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ABSTRACT: It was recently reported, that heterostructures of \textit{para}-hexaphenyl (p-6P) and \textit{\alpha}-sexithiophene (6T) deposited on muscovite mica exhibit the intriguing possibility to prepare lasing nanofibers of tunable emission wavelength. For p-6P/6T heterostructures, two different types of 6T emission have been observed, namely, the well-known red emission of bulk 6T crystals and additionally a green emission connected to the interface between p-6P and 6T. In this study, the origin of the green fluorescence is investigated by photoelectron spectroscopy (PES). As a prerequisite, it is necessary to prepare structurally similar organic crystals on a conductive surface, which leads to the choice of highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) as a substrate. The similarity between p-6P/6T heterostructures on muscovite mica and on HOPG is evidenced by X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning force microscopy (SFM), and optical spectroscopy. PES measurements show that the interface between p-6P and 6T crystals is sharp on a molecular level without any sign of interface dipole formation or chemical interaction between the molecules. We therefore conclude that the different emission colors of the two 6T phases are caused by different types of molecular aggregation.

INTRODUCTION

During the last few years, the self-assembly of ordered structures on the nanoscale has been recognized as a key issue in nanotechnology, opening novel perspectives for device applications. 1–4 However, the development of structures that are confined in one or two dimensions remains a challenge that stimulates the scientific community to study and model the growth of various nanostructures, including nanowires, 5,6 quantum dots, 7,8 and nanocrystals. 9,10

In addition to applications of inorganic nanostructures in nanomedicine, 11,12 and nanoelectronics, 13,14 it was found that organic self-assembled nanostructures offer novel perspectives for device applications including optical sensors, waveguides, and laser sources. 15–18 A recent example is parallel para-hexaphenyl (p-6P) nanofibers that are prepared by vacuum deposition on muscovite mica substrates (sketched in Figure 1a), showing strong polarized blue fluorescence, waveguiding, and random lasing if excited by UV radiation. 16,18,19 The formation of parallel nanofibers based on rodlike molecules is linked to the epitaxial alignment of their long molecular axis (LMA) with respect to the mirror axis of the muscovite mica substrate surface. 20 If the LMA is not perpendicular or parallel

Figure 1. Sketch of self-assembled nanofibers prepared by vacuum deposition on muscovite mica. (a) Parallel fibers consisting of p-6P molecules. (b) Nonparallel fibers consisting of 6T molecules. (c) p-6P/6T heteroepitaxial fibers prepared by the deposition of 6T molecules on top of p-6P template fibers. 6T forms two different structures: an interface layer (green) and bulk crystallites (red). (d) Detailed view of the p-6P/6T interface showing the adaptation of the herringbone arrangement. 29,31
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to the surface mirror axis, then multiple fiber directions form as a result of a doubling of energetically equivalent adsorption geometries. Therefore, the preparation of parallel self-assembled nanofibers with significantly different optical properties (i.e., emitting in the visible green or red region) is not possible via simply replacing the molecular building blocks because the adsorption geometry is likewise altered. As sketched in Figure 1b for the case of sexithiophene (6T), such a strategy typically leads to multiple fiber directions and crossing points, which reduces the length of the waveguides and, consequently, the accessible optical gain within the structures.

Heteroepitaxy of organic molecules can provide a solution to this problem because it influences the nucleation and ordering of organic adsorbate layers by the exploitation of templating effects. For p-6P fibers, it was demonstrated that the emission spectrum can be tuned without disturbing the advantageous morphology and structure of the self-assembled nanofibers. P-6P molecules are deposited on muscovite mica to prepare parallel crystalline structures, which act as templates for 6T molecules deposited subsequently (schematically depicted in Figure 1c). The LMAs of 6T and p-6P molecules align parallel, and an adaptation of the specific herringbone packing of the two molecular species is observed.

Remarkably, the photoluminescence spectrum of such heterostructures comprises not only blue p-6P and red 6T emission but also an additional contribution in the green spectral regime, which is related to the interface layer. 6T molecules that are in direct contact with the p-6P crystals exhibit strikingly different optical properties as compared to bulk 6T crystals nucleating on top of p-6P fibers, with the green interfacial emission being significantly stronger than the red emission of bulk 6T. This finding motivated the use of multilayer structures of p-6P/6T to increase the green 6T contribution to the emission spectrum enabling random lasing in the green spectral regime.

Here, we aim to identify and understand the origin of green 6T emission by investigating the electronic structure of the p-6P/6T interface using photoelectron spectroscopy (PES) because this technique is highly surface-sensitive and therefore ideal for studying submonolayer coverage as in the case of the evolution of the p-6P/6T interface layer. In addition, chemical interactions between molecules can be studied with high precision, which allows us to answers the following questions: (1) Does intermixing of the two molecular species occur, leading to 6T molecules embedded in a p-6P matrix, which provides a significantly different environment compared to that of bulk, crystalline 6T? (2) Are additional interfacial electronic states present as a result of a strong interaction between p-6P and 6T molecules?

For PES measurements, electrically conductive substrates are indispensable to avoiding charging issues, which render the insulator muscovite mica an inappropriate substrate. However, to ensure the comparability of the results, an alternative substrate should allow p-6P and 6T crystallites to grow nanoneedles with the same contact planes as on muscovite mica (i.e., (111)_{p-6P}, (112)_{p-6P}, and (411)_{6T}). Unfortunately, metal surfaces cannot be used because strong molecule–substrate interactions lead to the stabilization of different contact planes. A substrate that is conductive and exhibits a highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG). Consequently, HOPG represents an ideal model system to replace muscovite mica in order to study the organic–organic interface properties by PES.

In this article, we first provide evidence by X-ray diffraction (XRD) that p-6P/6T crystals deposited on HOPG indeed exhibit similar crystal phases and contact planes as on muscovite mica. Subsequently, the morphological and optical properties of p-6P/6T bilayers on HOPG are investigated by scanning force microscopy (SFM) and fluorescence microscopy. Finally, the interface energy levels of p-6P/6T heterostructures are investigated by ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS).

**STRUCTURAL INVESTIGATIONS**

XRD measurements were carried out to study the growth of p-6P and 6T on HOPG; a sketch of the samples is shown in Figure 2a.

![Figure 2a](image)

**Figure 2.** (a) Sketch of the sample structures including the symbols used for the indication of p-6P (gray circle), 6T (gray square), and HOPG (black circle) diffraction peaks. (b) Specular XRD of p-6P (i), 6T (ii), and p-6P/6T (iii) deposited on HOPG. Arrows and dashed lines indicate the peak positions for (111)_{p-6P}, (112)_{p-6P}, (203)_{p-6P}, (411)_{6T}, (020)_{6T}, and (002)_{HOPG} respectively; curves are shifted vertically for clarity.

p-6P (60 nm) was deposited on HOPG in the first step. The corresponding specular diffraction pattern is depicted in Figure 2b(i) as a function of the vertical component of the scattering vector (q_z) and shows four distinct peaks. The most intense reflection at q_z = 1.87 Å⁻¹ is assigned to the (002) peak of the HOPG substrate. The peaks at q_z = 1.38, 1.42, and 1.63 Å⁻¹ can be assigned to the (111), (112), and (203) diffraction peaks of the p-6P β-phase, respectively. All three observed crystal orientations of p-6P originate from flat-lying molecules, and the more intense ones [(111) and (112)] are likewise present on HGW-cleaved films on mica. Note that when taking the respective structure factors into account, (203) is clearly the minority species in the film.

In the next step, 6T (400 nm) was deposited on HOPG and analyzed by specular XRD. The spectrum in Figure 2b(ii) shows the presence of two reflections assigned to 6T in its so-called low-temperature (LT) phase. The diffraction patterns can be well explained by crystals with (411) and (010) contact planes observed at q_z = 1.42 and 1.60 Å⁻¹, respectively. Both crystal configurations are characteristic of flat-lying 6T molecules on the substrate surface, which agrees well with earlier reports from high-resolution electron energy loss spectroscopy. No indications for upright-standing molecules...
are observed, which would be typically represented by a (100) contact plane.\textsuperscript{22}

In a final step, 6T/p-6P/HOPG heterostructures were fabricated by preparing a nominally 60-nm-thick p-6P template. Subsequently, 6T was deposited without breaking the vacuum by choosing the same growth parameters as used for the preparation of the pure 6T film; the corresponding specular XRD data is shown in Figure 2b(iii). The presence of p-6P crystallites in the β phase is confirmed, and all p-6P crystal orientations (as discussed for pure 6P on HOPG) are observed. From 6T, only a small contribution of the (4 11 1\textsubscript{6T}) orientation is observed (seen as a shoulder at lower q\textsubscript{||} next to the (1 1 2\textsubscript{p-6P}) peak), whereas crystallites with a (0 1 0\textsubscript{6T}) orientation are absent. To verify the orientation of 6T, grazing-incidence reciprocal space maps (RSM) were recorded, which fully confirms our assignment (Supporting Information).

In summary, XRD provides a consistent picture that shows that p-6P crystallizes in the β phase whereas 6T molecules pack in their so-called LT phase. Both p-6P and 6T form multiple crystal orientations on HOPG, which all are indicative of flat-lying molecules with respect to the substrate surface. The molecular orientations of both the pure compounds and the heterostructures on HOPG are fully in line with what is observed for mica. As a consequence, HOPG seem to be perfectly suitable for studying the p-6P/6T interface with PES methods by analogy to mica.

### MORPHOLOGY AND OPTICAL CHARACTERIZATION

Fluorescence microscopy represents an excellent method for combining morphological and optical investigations. By using an ultraviolet (UV) light source, the organic thin films are excited such that we can study their spatially resolved fluorescence. As indicated in Figure 3, a 60-nm-thick p-6P template layer was grown by HWE on HOPG as the first step (i) and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy showing strong blue emission. The photoluminescence (PL) spectra are consistent with literature data obtained for p-6P nanofibers on mica substrates.\textsuperscript{18} The corresponding micrograph presented in Figure 3i reveals a netlike structure of p-6P nanofibers, which homogeneously cover the HOPG surface. This is consistent with our structural analysis because p-6P tends to form needlelike structures with (1 1 1\textsubscript{p-6P}) and (1 1 2\textsubscript{p-6P}) textures.\textsuperscript{29,33} The low azimuthal anisotropy, in comparison to that of parallel-aligned p-6P nanofibers on mica, is attributed to the hexagonal substrate surface unit cell and to the fiber texture of HOPG.

Consequently, p-6P nanofibers can be expected to show random azimuthal orientations on a macroscopic length scale, leading to the formation of a netlike sample morphology.

In step ii, nominally 0.4 nm 6T was deposited on the p-6P/HOPG template; the 6T layer thickness corresponds to approximately one monolayer (ML). As is clearly evident from the PL spectrum (green line in Figure 3), the fluorescence emission of the sample changes significantly. Beside the blue p-6P emission, a second band arises in the green spectral range. Note that comparable spectra were reported for such heterostructures grown on mica, where the presence of a 6T layer sensitized by the excited p-6P material via resonance-energy transfer was suggested.\textsuperscript{29}

Having established the interfacial layer, 6T deposition was continued to nominally 400 nm of 6T (iii), and as indicated in Figure 3 (red line), the emission is altered again. In particular, the change in the intensity ratio of the 0–0 and 0–1 vibronic peaks (occurring at approximately 530 and 570 nm, respectively) was also observed in nanofibers fabricated on mica with comparable 6T thicknesses and was explained by a superposition of crystalline and interfacial 6T emissions.\textsuperscript{29} From Figure 3iii, it is evident that the blue luminescence of p-6P is efficiently quenched and homogeneously green-emitting nanofibers are observed. In the right part of Figure 3iii, inhomogeneously distributed structures can be resolved on top of the nanofibers, which we attribute to the nucleation of 6T bulk crystallites emitting in the red-orange spectral range. It has to be stated that the red-orange emitting crystallites can be detected only if the red channel of the image is amplified. The weak PL emission of pure 6T crystallites exhibits its maximum...
intensity at higher wavelengths (dashed orange line in Figure 3), and this contribution is responsible for the increase of the 0–1 peak intensity of spectrum iii. In addition, scanning force microscopy (SFM) images of the 6T/p-6P bilayer structure are shown in Figure 4a. At first glance, the netlike fiber morphology is reproduced from fluorescence microscopy. Flat regions are observed between the fibers, which can be attributed to the bare HOPG substrate (labeled A). In addition, two different needlelike morphologies are observed. Structures with height levels of approximately 60 nm nicely agree with the expected height of the p-6P template fibers (B), which exhibit a rectangular cross-section with a flat top, as seen in Figure 4b. On top of the p-6P crystallites, 140–400-nm-high entities are present (C), which exhibit a triangular cross section. As indicated by a dashed line in the height profile of Figure 4b, the flatter side facet of structures C exhibits an angle of \( \approx 113^\circ \) relative to the HOPG substrate surface. Recently, it was demonstrated by cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy (TEM) that 6T crystallites with a (411) contact plane tend to form strongly tilted lamella-like structures. This tilt was explained by an area maximization process related to the tip-sample interaction. The measurement shows a positive phase shift for the HOPG (A) and p-6P template nanofibers (B). On the contrary, structures that were assigned to (411) \( _{6T} \) crystallites cause a negative phase shift (visualized by dark regions). This observation is further substantiated by the phase histogram in Figure 4e, indicating three dominant phase levels that can be attributed to HOPG (A), p-6P template fibers (B), and 6T crystallites (C).

**Figure 4.** (a) Color-coded height image obtained by scanning force microscopy (SFM) on a nominally 400-/60-nm-thick 6T/p-6P heterostructure on HOPG. The cross section depicted below is taken from the region marked by a dashed polygon, and the corresponding height profile is presented in panel b. (c) Geometrical alignment of the (100) low-energy plane of \( (411) \) 6T crystallites. The long needle axis (LNA) that is almost perpendicular to the long molecular axis (LMA) is indicated. (d) Color-coded phase image acquired at the same sample position indicating the presence of three different phase levels that are reflected by histogram peaks presented in panel e. Peak positions can be correlated with the HOPG substrate surface (A), rectangular p-6P template fibers (B), and triangularly shaped 6T fiber structures (C).

**Photoelectron Spectroscopy.** After evidencing the structural comparability between the p-6P/6T crystals on HOPG and mica, we now turn to the investigation of their electronic structure by UPS and XPS.

The measurements were carried out in the form of a thickness series, starting with a 5-nm-thick p-6P layer and 6T layers deposited subsequently onto this template. The UPS valence band (VB), the secondary electron cutoff (SECO) spectra, and the XPS core-level spectra of carbon 1s (C 1s) and sulfur 2p (S 2p) were recorded for each thickness level (Figure 5). In the VB region, a peak around 3.3 eV binding energy (BE) is observed, which is assigned to the HOPG substrate. Upon p-6P deposition, two distinct peaks arise at 2.1 and 2.7 eV BE. These peaks are assigned to the p-6P HOMO and HOMO-1, respectively. (See the schematic energy-level diagram in Figure 5.) Upon 6T deposition, a new peak emerges at 1.4 eV that originates from the 6T HOMO and is clearly visible at a nominal thickness of only 0.2 nm 6T. Note that the BE of 6T HOMO-1 almost coincides with the HOMO of p-6P. With increasing 6T thickness, the peak at 1.4 eV increases in intensity, whereas the p-6P HOMO-1 peak becomes significantly attenuated. This points toward a sharp interface between p-6P and 6T because UPS is a highly surface-sensitive technique with an escape depth for electrons on the order of 1 nm at the given photon energy. At a nominal 6T thickness of 1.6 nm, HOMO-1 of p-6P has almost vanished. Clearly, 6T grows on p-6P and completely covers the template layer.

The SECO shows that all interfaces are vacuum-level aligned because in the cutoff region essentially no shifts are observed. Additionally, no shifts in BE are observed for either the VB region (UPS) or the core levels (XPS). In combination with the results from the VB region, a schematic energy-level diagram can be drawn (Figure 5, right). The HOMO onset positions (with respect to the Fermi level) and the ionization potentials (IP) are in good agreement with previous PES studies on individual layers of 6T and p-6P. The IP s and the HOMO onset for 6T (p-6P) are found to be at 5.4 eV (6.0 eV) and 0.9 eV (1.7 eV), respectively.

The survey of vacuum-level alignment and the absence of new electronic states, resulting from strong electronic coupling between the individual materials, clearly rules out that the observed green emission of a 6T ML on top of p-6P template crystals originates from interfacial electronic states.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

The growth of p-6P, 6T, and 6T/p-6P heterostructures on HOPG was investigated. On the basis of X-ray diffraction measurements, it is shown that p-6P tends to crystallize in the \( \beta \) phase whereas 6T molecules pack in their so-called LT phase. p-6P crystallizes, when deposited on HOPG, in three different
geometries with (111), (112), and (203) contact planes parallel to the substrate surface. For 6T crystals, (411) and (010) textured films are observed. Although (411)-oriented 6T crystallites also grow on the p-6P template, the (010) orientation vanishes. It seems that 6T crystallizes exclusively on top of the p-6P needles where 6T molecules adopt the herringbone arrangement of the underlying (111)_{HP} surface. SFM investigations reveal three different sample morphologies, which are attributed to the HOPG substrate surface, the p-6P template fibers, and the 6T crystallites with a (411) contact plane.

By combining PL and fluorescence microscopy, we demonstrate that 6T/p-6P heterostructures deposited on HOPG behave analogously to 6T/p-6P nanofibers on muscovite mica. Both homogeneous green-emitting interfacial 6T phase for low 6T coverages and red-emitting crystallites on the top of p-6P structures are observed. PES measurements show that the interface between p-6P and 6T is sharp on a molecular level, despite the reported tendency of mixed crystal formation upon vacuum codeposition. Importantly, no indication of the presence of new electronic states is found in UPS. Vacuum-level alignment and therefore the absence of interface dipoles are observed. Consequently, the 6T molecules are physisorbed on top of p-6P crystals, and the green color of the 6T interface layer emission is not caused by ground-state electronic coupling with p-6P at the interface but rather resembles the spectrum of isolated 6T molecules both in solution and in the submonolayer range of 6T on silicon dioxide.

The interface layer preserves its optical emission characteristics even for large 6T thicknesses, where red-emitting bulk 6T crystals are formed. Sexithiophene deposited on para-hexaphenyl is thus understood to coexist in two different types of aggregation as similarly reported for 6T grown on silicon dioxide or single-crystalline Cu. In the interface layer, 6T molecules are characterized by weak intermolecular interactions, whereas in the overlying bulk crystals side-by-side interactions of 6T molecules dominate (H aggregation). H aggregates exhibit a low PL quantum yield with a forbidden 0−0 transition, which is perfectly in line with our observations for bulk crystals of 6T on top of p-6P. In contrast, weakly interacting molecules feature an allowed 0−0 transition and a high PL quantum yield. As a consequence, an inversion of the 0−0 to 0−1 emission intensity ratio can be observed as compared to large (400 nm) 6T coverages.

The growth analogy of p-6P/6T on HOPG and mica demonstrated in this study represents a straightforward approach to the investigation of the electrical properties of organic nanostructures grown on mica. In addition, it can open pathways for future optoelectronic devices based on intensively studied nanofibers of p-6P/6T. Such devices could be fabricated on transparent graphene electrodes instead of mica because the HOPG surface and graphene sheets allow the formation of structurally equivalent organic nanostructures as recently demonstrated.

### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

**Hot Wall Epitaxy.** All samples were fabricated on highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) (001) of ZYA quality, and vacuum deposition of organic molecules was performed by hot wall epitaxy (HWE). Immediately after being cleaved (using Scotch tape), the substrates were transferred via a load lock to the growth chamber, providing two separated HWE reactors equipped with p-6P (TCI) and 6T (Sigma-Aldrich) source material. The system was operated under high-vacuum (HV) conditions with a nominal pressure of 9 × 10−6 mbar. p-6P (6T) was evaporated at a temperature of 240 °C (190 °C), which resulted in a nominal growth rate of 1 nm/min (4.5 nm/min). To avoid temperature gradients during growth and to reduce adsorbed species on the surface, the substrate was preheated to 120 °C for 30 min and the temperature was kept constant during the whole growth process. After depositing p-6P for 60 min (~60 nm fiber height), the sample was automatically transferred under HV conditions to the 6T source oven. Subsequently, 6T was deposited for 1 s up to 90 min (~485 nm); the nominal layer thickness is defined as the average fiber height.

**X-ray Diffraction.** Specular X-ray diffraction measurements were performed at synchrotron radiation source HASYLAB (Hamburg, Germany) using a wavelength of 1.1771 Å. The W1 end-station is
equipped with a pseudo-2-axis goniometer and a MYTHEN linear detector that spans ±2.3° in 2θ. Every specular scan therefore yields a rocking curve at each value of the out-of-plane component of the scattering vector (qz).

The reciprocal space maps (RSM) were measured in grazing incidence geometry with the linear detector oriented in the qz direction. An RSM represents a 2D cut of the reciprocal space, where each reflection corresponds to a ring-shaped diffraction maximum in reciprocal space, which originates from the fiber texture of the HOPG substrate.59

The parameters of the monoclinic unit cell of p-6P (6T) used for peak assignment are a = 8.091 Å, b = 5.568 Å, c = 26.241 Å, and β = 98.17°±0.41° (a = 44.708 Å, b = 7.851 Å, c = 6.029 Å, and β = 90.76°−0.6°). Morphological Investigations. Scanning force microscopy (SFM) experiments were carried out using a Digital Instruments Dimension 3100 in tapping mode. The SFM characterization was performed on an area of 10 × 10 μm² with a SiC tip.

Photoluminescence. Epifluorescence images were acquired upon sample illumination with a Hg lamp spectrally narrowed in the 330–360 nm band. For fluorescence spectroscopy, samples were excited over a large area of some hundreds of squared micrometers at 375 nm by a frequency-doubled Ti:sapphire oscillator with an 82 MHz repetition frequency. Emission was analyzed in a grating spectrometer and detected with a liquid-nitrogen-cooled detector.

Photoelectron Spectroscopy. PES experiments were carried out at end-station SurfCat (at beamline PM4) at BESSY II (Berlin, Germany). The highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) substrate for the UPS measurements was of ZYA quality and was preheated to 700 K for approximately 1 h. The p-6P and 6T molecules were evaporated from a Knudsen cell. The HOPG substrates were kept at room temperature during evaporation, and the deposition rates were ca. 1 Å/min. The deposition and UPS measurements were made in vacuum, and sample illumination with a Hg lamp spectrally narrowed in the 330–360 nm band. For fluorescence spectroscopy, samples were excited over a large area of some hundreds of squared micrometers at 375 nm by a frequency-doubled Ti:sapphire oscillator with an 82 MHz repetition frequency. Emission was analyzed in a grating spectrometer and detected with a liquid-nitrogen-cooled detector.
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