Abstract  The use of contrast media for cardiac imaging becomes increasing as the widespread of cardiac CT and cardiac MR. A radiologist needs to carefully consider the indication and the injection protocol of contrast media to be used as well as the possibility of adverse effect. There are several guidelines for contrast media in western countries. However, these are focusing the adverse effect of contrast media. The Asian Society of Cardiovascular Imaging, the only society dedicated to cardiovascular imaging in Asia, formed a Working Group and created a guideline, which summarizes the integrated knowledge of contrast media for cardiac imaging. In cardiac imaging, coronary artery evaluation is feasible by non-contrast MR angiography, which can be an alternative examination in high risk patients for the use of iodine contrast media. Furthermore, the body habitus of Asian patients is usually smaller than that of their western counterparts. This necessitates modifications in the injection protocol and in the formula for calculation of estimated glomerular filtration rate. This guideline provided fundamental information for the use of contrast media for Asian patients in cardiac imaging.
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1 Introduction

As the use of contrast media in cardiac imaging is becoming more common, a radiologist needs to carefully consider the indication and the injection protocol of contrast media. This guideline provided fundamental information for the use of contrast media for Asian patients in cardiac imaging.
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protocol of contrast media to be used as well as the possibility of adverse effect. There are several guidelines focusing the adverse effect of contrast media [1, 2]. However, there is no guideline, which summarizes the integrated knowledge of contrast material for cardiac imaging, especially for patients of Asian origin. In cardiac imaging, coronary artery evaluation is feasible by non-contrast MR angiography, which can be an alternative examination in high risk patients for the use of iodine contrast media. Furthermore, the body habitus of Asian patients is usually smaller than that of their western counterparts. This necessitates modifications in the injection protocol and in the formula for calculation of estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) [3, 4]. The major purpose of this manual is to provide fundamental information for the use of contrast media for Asian patients in cardiac imaging.

For the usage of contrast material, the basic knowledge for contrast material injection is very important to obtain optimal contrast images. The knowledge for adverse reaction is inevitable for the safe patient care. Among several adverse reactions, contrast induced nephropathy (CIN) is almost specific to iodinated contrast media, while nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (NSF) is specific to gadolinium contrast media. On the other hands, adverse reactions other than CIN and NSF is similar in both iodinated and gadolinium contrast material. Thus, this guideline consists of four chapters; (1) general rule for contrast material injection, (2) adverse reaction of iodinated and gadolinium contrast material, (3) contrast induced nephropathy and (4) nephrogenic systemic fibrosis.

2 General rule for contrast material injection

2.1 Computed tomography contrast material

Contrast enhancement in a given patient is determined by 3 factors: contrast material flow rate (ml/s), contrast material volume (ml) and contrast material iodine concentration (mg/ml) [5]. The overall contrast volume is calculated as the injection rate multiplied by the injection duration. Warming of contrast agent prior to injection decreases viscosity and allows higher injection rates at lower injection pressures.

Accurate timing of the scan with respect to the arrival of the intravenous (IV) contrast in the target structures is necessary. Thus, the usage of either bolus tracking or a test bolus protocol is recommended.

2.1.1 Coronary CT Angiography

- The injection flow rate mainly determines the enhancement (fast dynamic peak). The contrast material volume also affects the enhancement [6, 7].
- Optimal images require high intraarterial opacification of more than 250 Hounsfield units (HU) [8]. Higher intracoronary attenuation value will improve the diagnostic accuracy of coronary artery stenosis [9, 10].
- High iodine concentration contrast agents are preferred to achieve greater contrast-to-noise ratios [11, 12].
- The injection duration should be as long as or slightly longer than the estimated scan duration. For very short scans, the injection duration should be at least 10 s [5].
- A biphasic injection protocol using dual-head pumps is preferable [13, 14]. It consists of a first injection of contrast at a rate of 3–6 ml (volume depends on scan length) and a second injection of approximately 20–40 ml of saline at the same injection rate.
- A body weight tailored injection protocol is recommended to decrease the total contrast material volume in Asian patients. The use of 0.7 ml/kg of contrast material injected at a fixed duration of 10 s followed by 20 ml of saline is feasible [15, 16].

2.1.2 Other protocols

- In CT angiography (CTA) protocols, the right heart typically appears washed out. In some clinical settings, it may be desirable to have some opacification of the right heart.
- For the right heart opacification, the saline flush may be replaced by a mixture of contrast and saline, or a triphasic injection protocol may be used [17–19].
- Triphasic protocols consist of an initial high flow rate contrast injection (3–6 ml/s), followed by a second injection of either a mixture of contrast

© Springer
and saline (3–6 ml/s) or a contrast injection at lower injection rate (e.g., 2 ml/s), followed by a third injection of a smaller volume of saline.

- Regarding the protocol for the evaluation of delayed enhancement, further studies are required.

2.2 Magnetic resonance contrast material

Gadolinium based contrast media (GBCM) shorten T1 relaxation times and thus lead to higher signal intensity on T1-weighted images. Although first-pass kinetics and late distribution of GBCM are similar to those of iodinated contrast materials for CT; there are two distinct characteristics in GBCM compared to iodinated contrast materials. First, the signal intensities are not proportional to GBCM concentration due to substantial signal loss caused by T2-shortening effect at high concentrations of GBCM. Therefore, the concentration of GBCM in the blood pool or myocardium cannot be calculated directly from the signal intensity on MRI [20]. Second, due to the much smaller contrast volume required for first-pass imaging, improving effect of high injection rate on bolus profile is limited for MRI compared to CT [21]. For example, when you administer a single dose of GBCM to a patient with 50 kg body weight (i.e. 10 ml), increasing injection rate from 4 to 5 ml/s shortens the injection duration only slightly (i.e. 0.5 s).

The injection protocol for myocardial perfusion MRI is usually used as a dose of 0.05–0.1 mmol/kg with injection rate of 3–7 ml/s, followed by at least 30 ml saline flush (5–7 ml/s). For delayed gadolinium enhancement MRI, a total dose of 0.1–0.2 mmol/kg is administered.

3 Adverse effects of iodinated and gadolinium contrast medium

3.1 Patient selections and preparation strategies

Before the administration of contrast media, the referring physician and the radiologist should consider the following issues: (1) Assessment of patient risk versus potential benefit of the contrast-assisted examination. (2) Imaging alternatives that would provide the same or better diagnostic information. (3) Prevention of adverse events.

3.1.1 Risk factors for adverse intravenous contrast material reactions

- The history obtained should focus on identification of factors that may indicate either a contraindication to contrast media use or an increased likelihood of a reaction.
- Severe, life-threatening reactions, although rare, can occur in the absence of any specific risk factors with any type of media [1].
- Risk factors for adverse reactions to contrast media are summarized in Table 1.
- In pregnant patients, it is unclear how iodinated or gadolinium contrast agents will affect the fetus, these agents should be administered only with extreme caution. Free iodine in radiographic contrast medium given to the mother has the potential to depress fetal/neonatal thyroid function. Neonatal thyroid function should be checked during the 1st week if iodinated contrast media have been given during pregnancy. No effect on the fetus has been seen after gadolinium contrast media [22].
- In lactating patients, breast feeding may continue normally when iodinated or gadolinium agents are given to the mother [22].

Table 1 Risk factors for adverse intravenous contrast material reactions

| Iodinated contrast media | Gadolinium contrast media |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Patients at increased risk of reactiona | Previous moderate or severe acute reaction to iodine contrast agent |
| Previous moderate or severe acute reaction to gadolinium contrast agent |
| Asthma | Asthma |
| Significant allergiesa | Significant allergiesa |
| Significant cardiac disease | Hyperthyroidism or other thyroid diseaseb |
| Multiple myeloma | |

a A prior major anaphylactic response to one or more allergens
b Especially when present in those who live in iodine-deficient areas
c Especially in case of high osmolality contrast media (HOCM) administration
3.1.2 Preparation strategies

- For patients at increased risk of reaction, consider an alternative test not requiring the agent. For the evaluation of coronary artery, non-contrast MR angiography can be an alternative examination [23].
- There is no concrete clinical evidence on the effectiveness of use of premedication in patients undergoing contrast enhanced CT or MRI examinations.
- However, the premedication is preferable in patients at higher risk for an acute allergic-like reaction.
- If the radiologists does intend to use premedication then a useful option can be prednisolone 30 mg orally, given 12–2 h before the contrast medium [2].
- Preliminary intradermal skin testing with contrast agents is not predictive of adverse reactions, may itself be dangerous, and is not recommended [24, 25].

3.2 At the time of examination

A general category that deserves attention is emotional state. There is anecdotal evidence that severe adverse effects to contrast media or to procedures can be mitigated at least in part by reducing anxiety. It may be useful, therefore, to determine whether a patient is particularly anxious and it is important to reassure and calm that patient before contrast injection [26].

3.2.1 To reduce the risk of adverse reactions

- Some of the strategies to avoid contrast media induced adverse events are listed in Table 2.

3.2.2 Extravasation

Frequencies

- The reported incidence of IV contrast media extravasation related to power injection for CT has ranged from 0.1% to 0.9% (1/1,000 patients to 1/106 patients) [27].

| Iodinated contrast media | Gadolinium contrast media |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Use a non-ionic contrast medium | Use a different gadolinium contrast agent for previous reactors to contrast medium |

- The frequency of extravasation is not related to the injection flow rate [28].

Risk factors

- Inappropriate injection site (small vessels),
- High volume of contrast media or high osmolar contrast media.
- Use of power injectors.

Type of injuries

- Most injuries are minor.
- In severe cases, ulceration, soft tissue necrosis or compartment syndrome may be observed.
- A compartment syndrome is more likely to occur after extravasation of larger volumes of contrast media [29]; however, it also has been observed after extravasation of relatively small volumes, especially when this occurs in less capacious areas (such as over the ventral or dorsal surfaces of the wrist).

To reduce the risk

- Use appropriate sized plastic cannula placed in a suitable vein to handle the flow rate.
- A test injection with normal saline.
- Use non-ionic iodinated contrast medium as far as possible.

Treatment

- Conservative management (limb elevation, use of ice packs) is adequate in most cases.
- Close clinical follow-up for several hours is essential for all patients in whom extravasations occur, since the severity and prognosis of a contrast medium extravasation injury are difficult to determine on initial evaluation of the affected site.
• An immediate surgical consultation is indicated for any patient in whom one or more of the following signs or symptoms develops: progressive swelling or pain, altered tissue perfusion as evidenced by decreased capillary refill at any time after the extravasation has occurred, change in sensation in the affected limb, and skin ulceration or blistering.

3.3 Type of adverse reactions

Adverse reactions are classified into acute and delayed reactions [2]. Acute reactions are those that occur up to 1 h after the administration of CM. The majority of the delayed reactions occur between 1 and 72 h after the administration of contrast media. Subsequently occurring reactions are rare; the maximum interval is 7 days [2].

3.3.1 Acute adverse reaction

• The classification of severity of reactions to contrast media has been shown in Table 3.
• The majority of adverse side effects are mild non-life-threatening events that require only observation and supportive treatment.
• Severe adverse side effects, however, may have a mild or moderate prodrome. Nearly all life-threatening reactions occur immediately or within the first 3 h after contrast media injection [30].
• Prediction of occurrence or severity is impossible, although there are some known risk factors, and anticipation and vigilance are critical [31].
• Mild reactions do not require treatment, but, as noted, they may presage or evolve into a more severe reaction. Any patient with any reaction should, therefore, be observed for 20–30 min, or as necessary, to ensure clinical stability and recovery.
• Moderate adverse events, by definition, are not immediately life-threatening (although they may progress to be so) but often require treatment.
• Severe adverse events are potentially or immediately life-threatening.
• Historically, adverse effects have occurred in 5–15% of all patients who receive ionic, high-osmolality contrast media (HOCM) [32]. One study in Asia reported the frequency of adverse effects was 12.66% with HOCM [33].
• Use of low-osmolality ionic nonionic contrast media (LOCM) is associated with an overall incidence of adverse events of 0.2–0.7% [34, 35]. In Asia, this is reported to be 3.13% [33].
• Serious contrast reactions are rare and have occurred in 1 or 2 per 1,000 (0.1–0.2%) intravascular injections of HOCM and in 1 or 2 per 10,000 (0.01–0.02%) IV injections of LOCM [1]. In a report from Asia, this frequency was 0.22% of intravascular injections of ionic and 0.04% of non-ionic IV injections [33].
• The adverse event rate for gadolinium based contrast agents can range from 0.5 to 2.5% [36–38].
• The management for acute adverse reactions is the same with generalized anaphylactoid reaction. This is summarized in Table 4.
• β-blockers may impair the response to treatment of bronchospasm induced by contrast medium.

### Table 3 Classification of severity of reactions to contrast media

| Minor   | Moderate | Severe                  |
|---------|----------|-------------------------|
| Nausea  | Faintness| Hypotensive shock       |
| Vomiting (Limited) | Vomiting (Severe) | Pulmonary edema |
| Pruritis| Urticaria (Profound) | Respiratory arrest |
| Diaphoresis | Facial edema | Cardiac arrest |
|          | Laryngeal edema | Convulsions |
|          | Bronchospasm   |             |

### Table 4 Management plan for contrast medium induced acute adverse reaction

1. Call for resuscitation team
2. Suction airway as needed
3. Elevate patient’s legs if hypotensive
4. Oxygen by mask (6–10 l/min)
5. Intramuscular adrenaline (1:1,000), 0.5 ml (0.5 mg) in adults. Repeat as needed. In pediatric patients 0.01 mg/kg to 0.3 mg (max. dose)
6. Intravenous fluids (e.g. normal saline, lactated Ringer’s)
7. H1-blocker e.g. diphenhydramine 25–50 mg intravenously
3.3.2 Delayed reactions

- An adverse reaction which occurs 1 h to 1 week after contrast medium injection.
- The incidence of delayed adverse cutaneous reactions has been reported to range from 0.5 to 2% [39].
- The main types of delayed reactions are given in Table 5.
- Relatively common symptoms are nausea, vomiting, drowsiness, headache, and pruritus without urticaria, all of which are self-limited and many of which are self-limiting and do not require any therapy [39].
- Skin reactions are true late adverse reactions. They are usually mild to moderate and self-limiting. Delayed cutaneous reactions are not, however, associated with other acute adverse events such as bronchospasm or laryngeal edema.
- The management of late adverse reactions is identical to that of other drug induced skin reactions.
- Delayed cardiopulmonary arrest has also been reported, but this and other severe systemic reactions are probably related to etiologies other than the contrast media.
- Currently, very late reactions to gadolinium media in the form of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (NSF) are a major concern, and are dealt with in detail in chapter D.

4 Contrast-induced nephropathy (iodinated contrast medium)

Contrast medium nephrotoxicity (renal adverse reactions) is mostly associated with iodinated contrast media. The risk of nephrotoxicity is very low when gadolinium contrast media are used in approved doses.

The risk of nephrotoxicity is related to the degree of pre-existing renal disease and hydration. Clinically significant nephrotoxicity after administration of iodinated contrast media is highly unusual in patients with normal renal function. There is no standard definition for reporting contrast-induced nephrotoxicity (CIN). Definitions used have included percent change in the baseline serum creatinine (e.g., a 20–50% rise in serum creatinine) and absolute elevation from baseline (0.5–2.0 mg/dl) [40, 41].

The clinical course of CIN depends on baseline renal function, coexisting risk factors, degree of hydration, and other factors. Serum creatinine usually begins to rise within the first 24 h following IV contrast media administration, peaks within 96 h (4 days), and usually returns to baseline within 7–10 days [40]. It is unusual for patients to develop permanent renal failure, and this usually occurs in the setting of multiple risk factors.

4.1 Risk factors for adverse intravenous contrast medium induced nephropathy

- The major risk factors for CIN is given in Table 6.
- Serum creatinine values should be measured within 7 days of contrast media administration.
- There is no universally agreed upon threshold of serum creatinine elevation (or degree of renal

| Table 5 | Characteristics of contrast medium induced delayed adverse reactions |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Iodinated contrast media | Gadolinium contrast media |
| Late adverse reactions | Mainly skin rashes | None described |
| Very late adverse reactions | Thyrotoxicosis | Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis |
| (Patients with untreated Graves’ disease) |

| Table 6 | Risk factors for contrast medium induced nephropathy |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Patient related | Pre-existing renal insufficiency (serum creatinine level ≥ 1.5 mg/dl; Especially, patients with eGFR less than 30 ml/min) |
| Diabetes mellitus | Dehydration |
| Cardiovascular disease | Age over 70 |
| Use of diuretics | Contrast medium related |
| High osmolality agents | Large doses of contrast medium |
dysfunction) beyond which iodinated contrast media should not be administered.

- Serum creatinine has limitations as an accurate measure of renal function because it is influenced greatly by the patient’s gender, muscle mass, nutritional status, and age.
- Direct measurement of GFR with insulin or a similar clearance marker would be preferable, however, generally impractical.
- One alternative is to use a formula to calculate creatinine clearance, (estimated GFR or eGFR) based on age, gender, body weight, and serum creatinine (e.g., Cockcroft-Gault formula or Modification of Diet in Renal Disease [MDRD] formula) [42, 43].
- However, these equations are less accurate for Asians, with greater bias at estimated GFR (eGFR) less than 60 ml/min/1.73 m² [3]. This difference would be accounted for by the difference in muscle mass. African-American people probably have a greater muscle mass than Asian. Interestingly, the correction coefficients for the modification of the MDRD Study equation were considerably different even among patients of Asian origin. For example, the correction coefficient for patients of Chinese origin was 1.233 [4] and that for patients of Japanese origin 0.808 [3].
- The establishment of GFR-estimating formulae specific for patients of different race is required. For example, in Japan, new formula is recommended as follows; eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m²) = 194 × Serum creatinine \(^{-1.094}\) × Age \(^{-0.287}\) × 0.739 (if female) [3].
- Metformin is excreted unchanged in the urine. In the presence of renal failure, either pre-existing or induced by iodinated contrast medium, metformin may accumulate in sufficient amounts to cause lactic acidosis. Depending on serum creatinine level, metformin will have to be stopped either before or at the time of contrast medium administration.

4.2 Prevention or amelioration

- Consider an alternative imaging method not using iodinated contrast media. For the evaluation of coronary artery, non-contrast MR angiography can be an alternative examination [23].
- Stop nephrotoxic drugs, mannitol and loop diuretics at least 24 h before [44].
- Start hydration. A suitable intravenous regime is 100 ml/h of normal saline beginning 12 h before and continuing 12 h after examinations. In hot climates the volume should be increased [45].
- Stop metformin from the time of contrast medium administration or 48 h. Only restart metformin if serum creatinine remains normal or unchanged 48 h after contrast medium.
- The efficacy of N-acetylcysteine (Mucomyst) or sodium bicarbonate to reduce the incidence of CIN is controversial [46–48].

4.3 At the time of examination

- Use low or iso-osmolar contrast media.
- Use the lowest dose of contrast medium consistent with a diagnostic result.
- Continue hydration for at least 6 h [49].
- In patients suffering from end-stage renal disease, there is no need for urgent dialysis [50].
- Correlation of time of the contrast medium injection with the hemodialysis session in dialysis patients is unnecessary [50].

5 Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (gadolinium contrast media)

Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (NSF) is recently reported adverse effect specific to gadolinium contrast media. Fewer cases of NSF have been reported in Asia, as compared to the US or Europe [51]. NSF is a severe, usually progressive, potentially fatal, systemic fibrotic disease, affecting the dermis, subcutaneous fasciae and striated muscles. In 2006 several groups noted a strong association between gadolinium-based contrast media (GBCM) administration and the disease [52]. In many cases, affected patients had been injected with more than one type of GBCM prior to symptoms onset. However, it must be emphasized that the frequency with which NSF has been associated with different GBCM may also have been affected if the agents were used at higher doses compared to what is recommended in their package inserts. It is advisable to use the GBCM agents within their prescribed dosages and not to overdose the...
patient. Risk factors for nephrogenic systemic fibrosis are given in Table 7.

The etiology of NSF is still unknown but is thought to be multifactorial. The prevailing theory regarding gadolinium and NSF is that gadolinium (Gd^{3+}) ions are released from the Gd-chelate complex of MRI contrast agents and accumulate in tissues such as skin, thereby initiating what some have described as a “toxic” reaction. The precise pathomechanism is not yet known [53].

It is estimated that patients with eGFR < 30 ml/min/1.73 m² have a 1–7% chance of developing NSF after exposure to GBCM [52, 54]. All patients should be questioned for a history of renal disease. The measurement of an eGFR within 6 weeks of the GBCM study is recommended in patients with renal disease in anyone over 60 years of age, or in patients with hypertension, diabetes mellitus.

5.1 Preparation strategies

- In patients with already being dialyzed.

Non-contrast MR angiography is recommended for the evaluation of coronary artery. The use of CT angiography is also possible. If a contrast-enhanced MRI examination must be performed such as for the evaluation of perfusion or delayed enhancement, avoidance of the use of those GBCM that have been most frequently associated with NSF [gadodiamide (Omniscan®), gadopentetate dimeglumine (Magnevist®) and gadoversetadime (OptiMARK®)] is recommended. Also, use of the lowest possible dose needed to obtain a diagnostic study is suggested. GBCM-enhanced MRI exams is recommended to be performed shortly before dialysis, as prompt post-procedural dialysis may reduce the likelihood that NSF will develop, although this has not been proved definitively to date [55].

- In patients with eGFR < 30 ml/min/1.73 m², who are not on chronic dialysis.

It is recommended that any contrast media administration be avoided if at all possible. If MRI contrast media administration is absolutely essential, judicious use of the lowest possible doses of selected GBCM (avoidance of the use of those GBCM that have been most frequently associated with NSF) is probably safest [55].

To the best of our knowledge this is the first comprehensive guide on use of contrast media amongst Asians for cardiac imaging. In summary this manual provides basic information for the use of contrast media for Asian patients in cardiac imaging. In addition to general principles of contrast material injection we have also discussed associated adverse events like contrast induced nephropathy and nephrogenic systemic fibrosis.
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