Dear editor,

Oxidative stress induced by reactive oxygen species (ROS) production plays a key role in tumor initiation and progression [1, 2]. Emerging evidence has shown that oxidative stress-related genes could be used as biomarkers for predicting the progression and prognosis of malignant tumors [3]. Our previous study showed that the expression and genotypes of oxidative stress-related genes could be used for predicting the chemosensitivity and clinical outcomes of biliary tract cancer patients [4]. However, the associations between oxidative stress-related genes and kidney renal clear cell carcinoma (KIRC) remain undefined. In this study, we characterized oxidative stress-related genes’ expression in KIRC by systematically analyzing data from 8 independent KIRC databases, namely 4 from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; \( n = 130 \), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo), 1 from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA; \( n = 535 \), http://gdac.broadinstitute.org), and 3 from our KIRC verification cohorts (cohort 1 from Ruijin hospital, \( n = 48 \); cohort 2 from OutdoBiotech, \( n = 150 \); cohort 3 from Shanghai Ninth People’s hospital, \( n = 306 \); Supplementary Materials and Methods; Supplementary Table S1). Then, we developed an individualized oxidative stress-related scoring system for predicting the prognosis of KIRC patients.

The expression of 21 oxidative stress-related genes, all of which are key enzymes or regulators in controlling ROS production and oxidative stress response (Supplementary Figure S1), was firstly examined in the 4 GEO databases (GSE16449, GSE36895, GSE16441, and GSE71963). As compared with normal kidney tissues, catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase 3 (GPX3), nitric oxide synthase 1 (NOS1), NADPH dehydrogenase quinone (NQO)1 (NQO1), NQO2, superoxide dismutase (SOD) 1 (SOD1), and SOD3 were significantly decreased in KIRC tissues, cytochrome b-245 alpha polypeptide (CYBA) and heme oxygenase 1 (HMOX1) were instead obviously increased (Supplementary Figure S2A, B). These differentially expressed genes were then validated in the TCGA-KIRC cohort and our KIRC verification cohort 1, which contained 48 paired KIRC and normal kidney tissues. Interestingly, besides NQO1 and NQO2, the same changes were found with the other 7 oxidative stress-related genes (CAT, CYBA, GPX3, HMOX1, NOS1, SOD1, and SOD3) (Supplementary Figure S2C, D). Moreover, the transcripts of the 7 oxidative stress-related genes were closely correlated in KIRC samples (Supplementary Figure S3A). Protein-protein interactions were identified by using the online STRING and HIGH-CHARTS databases, and we also found that the 7 oxidative stress-related genes could interact with each other at the protein level (Supplementary Figure S3B). Finally, the receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) analysis was performed in our verification cohort 1 to evaluate the possibilities of these 7 genes as biomarkers for KIRC. As shown in Supplementary Figure S4, all these 7 genes achieved an area under the curve (AUC) value > 0.80, demonstrating their high sensitivity for screening KIRC. The above results suggested that oxidative stress-related genes could be useful biomarkers for the prognostication of KIRC.

To determine the possible implications of the 7 differentially expressed oxidative stress-related genes for predicting KIRC patients’ prognosis, Kaplan-Meier survival analyses were performed using the TCGA database. The results showed that the transcripts of CAT, NOS1, and CYBA were significantly associated with the clinical outcomes of KIRC patients (Supplementary Figure S5A-G). Then, two other KIRC verification cohorts comprising of 456 KIRC patients (Supplementary Materials and Methods; Supplementary Table S1) were firstly examined in the 4 GEO databases (GSE16449, GSE36895, GSE16441, and GSE71963). As compared with normal kidney tissues, catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase 3 (GPX3), nitric oxide synthase 1 (NOS1), NADPH dehydrogenase quinone (NQO)1 (NQO1), NQO2, superoxide dismutase (SOD) 1 (SOD1), and SOD3 were significantly decreased in KIRC tissues, cytochrome b-245 alpha polypeptide (CYBA) and heme oxygenase 1 (HMOX1) were instead obviously increased (Supplementary Figure S2A, B). These differentially expressed genes were then validated in the TCGA-KIRC cohort and our KIRC verification cohort 1, which contained 48 paired KIRC and normal kidney tissues. Interestingly, besides NQO1 and NQO2, the same changes were found with the other 7 oxidative stress-related genes (CAT, CYBA, GPX3, HMOX1, NOS1, SOD1, and SOD3) (Supplementary Figure S2C, D). Moreover, the transcripts of the 7 oxidative stress-related genes were closely correlated in KIRC samples (Supplementary Figure S3A). Protein-protein interactions were identified by using the online STRING and HIGH-CHARTS databases, and we also found that the 7 oxidative stress-related genes could interact with each other at the protein level (Supplementary Figure S3B). Finally, the receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) analysis was performed in our verification cohort 1 to evaluate the possibilities of these 7 genes as biomarkers for KIRC. As shown in Supplementary Figure S4, all these 7 genes achieved an area under the curve (AUC) value > 0.80, demonstrating their high sensitivity for screening KIRC. The above results suggested that oxidative stress-related genes could be useful biomarkers for the prognostication of KIRC.

To determine the possible implications of the 7 differentially expressed oxidative stress-related genes for predicting KIRC patients’ prognosis, Kaplan-Meier survival analyses were performed using the TCGA database. The results showed that the transcripts of CAT, NOS1, and CYBA were significantly associated with the clinical outcomes of KIRC patients (Supplementary Figure S5A-G). Then, two other KIRC verification cohorts comprising of 456 KIRC patients (Supplementary Materials and Methods; Supplementary Table S1) were firstly examined in the 4 GEO databases (GSE16449, GSE36895, GSE16441, and GSE71963). As compared with normal kidney tissues, catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase 3 (GPX3), nitric oxide synthase 1 (NOS1), NADPH dehydrogenase quinone (NQO)1 (NQO1), NQO2, superoxide dismutase (SOD) 1 (SOD1), and SOD3 were significantly decreased in KIRC tissues, cytochrome b-245 alpha polypeptide (CYBA) and heme oxygenase 1 (HMOX1) were instead obviously increased (Supplementary Figure S2A, B). These differentially expressed genes were then validated in the TCGA-KIRC cohort and our KIRC verification cohort 1, which contained 48 paired KIRC and normal kidney tissues. Interestingly, besides NQO1 and NQO2, the same changes were found with the other 7 oxidative stress-related genes (CAT, CYBA, GPX3, HMOX1, NOS1, SOD1, and SOD3) (Supplementary Figure S2C, D). Moreover, the transcripts of the 7 oxidative stress-related genes were closely correlated in KIRC samples (Supplementary Figure S3A). Protein-protein interactions were identified by using the online STRING and HIGH-CHARTS databases, and we also found that the 7 oxidative stress-related genes could interact with each other at the protein level (Supplementary Figure S3B). Finally, the receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) analysis was performed in our verification cohort 1 to evaluate the possibilities of these 7 genes as biomarkers for KIRC. As shown in Supplementary Figure S4, all these 7 genes achieved an area under the curve (AUC) value > 0.80, demonstrating their high sensitivity for screening KIRC. The above results suggested that oxidative stress-related genes could be useful biomarkers for the prognostication of KIRC.

Abbreviations: AUC, area under the curve; CAT, catalase; CYBA, cytochrome b-245 alpha polypeptide; GEO, Gene Expression Omnibus; GPX3, glutathione peroxidase 3; HMOX1, heme oxygenase 1; IHC, immunohistochemical; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; KIRC, kidney renal clear cell carcinoma; NOS1, nitric oxide synthase 1; NQO, NADPH dehydrogenase quinone; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-Kinase; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; ROS, reactive oxygen species; SOD, superoxide dismutase; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; VHL/HIF, von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor/hypoxia-inducible factor.
samples (cohort 2, n = 150; cohort 3, n = 306) were collected. They were classified into low and high expression groups according to CAT, NOS1, or CYBA protein expression levels, as measured by tissue microarray immunohistochemical (IHC) staining (Supplementary Figure S6A). Consistently, these two other KIRC verification cohorts confirmed the correlations between the expression of the 3 oxidative stress-related genes and the patients’ survival rate (Supplementary Figure S6B-D).

To further evaluate the combined effect of CAT, NOS1, and CYBA in predicting KIRC prognosis, a previously described oxidative stress scoring method [4] was used. Specifically, risk factors for KIRC prognosis (low CAT, low NOS1, or high CYBA expression) were assigned “0”, and protective factors for KIRC prognosis (high CAT, high NOS1, or low CYBA expression) were assigned “1” in this study. Thus, each KIRC sample was given an oxidative stress score ranging from 0 to 3, and the patients were divided into 2 groups according to their scores (low: 0-1, high: 2-3; Supplementary Figure S6A). In both the TCGA-KIRC and our verification KIRC cohorts, the overall survival rates were significantly improved in the high oxidative stress score group as compared with the low oxidative stress score group (Supplementary Figure S5H and S6E). These results implied that the clustering of oxidative stress-related genes could be a suitable prognosis-stratification method for KIRC patients. Multivariate Cox proportion hazard model revealed that age, pathologic stage, distant metastasis, and pTNM stage were independent risk factors, whereas a high oxidative stress score was an independent protective factor for KIRC patients (Supplementary Table S2). These findings suggested that the oxidative stress score signature was associated with KIRC prognosis.

We further evaluated the correlations between oxidative stress score and pathological indicators utilizing the clinical data from the combined KIRC verification cohort 2 and cohort 3. Intriguingly, univariate analysis showed that patients with low oxidative stress score were consistently prone to have advanced pathologic stage, pT classification, pN stage, and pTNM stage were independent risk factors, whereas a high oxidative stress score was an independent protective factor for KIRC patients (Supplementary Table S3). These findings suggested that the oxidative stress score signature was associated with KIRC prognosis.

In summary, by first analyzing data from 5 independent KIRC databases and further validation in our 3 independent KIRC cohorts, we constructed an oxidative stress-related model composed of three genes that could be used to predict the prognosis of KIRC patients. The calculated oxidative stress score was correlated with lymph node metastasis, and its related genes were mainly enriched in the cell focal adhesion, Rap1, and PI3K-Akt signaling pathways. These findings indicate that the expression of oxidative stress-related genes could also serve as a promising biomarker for screening high metastasis risk patients and guide the treatment of KIRC patients. However, further validation of the oxidative stress score for clinical usage in KIRC patients needs to be performed in large prospective studies to confirm our findings.
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