A NEW MODEL FOR THE LOOP I (NORTH POLAR SPUR) REGION

M. WOLLEBEN

Received 2006 November 11; accepted 2007 April 4

ABSTRACT

The North Polar Spur (NPS) is the brightest filament of Loop I, a large circular feature in the radio continuum sky. In this paper, a model consisting of two synchrotron-emitting shells is presented that reproduces large-scale structures revealed by recent polarization surveys. The polarized emission of the NPS is reproduced by one of these shells. The other shell, which passes close to the Sun, gives rise to polarized emission toward the Galactic poles. It is proposed that X-ray emission seen toward the NPS is produced by the interaction of the two shells. Two OB associations coincide with the centers of the shells. A formation scenario of the Loop I region is suggested.

Subject headings: ISM: magnetic fields — ISM: structure — polarization — solar neighborhood
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1. INTRODUCTION

Total-intensity surveys reveal a number of radio spurs that can be joined into small circles on the sky, so-called radio loops. One of these is Loop I, which has an intriguing filament called the North Polar Spur (NPS). It has been concluded by several authors (e.g., Berkhuijsen et al. 1971; Heiles 1979; Salter 1983 and references therein) that the radio loops are correlated with expanding gas and dust shells energized by supernovae or stellar winds. Accordingly, the Loop I superbubble was attributed to stellar winds from the Sco-Cen OB association and supernova activity in the same vicinity, with the NPS being the brightest segment of a supernova remnant (SNR). Its magnetic field ($B$ field) has been modeled by Spoelstra (1972) and Heiles (1998) on the basis of radio and optical polarization data, suggesting that the local $B$ field is deformed by an expanding shell. According to a model by Weaver (1979), a SNR produced by a member of the Sco-Cen association has expanded inside the Loop I bubble. Its shell is just beginning to encounter and interact with the surface of the surrounding HI shell (GS 331+14−15). There has been a debate over the expansion velocity ($v_{\text{exp}}$) of GS 331+14−15: Weaver gives $v_{\text{exp}} \approx 2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, on the basis of data from the northern hemisphere, while Heiles (1984) and Sofue et al. (1974) find a higher $v_{\text{exp}}$ of 19 and 25 km s$^{-1}$, respectively. In a picture by Bochkarev (1987), the HI shell has already passed the Sun.

The relatively low expansion velocity of the neutral gas surrounding Loop I implies an age of some 10$^6$ yr and hence suggests the NPS to be part of an old SNR. At this age, however, an SNR is well beyond its radiative phase, and its optical and radio emission become invisible. On the other hand, X-ray and radio emission have been observed toward the NPS, indicating an age at least 1 order of magnitude less. On the basis of X-ray data, Borken & Iwan (1977) suggest that Loop I is an old but recently reheated SNR. This was refined by Egger (1995), who argues that Loop I is caused by a shock from a recent supernova, heating the inner wall of the Sco-Cen supershell and giving rise to the prominent X-ray feature of the NPS.

Recently, Wolleben et al. (2006) published the new Dominion Radio Astrophysical Observatory (DRAO) Low-Resolution Polarization Survey of the northern sky at 1.4 GHz, which is part of the Canadian Galactic Plane Survey (Taylor et al. 2003). Through making use of these new data, as well as the WMAP polarization data at 23 GHz (Page et al. 2007), a new and detailed study of the Loop I region can be performed. In this paper I describe a model consisting of two interweaving magnetic shells that explains some newly detected polarization and depolarization structures, correctly predicts the shape of the NPS, and provides a clue to the origin of X-ray emission observed toward the NPS.

2. LARGE POLARIZED STRUCTURES IN THE DRAO SURVEY

The polarization data used in this paper are shown in Figures 1 and 2. The NPS has long been known to be one of the most intensely polarized features of the sky. Its filaments cover large parts of the northern Galactic hemisphere at latitudes of $b \approx 30^\circ$, with an abrupt drop of polarized emission below $30^\circ$ latitude at 1.4 GHz. Surprisingly, structures of the NPS are very evident in polarized intensity, but its filamentary structure is not detectable in polarization angle. In the following, previously unknown polarization features detected in the DRAO polarization survey are of relevance for this study are described.

2.1. High-Latitude Polarized Emission

In Figure 1 (top), toward the Galactic poles ($b = \pm 90^\circ$), a systematic pattern of polarized emission and polarization angle is visible (high-latitude polarized emission [HLPE]). At 408 MHz, the all-sky map of Haslam et al. (1982) shows a weak counterpart of the HLPE in total intensity ($Stokes I$), with excess emission at the Galactic poles of $\approx 4 \text{ K}$ (northern pole) and $\approx 5 \text{ K}$ (southern pole). The Stockert survey at 1420 MHz (Reich & Reich 1986) also shows weak $Stokes I$ emission at the poles of $\approx 60 \text{ mK}$ (northern pole) and $\approx 200 \text{ mK}$ (southern pole). These temperatures imply a spectral index of $-3.4$ and $-2.6$ for the northern and southern polarized structures.
What could cause enhanced synchrotron emission around the Galactic poles (the HLPE)? First, the HLPE could be (polarized) synchrotron emission from the local spiral arm, which would produce a similar pattern of polarization angles toward the Galactic poles. Second, the HLPE could be caused by the Galactic halo. However, neither of these can explain the distinct boundaries of the HLPE, which are observed in the second and third Galactic quadrants (cf. Fig. 1, top, thin white lines). In fact, synchrotron
emission from the halo or local spiral arm should be observable at all latitudes where the local Galactic B field is perpendicular to the line of sight, and not just at the poles.

2.2. New Radio Loop

A previously unknown filament of polarized emission in the southern Galactic hemisphere (hereafter referred to as the “New Loop”) is revealed by the DRAO polarization survey. The New Loop has an excess polarized intensity of $\sim 170$ mK at 1.4 GHz relative to its surroundings. The elongated shape of the New Loop can be fitted by a small circle. From a fit by eye, the center of this circle is at $l = 345^\circ$, $b = 0^\circ$, with a radius of $65^\circ$ (Fig. 1, top, dashed line). Faint counterparts can be found in total intensity at 408 MHz ($\sim 5$ K) and 1420 MHz ($\sim 250$ mK), which gives a spectral index of $-2.4$. This filament can also be seen in the WMAP polarization data at 23 GHz.

2.3. Depolarization Band

A minimum of polarized emission from the first Galactic quadrant from $\sim 0^\circ$ to $\sim 70^\circ$ at 1.4 GHz, within a band confined by

---

**Fig. 2.**—Observed (left) and modeled (right) maps of the northern (top) and southern (bottom) Galactic poles, with polarization vectors overlaid. The contours show polarized intensity from the DRAO and WMAP data from 50 to 400 mK, in steps of 50 mK.
remarkably sharp boundaries at $b \approx 30^\circ$ (cf. Fig. 1, top), is seen with great clarity for the first time. The percentage polarization within this area is around 3%, compared to 20% outside the band. Obviously, strong depolarization within the Galactic plane must "destroy" the polarized emission from the first Galactic quadrant. The depolarization band is prominent in the DRAO data at 1.4 GHz but is not seen in the *WMAP* data at 23 GHz, which emphasizes the assumption that it is caused by depolarization due to Faraday rotation. The length of the line of sight makes differential Faraday rotation a likely depolarization mechanism. However, the absence of polarized emission from the NPS below $b = 30^\circ$, in the DRAO data at 1.4 GHz, as well as in the *WMAP* data at 23 GHz, cannot be attributed solely to the depolarization band. It must reflect an inherent property of the emission region. This is explained by the model presented in this paper.

### 3. THE MODEL

The model consists of two synchrotron-emitting shells, S1 and S2. The shells are spherical, with constant shell thickness. No emission is produced outside the shells. The Sun resides within S1, between its inner and outer surfaces (see Figs. 3 and 4). Theoretical models of magnetic fields in supershells (e.g., Ferriere et al. 1991; Tomisaka 1992) predict that the ambient $B$ field is pushed by the shock wave and compressed within the expanding shell. The model described here resembles these theoretical $B$ fields in the simplest way: magnetic field lines run from the polar caps (the magnetic poles) along longitudes of the shell. The appearance of the $B$ field of the shell—that is, its $B_1$ and $B_2$ components—depends on the vantage point. In the case of a large nearby shell, the projection can result in a complicated $B$ field pattern on the sky.

Each shell is described by eight parameters (Table 1): the center coordinates $l$, $b$, and $d$; the inner ($r_{in}$) and outer ($r_{out}$) radii of the shell; the angles between the $B$ field and the lines of sight

---

**Fig. 3.** Sketch of cuts through the model, viewed looking down on the Galactic plane toward negative latitudes (top) and on the vertical plane through the Sun and perpendicular to the line of sight looking toward $l = 90^\circ$ (bottom). The two shells are indicated by the solid (S1) and dashed (S2) lines. The Sun is indicated by the circled dot. Thin lines indicate the $B$-field orientation of each shell (the $B$-field component parallel to the image plane). The shaded region shows the Na$\text{I}$ distribution around the Local Bubble (taken from Sfeir et al. 1999). Filled triangles and squares show the centers of the LCC and US subgroup today, 5 Myr ago, and 10 Myr ago (only for LCC; taken from Maiz-Apellaniz 2001). [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]

**Fig. 4.** "Engineering drawing" to help the reader depict the three-dimensional structure of the two proposed shells. The solid line indicates S1, and the dashed line indicates S2. In contrast to Fig. 3, these drawings show projections of the two shells rather than cuts through the Galaxy. The Sun is located at the origin of the coordinate system. The figure shows the shells as seen from the Galactic anticenter toward the Galactic center (top left), seen sideways (top right), and seen from above (bottom).
to the Galactic center ($B_y$) and to Galactic north ($B_z$); and two scaling factors describing the intrinsic brightness of each shell. For simplicity, it is assumed that there is no Faraday rotation within the shells. The model described here is an attempt to reproduce the polarized emission—the emission tracing the regular B field—because this model does not take irregular or turbulent B-field components into account. Therefore, the model must be fitted to polarization maps, as is done here. Moreover, polarization maps at frequencies around 1 GHz or less are believed to show rather local emission due to depolarization, which helps avoid confusion with unrelated background emission.

The model uses different field orientations in the two shells. Where the shells overlap in space, this is obviously not correct. But the complex shape of the edge of the Local Bubble (cf. Fig. 3) suggests a very complex evolution, probably the outcome of many earlier stellar winds and supernova events. Any previous large-scale magnetic field has probably been tangled by this complex evolution. Presumably the Local Bubble is not a unique circumstance, so the implication is that most regions in the Galaxy are not characterized by a large-scale quasi-uniform component. The two-field model proposed is a simple approximation to a complex reality and is unlikely to be correct over the full extent of the shells. However, the good fit to the data demonstrates that it is an adequate model for most of the Loop I region.

The model was used to calculate the integrated Stokes $U$ and $Q$ values for each line of sight through the shell complex. Polarized intensity and polarization angle were derived from these integrated values, thereby accounting for depolarization due to superposition of differently oriented polarization vectors along the line of sight (see the Appendix for a more detailed description). In the northern hemisphere, DRAO data were preferred over WMAP data because of the better sensitivity. Some regions in the DRAO maps were masked out, however: the “Fan region” (see Fig. 1), the two H II regions S27 ($l = 4^\circ$, $b = 22^\circ$) and S7 ($l = 350^\circ$, $b = 22^\circ$), and a $\pm 3^\circ$ strip along the Galactic plane. In the southern hemisphere, 23 GHz WMAP data (scaled to 1.4 GHz using a spectral index of $-3$) were preferred over the 1.4 GHz polarization survey of Testori et al. (2004) because the western caps lie at $b \approx 0^\circ$, where depolarization at 1.4 GHz is likely to be strong. In the WMAP data the Galactic plane was masked out because a simple “scaling up” of WMAP data from 23 GHz to 1.4 GHz, without taking depolarization effects into account, would result in incorrect polarized intensities in regions where Faraday rotation along the line of sight is high. The southern Galactic pole in the WMAP data was masked out because here sensitivity is too low to permit accurate fitting.

Fitting was done by computer, using an algorithm that searched for the minimum of the square root of the sum of the differences between the modeled and observed polarized intensities. The algorithm randomly modified model parameters until a good fit was achieved. Different initial values for the fit were chosen to evaluate the uniqueness of the best fit. In order to determine the confidence ranges of the best-fit parameters, models with slightly different sets of parameters were tried and visually inspected.

### Table 1

| Shell | $l$ (deg) | $b$ (deg) | $d$ (pc) | $r_{in}$ (pc) | $r_{out}$ (pc) | $B_y$ (deg) | $B_z$ (deg) |
|-------|-----------|-----------|---------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| S1    | 346 ± 5   | 3 ± 5     | 78 ± 10 | 72 ± 10      | 91 ± 10      | 71 ± 30     | -72 ± 30    |
| S2    | 347 ± 15  | 37 ± 15   | 95 ± 10 | 63 ± 15      | 87 ± 10      | 25 ± 30     | 25 ± 30     |

### 4. Discussion

Figures 2 and 5 show polarized intensity and polarization angle maps of the best-fit model. At high Galactic latitudes, the HLPE is correctly reproduced by S1. Only S1 produces HLPE, because the Sun is located inside this shell, leading to local emission around the Sun. S2 produces the polarized emission of the NPS. At intermediate latitudes, where the line of sight through the $B_z$ component of S1 is longest, the New Loop is seen. At low latitudes ($|b| \lesssim 30^\circ$), synchrotron emission from the two shells is reduced because the path lengths through the shells are short and $B_z$ is small. Although the model was only fitted to polarized intensities, the polarization angles at intermediate and high Galactic latitudes are remarkably well reproduced.

The predicted western cap region does not fit the observations as well as the eastern cap region. However, the western caps are likely to be inside the Local Bubble, while the eastern caps may have expanded into a denser medium. Hence, the “real” shells are not likely to be of perfectly spherical shape. However, a comprehensive model that includes the full complexity of the ISM around Loop I is beyond the scope of this paper and is probably impossible, for want of adequate data.

Portions of the two proposed synchrotron shells currently overlap in space, which, when projected onto the sky, results in a ring-like region in the northern Galactic hemisphere (see Fig. 5, bottom). This region roughly agrees with the location of the 1.5 keV X-ray emission from the NPS. It is therefore suggested that the X-ray emission is produced by recent interaction of the two shells within this region.

### 5. Possible Formation History

The Sco-Cen association can be divided into three subgroups: Lower Centaurus–Crux (LCC), Upper Centaurus–Lupus (UCL), and Upper Scorpius (US). Maíz-Apellániz (2001) calculated the positions of the center of each subgroup in the past, taking into account the effects of solar motion, Galactic rotation, and motions in the z-direction into account. Accordingly, the stars whose paths come closest to the center of S1 are those of the LCC subgroup, which crossed this point 6 ± 2 Myr ago at a distance of 70 pc from the Sun. Closest to the center of S2 is the US group at its current location ($l = 350^\circ$, $b = 20^\circ$, 145 pc away from the Sun). Stellar activity within the LCC subgroup started 11–12 Myr ago, and 5–6 Myr ago within the US subgroup (de Geus et al. 1989).

The picture that emerges from these data can be summarized as follows. The first supernovae are expected to take place around 3–5 Myr after formation of an association. Thus, about 7–8 Myr ago, supernova explosions began to occur within the LCC subgroup and subsequently delivered enough energy to inflate a bubble around the LCC subgroup: the S1 shell. The US subgroup, however, has only recently reached its evolutionary timescale and has just begun inflating the Loop I bubble (S2). The shock front of Loop I (S2) hit the LCC bubble (S1) just recently (10^4 yr ago).

---

Note that the scattering of members of these subgroups across the sky means that the UCL is almost as good a candidate.
ago or less), giving rise to the X-ray emission observed toward the NPS.

In this picture S1 is about 6 Myr old, based on the positional coincidence with the LCC at this time. S2 is 1–2 Myr old, based on the age of the US subgroup. This suggests that S1 is more evolved than S2, which may explain why almost no observational tracers of S1 can be found in total-intensity surveys. S1 seems to be an almost dissolved shell whose last observable remnants are the HLPE and the New Loop.

The scenario proposed here has compelling similarities to the models of H\textsc{i} shells in this region by Weaver (1979) and Bochkarev (1987) mentioned earlier in the Introduction. The ages derived above and the best-fit radii of the model give expansion velocities of 8 and 32 km s\textsuperscript{-1} for S1 and S2, respectively, using

Fig. 5.—Modeled maps of polarized intensity in units of mK (top) and polarization angle (bottom). The dashed line from Fig. 1 is repeated in the top panel. The black contour in the bottom panel indicates the region where the S1 and S2 shells overlap in space. The model was merely fitted to polarized intensity, which means that the top panel of this figure (the model) was fitted to the top panel of Fig. 1 (the survey). Nevertheless, the predicted polarization angles (bottom) resemble the observed pattern (Fig. 1, bottom) remarkably well. The Fan region is not part of the model described in this paper. [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]
the standard model for the kinematic age of stellar wind bubbles with \( t_{\text{kin}} = 0.6R/v_{\exp} \) (Weaver et al. 1977). Taking into account the uncertainties in this estimate, these velocities agree with the velocities of 2 km s\(^{-1}\) (Weaver 1979) and 19–25 km s\(^{-1}\) (Heiles 1984; Sofue et al. 1974) found for H\(_i\) gas in this region. This may imply that the H\(_i\) distribution in this region is the result of two superimposed H\(_i\) shells that are expanding with different velocities.

### 6. CONCLUSIONS

On the basis of an analysis of the new DRAO polarization survey and scaled WMAP data, a model consisting of two synchrotron-emitting shells is developed that reproduces large-scale structures in the polarized sky. One of these shells, S1, has reached the Sun and gives rise to polarized synchrotron emission at high Galactic latitudes: the HLPE described in this paper. Where the path length through S1 is longest and its \( B \) field is perpendicular to the line of sight over its whole length in the emitting region, a new radio loop is detected in polarized intensity. A younger shell, S2, correctly reproduces emission from the NPS. A scenario is proposed in which S2 has recently started to interact with S1, possibly giving rise to the observed X-ray emission. The model also predicts correctly the low polarization from S1 and S2 within the depolarization band. The picture of the local ISM suggested in this paper is in agreement with previous studies outlined in the Introduction, although the two-shell geometry of the model makes a comparison with previous models difficult.
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### APPENDIX

**MAGNETIC FIELD USED IN THE MODEL**

In this model an approximation for the magnetic field \( \mathbf{B} \) inside an expanding shell is used. Its strength and direction depend on the direction \((\theta, \varphi)\) of the ambient magnetic field \( \mathbf{\tilde{B}} \), as well as the inner and outer radii of the shell \((r_{\text{in}}, r_{\text{out}})\). Looking at Figure 6, it is easy to see that the magnitude of \( \mathbf{B}_i \) is maximal/zero where the expansion is perpendicular/parallel to \( \mathbf{\tilde{B}} \). For \(|\mathbf{x}| > r_{\text{out}} \) and \(|\mathbf{x}| < r_{\text{in}} \), the magnetic field strength \( \mathbf{B}_i \) is assumed to be zero.

The direction of \( \mathbf{B}_i \) is given by

\[
\hat{\mathbf{B}}_i = \frac{\mathbf{\rho}_i}{|\mathbf{\rho}_i|},
\]

where \( \mathbf{\rho}_i = \mathbf{\tilde{b}} - (\mathbf{\tilde{b}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}})\hat{\mathbf{x}} \), in which \( \mathbf{\tilde{b}} \) is the unit vector of the ambient field \( \mathbf{\tilde{B}} \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{x}} \) is the unit vector pointing toward the \( i \)th line-of-sight element. The field strength of \( \mathbf{B}_i \) can be evaluated to

\[
n_i = (\mathbf{\tilde{b}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}})^2,
\]

Fig. 6.— Geometry of the spherical shell. The quantities \( r_{\text{in}} \) and \( r_{\text{out}} \) are the inner and outer radii of the shell, respectively; \( \mathbf{\tilde{B}} \) is the background magnetic field and \( \mathbf{\tilde{b}} \) is its unit vector; \( \mathbf{B} \) is the magnetic field inside the shell along the \( i \)th line-of-sight element; and \( \hat{\mathbf{x}} \) is the unit vector pointing toward the \( i \)th line-of-sight element. Regions I and II indicate regions with strong and weak \( B \) fields, respectively.
giving rise to the Stokes parameters

\[ u_i \propto n_i B_1^{(\gamma+1)/2} \sin \left(2 \arctan \left( \frac{B_l}{B_h} \right) \right), \]
\[ q_i \propto n_i B_1^{(\gamma+1)/2} \cos \left(2 \arctan \left( \frac{B_l}{B_h} \right) \right) \]

for the \( i \)th line of sight. Here \( B_1 = \left( B_l^2 + B_h^2 \right)^{1/2} \), where \( B_l \) and \( B_h \) are the projections of \( B \) onto the line of sight. An energy spectral index of the synchrotron radiation of \( \gamma \approx 2.8 \) is taken. Assuming no intrinsic Faraday rotation, the polarized intensity and the polarized position angle is finally found to be

\[ PI = \sqrt{U^2 + Q^2}, \]
\[ PA = \frac{1}{2} \arctan \left( \frac{U}{Q} \right), \]

where \( U = \sum u_i \) and \( Q = \sum q_i \).
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