (WHO) considers the worldwide rapid increase in obesity as a top public health challenge of the twenty-first century.4

Conventional wisdom regarding the possible causes of obesity has been based on the theory of energy imbalance. However, efforts solely focusing on diet and physical activity to reduce obesity during the last three decades, while important, have not stemmed the rise of obesity worldwide. Environmental factors including diet that impact internal hormonal balance and normal brain functions leading to excessive energy intake have been recognized as an important contributing causes of the worldwide obesity epidemic.6–9 Emerging evidence has revealed that the most critical exposure window for the impact of exogenous factors (dietary or environmental) is in utero, which exerts amplifying and long-lasting effects on health outcomes in childhood and adulthood through adverse fetal programming.4,10–15 One such chemical, which developing fetuses are widely exposed to, is caffeine through maternal consumption during pregnancy.

A pharmacologically active agent, caffeine, is among the most widely consumed substances in the world.6 In the United States, >75% of pregnant women reported intake of caffeine-containing products.17 Caffeine can penetrate the placental barrier and enter into fetal circulation.18 Its clearance is prolonged in pregnant women, and its rate of metabolism is low in the fetus because of low levels of metabolic enzymes.19,20 Both factors can lead to a prolonged higher in-utero caffeine exposure to the fetus than a comparable exposure in adults. Caffeine may also influence cell development through increasing cellular cyclic adenosine monophosphate concentrations,21 and decrease intervillous placental blood flow via increasing circulating catecholamines.22 Therefore, caffeine could have an adverse effect on fetal development through multiple mechanisms. Indeed, caffeine intake during pregnancy has been reported to increase the risk of miscarriage, fetal death and impaired neurological development in offspring.17,23–28

Emerging results from animal studies have revealed that brain functioning is critically important in maintaining homeostasis including regulating appetite and other metabolic processes.29–33 Caffeine is a neural stimulant and several potential mechanisms have emerged linking in-utero caffeine exposure to developmental growth. These include: (a) mal-development of the fetal hippocampus and the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, (b) fetal
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hypothalamic-pituitary–adrenal axis-associated neuroendocrine alteration and (c) interaction with genetic polymorphism. In addition, caffeine has been shown to increase insulin resistance and interfere with glucose metabolism. In human studies, in-utero caffeine exposure has been associated with increased risk of abnormal fetal growth including small-for-gestational-age. Small-for-gestational-age has been associated with higher risk of obesity and metabolic syndrome after birth, and is considered to be an early indicator of adverse fetal programming for childhood and adult metabolic diseases. Thus, emerging evidence from both human and experimental studies provides support to examine an association between in-utero caffeine exposure and the risk of childhood obesity.

Taking advantage of our prospective cohort study with information on in-utero caffeine exposure and measurements of weight and height in offspring with up to 15 years of longitudinal follow-up, this study examined the relationship between maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy and the risk of childhood obesity in offspring.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

From 1996 to 1998, we conducted a study examining risk factors for miscarriage among pregnant members of Kaiser Permanente Northern California (KPNC), an integrated health-care delivery system. The study was approved by the KPNC Institutional Review Board.

Study population

The study was conducted in the San Francisco area. During the study period, all KPNC women who resided in the study area and had a positive pregnancy test were eligible to participate. We recruited 1063 women in early pregnancy. Of them, 829 delivered a live-born infant, thus were eligible for this study of childhood obesity. We excluded 28 children who did not receive pediatric care in the KPNC system after birth.

Exposure assessment

Maternal caffeine consumption during pregnancy was ascertained during an in-person interview conducted during the first or early second trimesters. Women were asked to report their intake of beverages since their last menstrual period, including caffeine-containing beverages. They were asked about the types of drinks, timing of initial drink, the frequency and amount of intake. They were also asked whether they changed consumption patterns since becoming pregnant, and, if so, the time, frequency and amount of consumption after the change. Women reported their caffeine intake on a daily or weekly basis, and average daily intake was then calculated. Sources of caffeine included coffee, tea, and hot chocolate. We used the following conversion factors, based on the literature, to estimate the amount of caffeine intake: for every 150 ml (about 5 oz) of a beverage: 100 mg for caffeinated coffee, 2 mg for decaffeinated coffee, 39 mg for decaffeinated tea, 15 mg for decaffeinated soda and 2 mg for hot chocolate. Caffeine from all sources was totaled to calculate the amount of daily caffeine intake. As caffeine content for decaffeinated teas is uncertain, varying from 0 to 12 mg per 8-oz cup, and as we did not have information on the types of decaffeinated teas, we excluded those who reported drinking decaffeinated teas only (N = 46).

Outcome

Anthropometric measures in offspring after birth

Through medical charts and electronic medical records, information on weight and height were ascertained longitudinally from birth until age 15 years. The participants left the KPNC system or (b) the end of the study period (31 May 2013), with > 15 years of follow-up of growth for those who remained in the KPNC system at the end of the study. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated if both weight and height were measured on the same day. More than 99.7% of the mother–infant pairs included had multiple measurements for BMI, thus providing rich information on a child’s growth pattern. The average number of measurements for BMI was 17 per child.

We used BMI as the primary measure of obesity as recommended by WHO and American Academy of Pediatrics. Age- and gender-specific percentile of BMI was determined by using the 2000 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention growth charts. Given that the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention standard for BMI starts at age 2, measurements before 2 years of age were not used. Thus, only those with BMI measures at age 2 or above were included in the final analysis (N = 661). We used the standard 95th percentile or higher as the cutoff for obesity.

To separate children with more severe persistent obesity throughout their childhood from those with temporary/transitory obesity, we considered children to have persistent obesity if (a) at least 50% of their BMI measurements throughout the follow-up period were above the 95th percentile cutoff for obesity, and (b) their last measurement also met the cutoff for obesity among those followed to at least 11 years old, indicating that they remained obese as they entered adolescence.

Potential confounders

Although there is no literature on potential confounders for the association examined, we included known perinatal risk factors for childhood obesity including maternal prepregnancy BMI, race/ethnicity, preexisting and gestational diabetes, maternal smoking during pregnancy, and absence of breastfeeding. We also included common demographic characteristics (maternal age, education, household income, marital status, child gender), and reproductive history including parity and preterm delivery. Information on some potential risk factors for childhood obesity after birth including eating habits, time spent watching TV and amount of exercise was only available for a subset of children (47–63%) from the KPNC electronic medical records. Thus, the evaluation of potential confounders for childhood factors was conducted among the subset of participants.

Information on prepregnancy BMI was missing for 23 mothers for whom we imputed BMI based on the distribution of race/ethnicity-specific BMI of our study population. This was to preserve those 23 dyads from being deleted because of missing information on the adjustable variable (that is, maternal BMI). We compared results with and without including these 23 dyads in the final analysis.

Statistical analysis

Logistic regression for repeated measurements was used to obtain point and interval estimates of association (odds ratios (ORs)) after controlling for confounders. Regression coefficients and associated standard error estimates were estimated via generalized estimating equations, accounting for the non-independence of the multiple longitudinal measurements per child. We assumed an autoregressive working correlation structure, given that nearby weight measurements are more correlated than measurements farther apart in time. Alternative working correlation structures (that is, exchangeable and unstructured) were examined in sensitivity analyses, and results were consistent.

When the association was examined by using persistent and transitory obesity (dichotomized outcomes), regular logistic regression without repeated measurements was used. Children’s age at each BMI measurement was included in all models. Although most variables evaluated were not confounders, we included in the final model common sociodemographic variables such as maternal age, education and race/ethnicity, and the main risk factors for childhood obesity.

Average daily caffeine intake during pregnancy was categorized as 0, < 150 mg per day, ≥ 150 mg per day in the overall analysis. In addition, the level of daily caffeine intake amount was used as a continuous variable to evaluate a dose–response relationship. As a result of the skewed distribution of maternal caffeine daily intake, data were log10 transformed to normalize the distribution. In order to keep those without caffeine intake (0 mg per day) during pregnancy in the analysis, we added 1 to all caffeine measurements before log transformation, according to standard practice, resulting in no caffeine intake as ‘0’ on the log10 scale after transformation. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.3 (Cary, NC, USA).

RESULTS

The characteristics of the study population cohorts based on maternal caffeine exposure during the index pregnancy are presented in Table 1. Compared with those without caffeine intake, those with high caffeine intake (≥ 150 mg per day) tended...
to be older, White, and to have smoked during pregnancy. They were less likely to be nulliparous or to have breastfed their children, although not all differences were statistically significant. There was no appreciable difference in other sociodemographic characteristic, maternal risk factors for childhood obesity (for example, maternal prepregnancy BMI, preexisting or gestational diabetes), childhood risk factors for obesity, number of BMI measurements and age at the last BMI measurement (Table 1).

After controlling for children’s age at each weight measurement, gender, maternal age at delivery, maternal smoking during pregnancy, prepregnancy BMI and race/ethnicity, compared with no caffeine intake, maternal caffeine intake overall was associated with 87% increased risk of obesity in their offspring: OR = 1.87, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.12–3.12 (Table 2). There was a dose–response relationship for the observed association. Maternal caffeine intake \( \geq 150 \) mg per day during pregnancy (high-dose

### Table 1. Characteristics of the study population by maternal caffeine intake

| Characteristics | Category | Caffeine intake (mg per day) | No caffeine N = 124 (%) | < 150 N = 373 (%) | \( \geq 150 \) N = 118 (%) | Chi-square P-value |
|----------------|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Maternal factors** | | | | | | |
| Age at delivery | < 25 | 19 (15.3) | 59 (15.8) | 10 (8.5) | | |
| | 25–30 | 44 (35.5) | 108 (29.0) | 26 (22.0) | | |
| | 30–35 | 40 (32.3) | 129 (34.6) | 46 (39.0) | | |
| | 35+ | 21 (16.9) | 77 (20.6) | 36 (30.5) | | |
| Education | College | 76 (61.8) | 210 (56.3) | 63 (53.4) | | |
| | College degree | 32 (26.0) | 108 (29.0) | 36 (30.5) | | |
| Race | White | 28 (22.6) | 129 (34.6) | 55 (46.6) | | |
| | Black | 10 (8.1) | 24 (6.4) | 4 (3.4) | | |
| | Hispanic | 35 (28.2) | 94 (25.2) | 16 (13.6) | | |
| Income | < $30 000 | 27 (22.9) | 68 (19.1) | 18 (16.2) | | |
| | \( \geq 30 000 \)–60 000 | 45 (38.1) | 152 (42.7) | 46 (41.4) | | |
| | \( \geq 60 000 \) | 46 (39.0) | 136 (38.2) | 47 (42.3) | | |
| Marital status | Single | 7 (5.7) | 21 (5.6) | 4 (3.4) | | |
| | Married | 106 (86.2) | 302 (81.0) | 96 (81.4) | | |
| | Other/unknown | 9 (7.3) | 42 (11.3) | 13 (11.0) | | |
| Prepregnancy BMI | < 25 | 90 (72.6) | 245 (65.7) | 83 (70.3) | | |
| | \( \geq 25 \) | 34 (27.4) | 128 (34.3) | 35 (29.7) | | |
| Preexisting or gestational diabetes | No | 113 (95.0) | 332 (93.0) | 100 (88.5) | | |
| | Yes | 6 (5.0) | 25 (7.0) | 13 (11.5) | | |
| Number of previous live births | 0 | 59 (47.6) | 157 (42.1) | 48 (40.7) | | |
| | 1 | 46 (37.1) | 145 (38.9) | 45 (38.1) | | |
| | 2+ | 19 (15.3) | 71 (19.0) | 25 (21.2) | | |
| **Index pregnancy factors** | | | | | | |
| Maternal smoking during pregnancy | No | 123 (99.2) | 339 (90.9) | 92 (78.0) | | |
| | Yes | 1 (0.8) | 34 (9.1) | 26 (22.0) | | |
| Preterm delivery | No | 113 (91.9) | 346 (93.5) | 111 (94.9) | | |
| | Yes | 10 (8.1) | 24 (6.5) | 6 (5.1) | | |
| Small-for-gestational-age | No | 108 (88.5) | 338 (91.6) | 107 (92.2) | | |
| | Yes | 14 (11.5) | 31 (8.4) | 9 (7.8) | | |
| Child sex | Male | 61 (49.2) | 188 (50.4) | 61 (51.7) | | |
| | Female | 63 (50.8) | 185 (49.6) | 57 (48.3) | | |
| **Childhood characteristics** | | | | | | |
| Breastfed | No | 9 (7.3) | 21 (5.7) | 16 (13.6) | | |
| | Yes | 114 (92.7) | 351 (94.4) | 102 (86.4) | | |
| Eat at least 5 servings of fruits and vegetables per day* | No | 24 (20.0) | 85 (23.0) | 22 (25.0) | | |
| | Yes | 76 (76.0) | 195 (69.6) | 66 (75.0) | | |
| TV, computer, video game time limited to 1h per day* | No | 15 (16.9) | 56 (21.1) | 21 (25.9) | | |
| | Yes | 74 (83.2) | 210 (79.0) | 60 (74.1) | | |
| Exercise at least 30 min per day* | No | 8 (9.1) | 25 (9.4) | 9 (11.1) | | |
| | Yes | 80 (90.9) | 242 (90.6) | 72 (88.9) | | |
| Play any sports* | No | 10 (13.3) | 40 (19.4) | 11 (17.2) | | |
| | Yes | 65 (86.7) | 166 (80.6) | 53 (82.8) | | |
| **Outcome measurements** | | | | | | |
| Number of BMI measurements\(^b\) included in repeated-measures analysis (mean ± s.d.) | 9.4 ± 6.8 | 9.6 ± 12.1 | 8.5 ± 6.0 | 0.60\(^c\) |
| Age at most recent BMI measurement (mean ± s.d.) | 12.7 ± 3.6 | 11.9 ± 4.1 | 11.8 ± 4.2 | 0.11\(^c\) |

Abbreviations: ANOVA, analysis of variance; BMI, body mass index. *Childhood characteristics from a subsample of children whose data was collected during clinical visits including well-child visits. \(^b\)Measurements above age 2 only. \(^c\)P-value from ANOVA F-test.
daily maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy and the risk of childhood obesity as long as caffeine from coffee, soda, tea and other sources were all essentially the same results. This association was further supported by (1) a dose–response relationship only existed among girls (P = 0.007 for trend test), but not among boys (P = 0.50 for trend test) (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

In this prospective cohort study of the effect of in-utero caffeine exposure on childhood obesity with up to 15 years of follow-up, we observed an overall increased risk of childhood obesity associated with high maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy. This association was further supported by (1) a dose–response relationship was no such a dose–response relationship with transitory obesity (P = 0.10 for trend test).

Similarly, the observed dose–response relationship appeared to differ between girls and boys: the dose–response relationship only existed among girls (P = 0.007 for trend test), but not among boys (P = 0.50 for trend test) (Table 5).
relationship between amount of maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy and further increased obesity risk in offspring (Table 2), and (2) consistent findings regardless of the sources of caffeine, reducing the likelihood of other substances in beverages as the explanation. In addition, the observed dose–response relationship appears to be stronger among those with persistent obesity than those with transitory obesity (Table 4), and among girls than boys (Table 5). These results from a long-term prospectively conducted study provide the first piece of evidence that caffeine intake during pregnancy may impact childhood obesity risk in offspring.

Given the prospective design (that is, maternal caffeine intake in pregnancy as the exposure and obesity in offspring as the outcome), the potential for some common biases (for example, selection and recall biases) is likely reduced. In addition, we controlled for important known perinatal risk factors for childhood obesity (for example, maternal prepregnancy BMI, race/ethnicity, education, prenatal smoking, diabetes, small-for-gestational-age or birthweight, and breastfeeding). Maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy was not related to other risk factors for childhood obesity (for example, a lack of physical activity and an unbalanced diet) in this study population (Table 1). Nevertheless, no single study can completely rule out all potential confounders. For example, sugar sometimes added to coffee may be argued as a possible alternative explanation. Although we do not have information on added sugar for this study, in another study of the same KPNC study population, only about one-third of pregnant women reported adding sugar to their coffee or tea drinks. In addition, it has been reported that about 5% of total daily calories come from added sugar in beverages among women aged 20–39 years. Finally, we controlled for the factors related to maternal obesity and metabolic disorders including maternal prepregnancy BMI, preexisting diabetes and gestational diabetes in the analysis and they did not change the results. Thus, although it cannot be completely ruled out, added sugar in caffeinated beverages is unlikely to explain the observed association.

In-utero caffeine exposure in this study was ascertained prospectively during pregnancy; thus, it was not subject to recall bias because of the presence of outcomes (that is, childhood obesity). Daily maternal caffeine intake was ascertained during the first and second trimesters. To the extent that pregnant women changed their caffeine intake in late pregnancy, the estimated maternal caffeine intake may not be totally accurate. However, although pregnant women were found to have reduced their caffeine intake in early pregnancy (from before pregnancy to 11 weeks of gestation), there was little change after that (from 11 to 34 weeks). In addition, given that maternal caffeine intake was grouped into large categories (<150 mg per day), any small change in the amount of caffeine intake would not likely have led to a change in the category of caffeine intake, thus it would not have impacted the results. Furthermore, given its prospective design, any misclassification of caffeine intake categories because of inaccurate measure of caffeine intake, if it existed, would have been non-differential (that is, unrelated to childhood obesity), resulting in attenuation of the observed association. Without such attenuation, the observed association would likely have been even stronger.

The study has the following strengths. First, it was a prospective study with 15 years of follow-up of offspring. The longitudinal follow-up allowed collection of multiple growth measurements for each child, providing rich information on growth and obesity patterns throughout childhood. Second, information on weight and height was ascertained prospectively and recorded objectively by medical professionals rather than self-report, avoiding recall bias and improving accuracy of the outcome measures. Third, the relatively large study population reduced the likelihood of unstable estimates because of small sample size. These strengths improve the validity of the observed association.

Brain functions have increasingly been shown to have an important role in regulating appetite and other metabolic processes. Caffeine, a neural stimulant, can alter fetal brain development impacting normal neural transmission vital to normal brain function, thus metabolic processes. In-utero caffeine exposure has been linked to abnormal fetal growth through several potential mechanisms: impacting normal development of the fetal hippocampus and the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis, abnormal neuroendocrine changes associated with hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis functions, and possible interaction with genetic polymorphism including epigenetic effects. In addition, animal studies have shown that caffeine increases insulin resistance and disturbs glucose metabolism. In-utero caffeine exposure has been reported to have a multi-generational effect, probably through epigenetic mechanisms. Clearly, the effect of in-utero caffeine exposure on fetal programming and health of offspring has multiple plausible mechanisms and is an emerging research area. This report provides supporting evidence of such an association from a human study. Additional human studies are needed to further examine this potentially important association. Although in-utero caffeine intake was associated with childhood obesity in both male and female offspring, we observed tentative evidence of a difference in the dose–response relationship between boys and girls. Several studies, both from experimental and human studies, have reported sex dimorphism in the adverse effect of in-utero exposures on offspring. Future studies of in-utero exposure may need to further explore gender differences in the fetal programming effect.

The observed association between in-utero caffeine exposure and the risk of childhood obesity, if further confirmed by other studies, should have important clinical and public health significance because caffeine, one of the most widely used pharmacologically active agents, is consumed by > 75% of pregnant women.

### CONCLUSION

In this prospectively conducted cohort study, maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy was associated with an 87% increased risk of childhood obesity in offspring compared with no maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy. This association was supported by a dose–response relationship.
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