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Abstract. We present a 1.2 mm (250 GHz) map obtained with MAMBO on the IRAM 30 m telescope of the central 25 arcmin2 of the proto-cluster surrounding the z = 4.1 radio galaxy TN J1338–1942. The map reaches a 1σ sensitivity of 0.6 mJy in the central area, increasing to 1.2 mJy at the edges. We detect 10 candidate mm sources, of which 8 are also detected in a deep VLA 1.4 GHz map and/or a VLT R-band image. Three sources have a flux density S1.2mm > 4.0 mJy, representing a 7σ overdensity compared to random field surveys, which predict only 1 such source in our map area. We obtained SCUBA/JCMT 850 µm and 450 µm photometry of six radio/optically identified MAMBO sources, confirming 5 of them with S/N > 4. Radio-to-mm and mm-to-submm redshift estimators cannot put strong constraints on the redshifts of these MAMBO sources, but 9 of them are consistent within the uncertainties (mean Δz = ±0.6) with z = 4.1. One faint MAMBO source is possibly identified with an extremely red object (R − K = 6.1) at a likely spectroscopic redshift z = 1.18.

The four brightest MAMBO sources are all located north of the radio galaxy, while the densest area of companion Lyα excess and Lyman break galaxies is to the southeast. None of the 14 spectroscopically confirmed Lyα emitters in the MAMBO field are detected at 1.2 mm; their average 1.2 mm flux density is ⟨S1.2mm⟩ = 0.25 ± 0.24 mJy. If the mm sources lie at z = 4.1, none of them show excess Lyα emission in our narrow-band images. Both populations thus show no apparent overlap, possibly due to dust quenching the Lyα emission. If the mm sources are part of the proto-cluster, our results suggest that galaxies with star formation rates of a few 1000 M⊙ yr−1 could be spread throughout the proto-cluster over projected scales of at least 2 Mpc.
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1. Introduction

Our knowledge of large-scale structures at high redshifts has made significant progress in recent years with the detection of several overdense regions out to z > 4 (e.g., Steidel et al. 1998; Pentericci et al. 2000; Venemans et al. 2002; Shimasaku et al. 2003). The discovery of these proto-clusters with sizes of several Mpc was made possible by deep wide-field optical surveys,
concentrating on a narrow redshift interval using the Lyman-break or narrow-band Ly\(\alpha\) (Kurk et al. 2000; Venemans et al. 2002) or H\(\alpha\) (Kurk et al. 2004) excess techniques, followed by multi-slit spectroscopy on 8–10 m telescopes. Similar surveys have also been done in random fields (e.g., Hu et al. 1998, 2002; Rhoads et al. 2003; Kodaira et al. 2003; Shimazaki et al. 2003).

Hierarchical galaxy formation models (e.g., Kauffmann et al. 1999) predict that the best fields to search for such overdensities are those containing a massive galaxy. High redshift radio galaxies (HzRGs; \(z > 2\)) are therefore ideal targets, as they are not only among the most massive galaxies known at high \(z\) (e.g., De Breuck et al. 2002; Rocca-Volmerange et al. 2004), but their lower redshift (\(z \gtrsim 0.5\)) counterparts are also located in cluster environments (e.g., Hill & Lilly 1991; Best 2000; Best et al. 2003). This motivated an intensive search of Ly\(\alpha\) emitting companions around six radio galaxies with \(2 < z < 4.1\), leading to the discovery of overdensities of Ly\(\alpha\) emitters of 5 to 15 compared with the random fields (Venemans et al. 2002, 2003).

However, the Ly\(\alpha\) excess technique detects only a fraction of the companion objects. For example, in the proto-cluster of Lyman break galaxies at \(z = 3.09\) in the SSA 22 region (LBG; Steidel et al. 1998), only 25% of the LBGs have a sufficiently high Ly\(\alpha\) equivalent width to be included in a Ly\(\alpha\) excess selection (Steidel et al. 2000). This incompleteness is also illustrated by the presence of a similar overdensity of H\(\alpha\) emitters and extremely red objects in the proto-cluster surrounding the \(z = 2.16\) radio galaxy PKS 1138–262, while these galaxies are mostly not detected using the Ly\(\alpha\) excess technique (Kurk et al. 2004). Deep Chandra observations of this field have also found an overdensity of X-ray sources, of which at least 2 are AGN within the proto-cluster (Pentericci et al. 2002). Smail et al. (2003b) also report the detection of four Chandra X-ray sources coincident with submm sources surrounding three HzRGs.

These proto-clusters also contain a large amount of gas, as revealed by the Ly\(\alpha\) haloes surrounding the HzRGs, which have physical scales up to \(>200\) kpc (for a recent review, see van Breugel et al. 2003). Together with the increased merger rates in higher redshift clusters (e.g., van Dokkum et al. 1999), this provides the ingredients to induce widespread starbursts and AGN, which could be revealed by their thermal dust (sub-)mm emission. Statistical overdensities of (sub-)mm galaxies (SMGs) have indeed been found from SCUBA bolometer imaging of the fields surrounding the \(z = 3.09\) "redshift spike" (Chapman et al. 2001), the \(z = 2.39\) radio galaxy 53W002 (Smail et al. 2003a), the \(z = 3.8\) radio galaxy 4C 41.17 (Ivison et al. 2000), a \(z = 1.8\) QSO (Stevens et al. 2004) and six other HzRG fields (Stevens et al. 2003). In this paper, we present a 1.2 mm map covering the central 25 arcmin\(^2\) of the most distant proto-cluster known to date, surrounding the \(z = 4.1\) radio galaxy TN J1338–1942. We find an overdensity of 1.2 mm sources, which we identify with optically very faint galaxies using a deep 1.4 GHz map, but find no overlap between the population of excess Ly\(\alpha\) emitters and the 1.2 mm sources. Throughout this paper, we use a \(\Lambda\)-cosmology with \(H_0 = 71\) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\), \(\Omega_M = 0.27\) and \(\Omega\Lambda = 0.73\) (Spergel et al. 2003; Tonry et al. 2003). At \(z = 4.1\), the luminosity distance is \(D_L = 37.65\) Gpc, and 1" corresponds to 7.0 kpc.

2. Observations and data reduction

2.1. IRAM 1.2 mm imaging

To image the field of TN J1338–1942 at mm wavelengths, we used the 37- and 117-channel Max Planck Bolometer arrays (MAMBO-1 and MAMBO-2; Kreyes et al. 1998) at the IRAM 30 m telescope on Pico Veleta, Spain. MAMBO has a half-power spectral bandwidth from 210 to 290 GHz, with an effective bandwidth centre for steep thermal spectra of \(\sim 250\) GHz (1.2 mm). The effective beam FWHM is 10.7′ with an array size of 4′.

The observations were done in a pooled observing mode during the winter 2001–2002 season. Due to the low declination, the field could only be observed for 4 h in a given night, with elevations between 29° and 33°. The atmospheric zenith opacities at 1.2 mm varied between 0.12 and 0.25. The total on source integration time was 17.0 h, of which 2.6 h were obtained with the 117-channel array, and 14.6 h with the 37-channel array. We used the standard on-the-fly mapping technique, comprised of 41 subscons of 40 s each, while chopping the secondary mirror in azimuth at 2 Hz. To minimize the residual effects of the double beam point spread function, we used different chop (wobbler) throws (39′′, 42′′ or 45′′) and/or scan directions for each map. We used 7 different pointings offset by 80′′ to cover the entire field of the VLT/FORS2 imaging to uniform depth. We checked the pointing and focus at least once per hour using the bright point source 1334–127, and found the pointing to be stable to within \(<2′′\). The absolute flux calibration is based on observations of several standard calibration sources, including planets, resulting in an estimated accuracy of 15%.

We analyzed the data using the MOPSI software package (Zylka 1998). We subtracted the sky noise, and combined the double-beam maps using a shift-and-add procedure, producing for each map a positive image bracketed by two negative images of half the intensity located one chop throw away. Because we combined our 37 maps obtained with different chop throws, the effect of the confusion due to negative sidelobes is minimized, but still present in regions of high source density. The noise level increases outward in our co-added map of the field. In Fig. 1 two contours show the region within which the rms noise level is less than 1.2 and 0.6 mJy (before smoothing), enclosing areas of 25.6 and 2.6 square arcmin, respectively.

2.2. VLA radio imaging

To obtain accurate positions of the mm sources, and to search for possible radio-loud AGN counterparts, we observed the TN J1338–1942 field with the Very Large Array (VLA; Napier et al. 1983) on UT 2002 April 1 to 12 for a total of 12 h in the A-array at 20 cm. We observed in a pseudo-continuum, spectral line mode with 7 × 3.125 MHz channels. We monitored the point source 1351–148 every 40 min to provide...
Fig. 1. VLT $R$-band image (greyscale; Venemans et al. 2002) with MAMBO 1.2 mm signal-to-noise map (smoothed to 11$''$) overlaid as thin/blue contours. Contour levels are $-3$, $-2$, $2$, $3$, $4$, $5$ and $6\sigma$, with $\sigma$ the local rms noise level (negative contours are dashed). The two large purple contours delineate the regions with $\sigma < 0.6$ and 1.2 mJy/beam. The MAMBO beam size is indicated in the lower left corner. The red open crosses indicate spectroscopically confirmed Ly$\alpha$ companions to the radio galaxy TN J1338$-$1942, and the green open cross labeled R1 an additional FR II radio source.

amplitude, phase and bandpass calibration, and used an observation of 3C 286 to provide the absolute flux calibration.

We performed standard spectral-line calibration and editing of the data using the NRAO AIPS package, and employed standard wide field imaging techniques (Taylor et al. 1999). The final 7.5 $\times$ 7.5 image has an rms noise level of 15 $\mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$, except in the area close to the central radio galaxy, which is limited by the ability to clean the bright radio source (see Fig. 2). The FWHM resolution of the restoring beam is 2.3 $\times$ 1.3 at a position angle PA = 0$^\circ$.

2.3. JCMT submm photometry

We obtained 850 $\mu$m and 450 $\mu$m photometry of 6 MAMBO sources previously identified using the VLA and VLT imaging (Sect. 3.1) using the Submillimetre Common-User Bolometer Array (SCUBA; Holland et al. 1999) on the 15 m James Clerk Maxwell Telescope on UT 2003 February 17 to 22, for a total integration time of 16 h (including overheads) spread over 6 sources. The respective beam sizes are 14$''$.7 at 850 $\mu$m and 7$''$.5 at 450 $\mu$m. We used the recommended 9-point jiggle photometry mode, which samples a 3 $\times$ 3 grid with 2$''$ spacing between grid points, while chopping in azimuth by 60$''$. The atmospheric conditions were excellent, with zenith opacities at 850 $\mu$m varying between 0.11 and 0.24. We checked the pointing and focus roughly once per hour using the bright point source 1334$-$127, and found the pointing to be stable within <3$''$. The absolute flux calibration is based on observations of CRL618 and Mars.

We reduced the data in the standard way using SCUBA User Reduction Facility (SURF; Jenness 1997). We removed individual data samples that were deviant from the mean by $>4\sigma$ from the data set, flatfielded, corrected for extinction, despiked, and subtracted the residual sky level from the off-source bolometers. Finally, we calculated the mean and rms from the time sequence to remove all points deviating by more
2.4. Optical and near-IR imaging and spectroscopy

Optical and near-IR imaging data of the TN J1338−1942 field exist in the literature. De Breuck et al. (1999) published a NIRC/Keck K-band image covering the central 1′ × 1′, centred on the radio galaxy. Venemans et al. (2002) published deep R-band and narrow-band Lyα images obtained with the FOcal Reducer/low dispersion Spectrograph (FORS) at the ESO Very Large Telescope (VLT), covering 6′4 × 6′2, and also spectroscopically confirmed 20 Lyα emitters in this field, of which 15 fall within the σ < 1.2 mJy region of our MAMBO map. Overzier et al. (in preparation) also obtained a K-band image of the south-eastern corner of the proto-cluster with the Infrared Spectrometer And Array Camera (ISAAC) at the VLT. In the following, we shall use both the K-band and R-band images to identify the optical counterparts of the mm

and radio sources. We corrected all magnitudes for Galactic extinction $E(B − V) = 0.097$ using the dust maps of Schlegel et al. (1998) and the extinction curve of Cardelli et al. (1989).

Optical spectroscopy of three sources (M01, M05 and M08) was obtained as part of two VLT multi-slit spectroscopy programs. Details about these observations will be given in future papers (De Breuck et al., in preparation; Overzier et al., in preparation).

3. Results

3.1. Extraction of the mm sources

We use the MAMBO signal-to-noise map (Fig. 1) to identify candidate mm sources within the region where $σ < 1.2$ mJy/beam. To assess the reliability of the candidate sources, we also made “probability maps” by splitting up the data sets, and looking for gross discrepancies between them. This would identify spurious sources appearing in only part of the data. The reliability of our positive detections is further strengthened by
the absence of negative peaks < −4σ in the S/N map (dashed contours in Fig. 1). The three most significant negative sources are all located in between M 02 and M 07, consistent with them being residual double beaming effects (see Sect. 2.1).

Table 1 lists the positions of 10 candidate 1.2 mm sources found in the MAMBO map, and Table 2 their flux densities. We fitted the sources in the map smoothed to 11″ to Gaussians unconstrained in width, and in cases where the fitted width is smaller than the beam size, to constrained width Gaussians of 11″ FWHM. The peak fluxes quoted in Table 2 are averages of Gauss fits in maps smoothed to 11″ and 12″, and to eliminate a base problem due to double beams surrounding the brighter sources, in a map truncated toward negative values of the source in the 11″ resolution map.

3.2. Identification of the mm sources

Due to the large MAMBO beam (FWHM ~ 11″), we often find several potential optical counterparts within the positional uncertainty. Higher resolution interferometric imaging would be needed for more unique identifications (e.g., Dannerbauer et al. 2002; Dunlop et al. 2004). Comparisons of positions derived from MAMBO maps and from the VLA or IRAM Plateau de Bure interferometer yield median offsets of 2–3″ with a tail extending to 7–8″ (Eales et al. 2003; Dannerbauer et al. 2004).

To obtain more accurate positions, we can take advantage of the tight radio-to-far-infrared correlation in star-forming galaxies (e.g., Condon 1992), which appears to hold out to high redshift (Garrett 2002). Because the faint radio synchrotron emission traces the same region as the mm emission, we can use deep sub-arcsecond resolution 1.4 GHz VLA maps to pinpoint the dust emission to within <1″ (e.g., Ivison et al. 2002).

The surface density of radio sources brighter than 30 μJy is ~2.5 arcmin−2 (Ivison et al. 2002), so we expect to find only 0.035 such sources within a radius of 4″ from the MAMBO positions. Because 30 μJy is at the 2σ noise level in our radio map, we expect to find 0.4 positive 2σ peaks within 4″ from the nominal MAMBO positions. In an attempt to discriminate against noise peaks, we also checked for optical or near-IR counterparts for the radio sources. We consider an optical/near-IR source with a >2σ radio peak within the astrometric uncertainty of 0′.4 as a likely counterpart to a MAMBO source, if it lies within 4″ from the MAMBO position. In the following, we discuss the individual identifications of the 10 candidate MAMBO sources, and of an additional radio source in the field. Table 1 lists the mm, radio and optical positions, and Table 2 the photometric measurements.

**Table 1. Astrometry of the MAMBO, VLA and VLT sources in the TN J1338–1942 field.**

| Source | RA(J2000) | Dec(J2000) | RA(J2000) | Dec(J2000) | RA(J2000) | Dec(J2000) |
|--------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
|        | h m s     |          | h m s     |          | h m s     |          |
| M01    | 13 38 17.56 | −19 42 30.7 | 13 38 17.48 | −19 42 29.5 | 13 38 17.43 | −19 42 29.9 |
| M02a   | 13 38 25.65 | −19 41 25.5 | 13 38 25.47 | −19 41 21.5 | 13 38 25.45 | −19 41 22.1 |
| M02b   | 13 38 25.37 | −19 41 26.5 |           |            |           |            |
| M02c   | 13 38 25.81 | −19 41 26.2 |           |            |           |            |
| M03    | 13 38 25.97 | −19 42 33.9 | 13 38 26.10 | −19 42 31.3 | 13 38 26.06 | −19 42 30.7 |
| M04    | 13 38 26.84 | −19 42 22.8 | 13 38 26.83 | −19 42 25.8 | 13 38 26.82 | −19 42 26.2 |
| M05    | 13 38 37.79 | −19 41 48.8 | 13 38 37.91 | −19 41 49.1 | 13 38 37.92 | −19 41 48.9 |
| M06    | 13 38 30.13 | −19 41 06.5 | 13 38 30.04 | −19 41 04.5 | 13 38 30.06 | −19 41 04.7 |
| M07    | 13 38 29.89 | −19 41 36.9 |           |            |           |            |
| M08    | 13 38 28.86 | −19 43 20.9 |           |            | 13 38 28.77 | −19 43 27.5 |
| M09    | 13 38 28.33 | −19 42 13.2 |           |            |           |            |
| M10    | 13 38 29.78 | −19 39 32.1 | 13 38 29.81 | −19 39 31.9 |           |            |
| R1     |           |            |           | 13 38 22.77 | −19 42 30.0 | 13 38 22.76 | −19 42 29.9 |

a This source is located outside the VLT image boundary.
Fig. 3. VLT $R$-band image (greyscales) with the MAMBO 1.2 mm signal-to-noise map (smoothed to $11''$) overlaid as thick/blue contours and the VLA 1.4 GHz map as thin/red contours. Contour levels for the MAMBO map are $-3$, $-2$, $2$, $4$, $5$ and $6\sigma$, with $\sigma$ the local rms noise level. Contour levels for the VLA map are $-42$, $-30$, $30$, $42$, $60$ and $85$ Jy/beam. The VLA beam size is indicated in the lower right corner. The open cross indicates the position of the optical/radio identification.
by the diffuse R-band source coincident with the brightest of
the three VLA sources, M02a (see Tables 1 and 2). We have
used this position for the SCUBA photometry, but the rela-
tively low $S_{850}/S_{1200}$ ratio indicates that the SCUBA beam may
have missed part of the flux, suggesting M02a is not the correct
identification of the brightest 1.2 mm emission. Only interfe-
rometric (sub-)mm imaging could provide a better understanding
of this interesting source.

**Table 2.** Photometry of the MAMBO and VLA sources in the TN J1338–1942 field.

| Source | $S_{20\,\mu m}$ | $S_{1200\,\mu m}$ | $S_{850\,\mu m}$ | $S_{450\,\mu m}$ | $R[3\,\sigma]$ | $NB[3\,\sigma]$ | $K[3\,\sigma]$ |
|--------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| M01    | 148 ± 34       | 6.2 ± 1.2         | 10.1 ± 1.3      | 21.5 ± 6.4      | 26.8 ± 0.3    | >28           | ...           |
| M02a   | 54 ± 25        | 4.1 ± 0.8         | 6.6 ± 1.3      | 12.5 ± 6.0      | 25.6 ± 0.1    | 26.7 ± 0.7    | ...           |
| M02b   | 46 ± 25        | 28               | >28            | >28             | ...           |               |               |
| M02c   | 47 ± 26        | 28               | >28            | >28             | ...           |               |               |
| M03    | 120 800 ± 4300  | 2.3 ± 0.6         | 6.1 ± 1.3      | 25.3 ± 9.3      | 22.3 ± 0.01   | 19.2 ± 0.1    | 19.97 ± 0.05  |
| M04    | 47 ± 17        | 2.0 ± 0.5         | ...            | 24.0 ± 0.03     | 24.9 ± 0.2    | 18.72 ± 0.03  |
| M05    | 91 ± 25        | 3.8 ± 0.8         | 9.9 ± 1.2      | 14.9 ± 5.9      | 25.06 ± 0.07  | 25.6 ± 0.3    | ...           |
| M06    | 41 ± 24        | 2.3 ± 0.6         | 5.7 ± 1.3      | 27.8 ± 0.8      | >28           | ...           | ...           |
| M07    | <50 (3σ)       | 4.0 ± 0.6         | 3.3 ± 2.0      | <33 (3σ)        | >28           | >28           | ...           |
| M08    | <50 (3σ)       | 2.4 ± 0.7         | ...            | 25.26 ± 0.09    | 26.0 ± 0.4    | 19.2 ± 0.1    | ...           |
| M09    | <50 (3σ)       | 2.3 ± 0.6         | ...            | ...             | ...           | ...           | ...           |
| M10    | 195 ± 28       | 3.2 ± 1.0         | ...            | ...             | ...           | ...           | ...           |
| R1     | 10 900 ± 400   | <1.8 (3σ)         | ...            | 25.6 ± 0.1      | 25.6 ± 0.3    | ...           | ...           |

a Source located outside the coverage of the VLT/Keck images.
b SCUBA flux densities could be underestimated due to the extent of the 1.2 mm source (see Sect. 3.2 and Fig. 3).
c We quote the S/N weighted average of our value ($S_{850\,\mu m} = 3.1 ± 1.8$) and the value quoted by Reuland et al. (2004) ($S_{850\,\mu m} = 6.9 ± 1.1$).
d No clear optical/near-IR counterpart.

by the diffuse R-band source coincident with the brightest of
the three VLA sources, M02a (see Tables 1 and 2). We have
used this position for the SCUBA photometry, but the rela-
tively low $S_{850}/S_{1200}$ ratio indicates that the SCUBA beam may
have missed part of the flux, suggesting M02a is not the correct
identification of the brightest 1.2 mm emission. Only interfe-
rometric (sub-)mm imaging could provide a better understanding
of this interesting source.

**M03 (Fig. 2):** This 3.8σ MAMBO source lies 3″2 from the
host galaxy of the powerful radio source (De Breuck et al.
1999), and is therefore most likely related. We used the K-band
position to obtain MAMBO on-off observations and SCUBA
photometry, but both of these gave values lower than expected flux
densities (see Table 2), suggesting that the dust emission could
be offset from the K-band position, as has been seen in the
z = 3.79 radio galaxy 4C 60.07 (Papadopoulos et al. 2000). In
Table 2, we quote the S/N weighted average of our $S_{850\,\mu m} =
3.1 ± 1.8$ mJy measurement and the $S_{850\,\mu m} = 6.9 ± 1.1$ mJy
of Reuland et al. (2004). We do not average the 450 μm flux
densities, as the Reuland et al. (2004) photometry was
obtained under adverse atmospheric conditions leading to a nom-
inal measurement of $S_{450\,\mu m} = −36.3 ± 31.5$ mJy. Such dis-
crepancy $S_{850\,\mu m}$ flux densities between observations at different
epochs have been noticed before for MG 2141+192 (Archibald
et al. 2001; Reuland et al. 2004), and suggest submm variabil-
ity. But, as Reuland et al. (2004) argue, the extended nature of
the submm emission excludes this explanation, unless there is
a significant contribution from non-thermal AGN emission.

However, a power-law extrapolation from the total 8.2 GHz
flux density (Pentericci et al. 2000) predicts a negligible AGN
synchrotron contribution of only 30 μJy at 250 GHz, but given
the unusually asymmetric morphology of the radio source
(De Breuck et al. 1999), we cannot exclude the presence of a
variable, flat spectrum radio core contributing to the submm
flux densities, as has been seen in B2 0902+343 (Downes et al.
1996). High resolution imaging at frequencies of several tens of
GHz would be needed to test this.

**M04 (Fig. 2):** This 4σ MAMBO source lies 3′0 from a faint
radio source coincident with a very red galaxy with $R − K =
5.36 ± 0.04$, which is a likely identification.

**M05 (Fig. 3):** We obtained SCUBA photometry of the ex-
 tended radio and optical source, confirming the identification.
This is the only source which has spatially extended 1.4 GHz
 emission; the close correspondence between the diffuse op-
tical and radio morphologies strongly suggests the 1.4 GHz
 emission traces starburst rather than AGN emission. Deep
VLT/FORS1 spectroscopy (De Breuck et al., in preparation)
also revealed a faint featureless continuum, but no emission
lines. An unconstrained Gaussian fit to the MAMBO S/N map
yields a size of 20′8 × 18′0, but the S/N of our detection is
only 3.7 in a 11″ smoothed map, so the detection of spatially
extended emission is tentative at best.

**M06 (Fig. 3):** We obtained SCUBA photometry at the pos-
tion of a very faint radio and optical identification, confirming
the reality of this faint MAMBO source. Note that the appar-
ent spatial extent of the MAMBO emission cannot be trusted
because this source is only detected at the 3.4σ level.

**M07 (Fig. 3):** Although this is one of the brightest
MAMBO sources, there is no obvious radio or optical
identification. We have obtained SCUBA photometry of
the radio/optical source at RA = 13°38′30.22″, Dec = −19°41′33″06, but obtained only a 1.5σ signal of S_{150 \mu m} = 3.7 ± 2.5 mJy, while at the nominal MAMBO position, we obtain a slightly higher signal (see Table 2). We do not have a good candidate optical or radio counterpart for this MAMBO source.

**M 08** (Fig. 3): This faint MAMBO source lies 6′7 from an extremely red object (ERO) with K = 19.2 ± 0.1 and R − K = 6.1 ± 0.15. We have obtained deep FORS2/MXU spectroscopy of this ERO (Overzier et al., in preparation), detecting a faint red continuum and an emission line at 8120 Å, which we tentatively identify as [O II] λ 3727 at z = 1.18. Note that from blank-field ERO surveys, the density of objects with R − K > 6 and K < 19.2 is 0.10 arcmin⁻² (Daddi et al. 2000), so the chance of finding such an object within 6′7 is P = 0.4%. It is therefore possible that M 08 is a dusty ERO (e.g., Cimatti et al. 1998; Dey et al. 1999; Smail et al. 2002b; Takata et al. 2003). However, because the ERO is not the closest possible identification, it is statistically not the most likely identification. Note that the apparent spatial extent of the MAMBO emission cannot be trusted because this source is only detected at the 4σ level. This may also indicate that this source has made it into our sample due to the confusion of two sources too close to be detected individually. Deeper mm/submm observations would be needed to verify this, and to determine if the dust emission is related to the ERO or not.

**M 09** (Fig. 2): We find no radio source within one MAMBO beamsize, and no clear optical/near-IR identifications.

**M 10** (Fig. 4): This faint MAMBO source falls just outside the VLT R-band image. It coincides with a strong 0.2 mJy radio source.

**R 1** (Fig. 1): This is a moderately bright radio source with a FR II (Fanaroff & Riley 1974) morphology. We detect no 1.2 mm emission from this source.

### 3.3. Extended 1.2 mm emission

At least one (M 02) of the three sources detected with S/N > 5, and possibly the weaker source M 05 appear to have significantly extended 1.2 mm emission. M 02 appears to consist of two barely resolved components, though none of them have a clear candidate optical or radio identification. Spatially extended submm emission has been reported before in several HzRGs and at least two companion sources (Ivison et al. 2000; Stevens et al. 2003; Ivison et al., in preparation). This suggests that star formation in these objects occurs over scales of several tens of kpc.

A possible alternative explanation is that M 02 (and maybe M 05) could consist of multiple images by strong gravitational lensing by a foreground cluster (Kneib et al. 2004; Borys et al. 2004). However, we have not detected any gravitational arcs in the ACS/HST images (Miley et al. 2004) or in the VLT image (Fig. 1). We therefore consider it extremely unlikely that gravitational lensing can explain the spatial extent in the mm emission. A more detailed analysis of the lensing properties, including an analysis of a possible weak shear using the

![ACS image](image)

**Fig. 4. M 10: MAMBO 1.2 mm signal-to-noise map (thick/blue contours; smoothed to 11″) and the VLA 1.4 GHz map (thin/red contours). Contour levels for the MAMBO map are 2 and 3σ, with σ the local rms noise level. Contour levels for the VLA map are −42, −30, 30, 42, 60, 85, 120 and 170 µJy/beam. The VLA beam size is indicated in the lower right corner. This source falls outside the VLT R-band image.**

### 3.4. Surface density

Although many of the MAMBO sources were detected at low S/N level, most of them appear to be real because they have been confirmed with pointed sub-mm photometry, or because they have plausible counterparts at radio and/or optical wavelengths. We detect 10 sources brighter than 2.0 mJy (peak S/N > 3, a level at which we should be close to being complete). Omitting M 03, which corresponds to the pre-selected radio galaxy in the field, this corresponds to a surface density of 0.35 arcmin⁻² (roughly 1% of the confusion limit). Note that this value is likely to be an overestimate because we consider sources down to the 3σ level, which may have lead to flux boosting (e.g., Eales et al. 2003). This effect raises intrinsically fainter sources above the detection threshold due to the addition of noise (instrumental and atmospheric) or due to confusion of sources too faint to be detected individually. It may be happening in M 08 (Fig. 3). Eales et al. (2003) argue that for MAMBO surveys, the average boosting factor is 14%, which is slightly lower than for the SCUBA surveys, possibly due to the smaller MAMBO beam.

We now compare our surface density with the integrated 1.2 mm source counts from the MAMBO blank field surveys (Bertoldi et al. 2000). Using the 1.2 mm counts from Bertoldi (private communication) and Greve et al. (2004), our S_{1.2 \text{ mm}} source density (without flux boosting correction) is roughly twice as high as expected from the random-field density. However, if we consider only the 3 sources with S_{1.2 \text{ mm}} > 4 mJy, the source density is 0.12 arcmin⁻², three times higher
than expected. Assuming a Poissonian distribution, this represents a 7σ overdensity. These bright sources are all detected with a local $S/N > 5$, so they will not be affected by flux boosting. This overdensity suggests that most of the brightest MAMBO sources and a few of the fainter ones may be related to the proto-cluster. Such statistical overdensities of SMGs are also seen in SCUBA maps of other HzRG fields (e.g., Stevens et al. 2003). In the next section, we use our radio, mm and submm photometry to constrain the redshifts of these MAMBO sources.

### 3.5. Photometric redshift estimates

We attempted very deep ($t_{\text{int}} = 14$ h) optical spectroscopy of M01 and M05, but did not detect features to determine the redshifts. None of the MAMBO sources show excess narrow-band emission in the $4.075 < z < 4.123$ coverage of the Ly$\alpha$ filter, and only M04 and M08 have K-band photometry, so we cannot use conventional optical photometric redshift techniques. As this is a common situation for submm galaxies, several redshift estimators have been developed based on the submm and radio data only.

Carilli & Yun (1999) proposed to use the radio-to-submm spectral index as a redshift estimator, which is based on the local radio-to-far-infrared correlation. Table 3 lists the redshift estimates calculated using the Carilli & Yun (2000) $\alpha_{1.4 \text{ GHz}}$ models. The quoted uncertainties include both the 1σ measurement uncertainties in our photometry and the 1σ scatter in the models. The estimated redshifts are clearly lower than the $z = 4.1$ of the radio galaxy, though $z = 4.1$ is still within the 1σ uncertainties for most sources (except M10). However, a comparison with spectroscopic redshifts of SMGs indicates that the spectral-index redshifts tend to be systematically underestimated for $z_{\text{spec}} > 2$ objects (e.g., Clements et al. 2004). In fact, the Carilli & Yun (2000) models predict a spectral index $\alpha_{1.4 \text{ GHz}} = 1.00$ for $z = 4.1$, so for the average $S_{1200} = 3.3$ mJy in our maps, we expect to find a radio source with a flux density $S_{1.4 \text{ GHz}} = 18 \mu$Jy, well below the detection limit of our VLA map. However, Petric et al. (2003) report 1.4 GHz detections of $\sim 70 \mu$Jy in two $z > 5$ radio quiet quasars with $S_{1200}$ flux densities of 0.9 and 5.5 mJy. A possible explanation for this higher than expected 1.4 GHz emission is that an optically undetected AGN contributes to the radio emission. Note that AGNs have been spectroscopically confirmed in two proto-clusters surrounding HzRGs (Le Fèvre et al. 1996; Pentericci et al. 2002), while Smail et al. (2003b) report the discovery of Chandra X-ray sources coincident with submm sources surrounding three HzRGs, suggesting the MAMBO sources surrounding TN J1338–1942—if they are part of the protocluster—may well contain AGNs. Hence, a relatively bright radio detection of a MAMBO source does not exclude it as a potential member of the $z = 4.1$ protocluster.

Eales et al. (2003) have also predicted the redshift evolution of the $S_{850 \mu m}/S_{1200 \mu m}$ ratio, by fitting a two-temperature model to a sample of 104 galaxies from the IRAS bright galaxy survey (Dune & Eales 2001). We have used their median predicted value (Fig. 4 in the Eales et al. 2003 paper) to obtain an additional redshift estimate. Table 3 lists these estimates; the quoted uncertainties include the 1σ measurement uncertainties in the $S_{850 \mu m}/S_{1200 \mu m}$ ratios and the full spread in the model predictions. We find that, except for a $z > 2.2$ limit for M01 and M02, this ratio does not provide a useful constraint on the redshifts due to (i) the small difference in wavelength between the mm and submm points and (ii) the relatively low $S/N$ of our detections.

In summary, while the radio, mm and submm photometry are generally consistent with $z = 4.1$, the uncertainties from these redshift estimate techniques are far too large to provide proof that the sources are at the redshift of the radio galaxy. Better sampled SEDs, especially in the submm would be needed to constrain the redshifts with photometry only.

### 3.6. Statistical 1.2 mm and 1.4 GHz emission from the Ly$\alpha$ emitters and mean star formation rates

None of the 14 spectroscopically confirmed Ly$\alpha$ emitters within the $\sigma < 1.2$ mJy region (excluding the radio galaxy) is detected at $> 2 \sigma$ in our 1.2 mm map. To test if there is a statistical signal in our non-detections, we have stacked the emission from these 14 positions, and find $\langle S_{1.2 \text{ mm}} \rangle = 0.25 \pm 0.24$ mJy. Using this 3σ upper limit, and assuming dust parameters $T_d = 33$ K and $\beta = 2.0$ used for LBGs (Baker et al. 2001), we derive $\langle L_{\text{FIR}} \rangle = 4.4 \times 10^{12} L_\odot$, implying a mean star formation rate $\langle SFR_{\text{FIR}} \rangle = 440 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ (e.g., Omont et al. 2001).

Similarly, from our VLA map, we find $\langle S_{1.4 \text{ GHz}} \rangle = -0.2 \pm 2.9$ µJy. Using the relation between the radio emission and star formation rate (Condon 1992), we can use this 3σ upper limit of 8.7 µJy on the 1.4 GHz emission to calculate an upper limit to the star formation rate (SFR) from the Ly$\alpha$ emitters. Assuming a radio spectral index $\alpha_{\text{radio}} = -0.8$, we derive $\langle SFR_{\text{radio}} \rangle < 265 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$.

---

Table 3. Photometric redshift estimates.

| Source | $z_{\text{est}}$ from $\alpha_{1.4 \text{ GHz}}$ | $z_{\text{est}}$ from $S_{850 \mu m}/S_{1200 \mu m}$ |
|--------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| M01    | $2.2^{+0.7}_{-0.9}$            | $6.8^{+16}_{-4.3}$             |
| M02    | $2.8^{+1.5}_{-1.3}$            | $7.1^{+16}_{-4.9}$             |
| M03$^a$| $...$                          | $7.1^{+11}_{-10}$              |
| M04    | $2.2^{+2.1}_{-1.7}$            | ...                            |
| M05    | $2.3^{+1.8}_{-1.0}$            | $2.1^{+16}_{-8.1}$             |
| M06    | $2.4^{+1.1}_{-1.0}$            | $2.4^{+16}_{-2.4}$             |
| M07    | $>2.9$                         | $>4.2$                         |
| M08$^b$| $>2.3$                         | ...                            |
| M09    | $>2.3$                         | ...                            |
| M10    | $1.5^{+0.7}_{-0.7}$            | ...                            |

$^a$ Radio galaxy at $z = 4.1$.

$^b$ Possibly identified with a $z_{\text{spec}} = 1.18$ ERO, see Sect. 3.2.

---

1 Note that the uncertainties in Table 2 also include the fitting uncertainties (see Sect. 3.1), and are hence larger than the local rms.
These values should be compared with the SFR derived from the mean Lyα luminosity of the same 14 emitters (excluding the radio galaxy) \( \langle L_{\text{Ly}\alpha}\rangle = 2.77 \times 10^{12} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \). Assuming a case B ratio \( \text{Ly}\alpha/\text{H}\alpha = 10 \), and using the Kennicutt et al. (1994) relation between SFR and \( L_{\text{H}\alpha} \), we find \( \langle \text{SFR}_{\text{Ly}\alpha}\rangle = 2.2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \). Note that this value is likely to be an underestimate, as Lyα is often quenched by dust emission, as illustrated by Kurk et al. (2004), who report \( \text{Ly}\alpha/\text{H}\alpha \) ratios significantly lower than the case B value for a sample of Lyα and Hα emitters surrounding the \( z = 2.16 \) radio galaxy PKS 1138−262. However, it is obvious that the deep Lyα imaging probes much lower SFR than the MAMBO and VLA maps.

4. Discussion

Although we could not put strong constraints on the redshifts of the 9 MAMBO sources surrounding TN J1338−1942 using photometric redshift estimators or very deep VLT/FORS1 spectroscopy of two of them, the analysis of the source density and the photometric redshift estimates suggests half of the 9 MAMBO sources, and in particular the brightest ones, may well belong to the \( z = 4.1 \) proto-cluster. To confirm (or refute) this requires alternative redshift determinations such as (i) deep near-IR spectroscopy, (ii) mid-IR spectroscopy with IRS/Spitzer using the PAH features, and (iii) mm spectroscopy using molecular CO lines.

Figure 1 shows that the nine MAMBO sources are not distributed uniformly around the radio galaxy, although the sensitivity of our MAMBO map radially decreases from the radio galaxy. The four brightest MAMBO sources (M01, M02, M07 and M05) are all north of the radio galaxy, while the densest area of Lyα emitters is located southeast of the radio galaxy (Venemans et al. 2002; Miley et al. 2004). This suggests that the centre of the proto-cluster is not necessarily to the southeast of the radio galaxy, as suggested by the distribution of Lyα emitters. None of the 14 spectroscopically confirmed Lyα emitters in the MAMBO field were detected at 1.2 mm or 1.4 GHz. Similarly, none of the MAMBO sources show excess Lyα emission (if they are within the 4.075 < \( z < 4.123 \) coverage of the narrow-band Lyα filter). A possible explanation would be that their large amounts of dust, as traced by the 1.2 mm emission may have quenched the Lyα emission (e.g., Kunth et al. 1998), putting them below the narrow-band excess cutoff \( (E_{\text{rest}} > 15 \text{ Å}) \). This apparent absence of overlap between both populations shows the importance of using multiple wavelength techniques to obtain a more complete picture of the proto-cluster. Chapman et al. (2000) and Webb et al. (2003) also report a <20% overlap between SMGs and LBGs, with a few notable exceptions (e.g., Chapman et al. 2002). However, Webb et al. (2003) do find a large cross-clustering correlation amplitude between both populations in the largest of their 3 fields. We do not detect enough MAMBO sources in the TN J1338−1942 field to perform such a cross-correlation analysis, but our detection of a statistical overdensity of MAMBO sources does suggest some relation to the confirmed overdensity of Lyα emitters (Venemans et al. 2002) and LBGs (Miley et al. 2004) in the same field.

The faintness of the Lyα in our objects also contrasts the published spectra of SMGs, which often show bright Lyα lines (Chapman et al. 2003). However, these SMGs are on average at lower redshifts (\( z < 2.4 \) Chapman et al. 2003), while our MAMBO sources are potential members of the \( z = 4.1 \) proto-cluster. Although we only have K-band information for 3 sources, which are all relatively bright class-II (\( I - K < 5 \), \( K < 21 \)) or class-I (\( I - K > 5 \)) sources (Ivison et al. 2000; Smail et al. 2002a), 3 of the 4 brightest MAMBO sources may well be very faint class-0 (\( K > 21 \)) sources which are much harder to obtain redshifts from (e.g., Dannerbauer et al. 2002; Frayer et al. 2004), either because they are more highly obscured, or because they are at higher redshifts. Our success in finding higher redshift objects may have been helped by the use of a longer selection wavelength (1.2 mm instead of 850 \( \mu \text{m} \)). Indeed, based on the low ratio of 850 \( \mu \text{m} \) to 1.2 mm flux, several authors argue that a significant fraction of mm galaxies may be at \( z > 3 \) (e.g., Eales et al. 2003; Aretxaga et al. 2003). Most MAMBO sources in the NTT deep field (Dannerbauer et al. 2002) are also class-0 sources, supporting the trend that 1.2 mm selected sources appear to be fainter (and hence maybe at higher redshift) than 850 \( \mu \text{m} \) selected sources.

The detection of X-ray emission in several bright submm sources within the proto-clusters surrounding three other HzRGs (Smail et al. 2003b) suggests that AGN may be present within those sources. Half of the spectra presented by Chapman et al. (2003) also show type-II AGN lines, but we failed to detect these in our very deep VLT spectroscopy of M01 and M05. Hence, we have no indication that AGN are present in our MAMBO sources, but their emission lines may well have been obscured (e.g., Reuland et al. 2003). Assuming the MAMBO sources are at \( z = 4.1 \), and dust parameters \( T_d = 50 \text{ K} \) and \( \beta = 1.5 \) (Benford et al. 1999), we derive

\[
L_{\text{FIR}} \approx 2 \times 10^{13} L_\odot \times S_{1.2 \text{ mm}} \text{ mJy} \text{ in the range } 4 - 12 \times 10^{13} L_\odot,
\]

implying star formation rates of 4000 to 12000 \( M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \), if the dust is entirely heated by star formation (e.g., Omont et al. 2001; De Breuck et al. 2003). For M08, which is possibly at \( z = 1.18 \), we derive

\[
L_{\text{FIR}} \approx 1.6 \times 10^{13} L_\odot \text{ and a star formation rate of } 1600 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}.
\]

Such high star formation rates have been reported for HzRGs (Archibald et al. 2001; Reuland et al. 2004), but our MAMBO map indicates that they may also occur out to distances as far as 2 Mpc from the central radio galaxy. If the MAMBO sources are really at \( z = 4.1 \), this would suggest that these proto-clusters have multiple, possibly aligned (Pentericci et al. 2002) concentrations. However, the VLT narrow-band Lyα image shows that none of the mm sources seen in the TN J1338−1942 proto-cluster have huge Lyα haloes, like those seen around the central HzRG (e.g., Venemans et al. 2002; Reuland et al. 2003). The HzRG therefore appears the best candidate to evolve into the present-day giant elliptical.

5. Conclusions

We summarize the results from our multi-wavelength observations of the \( z = 4.1 \) proto-cluster surrounding TN J1338−1942
as follows:

- We detect 10 candidate mm sources with peak fluxes having \( S/N > 3 \) in our MAMBO map. Of these, at least eight sources with \( S_{1.2\text{ mm}} > 1.3 \text{ mJy} \) have possible radio and/or optical/near-IR counterparts, and 5 are confirmed at \( S/N > 4 \) with pointed SCUBA submm photometry. Three sources have \( S_{1.2\text{ mm}} > 4.0 \text{ mJy} \), while comparing with source counts from blank field surveys, we expect to find only 1 such source in the unassociated field population.
- The radio-to-submm and mm-submm photometric redshift estimates do not provide strong constraints on the possible redshifts of the MAMBO sources, although for 9 of the 10 sources, they are consistent with \( z = 4.1 \) within the uncertainties.
- One of the faint MAMBO sources is possibly related to an ERO with \( R-K = 6.1 \), which has a likely spectroscopic redshift of \( z = 1.18 \), implying a star formation rate up to \( 1600 \text{ } M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \).
- None of the 14 spectroscopically confirmed Lyα emitters show detectable millimetre emission, and supposing they are at \( z = 4.1 \), none of the 1.2 mm sources show an excess Lyα emission, indicating no apparent overlap between both populations.
- The mean star formation rate of the 14 spectroscopically confirmed Lyα emitters, as derived from the deep Lyα imaging is two orders of magnitudes lower than the upper limits derived from the stacked VLA and MAMBO maps, illustrating that the radio and mm maps probe much more actively star-forming galaxies.

Our multi-wavelength observations of this \( z = 4.1 \) proto-cluster suggest that the Lyα excess technique does not detect the massive starburst companions within 2 Mpc. Their high SFR could be triggered by interactions between different proto-cluster members, as indicated by the diffuse nature of the optical identifications of M05 and M02.
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