CD38 in the nucleus accumbens and oxytocin are related to paternal behavior in mice
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Abstract

Background: Mammalian sires participate in infant care. We previously demonstrated that sires of a strain of nonmonogamous laboratory mice initiate parental retrieval behavior in response to olfactory and auditory signals from the dam during isolation in a new environment. This behavior is rapidly lost in the absence of such signals when the sires are caged alone. The neural circuitry and hormones that control paternal behavior are not well-understood. CD38, a membrane glycoprotein, catalyzes synthesis of cyclic ADP-ribose and facilitates oxytocin (OT) secretion due to cyclic ADP-ribose-dependent increases in cytosolic free calcium concentrations in oxytocinergic neurons in the hypothalamus. In this paper, we studied CD38 in the nucleus accumbens (NAcc) and the role of OT on paternal pup retrieval behavior using CD38 knockout (CD38−/−) mice of the ICR strain.

Results: CD38−/− sires failed to retrieve when they were reunited with their pups after isolation together with the mate dams, but not with pup, in a novel cage for 10 min. CD38−/− sires treated with a single subcutaneous injection of OT exhibited recovery in the retrieval events when caged with CD38−/− dams treated with OT. We introduced human CD38 in the NAcc of CD38−/− sires using a lentiviral infection technique and examined the effects of local expression of CD38. Pairs of knockout dams treated with OT and sires expressing CD38 in the NAcc showed more retrieval (83% of wild-type sire levels). Complete recovery of retrieval was obtained in sires with the expression of CD38 in the NAcc in combination with OT administration. Other paternal behaviors, including pup grooming, crouching and huddling, were also more common in CD38−/− sires with CD38 expression in the NAcc compared with those in CD38−/− sires without CD38 expression in the NAcc.

Conclusions: CD38 in the NAcc and OT are critical in paternal behavior.
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Background

The survival of mammalian young is dependent on assistance by parents or alloparents [1]. In all mammalian species, becoming a mother involves remarkable behavioral changes that are driven by a combination of neuroendocrine and experiential factors [2-6]. Maternal behavior is defined as the repertoire of a mother’s behaviors that increase offspring survival [1,4]. Similar nurturing behavior by the male parent is also important to newborns [7-10].

Most mice, rats and hamsters are not monogamous and males are not spontaneously maternal [8,11-15]. However, if male and female mice are forced to live together for long periods in a small cage, the male mice will inevitably be found in the nest with offspring, providing protection and warmth. This has been described as the “sensitization process” in male rats [16]. We have previously demonstrated that nonmonogamous ICR mouse sires initiated maternal-like parental care (retrieval of pups, pup grooming and crouching) when they were housed continuously with their mates.
and pups [17,18]. Under stressful conditions, such as isolation alone in a new environment (cage) for 5–10 min, the sires did not show retrieval when they were reunited with pups. These same sires had previously shown paternal behavior for 3 or more days after the pups’ birth. The sire retrieved the pups if they were caged together with his mate (the pups’ dam). The dam emitted unique 38-kHz ultrasonic vocalizations (USVs) to their mate (the pups’ sire) during this period. These 38-kHz USV signals and unidentified pheromones from the maternal mate caused the paternal retrieval behavior [17].

It has been demonstrated that the medial preoptic area (mPOA), ventral tegmental area (VTA), nucleus accumbens (NAcc) and ventral pallidum (VP) can interact in the regulation of maternal behavior in rodent females [19-24]. Briefly, mPOA neurons activate VTA dopaminergic neurons that innervate GABA neurons with D1 receptors in the NAcc. GABAergic neurons of the NAcc inhibit VP neurons that trigger maternal behavior in dams. Oxytocin (OT) receptors are also found in the NAcc of spontaneously maternal females [24]. Oxytocinergic modulation from the mPOA affects VTA and NAcc neurons. Hypothalamic oxytocinergic neurons directly innervate the NAcc [22-26]. Although such neurocircuits have been proposed to be involved in female maternal behavior, we hypothesized that OT also functioned as a transmitter or neuromodulator in the NAcc of males showing parental behavior.

OT is synthesized in neurons in the paraventricular nucleus and supraoptic nucleus of the hypothalamus, is secreted somato-dendritically from OT-containing neurons into the brain, and causes excitation by activating OT receptors on oxytocinergic neurons [27-31]. This hormone plays a critical role in social recognition and behavior in mammals [28-35]. It has been previously demonstrated that CD38 [36-39], a type II transmembrane protein, catalyzes the genesis of the second messenger cyclic ADP-ribose for Ca2+ mobilization in glucose-induced insulin secretion [36]. The similar mechanism has been found in other physiologically important processes: CD38 is strongly expressed in the hypothalamus and is crucial for the release of OT from oxytocinergic neurons but not for vasopressin secretion in the mouse hypothalamus [39]. Thereby, social memory and recognition in males and nurturing behavior in maternal females were disrupted in CD38 knockout mice by reduced OT secretion [31,32,39]. It has been reported that two single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of CD38 are associated with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) or at least represent a weak risk factor in ASD subjects in the U.S.A., Israel and Japan [40-45]: One of such CD38 SNPs has previously been detected as a risk factor in Japanese patients with diabetes [46,47].

Our unique paradigm of parental behavior [17] addresses the question of whether OT and CD38 in the NAcc are involved in paternal behavior. We used CD38 knockout (CD38−/−) mice [39,48] to assess this hypothesis. We examined retrieval in CD38−/− mice with or without subcutaneous injection of OT. Next, we examined sires with or without local expression of human CD38 (HCD38) in the NAcc using a lentivirus infection method that had been described previously [39]. Finally, we histologically confirmed the expression of HCD38 and determined functional recovery by examining aversive behavior using the sucrose preference test. Sucrose intake is dependent on the reward circuits of the NAcc, and this reward is related to pup-dependent parental care [49-57].

### Results

Approximately 60% of the wild-type ICR sires displayed parental retrieval behavior (n = 30, Table 1, 1st row), as previously reported [17]. The CD38−/− sires failed to retrieve their pups after separation from their CD38−/− mate dams in a novel cage for 10 min (n = 30, Table 1, 2nd row). This finding was confirmed by comparison with a sample of wild-type or knockout sires and dams (Table 1, 3rd and 4th rows).

Pairs with a single subcutaneous injection of OT at a concentration of 100 ng/kg body weight to either the CD38−/− sire or dam did not initiate parental retrieval behavior (Table 1, 5th and 6th rows). However, 30% of the OT-treated CD38−/− sires that were co-housed with the OT-treated dams showed retrieval behavior (n = 30, P = 0.0370 from the CD38+/+/CD38+/+ pair; Table 1, 7th row; Fisher’s exact test), This frequency is 50% of that shown by untreated wild-type sires caged with untreated wild-type dams.

| Female        | Male         | Percentage of male’s exhibiting retrieval behavior |
|---------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| CD38+/+       | CD38+/+      | 60 (30)                                           |
| CD38−/−       | CD38−/−      | 0 (30) P = 0.0000                                 |
| CD38+/+       | CD38−/−      | 0 (10) P = 0.0000                                 |
| CD38−/−       | CD38+/+      | 0 (10) P = 0.0000                                 |
| CD38−/− + OT  | CD38−/−      | 0 (8) P = 0.0035                                  |
| CD38−/− + OT  | CD38−/− + OT | 0 (8) P = 0.0035                                  |
| CD38−/− + OT  | CD38−/− + OT | 30 (30) P = 0.0370 P = 0.0019                     |

P value (1) represents two-tailed P values by Fisher’s exact test between the first row (the CD38+/+CD38+/+ pair) and each of the other rows. P value (2) represents two-tailed P value by Fisher’s exact test between the second (the CD38−/−CD38−/− pair) and seventh row (the CD38−/− +OT/CD38−/− +OT pair).
Expression of GFP as a control or the re-expression of HCD38 in the NAcc in mating males was performed via lentiviral infection, as has been reported previously [39]. The retrieval behavior of the sires was scored more than 2 weeks after recovery from surgery and then siring pups by the untreated mate dams. Pairs consisting of sires expressing GFP and knockout dams treated with OT showed full recovery of retrieval behavior. Thirty percent of the GFP-sires that were co-housed with the CD38−/− dams (n = 10; Table 2, 3rd row). This frequency of retrieval is 50% of that shown by the wild-type sires.

The CD38−/− sires expressing HCD38 in the NAcc did not display retrieval when co-housed with the CD38−/− dams (Table 2, 4th row). However, 50% of the HCD38 sires (83% of the level shown by the wild-type parents) showed retrieval when co-housed with the CD38−/− dams that were treated with OT (Table 2, 5th row; n=10, P = 0.1090 compared with the CD38−/−/CD38−/− + GFP pair; P = 0.6499 compared with the CD38−/− + OT/CD38−/− + GFP pair). Sixty percent of OT-treated sires expressing HCD38 showed full recovery of retrieval behaviors when they were co-housed with the CD38−/− dams with OT (Table 2, 6th row; n = 10, P = 0.0440 compared with the CD38−/−/CD38−/− + GFP pair; P = 0.3698 compared with the CD38−/− + OT/CD38−/− + GFP pair; P = 1.00 compared with the CD38−/− + OT/CD38−/− + HCD38 pair). This frequency of retrieval is 100% of the frequency shown by the wild-type sires.

Different parameters and other parental behaviors were additionally observed to examine the effects of CD38 re-expression in the NAcc in CD38−/− sires. The latency of onset of retrieval in CD38−/− sires, which was significantly greater (slower) than that in the wild-type mice, reverted to a wild-type value in the CD38−/− sires expressing HCD38 (Figure 1, left; n = 17, P = 0.0000, One-way ANOVA). Latencies of onset of pup grooming (Figure 1, center) and crouching (Figure 1, right), which were significantly greater (slower) in CD38−/− sires than those of wild-type males, reverted to wild-type values in the CD38−/− sires expressing HCD38 (n = 8 or 12; P = 0.0000 and 0.0002, respectively; One-way ANOVA).

The frequency of pup grooming was less (Figure 2A) and the duration of crouching was shorter (Figure 2B) in CD38−/− sires (n = 8 and 12; **P < 0.01 and *P < 0.05, respectively). These behaviors reverted to wild-type values by expressing of HCD38 in the NAcc in CD38−/− sires (n = 10; P = 0.0075 and 0.0584, respectively; One-way ANOVA).

Finally, we confirmed the re-expression of HCD38 in the NAcc of CD38−/− mice by two histological and functional methods. First, as previously reported [39], fluorescence images in the hypothalamus showed the expression of HA-tagged HCD38 (Figure 3A-C) in discrete populations of hypothalamic neurons.

---

**Table 2 Parental behavior by sires after pairing in different combinations of CD38−/− mice transfected with either GFP or HCD38 in the NAcc with or without an OT injection**

| Female CD38−/− | Male CD38−/− | Percentage of male’s exhibiting retrieval behavior (n) | P value (1) | (2) | (3) |
|----------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----|-----|
| CD38−/− | CD38−/− + GFP | 0 (5) | | | |
| CD38−/− | CD38−/− + GFP | 0 (8) | | | |
| CD38−/− | CD38−/− + GFP + OT | 30 (10) | P = 0.5055 | | |
| CD38−/− | CD38−/− + HCD38 | 0 (10) | | | |
| CD38−/− | CD38−/− + HCD38 + OT | 50 (10) | P = 0.1090 | P = 0.6499 | |
| CD38−/− | CD38−/− + HCD38 + OT | 60 (10) | P = 0.0440 | P = 0.3698 | P = 1.00 |

P value (1) represents two-tailed P values by Fisher’s exact test between the first row (the CD38−/−/CD38−/− + GFP pair) and the third, fifth, and sixth row. P value (2) represents two-tailed P value by Fisher’s exact test between the third (the CD38−/− OT/CD38−/− + GFP + OT pair) and the fifth and sixth row. P value (3) represents the value between the fifth and sixth row.

**Figure 1 Latencies in retrieval, pup grooming, and crouching by sires.** Times to the beginning of retrieval (left), grooming (center), and crouching (right) by sires in wild-type (blue) and CD38 knockout sires with (green) or without (red) re-expression of CD38 in the NAcc. Number of experiments is shown in parentheses. One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s post hoc test: F2,66 = 36.81 (P = 0.0000) for retrieval, F2,64 = 112.59 (P = 0.0000) for grooming and F2,63 = 122.3 (P = 0.0000) for crouching, respectively. ***P = 0.0000 (two-tailed t-test) between groups of CD38 knockout mice and wild-type or CD38 knockout with HCD38 re-expression.
Figure 2 Grooming and crouching by sires. Frequency of grooming (A) and duration of crouching (B) in wild-type (blue) and CD38 knockout sires with (green) or without (red) re-expression of CD38 in the NAcc. N = 10 males in each group. A One-way ANOVA was used followed by Bonferroni’s post hoc test: $F_{2,24} = 6.05$ ($P = 0.0075$) for grooming and $F_{2,24} = 3.20$ ($P = 0.0584$) for crouching. **$P < 0.01$ and *$P < 0.05$, two-tailed t-tests, respectively.

Figure 3 Representative fluorescence imaging of CD38 and DAPI in the NAcc. CD38$^{−/−}$ sires were infected with either lenti-HA-HCD38 (A-C) or GFP (D-F). CD38 was stained using an anti-HA antibody. Images are enlarged in B and C or E and F as indicated by arrows. Scales are 100 $\mu$m (for A and D), 50 $\mu$m (for B and E) and 10 $\mu$m (for C and F), respectively. Aca: anterior commissure anterior; core: NAcc core; shell: NAcc shell.
cells in the NAcc. GFP was used as the expression control in the same area (Figure 3D-F).

Second, we examined sucrose preference to quantify dysfunction in the sensory system and/or adhedonic effects as a component of the NAcc functions in CD38−/− mice. We used young adult males instead of sires in these experiments, because many mice (approximately 320) were required (Figure 4A). CD38−/− males exhibited a significantly lower dose-dependent sucrose preference, as shown by the right shift in drinking 0.1%−30% sucrose solutions compared with water using the two-bottle method (50, 51; Figure 4A). A Two-way ANOVA revealed that wild-type mice (F5,124 = 12.97, P = 0.0000), CD38−/− males (F5,124 = 16.97, P = 0.0000) and their interaction with sucrose concentrations (F5,124 = 3.80, P = 0.0006) were significant effects.

The lower preference ratio at 1% sucrose in CD38−/− males was not reversed by GFP re-expression (Figure 4B). The preference was reversed by expression of HCD38 (P < 0.05). No additive effect was obtained by the re-expression of HCD38 and treatment with OT (One-way ANOVA, F4,48 = 11.00, P = 0.0000), most likely because of a ceiling effect (Figure 4B).

**Discussion**

The current results demonstrate for the first time that CD38-deficient sires display no parental behavior. This finding is consistent with the previous observation that CD38-deficient sires display impaired parental behavior under such stressful conditions as the removal of their pups from the nesting arena [39]. CD38 knockout sires and dams show different degrees of recovery after subcutaneous administration of OT (exogenous OT). The frequencies of the behaviors in OT-treated knockout sires recover to approximately 50% of those of wild-type sires with OT-treated dams (Table 1).

Local re-expression of HCD38 in the NAcc caused the frequency of retrieval behavior to recover to approximately 80% in sires. Addition of OT was necessary for full recovery (Table 2). It has been shown that OT is released directly from the recurrent terminals of hypothalamic oxytocinergic neurons to the receptors in the NAcc [24]. This suggests that further calcium signal amplification by CD38 downstream of the OT receptors may be required in the NAcc. This might be explained by the requirement for the OT signal to be received from the mPOA before transmission to the NAcc, as has been previously reported [23,25]. It is therefore likely that even in sires, the expression of CD38 in the NAcc enabled the integration of OT signals from both the mPOA and the hypothalamus and that this integrated signal might be conveyed to the VP, which is the brain region that initiates maternal behavior [1,4,19].

We demonstrated in this study that the sensory system and adhedonic effects of the reward system in the NAcc [58-60] were partially disrupted in CD38 knockout male mice (Figure 4). However, this behavior was reversed by exogenous OT or re-expression of CD38 in the NAcc, clearly indicating the involvement of the oxytocinergic system in the NAcc. We examined sucrose preference by young adult males to show the involvement of CD38

**Figure 4** Effect of CD38 re-expression in the NAcc on sucrose preference in wild-type and knockout mice. (A) Sucrose uptake ratio (preference) by CD38+/+ (blue circles) and CD38−/− (yellow circles) young adult (non-parental) males at the indicated concentrations of sucrose over water in the two-bottle test over 24 hours. N = 20 each out of a total of 320 males. A Two-way ANOVA was used: wild-type mice (F5,124 = 12.97, P = 0.0000), CD38−/− mice (F5,124 = 16.97, P = 0.0000) and their interaction with sucrose concentrations (F5,124 = 3.80, P = 0.0006) were found to be significant factors. A two-tailed Student t-test was used to test significance of the difference between CD38+/+ and CD38−/− mice at 1%, #P < 0.002. (B) Sucrose preference tested with 1% sucrose in CD38+/+ (blue) and CD38−/− mice treated with (green) or without (red) OT and CD38−/− mice expressing either GFP (yellow) or HCD38 (amber). N = 7 males in each group. A One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s post hoc test was performed: F4,48 = 11.00, P = 0.0000. A two-tailed Student t-test was performed: #P = 0.000, **P < 0.05.
in the NAcc in the reward system. In this case, we thought that it would be better to use adult males with no sexual experience.

The NAcc has been extensively implicated in the regulation of maternal behavior and processing of pup-related stimuli relevant to maternal behavior: c-Fos expression is increased in the NAcc in maternally behaving rats [61-63]; maternal interaction is associated with dopamine release in the NAcc [64,65]; microinjection of dopamine receptor antagonists into the NAcc of female rats disrupts maternal behavior [66-68]; cycloheximide, a protein synthesis inhibitor, injected into the shell of the NAcc disrupts maternal behavior in rats [69]; and electrolytic- and 6-hydroxydopamine-induced lesions of the NAcc disrupt maternal behavior in rats [70-72]. Therefore, the neural circuitry comprising the NAcc, the amygdala, the ventral prefrontal cortex and the anterior cingulate cortex is thought to be involved in cue-evoked (including cues produced by pups) reward-seeking behavior [72].

In relation to the efficacy of OT in CD38 knockout mice, it has been reported that OT receptors are found in the mesocorticolimbic system and that stimulation of OT receptors in the VTA and NAcc areas influences motivated behavior; stimulation of OT receptors in the NAcc facilitates "spontaneous" maternal behavior in adult female prairie voles [24]; and OT receptors in the NAcc shell are involved in the consolidation of maternal memory in postpartum rats [26].

Although we did not examine the effect, it is known that OT appears to impact dopaminergic activity within the mesocorticolimbic dopamine system, which is crucial not only for reward and motivated behavior but also for the expression of affiliative and abuse behaviors [73]. It has been shown that application of an OT receptor antagonist via intracerebroventricular injection significantly diminishes dopaminergic release by a dopamine agonist in the NAcc and the pro-erectile effect of dopamine [74]. If social deficits associated with autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) are related to reward circuitry dysfunction, it might be expected that patients with ASD sometimes show hypoactivity in the mesocorticolimbic circuitry, including the NAcc, in response to social and non-social reward [75,76].

We have reported that paternal behavior is mediated by USVs and pheromones emitted by the dams [17]. In preliminary experiments, we tested the relationship between CD38 knockouts, USV, and retrieval: CD38−/− dams did not emit 38 kHz USV when paired with either the wild-type or CD38−/− sires. Likewise, CD38−/− males did not show any parental behavior when paired with wild-type 38-kHz USV-emitting dams. These preliminary results suggest that the CD38−/− mice demonstrate no ability to respond to the USVs.

We used human CD38 instead of mouse CD38 in the present experiment to reverse these effects in the NAcc. Previously, we used human CD38 for local re-expression in the hypothalamus because we wanted to compare recovery of social behavior (investigation time of males in response to repeated encounters with female intruders) caused by intact CD38 with arginine at the 140th amino acid or mutant CD38 with tryptophan at the 140th amino acid [39,47]. Full behavioral recovery was observed with human CD38 in CD38 knockout mice [39], although the homology at the amino acid level between human and mouse CD38 is only 59%. We thus used the same lentivirus vector harboring human CD38 in the current experiment.

**Conclusions**

The mPOA-VTA-NAcc-VP neurocircuit has been suggested to be involved in maternal behavior by rodent dams. In this study, we propose that the NAcc in this neurocircuit is shared between sires and dams in mice. CD38 in the NAcc and OT are the required components for the expression of paternal behavior in male mice.

**Methods**

**Animals**

Wild-type male and female Slc:ICR mice (Institute of Cancer Research of the Charles River Laboratories, Inc., Wilmington, MA) were obtained from Japan SLC, Inc. (Hamamatsu, Japan) through a local distributor (Sankyo Laboratory Service Corporation, Toyama, Japan). The offspring of the wild-type and CD38−/− mice were born in our laboratory colony. The average litter size of wild-type dams was 13.5 ± 0.67 (n = 30) and 10.3 ± 0.28 (n = 15) in CD38−/− dams (P = 0.0013). Although pup number was smaller, it was not extremely low in the knockout dams. Pups were weaned at 21–28 days of age and housed in same-sex groups of 5 animals until pairing. A male and female of each genotype were paired and kept in a nursing cage in our laboratory under standard conditions (24°C; 12-h light/dark cycle, lights on at 08:00) with food and water provided ad libitum. All of the animal experiments were performed in accordance with the Fundamental Guidelines for the Proper Conduct of Animal Experiments and Related Activities in Academic Research Institutions under the jurisdiction of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan and were approved by the Committee on Animal Experimentation of Kanazawa University.

**CD38 knockout mice**

The procedure for producing CD38 knockout mice has been described in detail [48]. Briefly, a 15-kbp mouse genomic DNA (BamHI-BamHI) fragment containing the first exon of the CD38 gene was cloned from a TT2
embryonic stem (ES) cell genomic library. The correctly targeted ES cells were injected into eight-cell embryos of ICR mice to produce germ-line chimeras. The mutant and wild-type mice that were used were the litters mates intercrossed between male and female heterozygotes that had been backcrossed to ICR mice for over 10 generations.

**Paternal retrieval test**

Virgin males and females of identical genotypes were paired at 45–55 days. A single male and a single female were continuously housed together in a standard mouse maternity cage from the mating period to the delivery of the pups and then to postnatal day 3–5. All of the family units consisted of a new sire and dam and their first litter of each genotype and all were experimentally naive. The sire and dam were placed for 10 min in a clean cage with new woodchip bedding, but the pups were left in the nest in the original cage. Five pups were randomly selected from the litter and placed individually at a site remote from the nest in the original cage. The sires were returned to the original home cage in the presence of their five biological pups to assess parental behavior. Parental retrieval behavior (latency to retrieve the first pup and percent of sires exhibiting retrieval) was examined for 10 min following the reunion. The behavioral tests were carried out in a randomly mixed sequence of experimental groups. Experiments were usually performed at 10:00–15:00 hours.

We defined retrieval as positive if the sires carried all 5 pups to the original nesting place or within two thirds of the distance between the nest and the place at which the pups had been placed [17]. We also observed other parental behaviors (grooming, crouching and huddling) as defined by Gubernick and Alberts [77]. Animals in this and subsequent experiments were tested only once.

**Viral preparation and lentiviral vector transfection**

Vesicular Stomatitis Virus-G protein pseudotyped lentiviral vectors were designed to express either GFP or HA-tagged human CD38 under the control of the murine embryonic stem cell virus promoter. The viral vector particles were produced and titrated as previously described [39]. Lentiviral vectors were injected stereotactically (LR, 1.0 mm, AP 1.18 mm, DV, 4.2 mm) into the NAcc of 6-week-old male CD38+/− mice that had been paired with CD38+/− females for 3 days. After 3–4 weeks of lentiviral vector suspension injection, the animals were used for the experiments on the 3rd to 5th PND after the pair produced pups. Two-μl viral suspensions were injected with a 10-μl Hamilton syringe at a rate of 0.2 μl/min with an automatic injector (Micro4, WPI, Sarasota, FL) and the needle was then left in place for an additional 5–10 min before being withdrawn.

We examined sires that were genetically manipulated with local re-expression of HCD38 because it was difficult to manipulate the pregnant females for local re-expression of HCD38.

**CD38 immunostaining**

After the parental behavior test, the mice were immediately anesthetized and perfused intracardially with cold PBS followed by cold 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS. Brains were removed and postfixed overnight in a 4% PFA solution at 4°C. Tissue sections were preincubated in blocking solution (3% BSA and 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS) for 1 h and then incubated for 12 h overnight with anti-HA and anti-GFP antibody (1:100; Sigma mouse monoclonal anti-HA antibody and 1:500 MBL rabbit anti-GFP antibody) in a blocking solution. After three washes with washing buffer, the sections were incubated with goat anti-mouse IgG antibody coupled with Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), anti-rabbit IgG antibody coupled with Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen) and DAPI (1:2000 Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Osaka, Japan) in a blocking solution for 1 h at room temperature. Images were taken using an Olympus IX71 inverted microscope (Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a cooled CCD camera (Cool SNAP HQ2; Roper Scientific, Tucson, AZ).

**Intake of sucrose solution and water in male mice**

Experimentally naïve young adult ICR wild-type and CD38+/− male mice (6–8 weeks old) were given a two-bottle choice between distilled water and different concentrations of sucrose solution, which were both available ad libitum in drinking pipettes calibrated at 0.25-ml increments, as previously reported [52,53]. The bottle positions remained constant. Fresh sucrose solution was prepared each day. A 1% concentration was used based on our previous results as well as earlier reports that mice prefer sucrose solution. Cumulative water and sucrose intakes were recorded for 4 or 24 h. Food was provided ad libitum but food intake was not recorded in this experiment. The genotype difference in the two-bottle choice between the 1% sucrose solution and water was clear in males. We therefore used male mice for the sucrose preference test. The 1% sucrose preference was measured after an intraperitoneal injection of OT (100 ng/kg body weight) and the lentiviral re-expression of GFP/HCD38 in the nucleus of the CD38+/− male mice.

**Statistical analysis**

Fisher’s exact tests and two-tailed Student’s t tests were used for single comparisons between two groups. The rest of the data were analyzed using One-way or Two-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) for two or three
components. *Post hoc* comparisons were performed only when the main effect showed statistical significance. *P*-values of the multiple comparisons were adjusted using Bonferroni’s correction. All of the analyses were performed using STATA data analysis and statistical software (Stata Corp LP, College Station, TX).
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