Early identification of patients at risk of acute severe pancreatitis with Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome score at admission
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Abstract

Background: Clinically, the Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome (SIRS) is identified by two or more symptoms including fever or hypothermia, tachycardia, tachypnea and change in blood leukocyte count. The relationship between SIRS symptoms at the time of presentation and severity of pancreatitis is yet to be determined though progression of SIRS in subsequent days has already been correlated.

Objectives: To determine the severity of pancreatitis with SIRS score at the time of admission.

Methodology: A retrospective cohort study of patients admitted to Department of Surgery, Kathmandu Medical College Teaching Hospital (KMCTH) with diagnosis of Acute Pancreatitis (AP) from December 2014 to January 2016 was conducted. Clinical, biochemical and imaging data were collected from the medical record section. Patients with diagnosis of acute pancreatitis as per Revised Atlanta Classification 2012 were included in the study. SIRS score at time of admission was correlated with Modified Marshall scoring system for organ dysfunction. Patients were grouped into severe and non-severe group. Sensitivity, specificity and predictive values of SIRS score at admission for organ failure were calculated.

Results: Among the 41 patients admitted with diagnosis of acute pancreatitis irrespective of cause, the sensitivity of SIRS score \( \geq 2 \) at admission in predicting severe pancreatitis was 60 %, specificity was 20%, positive predictive value was 28 % and negative predictive value was 20 %, with \( p \)-value of 0.52 and odds ratio of 1.6 (CI: 0.376-6.808).

Conclusion: SIRS score at admission cannot be solely used in predicting acute severe pancreatitis. Patients can be stratified in resource deficit setting for timely referral to tertiary centre.

Key words: Pancreatitis; Severity; Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/jkmc.v8i2.28171

INTRODUCTION

Acute pancreatitis (AP) is defined as an acute condition presenting with abdominal pain and is usually associated with raised pancreatic enzyme levels in the blood or urine as a result of pancreatic inflammation1. It occurs in isolated or relapsing events, ranging from mild inflammation to extensive necrosis and liquefaction of pancreas as severe form1,2.

The diagnosis is based on abdominal pain; raised pancreatic enzymes (amylase, and/or lipase levels to \( >3 \) times the upper limit of normal) and imaging test showing features of pancreatitis according to Revised Atlanta Classification 2012. The most common etiology are alcohol and gall stones1. The severity of pancreatitis is defined according to the local and systemic complication. Most of the cases are self-limiting, however mortality of mild diseases are rare, where as in severe pancreatitis, it ranges from 36-50%3.

Severity of AP is assessed by many standardized scoring systems. Most commonly used Scoring system are RANSON’s scoring system, Glasgow, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) and many others4. Most scoring system are expensive, time-
SIRS is the systemic response to injury where major insults to the host are associated with an overwhelming inflammatory response that, without appropriate and timely intervention, can lead to multiple organ failure and adversely impact patient survival, in the absence of infection, as it does in severe burns, trauma, and pancreatitis. SIRS is defined by the presence of two or more of the following four criteria:

1. temperature < 36 degree Celsius (96.8 degree Fahrenheit) or >38 degree Celsius (100.4 degree Fahrenheit)
2. heart rate >90/min
3. respiratory rate >20/min, and
4. white blood cells (<4×10^9/L (<4K/mm^3), >12×10^9/12K/mm^3) or 10% bands

In every critical surgical and medical cases, body temperature, heart rate, respiratory rate and total blood count are routinely measured on regular basis. Most of the interventions during management are done according to variability in these parameters. They are even costly and time consuming to predict the prognosis. Every patient may not be able to afford such long list of investigations and even most of such investigations are not easily available in every hospital.

**RESULTS**

Total forty one patients were included in the study. One patient had necrotizing pancreatitis at admission and was excluded. The mean age of the patients was 44.51 years and male to female ratio 3.5:1. The mean BMI was 23.58 kg/m^2^ The most common cause of AP was biliary (n=21, 53.7%) followed by alcohol induced (n=14, 34.1%). Twenty one (51.2 %) of them had SIRS ≥2 at admission. Thirty eight (92.7%) patients had no any local complication whereas one (2.4%) and two (4.9%) had acute necrotizing pancreatitis and acute pancreatic fluid collection respectively. Patients were stratified according to Modified Atlanta classification 2012; mild disease (n=30, 73.3%), moderately severe (n=1, 2.4%) and severe disease (n=10, 24.3%) and further grouped into non-severe (mild pancreatitis) and severe group (moderately severe and severe pancreatitis). The most common cause associated with biliary pancreatitis was acute calculus cholecystitis (n=5, 12.2%) followed by cholelithiasis (n=3, 7.3%), choledocholithiasis (n=2, 4.9%) and GB sludge (n=2, 4.9%). Sixty one percent of the cases had no any associated diseases.

The sensitivity for SIRS ≥2 at admission for severity was found to be 60%, specificity 20%, PPV 28%, NPV 20% and odds ratio of 1.6 (CI 0.376-6.808%). ROC curve yielded an AUC of 0.56(95% confidence interval (0.409-0.748)) with p-value 0.719. The prevalence of severe pancreatitis among patients with SIRS score 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 were 25%, 17%, 8.6%, 33.33% and 100% respectively.
Table 1: Modified Marshall Scoring System for organ dysfunction

| Organ/System          | Score | 0     | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Respiratory (PaO₂/Fio₂) |       | >400  | 301-400| 201-300| 101-200| <101  |
| Renal*                |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Serum Creatinine (mg/dl) |   | <1.4  | 1.4-1.8| 1.9-3.6| 3.6-4.9| >4.9  |
| Cardiovascular        |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| (systolic blood pressure, mm Hg) † | | >90   | <90 fluid responsive| <90, not fluid responsive| <90, pH<7.3| <90, pH <7.2 |

For non-ventilated cases (Fraction of inspired oxygen) Fio₂ can be estimated from:

| Supplement oxygen | Fio₂ (%) |
|-------------------|----------|
| Room air          | 21       |
| 2                 | 25       |
| 4                 | 30       |
| 6-8               | 40       |
| 9-10              | 50       |

A score of 2 or more in any system defines the presence of organ failure.

* A score for patients with pre-existing chronic renal failure depends on the extent of further deterioration of baseline renal function. No formal correction exists for a baseline serum creatinine ≥134μmol/l or ≥1.4 mg/dl.

† Off inotropic support

DISCUSSION

This study showed that majority of patients with AP had SIRS ≥ 2 at admission. It was definitely associated with poor prognosis than patients without SIRS at admission, however the sensitivity for SIRS at admission was far too less as compared to previous study⁹.

Grover et al. conducted similar study in 50 pediatric patients where they found two or more SIRS criteria at admission had longer hospital stay (median 8 days, 4-13 days) as compared to patients without SIRS (median 3 days, 1-1.5 days) which was statistically significant. Also 32 % patients with SIRS at admission had ICU admission compared to 4% of those without SIRS⁸. This study was conducted among adult patients and outcome analyzed was only for severity of disease after 48 hours and all patients with SIRS score 4 had severe pancreatitis.

Singh et al. in 2009 found that 62% of patients had SIRS on day one, with 85-100% sensitivity in predicting severity, and that patient without SIRS had NPV of 98-100% for severity⁹. Twenty one (51.2 %) of our patients had SIRS ≥2 at admission. The sensitivity and specificity in our study was also less as compared to the study by Singh et al. with magnitudes 60% and 20% respectively. The disparity in this finding is probably due to low sample size. Also Singh et al. had taken average SIRS at day one, whereas we studied SIRS at presentation in emergency department, which may be influenced by pain, anxiety and pre-treatment at other centres.

Singh et al. also found that patients with higher number of SIRS on day one predicted the severity, whereas our study was not able to find the strong association between the number of SIRS criteria and severity of pancreatitis as of previous study, but the prevalence of severity was higher in patients among SIRS score 3 and 4. The sensitivity of SIRS≥3 for severity at admission was 20%, specificity being 6.8%, PPV of 50% and NPV 78.37%. This could also be due to the change in SIRS variable after admission in hospital. So, single recording of SIRS criteria at admission cannot be solely used to predict severity, however in resources deficit setting it can help to stratify reasonable number of patient.

As with any ongoing inflammatory markers in body, SIRS component are raised in AP also. Transient and persistent SIRS had poor outcome in AP¹¹. Our study found that SIRS score at admission cannot be solely used as a screening tool but can definitely stratify sixty percent of patients at presentation. This study highlights that SIRS at admission can be taken into account while waiting for other prognostic scores which are costly, time consuming and not readily available everywhere.

There were few limitations in our study. First, it is a single centre study with small sample size, so the results cannot be generalized. Second, the study centre is a tertiary centre where number of patients may already have received primary care at other centres. Third, each variable of SIRS may be influenced by other associated
inflammatory and infectious conditions which may have gone unnoticed.

**CONCLUSION**

SIRS is response of body to inflammatory and infectious insult in body. SIRS score at admission can certainly stratify fair number of patients and can be used as a tool in resource deficit setting. SIRS score at admission alone cannot predict severity, continuous SIRS monitoring is required.
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