Rural Provider Attitudes toward the Use of Social Media for Patient Engagement and Retention: A Brief Report

Abstract

Background

Rural clients and providers function with many barriers in place not necessarily barriers in urban settings. Common barriers to accessing healthcare services in rural communities include financial means to pay for services, distance to provider (transportation, the need to take time off of work), ability to communicate needs to healthcare providers, ability to utilize services without compromising privacy, and confidence in the quality of care they will receive. The use of social media in rural health services can be a tool to effectively reduce or eliminate some of these barriers for patients and providers. To determine feasibility of provider’s willingness or belief social media can be used in patient services, it is important to track and identify current attitudes of providers toward the use of social media in rural settings.

Objective

The purpose of the study was to identify the attitudes and beliefs of healthcare providers in rural areas toward social media use as a means to improve patient engagement and retention in several domains of services.

Methods

The authors collected the rural provider data from a nationwide survey of healthcare providers towards the use of social media in patient services (N = 1,469). A subset of providers that identified themselves as working in rural areas was used for data analysis purposes. Data was collected electronically through survey questions disseminated through various alumni associations, professional association list serves, and university or college “email blasts”. The survey solicited attitudes towards social media use in patients services across several domains. The authors analyzed the factor structure of the social media scale (including the following areas):

i. Personal Records
ii. Billing
iii. Prescriptions
iv. Support Groups
v. Communications with family
vi. Communication with employers
vii. Current healthcare information updates
viii. Healthcare policy updates
ix. Insurance/Medicaid/Medicare information
x. Current disease and healthcare occurrence information specific to client’s personal conditions or ailments

Results

Overall, providers demonstrated support for the utilization of social media. Analysis of the factor structure of the survey indicated two distinct factors, one for personal, protected information and another for social networking, compliance, outreach, and information dissemination. Providers were more likely to support social media utilization in healthcare services for the second factor.

Exploration of the qualitative data indicate a perceived need for an enhanced role for mental health services (including access, policy, insurance coverage, length of treatment, and appropriate referrals made by medical professionals when necessary), more population based, preventative services, and different methods of holding patients accountable for compliance. Providers demonstrated overall advocacy for the utilization of social media.

Conclusions

While a majority of practitioners support the use of social media in patient services (for the second factor), privacy, HIPPA, ethical, and other concerns are prevalent. The author concludes by discussing training implications, future directions for healthcare policy, and the need for regulatory bodies to invest time in developing social media policies and best practices.
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Introduction

Patient engagement in treatment within rural communities has been found to be difficult for a variety of factors. For example, these underserved areas display a shortage in medical and behavioral health providers which can impact patient access to health care. Also, stigma regarding the treatment of certain conditions (e.g., depression, sexually transmitted infection) also hinders patient engagement with time-sensitive treatment [1,2]. Research has shown that the use of telemedicine, especially in rural primary care settings, has been able to alleviate patient barriers to care and improve treatment efficiency [3,4]. The use of social media has also been an area of importance for patient engagement due to the increased use of technology and telemedicine in health care. For example, social media has been used to improve child maltreatment prevention and family engagement in treatment [5].

Social media usage increases in urban settings due to improved internet connections whereas in rural settings, there is a negative association with computer access and use. This can be attributed to variables such as poor internet connections (e.g., dial-up). Because of this, this can limit the usage of social media platforms by rural residents, despite that added health benefits and improved engagement and retention in urban and suburban communities. Despite the proposed setbacks to the use of information technology, rural residents may be open to its use to gain access to necessary health services [6].

As research evolves to demonstrate the usefulness of social media platforms in health care, it is not only of importance to assess patient attitudes towards usage, but provider attitudes as well. For example, Reed et al. [7] compared the acceptability of telehealth services to the acceptability of social media in rural communities and concluded that as technology becomes more common in rural health care, social media use may increase as well as positive attitudes towards the use of technology. Jameson et al. [8] found that mental health providers welcomed the use of tele-mental health services in clinics serving veterans. Nkosi, Asah, and Pillay [9] examined attitudes towards technology among nursing students and found that students expressed positive attitudes towards the use of technology. The authors posit that positive attitudes in trainees may continue into post-graduate practice with continued exposure. Sukums et al. [10] also found that although rural health care providers had limited exposure to technology, providers welcome continued training and education to improve their computer skills.

The use of social media as a method of communication has increased dramatically in the United States in the 21st century. With increased pressure on rural healthcare providers for population based, patient centered services, low-cost and high-reach methods of communication are a useful way to enhance shared decision making and health literacy in healthcare services. Although there is research on provider attitudes toward use of computer technology, little is known regarding rural health provider attitudes toward social media use for healthcare services. In addition, little is known regarding rural provider attitudes toward healthcare reform, and how these attitudes influence patient services in healthcare settings. Thus, the research question for this study is this: What are rural healthcare provider attitudes toward the use of social media for patient engagement and retention?

Methods

This mixed methods, exploratory study ascertained provider attitudes (from a multidisciplinary sample, including nurses, medical doctors, psychologists, social workers, counselors, paraprofessionals, among others) toward the use of social media in the current healthcare system. The authors also assessed current attitudes towards the healthcare system, including healthcare reform and ethical and professional risks related to the use of social media in healthcare related services. Data was collected electronically through open and closed ended survey questions disseminated through various alumni associations, professional association list serves, and university or college “email blasts”. Table 1 displays the demographic characteristics of the participants of the study.

| Characteristic                      | n   | %     |
|------------------------------------|-----|-------|
| Gender                             |     |       |
| Female                             | 205 | 87.6  |
| Male                               | 29  | 12.4  |
| Age at time of Survey (years)      |     |       |
| 18-25                              | 17  | 7.3   |
| 26-34                              | 49  | 20.9  |
| 35-44                              | 39  | 16.7  |
| 45-54                              | 65  | 27.8  |
| 55-64                              | 56  | 23.9  |
| 65 or older                        | 8   | 3.4   |

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Participants (N = 234).
Results

Participants from rural areas displayed an overall negative attitude toward healthcare reform and the current healthcare system. Exploration of the qualitative data indicate a perceived need for an enhanced role for mental health services (including access, policy, insurance coverage, length of treatment, and appropriate referrals made by medical professionals when necessary), more population based, preventative services, and different methods of holding patients accountable for compliance. Providers demonstrated overall advocacy for the utilization of social media. Table 2 contains the qualitative data from the study participants.

The researcher attempted to determine the underlying factor structure of the items used for each of the healthcare reform and social media scales. As several of the items from each intended scale were at least moderately correlated with items on the other scale, an oblique rotation was employed. The analysis utilized a Principal Axis Factor Rotation with a matrix rotation to generate oblique factors. The sample was split into random halves to utilize a split sample validation as proposed by Osborne and Fitzpatrick [11]. The validation compares the squared difference between each sample’s factor loadings. Squared difference above 0.04 is considered indicative of a volatile factor structure for purposes of this study. Each participant was randomly assigned to the 0 or 1 grouping. The researcher utilized all seventeen Likert scale items for factor extraction (Table 3). An examination of the Kaiser-Meyer Olin measure of sampling adequacy indicates the sample is sufficient for factor analysis ($KMO = 0.91$). Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity indicates the sample is adequately related for an analysis of factor structure ($X^2 = 1225.52$, $df = 45$, $p < 0.001$).
Table 2: Participant Qualitative Feedback.

| Question                                                                 | Themes | Subthemes                                                                 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Healthcare Reform                                                        |        |                                                                           |
| Explain what an ideal healthcare system would look like in America       | Systemic | Approval procedures, policy maker fidelity, costs, access, health promotion and preventative focus, integrated system, standardized electronic record system |
|                                                                          | Providers | Training, compensation, quality, policy                                   |
|                                                                          | Patients | Accessibility (location, choices, costs), accountability (of adherence and compliance), improved informed consent |
| What role should mental health treatment play in the healthcare system?  | Parity  | common “health” (not physical vs. mental), reimbursement, coverage        |
| What does the new role need to differ from its current role?             | Integration | 24/7 emergency room services, long-term care and terminal diseases lacking |
|                                                                         | Stigma    | Earlier screening and education                                            |
| What are the pros of using social media in healthcare?                   | Social Media | None                                                                      |
|                                                                         | Inexpensive | More material                                                             |
|                                                                         | Reach      | Younger, faster, more information, more venues (twitter, Facebook, etc.), 24/7 availability, multiple devices |
|                                                                         | Interactive | Family, friends, educational, communication, support groups              |
|                                                                         | Quality    | Information source, research or evidence behind information               |
|                                                                         | Privacy    | HIPAA, Theft, Fraud                                                       |
|                                                                         | Better Options | EMR, email                                                              |
|                                                                         | Risk Patients | to Referral if information causes crisis or needed services for the patient |
|                                                                         | Limited Reach | Elderly, information lost in shuffle of other social media information    |
| What role should social media play in healthcare?                       | Social Media | Compliance/Adherence                                                      |
|                                                                         | Marketing  | Medications, appointments, homework, assessment, reminders                |
|                                                                         | Education  | Health promotion, shared decision making, diseases, Healthcare reform and policy change updates |
| What are your concerns related to social media uses in healthcare?       | Patient    | Lack of knowledge of use, easily accessible by others on social media     |
|                                                                         | Provider    | Ethical, blurring professional and personal boundaries, use for wrong purposes/profit |
|                                                                         | Tracking    | Viruses, use information other purposes, selling information, fraud       |
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Table 3: Variables for Exploratory Factor Analysis.

| Number | Variable                                                                 |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.     | Healthcare is affordable                                                 |
| 2.     | Healthcare is accessible                                                  |
| 3.     | I have a say in healthcare policy                                        |
| 4.     | I know how to advocate for healthcare issues important to me             |
| 5.     | I know where to go to advocate for healthcare issues that are important to me |
| 6.     | I have used social media (i.e., twitter, Facebook) for healthcare related issues |
| 7.     | My medical provider or an individual from my medical provider's office has encouraged me to use social media as part of their services. I would like clients to have access to social media or apps related to which of the following healthcare related topics: |
| 8.     | Personal records                                                         |
| 9.     | Billing                                                                  |
| 10.    | Prescriptions                                                           |
| 11.    | Support groups                                                          |
| 12.    | Communications with family                                               |
| 13.    | Communication with employers                                             |
| 14.    | Current healthcare information updates                                   |
| 15.    | Healthcare policy updates                                                |
| 16.    | Insurance/Medicaid/Medicare information                                  |

Current disease and healthcare occurrence information specific to client's personal conditions or ailments

The extraction resulted in five factors based upon examination of Eigen values greater than 1.0. The researcher determined, based upon consideration of Eigen values, visual evaluation of the scree plot, and relative effect size for competing models (i.e., variance explained), as well as inspection of resulting factor patterns and content (i.e., to consider number of items loaded on each factor and if there appeared to be correlated factors), to interpret two factors. Analysis of the factor structure of the survey indicated two distinct factors, one for personal, protected information and another for social networking, compliance, outreach, and information dissemination (Table 4). Providers were more likely to support social media utilization in healthcare services for the second factor.

Table 4: Analysis of the factor structure of the survey indicated two distinct factors, one for personal, protected information and another for social networking, compliance, outreach, and information dissemination.

| Question | Communality Random ID = 0 | Factor Load | Communality Random ID = 1 | Factor Load | Squared Diff |
|----------|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------|--------------|
|          | Extraction 1 2           |             |                           |             |              |
| 15       | 0.77 0.94 -0.24          |             | 0.82 0.97 -0.15          |             | 0.000        |
| 11       | 0.63 0.82 -0.05          |             | 0.73 0.91 -0.05          |             | 0.017        |
| 14       | 0.73 0.80 0.11           |             | 0.79 0.85 0.01          |             | 0.000        |
| 17       | 0.73 0.80 0.07           |             | 0.79 0.76 -0.01         |             | 0.000        |
| 16       | 0.69 0.78 0.08           |             | 0.64 0.63 -0.08         |             | 0.000        |
| 12       | 0.50 0.65 0.11           |             | 0.78 0.63 0.27          |             | 0.002        |
| 8        | 0.85 -0.04 0.92         |             | 0.81 0.35 0.96          |             | 0.002        |
| 9        | 0.95 0.14 0.90          |             | 0.70 0.04 0.81          |             | 0.008        |
| 10       | 0.82 0.34 0.62          |             | 0.70 -0.01 0.74         |             | 0.014        |
| 13       | 0.51 0.35 0.41          |             | 0.72 0.16 0.57          |             | 0.026        |
Discussion

Healthcare providers in rural areas demonstrated an overall negative attitude towards healthcare reform. While a majority of practitioners support the use of social media in patient services (for the second factor), privacy, HIPPA, ethical, and other concerns are prevalent. The authors conclude by discussing training implications, future directions for healthcare policy, and the need for regulatory bodies to invest time in developing social media policies and best practices.

While there was a lack of provider support for all categories and purposes of social media use, most providers endorsed at least some methods of social media as being useful in health service provision. These areas are consistent with previously researched areas, such as policy, appointment reminders, support groups for patients and family members, and education/psycho education. The more personal or HIPAA protected the information, the less likely participants were to endorse the item to be used by patients. This was evident in the factor structure of the social media scale score, with factor one comprised of less protected data, and factor two more personal and protected data. Until protections and safeguards are in place, the authors agree with the participants that such information is better integrated into electronic medical record and portals on provider websites. The divide was also consistent throughout the qualitative data. Methods of use supported include: appointment reminders, family communication, support groups, medication compliance, education, and health promotion/psycho education are supported [12].

Conclusions

More clearly defined and transparent guidelines and by-laws are needed from various regulatory bodies and state licensure committees to guide practitioners in the future. In addition, effective ways to disseminate the identified benefits of social media use (especially for healthcare policy related information) to providers is an area of future research need. Identifying more concise provider characteristics and opinions about specific social media utilization will also be important moving forward. Providing education on the success various programs have had with the participants that such information is better integrated into electronic medical record and portals on provider websites. The divide was also consistent throughout the qualitative data.

References

1. http://www.hrsa.gov/advisorycommittees/rural/2010secretaryreport.pdf
2. Smalley KB, Vancey CT, Warren JC, Nauel K, Ryan R, et al. (2010) Rural mental health and psychological treatment: A review for practitioners. J Clin Psychol (6(5)): 479-489.
3. Brenes GA, Danhauer SC, Lyles MF, Miller ME (2014) Telephone-delivered psychotherapy for rural-dwelling older adults with generalized anxiety disorder: Study protocol of a randomized controlled trial. BMC Psychiatry 14: 34.
4. Callas PW, McGowan JJ, Leslie ID (1996) Provider attitudes toward a telepathology program. Telemedicine Journal 2(4): 319-329.
5. Edwards-Gaura A, Whitaker D, Self-Brown S (2014) Can social networking be used to promote engagement in child maltreatment prevention programs? Two pilot studies. West J Emerg Med 15(5): 575-581.
6. Dunkley C, Pattie L, Wilson L, McAllister L (2010) A comparison of rural speech-language pathologists’ and residents’ access to and attitudes towards the use of technology for speech-language pathology service delivery. Int J Speech Lang Pathol 12(4): 333-343.
7. Reed RN, Mesler EC, Coombs TE, Quevillon RO (2014) Social media use and the acceptability of telepsychological services in rural populations. Journal of Rural Mental Health 38(1): 2-8.
8. Jameson JP, Farmer MS, Head KJ, Fortney J, Teal CR (2011) VA community mental health service providers’ utilization of and attitudes toward telemental health care: The gatekeeper’s perspective. J Rural Health 27(4): 425-432.
9. Nkosi ZZ, Asah F, Pillay P (2011) Post-basic nursing students’ access to and attitudes toward the use of information technology in practice: A descriptive analysis. J Nurs Manag 19(7): 876-882.
10. Sukums F, Mensah N, Mpembeni R, Kaltschmidt J, Haefeli WE, et al. (2014) Health workers’ knowledge of and attitudes towards computer applications in rural African health facilities. Global Health Action 7: 24534.
11. Osborne JW, Fitzpatrick DC (2011) Replication Analysis in Exploratory Factor Analysis: What it is and why it makes your analysis better. Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation 17(15): 1-6.
12. Stermensky G (2014) Provider attitudes toward the use of social media in patient care: An exploratory study. Psy Degree Dissertation. The School of Professional Psychology at Forest Institute: Springfield, USA.