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ABSTRACT

Background In this study, we collated cheap and readily available non-invasive biomarkers and FibroScan score in predicting fibrosis stages in chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection.

Methods We studied 1898 patients with HCV infection confirmed by presence of HCV RNA in their serum. We compared the FibroScan score and fibrosis indices (FIs): aspartate transaminase (AST) to alanine transaminase (ALT) ratio (AAR), AST to Platelet Ratio Index (API), FIB-4, Age-Platelet Index (API), Pohl score, Fibrosis Cirrhosis Index (FCI). We developed a new FI, named Novel Fibrosis Index (NFI) calculated by the following formula: NFI={(bilirubin×(ALP)2)/(platelet count (albumin)2)}−n.

Results AAR, APRI, FIB-4, API, Pohl score, FCI and NFI were able to predict fibrosis stage with correlation coefficient indices 0.848, 0.711, 0.618, 0.741, 0.529, 0.360, 0.477 and 0.26, respectively. Receiver operating characteristic curves showed sensitivity and specificity for predicting F3 by NFI=75.1% and 41.1% and F4 for NFI=72.1% and 47.1%, AAR=62.8% and 37.6%, APRI=74.6% and 87.6%, FIB-4=53.2% and 72.3%, FCI=78.1% and 92.3%, API=78.1% and 60%, Pohl score=38.1% and 78.1% and FCI=78.1% and 88.1%.

Conclusions Our NFI predicted F3 and has been found to have more sensitivity and specificity in predicting F4 fibrosis stage than other FIs.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic viral hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection has more prevalence in low-income and middle-income countries with low to limited national per capita income. According to WHO report 2017, Egypt has highest prevalence and Pakistan has the second highest prevalence. According to a survey conducted in 2018, 18 million Pakistanis are infected with hepatitis B virus (HBV) and HCV which is about 9% of country’s population and the number of people losing life to hepatitis every day in Pakistan is 400.

Most commonly we encounter HCV which, in most cases, progresses to chronic hepatitis disease. The progression can be slow or rapid, consistent or inconsistent depending on the degree of active tissue inflammation and damage; it usually progresses gradually over many years. HCV does not cause cell death directly but provokes immune inflammatory response such as chronic injury to hepatocytes causing release of interleukin-2 and various other cytokines to stimulate Ito cells and fibroblasts to start synthesising collagen type I, especially that result in progressive fibrosis, hepatocellular damage and cell death.
Chronic viral infection causes multiple waves of inflammation and tissue repair which involves deposition of extracellular matrix resulting in scarring or progressive fibrosis over time and ultimately leading to liver cirrhosis. Cirrhosis eventually causes onset of multiple decompensating events leading to decompensated liver disease. Decomposed liver disease or decompensated cirrhosis is the state where liver is not able to perform all of its functions reasonably. Actually, liver failure, in most cases, develops gradually over the years.

Stages of liver fibrosis in chronic HCV infection is a well-established factor that determines the severity of disease and associated complications, that is, hepatic encephalopathy, ascites, portal hypertension, etc, which are associated with F4 stage of fibrosis mostly. Therefore, the definite assessment of stages of liver fibrosis in chronic HCV infection is required to determine urgency of treatment and therapy outcome. Moreover, the precise evaluation of fibrosis is quite essential in indicating when to begin antiviral therapy in patients with chronic HCV infection, thereby completely eradicating virus in each case in low-income and middle-income countries.

Identification of infected individuals early in the disease process is the crucial point of management and follow-up. It is the assessment of progression to cirrhosis that is of high priority in devising treatment plan of each patient. This assessment remains a substantial challenge.

Conventionally, liver biopsy had been a gold standard for staging of fibrosis, however, it is an invasive procedure requiring workforce (skilful handling), bringing discomfort and significant expense to patient. Moreover, internal bleeding may occur thereafter. Variability among observers in scoring liver biopsies, inability to follow-up progression and a sampling error up to 30% is also problematic.

A number of non-invasive markers have been developed which are useful supplements to assess stages of fibrosis. These are biomarkers (aspartate transaminase (AST) to alanine transaminase (ALT) ratio (AAR), AST to Platelet Ratio Index (APRI), fibrosis index (FI), fibrosis-4 (FIB-4), Age-Platelet Index (API), Pohl score, Fibrosis Cirrhosis Index (FCI)) and radiological markers including MRI and transient elastography.

Ultrasound-based FibroScan is one recent development (first machine inaugurated in 2010 in Pakistan at the National Institute of Liver and Gastrointestinal Diseases, Dow University), but it is quite expensive technique and its universality or accessibility is also an issue in curbing prevalent hepatitis in low-income and middle-income countries. Moreover, obesity is another limitation in diagnostic yield of FibroScan.

We tried to examine whether the combination of certain biomarkers can make assessment of liver fibrosis more precise. Then, we tried to evaluate and assess the combined diagnostic presentation of cheap and easily accessible biomarkers if they could serve same purpose as FibroScan score in predicting prognosis of chronic liver disease in clinical practice.

A priori hypothesis
Validation of cheap and readily available serum biomarkers in correlation to transient elastography and developing a new index, Novel Fibrosis Index (NFI), if that can give prognosis of liver fibrosis without essential reliance on FibroScan that may not be available or unaffordable to patient.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This study was carried out at Hepatitis Clinic, Lahore General Hospital/Ameer-ud-Din Medical College, Lahore, Pakistan. We explained the whole process of our study to the patients. Informed consent was obtained from patients who were willing to be involved in research. It was a cross-sectional study. This study was carried out from 11 February 2017 to 29 December 2018. Study was approved by ethical review board.

Patients with chronic HCV infection were identified among the patients visiting Hepatitis Clinic, Lahore General Hospital, Lahore, who were only positive for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) antibodies by detecting HCV RNA by PCR and then, HCV genotype was established. HBV/HCV and HCV/HIV co-infected patients and on which any clinical findings of liver cancer were present, were not included in the study. Total 1898 patients were engaged over this period. Quantitative determination of the FibroScan score (Liver Stiffness Index), baseline viral load obtained by PCR and biomarkers (liver function tests (LFTs), albumin, bilirubin and complete blood count (CBC)) were done. The fibrosis stages of patients were determined from FibroScan score using Metavir System. We considered results of FibroScan reliable if IQR/median value was <30%. We took consecutive 10 readings of FibroScan and considered average of these readings as our FibroScan score value. Then we used Ziol transient elastography breaking points for staging of fibrosis according to Metavir System of fibrosis: 2.5–8.8 FibroScan value was labelled as F0–F1, 8.9–9.6 FibroScan value as F2, 9.7–14.6 FibroScan value as F3 and >14.6 labelled as F4. The patients were assessed for readily available serum Fls: AAR, APRI, FI, FIB-4, API, Pohl score, FCI and our newly developed NFI.

The following formulas were used to review the predicted scores with particular cut-off values:

**AAR**=AST (IU/L)/ALT (IU/L)
If AST/ALT ≥1, significant cirrhosis.

**APRI**=[(AST (IU/L)/ALT_ULN (IU/L))×100]/platelet count (109/L)
If APRI<0.5, no or minimal fibrosis; if APRI>1.5, significant fibrosis.

**FI**=8.0–0.01×PLT (109/L)–serum albumin (g/dL)
If FI<2.1, no or minimal fibrosis; FI=2.1, significant fibrosis and FI≥3.3, significant cirrhosis.

**FIB-4**=[(age(years)×AST (IU/L))/platelet count (×109/L)×ALT (IU/L)]/2
If FIB-4<1.45, no or minimal fibrosis, if FIB-4≥3.25, significant fibrosis.
FCI = (alkaline phosphatase × serum bilirubin / serum albumin × platelet count)

If FCI<0.131, significant fibrosis; if FCI>1.25, significant cirrhosis.

API = age / Platelet Index

Age (year) <30 = 0; 30–39 = 1; 40–49 = 2; 50–59 = 3; 60–69 = 4; ≥70 = 5.

Platelet count (10⁹/L): ≥225 = 0; 200–224 = 1; 175–199 = 2; 150–174 = 3; 125–149 = 4; <125 = 5.

It ranges from 0 to 10, where 0–2=no or minimal fibrosis, 3–5=mild fibrosis with few septa formation and bridging fibrosis to cirrhosis and/or moderate-to-severe necroinflammatory lesions.

Pohl score; AST:ALT:platelet count (10⁹/L)

If AST/ALT<1 and platelet count>150000 then excludes marked fibrosis.

Calculation and development of NFI

We also developed a new index for predicting stages of fibrosis naming it as NFI. Our newly developed NFI was developed by observing the various relationships and variations of serum bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase, platelet count and serum albumin in liver fibrosis caused by chronic HCV infection by continuously noticing the routinely used and cost-effective tests of patients suffering from chronic HCV infections including LFTs and CBC. Keeping in mind these observations and variations in patients with chronic HCV infection, we put values of serum bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase, platelet count and serum albumin as variables in Microsoft Excel sheet to formulate an equation for NFI. We did not use gamma GT, collagen III and V and hyaluronic acid as variables in our study because they are not used routinely in hepatic clinics of many low-income countries and are not cost-effective for the patients and if we used gamma GT, collagen III and V and hyaluronic acid as variables in our study, they will render our newly developed index non-affordable and poorly reproducible for the poor patients who are supposed to carry the heavy burden of direct-acting antiviral medications as well:

\[ \text{NFI} = \left[ \frac{\text{Bilirubin} \times (\text{ALP})^2}{\text{Platelet Count} \times (\text{Albumin})^2} \right] - \text{n} \]

Where n=2000 and ‘n’ is constant that is introduced to accommodate measurement in small values which is more convenient to use.

Then, we applied various biostatistical tests such as independent sample T-test, linear curve estimation analysis, Spearman’s rank correlation and Pearson’s rank correlation coefficients to observe NFI’s relationship with FibroScan test to determine FibroScan score. After observing linear relationship, we drew receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves to calculate cut-off value, sensitivity and specificity of NFI in predicting F3 and F4 stages of fibrosis in chronic HCV infection.

Statistical analysis

The data were analysed using statistical package SPSS Windows V.22. We considered p value <0.05 as statistically significant. To determine the significant association between continuous variables and liver fibrosis stages, Spearman’s rank correlation was used. The Student’s t-test was used to collate arithmetic means and parameters while χ² test was used to collate categorical data. The univariate and multiple regression analyses were done for different biomarkers. ROC curves were performed and area under the ROC curves were used to collate and infer the diagnostic accuracies of the serum fibrosis indexes along with their cut-off points, sensitivities and specificities.

RESULTS

We studied on 1898 patients: 1124 (59.2%) were females, 774 (40.7%) were males. One thousand seven hundred sixty-seven (93.1%) were married and 131 (6.9%) were unmarried. The number of labourers were 1066 (56.2%), housewives were 719 (37.9%) and female government employees were 113 (6%).

Distribution of different fibrosis stages among our sample population were F0–F1=1034 (54.5%), F2=112 (5.9%), F3=253 (13.3%) and F4=499 (26.3%). Majority of patients (1235, 65.1%) had viral genotype 3a, patients having genotype 1b were 581 (30.6%) and patients having 1a genotype were 82 (4.3%).

Descriptive statistics

Descriptive statistics is presented in table 1. The independent sample T-test results for stage F0–F1 and F2 and F3 and F4 for different variables with FibroScan score determined fibrosis stage.

The independent sample T-test results also indicated statistically significant relationship (p<0.05) of all of our variables with Fibroscan score.

The relationship of AAR, APRI, FI, FIB-4, FFCI, API, Pohl Score and NFI with FibroScan score in univariate analysis was found to be statistically significant (p<0.05) with R² value of 0.848, 0.711, 0.741, 0.529, 0.360 and 0.477, respectively.

Linear curve estimation analysis with analysis of variance for albumin, bilirubin, platelet count, ALT, AST, alkaline phosphatase, AAR, APRI, FI, FIB-4, FCI and NFI showed a statistically significant relationship with Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r) values: 0.451 (p<0.05), 0.336 (p<0.05), 0.597 (p<0.05), 0.100 (p<0.05), 0.087 (p<0.05), 0.100 (p<0.05), 0.087 (p<0.05), 0.492 (p<0.05), 0.091 (p<0.05), 0.334 (p<0.05), 0.568 (p<0.05) and 0.455 (p<0.05), respectively.

ROC curve analysis

ROC curve analysis for validation of serum AAR, APRI, FIB-4, FI, API, Pohl score and FCI were performed and sensitivity and specificity along with cut-off points (table 2) were calculated for F0–F3 and F4 (figures 1 and 2). We put F0–F3 together in a single group because majority of population remains asymptomatic during these stages of fibrosis in chronic HCV infection for years mostly, which is followed by F4 (cirrhotic stage) and its
Table 1  Descriptive statistics

|                          | N  | Minimum | Maximum | Mean    | SD     |
|--------------------------|----|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| Age of patient (years)   | 1898 | 14.0    | 100.0   | 41.550  | 12.891 |
| Baseline viral load      | 1898 | 119     | 10791114 | 1101257.94 | 6075925.912 |
| Albumin (g/dL)           | 1898 | 1.70    | 11.00   | 3.4440  | 1.2654 |
| ALT (IU/mL)              | 1898 | 8.0     | 7000.0  | 78.195  | 1.22437 |
| AST (IU/mL)              | 1898 | 14.0    | 1085.0  | 74.195  | 1.22437 |
| Bilirubin (mg/dL)        | 1898 | 0.20    | 24.00   | 1.1946  | 1.22437 |
| FibroScan score          | 1898 | 2.60    | 76.00   | 13.3569 | 13.00018 |
| AAR                      | 1898 | 0.05    | 9.94    | 1.0697  | 0.54862 |
| Platelet count (100 000/mm³) | 1898 | 17.90   | 26800.00 | 502.3153 | 2299.09585 |
| APRI                     | 1898 | 0.00    | 8.24    | 0.8769  | 1.03031 |
| FI                       | 1898 | -262.72 | 6.20    | -0.3871 | 22.94007 |
| FIB-4                    | 1898 | 0.00    | 23.28   | 1.7149  | 1.8862 |
| FCI                      | 1898 | 0.00    | 47.72   | 0.7808  | 1.98782 |
| Alkaline phosphatase (IU/L) | 1898 | 51.0    | 1154.0  | 301.946 | 137.8091 |
| API                      | 1898 | 0.0     | 10.0    | 2.893   | 2.8627 |
| Pohl score               | 1898 | 0.0     | 1.0     | 0.663   | 0.4729 |
| NFI                      | 1898 | -3.20   | 233629.57 | 7498.4298 | 14670.79515 |

AAR, AST to ALT ratio; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; API, Age-Platelet Index; APRI, AST to Platelet Ratio Index; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; FCI, Fibrosis Cirrhosis Index; FI, Fibrosis Index; FIB-4, fibrosis-4; NFI, Novel Fibrosis Index.

associated complications, that is, hepatic encephalopathy, ascites, portal hypertension, etc.

**PREDICTABILITY OF NFI**

Results of ROC curve analysis for our newly developed NFI for F3 and F4 with appropriate cut-off values and their sensitivities and specificities are presented in table 3 and ROC curves are shown in figures 3 and 4. Comparison of different biomarkers of study with NFI is shown in comparative ROC curves in figures 5 and 6.

**Our newly developed NFI**

Our NFI is very useful for predicting F3 and F4 stages of fibrosis in chronic HCV infection and is reliable because its findings coincide with FibroScan test predicted fibrosis stages, that is, F3 and F4 and also are supported strongly by clinical findings in patients with chronic HCV infection.

**DISCUSSION**

Hepatic cirrhosis caused by chronic HCV infection and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease are leading contributors in deaths caused by chronic diseases. Cirrhosis does not develop simultaneously but it takes a mean infection time of approximately 30 years and it may occur in different ages with different age ranges, that is, 10–50 years. Fibrosis in connective tissue followed by its extension in hepatic tissue in HCV infection is an evidence of cirrhosis.14 15

Genotype 3a was the most prevalent genotype found in our study and our results are reinforcing the already existing studies on prevalence of various genotypes of HCV virus in Pakistan.14 15 There were large number of patients with F0–F1, that is, none or initial fibrosis stage which is followed by cirrhosis (F4). Mean age >40 years was found to be significantly related to marked fibrosis and cirrhosis and our study augmented the results of other studies as well.

Liver biopsy has been advised as a gold standard to evaluate the fibrosis stage, yet invasive, costly and serious repercussions such as soreness, bile leakage, haemorrhages, infection, severe right hypochondriac pain, lacerations and other severe complications can lead to death, with 1.6% mortality rate noted in a study.15 Liver biopsy also requires expert hands for sampling and is not cost-effective for the patients.16 Various researches narrated the host factors contemplating the fibrosis development that can ultimately lead to HCC.12 Their usage is compatible as non-invasive means to get rid of the drawbacks of invasive biopsy.17 HCV infection is linked with abnormally high levels of aminotransferases in blood staying >6 months. Stage of liver fibrosis determines the basis on which different treatment regimens are planned.

Previously many studies tried to find out the authentic, non-distressing biomarkers and tried to determine the ties between aminotransferases level, hyaluronic acid levels, number of platelets, collagen levels and baseline viral load with fibrosis but to no avail, as results were uncertain. Since then different thresholds of several scoring indices like AAR, APRI, FI, FIB-4, API, Pohl score and FCI have been proclaimed to anticipate the existence and non-existence of fibrosis or cirrhosis in patients infected with HCV. However, earlier stages of fibrosis and mild fibrosis cannot be determined accurately by using...
Table 2  ROC curve analysis for validation of serum AAR, APRI, FIB-4, FI, API, Pohl score and FCI for F3 and F4 in 1898 patients with HCV infection

| Stage | Cut-off value | Specificity (%) | Sensitivity (%) | AUC |
|-------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|
| AAR   | F0–F3 <1      | 41.9            | 62.5            | 0.377 |
|       | F4 >1         | 37.6            | 62.8            | 0.412 |
| APRI  | F0–F3 <0.5    | 68.0            | 56.2            | 0.54 |
|       | F4 >1.5       | 87.6            | 74.8            | 0.864 |
| FIB-4 | F0–F3 <1.45   | 65.4            | 51              | 0.521 |
|       | F4 >3.25      | 72.3            | 53.2            | 0.801 |
| FI    | F0–F3 <2.1    | 34.4            | 82.2            | 0.556 |
|       | F4 >3.3       | 92.3            | 78.1            | 0.826 |
| API   | F0–F3 <2.5    | 58.4            | 70              | 0.624 |
|       | F4 >2.5       | 60              | 78.1            | 0.578 |
| Pohl score | F0–F3 0     | 58.4            | 30              | 0.499 |
|       | F4 1          | 78.1            | 38.1            | 0.599 |
| FCI   | F0–F3 <0.131  | 57.4            | 37              | 0.499 |
|       | F4 >1.25      | 88.1            | 78.1            | 0.867 |

AAR, AST to ALT ratio; ALT, alanine transaminase; APRI, Age-Platelet Index; API, AST to Platelet Ratio Index; AST, aspartate transaminase; AUC, area under the curve; FCI, Fibrosis Cirrhosis Index; FI, Fibrosis Index; FIB-4, fibrosis-4.

Figure 1  Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves of different biomarkers for F0–F3. AAR, AST to ALT ratio; ALT, alanine transaminase; APRI, Age-Platelet Index; API, AST to Platelet Ratio Index; AST, aspartate transaminase; AUC, area under the curve; FCI, Fibrosis Cirrhosis Index; FI, Fibrosis Index; FIB-4, fibrosis-4.

Figure 2  Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves of different biomarkers for F4. AAR, AST to ALT ratio; ALT, alanine transaminase; API, Age-Platelet Index; APRI, AST to Platelet Ratio Index; AST, aspartate transaminase; FCI, Fibrosis Cirrhosis Index; FI, Fibrosis Index; FIB-4, fibrosis-4.

Table 3  ROC curve analysis for validation of NFI for F3 and F4 in 1898 patients with HCV infection

| Stage | Cut-off value | Specificity (%) | Sensitivity (%) | AUC |
|-------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|
| F3    | >11.64        | 75.1            | 61.1            | 0.609 |
| F4    | >30.94        | 72.1            | 47.1            | 0.831 |

AUC, area under the curve; HCV, hepatitis C virus; NFI, Novel Fibrosis Index; ROC curve, receiver operating characteristic curve.

Hussain A, et al. BMJ Open Gastro 2019;6:e000316. doi:10.1136/bmjgast-2019-000316

Only one structure of biomarkers, also all the readily available indices have some limitations like inability to differentiate all fibrosis stages singly and some have been constructed primarily for patients having co-infection. In this study, we collated the diagnostic performance of non-invasive indices with transient elastography, that is, FibroScan, which are available to predict cirrhosis like AAR, APRI, FI, FIB-4, API, Pohl score and FCI. They are cheap, readily available, non-invasive and cost-effective than other non-invasive techniques like transient elastography, that is, FibroScan. For this objective and assessment of much earlier stages of fibrosis, we refined a new serum fibrosis index by evaluating several clinical and pathological aspects.

Our results back the latest recommendations by the European Association for the Study of the Liver to apply non-invasive tests as first-line tests in prognostication of liver fibrosis. According to our conclusions and these new recommendations, liver biopsy is needed only if redundant non-invasive tests show dissension. Blood markers can be used to predict cirrhosis and advanced stages of fibrosis and should be used if transient elastography is not available or cost-effective to patient or when diagnostic yield is constrained as in patients with obesity.

For AAR at cut-off value <1, sensitivity was 62.5% and specificity was 41.9% and area under curve (AUC) was
0.377 for predicting F0–F3. At cut-off value >1, sensitivity and specificity were 62.8% and 37.6%, respectively, with AUC=0.412 for F4 and our study results support the results of other studies.24

For APRI at cut-off value <0.5, sensitivity and specificity for predicting F0–F3 were 56.2% and 68.0%, respectively, with AUC=0.546. At cut-off value >1.5, sensitivity and specificity were 74.6% and 87.6%, respectively, with AUC=0.864 for F4.25

FIB-4 was invented by Afify et al in 2006.26 At cut-off value <1.45, sensitivity and specificity for predicting F0–F3 were 51% and 65.4%, respectively and AUC was 0.521. At cut-off value >3.25, sensitivity and specificity were 53.2% and 72.3%, respectively, with AUC=0.801 for F4 and our study findings support the results of Afify et al, which concluded the same sensitivity and specificity for predicting F0–F3 and F4 as well for FIB-4.27

Like other studies on non-invasive biomarkers,19,24 FI at cut-off value <2.1, sensitivity and specificity for predicting F0–F3 were 82.2% and 34.4%, respectively and AUC was 0.556. At cut-off value >3.3, sensitivity and specificity were 78.1% and 92.3%, respectively, with AUC=0.826 for predicting F4.

For API at cut-off value <2.5, sensitivity and specificity for predicting F0–F3 were 70% and 58.4%, respectively and AUC was 0.624. At cut-off value >2.5, sensitivity and specificity were 78.1% and 92.3%, respectively, with AUC=0.578 for predicting F4 and study findings coincided with other studies as well.
Polih score was not found to be a good index to stage fibrosis. At cut-off value <0, sensitivity and specificity for predicting F0–F3 were 30% and 58.4%, respectively and AUC was 0.499. At cut-off value >1, sensitivity and specificity were 38.1% and 78.1%, respectively, with AUC=0.549 for F4.

Ahmed et al studied the same relationship of FCI and fibrosis stages and FCI, in our study like previous one, was found to be a good test in predicting cirrhosis than non-cirrhotic stages. At cut-off value <0.131, sensitivity and specificity for predicting F3 were 37% and 57.4%, respectively and AUC was 0.529. At cut-off value >1.25, sensitivity and specificity were 78.1% and 88.1%, respectively with AUC=0.831 for predicting F4. So, our NFI has been found to be highly efficient in staging fibrosis than any other fibrosis serum index available at present.

CONCLUSIONS

Our NFI predicted F3 and has been found to have more sensitivity and specificity in predicting F4 fibrosis stage than other FIs.
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