Stable Strontium Isotopic Compositions of River Water, Groundwater and Sediments From the Ganges–Brahmaputra–Meghna River System in Bangladesh
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The Sr isotopic composition of rivers and groundwaters in the Bengal Plain is a major contributor to the global oceanic Sr inventory. The stable strontium isotope ratios ($\delta^{88}$Sr) provide a new tool to identify chemical weathering reactions in terrestrial water. In this study, we investigated the spatiotemporal variations of $\delta^{88}$Sr in samples of river water, bedload sediment, and groundwater collected from the Ganges–Brahmaputra–Meghna drainage basin in Bangladesh, which is known to strongly influence the $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratio in seawater. The average $\delta^{88}$Sr values of waters of the Ganges, Brahmaputra, and Meghna rivers were 0.269, 0.316, and 0.278‰, respectively. Our data showed little difference between seasons of high and low discharge. The $\delta^{88}$Sr values measured in sequential leaching fractions of sediments varied from −0.258 to 0.516‰ and were highest in the silicate fraction, followed in turn by the carbonate fraction and the exchangeable fraction. Both $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr and $\delta^{88}$Sr of these waters are primarily controlled by the inputs of Sr in weathering products from the Bengal Plain and Sr from the Himalayan rivers (Ganges and Brahmaputra). Values of $\delta^{88}$Sr and Sr/Ca were higher in the Brahmaputra River than in the Ganges River, a difference we attribute to greater input from silicate weathering. The variations of $\delta^{88}$Sr and $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr were greater in groundwater than in river waters. Mineral sorting effects and dissolution kinetics can account for the large scatter in $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr and $\delta^{88}$Sr values. The depth profile of $\delta^{88}$Sr showed wide variation at shallow depths and convergence to a narrow range of about 0.31‰ at depths greater than 70 m, which reflects more complete equilibration of chemical interactions between groundwater and ambient sediments owing to the longer residence time of deeper groundwater. We found that $\delta^{88}$Sr values in the groundwater of Bangladesh were almost identical to those of river water from the lower Meghna River downstream of its confluence with the Ganges–Brahmaputra river system, thus confirming that the $\delta^{88}$Sr composition of the groundwater discharge to the Bay of Bengal is very similar to that of the river discharge.
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INTRODUCTION

Chemical weathering of continental rocks plays a vital role in determining ocean chemistry and the global climate. The $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio in continental runoff is important for understanding isotopic mass balances in the oceanic Sr cycle (Vance et al., 2009; Peucker-Ehrenbrink et al., 2010). Analyses of stable ($^{88}\text{Sr}$) and radiogenic ($^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$) strontium isotope ratios are used to identify differences in the lithological and weathering controls on the Sr isotopic composition of water and sediment (e.g., Halicz et al., 2008).

Values of $\delta^{88}\text{Sr}$ in the world’s major rivers range from 0.13 to 0.57‰ (Krabbenhöft et al., 2010; Pearce et al., 2015) and are affected primarily by bedrock lithology (e.g., Stevenson et al., 2018). The large seasonal variations of $\delta^{88}\text{Sr}$ (>-0.5‰) in river water of the Xijiang River in China have been attributed to the different isotope signatures of silicate and carbonate rocks (Wei et al., 2013). Some recent studies have shown that the upper limit of $\delta^{88}\text{Sr}$ of river waters exceeds the bulk $\delta^{88}\text{Sr}$ values of local bedrocks, an indication that minerals with high $\delta^{88}\text{Sr}$ values have been preferentially dissolved (Chao et al., 2015; Andrews and Jacobson, 2018). The preferential incorporation of $^{88}\text{Sr}$ into secondary clay and calcite is an important influence on the Sr isotopic budget in river systems; fractionation of $^{88}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$, but not $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$, accompanies secondary mineral precipitation (Halicz et al., 2008; Chao et al., 2013; Stevenson et al., 2016; AlKhatib and Eisenhauer, 2017; Liu et al., 2017; Shalev et al., 2017) as well as plant uptake in soil (de Souza et al., 2010; Andrews et al., 2016). However, the $\delta^{88}\text{Sr}$ signature behaves conservatively during water mass mixing and stream transport (Andrews et al., 2016; Andrews and Jacobson, 2017). It is still uncertain to what degree the variation of $\delta^{88}\text{Sr}$ values in large multi-lithology river systems can be attributed to solute sources, water–sediment interactions, or secondary mineral formation.

The discharge volume of the Ganges–Brahmaputra river system is the second-largest of the world’s rivers, as well as the second-highest sediment flux (Millman and Syvitski, 1992), and the chemical flux and isotopic variations of its waters are therefore important determinants of the oceanic isotopic mass balance (Bickle et al., 2018; Tipper et al., 2006). The Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers arise on the Himalayan–Tibetan Plateau (Figure 1), where active physical erosion and chemical weathering are associated with glacier flow, landslides, and monsoonal rainfall. These two Himalayan rivers show large seasonal variations in their discharge and chemical composition (Webster et al., 2010). Previous studies have reported that dolomite and silicate detritus strongly influence the highly radiogenic $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ signatures (>0.8) of rivers draining the Himalayan orogen and its floodplain, and the metamorphic carbonates have taken on the highly radiogenic signal from the silicates (e.g., Jacobson et al., 2002; Bickle et al., 2003; Oliver et al., 2003). Secondary minerals also play important roles in riverine chemistry; for instance, river waters can lose a significant fraction of Ca (up to 70% in the Ganges; Bickle et al., 2018) to precipitation of secondary calcite in floodplain sediments.
The Brahmaputra and Meghna rivers. The mean annual discharge of several large rivers within India, and in Bangladesh is joined by the Gangotri glacier in the western Himalayas, accepts the discharge from the Brahmaputra River near its mouth is 2.0 × 10^4 m^3 s^-1, and maximum flood discharges reach 6.4 × 10^4 m^3 s^-1 during the rainy season (Webster et al., 2010). The Meghna River arises in the low hills of eastern India and joins a distributary of the Ganges–Brahmaputra system in Bangladesh to form a much larger stream, referred to here as the lower Meghna River (Figure 1). The upper Meghna River is small compared to the Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers, with an average annual discharge of 0.35 × 10^4 m^3 s^-1 (Parua, 2010).

The Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers flow across many different rock types. Their headwaters drain metamorphic rocks (high-grade schist, gneiss, quartzite, and metamorphosed carbonate rocks), felsic intrusives, and sandstone, shale, and limestone of Paleozoic and Mesozoic age (e.g., Heroy et al., 2003). In contrast, their middle and lower reaches traverse widespread Pleistocene Himalayan alluvium. The Meghna River flows only across lowland deposits of Himalayan alluvium in Bangladesh.

Sampling in Bangladesh was undertaken during the dry season (16–23 February) and wet season (22–25 September) of 2012. Samples of midstream surface waters were collected at three locations in the Ganges–Brahmaputra river system above and below their confluence and single locations in an upper tributary of the Meghna River (the Kushiyara River), the main stream of the upper Meghna River, and the lower Meghna River (Figure 1; Tables 1, 2). Bedload sediment samples were collected during dry lowstand periods in January 2011 to access the midstream surface bedload. The samples were taken in a sealable plastic bag and dried in an oven at 60°C. Groundwater samples were taken from 14 wells in the Bengal Plain (Figure 1; Table 1). Before groundwater sampling, each well was pumped for a while to obtain stable temperature and electrical conductivity, as similar to other papers (e.g., Paul et al., 2010).

**Analytical Methods**

Water samples were passed through acetate membrane filters (0.45 µm pore size) and acidified with ultrapure HNO_3 (Tamapure-AA-100; Tama Chemicals, Japan). Ionic concentration, pH, and total alkalinity data were previously reported by Manaka et al. (2017) and Manaka et al. (2019). Water temperature and pH were measured by 826 pH Mobile Meter (Metrohm, Herisau) immediately at the sampling site. Total alkalinity was measured by Gran titration with 0.05 mol L^-1 HCl (ABU91, Radiometer). pCO_2 and HCO_3^- concentrations were then calculated from the pH and total alkalinity values using the carbonate equilibrium calculation program CO2calc (Robbins et al., 2010). The major cation and anion concentrations were measured by ion chromatography (Dionex ICS-2000 and DX-500) at the Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC) and the
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### TABLE 1 | Sampling information and analytical results for river water and groundwater samples.

| Locality                      | Latitude | Longitude | Depth (m) | Date       | Temp | pH | δ18O | d-excess | δ34S| Sr/Ca | Na | Mg | K | Ca | Sr | Cl | SO4 | Sr/Ca | Sr/Ca* |
|-------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------|----|------|----------|-----|-------|----|----|---|----|----|----|-----|-------|-------|
|                              | (°N)     | (°E)      |           |            |      |    |      |          |     |       |    |    |   |    |    |    |     |       |       |
| **Ganges River**              |          |           |           |            |      |    |      |          |     |       |    |    |   |    |    |    |     |       |       |
| GBD12-12                      | 24.3211  | 89.41974  | 244       | February 16, 2012 | L    | 25.1| 6.66 | -29.5   | -5.09 | 11.2  | 0.1715 | 0.0505 | 0.3300 | 0.3344 | 1.34 | 24  | 0.1 | 3.05 | 3.05 | 0.1|
| GBD12-22                      | 24.32567 | 89.36972  | 37        | February 16, 2012 | L    | 20.3| 6.03 | -30.3   | -5.09 | 10.4  | 0.1717 | 0.0502 | 0.3301 | 0.3343 | 1.22 | 25  | 0.1 | 2.81 | 2.70 | 0.0|
| GBD12-5                       | 24.10583 | 89.00489  | 53        | February 19, 2012 | L    | 26.9| 7.15 | -33.2   | -5.47 | 10.6  | 0.17185 | 0.0514 | 0.3302 | 0.3344 | 1.34 | 4.7  | 0.3 | 7.24 | 2.31 | 1.2|
| GBD12-8                       | 24.62874 | 89.35482  | 20        | February 19, 2012 | L    | 36.6| 6.55 | -23.2   | -3.69 | 8.7   | 0.17194 | 0.0504 | 0.3307 | 0.3314 | 0.74 | 170 | 38  | 1.78 | 1.90 | 0.0|
| GBD12-10                      | 24.62450 | 89.37155  | 16        | February 20, 2012 | L    | 25.4| 5.37 | -16.5   | -3.65 | 4.7   | 0.22164 | 0.2208 | 0.3302 | 0.3315 | 0.73 | 90  | 17  | 1.29 | 1.32 | 0.1|
| GBD12-11                      | 24.62587 | 89.22674  | 34        | February 20, 2012 | L    | 26.7| 7.16 | -11.1   | -1.94 | 3.5   | 0.21649 | 0.3039 | 0.3303 | 0.3282 | 1.58 | 91  | 17  | 2.29 | 2.78 | 0.5|
| GBD12-13                      | 23.49991 | 89.42031  | 122       | February 21, 2012 | L    | 27.2| 7.21 | -27.6   | -4.29 | 6.7   | 0.17194 | 0.2166 | 0.3309 | 0.3309 | 0.30 | 1.13 | 92  | 0.31 | 5.05 | 0.04|
| GBD12-16                      | 23.49948 | 89.39683  | 40        | February 21, 2012 | L    | 27.7| 7.02 | -29.5   | -3.41 | 4.8   | 0.22114 | 0.2204 | 0.3303 | 0.3313 | 1.08 | 1.61 | 0.7  | 2.21 | 0.66 | 0.0|
| GBD12-17                      | 23.47065 | 89.14308  | 24        | February 21, 2012 | L    | 27.8| 7.24 | -29.6   | -4.71 | 8.1   | 0.17191 | 0.3305 | 0.3302 | 0.3307 | 0.38 | 1.85 | 3.36 | 0.20 | 7.86 | 0.4|
| GBD12-22                      | 23.73835 | 89.05336  | 76        | February 20, 2012 | L    | 31.5| 5.08 | -23.9   | -4.94 | 8.8   | 0.17196 | 0.3301 | 0.3300 | 0.3301 | 0.85 | 77  | 0.1  | 2.57 | 2.59 | 0.1|
| GBD12-23                      | 24.17479 | 90.01582  | 18        | September 23, 2012 | H    | 28.2| 6.7  | -19.5   | -3.55 | 9.3   | 0.17167 | 0.4354 | 0.3304 | 0.3312 | 0.73 | 61  | 6.3 | 2.48 | 2.89 | 0.5|
| GBD12-4                       | 24.64819 | 89.25152  | 18        | September 23, 2012 | H    | 26.1| 6.35 | -14.2   | -2.22 | 3.5   | 0.22026 | 0.3303 | 0.3300 | 0.3303 | 0.48 | 8.5  | 0.1  | 2.03 | 2.51 | 0.04|
| GBD12-9                       | 23.73823 | 89.03532  | 76        | September 25, 2012 | H    | 26.8| 6.39 | -28.7   | -4.49 | 7.2   | 0.17180 | 0.3301 | 0.3300 | 0.3301 | 0.70 | 56  | 2.02 | 1.03 | 0.20 | 0.01|

**References:**

- JB-2 (1) 0.703072 2.2E-06 0.021 0.014
- JB-2 (2) 0.703072 2.1E-06 0.021 0.014
- JB-2 (3) 0.703072 2.1E-06 0.021 0.014
- JB-2 (4) 0.703071 2.1E-06 0.019 0.015
- BB-1 0.703062 2.1E-06 0.021 0.020
- BB-2 0.703047 2.1E-06 0.021 0.020

*Sr/Ca*: rain-corrected Sr/Ca ratios

The ** sign for Sr/Ca denotes rain-corrected value. Cation and anion concentrations are from Manaka et al. (2015), Manaka et al. (2017) and Manaka et al. (2019).
National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology. The Sr concentrations were determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (PerkinElmer ELAN-DRC II) at JAMSTEC. The uncertainties in elemental concentrations estimated from repeat analysis of the laboratory standard solution during the measurements were generally better than 5%.

A sequential leaching and digestion procedure was used to isolate different Sr-bearing phases in the sediment samples (Moore et al., 2013; Andrews et al., 2016). Subsamples of the <2 mm fraction weighing 1.0 g were reacted with 10 ml of 1 M ammonium chloride (NH₄Cl, adjusted to pH 8) and then with 4 M acetic acid to leach out exchangeable and carbonate fractions, respectively. The acetic acid leaching was repeated three times to ensure complete recovery of carbonate fractions. Each leachate was centrifuged and the supernatants were passed through 0.45 μm PTFE syringe filters. The remaining silicate fractions were digested in a 1:1 mixture of HF and HNO₃. Strontium was recovered from the samples by using a Sr-Spec resin (Eichrom resin).

Stable and radiogenic Sr isotopic compositions were analyzed simultaneously by double-spike thermal ionization mass spectrometry (Wakaki et al., 2017) by using a TRITON TIMS (Thermo Scientific, Germany) at Koji Center Core, Japan. The stable Sr isotope composition was analyzed by the double-spike technique using the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr, ⁸⁸Sr/⁸⁶Sr, and ⁸⁶Sr/⁸⁸Sr ratios of the unspiked and spiked samples and expressed as per mil (%) deviations relative to the NIST SRM-987 reference material expressed as:

\[ \delta^{88}\text{Sr} = \left( \frac{\text{Sr}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{Sr}_{\text{SRM-987}}} \right) - 1 \times 1000 \]

An aliquot of the sample solution was spiked with an ⁸⁴Sr-⁸⁶Sr double spike. The ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio of the unspiked sample was measured on an unspiked aliquot by a conventional internal normalization technique using ⁸⁶Sr/⁸⁸Sr = 0.1194 and the exponential law. The NIST SRM-987 reference is typically measured eight times during every analytical session, Faraday cup gain was measured and corrected every day, and potential systematic bias in the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio caused by the aging effect of the Faraday cup detectors was further corrected to ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr_{SRM-987}.

Hydrogen (δD and δ¹⁸O) values we measured for river water from the Ganges, Brahmaputra, and Meghna rivers are listed in Table 1. The variations in these measurements reflect strong orographic, altitude, and evaporative effects. The deviation of δD and δ¹⁸O from the global meteoric water line is expressed as the deuterium δ-excess (δ-excess = δD - 8°δ¹⁸O; Dansgaard, 1964), which is negatively correlated with relative humidity (i.e., greater kinetic fractionation during evaporation lowers d-excess for surface water and raises d-excess for water vapor).

The rivers in the Ganges floodplain, precipitation, and the Nepalese rivers of the Ganges headwaters have been shown to have δ-excess values of 5.5 ± 0.6‰, 8.8 ± 1.0‰, and 12.3 ± 0.8‰, respectively (Bickle et al., 2018). Water of the Ganges main stem in Bangladesh had an average δ-excess value of 9.0‰ in our measurements (Table 1), a value between those of the floodplain and mountain rivers. In contrast, the average δ-excess was greatest for the Brahmaputra River samples (12.9‰) and within the range of the Nepalese rivers. The difference in δ-excess between the two Himalayan rivers indicates that the Brahmaputra River carries water and solutes derived predominantly from mountain rivers and the Ganges River is strongly affected by discharge from the floodplain. This is consistent with the greater contribution of upstream precipitation and snow/glacial melts from the high-elevation mountains to the annual discharge in the Brahmaputra River (Immerzeel et al., 2010).

The δ-excess of the upper Meghna River, which drains only the Bengal Plain, showed large seasonal differences (5.6‰ for low water and 9.2‰ for high water; Table 1). The lower value indicates that the kinetic fractionation during evaporation occurs. The δ-excess of Bengal Plain groundwater allows estimates of its sources and the degree of evapotranspiration. Aggarwal et al. (2000) identified four types of groundwater in

\[ \delta^{88}\text{Sr} = \left( \frac{\text{Sr}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{Sr}_{\text{SRM-987}}} \right) - 1 \times 1000 \]

resulting from the Atlantic, Pacific, Indian, and Southern Oceans (Wakaki et al., 2017).

Hydrogen (δD and oxygen (δ¹⁸O) isotope ratios of the water samples were determined by a cavity ring-down spectrometer (Picarro L2120-i, Picarro Inc., USA), and calibrated with the VSMOW2 and SLAP2 standards. Isotopic data are given in per mil relative to Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (V-SMOW). The 2SD precision for δ¹⁸O and δD analysis were ± 0.05‰ and ± 1.0‰, respectively. The accuracy was checked by three laboratory standards DOW (δ¹⁸O = −0.09‰, δD = −0.27‰), SLW2 (δ¹⁸O = −10.82‰, δD = −70.80‰), and ICE2 (δ¹⁸O = −14.51‰, δD = −106.3‰), and these were consistent with the reported values of DOW (δ¹⁸O = −0.075‰, δD = 0.593‰), SLW2 (δ¹⁸O = −10.82‰, δD = −71.00‰), and ICE2 (δ¹⁸O = −14.51‰, δD = −105.8‰).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Water and Solute Sources of River Water and Groundwater

Hydrogen and Oxygen Isotopic Compositions of River Water and Groundwater

The δD and δ¹⁸O values we measured for river water from the Ganges, Brahmaputra, and Meghna rivers are listed in Table 1. The variations in these measurements reflect strong orographic, altitude, and evaporative effects. The deviation of δD and δ¹⁸O from the global meteoric water line is expressed as the deuterium δ-excess (δ-excess = δD - 8°δ¹⁸O; Dansgaard, 1964), which is negatively correlated with relative humidity (i.e., greater kinetic fractionation during evaporation lowers d-excess for surface water and raises d-excess for water vapor).

The rivers in the Ganges floodplain, precipitation, and the Nepalese rivers of the Ganges headwaters have been shown to have δ-excess values of 5.5 ± 0.6‰, 8.8 ± 1.0‰, and 12.3 ± 0.8‰, respectively (Bickle et al., 2018). Water of the Ganges main stem in Bangladesh had an average δ-excess value of 9.0‰ in our measurements (Table 1), a value between those of the floodplain and mountain rivers. In contrast, the average δ-excess was greatest for the Brahmaputra River samples (12.9‰) and within the range of the Nepalese rivers. The difference in δ-excess between the two Himalayan rivers indicates that the Brahmaputra River carries water and solutes derived predominantly from mountain rivers and the Ganges River is strongly affected by discharge from the floodplain. This is consistent with the greater contribution of upstream precipitation and snow/glacial melts from the high-elevation mountains to the annual discharge in the Brahmaputra River (Immerzeel et al., 2010).

The δ-excess of the upper Meghna River, which drains only the Bengal Plain, showed large seasonal differences (5.6‰ for low water and 9.2‰ for high water; Table 1). The lower value indicates that the kinetic fractionation during evaporation occurs. The δ-excess of Bengal Plain groundwater allows estimates of its sources and the degree of evapotranspiration. Aggarwal et al. (2000) identified four types of groundwater in
Bangladesh (types I–IV with increasing depth) on the basis of their distinct δD, δ18O, and H values and 14C activity. They reported that, in general, type I (δ18O = −5.5 to −3.5‰) and II (δ18O = −7‰) groundwaters (depths down to 70–100 m) are recharged regularly and have residence times of tens to 100 years. For type III groundwater (δ18O = −6‰, depths of 100–300 m), residence times are about 3,000 years. They also reported that type IV groundwater (δ18O = −3‰, depths >300 m) was recharged soon after the last glacial maximum and that both type III and IV groundwaters are isolated from surface waters (types I and II) and do not receive recharge from local rain and floodwaters. Type II groundwater, found mainly in the nearshore area with high salinity, was not identified in our samples.

In shallow type I groundwater, the large scatter in δ-excess values of our samples (Figure 2) is inherited from isotopic variations in the recharging waters in the plain, which have undergone significant evaporative loss resulting in lower δ-excess, and from mixing with river water with high δ-excess during widespread flooding. We found that δ-excess converged with increasing depth to a narrow range at depths below 70 m. Both 87Sr/86Sr ratios and δ88Sr values of groundwater samples showed a similar trend, with a large scatter at shallow depths and convergence with increasing depths (Figure 2). The chemical properties of groundwaters at depths from 70 to 240 m appear to converge to those of type III. This is consistent with a groundwater classification based on well depths rather than δ18O. The difference of δ18O values for type III between our and reference data may be a reflection of the small sample sizes, as Aggarwal et al. (2000) and this study combined measured only about 20 samples of type III and type IV waters. It should be noted that the average δ-excess at depths below 240 m has a characteristic high value of 9.6‰, indicating a relatively small evaporative loss. This would reflect past climatic conditions of higher humidity or lower temperature (Uemura et al., 2008) on the floodplain or in the regions where moisture originated. These differences in hydrologic conditions, especially the residence time, are closely linked to the extent of interactions between dissolved cations and sediments, as discussed in Changes in Sr Isotope Ratios and Water–Sediment Reaction Time with Groundwater Depth.

Contribution of Atmospheric, Silicate, and Carbonate Sources to Water Chemistry

The concentrations of Sr in our river water and groundwater samples are presented in Table 1, along with major cation and anion data reported by Manaka et al. (2015), Manaka et al. (2017), and Manaka et al. (2019). The water samples from the Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers had greater total dissolved solids, and higher Ca and Mg concentrations than those from the upper Meghna River (Figure 3). Most element concentrations were higher in the dry season than in the wet season (Table 1), likely because of the dilution of river waters during the wet season.

The Sr/Ca and Sr isotopic values of river water and groundwater have been corrected for the atmospheric contribution on the basis of the molar ratio of Sr to Cl of rainwater (e.g., Andrews et al., 2016), assuming that Cl in river water is derived predominantly from salts sourced from seawater, aerosols, and evaporitic rocks (see details in Supplementary Information). The corrections for stations in the Meghna River were less than −0.00028 for 87Sr/86Sr and less than +0.006‰ for δ88Sr. Corrections for the groundwater samples, shown in Figure 2, were less than −0.00047 for 87Sr/86Sr and less than +0.006‰ for δ88Sr, except for three shallow samples with large (13.7–25.5‰) atmospheric Sr inputs, which had 87Sr/86Sr differences of 0.00090–0.00351 and δ88Sr differences of 0.033–0.054‰. The impacts of atmospheric inputs on δ88Sr are generally small relative to the analytical reproducibility of ±0.025‰.

| Table 2 | Sampling information and analytical results for bedload sediment samples. |
|---------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Sample ID | Fraction types | Latitude | Longitude | δ36Sr NBS987 | 2SD 87Sr/86Sr | 2SE 87Sr/86Sr | Sr (mg g⁻¹) | relative amounts (%) | Sr/Ca (mmol/ mol) | Mg/Ca (mol/ mol) |
| Ganges River | | | | | | | | | | |
| BD11-1-1-NH4Cl | exchangeable | 24.624 | 88.156 | −0.258 | 0.027 | 0.724203 | 0.000000 | 1.42 | 3.4 | 0.83 | 0.07 |
| BD11-1-1-HAc | carbonate | 0.290 | 0.027 | 0.716441 | 0.000002 | 22.57 | 53.3 | 0.56 | 0.09 |
| BD11-1-1-HF+HNO3 | silicate | 0.398 | 0.028 | 0.762859 | 0.000005 | 18.39 | 43.4 | 27.76 | 0.70 |
| Brahmaputra River | | | | | | | | | | |
| BD11-4-1-NH4Cl | exchangeable | 24.869 | 89.607 | 0.093 | 0.027 | 0.721622 | 0.000003 | 0.72 | 1.9 | 1.60 | 0.09 |
| BD11-4-1-HAc | carbonate | 0.283 | 0.027 | 0.721595 | 0.000003 | 0.50 | 1.3 | 0.77 | 0.81 |
| BD11-4-1-HF+HNO3 | silicate | 0.370 | 0.027 | 0.729270 | 0.000002 | 36.58 | 96.8 | 39.70 | 0.71 |
| upper Meghna river | | | | | | | | | | |
| BD11-10-1-NH4Cl | exchangeable | 24.050 | 91.013 | 0.182 | 0.028 | 0.717532 | 0.000003 | 1.16 | 4.9 | 1.86 | 1.17 |
| BD11-10-1-HAc | carbonate | 0.276 | 0.027 | 0.719954 | 0.000002 | 0.50 | 2.1 | 1.34 | 0.56 |
| BD11-10-1-HF+HNO3 | silicate | 0.516 | 0.028 | 0.731786 | 0.000002 | 21.98 | 93.0 | 38.27 | 0.26 |
| Kushiya River (tributary of upper Meghna River) | | | | | | | | | | |
| BD11-8-2-NH4Cl | exchangeable | 24.175 | 91.000 | 0.206 | 0.027 | 0.715688 | 0.000003 | 3.74 | 15.5 | 2.13 | 0.39 |
| BD11-8-2-HAc | carbonate | 0.118 | 0.032 | 0.715650 | 0.000003 | 2.11 | 8.8 | 0.90 | 0.09 |
| BD11-8-2-HF+HNO3 | silicate | 0.351 | 0.027 | 0.729341 | 0.000002 | 18.23 | 75.7 | 39.70 | 0.71 |
An important question is whether the extent to which the weathering of carbonate and silicate rocks and the loss of Sr to secondary minerals and clays could account for the riverine δ\(^{88}\)Sr composition. To estimate the relative contributions of silicate and carbonate weathering to Sr isotope systematics, we relied on the Sr/Ca ratios of the bedload and reference data (Bickle et al., 2018; Jacobson et al., 2002). In the bedload samples from the Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers, the carbonate fractions had similar Sr/Ca ratios, 0.56 and 0.77 mmol/mol, respectively, and nearly identical δ\(^{88}\)Sr (Table 2). The Sr/Ca ratio for the Ganges River samples is close to the average value (0.544 ± 0.061 mmol/mol) of acetic acid leaches of Ganges River bedload sediment collected upstream, from Rajshahi in the Ganges floodplain (Galy et al., 1999a) and Rishikesh (Bickle et al., 2018). The Sr/Ca ratios in the carbonate fraction of Himalayan glacial soil, at the earliest stage of pedogenesis of Himalayan metamorphic rocks, range from 0.47 to 0.82 mmol/mol (Jacobson et al., 2002). The similarity of these carbonate Sr/Ca values suggests that the carbonates of the Ganges and Brahmaputra river bedload in Bangladesh are primarily derived from Himalayan carbonate source rocks. The higher carbonate Sr/Ca in the Brahmaputra River and upper Meghna River (1.34 mmol/mol) reflects the relative abundance of dolomite over calcite, as dolomite is more resistant to carbonate weathering and yields less Ca (Bickle et al., 2018). The Mg/Ca ratios of the carbonate leachates are 0.09 mol/mol for the Ganges and 0.81 mol/mol for the Brahmaputra River bedload samples (Table 2), consistent with there being more dolomite. Besides, the carbonate fractions of the Ganges, Brahmaputra, and Meghna rivers have closely similar δ\(^{88}\)Sr values regardless of the variation in their 87Sr/86Sr, Sr/Ca, and Mg/Ca ratios, which reflect the relative abundances of dolomite and calcite. Therefore, the different sources of carbonate Sr have a similar δ\(^{88}\)Sr value, thus the carbonate fractions indicate a well-defined δ\(^{88}\)Sr source, independent of carbonate mineralogy.

The catchment of the Ganges River is composed mainly of weathered sedimentary and volcanic rocks with high clay content, whereas that of the Brahmaputra River is composed predominantly of less-weathered, coarser sediments (e.g., Coleman, 1969). The silicate fraction of the Brahmaputra bedload has a Sr/Ca ratio of 69.67 mmol/mol, about twice those of the Ganges and upper Meghna rivers (27.76 and 38.27 mmol/mol, respectively), most likely due to incongruent dissolution (e.g., a significant depletion of plagioclase in the samples) or sorting effects of silicate materials (Lupker et al., 2012; Bickle et al., 2018). The laboratory leaching experiment of shale suggested that preferential dissolution of \(^{88}\)Sr-enriched minerals occurs at an early stage of weathering, corresponding to the dissolution order of feldspar, chlorite, and then illite (Chao et al., 2015). It is important to note that δ\(^{88}\)Sr in the silicate fractions of our bedload samples (0.351–0.516‰) are all higher than the average of igneous rocks, 0.30 ± 0.02‰ (Moynier et al., 2010; Charlier et al., 2012; Amsellem et al., 2018). This cannot be explained by preferential leaching of \(^{88}\)Sr-enriched phases from silicate rocks (Chao et al., 2015) or clay formation that results in lower δ\(^{88}\)Sr values of sediments (Stevenson et al., 2016). Therefore, the higher δ\(^{88}\)Sr and Sr/Ca of the silicate fractions can be attributed to hydrodynamic sorting of different minerals having unique δ\(^{88}\)Sr values (Lupker et al., 2012; Andrews and Jacobson, 2017; Andrews and Jacobson, 2018). Sediment hydrodynamic sorting results in coarse-grained and quartz-rich fractions for floodplain deposits, and the transported load gets enriched in clay and phyllosilicate (Lupker et al., 2012). Moreover, during sediment transit from the Himalayan front to the Bengal Plain, sediments become depleted in mobile components such as Na, K, and carbonates, and K-feldspar dissolution likely represents K release (Lupker et al., 2012). Although the mineral specific data of δ\(^{88}\)Sr is still limited, K-feldspar (0.455‰) can account for the high δ\(^{88}\)Sr values, but chlorite (0.317‰) on the contrary has a similar value to the average of igneous rocks (Andrews and Jacobson, 2018).

To address the problem of sediment heterogeneity, we used the Sr/Ca ratios for the silicate (3.22 mmol/mol) and carbonate (0.544 mmol/mol) sources of the Ganges River floodplain to calculate the fraction of carbonate- (F\(_{\text{carb}}\)) and silicate-derived Sr (F\(_{\text{sil}}\) = 1 - F\(_{\text{carb}}\)) attributing to solute sources (Table 1). The end-member values are estimated based on mass balance calculations using the mean solute chemistry and Sr isotopic compositions of the Ganges River (Bickle et al., 2018). Although all river waters plot within the range of binary mixing between silicate and carbonate sources, some groundwater samples had rain-corrected Sr/Ca ratios (Sr/Ca\(_{\text{r}}\)) higher than the silicate value of 3.22 mmol/mol, suggesting that sediment heterogeneity is apparent at local scales.

The average Sr contribution from carbonate calculated from the element concentration data of Manaka et al. (2017) was similar in the Ganges (52%) and Brahmaputra (49%) river samples, but the range was wider for the Ganges River samples (39–62%) than for the Brahmaputra River samples (45–56%) (Supplementary Table S1). The rain-corrected Sr/Ca ratio of all river waters (Table 1) was higher in the dry season than in the wet season, probably due to precipitation of secondary calcite; Ganges River water, in particular, was extremely supersaturated with respect to calcite (Ω\(_{\text{calcite}}\) = 12.5; Table 1, Supplementary Information). This seasonal difference was greatest in the Ganges River (Sr/Ca\(_{\text{r}}\)) was 2.01 in the dry season and 1.57 in the wet season) and smaller in the Brahmaputra (1.96 and 1.71) and upper Meghna rivers (2.51 and 2.31). The loss of Ca due to secondary calcite precipitation in waters of the Ganges River and its floodplain tributaries best explains the changes in the share of Sr contributed by carbonates for the Ganges River samples, and the initial share should be greater, comparable to the values of ~65% calculated by deconvolution of the chemical and isotopic inputs to the Ganges River (Bickle et al., 2018). Secondary mineral formation dominantly occurs in low-altitude plains, where long water–rock interaction times favor the progress of chemical reactions toward equilibrium (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017). For the Brahmaputra River, the smaller seasonal variation in Sr/Ca indicates a smaller amount of secondary calcite precipitation, probably related to a smaller water input from floodplain discharges as expected from the high d-excess in the Brahmaputra River, similar to that of the Nepalese rivers.
In contrast to the similarities between the Himalayan rivers, the river water and groundwater of the Bengal Plain clearly differ in chemistry. The upper Meghna River, above its confluence with the Ganges, was characterized by a share of Sr contributed by carbonates that was ∼30% lower than those of the Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers (Table 1). The groundwater samples were generally more enriched in Na and K and had higher total dissolved solids than the surface waters, but they varied widely in composition and displayed no spatial trends (Figure 2). These characteristics likely reflect local heterogeneities in mineral compositions and different degrees of chemical interaction between groundwater and sediment. Moreover, the chemical and isotopic compositions of the groundwater are also a consequence of the secondary mineral controls as discussed in Sr Isotope Ratios and Water–Sediment Reaction Time with Groundwater Depth. Our evidence suggests that the latter is a critical control on Sr isotope systematics in a floodplain, the result of longer water–rock interaction in deep groundwater leading to more congruent weathering.

Radiogenic and Stable Strontium Isotopes
Mixing Trend of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr Between Himalayan and Floodplain Waters
The highest $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios were observed in the waters of the Ganges River; they were lower in the Brahmaputra River and still lower in the upper Meghna River. We also discerned seasonal variations of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr in river water from the Ganges (dry season ∼0.727, wet season ∼0.725; Table 1) and Brahmaputra (dry season ∼0.720, wet season ∼0.718), but not the upper Meghna River (∼0.716). The mean $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios for all groundwater samples were about 0.722 and 0.715 in the dry and wet seasons, respectively. Among the world’s largest rivers, those that drain the Himalayas have the highest $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios (Figure 4A), reflecting the influence of dolomite and mica from Paleoproterozoic rocks of the Lesser Himalayan sequence (e.g., Galy et al., 1999b; Bickle et al., 2003; Oliver et al., 2003) and the fact that progressively smaller amounts of radiogenic Sr are added to river water from the lowland deposits in their lower reaches. The river waters at the upstream Siwalik Hills have $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios of 0.72–0.74 (Quade et al., 1997; Galy et al., 1999b). Our data for the Ganges River are similar to previously published values, and they confirm that the river and groundwater samples in the Bengal Plain are less radiogenic.

To define $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr end-member sediment sources for the Ganges–Brahmaputra–Meghna drainage basin within Bangladesh, we used our data and previously published data to construct plots of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr vs. 1/Sr for river water and groundwater in the basin. Considering only our river water samples and those of Manaka et al. (2017), which were taken from the Bengal Plain during the same field surveys, we identified specific end-member mixing trends for each of the Ganges, Brahmaputra, and Meghna rivers (Figure 5B). A similar mixing trend was evident in δ$^{88}$Sr.
discussed in the following section. The highest \( {^{87}}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) ratios were from the Ganges River samples, which showed a mixing-trend intercept of 0.7335. This end-member \( {^{87}}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) ratio is close to that of the mean output flux from the Himalayas (Bickle et al., 2018) and lies between those of the carbonate and silicate fractions in the bedload sample from the Ganges River (Figure 6A). The \( {^{87}}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) value for the Brahmaputra River sample was distinctly lower (Figure 5B), suggesting that the extremely high \( {^{87}}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) components of Himalayan rocks made a smaller contribution compared to the Ganges River. The intercept value for the end-member mixing trend of Meghna River water was 0.7150 (Figure 5B). This low \( {^{87}}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) ratio, together with the larger Na and K contributions from upper Meghna River water, suggests that the lower Meghna River waters contain a larger component of silicate-derived Sr from less radiogenic floodplain sediments.

The data in \( {^{87}}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}–1/\text{Sr} \) space can be described by a mixture of three (or more) components (Figure 5B). We selected three end-members to represent the isotopic and elemental compositions of the intersection points of end-member mixing trends for the combined Ganges, Brahmaputra, and Meghna rivers (end-member A), the Ganges River (end-member B), and seawater (end-member C, which derived from atmospheric inputs and or salt leaching from soils, Aggarwal et al., 2000). End member A probably represents the average chemical weathering inputs from the Bengal Plain. Corresponding end-member values of \( \delta^{88}\text{Sr} \) were selected in \( \delta^{88}\text{Sr}–1/\text{Sr} \) space (Figure 5C), as discussed in Source-Rock and Fractionation Controls on \( \delta^{88}\text{Sr} \). The processes that disturb the mixing of these sources include atmospheric deposition, with \( {^{87}}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) of 0.712 and 1/Sr > 17 (Gaï et al., 1999b), and significant loss of Sr to secondary minerals. These processes did not affect most of the \( {^{87}}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) and \( \delta^{88}\text{Sr} \) data from the Bengal Plain, which thus could be confidently ascribed to a mixture of Sr derived from the three end-members.

The Sr-isotope data for groundwater from the Bengal Plain lie largely within the range of the combined data of this study and Manaka et al. (2017) for the waters of the Ganges–Brahmaputra–Meghna river system (Figure 5B). Generally speaking, the groundwater samples had \( {^{87}}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) values between those of the Himalayan rivers and the upper Meghna River (0.715578–0.716401), which drains only the Bengal Plain. This may reflect a smaller influence from highly radiogenic Sr in dolomite and silicate minerals such as K-feldspar and mica (Supplementary Figure S1). The aquifers in Bangladesh differ considerably in their host lithology, and groundwater quality varies both laterally and with depth (UNDP, 1982). The high Na and K contents of our groundwater samples (Figure 3) suggest that their major cation compositions have been influenced more strongly by silicate weathering than by carbonate weathering.

**Source-Rock and Fractionation Controls on \( \delta^{88}\text{Sr} \)**

The mean \( \delta^{88}\text{Sr} \) values of the Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers are almost identical to those previously reported by Krabbenhöft et al. (2010), but slightly lower than those reported by Pearce et al. (2015) (Figure 5C). The data of Krabbenhöft et al. (2010) are obtained from nearly the same location for the Ganges River, and 102 km upstream for the Brahmaputra River compared to our sampling sites. The localities for both the Ganges and Brahmaputra River samples of Pearce et al. (2015) are close to their confluence point (Rajbari and Aricha, according to the information of Burke et al., 2018). Differences between \( \delta^{88}\text{Sr} \) values reported in various studies of particular rivers, presented by Pearce et al. (2015), may be a result of differences in relative fractions of chemical weathering inputs derived from the high mountains, floodplain, and southern tributaries (Bickle et al., 2018). The variations of \( \delta^{88}\text{Sr} \) among our samples collected in dry and wet seasons were within the analytical error, thus the relative inputs from the distinct Sr sources were effectively the same at a given sampling site regardless of season, suggesting \( \delta^{88}\text{Sr} \) is insensitive to monsoon weathering. Calcium and carbonate ion concentrations reported in Manaka et al. (2019) show that \( \Omega_{\text{calcite}} \) values are notably higher during the dry season, especially for the Ganges river (12.5), whereas the \( \delta^{88}\text{Sr} \) values appear to have been unaffected by calcite precipitation, which increases \( \delta^{88}\text{Sr} \) values. Here we wish to address the sensitivity of \( \delta^{88}\text{Sr} \) to the extent of calcite precipitation using a closed/semi-closed type fractionation. Assuming the seasonal difference in Sr/Ca* (2.01 for the dry and 1.57 for the wet seasons) is solely caused by secondary calcite precipitation, about 30% of Ca is lost in the dry season of the Ganges floodplain. A part of dissolved Sr in the water co-precipitates with calcite and their relationship is expressed as Rayleigh fractionation (Bickle et al., 2018):

\[
[\text{Sr}]_w = [\text{Sr}]_0 \times \gamma K_d
\]  

(2)

where [Sr]_w and [Sr]_0 are the Sr concentrations in the measured water and that prior to secondary calcite precipitation, \( \gamma \) is the fraction of Ca remaining after precipitation, and the \( K_d \) value of...
0.05 (Bickle et al., 2018) is the Sr/Ca molar partitioning coefficient for secondary calcite. Eq. (2) gives the loss of Sr to secondary calcite is about 1.8%. Then, we estimated an impact of secondary calcite precipitation on the δ²⁸⁸Sr values of the Ganges river water using a closed system isotope fractionation:

\[ \delta^{88}\text{Sr}_w = \delta^{88}\text{Sr}_0 + 1000(\alpha - 1)\ln(f_{\text{Sr}}^{\text{w}}) \]  
\[ f_{\text{Sr}}^{\text{w}} = \frac{[\text{Sr}]_w}{[\text{Sr}]_0} \]

where \( \delta^{88}\text{Sr}_w \) and \( \delta^{88}\text{Sr}_0 \) are the Sr isotopic composition of river waters and their initial values; \( \alpha \) is the fractionation factor between the dissolved Sr and calcite; \( f_{\text{Sr}}^{\text{w}} \) is the fraction of Sr remaining in the water. The calcite-fluid fractionation factor of \(-0.11\‰\) for the \( K_d \) value of 0.05 (Al Khatib and Eisenhauer, 2017, the calculated \( \alpha \) value of 0.99989) was used for the calculation. As a result, an increase of riverine \( \delta^{88}\text{Sr} \) of 0.002‰ should occur for secondary calcite precipitation. This value is subtle and 14-fold
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**FIGURE 4 | (A)** \( \delta^{88}\text{Sr} \) vs. \( \delta^{87}\text{Sr}/\delta^{86}\text{Sr} \) for river water and groundwater samples in Bangladesh. Data for the world’s largest rivers reported by Krabbenhöft et al. (2010) and Pearce et al. (2015) are also shown. "G+B+M" indicates the sample from the lower Meghna River, below its confluence with the Ganges–Brahmaputra river system. Bars represent a 2SD error. The internal errors of \( \delta^{88}\text{Sr} \) measurements are comparable to long-term external reproducibility (see also Analytical Methods), therefore the reference data are plotted with the long-term reproducibility of each laboratory: 0.025‰ (n = 33) from Pearce et al. (2015) and 0.044‰ (n = 90) from Krabbenhöft et al. (2009). (B) \( \delta^{88}\text{Sr} \) vs. \( \delta^{87}\text{Sr}/\delta^{86}\text{Sr} \) showing values for exchangeable, carbonate, and silicate phases of the sediment samples. Values for river water and groundwater from (A) are also shown.
less than that of the 2SD repeatability of our analysis (Wakaki et al., 2017, ±0.025, n = 45). Therefore, the impact of Sr coprecipitation with secondary calcite is considered to be negligible.

The two Himalayan rivers have clearly different chemical compositions (Figures 3, 4). The δ88Sr of Ganges River water (0.269‰) is lower than that of the Brahmaputra River (0.316‰), reflecting the character of the solutes and sediments transported by the two rivers. The higher δ88Sr for the Brahmaputra River is consistent with Krabbenhöft et al. (2010) and Pearce et al. (2015) (Figures 4A, 5C).

The three different Sr-bearing phases in bedload samples have a 0.8‰ range of isotopic variation whereas river water and groundwater vary by only 0.2‰ (Figures 4B, 6). In our leaching experiments, the δ88Sr values increased systematically from the exchangeable to the carbonate to the silicate fraction, with the exception of the carbonate fraction of the Kushiya River, where is close to GBD12-3 in Figure 1, bedload (Figure 6). The Ganges and Brahmaputra river bedload had nearly identical δ88Sr values of ~0.29‰ for carbonate and ~0.38‰ for silicate. The carbonates contributed 53.3% of the Sr in the Ganges River bedload but only 1.3% of the Sr in the Brahmaputra River bedload (Table 2). These are consistent with that δ88Sr values for the Brahmaputra River are more strongly affected by high Himalayan silicate sources.

The sediments carried by the Ganges River are more strongly weathered and have higher clay content than the sediments of the Brahmaputra River (Khan et al., 2019; Coleman, 1969). In the Bengal Plain the δ88Sr values of exchangeable fractions in bedload samples were 0.07–0.53‰ lower than those of the corresponding river waters (Figure 6B), a difference that reflects isotopic fractionation related to secondary processes such as adsorption, chelation, and ion exchange by which clay minerals preferentially incorporate 86Sr. In the Ganges River, the Sr/Ca molar partitioning factors for exchangeable fraction and river water (Dsr/Ca) as well as the δ88Sr values are markedly lower (0.46, –0.258‰) than those for the Brahmaputra (0.87, 0.093‰) and Meghna (0.77, 0.182‰) Rivers. Khan et al. (2019) showed that the clay mineral assemblage in the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna river system consists of illite, smectite, kaolinite, and chlorite: the Ganges sediments are more enriched in smectite formed in the lowland floodplain, and the Brahmaputra sediments are rich in illite. Moreover, the distribution patterns of the clay mineral assemblages of the Brahmaputra and Meghna rivers are similar (Khan et al., 2019). It is therefore plausible that the clay mineralogy is linked to the δ88Sr and Dsr/Ca values of exchangeable fractions. Both smectite and illite are 2:1 layer type clays, but their chemical properties are different (e.g., Missana et al., 2008). Isomorphic cation substitution in smectite takes place in the tetrahedral and octahedral sheets. On the contrary, substitution in illite takes place predominantly in the tetrahedral sheets, and consequently, illite presents lower cation exchange capacity than smectite because cations only at the external surfaces are exchangeable. In addition, smectite is expanding clay that hosts water in interlayer sites, resulting in expansion of interlayer spacing, whereas illite has no swelling interlayers. A difference of the substitution sites and structural changes associated with cation substitution and hydration state may result in magnitudes of Sr isotopic fractionation and the exchangeable fractions and ambient waters. Stevenson et al. (2016) suggested that the 88Sr enrichment in dissolved Sr is a consequence of preferential uptake of 86Sr by secondary minerals. A greater degree of Sr incorporation by clay minerals leads residual waters to be isotopically heavier than the source materials. A previous study of the Russell Glacier in western Greenland reported that suspended sediment δ88Sr values were identical to the bedload sediment and found no evidence for an isotopically light clay reservoir (Andrews and Jacobson, 2018). No significant contribution of clay adsorption to δ88Sr values has also been reported in a sedimentary watershed in southwestern Taiwan (Chao et al., 2015). Although the exchangeable fractions show preferential uptake of 86Sr, we also did not find a lower δ88Sr reservoir in the silicate fraction of the bedload sediments. Although the Ganges River particularly has a greater abundance of clay minerals in its catchment and the greater extent of 86Sr uptake by the exchangeable fraction, the Ganges river water has the lower δ88Sr values relative to its bedload carbonate and silicate fractions (Table 2). There is, therefore, little evidence that clay minerals exert an influence on the δ88Sr of the Ganges river water.

The total chemical weathering flux of the Ganges–Brahmaputra–Meghna rivers has a δ88Sr value of 0.308‰ (sample GBD12-04, Table 1), nearly the same as those of the carbonate fraction of bedload (~0.29‰) and silicate rocks of all types (0.30 ± 0.02‰) (Amsellem et al., 2018; Charlier et al., 2012; Moynier et al., 2010). A plot of δ88Sr vs. Sr/Ca for water samples and sequential leaching of riverine bedload can be a good indicator to differentiate primary and secondary Sr sources (Figure 7). The river lies on the mixing line between the Sr/Ca ratios of silicate and carbonate sources of the Ganges River floodplain (Bickle et al., 2018) with estimated δ88Sr values of the average of igneous rocks (0.30‰, Amsellem et al., 2018; Charlier et al., 2012; Moynier et al., 2010), and the average of carbonate fraction of the bedload sediments from the Ganges, Brahmaputra, and upper Meghna rivers (0.283‰, this study). It is likely that the δ88Sr values of these rivers represent an average δ88Sr composition for all of the catchments in this large drainage area and their various rock types (i.e., close to the mean values of bulk carbonate and silicate rocks), with little influence from secondary carbonate and clay minerals. Therefore, the extent of Sr loss or addition by secondary processes does not have a great influence on Sr budget during the transport processes. This inference supports the reliability of using Sr/Ca and 87Sr/86Sr ratios to estimate inputs of solutes derived from carbonates and silicates (Bickle et al., 2018).

Changes in Sr Isotope Ratios and Water–Sediment Reaction Time with Groundwater Depth

The objective of sampling groundwater in the Bengal Plain was to resolve the significance of longer water–sediment interaction times on Sr isotopic heterogeneity in a weathering system with high denudation rates and widespread floodplains. The residence times range from tens of years for shallow (0–70 m) type I groundwater to several thousand years or longer for deep type
FIGURE 5 | $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ vs. $1/\text{Sr}$. (A) River water from Himalayan tributaries of the Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers from previously published analyses (Galy et al., 1999; Basu et al., 2001; McArthur et al., 2001; Singh et al., 2006; Paul et al., 2010); also shown are isotopic end-members for the Tibetan Sedimentary Series (TSS), High Himalayan Crystalline Series (HHCS), and Lesser Himalaya formations (LHF) determined by Oliver et al. (2003). (B) Detail of (A) showing river water and groundwater samples from this study and previously published analyses in Bangladesh. The green hexagons are data for the lower Meghna River downstream of its confluence with the Ganges–Brahmaputra river system. The red (and dotted) lines show the mixing trends we identified in our samples for the Ganges, Brahmaputra, and Meghna river waters. The ratio of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ to $1/\text{Sr}$ for modern seawater is also plotted. (C) $\delta^{88}\text{Sr}$ vs. $1/\text{Sr}$ of river water and groundwater samples of the Bengal Plain from this study, Krabbenhöft et al. (2010), and Pearce et al. (2015). The dashed lines show the mixing trends between end-members A, B, and C (see text for details).
III and type IV groundwater (Aggarwal et al., 2000). The Sr concentrations of our groundwater samples ranged from 0.47 to 3.55 μmol/kg (Table 1), and the average concentration was about four times higher than concentrations in water samples from the upper Meghna River (samples GBD12-3 and FBD12-7). The $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of our groundwater samples ranged from 0.716189 to 0.727104 (Figure 2; Table 1). Compared to the river water, the chemical properties of groundwaters strongly reflected the contributions of silicate sources (Figure 3) with widely varying $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios and most closely corresponded to the silicate fractions of bedload samples (Figure 6A). Moreover, $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios and other chemical parameters varied greatly in shallow type I groundwater, then converged with depth to a narrow range of about 0.717 for type III and type IV groundwater (Figure 2).

The depth profile of $\delta^{88}\text{Sr}$ (Figure 2) also showed it to vary widely in the shallow groundwater, but it was more uniform (~0.31‰) at depths greater than 70 m. As with the Himalayan rivers, $\delta^{88}\text{Sr}$ of deep groundwater appears to be close to the values of the carbonate fraction and the bulk silicate Earth. Such a relationship implies that the deep groundwater reaches a steady-state and silicate weathering is more congruent (in which the water chemistry approximates the rock chemistry, less secondary
mineral formation, and less incongruent dissolution of silicate minerals occurred in the deeper groundwater). The residence time of type III and IV groundwaters suggests that the time required for their isotopic equilibration is on the order of thousands of years. These results imply that the $\delta^{88}$Sr composition of deep groundwater is also in a steady-state with respect to both stable and radiogenic Sr isotopes. Obviously, more isotope data for the deep groundwater samples are still in need of further constraint. Lithium isotope ratios ($\delta^7$Li) are a tracer of secondary mineral formation (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017). The average $\delta^7$Li values in the deep groundwater in the Bengal Basin (12.2‰ for type III and 8.7‰ for type IV) are several‰ lower than those at shallow depths ($<50$ m, 16.1‰ for type I) (Bagard et al., 2015; Manaka et al., 2017). Moreover, $\delta^7$Li values of older groundwaters are closer to those of primary silicate rocks. Although $\delta^{88}$Sr values would not reflect solely the silicate weathering, as we will discuss later, the depth changes in $\delta^{88}$Sr and $\delta^7$Li suggest more congruent silicate weathering, therefore less influence of secondary minerals.

On the other hand, shallow groundwater samples had $\delta^{88}$Sr values both higher and lower than those of deep groundwater. We infer that this variability is caused by the kinetically limited dissolution of minerals, such that weathering proceeds in a non-steady-state and weathering-limited regime at a time scale of tens to 100 years. Figure 7 shows that some shallow groundwaters plotted outliers from the mixing trend for the rivers likely reflect interaction with the $^{88}$Sr-enriched phases from silicate fractions and the carbonate/exchangeable fraction with a low $\delta^{88}$Sr value (Figure 7). Chao et al. (2015) and Andrews and Jacobson (2018) have shown that the preferential dissolution of $^{88}$Sr-enriched phases occurs early during silicate weathering of sedimentary and metamorphic rocks. The preferential dissolution of $^{88}$Sr-enriched minerals can account for the increases in $\delta^{88}$Sr and Sr/Ca for some shallow groundwater similar to that the high Sr/Ca ratios of the silicate fractions of bedload are caused by incongruent dissolution and/or sorting effects of silicate materials (discussed in Contributions of Atmospheric, Silicate and Carbonate Sources to Water Chemistry).

Precipitation of secondary calcite likely plays a role in driving the dissolved load to higher $\delta^{88}$Sr values (Liu et al., 2017); however, because all but one of the $\Omega_{calc}$ values of the groundwater samples were less than 1.0 (Table 1), precipitation of calcite is unlikely in the Bengal Plain. Moreover, their $\delta^{88}$Sr values are relatively insensitive to the amounts of the Sr loss to secondary carbonate, as similar to that of the floodplain. Instead, preferential dissolution of $^{88}$Sr-depleted carbonate appeared to have lowered $\delta^{88}$Sr values. Groundwater sample GBD12-8 from the Barind Tract, a Pleistocene terrace in northwestern Bangladesh, had a $\delta^{88}$Sr value of 0.184‰ (rain-corrected value of $\delta^{88}$Sr* = 0.151‰), by far the lowest value we measured. The $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratio for this sample was similar to those of the other groundwater samples, but the Sr/Na ratio was notably lower, indicating a source
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with a low Sr concentration. Among the parameters we studied, Sr/Na showed noteworthy correlations with HCO₃/Na (r = 0.80) and Ca/Na (r = 0.56), implying active dissolution of carbonates. K (likely related to clay minerals), Cl, and SO₄ (derived from salts) concentrations appeared to be unrelated to δ²⁸Sr and Sr concentrations. The carbonate fraction in the bedload of the Kushiyara River, an upper tributary of the Meghna River, had a very low δ²⁸Sr value of 0.118‰ (Table 2). This carbonate is considered to be a local secondary carbonate because compared to the exchangeable fraction, this fraction has a low Mg/Ca, almost identical ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr, and lower δ⁸⁶Sr, indicating secondary precipitation from ambient waters. Its δ⁸⁶Sr value is also consistent with observations indicating a local influence from isotopically distinct carbonates in a plot of δ²⁸Sr vs. Sr/Ca (Figure 7). Overall, three-component mixing (Figure 5B,C) and dissolution kinetics of minerals can account for differences in δ²⁸Sr in the shallow groundwater. Isotopic fractionation does occur during secondary mineral formation but does not have resolvable impacts on the δ²⁸Sr values particularly for water samples. Therefore, it is not necessary to explain most of the riverine and groundwater variations. The δ²⁶Sr values can be used to elucidate primary and secondary Sr sources as well as congruent and incongruent silicate weathering especially for groundwater samples, and thus can be applied to local weathering processes in the floodplain.

Finally, we determined that the concentration-weighted mean of δ²⁶Sr for all of our groundwater samples was 0.309‰, which is the same as that of the water of the lower Meghna River below its confluence with the Ganges–Brahmaputra river system (0.308‰; see GBD 12-4 mean in Table 1). These results indicate that the δ²⁶Sr compositions of the groundwater and river fluxes are much the same. Weathering in the low-altitude plains makes an important contribution to the oceanic δ²⁶Sr budget via surface and submarine groundwater discharges, and the mean weathering output from the Bengal Plain has Sr isotope characteristics similar to those of the Ganges–Brahmaputra river system. The δ²⁶Sr compositions of groundwater Sr flux need to be more carefully assessed by considering hydrological models, but the marine Sr isotope budget can be going to be simplified.

**SUMMARY**

We investigated δ²⁸Sr and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios in river waters at five locations, bedload sediments at four locations (in three types of Sr-bearing fractions), and groundwater in 14 wells in the Ganges–Brahmaputra–Meghna drainage basin in Bangladesh. Water samples collected at the same locations during February and September 2012 (dry and wet seasons, respectively) showed no significant seasonal differences in δ²⁸Sr. Both ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr and δ²⁸Sr of these waters are primarily controlled by three-component mixing of Sr from the Bengal floodplain and the Himalayan rivers. Some shallow groundwater samples are isotopically distinct from the river waters due to sediment heterogeneity and dissolution kinetics of minerals. Both the radiogenic and stable Sr isotope compositions of our groundwater samples down to 70 m depth were scattered, but the δ²⁸Sr values of groundwater samples converged to about 0.31% at greater depths, a value close to those of the carbonate fraction and the bulk silicate Earth. This finding implies that longer residence times favor a supply-limited regime and congruent dissolution of both carbonate and silicate minerals. The concentration-weighted mean δ²⁸Sr of our groundwater samples (0.309‰) was effectively identical to that of water from the lower Meghna River (0.308‰) downstream of its confluence with the Ganges–Brahmaputra river system. Although the contribution of groundwater to the flux of Sr to the Bay of Bengal varies in magnitude according to the calculation method used, our results support our hypothesis that the groundwater and river discharge to the Bay of Bengal have similar δ²⁸Sr compositions.

**DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

TY, HK, SW, and NO contributed to conception of the study. Samples were collected by TY, HK, TM, AS, and ZH. TY, SW, and TI performed the isotopic analysis. TY wrote the first draft of the manuscript with input from NO, TI, and SW. SW wrote the analytical sections of the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

**FUNDING**

This work was undertaken with the support of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) to TY (no. 16H05883, 19K21908) and to SW (no. 18K03814) to H.K. (no. 22224009).

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank Kazuya Nagaishi and Tatsuya Kawai of Marine Work Japan; Daisuke Araoka and the laboratory staff at the University of Tokyo; Akihiko Inamura of AIST; and Nahid Nowsher, Sabbir Ahamed, and Mostafa Tarek of Jashore University of Science and Technology for their support during the survey sampling and analysis. The constructive and insightful suggestions of the reviewers greatly improved the manuscript.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/feart.2021.592062/full#supplementary-material.
REFERENCES

Aggarwal, P. K., Basu, A. R., Poreda, R. J., Kulkarni, K., Froehlich, K., Tarafdar, S., et al. (2000). TC project BGD/8/016. A report on isotope hydrology of groundwater in Bangladesh: implications for characterization and mitigation of arsenic in groundwater. Vienna, Austria: International atomic energy agency, 5.

AlKhatib, M., and Eisenhauer, A. (2017). Calcium and strontium isotope fractionation in aqueous solutions as a function of temperature and reaction rate. I. Calcite. Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta. 209, 296–319. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2016.09.035

Amsellem, E., Moynier, F., Day, J. M. D., Moreira, M., Puchtel, I. S., and Teng, F.-Z. (2018). The stable strontium isotope composition of ocean island basalts, mid-ocean ridge basalts, and komatiites. Chem. Geol. 483, 595–602. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.03.030

Andrews, M. G., and Jacobson, A. D. (2018). Controls on the solute geochemistry of subglacial discharge from the Russell Glacier, Greenland Ice Sheet determined by radiogenic and stable Sr isotope ratios. Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta. 239, 312–329. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2018.08.004

Andrews, M. G., Jacobson, A. D., Lehn, G. O., Horton, T. W., and Craw, D. (2016). Radiogenic and stable Sr isotope ratios \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) as tracers of riverine cation sources and biogeochemical cycling in the Milford Sound region of Fiordland, New Zealand. Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta. 173, 284–303. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2015.10.005

Andrews, M. G., and Jacobson, A. D. (2017). The radiogenic and stable Sr isotope geochemistry of basalt weathering in Iceland: role of hydrothermal calcite and implications for long-term climate regulation. Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta. 215, 247–262. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2017.08.012

Bagard, M.-L., West, A. J., Newman, K., and Basu, A. R. (2015). Lithium isotope fractionation in the Ganges-Brahmaputra floodplain and implications for groundwater impact on seawater isotopic composition. Earth Planet Sci. Lett. 432, 404–414. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2015.08.036

Basu, A. R., Jacobsen, S. B., Poreda, R. J., Dowling, C. B., and Aggarwal, P. K. (2011). Large groundwater strontium flux to the oceans from the Bengal Basin and the marine strontium isotope record. Science 293, 1470–1473. doi:10.1126/science.1065024

Beck, A. J., Charette, M. A., Cochran, J. K., Gononea, M. E., and Peucker-Ehrenbrink, B. (2013). Dissolved strontium in the subterranean estuary - implications for the marine strontium isotope budget. Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta. 117, 33–52. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2013.03.021

Bickle, M. J., Bambury, J., Chapman, H. J., Harris, N. B. W., Fairchild, I. J., and Ahmad, T. (2003). Fluxes of Sr into the headwaters of the Ganges. Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta. 67, 2567–2584. doi:10.1016/S0016-7037(03)00229-2

Bickle, M. J., Chapman, H. J., Tipper, E., Galy, A., De La Rocha, C. L., and Ahmad, T. (2018). Chemical weathering outputs from the floodplain of the Ganga. Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta. 225, 146–167. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2018.01.003

Burke, A., Present, T. M., Paris, G., Rae, E. C. M., Sandlindas, B. H., Gaillardet, J., et al. (2018). Sulfur isotopes in rivers: insights into global weathering budgets, pyrite oxidation, and the modern sulfur cycle. Earth Planet Sci. Lett. 496, 168–177. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2018.05.022

Chao, H.-C., You, C.-F., Liu, H.-C., and Chung, C.-H. (2015). Evidence for stable Sr isotope fractionation by silicate weathering in a small sedimentary watershed in southwestern Taiwan. Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta. 165, 324–341. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2015.06.006

Chao, H.-C., You, C.-F., Liu, H.-C., and Chung, C.-H. (2013). The origin and migration of mud volcano fluids in Taiwan: evidence from hydrogen, oxygen, and strontium isotopic compositions. Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta. 114, 29–51. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2013.03.035

Charlier, B. L. A., Nowell, G. M., Parkinson, I. J., Kelley, S. P., Pearson, D. G., and Burton, K. W. (2012). High temperature strontium stable isotope behaviour in Ganges-Brahmaputra, and Meghna rivers on the Indian subcontinent. Aquat. Geochem. 21, 437–458. doi:10.1007/s11800-015-9262-2

McArthur, J. M., Ravenscroft, P., Saffulla, S., and Thirlwall, M. F. (2001). Arsenic in groundwater: testing pollution mechanisms for sedimentary aquifers in Bangladesh. Water Resour. Res. 37, 109–117. doi:10.1029/2000wr900270

Milliman, J. D., and Svietsik, J. P. M. (1992). Geomorphic/tectonic control of sediment discharge to the ocean: the importance of small mountainous rivers. J. Geol. 100, 525–544. doi:10.1086/296906

Missana, T., Garcia-Gutierrez, M., and Alonso, M. (2008). Sorption of strontium onto illite/smectite mixed clays. Phys. Chem. Earth 33, 5156–5162. doi:10.1016/j.pce.2008.10.020
Moore, J., Jacobson, A. D., Holmeden, C., and Craw, D. (2013). Tracking the relationship between mountain uplift, silicate weathering, and long-term CO₂ consumption with Ca isotopes: southern Alps, New Zealand. *Chem. Geol.* 341, 110–127. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2013.01.005

Mouyier, F., Agranier, A., Hezel, D. C., and Bouvier, A. (2010). Sr stable isotope composition of Earth, the Moon, Mars, Vesta and meteorites. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 300, 359–366. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2010.01.017

Neymark, L. A., Premo, W. R., Mel’nikov, N. N., and Emsbo, P. (2014). Precise determination of δ⁸⁶Sr in rocks, minerals, and waters by double-spike TIMS: a powerful tool in the study of geological, hydrological and biological processes. *J. Anal. Atomic Spectrom.* 29, 65–75. doi:10.1039/j3ja50310k

Oliver, L., Harris, N., Bickle, M., Chapman, H., Dise, N., and Horstwood, M. (2003). Silicate weathering rates decoupled from the δ²⁸/²⁶Sr ratio of the dissolved load during Himalayan erosion. *Chem. Geol.* 201, 119–139. doi:10.1016/s0009-2541(03)00236-5

Parua, P. K. *The Ganges: water use in the Indian subcontinent*. Berlin, Germany: Springer Science & Business Media (2010).

Paul, M., Reisberg, L., Vigier, N., Zheng, Y., Ahmed, K. M., Charlet, L., et al. (2010). Dissolved osmium in Bengal plain groundwater: implications for the marine Os budget. *Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta.* 74, 3432–3448. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2010.02.034

Parue, P. K. *The Ganges: water use in the Indian subcontinent*. Berlin, Germany: Springer Science & Business Media (2010).

Peucker-Ehrenbrink, B., Miller, M. W., Arrouze, T., and Jeandel, C. (2010). Continental bedrock and riverine fluxes of strontium and neodymium isotopes to the oceans. *G-cubed* 11, Q03016. doi:10.1029/2009gc002869

Pogge von Strandmann, P., Frings, P. J., and Murphy, M. J. (2017). Lithium isotope behaviour during weathering in the Ganges alluvial plain. *Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta.* 198, 17–31. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2016.11.017

Quade, J., Roe, L., DeCelles, P. G., and Oja, T. P. (1997). The late Neogene δ⁸⁶Sr/δ⁸⁸Sr record of lowland Himalayan rivers. *Science* 276, 1828–1831. doi:10.1126/science.276.5320.1828

Robbins, L. L., Hansen, M. E., Kleypas, J. A., and Meylan, S. C. (2010). U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2010-1280. CO₂calc: a user-friendly seawater carbon calculator for windows, mac OS X, and iOS (iPhone). Available at https://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/ofr20101280, 1–77.

Sauzéat, L., Rudnick, R. L., Chauvel, C., Garçon, M., and Tang, M. (2015). New tool for the δ⁸⁸Sr in rocks, minerals, and waters by double-spike TIMS: a powerful tool in the study of geological, hydrological and biological processes. *J. Anal. Atomic Spectrom.* 29, 65–75. doi:10.1039/j3ja50310k

Shaley, N., Gavrieli, I., Halicz, L., Sandler, A., Stein, M., and Lazar, B. (2017). Enrichment of δ⁸⁶Sr in continental waters due to calcium carbonate precipitation. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 459, 381–393. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2016.11.042

Singh, S. K., Kumar, A., and France-Lanord, C. (2006). Sr and δ⁸⁶Sr/δ⁸⁸Sr in waters and sediments of the Brahmaputra river system: silicate weathering, CO₂ consumption and Sr flux. *Chem. Geol.* 234, 308–320.

Stevenson, E. I., Aciego, S. M., Chutcharavan, P., Parkinson, I. J., Burton, K. W., Blakowski, M. A., et al. (2016). Insights into combined radiogenic and stable strontium isotopes as tracers for weathering processes in subglacial environments. *Chem. Geol.* 429, 33–43. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2016.03.008

Stevenson, R., Pearce, C. R., Rosa, E., Hélie, J. F., and Hillaire-Marcel, C. (2018). Weathering processes, catchment geology and river management impacts on radiogenic (⁸⁶Sr/⁸⁸Sr) and stable (⁶⁰⁶Sr/⁸⁸Sr) strontium isotope compositions of Canadian boreal rivers. *Chem. Geol.* 486, 50–60. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.03.039

Tipper, E., Galy, A., and Bickle, M. (2006). Riverine evidence for a fractionated reservoir of Ca and Mg on the continents: implications for the oceanic Ca cycle. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 247, 267–279. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2006.04.033

Uemura, R., Matsui, Y., Yoshimura, K., Motoyama, H., and Yoshida, N. (2008). Evidence of deuterium excess in water vapor as an indicator of ocean surface conditions. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres* 113, D19114. doi:10.1029/2008jd010209

UNDP (1982). *Groundwater survey, the hydrogeological condition of Bangladesh*. UNDP Technical Report, DP/UN/BGD-74-009/1.

Vance, D., Teagle, D. A., and Foster, G. L. (2009). Variable Quaternary chemical weathering fluxes and imbalances in marine geochemical budgets. *Nature* 458, 493–496. doi:10.1038/nature07828

Walaki, S., Obata, H., Tazoe, H., and Ishikawa, T. (2017). Precise and accurate analysis of deep and surface seawater Sr stable isotopic composition by double-spike thermal ionization mass spectrometry. *Geochem. J.* 51, 227–239. doi:10.2343/geochemj.20.0461

Webster, P. J., Jian, J., Hopson, T. M., Hoyos, C. D., Agudelo, P. A., Chang, H.-R., et al. (2010). Extended-range probabilistic forecasts of Ganges and Brahmaputra floods in Bangladesh. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 91, 1493–1514. doi:10.1175/2010bams2911.1

Wei, G., Ma, J., Liu, Y., Xie, L., Lu, W., Deng, W., et al. (2013). Seasonal changes in the radiogenic and stable strontium isotopic composition of Xijiang River water: implications for chemical weathering. *Chem. Geol.* 343, 67–75. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2013.02.004

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Yoshimura et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.