Association between perception of caring behaviors and self-efficacy in patients with cardiovascular disease at coronary care units: a cross-sectional study
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Background: Assessing and improving patient self-efficacy are among the major roles of nurses. Nurses are also responsible for providing direct patient care, and they play a major role in improving patient care quality. Therefore, it is essential to evaluate nurses’ care-giving behaviors. This study aimed to determine the association between caring behaviors and self-efficacy in patients with cardiovascular disease.

Methods: In this cross-sectional study, 400 patients with cardiovascular disease who were admitted to hospitals in Jahrom, southern Iran, were selected through a stratified sampling. The Caring Behaviors Inventory and the Strategies Used by People to Promote Health questionnaires were used to collect data. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and Spearman correlation coefficient in SPSS ver. 22.

Results: Results showed significant relationships between perception of caring behaviors and self-efficacy (r = 0.16, P = 0.001) as well as subscales of respectful deference to others (r = 0.12, P = 0.01), assurance of human presence (r = 0.12, P = 0.02), and positive connectedness (r = 0.18, P = 0.001). Additionally, among the subscales of caring behaviors, “attentive to others’ experience,” with a mean of 5.17 ± 1.10, was the highest priority and “positive connectedness,” with a mean of 4.81 ± 1.31, was the lowest priority for patients. The mean self-efficacy score was 73.94 ± 29.78, and 169 patients (43.2%) had low self-efficacy.

Conclusions: Given the positive relationship between perception of caring behaviors and self-efficacy in patients with cardiovascular disease, self-efficacy could be improved by paying more attention to patient care priorities and improving patient perception of caring behaviors.
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INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular diseases are a main cause of death globally. The prevalence of cardiovascular diseases has doubled from 271 million in 1990 to 523 million in 2019, and mortality caused by these diseases increased from 12.1 million to 18.6 million [1]. In Iran, cardiovascular diseases are increasing and are the main cause of 46% of mortalities and account for 20%-23% of disease burden [2]. Given the high prevalence and burden of cardiovascular disease, it is
important to seek solutions to help patient healing and reduce disease complications [3]. Improving quality of care and treatment through patient participation in healthcare programs can improve patients’ physical condition and, hence, reduce mortality and cost [4,5]. Empowering patients with cardiovascular diseases to engage in self-care could help control disease symptoms and prevent or delay disease complications [6]. One empowerment strategy is to improve self-efficacy [7].

Self-efficacy is defined as a person’s belief in their ability to perform in a certain situation, and as a person’s belief in their ability to adapt to particular situations [8]. Cardiac self-efficacy is also defined as a patient’s confidence in their ability to manage the conditions posed by their cardiac disease [9]. Cardiac self-efficacy is considered a marker of heart function [10]. Higher cardiac self-efficacy was found to predict better cardiac function and self-rated mental and physical health. It was also associated with a reduced likelihood of hospital readmission [10,11]. Self-efficacy was indicated to be of particular importance for managing cardiovascular disease because improvement in a patient’s outcomes is largely dependent on whether they engage in self-management activities, lifestyle modification, and risk-factor control. Self-efficacy encourages patients with cardiovascular disease to take an active role in self-management activities and adopt a healthy lifestyle [12]. On the other hand, low self-efficacy was associated with poor quality of life among patients with coronary heart disease [13]. Therefore, improving cardiac self-efficacy is one of the critical outcomes of healthcare services [10,11,13].

Bandura [8] argues that patient self-efficacy and empowerment can increase under suitable conditions where patients can acquire skills and knowledge to succeed. Nurses are responsible for provisioning direct patient care and, as such, they play an important role in providing a safe and high-quality care in critical care setting [14]. They also actively help patients acquire the knowledge and skills required to adapt to conditions posed by the diseases. Previous studies have shown improved self-efficacy among patients with chronic disease after receiving nurse-led educational interventions [15,16]. Given the role of nurses in improving patient self-efficacy, it is possible that nursing care is associated with patient self-efficacy.

Caring is the essence of nursing, and nurses demonstrate their roles and activities through caring behaviors [17,18]. Caring behaviors include the knowledge, skills, attitudes, and values necessary for professional therapeutic interactions [17], such as alleviating patient discomfort and anticipating and meeting physical and emotional needs [18-20]. Wolf et al. [21] identified five dimensions of caring behaviors: assurance of human presence, positive connectedness, attentive to others’ experience, professional knowledge and skills, and respectful deference to others. It is thought that a nurse’s caring approach has a role in patient wellbeing and health promotion [18,22]. Therefore, it is necessary to identify which nurses’ caring behaviors are associated with improved patient self-efficacy.

Several studies showed that patients with cardiovascular disease had low [10,23] to moderate [24] cardiac self-efficacy. Therefore, evaluation of cardiac self-efficacy and its associated factors is needed to help patients manage their lives better and for healthcare systems to arrange effective secondary cardiac rehabilitation when needed [10,11,25]. Previous studies identified some factors associated with self-efficacy, including age, gender, occupation, body mass index, health behaviors, awareness of risk factors, heart disease diagnosis, history of receiving patient education, and perception and knowledge of disease [26,27]. The perceptions of patients with cardiovascular disease regarding their experience was associated with self-efficacy [27]. However, there is insufficient evidence to link patient perceptions of nurse caring behaviors to their self-efficacy. Understanding the association between these variables allows for prioritization of caring behaviors in patients with different levels of self-efficacy and tailoring caring plans according to patient needs. In other words, knowing which nursing care behaviors are related to higher patient self-efficacy helps nurses encourage patients to adopt these behaviors. We hypothesized that nurse activities with regard to caring behaviors is associated with patient self-efficacy. Therefore, herein, we aimed to evaluate the association between caring behaviors and self-efficacy in patients with cardiovascular diseases.

**KEY MESSAGES**

- We found a small association between perception of caring behaviors and self-efficacy in patients with cardiovascular diseases.
- The mean score of perception of caring behaviors was high.
- “Attentive to other’s experience” was the most and “positive connectedness” was the least important subscale of caring behaviors.
- The mean score of self-efficacy in patients with cardiovascular diseases was moderate, and self-efficacy was low in 43.2% of the patients.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical Considerations
This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences (IR.SUMS.REC.1397.418) and hospital authorities. Patients provided informed consent and were assured of the right to withdraw from the study and to keep their personal information confidential.

Design and Participants
This cross-sectional study recruited patients admitted to the coronary care units of two hospitals (Peymaniyeh Hospital and Motahari Hospital) in Jahrom, southern Iran, from October 2018 to March 2019. According to Cochran’s formula and a correlation coefficient $r = 0.50$, $\alpha = 0.05$, and $\beta = 0.80$, a sample size of 384 was required. Given expectations of sample attrition, a sample of 400 patients was recruited.

The study sample was stratified so that the number of subjects from each hospital unit was proportional to the number of patients in the unit. Then the eligible patients who were willing to participate to the study were selected until the sample size was completed. The inclusion criteria were adults 18 years and over, medical diagnosis of myocardial infarction, unstable angina pectoris, or heart failure, at least a 3-day stay in the cardiac care unit, awareness of time, place, and person, and the ability to understand study questions. The exclusion criteria were disabling diseases other than heart disease and underlying diseases such as sleep disorders, known mental disorders, Alzheimer disease, adverse events within the prior 6 months, such as death of a loved one or divorce, and inability to complete the questionnaires. At study termination, nine patients were excluded due to incomplete questionnaires. Finally, data from 391 patients were analyzed.

Data Collection and Measurements
The Caring Behaviors Inventory (CBI) and Strategies Used by People to Promote Health (SUPPH_29) questionnaires were used to collect data. Demographic information included gender, age, history of hospitalization and length of stay, other illnesses, marital status, education, and medical diagnosis. The CBI was developed by Wolf [28] in 1981 and included 75 items. In 1994 Wolf et al. [21] reduced the CBI to 42 items. CBI-42 measures patients’ perception of caring behaviors with five subscales including respectful deference to others, assurance of human presence, positive connectedness, professional knowledge and skill, and attentive to others’ experience. Respectful deference to others implies nurses’ respectful behavior when providing care to the patients. Assurance of human presence invokes the caring behaviors of nurses that create a feeling of encouragement, confidence, and reassurance in patients and the ability to reduce patient anxiety. Positive connectedness is the feeling of solidarity, empathy, and unity between the patient and the nurse that manifests during patient care. Professional knowledge and skill explicitly reflect a nurse’s experience and technical abilities when proving care. Atten-

| Variable                  | No. (%) (n=391) |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Sex                       |                 |
| Male                      | 221 (56.5)      |
| Female                    | 170 (43.5)      |
| Marital status            |                 |
| Single                    | 36 (9.2)        |
| Married                   | 245 (62.7)      |
| Widowed                   | 99 (25.3)       |
| Divorced                  | 11 (2.8)        |
| Education                 |                 |
| Non-literate              | 140 (35.8)      |
| Junior high school        | 132 (33.8)      |
| Diploma                   | 86 (22.0)       |
| Academic                  | 33 (8.4)        |
| Employment                |                 |
| Employed                  | 156 (39.9)      |
| Retired                   | 142 (36.3)      |
| Unemployed                | 91 (23.3)       |
| Housewife                 | 2 (0.5)         |
| Place of residence        |                 |
| City                      | 236 (60.4)      |
| Village                   | 155 (39.6)      |
| Diagnosis                 |                 |
| Heart failure             | 190 (47.5)      |
| Myocardial infarction     | 150 (37.5)      |
| Unstable angina pectoris  | 60 (15)         |
| Length of stay (day)      |                 |
| 3                         | 227 (58.1)      |
| 4                         | 85 (21.7)       |
| ≥5                        | 79 (20.2)       |
| Frequency of admission    |                 |
| 1                         | 186 (47.6)      |
| 2                         | 175 (44.8)      |
| ≥3                        | 30 (7.6)        |
spective to others’ experience evaluates a nurse’s attention and care for patients and to meet their needs through listening and observing. Each item is scored on a 6-point Likert scale from “never” (1) to “always” (6). The minimum score for this inventory is 42 and the maximum is 252. Higher scores indicate more important caring behaviors according to patients [21,28]. In this study, given the different number of items for each subscale, to make the subscales comparable, the score for each subscale was divided into the number of questions for that subscale, and the mean weighted score was obtained. Wolf et al. [21,29] confirmed the validity of the inventory using exploratory factor analysis with varimax rotation and its reliability with a Cronbach’s coefficient (0.96) and test-retest reliability (0.96). Hajinezhad et al. [30] confirmed the content validity of the Persian version using the opinions of ten nursing faculty members. Reliability of the inventory for patients was also found to be internally consistent according to Cronbach’s coefficient (r = 0.98).

The SUPPH-29 questionnaire was developed by Lev and Owen [31] in 1996 to measure self-efficacy among patients with cancer. Afterward, it was used for other health conditions such as hypertension [23], hemodialysis [32], and ulcerative colitis [15]. This questionnaire has three domains: stress reduction (10 items), making decisions (3 items), and positive attitude (3 items) and is based on a 5-point Likert scale from very low (1), low (2), moderate (3), high (4), and very high (5). Total possible scores range from 29 to 145. Scores over 90 indicate high self-efficacy, 67–90 indicate moderate self-efficacy, and < 67 indicate low self-efficacy [31]. Lev and Owen [31] confirmed instrument construct validity using exploratory factor analysis. They also confirmed its reliability by test-retest reliability (r = 0.94). To confirm the validity of the Persian version of this instrument, Moattari et al. [32] translated it into Persian and then English. Content validity of the Persian version of this instrument was confirmed by an expert panel and its reliability was confirmed by Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.91. Data were collected after explaining the objectives to patients and obtaining their consent. Interviews were conducted when the patients had neither pain nor elevated anxiety and were well-prepared to answer the questions.

**Data Analysis**

The data were analyzed using IBM SPSS ver. 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive statistics were used to describe the variables. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test evaluated data normality and the Spearman correlation coefficient was used to examine the relationship between perception of caring behaviors and self-efficacy. The significance level was set to P = 0.05.

**RESULTS**

The mean patient age was 54.15 ± 15.77 years (range, 20–80 years). Most patients were male (n = 221, 56.5%), married (n = 245, 62.7%), employed (n = 156, 39.9%), city dwellers (n = 236, 60.4%) with a diploma or less (n = 358, 91.6%). Additionally, they were admitted for heart failure (n = 190, 47.5%), myocardial infarction (n = 150, 37.5%), or unstable angina pectoris (n = 60, 15%). Most had been admitted to hospital for the first time (n = 186, 47.6%) and the length of stay was 3 days for most (n = 227, 58.1%) (Table 1).

The mean self-efficacy score among patients with cardiovascular disease was 73.94 ± 29.78, and self-efficacy was low in 43.2% (n = 169), moderate in 28.6% (n = 112), and high in 28.1% (n = 110) of patients. Table 2 shows that according to the patients, “attentive to others’ experiences” was the most important and “positive connectedness” was the least important subscale of perception of caring behaviors. Additionally, there was a significant direct relationship between self-efficacy and total perception of caring behaviors score (r = 0.16, P = 0.001) and between self-efficacy and several subscale measures, in-

**Table 2.** Mean patient perception scores for caring behavior subscales and their relationship with patient self-efficacy

| Subscale                     | Weighted mean±weighted SD | Range | Association with self-efficacy r (P-value) |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------|------------------------------------------|
| Attentive to others’ experience | 5.17 ± 1.10              | 1.25–6| 0.02 (0.46)                              |
| Respectful deference to others | 5.08 ± 0.98              | 1.50–6| 0.12 (0.01)                              |
| Professional knowledge and skills | 5.06 ± 1.26              | 1–6   | 0.09 (0.08)                              |
| Assurance of human presence  | 4.96 ± 1.21              | 1–6   | 0.12 (0.02)                              |
| Positive connectedness      | 4.81 ± 1.31              | 1–6   | 0.18 (0.001)                             |
| Total score of perception of caring behaviors | 4.99 ± 1.00              | 1.50–6| 0.16 (0.001)                             |

SD: standard deviation.

The score for each subscale/number of items for that subscale; *Spearman correlation coefficient.
In general, the association between self-efficacy and perception of caring behaviors was poor. Patients’ perception of caring behaviors had a high mean score, while the self-efficacy of most patients was low, which may explain the poor correlation between these two factors. A literature review revealed no studies on the association between perception of caring behaviors and patient self-efficacy, thus, no comparisons to our study are possible. Therefore, more similar studies in different contexts are needed. It seems that providing caring with respect, appropriate communication, and reassurance of presence, especially for first-time admitted patients, could be a critical step in promoting self-efficacy.

The mean score for perception of caring behaviors in patients with cardiovascular diseases was high, indicating that patients participating in the study showed concern for all caring behaviors, even though some behaviors were identified as more important than others. According to patients, “attentive to others’ experience,” “respectful deference to others,” “professional knowledge and skills,” “assurance of human presence,” and “positive connectedness” are, consecutively ordered, the most important caring behaviors.

Behaviors related to “attentive to others’ experience” were endorsed as the most important caring behaviors. This shows that paying special attention to admitted patients is important to relieve their unpleasant feelings. Other studies have similarly attached great importance to provision of appropriate physical care, such as medication and patient physical control [33,34]. In another Iranian study, patients emphasized “monitoring and follow-up” as one of their top priorities [35]. Nurses are responsible for direct care of patients and should prioritize their time to provide that care [36]. In this study, a large number of the patients were admitted for the first time. Patients face a great deal of stress upon hospital admission. Therefore, nurses should understand their condition and pay special attention to it. Additionally, this issue may be important because patients are most concerned with the physical aspects of their care [19]. In this study, nurses’ professional knowledge and skills were of specific importance, which is consistent with findings by Papastavrou et al. [37], whose review study showed that cancer patients placed higher importance on nurses’ technical skills and experience.

On the other hand, although mean scores for “positive connectedness” and “assurance of human presence” were high, patients rated them less important than the other subscales. These findings are consistent with Karlou et al. [33] and Azizifini et al. [17]. In contrast to this study, Pashae et al. [19] and Zamanzadeh et al. [35] found that nurse accessibility was patients’ highest priority. This may be because the nurse-to-pa-

### Table 3. Mean patient self-efficacy domain scores and their relationship with patient perception of caring behaviors

| Self-efficacy domain | Possible range of scores | Mean ± SD | Total score of perception of caring behaviors |
|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------------|
| Stress reduction     | 10–50                    | 23.42 ± 10.29 | 0.15 (0.003) |
| Decision making      | 3–15                     | 7.77 ± 3.7    | 0.15 (0.003) |
| Positive attitude    | 16–80                    | 42.86 ± 17.78 | 0.14 (0.006) |
| Self-efficacy        | 29–145                   | 73.94±29.78  | 0.16 (0.001) |

SD: standard deviation. *Spearman correlation coefficient.
Patient ratio is higher in coronary care units and, because of the nature of the disease and ward conditions, nurses are more likely to interact with patients. Additionally, because of these patients’ special needs, nurses spend more time at the bedside and the presence of nurses is more prominent in these departments. Therefore, they gave less priority to behaviors related to nurses’ communication and presence.

Although the mean self-efficacy score for patients in this study was moderate, almost half the patients did not demonstrate proper self-efficacy. Consistent with these results, two other Iranian studies showed that patients with cardiovascular diseases and hypertension did not have high self-efficacy and performed self-care behaviors poorly [23,38]. Another study found that self-efficacy of patients after coronary artery bypass graft was moderate [24]. In contrast to this study, two other studies found that patients diagnosed with heart disease showed high capability and self-efficacy [7,39]. These different findings could be due to differences in culture, perception, and beliefs among the study populations. Additionally, Peyman et al. [38] identified education as an important factor affecting poor self-efficacy in patients with cardiovascular disease. Therefore, low education attainment may contribute to low self-efficacy in this study. Accordingly, it is necessary to seek effective strategies to enhance patients’ self-efficacy, especially using inclusive approaches, such as innovative technologies [40].

This is the first study to examine the relationship between self-efficacy in cardiovascular disease patients and their perception of caring behaviors. The findings herein, such as the role of caring behaviors in enhancing patient self-efficacy, could be useful in promoting nurses’ performance in clinical settings. These findings could also contribute to training in nursing schools and in-service nursing programs. However, because patient perception of the importance of caring behaviors does not reflect the extent to which nurses present these behaviors, future studies that investigate the relationship between self-efficacy of patients and the rate of caring behaviors presented by nurses are needed. Furthermore, the association between caring behaviors and self-efficacy was small. Therefore, we recommend caution when applying these findings in a clinical setting. We argue that this weak relationship could be related to the patients’ low self-efficacy. Therefore, further studies to investigate this relationship over a longer period, in which patients have sufficient time to improve their self-efficacy, are also recommended.
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