Multi-trait mimicry of ants by a parasitoid wasp
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Many animals avoid attack from predators through toxicity or the emission of repellent chemicals. Defensive mimicry has evolved in many species to deceive shared predators, for instance through colouration and other morphological adaptations, but mimicry hardly ever seems to involve multi-trait similarities. Here we report on a wingless parasitoid wasp that exhibits a full spectrum of traits mimicking ants and affording protection against ground-dwelling predators (wolf spiders). In body size, morphology and movement Gelis agilis (Ichneumonidae) is highly similar to the black garden ant (Lasius niger) that shares the same habitat. When threatened, G. agilis also emits a volatile chemical that is similar to an ant-produced chemical that repels spiders. In bioassays with L. niger, G. agilis, G. areator, Cotesia glomerata and Drosophila melanogaster, ants and G. agilis were virtually immune to spider attack, in contrast the other species were not. Volatile characterisation with gas chromatography-mass spectrometry identified G. agilis emissions as 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one, a known insect defence semiochemical that acts as an alarm pheromone in ants. We argue that multi-trait mimicry, as observed in G. agilis, might be much more common among animals than currently realized.

Defensive mimicry has evolved in many species to deceive shared predators, for instance through colouration and other morphological adaptations, but mimicry hardly ever seems to involve multi-trait similarities. Here we report on a wingless parasitoid wasp that exhibits a full spectrum of traits mimicking ants and affording protection against ground-dwelling predators (wolf spiders). In body size, morphology and movement Gelis agilis (Ichneumonidae) is highly similar to the black garden ant (Lasius niger) that shares the same habitat. When threatened, G. agilis also emits a volatile chemical that is similar to an ant-produced chemical that repels spiders. In bioassays with L. niger, G. agilis, G. areator, Cotesia glomerata and Drosophila melanogaster, ants and G. agilis were virtually immune to spider attack, in contrast the other species were not. Volatile characterisation with gas chromatography-mass spectrometry identified G. agilis emissions as 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one, a known insect defence semiochemical that acts as an alarm pheromone in ants. We argue that multi-trait mimicry, as observed in G. agilis, might be much more common among animals than currently realized.

Mimicry is widespread amongst plants and animals and involves the resemblance of one species to another, at least to the benefit of the mimic. For instance, the Malaysian orchid mantis Hymenopus coronatus visually mimics flowers such that it attracts more pollinator prey than the flowers it resembles. To avoid attack from shared predators, prey species may also mimic morphological features of a co-existing unpalatable or toxic model species, as has long been observed and studied in hoverflies, butterflies and snakes. In hoverflies, which visually resemble stinging bees or wasps, colour mimicry can coincide with behavioural mimicry, such as mock stinging, wing wagging and leg waving or activity patterns. Predators and prey can also be deceived via chemical mimicry: some spiders attract their lepidopteran prey through the emission of moth sex pheromones but chemical mimicry appears to be less frequently adopted as an anti-predator strategy.

Whilst behavioural mimicry often coincides with morphological similarity, mimicry only rarely seems to require convergence of a greater number of traits. The secondary hyperparasitoid Gelis agilis could represent an exception, as it shows several distinct similarities to sympatric ant species. Gelis agilis is a small (3–5 mm long) wingless, asexually reproducing parasitoid wasp that attacks several host species, including the pupae of other parasitoids. Adult G. agilis first paralyze the host with venom and then oviposit a single egg onto the exterior of the host’s body. After hatching, the G. agilis larva feeds on the moribund host, eventually consuming all of it prior to pupation. Gelis agilis is extremely abundant in grassy habitats across much of Eurasia and co-occurs and shares predators with several ant species. Its potential predators include wolf spiders, which are visually foraging diurnal hunters that attack a wide range of prey types on the ground. In morpholgy (body size, colour) and behaviour, G. agilis (Fig. 1a) closely resembles several species of ants that occur in the same habitat, including the common black garden ant, Lasius niger (Fig. 1b). Moreover, when alarmed the parasitoid releases a pungent and distinctive odour. Here, we test the hypotheses that ant-mimicry by G. agilis acts as a defensive strategy to reduce attack by wolf spiders and that chemical mimicry is facilitated by the emission of an ant-like alarm pheromone.
Discussion

Ants have long been known to be important drivers of selection for defensive traits in other organisms33. Ant species are often extremely abundant and form large colonies that occur in close proximity to one another. Many species of predators co-occur with ants; cursorial spiders are among the most abundant44. The parasitoid Gelis agilis shows remarkable morphological and behavioural similarity to sympatric ant species that share the same predators. When crushed, G. agilis and another wingless congener, G. acarorum, produce pungent odours that are easily detectable by human nasal olfaction. These odours are not perceived by human olfaction in other related winged hyperparasitoids that attack the same host, including Gelis areator, Lysibia nana (Hym: Ichneumonidae) and Acrolyta nens (Hym: Ichneumonidae) (Pers. obs. M.M, B.V. & J.A.H.). In choice tests, G. agilis and L. niger suffered little from predator attack, indicating that G. agilis is an effective mimic of L. niger. Comparisons of attack rates on the non-pungent G. areator (which is similar in appearance to G. agilis), C. glomerata and the more distantly related D. melanogaster further revealed that morphological and behavioural mimicry by G. areator also may substantially reduce spider attack. Spider repellence is, however, only as effective as that of L. niger when behavioural, morphological and chemical mimicry are all employed, as is the case for G. agilis.

The chemical, 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one (sulcatone), that affords predator repellence in G. agilis is the same as that employed as an alarm pheromone by some ant species23–25. In some ants, alarm pheromones are employed to recruit colony members for defence (Iridomyrmex purpureus)25 and as a result ants are often avoided by arthropod predators, which move away from them when visual and/or olfactory contact is made20,29. Sulcatone also occurs across a broad array of insect and plant species29, functioning variously as an aggregation pheromone (moths31, bed bugs32), a sex pheromone (ambrosia beetles33) or as an allomone inducing panic alarm among predatory ants (rove beetles28). On encountering G. agilis, spiders thus likely respond as if encountering an ant capable of recruiting assistance from members of its colony.

Chemical mimicry has been observed in other parasitoid species, for instance those that attack aphids being tended by ants. Lysiphlebus cardui mimics the cuticular hydrocarbon profile of its ant-attended host, thereby avoiding ant aggression34,35. Similar to G. agilis, Alloxysta brevis, a hyperparasitoid of aphid parasitoids, releases several compounds, including sulcatone, from its mandibular glands. These releases inhibit aggressive behaviour when the parasitoid is attacked by L. niger and repel attacks in subsequent encounters36. The compounds produced by A. brevis also confer protection against some spider species35. Hence these mandibular gland releases protect against aphid-attending ants and shared predators. Sulcatone is thus exploited by different, distantly related parasitoid species to avoid aggression from predatory spiders. What is important to stress is that chemical mimicry of ants requires an intimate evolutionary history with them. In wingless gelines, as is the case for G. agilis, spiders thus likely respond as if encountering an ant capable of recruiting assistance from members of its colony.

Mimicry is often imperfect, where mimics only superficially resemble their model38. Such limited resemblance may evolve, for instance, when selection on high similarity between mimic and model is reduced or relaxed, as in small hoverflies that are less favourable as prey items41. Whilst imperfect mimicry seems to suffice in some cases, similarity in only a single trait may confer little advantage, particularly when resemblance between mimic and model is limited. Our results show that the morphological and behavioural ant-mimic G. areator suffers less from spider attacks compared to the non-mimetic species but is still attacked at a considerably higher rate than G. agilis which is a morphological, behavioural and chemical mimic (hence, our use of the term `full spectrum mimicry'). Mimicry

Figure 1 | (A) Adult Gelis agilis Fabricius (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae). Photograph © Rui Andrade, used with permission. (B) Adult Lasius niger Linnaeus (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Photograph © Alex Kraus, used with permission.

Results

To test whether ant-mimicry by Gelis agilis reduces predator attack rates, wolf spiders were exposed to adults of the ant Lasius niger, Gelis agilis, Gelis areator (another secondary hyperparasitoid), Cotesia glomerata (a primary parasitoid) and Drosophila melanogaster (fruit fly) in choice and non-choice bioassays performed in closed arenas over 18 hour periods. When given no choice, spiders killed and consumed virtually all D. melanogaster, which, in spite of possessing wings, were highly susceptible to attack. Cotesia glomerata and G. areator suffered significantly higher predation than G. agilis and L. niger (χ² = 191.7, DF = 4, P < 0.0001; Fig. 2a–b). Similar patterns were obtained in choice experiments (Fig. 2a–b). Moreover, virtually no insects died from natural causes; they were either alive at the end of the observation period or consumed by the spiders.

Gelis agilis also emitted a single volatile compound when manually agitated. This volatile emission was not detected in the atmosphere of the experimental environment prior to or after agitation. Analysis of the volatile by atmospheric-pressure chemical ionization-mass spectrometry (APCI-MS) generated spectra displaying two major peaks with the molecular weights of 127 and 109 (Fig. 3). Spectral comparisons with published literature and spectral databases of known compounds (Massbank, Massbank Project) indicated a consistency with 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one, an unsaturated terpenoid22, also known as sulcatone. Fragmentation data of this emission generated by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) exhibited a high degree of similarity with the spectral fragmentation and retention time of a standard preparation of 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one (Sigma-Aldrich) injected during analysis (Figs. 4 & 5).
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in morphology often coincides with similarity in behavioural traits, but mimicry in more than two traits is rare. Studies of mimicry have focused on characters that are easily observable to the human eye, but as we have shown mimicry may involve more subtle morphological, behavioural, olfactory and acoustic signals. Another case of multi-trait mimicry was recently found in a viperine snake (Natrix maura) that mimics the venomous asp viper (Vipera aspis) in terms of body size, shape, colouration, patterning and acoustic emissions. We expect that the phenomenon of multi-trait mimicry, as observed in G. agilis and Natrix maura, might be much more common among animals than has been thus far realized.

**Methods**

All insects were reared at 23°C and a 16 h light : 8 h dark photoperiod. Gelis agilis and G. areator (both Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) were reared on cocoons of C. glomerata (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) that were reared on caterpillars of the cabbage butterfly, Pieris brassicae (Lepidoptera: Pieridae), on cabbage plants at the Netherlands Institute of Ecology. Adult C. glomerata wasps were maintained in groups of 200 wasps in rearing cages. Cabbage leaves infested with L1 caterpillars of P. brassicae were presented to wasps in the rearing cages for parasitism. Parasitized caterpillars were reared in cages with 3–4 cabbage plants. D. melanogaster (Diptera: Drosophilidae) flies were reared on a baker’s yeast suspension. A laboratory colony of L. niger (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) was established from single queens and several workers supplied by Antstore, Berlin, Germany. These colonies were supplemented by cocoons of workers collected in the field from wild colonies. Newly emerged
workers are immediately ‘conditioned’ to ant pheromones of the host colony, in order to recognize members belonging to the same colony.

Choice and non-choice bioassays were conducted in closed Petri dishes (Petri dishes Ø 12 cm). For bioassays, wolf spiders were kept in individual Petri dishes containing water absorbed into cotton wool but were starved for 2–3 days after collection from the field. In the choice experiments, 2 species-combinations of insects were introduced in pairs into single Petri dishes with an individual spider. For non-choice bioassays, 3 individuals of a single species were introduced into Petri dishes with an individual spider. The dishes were left for approximately 24 h and then they were checked for evidence of predation. Predation was recorded only as insects that had been visibly killed and eaten, where only cuticular rudiments remained. Some insects died but were not visibly attacked by the spiders; this included very few ants and G. agilis wasps. These insects were excluded from the analyses, as death may have been due to natural mortality. Spiders only eat freshly killed prey and thus only those insects that were clearly attacked by the spiders were included in the analyses.

Statistical analyses. The number of prey of each species consumed in the no-choice experiment was compared using a Kruskal-Wallis test based on ranked data. To compare individual prey species, the ranked data were analyzed using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a Tukey HSD post-hoc test.

The number of prey consumed of each of the two species in the choice experiments was analyzed using a Monte Carlo permutation test with 199 permutations. In each test we randomly allocated the consumed prey in each of the replicates (n = 61–121) to one of the two species. The consumption of each of the two species in the experiment (realized) was then compared to the consumption calculated in the random permutations and a P-value was determined based on the number of times that the difference in consumption between the two species in the random permutations was more distinct than the realized difference.

Chemical analyses. Chemical analysis took place at the University of Nottingham, School of Biosciences. For initial analysis, volatile chemical releases of G. agilis were

Figure 3| Representative APCI-MS spectrum of G. agilis volatile emissions. This soft ionization technique resulted in a fragmentation pattern consistent with that of 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one.

Figure 4| GC-MS confirmation of G. agilis volatile emissions. GC-MS spectrum the main peak observed (7.94 min) during G. agilis agitation. GC-MS spectrum of main peak observed (8.04 min) during analysis of a prepared 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one standard. The two spectra display a high level of consistency in both fragmentation and retention time.
monitored in real-time using an APCI-MS40. Five adults were placed individually in 20 ml glass scintillation vials and deliberately agitated for 1 minute with a paintbrush whilst positioned adjacent to the APCI-MS sampling point40. Agitation comprised of deliberately restricting the movement of individual wasps by pressing them against the edge of the vial. The APCI-MS sampling point draws a continuous stream of air, set up at 25 ml min⁻¹, into a heated transfer line (160°C) through a deactivated silica tube (1 m × 0.53 mm ID) before entering the APCI source. Volatiles then entered the source and were ionized by a positive ion corona discharge (4 kV), which typically forms the adduct ion M+H⁺. Spectra were recorded using a Platform II mass spectrometer (Waters, Manchester, UK) across a mass range of 25–250 Da, with the cone voltage set to 18 V. Two major ions with the m/z of 108 and 127 respectively were observed, consistent with the fragmentation pattern of an unsaturated terpenoid compound. Desorption of volatile compounds attached to the fibre occurred in the injector at 250°C for 1 min before increasing at a rate of 8°C min⁻¹ to 200°C. Mass spectra were recorded using an ISQ mass spectrometer (Thermo) at 2 scans s⁻¹ from between 20–200 m/z.
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