Hybrid Cyanobacterial-Tobacco Rubisco Supports Autotrophic Growth and Procarboxysomal Aggregation
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Much of the research aimed at improving photosynthesis and crop productivity attempts to overcome shortcomings of the primary CO₂-fixing enzyme Rubisco. Cyanobacteria utilize a CO₂-concentrating mechanism (CCM), which encapsulates Rubisco with poor specificity but a relatively fast catalytic rate within a carboxysome microcompartment. Alongside the active transport of bicarbonate into the cell and localization of carbonic anhydrase within the carboxysome shell with Rubisco, cyanobacteria are able to overcome the limitations of Rubisco via localization within a high-CO₂ environment. As part of ongoing efforts to engineer a β-cyanobacterial CCM into land plants, we investigated the potential for Rubisco large subunits (LSU) from the β-cyanobacterium Synechococcus elongatus (Se) to form aggregated Rubisco complexes with the carboxysome linker protein CcmM35 within tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) chloroplasts. Transplastomic plants were produced that lacked cognate Se Rubisco small subunits (SSU) and expressed the Se LSU in place of tobacco LSU, with and without CcmM35. Plants were able to form a hybrid enzyme utilizing tobacco SSU and the Se LSU, allowing slow autotrophic growth in high CO₂. CcmM35 was able to form large Rubisco aggregates with the Se LSU, and these incorporated small amounts of native tobacco SSU. Plants lacking the Se SSU showed delayed growth, poor photosynthetic capacity, and significantly reduced Rubisco activity compared with both wild-type tobacco and lines expressing the Se SSU. These results demonstrate the ability of the Se LSU and CcmM35 to form large aggregates without the cognate Se SSU in planta, harboring active Rubisco that enables plant growth, albeit at a much slower pace than plants expressing the cognate Se SSU.

The need to produce sufficient food for a growing population requires increasing the productivity and efficiency of agriculture in order to increase yields by the estimated 70% that will be needed by 2050 (Lobell et al., 2009; Ray et al., 2012). Given its central role in crop growth and productivity, improving photosynthesis is one approach that has the potential to generate step-change improvements in crop yields and resource use efficiency (Long et al., 2006; Ort et al., 2015). One of the primary limitations to photosynthesis is the relative inefficiency of the central carbon-fixing enzyme Rubisco, in particular its lack of specificity for CO₂ versus oxygen, which leads to the energetically costly photorespiratory cycle (Whitney et al., 2011; Carmo-Silva et al., 2015; Sharwood et al., 2016; Flamholz et al., 2019). Exemplifying this, at current atmospheric levels of CO₂ and oxygen, Rubisco’s tendency to oxygenate rather than carboxylate its substrate ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) is estimated to reduce yields by as much as 36% and 20% in United States-grown soybean (Glycine max) and wheat (Triticum aestivum), respectively (Walker et al., 2016). Recent work has shown that limiting the costs of photorespiration by increasing its efficiency can provide dramatic benefits to plant growth (South et al., 2019).

Synthetic biology approaches hold promise for improving a number of facets of photosynthetic efficiency in crop plants (Maurino and Weber, 2013; Erb and Zarzycki, 2016; Orr et al., 2017). One example is the introduction of CO₂-concentrating mechanisms (CCMs) into C₃ crops to increase CO₂ concentrations at the site of Rubisco, a strategy that is likely to dramatically reduce the propensity of Rubisco to carry out oxygenation reactions by creating an environment that favors the beneficial carboxylation reaction...
(Price et al., 2011; McGrath and Long, 2014; Hanson et al., 2016; Long et al., 2016). Significant research efforts are being invested in this area, with varying sources for the CCMs being engineered, such as C₄ (Hibberd et al., 2008; Langdale, 2011) and Crassulacean acid metabolism (Borland et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2015) systems from plants and the pyrenoid- and carboxysome-based systems of algae and cyanobacteria, respectively (Rae et al., 2017; Mackinder, 2018).

The CCM employed by cyanobacteria uses a combination of factors to create a high-CO₂ environment localized around Rubisco (Price et al., 2008; Hanson et al., 2016). Aggregation and encapsulation of Rubisco within a highly ordered icosahedral protein microcompartment, or carboxysome, allows colocalization of Rubisco and carbonic anhydrase to convert HCO₃⁻ to CO₂ where it is needed and permits the movement of key molecules while limiting CO₂ escape. Generating a high-CO₂ environment is also facilitated by a complex system of inorganic carbon transporters on the cyanobacterial outer membrane that move either HCO₃⁻ or CO₂ into the cytoplasm through active and passive mechanisms (Price, 2011). Modeling the incorporation of the various components of the CCM into plants suggests that once a fully functioning system is established within a land plant chloroplast, photosynthetic rates could be improved by as much as 60% (McGrath and Long, 2014). The resulting subsequent improvements in yield could facilitate a major change in crop productivity and resource use efficiency (Ort et al., 2015; Hanson et al., 2016).

Substantial progress has been made during recent years to unravel the molecular mechanisms of CCMs involving either carboxysomes or pyrenoids. In *Synechococcus elongatus* (Se) PCC7942, which produces β-carboxysomes, the *ccmM* gene gives rise to two proteins, CcmM58 and CcmM35, the latter arising from an internal ribosomal entry site (Long et al., 2007, 2010). CcmM35 possesses three tandem repeats of Rubisco small subunit-like domains and was initially thought to interact with Rubisco by replacing small subunits (Long et al., 2011). However, recent experiments suggest that CcmM35 binds Rubisco without releasing the small subunits (Ryan et al., 2019). A recent structural study revealed that the interaction between CcmM35 and Rubisco leads to dramatic phase separation (Wang et al., 2019). This nucleation of Rubisco holoenzymes by CcmM35 represents a critical first step in the assembly of β-carboxysomes (Cameron et al., 2013). In the pyrenoid of *Chlamydomonas* spp., similar phase separation was also observed when Rubisco and a repeat protein called Essential Pyrenoid Component1 (EPYC1) interact (Wunder et al., 2018). Likewise, in α-carboxysomes, Rubisco holoenzymes interact with a highly disordered repeat protein called Carboxysome operon S2 (CsoS2; Cai et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2018). In a recent breakthrough, Long et al. (2018) were able to assemble α-carboxysomes in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) chloroplasts by coexpressing Rubisco large and small subunit genes along with CsoS2 and a shell protein called CsoS1A from *Cyanobium marinum* PCC7001. In another study, the shell proteins of β-carboxysome transiently expressed in the chloroplasts of *Nicotiana benthamiana* were able to assemble structures similar to microcompartments (Lin et al., 2014a).

Our previous work demonstrated that replacing the Rubisco large subunit (LSU) gene in tobacco with the Rubisco large and small subunit genes from *Se* resulted in plants that can support photosynthetic growth under elevated CO₂ conditions (Lin et al., 2014b; Occhialini et al., 2016). When CcmM35 was coexpressed in tobacco chloroplasts, the heterologous Rubisco was observed in a large aggregate with an appearance resembling a separate liquid phase (Lin et al., 2014b). In a previous study performed by another group, when the tobacco Rubisco LSU gene (*rbcL*) was replaced with that from *S. elongatus* PCC6301, no Rubisco LSU was detected in the transformed plant (Kanevski et al., 1999), and it was thought that the cyanobacterial LSU could not assemble with the plant small subunit (SSU) to form a functional enzyme.

Here, we investigated the assembly and functioning of cyanobacterial Rubisco within land plant chloroplasts when the Se LSU is expressed either with or without CcmM35 in the absence of the cognate cyanobacterial SSU. Analysis of transplastomic tobacco lines incorporating some cyanobacterial components but lacking the cognate SSU revealed that the Se LSU and CcmM35 are able to form large aggregates of Rubisco within tobacco chloroplasts. Although only low amounts of tobacco SSUs were present, the transplastomic lines characterized differed significantly in physiology and biochemistry from comparable lines that also coexpressed the cognate cyanobacterial SSU. Remarkably, albeit at slow rates, in the absence of the cognate SSUs, the hybrid cyanobacterial LSU-tobacco SSU expressed in tobacco chloroplasts with and without CcmM35 was active and supported plant growth.

**RESULTS**

Cyanobacterial Rubisco LSU Can Support Carbon Fixation in Tobacco Chloroplasts in the Absence of Cognate SSU

We generated two transplastomic tobacco lines named SeL and SeLM35 by replacing in frame the entire tobacco Rubisco LSU gene with that from Se. In the SeLM35 line, the *ccmM35* gene was introduced downstream of the *SerbcL* gene to be coexpressed from the same chloroplast genome locus (Fig. 1A). We used the same regulatory elements at intergenic regions as described in our previous work, namely, a terminator, an intercistronic expression element (IEE), and a Shine-Dalgarno (SD) or ribosome-binding site (Lin et al., 2014b; Occhialini et al., 2016). In contrast to our previous work, the new transplastomic lines do not possess a corresponding cyanobacterial Rubisco SSU gene. The aminoglycoside-3′-adenylytransferase (*aadA*) selectable marker gene was incorporated into the same operon as
the SerbcL gene in the SeL construct instead of a separate operon, as in the SeLM35 construct. We obtained homoplastic transformed shoots after two rounds of selection and were able to transfer them to soil for growth under elevated CO2 (9,000 \mu L L\(^{-1}\)). We collected seeds from two independent SeL lines and one SeLM35 line. Both DNA and RNA blots confirmed complete removal of the NtrbcL gene and its corresponding transcript in these plants (Fig. 1B; Supplemental Fig. S1). We also analyzed the transcripts containing SerbcL and ccmM35 genes in these lines together with SeLS and SeLSM35 lines generated in our previous study (Supplemental Fig. S1). The RNA blots showed bands arising from incomplete processing of IEE as well as read-through transcription of the downstream aadA operon, consistent with our previous observations (Occhialini et al., 2016).

Cyanobacterial Rubisco LSU and CcmM35 Aggregate in Procarboxysome Microcompartments in Tobacco Chloroplasts

Expression of SeCcmM35 together with the cyanobacterial LSU in the SeLM35 transformant resulted in the formation of aggregates, or procarboxysome microcompartments, in tobacco chloroplasts (Fig. 2). These aggregates were similar in size and shape to those observed in plants containing both the large and small subunits of Rubisco and CcmM35 (SeLSM35; Supplemental Fig. S2) but were absent from tobacco plants expressing the Se LSU in the absence of CcmM35. Immunogold labeling confirmed the presence of the Se LSU and CcmM35 proteins within the SeLM35 procarboxysome compartments (Fig. 2; Supplemental Figs. S3 and S4). In comparison, in SeL plants, the SeLSU protein could be detected throughout the chloroplast and, as expected, the anti-CcmM antibody gave only background-level signal.

Gel electrophoresis and immunoblotting of leaf extracts demonstrated the presence of cyanobacterial LSU and CcmM35 in SeLM35 transplastomic plants (Fig. 3; Supplemental Fig. S5). Visually, the two proteins appear to be more abundant on a total soluble protein basis in these plants compared with SeLSM35. As expected, both proteins were absent from wild-type leaf

Figure 1. Replacement of the Rubisco LSU gene (rbcL) in tobacco chloroplasts with SerbcL with or without the ccmM35 gene. A, Gene arrangements of wild-type (WT), SeL, and SeLM35 tobacco lines along with the locations of the EcoRV and KpnI restriction sites used on the DNA blot. The binding site for the digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled DNA probe is shown in green bars. Seeds were obtained from two independent SeL lines and one SeLM35 line. B, DNA-blot analysis of wild-type, SeL, and SeLM35 samples digested with EcoRV and KpnI. All samples produced the expected band on the DNA blot.

Figure 2. Tobacco plants expressing cyanobacterial Rubisco LSUs and CcmM35 contain a procarboxysome compartment in the chloroplast. Immunolocalization is shown for Se proteins in the chloroplasts of transplastomic tobacco lines expressing the Rubisco LSU and CcmM35 (SeLM35) or the LSU alone (SeL). Electron micrographs show ultrathin sections of mesophyll cells probed with the indicated primary antibody and a secondary antibody conjugated to 10-nm gold particles. Additional images are presented in Supplemental Figures S3 and S4. Bars show size as indicated.
extracts, and in SeLS and SeL plants, the Se LSU was present but CcmM35 was not observed. The tobacco SSU was detected in wild-type, SeL, and SeLM35 leaf extracts, although its abundance in SeL was very low, and visualization of the 13-kD SSU required a higher total soluble protein load to detect clearly using immunoblotting (Fig. 3C). Nondenaturing native PAGE suggested that CcmM35 is present in functional complexes with Rubisco in the tobacco transplastomic lines SeLSM35 and SeLM35 (Fig. 3B).

Cyanobacterial Rubisco Activity Is Impaired by the Lack of a Cognate SSU within Tobacco Chloroplasts

Consistent with previous efforts expressing Se Rubisco within tobacco chloroplasts, Rubisco content and activity on a leaf area basis were significantly lower in leaf extracts of all the transplastomic lines, representing less than 20% of the values in wild-type plants (Fig. 4). SeL plants in particular displayed minimal amounts of Rubisco. While Rubisco active sites in SeL were approximately 20% of SeLS plants expressing both Se Rubisco subunits (Fig. 4B), total activity in SeL was less than 5% of SeLS and approximately 1% of wild-type tobacco, consistent with the extremely slow growth of these plants (see below). SeLM35 plants had significantly more Rubisco active sites than other transplastomic lines, including SeLSM35, which also expresses the CcmM35 linker protein (Fig. 4B; P < 0.001), although Rubisco total activity was not significantly different between the two lines (Fig. 4A; P > 0.001).

To ascertain the ability of tobacco chloroplasts to maintain active cyanobacterial Rubisco, we determined Rubisco activation states from wild-type and transplastomic plants under steady-state conditions. As anticipated, wild-type plants were observed to have a comparatively low activation state in high-CO₂ conditions (Fig. 4C). Lines expressing both Se Rubisco subunits, with or without CcmM35, showed essentially fully active Rubisco. In contrast, in SeLM35 Rubisco, activation was approximately 70%, and in SeL, expressing just the cyanobacterial LSU, it was only approximately 20%. These data indicate that these complexes, although able to function, did not become fully active in these growth conditions.

All transplastomic lines displayed significantly lower total soluble protein compared with wild-type tobacco (Fig. 4D; P < 0.001), and this decrease was largely consistent with the decreased amount of Rubisco on an area basis (Supplemental Fig. S6). Alongside reduced total soluble protein and Rubisco content and in agreement with visual observations of these transplastomic plants, levels of chlorophyll a and b, and thus total chlorophyll, were significantly reduced (Supplemental Fig. S7). Chlorophyll a was more severely reduced, and with the exception of SeLS, all

Figure 3. Protein composition of wild-type (WT) tobacco and transplastomic lines expressing β-cyanobacterial carboxysome components. A and B, Polypeptides in leaf extracts prepared from plants of each line were separated by denaturing SDS-PAGE (A) and nondenaturing native PAGE (B) and either stained with Coomassie Blue (top gels) or used for immunoblotting with antibodies against cyanobacterial Rubisco LSU (SeLSU) and CcmM35 and against the tobacco Rubisco small subunit (NtSSU; bottom gels). Images showing blotting of PAGE gels are slices from blots (Supplemental Fig. S5) and show the indicated size regions where the respective antibodies detect proteins of interest. For SDS-PAGE and native PAGE, 10 and 20 μg of total soluble protein was loaded per lane, respectively. Lanes marked M indicate protein markers containing proteins of a range of sizes as indicated at left of each gel. C, SDS-PAGE and native PAGE gels immunoblotted with antibody against NtSSU, loaded with 20 and 40 μg of total soluble protein, respectively.
lines had a significantly reduced chlorophyll a/b ratio compared with wild-type tobacco.

Cyanobacterial Rubisco has been characterized to have a very high catalytic rate but also a poor affinity for CO2 (high Kc value). In SeLS and SeLSM35 plants, values obtained for carboxylation rate (Vc) and the Michaelis-Menten constant for CO2 (Kc) were consistent with previous work (Table 1; Occhialini et al., 2016). Rubiscos from SeLM35 and Sel, which contain the cyanobacterial LSU but lack a cognate SSU, were able to carboxylate RuBP at significant rates. Immunoblotting suggested the presence of tobacco SSU in the Rubisco complex, but this was likely at a stoichiometric ratio lower than 1:1 in relation to the cyanobacterial LSU (Fig. 3). These two Rubisco enzymes had affinities for CO2 comparable to the enzyme from the transplastomic lines containing both the cyanobacterial LSU and SSU (Table 1).

The Lack of a Cognate Rubisco SSU Also Impairs Photosynthetic Gas Exchange

To evaluate the impact of the unusual Rubisco composition in the leaves of these transplastomic lines, gas-exchange measurements were carried out. At the levels present in these transplastomic plants and in the absence of a functional CCM, the faster catalytic rate of Se Rubisco does not confer an advantage in the photosynthetic rate per leaf area even at 2,000 μL L⁻¹ CO2 (Fig. 5A). Consistent with a previous work, aggregating cyanobacterial Rubisco through the expression of CcmM35 in SeLSM35 plants slightly reduced photosynthetic rates on an area basis (Fig. 5A; Occhialini et al., 2016). SeLM35 photosynthetic rates show that the

Table 1. Rubisco catalytic properties

| Line           | Vc  | Kc   |
|----------------|-----|------|
| Wild type*     | 3.9 ± 0.2 | 9.0 ± 0.3 |
| SeLS          | 15.0 ± 0.9 a | 168 ± 59 a |
| Sel           | 0.6 ± 0.2 b  | 105 ± 9 a  |
| SeLSM35       | 10.9 ± 0.8 c | 133 ± 12 a |
| SeLM35        | 2.0 ± 0.3 b  | 110 ± 22 a |

*Wild-type values are from Occhialini et al. (2016). Letters denote significant differences (P < 0.05) between transplastomic lines as determined by Tukey’s pairwise comparisons following ANOVA.
lack of the cognate Se SSU decreases photosynthetic rates even further (Fig. 5A). Most transplastomic lines showed a noticeable increase of photosynthesis under low-oxygen conditions (Supplemental Fig. S8). However, even at the highest CO2 concentration measured combined with 2% (v/v) oxygen, SeL plants displayed net photosynthetic rates that were barely above zero (Supplemental Fig. S8C).

As a fully functional cyanobacterial CCM within tobacco will ideally require less Rubisco than wild-type plants, we also determined Rubisco content in the leaves used for gas-exchange analyses. When CO2 assimilation was normalized by Rubisco active site concentration, neither SeLM35 nor SeL outperformed wild-type plants even at 2,000 \( \mu \text{L L}^{-1} \) CO2 (Fig. 5B). Consistent with an earlier work, at CO2 levels well above ambient SeLS and SeLSM35, plants showed higher photosynthesis per Rubisco active site (Fig. 5B; Occhialini et al., 2016). Even accounting for very low Rubisco content, SeL plants show null normalized rates even at intercellular CO2 concentration of 2,000 \( \mu \text{L L}^{-1} \) CO2 (Fig. 5B). This is consistent with the observation that even a short exposure of several hours in ambient CO2 conditions leads to tissue damage, and that even in growth conditions of 4,000 \( \mu \text{L L}^{-1} \) CO2, SeL plants are extremely slow to develop (see below).

**DISCUSSION**

This study describes two new transplastomic tobacco lines, SeL and SeLM35, where the native \( rbcL \) gene has been replaced with its cyanobacterial counterpart without the \( SerbcS \) gene. Previous work had shown the ability of Rubisco LSU and SSU from \( S. elongatus \) to assemble and function within tobacco chloroplasts and to form large aggregates of linked Rubisco complexes in the presence of CcmM35 (Lin et al., 2014a; Occhialini et al., 2016). Our results here show that cyanobacterial LSU interacts with the carboxysome linker protein CcmM35 in the absence of a cognate cyanobacterial SSU and forms procarboxysome-like aggregates in tobacco chloroplasts. In contrast to a previous study where no cyanobacterial LSU was detected in a similar tobacco transformant (Kanevski et al., 1999), we were able to detect the cyanobacterial LSU as well as catalytic activity of Rubisco in both SeL and SeLM35 lines (Table 1). It should be noted that the cyanobacterial LSU expressed in the previous study had the first eight residues at its N terminus replaced by the first 11 residues of the tobacco LSU, possibly leading to lower stability of the modified LSU or inhibition of its assembly with the tobacco SSU (Kanevski et al., 1999).
Relative to comparable lines expressing Se SSU, both SeLM35 and SeL plants showed delayed growth (Fig. 6) and developed more numerous but smaller leaves (Supplemental Figs. S9 and S10). SeL was not able to grow autotrophically from seeds even in high CO₂ levels and required establishment on tissue culture medium. Similar effects have been seen when engineering Rubisco in tobacco, where either the introduction of a foreign LSU (Whitney and Andrews, 2001; Sharwood et al., 2008) or mutation of the native tobacco LSU (Whitney et al., 1999) leads to very low Rubisco amount and/or very poor activity.

Rubisco from both SeLM35 and SeL had dramatically slower maximum catalytic rates compared with the native Se enzyme (SeLS; Table 1), consistent with the slower growth of these plants. Combined with the significantly lower Rubisco active sites, this led to much lower Rubisco activity on a leaf area basis (Fig. 4). In both lines containing CcmM35, the Rubisco catalytic rate was worse than that of β-cyanobacterial Rubisco extracted from SeLS, where no aggregation occurs, which would suggest a putative negative impact of CcmM35 on Rubisco activity in the Se plants and agrees with previous work with the SeLSM35 line (Occhialini et al., 2016). This is consistent with previous observations from plants expressing α-cyanobacterial Rubisco within a minimal α-carboxysome from Cyanobium marinum PCC7001 (Long et al., 2018). The authors found that the Rubisco catalytic rate was approximately halved when determined for Rubisco from tobacco chloroplasts; however, after high-speed centrifugation to remove insoluble carboxysomes, rates were consistent with those obtained from either the native cyanobacterium or expressed without linker proteins within tobacco. The movement of metabolites such as RuBP may be similarly inhibited by the formation of large β-procarboxysomes of LSU-CcmM35, as observed via K_MRuBP measurements made on tobacco derived minimal α-carboxysomes (Long et al., 2018). The large size of the observed procarboxysomes in SeLSM35 and SeLM35 plants, relative to native cyanobacterial carboxysomes, appears likely to have influenced metabolite movement. This highlights that an important part of balancing expression of the various components is not only to ensure correct formation of a functional carboxysome but also to achieve a suitably sized microcompartment. However, Rubisco extracted from SeLM35 was significantly more active than the enzyme extracted from SeL plants, showing that in the absence of Se SSU, CcmM35 helps sequester more tobacco SSU, possibly by increasing the stability of the hybrid L₈S₈ enzyme or facilitating its assembly (Fig. 3).

The very low activity observed for SeL Rubisco that lacked the cognate SSU from cyanobacteria agrees with in vitro findings from a number of previous studies investigating the ability of LSU-only Rubisco to perform catalysis (Andrews and Ballment, 1984; Jordan and Chollet, 1985; Andrews, 1988). In studies including cyanobacterial Rubisco, in vitro preparations containing only L₈ octameric cores typically had detectable activity corresponding to only ~1% of the cyanobacterial holoenzyme, and even the addition of heterologous SSU from spinach (Spinacia oleracea) led to dramatic increases in activity (Andrews, 1988). The cyanobacterial L₈ core binds the spinach SSU with an...
affinity 1 order of magnitude lower than its native SSU, and the activity of the hybrid enzyme was only half that of the enzyme with homologous subunits (Andrews and Lorimer, 1985). This suggests that the minimal activity observed for SeL Rubisco, ~5% of SeLS (Fig. 4), may in part result from a substoichiometric amount of tobacco SSU’s binding to cyanobacterial L₈ cores.

A common theme in the organization of Rubisco enzymes within both carboxysomes of photosynthetic bacteria and pyrenoids from green algae appears to be through interactions with a disordered repeat protein such as CcmM35 in β-carboxysomes, CsoS2 in α-carboxysomes, and EPYC1 in pyrenoids (Long et al., 2011; Cai et al., 2015; Mackinder et al., 2016). In the case of β-carboxysomes and pyrenoids, the Rubisco enzymes were sequestered into a separate liquid phase by these linker proteins (Freeman Rosenzweig et al., 2017; Wunder et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019). EPYC1 and CsoS2 were shown to interact only with the SSU (Liu et al., 2018; Atkinson et al., 2019), whereas both the LSU and SSU are involved in binding CcmM35 based on a cryo-electron microscopy structural model, and the L₈ core alone was insufficient to form a separate liquid phase with CcmM35 (Wang et al., 2019). Thus, the tobacco SSUs are likely involved in the formation of CcmM35-Rubisco aggregates in SeLM35 plants, although the stoichiometry between the Se LSU and tobacco SSUs was not determined. Indeed, the residues in Se SSU critical for interaction with CcmM35 are well conserved in tobacco SSU (Supplemental Fig. S11; Wang et al., 2019).

The poor photosynthetic performance of these transplastomic lines in the absence of a functional CCM with all the necessary components is unsurprising. However, the ability of some lines to outperform wild-type tobacco plants in a per Rubisco basis at higher CO₂ levels suggests that, provided with high CO₂ concentrations such as those within a fully formed β-carboxysome shell in a complete CCM, the Rubisco levels within these plants may be sufficient to support improved rates of carbon assimilation. Consistent with this, Long and colleagues (2018) observed that leaf discs from plants expressing α-cyanobacterial Rubisco produced similar photosynthetic rates to wild-type tobacco plants in 2% (v/v) CO₂ conditions within a membrane inlet mass spectrometry system. Thus, and even considering the associated nitrogen costs of producing the shell components, reducing the typically very large investment into Rubisco by C₃ plants may represent an overall nitrogen saving (McGrath and Long, 2014). An issue that is highly likely to be encountered when dealing with the numerous other components of the carboxysome shell is to optimize expression levels, and this may also be necessary for Rubisco. An increasing understanding of the role of chaperones for Rubisco highlights its importance for full Rubisco functionality and carboxysome structural organization. These results support the likely necessity of coengineering cognate subunits from a distant foreign Rubisco, as part of efforts to engineer a foreign Rubisco into crop plants (Whitney and Andrews, 2001; Sharwood et al., 2008), and for more complex engineering of CCMs such as carboxysomes and pyrenoids from cyanobacteria and algae, respectively (Atkinson et al., 2016; Rae et al., 2017).

The carboxysome alone will be insufficient to attain higher rates of photosynthesis without the removal of existing stromal carbonic anhydrase and the addition of transporters to pump high levels of HCO₃⁻ into the chloroplast (Hanson et al., 2016; Long et al., 2018; Desmarais et al., 2019). There have been recent improvements in approaches to tackle the issue of localizing these inorganic carbon pumps (Rolland et al., 2016; Uehara et al., 2016) alongside advances in understanding the role of the various carbonic anhydrases (Hu et al., 2015; DiMario et al., 2016). Furthermore, there is now a better understanding of the actual ratios of components in β-carboxysomes (Sun et al., 2019), engineering of β-carboxysome shells to obtain cryo-electron microscopy structural models (Cai et al., 2016; Sutter et al., 2019), an assembly of full β-carboxysomes in Escherichia coli (Fang et al., 2018), and recent successes with α-carboxysomes (Long et al., 2018). These advances provide encouragement that ongoing research is steadily moving toward the ability to assemble these complex, powerful CCMs within plants to improve photosynthesis with the ultimate goal of improving global food security.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Construction of Chloroplast Transformation Vectors

All primers used were obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies and are listed in Supplemental Table S2. Phusion high-fidelity DNA polymerase, FastDigest restriction enzymes, and T4 DNA ligase from Thermo Scientific were used to generate amplicons, restriction digests, and ligation products, respectively. The ligation products were transformed into chemically competent DH5α Escherichia coli and selected on Luria-Bertani agar medium with 100 μg mL⁻¹ ampicillin. A template vector to hold each DNA piece was generated from the resulting vector using NsiI-BF3 and BamHI-BF5 primers and ligated into the BamHI and NolI sites of the vector to introduce SfyI and NolI sites upstream of the NolI locus. The resulting vector, BJFE-BB, was used as a vector to hold each DNA element between the SfyI and NolI sites using BB-XXX-f and BB-XXX-r primers for restriction digests, and the restriction fragments were ligated into the NsiI-BF3 and NolI-BF5 restriction sites of the vector to generate the desired expression cassette.
BB-XXX-r primers, where XXX stands for the name of each DNA element. Once ligated into the BJE-BB vector, each DNA element was flanked by StfI/MluI upstream and MauBI-NocI downstream. Since MluI and MauBI restriction sites have compatible cohesive ends, these DNA parts can be assembled in any desired order using an approach similar to the BioBrick method (Shetty et al., 2008). Specifically, we assembled an aadA module comprising IoxP-At_TpsbA-IEE-SD-RRS-aadA-loxP. We then modified the pGEM-F1-rbcI-F2 vector described previously (Lin et al., 2014b) by introducing an StfI site immediately downstream of the sarcel gene. It was accomplished by ligating the amplicon generated with HindIII-LSU5 and T11-IEE3 primers into the HindIII and XbaI sites to obtain the pCT-rbcI-BB2 vector. Next, an XbaI + AscI digest of the amplicon from TrbcL5 and Ascl-LSUFlr primers was ligated into XbaI and MluI sites of the pCT-rbcI-BB2 vector to obtain the pCT-rbcI-BB-aadA vector used to generate the Sel chloroplast transformant tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) line. pCT-rbcI-cmcmM35, described previously (Lin et al., 2014b), was used in the generation of Sel.M35 tobacco chloroplast transformant.

Generation of Transplastomic Tobacco Plants

We introduced transformation vectors into 2-week-old tobacco (‘Samsun’) seedlings with the Biolistic PDS-1000/He Particle Delivery System (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and a tissue culture-based selection method as described previously (Occhialini et al., 2016). Briefly, about 10 μg of DNA was mixed with 100 μL of 50 mg mL⁻¹ 0.6-μm gold nanoparticles, 100 μL of 2.5 M CaCl₂, and 40 μL of 0.1 M spermidine free base by vortexing for about 1 min. The gold particles were then pelleted in a microcentrifuge at 1,000 rpm for 8 s and resuspended in 180 μL of 70% (v/v) ethanol. After washing of the gold particles was repeated one more time, the pellet was resuspended in about 60 μL of 100% ethanol and then spread on 10 microroller discs used for bombardment. Two days later, the leaves from the bombarded seedlings were cut into halves and placed on RMOP agar shoot regeneration medium with 500 μg mL⁻¹ spectinomycin for 4 to 6 weeks at 23°C under 14 h of light per day. The shoots arising were cut into 5-mm² pieces and subjected to a second round of selection on the same medium for another 4 to 6 weeks. The regenerated shoots were then transferred to Murashige and Skoog agar medium for rooting and subsequently transferred to soil for growth in a chamber with elevated CO₂ (9,000 ppm) and a 16-h photoperiod at 23°C with 65% humidity. The ambient CO₂ concentration within the chamber was maintained at 4,000 ± 400 μL L⁻¹ using the integrated CO₂ controller. CO₂ levels were also monitored in the chamber with a Vaisala hand-held GM70 m device. Plants were kept well watered. Space limitations within growth chambers necessitated growing plants in batches for growth analysis.

Fixation and Embedding of Plant Tissue, Immunogold Labeling, and Transmission Electron Microscopy

Small pieces (1 × 1.5 mm) of tissue from fully expanded leaves of plants equivalent in size to 33-DAS wild-type plants were incubated in fixative (4% [v/v] paraformaldehyde and 2.5% [v/v] glutaraldehyde in 0.05 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2) for 2 h at room temperature with rotation. A vacuum was used to aid infiltration. After washing three times for 10 min each in 0.05 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, the tissue was dehydrated in an ethanol series (50%, 70%, 80%, and 90% [v/v]) at room temperature for 30 min at each step and finally 100% ethanol infiltrated with a 10 min incubation (Agar Scientific), first by incubating for 1 h in 100% ethanol. LR white (1:1, v/v), then for 2 h in 100% LR white and finally overnight in 100% LR white. Specimens were transferred to microtubes overfilled with fresh 100% LR white resin. The tubes were sealed with plastic film, and the resin was polymerized at 50°C for 16 h. Ultrathin sections (~90 nm) of embedded leaf material were captured on gold gilded grids (Agar Scientific) and used for immunogold labeling. Samples were blocked for 30 min in 1% (w/v) BSA in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then incubated in primary antibody solution (antibody diluted 1:100 in 1% BSA in PBS) for 1.5 h. Grids were washed three times for 10 min each with 1% BSA in PBS before incubation for 1 h with secondary goat anti-rabbit antibody conjugated to 10-nm gold particles (Agar Scientific; 1:100 antibody dilution prepared in 1% BSA in PBS). Grids were washed three times for 10 min each in 1% BSA in PBS and three times for 5 min each in distilled water before air drying. Images were obtained at 80 kV using a JEOL 1010 (JEOL) microscope equipped with a digital AMT NanoSprint500 camera (Deben).

Gel Electrophoresis and Immunoblotting

Soluble protein extracts were analyzed for the presence of proteins via both denaturing SDS-PAGE and non-denaturing (native PAGE) gel electrophoresis. SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting were carried out as described by Perdomo et al. (2018) using Mini-Protean TGX gels (Bio-Rad). Non-denaturing gels were run using a Tris-Gly buffer system at 4°C according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For both types of electrophoresis, immunoblotting was conducted as described by Perdomo et al. (2018) using the Se LSU and CcmM antibodies described previously (Lin et al., 2014b) and a plant SSU antibody (A907 259; Agri-Sera).

Rubisco Biochemistry

Rubisco activities and activation state in leaf extracts were determined as described by Carmo-Silva et al. (2017), except that homogenous centrifugation was done at a reduced 300g for 1 min. Chlorophyll content in the homogenates was determined by the method of Wintermans and de Mots (1965) using ethanol and measuring absorbance in a microplate reader (SPECTROstar Nano; BMG LabTech). Total soluble protein in the same supernatant as used for Rubisco activity assays was determined via Bradford assay (Bradford, 1976). The amount of Rubisco was also quantified in the same supernatant by a [14C]carboxyarabinitol-1,5-bisphosphate-binding assay (Whitney et al., 1999).

Rubisco catalytic properties were determined essentially as described previously (Prins et al., 2016; Orr and Carmo-Silva, 2018) with the following changes: leaf discs were ground in extraction buffer, followed by centrifugation at 300g and 4°C for 1 min. Supernatants were immediately used for assays, which was previously found to be suitable with similar cyanobacterial Rubisco complexes (Lin et al., 2014b). Additional higher CO₂ concentrations (180, 280, and 410 μL L⁻¹) were also used for catalysis assays to enable the determination of Kc.

Photosynthesis Measurements

Photosynthetic gas exchange was measured in healthy leaves that had recently reached full expansion, typically leaf 4 or 5 on plants of approximately
Plant Biomass

Leaf numbers and leaf measurements were taken every 3 to 7 d from four or five individuals for each line (two in the Sel. line). Plant height was measured from the soil level to the growing point. Measurements were initiated at 28 DAS for the wild type and SelS, 46 DAS for SelSM35 and Selm35, and 127 DAS for Sel., due to the differing growth rates between lines, and continued until the initiation of flowering. At the end of the growth period, final leaf measurements were taken and leaf area was measured using an LI-3100C leaf area machine (LI-COR). Leaf areas were then derived for all time points.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical differences among trait means were tested using one-way ANOVA. In cases where an effect of genotype was observed, the chamber door was kept closed to minimize fluctuations in CO2 levels and the plant was allowed to stabilize for at least 15 min at 3,000 μL L−1 CO2, prior to commencing measurements. For transplastomic tobacco lines, the ambient CO2 concentration was subsequently decreased to 100 μL L−1, followed by increases to 200, 400, 800, 1,200, 1,600, 2,000, and 2,500 μL L−1 CO2. For wild-type tobacco, additional concentrations were used that increased in CO2 went from 50 to 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 400, 600, 800, 1,200, 1,600, 2,000, and 2,500 μL L−1. For all leaves measured, a separate CO2 response curve was determined under 2% (v/v) oxygen conditions using a balanced air gas cylinder for input, using otherwise identical settings.

Accession Numbers

Sequence data for cyanobacterial RbcL and CcmM35 can be found in the GenBank data library under accession numbers AIM40198.1 and AIM40200.1, respectively.

Supplemental Data

The following supplemental materials are available.

Supplemental Figure S1. RNA blots of wild-type and transplastomic tobacco lines.

Supplemental Figure S2. Presence of procarboxysome compartments in tobacco transplastomic plants containing cyanobacterial Rubisco LSUs and CcmM35, with and without Rubisco SSUs.

Supplemental Figure S3. Electron micrographs of tobacco plants expressing cyanobacterial Rubisco LSUs and CcmM35 containing a procarboxysome compartment in the chloroplast.

Supplemental Figure S4. Additional examples of electron micrographs of tobacco plants expressing cyanobacterial Rubisco LSUs and CcmM35 with a procarboxysome compartment in the chloroplast.

Supplemental Figure S5. Western blots of SDS-PAGE and native PAGE gels used to examine protein composition of wild-type tobacco and transplastomic lines expressing β-cyanobacterial carboxysome components.

Supplemental Figure S6. Rubisco content expressed as grams per square meter.

Supplemental Figure S7. Chlorophyll contents of transplastomic lines.

Supplemental Figure S8. Response of leaf CO2 assimilation to intercellular CO2 concentrations under atmospheric levels and 2% oxygen.

Supplemental Figure S9. Comparison of leaf size in transplastomic plants.

Supplemental Figure S10. Plant photographs at a comparable growth stage.

Supplemental Figure S11. Multiple sequence alignment of cyanobacterial and tobacco Rubisco SSUs.

Supplemental Table S1. Plant growth data analyses.

Supplemental Table S2. Oligonucleotide sequences used in the construction of transformation vectors.
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