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ABSTRACT

Automated defect detection of fruits using computer vision and machine learning concepts has become a significant area of research. In this work, working prototype hardware model of conveyor with PC is designed, constructed and implemented to analyze the fruit quality. The prototype consists of low-cost microcontrollers, USB camera and MATLAB user interface. The automated classification model rejects or accepts the fruit based on the quality i.e., good (ripe, unripe) and bad. For the classification of fruit quality, machine learning algorithms such as Support Vector Machine, KNN, Random Forest classifier, Decision Tree classifier and ANN are used. The dataset used in this work consists of the following fruit varieties i.e., apple, orange, tomato, guava, lemon, and pomegranate. We trained, tested and compared the performance of these five machine learning algorithms to determine the best model for defect detection of fruits.

* Corresponding author: E-mail: dileep.sean7@gmail.com;
approaches and found out that the ANN based fruit detection performs better. The overall accuracy obtained by the ANN model for the dataset is 95.6%. In addition, the response time of the system is 50 seconds per fruit which is very low. Therefore, it will be very suitable and useful for small-scale industries and farmers to grow up their business.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The farming industry plays an essential part in the economy of several nations in the world. The developing interest for successful food creation and fast and safe stock to the market has encouraged the chance of utilizing different innovative advanced technology in this agriculture industry [1]. The innovations like the Internet of Things (IoT) based on strong cultivating have been found helpful in working on the nature of products of the vegetable yields [2]. Also, the utilization of better technologies by medium and large scale ventures has an opportunity to sort, bundle and transport the better-quality product to the market [3]. Even though most of the small and medium scale industries have lacked good technologies in automation, the two major reasons are the overall cost of the product and the lack of learning the new technologies Henceforth, there is a rising need to develop minimal expense and simple to-utilize solutions for these agriculture industries so that they can get more advantages with the help of new technologies.

The information and data in agriculture essentially begin from visual picture images, however processing visual information is very challenging. In this manner, advanced image processing techniques assist to analyze visual images. Image processing has different applications in the field of agriculture like distinguishing proof of land [4] assessment of plant nitrogen content, inspection of bug-infected regions [5], and discovery of plant diseases from shape, surface, and shading [6]. As data science is quickly developing, computer vision-based recognition and image processing are developed good techniques for security and quality examination of a few farming applications. Computer vision-based system captures the images through an external camera and analyzes through the computer.

In the past, multiple efforts have been made to automate the fruits’ classification problem based on their outer appearance or freshness by employing computer vision and machine learning techniques [7]. However, in most of these studies, grading has been done using the traditional approach of feature extraction and applying machine learning techniques. For example, in [8] the apples have been classified by using colour, texture, and shape feature descriptors, namely Global Colour Histogram, Colour Coherence Vector (CCV), Local Binary Patterns (LBP), Complete Local Binary Patterns (CLBP), and Zernike Moments (ZM). The extracted features were used individually as well as in combination to train and test the machine learning techniques with the highest accuracy of 95.9% using a combination of CCV, CLBP, and ZM. Further, in [9] both unsupervised and supervised learning algorithms have been used for apples’ grading. Initially, K-means clustering was used for the segmentation of defected apples, and in the next stage statistical, textural, and geometric features were extracted from the refined defected regions. These features were used to train, test, and compare the performance of three machine learning techniques namely Support Vector Machine (SVM), MLP, and K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN). The results of this study showed the highest grading results using an SVM classifier with recognition rates of 92.5% for healthy and defected categories and 89.2% for three quality categories (in terms of ranks). In recent research on measuring the ripeness quality on bananas, an artificial neural network (ANN) based framework has been proposed using different features like colour, development of brown spots, and Tamura statistical texture [10]. The performance of the ANN model was compared with various other techniques including SVM, naive Bayes, KNN, decision tree, and discriminant analysis. The findings showed that the proposed system has the highest overall recognition rate 97.75%. Similar approaches have been followed for determining the ripeness and maturity level of other fruits including blueberry [11], oil palm fruit [12], and oranges [13]. Though the performance of the machine learning models in these studies is quite good these models are mainly based on the handcrafted feature extraction methods which are mostly time-consuming and dependent on the type of images (fruits) used for training and
testing. Moreover, these models have been trained and tested only for small datasets which increases the risk of biased predictions.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 The Automatic Fruit Inspection Prototype

The main aim of the proposed approach is to provide an automated classification system for different fruits and evaluations by classifying them based on defect and colour. The proposed system is capable of classifying fruits. The dataset used for this study is based on real sample images of ‘fruit varieties, which were collected from local fields. A working prototype hardware model of conveyor is to be designed and implemented in this research work. Computer vision techniques and machine learning algorithms were used for fruit inspection and grading. A Quantum QHM495 LM USB web camera with a resolution of 25 megapixels with 30 frames per second is used to prepare a dataset using six different fruits like Lemon, Apple, Guava, Orange, Pomegranate, and Tomato. The images of all these fruits are taken in ripe and unripe categories as well as good and rotten fruit categories. Once the preparation of the dataset for all the three different fruits is completed, the fruit is subjected to testing into a conveyor system for automatic detection and classification.

The fruit to be tested is placed on the circular slab and the USB camera captures images at 30fps and save it in jpeg format. This image is sent to PC for classification. For image feature extraction single-Level 2-D Discrete Wavelet Transform is used and five different Machine Learning algorithms are selected namely SVM [14-16], KNN [17,18], ANN [19-21], Random Forest classifier, and Decision Tree classifier for classification. Since the machine learning algorithms are separately trained on the training dataset, now it is tested on the test data. The testing results are evaluated using the accuracy of classification. After experimentation it is found out that among the five classification ANN is found out to give better accuracy. The classified output is sent from PC to Arduino microcontroller via serial port. The Arduino Microcontroller sends this digital output to PIC Microcontroller for displaying the classified output on LCD. The conveyor system operates using five gear motors for different operations.

The PIC microcontroller operates these motors via the attached relay unit. The two motors are used for tilting and rotating the circular slab. The rotating operation of the circular slab is to take pictures of the fruit placed on the slab. The tilting operation of the circular slab is to drop the fruit into the conveyor. The other two motors drive the conveyor belt and the fifth motor for the door opening and closing mechanism. A small door mechanism is fixed on the conveyor system. This door opens when the detected fruit is bad and rejects the fruit from the conveyor. This door closes when the detected fruit is good or ripe/unripe and travels along the conveyor.

2.2 Hardware Model

For real-time testing of the model, a prototype system was developed. The hardware of the prototype system consists of two microcontrollers [22] units Arduino (Atmega328 version R2) and PIC (PIC 16F877A) modules. The classified output is sent from PC to Arduino microcontroller via serial port. The Arduino Microcontroller sends this digital output to PIC Microcontroller for displaying the classified output on LCD. The conveyor system operates using five gear motors for different operations. The PIC microcontroller operates these motors via the attached relay unit. The two motors are used for tilting and rotating the circular slab. The rotating operation of the circular slab is to take pictures of the fruit placed on the slab. The tilting operation of the circular slab is to drop the fruit into the conveyor. Hardware Model Diagram is shown in the Fig. 1.

The other two motors drive the conveyor [23,24] belt and the fifth motor for the door opening and closing mechanism. A small door mechanism is fixed on the conveyor system. This door opens when the detected fruit is bad or unripe and rejects the fruit from the conveyor. This door closes when the detected fruit is good or ripe and travel along the conveyor.

2.2.1 Image acquisition

An USB camera is connected to the system to capture the fruit which is programmed in MATLAB. For this, a Quantum QHM495LM web camera which is a high-resolution webcam delivers great video quality, and imaging quality is selected. The QHM PC Camera (QHM 495 LM) features 6 white lights and has an image resolution of 25 megapixels (Fig. 2). The camera operates on CMOS sensors and has a potentiometer that switches on the lights when
operating in the dark. It supports Automatic Whiteness Balance that precisely captures true colours even in mixed lighting conditions. The camera is set to an anti-flicker of either 50 Hz or 60 Hz to get great resolutions while using it outdoors. This webcam connects to PCs and laptops via a high-speed USB 2.0 interface.

2.3 Conveyer Hardware Setup

The hardware section consists of a belt [25] conveyor used for the classification of fruits and a and rotating circular slab where the fruit is placed and delivered to the belt conveyor. A USB camera is used for taking images. This section also contains an open-close gate for directing the fruits in the specific collecting place based on the classification.

Component parts that are used in designing the conveyer are

- Belt
- Gear Motor
- Pulley

A prototype low-cost belt conveyor was designed with dimensions 1 m length and 0.12 m width which could be able to carry a fruit of 250 gm. For this, a Nylon belt was selected. Nylon was an ideal replacement for cotton and was the first polyamide synthetic fiber to be utilized in belting construction which is shown in Fig. 3. However, it has several positive attributes such as

1. Brilliant fatigue, impact, and mildew resistance
2. Good resistance to abrasion
3. Good resistance to impact fatigue and strength.

Fig. 1. Hardware Model Diagram

Fig. 2. Web Camera (QHM 495 LM)
To develop a lab model conveyor prototype where a 1M length 3ply nylon belt material would be selected with a width of 4.5 inches where small and medium-size fruits can be handled for classification. The proposed conveyor system could be built with the gear motors. Rotating slab is shown in Fig. 4.

A total of five gear motors is used in the construction of the conveyor system [26]. Two gear motors are used to rotate the pulleys. One pulley is attached to the open/close gate. Two pulleys are used for rotating circular disc for rotating and tilting action. 12 Volts geared motors are used in developing the system. The 12Volts geared motors are generally simple DC motors with a gearbox attached to them. This can be used in all-terrain robots and a variety of robotic applications. These motors have a 3 mm threaded drill hole in the middle of the shaft thus making it simple to connect it to the wheels or any other mechanical assembly. 12V DC geared motors are widely used for robotics applications. Pulley Wheel is mostly used which is easy to mount, durable and cheap. These wheels have a 6mm hole for a shaft with the screw for fitting making it very easy to mount on motors. This has a smooth surface and is lightweight. Two gear motors are connected to the pulley wheels which are situated at the ends to move the belt. To develop the proposed system pulley wheels of 10 cm in diameter and 4 cm width with a 6mm shaft bore is required to move a belt that can convey fruits of 250gm.

2.3.1 Microcontrollers specifications

This section is the core of the proposed system where it acts as a bridge between the hardware section where classification is carried on a belt conveyor and the software section where the software that works for the classification of fruits.
The actuating and control system works when the relay turns ON after receiving signals from microcontroller. The actuating system consists of Arduino Uno Microcontroller [27], PIC Microcontroller and Relay units, LCD modules, Potentiometer, Transformer, Bridge Rectifier, Electrolytic capacitors, Regulator. Here, in addition to the PIC microcontroller where motors and displays are connected, an Arduino microcontroller is used because of limited interface pins.

The Arduino Uno is a microcontroller board based on the ATmega328. It has 14 digital input/output pins (of which 6 can be used as PWM outputs), 6 analog inputs, a 16 MHz ceramic resonator, a USB connection, a power jack, an ICSP header, and a reset button. The Uno differs from all preceding boards in that it does not use the FTDI USB-to-serial driver chip. Instead, it features the Atmega16U2 (Atmega8U2 up to version R2) programmed as a USB-to-serial converter.

The PIC microcontroller is interfaced between UNO and relay units. A microcontroller (also MCU or µC) is a functional computer system-on-a-chip. It’s getting the input from the computer and Rotate both the pulley motors anti-clockwise direction. Circuit diagram is shown in the Fig. 5.
2.3.2 Database creation

A hundred fruits each of mature, immature, and defected were procured from the local market yard (mandis). Where fruits were manually graded by the farmers as matured, immature, and defective. To minimize the noise in the image of fruits could be cleaned from the dust and foreign material before capturing the images. Then data base can be prepared to place each fruit on the circular slab which is an integral part of the conveyor [28,29] belt images will be captured by USB Camera [27]. Creating two separate folders one for matured and the other for defected fruits and then segregating those photos in the respective folders and image capturing is shown in Fig. 6. For creating the dataset, the captured images from the camera are cropped to 680x420 for all the images and the saved images are renamed in the corresponding folders for further processing.

2.3.3 Procedure for creating the dataset

Step-1: Take a single fruit and place it on a circular slab.
Step-2: The circular slab automatically rotates, and images were captured via the USB camera.
Step-3: For each fruit, it takes 10 images from different angles.
Step-4: As the USB camera is connected to the PC, images need to be saved in the respective good fruit and Bad fruit folders.
Step-5: The same process is repeated for all the images of good and bad fruits in each fruit category.

2.4 Machine Learning Classification

After the dataset collection, the five machine learning models have been taken for the classification of fruits based on their quality like good or bad. Here good refers to both the ripe and unripe fruits. It is characterized by the changes in the color, and evenness. Bad quality of fruits refer to rotten and decayed fruits characterized by abrupt changes in the color, evenness and shape. All the models are supervised so the training must be done before the classification and testing is performed to determine the performance of the system. Support vector machine, K-nearest neighbour, Decision tree, Random Forest, and finally Artificial neural network these are five machine learning models. All the models are to be tested for the different fruits with different classification strategies.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section discusses the distribution of different fruit quality classes, Training, and the performance of the system. Totally six different fruits quality has been analysed. All the fruits have the training data of more than 1000 images and out of which 600 images are good quality images and 400 are taken as bad quality images. The testing dataset consists of 200 images each in each fruit category. Table 1 shows the different number of fruits and the training testing data distribution. Fig. 7 shows the data distribution in terms of quality classification. The system accuracy was also analysed. Accuracy is defined as the number of correct predictions made from the total number of predictions. It is expressed in eqn (1).

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{(T_p + T_n)}{(T_p + T_n + F_p + F_n)}
\]

(1)

Where, Tp, Tn, Fp and Fn are given as follows.

- **True positive (Tp)** = Sum of number of Positive sample identified as Positive
- **False-positive (Fp)** = Sum of number of Negative samples is identified as Negative
- **True negative (Tn)** = Sum of number of Negative samples identified as Positive
- **False-negative (Fn)** = Sum of number of Positive samples identified as Negative

**Table 1. Dataset distribution**

| Sl.NO | Fruit / Vegetable | Training(database images) | Testing (no of fruits) |
|-------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| 1     | Lemon            | 2581                     | 100                    |
| 2     | Oranges          | 2969                     | 100                    |
| 3     | Tomato           | 1652                     | 100                    |
| 4     | Guava            | 3932                     | 100                    |
| 5     | Pomegranate      | 1455                     | 100                    |
| 6     | Apple            | 10978                    | 100                    |
In terms of response time, the system is low. The compact connections make the system perform faster. The rotation time of the fruit is one second which means the controller quickly sends the signal to the motor to capture the input image. It is displayed in Table 2.

Regarding the cost of the system no additional specific processor is needed. The general purpose microcontroller Arduino and PIC are needed which is a very low cost. The graphical user interference of the system is displayed here in Fig. 8. It is designed using the MATLAB 2019 software.

![Table 2. Response time of the system](image)

| Sl. no | Scenario   | Time (sec) |
|-------|------------|------------|
| 1     | Rotation   | 42         |
| 2     | Tilting    | 3          |
| 3     | Open/close | 5          |
| 4     | Total      | 50         |

Fig. 9 shows the initial screen of the developed system. Here the screen is used to select the different fruits and can check the fruit status Good/Rotten or Mature/Immature.
Table 3 shows the comparison of different hardware-based systems for image acquisition for fruit classification. Some of the above systems used the light-emitting diode and different types of cameras. The main advantage of our proposed hardware system is the less processing time and good performance in low-resolution images as well. The developed system analyses the quality of the fruit in 50 seconds which is lower compared to other hardware models. So automatically the cost is low no need for any power full expensive camera for image acquisition. So, any small-scale industry can be used the system to analyse the quality of the different fruits even a small farmer can have this portable technology.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Developed a working prototype hardware model of conveyor with PC has been developed and implemented to analyse the fruit quality. The fruit to be inspected is placed on the circular slab and the USB camera captures images and send them to the PC for classification. The classified output after inspection is sent from PC to Arduino microcontroller via serial port. The Arduino Microcontroller sends this digital output to PIC Microcontroller for displaying the classified output on LCD. A classifier gate mechanism is fixed on the conveyor system. This door opens when the detected fruit is bad i.e., rotten or decayed rejects the fruit from the conveyor. This door closes when the detected fruit is good i.e. ripe/unripe and without decay which then travels along the conveyor. Five different machine learning algorithms are used for inspecting the fruit quality whether it is good or bad as well as ripe or unripe. The response time of the system is 5 seconds which is minimal compared to other systems. Regarding the cost of prototype is low as general-purpose microcontrollers are used. So, it will be very suitable and useful for small-scale industries and farmers to grow up their businesses.
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