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Redefining Homogeneous Climate Regions in Bangladesh Using Multivariate Clustering Approaches

Sultan Mahmud; Ferdausi Mahojabin Sumana; Md. Mohsin; Md Hasinur Rahaman Khan

Abstract: The knowledge of the climate pattern for a particular region is important to alleviate the impact of climate change and protect the environment by taking appropriate actions based on geographical knowledge. It is also equally important for water resources planning and management. In this study, the regional disparities and similarities have been revealed among different climate stations or regions in Bangladesh based on different climatological factors such as rainfall, temperatures, relative humidity, sea level pressure, cloud cover, wind speed, the sunshine hour. We have selected one of the best-fitted algorithms for particular climate data from three multivariate clustering approaches named hierarchical clustering, partitioning around medoids (PAM), and K-means clustering by using different validation tests. Four homogeneity tests (Mann-Kendall Test, Pettitt's test, Buishand Range Test, Standard Normal Homogeneity Test) also have been performed for each of the clusters created based on several factors. The results suggest that the climate regions or meteorological stations of Bangladesh can be clustered into two groups based on a combination of climatological variables. According to the findings, there is a huge variation between the two groups in terms of climatological factors. The first group (cluster 1) is located in the northern part of the country that includes drought-prone and vulnerable regions, whereas, the second group (cluster 2) contains rain-prone and hilly regions, which are mostly situated in the southern part. All newly defined clusters show homogeneous behavior with few exceptions such as clusters based on sea level pressure are not homogeneous.
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1 **Introduction**

Identifying the homogeneous regions in hydrological and climatological fields is very crucial for policymakers to develop national climate policies to respond to climate behaviors for reducing the impact of climate change (Iyigun et al. 2013). Due to various development activities, climate change is probably the most significant issue affecting the overall social and economic wellbeing of Bangladesh, also forcing to occur hydrological disaster (Shahid and Behrawan 2008). Soon, climate change could be a reason for unexpected changes in the pattern of climatological factors such as precipitation, the temperature that will have a huge impact on biodiversity (Willis and Bhagwat 2009), water resource management (Huq 2001), agriculture (Huq et al. 1999, Shahid 2011), and public health (Mahmood 2012). Bangladesh is one of the most vulnerable countries in the world (Shahid 2010) and the impact of climate change is not identical all over the country. For instance, Haque and Jahan (2015) showed Dhaka, Rajshahi, and Sylhet are facing more natural disasters compared to the coastal regions specifically Barisal, Chittagong, and Khulna in Bangladesh. Rahman et al. (2015), Ahmed and Kim (2003), Poncelet et al. (2010) also observed significant changes among several climatological factors in some regions compared to others. Therefore, it is essential to monitor the parameters governing the climatological and ecological equilibrium for a given region. Towards achieving this aim the climatological factors such as rainfall, temperature, cloud cover, humidity need to be studied in space and time.

Furthermore, the proper knowledge of the climatological properties of different regions would be useful in water resources planning and management for multiple purposes (Goyal et al. 2019). The identification of a homogeneous climate region also will be helpful to the researchers to understand the atmospheric mechanisms (Abadi et al. 2019). It is also important to know the regional properties to figure out the suitable crops and plants for a particular climate region. In the climatological, ecological, and hydrological discipline, there are several approaches available for identifying homogeneous climate regions known as unsupervised data mining techniques for instance hierarchical
clustering, k-means clustering, fuzzy c-clustering, partitioning around medoids (PAM). Those techniques divide data into several subgroups that are called clusters based on similarities or dissimilarities measures. Several studies are available in the literature for identifying the climatological and hydrological disparities among different regions. For example, Siraj-Ud-Doulah and Islam (2019) and Rahman et al. (2013) used hierarchical and k-means clustering respectively to define the homogeneous climatological regions in Bangladesh. In Italy, Di Giuseppe et al. (2013) applied the Partitioning around medoids (PAM) method to delimitate the homogeneous climate zones. In New Zealand, Mosley (1981) used multivariate cluster analysis to define the hydrological regions. Modarres (2006) and Ahmad et al. (2013) respectively identified the homogeneous precipitation regions of Iran and Malaysia using a hierarchical clustering approach. Sahin and Cigizoglu (2010), Goyal et al. 2019, and Basalirwa (1995) respectively in Turkey, India, and Uganda also applied clustering approaches for the same purpose. Furthermore, Abadi et al. (2019) applied a multivariate clustering approach to divide Bolivia into identical and coherent climatological sub-regions. The clustering approaches have been applied to identify the climate zones of the Conterminous United States by Fovell and Fovell (1993). Xiong et al. (2019) also used the same approach to define diverse climate regions. Hargrove and Hoffman (1999) applied different clustering algorithms to define ecoregional borders based on environmental data. The hydro-climatic regions have been defined by applying clustering approaches on climate data in the southern Appalachian Mountains, U.S. Besides, several other studies used clustering approaches for analyzing climate data such as Abadi et al. (2019), Ahmad et al. (2013), Zhang et al. (2016), Sahin and Cigizoglu (2010), Basalirwa (1995) and Kyselý et al. (2007). Although a lot of clustering approaches have been used to define homogeneous climate regions all over the world, not all the clustering approaches do perform identically for all problems or data (Rodriguez et al. 2019). For example, Unal et al. (2003) showed the hierarchical clustering algorithm is the best fitted for temperature data in Turkey among the five multivariate clustering approaches. Matulla et al. (2003) also observed huge differences in terms of accuracy of clustering among several multivariate clustering approaches for different seasonal and periodical rainfall data.
Moreover, the k-means clustering approach has been found as outperformed for the data with outlines by Chen et al. (2005), and Mingoti and Lima (2006) revealed that the fuzzy c-means provide outstanding performance for simulated overlapping data with outlines but hierarchical and k-means provide identically bad performance.

The knowledge of the regional variation of climatological factors is very essential to recognize the urgency of further research and improvement in climatology and hydrology. This study can make a positive contribution by providing a clear idea about the regional climate pattern in Bangladesh to the meteorologist, and hydrologist. In the nearest past, for observing the variation in regional climatological factors, many researchers in Bangladesh divided the whole country into several parts. Most studies selected several climate clusters or regions on a subjective basis or based only on one or two climate factors and without any statistical validation. For instance, Mannan and Karmakar (2007) divided Bangladesh into six different parts to observe heavy rainfall variations such as Northeast region (NE region), Northwest region (NW region), East-central region (EC region), West-central region (WC region), Southeast region (SE region), and Southwest region (SW region). Islam et al. (2005), Mahmud, and Islam (2019), Mahmud et al. (2020) also selected some of the climate stations from different geographical parts of Bangladesh by considering each part as homogeneous. However, Siraj-Ud-Doulah and Islam (2019) conducted a study to identify the homogeneous climate region in Bangladesh based only on the rainfall variable. In addition, they have selected the homogeneous region on a subjective basis. To identify homogeneous climate zones, one factor cannot be sufficient. However, our study can be distinguished from others in several ways, and those are: (i) the best clustering approach has been identified and applied for a particular climate feature, (ii) seven validity measures have been calculated to get the optimal number of clusters and best-performing clustering approach, (iii) the clustering approaches applied on eight individuals and combination of more than seven climatological factors including latitude and longitude of climate stations, (iv) the homogeneity
test also has been performed to suggest whether the newly determined climate zones are directly useful or not for further climatological or meteorological research.

2 Study Area and Data

Our study area, Bangladesh, is situated in South Asia with two different environments such as Bay of Bangle to the South and Himalayas to the North extends from latitudes 20°34´-26°38´north and longitudes 88°01´-92°41´east and it covers an area of more than 140,000 sq km (Ahasan et al. 2011). Bangladesh is a small country with wide climatological diversities which can be observed through different climatological factors. To observe these diversities of climate, we have collected monthly average data for 68 years during the period 1949 to 2017 for a total of 34 rain gauge stations (climate stations so-called climate zone) for some important climate factors from the Bangladesh Meteorological Department (BMD) (https://www.bmd.gov.bd/). The different climate factors have been observed in terms of different units such as monthly average rainfall in millimeter (mm), monthly average relative humidity in percentage (%), monthly average cloud cover in Octa, monthly average sea level pressure in Millibar, monthly average sunshine duration in time (hour), monthly average wind speed meter per second (m/s), the monthly average maximum temperature in Celsius, the monthly average minimum temperature in Celsius, latitudes, and longitudes of the climatological stations. Generally, these different units influence the outcome of cluster analysis. To avoid this influence, several studies suggest a data transformation approach which is called data normalization (Goyal and Gupta 2014, Cannarozzo et al. 2009, Dikbas et al. 2012). The normalization approach transforms the values of the variables in a way such that each of the transformed factors will have zero mean and unit variance (De et al. 2008). Furthermore, missing values also very commonly influence the analysis of climatological data. In this study, we have estimated the missing values by using the Multiple Imputations by Chained Equations (MICE) method (White et al. 2011, Mahmud et al. 2020).
3 Methodology

Clustering or grouping of objects is a popular multivariate approach to identify dimensionality, unusual, and unexpected observation, as well as the interesting multivariate relationship among the variables or features (Johnson and Wichern 2002). In climatology and hydrology, clustering is a core technique for disclosing the hidden pattern of the climatological or hydrological features (Ouyang et al. 2010). Most of the techniques create several groups among all the objects from the data using similarity measures or dissimilarity measures (distance measures). There are several multivariate clustering techniques available. In this study, three clustering techniques: hierarchical, k-means, and Partitioning around medoids (PAM), the most popular among several fields including climatology and hydrology, have been chosen which are discussed in the following subsections.

3.1 Hierarchical Clustering

The hierarchical clustering method is one of the best choices for climatological or hydrological pattern discovery (Ouyang et al. 2010). It works out by building a hierarchy of the tree limb using the divisive and agglomerative approaches (Giraldo et al. 2012). The hierarchical clustering methods are usually grouped into two major techniques, namely, agglomerative: a series of successive merges, divisive: a series of successive divisions. Because of the computational and technical feasibility, agglomerative methods are more widely preferred in applications rather than the divisive method. The agglomerative approach starts with initial objectives that mean each of the objects in the data set is initially considered as a cluster. Then they create initial subgroups by combining the most similar or closed objects. After that, these clusters are merged according to their similarity or closeness (Johnson and Wichern, 2002). Moreover, the agglomerative hierarchical clustering approach can be summarized into the following steps (Iyigun et al. 2013):

Step 1: Start with the initial n objects or clusters, and calculate the proximity matrix for each of the clusters.
Step 2: In the proximity matrix, search for the minimal distance \( \min_{1 \leq c_i, c_j \leq k} \text{dis}(c_i, c_j) \) where \( \text{dis}(..) \) express the distance measure and combine cluster \( c_i \) and \( c_j \) to construct a new cluster \( c_{ij} \).

Step 3: Measure the distances between the clusters \( c_{ij} \) and the other clusters and update the proximity matrix.

Step 4: Repeat steps 2 to 3 until only one cluster remains.

However, several proximity measures are available for the agglomerative hierarchical clustering approach namely single linkage, complete linkage, average linkage, and Ward’s method which are called linkage matrices. In this study, we have used Ward’s method (Ward 1963) that merges two clusters by minimizing the amount of increase in the error sum of squares (ESS) or loss of information. Where, \( ESS_i \) is the sum of squared deviations of every object in the \( i^{th} \) cluster from the cluster mean (centroid). In the current stage if we have \( p \) clusters then \( ESS \) is the sum of the \( ESS_i \). At every step, the union of every possible pair of clusters is considered, and the pair of clusters that contribute the smallest increase in the error sum of squares or loss of information are merged.

3.2 K-means Clustering

K-means method is a popular nonhierarchical clustering technique that has been suggested by (MacQueen 1967). It creates a specific number of partitions or groups among items instead of variables. In this technique, each object assigns to a specific partition or cluster in a way such that the cluster’s centroid or mean has the lowest distance from that corresponding object compare to other cluster’s centroids and these centroids represent the cluster. This clustering, also known as a partitioning approach (Johnson and Wichern 2002), can be described in the following few steps:

Step 1: Specify the number of clusters \( k \) and create \( k \) partitions among the given items or objects.
Step 2: Allocate each object to the nearest partition or cluster based on distance measure and recalculate the centroid for the groups who received the new objects and lost the objects.

Step 3: Repeat step 2 until no new allocation takes place.

3.3 Partitioning Around Medoids (PAM)

Partitioning around medoids (PAM) (Kaufman and Rousseeuw 1987) is an unsupervised clustering algorithm that creates partitions or groups among objects by minimizing the within-cluster sum of squares similar to K-means clustering. PAM, however, is considered to be more robust since it counts other dissimilarities besides the distance measures. PAM clustering approach also selects an object randomly as a medoid instead of the calculating centroid for each partition that represents the cluster and the number of clusters is predefined. Then the remaining objects are assigned to the nearest medoid. This process reiterates until no change takes place in medoids. This algorithm can be explained by the following steps (Bhat 2014):

Step 1: Specify the number of clusters k.

Step 2: Select k objects randomly as medoids that represent k clusters or groups.

Step 3: Calculate swapping cost among each object and select medoid. If the swapping cost is less than zero, then replace that object with the corresponding medoid. Allocate each data object to the cluster with the most identical medoid.

Step 4: Reduplicate steps 2 and 3 until there is no update in the medoid.

3.4 Choosing Appropriate Algorithm and Number of Clusters

This is challenging to figure out which method works best for a given set of data. Nevertheless, it is crucial to evaluate the quality of the groups or clusters, particularly how well the clusters are separated from each other (Batistakis and Vazirgiannis 2001). In addition, the significance of the result from most of the clustering approaches still not specified and verification of clustering outcomes is manual, time-consuming, and subjective (Ankerst et al. 1999). Therefore, a suitable cluster validation is an inevitable part of cluster analysis for evaluating the cluster’s outcomes (Dubes and Jain 1979). In this
study, all of these purposes have been served by computing internal and stability validation measures by using the R package “clvalid” (Brock et al. 2011). Three different measures such as connectivity, Silhouette Width, and Dunn, have been considered for internal validation that reflects the measure of solidarity, association, and isolation of the partitions or clusters. The association or connectivity (Handl et al. 2005) revealed the extent of similarity among the members of a cluster that are placed in the same group or cluster as the nearest neighbor of the other members. By measuring the intra-cluster variation, the solidarity or compactness evaluates the homogeneity of the clusters, notwithstanding, the isolation determines the degree of separation (Brock et al. 2011).

The tools Silhouette Width and Dunn Index both express the non-linear combination of isolation and solidity, whereas, the combination of all three measures: association, isolation, solidity are expressed by the tools named connectivity. The stability validation also includes four different measures; all of those measures use two different datasets. One is full data and the other is data with a single column removed at a time. For example, the average proportion of non-overlap (APN) is one of the measures that takes into account the average proportion of objects not occupied in a similar cluster from the clustering based on full data and clustering based on data with a single column removed. The average distance (AD) is another important measure that calculates the distance between the objects allocated in a similar cluster from the cluster based on full data and the cluster based on data with a single column removed. The average distance between means (ADM) indicates the distance between the groups’ centers for the objects occupied in a similar cluster from clustering based on full data and clustering based on data with a single column removed. The figure of merit (FOM) is a monumental measure of stability validation that assesses the means intra-cluster variance among the removed column, whereas the clustering occurred based on the unremoved column of the data. By considering all these statistical tools or measures, the comparison among hierarchical clustering, K-means, and PAM will be made in this study to find the best clustering approach for a particular climate feature or combination of climate features.
4 Findings and Discussion

In this study, we have used several validation measures to find out the sublime clustering approach for meteorological data of Bangladesh among the hierarchical method, K-means, and Partitioning around medoids (PAM) approach. The validation measure described in Section 3.4 provides a suggestion for the optimal number of clusters for the given data set. The optimal number of clusters or optimal clustering approach indicates which algorithm has the lowest amount of error or cost and maximum separation or accuracy of separation for how many clusters (Sannakki et al. 2011). To obtain an optimal clustering algorithm along with the optimal number of clusters, all three clustering approaches have been performed and the performance of validation measures have been captured over a possible value of K (2 to 10). A comparative view of clustering efficiency or performance in terms of internal validity measures (Silhouette Width, and Dunn, Silhouette Width, and Dunn) and stability validation measures (average proportion of non-overlap (APN), average distance (AD), the average distance between means (ADM), the figure of merit (FOM)) among three clustering approaches have been presented in Table 4. Table 1 shows the summary results from Table 4, which displays the optimal number of clusters and the best clustering approach suggested by each of the selected measures with the corresponding optimal score. The connectivity, for the best algorithm with the optimal number of clusters, should be minimum and the Dunn Index and the Silhouette Width should be maximum. Also, the smallest value of each of the stability measures indicates the best performance of the corresponding clustering approach and the number of clusters.

4.1 Climate Zone Based on Single Climatological Factor

In this study, we divided Bangladesh into several clusters by using two different approaches. Firstly, we individually used all the climatological factors and for the later part, we combined all the climatological factors altogether. In this subsection, we will discuss the results of individual factor-wise clustering.
The hierarchical clustering approach suggested the best algorithm for monthly average rainfall data by most of the validation measures with 2 optimal numbers of clusters. Table 4 shows the lowest value of connectivity (2.93), APN (0), ADM (0), and the highest value of Dunn Index (0.65), Silhouette (0.51) for hierarchical approach with 2 clusters, whereas AD (1.6), FOM (0.53) suggest K-means as the best algorithm with 10 optimal number of clusters. Two clusters or homogeneous groups of climate regions were found that are shown in Fig 1, where, cluster 1 includes 22 climate stations and cluster 2 includes 12 climate stations. Likewise, for relative humidity, most of the measures in Table 1 and 4 (highest value of Silhouette (0.51) and Dunn Index (0.43) and lowest value of connectivity (6.61) and ADM (0)) suggest hierarchical with 6 optimal number of clusters as the best algorithm. These clusters are depicted in Fig 2.

The validations measures in Table 1, summarized from Table 4, indicate that the K-means algorithm is the best fitting approach for maximum and minimum temperature along with two and three clusters respectively. Total 23 climate stations belong to cluster 1 while 11 climate stations belong in cluster 2 based on the maximum temperature and they are presented in Fig 3. Moreover, Fig 4 shows the clusters of climates stations based on minimum temperature. Cluster 1 contains 11 climate stations and clusters 2 and 3 contain 13 and 10 climate stations respectively. Three climate zones also were found based on monthly average cloud cover using the hierarchical algorithm that is presented in Fig 5. Here, cluster 1 contains 10 climate stations, 13 climate stations are in cluster 2 and 11 climate stations are in cluster 3. Most of the validation measures in Tables 1 and 4 indicate hierarchical as the best clustering algorithm for cloud cover with three clusters. The hierarchical approach is also found as an optimal clustering algorithm for sea level pressure, sunshine hour, and wind speed (see Tables 1 and 4) with the optimal number of clusters two, five, and two respectively. Two climate zones are showed in Fig 6 based on sea level pressure where cluster 1 contains one climate station and cluster 2 contains 33 climate stations. Furthermore, five clusters were found based on sunshine hour, where cluster 1 contains 10 stations, cluster 2 contains 12, cluster 3 contains 2 climate stations, cluster 4 contains 2
climate stations and cluster 5 contains 8 climate stations that have been displayed in Fig 7. Similarly, Fig 8 shows two clusters based on wind speed where cluster 1 contains 23 climate stations and 11 climate stations belong in cluster 2.

4.2 Climate Zone Based on Combination of Climatological Factors

The homogeneous climate zones are also inquired based on the combination of all the considered climate factors described in Section 2. It is inspected from Tables 1 and 4 that the connectivity for the hierarchical clustering approach is the lowest (2.93) at the number of clusters 2 and it increases with the increase in the number of clusters. Similarly, the highest Dunn index (0.48) and Silhouette Width (0.79) for hierarchical clustering are observed at the same number of clusters and they decrease with the increase in the number of clusters. In addition, the lower amount of overlapping between clusters (APN=0.01) and the lowest amount of average distance within-cluster (ADM=0.08) indicate hierarchical clustering as the best clustering approach at the same number of clusters. Elsewhere, however, the lower amount average distance in the same cluster (AD=1.78) and the lower amount of average intra-cluster variation (FOM=0.88) are observed for the PAM method with the number of clusters 10 and 6 respectively. Therefore, as the best clustering approach for the combination of all the climatological factors considered in this study, we performed hierarchical clustering where each of the two clusters contains 17 homogeneous climate stations (see Fig 9). The locations of the climate regions of each cluster and regional distribution of clustered climate stations based on the combination of all considered factors are presented in Fig 10 with different colors.

4.3 Homogeneity Test of Clusters

This study also checked whether the newly defined clusters are homogeneous or not by performing four statistical tests. Among them, Mann-Kendall (MK) test identifies the monotonic trend among environmental data if there is any. Whereas, Pettitt's test (Pettitt 1979), Buishand Range Test (Buishand R) (Buishand 1982), Standard Normal Homogeneity Test (SNHT) (Alexandersson 1986) identify the change point among environmental data if there is any. The null hypothesis for the MK test is: the
annual values of corresponding climate variables are independent and identically distributed and for the rest of the three tests the null hypothesis is: there is no change point among the yearly values of corresponding climate data. The details of theoretical and mathematical developments of these tests are available in (Wijngaard et al. 2003, Sahin and Cigizoglu 2010, Yue and Wang 2004). We have labeled a cluster as doubtful if one or two tests among four indicate that any monotonic trend or change point is detected among the climatological time series data in the corresponding cluster. Again, a cluster is labeled as suspected if more than two tests among four indicate that any monotonic trend or change point is detected among the climatological time series data in the corresponding cluster. Nonetheless, the cluster is labeled as useful if all four tests indicate that there is no change point or monotonic trend among the corresponding environmental time-series data. Table 2 displays the p-value of the corresponding test along with the decision for each of the clusters where the null hypothesis of the corresponding test is rejected if the p-value of that test is less than 0.05 (0.05 considered as significant). As we observe from Table 2, all the clusters based on single factor rainfall, relative humidity, minimum temperature, sunshine hour, as well as wind speed and based on the combination of all factors are useful. While the clusters based on cloud cover and minimum temperature are doubtful and on sea-level pressure is suspected.

4.4 Climatological and Geographical Description of Climate Zones

Climate zones based on rainfall: Between two clusters, cluster 1 mostly contains plain and less rain-prone regions and situated in the northern part of Bangladesh while cluster 2 is located in the southern part and contains mostly hilly and most rain-prone regions in Bangladesh. According to the results in Table 3, cluster 1 has a lower amount of average rainfall with lower standard deviation and lower maximum and minimum values compared to other clusters.

Climate zones based on relative humidity: Here, Bangladesh has been divided into six climate zones. Cluster 1 located in the central part of the country and contains drought-prone climate stations, and the
stations with mild climate from the western part build cluster 2. However, all the climate stations located in the southern part of Bangladesh and closed to the sea build cluster 3 and cluster 4 contains climate stations from the eastern part. The climate stations from northeast and southeast formed cluster 5 while cluster 6 contains climate stations from the center and southern part of the country. Based on the results from Table 3, cluster 3 has the highest amount of average relative humidity and followed by clusters 4 to 6, whereas, a lower amount of average relative humidity has been found in cluster 1.

Climate zones based on minimum temperature: Cluster 1 is formed in the northern part of Bangladesh, which contains mostly the plain but climatologically vulnerable regions. On the other hand, most of the climate stations in cluster 2 are from the coastal site near the sea. Cluster 1 has a higher amount of average minimum temperature than the other one (see Table 3).

Climate zones based on maximum temperature: Three homogeneous climate zones have been found based on maximum temperature. Among them, cluster 1 contains comparatively mild climate regions close to the north, east, and western border of Bangladesh. Mostly vulnerable climate stations, from the central position of the country, formed cluster 2. However, cluster 3 contains climate stations from the coastal regions and moderately vulnerable climate stations. It is apparent in Table 3 that cluster 2 has a lower amount of annual maximum temperature and is followed by clusters 3 and 1.

Climate zones based on cloud cover: The climate stations from northeast and southeast regions build cluster 1 which are the most rain-prone regions of Bangladesh. Cluster 2 mainly contains climate stations from the central area which are mostly climatologically vulnerable while cluster 3 has been constructed by the climate stations from the northwest and southwest part of Bangladesh. Cluster 1 has the highest amount of average, minimum, and maximum cloud cover with less variation and followed by clusters 2 and 3.
Climate zones based on sea level pressure: Based on the sea level pressure, two clusters have been found. Only a single climate station formed cluster 1, which is located on the coastal area of Bangladesh, whereas, remaining 33 climate stations construct cluster 2 from all over the country. It is remarkable from Table 2 that cluster 2 is not a homogeneous climate zone.

Climate zones based on the sunshine hour: Here, five homogeneous climate zones have been found. Among them, cluster 1 usually contains climate stations from the northwest part of the country while most of the climate stations in cluster 2 are from southwest and southeast. Moreover, each of clusters 3 and 4 contains two climate stations from the southeast part of the country but cluster 3 is more close to the sea in the coastal region. The climate stations from the hilly and coastal regions which are commonly rain-prone regions of Bangladesh, build cluster 5. Cluster 3 has the highest amount of average sunshine hour followed by clusters 1, 2, 5, 4 (see in Table 3).

Climate zones based on wind speed: Among the two climate zones, cluster 2 contains climate stations mostly from the southern part which are from the coastal region and close to the sea with the highest amount of annual average, minimum and maximum wind speed (see Table 3). On the other hand, climate stations from the rest of the country build cluster 1 with a lower amount of monthly average, minimum, and maximum wind speed (see Table 3).

Climate zones based on the combination of climate variables: Here, Bangladesh has been divided into two homogeneous climate regions. Among them, the first region (cluster 1) contains cold and drought-prone areas mostly from the northern and central parts of the country. Contrariwise, most of the climate stations from highland or hilly regions, and the coastal part, which are heavy rain-prone regions of Bangladesh formed cluster 2. According to the result from Table 3, the first climate zone (cluster 1) has a lower amount of monthly average rainfall, relative humidity, cloud cover, sea level pressure, sunshine hour, wind speed, minimum, and maximum temperature compared to cluster 2.
5 Conclusion

Determining the regional disparities among the climate regions of a country is an important tool to know about the fundamental features of the geographical environment. This knowledge is crucial to develop water management strategies among other important factors. In this study, some of the important meteorological variables have been considered to study the regional climatological disparities in Bangladesh using a multivariate approach. The results of the statistical validity tests indicate that the hierarchical clustering approach is one of the best-fitted algorithms for most of the climatological features of Bangladesh. The findings of this approach provide two clusters based on rainfall, sea level pressure, and wind speed, six clusters based on humidity and temperature, three clusters based on cloud cover, and five clusters based on sunshine hour. The membership of climate stations for different clusters is not identical for all the climatological variables, which implies the variations are present among the climatological features across the country. The findings of this study provide a concise assessment of the properties of different climate regions of Bangladesh that will help govt. and policymakers to take proper strategies in water management, disaster management, climate protection, etc.
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Figures

Figure 1

Cluster of climate stations based on monthly average rainfall. Two groups or clusters were found. Cluster 1 includes 22 climate stations while 12 climate stations are in cluster or group 2.
Figure 2

Cluster of climate stations based on relative humidity. Six clusters were found. Cluster 1 contains 2 stations, cluster 2 contains 6 stations, cluster 3 contains 7 stations, cluster 4 contains 5 stations, cluster 4 contains 3 stations, and cluster 6 contains 11 stations.

Figure 3

Cluster of climate stations based on combination of monthly maximum and average of maximum temperature. Two clusters were found. Cluster 1 includes 23 climate stations and cluster 2 includes 11 climate stations.
Cluster of climate stations based on combination of monthly minimum and average of minimum temperature. Three clusters were found. Cluster 1 includes 11 stations while 13 climate stations belong in cluster 2 and 10 climate stations in cluster 3.
Figure 5

Cluster of climate region based on monthly average cloud cover. Three clusters or groups are found. Cluster 1 contain 10 climate stations while 13 climate stations are in cluster 2 and 11 climate stations are in cluster 3.

Figure 6

Cluster of climate regions based on monthly average sea level pressure. Two clusters or groups were found. Cluster 1 contains only one station while rest of the climate stations (33) belong to cluster 2.
Cluster of climate regions based on monthly average sunshine hour. Five clusters were found. Cluster 1 contains 10 stations while 12 climate stations belong in cluster 2, 2 climate stations in cluster 3, 2 climate stations in cluster 4, and 8 climate stations in cluster 5.
Figure 8

Cluster of climate regions based on monthly average wind speed (m/s). Two clusters or groups were found. Cluster 1 contains 23 climate stations while rest 11 belong to cluster 2.

Figure 9

Cluster of climate stations based on all climatological factors combined. Two clusters were found. Each cluster contains 17 climate stations.
Figure 10

Distribution of clustered climate stations of Bangladesh based on all combined climatological factors.
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