Sixty patients scheduled for breast surgery were enrolled in this study. Ultrasonography, video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery, regional anesthesia, breast video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery, and provide effective postoperative analgesia for procedures such as breast surgery. A comparison of 0.5% and 0.75% ropivacaine showed no significant difference in postoperative analgesia, but both were superior to 0.375% ropivacaine, although higher ropivacaine concentration lengthened the duration of SAP block. Therefore, SAP block with 0.5% ropivacaine is recommended for postoperative analgesia in breast surgery.
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**Introduction**

Local anesthetics (LAs) injection into the fascial plane deep beneath the serratus anterior muscle in the lateral chest wall can block cutaneous branches of the intercostal nerves and provide effective postoperative analgesia for procedures such as breast surgery, video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery, transthoracic esophagectomy, and infant thoracotomy. Kunigo et al reported that serratus anterior plane (SAP) block with 40 mL of 0.375% ropivacaine diffuses to a greater extent than 20 mL ropivacaine in the craniocaudal direction, but the time to first need of analgesic rescue was not extended by a larger injection volume. Sensory block in the thoracic region by SAP block with 20 mL LAs was the area under the curve (AUC) of numerical rating scale (NRS) pain intensity scores at rest over time. The secondary endpoints were AUC of NRS pain intensity scores on movement over time, active sensory block duration, tramadol consumption, and the elapsed time between completion of surgery and the first administration of rescue analgesia.

**Results:** The AUC of NRS pain intensity scores at rest of Group R0.375 was significantly higher than that of Groups R0.5 and R0.75 (P=0.025, and P=0.001). The AUC of NRS pain intensity scores on movement of Group R0.375 was also significantly higher than that of Groups R0.5 and R0.75 (both P<0.001). At higher ropivacaine concentrations, the duration of SAP sensory block increased (P<0.001). Tramadol consumption and the elapsed time between completion of surgery and the first administration of rescue analgesia were similar in the three groups (P=0.05).

**Conclusion:** A comparison of 0.5% and 0.75% ropivacaine showed no significant difference in postoperative analgesia, but both were superior to 0.375% ropivacaine, although higher ropivacaine concentration lengthened the duration of SAP block. Therefore, SAP block with 0.5% ropivacaine is recommended for postoperative analgesia in breast surgery.
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**Purpose:** Serratus anterior plane (SAP) block is effective for analgesia after breast surgery. Whether a higher local anesthetic concentration prolongs sensory block duration and improves postoperative analgesia remains unclear. The aim of this study was to compare the analgesic effects of SAP block with different concentrations of ropivacaine.

**Patients and Methods:** Sixty patients scheduled for breast surgery were enrolled in this randomized double-blind trial. SAP block was induced with 20 mL of 0.375%, 0.5%, or 0.75% ropivacaine in Group R0.375, Group R0.5, and Group R0.75, respectively. The primary endpoint was the area under the curve (AUC) of numerical rating scale (NRS) pain intensity scores at rest over time. The secondary endpoints were AUC of NRS pain intensity scores on movement over time, active sensory block duration, tramadol consumption, and the elapsed time between completion of surgery and the first administration of rescue analgesia.

**Results:** The AUC of NRS pain intensity scores at rest of Group R0.375 was significantly higher than that of Groups R0.5 and R0.75 (P=0.025, and P=0.001). The AUC of NRS pain intensity scores on movement of Group R0.375 was also significantly higher than that of Groups R0.5 and R0.75 (both P<0.001). At higher ropivacaine concentrations, the duration of SAP sensory block increased (P<0.001). Tramadol consumption and the elapsed time between completion of surgery and the first administration of rescue analgesia were similar in the three groups (P=0.05).

**Conclusion:** A comparison of 0.5% and 0.75% ropivacaine showed no significant difference in postoperative analgesia, but both were superior to 0.375% ropivacaine, although higher ropivacaine concentration lengthened the duration of SAP block. Therefore, SAP block with 0.5% ropivacaine is recommended for postoperative analgesia in breast surgery.
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be a better approach to improve the analgesic efficacy of SAP block and prolong the duration of pain relief.

No studies have compared the efficacies of different concentrations of LAs in SAP block. However, similar investigations for other types of regional anesthesia showed that analgesia duration was associated with an adequate quantity of LAs during lumbar plexus block or brachial plexus block. Wong et al reported that the LAs concentration in brachial plexus block was inversely proportional to the tidal volume, with a high concentration leading to some respiratory complications. Therefore, appropriate LAs concentration during regional anesthesia is important to provide sufficient analgesia and avoid adverse reactions caused by excessive concentration.

Ropivacaine is used widely in regional anesthesia as one of long-acting local anesthetics, because of its relatively larger maximum dosage and relatively lower systemic toxicity and neurotoxicity, compared with bupivacaine. The present study was designed to compare different concentrations of ropivacaine on SAP block for postoperative analgesia in patients undergoing breast surgery. The aim was to compare the effects of SAP block for three ropivacaine concentrations. The primary endpoint was the area under the curve (AUC) of the numerical rating scale (NRS) pain intensity scores at rest over time. The secondary endpoints were the AUC of NRS pain intensity scores on movement over time, NRS scores at individual time points, worst pain score, incidence of moderate-severe pain (NRS >3), active sensory block duration, postoperative analgesic consumption, the elapsed time between surgery completion and the first administration of rescue analgesia, and the incidence of adverse events.

Materials and Methods

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of The First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University (No. 12, 2018) and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The trial was registered prior to patient enrollment at the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (ChiCTR1800015927). A CONSORT checklist was used for patient enrollment and allocation (Figure 1). Sixty female patients scheduled to undergo breast surgery for fibroadenoma or intraductal papilloma were enrolled in the study. Inclusion criteria included age between 18 and 65 years, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status score of I or II, and body mass index (BMI) between 18 and 28 kg/m². Exclusion criteria included an allergy to ropivacaine or other drugs used in this trial, a history of alcohol or analgesic dependence, peripheral neuropathy (eg, diabetic neuropathy), coagulopathy, skin pathology at the puncture site, difficulty with communication, or unwillingness to receive regional block anesthesia.

Intravenous access was established and routine monitors (noninvasive blood pressure, continuous electrocardiogram, and pulse oximetry) were applied in the operating room. Patients were randomized into three groups: R0.375, R0.5, and R0.75 (n=20 per group) according to a random number table that was generated by SPSS 22.0 statistical software (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Experimental drugs were prepared by a researcher who was not involved in follow-up assessments. Group R0.375 received 20 mL of 0.375% ropivacaine (LBKL; AstraZeneca AB, Sweden), Group R0.5 received 20 mL of 0.5% ropivacaine, and Group R0.75 received 20 mL of 0.75% ropivacaine.

An ultrasound-guided deep SAP block was performed by an attending anesthesiologist, who was blinded to patient grouping and ropivacaine concentration and did not participate in data collection or analysis. Patients were placed in the lateral decubitus position with the operation side up. Midazolam 1 mg and fentanyl 50 µg were intravenously administered for sedation and analgesia. After routine disinfection and towel draping, a high-frequency (6 to 12 MHz) linear probe (Sonosite X-Porte; Sonosite Inc., Bothell, WA, USA) was placed over the middle clavicular region in a sagittal plane. The ribs were counted to identify the fifth rib in the midaxillary line. The subcutaneous tissue, latissimus dorsi, serratus anterior, intercostal muscle, and pleura were identified at 4–5 intercostal in the midaxillary line. Using an in-plane technique, a 22G needle 1 to 2 cm medial to the probe was inserted from the cranial to caudal direction into the plane deep underneath the serratus muscle. The needle tip location was confirmed by injecting 1 to 2 mL saline, then LAs were injected into the fascial plane (Figure 2).

We defined a successful active sensory block as a lost or markedly reduced perception of cold. Thirty minutes after ropivacaine injection, anesthesiologist who did the SAP block confirmed the loss of the dermatomal sensory. All patients underwent general anesthesia performed by attending anesthesiologists who were not involved in the study and blinded to grouping. The same group surgeons completed all the surgeries. Propofol (1 to 2 mg/kg), sufentanil (0.3 µg/kg), and rocuronium (0.6 mg/kg) were used for induction and the laryngeal mask was used to control the airway during surgery. Anesthesia was maintained using propofol (2 to 6 mg·kg⁻¹·h⁻¹), remifentanil (0.1 to 0.2 µg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹), and sevoflurane (1% to 2% in oxygen), and the bispectral index value was maintained at 40 to 60. Tropicetron (5 mg) and
flurbiprofen (100 mg) were intravenously administered about 30 mins before the end of the surgery. Tramadol 50 mg was given intravenously for analgesic relief after the surgery when analgesia was insufficient (NRS ≥ 4).

**Data Collection**

Follow-up was performed at 1, 2, 6, 12, and 24 hrs postoperatively. At each evaluation, patients were asked to report their NRS pain scores ranging from 0 to 10 both at rest and on movement (0 = no pain, 10 = worst imaginable pain). Pain on movement was defined as the pain feeling when sitting forward from a recumbent position. The worst pain scores within 24 hrs, incidence of moderate-severe pain (NRS>3), time to first request of rescue analgesics, and total consumption of rescue analgesics were recorded. After surgery, sensory block was measured every 2 hrs by cold stimulus until it was completely resolved. Nausea and vomiting, itching, chills,
hematoma, infection, and hypesthesia and other adverse events were documented. All postoperative evaluations and record-keeping were performed by an independent investigator, who was not involved in any other process of the trial.

Statistical Analysis
All data were analyzed using SPSS 22.0 statistical software. They were tested for normal distribution using the Shapiro–Wilk test. For each patient, their AUC of NRS pain intensity scores both on movement and at rest was calculated by multiplying the time interval with the NRS score using GraphPad Prism version 7 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Measured data with normal distributions are expressed as mean (standard deviation), non-normally distributed data are expressed as median (interquartile range), and categorical data are expressed as n (%). Mean age, height, weight, BMI, surgery duration, AUC of NRS pain intensity scores both on movement and at rest, and SAP block duration were analyzed using one-way analyses of variance. The post hoc Bonferroni test was used for multiple two-group comparisons. The incidence of moderate-severe pain (NRS>3) and adverse events were determined using chi-square tests or Fisher’s exact tests as appropriate. The elapsed time between surgery completion and the first administration of rescue analgesia were plotted as Kaplan–Meier survival curves and compared using the Log rank tests. ASA classification, NRS scores at individual time points, the worst pain scores within 24 h and total consumption of rescue analgesics were compared with Kruskal–Wallis H-tests. Multiple testing was performed using Mann–Whitney U tests. P<0.05 was deemed statistically significant. To reduce type I error, P<0.017 was considered statistically significant after Bonferroni correction when comparing two groups.

Sample Size Calculation
The primary endpoint was the AUC of NRS pain intensity scores at rest over time. In the preliminary study, 15 patients were assigned to Groups R0.375, R0.5, and R0.75 (n=5), and the AUC of NRS pain intensity scores at rest were 70.4±7.6, 60.9±8.9, and 59.5±9.0, respectively. A sample size of 16 per group was obtained by PASS 11.0 (NCSS Statistical Software, Kaysville, UT, USA) with α=0.05 and β=0.1. We planned to recruit 20 patients per group considering the loss potential and errors.

Results
There were no statistically significant differences between groups in ASA classification, mean age, height, weight, BMI, or surgery duration (P>0.05) (Table 1).

### Table 1 Demographic and Intraoperative Characteristics

| Characteristic          | R0.375 (n=20) | R0.5 (n=20) | R0.75 (n=20) | P-value |
|-------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| ASA classification(I/II)| 13/7          | 15/5        | 16/4         | 0.556   |
| Age, y                  | 43.5(8.5)     | 41.0(14.8)  | 39.0(9.6)    | 0.449   |
| Height, cm              | 158.7(4.3)    | 158.2(4.0)  | 159.0(4.2)   | 0.809   |
| Weight, kg              | 58.8(8.0)     | 60.7(7.5)   | 58.2(6.3)    | 0.859   |
| BMI, kg/m²              | 23.3(2.8)     | 23.8(2.7)   | 23.1(2.9)    | 0.746   |
| Surgical duration, min  | 58.3(12.0)    | 61.5(15.6)  | 57.8(13.4)   | 0.646   |
| SAP block duration, h   | 7.8(2.3)      | 11.0(3.3)   | 13.9(4.0)    | <0.001  |

Note: Measured data with normal distribution were expressed as mean (standard deviation).

Abbreviations: ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists; BMI, body mass index; SAP, serratus anterior plane.

### Pain Scores
The AUCs of NRS pain intensity scores both at rest and on movement through 24 h postsurgery are shown in Figure 3A and B. The AUCs of NRS pain intensity scores at rest were 64.1±17.4, 50.0±15.0, and 44.3±16.2 in Groups R0.375, R0.5, and R0.75, respectively. The AUC of NRS pain intensity scores at rest of Group R0.375 was significantly higher than that of Groups R0.5 and R0.75 (P<0.025 and P<0.001, respectively). The AUCs of NRS pain intensity scores on movement were 71.8±12.3, 53.0±15.9, and 48.2±13.3 in Groups R0.375, R0.5, and R0.75, respectively. The AUC of NRS pain intensity scores on movement of Group R0.375 was significantly higher than those of Groups R0.5 and R0.75 (both P<0.001). There was no significant difference in AUC of NRS pain intensity scores between Groups R0.5 and R0.75, either at rest or on movement (P=0.809 and P=0.849, respectively).

The NRS scores at rest and on movement over 24 hrs were shown in Figure 3C and D. There was no significant difference in NRS scores at rest between the three groups at 1, 2, and 6 h postoperatively (P=0.387, P=0.101, and P=0.066, respectively). Group R0.375 had significantly higher NRS scores at rest at 24 h when compared with Group R0.5 (P=0.002), and at 12 and 24 h when compared with Group R0.75 (P=0.010 and P<0.001). There were no significant differences in NRS scores at rest between Groups R0.5 and R0.75 at any time point (P=0.289, P=0.060, P=0.602, P=0.461, and P=0.289, respectively). There were also no significant differences in NRS scores on movement between the three groups at 1 and 2 h postoperatively (P=0.367 and P=0.055). Group R0.375 had significantly higher NRS scores on movement at 6, 12, and 24 h compared with Group R0.5 (P=0.009, P=0.001, and P<0.001, respectively) and Group R0.75 (P=0.009, P=0.001, and P<0.001, respectively). There were no significant differences in NRS scores on movement between Groups R0.5 and
R0.75 at any time point (P=0.289, P=0.052, P=0.968, P=0.565, and P=0.277, respectively).

The worst pain scores and incidence rates of moderate-severe pain (NRS >3) of the three groups are shown in Figure 4. The worst pain scores (both at rest and on movement) in Group R0.375 were significantly higher compared to Group R0.5 (P<0.001 and P=0.003) and Group R0.75 (both P<0.001), while NRS scores (both at rest and on movement) of Groups R0.5 and R0.75 were not significantly different (P=0.630 and P=0.360). The incidence of moderate-severe pain at rest in Group R0.375 was significantly higher than that in Groups R0.5 and R0.75 (both P=0.013), but there was no significant difference between Groups R0.5 and R0.75 (P=1.000). The incidence of moderate-severe pain on movement in Group R0.375 was significantly higher than in Group R0.75 (P=0.006), but there was no significant difference compared to Group R0.5 (P=0.018). There was no significant difference in the incidence of moderate-severe pain on movement between Groups R0.5 and R0.75 (P=1.000).

Duration of SAP Block
The duration of SAP block was significantly different among the three groups (P<0.001). The block duration of Group R0.375 was significantly shorter than that of Groups R0.5 and R0.75 (P=0.010 and P<0.001, respectively), and Group R0.75 had a prolonged block duration compared to Group R0.5 (P=0.022) (Table 1).

Postoperative Analgesics and the Time of First Need for Tramadol
The median (interquartile range) of tramadol consumption levels in the first 24 h after surgery were 50 (0–50) mg, 25 (0–50) mg, and 0 (0–50) mg in Groups R0.375, R0.5, and R0.75, respectively. Postoperative tramadol dosages were not significantly different (P=0.478). The elapsed times between completion of surgery and the first administration of rescue analgesia are shown in Figure 5. There was no significant difference among the three groups (P=0.180).
Adverse Events
The incidence rates of nausea and vomiting were 6 (30%), 5 (25%), and 5 (25%), in Groups R0.375, R0.5, and R0.75, respectively ($P=0.918$). No other adverse effects were observed in the three groups.

Discussion
This study compared the duration and postoperative analgesic effect of SAP block with different concentrations of ropivacaine for breast surgery. The results showed that the analgesic effects of 0.75% and 0.5% ropivacaine were better than that of 0.375% ropivacaine for breast surgery in the first 24 h after surgery, but there was no significant difference between 0.75% and 0.5% ropivacaine. The duration of sensory block was prolonged with higher concentrations. Postoperative analgesic consumption and the elapsed time between surgery completion and the first administration of rescue analgesia were similar among the three groups.

LAs injection into the SAP blocks the lateral cutaneous branch of the thoracic intercostal nerve, providing effective postoperative analgesia for pain associated with an incision in the anterolateral thoracic wall. The breast skin and subcutaneous tissue are generally considered to be innervated by the intercostal nerve from the 2nd to the 6th rib. Other reports stated that the dermatomal paresthesia of an SAP block at the 5th costal level could reach T2-T9, meeting the analgesic requirements for breast surgery. In the present investigation, 20 mL of ropivacaine was used to obtain satisfactory postoperative analgesia in the first few hours after surgery in all three groups, which is consistent with previous studies.

The major determinants of the pharmacodynamics of LAs remain controversial. One study reported that reducing LAs concentration or dose could shorten blockade duration. We used cold stimulus to evaluate the duration of blockade after SAP block with different ropivacaine concentrations, and the results were consistent with previous reports. Although a small amount of LAs may reduce LAs-related complications, continuous catheterization may be required to prolong blockade duration with a low concentration of LAs in order to meet postoperative analgesia needs. Compared with a single-shot block, continuous LAs infusion may increase the risk of catheter-related infections and delay the patient’s early postoperative activity. Therefore, we expected to determine the appropriate LAs concentration for a single-shot block to

![Figure 4](A) the worst pain scores (ranging from 0 to 10); (B) the incidence of moderate-severe pain (NRS>3). Values are median (interquartile range) or percentage, n=20. R0.375: 0.375% ropivacaine with 20mL volume; R0.5: 0.5% ropivacaine with 20mL volume; R0.75: 0.75% ropivacaine with 20mL volume. *$P<0.017$ indicates a significant difference in comparison to Group R0.375.

Abbreviation: NRS, numerical rating scale.

![Figure 5](The time of first need for tramadol. Kaplan-Meier survival curves demonstrated that the time between completion of surgery and the first administration of rescue analgesia. R0.375: 0.375% ropivacaine with 20mL volume; R0.5: 0.5% ropivacaine with 20mL volume; R0.75: 0.75% ropivacaine with 20mL volume. There was no significant difference among the three groups, $P=0.180$.)
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satisfy postoperative analgesia requirements without increasing the additional risk of anesthesia.

The postoperative effect of SAP block with 0.375% ropivacaine was inferior to that of 0.5% or 0.75% ropivacaine, which may be related to a shorter blockade duration. We observed that although 0.75% ropivacaine had a longer duration than 0.5% ropivacaine, it did not lead to a better analgesia effect. This may be due to the fact that the mean durations of 0.5% and 0.75% concentrations are >10 h, which already cover the worst time of postoperative pain after breast surgery. Subtle differences in pain scores are not easily detected. A study about the relationship between LAs concentration in regional anesthesia and enhanced recovery after surgery confirmed that 0.5% ropivacaine was an optimal concentration that could reduce LAs toxicity without sacrificing analgesia. Therefore, we propose that 0.5% ropivacaine is a suitable LAs concentration for SAP block.

We studied blockade efficacy in patients undergoing benign breast tumor (eg, fibroadenoma or intraductal papilloma) resection based on the following factors. Firstly, previous studies on SAP block mostly focused on thoracoscopic surgery or mastectomy for breast cancer, the surgical incision location affects dermatomal paresthesia, whereas the incision required for benign breast tumor resection in the breast or areola is limited and does not affect the evaluation of blockade duration. Secondly, outpatient surgery continues to expand in scope and volume, and breast surgery may be performed as an outpatient procedure, which requires simple and effective analgesia management to support rapid recovery and shorten hospital stay. Regional analgesia alone or in combination with general anesthesia have been recommended for outpatient surgery. SAP block is a type of regional anesthesia, especially the single-shot SAP block, which is more suitable for benign breast tumor resection. Although we observed a significant difference in the duration of sensory block among the three groups and the NRS scores in Group 0.375% were significantly higher than that in the Groups 0.5% and 0.75%, there was no difference in the time of first request for rescue analgesics or total rescue analgesic consumption. This could be attributed to the small incision required for benign breast tumor resection and limited postoperative pain.

Cold or pain stimuli are commonly used to detect sensory block. Børglum et al reported that both testing methods yielded similar results when assessing the efficacy of transverse abdominis plane blocks. Previous studies used cold stimuli to assess sensory block duration after regional anesthesia with the aim of reducing patient discomfort, so we employed the same approach.

This study had several limitations: 1) There was no concentration gradient, which was to reduce the number of groups and false-negative results from multiple comparisons. 2) We did not include a control group based on considering the needs of analgesia and the maximum patient benefit. 3) Clinical use of adjuvants may prolong the blocking effect of low-concentration ropivacaine, but that was not assessed in this study. 4) Plasma ropivacaine levels at different concentrations were not measured. Although previous studies have not reported any adverse reactions associated with SAP block, higher concentrations may carry a greater risk. The results should be confirmed in a study with longer and more invasive follow-up and a larger study population.

Conclusions
A comparison of 0.5% and 0.75% ropivacaine showed no significant difference in postoperative analgesia, but both were superior to 0.375% ropivacaine, although higher ropivacaine concentration lengthened the duration of SAP block. Therefore, SAP block with 0.5% ropivacaine is recommended for postoperative analgesia in breast surgery.
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