Site-directed mutagenesis of the \(\chi\) subunit of DNA polymerase III and single-stranded DNA-binding protein of *E. coli* reveals key residues for their interaction
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ABSTRACT

During DNA replication in *Escherichia coli*, single-stranded DNA-binding protein (SSB) protects single-stranded DNA from nuclease action and hairpin formation. It is known that the highly conserved C-terminus of SSB contacts the \(\chi\) subunit of DNA polymerase III. However, there only exists a theoretical model in which the 11 C-terminal amino acids of SSB have been docked onto the surface of \(\chi\). In order to refine this model of SSB/\(\chi\) interaction, we exchanged amino acids in \(\chi\) and SSB by site-directed mutagenesis that are predicted to be of key importance. Detailed characterization of the interaction of these mutants by analytical ultracentrifugation shows that the interaction area is correctly predicted by the model; however, the SSB C-terminus binds in a different orientation to the \(\chi\) surface. We show that evolutionary conserved residues of \(\chi\) form a hydrophobic pocket to accommodate the ultimate two amino acids of SSB, P176 and F177. This pocket is surrounded by conserved basic residues, important for the SSB/\(\chi\) interaction. Mass spectrometric analysis of \(\chi\) protein cross-linked to a C-terminal peptide of SSB reveals that K132 of \(\chi\) and D172 of SSB are in close contact. The proposed SSB-binding site resembles those described for RecQ and exonuclease I.

INTRODUCTION

Bacterial single-stranded DNA-binding (SSB) proteins are essential for cellular viability, as they play an important role in DNA metabolism (1,2). They bind sequence independently and with high affinity to patches of single-stranded DNA (ssDNA), providing protection from nuclease action and hairpin formation, thus stabilizing the nucleic acid in a conformation suitable for replication (3–5), recombination and repair (6–9).

The primary structure of bacterial SSB proteins consists of three different regions. The N-terminal part of the protein harbours the DNA-binding domain (OB-fold) (10–12), which is followed by a mostly unstructured region, rich in proline and glycine residues. The protein sequence is terminated by a highly conserved C-terminal region, containing a consensus sequence of six amino acid residues (DDDPFP).

Apart from their function in stabilizing and protecting ssDNA, SSB proteins interact directly with a number of other proteins. For *Escherichia coli*, it has been shown that there are at least 14 interaction partners, including exonuclease I, RecQ, primase and the \(\chi\) subunit of DNA polymerase III (13–17). In all cases investigated so far, protein–protein interaction requires the conserved C-terminal part of SSB (18). Interestingly, SSB proteins present in eukaryotic organisms, for example human mitochondrial SSB, lack this C-terminal region (19).

The conserved C-terminal part of the SSB protein from *E. coli* (EcoSSB) is essential for cellular viability, as SSB truncation variants lacking C-terminal amino acids cannot complement for wild-type protein (20). Moreover, the temperature-sensitive *ssb*-113 mutant strain, which shows an alteration in the penultimate amino acid residue of SSB (P176S) (21), can no longer replicate DNA at temperatures higher than 30°C (22) and shows hypersensitivity to DNA damage (23,24). SSB-113 and C-terminal truncation variants of SSB are also impaired in interaction with the \(\chi\) subunit of DNA polymerase III (13,14,25).

The binding of \(\chi\) to SSB has a modulating function in DNA replication, because it detaches primase from RNA
primers (13), increases the affinity of SSB for ssDNA (25) and activates DNA polymerase III holoenzyme at physiological salt concentrations (14,26). Until now it is not entirely clear which amino acid residues of $\chi$ and SSB are involved in the interaction and how SSB contacts the surface of $\chi$. So far, the only available model (27) comprises the 3D structure of $E. coli$ $\chi$ (Eco$\chi$) (28) with the 11 C-terminal amino acids of *Thermus aquaticus* SSB (TaqSSB) docked onto its surface. In 2008, the structure of exonuclease I (ExoI) bound to a peptide including the last nine C-terminal amino acid residues of SSB was determined (29). The structure showed two adjacent SSB-binding sites on the surface of ExoI (A- and B-site), which both contain a hydrophobic pocket to provide space for the ultimate phenylalanine (F177) of SSB. These pockets are flanked by a basic rim to contact the acidic residues of the C-terminus of SSB. More recently, the binding site for the C-terminus of SSB on RecQ was identified by NMR analysis (30) and found to contain very similar structural elements. Upon comparison of these results, it seems likely that the presence of a hydrophobic pocket and a basic patch are common features present on the surface of a wider range of SSB interaction partners. In this study, the model of SSB/$\chi$ interaction was refined using a multifaceted approach that includes site-directed mutagenesis, cross-linking, analytical ultracentrifugation and mass spectrometry experiments in order to identify residues directly involved in SSB/$\chi$ interaction.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Buffers and reagents**

All materials were of the highest purity available and were obtained from Sigma, Pierce and J.T. Baker. Analytical ultracentrifugation experiments were carried out in a standard buffer containing 20 mM potassium phosphate pH 7.4, 0.3 M NaCl and 0.5 mM DTT (high salt buffer). For cross-linking experiments, the buffer contained 5 mM potassium phosphate pH 7.4, 0.3 M NaCl and 0.5 mM DTT (high salt buffer).

Protein concentrations were determined using the following extinction coefficients at 280 nm: 29 450 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$ for DNA polymerase III $\chi$ subunit wild-type and all its mutants, except $\chi$ Y119A, $\chi$ Y131A and $\chi$ Y131L, for which an extinction coefficient of 27 960 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$ was used; all of these extinction coefficients were calculated from amino acid composition using Sednterp (31,32); 113 000 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$ for EcoSSB wild-type and SSB+Gly (33). SSB concentrations are given in tetramers directly involved in SSB/$\chi$ interaction.

**Site-directed mutagenesis**

Site-directed mutagenesis was performed with the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit of Stratagene (LaJolla, CA, USA) and vectors pET-15b (Novagen) containing $\chi$ (pET-15b$\chi$) (25) or pSF1 (34) containing SSB as templates. The point mutations were introduced using the following oligonucleotides (MWG Biotech., Germany): the antisense primers for each mutant have the reverse complementary sequence:

| Mutation | Oligonucleotide sequence |
|----------|--------------------------|
| K124A    | -CGTGACATTCCGTTCGGTTAAGATATCAAAAC |
| Y119A    | -CTGGCGCGCGAGCGCTATGCAGCCTACCGCGT |
| Y131L    | -CAACTGGCGCGCGAACGCTTAAAGGCCTACCG |
| K132A    | -CTGGGCGCGGAGCGCTATGCAGCCTACCGG |
| K132M    | -CTGGGCGCGGAGCGCTATGCAGCCTACCGG |
| SSB+Gly  | -GAATAGGTTATATTG-3 |
| V117F    | -CAGAAGTCTTCTTCCGTATCGAGAT |
| V117I    | -CGAAGTCTTCCGTATCGAGAT |
| Y119A    | -GGTAGACTCTTCGGCAAGAGATTCTCTG-3 |
| K124A    | -CTTAGAGATTCTCTGGCAACGCTGCTGCCG |
| K124M    | -CGTTCATTGAAATTTCTCTGAAGCTGGC |
| R128A    | -CTTCTGAAAACCTGCGCGGAAAGCCTAATAAA |
| Y131A    | -CAACTGGCGCGCGAACGCTTAAAGGCCTACCG |
| Y131L    | -CAACTGGCGCGCGAACGCTTAAAGGCCTACCG |
| K132A    | -CTGGGCGCGGAGCGCTATGCAGCCTACCGG |
| K132M    | -CTGGGCGCGGAGCGCTATGCAGCCTACCGG |
| SSB+Gly  | -GATGACATTCCGTTCGGTTAAGATATCAAAAC |

In the case of the $\chi$ mutants, the amino acid residue mentioned in the primer name was replaced by a different amino acid (e.g. in the case of $\chi$ K124A, the lysine residue at position 124 of $\chi$ was replaced by an alanine). However, for the SSB+Gly mutant, the stop codon after F177 of EcoSSB was replaced by a codon for glycine and a new stop codon was inserted downstream. All mutated constructs were checked for errors by sequencing the complete gene (GATC Biotech. AG, Germany).

**Protein preparation**

*Escherichia coli* strain BT317 (35) containing the plasmid pRK248, which codes for the thermosensitive $\lambda$I857 repressor, was used to produce SSB wild-type and the SSB+Gly mutant. The cells were transformed with pSF1 carrying the ssb gene under the control of the $\lambda$ pL promoter. Protein expression was induced by a temperature shift from 30°C to 42°C and cells were harvested 3 h after induction. EcoSSB wild-type and SSB+Gly were purified as described by Lohman et al. (36), omitting the ssDNA cellulose affinity column. The purified protein was flash-frozen in N$_2$ (liq) and stored at $-80°C$.

**Vector pET-15b** carries the gene of the $\chi$ subunit of DNA polymerase III under control of the T7 promoter (25) and was used to transform *E. coli* strain Rosetta (DE3) pLysS (Novagen). Protein expression and purification of $\chi$ wild-type and mutants was carried out according to Xiao et al. (37) with the following modifications: the ATP agarose column was omitted; after purification, the protein was precipitated with 500 g l$^{-1}$ (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$, dissolved in 20 mM HEPES pH 6.9, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 10% (v/v) glycerol and then dialysed against 20 mM potassium phosphate pH 7.4, 1 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 60% (v/v) glycerol. The protein was stored at $-20°C$. After purification, the proteins were checked by analytical ultracentrifugation for homogeneity. All mutants showed the same $c$ distribution as wild-type protein, no hint for changes in tertiary structure due to the introduced mutations could be found.
Analytical ultracentrifugation

Sedimentation velocity experiments were performed in a XL-I analytical ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter, USA), using absorption optics (12 mm path length, λ = 280 nm). During the experiment, the absorption of the sample was obtained as a function of the radial position. Programming of the centrifuge and data recording was done using the computer software ProteomeLab™ (Beckman Coulter, USA). Each χ mutant was checked for its affinity to SSB by titrating a constant concentration of SSB (2.5 μM) with increasing concentrations of χ (from 2.5 to 30 μM), representing stoichiometries of 1:1 to 1:12. All experiments were performed under high salt conditions (see ‘Buffers and reagents’ section). Samples with a volume of 400 μl were centrifuged in double sector cells at 20°C and 50 000 rpm in an An50Ti rotor (Beckman Coulter, USA).

The measured data were evaluated with the program package SEDFIT (38). This program provides a model for diffusion-corrected differential sedimentation coefficient distributions \( c(s) \) distributions. As the areas under the separate peaks in \( c(s) \) distributions are a measure of the absorbance of the species represented by the peaks (39), this information can be used to determine binding isotherms (40).

Complementation assay

In E. coli strain RDP268, the chromosomal ssb gene is replaced by a kanamycin cassette (41). These cells carry the essential ssb gene on the additional plasmid pACYCssb, conferring chloramphenicol resistance. Using RDP268, it can be checked whether a mutant of ssb is able to functionally replace the SSB protein of E. coli in vivo.

The cells were transformed with a derivative of the single-copy plasmid pRE432 (42), carrying SSB+Gly under the control of the natural SSB promoter (pRE432PROMSSB+Gly, conferring ampicillin resistance). After transformation, the cells were incubating for 1 h on ice, residual trypsin solution was removed and substituted by 25 mM NH₄HCO₃ in 10% TFA for 30 min and then shrunk with 100% ACN. All solutions containing peptides were collected, combined and dried. Before MS analysis, peptides were dissolved in 10 μl 10% ACN in 0.2% TFA and applied to a MALDI target plate (anchor target, Bruker Daltonic) using the dried droplet method: 1 μl sample and 0.8 μl π-hydroxy-cinnamonic acid solution (4 mg ml⁻¹ in 50% ACN in 0.2% TFA) were directly mixed on the target plate and dried at room temperature. MS and MS/MS spectra were generated in an Ultraflex TOF/TOF I (Bruker Daltonic) mass spectrometer. External calibration was done using a peptide calibration standard from Bruker Daltonic. For protein identification a Mascot search was performed. The MS/MS spectra were matched with the Swissprot database. Search parameters for mass tolerance were set to 100 ppm for precursor ions and 0.7 Da for fragment ions, allowing one missed trypsin cleavage.

Cross-linking experiments

SSB-Carb is a peptide containing the last nine amino acids of EcoSSB and comprises the sequence WMDFDDDIPF (synthesized by Biomatik Corporation, USA). The N-terminal tryptophan residue was added to determine peptide concentration [extinction coefficient 5690 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 280 nm, derived from amino acid composition (32)]. SSB-Carb was used in cross-linking reactions with \( χ \) wild-type, \( χ \) K124A and \( χ \) K132A, using the zero-length cross-linker 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC, Pierce), which is able to covalently link carboxyl groups to closely neighbouring amino groups under the formation of an amide bond. The reactions were performed in low salt buffer (see ‘Buffers and reagents’ section) with 50 μM \( χ \) wild-type or mutant, 200 μM SSB-Carb and 20 mM EDC. Samples and controls (lacking either EDC or peptide) were incubated for 2 h at room temperature. Then, the samples were diluted 1:5 in SDS loading buffer [50% (v/v) glycerol, 0.16 M Tris/HCl pH 6.8, 5% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol, 2% (w/v) SDS, 0.01% (w/v) bromophenol blue] and heated to 95°C for 10 min. For mass spectrometric analysis acrylamide was added to the mixture up to a final concentration of 2%, followed by an incubation for 30 min at room temperature to inactivate free cysteine residues by alkylation. Samples and controls were analysed on a 17% SDS–PAGE (44).

Mass spectrometry

Samples for mass spectrometric analysis were prepared as described by Muetzelburg et al. (45): protein bands were excised from the gel and destained with 25 mM NH₄HCO₃ in 50% acetonitrile (ACN). After drying the gel pieces with 100 μl of 10 ng μl⁻¹ modified trypsin (Serva) in 25 mM NH₄HCO₃ and 10% ACN. After incubating for 1 h on ice, residual trypsin solution was removed and substituted by 25 mM NH₄HCO₃ in 10% ACN. Incubation was carried out over night at 37°C. The supernatant was collected and the gel pieces were washed with 50% ACN in 0.2% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) for 30 min and then shrunk with 100% ACN. All solutions containing peptides were collected, combined and dried. Before MS analysis, peptides were dissolved in 0.1% ACN in 0.2% TFA and applied to a MALDI target plate (anchor target, Bruker Daltonic) using the dried droplet method: 1 μl sample and 0.8 μl π-hydroxy-cinnamic acid solution (4 mg ml⁻¹ in 50% ACN in 0.2% TFA) were directly mixed on the target plate and dried at room temperature. MS and MS/MS spectra were generated in an Ultraflex TOF/TOF I (Bruker Daltonic) mass spectrometer. External calibration was done using a peptide calibration standard from Bruker Daltonic. For protein identification a Mascot search was performed. The MS/MS spectra were matched with the Swissprot database. Search parameters for mass tolerance were set to 100 ppm for precursor ions and 0.7 Da for fragment ions, allowing one missed trypsin cleavage.
Modelling

Two binding sites for EcoSSB (A- and B-site) were identified in the X-ray structure of Exol in the presence of a C-terminal peptide of SSB (29). Comparison of Exol and the χ subunit of DNA polymerase III of E. coli [pdb code: 1EM8 (28)] revealed significant similarity between structural motifs of the Exol B-site and the area of the proposed SSB-binding site of χ formed by the helix α4 and strands β1 and β7. The structural comparison of Exol and χ was performed using the program package Coot (46). The secondary structure matching algorithm (SSM) (47) was used to align the structurally conserved parts of the two proteins. The positions of the last three amino acids of SSB bound to the B-site in the superimposed structure of Exol complexed with a SSB C-terminal peptide were used as a starting geometry for building the new model of the SSB/χ complex. The amino acid residues D170–D174 of the peptide were built on the proposed SSB-binding area of χ according to stereochemical criteria using the program Coot. The contact between K132 of χ and D172 of SSB detected by mass spectrometry (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure S2) was accounted for in the initial geometry. This starting model was subsequently subjected to energy minimization, followed by molecular dynamics (MD) simulations during which the positions of the last two peptide residues and the contact between K132 of χ and D172 of SSB were restrained. Thus, the MD simulations involved residues D170–D175 of SSB and the side chains of χ forming the SSB-binding area, whereas the rest of the χ molecule was considered as producing a fixed potential field. The resulting model after 10 ns of MD equilibration at room temperature and final energy minimization displays good stereochemistry. MD simulations and energy minimization procedures were performed using the programs HyperChem (Hypercube, Inc.) and CNS (48).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to test and further refine the interaction model of SSB and the χ subunit of DNA polymerase III of E. coli (27), different mutations were inserted into Ecoχ and EcoSSB by site-directed mutagenesis. In the published model, where the C-terminus of the EcoSSB homologue, TaqSSB, was docked onto the surface of χ, the highly conserved positively charged residues K124, R128, K132 and R135 of χ form hydrogen bonds with the negatively charged part of the C-terminus of TaqSSB. Additionally, the ultimate phenylalanine of TaqSSB makes a stacking interaction with Y119 of χ.

Interaction of EcoSSB and χ

When two proteins interact they form a complex of a larger mass, which usually sediments faster than both proteins alone. Supplementary Figure S1 shows diffusion-corrected sedimentation coefficient distributions [c(s) distributions] obtained from analytical ultracentrifugation experiments for the interaction of wild-type EcoSSB and Ecoχ under high salt conditions. Whereas free Ecoχ showed a sedimentation coefficient of 1.8 S under these conditions, free EcoSSB sedimented with an s-value of 3.8 S, which increased to 5.3 S in the presence of a 12-fold excess of χ, indicating complex formation. As only a single reaction boundary containing EcoSSB and all EcoSSB/χ complexes formed in the presence of an excess of χ, the reaction must be fast compared to the timescale of sedimentation (49). When different ratios of EcoSSB and Ecoχ were applied, the sedimentation coefficient of this reaction boundary increased with increasing amounts of χ. As previously described for the interaction of TaqSSB and Ecoχ (40), integration of the two peaks in the c(s) distribution can be used to determine the concentrations of free and bound χ.

The amount of bound χ per EcoSSB was plotted against the amount of total χ per EcoSSB and a binding isotherm for independent binding of n χ molecules to one EcoSSB molecule was fitted to the data (Figure 1A) (25). The resulting binding isotherm shows that one EcoSSB tetramer can bind up to four molecules of χ with an affinity (Kd) of about 3 × 10⁻⁵ M⁻¹ (Figure 1A). These results are in good agreement with previously published data (25,50). An overview of all binding constants determined in this study can be found in Table 1.

V117 mutants confirm the SSB-binding area on the surface of χ

The first two mutations in Ecoχ, V117F and V117I, were selected on the basis of structure analysis and homology modelling of the published SSB/χ interaction (27). According to the model, these mutations should increase the affinity between the hydrophobic part of the C-terminus of SSB and χ. Unexpectedly, the substitution of V117 of Ecoχ by phenylalanine or isoleucine had the contrary effect. Both mutants, χ V117F (Figure 1A) and χ V117I (data not shown) displayed a dramatic loss of affinity to EcoSSB and no binding isotherm could be fitted to the data. Thus, V117 proved to be crucial for the interaction of SSB and χ, showing that the correct binding surface on χ was depicted in the theoretical model (27), but indicating that the orientation of the C-terminus of SSB had to be different.

Tyrosine 119 of χ does not form a stacking interaction with the ultimate phenylalanine of SSB

Due to the unexpected properties of mutants at position V117 of χ in regard to the published model, additional mutations were done to further investigate the orientation of the C-terminus of SSB on χ. Since the model shows that the terminal carboxyl group of SSB is not engaged in an interaction, a C-terminal extension mutant of EcoSSB, SSB+Gly, was checked for its binding properties to wild-type χ. SSB+Gly carries one additional glycine residue at the C-terminus and showed an effect comparable to mutations at position V117 of χ in analytical ultracentrifugation experiments. Again the affinity was so weak that a binding isotherm could not be fitted to the data (Figure 1A). In complementation experiments in RDP268 (see ‘Materials and Methods’ section), SSB+Gly was able to replace EcoSSB wild-type. However, an exchange was only possible under conditions
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of slow replication (minimal medium and 30°C) and the resulting cells formed smaller colonies than cells complemented with wild-type SSB, indicating that SSB + Gly is also functionally impaired in vivo.

These results disagree with the published model, since the proposed stacking interaction between Y119 of χ and F177 of SSB should position the last amino acids of SSB in such a way that an additional C-terminal glycine residue should not interfere with the binding to χ. In order to check whether Y119 is actually involved in a stacking interaction, it was replaced by alanine. The resulting binding constant of the Y119A mutant was similar to wild-type, showing that Y119 is not crucial for the SSB/χ interaction (Figure 1A). This indicates that F177 of SSB and Y119 of χ are not involved in a stacking interaction stabilizing the SSB/χ complex. Thus, the ultimate phenylalanine of SSB must be accommodated elsewhere on the surface of χ, most probably in a well-defined pocket, which would explain the dramatic decrease in affinity of the SSB+Gly mutant.

A conserved hydrophobic pocket on the surface of χ is involved in the interaction with SSB

The mutants examined show that the SSB-binding area on the surface of χ presented in the published model is correct (27), but the orientation of the C-terminus of SSB is different. In order to find out which residues of χ apart from V117 are involved in the interaction with SSB, an alignment of homologous χ proteins was projected on the known 3D structure of Ecoχ using the ConSurf server (51) (Figure 2). The results of this analysis revealed that an array of highly conserved residues on the surface of Ecoχ resembles the SSB-binding sites on ExoI and RecQ.
The highly conserved hydrophobic pocket present on the surface of \( \chi \) is created by F6, V117 and Y131 (Figure 2, white arrow). If this pocket accommodated F177 of SSB, it could explain the dramatic decrease in affinity of both the \( \chi \) V117F and \( \chi \) Y131A mutants (Figure 1A). Since this pocket is formed by the side chains of F6, V117 and Y131, not only an exchange of V117, but also of Y131 should affect the binding affinity. Therefore, tyrosine 131 of \( \chi \) was replaced by alanine and leucine to address the importance of the identified hydrophobic pocket for the SSB/\( \chi \) interaction. At this position, a mutation into an alanine (\( \chi \) Y131A) led to a 6-fold decrease in binding affinity to SSB (Figure 1B). The \( \chi \) Y131L mutant showed such a small affinity to SSB that no binding isotherm could be fitted to the data (Figure 1B). Since leucine is large and flexible, it could block the access of the C-terminal phenylalanine of EcoSSB to the hydrophobic pocket. On the other hand, the small alanine side chain should not lead to sterical hindrance, but allow less hydrophobic interactions.

K124 and K132 of \( \chi \) participate in SSB binding

Four highly conserved basic residues are close to the hydrophobic pocket on the surface of \( \chi \): K124, R128, K132 and R135 (Figure 2). All of them can possibly interact with the negatively charged aspartates in the C-terminus of SSB. In order to assess the importance of the lysine residue at position 124 of \( \chi \), it was substituted by alanine. The binding affinity of the resulting \( \chi \) K124A mutant to SSB dramatically dropped to less than a tenth compared to wild-type \( \chi \) (Figure 1C). Since K124 is in close proximity to the supposed hydrophobic binding pocket for F177 of SSB, it may be involved in an electrostatic interaction with the ultimate carboxyl group of the C-terminus of SSB. On the other hand, K124 may interact with one of the aspartates in the C-terminal part of SSB.

Apart from K124, lysine 132 appears to be important for the interaction between SSB and \( \chi \). An exchange to alanine at this position lowered the binding constant to a third compared to wild-type \( \chi \) (Figure 1C). An exchange to methionine, however, had no significant effect on the binding affinity. Therefore, under high salt conditions as used in the analytical ultracentrifugation experiments, the positive charge of the lysine does not seem to be important at this position, but rather the size of the side chain. Since methionine strongly resembles lysine in size, K132 is most probably involved in hydrophobic interactions with amino acids of the C-terminus of SSB.

K132 of \( \chi \) is in close contact to D172 of SSB

The results from analytical ultracentrifugation showed that V117, K124, Y131 and K132 of \( \chi \) are involved in SSB binding. However, the interaction partners of these residues in the C-terminus of SSB could not be identified. Therefore, chemical cross-linking reactions with \( \chi \) and a peptide comprising the last nine C-terminal amino acids of EcoSSB (SSB-Carb) were performed. As the C-terminal sequence of SSB contains many aspartates that may interact with basic residues, EDC was chosen as cross-linking reagent. This chemical compound is a zero-length cross-linker that forms amide bonds between closely neighbouring carboxyl and amino groups (see ‘Materials and Methods’ section). Incubation of wild-type \( \chi \) with SSB-Carb and EDC resulted in a shift of the protein band of \( \chi \) in SDS–PAGE (Figure 3, black arrow). Therefore, either a surface lysine of \( \chi \) is located very close to one of the aspartates in the C-terminus of SSB or it is the C-terminal carboxyl group of the SSB-Carb peptide that interacts with a surface lysine of \( \chi \).

In order to find out which residues had reacted with EDC, the cross-linked \( \chi \) protein sample and an unmodified \( \chi \) protein were digested with trypsin and a peptide
mass fingerprint was generated (Supplementary Figure S2). Masses corresponding to peptide fragments of \( \chi \) were detected. Cross-linking with EDC and the SSB-Carb peptide (H\(_2\)N-WMDFDDDIPF-COOH, \( M_r = 1299.51 \)) should result in a mass increase of 1281.51 because water is lost during the reaction. In the cross-linked sample, additional masses were identified that corresponded to the cross-linked peptides Y131–R135 and E129–R135 of \( \chi \) and exhibited masses of 1963.89 (MH+−H\(_2\)O) and 2248.98 (MH+−H\(_2\)O), respectively. A neutral loss of water and two intensive oxygen adducts, which could be located on the tryptophan and methionine residues at the N-terminus of the SSB-Carb peptide, were detected for each of the peptides. As K132 is the only lysine residue present in both of the identified sequences of \( \chi \), this residue must have been involved in the cross-link. Adduct masses for peptides containing K124 could not be detected. Tandem MS analysis revealed similar MS/MS spectra for the peptides and their corresponding oxygen adducts and displayed a sophisticated analysis of fragments of both the \( \chi \) and the SSB-Carb peptides. MS/MS analysis of the parent ion of \( m/z = 2248.98 \) is shown in Figure 4. This method identified a C-terminal amino acid sequence of the SSB-Carb peptide (DDIPF) and an amino acid sequence of \( \chi \) (E129–R135) in y and b ion series. Both sequences being detected in the same adduct mass prove that K132 of \( \chi \) is involved in the interaction. The determined y ion series of SSB (F177–D173) was disrupted after D173, strongly indicating that D172 was cross-linked to K132 of \( \chi \) (Figure 4B).

The results from mass spectrometric analysis, implying a covalent bond between K132 of \( \chi \) and D172 of SSB, were checked by performing cross-linking reactions with the K124A and K132A mutants of \( \chi \) and the SSB-Carb peptide, using EDC. Whereas the \( \chi \) K124A mutant could be cross-linked to the peptide to the same extent as \( \chi \) wild-type, shown by a clear decrease in migration velocity for the complete \( \chi \) band (Figure 3, black arrow), no change in migration velocity was observed in the case of \( \chi \) K132A (Figure 3, black arrowhead). wt = wild-type \( \chi \); 124A = \( \chi \) K124A; 132A = \( \chi \) K132A; 17% SDS-PAGE.

New model of SSB/\( \chi \) interaction

The experimental results provided by analytical ultracentrifugation, chemical cross-linking, mass spectrometry and superposition of the structurally conserved parts of ExoI and \( \chi \) (see ‘Materials and Methods’ section) formed the basis for the construction of a new model of SSB/\( \chi \) interaction. Superposition of the two structures shows that the hydrophobic pocket on \( \chi \), identified by analytical
ultracentrifugation, structurally resembles one of the two binding pockets of ExoI (B-site) capable of interaction with the C-terminus of SSB (29). Distances of selected residues determined from the new model of SSB/χ interaction can be found in Supplementary Table S1 and Supplementary Figure S3. In the new SSB/χ interaction model, the two hydrophobic amino acid residues V117 and Y131, which were found to be important for the SSB/χ interaction (Figure 1A and B), are involved in the formation of a pocket on the surface of χ that accommodates the ultimate two amino acid residues of SSB: P176 and F177 (Figure 5). The bottom of the binding pocket is formed by residue F6. Other residues of χ contributing to this pocket are L8 and T143. The hydroxyl group of T143 forms an electrostatic interaction with the carbonyl group of P176 of SSB. The terminal methyl group of T143 makes a hydrophobic contact with F177 of SSB, which in turn is involved in hydrophobic interactions with L8 and V117 of χ (Supplementary Table S1). Replacement of residue V117 of χ with an amino acid carrying a larger side chain, such as isoleucine or phenylalanine, would displace F177 of SSB from its hydrophobic binding site, leading to a significant decrease in the specificity of the SSB/χ interaction. Thus, the model explains the almost complete loss of affinity to SSB that became apparent when both the V117F and V117I mutants of χ were analysed by analytical ultracentrifugation (Figure 1A). Another part of the hydrophobic binding pocket on the surface of χ is formed by Y131 (Figure 5) making contact to P176 and F177 of SSB. These interactions would be lost upon replacement of Y131 with alanine. Replacing Y131 by an amino acid with a more flexible side chain, such as leucine, however, would lead to total displacement of F177 of SSB from the hydrophobic pocket. These findings explain why the Y131L mutant of χ shows a nearly complete loss of binding affinity to SSB, whereas χ Y131A still retains some of its activity (Figure 1B).

At the rim of the hydrophobic pocket, which accommodates P176 and F177 of SSB, R128 forms a hydrogen bond with D174 of SSB. Upon interaction with the C-terminal peptide of SSB, R128 changes its position by ~1.4 Å and closes the pocket around the ultimate phenylalanine of SSB. In the case of the SSB+Gly mutant (Figure 1A), the C-terminal glycine would occupy the space between F177 of SSB and R128 of χ, thus sterically hindering the movement of R128 and preventing the closing of the binding pocket. Similar to R128, K124 of χ is involved in both electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions with the C-terminus of SSB (Figure 5). While the amino group of K124 participates in salt bridge formation with the carboxyl group of F177, the aliphatic part of its side chain interacts with the aromatic ring of the phenylalanine, closing one side of the hydrophobic pocket. As the interaction of K124 with the carboxyl group of F177 would also be abolished in the case of the SSB+Gly mutant, the observations concerning K124 and R128 of χ both explain why SSB+Gly has no detectable affinity to χ wild-type in analytical ultracentrifugation experiments (Figure 1A). Additionally, the interaction between F177 and K124 would be lost upon replacement of the latter residue by alanine, thus explaining the dramatic loss of binding affinity in the case of the χ K124A mutant (Figure 1C).

As presented in the model, the carboxyl group of D173 of SSB undergoes electrostatic interactions with R135 of χ. In addition, Cb of D173 is engaged in hydrophobic interactions with the aliphatic part of R128 of χ and the hydrophobic residues P176 and F177 of SSB. Mass spectrometric analysis of chemically cross-linked SSB/χ complexes under low salt conditions using the zero-length cross-linker EDC had revealed that D172 of SSB and K132 of χ were in close contact (Figures 3 and 4). In the new model of the SSB/χ complex these residues are involved in salt bridge formation (Supplementary Table S1). The aliphatic part of the K132 side chain together with those of Q125, R128 and E129 forms a shallow hydrophobic pocket on the surface of χ. This pocket accommodates the second phenylalanine of the C-terminus of SSB, F171. A large hydrophobic residue such as methionine could easily replace K132 of χ in the shallow pocket without disturbing the interaction with F171. The latter is consistent with the results of analytical ultracentrifugation experiments with mutant K132M of χ that displays no apparent change in affinity compared to wild-type. The lack of effect of the electrostatic interaction on binding affinity is due to the high salt conditions used in this experiment, leading to a strong reduction of the Coulomb contribution to the binding energy. Substituting K132 by an alanine residue, however, diminishes the hydrophobic interactions with F171, explaining the negative effect of the K132A mutation on the binding affinity of χ to SSB (Figure 1C).

In order to test the new model of SSB/χ interaction, two additional mutations, K124M and R128A, were...
introduced in χ. When the resulting mutant proteins were analysed by analytical ultracentrifugation (Figure 1D), their binding affinities for SSB were found to be in good accordance with our new model (Figure 5). As the model shows, K124 of χ does not only interact with the C-terminal carboxyl group of SSB, but is also involved in hydrophobic interactions with the aromatic ring of F177. Therefore, a mutation of K124 to methionine, a residue which shows a substantially higher hydrophobicity than alanine, should have a lower effect on the binding affinity to SSB than the K124A mutation. Accordingly, the affinity of K124M was 3-fold higher than in the case of K124A (Figure 1C and D). An exchange of R128 to alanine, however, resulted in a nearly complete loss of binding affinity to SSB (Figure 1D). In our model, this residue is not only involved in a hydrogen bond with D174 of SSB but also closes the hydrophobic binding pocket of χ around the ultimate phenylalanine of SSB. Therefore, our model also explains the strong negative effect of the R128A mutation on the binding affinity of χ to SSB.

Our model also explains why SSB-113 (21), a mutant of EcoSSB carrying a serine residue at position 176 instead of a proline, is impaired in the interaction with χ, leading to aberrant DNA replication (22). Accordig to the new SSB/χ interaction model, the stabilizing effect of proline 176 involves a hydrophobic contact with Y131 and the proline to a polar serine residue is predicted to increase the effect for the peptide-binding affinity. Mutation of the proline to a polar serine residue is predicted to increase peptide flexibility and diminish its hydrophobic interactions, making the contact with the surface of χ less favourable.

SUMMARY

SSB proteins are essential for DNA metabolism (18). Apart from their function in DNA recombination and repair, they are involved in replication. In E. coli, SSB contacts the χ subunit of DNA polymerase III, thus activating the replicase at physiological ionic strength (14,26). Although it is known that the highly conserved C-terminus of SSB is needed for this interaction and a preliminary model of SSB/χ interaction has been published (27), the exact residues involved in each of the binding partners were not identified until now.

Results from site-directed mutagenesis, analytical ultracentrifugation, chemical cross-linking and mass spectrometry experiments were combined to refine the model of SSB/χ interaction. The newly proposed SSB-binding site of χ consists of a hydrophobic binding pocket, formed by L8, V117, Y131 and T143, which accommodates the ultimate amino acid residues of SSB, P176 and F177. Similar hydrophobic pockets are present in the other two known structures of SSB-binding sites of ExoI and RecQ (29,30) and could therefore be also implicated in interactions of SSB with other proteins (for a comparison of residues involved in the interaction of ExoI, RecQ and χ with SSB, see Supplementary Table S2). In addition to that, the new model presents a stretch of conserved basic residues, including K124, R128, K132 and R135, on the surface of χ that interacts with negatively charged residues in the C-terminal part of SSB and strongly resembles the basic region also present on the surfaces of ExoI and RecQ.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.
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