In this paper, we prove the K–theoretical and L–theoretical Farrell–Jones conjecture with coefficients in an additive category for nearly crystallographic groups of the form \( \mathbb{Q}^n \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \), where \( \mathbb{Z} \) acts on \( \mathbb{Q}^n \) as an irreducible integer matrix with determinant \( d \), \( |d| > 1 \).
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Introduction

Farrell and Linnell [10] proved that if the fibered isomorphism conjecture is true for all nearly crystallographic groups, then it is true for all virtually solvable groups. Recall that a nearly crystallographic group is a group of the form \( A \rtimes C \), where \( A \) is a torsion-free abelian group of finite rank, \( C \) is virtually cyclic and the action of \( C \) on \( A \) makes \( A \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) into an irreducible \( \mathbb{Q}C \) module (compare [10, page 309]).

Let \( A \in M(\mathbb{Z}, n) \) with determinant \( d \), \( |d| > 1 \), and \( m(x) \) be its characteristic polynomial. Assume that \( m(x) \) is irreducible over \( \mathbb{Q} \). If \( K = \mathbb{Q}(x)/m(x)\mathbb{Q}(x) \), then \( K \) is a number field. Let \( \mathcal{O}_K \) be its ring of integers. We define our group \( \Gamma = \mathcal{O}_K[\frac{1}{x}]^+ \rtimes_x \mathbb{Z} \), where \( \mathbb{Z} \) acts on \( \mathcal{O}_K[\frac{1}{x}]^+ \) by multiplying \( x \) (more details about this group will be given in Section 2.5). In [11], the authors proved the Farrell–Jones conjecture with coefficients in an additive category for all solvable Baumslag–Solitar groups. In the current paper we generalize the method there and prove the following (cf Theorem 5.2).

Main theorem  The K– and L–theoretical Farrell–Jones conjecture with coefficients in an additive category is true for the group \( \Gamma \).

Note that the truth of the Farrell–Jones conjecture with coefficients in an additive category implies the fibered isomorphism conjecture. For more information about the Farrell–Jones conjecture and its fibered version, see Farrell and Jones [9]. For precise a formulation and discussion of Farrell–Jones conjecture with coefficients in an additive category; see Bartels, Farrell and Lück [1], and Bartels, Lück and Reich [6].

As a corollary of our Main theorem, we prove the following (cf Corollary 5.3).
Corollary  The K– and L–theoretical Farrell–Jones conjecture with coefficients in an additive category is true for the group \( \mathbb{Q}^n \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \), where \( \mathbb{Z} \) acts on \( \mathbb{Q}^n \) as an irreducible integer matrix with determinant \( d \), \( |d| > 1 \).

We will stick to the case \( d > 1 \) in the proof as it makes our notation cleaner.

Remark  Independently, C Wegner proved the Farrell–Jones conjecture for all virtually solvable groups. The technique he uses is a combination of the Farrell–Hsiang method and transfer reducibility while our method here does not use transfer reducibility. Also, our results do not depend on Bartels and Lück’s results on CAT(0) spaces [4; 2]. On the other hand, it seems our method here cannot be applied to all nearly crystallographic groups; in particular, it cannot be applied directly to the group \( \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{6} \right] \rtimes \frac{2}{3} \mathbb{Z} \).

Our strategy is to show that \( \Gamma \) is in fact a Farrell–Hsiang group, as defined by Bartels and Lück in [3]. The main difficulty compared to our previous paper [11] is that we need to develop a theory for groups acting on trees. The second difficulty is that there is no canonical metric on the model \( E(\Gamma) \) (cf Section 3), so it is much more technical to prove some metric properties for \( E(\Gamma) \).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we give a brief introduction to the Farrell–Jones conjecture, including its inheritance properties and the Farrell–Hsiang method. In Section 2, we develop a theory of group actions on trees for later use. The construction naturally connects to algebraic number theory. In Section 3, we produce a model \( E(\Gamma) \) for the group \( \Gamma \) and discuss some geometric properties of the space. In Section 4, we construct a horizontal flow space for the group \( \Gamma \). Using results of Bartels, Lück and Reich, we produced a long-thin cover on this horizontal flow space. In the last section, we prove our main theorem.

In this paper, we will occasionally write FJC to abbreviate the K–theoretical or L–theoretical Farrell–Jones conjecture with coefficients in an additive category. Let \( G \) be a (discrete) group acting on a space \( X \). We say that the action is proper if for any \( x \in X \) there is an open neighborhood \( U \) of \( x \) such that \( \{ g \in G \mid gU \cap U \neq \emptyset \} \) is finite.
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1 Some properties of the Farrell–Jones conjecture

In this section we give a brief introduction to the Farrell–Jones conjecture. We first list some useful inheritance properties valid for FJC. For details, see [1, Section 1.3].
Proposition 1.1  
(1) If a group $G$ satisfies FJC, then every subgroup $H < G$ satisfies FJC.

(2) If $G_1$ and $G_2$ satisfy FJC, then the direct product $G_1 \oplus G_2$ and the free product $G_1 \ast G_2$ satisfy FJC.

(3) Let $\{G_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a directed system of groups (with not necessarily injective structure maps). If each $G_i$ satisfies FJC, then so does the direct limit $\lim_{i \in I} G_i$.

(4) Let $\phi: G \to Q$ be a group homomorphism. If $Q$ and $\phi^{-1}(C)$ satisfy FJC for every virtually cyclic subgroup $C < Q$ then $G$ satisfies FJC.

Lemma 1.2  (Transitivity principle) Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ be two families of subgroups of $G$. Assume that $G$ satisfies the $K$–theoretic Farrell–Jones conjecture with respect to $\mathcal{H}$ and that each $H \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfies the K–theoretic Farrell–Jones conjecture with respect to $\mathcal{F}$. Then $G$ satisfies the $K$–theoretic Farrell–Jones conjecture with respect to $\mathcal{F}$. The same is true for the $L$–theoretic Farrell–Jones conjecture.

The following definition of a Farrell–Hsiang group is taken from [3].

Definition 1.3  Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of subgroups of the finitely generated group $G$. We call $G$ a Farrell–Hsiang group with respect to the family $\mathcal{F}$ if the following holds for a fixed word metric $d_G$:

There exists a fixed natural number $N$ such that for every natural number $m$ there is a surjective homomorphism $\Delta_m: G \to F_m$ to a finite group $F_m$ such that the following condition is satisfied: For every hyper-elementary subgroup $H$ of $F_m$ we set $\widetilde{H} := \Delta_m^{-1}(H)$ and require that there exists a simplicial complex $E_H$ of dimension at most $N$ with a cell-preserving simplicial $\widetilde{H}$–action whose stabilizers belong to $\mathcal{F}$, and an $\widetilde{H}$–equivariant map $f_H: G \to E_H$ such that $d_G(g_0, g_1) < m$ implies $d_{E_H}^1(f_H(g_0), f_H(g_1)) < \frac{1}{m}$ for all $g_0, g_1 \in G$, where $d_{E_H}^1$ is the $l^1$–metric on $E_H$.

Remark 1.4  As pointed out in [1, Remark 1.15], in order to check that a group $G$ is a Farrell–Hsiang group, it suffices to check these conditions for one hyper-elementary subgroup in every conjugacy class of such subgroups of $F_m$.

Recall that a hyper-elementary group is defined as follows.

Definition 1.5  A hyper-elementary group $H$ is an extension of a $p$–group by a cyclic group of order $n$, where $p$ is a prime number, $(n, p) = 1$. In other words, there exists a short exact sequence

$$1 \to C_n \to H \to G_p \to 1,$$

where $C_n$ is a cyclic group of order $n$, and $G_p$ is a $p$–group such that $(n, p) = 1$. 
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With this definition, Bartels and Lück proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.6** Let \( G \) be a Farrell–Hsiang group with respect to the family \( \mathcal{F} \). Then \( G \) satisfies the \( K \)–theoretic and \( L \)–theoretic Farrell–Jones conjecture with respect to \( \mathcal{F} \).

## 2 Group acting on trees

In this section, we develop a theory of group actions on trees that will be used in the next section for constructing the model \( E(\Gamma) \). It also plays a key role in the proof of our main theorem where we need certain contracting maps to have an action on the tree. The basic construction is taken from Serre’s book [12, Chapter II, Section 1]; we generalize the results presented there using Stallings folding and other techniques. The ideas already appeared in the appendix of [11].

### 2.1 Basic construction

We briefly recall the construction in Serre’s book [12, Section 1, Chapter II]. Let \( K \) be a field\(^1\) with a discrete valuation \( v \). Let \( \mathcal{O} \) denote the valuation ring of \( K \), ie the set of \( x \in K \) such that \( v(x) \geq 0 \). We choose a uniformizer \( \pi \), ie an element \( \pi \in K^* \) such that \( v(\pi) = 1 \) and let \( k \) denote the residue field \( \mathcal{O}/\pi\mathcal{O} \).

We let \( V \) denote a vector space of dimension 2 over \( K \). A lattice of \( V \) is any finitely generated \( \mathcal{O} \)–submodule of \( V \) that generates the \( K \)–vector space \( V \). If \( x \in K^* \) and \( L \) is a lattice of \( V \), \( Lx \) is also a lattice of \( V \). Thus the group \( K^* \) acts on the set of lattices; we call the orbit of a lattice under this action its class. The set of lattice classes is denoted by \( T \).

Let \( L \) and \( L' \) be two lattices of \( V \). By the invariant factor theorem there is an \( \mathcal{O} \)–basis \( \{e_1, e_2\} \) of \( L \) and integers \( a, b \) such that \( e_1 \pi^a, e_2 \pi^b \) is an \( \mathcal{O} \)–basis for \( L' \). We define the distance between the corresponding lattice class \( \Lambda \) and \( \Lambda' \) by \( d(\Lambda, \Lambda') = |a - b| \). Two elements \( \Lambda, \Lambda' \) of \( X \) are called adjacent if \( d(\Lambda, \Lambda') = 1 \). In this way one defines a combinatorial graph structure on \( T \). The graph \( T \) is a tree. Vertices of \( T \) at distance \( n \) from a fixed vertex \( \Lambda_0 \) correspond bijectively to direct factors of \( L_0/L_0\pi^n \) of rank 1, ie to points of the projective line \( \mathbb{P}(L_0/L_0\pi^n) \cong \mathbb{P}_1(\mathcal{O}/\pi^n\mathcal{O}) \). In particular, edges with origin \( \Lambda_0 \) correspond bijectively to the points of \( \mathbb{P}(L_0/L_0\pi) \cong \mathbb{P}_1(k) \); if \( q = \text{card}(k) \), the number of these edges is \( q + 1 \). For the purpose of this paper, \( q \) will always be a finite number.

\(^1\)We require that multiplication in a field commutes while Serre [12] does not
We let $GL(V)$ denote the group of $K$–automorphisms of $V$. If we fix a basis $(e_1, e_2)$ of $V$, then $GL(V)$ is naturally isomorphic to $GL_2(K)$. Let $p$ be the prime ideal corresponding to the valuation function $v$.

**Definition 2.1** We call a subgroup of $GL(V)$ $p$–bounded if it is a bounded subset of the vector space $\text{End}(V)$. If we identify $GL(V)$ with $GL_2(K)$, this means that there is an integer $l$ such that $v(s_{ij}) \geq l$ for each $s = (s_{ij}) \in G$.

### 2.2 Subgroups of $GL(V)$ and its action on the tree

We will use $v_p$ to denote the valuation function corresponding to the prime ideal $p$. Choose a uniformizer $\pi_p$. We fix a basis $(e_1, e_2)$ for $V$. $GL(V)$ acts on the regular $\text{card}(O/p) + 1$–valence tree, which we will denote by $T_p$ (see Figure 1 for $T_2$).

![Figure 1: The regular 3–valence tree $T_2$](image)

We are interested in the following subgroup of $GL(V)$ and its action on the tree:

$$G = \{(a \ b) \mid a \in K^*, b \in K\}.$$ 

Note that $G$ is generated by elements of types $(a \ 0 \ b)\left( \begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$, $(1 \ b \ 0)\left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$. The action of $G$ on the tree $T_p$ has the following properties (compare [12, Section 1.3 Chapter II]):

- Let $L_p(n)$ represent the lattice class $Oe_1 \oplus \pi^n_p Oe_2$, denote the end $L_p(0)L_p(1)L_p(2)\cdots$ by $e_p$, and the geodesic line $\cdots L_p(-2)L_p(-1)L_p(0)L_p(1)L_p(2)\cdots$ by $L_p$. Then the action of $G$ on $T_p$ fixes the end $e_p$. In particular, $(a \ 0 \ b)$ acts on $L_p$ as translation by distance $-v_p(a)$. We can put an orientation on the tree $T_p$, where the orientation of every edge is pointing towards $e_p$ and $G$ preserves the orientation. Furthermore, we can define a Busemann function $f_p$ from $T_p$ to $\mathbb{R}$ by

$$f_p(P) := \lim_{n \to \infty} d(P, L_p(n)) - n.$$
At the vertex \( L_p(0) \), there are \( \text{card}(\mathcal{O}/p) \) many vertices going into \( L_p(0) \), which correspond bijectively to elements in the residue field \( \mathcal{O}/p \). For any \( b \in \mathcal{O} \), \( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \) acts on these vertices by mapping \( x \) to \( x + b \), where \( x \in \mathcal{O}/p \), and fixes \( L_p(0) \); in fact, it fixes the horoball \( \{ P \in T_p \mid f(P) \leq 0 \} \).

\( G \) acts transitively on the vertices of \( T_p \). The stabilizer of every vertex is bounded. The stabilizer of \( L_p(0) \) consists of matrices of the form \( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \), where \( v_p(a) = 0 \), \( v_p(b) \geq 0 \).

**Remark 2.2** The Busemann function \( f_d \) can be defined in the same way for any tree with a specified base point and a specified end.

### 2.3 Group action on \( T_{p^k} \)

Let \( T_{p^k} \) be the regular \( (\text{card}(\mathcal{O}/p^k) + 1) \)-valence tree.

Let \( G_{p^k} \) be the subgroup of \( G \) generated by elements of the following three types:

- type I: \( \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \), \( v_p(a) = k \).
- type II: \( \begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \), \( v_p(m) = 0 \).
- type III: \( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \), \( b \in \mathcal{O} \).

Matrices of type I act by translation on \( T_p \) when restricted to the line \( \cdots L_p(-2)L_p(-1)L_p(0)L_p(1)L_p(2) \cdots \) by distance \(-k\). Recall that \( L_p(i) = \mathcal{O}e_1 \oplus \pi_p^i \mathcal{O}e_2 \). Hence \( f_p(M \circ P) = f_p(P) + k \) for any point \( P \in T_p \) and type-I matrix \( M \). When \( M \) is a matrix of type II or III, then \( f_p(M \circ P) = f_p(P) \).

To get an action of \( G_{p^k} \) on \( T_{p^k} \) from its action on \( T_p \), we need the following definition of Stallings folding, which is taken from [7].

**Definition 2.3** Let \( T \) be an oriented tree with \( G \)-action. Consider two edges \( e_1 \) and \( e_2 \) in \( T \) that are incident to a common vertex \( v \). Let \( \phi : e_1 \to e_2 \) denote the linear homeomorphism fixing \( v \). Then define an equivalence relation \( \sim \) on \( T \) as the smallest equivalence relation such that:

(i) \( x \sim \phi(x) \) for all \( x \in e_1 \).

(ii) If \( x \sim y \) and \( g \in G \) then \( g(x) \sim g(y) \).

The quotient space \( T/\sim \) is a simplicial tree with a natural simplicial action of \( G \). Call the quotient map \( T \to T/\sim \) a fold.
Remark 2.4  The key is that after the folding, $G$ induces an action on the new tree.

Consider the following subset of $T_p$:

$$\{ P \in T_p \mid -k + 1 \leq f_P(P) \leq 0 \}.$$

It has infinite many components. We will choose the component containing the vertex $L_p(0)$, which is a subtree of $T_p$; call it $\hat{T}_p$.

![Figure 2: $T_2$ after Stallings folding](image)

Proceeding similarly to the Stallings folding described in the appendix of [11], the Stallings folding on $T_p$ is generated by folding every pair of edges in $\hat{T}_p$ that are incident to a common vertex in it. The resulting tree (compare Figure 2) will be homeomorphic to $T_{p^k}$ . Furthermore, if we delete all the 2–valent vertices in the resulting tree, it will be exactly $T_{p^k}$ . We will identify them as the same. $T_{p^k}$ will have a natural induced orientation, a specified geodesic line and a base point under the identification. This further determines a Busemann function $f_{p^k}$ on $T_{p^k}$ . We will denote the new geodesic line by $L_{p^k}$ , and its vertices by $L_{p^k}(n)$ . Now $G_{p^k}$ has an induced action $T_{p^k}$ with the following properties:

- $G_{p^k}$ fixes the end $e_{p^k}$.

- Matrices of type I act by translation by distance $-1$ on $T_{p^k}$ when restricted to the geodesic line $L_{p^k}$ . Hence $f_{p^k}(M \circ P) = f_{p^k}(P) + 1$ for any point $P \in T_{p^k}$ and any matrix $M$ of type I. Matrices of type II fix the geodesic line $L_{p^k}$ . Furthermore, when $M$ is a matrix of type II or III, $f_{p^k}(M \circ P) = f_{p^k}(P)$.

- At the vertex $L_{p^k}(0)$ , there are card($O/p^k$) many vertices going into $L_p(0)$ , which correspond bijectively to elements in the residue ring $O/p^k$ . For any $b \in O$, $\left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ acts on these vertices by mapping $x$ to $x + b$ , where $x \in O/p^k$ , and fixes $L_p(0)$ ; in fact, it fixes the horoball $\{ P \in T_{p^k} \mid f_{p^k}(P) \leq 0 \}$.

- $G_{p^k}$ acts transitively on the vertices of the tree $T_{p^k}$ . The stabilizer of every vertex is $p$–bounded.
2.4 Action on the tree $T_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}$

In this subsection, we extend the group action on trees to more general cases. Let $p_i$ be the prime ideal corresponding to the valuation function $v_i$, and let $O_i$ be the corresponding valuation ring. Now let $T_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}$ be the $(\prod_{i=1}^{i=n} \text{card}(O_i/p_i^{k_i}) + 1)$-valence regular tree. Let $G_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}$ be the subgroup of $G$ generated by elements of the following three types:

- type I: $(a \ 0 \ 0)$, where $v_{p_i}(a) = k_i$, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- type II: $(m \ 0 \ 0)$, where $v_{p_i}(m) = 0$, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- type III: $(0 \ b \ 1)$, $b \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{i=n} O_i$.

Notice that

$$G_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}} \subseteq G_{p_1^{k_1}} \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Hence as explained in Section 2.3, $G_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}$ acts on the tree $T_{p_1^{k_1}}$. Therefore it acts on the product space $T_{p_1^{k_1}} \times T_{p_2^{k_2}} \times \cdots \times T_{p_n^{k_n}}$ diagonally. Now consider the “diagonal subspace”

$$\{(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n) \in T_{p_1^{k_1}} \times T_{p_2^{k_2}} \times \cdots \times T_{p_n^{k_n}} \mid f_{p_i^{k_i}}(y_i) = f_{p_j^{k_j}}(y_j) \text{ for any } 1 \leq i, j \leq n\},$$

where $f_{p_i^{k_i}}$ is the Busemann function on the corresponding $T_{p_i^{k_i}}$. This “subspace” is left invariant under the $G_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}$ action, hence it has an induced $G_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}$-action. It is not too hard to show that this subspace is homeomorphic to the tree $T_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}$ we defined before; we will think of them as the same. Therefore we have an induced action of $G_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}$ on $T_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}$. There is a natural Busemann function defined on $T_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}$ by $f_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}(y) = f_{p_1^{k_1}}(y_1)$. The geodesic line of $T_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}$ corresponds to the “diagonal subspace” of the product of the corresponding geodesic lines in $T_{p_i^{k_i}}$. We will represent this geodesic line by

$$\cdots L_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}(-2) L_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}(-1) L_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}(0) L_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}(1) L_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}(2) \cdots.$$

For convenience, we will denote this geodesic line as $L_1$. There is a specified end of $T_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}$,

$$L_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}(0) L_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}(1) L_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}(2) \cdots,$$

which we will denote as $e_I$. The action of $G_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}$ on $T_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}$ has the following properties:

- $G_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\ldots p_n^{k_n}}$ fixes the end $e_I$.
• Matrices of type I act by translation by distance $-1$ on $T^{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}...p_n^{k_n}}$ when restricted to the geodesic line $L_I$. Matrices of type II fix the geodesic line $L_I$. Hence $f_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}...p_n^{k_n}}(M \circ P) = f_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}...p_n^{k_n}}(P) + 1$ for any point $P \in T^{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}...p_n^{k_n}}$ and any matrix $M$ of type I. If $M$ is a matrix of type II or III, then $f_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}...p_n^{k_n}}(M \circ P) = f_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}...p_n^{k_n}}(P)$.

• At the vertex $L^{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}...p_n^{k_n}}(0)$, there are $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \text{card}(O_i/p_i^{k_i})$ many vertices going towards it, which bijectively correspond to elements in the product of the residue ring $\prod_{i=1}^{n} O_i/p_i^{k_i}$. For any $b \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} O_i$, $\left( \frac{1}{b} \right)$ acts on these vertices by mapping $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ to $(x_1 + b, x_2 + b, \ldots, x_n + b)$, where $x_i \in O_i/p_i^{k_i}$ and fixes $L^{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}...p_n^{k_n}}(0)$; in fact, it fixes the horoball

$$\{ P \in T^{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}...p_n^{k_n}} | f_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}...p_n^{k_n}}(P) \leq 0 \}.$$  

• $G_{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}...p_n^{k_n}}$ acts transitively on the vertices of the tree $T^{p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}...p_n^{k_n}}$ and the stabilizer of each vertex is $(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)$–bounded. This means that there exists an integer $l$ such that $v_{p_i}(m_{st}) \geq l$ for each $M = (m_{st})$ in the stabilizer and for any $1 \leq i \leq n$.

2.5 Applications to algebraic number fields

Let $A \in M(\mathbb{Z}, n)$ with $\det A = d > 1$, and $m(x)$ be the characteristic polynomial of $A$: $m(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1x + a_0$, $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that $A$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then its characteristic polynomial equals its minimum polynomial.

Let $K$ be $\mathbb{Q}(x)/m(x)\mathbb{Q}(x)$, then $K$ is a number field. Let $O_K$ be its ring of integers and $xO_K$ be the principle ideal in $O_K$ generated by $x$. One easily sees that $|O_K/xO_K| = d = |a_0|$.

Since $O_K$ is a Dedekind domain, $xO_K$ is a product of prime ideals $p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\cdots p_m^{k_m}$, where $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and each $p_i$ is a prime ideal. Let $v_{p_i}$ be the valuation function corresponding to the prime ideal $p_i$; $v_{p_i}(x) = k_i$.

Let $G_x$ be the subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(K)$ generated by elements of the following three types:

• type I: $\left( \begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$, where $v_{p_i}(a) = k_i$, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$.

• type II: $\left( \begin{smallmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$, where $v_{p_i}(m) = 0$, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$.

• type III: $\left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$, $b \in O_K$.

As explained in the previous subsection, we have a $G_x$–action on a oriented regular $(d + 1)$–valence tree with a specified Busemann function $f_x$, a specified geodesic line.
\[ P_x(-2)P_x(-1)P_x(0)P_x(1)P_x(2) \cdots \]. We denote the geodesic line by \( L_x \) and the end \( P_x(0)P_x(1)P_x(2) \cdots \) by \( e_x \).

The action of \( G_x \) has the following properties:

- \( G_x \) fixes the end \( e_x \).
- Matrices of type I act by translation by distance \(-1\) on \( T_d \) when restricted to the geodesic line \( L_x \). Matrices of type II fix the geodesic line \( L_x \). Hence \( f_x(M \circ P) = f_x(P) + 1 \) for any point \( P \in T_d \) and any matrix \( M \) of type I. When \( M \) is a matrix of type II or III, then \( f_x(M \circ P) = f_x(P) \).
- At the vertex \( P_x(0) \), there are \( d \) vertices going into \( P_x(0) \), which bijectively correspond to elements in the residue ring \( \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}/p_i^k \cong \mathcal{O}/\prod_{i=1}^n p_i^k \cong \mathcal{O}/x \mathcal{O} \). For any \( b \in \mathcal{O} \), \( \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \) acts on these vertices by permutation, mapping \( x \) to \( x + b \), where \( x \in \mathcal{O}/x \mathcal{O} \) and fixes \( P_x(0) \). In fact, it fixes the horoball \( \{ P \in T_d \mid f_x(P) \leq 0 \} \).
- \( G_x \) acts transitively on the vertices of the tree \( T_d \). And the stabilizer of each vertex is \( (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) \)-bounded. This means that there exists an integer \( l \) such that \( v_{p_i}(m_{st}) \geq l \) for each \( M = (m_{st}) \) in the stabilizer and for any \( 1 \leq i \leq n \).

Let \( \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} x^k & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathcal{O}_K[\frac{1}{x}] \right\} \) be a subgroup of \( G_x \). Note that this subgroup is isomorphic to our group \( \Gamma = \mathcal{O}_K[\frac{1}{x}]^{+} \times \mathbb{Z} \). We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.5** \( \Gamma \) acts on the vertices of \( T_d \) transitively and the stabilizer of every vertex is a free abelian group of rank \( n \), where \( n \) is the degree of the characteristic polynomial \( m(x) \).  

**Proof** The transitive part comes from the fact that \( \left( \begin{array}{cc} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \) acts transitively on vertices of the geodesic line \( L_x \) and the subgroup \( \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \mid b \in \mathcal{O}_K \right\} \) acts transitively on the \( d \) edges going towards the vertex \( P_x(0) \). Since the action is transitive, we only need to show that the stabilizer of \( P_x(0) \) is a free abelian group of rank \( n \). Note first that the stabilizer of \( P_x(0) \) is a subgroup of \( \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \mid b \in \mathcal{O}_K[\frac{1}{x}] \right\} \) and if \( b \in \mathcal{O}_K \), then \( \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \) fixes \( P_x(0) \). If \( b \notin \mathcal{O}_K \), then there exists a smallest \( l > 0 \) such that \( b \in x^{-l} \mathcal{O}_K \), hence \( b = x^{-l}a \) for some \( a \in \mathcal{O}_K, a \notin x \mathcal{O}_K \). Hence \( \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \) fixes \( P_x(0) \) and permutes (nontrivially) edges that point towards \( P_x(0) \). On the other hand,

\[
\left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & x^{-l}a \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} x^{-l} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} x^{l} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right).
\]

Since \( \left( \begin{array}{cc} x^{l} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \) acts on the geodesic line by translation by distance \(-l\), \( \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & x^{-l}a \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \) does not fix \( P_x(0) \). Therefore we have proved the stabilizer of \( P_x(0) \) is

\[
\left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \mid b \in \mathcal{O}_K \right\} \cong \mathcal{O}_K^{+}.
\]

which is a free abelian group of rank \( n \). \( \square \)
3 A model for $E(\Gamma)$

In this section, we construct a model for $E(O_K[\frac{1}{x}]^+ \rtimes_x \mathbb{Z})$, a contractible space with a free, proper and discontinuous $\Gamma$–action, where $\Gamma$ is the group $O_K[\frac{1}{x}]^+ \rtimes_x \mathbb{Z}$. We also put a metric on the space, such that $\Gamma$ acts isometrically on $E(O_K[\frac{1}{x}]^+ \rtimes_x \mathbb{Z})$. Then we shall prove some inequalities that we will need in the future. Compare with [11] for the case when $\Gamma$ is a solvable Baumslag–Solitar group.

Let $X = T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n$. We claim there is a free properly discontinuous diagonal action of $\Gamma$ on $X$. The action of $\Gamma$ on $T_d$ comes from the fact that $\Gamma$ is a subgroup of $G_x$ (see Section 2.5) via the faithful representation

$$\varphi: \Gamma \to G_x \subset \text{GL}_2(K) \quad \text{with} \quad \varphi(b, k) = (x^k b, 0).$$

where $(b, k) \in O_K[\frac{1}{x}]^+ \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, we also have an affine action of $\Gamma$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ given in the following way. Since $O_K$ is a free abelian group of rank $n$, we can fix a basis $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$ of it. Since $x$ acts linearly on $O_K$, we can denote the corresponding matrix relative to the basis $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$ by $A_x$. Any $b \in O_K[\frac{1}{x}]$ can be written uniquely as $b_1 e_1 + b_2 e_2 + \cdots + b_n e_n$, where $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{d}]$ (since $\det(A_x) = d$). We have

$$(*) \quad (b, k)(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) A_x^k + (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n) \cdots.$$

**Remark 3.1** Note here that the actions of $\Gamma$ on $T_d$ and $\mathbb{R}^n$ can both be extended naturally to $G_x$; hence we have an induced diagonal action of $G_x$ on $T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

**Proposition 3.2** $\Gamma$ acts on $T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n$ properly, discontinuously and cocompactly.

**Proof** This is essentially a corollary of Lemma 2.5. $\Gamma$ acts on the vertices of $T_d$ transitively while the stabilizer of $P_x(0)$ is a subgroup of $O_K[\frac{1}{x}] \rtimes_x \{0\}$ and isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Note also that the action of $O_K[\frac{1}{x}] \rtimes_x \{0\}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is simply by translation defined above by the formula $(*)$, and we have that $\mathbb{R}^n/O_K[\frac{1}{x}] \rtimes_x \{0\}$ is homeomorphic to the $n$–dimensional torus $T^n$. Hence the action is proper, discontinuous and cocompact. □

One also sees that $T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n / \Gamma$ is homeomorphic to a mapping torus of $T^n$. In fact, the linear action $A_x$ of $(x, 0) \in O_K[\frac{1}{x}] \rtimes_x \mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ induces an $d$–fold self covering map on the standard torus $T^n$ and $T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n / \Gamma$ is homeomorphic to $T^n \times [0, 1]/(w, 0) \sim (wA_x, 1)$. We need to put a metric on $T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n$ so that $\Gamma$ acts on it by isometries. For that we only need to put a metric on the quotient space $T^n \times [0, 1]/(w, 0) \sim (wA_x, 1)$. First put a standard flat metric on $T^n \times \{0\}$, and use the pullback metric by $A_x$ as the metric on $T^n \times \{1\}$. Then we linearly expand the metric to $T^n \times [0, 1]$. Recall that $T_d$
has a standard metric with edge length 1, and $\mathbb{R}^n$ has the standard Euclidean metric. Note that the metric we put here is different from the metric we constructed for the Baumslag–Solitar group in [11, Section 2].

We are going to need the following lemmas in the future.

**Lemma 3.3** For any two points $(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2) \in T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n$, 
\[ d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)) \geq d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((z_1, w_2), (z_2, w_2)) = d_{T_d}(z_1, z_2) \]

**Proof** The metric is not a warped product, but very close to one. In fact, any geodesic of the tree $T_d$ is naturally a geodesic of $T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n$ (compare [8, Lemma 3.2] in the warped product case). Hence $d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((z_1, w_2), (z_2, w_2)) = d_{T_d}(z_1, z_2)$.

The same argument for proving the warped product case in [8, Lemma 3.1] shows $d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)) \geq d_{T_d}(z_1, z_2)$. \hfill \Box

**Remark 3.4** It is not always true that $d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)) \geq d_{\mathbb{R}^n}(w_1, w_2)$.

**Corollary 3.5** If $z_1, z_2 \in T_d$, $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then 
\[ d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)) \geq \frac{1}{2} d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((z_1, w_1), (z_1, w_2)). \]

**Proof** Combining the triangle inequality for metric spaces and Lemma 3.3 yields the corollary. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 3.6** Given a point $z_0 \in T_d$, there exists an neighborhood $I$ of $z_0$ in $T_d$ containing $z_0$ such that for any $z \in I$, if $d_{\mathbb{R}^n}(w_0, w_1)$ is greater than some fixed $\varepsilon_0$, then there exists $\varepsilon'_0$ such that $d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((z, w_0), (z, w_1)) > \varepsilon'_0$.

**Proof** The lemma comes from the observation we can define another standard product metric in $T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n$; the two metrics are different but they induce the same topology on $T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Hence they will induce the same topology on $\{z\} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. In fact, the open ball of radius $\varepsilon_0$ centered at $(z, w_0)$ under the product metric is open under our metric also, hence there exists $\varepsilon'_0$ such that the ball of radius $\varepsilon'_0$ centered at $(z, w_0)$ under our metric is contained in the ball of radius $\varepsilon_0$ under the product metric. Therefore if $d_{\{z\} \times \mathbb{R}^n}(w_0, w_1)$ is greater than $\varepsilon_0$, then $(z, w_1)$ is going to be outside the ball of radius $\varepsilon_0$ under the product metric centered at $(z, w_0)$. Hence it will be outside the ball of radius $\varepsilon'_0$ centered at $(z, w_0)$ under our metric, in particular $d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((z, w_0), (z, w_1)) > \varepsilon'_0$. One can choose the neighborhood $I$ to be compact and sufficiently small; then, we can further rechoose $\varepsilon'_0$ such that $d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((z, w_0), (z, w_1)) > \varepsilon'_0$ holds for any $z \in I$. \hfill \Box
Lemma 3.7 Let $z_0$ be a fixed point in $T_d$ and $w_1, w_2$ be two fixed points in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Denote the distance $d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((z_0, w_1/n), (z_0, w_2/n))$ by $D_n$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $N > 0$ which depends on $D_1$ but is independent of $z_0$ such that for any $n > N$ we have $D_n < \epsilon$. In particular,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} D_n = 0.$$ 

Proof Two metrics on $\{z_0\} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ that measure the distance between $(z_0, w_1/n)$ and $(z_0, w_2/n)$: one using geodesics in $T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n$, and the other using the standard Euclidean metric. Since they define the same topology on $\{z_0\} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, we have that for any ball of radius $\epsilon/2 > 0$ centered at $(z_0, 0)$ under our metric, we can find $\epsilon' > 0$ such that the ball of radius $\epsilon'$ under the Euclidean metric is contained in the ball of radius $\epsilon/2$ under our metric. We first assume that $(z_0, w_1)$ lies in the fundamental domain (assume it contains $P_\chi(0)P_\chi(1) \times \{0\}$), which is compact; in particular $d_{\mathbb{R}^n}(w_0, 0) < L$ for some $L > 0$. Therefore both $(z_0, w_0)$ and $(z_0, w_1)$ lie in the ball of radius $D_1 + L$ centered at $(z_0, 0)$ under the Euclidean metric. Now we choose $N$ big enough such that for any $n > N$, $(z_0, w_1/n)$ and $(z_0, w_2/n)$ lie in the ball of radius $\epsilon'/2$ under the Euclidean metric; in particular, their distance under the Euclidean metric is smaller than $\epsilon'$, hence both $(z_0, w_1/n)$ and $(z_0, w_2/n)$ will lie in the same ball of radius $\epsilon/2$ centered at $(z_0, 0)$ under our metric, therefore the distance between $(z_0, w_1/n)$ and $(z_0, w_2/n)$ under our metric is $D_n < \epsilon$. Since the fundamental domain is compact, we can rechoose $N$ so that the lemma holds for any $(z_0, w_1)$ in the fundamental domain.

Next we extend the result to any $(z_0, w_1) \in T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Choose $g = (b, k) \in \Gamma = \mathcal{O}_K \left[ \frac{1}{\chi} \right] \rtimes \chi \mathbb{Z}$ such that $g(z_0, w_1)$ lies in the fundamental domain. Then we have

$$d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}(g(z_0, \frac{w_1}{n}), g(z_0, \frac{w_2}{n})) = d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((gz_0, \frac{w_1}{n}A_\chi^k) + b), (gz_0, \frac{w_2}{n}A_\chi + b)).$$

Since translation in the $\mathbb{R}^n$ direction does not change the distance,

$$d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}(g(z_0, \frac{w_1}{n}), g(z_0, \frac{w_2}{n})) = d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((gz_0, \frac{w_1}{n}A_\chi^k + b), (gz_0, \frac{w_2}{n}A_\chi + b)) = d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((gz_0, \frac{1}{n}gw_1), (gz_0, \frac{1}{n}gw_2)).$$

In particular, when $n = 1$,

$$d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((gz_0, \frac{1}{n}gw_1), (gz_0, \frac{1}{n}gw_2)) = D_1$$

and $(gz_0, 1/ngw_1)$ lies in the fundamental domain, therefore when $n > N$,

$$d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((gz_0, \frac{1}{n}gw_1), (gz_0, \frac{1}{n}gw_2)) < \epsilon.$$ 

Hence $d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}(g(z_0, w_1/n), g(z_0, w_2/n)) < \epsilon$. The element $g$ acts on $T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n$ as an isometry, hence

$$d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((\frac{w_1}{n}), (\frac{w_2}{n})) < \epsilon.$$
We first introduce Bartels and Lück’s flow space, starting with the notion of a generalized geodesic in $X$. Let $z$ lie on the geodesic connecting $z_0$ and $z_1$. Then there exists $\beta > 1$ depending on $T$ and independent of $z_1$ such that for any $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$, if $d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((z_1, w), (z_1, 0)) = R \leq 1$, then $d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((z, w), (z, 0)) \leq \beta R$.

**Proof** First fix $w$ and $z_1$, then let $M$ be the maximum of $d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((z, w), (z, 0))$, where $z$ lies in the geodesic connecting $z_0$ and $z_1$ (which is compact). Then we can just choose $\beta = M/R$ (we assume $R > 0$). Moreover we can choose such a $\beta$ for all $w$ such that $d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((z_1, w), (z_1, 0)) \leq 1$ at the same time since the set of all such $w$ forms a compact set. Notice that when $w$ gets close to 0, the metric gets close to Euclidean metric and we are able to choose such $\beta$ for those $w$ continuously (one can also extend $\beta$ to those $w$ by simply making $\beta$ large enough). We can further assume $z_1$ lies on the edge $P_x(0)P_x(1)$ by using the isometric action of $\Gamma$ on $T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n$ to translate any $z_1$ to $P_x(0)P_x(1)$. In fact we can always translate the geodesic connecting $z_0$ and $z_1$ to a geodesic that lies in the specified geodesic line $L_x$ and so that $z_1$ lies in $P_x(0)P_x(1)$. Finally, because $P_x(0)P_x(1)$ is compact, we can further choose a $\beta$ that works for all $z_1 \in P_x(0)P_x(1)$. Hence $\beta$ is independent of $z_1$. 

**Definition 4.2** Let $T$ be a positive integer and $z_0, z_1 \in T_d$ such that $z_1$ lies in the geodesic ray connecting $z_0$ and the end $e_x$, and $d_{T_d}(z_0, z_1) = T$. Let $z$ lie on the geodesic connecting $z_0$ and $z_1$. Then there exists $\beta > 1$ depending on $T$ and independent of $z_1$ such that for any $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$, if $d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((z_1, w), (z_1, 0)) = R \leq 1$, then $d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((z, w), (z, 0)) \leq \beta R$.

**Lemma 3.8** Let $T$ be a positive integer and $z_0, z_1 \in T_d$ such that $z_1$ lies in the geodesic ray connecting $z_0$ and the end $e_x$, and $d_{T_d}(z_0, z_1) = T$. Let $z$ lie on the geodesic connecting $z_0$ and $z_1$. Then there exists $\beta > 1$ depending on $T$ and independent of $z_1$ such that for any $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$, if $d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((z_1, w), (z_1, 0)) = R \leq 1$, then $d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}((z, w), (z, 0)) \leq \beta R$.

**Definition 4.1** Let $X$ be a metric space. A continuous map $c: \mathbb{R} \to X$ is called a generalized geodesic if there are $c_-, c_+ \in \mathbb{R} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ satisfying

$$c_- \leq c_+ , \quad c_- \neq \infty , \quad c_+ \neq -\infty$$

such that $c$ is locally constant on the complement of the interval $I_c := (c_-, c_+)$. We can further assume $z_1$ lies on the edge $P_x(0)P_x(1)$ by using the isometric action of $\Gamma$ on $T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n$ to translate any $z_1$ to $P_x(0)P_x(1)$. In fact we can always translate the geodesic connecting $z_0$ and $z_1$ to a geodesic that lies in the specified geodesic line $L_x$ and so that $z_1$ lies in $P_x(0)P_x(1)$. Finally, because $P_x(0)P_x(1)$ is compact, we can further choose a $\beta$ that works for all $z_1 \in P_x(0)P_x(1)$. Hence $\beta$ is independent of $z_1$. 

**Definition 4.2** Let $(X, d_X)$ be a metric space. Let $FS(X)$ be the set of all generalized geodesics in $X$. We define a metric on $FS(X)$ by

$$d_{FS(X)}(c, d) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d_X(c(t), d(t))}{2e^{\mid t \mid}} \, dt.$$
The flow on \( FS(X) \) is defined by \( \Phi: FS(X) \times \mathbb{R} \to FS(X) \), where \( \Phi_t(c)(t) = c(t + \tau) \) for \( \tau \in \mathbb{R} \), \( c \in FS(X) \) and \( t \in \mathbb{R} \).

**Lemma 4.3** The map \( \Phi \) is a continuous flow. If we take \( c, d \in FS(X) \), \( \tau \in \mathbb{R} \), then
\[
e^{-|\tau|}d_{FS(X)}(c, d) \leq d_{FS(X)}(\Phi_t(c), \Phi_t(d)) \leq e^{|\tau|}d_{FS(X)}(c, d).
\]

**Proof** A more general version is proved in [4, Lemma 1.3].

Note that the isometry group of \( (X, d_X) \) acts canonically on \( FS(X) \). Recall that a map is proper if the inverse image of every compact subset is compact. Bartels and Lück also proved the following for the flow space \( FS(X) \) in [4, Proposition 1.9 and 1.11].

**Proposition 4.4** If \( (X, d_X) \) is a proper metric space, then \( (FS(X), d_{FS(X)}) \) is a proper metric space; in particular, it is a complete metric space. Furthermore, if a group \( \Gamma \) acts isometrically and properly on \( (X, d_X) \), then \( \Gamma \) also acts isometrically and properly on \( (FS(X), d_{FS(X)}) \). In addition, if \( \Gamma \) acts cocompactly on \( X \), then \( \Gamma \) acts cocompactly on \( FS(X) \).

Now we define our flow space by
\[
HFS(T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n) := FS(T_d) \times \mathbb{R}^n,
\]
where \( T_d \) has its natural metric with edge length 1. Since \( \Gamma \) has an action on both \( FS(T_d) \) and \( \mathbb{R}^n \), \( \Gamma \) will have a diagonal action on \( FS(T_d) \times \mathbb{R}^n \). One can think of \( HFS(T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n) \) as the horizontal subspace of \( FS(T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n) \). In fact, there is a natural embedding of \( HFS(T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n) \) (as a topological space with the product topology) into \( FS(T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n) \) defined as follows: For a generalized geodesic \( c \) on \( T_d \) and \( w \in \mathbb{R}^n \), we define a generalized geodesic on \( T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n \), which maps \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) to \((c(t), w) \in T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n \) (by Lemma 3.3, \((c(t), w)) \) is a geodesic of \( T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n \) for \( t \in [0, \infty) \)). \( HFS(T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n) \) will inherit a metric from this embedding.

For the rest of this section, let \( X = T_d \times \mathbb{R} \).

**Lemma 4.5** The flow space \( HFS(T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n) \) is a proper metric space, in particular a complete metric space.

**Proof** The proof here is parallel to the proof of Lemma 3.5 in [11], where we replace [11, Lemma 2.6] by Lemma 3.6. For completeness, we write the proof here. To prove that \( HFS(T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n) \) is a proper metric space, we need to show that every closed ball \( B_r(c) = \{c' \mid d_{HFS(T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n)}(c, c') \leq r\} \) in \( HFS(T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n) \) is compact. Let \( \{c_i\} \) be a Cauchy sequence in the closed ball \( B_r(c) \); we need to show it converges to a
point in $B_r(c)$. Since the space $FS(T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is proper, we can now assume \{c_i\} converges to a point $c_0$ in $FS(T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. We only need to show $c_0 \in HFS(T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Denote the projection map from $T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n$ to $T_d$ as $q_1$, from $T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ as $q_2$. Then $c_1(t) = (q_1(c_1(t)), q_2(c_1(t)))$. Suppose $c_0 \not\in HFS(T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n)$; then $q_2(c_0(t))$ is not a constant map. Choose a big enough closed interval $I$ in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $q_2(c_0(t))$ restricted to $I$ is not a constant. In particular, we can choose two points $t_1, t_2 \in I$ such that $q_2(c(t_1)) \neq q_2(c(t_2))$. Let $A_1 = q_2(c(t_1))$ and $A_2 = q_2(c(t_2))$. Let $\delta = |A_1 - A_2|$, which is the distance between $A_1$ and $A_2$ under the standard Euclidean metric. Let $I_1 = \{t \in I \mid |q_2(c_0(t)) - A_1| \leq \delta/4\}$, and correspondingly $I_2 = \{t \in I \mid |q_2(c_0(t)) - A_2| \leq \delta/4\}$. Note that $I_1$ and $I_2$ are nonempty compact sets with measure bigger than 0. Now for any given $c_i$, if $|q_2(c_i) - A_2| \geq \delta/2$, then for $t \in I_2$, $d_{\mathbb{R}^n}(q_2(c_0(t)), q_2(c_i(t))) \geq \delta/4$. Then

$$d_{FS(X)}(c_0, c_i) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dT_d \times \mathbb{R}^n(c_0(t), c_i(t))}{2e^{2|t|}} \, dt \geq \int_{I_2} \frac{dT_d \times \mathbb{R}^n(c_0(t), c_i(t))}{2e^{2|t|}} \, dt \geq \int_{I_2} \frac{1}{2} d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}(c_0(t), (q_1(c_0(t)), q_2(c_i(t)))) dt$$

(by Corollary 3.5).

Note that here $d_{\mathbb{R}^n}(q_2(c_0(t)), q_2(c_i(t))) \geq \delta/4$, and we can choose $I'_2 \subset I_2$ such that Lemma 3.6 holds, hence there exists $\delta'$ such that

$$d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}(c_0(t), (q_1(c_0(t)), q_2(c_i(t)))) > \delta'$$

for any $t \in I'_2$. Then

$$\int_{I_2} \frac{1}{2} d_{T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n}(c_0(t), (q_1(c_0(t)), q_2(c_i(t)))) dt \geq \int_{I'_2} \frac{1}{2} \delta' dt > 0.$$

The last integral is independent of $c_i$; denote its value by $\epsilon_1$. If $|q_2(c_i) - A_2| \leq \delta/2$, then $|q_2(c_i) - A_1| \geq \delta/2$. For the same reason, there exists $\epsilon_2 > 0$ such that $d_{FS(X)}(c_0, c_i) \geq \epsilon_2$. Let $\epsilon = \min(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) > 0$; then $d_{FS(X)}(c_0, c_i) \geq \epsilon > 0$. Hence the sequence \{c_i\} can never converge to $c_0$; a contradiction. □

**Remark 4.6** The proof in fact shows that the embedding $HFS(X) \subset FS(X)$ is a closed $\Gamma$–equivariant embedding.
We define now the flow

\[ \Phi: \text{HFS}(T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R} \to \text{HFS}(T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n) \]

by \( \Phi_t((c, w))(t) = (c(t + \tau), w) \) for \( c \in \text{FS}(T_d) \) and \( \tau, w, t \in \mathbb{R} \). Note that \( \Phi \) is a \( \Gamma \)-equivariant flow.

**Remark 4.8** We define an embedding \( \hat{\Psi}: T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \text{FS}(T_d) \times \mathbb{R}^n \) by \( (z, w) \to (c_z, w) \), where \( c_z \) is the unique generalized geodesic that sends \( (-\infty, 0) \) to \( z \), and...
We need the following lemma in the proof of our main theorem.

Lemma 4.9 Let \( z_0 \) be a fixed point in \( T_d \), \( w_1, w_2 \) be two fixed points in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), and \( P_n = (z_0, w_1/n), Q_n = (z_0, w_2/n) \), \( d_X(P_1, Q_1) < D \). Then for any \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists a number \( \bar{N} \), which depends only on \( \epsilon, D \) and \( d \), such that for any \( n > \bar{N} \),

\[
d_X(P_n, Q_n) < \frac{\epsilon}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{HFS(X)}(\Psi(P_n), \Psi(Q_n)) \leq \epsilon.
\]

**Proof** Choose \( T \) to be the first positive integer greater than \( \ln 4/\epsilon \). Since \( \Psi(P_n)(T) \) and \( \Psi(Q_n)(T) \) have the same \( T_d \) coordinate, by Lemma 3.7 we can choose a big enough integer \( \bar{N} \) such that for any \( n > \bar{N} \),

\[
d_X(\Psi(P_n)(T), \Psi(Q_n)(T)) < \epsilon/(4\beta),
\]

where \( \beta > 1 \) is determined by Lemma 3.8 for our \( T \) here. Note that \( \bar{N} \) depends only on \( \epsilon, D, T \) (which is determined by \( \epsilon \)) and \( d \). Using the definition of generalized geodesics, we have

\[
d_X(\Psi(P_n)(t), \Psi(P_n)(T)) = t - T \quad \text{and} \quad d_X(\Psi(Q_n)(t), \Psi(Q_n)(T)) = t - T,
\]

where \( t \geq T \). Hence for any \( t \geq T \), by the triangle inequality, we have

\[
d_X(\Psi(P_n)(t), \Psi(Q_n)(t)) \leq d_X(\Psi(P_n)(t), \Psi(P_n)(T)) + d_X(\Psi(P_n)(T), \Psi(Q_n)(T)) + d_X(\Psi(Q_n)(T), \Psi(Q_n)(t)) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4\beta} + 2(t - T) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} + 2(t - T).
\]

On the other hand, for any \( 0 \leq t \leq T \), by Lemma 3.8, we have

\[
d_X(\Psi(P_n)(t), \Psi(Q_n)(t)) \leq \beta d_X(\Psi(P_n)(T)), \Psi(Q_n)(T)) < \frac{\epsilon}{4}.
\]

In particular,

\[
d_X(P_n, Q_n) = d_X(\Psi(P_n)(0)), \Psi(Q_n)(0)) < \frac{\epsilon}{4}.
\]

Also, for \( t \leq 0 \), \( \Psi(P_n)(t) = \Psi(P_n)(0) = P_n \) and \( \Psi(Q_n)(t) = \Psi(Q_n)(0) = Q_n \), hence

\[
d_X(\Psi(P_n)(t), \Psi(Q_n)(t)) = d_X(P_n, Q_n) < \frac{\epsilon}{4\beta}.
\]
Therefore, for any \( n > N \),
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d_{\text{HFS}(X)}(\Psi(P_n), \Psi(Q_n))}{2e^{\|t\|}} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d_X(\Psi(P_n)(t)), \Psi(Q_n)(t))}{2e^{\|t\|}} dt \\
&= \int_{(-\infty, 0]} \frac{d_X(\Psi(P_n)(t)), \Psi(Q_n)(t))}{2e^{\|t\|}} dt + \int_{[0, T]} \frac{d_X(\Psi(P_n)(t)), \Psi(Q_n)(t))}{2e^{\|t\|}} dt \\
&\quad + \int_{[T, \infty)} \frac{d_X(\Psi(P_n)(t)), \Psi(Q_n)(t))}{2e^{\|t\|}} dt \\
&\leq \int_{(-\infty, 0]} \frac{\epsilon}{4} \frac{dt}{2e^{\|t\|}} + \int_{[0, T]} \frac{\epsilon}{4} \frac{dt}{2e^{\|t\|}} + \int_{[T, \infty)} \frac{\epsilon + 2(t - T)}{2e^{\|t\|}} dt \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} + e^{-T} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{4} = \frac{\epsilon}{2}.
\end{align*}
\]
Hence we proved the lemma. \( \square \)

Because of the properties proved in Lemma 4.7, [5, Theorem 1.4] yields a long thin cover for \( \text{HFS}(X) \); ie the following result holds.

**Proposition 4.10** There exists a natural number \( N \), depending only on \( k, d_{\text{HFS}(X)} \) and the action of \( \Gamma \) on an arbitrary neighborhood of \( \text{HFS}(X)^\mathbb{R} \), such that for every \( \lambda > 0 \) there is a \( \mathcal{V}_c \)–cover \( \mathcal{U} \) of \( \text{HFS}(X) \) with the following properties:

(i) \( \dim \mathcal{U} \leq N \).

(ii) For every \( x \in \text{HFS}(X) \) there exists \( U_x \in \mathcal{U} \) such that
\[
\Phi_{[-\lambda, \lambda]}(x) := \{ \Phi_{\tau}(x) \mid \tau \in [-\lambda, \lambda] \} \subseteq U_x.
\]

(iii) \( \Gamma \setminus \mathcal{U} \) is finite.

Here \( \mathcal{V}_c \) denotes the collections of virtually cyclic subgroups of a group.

Recall that the dimension of a cover \( \mathcal{U} \) is defined to be the greatest \( N \) such that there exist \( N + 1 \) elements in \( \mathcal{U} \) with nonempty intersection. In general, for a collection of subgroups \( \mathcal{F} \), we define a \( \mathcal{F} \)–cover as following.

**Definition 4.11** Let \( G \) be a group and \( Z \) be a \( G \)–space. Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a collection of subgroups of \( G \). An open cover \( \mathcal{U} \) of \( Z \) is called an \( \mathcal{F} \)–cover if the following three conditions are satisfied.
(i) For \( g \in G \) and \( U \in \mathcal{U} \) we have either \( g(U) = U \) or \( g(U) \cap U = \emptyset \).

(ii) For \( g \in G \) and \( U \in \mathcal{U} \), we have \( g(U) \in \mathcal{U} \).

(iii) For \( U \in \mathcal{U} \) the subgroup \( G_U := \{ g \in G \mid g(U) = U \} \) is a member of \( \mathcal{F} \).

For a subset \( A \) of a metric space \( Z \) and \( \delta > 0 \), \( A^\delta \) denotes the set of all points \( z \in Z \) for which \( d(z, A) < \delta \). Combining Lemma 4.3 and the fact that \( \Gamma \) acts cocompactly on \( FS(T_d) \times \mathbb{R} \) (Lemma 4.7, (i)), Proposition 4.10 can be improved to the following.

**Proposition 4.12** There exists a natural number \( N \), depending only on \( k_{\Gamma}, d_{HFS(X)} \) and the action of \( \Gamma \) on an arbitrary neighborhood of \( HFS(X)^\mathbb{R} \) such that for every \( \lambda > 0 \) there is a \( \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{C}_y \)–cover \( U \) of \( HFS(X) \) with the following properties:

(i) \( \dim U \leq N \).

(ii) There exists a \( \delta > 0 \) depending on \( \lambda \) such that for every \( x \in HFS(X) \) there exists \( U_x \in \mathcal{U} \) such that \( (\Phi_{[-\lambda, \lambda]}(x))^\delta \subseteq U_x \).

(iii) \( \Gamma \setminus \mathcal{U} \) is finite.

**Proof** A simple modification of [5, Section 1.3, pages 1804–1805] yields the result. Their Lemma 7.2, used in the proof, is replaced by Lemma 4.3 in our case. \( \Box \)

## 5 Proof of the main theorem

### 5.1 Some induction

Let \( D \) be a integral domain with quotient field \( K \), let \( a \in U(D) \), where \( U(D) \) denotes the units of \( D \). Form the semi-direct product group \( D^+ \rtimes_a \mathbb{Z} \), where \( D^+ \) denotes the additive group of \( D \) and \( \mathbb{Z} \) acts on \( D^+ \) via multiplication by \( a \).

**Lemma 5.1** If FJC is true for \( D^+ \rtimes_a \mathbb{Z} \), then it is also true for \( K^+ \rtimes_a \mathbb{Z} \), and hence for every subgroup of \( K^+ \rtimes_a \mathbb{Z} \).

**Proof** If \( b \in D \setminus \{0\} \), then

\[
D\left[\frac{1}{b}\right] = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^n} D^+ = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{b^n} D^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \left(D\left[\frac{1}{b}\right]\right)^+ \rtimes_a \mathbb{Z} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{b^n} D^+ \rtimes_a \mathbb{Z}.
\]

Since \( \frac{1}{b^n} D^+ \rtimes_a \mathbb{Z} \cong D^+ \rtimes_a \mathbb{Z} \), FJC is true for \( \frac{1}{b^n} D^+ \rtimes_a \mathbb{Z} \) and hence for the direct limit group \( D\left[\frac{1}{b}\right]^+ \rtimes_a \mathbb{Z} \) by Proposition 1.1(3). On the other hand

\[
K^+ \rtimes_a \mathbb{Z} = \lim_{b \in D \setminus \{0\}} D\left[\frac{1}{b}\right]^+ \rtimes_a \mathbb{Z},
\]

hence FJC is true for \( K^+ \rtimes_a \mathbb{Z} \). By Proposition 1.1(1), any subgroup of \( K^+ \rtimes_a \mathbb{Z} \) also satisfies FJC. \( \Box \)
Let \( m(x) \) be a monic polynomial in \( \mathbb{Z}[x] \) that is irreducible in \( \mathbb{Q}[x] \). Let \( K \) be the number field determined by \( m(x) \), ie \( K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/m(x)\mathbb{Q}[x] \), and let \( \mathcal{O}_K \) denote its ring of integers.

The rest of the section is devoted to prove the following result.

**Theorem 5.2** The FJC is true for the group \( \Gamma = \mathcal{O}_K \left[ \frac{1}{x} \right]^+ \rtimes_x \mathbb{Z} \), and hence true for \( K^+ \rtimes_x \mathbb{Z} \) by Lemma 5.1.

**Corollary 5.3** Let \( M \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) have determinant \( d > 1 \). Assume that its characteristic polynomial \( m(x) \) is irreducible in \( \mathbb{Q}[x] \). Then \( \Gamma = (\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{d}])^n \rtimes_M \mathbb{Z} \) satisfies FJC. Moreover, \( \mathbb{Q}^n \rtimes_M \mathbb{Z} \) satisfies FJC.

**Proof** It suffices, because of Theorem 5.2, to embed \( \Gamma \) in \( K^+ \rtimes_x \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n \) be a basis for \( \mathcal{O}_K^+ \). (Recall that \( \mathcal{O}_K^+ \) is a free abelian group of rank \( n \).) Note that \( x \in \mathcal{O}_K^+ \) since \( m(x) = 0 \) and \( m(x) \) is a monic polynomial with integral coefficients. Hence multiplication by \( x \) induces an endomorphism \( f \) of \( \mathcal{O}_K^+ \) and \( f \) determines a matrix \( \overline{M} \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) via the basis \( \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\} \). Furthermore the minimum polynomial of \( \overline{M} \) is also \( m(x) \). So \( M \) and \( \overline{M} \) have the same minimum and characteristic polynomial \( m(x) \), which is \( \mathbb{Q}[x] \)--irreducible. Consequently \( M \) and \( \overline{M} \) are conjugate via a matrix \( T \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \). Let \( \overline{e}_1, \overline{e}_2, \ldots, \overline{e}_n \) be a new basis for \( K = \mathcal{O}_K \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) determined by \( T \) and the basis \( e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n \). Then multiplication by \( x \) on \( K \) determines the matrix \( M \) in terms of \( \overline{e}_1, \overline{e}_2, \ldots, \overline{e}_n \). We can now define the desired group homomorphism

\[
F: (\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{d}])^n \rtimes_M \mathbb{Z} \to K^+ \rtimes_x \mathbb{Z}, \quad (b, k) \mapsto (\overline{b}, k),
\]

where \( (b, k) \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{d}]^n \rtimes_M \mathbb{Z} \), \( b = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n) \) with \( b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{d}] \) and \( \overline{b} = b_1 \overline{e}_1 + b_2 \overline{e}_2 + \cdots + b_n \overline{e}_n \). This homomorphism is clearly monic. Since this extends to an embedding of \( \mathbb{Q}^n \rtimes_M \mathbb{Z} \) into \( K^+ \rtimes_x \mathbb{Z} \), \( \mathbb{Q}^n \rtimes_M \mathbb{Z} \) also satisfies FJC. \( \square \)

### 5.2 Hyper-elementary subgroups of \((\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes_M, \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}\)

Recall a hyper-elementary group is an extension of a \( p \)-group by a cyclic group of order \( n \) such that \( (n, p) = 1 \) (compare Definition 1.5).

**Proposition 5.4** Given \( M \) an \( n \times n \) matrix with integer coefficients with \( \det(M) = d > 1 \), we have the following:

Given any positive integer \( N \), there are positive integers \( s \) and \( r \) satisfying:

(i) \( s \equiv 1 \mod d \).

(ii) The order of \( \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z}) \) divides \( r \). In particular we can consider the group \((\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes_M, \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z} \), where \( M_s \) is the reduction of \( M \) modulo \( s \). Note that \( M_s \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z}) \) since \( \det(M) = d \) is coprime to \( s \).
If $H$ is a hyper-elementary subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes_{M_s} \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$, then at least one of the following two statements is true:

(a) $[\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}, \text{pr}(H)] \geq N$, where pr is the projection map

$$(\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes_{M_s} \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}.$$ 

(b) There exists a natural number $k$ which divides $s$, $k \geq N$ and

$$H \cap (\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes \{0\} \subset k(\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z})^n.$$

**Proof** The result just says that $M$ is hyperbolic good in the sense of Bartels, Farrell and Lück in [1, Definition 3.11] by taking $o = d$, which ensures that the reduction of $M$, $M_s \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z})$. The only tricky thing is here to show that $\det(M) = d > 1$; fortunately the proofs of Lemma 3.18 to Lemma 3.21 in [1] still apply directly.

5.3 Proof of Theorem 5.2

The remains of the proof of Theorem 5.2 are exactly parallel to the proof of the FJC for the solvable Baumslag–Solitar groups in [11, Section 5]. Hence we only sketch it.

**Claim** The group $O_K[\frac{1}{\chi}]^+ \rtimes_{\chi} \mathbb{Z}$ is a Farrell–Hsiang group (compare Definition 1.3) with respect to the family of virtually abelian subgroups.

If we can prove the claim, then by Theorem 1.6 we will have proved that $O_K[\frac{1}{\chi}]^+ \rtimes_{\chi} \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies the FJC with respect to the family of abelian subgroups. Since FJC is known for abelian groups, by the transitivity principle (Lemma 1.2), we have proven our Theorem 5.2. As noted in the proof of Corollary 5.3, $O_K$ is a free abelian group of rank $n$; we will fix a basis for it, and denote the action of $x$ under this basis by $M$, which is an integer matrix with determinant $d > 1$. Let $M_s$ denote the reduced matrix of $M$ modulo $s$.

**Sketch of the proof of the claim** Given any $m > 0$, we will choose the finite group $F_m$ to be $(\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes_{M_s} \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$, where $r$ and $s$ depend on $m$, and $r$ divides the order of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z})$. We need to construct a surjective group homomorphism

$$\alpha_m: O_K[\frac{1}{\chi}]^+ \rtimes_{\chi} \mathbb{Z} \to (\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes_{M_s} \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}.$$ 

Note that $O_K[\frac{1}{\chi}]^+$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{d}])^n$, $s$ is coprime to $d$ and $sO_K[\frac{1}{\chi}]^+ \rtimes \{0\}$ is a normal subgroup of $O_K[\frac{1}{\chi}]^+ \rtimes_{\chi} \mathbb{Z}$ with quotient isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes_{M_s} \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand $M_s \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z})$ while $r$ divides the order of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z})$. Hence we can further map $(\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes_{M_s} \mathbb{Z}$ to $(\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes_{M_s} \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$.
Now by Proposition 5.4 the hyper-elementary subgroup of $F_m$ will be divided into two cases and $N$ will be determined later, but is at least bigger than $4m^2$.

**Case (a)** \([\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}, \text{pr}(H)] \geq N > 4m^2\), where pr is the projection map

\[(\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes_{M_s} \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}.
\]

In this case we will choose $E_H$ to be the real line $\mathbb{R}$ with large cells. The map $f_H$ from $O_K[\frac{1}{N}]^+ \rtimes_{d} \mathbb{Z}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ is just the projection map to the second factor as $\mathbb{Z}$ naturally embeds in $\mathbb{R}$. For more details, compare with the proof in [11, Section 5, Case (1)].

**Case (b)** There is a natural number $k > N$ such that $H \cap (\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes \{0\} \subset k(\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z})^n$ and $k$ divides $s$. We will use the following diagram to produce $E_H$ in this case:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\eta} & T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n \\
& \xrightarrow{F_k^{-1}} & T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n \\
& \xrightarrow{\Psi_\tau} & \text{HFS}(T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n).
\end{array}
\]

Here $F_k : T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n \to T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n$ acts by

\[F_k(z, w) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)(z, w) \quad \text{for} \quad (z, w) \in T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n
\]

and $\eta$ is just the embedding by picking up base point $(P_0, 0)$, i.e., $\eta(g) = g(P_0, 0)$. The action of $\left( \begin{array}{cc} k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$ on $(z, w) \in T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is the diagonal action explained in Section 3. In particular $F_k^{-1}$ will shrink $T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n$ in the $\mathbb{R}^n$ direction. $\Psi_\tau$ is defined in Remark 4.8. By Proposition 4.12, we have a long thin cover on $\text{HFS}(T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, using $\Psi_\tau$ and $F_k^{-1}$ we can pull back the cover to $T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n$. $E_H$ will be the nerve of this cover, there is a canonical map $\tilde{f}_H : T_d \times \mathbb{R}^n \to E_H$; we define $f_H = \tilde{f}_H \circ \eta$. Now by some careful choices of $k$ and $\tau$, one can prove that $f_H$ satisfies the inequality we need in the definition of a Farrell–Hsiang group (Definition 1.3). For more details, compare with the proof in [11, Section 5, Case (2)].

\[\square\]
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