Evaluation of the soft tissue biocompatibility of MgCa0.8 and surgical steel 316L in vivo: a comparative study in rabbits
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Abstract

Background: Recent studies have shown the potential suitability of magnesium alloys as biodegradable implants. The aim of the present study was to compare the soft tissue biocompatibility of MgCa0.8 and commonly used surgical steel in vivo.

Methods: A biodegradable magnesium calcium alloy (MgCa0.8) and surgical steel (S316L), as a control, were investigated. Screws of identical geometrical conformation were implanted into the tibiae of 40 rabbits for a postoperative follow up of two, four, six and eight weeks. The tibialis cranialis muscle was in direct vicinity of the screw head and thus embedded in paraffin and histologically and immunohistochemically assessed. Haematoxylin and eosin staining was performed to identify macrophages, giant cells and heterophil granulocytes as well as the extent of tissue fibrosis and necrosis. Mouse anti-CD79α and rat anti-CD3 monoclonal primary antibodies were used for B- and T-lymphocyte detection. Evaluation of all sections was performed by applying a semi-quantitative score.

Results: Clinically, both implant materials were tolerated well. Histology revealed that a layer of fibrous tissue had formed between implant and overlying muscle in MgCa0.8 and S316L, which was demarcated by a layer of synoviocyte-like cells at its interface to the implant. In MgCa0.8 implants cavities were detected within the fibrous tissue, which were surrounded by the same kind of cell type. The thickness of the fibrous layer and the amount of tissue necrosis and cellular infiltrations gradually decreased in S316L. In contrast, a decrease could only be noted in the first weeks of implantation in MgCa0.8, whereas parameters were increasing again at the end of the observation period. B-lymphocytes were found more often in MgCa0.8 indicating humoral immunity and the presence of soluble antigens. Conversely, S316L displayed a higher quantity of T-lymphocytes.

Conclusions: Moderate inflammation was detected in both implant materials and resolved to a minimum during the first weeks indicating comparable biocompatibility for MgCa0.8 and S316L. Thus, the application of MgCa0.8 as biodegradable implant material seems conceivable. Since the inflammatory parameters were re-increasing at the end of the observation period in MgCa0.8 it is important to observe the development of inflammation over a longer time period in addition to the present study.
Background

To date, stainless steel and titanium are routinely used for internal fracture fixation [1,2]. Besides their high mechanical stability, which is advantageous for rigid fixation of fractured bones, adverse effects such as stress-shielding that result in weakening of the bone and delayed bone healing have been discussed in connection with their use [3]. Further the occurrence of delayed-type hypersensitivity, a cell mediated immune response, has been reported [4]. For these reasons, implant removal is commonly required after fracture healing is completed [5]. To avoid a second surgery and additional inconveniences for the patient as well as unnecessary treatment expenses, it is preferable to choose biodegradable implants. Currently, degradable polymers are available for a variety of non-load bearing applications [6]. However, they have not proven to be successful in osteosynthesis of weight bearing bones [5].

Previous studies have shown the potential suitability of magnesium alloys for an application as biodegradable implants [7-12]. As magnesium alloys have mechanical properties, which are similar to that of cortical bone [13] and a significant higher stability and Young's modulus than polymers [14], they could be promising candidates for osteosynthesis of weight bearing bones.

The development of biodegradable implants demands an appreciation of the cellular and tissue responses that are associated with their implantation and in vivo degradation, because they determine the biocompatibility [15]. The response of the host tissue is initiated by the surgical procedure [15] and the presence of the biomaterial itself, which affects the tissue chemically, physically and mechanically [16], and may be divided into three phases [15]. The first phase (so-called early phase) occurs within the first two weeks. It is characterised by initiation, resolution and organisation of the acute and chronic inflammatory host response to the degrading biomaterial [15]. The second phase is dominated by immuno-inflammatory cells, predominantly macrophages, which infiltrate the implantation site. The development of a fibrous capsule is initiated. The process is further enhanced and accompanied by neovascularisation of the capsule during the third phase of the host response [15]. Intensity and duration of the second and third phase reactions are dependent on the degradation rate of the biomaterial [15].

Magnesium alloy implants generally displayed good biocompatibility in vivo [17,18]. However, the results of different studies are difficult to compare as the host reactions are not only tissue-, organ- and species-dependent, but also influenced by the size and shape of the implant [15]. The degradation process of a magnesium implant is further influenced by the alloy composition [19,20]. Alloying with calcium is known to enhance the corrosion resistance and mechanical properties of pure magnesium. Binary magnesium calcium alloys with a calcium concentration of 0.6 - 0.8 wt% showed the slowest corrosion rate [21]. With regard to internal fracture fixation, there is necessity to investigate the host reactions of magnesium alloy bone screws at their interface to surrounding tissues. The interface of a screw can be divided into three parts: bone, bone marrow and soft tissue surrounding the screw head, e. g. overlying skeletal muscle. The examination of the bone-implant interface of threaded magnesium calcium alloy pins revealed enhanced osteogenesis and the formation of a fibrous capsule after implantation into rabbit femora [22,23]. Adverse host reactions were not observed [22,23]. The magnesium calcium alloy MgCa0.8 showed good biocompatibility in the
bone [23,24]. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, detailed studies on the soft tissue biocompatibility of MgCa0.8 are not listed in the current literature.

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the soft tissue biocompatibility of the biodegradable magnesium calcium alloy MgCa0.8. To quantify the soft tissue biocompatibility of MgCa0.8, host reactions to MgCa0.8 and to commonly used surgical steel, as a control, were investigated in vivo at the interface to skeletal muscle.

**Methods**

**Implants**

A biodegradable magnesium calcium alloy with a calcium content of 0.8 wt% (MgCa0.8) and commonly used stainless steel 316L (S316L), as a control, were investigated in the present study. Both implant materials were used for the fabrication of cortical bone screws (Figure 1). The MgCa0.8 alloy was produced from pure magnesium (99.8 wt% Mg; Dead Sea Magnesium Ltd, Beer-Sheva, Israel) and the commercially available MgCa30 alloy (30 wt% Ca; Timminco Limited, Toronto, Canada) as previously described [25]. The screws were machined in several steps from extruded bar stocks by turning on a lathe. The feedstock had a diameter of 20 mm for MgCa0.8 and 12 mm for S316L. Separated cylinders were centered and clamped in a CNC-turning center to turn the outer contours of the screw blanks consisting of screw shaft (major diameter: 4.0 mm, length: 6.0 mm) and screw head (head diameter: 8.0 mm). Subsequently, the thread profile (length: 5.0 mm, core diameter: 3.0 mm, pitch (P): 1 mm, thread shape according to ISO 5835) was tapped on the blank in multiple consecutive steps. To keep the mechanical loads applied on the blank minimal during threading, a maximum cutting depth \( a_p, \text{max} = 0.1 \text{ mm} \) for magnesium workpieces and \( a_p, \text{max} = 0.05 \text{ mm} \) for steel bolts was used. Finally the bolts were disconnected and the head geometry was finished by grinding slots with a maximum depth of 1 mm manually.

To remove fabrication process residua the implants were cleaned with acetone and demineralised water. MgCa0.8 was sterilised by exposure to gamma radiation (25 kGy, 6 - 8 h; BBF-Sterilisationsservice GmbH, Kernen, Germany); S316L was sterilised routinely in an autoclave (121°C, 2.3 bar, 60 - 70 min).

**Animal model and study design**

The animal experiment was authorized according to the German Animal Welfare Act and registered as number 07/1305. Forty adult, female New Zealand White Rabbits with a mean body weight of 3.81 ± 0.34 kg were randomly assigned to two groups. In
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the first group, MgCa0.8 screws (n = 48) were implanted into both tibiae of 24 rabbits. In a second group with 16 rabbits, S316L screws (n = 32) were implanted into both tibiae. Surgery was performed under general anaesthesia induced by intramuscular injection of ketamine-hydrochloride (10 mg/kg; Ketamin 10%, CP-Pharma Partner HGmbH, Burgdorf, Germany) and medetomidin (0.125 mg/kg; Domitor*, Pfizer Pharma GmbH, Berlin, Germany). After endotracheal intubation anaesthesia was maintained by isoflurane delivered in oxygen (2.5 - 3.5 vol% isoflurane; Isoba*, Essex Pharma GmbH, Munich, Germany; oxygen flow: 0.5 - 1.0 l/min). Both hind limbs were clipped and aseptically prepared for surgery. The skin was incised latero-distal of the tibial tuberosity and the cranial tibial muscle was carefully retracted from the tibia. After predrilling with a 3.5 mm burr and tapping the screws were inserted unicortically into the lateral aspect of the tibia slightly proximal of the fibula insertion. In doing so, the screw head was placed underneath the cranial tibial muscle. Finally, the tibial fascia, the subcutis and cutis were closed separately using absorbable suture material (Polysorb*, Covidien AG, Dublin, Ireland).

Radiographs were taken immediately after surgery to document correct implant placement. In order to monitor changes at the implantation site, such as gas formation or changes of bone or screw morphology, additional weekly radiographs were taken as a follow up. Physical examinations of both hind limbs were performed every day. Antibiotic and analgesic medication was continued for ten days (enrofloxacin, 10 mg/kg, Baytril® 2.5% s. c. once daily, Bayer Animal Health GmbH, Leverkusen, Germany; meloxicam, 0.15 mg/kg s.c. once daily, Metacam®, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG, Ingelheim am Rhein, Germany). Six animals of MgCa0.8 and four animals of S316L were followed up for two, four, six and eight weeks respectively.

Histology and immunohistochemistry
At the end of the investigation period, all animals were anesthetised with ketamine-hydrochloride (20 mg/kg; Ketamin 10%, Pharma Partner GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) and xylazine (5 mg/kg; Xylazin 2%, Serumwerk Bernburg AG, Bernburg, Germany) and euthanized by intracardial injection of pentobarbital (230 mg/kg; Narcodorm*, CP-Pharma HGmbH, Burgdorf, Germany). The tibialis cranialis muscle was explanted directly after sacrifice. One part of the cranial tibial muscle, which was directly adjacent to the screw head (Figure 2), was fixated in 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 - 48 h to produce cross sections of the periimplant soft tissue interface. After paraffin
embedding 2 - 3 μm thick sections were processed using a RM 2255 microtome (Leica Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) and mounted on SuperFrost® Plus slides (Menzel-Gläser, Menzel GmbH & Co KG, Braunschweig, Germany). Three cross sections of each sample were stained with haematoxylin and eosin (H. E.) for histological studies.

An additional group of sections was prepared for immunohistochemical staining. One section of each sample was stained for B-lymphocytes, another for T-lymphocytes. Mouse anti-CD79α (HM 47/A9, Acris Antibodies GmbH, Herford, Germany) and rat anti-CD3 (CD3-12, AbD Serotec, Düsseldorf, Germany) were selected as monoclonal primary antibodies [26]. After deparaffinisation in a descending series of ethanol, endogenous peroxidase was blocked with H2O2 (0.5% in ethanol) for 30 minutes at room temperature (RT). The sections were then pretreated in boiling sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0) in the microwave (800 W, 20 min) to demask antigen epitopes. Non-specific binding was reduced by incubation with normal goat serum (1:5) diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.1) for 20 min at RT. The primary antibodies were diluted in PBS containing bovine serum albumin (BSA, 1%). A dilution of 1:800 was used for CD79α, 1:4000 for CD3. Incubation was done overnight in humidified chambers at 4°C. Subsequently, biotinylated secondary antibodies were applied (1:200, 30 min, RT): goat anti-mouse antibodies (Biotinylated Anti-Mouse IgG (H+L), Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA) for CD79α and goat anti-rat antibodies (Biotinylated Anti-Rat IgG (H+L), Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA) for CD3. Following, the avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex system (Vectastain® Elite ABC Kit, Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA) was added. After an incubation of 30 min at RT peroxidase activity was visualised using 3,3-diaminobenzidine-tetrahydrochloride (DAB, 0.05%) and H2O2 (0.03%) in PBS. Counterstaining was performed with haemalum (20 sec). Finally, the sections were rehydrated in an ascending series of ethanol and cover-slipped with Roti®-Histokit II (Carl Roth GmbH & Co, Karlsruhe, Germany). Positive control sections (rabbit lymph nodes) and negative control sections, in which the antibody was replaced by PBS, were included in all staining runs.

All sections were examined repeatedly with an optical light microscope (Axio Imager Z1, Carl Zeiss MicroImaging GmbH, Germany) to obtain an impression of the most prominent changes. The following parameters were selected for evaluation: fibrous encapsulation, i. e. the grade and thickness of periimplant fibrosis, and the amount of necrosis and tissue cavities occurring within the fibrous tissue. Furthermore, the occurrence of immuno-inflammatory cells was evaluated. Macrophages, giant cells and heterophil granulocytes as well as B- and T-lymphocytes were counted. The final evaluation was carried out three times by one observer applying a semi quantitative score (Table 1). The mean score value was calculated for each sample.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 17.0 software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Non parametrical tests (Mann-Whitney-Tests) were calculated to determine differences between the two implant materials for each evaluated parameter at each time point. The level of significance was defined as p < 0.05.
Results

Clinical and radiological outcome

Clinically, both implant materials were well tolerated. All animals showed mild wound swelling and reddening resulting from postoperative haematoma, which completely resolved not later than 14 days after surgery. Neither infections nor suture intolerance occurred during the postoperative follow up. Mild unilateral hind limb lameness was found in three cases and cured after treatment with meloxicam (0.15 mg/kg; Metacam®, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG, Ingelheim am Rhein, Germany) administered for four to five days. New bone development could be radiographically detected near the screw head in all animals of both material groups (Figure 3), which increased in size as periimplant gas accumulated to form a bubble around the screw head. In two cases periimplant gas bubbles dissolved without a special treatment five and six weeks postoperatively. In all other cases periimplant gas bubbles were noted throughout the remaining follow up.

| Table 1 Scoring system for the histological and immunohistochemical evaluation |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Evaluated parameter          | Grade          | Score |
| (A) Fibrosis                   | absent         | 0    |
|                                | minor          | 1    |
|                                | mild           | 2    |
|                                | moderate       | 3    |
|                                | severe         | 4    |
| (B) Tissue cavities            | absent         | 0    |
|                                | minor          | 1    |
|                                | mild           | 2    |
|                                | moderate       | 3    |
|                                | severe         | 4    |
| (C) Necrosis                   | absent         | 0    |
|                                | minor          | 1    |
|                                | mild           | 2    |
|                                | moderate       | 3    |
|                                | severe         | 4    |
| (D) Cellular infiltrations:    | Cells of each type per field of view: | Score |
| • Macrophages                  | 0               | 0    |
| • Giant cells                  | 1 to 5          | 1    |
| • Heterophil granulocytes      | 6 to 10         | 2    |
| • B-lymphocytes                | 11 to 15        | 3    |
| • T-lymphocytes                | 16 to 20        | 4    |
|                                | >20             | 5    |

To determine (A) the grade of fibrosis, i.e. thickness and dimension of the periimplant fibrous capsule, and (B) the amount of tissue cavities within the fibrous tissue, the sections were analysed at a magnification of 50× (eyepiece 5×, lens 10×). A magnification of 400× (eyepiece 40×, lens 10×) was used to evaluate (C) the amount of necrosis and (D) the amount of different cellular infiltrations. Therefore, ten randomly chosen microscopic fields of view, that were located within the periimplant fibrous tissue, were analysed and the mean score value was calculated for each section.
The dissection of the cranial tibial muscle showed that MgCa0.8 and S316L provoked a similar tissue response. In both implant materials, a layer of soft tissue had formed around the screw head, which was adherent to the overlying muscle. Strong adhesion of the periimplant soft tissue or the cranial tibial muscle tissue to the surface of the screw head was not observed.

**Histological evaluation**

A layer of fibrous tissue was noted in histological specimens of MgCa0.8 (Figure 4A) and S316L (Figure 4B) that had formed between the screw head and the overlying muscle. It was demarcated by a single layer of synoviocyte-like cells, predominantly cubic or columnar cells with acidophilic cytoplasm, at its implant interface. The surface of the cells consisted of acellular, fibrinoid-like eosinophilic material consistent with apocrine secretion. The thickness of the fibrous layer changed throughout the follow up. Two weeks after surgery a moderately developed fibrous tissue layer was detected in MgCa0.8 and S316L (median score: 3.0; p = 0.79) (Figure 5A). The
thickness gradually decreased in S316L (median score: 1.0 after eight weeks). On the contrary, a gradual decrease was only noted within the first six weeks in MgCa0.8 (median score: 3.0 after four weeks, median score: 2.0 after six weeks). After eight weeks the amount of fibrous tissue was increasing again (median score: 3.0). A significant difference between MgCa0.8 and S316L could only be noted after eight weeks (p < 0.001).

Cavities were solely detected within the fibrous tissue of MgCa0.8 implants and were lined with the same kind of synoviocyte-like cell layer detected at the fibrous tissue implant interface (Figure 6). Compared to the entire fibrous layer the tissue around the cavities did not show any changes of its morphology. Throughout the follow up the size and quantity of the tissue cavities slightly varied decreasing from week two (median score: 2.0) to week four (median score: 1.0) and re-increasing at the end of the implantation period (median score: 2.0 after six and eight weeks) (Figure 5B).

Furthermore, small spots of necrosis (Figure 7) and infiltrations of immuno-inflammatory cells (Figure 8, Figure 9) were dispersed within the periimplant fibrous tissue of both implant materials. Two weeks after surgery moderate amounts of necrotic tissue were detected in MgCa0.8 and S316L (median score: 3.0; p = 0.91) (Figure 5C). The amount of necrosis was decreasing throughout the follow up in S316L (median score: 0 after four, six and eight weeks). In MgCa0.8, a decrease in necrotic tissue was detected after four weeks (median score: 0.5), but at the end of the observation period the amount of necrosis was increasing again (median score: 1 after six weeks; median score: 2 after eight weeks). Eight weeks after surgery the degree of necrosis was significantly higher in MgCa0.8 than in S316L (p = 0.001).

Furthermore, the quantity of macrophages, giant cells and heterophil granulocytes that occurred within the periimplant fibrous tissue was evaluated (Figure 8, Fig 9). Two weeks after surgery moderate numbers of macrophages (median score: 3.0, MgCa0.8 and S316L) (Figure 5D) and giant cells (MgCa0.8: median score: 3.0; S316L: median score: 2.5) (Figure 5E) were found in all samples. Heterophils were also detected in both groups, but in lower numbers than the other cell types (median score: 1.5; MgCa0.8 and S316L) (Figure 5F). The quantity of cellular infiltrations did not significantly differ between both implant materials two weeks after surgery (macrophages: p = 0.38; giant cells: p = 0.24; heterophils: p = 1.00). Throughout the observation
period the number of evaluated cells gradually decreased in S316L (macrophages: median score: 1.0; giant cells and heterophils: median score: 0), whereas in MgCa0.8 a decrease was only noted in the first weeks of implantation. At the end of the observation period the amount of macrophages (median score: 3.0 after eight weeks), giant cells (median score: 2.0 after eight weeks) and heterophils (median score: 2.5 after eight weeks) increased again. A significant difference was determined between the two material groups for week eight after surgery only (macrophages: p = 0.005; giant cells: p = 0.003; heterophils: p = 0.001).

Immunohistochemical evaluation
To detect lymphocytes that migrated into the periimplant fibrous tissue, immunohistochemical staining was performed. Immunostaining for CD79α revealed that B-lymphocytes only occurred in low numbers in MgCa0.8 and S316L (Figure 10A, Figure 11A). In MgCa0.8 the amount of B-lymphocytes decreased from week two (median score 1.0) to week four (median score 0.5) and week six (median score 0). Eight weeks...
postoperatively a re-increase of B-lymphocytes was noted (median score 1). In S316L a median score of 0 was noted for B-lymphocytes two, four, six and eight weeks postoperatively, as B-lymphocytes were only detected in five samples (16%) throughout the follow up. The amount of B-lymphocytes was significantly lower in S316L than in MgCa0.8 two (p = 0.02) and eight weeks (p = 0.001) after surgery. T-lymphocytes also only occurred in low numbers in MgCa0.8 and S316L (Figure 10B, Figure 11B). In MgCa0.8 a median score of 0.5 was noted two weeks postoperatively decreasing to a score of 0 after four and six weeks. Eight weeks after surgery the amount of T-lymphocytes re-increased in MgCa0.8 (median score 1.0). S136L showed a median score of 1.0 after two and four weeks decreasing to a median score of 0 after six and eight weeks. No significant differences between MgCa0.8 and S316L were detected throughout the whole observation period.

Figure 6 Image section of an MgCa0.8 implant site two weeks postoperatively (H. E., 200×). Within the periimplant fibrous tissue (ft) a tissue cavity (tc) is clearly observable. The tissue cavity is surrounded by a synoviocyte-like cell layer (sc).

Figure 7 Image section of an S316L implant site two weeks postoperatively showing moderate necrosis and mild infiltration with macrophages within a moderately developed fibrous layer (H. E., 200×). The area of necrosis at the edge of the fibrous tissue is clearly observable. Macrophages are exemplarily represented by triangles.
The cranial tibial muscle showed physiological morphology in both groups at all time points. Tissue cavities, signs of necrosis and enhanced cellular infiltrates that were seen in MgCa0.8 and S316L implants were restricted to the periimplant fibrous tissue and its transition to the muscle, but none of these alterations was detected within the muscle tissue itself.

**Discussion**

The aim of the present study was to assess the soft tissue biocompatibility of MgCa0.8 by quantifying the host reaction at the interface to periimplant skeletal muscle. For this purpose, the severity of the early inflammatory reactions to MgCa0.8 and commonly used S316L, as a control, was compared. Screw shaped implants were inserted into the tibial bone of rabbits. Thus it was possible to keep the screw head in direct contact with the overlying cranial tibial muscle. To obtain enough area of contact between muscle and implant, screws with an exceptionally large screw head were used.
The inflammatory host response of the cranial tibial muscle was judged clinically, radiographically and histologically taking the formation of a fibrous capsule, the amount of necrosis and the infiltration with immuno-inflammatory cells such as macrophages, giant cells, heterophil granulocytes and lymphocytes into account. To specify the inflammatory reactions, the occurrence of B- and T-lymphocytes was evaluated by immunohistochemical staining.

Previous in vivo studies have shown good biocompatibility of MgCa0.8 in bone [23,24]. Detailed studies on the soft tissue reaction to MgCa0.8 are not described in the current literature. In the present study MgCa0.8 was clinically tolerated comparably well to the control material S316L. Radiographically a radiolucent gas margin was detected around the MgCa0.8 screw head indicating the beginning degradation of MgCa0.8. Hydrogen gas production is well known during the degradation of magnesium [27,28]. The gas either diffuses into periimplant tissues and is eliminated by the blood flow or accumulates in tissue cavities depending on the local gas saturation [29]. It is not yet examined to which extent the production of hydrogen might influence surrounding tissues. Previous in vivo studies on other magnesium calcium alloys reported the formation of gas bubbles in the early implantation period, which dissolved

![Figure 10](image-url)  
**Figure 10** Image sections of immunohistochemically stained cranial tibial muscle cross sections. (A) Periimplant fibrous tissue of an MgCa0.8 screw six weeks post operatively (CD79a, 400×). Arrows represent CD79a positive B-lymphocytes. (B) Periimplant fibrous tissue of an S316L screw two weeks postoperatively (CD3, 400×). Triangles indicate CD3 positive T-lymphocytes.
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**Figure 11** Boxplots of the immunohistochemical evaluation of cranial tibial muscle cross sections after implantation of MgCa0.8 (light grey boxplots) and S316L (dark grey boxplots) for two, four, six and eight weeks (two weeks is represented as M2 for MgCa0.8 and as S2 for S316L, four weeks as M4 for MgCa0.8 and S4 for S316L, etc.). The boxplots represent the amount of (A) B-lymphocytes and (B) T-lymphocytes. Non parametrical tests (Mann-Whitney-Tests) were calculated to determine differences between the implant materials. Stars represent significant difference, which was defined as p < 0.05.
after two months without any treatment [22]. In the present study, gas cavities could be detected at the implantation site of MgCa0.8 clinically and radiographically. In contrary to former studies [22] only short implantation times up to eight weeks were investigated and the gas bubbles did not dissolve within the observation period except for two cases. The histological examination of the periimplant soft tissue revealed the presence of tissue cavities as well, which were detected within the fibrous tissue layer of MgCa0.8 and did not form in S316L. Thus they might be associated with the accumulation of hydrogen. In consistence with the clinical results of the present study, the tissue cavities were histologically detected in all time groups of MgCa0.8. Nevertheless, the fibrous tissue around the cavities did not show enhanced cellular infiltrates compared to the entire fibrous layer. Also, no clinically adverse effects were detected due to the gas production. For that we could strengthen the priory reported thesis [22,30] that the formation of hydrogen cavities does not seem to affect the host adversely.

Edwards [31] described that repeated subcutaneous injections of air into the subcutaneous tissue of rats and mice resulted in the development of tissue cavities that were lined by a synovial membrane. The tissue cavities that developed during degradation of MgCa0.8 in the present study were lined by a layer of cells resembling synoviocytes. The interface of the periimplant fibrous tissue to MgCa0.8 and S316L was demarcated by the same kind of synoviocyte-like cell layer. Previous studies described synovial metaplasia, which was defined as a distinct membraneous proliferation of synoviocyte-like cells, at the tissue implant interface as a common response to biomedical implants [32,33]. The mechanisms of synovial metaplasia development remain uncertain, but mechanical factors have been suggested to play an important role [31,33]. It is likely that the development of synovial metaplasia in the present study was the result of repeated mechanical stress of the fibrous tissue by the implant and/or the gaseous accumulations.

The formation of fibrous tissue is a key part of the host response and is well known for conventional osteosynthesis implants [34-36]. Even well integrated implants might be surrounded by an intervening fibrous tissue layer [37]. In the present study a moderately developed fibrous layer formed at the soft tissue interface of MgCa0.8 and S316L. The cranial tibial muscle, which was in direct contact to the screw head, showed a physiological morphology. Within the fibrous layer adjacent to the implants low to moderate amounts of immuno-inflammatory cells and small necrotic spots were diffusely dispersed. To judge the severity of host reactions it is as important to analyse the dimension of the fibrotic layer as well as to evaluate the amount of occurring cells [38]: a highly developed layer with many inflammatory cells is a sign for encapsulation, whereas a moderate extent of fibrosis with few inflammatory cells, as detected in the present study, more likely displays a beginning integration of the implant [39]. Every implantation procedure involves injury of the surrounding tissue and activates inflammatory and wound healing responses [15]. In the normal wound healing process granulocytes occur at the implantation site initially, but at later stages macrophages, which participate in the decontamination of the wound through phagocytosis, are the predominant cells in the reactive tissue adjacent to an implant [40]. In an attempt to phagocytise the implant, which acts as a foreign body, giant cells are formed by the fusion of macrophages [40]. The additional appearance of lymphocytes is a sign for chronic
inflammation with humoral and cell mediated immune reactions [40]. In this context, the amount of cells and the time of their occurrence are crucial.

In the present study two major differences were noted for MgCa0.8 and S316L: the course of host reactions and the involvement of lymphocytes. While host reactions started at the same level in both implant materials and proceeded equally during the first six weeks, a contrary course was noted after eight weeks. Two weeks postoperatively macrophages and giant cells were the predominant cells within the periimplant fibrous tissue. Heterophil granulocytes and lymphocytes only occurred in minor quantities. It is likely that this early inflammatory reaction was induced by the surgical procedure, which always leads to tissue damage and mild inflammation [15]. Resolution of the early inflammatory response and wound healing was concluded, since all examined parameters decreased during the first six weeks. Only the amount of heterophil granulocytes slightly re-increased after six weeks in MgCa0.8. After eight weeks a re-increase of all examined parameters was noted in MgCa0.8, whereas the examined parameters further decreased in S316L. Thus, a significant difference was detected between MgCa0.8 and S316L for all examined parameters eight weeks after surgery except for the amount of T-lymphocytes. It is assumed that the reoccurrence of the inflammatory reactions in MgCa0.8 correlates with the degradation of the implant. Previous in vivo studies have shown that the degradation rate of a magnesium implant influences the degree of the inflammatory host response in bone tissue [23]. Gogolewski et al. [41] reported that the tissue reaction to degradable polymer implants correlates with the degradation rate as well. Therefore, it is likely that the intensified degradation of MgCa0.8 eight weeks postoperatively induced a restart of the inflammatory host reactions. Nevertheless, the examined parameters did not exceed the moderate level at any time. Thus no severe inflammatory reactions were observed in MgCa0.8. Contrary to that, intense granulomatous inflammatory soft tissue lesions were described with the use of absorbable polymer internal fixation devices [42-44].

In the present study it was further shown that a distinct difference existed between MgCa0.8 and S316L concerning the involvement of lymphocytes, which represent the mediators of humoral and cellular immunity. Humoral immunity reactions were suggested in MgCa0.8, as higher quantities of B-lymphocytes than T-lymphocytes occurred. B-lymphocytes are the origin of humoral immunity. Once they are activated B-lymphocytes differentiate into antibody producing plasma cells [45]. The predominant humoral immune response to MgCa0.8 indicates the presence of soluble antigens such as wear particles of the degrading implant. In contrast, T-lymphocytes occurred in higher quantities than B-lymphocytes at the implantation site of S316L. T-lymphocytes are the mediators of cellular immunity and hypersensitivity reactions. Cell mediated immunity is well known for surgical steel implants, as they contain sensitizers such as nickel and chromium, which can cause hypersensitivity reactions [46]. The involvement of cellular immunity with the use of another magnesium alloy implant has formerly been investigated. In consistence with the results of the present investigations, only minor quantities of T-lymphocytes were detected at the magnesium implant site [18]. Thus cell mediated immunity seems to be of less importance in MgCa0.8 alloy implants.
Conclusions
Both implant materials were tolerated well since only moderate inflammation, probably induced by the surgical procedure, was detected at the implantation site of MgCa0.8 and S316L. The early inflammatory host response resolved to a minimum during the first six weeks. Main differences between both implant materials were the course of inflammation after eight weeks and the involvement of the immune system. Predominating humoral immunity was observed in MgCa0.8, whereas cell mediated immunity was detected in S316L. However, only low amounts of immuno-inflammatory cells were detected in both groups. Hydrogen cavities that were produced by the degrading implant did not seem to affect the host adversely as they did not influence the extent of the host response. The intensity of the inflammatory host response to both materials did not differ significantly during the first weeks of implantation indicating comparable biocompatibility for MgCa0.8 and S316L. Eight weeks postoperatively inflammation increased in MgCa0.8, which might be caused by intensified degradation of MgCa0.8. Nevertheless, the examined parameters did not exceed the moderate level nor indicate rejection of the implant. Thus, the application of MgCa0.8 as biodegradable implant material seems conceivable. It is necessary to investigate the host reaction over a longer time period to observe the further development of inflammation. In addition, coated MgCa0.8 alloys that could reduce the degradation rate and the gas production should be investigated in further studies.
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