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Allogeneic Mesenchymal Stem Cells Ameliorate Aging Frailty: A Phase II Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial
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Abstract

Background: Aging frailty, characterized by decreased physical and immunological functioning, is associated with stem cell depletion. Human allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells (allo-hMSCs) exert immunomodulatory effects and promote tissue repair.

Methods: This is a randomized, double-blinded, dose-finding study of intravenous allo-hMSCs (100 or 200-million [M]) vs placebo delivered to patients (n = 30, mean age 75.5 ± 7.3) with frailty. The primary endpoint was incidence of treatment-emergent serious adverse events (TE-SAEs) at 1-month postinfusion. Secondary endpoints included physical performance, patient-reported outcomes, and immune markers of frailty measured at 6 months postinfusion.

Results: No therapy-related TE-SAEs occurred at 1 month. Physical performance improved preferentially in the 100M-group; immunologic improvement occurred in both the 100M- and 200M-groups. The 6-minute walk test, short physical performance exam, and forced expiratory volume in 1 second improved in the 100M-group (p = .01), not in the 200M- or placebo groups. The female sexual quality of life questionnaire improved in the 100M-group (p = .03). Serum TNF-α levels decreased in the 100M-group (p = .03). B cell intracellular TNF-α improved in both the 100M- (p < .0001) and 200M-groups (p = .002) as well as between groups compared to placebo (p = .003 and p = .039, respectively). Early and late activated T-cells were also reduced by MSC therapy.
Conclusion: Intravenous allo-hMSCs were safe in individuals with aging frailty. Treated groups had remarkable improvements in physical performance measures and inflammatory biomarkers, both of which characterize the frailty syndrome. Given the excellent safety and efficacy profiles demonstrated in this study, larger clinical trials are warranted to establish the efficacy of hMSCs in this multisystem disorder. Clinical Trial Registration: www.clinicaltrials.gov, CRATUS (#NCT02065245).
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There is increasing recognition of the health burden of frailty, a syndrome that increases in incidence with aging. Frailty confers an increased vulnerability to adverse health outcomes and mortality in response to stressors (1,2). Of note, the frailty syndrome is driven mostly by biological aging processes that include inflammation and stem cell dysfunction, as opposed to chronological aging (2–5). Early intervention may improve quality of life, reduce hospitalizations, and nursing home costs (6,7). Therefore, it is increasingly important to recognize the clinical onset of frailty, and to develop effective therapeutic strategies.

There are two main models used to define frailty (7): The deficit and the physical phenotype model. The deficit model accounts for a person’s geriatric syndromes, diseases, psychosocial, physical, and cognitive impairments, and combines them to create a “Frailty Index” (8). The physical phenotype model consists of the identification of at least three factors: weight loss, exhaustion, weakness, slowness, and decreased physical activity, which together comprise an underlying state of multisystem dysregulation (9,10). Despite the use of different criteria for evaluating frailty, both models show evidence that the prevalence of the syndrome increases with age and is higher among women (9.6%) than men (5.2%) (11). In a study of over 44,000 community-dwelling elderly adults, the overall prevalence of frailty was found to be 10.7% (11).

Currently, several multimodal interventions are employed to manage frailty, namely resistance/aerobic exercise, caloric support, vitamin D, and optimization of polypharmacy (7). However, there are no specific medical or biologic treatments that ameliorate or reverse frailty (12,13). Stem cell depletion is a key mechanism postulated to contribute to frailty (14–16). In this regard, we recently conducted a phase I open label study of human allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells (allo-hMSCs) intravenously infus ed for frailty, which showed that the cells could be safely administered, improved measures of functional capacity, and reduced inflammation (17). Therefore, we conducted the current phase II double-blinded and placebo-controlled study in order to test the hypothesis that exogenous allo-hMSCs could reverse signs and symptoms of frailty in older individuals. Similar approaches have been shown to exert beneficial effects on the cardiovascular system, with functional improvements on various types of heart disease (18–20), endothelial function (21), and systemic inflammation (22). Given their pleiotropic mechanisms of action, which include antiinflammatory, anti-inflammatory, proangiogenic properties (23), and their ability to stimulate endogenous progenitor cells (21,24), we hypothesize that their use may offer a novel treatment strategy in frail patients.

Methods

The AllogeneIC Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Patients with Aging FRAlTy via IntravenousUS Delivery (CRATUS) study (#NCT02065245) is a phase II, randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled study of allo-hMSCs delivered intravenously (IV) in frail individuals to test the safety and efficacy of allo-hMSCs in reducing markers of inflammation and improving markers of physical and mental functioning and quality of life (15,25).

Study Design, Stem Cell Procurement and Randomization

The study design and phase I of the CRATUS study have been recently published (17,26). Screening and patient randomization are outlined in Figure 1 (26), and available in the Supplementary Material.

Patient Inclusion Criteria and Timeline

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (i) Patients were provided written informed consent, (ii) patients were aged ≥60 and ≤95 years at the time of signing the Informed Consent Form, and (iii) they showed the signs of frailty based on physician assessment, apart from a concomitant condition, by a score between 4 and 7 as denoted by the Canadian Study on Health Aging (25,27,28). Major exclusion criteria and a detailed timeline have been published (26).

Study Endpoints

The primary endpoint was the safety of allo-hMSCs at 1 month, assessed by treatment emergent-serious adverse events (TE-SAE). TE-SAEs were defined by the following: death, nonfatal pulmonary embolism, stroke, hospitalization for worsening dyspnea, and clinically significant laboratory abnormalities. The secondary endpoints assessed the efficacy of the therapy. Efficacy was demonstrated by differences in the rate of change of frailty markers as defined by: reduced activity (Community Healthy Activities Model Program for Seniors (CHAMPS) questionnaire), slowing of mobility (6-minute walk test (6MWT), 4-m gait speed test (4MGST), and the short physical performance battery (SPPB) score, comprised of balance tests, gait speed tests, and chair stand tests), weight loss, diminished hand grip strength (dynamometry), exhaustion-multidimensional fatigue inventory (MFI), quality of life assessments (Sexual Quality of Life-Female (SQOL-F) and International Index of Erectile Dysfunction (IIEF) Questionnaires), dobutamine-induced ejection fraction (EF) via echocardiography, C-reactive protein (CRP), IL-6, D-dimer, complete blood cell count (CBC) with differential, and TNF-α.

Immune Monitoring

Immune biomarkers were measured at baseline and 6 months as described previously (17) and in the Supplementary Material.

Statistical Analysis

No formal statistical justification was performed to determine sample size for this study. Sample size was determined to be appropriate for an early phase study to assess safety in this population. Due to the early phase nature of this study, no adjustments were made for multiple analyses (26). Statistical analysis was completed by statisticians at the Emmes Corporation and is available in the Supplementary Material.
Results

Patient Population
Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the enrolled patients. Sixty percent of the patients were White males and the mean age was 75.5 ± 7.3 years.

Safety
No TE-SAEs occurred in any of the three groups in the first 30 days. Similarly, there were no cumulative treatment-related SAEs in either group throughout the duration of the study (Table 2). None of the patients showed any signs of adverse cardiopulmonary reaction following the intravenous infusion. There were no clinically significant changes in basic hematologic and chemistry laboratory tests throughout the duration of the study.

Long-term Adverse Events
One patient in the 200M-group died of an unrelated event prior to the 12-month follow-up. Additionally, one patient in the placebo had an unrelated stroke 307 days postinfusion. The proportion of patients with adverse events at 12 months did not differ between groups at the 6- and 12-month time points (p = .300 and p = .141, respectively).

Hospitalization
There were four patients who required hospitalization within the 12-month follow-up. Two of the hospitalizations were reported in one patient in the 100M-group, both of which were moderate in severity; however, none of the hospitalizations were secondary to the procedure. No patients in the 200M-group were hospitalized. The remaining three patients belonged to the placebo group; one patient had two moderate hospitalizations and one severe, another had a hospitalization that was moderate in severity, and another had one severe hospitalization. None of the hospitalizations were related to the procedure.

Functional Status, Quality of Life, and Pulmonary Function
Quality of life and functional status were monitored throughout the study. These outcomes preferentially improved in patients randomized to receive 100M allo-hMSCs. The 6MWT increased in the 100M-group from baseline to 6 months (345.9 ± 103.4 to 410.7 ± 155.4 m, p = .011; Figure 2A). There was no significant change at 6 months (p = .263) in either the 200M-group or placebo (p = .112). The 4MGST showed no significant differences among groups (p = .639) at 6 months. Consistent with the improvement in 6MWT, the SPPB total score was significantly improved in the 100M-group from baseline to 6 months (median 10.5, IQR 9.0, 12.0; p = .031; Figure 2B). However, there were no significant differences in the 200M-group (p = .812) or placebo (p = .875). The CHAMPS-questionnaire showed a reduced total caloric expenditure per week at moderate intensity in the 200M-group from baseline to 6 months (median 10.5, IQR 9.0, 12.0; p = .031; Figure 2B). Conversely, there was no significant reduction in the 100M-group at 6 months (p = .641). There were no differences between groups in weight loss (p = .7599), MFI, which assessed mental fatigue (p = .548), and handgrip strength as assessed via the average of dominant hand scores (p = .676). Ejection fraction, assessed by dobutamine stress echo, remained stable throughout the study in all patients. FEV1 improved in the 100M-group from baseline to 6 months (2.5 ± 0.66 to 2.6 ± 0.77 L/min, p = .025) without significant changes in the 200M-group (p = .259) or placebo (p = .883; Figure 2D).
Immune Biomarkers

Immuno-tolerability was assessed using a calculated PRA (cPRA) measured at baseline and 6 months post-infusion on each patient. Three patients had a mild/moderate increase in donor specific antibodies (one mild in the 100M- and two moderate in the 200M-group). There were two other patients in the 200M-group that had a mild/moderate increase in cPRA but were not donor-specific reactions (Table 3). There were no clinically significant immune reactions reported. Both the 100M and 200M doses were effective in modulating immune parameters whereas placebo was not. Reduction in the early-activation CD69 cells was noted in the 200M-group (27.0 ± 4.30 to 16.4 ± 7.25%, \(p = .004\); Figure 3A) at 6 months. There were no reductions in the 100M-group (\(p = .269\)) or placebo (\(p = .69\)).

There were no reductions in the 100M-group (\(p = .129\)) or placebo (\(p = .31\)), whereas it did not significantly change in the 200M-group (\(p = .014\)). There were no reductions in the 100M-group (\(p = .609\)) or placebo (\(p = .609\)).

The reductions in both the 100M- and 200M-groups were significant compared to placebo (\(p = .094\); Figure 3E). Similarly, B cell intracellular TNF-\(\alpha\) significantly decreased in both the 100M- and 200M-groups (17.3 ± 1.8 to 7.0 ± 1.0, \(p < .0001\), and 17.1 ± 2.0 to 8.4 ± 1.1, \(p = .001\), respectively; Figure 3F) with no improvement in placebo at 6 months (\(p = .69\)). The reductions in both the 100M- and 200M-groups were significant compared to placebo (\(p < .0001\) and \(p = .0002\); Figure 3F). Finally, there were no significant changes noted in IL-6, CRP, D-dimer, CBC, or fibrinogen at 6 months in any group (data not shown).

**Sexual Quality of Life**

Among female patients, the SQOL-F exhibited a remarkable increase in the 100M-group at 6 months (59.8 ± 15.3 to 76.0 ± 12.9, \(p = .035\)), but no changes were observed in the 200M-group (\(p = .382\)) or placebo (\(p = .941\); Figure 4). Conversely, there were no differences among male participants in the IIEF from baseline to 6 months (\(p = .666\)).

**Discussion**

The CRATUS trial is a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled evaluation of allo-hMCSs to treat the signs and symptoms of
Table 2. Safety Summary

| System Organ Class          | MedDRA Preferred Term | Treatment Group |          |          |          |          |          |          |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                             |                       | Allo-100M (N = 10) | Allo-200M (N = 10) | Placebo (N = 10) | Total (N = 30) |
|                             | Events                | Patients        | Events   | Patients | Events   | Patients | Events   | Patients |
| General disorders and      | Death                 | 1 (50%)         | 1 (10%)  | 1 (10%)  | 1 (10%)  | 1 (3%)   |          |          |
| administration site         |                       |                 |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| conditions                 |                       |                 |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Hepatobiliary disorders    | Cholecystitis         | 1 (17%)         | 1 (10%)  | 1 (10%)  | 1 (10%)  | 1 (3%)   |          |          |
| Infections and             | Gastroenteritis       | 1 (17%)         | 1 (10%)  | 1 (10%)  | 1 (10%)  | 1 (3%)   |          |          |
| infestations               |                       |                 |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Musculoskeletal and         | Flank pain            | 1 (50%)         | 1 (10%)  |          |          | 1 (3%)   |          |          |
| connective tissue disorders|                       |                 |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Neoplasms benign,          | Spinal column stenosis| 1 (17%)         | 1 (10%)  | 1 (10%)  | 1 (10%)  | 1 (3%)   |          |          |
| malignant and              | Spondylolisthesis     | 1 (17%)         | 1 (10%)  | 1 (10%)  | 1 (10%)  | 1 (3%)   |          |          |
| unspecified (incl cysts    | Glioblastoma          | 1 (17%)         | 1 (10%)  | 1 (10%)  | 1 (10%)  | 1 (3%)   |          |          |
| and polyps)                |                       |                 |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Renal and urinary          | Ureteric stenosis     | 1 (50%)         | 1 (10%)  |          |          | 1 (3%)   |          |          |
| disorders                  |                       |                 |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Vascular disorders         | Aneurysm              | 1 (16%)         | 1 (10%)  | 1 (10%)  | 1 (10%)  | 1 (3%)   |          |          |
|                            | Hypotension           | 1 (17%)         | 1 (10%)  | 1 (10%)  | 1 (10%)  | 1 (3%)   |          |          |
| Total                      |                       | 2 (100%)        | 1 (10%)  | 2 (100%) | 2 (20%)  | 6 (100%) | 4 (40%)  | 10 (100%)|

Note: There were no TE-SAEs at 1 month or SAEs in either group. One patient died in the 200M-group unrelated to the treatment. There were seven hospitalizations, two in the 100M-group, and five in the placebo. None were related to the procedure. Details of the hospitalizations are located in the supplementary material. SAE = Serious adverse events; TE-SAE = Treatment-emergent serious adverse events.

Frailty. The results support the safety and feasibility of administering allo-hMSCs in this population. With regard to efficacy, there was a preferential effect towards improvement of functional capacity and patient reported outcome measures in patients receiving lower dose MSCs, although immunologic bioactivity was evident with both doses. Together, these findings suggest that allo-hMSCs may be an effective biological modifier of aging frailty, and support ongoing investigation of allo-hMSCs alone or as an adjunct to current physiologic and immunologic markers of frailty, while the high dose group solely demonstrated positive immunomodulatory effects. It is important to note that there is a precedent for this in earlier studies, and a number of stem cell-based clinical trials exhibit greater effects with lower doses (19,30). However, the available preclinical and clinical evidence regarding dose relationship in stem cell therapy is conflicting (31), with some studies reporting that lower cell dosage and/or infusion cell concentration may provide the most benefit (19,32), while others finding either a direct or nonlinear relationship (33).

There are several factors that could contribute to nonlinear dose response curves with cell-based therapy. These include variation in functional activity of the cells rather than the absolute number of cells infused. In this regard, higher cell concentrations could impair cell activity through physical effects such as concentration-dependent cell aggregation, or damage of cells due to excessive shear forces on cells during infusion that could influence the relationship between cell dose and clinical benefit (31). Therefore, studies have recently been focusing on cell activity and or genetic modification to enhance their activity, rather than quantity (34,35). However, as with all progenitor cell types in various disease processes, whether modified or not, exact dosing has yet to be established, and thus is a weakness of this particular study. Given the novel use of MSCs in frailty, a patient population for whom a successful therapy has yet to be developed, dosing was based on safety as established by previous studies (18,19) and phase I (17), and was further investigated in the current study. Importantly, safety was ultimately established in both cell-dose groups. The optimal effective dosing will be investigated in future larger randomized trials.

In the current study, we employed allo-hMSCs, which can target two pathways implicated in the pathogenesis of aging frailty—inflammation and stem cell depletion. The current findings support the idea that biological modification of aging frailty is not only feasible but has the potential to meaningfully impact the physical performance of older individuals with mild to moderate. It is noteworthy that the effects on inflammatory
cytokines may have practical value by providing a clinical useful biomarker. Importantly, both circulating TNF-α levels as well as B-cell intracellular TNF-α appear to be candidate biomarkers that could be used to index the efficacy of hMSCs in this patient population.

The 6MWT, SPPB-questionnaire, FEV1, and the CHAMPS-questionnaire were among the physical performance measures examined in our study, and the 100M-group in particular produced a meaningful outcome in all measures. The 6MWT was originally designed to evaluate cardiac and pulmonary disorders; recently, its application has expanded to assess an individual's exercise capacity at various levels of intensity and their ability to walk safely in a community setting [36,37]. Similarly, the SPPB is a physical measure utilized to identify an individual's future risk of disability, institutionalization, and mortality in the elderly adults [38]. CHAMPS was created to improve physical activity in the elderly [39]. The survey utilizes a series of questions to measure physical activities which are then employed to estimate caloric expenditure per week [39,40]. Together with measures of pulmonary function, these factors are of great importance in an individual's ability to remain mobile and active in a community setting. Other quality of life measures included sexual function. Although males did not experience any improvement in erectile dysfunction, women significantly improved their scores on the SQOL in the 100M-group. This is a particularly meaningful marker of improved quality of life, as loss of libido in postmenopausal women...
is intrinsically linked to hypoactive sexual desire disorder (HSDD), a disorder marked by clinically significant personal distress (41).

Frailty in advancing age is associated with a heightened state of inflammation termed “inflammaging” (42). Markers of chronic inflammation, such as TNF-α and leukocytosis, are all associated with aging and age-related diseases (43). TNF-α in particular has been correlated with increased mortality in the elderly adults (44). MSCs harbor immunomodulatory properties and have been shown to decrease inflammatory markers in several studies (45,46). Therefore, it is not surprising that both the 100M- and 200M-cell

---

**Figure 3.** Immune biomarkers in frailty. All time points are from baseline to 6 months except for TNF-α which begins on Day 1 (infusion) through 6 months. (A) Early T-cell activation (CD3, CD69) were reduced as a percent change from baseline to 6 months in the 200M-group (p = .004), but not the 100M-group (p = .269) or placebo (p = .0797). (B) Late T-cell activation (CD3, CD25) was reduced as a percent change from baseline to 6 months in the 100M and 200M-groups (p = .007 and p = .048 respectively), but not in the placebo (p = .119). (C) % CD8T-cells decreased from baseline to 6 months in the 200M-group (p = .022) and no changes were noted in the 100M-group (p = .978) or placebo (p = .0797). (D) CD4/CD8 ratio increased from baseline to 6 months in the 200M-group (p = .014) and no changes were found in the 100M-group (p = .609) or placebo (p = .104). (E) Serum TNF-α decreased in pg/mL from baseline to 6 months in the 100M-group (p = .031) without a change in the 200M-group (p = .129) or placebo (p = .094). (F) %B cells expressing intracellular TNF-α decreased from baseline to 6 months in the 100M (p < .0001) and 200M-groups (p = .002) without a significant change in placebo (p = .869). * indicates p < .05.
doses significantly modulated the immune systems of the treated participants. Serum TNF-α was significantly reduced in the 100M-group, while B cell intracellular TNF-α was reduced in both the 100M- and 200M-groups, and as compared to placebo. Furthermore, there was a suppression of the late/chronically activated T-cells (CD25) at 6 months postinfusion. The 200M-group produced clinically significant decreases in markers of early and late/chronic T-cell activation. Most interestingly, allo-hMSCs significantly reduced the percentage of CD8 T-cells. The risk for infection is increased in aging and is marked by a CD4/CD8 ratio less than one (29). Six months post-allo-hMSC treatment, there was a significant improvement in the immune risk phenotype of the 200M-group. These immune responses due to MSCs are likely to contribute salutary effects and could enhance health span in individuals with aging frailty.

Aging is characterized by a diminished reserve in all organ systems, with impaired stem cell production and/or function being implicated as contributing to the body’s inability to repair itself (47). Chronic inflammation in particular is not only associated with frailty, but also creates a detrimental environment for stem cells and their ability to oppose disease processes (48). Currently, most research on frailty has focused on improvements on physiologic reserve, with a focus on the dysregulation of inflammation (49). Utilizing young healthy individuals as donors for hMSCs, this study addresses both physiologic and inflammatory aspects of aging frailty.

This study is limited by a small sample size. The lack of differences between groups, with the exception of intracellular TNF-α, is due to the study’s small size which limits statistical power. Of note, the point estimate between the 100M-group and placebo in the physical performance metric 6MWD would require 30 patients per group for appropriate statistical power to detect a difference between groups. A future larger study is planned to address this.

In summary, the present study indicates that intravenous allo-hMSC delivery is safe in individuals with aging frailty. Given this excellent safety profile coupled with promising indications of efficacy in the 100M cell group, pivotal clinical trials are warranted to further establish the efficacy of allo-hMSCs in this multisystem disorder, to define optimal dosing of MSCs in this population, and to validate the use of inflammatory biomarkers as a useful surrogate of clinical outcome.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary data is available at The Journals of Gerontology, Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences online.
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