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Abstract

The field of structural DNA nanotechnology has evolved remarkably—from the creation of artificial immobile junctions to the recent DNA–protein hybrid nanoscale shapes—in a span of about 35 years. It is now possible to create complex DNA-based nanoscale shapes and large hierarchical assemblies with greater stability and predictability, thanks to the development of computational tools and advances in experimental techniques. Although it started with the original goal of DNA-assisted structure determination of difficult-to-crystallize molecules, DNA nanotechnology has found its applications in a myriad of fields. In this review, we cover some of the basic and emerging assembly principles: hybridization, base stacking/shape complementarity, and protein-mediated formation of nanoscale structures. We also review various applications of DNA nanostructures, with special emphasis on some of the biophysical applications that have been reported in recent years. In the outlook, we discuss further improvements in the assembly of such structures, and explore possible future applications involving super-resolved fluorescence, single-particle cryo-electron (cryo-EM) and x-ray free electron laser (XFEL) nanoscopic imaging techniques, and in creating new synergistic designer materials.
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1. Introduction

Apart from being the genetic molecule, DNA is a viable material for the bottom-up construction of nanoscale shapes and structures [1–3]. Molecular recognition and self-assembly—the elegant principles of organization and function in living materials—are the driving forces behind the fabrication of DNA-based nanoscale materials. One of the foremost goals of nanotechnology is the creation of precisely programmed structures, through the control and manipulation of matter, comparable to those involved in biological processes [4]. Among the available bottom-up construction strategies [5, 6], DNA-based self-assembly has been remarkably effective in designing and building nanoscale objects [7]. Although other biomolecules such as proteins have been used for design and self-assembly, the process is complicated due to the availability of 20 amino acid building blocks and the complex interactions between them [8, 9]. DNA, with the four canonical nucleotides, provides a predictive self-assembly process based on the Watson–Crick base pairing (simplicity in
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The structural features of DNA form the basis of constructing a wide variety of architectures with well-defined shapes and sizes. For instance, the DNA duplex has a diameter of \(\sim 2\) nm, a helical pitch of \(\sim 3.4-3.6\) nm (structurally repeating building block), and a persistence length of \(\sim 50\) nm (rigidity for construction). In addition, single-stranded overhangs called sticky ends can be used to connect duplexes providing a route to hierarchical assembly (structural glue). The better stability of DNA compared to other natural nucleic acids is another advantage for DNA to be a
robust building block. DNA also provides flavor for con-
struction at the nanoscale: for example, non-Watson–Crick
base pairing (e.g. i-motifs, G-quadruplexes, triplexes) [10],
protein binding (e.g. aptamers) [11], and enzymatic activity
e.g. DNAzymes) [12] provide additional tools for the crea-
tion of functional devices and machines. The field of DNA
nanotechnology has expanded to find applications in areas
such as chemistry, biology, computation, medicine, and
materials science. In this article, we cover the basic and
emerging principles for the fabrication of self-assembled
DNA nanostructures and hierarchical assemblies and discuss
some of their applications (See figure 1 for an overview).

2. Assembly principles of self-assembled DNA
nanostructures

The notion of using DNA to build structures was proposed by
Seeman in the early 1980s [13]. The inherent nanoscale fea-
tures of DNA (discussed above) allows it to be useful as a
nanoscale building block. However, the DNA molecule is
inherently linear and in order to achieve multi-dimensional
assembly, branched DNA junctions are required. Inspired by
Holliday junctions [14], Seeman synthesized specific DNA
sequences and created an immobile four-arm DNA junction
that can serve as a construction unit [15]. The number of arms
around the junction can be expanded to contain 5, 6, 8 or
12 arms [16, 17]. Such crossover-based design of tiles or
motifs have formed the basis of nanoscale construction using
DNA, with higher order assembly provided by sticky ends
(hybridization-based), shape complementarity or protein-
mediated assembly.

2.1. Assembly based on hybridization

The first 3D objects created from DNA were a cube
(figure 2(A)) [18] and a truncated octahedron (figure 2(B))
[19]. Assembly of such structures require specific sequence
design so that different regions of component DNA strands
bind to their complementary regions in other strands. Another
example is an octahedron built from a 1.7 kilobase DNA
strand folded by five short strands (figure 2(C)) [20]. Using a
modular approach, icosahedral DNA structures have been
constructed from pre-connected 5-arm DNA junctions
(figure 2(D)) [21]. A DNA tetrahedron constructed using four
component strands is an example of designed assembly of
component DNA strands without involving ligation and
purification steps (figures 2(E) and (F)) [22]. A DNA three-
point-star motif has been used to create tetrahedra, dodeca-
hedra, and buckybells by controlling the flexibility and con-
centration of the component tiles (figure 2(G)) [23]. Each arm
of the star-shaped DNA motif is a four-arm junction and can
self-assemble into symmetric DNA polyhedra through sticky
end hybridization. Furthermore, by controlling the symmetry
of the three-point-star DNA motifs, a DNA cube has also
been constructed (figure 2(H)) [24]. Using a similar strategy,
a five-point-star motif has been used to assemble an icosah-
edron (figure 2(I)) [25]. In addition, 3D DNA prisms have
been constructed using a stepwise strategy [26]. In this case,
triangles or squares with rigid organic molecules at the ver-
tices were first synthesized. Two such units were then con-
ected by linking strands to create prism shapes (figure 2(J)).
A large number of DNA prismatic structures such as trian-
gular, square, pentagonal, and hexagonal prisms were gen-
erated using this modular approach. Such DNA objects
provide encapsulation and release of drugs and nanomaterials,
control over activity of encapsulated proteins, for biosensing,
and for the construction of 3D networks for catalysis [27].

The original goal of assembling materials with DNA was
to build a 3D DNA scaffold that could host external guests for
crystallization [13, 28]. In the process of achieving this goal,
a variety of DNA motifs have been created and used for the
construction of 2D arrays (figure 3). Some examples of such
DNA motifs are the double crossover (DX) [29] and the
triple-crossover (TX) motifs [30] that have been used for the
construction of well-defined 2D lattices with predesigned
periodicity. Another type of motif, the paraneamic crossover
(PX) DNA [31] has been used to link topologically closed
molecules and to create covalently linked 1D DNA arrays
[32, 33]. A variety of other DNA motifs have also been
developed for the construction of nanoscale objects and latt-
ces [34]. Such 2D arrays are useful as programmable scaf-
folds for the organization of nanoparticles [35] and biomolecules [36], and their design, construction and appli-
cations have been reviewed before [37, 38]. Seeman and
coworkers used a tensegrity triangle motif to create the first
rationally designed 3D DNA crystal (figure 4) [39]. The
tensegrity triangle motif contains three double helical edges
connected at the vertices by four arm junctions [40]. The ends
of the helices are tailed with sticky ends so one triangle can
connect to six such triangles. This assembly continues infi-
nitely in three directions leading to the formation of an infinite
periodic lattice (i.e. a crystal). Crystals with varying cavity
sizes were also constructed by varying the edge length of
these motifs [39, 41]. Moreover, the 3D self-assembly can be
designed to contain two different asymmetric units [42],
demonstrating the programmability of such an assembly.
Crystals from the two-helical turn tensegrity triangle motif
diffracted to 4 Å, and for it to be useful as a scaffold for
macromolecular structure determination of external guests,
the robustness has to be improved (i.e. yield high resolution
crystal structures). For this purpose, stability of such crystals
were improved by biological production of component
strands [43], sticky end modifications and sequence choice
[44], triplex-reinforced sticky ends [45] and triplex-directed
photo-crosslinking of the component motifs [46]. The role of
heterogeneity and Watson–Crick interaction strengths in the
growth of such crystals were also studied recently [47].
These designed DNA crystals have been used to host triplex-
forming oligonucleotides that can tether external molecules
on to the framework [48], a polyaniline molecule with
potential in nanoelectronics [49], a color changing strand
deployment-based device [50] and as a system to study
torsionally stressed DNA with induced changes in the helical
twist of the component motif [51].
Figure 2. DNA wire-frame objects. (A) DNA cube [18], (B) truncated octahedron [19], (C) octahedron [20], (D) icosahedron [21], (E) tetrahedron [22], (F) double tetrahedron [22], (G) tetrahedron, octahedron and bucky ball assembled from a three-point-star motif [23], (H) cube assembled from three-point-star motif [24], (I) icosahedron assembled from a five-point-star motif [25], (J) representative examples of DNA prisms [26]. Images reproduced with permission from the following: Reprinted with permission from [19]. Copyright (1994) American Chemical Society. Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: [Nature] [20], Copyright (2004). [21] John Wiley & Sons. Copyright © 2009 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim. From [22]. Reprinted with permission from AAAS. Reprinted with permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature [23], Copyright 2008. Reprinted with permission from [24]. Copyright 2009 American Chemical Society. Reproduced with permission from [25]. Copyright (2008) National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A. Reprinted with permission from [26]. Copyright 2007 American Chemical Society. Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature [3], Copyright 2003.
Another strategy to build DNA nanostructures is to fold a single long stranded scaffold DNA (ssDNA) by hundreds of short complementary staple strands, a method known as DNA origami (figure 5(A)) [52]. A precursor to the DNA origami concept is the octahedron built from a 1.7 kilobase DNA strand folded by five short strands [20]. While DNA origami was initially used for creating planar structures, the method was soon extended to first include the construction of 3D multilayer structures and then only twisted 2D multilayer structures [53], twisted bundles [54], and hollow 3D structures such as boxes [55], spheres and flasks [56]. Moreover, wireframe and mesh-like architectures have also been created using DNA origami by designing specific folding patterns for the scaffold strand [57, 58]. Some examples of DNA origami structures are shown in figures 5(B)–(G). The main advantages of the DNA origami strategy are the simplicity of using a singular scaffold strand and folding it into any desired shape, and the fact that it does not require purification or a stoichiometric mixture of component strands. By designing cross-shaped origami structures to contain sticky ends, long range two-dimensional arrays of DNA origami have been created (figure 5(H)) [59]. In addition, origami structures containing sticky ends can also be hierarchically assembled into larger objects [60]. The strategies involved in the creation of origami structures and their applications were recently covered in a review by Hao Yan and colleagues [61]. Alternative approaches to DNA-based construction are the molecular canvas strategy (figure 6(A)) [62] and assembly using DNA bricks (figure 6(B)) [63]. These strategies are based on single stranded DNA tiles [64] containing four domains (shown in figure 6(A)). Adjacent DNA single stranded tiles connect to each other by pairing up with complementary domains, and continue to form DNA lattices composed of parallel DNA helices.

2.2. Non-base pairing and shape-complementarity based self-assembly

Although Watson–Crick base-pairing is exceptionally powerful in creating self-assembled DNA nanostructures, non-base-pairing interactions have also been exploited for the self-assembly of pre-formed DNA-motifs/nanostructures. Various weak interactions have been used to assemble materials: DNA/RNA-like multiple hydrogen bonds in heteroaromatic modules [65], π–π stacking [66, 67], capillary forces [68], and roughness-controlled depletion attractions (entropy depletion) [69]. In a sense, in the overall process of structure and organization of DNA, the roles of geometric stacking and base-pairing based hybridization are inseparable —where the main distinction is the specifcity offered by hybridization. Though the role of stacking behavior in duplex DNA is well known [70], its implications in DNA nanotechnology have been realized only of late. Blunt-end stacking of bent triple crossover motifs have been shown to result in 1D arrays [71]. However, these interactions produced an arbitrary assembly of the motifs with no specific periodicity when compared to those assembled using motifs containing sticky ends. In most assembly strategies, preventive steps are taken to avoid such stacking by choosing appropriate...
sequence design and by the addition of poly-T loops at blunt-end locations. However, stacking interactions have now been used to assemble pre-formed DNA motifs and structures, though less frequently than the hybridization-based method (involving sticky end connections). For example, blunt end stacking (homophilic attraction) and shape complementarity have been used in the hierarchical assembly of 2D DNA origami structures [72]. Geometric arrangement of stacked blunt ends can follow two approaches. The first approach encodes bond type using a 16 bit (strands) binary code along the edges of a 2D DNA origami rectangle (figure 7(A)-(i)). By addition of specific staple strands, the edges of the rectangle can be programmed to end in blunt helices (‘1’) or by leaving out staples, these can be left as single stranded loops (‘0’). Only the blunt helices allow stacking of adjacent rectangles. This is reprogrammable—for e.g. a single set of 16 strands can create $2^{16} = 65,536$ bond types. The second approach encodes bond type using geometric complementarity between pairs of edge shapes; this approach is not reprogrammable—the shape complementarity is unique for a pair of origami structures (figure 7(A)-(ii)). Symmetry of the structures, mismatch constraints and the flexibility of edges limit the number of usable bonds in such a system. One other limitation is that the total binding energy is limited by the size of the origami while in hybridization-based assembly, the binding energies can be tailored by varying the length of sticky ends. The principles of base-stacking have been extended to assemble discrete multilayer DNA origami objects that assemble in solution through shape complementarity to form various homo- and hetero-multimeric objects [73]. These objects were used in creating micrometer-scale assemblies and reconfigurable nanodevices such as actuators, switchable gears and a nanorobot (figure 7(B)). The stability and functionality of these devices and assemblies could be controlled by cation concentration and temperature. Recently, an origami version of the tensegrity triangle was used to assemble designer 3D crystals, but instead of sticky ends connecting the units, this system relied on shape complementary blunt ends [74].

Surface-assisted assembly of 2D lattices can be achieved by close-packing of symmetric, non-interacting DNA origami structures, or by utilizing blunt-end stacking interactions between the origami units [75]. Adsorption of DNA origami structures on mica surface is mediated by Mg$^{2+}$ ions which act as salt bridges between mica and DNA. Addition of monovalent ions (such as Na$^+$) partly replaces the Mg$^{2+}$ ions.

Figure 4. Designed DNA crystals. (A) A two helical turn tensegrity triangle motif that assembled into a three-dimensional lattice, (B) the crystal formed from such a motif (C) assembly of triangles into a rhombohedral lattice, the three different directions are shown in three colors (D) the crystals structure showing triangle arrangement in the crystal, the white box shows the rhombohedral cavity formed within 8 such triangles. Images reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature [39], Copyright 2009.
and forms a more diffuse charge layer between the surface and the DNA [76]. As a result, the origami structures become mobile on the surface and can then associate to form extended, ordered structures on the surface (figure 7(C)). Similar assembly of DNA lattices has been shown on lipid membranes. For example, blunt-ended DNA origami structures absorbed onto mica-supported lipid bilayers in the presence of divalent cations can associate to form ordered superstructures on the surface [77]. The bilayer-adsorbed origami units are mobile on the surface and self-assemble into large micrometer-sized 2D lattices (figure 7(D)).

2.3. Protein-mediated programmable assembly of DNA–protein hybrid nanoscale shapes

While assembling/patterning of proteins on preformed-DNA nanostructures have been prevalent, there are very few examples of protein-mediated assembly of DNA nanostructures and protein–nucleic acid hybrid nanomaterials. One example is a protein–DNA co-assembling strategy to create a hybrid nanowire [78]. A homodimerization interface was engineered onto the Drosophila Engrailed Homeodomain (ENH) that allowed the dimerized protein complex to bind to two double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) molecules. The protein binding sites on the dsDNA molecules were tailored to result in a nanowire formation (figure 8(A)). The mechanism of formation of these hybrid structures was confirmed by x-ray crystallography. However, programmability is yet to be demonstrated in this method. A recent highlight is the work of Dietz and his colleagues where they used a set of transcription activator-like (TAL) effector proteins to induce folding of dsDNA to form DNA–protein nanoscale shapes (figure 8(B)) [79]. Even though genetic encoding of DNA nanostructures has been reported earlier [80], the key idea here is that proteins act as analogs of oligonucleotide staples in ssDNA-based origami [52]. TAL effector protein-based folding of dsDNA scaffold was used to create various 3D nanoscale shapes even at constant room temperature (figures 8(B)-(i)–(iv)). This method also has implications in improving our understanding of how compaction and organization of chromosomes work in biological conditions and protein-binding induced curvature in multilayer dsDNA-origami structures.

2.4. Computational tools for assembly design and analysis

Advances in a field are greatly influenced by the parallel development of key methods/techniques. To construct DNA nanostructures based on the assembly principles mentioned above, computational tools and efficient experimental designs have been developed in parallel. Computational tools have been of great use in structural design, prediction, and stability validation of artificial macromolecular structures and assemblies. Starting with Seeman’s JUNKART [81] DNA nanotechnology has benefitted from the development of computational tools such as SEQUIN [82] and UNIQUIMER [83] for sequence symmetry minimization of oligonucleotides used in constructing DNA complexes. Modeling programs such as GIDEON [84], TIAMAT [85] and UNIQUIMER 3D [86] allow the design, visualization and analysis of DNA motifs and structures. The program caDNAno [87] is widely used for designing DNA origami structures and the interface DAEDALUS [88] can be used to convert any 3D solid object...
specified using a computer-aided design file into the synthetic DNA sequences required to synthesize the target object. The program CanDo [89] is used for predicting the solution shape and structure of designed DNA nanostructures. While such software are made available as end-user programs for designing DNA nanostructures, several other codes and algorithms used for this purpose might also be available in the public domain. Some of these developments were the fruits of the convergence of the then-ongoing efforts on molecular (DNA) computation and designing topological structures out of DNA. Furthermore, molecular dynamics tools have also been created to simulate DNA origami assembly processes [90, 91]. Recent reports have shown prediction and analysis of structural and mechanical aspects of both tile- and origami-based DNA nanostructures.

Figure 6. Alternative methods of large scale assembly. (A) The molecular canvas strategy where selective single stranded DNA tiles from a pool of tiles assemble to form the specific pattern [62]. (B) The DNA brick strategy to create lego-like building blocks [63]. Images reproduced with permission from the following: Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature [62], Copyright 2012. From [63]. Reprinted with permission from AAAS.
The assembly principles aided by computational and experimental advances have led to the creation of a variety of intricate nanoscale DNA structures with applications ranging from mimicking biological nanomachines to new materials for sensing and imaging.

3. Applications

Myriad intriguing applications have been reported using DNA nanostructures and some of these have already been reviewed extensively. These include drug-delivery [95–102], biosensing [103–108], protein functionalization, scaffolding and enzyme cascades [38, 95, 109–111]. We discuss here recent applications of DNA-based self-assembled structures in the context of structural biophysics, molecular scaffolding, plasmonics/photonics and fluorescence imaging.

3.1. DNA-assisted molecular and structural biophysics

Hybridization, biochemical conjugation and natural affinity to DNA make it possible to functionalize DNA structures with the heteroelements (proteins, small molecules, and nanoparticles). A comprehensive list of the proteins functionalized on (or used for functionalization of) nanoscale DNA constructs is provided as a reference for the readers (table 1). DNA-assisted molecular and structural biophysics is concerned with understanding the structure, function and physicochemical properties of proteins and molecules using DNA as a tool/platform. The key to carry out such studies is positioning proteins and molecules on DNA nanostructures at spatially addressable locations with high precision.

3.1.1. High-precision positioning of molecules

Single molecules studies often require isolated molecules in an environment suitable for measurements. Nanoscale precision offered by DNA-based construction has been used to place molecules at specific locations on 2D platforms and arrays in several studies. Recently, a two-armed DNA origami hinge device has been used to control the positioning of molecules with very high precision (figure 9(A)) [112]. The angle between the two arms of the hinged device can be controlled.
by adjuster helices placed between the arms, with increasing lengths of the adjuster helices resulting in higher angles between the arms (thus larger distances between molecules attached on each of the arms). This system was used to study distance-dependent dye interactions and fluctuation-dependent crosslinking interactions between bismaleimide and thiol groups. The positioning capabilities of the device were tested with photophysical and crosslinking assays, which report the co-ordinates of interest with very high resolution, reaching atomic length scale. Measurements revealed that the smallest displacement step possible was 0.4 Å, which is slightly lesser than the Bohr radius (0.529 Å).

This study reinforces the possibility of placing molecules on DNA nanostructures at very high resolution, a key to do high-resolution single molecule structural studies—thereby bolstering the concept of molecular scaffolding.

3.1.3. Single-molecule force spectrometers. Single molecule spectroscopy is a highly promising technique to understand the folding pathways and elastic response of macromolecules [117–119]. Two main drawbacks to this technique still persist: limited data throughput and noise incurred by the use of connector molecules report the events at a macroscopic scale. The former limitation can be addressed by parallel data acquisition from multiple events while the latter can be aided by the use of nanoscopic connectors that can sense minute conformational changes with minimal noise. To address this issue, rigid DNA origami beams have been used for single molecule force spectroscopy experiments [120–122]. This concept has been used to build a nanoscopic force clamp that allows autonomous operation and massively parallel data collection [121]. The device consisted of a bracket-shaped DNA origami clamp with a single stranded DNA spring extending within the space of the clamp (figure 9(E)). Single-stranded DNA molecules of different lengths attached to the molecule of interest act as entropic springs, with shorter...
Table 1. Protein/peptide components in DNA-based nanoscale constructs.

| Protein component | DNA nanostructure | Binding route | Biophysical study/application/significance | Reference |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------------------------------|------------|
| Streptavidin      | Nanowires, 2D arrays (cross motif), 2D origami | Biotin–avidin | Surface patterning, single molecule chemical reactions, sensors | [313–315] |
| Glucose oxidase/ horseradish peroxidase | DNA origami nanotube | Neutravidin–biotin | Molecular enzyme cascades | [306] |
| Zinc-finger protein | 2D origami | DNA sequence recognition | As protein-binding adaptors | [316] |
| Cyan fluorescent protein, yellow fluorescent protein | 2D origami | Sequence specific zinc-finger adaptors | Site-specific binding on DNA origami | [316] |
| Platelet-derived growth factor | 2D origami | Aptamer-binding | Protein array on origami, sensing | [317] |
| Thrombin | 2D origami | Aptamer-binding | Protein array on origami, analysis of distant-dependent protein binding | [317] |
| RuvAB, Neurotensin receptor type 1 (NTS1), Guanine nucleotide binding protein (Goαi) | 2D Kagome-array formed from 4-arm junctions | Holliday junction-binding, His-tag and tris-NTA modification | Cryo-EM molecular support | [126, 127] |
| Transferrin | 20-helix bundle origami nanotubes | DNA-peptide amide linkage | Amyloid nucleation and growth | [318] |
| Kinesin-1, Dyenin | 6-helix bundle origami | SNAP tag, DNA–DNA | Biomimetic study of molecular motors | [178] |
| Enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (eYFP) | 12-helix bundle origami | DNA-peptide amide linkage | Super-resolution microscopy | [319] |
| TATA box binding protein | Origami force clamp | DNA-binding | Measuring forces involving in protein binding | [121] |
| Green fluorescent protein | Origami device | DNA-binding | Analysis of biomolecular interactions based on nanactuation | [320] |
| Nucleosomes | Origami nanocaliper/hinge | DNA-binding | Force spectrometers to study histone protein binding to DNA | [115, 116] |
| Transcription factor p53 | 3D origami cage | Sequence-specific dsDNA binding | Molecular support in cryo-EM; structure solved at 14 Å | [132] |
| DegP (serine protease) | 3D origami cage | DNA-peptide amide linkage; DNA–DNA | Multiple anchor stabilization of a 500 kDa protein in origami cage | [323] |
| eYFP, mKate, cytochrome C peroxidase (CCP), esterase 2 (EST2) | 2D origami templates | Snap-tags and Halo-tags | Site-specific functionalization of nanostructures | [321] |
| RecA | Origami wireframe objects | DNA-binding | DNA–protein filament assembly | [322] |
| Engineered Drosophila Engrailed homeodomain (ENH) | Protein-mediated DNA nanowires | dsDNA-binding | DNA–protein hybrid nanoshapes; hierarchical assembly | [78] |
| Engineered transcription activator-like (TAL) effector proteins | Protein-mediated dsDNA origami | dsDNA-binding | Programmable protein-mediated folding of dsDNA, DNA–protein hybrid nanoscale shapes | [79] |
Figure 9. DNA nanostructures for biophysical analysis. (A) A DNA origami hinge object that can place molecules in specific distances on the two arms of the hinge [112]. (B) The hinged DNA origami used to explore nucleosome unwrapping [114] (C) a similar DNA origami object used to study the forces between two nucleosomes [115] (D) a DNA origami nanocaliper used to study nucleosome stability [116] (E) DNA origami beams tethered on micrometer sized beads to study base stacking forces [122] (F) DNA origami based force clamps to study Holliday junction conformation [121]. Images reproduced with permission from the following: Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature Nanotechnology [112], Copyright 2016. Reprinted with permission from [114]. Copyright 2016 American Chemical Society. Reprinted/adapted from [115]. © The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC) http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/ Reprinted with permission from [116]. Copyright 2016 American Chemical Society. From [122]. Reprinted with permission from AAAS. From [121]. Reprinted with permission from AAAS.
arrays to host protein for cryo-EM studies for NMR analysis bundles weak alignment of membrane proteins. Six-helix DNA origami functioning at a range of pH, is suitable to achieve transient is preferred for aligning membrane proteins. DNA origami addition to retaining conditions for solution-state high crystalline media enables the measurement of RDCs. In study. Partial directional averaging of proteins in liquid resolution structural features of the macromolecules under a 40 kDa tetrameric BM2 channel protein reconstituted in detergent micelles and aided in solving the structure de novo [123, 124]. These DNA nanotubes have also been used to determine the high resolution (backbone) structure of mitochondrial uncoupling protein 2 (UCP2), a membrane protein that facilitates the transport of small molecules across the mitochondrial inner membrane (figure 10(A), bottom) [125].

3.2.2. Cryo-EM structure determination of proteins using DNA-based molecular supports. Holliday junctions tail with sticky ends have been used to construct 2D arrays and to host junction-binding proteins such as RuvA [126]. Specifically designed four-arm junctions assemble into a trigonal 2D crystalline array (figure 10(B), top). These lattices were used to arrange a 40 kDa guanine nucleotide binding protein G(o), rat neurotensin receptor type 1 (NTS1, a 43 kDa protein), and the signaling complexes of NTS1 with G(o) in 2D array [127]. Attachment of proteins on the DNA array was facilitated by N-terminal His-tags on the protein and a tris-nitrotriacetic acid (tris-NTA) modification on one of the component DNA strands of the junction unit. These arrays were analyzed by cryo-electron microscopy (Cryo-EM) which usually requires a large number of particle images for high-resolution 3D reconstruction (figure 10(B), bottom). Such 2D DNA nanoaffinity templates can be used to create dense non-overlapping arrays of protein molecules for structure determination of aperiodic single-particles using Cryo-EM, while also allowing high-throughput data collection.

Structural elucidation of computer-designed DNA origami structures would aid in better designing of artificial nanomachines and highly rigid structures (at high resolutions), which in turn could act as molecular scaffolds to image small proteins and molecules that are attached to them. Since the advent of new detectors and aberration-corrected electron microscopes, single-particle cryo-EM is becoming an

Figure 10. DNA-based nanostructures and scaffolds for macromolecular structure determination. (A) DNA nanotubes for aligning proteins for NMR analysis (top) and structure of a mitochondrial uncoupling protein solved using DNA nanotubes [125] (B) DNA junction based 2D arrays to host protein for cryo-EM studies [127]. (C) Cryo EM structure of a 3D DNA origami object [131] (D) a DNA origami-based molecular support for cryo-EM structure determination [132]. Images reproduced with permission from the following: Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature Protocols [124], Copyright 2013. Reprinted with permission from [127]. Copyright 2011 American Chemical Society. Reproduced with permission from [131]. Reproduced with permission from [132]. Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature [125], Copyright 2011.
increasingly important tool to elucidate the structures of uncrystallized aperiodic single-macromolecules [128–130]. At the time of this review preparation, there has been only one reconstructed structure of 3D DNA origami available at a reasonable resolution, that of a compact hand-shaped 3D DNA origami structure using cryo-EM, with an overall resolution of 11.5 Å, with the resolution ranging from 9.7 Å at the core to 14 Å at the periphery (figure 10(C)) [131]. This densely packed structure possessed a few unique unnatural DNA topologies such as vertical stack of five Holiday junctions and left-handed pseudohelices. The study of DNA origami structures using cryo-EM led to the use of such constructs as molecular supports for structure elucidation of other biomolecules. For example, a hollow DNA origami cage has been used as a molecular support to study the transcription factor p53 using cryo-EM [132]. The cavity of the DNA origami cage contains a single double helix with a specific sequence that the protein can bind to (figure 10(D)). Moreover, by controlling the position of this sequence, the orientation of the protein in this cage can also likely be controlled and analyzed using cryo-EM. Such DNA cages also likely protect the confined proteins from external harsh environments in EM studies.

3.3. DNA nanostructures for plasmonic/photonic materials

Spatially addressable arrangement and assembly of atoms, molecules, and nanoparticles at the nanoscale or sub-nanoscale level are crucial steps to achieve the goals of nanotechnology. Gold and silver nanoparticles (Au/Ag NPs) are the widely used nanoparticle systems in DNA-metal hybrid assembly. While there are reports on other semiconductor nanoparticle systems whose surfaces can be modified, the facile nature of functionalizing and attaching DNA on the surface of AuNPs, coupled with the nanoscale phenomenon of surface plasmon resonance in the visible range makes AuNPs the most utilized candidate in DNA-metal hybrid systems. The assembly of AuNPs into reversible macroscopic aggregates using thiol-modified oligonucleotides [133] and the precise positioning of small AuNPs on a dsDNA template [134] laid the foundation for DNA-based nanoparticle assembly. Since then, the community has made large strides in assembling a gamut of nanoparticle systems using DNA. Some examples include AuNPs arranged into 2D lattices using DNA origami nanoflowers [135], size-selective placement on a triangular origami structure [136], periodic AuNP lattices [35], and binary mixtures of anisotropic nanoparticles [137]. DNA-based positioning of nanoparticles at spatially addressable locations on complex nanoscale structures lead to emergent properties with applications as plasmonic materials [138].

With molecular self-assembly, control and arrangement of nanomaterials in complex geometries in three-dimensions can be achieved more easily at the nanoscale than with the conventional lithographic approaches. DNA, with its programmable nature, allows the assembly of plasmonic/photonic materials in desired geometries with nanoscale precision and high fidelity [139–141]. For example, DNA origami nanotubes have been used to create chiral AuNP assemblies that exhibited characteristic bisignate signatures in the visible range (figure 11(A)) [142]. In another example, 2D DNA origami sheets functionalized with linear chains of gold nanoparticles were rolled into DNA tubes to create gold nanohelices exhibiting chiral characteristics (figure 11(B)) [143]. Similarly, a 3D plasmonic chiral AuNP tetramer has also been assembled using a 2D origami rectangle (figure 11(C)) [144]. A DNA origami-based reconfigurable plasmonic nanosystem that can be controlled by toehold-based strand displacement has also been developed [145]. In this case, the origami structure had two 14-helix bundles connected in the middle, each of which carries a gold nanorod (figure 11(D)). By introducing specific DNA strands, the arms of the bundles can be connected to create a right- or left-handed optical response. DNA-functionalized gold nanorod plasmonic walkers have been designed to take nanoscale steps on a DNA origami platform [146]. The progressive steps made by the walker trigger a series of conformational changes to the plasmonically coupled system, thus giving rise to immediate spectral response changes. This dynamic walking process can be read out using optical spectroscopy (figure 11(E)) [147]. Moreover, in this system, the optical response can be tailored by modifying the number of walkers. Such a system allows monitoring of nanoscale locomotion on the order of several nanometers, which is far below the optical resolution limit.

Precise assembly of nanoparticles into crystalline and open 3D frameworks has also been achieved by connecting them through designed DNA-based polyhedral frames [148, 149]. DNA-based binding of nanoparticles to these frames, along with the geometry of the designed frames allow defined connections and architectures (figure 11(F)). Such DNA origami frames can be used to fabricate metal clusters with various symmetries and particle compositions, and to create nanoclusters with different chiroptical activities [150]. For example, toroidal metamolecules have been created using circular DNA origami frames and AuNPs (figure 11(G)) [151]. In addition to spherical nanoparticles, gold nanorods (AuNRs) assembled on 2D DNA origami templates have been shown to exhibit strong chiroptical activities [152]. Bifacial DNA origami has been used as a template to create discrete anisotropic AuNR dimer nanoarchitectures (figure 11(H)) [153]. In this system, the 3D spatial configuration was precisely tuned by rationally shifting the location of AuNRs on the origami template. This strategy was further extended to create AuNR helical superstructures with tailored chirality by designing a cross-shaped arrangement of DNA capturing strands on both sides of the 2D DNA origami template (figure 11(I)) [154]. AuNRs functionalized with complementary DNA strands bind to the origami template and assemble into AuNR helices with the origami intercalated between adjacent AuNRs. Such precise arrangement of metal particles on DNA origami has also been shown to be a viable tool for the creation surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS)-active nanoparticle assemblies (figure 11(J)) [155]. In a recent report, an AuNP was designed to perform a stepwise ‘roll’ directionally and progressively on DNA origami, while another AuNP was used as a stator [156]. The inter-particle distance variation generated by the rolling of the AuNP
reporter was monitored by SERS. This method could be used as an optical reporter to monitor inter-particle variations in plasmonic nanostructures. Self-similar (similar to part of itself) chains of metal nanoparticles can create nanolenses which provide extremely high field enhancements. Heck et al. created gold nanolenses by connecting gold nanoparticles, which are similar, but different in size, using DNA origami [157]. They placed 10, 20, and 60 nm spherical gold nanoparticles extremely close to each other in different geometrical arrangements on a triangular DNA origami shape using sticky ends. They studied the field enhancement effect by placing dye molecules on nanoparticles and measuring SERS as a function of geometrical arrangement of three particles and inter-particle distances. The 20-10-60 nm

Figure 11. DNA-based plasmonic nano-assemblies. (A) DNA origami bundles for chiral arrangement of AuNPs [142]. (B) DNA origami sheets with AuNPs rolled into chiral gold arrangements [143]. (C) Chiral tetramers arranged on DNA origami sheets [144]. (D) Reconfigurable DNA plasmonic nanorods hosted on DNA origami device [145]. (E) A nanoplasmonic walker on DNA origami [147]. (F) DNA origami frames used to create nanoparticle clusters. Frame shapes are shown on the left and the corresponding unit cells are shown on the right [148]. (G) Plasmonic toroidal metamolecules assembled by DNA origami [151]. (H) Gold nanorods with tunable position on DNA origami template [153]. (I) Gold nanorod helical superstructures with designed chirality arranged using DNA origami [154]. (J) DNA origami based assembly of gold nanoparticle dimers for surface-enhanced Raman scattering [155]. Images reproduced with permission from the following: Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature [142], Copyright 2012. Reprinted with permission from [143]. Copyright 2012 American Chemical Society. Reprinted with permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature Materials [145], Copyright 2014. Reprinted with permission from [147]. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society. Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature Materials [148], Copyright 2016. Reprinted with permission from [151]. Copyright 2016 American Chemical Society. Reprinted with permission from [153]. Copyright 2013 American Chemical Society. Reprinted with permission from [154]. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society. Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature Communications [155], Copyright 2014.
particle arrangement on DNA origami resulted in the maximum field enhancement matching theoretical expectations of a cascaded field enhancement effect. Zhan et al. demonstrated a controlled shift of the plasmonic resonance peak by aligning gold nanorods on a DNA origami tripod [158]. The angle between the legs was controlled by toehold-based strand displacement, in turn resulting in reconfigurable plasmonic properties. The distinct electromagnetic response from the tripod shapes matched the calculated response as a function of angle between the legs. At this point, we point the reader to a recent review article by Liu and colleagues that discusses DNA nanotechnology-based chiral plasmonic architectures in great detail [159].

3.4. DNA nanostructures in fluorescence imaging

Apart from the assembly of plasmonic materials discussed above, DNA-based constructs have been used in assembling fluorescent materials/molecules (for examples, quantum dots or fluorophores) [160–162] and in fluorescence imaging. The ability to functionalize DNA-based structures with fluorophores at spatially addressable locations with high precision makes it

Figure 12. DNA nanostructures for fluorescence imaging. (A) Fluorescent barcode based on a DNA nanorods [172]. (B) DNA-based metalfluorophores for patterned pictograms [173]. (C) Fluorescence imaging of transient binding on DNA origami for super-resolution microscopy [177]. (D) DNA origami polyhedra characterized by DNA-PAINT [60]. (E) 3D reconstruction of an origami tetrahedron structure from super-resolution light-microscopy images [183]. (F) DNA origami-based standards for quantitative fluorescence microscopy [186]. Images reproduced with permission from the following: Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature Chemistry [172], Copyright 2012. Reprinted/adapted from [173]. © The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial License 4.0 (CC BYNC) http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync/4.0/ Reprinted with permission from [177]. Copyright 2010 American Chemical Society. From [60]. Reprinted with permission from AAAS. Reproduced with permission from [183]. Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature Protocols [186], Copyright 2014. Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature Chemistry [172], Copyright 2012.
useful as fluorescence nanoscale rulers [163], sensors [164], and molecular switches for probing the dynamics of sub-cellular structures and events [165, 166]. Such structures are also used to engineer the photo-physical properties of QDs/fluorophores and to create smart photonic and light harvesting devices [161, 167–170]. For instance, fluorophores strategically placed in the plasmonic hot spot using DNA would exhibit several fold enhanced fluorescence [171].

Lin et al created a fluorescent barcode using a rigid DNA origami rod with multiple fluorescent tags (figure 12(A)) [172]. Previously, fluorescence barcoding work with DNA tile arrays had been carried out for the biosensing of nucleic acids [164]. More recently, DNA origami based meta-fluorophores have been introduced, where fluorophores are densely packed on a DNA origami platform (132 dyes on a \(60 \times 30\) nm\(^2\) origami) [173]. The brightness and color of the metafluorophores can be tuned to create a pallet of 124 virtual colors. These metafluorophores were used as high throughput nucleic acid detection sensor (figure 12(B)).

Stochastic super-resolved fluorescence imaging techniques [174, 175] take advantage of the ‘on’ and ‘off’ states of fluorophores. The base pair recognition and hybridization principle of DNA coupled with the Points Accumulation for Imaging in Nanoscope Topography (PAINT) method [176] led to a versatile imaging method DNA-PAINT [177]. This method achieves the stochastic blinking of fluorophores through transient binding of free-floating imager DNA-strand (labeled with fluorophore) that docks with the target complementary strand (figure 12(C)). Fluorescence is detected only in bound states (on) and once the strand dissociates it does not fluoresce anymore (off). This allows imaging cellular structures at molecular or super resolution even with normal epi-fluorescence or confocal microscopes. This method not only enables super-resolved optical imaging of sub-cellular structures but also aids the optical characterization of DNA-based nanostructures and assemblies. For example, polyhedra assembled from DNA origami tripods have been characterized in solution using the DNA-PAINT method (figure 12(D)) [60]. Previously, Shih and co-workers studied the motor movements of dyenin and kinesin on DNA origami tubes and used DNA-PAINT to characterize the spacing (∼28 nm) between the adjacent protein molecules on the protein–DNA origami construct [178]. Further, newer versions of this method such as quantitative-PAINT and exchange-PAINT have been demonstrated using DNA origami structures [179–182]. Nollman and his colleagues applied DNA-PAINT method to collect thousands of 2D fluorescence images of a DNA origami tetrahedron in solution in a microfluidic chamber [183]. They classified the images into class averages and reconstructed the 3D structure by applying angular reconstitution in combination with multivariate statistics method (following the methods developed for 3D cryo-EM imaging—but the signal here is emitted photons from the fluorophores) (figure 12(E)). The computationally designed, well characterized DNA origami objects labeled with fluorophores act as excellent model samples for the imaging method development [183].

Steinhauer et al demonstrated the concept of DNA-based nanoscale ruler for super-resolution fluorescence microscopy with a rectangular 2D DNA origami labeled with two fluorophores separated by a distance below the diffraction limit [163]. Since then, DNA origami objects labeled with fluorophores with inter-fluorophore distances below the diffraction limit have become standard nanoscopy rulers in super-resolution microscopy. Schmied et al further developed this concept with various 3D DNA origami shapes, and showed that fluorophores could be placed within 5 nm next to each other and still be resolved (figure 12(F)) [184–186]. Recently, Hell and his colleagues used DNA origami objects as test samples and nanoscale fluorescence rulers to demonstrate a new variant of super-resolution imaging method MINFLUX (MINimal emission FLUxes), achieving nanometer resolution while utilizing fewer number of emitted photons than before [187]. Readers may refer to the recent review by Jungmann and co-workers for further information on the applications of DNA nanotechnology in fluorescence imaging [188].

4. Summary and perspective

With the expanding tools of structural biology, what DNA nanotechnology has to offer to the biophysical community is an important question. DNA nanostructures have been used as custom-designed model systems for method development of imaging techniques, as molecular scaffolds to obtain molecular structure of guests, to assemble or align molecules, as molecular beacons/sensors/spectrometers to probe a chemical or physical process, and as nanoscale rulers. 3D assembly of plasmonic materials in complex geometries has now become routine with DNA-based assembly routes; such constructs would be useful in probing intracellular events with dark field microscopy coupled with spectroscopy [189, 190]. DNA origami nanorulers have become standards in fluorescence microscopy and the technology has already been commercialized. As we noted above, DNA origami tubes have been used to align membrane proteins for high-resolution NMR structure determination. Cryo-EM has undergone rapid developments in the past few years in terms of resolution and the size of the macromolecules that can be imaged [191–194]. While it has become possible to determine the 3D structures of sub-100 kDa protein molecules directly with cryo-EM [194, 195], DNA based molecular supports still offer the possibility of imaging much smaller proteins/macromolecules attached to such supports as well as to study the forces or interaction energy landscape between macromolecules using unique unnatural constructs [115, 127, 132].

Highly intense femtosecond pulses of x-ray free electron lasers (XFEL) offer the possibility of imaging the structure and dynamics of biomolecules and macromolecular complexes frozen in time at room temperature while outrunning radiation damage [196–202]. XFEL single-particle diffractive imaging is in its early stages of development [203–205]. A key challenge in this emerging lensless imaging technique is measuring the weak diffraction signal of a single biomolecule above the background noise at high-resolution in a single-shot with an x-ray laser pulse. DNA nanotechnology has a lot to
offer to XFEL imaging techniques and would likely be useful to orient single macromolecules arbitrarily without the need to strictly position the molecules in the crystallographic lattice positions. Such an alignment strategy would yield enhanced signal while minimizing the orientation determination problem, and can be used to reconstruct the 3D structure of weakly scattering single biomolecules at high resolution at room temperature without the need for crystallization [206–208]. For instance, single-molecules could be rigidly attached to DNA origami tubes and low-aligned in a low-background, ultra-thin liquid-jet to obtain single-shot, single molecule diffraction with XFEL pulses, where the DNA nanostructure could also act as a holographic reference to the target molecule [209]. Furthermore, custom-designed DNA origami objects/molecular scaffolds would be excellent model samples for XFEL imaging method development [203].

On the other hand, the expanding gamut of biophysical tools offers the possibility to characterize and understand DNA-based nanostructures and assemblies in unprecedented detail. Innovations in nanoscopic tools would enable the observation of assembly process and structural dynamics of DNA nanostructures at higher resolution and faster timescales than currently possible at the single molecule level [210]. For instance, high-speed atomic force microscopy (AFM) has been used to image light-induced dynamics in DNA nanostructures and the possibilities to visualize a range of dynamics with different triggers (temperature, pH) and the dynamics of protein–DNA nanostructure interactions are quite evident [211, 212]. Other emerging techniques such as liquid phase single-particle imaging with electrons [213–215] may help understand the assembly of higher order DNA objects in solution at electron microscopy resolution; such studies are now carried out with AFM [216]. In addition, upcoming nanoscale imaging techniques such as low-energy electron diffraction [217], 3D super-resolved fluorescence imaging [183, 218], static and time resolved cryo-EM [219, 220], coherent diffusive single-particle imaging [205, 221, 222] and incoherent diffusive imaging (quantum imaging) with XFEL utilizing the fluorescence from the phosphorous (P) in nucleic acids [223, 224] would enable unique experiments with DNA-based architectures and bring new understanding of DNA nanostructures and machines. Empirical mapping of the conformational energy landscape of DNA nanostructures has concomitantly become feasible through single-molecule structural studies. Ultrafast imaging techniques [204, 210, 223–227] which utilize ultra-short (femtosecond and attosecond) pulses would likely enable us to understand the charge transfer and other ultra-fast processes in DNA nanostructures and DNA-based photonic/plasmonic constructs at the elementary timescales of atomic and electronic motions.

Conventional spectroscopic, crystallographic, and scattering techniques investigating ensembles would still be beneficial and relevant to investigate DNA nanostructures. Small angle x-ray scattering (SAXS) has been used to analyze an ensemble of DNA nanostructures in solution [228]. For example, synchrotron or home-source based SAXS has been used to analyze interhelical spacing in sheet-, brick-, and cylinder-shaped DNA origami constructs as a function of temperature and Mg2+ ion concentration [228] and to study conformational changes and flexibility in DNA devices [229]. Time-resolved fluorescence studies have also been carried out to study energy transfer between strategically positioned dyes (akin to a photonic wire) on a DNA origami platform [230]. The original goal of designing 3D DNA crystals to accommodate guest molecules is still relevant and new techniques like room temperature serial femtosecond crystallography (SFX), which simultaneously opens up ultrafast time resolved studies [197, 231] and cryogenic micro-electron diffraction (micro-ED) [232] have relaxed the size limits of crystals. With such advanced techniques, certain crystal imperfections might even lead to better resolution reconstruction [206]. Crystals with specific translational disorder produce continuous diffraction—the incoherent sum of the elastic scattering signal of each three dimensionally aligned single molecule—apart from Bragg spots (the coherent sum). In a recent SFX experiment, using only continuous diffraction signal, the single-molecule structure was reconstructed by applying coherent diffractive imaging technique’s iterative phasing leading to a resolution beyond Bragg spots [206]. Certain flexibility and disorder of DNA crystalline scaffolds could be tolerated and might in fact be beneficial in the SFX method [199, 233]. Perhaps, engineering the translational symmetry of molecules to produce only continuous diffraction and getting rid of Bragg spots in a suitably sized DNA nanocrystal/scaffold (single to a few unit cells) might open up a new avenue of DNA-assisted single particle imaging with XFEL, since multiple copies of the molecules in the same orientation with tolerable translational disorder would provide amplified single-particle signal [233]. Attempts to crystallize DNA origami structures (∼4.8 MDa) have been made and a DNA origami tensile stress triangle was recently assembled into a 3D array [74], but solving the crystal structure of such origami lattices has been challenging due to the inherent flexibility and heterogeneity of the cohering units [47]. Highly rigid, non-heterogeneous and defect-free 3D DNA nanoscale origami shapes at high resolution (atomic/near-atomic) need to be realized yet. Given the pace at which the field is progressing, with the advancements in computational tools for design and stability analysis, and synthesis methods, this seems feasible. Here, one needs to note that the increasing understanding of biological machines at high resolutions indicates that (conformational) heterogeneity in biology is quiet common—and it is not completely an undesirable quality in artificial machines, although perfection is preferred.

Assembly of nanostructures based on DNA have been thoroughly studied in recent times for the kinetic and thermodynamic constraints [234]. For DNA origami, various strategies have been used to construct a variety of shapes, and in addition, the folding pathways of origami have been optimized for better yields [235, 236]. Advances in design of such structures also allow more control over their growth and assembly [237]. The size of DNA origami nanostructures is limited by the length of the scaffold strand, with a majority of
DNA origami structures today constructed using the ~7 kb M13 single stranded scaffold [52]. This limitation is now addressed by creating scaffolds ranging in length from ~700 to ~50,000 nucleotides using different strategies (figure 13) [60, 238–242]. Thus one can choose from a library of scaffold strands to build nanostructures of desired sizes (figure 12) [243]. Earlier construction of DNA nanostructures involved a thermal annealing process. While many assemblies still have this as a requirement, recent successes in isothermal assembly has made the creation of DNA nanostructures feasible for protein–DNA hybrids since proteins or peptides do not survive the high temperatures during the annealing process [244–249]. Enzymatic production of DNA strands is another feature that aids the large scale production of DNA-based nanostructures [250], as well as using a limited set of reusable sequences to fold a desired origami structure [251]. Moreover, the cost of producing such DNA structures can be reduced by using chip-synthesized oligonucleotides [252–254]. In addition, intact bacteriophages have been used to assemble DNA origami structures [255], and very recently, biotechnological mass production of DNA origami has also been demonstrated—heralding the beginning of industrial scale DNA nanotechnology [256]. One other requirement for most DNA nanostructures is their purification after assembly [257]. Many different purification strategies have been developed for this purpose, including rate-zonal centrifugation [258, 259], PEG-based separation [123, 259, 260], size exclusion columns [259, 261], spin filters [262], magnetic bead capture [259], liquid chromatography-based techniques [263] free-flow electrophoresis [264] and the routinely used method based on agarose gel electrophoresis extraction [259, 265].

The versatility of DNA based construction has resulted in a wide variety of heterogeneous complexes. Aided by advances in chemical synthesis of DNA strands with desired functional groups, almost any guest can be attached to an underlying motif or an origami structure. Click-based functionalization [266, 267] and recognition of DNA by functional ligands and triplexes [268, 269], for example, are other routes to functionalizing designer DNA architectures. Moreover, recent efforts have demonstrated the use of xeno nucleic acids [270] in DNA nanostructures, and could be expanded to include modified nucleotides [271] and non-traditional base pairs [272]. Moreover, incorporation of unnatural base pairs has been shown to enhance the stability of DNA nanostructures [273] and can thus lead to construction of more robust architectures. It is worth noting that RNA nanostructures and RNA-based origami are also emerging following the footsteps of DNA nanotechnology [274, 275]. Simplicity in designing nanostructures and positioning molecules at spatially addressable locations in the case of nucleic acid nanostructures is the key advantage over other biomolecular constructs. With increasing understanding of the design rules [8], designer protein materials have also started to play significant roles in the development of bionanomaterials and biophysical applications [276, 277]. We envisage a high degree of synergy between protein-based designer materials and artificial nucleic acid nanostructures in the future leading to much sophisticated mimics of bio-machineries with novel functions.

Other areas of applications we did not cover in this review include drug delivery and biosensing. DNA nanocarriers show enhanced stability in biological environments [278, 279] and have been used to encapsulate cargos such as doxorubicin [280], CpG motifs [281], siRNA [282], proteins
In the biosensing aspect, DNA based biosensors have been used to detect both protein and nucleic acid targets. The amalgamation of DNA nanotechnology with lithography has unique potential in the area of sensors and molecular electronics. Dynamic DNA devices and self-replicating nanomachines are also another active area of research. Dynamic DNA devices and self-replicating nanomachines are also another active area of research. DNA nanotechnology with lithography has unique potential in the area of sensors and molecular electronics.
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