A near-infrared study of the multiphase outflow in the type-2 quasar J1509+0434
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ABSTRACT

Based on new near-infrared spectroscopic data from the instrument Espectrógrafo Multiobjeto Infra-Rojo (EMIR) on the 10.4 m Gran Telescopio Canarias, we report the presence of an ionized and warm molecular outflow in the luminous type-2 quasar J150904.22+043441.8 (z = 0.1118). The ionized outflow is faster than its molecular counterpart, although the outflow sizes that we derive for them are consistent within the errors (1.34 ± 0.18 and 1.46 ± 0.20 kpc, respectively). We use these radii, the broad emission-line luminosities and in the case of the ionized outflow, the density calculated from the trans-auroral [O III] lines, to derive mass outflow rates and kinetic coupling efficiencies. While the ionized and warm molecular outflows represent a small fraction of the AGN power (∼0.033 and 0.0001 per cent of L_{bol}, respectively), the total molecular outflow, whose mass is estimated from an assumed warm-to-cold gas mass ratio of 6 × 10^{-5}, has a kinetic coupling efficiency of ∼1.7 per cent L_{bol}. Despite the large uncertainty, this molecular outflow represents a significant fraction of L_{bol} and it could potentially have a significant impact on the host galaxy. In addition, the quasar spectrum reveals bright and patchy narrow Paα emission extending out to 4 arcsec (8 kpc) south-east and north-west from the active nucleus.

Key words: galaxies: active – galaxies: nuclei – galaxies: quasars.

1 INTRODUCTION

Active galactic nuclei (AGNs) can affect the interstellar medium of their host galaxies by consuming, heating, sweeping out, and/or disrupting the gas available to form new stars (Fabian 2012; Peng, Maiolino & Cochrane 2015). Indeed, semi-analytic models and simulations of galaxy formation require this feedback from the AGN to quench star formation, therefore producing realistic numbers of massive galaxies (Springel et al. 2005; Croton et al. 2006). However, many observations reveal quasar-driven outflows with radial sizes ≤1–3 kpc when seeing-smearing effects are accounted for (Husemann et al. 2016; Fischer et al. 2018; Tadhunter et al. 2018) and the outflow kinetic powers measured for AGNs of different luminosities vary by four orders of magnitude (∼0.001–10 per cent of L_{bol}; Harrison et al. 2018). The impact of the observed outflows on their host galaxies is thus far from having been constrained.

The problem is that the contribution from the different gas phases entrained in the winds has not been determined in unbiased and representative AGN samples (Cicone et al. 2018). This is primarily due to the reduced wavelength coverage of the observations, generally restricted to the optical. Other important sources of uncertainty are the assumptions needed to derive outflow properties such as mass rate and kinetic power (Harrison et al. 2018), generally used to compare with models and simulations.

Type-2 quasars (QSO2s; L_{bol} > 10^{45} L_{⊙}; Reyes et al. 2008) are excellent laboratories to search for outflows and study their influence in their host galaxies. This is because the emission lines produced in the broad-line region and the AGN continuum are obscured by nuclear dust, making it easier to detect broad lines associated with the outflows and study the stellar populations of the host galaxies. In Ramos Almeida et al. (2017), we demonstrated the feasibility of near-infrared (NIR) spectroscopy to characterize QSO2 outflows in the ionized and warm molecular phases of the gas. We found that the outflow properties were different from those derived using optical data and gas phase dependent, as previously

* Ramón y Cajal Fellow. E-mail: cra@iac.es
claimed by Rupke & Veilleux (2013). This implies that all single-phase estimates of outflow properties provide an incomplete view of AGN feedback (Fiore et al. 2017; Ciccone et al. 2018). The NIR range does not only include emission lines tracing ionized and warm molecular gas, but it is less affected by extinction ($A_K \approx 0.1 \times A_V$) and permits to reach lower seeing values than the optical. In spite of this, the NIR spectrum of nearby QSO2s remains practically unreprol (Rupke & Veilleux 2013; Villar Martin et al. 2015; Ramos Almeida et al. 2017).

We report high spectral resolution ($R \approx 4000$) $K$-band spectroscopy of the QSO2 SDSS J150904.22+043441.8 (also IRAS F15065+0446 and hereafter J1509) obtained with the instrument Espectrógrafo Multiobjetos Infra-Rojo (EMIR) on the 10.4 m Gran Telescopio Canarias (GTC). This is a nearby QSO2 ($z = 0.1118$) from the catalogue of Reyes et al. (2008), having an [O III] luminosity of $10^{43.66} L_\odot$ ($L_{[OIII]} = 4.9 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$, J1509 was observed for a total on-source integration time of 1920 s following a nodding pattern ABBA.

Slit losses were minimal ($\delta \approx 0.8$ arcsec measured from the sky spectrum, we measured a wavelength calibration error of $\sim 0.8$ arcsec, allowing a spectral resolution of $\sim 85$ km s$^{-1}$ at 2.1 $\mu$m. The instrumental width measured from the OH sky lines is $5.8 \pm 0.2$ $\AA$ with a dispersion of 1.71 $\AA$ pixel$^{-1}$. Slit losses were minimal ($\sim 5$ per cent as estimated from the A0 star spectrum), thanks to the good seeing and photometric conditions during the observation. J1509 was observed for a total on-source integration time of 1920 s following a nodding pattern ABBA. The two nodding positions were separated by 30 arcsec and the slit was oriented along PA $= -16^\circ$, centred on the galaxy nucleus and following the extended emission observed in the colour-combined optical SDSS image of the galaxy. The data were reduced using the LIRISDR software within the IRAF environment. Consecutive pairs of AB two-dimensional spectra were subtracted to remove the sky background. Resulting frames were then wavelength-calibrated and flat-fielded before registering and co-adding all frames to provide the final spectra. The wavelength calibration was done using the HgAr, Ne, and Xe lamps available. From the sky spectrum, we measured a wavelength calibration error of 8.33 km s$^{-1}$.

Throughout this letter, we assume a cosmology with $H_0 = 71$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.27$, and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73$. At the distance of the galaxy ($D_L = 515$ Mpc), the spatial scale is 2.017 kpc arcsec$^{-1}$.

2 GTC/EMIR OBSERVATIONS

J1509 was observed with the NIR multislit spectrograph EMIR (Garzón et al. 2006, 2014), installed at the Naysmith-A focal station of the 10.4 m GTC at the Roque de los Muchachos Observatory, in La Palma. EMIR is equipped with a 2048 $\times$ 2048 Teledyne HAWAII-2 HgCdTe NIR-optimized chip with a pixel size of 0.2 arcsec. We obtained a $K$-band (2.03–2.37 $\mu$m) spectrum during the night of 2018 June 28 in service mode (Proposal GTC77-18A; PI: Ramos Almeida). The airmass during the observation was 1.26–1.38, the observing conditions were photometric, and $\delta$ $= 1.86$. The ionized lines show a narrow component ($\sim 0.8$ arcsec, allowing a spectral resolution of $\sim 85$ km s$^{-1}$ at 2.1 $\mu$m. The instrumental width measured from the OH sky lines is $5.8 \pm 0.2$ $\AA$ with a dispersion of 1.71 $\AA$ pixel$^{-1}$. Slit losses were minimal ($\sim 5$ per cent as estimated from the A0 star spectrum), thanks to the good seeing and photometric conditions during the observation. J1509 was observed for a total on-source integration time of 1920 s following a nodding pattern ABBA. The two nodding positions were separated by 30 arcsec and the slit was oriented along PA $= -16^\circ$, centred on the galaxy nucleus and following the extended emission observed in the colour-combined optical SDSS image of the galaxy. The data were reduced using the LIRISDR software within the IRAF environment. Consecutive pairs of AB two-dimensional spectra were subtracted to remove the sky background. Resulting frames were then wavelength-calibrated and flat-fielded before registering and co-adding all frames to provide the final spectra. The wavelength calibration was done using the HgAr, Ne, and Xe lamps available. From the sky spectrum, we measured a wavelength calibration error of 8.33 km s$^{-1}$.

The multiphase outflow of J1509+0434

In order to study the nuclear emission of J1509, we extracted a spectrum in an aperture of 0.8 arcsec ($\sim 1.6$ kpc) and smoothed using a 6 pixel boxcar.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Nuclear spectrum

In order to study the nuclear emission of J1509, we extracted a spectrum in an aperture of 0.8 arcsec (1.61 kpc), centred at the peak of the continuum emission. It was then flux-calibrated and corrected from atmospheric transmission by using the AOV star spectrum and the IRAF task TELLURIC. The spectrum reveals several emission lines tracing different phases of the gas (see Fig. 1).

The emission lines were fitted with Gaussian profiles using the Starlink package DIPSO. We used the minimum number of Gaussians necessary to correctly reproduce the line profiles leaving a flat residual (see Fig. 2). The ionized lines show a narrow component of $\sim 400–450$ km s$^{-1}$, typical of the narrow-line region, while those of the molecular lines are slightly narrower (300–350 km s$^{-1}$).

Figure 1. Spectrum of the central region of J1509, extracted in an aperture of 0.8 arcsec ($\sim 1.6$ kpc) and smoothed using a 6 pixel boxcar.

The FWHMs velocity shifts ($V_r$) and fluxes are reported in Table 1. The FWHMs are corrected from instrumental broadening and $V_r$ are relative to the central wavelength of the Pa$\alpha$ narrow component ($\lambda_c = 20853.52 \pm 0.64$ Å), from which we determine a redshift of $z = 0.11182 \pm 0.00003$. Uncertainties in $V_r$ include the wavelength calibration error and individual fit uncertainties provided by DIPSO. Flux errors were determined by adding quadratically the flux calibration error ($\sim 5$ per cent estimated from the A0 star) and the fit uncertainties.

In Table 2 the detections are numbered, with $\delta$ $= 0.85$, and all the five Pa$\alpha$ lines detected in our nuclear spectrum, two Gaussians are needed to reproduce their asymmetric line profiles. One corresponds to the narrow component and the other to a blueshifted broad component that might be the approaching side of a biconical outflow (see e.g. Crenshaw et al. 2010; Bae & Woo 2016). The latter are indicated with a (b) in Table 1 and as blue solid lines in Fig. 2. The FWHM of the blueshifted component measured for Pa$\alpha$ is 1750 $\pm$ 175 km s$^{-1}$, with $V_r = -335 \pm 70$ km s$^{-1}$. The same components are needed to reproduce the Pa$\beta$ profile, of much lower intensity and partially blended with...
the central wavelength of the narrow component. For the [O\textsc{iii}] line, we fitted a broad component of FWHM = 1500 ± 20 km s\(^{-1}\), blueshifted by 350 ± 10 km s\(^{-1}\). Thus, despite the different scales probed by the optical and NIR data (the SDSS spectrum corresponds to an aperture of 3 arcsec in diameter), we find consistent results. The [Si\textsc{vi}] line detected in our NIR spectrum also shows a blueshifted broad component of FWHM = 1450 ± 300 km s\(^{-1}\), which indicates that the highly ionized gas is also outflowing. This broad component is less blueshifted (≈100 km s\(^{-1}\)), although with relatively large uncertainty due to the blend with the H\textsc{ii} line, than the low-ionization broad components, as we also found for the Teacup galaxy (Ramos Almeida et al. 2017). In the optical spectrum, we find a blueshifted broad component of FWHM = 1270 ± 200 km s\(^{-1}\) for the coronal line [Fe\textsc{ii}]\(^{2+}\) 6087 Å (IP=99.1 eV), consistent within the errors with the FWHM of [Si\textsc{vi}]. Finally, we detect broad components in the warm molecular lines. These broad components have FWHMs≈1300 km s\(^{-1}\) and are blueshifted by ≈100 km s\(^{-1}\) relative to narrow Pa\textsc{r}. For the majority of the H\textsc{ii} lines, we had to fix the input parameters of the broad component to obtain good fits (see Table 1), but these blueshifted broad components are necessary to reproduce the line profiles and produce flat residuals (see the right-hand panel of Fig. 2). A significant fraction of the warm molecular gas is also outflowing.

In order to constrain the spatial extent of the outflows, we followed the methodology employed in Rose et al. (2018). We averaged spatial slices of the blue and red wings of the broad lines detected in the nuclear spectrum, avoiding the wavelength range covered by the corresponding narrow emission line and any other adjacent emission lines (e.g. the low-intensity helium lines blended with the blue wing of Pa\textsc{r}; see Fig. 2). We did the same for spatial slices blueward and redward of the broad emission line, averaged them and subtracted from the broad line emission. By doing this, we derive continuum-free spatial profiles of the gas in the outflow, so we can fit them with a Gaussian to measure FWHM\(_{\text{obs}}\) (see Fig. 3).

We consider the outflow resolved if

\[ FWHM_{\text{obs}} > FWHM_{\text{seeing}} + 3\sigma = 0.76'' + 3 \times 0.07 = 0.97''. \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Finally, we subtracted the seeing FWHM in quadrature to derive the outflow size

\[ FWHM_{\text{out}} = \sqrt{FWHM_{\text{obs}}^2 - FWHM_{\text{seeing}}^2}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Using the red wing of the Pa\textsc{r} line, we find that the ionized outflow in J1509 is barely resolved, and we measure FWHM\(_{\text{out}}\) = 1.34 ± 0.18 kpc. For the molecular outflow, we used the S(5) and S(1) lines, which have the highest S/N in our nuclear spectrum. In the case of (S(1), the noisy continuum prevents a good determination

Table 1. Emission lines detected in the nuclear, SE, and NW knots spectra of J1509. Velocity shifts (\(V_v\)) are relative to the central \(\lambda\) of the narrow Pa\alpha component. Measurements without errors correspond to fixed parameters.

| Line          | FWHM (km s\(^{-1}\)) | Nuclear spectrum | Line flux (10\(^{-16}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) |
|---------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| He\textsc{ii} | 400 ± 13              | 0 ± 9            | 25.08 ± 1.53                                |
| He\textsc{i}  | 400 ± 13              | 0 ± 9            | 25.08 ± 1.53                                |
| Pa\textsc{r}  | 1748 ± 175            | −335 ± 69        | 19.45 ± 2.12                                |
| Br\textsc{v}  | 400 ± 13              | 0 ± 9            | 25.08 ± 1.53                                |
| Br\textsc{v}  | 1783 ± 214            | −330             | 4.11 ± 0.75                                 |
| [Si\textsc{vi}] | 448 ± 76              | 27 ± 22          | 3.81 ± 1.23                                 |
| [Si\textsc{vi}] | 1457 ± 324            | −99 ± 143        | 9.41 ± 2.02                                 |
| H\textsc{2} 1-0S(5) | 291 ± 48           | 1 ± 18           | 2.40 ± 0.57                                 |
| H\textsc{2} 1-0S(5) | 1265 ± 253         | −110 ± 59        | 5.60 ± 0.81                                 |
| H\textsc{2} 1-0S(4) | 327 ± 52             | 28 ± 24          | 1.40 ± 0.23                                 |
| H\textsc{2} 1-0S(4) | 1300 ± 100          | −100             | 0.14 ± 0.24                                 |
| H\textsc{2} 1-0S(3) | 359 ± 31             | 27 ± 15          | 4.54 ± 0.61                                 |
| H\textsc{2} 1-0S(3) | 1300 ± 100          | −100             | 6.51 ± 1.74                                 |
| H\textsc{2} 1-0S(2) | 300 ± 43             | 36 ± 36          | 1.22 ± 0.30                                 |
| H\textsc{2} 1-0S(2) | 1300 ± 100          | −100             | 1.67 ± 0.81                                 |
| H\textsc{2} 1-0S(1) | 345 ± 39             | 57 ± 19          | 5.35 ± 0.86                                 |
| H\textsc{2} 1-0S(1) | 1300 ± 42            | 116              | 6.31 ± 1.49                                 |
| Pa\alpha      | 112 ± 9              | 7 ± 8            | 6.59 ± 0.35                                 |
| Pa\alpha      | 112 ± 12             | 57 ± 9           | 1.99 ± 0.15                                 |

For comparison with the NIR ionized lines, we fitted the H\beta and [O\textsc{iii}]\(^{2+}\) 5007 Å lines detected in the optical spectrum of J1509 publicly available from the SDSS data release 14 (Abolfathi et al. 2018). We measured an FWHM=400 km s\(^{-1}\) for the narrow components of H\beta and [O\textsc{iii}]. The broad H\beta component has an FWHM = 1200 ± 100 km s\(^{-1}\) and it is blueshifted by 445 ± 80 km s\(^{-1}\) from the central wavelength of the narrow component. For the [O\textsc{iii}] line, we fitted a broad component of FWHM = 1500 ± 20 km s\(^{-1}\), blueshifted by 350 ± 10 km s\(^{-1}\). Thus, despite the different scales probed by the optical and NIR data (the SDSS spectrum corresponds to the blue wing of H\textsc{2} 1-0S(3). For this reason, some of the input parameters were fixed to obtain a reliable fit (see Table 1).
of the continuum-free spatial profiles, but using the continuum-subtracted red and blue wings of the S(5) line (only red continuum available; see Fig. 2) we also find the molecular outflow to be barely resolved, with FWHM$_{\text{obs}} = 1.46 \pm 0.20$ kpc. The errors were estimated by adding in quadrature the seeing error and the standard deviation of FWHM$_{\text{obs}}$ obtained from varying the wavelength range covered by the continuum and red and blue wings of the lines.

### 3.2 Extended emission

The slit was oriented following the morphological structures visible in the optical SDSS image of J1509 (PA = $-16^\circ$). Our $J$-band acquisition image shows extended emission roughly in the same direction. The two-dimensional $K$-band spectrum reveals the bright and patchy extended Pa$\alpha$ emission shown in Fig. 4. Towards the south-east (SE), we detect a bright and compact line-emitting blob peaking at 2.2 arcsec (4.44 kpc) from the nucleus (as measured from the position of the maximum of the AGN continuum). The north-west (NW) extended Pa$\alpha$ emission is patchy and it shows a bright knot at 3.4 arcsec (6.86 kpc) from the AGN nucleus (see Fig. 2). We will refer to these regions as the SE and NW knots. In order to constrain the total extent of the narrow Pa$\alpha$ emission, we analysed the line profiles detected in adjacent spectra extracted in apertures of 0.8 arcsec at both sides of the nucleus (as measured from the maximum of Pa$\alpha$ emission). We detect narrow Pa$\alpha$ emission up to 4 arcsec (8 kpc) SE and NW of the nucleus. We extracted two additional spectra of the same aperture (0.8 arcsec) centred at the peak of each knot. The Pa$\alpha$ emission of the SE knot can be reproduced with a single Gaussian of FWHM $\sim 110$ km s$^{-1}$ and centred practically at the same wavelength of the nuclear Pa$\alpha$ narrow component. In the NW knot, the line profile appears double-peaked due to the residuals of a sky line at 2.0857 $\mu$m but it can be fitted with a single Gaussian of FWHM $\sim 120$ km s$^{-1}$ and redshifted by $\sim 60$ km s$^{-1}$ relative to the nuclear Pa$\alpha$ narrow component (see Table 1). Thus, the extended gas kinematics provide no evidence for outflowing gas on these scales, despite the clear presence of warm ionized gas, although we cannot rule out the presence of low-brightness outflow components (Spence et al. 2018).

### 4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We detect blueshifted broad components in different emission lines of the nuclear spectrum of the QSO2 J1509 that we identify with the approaching side of a biconical multiphase outflow. Integral field observations are required to confirm this geometry. The characteristics of this outflowing gas are different in the ionized and warm molecular phases, highlighting the importance of this kind of studies to evaluate the impact of AGN feedback. The ionized outflow is faster ($v_c \approx -330$ km s$^{-1}$) than the warm molecular outflow ($v_c \approx -100$ km s$^{-1}$). This is also the case for the obscured quasar F08572+3915: NW ($L_{\text{bol}} \sim 5.5 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$) studied by Rupke & Veilleux (2013) in the NIR using integral field spectroscopy and for which they reported blueshifted H$_\alpha$ gas velocities of up to $-1700$ km s$^{-1}$ in the inner 400 pc of the quasar. These results are in contrast with what we found for the Teacup (Ramos Almeida et al. 2017), in which we detected the ionized outflow but not its molecular counterpart.

In order to evaluate the power of the ionized and warm molecular outflows, we need to estimate accurate mass outflow rates ($M$) and kinetic powers ($E$). To do so, we first require a good estimate of the outflow density. Taking advantage of the optical SDSS spectrum of J1509, we can measure the total fluxes of the $[$Si$]\lambda\lambda6716, 6731$ and $[$O$]_\lambda\lambda3726, 3729$ doublets as well as of the trans-auroral $[$O$]_\lambda\lambda7319, 7331$ and $[$S$]_\lambda\lambda4068, 4076$ lines. By doing so, we can determine the electron densities ($n_e$) and reddening of the outflow region simultaneously, following the method described in Rose et al. (2018). The trans-auroral ratios $F(3726+3729)/F(7319+7331)$ and $F(4068+4076)/F(6717+6731)$...
are sensitive to higher density gas than the classical [S II] and [O II] doublet ratios (Holt et al. 2011) and therefore more suitable for estimating outflow densities.

By comparing our measured [O II] and [S II] ratios (0.83 ± 0.02 and −1.27 ± 0.05) with a grid of photoionization models computed with CLOUDY (C13.04; Ferland et al. 2013), we obtain Log \( n_e \) (cm\(^{-3}\)) = 3.25 ± 0.15 and \( E(B-V) = 0.45 \pm 0.04 \). These values are consistent with those obtained by Rose et al. (2018) and Spence et al. (2018) using the same methodology employed here for a sample of 17 ULIRGS with nuclear activity, redshifts 0.04 < z < 0.2 and bolometric luminosities \( 43.4 \leq \log L_{bol} \leq 46.3 \). It has been suggested that part of the outflow mass could be contained in lower density gas not traced by the trans-auroral lines (Sun, Greene & Zakamska 2017), but high electron densities (Log \( n_e = 4.5 \)) are also reported by Baron & Netzer (2019) for the outflows of nearby type-2 AGNs using an independent method. We note that our value of \( n_e \) is a lower limit on the outflow density because we used total fluxes instead of broad line fluxes, which we could not fit for the trans-auroral lines, and the densities estimated from the two sets of broad [S II] and [O II] lines are always equal or higher than those obtained from the total line fluxes (Rose et al. 2018; Spence et al. 2018).

To calculate the ionized outflow mass rate (\( \dot{M} \)), we used equations (1), (2), and (3) in Rose et al. (2018), \( n_e \), \( \theta_{out} \), \( v_{out} \) (defined as the difference between the peak velocities of the broad and narrow components of each line reported in Table 1), and the reddening-corrected broad Pa\( \alpha \) flux. The latter was obtained using the \( E(B-V) \) calculated above for the outflow region and the Calzetti et al. (2000) reddening law (\( A_{K} = 0.18 \pm 0.02 \) mag). We derive an outflow mass \( M \leq 9 \times 10^5 M_\odot \) and \( \theta_{out} \leq 0.46 M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\). The latter is at the lower end of the range of values reported by Rose et al. (2018) and Spence et al. (2018) for nearby ULIRGs. We note that outflow mass and derived quantities are upper limits because we consider \( n_e \) to represent a lower limit on the true electron density (\( M = 9 \times 10^5 M_\odot \times 10^{1.25/n_e} \)).

Using the H\(_2\) 1-0S(3) and 1-0S(1) broad line fluxes and equations (2) and (3) in Martín-Hernández et al. (2008), we calculate an excitation temperature \( T_{ex} \approx 2000 \) K for the molecular gas in the outflow assuming local thermal equilibrium. Under these conditions, we can use equation (1) in Emonts et al. (2017), the extinction-corrected 1-0S(1) flux, and the luminosity distance of the QSO2 to estimate the molecular outflow mass. We obtain \( M = (1.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^6 M_\odot \), which is a factor of 90 lower than the mass in the ionized outflow. This difference in phases is considerably lower than the factor of 1600 reported by Rupke & Netzer (2005) for the ionized and molecular outflows as reported in Table 2. This difference between phases is considerable because we do not consider projection effects in the [O II] luminosity, we find \( \theta_{out} \lesssim 0.033 \) per cent, which is the power of the outflow as a fraction of \( L_{bol} \) or the kinetic coupling efficiency. For the molecular outflow, we calculate a kinetic power of \( \dot{E}_{warm} = 4.9 \times 10^{39} \) erg s\(^{-1}\), which represents 0.0001 per cent \( L_{bol} \). In principle, these low values of \( \dot{E}_{warm} \) would indicate that neither of the two phases of the wind are very relevant in terms of energetics, even considering that only \( 0.5–3 \) per cent of the outflow energy is kinetic according to simulations (Richings & Faucher-Giguère 2018) and that a fraction of this energy is used to work against the gravitational potential. Taking this into account, only \( 0.5–3 \) per cent \( L_{bol} \) would be transmitted to the ionized and molecular outflows that we are characterizing here (Harrison et al. 2018). However, if we estimate the total molecular mass in the outflow from the warm molecular gas and we use the same outflow radius and kinematics to work out the kinetic energy (\( \dot{E}_{V_{max}} = 8.2 \times 10^{13} \) erg s\(^{-1}\)), we find \( \theta_{out} \approx 1.7 \) per cent \( L_{bol} \). Thus, the molecular outflow in J1509 represents a significant fraction of \( L_{bol} \) and it could potentially have a significant impact on the host galaxy. Despite the large uncertainty in the assumed warm-to-cold gas ratio, our value of 1.7 per cent \( L_{bol} \) is in agreement with the coupling efficiencies derived from CO-based measurements of AGNs of similar bolometric luminosities as J1509 (\( 0.5–3 \) per cent; Feruglio et al. 2015; Morganti et al. 2015).

We have demonstrated the feasibility of GTC/EMIR spectroscopy for deriving accurate multiphase outflow properties and evaluate their potential impact on the host galaxy. The next step is targeting representative quasar samples to investigate how different galaxy properties (e.g. radio jets, shocks, morphologies) might be influencing the characteristics of the outflows and ultimately, how these outflows (i.e. AGN feedback) are affecting the host galaxies.
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