Aerobic Variables for Prediction of Alpine Skiing Performance – A Novel Approach
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ABSTRACT
The aim of this study was to investigate the predictive power of aerobic test results and anthropometric variables on FIS-ranking of junior elite alpine skiers. Results from twenty-three male and female adolescent elite alpine skiers from two seasons were included in the multivariate statistical models. Physical work capacity was determined by VO₂peak, blood lactate concentration ([HLa]b), and heart rate (HR) during ergometer cycling. Anthropometric variables were body stature, body weight and calculated BMI. No significant correlation between competitive performance and aerobic work capacity or anthropometric data was observed neither in male nor female adolescent skiers. Pre-season physical tests and anthropometric data could therefore not predict end-season FIS-ranking. The best regression (R²) and prediction (Q²) models of FIS slalom (SL) and giant slalom (GS) rank reached R² = 0.51 to 0.86, Q² = −0.73 to 0.18, indicating no valid models. This study could not establish VO₂peak and other included variables as predictors of competitive performance. When combining results from commonly used tests for alpine skiers, and applying multivariate statistical models, investigated tests seems of limited used for athletes, coaches, and ski federations. Performance-specific pre-season tests must be developed and validated for prediction of performance and guidance of exercise training.

Introduction
Competitive alpine skiing is regarded as the world’s fastest non-motorized sport [5] and consists primarily of four different disciplines: slalom (SL), giant slalom (GS), super giant slalom (SG) and downhill (DH), the latter two being referred to as speed events. Each of these disciplines differs in turning radius, gate distance, speed, and length of the course [41]. The two more technical disciplines (SL and GS) run on relatively steep terrain at speeds between 20–60 km · h⁻¹ for 45–90 s, whereas the speed disciplines are carried out on long, steep slopes at speeds reaching 130–160 km h⁻¹ and lasting 120–180 s [10, 32]. Due to the intermittent nature of the sport with rapid shifts in directions at high-speed [27], alpine ski racing is a demanding and multi-faceted sport requiring high levels of physical and technical competence [37].
Elite performance in alpine skiing requires a wide range of physical qualities, including high muscular strength and endurance, as well as a broad range of neuromuscular skills such as balance, speed, and agility [2, 20, 41]. Anthropometric characteristics may also affect performance [1, 2, 37], especially in young athletes [8, 14]. High aerobic capacity has been described as an important physical quality of an alpine skier [23, 31] and today it is considered, at least by coaches, athletes and federations, an important physiological determinant of competitive success. However, few findings support this assertion. Inconsistent findings regarding required metabolic capacities for optimal competitive performance are reported; some researchers find a significant correlation between maximum absolute and relative aerobic capacity (\(\dot{V}_{\text{O}2\text{max}}\)) and competitive performance in elite alpine skiers [13, 21], whereas others do not [41]. Furthermore, \(\dot{V}_{\text{O}2\text{max}}\) could not discriminate between skiers at different performance levels [6, 40].

Instead, several contemporary studies show a correlation between anaerobic power and ski performance [3, 6, 13, 39, 41]. With race times of 45–180 s, both aerobic and anaerobic energy systems are utilized [29], which possibly contributes to the disagreements between studies [24]. Hence, the importance of the respective metabolic system is still a matter of debate [19, 22, 24, 30]. Technical events (SL, GS) have a larger relative anaerobic component compared to the faster and longer-duration disciplines (SG, DH) [38, 39]. Differences in skiing technique, mechanical work, and overall skills will also result in differences in the relative utilization of energy systems [27, 38].

In alpine skiing, long-term, or summarized, competitive performance is quantified by the Fédération Internationale de Ski (FIS) point scoring system, based on practitioners’ results in each discipline during the racing season. In brief, FIS points are matched so that the best skier in the world in every discipline has 0 points and the 30th has 6 points, per season. The point system is therefore a measure of where each practitioner stands against other practitioners. The points system is adjusted several times annually and can be found, along with detailed information about the ranking system, at www.fis-ski.com.

Because of the complexity of the sport, with a mixed utilization of energy systems and lack of consistent research finding, the selection of useful sport-specific tests of physiological capacities is challenging. Consequently, coaches and athletes are dependent on non-reliable tests for planning and evaluating training. This study will use advanced multivariate statistics, the validity of which has been demonstrated in other similar contexts [17], to investigate relationships between results from commonly used aerobic tests and anthropometric variables and their value to predictive alpine skiing performance. By focusing on aerobic tests, results from this study will guide future research towards more specific testing procedures. Omitting all or any irrelevant tests will save time and money for sports federations and sports clubs alike, as well as help coaches and athletes to optimize training, evaluation and racing performance.

### Materials & Methods

#### Subjects

Anthropometric data and aerobic test results from a total of twenty-three elite junior alpine skiers, aged 16–17 years, were included in the study (Table 1). All participants provided their written, informed consent for participation and parental/guardian consent was

| Variable | Male | | Female |
|---|---|---|---|
| Age group | Age 17 yr (n = 10) | Age 16 yr (n = 13) | Age 17 yr (n = 6) | Age 16 yr (n = 10) |
| Body weight (kg) | 75.4±5.3 | 69.2±5.5 | 68.1±3.7 | 69.7±3.4 |
| Body height (m) | 178±5 | 178±5 | 170±6 | 172±4 |
| BMI (kg·m\(^{-2}\)) | 24±1 | 22±1 | 24±1 | 24±2 |
| HR at aerobic ventilatory threshold (bpm) | 128.8±18.0 | 126.5±21.4 | 129.6±17.9 | 125.7±12.9 |
| \(\dot{V}_{\text{O}2}\) at aerobic ventilatory threshold (L·min\(^{-1}\)) | 1.6±0.20 | 1.5±0.5 | 1.3±0.4 | 1.1±0.2 |
| HR at aerobic ventilatory threshold by Wasserman (bpm) | 163.2±10.7 | 157.3±19.5 | 162.3±12.7 | 155±14 |
| \(\dot{V}_{\text{O}2}\) at anaerobic threshold by Wasserman (L·min\(^{-1}\)) | 2.6±0.5 | 2.4±0.4 | 2±0.3 | 1.8±0.3 |
| HR at 2.00 mmol·L\(^{-1}\) [\(\text{[HLa]\(_b\)}\)] | 159.9±12.4 | 160.1±11.3 | 160.9±15.3 | 169.2±8.3 |
| \(\dot{V}_{\text{O}2}\) at 2.00 mmol·L\(^{-1}\) [\(\text{[HLa]\(_b\)}\)] | 2.4±0.5 | 2.5±0.3 | 2.0±0.3 | 2.2±0.3 |
| HR at RER 1.00 | 181.6±9.9 | 175.2±14.8 | 175.8±13.5 | 177±10.4 |
| \(\dot{V}_{\text{O}2}\) at RER 1.00 | 3.3±0.4 | 3.0±0.6 | 2.5±0.3 | 2.5±0.3 |
| HR at OBLA | 182.2±7.4 | 179.9±11.7 | 180.3±12.3 | 185.5±8.6 |
| \(\dot{V}_{\text{O}2}\) at OBLA | 3.3±0.3 | 3.2±0.4 | 2.6±0.2 | 2.9±0.2 |
| Maximal HR | 199.8±5.6 | 199.7±8.5 | 195.8±8.2 | 193.3±8.1 |
| Maximal absolute \(\dot{V}_{\text{O}2}\) (L·min\(^{-1}\)) | 4.4±0.4 | 4.1±0.4 | 3.3±0.3 | 3.2±0.2 |
| Maximal relative \(\dot{V}_{\text{O}2}\) (ml·min·kg\(^{-1}\)) | 58.2±4.4 | 58.6±2.6 | 48.4±2.3 | 46.5±2.4 |

HR = heart rate; bpm = beats per minute; \(\dot{V}_{\text{O}2}\) = volume of oxygen uptake; [\(\text{[HLa]\(_b\)}\)] = concentration of blood lactate; RER = respiratory exchange ratio; OBLA = onset of blood lactate accumulation 4.00 mmol·L\(^{-1}\). All respiratory variables and HR are the average during 30 s. Mean ± SD.
Methodology

Analyzed data were compiled from both aerobic and anthropometric tests conducted at the Department of Sports Medicine, Umeå University, Sweden. All participants included in the study were asked to refrain from any strenuous physical activity the day before the tests and to follow the same routine with respect to e.g., sleep and nutritional intake, before all test occasions. Before each test occasion, the participants were asked to complete a health questionnaire regarding previous exercise training, nutritional intake and disease history. Exclusion criteria included any injury and/or history of hormonal, metabolic or cardiovascular diseases.

Slalom and GS FIS ranking points were collected twice, in December (6th list) and April (11th list) and correlated to the pre-season testing in June-July and October-December. Data were sorted into categories by SL, CS, sex, year of birth and national ranking (Sweden). In brief, FIS ranking was used as a measurement of competitive performance (the Y-variable in all statistical analyses), and maximal physiological capacity including VO2 peak, heart rate, and blood lactate were measured during a maximal cycling ergometer test (X-variables). Anthropometric variables recorded were body mass and stature. BMI calculation was based on the following formula: BMI = body mass (kg)/(stature (m))^2 (X-variables).

Before each test session, a short medical exam was performed, including resting blood pressure. Body mass was measured using a standard weight scale (Soehnle weighing scale, Leifheit AG, Nürnberg, Sweden), and body stature was measured using a wall-mounted scale (Fosamax stadiometer, Merck & Co. Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA). A peripheral venous catheter (Optiva 2 radiopaque I.V. catheter, L = 32 mm, ø = 1.10 mm, Smiths Medical, London, England) was placed in the antecubital vein, and an airtight facial mask (Hans Rudolph Inc., Shawnee, KS, USA) was fitted to cover the submand) was placed in the antecubital vein, and an airtight facial mask (Hans Rudolph Inc., Shawnee, KS, USA) was fitted to cover the submand. Pulse oximetry (Polar Electro s610i, Polar Electro Oy, Kempele, Finland) pulse watch. Blood lactate samples were collected every 3 min (equivalent to the 3rd minute of each exercise load). Test results are X-variables in the statistical analysis.

Statistical analysis

Prediction of FIS ranking (Y-variables) was achieved from anthropometric and physiological test results (X-variables). R^2VVY is the cumulative percent of the variation of the response explained by the model after the last component. R^2 is a measure of fit, i.e., how well the model fits the data. R^2VVYAdj is the cumulative percent of the variation of the response, adjusted for degrees of freedom, explained by the model after the last component. Q^2VVY is the cumulative percent of the variation of the response predicted by the model, after the last component, according to cross-validation. Q^2 indicates how well the model predicts new data. A useful model should have a large R^2 and Q^2. To evaluate the importance of variables for FIS ranking, an analysis of variable influence on projection (VIP) was executed. In an OPLS model, VIP summarizes the impor-
tance of the X-variables, both for the X- and Y-models. VIP is normalized, and the average squared VIP value is 1; thus a VIP > 1 indicates that the variable is important for the projection, and values lower than 0.5 indicate that the variable is unimportant for the projection. An R² and a Q² > 0.6 were deemed valid. The significance is set by rules 1, 2 and 3, where Q² > Limit (indicated as R1, R2 and R3 in Results, where the Limit depends on a number of components). Separation into FIS rank of young elite alpine skiers could not be made with high confidence when Q² < 0.3 in all OPLS analyses (Table 2). As an example, the model for slalom rank in females aged 16 is shown in Fig. 5, where a significant regression model was observed (Fig. 5a), with BMI as the most important variable (Fig. 5b). However, the R²/Q² plot (Fig. 5c) demonstrates a low predictive power (Q² = –0.29), and cross-validation by permutation (Fig. 6) confirms the low predictive power and rejects the regression model in Fig. 5a.

Physiological and anthropometric variables were distributed normally according to Shapiro-Wilk goodness-of-fit test (p < 0.05). Because FIS points and rankings are ordinal, parametric statistics cannot be applied. If treated as continuous data, as in other publications, FIS points do not have a normal distribution (Fig. 1: Shapiro-Wilk goodness-of-fit test p > 0.01), which also suggests the use of non-parametric methods. Data were analyzed using SIMCA 14.0 (MKS AB, Umeå, Sweden) and JMP 13.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Anthropometric and physiological test results are presented in Table 1. There was no significant correlation between VO2peak and FIS ranking (Fig. 2). Table 2 shows OPLS models with cross-validation for each year by sex and discipline. Fig. 3 is a PCA scatter plot (A) and loading plot (B) showing a clustering of sex based on physical performance (Fig. 3a), where the loading plot indicates higher VO2peak and lower heart rate in males compared to females (Fig. 3b). The correlation of FIS ranking with physical tests and anthropometric data by OPLS in Fig. 4 indicates a clustering of FIS rank not related to any analyzed variables (not located in the same area of the plot). Of all variables analyzed, BMI is located closest to the FIS rank cluster, but with a weight of 0.2 it is not of significant importance in the models. BMI scores a 2.0 but with large 95% jackknife uncertainty bars (Fig. 5b). Separation into FIS rank of young elite alpine skiers could not be reliably predicted using results from physiological and anthropometric measurements (Table 2). The explanation of variation in the regression models yielded R² > 0.5 (more than 50% of the error explained by the components). However, prediction of rank (by cross-validation) could not be made with high confidence when Q² < 0.3 in all OPLS analyses (Table 2). As an example, the model for slalom rank in females aged 16 is shown in Fig. 5, where a significant regression model was observed (Fig. 5a), with BMI as the most important variable (Fig. 5b). However, the R²/Q² plot (Fig. 5c) demonstrates a low predictive power (Q² = –0.29), and cross-validation by permutation (Fig. 6) confirms the low predictive power and rejects the regression model in Fig. 5a.
Discussion

The main finding of this study is that aerobic and anthropometric variables cannot predict alpine skiing performance, even when multivariate statistics are applied. In conformity with some previous studies [6, 40] but in contrast to others [11, 13, 21], we could not demonstrate a strong correlation between aerobic work capacity (V\textsuperscript{̇}O\textsubscript{2peak}) and competitive performance, as indicated by FIS ranking (▶ Fig. 2). Because none of the aerobic or anthropometric variables cluster with any of the FIS rankings (▶ Fig. 4), the overall interpretation of the findings in this study must be that factors other than those investigated predict long-term performance in alpine skiing among adolescents. This outcome is not surprising, given the large variation in reported aerobic demands during high-intensity alpine skiing, ranging from around 80% up to 200% of V\textsuperscript{̇}O\textsubscript{2max} [26, 33, 38]. Furthermore, the lack of correlation in our calculations can to some extent be a result of the overall skill set of the individual athlete, because differences in skiing economy between practitioners most likely result in a significant variation in the importance of various physiological skills on an individual level. This claim is supported by the fact that the practitioners in the present study, who compete at the national junior level, have similar V\textsuperscript{̇}O\textsubscript{2} values (▶ Table 1), with a mean of 58 ml · min · kg\textsuperscript{-1} for men and 49 ml · min · kg\textsuperscript{-1} for women. These numbers are similar to world-class male [21] and female (Swedish national women’s team, unpublished data) skiers. Thus, in agreement with previous studies [6, 40], it seems that V\textsuperscript{̇}O\textsubscript{2max} is not a discriminating factor between practitioners at different levels and that a relative V\textsuperscript{̇}O\textsubscript{2max} of ≥ 50 ml · kg\textsuperscript{-1} · min\textsuperscript{-1} appears sufficient to be competitive at the highest international level.

As a measurement of anaerobic workload both Saibene et al. [26] and Tesch et al. [33] conclude that high [HLa]\textsubscript{b} is reached during both SL and GS, without affecting competitive performance ei-
However, during extensive preparatory periods, [HLa]b should be considered as an indicator of anaerobic muscle workload, because high [HLa]b levels have been associated with decreased skiing performance during repeated training runs [42].

As in the present study, Neumayr et al. [21] did not find a correlation between anthropometric variables and performance in elite adult male and female skiers. In contrast, Emeterio et al. [8] observed significant correlations between anthropometric variables and national rank (Spain) in male adolescent skiers. In both studies, conclusions were made that female and male skiers are limited by different performance factors (without any discriminant analysis shown), and that very few quantifiable variables predict future performance among female alpine skiers. This finding is supported by the separation of sexes in ▶ Fig. 3a.

Suggestions have been made that body control and body composition are important for performance in alpine skiing [2, 37]. Thus, it is not surprising that percent body fat is correlated to performance variables in alpine skiing, because smaller and leaner athletes perform better in SL [13], and skiers with a greater fat mass have an advantage in DH [13, 21]. Calculated BMI was not a significant factor in our models (▶ Fig. 3–5), yet among the ranked variables (▶ Fig. 5b) it ranks as number one but with high variability. Our results, therefore, suggest that body composition may be of importance, but that BMI is an uncertain tool for evaluation.
Even though both the aerobic and anaerobic energy systems help to maintain energy levels during alpine skiing [3, 6, 21, 26, 33, 38], it has repeatedly been shown that maximal aerobic tests cannot adequately predict future competitive performance. A possible explanation is that improvements in VO_{max} and VO_2max values, and maximal anaerobic power, beyond a certain point, ultimately do not lead to a significant increase in race performance. Furthermore, in agreement with several previous findings [2, 21, 24, 30, 34], this study shows that the average alpine skier does not exhibit exceptionally high VO_{2peak} values. An explanation for the inconsistencies between studies may stem from small sample sizes in noisy global data, resulting in a type I error [18]. Because there are fundamental differences in study designs and participants’ characteristics, including VO_{2max} values [2, 21, 24, 26, 30, 34], standardized, common testing criteria are also difficult to propose. Cross-validation by permutation supports these suggestions (Fig. 6), where the observed regression model is not confirmed, and the weak prediction power validated.

One limitation of this study is the use of BMI as an anthropometric predictor of performance, especially because BMI tends to overestimate adipose tissue in individuals with large muscle mass and a low body fat percentage (such as athletes) [25, 43]. However, because no measurement of body composition other than body mass and stature were recorded, we chose to include calculated BMI in the statistical analysis, because somatotypes [8] and various anthropometric variables (including BMI) has previously been shown to affect the sport-specific performance in alpine skiing [4, 40]. The focus of this study was aerobic variables as these are commonly used predictors of future athletic performance by alpine coaches and federations. Still, the lack of comprehensive anaerobic test results can be viewed as a limitation.

To be of real practical use, exercise testing must also be valid and reliable. Therefore, the presented workflow and analytical procedures, including multivariate statistical methods, can be used as a starting point for a global, more holistic view on performance evaluation [17]. Considering the time, effort and resources allocated to exercise testing of athletes around the world, validated procedures should be the minimum requirement of federations, coaches, and athletes. Well-executed meta-analyses for the selection of candidate tests, followed by larger-scale interventions, can find valid and reliable physiological tests for evaluation of current, and prediction of future, athletic performance.

In summary, none of the included variables predicted competitive alpine skiing performance. Cross-validation by permutation confirmed the lack of validity in observed multivariate statistical models. We suggest that the relevance of current modes of aerobic and anaerobic testing be re-considered. A valid and reliable test battery that can predict performance in alpine skiing seems to be lacking, both based on present and previously published data. Thus, future research directed towards screening for valid components of athletic performance is required. The results of this study should encourage future investigations to consider the predictive power of included test variables for the long-term, sport-specific performance in alpine skiing.
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