Two New Fluorogenic Aptasensors Based on Capped Mesoporous Silica Nanoparticles to Detect Ochratoxin A
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Aptamers have been used as recognition elements for several molecules due to their great affinity and selectivity. Additionally, mesoporous nanomaterials have demonstrated great potential in sensing applications. Based on these concepts, we report herein the use of two aptamer-capped mesoporous silica materials for the selective detection of ochratoxin A (OTA). A specific aptamer for OTA was used to block the pores of rhodamine B-loaded mesoporous silica nanoparticles. Two solids were prepared in which the aptamer capped the porous scaffolds by using a covalent or electrostatic approach. Whereas the prepared materials remained capped in water, dye delivery was selectively observed in the presence of OTA. The protocol showed excellent analytical performance in terms of sensitivity (limit of detection: 0.5–0.05 nm), reproducibility, and selectivity. Moreover, the aptasensors were tested for OTA detection in commercial foodstuffs, which demonstrated their potential applicability in real samples.

1. Introduction

Mycotoxins are metabolites produced by fungi species that are capable of causing disease and death in both humans and animals. They appear when food and feedstuff are stored or processed under environmental conditions that are favorable for fungi proliferation. The most common mycotoxins are ochratoxins, aflatoxins, fumonisins, trichothecenes, and zearalenone (Scheme 1)[1] Of these examples, ochratoxin A (OTA), produced by the Aspergillus and Penicillium strains, has been labeled as a carcinogen and nephrotoxic agent and is the most predominant contaminant in a wide variety of food commodities, such as cereals,[2,3] beverages, and dried fruits.[4] The European Commission’s Scientific Committee on Food has established the maximum permitted content of OTA as 5 µg·kg⁻¹ for raw cereal grains, 3 µg·kg⁻¹ for all cereal-derived products, and 10 µg·kg⁻¹ for soluble coffee.[5] A number of different techniques have been developed in recent years for the detection of OTA, most of which are based on gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) [6] and liquid chromatography (HPLC).[7,8] However, although these methods can correctly determine the presence of OTA, they require expensive equipment and complicated operator training.[9–11] In this scenario, the design of simple, yet selective and sensitive, probes for the detection of this carcinogenic agent is a field of interest.

From another point of view, nanomaterials for sensing applications have played a great role in recent years due to their ca-
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Scheme 1. Chemical structures of the most common mycotoxins: a) ochratoxin A, b) aflatoxin B1, c) fumonisin B.
pacity to provide rapid, simple, and sensitive responses to target analytes.[12–15] Moreover, of the nanomaterials used in sensing protocols, mesoporous silica nanoparticles (MSNs) have been widely employed due to some of their remarkable properties, such as large load capacity and the possibility to modify their surface easily with several groups due to the presence of silanol groups (SiOH), which have excellent reactivity.[16–24] Moreover, MSNs can be functionalized with different (bio)molecules that can act as “molecular gates” to obtain hybrid gated organic–inorganic capped supports for the design of systems able to release an entrapped payload upon the application of specific stimuli.[25] These gated supports have been widely used in the design of drug delivery carriers and to a lesser extent in sensing protocols.[26–30]

Additionally, the development of sensing materials by using aptamers as potential systems able to detect trace amounts of target substances has also attracted the attention of the research community.[31–36] Aptamers are stable DNA sequences with high affinity and selectivity for target biomolecules, small neutral molecules, or ions, and their use in the design of several sensors and probes has resulted in the development of highly sensitive systems for different analytes.[37–46] Recently, some aptasensors to detect OTA have been described.[47] However, to the best of our knowledge, the use of aptamer-based gated materials with MSNs for the fluorogenic detection of OTA has not yet been reported.

Based on these ideas, we report herein the development of two new gated materials based on MSNs loaded with a fluorophore (rhodamine B) and capped with an aptamer that is selective for OTA. It was also our aim to study different modes in which the aptamer can be used as a cap and its effect on the performance of the aptasensors. The materials were fully characterized and used for the selective and sensitive detection of OTA in spiked wheat samples.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Preparation and Characterization of the Sensing Support

For the preparation of the sensing materials, two different approaches have been followed that involved two different methods to cap the pores with the OTA aptamer (Scheme 2). In both cases, MSNs of approximately 100 nm were selected as inorganic scaffolds and the pores were loaded with a fluorophore (rhodamine B).

In the first approach (referred to hereafter as the covalent approach; Scheme 2A), the external surface of the MSNs was functionalized with 3-(isocyanatopropyl)triethoxysilane to give solid S1. In a subsequent step, a short DNA sequence, functionalized with an aminohexyl moiety at the 5’-end position (i.e. NH2-(CH2)6-S-AAA AAA CCC CCC-3’, O1) was covalently attached to S1 through the formation of urea bonds to obtain solid S2. Then, a single-stranded oligonucleotide (i.e. 5’-TTT TGG GGG GCC ATC TGA TCG GTT GTG GGT GCC GTA AAG GGG GGT GTT TT-3’, O2), which contains the specific sequence of the OTA aptamer, was hybridized to the attached O1 to obtain capped solid S3. In the second approach (referred to hereafter as the electrostatic approach; Scheme 2B), MSNs were functionalized with 3-aminopropyl)triethoxysilane to give solid S4. Aminopropyl moieties are partially charged at a neutral pH and are known to display electrostatic interactions with negatively charged aptamers. Based on this, addition of O2 to suspensions of solid S4 gave gated probe S5.

MSNs S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5 were characterized by using standard techniques, such as powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), N2 adsorption–desorption isotherms, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), dynamic light scattering (DLS), and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR).

The PXRD pattern of the as-synthesized MSNs (Figure 1, curve a) showed four low-angle reflections typical of a hexagonal array, indexed as (100), (110), (200), and (210) Bragg peaks. A significant displacement in the (100) peak in the PXRD pattern of the calcined MSNs was evident in Figure 1, curve b, and is related to a cell contraction (5 Å) due to the condensation of silanol groups during calcination. Figure 1, curves c–g, correspond to the XRD patterns of S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5. A de-
crease in intensity of the (100) peak and a broadening of the (110) and (200) reflections was observed and are related to a loss of contrast due to filling the solid with the dye and capping the pores. Moreover, the presence of a mesoporous structure in calcined MSNs and final functionalized solids was confirmed by TEM analysis, in which the typical channels of a mesoporous silica matrix were clearly visualized (Figure 1h and i).

In addition, mesoporosity was analyzed by N₂ adsorption−desorption isotherms. For the starting calcined MSNs, a Brunauer−Emmett−Teller (BET) specific surface area of 908.6 m² g⁻¹ was obtained, whereas a decrease in the specific surface was observed for S1 and S4 and BET values of 112.7 and 414.7 m² g⁻¹ were found respectively (see Figure S1 and Table S1 in the Supporting Information). This is in agreement with the presence of the rhodamine B dye inside the pores and the surface functionalization. Moreover, the content of 3-isocyanatopropyl and 3-aminopropyl moieties, rhodamine B, O₁ and O₂ in the different prepared solids was calculated from thermogravimetric data (Table 1). By taking into account that the typical external surface of MSNs is 70 m² g⁻¹, an estimated capping density of 0.0029 mmolO₂ m⁻² and 0.0014 mmolO₂ m⁻² was calculated for solids S3 and S5, respectively. The size of the nanoparticles was determined by using DLS studies. Diameters of 152, 162, 194, 379, 164, and 190 nm were found for starting calcined MSNs S1, S2, S3, S4 and S5, respectively (see Figure S2 and Table S2 in the Supporting Information). Moreover, the presence of DNA in the prepared nanoparticles was confirmed by using FTIR spectroscopy (see Figure S3 and Table S3).

2.2. Release Experiments

Figure 2A shows the delivery kinetics profile of the dye from solid S3 (covalent approach). In the absence of OTA (curve a), a poor rhodamine B release was observed, which indicated tight pore closure. However, payload delivery was clearly found when OTA was added to the solution (curve b).

Dye delivery was attributed to the displacement of aptamer O₂ induced when OTA was present in the solution due to aptamer−OTA binding (Scheme 2). A similar selective delivery in the presence of OTA was observed for solid S5 (electrostatic approach). As depicted in Figure 2, dye delivery from solid S3 reached 80% of the total amount of dye delivered after 10 min (Figure 2A), whereas a payload delivery of approximately 60% was observed from solid S5 after the same time (Figure 2B).

![Figure 1. Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of a) as-formed MSNs, b) calcined MSNs, c) solid S1, d) solid S2, e) solid S3, f) solid S4, and g) solid S5. TEM images of h) calcined MSNs and i) S3.](image)

![Figure 2. Release profile of rhodamine B from A) solid S3 and B) solid S5 in the absence (a) and presence (b) of OTA (5 μM) in hybridization buffer.](image)

**Table 1.** Contents of 3-isocyanatopropyl, 3-aminopropyl, rhodamine B, O₁ and O₂ in the different prepared solids.

|         | Rhodamine B [mmol mg⁻¹] | 3-Isocyanatopropyl [mmol mg⁻¹] | 3-Aminopropyl [mmol mg⁻¹] | O₁ [mmol mg⁻¹] | O₂ [mmol mg⁻¹] |
|---------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| S1      | 0.024                  | 0.024                         | –                        | –              | –              |
| S2      | 0.011                  | 0.024                         | –                        | 0.00009        | –              |
| S3      | 0.003                  | 0.024                         | –                        | 0.00009        | 0.0002         |
| S4      | 0.003                  | –                             | 0.020                    | –              | –              |
| S5      | 0.0008                 | –                             | 0.020                    | –              | 0.0001         |
This difference in release rate should be ascribed to the different methods used to cap S3 and S5 (see below).

2.3. Sensitivity and Selectivity Studies

To assess the sensitivity of the method, the response of S3 and S5 to different concentrations of OTA was studied. It was found that the amount of rhodamine B delivered was proportional to OTA concentration, which is in agreement with the uncapping protocol detailed above. A limit of detection (LOD) of 0.5 nm was determined for S3 (see Figure S4A), whereas for solid S5, a LOD as low as 0.05 nm was found (see Figure S4B). This difference in LOD is tentatively attributed to the weaker interaction of aptamer O2 to the silica surface in the electrostatic approach (solid S5) compared with the covalent approach (solid S3). This weaker attachment of the aptamer to the surface favors the aptamer–OTA interaction, the displacement of the aptamer, and cargo delivery. However, both LOD values comply with those established by the legislation and are similar to or better than most published techniques for the detection of OTA (see Table S4).142–144

Additionally, the selectivity of S3 (Figure 3 in black) and S5 (Figure 3 in grey) to OTA was also studied. With this aim, cargo release from S3 and S5 was tested in presence of OTA, fumonisin B1 and aflatoxin B1. As shown in Figure 3, OTA was the only mycotoxin able to induce a notable dye delivery, whereas fumonisin B1 and aflatoxin induced negligible pore opening and poor payload release. This observation corroborates the selective OTA–aptamer interaction as the mechanism of the observed optical response.

![Figure 3. Release of rhodamine B from solid S3 (in black) and S5 (in grey) in the presence of OTA, aflatoxin B1, and fumonisin B1.](image)

2.4. OTA Detection in Realistic Samples

Encouraged by these results, the potential use of S3 to detect OTA in more competitive realistic samples was studied.145 With this aim, the detection of OTA in wheat samples was evaluated by using an addition standard method. After OTA extraction, aliquots (100 µL) were spiked with different known amounts of a standard OTA solution (0, 10, 15, 20, 30, 50, 75 nm). In a following step, solid S3 (100 µg) was added to each fraction and the volume was made up to a final value of 1000 µL with hybridization buffer. After 30 min at 25 °C, the release of rhodamine B from solid S3 in the different aliquots was measured and a curve that followed the linear equation \( y = 81.79x + 24870 \) was obtained (see Figure S5A). From the intercept of the curve with the x axis, a concentration of OTA in the spiked sample of 372 nm was determined (93.05% recovery). A similar procedure was followed by using solid S5. In this case, a recovery of 96.28% was calculated (see Figure S5B). Use of the proposed aptasensor for the quantitative determination of OTA may provide a simple approach for food safety applications through the use of this simple, rapid, and sensitive assay. Moreover, as a preliminary study towards possible simple in situ applications, we have confirmed that S3 and S5 materials can be stored for weeks and then used without any changes in their sensing features.

3. Conclusions

We have developed two new fluorogenic aptasensors for the detection of OTA by using MSNs loaded with a dye and capped with an OTA-selective aptamer. Two approaches, based on an electrostatic or covalent protocol, were used to cap the pores. The response of both probes is related to the interaction between the capping aptamer and OTA, which induces displacement of the aptamer from the solid, opens the pores, and releases the dye. Although solid S3 displayed dye delivery in a shorter time than S5, a lower LOD was observed for the latter. Specifically, a LOD as low as 0.5 nm for S3 and 0.05 for S5 were calculated. In addition, the capped nanomaterial allowed an accurate determination of OTA in realistic wheat samples. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that aptamer-capped mesoporous supports have been developed for the detection of OTA mycotoxin. Moreover, the method is simple, portable, can be easily tuned through the use of different reporting molecules (e.g., dyes, fluorophores, or electroactive species) and may allow the development of a simple test for the detection of OTA in food samples.

Experimental Section

General Techniques

PXRD, TEM, N2 adsorption–desorption, TGA, DLS, FTIR, and fluorescence spectroscopy were employed to characterize the synthesized materials. PXRD measurements were performed by using a D8 Advance diffractometer with CuKα radiation (Philips, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). TGA was carried out by using a TGA/SDTA851e balance (Mettler Toledo, Columbus, OH, USA) under an oxidizing atmosphere (air, 80 mL min−1) with a heating gradient from 393–1273 K at 10 °C min−1, followed by an isothermal heating step at 1273 °C for 30 min. TEM images were obtained by using a 100 kV CM10 microscope (Philips). N2 adsorption–desorption isotherms were recorded by using an ASAP2010 automated adsorption analyzer (Micromeritics, Norcross, GA, USA). The samples were degassed at 120 °C under vacuum overnight. The specific surface areas were calculated from the adsorption data in the low pressure range by using the BET model.146 Pore size was determined by using the Barret–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) method.147 DLS was used to obtain the particle size distribution of the different solids by
using a Zetasizer Nano (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK). For the measurements, samples were dispersed in distilled water. Data analysis was based on the Mie theory by using refractive indexes of 1.33 and 1.45 for the dispersant and mesoporous silica nanoparticles, respectively. An adsorption value of 0.001 was used for all samples. Variation in this adsorption value did not significantly alter the obtained distributions. Measurements were performed in triplicate. FTIR spectra were acquired by using a Bruker Vertex 80v FTIR spectrometer. Fluorescence spectroscopy measurements were carried out by using a Perkin Elmer LS55 fluorimeter.

**Chemicals**

Tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS), n-cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), triethylamine (TEA), (3-isocyanatopropyl)triethoxysilane, (3-aminopropyl)triethoxysilane, rhodamine B, tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (TRIS), hydrochloric acid, ochratoxin A, fumonisin B1, and aflatoxin B1 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Quimica (Madrid, Spain). Oligonucleotides O1 (NH2(CH2)3-NH2-AAA AAA CCC CCC-3’) and O2 (5’-TTT TGG GGG GGC ATC TGA TCG GGT GTG GTA AAG GGG GGT TT-3’) were purchased from Isogen-Lifesciences (Barcelona, Spain). All products were used as received.

**Synthesis of Mesoporous Silica Nanoparticles (MSNs)**

CTABr (1.00 g, 2.74 mmol) was first dissolved in deionized water (480 mL). Then, NaOH (2.00 mL, 3.5 mL in deionized water was added, then the solution temperature was adjusted to 80 °C. TEOS (5 mL, 25.7 mmol) was then added dropwise to the solution of CTABr. The mixture was stirred for 2 h to give a white precipitate. Finally, the solid product was centrifuged, washed with deionized water, and dried at 60 °C (as-synthesized MSNs). To prepare the final starting material, the as-synthesized solid was calcined at 550 °C under an oxidant atmosphere for 5 h to remove the template phase (calcined MSNs).

**Synthesis of S1**

Calcined MSNs (200 mg) and rhodamine B (766.4 mg, 0.16 mmol) were suspended in CH3CN (10 mL), and the suspension was stirred at RT for 24 h. Then (3-isocyanatopropyl)triethoxysilane (247.6 µL, 1.0 mmol) was added, and the final mixture was stirred at RT for 5.5 h. The resulting pink solid (S1) was isolated by filtration, washed with CH3CN (5 mL), and dried at 38 °C for 18 h.

**Synthesis of S2**

Oligonucleotide O1 (10 µm, 100 µL) were added to a suspension that contained solid S1 (1 mg), CH3CN with rhodamine B (1 µm, 700 µL), and TEA (2 µL). The mixture was stirred for 3 h. The resulting material was isolated by centrifugation, washed with hybridization buffer to eliminate residual dye and free oligonucleotide, and dried under vacuum.

**Synthesis of S3**

Solid S2 (100 µg) was suspended in hybridization buffer (297.5 µL) and O2 (100 µm, 2.5 µL) was added to the reaction, then the mixture was stirred 2 h at RT. The resulting material was isolated by centrifugation, washed with hybridization buffer and dried under vacuum.

**Synthesis of S4**

Calcined MSNs (200 mg) and rhodamine B (766.4 mg, 0.16 mmol) were suspended in CH3CN (10 mL). The suspension was stirred at RT for 24 h, then excess (3-aminopropyl)triethoxysilane (292.5 µL, 1 mmol) was added and the final mixture was stirred at RT for 5.5 h. The resulting pink solid (S4) was isolated by filtration, washed with CH3CN (5 mL), and dried at 38 °C for 18 h.

**Synthesis of S5**

Solid S4 (500 µg) were suspended in hybridization buffer (495 µL) and O2 (100 µm, 5 µL) was added to the reaction, then the mixture was stirred for 30 min at 37 °C. The resulting material was isolated by centrifugation, washed with hybridization buffer, and dried under vacuum.

**Assay Protocol**

The response of solids S3 and S5 was tested in the presence of OTA by measuring the emission of rhodamine B delivered from the capped materials.

**Solid S3**

The material (100 µg) was suspended with hybridization buffer (400 µL; 20 mM Tris-HCl, 37.5 mM MgCl2, pH 7.5) and divided into two aliquots (200 µL each). Then, aqueous OTA (500 µm, 10 µL) was added to one of the aliquots, whereas water (10 µL) were added to the other. In both cases, the volume was made up to 1000 µL with hybridization buffer.Suspensions were maintained at 25 °C and at certain times fractions were taken and centrifuged to separate the solid.

**Solid S5**

The material (500 µg) was suspended in hybridization buffer (1000 µL) and then separated into two aliquots (500 µL). The following steps were the same as for solid S3, described above, but the suspensions were maintained at 37 °C. Cargo release from the solids was measured by the rhodamine B fluorescence in the supernatants at λ = 585 nm (λex = 555 nm).

**Calibration Curve**

**Solid S3**

The material (1 mg) was suspended in hybridization buffer (1000 µL) and separated into 10 aliquots (100 µL each). Different amounts of OTA standard solution were added to each aliquot and the volume was made up to 1000 µL with hybridization buffer. All samples were stirred at 25 °C for 30 min, and then centrifuged for 2 min at 12000 rpm to separate the solid. Finally, the fluorescence of the released rhodamine B was measured in the supernatants at λ = 575 nm (λex = 555 nm).
Solid S5

The material (500 μg) was suspended in hybridization buffer (1000 μL) and separated into 10 aliquots (100 μL each). Different amounts of OTA standard solution were added to each aliquot and the volume was made up to 1000 μL with hybridization buffer. All samples were stirred at 37 °C for 30 min and centrifuged for 2 min at 12000 rpm to separate the solid. Finally, the fluorescence of the released rhodamine B was measured in the supernatants at λ = 575 nm (λex = 555 nm).

Selectivity Studies with S3 and S5

To carry out these experiments, S3 (400 μg) was suspended in hybridization buffer (400 μL) and separated into four aliquots (100 μL each). The suspensions of S3 were spiked with OTA, fumonisin B1, or aflatoxin B1 (final concentration: 100 nM). In all cases, the volume was made up to 1000 μL with hybridization buffer. All samples were stirred at 25 °C for 30 min and centrifuged for 2 min at 12000 rpm to separate the solid. The fluorescence of the released rhodamine B was then measured in the supernatants at λ = 575 nm (λex = 555 nm). The same protocol was carried out for solid S5, however, in this case the samples were stirred at 37 °C.

OTA Detection in Realistic Samples

For these experiments, OTA solution (10000 nm, 1000 μL) was added to wheat (0.05 g). The mixture was homogenized by using a vortex, then MeOH/H2O (5 mL, 6:4 v/v) was added and the mixture was stirred for 30 min. Then solid was separated by centrifugation and the liquid phase was completely evaporated. The expected mycotoxin concentration (400 nM) was then determined by the standard addition method[37] by using solids S3 and S5.
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