Cervical cancer is a public health scourge that affects more than half a million women globally, more than half of whom die of the disease every year.[5] Low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), especially those in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), bear the greatest burden of cervical cancer. Malawi, Mozambique and Comoros have the highest incidence of the disease in the world (75.9, 65.0 and 61.3 per 100 000 women, respectively). This is in contrast to European countries, such as Germany, France and Switzerland, which have an incidence of 8.2, 6.8 and 3.6 per 100 000 women, respectively. SSA is home to 10.5% of the global population of women aged ≥15 years; yet, the region accounts for 21.6% and 17.7% of the global burden of cervical cancer deaths and cases, respectively.[10]

In South Africa (SA), cervical cancer is the second most common cancer that occurs in women and the leading cause of cancer deaths among women aged 15 - 44 years, with an estimated annual incidence of 7 735 cases and 4 248 deaths a year.[7] Given the high incidence of HIV infection, which predisposes women to cervical cancer, and the low uptake of cervical cancer screening, the incidence of and mortality from cervical cancer in SSA and SA can be expected to rise in the absence of primary prevention.[31]

Infection with high-risk types of human papillomavirus (HPV) is the cause of cervical cancer, which can be prevented by HPV vaccination.[1] The latter has been demonstrated to be safe and highly effective, providing up to 98.2% protection against specific high-risk strains of HPV.[14] HPV vaccines have been available and used in several LMICs and high-income countries (HICs) for more than a decade. In SA, the bivalent and quadrivalent HPV vaccines have been available in the private sector since 2008. In 2014, a school-based HPV vaccination programme was introduced, with 2 doses (6 months apart, administered during 1-month campaigns) of the bivalent HPV vaccine offered free of charge to grade 4 girls aged ≥9 years in public sector schools.[31]

Human papillomavirus vaccination uptake and hesitancy
Uptake of the HPV vaccine through the school-based programme in SA has been reported to be fairly good, with 85% coverage for the first dose in 2014 that targeted about 500 000 female learners. Suboptimal performance has been reported as numbers only and has not been converted to percentages. The reported number of vaccinated learners for 2014 - 2016 indicates a significant decrease between the first and second doses, which amounts to a decrease of 21.4% in 2014 and 26.0% in 2016. Furthermore, the study commissioned by the National Department of Health (NDoH) to assess the first round of the 2014 campaign reported pockets of low HPV vaccination coverage, which in two sub-districts were only 40% and 43%.[7]

Suboptimal uptake of HPV vaccination is not unique to areas in SA, but remains a serious challenge worldwide. For example, a recent meta-analysis of data from 79 studies in 15 countries, including >840 000 parents, found the overall parental uptake of ≥1 doses of HPV vaccines for their children to be 41.5%.[30] In 2016 in the USA,
the ≥1-dose HPV vaccination coverage among teens was 60.4% (65.1% for females; 56.0% for males), which was an improvement on previous years.\[^{[11]}\] Coverage rates are also reported to vary greatly by region.\[^{[12]}\]

Reasons for poor uptake of HPV vaccination are multifactorial. Supply-related factors, such as cost and unavailability of the vaccines; inadequate financing mechanisms; poor health system capabilities for vaccination; vaccine storage and cold-chain constraints; poor access to healthcare; limited and missed vaccination opportunities; and low prioritisation of adolescent health, are important contributors to suboptimal HPV vaccination uptake, particularly in SSA.\[^{[13-14]}\]

However, vaccine hesitancy may be an important additional factor in the low uptake of HPV vaccination for school-based programmes that are designed to eliminate most of these obstacles.

According to the World Health Organization's Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on Immunization (SAGE) Working Group on Vaccine Hesitancy, vaccine hesitancy refers to: ‘delays in acceptance or refusal of vaccination despite availability of vaccination services’.\[^{[15]}\] Vaccine hesitancy is known to vary across time, place and vaccines, and is believed to be influenced by confidence, complacency, convenience, risk calculation and collective responsibility.\[^{[16-17]}\]

Vaccine-hesitant individuals range from those who may accept vaccination even when not fully convinced, to those who refuse vaccination because they have doubts regarding the necessity or safety of vaccines.\[^{[18]}\]

The phenomenon of vaccine hesitancy in relation to all vaccination programmes, and specifically to HPV vaccination, has been described and studied extensively in HICs. Evidence from these countries suggests that hesitancy might be higher than for other childhood vaccines.\[^{[19,20]}\] These studies have identified the following as key issues underpinning HPV vaccination hesitancy: trust and safety concerns; lack of knowledge regarding the disease and vaccines among the targeted population, parents and healthcare workers (HCWs); and influence of peers and the community.\[^{[21-22]}\] Moreover, research has shown that HCWs' recommendations are critical for HPV vaccination acceptance and uptake. For example, a US study found that, despite clear guidelines, many HCWs did not routinely recommend HPV vaccination.\[^{[23]}\]

Importantly, studies have reported that some HCWs are hesitant to recommend and advocate HPV vaccination.\[^{[24]}\] More specifically, research areas that need to be addressed in SA, and potentially other LMICs, are the following:

- Extent of HPV vaccine hesitancy in the public sector among parents of targeted girls and those eligible for the national school-based HPV vaccination programme.
- Reasons behind the high drop-out rate between the first and second doses of HPV vaccine in this programme.
- Knowledge, beliefs, attitudes and practices of girls and boys (in the general population) who are eligible for the HPV vaccine but are not targeted by the national programme.
- HPV vaccination coverage, HPV vaccination hesitancy, reasons for non-vaccination, and sociodemographic factors related to HPV vaccination status in the private sector.
- The role of public and private HCWs in HPV vaccination uptake and the likelihood of HCWs recommending HPV vaccination to address safety concerns, was significantly higher (~90%) than among girls whose caregivers did not attend (~50%). Parental concerns about safety may be linked to negative social media coverage of the HPV vaccine. This notion is supported by the NDoH-commissioned post-introduction study, where some key informants reported that HCWs were ‘nervous’ about using the HPV vaccine, and that parental consent was negatively affected in areas where negative sentiments about the vaccine were shared on social media.\[^{[25]}\]

Furthermore, anecdotal reports indicated refusal by some parents to give consent, including messages such as ‘Do not touch my child’ on the consent form (National EPI Manager – personal communication, 30 March 2015).

Being a school-based programme, issues relating to communication and social mobilisation for the HPV vaccination programme should include various stakeholders, including the Department of Basic Education (DoE), specifically the educators, school governing bodies and parent associations. These and other community-based organisations should be sensitised to and informed on HPV as the cause of cervical cancer, and the benefits of HPV vaccination. Delany-Morettbe et al.\[^{[26]}\] recommended that the DoE should play a more prominent role in communication and social mobilisation to increase demand for HPV vaccination. This is an important recommendation, considering that the DoE has ongoing contact and collaboration with these stakeholders, while the NDoH does not. It may go a long way towards strengthening the role of educators in promoting the vaccine, and ameliorate the potential threat posed by educators who may be hesitant to recommend and advocate HPV vaccination.

Research agenda and policy issues

The limited research on and context-specific issues of the HPV vaccination programme in SA point to a need for increased research on the potential existence, nature and causes of vaccine hesitancy in this programme. The setting and circumstances are different to many of those in HICs, and therefore unique challenges and concerns might exist. For example, the programme does not include private schools and is not available at public healthcare facilities. This may be a source of concern and undermine trust, as some may question the NDoH's motive for HPV vaccination in public schools. Furthermore, being grade based, the programme excludes a large number of pre-adolescent, adolescent and young women who are eligible for and would benefit from the vaccine. This includes a large population of learners attending public and private schools. Moreover, there is no readily available information on the uptake of the HPV vaccine in the private sector. These issues, and their possible negative impact on the prevalence of vaccine hesitancy, need to be further researched in SA.

More specifically, research areas that need to be addressed in SA, and potentially other LMICs, are the following:

- Knowledge, beliefs, attitudes and practices of girls and boys (in the general population) who are eligible for the HPV vaccine but are not targeted by the national programme.
- HPV vaccination coverage, HPV vaccination hesitancy, reasons for non-vaccination, and sociodemographic factors related to HPV vaccination status in the private sector.
- The role of public and private HCWs in HPV vaccination uptake and the likelihood of HCWs recommending HPV vaccination to...
the eligible population, especially considering that a significant proportion of this population is not targeted by the national programme, and many learners in private schools have medical insurance and can afford the vaccine.

- The role of educators in promoting HPV vaccination uptake, and the existence and extent of HPV vaccine hesitancy among educators.
- The role played by social media in influencing HPV vaccination uptake.
- Obtaining consent for HPV vaccination, and weighing the benefits and risks of the current approach to obtaining consent to alternative approaches used in other parts of the world.

Although the literature is based on research from HCs, it provides LMICs, such as SA, with enough background to develop policies on HPV vaccination that can be continuously shaped by evidence from local research. There are a number of policy issues that need to be addressed, including:

- A clear policy on HPV vaccination to address the issue of HPV vaccination in public and private sectors, clarifying why the vaccine is not provided in private schools.
- Communication and social mobilisation for HPV vaccination, which are not only focused on parents and girls targeted by the programme. Communication should be broad based and widely accessible, targeting the public at large, including all parents, young pre-adolescents and adolescents who are eligible for HPV vaccination. This focus should include learners (girls and boys) in private and public sector schools, who are in grade 5 and higher and have not received the HPV vaccine.
- The role of the DoE in communicating and advocating the HPV vaccination programme.
- Standard operating procedures for HCWs to ensure that there are no missed opportunities in recommending and providing the HPV vaccine. These HCWs include paediatricians, general practitioners and those in school, adolescent and youth health and primary healthcare clinics.

An integrated approach to provision of the HPV vaccine with other services, e.g. youth and adolescent health, school health, reproductive health, primary healthcare and health promotion. Guidelines and policies of these programmes should be adapted to allow integration with HPV vaccination.

- Vaccinology training that results in accreditation of HCs who interact with the targeted population, including training on HPV infection, HPV vaccines, cervical cancer and related matters. This will allow HCs to confidently promote vaccines, including the HPV vaccine.

The extent and impact of vaccine hesitancy need to be investigated so that focused interventions may be implemented and appropriate policies developed and adopted. It is envisaged that addressing these research and policy gaps will help to improve uptake of the HPV vaccine, overcome HPV vaccination hesitancy and move SA closer to attaining the goal of the global vaccine action plan of creating a society that values immunisation as a social good and demands it as their right and responsibility.[26]
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