Control of Food Spoilage Molds Using *Lactobacillus* Bacteriocins
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*Lactobacillus plantarum* MTCC 9503 and *Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCDC 291 are known bacteriocin producers. Bacteriocin produced by them was quantified. The former produced 10,000 AU/ml and the latter produced 1000 AU/ml of bacteriocin. The effect of bacteriocin preparation on spore viability, spore germination and mycelial growth of laboratory isolates *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium*, *Fusarium* and *Alternaria* was investigated. 10,000, 15,000 and 20,000 AU of bacteriocin was used for *in vitro* studies. *Plantarum* bacteriocin was more effective than acidophilus bacteriocin in reducing spore viability. Spore germination in presence of bacteriocin was observed at 12h compared to 6h in the control run. Mycelial growth was significantly reduced in presence of different concentrations of bacteriocins of both the strains.
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Conventional food preservation techniques are asepsis, removal of microorganisms, use of high temperature, use of low temperature, drying, use of chemical preservatives, irradiation and modified atmosphere packaging. Newer methods include use of high hydrostatic pressure, ozonization, high pulsed electric field, etc. They are not used industrially because of cost of processing especially because India processes a dismal 2-3% of what is produced. Also, some nutrients as water soluble vitamins are sensitive to food processing techniques. Production of ‘minimally processed food’ with little or no chemical additives is a challenge for food industry today. Bacteriocins hold promise on this account.

Bacteriocins are ribosomally synthesized proteins produced by lactic acid bacteria as well as some non-lactic acid bacteria. They are antimicrobial in nature, a property which can be exploited in food biopreservation. They are effective against food borne pathogens as well as food spoilage organisms\(^1\). This property can be exploited to make food microbiologically safe and also prevent food spoilage. Bacteriocin producer can be inoculated in the food or the antimicrobial cane be purified and used as food additive. Moreover, lactic acid bacteria are probiotic in nature which boost immunity.

One third of the food produced for human consumption is lost globally, which amounts to about 1.3 billion tons per year\(^2\). India losses nearly 40-50% of produce post-harvest due to poor infrastructure, high average temperature which favors microbial growth, etc. Microbes are major agent of food spoilage. Besides bacteria, *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium*, etc are very well documented food spoilage molds. The present work was planned to ascertain antymycotic effect of bacteriocin preparation from *L. plantarum* and *L. acidophilus* on laboratory isolates of *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium*, *Fusarium* and *Alternaria*. Effect of different concentrations of bacteriocin preparation was studied on spore viability, spore germination and fungal growth, dry weight basis.

---
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MATERIAL AND METHODS

*Lactobacillus plantarum* and *L. acidophilus* are very well known bacteriocin producers. They were the subject of the present investigation. Growth kinetics of these bacterial strains was recorded to determine the stage of growth for maximum bacteriocin production (data not shown). Bacteriocin was preparation. Antimicrobial assay using well diffusion technique was performed using bacteriocin prepared from broth cultures corresponding to different phases of growth identified earlier. Bacteriocin later quantified. Related work by the author was earlier published in which bacteriocin prepared by adsorption-desorption method.

Pure cultures of laboratory isolates causing food spoilage were prepared on potato dextrose medium and used to determine effect of bacteriocin on spore viability, spore germination and mycelial growth of these isolates. Spore viability experiment was performed using different concentrations of bacteriocin preparation were used- 10,000 AU to 20,000 AU. Spore suspension containing 10⁷ spores/ml was prepared by scrapping off spores from the surface of mold growth on agar plates using an ordinary brush. This mixture of spore suspension and bacteriocin was incubated at 25-28°C. 0.1 ml of the portion was plated every six hours for a total time period of 24h. Standard plate count (cfu) was recorded.

Effect of presence of bacteriocin on spore germination was recorded. Known number of spores were added to broth medium. Bacteriocin preparation of AU ranging from 10,000 to 20,000 were added to this spore suspension. It was vortexed for one minute. 0.15 ml was pipetted onto a sterile glass slide which was then incubated at 25-28°C for nearly 20h. The slides were examined microscopically every six hours. Direct count of 300 germinated spores per slide was recorded and percentage spore germination was calculated.

In order to study the effect of bacteriocin on mold growth, Potato Dextrose Broth was inoculated with approximately 10⁶ spores/ml of mold strain and also with active bacteriocin of concentration from 10,000 to 20,000 AU. After incubation for 72 h at 30°C the growth of the fungi was ascertained by dry weight recordings.

A control without bacteriocin was also run for these three parameters. 0.1g of commercially available Nisin was dissolved in 10 ml of 0.02M HCl and stored at -10°C was quantified using Well Diffusion Assay. Nisin was also tested for antifungal efficacy using the methods described earlier.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Bacteriocin preparation from *Lactobacillus plantarum* MTCC 9503 contained 10,000 AU/ml whereas that of *L. acidophilus* contained 1000 AU/ml. Commercially available Nisin contained 100,000 AU/ml. Antimycotic efficacy of these preparations was determined as per methods outlined above. Initially, bacteriocin concentration of 1000-5000 AU was tried for preliminary examination and found ineffective. Bacteriocin concentration of 10,000, 15,000 and 20,000 was used for all experiments. Statistical analysis of the observations was done using CPCs1.

Results of spore viability are presented in Figures 1-6. The difference in spore viability of control and trial runs increased with increase in time period of incubation. Treatment with 15,000 and 20,000 AU of Nisin resulted in decrease of spore viability of all four molds isolates- *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium*, *Fusarium* and *Alternaria*. 20,000 AU/ml of Nisin decreased spore viability of *Aspergillus* by 12%, *Alternaria* by 14.4%, *Fusarium* by 23.2% after 24h. *Penicillium* spore viability however decreased by only 3.3%. Acidophilus preparation was ineffective against *Aspergillus*. After 24 h of incubation, it decreased spore viability of *Penicillium* by 16.4%, *Fusarium* by 8%, *Alternaria* by only 3.8% at a concentration of 20,000 AU. Plantarum preparation was more effective in decreasing spore viability of all four molds. 15,000 AU decreased *Aspergillus* spore viability by 18.7%, *Penicillium* by 21.2%, *Fusarium* by 16.9% and *Alternaria* by 8.7%. 20,000 AU was expectantly more effective in *Aspergillus* and *Fusarium*. This benefit was marginally higher in *Penicillium* and *Alternaria*. 10,000 AU of plantarum bacteriocin was more effective than 20,000 AU/ml of acidophilus bacteriocin.

Bacteriocin demonstrated their ability to delay spore germination in *in vitro* studies. Significant decrease in spore germination was
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Fig. 6. Effect of bacteriocin on spore viability of *Fusarium*
observed in test run as compared to the control. Spore germination of all four molds was observed after 6h of incubation in the control run. Treatment with 20,000 Nisin delayed it to 12h. Plantarum bacteriocin at 15,000 AU and 20,000 AU delayed spore germination to 12h. Significant decrease was observed at 10,000 AU but only after 24h of incubation. Acidophilus bacteriocin at 20,000 AU delayed spore germination to 12h only in *Fusarium* and *Alternaria*. As in spore viability observations, planatrum preparation was more effective than acidophilus.

The effect of bacteriocin from *L. plantarum* and *L. acidophilus* on fungal growth was determined and the results are presented in Table 5-8. The growth was recorded on 4th and 8th day in terms of dry weight measurements dry weight measurements. Significant reduction in growth of all molds was recorded at both four days and eight days of incubation using CPCS1 statistical software.

After eight days of incubation 10,000 AU of Nisin reduced growth of *Aspergillus* by 27%, *Penicillium* by 26%, *Fusarium* by 22% and *Alternaria* by 23%. *Aspergillus* growth was reduced to a greater extent after only four days by both plantarum and acidophilus bacteriocins. The extent of reduction was however less at eighth day in incubation. Plantarum reduced *Penicillium* growth by 28% (15,000 AU) and 39% (20,000 AU); *Fusarium* by 27% (15,000AU) and 32% (20,000AU); *Alternaria* by 20% (10,000AU), 35% (15,000AU) and 34% (20,000AU) at eighth day of incubation. 20%, 24% reduction in dry weight of *Aspergillus* was observed using 10,000 and 15,000 AU of acidophilus bacteriocin respectively. Similarly, growth reduction was observed in *Fusarium* and *Alternaria*. Growth of all the four

### Table 1. Effect of bacteriocin on % spore germination in *Aspergillus*

| Time (h) | *L. plantarum* (AU) | *L. acidophilus* (AU) | Nisin (AU) | Control |
|----------|---------------------|----------------------|------------|---------|
|          | 10,000              | 15,000               | 20,000     | 10,000  | 15,000 | 20,000 | 10,000 | 15,000 | 20,000 | 10,000 | 15,000 | 20,000 |
| 0        | 0                   | 0                    | 0          | 0       | 0      | 0      | 0       | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      |
| 6        | 20                  | 0                    | 0          | 0       | 0      | 0      | 0       | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      |
| 12       | 36                  | 30                   | 24         | 45      | 47     | 39     | 40      | 20     | 32     | 53     |
| 18       | 48                  | 42                   | 34         | 61      | 71     | 49     | 31      | 34     | 28     | 73     |

### Table 2. Effect of bacteriocin on % spore germination in *Penicillium*

| Time (h) | *L. plantarum* (AU) | *L. acidophilus* (AU) | Nisin (AU) | Control |
|----------|---------------------|----------------------|------------|---------|
|          | 10,000              | 15,000               | 20,000     | 10,000  | 15,000 | 20,000 | 10,000 | 15,000 | 20,000 | 10,000 | 15,000 | 20,000 |
| 0        | 0                   | 0                    | 0          | 0       | 0      | 0      | 0       | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      |
| 6        | 13                  | 0                    | 0          | 21      | 20     | 20     | 21      | 19     | 15     | 0      | 23     |
| 12       | 36                  | 46                   | 24         | 43      | 36     | 35     | 24      | 35     | 27     | 61     |
| 18       | 46                  | 51                   | 36         | 54      | 40     | 48     | 46      | 39     | 31     | 79     |

### Table 3. Effect of bacteriocin on % spore germination in *Fusarium*

| Time (h) | *L. plantarum* (AU) | *L. acidophilus* (AU) | Nisin (AU) | Control |
|----------|---------------------|----------------------|------------|---------|
|          | 10,000              | 15,000               | 20,000     | 10,000  | 15,000 | 20,000 | 10,000 | 15,000 | 20,000 | 10,000 | 15,000 | 20,000 |
| 0        | 0                   | 0                    | 0          | 0       | 0      | 0      | 0       | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      |
| 6        | 34                  | 0                    | 0          | 29      | 28     | 27     | 16      | 21     | 12     | 51     |
| 12       | 44                  | 46                   | 47         | 46      | 37     | 39     | 31      | 38     | 11     | 70     |
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mold genera was inhibited up to 42% by using 20,000 AU of bacteriocin which demonstrates their ability to restrict fungal spoilage.

Bacteriocin activity to inhibit bacterial strains is very well documented. Utility of these antimicrobials as antifungal agents has also been reported by many workers. *Lactobacillus acidophilus*’ antifungal activity was reported\(^1\text{1-13}\). Strains of *L plantarum* designated as DW1, DW3 and DW4 successfully inhibited growth of yeast as *Rhodotorula, Candida, Hansenula, Pichia, Saccharomyces*\(^1\text{4}\). Combination of these two lactic acid bacterial strains influenced growth of *Aspergillus flavus*\(^1\text{3}\). Actively growing cells were however more effective than antimicrobial preparation. Fermented food isolates have

### Table 4. Effect of bacteriocin on % spore germination in *Alternaria*

| Time(h) | *L plantarum* (AU) | *L acidophilus* (AU) | Nisin (AU) | Control |
|---------|-------------------|---------------------|------------|---------|
|         | 10,000            | 15,000              | 20,000     | 10,000  | 15,000 | 20,000 |
| 0       | 0                  | 0                   | 0          | 0       | 0      | 0      |
| 6       | 23                 | 0                   | 0          | 31      | 19     | 0      |
| 12      | 32                 | 44                  | 41         | 42      | 33     | 21     |
| 18      | 49                 | 46                  | 43         | 49      | 41     | 32     |

**Fig. 7.** Effect of Nisin on spore viability of *Alternaria*

**Fig. 8.** Effect of bacteriocin on spore viability of *Alternaria*
### Table 5. *Aspergillus* growth in presence of bacteriocin (dry weight basis)

| Time (days) | L. plantarum (AU) | L. acidophilus (AU) | Nisin (AU) | Control |
|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|---------|
| 4           | 2.10±0.11         | 2.07±0.04          | 1.99±0.07  | 2.12±0.06 | 1.55±0.23 | 3.56±0.21 |
| 8           | 2.92±0.03         | 3.10±0.05          | 2.86±0.05  | 2.84±0.05 | 2.62±0.05 | 3.89±0.06 |

### Table 6. *Penicillium* growth in presence of bacteriocin (dry weight basis)

| Time (days) | L. plantarum (AU) | L. acidophilus (AU) | Nisin (AU) | Control |
|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|---------|
| 4           | 2.27±0.03         | 2.37±0.03          | 1.96±0.03  | 2.06±0.03 | 1.90±0.05 | 1.68±0.05 | 2.48±0.04 |
| 8           | 2.81±0.06         | 3.09±0.05          | 2.43±0.00  | 2.90±0.05 | 2.42±0.02 | 2.43±0.02 | 3.90±0.04 |

### Table 7. *Fusarium* growth in presence of bacteriocin (dry weight basis)

| Time (days) | L. plantarum (AU) | L. acidophilus (AU) | Nisin (AU) | Control |
|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|---------|
| 4           | 1.22±0.01         | 1.38±0.03          | 1.16±0.03  | 1.21±0.05 | 1.55±0.03 |
| 8           | 1.52±0.01         | 1.94±0.05          | 1.62±0.03  | 1.69±0.01 | 2.07±0.03 |
demonstrated antifungal activity. Lactic acid bacteria were isolated from Eko, Fufu, Iru and Ogi. 68% of the isolates possessed antifungal activity against Penicillium citrinum, Aspergillus niger and Aspergillus flavus. Spore germination as well as mycelial growth were both inhibited.

Bacteriocin like peptide pentocin TV35b, produced by Lactobacillus pentosus had a fungistatic effect. Penicillium expansum IDM/FS2 and Fusarium graminearum IDM 623 were inhibited by Lactobacillus sp, Leuconostoc sp. Lactobacillus plantarum CGMCC 11856 was reported to inhibit Aspergillus flavus spore viability. Antifungal activity of Lactobacillus sp, Leuconostoc sp has been reported by other workers as well. Effect of Lactobacillus on fungal spore germination of Aspergillus. Percentage spore germination in control was recorded to be 80% compared to 48% in presence of bacteriocin.

Bacteriocins can be an important tool in production of 'minimally processed food' which contain little or no use of chemical additives. They do not cause allergies. Being probiotic in nature lactic acid bacteria aid in maintaining gut microflora. Application of bacteriocin to prevent mold growth can prevent spoilage of food and counter the problem of mycotoxin production in food. Bacteriocins can ensure food safety. Despite the many benefits extended there is little industrial use of bacteriocins. Major deterrents include low yield and high cost of down-stream processing. Increasing gene dosage of genetic determinants of bacteriocins can enhance fermentative production. Legal and biosafety hurdles must be dealt with to facilitate their safe commercial use in food and also feed.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Despite discovery of newer methods of food processing there are many challenges for the food processing industry. One of them is substitution of chemical additives which are associated with increased incidence of food allergies. Bacteriocins can play this role as biopreservatives. Bacteriocin producing Lactobacillus plantarum and Lactobacillus acidophilus were both used in the present study to ascertain their antifungal efficacy. Plantarum bacteriocin was more effective
compared to acidophilus bacteriocin preparation. Spore viability, spore germination and mycelial growth were all inhibited at higher concentration of 15,000 AU in in vitro studies. Further work on enhancing their antimicrobial spectrum must be pursued. Alternatively, bacteriocins can be used as a component in hurdle concept of food preservation
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