Macrophage Response to UHMWPE Submitted to Accelerated Ageing in Hydrogen Peroxide
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Abstract: Ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) has been the most commonly used bearing material in total joint arthroplasty. Wear and oxidation fatigue resistance of UHMWPE are regarded as two important properties to extend the longevity of knee prostheses. The present study investigated the accelerated ageing of UHMWPE in hydrogen peroxide highly oxidative chemical environment. The sliced samples of UHMWPE were oxidized in a hydrogen peroxide solution for 120 days with their total level of oxidation (Iox) characterized by Fourier Transformed Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR). The potential inflammatory response, cell viability and biocompatibility of such oxidized UHMWPE systems were assessed by a novel biological in vitro assay based on the secretion of nitric oxide (NO) by activated murine macrophages with gamma interferon (IFN-γ) cytokine and lipopolysaccharide (LPS). Furthermore, macrophage morphologies in contact with UHMWPE oxidized surfaces were analyzed by cell spreading-adhesion procedure using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The results have given significant evidence that the longer the period of accelerated aging of UHMWPE the higher was the macrophage inflammatory equivalent response based on NO secretion analysis.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) is a biomaterial which is widely used as part of prostheses that require articulating surfaces, such as knee endoprostheses, due to its excellent mechanical qualities. Approximately one million UHMWPE components are implanted yearly worldwide. Two major problems limit the life of UHMWPE prostheses: wear and delamination. Both phenomena tend mainly to be the result of the chemical oxidation of polymers [1-6]. Polyethylene wear debris formation continues to be a primary factor in the reduced longevity of total knee replacements [1-3]. Wear can cause the release of particulate material which triggers a macrophage reaction, thus leading to chronic inflammation and osteolysis. On the hand, delamination failure is due to the mechanical stress that macroscopically alters the surfaces [2,6]. It is well-known in the field of biomaterials that surface chemistry plays an important role in the interaction of cells, specifically those cells involved in the inflammatory response. Thus, this aspect must be considered in the applications of UHMWPE [2-4].

Oxidized UHMWPE is an inherent state that exists in UHMWPE components used in total joint replacements. As such, studies that investigate the interaction of particles with cells and tissue should consider the effect of this surface chemistry in their models. This effect potentially influences the structural state of the particles, the nature of reachable species and their release rates, the kinetics of the degradation reaction, and the way by which biological elements of the living surroundings act on the implanted material. In other words, cellular interactions are strongly affected by the oxidation state of surfaces [3-6]. It has also been well-established in the literature that these UHMWPE components are commonly susceptible to oxidative degradation through the consolidation of the resin at high temperatures and pressures, sterilization by gamma irradiation, storage of the irradiated UHMWPE components, and the implantation environment. The oxidation of UHMWPE components has been linked to changes in the mechanical properties of the material, such as decreased fatigue strength and the production of wear particles around the site of the implant [4]. Until the 1980s, the large majority of researches have focused on investigating the failures of hip prosthesis. Only in recent years some studies in vitro have been reported addressing the chemical oxidation of UHMWPE aiming the knee implants. Yet, the entire degradation mechanism and the kinetics remain unclear. In fact, there are not many reports in the literature that have investigated the accelerated aging process by oxidative chemical solution and the relationship with the actual failure that occurs in UHMWPE prosthesis [5-11].

MTT assay (3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5- diphenyltetrazolium bromide) and cell adhesion have commonly been used for the preliminary evaluation of biocompatibility of biomaterials. More recently, nitric oxide (NO), which is a potent signaling molecule secreted by healthy endothelial
cells, has been used to assess cell activity [12]. NO is a highly reactive, free radical chemical involved in a number of physiological and pathological processes. Macrophages induce the generation of NO, a high level of which could lead to the rejection of a biomaterial [12]. As a result, NO production by macrophages can be examined as an indicator of cell activation by biomaterials [13]. Macrophages play a crucial role in the host defense. They form the first line as a component of the innate immune response, but they also assist in the adaptive immunological system. A prospective biomaterial must undergo extensive in vitro and in vivo tests and should ensure the non-toxicity of the biological host site where it will be applied. Cell-based compatibility assays should be properly conducted as a reliable tool of predicting the biomaterial behavior in vivo and future clinical performance [12,14]. It should be highlighted that by using LPS or IFN-γ activation of macrophages, one aims to cause macrophage activation along the classical or type I pathway (and not along the alternative type II pathway). Classical activation leads to induction of iNOS (inducible nitric oxide synthase), which is able to produce NO, resulting the production of nitrogen radical, then impart these macrophage an ability to kill intracellular microbes [14]. Since the pioneering work from Santavirta and co-workers [15], despite the large number of works in recent years that seem to indicate a satisfactory behavior of UHMWPE for bearing applications [16,17], further research needs to be carried out in order to properly predict the lifetime of these prostheses after implantation [5-11].

In the present study, an accelerated ageing by oxidative degradation of UHMWPE in hydrogen peroxide solution was performed and the macrophage response by NO secretion was analyzed to verify the influence of chemical surfaces on the inflammatory process.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Materials

The polyethylene used in this work was ram-extruded UHMWPE bar stock GUR 1020, of commercial grade and approved for surgical implants (ISO 5834-2/2005), and was kindly donated by Ticona Engineering Polymer (USA). The typical properties of UHMWPE as provided by the supplier are listed in Table 1.

2.2. Sample Preparation for Accelerated Oxidation Assay

The bar stock was sectioned with a steel blade into 50 mm × 50 mm × 50 mm cubic blocks and samples were sliced with thickness ranging from 150 to 250 μm. All samples were cleaned in an ethanol (P.A., Sigma) and distilled water ultrasound bath, air dried, and submitted to experimental analysis. Non-oxidized samples (triplicates, n = 3) of UHMWPE (“reference control group”) were immersed in 30 mL of hydrogen peroxide and incubated at 37°C. The degradation oxidative solution was prepared using 30v/v% hydrogen peroxide (analytical grade, H₂O₂ > 30% v/v, ~ 8.8 molL⁻¹), which was replaced every five days to maintain the activity of the solution. This solution replacement was based on the estimated half-life of H₂O₂ of approximately seven days at 37°C. The experimental aging times were monitored at 0 (initial state, reference), 7, 14, 21, 28, 60, and 120 days, and such oxidized samples were referred as UHMWPE-Ox.

2.3. Characterization Techniques

2.3.1. Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)

Fourier Transformed Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) spectra were collected in transmission mode with the wavenumber ranging from 4,000 to 400 cm⁻¹ during 64 scans, with 2 cm⁻¹ resolution (Paragon 1,000, Perkin-Elmer, USA). The FTIR spectra were normalized, and major vibration bands were identified and associated with the main chemical groups. The total level of oxidation (Iox) was determined by FTIR according to ISO 5834-2, which has been broadly reported in literature [18-22]. Briefly, this oxidation factor Iox is assumed as the peak area at 1,650 – 1,850 cm⁻¹ divided by the peak area at 1,370 cm⁻¹. This procedure has given an overall relative uncertainty of 10%.

2.3.2. Bioactivity and Cell Viability on Oxidized UHMWPE

2.3.2.1. Cell Activity – Nitric Oxide (NO) Production Assay

As far as biocompatibility is concerned, it is of paramount importance to move beyond standard regular bioactivity methods to properly evaluate UHMWPE samples. Therefore, a novel approach is proposed in this research, where nitric oxide (NO) secretion was stimulated by cytokine (IFN-γ) and other inductive moiety named lipopolysaccharide (LPS) to assess cell activity when in contact with modified polymeric systems. Mice were euthanized by halothane inhalation (approved by the ethics committee of Federal University of Minas Gerais). Next, 7–10 mL of ice-cold RPMI-1640 medium (Roswell Park Memorial Institute) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS) was injected into their peritoneal
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Table 1. Material Properties of UHMWPE Bar Stock Based on the Data Sheet from the Manufacturer

| UHMWPE        | GUR 1020       |
|---------------|----------------|
| Manufacturer  | Ticona         |
| Manufacturing Method | Ram extrusion     |
| Fabricated Form    | Annealed       |
| Average molecular wt (molar mass) [g/mol × 10⁶] | 5.166 to 5.415 |
| Crystallinity; DSC, (20 °C –160 °C) [%] | 66 – 71 |
| Density [Kg/m³] | 934            |
| Tensile stress at yield (tensile strength) [MPa] | > 23 |
| Tensile stress at break [ultimate tensile strength] [MPa] | > 52 |
| Elongation at break [%] | > 460 |
| Young’s modulus [MPa] | > 575 |
| Melting Point DSC, 10K/min [°C] | 137.5 |
| Glass Transition Temperature Tg [°C] | -110 |
| Surface and bulk Oxidation Index; material shelf-aged 1 year in air (ASTM F2101-01) | 0.00 |

---
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Macrophages were allowed to adhere for 48 h, at plates were then kept in a 37°C incubator supplemented with were stimulated for NO production by adding cytokine IFN- without any samples (n=4). In addition, the macrophage cells adding 200 (each) on the plate. Negative controls were prepared by samples which had been previously placed (4 replicates of each) on the plate. Negative controls were prepared by adding 200 μL of medium (RPMI 1640 with 10% FBS) without any samples (n=4). In addition, the macrophage cells were stimulated for NO production by adding cytokine IFN-γ (120 U/mL) and LPS (100 ng/mL) to the medium. All plates were then kept in a 37°C incubator supplemented with 5% CO₂. Macrophages were allowed to adhere for 48 h, at which point the wells were washed three times with endotoxin-free, phosphate buffered saline (PBS) to rinse away most non-adherent cells. Production of NO was estimated by measuring nitrite levels in the supernatant with a Griess reagent (1:1 ratio of 0.1% of N-1-naphthylethylendiamine and 1% sulphamidamide in 5% phosphoric acid). The Griess reagent was added to 100 μL of sample cell supernatant and was incubated at room temperature for 10 min. Absorbance was measured at wavelength=550 nm using ASYS EXPERT PLUS spectrometric microplate reader. The absorbance values were converted to their corresponding concentration of NO, which was produced using a standard curve for NaNO₂.

### 2.3.2.2. Cell Adhesion-Spreading Assay

Cell viability was evaluated by spreading and attachment assays in order to examine their morphology, adhesion and spreading behavior. The murine macrophage cells were plated at 1 x 10⁵ density onto the sample slices of UHMWPE and UHMWPE-Ox that were previously placed on microplates (24wells). Cell spreading was observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, JEOL/Noran, JSM 6360LV). Before microscopy analysis, specimens were fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde for 16 hours and dehydrated by passing through a series of alcohol solutions (ethanol-water). Then, they were dried in nitrogen flowing reactor for 4 hours and out-gassed in vacuum desiccator for 12 hours. Before examination the samples were sputtered with a thin layer of gold using low deposition rate, and placed at the maximum distance from the target to prevent damaging them. The images were obtained using an accelerating voltage of 10-15kV [13].

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1. FTIR Spectroscopy – Oxidation

FTIR spectroscopy was used to characterize the oxidized UHMWPE samples (UHMWPE-Ox). Fig. (1A) presents FTIR spectra from UHMWPE before and after 28, 60, and 120 days of accelerated aging in hydrogen peroxide. The main changes in the FTIR spectra upon the oxidation of polyethylene samples involved the formation of typical products, such as isolated hydroperoxides (3,550 cm⁻¹), hydrogen bonds (hydroxyls at 3,410 cm⁻¹), lactones (1,860 cm⁻¹), esters (1,740 cm⁻¹), acids, and ketones (1,710 – 1,720 cm⁻¹). In addition, an increase in the absorbance in the range from 1,400 to 1,180 cm⁻¹, associated with C=O vibrations, and in the range from 800 to 1,100 cm⁻¹, mostly related to unsaturated C=C groups. It can be noted in Fig. (1B) the evolution of the strong vibration band related to carbonyl groups with the aging time in hydrogen peroxide solution, as it is the most usual chemical specie formed by polyethylene oxidation. Thus, the FTIR results of UHMWPE samples after the accelerated aging assays have clearly indicated the major bands usually associated with the oxidative degradation of UHMWPE endorsed by the broadly reported literature [6,10,13,18-22].

The spectral regions recommended by ISO 5834-4 (2005) were used to estimate the oxidation degree aiming to conduct a quantitative analysis associated with a more in-depth investigation of the UHMWPE degradation under oxidative stress condition. The curve showed in Fig. (2), based on the overall reaction (I ox), clearly indicated that oxidation of the UHMWPE has taken place, with a slow evolution up to approximately 28 days. Subsequently, a steep rise could be observed up to 120 days of evaluation. These high values of
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**Fig. (1)**. (A): FTIR spectra of reference UHMWPE (a) and UHMWPE oxidized for (b) 28 days, (c) 60 days and (d) 120 days; (B) Detail: carbonyl group evolution with aging time.
I\textsubscript{ox} (typically above ~4.0) are comparable to those reported in previous researches on retrieved prosthesis after degradation and severe inflammation processes under patient use. Hence, FTIR has proven to be an important tool, not only for investigating UHMWPE degradation, but also for providing relevant information concerning to the peroxide reaction kinetics as an indication for potential prosthesis lifetime behavior.

3.2. Bioactivity and Cell Viability on Oxidized UHMWPE

3.2.1. Cell Activity – Nitric Oxide (NO) Production Assay

The macrophage response versus the oxidation index (I\textsubscript{ox}) was analyzed to investigate the ability of UHMWPE to induce macrophage activation after polymer surface oxidation submitted to accelerated oxidative. The results are presented in Fig. (3). It could be observed that the macrophage response regarding to the oxidized UHMWPE was relatively unchanged up to the oxidation index value (I\textsubscript{ox}) of about 4.0, then a significant increase was verified. This value of I\textsubscript{ox} would represent approximately 50-60 days under accelerated aging solution. It can be observed by combining results from Figs. (2 and 3) that the I\textsubscript{ox} was gradually increasing as the aging time was higher up to 120 days. It was somehow expected from the chemical reaction of the polyethylene with peroxide, with a slow rate at the initial stage followed by an accelerated degradation of the polymeric network due to the radicals propagation. On the other hand, in order to understand the macrophage response in the present study it is required a concise background on foreign body reaction from living organism induced by biomaterials. In summary, the interactions at the cell–substrate interface are mediated by extracellular signals such as cytokines or growth factors that induce changes in the cell substrate adhesion and the extracellular matrix. Macrophages are involved in the host defense and play an important role in immune regulation. They induce generation of nitric oxide, a high level of which could lead to the rejection of a biomaterial during \textit{in vivo} studies. Cytokines and endotoxin, for instance interferon (IFN)-\textgamma and lipopolysaccharide (LPS), respectively, induce the expression of one isoform of nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), which generates high levels of nitric oxide that is cytotoxic or cytostatic to target cells [12,14]. Consequently, it is essential to assess the NO production to reliably investigate inflammatory response of systems in contact with living organisms. Nevertheless, one should be aware that is beyond the scope of this study to present an in-depth description of the complete macrophage response to cytokines, as they have a rather complex pathway that it not yet understood, but the application of the concept on evaluating polymer based materials [13]. Hence, the results of our study regarding to macrophage behavior when placed in contact to UHMWPE with different degrees of surface oxidation have given an evidence of potential inflammatory response from the living organism by the degradation of the UHMWPE prosthesis surface. It seems that after it has reached a threshold it will most probably cause the prosthesis failure by severe inflammation. The importance of these results is that the biological response to UHMWPE oxidation is correlated to the development of wear particles within the periprosthetic tissue, where they are phagocytosed by macrophages. Eventually, osteoclasts are recruited and/or activated to resorb the bone, thus leading to osteolysis and the loosening of the prosthesis [23]. Several authors [23-25] have reported a direct relationship between the presence of macrophages and gigantic cells in the tissue and UHMWPE wear debris. However, just a few of these reports have considered the surface chemistry degradation caused by the UHMWPE oxidation under stressing aging procedures and the biological tissue response. In this sense, these results have contributed with some new information about the role of the biomaterial oxidized surface in the inflammatory process response, which can be valuable as a biocompatibility assay.

3.2.2. Macrophage Cell Adhesion-Spreading Assay

In Fig. (4A) it is showed the SEM image of the reference control cells. It can be observed some of their morphological features such as the rounded shape and the presence of lamellipodia usually found on healthy cells from peritoneal macrophage cultures [24].
Fig. (4B) shows the SEM image of UHMWPE-Ox after 90 days, where multinuclear giant cells were observed for the peritoneal macrophage cultures on the UHMWPE oxidized surfaces. The cells evidenced a very different cell shape from the control and from non-oxidized UHMWPE: the macrophages adhered and the majority has showed some aspects that indicate lamellipodia and spreading. These are common characteristics compatible with the cell activation mechanism.

A similar behavior was observed for UHMWPE samples submitted to longer aging times, for instance 120 days. Hence, it is reasonable to assume that these results have provided strong evidence that macrophage response was specifically affected by the chemical surface of UHMWPE. These findings are endorsed by the literature [25] where it was reported that there is a direct effect of the UHMWPE surface oxidative status on the polymorphonuclear granulocytes (PMN) and, therefore, cell activation of human granulocytes has been considered an indicator in the risk assessment of biopolymer-mediated inflammation. Moreover, Bosseti et al. [24] state that oxidation of UHMWPE leads to a formation in the polymer chain of hydroperoxides, which can in turn produce secondary products, such as ketones and carboxylic acids. The presence of these chemical groups can induce a slight increase in the surface polarity, thus a slight increase in hydrophilicity can be expected affecting the attachment of the cells.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study has given important evidence that macrophage cell morphology and their responses were affected by the UHMWPE surface chemical modification caused by an accelerated aging mechanism. It can be assumed that the accelerated degradation assay conducted on UHMWPE samples has resulted on the formation of chemical moieties onto the polymeric surfaces which has induced detectable macrophage morphology alterations. In addition, the UHMWPE oxidation index results based on the accelerated aging assays have proven to be a very good tool on evaluating the overall degradation of UHMWPE which was correlated to the macrophage response via NO production.

Therefore, it opens a window of opportunity for estimating and monitoring the potential UHMWPE oxidation and inflammatory response, consequently improving the longevity of the prosthesis. This study has evolved a step further toward a better understanding of that rather complex system.
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