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Abstract

With rapid economic and population growth, construction land expansion in Yangtze River economic belt in China becomes substantial, carrying significant social and economic implications. This research uses Expansion Speed Index and Expansion Intensity Index to examine spatiotemporal characteristics of construction land expansion in the Yangtze River economic belt from 2000 to 2017. Based on a STIRPAT model, driving forces of construction land expansion are measured by Principal Component Analysis and Ordinary Least Square regression. The results show that: (1) there is a clear expansion pattern regarding the time sequence in provinces/cities of the Yangtze River economic belt, with rapid expansion in the initial stage, moderate expansion in the middle stage and rapid expansion in the later stage. (2) Spatial analysis demonstrates first expansion in the lower reaches in the early stage, rapid expansion of the upper reaches in the middle and later stage, and steady expansion of the middle reaches throughout the research period. (3) There are statistical significant correlations between construction land expansion and GDP, social fixed asset investments, population at the end of the year, population urbanization rate, per capita road area, and number of scientific and technological professionals as well as secondary and tertiary industry values. Of these factors, GDP, social fixed asset investments, population urbanization rate and secondary industry value are important common driving forces of construction land expansion in this region. The research findings have significant policy implications particularly on coordinated development of urban agglomerations and sustainable industry upgrading when construction land expansion is concerned.

Introduction

With accelerated urbanization and rapid economic development in China, construction land expands at an increased speed and this trend will be sustained in the next few years [1, 2]. While construction land expansion provides space for urban construction and economic and social development, it also brings about a series of problems including over-occupation of rural arable land which threatens food security and destructions to ecological environment.
Land expropriation in China has produced substantial number of landless farmers dissatisfied with resettlement and compensation arrangements, leading to several social problems [3]. It is of great significance to examine construction land expansion to promote economy, society and ecology development [4]. Spatial and temporal analysis of construction land expansion can reveal the expansion mechanisms, while quantitative methods can identify contributions of different driving forces of expansion, providing references for more targeted policies [5]. Characteristics and mechanisms of construction land expansion have attracted extensive attention from governments and scholars.

There is a wealth of research discussing construction land expansion in different countries. One seminal research was conducted in 1995 by the International Geosphere Biosphere Program and International Human Dimension Programme, which launched the Land Use/Cover Change research program and received enthusiastic responses from many international organizations and governments [6, 7]. Many scholars have carried out a large number of studies on the expansion of construction land, from perspectives of influences of changes in construction land [8], pattern measurement [9] and driving mechanism [10], and effectively exploring the influence of social and economic development [11], population size [12], transportation [13], living standard of residents [14] as well as urbanization process [15]. Kantakumar et al. [16] analyzed the spatiotemporal characteristics of construction land expansion in Pune, India, using remote sensing technology. The issue of urban expansion around Ulaanbaatar in Mongolia was examined by using feature-oriented spatial data [17]. Azhdari et al. [18] took Shiraz, Iran, as an example to discuss and analyze the relationship between spatial driving forces of urban expansion and socio-economic isolation. Garbarino et al. studied subalpine Larix decidua forests and analyzed driving factors of land use change [19]. Based on land-cover/use transitions in the binational Tijuana River watershed, Ojeda-Revah et al. discussed the spatial and temporal change pattern of land use [20].

Research on driving forces of land use change in China began in late 1990s. Most research focuses on the spatial characteristics and mechanism of construction land expansion, driving forces and measurement of expansion, as well as ecological environment responses to expansion [21, 22]. Research considers this issue nationwide [23, 24], at provincial level [25] and urban agglomeration [26], as well as in city area [27, 28] and marginal areas [29, 30]. Specifically for the Yangtze River economic belt, a giant economic belt straddling eastern and western China, more research focuses on construction land expansion in single cities or provinces. Hu et al. explored the driving factors of construction land expansion in Wuhan, Hubei province from 2003 to 2012 [31]. Taking large and medium-sized cities in Hubei province as an example, Yu [32] studied the influencing factors of construction land expansion in terms of time and space differences. Lv [33] discussed driving factors of urban construction land expansion in Hangzhou, Zhejiang province. Driving forces of urban construction land expansion in Wuxi city, Jiangsu province was studied by path coefficient analysis [34]. At present, there is inadequate research on construction land expansion and its driving force in the Yangtze River economic belt as a whole. This paper thus analyzes the expansion degree and explores main factors promoting construction land expansion in this region.

According to China statistical yearbook and China city statistical yearbook, Yangtze River economic belt’s GDP reached 37.3 trillion Yuan, accounting for about 45.3% of China’s total economic output in 2017. With about 1/5 of the China’s land area, it contributed more than 2/5 of the country’s total economic outputs [35, 36]. The outline of the development plan of the Yangtze River economic belt issued in September 2016 established a new development pattern of the Yangtze River economic belt with “one axis, two wings, three poles and multiple points”. “One axis” means construction of a green development axis along the Yangtze River, relying on the golden waterway of the Yangtze River and the central role of Shanghai, Wuhan and
Chongqing. “Two wings” respectively refer to the two major transportation channels of Hurui (Shanghai-Ruili, Yunnan) and Hurong (Shanghai-Chengdu, Sichuan), which are the basis for the development of the Yangtze River economic belt. “Three poles” refers to three urban agglomerations covering the Yangtze River delta, the middle reaches of the Yangtze River and Chengdu-Chongqing cities, giving full play of the radiation role of central cities. "Multi-point" means to take the supporting role of prefecture-level cities outside the three urban agglomerations, and to strengthen their economic interaction with central cities to drive regional economic development.

The Yangtze River economic belt has unique advantages and great development potential due to its advantageous geographical location and unique natural resources. Thus, revealing the spatiotemporal characteristics of construction land expansion in the Yangtze River economic belt and exploring its driving forces are of great significance to sustainable economic and social development of the Yangtze River economic belt and sustainable use of land resources.

Multiple methods have been used in analysis including gray relational degree analysis [37], STIRPAT model [38], Principal Component Analysis (PCA) [39], multiple regression analysis [40, 41] and co-integration analysis method based on Eviews software [4]. However, there is an issue of multicollinearity among variables in multiple regression analysis, while co-integration analysis cannot reveal whether there is a causal relationship between variables [5]. Additionally, it is unclear in Gray correlation results regarding the marginal contribution of driving factors. Tan and Ni [42] proposed that as it is difficult to quantify the driving forces influencing construction land expansion through a single correlation analysis and fitting result, this issue can be better examined through a particular perspective when establishing the analytical framework.

There are many types of analysis methods based on STIRPT model. Zhang et al. further indicated a combination of PCA and Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression to effectively eliminate the issue of multicollinearity among economic variables, and suggested to use this method in empirical research on driving forces of construction land expansion [5]. This paper thus chooses to use this method. In terms of the research area, current research on construction land expansion and its driving force mainly focuses on single cities and provinces, less on regional city clusters, and particularly the Yangtze River economic belt. Further, by referring to relevant research and taking consideration of particular characteristics of the research region, this paper selects driving factors from the perspectives of urban economic development level, social policy intensity, population factors, urbanization level, urban road traffic conditions, technical level and industrial structure to analyze the correlation between them.

This paper builds a STIRPAT model, and combines PCA with OLS regression to investigate the expansion and driving forces of construction land expansion in provinces/cities of the Yangtze River economic belt in China, as well as the differences and coordinated development among provinces/cities in this region. The paper firstly introduced the research area and method. It then analyzed the spatiotemporal characteristics of construction land expansion in this region from 2000 to 2017 through measuring the Expansion Speed Index (ESI) and Expansion Intensity Index (EII) of construction land. The STIRPAT model was used to examine and compare the driving forces in each province/city. This was followed by conclusions from and discussions on research results.

**Data and method**

**Area and data sources**

Yangtze River economic belt refers to the economic circle around the Yangtze River, covering an area of about 205.7104 km$^2$, accounting for 21.27% of total land area in China. There are 11
provinces/cities including Shanghai, Jiangsu, Zhejiang, Anhui, Jiangxi, Hubei, Hunan, Chongqing, Sichuan, Yunnan and Guizhou (Fig 1). The Yangtze River economic belt is divided into upper (Chongqing, Sichuan, Guizhou and Yunnan), middle (Anhui, Jiangxi, Hubei and Hunan) and lower (Jiangsu, Zhejiang and Shanghai) reaches.

This figure is modified from CIA China map (public domain): https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/index.html. The figure only includes cities/provinces in Yangtze River economic belt, China. It’s not identical to the original image and is therefore for illustrative purpose only.

As there is no information regarding construction land area in Shanghai, this paper only considers construction land expansion in the remaining 10 provinces/cities except Shanghai [33]. Similar case can also be found from Zhang et al. [43], where Shanghai was excluded from analysis on coordination between urban construction land scale and urban population due to the same reason. For the remaining 10 provinces/cities, the research has attempted to cover a greater time period and therefore the total dataset is not small for analysis in the paper. Further, there is also published research also using similar or smaller data sets (Zhao [4], Zhang [44] and Lv [30]). Social and economic data were obtained from China statistical yearbook,
China city statistical yearbook, statistical yearbooks of provinces/cities and China regional economic database in corresponding years. A few missing data were obtained by interpolation.

**Methods**

**ESI and EII.** Speed and trend of construction land expansion are measured through ESI, which means the annual average expansion rate of construction land. Its absolute value represents the speed and its symbol denotes the trend. In order to facilitate comparison and analysis of spatial differences between each spatial unit, land area of each spatial unit to expansion speed was standardized, the result is EII and it indicates the expansion range of regional construction land [40]. The formulae are as follows:

\[
ESI_i = \frac{A_t - A_0}{\Delta t \times A_0} \times 100\% 
\]

\[
EII_i = \frac{A_t - A_0}{\Delta t \times S} \times 100\% 
\]

Where \(A_0\) is construction land area in the initial year; \(A_t\) is construction land area in year \(t\); \(\Delta t\) is the time span; \(S\) is total land area of the region.

**Selection of driving forces.** Construction land expansion is influenced by a variety of natural, economic, social and technological factors. In short term, it is mainly impacted by government policies, technical and economic factors, while natural biology may also be a restrictive factor in long term [45, 46]. You and Yang found that economic, social and demographic factors’ impacts would increase over time, while natural factors would gradually reduce their influence on urban expansion [47]. Shao et al. [48] consider that influence of natural environmental factors on construction land expansion is relatively stable and small in short time. Therefore, this research does not include natural factors in analysis.

Specially, this research selects indicators to evaluate impacts of economic and social factors on construction land expansion. Economic factors are closely linked with urban development and population, especially in research on construction land expansion. Wen summarized the driving mechanism of construction land expansion and believed that the main driving factors can be classified into three categories: economic factors (GDP, output value of secondary and tertiary industries, income and investment level), social factors (population, urbanization) and policy factors (development policies, land planning and national guidelines), among which socio-economic factors are the main research direction [49]. Wang et al. analyzed the spatial and temporal evolution of urban construction land in the Yangtze River economic zone, and results showed that expansion of urban construction land was affected by population, GDP, industrial structure, economic activities, infrastructure and other factors [50]. Xu and Huang [39] analyzed the driving mechanism of urban expansion from the perspectives of population, economic, residents’ living standard and transportation development level. Chen et al. [51] proposed that urban expansion was affected by road traffic conditions and measured urban economic development, road traffic conditions and urban population’s impacts on urban land expansion. Therefore, this research includes GDP, population at the end of the year, population urbanization rate and per capita road area to evaluate impacts of economic factors.

While influences of social factors have been explicitly acknowledged, their impacts can be more difficult to quantify. Peng and Zou [38] suggested that social policies have great impacts on social investments and thus selected social fixed asset investments to represent the policy strength when analyzing driving forces of construction land expansion. Bao & Wang [23] suggested that impacts of government policies will be reflected in socio-economic outcomes, and
thus government policies can be analyzed along with other factors. Combine with the above discussions, our model also included total fixed asset investment to analyze the influence of policy factors.

Additionally, Zhang et al. [5] pointed out that while most research focuses on factors such as economy, urbanization and fixed asset investments, there is inadequate consideration of policy regulations, industrial structure and technology. More specifically for the Yangtze River economic belt, this research argues that technical and industrial structural factors can be quite influential. In 2017, about two fifth of the country’s colleges and college students are in the Yangtze River economic belt (China statistical yearbook, 2018). As there are abundant education resources along the Yangtze River economic belt and considering the national industrial development strategy implemented in the Yangtze River economic belt as mentioned previously, technical factors and industrial structure may have great influences on construction land expansion.

To sum up, eight social and economic factors are included in analysis, which are: GDP (represents urban economic development), social fixed asset investments (represents social policy strength), population at the end of the year (represents population), population urbanization rate (represents urbanization), per capita road area (represents urban road traffic conditions), number of scientific and technological professionals (represents the technical level), secondary and tertiary industry values (represents industrial structure). Construction land area was selected as the dependent variable, and its correlation with the selected driving forces was analyzed. GDP and social fixed asset investments are adjusted to actual variables according to Eq 3. Consumer price index in year 2000 was 100:

\[
\text{Actual variables} = \frac{\text{Variable annual price} \times 100}{\text{CPI}}
\]

Indexes used in this research and their data source are shown in Table 1 below:

### STIRPAT model

In 1970s, ecologists and environmental scientists proposed a IPAT model to analyze relationships between economic growth and environment, that is, \( I = PAT \) [52, 53]. Subsequently, York et al. [54] added the impacts of population, affluence and technology and proposed the STIRPAT model. The model is an effective method to analyze impacts of human factors on environment pressure. STIRPAT model has attracted attention from many scholars and has been widely applied in research areas including ecological footprints,

| Index                                      | Data sources                                      |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Construction land area                     | China statistical yearbook, China city statistical yearbook |
| GDP                                        | China statistical yearbook, China city statistical yearbook |
| Social fixed asset investments             | Statistical yearbooks of provinces/cities and China regional economic database |
| Population at the end of the year          | Statistical yearbooks of provinces/cities and China regional economic database |
| Population urbanization rate               | Statistical yearbooks of provinces/cities and China regional economic database |
| Per capita road area                       | Statistical yearbooks of provinces/cities and China regional economic database |
| Number of scientific and technological professionals | Statistical yearbooks of provinces/cities and China regional economic database |
| Secondary and tertiary industry values     | Statistical yearbooks of provinces/cities and China regional economic database |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227299.t001
carbon emission, energy use and arable land area. The expression is as follows:

\[ I = aP^bA^cT^d\epsilon \]  

Where \( I \) represents environment pressure; \( P \) is population; \( A \) denotes wealth; \( T \) is technical level; \( a \) is the coefficient of the model; \( b, c \) and \( d \) are indexes of population, affluence and technology respectively; \( \epsilon \) is the residual value of the model.

According to the above discussion, this paper constructs a STIRPAT model to quantitatively measure the relationship between construction land expansion and its driving forces. This method has been widely used in other fields, such as research on influencing factors of carbon emission [55, 56], but it is seldom applied to discussions of driving force of construction land expansion. Additionally, research generally uses this model in analysis of a single city/province, while limited research using this model on regional cities where regional flow of factors can be critical. Further, applying this model to a region facilitates comparative research on construction land expansion. The model is built as follows: firstly, linear relationship between comprehensive variable and independent variable is constructed by using PCA; secondly, OLS regression is applied to evaluate the linear relationship between dependent variable and comprehensive variable; finally, result from the first step is put into that from the second step to obtain relationships between dependent variable and independent variable. The expression is as follows:

\[ Y = \beta G^{a_1} E^{a_2} P^{a_3} R^{a_4} L^{a_5} U^{a_6} S^{a_7} T^{a_8} \epsilon \]  

Take the logarithm of both sides:

\[ \ln Y = \ln \beta + a_1 \ln G + a_2 \ln E + a_3 \ln P + a_4 \ln R + a_5 \ln L + a_6 \ln U + a_7 \ln S + a_8 \ln T + \ln \epsilon \]  

Where \( Y \) is construction land area; \( \beta \) is a constant; \( G \) is GDP; \( E \) is social fixed asset investments; \( P \) is population at the end of the year; \( R \) is per capita road area; \( L \) is number of scientific and technological professionals; \( U \) is population urbanization rate; \( S \) is secondary industry value; \( T \) is tertiary industry value; and \( \epsilon \) is the random term of the model. \( a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7, a_8 \) are elasticity coefficients, representing the change of \( a_1 \%a_2 \%a_3 \%a_4 \%a_5 \%a_6 \%a_7 \%a_8 \% \) of \( Y \) respectively when \( G, E, P, R, L, U, S, T \) change by 1%.

**Result and analysis**

**Time series characteristics**

Changes in construction land area in provinces/cities in the Yangtze River economic belt from 2000 to 2017 are show in Fig 2 below:

With continuous economic and social development, trend of construction land expansion is obvious during this period. Overall, total construction land area has almost doubled in size, with an average annual growth rate of 7.03%. From 2000 to 2006, construction land expanded slowly, from 7184.33 km$^2$ to 11500.69 km$^2$, increases by 719.39 km$^2$ annually. ESI and EII showed a downward trend, and changes in construction land area decreased yearly. During 2006 and 2012, construction land area increased from 11500.69 km$^2$ to 16338.94 km$^2$. The expansion speed increased first and slowed down, with an annual increase of 806.37 km$^2$. ESI and EII showed an inverted "W" shape. From 2008 to 2009, due to impacts of the economic crisis in China, the regional market contracted seriously which limited urban development and construction land expansion. The expansion speed and intensity of construction land expansion were lower compared to adjacent years. From 2012 to 2017, construction land expansion
started to increase from 16338.94 km$^2$ to 21183.14 km$^2$, with an average annual increase of 968.84 km$^2$. ESI and EII show an upward trend, but were accompanied by large fluctuations. Specifically regarding each provinces/cities, construction land area of Chongqing, Sichuan, Guizhou and Yunnan in the upper reaches has increased by 1099.63 km$^2$, 1838.42 km$^2$, 714.90 km$^2$ and 865.57 km$^2$ respectively, increased by 3.40, 1.85, 2.63 and 3.13 times respectively as compared to year 2000. Construction land area of Anhui, Jiangxi, Hubei and Hunan in the middle reaches increased by 1288.30 km$^2$, 1019.36 km$^2$, 1035.33 km$^2$ and 898.13 km$^2$ respectively, which are 1.72, 2.34, 0.79 and 1.11 times respectively of those of year 2000. Construction land area of Jiangsu and Zhejiang in the lower reaches increased by 3,089.54 km$^2$ and 2,149.63 km$^2$, 2.31 and 3.16 times respectively the size of year 2000. ESI and EII of provinces/cities in the Yangtze River economic belt show a fluctuating trend. From 2000 to 2006, ESI of construction land declined gradually, while EII remained relatively stable. ESI and EII of construction land from 2006 to 2012 are consistent with the overall trend of the Yangtze River economic belt, both of which show obvious fluctuations. As a result of the 2008 economic crisis, ESI and EII of construction land in all provinces/cities in this region declined, although differently. From 2012 to 2017, both ESI and EII showed a trend of increase—decrease—increase. Particularly, ESI of Zhejiang, Chongqing, Yunnan, Hubei and Hunan fluctuates greatly, while ESI of other provinces remain relatively stable. In terms of EII, Zhejiang, Jiangsu, Hubei, Chongqing and Hunan have greater fluctuations than other provinces.

Time series characteristics of construction land expansion are analyzed at the regional and provincial level. The results show that construction land expansion of the upper, middle and lower reaches in the Yangtze River economic belt have obvious stage characteristics, aligning with the general pattern of construction land expansion. Overall, construction land expansion in the Yangtze River economic belt can be viewed from different stages, which are rapid.

### Table 2. Classification standard of construction land expansion.

| ESI         | EII               | The classification standard                        |
|-------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| High speed  | High intensity    | (average + Standard value, +∞)                    |
| Medium high speed | Medium high intensity | (average +0.5 Standard value, average + Standard value) |
| Medium speed | Medium intensity  | (average, average +0.5 Standard value)            |
| Medium low speed | Medium low intensity | (average–0.5 Standard value, average)             |
| Low speed   | Low intensity     | (–∞,average–0.5 Standard value)                  |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227299.t002
expansion in the early stage (2000–2006), moderate expansion in the middle stage (2006–2012) and rapid expansion in the later stage (2012–2017).

**Spatial characteristics**

When analyzing spatial characteristics of construction land expansion, the research period is divided into three intervals: 2000–2006, 2006–2012 and 2012–2017. According to Andrea [57], ESI and EII of construction land in 10 provinces/cities were classified into five grades (Table 2), and spatial characteristics of construction land expansion were analyzed in Figs 3–8.

The results show that there are significant regional differences in ESI and EII of construction land in the Yangtze River economic belt. Construction land expands along with the social and economic development. Overall, construction land in the lower reaches expands faster than that in the middle and upper reaches, and shows a trend of transferring from high-speed-high-intensity expansion area to middle and upper reaches, showing obvious discrepancies in spatial patterns.

From 2000 to 2006, the lower reaches demonstrate characteristics of high-speed and high-intensity expansion of construction land, and ESI and EII of construction land were both
higher than the regional average. Hubei in the middle reaches and Sichuan in the upper reaches are characterized by low-speed and low-strength expansion. The upper reaches of Guizhou, Yunnan and Chongqing have a higher expansion rate, while the expansion intensity lags behind other provinces and cities simultaneously. Expansion speed and intensity are moderate in the middle reaches.

From 2006 to 2012, spatial differences among all provinces/cities regarding construction land expansion intensity and speed started to decline. Except Jiangsu which still maintained high intensity expansion, and Hubei which developed from low intensity in the first stage to medium intensity expansion in the second stage, expansion intensity of other provinces/cities decreased compared with those in the first stage. For ESI, Sichuan and Hubei developed from low expansion in the first stage to medium expansion in this period, while expansion speed of other provinces/cities decreased. Additionally, there was a significant change in the lower reaches of Zhejiang, from a high-speed-high-intensity expansion to a low-speed-low-intensity expansion.

From 2012 to 2017, except Anhui, Jiangxi, Hubei, Hunan and Yunnan, construction land in other provinces/cities expanded significantly and gradually shifted to the upper reaches.
During this period, the economy of Guizhou, Sichuan and Chongqing developed rapidly, which resulted in changing mode of land use and promoting upgrading of industrial structure and played active role in rapid expansion of construction land in the upper reaches. Overall, construction land in the research region shows spatial characteristics of first expansion of the lower reaches, rapid expansion of the upper reaches in the middle and late period, and stable expansion of the middle reaches. Moreover, differences in spatial pattern within this region declined gradually, and construction land expansion tends to be stable and more balanced.

Driving forces analysis

Analysis of partial correlation. Correlation analysis was used to analyze the correlation between the selected eight driving forces and construction land area. Then significance tests are carried out to determine whether the selected factors can be used as the driving forces. The results show that correlation coefficients of the eight driving forces and construction land area are all above 0.6, and all have passed the bilateral significance test at the level of 0.01. Therefore, the selected factors can be included in the model for analyzing driving forces of construction land expansion.
PCA. Firstly, logarithm of the original data of dependent variables and explanatory variables is obtained. Secondly, in order to ensure data comparability, logarithmic data were input into SPSS22.0 software for standardized processing to eliminate dimensional differences among explanatory variables. The processed data were represented as ZY, ZG, ZE, ZP, ZR, ZL, ZU, ZS and ZT, respectively. Finally, standardized data were input into SPSS22.0 software for PCA. Partial correlation between variables was examined by KMO tests. In general, PCA can be performed if KMO statistics are greater than 0.7. Correlation analysis shows that KMO test values of all provinces/cities are greater than 0.7 (Table 3), and the significance of spherical test statistics is less than 0.01, indicating that factor analysis is appropriate. Through PCA, two principal components, namely comprehensive variables, are obtained, which are respectively represented by F1 and F2. Results show that degree of interpretation of the two comprehensive variables to original variables are higher than 90% (Table 3), indicating that fitting degree between comprehensive variables and original variables is relatively good. Correlation coefficients between comprehensive variables and each original variable obtained from PCA can be seen from Table 4.
OLS regression. OLS is used for regression analysis between ZY (explained variable) and F1 and F2 (explanatory variables). The results show that, the fitting model $R^2$ of ZY, F1 and F2 in provinces/cities are all greater than 0.9, and significance of t tests is lower than 0.01, indicating that the model fitted well (Table 5).

According to Tables 4 and 5 and formulae (6), elasticity coefficient of driving forces can be obtained (Table 6). Elasticity coefficients are significantly different as economic and social development levels and resource endowments differ greatly within this region. Driving forces of each province/city are graded according to level of the elastic coefficient, and the biggest three driving forces are selected to conduct statistical analysis. The results show that social fixed asset investments and population urbanization rate, GDP as well as secondary industry value are important driving forces in six, five as well as four provinces/cities, respectively. Other forces have just a few common impacts. Therefore, social policy strength, urbanization, urban economic development, and industrial structure are major forces driving construction land expansion in this region.

Population urbanization rate is the main driving force for construction land expansion in Chongqing, Sichuan, Guizhou and Yunnan, indicating that urbanization has a great influence.
in upstream provinces/cities. The main reason is that economic and social development of the upper reaches lags behind that of the middle and lower reaches on the whole, and construction land expansion of the former is mainly promoted by urbanization process. By comparison, construction land expansion in Anhui, Jiangxi, Hubei and Hunan are further affected by GDP. These provinces are in central China, which are geographically superior to provinces/cities in the upper reaches. Therefore, these provinces may also be influenced by radiation effects of various resources in surrounding areas and thus economic and social development have significant positive impacts on construction land expansion. The common driving forces of Jiangsu and Zhejiang in the lower reaches are different from those in the middle and upper reaches.

Table 3. Degree of interpretation of original variables by comprehensive variables and KMO test value.

|                     | Jiangsu | Zhejiang | Anhui | Jiangxi | Hubei | Hunan | Chongqing | Sichuan | Guizhou | Yunnan |
|---------------------|---------|----------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-----------|---------|---------|--------|
| Degree of interpretation(%) | 99.064  | 98.387   | 96.416| 99.028  | 97.354| 91.900| 97.398    | 90.734  | 97.869  | 99.311 |
| KMO test value      | .813    | .812     | .771  | .881    | .815  | .807  | .814      | .796    | .818    | .737   |

Fig 8. ESI of construction land in provinces/cities in the Yangtze River economic belt from 2012 to 2017. Source: Figs 3–8 are all based on Fig 1 and obtained by using Arcgis mapping software according to the analysis results. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227299.g008
Their main driving forces are social fixed asset investments and secondary industry value. Jiangsu and Zhejiang are located in eastern China. Different from middle and upper reaches, urbanization plays a weak role in driving construction land expansion. The main reason is that for these two provinces, economic development and urbanization are relatively high and thus have limited impacts on construction land expansion.

In addition to common driving forces, each province/city also have different important driving forces. Construction land expansion in Jiangsu and Yunnan is further greatly affected by population. Population of these two provinces grows faster and this thus creates further demands for residential and infrastructure land, promoting construction land expansion. Tertiary industry value is the most influential factor in Anhui and Sichuan, followed by urbanization and GDP. This can be explained from the fact that Anhui and Sichuan are undergoing industrial structure upgrading and developing their tertiary industry. In this case original land area is inadequate to meet new demands, resulting in construction land expansion. Road traffic conditions also impact greatly on construction land expansion in Hunan and Chongqing. For this two provinces, road traffic conditions have improved significantly in recent years, with construction of subways, high-speed rails and urban roads, thus leading to the construction land expansion. However, for Guizhou, there is no common driving forces with other

Table 4. Correlation coefficients between comprehensive variables and original variables.

|       | ZG   | ZP   | ZE   | ZU   | ZL   | ZR   | ZS   | ZT   |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Jiangsu |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| F1    | .147 | .143 | .141 | .147 | .099 | .141 | .144 | .158 |
| F2    | .017 | .011 | .028 | .024 | 1.002 | .006 | .010 | .110 |
| Zhejiang |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| F1    | .201 | .168 | .215 | .162 | .123 | -.459 | .194 | .183 |
| F2    | -.112 | -.041 | -.143 | -.026 | .048 | 1.219 | -.098 | -.072 |
| Anhui |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| F1    | .150 | .099 | .147 | .156 | .133 | .147 | .142 | .162 |
| F2    | .007 | .998 | -.007 | .056 | -.011 | -.004 | -.055 | .108 |
| Jiangxi |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| F1    | -.170 | .664 | 1.225 | 1.173 | .739 | -.1748 | .755 | -.1926 |
| F2    | .365 | -.521 | -.117 | -.1063 | -.603 | 2.041 | -.618 | 2.230 |
| Hubei |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| F1    | .113 | .480 | .114 | .171 | -.416 | -.739 | .053 | .161 |
| F2    | .072 | -.464 | .071 | -.012 | .369 | 1.250 | .157 | .004 |
| Hunan |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| F1    | .164 | .240 | .156 | .172 | -.107 | .229 | .160 | .137 |
| F2    | -.015 | -.1018 | .014 | -.049 | -.013 | -.248 | .001 | .072 |
| Chongqing |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| F1    | .212 | -.753 | .431 | -.404 | -.488 | .584 | .292 | .066 |
| F2    | -.046 | 1.181 | -.307 | -.276 | .773 | -.501 | -.142 | .127 |
| Sichuan |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| F1    | .172 | -.106 | .172 | .169 | .039 | .151 | .176 | .163 |
| F2    | -.017 | .698 | -.023 | .003 | .488 | -.128 | -.060 | .043 |
| Guizhou |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| F1    | .098 | .638 | .167 | .195 | .440 | .375 | .106 | .023 |
| F2    | .096 | -.141 | -.007 | -.049 | -.420 | -.330 | .084 | .205 |
| Yunnan |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| F1    | .241 | .463 | .265 | .366 | .081 | -.1072 | .163 | .226 |
| F2    | -.118 | -.436 | -.153 | -.296 | .106 | 1.726 | -.007 | -.097 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227299.t004

Table 5. Regression coefficient of dependent variable and comprehensive variables and R^2.

|       | ZG   | ZP   | ZE   | ZU   | ZL   | ZR   | ZS   | ZT   |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Jiangsu |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| F1    | .979 | .936 | .975 | .754 | .779 | .960 | .829 | .941 |
| F2    | -.175 | .173 | -.022 | .642 | .558 | .096 | .540 | .244 |
| R^2   | .989 | .906 | .951 | .982 | .919 | .931 | .974 | .944 |
| Yunnan |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227299.t005
provinces/cities, and instead, technical level, road traffic conditions and population are major forces. Guizhou’s growth in number of technical personnel is only smaller than that of Zhejiang. This means that improvement in technical level is also likely to bring population inflow and promote industrial development, which partly explains the continuous increase of construction land area.

Conclusions and discussions

In this paper, spatiotemporal characteristics of construction land expansion in Yangtze River economic belt from 2000 to 2017 were studied through ESI and EII. Then, based on a STIRPAT model, driving forces were quantitatively measured with PCA and OLS regression. The results show that: (1) there is a clear expansion pattern regarding the time sequence in provinces/cities of the Yangtze River economic belt, with rapid expansion in the initial stage, moderate expansion in the middle stage and rapid expansion in the later stage. (2) Spatial analysis shows first expansion in the lower reaches in early stage, rapid expansion of the upper reaches in the middle and later stage, and steady expansion of the middle reaches throughout the research period. Moreover, differences in spatial pattern between provinces and cities narrow gradually, and construction land expansion tends to be increasingly stable and more balanced. (3) There are statistical significant correlations between construction land expansion and GDP, social fixed asset investments, population at the end of the year, population urbanization rate, per capita road area, number of scientific and technological professionals as well as secondary and tertiary industry values. Of these factors, GDP, social fixed asset investments, population urbanization rate and second industry value are important common driving forces of provinces/cities of the Yangtze River economic belt. For each provinces/cities, different economic development levels and resource conditions have resulted in differences in important driving forces. In addition to common driving forces, population, urban road traffic conditions and tertiary industry value are also important driving forces in certain provinces/cities.

The research results show that construction land expansion in the Yangtze River economic belt has obvious temporal and periodical characteristics during the research period. This conclusion is consistent with Zhang & Zhu [32], which indicates that provinces and cities of the Yangtze River economic belt do show obvious characteristics of stages and regional differences in construction land expansion. In terms of driving forces of construction land expansion, results from this paper show that regional GDP, social fixed asset investment, urbanization level and secondary industry value are important common driving forces of provinces and cities in the Yangtze River economic belt, indicating that these factors play a major role in promoting the expansion of construction land. This is also in line with many other research (Peng
& Zou [38], Liu et al. [46], He et al. [28]) focusing on individual cities. The research results of the Yangtze River delta urban agglomeration in this paper are similar to those of other individual cities by relevant scholars, which indicated that this method is suitable for the study of urban agglomeration in the Yangtze River economic belt. This further indicates that the influence of inter-regional factor flow on the expansion of construction land is similar to that of the study on a single city without considering factor flow. This means that similar conclusion can be obtained when the models of STIRPT and combine PCA with OLS are used to urban agglomeration in the Yangtze River economic belt.

However, this research displays a slightly different picture regarding expansion patterns, as compared with existing literature. Results of Li et al. [57] show that expansion of construction land in the Yangtze River economic belt in the past 30 years presents a linear increasing process, which is mainly manifested as "deceleration (1986–1996)—rapid (1996–2006)—deceleration (2006–2016)". In comparison, this paper shows an expansion trend of rapid expansion in the early period (2000–2006), deceleration expansion in the middle period (2006–2012) and rapid expansion in the late period (2012–2017). This discrepancy may be explained by selection of research area and indexes. Li et al. [57] only focuses on representative cities in the Yangtze River economic belt, while this paper considers the whole Yangtze River economic belt. In addition, industrial structure factors that were seldom considered by scholars were added to this study. With increased mobility of inter-regional factor and strengthened industrial policies, transformation and upgrading of industrial structure will play a significant role in promoting construction land expansion, as shown by Zhao [4]. This also explains why this study shows rapid construction land expansion from 2012 to 2017.

By applying the models of STIRPT and combine PCA with OLS to urban agglomeration in the Yangtze River economic belt, this research arrives at similar conclusions with existing research mostly focuses on single cities. This adds to existing literatures that inter-regional factor flow follows impacts of factors that have significant influences on construction land expansion. It thus has significant policy implications as Chinese cities are putting growing emphasis on coordinated development of urban agglomerations.

Further, by including indexes of industrial structure, this research unveils the significance of secondary industry values, this is particularly important as industry upgrading is a major focus of China’s economic development and the Yangtze River economic belt is acting as a pioneer in this regard. This further means that conclusions from this research can be important references to other areas of China where urban construction land expansion issue is concerned and in the meantime industry upgrading is undergoing.

However, as data for Shanghai is missing, research findings on the Yangtze River economic belt might not be fully comprehensive. Further, this research does not include natural factors’ influences on construction land expansion as argued previously in this paper that their impacts are not significant in short period. If longer time span is concerned and to enable better applicability of the research results, more work is needed to find ways to deal with these issues.

**Supporting information**

*S1 Data. Data tables of provinces and cities along the Yangtze river economic belt (2000–2017).*

(XLSX)

*S1 Source of statistics.*

(DOCX)
Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Tu Fangyuan.
Data curation: Tu Fangyuan.
Formal analysis: Wenjie Cai, Tu Fangyuan.
Writing – original draft: Wenjie Cai, Tu Fangyuan.
Writing – review & editing: Wenjie Cai, Tu Fangyuan.

References

1. Siciliano G. Urbanization strategies, rural development and land use changes in China: a multiple-level integrated assessment. Land use policy, 2012, 29 (1), 165–178.
2. Foley J., Defries R., Asner G., Barford C., Bonan G., Carpenter S., et al. Global consequences of land use. Science, 2005, 309(5734).
3. Zhao K., Zhang A. & Li P. Driving force of urban construction land expansion: data analysis based on provincial edition. Journal of natural resources, 2011, 26(8), 1323–1332 (in Chinese).
4. Zhao K., Zhang A. & Xu W. Spatial-temporal difference analysis of the driving force of urban construction land expansion in China. Resources science, 2011, 33(05), 935–941 (in Chinese).
5. Zhang L., Chen S., Wang W. & Xu X. Review and outlook on the driving force of construction land expansion under the background of rapid urbanization. Geography of arid areas, 2013, 36(01), 164–175 (in Chinese).
6. Turner, E., Baulies, X. & Bockstael, N. Land-use and land-cover change science/research plan. IGBP Report No.35 and HDP Report No.7. Stockholm: IGBP, 1995.
7. Lambin, E., Baulies, X. & Bockstael, N. Land-use and land-cover change, implementation strategy. IGBP Report No.48&IHDP Report No.10. Stockholm: IGBP, 1999.
8. Long H., Liu Y. & Hou X. Effects of land use transitions due to rapid urbanization on ecosystem services: implications for urban planning in the new developing area of China. Habitat international, 2014, 44, 536–544.
9. Cao Y., Bai Z. & Zhou W. Gradient analysis of urban construction land expansion in the Chongqing urban area of China. Journal of urban planning & development, 2015, 141(1) (in Chinese).
10. Wu K. & Zhang H. Land use dynamics, built-up land expansion patterns, and driving forces analysis of the fast-growing Hangzhou metropolitan area, eastern China (1978–2008). Applied geography, 2012, 34(5), 137–145.
11. Kuang W., Chi W. & Lu D. A comparative analysis of megacity expansions in China and the US: Patterns, rates and driving forces. Landscape and urban planning, 2014, 132(10), 121–135.
12. Huang Z., Wei Y. & He C. Urban land expansion under economic transition in China: A multi-level modeling analysis. Habitat international, 2015, 47, 69–82.
13. Marshall J. Urban land area and population growth: A new scaling relationship for metropolitan expansion. Urban studies, 2007, 44(10), 1889–1904.
14. Zondag B., Bok M. & Geurs K. Accessibility modeling and evaluation: the TIGRIS XL land-use and transport interaction model for the Netherlands. Computers environment & urban systems, 2015, 49, 115–125.
15. Wang Z., Fang C. & Zhang X. Spatial expansion and potential of construction land use in the Yangtze River Delta. Journal of geographical sciences, 2015, 25(7), 851–864.
16. Kantakumar L.N., Kumar S. & Schneider K. Spatiotemporal urban expansion in Pune metropolis, India using remote sensing. Habitat international, 2016, 51, 11–22.
17. Tsutsurimada N., Saizen I., Matsuoka M. & Ishii R. Addressing urban expansion using feature-oriented spatial data in a peripheral area of Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia. Habitat international, 2015, 47, 196–204.
18. Azhdari A., Sasani M.A. & Soltani A. Exploring the relationship between spatial driving forces of urban expansion and socioeconomic segregation: The case of Shiraz. Habitat international, 2018, 81, 33–44.
19. Garbarino M., Lingua E., Weisberg P. J., Bottero A., Melon F. & Motta R. Land-use history and topographic gradients as driving factors of subalpine Larix decidua forests. Landscape ecology, 2013, 28 (5), 805–817.
20. Ojeda-Revah L., Bocco G., Ezcurra E. & Espejel I. Land-cover/use transitions in the binational Tijuana River watershed during a period of rapid industrialization. *Applied vegetation science*, 2008, 11(1), 107–116.

21. Zhang Y., Chen L. & Song L. A study on the difference of the driving mechanism of urban land expansion in China. *Resources science*, 2016, 38(01), 30–40 (in Chinese).

22. Chen Q. & Zeng Z. Analysis on spatial characteristics and driving factors of urban expansion in Changsha from 2000 to 2015. Rural economy and technology, 2018, 29(15), 284–286 (in Chinese).

23. Bao L. & Wang J. Analysis on the expansion of urban construction land in mainland China. *China land science*, 2009, 23(08), 68–72 (in Chinese).

24. Zhang Q. & Su S. Determinants of urban expansion and their relative importance: A comparative analysis of 30 major metropolitans in China. Habitat international, 58, 89–107.

25. Gao J., Wei Y.D., Chen W. & Chen J. Economic transition and urban land expansion in Provincial China. Habitat international, 2014, 44, 461–473.

26. Lou M. & Feng C. Study on the driving force of urban construction land change and differentiation in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region. *Urban development research*, 2008, 25(09), 23–28, 41 (in Chinese).

27. Chen J., Gao J. & Chen W. Urban land expansion and the transitional mechanisms in Nanjing, China. Habitat international, 2016, 53, 274–283.

28. He Z., Zhao W. & He J. Remote sensing dynamic monitoring and driving force analysis of urban expansion in Zhengzhou. Geographical research, 2011, 30(12), 2272–2280 (in Chinese).

29. Li M., Zhang Z., Lo Seen D., Sun J. & Zhao X. Spatiotemporal characteristics of urban sprawl in Chinese port cities from 1979 to 2013. Sustainability, 2016, 8, 1138.

30. Lv L., Zhou S. & Ren K. Quantitative study on spatial characteristics and influencing factors of construction land expansion in urban fringe areas—a case study of Jiangning district, Nanjing. *Regional research and development*, 2008, (03), 103–107 (in Chinese).

31. Hu Y., Cai G., Xu X. & Zhang M. An empirical study on the driving force of construction land expansion in Wuhan based on grey correlation analysis. Bulletin of soil and water conservation, 2014, 34(06), 214–218 (in Chinese).

32. Yu, T. Spatial-temporal differences of influencing factors of construction land expansion in large and medium-sized cities in Hubei province. Doctoral Dissertation, Huazhong Agricultural University, 2014 (in Chinese).

33. Lv, F. Research on driving factors of urban construction land expansion in Hangzhou. Doctoral Dissertation, Sichuan Agricultural University, 2014 (in Chinese).

34. Shi C. Analysis of driving force of urban construction land expansion in Wuxi. *Journal of Xuzhou institute of engineering* (social science edition), 2010, 25(04), 33–37+71 (in Chinese).

35. Xie H., Zhu Z., Wang B., Liu G. & Zhai Q. Does the expansion of urban construction land promote regional economic growth in China? Evidence from 108 cities in the Yangtze River Economic Belt. Sustainability, 2018, 10, 4073.

36. Fang C., Zhou C. & Wang Z. The sustainable development strategy of urban agglomerations in the Yangtze River economic belt and the key points of graded development. *Progress in geographical science*, 2015, 34(11), 1398–1408 (in Chinese).

37. Hu Y., Cai G., Xu X. & Zhang M. Empirical study on the driving force of construction land expansion in Wuhan based on grey correlation analysis. Soil and water conservation bulletin, 2014, 34(06), 214–218 (in Chinese).

38. Peng H. & Zou Y. Analysis of driving factors of construction land expansion in Changshu-Zhuzhou-Xiangtan city based on STIRPAT model. Mapping and spatial geographic information, 2008, 41(06), 149–152 (in Chinese).

39. Xu Q. & Huang Y., Measurement and driving mechanism analysis of urban expansion in typical regions of the Pearl River delta. *Science of surveying and mapping*, 2008, 43(01), 45–53 (in Chinese).

40. Huang B., Zhang H., Song D. & Ma Y. Analysis on the driving force of the expansion of construction land in mainland China from 2000 to 2010. *Acta ecologica sinica*, 2017, 37(12), 4149–4158 (in Chinese).

41. Shu B., Zhang H. & Li Y. Spatiotemporal variation analysis of driving forces of urban land spatial expansion using logistic regression: A case study of port towns in Taicang City, China. Habitat international, 2014, 43, 181–190.

42. Tan S. & Ni S. Genetic analysis of driving forces of regional land use change. *Geography and geographic information science*, 2005, 21(3), 47–50 (in Chinese).
43. Zhang L. & Zhu D. Research on the coordination relationship between urban construction land scale and urban population—a case study of cities in the Yangtze River economic belt. *China development*, 2008, 18(03), 14–19 (in Chinese).

44. Zhang, X. Study on urban construction land change and driving factors in Jiangsu province. Doctoral Dissertation, Nanjing Normal University, 2017.

45. Braimoh A. K., & Onishi T. Spatial determinants of urban land use change in Lagos, Nigeria. *Land Use Policy*, 2007, 24(2), 502–515.

46. Liu B., Liu H., Guo J. & Chen J. Analysis of spatial and temporal characteristics and driving factors of urban construction land expansion in Jilin province. *Resources development and market*, 2008, 34(10), 1377–1382, 1388 (in Chinese).

47. You H. & Yang X. Urban expansion in 30 megacities of China: categorizing the driving force profiles to inform the urbanization policy. *Land Use Policy*, 2017, 68, 531–551.

48. Shao J., Li Y., Wei C, & Xie D. Prospects of research on driving forces of regional land use change. *Progress in earth science*, 2007(08), 798–809. (in Chinese)

49. Wen Y., Gao J. & Liang D. Analysis of social and economic driving force of construction land expansion in Henan province-based on STIRPAT model. *Regional research and development*, 2016, 35(01), 121–126. (in Chinese)

50. Wang D. Ji X. & Huang X. Analysis of spatiotemporal pattern evolution of urban construction land in the Yangtze River economic belt since the 1990s. Modern urban research, 2018(04), 30–36. (in Chinese)

51. Chen W., Li J., Xiong J. & Zhang J. Differences in driving forces of urban land expansion in China based on GWR. *Journal of Henan University (natural science edition)*, 2018, 5(13), 522–530 (in Chinese).

52. Ehrlich P.R. & Holdrens J.P. The impact of population growth. *Science*, 1971, 171, 1212–1217. [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.171.3977.1212 PMID: 5545198](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.171.3977.1212)

53. York R., Rosa E.A. & Dietz T. A rift in modernity? Assessing the anthropogenic sources of global climate change with the STIRPAT model. *International journal of sociology and social policy*, 2003, 23(10), 31–51.

54. Khalid A.M. Impact of energy consumption and human activities on carbon emissions in Pakistan: application of STIRPAT model. *Environmental science and pollution research international*, 2019, 26 (13), 13453–13463. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-04859-y PMID: 30911964](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-04859-y)

55. Koçak E. & Ulucak Z. The effect of energy R&D expenditures on CO2 emission reduction: estimation of the STIRPAT model for OECD countries. *Environmental science and pollution research international*, 2019, 26 (14), 14328–14338. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-04712-2 PMID: 30864038](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-04712-2)

56. Andrea B. Robert Hart and China’s statistical revolution. *Modern Asian studies*, 2006, 40(3), 605–629.

57. Li J. Kuang H., Tan C. & Wang P. Spatial-temporal characteristics and ecological response of urban expansion in the Yangtze River economic belt. *Resources and environment in the Yangtze River basin*, 2008, 27(10), 2153–2161.