Identification of the Major Prostaglandin Glycerol Ester Hydrolase in Human Cancer Cells
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Background: Prostaglandin glycerol esters are rapidly hydrolyzed in biological systems.

Results: Complementary approaches demonstrated that lysophospholipase A2 hydrolyzes prostaglandin glycerol esters.

Conclusion: Lysophospholipase A2 is a major prostaglandin glycerol ester-specific hydrolase in human cancer cells.

Significance: Perturbation of lysophospholipase A2 provides a means to understand prostaglandin glycerol ester function in vivo.

Prostaglandin glycerol esters (PG-Gs) are produced as a result of the oxygenation of the endocannabinoid, 2-arachidonoylglycerol, by cyclooxygenase 2. Understanding the role that PG-Gs play in a biological setting has been difficult because of their sensitivity to enzymatic hydrolysis. By comparing PG-G hydrolysis across human cancer cell lines to serine hydrolase activities determined by activity-based protein profiling, we identified lysophospholipase A2 (LYPLA2) as a major enzyme responsible for PG-G hydrolysis. The principal role played by LYPLA2 in PGE$_2$-G hydrolysis was confirmed by siRNA knockdown. Purified recombinant LYPLA2 hydrolyzed PG-Gs in the following order of activity: PGE$_2$$_3$-G > PGF$_{2a}$-G > PGG$_{2a}$-G; LYPLA2 hydrolyzed 1- but not 2-arachidonoylglycerol or arachidonoyl-ethanolamide. Chemical inhibition of LYPLA2 in the mouse macrophage-like cell line, RAW264.7, elicited an increase in PG-G production. Our data indicate that LYPLA2 serves as a major PG-G hydrolase in human cells. Perturbation of this enzyme should enable selective modulation of PG-Gs without alterations in endocannabinoids, thereby providing a means to decipher the unique functions of PG-Gs in biology and disease.

Endocannabinoids are a class of arachidonic acid (AA)$^2$-containing bioactive lipids that have myriad physiological functions (1–7). Key endocannabinoids include 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) and arachidonylethanolamide (AEA), which are produced from membrane phospholipids and initiate cellular responses through interactions with the cannabinoid receptors, CB1 and CB2 (5–7). Their effects are mitigated through metabolism by the serine hydrolases, monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL), α/β-hydrolase domain-containing proteins 6 and 12 (ABHD6 and ABHD12), and fatty acid amide hydrolase (8–12). In addition to these lipases, endocannabinoids have been shown to be selective substrates for cyclooxygenase enzymes, particularly cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2). Oxidation of 2-AG by COX-2, followed by metabolism by prostaglandin synthases, results in the production of prostaglandin glycerol esters (PG-Gs, Fig. 1). These lipids are of growing interest because they elicit a wide array of cellular responses, including activation of calcium mobilization, modulation of synaptic transmission, induction of hyperalgesia, exacerbation of neurotoxicity and neuroinflammation, and stimulation of anti-inflammatory effects upon lipopolysaccharide stimulation (13–19).

Establishing the physiological relevance of PG-Gs in vivo has been a significant challenge due to their sensitivity to enzymatic hydrolysis to PGs (20). PG-Gs are hydrolyzed in vitro by MAGL (21, 22), α,β-hydrolase-6 (ABHD6) (23), α,β-hydrolase-12 (ABHD12) (23), carboxylesterase-1 (CES1), and palmitoyl-protein thioesterase-1 (PPT1) (24). CES1 and PPT1 have been shown to metabolize PG-Gs in human THP1 cells (24). CES1, a xenobiotic-metabolizing enzyme that is expressed in high amounts in the liver, hydrolyzes a wide array of substrates, ranging from ester and amide-containing xenobiotics (25) to long chain fatty acid esters and thioesters (26) and cholesterol esters from lipid droplets (26, 27). Similarly, PPT1, a lysosomal hydrolase, has multiple substrates; however, it is predominantly responsible for the depalmitoylation of a number of proteins as well as hydrolysis of palmitoyl-CoA and palmitoyl thioglycoside (28, 29). Consistent with the wide substrate acceptance exhibited by CES1 and PPT1, both enzymes are capable of hydrolyzing PG-Gs and 2-AG (24, 30, 31). In THP1 monocytes, the hydrolysis of 2-AG is almost entirely attributed to CES1, with minor involvement of PPT1 (24, 30, 31). Kinetic analysis of...
both enzymes showed almost 2-fold greater catalytic turnover for 2-AG than for PG-Gs (31).

We chose to investigate the hydrolase responsible for PG-G metabolism in human cancer cell lines because of the high PGE$_2$-G hydrolytic activity detected in preliminary experiments, the ease of cell maintenance, and the potential for straightforward biochemical and genetic manipulation. The various enzymes described above are serine hydrolases, so we explored the possibility that the PGE$_2$-G hydrolase(s) in human cancer cells is(are) a member of this superfamily. Serine hydrolases are a diverse class of enzymes that include lipases, proteases, and esterases (32, 33), and many class members are involved in lipid biosynthesis and metabolism (9–12). A unifying feature of the serine hydrolase family is a catalytic mechanism that involves the activation of a serine nucleophile for attack on substrates containing esters, amides, or thioester bonds (33). This conserved mechanism has enabled the development of irreversible fluorophosphonate probes that can covalently modify the active site serine and render the enzyme catalytically inactive (32). Nomura et al. (34, 35) coupled fluorophosphonate probe binding with mass spectrometric proteomics techniques, known as activity-based protein profiling with multidimension protein identification technology, to determine the relative activity levels of serine hydrolases across different cancer cell lines. Utilizing these inventories and comparing the relative activities of individual serine hydrolases to PGE$_2$-G hydrolase activities has allowed us to identify lysophospholipase A2 (LYPLA2) as a principal hydrolase responsible for PG-G metabolism in human cells.

Lysophospholipases compose an important class of serine hydrolases that metabolize lysophospholipids to form free fatty acid and the glycerol phosphate-containing head group (36). Thus, we have identified a novel function and substrate for LYPLA2. Specifically, we identify LYPLA2 as the serine hydrolase responsible for hydrolysis of PG-Gs across a number of different cancer cell lines. siRNA knockdown and cDNA overexpression validated the involvement of LYPLA2 in PG-G hydrolysis. Active enzyme was expressed and purified in *Escherichia coli*, which allowed for kinetic evaluation of an array of different substrates. In contrast to other PG-G-hydrolyzing enzymes, we found that LYPLA2 exerted no action on 2-AG or AEA but did hydrolyze 1-AG.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Chemicals, Cells, and Reagents—**2-AG, AEA, PG-Gs (PGE$_2$-glycerol ester, PGD$_2$-glycerol ester, and PGF$_{2\alpha}$-glycerol ester), prostaglandin serinol amide (PGE$_2$-SA), deuterated prostaglandins and PG-Gs (PGE$_2$-d$_4$ and PGE$_2$-G-d$_5$), and deuterated AA (AA-d$_8$) were purchased from Cayman Chemicals (Ann Arbor, MI). All lysophospholipids and plasmalogens were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL). LC-MS solvents were from Fisher. DharmaFECT 1 and methoxy fluorophosphonate coupled to tetramethylrhodamine (FP-TAMRA) were acquired from Thermo Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA). Lipofectamine 2000, Lipofectamine RNAiMAX, and all siRNAs were from Invitrogen. Human breast cancer adenocarcinoma cell line, MDA-MB-231 and MCF7, prostate cancer cell lines PC3 and LNCaP, human embryonic kidney cells HEK293, and...
mouse macrophage-like RAW264.7 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA). All cell culture media were from Invitrogen. Recombinant LYPLA1 cDNA, recombinant LYPLA2 cDNA, and TurboFect were purchased from OriGene Technologies (Rockville, MD). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) was from Atlas Biologicals (Fort Collins, CO). HIS5-Select nickel affinity beads were from Sigma. HiPrep 16/60 Sephacryl S-200 HR was from GE Healthcare. The Luna liquid chromatography reverse phase C18 column was from Phenomenex (Torrance, CA). The Thermo liquid chromatography reverse phase C4 column was from Thermo Scientific. The Zorbax Eclipse XDB reverse phase C18 column was from Agilent (Santa Clara, CA).

**Culture Conditions**—MDA-MB-231, MCF7, LNCaP, and HEK293 cells were maintained as adherent cultures in RPMI 1640 medium from each plate was removed and replaced with 5 ml of serum-free Opti-MEM I medium followed by incubation at 37 °C for an additional 48 h before harvesting.

**siRNA Knockdown of LYPLA1 and LYPLA2 in Multiple Cancer Cell Types**—The siRNAs for LYPLA2 were as follows: siRNA, sense 5'-GGGUUGAUUGGUGCUACTGt and antisense 5'-UGUGACAAACAGUUCCGGTt; and siRNA2, sense 5'-GGGUUGAUUGGUGCUACTGt and antisense 5'-UAGUUCUGAACUGGGCt. The siRNAs for LYPLA1 were sense 5'-GGGUUUUCUCAGGGAGGAGtt and antisense 5'-H11032-GCUCCGGACUGUUGUCACAtt and antisense 5'-H11032-AGGCUCCGGACUGUUGUCACAtt and antisense 5'-H11032-GCUCCGGACUGUUGUCACAtt and antisense 5'-H11032-AGGCUCCGGACUGUUGUCACAtt. MDA-MB-231 cells were plated at 1.0 × 106 cells per 100 mm2 and allowed to incubate for 24 h to 40–60% confluence. siRNA was introduced into the cells as a complex with DharmaFECT 1 according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Briefly, siRNA-DharmaFECT complexes were formed for 20 min in serum-free Opti-MEM I. RPMI 1640 medium from each plate was removed and replaced with 5 ml of serum-free Opti-MEM I medium followed by addition of 1 ml of transfection solution. Cells were cultured for 24 h at 37 °C. The medium was subsequently replaced with RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% FBS and incubated at 37 °C for an additional 48 h before harvesting.

**Preparation of Cell Lysates**—Cells were harvested by scraping, pelleted by centrifugation, and washed once with phosphate-buffered saline. The cells were resuspended in 500 µl of ice-cold 25 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.5) containing 0.1 mM of both EDTA and DTT. Cells were lysed by sonication (Visisonic Cell Disrupter model 16-850, 10 × 10-s pulses at relative output of 0.5, on ice), and the cytosolic fractions were separated by centrifugation (100,000 × g for 1 h). Protein concentrations were determined using the BCA reagent kit according to the manufacturer’s instruction (Pierce).

**PG-G Hydrolase Assay**—Hydrolitic activity was determined by adding 10 nmol of PGE2-G to 100 µl of cell lysates (250 µg/ml total protein) at 37 °C. Reactions were quenched after 2 h by addition of 1 ml of ethyl acetate containing deuterated internal standard (PGE2-d5). The organic layer was removed, evaporated to near dryness under nitrogen, and reconstituted with 50% methanol. Samples were analyzed by LC-MS/MS for the hydrolytic product, PGE2, which was quantified against the internal standard using stable isotope dilution.

**Serine Hydrolase Inhibition**—The general serine hydrolase probe, FP-TAMRA, was used to determine the involvement of serine hydrolases in the metabolism of PG-Gs. FP-TAMRA (100 nm) or DMSO was added to 100 µl of MDA-MB-231 cytosol and allowed to incubate for 30 min at 37 °C. PG-G hydrolase activity was determined as described above, except that samples were incubated from 0 to 60 min to obtain a full hydrolysis time course.

**Western Blot Analysis**—Protein expression was determined by Western blot analysis. Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE. The proteins were then transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and probed with either rabbit anti-LYPLA2 (1:500 v/v, Thermo Scientific), rabbit anti-LYPLA1 (1:500 v/v, Thermo Scientific), or anti-COX-2 (1:1000 v/v, Cell Signaling) and goat anti-β-actin (1:5000 v/v, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) overnight at 4 °C. Membranes were washed and incubated with IR-visible anti-rabbit or anti-goat secondary antibodies (1:5000 v/v, LI-COR). Blots were visualized using an Odyssey IR Imager.
sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, and 0.1 mM DTT. Cells were lysed by sonication (10 × 10-s pulses at relative output of 0.5, on ice), and the cytosolic fraction was separated by centrifugation (100,000 × g for 1 h). HIS-Select® nickel affinity beads pre-equilibrated with Buffer A (20 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, and 0.1 mM DTT) were added to the cytosolic fraction, and protein was bound overnight. Beads were packed into a column and washed with 4 column volumes of Buffer A. His-tagged protein was eluted by linear gradient from 0 to 100% Buffer B (20 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), 500 mM NaCl, and 500 mM imidazole). His-tagged protein was collected and concentrated using a Millipore 3000 molecular weight cutoff centrifugal filter. Protein was loaded onto a 120-ml HiPrep 16/60 Sephacryl S-200 HR size exclusion column pre-equilibrated with 2 column volumes of running buffer (Tris buffer (pH 7.5), 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM DTT). The column was eluted with 1 column volume of running buffer at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min; protein was collected, and purity was validated by SDS-PAGE.

**LYPLA2 Kinetic Analysis**—Hydrolysis reactions with recombinant LYPLA2 (100 nM protein) were performed in 25 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.5) with 0.1 mM EDTA and 0.1 mM DTT. Substrates dissolved in either 50% ethanol (PG-Gs), methanol (lyso-phospholipids), or 2-propanol (plasmalogens) were added at varying concentrations, ranging from 0 to 200 μM. 1-AG was prepared by diluting 2-AG stock solution in PBS to give final concentrations of 20 mM AG, 0.65 mM potassium chloride, 33.55 mM sodium chloride, 0.36 mM monobasic potassium phosphate, and 1.96 mM dibasic sodium phosphate. This solution was incubated at 4 °C overnight to induce isomerization of 2-AG to 1-AG, giving a final solution of ~85% 1-AG and 15% 2-AG. After preincubation of LYPLA2 for 5 min at 37 °C, reactions were initiated with the addition of substrate. Reactions were quenched after 5 min with 1 ml of ethyl acetate containing 20 ng/ml of either PGE2-d4 (for PGE2-G and PGD2-G), PGE2-d4 (for PGF2α-G), AA-d4 (for 2-AG, 1-AG, and AEA), or with 150 μl of ethanol containing 20 ng/ml of 17:0 lysophosphatidylcholine (lyso-PC) (for stearoyl, palmitoyl, and oleoyl lyso-PC), 17:0 lysophosphatidic acid (lyso-PA) (for palmitoyl lyso-PA), 17:1 lysophosphatidic acid (lyso-PS) (for palmitoyl lyso-PS and oleoyl lyso-phosphatidylethanolamine (lyso-PE) or 18:0(plasm)/18:1 PC plasmalogens (for 18:0(plasm)/20:4 plasmalogens). The optimal time for determining kinetic parameters was 5 min. The organic layer was collected and dried to completion under nitrogen. Samples were reconstituted in 50% methanol and analyzed by LC-MS/MS. Kinetic parameters were determined by performing nonlinear regression analysis using a Michaelis-Menten equation with Prism GraphPad version 5.0d.

**Hydrolatic Activity of LYPLA2 Following Small Molecule Inhibition**—Inhibition reactions were conducted in 25 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.5) with 0.1 mM EDTA and 0.1 mM DTT containing 100 nM recombinant LYPLA2. 100 μl of protein was preincubated with 0–100 μM of either Compound 1 or, 21 (39), the PGE2-G structural analog (prostaglandin E2 serinol amide, PGE2-SA), or 0–1 mM JZL184 for 5 min. Reactions were initiated by addition of 5 μM of PGE2-G and then quenched after 5 min with 1 ml of ethyl acetate containing 20 ng/ml of PGE2-d4. The organic layer was evaporated to dryness under nitrogen, reconstituted in 50% methanol, and analyzed by LC-MS/MS for PGE2.

**LYPLA2 Inhibition in RAW264.7 Murine Macrophage-like Cells**—The effects of LYPLA2 inhibition on PG-G production were tested by exposure of cells to Compound 1. RAW264.7 cells were plated at 3 × 10^4 cells per 100-mm² plate and incubated for 24 h in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. Medium was replaced with serum-free DMEM containing either 1 μg/ml of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (Sigma), 10 μM Compound 1, or a combination of both, and allowed to incubate for 6 h. Cells treated with LPS were then treated with 5 μM ionomycin (Calbiochem) for 45 min. Ionomycin stimulates the production of 2-AG and AA from endogenous sources (40). Lipids were extracted from the cell medium by addition of 2 volumes of ethyl acetate containing PGE2-d4, PGE2-G-d4, and AA-d4 internal standards. The organic layer was dried under nitrogen, and the residue was reconstituted in 50% methanol for analysis of PGs, PG-Gs, and AA by LC-MS/MS.

**LC-MS Analytical Procedures**—Analysis of prostanooids was accomplished by reverse phase chromatography followed by mass spectrometric detection by selected reaction monitoring (SRM) using a Shimadzu LC-20 HPLC system coupled to an Applied Biosystems 3200 QTRAP mass spectrometer. Separation of PGs and lyso-PCs was achieved by gradient elution of a Phenomenex Luna C18 column (50 × 2 mm, 3 μm particle size). Solvent A was HPLC-grade water with 0.1% formic acid, and solvent B was acetonitrile (ACN) with 0.1% formic acid. Samples were injected onto the column with a starting condition of 80% solvent A and 20% solvent B at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. A linear gradient of increasing solvent B to 98% was run over 2 min and then held for 1.5 min. SRM transitions were as follows: PGE2 transition m/z 351.3 → 271.2 and PGE2-d4 m/z 355.3 → 275.2.

Separation of PGs and PG-Gs was accomplished with a gradient formed between solvent A, HPLC-grade water with 5 mM ammonium acetate (pH 3.6), and solvent B, ACN supplemented with 6% (v/v) of solvent A. Samples were injected onto the column with a starting condition of 70% solvent A and 30% solvent B at a flow rate of 0.6 ml/min. A linear gradient of increasing solvent B to 100% was run over 3.1 min and then held for 1.8 min. SRM transitions were as follows: PGE2-G transition m/z 444.3 → 391.3; PGE2-G-d4 m/z 449.3 → 396.3; PGE2 m/z 370.3 → 317.2; and PGE2-d4 m/z 374.3 → 321.2.

Separation of AA was achieved by gradient elution. Solvent A was HPLC-grade water with 80 μM silver acetate, and solvent B was methanol with 118 μM silver acetate. Samples were injected onto the column with a starting condition of 80% solvent A and 20% solvent B at a flow rate of 0.4 ml/min. A linear gradient of increasing solvent B to 100% was run over 1 min and then held for 2 min. SRM transition was as follows: AA m/z 518.9 → 411.1 and AA-d4 m/z 526.9 → 419.1.

Resolution of AG isomers was achieved by gradient elution using an Agilent Eclipse XDB-C18 column (150 × 2.1 mm, 3.5 μm particle size). Solvent A was HPLC-grade water with 2 mM ammonium acetate, and solvent B was methanol with 10 mM ammonium acetate. Samples were injected onto the column...
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RESULTS

Characterization of PGE₂-G Hydrolysis in Cancer Cells—MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells were initially tested for hydrolytic activity by quantitatively monitoring the formation of PGE₂ from exogenously provided PGE₂-G by LC-MS/MS. Cytosolic fractions demonstrated PGE₂-G hydrolase activity that was linear with time for up to 60 min (Fig. 2A). Initial studies demonstrated that the majority of hydrolytic activity was present in the cytosol, with no activity detectable in the membrane fraction (data not shown). Importantly, serine hydrolase inventories have been identified and published for multiple cancer cell lines, including MDA-MB-231. This led us to explore whether a member of the serine hydrolase family was responsible for PGE₂-G hydrolysis. MDA-MB-231 cytosol was preincubated with an irreversible serine hydrolase inhibitor, FP-TAMRA, and assessed for hydrolytic activity following incubation. As shown in Fig. 2A, fluorophosphonate treatment abolished hydrolysis of PGE₂-G. This supported the hypothesis that a serine hydrolase is responsible for PGE₂-G hydrolysis in MDA-MB-231 cells.

Nomura et al. (34, 35) recently profiled serine hydrolases in a series of human cancer cell lines. The serine hydrolase proteome was enriched by covalently labeling the enzymes with fluorophosphonate molecules bound to biotin followed by avidin chromatography. The purified serine hydrolases were identified by multidimensional liquid chromatography mass spectrometry-based proteomic analysis of tryptic digests. Spectral counting revealed the relative levels of serine hydrolase activities across a number of aggressive and nonaggressive cancer cell types (34, 35). Utilizing the cancer cell types investigated in these serine hydrolase inventories, we quantified PGE₂-G hydrolysis by the cytosolic fractions of two breast cancer cell lines, MDA-MB-231 and MCF7, and two prostate cancer cell lines, PC3 and LNCaP. Fig. 2B demonstrates that LNCaP cells exhibited the lowest hydrolytic activity, and PC3 cells displayed the highest rate of PGE₂-G hydrolysis. All breast cancer cell lines tested, including MCF7, MDA-MB-231, and MDA-MB-231 cells passaged through a mouse fat pad, exhibited intermediate levels of activity. By comparing the PGE₂-G hydrolytic activity across the cell lines to published inventories of over 60

with a starting condition of 15% solvent A and 85% solvent B at a flow rate of 0.325 ml/min. A linear gradient of increasing solvent B to 95% was run over 9.5 min, followed by a sharp increase of B to 100% over 0.5 min and then held at 100% for an additional 3 min. SRM transitions were as follows: 1-AG and 2-AG transition m/z 396.2 → 287.1 and 2-AG-d₈ m/z 404.2 → 295.1.

Separation of choline-containing lysophospholipids was achieved by gradient elution using a Thermo C4 reverse phase column. Solvent A was HPLC-grade water with 0.1% formic acid, and solvent B was ACN with 0.1% formic acid. Samples were injected onto the column with a starting condition of 30% solvent A and 70% solvent B at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. A linear gradient of increasing solvent B to 99% was run over 2 min and then held for 1 min. SRM transitions were as follows: 18:0 lyso-PC m/z 524.3 → 184.3; 18:1 lyso-PC m/z 524.3 → 184.3; 17:0 lyso-PC m/z 510.3 → 184.3; and 16:0 lyso-PC m/z 496.3 → 184.3.

Separation of all other lysophospholipids was achieved by gradient elution using a Thermo C4 reverse phase column. Solvent A was HPLC-grade water with 0.1% formic acid, and solvent B was ACN with 0.1% formic acid. Samples were injected onto the column with a starting condition of 50% solvent A and 50% solvent B at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. A linear gradient of increasing solvent B to 99% was run over 4 min and then held for 5 min. RSM transitions were as follows: 16:0 lyso-PA m/z 409.2 → 152.8; 17:0 lyso-PA m/z 423.4 → 152.8; 16:0 lyso-PS m/z 496.4 → 153.0; 17:1 lyso-PS m/z 508.4 → 153.0, and 18:1 lyso-PE m/z 478.3 → 281.2.

Resolution of plasmalogens was achieved by gradient elution using a Thermo C4 reverse phase column. Solvent A was HPLC-grade water with 0.1% formic acid, and solvent B was a 2:1 ratio of 2-propanol to ACN with 0.1% formic acid. Samples were injected onto the column with a starting condition of 50% solvent A and 50% solvent B at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. A linear gradient of increasing solvent B to 100% was run over 2 min and then held for 5 min. SRM transitions were as follows: 18:0(plasm)/18:1 PC plasmalogen m/z 773.5 → 184.3 and 18:0(plasm)/20:4 PC plasmalogen m/z 795.3 → 184.3.
cytosolic serine hydrolases (supplemental Table 1) (34, 35), we were able to identify one enzyme, acyl-protein thioesterase 2 (APT2), also referred to lysophospholipase A2 (LYPLA2), that correlated across all data sets.

LYPLA2 Involvement in PGE2-G Hydrolysis—To investigate the role LYPLA2 plays in PGE2-G hydrolysis, siRNA knockdown of enzyme expression was initially conducted in MDA-MB-231 cells using two distinct siRNAs. The level of LYPLA2 protein was markedly reduced (95 and 69%) in MDA-MB-231 cells upon transfection with siRNA and siRNA2, respectively, as determined by Western blot analysis (Fig. 3, C and D). Cytosolic fractions obtained from the siRNA- and siRNA2-treated MDA-MB-231 cells displayed a respective 60 and 50% reduction in hydrolysis of PGE2-G compared with control cells (Fig. 3 A).

PC3 and LNCaP cell lines transfected with the LYPLA2-directed siRNA also displayed significant decreases in the levels of LYPLA2 (90 and 86%, respectively) as compared with the cells transfected with the control siRNA (Fig. 3, B and E). Comparisons of PGE2-G hydrolytic activity from cytosol obtained from control and LYPLA2 knockdown cells (Fig. 3A) demonstrated a reduction in hydrolysis of 60% in PC3 cells and 25% in LNCaP cells. The minor decrease in LNCaP hydrolytic activity may be due to lower expression levels of LYPLA2 (34, 35), which also correlate with a smaller total amount of PGE2-G hydrolytic activity in this cell line. In contrast, cells that demonstrated high levels of PGE2-G hydrolytic activity were the most affected by siRNA knockdown of LYPLA2, with decreases in activity ranging from 60 to 80%. Taken together, siRNA knockdown across multiple cell lines supported the hypothesis that LYPLA2 constitutes a major PG-G hydrolytic enzyme in human cancer cells.

To further validate LYPLA2 involvement in PGE2-G hydrolysis, the cDNA for human LYPLA2 was transfected into HEK293 cells. Untransfected HEK293 cells express no detectable levels of LYPLA2 by Western blot (Fig. 4A) and had low levels of PGE2-G hydrolyase activity (Fig. 4B). In contrast, HEK293 cells transfected with LYPLA2 showed high expression of LYPLA2 by Western blotting (Fig. 4A) and a substantial increase in PGE2-G hydrolytic activity compared with fractions from untransfected cells (Fig. 4B). Combined with our siRNA knockdown data, these overexpression data confirm LYPLA2 as a major PGE2-G hydrolytic enzyme in human cells.

LYPLA1 Involvement in PGE2-G Hydrolysis—LYPLA2 is a member of the serine hydrolase family responsible for lysophospholipid metabolism. A second isoform, lysophospholipase A1 (LYPLA1), is also present in all tested cancer cell lines. Similarly to LYPLA2, LYPLA1 is responsible for hydrolysis of lysophospholipids, and the two enzymes share 60% sequence homology. To investigate the role LYPLA1 plays in PGE2-G hydrolysis, siRNA knockdown of LYPLA1 was conducted in MDA-MB-231 cells. The levels of LYPLA1 protein were markedly reduced (70%) in MDA-MB-231 cells upon transfection with siRNA as determined by Western blot analysis (Fig. 5A).

Cytosolic fractions obtained from the siRNA-treated MDA-MB-231 cells displayed a small but significant reduction in hydrolysis of PGE2-G compared with that of control cells (Fig. 5B). To further test LYPLA1 involvement in PGE2-G hydrolysis, cDNA for human LYPLA1 was transfected into HEK293 cells. The cytosolic fraction from the transfected cells demonstrated high expression of LYPLA1 compared with that of control cells as indicated by Western blot (Fig. 5C). Importantly, upon over-
expression of LYPLA1, HEK293 cytosolic fractions showed no significant increase in PGE2-G hydrolytic activity when compared with those from untransfected cells (Fig. 5D). Thus, unlike the siRNA knockdown data, the overexpression data do not confirm LYPLA1 as a serine hydrolase responsible for PGE2-G hydrolysis in highly hydrolytically active cancer cell lines.

Expression and Activity of Recombinant Human LYPLA1 and LYPLA2—Recombinant LYPLA1 and LYPLA2 were successfully expressed in E. coli as determined by Coomassie staining (Fig. 6B). Expression of human LYPLA1 in E. coli caused no significant increase in PGE2-G hydrolysis, whereas expression of human LYPLA2 in E. coli was accompanied by a significant increase in PGE2-G hydrolytic activity (Fig. 6A). E. coli expression data in combination with the siRNA knockdown and cDNA overexpression data in HEK cells all confirm that LYPLA2 is the major hydrolase responsible for PGE2-G hydrolysis in human cancer cells, while essentially ruling out a significant role for LYPLA1.

Expression, Isolation, and Activity of Recombinant Human His-tagged LYPLA2—Having demonstrated that LYPLA2 is involved in PGE2-G hydrolysis, we next aimed to elucidate the biochemical activities of this serine hydrolase utilizing expressed and purified His-tagged recombinant human enzyme (hLYPLA2). Expression of LYPLA2 or His-tagged LYPLA2 in E. coli significantly increased PGE2-G hydrolytic activity as compared with the activity of control E. coli cells (Fig. 6D).
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Figure 5. LYPLA1 siRNA knockdown in MDA-MB-231 cells and LYPLA1 overexpression in HEK293. A, Western blot analysis of LYPLA1 in control MDA-MB-231 cells (scrambled) and LYPLA1-depleted cells (siRNA). β-Actin Western blotting verified uniform protein loading (5 μg per lane). B, PGE2-G hydrolytic activity of cytosol obtained from MDA-MB-231 control cells (scrambled) or LYPLA1-deficient MDA-MB-231 cells (siRNA). C, Western blot analysis of LYPLA1 in control HEK293 cells (control) and LYPLA2-overexpressing HEK293 cells (cDNA). β-Actin Western blotting verified equaling protein loading (5 μg per lane). D, PGE2-G hydrolytic activity of cytosol obtained from HEK293 (control) or LYPLA1-overexpressing (cDNA) cells. Data are presented as the mean ± S.D. of triplicate analyses. **, p < 0.01 by t test. N/S indicates no significance.
Consistent with the predicted molecular weight of LYPLA2, the His-tagged hLYPLA2 was purified as a single 25-kDa band (Fig. 6D). On the basis of Coomassie Blue staining, the expressed His-tagged hLYPLA2 was >95% pure.

**Substrate Specificity of Recombinant LYPLA2**—We evaluated the kinetics of LYPLA2 hydrolysis against an array of substrates, including multiple PG-Gs (PGE$_2$-G, PGD$_2$-G, and PGF$_{2\alpha}$-G), endocannabinoids (2-AG, 1-AG, and AEA), and lysophospholipids (palmitoyl (16:0), stearoyl-(18:0), and oleoyl- (18:1) lyso-PC, palmitoyl-lyso-PS, and palmitoyl-lyso-PA). Analysis of substrate concentration-velocity plots (Fig. 7) yielded steady-state Michaelis-Menten kinetic parameters (Table 1) for LYPLA2 hydrolytic activity against all substrates tested. Initially, we determined activity toward lysophospholipid substrates and found that the catalytic efficiencies of LYPLA2 for palmitoyl and stearoyl lyso-PC, palmitoyl lyso-PA, and palmitoyl lyso-PS ($K_{\text{cat}}/K_m = 4.0, 5.6, 3.1, \text{and} 3.3 \text{ min}^{-1} \mu\text{M}^{-1}$, respectively) were comparable with published values (41). Interestingly, addition of a single site of unsaturation in the lipid (18:1 lyso-PC) significantly reduced the catalytic efficiency of the enzyme ($K_{\text{cat}}/K_m = 0.6 \text{ min}^{-1} \mu\text{M}^{-1}$), suggesting that unsaturated lipids hinder hydrolysis. LYPLA2 did not display any catalytic activity against plasmalogens.

LYPLA2 had lower hydrolytic efficiency toward PG-Gs relative to its activity with saturated lysophospholipids. LYPLA2 showed a greater catalytic efficiency for PGE$_2$-G ($K_{\text{cat}}/K_m = 1.1 \text{ min}^{-1} \mu\text{M}^{-1}$) than for PGF$_{2\alpha}$-G and PGD$_2$-G ($K_{\text{cat}}/K_m = 0.44 \text{ and} 0.14 \text{ min}^{-1} \mu\text{M}^{-1}$, respectively).

Commercially available glyceryl prostaglandins are an equilibrium mixture of the 2-glyceryl ester (minor) and the 1(3)-glyceryl ester. To evaluate the regiochemistry of hydrolysis, we modified the HPLC conditions to enable separation.
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Inhibition of Recombinant LYPLA2 Results in Decreased Hydrolysis of PG-Gs—Selective inhibitors of LYPLA2 and the related enzyme LYPLA1 have recently been discovered (39). We assessed the effects of these inhibitors, named Compound 1 (LYPLA2-specific inhibitor) and Compound 21 (LYPLA1-specific inhibitor) (Fig. 9A), on the hydrolytic activity of LYPLA2. Compound 1 inhibited the PGE$_2$-G hydrolis activity of purified LYPLA2 with an IC$_{50}$ of 904 nM (Fig. 9B), comparable with previously reported values (510 nM) for the inhibition of hydrolysis of a fluorescent substrate, resorufin acetate (39). Compound 21, however, did not affect LYPLA2 activity. An amidated PGE$_2$-G structural analog, PGE$_2$-SA, was also assessed for LYPLA2 inhibition. PGE$_2$-SA had no effect on the hydrolysis of PGE$_2$-G, indicating the enzyme may have a very tight requirement for substrate binding. The MAGL inhibitor JZL-184 inhibited LYPLA2 at a concentration of 29 M, which is ~500-fold higher than the concentrations at which it inhibits MAGL (Fig. 9) (42).

LYPLA2 Inhibition Increases PG-G Levels in RAW264.7 Murine Macrophage-like Cells—To assess the physiological relevance of PG-G hydrolysis by LYPLA2, murine RAW264.7 macrophage-like cells were stimulated to produce PGE$_2$-G and PGD$_2$-G by priming cells with LPS (1 μg/ml) to induce expression of COX-2 (Fig. 10) followed by treatment with the calcium ionophore, ionomycin (5 μM), to promote release of 2-AG/AA. We determined whether inhibition of LYPLA2 by Compound 1 could affect the amount of PG-Gs produced in stimulated RAW264.7 cells. Upon stimulation, RAW264.7 cells produce high levels of PGD$_2$ and PGE$_2$, and lower levels of PGD$_2$-G and PGE$_2$-G, all of which are secreted into the culture medium, as reported previously (Fig. 10) (20). Pretreatment of stimulated RAW264.7 cells with 10 μM compound 1 prior to addition of ionomycin, increased the levels of PGD$_2$/PGE$_2$-G in the medium compared with those in the medium of uninhibited cells (40 versus 26 pmol). A concomitant decrease in PGD$_2$/

**TABLE 1**

| Substrate | $k_{cat}$ | $K_m$ (μM) | $k_{cat}/K_m$ (μM$^{-1}$min$^{-1}$) |
|-----------|----------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| PGE$_2$-G | 14.1 ± 0.4 | 13 ± 1.1 | 1.08 |
| PGF$_2$-$\alpha$-G | 2.2 ± 0.3 | 5 ± 1.1 | 0.44 |
| PGD$_2$-G | 9.5 ± 1.6 | 67 ± 14 | 0.14 |
| Lyso-PC (16:0) | 33.3 ± 1.7 | 8.3 ± 1.0 | 4.0 |
| Lyso-PC (18:0) | 44.3 ± 10.2 | 7.8 ± 4.4 | 5.6 |
| Lyso-PC (18:1) | 24.8 ± 9.1 | 40.7 ± 20.6 | 0.6 |
| Lyso-PA (16:0) | 34.6 ± 4.7 | 11.1 ± 3.2 | 3.1 |
| Lyso-PS (16:0) | 44.1 ± 10.4 | 13.3 ± 6.2 | 3.3 |
| 18:0(plasm)/20-4 plasmalogen | NA* | NA | |
| 1-AG | 1.7 ± 0.07 | 7.6 ± 1.2 | 0.23 |
| 2-AG | NA | NA | NA |
| AEA | NA | NA | NA |

*NA means no activity.

of the 2- and the 1(3)-isomers of PGE$_2$-G. HPLC analysis of the incubation mixtures of PGE$_2$-G and LYPLA2 demonstrated that the 1(3)-glyceryl ester was selectively hydrolyzed; no hydrolysis of the 2-glyceryl ester was observed (data not shown).

Neither of the endocannabinoids, 2-AG or AEA, was hydrolyzed by LYPLA2. Because commercial 2-AG is nearly pure 2-glyceryl ester, we incubated 2-AG in PBS overnight to allow it to equilibrate with the 1(3)-AG isomer. Addition of LYPLA2 to this equilibrium mixture demonstrated hydrolysis of the 1(3)-AG but no hydrolysis of 2-AG. The $k_{cat}/K_m$ value for hydrolysis of 1-AG was lower than that of PGE$_2$-G (Table 1). Consideration of the substrate specificity data summarized in Table 1 suggests that LYPLA2 only hydrolyzes 1(3)-glyceryl esters.

Bovine serum albumin (BSA) increases the rate of PGD$_2$-G hydrolysis by human MAGL (23), so we determined the relative activity of LYPLA2 to a series of substrates in the presence of 0.5% BSA. The activity of LYPLA2 toward PGE$_2$-G increased, whereas the activity toward 1-AG, 16:0-LPC, and 18:0-LPC decreased (Fig. 8). In fact, PGE$_2$-G is the preferred substrate of LYPLA2 in the presence of BSA.

**FIGURE 8.** Effect of BSA on LYPLA2 activity toward a series of substrates. LYPLA2 hydrolytic activity against multiple substrates in the absence (solid bars) or presence (open bars) of bovine serum albumin. Data are presented as the mean ± S.D. of triplicate analyses. ****, $p < 0.001$; ns, no significance.
PGE$_2$ was not observable, likely because of the large excess of prostaglandins to PG-Gs in stimulated RAW cells.

**DISCUSSION**

Endocannabinoids are lipid mediators that elicit a variety of physiological effects, including analgesia and suppression of inflammation (6, 7, 43). COX-2 oxygenates 2-AG to form PG-Gs, which display effects that are frequently opposite those of endocannabinoids, e.g. hyperalgesia and neuroinflammation (13–19). However, complete elucidation of the effects of PG-Gs *in vivo* has been challenging due to their instability to enzymatic hydrolysis (20). These studies...
LYPLA2 was expressed in E. coli and purified to apparent homogeneity to determine its specific activity against a range of substrates. LYPLA2 exhibited higher catalytic efficiency against lysophospholipids than against PG-Gs when assays were conducted in the absence of albumin. However, the inclusion of albumin in the assay buffer led to a reversal of substrate specificity such that PGE2-G was hydrolyzed more rapidly than other substrates, including lysophospholipids. Among the PG-Gs tested, PGE2-G was the preferred substrate, followed by other substrates, including lysophosphatidylglycerol, and lysophosphatidylinositol. In contrast, LYPLA2 hydrolyzes only lyso-PC, and lyso-PS. Yet, LYPLA2 hydrolyzes PGE2-G and other PG-Gs, whereas LYPLA1 does not. LYPLA1 and LYPLA2 also catalyze depalmitoylation of proteins that associate with membranes by virtue of the presence of palmitoyl-cysteine residues in the proteins. LYPLA1 removes the palmitate group from G protein α subunits and H-Ras, whereas LYPLA2 acts on GAP-43 (45, 46). Because of their acyl protein hydrolase activities, LYPLA1 and LYPLA2 are also known as APT1 and APT2, respectively.

Because multiple enzymes hydrolyze PG-Gs with different rates and under different conditions, selective inhibitors or genetic manipulations will be important in dissecting the contributions of individual hydrolases to PG-G hydrolysis in intact cells or tissues. Compound 1, which had been previously reported to inhibit LYPLA2 (39), exhibited 32-fold selectivity for inhibition of PGE2-G hydrolysis compared with the MAGL inhibitor JZL-184, and greater than 100-fold selectivity compared with the LYPLA1 inhibitor compound 21. JZL-184 is a potent MAGL inhibitor but is frequently used in vitro and in vivo at concentrations much higher than its stated IC50 for MAGL of 50 nM (42). Compound 1 significantly reduced PGE2-G hydrolysis by LYPLA2 in vitro and increased endogenous levels of PGD2-G/PGE2-G in LPS-activated RAW macrophages. This is the first demonstration of the elevation of a lipid substrate by inhibition of LYPLA2 in intact cells.

The specificity of LYPLA2 for 1(3)-glycerol ester substrates suggests that isomerization of the initially formed 2-glycerol esters of PGE2-G/PGD2-G occurred following LPS treatment of the RAW cells. The half-life to spontaneous acyl migration of 2-glycerol esters to 1(3)-glycerol esters is ~10 min in serum-free medium but reduces to ~3 min in the presence of serum (47). Because the synthesis of PGE2-G/PGD2-G took place over 45 min following addition of ionomycin to trigger 2-AG release, there was ample time for isomerization to occur. The possibility also exists that isomerization of 2-AG to 1(3)-AG occurred during this time course and that addition of compound 1 inhibited 1(3)-AG hydrolysis by LYPLA2 leading to elevated levels of PGE2-G/PGD2-G following COX-2 oxygenation. This possibility seems less likely than compound 1 inhibition of LYPLA2 hydrolysis of PGE2-G/PGD2-G because 1(3)-AG is an inferior substrate for LYPLA2 compared with glyceryl prostaglandin glycerol esters and because 1(3)-AG is a poorer substrate than 2-AG for oxygenation by COX-2.

The biological effects of PG-Gs that have been documented in the literature have all been recorded with commercial material, which are primarily the 1(3)-glycerol esters. It is not known whether the 2-glycerol esters of PG-Gs have more potent or different effects in the same assays. Therefore, it seems likely that LYPLA2 plays an important role in controlling the biological effects of endocannabinoid-derived prostaglandin glycerol esters. This study demonstrates that it is a major and perhaps the major glycercyl prostaglandin hydrolase in human cancer
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cells. However, the multiplicity of enzymes that hydrolyze these compounds, either the 2- or the 1(3)- isomers, suggests that different enzymes will play significant roles in controlling the activities of glycerol prostaglandins depending on the cell type and physiological state. It will be exciting to dissect the contributions of individual enzymes to PG-G hydrolysis using a combination of chemical biological and genetic approaches.
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