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Abstract

Background The primary aim of the retrospective study was to study whether the number of births in women (primipara, multipara and grand multipara women) the long term after deliveries has an impact on the development of Carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS).

Methods Our study population is composed of patients who are referred with suspicion of CTS. Four hundred and fifty female patients (150 primara, 150 multipara and 150 grand multipara women) referred to the electrophsiology laboratory with clinical suspicion CTS were included into the descriptive and retrospective study between November 2016 and June 2018. Primiparity, multiparity and grand multiparity were defined as women having 1, 2 – 5 and 6 - 9 deliveries, respectively. Patients who passed 2 years after their last birth were included in the study. All of the patients were assessed and compared in terms of electrophysiological CTS presence and degree of CTS. Also BMI was calculated for each patient and it compared among groups.

Results The disease has not been changed with the number of births (p > 0.05). The mean BMI of the primipara, multipara and grand multipara women were 28,06 ± 1,12 kg / m2, 27,59 ± 3,72 kg / m2 and 27,82 ± 3,11 kg / m2, respectively. There was no significant statistically difference in BMI among groups (p > 0.05). However, the severity of the disease varies according to BMI (p < 0.05). It was calculated that as the BMI increases, the severity of the disease increases.

Conclusions Number of pregnancies in women (primipara, multipara and grand multipara women) concerning the long term after deliveries has not impact on the development of CTS. Other risk factors such as BMI may play a significant role in the development of CTS in these patients.

Background

Carpel tunnel syndrome (CTS) is the most common peripheral neuropathy resulting from compression of the median nerve as it passes through the carpel tunnel in the wrist. This can result in various problems including pain, tingling, numbness, swelling, or weakness of the thumb, index, middle, and ring finger (1). Local, regional and systemic causes about CTS are known (2). Accordingly, body mass index (BMI) and pregnancy are important risk factors that play a role in the development of CTS (3 – 6).

Pregnancy related CTS (PRCTS) is not uncommon. However, the prevalence rates reported in the literature are quite different (1-60 %) (6 – 8). But concerning the long-term after deliveries there aren’t enough evidence on CTS.

The primary aim of the retrospective study was to study whether the number of births in women (primipara, multipara and grand multipara women) the long term after deliveries has an impact on the development of CTS.

Methods
The present study was carried out in accordance with the current Helsinki Convention of the World Medical Association. Approval of all of the patients was obtained to use their medical records in retrospective file scanning. Our study population is composed of patients who are referred with suspicion of CTS. A total of 1150 patients were evaluated. Four hundred and fifty female patients (150 primara, 150 multipara and 150 grand multipara women) referred to the electrophsiology laboratory with clinical suspicion CTS were included into the descriptive and retrospective study between November 2016 and June 2018. Demographic data included age, BMI and delivery number of the participants (Table 1). Also BMI was calculated for each patient and it compared among groups. Primiparity, multiparity and grand multiparity were defined as women having 1, 2 – 5 and 6 - 9 deliveries, respectively. Patients who passed 2 years after their last birth were included in the study. EMG results were obtained from the computer records and the electrophysiological reports on the CD of the participants evaluated by the researcher. Exclusion criteria in this study are cervical radiculopathy or plexopathy, hypertension, dyslipidemia, chronic renal failure, gout, wrist fracture, malignancy, patients receiving chemotherapy and or radiotherapy, those with clinical and electrophysiological polyneuropathy, those with rheumatologic and thyroid diseases, pregnant women, and those with severe upper extremity trauma history and or any other disease resulting in CTS. All of the patients were assessed and compared in terms of electrophysiological CTS presence and degree of CTS.

The Electrodiagnostic test (EDT) studies

Electrophysiological evaluation was done with Nihon Cohden (Tokyo, Japan) electromyograph (EMG). Both patient’s and room temperature were monitored so as not to affect the recording procedures, and the patient’s skin was cleaned with alcohol 70% to decrease its resistance. The study was carried out with surface electrodes, using standard nerve conduction techniques in accordance with the protocol proposed by the American Electrodiagnostic Medicine Association (9). Patients of whom the both upper extremities were examined included in the study, median and ulnar nerve conduction in one extremity was performed, while only median nerve conduction study in the other extremity was performed. The median motor nerve conduction was recorded using standard techniques through ulation of the surface of the abductor pollicis brevis muscle located in the center of the muscle and wrist and antecubital fossa. For median nerve (8 cm) stimulation the upper limit of the motor distal latency was 4 ms and the lower of the transmission rate was 50 m/sec. The sensory neurotransmission study was performed on the second finger and the mixed nerve conduction study was performed from the palm of the hand, recorded orthodromatically from the wrist. The distance between the recording and the stimulator was 12-14 cm, the upper limit of sensory neural action potential (DSAP) peak latency difference was 0.5 ms, and the median sensory nerve conduction velocity at the wrist level was 50 m/sec. In order to exclude cervical radiculopathy, upper extremity needle ENMG studies were conducted when needed. Patients were divided into three groups by electrophysiological evaluation as described in the literature (10, 11). CTS severities in primipara, multipara and grand multipara women were given in Table 2. (Table 2).

Statistical analyses
Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 20.0 (Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 20, IBM, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Data were presented as mean scores ± SD for categorical data. The variables age, parity and BMI were used in all the patients. Chi-square test, Kruskal-Wallis H test and Mann-Whitney U test was used for statistical analysis. Significance level was accepted as $p \leq 0.05$.

**Results**

Because there is not enough information with prevalence rates on different birth numbers, the number of 450 women is estimated to meet the standard epidemiological criteria in long term after delivery. The mean age of the primipara, multipara and grand multipara women were 52.55 (range 30 - 77) ± 10.14 S.D. years, 57.01 (range 32 - 79) ± 9.21 S.D. years and 55.48 (range 35 - 77) ± 8.57 S.D. years, respectively.

The mean BMI of the primipara, multipara and grand multipara women were 28.06 ± 1.12 kg / m$^2$, 27.59 ± 3.72 kg / m$^2$ and 27.82 ± 3.11 kg / m$^2$, respectively (Table 1). There was no significant difference, statistically in BMI among groups ($p > 0.05$). There was no significant difference, statistically in severity of the disease in aspect of birth numbers among groups ($P = 0.073$). Also there was no significant statistical difference between groups in terms of frequency of the disease ($p > 0.05$). The disease has not been changed with the number of births ($p > 0.05$). However, the severity of the disease varies according to BMI ($p < 0.05$). It was calculated that as the BMI increases, the severity of the disease increases. BMI was higher in grand multipara than other groups ($p < 0.05$). The cause why the disease was occurred higher in grand multipara was because of high BMI, not the number of births.

**Discussion**

Carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) is the entrapment of median nerve traveling through the carpal tunnel to the hand (12). The prevalence of CTS is given various differently in literature. In the United States, general prevalence is 2.7% (13). CTS is a clinical diagnosis based on the presence of the typical symptoms described above. There is no single gold standard test for the diagnosis of CTS. Compression of the median nerve leads to a slowed conduction velocity at the carpal tunnel due to dysfunction of the myelin sheath, which can be measured using EDT (14). The most accurate diagnostic method is electrodiagnostic tests conducted by a competent electromyographer, which has a sensitivity of 49 – 84% and specificity of 95% (12, 15, 16).

It is included in previous studies that the female population is more prone to CTS. It was postulated because of morphologic nature (17). The female / male ratio varies between 3:1 and 10:1 (13). It is not uncommon to be seen during pregnancy and it has a short and benign course. According to a recent systematic review by Padua et al., the prevalence of PRCTS based on clinical symptoms ranges between 31 and 62%, whereas electrophysiologically confirmed PRCTS ranges between 7 and 43% (7). Pregnancy increases the probability of relapse of CTS in the next pregnancy with higher intensity (13). Although the true cause of PRCTS is unknown, it is believed that the symptoms are caused by local oedema in the carpal tunnel due to hormonal changes (6, 18, 19, 20). Most CTS cases improve
spontaneously without treatment but only in half of women CTS symptoms disappeared one year after delivery (21). Follow up showed that CTS at 6 and 12 months post partum was reported by 10.9% and 4.3% of the women, respectively. On the other hand, there was no difference in the number of previous pregnancies between women with CTS and without CTS during pregnancy (P= 0.210) (22). Up until now, it is unknown how long the period lasts that a woman normally needs to recover from pregnancy and delivery (23). The fact is, there are no real data to address this problem.

Apart from a previous obstetric history, the study include demographic features, assessment of BMI index, live birtes number and electro-physiologic findings which are female patients referred with suspicion of CTS. The key strength of this large retrospective study is the approach to parity in long term after delivery. The study is unique in aspect of grand multiparity especially. It has not yet been reported to investigate CTS in grand-multiparity in literature. The data we obtained from this study support that number of pregnancies and delivery are not a risk factor for CTS development in long-term. Moreover, a significant correlation between a negative trend and BMI and CTS. Also our findings support the results of research reporting that CTS development risk is related to BMI and weight increase.

We consider it important for the postpartum period to provide pregnant women or patients with recurrent pregnancies with the knowledge that their doctor will pass their symptoms and provide relief, so that they do not cause stress.

**Conclusions**

This study suggest that number of pregnancies in women (primipara, multipara and grand multipara women) concerning the long term after deliveries has not impact on the development of CTS. Other risk factors such as BMI may play a significant role in the development of CTS in these patients.
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Tables

Table 1
Demographic data of the patients

| Primiparity, multiparity | grand multiparity | P value |
|--------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Mean age (range) ± SD (year) | 52,55 (30–77) ± 10,14 | 1457,01 (32–79) ± 9,21 | 55,48 (35–77) ± 8,57 | NS* |
| BMI ± SD ( kg / m² ) | 28,06 ± 1,12 | 27,59 ± 3,72 | 27,82 ± 3,11 | NS* |
| *NS: non significant |

Table 2
Comparison of CTS severity of the patients according to parity.

| Primiparity | Multiparity | Grandmultiparity | P value |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|---------|
| Normal | 125 ( % 83,33 ) | 121 ( % 80,67 ) | 111 ( % 74 ) | NS* |
| Mild | 15 ( % 10 ) | 14 ( % 9,33 ) | 14 ( % 9,33 ) | NS* |
| Moderate | 8 ( % 5,33 ) | 10 ( % 6,67 ) | 18 ( % 12 ) | NS* |
| Severe | 2 ( % 1,33 ) | 5 ( % 3,33 ) | 7 ( % 4,66 ) | NS* |
| *NS: non significant |
