**Abstract:** Optical excitation is widely acknowledged as one of the most effective means of balancing sensor responses and response/recovery properties at room temperature (RT, 25 °C). Moreover, noble metals have been proven to be suitable as photosensitizers for optical excitation. Localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) determines the liberalization of quasi-free electrons in noble metals under light irradiation, and numerous injected electrons in semiconductors will greatly promote the generation of chemisorbed oxygen, thus elevating the sensor response. In this study, pure SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ nanowires (NWs) were successfully synthesized through the electrospinning method and validated using XRD, EDS, HRTEM, and XPS. Although a Schottky barrier led to a much higher initial resistance of the Au/SnO$_2$ composite compared with pure SnO$_2$ at RT in the dark, the photoinduced resistance of the Au/SnO$_2$ composite became lower than that of pure SnO$_2$ under UV irradiation with the same intensity, which confirmed the effect of LSPR. Furthermore, when used as sensing materials, a detailed comparison between the sensing properties of pure SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ composite toward NO$_2$ in the dark and under UV irradiation highlighted the crucial role of the LSPR effects. In particular, the response of Au/SnO$_2$ NWs toward 5 ppm NO$_2$ could reach 65 at RT under UV irradiation, and the response/recovery time was only 82/42 s, which far exceeded those under Au modification-only or optical excitation-only. Finally, the gas-sensing mechanism corresponding to the change in sensor performance in each case was systematically proposed.
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1. **Introduction**

NO$_2$, one of the most typical and active oxidizing gases, has been thoroughly studied as a target gas in terms of gas sensing. On the one hand, due to the wide presence, large reserves, and great environmental harm caused by NO$_2$ [1], relevant research on sensing is of great significance. On the other hand, the high activity and strong oxidizability make NO$_2$ more prone to gas-sensitive reactions, further stimulating the interests of researchers [2].

As is the case of other target gases, with the broadening of NO$_2$ sensing research, the attention on gas sensitivity indicators has changed from a high response [3] to a low operating temperature [4–7], to equal emphasis on these two indicators [8–10]. Nowadays, with the increasing pursuit of low-temperature detection, the resulting low response and lengthy response/recovery times are worrying and need prompt solutions. For NO$_2$, its superior electron-withdrawing ability makes it adsorb on the surface of sensitive materials...
in the forms of NO$_2^-$ and NO$_3^-$ through the direct extraction of electrons from conduction bands [11–14], which is markedly different from other gases. Obviously, the above mechanisms determine the difficulties in desorption for NO$_2$ without thermal excitation compared with other gases [15].

In order to achieve better NO$_2$ sensing, diverse sensitive material types and supplementary means have been exploited in recent years. Combing the pertinent literature, the main methods can be roughly generalized into three categories when optimizing the sensing performance of a single semiconductor metal oxide (SMO) on NO$_2$, namely, (a) noble metal loading [16–23], (b) composites with carbonaceous materials [11–14,24–31], and (c) optical excitation [32–41].

As for noble metal (Ag [16], Au [17–23], Pd [19,20], and Pt [20], etc.) loading, the intrinsic catalytic action of noble metals plays a critical role in improving the NO$_2$ sensing properties of corresponding metal oxides. Three universal rules can be determined in the noble metal loading method: (i) the lifting ranges of sensor responses toward NO$_2$ for bare SMOs are bound up with noble metal species [17,19,20] and contents [17,21]; (ii) noble metal loading contributes finitely to decreases in sensor operating temperature, and sensors based on noble metal/SMOs composites usually still work at high temperatures when detecting NO$_2$ [16–23]; (iii) the catalytic effects of noble metals play a limited role in the improvement of response/recovery speeds for NO$_2$ sensing [16–18,22,23].

Regarding composites with carbonaceous materials (graphene [11–14,24–30], CNTs [31], etc.), their inherent good conductivity greatly improves the electrical (conduction) characteristics of SMOs. The conductance modulation of SMOs through carbonaceous materials usually leads to the following consequences concerning NO$_2$ sensing properties: (i) carbonaceous materials generally yield composites that exhibit room-temperature NO$_2$ gas sensing [11–14,24–31], and RT is usually the optimal working temperature as well [11,12,29]; (ii) the responses of sensors based on composites consisting of carbonaceous materials and SMOs toward NO$_2$ are fairly low at RT [12–14,26–31]; and (iii) response and recovery processes, especially recovery, in NO$_2$ sensing are too lengthy at RT even under the promotion of the high carrier mobility of carbonaceous materials [11,12,24–26,28,31].

As for optical excitation, distinguished from the two above methods, photoinduced separation of electron–hole pairs will generate numerous free electrons, which eventually increase the content of chemisorbed oxygen species to boost sensing-associated redox reactions [38,42,43]. To some extent, the effect of photon energy injection through photoexcitation can be analogous to traditional thermal activation in determining the occurrence of gas-sensitive reactions [32,34,37]. Most importantly, optical irradiation only causes a slight increase in device temperature [32], which is completely negligible. To date, photoexcitation is regarded as the most effective way to assist the implementation of room-temperature NO$_2$ sensing, displacing the original role of thermal activation in this process without increasing the device temperature. In addition, photoexcitation light sources can be further subdivided into visible light [32–35] or UV irradiation [36–41] based on the size (narrow or wide) of the SMO bandgaps.

Either way, five important conclusions can be summarized: (i) photoactivation plays overlapping and conflicting roles with thermal activation in NO$_2$ sensing. Thus, extra increases or decreases in device temperature will suppress the existing optimal responses [32,33,36]. Additionally, the optimal working temperature of light-enhanced NO$_2$ sensors (usually RT [33–41]) is an eclectic result of corresponding optical irradiation parameters. (ii) The irradiance (intensity) of light sources influences the surface reaction kinetics [37]. Thereby, analogously to familiar optimal operating temperatures, there are also optimal light intensities [32,33,36,37,39] in determining the maximum sensor responses when detecting NO$_2$ at RT. Moreover, the optimal irradiance of light-activated NO$_2$ sensors is moderate, usually not exceeding 10 mW/cm$^2$ [33,36–39]. (iii) The irradiance of optical irradiation has no intrinsic or routine connection with response time in NO$_2$ sensing [44], whereas high-intensity optical irradiation is equal to high-temperature thermal activation and can greatly shorten the recovery time [44,45] of light-enhanced NO$_2$ sensors.
(iv) Theoretically, wavelength-resonant excitation is most conducive to the maximization of light-activated NO\textsubscript{2} sensing responses \cite{32,34,35,37}, i.e., optical irradiation with photon energy just above the bandgap of sensing material is most favorable to sensor responses. In particular, photons with excessive energies will intensify the inelastic scattering of electrons, thus reducing the charge mobilization efficiency \cite{37}. (v) Overall, photoexcitation can yield a real improvement in NO\textsubscript{2} sensing, including sensor responses in the noble metal loading method, sensor working temperatures in methods involving composites with carbonaceous materials, and response/recovery speeds, which are significantly superior to both of these methods.

Through the above specific analysis and comparison, optical excitation is optimal among three independent methods in terms of optimizing the NO\textsubscript{2} sensing performance of SMOs. In fact, paired combinations of any two of the above three methods have also aroused the interest of researchers, considering the possible breakthroughs brought by mutually synergistic effects. Conceivably, there are three modes of combination: (d) consisting of (a) + (b); (e) consisting of (b) + (c); and (f) consisting of (a) + (c).

As for method (d) \cite{46–51}, carbonaceous materials usually play a decisive role in these hybrid systems. Thus, the collaborative optimization of noble metals and carbonaceous materials on the NO\textsubscript{2} sensing performance of SMOs embodies more features of method (b) \cite{46–49}. At RT, the catalytic effects of noble metals are greatly suppressed without the support of thermal activation, being unable to effectively improve sensor responses and response/recovery speeds in NO\textsubscript{2} sensing \cite{46,47,49}. The synergy of this kind, which inhibits noble metal effects, is not thought to be ideal.

As for method (e) \cite{52–55}, on the premise of the superior optimization effect on NO\textsubscript{2} sensing performance brought by optical excitation compared with that by carbonaceous materials, the contribution proportion of carbonaceous materials in this system can hardly be identified when a comparable NO\textsubscript{2} sensing performance to that only with the assistance of optical excitation is achieved \cite{52,53,55}. Furthermore, in some studies \cite{54}, photoexcitation with high irradiance, acting as an accelerator, has been applied only in the recovery process to ameliorate its long duration, which is a feature of room-temperature NO\textsubscript{2} sensors based on carbonaceous material/SMO composites. The above case further affirms the weak interactions between carbonaceous materials and optical excitation. Hence, the alleged synergistic effects in method (e) are vague and the effectiveness and necessity of this approach are not recognized either.

Metal nanoparticles, especially noble metals, can strongly absorb light with wide ranges at ambient temperatures \cite{56,57}, which significantly strengthens their intrinsic catalytic effects and accelerates the process of corresponding reactions \cite{57}. For plasmonic–metals (Au, Ag, Cu, etc.) \cite{56–59}, resonant collective oscillations of the quasi-free electrons will occur when the frequency of irradiated light matches their natural oscillating frequency \cite{60,61}, namely, the well-known LSPR effect \cite{56–61}. This mechanism will result in a strong optical resonance extinction (i.e., absorption and scattering) and the generation of abundant hot (activated) electrons \cite{57,61}. For non-plasmonic metals (Pd, Pt, Rh, etc.) \cite{56–59}, light absorption by these metals is mainly through bound electrons \cite{56,57,61}, exciting individual electrons to higher energy levels via interband transitions \cite{56,57,61}. Similarly, strong optical extinction and free electron formation will occur. Therefore, the subsistent synergistic effects between optical excitation and noble metal loading are affirmed. In theory, the additional numerous free electrons make this mechanism extremely conceivable in sensing applications \cite{58–60}.

Supported by this theory, method (f) possesses all reasons and conditions to become the most valuable candidate among the listed methods. In fact, researchers, including our group \cite{56,62}, have carried out relevant research on the room-temperature NO\textsubscript{2} sensing of SMOs functionalized with plasmonic Au \cite{62–67}, Ag \cite{67–69}, non-plasmonic Pd \cite{44,56,66}, and Pt \cite{44}, achieving some interesting results. Among them, Au exhibits the prominent LSPR effect. To the best of our knowledge, until now, there has been limited research on photoexcited SnO\textsubscript{2}-based RT NO\textsubscript{2} sensors. Herein, plasmonic Au was utilized to form a com-
posite with wide-bandgap SnO$_2$. Next, RT sensing properties of pure SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ composite toward NO$_2$ in the dark and under UV irradiation were compared and discussed in detail. In this process, the LSPR effect between Au and UV irradiation was verified, which greatly enhanced the sensor response and improved the response/recovery properties.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Materials

All the experimental materials, including tin tetrachloride pentahydrate (SnCl$_4$·5H$_2$O, Aladdin Inc., Shanghai, China), chloroauric acid tetrahydrate (HAuCl$_4$·4H$_2$O, Aladdin Inc., Shanghai, China), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF, Aladdin Inc., Shanghai, China), and polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP, Mw = 1,300,000, Aladdin Inc., Shanghai, China), were of analytical grade or above and used as received without further purification.

2.2. Synthesis of SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ NWs

The preparation of SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ NWs was by means of the electrospinning method. In view of the similarity of their synthesis processes, the synthetic procedure of Au/SnO$_2$ NWs is presented as an example and described as follows.

In a typical experiment, 0.35 g (1 mmol) of SnCl$_4$·5H$_2$O was dissolved into 5 mL of DMF. After continued stirring for 30 min, 100 µL of HAuCl$_4$·4H$_2$O (20 mg/mL) and 0.6 g of PVP were successively added to the above solution. After sealing and shading treatments, the mixed solution was stirred overnight to form a homogeneous and viscous precursor solution prepared for electrospinning. The precursor solution was transferred to a 10 mL disposable syringe. After the installation of a specialized needle for electrospinning, the syringe was fastened to the propeller of the electrospinning instrument. The high-potential output and ground terminals of the high-voltage DC power supply were connected to the needle and reserved protrusion of the metal roller, respectively. For this system, relevant experimental parameters were approximated first and finally determined: voltage of DC power supply, 11 kV; the advance speed of the propeller, 0.3 mL/h; distance between the spinneret and roller collector, 15 cm; and ambient humidity, 35% RH. After 6 h of electrospinning, which ensured sufficient samples, several layers of nearly white film were wrapped around the tinfoil precoated on the roller. The obtained sample was scrupulously stripped off with tweezers to maintain the film intactness and subsequently transferred to a clean cuboid porcelain boat with a cover. Flake-shaped Au/SnO$_2$ NWs were finally obtained after a calcination process in a muffle furnace of the sample encapsulated in the porcelain boat. The calcination parameters were set as follows: the heating rate, 2 °C/min; sintering temperature, 600 °C; and holding time, 2 h. It is necessary to point out that the molar ratio of Au to Sn in the composite was estimated to be 0.5%.

Analogically, when HAuCl$_4$·4H$_2$O was absent in the raw material, SnO$_2$ NWs could be accurately synthesized. In addition, on account of the minor alterations to reaction systems, experimental parameters related to SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ NWs were almost identical.

2.3. Characterization

X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) analysis was carried out on a D/ max-2550 X-ray diffractometer (Rigaku Inc., Akishima-shi, Japan) with high-intensity CuKα (λ = 0.154 nm) radiation in the range of 5–90° (2θ). Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDS) images were acquired on a Gemini 500 microscope (ZEISS Inc., Oberkochen, Germany) operating at 15–20 kV. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images were obtained on a JEM-2100F microscope (JEOL Inc., Akishima-shi, Japan) with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data were recorded on a K-Alpha system (Thermo Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA).
2.4. Fabrication and Measurement of Gas Sensors

In this study, a classic tubular ceramic gas sensor was adopted, following the established manufacturing procedures briefly described as follows [45].

First, a small synthetic powder sample was taken to a mortar and moderate deionized water was added. The mixture was fully but gently ground until it turned into a paste. Then, a little paste was dipped and evenly coated on an alumina ceramic tube (4 mm in length, 1.2 mm in external diameter, and 0.8 mm in internal diameter) with an ink brush. The ceramic tube covered with a thin layer of hydrous paste was placed under an infrared lamp to remove moisture. This process was repeated several times to eventually form a dense and uniform film. Next, the manufactured tubular component was placed in an oven and annealed at 150 °C for 3 h to fully remove the residual water in the film. An alloy coil was passed through the ceramic tube to act as a heat source for the device, and the heating temperature was controlled by adjusting the current of the external DC power supply. Finally, two pins of the heating coil and four pins of the ceramic tube were welded at the sensor socket. Conventionally, several gas sensors were fabricated in parallel with the same material to ensure the objectivity and accuracy of gas-sensing test results.

The gas-sensing properties of SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ NWs were evaluated through a self-built gas-sensing test system under laboratory conditions (30% RH, RT). The construction method of the test system and subsequent testing process are summarized as follows.

First, at the gas supply end, two gas source categories needed to be prepared (drying air and target gas: NO$_2$, for example) and stored in their own cylinders. One tee-junction, several mass-flow gas meters, sufficient pneumatic pipes, and specialized connectors were also needed. Drying air mainly acted as the carrier gas to dilute target gas to a certain concentration. Drying air and target gas were directed through independent gas piping, in which a gas meter was embedded, to two joints of the tee-junction. After a transitory confluence, the gas mixture flowed forward to a customized cylindroid quartz bottle, with one side tube at its top and bottom. In a typical experiment, test gases with desired concentrations could ultimately be obtained in the quartz bottle through the flexible matching of two gas flow rates.

At the signal acquisition end, a sensor base, a perforated rubber stopper, DuPont threads, commercial LED point lamps, and other necessary accessories needed to be prepared. One end of DuPont threads, passing through the rubber stopper, was welded to the bases of the sensor component and the LED lamp. Here, the base of the LED lamp faced upwards and was about 3 cm directly below the sensor base, ensuring direct irradiation of the LED lamp on the sensing film. In addition, all of the above components were supported and fixed using metal wires and melt adhesive to maintain the steadiness of the system. The other ends of the DuPont threads were correspondingly connected to a 8846A desktop multimeter (Fluke Inc., Everett, WA, USA) and two GPD-4303S DC power supplies (GW Instek Inc., Xinbei, China), based on the specific function of each set of test lines. After the insertion of as-prepared gas sensors in the sensor base, electrical signals of sensing materials under different conditions (target gas, temperature, or light irradiation) were recorded by the multimeter and displayed in real-time through the testing software installed on a computer.

Specifically, LED point lamps (Xusheng Inc., Shenzhen, China) used in this study were purchased. These were mass-produced and of low cost. The selected series of LED lamps with quartz encapsulation emitted ultraviolet light, whose wavelength ranges were identical (365–370 nm) but the lamp powers were discrete (0.5, 1, 1.5, 3, and 5 W). Light intensities (irradiances) corresponding to each lamp power were confirmed through a PM16-120 digital optical power meter (THORLABS Inc., Newton, NJ, USA), keeping the distance between the lamp and power meter about 3 cm, the same as above, to be roughly 0.15, 0.27, 0.42, 0.93, and 1.46 mW/cm$^2$. When LED lamps with different powers were freely switched, the investigation of the influence of light intensity could be performed.

In order to simulate a dark environment, a homemade opaque carton with a removable roof cover and two side holes was necessary, in which all testing procedures were carried
out. In a dimly lit test room (30% RH, RT), two quartz bottles were prepositioned in the opaque carton. One quartz bottle was plugged with a rubber stopper and embedded in the gas piping mentioned above, and the other quartz bottle was unoccupied, serving as the air bottle for sensor recovery. When the LED lamp was absent or the power was off, the gas-sensing properties of sensors toward NO$_2$ or others in the dark could easily be determined. When the LED lamp was powered on throughout the process, the effect of UV irradiation, including its light intensity, on the sensing performance could likewise be evaluated. It is also worth mentioning that the heating treatment of sensors was put aside due to the real emphasis on UV irradiation, not temperature, in this study.

In the case of n-type sensing materials (Au/SnO$_2$ NWs, for example) and oxidizing target gases (NO$_2$, for example), the sensor response is defined as $S = \frac{R_g}{R_a}$ ($R_a$ and $R_g$ are the dynamically stabilized resistances of the sensor in the air and NO$_2$, respectively) in this study. In addition, the time when resistance change reaches 90% during the response and recovery processes is defined as response time ($\tau_{res}$) and recovery time ($\tau_{rec}$).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Structural and Morphological Characteristics

The XRD test is the most direct method for determining the composition information and crystallinity when evaluating a material. As shown in Figure 1, the measured XRD diffraction peaks of SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ NWs are in accordance with tetragonal-phase tin oxide with a lattice constant of $a = 4.74$ Å, $c = 3.19$ Å (standard JCPDS card no. 88-287). Although only a weak bump around 44.66° is recognized in the XRD curve of Au/SnO$_2$ NWs, it can also be viewed as a strong evidence of the successful Au loading due to its low amount. Through indexing, the Au peak mentioned above coincides with the (200) lattice plane of cubic-phase gold metal with a lattice constant of $a = 4.07$ Å (standard JCPDS Card No. 1-1172). The disappearance of other Au peaks, such as (111), (220), or (311) is attributed to the overlap with adjacent SnO$_2$ peaks or the low intensity. All diffraction peaks of SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ NWs appear sharp and intense, proving their high crystallinity.

Visibly, the addition of Au did not hinder the crystal growth of SnO$_2$. The corresponding peak positions of Au/SnO$_2$ did not shift to the left or right compared with those of SnO$_2$, implying their identical lattice parameters. This phenomenon indicates that Au atoms did not enter unit cells of SnO$_2$ [21–23] due to the ultrahigh chemical stability.

The internal structure of a substance determines its typical chemical and physical properties. First and foremost, the holistic microstructures of SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ NWs were explored through SEM; the results are presented in Figure 2a–d. As an obvious result, the morphology of SnO$_2$ NWs exhibits no significant change before and after the introduction of Au. In low-magnification Figure 2a,c, large quantities of nanowires are intertwined intricately, constructing a three-dimensional structure and leaving abundant interspaces, which is beneficial to the rapid diffusion of gas molecules and sufficient utilization of sensing materials. In high-resolution Figure 2b,d, single nanowires of both SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ exhibit bead-like shapes and rough surfaces, which can provide extra adsorption sites for target gases. However, attached Au particles cannot be observed even in the high-resolution Figure 2d, probably due to the rough surface of Au/SnO$_2$ NWs and the tiny size of Au particles. As shown in Figure 2e,f, the EDS elemental mapping analysis on a selected area of Figure 2c shows the uniform and consecutive spatial distribution of Sn and O elements. Particularly, the results in Figure 2g,h verify the presence of Au in the composite. Differently, on account of the low Au concentration, its spatial distribution displayed in Figure 2g is isolated and discrete.
A more detailed microstructure of Au/SnO$_2$ NWs was recorded through TEM and HRTEM techniques. The panoramic Figure 3a contains a dozen of cross-distributed nanowires, possessing an identical bead-like morphology as in Figure 2d. One point worth mentioning is that the lengths of observed nanowires in Figure 3a are 1 μm or less, greatly shortened compared with those in Figure 2c, which are dozens of microns. Broken nanowires in Figure 3a were attributed to weak local binding forces between certain SnO$_2$ particles. In the amplified Figure 3b,d, spherical Au particles are depicted. Under the
same magnification, the dimensions of partially attached Au particles in Figure 3d are significantly smaller than those in Figure 3b. For the convenience of the measurement, the chosen region delineated by a light-yellow rectangle in Figure 3d was featured in Figure 3e. Thus, nine discernible Au spheres are marked, and their specific diameters are provided in Figure 3b,e. Explicitly, the dimensions of adherent Au spheres differ greatly from each other and it is hard to provide a representative average. Nevertheless, it is certain that the diameters of the vast majority of Au spheres are less than 10 nm, which is small enough for the full release of Au catalytic properties. Analogously, two regions labeled with “c” and “f” in Figure 3b,e were further characterized through HRTEM, giving the lattice information of SnO2 and Au, respectively. As shown in Figure 3c,f, Au particles are tightly attached to the surface of SnO2 and the boundaries of crystal faces for SnO2 and Au are distinct and cognizable. Specifically speaking, calculated d-spacings (orange color) of 0.124 and 0.145 nm correspond to (311) and (220) lattice planes of metallic Au. Likewise, fringe spacings (green color) of 0.335 and 0.264 nm can be attributed to (110) and (101) planes of tetragonal SnO2, respectively.

Figure 3. (a) Full-view TEM image of Au/SnO2 NWs. (b,d) Locally amplified TEM images of two individual Au/SnO2 nanowires. (c) HRTEM image of the selected region delimited by a yellow rectangle in (b). (e) A higher-magnification TEM image of the selected region within a yellow rectangle in (d). (f) HRTEM image of selected region confined within a yellow rectangle in (e).

The XPS test is generally utilized to perform analyses on solid surfaces, involving elemental composition and contents, valence states, chemical bonds, etc. The full XPS spectra of SnO2 and Au/SnO2 are contrastively displayed in Figure 4a, where element orbital peaks with disparate binding energies are in one-to-one correspondence. Notably, the intrinsic Au 4f peak (85.0 eV) in the Au/SnO2 spectrum is buried in the broader Sn 4p peak (90.6 eV) due to the overlap of their binding energies as well as the low Au concentration. The core-level spectra of individual characteristic peaks, Sn 3d, O 1s, and Au 4f, were further analyzed to acquire more information on material composition. For example, in Figure 4b, the Sn 3d spectrum in Au/SnO2 is split into independent Sn 3d5/2 and 3d3/2 peaks, centered at 486.91 and 495.36 eV, respectively [70]. Correspondingly, Sn 3d5/2 and 3d3/2 peaks in SnO2, with identical peak shapes, shifted about 0.15 eV toward...
lower binding energies, implying internal interactions between Au and SnO$_2$. Notably, the differentials of binding energies for the above split peaks in the two materials are kept consistent, about 8.45 eV, which is an eigenvalue for SnO$_2$ [71,72].

![Figure 4. (a) Full-scan XPS spectra of SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ NWs. (b) High-resolution Sn 3d spectra of SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ NWs. Deconvoluted O 1s core-level spectra of (c) SnO$_2$ and (d) Au/SnO$_2$ NWs. (e) High-resolution Au 4f spectrum of Au/SnO$_2$ NWs.](image)

The content distribution among different oxygen species is of critical importance in the gas-sensing performance of a material. Due to inherent structural characteristics among materials and the consequent differentiation of abilities on the absorption and dissociation of oxygen, contents of active vacancy and chemisorbed oxygen species consistently show a concomitant variation trend. As shown in Figure 4c,d, O 1s core-level spectra of both SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ can be deconvoluted into lattice oxygen (O$_L$), oxygen vacancy (O$_V$), and chemisorbed oxygen (O$_C$) from low to high binding energies. For O$_L$ species, their sufficient stabilities as constructional units result in nonparticipation in regular chemical reactions. In contrast, as functional species, O$_V$, serving as electron donors, and O$_C$, reacting with gas molecules, play a crucial role in the gas-sensing performance of one material.

On these grounds, some specific indicators concerning oxygen species are summarized in Table 1. As shown, corresponding peaks of the same oxygen species shifted toward lower binding energies in Au/SnO$_2$, revealing the impact of Au on the level structure of SnO$_2$. Moreover, proportions of O$_V$ and O$_C$, especially O$_C$ species, increased dramatically after the addition of Au, which forebodes the high potential of Au/SnO$_2$ NWs when used as gas-sensitive material. Finally, in Figure 4e, binding energies of 83.38 and 87.04 eV, corresponding to Au 4f$_{7/2}$ and 4f$_{5/2}$ peaks, respectively, match well with those reported for metallic Au [21–23], thus proving the excellent antioxidative capacity of Au under routine treatment.
Table 1. The conclusive fitting results of O 1s XPS spectra of SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ NWs.

| Materials       | Oxygen Species | Binding Energy (eV) | Relative Percentage (%) | O$_V$ + O$_C$ (%) |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| SnO$_2$ NWs     | O$_L$ (Sn–O)   | 529.82             | 74.22                   |                    |
|                 | O$_V$ (vacancy)| 530.99             | 19.09                   | 25.78              |
|                 | O$_C$ (chemisorbed) | 531.94           | 6.69                    |                    |
| Au/SnO$_2$ NWs  | O$_L$ (Sn–O)   | 529.77             | 52.81                   |                    |
|                 | O$_V$ (vacancy)| 530.33             | 22.51                   | 47.19              |
|                 | O$_C$ (chemisorbed) | 531.75           | 24.68                   |                    |

3.2. Gas-Sensing Properties

Responses of sensors based on SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ NWs toward 5 ppm NO$_2$ at RT with or without UV irradiation were first summarized. As shown in Figure 5, both SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ show a low response toward NO$_2$ in the dark. In the blue rectangle, the ordinate of the pink circle (Au/SnO$_2$) is slightly over that of the green pentacle (SnO$_2$). Visibly, mere embellishment of Au on SnO$_2$ did not lead to a qualitative improvement in the sensing performance toward NO$_2$ in the dark at RT. In contrast, the introduction of UV irradiation instantaneously triggered the differentiation of two curves, the tendency becoming more distinct with the increase in light intensity. To be sure, UV irradiation is much more effective in enhancing the sensor response toward NO$_2$ than Au modification. At the same time, the range of response elevation for Au/SnO$_2$ by UV irradiation with the same intensity is significantly greater than that for SnO$_2$. In other words, the existence of Au is an important premise for fully exploiting the function of UV irradiation, and internal interactions exist between Au and UV irradiation, which further improved the sensor response. Specifically speaking, the optimal light intensity of UV irradiation for both SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ is the same, i.e., 0.42 mW/cm$^2$, within the existing test accuracy. To the left of the highest point, the pink curve (Au/SnO$_2$) is much steeper and its maximum response equals 1015% of that in the dark. Correspondingly, the amplification for the green curve (SnO$_2$) is only 400%. To the right of the highest point, the downward trend for the pink line (Au/SnO$_2$) is much slower. After calculation, the responses of Au/SnO$_2$ and SnO$_2$ NWs under UV irradiation of 1.46 mW/cm$^2$ decreased by 18.5 and 59.1%, respectively, compared with their maximums at 0.42 mW/cm$^2$.

As shown in Figure 6a–d, at RT, singly periodic response–recovery curves of sensors based on SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ NWs toward NO$_2$ in the dark and under UV irradiation with optimal intensity (0.42 mW/cm$^2$) were provided in sequence. Excluding responses already presented in Figure 5, more emphasis will be placed on the analysis of the response/recovery time of sensors under different conditions, shown in Figure 6a–d. In Figure 6a, the response/recovery properties of the sensor based on SnO$_2$ NWs are terrible in the dark at RT, demonstrating mediocre responses. This sensor presents a lengthy response time of 313 s. In particular, up to 700 s, the sensor is barely able to recover 28.8% of the total resistance change. It is observed that the sensor cannot spontaneously complete its recovery process in the dark without external aids, such as optical or thermal excitation, which is common in NO$_2$ sensing due to its strong chemical affinity. However, in the dark and at RT as well, the response time of the sensor based on Au/SnO$_2$ NWs is shortened to 229 s. More importantly, the Au/SnO$_2$ sensor can achieve complete recovery in 1370 s, which, although still long, is a great improvement compared with that of the SnO$_2$ sensor. Compared with the limited improvements in sensor responses in the dark at RT, the enhancing effect of Au through its catalytic ability on the response/recovery properties of the sensor is more significant.
Figure 5. Responses of sensors based on SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ NWs toward 5 ppm NO$_2$ at RT in the dark or under UV irradiation with incremental light intensity.

As shown in Figure 6a–d, when keeping the sensitive material consistent, UV irradiation can promote the response and response/recovery properties by a wide margin at the same time. In Figure 6c,d, both sensors display much shorter response times and concurrently implement complete and quick recovery. Comparing the data in Figure 6b,c with those in Figure 6a, the effect of UV irradiation on the improvement of response/recovery properties is significantly superior to Au loading. Furthermore, when comparing the results in Figure 6c,d, a combination of Au loading and UV irradiation can not only continue to enhance the sensor response but also shorten the recovery time to a great extent, which is hard-won considering that the recovery time of 73 s in Figure 6c is already short. It is worth mentioning that the response time in Figure 6d is slightly longer than that in Figure 6c. To some extent, this is reasonable in view of the much larger range of resistance changes in Figure 6d, which will consume considerably more time under the same conditions [44].

We preliminarily explored the changes in the initial resistance of the sensors at RT in the air in the four cases shown in Figure 6a–d. Firstly, in the dark, the initial resistance of SnO$_2$ NWs at RT in the air is 1.77 MΩ. After Au loading, the metal–semiconductor contact generated a Schottky barrier, which increased the initial resistance of Au/SnO$_2$ to a much higher value of 68 MΩ. As expected, the initial resistance values of both sensors dropped precipitously due to a surge of charge carriers excited by UV irradiation. In addition, the coexistence of Au loading and UV irradiation yielded a much greater degree of resistance drop, which is apparently caused by the LSPR effect between Au particles and UV irradiation. More specifically, the resistance for Au/SnO$_2$ and SnO$_2$ NWs decreased 11-fold (1.77 MΩ→160 KΩ) and 708-fold (68 MΩ→96 KΩ), respectively.

Due to the proven outstanding performance of two sensors toward NO$_2$ under UV irradiation, the response–concentration properties continued to be evaluated under the above conditions. As shown in Figure 7a,b, overall, UV irradiation can assist the implementation of holonomic response processes over a wide NO$_2$ concentration range, and the responses of the two sensors maintain rapid growth with the increases in NO$_2$ concentration. Moreover, the two sensors show good potential in low-concentration NO$_2$
sensing; their responses toward 0.1 ppm NO\textsubscript{2} reached 1.95 and 3.23, respectively. By rough calculation, from 0.1 to 5 ppm, the responses to NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations for SnO\textsubscript{2} and Au/SnO\textsubscript{2} sensors are 1.19-, 1.79-, 1.97-, and 2.68-fold and 1.46-, 1.62-, 2.65-, and 3.21-fold, respectively, higher than those to prior NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations. Relatively speaking, the above results reflect the better performance of Au/SnO\textsubscript{2} under UV irradiation compared with SnO\textsubscript{2}.

Figure 6. Single-cycle response–recovery transient curves of the sensor based on SnO\textsubscript{2} NWs toward 5 ppm NO\textsubscript{2} at RT (a) in the dark and (c) under UV irradiation (0.42 mW/cm\textsuperscript{2}). Single-cycle response–recovery transient curves of the sensor based on Au/SnO\textsubscript{2} NWs toward 5 ppm NO\textsubscript{2} at RT (b) in the dark and (d) under UV irradiation (0.42 mW/cm\textsuperscript{2}). (e) The dynamically stable resistance values in the air of sensors based on SnO\textsubscript{2} and Au/SnO\textsubscript{2} NWs in the dark and under UV irradiation (0.42 mW/cm\textsuperscript{2}).
Figure 7. Dynamic response–recovery curves of sensors based on (a) SnO$_2$ and (b) Au/SnO$_2$ NWs to NO$_2$ with concentrations in the range of 0.1–5 ppm at RT under UV irradiation (0.42 mW/cm$^2$).

Under UV irradiation, repeatability tests of the two sensors toward 5 ppm NO$_2$ at RT were performed. The reproducibility of data is very necessary and acts as strong evidence of result objectivity. As shown in Figure 8a,b, many key points of curves for SnO$_2$ or Au/SnO$_2$ sensors are analogical, involving the amplitudes and gradients. Visibly, relevant performance indicators can be reproduced absolutely within a certain range of error. These findings verified the availability and reliability of UV irradiation in continuously ensuring the optimization and stable output of sensor performance.

Figure 8. The cyclic response–recovery curves of sensors based on (a) SnO$_2$ and (b) Au/SnO$_2$ NWs to 5 ppm NO$_2$ at RT under UV irradiation (0.42 mW/cm$^2$).
Taking Au/SnO$_2$ NWs as an example, the selectivity of the sensor at RT under UV irradiation was expounded. In Figure 9, test gases were classified into three categories: NO$_2$, other gaseous gases (Cl$_2$, NH$_3$, and H$_2$S), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs, from HCHO to toluene). To visualize data, responses of interferential gases were intentionally amplified by increasing their detection concentrations. Nevertheless, responses of the sensor based on Au/SnO$_2$ NWs vary considerably among three categories. For example, ratios of the response toward 5 ppm NO$_2$ to those toward gases in the second category (20 ppm) range from 12.26 (Cl$_2$) to 38.24 (H$_2$S). Moreover, although the concentration of VOCs is up to 100 ppm, the sensor still shows weak responses toward HCHO and TEA, and even no detectable responses toward the three residual VOCs. As it turned out, Au/SnO$_2$ NWs exhibit excellent selectivity toward NO$_2$ at RT under UV irradiation.

![Figure 9](image_url)

**Figure 9.** Responses of the sensor based on Au/SnO$_2$ NWs toward 5 ppm NO$_2$ and common interfering gases with higher concentrations at RT under UV irradiation (0.42 mW/cm$^2$).

To examine the performance sustainability of two sensors under UV irradiation, the responses of two sensors toward 5 ppm NO$_2$ at RT were regularly recorded every other day for two months. As depicted in Figure 10, responses in the two curves maintain a dynamic fluctuation, slightly declining but stable overall. Two months later, the responses of sensors based on SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ NWs remained at 94.06% and 98.32% of their initial values, respectively, strongly demonstrating the good long-term stability of device performance under UV irradiation.

![Figure 10](image_url)

**Figure 10.** Long-term stability tests of sensors based on SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ NWs to 5 ppm NO$_2$ at RT under UV irradiation (0.42 mW/cm$^2$).
In addition, a comprehensive comparison between the sensing performance of the Au/SnO₂ NWs sensor fabricated in this study and other recent reports on room-temperature NO₂ gas sensors [32–34,40,41,53,62,64,73–75] is presented in Table 2. In contrast, the performance indexes exhibited by Au/SnO₂ NWs sensor were considered distinguished on the whole.

Table 2. A comparison of RT NO₂ sensing performance from the sensor developed in this study and those in other studies.

| Materials          | Temp. (°C) | Light Source (nm) | Conc. (ppm) | Response | τₕ Response /τᵣ Recover (s) | Year | Ref.          |
|--------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------|----------|---------------------------|------|---------------|
| SnS₂               | RT         | Vis. (520–550)    | 8           | 10.8 a   | 164/236                   | 2020 | [32]          |
| SnO₂/SnS₂          | RT         | Vis. (450)        | 0.2         | 5.3 a    | 950/1160                  | 2020 | [33]          |
| SnO₂               | RT         | Vis. (450–455)    | 5           | 14.28 b  | 400/1100                  | 2021 | [34]          |
| Au/ZnO             | RT         | Vis. (532)        | 1           | 4.66 a   | ~400/−300 f               | 2020 | [62]          |
| ZnO/g-C₃N₄         | RT         | Vis. (460)        | 7           | 44.8 a   | 142/190                   | 2020 | [73]          |
| Graphene           | RT         | UV (370)          | 1           | 25% c    | ~600/−200 f               | 2019 | [40]          |
| ZnO/TiO₂           | RT         | UV (365)          | 5           | 105% d   | 26/224                    | 2020 | [41]          |
| rGO/SnO₂           | RT         | UV (365)          | 0.5         | 23% e    | 426/438                   | 2019 | [53]          |
| Au/ZnO             | RT         | UV (365)          | 1           | 2.3 a    | 160/370                   | 2020 | [64]          |
| SnSe₂/SnO₂         | RT         | UV (~-)           | 10          | 9.53 a   | 80/144                    | 2022 | [74]          |
| ZnO/MoS₂           | RT         | UV (365)          | 10          | 293% c   | 258/72                    | 2020 | [75]          |
| Au/SnO₂            | RT         | UV (365–370)      | 5           | 65 a     | 82/42                     | -    | This study    |
|                    |            |                   | 1           | 7.4 a    | 73/65                     |      |               |
|                    |            |                   | 0.1         | 3.25 a   | 43/41                     |      |               |

Temp.: Operating temperature; Conc.: Gas concentration; τₕ Response/τᵣ Recover: response/recovery time; Ref.: references; Vis.: visible light. a: R₉/R₆; b: (R₉ – R₆)/R₆; c: (R₉ – R₆)/R₆ × 100%; d: R₆/R₉ × 100%; e: (R₉ – R₆)/R₆ × 100%; f: inferred value, not measured value.

3.3. Gas-Sensing Mechanism

The internal mechanisms of resistance variation shown in Figure 6e must be thoroughly understood, which is in direct correlation with the sensing performance in different cases. In order to make the related analysis more intuitive, a schematic diagram of the mechanism has been given in Figure 11.
After Au loading, the initial resistance increased from 1.77 MΩ for pure SnO$_2$ to 68 MΩ for Au/SnO$_2$ at RT in the dark. In metal–semiconductor contact, a Schottky barrier will form at interfaces of Au and SnO$_2$ due to the difference in their work functions [64]. Specifically, the work functions of Au and SnO$_2$ were reported to be 5.1 [43,64] and 4.5–4.75 eV [33,46,48,53,54], respectively. Then, electrons will spontaneously flow from SnO$_2$, with a lower work function, to Au, with a higher work function [33,43,46,64], resulting in the generation of a depletion layer and an increase in resistance. When detecting oxidizing NO$_2$ through n-type materials, a higher $R_a$ is not considered disadvantageous despite the response equation being $R_g/R_a$ and considering that a lower carrier concentration tends to produce a greater proportion of variation under equal conditions.

In general, chemical sensitization is regarded to play a vital role in improving sensing properties for Au-catalyzed systems. On the one hand, Au nanoparticles can facilitate the chemisorption and dissociation of both target gas and oxygen due to their high catalytic efficiencies [21,22,43]; on the other hand, all dissociated species will be rapidly transferred from the Au nanoparticles to the oxide surface due to the well-known spillover effect of Au [21–23,43]. In fact, the increase in O$_{C}$ content due to Au catalyzation has been proven in Table 1. As shown, Au, acting as a medium, can enhance the quantity and efficiency of reactions occurring at the surface of metal oxide, which reasonably explains the improved sensing performance in Figure 6b compared with that in Figure 6a. However, the increased amplitude of sensing performance in this study is very limited, which indicates the suppression of the effects of Au at low temperatures.

Under UV irradiation (0.42 mW/cm$^2$), the resistance of pure SnO$_2$ is sharply reduced from 1.77 MΩ to 160 KΩ, which can be attributed to the role of photoexcitation. When the photon energy of the excitation source exceeds bandgaps of SMOs, the occurrence of electron transition will lead to the generation of electron–hole pairs [38,44]. First, photoinduced holes will react with O$_C$ on the surface, resulting in the desorption of O$_C$ in the form of O$_2$ [43,44], which will decrease sensor resistance due to the backflow of electrons [43]. In fact, a portion of abundant photogenerated electrons will transform more oxygen into O$_C$, which is far greater than the O$_C$ loss [42,43]. As a result, the content of O$_C$ in pure SnO$_2$ will increase greatly under UV irradiation, leading to a clear increase in NO$_2$ reactions and then a much higher sensor response. At the same time, the surplus hot electrons derived from UV excitation will react directly with NO$_2$. In addition, the high activity and mobility of photoinduced electrons contribute considerably to sensor response/recovery properties. These theories can interpret changes in all important indicators between Figure 6a,c.

Finally, the decreased amplitude of resistance from 68 MΩ in the dark to 96 KΩ under UV irradiation for Au/SnO$_2$ is much higher than that for pure SnO$_2$. Distinctly, the LSPR effect of Au under UV irradiation plays a decisive role in this process [43,62,63]. The light-excited resonant electrons are sufficiently active to overcome the Schottky barrier, escaping from Au nanoparticles to the conduction of SnO$_2$ [43,56,62–64]. Compared with the case in Figure 6c, the free resonant electrons in Au/SnO$_2$ under UV irradiation are much more abundant, with much faster mobility and much higher activity, accounting for the better sensing performance in Figure 6d [43,62].

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, Au/SnO$_2$ NWs were successfully prepared through the in situ modification of Au nanoparticles on SnO$_2$ NWs in the process of electrospinning. Some essential characterizations were conducted to verify its structure and feature. The RT gas-sensing properties toward NO$_2$ of pure SnO$_2$ and Au/SnO$_2$ were rigorously explored and analyzed in the dark and under UV irradiation. Based on relevant experimental data, the gas-sensing mechanism was reasonably proposed, clearly detailing the specific enhancement theory in every case. Among all cases, the optimal sensing properties toward NO$_2$ for Au/SnO$_2$ under UV irradiation were attributed to the LSPR effect of Au. This study is of great significance to RT photoexcited NO$_2$ sensing.
Author Contributions: Conceptualization, B.Z. and J.W.; methodology, P.Y. and Q.W.; software, B.Z., Y.X. (Yin Xu) and Y.D.; validation, B.Z., Y.X. (Yi Xia) and J.W.; formal analysis, P.Y.; investigation, B.Z. and S.Z.; resources, B.Z. and P.Y.; data curation, B.Z.; writing—original draft preparation, B.Z.; writing—review and editing, Y.X. (Yi Xia) and J.W.; visualization, B.Z. and S.Z.; supervision, B.Z.; project administration, B.Z., Y.X. (Yi Xia) and J.W.; funding acquisition, B.Z., Y.X. (Yi Xia) and J.W. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (61903159, 51802123, and 62101225), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20190617 and BK20180630), the Yunnan Fundamental Research Project (grant no. 202201AT070072), and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (JUSRP11925).

Data Availability Statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the first author or corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Kraft, M.; Eikmann, T.; Kappos, A.; Künzli, N.; Rapp, R.; Schneider, K.; Seitz, H.; Voss, J.-U.; Wichmann, H.-E. The German view: Effects of nitrogen dioxide on human health—derivation of health-related short-term and long-term values. Int. J. Hyg. Environ.-Health 2005, 208, 305–318. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

2. Agrawal, A.V.; Kumar, N.; Kumar, M. Strategy and future prospects to develop room-temperature-recoverable NO\textsubscript{2} gas sensor based on two-dimensional molybdenum disulfide. Nano-Micro Lett. 2021, 13, 38. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

3. Kumar, R.; Al-Dossary, O.; Kumar, G.; Umar, A. Zinc oxide nanostructures for NO\textsubscript{2} detection. Sens. Actuators B 2005, 208, 118–133. [CrossRef]

4. Zhang, C.; Luo, Y.; Xu, J.; Debliquy, M. Room temperature conductive type metal oxide semiconductor gas sensors for NO\textsubscript{2} detection. Sens. Actuators A 2019, 289, 118–133. [CrossRef]

5. Lee, S.W.; Lee, W.; Hong, Y.; Lee, G.; Yoon, D.S. Recent advances in carbon material-based NO\textsubscript{2} gas sensors. Sens. Actuators B 2015, 233, 1788–1804. [CrossRef]

6. Xuan, J.; Zhao, G.; Sun, M.; Jia, F.; Wang, X.; Zhou, T.; Yin, G.; Liu, B. Low-temperature operating ZnO-based NO\textsubscript{2} sensors: A review. RSC Adv. 2020, 10, 39786–39807. [CrossRef]

7. Sun, D.; Luo, Y.; Debliquy, M.; Zhang, C. Graphene-enhanced metal oxide gas sensors at room temperature: A review. Beilstein J. Nanotechnol. 2018, 9, 2832–2844. [CrossRef]

8. Suh, J.M.; Eom, T.H.; Cho, S.H.; Kim, T.; Jang, H.W. Light-activated gas sensing: A perspective of integration with micro-LEDs and plasmonic nanoparticles. Mater. Adv. 2021, 2, 827–844. [CrossRef]

9. Şetka, M.; Claros, M.; Chmela, O.; Vallejos, S. Photoactivated materials and sensors for NO\textsubscript{2} monitoring. J. Mater. Chem. C 2021, 9, 16804–16827. [CrossRef]

10. Liu, X.-L.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, W.-J.; Ma, S.-X.; Ning, X.-J.; Zhao, L.; Zhuan, J. Photovoltaic self-powered gas sensing: A review. IEEE Sens. J. 2021, 21, 5628–5644. [CrossRef]

11. Zhang, B.; Liu, G.; Cheng, M.; Gao, Y.; Zhao, L.; Li, S.; Liu, F.; Yan, X.; Zhang, T.; Sun, P.; et al. The preparation of reduced graphene oxide–encapsulated α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} hybrid and its outstanding NO\textsubscript{2} gas sensing properties at room temperature. Sens. Actuators B 2018, 261, 252–263. [CrossRef]

12. Zhang, B.; Cheng, M.; Liu, G.; Gao, Y.; Zhao, L.; Li, S.; Wang, Y.; Liu, F.; Liang, X.; Zhang, T.; et al. Room temperature NO\textsubscript{2} gas sensor based on porous Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} slices/reduced graphene oxide hybrid. Sens. Actuators B 2018, 263, 387–399. [CrossRef]

13. Liu, X.; Sun, J.; Zhang, X. Novel 3D graphene aerogel–ZnO composites as efficient detection for NO\textsubscript{2} at room temperature. Sens. Actuators B 2015, 211, 220–226. [CrossRef]

14. Ying, S.; Wang, Y.; Wu, Z.; Huang, M.; Dong, L.; Zhao, J.; Peng, C. Highly-sensitive NO\textsubscript{2} gas sensors based on three-dimensional nanotube graphene and ZnO nanospheres nanocomposite at room temperature. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2021, 566, 150720. [CrossRef]

15. Kumar, R.; Liu, X.; Zhang, J.; Kumar, M. Room-temperature gas sensors under photoactivation: From metal oxides to 2D materials. Nano-Micro Lett. 2020, 12, 164. [CrossRef]

16. Choi, S.-W.; Katoch, A.; Sun, G.-J.; Wu, P.; Kim, S.S. NO\textsubscript{2}-sensing performance of SnO\textsubscript{2} microrods by functionalization of Ag nanoparticles. J. Mater. Chem. C 2013, 1, 2834–2841. [CrossRef]

17. Kabcum, S.; Kotchasak, N.; Channei, D.; Tuantranont, A.; Wisitsoraat, A.; Phanichphant, S.; Liewhiran, C. Highly sensitive and selective NO\textsubscript{2} sensor based on Au-impregnated WO\textsubscript{3} nanorods. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 252, 523–536. [CrossRef]

18. Kim, T.H.; Hasani, A.; Quyet, L.V.; Kim, Y.; Park, S.Y.; Lee, M.G.; Sohn, W.; Nguyen, T.P.; Choi, K.S.; Kim, S.Y.; et al. NO\textsubscript{2} sensing properties of porous Au-incorporated tungsten oxide thin films prepared by solution process. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 286, 512–520. [CrossRef]

19. Chen, X.; Shen, Y.; Zhou, P.; Zhong, X.; Li, G.; Han, C.; Wei, D.; Li, S. Bimetallic Au/Pd nanoparticles decorated ZnO nanowires for NO\textsubscript{2} detection. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 289, 160–168. [CrossRef]
20. Penza, M.; Martucci, C.; Cassano, G. NO$_2$ gas sensing characteristics of WO$_3$ thin films activated by noble metals (Pd, Pt, Au) layers. Sens. Actuators B 1998, 50, 52–59. [CrossRef]

21. Chen, X.; Shen, Y.; Zhong, X.; Li, T.; Zhao, S.; Zhou, P.; Han, C.; Wei, D.; Shen, Y. Synthesis of ZnO nanowires/Au nanoparticles hybrid by a facile onepot method and their enhanced NO$_2$ sensing properties. J. Alloys Compd. 2019, 783, 503–512. [CrossRef]

22. Choi, M.S.; Bang, J.H.; Mirzaei, A.; Oum, W.; Na, H.G.; Jin, C.; Kim, S.S.; Kim, H.W. Promotional effects of ZnO-branching and Au-functionalization on the surface of SnO$_2$ nanowires for NO$_2$ sensing. J. Alloys Compd. 2019, 786, 27–39. [CrossRef]

23. Ponnuvelu, D.V.; Abdulla, S.; Pullithadathil, B. Highly monodispersed mesoporous, heterojunction ZnO@Au microspheres for trace-level detection of NO$_2$ gas. Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2018, 255, 156–165. [CrossRef]

24. Gu, F.; Nie, R.; Han, D.; Wang, Z. In$_2$O$_3$–graphene nanocomposite based gas sensor for selective detection of NO$_2$ at room temperature. Sens. Actuators B 2015, 219, 94–99. [CrossRef]

25. Zhang, H.; Yu, L.; Li, Q.; Du, Y.; Ruan, S. Reduced graphene oxide/α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ hybrid nanocomposites for room temperature NO$_2$ sensing. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 241, 109–115. [CrossRef]

26. Yang, W.; Wan, P.; Zhou, X.; Hu, J.; Guan, Y.; Feng, L. Additive-free synthesis of In$_2$O$_3$ cubes embedded into graphene sheets and their enhanced NO$_2$ sensing performance at room temperature. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2014, 6, 21093–21100. [CrossRef]

27. Wang, Z.; Zhao, C.; Han, T.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, S.; Fei, T.; Lu, G.; Zhang, T. High-performance reduced graphene oxide-based room-temperature NO$_2$ sensors: A combined surface modification of SnO$_2$ nanoparticles and nitrogen doping approach. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 242, 269–279. [CrossRef]

28. Lee, H.-Y.; Heish, Y.-C.; Lee, C.-T. High sensitivity detection of nitrogen oxide gas at room temperature using zinc oxide-reduced graphene oxide sensing membrane. J. Alloys Compd. 2019, 773, 950–954. [CrossRef]

29. Wu, J.; Wu, Z.; Ding, H.; Wei, Y.; Huang, W.; Yang, X.; Li, Z.; Qiu, L.; Wang, X. Three-dimensional graphene hydrogel decorated with SnO$_2$ for high-performance NO$_2$ sensing with enhanced immunity to humidity. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 12, 2634–2643. [CrossRef]

30. Choudhari, A.; Bhanvase, B.A.; Saharan, V.K.; Salame, P.H.; Hunge, Y. Sonochemical preparation and characterization of rGO/SnO$_2$ nanocomposite: Electrochemical and gas sensing performance. Ceram. Int. 2020, 46, 11290–11296. [CrossRef]

31. Yaqoob, U.; Phan, D.-T.; Uddin, A.S.M.I.; Chung, G.-S. Highly flexible room temperature NO$_2$ sensor based on MWCNTs-WO$_3$ nanoparticles hybrid on a PET substrate. Sens. Actuators B 2015, 221, 760–768. [CrossRef]

32. Gu, D.; Wang, X.; Liu, W.; Li, X.; Lin, S.; Wang, J.; Rumyan' teva, M.N.; Gaskov, A.M.; Akbar, S.A. Visible-light activated room temperature NO$_2$ sensing of Sn$_2$O$_2$ nanosheets based chemiresistive sensors. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 305, 127455. [CrossRef]

33. Liu, D.; Tang, Z.; Zhang, Z. Visible light assisted room-temperature NO$_2$ gas sensor based on hollow SnO$_2$@SnS$_2$ nanostructures. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 324, 128754. [CrossRef]

34. Eom, T.H.; Cho, S.H.; Suh, J.M.; Kim, T.; Lee, T.H.; Jun, S.E.; Yang, J.W.; Lee, J.; Hong, S.-H.; Jang, H.W. Substantially improved room temperature NO$_2$ sensing in 2-dimensional SnS$_2$ nanoflowers enabled by visible light illumination. J. Mater. Chem. A 2021, 9, 11168–11178. [CrossRef]

35. Geng, X.; Lahem, D.; Zhang, C.; Li, C.-J.; Olivier, M.-G.; Debliquy, M. Visible light enhanced black NiO sensors for ppb-level NO$_2$ detection at room temperature. Ceram. Int. 2019, 45, 4253–4261. [CrossRef]

36. Ma, H.; Yu, L.; Yuan, X.; Li, Y.; Li, C.; Yin, M.; Fan, X. Room temperature photoelectric NO$_2$ gas sensor based on direct growth of walnut-like In$_2$O$_3$ nanostructures. J. Alloys Compd. 2019, 782, 1121–1126. [CrossRef]

37. Espid, E.; Noce, A.S.; Taghipour, F. The effect of radiation parameters on the performance of photo-activated gas sensors. J. Photochem. Photobiol. A 2019, 374, 95–105. [CrossRef]

38. Li, G.; Sun, Z.; Zhang, D.; Xu, Q.; Meng, L.; Qin, Y. Mechanism of sensitivity enhancement of a ZnO nanofilm gas sensor by UV light illumination. ACS Sens. 2019, 4, 1577–1585. [CrossRef]

39. Park, S.; An, S.; Mun, Y.; Lee, C. UV-enhanced NO$_2$ gas sensing properties of SnO$_2$-core/ZnO-shell nanowires at room temperature. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2013, 5, 4285–4292. [CrossRef]

40. Yang, C.-M.; Chen, T.-C.; Yang, Y.-C.; Meyyappan, M. Annealing effect on UV-illuminated recovery in gas response of graphene-based NO$_2$ sensors. RSC Adv. 2019, 9, 23343–23351. [CrossRef]

41. Choi, H.-J.; Kwon, S.-H.; Lee, W.-S.; Im, K.-G.; Kim, T.-H.; Noh, B.-R.; Park, S.; Oh, S.; Kim, K.-K. Ultraviolet photoactivated room temperature NO$_2$ gas sensor at 3D ZnO hemitubes and nanotubes covered with TiO$_2$ nanoparticles. Nanomaterials 2020, 10, 462. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

42. Giberti, A.; Malagù, C.; Guidi, V. WO$_3$ sensing properties derived by UV illumination: An evidence of surface effect. Sens. Actuators B 2012, 165, 59–61. [CrossRef]

43. Park, S.; An, S.; Ko, H.; Lee, S.; Lee, C. Synthesis, structure, and UV-enhanced gas sensing properties of Au-functionalized ZnS nanowires. Sens. Actuators B 2013, 188, 1270–1276. [CrossRef]

44. Hyodo, T.; Urata, K.; Kamada, K.; Ueda, T.; Shimizu, Y. Semiconductor-type SnO$_2$-based NO$_2$ sensors operated at room temperature under UV-light irradiation. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 253, 630–640. [CrossRef]

45. Zhang, B.; Bao, N.; Wang, T.; Xu, Y.; Dong, Y.; Ni, Y.; Yu, P.; Wei, Q.; Wang, J.; Guo, L.; et al. High-performance room temperature NO$_2$ gas sensor based on visible light irradiated In$_2$O$_3$ nanowires. J. Alloys Compd. 2021, 867, 159076. [CrossRef]

46. Wang, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, S.; Zhang, T. Preparation of Ag nanoparticles-SnO$_2$ nanoparticles-reduced graphene oxide hybrids and their application for detection of NO$_2$ at room temperature. Sens. Actuators B 2016, 222, 893–903. [CrossRef]
47. Varma, J.G.D. Enhanced room temperature sensitivity of Ag-CuO nanobrick/reduced graphene oxide composite for NO \textsubscript{2}. \textit{J. Alloys Compd.} \textbf{2019}, 806, 1469–1480.

48. Wang, Z.; Zhang, T.; Zhao, C.; Han, T.; Fei, T.; Liu, S.; Lu, G. Anchoring ultrafine Pd nanoparticles and SnO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles on reduced graphene oxide for high-performance room temperature NO\textsubscript{2} sensing. \textit{J. Colloid Interface Sci.} \textbf{2018}, 514, 599–608. [CrossRef]

49. Kang, J.-Y.; Koo, W.-T.; Jang, J.-S.; Kim, D.-H.; Jeong, Y.J.; Kim, R.; Ahn, J.; Choi, S.-J.; Kim, I.-D. 2D layer assembly of Pt-ZnO nanoparticles on reduced graphene oxide for flexible NO\textsubscript{2} sensors. \textit{Sens. Actuators B} \textbf{2021}, 331, 129371. [CrossRef]

50. Bhangare, B.; Ramgir, N.S.; Pathak, A.; Sinju, K.R.; Debnath, A.K.; Jagtap, S.; Suzuki, N.; Muthe, K.P.; Terashima, C.; Aswal, D.K.; et al. Role of sensitizers in imparting the selective response of SnO\textsubscript{2}/RGO based nanohybrids towards H\textsubscript{2}S, NO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}. \textit{Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.} \textbf{2020}, 105, 104726. [CrossRef]

51. Liu, S.; Wang, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Dong, Z.; Zhang, T. Preparation of zinc oxide nanoparticle-reduced graphene oxide–gold nanoparticle hybrids for detection of NO\textsubscript{2}. \textit{RSC Adv.} \textbf{2015}, 5, 91760–91765. [CrossRef]

52. Geng, X.; You, J.; Wang, J.; Zhang, C. Visible light assisted nitrogen dioxide sensing using tungsten oxide–graphene oxide nanocomposite sensors. \textit{Mater. Chem. Phys.} \textbf{2017}, 191, 114–120. [CrossRef]

53. Li, W.; Guo, J.; Ding, G.; Yan, M.; Zhong, X.; Wang, R. Ultrasensitive room temperature ppb-level NO\textsubscript{2} gas sensors based on Sn\textsubscript{r2}rGO nanohybrids with P–N transition and optoelectronic visible light enhancement performance. \textit{J. Mater. Chem. C} \textbf{2019}, 7, 8616–8625. [CrossRef]

54. Wang, J.; Hu, C.; Xia, Y.; Komarneni, S. Highly sensitive, fast and reversible NO\textsubscript{2} sensors at room-temperature utilizing nonplasmonic electrons of ZnO/Pd hybrids. \textit{Ceram. Int.} \textbf{2020}, 46, 2255–2260. [CrossRef]

55. Sarina, S.; Zhu, H.-Y.; Xiao, Q.; Jaatinen, E.; Jia, J.; Huang, Y.; Zheng, Z.; Wu, H. Visible photocatalysts under solar-spectrum irradiation: Nonplasmonic metal nanoparticles. \textit{Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.} \textbf{2014}, 53, 2935–2940. [CrossRef]

56. Rodrigues, M.S.; Borges, J.; Lopes, C.; Pereira, R.M.S.; Vasilevskiy, M.I.; Vaz, F. Gas sensors based on localized surface plasmon resonances: Synthesis of oxide films with embedded metal nanoparticles, theory and simulation, and sensitivity enhancement strategies. \textit{Appl. Sci.} \textbf{2021}, 11, 5388. [CrossRef]

57. Alharbi, R.; Irandejad, M.; Yavuz, M. A short review on the role of the metal-graphene hybrid nanostructure in promoting the localized surface plasmon resonance sensor performance. \textit{Sensors} \textbf{2019}, 19, 862. [CrossRef]

58. Wadell, C.; Syrenova, S.; Langhammer, C. Plasmonic hydrogen sensing with nanostructured metal hydrides. \textit{ACS Nano} \textbf{2014}, 8, 11925–11940. [CrossRef]

59. Pinchuk, A.; Plessen, G.V.; Kreibig, U. Influence of interband electronic transitions on the optical absorption in metallic nanoparticles. \textit{J. Phys. D Appl. Phys.} \textbf{2004}, 37, 3133–3139. [CrossRef]

60. Wang, J.; Fan, S.; Xia, Y.; Yang, C.; Komarneni, S. Room-temperature gas sensors based on ZnO nanorod/Au hybrids: Visible-light-modulated dual selectivity to NO\textsubscript{2} and NH\textsubscript{3}. \textit{J. Hazard. Mater.} \textbf{2020}, 381, 120919. [CrossRef]

61. Chen, C.; Zhang, Q.; Xie, G.; Yao, M.; Pan, H.; Du, H.; Tai, H.; Du, X.; Su, Y. Enhancing visible light-activated NO\textsubscript{2} sensing properties of Au NPs decorated ZnO nanorods by localized surface plasmon resonance and oxygen vacancies. \textit{Mater. Res. Express} \textbf{2020}, 7, 015924. [CrossRef]

62. Cai, Z.; Kim, K.-K.; Park, S. Room temperature detection of NO\textsubscript{2} gas under UV irradiation based on Au nanoparticle-decorated porous ZnO nanowires. \textit{J. Mater. Res. Technol.} \textbf{2020}, 9, 16289–16302. [CrossRef]

63. Mun, Y.; Park, S.; An, S.; Lee, C.; Kim, H.W. NO\textsubscript{2} gas sensing properties of Au-functionalized porous ZnO nanosheets enhanced by UV irradiation. \textit{Ceram. Int.} \textbf{2013}, 39, 8615–8622. [CrossRef]

64. Hyodo, T.; Iwanaga, A.; Ishida, K.; Kamada, K.; Ueda, T.; Shimizu, Y. Effects of noble-metal loading and ultraviolet-light irradiation on gas sensing properties of porous indium oxide films at room temperature. \textit{J. Ceram. Soc. Jpn.} \textbf{2021}, 129, 676–682. [CrossRef]

65. Su, P.-G.; Yu, J.-H. Enhanced NO\textsubscript{2} gas-sensing properties of Au-Ag bimetal decorated MWCNTs/WO\textsubscript{3} composite sensor under UV-LED irradiation. \textit{Sens. Actuators A} \textbf{2020}, 303, 111718. [CrossRef]

66. Zhang, Q.; Pang, Z.; Hu, W.; Li, J.; Liu, Y.; Liu, Y.; Yu, F.; Zhang, C.; Xu, M. Performance degradation mechanism of the light-activated room temperature NO\textsubscript{2} gas sensor based on Ag-ZnO nanoparticles. \textit{Appl. Surf. Sci.} \textbf{2021}, 541, 148418. [CrossRef]

67. Zhang, Q.; Xie, G.; Xu, M.; Su, Y.; Tai, H.; Du, H.; Jiang, Y. Visible light-assisted room temperature gas sensing with ZnO-Ag heterostructure nanoparticles. \textit{Sens. Actuators B} \textbf{2018}, 259, 269–281. [CrossRef]

68. Hermawan, A.; Asakura, Y.; Inada, M.; Yin, S. One-step synthesis of micro-/mesoporous SnO\textsubscript{2} spheres by solvothermal method for toluene gas sensor. \textit{Ceram. Int.} \textbf{2019}, 45, 15435–15444. [CrossRef]

69. Babu, B.; Reddy, I.N.; Yoo, K.; Kim, D.; Shim, J. Bandgap tuning and XPS study of SnO\textsubscript{2} quantum dots. \textit{Mater. Lett.} \textbf{2018}, 221, 211–215. [CrossRef]

70. Ahmed, A.; Siddique, M.N.; Ali, T.; Tripathi, P. Defect assisted improved room temperature ferromagnetism in Ce doped SnO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles. \textit{Appl. Surf. Sci.} \textbf{2019}, 483, 463–471. [CrossRef]
73. Wang, H.; Bai, J.; Dai, M.; Liu, K.; Liu, Y.; Zhou, L.; Liu, F.; Liu, F.; Gao, Y.; Yan, X.; et al. Visible light activated excellent NO\textsubscript{2} sensing based on 2D/2D ZnO/g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} heterojunction composites. *Sens. Actuators B* **2020**, *304*, 127287. [CrossRef]

74. Li, T.; Zhang, D.; Pan, Q.; Tang, M.; Yu, S. UV enhanced NO\textsubscript{2} gas sensing at room temperature based on coral-like tin diselenide/MOFs-derived nanoflower-like tin dioxide heteronanostructures. *Sens. Actuators B* **2022**, *355*, 131049. [CrossRef]

75. Wang, J.; Deng, J.; Li, Y.; Yuan, H.; Xu, M. ZnO nanocrystal-coated MoS\textsubscript{2} nanosheets with enhanced ultraviolet light gas sensitive activity studied by surface photovoltage technique. *Ceram. Int.* **2020**, *46*, 11427–11431. [CrossRef]