Reduced Vitellogenesis and Female Fertility in Gper Knockout Zebrafish
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The role G-protein coupled estrogen receptor (GPER) plays in vertebrate reproduction remains controversial. To investigate GPER’s reproductive role, we generated a gper zebrafish mutant line (gper−/−) using TALENs. Gper mutant females exhibited reduced fertility with a 40.85% decrease in embryo production which was associated with a significant decrease in the number of Stage V (730–750 µm) ovulated oocytes. Correspondingly, the number of early vitellogenic follicles (Stage III, 400–450 µm) in gper−/− ovaries was greater than that in wildtypes (wt), suggesting that subsequent follicle development was retarded in the gper−/− fish. Moreover, plasma vitellogenin levels were decreased in gper−/− females, and epidermal growth factor receptor (Egfr) expression was lower in Stage III vitellogenic oocytes than in wt counterparts. However, hepatic nuclear estrogen receptor levels were not altered, and estrogen levels were elevated in ovarian follicles. These results suggest that Gper is involved in the control of ovarian follicle development via regulation of vitellogenesis and Egfr expression in zebrafish.
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INTRODUCTION

17β-estradiol, the predominant female sex hormone, plays a critical role in female reproductive development and function (1–3). Traditionally, 17β-estradiol was widely considered to act solely through intracellular receptors, nuclear estrogen receptors, facilitating their dimerization and binding to estrogen response elements in the promoters of target genes, resulting in transcription and translation. However, there is now abundant evidence that 17β-estradiol and other steroid hormones can also act through receptors on the cell surface to rapidly activate intracellular signaling pathways resulting in cellular responses that are often nongenomic (4). For example, 17β-estradiol activates a cell membrane-located G-protein coupled estrogen receptor (GPER, formally known as GPR30). GPER is a G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR) structurally unrelated to nuclear estrogen receptors and lacking DNA binding sites (5, 6). Accumulated evidence suggests that GPER is a membrane receptor for 17β-estradiol (7, 8). In 2005, two studies from different groups demonstrated specific binding of 17β-estradiol to GPER in GPER-transfected COS7 and HEK293 cells as well as several breast cancer cell lines (2, 3). Activation of GPER by 17β-estradiol leads to rapid activation of G proteins (2, 6, 9) and cell membrane-associated secondary signaling such as generation of cAMP, EGFR-signaling, and expression of Bcl-2, nerve growth factor, and cyclin D.
Extensive studies have suggested the involvement of GPER in numerous estrogen-mediated physiological functions in mammals (8), including lipid metabolism and vascular function (13), insulin secretion (14), growth plate fusion (15), and reproductive functions such as primordial follicle formation in ovaries (16), as well as regulation of vitellogenesis (17) and oocyte meiotic arrest in fishes (7). GPER mRNA is widely expressed in mammalian reproductive tissues such as mammary glands, ovaries, oviducts, testes, and prostate glands (8, 16, 18–21). For example, GPER mRNA and protein are expressed in the thecal and granulosa cells of hamster ovaries (18) where GPER mediates the 17β-estradiol-stimulated primordial follicle formation in these cells (16). GPER has been implicated in estrogen-induced firing activity of calcium oscillations in luteinizing hormone releasing hormone (LHRH) neurons, in uterine proliferation and estrogen-induced augmentation of the oxytocin uterine contraction response, in the growth and proliferation of endometrial cells, apoptosis during spermatogenesis, and in breast, uterine, endometrial, and ovarian and testicular tumorigenesis (8, 12, 20, 22–24). However, whether this estrogen receptor plays a role in mammalian reproduction is still controversial because a few GPER knockout studies conducted in mice have suggested that GPER is not critical for mammalian reproduction due to lack of an effect on fertility and oocyte development (14, 25–28).

In the present study, gper−/− mutant zebrafish were generated, and fertility was observed over an extended period in order to comprehensively investigate the role of Gper in reproduction. Interestingly, we found a significant accumulation of early vitellogenic follicles (Stage III ovarian follicles) and fewer later stage follicles, indicating growth arrest and a reduction in fertility in the gper−/− females. This ovarian follicle growth tardiness was accompanied by reduced circulating levels of vitellogenin and by lower RNA and protein levels of epidermal growth factor receptor (Egfr). Our results suggest that gper has roles in ovarian development and female fertility in zebrafish.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals
Sanger AB Tübingen strain (SAT) of zebrafish (Danio rerio) used here originated from the Zebrafish International Resource Center and then propagated in our lab following previously published guidelines (29). The investigations have been approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at East Carolina University.

TALEN Assembly and In Vitro Synthesis of TALEN mRNAs
Target site and assembled TALEN molecules were designed and synthesized according to a previous method (30). We identified the target site sequence in exon 3 which is the only protein-coding exon of the gper and satisfies the requirements for TALEN target design (Figure 1). The target was selected near the beginning of the coding sequence (forward target site sequence: CATCGGCCTGGTCTT, reverse target site sequence: TGGGAAAGGAAAAT, and spacer sequence with a BsrGI restriction enzyme site: CTCATGCGGTGACTACCC). All assembled TALEN vectors were confirmed using Sanger sequencing. The assembled TALEN vectors were linearized with Not I, gel extracted, and purified using the QiAquick gel extraction kit according to the manufacturer’s specifications (Qiagen, MD), and mRNAs transcribed using SP6 mMACHINE kit (Ambion, TX). The transcribed mRNAs were stored at −80°C until use. Immediately prior to microinjection, mRNA was diluted into workable concentrations (100 ng/µl) with nuclease-free water and mixed with an equal volume of 0.5% phenol red solution (Sigma P0290).

Generation of gper−/− Mutant Line
Approximately 1 nl of TALEN transcripts (100 ng/µl) was microinjected into one cell stage embryos within 30 min of spawning. Microinjection was driven by compressed N2 gas under control of PV820 Pneumatic PicoPump (World Precision 13 Instruments, Sarasota, FL), using a microcapillary pipette attached to a micromanipulator, under a Leica MZ6 microscope (Leica, Germany). To estimate mutagenesis efficiency, embryos without microinjection were designated as wildtype (wt) and used as the control group. Genomic DNA was extracted from 30 normally developing wt or TALEN-transcripts-microinjected embryos two-days post fertilization (dpf), using the HotSHOT method (31). Mutation rates were estimated by comparing the band intensities of undigested PCR products, to the intensities of digested PCR products using restriction enzyme (BsrGI) digestion analysis (29).

To identify germline-transmitted mutations, the remaining F0 founder embryos were raised to adulthood and outcrossed with wt fish. Genomic DNA from each cross was extracted from 30 randomly selected and pooled F1 embryos, and the status of the target site was analyzed via PCR amplification, restriction enzyme digestion analysis, and DNA sequencing as described above. The remaining F1 embryos with identified frameshift mutations were raised to adulthood and individually genotyped. Genomic DNA was extracted from part of the caudal fin of each adult fish in 50 µl hot alkaline solution, then analyzed as stated above. Heterozygous F1 adults that carried the same frameshift mutant alleles were then crossed with each other. These crosses yielded wt, heterozygous, and homozygous F2 fish that were further genetically and physiologically characterized.

Membrane Receptor Binding Assay
Membrane E2 binding was conducted as described previously (32) using [3H]-17β-estradiol ([3H]-E2) (91.3 Ci/mmol, American Radiolabeled Chemicals, St. Louis, MO). Plasma membrane fractions of ovaries were obtained following established procedures with few modifications (33). The ovaries from wt or gper−/− fish were washed with assay buffer and then sonicated for 6 s, followed by a 1,000 g centrifugation for 7 min to remove any nuclear and heavy mitochondrial material. The resulting supernatant was centrifuged at 20,000 g for 20 min to obtain the plasma membrane fraction. Estrogen receptor binding in the membrane fractions was measured in a single-point assay as described previously (32). One set of tubes contained
radiolabeled E2 alone (final concentration: 4.0–4.3 nM, total binding), while another set also contained cold E2 competitor at a 1,000-fold greater concentration (4 µM) to measure non-specific binding. After a 30-min incubation at 4°C with the membrane fractions (160–240 µg membrane protein), the reaction was stopped by filtration (Whatman GF/B filters, presoaked in assay buffer; Brandel Inc., Gaithersburg, MD, Fisher Scientific). The filters were washed twice with 25 ml assay buffer, and bound radioactivity was measured by scintillation counting. The results were expressed as specific binding of [3H]-E2.

FIGURE 1 | Targeted genetic modification of gper and decreased estradiol binding in zebrafish. (A) Gene structure for the gper gene. Zebra fish gper contains three exons. Line indicates intron, open boxes indicate uncoded 5′ or 3′ region, while a filled black box indicates an entire coding region of gper. Arrow indicates approximate position of TALEN targeting region. (B) Showing TALEN targeting sites that are highlighted in green in gper cDNA. Translation start site (ATG) and stop codon (TAG) are indicated in purple. A restriction enzyme recognition site (BsrGI: TGTACA) is indicated by the box. Forward and reverse PCR primers for amplification of the targeted region including TALEN targeting sites are underlined. (C) Verified sequence change in gper−/−. Mutated nucleotides highlighted in red and yellow indicate flanking sequences. (D) Predicted truncated protein in gper−/− (red indicates missense amino acids, an asterisk indicates a premature stop codon). Numbers under the box indicate the amino acid number from the translation start site. (E) Lower estradiol binding was found in gper−/− ovarian membrane compared with wildtype (wt). n = 5. **p < 0.01.
Histology
Three females from each genotype were collected at 8 am (1 h before lights turned on) and deeply anesthetized in a lethal dose of MS-222 (300 mg/L buffered solution) for 1 min. Fresh ovaries were fixed overnight in 10% buffered formalin (Fisher Scientific), washed in tap water, dehydrated through increased concentrations of ethanol (70, 80, 90, 100%, 30 min each) and embedded in JB-4 resin (Polysciences, Warrington, PA). Sections of 5 µm were cut and stained with hematoxylin and eosin.

Spawning and Fertility
After all zebrafish lines reached maturity at ~4-months of age, at least 10 homozygous mutant female fish were crossed with wt fertility-confirned males. Production of the offspring for each genotype was recorded daily for a period of two weeks, following a two-week acclimation period. The paired fish were fed a commercial food (Otohime B2, Reed Mariculture, CA, USA) and supplemented with newly hatched brine shrimp Artemia (Brine Shrimp Direct, Utah, USA) twice a day. Fish were only considered produced fertilized embryos in a two-week examination period. Spawning frequency is de

Follicle Isolation and Quantification
Zebrafish are photoperiodic breeders whose reproductive cycles are controlled by light cycles. At 9 am the lights turn on in the fish lab, prompting the fish to begin spawning. Typically, oocyte maturation occurs around 6 am; oocyte ovulation occurs around 8 am; and spawning occurs between 9 and 11 am. Sampling ovaries at the onset of spawning (9:30 am) allows us to examine the number of oocytes that failed to reach ovulation. The follicles (n = 8 female) were isolated according to our previous research (34). The follicles were divided into five different stages based on follicular size and morphological criteria (35) with a slight modification (34). Since Stage III covers a wide range of follicles, we further divided Stage III into four subgroups: 340–400, 400–450, 450–550, and 550–690 µm diameter oocytes.

Vitellogenin Quantification
Blood was collected from dorsal aorta of zebrafish and stored at ~80°C. Blood vitellogenin concentration was quantified using an enzyme-linked immunosorbert assay (ELISA) kit following the manufacturer’s protocol (Caymen Chemical Company, Ann Arbor, MI; Cat: 10004995). Blood samples were diluted 10⁻⁵, and vitellogenin was normalized to total blood protein concentration as determined by the Bradford method using bovine serum albumin as the standard reference protein.

Western Blotting
Expression of Egfr in Stage III (400–450 µm) follicles was confirmed by Western blot analysis using a commercial antibody against zebrafish Egfr (# 55473, AnaSpec, Fremont, CA; 1:250) which has been previously characterized (36). Image analyzing software (ImageJ) was used to estimate relative densitometries of EGFR bands which were then normalized to the expression of α-tubulin (37).

Quantitative PCR
At 5:30 am, brain, liver and gonads were dissected from 4-month old female zebrafish immediately placed in 1.7 ml RNase-free microcentrifuge tubes (GeneMate) on ice, containing RNAlater (Molecular Research Center, Inc., OH. Catalog: RN 190). Total RNA was extracted using RNeasy (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Reverse transcription was performed using Superscript III Reverse Transcriptase following the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA).

Primers used for qPCR were described previously (38). qPCR was performed using the SYBR green (Invitrogen) with C1000 Touch Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad). The protocol consisted of a cycling profile of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 58°C, and 45 s at 72°C for 45 cycles followed by a melting curve test. House-keeping-gene gapdh was used as control.

Untargeted Metabolomic Analysis and Measurement of Estrogen Levels in the Ovaries
Ovaries were collected from 4-month old healthy and mature wt or gper⁻/⁻ at 5:30 am prior to oocyte maturation. The ovaries were immediately sonicated (Sonic Disembrator, Fisher Scientific) in 600 µl of optima grade water (Fisher Scientific, Fairlawn, NJ) on ice. Steroids were extracted from the samples using a liquid–liquid extraction (LLE) method. Briefly, 2.4 ml methanol:water in 1:1 ratio containing 0.1% formic acid was added to each sample and vortexed for 5 min. The sample was centrifuged for 15 min at 14,000 g, and the supernatant was transferred into a 16 × 125 mm borosilicate glass tube (VWR, Radnor, PA). LLE was conducted using 3 ml methyl-tert-butylether (MTBE) (Fisher Scientific) added to the supernatant. The mixture was vortexed for 15 min and centrifuged for 2 min at 800 g. The organic phase was collected, and the extraction process was repeated two more times with the remaining aqueous phase. The extracted organic fractions were combined in the same tube and dried under nitrogen at a room temperature. Each sample was resuspended in 100 µl of sample buffer (70 acetonitrile:30 water containing 0.1% formic acid) for liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LCMS) analysis.

To quantify estrone and 17β-estradiol levels in the samples, external standard calibration curves were established using six different concentrations of these estrogens (0.005, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1 µg/ml) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri). Extracted steroids were identified and quantified using an Eksigent 425 microLC/SCIEX 5600 + Triple time-offlight mass spectrometer. Samples and standards in autosampler vials were loaded in a refrigerated holder (4°C). A HALO C18, 2.7 µm, 0.5 × 50 mm microLC column purchased from Eksigent (Dublin, CA) was maintained at 55°C. The flow rate was 10 µl/min, and 5 µl of sample was injected. A standard 30 min gradient was used with mobile phase A: water with 0.1% formic acid and mobile phase B: acetonitrile. For data quantification, the integration of peak areas...
was conducted using PeakView and MultiQuant (SCIEX), and an external standard calibration curve was used to calculate the hormone amount and normalized by the ovary weight. Independent data acquisition was utilized to collect the top 20 MS/MS. Metabolomics analysis was conducted using MarkerView (SCIEX, Framingham, MA) and PeakView (SCIEX).

Statistical Analysis
All results were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 7.0a (San Diego, CA, US) and presented as mean ± SEM. Significant differences among paired treatment groups were determined using Student’s t-test. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

RESULTS
Generation of gper−/− Mutant Line
The protein-coding sequence of Gper is located exclusively in the third exon on chromosome 3 of zebrafish (Figure 1A). Therefore, we targeted the third exon of gper (Figure 1B). In gper−/− mutant line (gperecol6ecol5), an eight-nucleotide deletion mutation was generated in exon 3 (Figure 1C) that caused a frameshift resulting in truncation of the transcript prior to the region encoding the first transmembrane domain of gper (Figure 1D). It is predicted that the subsequent transmembrane domains were not synthesized (Figure 1D). F0 mosaics were raised to the adult stage and crossed with wt fish to generate the heterozygous F1 generation. The genotyped heterozygous F1 generation would appear to contain comparable numbers of different stages of oocytes (Figures 2A, B). Interestingly, we observed a 40.85% reduction in the total number of embryos produced by the gper−/− mutants compared to wt over a 2-week span (Figure 2C). However, the spawning frequency was similar in gper−/− in comparison to wt (Figure 2D). Additionally, a significant daily reduction in embryo numbers was observed in gper−/− compared to wt (Figure 2E). Lastly, we found no statistically significant difference in the ratio of abnormal embryos in the mutants compared to the wt fish (Figure 2F).

Reduced Fertility in gper−/− Female Fish
No obvious morphological differences between ovaries of mutant females and wt were observed. Both the gper−/− and wt appeared to contain comparable numbers of different stages of oocytes (Figures 2A, B). Interestingly, we observed a 40.85% reduction in the total number of embryos produced by the gper−/− mutants compared to wt over a 2-week span (Figure 2C). However, the spawning frequency was similar in gper−/− in comparison to wt (Figure 2D). Additionally, a significant daily reduction in embryo numbers was observed in gper−/− compared to wt (Figure 2E). Lastly, we found no statistically significant difference in the ratio of abnormal embryos in the mutants compared to the wt fish (Figure 2F).

Accumulated Early Vitellogenic Follicles and Reduced Plasma Vitellogenin Levels in gper−/− Females
Interestingly, the number of Stage V oocytes (ovulated) was significantly lower in gper−/− mutants compared to wt (Figure 3A), which agreed with the subfertility phenotype found in gper−/− females (Figure 2C). We observed no difference between the number of Stage II follicles (pre-vitellogenesis) in gper−/− compared to those in wt (Figure 3A). But there was a significantly higher number of Stage III and IVa follicles in the gper−/− mutants than in the wt (Figure 3A), indicating possible dysfunction during vitellogenesis and oocyte maturation in gper−/− mutants. Since Stage III covers a wide range of different follicle sizes, we further divided Stage III into four groups. Specifically, gper−/− ovaries contained more early vitellogenic follicles (i.e., more 400–450 µm follicles) than those in wt ovaries (Figure 3B). Furthermore, the concentration of vitellogenin in the blood of gper−/− females was significantly lower (~57% of control; p = 0.025) than that of wt fish (Figure 3C), indicating a vitellogenesis dysfunction in gper−/− females.

Higher Estrogen but Reduced Egfr Expression in gper−/− Ovaries
17β-estradiol actions through its nuclear receptors are the most potent stimulators for vitellogenin synthesis (17, 39, 40). To further understand the role Gper may play in the reproductive system, we determined the levels of estrogens, and the expression of nuclear estrogen receptors, and Egfr in zebrafish tissues. In the brain, esr1 and esr2b showed significant upregulation in gper−/−, possibly compensating for reduced estrogen signaling (Figure 4A). Mutant fish exhibited downregulation of egfra in ovaries but not in brains or livers (Figure 4A). In livers and ovaries, levels of esr1, esr2a, and esr2b were similar in gper−/− as in wt fish (Figure 4A). Furthermore, we found reduced expression of the Egfr protein in early vitellogenic follicles (i.e., Stage III follicles, 400–450 µm) collected from gper−/− (Figures 4B).

To further investigate the role Gper plays in female reproduction, we conducted untargeted and targeted metabolomics analysis from wt and gper−/− ovaries and analyzed molecular profiles using LC-MS. For the untargeted analysis, we conducted principal component analysis (PCA) to view sample groupings. PCA scores plot (PC1, 46.4%; PC2, 16.4%) indicated there were distinct cluster differences between wt ovaries and gper−/− ovaries (Figure 4C). There were 8,755 metabolite features detected from the untargeted analysis where 22 features were significantly upregulated in the gper−/− with a p-value <0.01 and five-fold change and 119 features downregulated in the gper−/− with a p-value <0.01 and fivefold change when compared to the wt ovaries (data not shown). For the targeted analysis we included two estrogens, estrone (E1) and 17β-estradiol (E2). Significantly higher estrone (Figure 4D) and 17β-estradiol (Figure 4E) levels were detected in gper−/− ovaries compared to the wt.

DISCUSSION
The present results in zebrafish provide new evidence that GPER has a role in fertility via regulation of vitellogenesis and Egfr in female zebrafish. The nuclear estrogen receptors, ERα and ERβ, have high binding affinities for estrogens and have long been known to have essential roles in estrogen regulation of reproductive and non-reproductive functions in male and female vertebrates. There is now clear evidence that GPER also binds estrogens such as 17β-estradiol specifically with relatively
high affinity (Kd 2.7–3 nM) (2, 3, 27) to mediate a wide variety of estrogen actions in different cell and animal models (8, 13, 14). However, controversy still surrounds some of GPER’s proposed functions for which conflicting results have been obtained so that GPER’s physiological role in vivo remains unclear (41, 42). Several diverse phenotypes with only partial overlap have been reported in different GPER mutant mouse lines, and none of them showed evidence of reproductive impairment (13, 14, 26, 43). Our main finding from the gper−/− lines is that female zebrafish are subfertile with a significant reduction of ovulated oocytes and a significant accumulation of early vitellogenic follicles (i.e., Stage III ovarian follicles), indicating retarded follicle development beyond this stage. Moreover, plasma vitellogenin levels were significantly decreased in gper−/− females, and the expression of Egfr, which mediates EGF inhibition of ovarian follicle apoptosis (44) and is a regulator of ovarian steroid production in teleosts (45), was significantly reduced in Stage III follicles, suggesting plausible mechanisms for the decline in follicle growth in the gper−/− mutants. Collectively, these results demonstrate disruption of ovarian functions in zebrafish gper−/− mutants, and indicate an essential role for Gper in female reproduction in a vertebrate model.
In light of these findings, as well as previous evidence for broad expression of GPER in the mammalian ovary and other reproductive tissues, the possible involvement of GPER in estrogen regulation of female reproduction needs to be re-examined in mammals. In the present study, Gper knockout male zebrafish were fertile. We did not observe obvious reproductive phenotypes in gper−/− male zebrafish. Both Gper transcripts and proteins have been localized in testis and Leydig cells in mammals (46, 47). However, the functions of Gper in male vertebrate still needs to be defined.

**Low Egfr in Oocytes in gper−/− Fish**

EGFR, also known as HER1 or erbB1, is a dimeric cell surface receptor that binds EGF, stimulating cells to proliferate. Lower expression levels of Egfr in gper−/− Stage III oocytes are consistent with previous observations indicating cross-talk between Gper and EGFR (10, 11, 36, 48). Estrogen stimulation transactivates EGFR via the action of matrix metalloproteinases and antisense oligonucleotide-mediated depletion of GPER inhibited EGFR transactivation by estrogen (49). The mechanism of how Gper affects EGFR expression is still unclear, but GPER may alter gene expression in response to estrogen (50). In MCF-7 cells, manipulation of GPER levels resulted in corresponding changes of MAPK activity (51) and progestin-induced growth inhibition (52). GPER also participates in the transcriptional activation of Bcl-2 in keratinocytes (53–55). Furthermore, the transcriptional activation of proto-oncogene c-fos stimulated by estrogen and phytoestrogens was through the activation of ERK1/2 by GPER in breast cancer cells (56). This may indicate Gper can regulate transcriptional activity in an indirect way. Secondly, estrogen activates GPER could promote second messengers and activate EGFR (10). Estradiol is conducive to the transcription of epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like factors through GPER in cumulus cells because this process can be inhibited by specific GPER inhibitor G15 (57). Vice versa, EGFR also can activate the EGFR–ERK1/2 transduction pathway that stimulates the upregulation of GPER in nuclear estrogen receptor-negative breast cancer cells (58).

**Dysfunction During Early Vitellogenic Follicles (Stage III Ovarian Follicle Development)**

Estrogens are pleiotropic hormones that regulate the growth and differentiation of many tissues, including ovarian follicles. In Stage III follicles in zebrafish, oocytes continue to grow predominantly due to the accumulation by endocytosis of a female-specific yolk precursor protein vitellogenin, which is synthesized in the liver in response to 17β-estradiol secreted from the ovarian follicle cells (35). We observed underdevelopment and buildup of Stage III oocytes in our gper−/−. This accumulation of Stage III oocytes is consistent with the peak of gper expression occurring during Stage III of oocyte development (32).

The accumulation of oocytes during Stage III also reflects a dysfunction with vitellogenin synthesis as indicated by the decrease in circulating vitellogenin levels, with Gper playing an important but not essential role (17). Chen et al. showed that the inhibition of Gper results in the significant attenuation of the E2 induction of vitellogenin production (17). In addition, lower EGFR level may also contributed to vitellogenin synthesis problem in gper−/− female zebrafish. EGFR can stimulate ovarian development in the mud crab Scylla paramamosain (59). hEGF treatment of ovary explants from late vitellogenic stage crabs significantly increased vitellogenin receptor (VgR), and this hEGF-induced effect could be suppressed by pretreatment with EGFR inhibitor AG1478 and PD153035 (59).
Less vitellogenin synthesis caused by a reduction in Gper function, and less Egfr could likely result in inhibition of oocyte growth, which may cause the subfertility found in our gper−/− females.

In the present study, ovarian estrogen levels are increased possibly due to feedback of lack of Gper signals. Nuclear estrogen receptors seem to be unchanged at least at transcript levels in gper−/− in the liver. It is possible that estrogen may signal via Gper to regulate translation of estrogen receptors to affect vitellogenesis in the liver. Alternatively, non-genomic Gper signals may affect translation and translation of vitellogenin directly (not via classical estrogen receptor). Whether Gper has direct or indirect effects in the regulation of vitellogenin and its underlying mechanism needs further investigation.

**Effect of Gper on the Reproduction**

In contrast to our findings, using CRISPR/Cas9, Crowder et al. found that zebrafish gper−/− mutants had no effect on fertility, sex determination, or ovary development (60). The reasons for their negative findings are unclear, but it should be noted that they followed a different fertility protocol to that used in the present study. The spawning trials were conducted with 10-month old fish held under group housing conditions and bred once every 2 weeks in the Crowder et al. study. However, in the present study, spawning trials were conducted with younger fully mature fish (4 months old), housed in pairs, and fed to satiation daily, and checked for spawning and the production of embryos every day over a 2-week period, resulting in a more accurate collection of fertility data over time. Before actual counting, we also gave the fish an acclimation period to ensure the fish were healthy, well fed, and had a previous history of successful spawning. Our gper−/− females also produced similar number of embryos compared to wt at some time points (Figure 2E). Therefore, breeding the fish only once every 2 weeks may not detect differences in fertility. Reproductive success of zebrafish in the laboratory has previously shown to be highly variable, cyclical, decreased as the colony size increases, and intermittent, demonstrating the need for large numbers of spawning trials to obtain accurate estimates of reproductive output (61). Second, several other factors, such as the targeting strategy, the genetic background, the breeding strategy, nutrition, and environmental components can severely affect the observed phenotype (62). The strain of zebrafish used in our lab comes from AB Tubingen compared to their AB zebrafish strain. It is possible that compensation had occurred by heritable changes that mitigate for the loss of Gper in the pure AB strain used by Crowder et al. Compensation has been demonstrated in the AB strain for the loss of several genes critical for the regulation of zebrafish reproduction, such as gnrh3, kiss1r, and kiss2r (63–65). Finally, this is the first time in any species that membrane estradiol binding was shown to be reduced in gper−/− ovaries.

Gper has previously been shown to mediate estrogen maintenance of meiotic arrest of full grown (Stage IVa) zebrafish oocytes (32, 66). However, oocyte maturation is merely delayed a few hours, not inhibited, through this mechanism (32, 66). Therefore, the whole animal studies conducted here would most likely not detect loss of this regulatory mechanism in gper−/− fish. Comprehensive investigations of estrogen regulation of meiotic arrest of Stage IVa oocytes in gper−/− females are clearly warranted. In addition, the functions of Gper in earlier stage oocytes should be investigated in this model. For example, estrogens have been shown to maintain meiotic arrest in late Stage III oocytes (500–550μm diameter) which have higher expression of gper mRNA than Stage IVa oocytes (32).
Overall, we have demonstrated the role of Gper in oocyte development and fertility. Together these results indicate that Gper has a role in female reproduction in zebrafish.
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