Preliminary Study of Discrimination of Human Vocal Commands in Walrus (Odobenus rosmarus divergens)
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Walruses appear to use various acoustic signals in different social contexts. The auditory faculty seems to be important for walruses. Can walruses understand another animal's vocal information using their auditory sense? This study tested whether a male walrus could discriminate human speech sounds and perform different actions corresponding to each one under various conditions. The subject, a male walrus (Odobenus rosmarus divergens) named Pou, was placed on the ground. The experimenter presented vocal commands to the subject under 3 conditions. (1) The experimenter stood near to the subject and presented each vocal command while wearing cloak and goggles so that the experimenter's eye and body movements would not influence the subject's behavior. (2) A wooden board was placed between the experimenter and the subject so that the subject could not see the experimenter. (3) A wooden board was placed between the experimenter and the subject so that the subject could not see the experimenter, and the experimenter presented each vocal command through an audio speaker. Under each condition, when the subject performed the correct action corresponding to the vocal commands, he was rewarded with a piece of fish. Results demonstrated that the subject responded correctly to almost all of the human vocal stimuli in every condition (10 kinds of stimuli; correct responses were above 80%), including when the experimenter (presenter of the commands) was not visible. This suggests that the subject only responded to vocal cues and not to other inadvertent ones, such as visual or tactile stimuli. This study demonstrated that walruses can hear and identify human speech sounds using their auditory sense and can discriminate auditory cues.
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Pinnipeds are thought to utilize their calls to communicate with one another (Lindemann et al., 2006; Schusterman, 1978; Schusterman et al., 2001). Walruses appear to prefer to create groups, and they use various acoustic signals in different social contexts (Kastelein, 2002). For example, they utter calls to intimidate or signal obedience to another individual (Charrier et al., 2011; Kastelein, 2002), and male walruses utter unique calls to draw the attention of females or to keep away rival males (Charrier et al., 2011; Kastelein, 2002). Moreover, mothers and calves use calls to communicate with one another (Charrier et al., 2010). Thus, the auditory faculty is important for walruses. However, limited information exists regarding walruses' auditory abilities (Kastelein et al., 2002; Supin et al., 2001).

Can walruses understand another animal's vocal information using their auditory sense? In some aquariums in Japan, walruses are trained to discriminate human speech sounds. Previous studies have investigated the comprehension of human vocal sounds in animals such as chimpanzees (Hays, 1951), bonobos (Savage-Rumbaugh, 1993), and African gray parrots (Pepperberg, 1990, 2002). Among marine mammals, bottlenose dolphins (Lilly, 1961, 1967), belugas (Murayama et al., 2014; Ridgway et al., 2012), and killer whales (Abramson et al., 2018) have been shown to be able to discriminate human speech sounds. Yet, there is currently no information on walruses' ability to discriminate human speech sounds.

The objective of this study was to determine whether walruses could perform different actions when human vocal commands are presented. This study tested whether a male walrus could correctly follow human
vocal commands under various conditions to examine whether the walrus could discriminate auditory cues in the absence of other cues.

Method

Subject

The subject was a male walrus (*Odobenus rosmarus divergens*) named Pou (948 kg in body weight, 9 years old; Figure 1). He was born in Russia and has been kept at Toba Aquarium in Mie prefecture, Japan. He was trained in a variety of performances with the experimenters every day. Another walrus was kept in the same pool; however, this walrus was isolated during the experiment to avoid any possible influence on the experiment.

Figure 1
*The Subject, a Walrus Named Pou.*

Auditory Stimuli

The subject was routinely trained with 15 vocal commands (Table 1); therefore, these commands were familiar to the subject. In the experiments, only 10 of these 15 commands (Table 1) were presented to the subject as the sample stimuli in the test session. These 10 vocal commands were presented by the experimenter to the subject, either out loud or through an audio speaker, as described in the Procedure section. As noted in the Introduction, when the subject heard the vocal stimuli, he performed different actions accordingly.

Table 1
*Human Vocal Commands and their Corresponding Actions*

| Vocal Commands | Actions                             | Commands Presented During the Test |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Ganbaruzo      | Raising the fore-fin while uttering voice | *                                  |
| Hazukashi      | Covering the head with right fore-fin | *                                  |
| O ho ho ho     | Shaking the head up and down while uttering voice | *                                  |
| Onaka          | Beating the belly with right fore-fin | *                                  |
| Ashi           | Extending both hind-fins backward    | *                                  |
| Bye bye        | Shaking the left fore-fin with raising | *                                  |
### Vocal Commands Actions Commands Presented During the Test

| Vocal Commands | Actions                          | Commands Presented During the Test |
|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Bu!            | Uttering a low voice             | *                                  |
| Ban!           | Falling sideways                 | *                                  |
| Dekinai        | Shaking the head left and right  | *                                  |
| Rei            | Bowing                           | *                                  |
| Fuse           | Being in prone position          |                                    |
| Oyaji          | Lying down with flippers folded  |                                    |
| Goron shite    | Facing upward                    |                                    |
| Fuh shite      | Blowing breath strongly          |                                    |
| Maitta         | Holding head with right fore-flipper |                                  |

### Procedure

The experiment took place in the ground space of a pool at the aquarium. The subject was placed on the ground, and the experimenter presented one of the vocal commands listed in Table 1 under the following conditions. When the subject performed the correct action corresponding to the stimulus, he was rewarded with a piece of fish. When he did not respond correctly, the next command was presented after a 3 s interval without any reward. During the experiment, 10-15 trials were performed in each session, and 10 vocal commands were presented in a random order. Three experimenters took part in the experiment, and each experimenter alternated for each session in a random order. Whether the subject responded correctly or not was judged by all three experimenters.

The experiments presented each vocal command under the following three conditions.

**Condition 1:** The subject sat facing the front. The experimenter stood close to the subject (Figure 2) and presented each vocal command out loud (Figure 3a).

**Condition 2:** The experimenter stood close to the subject wearing a cloak and goggles so that the experimenter's eye and body movements would not influence the subject's behavior (Figure 3b). The subject and the experimenter stood in the same position during each trial. Then, the experimenter presented each command vocally.

**Figure 2**
*The Subject and the Experimenter who Stood Close to the Subject*

*Note:* The subject sat facing the front in every trial.
Condition 3: A wooden board (1 m × 2 m) was placed 3 m away from the subject and the experimenter presented the commands from behind the board so that the subject could not see the experimenter (Figure 3c). Then, the experimenter presented each vocal command using their voice. (The subject could hear the experimenter’s voice directly).

Condition 4: As in Condition 3, a wooden board was placed between the experimenter and the subject so that the subject could not see the experimenter. Then, the experimenter presented vocal commands through an audio speaker (Figure 3d).

Figure 3
Schematic Diagram of each Experimental Condition

a. Condition 1

b. Condition 2

c. Condition 3

d. Condition 4

In each condition, 10 vocal commands (Table 1) out of 15 trained commands were presented as a test trial. Each command was presented in a random order; therefore, the number of presentations was not uniform in each session. In each session, 10-15 trials were performed, and the interval between each trial was approximately 3 s. The total number of presentations for each command was 15 in every condition. Each vocal command was presented 10 times in a random order for every condition.

All the research activities adhered to the Ethical Guidelines for the Conduct of Research Animals by Zoo and Aquariums issued by the World Association on Zoos and Aquariums (WAZA), the Code of Ethics issued by the Japanese Association of Zoos and Aquariums (JAZA), and the Japanese Act on Welfare and Management of Animals. All experimental protocols were approved by the Toba Aquarium.

Statistics

Because the subject was trained in 15 commands (Table 1), the chance level was 6.7%. A chi-square test and a binomial test were used to determine whether the frequency of response and the percentages of correct responses were statistically significant.

Results

Accuracy Rate for Each Experimenter

In every condition, the stimuli were presented by three experimenters, and they took turns in a random order in each session (i.e., each experimenter changed per trial in a random order). Then, the accuracy rate for each experimenter was calculated. Figure 4 shows the percentages of correct responses by experimenter. The percentage was high for each experimenter, and there was no significant difference among experimenters ($p = 0.10$ ANOVA), that is, it was demonstrated that there was little difference across experimenters.
In all four conditions, the subject performed actions in response to the stimuli.

**Condition 1:** The frequency of response to each command is shown in Table 2. Although the subject mistook "Onaka" and "Rei" for "Ashi", "Bye bye," and "Fuse" in incorrect responses, the subject performed correct actions for most of the commands. The subject responded correctly to the presented commands for every command ($p < 0.01$, Chi-square test).

**Table 2**

| Frequency of Responses of each Command in Condition 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|  | Ganbaru zo | Hazukashi | Oho ho ho | Onaka | Ashi | Byeye | Bu! | Ban! | Dekinai | Rei | Fuse | Oyaji | Goron shite | Fuh shite | Maitta | No response |
| Ganbaru zo | 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hazukashi | | 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oho ho ho | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Onaka | 12 | 1 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ashi | 14 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Byeye | | | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| Bu! | | | | | | 15 | | | | | | | | |
| Ban! | | | | | | | 15 | | | | | | | |
| Dekinai | | | | | | | | 15 | | | | | | |
| Rei | | | | | | | | | 12 | 3 | | | | | |
Then, the percentages of correct responses for each command were calculated (Figure 5) and indicated that they were above the chance level and/or significance level for every command ($p = 0.05$, Binomial test).

**Figure 5**  
*Percentages of Correct Responses for each Stimulus in Condition 1*

![Graph showing percentages of correct responses for each stimulus in Condition 1.]

*Note:* The solid line indicates the significance level ($p = 0.02 < 0.05$, Binomial test), and the dashed line indicates the chance level.

**Condition 2:** The frequency of responses to each command is shown in Table 3. In response to some commands, the subject sometimes performed an incorrect action. However, with these exceptions, the subject responded correctly, without any confusion, to the presented commands ($p < 0.01$, Chi-square test), even though the experimenter’s eye and body movements were hidden.

**Table 3**  
*Frequency of Responses for each Command in Condition 2*

| Command  | Ganbarazo | Hazukashi | O ho ho ho | Onaka | Ashi | Bye bye | Bu! | Ban! | Dekinai | Rei | Fuse | Oyaji | Goron shite | Fuh shite | Maitta | No response |
|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------|------|---------|-----|------|---------|-----|-------|-------|-------------|-----------|--------|--------------|
| Ganbarazo | 15        |           |            |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |       |       |             |           |        |              |
| Hazukashi |           | 15        |            |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |       |       |             |           |        |              |
| O ho ho ho |           |           | 15         |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |       |       |             |           |        |              |
| Onaka    |           |           |            | 13    |      |         |     |      |         |     |       |       |             |           |        |              |
| Ashi     |           |           |            |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |       |       |             |           |        |              |
| Bye bye  |           |           |            |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |       |       |             |           |        |              |
| Bu!      |           |           |            |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |       |       |             |           |        |              |
| Ban!     |           |           |            |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |       |       |             |           |        |              |
| Dekinai  |           |           |            |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |       |       |             |           |        |              |
| Rei      |           |           |            |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |       |       |             |           |        |              |

| Command  | 15 | 15 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 1 | 11 | 3 |
|----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|---|----|---|
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The percentages of correct responses are shown in Figure 6 and indicated that the subject performed correct actions to the commands significantly for every command ($p = 0.02$, Binomial test).

**Figure 6**

*Percentages of Correct Responses for each Stimulus in Condition 2*

![Figure 6](image)

*Note:* The solid line indicates the significance level ($p = 0.05$, Binomial test) and the dashed line indicates the chance level.

**Condition 3:** The frequency of responses to each command is shown in Table 4. The subject responded incorrectly to some commands. However, he responded correctly for other presented commands ($p < 0.01$, Chi-square test), even though the experimenter was not visible.

**Table 4**

*Frequency of Responses in each Command in Condition 3*

| Command    | Ganbaruzo | Hazukashi | O ho ho ho | Onaka | Ashi | Bye bye | Bu! | Ban! | Dekinai | Rei | Fuse | Oyaji | Goronshte | Fushite | Maitta | No response |
|------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------|------|---------|-----|------|---------|-----|------|-------|------------|---------|--------|--------------|
| Ganbaruzo  | 15        |           |             |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |      |       |            |         |        |              |
| Hazukashi  |           | 9         |             |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |      |       |            |         |        |              |
| O ho ho ho |           |           | 14          |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |      |       |            |         |        |              |
| Onaka      |           |           |             | 9     | 1    |         |     |      |         |     |      |       |            |         | 1      |              |
| Ashi       |           |           |             |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |      |       |            |         |        |              |
| Bye bye    |           |           |             |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |      |       |            |         |        |              |
| Bu!        |           |           |             |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |      |       |            |         |        |              |
| Ban!       |           |           |             |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |      |       |            |         |        |              |
| Dekinai    |           |           |             |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |      |       |            |         |        |              |
| Rei        |           |           |             |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |      |       |            |         |        |              |

| Command    | Ganbaruzo | Hazukashi | O ho ho ho | Onaka | Ashi | Bye bye | Bu! | Ban! | Dekinai | Rei | Fuse | Oyaji | Goronshte | Fushite | Maitta | No response |
|------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------|------|---------|-----|------|---------|-----|------|-------|------------|---------|--------|--------------|
| Ganbaruzo  | 15        |           |             |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |      |       |            |         |        |              |
| Hazukashi  |           | 9         |             |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |      |       |            |         |        |              |
| O ho ho ho |           |           | 14          |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |      |       |            |         |        |              |
| Onaka      |           |           |             | 9     | 1    |         |     |      |         |     |      |       |            |         | 1      |              |
| Ashi       |           |           |             |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |      |       |            |         |        |              |
| Bye bye    |           |           |             |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |      |       |            |         |        |              |
| Bu!        |           |           |             |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |      |       |            |         |        |              |
| Ban!       |           |           |             |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |      |       |            |         |        |              |
| Dekinai    |           |           |             |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |      |       |            |         |        |              |
| Rei        |           |           |             |       |      |         |     |      |         |     |      |       |            |         |        |              |
The percentages of correct responses for each command were high and exceeded the chance level (6.7%) and the significance level \( p = 0.02 \) Binomial test; Figure 7.

**Figure 7**
*Percentages of correct responses for each stimulus in Condition 3*

![Bar chart showing percentages of correct responses for each stimulus in Condition 3.](image)

*Note:* The solid line indicates the significance level \( p = 0.05 \), Binomial test, and the dashed line indicates the chance level.

**Condition 4:** The frequency of responses to each command is shown in Table 5. Even though the experimenter was not visible, the subject responded correctly for most of the presented commands \( p < 0.01 \), Chi-square test.

**Table 5**
*Frequency of Responses for each Command in Condition 4*

| Command       | Ganbaruzo | Hazakashi | O ho ho ho | Onaka | Ashi | Bye bye | Bu! | Ban! | Deki nai | Rei | Fuse | Oyaji | Goron shite | Fuh shite | Maitta | No response |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------|------|---------|-----|------|----------|-----|-------|-------|-------------|-----------|--------|-------------|
| Ganbaruzo     | 15        |           |            |       |      |         |     |      |          |     |       |       |             |           |        |             |
| Hazakashi     |           | 13        | 2          |       |      |         |     |      |          |     |       |       |             |           |        |             |
| O ho ho ho    |           |           | 15         |       |      |         |     |      |          |     |       |       |             |           |        |             |
| Onaka         | 14        | 1         |            |       |      |         |     |      |          |     |       |       |             |           |        |             |
| Ashi          | 14        | 1         |            |       |      |         |     |      |          |     |       |       |             |           |        |             |
| Bye bye       |           |           |            | 15    |      |         |     |      |          |     |       |       |             |           |        |             |
| Bu!           |           |           | 12         | 12    |      |         |     |      |          |     |       |       |             |           |        |             |
| Ban!          | 1         | 12        | 2          |       |      |         |     |      |          |     |       |       |             |           |        |             |
| Deki nai      |           |           |            |       |      | 15      |     |      |          |     |       |       |             |           |        |             |
| Rei           | 1         | 13        |            |       |      |         |     |      |          |     |       |       |             |           |        |             |
The percentages of correct responses for all the commands exceeded the chance levels (6.7%) and were significantly high ($p = 0.02$, Binomial test; Figure 8).

**Figure 8**
*Percentages of Correct Responses for each Stimulus in Condition 4.*

Discussion

The subject responded correctly to almost all of the human vocal commands in every condition, including when the speaker was not visible. However, in Condition 3, the percentages of correct responses were low for some commands. It is thought that the subject did not hear the commands because the board muffled the experimenter's voice. In Condition 4, the subject responded correctly to most of the commands. This means that he was indeed responding to the human speech sounds and not nonvocal cues. Moreover, the same results were obtained for different experimenters. These results demonstrate that the subject could hear and discriminate human speech sounds.

Walruses appear to discriminate other walruses' calls and communicate with one another through their vocalization (Berta & Sumich, 1999). In the present study, it is demonstrated that the walrus could discriminate the vocalization of another species (i.e., human speech sounds). Because the walrus performed actions correctly to the human vocal commands, the walrus could not only discriminate but could also correctly process human speech sounds. That is, it was clarified that the walrus could respond to human vocal commands in an operant conditioning context.

In previous studies, several species have been shown to discriminate and understand human speech sounds. A chimpanzee named Viki was trained to listen to human vocal sounds and imitate them (Hayes, 1951), and a bottlenose dolphin was taught to pronounce human vocal sounds in the form of the alphabet (Lilly, 1961). A male gray parrot named Alex could understand human vocal sounds and was asked to answer several
questions (Pepperberg, 1990, 2002). Moreover, Murayama et al. (2014) described that a male beluga named Nack could discern human speech sounds and imitate them correctly. These studies indicate that some animals can discriminate human speech sounds.

Dolphins could perform correct actions corresponding to presented auditory commands in a condition discrimination task (reviewed in Herman, 1986, 1988; Murayama et al., 2017), that is, dolphins are thought to be able to discriminate auditory stimuli and unite auditory stimuli with corresponding behaviors. In the present study, the walrus responded correctly to most of the vocal commands, suggesting that the walrus was able to convert auditory cues to behavioral responses, as reported with the dolphin (reviewed in Herman, 1986, 1988).

Although the auditory ability of walruses is unclear in many ways, this study demonstrated that walruses could hear and identify human speech sounds using their auditory sense. As mentioned above, artificial sounds synthesized through a computer, gestural languages, lexigrams, and sign languages were employed in dolphins, sea lions, and some primates in the animal language study. However, some vocal sounds were employed in this study. The findings of this study revealed that walrus could distinguish between vocal sounds of other species (i.e., human voice, and understand human vocal commands, as demonstrated in gray parrots; reviewed by Pepperberg, 2002). This may be the key to understanding not only the auditory abilities but also the cognitive abilities of walruses.
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