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Abstract

Background: Observational studies have reported higher mortality among older adults treated with first-generation antipsychotics (FGAs) versus second-generation antipsychotics (SGAs). A few studies examined risk for medical events, including stroke, ventricular arrhythmia, venous thromboembolism, myocardial infarction, pneumonia, and hip fracture.

Objectives: 1) Review robust epidemiologic evidence comparing mortality and medical event risk between FGAs and SGAs in older adults; 2) Quantify how much these medical events explain the observed mortality difference between FGAs and SGAs.

Data sources: Pubmed and Science Citation Index.

Study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions: Studies of antipsychotic users that: 1) evaluated mortality or medical events specified above; 2) restricted to populations with a mean age of 65 years or older; 3) compared FGAs to SGAs, or both to a non-user group; (4) employed a “new user” design; (5) adjusted for confounders assessed prior to antipsychotic initiation; and (6) did not require survival after antipsychotic initiation. A separate search was performed for mortality estimates associated with the specified medical events.

Study appraisal and synthesis methods: For each medical event, we used a non-parametric model to estimate lower and upper bounds for the proportion of the mortality difference—comparing FGAs to SGAs—mediated by their difference in risk for the medical event.

Results: We provide a brief, updated summary of the included studies and the biological plausibility of these mechanisms. Of the 1122 unique citations retrieved, we reviewed 20 observational cohort studies that reported 28 associations. We identified hip fracture, stroke, myocardial infarction, and ventricular arrhythmias as potential intermediaries on the causal pathway from antipsychotic type to death. However, these events did not appear to explain the entire mortality difference.

Conclusions: The current literature suggests that hip fracture, stroke, myocardial infarction, and ventricular arrhythmias partially explain the mortality difference between SGAs and FGAs.
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Introduction

In 2008, nearly 3 million U.S. adults age 65 or older received prescriptions for antipsychotic medications, 63% of which involved off-label use not approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [1]. One common form of such off-label use targets agitation, aggressiveness, and psychosis in dementia patients, which can disrupt medical and institutional care. The decision to treat older adults with an antipsychotic involves a careful trade-off between clinical benefit and risk for serious adverse events [2]. In randomized controlled trials of dementia patients, second-generation antipsychotic agents (SGAs) increased mortality by as much as 54% over placebo in the first 10–12 weeks following initiation [3], leading the FDA in 2005 to issue Black Box warnings about their excess mortality in 2005. Subsequent observational studies demonstrated even higher mortality during the first 24 weeks after initiation with first-generation agents (FGAs), leading to Black Box warnings for FGAs in 2008. While SGAs currently represent the vast majority of off-label use [1], FGAs represent two to 20% of the antipsychotics prescribed in U.S. nursing homes, a variation that may be driven by differences in institutional prescribing culture and the lower cost of FGAs [4]. As of 2008, all FGAs approved for use in the U.S. were available as generics and cost $9–$26 per prescription, whereas only two of the seven approved SGAs were available as generics and cost $85–$345 per prescription [1].

It is not clear why FGAs increase mortality more than SGAs in older adults soon after they begin therapy. Randomized efficacy and so-called pragmatic or effectiveness trials are usually not designed to answer this question. Observational studies reported higher mortality with FGAs for cerebrovascular and respiratory causes [5,6], but these categories are broad and may suffer from misclassification [7–9]. Other studies have investigated the association between antipsychotic type (FGA versus SGA) and the risk of medical events, such as stroke, ventricular arrhythmia, myocardial infarction, hip fracture, and pneumonia [10–18]. It is unclear from these studies’ relative risk estimates alone which medical events are major contributors to the mortality difference between FGAs and SGAs. Furthermore, recent systematic reviews of these studies share this same limitation and they have typically been limited to one medical event [19–27].

To better understand differences in FGA and SGA related mortality in older adults, we sought to make two contributions to the literature. First, we provide an updated, systematic review of the epidemiologic evidence comparing FGA and SGA risk among older adults for mortality, stroke, ventricular arrhythmia, venous thromboembolism, myocardial infarction, hip fracture, and pneumonia. Unlike previous reviews that compared the risk for various medical events between FGAs and SGAs, we only included studies whose design and analysis choices were relevant for evaluating short-term effects of medications. Second, we used epidemiologic data from the included studies, along with published mortality rates, to quantify how much of the differential mortality between FGAs and SGAs is potentially mediated by their differences in risk for these medical events. Such data goes beyond describing the relative risk for medical events to quantitatively consider their frequency and lethality, which may be helpful when updating monitoring guidelines.

Methods

Systematic review of the relationship between type of antipsychotic use (FGA versus SGA) and risk of mortality or medical events

We report this systematic review according to the standards outlined in the PRISMA statement [28] (see Checklist S1). The design of this study evolved from a narrative review to a systematic and quantitative meta-synthesis of data from various sources, which is reported here. The meta-synthesis aimed to explain mortality differences at six-months to remain consistent with previous literature (nine out of the 12 identified studies reporting mortality data did so for six months follow-up; five studies evaluated 40 days or less follow-up, and two examined mortality after one year of follow-up). Further detail on the rationale, extracted data, lists of obtained studies, and bias analyses are provided in the appendix (File S3, S5, S6, and S7).

Search. We searched Pubmed through October 9, 2012 for epidemiologic studies reporting the risk of mortality, stroke, ventricular arrhythmias, sudden cardiac death, venous thromboembolism, myocardial infarction, pneumonia, and hip fracture in older antipsychotic users. These events were chosen a priori through an initial review of the literature. Our final search strategy included free-text and controlled vocabulary terms (e.g., Medical Subject Headings) for these topics, their synonyms, abbreviations, and alternate spellings (see File S1).

Inclusion and exclusion criteria. For this review, our inclusion criteria applied to studies evaluating the risk for medical events in FGA and SGA users. Our search strategy included finding comparative randomized trials, but we did not find any that reported on the medical events of interest as an outcome (listed in criterion #1). Thus, we formulated criteria to reduce the potential for bias in observational studies’ estimates of the relationship between antipsychotic type (FGA versus SGA) and mortality or medical events, and included observational studies with the following characteristics: (1) evaluated antipsychotic users’ risk of mortality or the following medical events: stroke, ventricular arrhythmia or sudden cardiac death, venous thromboembolism, pneumonia, or hip fracture (2) directly compared FGAs to SGAs or compared both to a non-user reference group; (3) the mean age of the study population was 65 or greater or age-stratified results—absolute rates or relative risks—were provided for adults over age 65; (4) the study sample was restricted to “new users” of antipsychotic medications or required a washout-period of no use prior to cohort entry; (5) adjusted for potential confounders that were assessed prior to antipsychotic initiation; (6) and did not require a minimum period of survival after antipsychotic initiation for inclusion in the analysis. We required new-user designs because prior studies demonstrate that the mortality hazard is highest immediately after antipsychotic initiation and decreases thereafter [29]. For the same reason, we excluded studies that required a minimum period of survival after antipsychotic initiation for cohort entry. We only considered studies where covariates were assessed prior to antipsychotic initiation because adjusting for subsequent changes in health does not control for confounding and may increase bias [30]. The rationale for these criteria is discussed further in the appendix (see File S3).

Selection process. We reviewed the titles and abstracts of articles retrieved from Pubmed and selected primary research articles that met criterion 1. From those articles that then met criterion 2 and were published in English, we extracted information about their study population, design, outcome assessment and occurrence, and adjusted results. To locate additional articles for data extraction, Science Citation Index
Estimation of mortality rates for medical events

To obtain estimates of six-month mortality associated with each medical event, we also searched Pubmed for articles reporting the pre-hospital, in-hospital, and/or post-discharge mortality (for follow-up of one year or less) associated with stroke, ventricular arrhythmia, acute myocardial infarction, venous thromboembolism, hip fracture, and pneumonia. When possible, we chose review articles that summarized the evidence on mortality for the medical event, and otherwise chose recently published articles with the broadest possible study population in the appropriate age range, and avoided those that focused on a specific disease group or clinical profile. None of these articles provided enough data to precisely calculate the six-month mortality due to the medical event for persons in this age range; several studies were not limited to persons over age 65, did not provide rates for pre-hospital mortality, reported a wide range of estimates, or only reported mortality rates after discharge from an inpatient stay. We chose a plausible estimate halfway between the total mortality for the given medical event at three months and one year, when available. When the mortality was reported separately for medical event subtypes, we took a weighted average according to the frequency of the medical event subtypes. If the studies included persons younger than age 65 or did not report out-of-hospital deaths, we chose the total mortality at one year. If a range for six-month mortality was reported, we chose a plausible estimate near the upper bound (in the section that follows, this choice serves to provide an upper bound for the proportion mediated). Using these approaches, we made our best approximation to the nearest 5% on the risk scale.

To obtain estimates for the mortality among persons not experiencing a particular medical event, we estimated the six-month mortality as half the annual age-standardized mortality among persons aged 65 years or older from mortality tables published by the U.S. National Center for Health Statistics [31].

Synthesizing the evidence for the contribution of medical events to differences in mortality between FGA and SGA users

We calculated the difference in mortality, comparing FGAs to SGAs, mediated by their difference in risk for a particular medical event (stroke, for example) after antipsychotic initiation using the following model [32]:

\[ \sum_m \left( P(Y = 1|M = m) \times (P(M = m|A = 1) - P(M = m|A = 0)) \right) \]

where \( A \) represents the type of antipsychotic initiated (1 = FGA, 0 = SGA), \( M \) represents the occurrence of the medical event after antipsychotic initiation (1 = occurs, 0 = does not occur), and \( Y \) represents mortality during six months follow-up (1 = death, 0 = survival) [33]. This model projects the difference in mortality between FGA and SGA users who do and do not experience the medical event after antipsychotic initiation. To obtain the proportion of the mortality difference that is mediated by the medical event, we divided this quantity by the overall difference in mortality, \( P(Y = 1|A = 1) - P(Y = 1|A = 0) \). The risk of the medical event in SGA users, \( P(M = 1|A = 0) \), was calculated from the included studies as the average medical event rate (among SGAs) per 50 person-years to approximate six-month risk; most of these rates were originally reported in units of 100 or 1000 person-years. Similarly \( P(M = 1|A = 1) \) represents the average medical event rate among FGAs and was calculated as the product between the average medical event rate among SGAs and and the average adjusted six-month relative risk for the medical event comparing FGAs and SGAs (see File S4 for more detail). \( P(Y = 1|M = 1) \) represents the six-month mortality given the medical event occurs, and \( P(Y = 1|M = 0) \) is the six-month mortality given the medical event does not occur. \( P(Y = 1|A = 1) - P(Y = 1|A = 0) \) was taken as the smallest difference in mortality comparing FGAs to SGAs from studies that reported this quantity (to provide an upper bound for the proportion mediated). Examining the model, we see that the projected mortality due to the medical event (and thus the proportion mediated) depend on the absolute occurrence of the medical event among SGAs, the difference in risk for the medical event between FGAs and SGAs, and the difference in mortality for those who do and do not experience the medical event. The projected mortality difference for a given medical event (and the proportion mediated) uses summary data from the reviewed studies and published mortality data (a table describing the model components, source data and populations used to estimate them is provided in the appendix (see File S4). In this application, the model requires an assumption that the estimates for excess mortality \( P(Y = 1|M = 1) - P(Y = 1|M = 0) \), which are based on older adults in clinical and population studies, apply to older adults treated with antipsychotics. We address the implications of this and other limitations in the Discussion.

Bounds and bias analysis

The studies that yield data on the medical event rate \( P(M = 1|A = a) \) rely on diagnostic records in claims data which typically have poor sensitivity. Also, the six-month mortality estimates were interpolated from pre-hospital, 30-day and one-year estimates in the published literature. These values were used to obtain point-estimates for the proportion mediated. To provide bounds that reflect these potential sources of error, we re-estimated the proportion mediated by applying plausible values for the sensitivity of diagnostic algorithms used across studies. We arbitrarily chose a sensitivity of 0.9 for hip fracture because it requires hospitalization and should be well-captured in claims data, 0.2 for ventricular arrhythmia because it often results in sudden death before hospitalization is possible, and 0.5 for other medical events that can lead to pre-hospital death (e.g. stroke and myocardial infarction). We also incorporated plausible minimum and maximum values for excess mortality. These were taken directly as the ranges for six-month mortality reported in the source studies; when these were not available we calculated the maximum plausible value for excess mortality as the one-year medical event mortality minus the 30-day general population mortality, and calculated the minimum value as the 30-day medical event mortality minus the one-year general population mortality. We also carried out formal bias analyses to further examine how more extreme bias scenarios would affect the
Retrieved 1124 citations from PubMed through electronic search on 10/09/2012

- Removed 2 duplicate citations

Reviewed titles and abstracts of 1122 unique citations

- Excluded 896 citations: not relevant, not specified medical event—QT prolongation, movement disorders, diabetes, weight gain, pancreatitis

- Excluded 82 review articles and editorials

Reviewed full-text of 144 articles indicating antipsychotic use and mortality or specified medical events

- Identified 4 articles for data extraction through hand searching the references of 61 primary articles (previously identified for data extraction) and 31 review articles on the relationship between antipsychotic use and adverse events

- Excluded 83 articles: analyzed only FGA, only SGA, or any antipsychotic; not published in English; age<65

Extracted data from 65 citations that evaluated the association between both FGAs and SGAs, mortality, and pre-specified medical events

- Excluded 45 articles: prevalent users (31), unclear exposure / covariate temporality (22), no confounder adjustment (5), immortal person-time or selection bias (4), duplicate data (2)

Included 20 articles for reporting and discussion (28 associations)

Figure 1. Flowchart for search execution.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0105376.g001
proportion mediated. Last, as a confirmatory analysis, we repeated our analysis using an alternate method that calculates the proportion mediated within each study and averages across these results. Assumptions, estimation procedures, and results for these bias analyses are provided in the appendix (see File S5 and S6). The lower and upper bounds accounting for potential biases are reported along with point estimates; note that because the bounds take into account poor diagnostic sensitivity while the point estimates do not, in some cases this will result in the lower bound exceeding the point estimate.

Review of epidemiologic evidence and biological plausibility

For mortality, we summarized the absolute and relative difference in mortality reported by the included studies. For each medical event, we first present the proportion of the mortality difference explained, followed by a brief review of the epidemiologic evidence and biological plausibility. Because our review sought to understand which medical events ultimately contribute to mortality soon after starting an antipsychotic, we focused on the first six months after antipsychotic initiation.

Results

Search

We retrieved 1122 unique articles from PubMed, 63 of which compared the risk of the medical events of interest in FGAs and SGAs. Of these, 20 articles met all inclusion criteria and reported 28 associations between antipsychotic type, mortality, or medical events (Figure 1 and Table 1). These studies were carried out in six distinct data sources from U.S. Medicare and Medicaid, the U.S. and Australian Veterans Affairs health systems, Canadian administrative health records from Ontario and British Columbia, administrative data from a large regional hospital in Hong Kong, and primary care records from Italy. A list of included and excluded citations for each medical event is provided in the appendix (See File S7).

Overall summary of results

Among the included studies, we found higher mortality for FGAs than SGAs in the first six months after starting antipsychotic therapy (average relative risk = 1.4; average risk difference = 4.3%, ranging from 2.5% to 7.3% in samples containing community-dwelling and long-term care residents). Table 2 describes the characteristics of each included study: the study population, design, mortality and medical event rates, and relative risks comparing FGAs to SGAs. Table 3 presents the values (obtained by averaging across study-level data) used to estimate the projected mortality difference for each medical event. These projections were divided by the smallest observed total effect (2.5%) to obtain the proportion mediated by each medical event (so that the proportion mediated estimates reflect an upper limit absent other biases; see File S6 for results using the largest observed total effect (7.3%)). Figure 2 depicts the causal pathway from antipsychotic type to mortality, showing the intermediate medical events for which FGAs carry greater risk than SGAs. Based on our model, up to 6.7% of the higher mortality for FGAs was due to stroke, 6.6% to hip fracture, 3.5% to myocardial infarction, and 0.9% to ventricular arrhythmia (17.4% combined). The lower and upper bounds that adjust for poor diagnostic sensitivity and other potential biases were 7.4% and 18.9% for stroke, 1.3% and 9.2% for hip fracture, 4.2% and 9.5% for myocardial infarction, and 3.9% and 4.8% for ventricular arrhythmia (16.8% and 42.4% combined); the lower bounds are higher than the point estimate because poor sensitivity of diagnostic algorithms leads to downwards bias. With the exception of ventricular arrhythmia, these bounds would be much wider in cases of extreme bias (see File S5 and S6). In the following sections we review the epidemiologic evidence for the differential mortality between FGAs and SGAs, the biological plausibility and differential risk for each medical event, and the mortality associated with each medical event.

Mortality

Epidemiology. Of the 20 included studies, 12 investigated mortality among antipsychotic users. Of these, 11 reported higher all-cause or non-cancer mortality for FGAs than SGAs, appearing as early as 30 days after antipsychotic initiation and lasting at least six months. In retrospective cohort studies of older community-dwelling adults, the hazard ratio was approximately 1.55 in the first 30 days after antipsychotic initiation, and ranged from 1.27 to 1.37 at six months [29,34,35] (Table 2). The relationship was more pronounced for doses above the median (HR = 1.67 to 1.73; median doses were unreported) and was attenuated for doses below the median (HR = 1.14 to 1.23). The corresponding absolute differences in six-month mortality varied from 3.9% and 4.8% for ventricular arrhythmia (16.8% and 42.4% combined). The lower and upper bounds accounting for potential biases were 7.4% and 18.9% for stroke, 1.3% and 9.2% for hip fracture, 3.5% to myocardial infarction, and 0.9% to myocardial infarction, and 0.9% to ventricular arrhythmia (17.4% combined). The lower and upper bounds that adjust for poor diagnostic sensitivity while the point estimates do not, in some cases this will result in the lower bound exceeding the point estimate.

Among the included studies, we found higher mortality for FGAs than SGAs in the first six months after starting antipsychotic therapy (average relative risk = 1.4; average risk difference = 4.3%, ranging from 2.5% to 7.3% in samples containing community-dwelling and long-term care residents). Table 2 describes the characteristics of each included study: the study population, design, mortality and medical event rates, and relative risks comparing FGAs to SGAs. Table 3 presents the values (obtained by averaging across study-level data) used to estimate the projected mortality difference for each medical event. These projections were divided by the smallest observed total effect (2.5%) to obtain the proportion mediated by each medical event (so that the proportion mediated estimates reflect an upper limit absent other biases; see File S6 for results using the largest observed total effect (7.3%)). Figure 2 depicts the causal pathway from antipsychotic type to mortality, showing the intermediate medical events for which FGAs carry greater risk than SGAs. Based on our model, up to 6.7% of the higher mortality for FGAs was due to stroke, 6.6% to hip fracture, 3.5% to myocardial infarction, and 0.9% to ventricular arrhythmia (17.4% combined). The lower and upper bounds that adjust for poor diagnostic sensitivity and other potential biases were 7.4% and 18.9% for stroke, 1.3% and 9.2% for hip fracture, 4.2% and 9.5% for myocardial infarction, and 3.9% and 4.8% for ventricular arrhythmia (16.8% and 42.4% combined); the lower bounds are higher than the point estimate because poor sensitivity of diagnostic algorithms leads to downwards bias. With the exception of ventricular arrhythmia, these bounds would be much wider in cases of extreme bias (see File S5 and S6). In the following sections we review the epidemiologic evidence for the differential mortality between FGAs and SGAs, the biological plausibility and differential risk for each medical event, and the mortality associated with each medical event.
inpatient encounter. Because delirium is not well recorded in clinical or claims data, many of the studies reviewed here likely suffer from some degree of residual bias.

In sum, the evidence for elevated mortality in FGA users compared to SGA users is consistent across several national and clinical populations. Several strategies have been used to adjust for potential confounders and most of these results signal higher risk among FGA users, yet residual and unmeasured confounding cannot be completely excluded as alternate explanations. We now discuss the epidemiologic evidence for explaining this observed difference in mortality in terms of potential intermediate medical events.

Stroke

Overview. From 2004 to 2012, 16 studies investigated the risk of stroke in FGA and SGA users, but only six met our inclusion criteria. Their results support higher stroke risk with FGAs than SGAs, but only within the first month after antipsychotic initiation (Table 2; HR = 1.03 to 1.91). The average incidence rate per 100 persons over six months among SGA users was 2.5, and among FGA users it was calculated to be 3.5 after applying the average relative risk for stroke (RR = 1.4). Assuming that the excess mortality for those who experience stroke after antipsychotic use is 17.8%, stroke may explain up to 6.7% of the mortality difference between FGAs and SGAs (Figure 2). The proportion mediated would be 7.4% if we expected very poor sensitivity (Sn = 0.5) and a lower excess mortality of 10.0%; it would be 18.9% for the same sensitivity but a higher excess mortality of 26.0%.

Epidemiology. Six studies compared the risk for cerebrovascular events in populations with varying degrees of pre-existing cerebrovascular disease and duration of follow-up. Wang et al. followed low-income, community-dwelling older adults for 120 days after antipsychotic initiation [13] and reported a slightly higher risk of cerebrovascular events for FGAs than SGAs after 30 days (HR = 1.08; 95% CI 0.99 to 1.18) and through the end of follow-up (HR = 1.09; 95% CI 1.02 to 1.16). Finkel et al. followed Medicaid beneficiaries with dementia for three months and found higher risk for haloperidol compared to risperidone [10] (HR = 1.91; 95% CI 1.02 to 3.60). Another study followed older Medicaid beneficiaries for an average of three months and found higher risk for stroke with some FGAs as compared to SGAs; the risk was higher for phenothiazines (HR = 2.34; 95% CI 1.01 to 5.41) but not butyrophenones (HR = 1.44; 95% CI 0.55 to 3.76) [14]. Other studies followed patients for six months or longer and reported contrasting results. A study of nursing home residents found lower risk of stroke or transient ischemic attack for FGAs compared to SGAs (HR = 0.81; 95% CI 0.65 to 1.01) [18]. Gill et al. followed patients with dementia for an average of eight months and found no difference in risk [11] (HR = 0.99; 95% CI 0.79 to 1.23) even among high-risk subgroups defined by history of stroke or long-term care residence. A study based in Hong Kong followed dementia patients for an average of 2.4 years and also found no difference in risk [16] (HR = 0.93; 95% CI 0.52 to 1.67). These results may be explained by these studies’ longer periods of follow-up, particularly if high-risk FGA patients experience stroke only during the first few months after antipsychotic initiation or if such patients were more likely to discontinue or switch their antipsychotic medication, which were both treated as censoring events in these analyses.

Biological plausibility. While the mechanism of antipsychotic-induced stroke is unclear, a recently proposed model postulated that antipsychotics could trigger cerebrovascular events in older adults with pre-existing medical comorbidities and concomitant medication use [21]. This is consistent with results from a recent case-case-time-control study of antipsychotic use and stroke in older adults [48], where cases essentially served as their own controls. FGAs, particularly haloperidol, the most widely used FGA, were associated with acute movement disorders that began soon after initiation. These extrapyramidal side-effects, as well as sedation by low-potency FGAs such as chlorpromazine, increase immobility, which can lead to or exacerbate pre-existing cases of venous thrombembolism. Low-potency FGAs can also induce orthostatic hypotension, although it can occur with SGAs as well. Both of these complications can cause stroke, which is a leading cause of death.

Mortality associated with stroke. Based on the following data, we assumed that 20% of older adults who experience a stroke die within six months based on the following data: 80 to 90% of strokes are ischemic, and a study of patients hospitalized for ischemic stroke estimated their mortality as 7.4% within 30 days, 11.4% within 90 days, and 19.1% within one year. The corresponding proportions for hemorrhagic stroke were 18.8%, 24.6% and 31.8% [49]. Very little data on sudden death in ischemic stroke exists [50], but in the case of hemorrhagic stroke, 12% die before admission.

Ventricular arrhythmia

Overview. Six studies investigated the risk for sudden cardiac death in FGA and SGA users. Of these, two met our inclusion criteria. These studies suggest that the excess risk for ventricular arrhythmias for FGAs compared to SGAs is limited to older, sicker populations during the first weeks of follow-up (Table 2; HR = 1.20). Similarly, FGAs and SGAs appear to increase the risk for ventricular arrhythmia in younger, healthier community-dwelling patients followed for longer periods. The average incidence rate per 100 persons over six months among SGA users was 0.24, and among FGA users it was calculated to be 0.26 after applying the average relative risk for ventricular arrhythmia (RR = 1.1). Assuming that the excess mortality for those who experience ventricular arrhythmia after antipsychotic use is 24.6%, ventricular arrhythmia may explain up to 0.9% of the mortality difference between FGAs and SGAs (Figure 2). The proportion mediated would be 3.9% if we expected very poor sensitivity (Sn = 0.2) and a lower excess mortality of 81%; it would be 4.8% for the same sensitivity but a much higher excess mortality of 99.6%.

Epidemiology. The risk of out-of-hospital sudden cardiac death was investigated by Ray et al. in a retrospective cohort of non-psychotic, low-income persons with no history of prior cardiovascular disease [15]. This younger cohort (mean age 45.7) was followed for an average of two years and the results showed similar dose-dependent elevations in risk for current use of both FGAs (HR = 1.74; 95% CI 1.14 to 2.67) and SGAs (HR = 1.86; 95% CI 1.35 to 2.57) compared to non-use. Wang et al. investigated the risk of hospitalization for ventricular arrhythmia in a cohort of older Medicare beneficiaries and found higher risk for FGAs than SGAs but only within the first 30 days of follow up [13] (HR = 1.20; 95% CI 1.03 to 1.39). By 120 days of follow up, the effect had decreased and was no longer statistically significant (HR = 1.06; 95% CI 0.96 to 1.17).

Biological plausibility. FGAs such as thioridazine, pimozide, haloperidol, and droperidol are known for their dose-dependent risk of QT prolongation through blockade of potassium ion channels in cardiac tissue; ziprasidone is the only SGA associated with QT prolongation. In rare cases, QT prolongation above 450 msec increases the likelihood for a polymorphic ventricular arrhythmia known as torsades de pointes (TdP) [51],
which commonly leads to ventricular arrhythmia and sudden cardiac death. The risk is higher among older patients with pre-existing cardiovascular disease [52].

Mortality associated with ventricular arrhythmia. Based on the following data, we assumed that 90% of older adults who experience a ventricular arrhythmia die within six months based on the following data: 80% percent of cardiac arrest cases occur in the community, where chances for survival are extremely low; one study estimated an overall survival of 6% [53]; for inpatients, the survival ranges from 5 to 35% [54].

Venous thromboembolism

Overview. From 2005 to 2012, seven studies investigated the risk of venous thromboembolism (VTE) or pulmonary embolism (PE) in FGAs and SGAs. Of these, one met our inclusion criteria and reported higher VTE/PE risk for SGAs in the first six months after antipsychotic initiation (Table 2; HR = 0.5). The average incidence rate per 100 persons over six months among SGA users was 0.62, and among FGA users it was calculated to be 0.31, given this reported relative risk. Assuming that the excess mortality for those who experience VTE/PE after antipsychotic use is 13.8%, then the amount of the mortality difference between FGAs and SGAs explained by VTE/PE may be as high as 22.2%. Thus, VTE/PE does not appear to explain any of the higher mortality among FGAs as compared to SGAs (Figure 2).

Epidemiology. A retrospective cohort study of older nursing home residents assessed VTE risk over six months of follow-up after antipsychotic initiation [12]. Compared to non-users, SGAs showed nearly double the VTE risk in non-users (HR = 2.01; 95%CI 1.50 to 2.70) while FGAs showed no difference (HR = 1.02; 95%CI 0.67 to 1.55), even among low- and high-risk subgroups. While residual confounding cannot be ruled out as a possible explanation, these subgroup analyses suggest that strong confounding by disease severity or indication are unlikely.

Biological plausibility. The mechanism for antipsychotic-induced VTE—in particular why the risk may differ between FGAs and SGAs—is not known, but it may involve sedation and immobility in low-potency FGA users, increased weight gain and its sequelae in SGA users, or other risk factors such as altered anticardiolipin antibody levels, fibrinolytic activity, or platelet aggregation [55]. VTE often begins as a deep vein thrombosis (DVT), which can be lethal when it leads to PE. Among older adults, PE occurs in a sizable number of VTE cases in both hospital and community populations [56].

Mortality associated with venous thromboembolism. Based on the following data, we assumed that 15% of older adults who experience a VTE die within six months based on the following data: a study of patients hospitalized for their first VTE estimated the case mortality as 3.6% by 30 days and 12.6% by one year among those without cancer [57]. Nearly one-quarter of those who develop PE present with sudden death and another quarter die within a year after discharge [58]. In the study reporting on VTE risk among antipsychotic users, 78% were diagnosed with DVT and 22% with PE.

Myocardial infarction

Overview. We found five articles published from 2006 to 2012 that investigated myocardial infarction (MI) risk for FGAs and SGAs, two of which met our inclusion criteria. These studies are consistent with higher risk for FGAs than SGAs (Table 2; HR = 1.16 to 1.23). The average incidence rate per 100 persons over six months among SGA users was 1.0, and among FGA users it was calculated to be 1.2 after applying the average relative risk for MI (RR = 1.2). Assuming that the excess mortality for those
Table 2. Descriptions of included studies (all conducted and analyzed as cohort studies).

| Study, Year | Population | Data Source | Exposure definition and comparison | Outcome | Follow-up | Occurrence in SGA, Risk (%) | Rate (per 100 PY) | Adjusted Association [95% CI] |
|-------------|------------|-------------|------------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Wang 2005 [34] | 22,890 residents, Pennsylvania, mean age = 83 | Pharmacy-linked Medicare claims | Use at baseline (FGA vs. SGA) | Death | 180 days: | Risk = 14.6 HR = 1.37 (1.27 to 1.49); RD = 7.3% (2.0 to 12.6) |
| Gill, 2007 [35] | 14,213 residents with dementia, Ontario, mean age = 82 | Province-wide administrative health records | Use until discontinuation* (FGA vs. SGA) | Death | 180 days: | Risk = 14.4 HR = 1.23 (1.00 to 1.50); RD = 2.6% (0.5 to 4.5)** |
| Kales, 2007 [41] | 4,352 beneficiaries with dementia, USA, mean age = 79 | Veterans Affairs Administrative health records | Use at baseline (FGA vs. SGA) | Death | 365 days: | Risk = 22.6 HR = 0.93 (0.75 to 1.16) |
| Schneeweiss, 2007 [29] | 37,241 residents, British Columbia, mean age = 80 | Province-wide administrative health records | Use at baseline (FGA vs. SGA) | Death | 180 days: | Risk = 9.6 HR = 1.32 (1.23 to 1.42); RD = 3.5% (2.7 to 4.3) |
| Liperoti, 2009 [38] | 9,729 nursing home residents with dementia, USA, mean age = 84 | Medicare claims, SAGE, MDS | Use at baseline (FGA vs. SGA) | Death | 180 days: | Rate = 40 HR = 1.41 (1.13 to 1.42) |
| Pratt, 2010 [36] | 16,539 beneficiaries, Australia, mean age = 83 | Veterans Affairs Administrative health records | Use at baseline (FGA vs. SGA) | Death | 365 days: | Risk = 29.5 RD: 10.6% (9.2 to 12.1) |
| Rossom, 2010 [39] | 9,878 patients with dementia, Texas, mean age = 78 | Veterans Affairs Administrative health records | Use until discontinuation* (compound specific vs. non-users) | Death | 30 days: | Risk = 1.8 Haloperidol: HR = 2.2 (1.7 to 2.9) |

| Study, Year | Population | Data Source | Exposure definition and comparison | Outcome | Follow-up | Occurrence in SGA, Risk (%) | Rate (per 100 PY) | Adjusted Association [95% CI] |
|-------------|------------|-------------|------------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Huybrechts, 2011 [42] | 82,012 nursing home residents, USA, mean age = 83 | Medicare claims, OSCAR, MDS | Use at baseline (FGA vs. SGA) | Death | 180 days: | Risk = 19.6 HR = 1.54 (1.46 to 1.61); RD = 8.3% (7.3 to 9.2) |
| Huybrechts, 2012 [6] | 11,445 nursing home residents, USA, mean age = 84 | Medicare claims, MDS | Use until discontinuation* (haloperidol vs. risperidone) | Death (non-cancer) | 180 days: | Rate = 58 Chlorpromazine-equiv. dose > 50 mg: HR = 1.70 (1.40 to 2.06) |
| Huybrechts, 2011 [17] | 3,844 new nursing home residents, British Columbia, mean age = 84 | Province-wide administrative health records | Use at baseline and until discontinuation* (FGA vs. SGA) | Death (non-cancer) | 180 days: | Rate = 27 Use at baseline: HR = 1.24 (1.00 to 1.53) Until discontinuation*: HR = 1.33 (0.99 to 1.77) |

Antipsychotics, Adverse Events, and Mortality in Older Adults
| Study, Year | Population | Data Source | Exposure definition and comparison | Outcome | Follow-up | Occurrence in SGA, Risk (%) | Rate (per 100 PY) | Adjusted Association (95% CI) |
|------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Aparasu, 2012 [43] | 3,609 matched pair nursing home residents, USA, mean age = 83 | Medicare and Medicaid claims | Use at baseline (FGA vs. SGA) | Death | <40 days: Not reported | HR = 1.81 (1.49 to 2.18) |
| Kales, 2012 [40] | 20,927 beneficiaries with dementia, USA, mean age = 65 | Veterans Affairs Administrative health records | Use at baseline (haloperidol vs. risperidone) | Death | 180 days: Rate = 18.4 | HR = 1.41 (1.27 to 1.57) |
| Finkel, 2005 [10] | 9,545 dementia patients, USA, mean age = 81 | Medicaid claims | Use at baseline (haloperidol vs. risperidone) adjusted for duration of use | Cerebrovascular event | 90 days: Risk = 0.87 | OR = 1.91 (1.02 to 3.60) |
| Gill, 2005 [11] | 32,710 residents with dementia, Ontario, mean age = 83 | Province-wide administrative health records | Use until discontinuation* (FGA vs. SGA) | Ischemic stroke | 238 days: Mean | Rate: 2.6 | HR = 1.01 (0.81 to 1.26) |
| Wang, 2007 [13] | 22,890 residents, Pennsylvania, mean age = 83 | Pharmacy-linked Medicare claims | Use at baseline (FGA vs. SGA) | Cerebrovascular event/Transient Ischemic Attack | 120 days: Not reported | HR = 1.09 (1.02 to 1.16) |
| Sacchetti, 2008 [14] | 2,255 primary care patients, Italy, mean age = 76 | Administrative health records | Use until discontinuation* (FGA vs. SGA) | Stroke | 87112 days: Mean | Rate = 4.7 | Butyrophenones: HR = 1.44 (0.55 to 3.76) |
| Chan, 2010 [16] | 1,089 new dementia patients, Hong Kong, mean age = 80 | Administrative hospital records | Use until discontinuation* (FGA or SGA vs. non-users) | Cerebrovascular event/Transient Ischemic Attack | 867 days: Mean | Rate = 4.9 | FGA: 0.96 (0.58 to 1.59) |
| Huybrechts, 2012 [18] | 83,959 nursing home residents, USA, mean age = 82 | Medicare claims, OSCAR¹, MDS² | Use until discontinuation* (FGA vs. SGA) | Cerebrovascular event/Transient Ischemic Attack | 180 days: Rate = 9.2 | HR = 0.81 (0.65 to 1.01) |
| Study, Year | Population | Data Source | Exposure definition and comparison | Outcome | Follow-up | Occurrence in SGA, Risk (%) | Rate (per 100 PY) | Adjusted Association (95% CI) |
|------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| Ray, 2009 [15] | 276,907 beneficiaries, Tennessee, mean age = 45.7 | Medicaid claims and death certificate data | Time-varying use (FGA or SGA vs. non-users) | Myocardial Infarction | 180 days: | Rate = 2.0 | HR = 1.23 (0.82 to 1.82) |
| | | | | Pneumonia | 180 days: | Rate = 1.9 | HR = 1.28 (0.87 to 1.88) |
| | | | | Hip Fracture | 180 days: | Rate = 3.8 | HR = 1.27 (0.94 to 1.72) |
| Liperoti, 2005 [12] | 132,018 nursing home residents, USA, age = 65 | Medicare claims, SAGE³, MDS² | Use at baseline (FGA or SGA vs. non-users) | Venous Thromboembolism | 180 days: | Rate = 1.24 | FGA: HR = 1.02 (0.67 to 1.55) |

¹discontinuation of initial antipsychotic or initiation of comparator antipsychotic.
²SAGE: Systematic Assessment of Geriatric Drug Use via Epidemiology database.
³MDS: Minimum Dataset baseline and follow-up clinical assessments.
⁴OSCAR: Online Survey, Certification and Reporting for U.S.
**This estimate was for the community-dwelling cohort; the corresponding estimate for the long-term care cohort was RD = 2.2 (95%CI 0.0 to 4.4). We took a weighted average of these estimates according to the reported distribution of community-dwelling and long-term care residents and obtained a point estimate of RD = 2.47%.
***Rate among persons 70 to 74 years of age.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0105376.t002
who experience MI after antipsychotic use is 42.8%, then the proportion of the mortality difference between FGAs and SGAs explained by MI may be as high as 3.5% (Figure 2). The proportion mediated would be 4.2% if we expected poor sensitivity (Sn = 0.5) and a much lower excess mortality of 26.0%; it would be 9.5% for the same sensitivity but a much higher excess mortality of 59.6%.

### Table 3. Medical event occurrence, association with antipsychotic type (FGA vs. SGA), and difference in mortality between FGAs and SGAs due to their difference in risk for the medical event.

| Event                  | Average Medical Event Rate and Range* among SGA users (per 100 PY) | Average Medical Event Relative Risk and Range* (FGA vs. SGA users) | Six-month Mortality for the Medical Event | Difference in mortality due to differences in medical event risk between FGA and SGA users (lower bound, upper bound accounting for potential bias)** |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Stroke                 | 4.7 (2.6 to 9.2)                                                     | 1.4 (0.81 to 1.91)                                                | 20%                                      | 0.17% (0.18 to 0.47)                                                                    |
| Ventricular Arrhythmia | 0.48†                                                               | 1.1†                                                             | 90%                                      | 0.02% (0.10 to 0.12)                                                                    |
| Venous Thromboembolism | 1.2‡                                                                | 0.5‡                                                             | 15%                                      | – 0.06% (–– to —–)                                                                     |
| Myocardial Infarction  | 2.0‡                                                                | 1.2 (1.16 to 1.23)                                                | 45%                                      | 0.09% (0.10 to 0.24)                                                                    |
| Hip Fracture           | 6.2 (3.8 to 8.5)                                                    | 1.3 (1.27 to 1.39)                                                | 20%                                      | 0.16% (0.03 to 0.23)                                                                    |
| Pneumonia              | 4.8 (1.9 to 7.6)                                                    | 1.0³ (0.84 to 1.28)                                               | 20%                                      | 0.00% (–– to —–)                                                                       |

*arithmetic average (minimum, maximum) of reported estimates from included studies (as described in the methods section).
†Rates here are shown in units of 100 person-years; in calculations and in the text they were scaled to units of 50 person-years to approximate six-month risk.
‡Only 1 study contributed to these rounded estimates (RR = 1.06 for ventricular arrhythmia and RR = 0.51 for venous thromboembolism).
³Although the average was 1.04, the confidence intervals for the contributing estimates were wide and evenly distributed about the null.
**Estimate, lower and upper bounds for the projected mortality difference (i.e. without denominator of total effect. Bounds were only estimated for medical events that appeared to explain the higher mortality for FGAs i.e. Relative Risk > 1).

Epidemiology. Two cohort studies have evaluated the risk of MI in both FGAs and SGAs. The Wang et al. study described earlier found a higher risk for FGA users at 120 days after antipsychotic initiation (HR = 1.16; 95%CI 0.91 to 1.48), but no difference in risk at 30 or 60 days [13]. The Huybrechts et al. study of nursing home residents also assessed the risk of hospitalization for MI at six months after initiation and found higher risk for FGAs compared to SGAs (HR = 1.23; 95%CI 0.82...

![Figure 2. The causal pathway from antipsychotic type to mortality through medical events.](doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0105376.g002)
to 1.82) [18]. This was the only study that reported an absolute rate of MI [18], which was low (2.0 cases per 100 person-years), so there may have been insufficient power to provide a more precise estimate.

**Biological plausibility.** The mechanisms by which antipsychotic use could lead to MI may involve effects on blood lipid levels, which may exacerbate atherosclerosis, or effects on platelets and other clotting factors leading to increased coagulability. MI is a leading cause of death.

**Mortality associated with myocardial infarction.** Based on the following data, we assumed that 45% of older adults who experience a myocardial infarction die within six months: in a study of older Medicare patients admitted for MI, 26.3% died within 30 days after discharge and an additional 20% died within the remaining year [5].

**Hip fracture**

**Overview.** From 2004 to 2012, 12 studies investigated the association between falls or fracture risk in both FGA and SGA users, two of which met our inclusion criteria. These studies suggested that FGAs carry higher risk for hip fracture in nursing home residents soon after antipsychotic initiation (Table 2; HR = 1.27 to 1.61). Because falls and fractures occur more frequently among nursing home patients, this estimate may not apply to the general population. Nevertheless, the average incidence rate per 100 persons over six months among SGA users was 2.38, and among FGA users it was 3.33 after applying the average relative risk for hip fracture (RR = 1.4) (we averaged as-treated and intent-to-treat HR estimates reported in the same study; see File S2). Assuming that after antipsychotic use, the excess mortality for those who experience hip fracture is 17.8%, then hip fracture may explain up to 6.5% of the mortality difference between FGAs and SGAs (Figure 2). The proportion mediated would be 1.3% if we expected poor sensitivity (Sn = 0.5) and a much lower excess mortality of 3.1%; it would be 9.2% for the same sensitivity but a much higher excess mortality of 22.6%.

**Epidemiology.** Huybrechts et al. followed newly admitted nursing home residents in British Columbia for six months and found higher risk for FGAs than SGAs [17] (HR = 1.61; 95%CI 1.03 to 2.51), following patients until they experienced a hip fracture, died, discontinued their antipsychotic or started using an antipsychotic from the comparison group. When analyzed using the intention-to-treat approach, the hazard ratio was considerably lower (HR = 1.16; 95%CI 0.82 to 1.63). Another study followed nursing home residents without major psychotic disorders or cancer for six months and also found higher risk for FGAs (HR = 1.27; 95%CI 0.94 to 1.72) [18].

**Biological plausibility.** Acute extrapyramidal symptoms occur more frequently with FGAs [59]. Dystonia, parkinsonism, dyskinesia, and akathisia can manifest as early as the first few days after initiation or as late as three months. Such symptoms can cause gait disturbances and impair mobility and balance, which are risk factors for falls (and thus fractures) in older adults [60]. Fractures increase the risk for mortality in older adults.

**Mortality associated with hip fracture.** Based on the following data, we assumed that 20% of older adults who experience a hip fracture die within six months based on the following data: hip fracture increases mortality and 70% of the deaths occurring in the year following fracture happen within the first six months after injury [61]; at six months, the mortality among hip fracture cases ranges from 7.1% to 23%. Some of this mortality may be attributable to underlying comorbidity that increases risk for both hip fracture and mortality.

**Pneumonia**

**Overview.** From 2004 to 2012, seven studies investigated the risk for pneumonia in both FGAs and SGAs. The three that met our inclusion criteria reported no differences in risk between FGAs and SGAs within the first six months after antipsychotic initiation. One study (Wang et al. 2007 [62]) reported lower risk in FGA users but only after 120 days follow-up, which could indicate that SGA users experience higher risk after three or four months following antipsychotic initiation but the average relative risk was null over follow-up. Taken together, these results suggest that pneumonia does not explain any of the higher mortality in FGAs as compared to SGAs (Figure 2);

**Epidemiology.** Three retrospective cohort studies evaluated the risk of pneumonia in FGA and SGA users. The Wang et al. study [62] of low-income older, frail, community-dwelling adults found no difference in risk at 30 (HR = 1.11; 95%CI 0.76 to 1.63) or 60 days (HR = 1.03; 95%CI 0.76 to 1.39). By 120 days the pneumonia risk was lower for FGAs as compared to SGAs (HR = 0.84; 95%CI 0.66 to 1.05). A study of newly admitted nursing home residents in British Columbia [17] showed no difference in risk at six months after antipsychotic initiation (HR = 1.03; 95%CI 0.62 to 1.69). Another study followed U.S. nursing home residents without cancer, schizophrenia, or bipolar disorder for up to six months and also found no difference in risk [18] (HR = 1.28; 95%CI 0.87 to 1.88).

**Biological plausibility.** The most plausible mechanism for possible differences in pneumonia risk for FGAs and SGAs is the higher frequency of extrapyramidal symptoms and sedation among FGA users. Extrapyramidal symptoms involving the pharyngeal musculature could lead to dysphagia, and excess sedation could lead to decreased cough-reflex, both of which are risk factors for aspiration pneumonia in older adults [63]. Another potential mechanism could involve altered cytokine profiles and immune response, but the supporting evidence for this pathway is incomplete as these studies have not comprehensively investigated how specific antipsychotics, FGAs or SGAs, influence inflammatory activity across in vivo, ex vivo, and in vitro systems [64].

**Mortality associated with pneumonia.** Based on the following data, we assumed that 20% of older adults who experience pneumonia die within six months based on several lines of evidence. An observational study of nursing home residents with advanced dementia reported a 90-day mortality rate, after pneumonia diagnosis, ranging from 36% to 67% depending on the type of care received [65]: 51% of these cases had a do-not-hospitalize order. A review of community-acquired pneumonia reported a mortality rate of less than 1% for cases managed in outpatient settings [66]; among the 22 to 42% of patients in population-based studies with severe enough pneumonia to warrant inpatient or intensive care, mortality ranged from 14 to 54%. A large study of Medicare beneficiaries hospitalized for community-acquired pneumonia reported 11% mortality during hospitalization and 36% mortality over six-months among those discharged alive; at one year the total mortality was 41% [67]. Differences in pneumonia management and medical comorbidity, especially considering end-of-life decision making for older adults, may contribute to the wide variability in mortality among studies of inpatient pneumonia cases.

**Discussion**

**Main findings**

We found that older adults using FGAs were at higher risk for stroke, ventricular arrhythmia, myocardial infarction, and hip fracture as compared to SGAs. Using the lowest estimate of 2.5%
for their overall difference in mortality, antipsychotic-induced stroke accounted for 6.7% of this difference, hip fracture for 6.5%, myocardial infarction for 3.5%, and ventricular arrhythmia for 0.9%. The lower and upper bounds that account for poor diagnostic sensitivity and other potential biases are 7.4% and 18.9% for stroke, 1.4% and 9.2% for hip fracture, 4.2% and 9.5% for myocardial infarction, and 3.9% and 4.8% for ventricular arrhythmia. Combined, these medical events explained about one-sixth (17%) of the mortality difference between FGAs and SGAs (assuming independent contributions), but this value could be as large as 42% given the limitations and uncertainties in the source data. Pneumonia and VTE did not account for any of the observed mortality difference. These results suggest that hip fracture, stroke, myocardial infarction, and ventricular arrhythmia are on the causal pathway to mortality, but that ventricular arrhythmia plays a minor role.

Evidence gaps

Overall, our study was consistent with the findings of previous literature reviews of medical event risk in antipsychotic users [19,21–25]. The following may explain why the medical events we reviewed did not explain more of the mortality difference: (1) the magnitude of the mortality difference may be biased from residual confounding through selective prescribing to FGAs and SGAs based on socioeconomic status or prior health conditions (2) the difference in risk for each medical event may be similarly biased due to residual confounding; (3) most of the reviewed studies were performed in administrative data and used diagnostic billing records to assess the medical events, which typically results in low sensitivity and would underestimate the proportion mediated by a medical event [68]. In the absence of these potential biases, the data suggest that other medical events (e.g., bacterial infection, respiratory failure, renal failure, or neuroleptic malignant syndrome) may also contribute to the mortality difference.

While adequately powered randomized controlled studies evaluating medical event risk in both FGAs and SGAs would be ideal for characterizing the causal pathway to mortality, they are unlikely to be conducted and are possibly unethical in this setting. With the exception of stroke and pneumonia, we found few observational studies whose sample size, design, and analysis were suited to identify short-term drug effects (see inclusion criteria). Future studies with more robust methods would provide better evidence to characterize the risk of antipsychotic-related medical events and contribution to mortality.

The relative contributions by medical events to mortality most likely vary across populations with different indications, acuity, socioeconomic status, and quality of clinical care. While the association between antipsychotic type and mortality was characterized in several populations and subgroups, the studies of medical events were not. Among the included studies, stroke was the only event characterized in dementia patients. The other medical events were limited to either community-dwelling populations or nursing home residents.

Limitations and strengths

This systematic review’s results hinge on the quality of the included studies. Although each study reviewed adjusted for diagnoses, health service and medication use, it is possible that the individual studies suffered from residual or unmeasured confounding by risk factors for mortality or for the medical event studied. The algorithms used to classify medical comorbidity in administrative data, which were used by all of the included studies, often perform poorly (i.e. subject to misclassification) and will fail to completely control for confounding [69]. A study of community-dwelling Medicare beneficiaries showed that unmeasured confounding by BMI, smoking, and cognitive and functional impairment would underestimate the association between antipsychotic type and mortality [44]; the relationship between antipsychotic type and each of the medical events could be affected in similar ways. In some of the included studies [17,29,34], dementia, which is positively associated with increased cardiovascular risk and mortality, was more common in SGA users than FGAs users (despite their differences in mean age). Other potential biases might come from risk factors for medical events, such as smoking, that independently predict mortality and are distributed differently between the general population and patients receiving antipsychotics. This sort of bias would distort our estimates of excess mortality but cannot be adequately controlled in this design. We have provided bounds and bias analyses to reflect these sources of uncertainty in our analysis.

The model used to estimate the proportion of the mortality difference mediated by medical events would be subject to any bias from unmeasured or residual confounding in the individual studies. Even in the absence of such bias, the model did not account for population heterogeneity between the studies reporting rates of medical events among antipsychotic users and the studies reporting mortality rates. In particular, the mortality rates were calculated from studies consisting of older adults from the general population; they may thus underestimate the expected mortality in antipsychotic users who did (or did not) experience a medical event. If this underestimation was more severe for those who did not experience a medical event, the proportion mediated would be inflated. Conversely, if this underestimation was more severe for those who experienced the medical event, the proportion mediated would be attenuated. If the mortality underestimation were similar in absolute terms for those with and without the medical event, the bias would cancel. The bounds and bias analyses reflect these sources of uncertainty.

Although it is clear from these analyses that ventricular arrhythmia plays a minor role, the bounds and bias analyses portray a sizeable amount of uncertainty for other medical events, especially in cases of extremely poor sensitivity of diagnostic algorithms used to extract diagnostic information from claims data (although for hip fracture this is less of a concern). Our most plausible estimates suggest that up to 42% of the smallest observed difference in mortality (2.5%) might be explained by these events. However, the unadjusted mortality difference is in fact larger in some populations (7.3%) and the corresponding upper bound would be 15% (the proportion mortality decreased because the projected mortality was held fixed while the overall difference in mortality was increased in this example). On the one hand, the large degree of unexplained mortality in either situation might demand investigation of other unsuspected adverse events. For instance, drug-drug interactions with antipsychotics may occur in the elderly whose age-related changes in drug metabolism and clearance may be exacerbated by complex medication regimens for comorbid conditions [70]. On the other hand, our inability to explain the mortality difference despite considering many known risks is also consistent with hypotheses of residual and unmeasured differences between patients who receive FGAs versus SGAs.

These limitations and uncertainty motivate a formal mediation analysis of individual-level data, with properly measured medical events, that would allow for confounding adjustment and would model the relationships between antipsychotic type, medical events, and mortality in the same dataset. Such an approach could also be performed for relevant population subgroups and confirmed across study populations. The current approach of synthesizing safety data could be extended to use more sophisticated methods.
Implications for clinical practice

This review focused on the mortality difference between FGAs and SGAs and, because these agents share mechanisms of action, the results may only partially apply to mortality differences between antipsychotic users versus non-users. To answer this pressing question, an alternate approach could focus on explaining the mortality difference between SGAs and placebo in clinical trials, and perhaps overcome their lack of power through pooling the data at the individual or study level. However, this approach would face its own limitations: many of the placebo-controlled trials in dementia patients did not consistently report adverse events and their enrollment criteria often limit the relevance of their findings to patients seen in actual clinical practice [73]. The definitive effectiveness trial that compared individual SGAs for reducing behavioral and psychiatric symptoms in dementia lacked a placebo arm and was not designed to detect serious adverse events [74].

Conclusions

Our review summarized the literature on several serious adverse events in older antipsychotics and provided important contributions. First, newly published studies on antipsychotic-related mortality in nursing home residents that adjust for measures of functional and cognitive decline are consistent with previous findings. Second, despite the large body of research on antipsychotic-related mortality, very few studies followed recommended guidelines for reducing bias in observational studies of mortality. Last, and perhaps most important, we have shown that the published literature itself can be summarized to quantitatively compare potential mechanisms of drug-related mortality. Our results suggest the mortality difference between FGAs and SGAs may involve several pathways, and each influenced differently by the underlying medical event rate and the rate difference between FGAs and SGAs, as well as the excess mortality associated with the medical event. Much of the mortality difference between FGAs and SGAs was unexplained by the medical events included in this review. A formal mediation analysis using individual level data would overcome some of the limitations in our review.
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