Repurposing cancer drugs to treat neurological diseases – Src inhibitors as examples

Aberrant cell cycle diseases: The cell cycle is an irreversible, ordered set of events that normally leads to cellular division, consisting of quiescent state (G0), the first gap phase (G1), DNA synthetic phase (S), the second gap phase (G2) and mitosis phase (M). After the cell has split into its two daughter cells, the new cells enter either G1 or G0. Aberrant cell cycle is one of the hallmarks of many tumor cells in cancers, and also observed in postmortem and/or animal studies of dying neurons in a series of neurological diseases, such as Alzheimer's disease (AD), Parkinson's disease (PD), traumatic brain injury (TBI), intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH), epilepsy, cerebral hypoxia-ischemia, and others (Liu and Ander, 2012). Cancer cells keep dividing with uncontrolled cell cycle. By contrast, mature neurons can re-enter cell cycle, but they cannot go through the whole cell cycle processes to regain the G0 state. Since the transitions through the mitotic cell cycle are irreversible processes, the mature neurons that re-enter the cell cycle cannot revert to an earlier G0 either. This presents a critical situation to the neurons from which death may be the only outcome for the mature neurons attempting to complete the cell cycle. Based on these facts, we came up with the new concept of “aberrant cell cycle diseases” that reveals the two different types of diseases – cancers and neurological diseases – are sharing the same mechanism: aberrant cell cycle re-entry (Figure 1) (Liu and Ander, 2012). The similarity of aberrant cell cycle re-entry in cancers and neurological diseases provides a theoretical framework for repurposing cancer drugs to treat neurological diseases. Some cancer drugs that kill tumor cells due to DNA damage or other mechanisms, other than cell cycle inhibition, are beyond the scope of this perspective.

Drug repurposing: Developing a brand-new drug from a promising molecule to market currently takes 12–16 years and costs $2–3 billion on average (Nosengo, 2016). Such enormous amount of time, money and effort is costly due to bottlenecks in the therapeutic development process, during which more than 90% of drugs fail. It is likely an important strategy that repurposing the drugs that have been approved to treat one disease for treating other diseases, as repurposing a drug reduces the time frame to around 6.5 years, and decreases costs to $300 million on average (Nosengo, 2016). A significant advantage of drug repurposing over traditional drug development is that since the repurposed drugs have already passed a significant number of toxicity and other tests in humans, detailed information is available on their safety and the risk of failure for reasons of adverse toxicology are reduced. Repurposing usually builds upon previous research and development efforts; therefore, new candidate therapies could be ready for clinical trials quickly, speeding their review by the FDA and, if approved, their integration into health care.

Cancer drugs that inhibit cell cycle: Under specific environmental and/or pathological conditions, such as exposure of tobacco smoke, benzene, ultraviolet B radiation, mutation of oncogenes/tumor suppressor, and/or enhancement of mitogenic molecules, (e.g., thrombin, integrins, growth factors, cytokines, reactive oxygen species (ROS), and others), abnormal cell cycle re-entry may arise and thus trigger tumorigenesis of normal cells. Although most cancers have multivariate causes, the various causes seem to result in a common outcome - cell cycle re-entry, mediated by mitogenic pathways, such as Src/Ras/Raf/MEK1, 2/ERK1, 2 (Figure 1) (Liu and Ander, 2012). Apart from the core cell cycle molecules- cyclins and cyclin-dependant kinases (CDKs), strategies of interfering with these mitogenic molecules and the signaling pathways have been tested to treat cancer (Liu and Ander, 2012). Although many cancer drugs are able to cause cell cycle arrest, Src inhibitors are focused in this perspective.

Src, Src family members, cell type specificity, structure and activity: Role of the first identified viral oncopogene v-Src in oncogene-sis led to the discovery of a family of nonreceptor tyrosine kinases-Src family kinases that include at least nine family members: c-Src (the cellular counterpart of v-Src), Fyn, Hck, Lck, Lyn, Blk, Fgr, Yes and Yrk (Parsons and Parsons, 2004; Salter and Kalia, 2004). The expression of Src family members are tissue and cell type specific. Although c-Src, Fyn, Yes and Yrk are expressed ubiquitously in all cell types, others (e.g., Hck, Lck, Lyn, Blk and Fgr) are generally found in brain and hematopoietic cells (Parsons and Parsons, 2004; Salter and Kalia, 2004). Note that brain and platelets express 5–200 fold higher levels of Src kinases than most other cells (Brown and Cooper, 1996). In addition, different Src kinases are often found to compensate for one another, such as c-Src and Fyn (Stein et al., 1994). Structurally, Src family members share a conserved domain structure consisting of consecutive SH3 (proline polyproline type II helix for protein-protein interaction), SH2 (phosphotyrosine recognition), and SH1 (tyrosine kinase catalytic activity) (Boggon and Eck, 2004). They also contain a membrane-targeting region at their N-terminus that is followed by a unique domain of 50–70 residues, which is divergent among family members (Boggon and Eck, 2004). Although it is still incompletely clear, Src activity is regulated by tyrosine phosphorylation at two sites (one is at Tyr416 in the SH1 domain, the other at Tyr527 in the short C-terminal tail), but with opposing effects. Tyr416 phosphorylation activates Src, whereas Tyr527 phosphorylation results in inactivation (Boggon and Eck, 2004).

Src up-stream activators, down-stream effectors, and self-initiated inhibitors: Src can be activated by many trans-membrane receptors, such as adhesion receptors, tyrosine kinase receptors, G protein-coupled receptors, cytokine receptors, and others (Tatosyan and Mizzena, 2000). This unique feature of Src makes them a point of convergence that receives initiating signaling from a large number of mitogenic molecules, such as thrombin, integrins, LPS, ROS, and others (Figure 1). Src activation stimulates multiple down-stream effectors, including JNK, P38 and Erk mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs), and others (Figure 1). Src can initiate negative feedback to prevent their sustained activation via recruitment of inhibitory factor C-terminal Src (Csk) (Place et al., 2011). The feedback loop consists of Src activation leading to phosphorylation of Csk binding protein (Cbp), and the phosphorylated Cbp targets Csk to Src and promotes inhibitory Csk phosphorylation of Src (Kaimachnikov and Khodolenko, 2009).

Src in cancer therapy: Due to aberrant activation of Src up-stream activators or mutations in Src or Csk, Src can be activated. Abnormal Src activation has been observed in tumors from colon, liver, lung, breast and the pancreas. Currently, there are several Src inhibitors (e.g., dasatinib, bosutinib, saracatinib, AZD0424) in clinical trials for a variety of solid tumors including breast and lung cancers (Roskoski, 2015), and two Src inhibitors (dasatinib, bosutinib) have been approved as prescription drugs to treat adults who have Philadelphia chromosome-positive chronic myelogenous leukemia but no longer benefit from or did not tolerate other treatments (Roskoski, 2015).

Src in neurological diseases: Recent evidence shows that transient Src activation contributes to cell death of neurons via cell cycle re-entry following acute brain injury, such as TBI. A large number of studies have revealed that the molecules released following acute brain injury (e.g., adenosine, thrombin, cytokines, ROS) can activate Src, and the over-activated Src causes neurons to enter the aberrant cell cycle and results in post-mitotic death. Apart from acute brain injury, abnormal Src activation has been reported in degenerative neurological disease, such as AD. Moreover, Src inhibitors (PP2, AZD0530) have been tested for the treatment of TBI, AD and other neurological diseases (Liu et al., 2010, 2014; Dhawan and Combs, 2012; Nygaard et al., 2014). In addition to tumorigenesis and neurodegeneration, Src signaling also play important roles in proliferation of neural progenitor cells (NPCs) that exist throughout the mammalian brain and serve as a...
The molecules and related mitogenic pathways contributing to the aberrant cell cycle re-entry that is associated with not only tumorigenesis in cancers but also neuronal death in neurological diseases. The arrows do not necessarily indicate direct binding and/or activation of the downstream molecules; intermediate proteins or kinases may exist. Cdk2: Cyclin-dependent kinase 2; COX2: cyclooxygenase 2; eNOS: endothelial nitric oxide synthase; ERK: extracellular signal-regulated kinase; FAK: focal adhesion kinase; G0: quiescent state; G1: first gap phase; G2: second gap phase; GPCR: G protein–coupled receptor; GSK3β: glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta; IP3: inositol trisphosphate; JAK: Janus kinase; JNK: c-Jun N-terminal kinases; KDR: vascular endothelial growth factor receptor; MEK: methyl ethyl ketone; MLK: mixed lineage kinases; mTOR: mechanistic target of rapamycin; PKC: protein kinase C; PLC: phospholipase C; Rac1: ras-related C3 botulinum toxin substrate 1; ROS: reactive oxygen species; S: DNA synthetic phase; STAT: signal transducer and activator of transcription. Figure 1 The schematic of "aberrant cell cycle diseases".
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