Surgical and aesthetic rehabilitation for traumatic maxilla
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Introduction

Severe bone and soft tissue loss need multidisciplinary treatment planning by oral and maxillofacial surgeons and prosthodontists [1-3]. In general, surgery is the first attempt for these conditions. In case of inadequate bone volume for dental implant placements distraction osteogenesis and augmentation are commonly preferred techniques [4,5]. Since each method has its own benefits and difficulties due to their standard protocols, it is better to decide to the best option for treatment on a case by case basis. Distraction osteogenesis has been considered as the most effective method for obtaining vertical bone height and soft tissue adaptation [6]. However, this method is hardly accepted by patients due to its lengthy process and discomfort. Additional issues related to this technique are; undesired outcome due to the erroneous vectoral movements of segmented bone and exposure of the device or the operation site [7-9]. In case of choosing augmentation by autogenous bone graft or allograft, it may be hard to gain vertical bone height in many cases. These conventional augmentation techniques have some additional disadvantages such as: resorption and/or infection of graft material and lack of soft tissue adaptation [10-12]. When autogenous bone graft material is chosen, morbidity of donor site has to be considered in preoperative evaluation.

On the other hand, despite the increased risk of complications, there is an agreement among many authors on benefits of using autogenous bone grafts for ridge augmentation instead of allografts due to their osteogenic properties [13-15]. It is probably more secure to protect the allografts or autogenous bone chips to prevent the particles from moving around and become encapsulated in fibrous tissue by a membrane. Using a membrane also helps to obtain a satisfactory outcome for vertical dimension of the alveolar ridge augmentation by reducing the resorption in association with graft material [13]. Use of nonresorbable membranes for large regions provides a control on the amount of graft resorption [15].

After maxillofacial structure and its integrity obtained and implants placed, prostodontic stage starts to provide; optimum function, comfort, phonetics and natural esthetics. There are restorative and prosthetic techniques to correct the esthetic view of cases showing; soft tissue recession, missing teeth and severe bone resorption at the anterior maxilla which is regarded as the "esthetic zone". Some authors suggest alternative, conservative prostodontic treatments to overcome such problems at the esthetic zone. These are; pink colored composite application on root surfaces [16], partially use of pink gingival ceramics on porcelain laminate veneers [17], fixed prosthesis with gingival colored porcelain [18], gingival shaded porcelain over titanium framework as implant superstructure [19], acrylic prosthesis with pink flanges as implant superstructure [20] or implant supported over denture prosthesis [21]. This case report describes the therapeutic phases of a trauma case involving a large regional bone defect at the maxillary alveolar process which is restored by surgical therapy and prosthetic restoration.

Case description

Medical surgical phase

A 25 year old female patient has undergone a traffic accident which caused a severe fracture of the maxillary alveolar process. Thrypsis has been noticed from midsagittal plane of maxilla to the left second premolar tooth. Teeth 11, 21, 22 were absent, teeth 22, 23, 24, 25 were non-vital and showed luxation, besides the lower lip was lacerated. She had been operated in Department of Otolaryngology, University of Ankara, Faculty of Medicine. The lip was sutured and the fractures were fixed with mini plates.

Dental surgical phase

After two months, the patient was referred to the Faculty of Dentistry, University of Ankara, to receive an implant supported prosthetic restoration. However, the clinical and radiological evaluations revealed a bone resorption secondarily to infection due to the bone necrosis at the fracture site. Therefore, additional surgical operations were performed at the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Department, University of Ankara. Miniplates were removed; infected bone tissues were curetted (Figure 1), and augmentation was performed with demineralized bone matrix (Dynagraft.D , Keystone Dental, Inc- Middlesex Turnpike Burlington Ma-US ) and titanium mesh Cytotex Titanium Mesh (Unicare Biomedical, Inc - Laguna Hills Ca-US) in order to obtain adequate vertical and horizontal bone dimension for dental implant placement. Due to soft tissue insufficiency, incision site was primarily closed by turning the labial flap. Titanium membrane exposure was noticed 4 months postoperatively which may caused by repeated operations and loss of normal vascularization of the scar tissue or activity of the labium. To eliminate the infection risk of the graft material, patient was reoperated and titanium mesh was removed. Then, in order to obtain desired bone volume, harvested spongiosa block graft was fixed with self- tapping titanium mini screws (Autodrive Screw, Osteomed, Inc-Addison TxvUS).

After adequate bone quality and volume was obtained, dental implants (Tapered Screw, Zimmer Dental, Inc-Aston Avenue Carlbad, Ca, US) were placed at the site of 11, 24, 25 (Figures 2 and 3) and provisional removable partial prosthesis was inserted.
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and a fixed partial metal ceramic over structure was constructed over the implants 11, 24, 25 where the missing soft gingival tissue were substituted by a pink gum ceramic material using IPS gingiva materials on the metal-ceramic restoration (Ivoclar Vivadent AG, Schaan/Liechtenstein) (Figures 5-9). Oral hygiene measures were realised similar to conventional fixed partial dentures where easy flossing is possible (Figure 10). By the support provided with the fixed prosthetic restoration the lip contour was supported (Figure 11) and the smile line was naturally shaped (Figure 12).

Dental prosthetic phase

Following the surgical phase and insertion of implants, patient showed an asymmetric lip contour on her left side (Figure 4). Then due to luxation teeth 12 and 13 were splinted by metal ceramic crowns and a fixed partial metal ceramic over structure was constructed over the implants 11, 24, 25 where the missing soft gingival tissue were substituted by a pink gum ceramic material using IPS gingiva materials on the metal-ceramic restoration (Ivoclar Vivadent AG, Schaan/Liechtenstein) (Figures 5-9). Oral hygiene measures were realised similar to conventional fixed partial dentures where easy flossing is possible (Figure 10). By the support provided with the fixed prosthetic restoration the lip contour was supported (Figure 11) and the smile line was naturally shaped (Figure 12).
Discussion

This clinical report explains an esthetic surgical and prosthetic approach to a trauma case which has undergone consecutive surgical operations to gain sufficient bone mass for dental implant placement. There are many surgical approaches in dental implantology for cases which show insufficient bone structure to insert dental implants at required length and diameter. Researchers proved that for such cases, clinical results were successful if graft material is supported and protected by a membrane barrier at the augmentation site [22,23]. Therefore, titanium mesh and block grafts were used in this case and oral hygiene was corrected to control the inflammation period. The most serious complication of this case was dehiscence causing the exposure of the membrane and resorption of the graft material during the inflammation period. For this case, improving the oral hygiene was adequate to prevent the continuation of resorption and sufficient bone volume was obtained with the symphysis graft with an additional operation.

In this case, adequate bone quality and volume required for dental implant placement was obtained, however the amount of bone gained after the surgery was not enough to support the left side of the patients lip which also affected the facial contour. Therefore the facial contours were corrected by the prosthetic red and white ceramic restoration. As a result esthetic, function and phonetic recovery of patient was also fulfilled. This case showed satisfying results after the surgical and implant supported prosthetic treatment.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the following results could be derived from this case:

1. Allograft augmentation and autogenous bone grafting could be
a method of choice to obtain an acceptable bone volume before dental implant placement.

2. Satisfactory esthetic correction of the oral structures, lips and face could be provided by the support of a prosthetic reconstruction which restores the missing teeth, bone and soft tissue adequately.
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