Effects of extremely strong magnetic field on photon HBT interferometry
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We discuss potential attainability by the Hanbury Brown and Twiss (HBT) interferometry of photons to probe the spacetime geometry of the primordial plasma created by ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collisions. A possible effect to distort the HBT image is due to an interaction between emitted photon and an extremely strong magnetic field induced by the colliding heavy nuclei in the peripheral collisions. We examine the effects of variation of the refraction index in the strong magnetic field that is called the vacuum birefringence.
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1. Strong Magnetic Fields in Non-central HIC and Non-linear QED

It has been recently recognized that extremely strong magnetic fields are created in non-central heavy-ion collisions at high energies. For example, the strength amounts to $|eB| \sim 10^4 \text{MeV}^2 \sim m_\pi^2$ at RHIC (and even stronger at the LHC), which is $10^5$ times higher than the electron’s critical magnetic field $|eB_c| = m_e^2 = 0.25 \text{MeV}^2$ with $m_\pi$ and $m_e$ being the pion and electron masses, respectively. This is easily understood in a simple estimate using the Lienard-Wiechelt potential for fast moving nuclei with large electric charges [1], but can be also reproduced numerically in simulations such as UrQMD [2]. While the magnetic fields rapidly decrease (typically as a power of $t$) as two charges recede from each other, they still remain much stronger than the critical field during the lifetime of the quark-gluon plasma (QGP). Therefore, we need to consider the dynamics of QGP, such as creation and time evolution, under the influence of a strong magnetic field [3].

In such a strong magnetic field, we expect qualitatively new phenomena called “nonlinear QED effects”. Even if the coupling of the charge to the magnetic field is weak, strength of the magnetic field compensates the weakness of the coupling and we need to sum up all orders with respect to the coupling. For example, every insertion of the external magnetic field to the electron propagator gives $O(eB/m_e^2)$, and thus when $eB \gg eB_c = m_e^2$, higher order terms with arbitrary number of insertions are equally important to be summed up. In such a situation, observables exhibit nonlinear dependence on the magnetic field, which is thus called the nonlinear QED effects. Examples include the synchrotron radiation of gluons/photons emitted by quarks, real photon decay $\gamma \to e^+e^-$, photon splitting $\gamma \to \gamma + \gamma$, and the vacuum birefringence of a photon, the last of which is the subject of this talk. Since these nonlinear QED phenomena become prominent in the strongest magnetic field, they can be used as tools of probing the early time, particularly pre-equilibrium, stages of the heavy-ion collision events.

2. Vacuum birefringence of a photon

Photons are emitted at all stages of heavy-ion collisions. Direct photons are created in the initial hard collisions, thermal photons are from QGP, and decay photons are from decays of (mainly) pions. In addition to these well-known photon sources, in the presence of strong magnetic fields, there will be synchrotron radiation from quarks. Since photons do not interact via strong interaction, they escape from the collision area, and carry the information of initial stages of heavy-ion collision events. However, when extracting such information, we have to be careful about the nonlinear QED effects on photons. In particular, the initial direct photons and thermal photons from the earliest time QGP will be affected by the magnetic field through the vacuum birefringence. This talk briefly describes theoretical framework of the vacuum birefringence and examines its influences on the photon HBT images in a simple model.

In an ordinary vacuum, the one-loop self-energy diagram of a photon propagator, or the polarization tensor $\Pi^{\mu\nu}(q)$, does not change the speed of light. However, in the presence of a strong magnetic field that selects a specific direction in space, the tensor $\Pi^{\mu\nu}(q,B)$ acquires additional terms that depend on $B$ (see Fig. 1). Consequently, a photon can have, in general, refractive indices

---

1. Its electric analog is the Schwinger’s critical electric field, beyond which $e^+e^-$ pair creation occurs.
different from unity just as if the vacuum behaves as a medium. The refractive indices of two physical propagating modes are not necessarily the same, thus this is called “birefringence”.

More specifically, the polarization tensor of a photon at one-loop level is given by

\[
i\Pi^{\mu\nu}(q, B) = (-ie)^2(-1) \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu G(p, A) \gamma^\nu G(p + q, A) \right], \tag{2.1}\]

where \( G(p, A) \) is the electron propagator in a magnetic field, \( iG^{-1}(p, A) = \slashed{p} - e\mathbf{A} - m_e \). Note that this propagator incorporates all-order contributions with respect to the external magnetic field. We assume that the magnetic field is oriented to the third direction in spatial coordinates (see Fig. 2). With the special direction specified by the magnetic field, the Lorentz structure of the polarization tensor \( \Pi^{\mu\nu} \) is now modified as

\[
\Pi^{\mu\nu}(q, B) = -\chi_0 \left[q^2 \eta^{\mu\nu} - q^\mu q^\nu\right] - \chi_1 \left[q^2 \eta^{\mu\nu}_{\parallel} - q^\mu q^\nu_{\parallel}\right] - \chi_2 \left[q_{\perp}^2 \eta^{\mu\nu}_{\perp} - q^\mu q^\nu_{\perp}\right], \tag{2.2}\]

where the metric \( \eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -, 1, -1) \) and the photon momentum \( q^\mu = (q^0, q_\perp, q_\parallel) \) are decomposed into two parts (parallel and perpendicular to the magnetic field); \( \eta^{\mu\nu}_{\parallel} = \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, -1) \), \( \eta^{\mu\nu}_{\perp} = \text{diag}(0, 1, -1, 0) \), and \( q^\mu = (q^0, q_\perp, q_\parallel) \), \( q^\mu_{\parallel} = (0, q_\perp, 0, 0) \), and \( \chi_i \) (i = 0, 1, 2) are scalar functions of \( q_\perp^2 \), \( q_\parallel^2 \) and \( B \). Without the magnetic field, we see that both \( \chi_1 \) and \( \chi_2 \) vanish, and that the last two terms are absent in a vacuum. With this polarization tensor, the Maxwell equation gets modified as \( [q^2 \eta^{\mu\nu} - q^\mu q^\nu - \Pi^{\mu\nu}(q, B)]A^\nu(q) = 0 \). By solving this equation, one obtains dispersion relations for two physical modes. One finds that, when \( \chi_1 \) and/or \( \chi_2 \) are nonzero, these two are distinct from each other differently from those in a vacuum, \( \omega^2 = q^2 \). Defining the refractive index \( n \) by

\[
n^2 = \frac{q^2}{\omega^2}, \tag{2.3}\]

one obtains two different refractive indices

\[
n_1^2 = \frac{1 + \chi_0 + \chi_1}{1 + \chi_0 + \chi_1 \cos^2 \theta}, \quad n_2^2 = \frac{1 + \chi_0}{1 + \chi_0 + \chi_2 \sin^2 \theta}, \tag{2.4}\]

where \( q^\mu = (\omega, q_\perp, 0, q_\parallel) = (\omega, |q| \sin \theta, 0, |q| \cos \theta) \) (see Fig. 2). Of course, when \( \chi_1 \) and \( \chi_2 \) are vanishing, both \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) reduce to unity. It should be noticed that the refractive indices \( n_1 \) depend on the angle \( \theta \), and when \( \theta = 0 \) (a photon propagates in parallel to the magnetic field), both \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) are 1.
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Figure 3: Photon HBT image will be distorted due to a modification of the optical path in the strong magnetic field. A pair of solid and dashed lines show an interference between two possible trajectories of a photon pair having identical polarizations.

Figure 4: The refractive index $n_1$ in the lowest-Landau-level approximation.

3. Photon HBT interferometry in the presence of magnetic fields

In the future experiments, it is quite important to measure the HBT interferometry of photons because the observables will contain the information of the matter just after the heavy-ion collisions, hopefully, before the QGP is formed. This would be straightforwardly true when the magnetic field is absent. Photon HBT could directly give the information of the matter at the moment of photon emissions. However, in the presence of a strong magnetic field which induces variation of photon refractive index, photon HBT gives a distorted image. In order to correctly extract the early-time source distribution, we need to understand how the image is distorted.

In the photon HBT interferometry, which has been originally developed in radio astronomy \cite{4}, the static source distribution can be related to the correlation function of intensity $E_{k\lambda}$ of a photon with momentum $k$ and polarization $\lambda$ \cite{5}. When no magnetic field is imposed in the source region, the relationship is quite straightforward:

$$C(k, q; \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \equiv \frac{\langle E_{k_1 \lambda_1}(-) E_{k_2 \lambda_2}(+) E_{k_1 \lambda_1}(+) E_{k_2 \lambda_2}(-) \rangle}{\langle E_{k_1 \lambda_1}(-) E_{k_1 \lambda_1}(+) \rangle \langle E_{k_2 \lambda_2}(-) E_{k_2 \lambda_2}(+) \rangle} = 1 + \delta_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \frac{1}{N} \int dx S(x, k) e^{-i q \cdot x} dx,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

where $k = k_1 + k_2$, $q = k_1 - k_2$ and $S(x, k)$ is the static source distribution, and $N$ is a normalization factor. Namely, the correlation function is just given by the Fourier transformation of the static source distribution. However, in the presence of a strong magnetic field, photon propagation is modified, and its trajectory will be bent due to the spatial profile of the magnetic field. Consequently, led by a phase distortion, the relationship between the static source and the correlation function becomes nontrivial. This situation is very similar to distortion of the HBT image of pions in the presence of a mean-field cloud \cite{3}. One can formulate in a similar way as Ref. \cite{3}, and the
The relationship between $C(k,q;\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ and $S(x,k)$ reads

$$C = 1 + \delta\lambda_1\lambda_2 \frac{1}{N} \left| \int dS(x,k) e^{-i q \cdot x + i \delta \psi(x,k,q) - \Gamma(x,k,q)} \right|^2 ,$$

where $\delta\psi$ and $\Gamma$ are, respectively, difference of the eikonal angles of two photons $\delta\psi(x,k,q) = \psi(x,k_1) - \psi(x,k_2)$ and sum of the extinction coefficients $\Gamma(x,k,q) = \gamma(x,k_1) + \gamma(x,k_2)$. Note that the eikonal angles and extinction coefficients are obtained as integrals of the real and imaginary parts of the refractive index “along the rays”. Therefore, non-trivial refractive indices induce “distorted” Fourier image of the source.

4. Demonstration in a simple model

Since a complete analytic expression of the refractive indices in a magnetic field is not available so far (see however Ref. [7]), we consider a simple model which captures qualitative aspects of the refractive indices in a magnetic field. Figure 4 shows (the real part of) the refractive index $n_1$ in the lowest-Landau-level approximation for $B/B_c = 100$. The angle corresponds to the $\theta$ shown in Fig. 2, and the distance from the origin corresponds to $|n_1|$. Photon energy is taken just below the threshold (of the $e^+e^-$ decay) $\omega^2/4m_e^2 = 0.99$. If there is no variation in the refractive index, it should be a unit circle. However, as clearly seen in this figure, there is a deviation from unity especially in the direction perpendicular to the magnetic field. This can be simply modelled as $n = 1 + \delta n \cdot \exp\left\{ -\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_x^2} - \frac{y^2}{2\sigma_y^2} \right\}$. For simplicity, we use $\sigma_x = 10$ fm, $\sigma_y = 5$ fm, and $\delta n = 0.5$ for the model. Let us further use the Gaussian source $S(x) \propto \exp\left\{ -\frac{x^2}{2R_x^2} - \frac{y^2}{2R_y^2} - \frac{z^2}{2R_z^2} \right\}$. Then, in the absence of the magnetic field, the correlation function is simply given by its Fourier transform:
$C(k_{\text{out}}, q_{\text{side}}, 0) = 1 + \exp\{-R_x^2 q_{\text{out}}^2 - R_y^2 q_{\text{side}}^2\}$ where $q_{\text{out}}$ and $q_{\text{side}}$ are outward and sideward momenta, respectively. Thus, in the absence of the magnetic field, the HBT correlation function is again the Gaussian, and the shape does not change according to the angle $\theta$. This is shown in the upper panels of Fig. 5. On the other hand, the results with the magnetic field are shown in the lower panels of Fig. 5. Clearly, one can see distortion of the shape compared to the upper panels, and shrinks of the correlation ranges in the momentum space, which implies magnifications of the source images in the coordinate space (similar to lenzing). One can also include the imaginary part in the refractive index, which implies the decay of a photon into an $e^- e^+$ pair. Imaginary part enters through the extinction coefficient $\Gamma(x; k, q)$.

5. Summary

We have demonstrated in a simple model that the photon HBT image will be distorted by effects of the vacuum birefringence which become significant in the strong magnetic field. If the photon HBT is measured in the future experiments, one has to take the effects of distortion into account to obtain correct information of the matter profile at the early-time stages of the heavy-ion collision. Detailed analysis, which goes beyond the simple model as shown in this talk, will be reported elsewhere [8].
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