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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Studies on functional connectivity in progressive supranuclear palsy have been restricted to the thalamus and midbrain tegmentum. The present study aims to evaluate functional connectivity abnormalities of the subcortical structures in these patients. Functional connectivity will be correlated with motor and nonmotor symptoms of the disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Nineteen patients with progressive supranuclear palsy (mean age, 70.93 ± 5.19 years) and 12 age-matched healthy subjects (mean age, 69.17 ± 5.20 years) underwent multimodal MR imaging, including fMRI at rest, 3D T1-weighted imaging, and DTI. fMRI data were processed with fMRI of the Brain Software Library tools by using the dorsal midbrain tegmentum, thalamus, caudate nucleus, putamen, and pallidum as seed regions.

RESULTS: Patients had lower functional connectivity than healthy subjects in all 5 resting-state networks, mainly involving the basal ganglia, thalamus, anterior cingulate, dorsolateral prefrontal and temporo-occipital cortices, supramarginal gyrus, supplementary motor area, and cerebellum. Compared with healthy subjects, patients also displayed subcortical atrophy and DTI abnormalities. Decreased thalamic functional connectivity correlated with clinical scores, as assessed by the Hoehn and Yahr Scale and by the bulbar and mentation subitems of the Progressive Supranuclear Palsy Rating Scale. Decreased pallidum functional connectivity correlated with lower Mini-Mental State Examination scores; decreased functional connectivity in the dorsal midbrain tegmentum network correlated with lower scores in the Frontal Assessment Battery.

CONCLUSIONS: The present study demonstrates a widespread disruption of cortical-subcortical connectivity in progressive supranuclear palsy and provides further insight into the pathophysiologic mechanisms of motor and cognitive impairment in this condition.

ABBREVIATIONS: ACC = anterior cingulate cortex; DLPF = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; dMT = dorsal midbrain tegmentum; FA = fractional anisotropy; FC = functional connectivity; MD = mean diffusivity; PSP = progressive supranuclear palsy; SMA = supplementary motor area

Progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP) is one of the most common forms of atypical parkinsonism, characterized by early-onset postural instability, falls, and oculomotor abnormalities. Patients with PSP often have cognitive impairment, involving frontal executive functions and language, and behavioral symptoms, including apathy and social withdrawal or disinhibition.1,2 The pathologic changes in PSP include neuronal degeneration and immune-reactive depositions in the basal ganglia, diencephalon, brain stem, and cerebellum, with limited involvement of the neocortex.3

MR imaging has detected several structural changes in PSP, which mainly involve the midbrain, thalamus, basal ganglia, frontal cortex, and white matter bundles, reflecting the underlying neurodegenerative processes present in this condition.4-7 However, the relationship between brain abnormalities and clinical manifestations is still unclear.

The resting-state fMRI technique is a method used to investigate spontaneous neuronal activity at rest.8 Spontaneous neuronal activity is identified by slow fluctuations in the blood oxygen level–dependent signal and is represented by spatial maps of correlations of these blood oxygen level–dependent signal fluctuations within anatomically separate brain regions, also defined as maps of functional connectivity (FC).8 FC in PSP has previously been explored in 2 studies with a limited focus on the thalamus9 and dorsal midbrain tegmentum (dMT) regions.10 Both studies reported functional disconnection between each of these struc-
tures and some cortical, subcortical, and cerebellar sites.⁹,¹⁰ It is unknown whether FC abnormalities also affect other key subcortical areas in PSP.¹¹ Owing to the widespread degeneration of subcortical structures in PSP,¹² the FC of the caudate nucleus, putamen, and pallidum may also be affected in this condition. Due to the basal ganglia involvement in motor and cognitive functions, through the parallel interconnections with the frontal cortex,¹¹,¹² understanding FC abnormalities of the caudate nucleus, putamen, and pallidum in PSP would provide further information on the pathophysiologic mechanisms of the disease. To achieve this goal, we evaluated the FC from the caudate, putamen, and pallidum nuclei, in addition to the thalamus and dMT. The ultimate aim of this article was to investigate possible correlations between cortical-subcortical network disruption and clinical scores of disease severity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

We enrolled 19 patients who were diagnosed with PSP (9 women; mean age, 70.93 ± 5.19 years) according to the National Institute for Neurological Disorders and Society for PSP criteria¹³ and were consecutively referred to the Department of Neurology and Psychiatry at the Sapienza University of Rome, between January 2011 and October 2012. All the patients were clinically classified as having Richardson syndrome, one of the subtypes of PSP,¹³,¹⁴ by experienced neurologists (A.B. and M.B.). Exclusion criteria were other neurologic, psychiatric, and systemic diseases and general contraindications to MR imaging. Patients were clinically evaluated (by A.F.) by using the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale,¹⁵ the Frontal Assessment Battery,¹⁶ the Hoehn and Yahr Scale,¹⁷ the Mini-Mental State Examination,¹⁸ and the PSP Rating Scale and its subscales.¹⁹ All patients also underwent a multimodal MR imaging study (by M.C.P. and F.T.), which included resting-state fMRI, diffusion tensor imaging, and volumetric imaging. Twelve healthy subjects (9 women; mean age, 69.17 ± 5.20 years) with no history of neurologic or psychiatric disease at the time of the examination constituted the control group.

Participants provided their written informed consent. The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board of Sapienza University of Rome and complied with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act.

MR Imaging Acquisition

A standardized protocol was performed on a 3T scanner (Magnetom Verio; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). The 12-channel head coil of the manufacturer designed for parallel imaging (generalized autocalibrating partially parallel acquisitions) was used for signal reception. A multiplanar T1-weighted localizer with section orientation parallel to the subcallosal line was acquired at the beginning of each MR imaging examination. The MR imaging protocol included the following sequences for all the subjects: 1) blood oxygen level–dependent single-shot echo-planar images (TR = 3000 ms, TE = 30 ms, flip angle = 89°, FOV = 192, matrix = 64 × 64, 50 axial sections 3-mm-thick, no gap, 120 volumes, acquisition time = 6 minutes 11 seconds), with all patients and healthy subjects being instructed to close their eyes and stay awake during the resting-state fMRI acquisitions; 2) DTI acquired with a single-shot echo-planar spin-echo sequence with 30 directions (TR = 12,200 ms, TE = 94 ms, FOV = 192 mm, matrix = 96 × 96, b = 0 and 1000 s/mm², 72 axial sections 2-mm-thick, no gap, acquisition time = 13 minutes 15 seconds); 3) a high-resolution 3D T1-weighted MPRAGE sequence (TR = 1900 ms, TE = 2.93 ms, flip angle = 9°, FOV = 260 mm, matrix = 256 × 256, 176 sagittal sections 1-mm-thick, no gap, acquisition time = 3 minutes 48 seconds); and 4) dual turbo spin-echo, proton-attenuation, and T2-weighted images (TR = 3320 ms, TE = 10/103 ms, FOV = 220 mm, matrix = 384 × 384, 25 axial sections 4-mm-thick, 30% gap). The dual turbo spin-echo sequences were obtained to exclude subjects with brain alterations due to concomitant diseases.

Image Processing and Data Analysis

Data analysis was carried out by using the fMRI of the Brain Software Library (FSL), Version 4.1.9 (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl).

Preprocessing. Single-subject preprocessing and group analysis were performed by using the fMRI Expert Analysis Tool, Version 5.98, part of FSL (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/FEAT). The first 3 volumes of the 120 resting-state blood oxygen level–dependent volumes were discarded to obtain a steady-state of the blood oxygen level–dependent signal. In brief, preprocessing consisted of head-motion correction, brain extraction, spatial smoothing by using a Gaussian kernel of full width at half maximum of 5 mm, and high-pass temporal filtering equivalent to a period of 100 seconds. Functional data were registered to structural images (within-subject) and Montreal Neurological Institute standard space (to allow higher level group comparisons) by using the FMRIB Linear Image Registration Tool (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/) and Nonlinear Image Registration Tool (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/FNIRT) and then were optimized by using a boundary-based registration approach.²⁰

Functional Connectivity (Seed Description, Time-Series Extraction, and Higher Level Analysis). Individual seed-ROI masks of the thalamus, caudate, putamen, and pallidum nuclei were obtained from each subject’s high-resolution T1-weighted structural scan by using FMRIB’s Integrated Registration and Segmentation Tool (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/FIRST),²¹ an automatic subcortical segmentation program. Each image was visually inspected in the coronal plane to ensure accuracy. Left and right masks of each of the 4 nuclei of interest (thalamus, caudate, putamen, and pallidum) were merged to obtain a single bilateral mask. In addition, a 4-mm-radius spherical ROI was placed on the dMT; it was centered according to the coordinates (5, −15, −8) of a previous study.¹⁰ Each ROI was registered to functional coordinate space and was used to extract the related time course after having preprocessed the raw fMRI data. Time-series were averaged across all voxels for each seed ROI. Each time-series was separately fed into the fMRI Expert Analysis Tool and produced individual participant-level correlation maps of all voxels that were positively or negatively correlated with each of the seeds. Afterward, higher level (group level) analysis was performed by using FMRIB’s Local Analysis of Mixed Effects (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/FEAT).²² The general linear model was
applied to test for group averages and differences between the 2 groups (patients and controls) by using a 2-sample unpaired t test. The Z-statistic images were thresholded by using clusters determined by Z > 2.3, and a whole-brain family-wise-error-corrected cluster significance threshold of P < .05 was applied to the super-threshold clusters. Anatomic localization of significant clusters was established according to the Harvard-Oxford Structural Atlas, the Juelich histologic atlas, and the Oxford Thalamic Connectivity Probability Atlas included in the FSL (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/data/atlas-descriptions.html).

**Nuisance Signal Regression and Covariates of No Interest Included in the Model.** To account for potential indeterminate noise, we also identified seeds of CSF and white matter on each individual functional EPI, and their time courses were added as covariates of no interest (nuisance) into each of the seed-ROI voxelwise correlation analyses to remove nonneural contributions to the blood oxygen level–dependent signal and thus enhance specificity. Similarly, the age of the study participants and volumes of the specific seeds were entered as nuisance covariates. Finally, structural maps were used as additional covariates on a voxel-by-voxel basis to account for potential gray matter differences. Very briefly, GM images of each subject were extracted by using FMRIB’s Integrated Registration and Segmentation Tool,21 registered in standard space, smoothed to match the fMRI data, demeaned within each group, and added to the model used to analyze fMRI data.

To visualize a unique image common to areas of functional abnormalities shared by the 5 maps of FC, we first performed a transformation of between-group difference maps in binary data; then, we performed a voxel-by-voxel sum of the 5 binarized maps. In the final image, we attributed a different color to each voxel value (range, 0–5). Finally, parameter estimates in individual functional connectivity maps, within a group mask of each of the 5 functional connectivity maps, were fed into voxelwise general linear modeling cross-subject statistics. We used a threshold of 0.2 for creation of a mean FA skeleton to include the major WM tracts but exclude peripheral tracts, which may cause significant intersubject variability and/or partial volume effects with GM and CSF. A voxel-by-voxel permutation parametric test (5000 permutations) was used to assess group-related differences by using threshold-free cluster enhancement, which avoids using an arbitrary threshold for the initial cluster formation.24 In addition to FA data, MD, axial diffusivity, and radial diffusivity were also analyzed by using Tract-Based Spatial Statistics in an analogous fashion. The results were corrected for multiple comparisons and reported at a significance level of P < .05.

**Statistical Analysis**

The statistical analysis was performed by using SPSS software, Version 16.0 (IBM, Armonk, New York). All values are reported as mean ± SD or median and range as appropriate. Unpaired t tests and χ² tests were used to evaluate any differences between groups, after Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. Correlations between clinical and radiologic variables were investigated by backward stepwise regression.

**RESULTS**

Demographic, clinical, and radiologic characteristics of the 19 patients with PSP are shown in Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences in age and sex distribution between patients and healthy subjects (Table 1).
Table 1: Clinical and radiologic characteristics of 19 patients with PSP and of 12 healthy subjects

|                             | Healthy Subjects*  | Patients with PSP* | P Valueb |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|
| Age (yr)                    | 69.172 ± 5.201     | 70.933 ± 5.196     | .356     |
| Male/femalec                | 3/9                | 10/9               | .158     |
| UPDRS                       | –                  | 27.625 ± 17.952    | NA       |
| FAB                         | –                  | 11.187 ± 3.799     | NA       |
| H&Y                         | –                  | 2.9 ± 1.065        | NA       |
| MMSE                        | 29.133 ± 0.8       | 24.325 ± 3.886     | NA       |
| History                     | –                  | 7.706 ± 3.820      | NA       |
| Mentation                   | –                  | 3.647 ± 2.597      | NA       |
| Bulbar                      | –                  | 3.117 ± 1.996      | NA       |
| Ocular                      | –                  | 7.706 ± 2.932      | NA       |
| Limb                        | –                  | 4.176 ± 3.486      | NA       |
| Gait                         | –                  | 9.235 ± 5.750      | NA       |
| Thalamus V (mm³)            | 9.483 ± 0.840      | 8.005 ± 0.657      | <.0001d  |
| Caudate V (mm³)             | 4.380 ± 0.373      | 3.962 ± 0.477      | .015     |
| Putamen V (mm³)             | 5.992 ± 0.472      | 4.835 ± 0.575      | <.0001d  |
| Pallidum V (mm³)            | 2.404 ± 0.472      | 1.857 ± 0.293      | .0004d   |
| Brain stem V (mm³)          | 14.906 ± 1.652     | 12.618 ± 1.603     | .0006d   |
| Intracranial V (mm³)        | 1620.661 ± 163.482| 1549.769 ± 114.184| .165     |
| Cortical V (mm³)            | 600.423 ± 41.923   | 576.789 ± 49.823   | .167     |
| Mean FA                     | 0.508 ± 0.019      | 0.441 ± 0.030      | <.0001d  |
| Mean MD (mm × sec⁻¹) × 10⁻³ | 0.689 ± 0.026      | 0.762 ± 0.030      | <.0001d  |
| Mean RD (mm × sec⁻²) × 10⁻³ | 0.478 ± 0.029      | 0.561 ± 0.034      | <.0001d  |
| Mean AD (mm × sec⁻³) × 10⁻³ | 1.049 ± 0.032      | 1.154 ± 0.056      | <.0001d  |

Note: UPDRS indicates Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale; FAB, Frontal Assessment Battery; H&Y, Hoehn and Yahr Scale; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; PSPRS, PSP Rating Scale; V, volume (left and right values of subcortical volumes are averaged); RD, radial diffusivity; AD, axial diffusivity; –, not available; NA = not applicable.

Values are reported as mean ± SD.

† Differences between groups were assessed by t test.

‡ Differences between groups were assessed by χ².

§ Statistically significant values after Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons.

FIG 1. Maps of functional connectivity obtained from 5 seeds, ie, the dorsal midbrain tegmentum (yellow), thalamus (red), caudate (pink), putamen (green), and pallidum (blue)—in 12 healthy subjects (t-sample t test, P < .05, corrected for family-wise error). The images are presented according to radiologic orientation.

Structural Damage

Patients with PSP had significantly lower subcortical structure volumes than healthy subjects, whereas no significant difference emerged between the 2 groups in cerebral cortex volumes (Table 1).

Patients also had significantly lower mean FA and significantly higher MD, radial diffusivity, and axial diffusivity values than healthy subjects (Table 1).
Correlation Analysis
FC estimates did not correlate with regional subcortical volumes and DTI parameters. The correlation analysis between the parameter estimates of FC within each of the 5 functional connectivity maps and clinical measures, as assessed by the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale, Frontal Assessment Battery, Hoehn and Yahr scale, Mini-Mental State Examination, and PSP Rating Scale and its subscales, yielded significant results (Table 2). Estimates of thalamic FC were inversely correlated with the Hoehn and Yahr Scale and the bulbar and mentation subitems of the PSP Rating Scale scores ($P \leq .05$). Estimates of pallidum FC were directly correlated with Mini-Mental State Examination scores ($P = .03$), and estimates of the dMT FC were directly correlated with Frontal Assessment Battery scores ($P = .04$). Overall, these results indicated that decreased FC was associated with more severe manifestations of the disease. Conversely, regional subcortical volumes and DTI parameters did not correlate with clinical scores of disease severity.

DISCUSSION
The main finding of this study is that all the networks we evaluated—dMT, thalamus, caudate, putamen, and pallidum—exhibited lower FC in patients with PSP than in healthy subjects in several subcortical and cortical areas. Cortical disconnection mainly involved the frontal cortex (DLPF, ACC, SMA, precentral gyrus) and parietal (supramarginal gyrus and precuneus), temporal, and occipital cortices; the basal ganglia, thalamus, and cerebellum were also affected. Disruption of specific brain regions (ie, the thalamus, caudate, ACC, SMA, and cerebellum on both sides and the DLPF, temporo-occipital cortex, and supramarginal gyrus on the left side) was a common finding in the various functional connectivity maps analyzed.

Following a previous observation by Gardner et al,$^{10}$ who first found reduced dMT FC in the cerebellum, thalamus, striatum, and frontal and parietal cortices in patients with PSP, in the present study, we provide further evidence showing that FC in the dMT functional connectivity map is reduced in the left DLPF and supramarginal gyrus and in the prefrontal anterior cingulated cortex, bilaterally. Moreover, in the present study, we did not find any region of enhanced dMT FC, which is in keeping with previous results.$^{10}$ With regard to thalamic FC, we confirmed the reduced connectivity in the premotor cortex, SMA, thalamus, basal ganglia, and cerebellum previously described by Whitwell et al$^9$ in patients with PSP. Unlike us, however, they did not detect decreased FC in the ACC and found increased FC in regions surrounding the perisylvian fissure.$^9$ These discrepancies between the 2 studies are likely due to differences in the methodology used for the data analysis or in the selection of patients or both.

FIG 2. Differences between 19 patients with progressive supranuclear palsy and 12 healthy subjects in functional connectivity obtained from 5 seeds (2-sample t test, $P < .05$, corrected for family-wise error). Patients with PSP had significantly lower FC than healthy subjects in all 5 FC maps—that is, the dorsal midbrain tegmentum (yellow), thalamus (red), caudate (pink), putamen (green), and pallidum (blue). The images are presented according to radiologic orientation.

FIG 3. Images showing common areas of functional abnormalities shared by the 5 maps of FC. Different colors show the number of abnormal FC maps: in yellow, voxels of decreased FC in 4 maps; and in orange, voxels of decreased FC in 3 maps. Voxels of decreased FC in 2 maps are not shown. No focus of decreased FC in any of the 5 maps was identified. The images are presented according to radiologic orientation.
and motor functions in the pathophysiology of PSP. We also integration centers of networks related to emotional, cognitive, lights the key role of the thalamus, which is an important functional connectivity maps, with the exception of the dMT, high-
circuitry involving 5 different functional connectivity maps. Some of those structural abnormalities did not correlate with FC. Although it is commonly assumed that FC reflects structural connectivity, the relationship between the 2 is rather complex. FC can be observed, for example, between regions with no or few anatomic connections, owing to the dynamic reorganization capabilities of functional connections in the brain.

Regarding the clinical impact of MR imaging structural abnormalities, previous studies that investigated possible relationships between cerebral atrophy measurements and disease severity generally failed to detect a significant correlation. There are few reports of correlations between regional measurements of DTI parameters and clinical scores. In the present study, neither regional brain volumes nor mean DTI metrics correlated with the clinical severity of patients with PSP. These observations suggest that the severity of clinical impairment may be due to a functional disruption of subcortical-cortical circuits rather than to structural abnormalities. To evaluate the effects of functional abnormalities on clinical severity, we investigated a possible correlation between disease severity clinical scores and parameter estimates of FC. We observed that thalamic FC was associated with both motor and cognitive abnormalities, as shown by correlations with Hoehn and Yahr Scale scores and with the bulbar and mentation subitems of the PSP Rating Scale; the pallidum FC correlated with the Mini-Mental State Examination and the dMT FC correlated with Frontal Assessment Battery changes. This latter finding is in keeping with the significant relationship between FC of the dMT network and the severity of cognitive impairment, found by Gardner et al. The present observations suggest that FC abnormalities in PSP might be developed as surrogate biomarkers of motor and cognitive abnormalities in PSP.

This study has certain limitations. First, we used a seed-based analysis, which is intrinsically flawed from a methodologic point of view owing to the a priori choice of the brain areas to correlate with the rest of the brain. Second, the seeds of our study included each of the 5 subcortical structures as a whole, with no distinction being made between the various components and nuclei. This drawback is related to the spatial resolution of the blood oxygen level–dependent images, which is insufficient to yield a reliable parcellation. Third, because we studied a homogeneous group of patients affected by Richardson syndrome, and not by other PSP subtypes, the conclusion of our study cannot be extended to other subtypes of PSP. Last, we did not perform a follow-up study; therefore, further investigations are needed to clarify whether FC abnormalities in PSP are useful measures to predict the clinical outcome in this condition.

CONCLUSIONS
Our data on PSP clearly point to widespread functional alterations involving 5 different functional connectivity maps. Some of these abnormalities are strictly correlated with the severity of clinical impairment, suggesting that the characterization of patterns and dynamics of brain networks may shed light on pathophysiologic and clinical changes in patients with PSP.

Table 2: Significant correlations between parameter estimates of FC maps and clinical scores in patients with PSP

| Clinical Scales | β | P Value | 95% CI | R² |
|----------------|---|---------|-------|----|
| Thalamus Bulbar | -5.89 | .04 | -11.57 to -0.20 | 0.19 |
| Thalamus Mentation | -8.02 | .05 | -16.20 to -0.15 | 0.27 |
| Thalamus H&Y | -3.51 | .03 | -6.60 to -0.40 | 0.53 |
| Pallidum MMSE | 12.23 | .03 | 1.19–23.27 | 0.52 |
| dMT FAB | 42.16 | .04 | 2.24–82.08 | 0.26 |

Note: FAB indicates Frontal Assessment Battery; H&Y, Hoehn and Yahr Scale; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination.

**Bold** Bulbar and Mentation are subitems of the PSP Rating Scale.

With respect to the previous studies, we evaluated FC also from the caudate nucleus, putamen, and pallidum and found that functional disruption was a consistent finding in PSP and extensively involved multiple subcortical and cortical areas. The thalamus, caudate, ACC, DLPF, and SMA are part of the parallel circuits that connect the basal ganglia and frontal cortex and are implicated in motor and cognitive functions, with the putamen mainly being connected to motor cortical areas and the caudate nucleus mainly being involved in cognitive frontal circuits. The decrease in thalamic FC we observed in all the functional connectivity maps, with the exception of the dMT, highlights the key role of the thalamus, which is an important integration center of networks related to emotional, cognitive, and motor functions in the pathophysiology of PSP. We also observed that the FC was abnormal in a specific region of the ACC in all the functional connectivity maps, with the exception of the dMT. This finding supports the concept of an overlap between different domains (ie, motor, cognitive, and emotional functions in the ACC). The DLPF, which plays a key role in executive functions, was disconnected from the thalamus and pallidum bilaterally and from the dMT and caudate on the left side alone. This asymmetric FC likely reflects hemispheric functional specialization in executive functions between the left and right DLPF. Last, the SMA was disconnected in the putamen, pallidum, and thalamic functional connectivity maps, while a region in the medial superior frontal cortex corresponding to the pre-SMA was disconnected in the caudate functional connectivity map. The functional alterations we observed are in agreement with anatomic interconnections among the putamen, SMA, and motor cortices on 1 side and among the caudate, pre-SMA, and prefrontal cortex on the other side.

In addition to areas belonging to the subcortical-fron tal circuits, the decrease in FC was consistently observed in the cerebellum and in the left tempo-occipital cortex and supramarginal gyrus, thereby suggesting a functional involvement of connections between basal ganglia and cortical areas other than frontal ones in the pathophysiology of PSP. The basal ganglia receives projections from widespread regions of the cerebral cortex, including the parietal and temporal lobes. Furthermore, although the main interactions between the basal ganglia—cortical and cerebellum—cortical loops occur largely at the cortical level, recent evidence points to direct connections between the cerebellum and basal ganglia.

In this study, patients with PSP displayed a gray matter volume decrease in subcortical structures and DTI abnormalities in white matter compared with healthy subjects, which is in keeping with the results of previous studies. These structural abnormalities did not correlate with FC. Although it is commonly assumed that FC reflects structural connectivity, the relationship between the 2 is rather complex. FC can be observed, for example, between regions with no or few anatomic connections, owing to the dynamic reorganization capabilities of functional connections in the brain.
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