A bronchoprotective role for Rgs2 in a murine model of lipopolysaccharide-induced airways inflammation
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Abstract

Background: Asthma exacerbations are associated with the recruitment of neutrophils to the lungs. These cells release proteases and mediators, many of which act at G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) that couple via Gq to promote bronchoconstriction and inflammation. Common asthma therapeutics up-regulate expression of the regulator of G protein signalling (RGS), RGS2. As RGS2 reduces signaling from Gq-coupled GPCRs, we have defined role(s) for this GTPase-activating protein in an acute neutrophilic model of lung inflammation.

Methods: Wild type and Rgs2−/− C57BL6 mice were exposed to nebulized lipopolysaccharide (LPS). Lung function (respiratory system resistance and compliance) was measured using a SCIREQ flexivent small animal ventilator. Lung inflammation was assessed by histochemistry, cell counting and by cytokine and chemokine expression in bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid.

Results: Lipopolysaccharide inhalation induced transient airways hyperreactivity (AHR) and neutrophilic lung inflammation. While AHR and inflammation was greatest 3 h post-LPS exposure, BAL neutrophils persisted for 24 h. At 3 h post-LPS inhalation, multiple inflammatory cytokines (CSF2, CSF3, IL6, TNF) and chemokines (CCL3, CCL4, CXCL1, CXCL2) were highly expressed in the BAL fluid, prior to declining by 24 h. Compared to wild type counterparts, Rgs2−/− mice developed significantly greater airflow resistance in response to inhaled methacholine (MCh) at 3 h post-LPS exposure. At 24 h post-LPS exposure, when lung function was recovering in the wild type animals, MCh-induced resistance was increased, and compliance decreased, in Rgs2−/− mice. Thus, Rgs2−/− mice show AHR and stiffer lungs 24 h post-LPS exposure. Histological markers of inflammation, total and differential cell counts, and major cytokine and chemokine expression in BAL fluid were similar between wild type and Rgs2−/− mice. However, 3 and 24 h post-LPS exposure, IL12B expression was significantly elevated in BAL fluid from Rgs2−/− mice compared to wild type animals.

Conclusions: While Rgs2 is bronchoprotective in acute neutrophilic inflammation, no clear anti-inflammatory effect was apparent. Nevertheless, elevated IL12B expression in Rgs2−/− animals raises the possibility that RGS2 could dampen Th1 responses. These findings indicate that up-regulation of RGS2, as occurs in response to inhaled corticosteroids and long-acting β2-adrenoceptor agonists, may be beneficial in acute neutrophilic exacerbations of airway disease, including asthma.
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Background

Stable allergic asthma is characterized by airways inflammation, pulmonary eosinophilia, airways hyperreactivity (AHR) and mucus hypersecretion. In contrast, exacerbations of asthma involve worsening AHR and are associated with pulmonary neutrophilia [1]. In inflammation, mediators including histamine, leukotrienes, certain prostaglandins and possibly acetylcholine, are produced by multiple cell types, including neutrophils, epithelial cells, airways smooth muscle (ASM) and nerves. By acting on G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) that signal through the heterotrimeric G protein, Gq, and which are present on ASM, these mediators may promote bronchoconstriction [2]. However, agonists at Gq-coupled GPCRs can also enhance the expression of inflammatory cytokines and could therefore contribute towards increased inflammation [3,4]. Similarly, many allergens, for example house dust mite (HDM) or cockroach allergen, are major triggers of asthma and contain proteolytic activities that activate a family of GPCRs known as protease-activated receptors (PARs) [5,6]. PARs may signal via multiple transducers, which include Gq, as well as Gi, G12/13, and likely β-arrestin, and may contribute to various inflammatory responses [5–7]. Thus, HDM extracts produce profound airway inflammation and AHR in mouse models of asthma [8–10]. Indeed, many proteases, including those in HDM or cockroach allergen, elicit inflammatory responses and, in vivo, may act via PAR2, which can couple via Gq and other transducers [7,11], to induce inflammation and reduce lung function [12–15]. Similarly, activation of coagulation cascades during inflammation can activate PAR1 to up-regulate inflammatory cytokine expression and PAR2 deficiency produced increased expression of the C-X-C motif chemokine ligand (CXCL), CXCL1, following intratracheal instillation of the Gram-negative bacterial well-wall component, lipopolysaccharide (LPS) [16–18]. Likewise, neutrophil recruitment and activation leads to release of elastase, and other proteases, that can activate both PAR1 and PAR2 to promote cytokine expression and inflammation [19–21]. Indeed, inhibition of neutrophil elastase improved AHR and inflammation in a mouse model of airways inflammation, suggesting that neutrophil-derived proteolytic activity can be a major driver of inflammation [22].

The regulator of G protein signaling (RGS) family of proteins interact with active GTP-bound, Ga, to promote intrinsic GTPase activity and GTP hydrolysis [23]. This returns the heterotrimeric G protein to an inactive heterotrimeric (αβγ) GDP-bound state and switches off GPCR signaling. Pertinent to the current study are the R4 sub-family of RGS proteins as these have selectivity for Gαq (and Gai) [23,24]. While many R4 family members, including RGS2-5 are expressed at the mRNA level in human ASM [25], loss of Rgs2, Rgs4 and Rgs5 in the mouse produce AHR and/or enhanced ASM contractility [25–30]. Of relevance to therapeutics used in asthma is the finding that RGS2 mRNA is induced in vivo in human airways following budesonide inhalation [31]. Furthermore, RGS2 mRNA and protein are increased by β2-adrenoceptor agonists in a manner that is synergistically enhanced by glucocorticoids in human ASM [26]. Similar effects also occur in primary bronchial epithelial cells, where RGS2 is more glucocorticoid-inducible [32].

While RGS2 is bronchoprotective and its expression may be enhanced by commonly used asthma therapeutics, expression of RGS2 in non-contractile cells suggests additional roles in the airways. Indeed, in airway epithelial cells, signaling and cytokine expression induced by agonists of Gq-coupled GPCRs, including the histamine H1, muscarinic M3 and thromboxane receptors was reduced by RGS2 [32]. As PARs are Gq-coupled GPCRs present on the airway epithelium and promote inflammatory cytokine expression [33,34], their targeting by RGS2 could be therapeutically beneficial. Indeed, a PAR1 antagonist markedly reduced neutrophil influx into the mouse lung 4 and 24 h post infection with S. pneumoniae [35]. Given that PARs mediate inflammatory responses following their cleavage by proteases released by neutrophils and other inflammation-activated processes [7,36], we used a mouse, LPS-driven, model of airway inflammation and AHR to mimic the effects of acute bacterial infection. This allows effects of Rgs2 deficiency to be explored in an acute neutrophilic setting [37], and which may be relevant to exacerbations of airway diseases, including asthma [1].

Methods

Nomenclature

Unless indicated, genes, mRNA or protein are referred to using official gene symbols as provided by The National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Mouse genes are shown in lower case, but italicised with an upper case first letter, whereas the expressed products (protein and, for consistency, mRNA) are written as uppercase non-italicised letters, as per the Mouse Genome Informatics website (http://www.informatics.jax.org/).

Animals and treatment protocols

Wild type female C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA, USA) and kept in the Clara Christie Centre for Mouse Genomics at the University of Calgary prior to use at ages 10–12 weeks. Alternatively, Rgs2 wild-type, and knockout (Rgs2−/−) mice, on a C57BL/6 background, were
bred in-house through Rgs2+/− × Rgs2+/− crosses for use at ages 10–12 weeks. These animals were previously re-derived from mice donated by Dr. Scott Heximer (University of Toronto) [38, 39]. Ear punches were used for genotyping following DNA isolation by the MicroLYSIS protocol (Gel Company, Inc.; San Francisco, CA). TaqMan PCR (Applied BioSystems; Foster City, CA) was performed using the allele-specific primers and probes (Additional file 1: Table S1). For the LPS exposures, mice were placed in a closed plexiglass chamber connected to a nebulizer and 20 ml LPS (E. coli 0127:B8) at 150 μg/ml in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) was aerosolized over a period of 30 min. Control mice were exposed to 20 ml aerosolized PBS over the same timeframe. LPS-exposed mice were collected for assessment of lung function and lung inflammation at 3, 6 and 24 h following inhalation. PBS-exposed mice were collected 3 h after exposure for all measurements. Protocols were approved by University of Calgary Animal Care Committee according to the Canadian Council for Animal Care guidelines. Mice were age and sex matched between the different genotype groups and treatments.

**Lung function analysis**

Mice were weighed and anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg) (CEVA Santé Animale, Libourne, France) and intramuscular injection of ketamine hydrochloride (90 mg/kg) (Wyeth, St Laurin, QC, Canada). Anesthetized animals were tracheostomized and connected to a SCIREQ flexivent small animal ventilator (SciReq, Montreal, Ontario). Animals were challenged with aerosolized PBS followed by increasing half-log concentrations (3–300 mg/ml) of methacholine (MCh) made up in PBS administered via an in-line nebulizer. Measurement of respiratory system resistance (resistance) and compliance was performed using a snapshot 150 perturbation, as described [40].

**Immunohistochemistry**

The left lung was inflated and fixed with buffered 10% formalin, and then embedded in wax. Serial, 4 μm, sections were adhered to positively charged slides (Fisher Scientific, Nepean, Ontario, Canada) prior to staining with either hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) or periodic acid-schiff (PAS). Slides were visualised by light microscopy using an Olympus IX51 microscope (Olympus Canada Inc, Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada). Images were captured with an Olympus Q color 5 charge-coupled device camera (model RTV-R-CLR-10) with Q-Capture Pro acquisition imaging software.

Hematoxylin and eosin stained sections were analyzed using a semi-quantitative scoring system to evaluate the fraction of the airway that was occupied by inflammatory cell infiltrates: 1 = minimal inflammation (< 10% of airway circumference surrounded by inflammatory cell infiltrates); 2 = mild inflammation (10–25% of airway circumference surrounded by inflammatory cell infiltrates); 3 = moderate inflammation (25–50% of airway circumference surrounded by inflammatory cell infiltrates); 4 = robust inflammation (more than 50% of airway circumference surrounded by inflammatory cell infiltrates). PAS-stained sections were scored using a semi-quantitative system where a value of 4 indicated strong staining (more than 75% of the airway epithelium PAS positive), 3 = moderate staining (50–75% of the airway epithelium PAS positive), 2 = mild staining (25–50% of the airway epithelium PAS positive), and a score of 1 = minimal staining (less than 25% of the airway epithelium PAS positive). Numerical data were averaged from three sections from each animal. All measurements were analysed by an investigator blinded to the study treatments.

**BAL fluid cell counts and cytokine release**

Lungs were lavaged with 3 × 0.5 ml of ice cold PBS. Following centrifugation, BAL fluid was frozen at −80 °C for later analysis of cytokines. Pelleted cells were resuspended in 1 ml PBS for total cell counting. Cells were also spun onto glass slides prior to staining with Diff-Quik (Canadawide, Ottawa, ON). Differential cell counts were performed on at least 400 cells/slide and macrophage, eosinophil, neutrophil and lymphocyte percentages converted to absolute numbers using the respective total cell counts. Cytokines/chemokines in the BAL fluid were quantified with a mouse cytokine/chemokine multiplex Luminex Array (Eve Technologies, Calgary, AB, Canada). The limit of detection for most analytes was 0.64–3.2 pg/ml. When an analyte was not detected, the lower detection limit was reported.

**RNA extraction and real-time PCR**

Frozen right lung lobe tissue was disrupted in a Tissuelyser LT (Qiagen; Valencia, CA) and RNA prepared using RNeasy Plus kits (Qiagen). Reverse-transcription was performed on 0.5 μg total RNA with qScript kits (Quanta: Gaithersburg, MD). Real-time PCR was performed using SYBR GreenER (Life Technologies;
Burlington, OH) chemistry and the primers listed in Additional file 1: Table S2 with either a StepOne Plus or a 7900HT PCR instrument (Applied BioSystems). Samples were analyzed in duplicate. Dilution of cDNA was used to generate standard curves for target genes. Relative expression data were normalized to the housekeeping gene GAPDH.

Data presentation and statistical analysis
Data from N animals are expressed as mean ± SE. Graphing and statistics were performed using GraphPad Prism v6 (La Jolla, CA). Significance was tested as described in figure legends. Where possible, and as appropriate, parametric analyses were used. The null hypothesis was rejected when: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. Normality testing was performed using the D'Agostino & Pearson omnibus normality test in GraphPad Prizm v6.

Results
Effect of LPS on lung function in wild type and Rgs2−/− mice
In initial experiments, wild type C57Bl/6 mice were exposed to aerosolized PBS (20 ml) or LPS (20 ml at 150 μg/ml in PBS) administered over a 30 min period. Lung function was measured 3, 6 and 24 h following LPS exposure. Baseline resistance and compliance i.e. following PBS challenge, was not different between the LPS- and PBS-exposed animals (Fig. 1a). However, 3 h after LPS exposure, MCh challenge induced significantly greater increases in lung resistance when compared to the PBS-exposed animals (Fig. 1a). While corresponding losses in compliance were also produced, this did not reach statistical significance. By 6 and 24 h post-LPS exposure, these effects on lung function had returned to near baseline and no significant differences relative to PBS controls were apparent (Fig. 1a).

Breeding from heterozygous Rgs2−/+ pairs to produce wild type and Rgs2−/− knockout littermates allowed lung function to be compared between wild type and Rgs2−/− animals. In prior studies [26, 28], we found that control animals, without any pro-inflammatory insult, showed AHR due to Rgs2 loss. In the current study, N = 6 wild type and N = 3 Rgs2−/− animals were subjected to PBS exposure and lung function was examined. These data were consistent with prior findings, which when taken together provide a definitive statement as to the effect of Rgs2 gene loss on lung function in control, non-inflamed, animals (Fig. 1b). Compared to wild type animals, this analysis shows a markedly enhanced increase in the resistance produced by MCh in Rgs2−/− mice (Fig. 1b, upper panel). Similarly, baseline compliance is significantly reduced in the Rgs2−/− animals relative to wild type and following MCh challenge, this compliance decreases further (Fig. 1b, lower panel). These data unequivocally confirm a bronchoprotective effect for the wild type Rgs2 gene in the absence of airway inflammation. Three hours following LPS exposure, basal PBS challenged airway resistance was similar for wild type and Rgs2−/− animals (Fig. 1c). Following increasing doses of aerosolized MCh, the LPS-exposed Rgs2−/− animals displayed a significantly enhanced increase in resistance compared to LPS-exposed wild type animals (Fig. 1c). A similar effect was apparent 24 h post-LPS exposure where the wild type animals showed signs that lung resistance was improving, while resistance in the Rgs2−/− animals was significantly higher following MCh challenge (Fig. 1c). Indeed, in the Rgs2−/− animals the MCh-induced resistance was essentially unchanged compared to that observed 3 h post-LPS exposure. Three hours post-LPS exposure, baseline compliance, i.e. following PBS challenge, was modestly lower in the Rgs2−/− animals. This did not reach significance and was similar between wild type and Rgs2−/− animals for all doses of inhaled MCh. However, 24 h following LPS exposure, the PBS challenged, baseline compliance, and that following MCh challenge was significantly reduced in the Rgs2−/− animals compared to wild type. Thus, while LPS produced a transient loss of lung function in wild type mice (Fig. 1a), the presence of wild type Rgs2 was nevertheless protective (Fig. 1c). However, 24 h post-LPS exposure, while lung function in wild type animals was recovering, MCh-induced airway resistance remained high in the Rgs2 deficient mice (Fig. 1c). Furthermore, 24 h post-LPS exposure, the lungs of the Rgs2−/− animals were significantly stiffer (reduced compliance) than in the wild type counterparts (Fig. 1c).

Inflammatory cell recruitment in wild type and Rgs2−/− animals
Analysis of total inflammatory cells present in the BAL fluid obtained from PBS exposed mice revealed only low levels of inflammatory cells that were predominantly made up of macrophage (Fig. 2a, b). In contrast, at each time, 3, 6 and 24 h, following LPS exposure, there was a dramatic and significant increase in total inflammatory cells recruited into the lungs (Fig. 2a). While differential cell counting showed very modest and non-significant increases in lymphocytes, the number of neutrophils, which were essentially absent in PBS exposed animals, was dramatically increased at all times following LPS exposure (Fig. 2b). Such data are as previously described [37]. Compared to PBS exposure, the number of macrophage remained essentially unaltered at 3 and 6 h post-LPS, but were significantly elevated at 24 h (Fig. 2b). Thus, at baseline, following PBS exposure, macrophage accounted for essentially all the inflammatory cells present in the BAL fluid, but following LPS inhalation,
Fig. 1 Effect of LPS exposure on lung function in wild type and Rgs2 deficient animals. a Wild type mice were exposed to aerosolized PBS (20 ml) or LPS from E. coli 0127:B8 (20 ml of 150 μg/ml) for 30 min. The mice were attached to a flexivent apparatus and lung function was analysed, at 3, 6 or 24 h following LPS exposure. Respiratory system resistance (Resistance) and compliance were determined using a snapshot 150 perturbation following inhaled challenge with nebulized PBS (10 μl) and methacholine (MCh) (10 μl of 3, 10, 30, 100, 300 mg/ml). Data (N = 11–13) are plotted as cm H2O/s/ml (resistance) or ml/cm H2O (compliance) as mean ± SE. Significance was tested against PBS control using ANOVA with a Dunn’s post test. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. b Wild type (wt) (N = 6) and Rgs2−/− (N = 3) mice were exposed to aerosolized PBS (20 ml) for 30 min prior to lung function analysis at 3 h. Resistance and compliance were measured following inhaled challenge with nebulized PBS and PBS containing increasing concentrations of MCh. To enable definitive analysis of Rgs2 loss in naïve (non-inflamed) animals, the current data were combined with that for previously analysed PBS exposed/naïve mice [26, 28]. Data (wild type, N = 26; Rgs2−/−, N = 23) are plotted as cm H2O/s/ml (resistance) or ml/cm H2O (compliance) as mean ± SE. Significance between wild type and Rgs2−/− animals was tested by Mann–Whitney U test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. c Wild type (wt) and Rgs2−/− mice were exposed to aerosolized PBS or LPS from E. coli 0127:B8 (20 ml at a concentration of 150 μg/ml) for 30 min and subjected to lung function analysis 3 or 24 h post-LPS exposure. Resistance and compliance was measured following inhalation of nebulized PBS and PBS containing increasing concentrations of MCh. Data (N = 6–7) are plotted as cm H2O/s/ml (resistance) or ml/cm H2O (compliance) as mean ± SE. Significance between wild type and Rgs2−/− animals was tested by Mann–Whitney U test. *P < 0.05.
there was a rapid influx of neutrophils. These remained elevated at 3, 6 and 24 h and accounted for the majority of the inflammatory cells present in the airways. At 24 h, there was a significant increase, compared to PBS control, in macrophage and this explains the slightly elevated total cells counts observed at this time (Fig. 2a, b).

In prior studies, we found no differences in the total or differential BAL fluid cell counts between naïve, non-inflamed, wild type and Rgs2−/− animals [26, 28]. In each case, wild type or Rgs2−/− animals, the total cell counts were essentially identical and consisted of macrophage. In the current study, total and differential cell counting was therefore not repeated on the naïve/control animals. However, comparison between wild type and Rgs2−/− animals exposed to LPS for either 3 or 24 h also revealed no differences in the total cells counts (Fig. 2c). Similarly, differential cell counting revealed no differences between the lymphocyte, neutrophil or macrophage numbers recruited to the airways of wild type and Rgs2−/− animals at either time (Fig. 2d).

**Effect of Rgs2 deficiency on LPS-induced lung inflammation**

In wild type animals, LPS produced a rapid and profound increase in inflammatory cell infiltration around many of the airways and, often, the vessels (Fig. 3a). Scoring of H&E sections, revealed maximal cell influx to have occurred at 3 h, followed by a decline towards baseline levels at 24 h. Morphometric analysis of the H&E lung sections showed no effect of the LPS exposure on ASM thickness at any of these times (Fig. 3c). Prior studies [26, 28], showed there to be no differences between the lung inflammation scores of control/naïve wild type or Rgs2−/− animals. In each case there was no evidence of inflammation and, therefore, this analysis was not repeated in the current study. Comparisons between the wild type and Rgs2−/− animals showed no differences in lung inflammation, inflammation score, or on ASM thickness at either 3 or 24 h post LPS exposure (Fig. 3d–f).

**Effect of Rgs2 deficiency on mucus production following LPS exposure**

Periodic acid-schiff staining was used to determine whether LPS promoted mucus secretion in murine lungs and the effect of Rgs2 deficiency. As shown in Fig. 4a, there was no evidence of PAS staining 3, 6 or 24 h following LPS exposure. Positive control animals (intra-nasal HDM exposure at 25 µg, 3× per week for 3 weeks) [28], for which the sections were processed in parallel with the LPS-exposed sections, revealed robust

---

**Fig. 2** Effect of Rgs2 deficiency on LPS-induced cell influx into bronchoalveolar lavage. Wild type mice were exposed to aerosolized PBS (20 ml) or LPS from E. coli/0127:B8 (20 ml of 150 µg/ml) for 30 min and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) was performed after 3, 6 or 24 h of LPS exposure. a Total cell counting in BAL fluid was performed. Data (N=4–6) are plotted as mean±SE. Significance compared against PBS control was assessed by ANOVA with a Dunn’s post test. *P<0.05, **P<0.001. b Following DiffQuick staining of cytospin slides, differential cell counting was performed. Data (N=4–6) are plotted as mean±SE. Significance compared with PBS control was assessed by ANOVA with a Dunn’s post test. *P<0.05, **P<0.001. c Wild type (WT) and Rgs2−/− (KO) mice were exposed to aerosolized LPS, as above. The BAL fluid was collected after 3 and 24 h of LPS treatment for counting of total cell numbers and the data (N=4–7) plotted as mean±SE. d After Diff-Quick staining of cytospin slides, differential cell counting was performed and the data (N=4–7) plotted as mean±SE. In C and D, significance was tested between WT and KO using Mann-Whitney U test. No significant differences were found.
Fig. 3 Effect of Rgs2 deficiency on LPS-induced lung inflammation. Wild type mice were exposed to aerosolized PBS (20 ml) or LPS from *E. coli* 0127:B8 (20 ml at 150 μg/ml) for 30 min. The lungs were harvested 3, 6 or 24 h after LPS challenge and fixed for later sectioning. Lung sections were stained with H&E. **a** Representative H&E stained sections of left lung are shown at ×10 magnification. **b** The H&E stained sections were manually scored where: 0 = no inflammation around airways, 1 = (minimal) < 10% inflammation around airways, 2 = (mild) 10–25%, 3: (moderate) 25–50%, 4: (high) > 50%. Data (N = 6–7) are plotted as mean ± SE. Significance relative to PBS control was assessed by ANOVA with a Dunn’s post test. P ≤ 0.01 (**). **c** Morphometric analysis of ASM thickness. Data (N = 8) are plotted in µm as mean ± S.E. **d** As in A, wild type (WT) and *Rgs2*−/− (KO) mice were exposed to aerosolized LPS for 3 and 24 h prior to fixing, sectioning and H&E staining. Representative images are shown. **e** Scoring of H&E staining images was performed as in A. Data (N = 7) are plotted as mean ± SE. **f** ASM thickness was measured and data (N = 11–14) are plotted as mean ± SE. In e and f, significance was tested between WT and KO using Mann–Whitney U test. No significant differences were found.
PAS staining (Fig. 4b). These data, therefore, confirm that LPS did not induce mucus secretion over the 24 h following exposure. Similarly, prior analyses of control/naïve, non-inflamed, lungs from Rgs2−/− animals also showed no evidence of PAS staining [26, 28]. Likewise, the analysis of PAS staining in wild type and Rgs2−/− animals at 3 and 24 h following LPS exposure revealed no evidence of mucus secretion in either the wild type or Rgs2−/− animals (Fig. 4c).

**Gene expression in the BAL fluid of LPS exposed wild type and Rgs2−/− mice**

BAL fluid from the experiments depicted in Fig. 2 was subjected to Luminex assay to determine the protein concentrations of cytokines, chemokines and growth factors. Many factors were at or below the limit of detection (typically ~0.5–3.2 pg/ml) for the Luminex assay. All analytes, including the classical Th1 cytokine, interferon γ (IFNG) and interleukins (IL) of the Th2 (IL4, IL5,
IL13) and Th17 (IL17) cytokine families that fell below 5 pg/ml were deemed not to be present (Table 1A). For the majority of these factors there was no apparent modulation by LPS-exposure at either 3 or 24 h, or between wild type and Rgs2<sup>−/−</sup> animals. However, within this lowly expressed group was CXCL9 (assay sensitivity = 0.49 pg/ml). This revealed an LPS-exposure induced expression of 2.1 ± 0.2 pg/ml at 3 h. This was significantly (P ≤ 0.01, Mann–Whitney U test) greater than for PBS treated of 0.7 ± 0.1 pg/ml, but was unaltered between wild type and Rgs2<sup>−/−</sup> animals. More highly expressed factors, i.e. those showing peak expression of < 100 pg/ml were significantly induced by LPS at 3 h post-exposure, but were again not modulated by Rgs2 deficiency. The expression of IL12B showed a modest, but not significant, increase from 4.0 ± 1.0 to 8.6 ± 1.5 pg/ml 3 h following LPS exposure (Table 1B). This was significantly enhanced to 13.5 ± 1.6 pg/ml in the 3 h post-LPS Rgs2<sup>−/−</sup> animals and this enhancement also persisted (P ≤ 0.001) 24 h post-LPS (Table 1B).

The most highly expressed and LPS-induced cytokines and chemokines, here CCL3, CCL4, the colony-stimulate factors (CSFs), CSF2 & CSF3, and CXCL1, CXCL2, IL6 and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF) were all significantly induced 3 h post-LPS exposure and this effect had largely disappeared 24 h post-exposure (Fig. 5a). In each case, there was no significant effect of Rgs2 loss either at baseline or following LPS exposure (Fig. 5b). Following RNA extraction from whole lung, PCR was used to examine the mRNA expression of CCL3, CCL4, CCL11, CCL20, CSF2, CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL10, IL6, and TNF. In each case, there was a marked induction of each mRNA following LPS exposure, but there were no significant effects due to the loss of Rgs2 (data not shown).

**Discussion**

As may occur with acute bacterial infections, the current LPS exposure model produced a rapid onset neutrophilic inflammation of the airways that was associated with transient AHR. Robust neutrophil recruitment into the lungs and BAL fluid was evident 3 h post LPS-exposure, presumably due to the rapid production of pro-neutrophilic/pro-inflamatory chemokines and cytokines observed 3 h following LPS exposure. These findings are consistent with prior studies using aerosolized or intra-nasal LPS administration [37, 41–45]. The current analysis found no evidence of PAS staining in the lungs.

**Table 1** Cytokines, chemokines and growth factors not detected or lowly expressed in the BAL fluid of LPS-exposed mice

| Gene product | PBS | 3 h post-LPS | 24 h post-LPS |
|--------------|-----|-------------|--------------|
| Gene product | wt | wt | Rgs2<sup>−/−</sup> | wt | Rgs2<sup>−/−</sup> |
| CCL2 (MCP-1) | 7.9 ± 1.4 | 10.9 ± 1.7 | 113 ± 4.1 | 6.6 ± 1.1 | 5.3 ± 0.8 |
| CCL5 (RANTES) | 46.4 ± 0.6 | 101.00 ± 0.9 | 88.6 ± 0.7 | 5.7 ± 0.3 | 5.1 ± 0.5 |
| CCL11 (Eotaxin) | 2.5 ± 0.3 | 102.00 ± 1.6 | 107.1 ± 1.2 | 4.3 ± 1.1 | 4.8 ± 0.98 |
| CSF1 (MCSF) | 134.4 ± 9.5 | 5.9 ± 4.4 | 49.3 ± 3.1 | 1.4 ± 0.1 | 1.5 ± 0.2 |
| CXCL5 (LIX) | 386.6 ± 21.7 | 325.5 ± 17.9 | 79.2 ± 45.2 | 10.5 ± 7.4 | 10.7 ± 7.4 |
| CXCL10 (IP10) | 19 ± 0.3 | 59.90 ± 12.9 | 45.5 ± 5.5 | 6.6 ± 1.3 | 5.0 ± 1.2 |
| IL1A | 204.0 ± 5.1 | 30.4 ± 44.4 | 30.0 ± 2.5 | 17.9 ± 2.8 | 24.4 ± 2.2 |
| IL1B | 20.0 ± 0.4 | 60.1 ± 15.0 | 83.1 ± 13.9 | 2.6 ± 0.6 | 2.6 ± 0.5 |
| IL7 | 49.1 ± 12.2 | 5.4 ± 0.4 | 6.8 ± 0.7 | 3.9 ± 0.4 | 4.8 ± 0.2 |
| IL9 | 149.5 ± 5.8 | 121 ± 1.4 | 134 ± 1.5 | 18.2 ± 3.8 | 22.4 ± 5.1 |
| IL12B (IL12 p40) | 40.0 ± 1.0 | 8.6 ± 1.5 | 1347 ± 1.6 | 5.4 ± 0.7 | 12.79 ± 2.5 |
| IL15 | 3.5 ± 0.6 | 6.4 ± 12.1 | 5.2 ± 1.1 | 2.7 ± 0.5 | 2.5 ± 0.5 |
| LIF | 0.7 ± 0.1 | 15.40 ± 1.7 | 19.0 ± 1.1 | 0.9 ± 0.1 | 0.9 ± 0.1 |
| VEGF | 80 ± 1.8 | 8.0 ± 2.3 | 8.3 ± 0.7 | 11.0 ± 3.1 | 11.0 ± 1.6 |

As described for Fig. 5, wild type or Rgs2<sup>−/−</sup> mice were exposed to aerosolized PBS (20 ml) or LPS from E. coli 0127:B8 (20 ml at 150 μg/ml) for 30 min. BAL fluid was prepared 3, 6 or 24 h after LPS challenge, as indicated. Following centrifugation to remove cells, the supernatants were analyzed by Lumax. Those mediators showing LPS-induced expression of < 5 pg/ml in wild type or Rgs2<sup>−/−</sup> animals are listed in A. Mediators showing LPS-induced expression of < 100 pg/ml in wild type or Rgs2<sup>−/−</sup> animals are shown in B. Data (N = 6–8) are provided in pg/ml as mean ± SE. Significance between PBS control and the 3 and 24 h post-LPS exposure was assessed by nonparametric ANOVA with a Dunn’s post test. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. Significance between wild type and Rgs2<sup>−/−</sup> animals at each time post-LPS treatment was tested by Mann–Whitney U test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.
suggesting that mucus hypersecretion was not a feature of the acute response to LPS. There was also no evidence of LPS-induced airway remodelling. This is consistent with the acute nature of the model, but, as reported elsewhere, may occur at later times post-exposure [45]. LPS-induced AHR was transient, with marked increases in MCh-induced reactivity observed 3 h following LPS exposure, being largely resolved at 24 h. This result was slightly unexpected as the rapid accumulation of neutrophils into the BAL fluid at 3 h was maintained at both 6 and 24 h post-LPS exposure. Nevertheless, overall lung inflammation, as evidenced by H&E staining, was maximal around 3 h and by 6 h, and certainly 24 h, post-LPS exposure was resolving in the wild type animals. This is consistent with the expression of major inflammatory cytokines (CSF2, CSF3, IL6, TNF) and key neutrophil chemoattractants, including CXCL1 and CXCL2. These were all highly expressed in the lung and BAF fluid at 3 h, but by 24 h post-LPS exposure, expression had declined. Of relevance are possible effects of anesthetics, including pentobarbital and ketamine. These may directly, and/or indirectly, impact on inflammation [46]. While used prior to lung function analysis and/or lung removal, the time available to modulate existing LPS-induced inflammation is short and, therefore, unlikely to materially effect outcomes. Use of these compounds is also common to each study arm and therefore controlled within the study design.

In the absence of inflammatory stimulus, Rgs2 loss produced a marked AHR apparent on MCh challenge [26, 28]. The current study extends these data by showing that LPS-induced reductions in lung function were further exacerbated in animals lacking Rgs2. Thus, 3 h post-LPS exposure, airways resistance induced by MCh
was significantly greater in Rgs2 knock-out animals. Possibly more striking was that 24 h post-LPS exposure, lung function in the wild type animals was recovering, whereas in the Rgs2−/− animals, MCh-induced resistance remained elevated. Furthermore, at 24 h, Rgs2 knock-out animals displayed a markedly and significantly reduced compliance compared to wild type animals. Such data, together with those of prior studies, confirm that the Rgs2 gene is not only bronchoprotective in the absence of airway inflammation, but is also bronchoprotective in chronic airway inflammation induced by HDM, ovalbumin, IL13 and, as now reported, in acute neutrophilic inflammation [26–28, 47]. Interestingly, not only was RGS2 bronchoprotective, but the effect of Rgs2 loss on lung compliance at 24 h post-LPS exposure suggests roles for RGS2 in assisting with resolution, or protection, post-exposure. What these mechanisms could be are unclear, but may relate to Rgs2 loss enhancing the profibrotic and remodelling effects of receptors, including PARs [16, 48–50]. Such effects may become more relevant in chronic neutrophilic inflammatory diseases, as caused by cigarette and smoke inhalation [51]. However, as noted, no effects of Rgs2 deficiency were apparent on remodelling and this would require investigation in longer-term models.

In terms of roles for Rgs2, in the absence of inflammatory stimulus, prior studies show no effect of Rgs2 gene loss on lung inflammation or the recruitment of inflammatory cells into the BAL, when compared to wild type animals [26, 28]. In the current study, an effect of Rgs2 deficiency on LPS-induced inflammation was hypothesized. However, when compared to wild type, no major change in the inflammatory response to LPS was noted in animals lacking Rgs2. In each case, lung inflammation and inflammatory cell recruitment, including neutrophils, to the BAL fluid were similar. Furthermore, expression of the most highly expressed cytokines and chemokines was similar in Rgs2−/− and wild type animals. Such data do not support a major role, protective or otherwise, for RGS2 in LPS-induced airway inflammation and is consistent with a lack of the effect of Rgs2 deletion on inflammatory indices in HDM- and IL13-induced inflammation [28, 47]. In this regard, LPS acts via TLR4 to induce inflammation [52]. As this pathway does not directly involve Gq-coupled GPCRs and primarily promotes expression of inflammatory mediators, such as TNF, via the activation of NF-κB and MAPKs [53], direct effects of RGS2 were not anticipated. Nevertheless, our original hypothesis was that neutrophilic inflammation, induced by LPS inhalation, may involve GPCRs that are regulated by RGS2. Numerous neutrophil-derived mediators act on GPCRs, many of which do couple via Gq [54]. The release such mediators is induced by LPS and roles for Gq-coupled GPCRs in accentuating, or further promoting, inflammatory responses were therefore expected. Similarly, numerous proteases are produced by neutrophils, and other inflammatory processes, and may cleave and activate PARs [55]. Both PAR1 and PAR2 are present on multiple cell types in the airways, in particular structural cells, including the epithelium and ASM, and can also be activated by neutrophil proteases, including neutrophil elastase [7, 55]. Indeed, PAR activation enhances expression of various inflammatory mediators [16, 19–21, 56, 57]. Similarly, PARs are implicated in various pro-inflammatory effects in vivo [7, 17, 18, 36, 55]. Thus, the current data showing no clear effect of Rgs2 loss on inflammation, even 24 h post-exposure, requires explanation. One possibility may be that PARs do play a role in neutrophilic inflammation, but that these receptors, or their relevant downstream signaling pathways, for example, Gi, G12/13 or β-arrestin, unlike Gq, are not targeted by RGS2 and are therefore unaffected by Rgs2 deficiency. However, while understanding of the selectivity of different RGS proteins for specific GPCRs is incomplete [58, 59], there is little suggestion of Gq-coupled GPCR selectivity for RGS2 and multiple studies indicate that, at least, PAR1 signalling is targeted by RGS2 [60–62].

Further explanations for the above data may come from a more detailed consideration of the roles for Gq-coupled GPCRs, such as PAR1 and PAR2, in inflammation. These are not simply “pro-inflammatory”, both pro- and anti-inflammatory effects are attributed to PARs [55, 63]. For example, agonism at Gq-coupled GPCRs leads to phospholipase A2 activation to induce prostaglandin (PG) production in epithelial cells [64]. PAR activation certainly promotes PGE2 release, which, in turn, can dampen expression of inflammatory cytokines and provide bronchoprotection [34, 63, 65–67]. Indeed, the PAR2-PGE2 axis was anti-inflammatory in an ovalbumin sensitized model of allergic airway inflammation [68]. Thus, RGS2 may well modulate Gq-coupled GPCR activity, but the effects of this could be both pro- and anti-inflammatory leading to little, or no, net effect due to Rgs2 deletion. Finally, while there was no clear effect of Rgs2 knock-out on the expression of highly expressed cytokines and chemokines, including CCL4, CCL4a, CSF2, CSF3, CXCL1, CXCL2, IL6 and TNF, Rgs2 loss significantly increased IL12B expression. Given a central role for IL12 in the development of Th1 responses, these data raise the possibility that RGS2 may play a regulatory role in the modulation of Th polarization. Indeed, neutrophil elastase promotes IL12 generation from LPS-stimulated macrophage via an apparently PAR2-dependent mechanism [69]. This could be enhanced in the absence of RGS2 or, possibly, abrogated by increased RGS2 expression. Similar effects may occur
on dendritic cells, a known source of IL12 [70], which, like macrophage, are present in the lungs. Since, (1) IL12 and other Th1 gene polymorphisms are associated with severe asthma [71, 72]; and (2) in the context of allergen-induced inflammation and AHR, IL12 reduces Th2 responses, including AHR [70], further analysis of roles for RGS2 in T-helper cell programming/function is appropriate.

Conclusions
The current data provide clear evidence for a bronchoprotective role of RGS2 in a murine model of acute neutrophilic inflammation. Not only was the presence of the wild type Rgs2 gene protective from peak LPS-induced AHR, but Rgs2 loss reduced the resolution of AHR 24 h post LPS exposure. This is potentially important in the context of human airway disease, where neutrophilic inflammation is notoriously hard to treat, and inhaled corticosteroids (ICSs) alone are often ineffective [1, 73]. The data, therefore support the concept that pharmacological interventions, which increase RGS2 expression, may be beneficial due to the protective effects of RGS2 on lung function [74]. Since ICS/long-acting β2-adrenoceptor agonist (LABA) combinations can synergistically enhance RGS2 expression, for example in human ASM [26], this is predicted to enhance bronchoprotection. The present study therefore supports the clinical use of therapies, such as ICS/LABA combination inhalers, that promote RGS2 expression to improve lung function in acute neutrophilic inflammation, such as exacerbations of asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [73, 74]. However, the data also indicate no clear anti-inflammatory role for RGS2 in acute inflammation. Thus, the continued search for more effective anti-inflammatory agents against neutrophilic disease is warranted. Finally, the finding that Rgs2 loss promotes IL12B expression indicates a need to explore possible roles for RGS2 in the regulation of Th1 vs. Th2 programming.
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