A POPULATION OF X-RAY WEAK QUASARS: PHL 1811 ANALOGS AT HIGH REDSHIFT
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ABSTRACT

We report the results from Chandra and XMM-Newton observations of a sample of 10 type 1 quasars selected to have unusual UV emission-line properties (weak and blueshifted high-ionization lines; strong UV Fe emission) similar to those of PHL 1811, a confirmed intrinsically X-ray weak quasar. These quasars were identified by the Sloan Digital Sky Survey at high redshift ($z \approx 2.2$); eight are radio quiet while two are radio intermediate. All of the radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs, without exception, are notably X-ray weak by a mean factor of $\approx 13$. These sources lack broad absorption lines and have blue UV/optical continua, supporting the hypothesis that they are intrinsically X-ray weak like PHL 1811 itself. However, their average X-ray spectrum appears to be harder than those of typical quasars, which may indicate the presence of heavy intrinsic X-ray absorption. Our sample of radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs supports a connection between an X-ray weak spectral energy distribution and PHL 1811-like UV emission lines; this connection provides an economical way to identify X-ray weak type 1 quasars. The fraction of radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs in the radio-quiet quasar population is estimated to be $\lesssim 1.2\%$. We have investigated correlations between relative X-ray brightness and UV emission-line properties (e.g., C\textsc{iv} equivalent width and blueshift) for a sample combining our radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs, PHL 1811 itself, and typical type 1 quasars. These correlation analyses suggest that PHL 1811 analogs may have extreme wind-dominated broad emission-line regions. Observationally, the radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs appear to be a subset ($\approx 30\%$) of radio-quiet weak-line quasars (WLQs). The existence of a subset of quasars in which high-ionization “shielding gas” covers most of the broad emission-line region (BELR), but little more than the BELR, could potentially unify the PHL 1811 analogs and WLQs. The two radio-intermediate PHL 1811 analogs are X-ray bright. X-ray spectral analyses and consideration of their multiwavelength properties suggest that one of them has jet-dominated X-ray emission, while the nature of the other remains unclear. 
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1. INTRODUCTION

A central tenet of X-ray astronomy is that luminous X-ray emission is a universal property of efficiently accreting supermassive black holes (SMBHs). This idea underlies the utility of extragalactic X-ray surveys for finding active galactic nuclei (AGNs) throughout the universe. While this tenet has generally withstood observational tests (e.g., Avni & Tananbaum 1986; Mushotzky 2004; Brandt & Hasinger 2005; Gibson et al. 2008a; Brandt & Alexander 2010, and references therein), it is poorly understood physically. The accretion-disc corona (ADC), putatively responsible for creating most of the observed AGN X-ray emission via Compton upscattering of lower energy disk photons, is still not well understood, and its strong level of X-ray emission cannot yet be reliably derived from ab initio physics (e.g., Galeev et al. 1979; Fabian et al. 2000; Miller & Stone 2000; Krolik 2007).

Notably, there are a few examples of AGNs where the ADC appears to emit X-rays much more weakly, by a factor of $\approx 10–100$, than expected based upon the emission at longer wavelengths. These strange objects, which persist in showing spectral energy distributions (SEDs) entirely out of keeping with their luminosity, may ultimately teach us more than a host"
et al. (2009). The C iv line is also blueshifted and asymmetric; the observed C iv characteristics may indicate the presence of a strong radiatively driven wind that dominates the broad emission-line region (BELR; e.g., Richards et al. 2011). The near-UV spectrum is dominated by strong Fe ii and Fe iii emission lines, and unusual low-ionization emission lines such as Na i D and Ca ii H and K are observed. Based on photoionization modeling, Leighly et al. (2007a) suggest that the unusual UV/optical emission-line properties of PHL 1811 are due to a weak ionizing continuum, inferred from its soft (i.e., X-ray weak and UV/optical strong) SED. This apparent connection between the SED and emission-line properties does not, unfortunately, directly clarify the ultimate physical cause of the intrinsic X-ray weakness. However, if correct, this suggestion should provide an economical method of identifying additional examples of intrinsically X-ray weak quasars from large optical spectroscopic databases. Investigations of these additional examples might then provide a broader context and insight into the cause of the intrinsic X-ray weakness. Additional evidence for a connection between a soft SED and PHL 1811-like emission-line properties comes from the finding that the extremely luminous quasar SDSS J1521+5202 (z = 2.19; $M_\text{B} = -30.19$) is also remarkably X-ray weak (by a factor of $\approx 30$; Just et al. 2007) and has similar UV emission-line properties to PHL 1811. However, the sample size remains too small to claim an empirical connection reliably.

One other recent result that is relevant to the issue of intrinsically X-ray weak quasars is the finding by Miniutti et al. (2009) of an abrupt and remarkable drop by a factor of $\approx 200$ in the X-ray luminosity of the well-studied quasar PHL 1092 (z = 0.40). This quasar appears to have made a strong transition between X-ray bright and X-ray weak states, perhaps associated with evolving instabilities in its ADC (see Miniutti et al. 2009; Sobolewska et al. 2009). Interestingly, PHL 1092 was noted to have similar emission-line properties to PHL 1811 prior to the discovery of its X-ray luminosity drop (Leighly et al. 2007a). Somewhat surprisingly, the wavelength region from Mg ii to H\beta in PHL 1092 did not show dramatic changes associated with its transition from a normal SED to an X-ray weak SED (Miniutti et al. 2009).

Given the potential physical importance of quasars with PHL 1811-like emission-line properties (hereafter PHL 1811 analogs), we proposed to use Chandra to substantially enlarge the sample of these objects with sensitive X-ray coverage. Our observation targets were selected from the vast Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000) spectroscopic database to be sufficiently optically bright to allow derivation of tight optical-to-X-ray SED constraints with short (5–13 ks) Chandra observations. We also searched for and utilized sensitive archival X-ray coverage of a few PHL 1811 analogs. The closely related goals of our project were the following: (1) to determine if there is evidence for a reasonably sized population of intrinsically X-ray weak quasars. If such a population indeed exists, it would present an interesting challenge to the universality of luminous X-ray emission from quasars, and one that might lead to insights into when luminous ADCs do and do not form, (2) to assess empirically if there is a physical connection between soft (i.e., X-ray weak and UV/optical strong) quasar SEDs and PHL 1811-like emission-line properties, as has been proposed to be the case from photoionization modeling, (3) to investigate if selection upon PHL 1811-like emission-line properties provides a practical and economical way of finding X-ray weak quasars at high redshift, and (4) to provoke further X-ray studies of PHL 1811 analogs. For example, X-ray spectroscopy of any X-ray weak members of this class should be able to test directly if they, like PHL 1811, are intrinsically X-ray weak rather than absorbed, and it should also provide estimates of their L/L_{\text{Edd}} values. Consistent X-ray monitoring over long timescales might also reveal strong X-ray variability (perhaps associated with ADC instabilities), as has been found for PHL 1092.

In Section 2, we describe the selection of our sample of PHL 1811 analogs and measurements of their rest-frame UV spectral properties. In Section 3, we describe the relevant X-ray data analyses. Overall results and associated discussion are presented in Section 4. Throughout this paper, we adopt a cosmology with $H_0 = 70.5\text{ km s}^{-1}\text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.274$, and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.726$ (e.g., Komatsu et al. 2009).

2. SAMPLE SELECTION AND REST-FRAME UV SPECTRAL MEASUREMENTS

2.1. Selection of PHL 1811 Analogs

We first compiled a sample of the 1621 objects classified as QSO or HIZ\_QSO in the SDSS Data Release 7 (DR7; Abazajian et al. 2009) Catalog Archive Server (CAS) with $m_i \leq 18.8$ and $2.125 \leq z \leq 2.385$ (see Figure 1). The magnitude limit selects objects that are sufficiently bright for short Chandra observations to provide tight constraints on their optical-to-X-ray SED properties. The selected redshift range provides the best possible coverage between Ly\alpha and Fe ii (and usually Mg ii) in the SDSS spectra, which allows reliable identification of PHL 1811 analogs. These analogs were systematically selected as quasars having weak and blueshifted high-ionization lines, such as C iv $\lambda 1549$ and Si iv $\lambda 1400,9$ as well as strong UV Fe ii (2200–2600 Å) and Fe iii UV48 (2080 Å) emission. The criteria upon rest-frame equivalent widths ($W_i$) of high-ionization lines extracted from the SDSS CAS Specobj table were $W_i (\text{Si iv}) \leq 15\text{ Å}, -15\text{ Å} \leq W_i (\text{C iv}) \leq 20\text{ Å},$ and $\chi^2 \leq 20$ for the C iv line fit; the $\chi^2$ fit-quality criterion ensures reliable

$9$ This line is, in fact, a blend of Si iv and O iv; we refer to it simply as Si iv for convenience.

Figure 1. SDSS absolute $i$-band magnitude, $M_i$, plotted vs. redshift, $z$. The filled black circles show our sample of 11 selected quasars, the asterisk represents PHL 1811, the open diamond represents PHL 1092, and the small gray dots represent the 105,783 objects in the SDSS DR7 quasar catalog (Schneider et al. 2010). The two most luminous sources in our sample are labeled in the format of “Jhhmm” for brevity. The vertical dotted lines show the redshift criterion for our sample selection (2.125 $\leq z \leq 2.385$).
Figure 2. SDSS spectra for the 11 sources in our sample of potential PHL 1811 analogs (J0903+0708 is later excluded from our sample, see Section 2.3), ordered by $\Delta \alpha_{ox}$ (see Section 3 for definition). The $\Delta \alpha_{ox}$ values and their error bars (if the source is detected in X-rays) are shown for each source. The name of each source is labeled in the format of “Jhhmm+ddmm.” The $y$-coordinates are the flux density ($F_\lambda$) in arbitrary linear units. The tick marks on the $y$-axis show the zero flux density level for each normalized spectrum. The spectra have been smoothed using a five-pixel sliding-box filter. Emission lines, including Lyα $\lambda 1216$, Si iv $\lambda 1400$, C iv $\lambda 1549$, Fe iii $\lambda 2080$, Fe ii $\lambda 2200-2600$, and Mg ii $\lambda 2799$ are labeled in each panel. The associated narrow absorption lines are labeled with red “+” signs (the two associated NAL systems of J1230+2049 overlap each other because of their very close redshifts; see Table 2). The rest-frame effective wavelengths of the SDSS ugriz bands for the median redshift of our PHL 1811 analogs ($z = 2.23$) are labeled at the top of the right panel. The spectral resolution is $R \approx 2000$. Also included are the composite spectrum of SDSS quasars by Vanden Berk et al. (2001) and the PHL 1811 spectrum from HST (Leighly et al. 2007a).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
the spectrum for PHL 1811 from the \textit{Hubble Space Telescope (HST; Leighly et al. 2007a)} are also included in Figure 2. Nine of the 11 objects are radio-quiet ($R < 10$; see Section 3 for the definition of $R$). J1454+0324 and J1618+0704 are radio-intermediate with $R = 12.8$ and $R = 35.0$, respectively. Three of the 11 objects already had sensitive archival X-ray coverage (J1219+1244 and J1521+5202 by Chandra, J1230+2049 by XMM-Newton). We proposed short (5–13 ks) Chandra observations for the other eight objects, and were awarded observing time in Cycle 11 (see Table 1 for an X-ray observation log).

### 2.2. Measurements of Redshifts and Rest-frame UV Emission-line Properties

The redshifts and their uncertainties (see Table 2) for our PHL 1811 analogs are the spectroscopic redshifts from the SDSS CAS in most cases. These redshifts were obtained by fitting the peaks in the SDSS spectra with a set of strong quasar emission lines (see Section 4.2.10.1 of Stoughton et al. 2002 for details). The SDSS CAS redshift measurements may not be precise for our sample because of the weak, blueshifted, and asymmetric emission lines. We therefore examined the redshift values for our PHL 1811 analogs carefully. For four quasars whose CAS redshifts were suspect based on this examination, we adopt redshifts and uncertainties from other approaches: for J1125+4028, the redshift of the longer-wavelength peak of the double-peaked Mg II emission is adopted as systemic; for both J1219+1244 and J1230+2049, we adopt the redshift of a strong (but not broad) absorption system; for J1521+5202, we follow Just et al. (2007) and adopt $z = 2.19$ based on Mg II emission. The differences between these updated redshift values and the CAS values have a magnitude of $\Delta z = 0.02–0.05$. The final redshift values adopted are listed in Table 2. Redshifts of narrow absorption-line systems (NALS) are also

\[ z = \frac{\lambda_{\text{obs}}}{\lambda_{\text{rest}}} \]

\[ \Delta z = \frac{\Delta \lambda_{\text{obs}}}{\lambda_{\text{rest}}} \]
listed in Table 2. Three PHL 1811 analogs (J0848+5408, J1219+1244, and J1230+2049) have associated NALs (defined as $|v| < 5000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), which are labeled in Figure 2 and in Table 2. The other NALs with $v < -5000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ are most likely intervening absorption.

Hewett & Wild (2010) reported improved redshift measurements with lower systematic uncertainties for SDSS quasars. Their measurements for our candidate PHL 1811 analogs are also included in Table 2, denoted as $z_{\text{HW}}$. These redshifts are either consistent with or slightly lower than $z_{\text{g}}$. However, the $z_{\text{HW}}$ values were determined via cross-correlation with quasar spectral templates. Given the unusual spectral properties of our candidate PHL 1811 analogs, we have not adopted the $z_{\text{HW}}$ values. Nevertheless, we will test whether adopting these different redshift values would affect our main conclusions in the following sections, particularly regarding C IV blueshifts.

We measured emission-line properties interactively for C IV, Si IV, the $\lambda 1900$ complex, and Fe iii UV48 (Table 3), since the automatic measurements stored in the CAS may be unreliable because of the weak, highly blueshifted lines of PHL 1811 analogs. We first fitted the continuum for each source following the method of Vanden Berk et al. (2001). The SDSS spectra were smoothed with a five-pixel sliding-box filter. Regions of strong narrow absorption were interpolated across manually. The fitting region for each emission line was then defined between lower and upper wavelength limits $\lambda_{\text{lb}}$ and $\lambda_{\text{ub}}$ (see Table 2 of Vanden Berk et al. 2001). A power-law local continuum was fit to the lower and upper 10% of the wavelength region between $\lambda_{\text{lb}}$ and $\lambda_{\text{ub}}$. After subtracting the continuum, we measured $W_r$, FWHM, the line dispersion ($\sigma_{\text{line}}$, the second moment relative to the flux-weighted mean wavelength of the line), and the associated uncertainties for each line. Blueshifts were calculated between the lab wavelength of a line in the quasar rest frame (see Table 2 of Vanden Berk et al. 2001) and the observed mode of all pixels with heights greater than 50% of the peak height of this line, where mode $= 3$ median $− 2$ mean. In addition to the C IV blueshift errors quoted in Table 3, the uncertainties in the redshift measurements also produce further uncertainties for the C IV blueshift. We also include corresponding measurements of the spectrum of PHL 1811 (Leighly et al. 2007a), the composite quasar spectrum of Vanden Berk et al. (2001), the “B4” composite spectrum of high-redshift (2.06 $< z < 3.33$), high-luminosity ($−28 < M_r < −26$) quasars of Yip et al. (2004), and the spectrum of PHL 1092 (Leighly et al. 2007a) in Table 3 for comparison.

Using our refined $W_r$ values, all our targets and PHL 1811 have $W_r$(C IV) $< 11 \text{ Å}$, $W_r$(Si IV) $< 8 \text{ Å}$, $3.5 \text{ Å} < W_r(\lambda 1900 \text{ Å})$ $< 12.5 \text{ Å}$, and $2.5 \text{ Å} < W_r$(Fe iii) $< 8 \text{ Å}$. Our targets have significantly weaker C IV and Si IV lines than the required selection criteria. For PHL 1811 analogs, the first three of these lines have average $W_r$ values that are only $\approx 40\%$ of the average for normal quasars of similar redshift and luminosity. Therefore, the sources in our sample have weak high-ionization lines and semi-forbidden lines like PHL 1811. In contrast, Fe iii UV48 has a 50% larger average $W_r$ in PHL 1811 analogs. As compared to PHL 1092, our PHL 1811 analogs have lines that are just as weak but are typically broader (perhaps partly because our sources are more luminous and thus likely have SMBHs of higher mass; e.g., Laor 2000) and more blueshifted.

Some key emission lines in the rest-frame optical band, e.g., Hβ, Hβ, and [O iii] $\lambda 5007$, are not covered by the SDSS spectra of our PHL 1811 analogs due to their high redshifts. PHL 1811 has a narrow-line type 1 optical spectrum as well as very weak [O iii] emission compared to typical type 1 quasars (Leighly et al. 2007a). Near-infrared spectroscopy of our PHL 1811 analogs is needed to measure the properties of these emission lines. We report near-infrared spectroscopy
of our most luminous PHL 1811 analog, J1521+5202, in the Appendix of this work.

2.3. J0903+0708: Not a PHL 1811 Analog

One radio-quiet source in our sample, J0903+0708, shows notably different X-ray properties from the other radio-quiet sources; it is much brighter in X-rays (see Section 3). After the Chandra observations, we observed this source with the Hobby–Eberly Telescope (HET; Ramsey et al. 1998) because it was a potential outlier. We obtained spectroscopy for J0903+0708 using the G2 grating and the 1′′ slit of the Low-Resolution Spectrograph (Hill et al. 1998) on the HET on 2010 November 5. Two HET spectra with spectral resolutions \( R \approx 1000 \) were extracted, each of which has an exposure time of 600 s. The spectra were calibrated to a standard star (G191 B2B). Figure 3 shows the co-added HET spectrum and a comparison to the SDSS spectrum. The rest-frame wavelength range of the HET spectra is 1350–2250 Å. The rest-frame UV emission-line measurements of the co-added HET spectrum are also listed in Table 3. The strengths of the emission lines (C iv, Si iv, C iii], and Fe iii] in the HET spectrum agree with those of the SDSS spectrum, while the blueshift of the C iv line in the HET spectrum is \(-610 \pm 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}\), different at a 2.5\( \sigma \) level from the SDSS measurement (\(-2300 \pm 600 \text{ km s}^{-1}\)). In order to test for any possible variation of the C iv line, we performed a \( \chi^2 \) test on the C iv region (1486–1574 Å) of the two spectra. These two spectra are consistent with each other within the noise (\( \chi^2 = 132.3 \) for 146 spectral bins). The HET spectrum has a much higher signal-to-noise ratio than the SDSS spectrum (see the inset of Figure 3 for the C iv line region), which indicates that the SDSS blueshift measurement was probably erroneous due to the SDSS spectrum’s limited signal-to-noise ratio. With the improved HET data, J0903+0708 would not have passed our original selection criterion of C iv blueshift for PHL 1811 analogs (see Section 2.1), and thus it should not be included in our sample. Note that J0903+0708 was one of the lowest C iv blueshift sources among our candidate PHL 1811 analogs even with only the SDSS measurements. J0903+0708 is probably a regular WLQ (see Section 4.6 for further discussions on the relation between PHL 1811 analogs and WLQs). We will therefore exclude this source from discussion hereafter unless noted. Nevertheless, we will report the X-ray properties of J0903+0708 in Section 3. Our final sample of PHL 1811 analogs includes 10 quasars; 8 are radio quiet, while 2 are radio intermediate.

2.4. Measurements of the UV Continua

We also estimated the spectral index of the presumed power-law continuum in the rest-frame 1200–2800 Å range (\( \alpha_\nu \), where \( f_\nu \propto \nu^{\alpha_\nu} \)) for each source by fitting the SDSS spectra in four line-free regions using the method described in Section 2.1 of Gibson et al. (2008b). The results are listed in Table 3. The range of spectral indices for our candidate PHL 1811 analogs is \(-0.97 \) to \(-0.28 \) with a mean value of \( \langle \alpha_\nu \rangle = -0.61 \). This value is within the range seen for typical quasars, although it is somewhat steeper (i.e., redder) than the “canonical” value of \( \alpha_o = -0.5 \) the optical and UV region because of the presence of the “small blue bump” due to the Balmer continuum and Fe emission (see discussion in Section 2.1 of Strateva et al. 2005; also see Natali et al. 1998; Schneider et al. 2001; Vanden Berk et al. 2001).

The somewhat redder than average nature of our quasars is evidenced by their \( \Delta(g - i) \) values (the \( g - i \) color minus the average \( g - i \) color for quasars at the same redshift) taken from Schneider et al. (2010). On average, our sample is redder than \( \approx 75\% \) of other quasars at the same redshift, with \( \langle \Delta(g - i) \rangle = 0.28 \). About a quarter of this excess can be attributed to weak C iv emission in the g band and about a quarter to strong Fe ii emission in the i band (see Figure 2). The remaining half corresponds to the redder continuum expected for quasars with spectral index \( \alpha_o = -0.61 \) instead of \( \alpha_o = -0.5 \).

In spite of their somewhat redder colors, we do not expect substantial incompleteness in the SDSS selection of PHL 1811 sources; it is much brighter in X-rays (see Section 3).
analogs. Our PHL 1811 analogs lie within the loci of $u - g$, $g - r$, $r - i$, and $i - z$ colors for SDSS color-selected quasars at similar redshifts and luminosities, but they are somewhat offset from the regions with the highest density of quasars (see Figure 4). They are within the inclusion region of $(u - g) < 0.6$ for SDSS color selection of quasar candidates (see Section 3.5.2 of Richards et al. 2002). Our objects are also in a redshift range where the SDSS color selection algorithm has high completeness ($\approx75\%$; see Figure 6 of Richards et al. 2006).

3. X-RAY DATA ANALYSIS

The eight Chandra Cycle 11 targets were observed with the S3 CCD of the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS; Garmire et al. 2003). Data reduction was performed using the standard CIAO v4.2 procedures. X-ray images were generated for the observed-frame soft (0.5–2.0 keV), hard (2.0–8.0 keV), and full (0.5–8.0 keV) bands using ASCA grade 0, 2, 3, 4, and 6 events. Source detections were performed with the WAVDETECT algorithm (Freeman et al. 2002) using a detection threshold of $10^{-5}$ and wavelet scales of $1, \sqrt{2}, 2, 2\sqrt{2},$ and 4 pixels. All targets, except J0848+5408 and J1230+3320, were detected in at least one band within $0.5$ of the object’s optical coordinates. Aperture photometry was performed using the IDL APER routine with an aperture radius of $1.5$ for the source ($\approx95\%$ enclosed energy for soft band), $\approx90\%$ enclosed energy for hard band), and inner and outer annulus radii of $3.0$ and $4.5$ for background subtraction, respectively. All background regions are free of X-ray sources. For undetected sources, the upper limits upon X-ray counts were determined using the method of Kraft et al. (1991) for the low-count scenario of $N < 10$, where $N$ is the total counts within the source aperture. Aperture corrections were applied to the X-ray counts or to their upper limits. The X-ray counts in the three bands defined above, as well as the band ratio (defined as the hard counts divided by the soft counts) and effective power-law photon index, for all newly observed and archival sources, are reported in Table 4. The effective power-law photon index was calculated from the band ratio using the Chandra PIMMS tool, assuming a power-law model with Galactic absorption.

One archival source, J1521+5202, was observed by Chandra in Cycle 7; these results were reported in Just et al. (2007). We reanalyzed these Chandra data and found consistent results with those in Just et al. (2007). This extremely optically luminous ($M_i = -30.19$, see Figure 1) source is exceptionally X-ray weak; it is not detected in the soft band. The other Chandra archival source, J1219+1244, was serendipitously covered in Cycle 8, with an off-axis angle of 3/0. It is undetected in all three bands. The aperture for this source (2′1 radius) was taken to be the 95% enclosed-energy radius at 1.49 keV according to the point-spread function (PSF) of the ACIS-S detector.

The XMM-Newton archival source, J1230+2049, was serendipitously observed on 2001 July 1. The data were processed using standard XMM-Newton Science Analysis System (v10.0.0) routines. Only data from the MOS detectors were used because this source is located on a CCD edge of the pn detector. The event files were filtered by removing periods of background flaring in which the count rate exceeded 0.35 s$^{-1}$ for events with energies above 10 keV. Only 3% of the exposure time was removed via this filtering procedure. This source is undetected in all three bands using the eboxdetect routine. The aperture for photometry (9.3 radius) was taken to be the 50% enclosed-energy radius (to avoid a nearby X-ray source) at 1.5 keV according to the PSF of the MOS detectors at an off-axis angle of 6/0. The upper limits upon X-ray counts were also determined using the Kraft et al. (1991) method.

Table 5 lists the key X-ray, optical, and radio properties of our sample.

Column (1) gives the SDSS J2000 equatorial coordinates for the quasar.

Column (2) contains the apparent $i$-band magnitude of the quasar using the SDSS quasar catalog BEST photometry, $m_i$.

Column (3) is the absolute $i$-band magnitude for the quasar, $M_i$, from the SDSS DR7 quasar catalog (Schneider et al. 2010), which was calculated by correcting for Galactic extinction and assuming a power-law spectral index of $\alpha_i = -0.5$.

Column (4) provides the Galactic neutral hydrogen column density obtained with the Chandra COLDEN tool (Dickey & Lockman 1990; Stark et al. 1992) in units of $10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$.

Column (5) gives the count rate in the observed-frame soft X-ray band (0.5–2.0 keV) in units of $10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$. For the two off-axis sources (J1219+1244 and J1230+2049), the upper limits on count rate are corrected for vignetting using exposure maps.

Column (6) contains the Galactic absorption-corrected flux in the observed-frame soft X-ray band (0.5–2.0 keV) obtained with Chandra PIMMS tool, in units of $10^{-14}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$. An absorbed power-law model was used with a power-law index $\Gamma = 2$, which is typical for quasars, and the Galactic neutral hydrogen column density ($N_H$, given in Column 4).

Column (7) gives the logarithm of the Galactic absorption-corrected quasar luminosity in the rest-frame 2–10 keV band.

Column (8) is the continuum flux density at rest-frame 2500 Å in units of $10^{-27}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$, which was obtained

\[\text{http://cxc.harvard.edu/toolkit/colden.jsp}\]

\[\text{http://cxc.harvard.edu/toolkit/pimms.jsp}\]
Table 4
X-ray Counts

| Object Name (SDSS J) | Full Band (0.5–8.0 keV) | Soft Band (0.5–2.0 keV) | Hard Band (2.0–8.0 keV) | Band Ratio | Γb |
|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-----|
| 000009.38 + 135618.4 | 4.8+1.4                  | 3.0±1.1                 | 5.1                     | 1.24       | 0.58 |
| 084842.63 + 540808.2 | <6.5                     | <4.8                    | <5.1                    | ...        | ... |
| 090312.22 + 070832.4 | 24.8±1.9                 | 22.7±1.8                | <7.0                    | <0.31      | 1.86 |
| 094602.31 + 274407.0 | 5.1±1.2                  | 4.0±1.2                 | <5.2                    | <1.23      | 0.55 |
| 112551.88 + 502803.6 | 6.9±2.6                  | 4.0±1.9                 | 3.2±1.7                 | 0.76±0.04  | 0.98±0.05 |
| 120305.46 + 332000.5 | <5.2                     | <3.2                    | <5.2                    | ...        | ... |
| 145453.53 + 032456.8 | 413.7±21.4               | 338.6±19.4              | 78.8±9.9                | 0.240±0.03 | 2.09±0.11 |
| 161801.71 + 070450.2 | 190.3±14.8               | 134.1±12.6              | 56.2±8.5                | 0.444±0.08 | 1.53±0.16 |

Notes.

a Errors on the X-ray counts were calculated using Poisson statistics corresponding to the 1σ significance level according to Tables 1 and 2 of Gehrels (1986).

b The band ratio is defined here as the number of hard-band counts divided by the number of soft-band counts. The errors on the band ratio correspond to the 1σ significance level and were calculated using Equation (1.31) in Section 1.7.3 of Lyons (1991). The band ratios for all of the Chandra objects observed in the same cycle can be directly compared with one another.

c The effective power-law photon indices were calculated using the Chandra PIMMS tool (version 3.9a). The effect of the quantum efficiency decay over time at low energies of the ACIS detector were corrected for Chandra observed objects.

Table 5
X-ray and Optical Properties

| Object Name (SDSS J) | m | M0 | N_H | Count Ratea | F0.5–2keV b | f2keV | log L (2–10 keV) | f2500 Å | log L (2500 Å) | αox | Δαox (σ) b | fx–weak d | R |
|----------------------|---|----|-----|-------------|-------------|-------|-----------------|--------|----------------|----|-----------|-----------|---|
| (1)                  | (2) | (3) | (4)  | (5)         | (6)         | (7)   | (8)             | (9) | (10)        | (11) | (12)       | (13)      | (14) |
| Chandra Cycle 11 Objects |
| 000009.38+135618.4   | 18.30 | -27.44 | 3.77  | 0.40±0.33  | 0.17   | 0.83 | 43.90          | 2.02 | 31.39        | -2.07 | -0.38      | 9.77      | <4.7 |
| 084842.63+540808.2   | 18.76 | -26.90 | 3.09  | <0.37      | <0.16   | <0.76 | <43.85         | 1.12 | 31.13        | -1.98 | -0.33      | 7.24      | <2.6 |
| 090312.22+070832.4   | 18.64 | -27.11 | 4.54  | 1.98±0.51  | 0.87   | 4.21 | 44.59          | 1.45 | 31.24        | -1.74 | -0.07      | 1.52      | <2.4 |
| 094602.31+274407.0   | 17.00 | -28.81 | 1.73  | 0.80±0.64  | 0.33   | 1.65 | 44.24          | 6.57 | 31.95        | -2.15 | -0.38      | 9.77      | 4.0  |
| 112551.88+502803.6   | 18.45 | -27.13 | 1.25  | 0.41±0.33  | 0.16   | 0.79 | 43.86          | 1.62 | 31.28        | -2.04 | -0.36      | 8.67      | <1.2 |
| 120305.46+332000.5   | 17.00 | -27.90 | 1.40  | <0.60      | <0.24   | <1.19 | <44.07         | 2.70 | 31.53        | -2.06 | -0.35      | 8.16      | <1.0 |
| 145453.53+032456.8   | 17.95 | -27.88 | 3.59  | 53.36±9.0  | 22.97  | 115.46 | 46.08          | 2.75 | 31.57        | -1.30 | 0.42       | 8.08      | 12.8 |
| 161801.71+070450.2   | 18.17 | -27.58 | 4.59  | 17.47±16.4 | 7.74   | 37.37 | 45.55          | 1.62 | 31.29        | -1.40 | 0.28       | 1.92      | 0.15 |
| Archival X-ray Data Objects |
| 121946.20+124454.1   | 17.90 | -27.75 | 2.65  | <0.97      | <0.37   | <1.78 | <44.23         | 3.02 | 31.56        | -2.01 | -0.29      | 5.70      | 3.9  |
| 123042.52+204941.3   | 18.45 | -27.22 | 1.99  | <0.35      | <0.27   | <1.34 | <44.12         | 1.39 | 31.24        | -1.93 | -0.26      | 4.76      | <1.8 |
| 152156.48+520238.5   | 15.44 | -30.19 | 1.58  | 0.78±0.73  | 0.25   | 1.18 | 44.03          | 26.11 | 32.48        | -2.44 | -0.59      | 34.46      | <0.1 |

Notes.

a The detailed explanation of each column is given in Section 3.

b The Galactic absorption-corrected observed-frame flux between 0.5 and 2.0 keV in units of 10−14 erg cm−2 s−1. The value for J1521+5202 is also for the flux between 0.5 and 2.0 keV, calculated from the full-band count rate.

c The factor of X-ray weakness compared to a typical quasar with similar optical/UV luminosity; see Section 3.

d The effective power-law photon index.

by fitting the SDSS continuum in line-free regions using the method described in Section 2.1 of Gibson et al. (2008b). The SDSS spectra were corrected for fiber light loss and Galactic extinction.

Column (10) contains the logarithm of the monochromatic luminosity at rest-frame 2500 Å, calculated from the flux density at rest-frame 2500 Å.

Column (11) provides the X-ray-to-optical power-law slope, given by

$$\alpha_{\text{ox}} = \log \left( \frac{f_{2500 \text{ Å}}}{f_{2 \text{ keV}}} \right) = \log \left( \frac{f_{\nu}}{\nu^{\alpha_{\text{ox}}}} \right)$$

(1)

All measures of flux density used are per unit frequency. Note our UV and X-ray measurements were not simultaneous. Column (12) is ∆αox, defined as

$$\Delta \alpha_{\text{ox}} = \alpha_{\text{ox(measured)}} - \alpha_{\text{ox(expected)}}$$

(2)
The expected $\alpha_{\text{ox}}$ value for a typical quasar is calculated from the $\alpha_{\text{ox}} - L_{2500 \text{ Å}}$ correlation given as Equation (3) of Just et al. (2007). The statistical significance of this difference, given in parentheses, is in units of $\sigma$, which is given in Table 5 of Steffen et al. (2006) as the rms for $\alpha_{\text{ox}}$ for several ranges of luminosity. Here, $\sigma = 0.146$ for $31 < \log L_{2500 \text{ Å}} < 32$ and $\sigma = 0.131$ for $32 < \log L_{2500 \text{ Å}} < 33$.

Table 6 presents the results of two-sample tests on J0903+0708. The mean value of $\Delta \alpha_{\text{ox}}$ for radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs is $-0.423 \pm 0.049$, which is calculated using the Kaplan–Meier estimator (also implemented in the ASURV package), while the mean $\Delta \alpha_{\text{ox}}$ value for radio-quiet, non-BAL quasars in Sample B of Gibson et al. (2008a) is $-0.001 \pm 0.011$. Therefore, radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs in our sample

| Method                  | J0903+0708 Excluded | J0903+0708 Included |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Peto–Prentice           | 11.115              | 8.779               |
| Gehan (Permutation Variance) | 4.663              | 4.702               |
| Gehan (Hypergeometric Variance) | 3.959              | 4.008               |
| logrank                 | 5.415               | 5.334               |
| Peto–Peto               | 4.687               | 4.724               |

$\Delta \alpha_{\text{ox}}$ No strong trends are apparent among the PHL 1811 analogs between $\Delta \alpha_{\text{ox}}$ and the UV emission-line characteristics (see Section 4.5 for quantitative correlation analyses). Two radio-intermediate sources in our sample are X-ray bright (see further discussion in Section 4.7). The distribution of $\Delta \alpha_{\text{ox}}$ values for the eight radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs is shown in Figure 5(a), compared to that for the 132 radio-quiet, non-BAL quasars in Sample B of Gibson et al. (2008a). The Sample B quasars were all serendipitously detected by Chandra (with off-axis angles greater than 1°). They are representative of typical SDSS quasars in the redshift range of 1.7 $\leq z \leq$ 2.7. We use a Peto–Prentice test (e.g., Latta 1981), implemented in the Astronomical Survival Analysis (ASURV) package (e.g., Lavalle et al. 1992), to assess whether these two samples follow the same distribution. The Peto–Prentice test is our preferred approach because it is the least affected by the factors of unequal sample sizes or different censoring patterns that exist in our case (e.g., Latta 1981). The distribution of $\Delta \alpha_{\text{ox}}$ values for our sample is found to be significantly different from that for typical SDSS quasars; the probability of the null hypothesis (the two samples following the same distribution) is reported to be $1 \times 10^{-28}$ by the Peto–Prentice test. Other two-sample tests for censored data (e.g., Gehan, logrank, and Peto–Peto) give similar results (see Table 6). The two-sample test results do not change materially if J0903+0708 (see Section 2.3) is included (also see Table 6).

The mean value of $\Delta \alpha_{\text{ox}}$ for radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs in our sample is $-0.423 \pm 0.049$, which is calculated using the Kaplan–Meier estimator (also implemented in the ASURV package), while the mean $\Delta \alpha_{\text{ox}}$ value for radio-quiet, non-BAL quasars in Sample B of Gibson et al. (2008a) is $-0.001 \pm 0.011$. Therefore, radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs in our sample

15 “Our sample” here refers to our 10 PHL 1811 analogs.

16 Sample B of Gibson et al. (2008a) includes 139 objects characterized as radio-quiet, non-BAL quasars. However, we have identified a further seven of these sources as BAL quasars (with C IV B16 > 0) in the SDSS DR5 BAL quasar catalog (Gibson et al. 2009b); the sources are J0050+0556, J0756+5448, J1212+1520, J1426+3517, J1426+3753, J1430+3221, and J1434+3340. For four of these seven sources, the classification depends on the reconstruction of the C IV emission-line profile (see the discussion of the Endlos flag in Section 2 of Gibson et al. 2009b). In the other three cases, BAL classification is sensitive to the continuum model placement. The continuum models in Gibson et al. (2009b) were generally different from those in Gibson et al. (2008a), so the identifications of BALs may differ in these cases. An additional six sources (10855+3709, 11049+5858, 11245+0021, 11338+2922, 11427+3241, and 11618+3456) appear to have possible BAL or mini-BAL features in our visual inspections of their SDSS spectra. The seven quantitatively identified BAL quasars are removed in the following analysis. The six visually identified BAL or mini-BAL quasars will be kept in Sample B, but shown by different symbols in subsequent figures.

17 The Kaplan–Meier estimator is applicable to censored data and reliable for small-sample cases (E. D. Feigelson 2010, private communication).
are anomalously X-ray weak by a mean factor of $\approx 13$. If J0903+0708 is included, the mean value of $\Delta \alpha_{\text{ox}}$ changes to $-0.384 \pm 0.057$, corresponding to a mean X-ray weakness factor of $\approx 10$. All of our radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs are X-ray weak by factors $>4.8$; we only have lower limits on the range of X-ray weakness factors because four of the PHL 1811 analogs are undetected in X-rays. Our X-ray detected sources show that the X-ray weakness factors span at least the range from 8.7 to 34.5.

4.2. Average X-Ray Properties via Stacking Analyses

For the three radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs not detected by Chandra (J0848+5408, J1219+1244, and J1230+3320), we performed a stacking analysis to obtain an average constraint on their relative X-ray weakness. We added the total counts and background counts of the three sources in their apertures for the full band, soft band, and hard band. For each band, we then calculated the Poisson probability of the total counts arising from a fluctuation of the corresponding background counts. If this probability is less than 0.1%, we take the stacked source to be detected in that band. The stacked source is detected in the full band, with 4.42 total counts and 0.35 background counts; the Poisson probability is $1 \times 10^{-4}$. The stacked source is not detected in the soft or hard band, so a band-ratio analysis is not possible. The combined effective exposure time for the stacked source is 23.3 ks. After an aperture correction was applied, the average full-band count rate of the stacked source is $1.84 \times 10^{-4}$ counts s$^{-1}$. The average $\alpha_{\text{ox}}$ value for the stacked source is $-2.26$. The $\Delta \alpha_{\text{ox}}$ value is $-0.57$, corresponding to an X-ray weakness factor of 30.5 (cf. Figure 5). At least on average, these undetected PHL 1811 analogs appear to be only a few times X-ray weaker than those we have detected individually. PHL 1811 itself has similar X-ray weakness ($\approx 30$–$100$) to these undetected PHL 1811 analogs on average (as does J1521+5202).

We also performed a stacking analysis of the four radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs that are detected by Chandra (J0000+1356, J0946+2744, J1125+5028, and J1521+5202) to constrain their average X-ray spectral properties. We added the counts for each source in soft band and hard band. The total background-subtracted counts are $12.9^{+4.7} _{-3.5}$ in the soft band and $7.7^{+2.9} _{-3.7}$ in the hard band. The band ratio is $0.60^{+0.38} _{-0.26}$, corresponding to an effective photon index of $\Gamma = 1.29^{+0.52} _{-0.45}$ using the average Galactic neutral hydrogen column density of these four sources. This photon-index value suggests a harder average X-ray spectrum for the X-ray detected, radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs than that for typical radio-quiet quasars. This average X-ray spectrum is also harder than the X-ray spectrum of PHL 1811 ($\Gamma \approx 2.2$; Leighly et al. 2007b). However, even the quoted 68% confidence error bars are large due to limited counts. If quoting 90% confidence error bars, the photon index is $\Gamma = 1.22_{-0.66} ^{+0.85}$. If we furthermore add the counts from the three PHL 1811 analogs undetected by Chandra (see the previous paragraph), the average effective photon index becomes $\Gamma = 1.10_{-0.40} ^{+0.53}$. (68% confidence level), or $1.10_{-0.59} ^{+0.45}$ (90% confidence level).

4.3. Demographic Constraints

Our sample selection and observational results allow us to investigate the demographics of PHL 1811 analogs in the total quasar population. Our PHL 1811 analogs were selected from high-redshift ($2.125 \leq z \leq 2.385$), optically bright ($m_r \leq 18.8$) objects classified as QSO or H1ZQSO in the SDSS DR7 CAS (see Section 2.1). There are 1358 radio-quiet, non-BAL SDSS DR7 quasars within the above redshift and magnitude ranges. Our sample of PHL 1811 analogs contains eight radio-quiet and two radio-intermediate sources. The radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs thus appear to be only 8% ($0.6^{+0.77} _{-0.45}$, at the 90% confidence level) of the total radio-quiet, non-BAL quasar population. Considering the potential incompleteness of our sample selection, we take 0.3% as a lower limit on the fraction of PHL 1811 analogs in the radio-quiet, non-BAL...
quasar population. On the other hand, Figure 5(b) shows upper limits on the fraction of optically selected, radio-quiet, non-BAL quasars compiled from samples using SDSS only the SDSS+BQS (Bright Quasar Survey; Schmidt & Green 1983) that are X-ray weak by a given factor (adapted from Figure 5 of Gibson et al. 2008a). Only $\lesssim 2.8\%$ (at the 90% confidence level) of those quasars are as X-ray weak ($\Delta \text{F_{x}} = -0.427$; see Section 4.1) as the radio-quiet sources in our sample. In summary, the fraction of radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs should be within the range of 0.3%–2.8%. The upper limit of 2.8% is obtained solely based on the factor of X-ray weakness. Since our selection of PHL 1811 analogs should not have the fraction of X-ray absorbed (e.g., Gibson et al. 2008a; Page et al. 2010). If we assume the fraction of BAL quasars is $\approx 0$.15%–20%; e.g., Gibson et al. 2009b) found in SDSS quasar samples, X-ray weak BAL quasars outnumber X-ray weak PHL 1811 analogs by a factor of $\gtrsim 10$.

4.4. Intrinsic X-Ray Weakness versus X-Ray Absorption

Our radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs could be X-ray weak either because they are intrinsically X-ray weak, perhaps due to quenching of the ADC (see Section 1), or because they are X-ray absorbed. In this section, we will discuss the strengths and weaknesses of each of these hypotheses.

There are three indirect arguments supporting the intrinsic X-ray weakness scenario. First, as discussed in Section 2.1, we have selected against objects with detectable broad C iv absorption (or any other broad absorption lines, including Si iv and Mg ii), since most heavily X-ray absorbed type 1 quasars show broad C iv absorption (e.g., Brandt et al. 2000; Gibson et al. 2008a; Page et al. 2010). If we assume the PHL 1811 analogs have underlying X-ray spectra with $\Gamma = 2$, the level of intrinsic neutral absorption would need to be $N_H \approx 5 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \left(\frac{2 \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}}{\gtrsim 2 \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}}\right)$ at $z \approx 2.2$ to generate the observed X-ray weakness factor of 13 (and the range of $>4.76$ to $\gtrsim 34.5$). Three of our eight radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs (J0848+5408, J1219+1244, and J1230+2049) have narrow associated C iv absorption lines (within $\pm 5000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ of the quasar redshift). Quasars with associated NALs generally have similar X-ray properties to unabsorbed quasars and are not heavily X-ray absorbed (e.g., Misawa et al. 2008; Chartas et al. 2009). The intrinsic X-ray absorption for quasars with associated NAL systems is typically $N_H \lesssim 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, much lower than the level required for our radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs. The frequency of associated NAL systems in our sample (3/8 = 38%) is consistent with that of typical quasars (see Section 3.2.1 of Ganguly & Brotherton 2008). For the total number of NAL systems (both associated and intervening), the work of Nestor et al. (2008) predicts that between Ly$\alpha$ and C iv in the spectra of our eight radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs we should find 16 C iv absorbers with FWHM $< 600 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $W_{\lambda}(1548) \lesssim 0.3 \text{ Å}$. In fact, we find 10. Within the limitations of small-number statistics, there is nothing unusual about the total number of NAL systems in our PHL 1811 analogs. One source, J1219+1244, has a C iv absorber with a total velocity width of 1100 km s$^{-1}$, just above the 1000 km s$^{-1}$ threshold to be termed a mini-BAL quasar. Mini-BAL quasars are also generally not strongly X-ray absorbed (e.g., Gibson et al. 2009a; Wu et al. 2010a). The intrinsic X-ray absorption of mini-BAL quasars is also typically at the level of $N_H \lesssim 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Wu et al. 2010a). While it is perhaps possible that a type 1 quasar could be strongly X-ray absorbed without showing notable UV absorption lines (see below), the available empirical evidence indicates that our removal of quasars with BALs should eliminate the vast majority of strongly X-ray absorbed quasars.

Second, the quasars in our sample show the blue UV/optical continua typical of type 1 quasar spectra. Our sample was chosen to avoid objects with detectable spectral curvature indicative of strong dust reddening. Nonetheless, the somewhat redder than average colors of our sample (see Section 2.4) suggest that we cannot rule out an average dust reddening of up to $E(B-V) \approx 0.05$ magnitudes. For an SMC dust-to-gas ratio (Bouchet et al. 1985), such reddening would imply a column density of $N_H \approx 2.2 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Again, this column density is $100$ times too small to explain the X-ray weakness of our radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs. Note, however, that this is not a strong argument against X-ray absorption, since many BAL quasars (which usually have heavy X-ray absorption) also have blue UV/optical continua (e.g., Trump et al. 2006).

Third, the unusual UV emission-line properties of PHL 1811 can be explained naturally by an intrinsically X-ray weak broad AGN (Leighly et al. 2007a). Such a continuum lacks adequate photons to create highly ionized ions, which results in weak high-ionization lines (e.g., C iv, Si iv). The temperature of the gas is too low to excite semi-forbidden lines (e.g., C iii). The strong Fe ii and Fe iii emission can also be qualitatively explained by the PHL 1811-like SED (Leighly et al. 2007a). Since our PHL 1811 analogs have the same unusual UV emission-line properties, Occam’s razor would suggest their SEDs are also probably intrinsically X-ray weak.

In spite of the indirect arguments above, it is notable that the average X-ray spectrum for our radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs appears to be harder than for typical radio-quiet quasars and for PHL 1811 itself (see Section 4.2), which hints at the presence of heavy X-ray absorption.18 It is possible that X-ray absorption could also lead to the X-ray weak SEDs required to create the unusual UV emission lines of our PHL 1811 analogs. However, if heavy X-ray absorption is responsible, it must lie closer to the SMBH than the BELR does, so that this emission-line region “sees” a soft ionizing SED and produces a PHL 1811-like UV emission-line spectrum. It must also have a remarkable lack of accompanying C iv, Si iv, or Mg ii BALs (or even mini-BALs in all but one case). These requirements might be achieved by a line of sight that passes through “shielding gas” but not a typical UV absorbing wind (e.g., Gallagher et al. 2005). The shielding gas, which resides interior to the UV absorbing wind, is sufficiently highly ionized that it is transparent to UV photons but absorbs soft X-ray photons, preventing overionization of the wind (e.g., Murray et al. 1995). To explain PHL 1811 analogs, the shielding gas could have an atypically larger covering factor of the X-ray continuum source that prevents most of the X-rays from reaching the BELR; we return to this idea in Section 4.6. The shielding gas might betray its presence via very highly ionized absorption, either in the UV or in X-rays. For example, Telfer et al. (1998) report that the X-ray weak quasar SBS 1542+541
has BAL troughs much stronger in O\textsc{iv} and Ne\textsc{vii} than in N\textsc{v} or C\textsc{iv} (see their Figure 5), and O\textsc{vi} and Ne\textsc{viii} have been seen in narrower absorption systems as well (e.g., Scott et al. 2004).

In fact, PHL 1811 has a narrow O\textsc{vi} absorber at z = 0.192186 (consistent with the Balmer-line redshift of PHL 1811 in Leiblhy et al. 2007a) in which the O\textsc{v} column density is \(10^{10}\) times the H\textsc{i} column density (Tripp et al. 2008). Our inspection of the \textit{HST} Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph (STIS) spectrum of PHL 1811 also reveals N\textsc{v} absorption at the same redshift, with \(W_r\), an order of magnitude smaller than that of O\textsc{v}; C\textsc{iv} absorption is not seen in a lower-resolution STIS spectrum. Associated O\textsc{vi} absorption is not rare, being seen in about 23\% (Frank et al. 2010) to 63\% (Tripp et al. 2008) of quasars. However, the \(z = 0.192186\) absorber in PHL 1811 is unusual, having the highest O\textsc{v} column density and the highest O\textsc{v}/H\textsc{i} ratio among the 14 associated O\textsc{vi} absorbers in Tripp et al. (2008). If this unusual O\textsc{vi} absorber is connected with the unusual X-ray and UV properties of PHL 1811, future spectroscopy should reveal unusual associated O\textsc{vi} absorption in our PHL 1811 analogs as well.

In summary, the currently available data are unable to discriminate rigorously between the intrinsic X-ray weakness and X-ray absorption scenarios. Occam’s razor might initially suggest favoring the hypothesis that our PHL 1811 analogs are intrinsically X-ray weak like PHL 1811 itself appears to be. However, the suggestion of heavy X-ray absorption from the likely hard X-ray spectra definitely needs further investigation. If our objects are indeed established to be heavily X-ray absorbed, then Occam’s razor might alternatively be used to motivate reconsideration of the evidence that PHL 1811 is intrinsically X-ray weak. The evidence for intrinsic X-ray weakness presented by Leiblhy et al. (2007b) appears strong (e.g., steep X-ray spectrum with no apparent photoelectric absorption cutoff, X-ray variability). Nevertheless, absorption effects in local AGNs are sometimes observed to be exceedingly complex, and perhaps some unusual absorbers or special absorption geometry for this unusual quasar might yet be consistent with the observed X-ray properties.

4.5. Correlations between X-Ray Weakness and UV Emission-line Properties

We have quantitatively searched for relations between relative X-ray weakness and the unusual UV emission-line properties.

---

Table 7

| Correlation with \(\Delta\alpha_{\text{ox}}\) | RQQ\(^2\) (\(N = 8\))\(^d\) | RQQ+RQ\(^3\) (\(N = 10\))\(^d\) | RQQ+G08a\(^e\)+PHL 1811 (\(N = 141\))\(^d\) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Kendall | Spearman | Kendall | Spearman | Kendall | Spearman | Kendall | Spearman |
| \(\tau\) | \(1 - P_r\) | \(r_g\) | \(1 - P_S\) | \(\tau\) | \(1 - P_r\) | \(r_g\) | \(1 - P_S\) | \(\tau\) | \(1 - P_r\) | \(r_g\) | \(1 - P_S\) |
| C\textsc{iv} blueshift | 0.36 | 28.2\% | 0.31 | 58.9\% | 1.95 | 94.9\% | 0.69 | 96.1\% | 4.77 | >99.99\% | 0.39 | >99.99\% |
| C\textsc{iv} FWHM | 1.10 | 72.7\% | \(-0.19\) | 38.7\% | 0.98 | 67.2\% | \(-0.28\) | 60.6\% | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| C\textsc{iv} \(\sigma_{\text{line}}\) | 1.10 | 72.7\% | \(-0.56\) | 85.8\% | 0.73 | 53.7\% | \(-0.41\) | 77.5\% | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| C\textsc{iv} FWHM/\(\sigma_{\text{line}}\) | 0.36 | 28.2\% | 0.31 | 58.9\% | 0.00 | 0.0\% | 0.11 | 25.0\% | 6.04 | >99.99\% | 0.49 | >99.99\% |
| \(W_r(\text{C iv})\) | 0.36 | 28.2\% | \(-0.38\) | 68.5\% | 0.73 | 53.5\% | \(-0.39\) | 75.7\% | 1.20 | 76.88\% | 0.11 | 80.32\% |
| \(W_r(\lambda 1900 \text{ Å})\) | 1.81 | 92.9\% | 0.66 | 91.7\% | 0.24 | 19.3\% | 0.26 | 56.8\% | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| \(W_r(\text{Fe m})\) | 1.10 | 72.7\% | \(-0.61\) | 89.2\% | 0.00 | 0.0\% | \(-0.20\) | 44.9\% | ... | ... | ... | ... |

Notes.

\(^d\) Radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs in our sample.
\(^e\) Radio-quiet and radio-intermediate PHL 1811 analogs in our sample.
\(^a\) Radio-quiet, non-BAL quasars in Sample B of Gibson et al. (2008a).

Correlation analyses were performed between \(\Delta\alpha_{\text{ox}}\) and the UV emission-line parameters listed in Table 3, using both Kendall’s \(\tau\) test and Spearman’s rank-order analysis in the ASURV package. Kendall’s \(\tau\) test is preferred over Spearman’s rank-order analysis in small-sample cases, where the sample size is \(N \leq 30\). The results are presented in Table 7. We found only one marginal correlation (94.9\% correlation probability) between \(\Delta\alpha_{\text{ox}}\) and the blueshift of the C\textsc{iv} line when including both radio-quiet and radio-intermediate PHL 1811 analogs in our sample. The effective significance of this correlation is further weakened by the number of trials, since we have tested for multiple correlations in Table 7. No other correlations were found; this is likely due to the small sample size and the limited range of parameter space spanned by the PHL 1811 analogs alone. If we use the redshift values of Hewett & Wild (2010) for our PHL 1811 analogs, the correlation between \(\Delta\alpha_{\text{ox}}\) and the C\textsc{iv} blueshift remains insignificant (91.2\% correlation probability).

Figure 6 shows \(\Delta\alpha_{\text{ox}}\) plotted against the UV emission-line parameters of \(W_r(\text{C iv})\), \(W_r(\lambda 1900 \text{ Å})\), and C\textsc{iv} blueshift for radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs, PHL 1811 itself, and the radio-quiet, non-BAL quasars in Sample B of Gibson et al. (2008a). The addition of the Sample B quasars and PHL 1811 increases the sample size to \(N = 148\) and broadens the parameter space of line measurements. The values of \(W_r(\text{C iv})\) and C\textsc{iv} blueshift for the quasars in Sample B are taken from Shen et al. (2011); we modified the C\textsc{iv} blueshift values for the Sample B quasars to account for the improved redshift measurements of Hewett & Wild (2010). The values of \(W_r(\lambda 1900 \text{ Å})\) are taken from Gibson et al. (2008a). PHL 1092 (see Section 1) also has PHL 1811-like UV emission-line properties and shows dramatic X-ray variability. We include this source in Figures 6 and 7, but not in the correlation analyses.

A significant correlation between \(W_r(\text{C iv})\) and \(\Delta\alpha_{\text{ox}}\) was reported by Gibson et al. (2008a) for their sample. After adding our radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs and PHL 1811, the correlation

---

\(^{19}\) See Appendix A3.3 of the ASURV manual at http://astrostatistics.psu.edu/statcodes/asurv.

\(^{20}\) We have inspected the available rest-frame UV spectra of PHL 1092 (Bergeron & Kunth 1980; Leiblhy et al. 2007a), covering Ly\textsc{e}, Si\textsc{iv}, C\textsc{iv}, C\textsc{m}\textsc{ii}, Fe\textsc{ii} UV48, and Mg\textsc{ii}, and measured its UV emission-line parameters as listed in Table 3. We do not have spectral coverage of its UV Fe\textsc{ii} emission. PHL 1092 likely would have been selected as a PHL 1811 analog by our criteria in Section 2, if it were in our redshift selection range of \(2.125 \leq z \leq 2.385\) and were sufficiently bright.
becomes more significant (see Table 7 and the red solid line in Figure 6(a)). However, all of the radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs and PHL 1811 itself lie well below the best-fit linear correlation (in log space) in Gibson et al. (2008a) between \( W_\text{c}(C\ IV) \) and \( \Delta \alpha_{\text{ox}} \). This remains true even if we consider the 95% confidence uncertainty range shown in Figure 6(a), obtained using a nonparametric bootstrap method (Efron 1979). This deviation from the correlation found for typical radio-quiet quasars may indicate that the radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs arise from a distinct population of typical radio-quiet quasars. There is no significant correlation between \( W_\text{c}(C\ IV) \) and \( \Delta \alpha_{\text{ox}} \) (see Table 7 and Figure 6(b)).

The \( C\ IV \) emission lines of quasars are generally known to have systematic blueshifts (e.g., Gaskell 1982; Richards et al. 2011). We performed Spearman rank-order analysis and found a correlation between \( C\ IV \) blueshift and \( \Delta \alpha_{\text{ox}} \) for radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs, PHL 1811, and the Sample B quasars. However, this correlation shows substantial scatter (see Figure 6(c)). Although the correlation probability (>99.99%) is formally high, the correlation coefficient \( (r_S = 0.39) \) shows that this correlation is weaker than that between \( W_\text{c}(C\ IV) \) and \( \Delta \alpha_{\text{ox}} \) \( (r_S = 0.49) \). Furthermore, it is difficult to determine if there is truly a single correlation or instead two populations artificially producing an apparent correlation.

The red triangles in Figure 6(c) show the four radio-quiet, non-BAL quasars from Gallagher et al. (2005) which have “large” \( C\ IV \) blueshifts compared to most typical radio-quiet, non-BAL quasars. Their X-ray brightnesses appear to be normal. However, the magnitudes of their \( C\ IV \) blueshifts are \( \leq 2000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), while all but one of the radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs in our sample have \( C\ IV \) blueshift magnitudes \( > 2000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). The four sources from Gallagher et al. (2005) are more similar to the typical radio-quiet, non-BAL quasars. Gallagher et al. (2005) also suggested evidence for intrinsic absorption (at the level of \( N_H \approx 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \)) for their “large” \( C\ IV \) blueshift objects via joint X-ray spectral analyses. In order to search for any possible trend between intrinsic absorption and the \( C\ IV \) blueshift, we performed a band-ratio analysis for all the Sample B quasars from Gibson et al. (2008a). A harder X-ray spectrum (with smaller effective photon index) could indicate the presence of intrinsic absorption. However, no correlation was found between the effective photon indices and \( C\ IV \) blueshifts.

In Figure 7, the \( C\ IV \) blueshift is plotted against \( W_\text{c}(C\ IV) \) for our radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs, PHL 1811, and the Sample B quasars in Gibson et al. (2008a). The color for each source shows its \( \Delta \alpha_{\text{ox}} \), indicating its relative X-ray brightness. The gray dots represent the 13,582 radio-quiet Sample A quasars from Richards et al. (2011) which do not generally have constraining information on their X-ray properties. Motivated by much past work, Richards et al. (2011) described a BELR model with both “disk” and “wind” components. The \( C\ IV \) blueshift and \( W_\text{c}(C\ IV) \) show the tradeoff between these two components, which ultimately depends upon the shape of the ionizing continuum. The claimed interpretation is that quasar BELRs change from disk-dominated (with a more ionizing SED) to wind-dominated (with a less ionizing SED) as a quasar’s location moves from the upper right corner to the lower left corner of Figure 7. The relative X-ray brightness becomes moderately weaker for the Sample B quasars in Gibson et al. (2008a) following this trend. Our radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs show extreme behavior in the \( \Delta \alpha_{\text{ox}}-C\ IV \) blueshift—\( W_\text{c}(C\ IV) \) parameter space; note they are essentially
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disjoint from the main quasar population. This suggests they may have extreme wind-dominated BELRs.

### 4.6. The Relation between PHL 1811 Analogs and Weak-line Quasars

The SDSS has discovered \(\sim 80\) high-redshift \((z > 2.2)\) quasars with extremely weak or undetectable UV emission lines (WLQs; e.g., Diamond-Stanic et al. 2009); similar objects also likely exist at lower redshifts (e.g., Plotkin et al. 2010a). In this section, we discuss the observational relation between our PHL 1811 analogs and WLQs more generally. WLQs are defined as quasars with \(W_r (\text{Ly} \alpha + \text{N} \text{v}) < 15.4\) \(\AA\), measured between 1160 \(\AA\) and 1290 \(\AA\) in the rest frame (Diamond-Stanic et al. 2009). Measurements of \(\text{Ly} \alpha\) \(W_r\) are difficult with our wavelength coverage, but many of our PHL 1811 analogs likely meet the WLQ definition; for example, J0946+2744 was identified as a WLQ by Shemmer et al. (2009). There has been some past speculation about a possible connection between PHL 1811 itself and WLQs (e.g., Leighly et al. 2007a; Shemmer et al. 2009), but we here have the advantage of having a carefully selected sample of PHL 1811 analogs with established basic X-ray properties. Figure 8 compares the median composite spectrum of radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs with the mean spectra of WLQs from Shemmer et al. (2009) and Diamond-Stanic et al. (2009),\(^{21}\) PHL 1811 analogs and WLQs both have weak \(\text{Ly} \alpha\), \(\text{Si} \text{iv}\), and \(\text{C} \text{iv}\) emission lines. PHL 1811 analogs have prominent UV \(\text{Fe} \text{ii}\) and \(\text{Fe} \text{iii}\) emission, while most WLQ samples have been selected at high redshift and generally lacked spectral coverage of UV Fe emission. However, inspection of the lower-redshift WLQ samples of Plotkin et al. (2010a, Plotkin et al. 2010b) shows that their UV Fe emission can be just as prominent relative to the broad lines as it is in PHL 1811 analogs. Furthermore, the two WLQs studied by Shemmer et al. (2010) both have prominent optical \(\text{Fe} \text{ii}\), similar to PHL 1811 itself (Leighly et al. 2007a).

When defining our sample of PHL 1811 analogs (see Section 2.1), we first selected \(54\) objects with weak high-ionization lines, \(32\) of which can be considered non-BAL WLQs. Twenty-nine of the \(32\) non-BAL WLQs are radio quiet. Eight of these \(29\) sources having strong UV Fe emission and \(\text{C} \text{iv}\) blueshifts were retained as our radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs. At least from an observational point of view, PHL 1811 analogs are X-ray weak compared to typical

\(^{21}\) Because our PHL 1811 analogs all lie in a similar part of the \(W_r (\text{C} \text{iv})\) versus \(\text{C} \text{iv}\) blueshift parameter space, their composite spectrum should be physically meaningful at least to first order (cf. Section 3 of Richards et al. 2011).
Figure 8. Comparison of the median composite spectrum of radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs with the mean spectra of WLQs from Shemmer et al. (2009), and Diamond-Stanic et al. (2009). The y-coordinates are the flux density ($F_{\lambda}$) in arbitrary linear units. The tick marks on the y-axis show the zero flux density level for each normalized spectrum. The emission lines are labeled by the dotted vertical lines. Also shown are the median composite spectrum of typical SDSS quasars from Vanden Berk et al. (2001) and the spectrum of PHL 1811 from HST (Leighly et al. 2007a).

While the observational relation between PHL 1811 analogs and WLQs appears fairly simple, as described above, any physical relation between these two classes may be much more complex. The X-ray weakness and distinctive emission lines of PHL 1811 analogs may ultimately be due to some extreme physical parameter (or parameters), such as accretion rate (see Section 1). In contrast, at least some WLQs appear simply to have anemic broad line regions (Shemmer et al. 2010), and some may be in a specific evolutionary stage where the quasar activity has only recently begun (Hryniewicz et al. 2010). However, there is another scenario that might unify PHL 1811 analogs and most WLQs, which we illustrate in Figure 9. As mentioned in Section 4.4, suppose there is a subset of quasars in which the shielding gas covers all or most of the BELR (which likely consists of “clouds”), but little more than the BELR. A sufficient column of such gas could absorb quasars, while WLQs are generally not X-ray weak as a population (Shemmer et al. 2009). However, there are a few known X-ray weak WLQs (e.g., J1302+0030, J1421+3433, and perhaps J1335+3533 and J1532−0039 in Shemmer et al. 2006, 2009) that may be PHL 1811 analogs. We do not have reliable measurements of the C IV blueshifts for these sources because of either the wavelength coverage or the quality of their SDSS spectra. Near-infrared spectroscopy for many WLQs (e.g., Shemmer et al. 2010) is required to assess if the X-ray weak WLQs have notably strong UV Fe II and Fe III emission.
This simple unification scenario makes several predictions. The O vi absorption in PHL 1811 (see Section 4.4) is evidence for the existence of highly ionized UV-absorbing gas along our sightline to its central engine; PHL 1811 analogs might have an excess of such absorption, but WLQs should not. Broad emission line \( W_r \) values in WLQs and PHL 1811 analogs should be comparable, consistent with the limited data available to date (e.g., the Appendix and Shemmer et al. 2010). Narrow-line emission could be seen in WLQs and PHL 1811 analogs in this model, but is unlikely given the observed anti-correlation (Boroson & Green 1992; Netzer et al. 2004) of [O iii] strength with the Fe ii/Hβ ratio, which is large in PHL 1811 (Leighly et al. 2007a), J1521+5202 (see the Appendix), and at least two WLQs (Shemmer et al. 2010). Additional observations to test these predictions are needed.

4.7. Radio-intermediate PHL 1811 Analogs

The two radio-intermediate sources in our sample, J1454+0324 and J1618+0704, are X-ray bright with \( \Delta \alpha_{\text{ox}} = 0.42 \) and \( \Delta \alpha_{\text{ox}} = 0.28 \), respectively. Radio-intermediate sources probably have significant relativistic jets, which often have associated X-ray emission (e.g., Worrall et al. 1987; Miller et al. 2011). Figure 10 compares the X-ray “excesses” in these two PHL 1811 analogs (assessed with \( \Delta \alpha_{\text{ox}} \)) with those of typical radio-intermediate quasars from the primary sample of Miller et al. (2011). The \( \Delta \alpha_{\text{ox}} \) values of our two radio-intermediate PHL 1811 analogs are relatively large compared to those of typical radio-intermediate quasars with similar radio loudness values (\( R = 10–50 \)), and also compared to the whole radio-intermediate quasar sample (\( R = 10–100 \); also see Figure 7 of Miller et al. 2011). Note that the radio-loudness values in Miller et al. (2011) have been converted to our definition (they used \( f_{2500} \) for the optical flux density).

We performed X-ray spectral analyses for the two radio-intermediate PHL 1811 analogs, since both of them have sufficient counts for basic spectral fitting. The X-ray spectra were extracted with the psextract routine in CIAO v4.2, using a 3″ radius aperture centered on the X-ray position for each source. Background spectra were extracted using annular regions with inner radii of 6″ and outer radii of 9″. Both background regions are free of X-ray sources. Spectral fitting was executed using XSPEC v12.5.1 (Arnaud 1996). The X-ray spectra were grouped to have at least 20 (10) counts per bin for J1454+0324 (J1618+0704). We used a power-law model with a Galactic absorption component, in which the Galactic column density is fixed to the values from Table 5. We also tried another model similar to the first, but adding an intrinsic neutral absorption component. Table 8 shows the X-ray spectral fitting results. The quoted errors or the upper limits for the best-fit parameters are at 90% confidence for one parameter of interest (\( \chi^2 / \nu = 2.71 \); Avni 1976). For both sources, we found no evidence for strong intrinsic neutral absorption; adding an intrinsic neutral absorption component did not improve the fit quality. The best-fit photon indices for both sources are consistent with those from band-ratio analysis (see the last column of Table 4).

J1618+0704, which has moderate radio emission (\( R = 35 \)), has a relatively flat X-ray power-law continuum (\( \Gamma = 1.48_{-0.28}^{+0.29} \)) indicating that its X-ray flux probably has a substantial contribution from relativistic jets (e.g., Wilkes & Elvis 1987; Wilkes & Elvis 1987).
The X-ray brightness and X-ray spectral shape of J1618+0704 suggest that the X-ray emission associated with jets has not been significantly diminished, even if the X-ray emission from the ADC has been absorbed or quenched as for the radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs (i.e., the observed spectrum may be dominated by jet-linked emission).

The other radio-intermediate PHL 1811 analog, J1454+0324, has a steeper X-ray continuum ($\Gamma = 2.13^{+0.18}_{-0.17}$). The radio-loudness value ($R = 12.8$) of this source is just above the upper limit for radio-quiet sources. However, J1454+0324 is brighter in X-rays than most typical radio-intermediate quasars with significantly higher $R$ values (see Figure 10). The notable X-ray brightness and the steep X-ray continuum indicate that this source may have a different physical nature from that of J1618+0704. One possibility is that J1454+0324 may be similar to BL Lac objects. Although BL Lac objects are generally radio-loud, it is possible that the BL Lac population has a small radio-faint tail (e.g., Plotkin et al. 2010a). In this scenario, J1454+0324 would have a relatively featureless UV spectrum because its emission lines have been somewhat diluted by a relativistically boosted UV continuum (though not enough to make it a bona fide BL Lac object), which allows it to pass our criteria for selecting PHL 1811 analogs. The $\alpha_{ox}$ value for J1454+0324 is consistent with those for the majority of BL Lac objects (e.g., Donato et al. 2005). However, there are several problems with a BL Lac-like interpretation. First, J1454+0324 has relatively strong observed Fe ii and Fe iii emission, which should also be diluted by a relativistically boosted continuum. Second, J1454+0324 has a blue UV/ optical continuum ($\alpha_{opt} = -0.28$), while typical BL Lac objects have red continua (e.g., Stein et al. 1976). Third, there is no significant variability (only $\approx 3\%$ level) between the two SDSS spectroscopic epochs of J1454+0324. Future observations (e.g., polarization measurements) of J1454+0324 in the UV/optical band may further constrain its nature which presently remains uncertain.

5. SUMMARY AND FUTURE STUDIES

We report on the X-ray properties of 10 quasars identified by the SDSS at high redshift ($z \approx 2.2$). Seven of them have new Chandra observations, while three have archival Chandra or XMM-Newton data. This sample of 10 quasars (eight radio-quiet, two radio-intermediate) was selected to have unusual UV emission-line properties similar to those of PHL 1811, a confirmed intrinsically X-ray weak quasar. Our main results are the following.

1. Four of the eight radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs are detected in X-rays. The distribution of $\Delta\alpha_{ox}$ values for radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs is substantially different from that of typical radio-quiet, non-BAL quasars. All of the eight...
radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs, without exception, are anomalously X-ray weak by a mean factor of \( \approx 13 \).

2. The fraction of radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs in the total radio-quiet, non-BAL quasar population is estimated to be \( \leq 1.2\% \), and within the range of 0.3\%–2.8\% (at the 90\% confidence level). The small fraction of PHL 1811 analogs does not present material difficulties to the utility of X-ray surveys for finding AGNs.

3. The currently available data are unable to discriminate rigorously between the intrinsic X-ray weakness and heavy X-ray absorption scenarios. The radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs have blue UV/optical continua without detectable broad absorption lines or significant dust reddening, supporting the hypothesis that they are intrinsically X-ray weak like PHL 1811 itself. Their unusual UV emission-line properties can also be naturally explained by a model with an intrinsically X-ray weak SED. However, a stacking analysis of the radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs shows evidence for a hard X-ray spectrum (with large error bars), which could be due to the presence of X-ray absorption. In this scenario, the X-ray absorption must occur on a scale smaller than the BELR and must cover most of the BELR. It is possible that very high-ionization (e.g., O\( ^{\text{vi}} \)) absorbers could be connected to the unusual X-ray and UV properties of PHL 1811 analogs and PHL 1811 itself.

4. Our sample of radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs empirically supports the connection between soft SEDs (X-ray weak and UV/optical strong) and PHL 1811-like UV emission lines.

5. We have investigated correlations between relative X-ray brightness and UV emission-line properties [e.g., \( W_\text{c iv} \) and C\( ^{\text{iv}} \) blueshift] for a sample combining our radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs, PHL 1811, and typical type 1 quasars. A significant correlation is found between \( W_\text{c iv} \) and \( \Delta \alpha_{\text{OVI}} \). However, our radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs show a notable deviation from the best-fit power-law correlation for typical type 1 quasars. The behavior of PHL 1811 analogs in the \( \Delta \alpha_{\text{OVI}} - \text{C iv blueshift} - W_\text{c iv} \) parameter space suggests that they may have extreme wind-dominated broad emission-line regions and/or may be a distinct population from typical radio-quiet quasars.

6. From an observational point of view, PHL 1811 analogs appear to be a subset (\( \geq 30\% \)) of WLQs that are X-ray weak and have strong UV Fe emission and C\( ^{\text{iv}} \) blueshifts. The existence of a subset of quasars in which a large column of high-ionization shielding gas exists along all or most of the sightlines to the BELR, but along very few other sightlines, could potentially unify the PHL 1811 analogs and WLQs.

7. The two radio-intermediate PHL 1811 analogs are X-ray bright. One (J1618+0704) has an X-ray spectrum consistent with jet-dominated X-ray emission, and the other (J1454+0324) is more similar to BL Lac objects in terms of its X-ray brightness and spectral shape. However, for J1454+0324, the strong UV Fe emission, blue UV/optical continuum, and lack of variability do not support a BL Lac-like interpretation.

The observed connection between PHL 1811-like UV emission lines and soft SEDs appears to provide a practical and economical way to find X-ray weak quasars. X-ray observations of further targets selected using high-quality UV/optical spectroscopy from large-area surveys, such as SDSS-III (Eisenstein et al. 2011), LAMOST (Su et al. 1998; Wu et al. 2010b), and BigBOSS (Schlegel et al. 2009), should identify many more PHL 1811 analogs that are X-ray weak.

Further X-ray studies of PHL 1811 analogs would be helpful to clarify their nature. Four of our eight radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs are undetected in X-rays, leaving only upper limits on their X-ray fluxes. Deeper X-ray observations are required to convert the individual upper limits into detections and clarify the distribution of X-ray weakness for PHL 1811 analogs. A clear bimodality in the distribution of the relative X-ray brightness (\( \Delta \alpha_{\text{OVI}} \)) for a sample combining typical type 1 quasars and PHL 1811 analogs would suggest that PHL 1811 analogs are indeed a distinct population of quasars; alternatively, the lack of such bimodality would suggest that PHL 1811 analogs simply represent one extreme of a continuum of quasar properties. Furthermore, our current sample of PHL 1811 analogs suffers from limited sample size largely because of the restricted redshift range used in target selection (see Figure 1). Selection over a broader range of redshift will allow us to investigate additional optically bright objects. An improved sample size will better characterize general X-ray properties and allow more reliable correlation tests with emission-line properties. X-ray spectroscopy should be able to test directly if PHL 1811 analogs are intrinsically X-ray weak; extensive X-ray observations provided strong evidence that the X-ray weakness of PHL 1811 was intrinsic rather than due to absorption (Leighly et al. 2007b). A stacking analysis suggests the average X-ray spectrum of radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs is harder than those of typical quasars, which may indicate the presence of heavy X-ray absorption. Stacking analyses with deeper and/or more observations can test and extend this result with better photon statistics. If the X-rays are absorbed, we expect to see a photoelectric absorption cutoff in high-quality X-ray spectra, which can rigorously distinguish the intrinsic X-ray weakness and the heavy X-ray absorption scenarios. X-ray spectral measurements of the photon index of the hard X-ray power law can also constrain \( L/L_{\text{Edd}} \) and \( \alpha_{\text{Edd}} \) (e.g., Shemmer et al. 2008), providing insight as to whether high \( L/L_{\text{Edd}} \) is plausibly the ultimate cause of their remarkable SEDs and emission-line properties (see Section 1). Given the low X-ray fluxes (\( \approx 10^{-15} \) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) from 0.5 to 2.0 keV) of the radio-quiet PHL 1811 analogs, they will be excellent targets for future missions, e.g., the International X-ray Observatory (e.g., White et al. 2010), which will have far superior X-ray spectroscopic capability. Long-term X-ray monitoring of our PHL 1811 analogs will test whether they have state transitions like PHL 1092, and will help reveal whether instabilities of X-ray emitting ADCs universally exist in PHL 1811 analogs.

Finally, comparisons of high-quality spectra of PHL 1811 analogs and WLQs will help pin down any connection between them. UV spectroscopy of our PHL 1811 analogs covering the O\( ^{\text{vi}} \) line will reveal what fraction of them have very highly ionized UV absorption near the quasar redshift. Near-infrared spectroscopy of our PHL 1811 analogs covering the H\( ^{\beta} \) region should allow estimation of their \( M_{\text{BH}} \) and \( L/L_{\text{Edd}} \) values via the standard virial method (e.g., Vestergaard & Peterson 2006; Shen et al. 2008), again testing for high \( L/L_{\text{Edd}} \). Such spectroscopy will also constrain their [O\( ^{\text{iii}} \)] narrow-line regions, allowing 24 We note that application of the standard virial method for SMBH mass and \( L/L_{\text{Edd}} \) determination will require caution, since extreme objects such as PHL 1811 analogs may not obey standard virial relations (cf. Section 6.4 of Richards et al. 2011). Comparison of \( L/L_{\text{Edd}} \) values from the X-ray continuum based method and the virial method will thus be valuable as a consistency check.
comparisons with eigenvector 1 of Boroson & Green (1992). See the Appendix for near-infrared spectroscopy of J1521+5202. Near-infrared spectroscopy of additional high-redshift WLQs, covering the Fe II and Fe III transitions, will also reveal if the X-ray weak subset of this population is made up of PHL 1811 analogs (see Section 4.6).
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Note added in proof J1302+0030 is one of the X-ray weak, high-redshift ($z = 4.48$) WLQs mentioned in Section 4.6. Shemmer et al. (2009) reported J1302+0030 to be X-ray weak by a factor of $\sim 10$ ($\Delta \alpha_{ox} = -0.38$). Diamond-Stanic et al. (2009) found evidence for a strong blueshift ($\sim -5000$ km s$^{-1}$) of the very weak ($W_r = 4.7 \AA$) C IV emission line in a Keck spectrum (their Figure 8 and Section 4.3). Our measurement of this spectrum gives a C IV blueshift of $-6150 \pm 850$ km s$^{-1}$ (68% confidence level) and $W_r$ of $5.2 \pm 0.5$ Å (90% confidence level). Therefore, J1302+0030 shows consistent behavior with PHL 1811 analogs in the $\Delta \alpha_{ox}$-C IV blueshift parameter space, as shown in Figures 6 and 7. It can be considered to be a high-redshift PHL 1811 analog. The strong C IV blueshift and the X-ray weakness of J1302+0030 also support our unification scenario between WLQs and PHL 1811 analogs described in Section 4.6. We thank A. M. Diamond-Stanic for bringing this supporting evidence to our attention.

APPENDIX

NEAR-INFRARED SPECTROSCOPY OF J1521+5202

The X-ray properties of J1521+5202 were first reported by Just et al. (2007). This extremely optically luminous ($M_i = -30.19$) quasar is exceptionally X-ray weak by a factor of 34.5 (see Section 3). It has unusual UV emission-line properties (see Figure 2 and Table 3) like those of PHL 1811, for which the X-ray weakness may perhaps be attributed to a high $L/L_{Edd}$ value (Leighly et al. 2007b). To investigate the cause of the X-ray weakness for J1521+5202 and its relation to PHL 1811, we obtained its near-infrared spectrum ($JHK$ bands) including coverage of H$\alpha$, H$\beta$, and [O III] $\lambda 5007$.

The near-infrared spectrum for J1521+5202 is shown in Figure A1(a) with a spectral coverage of 0.95–2.45 $\mu$m. We obtained this spectrum using the TripleSpec near-infrared spectrograph (Wilson et al. 2004) on the Apache Point Observatory (APO) 3.5 m telescope on 2009 May 19. We used a slit width of 1.7, corresponding to a spectral resolution of $R \approx 2500$. This relatively broad slit width was chosen to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio in key spectral features such as the H$\beta$ and [O III] $\lambda 5007$ emission lines. We observed J1521+5202 using an “ABBA” nodding pattern along the slit with each individual exposure lasting 200 s. We collected twelve sets of observations in this way, giving $\approx 9600$ s of total exposure for the J1521+5202 spectrum. Every $\approx 40$ min, we observed a calibration star (HD143817) for 40 s. At the end of the observing run, we collected calibration flat frames. Sky lines in the images were used to perform wavelength calibration. We reduced the data following the standard procedures implemented in the 2009 May 1 version of the TripleSpecTool package. TripleSpecTool is a modified version of Spectool (Vacca et al. 2003; Cushing et al. 2004) that generates telluric-absorption corrected, absolute-calibrated spectra from TripleSpec images.

The near-infrared spectrum was modeled following the methods of Shemmer et al. (2004), as summarized below. Our model consists of (1) a linear continuum fitted between two narrow ($\pm 20$ Å) bands centered on 4700 Å and 5100 Å in the rest frame,
(2) an Fe II emission template (Boroson & Green 1992) broadened by convolution with a Gaussian profile with FWHM = 2700 km s$^{-1}$, (3) the broad H$\beta$ component represented by a Gaussian profile with 1200 km s$^{-1}$ < FWHM < 15000 km s$^{-1}$, and (4) the narrow H$\beta$ component and [O III] $\lambda\lambda 4959, 5007$ represented by three Gaussian profiles with 300 km s$^{-1}$ < FWHM < 12000 km s$^{-1}$. The three narrow Gaussian profiles were set to have the same width. The [O III] lines have the theoretical ratio $I([\text{O III}] \lambda 5007) / I([\text{O III}] \lambda 4959) = 2.95$. The best-fit model is shown in Figure A1(b). The [O III] lines are undetected; we only obtained an upper limit of $W_r([\text{O III}] \lambda 5007) < 1$ Å. To set this upper limit, we assumed a Gaussian profile for [O III] $\lambda 5007$ with FWHM = 1000 km s$^{-1}$, and we then determined the weakest such feature that would have been detected in our spectrum (e.g., Netzer et al. 2004).

We measured the systemic redshift using the best-fit H$\beta$ line, $z = 2.238$. This redshift is larger than that determined from the Mg II emission in the SDSS spectrum ($z_{SDSS} = 2.19$). Using the H$\beta$ redshift, the C iv line of J1521+5202 has an even larger blueshift (~9400 km s$^{-1}$). The C iv blueshift remains strong (~8400 km s$^{-1}$) using the redshift value estimated from H$\alpha$. Like PHL 1811, J1521+5202 has very weak [O III] $\lambda 5007$ emission, and strong Fe II emission, which characterizes this source as an extreme eigenvector 1 object (Boroson & Green 1992). The broad H$\beta$ line of J1521+5202 has FWHM(H$\beta$) = 5750 km s$^{-1}$. We also measured the rest-frame equivalent width of H$\beta$, $W_r(\text{H} \beta) = 30.7$ Å, which is similar to those of the two WLQs in Shemmer et al. (2010), and smaller than that of PHL 1811 (50 Å). Like WLQs, J1521+5202 has weaker H$\beta$ emission than most quasars (Boroson & Green 1992; Shemmer et al. 2010). The strength of optical Fe II emission was measured to be $W_r(\text{Fe} \text{II}) = 50.3$ Å between 4434 Å and 4684 Å, yielding an Fe II/H$\beta$ ratio of 1.6, which is similar to those for PHL 1811 and the two WLQs in Shemmer et al. (2010), and larger than those for most typical quasars (e.g., Netzer et al. 2004). This is expected given the weak [O III] emission and the anti-correlation between $W_r(\text{O} \text{III})$ and the Fe II/H$\beta$ ratio (see Figure 9 of Netzer et al. 2004).

The virial $M_{BH}$ and $L/L_{edd}$ for J1521+5202 have been estimated from its rest-frame optical properties, FWHM(H$\beta$) and $f_\nu(5100 \, \text{Å}) = (2.2 \times 10^{16} \, \text{erg cm}^{-2} \, \text{s}^{-1} \, \text{Å}^{-1})$, using the empirical relation between BELR size and luminosity in Kaspi et al. (2005) modified by Bentz et al. (2009) and shown in Equations (1) and (2) of Shemmer et al. (2010). The bolometric correction to $L_{bol}(5100 \, \text{Å})$, $f_\nu(L) = 5.5$, was calculated with Equation (21) of Marconi et al. (2004). The results for J1521+5202 are $M_{BH} = 6.2 \times 10^{9} \, M_\odot$ and $L/L_{edd} = 0.81$. The $L/L_{edd}$ value for J1521+5202 is not extraordinary compared to the high-redshift ($z < 3$, 3.5), luminous ($L \gtrsim 10^{46}$ erg s$^{-1}$) quasars in Shemmer et al. (2004), for which the $M_{BH}$ and $L/L_{edd}$ are measured in a similar single-epoch spectroscopy approach. However, we recognize the considerable uncertainties of $M_{BH}$ and $L/L_{edd}$ estimated with this approach (at least a factor of 2–3 and perhaps much larger). When $L/L_{edd}$ is close to unity, radiation pressure becomes important, which may present difficulties for the virial motion assumption for the gas around the SMBH (e.g., Marconi et al. 2008, 2009; but also see Netzer & Marziani 2010). Furthermore, the calibration of $M_{BH}$ with FWHM(H$\beta$) and $L_{bol}(5100 \, \text{Å})$ is performed using reverberation-mapping data for low-luminosity, low-redshift ($z < 0.3$) AGNs. Applying this calibration to high-luminosity, high-redshift AGNs may produce further uncertainties (e.g., Kaspi et al. 2007).
