A Narrative Review on Avulsion Fractures of the Upper and Lower Limbs
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ABSTRACT: Avulsion fractures compromise function and movement at the affected joint. If left untreated, it can lead to deformity, nonunion, malunion, pain, and disability. The purpose of this review was to identify and describe the epidemiology and available treatment options for common avulsion fractures of the upper and lower extremities. Current evidence suggests that optimal treatment is dependent on the severity of the fracture. Conservative efforts generally include casting or splinting with a period of immobilization. Surgery is typically indicated for more severe cases or if nonoperative treatments fail; patient demographics or preferences and surgeon experience may also play a role in decision making. Some avulsion fractures can be surgically managed with any one of various techniques, each with their own pros and cons, and often there is no clear consensus on choosing one technique over another; however, there is some research suggesting that screw fixation, when possible, may offer the best stability and compression at the fracture site and earlier mobilization and return to function. Physicians should be mindful of the potential complications associated with each intervention.
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Introduction

Avulsion fractures compromise function and movement at the affected joint. They are more prevalent in younger individuals who are generally more active. The injury occurs when a muscle tendon unit attached to a bony area produces enough force to tear a fragment of the bone while avulsing the tendon. If left untreated, this injury can lead to deformity, nonunion, malunion, pain, and disability. The purpose of this review was to identify and describe the epidemiology and available treatment options for common avulsion fractures of the upper and lower limbs (Figure 1).

Background on avulsion fractures of the upper limb

Hand and wrist. Fractures of the metacarpals represent approximately 30% of all hand fractures. In 2 population studies conducted in Canada and the United Kingdom, yearly incidence rates of hand fractures were 360 and 380 per 100,000 people, respectively. The extensor carpi radialis longus and brevis muscles insert at the base of the second and third metacarpal bones, which place these areas at risk of an avulsion injury. The proposed mechanism of injury (MOI) is a force occurring at the dorsal aspect of the hand while the wrist is hyperextended. The contracting muscle can then displace the metacarpal fragment. Patients with pain and restricted movement at the wrist following trauma should be suspected of having such an injury. Radiographs and/or computed tomography (CT) should be used to confirm the diagnosis. Complications included posttraumatic arthritis, joint weakness and reduced grip strength, and deformity.

Sports injuries are common at the distal phalanx. Mallet finger injuries affect the extensor mechanism at the distal interphalangeal (DIP) joint. The yearly incidence of this injury is estimated at 9.9 of 100,000 patients and is common in younger men (average age of diagnosis: 34 years). About three-fourths of mallet finger avulsions happen in the dominant hand and more than 90% are seen in the ulnar digits. Some authors have proposed that certain patients are genetically predisposed to the injury. Patients typically present with flexion deformity, with or without pain, and the inability to fully extend the DIP joint; a lateral x-ray can confirm the diagnosis. The most common MOI is sudden flexion at the DIP joint and accounts for about 2% of all sports injuries. Mallet finger injuries are generally classified using the Wehbe and Schneider system, which divides such injuries into 3 types (I, II, or III) and each is further divided into 3 subtypes (A, B, or C) depending on the amount of articular involvement (Table 1). An untreated or incorrectly treated injury can lead to deformity or eventual osteoarthritis in the finger.

Avulsion fractures of the scaphoid comprise about 2% of all hand and wrist fractures. Forces produced during dorsiflexion-ulnar deviation is the mechanism of this injury. The fracture can occur after falling on an outstretched hand and the patient will present with swelling, pain, and paresthesias. The most common location of such an injury is at the...
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**Table 1.** Wehbe and Schneider classification of mallet finger injuries.

| Type | Description                                      |
|------|--------------------------------------------------|
| 1    | No DIP joint subluxation                         |
| 2    | DIP joint subluxation                            |
| 3    | Epiphyseal and physeal injuries                  |

Subtypes:

| Subtype | Articular Surface Involvement                     |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------|
| A       | <1/3 of articular surface                        |
| B       | 1/3 to 2/3 articular surface                     |
| C       | >2/3 articular surface                           |

Abbreviation: DIP, distal interphalangeal.

**Table 2.** Watson-Jones classification of tibial tubercle fractures.

| Type | Description                                      |
|------|--------------------------------------------------|
| 1    | Uncomplicated                                   |
| 2    | Complicated                                     |
| 3    | Transtrochanteral fracture                       |

**Metacarpals:**
- Metacarpal fractures represent about 30% of all hand fractures (yearly incidence of hand fractures: ∼360-380/100,000 people)

**Mallet finger:**
- Yearly incidence: ∼9.9/100,000 people

**Scaphoid:**
- Represent ~2% of all hand and wrist fractures

**Fifth metatarsal:**
- Metatarsal fractures account for about 5-6% of all fractures in a primary care setting (yearly incidence: ~67/100,000 people)

**Lateral border of talar dome:**
- Estimated to account for about 1% of all ankle sprains (incidence: 2.15-6.09/1000 person-years)

**Tibial tubercle:**
- Accounts for about 0.4-2.7% of all physeal injuries

**Tibial spine:**
- Yearly incidence: ∼3/100,000 people

**Elbow.** Triceps tendon ruptures are rare, making up approximately 1% to 2% of all tendon injuries and the tendon may avulse from the posterior olecranon, which is the most common site of triceps tendon rupture. The fracture may initially be missed on imaging (bone flake on the lateral radiograph), especially in the presence of concomitant injuries. Although the fracture often occurs after falling onto an outstretched hand, clinical signs include a gap in tendon continuity, swelling, tenderness, and the inability to extend the affected joint. A common MOI for medial epicondylic fractures is an increase in flexor-pronator muscle tension, producing valgus stress at the elbow, such as during arm wrestling or collapsing on an outstretched hand. On the lateral side, an avulsion fracture can occur at the anconeus muscle, which assists in elbow extension and forearm pronation, the latter being the contributing MOI (eg, during weightlifting). The patient might feel a sudden sharp pain with tenderness and swelling at the joint, with weakness and pain on flexion of the wrist or elbow; the patient’s ability to fully extend the elbow may also be compromised.

**Shoulder.** An avulsion fracture can occur at the greater or lesser tuberosity of the proximal humerus due to attachment of the rotator cuff musculature. Fractures at the lesser tuberosity are uncommon, occurring in 0.46 people per 100,000 and represent about 2% of proximal humerus fractures, whereas greater tuberosity fractures occur more often, accounting for ~20% of these injuries. Examination will show swelling and tenderness at the shoulder. Computed tomography will be helpful for a more accurate assessment of the fracture.

**Injuries to the coracoid process** represent 3% to 13% of all scapular fractures, a proportion of which are due to avulsion. This injury will limit shoulder abduction and flexion. Computed tomography may be needed for diagnosis.

**Knee.** An avulsion fracture at the tibial tubercle most commonly occurs after sudden flexion of the quadriceps, typically at the beginning of a jump or during landing. It accounts for approximately 0.4% to 2.7% of all physeal injuries. The patient will have severe pain on the anterior aspect of the knee and, likely, be unable to walk and actively move the knee joint. Swelling and deformity may be present in the area surrounding the tibial tubercle and plain radiographs should be taken to confirm the diagnosis. The Watson-Jones classification divides this injury into 3 types, depending on the amount of involvement of the proximal epiphysis and degree of displacement (Table 2). Treatment should restore the anatomy of
Foot and ankle. In a primary care setting, metatarsal fractures account for about 5% to 6% of all fractures, with a yearly incidence estimated to be approximately 67 of 100 000 people. A fifth metatarsal avulsion injury is the most frequent type of metatarsal fracture. The injury typically results from inversion of the foot, generating tension along the plantar aponeurosis insertion. The most commonly used classification system for fifth metatarsal fractures is the Lawrence and Botte description and avulsion fractures are classified as Zone 1 injuries (Table 4). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or CT scans may also be required for an accurate diagnosis. For lateral talar dome fractures, the injury occurs after inversion with dorsiflexion. Patients will have a swollen, bruised, and painful foot and compromised weightbearing. These fractures may be classified from stages I to IV using the Berndt and Harty system (Table 5). At the ankle joint, both lateral and medial malleoli fractures may be due to avulsion. Early incidence estimates on ankle fractures range from 112 to 187 per 100 000 person-years and most of them occur at the malleoli. The patient will likely present with pain and instability, especially in those who are active. In addition to radiography, ultrasonography, CT, or MRI may be needed for diagnosis.

Treatment of avulsion fractures

Metacarpal. The literature on metacarpal avulsion fractures is limited. A few cases of nonoperative management with plaster cast immobilization have been reported, but more often than not, complications such as fracture displacement and malangulation occur that eventually require surgical intervention. Such complications can lead to persistent pain, limited range of motion (ROM), reduced wrist power, and metacarpal boss (a firm bump or swelling on the back of the hand where the metacarpals articulate with the carpals).
Surgical intervention stabilizes the joint, avoids tendon rupture, and restores joint integrity. OPEN reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) with Kirschner wires (K-wires) and lag screw fixation with tendon reattachment using a suture anchor have been reported. Najefi et al. performed a similar procedure with a headless screw with similar success. Surgical fixation with a miniplate and screws can result in adequate reduction and restore grip strength and wrist extension. The ORIF is also reported to allow for faster recovery relative to conservative management. Internal fixation with screws is more stable than K-wires only and offers compression with less tissue irritation (Figure 2).

Mallet finger. Nonoperative treatment of mallet finger injuries involves splinting the DIP joint to immobilize it and allow the injury to heal. There are numerous splint options available, which are used to help keep the finger in full extension or slight hyperextension. It is recommended that splinting be done 24 hours a day for 6 to 8 weeks, possibly followed by another 2 to 6 weeks of splinting at night only. Splinting may result in high patient satisfaction for up to 5 years, and even delayed splinting has demonstrated favorable results. The various splint options are made of different materials and may result in temporary benign complications (up to 45% has been reported; mainly skin-related and deformity) and patient satisfaction. The most commonly used splint is known as the Stack splint, but other options include a custom thermoplastic, aluminum foam, and Abouna splint. Patients have reported that the Stack splint is both comfortable and easy to clean. Abouna splints are more dynamic but its metal wires are known to rust and elastic tensor bands may wear out quickly.

When splinting cannot correct acute deformities, patients become intolerable to splints, or when the fracture is deemed unstable and involves a substantial portion of the articular surface, surgery may be required; some individuals may even seek treatment for aesthetic reasons. Several surgical interventions have been investigated: K-wires, extension block wiring, small screws, hook plate, pull-through wires, figure of 8 wiring, tension band wiring, umbrella handle K-wire, pull-through sutures, and external fixation. Percutaneous pinning of the DIP joint in extension could be attempted if the fracture is amenable to closed reduction. Open reduction can be performed and may even improve ROM and cosmetic appearance. A biomechanical study by Damron et al comparing K-wires, figure of 8 wiring, pull-through wires, and pull-through sutures found that pull-through sutures were most stable with no loss of reduction; loss of reduction with the other treatments ranged from 50% to 100%. In a retrospective study comparing K-wires versus ORIF with screws, although ORIF requires more surgical time, Lucchina et al. found that screws fixation resulted in earlier mobilization and return to work, without the need for managing the pin tracts left with K-wire insertion. Early results with the umbrella handle technique (dorsal to volar percutaneous pinning with bending of the dorsal end of the K-wire into an “umbrella handle” shape and passing it through subcutaneously from the palmar side of the finger) and hook plate fixation are encouraging, although they may be more complex and involve a bulky implant that may eventually need to be removed. Surgery may result in favorable cosmetic appearance of the finger. Rates of postsurgical complications have ranged from 38% to 52% and include infection, nail deformity, device failure, joint incongruity, skin breakdown, fracture displacement, necrosis, and tendon rupture. These adverse outcomes are reduced with a percutaneous approach, but this method may not result in proper bone reduction (Figure 3).

Scaphoid. Plaster casting for 6 weeks may offer full ROM and reduced pain, with adequate radiographic healing and proper stability at later follow-up. Scaphoid avulsion fractures fixed surgically are treated with a compression screw; stabilization of the fracture may be supplemented with K-wires, which can be removed once x-rays show evidence of bridging callus and there is a lack of
tenderness.\textsuperscript{20} Immobilization may last for up to 8 weeks post-operatively.\textsuperscript{20} Other procedures may also be considered, such as carpectomy, fusion, or removal of the avulsed fragment, but these are salvage therapies reserved for more chronic cases (Figure 4).\textsuperscript{20}

Triceps tendon. The literature on treatment options for triceps tendon avulsions is limited to case reports and small case series.\textsuperscript{26} Managing these patients can be challenging as a triceps tendon avulsion is associated with the presence of concomitant injuries.\textsuperscript{26} It has been recommended to perform surgery in all cases, except for older, medically compromised patients or those with low functional demand.\textsuperscript{26,83} The most common surgical approach is to fix the avulsed fragment with sutures.\textsuperscript{25,84–87} K-wires, cerclage wires, anchors, or tension bands may also be used.\textsuperscript{25,29,31,85} If the fragment is too small to be repaired with an implant or severely comminuted, it may be excised prior to suturing tendon to bone.\textsuperscript{25,27,88,89} Postoperatively, the elbow is splinted, with active extension starting around 3 to 6 weeks and strength training at 3 months (Figure 5).\textsuperscript{29,84,88}

Medial and lateral epicondyles of the distal humerus. A conservative approach to treating this injury when the fracture is deemed undisplaced is via a plaster splint for approximately 2 to 6 weeks and then gradual mobilization of the elbow thereafter as soon as possible.\textsuperscript{32,34,35} Early mobilization is important to avoid subsequent stiffness and limitation.\textsuperscript{33}

For displaced fractures, surgical options include K-wires, screws, staples, sutures, and tension bands.\textsuperscript{32,35} Although there is limited high-quality evidence on the topic, the use of operative fixation for epicondylar fractures has increased, with the advantage that it offers earlier mobilization and full ROM than conservative therapy, specifically following compression screw insertion.\textsuperscript{90} K-wire fixation stabilizes the injury but does not always compress the fracture fragments (Figure 6).\textsuperscript{90}

Tuberosities of the proximal humerus. Conservative treatment involves immobilization, for example, forearm straps for 6 to 8 weeks, for minimally displaced or nondisplaced fractures; functional training via physiotherapy should follow.\textsuperscript{36,41} Displaced fractures are best managed with screws or sutures and anchors; the former is preferred if the fragment is large enough, including headless screws.\textsuperscript{37,38,40,41,91} Cerclage wiring has also been used for lesser tuberosity injuries.\textsuperscript{36} Nonsurgical therapy can lead to chronic shoulder pain, particularly if not well reduced.\textsuperscript{37,40,92} In a recent systematic review by Vavken et al,\textsuperscript{91} the authors found that 17\% (n = 60) of patients with lesser tuberosity avulsion fractures underwent successful non-operative treatment. Surgery is recommended to prevent instability of the joint and weakness.\textsuperscript{38,40,41} Excision can be done if the fractured fragment is too small or comminuted, but this is not routine as bone-to-bone healing is preferred over tendon-to-bone healing.\textsuperscript{36,91} The arm should then be placed in a sling and after 2 to 3 weeks, light exercise can be initiated.\textsuperscript{36,38,40} Resistive exercises can begin after 6 weeks (Figure 7).\textsuperscript{40}

Coracoid process. Avulsion fractures of the coracoid process are generally treated with screw fixation.\textsuperscript{42,43,93} A K-wire may also be used temporarily.\textsuperscript{42} Immobilization of the shoulder in a sling for 2 to 3 weeks and physiotherapy for 6 weeks are recommended (Figure 8).\textsuperscript{42,43}

Tibial tubercle. Watson-Jones type I tibial tubercle avulsion injuries (minimally displaced) may be treated conservatively by cast immobilization with the knee in extension.\textsuperscript{44,47} Some may even attempt closed reduction for more severely displaced fractures prior to surgery.\textsuperscript{47}
Successful surgical management can be done with screws with early rehabilitation following a temporary non-weightbearing period.\textsuperscript{44,46} Compartment syndrome is a potential complication.\textsuperscript{46} The ORIF is recommended when severe displacement or comminution is present at the physis.\textsuperscript{46} Surgery should restore the extensor mechanism and joint congruency.\textsuperscript{46}

Use of preoperative CT scans and intraoperative arthroscopy are also recommended to better visualize the fracture pattern (Figure 9).\textsuperscript{46}

Type III or greater tibial spine avulsions should be managed operatively; there is controversy surrounding the surgical fixation of type II injuries, but those with greater than 2 mm of displacement or where conservative treatment has failed are often treated surgically.\textsuperscript{5,51,52} Other indications include a mechanical block with reduced ROM and patients having a locked knee.\textsuperscript{51} There is controversy surrounding the surgical management of these injuries, but options include arthroscopy-assisted fixation or ORIF.\textsuperscript{48} Prompt operative fixation will minimize the probability of nonunion, laxity, and decreased ROM and should be done in the presence of a disrupted articular surface.\textsuperscript{49,52} Internal fixation can be done using a variety of options, with screws and sutures being the primary methods, exhibiting good clinical and radiographic outcomes.\textsuperscript{5,48,49,51}

Successful surgical management can be done with screws with early rehabilitation following a temporary non-weightbearing period.\textsuperscript{44,46} Compartment syndrome is a potential complication.\textsuperscript{46} The ORIF is recommended when severe displacement or comminution is present at the physis.\textsuperscript{46} Surgery should restore the extensor mechanism and joint congruency.\textsuperscript{46}

Use of preoperative CT scans and intraoperative arthroscopy are also recommended to better visualize the fracture pattern (Figure 9).\textsuperscript{46}

**Tibial spine.** Closed reduction with casting or splinting in extension or slight flexion (20°-30°) can be done for less severe tibial spine avulsions.\textsuperscript{52,94} This is commonly done for type I undisplaced fractures for 6 to 12 weeks.\textsuperscript{94} High rates of nonunion and instability have been reported with conservative treatment, especially in higher grade fractures.\textsuperscript{95}

**Fifth metatarsal.** Conservative treatment of fifth metatarsal avulsion fracture is promising as the area has good blood supply and healing potential.\textsuperscript{37} Nonoperative methods of treating fifth metatarsal fractures include foot orthotics or cast immobilization.\textsuperscript{57,58,96} In a 1-year retrospective study of 42 patients comparing a controlled ankle motion boot, hard-soled shoe,
short leg cast, and a carbon fiber insert, Dineen et al.\textsuperscript{58} found no significant differences in outcomes measuring pain, function, quality of life, and patient satisfaction. Occasionally, nonoperative management can lead to delayed union, malunion, nonunion, refracture, pain, and compromised function.\textsuperscript{96}

When such fractures are intra-articular and displaced in younger, more active patients or the patient requires an early return to activity (eg, athletes), surgery should be performed, with options including tension bands or internal screw fixation.\textsuperscript{4,36,37} In a biomechanical study, cancellous screws demonstrated a significant improvement in fixation strength relative to tension bands. The screws withstood more than 3 times the load of the tension bands.\textsuperscript{4} Japjec et al.\textsuperscript{57} (33 patients) showed that intramedullary screw fixation can result in healing within 8 weeks in most patients; however, 3 of these cases had a refracture after resuming training and were subsequently treated with a stronger and larger headless screw (Acutrak), which all healed uneventfully. Larger diameter screws are recommended for patients with high body mass or require an earlier return to activity.\textsuperscript{57} In a small cohort of patients managed conservatively, 4 of 9 patients had a healed fracture, whereas the rest did not heal by 6 months; 4 of the unhealed cases eventually underwent osteosynthesis and healed within an average of 10 weeks.\textsuperscript{37} In a randomized trial of 46 patients comparing conservative treatment with percutaneous cannulated screw fixation, all cases achieved union except for 3 who had a malunion and 2 with mild to moderate pain in the nonoperative group. Those in the operative group also had significantly better functional scores at 6 months, but not at 12 months; they also had significantly shorter time to full weightbearing and return to work.\textsuperscript{96} Screws offer more direct and consistent compression ideal for fracture union and early rehabilitation, and tension band fixation may result in subcortaneous irritation, causing patients to want them removed; however, the latter can be an option in patients with underlying bone disease or the fracture is highly comminuted.\textsuperscript{4,96} Recent evidence shows that a hook plate may also be a viable option (Figure 11).\textsuperscript{97}

**Lateral border of talus dome.** Nonsurgical treatment of talus avulsion fractures includes a short leg cast or brace with a non-weightbearing status for about 4 to 6 weeks, followed by progressive weightbearing and physical therapy.\textsuperscript{61,63,98} Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or analgesics may also be prescribed for pain management, but there is controversy surrounding their effects on bone healing.\textsuperscript{98} Patients with more severe injuries (stages III or IV) or persistent symptoms following nonoperative therapy are typically treated surgically, with options ranging from arthroscopy-assisted fixation (with or without subchondral bone drilling) to ORIF.\textsuperscript{61,63,98} The rationale for drilling is that it is proposed to increase vascularity and healing of the fragment.\textsuperscript{63} Implant fixation with screws, K-wires, or absorbable pins can be performed.\textsuperscript{63,98} Screws provide the desirable compression but will eventually require removal.\textsuperscript{63} K-wires do not require an arthrotomy, like screw insertion, but they must also be subsequently removed and do not provide compression.\textsuperscript{63} Absorbable pins do not need to be removed but may not provide adequate compression and increase the risk of a local reaction or bone resorption with degradation of the implant (Figure 12).\textsuperscript{63}

**Medial and lateral malleoli.** Avulsion fractures of either malleolus are typically managed with tension bands or screws; however, a plate with screws may also be used.\textsuperscript{71,72,99,100} Tension band fixation may be preferred for smaller avulsed fragments.\textsuperscript{99,101–103} Screw fixation may also be contraindicated if the patient has osteoporosis or diabetes; such patients can be treated with a plate and screw system.\textsuperscript{72,99,100} Postoperatively, the patient may be nonweightbearing for 4 to 6 weeks, with ROM exercises initiated at 2 weeks (Figure 13).\textsuperscript{71,100}
Conclusions
An avulsion fracture can occur at numerous locations in the upper and lower limb. The literature on these cases is limited to mainly case reports and case series, but current evidence suggests that optimal treatment is dependent on fracture severity. Conservative efforts generally include casting or splinting with a period of immobilization, with the appropriate pain management medication as needed followed by rehabilitation. Surgery is typically indicated for severe cases or if nonoperative treatment fails; patient demographics or preferences and surgeon experience may also play a role in this decision. The goals of surgery are realignment, earlier return to function, and, specifically in the case of mallet finger, to improve cosmetic appearance. Some avulsion fractures can be surgically fixed with various techniques, such as compression screws or K-wires, each with their own pros and cons, and there is no clear consensus on choosing one over the other; however, there is some research suggesting that screw fixation may offer the best stability and compression at the fracture site and earlier mobilization and return to function. Physicians should be mindful of the potential complications associated with each therapy, including surgery.
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