Development of Porous Coatings Enriched with Magnesium and Zinc Obtained by DC Plasma Electrolytic Oxidation
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Abstract: Coatings with developed surface stereometry, being based on a porous system, may be obtained by plasma electrolytic oxidation, PEO (micro arc oxidation, MAO). In this paper, we present novel porous coatings, which may be used, e.g., in micromachine’s biocompatible sensors’ housing, obtained in electrolytes containing magnesium nitrate hexahydrate Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O and/or zinc nitrate hexahydrate Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O in concentrated phosphoric acid H₃PO₄ (85% w/w). Complementary techniques are used for coatings’ surface characterization, such as scanning electron microscopy (SEM), for surface imaging as well as for chemical semi-quantitative analysis via energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), glow discharge optical emission spectroscopy (GDOES), and X-ray powder diffraction (XRD). The results have shown that increasing contents of salts (here, 250 g/L Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O and 250 g/L Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O) in electrolyte result in increasing of Mg/P and Zn/P ratios, as well as coating thickness. It was also found that by increasing the PEO voltage, the Zn/P and Mg/P ratios increase as well. In addition, the analysis of XPS spectra revealed the existence in 10 nm top of coating magnesium (Mg²⁺), zinc (Zn²⁺), titanium (Ti⁴⁺), and phosphorus compounds (PO₄³⁻, or HPO₄²⁻, or H₂PO₄⁻, or P₂O₇⁴⁻).
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1. Introduction

In the literature, the terms plasma electrolytic oxidation (PEO) or micro arc oxidation (MAO) refer to the electrochemical method of surface treatment of lightweight metals and their alloys, which leads to the spontaneous development of an oxide layer on their surfaces. These alloys usually consist of elements/metals, which may be found in the fourth and fifth B groups of the periodic table, i.e., titanium [1], zirconium [2,3], hafnium [4,5], niobium [6,7], tantalum [8,9], though the first works
related to PEO (MAO) technique were carried out on aluminum, magnesium, and their alloys [10–14]. This method leads to locally strong nonlinear conditions of plasma discharges with high temperature, that results in the formation, on treated material, of a new porous coating [15] enriched with both electrolyte and substrate elements. It should also be noted that the use of the PEO (MAO) treatment creates coatings enriched with selected chemical elements in micro scale, while the nanolayers may be fabricated with the use of standard electropolishing [16], magnetoelectropolishing [17], or high current electropolishing [18]. Thicknesses of the coatings vary from 1 μm up to hundreds of micrometers [7,19,20], which results in different properties of the surface coating/passive layer compared to the metallic matrix. These phenomena are used in a variety of applications, including catalysts [21], biomedical implantable devices, joint prostheses, fracture fixation devices and dental implants [22], aerospace [23], chemical sensors [24], and wear-resistant materials [25]. The chemical composition, corrosion resistance of PEO coatings, as well as their thickness and porosity, depend on the electrolyte composition and used voltage or current regime (DC, AC, pulse). In addition, the frequency and shape of the voltage have influence on the properties of the obtained PEO coatings. As reported by Yong Han and Kewei Xu, amorphous titanium coatings may be obtained in electrolyte containing dicalcium phosphate CaHPO$_4$·2H$_2$O and calcium glycerophosphate CaC$_2$H$_4$O$_6$·P, can be used. The team of Long-Hao Li, Young-Min Kong at al. [31] published information that the use of DC voltage lower than 250 V, i.e., 190–600 V, with frequency 660 Hz (duty cycle equaling from 10%) [29,30] in aqueous electrolytes containing acetate monohydrate (CH$_3$COO)$_2$H·H$_2$O and calcium glycerophosphate CaC$_2$H$_4$O$_6$·P [32] may be used to create the porous PEO coatings of titanium oxides. It is also reported that, for PEO oxidation, the other electrolytes and voltages listed in Table 1, were used.

### Table 1. Examples of aqueous electrolytes used in plasma electrolytic oxidation (PEO) treatment with voltage conditions.

| Electrolytes | Voltages | Ref. |
|--------------|----------|-----|
| Na$_2$CO$_3$ and Na$_3$PO$_4$, and (CH$_3$COO)$_2$Ca·H$_2$O | 200–500 V (900 Hz) | [33] |
| (CH$_3$COO)$_2$Ca·H$_2$O and CaH$_2$Na$_2$O$_4$·5H$_2$O | | |
| Na$_4$P$_2$O$_7$·10H$_2$O and KOH, NaAlO$_2$ | 0–300 V | [34] |
| Na$_2$B$_4$O$_7$·10H$_2$O and (CH$_3$COO)$_2$Mn·4H$_2$O | 450–500 V | [35] |
| (CH$_3$COO)$_2$Ca·H$_2$O | 230 V | [36] |
| (CH$_3$COO)$_2$Ca·H$_2$O and NaH$_2$PO$_4$·2H$_2$O | 260–420 V | [37] |
| NH$_2$H$_2$PO$_4$, CaCl$_2$, NaH$_2$PO$_4$, (CH$_3$COO)$_2$Ca | 0–500 V | [38] |
| KOH | 290 V (100–200 Hz) | [39] |
| KOH | 350 V (1000 Hz) | [40] |
| (NaPO$_3$)$_3$, NaF and NaAlO$_2$ | 150–200 V | [41] |
| K$_3$Al$_2$O$_4$, Na$_3$PO$_4$, NaOH | 400 V | [42] |
| CaCl$_2$ and KH$_2$PO$_4$ | 320–340 V | [43] |
| H$_2$SO$_4$ and Ti$_2$(SO$_4$)$_3$ | 1100 V | [44] |
| Na$_2$(EDTA) and CaO, CaH$_2$PO$_4$ and Na$_2$SiO$_3$·H$_2$O | 350 V (200 Hz) | [45] |
| Na$_2$SiO$_3$ and NaOH | 280 V | [46] |

Based on the chemical composition of electrolytes reported in literature, the authors decided to propose a new electrolyte containing magnesium (Mg$^{2+}$), zinc (Zn$^{2+}$), and phosphate PO$_4^{3−}$ ions. Previous authors’ studies [47–50] clearly indicate that it is possible to obtain the porous coatings by plasma electrolytic oxidation on titanium [47,48] and its alloys [49,50] in electrolytes based on concentrated orthophosphoric acid with selected nitrates. The conducted research indicates that the porosity is gained in an entire volume of the obtained coatings, which are enriched with elements
originating mainly from the electrolyte. In the present paper, we will present porous coatings obtained at voltages in the range of 450–650 V using new solutions, and their characterization by complementary techniques. Such results could lead to establishing a novel knowledge to be used in any micromachines’ applications.

2. Materials and Methods

Samples of commercially pure titanium grade 2, with dimensions of $10 \times 10 \times 2$ mm, were used for plasma electrolytic oxidation (PEO) process, and then characterized by scanning electron microscope (SEM), energy dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDS), X-ray powder diffraction (XRD), glow discharge optical emission spectroscopy (GDEOS), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). In the first part of the studies (preliminary tests), the three-phase transformer with six diodes of Graetz bridge as the voltage source of $450 \pm 46$ V with pulsation frequency of 300 Hz was used, while during the second part (main studies), the PWR 1600 H (KIKUSUI ELECTRONICS CORP., Yokohama, Japan), Multi Range DC Power Supply 1600 W, 0–650 V /0–8 A as a power source of three voltages, i.e., 500 V, 575 V and 600 V, was used. All the PEO treatments were performed for 3 min in 500 mL of electrolytes containing magnesium nitrate hexahydrate $\text{Mg(NO}_3\text{)}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and/or zinc nitrate hexahydrate $\text{Zn(NO}_3\text{)}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in phosphoric acid (85% $w/w$). In details, all the solutions are presented in Table 2. The methodology of scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS), glow discharge optical emission spectroscopy (GDOES), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) [51–55] are presented in Table 3, and in detail, in the authors’ reference [48].

### Table 2. Electrolytes used to oxidize the titanium by (PEO) treatment.

| Power Supply                        | Voltage (V) | Salt                  | Salt Concentration (g/L) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Three-phase transformer with Graetz Bridge | 450 ± 46   | $\text{Mg(NO}_3\text{)}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ | 10 300 600               |
|                                     |             | $\text{Zn(NO}_3\text{)}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ | 10 300 600               |
| PWR 1600 H, Multi Range DC Power Supply | 500 575 650 | $\text{Mg(NO}_3\text{)}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ | 500                       |
|                                     | 500 575 650 | $\text{Zn(NO}_3\text{)}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ | 500                       |
|                                     | 250 + 250   | $\text{Mg(NO}_3\text{)}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ & $\text{Zn(NO}_3\text{)}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ | 250 + 250 |

### Table 3. Set-up descriptions of SEM, EDS, XPS, GDOES, and XRD equipment.

| SEM & EDS                  | XPS                     | GDOES                  | XRD                        |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Quanta 250 & 650 FEG (SEM: Field Electron and Iron Company, Hillsboro, OR, USA EDS: Thermo Fisher Scientific, Madison, WI, USA) | SCIENTA SES 2002 (SCIENTA AB, ScientaOmicron, Uppsala, Sweden) | Horiba Scientific GD Profiler 2 (HORIBA Scientific, Palaiseau, France) | Bruker-AXS D8 Advance (BRUKER Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA) |
| High Vacuum (Gammatdata-Scintea) Al K(α) X-ray source | monochromatic            | radio frequency (RF) pulsed source | $\Theta/\Theta$ geometry |
| ESEM mode                  | (hv = 1486.6 eV) (18.7 mA, 13.02 kV) | pressure: 700 Pa, power: 40 W | radiation CuKα Ni filter |
| EDS Noran System Six       | energy step 0.2 eV      | frequency: 3000 Hz, duty cycle: 0.25 | voltage 40 kV current 40 mA |
| ETD & BSED detectors       | step time 200 ms        | anode diameter: 4 mm   | step by step mode of 0.014 $\Theta$ with an interval of 0.25 s per step |
3. Results

In Figures 1 and 2, SEM micrographs of coatings’ surfaces produced on titanium with the use of three-phase transformer with six diodes of Graetz Bridge, are presented. Some surface morphology changes, with increasing of salt concentration for both electrolytes, i.e., with Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O or with Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O, can be observed. This results in a better surface development from island-like structure (10 g/L of salt in electrolyte), to microporous one (300 g/L and 600 g/L of salt in electrolyte). The layers obtained in 10 g/L of magnesium-containing solution seem to be more morphologically developed than those obtained with the zinc-containing solution. Differences can be also noticed for concentrations of 300 g/L and 600 g/L, where coatings obtained in Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O have more porous surface morphology. In addition, it should be noted that cracks on a porous coating obtained in electrolyte containing 600 g/L magnesium nitrate, are recorded. This phenomenon is very unfavorable, due to the propagation of cracks, which may lead to a faster crumble of the coating. The EDS semi-quantitative results, presented as metal-to-phosphorus ratios (Mg/P or Zn/P), have been shown in Figure 3 and Table 4. For both samples obtained in electrolytes with concentrations of 10 g/L, the EDS results indicate the presence of both magnesium or zinc and phosphorus in the studied coatings, however, due to the small signals of zinc and magnesium, qualitative analysis with the use of that method cannot be performed. The Mg/P ratio for samples obtained in the electrolyte composed of Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in H$_3$PO$_4$ for 300 g/L of that salt in the solution is equal to 0.080 ± 0.002 (first quartile 0.078; third quartile 0.082), while 600 g/L of the same salt equals 0.165 ± 0.024 (first quartile 0.140; third quartile 0.195). The Zn/P ratio for the samples obtained in electrolyte composed of Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in H$_3$PO$_4$ for 300 g/L of that salt in the solution equals 0.054 ± 0.004 (first quartile 0.050; third quartile 0.058), while for 600 g/L of the same salt, it equals 0.089 ± 0.016 (first quartile 0.075; third quartile 0.105). For both types of electrolytes, it was observed that the more salt in the electrolyte used, the higher the metal-to-phosphorus (Mg/P and Zn/P) ratios that were obtained.
Figure 1. SEM micrographs of samples surfaces after PEO processing obtained in electrolytes composed of Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in H$_3$PO$_4$ in three concentrations 10 g/L, 300 g/L, and 600 g/L, at a voltage of 450 ± 46 V, with a pulsation frequency of 300 Hz. Magnification 1000 times.

Figure 2. SEM micrographs of samples surfaces after PEO processing obtained in electrolytes composed of Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in H$_3$PO$_4$ in three concentrations 10 g/L, 300 g/L, and 600 g/L, at a voltage of 450 ± 46 V, with a pulsation frequency of 300 Hz. Magnification 10,000 times.
while for those treated in the solution with 600 g/L salt, the thicknesses of the first, second, and third sublayers correspond with the times of 50 s, 350 s, and 350 s by sputtering time, respectively, while for those ones treated in the electrolyte with 300 g/L of Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O, thicknesses of the first, second, and third sublayers are equal to about 50 s, 400 s, and 400 s by sputtering time, respectively. For samples obtained in the electrolyte with 300 g/L of Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O, the thicknesses of the first, second, and third sublayers are equal to about 50 s, 350 s, and 400 s by sputtering time, respectively, while for those ones treated in the solution with 600 g/L of Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O or Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in H$_3$PO$_4$ at concentrations of 300 g/L and 600 g/L at a voltage of 450 ± 46 V, with a pulsation frequency of 300 Hz.

### Table 4. Statistical description of Mg/P and Zn/P ratios based on atomic percent.

| Salt          | Concentration | Mean  | Stand. Dev. | First Quartile | Third Quartile |
|---------------|---------------|-------|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O | 300 g/L      | 0.080 | 0.002       | 0.078          | 0.082          |
| Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O | 600 g/L      | 0.165 | 0.024       | 0.140          | 0.195          |
| Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O | 300 g/L      | 0.054 | 0.004       | 0.050          | 0.058          |
| Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O | 600 g/L      | 0.089 | 0.016       | 0.075          | 0.105          |

In Figures 4 and 5, GDEOS results of PEO coatings i.e., signals of magnesium (285 nm), zinc (481 nm), phosphorus (178 nm), oxygen (130 nm), hydrogen (122 nm), carbon (156 nm), nitrogen (149 nm), and titanium (365 nm), fabricated in electrolyte, which is composed of 10 g/L, 300 g/L, and 600 g/L of Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O or Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in H$_3$PO$_4$ at 450 ± 46 V with pulsation frequency of 300 Hz, are presented. The elements, such as magnesium, phosphorus, and oxygen, which originate from electrolyte, should be treated as the main components of the PEO coating. The titanium and magnesium signals are the smallest ones in the external top-layers, and they increase. The hydrogen and carbon signals may originate from molecules of orthophosphoric acid, water, or organic contaminations absorbed from the air or from cleaning process (alcohol molecules), while part of nitrogen signals should be interpreted as a contamination, and partly as the component of coatings, originating from nitrates of magnesium. Based on GDEOS data, the total thickness of the layers, measured as sputtering time, for magnesium- or zinc-enriched coatings, increases with increasing of Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O or Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O concentration from 10 g/L up to 600 g/L in H$_3$PO$_4$. For a concentration of 10 g/L of Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O or Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O, no clear sublayers of obtained PEO coatings are observed, while for samples obtained in electrolyte containing 300 g/L and 600 g/L of Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O or Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O, three sublayers can be distinguished as clearly visible. For samples obtained in electrolyte with 300 g/L of Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O, the thicknesses of the first, second, and third sublayers are equal to about 50 s, 350 s, and 400 s by sputtering time, respectively, while for those treated in the solution with 600 g/L salt, the thicknesses of the first, second, and third sublayers correspond with the times of 50 s, 400 s, and 450 s, respectively. For samples obtained in the electrolyte with 300 g/L of Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O, thicknesses of the first, second, and third sublayers are equal to about 50 s, 350 s, and 350 s by sputtering time, respectively, while for those ones treated in the
solution with 600 g/L salt in it, the thicknesses of the first, second, and third sublayers correspond with the times of 150 s, 700 s, and 700 s, respectively.

**Figure 4.** GDEOS signals (black) with first (red continuous line) and second (brown dashed line) derivatives for samples after PEO processing obtained in electrolyte composed of Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O in H₃PO₄ at concentrations of 10 g/L, 300 g/L, and 600 g/L, at a voltage of 450 ± 46 V, with a pulsation frequency of 300 Hz.
Figure 5. GDEOS signals (black) with first (red continuous line) and second (brown dashed line) derivatives for samples after PEO processing obtained in electrolyte composed of Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in H$_3$PO$_4$ at concentrations of 10 g/L, 300 g/L, and 600 g/L at a voltage of 450 ± 46 V, with a pulsation frequency of 300 Hz.

XPS results for samples after PEO processing obtained in electrolyte composed of Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in H$_3$PO$_4$ at a concentration of 600 g/L at the voltage of 450 ± 46 V with pulsation frequency of 300 Hz are presented in Figure 6. The XPS results show that the top 10 nm layer is enriched in magnesium (Mg$^{2+}$), phosphorus (as PO$_4^{3−}$, or HPO$_4^{2−}$, or H$_2$PO$_4^{−}$, or P$_2$O$_7^{4−}$), nitrogen (organic contamination), titanium (Ti$^{4+}$), as confirmed by the binding energies of Mg 2s (89.4 eV), Mg KLL (306.9 eV), O 1s (531.5 eV), P 2p (134 eV), Ti 2p$_{2/3}$ (460.0 eV). XPS results for samples after PEO processing obtained in electrolyte, composed of Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in H$_3$PO$_4$ at a concentration of 600 g/L at the voltage of 450 ± 46 V with pulsation frequency of 300 Hz, are presented in Figure 7. The XPS spectra show that the top 10 nm layer is enriched in zinc (Zn$^{2+}$), phosphorus (PO$_4^{3−}$, or HPO$_4^{2−}$, or H$_2$PO$_4^{−}$, or P$_2$O$_7^{4−}$),
and titanium (Ti$^{4+}$), as confirmed by the binding energies of Zn 2p (1022.2 eV), Zn LMM (500 eV and 497.4 eV), O 1s (531.3 eV), P 2p (133.8 eV), Ti 2p$_{2/3}$ (460.1 eV).

Figure 6. XPS results for samples after PEO processing obtained in electrolyte composed of Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in H$_3$PO$_4$ at a concentration of 600 g/L at a voltage of 450 ± 46 V, with a pulsation frequency of 300 Hz.

The next step of analysis was to present the characterization of porous coatings obtained at three voltages, i.e., 500 V, 575 V, and 650 V, in electrolytes composed of Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in H$_3$PO$_4$ or Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in H$_3$PO$_4$, with the use of commercial power supply, where no voltage pulsations were recorded. A part of these results (SEM, EDS, GDOES, corrosion studies) related to characterization of these coatings were presented in reference [48]. However, for a comprehensive full analysis, the XRD analysis results should be also added, and they are presented in Figure 8. For coatings formed in both electrolytes at voltages of 500 V and 575 V, only titanium as crystalline phase (a signal from substrate) was detected. Only for samples obtained at the highest voltage, i.e., 650 V, was the Ti$_2$P$_2$O$_7$ crystalline phase was detected. It is worth noting that the increasing of PEO voltages results in the increasing of amorphous phase in coatings’ structures.
Figure 7. XPS results for samples after PEO processing obtained in electrolyte composed of Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O in H₃PO₄ at a concentration of 600 g/L and at a voltage of 450 ± 46 V with pulsation frequency of 300 Hz.

In the following part, we present the possibility of manufacturing a porous coating obtained in electrolytes composed of 250 g/L Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O and 250 g/L Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O in H₃PO₄ at the same three voltages 500 V, 575 V, 650 V, which were used in [48]. In Figure 9, the SEM micrographs of the surfaces after PEO processing, at four magnifications, are presented. The EDS results of samples obtained in electrolytes composed of 250 g/L Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O and 250 g/L Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O in H₃PO₄ as metal-to-phosphorus atomic ratios (Mg/P and Zn/P and M/P, where M = Mg + Zn), are presented in Figure 10 and Table 5. For coatings obtained at 500 V, the Mg/P, Zn/P and M/P ratios are as follows: 0.073 ± 0.003 (first quartile: 0.070, third quartile: 0.075), 0.071 ± 0.003 (first quartile: 0.069, third quartile: 0.074), 0.145 ± 0.005 (first quartile: 0.141, third quartile: 0.148), respectively. For the coatings obtained at 575 V, Mg/P, Zn/P and M/P (where M = Mg + Zn) are as follows: 0.084 ± 0.004 (first quartile: 0.081, third quartile: 0.088), 0.089 ± 0.004 (first quartile: 0.086, third quartile: 0.091), 0.173 ± 0.007 (first quartile: 0.168, third quartile: 0.178), respectively. For the coatings obtained at 650 V, Mg/P, Zn/P and M/P (where M = Mg + Zn) are as follows: 0.087 ± 0.007 (first quartile: 0.082, third quartile: 0.091), 0.102 ± 0.005 (first quartile: 0.098, third quartile: 0.106), 0.188 ± 0.010 (first quartile: 0.178, third quartile: 0.196), respectively. Both metals, i.e., magnesium and zinc, are built-in into the coating in ca. 1:1 atomic proportion, moreover, all calculated ratios show a positive correlation with
applied voltage. It is also worth noting that with the increasing applied voltage, the reproducibility decreases, as indicated by calculated standard deviations.

Figure 8. XRD results of coatings after PEO processing obtained in electrolytes composed of Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O or Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in H$_3$PO$_4$ at a concentration of 500 g/L at three voltages, 500 V, 575 V, and 650 V.

Figure 9. Cont.
Figure 9. SEM micrographs of samples surfaces after PEO processing obtained in electrolytes composed of 250 g/L Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and 250 g/L Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in H$_3$PO$_4$ at three voltages, 500 V, 575 V and 650 V. Magnifications 500, 1000, 5000, and 10,000 times.

Figure 10. EDS results for samples after PEO processing obtained in electrolytes composed of 250 g/L Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and 250 g/L Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in H$_3$PO$_4$ at three voltages, 500 V, 575 V, and 650 V.

Table 5. Statistical description of Mg/P, Zn/P and M/P ratios based on atomic percent (M = Mg + Zn).

| Ratios | Voltage | Mean | Stand. Dev. | First Quartile | Third Quartile |
|--------|---------|------|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| Mg/P   | 500 V   | 0.073| 0.003       | 0.070          | 0.075          |
|        | 575 V   | 0.084| 0.004       | 0.081          | 0.088          |
|        | 650 V   | 0.087| 0.007       | 0.082          | 0.091          |
| Zn/P   | 500 V   | 0.071| 0.003       | 0.069          | 0.074          |
|        | 575 V   | 0.089| 0.004       | 0.086          | 0.091          |
|        | 650 V   | 0.102| 0.005       | 0.098          | 0.106          |
| M/P    | 500 V   | 0.145| 0.005       | 0.141          | 0.148          |
|        | 575 V   | 0.173| 0.007       | 0.168          | 0.178          |
|        | 650 V   | 0.188| 0.010       | 0.178          | 0.196          |
In Figure 11, GDEOS signals of samples and their first and second derivatives for the samples after PEO processing obtained in electrolyte composed of 250 g/L Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and 250 g/L Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in H$_3$PO$_4$ at three voltages, 500 V, 575 V, 650 V, are presented. The total thicknesses of layers, measured as a sputtering time, for magnesium- and zinc-enriched coatings, are equal to about 2400 s, 2400 s and 4700 s. For all three voltages, the three sublayer model is applicable. For the samples obtained at 500 V and 575 V, the thicknesses of the first, second, and third sublayers are at about 400 s, 900 s, and 1100 s, respectively. For coatings obtained at the voltage of 650 V, the thicknesses of the first, second, and third, transition sublayers are 500, 2400, and 1800 s, respectively. For all the porous castings obtained at voltages which are in the range of 500–650 V, the first sublayers are enriched in zinc, phosphorus, oxygen, hydrogen, carbon, nitrogen, and depleted in magnesium and titanium, while the second sublayers can be characterized as a semi-plateau region with non-increasing trend of all signals, except for the titanium one. In addition, the maximum for magnesium signal in that sublayer is also observed, and lower signal of zinc in comparison with the first sublayer is visible. The third, transition sublayer is characterized by an increase of titanium signal and a decrease in magnesium, zinc, phosphorus, oxygen, and nitrogen signals. The peaks in carbon and hydrogen, which originate most likely from the contamination related to the cleaning process, should be interpreted as the end of porosity of the obtained coatings.

Figure 11. Cont.
The XPS results for samples after PEO processing obtained in the electrolyte of 250 g/L Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and 250 g/L Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in 1L H$_3$PO$_4$ at three voltages, 500 V, 575 V, and 650 V, are presented in Figure 12. The XPS results show that the top 10 nm layer of all the obtained coatings are enriched in magnesium (Mg$^{2+}$), zinc (Zn$^{2+}$), phosphorus (as PO$_4^{3-}$, or HPO$_4^{2-}$, or H$_2$PO$_4^{-}$, or P$_2$O$_7^{4-}$), and titanium (Ti$^{4+}$), as confirmed by the binding energies of Mg 2s (89.3–89.4 eV), Mg KLL (306.6–306.7 eV), Zn 2p (1021.9–1022.2 eV), Zn LMM (500 eV & 497 eV), O 1s (531.2–531.5 eV), P 2p (133.7–134.8 eV), Ti 2p 2/3 (459.9–460 eV). In Figure 13, the XRD results for samples after PEO processing obtained in the electrolyte composed of 250 g/L Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and 250 g/L Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in H$_3$PO$_4$ at three voltages, 500 V, 575 V, and 650 V, are presented. For the coatings formed at three voltages, only titanium as a crystalline phase (a signal from matrix), alike in the case of a coating obtained in the solution with single salts at voltages of 500 V and 575 V, was detected.
Figure 12. XPS signals of magnesium (Mg 2s, Mg KLL), zinc (Zn 2p3/2, Zn LMM) carbon (C 1s), oxygen (O 1s), phosphorus (P 2p) and titanium (Ti 2p) for samples after PEO processing obtained in electrolyte composed of 250 g/L Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and 250 g/L Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in H$_3$PO$_4$ at three voltages, 500 V, 575 V, and 650 V.

Figure 13. XRD results of coatings after PEO processing obtained in electrolytes composed of Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in H$_3$PO$_4$ at a concentration of 500 g/L at three voltages 500 V, 575 V, and 650 V.

4. Discussion

The development of technologies at the micro scale provides the opportunity to increase applications of micromachines, most often in medicine. In the available literature, it is possible to find out some examples, such as multiplexed microfluidic platform for bone marker measurement [56] or
integrated microfluidic devices, e.g., for DNA analysis, cell handling, sorting, and general analysis [57]. It should be pointed out that the main novelty of this work is fabrication and characterization of new porous coatings enriched in magnesium and zinc in new electrolytes, based on concentrated phosphoric acid, with the addition of magnesium and zinc nitrates, which may be used as biocompatible sensors’ housing. They may be put into the bone to monitor, for instance, the amount of bacteria in wounds. In addition, porous housing may be used, e.g., as a drug delivery polymer system. The preliminary studies have turned the attention on the possibility to create porous coatings in electrolytes containing single magnesium or zinc nitrate, as well as in those with two salts. It was found that the coatings obtained in 10 g/L of magnesium-containing solution are characteristic, with more developed surface than those ones formed in electrolyte with the same amount of zinc nitrate. However, the truly porous coatings have been obtained for the salt contents in a solution of 300 and 600 g/L, respectively. The other critical issues of some obtained surfaces are coatings’ cracking, which has been visible especially on these samples formed in electrolyte containing 600 g/L magnesium nitrate. That case is very unfavorable due to the propagation of cracks, which may lead to faster coat crumbling, e.g., during exploitation. Based on the EDS results of Mg/P and Zn/P ratios, it was concluded that the building-in of the magnesium ions into the phosphate structure is more probable than the zinc ones. Generally, it should be noted that the more total amount of salts in electrolyte, the higher the metal-to-phosphorus ratios in coatings that are observed. The XPS studies, which complement the information on the chemical composition of the 10 nm depth coating, allowed it to be revealed that the external (top) coatings’ part is composed mainly of magnesium (Mg$^{2+}$), (Zn$^{2+}$), titanium (Ti$^{4+}$), and phosphorous (PO$_4^{3-}$, or HPO$_4^{2-}$, or H$_2$PO$_4^-$, or P$_2$O$_7^{4-}$). The depth profiles, which were performed by GDOES, have clearly displayed that for the concentration of 10 g/L of Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O or Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in electrolytes, no clear sublayers of the obtained PEO coatings were observed, while for samples obtained in the electrolyte with 300 g/L and 600 g/L of the same salts, three sublayers could be detected. It was also observed that increasing the amount of salt in electrolyte solution results in the formation of thicker coatings, while the increasing of PEO voltage, for the same electrolyte, results in growing the amorphous phase, as well as increasing the Zn/P and Mg/P ratios.

5. Conclusions
(a) The more salt (Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O) in electrolyte, the higher the metal-to-phosphorus (Mg/P and Zn/P) ratios that are obtained.
(b) The more salt (Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and/or Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O) in electrolyte, the thicker the coating that is formed.
(c) The increase of PEO voltages results in the increase of amorphous phase in the coatings’ structures.
(d) The higher voltage of PEO treatment, the higher are Zn/P and Mg/P ratios in coatings obtained in the electrolytes containing Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and 250 g/L Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O.
(e) The top 10 nm layers of the studied coatings are composed of magnesium (Mg$^{2+}$), zinc (Zn$^{2+}$), phosphorous (PO$_4^{3-}$, or HPO$_4^{2-}$, or H$_2$PO$_4^-$, or P$_2$O$_7^{4-}$), and titanium (Ti$^{4+}$).
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