Spatiotemporal Dynamics and Driving Forces of Urban Land-Use Expansion: A Case Study of the Yangtze River Economic Belt, China
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Abstract: It is important to analyze the expansion of an urban area and the factors that drive its expansion. Therefore, this study is based on Defense Meteorological Satellite Program Operational Linescan System (DMSP/OLS) night lighting data, using the landscape index, spatial expansion strength index, compactness index, urban land fractal index, elasticity coefficient, the standard deviation ellipse, spatial correlation analysis, and partial least squares regression to analyze the spatial and temporal evolution of urban land expansion and its driving factors in the Yangtze River Economic Belt (YREB) over a long period of time. The results show the following: Through the calculation of the eight landscape pattern indicators, we found that during the study period, the number of cities and towns and the area of urban built-up areas in the YREB are generally increasing. Furthermore, the variations in these landscape pattern indicators not only show more frequent exchanges and interactions between the cities and towns of the YREB, but also reflect significant instability and irregularity of the urbanization development in the YREB. The spatial expansion intensity indices of 1992–1999, 1999–2006, and 2006–2013 were 0.03, 0.16, and 0.34, respectively. On the whole, the urban compactness of the YREB decreased with time, and the fractal dimension increased slowly with time. Moreover, the long axis and the short axis of the standard deviation ellipse of the YREB underwent a small change during the inspection period. The spatial distribution generally showed the pattern of “southwest-north”. In terms of gravity shift, during the study period, the center of gravity moved from northeast to southwest. In addition, the Moran’s I values for the four years of 1992, 1999, 2006, and 2013 were 0.451, 0.495, 0.506, and 0.424, respectively. Furthermore, by using correlation analysis, we find that the correlation coefficients between these four driving indicators and the urban expansion of the YREB were: 0.963, 0.998, 0.990 and 0.994, respectively. Through the use of partial least squares regression, we found that in 1992-2013, the four drivers of urban land expansion in the YREB were ranked as follows: gross domestic product (GDP), total fixed asset investment, urban population, total retail sales of consumer goods.
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1. Introduction

Urbanization is the only way for China to build a well-off society and achieve modernization. China’s urbanization will not only determine China’s future, but also the process of urbanization in the world [1]. Urban agglomeration is the main area of new national urbanization and a core strategic area of economic development, which plays an irreplaceable role in promoting urbanization in China [2]. At present, China is one of the three countries with the fastest urban expansion in the world. In 2011, China’s urban population exceeded the rural population for the first time, and the urbanization rate reached 51.3% [3]. In the process of urbanization in China, the expansion of urban agglomerations plays a vital role. Due to differences in the natural, social, and economic conditions of each region, the expansion of a city can take different spatial forms. Consequently, urban land expansion has long been one of the focuses of academic research and discussion [4].

To date, the academic community has carried out a lot of research on the expansion of urban land use. In terms of research content, the main focus has been on expanding space-time characteristics [5–8], driving forces and driving mechanisms [9–11], and links between the urban and ecological environments [12–15]. In terms of research methods, these include the nighttime lighting data method [16–18], the Logistic-Geographically and temporally weighted regression (GTWR) model [19,20], street based metrics [21–23], and other multi-source remote-sensing data methods [24–26]. In terms of research scales, these include from national to regional to local scales [27–30], the Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei urban agglomeration [31–33], Wuhan [34–36], and Jiangsu Province [37–39].

The YREB has unique advantages and great development potential, especially given the new round of reform to open up and transform China to implement a new regional open development strategy. Therefore, the YREB has also attracted widespread attention in the academic community. At present, research on the YREB mainly includes urbanization [40–42], regional innovation [43–45], the ecological environment [46–48], and carbon emissions [49–51]. In the study of the urbanization in the Yangtze River Economic Belt, specifically speaking, Liu et al. [40] explored the relationship between the expansion of urban land use in China’s YREB and the scope of human activities. The results of the study indicate that there is a coupling between urban land and the scope of human activities in metropolitan areas and urban agglomerations. Jin et al. [41] measured the urban land-use efficiency by introducing the Stochastic Frontier Analysis (SFA). The study found that the urban land-use efficiency of YREB increased continuously from 2005 to 2014, and gradually decreased from east to west. Not only the intra-provincial and inter-provincial differences are significant, but also the differences between the upper, middle and lower reaches are larger. Xie et al. [42] takes 108 prefecture-level cities in the YREB as an example, and uses the spatial econometric model to explore the impact of urban construction land expansion on regional economic growth. The results show that the expansion of YREB urban construction land has an important impact on economic growth, and there are significant differences between the regions.

Therefore, by summarizing the above research status, we can find that the current research on urbanization in the YREB mainly focuses on the analysis of the relationship between urban land expansion and the scope of human activities and economic growth [40,41], and the efficiency of urban land use [42]. It is obvious that there are relatively few studies on the spatial and temporal evolution of urban land expansion in the YREB. In addition, on the one hand, as urban land area expansion is one of the important indicators to measure the level of urbanization development, therefore, in the study of urbanization, it is very important to carry out research on urban land expansion. In addition, based on the current situation that there are relatively few studies on the spatial and temporal evolution of urban land expansion in the YREB. Therefore, it is necessary to study the spatial and temporal evolution of urban land expansion in the YREB. On the other hand, the study of the spatial and temporal evolution of urban land expansion in urban areas over a long period of time can be used to find out the extended characteristics and patterns of urban land use in this urban area during this period. In addition, due to the extremely important status of the YREB and the prospect of great development, there are many
gaps in the development of various urban agglomerations and cities within the YREB. Therefore, in the process of development and construction of the YREB, if we want to achieve the rational development and coordinated development of urban areas, we need to carry out long-term research on the spatial and temporal evolution of urban land expansion in the YREB.

The Operational Linescan System (OLS) sensor in the U.S. Defense Weather Satellite’s Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) makes it possible to capture nighttime lighting data [52]. Because towns emit light at night, nighttime images can be used to accurately extract and analyze built-up areas and spatial agglomerations (urban agglomerations), which can play an important role in understanding global and regional urbanization processes [53]. To date, scholars have carried out a lot of research based on DMSP/OLS data, mainly in urban expansion [54–56], saturation method research [57–59], gross domestic product (GDP) estimation [60–62], carbon emissions [63–65], and power consumption estimation [66–68]. In addition, the existing research that applies DMSP/OLS data to describe the evolution of urban land use is mainly based on China at the national level [69,70] and the Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei urban agglomeration [71,72].

Based on the current research status, this paper finds that there are relatively few studies on the temporal and spatial evolution of urban land expansion in the YREB using DMSP/OLS data over a long period of time. Since the reform and opening up, the YREB has developed into one of the regions with the strongest comprehensive strength and strategic support in China. This shows that the YREB strategy plays a very important role in China’s national development. Therefore, we must have a deep understanding of the characteristics and laws of urban expansion in the YREB over a long period of time. In addition, the use of DMSP/OLS data to carry out long-term research on the spatial and temporal evolution of urban land expansion in the YREB can reflect the characteristics, laws and irrationalities of urban land expansion in the YREB. Therefore, based on night lighting data, we use landscape index method, spatial expansion intensity index, compactness index, urban land fractal index, elasticity coefficient, spatial autocorrelation analysis and standard deviation ellipse to analyze the spatial and temporal evolution of urban land expansion in YREB. The purpose is to reveal the temporal and spatial characteristics and laws of urban land expansion in the YREB. In addition, we also analyze the driving factors of urban land expansion in the YREB. Therefore, we believe that the research work in this paper can enrich the depth of relevant research and has certain theoretical significance. Moreover, the characteristics, laws and irrationalities of urban expansion in the YREB discovered by this study, as well as the force of different driving factors in different time periods, can also provide some reference for the government, such as policy makers and urban planners, and also have certain use value.

2. Research Area and Data Sources

2.1. Research Area

The YREB spans three major regions of China’s eastern, central, and western regions. The introduction map of the YREB is shown in Figure 1. It covers 11 provinces and cities, including Shanghai, Jiangsu, Zhejiang, Anhui, Jiangxi, Hubei, Hunan, Chongqing, Sichuan, Yunnan, and Guizhou. It covers an area of about 2.05 million square kilometers, and accounts for 21% of the country’s total area, more than 40% of the country’s total population, and more than 40% of the country’s economic aggregate. The YREB Strategy is a new round of reform that aims to implement China’s new regional open development strategy. The YREB is a globally influential inland economic zone, a coordinated development zone for East–West interaction and cooperation and represents an opening up to the outside world along the coast. It is also the first demonstration zone for the construction of ecological civilization, with unique advantages and great development potential.

In June 2016, the programmatic document accompanying the “Outline for the Development of the YREB”, a major national strategy for promoting the development of the YREB, was officially issued, marking a period of more in-depth and concrete development of the YREB. At present, the development
of the YREB faces many difficulties and problems that urgently need to be solved, including the severe situation of the ecological environment, the Yangtze River waterway bottleneck, the imbalance in regional development, the arduous tasks of industrial transformation and upgrade, and the imperfect regional cooperation mechanism. In addition, because the YREB itself includes 11 provinces and cities, 5 urban agglomerations and many different sizes of cities. Therefore, there is a big difference in the status quo of urban land expansion. Therefore, it is necessary for us to have a good understanding of the characteristics and laws of the urban land expansion process in the YREB over a long period of time.

Figure 1. The Yangtze River Economic Belt (YREB) and the nighttime light intensity map of 2013. (a) Introduction to the geographical location of the YREB, (b) Night light intensity map of the YREB in 2013.
2.2. Data Sources

2.2.1. Defense Meteorological Satellite Program Operational Linescan System (DMSP/OLS) Night Light Data

This study used the 22-year DMSP/OLS non-radiative calibration nighttime stable lighting data from 1992 to 2013. The data were downloaded from the National Geophysical Data Center [73]. The DMSP/OLS nighttime remote sensing data is projected as WGS-84. The non-radio-calibrated DMSP/OLS night-stabilized lighting data has a spatial resolution of 30 arc seconds and approximately 1 km at the equator. The data have a gray value range of 0–63. A larger value indicates that the higher the brightness value of the light, the higher the probability that the data contain towns and other types of stable lighting. The data have been strictly processed to remove the effects of fire, sunlight, moonlight, clouds, and aurora. Stable lighting data includes lights from cities, towns and other places with long-lasting light sources, and removes background noise, so this data was used in the study of this paper.

2.2.2. Other Ancillary Data

China’s 1995, 2000, and 2005 Phase III Land Cover Data (NLCD) were downloaded from the China Earth, Science, and Technology Data Sharing Platform [74]. In the land-use/coverage classification system of the data, the types “urban and rural areas, industrial and mining, and residential land” include three secondary types: “urban land”, “rural settlements”, and “other construction sites” [75]. The vegetation index data used in this study include the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration/Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NOAA/AVHRR NDVI) data from 1992, 1993, 1995, and 1996 and the SPOT/VG data from 1998 to 2012 synthesized in 10 days. The data comes from the United States Geological Survey (USGS) and the VITO website (http://free.vgt.vito.be) [76,77]. The surface temperature data used in this paper are the nighttime land surface temperature (LST) data from the 2000–2010 MOD11A2-Level 38-day synthetic LST product released by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA, Washington, DC, USA). The data can be downloaded at http://lad-sweb.nascom.nasa.gov [78]. The urban population data, the GDP data and other data on the 11 provinces and municipalities were all downloaded from the China Economic and Social Big Data Research Platform (http://data.cnki.net/Home/Index) [79].

3. Research Method

3.1. Extraction of the Urban Built-Up Area

After downloading the global DMSP/OLS night light data from 1992 to 2013, we used the administrative regionalization mask of the YREB to extract the night light brightness maps of the YREB for each year. Because the data is obtained from different satellites in different years, and the data has pixel saturation problems. Therefore, in order to improve the accuracy of the data, before extracting the built-up areas of cities and towns, we first use the Invariant target area method [80] to calibrate the nighttime light images extracted for each year according to the YREB administrative area. The invariant target area method specifically includes mutual correction, saturation correction, and continuity correction between images. This method has been widely used [81–84] and is considered to have high reliability. First, perform mutual correction of time series images. In this paper, the quadratic polynomial model equation [80] is used to calibrate each DMSP/OLS night light image in the YREB. Second, we perform a continuity correction between images. After mutual correction, it is necessary to perform continuity correction between images. Therefore, this paper uses the invariant target area method to correct images of the same year acquired by multiple sensors.

Among the currently used remote-sensing image classification methods, the stratified Support Vector Machine (SVM) method has been widely used, and its accuracy has been highly recognized. Therefore, using the stratified SVM method, integrating DMSP/OLS, NDVI and LST data are used
together to extract the urban land area of the YREB every year. First, the DMSP/OLS, NDVI and LST data projections are uniformly changed to Albers projection. Second, resample the above three data to a spatial resolution of 1km. Finally, we use the layered SVM method with the DMSP/OLS, NDVI and LST data to extract urban land areas on a yearly basis. The steps in the process for extracting urban land-use information can be found in [85]. Based on this method, we extracted the urban built-up area of the YREB in each year of the study period.

3.2. Landscape Index

In this study, we used the landscape index [49] from landscape ecology to analyze the extracted urban land area of the YREB for a total of 22 years from 1992 to 2013. After using the stratified SVM method to extract the urban built-up area of the YREB in each year from 1992 to 2013, the data was exported to Tag Image File Format (TIFF) format, and then the TIF format data was imported into the landscape pattern analysis software FRAG-STATS 4.2. In the analysis, we considered the characteristics of the landscape types in the built-up area of the YREB city extracted from the DMSP/OLS lighting data and based on previous studies [86,87]. Therefore, we used a total of eight typical pattern indicators, such as Total Area Number of Patches (TA), Number of Patches (NP), patch density per 100 km² (PDH), Largest Patch Index (LPI), Total Edge (TE), Edge Density (ED), landscape shape index (LSI), and aggregate index (AI). The meanings represented by the eight landscape pattern indicators can form a good complementarity and combination, and can reflect the landscape pattern evolution characteristics of urban land expansion in the YREB well. The meanings of these indicators are described in detail in Table 1. Then, we select the eight landscape pattern indicators on the operation page of the landscape pattern analysis software FRAG-STATS 4.2, and execute the calculation command to obtain the calculation result of the YREB landscape pattern index.

| Landscape Index         | Shorthand | Description                                                                 |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Total Area               | TA        | The sum of the areas of all patches                                          |
| Number of Patches        | NP        | The total number of all patches in the landscape                            |
| Patch Density per 100 km²| PDH       | Number of patches in an area of 100 km²                                    |
| Largest Patch Index      | LPI       | The largest patches in a patch type as a percentage of the total landscape area |
| Total Edge               | TE        | Total patch length of all patches                                           |
| Edge Density             | ED        | Length of the patch boundary per unit area                                  |
| Landscape Shape Index    | LSI       | A landscape shape indicator for patches                                      |
| Aggregation index        | AI        | Reflects the non-randomness or degree of aggregation of different patch types in the landscape, and is used to describe the degree of aggregation of landscape patches |

3.3. Spatial Expansion Strength Index

The Urban Expansion Strength Index (UEII) refers to the percentage of urban land used by a space unit in a unit period as a percentage of its total land area. The larger the number, the faster the expansion. The index regulates the annual growth rate of urban land for each spatial unit in the study area, making the growth rates comparable in different periods. At present, the expansion strength index has been widely used in urban expansion research. The calculation formula is:

\[
UEII_i = \frac{ULA_i^{t_2} - ULA_i^{t_1}}{TLA_i \times \Delta t} \times 100
\]
where \( UEII_i \) is the average annual urban expansion strength index of city \( i \), \( ULA_{i1} \) and \( ULA_{i2} \) are the urban land area of city \( i \) during \( t1 \) and \( t2 \), respectively, \( TLA_i \) is the total area of the built-up area of the urban agglomeration \( i \), and \( \Delta t \) is the time span of the study.

### 3.4. Compactness Index

Compactness is a parameter that reflects the shape of an object. The compactness of the contours of the outer periphery of a town is an indicator that reflects the integrity and aggregation of the space patches. The compactness parameter takes a value between 0 and 1. The larger the value, the more compact the plaque in the town is. In contrast, the smaller the value, the worse the compactness of the urban form. Urban compactness is an important parameter that reflects the appearance of features. A change in compactness can be used to analyze the spatial characteristics of urban built-up areas quantitatively [88]. The calculation formula is as follows:

\[
C_t = \frac{2 \sqrt{\pi A_t}}{P_t}
\]

where \( C_t \) is the urban plaque compactness in year \( t \); \( A_t \) is the area of the urban plaque in the \( t \)-th year (km\(^2\)); and \( P_t \) is the perimeter (km) of the outer contour of the urban plaque in the \( t \)-th year.

### 3.5. Urban Land Fractal Index

Fractal theory uses fractional dimensions and mathematical methods to describe objects that are complex and have tortuous diversity. The fractal dimension of urban land is used to describe the curvature and complexity of the shape of the urban boundary, which can reflect a change in land use and the degree of disturbance. In combination with the patch shape index [89] in landscape ecology, the formula is as follows:

\[
S_t = \frac{2 \ln (\frac{P_t}{4})}{\ln (A_t)}
\]

where \( S_t \) is the number of urban plaque fractals in year \( t \); and \( P_t \) and \( A_t \) are the perimeter (km) and area (km\(^2\)) of the urban patch in year \( t \), respectively. The theoretical value of the fractal dimension of a town ranges from 1 to 2. The larger the dimension, the more complicated the boundary of the urban construction land and the more chaotic the urban form. When \( S_t < 1.5 \), the town’s boundary is simple and neatly planned, and the land is compact and economical. When \( S_t = 1.5 \), the town’s boundary is in a random motion state. When \( S_t > 1.5 \), the town’s boundary tends to be complex, tortuous, and poor in stability.

### 3.6. Elasticity Coefficient

The coefficient of elasticity of urban expansion refers to the coordination relationship between the speed of urban expansion and the speed of population growth and is one of the indicators used to evaluate the rationality of urban expansion. In this study, we used the elasticity coefficient of urban construction land expansion to measure the characteristics of urban construction land expansion in the Yangtze River Economic Belt in different periods. Its mathematical expression is [90]:

\[
R(i) = \frac{A(i)}{Pop(i)}
\]

where \( R(i) \) is the elastic coefficient of urban construction land expansion in the \( i \)-th period, \( A(i) \) is the average annual growth rate of the urban construction land area in the \( i \)-th period, and \( Pop(i) \) is the average annual growth rate of the urban population in the \( i \)-th period. Usually, the closer the value is to 1, the higher the degree of rationality of urban expansion, and the greater or smaller the numerical deviation, the lower or higher the coordination between urban expansion and population development.
According to the Chinese Urban Planning and Design Institute’s analysis of the urbanization process over the years, $R(i)$ is most suitable when it is 1.12 [91].

### 3.7. Standard Deviational Ellipse

Standard Deviational Ellipse (SDE) is a spatial statistical method that reveals the multi-faceted features of a variable spatial distribution [92]. The center of gravity of the SDE represents the relative position of the spatial distribution of urban expansion, and the azimuth of the SDE reflects the main direction of the trend of the distribution. The long axis length and the short axis length of the SDE are the standard distance, and indicate the degree of dispersion of economic elements in the main trend direction and the degree of dispersion of economic elements in the secondary direction, respectively.

The standard deviational ellipse can reveal the overall characteristics of the spatial distribution of geographic features. It reflects the center of gravity, the main trend, the degree of dispersion of the secondary trend, and the main trend direction of an element in the two-dimensional spatial distribution through the center, along the main axis, along the auxiliary axis, at the azimuth angle, etc. [93]. The formula is as follows.

First, calculate the SDE’s center of gravity (the average center). Suppose that an area consists of $n$ subareas, $m_i(x_i, y_i)$ is the central coordinate of the $i$-th subarea, and $w_i$ is some attribute value or weight of the $i$-th subarea. Then, the center of gravity is calculated as:

$$M(X, Y) = \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i}, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i} \right)$$ (5)

Second, we calculate the SDE. The formula is

$$SDE_x = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{X})^2}{n}}$$ (6)

$$SDE_y = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{Y})^2}{n}}$$ (7)

where $x_i, y_i$ are the coordinates of the $i$-th subregion; $(X, Y)$ represents the center of gravity of the region; and $n$ is the total number of subregions.

### 3.8. Moran’s I Index

Spatial autocorrelation is a spatial statistical analysis method that can be used to measure the correlation of a certain geographical phenomenon at a location or the value of an attribute with the same phenomenon or attribute value in a nearby location. Its spatial distribution characteristics can be measured by the global and local metrics of spatial autocorrelation. The global autocorrelation coefficient is used to verify the distribution or concentration of spatial patterns and metric attribute values in the entire region of the study area. There are many indicators and methods for global spatial autocorrelation, including Moran’s I, Geary’s C, and Getis–Ord [94]. In order to comprehensively measure the diffusion characteristics of an urban agglomeration’s spatial expansion, the spatial autocorrelation model that is commonly used in metrological geography—Moran’s I index [95]—was introduced.

### 3.9. Driving Force Analysis

The driving force for the expansion of urban built-up areas includes many influencing factors, such as economic development level, fixed asset investment, industrialization level, population size, and policy factors. However, as the object of this study, the YREB includes 11 provinces and cities,
and the study’s time span is from 1992 to 2013, we found there to be a lack of data on green areas, the total length of traffic lines, etc. Therefore, on the premise of considering the regional characteristics of the YREB and the difficulty of acquiring relevant data, and based on the studies [96–98], we selected four indicators to be the driving forces for the urban expansion of the YREB: the total production value of regions (in 100 million yuan units); the urban population (in units of 10,000 people); the total investment in fixed assets of the whole society (in 100 million yuan units); and the total retail sales of consumer goods (in 100 million yuan units).

A regression analysis was performed in which the urban built-up area was taken as the dependent variable (the unit of measurement is km$^2$), and the four examined driving factors were used as the independent variables. Next, a correlation analysis was performed to determine the relationship between the individual independent variables and the dependent variables in different time spans. Then, another regression analysis was performed. Using the traditional multiple linear regression analysis, multi-collinearity was found to exist among the four independent variables. Least squares regression provides a method for modeling many-to-many linear relationships. In particular, when the number of elements in two sets of variables is large, there are multiple correlations, and the number of observations (the sample size) is small, the model established by partial least squares regression has advantages that classical regression analysis does not have. Therefore, we used partial least squares regression in this study.

4. Results

4.1. Characteristics of the Evolution of the Urban Land-Use Landscape Pattern in the Yangtze River Economic Belt (YREB)

The landscape pattern analysis software FRAG-STATS has been widely used in landscape ecology research. In this study, after using the stratified SVM method to extract the urban land area of the Yangtze River Economic Belt from 1992 to 2013, the data was exported to the year-by-year TIF format, and then the year-by-year TIF format data was imported into FRAG-STATS 4.2. This software was used to calculate the eight selected landscape pattern indicators, eight landscape pattern indicators are selected on the operation page of FRAG-STATS 4.2. Table 2 shows the results. Based on these results, the characteristics of the evolution of the landscape pattern of the YREB for the 22-year period 1992–2013 can be summarized.

| Year | TA (km$^2$) | NP | PDH | LPI (%) | TE (km) | ED (m/km$^2$) | LSI | AI |
|------|-------------|----|-----|---------|---------|--------------|-----|----|
| 1992 | 10,383      | 370 | 2.13 | 99.44   | 679     | 3.91         | 4.50| 99.71|
| 1993 | 8505        | 243 | 1.40 | 99.54   | 440     | 2.77         | 4.13| 99.76|
| 1994 | 16,527      | 414 | 2.39 | 99.15   | 902     | 5.21         | 4.93| 99.66|
| 1995 | 16,806      | 410 | 2.36 | 99.13   | 901     | 5.19         | 4.93| 99.66|
| 1996 | 14,430      | 357 | 2.06 | 99.25   | 773     | 4.46         | 4.68| 99.69|
| 1997 | 13,639      | 355 | 2.05 | 99.29   | 762     | 4.39         | 4.66| 99.69|
| 1998 | 15,623      | 415 | 2.39 | 99.19   | 882     | 5.08         | 4.89| 99.67|
| 1999 | 16,855      | 411 | 2.37 | 99.13   | 906     | 5.22         | 4.93| 99.66|
| 2000 | 23,692      | 491 | 2.83 | 98.80   | 1172    | 6.76         | 5.44| 99.60|
| 2001 | 24,418      | 501 | 2.89 | 98.74   | 1192    | 6.87         | 5.48| 99.59|
| 2002 | 36,031      | 703 | 4.05 | 98.14   | 1707    | 9.84         | 6.46| 99.48|
| 2003 | 30,555      | 568 | 3.27 | 98.41   | 1426    | 8.22         | 5.92| 99.54|
| 2004 | 42,523      | 720 | 4.15 | 97.73   | 1870    | 10.78        | 6.77| 99.44|
| 2005 | 35,584      | 543 | 3.13 | 98.05   | 1465    | 8.44         | 6.00| 99.53|
| 2006 | 47,437      | 642 | 3.70 | 97.37   | 1814    | 10.46        | 6.66| 99.45|
| 2007 | 58,669      | 786 | 4.53 | 96.88   | 2211    | 12.74        | 7.41| 99.36|
| 2008 | 59,920      | 777 | 4.48 | 96.82   | 2207    | 12.72        | 7.41| 99.36|
| 2009 | 52,011      | 697 | 4.02 | 97.32   | 2010    | 11.59        | 7.03| 99.41|
| 2010 | 94,120      | 1095| 6.31 | 95.24   | 3240    | 18.68        | 9.37| 99.13|
| 2011 | 86,121      | 1046| 6.03 | 95.63   | 3032    | 17.48        | 8.97| 99.18|
| 2012 | 92,424      | 1083| 6.24 | 95.30   | 3266    | 18.83        | 9.42| 99.12|
| 2013 | 110,915     | 1253| 7.22 | 94.40   | 3898    | 22.47        | 10.62| 98.98|
4.2. Time-Space Process of Urban Land Expansion in the YREB

After using the auxiliary data-based comparison method to determine the threshold for extracting the built-up area of a town, as shown in Figures 2 and 3, we extracted the urban built-up area of the YREB and its four core cities (Shanghai, Wuhan, Chengdu, and Chongqing) for the period 1992–2013. The built-up area of the YREB in 1992 was 10,383.25 square kilometers, and increased to 110,915.25 square kilometers in 2013. This is an increase of approximately 9.68 times, with an average annual growth of 4569.64 square kilometers and an average annual growth rate of 11.94%. By calculating the spatial expansion intensity index of the YREB, we determined the overall annual average expansion intensity index of the YREB to be 0.18. The spatial expansion intensity indices for 1992–1999, 1999–2006, and 2006–2013 were 0.03, 0.16, and 0.34, respectively. It can clearly be seen that the urban expansion intensity in 1992–2013 experienced some volatility. At the same time, the intensity of urban expansion in 1999–2006 was greater than that in 1992–1999, and the intensity of urban expansion in 2006–2013 was significantly higher than that in 1999–2006. These results reflect the continuous expansion of urban land use in the YREB, the continuous increase in expansion speed, and the constant improvement in the level of urbanization.

Figure 2. A map of four years (1992, 1999, 2006, and 2013) of evolution of the urban built-up area in the YREB
4.3. Evolution of the Spatial Form of Urban Land Expansion in the YREB

It can be seen from Table 3 and Figure 4 that the urban compactness of the YREB decreased over time as a whole, which indicates that the shape of the urban built-up area of the YREB is fragmented and the compactness of the urban form is poor. However, in the two periods 1992–1994 and 2002–2005, there were ups and downs in the compactness, which indicates that the morphological changes in the urban built-up areas of the YREB were not stable. The fractal dimension of the urban land increased slowly with time. Its value increased from 1.11 in 1992 to 1.18 in 2013 and remained between 1.1 and 1.2 for the duration of the study period. This increase is small, reflecting that the YREB had a simple urban spatial boundary and compact land use. In addition, the fractal dimensions of the years 2007,
2008, and 2009 were all 1.15, and the fractal dimensions of the years 2010, 2011, and 2012 were all 1.17, indicating that the shape of the urban spatial boundary of the YREB changed little. These results further indicate that the urban development of the YREB was in a relatively stable state.

Table 3. The change in the Compact Index and Fractal Index of the YREB in 1992–2013.

| Years | Compact Index | Fractal Index |
|-------|---------------|---------------|
| 1992  | 0.53          | 1.11          |
| 1993  | 0.68          | 1.06          |
| 1994  | 0.50          | 1.12          |
| 1995  | 0.51          | 1.11          |
| 1996  | 0.55          | 1.10          |
| 1997  | 0.54          | 1.10          |
| 1998  | 0.50          | 1.12          |
| 1999  | 0.51          | 1.11          |
| 2000  | 0.47          | 1.13          |
| 2001  | 0.46          | 1.13          |
| 2002  | 0.39          | 1.16          |
| 2003  | 0.43          | 1.14          |
| 2004  | 0.39          | 1.15          |
| 2005  | 0.46          | 1.13          |
| 2006  | 0.43          | 1.14          |
| 2007  | 0.39          | 1.15          |
| 2008  | 0.39          | 1.15          |
| 2009  | 0.40          | 1.15          |
| 2010  | 0.34          | 1.17          |
| 2011  | 0.34          | 1.17          |
| 2012  | 0.33          | 1.17          |
| 2013  | 0.30          | 1.18          |

Figure 4. Diagram of the process of change in the Compact Index and Fractal index of the YREB in 1992–2013.

It can be seen from Table 4 that, during the entire study period (1992–2013), the urban expansion coefficient of the YREB was 3.09, which is 2.76 times the reasonable value (1.12). The coefficient of
elasticity of urban expansion first increased and then decreased. Overall, this reflects the inconsistency between urban expansion and population growth in the YREB. From 1992 to 1999, the coefficient of elasticity of urban expansion in the study area was 1.72, which is slightly higher than the reasonable value (1.12), and then the coefficient increased significantly. From 1999 to 2006, the coefficient was 3.88 times the reasonable value (1.12). The main reason for this is that, during the study period, the urban built-up area of each core city in the YREB increased significantly, but the population growth lagged slightly. From 2006 to 2013, the coefficient of elasticity of urban expansion in the YREB fell to 3.45, and the degree of direct disharmony between the urban expansion speed and the population growth rate was slightly reduced. However, the elastic coefficient of expansion during this period was still 3.08 times the reasonable value (1.12), which indicates that, during this period, the population growth and expansion of the urban built-up area in the YREB were poor.

Table 4. The elastic coefficient of urban expansion in the YREB in different time periods.

| Years   | Annual Growth Rate of Urban Expansion (%) | Annual Growth Rate of Urban Population (%) | Elasticity Coefficient |
|---------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1992–1999 | 7.17                                     | 4.18                                      | 1.72                   |
| 1999–2006 | 15.93                                    | 3.67                                      | 4.34                   |
| 2006–2013 | 12.90                                    | 3.74                                      | 3.45                   |
| 1992–2013 | 11.94                                    | 3.86                                      | 3.09                   |

4.4. Characteristics of the Spatial Evolution of Urban Land Expansion in the YREB

The standard deviation ellipse, as a tool for measuring spatial distribution, is mainly used to determine the range and directional factors of spatial distribution features. It is one of the most important tools for studying the distribution and evolution of spatial elements. Therefore, in order to further analyze the spatial direction of urban land expansion in the YREB, the standard deviation ellipse method was used to measure the urban expansion of the YREB. Then, the standard deviation ellipse of the urban land expansion in the YREB was drawn, and the direction and concentration of the urban land expansion in terms of spatial expansion were clarified by the change in the ellipse area and the movement of the center of gravity.

It can be seen from Table 5, Figures 5 and 6 that the long axis and the short axis of the standard deviation ellipse of the YREB have undergone a certain degree of change during the inspection period, and the spatial distribution generally shows the “southwest–north” pattern. The standard deviation of the scale of the urban system is obviously stronger than that of the short axis. This shows that the main force driving the evolution of the urban system of the YREB originated from the urban growth in the east–west direction, rather than from the urban growth in the north–south direction. The long axis of the standard deviation ellipse has shortened from 946 km in 1992 to 924.7 km in 2013, with an average reduction of 0.97 km per year, while the short axis has increased from 414.5 km in 1992 to 418.9 km in 2013, with an average increase of 0.2 km. Every year, the long-term or short-axis changes in 1992–1999 are smaller than the changes in 1999–2013. It can be seen that, once the 21st century began, the YREB experienced a marked increase in urban expansion.

Table 5. Standard deviation elliptical data from night light data on the YREB.

| Years   | Center Coordinates | Length of Long Axis (km) | Length of Short Axis (km) | Area of the Ellipse (km²) |
|---------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1992    | (108.27 E, 28.55 N) | 946.0                    | 414.5                     | 1,134,238.7               |
| 1999    | (108.25 E, 28.55 N) | 944.0                    | 414.8                     | 1,181,432.2               |
| 2006    | (108.19 E, 28.53 N) | 935.2                    | 416.5                     | 1,232,104.2               |
| 2013    | (108.09 E, 28.50 N) | 924.7                    | 418.9                     | 1,324,724.0               |
transfer speed was significantly accelerated, and it shifted to the southwest at an annual rate of 1.97 kilometers. Throughout the study period, the urban system of the YREB gradually shifted southwest, and the urban areas at the fringe of the urban system in the central and western regions grew faster than the core regions, such as the Yangtze River Delta. The southern part of Chongqing and the northern part of Guizhou Province were the areas with the most significant urban growth.

### Table 5. Standard deviation elliptical data from night light data on the YREB.

| Years | Center Coordinates | Length of Long Axis (km) | Length of Short Axis (km) | Area of the Ellipse (km²) |
|-------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1992  | (108.27 E, 28.55 N) | 946.0                    | 414.5                    | 1,134,238.7              |
| 1999  | (108.25 E, 28.55 N) | 944.0                    | 414.8                    | 1,181,432.2              |
| 2006  | (108.19 E, 28.53 N) | 935.2                    | 416.5                    | 1,232,104.2              |
| 2013  | (108.09 E, 28.50 N) | 924.7                    | 418.9                    | 1,324,724.0              |

**Figure 5.** Standard deviation ellipse of urban land expansion in the YREB.

**Figure 6.** The center of gravity of urban land expansion in the YREB over four years.

In terms of gravity shift, during the study period, the center of gravity moved mainly from northeast to southwest. The coordinates of the urban expansion’s center of gravity were transferred...
from (108.27 E, 28.55 N) in 1992 to (108.09 E, 28.50 N) in 2013. The focus of urban expansion in the YREB was located in You-yang Tu-jia and Miao Autonomous County in 1992–1999, and was also located in You-yang Tu-jia and Miao Autonomous County for most of the 1999–2006 period. However, from 2006 to 2013, the focus of urban expansion was located in the Yan-he Tu-jia Autonomous County along the river. From 1992 to 2013, the center of gravity shifted 29.59 kilometers, with an average annual transfer of 1.35 kilometers to the southwest. The transfer speed was slow in 1992–1999, and it shifted to the southwest at an annual rate of 0.31 kilometers. In 1999–2013, the transfer speed was significantly accelerated, and it shifted to the southwest at an annual rate of 1.97 kilometers. Throughout the study period, the urban system of the YREB gradually shifted southwest, and the urban areas at the fringe of the urban system in the central and western regions grew faster than the core regions, such as the Yangtze River Delta. The southern part of Chongqing and the northern part of Guizhou Province were the areas with the most significant urban growth.

4.5. The Evolution of the Spatial–Temporal Correlation Pattern of Urban Expansion in the YREB

In order to better understand the spatial autocorrelation characteristics of urban expansion in the YREB, the global Moran’s I method was used to calculate the spatial autocorrelation characteristics of the YREB in the years of 1992, 1999, 2006, and 2013. Figure 7 shows the scatter plot of the calculation results. From Figure 7, we can see that the global autocorrelation coefficients (Moran’s I) of the YREB in 1992, 1999, 2006, and 2013 are 0.451, 0.495, 0.506, and 0.424, respectively. The average coefficient is 0.468933, and the Moran’s I values of all four years are greater than 0, indicating that the data are positively correlated with space, and the value has been increasing continuously from 1992 to 2006. That is to say, the characteristics of urban clusters are significant, as the larger cities tend to be closer to larger cities, and the smaller cities tend to be adjacent to smaller cities. These results also reflect that the spatial expansion of urban expansion in the YREB became more prominent over time during the research period, and the development of small and medium-sized cities and towns was rapid. However, in 2013, the Moran’s I values declined, reflecting the fluctuations in urban expansion. At this time, the spatial cluster characteristics of the urban-scale spatial distribution in the YREB were weakened.

In order to further measure the local spatial structure characteristics of and changes in urban systems, it is necessary to conduct a spatial-scale local-area indicator LISA analysis at the urban scale. Figures 8–11 are urban spatial agglomeration maps and saliency maps based on the Moran’s I scatter plots for 1992, 1999, 2006, and 2010, respectively. From the figure, we can determine the local characteristics and trend of change of the spatial distribution at the scale of the YREB.

In 1992, the spatial distribution of cities of all levels within the YREB initially showed the characteristics of the Yangtze River Delta urban agglomeration, the middle reaches of the Yangtze River, and the Chengdu–Chongqing urban agglomeration. The three major urban agglomerations are core area of expansion of the YREB, especially the leading position of the Yangtze River Delta urban agglomeration, is more obvious. Both the existing urban built-up area and the speed of urban expansion are obviously greater than other areas of the YREB. In addition, the statistically significant urban agglomerations, in addition to the three major urban agglomerations, include the Yu-zhong urban agglomeration, the Qian-zhong urban agglomeration, and the Dianzhong urban agglomeration, which are mainly concentrated in provincial capital cities. The cities around the main city are relatively small in scale and relatively slow in development. The YREB has not yet demonstrated significant spatial relevance.
In 1999, the urban spatial distribution in the YREB began to show more obvious cluster characteristics. At this stage, spatial polarization is the main theme of the evolution of the entire urban agglomeration. On the one hand, the geographical concentration of the entire urban system is further enhanced. On the other hand, those cities near the four cores Shanghai, Wuhan, Chengdu, and Chongqing have developed faster, with the formation of metropolitan areas with Shanghai, Wuhan, Chengdu, and Chongqing as the core. The Yangtze River Delta urban agglomeration still holds this absolute advantage regardless of the urban built-up area or the speed of urban expansion. Besides this, and in addition to the original three major cities, new areas with statistical significance have also been added, including the Central Gui-zhou urban agglomeration and Huai-hua City in Hunan Province. The urbanization of the northern part of Sichuan Province has experienced a period of rapid development and growth. Therefore, we can find that the YREB reflects significant spatial relevance.

Figure 7. Scatter plot of the Moran’s I index in the urban expansion of the YREB in 1992, 1999, 2006, and 2013. (a) Scatter plot of the Moran’s Index of the YREB’s urban land expansion in 1992, (b) Scatter plot of the Moran’s Index of the YREB’s urban land expansion in 1999, (c) Scatter plot of the Moran’s Index of the YREB’s urban land expansion in 2006, (d) Scatter plot of the Moran’s Index of the YREB’s urban land expansion in 2013.
In 2006, the cluster characteristics of the spatial distribution of urban systems in the YREB were more obvious. At this stage, the diffusion effects of the three major urban agglomerations and core cities appear, and the metropolitan area and the periphery develop faster. Large and medium-sized cities are end-to-end, transitioning to the initial spatial form of the urban agglomeration, especially the development and agglomeration of the Yangtze River Delta urban agglomeration. The degree of development is most obvious, and the situation of the extended urban agglomeration has been initially formed. In addition, the concentration and distinctive features of the Chengdu–Chongqing, Yu-zhong, and Dian-zhong urban agglomerations are also obvious; these urban agglomerations each have a trend of continuous development. The three sub-cities within the middle reaches of the Yangtze River have a certain distance in spatial distribution. Therefore, the scale of agglomeration is relatively isolated, and it is impossible to form a continuous urban area with a certain scale. The spatial distribution of urban agglomeration is more discontinuous. Large-scale cities are mainly concentrated in Wuhan urban agglomerations and Changsha–Zhuzhou–Xiangtan urban agglomerations. The agglomeration characteristics of Nanchang urban agglomerations are not significant.

In 2013, the degree of clustering of urban spatial distribution in the YREB declined. The Yangtze River Delta urban agglomeration, Wuhan urban agglomeration, Chengdu–Chongqing urban agglomeration, and Yu-zhong urban agglomeration were the regions with more obvious clusters at this stage. Urban systems in other regions had poor clustering. At this stage, the diffusion effect of the Yangtze River Delta urban agglomeration and the Chengdu–Chongqing urban agglomeration is more obvious. The metropolitan area and peripheral development are faster. The large and medium-sized cities are interconnected and transition to the basic spatial form of the continuously spreading urban agglomeration. Among them, the development and concentration of the Yangtze River Delta urban agglomeration is the most obvious, and the pattern of the urban agglomeration has basically formed. In addition, there are many statistically significant areas, such as the Yangtze River Delta urban agglomeration and the Chengdu–Chongqing urban agglomeration. The remaining regions are not significant or significantly weak. In addition, the spatial pattern of the urban system of the entire YREB presents the status quo and trends of the development along the axis of the Yangtze River, along the Yangtze River trunk line, along the Shanghai–Kunming line, and along regional traffic corridors.

Figure 8. Urban space agglomeration map and saliency map of the YREB in 1992. (a) LISA cluster map of the YREB in 1992, (b) LISA significance map of the YREB in 1992.
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4.6. Analysis of Driving Forces of Urban Land Expansion in the YREB

Table 6 shows the statistics of the total urban built-up area, urban population and regional GDP, total social fixed assets investment, and total retail sales of consumer goods in the YREB. It can be seen that the values of the various elements have been increasing. Therefore, in order to further analyze the correlation between the four driving factors and the urban expansion of the YREB, we conducted a correlation analysis. We found that the correlation coefficients between the four driving factors (total urban built-up area, urban population and regional GDP, total social fixed assets investment, and total retail sales of consumer goods) and the urban expansion of the YREB are 0.963, 0.998, 0.99, and 0.994, respectively, indicating that there is a significant correlation between the four driving factors and urban land expansion in the YREB. In addition, the correlation analysis results in this paper are also very close to the calculation results of existing correlation studies [99,100], indicating that our correlation analysis results are trustworthy.
Table 6. The statistical value and average annual growth rate of the four driving factors of urban built-up area expansion in the YREB

| Years  | Urban Construction Land Area (km²) | Urban Population (10,000 People) | Gross Regional Product (100 million yuan) | Total Social Fixed Assets Investment (100 million yuan) | Total Retail Sales of Social Consumer Goods (100 million yuan) |
|--------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1992   | 10,383                            | 13,542                           | 10,664                                  | 2868                                                     | 3944                                                        |
| 1999   | 16,855                            | 18,036                           | 36,694                                  | 12,795                                                   | 14,226                                                      |
| 2006   | 47,437                            | 2218                             | 93,655                                  | 45,027                                                   | 31,835                                                      |
| 2013   | 110,915                           | 30,022                           | 262,805                                 | 183,657                                                  | 100,023                                                     |
| Average annual growth rate (%) |                                   |                                  |                                        |                                                          |                                                             |
| 1992–1999 | 7.17                              | 4.18                             | 19.31                                   | 3.46                                                     | 2.61                                                        |
| 1999–2006 | 15.93                             | 3.67                             | 14.32                                   | 2.52                                                     | 1.24                                                        |
| 2006–2013 | 12.90                             | 3.74                             | 15.88                                   | 30.8                                                     | 2.14                                                        |
The JMP software was used to carry out a partial least-squares regression analysis. The urban construction land in the YREB in 1992–1999, 1999–2006, and 2006–2013 was taken as the dependent variable, and the total urban population, GDP, total investment in fixed assets, and total retail sales of consumer goods were taken as the independent variables. According to the results of the regression analysis, for the periods 1992–1999, 1999–2006, and 2006–2013, the goodness of fit $R^2$ of urban construction land and the four influencing factors (total urban population, GDP, total investment in fixed assets, and total retail sales of consumer goods) in the YREB is 0.791, 0.824, 0.851, and 0.898, respectively. These results show that the four influencing factors have an important impact on the expansion of urban construction land in the YREB. However, there are significant differences in the size of the action in different time periods. A summary is provided below.

(a) The regression coefficient of the urban population increased from 0.692 in 1992 to 0.845 in 2013, indicating that its impact on urban land expansion in the YREB increased over time. The reason for this is that, after a large number of people enter a town, the size of its urban residential areas will increase. For example, the area with the highest level of urbanization in the YREB was also the most concentrated area in the region. (b) The regression coefficients of regional GDP in the periods 1992–1999, 1999–2006, and 2006–2013 were 0.892, 0.941, and 0.982, respectively, reflecting that the influence of regional GDP on urban land expansion in the YREB became greater over time. With the continuous increase in the urban economic level, the towns also experienced tremendous development. Example manifestations of this development are the increase in the area of urban construction land and the emergence of new towns. (c) The regression coefficient of the total investment in fixed assets increased from 0.756 in 1992 to 0.921 in 2013, indicating that the total investment in fixed assets played an increasingly important role in the expansion of urban land use in the YREB. The reason for this is that total investment in fixed assets is the main source of funding for urban construction and other development. (d) The regression coefficient of the total retail sales of consumer goods dropped from 0.612 in 1992 to 0.463 in 2013, indicating that the impact of total retail sales of consumer goods on urban expansion in the YREB declined, as evidenced by the continuous expansion of urban areas and the urban population. The consumption capacity of the whole of society, especially the overall consumption power of residents, increased more slowly than urban population and regional GDP due to such factors as income level and price level.

5. Discussion

The YREB Strategy is a new regional open development strategy that is the product of a new round of reform to open up and transform China. The YREB is an inland economic belt with global influence. It is a major national strategic development area of China, and has great development potential. In addition, the promotion of urbanization is also one of the main goals of the development of the YREB. Therefore, we believe that it is meaningful to analyze the urban expansion of the YREB in China and the forces that drive its expansion.

The research methods used in this study have a good effect when used in combination. (a) The landscape pattern index method can reflect the changes in urban land patches in the YREB. (b) The expansion strength index can reflect the growth rate of urban land use in the YREB in a unit of time. (c) The compactness index can reflect the degree of compactness of the urban form. (d) The fractal dimension of urban land can be used to describe the curvature and complexity of the shape of a town’s boundary in the YREB. (e) The coefficient of elasticity of urban expansion can establish the coordination relationship between the speed of urban expansion and the speed of population growth. (f) The standard deviation ellipse method can reflect the direction and center of urban expansion in the YREB. (g) The spatial autocorrelation analysis method can reveal the spatial correlation of urban expansion in the YREB. Moreover, this study also analyzed the factors that drive urban expansion in the YREB, and determined the influence that these factors had on the urban expansion of the YREB in different time periods. Therefore, the results of this paper are relatively reliable and reasonable.
In conclusion, we found that, due to the geographical conditions, spatial distance, and stage of development, the urban spatial distribution of the YREB showed obvious discontinuity and fragmentation. The evolution of the urban agglomerations in the region exhibited diverse spatial development characteristics. Therefore, optimization of the spatial structure of the urban system of the YREB ought to be promoted. Such optimization should not only emphasize the local spatial agglomeration at different levels but should also improve the coordination among the different urban spatial structures throughout the YREB.

This study has two shortcomings. Firstly, the DMSP/OLS nighttime lighting data have significant advantages for urban research. In addition, since the data are long-term sequence data that span the period from 1992 to 2013, they are suitable for application in research work that reveals changes in urban land use over long periods of time. However, the DMSP/OLS nighttime lighting data also have a low resolution, which makes the extraction of small urban areas, such as small towns, less accurate. In addition, considering that Net Primary Production-Visible infrared Imaging Radiometer (NPP-VIIRS) night light data and Luojia01 night light data have higher resolution, especially Luojia01 night light data has the highest resolution. Therefore, in the follow-up study, the integration of DMSP/OLS night lighting data, NPP-VIIRS night lighting data and Luojia01 night lighting data can be further expanded and improved. Moreover, the method of street based metrics is also an important indicator worth applying to urbanization research. Secondly, in the analysis of the factors that drive urban expansion in the YREB, since the research object includes 11 provinces and cities, and the study’s time span is a long period of time, differences exist in the statistics of different provinces and cities, resulting in a lack of such data as the total green area and the total length of traffic lines. Therefore, we only selected four driving factors (urban population, regional GDP, total fixed assets investment, and total retail sales of consumer goods). However, the forces that drive urban built-up area expansion include a variety of influencing factors. Therefore, in the follow-up study, we should increase the driving force of urban expansion, carry out extensive data collection and expand data collection channels, such as increasing the urban green area and the length of urban public transportation mileage, so as to finally build a reasonable driving force index system for urban expansion.

6. Conclusions

In this study, we used nighttime lighting data and nine methods, including a comparison method based on auxiliary data, the landscape index method, a spatial expansion strength index, a compactness index, an urban land fractal index, the elasticity coefficient, and the standard deviation ellipse, to analyze the evolution of urban expansion in the YREB in China. Our conclusions are as follows.

(a) Through the calculation of the selected 8 landscape pattern indicators, we found that during the study period, the number of urban built-up areas and towns in YREB generally increased, and small and medium-sized cities and emerging towns also continued to develop. At the time level, the growth rate between 2003 and 2013 was significantly higher than the growth rate from 1992 to 2002. In addition, as the overall index declines, this reflects the increasing frequency of interactions and interactions between towns. However, during the study period, there are certain fluctuations in various indicators, which reflects the significant instability and irregularity of YREB urbanization development. (b) The average annual growth index of YREB is 0.18 during the 22 years from 1992 to 2013, and the expansion intensity index is increasing year by year, reflecting that the urban development of YREB is in a consistent growth trend and the growth rate is increasing. (c) The compactness of YREB generally decreased over time, and the fractal dimension increased slowly over time. These results indicate that YREB’s urban development is relatively stable. In addition, the coefficient of elasticity of urban expansion increased first and then decreased, reflecting the inconsistency between urban expansion and YREB population growth. (d) The long axis and short axis of the standard deviation ellipse of the YREB underwent a certain degree of change during the inspection period. The standard deviation of the urban system scale was obviously stronger than that of the short axis. The main driving force came from the urban growth in the east–west direction, not the north–south direction. In terms of
gravity shift, during the study period, the center of gravity’s movement trajectory mainly shifted from northeast to southwest, reflecting that the urban area in the central and western regions grew faster than that in the core regions, such as the Yangtze River Delta. (e) The values of Moran’s I in the years 1992, 1999, 2006, and 2013 were all greater than 0, indicating a positive spatial correlation, and the values increased continuously from 1992 to 2006, showing significant urban cluster characteristics. However, in 2013, the Moran’s I values declined, reflecting a weakening trend of spatial clusters in the spatial distribution at the urban scale in the YREB. (f) The four factors that promoted the expansion of urban construction land in the YREB had an important impact on the expansion of YREB urban construction land, and these four factors were positively related to the urban expansion of the YREB. However, there were significant differences in the size of the action in different time periods.
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