Differential Scanning Calorimetry Fingerprints of Various Heat-Treatment Tempers of Different Aluminum Alloys
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Abstract: Heat-treatable cast and wrought aluminum alloys are widely used for structural applications in the automobile and aerospace industries. To assess and diagnose the production and quality problems related to industrial heat treatments, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was used as a tool in the present work to determine the thermal histories of samples that had undergone different tempers of three commonly used aluminum alloys, namely a high-pressure die-cast AlSi10Mg0.3Mn alloy, permanent-mold cast Al-Si-Cu 319 alloy, and extruded Al-Mg-Si AA6082 alloy. Various peaks detected in the DSC curves were analyzed and characterized to identify the precipitation/dissolution reactions of metastable phases, aiming to establish a “fingerprint” of each temper of the three experimental alloys. Results showed that both the number and size of exothermic peaks varied with the temper owing to distinct precipitation behaviors, providing an effective means of fingerprinting the various tempers. Meanwhile, electrical conductivity and microhardness data provided the supplementary support for the fingerprinting. The thermal histories of three experimentally heat-treated alloys were well traced and distinguished by the combination of DSC characteristics and electrical conductivity and microhardness results, promoting the DSC application in the quality control and verification of industrial heat treatments. In addition, the microstructures after the various tempers were observed to confirm the evolution of the precipitation reactions revealed in the DSC curves.
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1. Introduction

Heat-treatable cast and wrought aluminum alloys are being widely used to an increasing extent for structural parts in the automotive and aerospace industries. They are usually subjected to a range of thermal histories (heat treatment tempers), depending on their application and the mechanical properties required. These structural parts may occur quality problems during the service related to industrial heat treatments. Therefore, it is necessary to develop an effective approach to determine their thermal histories to enable quick diagnostics and provision of appropriate solutions.

The DSC technique is widely used to investigate solid-state reactions [1], such as precipitation and dissolution processes or other phase transformations in aluminum alloys. During a DSC run with a constant heating rate, materials with different thermal histories will undergo several thermal reactions related to their specific microstructure. Precipitation is accompanied by the release of heat, being an exothermic reaction, while dissolution results in heat absorption as an endothermic reaction.
A unique DSC curve after each heat treatment may be obtained, each with its individual characteristics, such as the number and size of the exothermic or endothermic peaks as well as the formation temperatures of the peaks. Therefore, the DSC technique provides a possible method for tracing the stages of the microstructural development and fingerprinting the heat-treatment tempers.

Many studies have used DSC to investigate the solid-state reactions in aluminum alloys [2–6], including precipitation and dissolution of precipitates as well as the precipitation kinetics during the heat treatments. For instance, Yassar et al. [2] studied the effects of cold deformation on the kinetics of β"-MgSi precipitates in AA6022 alloys and the evolution of precipitation reactions by DSC. Chang et al. [3] reported three clustering reactions that took place in two stages as revealed by low-temperature DSC investigations, the first two of which were linked to the adverse effect of room-temperature preaging, and the third of which exhibited a change in activation energy during room-temperature aging. Ding et al. [7] reported in 6016 aluminum alloy that the peak temperature of β" during the DSC analysis varies with the Si and Cu levels, indicating the different precipitation kinetics. Meanwhile, the precipitation kinetics of 2519A alloy has been systematically investigated by Wu et al. with the DSC technique [5], while Elgallad et al. [4] conducted a DSC study on the effect of quenching rate on the precipitation kinetics of AA2219 DC cast alloy. Heugue et al. [6] used the DSC analysis to develop a method to characterize precipitation kinetics in Al-3.5%Cu alloy. In a subsequent study [8], they applied this method to investigate the precipitation kinetics of AlSi7Cu3.5Mg0.15 cast alloy. In addition, some calorimetric studies [9–14] focused on the effects of chemical compositions, DSC parameters and process on the precipitation behavior of aluminum alloys. In the study of Weng et al. on Al-Si-Mg alloy [12], it was observed that addition of Ag and Cu decrease the formation temperature of β" in DSC and accelerate the precipitation rate. Osten et al. [13] studied the dissolution and precipitation behavior during the DSC in a wide range of heat rates in Al-Mg-Si alloy and Liu et al. [14] applied DSC to analyze the static and dynamic precipitation in Al-Mg-Si-Cu alloy after solution treatment and equal channel angular pressing.

However, most of these works focused on the precipitation behavior under certain heat-treatment conditions and used DSC results to explain the microstructure evolution and mechanical properties. In terms of applications of DSC in the determination of the thermal history of a heat-treated alloy, Lloyd et al. [15] studied the precipitation reactions and the DSC responses of the AA6111 automobile alloy during the preaging and paint-baking processes. The characteristics of the reaction peaks on the DSC curves obtained under various heat treatment conditions were analyzed, and the results were used to fingerprint the various precipitation stage. However, very few studies have been realized on the evolution and characteristics of DSC curves of industrial aluminum alloys with different thermal histories, with the aim of establishing their “fingerprints” for various tempers.

In the present work, three commonly used industrial aluminum alloys, namely the high-pressure, die-cast AlSi10Mg0.3Mn alloy, permanent-mold cast Al-Si-Cu 319 alloy, and extruded Al-Mg-Si AA6082 extrusion alloy, have been heat-treated with different tempers. DSC scans of the alloys subjected to different tempers were conducted and analyzed, aiming to systematically study the relationship between heat-treatment tempers and DSC characteristics. Meanwhile, the electrical conductivity and microhardness of the heat-treated samples were measured to provide supplementary support for establishing the DSC fingerprints. In addition, the microstructures of the alloys subjected to various tempers were observed using optical and electron microscopy to confirm the precipitation reactions evidenced in the DSC curves.

2. Experimental Procedure

Three industrial aluminum alloys were investigated in the present work. The AlSi10Mg0.3Mn alloy was supplied in the form of a 220 mm × 65 mm × 3 mm plate fabricated by the high-pressure vacuum die-casting (HPVDC) by Arvida Research and Development Centre, Rio Tinto Aluminum (Saguenay, QC, Canada). The Al-Si-Cu 319 alloy was supplied in the form of a φ 20 mm× 35 mm cylindrical bar fabricated by permanent mold (PM) casting, while Al-Mg-Si AA6082 alloy was supplied in the form of a 3-mm-thick plate after extrusion by Arvida Research and Development Centre, Rio Tinto Aluminum (Saguenay, QC, Canada). The chemical compositions of the three
aluminum alloys are listed in Table 1. Various heat treatments were applied to the studied alloys, including the “F”, “SHT”, “T4”, “T5”, “T6”, and “T7”, which are described in detail in Table 2.

### Table 1. Chemical compositions of the studied alloys (wt.%).

| Alloy         | AlSi10Mg0.3Mn | 319 | 6082 |
|---------------|---------------|-----|------|
| Si            | 10.63         | 7   | 0.9  |
| Cu            | 0.315         | 3.5 | -    |
| Mg            | 0.53          | 0.15| 0.7  |
| Mn            | 0.17          | 0.15| 0.5  |
| Fe            | 0.05          | 0.12| -    |
| Ti            | 0.014         | 0.1 | 0.2  |
| Sr            | 0.012         | 0.1 | -    |
| Zr            | -             | -   | 0.1  |
| Cr            | -             | -   | Bal. |
| Al            | Bal.          |     |      |

### Table 2. Various heat treatments applied to the experimental alloys.

| Temper | AlSi10Mg0.3Mn | 319 | 6082 |
|--------|---------------|-----|------|
| F      | HPVDC plate   | PM cast bar | Extruded strip |
| SHT   | 500 °C/1 h    | 505 °C/4 h | 540 °C/0.5 h |
| T4    | N/A           | N/A | SHT+WQ+ natural aging for 1, 7 & 28 days |
| T5    | F + 185 °C/4 h| F + 180 °C/5 h | F + 175 °C/8 h |
| T6    | SHT + WQ + 185 °C/4 h | SHT + WQ + 180 °C/5 h | SHT + WQ + 175 °C/8 h |
| T7    | SHT + WQ + 220 °C/4 h | SHT + WQ + 200 °C/5 h | N/A |

Note: ¹ “F” indicates the as-received condition; ² “SHT” is the solution heat treatment condition; ³ “WQ” means the water quench after SHT.

After heat treatments, the DSC experiments were performed using a computerized differential scanning calorimeter (Perkin-Elmer DSC 8000, Waltham, MA, USA). The mass of each sample was approximately 20–30 mg. A protective atmosphere of pure argon gas with a gas flow of 60 mL/min was used. The sample was analyzed over the temperature range of 50 to 550 °C, at a constant heating rate of 10 °C/min. Three samples were analyzed for each temper. To improve the DSC resolution, a piece of high-purity aluminum (99.999%) with a weight similar to that of the test sample was placed in the reference crucible for each scan. To make the DSC results comparable between tempers, the correction and normalization of the DSC curves under a common procedure is essential, and thus the original DSC curves in the present work were corrected and normalized using a three-step procedure [6], which is shown in Figure 1 with an example in the F tempers of AlSi10Mg0.3Mn alloy. The first step was the subtraction of the instrumental baseline (Figure 1a), which was measured using high-purity aluminum with the same weight in both the sample and reference crucibles. The second step was the subtraction of the heat-effect baseline (Figure 1b), which was done using a polynomial or a Gaussian function [16]. Finally, the curve was normalized by dividing the mass of the test sample (Figure 1c). After correction and normalization, the characteristics of the DSC curve were analyzed, including the number and sizes of peaks as well as their onset/peak/end temperatures.
Figure 1. Correction process of the DSC curves: (a) subtraction of instrumental baseline; (b) subtraction of heat-effect baseline; and (c) normalization according to the mass of the sample.

The microstructures, including the morphology and distribution of secondary phases, were observed by optical microscopy. The details of precipitation were examined by transmission electron microscopy (TEM; JEOL JEM-2100, Akishima, Tokyo, Japan). TEM samples were prepared in an electrochemical twin-jet machine at −20 °C and 20 V using a solution of 25% nitric acid in methanol. The number density of precipitations ($N$) was calculated with the following equation [17,18]:

$$D = \frac{3N_1}{A(t + \langle l \rangle)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $N_1$ is the number of precipitates in the imaged area $A$, $t$ is the area thickness measured according to reported procedures [19,20], and $\langle l \rangle$ is the average needle length. The factor of 3 accounts for the three equivalent directions of $\langle 100 \rangle_{\text{AI}}$.

In addition, Vickers microhardness tests were conducted using a NG-1000CCD microhardness testing system (Vancouver, BC, Canada) with a load of 10 or 25 gf depending on the grain structure (herein represented as HV0.010 and HV0.025, respectively) and a dwell time of 15 s, for at least 10 measurements per condition. Electrical conductivity was also measured on polished samples using a Sigmascope SMP10 (Windsor, CT, USA) instrument, with measurements performed in triplicate.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. AlSi10Mg0.3Mn HPVDC Alloy

Figure 2a shows the DSC heating curves of the AlSi10Mg0.3Mn alloy subjected to the F (as-cast) and SHT treatments, while Figure 2b shows those for the T5, T6, and T7 tempers. As shown in Figure 2a, three obvious exothermic peaks, $a$, $b$, and $c$, located at temperatures of approximately 240, 330, and 430 °C, respectively, are evident for both F and SHT, and are related to the precipitation of $\beta''$, $\beta'$, and $\beta$, respectively [3,21]. On the other hand, as shown in Figure 2b, the curves for both the T5 and
T6 tempers show only two exothermic peaks, \( b' \) and \( c' \), located at temperatures of approximately 340 and 420 °C, respectively, which are related to the precipitation of \( \beta' \) and \( \beta \), respectively, while only one major exothermic peak, \( c'' \), located at 370 °C, was detected for the T7 sample, which can be related to the precipitation of \( \beta \) [21]. In addition, three endothermic peaks (I, II, and III) can be observed in Figure 2, and are related to the dissolution of the specific phases. According to the literature [3,21], Peak I represents the dissolution of \( \beta'' \), while Peak II refers to the dissolution of \( \beta' \), and Peak III for the dissolution of the \( \beta \) phase. The characteristics of the DSC curves corresponding to the various tempers are summarized in Table 3.

The identifications for all tempers are marked in bold in Table 3, principally on the exothermic peaks, which can be recognized as the fingerprint for each temper of the AlSi10Mg0.3Mn HPVDC alloy. Based on the observations shown in Figure 2 and the summary in Table 3, the most significant difference between tempers is exhibited in the number and size of exothermic peaks. There were 3 exothermic peaks presented after both F and SHT treatments, while there were only 2 for each of T5 and T6 but only 1 for T7. Differences in the sizes of the peaks (peak areas) can be helpful in further distinguishing the temper. For instance, the areas of Peak \( a \) and Peak \( b \) (\( \beta'' \) and \( \beta' \) precipitates) in F are much larger than those in SHT (Figure 2a), while the area of Peak \( c \) (\( \beta \) precipitates) in T7 is significantly larger than that in both T5 and T6. As calculated from the DSC curves (Figure 2), the areas of Peak \( a \) in F and SHT are 14.5 and 4.0 J/g, respectively. Similarly, the area of Peak \( c \) in T7 is 4.6 J/g, but only 1.0 and 0.7 J/g in T5 and T6 (Table 3), respectively.

![Figure 2. DSC heating curves of AlSi10Mg0.3Mn alloy subject different treatments: (a) F and SHT; (b) T5, T6, and T7.](image)

Table 3. Summary of DSC characteristics of the various tempers of the AlSi10Mg0.3Mn alloy.

| Temper | Exo. Peak a Series (Peak Temp./Area) | Endo. Peak I Series | Exo. Peak b Series | Endo. Peak II Series | Exo. Peak c Series (Peak Temp./Area) | Endo. Peak III Series |
|--------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| F      | \( \beta'' \), 290 °C/14.5          | \( \beta'' \), 340 °C/2.3 | \( \beta' \), 320 °C/0.2 | \( \beta \), 420 °C/0.7 |
| T5     | - dissolution                       | \( \beta'', 340 °C/0.8 \) | \( \beta' \), 340 °C/0.7 | \( \beta \), 370 °C/4.6 |
| T6     | -                                   | -                   | -                 | -                   |
| T7     | -                                   | -                   | -                 | -                   |

In addition to the DSC runs, the microstructures of the AlSi10Mg0.3Mn alloy after the various tempers were observed (Figure 3). It was found that in the samples not subjected to the solution treatment (F in Figure 3a and T5 in Figure 3c), the eutectic Si was very fine and formed a network around aluminum dendrites, owing to the high cooling rate of the HPVDC process. However, after solution treatment (including SHT (Figure 3b), T6 (Figure 3d), and T7 (Figure 3e), the eutectic Si transformed to separated particles and became coarser. Therefore, the evolution of microstructure, especially the changes of eutectic Si particles, can be used to clarify between the tempers with and without the solution treatment.
Although the tempers can essentially be identified according to the number and size of exothermic peaks in the DSC curves, the measurements of electrical conductivity (EC) and microhardness can provide further confirmation for certain temper. The results of the EC and microhardness of sample after different treatments are shown in Figure 4. For example, it was found that the EC of the sample at F temper was remarkably lower than that of the SHT sample. For the F temper, a large number of solute atoms were retained in the aluminum matrix due to the high cooling rate and high-pressure temperature of the HPVDC process, and their concentrations might be higher than the solubility limit at solidus temperature [1,22]. Together with the fine network of eutectic Si (Figure 3a), this resulted in the F condition having the lowest EC among all the tempers. To avoid the possible blister defect in HPVDC products, the solution temperature was set to a relatively low temperature (500 °C). Therefore, the solid solution level after the SHT treatment was still relatively lower than that for the F condition, resulting in the higher EC of the former. In addition, the network
of eutectic Si was fragmented into separate particles and became coarser after the SHT treatment (Figure 3b), which also contributed to a certain extent to its higher EC. On the other hand, because of the similarity between the DSC curves of T5 and T6 tempers (each exhibiting two exothermic peaks at similar temperatures (Figure 2b)), the difference was less obvious between these two tempers. For a further confirmation, the results of Figure 4 indicate that the EC of T5 was remarkably lower than that of T6, and the microhardness of T5 was also lower than that of T6. In summary, with the supplementary support of EC and microhardness data, the various tempers of the AlSi10Mg0.3Mn HPVDC alloy can be well identified and distinguished.

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** Electrical conductivity and microhardness after different treatments of AlSi10Mg0.3Mn alloy.

To find the root causes of the different numbers of reactions (peaks) in the DSC curves, precipitation after the aging process (T5, T6, and T7) was investigated using TEM. As shown in Figure 5, the precipitates were found to vary greatly with the temper. For Al-Si-Mg foundry alloys (AlSi10Mg0.3Mn here and Al-Mg-Si AA6082 extrusion alloy in Section 3.3), the precipitation sequence of the strengthening phases is well known, and occurs as follows [23–25]:

Supersaturated solid solution → Mg/Si clusters → GP(I) zones → \( \beta'' \) → \( \beta' \) → \( \beta \)

After the T5 temper (Figure 5a), there was a mixture of the needle-like precipitates (\( \beta'' \)) and rod-like/granular precipitates, which are assumed to be intermediate precipitates containing Mg and Si in high-pressure die-casting Al-Si-Mg alloy [22]. The microstructure after T6 mainly contain needle-like and fine \( \beta'' \) precipitates (Figure 5b), while needle-like and large \( \beta' \) precipitates were predominant after the T7 temper (Figure 5c). The different precipitates shown in Figure 5 also explain the appearance of various peaks in the DSC curves (Figure 2). Under the conditions of the F and SHT treatments, due to the supersaturated solid solution matrix, the first precipitation event that occurred during the DSC heating was of \( \beta'' \) (Peak a), followed by precipitations of \( \beta' \) (Peak b) and \( \beta \) (Peak c), which resulted in the three exothermic peaks observed. However, the \( \beta'' \) or intermediate precipitates already formed in T5 and T6 samples, and thus the first endothermic peak corresponded to the dissolution of \( \beta'' \) (Peak I), followed by two exothermic peaks corresponding to the precipitations of \( \beta' \) and then \( \beta \). In the T7 temper, since \( \beta' \) has already formed in the matrix (Figure 5c), the first reaction was the dissolution of \( \beta' \) (endothermic Peak II), which appeared after the sole exothermic peak corresponding to the precipitation of \( \beta \). Due to the pre-existing \( \beta' \) in the T7 temper, the peak area of \( \beta \) (Peak c) was much larger and the peak appeared earlier than those in T5 and T6 (Figure 2b). In addition, the size and number density of precipitates in T6 and T7 also varied greatly. As shown in Figure 5d, the number density and average length of \( \beta'' \) in T6 were 13696 μm\(^{-3}\) and 79.6 μm,
respectively. However, the precipitates were more scarce and larger after T7 temper, in which the number density and average length of $\beta'$ were 7556 $\mu$m$^{-3}$ and 212.7 $\mu$m, owing to the over-aging process [7], further explaining the much lower microhardness after T7 than that after T6 (Figure 3).

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** Precipitation after: (a) T5, (b) T6, and (c) T7, and their characteristics after T6 and T7 (d).

### 3.2. Al-Si-Cu-Mg 319 PM Alloy

The Al-Si-Cu-Mg 319 alloy is one of most used aluminum foundry alloys in the automobile industry. Figure 6 illustrates the DSC heating curves of Al-Si-Cu-Mg 319 PM alloys subjected to various tempers. For both F (as-cast) and SHT (Figure 6a), there were three exothermic peaks ($b$, $c$, and $d$) located at temperatures of approximately 160, 240, and 390 °C, respectively, which are related to the precipitations of GP zones (Peak $b$), $\theta'$ (Peak $c$), and $\theta$ (Peak $d$) [26–30]. In addition, two more exothermic peaks were observed in the DSC curve after SHT treatment: one located at approximately 105 °C, which can be recognized as the formation of clusters [31], and the other overlapping with Peak $c'$ at approximately 260 °C (marked as Peak $c''$), which is considered as the precipitation of $Q'$ [26,28,29,31]. The DSC curves for the tempers involving aging (T5, T6, and T7) are shown in Figure 6b. For the T5 and T6 tempers, two exothermic peaks ($c$ and $d$) are observed on the curves, which are located at nearly the same temperatures as those in Figure 6a, and are related to the precipitation of $\theta'$ and $\theta$, respectively. On the other hand, after the T7 temper, only one exothermic peak $d$, corresponding to the precipitation of $\theta$, was detected.

As seen in Figure 6, three endothermic peaks are observed in addition to the exothermic peaks, which are dependent on the temper. As shown in Figure 6a, two endothermic peaks (Peaks II and III) can be observed at the temperatures of ~300 and ~470 °C, and are recognized as corresponding to the
dissolutions of $\theta'$ and $\theta$ precipitates, respectively [30]. Under the aging conditions (Figure 6b), one more endothermic peak (Peak I) at the temperature of ~260 °C was observed, which is considered to correspond to the dissolution of $Q'$ precipitates [27]. Details of the various peaks detected in the DSC curves of the various tempers is summarized in Table 4.

**Figure 6.** DSC heating curves of the Al-Si-Cu-Mg 319 alloy subjected to different treatments: (a) F and SHT; (b) T5, T6, and T7.

**Table 4.** Summary of DSC characterization of the tempers of the Al-Si-Cu-Mg 319 alloy.

| Temper | Exo. Peak a Series | Exo. Peak b Series | Exo. Peak c Series (Peak Temp./Area) | Exo. Peak c/ Endo. Peak I | Exo. Peak d Series (Peak Temp./Area) | Endo. Peak III Series |
|--------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|
| F      | ×                 | Clusters, 110°C   | $\theta'$, 240 °C/5.9               | ×                         | $\theta'$, 240 °C/1.1              | ×                    |
| SHT    | Clusters, 110°C   | zones, 160°C      | $\theta'$, 260 °C/19.6              | ×                         | $\theta'$, 240 °C                 | $\theta$ dissolve    |
| T5     | -                 | -                 | $\theta'$, 240 °C/1.4               | $Q'$ dissolve             | $\theta$, 390 °C/0.6              |
| T6     | -                 | -                 | $\theta'$, 240 °C/0.6               | $Q'$ dissolve             | $\theta$, 390 °C/1.8              |
| T7     | -                 | -                 | -                                  | $Q'$ dissolve             | $\theta$, 390 °C/1.3              |

As revealed by the data shown in Figure 6 and Table 4, the different tempers of the Al-Si-Cu-Mg 319 alloy can be principally identified by their specific DSC characteristics, particularly in the number and size of exothermic peaks (marked in bold in Table 4). As shown in Figure 6a, the differences between the DSC curves of the samples after F and SHT treatments are apparent; three exothermic peaks are detectable for the F temper, while five exothermic peaks are detectable for the SHT treatment. In addition, the area of Peak c of the SHT treatment (19.6 J/g) was much larger than that of the F temper (5.9 J/g), and the area of Peak d of the SHT condition (1.1 J/g) was also greater than that of the F condition (0.4 J/g). For the treatment conditions involving aging (Figure 6b), both T5 and T6 exhibited two exothermic peaks, while only one exothermic peak was detected for T7. In the cases of T5 and T6, the sizes of the peaks differed significantly (Table 4). For the T5 sample, the first peak (Peak c, at ~240 °C) was large and was followed by a second smaller peak (Peak d, at ~390 °C). On the contrary, the T6 has the first smaller peak (Peak c, at ~240 °C) and the second larger peak (Peak d, at ~390 °C) compared with T5. Therefore, the different tempers of the Al-Si-Cu-Mg 319 alloy can be distinguished well by their DSC fingerprints.

In addition to the DSC analysis, the microstructures of Al-Si-Cu-Mg 319 alloy subjected to the F (as-cast) and SHT treatments of the were observed (Figure 7), and the EC and microhardness after the different treatments were also measured (Figure 8). As shown in Figure 7a, in the F (as-cast) condition, not only a network of fine eutectic Si around aluminum dendrites but also a reasonable amount of Al:Cu intermetallic was present in the matrix due to the relatively low cooling rate applied in permanent mold casting. However, after SHT treatment (Figure 7b), Al:Cu intermetallic was fully dissolved in the matrix and eutectic Si had been fragmented into separated particles, resulting in a much higher supersaturated solid solution level compared to that of the F condition. Therefore, the microhardness was significantly higher while the EC was lower after SHT treatment in comparison to those of the F condition (Figure 8), providing further evidence to assist in the differentiation.
between the F and SHT treatments. After T5 and T6, the results in Figure 8 show that the EC and microhardness after T6 are considerably higher than those after T5. Thus, with the supplementary EC and microhardness data, the various tempers of the Al-Si-Cu-Mg 319 alloy can be clearly identified.

Figure 7. Microstructures of the Al-Si-Cu-Mg 319 alloy subjected different treatments: (a) F and (b) SHT.

Figure 8. Electrical conductivity and microhardness of the Al-Si-Cu-Mg 319 alloy after different treatments.

To further confirm the precipitation reactions revealed in the DSC curves, the precipitation behavior under different aging conditions (T5, T6, and T7) was investigated using TEM and results are shown in Figure 9.
In the heat-treatable Al-Si-Mg-Cu alloys, the principal precipitation sequence of the strengthening phases is presented as follows [32,33]:

Supersaturated solid solution $\rightarrow$ atomic clusters $\rightarrow$ GP(I) zones $\rightarrow$ $\theta''$ $\rightarrow$ $\theta'$ $\rightarrow$ $\theta$

In the multicomponent industrial aluminum foundry alloys, such as the Al-Si-Cu-Mg 319 alloy studied herein, the precipitation sequence may become more complicated owing to the formation of the $Q$ phase (a quaternary Al-Si-Cu-Mg phase) and its precursors. As shown in Figure 9a, after T5,
two precipitates are coincidentally existed in the aged microstructure, namely a major $\theta'$ phase with a rectangular shape, distributed along the (200) and (020) orientations, and a minor $Q'$ phase which appeared as fine round particles [34,35]. After T6 (Figure 9b), the microstructure of sample consisted of a minor $\theta''$ phase and a major $\theta'$ phase with a lath-like morphology [27,29], which can be identified by the mixture of weak strikes and strong points in the selected area diffraction pattern (SADP) shown in Figure 9c [36]. Therefore, both $\theta''$ and $\theta'$ precipitates provided the maximum strengthening effect (peak aging) in T6, leading to the highest microhardness being measured for T6, as shown in Figure 8. In addition, there were also a number of small $Q'$ precipitates (~5 nm in diameter) present. Due to the presence of $\theta'$ and $Q'$ in samples after T5 and T6, there were two endothermic reactions (Peak I and II) that occurred during the heating in the DSC runs (Figure 6b), namely the first small Peak I, corresponding to dissolution of a limited amount of $Q'$, and the second, large Peak II corresponding to the dissolution of a large amount of $\theta'$. After T7 (Figure 9c), a larger amount of lath-like precipitates were observed, which were identified as principal $\theta'$ precipitates by the clear spots in the SADP shown in Figure 9e [27,29,37], indicating the full precipitation in T7, which was also confirmed by its highest EC among the three tempers involving aging conditions. As the case in T6, a number of small round or granular $Q'$ precipitates (~5 nm in diameter) also co-existed in the microstructure of sample after the T7 [34,35]. This coincides with the DSC curve of the T7 (Figure 6b), in which the first two peaks (Peaks I and II) correspond to the endothermic reactions of the dissolution of $Q'$ and $\theta'$, respectively, and only one exothermic peak d was detected, corresponding to the precipitation of $\theta$. On the other hand, due to the larger size of the $\theta'$ precipitates in T7 in comparison to those in T6, its strengthening effect was obviously reduced. As shown in Figure 8, the microhardness of the T7 sample was 124 HV, which is considerably lower than that of the T6 sample (142 HV).

3.3. Al-Mg-Si AA6082 Extrusion Alloy

The Al-Mg-Si AA6082 alloy is one of most commonly used wrought aluminum alloys in the construction and automobile industries. Figure 10 shows the DSC heating curves of extruded AA6082 alloy undergone to various treatments.

As shown in Figure 10a, the DSC curves of samples after the F (as-extruded) and SHT exhibit four exothermic peaks, located at temperatures of ~160, 240, 320, and 410 °C, respectively corresponding to the precipitations of GP zone (Peak b), $\beta''$ (Peak c), $\beta'$ (Peak d), and $\beta$ (Peak e) [3,7,38,39]. There is also one endothermic peak (Peak J) located at ~470 °C, which is related to the dissolution of $\beta$ precipitates [38,39]. In addition, one small exothermic peak, $a'$, was detected at ~100 °C in the curve of the sample after SHT treatment, which corresponds to the formation of Mg/Si clusters during the DSC heating after solution treatment [3,7]. In the T4 temper (natural aging for 1, 7, and 28 days), three exothermic peaks (c, d, and e), located at temperatures of 240, 320, and 430 °C, respectively, and one endothermic peak I located at 470 °C were detected (Figure 10b), which correspond to the precipitations of $\beta''$, $\beta'$, and $\beta$, and the dissolution of $\beta$, respectively [3,7,38,39]. Figure 10c shows the DSC curves of the samples subjected to artificial aging conditions (T5 and T6). As with the curve of the T4 samples shown in Figure 10b, there were also three exothermic peaks and one endothermic peak detected, which are related to the precipitation of $\beta''$, $\beta'$, and $\beta$, and the dissolution of $\beta$ [3,7,38,39]. The positions of the peaks are similar to the positions of those in Figure 10a, b, but the size of the peaks varied with the tempers (Table 5).
As with the case of the AlSi10Mg0.3Mn and 319 alloys, the number and area of exothermic peaks (marked in bold in Table 5) can be used as a first step in distinguishing between the different tempers of the AA6082 extrusion alloy. For F and SHT conditions, the most obvious difference from the aged conditions (T4, T5, and T6) was in the number of exothermal peaks in the DSC curves. As shown in Figure 10 and Table 5, the F sample exhibited four exothermal peaks and the SHT sample exhibited five, while the aged conditions generally exhibited three peaks. Between the F and SHT conditions, the smaller Peak c but relatively larger Peak e after SHT treatment (Table 5) in comparison to those in the F condition can also be used to further differentiate the tempers. For the T4 conditions, the area of Peak c decreased while the area of Peak d increased with increasing natural aging time. As shown in Table 5, upon prolonging the aging time from 1 to 28 days, the area of Peak c decreased from 6.5 to 4.9 J/g, while the area of Peak d increased from 1.4 to 2.5 J/g. The principal difference between the T5/T6 and T4 treatments is the much smaller areas of Peak c in T5/T6. For instance, the areas of Peak c in T5 and T6 were 0.8 and 0.2 J/g, respectively, which are much smaller than those in T4 (6.5 J/g in
T4_1 and 4.9 J/g in T4_28). On the other hand, T5 exhibited a larger Peak c and smaller Peak d in comparison to those of T6, making these tempers easily distinguishable.

The characteristics of the DSC curves were closely related to the formation and transformations of precipitates in the AA6082 alloy studied. To further confirm the precipitation reactions (exothermal peaks in the DSC curves), the EC and hardness were measured (Figure 11), and the microstructures were probed by TEM (Figure 12). Similar to the AlSi10Mg0.3Mn alloy (Section 3.1), the precipitation sequence of strengthening phases in the Al-Mg-Si 6082 alloy is in the order of supersaturated solid solution to clusters, GP zones and further gradually evolve to β″, β′ and β.

![Figure 11. Evolution of EC and Vickers hardness of the Al-Mg-Si AA6082 alloys after various treatments.](image)

As shown in Figure 11, it was found that the EC values for the F, SHT, and T4 conditions were much lower than those of the T5 and T6 conditions, due to the high contents of supersaturated solid solution (F and SHT) or GP zones (T4) [40]. Therefore, a larger volume of β″ (larger Peak c) is expected to be firstly precipitated out than that in the T5 and T6 during the DSC heating process. On the other hand, as the GP zones were already formed during the natural aging of T4, there was no peak corresponding to GP zones detected, while it was detectable in the F and SHT conditions (Peak b).

Between the different T4 conditions, with the increase in natural aging time, more clusters and GP zones formed [24], resulting in a clear increase in microhardness (Figure 11). For the artificial aging involved in the T5 and T6 treatments, the EC and microhardness of these samples were much higher than those of the T4 treatment (Figure 11), indicating a much higher level of precipitation in the former, which was further confirmed by the high volume of β″ precipitates in the aluminum matrix apparent in the TEM images (Figure 12) as well as the much higher hardness value, as indicated in Figure 11. Owing to the pre-existence of β″ in T5 and T6, the formation of β″ (Peak c) was relatively weakened during the DSC heating, leading to the smaller peak areas in the T5 and T6 conditions (Table 5). On the other hand, as shown in Figure 11, the EC and microhardness of T5 were relatively lower than those of T6, indicating less-extensive precipitation of β″ in T5 than in T6. This was confirmed by the lower number density and larger size of the β″ precipitates in T5 (Figure 12c) than in T6, further explaining the lower hardness of T5 (Figure 11). Therefore, more β″ was expected to form during further DSC heating, resulting in a relatively larger area of Peak c in T5 than in T6 (Figure 10c and Table 5), due to a relatively low degree of precipitation of β″ in T5.
4. Summary

In the present work, different heat-treatment tempers of three commonly used industrial aluminum alloys, namely the AlSi10Mg0.3Mn HPVDC alloy, Al-Si-Cu-Mg 319 PM alloy, and Al-Si-Mg 6082 extrusion alloy, were investigated using DSC. A number of exothermic and endothermic peaks were detected in the DSC curves, all of which were fully analyzed and characterized in consideration of the respective precipitation and dissolution reactions, aiming to establish a “fingerprint” of each temper of the three alloys studied. Results showed that the characteristics of the DSC curves, in particular the number and size of exothermic peaks, can provide an effective means of fingerprinting the various tempers. In general, the number of exothermic peaks is principally used to fingerprint the tempers with different thermal history, while the peak area is helpful to distinguish the similar tempers, such as the nature aging with different times and the artificial aging with/without solution treatment (T5 and T6). Meanwhile, the measurements of electrical conductivity and microhardness were conducted to provide the supplementary support for the DSC fingerprints. In addition, the microstructures of the samples subjected to various treatments were studied using optical microscopy and TEM to better understand the evolution of the peaks during the DSC scans.
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