Ultra-lightweight superconducting wire based on Mg, B, Ti and Al
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Actually, MgB₂ is the lightest superconducting compound. Its connection with lightweight metals like Ti (as barrier) and Al (as outer sheath) would result in a superconducting wire with the minimal mass. However, pure Al is mechanically soft metal to be used in drawn or rolled composite wires, especially if applied for the outer sheath, where it cannot provide the required densification of the boron powder inside. This study reports on a lightweight MgB₂ wire sheathed with aluminum stabilized by nano-sized γ-Al₂O₃ particles (named HITEMAL) and protected against the reaction with magnesium by Ti diffusion barrier. Electrical and mechanical properties of single-core MgB₂/Ti/HITEMAL wire made by internal magnesium diffusion (IMD) into boron were studied at low temperatures. It was found that the ultra-lightweight MgB₂ wire exhibited high critical current densities and also tolerances to mechanical stress. This predetermines the potential use of such lightweight superconducting wires for aviation and space applications, and for powerful offshore wind generators, where reducing the mass of the system is required.

Although many superconducting elements and compounds have been discovered, only few of them can be used for thermally and mechanically stabilized long length wires with high current densities. Instead of high power cables and high field magnets, superconducting wires make possible the design of powerful and lightweight superconducting stators and rotors for aircraft engines and generators. Lightweight superconducting wires are also attractive in other specific areas, where the total mass is extremely important, e.g. powerful wind turbines or any space applications. Since the discovery of superconductivity in the lightest superconductive compound MgB₂, extensive efforts have been expended in the development of practical composite wires made mostly by powder-in-tube (PIT) processes and in the enhancement of their superconductive properties, particularly the critical current density (Jc) and the upper critical field (Bc). Low cost MgB₂ superconductor wires operated at 4–25 K can lower the upfront and ongoing operational costs of superconducting systems. It was found that sheath materials play an important role in the determination of transport properties of the wires made by PIT technique. Cu is an ideal thermally stabilizing metal for low-Tc superconducting wires. In the case of MgB₂ wires, the Cu reaction with MgB₂ has to be inhibited due to a possible radical reduction of the transport current density. Therefore, a protective (i.e. diffusion) barrier has to be used (e.g. Fe, Nb or Ta) in order to avoid any reaction, namely the one between Cu and Mg. Ti sheathed MgB₂ wires were tested initially by Allessadri12, and Ti barriers were then successfully applied for multicore MgB₂ wires stabilized by Cu13,14. Al may also be an appropriate sheath material for MgB₂ superconductor due to its high electrical and thermal conductivity, low cost, magnetism, and good formability. However, pure Al is mechanically soft metal to be used in drawn or rolled composite wires, especially if applied for the outer sheath, where it cannot provide the required densification of the boron powder. While Al alloys can offer improved mechanical properties, the conductivities and formability are markedly deteriorated. Furthermore, the solidus temperatures of Al alloys are much lower compared with the melting point of pure Al, which makes even more difficult the formation of MgB₂ by the heat treatment of Mg and B components at ≈650 °C. The first experiment with MgB₂/Al tape superconductor was made by an ex-situ PIT method without final heat treatment, but it does not allow reaching high critical current density15. Several other experiments to stabilize MgB₂ wire with pure Al were also performed16,17, but the stabilization was not effective enough. Also, another solution with Al bonding on the Ti sheathed wire was not successful due to intensively oxidized surfaces of both Al and Ti18. Thermally stable ultrafine-grained Al stabilized by a small content of nano-scale Al₂O₃ formed in situ in Al matrix, named HITEMAL (high temperature aluminum), was produced by a powder metallurgy approach19,20. HITEMAL shows attractive mechanical and recently also electrical properties.
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at low temperatures\textsuperscript{21}. The first attempt to make an Al-stabilized MgB\textsubscript{2} wire was made using Ta diffusion barrier and HITEMAL outer sheath\textsuperscript{22}, which demonstrated the possible production of Al-sheathed MgB\textsubscript{2} wires. It allowed to verify the utilization of Al\textsuperscript{+}Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} outer sheath for MgB\textsubscript{2} wire and to show what superconducting properties, especially current densities, can be reached in medium magnetic fields. Ta barrier is really heavy material (16.69 g/cm\textsuperscript{3}) for lightweight composite wire, but it has been used due to minimal reaction with Al\textsuperscript{+}Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} during the final heat treatment.

In this work we present original manufacturing method and properties of ultra-lightweight superconducting wire prepared by internal Mg diffusion process (IMD) into the B, which utilizes the lightest superconducting compound (MgB\textsubscript{2} with 2.5 g cm\textsuperscript{-3}) combined with the lightweight composite sheath (Al\textsuperscript{+}1.37 vol.\% Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} with a density of ~2.7 g cm\textsuperscript{-3}) and light metallic barrier material (Ti with a density of 4.5 g cm\textsuperscript{-3}). Due to lowered melting point of Al\textsuperscript{+}1.37 vol.\% Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} (\textasciitilde 652 °C)\textsuperscript{22} in comparison to pure Al (660 °C) and close to melting of Mg (650 °C), really specific heat treatment is needed for MgB\textsubscript{2}/Ti/HITEMAL wire. It should allow: (i) the fast formation of dense MgB\textsubscript{2} phase\textsuperscript{23}, (ii) limited Ti/Al interaction and (iii) keeping the mechanical strength of Al\textsuperscript{+}Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sheath. 

**Results**

It was shown that the melting point of Al + 1.37 vol.\% Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sheath is relatively low \textasciitilde 652 °C\textsuperscript{22} while the temperature close to 650 °C is required for the fast formation of a dense MgB\textsubscript{2} phase\textsuperscript{23}. This could cause undesirable changes (e.g., melting or recrystallization) of the Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} stabilized Al sheath. Therefore, fast ramp heat treatments (~25 °C/min) with the setting temperature 628–635 °C and overshoot up to 640–646.5 °C were applied for as-deformed Mg/B/Ti/HITEMAL wires named as wA, wB and wC, see Table 1 and Fig. 1. A transversal cross-section image of the wB wire is shown in Fig. 2(a), where the central hole (at the place of the original Mg core) and formed MgB\textsubscript{2} layer of thickness \textasciitilde 100 µm are well visible. It correlates with the kinetic of MgB\textsubscript{2} layer formation presented by Li at al. who have calculated the time needed for the for Mg + B reaction\textsuperscript{24}. Our previous experiments have confirmed this model and showed the optimal time of 8 minutes for HT at 635 °C and overshoot 654 °C\textsuperscript{23}. Figure 2(b) shows a thin intermetallic reaction layer with a thickness of \textasciitilde 1 µm at the Ti/Al interface of the MgB\textsubscript{2}/Ti/HITEMAL wire. It should allow: (i) the fast formation of dense MgB\textsubscript{2} phase\textsuperscript{23}, (ii) limited Ti/Al interaction and (iii) keeping the mechanical strength of Al\textsuperscript{+}Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sheath.

| Wire | HT [°C/min] | T\textsubscript{max} [°C] | Al\textsubscript{2}Ti layer [µm] | HV\textsubscript{0.005} [GPa] | \(\varepsilon\textsubscript{irr}\) [%] | \(\sigma\textsubscript{irr}\) [MPa] |
|------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| wA   | 632/10      | 646.5           | \textasciitilde 1             | \textasciitilde 43         | 0.166           | 141             |
| wB   | 628/10      | 642.5           | \textasciitilde 1             | \textasciitilde 56         | 0.210           | 172             |
| wC   | 635/30      | 640.0           | \textasciitilde 4             | \textasciitilde 68         | 0.342           | 214             |

**Table 1.** Heat treatment conditions with initial short temperature overshoot (\(T\textsubscript{max}\)) of wires wA-wC and the corresponding Al\textsubscript{2}Ti interface layer thickness, the HITEMAL sheath micro-hardness (HV\textsubscript{0.005}), the irreversible strain (\(\varepsilon\textsubscript{irr}\)) and stress (\(\sigma\textsubscript{irr}\)).
form of the formulae relating $\Delta m$ to $J_c$ can be derived with regard to the current flow geometry. In the case of a cylindrical MgB$_2$ core, the critical current density is obtained according to:

$$J_c = \frac{3}{d} \Delta M \text{ for } B \text{ applied parallel to the wire axis (} B || \text{)}$$

$$J_c = \frac{3\pi}{4d} \Delta M \text{ for } B \text{ applied perpendicular to the wire axis (} B \perp \text{)}$$

where $\Delta M$ is the width of the hysteresis loop divided by the volume of the MgB$_2$ core, and $d$ is the core diameter. For the wires made by the IMD process resulting in an annular MgB$_2$ core shown in Fig. 1(a), all formulae must be multiplied by a factor considering the hollow core geometry:

$$\left( \frac{d_I}{d_O} \right)^2$$

where $d_I$ and $d_O$ is the inner and outer diameter of the MgB$_2$ core and the formula for $J_c$ is:

$$J_c = \frac{3\Delta M}{d_O^2} \left( 1 - \frac{d_I^2}{d_O^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{d_I^2}{d_O^2} \right)$$

Filament dimensions $d_I$ and $d_O$ in wB wire are 0.00423 and 0.00625 cm, respectively. Figure 3(a) shows the critical current densities of the wB wire measured by a vibrating sample magnetometer at external magnetic fields 1–9 T (in $B ||$ and $B \perp$) and temperatures 5–25 K. $J_c(B, T)$ values of the wire in the perpendicular field are identical with $J_c$ of a single core MgB$_2$/Ti/Cu wire made by the IMD process and annealed at 640°C/60 min, which is a result of a sufficiently dense boron powder deformed inside the Al + Al$_2$O$_3$ sheath. The dotted lines present $J_c$ values at a parallel field, which are very similar to the perpendicular one for temperatures 5–15 K, and only slightly lowered at temperatures above 20 K. A small $J_c$ difference between the perpendicular field direction (with currents flowing...
with a GlidCop outer sheath. It can be rationalized considering a different current flow combined with no fully identical connectivity along and across the core axis.

Changes in the critical currents of present wires subjected to axial tension at 4.2 K are shown in Fig. 4. Due to a larger thermal contraction of Ti and Al compared with that of MgB$_2$, cooling down to 4.2 K results in compression stress which acts on the MgB$_2$ layer and reduces the critical current and current density $J_c$ transition (see the insert in Fig. 1). However, the $J_c$ differences between wB and wC can be observed. It reflects the composition and purity of created MgB$_2$ phase and correlates with Fig. 3(b). The resistive transitions of compared MgB$_2$ layers are well with the sheath microhardness HV$_{0.005}$ – the lowest for wA.

Figure 4. Strain tolerances of the wA, wB and wC wires to tensile stress at 4.2 K compared with a similar wire with a GlidCop outer sheath.

4.2 K, 6 T

only slightly lowered peak temperature of 642.5 °C for the wB wire resulted in around 10% lower $J_c$ compared with that of the wA wire, but $J_c$ of wC wire is lowered by 37% at field 6 T in comparison to wA. Figure 3(b) shows also the relation between the transport and magnetic $J_c$ (from VSM), for the wB wire, where a falling off of the magnetic $J_c$ from the transport one was observed. It can be rationalized considering a different current flow combined with no fully identical connectivity along and across the core axis.

The as-deformed Al + Al$_2$O$_3$ consists of Al grains intensively elongated in the wire drawing direction and transversal structure shows a randomly distributed nanometric Al$_2$O$_3$ dispersoids, see Fig. 5(a). The nano-dispersoids stemmed from native amorphous (am)-Al$_2$O$_3$ layers on as-atomised Al powders. The induced shear deformation broke up the Al$_2$O$_3$ layers into am-Al$_2$O$_3$ platelets during the cold working steps, and some remnants of the fractured am-Al$_2$O$_3$ platelets remained at high angle grain boundaries, see the white arrow in Fig. 5(a). However, a majority of the am-Al$_2$O$_3$ platelets transformed into nanometric crystalline Al$_2$O$_3$ dispersoids during the cold working were found at both, the Al grain boundaries and within the Al grain interiors, see the black arrow in Fig. 5(a). During the final heat treatment Al grains coarsening is observed. High angle grain boundaries are preferentially eliminated with increasing temperature, but low angle grain boundaries are still stabilized by Al$_2$O$_3$ dispersoids and are sustained even higher annealing temperatures, see Fig. 5(b). The black arrows show co-localization of low angle grain boundaries with Al$_2$O$_3$ dispersoids in the wA wire sheath.
Figure 5. TEM bright field images of transversal-sections of the as-deformed Al + Al₂O₃ sheaths (a) and the heat-treated sheath of wA wire (b).

Figure 6. TEM bright field images of transversal-sections of the Al + Al₂O₃ sheaths of the wA (a), wB (b), wC (c) wires and of the as-deformed one (d).

Figure 6 shows TEM bright field images of transversal sections of the Al + Al₂O₃ sheath in the heat-treated wires wA - wC (a-c) in comparison to as-deformed one shown by Fig. 6(d). The TEM micrographs demonstrate the different microstructure upon annealing with the peak temperature between 640 °C and 646.5 °C. While Al
grains of as-deformed wire have generally equiaxial shape of averaged size \( d_{av} \sim 470 \text{ nm} \), enlarged and/or elongated (not equiaxial) grains are visible in wB and wC wires due to grains coarsening. High angle grain boundaries of Al + Al2O3 sheaths are yet well stabilized by Al2O3 dispersoids at heat treatment temperature \( T_{max} = 640 \text{ °C} \), see Fig. 6(c), where nearly doubled grain size \( d_{av} \sim 950 \text{ nm} \) in comparison to as-deformed sheath is found. The outer sheath of wC wire stays polycrystalline with the structure similar to the as-deformed one, see Fig. 6(d). The grain size structure of wB sheath shown by Fig. 6(b) is more affected by annealing only \( \sim 10 \text{ °C} \) above the melting of Al + Al2O3, and \( d_{av} \sim 1380 \text{ nm} \) was estimated for \( T_{max} = 642.5 \text{ °C} \). Figure 6(a) shows that grain boundaries in wA (5.5 °C below the melting of Al + Al2O3) are not more stabilized and a big Al grains with sub-grains and low angle grain boundaries with localized Al2O3 dispersoids are present. Consequently, the correct estimation of \( d_{av} \) for wA wire is not possible. Observed structural changes and grains coarsening lead to mechanical softening of heat treated Al + Al2O3 sheaths, which is accompanied by the decreased sheath micro-hardness (see Table 1) in comparison to not annealed Al + Al2O3 wire with \( H_{V,0.005} \sim 70 \text{ GPa} \).

Discussion

The presented microstructural study clearly illustrates that the different Al grain structure of the Al + Al2O3 sheaths strongly affects the wire responses to axial tension. The Al + Al2O3 is a suitable material for a sufficiently strong superconducting wire, but conditions of the final heat treatment have to be controlled very precisely. Figure 4 shows the different strain tolerances, which are strongly affected by the applied annealing influencing the sheath microstructure (see Figs 5 and 6). While the apparent critical current degradation in the wA wire occurred at the tensile stress of 141 MPa due to not more stabilized grain boundaries by Al2O3 dispersoids, the wC wire is able to withstand much higher stress of 214 MPa. Due to polycrystalline structure and grain size \( d_{av} \sim 950 \text{ nm} \), the mechanical strength of the wC wire was by \( \sim 25\% \) higher than determined for the wA wire, which is characterized by big grains with sub-grains and low angle grain boundaries. The averaged grain size of wB sheath \( (T_{max} = 642.5 \text{ °C}) \) is \( \sim 1380 \text{ nm} \), which is larger than for wC and consequently \( \sigma_{av} = 172 \text{ MPa} \) is measured, see Table 1. Similar correlation (sheath softening) is observed by the micro-hardness \( (H_{V,0.005}) \) data, which decreased from \( H_{V,0.005} = 68 \) to 43 as the peak temperature increased from 640 to 646.5 °C, respectively (see Table 1).

Nevertheless, the observed differences are attributed only to structural changes in the Al + Al2O3 material (and the formation of thicker Al2O3 layer) subjected to different heat treatment. Therefore, precisely chosen heat treatment has to be applied to form a high current density MgB2 core along with a high strength Al + Al2O3 sheath and Ti diffusion barrier with a limited interfacial reaction at the sheath interface.

Calculation of conductor mass based on the MgB2/Ti/Al + Al2O3 can lead to at least 2.5 times weight reduction when compared with a typical MgB2/Nb/Cu wire of the same cross-sectional dimensions. This clearly outlines the potential of the lightest MgB2/Ti/Al + Al2O3 superconducting wire, when compared with any other metallic or ceramic superconductors. Consequently, presented MgB2 wire meets demanding requirements on electrical and mechanical properties of superconductors for efficient superconductive and light-weight applications.

Methods

A single-core MgB2 wire was fabricated by internal magnesium diffusion (IMD) into a boron process. Pure Mg99.99% rod 2.9 mm in diameter was precisely positioned in the central axis of a Ti99.99% tube with 5.5 mm inner diameter and 7.2 mm outer diameter. The free volume between the Ti tube and Mg rod was filled by a 1.37 vol.% Al2O3 rod. The Mg/B/Ti/Al + Al2O3 composite rod was rotary swaged down to 7.5 mm and then groove rolled to a rectangular wire with a cross-section of 1.02 × 1.02 mm². A heat treatment process was applied at 300 °C for 30 min during the groove rolling process each time after reaching around 50% area reduction. The volume composition of the as-deformed wires corresponded to around 11% Mg, 12% B, 27% Ti, and 50% Al + Al2O3 outer sheath. The following final heat treatment was applied under Ar atmosphere at: (i) 632 °C for 10 min (wA wire); (ii) 635 °C for 30 min (wB wire); and (iii) 635 °C for 30 min (wC wire), with the peak temperatures of 640, 646.5 and 640 °C, respectively, see Fig. 1 and Table 1.

Hysteresis loops measured by a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) option in PPMS of Quantum Design System were recorded between \( -2 \) and \( +9 \text{T} \) with a constant field sweep of 6.3 mT/s in a temperature range of 5–25 K (at 5 K steps), and the field directed perpendicular and parallel to the wire axis. Using Bean’s critical state model, the critical current density \( J_{c-mag} \) was determined. Resistive transitions were measured by a standard four-probe method with DC current magnitude of 100 mA. Critical temperature \( (T_c) \) and the width of transition \( (\Delta T_c) \) were determined from \( R(T) \) dependencies shown by the insert in Fig. 1. Transport critical currents were measured at liquid He temperature and an external magnetic field from 4.0 to 8.0 T using standard DC measurement with 1 \( \mu \text{Vcm}^{-1} \) criterion for \( I_c \) values. A free-standing short sample (~50 mm) configuration was used for the tensile load tests of the wires at 4.2 K. The electro-mechanical characteristics: \( I_c \) versus the tensile strain \( (\varepsilon) \) and the stress-strain curves \( (\sigma(\varepsilon)) \) were measured at a constant external magnetic field of 6 T. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM, JOEL 7600 F) with energy dispersive spectrometry (EDS, Oxford Instruments X-Max 50) was used to characterize polished transversal-sections of the heat-treated wires. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) observations were made using JEOL JEM 1200FX microscope. TEM specimens were prepared by mechanical grinding and polishing followed by Ar beam ion milling using GATAN PIPS II. The transversal AI grain size \( (d_{av}) \) was determined by image analyse of multiple bright filed TEM micrographs.
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