Dosimetry and optimal scan time of $[^{18}\text{F}]$SiTATE-PET/CT in patients with neuroendocrine tumours
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Abstract

Purpose Radiolabelled somatostatin analogues targeting somatostatin receptors (SSR) are well established for combined positron emission tomography/computer tomography (PET/CT) imaging of neuroendocrine tumours (NET). $[^{18}\text{F}]$SiTATE has recently been introduced showing high image quality, promising clinical performance and improved logistics compared to the clinical reference standard $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-TOC. Here we present the first dosimetry and optimal scan time analysis.

Methods Eight NET patients received a $[^{18}\text{F}]$SiTATE-PET/CT (250 ± 66 MBq) with repeated emission scans (10, 30, 60, 120, 180 min after injection). Biodistribution in normal organs and SSR-positive tumour uptake were assessed. Dosimetry estimates for risk organs were determined using a combined linear-monoexponential model, and by applying $^{18}$F S-values and reference target masses for the ICRP89 adult male or female (OLINDA 2.0). Tumour-to-background ratios were compared quantitatively and visually between different scan times.

Results After 1 h, normal organs showed similar tracer uptake with only negligible changes until 3 h post-injection. In contrast, tracer uptake by tumours increased progressively for almost all types of metastases, thus increasing tumour-to-background ratios over time. Dosimetry resulted in a total effective dose of 0.015 ± 0.004 mSv/MBq. Visual evaluation revealed no clinically relevant discrepancies between later scan times, but image quality was rated highest in 60 and 120 min images.

Conclusion $[^{18}\text{F}]$SiTATE-PET/CT in NET shows overall high tumour-to-background ratios from 60 to 180 min after injection and an effective dose comparable to $^{68}$Ga-labelled alternatives. For clinical use of $[^{18}\text{F}]$SiTATE, the best compromise between image quality and tumour-to-background contrast is reached at 120 min, followed by 60 min after injection.
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Introduction

Well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumours (NET) are characterised by an overexpression of somatostatin receptors (SSR) on the cell surface [1] which serve as a target for radiolabelled somatostatin analogues (SSA) used for both diagnostics and therapy (theranostics) [2, 3]. Considering improved detection rates especially in early disease stages, SSR-targeted combined positron emission tomography/computer tomography (PET/CT) contributes to the increasing incidence of this overall heterogeneous group of neoplasms [4]. PET/CT with clinically established 68Ga-labelled SSAs is recommended in current guidelines for diagnosis and staging, restaging, management decisions and monitoring treatment response in neuroendocrine neoplasms [5, 6] and [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-TOC/DOTA-TATE has been approved by the FDA and EMA [7].

However, the generator-based approach of 68Ga is accompanied by high costs due to limited availability of FDA- and EMA-approved 68Ge-68Ga generators and low activity amounts after single elution for a maximum of three to four patients per synthesis [8]. The relatively short half-life (68 min) and high positron energy with a maximum of 1.9 MeV lead to a suboptimal spatial resolution, and further impact clinical applicability [9, 10]. Compared to 68Ga, production of 18F is possible with lower costs for nuclear medicine centres with access to a cyclotron, showing a more practical half-life (110 min) and favourably lower positron energy with a maximum of 635 keV [11, 12]. Therefore, 18F-labelled SSA SiTATE (formerly known as 18F-SiFA/n-TATE) represents a promising alternative for NET PET imaging [13]. The synthesis of [18F]SiTATE is based on a one-step 19F-18F isotopic exchange reaction [14, 15] and has been automated on a Scintomics GRPTM platform [16]. Recently published first-in-human data indicated favourable characteristics of [18F]SiTATE, i.e. high image quality and significantly higher tracer uptake in most tumour lesions including the liver, lymph nodes and bone metastases [17, 18]. Despite the higher uptake in the liver and spleen, tumour-to-liver (TLR) and tumour-to-spleen ratios (TSR) were proven to be comparable to those of [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-TOC and excellent inter-observer agreement between radiologists underlines the applicability in clinical routine [17].

The aim of this study was to investigate the normal-tissue biodistribution and tumour uptake of [18F]SiTATE for different imaging scan times. Furthermore, the radiation exposure of [18F]SiTATE-PET/CT was estimated based on longitudinal measurements. For use in clinical routine, quantitative and visual evaluation of tumour delineation served to determine the optimal scan time for PET/CT diagnostics.

Materials and methods

Patient enrolment

All patients were referred for imaging by their treating endocrinologist and/or oncologist between February and October 2020 and gave written informed consent to undergo [18F]SiTATE-PET/CT following the regulations of the German Pharmaceuticals Act. The study was performed in compliance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and its subsequent amendments [19], and with the approval of the local ethics committee (approval number 20-1077). No patient reported any unforeseen symptoms. No drug-related pharmacologic effects or physiologic responses occurred. Six male and two female patients with differentiated neuroendocrine tumours (G1 n = 2, G2 n = 5, G3 n = 1) and a median age of 68 (range 44–80) presented for [18F]SiTATE-PET/CT at our department. Primary tumour locations included the ileum (n = 4), pancreas (n = 1), lung (n = 1) and kidney (n = 1); in one patient, no primary tumour was detectable (carcinoma of unknown primary). Most of the patients underwent peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (n = 5) and/or surgery (n = 4) prior to imaging. Detailed patient characteristics are provided in Table 1.

PET/CT imaging

SiTATE was obtained from ABX, Advanced Biomedical Compounds (Dresden, Germany), and [18F]SiTATE was synthesised as described previously [14, 15]. All quality control data met the release criteria. [18F]SiTATE-PET/CT scans were acquired at the Department of Nuclear Medicine, LMU Munich on a Siemens Biograph mCT flow (Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany). After intravenous injection of 3 ± 1 MBq/kg (mean 250 ± 66 MBq, range 114 to 320, injected peptide mass 2.28 ± 1.05 µg) of [18F]SiTATE, PET scans were acquired 10, 30, 60, 120 and 180 min after injection for 15–20 min (in flow mode depending on the body height). Prior to all scans, blood samples were taken from most patients (n = 7) and patients were asked to empty the bladder if necessary. In seven patients, contrast-enhanced CT scans with 1.5 mL of iopromide (Ultravist-300, Bayer Healthcare, Leverkusen, Germany) per kilogramme of body weight were performed for anatomic localisation; the remaining case received diagnostic CT scan without contrast enhancement. All patients were asked for discomfort or unusual symptoms after tracer injection and between all scans. The PET scan was acquired by static emission data with a scan speed of 0.7 mm/s for both neck and abdominal region and 0.9 mm/s for the lung region in flow mode. With CT scans serving for attenuation correction, PET images were reconstructed with a transaxial 200 × 200 matrix using TrueX.
Image analysis was performed using a dedicated software package (Hermes Hybrid Viewer, Hermes Medical Solutions, Stockholm, Sweden). All metastatic lesions included were identified and measured by the senior author (H.I.). Tumour uptake in patients was assessed by SUV\textsubscript{max} and SUV\textsubscript{mean} (threshold 50% of max) measurements for all scan times as described previously [17]. To assess the biodistribution of normal organs, spherical VOIs were placed inside the organ parenchyma using a 1-cm-diameter VOI for small organs (thyroid, parotid gland, myocardium, adrenal glands) and a 2-cm-diameter VOI for muscle, liver, spleen, kidney, fat tissue, aortic lumen (descending aorta), lung, bone (femur), uterus, prostate, pancreas (tail), small intestine and colon. Because of the high variation in volume, the urinary bladder content was measured by placing a VOI around the whole urinary bladder, separately for each single image, with volume correction. Tumour-to-liver ratios (TLR) and tumour-to-spleen ratios (TSR) were calculated for the most frequent tumour lesion types (bone, liver, lymph nodes, peritoneal) according to the clinically relevant Krenning score which has been evaluated for SSTR-PET imaging [20, 21].

Radiation dosimetry estimate

Patient-related time activity information was gathered during the biodistribution analysis. The time-integrated activity was assessed by using a combined linear-monoeponential model; i.e. linear interpolation of time activity data points was performed until the maximum uptake, followed by a monoeponential fit to the subsequent data points to describe the time activity curve (TAC) post-maximum. Regarding the description of the blood TAC, a bi-exponential model was employed. S-values for \( ^{18} \text{F} \) were based on the ICRP89 reference adult male and female (OLINDA 2.0) [22]. The effective dose was determined using ICRP103 tissue weighting factors (OLINDA 2.0). Regarding the total bone marrow absorbed dose, the cross-absorbed dose from biodistribution organs and the remainder of the body was considered, as well as the absorbed dose from blood activity circulation. The latter employed the patient haematocrit to derive the red marrow-to-blood activity concentration ratio [23]. All dosimetry results are reported with respect to ICRP89 reference adult male or female organ masses.

Visual analysis

Images of later scan times (60, 120, 180 min p.i.) with higher tumour-to-background ratios compared to earlier scan times

#### Table 1

| No. | Sex | Age (y) | Primary tumour | Time since initial diagnosis (m) | Tumour-grade (Ki-67) | Localisation of tumours/metastases | Prior therapies | Creatinine [mg/dl]/GFR [ml/min] | MBq Injected peptide | MBq Injected peptide mass [μg] |
|-----|-----|---------|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1   | M   | 63      | Ileum          | 120                             | G2 (5%)             | HEP, PER                         | Surgery, PRRT, SSA | 1.1/71                        | 272                 | 220 n.a.                      |
| 2   | M   | 63      | Lung           | 61                              | G2 (10%)            | OSS                              | Surgery, RT, CTx   | 1.2/66                        | 220                 | 114 1.24                      |
| 3   | F   | 67      | Kidney         | 248                             | G2 (6%)             | HEP, OSS, LYM                    | Surgery, PRRT, Agevi | 0.9/66                        | 240                 | 14.7/418                      |
| 4   | F   | 44      | CUP            | 1                               | G2 (5%)             | LYM                              | No              | 0.9/80                        | 283                 | 1.3/193                      |
| 5   | M   | 72      | Ileum          | 60                              | G2 (4%)             | LYM                              | SSA, PRRT         | 1.3/56                        | 316                 | 1.1/82                        |
| 6   | M   | 72      | LYM            | 153                             | G2 (5%)             | HEP, LYM                         | CSA, PRRT         | 1.3/53                        | 320                 | 1.3/193                      |
| 7   | M   | 69      | Pancreas       | 16                              | G2 (15%)            | HEP                              | SSA, PRRT         | 1/71                          | 234                 | 1.7/77                        |
| 8   | M   | 76      | Ileum          | 85                              | G1 (2%)             | HEP                              | SSA, PRRT         | 1/71                          | 234                 | 1.7/77                        |

M, male; F, female; CUP, carcinoma of unknown primary; HEP, liver; PER, pancreas; OSS, bone; LYM, lymph node; PRRT, peptide receptor radionuclide therapy ([\(^{177}\text{Lu}\)]\text{Lu-DOTA-TATE}); SSA, somatostatin analogues; RT, radiotherapy; CT, chemotherapy; LAR, long-acting release; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; n.a., not available.
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(10, 30 min p.i.) were compared visually by six readers (three less experienced resident nuclear medicine physicians with an average of 2.3 years of experience in SSA-PET: LB, LM, FV; three more experienced senior nuclear medicine physicians with an average of 10.7 years of SSA-PET experience: RT, AT, MU) blinded to the acquisition starting point (to guarantee an objective, uninfluenced rating), assessing the image quality (1 excellent, 2 good, 3 moderate, 4 poor, 5 nondiagnostic) and amount of detectable metastases (0 non-metastatic, 1 uni = 1, 2 oligo = 2-5, 3 multi > 5/disseminated). The readers had access to clinical background information and received series numbers of the different scan times in random order. The images were rated separately and not in conjunction. For both the less experienced and more experienced readers, the majority read was used for illustration of results.

Statistical analysis

Data are reported as mean or median ± standard deviation as stated. Tumour-to-background ratios (tumour-to-liver SUV\textsubscript{mean}, tumour-to-spleen SUV\textsubscript{mean}) were compared between scan times using a one-way analysis of variance. Image quality ratings between different scan times were compared using a Friedman test for multiple comparisons between both less and more experienced readers. GraphPad Prism (version 8.4.3, GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) was used for statistical analysis and illustration of results. A significance level of \( p < 0.05 \) was applied in all analyses.

Results

Biodistribution and dosimetry estimate

[\textsuperscript{18}F]SiTATE showed a fast blood pool washout over time. Mean counts per millilitre decreased by 78 ± 13% from 10 to 30 min and by 87 ± 8% from 10 to 60 min after injection. In one patient, blood sampling was not possible due to difficult venous access. For blood activity curves of all remaining patients, see Fig. 1.

The normal organs showed a constant tracer uptake after 1 h with only minimal changes between 1 and 3 h post-injection. The highest SUV\textsubscript{max} and SUV\textsubscript{mean} were noted in the urinary bladder, the spleen, the kidneys and the adrenal glands, followed by the liver, small intestine, prostate (\( n = 6 \)), pancreas, thyroid, aortic lumen, uterus (\( n = 1 \)) and colon. Figure 2 shows the biodistribution in all normal organs over time. Low SUV\textsubscript{max} (< 2.0) and SUV\textsubscript{mean} (< 1.4) values were observed in the myocardium, parotid gland, bone, muscle, lung and fat tissue (data not shown in the graph).

The approximated dosimetry for all patients together is presented in Table 2. The effective dose of [\textsuperscript{18}F]SiTATE was 0.015 ± 0.004 mSv/MBq for all patients (0.022 ± 0.006/0.014 ± 0.003 mSv/Bq for female/male patients), resulting in an effective dose of 3.8 mSv for a mean injected activity of 250 MBq.

Tumour uptake and tumour-to-background ratios

A total of 68 metastatic lesions (bone \( n = 41 \), liver \( n = 15 \), lymph node \( n = 8 \), peritoneal \( n = 4 \)) were assessed in all patients. Images (maximum intensity projections) from all scan times and corresponding activity curves of three exemplary metastases (bone, liver, lymph node) in two exemplary patient cases are shown in Fig. 3.

Tumour uptake increased for all types of metastases from time of injection to 60 min after injection, and further increased for almost all types of metastases (expect peritoneal lesions) until 180 min after injection. Comparing the different scan times, tumour-to-background ratios were significantly higher for later scan times for both TLR and TSR (SUV\textsubscript{mean} ratios TLR 60 min vs. 120 min: 2.7 ± 1.7 vs. 3.5 ± 2.1, + 28%, \( p < 0.001 \); 60 min vs. 180 min: 2.7 ± 1.7 vs. 4.0 ± 2.3, + 48%, \( p < 0.001 \); 120 min vs. 180 min: 3.5 ± 2.1 vs. 4.0 ± 2.3, + 16%, \( p < 0.001 \); SUV\textsubscript{mean} ratios TSR 60 min vs. 120 min: 0.8 ± 0.6 vs. 1.0 ± 0.8, + 18%, \( p < 0.001 \); 60 min vs. 180 min: 0.8 ± 0.6 vs. 1.1 ± 0.8, + 27%, \( p < 0.001 \); 120 min vs. 180 min: 1.0 ± 0.8 vs. 1.1 ± 0.8, + 8%, \( p = 0.010 \)). Absolute tumour uptake values and TLR/TSR ratios for all scan times are illustrated for different metastatic lesion types in Fig. 4.

Visual evaluation of different scan times

Image quality was rated excellent or good in the majority (80%) of cases. Images acquired after 60 and 120 min p.i. did not show significantly different ratings for both less (\( p = \) \( \cdots \) Springer
0.35) and more experienced readers \( (p = 1.00) \). For less experienced readers, the highest score was reached for 120 min p.i. images with \( 1.4 \pm 0.5 \), followed by 180 min p.i. with \( 1.7 \pm 0.7 \) and 60 min p.i. with \( 1.9 \pm 0.5 \), but differences were not statistically significant (60 vs. 120 min: \( p = 0.347 \), 120 vs. 180 min: \( p > 0.999 \)). The more experienced readers equally preferred the 60 min p.i. (1.8 ± 0.9) and 120 min p.i. (1.8 ± 0.7) images; 180 min p.i. images were rated worse (2.5 ± 0.7) when compared to both 60 min p.i. \( (p = 0.04) \) and 120 min p.i. \( (p = 0.03) \) images. For a visualisation of image quality ratings, see Fig. 5.

All images were also rated according to the amount of metastatic tumour lesions (bone, liver, lymph node, peritoneal) for all patients. Of all rated images and tumour lesions (0 ratings), no discrepancies were found between 60 and 120 min p.i. images. Between those two and 180 min p.i. images, only two discrepancies were found for less experienced readers (patient no. 2: oligo-metastatic vs. multi-metastatic in liver metastasis and patient no. 7: uni-metastatic vs. oligo-metastatic in bone metastases), whereas no deviations were found for more experienced readers.

Comparing less and more experienced readers, discrepancies occurred equally frequently for all scan times (6/40). Figure 6 comprises all rating results separately for all patients.

As stated, the majority read is displayed for both less and more experienced readers.

**Discussion**

\([^{18}\text{F}]\text{SiTATE}\) recently emerged as a promising \( ^{18}\text{F}\)-labelled SSR-targeting peptide, challenging the \( ^{68}\text{Ga}\)-labelled clinical reference standards for imaging of NET [11, 24] as recommended in the current guidelines [5, 6]. As described previously, radiosynthesis was proven to be feasible and efficient [16, 17]. For a broad application in clinical practice, further data on tracer kinetics is necessary to estimate dosimetry and to determine the optimal scan time for PET/CT imaging with \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{SiTATE}\). In the present study, we provided first dosimetry data of \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{SiTATE}\) imaging in eight NET patients indicating long-lasting tracer uptake and excellent tumour-to-background ratios for later scan times. Furthermore, the radiation exposure was slightly lower compared to \( ^{68}\text{Ga}\)-labelled alternatives.

Considering amongst others the favourable logistics and radiosynthesis as well as the prolonged half-life of \( ^{18}\text{F}\), radiotracer development aims to provide \( ^{18}\text{F}\)-labelled alternatives...
Table 2  Dosimetry estimates (OLINDA) for $[^{18}F]$SiTATE and the ICRP89 reference adult organ masses

| Site                  | Absorbed organ dose (mSv/MBq) | Female $n=2$ | Male $n=6$ | All $n=8$ |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|
| Adrenal glands        | 0.130                         | 0.076        | 0.087      |           |
| Brain                 | 0.007                         | 0.006        | 0.006      |           |
| Breasts               | 0.009                         |              | 0.009      |           |
| Oesophagus            | 0.015                         | 0.010        | 0.011      |           |
| Eyes                  | 0.007                         | 0.006        | 0.006      |           |
| Gallbladder wall      | 0.024                         | 0.018        | 0.018      |           |
| Lower large intestine wall | 0.017                  | 0.012        | 0.013      |           |
| Small intestine       | 0.028                         | 0.018        | 0.020      |           |
| Stomach               | 0.023                         | 0.012        | 0.015      |           |
| Upper large intestine wall | 0.021                  | 0.015        | 0.016      |           |
| Rectum                | 0.013                         | 0.010        | 0.011      |           |
| Heart wall            | 0.019                         | 0.016        | 0.015      |           |
| Kidneys               | 0.129                         | 0.095        | 0.100      |           |
| Liver                 | 0.071                         | 0.040        | 0.044      |           |
| Lungs                 | 0.015                         | 0.011        | 0.011      |           |
| Ovaries               | 0.013                         |              | 0.013      |           |
| Pancreas              | 0.043                         | 0.026        | 0.028      |           |
| Salivary glands       | 0.015                         | 0.024        | 0.012      |           |
| Prostate              | –                             | 0.012        | 0.012      |           |
| Red marrow            | 0.016                         | 0.011        | 0.012      |           |
| Bone surface          | 0.010                         | 0.007        | 0.008      |           |
| Spleen                | 0.294                         | 0.107        | 0.144      |           |
| Testes                | –                             | 0.007        | 0.007      |           |
| Thymus                | 0.011                         | 0.008        | 0.008      |           |
| Thyroid               | 0.023                         | 0.014        | 0.015      |           |
| Urinary bladder wall  | 0.034                         | 0.046        | 0.042      |           |
| Uterus                | 0.013                         |              | 0.013      |           |
| Effective dose (mSv/MBq) | 0.022±0.006                 | 0.014±0.003  | 0.015±0.004 |           |

As already shown during the patient-wise comparison between $[^{18}F]$SiTATE and $[^{68}Ga]$Ga-DOTA-TOC, tumour-to-background ratios did not significantly differ for most tumour lesions and broadly indicated sufficient contrast when imaged 60 min after tracer injection [17]. This could be confirmed via the longitudinal measurements presented in this study. Whereas tracer uptake in normal organs remained stable from 60 to 180 min after injection, tumour uptake further increased for almost all types of metastases (except peritoneal lesions), leading to even higher tumour-to-background ratios for later scan times. In contrast to those findings, longitudinal measurements of $[^{68}Ga]$Ga-DOTA-JR11 in examples of a cohort of 20 patients showed a constant decrease of tracer uptake in liver metastasis post-peak between 20 and 40 min p.i. [31].

A higher tumour-to-background contrast for later scan times raises the question of the optimal acquisition starting point. It has already been shown for other radiotracers that later scan times potentially lower uptake in the blood pool and normal organs and thereby increase the tumour-to-background contrast [32]. Nevertheless, a longer waiting period between tracer injection and PET/CT acquisition is accompanied by complicated patient logistics with respect to clinical routine and significantly longer waiting times. Therefore, a diagnostic benefit of later acquisitions must be demonstrated. Despite the fact that the highest tumour-to-background contrasts were observed for 180 min p.i., image quality was rated significantly worse by experienced readers compared to 60 and 120 min p.i. images, probably due to the lower count statistics and consequently increased noise. Interestingly, less experienced readers were not misguided by the higher noise 180 min p.i., potentially because higher contrasts increased their diagnostic confidence and thereby had a positive influence on their image quality rating. Overall, no clinical relevant discrepancies could be displayed between different scan times for the detection of metastatic lesions (between visually evaluated scan times 60, 120 and 180 min p.i.). Comparing 60 min p.i. and 120 min p.i. images, quality was rated equal by the more experienced readers and slightly better at 120 min p.i. by the less experienced readers. However, evaluation of all metastatic sites between these two scan times was identical separately for both less and more experienced readers. Although 120 min p.i. seems to be the optimum regarding image quality, the obtained results suggest that imaging 60 min p.i. will not result in a decreased detection rate. We will implement a modified clinical protocol that schedules an image acquisition start approximately 90 min after injection, which we regard as the best compromise between optimum image quality and logistical considerations. Similar results have been reported for $[^{18}F]$Al-1,4,7-triazacyclononane-1,4,7-tri-acetate-octreotide ($[^{18}F]$AlF-OC), a SSR-targeting imaging agent synthesised using the chelator-based Al$^{18}$F-method [33, 34], with increasing TBR for liver, bone and lymph node metastases at scan times from 60 to 180 min. The biodistribution and absorbed dose in normal organs was comparable to $[^{68}Ga]$Ga-DOTA-TOC and $[^{68}Ga]$Ga-DOTA-TATE, with the urinary bladder and spleen being exposed to the highest radiotracer uptake followed by the kidneys and adrenal glands [30].
Comparable to our study, the detection rate was not influenced by increasing TBR over time [33].

Based on dosimetry data, the mean radiation exposure of 3.8 mSv for 250 MBq [18F]SiTATE in our cohort is in agreement with the range of former studies dealing with [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-TOC or [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-TATE (2.1–4.8 mSv) [29–31], although the effective dose per administered activity implies a slightly lower exposure for [18F]SiTATE. This can be explained by higher injected activities used in this study (250 ± 66 MBq [18F]SiTATE) compared to the reference studies (91 ± 19 MBq [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-TOC [30], 87 ± 16 MBq/185 MBq [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-TATE [29, 30], 185 ± 2 MBq [68Ga]Ga-DOTA-JR11 [31]). As illustrated in Fig. 3, which compares imaging results for the patients who received the lowest (114 MBq) and nearly the highest (316 MBq) activities, the high tumour-to-background contrast characteristic of [18F]SiTATE leads to a sufficient image quality also for lower activities (1.4 MBq/kg for this example). These findings suggest that lower administered activities between 100 and 120 MBq (1.3–1.5 MBq/kg for 80 kg) might be sufficient (resulting in an average radiation exposure of 1.7 mSv), but studies with higher patient numbers are needed to confirm this assumption.

The major limitations of this study are the small sample size and heterogeneity of our study cohort with a wide range of injected activities due to the clinical routine setting. We included patients with different neuroendocrine tumour subtypes (not only gastroenteropancreatic NET) for a...
representative patient selection from the clinical routine and transferability for all different types of tumour entities.

Considering a possible tumour sink effect on healthy organ dosimetry [35], a higher number of patients would be

**Fig. 4** Tumour uptake values (a $SUV_{\text{max}}$ and b $SUV_{\text{mean}}$) and tumour-to-background ratios (c TLR $SUV_{\text{max}}$, d TLR $SUV_{\text{mean}}$, e TSR $SUV_{\text{max}}$, f TSR $SUV_{\text{mean}}$) for all types of metastatic lesions (bone, liver, lymph nodes, peritoneal) and different scan times. SUV, standardised uptake value; TLR, tumour-to-liver; TSR, tumour-to-spleen.

**Fig. 5** Image quality ratings of acquisition starting points 60, 120 and 180 min after injection. The lines with dots display average ratings separately for LER (grey line) and MER (black line). LER, less experienced readers; MER, more experienced readers; p.i., post-injection.
favourable. Furthermore, considering the half-life of $^{18}$F, later acquisition times (e.g. additional scans at 4 and 5 h p.i.) would have been favourable for dosimetry. However, as patients included in these analyses all suffer from metastatic disease with reduced general health condition, only a small subset will tolerate longitudinal measurements over a total period of 3.5 h.

Conclusions

Compared to clinical reference standards, $[^{18}F]$SiTATE shows slightly lower radiation exposure and high tumour-to-background ratios in different metastatic lesion types, increasing over time. For use in clinical practice, the best imaging strategy as a compromise between image quality and tumour-to-background contrast is reached 120 min after injection, with 60 min p.i. as a close second.
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