Genetic Diversity and Population Structure of Races of Fusarium oxysporum Causing Cotton Wilt
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ABSTRACT To better understand the evolution of virulence we are interested in identifying the genetic basis of this trait in pathogenic fungi and in developing tools for the rapid characterization of variation in virulence among populations associated with epidemics. Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. vasinfectum (FOV) is a haploid fungus that causes devastating outbreaks of Fusarium wilt of cotton wherever it is grown. In the United States, six nominal races and eleven genotypes of FOV have been characterized based on the translation elongation factor (EF-1α) gene and intergenic spacer region (IGS), but it is unclear how race or genotype based on these regions relates to population structure or virulence. We used genotyping-by-sequencing to identify SNPs and determine genetic diversity and population structure among 86 diverse FOV isolates. Six individuals of Fusarium oxysporum closely related to FOV were genotyped and included in some analyses. Between 193 and 354 SNPs were identified and included in the analyses depending on the pipeline and filtering criteria used. Phylogenetic trees, minimum spanning networks (MSNs), principal components analysis (PCA), and discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC) demonstrated that races and genotypes of FOV are generally not structured by EF-1α genotype, nor are they monophyletic groups with the exception of race 4 isolates, which are distinct. Furthermore, DAPC identified between 11 and 14 genetically distinct clusters of FOV, whereas only eight EF-1α genotypes were represented among isolates; suggesting that FOV, especially isolates within the widely distributed and common race 1 genotype, is more genetically diverse than currently recognized.
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Fusarium oxysporum (Fo) is an agriculturally important fungus that causes disease on over 120 plant hosts (Edel-Hermann and Lecomte 2019). Fo is subcategorized into formae specialis (ff. spp.), with each forma specialis (f. sp.) defined by the host on which it can cause disease. Most formae specialis of Fo are soilborne plant pathogens that cause vascular wilt diseases. Examples include: Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. niveum, which causes the globally important Fusarium wilt of watermelon (Martyn 2014); and Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. cubense, which causes the devastating Panama disease of banana (Ploetz 1994). Interestingly, the formae specialis of Fo are often polyphyletic. Based on sequence similarity for the translation elongation factor (EF-1α) gene and the intergenic spacer region (IGS), individuals from different formae specialis may be more closely related to each other than individuals in the same forma specialis, suggesting that pathogenicity to certain plant hosts has independently arisen multiple times from distinct lineages (O’Donnell et al. 2009). This most likely resulted from the horizontal transfer of lineage-specific pathogenicity chromosomes, also called accessory chromosomes (Ma et al. 2010). Fusarium oxysporum is characterized by the presence of lineage-specific (LS) chromosomes, which are enriched with transposable elements (TEs) and genes for small secreted proteins. The LS chromosomes are also highly divergent among different formae specialis, suggesting that they play a role in host-specialization (Ma et al. 2010; de Sain and Rep 2015). Fo is haploid, and considered asexual since it reproduces clonally, sexual reproduction has never been observed, and is not known to undergo meiotic recombination (Kang et al. 2014).

FOV, the forma specialis that causes Fusarium wilt of cotton, has recently re-emerged in the southeastern United States (Atkinson 1892;
et al. (2016). In the southeastern U.S., Fusarium wilt of cotton was historically a relatively minor disease problem because effective disease management practices - especially the management of plant-pathogenic nematodes which aggravate Fusarium wilt symptoms - were used (Jorgenson et al. 1978; Garber et al. 1979). In the past decade, despite the same disease management practices being followed, Fusarium wilt has become increasingly prevalent in the southeastern United States, with some outbreaks occurring early in the cotton-growing season and causing severe damage to seedlings (Collins et al. 2013; Whitaker et al. 2016).

FOV has been studied for over a century, but there are still knowledge gaps in the pathogen’s genetic diversity (Atkinson 1892). Eight pathogenic races of FOV were reported between 1958 and 1985, and although these races are widely regarded as invalid (Armstrong and Armstrong 1978; Chen Armstrong 1958; Armstrong and Armstrong 1960; Ibrahim 1966; Armstrong and Armstrong 1978; Chen et al. 1985; Davis et al. 2006; Bell et al. 2017), they are widely used in the cotton industry for characterizing FOV. In plant pathology, a race refers to a pathogen’s ability to cause disease on its host and implies a gene-for-gene interaction between host and pathogen (Anderson et al. 2010). A set of differential host cultivars with unique combinations of resistance genes are frequently used to discriminate pathogenic races. The races of FOV, however, were characterized based on isolates’ virulence not only on a differential set of cotton cultivars, but also on many non-host plants including tobacco, soybean, and okra (Armstrong and Armstrong 1960; Armstrong and Armstrong 1978; Chen et al. 1985). Additionally, many of the cotton cultivars that were originally used to differentiate races of FOV have not been maintained, and differential virulence reactions are not reproducible on modern cotton cultivars (Davis et al. 2006; Holmes et al. 2009; Bell et al. 2017). Furthermore, multigene genealogies, pathogenicity tests, and other bioassays demonstrated that races 3 and 5, as well as 4 and 7, are genetically and phenotypically indistinguishable and should therefore be recognized as single groups (Nirenberg et al. 1994; Hering et al. 1999; Skovgaard et al. 2001). As a result, only six races of FOV are recognized today.

Each race of FOV is associated with a unique sequence at the EF-1α locus, with the exception of races 1 and 6, but race 6 is apparently limited to South America; EF-1α sequence is the primary tool used to characterize races of FOV today (Skovgaard et al. 2001; Kim et al. 2005; Cianchetta et al. 2015). “Genotype” would be a more valid term than “race” to describe these polymorphisms, but the term “race” is still used nominally in the United States cotton industry (Davis et al. 2006). In addition to the six nominal races, there are four other genotypes of FOV characterized by unique EF-1α sequences, referred to as LA108, LA110, LA112, and LA127; and one genotype, MDS-12, that is identical to FOV race 4 in EF-1α sequence but unique in intergenic spacer region (IGS) sequence (Holmes et al. 2009; Bennett et al. 2013).

Although housekeeping gene sequences, especially EF-1α, have been used to characterize FOV isolates in the United States, there is some evidence that these polymorphisms do not fully explain the genetic diversity and evolutionary history of FOV. For example, a phylogeny generated from EF-1α, mitochondrial small subunit ribosomal DNA (mtSSU rDNA), nitrate reductase (NIR), and phosphate permease-like protein (PHO) sequences, showed race 2 to be a polyphylectic group (Skovgaard et al. 2001). A separate phylogeny generated from IGS, PHO, EF-1α, and beta-tubulin (BT), showed that MDS-12 is also polyphylectic (Cianchetta et al. 2015). Given that FOV is considered a highly diverse pathogen, there may be other polymorphisms being overlooked in characterizations based on housekeeping gene sequences.

Genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) is a form of reduced-representation genome sequencing in which genomic DNA is digested with a restriction enzyme, and short fragments are amplified and sequenced via next-generation sequencing (Elshire et al. 2011; Andrews et al. 2016). Depending on coverage, this approach allows for the identification of hundreds to thousands of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) among a large sample of individuals, thus providing high resolution of genetic differences among individuals. GBS has previously been used in population analyses of plant pathogens to identify novel genetic diversity in high-diversity organisms, identify cryptic sexual recombination among clonal pathogens, and conduct genome-wide-association studies (GWAS) linking quantitative trait nucleotides (QTNs) with pathogen virulence and mycotoxin production (Milgroom et al. 2014; Hansen et al. 2016; Talas et al. 2016).

The goal of this study was to characterize the genetic diversity, evolutionary history, and population structure of FOV using GBS to identify SNPs among diverse isolates of FOV. Additionally, we wanted to replicate our GBS data processing pipeline using two different reference genomes - one publicly available annotated reference genome, and one reference assembled de novo from the raw GBS data - to assess the effect of the reference on population analyses.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Isolate collection**

One-hundred-and-fourteen single-spore cultures of FOV were isolated from symptomatic plants throughout Georgia cotton fields (Table S1), following the protocol described by da Silva et al. (2019). Isolates were genotyped for FOV race type based on their translation elongation factor (EF-1α) sequence, using the primers EF1 and EF2 (O’Donnell et al. 1998; Cianchetta et al. 2015). Each PCR reaction consisted of 1.25 μl 2.5 mM each dNTP’s, 1.25 μl 10× Ex Taq buffer (Takara Bio USA), 0.56 μl of each 10 μM primer, 0.3 μl Ex Taq (Takara Bio USA), and 1 μl of genomic DNA (10 - 300 ng/μl). Amplification was conducted in a thermal cycler (PTC-100; MJ Research, Watertown, MA) under the following conditions: 95°C for 1 min; 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 sec, 55°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 1 min; and a final extension of 72°C for 5 min (Cianchetta et al. 2015). Amplification of the EF-1α locus was confirmed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis, and PCR products were purified with an ExoSAP-IT kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) per manufacturer instructions. A 320-ng sample of DNA combined with 4 μl of 10 μM primers were sent to EuroFins (Louisville, KY) for Sanger sequencing. Sequences were aligned to the publicly available race and genotype references used by Cianchetta et al. (2015). Alignments were performed with Geneious R11 using a global alignment with free end gaps and a 70% BLOSUM cost matrix (Kearse et al. 2012). EF-1α sequence was insufficient to distinguish FOV race 4 and MDS-12 isolates (Bennett et al. 2013); to differentiate between race 4 and MDS-12, the intergenic spacer region was sequenced following the protocol described by Cianchetta et al. (2015). Additionally, EF-1α sequence cannot distinguish races 1 and 6 (Skovgaard et al. 2001). However, reports of race 6 are limited to South America so isolates with an EF-1α sequence indicative of race 1 or 6 were assumed to be race 1 (Armstrong and Armstrong 1978; Cianchetta et al. 2015). To maximize genetic, temporal, and geographic diversity, 54 Fusarium oxysporum isolates were obtained from collections of J. Coleman (Auburn University), R.M. Davis (University of California Davis), and J. Liu (USDA-ARS Southern Plains Agricultural Research Center), as well as the USDA-ARS Northern Regional Research Laboratory (NRRL) culture collection. Forty-eight of the isolates were...
Genomic DNA extraction and genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS)

Genomic DNA was extracted from a total of 168 single-spore *Fusarium oxysporum* isolates. Isolates were grown on potato dextrose agar (PDA) overlain with sterile cellophane for 6–7 days, after which time mycelia were harvested and lyophilized (Milgroom et al. 2014). Approximately 50 milligrams of lyophilized mycelia were frozen in liquid nitrogen and macerated in 2-ml tubes with glass beads in a GenoGrinder (SPEX SamplePrep, Metuchen, NJ). DNA was extracted using a DNaseasy Plant Mini kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA) according to manufacturer protocols with the following modification: in the final step of the protocol, samples were eluted in 25 μl AE buffer to increase the final concentration of DNA. Concentration and quality of the DNA were determined using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer ND-1000 (Nanodrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE) and by 0.7% agarose gel electrophoresis, respectively.

Genotyping-by-sequencing was performed following the protocol described by Elshire et al. (2011) at the Georgia Genomics and Bioinformatics Core (Athens, GA). Briefly, samples of ≥100 ng genomic DNA were digested with the restriction endonuclease ApeKI, ligated with combinatorial barcode adapters, pooled, PCR-amplified, and purified. Samples were then sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq PE150 high output flowcell using 150-bp paired end reads (Illumina, San Diego, CA).

SNP calling and data filtering

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were identified and called using two modified versions of the uGBS-Flex pipeline (Qi et al. 2018). In the first pipeline, SNP calling was performed using a reference-based alignment; in the second, a de novo reference was generated from GBS reads following the protocol described by Qi et al. (2018) and SNP calling was based on alignment to the de novo reference. The rationale for using two separate data analysis pipelines was that the reference genome used in data processing and SNP calling could impact the results of population genetic analyses. For reference-based SNP calling, quality control was performed using FastQC and raw sequence data were sorted using the process_radtags command in Stacks version 2.0 (Andrews 2010; Catchen et al. 2013). Processed reads were trimmed to 120 base pairs and aligned to an annotated reference genome of FOV race 4/7 (NRRL 25433, Broad Institute) using FASTX Trimmer and bowtie2, respectively (Hannon 2010; Langmead and Salzberg 2012). Aligned reads were processed, validated, and sorted using SAMtools and Picard (Broad Institute; Li et al. 2009). SNPs were called using GATK HaplotypeCaller, with a ploidy of 1 specified, and GenotypeGVCFs (Van der Auwera et al. 2013). SNPs were filtered to retain only biallelic sites, and the resulting VCF file was further filtered for read depth of at least 25, a minimum Phred quality score of 20, and a maximum of 20% missing information per site (Danecek et al. 2011).

The de novo GBS reference was assembled following the protocol described by Qi et al. (2018). Briefly, reads were made equal lengths using FASTX Trimmer, overlapping forward and reverse reads were merged in FLASH, non-overlapping forward and reverse reads were artificially joined and made equal lengths using the in-house python script EL1.4.py, reads within each sample were clustered using the ustacks function in Stacks version 2.0, and a consensus set of tags from the population was generated with cstacks (Hannon 2010; Catchen et al. 2011; Magoc and Salzberg 2011; Qi et al. 2018). GBS reads were processed, aligned to the de novo reference, and filtered as described above.

Population genetic analyses

Filtered VCF files were analyzed in R version 3.5.1 with the packages vcR, poppr 2.0, and adegenet (Jombart and Ahmed 2011; Kamvar et al. 2015; Knaus and Grünwald 2017; R Core Team 2018). A genotype accumulation curve was generated to demonstrate the number of unique SNP genotypes in the population, as well as the minimum number of SNP loci needed to distinguish unique genotypes (Grünwald et al. 2003; Kamvar et al. 2015). Bitwise genetic distance was calculated and used to construct a minimum spanning network (MSN) with the poppr.msn function, to show the relationships among all individuals in the population (Kamvar et al. 2015). Isolates in the minimum spanning network were color-coded according to their race (as inferred from EF-1α genotype, and IGS genotype for race 4 and MDS-12) to observe potential clustering patterns by race. Principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted to determine whether isolates of particular races were distinct. PCA was run using the glpca command in adegenet, and results were plotted using the R package ggplot2 (Jolliffe 2002; Jombart and Ahmed 2016). Discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC), or K-means hierarchical clustering, was conducted using the find.clusters command in adegenet in order to determine the optimal number of genetically differentiated clusters across all FOV isolates (Jombart et al. 2010).

Additionally, maximum likelihood trees were constructed in MEGA7, using the HKY substitution model with 500 bootstrap replicates (Hasegawa et al. 1985; Kumar et al. 2016). All analyses were performed on the VCF files generated from the reference-based alignment, and replicated using the VCF file generated from the de novo-based alignment to test for differences in results obtained using the two processing methods.

Data availability

Strains are available upon request. All raw sequence data has been deposited in the NCBI Short Read Archive with project accession number PRJNA632933 and the associated short read accession numbers SRR11792269 – SRR11792418. The supplemental Table S1 lists the isolates included in the population genetic analyses. The supplemental figures show the results of the population genetic analyses using the de novo-based SNP dataset: Figure S1 contains the genotype accumulation curve generated from this dataset, Figure S2 contains the maximum likelihood trees, Figure S3 contains the minimum spanning network (MSN) and principal components analysis (PCA), and Figure S4 contains the groups identified through discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC). Supplemental material available at figshare: https://doi.org/10.25387/g3.12660074.

RESULTS

SNP calling, data filtering, and genetic diversity

The unfiltered VCF file based on alignment to the annotated reference genome of NRRL 25433 (Broad Institute) contained 229,338 SNPs. After filtering for read depth, quality, and missing information...
193 SNPs remained, and 84 FOV (Table S1) and 6 other *Fusarium oxysporum* isolates were retained for population genetic analyses. Across the 84 FOV isolates that were retained, 76 multilocus genotypes (MLGs) were identified (Figure 1). The genotype accumulation curve generated in poppr never fully plateaued, but the upper end of the 95% confidence interval reached the 100% genotype accumulation curve at approximately 114 SNP loci (Figure 1). The unfiltered VCF file based on alignment to the *de novo* reference contained 1,273 SNPs. The *de novo* reference is very useful for identifying SNP variants, but it is so fragmented that it is not informative in and of itself like the reference genome of NRRL 25433. Since it was generated with GBS reads that are associated with sets of restriction enzymes, the *de novo* assembly consists of 742,892 contigs with A’s added to the end of all reads to make them the same length of approximately 220 bp. After filtering, 374 SNPs, 80 FOV isolates, and 6 other *Fusarium oxysporum* isolates were retained for analyses. All 80 FOV isolates were considered unique MLGs, and the genotype accumulation curve associated with this dataset plateaued at approximately 210 loci (Figure S1).

**Phylogenetic analyses and evolutionary relationships**

In the ML trees generated from the reference-based SNPs, race 4 isolates were the only FOV to form a monophyletic group according to race or genotype (Figure 2A). The remaining isolates did not cluster by race or EF-1α genotype, although most LA127 isolates were placed in the same clade, as were most race 8 isolates. When other *formae speciales* of *Fo* were included in the tree, they did not form an outgroup or monophyletic clade, although two of these *Fo* isolates (‘NRRL2’ and ‘NRRL3’), which correspond to *F. oxysporum f. sp. radicis-lycopersici* NRRL26570 and *F. oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici* NRRL36464, respectively, did cluster together on a branch with bootstrap support of 76 (Figure 2B). The ML tree based on alignment to the *de novo* reference was similar but not identical to the tree described above: FOV race 4 isolates still clustered together on a branch with high bootstrap support, but there were no phylogenetically informative differences displayed among the race 4 isolates (Figure S2A; Figure S2B). Additionally, more clustering by race was observed in the *de novo*-based trees, regardless of whether other *Fo* isolates were included in the analysis. In addition to the LA127 isolates and race 8 isolates generally grouping together as before, four LA108 isolates formed a monophyletic group, and all four race 2 isolates were placed in the same clade; however, the bootstrap support for these new groups was below 50 (Figure S2A; Figure S2B).

**Population structure**

In the minimum spanning network (MSN) constructed from the reference-based SNP dataset, isolates did not cluster neatly by race or genotype (Figure 3A). Other *formae speciales* of *Fo* were included in the MSN, and while all non-FOV isolates were distantly related from most individuals in the population, they did not cluster together (Figure 3A). The PCA analysis demonstrated a similar pattern as the MSN but showed a clear grouping of race 4 isolates, which were separated from most other isolates in the population by PC1 and PC2 (Figure 3B). Most isolates in the population overlapped each other in the lower left-hand quadrant.

The MSN constructed from the *de novo*-based SNP dataset showed more clustering by race than the reference-based dataset, with most race 2 isolates and LA127 isolates clustering together (Figure S3A). Additionally, all race 4 isolates formed a single, undifferentiated cluster in the *de novo*-based MSN (Figure S3A). The *de novo*-based PCA was highly similar to the reference-based analysis, but race 4 isolates were more dispersed and overlapped with a race 1 isolate (Figure S3B).
Using the reference-based SNPs, DAPC identified an optimum of \( k = 12 \) genetically distinct clusters (Figure 4). All individuals had a 100% membership probability to the group which they were assigned. All clusters contained between one and six individuals, except for group 4 which contained 46 individuals. There were 20 SNPs within the first 6 PC that played the largest role in DAPC group determination. Some clusters contained isolates of a single race or EF-1a genotype; for example, group 1 contained only race 1 isolates, group 10 contained only race 2 isolates, and groups 11 and 12 contained only race 4 isolates. However, some races spanned multiple groups and, conversely, some groups contained individuals of multiple races and genotypes. For example, race 1 and LA108 isolates were both present in five of the twelve groups identified; and group 4 included isolates spanning race 1, LA110, LA108, and MDS-12.

When DAPC was run on the \( \text{de novo} \)-based SNPs, an optimum of \( k = 9 \) clusters were identified (Figure S4). As with the reference-based analysis, all individuals were assigned to groups with 100% membership probability. Some groups identified in this analysis were identical to those identified in the reference-based DAPC analysis. For example, group 1 was the same across both analyses, and group 5 in the reference-based analysis was identical to group 7 in the reference-based analysis. Some groups identified in the \( \text{de novo} \)-based analysis were analogous, although not quite identical, to groups identified in the reference-based analysis: an example of this is group 4, which contained 51 individuals spanning five races and genotypes in the \( \text{de novo} \)-based analysis.

**DISCUSSION**

Using a genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) approach, we identified novel genetic diversity in the cotton wilt pathogen *Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. vasinfectum* (FOV). In our reference-based data processing pipeline, we identified 193 SNPs and 76 unique multilocus genotypes (MLGs) of FOV, and found that greater than 193 SNPs are most likely needed to fully distinguish unique genotypes of FOV in the population. However, the upper end of the 95% confidence interval did not plateau in this analysis, demonstrating that 193 SNPs are insufficient to distinguish the full genetic diversity of FOV, although 114 SNPs are sufficient to capture at least 95% of the population’s diversity. In the \( \text{de novo} \)-based analysis, we identified 374 SNPs and 80 MLGs, and found that 210 SNP loci were needed to distinguish unique genotypes. The results of the reference-based and \( \text{de novo} \)-based analyses complement each other, and suggest that 210 is a realistic minimum number of SNPs needed to conduct high-resolution population genetic analyses of FOV. However, some of the SNPs identified in the \( \text{de novo} \)-based data analysis pipeline may be redundant, as the UGbS-Flex pipeline neither assessed redundancy nor removed redundant SNPs (Qi et al. 2018). Additionally, MLGs are not straightforward to interpret in the context of datasets with hundreds to thousands of SNPs - some of the unique MLGs identified in our study were different only by one or a few SNPs, which may not warrant classification as different genotypes (Grünwald et al. 2016). The concept that MLGs are problematic for SNP genotypes is further demonstrated by the fact that all 80 individuals were considered unique MLGs in the \( \text{de novo} \)-based genotype accumulation curve, which was based on a larger number of SNPs than the reference-based genotype accumulation curve. Although the exact number of MLGs in the population is unclear, our genotype accumulation curves suggest that using one or a few housekeeping genes does not provide enough resolution for population analyses of FOV, and that such an approach is likely to overlook genetic diversity. Our DAPC results
provide additional evidence that FOV is more genetically diverse than its races and EF-1α genotypes: only eight races and EF-1α genotypes were represented among the isolates analyzed by DAPC, but an optimum of k = 12 and k = 9 genetically distinct groups of FOV were identified using reference-based SNPs and de novo-based SNPs, respectively. Although our population genetic analyses provide new evidence that FOV is more diverse than its races and EF-1α genotypes, we are not the first to suggest this. The U.S. cotton industry uses races and genotypes based on EF-1α sequence to characterize FOV isolates, but FOV can also be classified into vegetative compatibility groups (VCGs) (Bell et al. 2017). Vegetative compatibility is defined by the ability of isolates to undergo anastomosis, or hyphal fusion, and isolates are able to undergo anastomosis if they have identical alleles at several vic loci (Leslie 1993). Because individuals in the same VCG must possess several identical alleles, vegetative compatibility is thought to be a good indication of isolates’ relatedness (Puhalla 1985). Additionally, Bell et al. (2017) reported that individuals in the same VCG typically possess the same disease phenotype on cotton, suggesting that VCG is an ideal way to characterize FOV isolates as it is indicative of both genetic relationship and disease phenotype. Twenty distinct VCG’s have been identified in FOV (Fernandez et al. 1994; Davis et al. 1996; Bell et al. 2017), but our study identified a maximum of twelve genetically distinct groups of FOV. One possible explanation for this is that some VCG’s are rare - for example, between 1994 and 2017 only one isolate belonging to the VCG known as 0111 had been identified (Fernandez et al. 1994; Bell et al. 2017) - and therefore were not represented among the isolates analyzed in our study. Additionally, it is possible that some FOV isolates differ at vic alleles which govern vegetative compatibility but are otherwise genetically similar, resulting in individuals of different VCGs being placed in the same DAPC group in our study. Although VCG typing is quite useful for identifying and characterizing genetic diversity within
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**Figure 3** Population genetic structure. (A) Minimum-spanning network (MSN) constructed in poppr (version 2.0). Six additional (non-FOV) Fo isolates are included in this figure. (B) Principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted using poppr (version 2.0).
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**Figure 4** Twelve genetically distinct groups of FOV identified through K-means hierarchical clustering.
FOV, it is labor-intensive and not conducive to rapid characterization of genetic diversity in larger populations.

Our results also demonstrated that FOV race 4 isolates comprise a genetically distinct group, whereas the relationships among all other FOV isolates are not explained by their race or EF-1α genotype. This was demonstrated in maximum likelihood trees in which race 4 isolates formed a monophyletic group on a longer branch with high bootstrap support in both analyses, while the other races and genotypes of FOV were not monophyletic and their depicted relationships generally had bootstrap support below 50. The lack of race or EF-1α genotype explaining overall genetic relatedness among populations of FOV was also demonstrated in the minimum spanning network (MSN) and principal components analysis (PCA), which showed a clear clustering of race 4 isolates, but little or incomplete clustering of other races or genotypes. Additionally, the clusters identified by DAPC were also not structured by race or EF-1α genotype. Some clusters contained individuals of multiple races or genotypes, such as group 4 in the reference-based analysis, which contained 46 individuals spanning race 1, LA108, LA110, and MDS-12; this suggests that isolates with different EF-1α genotypes can possess a high degree of genetic similarity. Conversely, some races or genotypes based on EF-1α sequence were present in multiple genetic clusters: in the reference-based analysis, race 1 isolates were present in five of twelve clusters, showing that individuals sharing the same EF-1α sequence are not necessarily closely related. Taken together, our population analyses provide compelling evidence that race or EF-1α genotype is not reflective of FOV isolates’ genetic relatedness, with the exception of race 4.

The finding that race or EF-1α sequence is generally not indicative of isolates’ genetic relatedness leads to the question of whether EF-1α genotypes are biologically meaningful. In previous studies, certain EF-1α genotypes have been associated with disease phenotypes. FOV race 4, for example, is considered the most virulent race and is associated with early-season damage and vascular discoloration of the taproot (Kim et al. 2005; Cianchetta et al. 2015). Races 1 and 2 have been described as generally mild, and characterizations of MDS-12 isolates range from non-virulent to highly aggressive (Cianchetta et al. 2015; Bell et al. 2017). Since EF-1α genotypes do not reflect the full genetic diversity or population structure of FOV, their reported disease phenotypes should be further investigated to determine if there is any biological meaning associated with EF-1α genotypes. The SNPs identified in this study should also be evaluated for association with disease phenotype, to determine if FOV would be better characterized by SNP genotype than EF-1α-based race or genotype.

Finally, this study is the first that we know of to assess the effect of the reference genome used in sequence alignment on the results of population analyses. Using the same SNP-calling and filtering parameters in both our reference-based and de novo-based data processing pipelines, more SNPs were retained for population genetic analyses using the de novo-based approach: 374 SNPs were retained using this approach, as compared to only 193 SNPs with the reference-based approach. This may suggest that using a consensus reference leads to more robust population analyses, especially for a high-diversity species like FOV, although it is currently unclear if any of the SNPs identified in our de novo-based reference are redundant. However, a major limitation of the de novo assembled reference genome was that the unfiltered SNP dataset based on this reference only contained 1,273 SNPs, whereas the unfiltered SNP dataset based on the race 4 reference genome contained 229,338 SNPs. It is unclear why the unfiltered de novo-based SNP dataset contained so few SNPs; this could be a result of the parameters that were used to construct the de novo reference. While we used the UGbs-Flex protocol to assemble a consensus reference de novo from the raw GBS reads, a consensus reference can also be constructed by mapping consensus reads to a reference genome (Li et al. 2009); this approach might result in more SNPs being yielded in the unfiltered SNP dataset. Another important distinction between the two data-analysis pipelines was that the reference-based population genetic analyses, especially the minimum spanning network, showed increased differentiation of FOV race 4 isolates, which comprised only 8% of isolates analyzed, but less differentiation among the most prevalent races and genotypes in the population (race 1, LA108, and LA110); while the de novo-based population genetic analyses showed more differentiation among common races and less differentiation among race 4 isolates. The differences we observed between the two pipelines may be the result of the reference genome being a race 4/7 isolate, which we have found to be genetically distinct from other FOV races using both methods. Importantly, both the reference-based and de novo-based analyses showed similar results; their main distinction was the amount of differentiation observed among race 1, race 4, LA108, and LA110 isolates. These slight but important differences could mean that in population genomic analyses of high-diversity species, results are skewed toward increased differentiation of isolates that are genetically similar to the reference genome used for alignment and SNP calling.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we demonstrated through population genetic analyses that FOV is not structured by EF-1α genotype, which is currently used to assign isolates to race and make assumptions about their virulence. We also found new evidence supporting the hypothesis that FOV is more genetically diverse than what is reported based on race or EF-1α genotype. Furthermore, we found that the reference genome used in sequence alignment and SNP calling influences the results of population genomic analyses: for a high-diversity organism like FOV, using a consensus reference seems to yield more high-quality SNPs with which to conduct population analyses, and results in increased differentiation of the most prevalent genotypes in the population. It is currently unclear how the genetic diversity and population structure of FOV relate to the pathogen’s disease phenotypes; this should be investigated in order to characterize FOV in a biologically meaningful way and improve Fusarium wilt management.
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