Moving the Needle on Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease and Heart Failure with Influenza Vaccination
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Abstract

Purpose of Review The interplay between viral respiratory infections and cardiovascular disease has been most comprehensively researched using seasonal and pandemic influenza viruses as case studies. Here, we summarize the latest international observational research and clinical trials that examined the association between influenza, influenza vaccines, and cardiovascular disease, while contextualizing their findings within those of landmark studies.

Recent Findings Most recent observational literature found that one in eight adults hospitalized with laboratory-confirmed influenza infection experienced an acute cardiovascular event. The latest meta-analysis of the cardioprotective effects of influenza vaccine found a 25% reduced risk of all-cause death. There are four large cardiovascular outcome trials assessing the cardioprotective effects of different influenza vaccine strategies. Among these, the INVESTED study showed there is no significant difference between the high-dose trivalent and standard-dose quadrivalent influenza vaccines in reducing all-cause mortality or cardiopulmonary hospitalizations in a high-risk patient group with pre-existing cardiovascular disease.

Summary Persons with cardiovascular disease represent a high priority group for viral vaccines; hence, using robust evidence to increase vaccine confidence among patients and practitioners is integral as we prepare for a possible influenza resurgence in the coming years.
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Introduction: Vicious Cycle of Viral Respiratory Infections and Cardiovascular Disease

Since 2015, heart disease has been the leading cause of death in the United States (US), with a 5% increase from 2019 to 2020 alone — the largest jump since 2012 [1]. Influenza and pneumonia have also prominently featured among the top ten causes of deaths since 2015, with an increase in deaths by 7.5% from 2019 to 2020 [1, 2]. Now, because of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, it has never been more apparent to the public that there exists a vicious cycle between viral respiratory infections (VRI) and cardiovascular disease (CVD). Underlying CVD puts patients at increased risk of VRI and downstream cardiopulmonary sequelae, such as those due to SARS-CoV-2 [3, 4], influenza viruses [5, 6, 7••], and respiratory syncytial virus [8–10]. Reciprocally, VRI can create a systemic inflammatory environment that is conducive to major adverse cardiovascular (CV) events [10, 11, 12•, 13–16]. Yet, this...
vicious cycle is not a new concept. One of the earliest published reports of an association between respiratory infection and higher CV mortality referred to the 1918 influenza pandemic, which was caused by influenza A (H1N1) virus. During the influenza epidemics of 1918–1919 and 1920, 18% of excess deaths were attributed to “organic heart diseases.” Subsequently, during the 1922, 1923, 1926, and 1928–1929 epidemics, the attribution increased to 46% of excess deaths [17]. Since then, seasonal influenza epidemics have been, and continue to be, associated with population-level increases in CV hospitalizations and mortality.

Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, in the US alone, influenza infections were associated with 225,000 hospitalizations, 36,000 cardiopulmonary deaths, and 51,000 deaths in a typical year [18, 19]. Furthermore, approximately half of adults who were hospitalized with influenza infection had CVD [20]. During the 2019–2020 influenza season, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) estimated 38 million influenza illnesses (equivalent to the population of California), 400,000 influenza hospitalizations (about the population of Miami, FL), and 22,000 influenza deaths, which is enough people to fill Madison Square Garden in New York City [21]. This burden, in turn, continued to disproportionately impact the most vulnerable in a population, such as people experiencing multimorbidity and/or immune compromise and older adults, the latter accounting for 43% of hospitalizations and 62% of deaths [21–23]. Although the 2019–2020 season was described to be of moderate severity, it was especially severe for children under 4 years old and working age adults (18 to 49 years old), for whom these rates of infection, morbidity, and mortality were higher than those observed in the 2017–2018 season, i.e., a recent season with high severity (Fig. 1), and with hospitalization rates higher than those observed during the 2009 H1N1 pandemic [24, 25].

The Latest Studies Investigating Influenza and CVD

The landmark studies that highlighted this association over the past two decades have been discussed in-depth elsewhere [12•]. However, in the past year, additional large and well-designed studies have emerged that add further credence to the growing interest and literature base interrogating this disease intersection. In a recent cross-sectional study of 80,261 adults from the US Influenza Hospitalization Surveillance Network (FluSurv-NET; covering 9% of the US population) across 8 influenza seasons (2010–2018), the CDC found that almost one in eight adult patients hospitalized with laboratory-confirmed influenza infection experienced an acute CV event [7••]. The most common were heart failure (6.2%) and ischemic heart disease (5.7%), whereas less common CV event types included hypertensive crisis (1.0%), cardiogenic shock (0.3%), acute myocarditis (0.1%), acute pericarditis (0.05%), and cardiac tamponade (0.02%). The high incidence of acute congestive heart failure (HF) is especially notable in the context of the very low incidence of acute viral myo-/pericarditis and given approximately half (49.2%) of patients with HF had no prior diagnosis of HF nor cardiomyopathy [26]. The findings for ischemic heart disease also point to the need for further investigation of ischemic stroke associated with influenza, given the shared pathogenic and cardioembolic mechanisms. Due to limitations in discerning the acuity of conditions based on International Classification of Diseases (ICD) discharge codes alone, the investigators excluded diagnoses for cardiac arrhythmias, including atrial fibrillation, although association with this CV event type and mitigation with influenza vaccination has been reported [27, 28].

Naturally, these same patients merit higher care needs and demonstrate higher healthcare utilization as captured by traditional metrics. In the above study, patients experiencing acute CV events had a median length of stay of 5 days, with a third requiring intensive care and 7.3% dying in hospital. Of those who died, 25% had an associated acute CV event, which may have been preventable had the initial influenza infection been averted. Additionally, as has been seen repeatedly in similar studies, most of these patients were already experiencing multimorbidity at baseline, with events having occurred in 20.6% of hospitalized patients with chronic CVD, 19.3% of those with chronic renal disease, and 14.8% of those with diabetes. Predictors of ischemic heart disease or acute HF were older age, extreme obesity (body mass index ≥ 40.0 kg/m²), current tobacco use, chronic HF or cardiomyopathy, coronary artery disease (CAD), diabetes, and chronic renal disease. Atrial fibrillation was additionally found to be a predictor of acute HF.

Although the gold standard method for ascertaining positive influenza infection is using laboratory confirmation, in practice, most patients experiencing influenza infections are not formally diagnosed this way. Additionally, in the US, it has been previously shown that influenza-related hospitalizations are likely under-detected by more than threefold for adults aged 18 to 65 years, and by more than fivefold for older adults [29]. Hence, many of the best retrospective analyses discussed here and previously [12•] that examine the association between laboratory-confirmed influenza infection and CV sequelae are likely underestimating the true burden of influenza infection and associated CV morbidity and mortality.

Further, influenza virus circulation patterns and low temperatures are closely related in temperate zones, which have more distinct seasonal changes compared to tropical climates. Given environmental factors common during the winter season such as low temperature and humidity may
Fig. 1 Estimated percentage of influenza-related illnesses, medical visits, hospitalizations, and deaths by age group for the (A) *moderate severity* 2019–2020 influenza season versus the (B) *high severity* 2017–2018 influenza season (data from the CDC) [21]. The absolute numbers of events are shown in the data table below each graph.
predispose persons to prothrombotic states, it has been questioned whether the association between influenza infection and adverse CV outcomes is confounded by climate factors [30, 31]. For instance, excess winter mortality and acute myocardial infarction (MI) risk has been attributed to low temperatures [30, 31]. However, recent observational studies in the US, England, Scotland, Denmark, and Spain, predominantly employing time-series analyses or self-controlled case series analyses, have shown that events like HF, stroke, and MI-associated hospitalizations and deaths are likely attributable to influenza epidemics [32–36, 37••]. Time-series and self-controlled case series analyses are elegant observational design and analytical methods developed to mitigate the challenges of investigating causal associations that account for confounders and better isolate the effect of influenza on cause-specific events. However, the interpretation of these population-level studies is not immediately intuitive, and the magnitude of reported effects has been variable.

In the latest time-series analysis, García-Lledó and colleagues analyzed the temporal relationship between influenza-like illness incidence and type 1 acute MI, while adjusting for ambient minimum temperature, over five influenza seasons (2013 to 2018) in Madrid, Spain [37••]. This is the first study using a time-series analysis (with quasi-Poisson regression and distributed lag-nonlinear models) to make a distinction between type 1 and type 2 MI, with 8240 cases of type 1 identified via angiography and recorded in a regional primary angioplasty database. Adjusting for year, month, and temperature during influenza seasons, they found a relative risk (RR) of 1.23 (95% CI, 1.03–1.47), which was consistent across sexes and age groups, although marginally non-significant for males and younger persons. The lag-nonlinear models showed that an increase of influenza rates by 50 cases per 100,000 in a week resulted in a 16% increased RR of type 1 acute MI (95% CI, 1.09–1.23) during the same week but disappeared 1 week after (RR, 0.95; 95% CI, 0.89–1.05). At the same time, there was a linearly increased risk of 2.5% per 1 °C decrease in the minimum temperature, showing a smaller and independent effect of temperature on type 1 acute MI after adjusting for influenza.

Cardioprotective Effects of Influenza Vaccines

In the above CDC-FluSurv-NET study, less than half (47.2%) of the study population had received an influenza vaccine, with 39.2% not having received it and 13.6% with unknown vaccination status [7••]. This is unfortunate given that people vaccinated at least 2 weeks before hospitalization had a lower risk of HF (adjusted RR 0.86; 95% CI, 0.80–0.92) and ischemic heart disease (adjusted RR 0.80; 95% CI, 0.74–0.87) when compared with those who did not get a vaccine [7••]. Similarly, the recent work by García-Lledó and colleagues also found that for patients above 59 years of age, influenza vaccination was associated with a decreased risk of type 1 acute MI (60 to 64 years: RR 0.58; 95% CI, 0.47–0.71 and ≥65 years: RR 0.53; 95% CI, 0.49–0.57) [37••].

Prior to these studies, several large meta-analyses of observational and trial data have shown that influenza vaccination is associated with a reduction in mortality and other adverse outcomes, including those of CV etiology, in adults with influenza infection [38–40]. A recent meta-analysis found that influenza vaccination was associated with (i) a 25% reduced risk of all-cause death and (ii) an 18% (based on observational data) or 56% (based on randomized controlled trial data) reduced risk of CV mortality [41]. These effect sizes are comparable to guideline-directed therapy with β-blockers and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, which have shown mortality reductions from ≈20 to 25% [42–45]. In this context, the well-established safety, accessibility, feasibility, and cost-effectiveness of influenza vaccination make it a compelling first-line intervention in the armamentarium of CV prevention therapies [46].

However, many high-risk patients experiencing multimorbidity, including those with CVD, have an altered immune response to influenza vaccine as a result of processes like immunosenescence and inflammmaging [47, 48], potentially resulting in reduced effectiveness for preventing clinical events [49]. Even at baseline, influenza vaccine effectiveness among the general populations has been waning in recent years, due to antigenic drift and shift, as well as egg adaptation [50–52]. As a result, newer and stronger vaccine formulations, in terms of dose and coverage of viral strains, are being developed while the technology for a universal influenza vaccine is an aspirational goal to meet contemporary needs [53, 54].

One such example is a high-dose seasonal influenza vaccine, containing four times the amount of hemagglutinin antigen than standard-dose vaccines and typically used in older adults with relatively weaker immune systems, which has been shown to augment the immune response in cardiac patients. In a Phase IIIb–IV, randomized, active-controlled trial against standard-dose trivalent influenza vaccine over two influenza seasons (2011–2012 and 2012–2013), the high-dose trivalent vaccine induced significantly higher antibody responses and provided better protection against laboratory-confirmed, symptomatic influenza illness (relative efficacy, 24.2%; 95% CI, 9.7–36.5) among 31,989 participants aged 65 and up from 126 research centers in the US and Canada [55]. Around the same time, Van Ermen and colleagues randomized 28 patients with heart failure to double-dose versus standard-dose influenza vaccine and found that antibody production, assessed as log haemagglutination unit changes, were significantly higher at 2 to 4 weeks
following the higher dose vaccine (3.3 vs. 1.6 for A/H3N2, 
\( p < 0.001; 1.9 \) and 1.1 for A/H1N1, \( p = 0.009; \) and 1.7 and 1 for B-type, \( p = 0.02 \)) [56]. Together, this evidence suggests a high-dose influenza vaccination strategy may further reduce morbidity and mortality, thus better serving as a secondary prevention measure [57].

So far, the Influenza Vaccine to Effectively Stop Cardio Thoracic Events and Decompensated heart failure (INVESTED) trial is the largest \( (n = 5260 \) participants) and longest (3 influenza seasons) cardiovascular outcome trial (CVOT) to have assessed the comparative effectiveness of a high-dose trivalent influenza vaccine versus a standard-dose quadrivalent vaccine in reducing cardiopulmonary events in a high-risk CV population [58]. The investigators did not find a significant difference between the strategies in reducing all-cause mortality or cardiopulmonary hospitalizations in this high-risk group. The possible reasons for this are multifactorial, notwithstanding the wider strain coverage conferred by the standard-dose quadrivalent vaccine [59, 60••].

Now, given a quadrivalent formulation of the high-dose vaccine has emerged, containing an additional influenza B strain lineage, it is the next candidate for evaluation via a CVOT in the improvement of protection against influenza and associated complications in high-risk patient groups (NCT04137887) [61••]. This ongoing study by Hollingsworth and colleagues combines the gold standard method of a Phase III/IV, modified double-blinded, randomized controlled trial with real-world pragmatic data collection through national registries. They aim to assess the relative effectiveness of the high-dose versus the standard-dose quadrivalent influenza vaccine in preventing cardiopulmonary hospitalizations up to 6 months post-vaccination, in \( \geq 120,000 \) adults aged 65 and up across Finland, over multiple influenza seasons.

Aside from INVESTED and NCT04137887, there are two other ongoing CVOTs (Fig. 2), the characteristics of which have been summarized elsewhere [12•, 62•, 63•]. Briefly, the Influenza Vaccination After Myocardial Infarction (IAMi) pragmatic, registry-based trial is randomizing patients with acute coronary syndrome undergoing coronary angiography to the standard-dose influenza vaccine versus placebo in 8 countries across Asia, Australia, and Europe [62•]. The Influenza Vaccine in Patients With Heart Failure to Reduce Adverse Vascular Events (IVVE) trial is randomizing patients with heart failure to the standard-dose influenza vaccine versus placebo in 10 countries across Asia, the Middle East, and Africa [63•]. Notably, all these CVOTs are specifically including patients with pre-existing CVD of varying manifestations, except for NCT04137887, which is mainly assessing older adults. Further, both INVESTED and NCT04137887 are active-controlled trials, with the standard-dose quadrivalent vaccine administered to participants in the comparator arm. This was to maintain equipoise since the vaccine was projected to become (or already is) the standard of care in those trial regions, instantiated by clear efficacy data and strong recommendations supporting vaccination in patients with CVD [64]. IAMI and IVVE, however, are placebo-controlled trials for varying reasons. IAMI’s target patient population included hospitalized patients, who are not typically vaccinated unless out of hospital, and was enriched for participants who are specifically not considering vaccination. Further, annual influenza vaccination coverage for Scandinavian countries is approximately 50% [65]. As a result, the trial was effectively increasing
vaccination coverage among their eligible participants. In contrast, IVVE permitted participants to receive influenza vaccine outside of the trial if they chose to do so or if prescribed, given there is no evidence of harm from receiving two influenza vaccinations. But, like IAMI, they also tried to enrich for participants who are not routinely vaccinated against influenza by excluding those who had received the vaccine in two of the three prior years. As a result, this trial was also increasing vaccination coverage among their participants, in practice.

Overall, patients with or at risk of CVD represent an important target population for the rapidly developing field of viral vaccines. Further, knowledge gained from these patients is applicable to most other chronic medical conditions that are susceptible to the same underlying pathophysiological immune-modulation and frailty mechanisms [66]. This is especially important in the context of the current COVID-19 pandemic, which has exposed the interrelatedness of our societies in accelerating disease spread and its implications for future pandemics. The reduced global circulation of influenza virus throughout 2020 and early 2021 may increase the number of people with reduced immunity to influenza through lack of exposure [67, 68]. Following the widespread administration of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines, resumptions in international travel, and relaxation of non-pharmaceutical public health measures, it is inevitable that influenza will return [69]. Aside from returning as a seasonal virus, with potential for some lineages disappearing while new quasi-species or drifted variants emerge over time, influenza also continues to present a pandemic threat [67]. Hence, a clear, global, public health strategy supporting influenza vaccination among all eligible individuals over 6 months of age carries even greater importance.

Conclusion — a Shot at the Future: Continuing Surveillance and Addressing Vaccine Hesitancy

Even with the available evidence, influenza immunization rates remain low among the general population and high-risk individuals [70]. For example, in a recent US study of 19,793 patients with atherosclerotic CVD, 32.7% lacked influenza vaccination, despite guideline recommendations [71–73]. Significant socioeconomic and demographic disparities also exist in vaccination coverage among high-risk CV patients [74]. Vaccine hesitancy was identified in 2019 by the World Health Organization as one of the ten major threats to global health and has been exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, exposing global chasms in equitable vaccine access and deployment [75]. After 15 months and counting of global morbidity, mortality, detrimental economic, and social effects, and despite strict non-pharmaceutical interventions and lightning-fast development of effective viral vaccines, a lack of vaccine confidence still persists [76].

Many of the studies described here often concluded with calls for general practitioners and specialists to actively participate in vaccine counseling with their patients. We propose that framing this recommendation within the context of the three Cs framework for addressing vaccine hesitancy (complacency, convenience, and confidence) will maximize its impact [77]. A recent study suggests that vaccine uptake among older adults can be boosted by emphasizing the protective effects of influenza vaccination against increased risk of ischemic heart disease and stroke in a short and focused encounter with a physician [78]. This was shown to motivate at least 51% of their patient sample, who had previously declined vaccination. This serves as an example of boosting confidence in the effectiveness of influenza vaccines while also mitigating complacency in that the patient’s focus is also directed to post-viral complications like “heart attack and stroke,” which conventionally carry more vivid risks than influenza-like illnesses. Bhugra and colleagues have also newly summarized key strategies in the literature for ameliorating influenza vaccine uptake among patients with CVD, through improved understanding of the key social determinants of health and vaccine-related behaviors, with applications for increasing vaccine coverage for other viral vaccines [79•]. Reviews that highlight the pros and cons of study design and analytical features and concisely explain the benefits and safety of influenza vaccine are designed to inform practitioners and boost confidence in study results. Consequently, practitioner confidence can improve advocacy to increase vaccine confidence among healthcare workers as well. Addressing convenience will require larger coordinated responses between global public health bodies and vaccine manufacturers to dismantle the structural hurdles to improve equitable vaccine access but in the interim can be initiated at the grassroots level via the therapeutic relationships that exist between healthcare workers and their patients.

In addition, underscoring the CV sequelae following influenza infection draws natural parallels to the post-acute sequelae currently emerging for COVID-19, i.e., “long covid” [80]. In fact, a variety of post-viral syndromes, also referred to as Myalgic Encephalomyelitis/Chronic Fatigue Syndrome, among other monikers, have been reported for at least three decades in the literature, some of which have been ascribed to influenza including its pandemic form [81, 82]. The findings summarized here also highlight the substantial
burden of cardiopulmonary and cardioembolic health loss that is possible in the medium- and long-term following acute influenza infection. Therefore, seasonal influenza vaccinations are well-positioned to potentially reduce chronic health loss following influenza infection through their cardioprotective effects.

Finally, given the “break” in epidemic influenza infections in 2020, there is uncertainty as to the severity of future influenza outbreaks on a global scale. Therefore, it is imperative to ameliorate and maintain robust rates of seasonal influenza vaccination along with surveillance, monitoring, and preparedness through the Global Influenza Surveillance and Response System and regional bodies. Developing a four-strain influenza vaccine with newer vaccine platform technologies, analogous to mRNA vaccines for COVID-19, will likely not be as easy [83, 84]. Therefore, we must maximize leveraging current seasonal influenza vaccine technologies, spanning from egg to cell-based, recombinant, and adjuvants, that have been repeatedly demonstrated to deliver safe and effective protection in the short, medium, and long terms.
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