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Abstract

We present genome assemblies from a male and female *Pieris napi* (the green-veined white; Arthropoda; Insecta; Lepidoptera; Pieridae). The genome sequences of the male and female are 320 and 319 megabases in span, respectively. The majority of the assembly (99.79% of the male assembly, 99.88% of the female) is scaffolded into 24 autosomal pseudomolecules, with the Z sex chromosome assembled for the male and Z and W chromosomes assembled for the female. Gene annotation of the male assembly on Ensembl has identified 13,221 protein coding genes.
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**Species taxonomy**

Eukaryota; Metazoa; Ecdysozoa; Arthropoda; Hexapoda; Insecta; Pterygota; Neoptera; Endopterygota; Lepidoptera; Glossata; Ditrysia; Papilionoidea; Pieridae; Pierinae; Pieris; *Pieris napi* (Linnaeus, 1758) (NCBI:txid78633).

**Introduction**

*Pieris napi*, green-veined white, is a small circumboreal but Hill et al., 2019). This species has been used to investigate evolutionary dynamics in insect immune system genes, which were shown to harbour elevated genetic diversity and signals of either balancing or positive selection (Keehnen et al., 2018). *P. napi* has 25 pairs of chromosomes, a genome size of 349.8 Mb (Hill et al., 2019), and is female heterogametic (WZ). We note the recent production of a high-quality genome assembly for *P. napi* (Hill et al., 2019), and believe the sequence described here, generated as part of the Darwin Tree of Life project, will further aid understanding of the biology and ecology of this butterfly. Both male and female assemblies were produced to enable accurate identification of and discrimination between the sex chromosomes.

**Genome sequence report**

The genomes were sequenced from a single male *P. napi*, ilPieNapi4, and single female, ilPieNapi1, collected from Carrifran Wildwood, Scotland (latitude 55.400132, longitude -3.3352) (Figure 1). Hi-C data for both assemblies were generated from a second male *P. napi*, ilPieNapi5, collected from the same location (Figure 1). A total of 91-fold coverage in Pacific Biosciences single-molecule long reads and 107-fold coverage in 10X Genomics read clouds were generated for the male assembly; 60- and 52-fold coverage were generated using the Pacific Biosciences and 10X Genomics technologies for the female assembly. Primary assembly contigs were scaffolded with chromosome conformation Hi-C data. Manual assembly curation of the male assembly contigs consisted of 33 missing/missjoins and removed seven haplotypic duplications, reducing the assembly size by 0.71% and scaffold number by 25.00%, and increasing the scaffold N50 by 3.75%. Manual assembly curation of the female assembly corrected 105 missing/missjoins and removed 28 haplotypic duplications, reducing the assembly size by 1.22% and scaffold number by 27.59%, and increasing the scaffold N50 by 1.98%.

The final male assembly has a total length of 320 Mb in 49 sequence scaffolds with a scaffold N50 of 13 Mb; the final female assembly has a total length of 319 Mb in 43 sequence scaffolds with a scaffold N50 of 13 Mb (Table 1). Of the male assembly sequence, 99.79% was assigned to 25 chromosomal-level scaffolds, representing 24 autosomes (numbered by synteny to the female assembly), and the Z sex chromosome; of the female assembly sequence, 99.88% was assigned to 26 chromosomal-level scaffolds, representing 24 autosomes (numbered by sequence length) and the W and Z chromosomes (Figure 2–Figure 5; Table 2). The assemblies have a BUSCO (Simão et al., 2015) v5.1.2 completeness of 99.1% (single 98.5%, duplicated 0.5%, fragmented 0.2%, missing 0.7%; male) and 99.0% (single 98.4%, duplicated 0.6%, fragmented 0.2%, missing 0.8%; female) using the lepidoptera_odb10 reference set. While not fully phased, the assemblies deposited are of one haplotype. Contigs corresponding to the second haplotype for each assembly have also been deposited.

**Gene annotation**

The Ensembl gene annotation system (Aken et al., 2016) was used to generate annotation for the male *Pieris napi* assembly ilPieNapi4.1 (GCA_905231885.1, see https://rapid.ensembl.org/Pieris_napi_GCA_905231885.1; Table 1). The annotation was created primarily through alignment of transcriptomic data to the genome, with gap filling via genome-to-genome alignments of a select set of proteins from UniProt (UniProt Consortium, 2019) and OrthoDB (Kriventseva et al., 2008). Prediction tools, CPC2 (Kang et al., 2017) and RNAsamba (Camargo et al., 2020), were used to aid determination of protein coding genes.

**Methods**

**Sample acquisition and nucleic acid extraction**

Three male (ilPieNapi4, genome assembly; ilPieNapi5, Hi-C; ilPieNapi6, RNAseq) and one female (ilPieNapi1, genome assembly) *P. napi* specimens were collected from Carrifran Wildwood, Scotland (latitude 55.400132, longitude -3.3352) by Konrad Lohse, University of Edinburgh, who also identified the specimens. A second female *P. napi* specimen (ilPieNapi9, RNA-Seq) was collected by Alex Hayward, University of Exeter, who also identified the specimen. All specimens were caught with a handnet and were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen.

DNA was extracted from the whole organisms of ilPieNapi1 and ilPieNapi4 at the Wellcome Sanger Institute (WSI) Scientific Operations core from the whole organism using the Qiagen MagAttract HMW DNA kit, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA (from the whole organisms of ilPieNpi6 and ilPieNpi9) was extracted in the Tree of Life Laboratory at the WSI using TRIzol, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA (from the whole organisms of ilPieNapi6 and ilPieNapi9) was extracted in the Tree of Life Laboratory at the WSI using TRIzol, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was then eluted in 50 μl RNAse-free water and its concentration RNA assessed using a Nanodrop Eukaryotic Total RNA assay.

**Sequencing**

Pacific Biosciences HiFi circular consensus and 10X Genomics read cloud DNA sequencing libraries were constructed according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Poly(A) RNA-Seq libraries were constructed using the NEB Ultra II RNA Library Prep kit. DNA and RNA sequencing was performed by the...
Figure 1. Fore and hind wings of Pieris napi specimens from which the genome was sequenced. (A) Dorsal surface view of wings from specimen SC_PN_1158 (IlPieNapi4, male) used to generate Pacific Biosciences and 10X genomics data. (B) Ventral surface view of wings from specimen SC_PN_1158 (IlPieNapi4) used to generate Pacific Biosciences and 10X genomics data. (C) Dorsal surface view of wings from specimen SC_PN_1159 (IlPieNapi1) used to generate Hi-C data. (D) Ventral surface view of wings from specimen SC_PN_1159 (IlPieNapi5) used to generate HiC data. (E) Dorsal surface view of wings from specimen SC_PN_1388 (IlPieNapi1) used to generate Pacific Biosciences and 10X genomics data. (F) Ventral surface view of wings from specimen SC_PN_1388 (IlPieNapi1, female) used to generate Pacific Biosciences and 10X genomics data. All three samples were collected from Carrifran Wildwood, Scotland, UK.
Table 1. Genome data for *Pieris napi*, ilPieNapi4.1 (male) and ilPieNapi1.1 (female).

| Project accession data | Male assembly | Female assembly |
|------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Assembly identifier    | ilPieNapi4.1  | ilPieNapi1.1    |
| Species                | *Pieris napi* | *Pieris napi*   |
| Specimen               | ilPieNapi4 (genome assembly); ilPieNapi5 (Hi-C); ilPieNapi6 (RNA-Seq) | ilPieNapi1 (genome assembly); ilPieNapi5 (Hi-C); ilPieNapi9 (RNA-Seq) |
| NCBI taxonomy ID       | NCBI:txid78633 | NCBI:txid78633  |
| BioSample ID           | SAMEA7523140  | SAMEA7523287    |
| Isolate information    | Male, whole organisms | Female, whole organism (genome assembly); male, whole organism (Hi-C) |

| Raw data accessions    |               |                 |
| Pacific Biosciences SEQUEL II | ERR6594499 | ERR6594498 |
| 10X Genomics Illumina | ERR6054471-ERR6054474 | ERR6054475-ERR6054478 |
| Hi-C Illumina         | ERR6054479    |                 |
| Illumina PolyA RNAseq| ERR6363261    | ERR6787421      |

| Genome assembly        |               |                 |
| Assembly accession     | GCA_905231885.1 | GCA_905475465.1 |
| Accession of alternate haplotype | GCA_905231895.1 | GCA_905475415.1 |
| Span (Mb)              | 320           | 319             |
| Number of contigs      | 76            | 84              |
| Contig N50 length (Mb) | 11            | 8               |
| Number of scaffolds    | 49            | 43              |
| Scaffold N50 length (Mb) | 13            | 13              |
| Longest scaffold (Mb)  | 15            | 15              |
| BUSCO* genome score    | C:99.1%[S:98.5%,D:0.5%],F:0.2%,M:0.7%,n:5286 | C:99.0%[S:98.4%,D:0.6%],F:0.2%,M:0.8%,n:5286 |

| Gene annotation        |               |                 |
| Number of protein coding genes | 13,221   | -               |
| Average coding sequence length (bp) | 1,720     | -               |
| Average number of exons per transcript | 10       | -               |
| Average exon size (bp) | 360          | -               |
| Average intron size (bp) | 1,929   | -               |

*BUSCO scores based on the lepidoptera_odb10 BUSCO set using v5.1.2. C= complete [S= single copy, D=duplicated], F=fragmented, M=missing, n=number of orthologues in comparison. Full sets of BUSCO scores are available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ilPieNapi4.1/dataset/CAJNIX01/busc (male) and https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ilPieNapi1.1/dataset/CAJQFT01/busc (female).*
Figure 2. Genome assembly of *Pieris napi*, ilPieNapi4.1 (male, A) and ilPieNapi1.1 (female, B): metrics. The BlobToolKit Snailplot shows N50 metrics and BUSCO gene completeness. The main plot is divided into 1,000 size-ordered bins around the circumference with each bin representing 0.1% of the 320,004,350 bp (male, A) and 319,202,574 bp (female, B) assemblies. The distribution of chromosome lengths is shown in dark grey with the plot radius scaled to the longest chromosome present in the assembly (15,058,180 bp (male) and 14,821,532 bp (female), shown in red). Orange and pale-orange arcs show the N50 and N90 chromosome lengths (12,982,002 and 11,574,744 bp, male; 13,068,865 and 10,703,985 bp, female), respectively. The pale grey spiral shows the cumulative chromosome count on a log scale with white scale lines showing successive orders of magnitude. The blue and pale-blue area around the outside of the plot shows the distribution of GC, AT and N percentages in the same bins as the inner plot. A summary of complete, fragmented, duplicated and missing BUSCO genes in the lepidoptera_odb10 set is shown in the top right. Interactive versions of this figure are available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ilPieNapi4.1/dataset/CAJNIX01/snail (male) and https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ilPieNapi1.1/dataset/CAJQFT01/snail (female).

Figure 3. Genome assembly of *Pieris napi*, ilPieNapi4.1 (male, A) and ilPieNapi1.1 (female, B): GC coverage. BlobToolKit GC-coverage plot. Scaffolds are coloured by phylum. Circles are sized in proportion to scaffold length. Histograms show the distribution of scaffold length sum along each axis. Interactive versions of this figure are available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ilPieNapi4.1/dataset/CAJNIX01/blob (male, A) and https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ilPieNapi1.1/dataset/CAJQFT01/blob (female, B).
**Figure 4.** Genome assembly of *Pieris napi*, ilPieNapi4.1 (male, A) and ilPieNapi1.1 (female, B): cumulative sequence. BlobToolKit cumulative sequence plot. The grey line shows cumulative length for all scaffolds. Coloured lines show cumulative lengths of scaffolds assigned to each phylum using the buscogenes taxrule. Interactive versions of this figure are available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ilPieNapi4.1/dataset/CAJNX01/cumulative (male, A) and https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ilPieNapi1.1/dataset/CAJQFT01/cumulative (female, B).

**Figure 5.** Genome assembly of *Pieris napi*, ilPieNapi4.1 (male, A) and ilPieNapi1.1 (female, B): Hi-C contact map. Hi-C contact map of the ilPieNapi4.1 and ilPieNapi1.1 assemblies, visualised in HiGlass. Chromosomes are given in order of size from left to right and top to bottom.
### Table 2. Chromosomal pseudomolecules in the genome assembly of *Pieris napi*, ilPieNapi4.1 (male) and ilPieNapi1.1 (female).

| Chromosome | Male | Female |
|------------|------|--------|
| 1          | 15.06 | 14.82 |
| 2          | 14.34 | 14.22 |
| 3          | 13.84 | 13.69 |
| 4          | 13.51 | 13.66 |
| 5          | 13.50 | 13.54 |
| 6          | 13.82 | 13.46 |
| 7          | 14.17 | 13.4  |
| 8          | 13.20 | 13.34 |
| 9          | 13.39 | 13.29 |
| 10         | 12.95 | 13.07 |
| 11         | 12.84 | 12.99 |
| 12         | 12.73 | 12.73 |
| 13         | 12.98 | 12.71 |
| 14         | 12.51 | 12.55 |
| 15         | 12.49 | 12.52 |
| 16         | 12.22 | 12.2 |
| 17         | 12.87 | 12.12 |
| 18         | 12.39 | 11.73 |
| 19         | 11.57 | 11.52 |
| 20         | 11.75 | 11.48 |
| 21         | 10.92 | 10.7 |
| 22         | 10.42 | 10.19 |
| 23         | 7.95  | 7.39  |
| W          | -     | 2.68  |
| Z          | 14.07 | 13.95 |
| MT         | 0.02  | 0.02  |

**W** - Unplaced

Scientific Operations core at the WSI on Pacific Biosciences SEQUEL II (HiFi), Illumina HiSeq X (10X) and Illumina HiSeq 4000 (RNA-Seq) instruments. Hi-C data were generated from the whole organism of ilPieNapi5 using the Arima v1.0 kit and sequenced on HiSeq X.

**Genome assembly**

Assembly of both genomes was carried out with HiCanu (Nurk et al., 2020). Haplotypic duplication was identified and removed with purge_dups (Guan et al., 2020). One round of polishing was performed by aligning 10X Genomics read data to the assembly with longranger align, calling variants with freebayes (Garrison & Marth, 2012). The assemblies were then scaffolded with Hi-C data (Rao et al., 2014) using SALSA2 (Ghurye et al., 2019). The assemblies were checked for contamination and corrected using the gEVAL system (Chow et al., 2016) as described previously (Howe et al., 2021). Manual curation was performed using gEVAL, HiGlass (Kerpedjiev et al., 2018) and Pretext. The mitochondrial genomes were assembled using MitoHiFi (Uliano-Silva et al., 2021). The genomes were analysed and BUSCO scores generated within the BlobToolKit environment (Challis et al., 2020). Table 3 contains a list of all software tool versions used, where appropriate.
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### Table 3. Software tools used.
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|------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
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| freebayes        | 1.3.1-17-gaa2ace8 | Garrison & Marth, 2012                                      |
| MitoHiFi         | 1.0       | Uliano-Silva et al., 2021                                  |
| gEVAL            | N/A       | Chow et al., 2016                                          |
| HiGlass          | 1.11.6    | Kerpedjiev et al., 2018                                   |
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| BlobToolKit      | 2.6.2     | Challis et al., 2020                                       |
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I am mostly questioning what contributes to the difference in the size of the assemblies. The previously published assembly is 349.8 Mb, and the assemblies generated in this study are 320 Mb for the male and 319 Mb for the female. Moreover, although a female has an extra W chromosome, its assembly size is the smallest. The difference can result from strain difference or assembly quality. I hope that the author can compare assemblies by plotting dot plot chromosome-by-chromosome. This way, we will have better ideas about which causes the difference. We can probably see some polymorphic inversions in this way. I’m also wondering why the authors chose male, instead of female, as their reference genome to annotate.
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