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Abstract. Binary stars have long been considered to play a crucial role in globular cluster evolution, and offer the advantages of studying systems at the same, well-determined distances. However, early search attempts were consistently thwarted by crowding (particularly in the optical) and initial detections were limited to small numbers of low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) and a handful of other systems. This resolution hurdle has been dramatically overcome by the superb spatial resolution and sensitivity of HST and Chandra (supported by advances in radio observations), enabling the detection in individual clusters of more than 10, and in some cases more than 100, binaries. This review will focus on detections of neutron star binaries, including recent optical identifications, the exciting discoveries of multiple LMXBs in quiescence (with the potential to constrain neutron star equations of state) and the detections of millisecond pulsars (MSPs) in X-ray and optical images.

1. Introduction

The study of globular cluster binaries is motivated by their impact on the dynamical evolution of globular clusters (Hut et al. 1992). The stellar densities near the centers of globular clusters can reach as high as $10^6$ stars per cubic parsec, and interactions between single and binary stars then become inevitable. These interactions can act as a heating source by the conversion of binary binding energy into stellar kinetic energy when, for example, low-mass stars are ejected after binary collisions, helping to stall or prevent core collapse. Binaries offer the opportunity to study the results of stellar interactions, and act as crucial tracers of the white dwarf and neutron star populations in clusters.

This review will focus on observations of neutron star binaries (LMXBs and MSPs) with an emphasis on recent work using HST and Chandra. A separate observational paper in these proceedings by A. Cool will discuss cataclysmic variables (CVs) and chromospherically active main sequence binaries.

2. Low-Mass X-ray Binaries

Low-Mass X-ray Binaries contain low-mass stars filling their Roche lobes and transferring material via an accretion disk to a neutron star. Twelve X-ray bright ($L_X \sim 10^{36}$ erg s$^{-1}$) LMXBs have been known in globulars since 1981
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Figure 1. Chandra/HRC image of NGC 6652 from Heinke et al. (2001). Circles show blue, variable optical counterparts for three of the 4 detected X-ray sources (A, B & C). Variability in the labeled star near D is not seen and this is not likely to be an optical ID. The 5σ ROSAT error circle is also shown.

(se e.g. Hertz & Grindlay 1983). Many of these binaries are transient and show X-ray bursts like the LMXBs found in the galactic disk, but LMXBs are ~200 times more common in globulars than in the field, a sure sign that interactions play a vital role in their formation. These sources have been easily detected in X-rays, because of their high luminosity and because usually only one, at most, is found in each cluster (other sources are much fainter). The same ease of detection does not apply in the optical where crowding near the centers of globulars is a formidable problem, but optical identifications of LMXBs offer crucial advantages over X-ray observations. They may, for example, allow direct observation of the secondary star, and they often result in period determinations, or confirmations of X-ray periods, crucial information for understanding these systems and their evolution.

The first optical counterpart to a globular cluster LMXB was the subgiant AC211 discovered in M15 (Auriere et al. 1984; Ilovaisky et al. 1987). These ground-based observations succeeded because AC211 is very bright optically, and arguably no other secure LMXB optical counterpart was found before the availability of HST. A comparison of ground-based M15 images (Auriere et al. 1984) with an HST image (White & Angelini 2001) shows the benefits of using HST near the centers of dense globulars. Another recent advance has been greatly improved LMXB X-ray positions thanks to the subarcsecond resolution of Chandra. With multiple sources the combination of HST and Chandra gives astrometry at the 0′05 to 0′′2 level. Successful applications include the identification by White & Angelini (2001) of an optical counterpart for a second LMXB in M15 and the identification by Heinke, Edmonds & Grindlay (2001) of optical
IDs for 3 X-ray sources in NGC 6652 (see Fig. 1) including the previously known LMXB, a likely LMXB in quiescence and a third source (a qLMXB or CV). The qLMXB counterpart was previously suggested by Deutsch, Margon & Anderson (1998) and Deutsch, Margon & Anderson (2000) as an ID for the LMXB.

Eight optical IDs to LMXBs in 7 globulars have now been found: 2 in M15 and 1 each in NGC 6712, NGC 6624, NGC 1851, NGC 6441, NGC 6440 and NGC 6652 (see Homer et al. 2002 and references therein; *HST* was used in 6 of the discovery or confirming papers, and *Chandra* in 5 of them). With the exception of NGC 6440 the E(B−V) values are all < 0.5 for these clusters, while the clusters lacking LMXB optical IDs all have E(B−V) > 1.4. Four of the 7 systems with period determinations have periods less than 1 hr, while < 10% of field LMXBs have periods this small (Deutsch et al. 2000), another sign that interactions form these binaries.

The X-ray bright LMXBs represent only a small fraction of the total X-ray source population in globular clusters. Based on Einstein observations, Hertz & Grindlay (1983) pointed out the bimodal nature of the cluster X-ray luminosity function: the bright sources (accreting LMXBs) have $L_X > 10^{36}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and a population of low luminosity sources have $L_X \sim 10^{32} - 10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Early hints that some of these low luminosity X-ray sources are qLMXBs came from the observation that several systems, such as the one in NGC 6440, are transients alternating between high and low luminosity states (Hertz & Grindlay 1983). With the use of *Chandra*, large numbers of these sources have been unambiguously identified as a mixture of qLMXBs, CVs, MSPs and chromospherically active binaries (Grindlay et al. 2001a; hereafter GHE01a and Grindlay et al. 2001b; hereafter GHE01b).

Consider the case of the rich globular cluster 47 Tucanae. The five low luminosity ROSAT sources detected near the center of this cluster are heavily overlapped but with *Chandra* the bright sources are easily resolved and dozens of fainter sources are detected. Figure 2 shows a comparison between the *Chandra* image of GHE01a and the ROSAT contour plot of Verbunt & Hasinger (1998). Also shown is an X-ray ‘color magnitude diagram’ for this field, exploiting the spectral resolution and sensitivity of *Chandra*. The two bright, relatively soft sources (X5 and X7) have spectra consistent with neutron star atmospheres, as expected for qLMXBs, and the bright, hard sources have spectra consistent with CVs (GHE01a). Similar results have been obtained with the detection of one qLMXB in the nearby, core-collapsed cluster NGC 6397 (GHE01b), and 4 or 5 qLMXBs in NGC 6440, a number broadly consistent with the high inferred collision rate in this globular (Pooley et al. 2002).

The spectra of these qLMXBs are well fit by hydrogen atmosphere models of neutron stars (plus in some cases weak power laws). This work has the exciting capability to constrain the mass and radius, and hence the equation of state, of the neutron stars in these binaries. Examples of early work in this field include those of Rutledge et al. (2002) for the qLMXB in ω Cen, GHE01b for the qLMXB in NGC 6397 and in’t Zand et al. (2001) for the transient LMXB in NGC 6440. The strongest constraints have come from a detailed study of X5 and X7 in 47 Tuc, by Heinke et al. (2002). These two qLMXBs are particularly interesting because they are the brightest known qLMXBs in globulars (in terms of flux), allowing for the most efficient observational constraints.
With the exception of the transient in NGC 6440, none of these qLMXBs have ever been detected in outburst. Because of this, the detection of optical counterparts to these quiescent sources has been very challenging, and only one successful ID has been found (X5; Edmonds et al. 2002a). The counterpart to this eclipsing system (see Heinke et al. 2002 for X-ray light curves) was identified using precise astrometry between HST and Chandra and the discovery of a faint, blue variable star within the X-ray and radio error circles (Edmonds et al. 2002a). Eventually, radial velocity measurements of the X5 companion
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may lead to measurements of the neutron star mass, however because the ID is faint and crowded it will be a difficult target for either HST or ground-based telescopes. No optical counterparts have been detected for either X7 in 47 Tuc (Edmonds et al. 2002a) or the qLMXB in NGC 6397 (GHE01b) despite the use of deep HST imaging.

3. Millisecond pulsars

The canonical theory predicts that LMXBs evolve into MSPs, so if LMXBs are over-abundant in globulars, MSPs should be as well. The first known globular cluster MSP was discovered in M28 (Hamilton et al. 1985; Lyne et al. 1987) using timing observations in the radio, and most detections of MSPs in globular clusters have used the same technique, including the discovery of 8 MSPs in M15 (Anderson 1993) and 11 in 47 Tuc (Manchester et al. 1991; Robinson et al. 1995). Many of these MSPs are binaries, and since they have followed a different formation path from field MSP binaries, are interesting for evolutionary studies. They may also act as a probe of MSP irradiation and ablation, and with the detection of optical companions, neutron star mass measurements are possible.

Recent developments in this rapidly evolving field include the detection of many new MSPs with Parkes, multiple X-ray detections with Chandra and the detections of several X-ray counterparts using HST. Key studies of 47 Tuc have been performed by Camilo et al. (2000) and Freire et al. (2001), almost doubling the number of detected MSPs in this cluster. Of the 20 MSPs published by these authors, 13 are in binary systems and 10 of these have good constraints on the secondary masses. These systems divide evenly into 2 groups, the first containing systems with relatively long periods of 0.4–2.3 days and relatively massive secondaries ($\sim 0.2M_\odot$) and the second containing systems with short periods of 0.06–0.2 days and lower mass secondaries of $\sim 0.03M_\odot$ (Camilo et al 2000 and Freire et al. 2001). The first group are reasonably well understood as being helium white dwarfs (confirmed optically in one case; see below), but the second are not well understood, and may be low-mass degenerate stars (Rasio, Pfahl & Rappaport 2000) or perhaps even brown dwarfs (Bildsten & Chakrabarty 2001).

A crucial result of the Freire et al. (2001) study is the determination of timing positions, accurate to a few milli-arcsec, for 15 of the 20 MSPs. These accurate positions are crucial in searching for counterparts to the radio MSPs in X-ray and optical images (until recently only one globular cluster MSP had been observed in X-rays, the pulsar in M28; Danner, Kulkarni & Thorsett 1994; Becker & Trümper 1997). With the help of Chandra's exceptional sensitivity and spatial resolution, large numbers of cluster MSPs have now been detected in X-rays, including most of the MSPs in 47 Tuc (GHE01a and Grindlay et al. 2002; see Fig. 2). The X-ray counterparts in this cluster are mostly soft sources, and thermal emission from the MSP polar caps is thought to be the dominant emission mechanism (Grindlay et al. 2002). X-ray counterparts to globular cluster MSPs have also been found in NGC 6397 (GHE01b) and NGC 6752 (D’Amico et al. 2002).

Since many of the 47 Tuc MSPs have been detected both in the radio and in X-rays, and many of the X-ray sources are CVs or active binaries visible in HST images, the X-ray, optical and radio data can be placed on a common astrometric
This astrometry resulted in the detection, by Edmonds et al. (2001) of the first optical counterpart to a globular cluster MSP, 47 Tuc U, a binary pulsar with a relatively high mass companion (thought to be a He WD) and a period of 0.43 days. With the use of the excellent astrometry available for 47 Tuc, a blue star (having broadband colors consistent with theoretical He WD models) was discovered as the obvious optical counterpart to 47 Tuc U. Low amplitude variability detected in this star has a period consistent with the radio period of 47 Tuc U (Edmonds et al. 2001).

The second detection of an optical companion to a globular MSP was made in NGC 6397. The only known MSP in this cluster (the 1.35 day period, eclipsing PSR J1740-53 or 6397-A; D’Amico et al. 2001) has a radio position coinciding with the position of a relatively bright, red variable star (Ferraro et al. 2001). Assuming an ellipsoidal model for the variations of this star, the optical variability agrees beautifully with the radio binary period and phase, confirming the star as the optical companion to the MSP (Ferraro et al. 2001). This star lies \( \sim 0.5 \) mag below the subgiant branch slightly redwards of the main sequence turnoff, and because it is much brighter and less crowded than either X5 or the 47 Tuc U companions it has enormous potential for radial velocity work. It has already been the subject of two detailed studies (Kaluzny, Rucinski & Thompson 2002 and Orosz & van Kerkwijk 2002). A detection of 6397-A has also been made with Chandra (GHE01b and Grindlay et al. 2002) including the discovery of likely orbital modulation in the X-ray light curve.

Recently, a third detection of an optical companion to an MSP in a globular cluster has been made using HST imaging. A faint star with large amplitude variability and a period of \( \sim 3.2 \) hrs was discovered as the optical counterpart to the X-ray source W29 in 47 Tuc (Edmonds et al. 2002b). Extensive time series analysis by these authors using the 8.3 day, almost continuous V and I time series of Gilliland et al. (2000), plus archival data, have allowed the period and phase of this star (W29\(_{\text{opt}}\)) to be measured to high levels of accuracy and these orbital parameters were compared with those of the binary MSPs in Camilo et al. (2000). The period of W29\(_{\text{opt}}\) was found to differ from that of the eclipsing MSP 47 Tuc W by only \( (0.5 \pm 3.6) \) s (!), a percentage difference of 0.0045%. Phase differences for the expected times of optical maximum of only \( (0.0008 \pm 0.0012) \) days were found (Edmonds et al. 2002b). This remarkable period and phase match between W29\(_{\text{opt}}\) and 47 Tuc W confirms W29\(_{\text{opt}}\) as the optical companion without having a timing position for the MSP. These orbital modulations are probably caused by MSP irradiation of one side of the tidally locked companion. This MSP companion is likely to be a main sequence star, based on the optical photometry for this object and the detection of radio eclipses by Camilo et al. (2000), and is likely to have had an interesting dynamical history (Edmonds et al. 2002b).

### 4. Summary and prospects

Recently there has been a burst of activity in studies of neutron star binaries in globular clusters, thanks mainly to the exquisite sensitivity and spatial resolution of HST and Chandra. These studies include the detection of optical counterparts to most of the bright cluster LMXBs, the identification of large numbers
of qLMXBs (with exciting potential for constraining neutron star equations of state), the discovery of large numbers of MSPs in X-rays and the detection of optical counterparts to three globular MSPs.

Additional discoveries with deeper Chandra observations are sure to be made, and e.g. the recent 300 ks 47 Tuc observation of J. Grindlay and collaborators will provide much higher signal-to-noise images and spectra for the qLMXBs and MSPs. Much observational work remains to be done in obtaining optical spectra of neutron star secondaries, including radial velocity work. On the theoretical side, modeling of individual systems is needed, such as that carried out already for 6397-A by Burderi et al. (2002). Other theoretical goals include comparisons between clusters (see the papers by A. Cool and F. Verbunt in these proceedings) and the ‘ecological’ modeling of entire globular clusters by self-consistently including stellar and binary evolution and cluster dynamics (Portegies Zwart et al. 1997).
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