Phylogeny and morphology of *Lasiodiplodia* species associated with *Magnolia* forest plants

Nimali I. de Silva1,4,5,6,7, Alan J. L. Phillips7, Jian-Kui Liu1,8, Saisamorn Lumyong1,3,9, & Kevin D. Hyde1,4,5,6

Two new species of *Lasiodiplodia* (*Lasiodiplodia endophytica* and *Lasiodiplodia magnoliae*) are described and illustrated from *Magnolia* forests in Yunnan, China. Endophytic and saprobic *Lasiodiplodia pseudotheobromae* and endophytic *L. thailandica* are new records from this host. The internal transcribed spacers (ITS), part of the translation elongation factor-1α (*tef1*) and partial β-tubulin (*tub2*) sequence data were analyzed to investigate the phylogenetic relationships of the new species with other *Lasiodiplodia* species. *Lasiodiplodia magnoliae* is phylogenetically sister to *L. mahajangana* and *L. pandanicola* but morphologically distinct from *L. mahajangana* in having larger conidia. *Lasiodiplodia endophytica* is most closely related to *L. iraniensis* and *L. thailandica* and the three species can be distinguished from one another by 2 base pair differences in ITS and three or four base pair differences in *tef1*. The new collections suggest that *Magnolia* forest plants are good hosts for *Lasiodiplodia* species with endophytic and saprobic life-styles.

*Magnolia* species are widely distributed in temperate and tropical South East and East Asia. The wood is used extensively for the interior finish of houses and for door panels, e.g. *Magnolia champaca*, while the bark of *Magnolia officinalis* and other species is used in China as a valuable drug. Many species of *Magnolia* and their hybrids are cultivated in gardens, grown as temple trees, and the flowers are used for decoration.

During an investigation of Ascomycetes in sub-tropical regions of Yunnan, China we collected samples from *Magnolia* trees. Several isolates were of saprobic asexual fungi with hyaline and brown conidia bearing longitudinal striations and conspicuous conidiomatal paraphyses. These initial morphological observations suggested that the isolates are *Lasiodiplodia* species. *Lasiodiplodia* Ellis & Everh. is a genus in the family *Botryosphaeriaceae* (*Botryosphaeriales, Dothideomycetes, Ascomycota*)2–4 and typified by *L. theobromae* (Pat.) Griffon & Maubl.3,5–7. *Botryosphaeriaceae* forms a monophyletic lineage with 22 genera that are defined according to morphology of ascospores and conidia, and phylogenetic relationships. The family is characterized by large, ovoid to oblong, usually hyaline, aseptate ascospores and conidia and morphologically distinct from *L. mahajangana* and the three species can be distinguished from each other by 2 base pair differences in ITS and three or four base pair differences in *tef1*. The new collections suggest that *Magnolia* forest plants are good hosts for *Lasiodiplodia* species with endophytic and saprobic life-styles.
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stage is a unique character for Barriopsis7,9. Another example is the genus Neodeightonia that shows close affinity to Lasiodiplodia in having stiastions pigmented mature conidia and can be differentiated from Lasiodiplodia by the absence of conidial paraphyses7,8. However, on account of morphological variability within species, morphology alone is not reliable for distinguishing different Lasiodiplodia species. Phillips et al. suggest that combined LSU and ITS provide reliable resolution for phylogeny of Botryosphaerales. However, protein coding genes such as tef1 and tub2 in addition to LSU and ITS provide greater support for species and genera level delimitation in order Botryosphaerales4.

In previous studies, phylogenetic analyses were solely based on ITS nucleotide sequences3 to identify Lasiodiplodia species. Inclusion of tef1 sequences gives better resolution of phylogenetic relationships among species8,9. The recent multi locus phylogenetic approaches with ITS, tef1 and tub2 nucleotide sequence data has advanced the recognition of numerous Lasiodiplodia species with high phylogenetic support4,6,8,11. In that respect, sequence data of the internal transcribed spacers (ITS), partial translation elongation factor-1α (tef1) and partial β-tubulin (tub2) are now relied on for resolution of species in Lasiodiplodia11. There are 55 epiteths of Lasiodiplodia recorded in Index Fungorum (March 2019) and 43 species names in MycoBank (March 2019). However, cultures and DNA sequence data are available for only 35 species (March 2019)10–12.

Species of Lasiodiplodia are cosmopolitan in tropical and subtropical regions and occur on a wide range of monocotyledonous, dicotyledonous and gymnosperm hosts2,3,6,8,13. They exhibit diverse life-styles as endophytes, saprobes and parasites without a conspicuous ostiole. Paraphyses of numerous multi locus phylogenetic approaches with ITS, tef1 and tub2 nucleotide sequence data has advanced the recognition of numerous Lasiodiplodia species with high phylogenetic support4,6,8,11. In that respect, sequence data of the internal transcribed spacers (ITS), partial translation elongation factor-1α (tef1) and partial β-tubulin (tub2) are now relied on for resolution of species in Lasiodiplodia11. There are 55 epiteths of Lasiodiplodia recorded in Index Fungorum (March 2019) and 43 species names in MycoBank (March 2019). However, cultures and DNA sequence data are available for only 35 species (March 2019)10–12.

Species of Lasiodiplodia are cosmopolitan in tropical and subtropical regions and occur on a wide range of monocotyledonous, dicotyledonous and gymnosperm hosts2,3,6,8,13. They exhibit diverse life-styles as endophytes, inhabiting different asymptomatic plant tissues4,6,9, parasites that cause diseases in various plant hosts10,14,15 and sapropores that are commonly found on dead woody plant tissues16,17.

To the best of our knowledge there have been no studies on the Lasiodiplodia species associated with Magnolia in Yunnan Province, China. The aim of this study was to characterize Lasiodiplodia isolates in terms of morphology and phylogeny based on ITS, tef1 and tub2 sequence data.

**Results**

**Phylogenetic analyses.** The combined dataset of ITS, tef1 and tub2 consisted of 54 taxa of Lasiodiplodia, with Diplodia mutila (CMW 7060) as the out group taxon and comprised 1267 characters including gaps after alignment. Of these, 1011 were conserved and 123 variable characters were parsimony uninformative. Maximum parsimony analysis of the remaining 133 parsimony informative characters resulted in 1000 equally parsimonious trees of 535 steps with CI = 0.632, RI = 0.798, RC = 0.504 and HI = 0.368. The maximum likelihood analysis resulted in a tree with largely the same topology as the maximum parsimony tree. The RAxML analysis yielded a best scoring tree with the final ML optimization likelihood value of - 4851.693940 (ln). Estimated base frequencies were as follows; A = 0.209292, C = 0.303982, G = 0.256083, T = 0.230643; substitution rates AC = 1.189236, AG = 3.165454, AT = 1.301265, CG = 1.047358, CT = 4.430504, GT = 1.000000; gamma distribution shape parameter α = 0.612671 (Fig. 1). Four of the isolates from Magnolia clustered with known species, three with Lasiodiplodia pseudotheobromae and one with L. thailandica. The remaining two isolates formed distinct lineages representing two new species. Lasiodiplodia magnoliae was clustered separately and sister to L. mahajangala and L. pandanicola and L. endophytica formed a separate lineage and sister to L. iranensis and L. thailandica.

**Taxonomy.** Description of Lasiodiplodia magnoliae N.I. de Silva, A.J.L. Phillips & K.D. Hyde. sp. nov.

Index Fungorum number: IF556217, Faces of Fungi number: FoF 05797 Fig. 2

Etymology – the epithet “magnoliae” refers to the host plant from which the taxon was collected.

Holotype: MFLU 18-1030

Saprobic on dead leaves attached to the tree of Magnolia candollii Asexual morph: Conidiomata 180–200 µm diam., 200–250 µm high, globose to subglobose, dark brown to black, scattered, solitary, immersed and uniloculate without a conspicuous ostiole. Paraphyses up to 60–70 µm long, 2–4 µm wide, hyaline, cylindrical, septate and rounded at apex. Conidiophores abortive. Conidiogenous cells 2–5 µm diam., hyaline, discrete, smooth and cylindrical. Conidia (24–)25–27(–30) × 11–15 µm, hyaline, aseptate, ellipsoid to ovoid, with granular content, both ends broadly rounded, wall <2 µm thick.

Colonies on PDA reaching 30 mm diameter after 1 week at 20–25 °C, colonies medium sparse, circular, flat, surface slightly rough with edge entire, margin well-defined, cottony to fairly fluffy with sparse aspects, colony from above greyish-green to black with fluffy appearance reverse black.

Material examined. China, Yunnan Province, Xishuangbanna, dead leaves attached to the tree of Magnolia candollii (Magnoliaceae), 26 April 2017, N. I. de Silva, NI171 (Holotype MFLU 18-1030; Isotype HKAS100663), ex-type living cultures MFLUCC 18-0948, KUMCC 17-0198.

Notes. The combined ITS, tef1 and tub2 phylogeny showed that Lasiodiplodia magnoliae (MFLUCC 18-0948) clades sister to L. mahajangala and L. pandanicola with low support (53% ML, 60% MP) (Fig. 1). DNA sequence comparisons of ITS and tef1 among L. magnoliae, L. mahajangala and L. pandanicola are given in Table 1. Comparison of total length of 455 bases of ITS sequences revealed one base pair difference among L. magnoliae, L. mahajangala and L. pandanicola. Comparison of total length of 450 bases of tef1 sequences revealed an insertion of eight bases in Lasiodiplodia magnoliae when compared to L. mahajangala and L. pandanicola (Table 1). Lasiodiplodia magnoliae has longer conidia (24–30 µm) than L. mahajangala (14–24 µm)18. Lasiodiplodia pandanicola has overlapping range of conidial dimensions (14–38 µm)10 with L. magnoliae. Lasiodiplodia magnoliae has longer paraphyses (60–70 µm) than L. mahajangala (27–66 µm)17. These three species were found on different host species and with different geographic distributions. Lasiodiplodia magnoliae was isolated from Magnolia candollii in Yunnan, China. Lasiodiplodia mahajangala was isolated from Terminalia catappa in Madagascar18. Lasiodiplodia pandanicola was isolated from dead leaves of Pandanus in Thailand10.

**Lasiodiplodia pseudotheobromae** Alves & Crous, Fungal Diversity 28: 8 (2007). Figs 3 and 4 See Alves et al. (2007).
Material examined. China, Yunnan Province, Xishuangbanna, dead twigs (attached to the tree) of Magnolia candolii (Magnoliaceae), 26 April 2017, N. I. de Silva, NI173 (MFLU 18-1032, HKAS100665), living culture, MFLUCC 18-1120, KUMCC 17-0200; NI173A (HKAS100666), living culture MFLUCC 18-0950, KUMCC 17-0201; Ibid., fresh leaves of Magnolia candolii (Magnoliaceae), 26 April 2017, N. I. de Silva, C13; living culture, MFLUCC 18-0951, KUMCC 17-0218.

Notes. According to the combined ITS, tef1 and tub2 phylogeny, two isolates NI173 and NI173A from M. candolii twigs clustered with Lasiodiplodia pseudotheobromae with low support (51% ML, 52% MP) (Fig. 1). Conidia of these two isolates were hyaline, (20–26 × 10–14 μm) and brown (19–25 × 12–15 μm) and thus are smaller than in the ex-type isolate (27.5–28.5 × 15.5–16.5 μm)⁶. One endophytic strain (C13) from the same M. candolii plant

Figure 1. Maximum likelihood tree resulting from analysis of the combined ITS, tef1 and tub2 sequence data alignment. Bootstrap values for maximum likelihood (ML, first set) greater than 50, and maximum parsimony (MP, second set) greater than 50 are indicated at the nodes. The tree is rooted with Diplodia mutila (CMW 7060). The newly isolated strains are indicated in red bold and ex-type strains are indicated in black bold.
was phylogenetically closely related to *L. pseudotheobromae* and clustered with two saprobic strains. Conidial dimensions of the endophytic isolate (26–31 × 10–12 μm) overlap with those of the ex-type isolate. The type of *L. pseudotheobromae* was isolated from *Gmelina arborea* in Costa Rica and has also been recorded from *Citrus* sp., *Coffea* sp., *Pteridium aquilinum* and *Plukenetia volubilis*. Here we record endophytic and saprobic *L. pseudotheobromae* for the first time on *Magnolia candolii* in Yunnan, China.

**Lasiodiplodia endophytica** N.I. de Silva, A.J.L. Phillips & K.D. Hyde, sp. nov.

Index Fungorum number: IF556218, Faces of Fungi number: FoF 05798

**Etymology** – the epithet "endophytica" refers to the endophytic life style of this fungus.

**Holotype:** MFLU 19-0441

**Endophytic** on fresh leaves of *Magnolia candolii*. Conidiomata not observed on bamboo sticks on PDA, MEA or Water Agar. *Lasiodiplodia endophytica* (S8) clusters with *L. iranensis* and *L. thailandica* in a moderately supported clade. It differs from *L. iranensis* by unique fixed alleles in two loci: ITS position 463 (C); *tef1* positions 554 (C), 599 (T), 681 (C), 703 (G) and differs from *L. thailandica*: ITS position 463 (C); *tef1* positions 551 (C), 598 (C), 671 (C) 811 (C).

**Material examined.** China, Yunnan Province, Xishuangbanna, fresh leaves of *Magnolia candolii* (Magnoliaceae), 26 April 2017, N. I. de Silva, C17; living culture, MFLUCC 18-0952, KUMCC 17-0222.

**Notes.** Phylogenetically, the new isolate clustered with the ex-type isolate of *Lasiodiplodia thailandica* (CBS 138760) based on combined ITS, *tef1* and *tub2* sequence data. However, the new isolate has larger conidia (28–29 × 11–13 μm) than the ex-type of *L. thailandica* (20–26 × 12–16 μm)21. *Lasiodiplodia thailandica* was first described from symptomless twigs of *Magnifera indica* in Chiang Mai province, Thailand21 and also has been recorded from a petiole of *Phyllanthus acidus* in Thailand20, from cankered branch of *Podocarpus macrophyllus* in China19 and from cankered branch of *Albizia chinensis* in China19.

**Lasiodiplodia endophytica** T. Trakunyingcharoen, L. Lombard & Crous, Persoonia 34: 95 (2015) Fig. 5

See Trakunyingcharoen et al. (2015).

Table 1. Nucleotide differences between *Lasiodiplodia magnoliae* (MFLUCC 18-0948) and ex-type isolates of *L. mahajangana* and *L. pandanicola*.

| Locus | ITS | *tef1-α* |
|-------|-----|----------|
| Nucleotide position | 12  | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |
| L. magnoliae MFLUCC 18-0948 (NI171) | G   | G  | G  | C  | C  | C  | T  | G  |
| L. mahajangana | A   | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  |
| L. pandanicola | A   | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  | A  |

Table 2. Locus ITS and *tef1-α* nucleotide differences between *Lasiodiplodia magnoliae* (MFLUCC 18-0948) and ex-type isolates of *L. mahajangana* and *L. pandanicola*.
Colonies on PDA reaching 30 mm diameter after 3 days at 20–25 °C, colonies medium sparse, circular, surface slightly rough with edge entire, margin well-defined, cottony to fairly fluffy with sparse aspects, colony from above: grey to black with fluffy appearance; reverse black.

Material examined. China, Yunnan Province, Xishuangbanna, fresh leaves of *Magnolia candolii* (Magnoliaceae), 26 April 2017, N. I. de Silva, S8 (Holotype - a dry culture on bamboo sticks - MFLU 19-0441), living cultures, MFLUCC 18-1121, KUMCC 17-0233.

Notes. The combined ITS, *tef1* and *tub2* phylogeny showed that *Lasiodiplodia endophytica* (S8) (MFLUCC 18-1120, MFLUCC 18-0950) clusters sister to *Lasiodiplodia iraniensis*. DNA sequence comparisons of ITS and *tef1* among *L. endophytica*, *L. iraniensis* and *L. thailandica* are given in Table 2. Comparison of total length of 477 bases of ITS sequences revealed one base pair difference among three strains and one base deletion in *L. endophytica*. Comparison of total length of 290 bases of *tef1* sequences revealed seven base pair differences among three strains as given in Table 2. This isolate did not sporulate in culture and no conidiomata were seen on the host. Therefore it was not possible to observe conidial characters. The type of *L. iraniensis* was isolated from twigs of *Salvadora persica* in Iran3. Additionally, *L. iraniensis* was recorded from twigs of *Juglans* sp. *Citrus* sp. and *Mangifera indica* in Iran3.

Discussion

In this study two new species of *Lasiodiplodia* were identified and described from *Magnolia candolii* in the southern part of Yunnan Province, China. One species (*Lasiodiplodia magnoliae*) was considered to be saprobic, while the other (*L. endophytica*) was thought to be endophytic. In addition, two saprobic isolates of *L. pseudotheobromae* from dead twigs and an endophytic isolate of the same species from fresh leaves of *Magnolia candolii* were recorded for the first time from China. An endophytic isolate of *Lasiodiplodia thailandica* was also isolated for the first time from fresh leaves of *Magnolia candolii* in China. When Promputtha et al.22 studied endophytes and *Lasiodiplodia* species were recorded.

Phylogenetic approaches based on DNA sequence data have played a significant role in distinguishing species in *Lasiodiplodia*3,4,24,25. Previous studies have used combined ITS and *tef1* regions to clarify the taxonomy and phylogenetic relationships of species in *Lasiodiplodia*3,4,24 while others have used combined ITS, *tef1*, *tub2* and *rpb2*19. The current phylogenetic analyses with combined ITS, *tef1* and *tub2* sequence data gave good resolution of phylogenetic separations among *Lasiodiplodia* species and provide insights into taxonomic novelties. Unfortunately,
amplification of tef1 of MFLUCC 18-0951 - C13 and MFLUCC 18-0952 - C17, and tub2 of MFLUCC 18-0951 - C13 was not successful in this study. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using DNA sequence data available in GenBank, but unfortunately sequences of tef1 and tub2 are not available for some species (see Table S1) and some of the sequences are shorter than expected. These issues of tef1 and tub2 might compromise the number of characters in the final alignment and ultimately might affect the final phylogenetic tree construction. We provide phylogenetic analyses for single molecular markers as Supplementary Materials. Phylogenetic trees from ITS and tub2 did not provide good resolution among Lasiodiplodia species. The phylogenetic analysis of ITS gene showed that three newly isolated strains of Lasiodiplodia pseudotheobromae and L. thailandica MFLUCC 18-095 clustered in one group and L. endophytica MFLUCC 18-1121 clustered separately from that group. Lasiodiplodia magnoliae MFLUCC 18-0948 formed a separate clade with L. citricola IRAN1522C. The phylogenetic analysis of tub2 did not provide clear separation of newly isolated strains and previously described species. Two Lasiodiplodia pseudotheobromae strains, L. thailandica and L. endophytica clustered together and L. magnoliae formed a separate, distantly related lineage. Analysis of tef1 resulted in a better resolution of many taxa than single ITS and tub2 gene trees and showed similar phylogenetic relationships as combined ITS, tef1 and tub2 analyses. In both tef1 gene and combined ITS, tef1 and tub2 gene phylogenetic analyses, new strains of Lasiodiplodia pseudotheobromae formed a clade with other L. pseudotheobromae, L. endophytica clustered with L. iranensis, L. thailandica and L. hyalina. In both tef1 gene and combined phylogenetic analyses, Lasiodiplodia magnoliae reflected similar phylogenetic affiliation with L. chomburienisi, L. caatinguensis, L. exigua, L. pandanica and L. mahajangana. It is also worth noting that the phylogenetic relationships of species within Lasiodiplodia recovered herein from combined ITS, tef1 and tub2 gene analyses are similar to previously established ones in Dissanayake et al.12, Dou et al.11 and Tibpromma et al.10. These three phylogenetic studies were based on different combinations of molecular markers such as Dissanayake et al.12 who used combined ITS and tef1, Dou et al.11 used combined ITS, tef1, tub2 and rpb2 and Tibpromma et al.10 used ITS, tef1 and tub2. In earlier studies, Lasiodiplodia were species distinguished solely on their ITS sequences10. In recent studies, taxonomists frequently use highly variable protein coding genes such as tef1, tub2 together with ITS to construct phylogenies especially at species levels5. It can be assumed that these combination of molecular markers strengthen the support for them and to separate the existing ones3,4.
Conidia of *Lasiodiplodia* species are initially hyaline, aseptate, ellipsoid to ovoid and become pigmented, 1-septate with longitudinal striations. We observed hyaline, aseptate conidia and brown, 1-septate conidia with longitudinal striations in the saprobic isolates of *Lasiodiplodia pseudotheobromae*, but only hyaline conidia were seen in *Lasiodiplodia magnoliae*, the endophytic isolate of *L. pseudotheobromae* and *L. thailandica*. Previous studies have recorded both hyaline and pigmented conidia in *L. pseudotheobromae* and *L. thailandica*. Other *Lasiodiplodia* species have been observed with only hyaline conidia such as *L. chonburiensis*, *L. sterculiae* and *L. thailandica* in which most conidia were hyaline and only 10% were brown. This character does not seem to be restricted to any particular phylogenetic groups but appears in different *Lasiodiplodia* species. Thus, *L. magnoliae* and *L. chonburiensis* are closely related and found in one clade. On the other hand, *L. sterculiae* and *L. thailandica* are distantly related to both *L. magnoliae* and *L. chonburiensis* and formed widely separate lineages in the phylogenetic tree. *Lasiodiplodia magnoliae* differs from its sister taxa by phylogeny, morphology, host species and locality as described in the notes section that support for the introduction of new saprobic taxa. We were unable to observe conidia of *L. endophytica* (S8) in culture even after many attempts on different media. It was considered here that phylogeny based on combined ITS, *tef1* and *tub2* sequence data provides sufficient evidence for the designation of *L. endophytica* (S8) as a novel taxon.

*Lasiodiplodia* species exhibit diverse life-styles as endophytes, pathogens and saprobes. *Lasiodiplodia* species with endophytic life-styles are associated with different asymptomatic plant tissues such as *L. avicenniae* from asymptomatic branches of *Avicennia marina* in South Africa, *L. bruguierae* from asymptomatic branches of *Bruguiera gymnorrhiza* in South Africa and *L. mahajangana* from healthy branches of Terminalia catappa in Madagascar. We isolated three endophytic species; *Lasiodiplodia endophytica*, *L. pseudotheobromae* and *L. thailandica* from asymptomatic leaves of Magnolia candolii. Interestingly, we isolated one endophytic and 2 saprobic
isolates of *Lasiodiplodia pseudotheobromae*. This might be possible because endophytes switch their nutritional mode to saprobic when environmental conditions become unfavorable to the host or during host senescence\(^29\). Thus, de Errasti *et al.*\(^30\) stated that diatrypaceous endophytic fungi switch to a saprotrophic life-style during host senescence. They explained that this might be ecologically important as they can decay the plant part when it dies\(^30\). In another scenario, Osorio *et al.*\(^28\) showed that endophytic *Lasiodiplodia avicenniae* became pathogenic and caused lesions on the branches of *Avicennia marina* after inoculating. It is assumed that some fungi exhibit a continuum of life-styles ranging from biotrophy (or endophytic), through to necrotrophy and ultimately to saprotrophy\(^29\). Endophytes are a hidden bioresource of fungal diversity that have the potential to produce important bioactive agents\(^15\). Chen *et al.*\(^15\) chemically investigated a strain of *Lasiodiplodia* sp. isolated from asymptomatic leaves of the medicinal plant *Acanthus ilicifolius*. They studied \(\beta\)-resorcylic acid derivatives and showed that these compounds showed more potent inhibitory effects against \(\alpha\)-glucosidase activity than the clinical \(\alpha\)-glucosidase inhibitor acarbose\(^15\). *Lasiodiplodia* species with pathogenic life-styles are associated with shoot blights, stem cankers, fruit rots, dieback, grapevine trunk diseases and gummosis\(^3,16,31\). *Lasiodiplodia exigua* from a branch canker of *Retama raetam*\(^32\), *L. mediterranea* from branch canker of *Quercus ilex*\(^32\), *L. plurivora* from V-shaped necrotic lesion of *Prunus salicina*, in Africa\(^33\) and *L. pseudotheobromae* from grapevine trunk disease\(^16\) are some examples that cause different plant diseases. We did not observe any pathogenic *Lasiodiplodia* species in our study. Saprobic *Lasiodiplodia* species have been recorded such as *Lasiodiplodia iraniensis* on dead twigs of *Salvadora persica*, *L. hormozganensis* on *Olea* sp.\(^7\) and *L. theobromae* on dead twigs of *Eucalyptus* sp.\(^17\). Similarly, we introduced a new saprobic species of *Lasiodiplodia magnoliae* and two isolates of *L. pseudotheobromae*.

This study identified *Lasiodiplodia* species in forest plants of *Magnolia candolii* in Yunnan, China. The study has expanded the knowledge of *Lasiodiplodia* species providing two novel species and two new host records. It might be possible to identify new distribution and host associations of *Lasiodiplodia* species from other forest plants in the world. It is important to study endophytic *Lasiodiplodia* species as well as pathogenic and saprobic life-styles as novel endophytes are also yet to be explored.

---

**Figure 6. Lasiodiplodia endophytica** (MFLUCC 18-1121, holotype). (a) Conidiomata on bamboo sticks in PDA culture plate. (b) Squash mount of conidiomata (c, d) Fungal mycelia.

---

### Table 2. Nucleotide differences between *Lasiodiplodia endophytica* (MFLUCC 18-1121) and ex-type isolates of *L. iraniensis* and *L. thailandica*.

| Locus     | ITS     | tef1-α  |
|-----------|---------|---------|
| Nucleotide position | 420 466 | 22 25 69 137 138 160 168 |
| *Lasiodiplodia endophytica* MFLUCC 18-1121 (S8) | C — C T C C G C |
| *Lasiodiplodia iraniensis* | T T C A A C T C C |
| *Lasiodiplodia thailandica* | T T A C T T C G T |
Materials and Methods

The study area was a sub-tropical rain forest inside the Xishuangbanna tropical botanical garden in Xishuangbanna at 21°55′N, 101°15′E, Yunnan province, China. Elevation ranges from 709–869 m and mean temperature and precipitation are 21.0 °C and 1532 mm respectively. The wet season is from May to October while the dry season is from November to April34,35.

Isolation of fungal endophytes. Fresh leaves of Magnolia candolii were collected randomly on 26th of April 2017. The leaves were kept at 4 °C in sterile polyethylene bags until they were processed in the laboratory. Isolation of endophytes was done according to the methods described by Promputtha et al.36 with modifications. First leaves were washed using tap water and cut in to small pieces of leaves (5 × 5 mm²) and soaked in distilled water for 1 minute and then surfaced sterilized by dipping in 70% alcohol followed by 2% NaOCl for 30 s and finally washed with sterile distilled water for 30 s, dried and plated on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA). Fungi were isolated into pure culture and grouped according to their culture morphology.

Isolation of fungal saprobes. Fungi were isolated from dead twigs attached to the host. Specimens were taken to the laboratory in Ziplock plastic bags and observed with a JNOEC JSZ4 stereomicroscope. Micro-morphological characters were examined with an OLYMPUS SZ61 compound microscope and images recorded with a Canon EOS 600D digital camera mounted on a Nikon ECLIPSE 80i compound microscope. All microscopic measurements were made with Tarosoft (R) image framework v. 0.9.0.7 and images for publication were processed with Adobe Photoshop CS3 extended version. Pure cultures of the fungus were prepared by single sporule isolation37. Germinating conidia were transferred aseptically to potato dextrose agar (PDA). Growth rate and colony characteristics were determined from cultures grown on PDA at room temperature (25 °C) for one week.

The specimens cited in this paper are maintained at the Mae Fah Luang University Herbarium (MFLU), Chiang Rai, Thailand and Kunming Institute of Botany herbarium (HKAS), Kunming, China. Cultures were deposited at Kunming Institute of Botany Culture Collection (KUMCC). Faces of Fungi numbers and Index Fungorum numbers were registered as described in Jayasiri et al.38 and Index Fungorum (2019)39.

DNA extraction, PCR amplification and phylogenetic analysis. Mycelium was grown on PDA for one week at 25 °C in normal light in the laboratory. Genomic DNA was extracted from the mycelium using a Biospin fungus genomic DNA kit (BioFlux®, P. R. China) following the manufacturer’s protocol. DNA was kept at 4 °C for DNA amplification and maintained at − 20 °C for long term storage.

The internal transcribed spacer (ITS) was amplified with primer pair ITS4 and ITS540 as described in Alves et al.41. Part of the translation elongation factor (tefl) was amplified with primer pair EF1-728F and EF1-986 Carbore and Kohlm42 and EF1-688F and EF1-1251R Alves et al.43. The partial β-tubulin (tub2) was amplified with primer pair B2a and B2b44. The expected sequence lengths are approximately 500 bp, 300–400 bp, 400 bp for ITS, tef1 and tub2 respectively. Quality of PCR products was checked on 1% agarose electrophoresis gels stained with ethidium bromide. The amplified PCR fragments were sequenced by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai) Co., Ltd, P.R. China.

Newly generated nucleotide sequences were deposited in GenBank (Table S1 in Supplementary material). Sequences of the individual loci of ITS, tef1 and tub2 were aligned with MAFFT v. 7 online version45 using default settings. BioEdit v. 7.0.5.246 was used to refine the alignments manually where necessary and to exclude incomplete portions at the ends of the sequences before the analyses.

Maximum likelihood analysis was performed with RAXML GUI v. 1.346 and maximum parsimony analysis was done with PAUP (Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony) v. 4.0b1047. Evolutionary models for phylogenetic analyses were selected independently for each locus using MrModeltest v. 3.748 under the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). GTR + I + G model of nucleotide substitution was selected for the maximum likelihood (ML) analysis. Parameters for maximum likelihood were set to rapid bootstrapping and the analysis carried out using 1000 replicates. Maximum parsimony was run with the heuristic search option, random taxon addition, tree bisection-reconnection (TBR) for the branch swapping algorithm and 1000 random sequence additions, with maxtrees at 1000. Gaps were treated as missing data. Tree Length [TL], Consistency Index [CI], Retention Index [RI], Relative Consistency Index [RC] and Homoplasy Index [HI] were calculated for the most parsimonious tree. Phylogenograms were visualized with FigTree v1.4.0 Rambaut49 and annotated in Microsoft Power Point (2010). The alignment and tree files were submitted to TreeBASE with reviewer’s link.
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