Unraveling the binding microprocess of individual Streptococcus mutans cells via sucrose-dependent adhesion based on surface pluron resonance imaging
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ABSTRACT
The initial microprocess of a major cariogenic bacterium Streptococcus mutans forming biofilm at a single-cell level via sucrose-dependent adhesion has not been observed because the cells’ high moisture content caused measurement challenges. To develop a high-sensitivity biosensor chip and a real-time, label-free method to observe bioactive molecule interactions with single cells from oral biofilms. We made the chips of immobilized bacteria by micronano-processing. A surface plasmon resonance imaging (SPRI) system was used to detect and record the association and dissociation microprocess of S. mutans with sucrose/dextran solutions of various concentrations, and the calculus model was adopted to treat the data. At the location of S. mutans, a unique ‘comet-tail’ SPRI signal was observed. The binding patterns of S. mutans differed between individual cells exposed to the same solution as well as between sucrose and dextran. The different cells exhibited different affinities with dissociation constants for sucrose being $5.697 \times 10^{-3}$ to $3.689 \times 10^{-2}$ and for dextran $1.235 \times 10^{-3}$ to $1.282 \times 10^{-2}$, indicating cell-to-cell heterogeneity. Our SPRI detection method is effective in investigating microbial binding, initial biofilm formation, and oral microbiology. It offers new possibilities for studying oral microorganism characteristics and development of oral diseases.
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INTRODUCTION
Dental caries is the most common oral disease caused by dental plaque biofilm [1]. Streptococcus mutans is a major cariogenic bacterium that colonizes the tooth surface via sucrose-dependent and sucrose-independent adhesion [2,3]. Sucrose is an essential source of extracellular polysaccharides in cariogenic dental plaque biofilm [4]. The mechanism of sucrose-dependent adhesion in Mutans streptococci, including S. mutans, involves several glucan-binding proteins, comprising glucosyltransferases (GTFs) and non-enzymatic glucan-binding proteins (GBP) [5]. With the help of these proteins, S. mutans affects bacterial aggregation, adhesion, and extracellular matrix production to influence dental plaque biofilm formation/structure and cariogenicity [6–9]. Therefore, continuous observation of sucrose-dependent adhesion and initial biofilm formation by S. mutans is essential in studying dental plaque biofilms and pathogenicity.

Biofilm is an aggregate of microorganisms and extracellular matrix adhering to living or non-living surfaces [10]. It is widely present on biotic and abiotic surfaces in natural, industrial, and medical environments [11,12]. Biofilm formation can be divided into five stages: reversible adhesion, irreversible adhesion, microcolony formation, biofilm maturity, and dissemination [13,14]. The transition from weak and reversible to irreversible bacteria-surface adhesion involves complex physical, chemical, and biological processes [15,16], starting with the adhesion of individual cells, which is critical for biofilm formation, but remains poorly understood [17].

Chemical, microscopic, and biological methods have been developed to study bacterial adhesion [18], but most approaches are based on bulk cell analysis. The heterogeneity of individual bacterial cells has not been considered, nor have the microprocesses that drive initial cell adhesion been measured. Methods such as atomic force microscopy and Optical tweezers are currently
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available to study bacterial adhesion at the single-cell level [19–22]. However, they measure one cell at a time, with limited throughput. Moreover, exerting external forces on cells, they interfere with the adhesion performance [23]. Unlike such conventional optical methods as infrared spectroscopy and fluorescence assay, surface plasmon resonance imaging (SPRI) operates with stable optical signals, low biological toxicity, and high interference resistance. SPRI is designed to study the life phenomenon of single cells by using a micro-nano processed chip and microfluidic technology, and the calculus model ensures that the data obtained are of single-cell level [24]. Conventional SPR has been employed to detect *Escherichia coli* and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* via the interactions of bacteriophage elements with bacterial cells [25], and SPRI employed to study the interactions of individual *E. coli* cells with antibodies and to analyze the bacterial heterogeneity of these interactions [26]. However, SPRI has not been reported in the study of sucrose-dependent adhesion of *S. mutans* at the level of individual cells.

In this study, we used SPRI to analyze the binding microprocesses of *S. mutans* to sucrose and dextran at the single-cell level. We sought to further understand the sucrose-dependent adhesion of *S. mutans* and the variability among individual cells from the same strain of bacteria. These results provide a crucial foundation for the further study of oral microorganisms and their activity, the biochemical features and formation of dental plaque biofilm, the occurrence and development of dental caries, and oral microecology.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials**

**Experimental materials**

The *S. mutans* type strain UA159 was from the State Key Laboratory of Oral Diseases, Sichuan University, China. Brain heart infusion broth, poly-L-lysine (PLL) solution, and bovine serum albumin (BSA) were purchased from Solarbio, Beijing, China, sucrose from Sinopharm (Beijing, China), dextran T2000 from BioLanxu, Hefei, China, acetone and anhydrous ethanol from Chongqing Chuandong Chemical Co. Ltd. (Chongqing, China). Sucrose and dextran were diluted with phosphate-buffered solution (PBS) for later use to the desired concentrations (For more details, see Section 2.2.2).

**Instruments**

Based on the Kretschmann-Raether configuration [27], the SPRI system consists of an inverted microscope (Nikon Ti-E, Japan) equipped with a 60 x oil immersion objective with a high numerical aperture (1.49). The sensor chip was a 22 × 22 mm coverslip coated with 2-nm chromium and 47-nm gold. Oil with a matching refractive index was applied between the sensor chip and the objective lens. A 680-nm super-luminescent diode (QPhotonics, Ann Arbor, MI) was used to excite SPR, and images were recorded using a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (Pike-032B, Allied Vision Technologies, Germany). A microchannel (12 × 2 × 1 mm) of polydimethylsiloxane attached to the chip surface allowed the buffer and sample solution to flow across the chip surface. A syringe pump (LSP01, Longer, Baoding, China) was used to deliver the sample solution to the chip surface at 200 μL/min.

**Experimental methods**

**Bacterial culture**

Lyophilized *S. mutans* cells were activated anaerobically in brain heart infusion broth for 6 h (37°C, 85% N₂, 10% H₂, 5% CO₂) and collected by centrifugation at 3,500 g for 15 min at 25°C. The supernatant was discarded, and the cells were washed with phosphate-buffered solution (PBS) three times and then resuspended in PBS at 1 × 10⁶ colony-forming unit (CFU) mL⁻¹.

**Bacterial immobilization and binding**

The gold chip was ultrasonically cleaned sequentially with acetone, absolute ethanol, and deionized water for 10 min each, rinsed three times with deionized water, and dried with nitrogen gas. Then the chip surface was immersed in 100 μg/mL PLL solution for 24 h, rinsed with ultrapure water, and dried under nitrogen. The microchannel was attached to the chip surface, and the bacterial broth was then added and allowed to stand for 1–2 h. The bacterial cells settled to the chip surface, where they were adsorbed by L-lysine. Free cells were removed by rinsing with PBS, and the chip surface was blocked by perfusion with a 5 mg/mL BSA solution on the gold chip surface, followed by incubation for 1 h. PBS was then applied to the chip surface at 200 μL/min, followed by sucrose or dextran T2000 solutions of various concentrations – 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 mg mL⁻¹ for sucrose, and 0.01, 0.02, and 0.1 mg mL⁻¹ for dextran T2000 – at the same flow rate. The association of *S. mutans* was then observed in real time. For dissociation, PBS was delivered to the chip surface at the same flow rate. For sucrose, the operations of the association stage lasted 200 s, and the operations of dissociation lasted 90s. For dextran T2000 the time was 280 s and 180 s, respectively. The process of dissociation was observed after the association process reached equilibrium. The procedures described above were performed on different chips, and each chip with bacteria was used only once to eliminate possible residual interference.
The experiment was performed 4–6 times for each concentration.

**Image and data processing methods**

**Theories and models of image data processing**

The interaction between individual cells and attachment surface can be regarded as physisorption/chemisorption of binding of ligand B to receptor A [28]. Assuming that the force field is uniform on the binding surface, receptor A and ligand B bind to the monolayer, the binding can be described by Equation (1):

\[ A + B ⇌ \frac{k_a}{k_d} AB \]  

The equation for the production rate of complex AB is

\[ \frac{dC_{AB}}{dt} = k_a C_A C_B - k_d C_{AB} \]  

When bacteria adhere to a surface, the binding causes interfacial energy and mass change, and vibration on the surfaces [16,29]. Such a binding signal can be captured by an SPR sensor chip. In this study, we regarded *S. mutans* as receptor A and sucrose/dextran as ligand B. The concentration (C_AB) of complex AB at time t is represented by response R, which is R_{max} when the sensor chip surface is saturated with ligand B. According to Equation (1), the maximum concentration of complex AB formed on the sensor chip surface is R_{max}, and the concentration of A on the sensor chip surface (C_A) is R_{max} - R. The concentration of ligand B is c, which is continuously injected by a terufusion syringe pump. The net rate of complex AB production can be expressed as

\[ \frac{dR}{dt} = k_a R_{max} c - (k_a c + k_d) R \]  

where \( k_a \) is the association rate constant and \( k_d \) is the dissociation rate constant. The initial time of complex AB formation is taken as the starting time (t_0 = 0), and the baseline response value is set at zero (R_0 = 0). Equation (3) can be integrated to obtain the SPR response R at time t:

\[ R = \frac{k_a c R_{max}}{k_a c + k_d} \left(1 - e^{-k_d t}\right) \]  

where \( k_{ab} = k_a + k_d \), \( \frac{k_a c R_{max}}{k_a c + k_d} \) is replaced by \( R_{eq} \) (response at equilibrium), and Equation (4) is rearranged to

\[ R = R_{eq} \left(1 - e^{-k_{ab} t}\right) \]  

When the binding reaches equilibrium, the association rate equals the dissociation rate, and the SPR response R remains constant over time.

\[ \frac{dR}{dt} = k_a (R_{max} - R) c - k_d R = 0 \]  

which is rearranged to \( \frac{R}{(R_{max} - R)} = \frac{k_d}{k_a} \). The dissociation constant is defined as

\[ K_D = \frac{k_d}{k_a} \]  

For the dissociation of complex AB, we assumed that receptor A does not detach from the surface and float into the liquid phase, and therefore its concentration (R_{max} - R) is zero, and Equation (3) is simplified to

\[ \frac{dR}{dt} = -k_d R \]  

The integral form is

\[ R = R_{eq} e^{-k_d (t_2 - t_1)} \]  

where \( t_1 \) is the starting time of dissociation or the time of SPR response at equilibrium \( (R_{eq}) \), and \( R \) is SPR response at \( t_2 \) \( (t_2 > t_1) \). According to the data obtained by real-time monitoring with SPR and Equations (5), (9), and (7), the kinetic parameters \( k_a, k_d, \) and \( K_D \) can be obtained.

**Image acquisition and data processing**

SPR images were acquired through a CCD camera at 6.06 frames per s and analyzed using the image processing software 'Fiji', which is based on ImageJ, to obtain the light intensity of the regions of interest (ROI) on the chip surface. During the experiment, the size of ROI was fixed at 10 pixel × 20 pixel and remained the same throughout the experiment. The single-frame image of the first PBS application was considered as negative control, and used as the reference signal. Meanwhile, the light intensity of the cell-free region (background) was used as blank control. The principle of the selection of ROI was based on published research. Within the signal region generated by the fixed bacteria, the selected ROI was located in the region with the most even distribution of SPR intensity within the 'comet tail' shape caused by bacteria, and ROI of the same size was selected from the background area. Then the data were treated with the calculus model method that defined the time and space differential elements, and the data of the control groups were subtracted before the association kinetic analysis was performed [30,31]. By fitting the SPR real-time detection signal of a single bacterium, the kinetic parameters \( k_a, k_d, \) and \( K_D \) were calculated with formulas (5), (9), and (7) in section 2.3.1. The fitting curve of the bacterial SPR detection signal was obtained with the Origin 9.0 software (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, MA).
Results

SPRI of S. mutans

SPRI is the SPR microimaging method (SPR microscopy), which sends a parallel single wavelength beam at a certain angle on a micro-nano metal chip containing a sample of bacteria to be tested, and receives fully reflected light on the other side of the prism (Figure 1a). By monitoring the characteristic parameters of the reflected light, the properties of the chip’s metal film and samples and the interaction between them are characterized. In this study, the association and dissociation of S. mutans with sucrose and dextran altered the mass on the chip surface, as well as the refractive index and reflected light intensity at the bacterial location. The images and changes in light intensity were collected with the CCD camera to determine the association and dissociation of individual S. mutans and the intrinsic properties of S. mutans adhesion.

The incident light angle was adjusted prior to each experiment to maximize the contrast of the captured image and was fixed throughout the experiment. S. mutans cells were immobilized on the chip surface via PLL, free cells were washed away with PBS, and the chip surface was blocked with a 5 mg/mL BSA solution to avoid non-specific binding. Each S. mutans cell immobilized on the chip surface forms a unique ‘comet tail’ shape in SPR images (Figure 1c) which corresponds to the position of the bacteria in the bright-field image (Figure 1b). This tail shape is generated by the plasmon waves scattered by the bacteria immobilized on the chip surface when SPRI is performed and is not intrinsic to S. mutans [32]. This unique shape enables bacterial cells to be distinguished from the interference patterns and other spatial background noises generated by the device. The ‘comet tails’ of the bacteria recorded by SPRI fluctuated when PBS flowed through the chip surface before association (Figure 1c). For plasmonic image processing, the first frame of PBS flowing across the chip was used as the reference signal, which was subtracted to obtain images showing signal changes over time. From these plasmonic images, often referred to as time-differential images, information regarding binding kinetics can be extracted.

Association and dissociation of individual S. mutans cells

The chip containing immobilized S. mutans was placed on the SPRI device to investigate the binding of sucrose and dextran to individual S. mutans cells, including the entire process of association and dissociation. To observe the association process, PBS was allowed to flow across the chip surface, followed by solutions with different concentrations of sucrose/dextran at the same rate until the association reached equilibrium. Then, PBS was again allowed to flow across the chip surface to observe the dissociation of individual bacteria with sucrose/dextran. Representative images of the entire process of sucrose association and dissociation by S. mutans are shown in Figure 2. The position of S. mutans (Figure 2a) is visualized by the ‘comet tail’ signal generated with SPRI (Figure 2b). When the sucrose solution was applied across the chip surface, the light intensity of the ‘comet tail’ gradually increased (Figure 2c-d), indicating an increase in association of sucrose with the individual bacteria. Additionally, these changes in light intensity differed between cells, of which the specific values of the change of light intensity (Δ SPRI intensity) was obtained by image processing software. As the four representing light intensity change curves show in Figure 3a, the light intensity variation curves are different, suggesting cell-to-cell variability in sucrose association. When PBS was applied to rinse the
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sucrose solution, the light intensity of the ‘comet tail’ gradually decreased (Figure 2e-f), indicating dissociation of sucrose from the individual bacteria cells.

**Kinetics of sucrose and dextran binding to S. mutans**

We then generated sensorgrams to visualize changes in light intensity throughout the sucrose association and dissociation process, and found a clear trend of sucrose/dextran association and dissociation with *S. mutans*, confirming that SPRI is sensitive enough to detect these microprocesses. Interestingly, the binding patterns differed between individual cells exposed to the same solution as well as between the two substances. The equilibrium of sucrose binding was achieved in a shorter period of time, although the signal fluctuation was more obvious than that of dextran T2000. These variations in signal fluctuation correspond to differences in the strength of association at the microscopic level.

To characterize the unique binding responses in individual *S. mutans* cells, we developed mathematical models to obtain the association rate constant ($k_a$),

---

**Figure 2.** Time-differential plasmonic images of the binding process of two *S. mutans* cells to 1.0 mg/L sucrose. (a) A representative bright-field image. (b) The background image of *S. mutans* with the flow of PBS corresponding to the same cells as in (a). Time-differential plasmonic images captured during the association process at an earlier (c) and later (d) time point. Time-differential plasmonic images captured during the dissociation process at an earlier (e) and later (f) time point.

**Figure 3.** Sensorgrams of single bacterial cells exposed to 0.1 mg/mL sucrose (a) and 0.02 mg/L dextran (b) solutions, and the fitting curves of the bacterial SPRI signals according to formulas (5) and (9) in Section 2.3.1.
dissociation rate constant \((k_d)\), and dissociation constant \((K_D)\) of each cell. The kinetic constants of sucrose and dextran varied among individual cells from the same \(S.\ mutans\) strain, with some cells differing by an entire order of magnitude in \(K_D\), showing different strengths of binding and microscopic stability (Table 1). Overall, \(S.\ mutans\) demonstrated a lower dissociation constant for dextran T2000 than for sucrose. This is consistent with the patterns observed in the sensorgrams (Figure 3): the greater the dissociation, the greater the fluctuations. This heterogeneity in binding kinetics among individual bacteria cells is consistent with reports of specific and non-specific binding of \(E.\ coli\) to antibodies [26,33].

To further understand this heterogeneity, we calculated the \(k_a\) and \(K_D\) in a greater number of individual \(S.\ mutans\) cells (Figure 4). The \(k_a\) and \(K_D\) of \(S.\ mutans\) with sucrose and dextran exposure varied greatly among individual cells, suggesting there is natural phenotypic diversity of individual cells in a bacterial population. Most of the \(K_D\) of sucrose were lower than 1.5 M, and the overwhelming majority \(K_D\) of dextran were lower than 0.4 M, indicating that homologous strains may also share common characteristics.

Discussion

In this study, we observed the whole process of association and dissociation of \(S.\ mutans\) with sucrose and dextran T2000 at the single-cell level. When PBS flowed through the chip surface before association, the 'comet tail' of bacteria recorded by SPRI fluctuated with time partly because association of bacteria with PLL is dominated by electrostatic force and is reversible [34]. Even if there is no association and dissociation with external substances, basic metabolic activities and nanomotions can still be observed with SPRI, as was the case in studying the biomacromolecular interactions and biochemical analyses [35], including DNA-DNA/RNA, DNA-protein, and protein-sugar interactions [36,37].

Methodologically speaking, the SPRI optical path observes the mass change on the chip surface in the thickness of 0–300 nm, a range too small to be sensitive to the whole process of bacteria swallowing other molecules into themselves and metabolizing. In addition, the time taken to reach the equilibrium state was very short (within 200 s), and the metabolism and matrix synthesis processes related to sucrose/dextran would only take place after the recognition.

Table 1. Kinetics of individual \(S.\ mutans\) cells.

| Solution | Serial number of bacteria | Association rate constant \(k_a\) \((M^{-1} s^{-1})\) | Dissociation rate constant \(k_d\) \((s^{-1})\) | Dissociation constant \(K_D\) \((M)\) |
|-----------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Sucrose   | 1                         | 0.3825               | \(6.090 \times 10^{-3}\) | \(1.592 \times 10^{-3}\) |
|           | 2                         | 0.1662               | \(2.540 \times 10^{-2}\) | \(15.282 \times 10^{-3}\) |
|           | 3                         | 0.2809               | \(8.260 \times 10^{-3}\) | \(2.941 \times 10^{-2}\) |
|           | 4                         | 0.4412               | \(6.630 \times 10^{-3}\) | \(1.503 \times 10^{-2}\) |
| Dextran   | T2000                     | 1                    | 0.7465               | \(1.649 \times 10^{-2}\) |
|           |                           | 2                    | 1.0715               | \(1.503 \times 10^{-2}\) |
|           |                           | 3                    | 0.9715               | \(1.200 \times 10^{-3}\) |
|           |                           | 4                    | 0.4930               | \(2.250 \times 10^{-3}\) |

Figure 4. Distribution of the association rate constant \((k_a)\) and dissociation constant \((K_D)\) for individual bacteria cells \((n = 27)\).
of the molecule, that is, after the microprocess of binding and recognition. Therefore, it seems that uptake and metabolism could not be completed below 200 s in Gram-positive bacteria with thick cell walls. In addition, basic metabolic activities that are not related to sucrose/dextran are presented in the negative control. In this case, the data of SPRI mainly represented the microprocess of S. mutans binding with sucrose/dextran, rather than the metabolism.

Changes in light intensity corresponding to binding differed with exposure to different substances. Sucrose has a shorter binding equilibrium time and a greater fluctuation (Figure 3). The sensorgrams showed that the association of dextran with S. mutans was stronger than that of sucrose at the microscopic level. This is perhaps because sucrose has a smaller molecular weight, while dextran T2000 contains more glucose repeat units with more association sites and thus has a tighter association with glucan-binding proteins (GBP) and a longer binding equilibrium time. This mirrors the structural and biochemical characteristics of GBP on the surface of mutans streptococci including S. mutans [38]. The mechanism of sucrose-dependent adhesion is of great significance in the process of dental plaque biofilm formation. This process involves several glucan-binding proteins, including GTFs and non-enzymatic GBP. GTFs synthesize glucans from sucrose, and free GTFs can attach to the acquired salivary pellicle on the enamel surface to synthesize glucans in situ, providing binding sites for a variety of microorganisms. GTFs can also bind to other oral microorganisms to convert them into glucan producers, facilitating their colonization of biofilm. GBP can affect adhesion strength and biofilm structure and confer a colonization advantage in the presence of sucrose [2,39,40]. As previous studies have shown, different bacteria strains express these proteins at different levels, while the adhesion force of S. mutans were consistent with the severity of caries in vivo [41].

Differing from cell to cell, the dissociation constants of S. mutans of sucrose and dextran that we obtained were in the range of $5.697 \times 10^{-3}$–3.689 M and $1.235 \times 10^{-2}$–1.282 M respectively. The sensitivity of the SPRI technique to different substances is a good indication of its potential application in any bacteria that form biofilms. The unique responses we observed among individual cells may result from differences in the expression levels of GTFs and non-enzymatic GBP on the surface of S. mutans cells, or differences in the growth stages and the biochemical metabolic activities of individual bacteria. Regardless of the cause, these differences suggest the existence of intrinsic heterogeneity and phenotypic variability among individual cells in a bacterial population.

Conclusions

SPRI was used to study the binding kinetics of individual S. mutans cells to sucrose and dextran during sucrose-dependent adhesion. The results showed that SPRI can be used to monitor the kinetics of biological macromolecules at the single-cell level. The dissociation constants of S. mutans of sucrose and dextran were in the range of $5.697 \times 10^{-3}$–3.689 M and $1.235 \times 10^{-2}$–1.282 M, respectively. Our results revealed varied interactions of individual cells from a bacterial strain with a specific substance. This cell-to-cell variability provides a new perspective for understanding the formation of dental plaque biofilm and the prevalence of caries among individual patients. SPRI would be useful in investigations studying microbial binding within the oral cavity, biofilm formation in the human body, and oral micro-ecology. Our findings offer new possibilities for studying the occurrence and development of diseases, as well as the development of new therapies.
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