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Abstract

Hybrid multiferroic materials exhibiting morphotropic phase boundary (MPB) with enhanced ferroelectric and ferromagnetic properties has shown great potential for future technologies. In this paper, we report structural, ferroelectric, piezoelectric, magnetic and magnetoelectric characteristics of 0.7BiFeO$_3$–0.3Pb$_0.5$Ba$_0.5$TiO$_3$ (BFPTBT-Pure) and 0.7BiFeO$_3$–0.3Pb$_0.5$Ba$_0.5$TiO$_3$ + Mn0.5% (BFPTBT-Mn5%) ceramic compositions synthesized via conventional solid state reaction route. The crystallinity of the compositions exhibits polymorphs of rhombohedral (R$_3c$) and tetragonal (P$_4mm$) symmetries forming morphotropic phase boundary (MPB). Highly dense SEM micrographs were observed with an average grain size 0.57 $\mu$m and 0.62 $\mu$m for BFPTBT-Pure and BFPTBT-Mn5%, respectively. Mn doped ceramic sample. Improved ferroelectric behavior has been observed with Mn doping in the composition as the value of remnant polarization increases from 2.46 $\mu$C cm$^{-2}$ to 7.63 $\mu$C cm$^{-2}$ recorded at an applied frequency of 50 Hz. The piezoelectric coefficients for BFPTBT-Pure and BFPTBT-Mn5% were found to be 36 pC/N and 57 pC/N respectively. M-H hysteresis loops depicted that remnant magnetization increases with Mn addition in the sample. The Curie transition temperature ($T_c$) was observed to be 447 °C and 467 °C for BFPTBT-Pure and BFPTBT-Mn5% ceramics, respectively. The magnetoelectric coupling was confirmed through the observation of magnetic field induced relative change in dielectric constant (Magnetocapacitance: MC). MC was found to be 9.49% and 11.81% for BFPTBT-Pure and BFPTBT-Mn5%, respectively.

1. Introduction

In recent years, an immense interest has been instigated in materials research on ferroelectric systems exhibiting morphotropic phase boundary (MPB) as compositions at and near to MPB attain improved electromechanical properties. The coexistence of two ferroelectric polymorphs is generally referred as MPB. After the discovery of MPB in Pb(Zr$_{1−x}$Ti$_x$)$_2$O$_5$ [1] system, it has been found in various other materials such as Pb(Mg$_{1/3}$Nb$_{2/3}$)$_2$O$_5$–PbTiO$_3$ and Pb(Mg$_{1/3}$Nb$_{2/3}$)$_2$O$_5$–PbTiO$_3$ [2]. Prior to appearance of MPB in multiferroic systems, first-principle calculations of PbTiO$_3$ at high pressures realized the formation of MPB region [3] which was later demonstrated experimentally by Ahart et al [4]. Recently, co-existing ferroelectric phases have also been observed in strain engineered epitaxial thin films [5, 6]. Therefore, MPB region can be considered and referred as a necessary condition for enhanced electromechanical response in ferroelectric materials. In literature, two important aspects observed related to MPB are (i) Polar vector orientation within the unit cell results in lower symmetry monoclinic phase [7] and (ii) persistence of nanodomain regions [8].

Ferroelectric solid solutions of (1−x)BiFeO$_3$−xPbTiO$_3$ have gathered remarkable attention due to several interesting features: (a) High c/a ratio, (b) Room temperature polarization with high Curie temperature and (c) Existence of MPB region [9–11]. This material can be considered as a piezoelectric actuator functional up to 500 °C [12]. BiFeO$_3$ is extensively explored material and exhibits rhombohedral (space group: R$_3c$) distorted
perovskite crystalline phase with unit cell parameters $a = 5.58\text{Å}$ and $c = 13.9\text{Å}$ along with $a \sim a \sim a$ octahedral tilting of FeO$_6$ network [13, 14]. BiFeO$_3$ possesses high Neel temperature ($T_N \sim 643K$) and Curie temperature ($T_C \sim 1143K$) [15]. PbTiO$_3$ exhibits high Curie temperature ($T_C \sim 763K$) with tetragonal crystal structure (space group: $P4mmm$) and high tetragonal ratio ($\alpha \sim 1.06$) [16]. It is very difficult to obtain mechanically hard robust ceramic samples of BiFeO$_3$-PbTiO$_3$ ceramic samples due to high tetragonality ($c/a$) and also due to the existence of negative temperature gradient, which limits the sintering at high temperatures [17, 18]. Different strategies have been imposed to overcome this tribulation via defect chemistry and site engineering. For example, ternary solid solution of BiFeO$_3$-PbTiO$_3$ with PbZrO$_3$ and BaZrO$_3$ as an end member showed improved piezo-response [19, 20]. Also BiFeO$_3$-PbTiO$_3$-BaTiO$_3$ ternary system exhibits high remnant polarization ($P_r \sim 60\mu\text{C cm}^{-2}$) and piezoelectric coefficient ($d_{33} \sim 100\text{pC/N}$) [21].

Szwagierczak and Kulawick reported that electrical resistivity from $10^6\Omega$ to $10^{11}\Omega$ with Mn modification in Pb(Fe$_{0.75}$W$_{0.25}$)O$_3$ ceramics [22]. Yao et al also observed that Mn addition affects the structural, dielectric and piezoelectric properties of 0.35BiScO$_3$–0.60PbTiO$_3$–0.05Pb(Zn$_{1/3}$Nb$_{2/3}$)O$_3$ perovskite ceramics [23]. Barranco et al studied the effect of Mn modification in PbTiO$_3$ based perovskite solid solution and found that Mn modification shows high resistivity and improves the ferroelectric properties of the ceramic samples [24]. Zhang et al also showed that Mn modification in BiScO$_3$–PbTiO$_3$ solid solutions [25].

Subsequently, in the present work, we have adopted the technique of site-engineering by replacing Pb-site with Ba and also used Mn as an additive to investigate structural, ferroelectric and piezoelectric properties of $0.7\text{BiFeO}_3$–0.3Pb$_{0.3}$Ba$_{0.7}$TiO$_3$ and $0.7\text{BiFeO}_3$–0.3Pb$_{0.3}$Ba$_{0.7}$TiO$_3$ + Mn0.5% ceramic compositions. The ceramic samples are prepared via solid state method to get highly dense and insulating ceramic samples with improved electrical properties.

## 2. Experimental

Multiferroic ceramic compositions of $0.7\text{BiFeO}_3$–0.3Pb$_{0.3}$Ba$_{0.7}$TiO$_3$ (BFPTBT-Pure) and $0.7\text{BiFeO}_3$–0.3Pb$_{0.3}$Ba$_{0.7}$TiO$_3$ + Mn0.5% (BFPTBT-Mn5%) were synthesized by conventional mixed oxide reaction method. Initially, high purity analytical grade reagents of Bi$_2$O$_3$, Fe$_2$O$_3$, PbO, TiO$_2$, MnO$_2$ and BaCO$_3$ were taken as recipe and mixed together in stoichiometric ratio. The powder mixture was pulverized in planetary ball mill (Fritsch, pulverisette classic 5 line) for 10–12 h at a speed of 180 rpm in acetone as the mixing agent. The grounded powder mixture was calcined at $820^\circ\text{C}$ for 2h to ensure homogenization and removal of impurities. The resultant calcined mixture was then milled in mortar pestle with 2% PVA (acts as a binding agent) and then compressed in uniaxial solid compressing machine to form 13mm diameter and 1mm thick cylindrical pellets or discs (called as green pellets). The cylindrical discs were covered with alumina crucible and surrounded by powders of same compositions serving the role of spacer for sintering in a muffle furnace at $1020^\circ\text{C}$ for 2h in air. Post sintering, pellets were polished to remove surfacial impurities and one of them was crushed for 30 min to form powder. After crushing, the powders were annealed at $700^\circ\text{C}$ to remove mechanical stresses generated during sintering and milling. Next, the powders were used for crystal phase analysis using x-ray Diffractometer fitted with Nickel - monochromator Rigaku Smartlab (Rigaku, Japan). During the x-ray experiment, Cu K$_\alpha$, line source with nickel filter was used to obtain structural fingerprints of the crushed specimens. As-sintered specimens were used to obtain SEM micrographs (Quanta, ESEM). Thermal etching method has been used to obtain the best micrographs of the specimens. Furthermore, the sintered specimens were silver electroded to proceed further for ferroelectric characterization (Radiant Technologies). Piezoelectric figure of merit $d_{33}$ was figured out using Piezotest PM 300 longitudinal piezoelectric coefficient analyzer. Magnetocapacitance testing has been carried out using self assembled instrument interfaced with LCR meter.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Structural and microstructural studies

X-Ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of $0.7\text{BiFeO}_3$–0.3Pb$_{0.3}$Ba$_{0.7}$TiO$_3$ and $0.7\text{BiFeO}_3$–0.3Pb$_{0.3}$Ba$_{0.7}$TiO$_3$ + Mn0.5% (wt%) ceramic samples sintered at 980 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 2h are obtained at a scanning rate of $1^\circ/\text{min}$ within scanning angle range of $15^\circ \leq 2\theta \leq 90^\circ$. Pure perovskite phase are observed without any secondary phases Bi$_3$Fe$_2$O$_7$ and Bi$_2$Fe$_3$O$_9$ [26] of BiFeO$_3$ and other traces of pyrochlore. Rietveld refinement method has been employed using FULLProf suit software [27] to determine crystallinity of the samples. As BiFeO$_3$ exhibits rhombohedral (R3c) phase and both BaTiO$_3$ PbTiO$_3$ exhibit tetragonal ($P4mmm$) structure; therefore R3c and $P4mmm$ model was chosen to fit the profiles. Both the diffraction profiles were well fitted with rhombohedral and tetragonal polymorphs with low value of goodness of fit parameter ($\chi^2 \sim 1.58$ and 1.75) and confirms the existence of morphotropic phase boundary region as usually observed in (1–x)BiFeO$_3$–xPbTiO$_3$ ceramic compositions for $x = 0.3$ [10]. Figure 1 shows the Rietveld refined XRD profiles
of both the compositions. The refined statistical factors, lattice parameters, bond angles and bond lengths of the ceramic samples are tabulated in table 1. The stability of perovskite (ABO$_3$) structure or degree of matching between A and B-site cations can be theoretically calculated by Goldschmidt tolerance factor ‘$t$’ using ionic radii of the elements. The equation for tolerance factor is expressed as given below:

$$t = \frac{[0.7R_{Bi^{3+}} + 0.15R_{Fe^{3+}} + 0.15R_{Ba^{2+}} + R_{O^{2-}}]}{\sqrt{2 \left[ 0.7R_{Fe^{3+}} + 0.3R_{Ti^{4+}} + R_{O^{2-}} \right]}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The value of tolerance factor ‘$t$’ calculated using above equation is 0.87.

Figure 2 depicts the surface microstructure of thermally etched specimens of both samples. It can be clearly visualized from the surface micrographs that grains are randomly distributed and inhomogeneous. The average grain size of the particle is calculated using bar diagram as shown in figure 3. The average crystallite size for BFPTBT-Mn5% ($\sim 0.62 \mu m$) is more than that of BFPTBT-Pure ($\sim 0.57 \mu m$). It reflects that the grain size slightly increases with intrusion of Mn in the crystal lattice, which thereby acts like an activator and promotes grain growth. Generally, Mn doping helps the sintering performance in Pb-based ceramics significantly [28].

### 3.2. Ferroelectric studies

Ferroelectric hysteresis loops (P-E loops) of BFPTBT-Pure and BFPTBT-Mn5% ceramic samples measured up to 80 kV cm$^{-1}$ with an applied frequency of 50 Hz are shown in figure 4. Figure 4 clearly depicts that the remnant polarization ($P_r$) for BFPTBT-Mn5% is more as compared to BFPTBT-Pure sample. The coercive field ($E_c$) of BFPTBT-Mn5% ceramic sample is also high ($\sim 30$ kV cm$^{-1}$), which signifies stable ferroelectric domain configuration of the ceramic sample. Zheng et al [29] has previously reported that Mn$^{4+}$ converts into Mn$^{3+}$ ions with a reaction mechanism as given below:

$$4\text{MnO}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{O}_2 \uparrow$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The ionic radii of Mn$^{3+}$ (64.5 pm) is comparable to that of Ti$^{4+}$ (60.5 pm) and Fe$^{3+}$ (64.5 pm). Therefore, Mn$^{3+}$ ions tend to occupy Fe-site and Ti-site. Mn doping significantly influences the oxygen anion vacancies $V_{G_{Fe}}$. Mn$^{3+}$ cations favor occupation at Fe-site and results in an equation:

$$\text{Mn}^{3+} + \text{Fe}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{Mn}^{2+}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Mn$^{2+}$ ions capture the anion vacancies and, inhibit the domain wall conduction, thereby resulting enhanced electrical behavior. Also, hybridization between Bi (6s$^2$) lone pair and O (2p) orbital of BiFeO$_3$ crystal and ferroelectric distortion of Ti (3d) orbital and O (2p) of (Ba/Pb)TiO$_3$ improves the ferroelectric properties of the specimens.

### 3.3. Piezoelectric studies

Ceramic samples were poled at 3 kV mm$^{-1}$ at 100 °C for 30 min to investigate piezoelectric properties. The piezoelectric coefficient ($d_{33}$) of BFPTBT-Pure and BFPTBT-Mn5% ceramic compositions were measured using Berlincourt peizo-meter. The value of piezoelectric coefficients is found to be $36\mu$C/N and $54\mu$C/N for
| Composition                  | Lattice Parameter (Å) | Cell Volume (Å³) | Bond Lengths (R3c) | Bond Angles (R3c) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
|                            | a         | b         | c         | V       | Bi-O | 2.651 | O-Bi-O | 67.10 |
|                            | Fe-O     |           |           |         | Fe-O | 1.641 | O-Fe-O | 115.327 |
| BFBTBT-Pure Rhombohedral (R-R3c) | 5.6149  | 5.6149   | 13.8090  | 377.031 | Bi-O | 2.651 | O-Bi-O | 67.10 |
|                            | Fe-O     |           |           |         | Fe-O | 1.641 | O-Fe-O | 115.327 |
| Tetragonal (T-P4mm)        | 3.8699   | 3.8699   | 4.4349   | 66.418  | Bi-O | 2.445 | O-Bi-O | 72.22 |
| BFBTBT-Mn5% Rhombohedral (R-R3c) | 5.6202  | 5.6202   | 13.7782  | 376.900 | Bi-O | 2.445 | O-Bi-O | 72.22 |
|                            | Fe-O     |           |           |         | Fe-O | 1.644 | O-Fe-O | 114.81 |
| Tetragonal (T-P4mm)        | 3.8712   | 3.8712   | 4.4326   | 66.427  | Bi-O | 2.445 | O-Bi-O | 72.22 |

Table 1. Statistical parameter, lattice parameter, bond angles and bond lengths of BFBTBT-Pure and BFBTBT-Mn5% ceramics.
BFPTBT-Pure and BFPTBT-Mn5%, respectively. It is well observable from the micrographs (as shown in figure 2) that microstructure of BFPTBT-Mn5% sample is highly dense with bigger grain size than that BFPTBT-Pure. Also multiphase coexistence of rhombohedral and tetragonal symmetries being both ferroelectric supports the elevated value of piezoelectric coefficients for both ceramic compositions, which is not observable in \((1-x)\text{BiFeO}_3-x\text{PbTiO}_3\) compositions.

3.4. Magnetic studies
M-H hysteresis loops of BFPTBT-Pure and BFPTBT-Mn5% ceramics sintered at 1020 °C for 2 h are shown in figure 5. It is clearly visualized from the figure 5 that both the ceramic sample exhibit typical ferromagnetic hysteresis loop. Evidently, Mn doping enhances the magnetization and improves greatly the ferromagnetism of the ceramic sample. Zheng et al have reported the linear dependence of magnetization on the magnetic field in case of pure BiFeO3 as it exhibits G-type antiferromagnetism with long range spin cycloid [30]. But the addition of BaTiO3 and Mn destroys the space modulated spin cycloid and releases the latent magnetization locked within the spin cycloid. It significantly enhances the magnetization of the BiFeO3-PbTiO3 ceramic composition. The value of remnant magnetization and coercivity for both compositions is given in table 2.

3.5. Dielectric studies
The real part of dielectric constant (\(\varepsilon'\)) and tangent loss (\(\tan\delta\)) as a function of temperature for BFPTBT-Pure and BFPTBT-Mn5% ceramics is shown in figure 6. In the vicinity of morphotropic phase boundary (MPB) between rhombohedral (R3c) and tetragonal (P4mm), an anomalous dielectric behavior has been observed for BFPTBT-Pure and BFPTBT-Mn5% compositions [26]. The transition temperature of rhombohedral and tetragonal phases is different as reported by Bhattacharjee et al [10]. From the figure 6, it is clearly visualized that two structural transitions exist, one peak is observed near to 200 °C and the other peak is located around 450 °C–
470 ºC with low value of dielectric loss for both the compositions. The persistence of dielectric anomalies is consistent with x-ray diffraction studies, where two phase coexistence is confirmed with Rietveld refinement. The enhanced value of remnant polarization for BFPTBT-Mn5% is also a consequence of different progression of phase transition upon heating \[31\]. The peak value of dielectric permittivity decreases with Mn addition, but the structural transition temperature i.e. Curie temperature \((T_c)\) increases. The value of \(T_c\) for BFPTBT-Pure and BFPTBT-Mn5% ceramic composition was found to be 447 ºC and 467 ºC, respectively.

3.6. Magnetocapacitance analysis

Magnetodielectric or magnetocapacitive effect can be realized by measuring the fractional change in dielectric permittivity as a function of magnetic field. This phenomenon is an alternative and a very simple way to determine magnetoelectric coupling effect of the multiferroic specimen. The magnetocapacitance \((MC)\) can be recorded by using the following expression \[32\]:

\[
MC = \frac{\varepsilon(H) - \varepsilon(0)}{\varepsilon(0)} \times 100
\]

Here, magnetocapacitance is denoted as \(MC\), \(\varepsilon(0)\) is the dielectric permittivity measured at zero field and \(\varepsilon(H)\) is the dielectric permittivity measured under the influence of applied magnetic field \((H)\). When magnetic field is applied on a multiferroic sample, it experiences strain due to the phenomenon of magnetostriction. The coupling between magnetic and ferroelectric domains also induces stress in the material due to piezomagnetism.
Both magnetostriction and piezomagnetism influence the dielectric permittivity of the sample and hence cause substantial variation in dielectric parameters. Furthermore, the existence of dielectric anomaly due to magnetic ordering of ferroelectromagnet could be explained on the basis of Ginzburg–Landau theory of structural phase transition of second order [34]. Smolenski also observed that the appearance of dielectric anomaly in permittivity of the material is mainly due to magnetic ordering of ferroelectromagnet [35]. The relation between thermodynamic potential ($\phi$), electrical polarization ($P$) and magnetization ($M$) can be expressed as:

\[
\phi = \int (P \cdot E - M \cdot H) \, dV + \text{const}
\]

where $E$ and $H$ are the electric and magnetic fields, respectively.

\[
P = \epsilon_0 E + \chi P
\]

\[
M = \mu_0 H + \chi M
\]

where $\epsilon_0$, $\chi$, $\mu_0$, and $\mu$ are the permittivity, dielectric susceptibility, permeability, and magnetic susceptibility, respectively.

Figure 4. P-E hysteresis loops of BFPTBT-Pure and BFPTBT-Mn5% ceramics.

Figure 5. M-H hysteresis loops of BFPTBT-Pure and BFPTBT-Mn5% ceramics.

Table 2. Variation of remnant polarization and coercive field of BFPTBT-Pure and BFPTBT-Mn5% ceramics.

| Composition    | Remnant Polarization ($P_r$) ($\mu$C/cm$^2$) | Coercive Field ($E_c$) (kV/cm) | Remnant Magnetization ($M_r$) (emu/g) | Coercive Magnetic Field ($H_c$) (Oe) |
|----------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BFPTBT-Pure    | 2.46                                         | 21.69                          | 0.0350                               | 2514.95                             |
| BFPTBT-Mn5%    | 7.63                                         | 30.01                          | 0.0427                               | 2514.95                             |
Here, $\alpha$, $\alpha'$, $\beta$, $\beta'$ and $\varphi_0$ are the thermal parameters.

Figure 7 illustrates the fractional variation in dielectric permittivity i.e. magnetocapacitance ($MC$) as a function of applied magnetic field ($H$) for BFPTBT-Pure and BFPTBT-Mn5% ceramics. The value of $MC$ was found to be 9.49% and 11.81% for BFPTBT-Pure and BFPTBT-Mn5%, respectively. Table 3 illustrates a comparative of value of $MC$ obtained in the present study with other compounds.

It is reported that $MC$ is directly proportional to square of magnetization ($M$) [40]:

$$MC \sim \gamma M^2$$

(6)

Here, $\gamma$ is the magnetic interaction constant. In the present study, the value of magnetic interaction constant is positive for both the compositions. It concludes that the average ferroelectric domains helps in the enhancement of dielectric constant under the influence of applied magnetic field. $MC$ dependence on square of magnetization ($M^2$) for both compositions is shown in figure 8. The variation in square of magnetization ($M^2$) as a function of magnetic field up to 10kOe is depicted in the inset of figure 8. These outcomes derive noticeable signature of magnetoelectric coupling in present ceramic compositions.
4. Conclusions

In this work, we have successfully synthesized pure perovskites BFPTBT-Pure and BFPTBT-Mn5% solid solutions via conventional sintering method. X-Ray diffraction studies revealed the existence of morphotropic phase boundary (MPB) between rhombohedral (R3c) and tetragonal (P4mm) crystalline structures. SEM micrograph illustrates the increment in grain size in BFPTBT-Mn5% ceramic sample as Mn ions dissolve in crystal lattice and promote the grain growth. Ferroelectric (P-E) hysteresis loops show improved ferroelectric phenomenon in BFPTBT-Mn5% with remnant polarization \( P_r = 7.63 \mu \text{C cm}^{-2} \). In the vicinity of MPB, multiphase coexistence results in enhanced piezoelectric effect as piezoelectric coefficients for 36/N and 57pC/N for BFPTBT-Pure and BFPTBT-Mn5% respectively. M-H hysteresis loops show clear signature of
ferromagnetism for both the compositions and it is observed that remnant magnetization increases with Mn doping. The temperature dielectric studies reveals that high value of Curie temperature i.e. 447 °C and 467 °C is recorded for BFPTBT-Pure and BFPTBT-Mn5%, respectively. Enhanced ferromagnetic contribution led to an overall increase in the magnetocapacitance (MC) for both the compositions. The magnetocapacitance (MC) was increased from 9.49% to 11.81% with Mn doping.
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