Solar-driven aromatic aldehydes: green production from mandelic acid derivatives by a Co(II)/C₃N₄ combined catalyst in aqueous media†
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According to the requirements for sustainable development, reclaiming fine chemicals from wastewater under mild conditions is an extremely significant line of research. A low-cost and high-efficiency polydentate chelate- and polymeric Co(II)-based complex (Co-L)–C₃N₄ photocatalyst was constructed and used to convert aromatic mandelic acids in wastewater at room temperature. The BET specific surface area increased from 28 m² g⁻¹ to 68 m² g⁻¹, indicating its excellent absorptive character. The light absorption range of Co-L/C₃N₄ reached 650 nm, while the band energy reduced to 2.30 eV, which caused a significant enhancement in photocatalytic activity. The conversion of substituted mandelic acids was more than 90% due to the photoactivity of Co-L/C₃N₄. Time-resolved PL spectra indicated the remarkable separation of the photogenerated electron–hole pairs in Co-L/C₃N₄. Furthermore, the UV-vis and in situ FTIR spectra indicated the formation of aldehyde groups in the selective oxidation process, which provided support for the plausible catalytic mechanism.

1 Introduction

In the last few decades, rapid industrial progress, especially in the fine chemicals industry, has dramatically increased the occurrence of chemical-induced water pollution. Mandelic acid and its derivatives (MADs) are such a series of chiral compounds that have attracted much attention due to their wide use in the synthesis of antibiotics, antiobesity and anti-tumor agents, biologically active compounds and enantiomer separation.1,2 Due to their superior water solubility, the concentration of MADs is substantial in relevant wastewater, causing serious environmental problems because of their strong negative environmental impact and high toxicity.3 Considering not only environmental pollution improvement but also resource re-utilization, converting MADs into useful chemicals, i.e. aromatic aldehydes, is a much more potent alternative to direct mineralization. Aromatic aldehydes, such as p-chlorobenzaldehyde, p-hydroxybenzaldehyde, 4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde, 4-hydroxy-3-ethoxybenzaldehyde, and 4-hydroxy-3-methoxy-5-methyl-benzaldehyde, have been used as pivotal intermediates in the pharmaceutical, printing and dyeing, pesticide, cosmetic, flame retardant and spice industries.4–7 Typically, p-hydroxybenzaldehyde is mainly used to synthesize the cardio-cerebrovascular medicine esmolol, the oral antibiotic amoxicillin, the antibacterial sulfa synergist trimethoxy benzylamine, the anti-hepatic fluke nitroiodophenol nitrile, among others.8–11

However, due to the outstanding water solubility of MADs, their highly efficient conversion has always been the focus of attention, especially under low MAD concentration.12 The conversion rate and aromatic aldehyde selectivity of MADs can reach 85% and 70–80%, respectively, when various nanoparticles, such as Bi(0), Co(n), and Cu(n), are employed to catalytically convert MADs in DMSO.13–17 During this process, the introduction of organic solvents causes potential environmental problems. Silica-encapsulated Cu–Al hydrotalcite (SECuAlHT) was developed as an efficient catalyst to catalytically oxidize 4-hydroxy-3-methoxymandelic acid in aq. solution under mild conditions, which gave a 72% yield of 4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde.18 Without a doubt, the chemical oxidation efficiency towards the substrate was limited under low substrate concentration.

Considering that environmental pollution and the sustainable supply of green energy are the two main global challenges being faced in the current era, photocatalytic selective oxidation technology has become a focus of international attention as it has potential for development.19–21 Photocatalysis has been
widely used in water splitting, the degradation of organic pollutants, CO₂ conversion, selective organic synthesis, and so on. In order to reclaim useful products from wastewater with low energy consumption and high efficiency, photocatalytic technology has attracted extensive attention due to its advantages of clean and environmentally friendly character derived from the use of solar energy. Thanks to the advantageous non-polluting nature and low-energy consumption, heterogeneous photocatalysis has been widely used for the generation of clean energy and catalytic oxidation. Several aromatic aldehydes have been obtained from the corresponding alcohols by employing photocatalytic technology in the water medium at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. It has been widely used for the detoxification of water and the air and has become a very promising fine chemical synthesis method in recent years. Without a doubt, noble metal catalysts, such as Au, Ru, and Pd, can mediate efficient oxidation reactions due to the low-temperature or preferential oxidation of CO, alcohol oxidation and soot oxidation. However, high cost is the dominating disadvantage of noble metal-based catalysts, limiting their large-scale use. Thus, low-cost catalysts, such as Au, Ru, and Pd, can mediate efficient oxidation due to the low-temperature or preferential oxidation of CO, alcohol oxidation and soot oxidation.

2. Experimental details

2.1 Reagents and instruments
All materials were of AR grade. Melamine, CoCl₂·6H₂O, 1,3-diaminopropane, mandelic acid, 4-chloromandelic acid, 4-hydroxy-3-methoxymandelic acid, 4-hydroxy-3-ethoxymandelic acid, 4-hydroxy-3-methoxy-5-methylmandelic acid and ethanol were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., China. All the mentioned chemicals were directly used without additional purification. 5-Aldehyde vanillin was purchased from Jiaxing Zhonghua Chemical Co., Ltd.

2.2 Experimental method

2.2.1 Preparation of Co-L/C₃N₄. The synthesis process of Co₃N₄ was according to a previously reported method: melamine (0.1 mol, 12.6 g) was added to a ceramic crucible and heated to 550 °C at a ramping rate of 3 °C min⁻¹ and held at 550 °C for 4 h. After cooling it to room temperature, 220 mg C₃N₄ was collected as a light-yellow solid.

Then, 5-aldehyde vanillin (5.5 mmol, 1.0 g) and 1,3-diaminopropane (5.5 mmol, 0.41 g) were completely dissolved in ethanol (30 mL) under vigorous stirring at 75 °C and refluxed for 4 h. After it cooled down to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated to give ligand (L) as a white solid. The ligand (219 mg, 1 mmol) and CoCl₂·6H₂O (238 mg, 1 mmol) were added to EtOH (10 mL) and heated to 80 °C for 3 h. After they cooled down to room temperature, the solvent was removed in a vacuum to get the Co(u) complex (Co-L). A certain amount of Co-L was mixed with C₃N₄ uniformly and calcined at 500 °C for 2 hours to obtain the Co/C₃N₄ catalyst (0.05 g Co-L mixed with 1 g C₃N₄ is denoted as Co-L/C₃N₄-5).

2.2.2 Photocatalytic conversion performance of Co-L/C₃N₄. The typical procedure for testing the photocatalytic conversion performance was as follows: the freshly prepared catalyst (Co/L/C₃N₄ 0.1 g) was added to an aqueous solution of mandelic acid (100 mL, 1.0%). After the pH value was adjusted to 11 using aqueous NaOH (30%), the mixture was bubbled with O₂ under constant stirring at room temperature. Simultaneously, the mixture was irradiated with a 500 W Xe lamp for 5 hours, and the residual material was determined by HPLC. The pH value of the mixture was adjusted to 3.0–3.5 by using 6 M HCl. The mixture was washed with EtOAc (50 mL × 3). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated to give the crude product. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc = 10 : 1 to 1 : 1) to give the product as a white solid (0.563 g, yield 77.6%). ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 600 MHz) δ: 9.39 (s, 1H), 7.19 (d, J = 12.00 Hz, 2H), 6.72 (d, J = 12.00 Hz, 2H), 5.62 (s, 1H), 4.90 (s, 1H). The structure and ¹H NMR spectra of the products are shown in Fig. S1.†

2.2.3 Characterization of the C₃N₄ and Co-L/C₃N₄. X-ray data from suitable single crystals were collected at 293 K on a Focus D8 (Bruker, Germany) with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 0.1542 nm). Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) was performed by using a Thermo Nicolet IS5. The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) experiments were conducted on a Thermo XPS spectrometer.
3 Results and discussion

3.1 Excellent catalytic performance of the Co-L/C₃N₄ combined catalyst

As a representative, 4-hydroxyphenylglycolic acid (HPA) was employed as the model material to investigate the catalytic activity of the catalyst. In order to investigate the reaction clearly, the factors affecting the reactivity were considered one by one. Firstly, the catalytic activity caused by different catalyst loads was examined under typical conditions. As shown in Fig. 1a, in the presence of pure C₃N₄, the conversion ratio of HPA and the yield of 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (HBD) were only 46.7% and 38.9%, respectively, indicating the mediocre catalytic activity of C₃N₄. When Co was loaded on pure C₃N₄ by employing an inorganic Co salt, such as CoCl₂·6H₂O, the conversion rate of HPA and the yield of HPB were 72.3% and 66.4%, respectively. This might be due to the agglomeration of the Co unit in the prepared catalyst. Co-L could be attached on the surface of C₃N₄ due to the π–π interactions, which avoided the agglomeration effectively. Furthermore, other types of Co sources were also introduced to be compared with Co-L-attached C₃N₄. As shown in Fig. S2,† the conversion of HPA ranged from 30% to 72% in the presence of other Co sources. With Co-L loaded on C₃N₄, the conversion of HPA and the yield of HBD increased rapidly, reflecting the excellent catalytic activity of Co-L/C₃N₄. The catalytic efficiency of Co-L/C₃N₄ was affected by the loading ratio of Co-L. As shown in Fig. 1a, HPA conversion increased from 87.8% to 94.0% when the Co-L loading amount increased from 5% to 20%. However, the highest yield of HBD reached was 77.6% in the presence of 10% Co-L/C₃N₄. This might be caused by the overoxidation of the obtained aldehyde in the presence of excess Co-L. Furthermore, the HPA conversion, HBD yield and selectivity corresponding to the repeated use of Co-L/C₃N₄-10 for 5 cycles are summarized in Fig. 1b. The photocatalytic activity of Co-L/C₃N₄-10 toward HBD formation hardly reduced after recycling over five runs, and the yield rate was maintained at 75%. Simultaneously, the catalyst was recovered after the reaction and characterized. The XRD
and FT-IR, spectra, as well as TEM and SEM images, suggested that the catalyst underwent no obvious change compared with the freshly prepared catalyst, which indicated the superior stability and good photocatalytic performance of Co-L/C₃N₄-10 during the degradation process, as shown in Fig. S3† (Table 1).

### 3.2 Characterization of the prepared Co-L/C₃N₄ composite catalyst

SEM and TEM were employed to investigate the morphology of the C₃N₄ catalyst. The obtained C₃N₄ presented a thin layered structure with folds that looked like a layer of tulle, confirming that it had a porous structure. Concurrently, the numerous mesopores on the surface of the Co-L/C₃N₄ composite catalyst displayed a structure with in-plane pores (as shown in Fig. S4†). As shown in Fig. S3c† the chiffon-like structures with wrinkles and rippled edges were indexed to the C₃N₄ phase, and some large aggregates of irregular shape were evidently found in the field of vision, which suggested the possible coexistence of both phases and the coverage of C₃N₄ by the Co-L phase.

The crystalline structures of C₃N₄ and Co-L/C₃N₄ were examined by X-ray diffraction (XRD). As shown in Fig. 2a, the peaks at 13.5° and 27.2° were ascribed to the reflections of the (100) and (002) planes of C₃N₄, respectively.42 Interestingly, the peaks at 13.5° and 27.2° barely appeared in Co-L/C₃N₄, and these peaks became sharper and more obvious with the gradual increase in the Co-L ligand content, reflecting the enhanced crystallinity of the Co-L/C₃N₄ structure.36,43 The XRD results further confirmed the successful preparation and high stability of the Co-L/C₃N₄ heterostructure.44 In addition, the FT-IR spectra of the C₃N₄ and Co-L/C₃N₄ samples (Fig. 2b) exhibited some strong absorption peaks at 808 cm⁻¹, which was the characteristic vibration of the out-of-plane bending of the triazine rings. The peaks at 1200–1650 cm⁻¹ were attributed to the C–N and C≡N heterocycles, and those between 3000–3500 cm⁻¹ could be assigned to the N–H/O–H groups. Interestingly, Co-L/C₃N₄ had a characteristic band similar to C₃N₄, indicating that the typical graphite structure of the carbonitride was not destroyed after Co-L doping. However, the peak at around 3100–3400 cm⁻¹ was much narrower than that in the C₃N₄ spectrum, while the intensity was much greater, which is completely consistent with the description in the literature.45–47

To further investigate the surface microstructure of Co-L on the C₃N₄ nanoparticles, XPS (X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy) characterization was employed to investigate the constitution of the C₃N₄ and Co-L/C₃N₄-10 photocatalysts. As shown in Fig. 3a, both the C₃N₄ and Co-L/C₃N₄ samples consisted of C and N elements. Furthermore, a peak for Co appeared in the Co-L/C₃N₄ photocatalyst. In the high-resolution XPS analysis, the C 1s (Fig. 3b) deconvoluted peaks were centred at 284.5, 286.2 and 288.1 eV.36,46 The peak at 284.5 eV was ascribed to the physically adsorbed carbon species or the sp² C–C bonds, and the peak at

### Table 1 Comparison of the conversion of different substrates to corresponding aromatic aldehydes by Co-L/C₃N₄-10

| Entry | Substrate | Product | Conv. (%) | Sel. (%) | Yield (%) |
|-------|-----------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| 1     | ![Substrate Image](image1) | ![Product Image](image2) | 93.1       | 83.4      | 77.6      |
| 2     | ![Substrate Image](image3) | ![Product Image](image4) | 92.2       | 84.6      | 78        |
| 3     | ![Substrate Image](image5) | ![Product Image](image6) | 90.7       | 84.4      | 76.6      |
| 4     | ![Substrate Image](image7) | ![Product Image](image8) | 85.4       | 84.8      | 72.4      |
| 5     | ![Substrate Image](image9) | ![Product Image](image10) | 68.5       | 62.6      | 42.9      |

Fig. 2 (a) X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of C₃N₄ and Co/C₃N₄; (b) FT-IR spectra of C₃N₄ and Co/C₃N₄.
286.2 eV was assigned to the C–NH$_2$ species, while the strong peak at 288.1 eV could be attributed to N–C=C=N. The three peaks at binding energies 398.6, 400.4 and 404.5 eV could be attributed to the triazine rings N=C=N, C$_2$–N–H and C–N–H, respectively (as shown in Fig. 3c), which belong to the typical N 1s peaks in C$_3$N$_4$. In the high-resolution XPS analysis, the Co 2p peak at (Fig. 3d) the binding energy of 781.2 and 796.2 eV could be attributed to the Co(II) state in the form of Co–N. This indicated that the valence state of the Co ion in Co-L/C$_3$N$_4$ was Co(II) rather than Co(III). As shown in Fig. S5,† the Co 2p spectrum could be divided into Co$^{2+}$ and Co$^{3+}$ fitting curves, and four shakeup satellites. The fitting peaks at 782.5 and 797.4 eV could be grouped to Co$^{3+}$, whereas the peaks at 779.5 and 794.5 eV were assigned to Co$^{2+}$.38,54–56

The N$_2$ adsorption–desorption isotherms and pore size distribution curves of C$_3$N$_4$ and Co-L/C$_3$N$_4$-10 were recorded by the BET method, as shown in Fig. 4. All the prepared samples exhibited typical type IV isotherms and H3 hysteresis loops (Fig. 4a), implying the remarkable mesoporous properties of the materials.37 As a typical porous material, the specific surface area of C$_3$N$_4$ was 28 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$. As expected, the specific surface area of all the catalysts increased from 28 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ to 86 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ following the addition of 15% Co-L. The increase in specific surface area after Co doping was mainly due to the rich pore structure. As shown in Fig. 4b, the pore size and pore volume of the Co-L/C$_3$N$_4$ catalyst were larger than those of C$_3$N$_4$.58

The optical properties of the as-prepared photocatalysts were characterized by UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DRS). The DRS curves of the as-prepared C$_3$N$_4$ and Co-L/C$_3$N$_4$ samples are shown in Fig. 5a; C$_3$N$_4$ showed an absorption edge at ~450 nm, suggesting its limited photo-response to visible light. More importantly, the absorption edge of Co-L/C$_3$N$_4$ was obviously red-shifted in comparison with C$_3$N$_4$.59 The optical absorption intensity of Co-L/C$_3$N$_4$ was significantly enhanced in the range of 550–650 nm. These results describe that Co doping facilitates secondary absorption in the visible light region, which would promote absorption in the wider range of visible light and the absorption efficiency of the system, resulting in higher photocatalytic activity.60,61

To further investigate the effect of Co doping on the optical bandgap energy of Co-L/C$_3$N$_4$, the Kubelka–Munk method was used to calculate the bandgap of the prepared samples.62 As shown in Fig. 5b, the presence of the Co-L ligand slightly reduced the energy band of C$_3$N$_4$. The results show that the Co-L ligand presented a good optical response in the whole visible wavelength range ($E_g$ of Co-L was about 1.85 eV, as shown in Fig. S6†). At the same time, the optical response intensity of the Co-L/C$_3$N$_4$ hybrid material was significantly stronger than that of ordinary C$_3$N$_4$. With an increase in Co-L ligand content, the fluorescence intensity increased gradually, especially in the range of 550–650 nm. This phenomenon showed that the introduction of Co-L effectively improved the light absorption ability of the C$_3$N$_4$ material.63

Fig. 3 (a) X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) of C$_3$N$_4$ and Co-L/C$_3$N$_4$-15; (b–d) high-resolution XPS spectra of C 1s, N 1s and Co 2p.
As shown in Fig. S7,† the Mott–Schottky plot was obtained in aqueous solutions containing 0.1 M KCl at pH = 7.0 to estimate the EFB of Co-L and C3N4, respectively. The values of EFB were estimated to be −0.86 V and −0.97 (vs. NHE) for Co-L and C3N4, respectively. Compared with C3N4, a positive shift in the EFB of Co-L demonstrated a decrease in the bending of the band edge, thus facilitating electron transfer.64 The reason for the improvement in the photocatalytic activity of the Co-L/C3N4 nanocomposites was investigated by photochemical experiments. As shown in Fig. 6a, the photocurrent responses of C3N4 were much lower than that of Co-L combined C3N4 due to the rapid recombination of the photoexcited e− and h+. However, the photocurrent intensity increased dramatically along with the introduction of Co-L, and the Co-L/C3N4-15 catalyst exhibited the highest photocurrent density, which is consistent with the steady-state PL results. The obvious changes in the current response of the Co-L/C3N4 samples under irradiation indicated the good photo-harvesting capability of the prepared samples. Moreover, after several switching cycles, the photo-response was basically stable, further indicating the strong light corrosion resistance of the nanocomposites.65,66 At the same time, it was observed that the transient current between the lamps decreased, which may be caused by the accumulation of charges before the lamp is turned on. The photoelectric response was closely related to the content of Co ions. The photocurrent of Co-L/C3N4-15 was 3 times that of C3N4, which indicated the excellent photo-response of the composite nanomaterial. For nano-photocatalysts, reducing the interfacial resistance can promote charge transfer and thus improve photocatalytic performance.67 The interfacial properties of semiconductors are usually studied by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS).68 The interfacial resistance of the sample is expressed by the radius of the semicircle in the EIS.69 As shown in Fig. 6b, the radius of the C3N4 curve is larger than those of the Co-L loaded catalysts, and the radius of the semicircle decreases obviously after the introduction of Co ions, indicating that the addition of Co-L clearly reduces the resistance at the C3N4 interface. The interface of the Co-L/C3N4-15 composite nanomaterials had minimum resistance, which is consistent with the results of the photocurrent.

Fig. 4 (a) N2 adsorption–desorption isotherms of C3N4 and Co–L/C3N4; (b) the corresponding pore size distribution curves of C3N4 and Co–L/C3N4.

Fig. 5 (a) The UV-visible diffuse reflectance spectra of C3N4 and Co–L/C3N4; (b) the bandgap energy of C3N4 and Co–L/C3N4.
Fluorescence spectroscopy is an effective method to investigate the efficiency of photogenerated electron–hole separation in semiconductors. The fluorescence spectra of C3N4 and Co-L/C3N4 were measured at the same excitation wavelength (λ_ex = 390 nm). As shown in Fig. 7a, C3N4 showed a strong fluorescence peak near 455 nm. After Co-L was loaded on C3N4, the fluorescence peak intensity of Co-L/C3N4 decreased significantly, indicating effectively suppressed recombination of the photogenerated charges. Furthermore, the photogenerated electron–hole recombination is also the critical factor to improving the photocatalytic oxidation efficiency. As shown in Fig. 7b, to further study the behaviour of the charge carriers in the samples, the time-resolved PL spectra were recorded, and the results are shown in Fig. 7b. C3N4 afforded a shorter average fluorescence lifetime (3.07 ps) compared with the Co-L/C3N4 (5.79 ps), which fully implied that the activated state in Co-L/C3N4 was long-lived than that in C3N4. The longer lifetime of electrons could be attributed to the remarkable separation of the photogenerated electron–hole pairs.

3.3 Probable mechanism of aromatic aldehyde production by Co-L/C3N4

In order to investigate the mechanism of 4-hydroxyphenylglycolic acid oxidation, the probable reaction pathway was explored by employing in situ FTIR and UV absorption spectroscopy.

The IR spectra of 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde and 4-hydroxyphenylglycolic acid in water were collected from a series of undersaturated solutions with known solute concentrations. To exhibit the reaction clearly, the differential absorption (ΔA) data was collected and processed, as shown in Fig. 8a. Peaks pointing down indicated bands disappearing, while those pointing up corresponded to new bands appearing due to the catalytic reaction. The increased intensity of the ΔA value at 1650 cm\(^{-1}\) was vested to the band of C=O stretching vibration, which represents the generation of 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde by oxidation of benzyl alcohol in 4-hydroxyphenylglycolic acid. At the same time, the increased ΔA peaks at 1245 and 1170 cm\(^{-1}\) (as shown in Fig. 8b) belonged to the C–C stretching vibration and the conjugation of aromatic ketones following the 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde ketonic acid generation. The peaks at 1520 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1480 cm\(^{-1}\) were attributed to the intermediates of the conversion of 4-hydroxyphenylglycolic acid to ketonic acid. The peak at 1020 cm\(^{-1}\) was attributed to the C–C stretching vibration. The decline in the peaks was attributed to the probable fracture of C–C caused by the decarboxylation of the intermediate ketonic acid. UV-visible spectroscopy was an important method to study the oxidation of 4-hydroxymandelic acid to 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde. As shown in Fig. S8, the decrease in the strong absorption peak at 249 nm could be attributed to the \(\pi-\pi^*\) transition of the benzene ring. The
absorption peak at 332 nm was attributed to the n–π* transition of the aldehyde oxygen atom. The changes in the UV-visible spectrum indicated the transformation of 4-hydroxymandelic acid to 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde clearly under irradiation.

On the basis of the above results, a plausible mechanism for the catalytical process has been proposed, as shown in Fig. 9. The Co-L loaded to C3N4 significantly enhances the visible light absorption, which has been proven by the UV-Vis and PL valence band spectra analysis. Therefore, under visible light irradiation, electrons are excited to the conduction band from the valence band of C3N4, with the holes staying on the valence band simultaneously. The electrons in the conduction band of Co-L/C3N4 can be trapped by electrophilic O2 to produce superoxide radical anions (\(\text{O}_2^-\)). On the other hand, the transition of Co2+/Co3+ ensures the generation of \(\text{O}_2^-\), which causes the substrate oxidation reaction. The high concentration of \(\text{O}_2^-\) is captured by p-hydroxymandelic acid and further experiences the hydroxylation process to give OH- finally. The deprotonated p-hydroxyxymandelic acid forms the pivotal intermediate (\(\alpha\)-keto carboxylate radical) to accomplish the oxidation process. Simultaneously, p-hydroxybenzaldehyde is generated following the decarboxylation of the pivotal intermediate. The continuously generated \(\text{O}_2^-\) radicals greatly promote the conversion rate of p-hydroxymandelic acid in the photocatalytic process.

4 Conclusions

In conclusion, a Co-L/C3N4 composite photocatalyst was designed and prepared for high-efficiency aromatic aldehyde generation under normal temperature and pressure, with oxygen as the oxidant. The Co-L-loaded C3N4 could accelerate the separation of the photogenerated carriers and significantly improve photocatalytic activity. Under ultraviolet light irradiation, the conversion of p-hydroxybenzaldehyde was 93.1%, which is 2 times higher than that achieved with pure C3N4. The enhanced photocatalytic activity is attributed to the synergistic effect of Co-L and C3N4, which promote the remarkable separation of the photogenerated electron–hole pairs and charge transfer. This work provides a useful strategy for aromatic aldehyde production in wastewater containing substituted mandelic acid derivatives, thus promoting the recycling of waste resources.
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