The synaptotagmin juxtamembrane domain is involved in neuroexocytosis
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Abstract

Synaptotagmin is a synaptic vesicle membrane protein which changes conformation upon Ca2+ binding and triggers the fast neuroexocytosis that takes place at synapses. We have synthesized a series of peptides corresponding to the sequence of the cytosolic juxtamembrane domain of synaptotagmin, which is highly conserved among different isoforms and animal species, with or without either a hexyl hydrophobic chain or the hexyl group plus a fluorescein moiety. We show that these peptides inhibit neurotransmitter release, that they localize on the presynaptic membrane of the motor axon terminal at the neuromuscular junction and that they bind monophosphoinositides in a Ca2+-independent manner. Based on these findings, we propose that the juxtamembrane cytosolic domain of synaptotagmin binds the cytosolic layer of the presynaptic membrane at rest. This binding brings synaptic vesicles and plasma membrane in a very close apposition, favouring the formation of hemifusion intermediates that enable rapid vesicle fusion.

1. Introduction

Neuroexocytosis is a central function of physiology and behavior and it consists of the Ca2+-regulated fusion of cytosolic neurotransmitter/neuropeptide containing vesicles (SV) with the presynaptic membrane (PM) with release of its content into the synaptic cleft. Neuroexocytosis is mediated by a nanomachine that includes components located on the SV membrane, in the cytosol and on the PM cytosolic face [1–3]. SNAP-25 and syntaxin project their protein mass into the cytosol and can form a coiled–coil complex with a SV protein termed VAMP/synaptobrevin. This heterotrimeric oligomer is termed SNARE complex [4] and the determination of its structure has indicated that SNARE complex formation occurs via coil-coiling of a ~60 residues long SNARE domain present in the sequence of VAMP, SNAP-25 and syntaxin [5]. Other proteins play a major role in SV docking to the PM, but the SNARE complex and the SV Ca2+ binding protein synaptotagmin are those involved in the membrane fusion process which ultimately leads to the release of the SV content [6].

There is evidence that three or more SNARE complexes are required for neuroexocytosis to occur, i.e. a supercomplex of SNARE complexes is required [7–14]. The number of SNARE complexes present in such a nanomachine has not yet been determined with certainty and different events of exocytosis may require SNARE supercomplexes of different stoichiometries. Two cytosolic proteins, Munc-18 and complexin, are essential for the correct assembly of the neuroexocytosis nanomachine by regulating different stages of the formation of the SNARE complex and supercomplex [6]. A tentative arrangement of the supercomplex required for ultrafast neuroexocytosis has been proposed [3].

Synaptotagmins (Syt) form a large family of proteins which basically include an intravesicular domain of varying size, a transmembrane domain (TM) and a linker segment that connects the TM to two consecutive C2 domains that are exposed to the cytosol [15–17] (Fig. 1). Syt binds Ca2+ via the C2 domains each of which contains a conserved polycationic segment that binds anionic PM phospholipids, including phosphatidylinerine and phosphatidylinol...
There is a growing consensus on the possibility that these peptides induce a rapid neuroparalysis, bind phosphoinositides in a Ca\(^{2+}\) independent mode and largely localize on the presynaptic membrane; therefore the effective amount of peptide available for the nerve terminal is greatly reduced. At the end of each experiment the integrity of the muscle was tested and the peptides were found to have no effect on muscle contraction.

The fact that h-JMS is without effect (black line in Fig. 2B), whereas the native sequence still induces a defined reduction of muscular twitch. The scrambled peptide was designed by the software ‘PepControls’ (http://bioware.ucd.ie) and retains the same charge as the sequence from the synaptotagmin one. It seems very unlikely that the relative positions of the charged residue in the sequence of h-JMS and h-sJMS would alter their permeability to membranes. In fact the peptide chain and its lateral chains are flexible and the membrane permeating species is a complex among the cationic peptide and the anionic plasma membrane lipid. Thus it is safe to assume that the relative lack of effects of the control peptide h-sJMS are not a consequence of it having an unexpected low rate of entry into cells. Likewise, h-JMS and h-sJMS will have the same general effect on membrane surface potential as the total number of electric charges is the same.

In order to have a different read-out of the effect of the h-JMS peptide on neuroexocytosis, another set of experiments was performed using an intracellular electrode to record the evoked junctional potentials (EJP) from the mouse diaphragm. Fig. 2C shows that h-JMS inhibits very rapidly the EJP at the mouse NMJ.

As shown in Fig. 1, the juxtamembrane segment is conserved among species and, therefore, we tested the effect of the h-JMS on the D. melanogaster third instar larva NMJ. Fig. 2D shows that this peptide is a highly effective inhibitor of neurotransmitter (glutamate) release at the insect NMJ reinforcing the suggestion that the JMS of Syt plays a major role in neuroexocytosis.

This process can be assayed directly by imaging the release of appropriate fluorescent dyes. Using rat primary spinal cord motor neurons loaded with FM 1–43, we compared the release of the dye in the presence or absence of the h-JMS peptide. As schematized in Fig. 3A, for each experiment, regions corresponding to the synaptic membrane. Based on these findings, we propose a possible activity of the juxtamembrane segment of Syt in neuroexocytosis.
sites were selected, and the fluorescence intensity measured during the unloading phase; the slope that characterizes this phenomenon (i.e., the speed of the exocytic event) was chosen to compare different experiments. Using this experimental approach, we observed a significant inhibition of FM 1–43 release by the h-JMS peptide, but not by the scrambled form (Fig 3B), further supporting the indication that the juxtamembrane segment of Syt is involved in neuroexocytosis.

2.2. The h-JMS peptide preferentially localizes at the presynaptic membrane

To visualize the site(s) of binding and action of the h-JMS peptide, we prepared a fluorescent derivative (Fig. 1F, h-FJMS), using an additional lysine residue to covalently bind the peptide both to the hexyl moiety and to a fluorescent moiety. This peptide was tested in the hemidiaphragm preparation and found to inhibit neurotransmitter release (not shown).

Fig. 4 shows that, in the mouse hemidiaphragm NMJ preparation, the h-FJMS staining is largely confined to the presynaptic membrane and the periplasmic area as indicated by the substantial overlap with the staining of the presynaptic membrane marker Bassoon and by its distribution with respect to the post–synaptic marker α-bungarotoxin. This is particularly evident in the lateral section (Fig. 5). Out of line with these results, unexpectedly, there is a comparatively lower staining by the h-FJMS peptide of the SVs, which are largely localized inside the motor axon terminal, as documented by comparison with the staining of an antibody specific for the vesicular acetylcholine transporter (Fig. 6). Taken together these data suggest that a large part of the fluorescent peptide inserts into the presynaptic membrane. This is in agreement with the fact that similar cationic peptides of the C2 domains of Syt bind to the PM via interaction with anionic phospholipids [16,17,38–40].

2.3. The h-JMS peptide binds to anionic phospholipids independently of Ca\(^{2+}\)

Therefore, we assayed the binding of the fluorescent peptide h-FJMS to a variety of anionic phospholipids. Fig. 7 shows that the h-FJMS indeed binds anionic phospholipids, including phosphatidylserine and phosphatidylinositides (PIs), which are enriched in the SV membrane and on the cytosolic face of the PM [39,41]. However, in contrast to the Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent binding of PIP\(_2\) to the C2 domains, the lower panel of Fig. 7 documents that the h-FJMS peptide binds mono-phosphorylated phosphoinositides independently of the presence of Ca\(^{2+}\). In addition, we found little or no binding to the other classes of phospholipids tested, with exception of cardiolipin, which, however, contains two phosphate groups in its molecule. This lipid binding pattern is similar to the one found previously using the sumoylated Syt 1 peptide 80–96 [42]. Similar anionic lipid binding by a polybasic juxtamembrane segment were found in syntaxin [43] and in VAMP [44]. Therefore, it is very unlikely that the present finding is an artefact resulting from the presence of the hexyl and the fluorescent moieties. As PS and PIs are present in the cytoplasmic side of the presynaptic membrane and on the SV membrane, this binding may have a great significance in the SV–PM interaction. The possible importance of the cluster of basic residues in the juxtamembrane segment of the two SNARE proteins VAMP and syntaxin was proposed right after the report of the structure of the SNARE complex [45] as well as the electrostatic interaction among proteins and plasma membrane phosphoinositides [46]. This will be discussed below for the case of the vesicle protein synaptotagmin reported here together with a possible novel role of the juxtamembrane segment of this protein in synaptic vesicle membrane fusion.
**Fig. 3.** Effect of synaptotagmin h-JMS on the neuroexocytosis of primary spinal cord motor neurons. Rat primary motor neurons (7–12 DIV) were loaded with 7 μM FM 1–43 by electrical field stimulation. After washing, neurons were exposed to different concentrations of peptide or vehicle; another electric stimulation induces the unloading phase, which was monitored by fluorescence microscopy, as described in the scheme at the top of panel A. Images were analyzed selecting fluorescent ROI (15–20 for each experiments) along neurites (with fixed dimensions of 1.6 μm × 1.6 μm remaining immobile during experimental time). The fluorescence intensity was plotted for each region and the slope corresponding to the unloading phase was calculated. A representative trace, corresponding to one ROI is shown as example. B) The graph represents the average slope of data obtained from four different cell preparations: 2 μM peptide n = 84; 2 μM scrambled peptide n = 42; control n = 84.

**Fig. 4.** Localization of the fluorescent peptide h-FJMS at the mouse hemidiaphragm neuromuscular junction. The mouse hemidiaphragm neuromuscular junction preparation was fixed when paralysis caused by 200 μM h-FJMS peptide was attained and immunostained. (A) Fluorescent peptide (green); (B) α-Btx (purple, post synaptic marker); (C) Basson (red, pre-synaptic active zone marker); (D and E) merged images of the red zone in A. (F) merge of the three markers used, indicating a membrane presynaptic localization of the fluorescent peptide. Scale bar: 10 μm in A–E; 5 μm in F.
3. Discussion

The main finding of the present work is that peptides corresponding to the segment 80–98 of Syt 1 (JMS) which is responsible for \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) triggered neuroexocytosis, cross the plasma membrane and strongly inhibit neuroexocytosis, most likely by competing with synaptotagmins at the mouse and \( D. \ melanogaster \) neuromuscular junction. The capability of highly cationic peptides to cross the plasma membrane and enter cells is well documented though the mechanism(s) and subcellular site(s) of entry are ill defined [32–34]. The powerful inhibition of neuroexocytosis by JMS peptides, in all likelihood, results from its ability to compete for a yet undisclosed essential interaction that Syt displays in vivo with an unknown partner via its juxtamembrane segment. That this interaction has to occur close to the cytosolic surface of the SV membrane or the PM membrane, or both, is suggested not only by the location of JMS with respect to the TM domain of Syt, but also by the much higher inhibitory activity of the JMS hexyl derivative that partition in the membrane via its hydrocarbon chain. Accordingly, we have tested the interaction of JMS with phospholipids and have found a defined interaction of JMS with anionic phospholipids, including PS and phosphorylated derivatives of phosphatidylinositols, which are present on the cytosolic monolayers of both SV and PM membranes. Such lipid interaction occurs independently of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) in the medium, suggesting that the JMS segment of Syt may interact in vivo both with the SV membrane (cis interaction) and with the PM (trans interaction). On the basis of this finding, we would like to propose that the JMS segment is defined as a domain of Syt endowed with the function of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-independent anionic phospholipid binding. To localize the site of action of the hexyl derivative JMS peptides, we have used a fluorescein derivative of the hexyl-JMS domain (h-FJMS of Fig. 1). Unexpectedly, h-FJMS was found to localize more on the presynaptic membrane than on the SV present inside the nerve terminal. The presynaptic labeling of h-FJMS is remarkable and indicates that its partitioning within the neuromuscular junction is rather specific, providing a further indication of the validity of the results of inhibition of neuroexocytosis. There is little staining

![Fig. 5. Localization of the h-FJMS peptide at the neuromuscular junction. Hemidiaphragm fixed at paralysis and immunostained after treatment with 200 \( \mu \text{M} \) FITC-labeled hexyl-juxtamembrane peptide (h-FJMS). (A) fluorescent peptide (green); (B) \( \alpha \)-BTX (purple, post synaptic marker); (C) frontal and (D) lateral merged images, showing the presynaptic localization of h-FJMS (green).](image)

![Fig. 6. Localization of the h-FJMS peptide and synaptic vesicles at the NMJ. Hemidiaphragm fixed at paralysis and immunostained after treatment with 200 \( \mu \text{M} \) h-FJMS peptide. (A) h-FJMS peptide (green); (B) anti VAChT (red); (C) \( \alpha \)-BTX (purple, post synaptic marker); (D and E) merged images.](image)
of h-FJMS of the cytosol of the NMJ which tends to exclude, in the present case, an indirect effect of the JMS peptide via actin-remodeling similar to the one found by Johnsson and Karlsson [42] in cultured neurons. In fact, neurons in culture bear little resemblance to the ex vivo NMJ preparations used here, which include muscle and peripheral Schwann cells.

Clearly, the localization of h-FJMS does not reflect that of the JMS domain as part of the entire Syt molecule in vivo as Syt is an integral membrane protein of SV and the JMS peptide is a small molecule. However, this result may be taken as an indication that JMS has a stronger affinity for the phospholipids of the PM with respect to those of the SV, though the molecular basis of this preference are not known. It is possible that the cytosolic face of the plasma membrane contains clusters of phosphoinositides and that this feature favors a strong interaction with cluster of positive residues of proteins as discussed in [46] and references cited there in. Translated to the in vivo situation, the localization of h-FJMS may indicate that JMS mediates cis-interactions with its own membrane, but, when SV become close enough to the PM, such as in the case of the docked vesicles [47], then JMS can switch to a trans-interaction with the cytosolic face of the PM where PIs are localized, as schematized in Fig. 8. Such a possibility posited here for the first time is intriguing and adds to the well defined cis and trans interactions of the C2 domains of Syt in a Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent mode [2,16,17,38,40,48]. The interaction of the Syt of docked vesicles with anionic phospholipids of the cytosolic monolayer of the PM would implicate a very close apposition of the two membranes, thus promoting the possibility of hemifusion of the vesicle membrane with the plasma membrane (Fig. 6). There is an almost general consensus that membrane hemifusion is an essential step preliminary to fast exocytosis [2,31,49,50] and the possibility that the juxtamembrane domain of synaptotagmin is involved in membrane hemifusion deserves all the attention and it is amenable of testing by electron microscopy and biophysical methods.

4. Materials and methods
4.1. Antibodies

Rabbit polyclonal anti vesicular acetylcholine transporter (VACHT) and mouse monoclonal anti Basson are from Synaptic
4.2. Peptides synthesis

The synthetic peptide encompassing the synaptotagmin sequence (80–98) and its derivatives were prepared by solid phase peptide synthesis using a multiple peptide synthesizer (SyroII, MultiSynTech GmbH) on Rink Amide MBHA resin (Novabiochem, Bad Soden, Germany). The fluoren-9-ylmethoxycarbonyl (Fmoc) strategy [51] was used throughout the peptide chain assembly, using O-(7-Azabenzotriazol-1-yl)-N,N,N0,N0-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HATU) (ChemPep, Wellington, Fl USA) as coupling reagent [52]. The side-chain protected amino acid building blocks used were: N-a-Fmoc-c-tert-butyl-L-glutamic acid, N-a-Fmoc-Ne-(tert-butyloxycarbonyl)-L-lysine, N-a-Fmoc-Ne-(4-methyltrityl)-L-lysine, N-a-Fmoc-S-trityl-cysteine, N-e-(tert-butyloxycarbonyl)-aminohexanoic acid. Fluorescent labeling was performed during the synthesis with 5(6)-carboxyfluorescein (FAM). For this purpose the peptide (see peptide c, Fig. 1) was synthesized with an additional N(epsilon) protected lysine with the orthogonal methyltrityl (Mtt) group. The Mtt group was selectively removed by treatment with a 10% acetic acid in dichloromethane followed by a second treatment with 1% trifluoroacetic acid, 10% trifluoroethanol in dichloromethane. Then, the fluorescent probe (FAM) was pre-activated with DIC (N,N-diisopropylcarbodiimide) and HOAt (1-Hydroxy-7-azabenzotriazole) (ChemPep, Wellington, Fl USA) for 10 min and added to the peptide resin. The reaction proceeded for 2 h under stirring. Cleavage of the peptides was performed by incubating the peptide resins with trifluoroacetic acid/H2O/thioanisole/ethanedithiol/phenol (10 ml/0.5 ml/0.5 ml/0.25 ml/750 mg) for 2.5 h. Crude peptides were purified by a preparative reverse phase HPLC. Molecular masses of the peptide were confirmed by mass spectroscopy on a MALDI TOF-TOF using a Applied Biosystems 4800 mass spectrometer.

4.3. Cell cultures

Primary rat spinal cord motor neuron (MNs) were isolated from Sprague-Dawley rat embryos (embryonic day 14) and cultured following previously described protocols [53]. All experiments were performed using MNs differentiated for 6–12 days.

4.4. Mouse phrenic nerve-hemiaphragm preparations

All experimental procedures were performed in accordance with the Italian guidelines, law n. 116/1992 and were approved by the Animal Ethical Committee of our University.

Mouse phrenic nerve hemiaphragms were isolated from male CD-1 mice weighing about 20–25 g and mounted in 2–3 ml oxygenated (95% O2, 5% CO2) Krebs–Ringer solution (139 mM NaCl, 12 mM NaHCO3, 4 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl2, 1 mM MgCl2, 1 mM KH2PO4 and 11 mM glucose, pH 7.4). Two innervated hemiaphragm preparations were isolated from each animal.

4.5. Muscle twitch measurements

The phrenic nerve was stimulated via two ring platinum electrodes with supramaximal stimuli of 10 V amplitude and 0.1 millisecond pulse duration, with a frequency of 0.1 Hz (Stimulator 6002, Harvard Apparatus, Massachusetts, USA). Muscle contraction was monitored with an isometric transducer (Harvard Apparatus); data were recorded and analyzed by the i-WORX 118 system (Harvard Apparatus). The amplitude of twitch was calculated as a difference from basal muscular tension and the mean of peak value measured after stimulation.

Muscles were stretched to the optimal length for twitch tension and the muscle twitch allowed to stabilize for at least 20 min at
37 °C. In control experiments the amplitude of muscle contraction under this type of stimulation was constant for at least 8 h. The mean twitch value measured during the last 5–10 min before the experiment was taken as 100% in order to normalize the data. Peptides at the indicated concentrations were added to the nerve-muscle preparations in the minimal volume of buffer.

4.6. Muscle electrophysiology

Experiments in mouse NMJ were performed on mouse phrenic nerve hemidiaphragm preparations, pinned on silicone-coated surface (Dow Corning, Germany), maintained in oxygenated Krebs–Ringer solution, as described previously [54]. A 3 μM final concentration of μ-Conotoxin GIIIB (Alomone Labs, Israel) was added to the bath to block muscle action potentials. Peptides at the indicated concentrations were added to the nerve-muscle preparations in the minimal volume of buffer. Evoked Junction Potentials (EJPs) were intracellularly recorded, in current-clamp mode, in single muscle fibers using glass microelectrodes following stimulation of phrenic nerve stump, at 0.5 Hz. Phrenic nerve stump was stimulated using a suction microelectrode connected to a stimulator (Grass S88, USA) through a Stimulus Isolation Unit (SIU5, Grass, USA). Recorded signals were offline analyzed with an appropriate software (Pclamp, Molecular Devices, USA). Relative EJPs amplitude was calculated with respect to the average of the first 10 evoked EJPs recorded in physiological conditions.

4.7. D. melanogaster Electrophysiology

Experiments using the Drosophila NMJ were performed at room temperature on third instar larval body wall preparations dissected in Ca²⁺-free HL3 saline and pinned on the silicone-coated surface (Dow Corning, Germany) as described previously [10]. After dissection Ca²⁺ free HL3 saline was replaced with 1 mM Ca²⁺ HL3. Peptides at the indicated concentrations were added to the nerve-muscle preparations in the minimal volume of buffer. Electrophysiological recordings were performed on fibers 6 or 7 of abdominal segment 3 or 4 using intracellular glass microelectrodes (WPI, Germany). Fibers with a membrane resting potential lower than −60 mV were rejected. Recorded signals were offline analyzed with an appropriate software (Pclamp, Molecular Devices, USA). Relative EJPs amplitude was calculated with respect to the average of the first 10 EJPs recorded in physiological conditions.

4.8. FM 1–43 unloading

Rat primary spinal cord motor neurons (7–12 DIV) were loaded with 7 μM FM 1–43 (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) by electrical field stimulation (20 s at 20 Hz, 400 Action Potential, AP). For each culture, a preliminary test with the calcium binding dye Fluo 4 (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) was performed, to verify that the voltage applied (15–18 V) induces a transient Ca²⁺ influx without damaging cells. After FM 1–43 wash, neurons were exposed to different concentrations of peptide or vehicle; the unloading phase was induced with another electric stimulation (400 AP) and monitored by fluorescence microscopy. Images were acquired by a Nikon TE2000E stage, and analyzed with the ImageJ (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/). For each experiment, 15–20 ROIs were selected with fixed area and dimensions (1.6 μm × 1.6 μm) and remaining immobile during experimental time. The normalized fluorescence intensity for each region was corrected subtracting the residual fluorescence at the end of the experiment; for the data of the initial phase of unloading [first 20 s] a linear fitting was performed and the corresponding slope calculated. ROIs with fitting R square below 0.8 were discarded. The average slope was taken as indicator of the exocytosis speed.

4.9. Protein lipid overlay

“PIP Strips” (nitrocellulose membranes prespotted with defined lipids) were purchased from Echelon Biosciences (Salt Lake City, UT) and binding overlay experiments were carried out according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, the nitrocellulose was saturated with TrisCl 50 mM, NaCl 150 mM pH 6.8–7, Tween 20 0.5% (TBS-T), BSA FA free 3% over night at 4 °C, and then incubated with 5 μg/ml h-FJMS in the same solution for 2 h at RT. The nitrocellulose was washed extensively with TBS-T, and the bound protein was detected by fluorescence assay. Images were taken using a Kodak Image Station 4000 MM Pro. Integrated densities at each lipid spot (three replicates) were measured with Image J and normalized to the blank value for each treatment.

4.10. Fluorescent labeling and Immunohistochemistry

Hemidiaphragms from twitch experiments with the fluorescent peptide (h-FJMS) were washed and fixed (2 h at room temperature) with 4% paraformaldehyde, 20% sucrose, washed with PBS and 50 mM NH₄Cl for 10 min. Muscles were treated with 2% bovine serum albumin, 0.25% porcine skin gelatin, 0.12% glycine, and 15% goat serum in PBS (blocking solution) plus 0.5% Triton X-100 to permeabilize. Muscles were then incubated with primary antibodies in blocking solution for 24 h at 4 °C; after washing, secondary fluorescent antibody and α-bungarotoxin Alexa-555 were added and incubated for 2 h at room temperature. Images were collected from whole-mount preparations in Mowiol (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) with Leica SP-5 confocal microscope.
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