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Abstract

Objectives: to analyze the difference among geographical units and the evolution of infant mortality rate (IMR) based on Ecuadorian censuses (1990-2001-2010).

Methods: artificial Neural Network analyzed the impact of sociodemographic factors over the variability of IMR. Poisson regression analyzed the variation of the standardized IMR (sIMR).

Results: the decrease in the national IMR was 63.8%; however, 42.8% provinces showed an increase in 2001-2010. The variability was explained mainly by illiteracy decrease. The adjusted RR between provincial sIMR with illiteracy and poverty revealed a trend towards the unit.

Conclusions: the variation of IMR reflects a complex interaction of the sociodemographic factors.
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Introduction

Infant mortality rate (IMR) is defined as the number of infant deaths in the first year of life for every 1000 live births. According to the United Nations Interagency Group for Child Mortality Estimation, the IMR world-wide has decreased since 1990 from an estimated rate of 63 deaths per 1000 live births to 32 deaths per 1000 live births in 2015.1 Meanwhile in Ecuador, a developing country, the IMR has dropped down from an estimated of 30.24 deaths per 1000 live births in 1990 to 10.95 per 1000 live births in 2010, as reported by the National Institute of Statistics and Censuses of Ecuador (INEC, by its Spanish acronym).2

IMR is related to multiple genetic, environmental, and socioeconomic factors.3-5 For example, in developing countries an increase in education levels leads to decreases in marriage at a young age, first sexual experience, first birth and a better economic environment for the woman, all which lead to decrease of infant mortality.6

Although a strong correlation has not been found between poverty reduction and lower IMR, for middle- and lower-income countries a 10% increase of the gross domestic product seems to be correlated with a reduction of 10% in IMR. Similarly, an increase in inequality is correlated with an increase of the IMR.5-7

Lower socioeconomic outcomes and higher IMR had been reported as disparities among ethnic minorities, like afro-descendants or indigenous social groups.8,9 In Latin America and in Ecuador - without exception, indigenous groups exhibit higher rates of poverty, lower education outcomes, higher fertility rates and limited access to basic health services compared to their non-indigenous counterparts.10

In Ecuador, 7% of the population self-identify as indigenous, of them, almost 75% were poor based on unsatisfied basic needs (UBN) at 2010. Percentage of illiteracy in the indigenous population is 20.4% compared to 5.1% of the non-indigenous population, and an IMR 1.5 to 3 times higher than the national rate; when considering 1997 figures.11

Policies of inclusion to foster equity and poverty reductions have been implemented in Ecuador over the last ten years.12 These policies have allowed a reduction of around 4.9 points in the Gini index between 2005 and 2010.13

In this context, we decide to analyze the quantitative contribution of illiteracy, poverty by unsatisfied basic need (UBN) and indigenous ethnicity proportion to the variability of IMR in years 1990, 2001 and 2010, by implementing an Artificial Neural Network model (ANN).

Additionally, in order to compare the risk of infant mortality among those with illiteracy and poverty we implemented an ecological analysis using a standardized IMR (SMR) at three temporal cut-off points (1990, 2001 and 2010).

Methods

We implemented an ecological study using data from three population censuses published by INEC, in 1990, 2001 and 2010. The data is made available in two structured digital formats (official sources): (1) Datasets of live births and general deaths grouped by sex.14 (2) Census Database provide data of social indicators (illiteracy and poverty). Ethnicity was included only in 2001 and 2010.15

Data were available for the 21 provinces (spatial ecological unit) confirming Ecuador for the years 1990, 2001 and 2010. Currently (2017), Ecuador is divided into 24 provinces; however, this study only considers the 21 provinces defined in the year 1990. We also have excluded from the analysis all the areas belonging to unlimited jurisdictions. The unlimited areas are three and refer to the most recent geographic boundaries published by INEC, and not to the 1990 administrative boundaries as this data is not available in geographic information system format. We argue that this discrepancy is negligible since the un-delimited areas hold less than 1% of the total population (0.72% in 1990). For this analysis, the Galápagos Islands were excluded due to socio-demographic and economic differences with the continental Ecuadorian territory, and the lack of sufficient observations to include it in the analysis.

The spatial ecological unit was the province, which is the traditional geographical unit for political and administrative purposes and were 21.

Illiterate: Person older than 15 years who cannot read and write.15

Poverty by UBN: A person is considered poor by UBN if they live in a household with the following features: a household head with two or less years of education and one employed person for every four or more people living at home; living in a house made of irregular material such as cane walls; having a child between 6 to 12 years old who is not attending school; more than three people living per room; and, if the house does not have a connection to the water supply network or uses latrines or toilet facilities without a sanitation system.16

Indigenous ethnicity: People living in a household whose head self-describes as indigenous.15
Illiteracy, poverty and indigenous ethnicity factors are presented in percentages.

The Artificial Neural Network model aims to weight each the factors that explain to the IMR variability. ANN are mathematical non-linear models that analyze the variability of the dependent variable, based on the connection of the variables to be studied.\(^\text{17,18}\) In this analysis the independent variables are illiteracy, poverty and share of indigenous population, and the dependent variable was provincial infant mortality crude rates. The variability is expressed between individual provinces for each census.

We performed an indirect standardization of the IMRs (SMR) at every province, taking as reference the population of the whole country. We show the change of each provincial SMR between the census 2001 - 1990 and 2010 - 2001.

First, we analyze the co linearity between illiteracy and poverty by Pearson coefficient. Second, we did an adjustment of the SMR infant mortality of 21 provinces, applying to Poisson regression model, given that the number of events occurring in disjoint intervals of space-time are independent,\(^\text{19}\) in order to examine the adjusted relative risk (ARR) of SMR related to illiteracy and poverty percentages, by census years. The results were expressed as ARR and its 95% confidence interval. As indicated, ethnicity data was not available until the census of 2001, therefore it was not included in this analysis. We used the IBM SPSS Statistics 20 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA), to run the models.

**Results**

Ecuador's IMRs for years 1990, 2001 and 2010, both for the whole country and by gender, are shown in Table 1. The Table shows a decreasing IMR with a total decrease of 63.8% (19.29 deaths per 1000 live births) nationally, from 1990 to 2010.

Illiteracy, poverty, and indigenous ethnicity percentages are presented in Table 2. Between 1990 and 2010, illiteracy shows a reduction of 42.7%, with a bigger drop among women (44.2%) than men (39.6%). Poverty declined from 1990 to 2010 in 24.5%, Indigenous ethnicity proportion remained constant at approximately 7%.

Table 2 presents the proportion of the national IMR variability explained by each of three factors studied in the three cut-off points. For 1990, the illiteracy explained the 66.2% of the variation of the national IMR compared to 33.8% of the variability explained by poverty. When the three factors are considered, IMR’s variability for the year 2000 was explained in 45.2% by Illiteracy, in 39.1% by poverty and in 15.7% by the proportion of the indigenous ethnic group. Meanwhile, 2010 data showed that IMR variability for illiteracy and poverty remained the same as 2001. However, it increased by 5.1% in the proportion of the indigenous ethnic group.

The variability of SMR by provinces respect to the country in the periods 1990-2001 and 2001-2010 is shown in Figure 1. In the period 1990-2001, of 21 provinces, 14 presented a decrease of the SMR with a variation between 7% and 45%, while 7 provinces had an increase of the SMR with a variation between 2% and 21%. In the period 2001-2010, 9 of 21 provinces showed a decrease of the SMR between 2% and 35%, whereas in 12 provinces increased the SMR between 1% and 83%. Nine (42.8%) provinces showed a decrease and then an increase and vice versa for 4 (19.0%).

Previously, a correlation analysis between illiteracy and poverty was made \((r=0.46, p<0.05)\). Table 2 also presents the results of fitting Poisson model for the SMR of infant mortality, expressing the results as relative risks and their CI95%, for each percentile point of change in the factors stated. We observe a significant increase in the SMR associated to higher percentages of illiteracy. In contrast, the poverty presents significant inverse associations with the rate studies. Nevertheless, both indicators showed a convergence to the unit in the relative risks during the studied period.

**Discussion**

One of the Millennium Development Goals established by the United Nations, in 2000, was to decrease the under-age of 5 mortality rate by two thirds between 1990 and 2015. While the reduction of infant mortality in most countries has been accelerated, most developing countries will need many years after 2015 to achieve that goal.\(^\text{20}\) This objective encompassed Latin America as well but was not achieved globally,\(^\text{5}\) apparently due to high proportions of neonatal deaths which suggest that more efforts should have focused at the earliest ages.\(^\text{21-23}\)

In Ecuador, a country that went from being a lower-middle income country in the 1990’s to an upper-middle income country in 2010,\(^\text{12}\) the results of this study showed a similar reduction of IMR figures with a drop from 30.24 to 10.95 per 1000 live births (63.8%), between 1990 and 2010. Since 2006, there have been several new policies implemented directed to improve literacy, reduce poverty and inclusion of marginalized groups such as indigenous
Table 1

Ecuador’s Infant mortality rate (IMR) by gender in the years 1990, 2001, 2010.

| Year | Deaths within one year, per 1000 live births (IMR) | Number of births | Ecuador population (millions) |
|------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
|      | Female | Male | Total |                         |                  |                  |
| 1990 | 27.95  | 32.44| 30.24 | 263582                     | 10.26            |
| 2001 | 15.16  | 19.29| 17.25 | 278160                     | 12.55            |
| 2010 | 10.26  | 11.61| 10.95 | 292329                     | 14.16            |

Table 2

Illiteracy, poor people by unsatisfied basic needs and indigenous people rates, variability proportion of national IMR explained by them, and adjusted relative risk of standardized IMR, Ecuador census 1990-2001-2010.

| Census year | Gender   | Illiteracy (%) | PP / UBN (%) | IndP (%) | VPN-IMR by Ill (%) | Adjusted RR (CI95%) | VPN-IMR by PP/UBN (%) | Adjusted RR (CI95%) | VPN-IMR by IndP (%) | Adjusted RR (CI95%) |
|-------------|----------|----------------|---------------|----------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1990        | Female   | 13.79          | 78.41         | NA       | 11.78              | 0.662 (1.035-1.043)  | 79.43                 | 0.338 (0.978-0.984) | NA                  | NA                  |
|             | Male     | 9.47           | 80.48         | NA       | 0.662              | 1.039 (1.035-1.043)  | 79.43                 | 0.338 (0.978-0.984) | NA                  | NA                  |
|             | Total    | 11.78          | 0.662         | NA       | 1.039 (1.035-1.043)|                      | 79.43                 | 0.338 (0.978-0.984) | NA                  | NA                  |
| 2001        | Female   | 10.29          | 70.38         | 3.50     | 10.29              | 0.452 (1.014-1.033)  | 71.27                 | 0.391 (0.983-0.988) | 6.83                | 0.157               |
|             | Male     | 7.65           | 72.19         | 3.33     | 0.452              | 1.023 (1.014-1.033)  | 71.27                 | 0.391 (0.983-0.988) | 6.83                | 0.157               |
|             | Total    | 9.00           | 0.452         | 3.46     | 0.452              | 1.023 (1.014-1.033)  | 71.27                 | 0.391 (0.983-0.988) | 6.83                | 0.157               |
| 2010        | Female   | 7.70           | 59.17         | 3.57     | 7.70               | 0.420 (1.011-1.014)  | 59.98                 | 0.373 (0.986-0.992) | 7.03                | 0.208               |
|             | Male     | 5.74           | 60.82         | 3.45     | 0.420              | 1.026 (1.011-1.014)  | 59.98                 | 0.373 (0.986-0.992) | 7.03                | 0.208               |
|             | Total    | 6.74           | 0.420         | 3.45     | 0.420              | 1.026 (1.011-1.014)  | 59.98                 | 0.373 (0.986-0.992) | 7.03                | 0.208               |

III= Illiteracy; PP/UBN= Poor people by unsatisfied basic needs; IndP= Indigenous people; VPN – IMR= Variability proportion of national Infant Mortality Rate; NA= Not available.
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Figure 1
Variability of SMR by provinces respect to the country in the periods 1990-2001 and 2001-2010.

SMR= Standardized Infant Mortality Rate.

people, factors whose influence on infant mortality have been described.

In this context, we set out to analyze the contribution of these factors in the variability of the IMR. An ANN model helped us to establish the variability proportion of the national IMR explained by illiteracy, poverty and indigenous ethnicity percentages. Based on the ANN analysis, we identify that among the three factors studied, the predominant contributor to explain the variability of IMR in Ecuador is illiteracy.

These results motivated to quantify the relative weight of the study factors to explain the differences between the provincial rates standardized by age and sex, with respect to the country’s overall. This analysis, carried out through a Poisson regression model, showed a trend of adjusted relative risks to the unit over time.

Plausible explanations to these results are: (1) Intensification of governmental effort including health care, primarily health care. In the period studied, improvement in the health sector could produce a decrease in the magnitude of the intervention in education and the reduction of poverty. The effect of the intervention, through a reform of the health sector and the injection of funds, could be reflected in the reduction of infant mortality in less time, compared with the time required to observe the effect of reduction of both factors, poverty and illiteracy, over infant mortality.

(2) Although a declining trend was found in IMR in Ecuador throughout the 3 periods of study, the evolution of IMR, in indigenous population the rate seems to remain stable.

Indigenous population has been linked with factors such as poverty and illiteracy, all of which are barriers that make cooperation and coordination harder, especially when is related to health services.
These factors are reflected in the lower access to maternal-infant services among indigenous compared to mestizos in Ecuador. In this analysis the proportion of indigenous ethnicity over the variability of IMR remained constant, and so its effect.

On the other hand, the SMR of the ecological units resulted disparities between the provinces in both periods (2001-1990 and 2010-2001). Almost half of the ecological units showed a decrease in the SMR during the first period, but the second showed an increase in the SMR, corresponding to a strong Government action focused on the social axis. This apparent contradiction could be explained by the improvement in the registration of births and deaths. The increase registration of births and deaths, motivated by a greater monitoring of the population, as well as the standardization of records of births and infant deaths have an initial effect of higher mortality, due to the visualization of the problems. This effect has been described in other countries. However, this effect was not observed in all ecological units, which may be explained by the different degrees of structural modification of the records, over time.

Our study has the following limitations: (1) We analyzed the evolution of IMR considering the political-administrative division of Ecuador at 1990 which could change if the actual division is considered, the unavailable data regarding ethnicity in 1990 as well as the absence of other variables of the set of factors that influence the variability of the infant morbidity and mortality. However, we analyze three of the main factors related to the IMR which can give public policies to continue with the reduction of IMR. In order to ensure the standardization of the definition of each variable studied, we took only three temporal cut-off points (1990, 2001 and 2010), which limits the analysis of the variability proportion of the IMR. (3) In the last census the quality of data acquisition and registration could have improved especially among provinces historically marginalized of informatics aspects which is reflected in an increase in the notification of the indicators studied.

An in-depth analysis of the relationship between illiteracy, poverty, and ethnicity over the time, and their relationship with infant mortality, allows the country a better understanding of the current situation, in order to create strategies to improve the living conditions of the population.
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