Process Optimization for Formulating *Trigonella foenum-graecum* and *Gymnema sylvestre* Added Vegetable Cereal Mix Using Response Surface Methodology

**Abstract**
*Trigonella foenum-graecum* seeds and *Gymnema sylvestre* leaves are health promoting food ingredients which are particularly beneficial for individuals suffering from diabetes mellitus. In order to promote their incorporation in diet, vegetable cereal mix was formulated as a dried food product with extended shelf life, which could be easily reconstituted for consumption. Response surface methodology and central composite design were used in optimization of vegetable cereal mix. The objective was to devise the best combination of process variables and obtain optimal recipe having minimum fat, maximum fiber, in-range carbohydrate and maximum overall acceptability. Three quantitative controllable factors were selected for the experimental design: amount of *Trigonella foenum-graecum*, soaking time of *Trigonella foenum-graecum* and amount of *Gymnema sylvestre* as process parameters. Response variables were fat, fiber, carbohydrate and overall acceptability. Regression models and response surface plots were generated and adequacy was tested with regression coefficients and the lack of fit tests. Sensory, physico-chemical and microbiological analysis were undertaken for optimized recipe. The optimum recipe had 8.0 g *Trigonella foenum-graecum* with 8.0 hours soaking time and 5.0 g *Gymnema sylvestre* with 0.48 g fat, 3.01 g crude fiber, 29.84 g carbohydrate and 89.17 overall acceptability score. Results indicated that optimized product obtained good scores for all sensory attributes and had good shelf life. This vegetable cereal mix was nutritionally adequate and had desirable sensory quality.

**Keywords:** Gymnema sylvestre; Process optimization; Response surface methodology; *Trigonella foenum-graecum*; Vegetable cereal mix

**Abbreviations**
ANOVA: Analysis of Variance; CCD: Central Composite Design; CV: Coefficient of Variation; R²: Regression Coefficient; RSM: Response Surface Methodology

**Introduction**
*Trigonella foenum-graecum* (fenugreek seeds) and *Gymnema sylvestre* (gurmer leaves) have some significant health benefits [1-3]. *Trigonella foenum-graecum* seeds play important role in antidiabetic activity. They have high mucilaginous fiber content, alkaloids (i.e., trigonelline, gentianine, capaine), sapogenins (yamogenin, gitogenin, neotigogens), high protein and micronutrient content [4,5]. These seeds contain a unique free amino acid 4-hydroxy isoleucine (4-OH-lle) that has been characterized as one of the active ingredients for blood glucose control [6]. They are used to prepare extracts or powder for different medicinal uses [7] due to their hypoglycemic [8], hypcholesterolemic [9,10], gastro-protective, anti-inflammatory [11,12], anti-ulcer [13] and anti-carcinogenic [14] properties. They enhance insulin release in body [15]. Several experimental studies have confirmed the antidiabetic potential of *Trigonella foenum-graecum* [16-18]. Twenty five g of *Trigonella foenum-graecum* seeds powder (divided into two equal doses) have been used to treat diabetes mellitus [19-22]. Flour fortified with 8-10% *Trigonella foenum-graecum* has been used in formulation of different bakery products, i.e., bread, cakes, muffins and pizza with acceptable sensory properties [23]. These seeds are relatively safe [24] and have no genotoxicity [6]. *Gymnema sylvestre* is an Indian herb used in Ayurvedic treatment (the traditional Hindu system of medicine) due to its pharmacological properties, i.e., hypoglycemic, hypcholesterolemic, hypotensive, antiobesity, antimicrobial, free radical scavenging and anti-inflammatory [25,26]. It is promoted as a ‘sugar blocker’ in US markets [27,28]. It is a potential natural alternative to chemical means of blood sugar regulation [29]. It enhances the endogenous insulin production by increasing the number of β-cells in pancreas [30-32]. Leaves of *Gymnema sylvestre* contain glycosides, gymnemic acid, saponins, phytoecderns, phenols, flavonoids, tannins and quercitol [33,34]. Dosage of *Gymnema sylvestre* used in Ayurvedic medicine varies between 6 to 60 g of dry or powdered leaf per day [35-37]. *Trigonella foenum-graecum* are bitter in taste, thus, their incorporation in high quantity can compromise overall acceptability of formulated food product. Therefore, techniques are required to produce optimum solutions for formulation of nutritionally adequate and organoleptically acceptable food.
product. One such technique is process optimization which can be used for development of optimum foods with enhanced nutritional qualities. Response surface methodology (RSM) has been used to develop and optimize biochemical and biotechnological processes related to food system [38]. In this study, RSM has been used for process optimization because it reduces the number of experiments needed to evaluate multiple parameters and their interactions, therefore, it is less time consuming [39] and provides sufficient information for statistically valid results [40].

Selection of appropriate delivery vehicle is important to get the benefits of *Trigonella foenum-graecum* seeds and *Gymnema sylvestre* leaves in diet. Staple foods are widely used as delivery vehicle for this purpose. Several dry mixes based on staple foods (rice and wheat) have been used in ready to reconstitute forms, with enhanced shelf life [41-44]. Wheat is used as a staple cereal in India, hence, it was used as a delivery vehicle for the formulation of the mix.

*Trigonella foenum-graecum* seeds have been used in development of therapeutic diabetic foods by various researchers (upma mix, enteral feed) [43,44] but its combination with other therapeutic ingredients has not yet been tried out. There is a need to come up with more food products and processes to enable incorporation of these health promoting ingredients without compromising the taste and acceptability of food item. In modern era, where life is fast paced, individuals prefer instant foods which are convenient, simple to prepare and have enhanced shelf life [45]. Therefore, in present study an attempt was made to develop an instant food- vegetable cereal mix incorporating *Trigonella foenum-graecum* and *Gymnema sylvestre* and to investigate the optimal combination to yield maximum fiber and high acceptability using central composite rotatable design of RSM.

### Materials and Methods

This study was conducted in Banasthali University (Banasthali Vidyapith), Rajasthan, India in between July, 2014 to April 2015. *Trigonella foenum-graecum* seeds, defatted soy flour and *Gymnema sylvestre* leaves powder were obtained from local market of Sitapur (Uttar Pradesh) while tomato and semolina were procured from the local market of Banasthali University and used in the formulation of vegetable cereal mix. The ingredients used in reconstitution, plain buttermilk, table salt and cumin seeds of reputed brands were also procured from local market of Banasthali University.

### Formulation of vegetable cereal mix

Vegetable cereal mix was prepared by adding *Trigonella foenum-graecum* powder, *Gymnema sylvestre* powder, tomato powder, defatted soy flour and semolina. *Trigonella foenum-graecum* seeds were taken, cleaned and steeped in water (at room temperature) for eight hours, dried and grind while dried *Gymnema sylvestre* leaves powder was used without any further processing. Fresh tomatoes were washed thoroughly, sliced thinly and blanched (hot water) for 2 minutes. They were dried in hot air oven at 60°C and were ground to prepare tomatopowder. Cumin powder was prepared by roasting and grinding of cumin seeds. Vegetable cereal mix was prepared by incorporating *Trigonella foenum-graecum* seed powder (5 g), *Gymnema sylvestre* powder (5 g), tomato powder (10 g), semolina (10 g) and defatted soy flour (20 g). All ingredients were roasted separately on low-medium flame and then they were mixed proportionally. Prepared mix was stored in auto sealed sachets and put into air tight container.

Weighed quantity of 25 g vegetable cereal mix was reconstituted by adding 100 ml buttermilk (Saras, plain buttermilk) in thick drink for sensory analysis. A pinch of table salt and roasted cumin powder was added to it. Total 50 g product was formulated which was appropriate for 2 servings.

### Design of experiments

The process optimization of developed product was carried out using response surface methodology (RSM). RSM is a collection of statistical and mathematical techniques useful for developing, improving, and optimizing process [46]. For this purpose a central composite rotatable design (CCRD) with three process variables at five levels was used to determine the optimal conditions in the formulation of vegetable cereal mix (Table 1), which resulted in 20 experimental runs when replicated six times at the center point (0) combination. Central composite design consists of three points namely factorial points, centre points and star points that allows estimation of curvature. The distance between the centre of the design space to factorial point is ±1 unit to each process variable and the distance from the centre of design space to a star point is ±α [47]. On the basis of one- at-a-time preliminary experiments, the critical factors (independent variables), amount of *Trigonella foenum-graecum*, soaking time (hours) and amount of *Gymnema sylvestre* were chosen for the optimization. According to CCRD design, the total number of experiments is \( (2)^3 + 2n + k \), where \( n \) represents the number of variables. Here the number of variable is three, therefore, total number of experiments for each critical factor will be equal to 20. In each experiment the five different levels were coded as \(-a\), \(-1\), \(0\), \(1\) and \(a\); where \( a=2^{1/4}\) or \(2^{1/4}=1.682\). Hence, the process variables coded were \(-1.682\) (lowest), \(0\) (middle) and \(1.682\) (highest). The effects of these critical factors were seen on response variables-fat, fiber, carbohydrate and overall acceptability. Twenty sample combinations were generated by ‘Design-Expert software (9.0)’ (Statease Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) using the design matrix and variable combinations in experimental runs as presented in table 2. Each sample combination was prepared in food laboratory of Department of Food Science and Nutrition, Banasthali University.

Values for response variables fat and fiber were obtained through laboratory analysis. Carbohydrate content was calculated using Nutritive Value of Indian Foods [48]. Based upon the liking for each combination, semi trained panel members were asked to give score between 1 to 100 for the overall acceptability. These values were entered into the software data sheet. Experiments were performed in random order.

### Data analysis and optimization

The data collected from the experiments conducted on the various combinations were then subjected to a second order polynomial regression analysis using least square regression method to observe the significant effect \((p<0.05)\) of the three independent variables on the four response variables. The behavior of the system can be described by the following second order polynomial equation:

\[
Y = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3X_3 + b_{11}X_1^2 + b_{22}X_2^2 + b_{33}X_3^2 + b_{12}X_1X_2 + b_{13}X_1X_3 + b_{23}X_2X_3
\]
Table 1: Levels of process factors to optimize vegetable cereal mix.

| Name                     | Units | -1 level | +1 level | - alpha | + alpha |
|--------------------------|-------|----------|----------|---------|---------|
| Trigonella foenum-graecum | g     | 3.0      | 8.0      | 1.29552 | 9.70448 |
| Soaking time             | hours | 10.0     | 12.0     | 6.63641 | 13.36360 |
| Gymnema sylvestre        | g     | 3.0      | 5.0      | 2.31821 | 5.68179 |

Table 2: Experimental runs and actual values of factors / responses used in central composite rotatable design.

| S. No. | Trigonella foenum-graecum (g) | Soaking time (hrs) | Gymnema sylvestre (g) | Fat (g) | Fiber (g) | Carbohydrate (g) | Overall acceptability |
|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------|-----------|------------------|-----------------------|
| 1      | 3.0                           | 8.0               | 5.0                   | 1.00    | 1.60      | 30.31            | 85.60                 |
| 2      | 8.0                           | 8.0               | 3.0                   | 1.20    | 2.00      | 27.84            | 86.70                 |
| 3      | 5.50                          | 10.0              | 5.68                  | 0.21    | 2.60      | 29.72            | 86.60                 |
| 4      | 3.0                           | 12.0              | 5.0                   | 0.24    | 1.60      | 29.58            | 86.00                 |
| 5      | 5.50                          | 10.0              | 4.0                   | 0.14    | 2.00      | 28.48            | 87.30                 |
| 6      | 5.50                          | 10.0              | 4.0                   | 0.14    | 2.00      | 28.48            | 89.10                 |
| 7      | 5.50                          | 10.0              | 4.0                   | 0.14    | 2.00      | 28.48            | 87.30                 |
| 8      | 3.0                           | 8.0               | 3.0                   | 1.80    | 1.60      | 27.39            | 88.20                 |
| 9      | 5.50                          | 10.0              | 4.0                   | 0.14    | 2.00      | 28.48            | 87.80                 |
| 10     | 5.50                          | 6.64              | 4.0                   | 0.14    | 2.00      | 28.48            | 87.10                 |
| 11     | 5.50                          | 10.0              | 4.0                   | 0.14    | 2.00      | 28.48            | 89.00                 |
| 12     | 8.0                           | 12.0              | 3.0                   | 0.40    | 1.00      | 27.84            | 88.00                 |
| 13     | 8.0                           | 10.0              | 4.0                   | 0.50    | 2.00      | 29.05            | 88.60                 |
| 14     | 9.70                          | 12.0              | 3.0                   | 1.00    | 1.64      | 27.39            | 88.60                 |
| 15     | 3.0                           | 10.0              | 5.0                   | 0.14    | 2.00      | 28.48            | 88.80                 |
| 16     | 5.50                          | 8.0               | 5.0                   | 1.00    | 3.00      | 29.81            | 89.60                 |
| 17     | 8.0                           | 12.0              | 5.0                   | 1.20    | 3.00      | 29.91            | 86.70                 |
| 18     | 1.30                          | 10.0              | 4.0                   | 0.10    | 1.80      | 27.81            | 88.80                 |
| 19     | 5.50                          | 10.0              | 2.32                  | 0.05    | 1.60      | 26.77            | 88.73                 |
| 20     | 5.50                          | 13.0              | 4.0                   | 0.50    | 1.60      | 28.48            | 86.86                 |

\[ Y = \beta_0 + \sum \beta_i x_i + \sum \beta_{ij} x_i^2 + \sum \sum \beta_{ijk} x_i x_j x_k \quad \text{--- (eq 1)} \]

where \( Y \) is the predicted response, \( \beta_0 \) is the interception coefficients, \( \beta_i \) is the linear term, \( \beta_{ij} \) is the quadratic term, \( \beta_{ijk} \) is the interaction term and \( x_i \) and \( x_j \) represent the coded levels of independent variables. ANOVA of the regression equation allowed the calculation of goodness of fit and the significance of the linear, quadratic and interaction effects. The independent variables for ANOVA were Trigonella foenum-graecum, soaking time (hours) and Gymnema sylvestre while dependent variables were fat, fiber, carbohydrate and overall acceptability. The validity of the models obtained was evaluated as a function of their respective coefficients of determination (R\(^2\)) as well as by an analysis of the lack of fit. A good model must be significant and lack of fit must be insignificant. The predicted R\(^2\) value should be in reasonable agreement with adjusted R\(^2\) [49]. R\(^2\) can be defined as the ratio of explained variation which was measure of the degree of fit [50]. The coefficient of variation (CV) describes the extent to which the data are dispersed [38]. The response surfaces and contour plots were generated for different interactions of any two independent variables while holding the value of third variable at the midpoint. These contour plots could provide accurate geometrical representation and provide accurate useful information about the behavior of the system within the experimental design.

Citation: Gupta K, Srivastava S, Jain P, Jain M (2016) Process Optimization for Formulating Trigonella foenum-graecum and Gymnema sylvestre Added Vegetable Cereal Mix Using Response Surface Methodology. J Nutr Health Food Eng 4(3): 00129. DOI: 10.15406/jnhfe.2016.04.00129
The optimization of the process was aimed at finding the levels of independent variables that would give the fat, fiber, carbohydrate and overall acceptability in accordance to the goals set. Numerical optimization technique of the Design-Expert software (9.0) (Statease Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) was used for simultaneous optimization of the multiple responses. The desired goals for each factor and responses were chosen (Table 3). Certain optimal solutions were generated by the software. An optimal solution having highest desirability was selected as the optimized recipe. This optimized recipe was prepared in food laboratory and its sensory, physico-chemical and microbiological analysis were undertaken. Results of sensory and microbial analyses were expressed in mean±SD.

| Factors/ responses | Goal       | Lower limit | Upper limit | Lower weight | Upper weight | Importance |
|--------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| Trigonella foenum-graecum (g) | In range  | 3.00        | 8.00        | 1.00         | 1.00         | 3.00       |
| Soaking time (hours) | In range  | 8.00        | 12.00       | 1.00         | 1.00         | 3.00       |
| Gymnema sylvestre (g) | In range  | 3.00        | 5.00        | 1.00         | 1.00         | 3.00       |
| Fat (g)            | Minimize   | 0.02        | 1.00        | 1.00         | 1.00         | 3.00       |
| Fiber (g)          | Maximize   | 1.00        | 3.50        | 1.00         | 1.00         | 3.00       |
| Carbohydrate (g)   | In range  | 26.77       | 30.31       | 1.00         | 1.00         | 3.00       |
| Overall acceptability | Maximize | 86.00       | 90.00       | 1.00         | 1.00         | 3.00       |

**Sensory analysis**

A 15 member semi trained panel was selected through triangle test to carry out the sensory analysis [51]. Overall acceptability of reconstituted vegetable cereal mix assessed by using nine point hedonic test. In this test, the panelists were asked to measure the degree of pleasurable and unpleasurable experience of food product on a nine point hedonic test from “like extremely” to “dislike extremely”. The former carried a score of 9 while latter was scored as 1. Organoleptic characteristics of the reconstituted products were assessed using 5 point composite rating scale. In this test, the panelists were asked to measure the specific characteristics (appearance, color, taste, consistency and after taste) of a recipe which were rated separately. Each of these attributes was rated on 5 point scale with 5 considered as “excellent” and 1 considered as “poor”. Analysis was done at 0 day and 30 days of storage. A 100 point scale was used to assess the overall acceptability (as a response variable of process optimization) of developed vegetable cereal mixes. This scale was used to fit the model for overall acceptability in process optimization.

**Physico-Chemical analysis**

Physico-chemical analysis of only the optimized recipe was undertaken. Moisture and ash were estimated by standard AOAC procedures. Semiautomatic instrumentation technique was used for analysis of protein and fat. Protein was estimated using microkjeldal method using Kel Plus (model no. KES06L, manufactured by Pelican, India). Fat was determined by the soxhlet method using Socs Plus (model no. SCS6, manufactured by Pelican, India). Carbohydrate was computed by substraction method. Crude fiber was estimated using acid alkali digestion method [52]. Iron was estimated using Wong’s method. Vitamin C and calcium were determined by titrimetric method [53]. Energy was calculated using values given in Nutritive value of Indian Foods [48]. Glycemic load (GL) of optimized food product was calculated by multiplying the amount of carbohydrate of each food product by its glycemic index value (GI) [54].

\[
\text{Glycemic load} = \frac{\text{Glycemic index of food ingredients} \times \text{Carbohydrate content of food}}{100}
\]

**Microbiological Analysis**

Total microbial load of the optimized vegetable cereal mix was determined using the standard pour plate method. The samples (1 g) were serially diluted in saline solution (0.9%) and appropriate dilutions (1 ml) were poured on to the respective petri plates. Plate count agar (SM091, Himedia Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India) was used for determination of total plate count [55]. MacConkey agar (SM081, Himedia Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India) and sabouraud dextrose agar (SM063D, Himedia Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India) were used for determination of coliform count and yeast and mold counts respectively [56,57]. Plates were incubated (i) at 30°C for 72 hours for total plate count, (ii) at 35°C for 24 hours for coliform count and (iii) at 30°C for 3-5 days for yeast and mold count. Colonies formed on agar were counted and expressed as CFU/ml. The replicates were made for each sample.

\[
\frac{\text{CFU/ml}}{\text{Volume (ml) of culture on plate}} = \frac{\text{Number of colonies formed} \times \text{Dilution factor of sample}}{\text{Volume (ml) of culture on plate}}
\]

\[
\text{CFU} = \text{Colonies forming unit}
\]

All three microbial analyses were undertaken at 0 day and 30 day storage of vegetable cereal mix.
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Results and Discussion

Optimization of parameters for ANOVA

Fit summary statistics was used to choose a suitable model for a response comparing the models based on p-values. A model is considered significant, if the p value is less than 0.05. ANOVA is an important tool for the evaluation of significance and goodness of fit of regression model and significance of individual model coefficients [58]. The results of ANOVA for the effect of process variables on fat content indicated that, there was no p value or F value for the model. Thus, the model had neither significant nor non significant effect on the response, fat. Results of effect of process variables on fiber indicated that, the model [two factor interaction design (2FI)] had a significant effect (p<0.05) on the response, fiber. Results of the effect of process variables on carbohydrate depicted that, the model had significant effect (p<0.05) on the response, carbohydrate. Results of the effect of process variables on overall acceptability illustrated that the model (2FI) had non-significant effect (p>0.05) on this response variable. Lack of fit was non-significant (p>0.05), indicating that model fit the data well.

Table 4: Regression coefficients of predicted quadratic polynomial models of vegetable cereal mix.

| Coefficient | Fat (Mean) | Fiber (Mean) | Carbohydrate (Mean) | Overall Acceptability (Mean) |
|-------------|------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Intercept   | 0.490      | 1.950       | 28.560              | 87.770                      |
| Linear      |            |             |                     |                             |
| A: Trigonella foenum-graecum | 0.210** | 0.210* | 0.170** |
| B: Soaking time | -0.120* | -0.040** | -0.080** |
| C: Gymnema sylvestre | 0.340** | 1.030** | -0.530* |
| Cross product |            |             |                     |                             |
| AB          | -0.130**   |             | -0.300**            |                             |
| AC          | 0.380**    |             | 0.850*              |                             |
| BC          | 0.120**    |             | -0.520*             |                             |
| R²          | 0.000      | 0.880       | 0.910               | 0.520                       |
| Adjusted R² | 0.000      | 0.830       | 0.890               | 0.310                       |
| CV%         | 106.590    | 9.950       | 1.060               | 1.070                       |

* Significant at 5% level of significance
** Significant at 1% level of significance
NS Non significant at 5% level of significance

Effect of process condition for fat

The observations for fat with different combination of process variables are presented in Table 2. The linear and cross product terms of Trigonella foenum-graecum, soaking time and Gymnema sylvestre did not have any effect on the fat content of the vegetable cereal mix, hence, were removed from the model. In this case, mean value was the better predictor than any model, therefore, mean value of fat 0.48500 was used.

Effect of process conditions for fiber

The observations for fiber with different combination of process variables are presented in Table 2. The regression equation describing the effect of the process variable on fiber in vegetable cereal mix in terms of actual level of variables is given as:

\[
\text{Fiber} = 5.03764 - 0.26317 \times \text{Trigonella foenum-graecum} - 0.15678 \times \text{Soaking time} - 1.09611 \times \text{Gymnema sylvestre} - 0.026000 \\
- 0.15200 \times \text{Trigonella foenum-graecum} \times \text{Soaking time} + 0.60000 \times \text{Soaking time} \times \text{Gymnema sylvestre}
\]
The response surface (Figure 1) developed shows linear curves with Trigonella foenum-graecum and soaking time. It was observed that linear term of Trigonella foenum-graecum (p=0.00) and cross product term of Trigonella foenum-graecum with Gymnema sylvestre (p<0.00) have significant influence on the fiber content of vegetable cereal mixes. In middle of each graph, centre points (6) are shown with red colour. The response plot (Figure 2) indicated that the fiber content of vegetable cereal mixes increased with decreasing amount of Gymnema sylvestre and increasing amount of Trigonella foenum-graecum. Gymnema sylvestre shows significant influence (p<0.00) in linear terms of model whilst it shows non significant influence in its cross product term with soaking time (p=0.10). The response plot (Figure 3) depicted that the fiber content in creases with increasing amount of Gymnema sylvestre and soaking time.

Effect of process condition for carbohydrates

The observations for carbohydrate with different combination of process variables are presented in Table 2. The regression equation describing the effect of the process variable on carbohydrate of instant mix in terms of actual level of variable is given as-

\[
\text{Carbohydrate} = 24.20701 + 0.0823065 \times \text{Trigonella foenum-graecum} - 0.023065 \times \text{Soaking time} + 1.03328 \times \text{Gymnema sylvestre}
\]

The response plots show linear plots with Trigonella foenum-graecum (Figure 4), with soaking time (Figure 5) and with Gymnema sylvestre (Figure 6). Figure 4 and 5 does not show any relation of Trigonella foenum-graecum or soaking time with carbohydrate content but ANOVA statistics illustrated that the carbohydrate content of vegetable cereal mixes was increased significantly with increasing amount of Trigonella foenum-graecum (p=0.02) and Gymnema sylvestre (p<0.00). The interactive effect of amount of Trigonella foenum-graecum on response variable, carbohydrate (Figure 4) indicated that, the maximum carbohydrate content (28.5 g) was obtained at 8.0 g Trigonella foenum-graecum and the minimum carbohydrate content (28.3 g) was obtained at 3.0 g Trigonella foenum-graecum. The interactive effect of soaking time on response variable, carbohydrate (Figure 5) indicated that, the maximum carbohydrate content (28.6 g) and minimum (28.3 g) carbohydrate content was obtained at 8.0 hours and 12.0 hours soaking time respectively. The interactive effect of amount of Gymnema sylvestre on response variable carbohydrate (Figure 6) indicated that, the maximum carbohydrate content (29.3 g) was obtained at 5.0 g Gymnema sylvestre and minimum carbohydrate content (27.5 g) was obtained at 3.0 g Gymnema sylvestre.

Effect of process condition for overall acceptability

Sensory attributes play an important role in modification, improvement, development and acceptance of new food products [61]. Overall acceptability is an important factor directly related to the likability of any new formulated food product. For evaluating the overall acceptability of reconstituted vegetable cereal mix, the 100 point scale was used. The observations for overall acceptability with different combination of process variables are presented in Table 2. The regression equation describing the effect of the process variable on overall acceptability of instant mix in terms of actual level of variables is given as-

\[
\text{Overall acceptability} = 83.62914 - 0.69370 \times \text{Trigonella foenum-graecum} + 1.33593 \times \text{Soaking time} + 0.22909 \times \text{Gymnema sylvestre} - 0.60000 \times \text{Trigonella foenum-graecum} \times \text{Soaking time} + 0.3400 \times \text{Trigonella foenum-graecum} \times \text{Gymnema sylvestre}
\]

Curvilinear plots were observed with Trigonella foenum-graecum and soaking time (Figure 7); Trigonella foenum-graecum and Gymnema sylvestre (Figure 8); and soaking time and Gymnema sylvestre (Figure 9) for the overall acceptability of vegetable cereal mixes. Only linear term of Gymnema sylvestre (p=0.05) and combination of Trigonella foenum-graecum and Gymnema sylvestre (p=0.02) have significant influence on the overall acceptability of vegetable cereal mixes.
Figure 2: Interactive effects of *Trigonella foenum-graecum* and *Gymnema sylvestre* on fiber content of vegetable cereal mix.

Figure 3: Interactive effects of soaking time and *Gymnema sylvestre* on fiber content of vegetable cereal mix.
Figure 4: Interactive effect of *Trigonella foenum-graecum* on carbohydrate content of vegetable cereal mix.

Figure 5: Interactive effect of soaking time on carbohydrate content of vegetable cereal mix.
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Figure 6: Interactive effect of Gymnema sylvestre on carbohydrate content of vegetable cereal mix.

Figure 7: Interactive effects of Trigonella foenum-graecum and soaking time on overall acceptability of vegetable cereal mix.
Figure 8: Interactive effects of Trigonella foenum-graecum and Gymnema sylvestre on overall acceptability of vegetable cereal mix.

Figure 9: Interactive effects of soaking time and Gymnema sylvestre on overall acceptability of vegetable cereal mix.
Optimization of process parameters

On the basis of above described results, it can be asserted that the quality of the vegetable cereal mix is not dependent on a single main factor. All independent variables were important in defining the characteristics of the vegetable cereal mix. Hence, the next step involved the detection of the best combination of process/ independent variables that are able to produce the expected characteristics of final product. Therefore, numerical optimization was carried out for the process parameters for obtaining the best product from vegetable cereal mix. The Design Expert (9.0) software was used for simultaneous optimization of the multiple responses. The desired goals for each factor and response were chosen (Table 3). The software generated 47 solutions of independent variables with the predicted values of responses. Desirability of optimum solutions ranged between 0.62-0.87. Their optimum solutions were obtained on the basis of highest desirability. These optimum recipes had (i) 8.0 g *Trigonella foenum-graecum*, 8.0 hours soaking time and 5.0 g *Gymnema sylvestre* with 0.48 g fat, 3.01 g crude fiber, 29.84 g carbohydrate and overall acceptability score of 89.17; (ii) 8.0 g *Trigonella foenum-graecum*, 8.0 hours soaking time and 4.99 g *Gymnema sylvestre* with 0.48 g fat, 3.01 g crude fiber, 29.84 g carbohydrate and 89.16 score for overall acceptability; (iii) 8.0 g *Trigonella foenum-graecum*, 8.01 hours soaking time, 5.0 g *Gymnema sylvestre* with 0.48 g fat, 3.01 g fiber, 29.84 g carbohydrate and 89.16 score for overall acceptability in 53 g of products. These optimum solutions provided the range of process variables which could be considered as the optimum range for best product quality in terms of fat, fiber, carbohydrate and overall acceptability. These were appropriate conditions to produce vegetable cereal mix with nutritional benefits without compromised on sensory attributes. Once the best solution had been pinpointed among these optimum solutions, it was used to formulate the optimized/ enhanced vegetable cereal mix. Solution 1, having the maximum desirability value 0.87 in a range of 0.62 to 0.87, along with minimum fat content, maximum fiber and maximum overall acceptability was selected for further laboratory analysis. Fat ranged between 0.05 to 1.8 g which is also reflected by high CV% value (Table 4). But optimum recipe contained low fat that was chosen by high desirability. Thus, the set goal (minimum fat content) was met.

Incorporation of *Trigonella foenum-graecum* and *Gymnema sylvestre* significantly increased the fiber content in vegetable cereal mix. *Trigonella foenum-graecum* constitutes 50% of unavailable carbohydrates making this the highest concentration among all the natural sources of fiber. *Trigonella foenum-graecum* was the main contributor to high fiber content and it adds both insoluble and soluble dietary fiber; particularly soluble fiber ‘galactomann’ [62]. This is the factor responsible for antidiabetic activity of *Trigonella foenum-graecum* [63]. Similar finding of increased fiber content of biscuits incorporating *Trigonella foenum-graecum* and flax seed was reported by Metwal et al. [64]. In this study, carbohydrate content of vegetable cereal mix had high carbohydrate owing to its high fiber content. Addition of *Trigonella foenum-graecum* had no significant effect on overall acceptability of developed vegetable cereal mix which was incorporated in the range of 5.5-8.0 g in the recipes marked as highly acceptable by the panelists. In reconstituted vegetable cereal mix the characteristic bitterness of *Trigonella foenum-graecum* seeds reduced because seeds were soaked and washed before preparation and therefore, the palatability of the mix was not compromised. Jain et al. [44] conducted a study on optimization of upma mix incorporating *Trigonella foenum-graecum* using RSM. Results indicated that optimum recipe obtained 8.7 score rated on a 9 point hedonic scale. This recipe was high in fiber and had good sensory characteristics.

Sensory analysis

The organoleptic properties of reconstituted optimized instant vegetable cereal mix were evaluated using 5 point composite rating scale. Mean score for all sensory attributes were above 4 (suggesting ‘very good’) at zero day of storage. The mean scores for appearance, colour, taste, consistency and after taste were 4.7±0.45, 4.7±0.47, 4.4±0.51, 4.6±0.48 and 4.6±0.50 respectively. Results revealed that sensory attributes of product were not affected by storage as similar mean organoleptic scores were obtained at 30 days of storage. Vegetable cereal mix was stored in auto seal sachet at room temperature. The mean scores for appearance, colour, taste, consistency and after taste of optimum recipe (at 30th day of storage) were 4.8±0.41, 4.8±0.41, 4.4±0.50, 4.4±0.51 and 4.4±0.50 respectively. Overall acceptability of optimum product was assessed using 9 point hedonic scale. Results showed that vegetable cereal mix obtained similar mean hedonic score at zero day (8.5±0.51) and at 30th day of storage (8.4±0.50). No significant difference (p>0.05) was observed in various sensory parameters of vegetable cereal mix. Prabha et al. [65] formulated biscuits and bread by incorporating *Gymnema sylvestre* powder added hypoglycemic mix at 1%, 2% and 3% levels. Results illustrated that products had significantly higher acceptability at 1% incorporation level than 2% and 3%. Ibrahim and Hegazy [9] developed biscuits incorporating soaked and germinated *Trigonella foenum-graecum* at different levels. Biscuits with 5% *Trigonella foenum-graecum* incorporation were highly acceptable in terms of all sensory characteristics. These results were in accordance with present investigation. Similar results were found in studies of Goel et al. [66], Hooda and Jood [67] and Rahnama et al. [68].

Physico-Chemical analysis

The physico-chemical properties of the optimum recipe were assessed to evaluate the food nutritionally. The nutrients were estimated per 100 g quantity. The optimized recipe was found to be nutritionally adequate. Optimum recipe had 332.3 kcal energy (calculated), 2.62 g moisture, 0.71 g ash, 20.99 g protein, 2.61 g fat, 3.77 g crude fiber, 129.05 mg calcium, 4.05 mg iron and 0.80 mg vitamin C. Its glycemic load was 14.7 g/100 g. In study, blends of wheat and *Trigonella foenum-graecum* flour were used to develop bread, biscuit, noodles and macroni at different levels (10%, 15% and 20%). Incorporation of *Trigonella foenum-graecum* significantly increased the total protein, lysine, minerals and dietary fiber contents of biscuits [69]. Mahmoud et al. [70] conducted a study on nutritional analysis of wheat biscuits supplements incorporating *Trigonella foenum-graecum*.
The germinated *Trigonella foenum-graecum* seed powder was incorporated at 5 to 10% levels. Results revealed that *Trigonella foenum-graecum* flour was good source of protein, fat, fiber and minerals as was also observed in our study. Vegetable cereal mix had high protein content which had been contributed by defatted soy flour. Kumar et al. [71] reported that protein content of soy flour added multigrain premixes had similar protein content as found in present study.

**Microbiological analysis**

The presence of total microbial load in this product is the net result of microbial contamination of the raw materials, handling and the type of sanitary control exercised during the production, processing, transportation and storage. In this investigation, care was taken to maintain environmental and personal hygiene to reduce the contamination during the preparation and storage of vegetable cereal mix. Results of total plate count indicated that the mean count of vegetable cereal mix were 3750.0±353.55 at zero day and 6000.0±707.10 at 30th day of storage. Shelf life analysis revealed no significant difference (p=0.07) in total plate count. Presence of microorganisms was in acceptable range according to the Indian standards [72]. The mean coliform count was 15.0±7.07 at zero day and 40.0±14.14 at 30th day of storage. No significant difference (p=0.12) was observed in coliform count with increase in duration of storage. The mean yeast and mould count was 265.0±35.35 at 30th day of storage. A significant (p=0.04) reduction in yeast and mould count was observed during storage. This reduction can be attributed to the presence of antifungal substances in the vegetable cereal mix. Similar results of total plate count and coliform count were observed in study of Randhawa et al. [73]. Microbial load was higher in samples stored at 30 days than 0 day. The shelf life of the product was one month beyond which it was not studied. These results are in accordance with the observations of Bharathi and Sindh [74] in which they developed antioxidant rich instant biscuit mix. Wijewardana et al. [75] also depicted that total plate count increased at 30 day storage of ready to bake vegetarian cake mix 1. Contradictory results were found in the study of Mogra and Choudhry [76] in which microbial load decreased during the storage of rab mixes.

**Conclusions**

RSM was successfully used to pinpoint the best combination of different factors (amount of *Trigonella foenum-graecum*, soaking time of *Trigonella foenum-graecum* and amount of *Gymnema sylvestre*) for development of vegetable cereal mix. Out of 44 suggested formulations, 3 formulations had highest desirability index (0.87) compared to all other formulations. Recipe having 8.0 g *Trigonella foenum-graecum*, 8.0 hours soaking time and 5.0 g *Gymnema sylvestre* with 0.48 g fat, 3.01 g crude fiber, 29.84 g carbohydrate and 89.17 score for overall acceptability was further subjected to nutritional and microbial analysis. Optimum recipe had minimum fat, maximum fiber content along with high acceptability. It was rich in protein and calcium. Optimum recipe had desirable sensory characteristics and its storage quality was appropriate until 30 days. Vegetable cereal mix is convenient to use, health promoting and cost effective, hence it can be easily used by the consumers as food add-on without altering their usual diet.

**References**

1. Bashri G, Singh VP, Prasad SM (2013) A review on nutritional and antioxidant values, and medicinal properties of *Trigonella foenum-graecum*. L. Biochemistry and Pharmacology 2(3): 118.
2. Kumar SM, Astalakshmi N, Arshida PT, Deepthi K, Devassia MN, et al. (2015) A concise review on guarner- *Gymnema sylvestre* R.Br. World J Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences 4(10): 430-448.
3. Prakash O, Kumar R, Srivastava R, Tripathi P, Mishra S, et al. (2015) Plants explored with anti-diabetic properties: A review. Am J Pharmol Sci 3(3): 55-66.
4. Kumar SV, Rao RJ, Devi AK, Mohanty S (2011) Comparative study of fenugreek seeds on high glycemic index in high and medium dietary fiber containing diets in NIDDM patients. NJIRM 2(3): 29-37.
5. Mullaicharam AR, Geetali D, Maheshwari UR (2013) Medical value of fenugreek: A review. Pharm Biol Chem 4: 1304-1313.
6. Flammang AM, Cifone MA, Erexson GL, Stankowski LF (2004) Genotoxicity testing of a fenugreek extract. Food Chem Toxicol 42(11): 1769-1775.
7. Basch E, Ulbrict C, Kuo G, Szapary P, Smith M (2003) Therapeutic application of fenugreek. Altern Med Rev 8(1): 20-27.
8. Zargar AH, Nehru N, Laway BA, Dar FA (2002) Effect of consumption of powdered seeds on blood sugar and HbA1c levels in patients with type II diabetes mellitus. Int J Diab Dev Ctries 12: 49-51.
9. Ibrahim MI, Hegazy AI (2009) Iron availability of wheat supplemented by fenugreek seed flour. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences 5(6): 769-776.
10. Subramanian SP, Prashantha GS (2014) Antidiabetic, antidiyslipidemic nature of trigonelline, a major alkaloid of fenugreek seeds studied in high fat fed and low dose streptozotocin induced experimental diabetic rats. Biomed Prev Nutr 4(4): 475-480.
11. Kumar R, Patel S, Patil MB, Patil SR, Paschapur MS (2009) Isolation and evaluation of disintegrant properties of fenugreek seed mucilage. Int J Pharm Tech Res 1(4): 982-996.
12. Kulkarni U, Bargaonkan PA, Patil BS, Karwar PG (2011) Formulation and development of fast disintegrating tablets containing fenugreek seed powder. Asian J Pharm Clin Res 4: 87-89.
13. Pandian RS, Anuradha CV, Vshwanath P (2002) Gastroprotective effect of fenugreek seeds (Trigonella foenum graecum) on experimental gastric ulcer in rats. J Ethnopharmacol 81(3): 393-397.
14. Meghwal M, Goswami TK (2012) A review on the functional properties, nutritional content, medicinal utilization and potential application of fenugreek. World J Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences 4(10): 2157-7110.
15. Broca C, Manteghetti M, Gross R, Biaisac Y, Jacob M, et al. (2000) 4-Hydroxyisoleucine: Effects of synthetic and natural analogues on insulin secretion. Eur J Pharmocol 390(3): 339-345.
16. Sauvage Y, Petit P, Broca C, Manteghetti M, Biaisac Y, et al. (1998) 4-Hydroxyisoleucine: A novel amino acid potentiators of insulin secretion. Diabetes 47(2): 206-210.
17. Sharma RD, Raghuram TC, Rao NS (1990) Effect of fenugreek...
18. Alarcon-Aguilara FJ, Roman-Ramos R, Perez-Gutierrez S, Aguilar-Contreras A, Contreras-Weber CC, et al. (1998) Study of the anti-hyperglycemic effect of plants used as antidiabetics. J Ethnopharmacol 61(2): 101-110.

19. Basch E, Ulbricht C, Kuo G, Szapary P, Smith M (2003) Therapeutic applications of fenugreek. Altern Med Rev 8(1): 20-27.

20. Raghuram TC, Sharma RD, Swakumar B, Sahay BK (1994) Effect on fenugreek seeds on intravenous glucose disposition in non-insulin dependent diabetic patients. Phytother Res 8(2): 83-86.

21. Sharma RD, Sarkar A, Hazra DK, Misra B, Singh JB, et al. (1996a) Toxicological evaluation of fenugreek seeds: A long term feeding experiment in diabetic patients. Phytother Res 10(6): 519-520.

22. Sharma RD, Sarkar A, Hazra DK, Misra B, Singh JB, et al. (1996b) Hypolipidemic effect of fenugreek seeds: A chronic study in non-insulin dependent diabetic patients. Phytother Res 10(4): 332-334.

23. Srinivasan K (2005) Role of spices beyond food flavoring: Nutraceuticals with multiple health effects. Food Review International 21(2): 167-188.

24. Lu FR, Shen L, Qin Y, Gao L, Li H, Dai Y (2008) Clinical observation on *Trigonella foenum-graecum* L. total saponins in combination with sulfonylureas in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Chin J Integr Med 14(1): 56-60.

25. Vijayakumar S, Prabhu S (2014) Gymnema sylvestre - A key for diabetes management - A review. Pharmacol Toxicol Res 1(1): 1-10.

26. Kuna A, Khader V (2009) Hypoglycemic, hypcholesterolemic and hypotensive effects of *Gymnema sylvestre* leaves in newly diagnosed type II diabetes subjects. JIFS 4(6): 320-329.

27. Murray MT, Pizzorno JE (1990) Diabetes mellitus. In: Pizzorno JE, Murray MT (Eds) Textbook of natural medicine. Churchill Livingstone, New York, USA.

28. Pandey A, Tripathi P, Pandey R, Srivastava R, Goswami S (2011) Alternative therapies useful in the management of diabetes: A systematic review. J Pharm Bioallied Sci 3(4): 504-512.

29. Siddiqui AA, Ahmed B, Dogra A (2000) Development in the chemistry and pharmacology of *Gymnema sylvestre*. J Medicinal and Aromatic Plant Sci 22(2/3): 223-231.

30. Peng C, Zheng CH (2008) Effect of Yuquan Wan on insulin sensitivity in patients with type 2 diabetes. HubelZhongYi Zha Zhi 30: 11-12 (Chinese).

31. Shannugasundaram ER, Rajeswari G, Baskaran K, Kumar BRR, Shannugasundaram KR, et al. (1990) Use of *Gymnema sylvestre* leaf extract in the control of blood glucose in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. J Ethnopharmacol 30(3): 281-294.

32. Zheng Y, Huang DQ (2005) Effects of Yuquan Wan on the index of kidney injury of early diabetic nephropathy. Xia Dai ZhongYao Yan Ji Yu Shui Jian 19: 42-44 (Chinese).

33. Yogisha S, Raveesha KA (2009) *In-vitro* antibacterial effect of selected medicinal plant extracts. J Nat Products 2: 64-69.

34. Khanna VG, Khanna KB, Rajkumar G, Rahuman AA, Kumar TS (2011) Bioalrvarcidual compoud gymnemagenol isolated from leaf extract of miracle fruit plant, *Gymnema sylvestre* schult against malaria and filarias is vectors. Parasitol Res 109(5): 1373-1386.

35. Chopra RN, Chopra IC, Handa KL, Kapur LD (1982) Chopra's indigenous drugs of India. Academic Publishers, Kolkata, India.

36. Kapoor LD (1990) Handbook of ayurvedic plants. CRC Press, Boca Raton, United States of America.

37. Thakur RS, Puri HS, Husain A (1989) Major medicinal plants of India. Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Lucknow, India, pp. 585.

38. Lijana-Pathirana C, Shahidi F (2005) Optimization of extraction of phenolic compounds from wheat using response surface methodology. Food Chem 93(1): 47-56.

39. Simate GS, Ndlovu S, Gericke M (2009) Bacterial leaching of nickel laterites using chemolithotrophic microorganisms: process optimisation using response surface methodology and central composite rotatable design. Hydrometallurgy 98(3-4): 241-246.

40. Yadav BS, Yadav RB, Jatana M (2012) Optimization of osmotic dehydration conditions of peach slices in sucrose solution using response surface methodology. J Food Sci Tech 49(5): 547-555.

41. Sadana B, Chabra C (2004) Development and sensory evaluation of low cost weaning food formulations. J Hum Ecol 16(2): 133-136.

42. Mridula D, Goyal RK, Manikantan MR (2008) Effect of roasting on texture, color and acceptability of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) for making satu. Int J Agr Res 3(1): 61-68.

43. Vijaykumar T, Deepa M (2010) Optimization of natural polymeric external feed formula using response surface methodology. AJST 1: 39-43.

44. Jain M, Gupta K, Jain P, Singh C, Rastogi N (2015) Optimization of functional food ingredients and their processing levels for preparation of *supra* m.k. JIFC(4)(3): 423-432.

45. Swami MB, Kumar TA, Rao KS (2012) Buying behaviour of consumers towards instant food products. IJRCT 2(2): 1975-5465.

46. Kathleen MC, Nataliya Y, Jeff R (2004) Response surface methodology. Institute for Software Research International 4: 1-26.

47. Singh B, Panesar PS, Gupta AK, Kennedy JF (2007) Optimization of osmotic dehydration of carrot cubes in sucrose-salt solutions using response surface methodology. Eur Food Res Technology 215: 157-165.

48. Gopalan C, Shastri BVR, Balasubramanium SC (2007) Nutritive value of Indian foods. National Institute of Nutrition, Indian Council of Medical Research, Hyderabad, India.

49. Bunkar DS, Jha A, Mahajan A (2014) Optimization of the formulation and technology of pearl millet based ready to reconstitute kheer mix powder. J Food Sci Technol 51(10): 2404-2414.

50. Chan SW, Lee CY, Yap CE, Wanaida, Ho CW (2009) Optimization of extraction conditions for phenolic compounds from limaupurut (*Citrus hystrix*) peels. IPRJ 16: 205-213.

51. Jellinek G (1985) Sensory evaluation of food. Theory and practice. Ellis Horwood International Publishers in Science and technology. Chichester, England 30(6): 20-23.
Gupta K, Srivastava S, Jain P, Jain M (2016) Process Optimization for Formulating Trigonella foenum-graecum and Gymnema sylvestre Added Vegetable Cereal Mix Using Response Surface Methodology. J Nutr Health Food Eng 4(3): 00129. DOI: 10.15406/jnhfe.2016.04.00129

52. AOAC (2002) Official Methods of Analysis. (Seventeenth Edition), Association of Official Agricultural Chemists, Maryland.
53. NIN (2003) A Manual of Laboratory Techniques. National Institute of Nutrition, Indian Council of Medical Research, Hyderabad, India.
54. Smith RN, Mann NJ, Braue A, Mäkeläinen H, Varigos GA (2007) A low-glycemic-load diet improves symptoms in acne vulgaris patients: A randomized controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr 86(1): 107-115.
55. BIS (2002a) Microbiology- General guidance for the enumeration of microorganism (IS 5402:2002). Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi, India.
56. BIS (2002b) Microbiology- General guidance for the enumeration of coliform (IS 5401:2002). Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi, India.
57. BIS (2002c) Method for yeast and mould count of foodstuffs and animal feeds (IS 5403:2002). Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi, India.
58. Fentie M, Belete A, Gebre-Mariam T (2014) Formulation and optimization of controlled release floating microspheres of Furosemide from ethylcellulose and hydroxypropyl methylcellulose polymer blends. IJPSR 5: 70-82.
59. Gupta K, Verma M, Jain P, Jain M (2014) Process optimization for producing cowpea added instant kheer mix using response surface methodology. J Nutr Health Food En 1(5): 00030.
60. Jain M, Singh C, Gupta K, Jain P (2014) Optimization of functional food ingredients and their processing levels for preparation of vermicelli using RSM. IJESRT 5: 6: 8-20.
61. Yadav DN, Sharma GK, Bawa AS (2007) Optimization of soy fortified instant sooji halwa mix using response surface methodology. J Food Sci Technol 44: 297-300.
62. Srinivasan K (2006) Fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum): A review of health beneficial physiological effects. Food Rev Int 22(2): 203-224.
63. Nathiya S, Durga M, Devasena T (2014) Therapeutic role of Trigonella foenum-graecum [fenugreek] - A review. Int J Pharm Sci Rev Res 27(2): 74-80.
64. Metwal N, Jyotnna R, Jejyari T, Rao VG (2011) Influence of debittered, defatted fenugreek seed powder and flaxseed powder on the rheological characteristics of dough and quality of cookies. Int J Food Sci Nutr 62(4): 336-344.
65. Prabha VKN, Vasanthevi KP, Vijayaraghavan R (2015) Formulation of Gymnema sylvestre mix incorporated foods for diabetes mellitus. IJPRM 3(3): 238-241.
66. Goel R, Bhattach D, Gilani SD, Katiyar D (2011) Medicinal plants as anti-diabetic: A review. International Bulletin of Drug Research 1(2): 100-107.
67. Hooda S, Jood S (2005) Organoleptic and nutritional evaluation of wheat biscuits supplemented with untreated and treated fenugreek flour. Food Chem 90(3): 427-435.
68. Rahnama F, Milani JM, Ardabili AG (2014) Improved quality characteristics of sangak bread by response surface optimization of farinograph and extensograph traits of dough formulated with fenugreek gum. Quality Assurance and Safety of Crops and Foods 7(4): 413-421.
69. Hooda S, Jood S (2004) Nutritional evaluation of wheat-fenugreek blends for product making. Plant Food Hum Nutr 59(4): 149-154.
70. Mahmoud NY, Salem RH, Mater AA (2012) Nutritional and biological assessment of wheat biscuits supplemented by fenugreek plant to improve diet of anemic rats. Acad J Nutr 1(1): 1-9.
71. Kumar KA, Sharma GK, Khan MA, Senwal AD (2015) Optimization of multigrain premix for high protein and dietary fibre biscuits using response surface methodology (RSM). Food and Nutrition Sciences 6: 747-756.
72. BIS (2006) Processed-cereal based complementary foods specification. Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi, India.
73. Randhawa S, Kakuda Y, Wong CL, Yeung DL (2012) Microbial safety, nutritive value and residual pesticide levels are comparable among commercial, laboratory and homemade baby food samples- A pilot study. The Open Nutrition Journal 6: 89-96.
74. Bhaaraathi A, Sindhu S (2015) Development of antioxidant rich instant biscuit mix. Int J Curr Pharm Res 7(2): 73-76.
75. Wijewardana RMNA, Nawaratne SB, Wickramasinghe I (2016) Development and quality evaluation of ready to bake vegetarian cake mix. J Agric Sci 11(1): 53-61.
76. Mogra R, Choudhry M (2014) Development and quality evaluation of value added instant rab mixes. J Food Sci Technol 51(6): 1140-1146.