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We synthesized two C-S-H compounds from a mixture of carbon and sulfur in hydrogen—C: (H₂S)₂H₂ and from sulfur in mixed methane-hydrogen fluids – (CH₄)x(H₂S)(2-x)H₂ at 4 GPa. X-ray synchrotron single-crystal diffraction and Raman spectroscopy have been applied to these samples up to 58 and 143 GPa, respectively. Both samples show a similar Al₂Cu type I4/mcm basic symmetry, while the hydrogen subsystem evolves with pressure via variously ordered molecular and extended modifications. The methane bearing sample lowers symmetry to an orthorhombic Pnma structure after laser heating to 1400 K at 143 GPa. The results suggest that C-S-H compounds are structurally different from a common Im-3m H₃S.

The concept of doped hydrogen metallic alloys ¹ has been reinvigorated in the last several years fueled by developments of high-pressure experimental techniques and more advanced computational methods. Recent discoveries of high-temperature superconductivity in poly- and super-hydrides ²-⁷ at high pressures (100-270 GPa) call for investigations of the properties related to its emergence. However, the experiments at such extreme pressures remain very challenging especially in combining different experimental techniques often making uncertain the conclusions about the nature of superconductivity (e.g. Ref. ⁸). In particular, the direct structural determination including the hydrogen positions remains problematic and is commonly addressed using first principles density functional theoretical calculations (e.g. Ref. ⁹). Also, the superconductivity probes are often not fully convincing as the direct measurements of the Meissner effect are extremely challenging ¹⁰. Theoretical models mostly lean toward strong electron-phonon coupling mechanisms, which involve high-frequency hydrogen phonon modes¹¹,¹², where anharmonicity plays an important role as well the hydrogen crystallographic positions and dynamics ¹³. However, these theories are challenged by alternative or more complex theoretical descriptions (e.g. Refs. ¹⁴, ¹⁵). Moreover, the whole notion of high-temperature superconductivity is questioned because of the alleged inconsistencies in the superconducting probes ¹⁶-¹⁸.
Historically, sulfur polyhydride, H$_2$S was the first material, which demonstrated a very high superconducting transition temperature $T_c$ of 203 K at 150 GPa. This material has an unusual for ambient pressure composition and can be considered as being disproportionated from a familiar molecular H$_2$:S. However, at low pressures a host-guest compound (H$_2$:S)$_x$:H$_2$ with the Al$_2$:Cu structure (I4/mcm symmetry) has been found, which has the same stoichiometry as H$_2$:S high-temperature superconductor. The pressure driven transformation pathway between these two phases has been demonstrated on the pressure decrease upon temperature annealing to overcome the kinetic hindrance, while on the compression path I4/mcm or Ccccm (at higher pressures) (H$_2$:S)$_x$:H$_2$ remains stable up to 160 GPa. This is again due to kinetic reasons because Ccccm (H$_2$:S)$_2$:H$_2$ and Im-3m H$_2$:S are very different structurally, and the transition between them involves the volume discontinuity of more than 4% (at 110 GPa). However, Im-3m H$_2$:S has been reported to form above 140 GPa from the elements or reaction products of H$_2$:S by temperature cycling below 300 K or with the assistance of gentle laser heating (<1300 K).

Recently, Snider et al. reported much higher values of $T_c$ in a mixed C/S polyhydride compressed up to 267 GPa. Based on Raman spectroscopy observations, the synthesized at 4 GPa material was suggested to be the (CH$_4$)$_x$ (H$_2$:S)$_{(2-x)}$:H$_2$ compound with I4/mcm symmetry, but no direct structural determination was presented. The substitution of H$_2$:S by methane is plausible because both molecules have similar kinetic diameters; however, the carbon composition of the material they synthesized was not determined. Moreover, since no Raman signal has been recorded at pressures above 60 GPa, the structure of the superconducting phase could not be determined or traced. Theoretical calculations on this system showed dynamic stability of a mixed CSH$_7$ hydride above 100 GPa, where CH$_4$ molecules substitute SH$_6$ units in Im-3m H$_2$:S causing a lattice distortion. This material is found to be a high-temperature superconductor comparable to H$_2$:S but no sharp increase of $T_c$ with pressure as reported by Snider et al. is predicted. Here we investigated two C-S-H materials referred hereafter as B1 and B2 (see details in Supplemental Material) synthesized similarly to Ref. with elementary carbon and methane used as the carbon sources, respectively, and explored their structure, vibrational and optical properties using single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD), Raman and visible absorption spectroscopy, concomitantly up to 143 GPa. We determined that the structures of these alloys are very similar, and they resemble that of I4/mcm (H$_2$:S)$_2$:H$_2$, which slightly distorts but retains the main structural motif even after laser heating to beyond 2400 K at 143 GPa. This suggests that carbon stabilizes Al$_2$:Cu type structure, which may be responsible for a great increase in superconductivity at high pressures.

We examined four samples of two different kinds at various pressures by SCXRD at the GeoSoilEnviroCARS beamline (Advanced Photon Source, ANL, Chicago) and the Extreme Conditions Beamline at Petra III (DESY, Hamburg). The details of the sample preparation, data collection, structure determination and refinement are presented in the Supplemental Material. The exact determination of hydrogen positions attached to S atoms was not possible, due to low scattering power of hydrogen compared to sulfur and likely due to strong degree of disorder in H$_2$:S molecules. On the other hand, the location of hydrogen molecules could be determined by analyzing residual density maps. Hydrogen molecules were introduced in the structure in order to describe the highest residual density reflections, additionally we used constraints on the H-H distances (0.75±0.02 Å according to Duan et al.); this procedure improved...
$R_1$ values by 0.5-1 percent points. For the B1 and B2 samples, the substitution of sulfur by carbon does not improve the refinement, since the basic $I4/mcm$ structure has only one crystallographic position for S atoms, which can be randomly substituted by C atoms, and its refined occupancy is directly correlated with a scale factor.

The basic structure of the material is defined solely by sulfur positions and belongs to tetragonal $I4/mcm$ ($H_2S)_2H_2$ type. We find that below 14 GPa $H_2$ molecules are oriented parallel to $c$-axis and located in the cavities formed by sulfur (Fig. 1(b)). The symmetry positions of S atoms (Tables S2-S4) remain unchanged while the fractional coordinates change little to the highest studied pressure (143 GPa). The reciprocal space reconstructions (Fig. 1(a)) demonstrate that neither systematic absence violations for $I$-lattice nor new reflections appear; moreover, the diffraction peaks do not show any splitting. Also, we find no orthorhombic distortion (e.g. in $Ccmm$ structure as suggested in Ref. 29) of the basic structure up to the highest reached pressures for both B1 and B2 materials. However, in the B1 batch sample at 27 GPa additional reflections were observed nearby the reflections belonging to the $I4/mcm$ phase. The new phase was indexed in monoclinic $C$-centered unit cell with parameters $a = 8.255(16)$, $b = 6.3944(18)$, $c = 12.14(3)$ Å, $\beta = 99.3(3)^\circ$ (Table S5). This corresponds to the tripling of the $I4/mcm$ unit cell tentatively accounting for very complex Raman spectra observed in this pressure range (see below).

Under compression above 14 GPa the refinement agreement factors are systematically better if the $H_2$ molecules initially oriented along the $c$-axis are rotated by $90^\circ$ so they become parallel to the $ab$ plane. In the refinement such molecules were approximated by two perpendicular molecules with occupancy factor of 0.5 to keep the four-fold axis (Fig. 1(b)). No further changes in the orientation of $H_2$ molecules were detected up to 143 GPa.

![Figure 1](image_url)  
**Figure 1.** XRD results on C-S-H compounds at high pressures. (a) Reconstructed reciprocal lattice plane defined by [100] and [001] directions of methane substituted B2 batch samples at various pressures. (b) the structural model of $I4/mcm$ ($H_2S)_2H_2$ (see SM for the unit cell parameters and atomic positions). Only the $H_2$ molecules were included to the structural model: the oriented along...
the c axis and in the ab plane below and above 14 GPa - both are shown. The S-S closest distances are labeled. The distances marked as d1 and d4 are located in ab-plane, while d2 and d3 distances connect S(C) atoms lying on the neighboring ab-planes. Following laser heating at 143 GPa, we find a distortion of the unit cell, which becomes orthorhombic Pnma, but the arrangement of sulfur atoms repeats one in the parent I4/mcm structure; the positions of hydrogen atoms could not be determined. The potential positions of hydrogen atoms forming hydrogen bonds in the S cages can be inferred by analyzing the P dependencies of the d1 to d4 (Fig. 2(a)).

While the location of H atoms in S(C) cages was not possible, the shortest S(C)-S(C) distances deduced from our single-crystal XRD data (Fig. 2(a)) could be used to infer their positions. The S(C)-S(C) distances in the samples of both types contract similarly under pressure swapping the ranking between d1 and d2 and splitting d3 and d4. At about 50 GPa, where the Raman spectra dramatically change signaling the formation of an extended solid (see below), the d3 and d4 distances are in the range of 3.13-3.2 Å, corresponding to a regime of the strong hydrogen bonding near symmetrization 29. The d1 and d2 distances are shorter that one would accept if there is an H atom between them forming a nearly linear hydrogen bond. These results suggest that H atoms in the S(C) cages are likely positioned along the lines corresponding d3 and d4 S(C)-S(C) distances.

**Figure 2.** The unit cell and structure parameters determined in single-crystal XRD experiments. The result for both B1 and B2 batches are shown in blue and red, respectively. (a) The closest S(C)-S(C) distances; (b) The c/a lattice parameters ratio; (c) The volumes per one S(C) atom; the results of this work for batches B1 and B2 (carbon and methane originated) are fit with the Vinet equation of state (P_0=4.8 GPa, V_0=41(1) Å³, K_0=23(2) GPa, K_0’=4.3(3)). The error bars are smaller than the symbol size. Our data are compared to those of Refs. 19-22, 30 for Cccm (I4/mcm) (H_2S)_2H_2; the results of Pace et al. 23, which agree well with other data at high pressures but scatter and largely disagree below 60 GPa, are not shown for clarity.

There is a difference in the behavior of samples from batches B1 and B2 which can be assigned to a different kind of substitution of S by C. In the samples of the batch B1, which do not contain the methane as a building block, carbon (if any) likely substitutes H_2S molecules (thereby creating vacancies) - we call it C doped (H_2S)_2H_2. In the samples of batch B2, which do contain methane,
it supposedly substitutes \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \) molecule forming \((\text{CH}_4)_x(\text{H}_2\text{S})_{(2-x)}\text{H}_2\). It is interesting that B1 and B2 samples demonstrate a distinct compression anisotropy (Fig. 2(b)): the methane containing samples are more compressible along the \(c\) axis, while carbon doped samples are more compressible in the \(a\)-\(b\) plane. At nearly 4 GPa, where they were synthesized, the samples have indistinguishable lattice parameters. Under pressure, a systematic difference in volumes for batches B1 and B2 develops. The samples synthesized from \(\text{S:CH}_2\text{H}_2\) mixtures have larger volumes, while those synthesized from \(\text{C:S:}\text{H}_2\) have the equation of state (EOS), which is very close to that of \((\text{H}_2\text{S})_2\text{H}_2\) synthesized by various techniques at diverse P-T conditions (Fig. 2(c)). The synthesized here B1 material seems to have slightly larger volume in the limit of high pressures, but the difference is within the experimental uncertainties. It is interesting that the EOS of B2 samples merges with that of pure \((\text{H}_2\text{S})_2\text{H}_2\) at high pressures. While the experimental EOS of \((\text{H}_2\text{S})_2\text{H}_2\) has been shown to be in a good agreement with theoretical calculations, no theoretical results has been reported for mixed \((\text{CH}_4)_x(\text{H}_2\text{S})_{(2-x)}\text{H}_2\) compounds with \(I4/mcm\) or \(Cccm\) structure.

At the highest reached pressure of 143 GPa, the B2 sample was laser heated twice (both at GSECARS and ECB at Petra-III) up to a maximum temperature of 2700 K. Already after the first heating the sample re-crystallized in a multi-grain phase. The grain with the most intense Bragg spots was indexed in an orthorhombic \(P\)-centered unit cell with parameters \(a = 7.591(5)\), \(b = 4.439(13)\), \(c = 7.641(6)\) \(\text{Å}\) Table S6, 28, Fig. S2). The unit cell volume is doubled compared to the parent \(I4/mcm\) structure. Indeed, the reciprocal space reconstructions show appearance of the reflections violating \(I\)-lattice symmetry and additional superlattice reflections at 0.5\((a^* + b^*)\). The best structure solution has been achieved within space group \(Pnma\), where only positions of \(\text{S}\) atoms can be located. The interatomic \(\text{S}…\text{S}\) distances vary widely from to 2.401(7) to 3.004(6) \(\text{Å}\), but general arrangement of the heavy atoms still repeats one of the \(I4/mcm\) structure. Such distances would also suggest strong hydrogen bonding in the structure. The increase in the unit cell volume by 1.7(2) \(\text{Å}^3\) compared to \(I4/mcm\) volume before heating suggests that this distorted structure could have incorporated one extra \(\text{H}\) atom (e.g. Ref. 31) yielding \((\text{CH}_4)_x(\text{H}_2\text{S})_{(2-x)}\text{H}_2\), where \(\delta\) can vary from 0.125 to 0.25 if 0<\(x\)<1.

To learn more about the \(\text{H}\) atom positions and \(\text{C}\) composition of our samples, we concomitantly investigated Raman spectra of the samples probed by XRD and other samples, which were synthesized similarly. The Raman spectra of B1 samples synthesized in this work (Fig. S3) are very similar to those reported in Ref. 7, except no sharp \(\text{C-H}\) modes have been detected. They are also similar to Raman spectra of \((\text{H}_2\text{S})_2\text{H}_2\) reported previously 20, 21, 23. This includes the behavior of the lattice, \(\text{S-H}\) bending and stretching, and \(\text{H-H}\) stretching modes. Similarly to reported in Ref. 7 for the proposed methane bearing material, these data show a sequence of phase transitions at approximately 15, 35, and 45 GPa manifesting two-stage ordering of \(\text{H}_2\text{S}\) molecules and their polymerization via formation of symmetric hydrogen bonds 20, 21, 23. Our B1 samples show a similar transformation sequence (Fig. S3) suggesting that they contain little if any carbon with an unknown substitution pattern. This is consistent with our structural data and volume vs pressure dependencies (Figs. 1, 2). The Raman spectra above 25 GPa show very complex structure for all types of vibrations. This is consistent with the XRD observations of the superstructure, suggesting that the observed mode splitting is due the Brillouin zone folding, which makes the zone boundary vibrations Raman active (e.g. Ref. 32). Above 45 GPa, the majority of the Raman bands disappear,
and only weak broad low-frequency bands remain that can be assigned to S-S modes similar to observations in H$_2$S $^{19}$.

In contrast, our B2 samples do show the incorporation of methane molecules into the same lattice manifested by the appearance of red shifted C-H stretching $\nu_1$ and $\nu_3$ modes (Fig. 3), which can be distinguished from methane molecules incorporated in an inclusion compound with molecular H$_2$ $^{33}$. The major features of the pressure behavior of B2 materials are similar to those for B1 materials but there are important differences. The lattice mode spectrum is much simpler showing just a few broad bands; the S-H and H-H stretching modes have less components, their softening behavior is much less pronounced including the frequency shift and pressure induced peak broadening. Instead, the associated peaks are broadened at the transition to a state, where H$_2$S molecules are expected to cease rotations (above 15 GPa), and likely form static orientationally disordered state.

As in the case of B1 samples, the Raman spectra of methane bearing B2 samples change drastically above 50 GPa with all the major peak vanishing and only a few lattice modes preserving to higher pressures. This indicates the transformation of this material into an extended state.

**Figure 3.** (a) Raman spectra of the B2 batch samples as a function of pressure. Red arrows mark the C-H stretching band peaks of methane molecules, which are red shifted with respect to those of a CH$_4$-H$_2$ compound surrounding them. The first-order diamond signal of diamond anvils is masked by a rectangle, while the second order diamond anvil signal at 71 GPa is marked by an asterisk; (b) Pressure dependence of the C-H Raman modes measured here on the B2 samples in comparison with the results of Snider et al. $^7$. The inset shows an enlarged view of the Raman C-H stretch modes, where the data (dots) are fit using a phenomenological model (solid pink lines) and the results of the peak deconvolution are shown (grey lines).
Figure 4. Optical absorption spectra at various pressure of (a) B1 C doped (H$_2$S)$_2$H$_2$ and (b) B2 (CH$_4$)$_x$(H$_2$S)$_{(2-x)}$H$_2$. Dots are the data and lines are guides to the eye. The B2 sample at 143 GPa is probed after the laser heating experiment. The spectra are normalized to the transmission of the medium — H$_2$ and mixed H$_2$-CH$_4$ medium, respectively.

The optical properties of both materials synthesized here change under pressure. While they are translucent at low pressure (Fig. S1), they gradually become dark and transmit little in extended high pressures states. The absorption spectra of B1 C doped (H$_2$S)$_2$H$_2$ samples show a broad absorption edge, which shifts to lower energies at high pressures, but the sample remained a narrow band semiconductor up to the highest pressure reached of 58 GPa (Fig. 4). In contrast, B2 samples in an extended state at 58 GPa shows a featureless absorption suggesting indirect gap closure or semimetal behavior. It is interesting that the sample of the same batch measured after the laser heating at 143 GPa opens a bandgap, likely due to hydrogen ordering.

Our combined XRD, Raman, and optical spectroscopy investigation up to 143 GPa provides missing previously information about the composition, and pressure dependent structure and electronic properties of the reported room temperature superconducting C-S-H compounds. The composition and thus properties strongly depend on the synthesis conditions and procedure. Our extensive attempts to reproduce synthesis of (CH$_4$)$_x$(H$_2$S)$_{(2-x)}$H$_2$ compounds from a mixture of C and S in fluid H$_2$ medium were unsuccessful in that the materials we obtained did not show any sign of C-H bonds (Fig. S3). We argue that the materials reported by Snider et al. did not incorporate as much methane molecules as they infer (x=0.5) thus making problematic a direct comparison with theoretical predictions. Indeed, our B2 samples synthesized in a mixed H$_2$ and CH$_4$ gas do show such incorporation beyond any doubt, as both XRD and Raman provide clear evidence for such mixed crystal (Figs. 1-3, S2). However, these samples show clearly different Raman spectra compared to those reported in Ref. (Fig. 3(a)). Moreover the comparison of the pressure dependencies of the Raman frequencies of the C-H stretching modes (Fig. 3(b)) shows
the difference between our data and those of Snider et al.,\textsuperscript{7} suggesting that their C-H bands do not belong to material of interest. We speculate that they originate from the CH$_4$-H$_2$ compounds\textsuperscript{33} that have been synthesized in the cavity during the “photochemistry” processes. Overall, it is clear that both our studied materials B1 and B2 were somewhat different of those reported by Snider et al.\textsuperscript{7} thus suggesting that more work is needed to assess the connection between the structure and high-temperature superconductivity. The revealed here extended stability of $I4/mcm$ structure of CH$_4$ doped (H$_2$S)$_2$H$_2$ compounds underscores the importance of a future structural study of the sample with very high $T_c$.

In conclusion, using synchrotron single-crystal XRD technique we determined the basic symmetry of C-S-H compounds synthesized in the DAC in the conditions that are similar to those reported recently for room temperature superconductor above 140 GPa, even though we were not able to reproduce the reported synthesis of (CH$_4$)$_x$(H$_2$S)$_{(2-x)}$H$_2$ from a mixture of S and C in H$_2$ medium. Instead, we find that such compounds can be synthesized by reacting S with a mixed CH$_4$ and H$_2$ gas medium. The sulfur lattice forms an Al$_2$Cu structure of $I4/mcm$ symmetry reduced to an orthorhombic $Pnma$ structure after laser heating to 1400 K at 143 GPa after the temperature annealing. The superstructure and lattice distortion detected at 27 GPa signals the formation of a hydrogen ordered state. Raman spectra show a series of molecular ordering transformations in the hydrogen subsystem, which eventually results in formation of extended narrow-gap semiconducting or semimetallic solids above 60 GPa. The stability of the basic sulfur-based cage structure up to 143 GPa even after the temperature annealing suggests to consider a possibility that it may be a host of room temperature superconducting state (cf. $Im-3m$ H$_3$S revealing superconductivity at 203 K at 150 GPa) even though the materials investigated here were different of those, where superconductivity was reported.
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