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Abstract

Document scaling techniques have been widely used in political science to infer partisanship measures and to rank documents on a scale of ideal points, based on bag-of-word approaches. These approaches typically underestimate the semantic and syntactic patterns contained in the corpus. Recent advances in natural language processing, particularly semantic search models, offer an improved topic coherence due to a semantic space of embedded words and documents, whose structure is able to identify topics without setting their number as a hyperparameter. We propose a scaling technique, namely TopicShoal, that extracts meaningful topic vectors using a semantic search technique (Top2Vec) and scales partisanship among speakers or parties using a Bayesian factor analysis on the document-topic distances, thereby enabling a semantic explanation of the ideal points’ variations. This novelty, suited for both monolingual and multilingual corpora, addresses the bag-of-word constraint by capturing the narrative signals in the corpus and exploiting a coherent and independent topic vector structure. Applied to a corpus of German party manifestos and Deutsche Bundesbank executive board members’ speeches, TopicShoal successfully identifies discourse-level differences among parties and speakers via topic intensities, whose projection on the ideal points’ scale reveals common debated themes and other sideline interests that differentiate parties and speakers.

1 Introduction

Text mining in political science comprises distinct families of methods usually applied to monolingual text data. Topic models define probabilistic models used to extract groups of words with a semantic meaning, referred to as topics based on a generative model of texts, while the document scaling family gathers probabilistic as well as non-probabilistic approaches used to infer a unidimensional scale assumed to be a proxy of ideal-points or (ideological) positions prevailing among speakers or parties.

Non-probabilistic scaling techniques are based on pre-established wordlists from reference texts (Laver et al., 2003) whose availability outside the English language is limited, while probabilistic techniques are mostly based on the assumption of a Poisson distribution for word frequencies, as for Wordfish (Slapin and Proksch, 2008) which infers a unidimensional, normally distributed $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ scale for document positions, or the Poisson reduced rank models which permit to endow a time-variability to the learned scale (Jentsch et al., 2020). Wordshoal (Lauderdale and Herzog, 2016) uses Wordfish estimates over distinct debates to aggregate the results at the level of speakers, where differences in document positions within debates approximate the ideological stance between speakers. Such schemes have been used in political sciences to measure polarization of political parties in the United Kingdom (Goet, 2019), investigate left-right differences (Däubler and Benoit, 2021), in Germany for parties’ manifestos (Jentsch et al., 2021) or for economic institutions’ forecasting reports (Diaf et al., 2022) and were found to have some drawbacks in applications with small corpora or limited vocabulary (Hjorth et al., 2015) and to text pre-processing choices (Denny and Spirling, 2018). Scaling speakers using topic variations (Vafa et al., 2020) was proposed as a generalization of Wordshoal where word contributions are allowed to differ among speakers using a hierarchical Poisson factorization, while Latent Semantic Scaling (Watanabe, 2021) is a semi-supervised approach to scale documents on a specific task, using Latent Semantic Analysis (Deerwester et al., 1990) over sentences or paragraphs, augmented with a wordlist for positive/negative terms. Another hy-
brid approach learns a *Wordfish* scale that serves as an explanatory variable to a supervised LDA (Diaf and Fritsche, 2021) with the aim of tracking topics’ prevalence over time using dynamic word frequencies.

Latent Dirichlet Allocation (Blei et al., 2003) is still the workhorse for topic model applications, despite being a heuristic method yielding relatively unstable results and being highly dependent on the hyperparametrization chosen by practitioners (Airoldi et al., 2014). Further variants were proposed to adapt the algorithm to the corpus specifications or to add prior information as a semi-supervised approach (Eshima et al., 2020).

The advent of distributional representations helped researchers exploring the field of semantics and overcoming the bag-of-word restrictions by adopting neural architectures able to capture word similarity in context (Mikolov et al., 2013) and facilitate document comparisons (Dieng et al., 2019) even for multilingual documents that require a Zero-shot learning strategy (Bianchi et al., 2021). *Semscale* (Nanni et al., 2019) was proposed as a scaling technique relying on word embedding models, aiming at uncovering party positions from political manifestos and able to capture differences in multilingual manifestos.

*Top2Vec* (Angelov, 2020) belongs to the semantic search class of topic models where the number of topics, usually set as a hyperparameter, is automatically learned as being equal to the clusters of document representations using UMAP (McInnes et al., 2018) as a non-linear dimensionality reduction technique. As a mixture of three unsupervised models, it uncovers coherent topics and set their hierarchies for a better document-word representation, that could be augmented with pre-trained word embedding models.

This article proposes a novel semantic, topic-based semi-supervised scaling approach that outperforms the existing document scaling techniques in terms of coherence and interpretability, combining topic vectors learned from a semantic space and an aggregation scheme to derive ideal points for an intuitive positional analysis, suited to monolingual and multilingual corpora. It consists, at the first stage, of a semantic search model (*Top2Vec*) that uncovers coherent topics, serving as an input for a Bayesian factor model (Lauderdale and Herzog, 2016) that yields a positional scale with semantic properties through topic intensities. We argue that the usual techniques are constrained by the bag-of-word hypothesis and cannot uncover semantic signals from the corpus, but just similarities in word counts, known as lexical overlap (Nanni et al., 2019), that overlook both semantic and syntactic features, in addition of rendering aggregate-level measures sensitive to word frequencies distributions. Moreover, recent applications built upon word embedding models are prone to an information bias transferred from large corpora to small and specific ones for monolingual documents (Papakyriakopoulos et al., 2020) or from one language to another (Bianchi et al., 2021), however, the use of multilingual pre-trained embedding models is mandatory to ensure a language-transferability of topics other than the training set (Bianchi et al., 2021) that requires setting the number of topics.

Two corpora were chosen to test *TopicShoal* at the monolingual and multilingual levels respectively. The corpus of Comparative Manifesto Project (CMP) (Volkens et al., 2021) was used to get the last three legislative elections’ manifestos to scale the six main parties forming the current German political landscape, resulting in a scale that identifies partisanship of four parties (CDU/CSU, FDP, Grüne and SPD) in themes related to security, local affairs and economic concerns, in contrast of two parties (AFD, Linke) dominating the two ends of the scale as they have different priorities/focus, hence extending the partisanship spectrum. The corpus of executive members’ speeches at the German Central Bank (*Bundesbank*) during the period 2012-2017 (Karim El-Ouaghlidi et al., 2019) is mainly bilingual (German-English) and cannot be analyzed using traditional text mining techniques, however, applying *TopicShoal* with the help of a multilingual embedding model uncovers a member-specialization strategy from the given addresses with specific interests given to Eurozone, financial stability and digitalization.

## 2 Methodology

### 2.1 Top2Vec

Aside from traditional topic models which use variational inference to uncover topics from word counts, *Top2Vec* augments the usual distributional representation methods, as for Word2Vec, by adding a paragraph vector to the neural network (Angelov, 2020) to create a joint word and document representations forming a semantic space able to uncover associations that helps learning coherent
topic vectors from dense areas of document using Hierarchical Density-Based Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise (HDBSCAN) (McInnes et al., 2017), under the hypothesis that the number of dense areas of documents is equal to the number of topics. Hence, the number of topics is no longer a hyperparameter as for most algorithms.

Top2Vec features a structure of independent, mostly low-correlated, topics because of the HDBSCAN application, ensuring a non-overlapping outcome often found in traditional topic models, hence enabling a robust Bayesian aggregation on independent topics, instead of a debate-structure that might have an intertwined topic prevalence.

2.2 Bayesian factor analysis

We use a modified version of the Bayesian aggregation used in Wordshoal (Lauderdale and Herzog, 2016) by setting the document positions as being drawn from a truncated normal distribution, instead of a normal distribution, as the document-topic coefficients are indeed distances mainly on the [0,1] interval.

Let \( \psi_{ij} \) defines the score of \( i^{th} \) document in the \( j^{th} \) topic learned via Top2Vec. The Bayesian aggregation used in Wordshoal to infer a latent scale, represented by a vector of speakers’ positions \( \theta_i \) is as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Stage: Apply Top2Vec and extract the inferred topics:} \\
\psi_{ij} & \text{ defines the distance between the } i^{th} \text{ document and the } j^{th} \text{ topic (based on cosine distance)} \\
\text{2}^{nd} \text{ Stage: Each topic inferred is assumed to form a debate:} \\
\text{Inferring ideal points } \theta_i \text{ using the following factor analysis:} \\
\psi_{ij} & \sim \mathcal{N}(\alpha_j + \beta_j \theta_i, \tau_i) \\
\theta_i & \sim \mathcal{N}_{\text{trunc}}(0, 1) \\
\alpha_j, \beta_j & \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 0.25) \\
\tau_i & \sim \mathcal{G}(1, 1)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \mathcal{N}_{\text{trunc}} \) denotes the truncated normal distribution as \( \psi_{ij} \) are represent document-topic distances. \( \beta_j \) is a topic polarization parameter.

Lauderdale and Herzog (2016) assumed debates being independent and serving as a basis to a multiple Wordfish scaling within each debate, that renders different word contribution for each scale. While this assumption allows a dynamic word contribution per debate, it ignores a potential topics’ prevalence that might differentiate speakers or parties out of the debate dimension. Hence, building an Bayesian factor analysis on semantic topics makes it possible to track their prevalence in the unidimensional scale of positions, using the learned \( \beta_j \).

In other terms, TopicShoal ensures a debate transfer from a time perspective to a topic structure for a better interpretability of the ideal positions. This is motivated by the fact that debates are defined by their occurrence, but usually discuss the same topics or concerns.

3 Application

3.1 German political manifestos

Manifestos of six main German parties (AFD, CDU/CSU, FDP, Grüne, Linke and SPD) for the last three legislative elections (2013, 2017 and 2021) were collected from the CMP (Volkens et al., 2021), consisting of 933 documents coded into 7 manually-annotated different categories (External Relations, Freedom and Democracy, Political System, Economy, Welfare and Quality of Life, Fabric of Society and Social groups).

The prevailing manifestos’ interests appear to have a focus on the past and present rhetoric, inline with results found in international manifestos (Müller, 2022), with 20 topics learned, indicating a slight dominance of themes related to society and quality of life, as shown in Table 1.

Topics 14 and 9, respectively criminality and communes/municipalities, polarize the scale to the right-hand side (CDU and AfD) as indicated by positive \( \beta_i \) while most negative topic contributions are related to the left-hand side (Grüne and Linke, negative \( \beta_i \)) of the scale. The 95% confidence intervals offer an idea of parties’ interest breadth that are captured by the topic intensities in Table 3. Noticeable are the close ideal points of three parties (Grüne, FDP and SPD), indicating similar inter-party极点isation, and the contrary holds for the AfD, whose position dominates the right-hand scale and appears to be insulated from other parties.

Wordshoal estimation using the same corpus was not convergent \(^1\) in addition of requiring setting an identification constraint.\(^2\) Results do not render a clear partisanship scale, as demonstrated in Figure

---

\(^1\)Tolerance level set to \( 10^{-10} \)

\(^2\)We assumed \( \theta_{\text{Link}2013} < \theta_{\text{AFD2013}} \)
Table 1: Top 10 words of the topics learned by Top2Vec on the German political manifesto corpus.

| Topic | Top 10 Words |
|-------|--------------|
| 1     | fluchtlinge integration asyl bleiberecht |
| 2     | schuldenbremse eurozone stabilisits |
| 3     | russland staaten friedens beziehungen |
| 4     | demokratie parteien fußspur |
| 5     | leistungen versorgung pflege rente |
| 6     | arbeitnehmer beschäftigten arbeit |
| 7     | kultur gedenkkultur kulturelle kunst |
| 8     | ehe eben paare adoptionsrecht |
| 9     | kommunen gemeinsenden regionen landkreise |
| 10    | nachhaltige ökologische nachhaltigkeit |
| 11    | bundestagswahl politik merkel koalition |
| 12    | bildung schulen lernen schuler |
| 13    | nato bundeswehr militarische absturzungen |
| 14    | strafgerichte polizei kriminalität |
| 15    | verbraucher produkte honorarberatung |
| 16    | infrastruktur technologien ausbau |
| 17    | wahlt zukunft starken grün bekämpfen |
| 18    | walder natur artenvielfalt |
| 19    | engagement zusammenhalt ehrenamtlich |
| 20    | landwirtschaft landwirt ackerbau |

Table 2: Top 10 words of the topics learned by Top2Vec on the Bundesbank speeches corpus.

| Topic | Top 10 Words |
|-------|--------------|
| 1     | eurosystems finanzpolitik eurosystem |
| 2     | bargelds geldpolitik geldmarkt |
| 3     | bankensektors bankensektor innovationen |
| 4     | eurosystems eurosystem zahlungsverkehr |
| 5     | repercussions risikoteilung nachhaltig |
| 6     | empirical data statistics analyses |
| 7     | digitalen verbraucher digitale digitalisation |
| 8     | cyber security sicherheit |
| 9     | blockchain bitcoins bitcoin geldmarkt |
| 10    | geldpolitik geldpolitischer geldmarkt |

Figure 1: Estimated german parties’ ideal points using TopicShoal.

Figure 2: Estimated german parties’ ideal points using Wordshoal (Lauderdale and Herzog, 2016).
Table 3: Estimated topic intensity $\beta_i$ using TopicShoal on the German political manifesto corpus.

| Topic  | $\beta_i$ |
|--------|----------|
| Topic 1| 0.03     |
| Topic 2| 0.02     |
| Topic 3| 0.07     |
| Topic 4| -0.16    |
| Topic 5| -0.13    |
| Topic 6| -0.23    |
| Topic 7| -0.25    |
| Topic 8| -0.33    |
| Topic 9| 0.15     |
| Topic 10| 0.17    |
| Topic 11| 0.08    |
| Topic 12| 0.04    |
| Topic 13| 0.30    |
| Topic 14| 0.30    |
| Topic 15| 0.30    |
| Topic 16| 0.15    |
| Topic 17| 0.02    |
| Topic 18| -0.31   |
| Topic 19| -0.43   |
| Topic 20| -0.05   |

Table 4: Estimated topic intensity $\beta_i$ using TopicShoal on Bundesbank executive board members’ corpus.

| Topic  | $\beta_i$ |
|--------|----------|
| Topic 1| -0.16    |
| Topic 2| 0.44     |
| Topic 3| -0.15    |
| Topic 4| 0.22     |
| Topic 5| -0.78    |
| Topic 6| -0.22    |
| Topic 7| 0.30     |
| Topic 8| -0.82    |
| Topic 9| -0.01    |
| Topic 10| 0.53   |

2. confirming that word counts are not always able to capture parties’ partisanship.

3.2 Bundesbank speeches

Dataset of Deutsche Bundesbank executive board members’ speeches (Karim El-Ouaghlidi et al., 2019) is used to test the multilingual version of TopicShoal with the help of a multilingual embedding model that ensures a topic-transferability between different languages used in the corpus. The dataset comprises 791 speeches given by nine different executive board members during the period 2012-2017 in four different languages (english, french, german and italian) although english and german share 98% of the corpus. TopicShoal is used to extract central bankers positions using multilingual embedding (Reimers and Gurevych, 2019) given to Top2Vec that uncovered 10 different topics related to various aspects of monetary policy practices, as for risks and vulnerabilities (topic 5), European concerns (topic 1 and 4), financial innovation (topic 3), security and digitalization (topic 7, 8 and 9) and monetary policy (topic 10) as displayed in Table 2.

The positional analysis, as mentioned in Figures 4 and 5, helps classifying members into small groups of similar interests, given the learned topics, where topics related to classical monetary policy (topics 2 and 10) are polarizing positive members’ positions, while risks and crisis-related concerns are mostly linked to negative positions, as reported in Table 4. Positions with wide confidence intervals (Beermann and Böhmle) could be explained by the variety of speeches, members gave during the period, while firm positions with relatively small confidence intervals (Dombret, Weidmann and Thiele) indicate a potential specialization or theme preferences of the members.

4 Conclusion

We presented a novel topic-based, scaling technique able to learn ideal points based on the corpus’ semantic features and yielding an explanatory positional analysis, for both monolingual and multilingual corpora. It outperforms existing bag-of-word methods, which are not always convergent, and other semantic approaches that directly use biased, pre-trained embedding models. Capturing meaningful topics, in addition to uncovering latent patterns within documents, helps building genuine unidimensional scales to rank speakers or parties without the need of taking the analysis to the multi-dimensional level or requiring further intervention on hyperparameters setting, though such efforts usually add a user-bias and are not time-efficient. TopicShoal demonstrated similar interests of four German political parties given to regular debated themes during the last three legislative campaigns, while scaling multilingual speeches at the Bundesbank proved to be effective in uncovering preferences and specialization of central bankers related.
to modern monetary policy practices and hot topics as for digitalization and financial innovation.
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