patients with SARS and upper respiratory symptoms might be coincfected with other respiratory viruses and become superspreaders. Interestingly, the report on a SARS superspreading event in Hong Kong explicitly states that the superspreader had presented with a “runny nose” (in addition to fever, cough, and malaise) (3). Therefore, upper respiratory symptoms might be a marker for highly infectious SARS patients. Future investigations, based upon either existing specimens from the last outbreak or newly collected specimens from any future outbreak, should focus on whether an association exists between SARS superspreading events and coinfection with other respiratory viruses.
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**Route of Infection in Melioidosis**

To the Editor: Melioidosis is an emerging tropical infectious disease, the incidence of which is unknown in many developing countries because of the lack of diagnostic tests and medical practitioners’ lack of awareness of the disease. It is a potentially fatal disease caused by the soil bacterium Burkholderia pseudomallei. Clinical manifestations, severity, and duration of B. pseudomallei infection vary greatly (1).

Melioidosis develops after subcutaneous infection, inhalation, or ingestion of contaminated particles or aerosols. Infection has occurred after near-drowning accidents (1–3) and transmission of B. pseudomallei in drinking water (4). The route of B. pseudomallei infection is at least 1 of the factors that influences disease outcome, thus contributing to the broad spectrum of clinical signs associated with melioidosis. Researchers use different routes of delivery of B. pseudomallei in experimental models to study the pathogenesis of the disease and the induction of host protection. Infection by different routes exposes a pathogen to different components of the host immune system and may subsequently influence disease outcome. Despite this difference, no comprehensive investigation has compared the pathogenesis of melioidosis established by different routes of infection.

Following intravenous (IV) infection, BALB/c mice are highly susceptible, and C57BL/6 mice are relatively resistant to B. pseudomallei infection (5). Using this murine model, we compared the pathogenesis of B. pseudomallei infection after introducing the bacterium by IV, intraperitoneal (IP), intranasal, oral, and subcutaneous (SC) routes of infection. The virulence of 2 B. pseudomallei strains (NCTC 13178 and NCTC 13179) was compared in BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice by using a modified version of the Reed & Meunch (1938) method. Compared to BALB/c mice, C57BL/6 mice are less susceptible to B. pseudomallei infection, regardless of the portal of entry, thus validating the model of differential susceptibility for various routes of infection (Table). However, as demonstrated by others (5–7), C57BL/6 mice are not completely resistant to infection by B. pseudomallei. Systemic melioidosis can be generated in C57BL/6 mice by using different routes of infection, if a high dose is used. When injected IV into BALB/c mice, NCTC 13178 is highly virulent since the 50% lethal dose (LD50) is <10 CFU. However, if BALB/c mice are injected SC with NCTC 13178, the LD50 value increases 100-fold to 1 x 10^5 CFU. This value is equivalent to the LD50 of the less virulent NCTC 13179 delivered SC. The results emphasize that virulence depends on the route of infection.

The pathogenesis of B. pseudomallei NCTC 13178 infection was compared after infection by the IV, IP, SC, intranasal, and oral routes. BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice were administered 570 CFU (equivalent to 60 x LD50 delivered IV) or 3 x 10^5 CFU (equivalent to 60 x LD50 delivered IV), respectively. At 1, 2, and 3 days postinfection, bacterial loads were measured in blood, spleen, liver, lungs, lymph nodes (right and left axillary and inguinal), and brain by using methods described previously (5). A tropism for spleen and liver was demonstrated following infection by...
each of the 5 routes. *B. pseudomallei* could be detected in the tissues of IV- and IP-infected mice earlier and in higher numbers than in those of intranasally and orally-infected mice, despite the fact that all mice received equal numbers of bacteria. This finding reflects differences in the innate immune response, depending on the route of infection. Bacterial numbers in mice infected by the IV or IP route reached $>10^6$ CFU by day 2 postinfection, which indicates a failure of the innate immune response to control infection, leading to overwhelming sepsis and death.

Bacterial loads in tissues after challenge with a lethal dose of highly virulent NCTC 13178 did not indicate any tropism for the lung after intranasal infection. As early as day 1, bacterial loads were greatest in the liver and spleen, not lungs, of C57BL/6 and BALB/c mice following intranasal challenge. This finding suggests a very early systemic spread of *B. pseudomallei* from the lungs to other organs.

Bacteria were detected in the brains of all mice after infection by either the IV, IP, intranasal, or oral route. Colonies recovered from the brains of C57BL/6 mice infected by the intranasal or oral routes were mucoid in appearance. In comparison, bacteria recovered from brains of C57BL/6 mice that were challenged by the IV or IP route demonstrated the characteristic wrinkled shape on Ashdown agar and may have been a consequence of the overwhelming septicaemia that spilled over to all organs. Variation in colonial morphology of *B. pseudomallei* has been documented previously (8), and biofilm formation may be an adaptation of *B. pseudomallei* that enables it to evade host immune responses or to survive within unfavorable environments (9,10). The variation in colonial morphology on Ashdown agar observed in bacteria isolated from brains of C57BL/6 mice infected by the intranasal or oral route may reflect a change to biofilm formation of *B. pseudomallei* in this tissue.

In summary, the results of this study reiterate the validity of the mouse model for differential susceptibility to *B. pseudomallei*, regardless of the route of infection. The data also emphasize that virulence depends on the portal of entry of *B. pseudomallei*. Researchers should, therefore, be particularly cautious when comparing and extrapolating data from studies that use different methods of infection.

In contrast to the intranasal route of infection, *B. pseudomallei* was recovered from brains of all mice challenged by the IV or IP route. Colonies recovered from the brains of C57BL/6 mice infected by the IV or IP route demonstrated the characteristic wrinkled shape on Ashdown agar and may have been a consequence of the overwhelming septicaemia that spilled over to all organs. Variation in colonial morphology of *B. pseudomallei* has been documented previously (8), and biofilm formation may be an adaptation of *B. pseudomallei* that enables it to evade host immune responses or to survive within unfavorable environments (9,10). The variation in colonial morphology on Ashdown agar observed in bacteria isolated from brains of C57BL/6 mice infected by the intranasal or oral route may reflect a change to biofilm formation of *B. pseudomallei* in this tissue.

In summary, the results of this study reiterate the validity of the mouse model for differential susceptibility to *B. pseudomallei*, regardless of the route of infection. The data also emphasize that virulence depends on the portal of entry of *B. pseudomallei*. Researchers should, therefore, be particularly cautious when comparing and extrapolating data from studies that use different methods of infection.

**References**

1. Leelarasamee A, Bovornkitti S. Melioidosis: review and update. Rev Infect Dis. 1989;11:413-25.
2. Lee N, Wu JL, Lee CH, Tsai WC. Pseudomonas pseudomallei infection from drowning: the first reported case in Taiwan. J Clin Microbiol. 1985;22:352-4.
3. Pruekprasert P, Jitsurong S. Septicemic melioidosis following near drowning. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health. 1991;22:277-8.
4. Inglis TJ, Garrow SC, Adams C, Henderson M, Mayo M. Dry season outbreak of melioidosis in Western Australia. Lancet. 1998;352:1600.
5. Leakey A, Ulett GC, Hirst RG BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice infected with virulent *Burkholderia pseudomallei* provide contrasting animal models for the acute and chronic forms of human melioidosis. Microb Pathog. 1998;24:269-75.
6. Hoppe I, Bremeke B, Rohde M, Keft A, Haussler S, Regergerowski A, Steinmetz I. Characterization of a murine model of melioidosis: comparison of different strains of mice. Infect Immun. 1999;67:2891-900.
7. Liu B, Koo GC, Yap EH, Chua KL, Gan YH. Model of differential susceptibility to mucosal *Burkholderia pseudomallei* infection. Infect Immun. 2002;70:504-11.
8. Nigg C, Ruch J, Scott E, Noble K. Enhancement of virulence of *Malleomyces pseudomallei*. J Bacteriol. 1956;71:530-41.
9. Vorachit M, Lam K, Jayanetra P, Costerton JW. Electron microscope study of the mode of growth of *Pseudomonas pseudomallei* in vitro and in vivo. J Trop Med Hyg. 1995;98:379-91.
10. Nanagara R, Vipulakorn K, Suwannaroj S, Schumacher HR. Atypical morphological characteristics and surface antigen expression of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* in naturally infected human synovial tissues. Mod Rheumatol. 2000;10:129-36.

Address for correspondence: Jodie L. Barnes, School of Biomedical Sciences, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland, Australia 4811; fax: 61-7-4779-1526; email: jodie.barnes@jcu.edu.au

**Bordetella pertussis in Adult Pneumonia Patients**

To the Editor: Although *B. pertussis* infection is well-characterized in children, the epidemiology and clinical spectrum of pertussis in adult...