Combinatory effects of siRNA-induced myostatin inhibition and exercise on skeletal muscle homeostasis and body composition
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Abstract
Inhibition of myostatin (Mstn) stimulates skeletal muscle growth, reduces body fat, and induces a number of metabolic changes. However, it remains unexplored how exercise training modulates the response to Mstn inhibition. The aim of this study was to investigate how siRNA-mediated Mstn inhibition alone but also in combination with physical activity affects body composition and skeletal muscle homeostasis. Adult mice were treated with Mstn-targeting siRNA and subjected to a treadmill-based exercise protocol for 4 weeks. Effects on skeletal muscle and fat tissue, expression of genes, and serum concentration of proteins involved in myostatin signaling, skeletal muscle homeostasis, and lipid metabolism were investigated and compared with Mstn⁻/⁻ mice. The combination of siRNA-mediated Mstn knockdown and exercise induced skeletal muscle hypertrophy, which was associated with an upregulation of markers for satellite cell activity. SiRNA-mediated Mstn knockdown decreased visceral fat and modulated lipid metabolism similar to effects observed in Mstn⁻/⁻ mice. Myostatin did not regulate its own expression via an autoregulatory loop, however, Mstn knockdown resulted in a decrease in the serum concentrations of myostatin propeptide, leptin, and follistatin. The ratio of these three parameters was distinct between Mstn knockdown, exercise, and their combination. Taken together, siRNA-mediated Mstn knockdown in combination with exercise stimulated skeletal muscle hypertrophy. Each intervention or their combination induced a specific set of adaptive responses in the skeletal muscle and fat metabolism which could be identified by marker proteins in serum.
Introduction

During the last years, increasing interest focused on inhibiting the signal transduction of the muscle growth factor myostatin (Mstn) with the aim to develop strategies for the treatment of muscle disorders (Bradley et al. 2008; Tsuchida 2008), or metabolic diseases such as type II diabetes or adiposity (McPherron 2010)

Myostatin is a member of the transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) family of signaling molecules, which negatively regulates muscle growth and differentiation (McPherron et al. 1997). As described for Mstn knockout mouse (Mstn<sup>−/−</sup>), the absence of myostatin results in increased skeletal muscle mass, reduced fat tissue, and increased insulin sensitivity (McPherron and Lee 2002; Guo et al. 2009). Subsequently, a number of strategies were developed to block the effect of myostatin and tested on various models for neuromuscular disorders, muscle wasting conditions, or metabolic disturbances (Amthor and Hoogaars 2012). RNA interference (RNAi) has also been used to inhibit myostatin signaling (Kinouchi et al. 2008; Liu et al. 2008). This strategy is based on small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) which bind to their specific target mRNA sequence and induce cleavage of the mRNA with the consequence of “silencing” the target gene. Several research groups showed that gene knockdown of Mstn by RNAi is a promising therapeutic strategy for muscle wasting disorders (Acosta et al. 2005; Magee et al. 2006). Kinouchi et al. (2008), Liu et al. (2008) and have shown that efficient knockdown of Mstn resulted in increased skeletal muscle mass in mice following intravenous as well as oral application of Mstn-specific siRNAs. So far, the role of exercise training in the siRNA-induced MSTN inhibition is not described; a situation which might be relevant for the improvement of therapeutic options, but also in athletes as potential doping strategy. It is likely that the combination of myostatin blockade and exercise may induce synergistic effects. We hypothesize that synergistic effects from myostatin blockade and exercise may improve the therapeutic benefit of myostatin blockade in muscle disorders and metabolic diseases. However, such synergistic effects may also be abused by athletes as a potential doping strategy. Scientific information regarding such combinatory effects is limited. Therefore, the major aim of this study was to investigate in an animal model in mice how myostatin inhibition using Mstn-targeting siRNA in combination with physical activity affects muscle growth, body composition, and metabolism.

Materials and Methods

Animals, training, and experimental treatments

8-week-old female Balb/c mice were purchased (Janvier, Le-Genest St-Isle, France) and acclimatized for 1 week before starting experiments. The mice were kept under controlled conditions (temperature 20 ± 1°C, humidity 50–80%, illumination 12L/12D) and had free access to water and a diet low in phytoestrogen content (R/M-H, Ssniff GmbH, Soest, Germany). Mice were maintained according to the European Union guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals. The study was undertaken with the approval of the regional administration of the governmental body. Mstn<sup>−/−</sup> founder breeding pairs on a C57BL/6 background were a kind gift from Se-Jin Lee (McPherron et al. 1997). Muscles and serum from 4–5-month-old female Mstn<sup>+/−</sup> mice and Mstn<sup>+/+</sup> were obtained following sacrifice.

siRNA treatment

siRNA-targeting Mstn was custom-made (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). siRNA sequences were used as previously published (GDF8 siRNA26, 5′-AAGATGACGATTAT-CACGCTA-3′, position 426-446) (Magee et al. 2006; Kinouchi et al. 2008). The lyophilized siRNA was suspended in sterile phosphate-buffered saline (Dulbecco’s Phosphate Buffered Saline (D-PBS), Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) and injected using osmotic mini pumps (pump model 2006, Cat.-No. 0007225, ALZET<sup>®</sup> Osmotic Pumps, Cupertino, Canada). Using a flow rate of 0.15 μL/h, 100 nmol/L/kg/day (equivalent of 1.5 mg/kg/day) siRNA was applied during a period of 28 days.

The mice were randomly allocated to treatment or training groups (n = 7 animals per group). Mice were exercised on a motor-driven rodent treadmill (Columbus Instruments, Columbus, OH) for 5 days/week over 4 weeks at 5% upgrade declination. The exercise intensity was progressively increased from 10 min once a day at 10 m/min to 15 min twice a day at 18 m/min during the first week. The study design is illustrated in Fig. 1.

Production and injection of AAV-propeptide for myostatin blockade

The myostatin propeptide construct was prepared by PCR amplification of C57BL/6 cDNA, using the oligonucleotide primers 5′-CCG CTC GAG ATG ATG CAA AAA
CTG CAA ATG-3’ and 5’-CCG GGA TCC CTA TTA GTC TCT CCG GGA CCT CTT-3’ and was introduced into an AAV2-based vector between the two inverted terminal repeats and under the control of the cytomegalovirus promoter using the XhoI and BamHI restriction enzyme sites. The AAV myostatin propeptide was produced in human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells by the triple-transfection method using the calcium phosphate precipitation technique with both the pAAV2 propeptide plasmid, the pXX6 plasmid coding for the adenoviral sequences essential for AAV production, and the pRepCAp plasmid coding for the AAV1 capsid. The virus was then purified by two cycles of cesium chloride gradient centrifugation and concentrated by dialysis. The final viral preparations were kept in PBS solution at −80°C. The particle titer (number of viral genomes) was determined by a quantitative PCR. A volume of 50 µL of AAV2/1-myostatin propeptide (5 × 10¹¹ vg) or control AAV (5 × 10¹¹ vg of AAV2/1-U7-scramble) were injected into the tibialis anterior (TA) muscles of 2-month-old C57Bl/6 mice. TA muscles were dissected following cervical dislocation of mice 1 month after intramuscular injection of AAV2/1-propeptide.

**Tissue collection and preparation**

At the end of the exercise protocol, body weights of the mice were determined and animals sacrificed. Blood samples were collected and centrifuged, and serum cryoconserved. Following dissection, wet weights of liver, visceral fat, and gastrocnemius muscles were determined. Muscles were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen or mounted for histological analysis.

**RNA isolation and real-time RT-PCR**

Total RNA was isolated from pooled frozen tissues by the method of Chomczynski and Sacchi (Chomczynski and Sacchi 1978) using Trizol® (Invitrogen) followed by first-strand cDNA synthesis (QuantiTect Rev. Transcription Kit, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Real-time q-PCR was performed in a MX3005P thermal cycler (Stratagene, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). The protocol comprised 4 min at 95°C followed by 45 cycles of 95, 58, and 72°C for 30 sec each. Based on the cDNA sequences available at the EMBL database, the specific primer pairs for Cyclophilin, Mstn, Fst, MyoD, Pax-7 were designed by the software primer3 (Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, MA; http://www-genome.wi.mit.edu/cgi-bin/primer/primer3 www.cgi) and confirmed by the sequences in the NCBI database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). All primers were synthesized by Invitrogen. The primer pairs are listed in Table 1. The data were normalized to the Cyclophilin expression as a reference gene using the ΔΔCt method and the relative expression levels of the genes are reported as the fold induction.
(Livak and Schmittgen 2001; Pfaffl 2001; Velders et al. 2012).

Real-Time qPCR for Fig. 5A–D was performed according to the SYBR Green® protocol (Applied Biosystems). Total RNA was isolated from frozen muscles after pulverization in liquid nitrogen with the Trizol® (Invitrogen) extraction protocol. Isolated RNA was quantified using the NanoDrop® ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo scientific, Waltham, MA) and cDNA was synthesized using the Thermoscript® RT PCR System (Invitrogen). After cDNA synthesis, Real-Time PCR was performed by using the SYBR Green® PCR Master Mix Protocol (Applied Biosystems, Madrid, Spain) with a hotstart Taq polymerase. A 10-min denaturation step at 94°C was followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 10 sec and annealing/extension at 60°C for 30 sec. Before sample analysis, we had determined for each gene the PCR efficiencies with a standard dilution series (10^(-6)–10^(-7) copies/μL), which subsequently enabled us to calculate the copy numbers from the C_t values (Pfaffl 2001). mRNA levels were normalized to 18S rRNA.

**Quantification of serum follistatin and myostatin propeptide**

Serum levels of follistatin and myostatin propeptide were determined by Immuno-PCR-based assay using (Chimera Imperacer® kit [Chimera Biotec GmbH, Dortmund, Germany], 11-000 kit-R and 11-039 kit-R). The following capture antibodies and recombinant proteins were used: goat polyclonal antihuman follistatin antibody (AF669, R&D Systems GmbH, Wiesbaden-Nordenstadt, Germany), chicken polyclonal antihuman myostatin propeptide antibody (RD183057050, BioVendor), recombinant human follistatin (669FO/CF, R&D Systems), recombinant human myostatin propeptide (RD172058100, BioVendor GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany). For spiking, standardized serum was used (BISEKO, Biotest AG, Dreieich, Germany) for follistatin and sample dilution buffer (SDB2000) for myostatin propeptide. Immuno-PCR was performed as described in Diel et al. (2010).

**Quantification of serum leptin**

Leptin concentrations were determined using the ELISA method (mouse-/rat-leptin ELISA E06 kit, Mediagnost GmbH, Reutlingen, Germany). The analytical sensitivity of the assay was 0.01 ng/mL and the intra- and interassay variance was ≤5%. Serum samples were diluted 1:5 in the provided dilution buffer (VP) and the assay was conducted according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

**Quantification of serum lipids and liver triglycerides**

Serum levels of cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) were determined using photometry (DIALAB, Wiener Neudorf, Austria). Serum and liver triglycerides were analyzed using colorimetry (ABX Pentra; ABX Diagnostics, Montpellier, France). For determination of liver triglyceride content, 100 mg of liver tissue was powdered in liquid nitrogen, then incubated for 1 h at 4°C in lysis buffer (50 mmol/L Tris, pH 8.0, 2 mmol/L CaCl_2, 80 mmol/L NaCl and 1% Triton x-100) in presence of enzyme inhibitor PMSF (phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride, dissolved in isopropanol) at a final concentration of 10 mmol/L. The solution was then centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C and protein concentration determined (DC Protein Assay; Bio-Rad, München, Germany). The triglyceride content was determined as described above and referred to the protein content (mmol triglycerides/g protein).

**Histological analysis**

Transverse sections (7 μm) were cut from the mid belly region of *gastronemius* muscle using a cryostat (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany, CM 1510S) and were then mounted on slides coated with polylysine (Menzel Gläser, Hilden, Germany). Cryo-sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin and images acquired with a light microscope (Axiophot, Zeiss, Jena, Germany). Myofiber cross-sectional area was determined using the ImageJ 1.33 program software (National Institute of Health, http://rsb.nih.gov/ij).
80–120 myofibers per muscle were analyzed (n = 7 animals each group).

**Statistical analysis**

All data are presented as means ± standard deviation (SD). A two-way Mann–Whitney U-Test was performed for comparison between two groups. For statistical analysis of more than two groups, data were calculated using a Kruskal–Wallis H-test followed by Mann–Whitney U-test. Significance levels were set at \( P < 0.05 \).

**Results**

**siRNA targeted to Mstn increased muscles mass**

We systemically treated adult wild-type mice with continuous Mstn siRNA or PBS perfusions for 28 days by osmotic minipumps. Both treatment groups (±Mstn siRNA) were further subdivided and either subjected to a treadmill training program or to sedentary condition, resulting in four different experimental conditions: (1) non-siRNA-treated/nontrained control mice (named C), (2) non-siRNA-treated/trained mice (named T), (3) siRNA-treated/nontrained mice (named si), and (4) siRNA-treated/trained mice (named siT). We used a Mstn siRNA sequence that previously proved very efficient to block the effect of Mstn (Magee et al. 2006; Kinouchi et al. 2008). In agreement, we here confirm efficient gene knockdown of Mstn in gastrocnemius muscle in both exercised and nonexercised animals (Fig. 2A). Interestingly, Mstn was also downregulated in non-siRNA-treated/exercised muscle, showing an effect of exercise on Mstn regulation. However, exercise together with siRNA treatment had no synergistic effect on gene knockdown. Combination of exercise and systemic treatment with Mstn siRNA for 28 days significantly stimulated muscle growth as shown for the gastrocnemius muscle, whereas treatment with Mstn siRNA on its own did not result in remarkably changes in the wet weight of this muscle. Nevertheless, gastrocnemius muscle weight was higher in the siRNA group compared to the control and training group (mean weight: 127 mg in si compared to 124 mg in C and T). Furthermore, exercise on its own had no effect on muscle mass (Fig. 2B). In order to determine the effects of Mstn-targeting siRNA and exercise on gastrocnemius muscle fibers, we measured the fiber cross-sectional area (CSA) and found a significant shift toward larger fibers in both siRNA-treated animal groups compared to the control animals, proving a hypertrophic growth response at individual myofiber level (Fig. 2C).

**Effects of Mstn siRNA treatment on target genes involved in skeletal muscle adaptation**

Previous works on the mechanism of muscle growth in lack of Mstn evidenced an activation of muscle satellite
cells (McCroskery et al. 2003; Wang and McPherron 2012). In agreement, we here show elevated transcript levels for Pax-7 and MyoD in skeletal muscle from Mstn/C0/C0 mice (Fig. 3A and B), allowing for a molecular read-out of the effect of siRNA-mediated Mstn knockdown on satellite cells. Similar as for Mstn/C0/C0 mice, siRNA-mediated Mstn knockdown resulted in an upregulation of Pax-7 expression (Fig. 3C). MyoD was significantly increased after siRNA treatment. Also, training resulted in a slight increase in MyoD expression. Interestingly, the combination of both further increased MyoD expression in an adaptive (Fig. 3D).

**Mstn siRNA reduces visceral body fat content and improves serum lipid levels**

Deletion or blockade of myostatin results in decreased body fat (Guo et al. 2009). Accordingly, we here show that visceral fat was significantly reduced in both Mstn siRNA-treated groups (Fig. 4A). The decreased fat tissue entailed reduced serum leptin levels (Fig. 4B; Table 3). Similar reduced serum leptin was also found in Mstn/C0/C0 mice and confirms previously published data (Fig. 4C) (Guo et al. 2009).

Associated with loss in fat tissue, HDL cholesterol was significantly increased in response to training, siRNA treatment, and by the combination of both, whereas serum triglycerides were significantly decreased (Table 2). Interestingly, total cholesterol was elevated following combination of siRNA treatment and exercise, which was associated with a strongly increased HDL value and little effect on serum triglycerides. Furthermore, in both siRNA-treated groups (si and siT), we observed a tendency for decreased liver triglyceride levels, which statistically remained insignificant in comparison with the control group. Interestingly, exercise alone strongly increased liver triglycerides (Table 2).

**The effect of myostatin blockade on the expression of myostatin and myostatin propeptide**

Having established that myostatin blockade in combination with exercise resulted in profound changes in skeletal muscle homeostasis and body metabolism, we now questioned whether knockdown of myostatin results in feedback loops and changes in expression of myostatin and myostatin-binding proteins.

We first investigated whether interference with myostatin signaling impacts its own expression.

Mstn/C0 mice consists of a deletion of Mstn exon 3, which is the c-terminal fragment of the gene encoding the mature part of the myostatin protein, leaving intact the promoter and the myostatin propeptide except for its...
last 12 amino acids (McPherron et al. 1997). It is unknown, however, whether this enables synthesis of a functional myostatin propeptide. We constructed primers to the propeptide region and the c-terminal fragment in order to analyze the two parts of the Mstn gene. As expected, knockout of Mstn exon 3 completely abolished expression of the c-terminal part encoding the mature myostatin (5A). Surprisingly, propeptide expression remained unchanged (Fig. 5B), suggesting no feedback loop of myostatin on its own expression. This finding was further corroborated when transfecting tibialis anterior muscle of wild-type mice with AAV-propeptide. As expected, AAV-propeptide massively induced expression of the propeptide transgene (Fig. 5D). The expression of the c-terminal part of Mstn, however, remained unchanged (Fig. 5C). Interestingly, despite normal propeptide RNA transcript levels, serum myostatin propeptide concentrations were reduced in Mstn−/− mice (Fig. 5E), likely reflecting reduced protein assembly, defective secretion or an unstable protein in lack of the mature myostatin region. Likewise, serum myostatin propeptide concentration was also reduced following siRNA mediated Mstn knockdown (Fig. 5F). However, exercise as well as siRNA-mediated Mstn knockdown in combination with exercise decreased Mstn mRNA expression alongside with reduced serum myostatin propeptide levels (Figs. 2A and 5F). It should be noted that propeptide as well as the c-terminal fragment of the Mstn gene are highly expressed in extensor digitorum longus (EDL) muscle from wild-type mice but at extremely low levels in soleus muscle (Fig. 5A and B). This has been previously attributed to the different fiber-type composition of the two muscles, the EDL being predominantly composed of fast fibers, whereas soleus muscle contains an important part of slow fibers (Agbulut et al. 2003). Against this hypothesis, we here show that propeptide expression did not change in EDL and soleus muscle following Mstn knockout, although both muscles completely change fiber-type composition from oxidative toward fast glycolytic fibers (Girgenrath et al. 2005; Amthor et al. 2007). Thus, myostatin expression is not fiber-type-dependent, but is an intrinsic property of specific muscles.

The effect of myostatin blockade on the expression of follistatin

Follistatin is a strong modulator of myostatin activity as it physically interacts with myostatin thereby blocking its biological effect. Different follistatin isoforms result from alternative splicing (Inouye et al. 1991). The short isoform, FS288, binds heparan sulfate and locates to cellular surfaces, whereas the long isoform, FS315, is soluble and detected in serum (Inouye et al. 1992; Sugino et al. 1993; Schneyer et al. 2004). We next asked whether myostatin regulates the expression of its own antagonist follistatin.
Indeed, follistatin mRNA expression was strongly upregulated in skeletal muscle from Mstn−/− mice (Fig. 6B) as well as following siRNA-mediated Mstn knockdown (Fig. 6B). Importantly, exercise did not influence follistatin expression and when combined with Mstn siRNA, exercise completely prevented the stimulating effect on follistatin expression in skeletal muscle (Fig. 6B). To our surprise, the stimulating effect of Mstn knockout on follistatin mRNA expression was not paralleled by increased serum follistatin protein levels. In fact, serum follistatin strongly decreased in Mstn−/− mice (Fig. 6C). Likewise, Mstn siRNA treatment caused decreased serum follistatin (Fig. 6D). However, exercise also decreased serum follistatin (Fig. 6D). Remarkably, combination of Mstn siRNA treatment and exercise strongly increased serum follistatin protein levels (Fig. 6D).

**Discussion**

The purpose of this study was to characterize the combinatorial effects of siRNA-induced Mstn knockdown and physical training on molecular mechanisms involved in skeletal muscle adaptation, body composition, lipid metabolism, and myostatin-interacting serum proteins.

We confirmed previous data showing that siRNA efficiently knocked down Mstn expression (Kinouchi et al. 2008) and in consequence induced a number of known effects of Mstn knockout, such as upregulation of the satellite cell markers, reduction in fat tissue, and decreased serum leptin (McPherron and Lee 2002; McCroskery et al. 2003). The induction of Pax7 and MyoD expression strengthens previous findings on the use of Mstn siRNA (Liu et al. 2008), however, it is no proof for a recruitment of satellite cells during hypertrophic fiber growth. Recent data confirmed that satellite cells are recruited following myostatin blockade, however, this was rather a minor event and relatively late during the hypertrophic growth phase, therefore, only in part explaining the growth-stimulating effect of myostatin blockade (Wang and McPherron 2012).

We hypothesized that the combination of myostatin blockade and exercise would result in synergistic effects. Those synergistic effects were observed for gastrocnemius muscle wet weight and MyoD mRNA expression. A likely explanation for the effect on muscle growth when the siRNA is used in the presence of exercise is the increase in serum follistatin which is a potent regulator of skeletal muscle hypertrophy. However, determination of CSA revealed that the additional training program in terms of strength training did not lead to further enhancement of the siRNA-induced muscle hypertrophy. So far, some studies investigated the effects of endurance training in the absence of myostatin (Matsakas et al. 2010, 2012; Savage and McPherron 2010). Matsakas et al. (2010) identified that the muscle fiber hypertrophy, oxidative capacity, and glycolytic phenotype of myostatin-deficient muscle can be altered with endurance exercise regimes. The authors observed that cross-sectional area of hypertrophic myofibers from myostatin KO mice decreased toward wild-type values in response to exercise. Anyway, the training regime in terms of swim training and wheel running increased muscle force in myostatin KO mice (Matsakas et al. 2012). In our study, a possible explanation for the missing additive effect of siRNA treatment on CSA when combined with training might be a self-protection mechanism to protect the muscle against too strong hypertrophy. Such a self-protection mechanism was already discussed in our observations with exercising rats treated with methandienone (Mosler et al. 2012). It is presumable that muscle hypertrophy can achieve only a distinct level when myostatin inhibition is combined with training to do not impair exercise performance. However, muscle performance such as grip strength or exercise performance was not examined in the context of this study. This issue might be worth to investigate in future.

Similar to Mstn siRNA, exercise also reduced Mstn expression, however, without entailing “typical” myostatin blockade effects, such as increased muscle mass, loss in fat, or changes in Pax7/MyoD transcription. In agreement,
Figure 5. Effect of myostatin inhibition (after myostatin knockout [Mstn<sup>-/-</sup>], AAV-mediated overexpression of myostatin propeptide [AAV Prop] and siRNA-mediated Mstn knockdown) on myostatin propeptide mRNA levels and serum protein levels. (A) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of Mstn mRNA levels in Mstn<sup>-/-</sup> extensor digitorum longus (EDL) and soleus muscles (primers targeting exons 2/3). n = 5 per group, *P < 0.05 (B) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of myostatin propeptide mRNA levels in Mstn<sup>-/-</sup> muscle (primers targeting exons 1/2). n = 5 per group (C) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of Mstn mRNA levels in AAV Propeptide treated tibialis anterior (TA) muscle (primers targeting exons 2/3). n = 6 per group *P < 0.05 (D) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of myostatin propeptide mRNA levels in AAV Propeptide treated TA muscle (primers targeting exon 1/2). n = 6 per group, *P < 0.05 (E) Immuno-PCR analysis to determine serum concentration of myostatin propeptide (MYOPRO) from Mstn<sup>-/-</sup> mice. n = 5 per group, *P < 0.05. (F) Immuno-PCR analysis to determine serum concentration of MYOPRO following siRNA-mediated Mstn knockdown ± exercise. n = 7 per group, *P < 0.05 significantly different from control group. C = control group, T = training group, si = treatment with siRNA, siT = training + siRNA. KO = Mstn<sup>-/-</sup> mice, WT = wild-type mice. Values are presented as means ± SD.
ample human and animal studies revealed that training downregulated  
Mstn mRNA contents in skeletal muscle (Roth et al. 2003; Matsakas et al. 2005; Mosler et al. 2012). Such reduced 
Mstn expression following exercise likely caused decreased serum myostatin propeptide levels. Reduced propeptide levels occurred also from 
Mstn knockdown in Mstn<sup>−/−</sup> mice as well as following treatment with Mstn siRNA. However, this must result from a 
different molecular mechanism, likely at posttranslational level, as transcription of the propeptide itself remained unchanged, an issue to be resolved in future work. 
The effect of Mstn knockdown on follistatin expression was intriguing because follistatin transcription in skeletal muscle increased but serum follistatin protein decreased. As we cannot offer experimental insight into this apparent discrepancy, it is important to keep in mind, that different follistatin isoforms result from alternative splicing, giving rise to species that remain local or which are soluble (Inouye et al. 1992; Schneyer et al. 2004; Matsakas et al. 2005). The striking differences between muscle follistatin mRNA levels and serum follistatin protein levels following Mstn knockdown strongly suggests changes in alternative splicing of follistatin leading to higher local and lower soluble follistatin, a hypothesis that warrants further investigations. It remains to be determined whether myostatin blockade affects alternative splicing of follistatin. Such hypothesis offers an attractive explanation for the different effects of exercise, Mstn knockdown or the combination of both on serum follistatin. However, it is also possible that the differences between follistatin mRNA expression and protein expression in serum reflect any number of posttranslational differences in follistatin expression (including shifts in translational efficiency as well as follistatin degradation/stability).

In contrast to herein described results, previous studies did not reveal changes in serum myostatin propeptide and serum follistatin following physical training (Diel et al. 2010; Mosler et al. 2012). However, in these studies we analyzed the impact of endurance and strength training in male human subjects (Diel et al. 2010) and male rats after a 3-week treadmill training (Mosler et al. 2012), but not the effects in females. As we here show that serum myostatin propeptide and serum follistatin concentrations were decreased after the 4-week treadmill training in female mice (Figs. 5F and 6D; Table 3), a gender-specific response to training in the analyzed serum markers seems to be possible. Indeed, in a previous study in humans, we detected gender differences in myostatin propeptide and follistatin concentrations (Mosler et al. 2013). Also McMahon et al. (2003) identified differences in myostatin serum concentration between males and females.

Synthetic antisense oligonucleotide chemistries are easy to synthesize and have already been tested in humans

---

Figure 6. Effect of Mstn knockdown and training on follistatin mRNA expression of gastrocnemius muscle and serum protein level. (A) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of follistatin mRNA levels in Mstn<sup>−/−</sup> muscle. n = 5 per group, *<i>P</i> < 0.05 significantly different from WT. (B) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of follistatin mRNA levels following siRNA-mediated Mstn knockdown ± exercise. n = 7 per group, *<i>P</i> < 0.05 significantly different from control group. (C) Immuno-PCR analysis to determine serum concentration of follistatin from Mstn<sup>−/−</sup> mice. n = 5 per group, *<i>P</i> < 0.05 significantly different from WT. (D) Immuno-PCR analysis to determine serum concentration of follistatin following siRNA-mediated Mstn knockdown ± exercise. n = 7 per group, *<i>P</i> < 0.05 significantly different from control group. C = control group, T = training group, si = treatment with siRNA, siT = training + siRNA. KO = Mstn<sup>−/−</sup> mice, WT = wild-type mice. Values are presented as means ± SD.
Effects of siRNA-Induced Myostatin Inhibition and Exercise

during clinical trials such as to induce exon skipping in Duchenne muscular dystrophy (Cirak et al. 2011; Goe-mans et al. 2011). We here show, supported by previous findings, that siRNA against myostatin can modulate skeletal muscle properties. This may, however, encourage misuse of Mstn knockdown strategies such as for doping purpose, and therefore requires development of novel detection methods. Importantly, such detection must be specific and independent of muscle activity and trained status. We here show that combination of different serum markers permit a specific signature of Mstn knockdown (decrease in serum follistatin, propeptide, and leptin). In Table 3, we summarize finding on serum measurements of follistatin, myostatin propeptide, and leptin (values are depicted in Figs. 4B and C, 5E and F, 6C and D). Importantly, we show that exercise mimicked Mstn knockdown in some respects (decrease in serum follistatin and propeptide), but not in others (unchanged serum leptin), whereas combination of exercise and Mstn knockdown was again different (increased serum follistatin, decreased propeptide and leptin). In conclusion, use of the three serum markers follistatin, myostatin propeptide, and leptin possibly enables to differentiate four conditions: (1) sedentary condition, (2) sedentary condition in combination with siRNA-Mstn knockdown, (3) exercise, and (4) exercise in combination with Mstn knockdown. However, the observed profile may be specific to the type and volume of exercise as well as the dose of MSTN inhibitor used in this study. Further, the profile also may be different in humans. At this time, such a serum marker profile might be a first approach for detection of indirect myostatin manipulations by siRNA.

It has previously been described that Mstn knockout improves serum lipid levels and liver triglycerides (McPherron and Lee 2002; Guo et al. 2009; McPherron 2010). Here, we support these findings and show that siRNA-mediated Mstn knockdown also decreased serum and liver triglycerides (Table 2). Additionally, exercise training also resulted in decreased serum triglycerides, which is in line with results obtained in humans (Martin et al. 1997). However, when combined with siRNA treatment, the training effect was reversed. Interestingly, in the liver, exercise training remarkably increased triglyceride concentrations (Table 2), supporting recent results by Cambri et al. (2011). The authors presume that the release of free fatty acids by lipolysis exceeds the oxidation capacity of active muscles during prolonged physical exercise (Magkos et al. 2007); in consequence the remaining free fatty acids can be re-esterified in the liver (Magkos et al. 2007; Cambri et al. 2011). The significant increase in serum HDL following siRNA-Mstn treatment and exercise is a further beneficial effect of lipid metabolism and support previous findings on the effect of exercise training (Haskell 1984).

In summary, our data show that the siRNA-mediated Mstn knockdown is an efficient myostatin blockade strategy. Especially in combination with physical training, myostatin blockade may offer new therapeutic options as well as has implications for antidoping research. Mstn knockdown also caused metabolic changes as indicated by the decreased visceral fat content, decreased serum leptin levels alongside with improved serum lipid status. This supports the use of myostatin blockade for the treatment of metabolic diseases such as type II diabetes or for obesity.
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