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Chemical Composition and Antibacterial Activity of the Essential Oil from Chinese Wild Ledum palustre L. on Vibrio Parahaemolyticus

Introduction

Ledum palustre L. also known as wild rosemary or marsh tea, is an evergreen low shrub growing wild in northern China and America, northern and central Europe. The plant grows in peaty soils, shrubby areas, moss and lichen tundra. It’s leaves and flowers have a strong smell causing headache in some people. All parts of the plant contain poisonous terpenes that affect the central nervous system, causing aggressive behaviour. L. palustreis widely used in folk medicine and homoeopathy for the treatment of rheumatism, arthritis, and insect bite[1].

The expectorant and antitussive effect of the marsh tea is due to the ledol contained in the plant’s essential oil[1]. The composition of the essential oil from L. palustre varies considerably with habitat[2,3]. The major components present in the essential oil of L. palustre are (+)-ledol[4], (-)-palustrol[4,5], (-)-cyclocolarenone[4], myrcene, p-cymene and limonene[4,6]. Ledol was isolated first time from L. palustre in 1948[7]; cyclocolarenone thirty years later[8]. Isolation of volatile compounds from the plants by the sub-critical fluid extraction technology allowed to obtain more components which could not be found by conventional methods (steam distillation, Soxhlet extraction)[9].

Subcritical fluid extraction (SFE), also called pressurized low-polarity fluid extraction, is one of the most popular techniques which can overcome the defects of the conventional organic solvent extraction and expeller pressing methods. It is an excellent extraction that has numerous advantages such as lower operating temperature and pressures, shorter extraction time, environmental compatibility, good selectivity, one step from the extraction to the separation and avoidance of residual solvents[10,11]. Different subcritical fluids have been used in SFE, but n-butane is used as the subcritical fluid mainly because it needs lower critical pressures and temperatures, and it has excellent dissolving power for lipophilic compound. Also this extract
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is a low boiling point, inexpensive, colourless, and clean solvent that leaves no solvent residue in the product. Isolation of volatile compounds from the plants by the SFE allowed to obtain more components which could not be found by conventional methods (steam distillation, Soxhlet extraction)\(^{[9]}\).

*Vibrio parahaemolyticus* is a halophilic gram-negative bacterium that is widely distributed in coastal waters worldwide and is associated with gastroenteritis, wound infections and septicaemia\(^{[12]}\). *V. Parahaemolyticus* infections are frequently reported in coastal areas, apparently because of the high consumption of sea products and direct contact with estuarine waters\(^{[13]}\). Some efforts are needed to enhance the safety of seafood. Antimicrobial activities of herbs and essential oils have been well known for long time. Many studies reported the activities of herbs or essential oils to food borne pathogenic bacterial\(^{[14]}\). However, a few studies have investigated the effects of herbs and essential oils against marine pathogenic bacteria such as *V. parahaemolyticus*\(^{[15]}\).

In the present work, the essential oil from the aerial parts of *L. palustre* growing wild in north-eastern China was extracted by SFE and its composition was determined by Micro-SPE and GC-MS methods. The inhibitory activity of the essential oil on a pandemic strain of *V. Parahaemolyticus* was also investigated.

### Materials and Methods

#### Plant materials

The aerial parts of Chinese *L. palustre* were collected in Daxing’angling area of north-eastern China, in September 2014. The samples were dried at room temperature. Voucher specimens (No. CAF20140827001) were deposited at the Institute of Chemical Industry of Forest Products, Chinese Academy of Forestry.

#### Subcritical fluid extraction

Essential oil was isolated from the dried aerial parts of *L. palustre* (2.37 kg) by the subcritical fluid extraction technology (SFE) with butane (Nanjing Special Gas Factory Co., Ltd., > 99%) as a solvent. The SFE process was performed according to Liu et al\(^{[11]}\) using the apparatus (Henan Subcritical Extraction Biological Technology, Co., Ltd, Anyang, China). A G445-5/6-13 pump (Beijing Huizhi Mechanical and Electrical Equipment Co., Ltd, China) with digital flow-rate and readouts was used to impel the n-butane extractant fluid through the system. The extraction capacity was 5 L and the maximum flow rate of the n-butane fluid was 80 L/hour.

The extraction experiment was carried out three times and the extraction yield was determined gravimetrically by the mass of extracted oil divided by the mass of *L. palustre* loaded in the extraction vessel, namely: The extraction yield (% = (mass of extracted oil/mass of dried material) × 100%

#### Chemical analysis

The samples were analysed on a Varian Saturn 2000 System using a 1079 injector that had been fitted with the Chromato Probe kit. This kit allows the thermal desorption of small amounts of solids or liquids contained in quartz micro-vials\(^{[16]}\), or in our case the thermal desorption of the trapped volatiles. The adsorbent tube was loaded into the probe, which was then inserted into the modified GC injector.

The injector split vent was opened (1/20) and the injector heated to 40 ºC to flush any air from the system. The split vent was closed after 2 minutes and the injector was heated at 200 ºC/minute, and then held at 200 ºC for 4.2 minutes, after which the split vent was, opened (1/10) and the injector cooled down.

A ZB-5 column (5% phenyl polysiloxane) was used for the analyses (60 m long, inner diameter 0.25 mm, film thickness 0.25 μm, Phenomenex). Electronic flow control (EFC) was used to maintain a constant helium carrier gas flow of 1.8 mL/minute. The GC oven temperature was held for 7 minutes at 40º C then increased by 6 ºC per minute to 250 ºC and held for 1 minute. The MS interface was 260 ºC and the ion trap worked at 175 ºC. The mass spectra were taken at 70 eV (in EI mode) with a scanning speed of 1 scan-1 from m/z 30 to 350. The GC-MS data were processed using the Saturn Software package 5.2.1. Component identification was carried out using the NIST 08 mass spectral data base (NIST algorithm).

### Inhibitory activity of the essential oil on *V. parahaemolyticus*

The extract of *L. palustre* was subjected for inhibitory activity against the test organism (*V. parahaemolyticus*; supplied by Prof. Yuanyue Li in Jimei University, Xiamen, China) by the modified disc method. Petri dishes containing 100 μL of different concentrations of extract (5 g/L, 10 g/L and 15 g/L) and Tryptic Soy Agar medium (TSA) prepared in sterilized diluted seawater (10\(^{-1}\), 10\(^{-2}\) and 10\(^{-3}\)) were labelled corresponding to the samples (T1-T3 and Control). Then 10 μL of the test organism was taken from the *V. parahaemolyticus* stock (broth) and swabbed on 2% agar medium by using sterilized buds. The plates were then incubated at 37ºC for 24 hours. The antimicrobial activity of the test extract was observed through the condition of bacterium growth on the plates.

### Results and Discussion

The volatile metabolites of the aerial parts of *L. Palustre* were extracted by sub-critical fluid extraction technology method and the yields of essential oil extract were 5.31% ± 0.43%. Peak identification and relative amounts of the various compounds present in the volatile fraction appear in Table 1. It shows the main constituents found; 56 compounds, comprising over 89% of the total essential oil yield, were identified. The chemical structures of the main components of the *L. palustre* essential oils were shown in Figure 1.

| No. | Retention time/min | Components | Concentration/% |
|-----|-------------------|------------|----------------|
| 1   | 5.629             | 2-hexenal  | 1.96           |
| 2   | 7.188             | 2,4-hexadienal | 0.28          |
| 3   | 7.539             | bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane,4-methyl-1-(1-methylethyl)- | 0.98 |
| 4   | 7.679             | bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-2-ene,2-methyl-5-(1-methylethyl)- | 0.93 |
| 5   | 8.152             | camphene   | 0.64           |
| 6   | 8.982             | β-phellandrene | 10.25         |
| 7   | 9.467             | β-pinene    | 0.12           |
| 8   | 9.740             | 2,4-heptadienial | 0.19        |
| #  | Retention Time | Peak Area | Compound Description                                                                 |
|----|----------------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 9  | 9.892          | 0.56      | α-phellandrene                                                                      |
| 10 | 10.286         | 1.01      | (+)-4-carene                                                                         |
| 11 | 10.571         | 6.59      | benzene,1-methyl-3-(1-methylethyl)-                                                 |
| 12 | 10.923         | 0.62      | 1,3,6-octatriene,3,7-dimethyl-                                                       |
| 13 | 11.620         | 1.70      | 3-carene                                                                             |
| 14 | 11.972         | 3.04      | β-terpineol                                                                           |
| 15 | 12.602         | 0.52      | bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-en-2-one,5-(1-methylethyl)-                                     |
| 16 | 12.990         | 2.50      | cyclohexanol,1-methyl-4-(1-methylethyl)-                                            |
| 17 | 13.306         | 0.11      | benzyl alcohol                                                                       |
| 18 | 13.894         | 10.37     | bicyclo[3.1.0]hept-3-ene,2-carboxaldehyde,6,6-dimethyl-                              |
| 19 | 14.367         | 1.08      | bicyclo[3.1.1]heptan-3-ol,6,6-dimethyl-2-methylene-                                  |
| 20 | 14.639         | 0.42      | cyclooctanone                                                                         |
| 21 | 14.967         | 3.66      | bicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-2-one,5-(1-methylethyl)-                                        |
| 22 | 16.083         | 22.48     | α-thujenal                                                                            |
| 23 | 16.246         | 1.70      | bicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-ene-2-carboxaldehyde,6,6-dimethyl-                              |
| 24 | 16.434         | 0.15      | 1-methylene-2-vinylcyclopentane                                                      |
| 25 | 17.253         | 0.24      | phenol,4-(1-methylethyl)-                                                            |
| 26 | 17.477         | 4.01      | propanal,2-methyl-3-phenyl-                                                           |
| 27 | 17.635         | 0.07      | ocimene                                                                               |
| 28 | 18.344         | 0.26      | 1,2,4,4-tetramethylcyclopentene                                                       |
| 29 | 18.447         | 0.26      | 1,3-cyclohexadiene,5,6-dimethyl-                                                      |
| 30 | 18.617         | 1.07      | Bornyl-1-acetate                                                                     |
| 31 | 18.914         | 1.29      | benzenemethanol,4-(1-methylethyl)-                                                    |
| 32 | 19.096         | 0.20      | 5-isopropenyl-2-methylcyclopent-1-ene-carboxaldehyde-                                 |
| 33 | 19.260         | 0.52      | 5-octen-4-one,7-methyl-                                                               |
| 34 | 19.587         | 0.31      | cyclohexasiloxane, dodecamethyl-                                                      |
| 35 | 19.684         | 22.48     | O-isopropylphenetole                                                                 |
| 36 | 19.890         | 0.33      | allylidenedicyclopentane                                                              |
| 37 | 20.084         | 0.31      | 1,3,3-trimethyl-2-(2-methyl-cyclopropyl)-cyclohexene-                                 |
| 38 | 20.394         | 0.10      | α-cubebene                                                                            |
| 39 | 20.848         | 0.57      | phenol,2-amino-5-methyl-                                                              |
| 40 | 21.151         | 0.04      | copaene                                                                               |
| 41 | 22.382         | 0.10      | caryophyllene                                                                         |
| 42 | 23.213         | 0.12      | 1,6,10-dodecamethi,7,11-dimethyl-3-methylene-                                         |
| 43 | 23.304         | 0.10      | 1,4,7-cycloundecatriene,1,5,9,9-tetramethyl-                                         |
| 44 | 23.553         | 2.96      | 1H-cyclopenta[b]azulene,decahydro-1,1,7-trimethyl-4-methylene-                        |
| 45 | 24.189         | 0.20      | cycloheptasiloxane, tetradecamethyl-                                                  |
| 46 | 24.844         | 0.39      | Naphthalene,1,2,4,4a,5,6,8a-octahydro-7-methyl-4-methyl-1-(1-methylethyl)-            |
| 47 | 25.044         | 0.19      | Naphthalene,1,2,3,5,6,8a-hexahydro-4,7-dimethyl-1-(1-methylethyl)-                    |
| 48 | 27.166         | 0.19      | ledol                                                                                 |
| 49 | 27.288         | 0.86      | 1,3,5,6-tetramethyladamantane                                                         |
| 50 | 28.634         | 0.63      | corymbolone                                                                           |
| 51 | 30.295         | 0.13      | 1H-cyclopenta[b]azulene,decahydro-1,1,4,7-tetramethyl-                               |
| 52 | 30.816         | 0.26      | 2(3H)-naphthalene,4,4a,5,6,7,8-hexahydro-4a,5-dimethyl-3-(1-methylethylidene)-       |
| 53 | 34.970         | 0.41      | n-hexadecanoic acid                                                                   |
| 54 | 38.480         | 0.50      | 6-octadecenoic acid                                                                   |
| 55 | 38.844         | 0.11      | oleic acid                                                                           |
| 56 | 41.239         | 0.06      | undecanoic acid propyl ester                                                          |
|    | 57             |           | Total                                                                                 |
|    | 89.43          |           | 89.43                                                                                 |
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Figure 1: Chemical structure of the main components of the *L. palustre* essential oil extract.

In the oil extracts of the aerial parts of the wild *L. palustre* L. in Daxing’anling area, the major compounds were: α-thujenal (22.48% ± 1.93%), bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-en-2-one, 5-(1-methylethyl)-(10.37% ± 0.81%), β-phellandrene (10.25% ± 0.78%), benzene,1-methyl-3-(1-methylethyl)-(6.59% ± 0.51%), propanal,2-methyl-3-phenyl- (4.01% ± 0.32%), bicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-2-one,5-(1-methylethyl)-(3.66% ± 0.23%), β-terpineol (3.04% ± 0.20%), 1H-cycloprop[e]azulene,decahydro-1,1,7-trimethyl-4-methylen-(2.96% ± 0.92%), and cyclohexanol, 1-methyl-4-(1-methylethenyl)-(2.50% ± 0.70%).

Interesting finding is that only 0.19% ± 0.01% ledol was found in the aerial parts of wild *L. palustre* L. from north-eastern China when compared with the oil from *L. palustre* L. from Estonia which contained a high amount of ledol (11.8% ± 0.87%) [17]. There were considerable differences in composition between them. The antibacterial activity of the extract was tested against the marine pathogen *V. parahaemolyticus*. Only a few bacterial colonies were observed in the extract (Figure 2). Record the actual number of colonies found and a low concentration of extract (5 g/L) can exhibit a good antibacterial activity against *V. parahaemolyticus* (Table 2).

![Figure 2: Bacterial sensitivity test of *L. palustre* essential oil extract](image)

### Table 2: Antibacterial activity of extract of *L. palustre* against the pathogen *V. parahaemolyticus*.

| Samples | Diluted seawater |
|---------|------------------|
|         | 10<sup>-1</sup> | 10<sup>-2</sup> | 10<sup>-3</sup> |
| Sterilized seawater (Control) | ** | ** | 500 ± 63.2 |
| 5 g/L (T1) | ** | 12.7 ± 2.5 | 5.3 ± 0.6 |
| 10 g/L (T2) | ** | 15.3 ± 0.6 | 11.7 ± 1.5 |
| 15 g/L (T3) | ** | 19.7 ± 1.5 | 8.0 ± 1.0 |

Key:** means > 2000 colony-forming units. Data expressed as means ± SD (n = 3).

The essential oil extract investigated contained high amounts of bicycle compounds: bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-3-en-2-one, 5-(1-methylethyl)-(10.37% ± 0.81%), bicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-2-one,5-(1-methylethyl)-(3.66% ± 0.23%), bicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-ene-2-carboxaldehyde,6,6-dimethyl-(1.7% ± 0.11%), bicyclo[3.1.1]heptan-3-ol,6,6-dimethyl-2-methylene-(1.08% ± 0.08%), bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane,4-methyl-1-(1-methylethyl)-(0.98% ± 0.08%), and bicyclo[3.1.0]hex-2-ene,2-methyl-5-(1-methylethyl)-(0.93% ± 0.07%). In the essential oil from the shoots, leaves and stems of Estonian marsh tea, all the oils investigated contained oxygenated monoterpens of furyl structure (0.6% - 3.8%), but these compounds were not found to be present in the *L. palustre* oils investigated in this study [17]. A comparison of the oils of *L. palustre* from north-eastern China with those from various regions of Siberia showed their compositions to differ [2]. The oils from...
Russian *Ledum palustre* contained high amounts of sabinene (0.2% - 33.2%) and limonene (0.9% - 50.3%). The content of ledol and palustrol of the oils was also low (0 - 3.9%). The essential oil extract from the aerial parts of the wild *L. palustre* from north-eastern China comprised mainly monoterpenes (63.79%), followed by sesquiterpenes (4.45%).

**Conclusion**

The yield of essential oil extract from the aerial parts of *L. palustre* from north-eastern China was 5.31% ± 0.43% by the sub-critical fluid extraction technology. A total of 56 constituents, accounting for over 89% of the total oil yield, were identified in the essential oil extract. α-Thujenal (22.48% ± 1.93%) was the major compound in the oil extract of *L. palustre*. The total content of bicyclic compounds of the samples investigated was 18.72%. The content of ledol of the essential oil extract was 0.19% ± 0.01%. The result of bacterial sensitivity test showed that the *L. palustre* extract expressed good antibacterial activity against the marine pathogen *V. parahaemolyticus*.
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