KEOPS complex promotes homologous recombination via DNA resection
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ABSTRACT

KEOPS complex is one of the most conserved protein complexes in eukaryotes. It plays important roles in both telomere uncapping and tRNA N6-threonylcarbamoyladenosine (t6A) modification in budding yeast. But whether KEOPS complex plays any roles in DNA repair remains unknown. Here, we show that KEOPS complex plays positive roles in both DNA damage response and homologous recombination-mediated DNA repair independently of its t6A synthesis function. Additionally, KEOPS displays DNA binding activity in vitro, and is recruited to the chromatin at DNA breaks in vivo, suggesting a direct role of KEOPS in DSB repair. Mechanistically, KEOPS complex appears to promote DNA end resection through facilitating the association of Exo1 and Dna2 with DNA breaks. Interestingly, inactivation of both KEOPS and Mre11/Rad50/Xrs2 (MRX) complexes results in synergistic defect in DNA resection, revealing that KEOPS and MRX have some redundant functions in DNA resection. Thus we uncover a t6A-independent role of KEOPS complex in DNA resection, and propose that KEOPS might be a DSB sensor to assist cells in maintaining chromosome stability.

INTRODUCTION

DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) are serious threats to genome integrity and must be repaired to maintain cell survival. Two major strategies have been evolved to repair DSBs in eukaryotes, namely non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ) and homologous recombination (HR). NHEJ directly joins the broken ends together, while HR involves pairing an exposed long 3′ single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) with a homologous donor sequence to repair DSBs. At molecular level, NHEJ and HR are quite different. NHEJ requires the binding of Ku70/80 heterodimer to the breaks, which prevents the ends from resection by nucleases and recruits DNA ligase and accessory factors to the ends (1,2). HR requires a long ssDNA generated by 5′-3′ resection of the DNA ends. In budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Mre11/Rad50/Xrs2 (MRX) complex and Sae2 are responsible for the onset of 5′-strand resection to produce an intermediate, which is subjected to extensive processing by Exo1 and/or Dna2/Sgs1 (3–5). MRX initiates DNA end resection likely through its endonuclease activity, which is stimulated by both Sae2 and proteins that block the DNA ends, such as Yku (6–8). Thus, MRX–Sae2 promotes the binding of Exo1 and Dna2/Sgs1 through removing the obstacles and altering the structures at DNA ends (7–11). Moreover, Dna2/Sgs1 acts redundantly with MRX–Sae2 in DNA resection, whereas Exo1 does not (9,10). Dna2-mediated DNA 5′-strand resection requires both its endonuclease and translocase activity upon stimulation of Sgs1 (12,13). The ssDNA generated by the nucleases is coated and protected by Replication Protein A (RPA) complex, which recruits Mec1/Dcc2 complex to activate DNA damage checkpoint signaling (14). RPA complex is then displaced by Rad51, a key recombinase for homologous sequences searching and strand exchange (15,16).

In eukaryotes, the natural linear chromosomal ends resemble DSB ends. These physical ends form special DNA-protein complexes, named ‘telomeres’, to cap and protect the chromosome ends from being perceived as DSBs. Yku70/80 heterodimer and Cdc13/Stn1/Ten1 (CST) complex play critical roles in telomere capping. Yku complex directly binds the telomeric double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) to fulfil the capping function (17,18). Cdc13 is a ssDNA binding protein that specifically binds G-rich telomeric overhang (19,20). Loss of telomere capping in CDC13 mutants or YKU deletion mutants results in over-resection...
of C-rich strand by Exo1 (21–23). In a temperature-sensitive allele of CDC13, cdc13-1, telomere capping is deficient at non-permissive temperature (>26°C), and thus telomeres are recognized as sites of DNA damage in cdc13-1 mutant (24). These uncapped telomeres are vulnerable to nuclease activity, which generates overlong telomeric ssDNA, and thus elicits a checkpoint response mediated by Rad9 (22,25). Deletion of RAD9 or EXO1 suppresses the temperature sensitivity of cdc13-1 (26).

KEOPS complex was primarily identified as a suppressor of cdc13-1 at non-permissive temperature (27,28). It comprises five subunits, Gon7, Pcc1, Kae1, Bud32 and Cgi121 (27,29). Deletion of CGI121 or BUD32 suppresses the accumulation of ssDNA and inhibits the temperature sensitivity of cdc13-1 strain at non-permissive temperature (27). Additionally, deletion of any KEOPS complex subunit results in short telomeres (27). Moreover, deletion of KEOPS subunit Cgi121 inhibits telomere recombination, and thus elongates replicative lifespan (30–32). However, the molecular mechanism by which KEOPS complex regulates telomere uncapping, elongation and recombination remains elusive.

Other than the functions in telomere regulation, KEOPS complex has been proved to catalyze the synthesis of N6-threonylcarbamoyladenosine (t6A) of tRNA in combination with SuA5 (33–36). SUA5 deletion also results in telomere shortening and deficiency of telomere recombination (37,38), suggesting KEOPS and SUA5 mutants share similar telomere phenotypes. Due to the critical role of t6A in accurate translation, it has been proposed that the defects in KEOPS mutants, such as slow cell growth, transcriptional deficiency and telomere shortening, are attributed to the lack of t6A (33,36,39). Interestingly, the defects of t6A modification and the slow growth caused by KEOPS subunit deletion can be fully complemented by cytoplasmic expression of Qri7, a yeast mitochondrial paralog of Kae1 (33,40,41). But the shortened telomeres are not fully rescued by the cytoplasmic expression of Qri7 (40,41). These results imply KEOPS complex has t6A-independent functions in telomere regulation. In this study, we have explored the role of KEOPS complex in uncapped telomeres and DSB repairs. The results indicate that KEOPS complex promotes DNA end resection through regulating the association of nucleases with the breaks. Interestingly, the function of KEOPS complex in DNA resection is partially redundant with that of MRX–Sae2 complex. Together, our findings shed light on a novel role of KEOPS complex in DSB response and repair.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strains and plasmids

The strains used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1 unless otherwise stated. Myc tagging strains were generated as described previously (42). Primers for real-time PCR are listed in Supplementary Table S2. QriγΔMTS ectopic expression plasmid was constructed by inserting the promoter of TDH3 gene (1 kb upstream of TDH3 gene) and terminator (0.5 kb downstream of TDH3 gene) into vectors of pRS42X. DNA fragment encoding QriγΔMTS (amino acids 34–407) was inserted between the promoter and terminator of TDH3 gene. his3-SC- plasmid used for HR rate assay was constructed in pRS316 plasmid as schematic showing in Figure 4A.

Expression and purification of KEOPS complex

Recombinant expression plasmid pJ241.KEOPS was used to express the whole KEOPS complex in BL21 (DE3) Escherichia coli strain. The expression and purification of yeast KEOPS complex were performed as described by Collinet et al. (43).

Serial dilution assay

 Cultures grown overnight were diluted to OD600 of 0.8. Cells were five-fold serially diluted and spotted on indicated plates. Plates were incubated at indicated temperatures for 2–5 days before pictures were taken.

Whole-cell protein extraction and immunoblot analysis

Cells were cultured to mid-log phase and DNA damage was induced by adding genotoxic agents. After grown for indicated time points, cells were collected and whole cell extracts were obtained by TCA method. SDS-PAGE was used to separate proteins. Primary antibodies were diluted in 5–10% milk and incubated overnight at 4°C or 1 h at room temperature, and secondary antibodies were diluted in 1× TBST. Antibodies used in this study: H2AS129ph (ab15083, 1:1000), Rad53 (ab104232, 1:1000), H4 (ab5823, 1:2000), Yku70 (gift from Dr Heidi Feldmann, University of Munich) (44), and Myc antibody is prepared by our laboratory.

Homologous recombination rate assay

Yeast cells were transformed with EcoRI-digested or undigested his3-SC-plasmid (ARS-CEN, URA3). The transformants were selected on Yeast Complete (YC) medium lacking both uracil and histidine (Ura–/His–). The yeast cells transformed with intact his3-SC- plasmid were selected on YC medium lacking uracil (Ura–). HR rate was determined by the ratio of transformants recovered from EcoRI-digested plasmid to the transformants recovered from the undigested plasmid. Statistical analysis of the results was performed using a two-tailed t-test in GraphPad Prism 5.

The competition assay for HR and NHEJ

Yeast cells were transformed with EcoRI-digested his3-SC-plasmid (ARS-CEN, URA3). HR transformants were selected on Yeast Complete (YC) medium lacking histidine (His–), while transformants with repaired plasmid were selected on Yeast Complete (YC) medium lacking uracil (Ura–). The NHEJ transformants were determined by detracting the transformants grown on His+/Ura- medium from the transformants grown on Ura- medium. Statistical analysis of the results was performed using a two-tailed t-test in GraphPad Prism 5.

DNA end resection assay

Cells were grown in YC medium containing 2% raffinose to log phase. HO induction was performed in medium containing 2% galactose. Cells were collected at indicated time
intervals for DNA extraction. Southern blot and real-time PCR were performed to assay the resection intermediates as described previously (45). POL1 probe was used as loading control (31). Real-time PCR assay used primers flanking the StyI site located 0.7 kb away from the HO site. A pair of primers amplifying the DNA fragment located on chromosome V were used as a PCR internal control. PCRs were performed by the SYBR green system. The presented data are means of at least three independent experiments. The fraction of resected DNA was calculated by $X = 2/(1 + 2^{\Delta Ct})$ as described previously (45, 46), where $\Delta Ct = Ct_{\text{digestion}} - Ct_{\text{mock}}$, and $f$ is the fraction cut by HO.

**Chromatin-immunoprecipitation (ChIP)**

ChIP was performed as previously described with some modifications (47). Briefly, cells were grown to OD$_{600}$ of ~0.6 and DSB was induced by addition of galactose to a final concentration of 2%. Cells were cross-linked in 1% formaldehyde and quenched cross-linking by adding 2.5 M glycine to a final concentration of 0.125 M. Cells were lysed in lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES–KOH (pH 7.5), 1 mg/ml sodium-deoxycholate, 1% Triton-X, 0.14 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, 1× Protease Inhibitor Cocktail) using homogenizer and sonicated to obtain chromatin fragments of ~500-bp in size. DNA was precipitated in the presence (+Ab) or absence (−Ab) of indicated antibodies (Rfa1: Agrisera, AS07214; Myc antibody was prepared by our lab) at 4°C for 4 h, followed by incubation with 20 µl Protein G beads (GE Healthcare) at 4°C for overnight. Beads were washed once by the following buffers at room temperature: lysis buffer, wash buffer I (1 mg/ml sodium-deoxycholate, 1% Triton-X, 0.05 M HEPES–KOH (pH 7.5), 0.5 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA (pH 7.5)), wash buffer II (5 mg/ml sodium-deoxycholate, 10 mM Tris (pH 8), 250 mM LiCl, 1 mM EDTA (pH 7.5), 1% NP-40) and 1× TE. Precipitated DNA was de-crosslinked at 65°C and purified by QIAGEN PCR purification Kit. ChIP enrichment was measured by real-time PCR. A pair of primers amplifying the DNA fragment located on chromosome V were used as a PCR internal control. Statistical analysis of the results was performed using a two-tailed t-test in GraphPad Prism 5.

**Chromatin preparation**

Cells were grown in YPD medium to log-phase and exposed to 0.05% MMS for indicated time points. Chromatin was extracted as described previously with a few modifications (47). Briefly, spheroplasts were obtained by zymolase digestion for 30 min at 30°C. Spheroplasts were washed once with 1 ml zymolase buffer and suspended in EBX buffer with 0.5% Triton X-100. After NIB buffer cushion of spheroplasts, nuclear membrane was further lysed in EBX buffer with 1% Triton X-100. The chromatin pellets were obtained by centrifugation at 16 000 g at 4°C for 10 min. Proteins in chromatin pellets and whole cell extracts (WCE) were detected by western blot analysis.

**Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)**

The EMSA assay was performed in a reaction of 10 µl mix containing 2 nM radioactively labeled probes (probe sequence: AACGTGATAGCTAGTGAGGA CATTTGCCCCACTGT-TGACCCA), and KEOPS proteins (0–1.8 µM) in binding buffer (25 mM HEPES/KOH (pH 7.5), 50 mM NaCl, 2 mM MnCl$_2$, 2 mM ATP, 1 mM DTT). The reaction mix was incubated at 30°C for 1 h. The products were separated by 6% non-denaturing acrylamide gel in 0.5× TBE at 4°C. The gels were dried and exposed to storage phosphor screens which were scanned by phosphor imager.

**Immunofluorescence assay**

Immunofluorescence was performed as previously described (48) with a few modifications. Briefly, about 1 × 10$^7$ cells were collected and fixed by 3.7% formaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature. Then, cells were washed once by sorbitol buffer (1.1 M sorbitol, 0.1 M K$_2$HPO4, pH 7.5), and treated by 1 µg/µl zymolase for 20 min at 37°C. Spheroplasts were washed once by sorbitol buffer and spotted on poly-L-lysine pre-treated slides. After rinsing in PBS-T buffer for 40 min, the slides were incubated with anti-Myc antibody. After washed by PBS-T buffer, the slides were incubated with secondary antibody conjugated to Cy3 in dark. Next, slides were washed by PBS-T buffer and dyed by 1 µg/ml DAPI diluted in PBS buffer. At last, the slides were washed by PBS buffer and mounted on DAKO mounting medium. Confocal microscopy was carried out by OLYMPUS FV1200 Laser Scanning.

**Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis**

Cells (0.5–1 × 10$^7$) collected from different time points were fixed by 70% ethanol overnight at 4°C. Then the cells were washed once by 50 mM sodium citrate buffer (pH 7.2). Afterward, the cells were digested by 0.25 mg/ml RNaseA and 0.2 mg/ml Protease K diluted in sodium citrate buffer. The cells were resuspended in sodium citrate buffer and sonicated for 30 s. DNA was stained by 20 µg/ml propidium iodide (PI). FACS analysis was performed on a BD LSR II instrument.

**Viability**

The viability measurement of cells derived from tGI354 yeast strain was performed as previously described (49).

**RESULTS**

Qri7$^{\Delta TMS}$ complements cytoplasmic t6A modification in KEOPS mutants

Budding yeast mitochondrion harbors a Kae1 paralog, Qri7, which catalyzes t6A synthesis in combination with Sua5 in mitochondria (33, 34, 40). Ectopic expression of Qri7 lacking mitochondrial targeting sequence (Qri7$^{\Delta TMS}$) in the cytoplasm of KEOPS-inactive cells restores both t6A modification and cell growth rate to the levels comparable with those seen in wild type cells (33, 34, 40). To dissect the potential t6A-independent functions of the KEOPS complex, we constructed KEOPS deletion strains in which...
the t6A modification remains intact. TDH3 gene is the most abundant and constitutively expressed protein gene in budding yeast (50), and its promoter was employed to overexpress Qri7ΔMTS in the cytoplasm of kaelΔ, bud32Δ, gon7Δ and pcc1Δ cells. As the t6A modification defect in the KEOPS mutants results in severely slow growth (33,40,41), cell growth was used as an indicator of the t6A modification. Ectopic expression of Qri7ΔMTS driven by TDH3 promoter completely rescued the slow growth phenotype in these KEOPS subunit null mutants (Figure 1A), suggesting that TDH3 promoter can drive enough Qri7ΔMTS expression to restore t6A modification in KEOPS mutants. These data are consistent with the findings of previous reports (33,40,41).

However, as a multi-subunit complex, KEOPS may not only function to maintain the overall content of t6A modification, but also coordinate t6A synthesis in time and space in cytoplasm. Direct detection of t6A distribution in cells was technically infeasible. Therefore, we detected protein levels and cellular distributions of the five subunits of KEOPS complex during cell cycle progression, because yeast Qri7 and KEOPS are essential and sufficient for t6A synthesis in the presence of Sua5 protein in vivo (36,40). We constructed yeast strains in which each subunit of KEOPS complex was individually tagged with the epitope of 13-Myc, and performed both immunoblotting and immunofluorescence analyses. The results showed that the protein levels of KEOPS subunits displayed little changes along cell cycle progression (Figure 1B, C and Supplementary Figure S1A–D), and each subunit seemed to be randomly distributed in whole cells, regardless of the changes of cell cycle stages (Figure 1B, D and Supplementary Figure S1A–D).

KEOPS has t6A-independent functions in uncapped telomere resection

KEOPS complex was initially identified as a telomere regulator that promotes telomere replication and uncapped telomere resection (27). Deletion of KEOPS subunits results in telomere shortening and suppression of the temperature sensitivity (ts) of the cdc13-1 mutant (27). To address whether or not the functions of KEOPS complex in telomeres are indirect consequences of t6A synthesis, we measured the telomere length in KEOPS mutants and their corresponding strains that expressed Qri7ΔMTS. Ectopic expression of Qri7ΔMTS had no effect on telomere length in wild type cells, and resulted in only a slight (~50 bp) increase in telomere length in kaelΔ, bud32Δ, gon7Δ and pcc1Δ mutants (Supplementary Figure S2). These data are in line with previous results showing that Qri7ΔMTS can fully complement rRNA t6A modification, but not telomere length in KEOPS mutants (40,41).

We also examined the ts of kaelΔ cdc13-1, pcc1Δ cdc13-1 and gon7Δ cdc13-1 mutants. cdc13-1 mutant expressing Qri7ΔMTS was sensitive to non-permissive temperature of 30°C (Figure 1E). Deletion of KAE1, PCC1 or GON7 suppressed the ts of cdc13-1 mutant in the presence of Qri7ΔMTS expression (Figure 1E), suggesting that the telomere uncapping function of KEOPS complex is independent of t6A.

KEOPS complex is required for efficient DNA damage response

The uncapped telomeres mimic DSB ends. Many of the proteins involved in DSB sensing and end resection also participate in processing and/or maintenance of telomeres (51–54). Given that KEOPS complex functions in the resection of uncapped telomeres (Figure 1E) (27), we considered the possibility that KEOPS complex is involved in DSB repair. To test it, we assessed the sensitivity of Qri7ΔMTS overexpressed KEOPS mutants to genotoxic agents, and found that all these KEOPS subunit deletion cells were more sensitive to phleomycin (Phl), methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) and hydroxyurea (HU) than wild type (wt) cells (Figure 2A and Supplementary Figure S3A), suggesting that KEOPS complex may contribute to efficient response and/or repair of DNA damage. Bud32 is an essential subunit of KEOPS complex and displays protein kinase activity in vitro (55–57). We tested the requirement of the kinase activity of Bud32 for the DNA repair function of KEOPS complex. bud32K52A (QRI7ΔMTS) mutant, in which the kinase activity of Bud32 is eliminated (55), displayed the same sensitivity to MMS as bud32Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) strain (Figure 2B), suggesting that the kinase activity of Bud32 is essential for the function of KEOPS complex in DNA damage repair.

Then we asked whether KEOPS deletion leads to defective DNA-damage signaling. The phosphorylation levels of H2AS129 (H2A-p) and Rad53 (Rad53-p) were monitored. Deletion of BUD32 slightly increased the level of H2A-p (Figure 2C). Upon exposure to phleomycin, the H2A-p level in wild type cells was gradually increased, and reached >5-fold higher than that in untreated cells (Figure 2D, E and Supplementary Figure S3B). This robust increase of H2A-p was significantly compromised in bud32Δ, kaelΔ, gon7Δ and pcc1Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) mutants (Figure 2D, E and Supplementary Figure S3B). Consistently, in the presence of either Phl or MMS, the bud32Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) cells showed reduced phosphorylation level of Rad53 (Rad53-p) compared to wt (QRI7ΔMTS) cells (Figure 2F and G). These results indicate that KEOPS complex plays a critical role in DNA damage response.

KEOPS complex is required for DNA end resection

KEOPS promotes uncapped telomere resection and efficient DNA damage response (Figures 1E and 2), which prompted us to hypothesize that KEOPS plays a role in DNA end resection at DNA breaks. To measure DNA resection rate, we used a haploid yeast strain JKM179, in which a galactose-induced expression of homothallic switching endonuclease (HO) can introduce a specific DSB at the MATα site on chromosome III (Figure 3A) (58). Induction of the DSB at the MATα site resulted in G2/M arrest of cell cycle in both bud32Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) and wt (QRI7ΔMTS) cells (Figure 3B) (59). We measured the DNA resection at the break site by detecting a StyI recognition site, which is located 0.7 kb away from the break end (Figure 3A). As resection proceeds, the DNA at the StyI site becomes single-stranded, and is resistant to StyI cleavage, leading to the disappearance of a 0.7 kb restriction frag-
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Figure 1. Inactivation of KEOPS complex suppresses telomere-capping defect through a 6A-independent pathway. (A) Cell growth analysis. Qri\textsuperscript{7ΔMTS} was expressed under the driving of TDH3 promoter in wild type (wt), kae1\textsuperscript{Δ}, bud32\textsuperscript{Δ}, pcc1\textsuperscript{Δ} and gon7\textsuperscript{Δ} strains. All indicated strains were plotted on selective medium by five-fold serial dilutions. (B) Cell cycle progression analysis by FACS. Cells were synchronized in G1 phase by α-factor. After release, cells were collected every 15 min intervals and subjected to FACS, immunoblot and immunofluorescence analyses. (C) Bud32–13Myc expression level during cell cycle progression. Cell samples taken from B were analyzed by western blot. (D) Bud32–13Myc distribution in cells during cell cycle progression. Cell samples taken from B were analyzed by immunofluorescence assay. (E) Temperature sensitivity analysis. Qri\textsuperscript{7ΔMTS} was expressed in indicated strains and serial dilutions were spotted on selective medium. Plates were incubated at indicated temperatures for 2–3 days.

ment over time (Figure 3C). The ssDNA was also measured by real-time PCR as described previously (46). An obvious delay in end resection was observed in bud32\textsuperscript{Δ} (QRI\textsuperscript{7ΔMTS}) cells compared to wt (QRI\textsuperscript{7ΔMTS}) cells (Figure 3C, D and Supplementary Figure S4), suggesting that ssDNA generation at the HO-induced break is decreased in KEOPS mutant cells. To confirm this observation, we detected the enrichment of Rfa1, a subunit of RPA complex, to the break site by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay (45,60). The ChIP results showed that the enrichment of Rfa1 in bud32\textsuperscript{Δ} (QRI\textsuperscript{7ΔMTS}) cells was less than that in wt (QRI\textsuperscript{7ΔMTS}) cells (Figure 3E), while the expression of Rfa1 was not affected by BUD32 deletion (Supplementary Figure S5A). We therefore concluded that the DNA end resection at DSB site is compromised in bud32\textsuperscript{Δ} (QRI\textsuperscript{7ΔMTS}) cells.

KEOPS complex promotes HR

DNA resection is critical for DSB repair by HR. Now that KEOPS promotes DNA end resection, it could be important for HR repair of DNA breaks. To test the recombination rate in KEOPS deletion strains, we constructed a plasmid-mediated recombination system modified from a previously reported assay (Figure 4A) (61). A version of his3 gene, which lacks the start codon (his3-SC), and harbors only part of promoter sequence, was inserted into a CEN plasmid. Between the promoter and open reading frame (ORF) of the his3-SC gene, an EcoRI recognition site was inserted (Figure 4A). If the plasmid was linearized by EcoRI \textit{in vitro} and introduced into BY4741 or BY4742 budding yeast strain, in which the genomic HIS3 gene is partially deleted (his3/Δ1), the linearized plasmid could be repaired through HR using the genomic homology sequence of his3/Δ1 as a donor sequence, resulting in functional restoration of HIS3 gene (Figure 4A). Thus, the cells carrying repaired HIS3 gene can survive in the medium lacking histidine (His–). As a control, no recombinants were obtained when the linearized his3-SC-plasmid was introduced into BY4742 rad52\textsuperscript{Δ} (QRI\textsuperscript{7ΔMTS}) cells (Figure 4B). In contrast, 15–20% wt (QRI\textsuperscript{7ΔMTS}) cells transformed with linearized his3-SC- were able to grow
Figure 2. Lack of KEOPS activity impairs efficient DNA damage response and repair. (A) Genotoxic sensitivity analysis of KEOPS mutants. Indicated strains were serially diluted and plotted on media containing phleomycin (Phl) or methyl methanesulfonate (MMS). (B) Genotoxic sensitivity analysis. Plasmid encoding wild type Bud32 (BUD32), Bud32K52A (bud32K52A) or empty plasmid (vector) was introduced in bud32/Delta1 (QRI7/Delta1 MTS) cells. The genotoxic sensitivity was assayed in the presence of MMS. (C) Immunoblotting analysis of H2AS129 phosphorylation (H2A-p). Whole-cell proteins were extracted from cells that were grown in normal conditions. (D, E) Immunoblotting analysis of H2AS129 phosphorylation (H2A-p) upon phleomycin (Phl) treatment. Cells were exposed to Phl for indicated time points (D). Whole-cell proteins were extracted, and H2A-p and H4 were analyzed. Quantification of the immunoblotting results was performed (E). Data are presented as fold changes relative to time point 0. Each value represents the mean ± s.e.m. (n = 3). (F, G) Rad53 activation analysis. Cells of indicated strains were arrested in G2/M phase and exposed to Phl (F), or as synchronized cells were exposed MMS (G). Whole-cell proteins were extracted and Rad53 phosphorylation (Rad53-p) levels were monitored by western blot.

KEOPS complex is associated with chromatin of break sites

In order to address whether the function of KEOPS complex in DNA processing and homologous recombination is direct, we examined the association of KEOPS with the chromatin in cells exposed to MMS. Both yeast whole cell extract (WCE) and chromatin were prepared. An immunoblotting analysis revealed that the chromatin fraction contained undetectable α-Tubulin (Figure 5A), indicative of successful chromatin fractionation (62). MMS treatment resulted in an increase of H2A phosphorylation in both whole cell extract and chromatin fraction, indicative of the activation of DNA damage response (Figure 5A). Bud32 was detected in the chromatin fraction of cells that were not exposed to MMS (Figure 5A). Notably, MMS exposure did not alter the overall protein level of Bud32 in whole cell extract, but led to an increase of Bud32 protein level in chromatin fraction (Figure 5A). These results are in line with previous data that the association of Cgi121 with chromatin is increased in yeast cells exposed to MMS (63), and suggest that KEOPS complex is recruited to chromatin when DNA damage occurs.

To address whether KEOPS was recruited to the break sites, we measured the enrichment of both Bud32–13Myc and Kae1–13Myc at the DNA break induced by HO. Two DNA regions located 0.5- and 3.7-kb away from the DSB were examined by ChIP assay (Figure 5B). As a control, little binding of either Bud32–13Myc or Kae1–13Myc was detected at both the 0.5- and 3.7-kb sites when the DSB was not induced (Figure 5C). Following HO induction, the enrichment of Bud32–13Myc or Kae1–13Myc at the two sites increased over time (Figure 5D and E). Notably, the enrichment of both Bud32–13Myc and Kae1–13Myc at the 0.5-kb site was significantly higher than those at the 3.7-kb site (Figure 5D and E), suggesting that KEOPS is recruited to the chromatin surrounding break sites.

KEOPS complex modifies tRNA through direct binding to tRNA (36,64). We examined the DNA binding ability of KEOPS complex. We purified recombinant yeast KEOPS complex as previously described (Figure 5F) (43), and per-
Figure 3.  BUD32 deletion impairs DNA end resection. (A) Schematic representation of ssDNA measurement by Southern blot and real-time PCR. Green arrow pair shows the primers used for real-time PCR. Cyan bar shows the location of probe used for hybridization. (B) FACS analysis of cell cycle progression. HO expression was induced in cells cultured to log-phase stage. Cell samples were taken every one hour and FACS was performed to analyze the cell cycle progression. (C) Southern blot analysis of StyI digested genomic DNA isolated from indicated strains collected at different time points. (D) Plot showing the percentage of resected DNA by real-time PCR. Data are means ± s.e.m. (n = 3). (E) Rfa1–13Myc enrichment at the HO-induced break site. ChIP assay with anti-Myc antibody (+Ab) or without anti-Myc antibody (–Ab) was performed to measure the recruitment of Rfa1–13Myc protein at DNA sites located 0.5, 1, 3.7, 5 and 10 kb away from the break. Results are fold enrichment when HO was induced for 2.5 hr over that at time 0 hr (n = 2).

formed electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA). The results revealed that KEOPS complex interacted with radiolabeled dsDNA and ssDNA in vitro (Figure 5G). We noted that the binding affinity for KEOPS-DNA interaction was comparable with that for KEOPS-tRNA interaction reported previously (36,64). Taken together, these results suggest that the function of KEOPS complex in DNA processing and homologous recombination is direct.

KEOPS provides some redundant activity in DNA resection in the absence of active MRX–Sae2

MRX complex and Sae2 are essential for the initiation of DNA end resection and HR repair of DSB (3,4). We asked whether the DNA end processing defect in KEOPS mutant is attributed to MRX dysfunction. The overall expression and recruitment of Mre11 at the DNA break in bud32Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) and wt (QRI7ΔMTS) cells were determined, and no significant differences were observed (Figure 6A and Supplementary Figure S5A), suggesting that inactivation of KEOPS complex does not affect the action of MRX complex. We then examined the growth of bud32Δ (QRI7ΔMTS), mre11Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) and bud32Δ mre11Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) cells on regular medium or media containing genotoxic agents to investigate the genetic interaction between KEOPS and MRX. Intriguingly, the bud32Δ mre11Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) double mutant grew perceptively poorer than mre11Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) and bud32Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) single mutants on regular medium (Figure 6B). To determine whether the poorer growth of bud32Δ mre11Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) cells was attributed to cell senescence induced by critical short telomeres, we examined the telomere length, and found that telomere length in bud32Δ mre11Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) strain was shorter than that in bud32Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) and mre11Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) strains (Supplementary Figure S5B). Additionally, subtelomeric Y amplification, an indication of telomeric recombination as well as senescence, was not observed in bud32Δ mre11Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) cells (Supplementary Figure S5B). In the presence of genotoxic agents, both bud32Δ mre11Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) and bud32Δ sae2Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) double mutants exhibited a synthetic growth defect (Figure 6B, C and Supplementary Figure S5C), indicating that KEOPS and MRX-Sae2 act in different genetic pathways.

In order to confirm KEOPS affects DNA end resection independently of MRX, we measured the ssDNA
KEOPS provides some complementary activity for DNA resection in the absence of MRX nuclease activity.

KEOPS regulates the association of Exo1 and Dna2 with DNA breaks

Dna2/Sgs1 plays a redundant role in DNA resection with MRX complex (9,10). Deletion of DNA2 or SGS1 in mrel1 nuclease mutants aggravates the defect of DNA resection, as well as the sensitivity to ionizing radiation (9,10). We suspected that KEOPS complex may affect Dna2/Sgs1 at DNA breaks. To test this possibility, we measured the loading of Dna2 to the HO-induced break in the absence of active KEOPS. The results showed that in bud32Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) strain, Dna2 recruitment at the break was significantly reduced (Figure 7A), while the expression of Dna2 was not affected (Supplementary Figure S6A). These data indicate that KEOPS may function to promote the recruitment of Dna2 at DNA breaks. To further assess the genetic interaction between KEOPS and Dna2/Sgs1, we compared the genotoxic sensitivity of bud32Δ sgs1Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) double mutant, bud32Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) and sgs1Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) single mutants. bud32Δ sgs1Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) double mutant exhibited severer defect in genotoxic agent resistance than the corresponding single mutants (Figure 7B and Supplementary Figure S6B). These results imply that KEOPS has function(s) other than affecting Dna2 recruitment. Exo1 and Dna2/Sgs1 are involved in two distinct pathways of extensive end processing following the initial end resection (3–5).

We detected the recruitment of Exo1 at the break in bud32Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) strain, and found that lack of Bud32 also reduced the loading of Exo1 (Figure 7C), but has little effect on the protein level of Exo1 (Supplementary Figure S6C). Accordingly, a strain lacking both EXO1 and BUD32 was more sensitive to genotoxic agents than the strains lacking either EXO1 or BUD32 (Figure 7D and Supplementary Figure S6D). These data suggest that KEOPS affects both Exo1 and Dna2/Sgs1 pathways.

Inactivation of KEOPS increases the association of Yku with DNA breaks

Due to the critical roles of Yku complex in DNA resection, we wondered whether KEOPS regulates the association of Yku at DNA breaks. The expression of Yku70/80 complex was not affected upon deletion of BUD32 (Supplementary Figure S7A). Then we examined the association of both Bud32 and Yku at the HO induced break in G1-arrested cells, in which little ssDNA is generated (1). The result showed that Bud32–13Myc was recruited to the break site, but not at the DNA locus 3.7 kb away from the beak site (Supplementary Figure S7B), while the recruitment of Yku was not affected in the absence of Bud32 in G1 phase (Supplementary Figure S7C). In asynchronized cells, the association of Yku70 with the breaks in bud32Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) mutant strain displayed a modest but statistically significant increase compared to that in wt (QRI7ΔMTS) strain (Figure 7E), suggesting that KEOPS complex antagonizes the recruitment of Yku complex. Additionally, we deleted YKU70 in wt (QRI7ΔMTS)
and \( \text{bud32}\Delta (\text{QRI7}^{\Delta\text{MTS}}) \) strains, and found that \( \text{bud32}\Delta \text{yku70}\Delta (\text{QRI7}^{\Delta\text{MTS}}) \) strain displayed less genotoxic sensitivity than \( \text{bud32}\Delta (\text{QRI7}^{\Delta\text{MTS}}) \) strain (Figure 7F and Supplementary Figure S7D). Moreover, DNA end resection efficiency in \( \text{bud32}\Delta \text{yku70}\Delta (\text{QRI7}^{\Delta\text{MTS}}) \) cells was comparable to that in \( \text{yku70}\Delta (\text{QRI7}^{\Delta\text{MTS}}) \) cells, but higher than those in \( \text{bud32}\Delta (\text{QRI7}^{\Delta\text{MTS}}) \) and wt \( (\text{QRI7}^{\Delta\text{MTS}}) \) cells (Figure 7G). These data are consistent with the notion that KEOPS promotes the association of Dna2 and Exo1, and antagonizes Yku binding. However, we also noted that the \( \text{bud32}\Delta \text{yku70}\Delta (\text{QRI7}^{\Delta\text{MTS}}) \) double-deletion mutant showed poorer growth than \( \text{bud32}\Delta (\text{QRI7}^{\Delta\text{MTS}}) \) and \( \text{yku70}\Delta (\text{QRI7}^{\Delta\text{MTS}}) \) strains on normal medium (Supplementary Figure S7D), indicating additional unknown functions of KEOPS in cell growth.

Since the loading of Yku complex to the DNA break was increased in \( \text{bud32}\Delta (\text{QRI7}^{\Delta\text{MTS}}) \) mutant, we reasoned that NHEJ-mediated repair of DSB might be improved. We used the JKM179 yeast strain to analyze the NHEJ efficiency as previously described (65). Because both the \( \text{HMR} \) and \( \text{HML} \) homologous donor sequences of \( \text{MAT} \) site in this strain are deleted (Supplementary Figure S7E), the DSB induced by HO cleavage can be repaired exclusively by NHEJ (66). The HO expression was induced in galactose medium for 1 hr, and then turned off by addition of glucose (Supplementary Figure S7F). The rejoining efficiency of DSBs was measured by quantitative PCR after cells were cultured in glucose medium for 2.5 and 5 hr (Supplementary Figure S7F). Little rejoining of DSBs was detected in \( \text{yku70}\Delta (\text{QRI7}^{\Delta\text{MTS}}) \) strain (Supplementary Figure S7F), confirming that the rejoining is NHEJ-dependent. The rejoining efficiency in \( \text{bud32}\Delta (\text{QRI7}^{\Delta\text{MTS}}) \) strain was significantly higher than that in wt \( (\text{QRI7}^{\Delta\text{MTS}}) \) strain when the cells were cultured in glucose medium for 2.5 and 5 hr (Supplementary Figure S7F). We then evaluated both HR and NHEJ efficiencies using the plasmid system shown in Figure 4A. The plasmid \( \text{his3}-\text{SC}-(\text{ARS-CEN}, \text{URA3}) \) was linearized by \( \text{EcoRI} \) and introduced into wt \( (\text{QRI7}^{\Delta\text{MTS}}) \) or \( \text{bud32}\Delta (\text{QRI7}^{\Delta\text{MTS}}) \) strain. The transformants of each sample were equally divided into two groups, which were grown on solid media lacking histidine (His–) and uracil (Ura–), respectively (Figure 7H). The transformants grown on His– medium were outcomes of HR (His+), while the transformants grown on Ura– medium were outcomes of HR and NHEJ (Ura+) (Figure 7H). The competition between HR and NHEJ was represented as the ratio of HR transformants (His+) to NHEJ transformants that were only grown on Ura– medium (His–/Ura+). The
results showed that the ratio of HR to NHEJ in bud32Δ (QRI7ΔMTS) was much lower than that in wt (QRI7ΔMTS) (Figure 7I), indicating that NHEJ pathway is much more favored than HR pathway when BUD32 is absent.

**DISCUSSION**

In budding yeast, KEOPS complex plays multiple roles, including promoting telomere uncapping and elongation (27), facilitating the expression of inducible genes (29), and catalyzing tRNA t6A modification (33,34). In this work, we have explored the role of KEOPS complex in DSB repair, and found that KEOPS complex promotes the DNA resection of break ends to facilitate HR mediated DNA repair.

**BUD32, KAE1, PCC1 and GON7** had been considered as essential genes because their individual deletion mutants grow extremely slow, raising technical challenges for functional study of these genes (67). The severe slow growth of KEOPS mutants appears to be resulted from the defect of tRNA t6A modification because Qri7ΔMTS overexpression recovers the cell growth and t6A modification in KEOPS subunit null strains (Figure 1A) (33,40,41). In contrast, Qri7ΔMTS overexpression could not rescue telomere length defect (Supplementary Figure S2) (33,40,41), nor affects the temperature resistance of KEOPS subunit null cdc13-1 cells (Figure 1E). These lines of evidence distinguish the roles of KEOPS in telomere maintenance from that in tRNA t6A modification (41).

The recovery of cell growth of KEOPS mutant(s) by ectopic expression of Qri7ΔMTS has provided essential genetic tools for further functional study of KEOPS genes in DNA damage repair. KEOPS subunit deletion cells are more sensitive to several genotoxic agents, including phleomycin, MMS and HU than wild type cells (Figure 2A and Supplementary Figure S3A). In addition, the phosphorylation of Rad53 seen in genotoxin-treated wild type cells (Figure 2A and Supplementary Figure S3A). In addition, the phosphorylation of Rad53 seen in genotoxin-treated wild type cells (Figure 2F and G). Moreover, DNA end resection of DSBs is delayed and reduced in bud32Δ cells (Figure 3C-E and Supplementary Figure S4). Consistently, bud32Δ and kae1Δ mutant cells display significantly lower HR rate than wild type cells (Figure 4B and D). These data strongly support the conclusion that
KEOPS plays a positive role in regulating DNA end resection, and thereby promotes DNA damage response and efficient HR repair of DSB.

KEOPS complex has intrinsic DNA and RNA binding activity in vitro (Figure 5G) (36,64). It also associates with chromatin in vivo (Figure 5A), including telomeres (27). Moreover, more Bud32, Kael and Cgil121 are recruited to the break sites of chromatin upon DNA damage occurring (Figure 5A-E) (63). These results indicate that the function of KEOPS in DSB response and repair is direct. Given that the phenotypes of single subunit deletion mutant are almost the same as those of the others, we favor the notion that the KEOPS subunits, Bud32, Kael, Pcc1, Gon7 and Cgil121 need to form an intact complex to fully fulfill their functions. Bud32 exhibits ATPase/kinase activity, which is required for the function of KEOPS in DNA damage repair (Figure 2B), it remains possible that KEOPS affects telomere replication and DSB repair through phosphorylating proteins associated with telomeres and DNA breaks. However, the observation that the ATPase/kinase activity of Bud32 is suppressed by Kael when they form a complex seems to argue against this hypothesis (55,56).

It has been well documented that DSBs are primarily and timely sensed by Yku and MRX complex (68). Yku complex prevents Exo1 and Dna2/Sgs1 from access to breakends, and promotes NHEJ (9,10). MRX in combination with Sae2 functions as an endonuclease complex, and cleaves the 5′-terminated break ends to remove the proteins (e.g. Yku70/80) that block DNA ends (6–8), and thus promote the recruitment of Exo1 and Dna2/Sgs1 (9,10). Interestingly, simultaneous inactivation of both MRX–Sae2 and KEOPS results in an elevated synthetic sensitivity to genotoxic agents (Figure 6B, C and Supplementary Figure S5C), as well as an additive reduction of DNA end resection (Figure 6D and E), indicating that KEOPS complex and MRX independently regulate end resection. Consistently, KEOPS is critical for genotoxic resistance when the nuclease activity of MRX is abrogated (Figure 6F and Supplementary Figure S5D). Whether KEOPS complex has endonuclease activity is unknown. But inactivation of KEOPS complex leads to reduced enrichment of Dna2 and Exo1 (Figure 7A and C), and increased recruitment of Yku at DNA breaks (Figure 7E). Based on the data mentioned above,
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