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Abstract

discoursegraphs is a Python-based converter for linguistic annotation formats which facilitates the combination of several, heterogeneous layers of annotation of a document into a unified graph representation. The library supports a range of syntax and discourse-related formats and was successfully used to revise and merge a multilayered corpus (Stede and Neumann, 2014).

1 Introduction

In an ideal world, we would like to have an easy-to-use annotation tool that supports a wide range of annotation tasks, uses a standard-compliant interchange format and which can be easily extended – in a novice friendly programming language. While there has arguably been progress in the field of general-purpose annotation software in recent years (e.g. brat (Stenetorp et al., 2012) and WebAnno (Yimam et al., 2013)), hierarchical and higher order annotation remains the domain of specialised programs (e.g. RSTTool (O’Donnell, 2000) and MMAX2 (Müller and Strube, 2006)) using idiosyncratic file formats, written and last maintained by brave colleagues in the dark ages of computer history.

To honor the contributions of these fellow minds, I have implemented a simple and easily extendable toolkit called discoursegraphs, which can convert a number of syntax and discourse-related annotation formats and is able to merge these annotations into a single graph for further exploration or transformation into other, more sustainable formats. The library is free and open-source software and is available from its repository. It can also be installed directly via Python’s pip package manager.

2 Related Work

There are numerous converters for linguistic annotations, but they usually only convert between a limited set of file formats and are geared towards specific projects or focus on one type of annotation (e.g. treetools for Treebank formats). To the best of my knowledge, there’s only one other off-the-shelf converter that supports merging heterogeneous annotations into a unified data structure: SaltNPepper (Zipser et al., 2010; Zipser et al., 2014). Despite its wide range of import and export formats (and its recent addition of merging capabilities), I chose to write my own toolkit for the sake of simplicity and maintainability.

3 System Architecture

discoursegraphs is implemented in Python 2.7 and uses the NetworkX library (Hagberg et al., 2008) to represent annotated documents as graphs.

DisourseDocumentGraph is the fundamental data structure of the library. It is a directed graph with (possibly) multiple edges between nodes. Each token in a document is represented by a node with token-level features (e.g. part-of-speech tag and lemma) encoded as attribute-value pairs.

All nodes and edges belong to at least one annotation layer (with possible sub-layers, e.g. ‘syntax’ vs. ‘syntax:category’, ‘syntax:token’ or

1https://github.com/arne-cl/discoursegraphs
2https://pypi.pypa.io
3https://github.com/wmaier/treetools
4SaltNPepper is a versatile, mature library – there’s even an annotation tool based on it (Druskat et al., 2014) – but it is also rather heavy-weight. The core of SaltNPepper (not including importers and exporters) already consists of roughly 60,000 lines of Java, while discoursegraphs’ core consists of only 750 lines of Python.
they avoid ambiguity – especially when working with unknown corpora or when multiple tools have to work on the same dataset, cf. Neumann et al. (2013).

3.1 Importers
discoursegraphs includes importers for the following tools and formats: (i) constituent and dependency structures: Tiger-XML (Mengel and Lezius, 2000), Penn Treebank (Prasad et al., 2008) and CoNLL 2009/2010 (Hajič et al., 2009; Farkas et al., 2010), (ii) rhetorical structure: RSTTool’s (O’Donnell, 2000) rs3 and rst/dis formats, (iii) pointing relations (e.g. coreference, connectives): MMAX2 (Müller and Strube, 2006) and ConAno (Stede and Heintze, 2004), and (iv) annotations of spans of text: EXMARaLDA (Schmidt, 2004).

Additional importers can easily be implemented by parsing an input format (e.g. with lxml6) and adding its tokens as nodes to a DiscourseDocumentGraph. Afterwards, annotation nodes and edges can be added. To simplify the development of complex converters, you can add annotations iteratively and use the library’s visualisation and document statistics functions (cf. Section 4) to check if the resulting graph matches your expectations.

3.2 Exporters
The library also provides a number of exporters for (i) general purpose graph formats like dot (Ellson et al., 2002), GEFX7, GML8 and GraphML (Brandes et al., 2013), (ii) the linguistic interchange formats CoNLL 2009 and PAULA XML 1.1 (Zeldes et al., 2013), (iii) the neo4j graph database9 – both regular export via the geoff format, as well as live upload of annotated graphs to a running neo4j instance, and (iv) EXMARaLDA’s exb format.

4 Usage
The API of the library has been kept deliberately simple. These five lines are all it takes to parse a document with two different annotation layers (syntax and rhetorical structure) into document graphs, merge them and convert them into a format that can be read by neo4j:

6http://lxml.de/
7http://gexf.net/format/
8http://www.fim.uni-passau.de/en/fim/faculty/chairs/theoretische-informatik/projects.html
9http://neo4j.com/
import discoursegraphs as dg

docgraph = dg.read_tiger('in.xml')

rstgraph = dg.read_rs3('in.rs3')
docgraph.merge_graphs(rstgraph)
dg.write_geoff(docgraph, 'out.geoff')

Document conversion and annotation merging is also available via a command-line interface. Beyond merging, the API provides functions for basic document statistics and graph visualisations (using the browser-based IPython (Pérez and Granger, 2007) notebook\textsuperscript{10} and its dot plugin\textsuperscript{11}).

documentgraphs provides functions to select nodes and edges based on their properties (e.g. membership in a layer, edge type, annotations etc.). Combined with the graph manipulation capabilities of NetworkX, this e.g. allows the user to extract meaningful substructures from multi-level annotated documents or to create trees that combine syntactic and discourse information for kernel-based machine learning, as in Joty and Moschitti (2014).

5 Future Work

I plan to extend documentgraphs with im- and exporters for further interchange formats, i.e. GrAF (Ide and Suderman, 2007), FoLiA (van Gompel and Reynaert, 2013) and especially Salt (Zipser et al., 2010), in order to leverage Salt\textsuperscript{\textregistered}Pepper’s broader variety of supported formats, which would in turn also allow users to use merged corpora in the ANNIS linguistic query and visualisation tool (Krause and Zeldes, 2014).
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