Deletion at 6q24.2–26 predicts longer survival of high-grade serous epithelial ovarian cancer patients
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Standard treatments for advanced high-grade serous ovarian carcinomas (HGSOCs) show significant side-effects and provide only short-term survival benefits due to disease recurrence. Thus, identification of novel prognostic and predictive biomarkers is urgently needed. We have used 42 paraffin-embedded HGSOCs, to evaluate the utility of DNA copy number alterations, as potential predictors of clinical outcome. Copy number-based unsupervised clustering stratified HGSOCs into two clusters of different immunohistopathological features and survival outcome (HR = 0.15, 95%CI = 0.03–0.81; Padj = 0.03). We found that loss at 6q24.2–26 was significantly associated with the cluster of longer survival independently from other confounding factors (HR = 0.06, 95%CI = 0.01–0.43, Padj = 0.005). The prognostic value of this deletion was validated in two independent series, one consisting of 36 HGSOCs analyzed by fluorescent in situ hybridization (P = 0.04) and another comprised of 411 HGSOCs from the Cancer Genome Atlas study (TCGA) (HR = 0.67, 95% CI = 0.48–0.93, Padj = 0.019). In addition, we confirmed the association of low expression of the genes from the region with longer survival in 799 HGSOCs (HR = 0.74, 95% CI = 0.61–0.90, log-rank P = 0.002) and 675 high-FIGO stage HGSOCs (HR = 0.76, 95% CI = 0.61–0.96, log-rank P = 0.02) available from the online tool KM-plotter. Finally, by integrating copy number, RNAseq and survival data of 296 HGSOCs from TCGA we propose a few candidate genes that can potentially explain the association. Altogether our findings indicate that the 6q24.2–26 deletion is an independent marker of favorable outcome in HGSOCs with potential clinical value as it can be analyzed by FISH on tumor sections and guide the selection of patients towards more conservative therapeutic strategies in order to reduce side-effects and improve quality of life.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Federation of European Biochemical Societies. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

1. Introduction

Epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) is the most lethal gynecological malignancy and fifth leading cause of cancer-related death in Western countries (Ferlay et al., 2008). High-grade serous ovarian carcinomas (HGSOCs), the most common and aggressive ovarian cancer subtype, account for the majority (60–80%) of EOC deaths (Cannistra, 2004). HGSOCs show a very poor prognosis due to late stage at presentation and the development of chemoresistance (Seidman et al., 2004). In spite of high rates (~80%) of initial response to platinum-based treatment, the majority of patients relapse (Piccart et al., 2001). Although, over recent decades treatment has advanced significantly thanks to improved surgical techniques and chemotherapy regimens, the 5-year survival rate has remained relatively unchanged (between 35 and 40%) (Berns and Bowtell, 2012; Siegel et al., 2013). It is therefore essential to improve our understanding of the molecular events underlying the pathogenesis of HGSOCs in order to develop better prognostic and predictive markers. Given the fact that the presence of widespread DNA copy number changes is a hallmark of HGSOCs (Bowtell, 2010; TCGA, 2011), such alterations may serve as relevant markers for predicting prognosis, progression and drug sensitivity.

Comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) has been the most widely used method for the global assessment of DNA copy number alterations (CNAs). To date, there have been several studies utilizing either conventional metaphase chromosome-based CGH (Bruchim et al., 2009; Ramus et al., 2003), or array-based high-resolution techniques to identify the landscape of copy number events in ovarian cancer (Leunen et al., 2009; TCGA, 2011). Among the most frequently reported gained regions are 1q, 3q, 8q and 20q, while common lost regions include 4q, 5q, 6q, 8p, 17p, 18q and 22q (Bruchim et al., 2009; Gorringe and Campbell, 2009; Ramus et al., 2003). Especially interesting are losses and rearrangements at the long arm of chromosome 6, which have been recurrently described not only in ovarian cancer (Foulkes et al., 1993; Orphanos et al., 1995; Saito et al., 1992), but also in other types of carcinomas and in non-epithelial tumors including melanoma and hematological and central nervous system malignancies (Burkhardt et al., 2006; Guo et al., 2011; Li et al., 2013; Nelson et al., 2008; Theile et al., 1996; Vajdic et al., 2003).

In particular, loss at 6q24–27 has been extensively studied for its potential role in tumor suppression (Hayashi et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2003) and some candidate genes have been proposed such as PLAC1 (Abdollahi et al., 2003), GRM1, SOD2 (Shridhar et al., 1999), SASH1 (Zeller et al., 2003) or Parkin (Denison et al., 2003). However, few studies so far have aimed...
to define specific DNA copy number markers that may have clinical relevance in predicting outcome in ovarian cancer (Baumbusch et al., 2013; Bruchim et al., 2009; Engler et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2012; Yamamoto et al., 2009). Most studies that have focused on the assessment of specificalterations have been limited by the absence of independent copy number replication datasets (Bruchim et al., 2009; Yamamoto et al., 2009). Other studies including independent validation series have been mainly focused on describing general features (ie. genomic instability or LOH profiles) which are more difficult to implement in the clinic than distinct individual changes (Baumbusch et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2012).

In our study, we used a series of familial and sporadic HGSOCs, whose DNA copy number profiles had been previously characterized (Kamieniak et al., 2013). We performed a DNA copy number-based unsupervised clustering that revealed two main groups of tumors of distinct immunohisto-pathological features and clinical outcome. We further identified a single region of deletion at 6q24.2–26 that differentiated both clusters and that was subsequently found to be associated with longer survival. We used different independent datasets to validate the prognostic value of this deletion at the DNA copy number (N = 447) and at the expression level (N = 799) and finally propose some candidate genes that may potentially explain the association.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Patients and tumors

A series of 42 formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) HGSOCs previously characterized using high-resolution array CGH (4 × 180 K, Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA) assuring >80% tumoral cellularity (Kamieniak et al., 2013) was included in the present study. Since the previous study (Kamieniak et al., 2013) aimed to characterize copy number changes in hereditary ovarian tumors and to describe similarities and differences with sporadic tumors the series comprised 30 familial and 12 sporadic HGSOCs. Inclusion of hereditary and sporadic cases in the current study allowed us to evaluate associations between the BRCA status and potential DNA copy number alterations predicting clinical outcome. Familial tumors were obtained from index-cases from high-risk breast and ovarian cancer families and corresponded to 13 BRCA1 mutation carriers (BRCA1 tumors), 5 BRCA2 mutation carriers (BRCA2 tumors) and 12 patients without mutations in neither of those genes (BRCAX tumors). Families were ascertained at Spanish hospitals and at the Spanish National Cancer Research Center (CNIO) and fulfilled one of the following criteria in order to be selected for the present study: (a) at least two cases of ovarian cancer in the same family line; (b) at least one case of ovarian cancer and at least one case of breast cancer in the same family line; (c) at least one woman with both breast and ovarian cancer; (d) at least one woman with bilateral ovarian cancer. The index case of each family was screened for mutations in the BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes by a combination of denaturing high performance liquid chromatography (DHPLC) and sequencing. Details about the screening of germline mutations in the BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes can be found elsewhere (Kamieniak et al., 2013). The 12 sporadic tumors (with no reported first or second degree relative with breast or ovarian cancer) were obtained from one hospital (H. Virgen del Rocio, Seville). Patients whose tumors were included in the discovery series (N = 42) and in the validation series characterized using tissue microarrays (N = 36 HGSOCs) underwent surgical intervention (following diagnosis) in the years 1990–2008 and 1991-2010, respectively. Surgical resections were classified as optimal (less than or equal 1 cm diameter of residual tumor) or suboptimal (greater than 1 cm diameter of residual tumor). Patients were treated according to a standardized protocol with a combination of taxane and platinum agents. The length of overall survival (OS) was defined from the date of primary surgery to the date of patient death, while progression-free survival (PFS) was calculated from the date of primary surgery to the date of disease progression, defined as an increase in CA125, or radiological or surgical evidence of relapse. For both analyses, time was censored at the date of last follow-up.

Additional information about the clinicohistopathological features of the series is shown in Supplementary Table 1. The study was approved by the research ethics committees from each of the participating centers and all patients gave informed consent.

Tumors were blindly reviewed by two pathologists (I.M-R. and J.P.) and classified histopathologically by evaluation of immunohistochemical markers such as Wilms Tumor protein (WT1), tumor protein p53 (TP53), estrogen receptor (ESR), progesterone receptor (PGR) and cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A (p16) (CDKN2A) (Kalloger et al., 2011; Kobel et al., 2009) to assure proper identifications of serous histotype. Grading of the serous tumors was assessed according to two-tier M.D. Anderson Cancer Center (MDACC) system (Malpica et al., 2004).

2.2. Independent validation series

Two independent series were used to validate associations found between DNA copy number changes and patients’ outcome. First series consisted of 36 HGSOCs among which 25 were sporadic and 11 were familial cases. Almost 70% of the series was represented by high-FIGO stage tumors (III and IV). The second validation series was composed of 411 HGSOCs from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) study with publically available DNA copy number and clinical data (TCGA, 2011). It included mainly sporadic (91%), high-grade (87%), and high (III and IV) FIGO stage (95%) serous adenocarcinomas.

In addition, 799 HGSOCs, among which 675 were high-FIGO stage tumors, from KM-plotter (Gyorffy et al., 2012) were used for validation at the gene expression level.

2.3. Immunohistochemistry

Staining pattern of 33 proteins was assessed by two pathologists (I.M-R. and J.P.) on 4 TMAs containing 170 EOCs. Evaluated proteins were involved in the following cellular processes: hormone signaling (ER, PR and AR), proliferation (topoisomerase IIX and Ki-67), cell cycle (cyclin D1, cyclin E, p21, p27, p16, p53 and Rb), apoptosis (BCL-XL, Bcl-2 and...
survivin), cell adhesion (E-cadherin, β-catenin), tumor progression (KLK7, KLK6, EMA, MMP7 and PIK3CA), angiogenesis (VEGF), signaling (HER2, C-KIT and EGFR) or DNA repair (ERCC1, XPG, XPF, RAD50, RAD51 and CHEK2). The antibodies, dilutions, suppliers, visualization systems, immunostainers and scoring used are shown in Supplementary Table 2. Between 100 and 150 cells per core were scored to determine the percentage of positive nuclei, cytoplasm, or membranes, depending on the marker. Staining was evaluated for estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), androgen receptor (AR), p53, Ki-67, cyclins D1 and E, p27, p21, Rb, topoisomerase IIα, survivin, RAD50, RAD51, XPF, XPG, CHEK2, ERCC1, EGRF, metalloproteinase 7 (MMP7), kallikrein 7 (KLK7), E-cadherin, β-catenin and PIK3CA. Cytoplasmatic staining was assessed for p16, BCL-XL, Bcl-2, survivin, kallikrein 6 (KLK6) and KLK7, EMA, VEGF, C-Kit, MMP7, EGRF, e-cadherin, β-catenin, RAD51 and PIK3CA. Membrane staining was evaluated for HER2, EGRF, e-cadherin and β-catenin. The thresholds to determine over-expression of each marker were established based on literature (Supplementary Table 2) as described elsewhere (Bali et al., 2004; Brun et al., 2008; Honrado et al., 2005; Ni et al., 2004; Rosen et al., 2006; Schindlbeck et al., 2007; Schmandt et al., 2003; Tangjitgamol et al., 2009; Xia et al., 2009). The percentage of stained nuclei, independent of the intensity, was scored for ER, PR, AR, Ki-67, p53, cyclinD1, cyclinE, p27, p21, Rb, RAD51, XPF, XPG, CHEK2, ERCC1, EGRF, MMP7, KLK7. For EGRF, E-cadherin and β-catenin expression, the percentage of cells with membrane staining and staining intensity was determined.

2.4. Definition of regions differentiating DNA copy number-based clusters

Called CGH data for 42 HGSOCs, preprocessed as described previously (Kamieniak et al., 2013) were subjected to unsupervised hierarchical clustering using total linkage and overall similarity algorithms implemented in WECCA (Weighted Clustering of Called aCGH Data) R package (Van Wieringen et al., 2008). The cut-off of two clusters was chosen by plotting a ratio of the within-cluster similarity and the between-cluster similarity (a statistics that evaluates the effect of a new cluster split). Two was shown to be the optimal cut-off and optimal number of clusters.

The DNA copy number alterations that best distinguished the generated clusters were defined in Nexus Copy Number v5.1 (BioDiscovery, Inc; El Segundo, CA) using “comparisons” option, minimal frequency difference between both clusters of 35% and Fisher Exact Test with significant p-value lower than 0.05. Benjamini–Hochberg was used for multiple testing correction and FDR <0.05 was considered significant. The boundaries of the defined regions were based on the similar frequency of the alteration in a given cluster.

2.5. Association of defined regions with survival

Defined copy number alterations were evaluated for their association with overall survival (OS) using univariate log-rank. Multivariate analysis, including all the significant variables (p-value <0.05) in the univariate analysis, was performed with the Cox regression model. In addition, the association of the regions with OS was further proved on adjustment for other potentially confounding factor, even if not statistically significant in our series (such as residual tumor, age of diagnosis, BRCA mutation status) producing consistent results.

2.6. Validation by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) was performed on TMA sections according to Vysis’s protocol (Vysis, Downers Grove, IL, USA). The test probe mapping to the 6q25.1 (157,099,063–157,530,401) region of deletion was composed of three BACs (RP11-608N7, RP11-68I24 and RP11-100N9) and was labeled by nick translation with dUTP-SpectrumOrange (Abbott Molecular, IL, USA). The reference probe targeting 6p21 (43,490,072–43,543,812) consisted of two BACs (RP11-410B13 and RP11-107P14) and was labeled with dUTP-SpectrumGreen (Abbott Molecular, IL, USA). BACs were obtained from the BACPAC Resource Center (BPRC) at the Children’s Hospital Oakland Research Institute (Oakland, CA). Briefly, probes were blocked with Cot-1 Human DNA (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) to suppress repetitive sequences. Probe specificity was confirmed on normal peripheral blood metaphase cells. FISH analysis was performed by two investigators (M.G. and M.K.) who had no prior knowledge of the genetic, clinical, or immunohistochemical features of the tumors. On average 5 (3–7) high-power fields with well defined-nuclei were analyzed per each sample (always in duplicates). Deletion was considered as positive, when at least 100 cells/per tissue core showed one red signal less than green in the same nuclei. The deletion status in these tumors was then analyzed for association with patient’s outcome.

2.7. Validation at the global gene expression level

The association of the 6q24.2–q26 deletion with survival was validated at the gene expression level using KM-plotter (Gyorffy et al., 2012) with the JetSet probset. The KM-plotter is an online tool that allows the assessment of the prognostic value of the expression levels of microarray-quantified genes in ovarian cancer patients. The current database is set up using gene expression data and survival information of 1436 ovarian cancer patients downloaded from Gene Expression Omnibus and The Cancer Genome Atlas (10 different datasets). The association with overall survival was assessed in 799 HGSOCs (grade 3) and in 675 high-FIGO HGSOCs (FIGO III and IV, grade 3). The mean expression of all the genes from the 6q24.2–q26 region with available data in the KM-plotter (53 out of 85 with validated RefSeq, as for May 2014) was used and the data was dichotomized at the automatically selected, best fitted cut-off into higher and lower expressing (q-values). Deletion was considered as positive, when at least one red signal less than green in the same nuclei.

2.8. Definition of candidate genes at 6q24–q26

In order to propose individual candidate genes that might explain the observed association with patient survival, we
first identified those genes in the 6q24–26 region whose loss had an impact on expression. To this end we used 232 tumors from TCGA ovarian study for whose copy number and expression data were available and assessed a total of 81 genes (out of 85 in the region with validated RefSeq) localized at 6q24.2–q26 for whom RNAseq data (RPKM) was available. The Wilcoxon rank test was used to compare the expression values of each gene between tumors with normal copy number status and tumors with genomic loss at each locus. Significant genes were then evaluated for potential association between their expression levels and patient survival.

Before running survival analysis, RPKM values were normalized, by subtracting the mean of all samples and dividing by the standard deviation, in order to allow a direct comparison of hazard ratios between genes. Next, the normalized gene expression values for each of 296 HGSOCs from TCGA study (for whom clinical and RPKM data were available) were included as an explanatory variable in Cox regression models, together with cofactors significantly associated with survival in the series (FIGO stage, age of diagnosis and BRCA mutation status).

2.9. Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Comparison of continuous variables was assessed using a two-tailed Student’s t-test for variables with approximate normal distribution (as determined by Kolmogorov–Smirnov test), or by Mann–Whitney test otherwise. For categorical data (FIGO stage, BRCA1/2 mutation status) Fisher’s Exact Test was used. All tests were two-sided and P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Estimation of survival time distribution was performed using Kaplan–Meier method and statistical differences between survival curves were assessed with log-rank test if the proportional hazard assumption was true or Gehan–Breslow–Wilcoxon otherwise. Univariate Cox regression was used to estimate Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). To adjust for confounding factors, for each tumor series we created a multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression model including all possibly confounding variables: FIGO stage, residual tumor, age of diagnosis, familial status and BRCA mutation status. In the final model, with tested variable, all covariates with P-values <0.05 were included. The prognostic value of 6q24–26 deletion was tested (in discovery and validation sets) by comparing patients positive for the deletion (having at least 90% of the region lost) versus all the others. All statistical tests were two-sided and nominal P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Immunohistochemical characterization of DNA copy number-based tumor clusters

To determine whether DNA copy number changes may define novel ovarian tumor subtypes with distinct biology we performed DNA copy number-based unsupervised hierarchical clustering of 42 HGSOCs (Figure 1). This analysis rendered two main clusters, A and B. Cluster B, with greater amount of genome lost (as defined by a number and length of lost regions), exhibited some enrichment in tumors from BRCA1/2 mutation carriers, but did not differ significantly from cluster A with regard to BRCA mutation status or FIGO stage. As for immunohistochemical markers, cluster B showed a significantly higher immunostaining of survivin and marginally higher expression of progesterone receptor, whereas greater expression of CCNE1 was significantly associated with cluster A (Table 1).

3.2. DNA copy number-based tumor clusters and survival

We also aimed to determine whether groups of ovarian tumors defined according to their copy number features differed in terms of patients’ prognosis. Association of the DNA copy number-defined clusters with OS revealed a significant association of cluster B with longer survival (P = 0.003; Figure 2A). This association remained significant (HR = 0.15, 95% CI = 0.03–0.81; Padj = 0.03) on adjustment for the covariate significantly associated with survival in this series -FIGO stage (Supplementary Table 3) and on adjustment for other potentially confounding factors (residual tumor and age of diagnosis). To minimize the effect of non-ovarian cancer-related deaths we confirmed the association after censoring the data five years after diagnosis (Table 2).

Since ovarian cancer patients with germline BRCA1/2 mutations have been shown to present better survival (Alsop et al., 2012; Bolton et al., 2012) and cluster B exhibited a non-significant enrichment in tumors from mutation carriers (Table 1), we also adjusted for this factor (in addition to FIGO stage). The association remained statistically significant (HR = 0.15, 95% CI = 0.027–0.82, Padj = 0.028) indicating that BRCA1/2 mutation status would not explain the better survival of patients from the higher genomic instability cluster. In addition, we verified that the association was also significant on further adjustment for familial status (HR = 0.14, 95% CI = 0.03–0.72; Padj = 0.02). The reason for this adjustment is that some of the BRCA1/2 tumors defined according to their copy number features differed in terms of patients’ prognosis. Association of the DNA copy number-defined clusters with OS revealed a significant association of cluster B with longer survival (P = 0.003; Figure 2A).

We next sought to define specific copy number changes that might explain the observed association. To this end we defined 32 the regions that differentiated the clusters (at least 35% frequency difference, P-value <0.05). Out of these regions, six passed the multiple testing correction (FDR <0.05) and were subsequently tested for their association with survival (Table 3). Only a deletion at 6q24.2–6q26 (145,593,087–162,867,181), more frequent in cluster B, was found to be significantly associated with longer survival (P = 0.001) (Table 2 and Figure 2B). This association remained
Figure 1 — DNA copy number-based unsupervised hierarchical clustering of 42 high-grade serous ovarian carcinomas (HGSOCs). Each column represents a tumor sample and each row corresponds to DNA copy number changes mapped according to chromosomal location. Colors correspond to different copy number categories: red, loss; green, gain; white, amplification; black, lack of copy number changes. Dendrogram highlights the division of the samples into two main clusters. Hereditary or sporadic condition of tumors, immunohistopathological features and the 6q deletion status are represented by color labels shown below the dendrogram.
3.4. Validation of the prognostic value of the 6q24.2–q26 deletion in the TCGA ovarian cancer series

We aimed to further validate the prognostic value of the 6q24.2–q26 deletion in a larger homogeneous group of 411 HGSOCs from TCGA ovarian cancer study (TCGA, 2011).
Figure 2 — Kaplan–Meier survival curves. Overall Survival of HGSOCs from the discovery series according to (A) clusters defined by DNA copy number-based unsupervised analysis (log-rank $P = 0.003$) and (B) the presence of the 6q24.2–26 deletion (log-rank $P = 0.001$). Validation of the association observed between the presence of the 6q24.2–26 deletion and improved 5-year survival in two independent series from (C) sporadic HGSOCs where the deletion was evaluated by Fluorescence in situ Hybridization (log-rank $P = 0.04$) and (D) HGSOC patients from the TCGA study (log-rank test $P = 0.001$). Lower expression of the genes within 6q24.2–26 region predicts longer Overall Survival in (E) 799 HGSOCs (log-rank $P = 0.002$) and in (F) 675 High-FIGO HGSOC carcinomas (log-rank $P = 0.02$), as shown using an online tool KM-plotter from publicly-available microarray data (Györfy et al., 2012).
from 10 different data sets for 1436 EOCs patients. We found that low mean expression of all the genes within the 6q24.2–6q26 region with available data in the KM-plotter tool (53 out of 85 RefSeq genes) was associated with longer OS in 799 HGSOCs (HR = 0.74, 95%CI = 0.61–0.90, log-rank P = 0.002) (Figure 2E) and on limiting the analysis to 675 high-FIGO stage HGSOCs (HR = 0.76, 95%CI = 0.61–0.96, log-rank P = 0.02) (Figure 2F). Similar results were obtained following censoring of survival data at 5-years endpoint. In addition, to account for the effect of confounding factors, the association was confirmed separately in stratified groups according to debulking status (optimally and suboptimally debulked tumors).

In order to propose individual genes that might explain the observed association, we selected only those whose copy number status had an impact on the expression level. To address this, we used TCGA study, as it allowed us to combine copy number and expression data for the majority of the genes from the region (81 out of 85 RefSeq genes), which was not possible with KM-plotter. By using 232 HGSOCs with accessible copy number and RNAseq data from TCGA study we found that 76% of the examined genes in the region (62 out of 81) were significantly down-regulated when lost (FDR < 0.05) (Supplementary Figure 1). Of these, multivariate Cox regression analysis identified four genes whose downregulation was significantly associated (P_{adj} < 0.05) with better survival independently of known prognostic factors (FIGO stage, age at diagnosis, and BRCA1/2 mutation status) and nine additional genes that showed borderline associations (P_{adj} < 0.15) (Table 4).

| Table 2 – Multivariate Cox regression model of prognostic factors for overall and 5-yrs survival. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| **HGSOCs** | **Comparison** | **P-value** | **HR (95% CI)** | **P_{adj}** |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------|----------|
| Overall Survival | | | | |
| cluster B vs A | 0.003 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.81 | 0.03 |
| FIGO stage | 8.32 | 1.55 | 44.61 | 0.01 |
| 6q24.2–6q26 | 0.001 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.43 | 0.005 |
| FIGO stage | 10.80 | 1.67 | 69.70 | 0.01 |
| 5-yrs survival | | | | |
| cluster B vs A | 0.004 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.81 | 0.03 |
| FIGO stage | 8.29 | 1.54 | 44.75 | 0.01 |
| 6q24.2–6q26 | 0.002 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.43 | 0.005 |
| FIGO stage | 10.79 | 1.79 | 69.81 | 0.01 |

P-values in the univariate analysis calculated with log-rank test; P_{adj} as calculated in multivariate analysis with Overall Survival (OS) and 5-year survival as endpoints. FIGO stage (high, >III; low, I&II), HR, Hazard Ratio; CI, Confidence Interval, significant P-values (<0.05) for the tested variable are highlighted in bold and italics.

4. Discussion

HGSOCs remain a major cause of gynecological-related deaths and the identification of novel molecular markers that may explain the different clinical behavior of HGSOCs.
Figure 3 — Fluorescence in situ Hybridization (FISH) on tumor sections. Evaluation of the 6q24.2–26 deletion by Fluorescence in situ Hybridization (FISH) on paraffin-embedded tissue sections. Test (red) and reference (green) probes mapped to 6q25.1 and 6p21, respectively. Presence of one single red signal is indicated with an arrow. (A) Tumor from validation series showing deletion at 6q25.1. (B, C, D) Chromosome 6 array-CGH profiles (top panels) of tumors from the discovery series and corresponding FISH analysis (lower panels) confirming the presence of the deletion (B, C) and normal DNA copy number at this locus (D). Magnification: 100×.
patients is of critical importance. Novel prognostic and predictive markers may not only assist in treatment decision-making, but may eventually lead to the development of more effective therapies. DNA copy number alterations have been shown to be a hallmark of HGSOCs (TCGA, 2011) and might be potentially used as molecular predictors of patient outcomes. In this study, we have investigated whether stratification of HGSOCs on the basis of DNA copy number may delineate novel categories of tumors with different underlying biology, as defined by a distinct immunohistological pattern and more importantly, by a different clinical outcome.

Consistent with previous results from our group (Kamieniak et al., 2013) the present study indicates that DNA copy number-based clustering would not stratify HGSOCs according to their sporadic or familial condition. However, higher extent of genome loss seems to define a cluster of HGSOCs enriched in BRCA1/2 mutation carriers (and possibly BRCA-like sporadic tumors) and characterized by the features associated with those BRCA1/2 tumors, such as: greater expression of progesterone receptor (Munoz-Repeto et al., 2013), higher proliferation rate as defined here by survivin expression (Fields et al., 2004) and mutual exclusivity with CCNE1 amplification (TCGA, 2011) as reflected here by immunohistochemical staining.

Besides differences in the immunohistopathological features, the DNA copy number-defined tumor groups differed also regarding their survival outcome. Importantly, this difference was statistically significant after accounting for all specific clinicopathological features, that have a potential or already well defined effect on survival of ovarian cancer patients. Thus, the association of one of the clusters with better survival was maintained significant after adjustment for: BRCA1/2 mutation status, that is an established marker of improved overall survival and better response to platinum-based therapy (Alsop et al., 2012; Bolton et al., 2012); expression of progesterone receptor that has been associated with longer survival in HGSOCs (Sieh et al., 2013) and also after adjustment for CCNE1 expression, although its association with worse clinical outcome is not certain, once its inverse correlation with BRCA1/2 mutation status is considered (TCGA, 2011).

Examination of distinct features characterizing the cluster of better survival led us to define a specific copy number loss at 6q24.2–26 that was the alteration the most significantly associated with this cluster and the only one associated with better survival. This result was replicated in two independent series and the prognostic value of this loss was confirmed after adjustment, for all the other variables, among them for BRCA1/2 mutation status. Therefore, the association between the 6q24.2–26 loss and outcome appears to be driven by mechanisms other than those proposed to mediate the survival advantage of BRCA1/2 mutation carriers (Alsop et al., 2012; Bolton et al., 2012).

The long arm of chromosome 6 is frequently altered in many human malignances and loss of 6q24–26 has been studied as potential location for genes with a tumor suppressor role (Hayashi et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2003). However, only a very few reports have associated this loss with clinical outcome, reaching contradictory results depending on the cancer type. In most of them the deletion was associated with poor prognosis and tumor recurrence (Cui et al., 2011; Fischer et al., 2004; Letessier et al., 2007; Schwaenen et al., 2009), however there were also a few studies that indicated the favorable outcome (Dalsass et al., 2013; Monoranu et al., 2008; Pfister et al., 2009). Such inconsistent findings may be related to small study size, heterogeneity of the tumors and most importantly lack of independent validation series (Bruchim et al., 2009; Yamamoto et al., 2009). In the TCGA study the only 6q loss defined among the 50 focal losses was 6q27, but not 6q24.2–26. This might be due to different methodology and composition of the tumor series, but more importantly, 6q24.2–26 in our series was not defined based on recurrence in HGSOCs, but from the comparison of genomic alterations between tumor clusters, that were found to be associated with survival. Of interest, in a recent high-throughput data-based study, a regional loss at 6q15–q27 was defined among 8 copy number losses that significantly

### Table 4 — List of the genes from the region whose expression was associated with overall survival.

| Gene symbol | Gene name                                      | HR  | HR (95% CI) | P_adj |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----|-------------|-------|
| SLC22A2     | solute carrier family 22, member 2            | 1.34| 1.17        | 1.54  | <0.0001 |
| ARID1B      | AT rich interactive doma in 1B (SWI1-like)    | 1.18| 1.02        | 1.36  | 0.029   |
| SLC22A3     | solute carrier family 22, member 3            | 1.17| 1.01        | 1.36  | 0.040   |
| SAMDS5      | sterile alpha motif domain containing 5       | 1.17| 1.01        | 1.37  | 0.043   |
| GRM1        | glutamate receptor, metabotropic 1            | 1.16| 0.99        | 1.37  | 0.07    |
| TAB2        | TGF-beta activated kinase 1/MAP3K7 binding protein 2 | 1.13| 0.98        | 1.30  | 0.08    |
| PPIA        | peptidylprolyl isomerase (cyclophilin)-like 4 | 1.15| 0.98        | 1.35  | 0.08    |
| TIAM2       | T-cell lymphoma invasion and metastasis 2     | 1.13| 0.98        | 1.32  | 0.09    |
| AKAP12      | A kinase (PRKA) anchor protein 12             | 1.13| 0.98        | 1.31  | 0.09    |
| GTF2HS      | general transcription factor III, polypeptide 5 | 1.15| 0.98        | 1.36  | 0.10    |
| ULBP1       | UL16 binding protein 1                        | 1.12| 0.98        | 1.29  | 0.10    |
| SASH1       | SAM and SH3 domain containing 1               | 1.11| 0.98        | 1.26  | 0.10    |
| SHPRH       | SNF2 histone linker PHD RING helicase         | 1.13| 0.08        | 1.48  | 0.14    |

P_adj: P-values calculated with Cox proportional hazard model for each gene individually adjusting for cofactors (FIGO stage, age of diagnosis and BRCA1/2 mutation status), significant P-values (<0.05) are highlighted in italics and significant genes in bold; HR, Hazard Ratio; CI, Confidence Interval.
affected candidate pathways associated with chemoresistance in epithelial ovarian cancer (Gonzalez Bosquet et al., 2014).

We showed that 6q24.2–26 loss has an impact on gene expression, as evidenced by downregulation of 76% genes from the lost region and significant association of low expression of the genes from the region with longer survival. We also pointed several candidate genes that might explain the survival association.

One of the candidate genes is the DNA-binding subunit of SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex ARID1B. The presence of this gene in the complex is mutually exclusive with ARID1A, which has been found to be mutated in clear cell and endometrioid ovarian carcinomas (Wiegand et al., 2010). Among other processes, the SWI/SNF complexes are involved in double-strand break repair (Ogiwara et al., 2011; Park et al., 2009) and downregulation of some of their key components has been shown to modulate cisplatin cytotoxicity (Kothandapani et al., 2012). Therefore, it might be plausible that compromised expression of ARID1B due to the 6q24.2–26 deletion could enhance cancer cells sensitization to cytotoxic drugs and contribute to explain the association found in the present study. Similar effect might be attributed to reduced expression of other DNA-repair gene found in the region, such as the General Transcription factor IIH Polypeptide 5 (GTF2H5), which has an essential role in nucleotide excision repair (Theil et al., 2013).

In addition, loss of the SNF2 histone linker PHD RING helicase (SHPRH) that plays a crucial role in an error-free repair of stalled replication forks, caused by DNA damage, may also sensitize cells to genotoxic stress (Motegi et al., 2008).

An individual loss of the above mentioned, or of other genes in the deleted region, might indeed have an impact on patient survival; however, it seems likely that it is not just a single gene that needs to be deleted to influence tumor progression, but rather a combination of them. Moreover we should not overlook the role of non-coding DNA fragments such as regulatory elements, miRNAs or other functionally important sequences that might be completely lost within the region or whose function might be disrupted at its boundaries. Also, the possibility that the deletion might cause dysregulation of flanking genes cannot be excluded.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we have demonstrated in different tumor series, at DNA copy number and at the gene expression level, that the 6q24–26 deletion is an independent marker of favorable outcome in HGSOCs. These findings have potentially relevant clinical value, as this marker could help to guide the selection of patients, whose favorable prognosis would support the use of new treatment regimens focused on improving tolerability without jeopardizing efficacy. Importantly, DNA-based markers that can be analyzed by FISH, as we have demonstrated for this deletion, are particularly suitable for routine clinical applications due to their robustness and suitability for use with paraffin sections. In addition, the deletion, together with other emerging prognostic and predictive biomarkers in ovarian cancer, could be used to better balance patients between treatment arms in clinical trials. Future research should be dedicated to the prospective validation of this marker and further characterization of tumors that carry the deletion, as well as of defining the role of the genes in the region. This may not only offer insights into tumor biology, but may eventually lead to the development of effective targeted therapies.
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