Immunotherapy for a POLE Mutation Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Patient
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Currently, the predictive role of POLE mutations for immunotherapy is under intense investigation. The POLE gene encodes one of the four subunits of DNA polymerase important for DNA replication and repair. POLE mutations are related to other favorable predictive factors such as high expression of PD-L1, high TMB, and infiltration of CD8+ cells in the tumor microenvironment. No formal clinical trials studied the efficacy of immunotherapy in lung patients harboring POLE mutation, and only few cases were mentioned in the literature. Moreover, lung cancer patients are prone to brain metastasis, which is notorious for the unresponsiveness to chemotherapy. The efficacy of immunotherapy for brain metastasis is still controversial. Here, we described a case of a POLEmut non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patient with brain metastasis who was treated with immunotherapy. His brain lesions disappeared after treatment. Our report strongly supported the benefit of immune-combined therapy for advanced NSCLC patients with POLE mutation, even with brain metastasis.
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CASE REPORT

A 65-year-old man was admitted because of a nodule in the upper lobe of his right lung in his annual health screen without any discomfort. He had a smoking history of 30 years. The patient underwent an enhanced CT scan of his head before surgery, and no obvious metastases were found. He underwent right upper lobectomy and lymph node dissection. Postoperative pathological examination revealed invasive adenocarcinoma (alveolar, papillary, and solid) with pleural involvement, supported by typical immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining as follows: CK7 (+), TTF-1 (+), NapsinA (+), CK5/6 (−), P63 (−), CD56 (−), CgA (−), Syn (−), and Ki-67 (50%). PD-L1 expression of the tumor proportion score (TPS) was evaluated using the IHC 22C3 pharmDx assay, and a combined positive score of 30 was assessed (Figure 1). All the 13 excised lymph nodes were free of tumor cells including group 2 (0/2), group 4 (0/2), group 7 (0/3), and group 11 (0/1). He was diagnosed with pT2aN0M0, IB stage. However, after surgery, multiple enhanced intracranial nodules were observed in contrasted head MRI (Figures 2C–E) without neurological symptoms. The diagnosis was corrected to cT0N0M1c, IVc stage. The next-generation sequencing of his tumor detected POLE mutation (exon 26, p. P1025fs, 47.81%) and TP53 mutation (exon5, c.376-1G>A, 5.74%), microsatellite stabilization (MSS), and TMB 16.95 mut/Mb.

He was prescribed with two cycles of combination therapy of pemetrexed (500 mg/kg, Hansoh Inc.) plus carboplatin (AUC = 5, Yangtze Inc.) plus bevacizumab (7.5 mg/kg, Roche Inc.) plus tislelizumab (an anti-PD1 antibody, BeiGene Inc., 200 mg). After two cycles of therapy, the...
intracranial metastases became smaller in size (from 1.6 cm × 1.0 cm to 0.6 cm × 0.6 cm, Figures 2F–H). After four cycles of combined therapy, the metastases had completely disappeared (Figures 2I–K). He received tislelizumab, pemetrexed, and bevacizumab for two cycles of consolidation therapy. After six cycles of treatment, the patient felt fatigue and poor appetite. We tested the ACTH, 24 h urinary free cortisol excretion (UFC), and 8 h cortisol (PTC-8). The results showed that the 24 h UFC (4.8 ug/24h, normal: 20.3–127.6 ug/24h) and PTC-8 (19.9 nmol/L, normal: 133.0–537.0 nmol/L) decreased significantly. The patient was diagnosed with immune-related hypophysitis (grade 2) after multi-disciplinary treatment. He received glucocorticoids for a week, and the hypophysitis gradually relieved. Then, the treatment was switched to tislelizumab and bevacizumab for six cycles until now. Currently, 11 months after the initiation of the combined therapy, the patient is still on therapy and responding with no further treatment-related adverse events. The complete treatment process of the patient is shown in Table 1.

**DISCUSSION**

In the past decade, immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) have emerged as a new treatment modality beyond chemotherapy for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) without driver mutations. However, the question is that only a minority (20–30%) of patients can benefit from immunotherapy (Reck et al., 2016; Hellmann et al., 2019).

Currently, the predictive role of POLE mutations for immunotherapy is under intense investigation. The POLE gene is located in chromosome 12q24.33, encoding one of the four subunits of DNA polymerase important for DNA replication and repair (Rossi et al., 2020). POLE mutations are related to other favorable predicative factors such as high expression of PD-L1, high TMB, and infiltration of CD8+ cells in the tumor microenvironment (TME) (Wang et al., 2019; Yao et al., 2019). In one report, the density of CD8+ T cells was consistently higher in tumors harboring POLE mutation (POLEmt) than wild-type (POLEwt), either in endometrial cancer (59.4 vs. 24.7 CD8+ cells per HPF, p = 0.11) or colorectal intraepithelial neoplasia (59.4 vs. 14.8 CD8+ cells per HPF, p = 0.029) or colorectal cancer (154.9 vs. 34.0 CD8+ cells per HPF, p value undescribed) (Temko et al., 2018). The high CD8+ T-cell infiltration in POLEmt colorectal cancer was also reported by Domingo et al. (Domingo et al., 2016). In POLEmt endometrial cancer (n = 37), PD-L1 expression (>1%) was 29.6% and intratumoral T-cell infiltrates were 27.8% (Pasanen et al., 2020). In another report, Howitt et al. showed a PD-L1 expression (>10%) of 84% and a number of 32.8 CD8+TIL per HPF in POLEmt endometrial cancer (Howitt et al., 2015).

The positive relationship between POLEmt and immunotherapy was studied in a pancancer research study (Wang et al., 2019). Patients harboring POLEmt were divided
into the MSS group and MSI group, and the prognosis of the MSI group was better. However, in another phase II multicenter study where metastatic or unresectable colorectal cancer patients ($n = 33$) with dMMR/MSI-H or POLE$^{mt}$ were enrolled, salvage ($\geq 2$ line) avelumab was prescribed. Unfortunately, all patients with POLE$^{mt}$ ($n = 3$) had progressive disease in 2 months (Kim et al.,

**FIGURE 2** | The red arrow represents the primary lesion of the lung (A,B). The red triangle represents brain metastases (C–H). And the enhanced MRI showed complete response of brain metastases (I–K).
Therefore, the role of POLEmt is still controversial, and more studies are needed.

POLE mutations are common in endometrial cancer and colorectal cancer, but account for only about 3% of NSCLC (Wang et al., 2019; Song et al., 2018). It was reported that POLEmt was a favorable prognostic factor in lung cancer (Liu et al., 2018). A study found a mutation rate of 2.8% (9/319) in NSCLC patients, and all were adenocarcinomas. The TMB of these patients was 12.2/Mb, higher than 7.8/Mb for the rest. None had MSI tumors. Seven patients were positive for CD8+ T cells, and five patients had a PD-L1 expression more than 25% (Song et al., 2018). No formal clinical trials studied the efficacy of immunotherapy in these patients, and only few cases were mentioned in the literature (Table 2) (Rizvi et al., 2015; Song et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2020).

Moreover, lung cancer patients are prone to brain metastasis, which is notorious for unresponsiveness to chemotherapy. The efficacy of immunotherapy for brain metastasis is still controversial. In the brain metastasis subgroup of OAK research, atezolizumab outperformed docetaxel in the survival of these patients (16.0 vs. 11.9 months, \( p = 0.163, HR = 0.74 \)) (Gadgeel et al., 2019). Recently, pembrolizumab was tested in a phase II trial for NSCLC with brain metastases, and 42 patients were divided into cohort 1 (PD-L1 \( \geq 1\% \), \( n = 37 \)) or cohort 2 (PD-L1<1%, \( n = 5 \)). The ORR of cohort 1 was 29.7% with four patients having CR, while there were no objective responses in cohort 2 (Goldberg et al., 2020). Another phase II trial evaluated the safety and efficacy of pembrolizumab on

| Source | Tumor | Age | Ethnicity | Stage | Gene | PD-L1 | TMB | MSS | Line | Therapy | PFS | Response | Death |
|--------|-------|-----|-----------|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|------|---------|-----|----------|-------|
| Song et al., 2018 | NSCLC | 45 | Asian | IIIB | POLE p.E468K | 40% | N/A | Yes | Second | AC + Atezo | 8 months | PR | No |
| Rizvi et al., 2015 | NSCLC | N/A | N/A | N/A | POLE | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | Pembro | 14 months | PR | No |
| Zhu et al., 2020 | Cervical carcinosaoma | 58 | Asian | IV | POLE p.Pro286Arg, p.Ala724Val | N/A | 691.3 | Yes | Fourth | Pembro | 11 months | CR | No |
| Johannes et al., 2016 | Glioblastoma | 31 | N/A | IV | POLE R793C, V1002A | N/A | N/A | N/A | Second | Pembro | 4 months | PR | No |
| Veneris et al., 2019 | Endometrial cancer | 49 | N/A | IV | POLE c.1231GNT | 10% | 305.6 | Yes | Second | Pembro | 6 cycles | PR | No |
| Lee et al., 2019 | Cervical cancer | 55 | N/A | IB3 | POLE P286R | 10% | N/A | Yes | Maintenance | Pembro | 4 yrs | — — | No |
| Gong et al., 2017 | Colorectal cancer | 81 | Hispanic | IV | POLE V411L | 100% | N/A | Yes | Third | Pembro | 8 cycles | PR | No |

NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; AC, pemetrexed plus cisplatin; Atezo, atezolizumab; Pembro, pembrolizumab; yrs, years.
melanoma and NSCLC with brain metastases. All 18 patients in the NSCLC cohort had PD-L1 ≥ 1%. The ORR in this cohort was 33% (CR: n = 4, PR: n = 2), and the median survival was 7.7 months (Goldberg et al., 2016). In the RATIONALE 304 study, tislelizumab plus chemotherapy had a significantly longer median PFS than chemotherapy (9.7 vs. 7.6 m, p = 0.004). In addition, 18 NSCLC patients with brain metastases were enrolled in this study; however, it did not give exact data for the subgroup (Liu et al., 2021). Bevacizumab had encouraging efficacy against NSCLC with brain metastases. The combination of bevacizumab plus carboplatin and paclitaxel in the treatment of advanced non-squamous NSCLC was tested in phase II trial BRAIN (NCT00800202). The results showed that the ORR of intracranial lesions, median PFS, and median OS were 61.2%, 6.7 months, and 16.0 months, respectively (Besse et al., 2015). So, we chose four-drug combination therapy for this patient.

In conclusion, in this report, we described a case of a POLEmt NSCLC patient with brain metastasis who was treated with immunotherapy plus chemotherapy and bevacizumab. His brain lesions disappeared after treatment. Our report strongly supported the benefit of immune-combined therapy for advanced NSCLC patients with POLE mutation, even with brain metastasis.
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