INTRODUCTION

Recently created drugs before going to use on people for restoring infections, it must be assessed for its toxicity studies. The significance in leading the toxicity studies is not just to assess for its well-being yet additionally to realize the conceivable poisonous impacts delivered by the utilization of the created drugs [1]. Toxicity studies are fundamentally done as acute toxicity study, subacute toxicity study, and chronic toxicity study according to the organization for economic corporation and development (OECD) rules.

The OECD board of specialists characterizes acute toxicity as “the unfavorable impact happening inside a brief time frame of (oral) organization of a solitary portion of a substance or different dosages given inside 24 h”. Furthermore, subchronic toxicity studies as “the antagonistic impacts happening as consequence of the rehashed every day (oral) dosing of a synthetic to test for the most part for 1–3 months.” Subchronic toxicity testing gives the important data on the total harmfulness of a compound at low portion delayed introduction and wide assortment of unfriendly impact can be distinguished. The outcome from such examinations can give data which will help in choosing dose level. Normally, the toxicity studies are completed in shorter live animals, for example, rodents and 1 year concentrates in longer lived animals of dogs and monkeys. The normal courses of administration used in acute toxicity studies are oral and in subacute and chronic toxicity examines are oral, dermal, and respiratory courses [2].

The class Barleria is under bush topographically generally disseminated in tropical nations. It is the third biggest variety in the family Acanthaceae with 300 species among that 32 species are accounted for from India. As of late, the entire plant of family Barleria picked up the significance for the treatment of different diseases such as liver issues, diabetes, neurological issues, immunodeficiency, inflammation, ulcers, HSV-2 viral infections, and so on [3].

Among the genus Barleria, Barleria cristata is proved for antioxidant activity, thrombolytic activity, membrane stabilizing activity, antimicrobial activity [4], and antidiabetic activity [5]. Barleria gibsoni is proved for anti-inflammatory activity, antioxidant, antiscorbutic activity, and anthelmintic activity [6,7], Barleria montana is proved for antibacterial activity [8], hepatoprotective activity [9], and antidiabetic activity [5]. Experimentally, Barleria cuspidata Heyne ex Nees has proved for wound healing property [9] and hepatoprotective activity [10].

Barleria buxifolia Linn. is one of the important species in Barleria belongs to the family Acanthaceae. It is a shrub found in waste places, poor soils, and along road ways [11]. Barleria buxifolia is proved for anthelmintic activity [12], antifeedant activity [13], anxiolytic activity, antidepressant activity [14], and prophylactic and curative activities [15]. Due to the adverse effects and also with the development of resistance with the use of synthetic drugs, nowadays, the usage of plant-derived drugs is become popular in the world. Latest survey reveals that chronic usage of medicinal plants shows potential toxic effects and makes the step to evaluate the toxicological effects of any medicinal plant extracts intended to be used clinically or pre-clinically [16]. The present study was aimed to investigate the toxicity study of Barleria buxifolia Linn. to increase the assurance in their safety to humans to treat versatile ailments.

METHODS

Collection of plant material

Fresh whole plant of Barleria buxifolia Linn. (Acanthaceae) was pull together from Chittoor districts in the areas of Tirumala Hills and Tirupati surroundings and authenticated by Dr. K. Madava Chetty.
Acute oral toxicity study
An oral acute toxicity investigation of chloroform and methanol extracts of Barleria buxifolia was performed individually by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) rule 425 on rodents [17], where the breaking point test portion of 5000 mg/kg was utilized. All the animals were kept 3 h fasting before to explore different avenues regarding free abundance to water. A solitary oral dosages of 5000 mg/kg body weight of the individual chloroform and methanol extracts were given utilizing oral gavage for present moment (i.e., 48 h) and long term (i.e., 14 days) to the rats. Before dose administration, the body weight of every animal was resolved and the dose was determined by the body weight. Animals were seen to distinguish intense changes in morphological and conduct reactions, unconstrained action, touchiness, corneal reflex, tremors, spasms, salivation, loose bowels, and torpidity assuming any and furthermore observed for any mortality throughout toxicity study [18].

Subchronic toxicity study
The oral subchronic toxicity study was done by OECD rule 407. The animals were partitioned into five groups of six rats each was kept in five separate pens. Group I was kept as should be expected normal control and Groups II–V were kept as tested groups which received the dosages as follows.

Group I: Normal control animals received normal clean drinking water ad libitum.

Group II: Animal received chloroform extract of Barleria buxifolia (CEBB) 250 mg/kg per orally.

Group III: Animal received chloroform extract of Barleria buxifolia (CEBB) 500 mg/kg per orally.

Group IV: Animal received methanol extract of Barleria buxifolia (MEBB) 250 mg/kg per orally.

Group V: Animal received methanol extract of Barleria buxifolia (MEBB) 500 mg/kg per orally.

All the animals in the above groups get their portions as needs be for the examination time of 90 days [19]. Body weight of every animal in group was recorded at first and for like clockwork span till the most recent day of analysis. Following 90 days blood tests of exploratory rats in each group were acquired by retro-orbital with a capillary into Eppendorf tubes without anticoagulant, centrifuged at 4000 g for 10 min and the serum put away at 4°C for estimation of different serum biochemical boundaries, for example, glucose, creatinine, total protein, albumin, globulin, bilirubin, SGOT, SGPT, and ALP and for electrolytes (phosphorus, chloride, and calcium). Other blood tests were gathered into isolated tubes previously covered with trisodium citrate for hematological investigation, for example, RBC, WBC, hemoglobin, platelets, and mean cell volume. Every trial animal was sacrificed in the wake of gathering of blood tests by infusing the phenobarbital infusion for gathering the internal organs to decide the relative organ loads and for histopathological investigations of brain, liver, kidney, heart, and lungs.

Serum biochemical parameters
Serum glucose, creatinine, total protein, albumin, globulin, bilirubin, SGOT, SGPT, ALP, and electrolytes were determined using a semi-automated analyzer.

Serum glucose determination
Serum glucose levels were determined using Trinder method (Glucose, GOD-PDO) by the addition of reagents present in reagent kit (AGD Biomedicals Pvt. Ltd.). The absorbance of standard and test against reagent blank was measured at 505 nm [20, 21].

Serum creatinine determination
Serum creatinine levels were ascertained by reagents present in reagent kit (AGD Biomedicals Pvt. Ltd.). The absorbance of standard and test against reagent blank was measured at 520 nm.

Serum total protein concentration
Serum total protein levels were ascertained using end point assay method by the addition of reagents present in reagent kit (Span Diagnostic Ltd.). The absorbance of standard and test against reagent blank was measured at 578 nm. The values of total proteins present in serum were expressed in g/dL.

Serum albumin concentration
Serum albumin levels are ascertained using bromocresol green, end point assay method by the addition of reagents present in reagent kit (Span Diagnostic Ltd.). The absorbance of standard and test against reagent blank was measured at 520 nm. The values of albumin present in serum were expressed in g/dL.

Serum globulins concentration
Serum globulins levels are ascertained using the equation:

\[
\text{Globulins} = \text{Total proteins} - \text{Albumin}
\]

And the values are expressed in g/dL.

Serum transaminases (GOT and GPT)
Serum transaminases (GOT and GPT) were determined by the method of Reitman and Frankel [22] by the addition of reagents present in reagent kit (Span Diagnostic Ltd.). The absorbance of standard and test against reagent blank was measured at 505 nm. Data were communicated as IUL⁻¹.

Serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP)
Serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP) was ascertained by the method of Kind and King [22] by the addition of reagents present in reagent kit (Span Diagnostic Ltd.). The absorbance of standard and test against reagent blank was measured at 640 nm. Data were communicated as UL⁻¹.
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Serum bilirubin
Serum bilirubin was evaluated by method of Malloy and Evelyn [23]. The two test tubes were taken and each into was added 0.2 ml of serum test and 1.8 ml of distilled water. To the obscure, 0.5 ml of diazo reagent and to the blank, 0.5 ml of 1.5% HCl were included. At last, to each tube, 2.5 ml of methanol was added and afterward permitted to represent 30 min in ice and absorbance was perused at 540 nm. For a standard curve, the above standard was weakened 1 out of 5 ml methanol. The measure of direct responding bilirubin was resolved also by subbing 2.5 ml of water for 2.5 ml of methanol. Qualities were communicated as mg/dl.

Hematological analysis
Red blood cells (RBCs), white blood cells (WBCs), platelets, hemoglobin (Hg), and mean cell volume (MCV) were ascertained with a semi-automated analyzer.

Statistical analysis
All investigations information were communicated as mean standard error mean (SEM). This statistical analysis was done utilizing one-way ANOVA strategy followed by Dunnett’s t-test with SPSS statistical programming for correlation with the control group. p≤0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
From old to introduce period, the people were utilized the herbal drugs and their formulations for restoring ailments as they considered its utilization is sheltered and powerful with insignificant reactions. This suspicion may impact on country populace for the use of this formulation in chronic periods without the consciousness of the toxic impacts. Logical information toward the oral toxicity is fundamental in fixing the doses for herbal formulations as well as to know the conceivable outcomes in safe utilization of these plans [24].

The information acquired with the logical examination on acute and subchronic toxicity reads for herbal plants or herbal formulations may build the trust in their security to people, curiously for use in the improvement of pharmaceuticals. In this way, estimating of the toxicological impacts of any therapeutic plant extract intend to be utilized in animals or people are a basic piece of its evaluation for likely toxic impacts [25]. In this way, the current examination work was meant to assess the chloroform and methanol extracts of Barleria buxifolia for its acute and subchronic toxicity study and to compute the portion run for additional investigations.

Acute oral toxicity study
The acute oral toxicity study was done on albino rats of either sex at single portion of chloroform and methanol extracts of Barleria buxifolia at 5000 mg/kg body weight and consistently checked for about 14 days for its and its mortality according to OECD rules 407 [27]. At all the degree of treatment for study period (14 days), all the exploratory rats were endure and show typical conduct in their awareness, touch reaction, sleeping length, development, gripping quality, righting reflex, food admission, water utilization, pinna reflex, corneal reflex, salivation, skin color, and sound reaction, and there is missing in reactions, for example, grooming, tremors, diarrhea, hyper activity, lethargy, convulsions, and morbidity. Subsequently, this outcome tabulated in Table 1 says that there was no aggravation in carbohydrate, protein, fat, and some other metabolisms [26].

Subchronic toxicity study
The chloroform and methanol extracts of Barleria buxifolia at 5000 mg/kg body weight given orally are by all accounts safe and the LD₅₀ is considered be >5000 mg/kg body weight. All of these results will propose that single oral dosage of chloroform and methanol extracts of Barleria buxifolia were non-poisonous in all intent and purposes at 5000 mg/kg body weight. Anyway in instances of various portions utilized in the treatment of chronic issues such as diabetes, malignancy, and so on, regardless of whether it will be protected and have no impact on organ loads, biochemical and hematological boundaries, and electrolyte levels will adjusted by subchronic toxicity study.

Analysis of body weights of each experimental rat
Subchronic toxicity examines was did with chloroform and methanol extracts of Barleria buxifolia at the dosages of 250 mg/kg and 500 mg/kg body weight according to the OECD rules 407 [27]. The aftereffects of mean body loads among the diverse rewarded gatherings and control are appeared in Fig. 1 as no noteworthy contrasts despite the fact that there will be increment in body loads continuously. These outcomes will show that the chloroform and methanol extracts of Barleria buxifolia have immaterial degrees of toxicity on the development of the animals.

Measurement of organ weights
The outcomes in of any adjustments in relative organ loads of each exploratory rat in bunch at end of the experimentation are appeared in Fig. 2. With no essential changes in weights of important organs such as liver, lungs, kidneys and heart will give the non-toxic impact in both control and rewarded groups and supports for the security of Barleria buxifolia.

Serum biochemical parameters
Following 90 days of study period, the serum biochemical and hematological parameters were assessed for finding the ordinary working of liver, urinary system, and typical electrolyte levels and

Table 1: Acute oral toxicity study of chloroform and methanol extracts of Barleria buxifolia at 5000 mg/kg body weight in rats

| S. No. | Observations            | Control | CEBB 5000 mg/kg b.w | MEBB 5000 mg/kg b.w |
|-------|-------------------------|---------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1.    | Consciousness           | +       | +                   | +                   |
| 2.    | Grooming                | –       | –                   | –                   |
| 3.    | Touch response          | +       | +                   | +                   |
| 4.    | Sleeping duration       | +       | +                   | +                   |
| 5.    | Movement                | +       | +                   | +                   |
| 6.    | Gripping strength       | +       | +                   | +                   |
| 7.    | Righting reflex         | +       | +                   | +                   |
| 8.    | Food intake             | +       | +                   | +                   |
| 9.    | Water consumption       | +       | +                   | +                   |
| 10.   | Tremors                 | –       | –                   | –                   |
| 11.   | Diarrhea                | –       | –                   | –                   |
| 12.   | Hyper activity          | –       | –                   | –                   |
| 13.   | Pinna reflex            | +       | +                   | +                   |
| 14.   | Corneal reflex          | +       | +                   | +                   |
| 15.   | Salvation               | +       | +                   | +                   |
| 16.   | Skin color              | +       | +                   | +                   |
| 17.   | Lethargy                | –       | –                   | –                   |
| 18.   | Convulsions             | –       | –                   | –                   |
| 19.   | Morbidity               | –       | –                   | –                   |
| 20.   | Sound response          | +       | +                   | +                   |
| 21.   | Mortality               | Alive   | Alive               | Alive               |

*: Normal, -: Absent

Fig. 1: Effect of chloroform and methanol extracts of Barleria buxifolia Linn. on body weights of experimental rats

RESUL TS AND DISCUSSION
The outcomes in of any adjustments in relative organ loads of each exploratory rat in bunch at end of the experimentation are appeared in Fig. 2. With no essential changes in weights of important organs such as liver, lungs, kidneys and heart will give the non-toxic impact in both control and rewarded groups and supports for the security of Barleria buxifolia.
their aftereffects are recorded in Figs. 3 and 4, and electrolytes levels are recorded in Table 2. Serum biochemical parameters, for example, SGOT, SGPT, ALP, and bilirubin of rewarded group do not show huge change when contrasted and that of control groups. The estimations of these biochemical parameters typically gauge as markers of the best possible liver capacity [28]. Further, there is no noteworthy in glucose levels in the treated groups when contrasted with the control group which likewise demonstrates for ordinary working of liver. In addition, levels of serum total protein, albumin, and globulin indicated no critical change when contrasted the treated group animals and that of control group animals [29]. The estimations of serum creatinine will gauge the best possible capacity of urinary system [30], thus with no huge changes in serum creatinine levels of test creatures show the correct working of urinary system in animals treated with Barleria buxifolia.

Likewise, the serum levels of electrolytes, for example, phosphorus, chloride, and calcium indicated no essentialness distinction when contrasted the treated group animals and that of control group animals which show that chloroform and methanol extracts of Barleria buxifolia are sheltered concerning that of the electrolyte levels.

Hematological parameters
The consequences of hematological parameters, for example, RBC, WBC, platelets, hemoglobin, and MCV are recorded in Figs. 5 and 6. Administration of any chemical or medication or plant extracts can bring about loss of blood cells and/or hindrance of blood cell blend and abatement in such hematological boundaries in exploratory animals [31]. The hematological parameters, for example, RBC, WBC, platelets, hemoglobin, and mean cell volume indicated no hugeness when treated group animals are contrasted and that of control group animals. As the chloroform and methanol extracts of Barleria buxifolia do not show any huge changes in haematological parameters such as RBC, WBC, platelets, haemoglobin and mean cell volume when contrasted with control group animals will make acceptable for safe consequences for bone marrow work [32].

Histology study
Histological investigations were appeared from Figs. 7-11 of liver, kidney, lungs, heart, and brain tissues in chloroform and methanol extracts of Barleria buxifolia treated rat’s shows no irregularities and did not show any antagonistic impact on morphology when contrasted the treated and that of control. In this way, it is presumed

Table 2: Effect of chloroform and methanol extracts of Barleria buxifolia on serum electrolyte levels

| S. No. | Group | Phosphorus (mg/dl) | Chloride (mmol/lit) | Calcium (mg/dl) |
|--------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 1.     | I     | 5.65±0.108        | 104.83±1.04       | 10.4±0.2       |
| 2.     | II    | 5.45±0.14         | 104.53±1.02       | 10.18±0.09     |
| 3.     | III   | 5.63±0.05         | 105.16±0.94       | 10.16±0.14     |
| 4.     | IV    | 5.46±0.09         | 104.66±0.98       | 10.03±0.08     |
| 5.     | V     | 5.4±0.12          | 103.83±1.22       | 10.21±0.06     |

The values are communicated as mean±SEM of six animals in each group shows no significance by utilizing SPSS. Statistical significant test for correlation was done by ANOVA, trailed by Dunnett’s ‘t’ test.
Fig. 7: Histology study of brain of rats: (a) Control group, (b) methanol extract of Barleria buxifolia, and (c) chloroform extract of Barleria buxifolia at 500 mg/kg body weight. All the brain sections show normal appearing brain matter.

Fig. 8: Histology study of heart of rats: (a) Control group, (b) methanol extract of Barleria buxifolia, and (c) chloroform extract of Barleria buxifolia at 500 mg/kg body weight. All the sections show normal appearing myocardial fibers with focal interstitial hemorrhages and serosal congestion.

Fig. 9: Histology study of lungs of rats: (a) Control group, (b) methanol extract of Barleria buxifolia, and (c) chloroform extract of Barleria buxifolia at 500 mg/kg body weight. All the sections show normal appearing of lung matter.

Fig. 10: Histology study of liver of rats: (a) Control group, (b) methanol extract of Barleria buxifolia, and (c) chloroform extract of Barleria buxifolia at 500 mg/kg body weight. All sections show normal lobular pattern of arrangement of normal appearing hepatocytes.

Fig. 11: Histology study of kidney of rats: (a) Control group, (b) methanol extract of Barleria buxifolia, and (c) chloroform extract of Barleria buxifolia at 500 mg/kg body weight. All sections show normal appearing glomeruli and tubules. Occasional tubular lumen showing RBC.
that *Barleria buxifolia* did not create any harmful impact in albino rats of either sex.

**CONCLUSION**

The consequences of acute oral toxicity study and subchronic toxicity investigation of chloroform and methanol extracts of *Barleria buxifolia* show no noteworthy contrasts in hematological and biochemical tests in the treated groups on contrasted and that of control and it will propose the degree of well-being of *Barleria buxifolia* on animals. With our insight, we reason that it is the primary examination of the different parameters of toxicity considers made on *Barleria buxifolia* at higher do. In this way, the outcomes show that the chloroform and methanol extracts of *Barleria buxifolia* might be sheltered and furthermore give the data to the utilization of *Barleria buxifolia* for additional examinations and as an elective arrangement of medication.
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