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Abstract

Background: In Japan, the effect of education using the leaflet ‘Discipline Without Tough Love’ on mothers during caring for their infants have been reported. We examined the effect of this education on pregnant Japanese women.

Methods: The present study was a prospective investigation of all Japanese women with singleton pregnancies who visited our hospital for a perinatal visit at 20-23 weeks' gestation between November 2017 and March 2018 and gave birth at ≥ 37 weeks' gestation at our hospital. We examined the maternal feelings (bonding situation) to babies of women who received the leaflet in comparison with that in women who did not receive it during the health check-up performed routinely at one month after delivery using the Japanese version of the Mother-to-Infant Bonding Scale.

Results: There were no significant differences in maternal feelings for their babies between the two groups of women.

Conclusions: We did not identify any effect of health consultations cased on ‘Discipline Without Tough Love' during pregnancy on maternal feelings toward babies at one month after delivery. A further study to enlighten pregnant women about parenting without corporal punishment will be needed in Japan.

Registration: Japan Registry of Clinical Trials 1030190112; registered on 5 October 2019.
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Introduction
Children can adapt themselves and their relationship with their caregivers (parents) in a way incomparable to any other stage of life. If children’s environment is negatively influenced, development of their brain may be impaired1–4. For example, Tomoda et al.2–4 observed that exposure to parental verbal abuse or interparental violence during childhood, leading to ‘multiple forms of childhood maltreatment’, is associated with the incidence of abnormality of the brain structure and/or mental disorders, such as reactive attachment disorders. Although whether spanking is helpful or harmful to children had continued to be a source of considerable debate, meta-analyses focused specifically on spanking representing about 160,000 children by Gershoff and Grogan-Kaylor5 indicated a link between spanking and an increased risk of detrimental child outcomes. In 2016, the rate of Japanese women mistreating their children was reported to be 6–37%6.

Based on this background, in 2016 the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare conducted an enlightenment program to help avoid improper childrearing as ‘Discipline Without Tough Love: Strategy Of Zero Tough Love’ using the leaflet shown in Figure 16. In the leaflet, some of the text for parents is as follows: (1) do not use corporal punishment or ranting for parenting, (2) minimize irritated feelings and send out an ‘SOS’, and (3) support the growth of children while considering children’s emotions and behavior separately because children cannot express an ‘SOS’ by themselves, even if they fear their parents. In Japan, some regional reports concerning the effect of education using the leaflet on mothers during caring for their infants have already been published7. Although it has been considered that the gap between the ideal situation and reality of child rearing in women occurs mainly after childbirth, maternal mental and social conditions during pregnancy are now considered important factors associated with the possibility of subsequent child abuse8.

In the current study, therefore, we examined the effect of education using the leaflet ‘Discipline Without Tough Love’ on Japanese women during pregnancy.

Methods
Ethical issues
This study was conducted after receiving approval from the ethics committee of the Japanese Red Cross Katsushika Maternity Hospital (2017-002). This study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent from each participant was obtained before enrolment. Blinding was performed in the clinicians responsible for one-month postpartum care.

Trial background
The present study was a prospective investigation of all Japanese women with singleton pregnancies who visited our hospital for a perinatal visit at 20–23 weeks’ gestation between November 2017 and March 2018 and delivered a healthy

Figure 1. Cover of the leaflet ‘discipline without tough love: strategy of zero tough love’. The commentaries are as follows: Parents sometimes may get irritated with their children’s attitude during childrearing. Parents sometimes may want to slap or shout at their children. At first glance, the acts of slapping and/or shouting may seem to be effective, but the children usually cannot understand why they were slapped or shouted at. In such situations, the children usually listen to their parents out of fear. Even if your intention regarding hitting and/or shouting is ‘tough love’ at the beginning, the acts may escalate to become abuse unintentionally. Let’s avoid ‘tough love’ leading to corporal punishment or verbal abuse. Let’s keep your emotions hidden from children to raise them healthily.
neonate at \( \geq 37 \) weeks’ gestation at our hospital as a result. In this study, we excluded women with perinatal complications such as preterm delivery, low-birth-weight infant, and neonatal asphyxia requiring neonatal admission. This was because individual and important mental health care is needed for mothers whose babies have health problems. When this study was planned, the required sample size was 762 participants for 90% power based on a previous observation in Japan; the trial recruited 882 participants. In our institute, midwives carry out three health consultations during pregnancy to support the healthy lives of pregnant women. These consultations are at about 8–11, 20–23, and 34–36 weeks’ gestation. During the study period, at the second health consultation at 20–23 weeks’ gestation, the responsible midwife handed the leaflet directly to the pregnant women randomly selected with the comment of ‘a leaflet concerning the things to keep in mind for healthy childrearing after delivery’. In detail, pregnant women were randomly assigned to the two groups (with and without the leaflet) early in the morning on the day of health consultations using a web-based randomization system (Research Randomizer) stratified by parity (primipara vs. multipara) according to the computer-generated randomization code. We ensured their voluntary participation by allowing them the freedom not to receive leaflets. The midwives handed the leaflet with explanations what was written. That is, they explained about the child discipline to the pregnant women in the intervention group actively from them; however, we explained to the women in the control group only when asked. We conducted the random assignment after obtaining the women’s consent. Unfortunately, however, we did not check whether or not the women in the intervention group actually read the leaflet.

**Variables assessed**

In this study, we examined the maternal feelings (bonding situation) toward babies of women who received the leaflet in comparison with that in women who did not receive it during the routine health check-up performed one month after delivery using the Japanese version of Mother-to-Infant Bonding Scale (MIBS-J), which is a simple self-administrated questionnaire designed to detect problems with a mother’s feelings towards her newborn baby. MIBS-J has been demonstrated to be acceptable reliability and reasonable construct validity concerning affection and anger/rejection in Japanese postpartum women. If a score is higher than 3, there is a possibility that the mother will have problems regarding feelings for her baby. If at least one of the third and fifth questions of MIBS-J (third question: feel resentful toward my baby; fifth question: feel angry toward my baby) is \( \geq 1 \), the possibility of feeling-related problems also existed.

The items recorded as obstetric and perinatal characteristics that may affect the results were as follows: maternal age, parity, history of abortion, history of infertility treatment, economic problems, results of the modified Violence Against Women Screen (VAWS), results of the Whooley questions during the early pregnancy, presence or absence of participation in a parents class, and delivery modes. As a general rule in our institute, whether or not to participate in the parents’ class is decided early in the pregnancy.

The modified VAWS is a Japanese screening instrument for intimate partner violence (IPV) to identify pregnant women who have experienced abuse based on scores using a 3-point Likert scale. The total score ranges from 0–9; a score higher than 2 is positive for IPV. Whooley questions comprise a screening instrument for depression in the general adult population including pregnant and postpartum women. If at least one of the two questions is ‘yes’, we diagnose the woman with depressive symptom.

If a pregnant woman was supported by the hospitalization assistance policy (HAP) system of the Japanese Child Welfare Government, we defined her as having economic problems. The HAP system assists with delivery costs. The main objectives of the HAP system are to help pregnant women who: 1) receive livelihood protection because they are unable to maintain minimum living standards due to poverty, 2) live in households exempt from residence tax, and 3) live in households in which the income tax is less than ¥8,400 (=about $80 US) per year. In this study, the diagnosis of depression was performed by Japanese psychiatric specialists.

The parents’ class in our institute is a participatory group offering guidance in which pregnant women can learn about pregnancy, childbirth, and child rearing together with their partners.

In Japan, women without obstetric complications can freely select their birthing facility even at late pregnancy. Our institute is one of the major perinatal centers in Tokyo, Japan (about 2,000 deliveries per year); however, medical care by psychiatrists is not carried out. Therefore, almost all pregnant women complicated by depression receive psychotherapy in nearby psychiatric clinics. Neonatal asphyxia was defined as a neonatal Apgar score at 1 or 5 min of < 7. Postpartum hemorrhage was defined as an estimated blood loss of \( \geq 1,000 \) mL. In most cases, the gestational age was defined based on ultrasonography at 9–11 weeks of gestation. In cases with a delayed first visit, the gestational age was confirmed based on Neonatal Neurological Assessment.

**Outcomes**

The primary end point of was the effect of the leaflet ‘Discipline Without Tough -Love’ on the score of the MIBS-J in mothers at one month after delivery.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are expressed as the number (percentage). SPSS Statistics software version 20 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analyses. A chi-square test was used for categorical data, and a \( p \)-value < 0.05 was considered significant. Logistic regression analysis was used to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs).
Results

Study and participant background

Figure 2 shows a flow diagram for the study. During the study period, 882 pregnant women visited our hospital for a perinatal visit and received the midwives’ health consultations at 20–23 weeks’ gestation. Ultimately, a randomized prospective study was conducted involving 334 women who received the consultations with the leaflet of ‘discipline without tough love’ and 320 women who received the standard consultations without the leaflet as a control group.

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the two groups of women who examined their maternal feelings at one month after delivery using the MIBS-J as follows: those who received the consultations with the ‘discipline without tough love’ leaflet (n = 334), and those who received the standard consultations without the leaflet (n = 320). As shown in Table 1, there were no significant differences in the clinical characteristics such as maternal age, parity, history of infertility treatment, the rate of having economic problems, the rate of positive of the modified VAWS or the Whooley’s question, performed at the first trimester of pregnancy between the two groups. Table 2 shows the delivery modes of the two groups. There were no significant differences in the delivery modes between the two groups. These indicate that the randomization of this study was performed equally effectively as a result. Individual-level results are available as Underlying data24.

Outcomes

Table 3 shows the results of maternal feelings for their babies at one month after delivery using the MIBS-J in the two groups as follows: those who received the consultations with the leaflet of ‘discipline without tough love’, and those who received the standard consultations without the leaflet. As shown in Table 3, there were no significant differences in the rate of score ≥ 3, or yes for the third or the fifth question of the MIBS-J indicating problems with maternal feelings between the two groups.

The prevalence of women showing problems with maternal feelings in the control group was 15%. It is estimated that a definitive trial powered to detect the same difference in prevalence would require approximately 556 patients equally divided into the current two groups (two-tailed α = 0.05, β = 0.2). Therefore, the sample size of the current observation would be sufficient. There indicate that the awareness of ‘discipline without tough love’ through the leaflet during pregnancy was not very effective for the maternal feelings at one month after delivery.

Figure 2. Flow diagram of the study.
Table 1. Characteristics of the two groups of women who examined their maternal feelings at one month after delivery using the Japanese version of Mother-to-Infant Bonding Scale (MIBS-J). The groups consist of those who received consultations with the leaflet of ‘discipline without tough love’ (n = 334), and those received standard consultations without the leaflet (n = 320).

|                      | Consultations with the leaflet | Standard consultations (control group) | P-value |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|
| Total                | 334                           | 320                                    |         |
| Maternal age         |                               |                                        |         |
| <20 years            | 4 (1%)                        | 3 (1%)                                 | 1.00    |
| 20–34 years          | 229 (69%)                     | 212 (66%)                              | -       |
| ≥35 years            | 101 (30%)                     | 105 (33%)                              | 0.50    |
| Nulliparity          |                               |                                        |         |
| Yes                  | 169 (51%)                     | 167 (52%)                              | 0.70    |
| No                   | 165 (49%)                     | 153 (48%)                              | -       |
| History of abortion  |                               |                                        |         |
| No                   | 309 (91%)                     | 292 (91%)                              | -       |
| Yes                  | 25 (8%)                       | 28 (9%)                                | 0.57    |
| History of infertility treatment |             |                                        |         |
| No                   | 330 (93%)                     | 291 (91%)                              | -       |
| Yes                  | 23 (7%)                       | 29 (9%)                                | 0.25    |
| Economic problems    |                               |                                        |         |
| No                   | 314 (94%)                     | 305 (95%)                              | -       |
| Yes                  | 20 (6%)                       | 15 (5%)                                | 0.49    |
| Positive for modified VAWS |                           |                                        |         |
| No                   | 319 (96%)                     | 299 (93%)                              | -       |
| Yes                  | 15 (4%)                       | 21 (7%)                                | 0.30    |
| Positive for Whooley questions |                      |                                        |         |
| No                   | 275 (82%)                     | 269 (84%)                              | -       |
| Yes                  | 59 (18%)                      | 51 (16%)                               | 0.60    |
| Participation in parents' class |                   |                                        |         |
| No                   | 312 (93%)                     | 305 (95%)                              | -       |
| Yes                  | 22 (7%)                       | 15 (5%)                                | 0.31    |

Violence Against Women Screen.
Table 2. Delivery modes of the two groups of women who examined their maternal feelings at one month after delivery using the Japanese version of Mother-to-Infant Bonding Scale (MIBS-J). The groups consist of those who received consultations with the leaflet of ‘discipline without tough love’ (n = 334), and those received standard consultations without the leaflet (n = 320).

| Consultations with the leaflet | Standard consultations (control group) | P-value |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|
| Total                         | 334                                    | 320     |         |
| Delivery modes                |                                        |         |         |
| Spontaneous delivery          | 248 (74%)                              | 220 (69%)| -       |
| Instrumental delivery         | 16 (5%)                                | 23 (7%) | 0.18    |
| Cesarean section              | 70 (21%)                               | 77 (24%)| 0.26    |

CI, confidence interval.

Table 3. Results of maternal feelings for their babies at one month after delivery using the Japanese version of Mother-to-Infant Bonding Scale (MIBS-J) in the two groups. The groups consist of those who received consultations with the leaflet of ‘discipline without tough love’ (n = 334), and those received standard consultations without the leaflet (n = 320).

|                         | Consultations with the leaflet | Standard consultations (control group) | P-value | Odds ratio | 95% CI  |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|------------|---------|
| Total                   | 334                            | 320                                    |         |            |         |
| No problems             | 275 (82%)                      | 271 (85%)                              | Reference | 1         |         |
| Possibility of problems|                                |                                        |         |            |         |
| Total                   | 59 (18%)                       | 49 (15%)                               | 0.46    | 1.19       | 0.79-1.8|
| Score ≥ 3*              | 53 (16%)                       | 45 (14%)                               | 0.51    | 1.16       | 0.76-1.8|
| Yes for the third question* | 22 (7%)                      | 17 (5%)                                | 0.51    | 1.26       | 0.67-2.4|
| Yes for the fifth question* | 16 (5%)                     | 12 (4%)                                | 0.56    | 1.31       | 0.62-2.8|

CI, confidence interval.

*Total score and the answer for the third and fifth question of the Japanese version of Mother-to-Infant Bonding Scale (MIBS-J).

Discussion

Unfortunately, in the current study we could not identify any effect of our health consultations with the ‘discipline without tough love’ leaflet at 20–23 weeks’ gestation on maternal feelings for babies at one month after delivery in pregnant Japanese women, although the effect of education using the leaflet on mothers during childcare of their infants was observed based on some regional reports in Japan. Because the current study is the first trial of the guidance concerning ‘discipline without tough love’ with the leaflet during pregnancy in Japan, a consideration of the guidance methods and/or the leaflet itself may be necessary in the future. However, the period of pregnancy may be too early to teach mothers about ideal childrearing. Alternatively, the timing of one month after delivery may have been too early to assess the problems with maternal feelings toward children. In Japan, the percentage of mothers guilty of child mistreatment has been reported to be about 37% with children aged 3 years old, but only 6% with children of 3–4 months. Therefore, the pregnant Japanese women may not be possible to imagine that they may abuse their children.
As mentioned in the Introduction, even ‘tough love’ such as rough words and/or striking will traumatize children, even when used as discipline. Therefore, we hope to spread enlightenment to avoid ‘discipline with tough love’. Based on these goals, the current study was performed; however, we did not obtain the expected results. We will perform further studies to enlighten pregnant women about using ‘discipline without tough love’ in Japan.

We understand that there are some limitations in this study. A first limitation relates to the duration of the trial; the long-term impact of the leaflet cannot be addressed. Because, child abuse had been observed to increase with the age of children. Therefore, further additional studies with long-term follow-up periods may be needed such as a study at two years after delivery using the MIBS-J. In this study, the intervention was carried out as planned; however, the subjects could not be blinded by receiving the leaflet. In addition, some regional differences of the number of child neglect and emotional abuse have been observed between urban areas and other areas in Japan. For example, child neglect has been discovered higher in urban areas. Therefore, the same results may not be able to be expected in other Japanese institutes.

In this study, unfortunately we did not check whether or not the women in the intervention group actually read the leaflet.

However, in conclusion we could not identify an effect of health consultations concerning ‘discipline without tough love’ during pregnancy on maternal feelings for babies at one month after delivery.

Data availability

Underlying data

Figshare: Data for ‘How to promote ‘discipline without tough-love’ during pregnancy’. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.10259672.v1.

This project contains data on maternal characteristics and effect of the leaflet on maternal feeling at one month after delivery.

Reporting guidelines

CONSORT checklist for ‘How to promote ‘discipline without tough love’ during pregnancy’. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.10308275.v1.

Underlying data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero “No rights reserved” data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

The completed CONSORT checklist is available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC-BY 4.0).
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