Melanoma complicating treatment with natalizumab for multiple sclerosis: A report from the Southern Network on Adverse Reactions (SONAR)

Rachel A. Sabol, Virginia Noxon, Oliver Sartor, Joseph R. Berger, Zaina Qureshi, Dennis W. Raisch, LeAnn B. Norris, Paul R. Yarnold, Peter Georgantopoulos, William J. Hrushesky, Laura Bobolts, Paul Ray, Akida Lebby, Robert C. Kane, & Charles L. Bennett

1. Tulane University School of Medicine, New Orleans, Louisiana
2. The Southern Network on Adverse Reactions (SONAR) program, University of South Carolina College of Pharmacy, Columbia, South Carolina
3. Department of Neurology, Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
4. University of New Mexico, College of Pharmacy, Albuquerque, New Mexico
5. Oncology Analytics, Plantation, Florida
6. The Medical University of South Carolina Hollings Cancer Center, Charleston, South Carolina
7. William Jennings Bryan Dorn Veterans Administration Medical Center, Columbia, South Carolina

© 2017 The Authors. Cancer Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Keywords
Melanoma, multiple sclerosis, natalizumab

Abstract
A 43-year-old female with multiple sclerosis developed urethral melanoma. The only potential risk factor was treatment with natalizumab, a humanized monoclonal antibody against α4 integrins. To investigate the risk-exposure relationship, we reviewed this case, all other published cases, and cases of natalizumab-associated melanoma reported to regulatory agencies. Data sources included the Food and Drug Administration’s (FDA) Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) (2004–2014), a FDA Advisory Committee Meeting Report, and peer-reviewed publications. In the United States, the manufacturer maintains an FDA-mandated Tysabri Safety Surveillance Program (part of the Tysabri Outcomes Unified Commitment to Health (TOUCH)) of natalizumab-treated patients. We statistically compared reporting completeness for natalizumab-associated melanoma cases in FAERS for which information was obtained entirely from the TOUCH program versus cases where FAERS information was supplemented by TOUCH program information. FAERS included 137 natalizumab-associated melanoma reports in patients with multiple sclerosis. Median age at melanoma diagnosis was 45 years (range: 21–74 years). Changes in preexisting nevi occurred in 16%, history of cutaneous nevi occurred in 22%, diagnosis within 2 years of beginning natalizumab occurred in 34%, and 74% had primary surgical treatment. Among seven natalizumab-treated MS patients who developed biopsy-confirmed melanoma on treatment and reported in the literature, median age at diagnosis was 41 years (range: 38–48 years); and the melanoma diagnosis occurred following a median of 12 natalizumab doses (range: 1–77 doses). A history of mole or nevi was noted in four patients and a history of prior melanoma was noted in one patient. Completeness scores for reports were significantly lower for FAERS cases reported from the TOUCH program versus FAERS cases supplemented by TOUCH information (median score of 2 vs. 4 items out of 8-possible items, P < 0.0007). Clinicians should monitor existing nevi and maintain suspicion for melanoma developing in natalizumab-treated patients. The TOUCH Safety Surveillance Program, currently focused on progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy, should be expanded to include information on other serious complications including malignancies, particularly if they are immunologic in nature.
Introduction

Natalizumab (Tysabri) is a humanized monoclonal antibody approved internationally for treatment of some forms of multiple sclerosis (MS). Natalizumab selectively blocks \( \alpha_4 \) integrins on the surface of lymphocytes, thereby preventing their adhesion to vascular-cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1). VCAM-1 is expressed on surfaces of vascular endothelial cells in brain and spinal cord blood vessels [1]. Blocking \( \alpha_4 \) integrins reduces further brain inflammation, apparently accounting for the drug’s effectiveness for MS [2–5]. Natalizumab also inhibits expression of alpha4 integrin, which has been shown to prevent melanoma metastasis but also may promote melanoma invasion [3]. The most serious complication of natalizumab is progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML), an adverse drug reaction (ADR) confirmed to have been reported in 714 patients through March 6, 2017, and a risk estimate of 4.2/1000 patients (95% confidence interval, 3.89–4.52). PML is also a known complication of therapy with rituximab, an ADR initially reported in 2009 [6]. In 2012, receiving an immune suppressing medication prior to initiating natalizumab was identified as an additional risk factor for PML. Natalizumab-treated multiple sclerosis persons with all three known risk factors (presence of anti-JC virus antibodies, longer duration of natalizumab treatment (specially beyond 2 years)), and prior treatment with an immunosuppressant medication) have an estimated risk of 11 per 1,000 users of natalizumab. [7–11].

The Southern Network on Adverse Reactions (SONAR) is a state/National Cancer Institute (NCI)-funded pharmacovigilance program focusing on identifying rare but serious ADRs and complications involving drugs that are associated with immunologically related illnesses [12]. In 2014, a SONAR collaborator treated a 43-year-old female who developed urethral melanoma shortly after natalizumab therapy was initiated. Following this safety signal, SONAR undertook a comprehensive investigation focusing on natalizumab-associated melanoma.

Material and Methods

Data

Information on natalizumab and natalizumab-associated melanoma were identified by reviewing the FDA’s Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) database (January 1, 2008- March 31, 2014), peer-reviewed literature identified in PubMed [(2006- 2016)- MeSH terms: natalizumab and melanoma], a 2006 Natalizumab Advisory Committee Briefing Document prepared for the Peripheral and Central Nervous System Drugs Advisory Committee 2007 meeting, data obtained from the manufacturer on the numbers of patients and patient-years exposure to natalizumab from November 23, 2004, to March February 28, 2017, the product label, and a March 2017 Tysabri-Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy Updated Written Report from the manufacturer of natalizumab. [5,6,10,14].

Inclusion criteria included: use of natalizumab prior to melanoma diagnosis and diagnosis of melanoma based on pathology information included in the adverse event report (as in the seven case reports and the index case) or notations in FAERS reports that melanoma had been diagnosed (there was not communication back to the reporter seeking report verification).

Abstracted data included FDA’s case identification number, source of each data item (FAERS report, the Tysabri Outreach Unified Commitment to Health (TOUCH) Safety Surveillance Program database, or other), patient characteristics (age, gender, and country from which the case was reported); treatment (length of time from first natalizumab dose to melanoma diagnosis, number of natalizumab doses received prior to melanoma diagnosis), outcome (vital status at last follow-up); melanoma characteristics (site; preexisting nevi or other melanoma risk factors); association with the TOUCH Safety Surveillance Program database (for United States’ cases only); and information about the individual reporting the case (neurologist, other physician, nurse, pharmacist, patient, or family member). For the TOUCH Safety Surveillance Program database, clinical information on all natalizumab-treated people in the United States is obtained initially at 3 months after treatment initiation and then at 6-month intervals, and additional detailed information is obtained for all natalizumab-treated persons who develop PML. The TOUCH Safety Surveillance Program is designed to facilitate early PML identification and subsequently to improve survival from PML with early treatment. No duplicate cases existed in the final sample.

Classifying melanoma cases

Location of melanoma cases was categorized by site and by sun exposure. Site was classified as cutaneous, ocular, mucosal or unknown, and was determined from the primary site of melanoma indicated in individual case reports. For node-rich regions, such as the axilla, the site of melanoma was characterized as cutaneous. Sun exposed and non-sun exposed areas for cutaneous melanoma were determined using scales described previously [15, 16].

Scoring system for evaluating reporting completeness in FAERS

As in prior work, completeness of reporting of individual cases was evaluated based on a system that focused on
clinical and treatment-related date elements [12]. An 8-point clinical information checklist was derived with input from collaborating clinicians. The 8 items included: site of melanoma, lymph node status, Breslow depth, preexisting nevi, family history of melanoma, prior immunosuppressive treatment, survival and start date of melanoma.

Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to determine the characteristics of the cases of natalizumab-associated melanoma based on reporting source, (United States vs. ex-United States) and from FAERS-supplemented by data obtained from the TOUCH Safety Surveillance Program, FAERS data that was entirely obtained from the TOUCH Program, and FAERS data that had no data obtained from the TOUCH Program included in the report. Descriptive statistics were computed using SAS 9.4 (Cary, NC). Data were compared between United States’ versus non-United States’ sources using exact nonparametric discriminant analysis [17].

Results

Individual case report—the signal for this investigation

A 43-year-old female patient with MS reported difficulty urinating for 1 month. The patient had been diagnosed with MS 6 years earlier, presenting with optic nerve involvement. The patient had received natalizumab treatment for 2 years and 2 months, after previous failure of interferon beta therapy of MS. She had no personal or family history of melanoma. Cystoscopy evaluation identified a polyp in the distal urethra measuring 1.0 × 0.7 × 0.5 centimeters. The patient was suspected to have urethral melanoma. Pathology confirmed the diagnosis of melanoma and the surgical biopsy margins were positive for melanoma. Dermatology evaluation did not identify other suspicious primary or metastatic areas. Natalizumab was discontinued. PET scan revealed invasion into the bladder but no evidence of distant metastases. Surgical resection included an anterior pelvic exenteration with cystectomy, partial vaginectomy, hysterectomy, and urethrectomy. Five months later, the patient reported increasing vaginal pain and bleeding with heavy green-colored discharge. The patient was afebrile and no odors or other changes were noticeable. Physical examination demonstrated irritation and inflammation around the site of the urethra. A vaginal exam identified a mass on the left side wall, which was subsequently biopsied and found to be recurrent melanoma. The patient subsequently died from widely metastatic malignant urethral melanoma. The patient did not receive systemic antineoplastic therapy as per her choice.

FAERS review

Of 141 cases of natalizumab-associated melanoma included in FDA’s FAERS reports prior to April 1, 2014, two cases each were duplicated, yielding an initial data set of 139 cases. (It should be noted that an additional 45 cases of natalizumab-associated melanoma were reported between April 1, 2014 and June 30, 2016). Of the 139 cases, two did not list natalizumab as the drug of interest and were thus excluded. Most cases were reported between 2008 and 2013. (Fig. 1) All cases reported from countries other than the United States were reported between 2008 and 2013, while cases reported for the United States were reported between 2005 and 2014. (Fig. 1) Females accounted for 77% of cases (Table 1). All but two cases received natalizumab for a diagnosis of MS. Median patient age at diagnosis of melanoma was 45 years (range: 21–74 years). Of the nine cases who died from melanoma, seven were from the United States. The majority (74%) of melanoma cases were treated with surgery. Overall, 16% of the cases indicated a change in preexisting nevi and 22%, indicated a history of cutaneous nevi. The majority of melanoma cases were diagnosed within 2 years of beginning natalizumab therapy.

Sources of FAERS reports were neurologists (29%), nurses (18%), patients (14%), family members (4%), physicians other than a neurologist (7%), or a clinician who was a study co-investigator for a clinical trial with
natalizumab (4%). No cases were reported by pharmacists, although for all serious adverse events reported to the FDA, 90% of health care worker reported events are from pharmacists). Also, 20% of cases from the United States were reported by a patient or a family member versus 0% of cases from countries other than the United States. Overall, 17% of cases identified melanoma as having developed in areas of the body not considered to be sun exposed (Table 1). Individual case reports had a median of two updates of information sent to FAERS after the initial report had been submitted and a 5-month time-interval between the time of diagnosis of melanoma and initial submission of case information to the FDA or the drug manufacturer.

With respect to clinical information (e.g., site of melanoma), the median case reporting score was 4 items (maximum score of 8 items reported) (i.e., only 50% of clinically relevant items were included in adverse event reports). There was no statistically reliable difference in reporting completeness scores between cases reported from the United States versus other countries.

Among 95 FAERS cases of natalizumab-associated melanoma reported from the United States, 15% were based on information entirely obtained from the TOUCH Program; 73% were cases where initial information was reported directly to FAERS and supplementary information obtained from the Registry was added; and 13% were reported to FAERS and no additional information from the TOUCH Program was added to the FAERS report (Table 1). There were significant differences in clinical completeness scores between cases where the TOUCH Program provided the entire case information to FAERS versus those cases where FAERS data were supplemented by TOUCH Program data (median score of 2 items vs. 4 items out of 8 possible items, \( P < 0.0007 \)).

**Literature review**

Case information for seven published cases was reviewed (Table 2) [2, 18–23]. Among the seven cases, six patients were female. The median age of the patients was 41 years at the time of diagnosis of melanoma (range: 38–48 years). Three patients were enrolled in clinical trials evaluating natalizumab when melanoma was diagnosed, including two patients who were enrolled on a prospective phase III randomized trial at the time of diagnosis of melanoma [18, 21, 24]. One 38-year-old male was enrolled on the randomized phase III study comparing natalizumab versus placebo. This person received one dose of natalizumab prior to the diagnosis of recurrent melanoma [21, 24]. One patient was a 48-year-old female, also on the same phase III clinical trial, who received 30 infusions of natalizumab on the clinical trial and was subsequently, in an open label phase of the trial, received five more infusions [21]. Treatment was discontinued when the clinical trial was put on hold following reporting of three cases of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy [24]. The third clinical trial participant was on a long-term safety evaluation and was diagnosed with melanoma after receiving 77 doses of natalizumab [2]. A history of mole or nevi was noted in four patients (including an ocular mole in one patient) and a prior history of melanoma in one patient. Melanoma was diagnosed after a median of 12 doses of natalizumab (range: 1–77 doses). Involved sites included an ocular melanoma (one patient), shoulder, arm, underside of a foot, and leg. Metastatic melanoma developed in one patient, and one patient died from melanoma.

**Discussion**

We identified an association of natalizumab treatment with melanoma. In interpreting our findings, several factors, particularly epidemiology of melanoma, natalizumab-associated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML), various aspects of the TOUCH Risk Management (RiskMAP) Action Plan, and the Safety Surveillance Program in this RiskMAP (Table 3), potential pathophysiology, and an overview of pharmacovigilance efforts for opportunistic complications of medications, should be considered [6–11, 13, 24–47].

In 2008, two patients with natalizumab-associated melanoma were described in report from a Boston MS clinic. One patient was enrolled on a phase III trial. A skin lesion was noted when the first dose of natalizumab was administered, melanoma was diagnosed after five doses, and the patient died from melanoma (patient 1, Table 2) [21, 23]. The number of doses of natalizumab before melanoma onset is of interest. Among the seven published cases and the index case, four individuals had received 1, 1, 5, and 12 natalizumab doses and four received large numbers of doses of natalizumab prior to melanoma diagnosis. A longer therapy duration would be expected if natalizumab caused melanoma via an immunologic pathway, unless existing nevi were already premalignant lesions. PML occurs after a long duration of rituximab and a short duration of brentuximab vedotin and ibrutinib (drugs used for hematologic malignancies), and after a variable time period following natalizumab [7, 8, 10, 13, 40–44, 46].

According to the National Cancer Institute’s (NCI) Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) database, the median age of diagnosis for melanoma was 63 years [36]. The index patient in this study was diagnosed at 43 years of age, the mean age of the 137 FAERS cases of natalizumab-associated melanoma was 45 years,
Table 1. Characteristics of FAERS patients who developed natalizumab-associated melanoma (*n* = 137 cases).

|                                | Total | US          | Non-US       |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------------|--------------|
| **FAERS**                      | N = 137 | N = 95 (69%) | N = 42 (31%) |
| Age (median [range])           | 45 [21, 74] | 47 [21, 74] | 39 [21, 63] |
| Number of updates reported to the FDA (median) | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Number of months of information from the time of melanoma diagnosis (median) | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| Female (%)                     | 106 (77) | 73 (77) | 33 (79) |
| Disease natalizumab prescribed for N (%) | 135 (98) | 93 (98) | 42 (100) |
| Crohn's                        | 1 (1)  | 1 (1)  | 0           |
| Not Known                      | 1 (1)  | 1 (1)  | 0           |
| Melanoma Site N (%)            |        |            |             |
| Cutaneous                      | 104 (76) | 73 (77) | 31 (74) |
| Mucosal                        | 2 (1)  | 2 (2) | 0 | |
| Ocular                         | 5 (4)  | 3 (3) | 2 (5) |
| Not known                      | 26 (19) | 17 (18) | 9 (21) |
| Site sun exposed N (%)         |        |            |             |
| Yes                            | 88 (64) | 62 (65) | 26 (62) |
| No                             | 23 (17) | 17 (18) | 6 (14) |
| Not Known                      | 26 (19) | 16 (17) | 10 (24) |
| Time on natalizumab until melanoma diagnosis N (%) |        |            |             |
| 0–24 months                    | 47 (34) | 36 (38) | 11 (26) |
| 25–48 months                   | 26 (19) | 13 (14) | 13 (31) |
| 49–72 months                   | 10 (7)  | 4 (4)  | 6 (14) |
| 73–96 months                   | 1 (1)  | 1 (1) | 0 |
| Not specified                  | 53 (39) | 41 (43) | 12 (29) |
| Alive at follow-up N (%)       | 128 (93) | 88 (93) | 40 (95) |
| Concomitant drug use N (%)     | 51 (37) | 30 (32) | 21 (50) |
| Nevi history N (%)             |        |            |             |
| Yes                            | 30 (22) | 18 (19) | 12 (29) |
| No                             | 30 (22) | 20 (21) | 10 (24) |
| Unknown                        | 77 (56) | 57 (60) | 20 (48) |
| Melanoma treatment N (%)       |        |            |             |
| Chemotherapy                   | 2 (1)  | 2 (2) | 0 |
| Chemotherapy and radiation     | 1 (1)  | 1 (1) | 0 |
| Surgery                        | 92 (67) | 61 (64) | 31 (74) |
| Radiation                      | 1 (1)  | 0 | 1 (2) |
| Surgery combination            | 9 (7)  | 9 (9) | 0 |
| Other                          | 3 (2)  | 2 (2) | 1 (2) |
| No                             | 1 (1)  | 0 | 1 (2) |
| Not applicable                 | 17 (12) | 12 (13) | 5 (12) |
| Unknown                        | 11 (8)  | 8 (8) | 3 (7) |
| TOUCH N (%)                    |        |            |             |
| Data obtained from TOUCH¹      | N/A | 14 (15) | 0 |
| FAERS report supplemented by TOUCH data² | N/A | 69 (73) | 0 |
| FAERS data without any TOUCH data³ | N/A | 12 (13) | 42 (100) |
| Change in Nevi N (%)           |        |            |             |
| Yes                            | 22 (16) | 14 (15) | 8 (19) |
| No                             | 115 (84) | 81 (85) | 34 (81) |
| Reporter N (%)                 |        |            |             |
| Neurologist                    | 37 (27) | 28 (29) | 12 (29) |
| Unknown                        | 25 (18) | 9 (9) | 14 (33) |
| Patient                        | 22 (16) | 19 (20) | 0 |
| Nurse                          | 16 (12) | 16 (17) | 1 (2) |
| Physician                      | 9 (7)  | 3 (3) | 6 (14) |
| Family                         | 4 (4)  | 5 (5) | 0 |
| Registered nurse               | 5 (4)  | 5 (5) | 0 |
| Investigator                   | 5 (4)  | 1 (1) | 5 (12) |
| Physician assistant            | 2 (1)  | 2 (2) | 0 |

(Continues)
### Table 1. Clinical findings for seven published cases of natalizumab-associated melanoma among persons with multiple sclerosis.

| Patient number, age, gender, family history | Clinical trial participant | # of doses | Clinical features | Other information |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|------------------|------------------|
| (1) 38, male, family history not reported [21, 23] | Clinical trial (AFFIRM study) [21] | Skin lesion noted at first dose; 5 doses when melanoma diagnosis was confirmed; | Patient died of melanoma | Died from melanoma |
| (2) 46, female, family history not reported [20] | Nonclinical trial | 1 dose when mole on shoulder started rapidly changing | Mole on shoulder for a long time prior to melanoma. Had metastatic spread to regional lymph nodes. | After discontinuing natalizumab, patient developed widely metastatic melanoma |
| (3) 39, female, MS, no family history [2] | Nonclinical trial | 5 doses—noted slow change in long-standing mole on left leg | After 10 months ulcerated and ablated. Spreading melanoma, 1.6 mm thickness and Clark level IV. No metastasis to lymph nodes. | Therapy stopped after 16 doses, |
| (4) 41, female, France, no family history [22] | Nonclinical trial | 12 doses. Natalizumab continued after diagnosis for 21 additional doses with close monitoring | Superficial spreading melanoma from a dysplastic nevi, upper arm | Had many atypical moles for years. Melanoma occurrence in close temporal relation to natalizumab start. |
| (5) 45, female, family history not reported [20] | Nonclinical trial | Several doses. Mole increased in size and depth and became pigmented. | Ocular melanoma. Long history of mole in posterior eye. Mole was unchanged for 6 years prior to natalizumab | Family history of melanoma. |
| (6) 38, female, no family history of atypical nevi [19] | Clinical trial evaluating natalizumab/beta-interferon and then on a long-term clinical trial | Diagnosed after 77 natalizumab doses. 35 natalizumab doses (with interferon beta) and then on long-term extension study of natalizumab | Malignant melanoma. Clark level III and Breslow index 0.5 mm. | No evidence of metastasis |
| (7) 48, female No family history of melanoma or prior immunosuppressive therapy [18] | Clinical trial (initially on the AFFIRM study) [21] | 30 doses (300 mg every 4 weeks) of Natalizumab and enrolled in open label of trial with an additional 5 doses. | 5 months after last dose noticed increase in mole on right shin. Lesion was flat with dark center. | No evidence of metastasis or recurrence |

**Table 2.** Clinical findings for seven published cases of natalizumab-associated melanoma among persons with multiple sclerosis.

**Case information was obtained from the FDA’s Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS). (Between April 1, 2014 and June 30, 2016, 45 additional natalizumab-associated melanoma cases were reported to FAERS- 12 from ex-United States countries and 33 from the United States. These cases are not included in Table 1).**

1 Cases reported to the FDA directly from the TOUCH Safety Surveillance Program.

2 Cases reported to the FDA independent of TOUCH but with supplemental information obtained from the TOUCH Safety Surveillance Program.

3 Cases reported to the FDA with no information obtained from the TOUCH Safety Surveillance Protocol.

**AFFIRM, the Natalizumab Safety and Efficacy Trial in Relapsing Remitting Multiple Sclerosis.**
and the median age of the seven published reports was 41 years. The rare mucosal location of melanomas in our index case and several FAERs reported cases is notable. Only nine of the 137 (0.66%) melanoma patients with natalizumab exposure were reported as having died from melanoma. We note that in the FAERs database follow-up
to the end-point of mortality may not be obtained consistently. Regardless, this mortality rate is less than that reported with de novo melanoma—based on data from SEER from 2006 to 2012, the 5-year survival rate for all stages of melanoma is 91.5% [21]. Uncommon locations of reported melanoma sites, younger median age of melanoma onset, and high survival rate among the eight cases of natalizumab-associated melanoma reviewed herein raise questions about whether natalizumab-associated melanoma is biologically different from de novo melanoma.

Multiple studies evaluated incidence of melanoma among MS patients, although none reported convincing epidemiologic or biologic associations or relative risk estimates [26–28, 37]. Capkun et al. noted a slight decrease in melanoma rate among MS patients from a large sample, but do not report on melanoma risks among natalizumab-treated MS patients [37]. Another study reviewing incidence of cancer in MS (sampling 54,929 patients) concluded that melanoma rates were lower for people with MS compared to melanoma among the general population [26]. One study reported on 74 patients with MS treated with natalizumab [38]. They monitored 775 pigmented lesions and found no effect of natalizumab on proliferative and invasive phenotypes of melanoma cells in vitro [38].

Natalizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody to the α4 component of the α4β1 integrin. Blocking α4 integrins on the surface of lymphocytes prevents their adhesion to vascular-cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1), which is expressed on the surface of vascular endothelial cells in brain and spinal cord blood vessels (the acknowledged mechanism of action for the favorable effect on MS). Studies of α4 integrin expression show that it has different roles in melanoma [30–33]. The underlying pathophysiology of a potential causal relationship is uncertain. (Fig. 2) Normal melanocytes and early stage melanomas do not express α4 integrin, whereas metastatic melanomas do [30–36]. Expression of α4β1 integrin shows an inverse correlation with invasive potential of an aggressive melanoma cell line (B16) [30, 33]. Expression of the integrin α4β1 on melanoma cells can inhibit the invasive stage of melanoma [34, 37]. Thus, there is a concern that an antibody such as natalizumab that binds to α4 could directly promote at the cellular level melanoma cell replication, invasion, and migration.

The index case differs from previous case reports, and from 38% of FAERS cases, because there was no known mole or nevi that transformed upon natalizumab initiation, but rather a melanoma developed in a rare location unrelated to sun exposure. The initial informed consent form for natalizumab in clinical trials stated that its use could theoretically lead to increased risk of some types of cancers due to immune system effects. Three fatal cases of natalizumab-associated PML were identified in clinical trials shortly after natalizumab received FDA approval in 2004. Natalizumab was temporarily withdrawn from the United States market in 2005 in response to concerns that immunodeficiency associated PML was not uncommon [29]. In 2006, natalizumab was reintroduced in conjunction with the TOUCH safety registry that facilitates early PML identification and treatment.

Our study has safety implications. Overall FAERS reporting completeness was poor. The existence of the TOUCH Safety Surveillance Program, an FDA-mandated program, did not improve melanoma reporting. Among 95 FAERS cases reported from the United States, only 15% were reported to FAERS from the TOUCH Safety Surveillance Program. While safety personnel at the manufacturer reviewed each adverse event report of
natalizumab-associated melanoma contained in FAERS, it is not easy to obtain follow-up information on pathologic confirmation of melanoma outside of querying the TOUCH Safety Surveillance Program as was done for 88% of FAERS reports. Information on pathologic confirmation of melanoma was obtained for 38% of cases in the TOUCH Safety Surveillance Program database. As with all FAERS reports, complete follow-up information, such as date of death, is often omitted. Median follow-up for FAERS reports was 4 months, suggesting that long-term follow-up data may have been missing and hence long-term survival rate may be underreported.

Various observational databases or registries with safety-related events exist for natalizumab—most are manufacturer-funded. These include the Tysabri Global Observational Program in Safety (TYGRIS), a study that contains information on 5000 patients. It examines incidence and pattern of serious infections, malignancies and other serious adverse events among natalizumab-treated patients with multiple sclerosis in Canada and the United States. In an interim analysis based on follow-up for 4821 natalizumab-treated patients enrolled in a Tysabri Observational Protocol (TOP), an ongoing observational study conducted in Europe, Australia, Canada, and Argentina, 77% were followed up for at least 1 year and 52% for at least 2 years [46]. The median follow-up period was 26 months (range: 1–69). Eighteen patients were diagnosed with PML and one patient was diagnosed with melanoma [46]. The distinction here is that while the Tysabri Safety Surveillance Program focuses on JCV-associated PML and other opportunistic infections, TYGRIS, TOP, and other international registries focus on malignancies and opportunistic infections.

Some limitations can be identified. FDA case reporting is limited as only 1% of all adverse events, such as natalizumab-associated melanoma, are included in FAERS and 25–50% completeness occurs in reporting of individual cases [6, 12, 45]. Pathologic documentation was recorded in 78% of reports of melanoma cases reported to regulatory agencies versus 100% pathologic confirmation for the index case and the seven published cases. The manufacturer was able to review pathology for the three clinical trial participants who developed melanoma. Also, reporting of biopsies of nevi that did not contain melanoma was not included in many adverse event reports. For natalizumab-associated melanoma, these limitations adversely impact causality and epidemiology investigations. The existence of the TOUCH Safety Surveillance Program did little to improve completeness of reporting, although it certainly increased the likelihood of physician reporting of natalizumab-associated melanoma cases. Forty percent of natalizumab-associated melanoma cases reported to regulatory agencies and 100% of cases of natalizumab-associated melanoma reported in the literature were reported by physicians. This represents a markedly higher percentage reporting rate than that associated with adverse events reported with other drugs such as ticlopidine, clopidogrel, and warfarin (≤5% reporting is from physicians) [45].

Future studies are needed. Comparison data from a population of melanoma patients who do or do not have MS should be evaluated, with a focus on characteristics of individuals with tumors in non-sun-exposed areas (including age at diagnosis, long-term survival rates, and percent with prior histories of cutaneous nevi). Comprehensive long-term follow-up of persons with natalizumab-associated melanoma should be obtained. Data on the incidence of melanoma in non-sun-exposed or noncutaneous areas would also be of interest.

We conclude that natalizumab-treated individuals and their clinicians should be informed of potential risk of melanoma and be vigilant for changes in nevi appearance or development of new cutaneous lesions, particularly those that occur in non-sun-exposed cutaneous areas. MS patients with cutaneous nevi should consider photographing these lesions before natalizumab treatment is initiated. Recent reports on ocrelizumab, a new MS agent that depletes CD20-expressing B cells, identified increased cancer occurrences in the treated arm compared to placebo arms (including one malignant melanoma case) [48, 49]. Finally, the Tysabri Safety Surveillance Program should be expanded to include information on malignancies among natalizumab-treated MS patients (Table 3). Increased risks of infection and neoplasms occur with all immunosuppressive treatments.
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