Synthesis and properties of a novel quarternerized imidazolium [α-PW_{12}O_{40}]^{3-} salt as a recoverable photo-polymerization catalyst†
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A recoverable photo-polymerization catalyst based on an imidazolium and a polyoxometalate, viz., (BMIm){\textsubscript{2}}(DMIm)PW\textsubscript{12}O\textsubscript{40} (where, BMIm = 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium, DMIm = 3,3′-dimethyl-1,1′-diimidazolium) is reported. It catalyzes photo-polymerization of several industrially important monomers like styrene, methyl methacrylate, butyl methacrylate and vinyl acetate. The catalyst is recoverable and hence can be reused. The molecular weight and polydispersity index can be controlled reasonably and a reaction pathway is proposed. The synthesis of this novel catalyst is reported and the catalyst has been characterized using standard techniques such as single crystal X-ray diffraction studies, cyclic voltammetry and various spectroscopic methods such as Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy, EPR spectroscopy and UV-Vis spectroscopy. DFT calculations have been used to explain the catalyst’s photo-chemical activity.

Introduction

The fast receding reserve of resources and growing demand of ‘green’ plastics need an immediate synthetic technique that can provide plastics but has a low impact on the reserve of resources. Although photo-polymerization is a step forward in that direction, however the condition of repeated addition of initiator often limits its viability for large scale production. Hence, development of a versatile yet recoverable photo-polymerization initiator catalyst would be a welcome green step forward in that direction. Here we report such a photo-catalyst. Logically, the design of such a catalyst should incorporate a photo-active radical or a cation generating component which will facilitate and catalyze the initiation step of the polymerization reaction, a polymerization propagating component and an oligomer stabilizing hydrophobic component and a catalyst regenerating component. Our catalyst design is based on hybrid materials and has two components, namely: (i) an inorganic [α-PW\textsubscript{12}O\textsubscript{40}]\textsuperscript{3-} polyoxometalate and (ii) the organic moieties of 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium (BMIm) and 3,3′-dimethyl-1,1′-diimidazolium (DMIm). We now explain the rationale of this design.

Until recently a class of metal–oxide based clusters, polyoxometalates, have gained significant interest in various environmental and materials science based applications, primarily due to their photo-catalytic activity. Well documented photocatalytic activity and extreme high stability of one such polyoxometalate (POM) viz., PW\textsubscript{12}O\textsubscript{40}\textsuperscript{3-} prompted its choice as a photo-active radical generating component of the catalyst,\textsuperscript{1−7} whereas certain interesting structural and electronic features of the BMIm based ionic liquids, such as: (i) ability to provide the reaction system with a radical or cation propagating source (the imidazolium moiety); (ii) as in ionic liquids, ability to form extended hydrophilic channel like compartments which can stabilize the emerging oligomers\textsuperscript{8,9} led to the choice of BMIm/DMIm as its second component.\textsuperscript{6−10} Moreover the POM’s ability of redox regeneration was also exploited. In short, our design strategy of the catalyst exploits: (i) the photo-activity of POM coupled with the presence of imidazolium to catalyze radical/cationic polymerization initiation; (ii) combines it with the advantages of the imidazolium moiety; (iii) the stabilization of the emerging oligomeric chains in the extended hydrophobic compartments by the dangling butyl chains, thus rendering the catalyst functional and (iv) redox recoverability of POM under the stipulated reaction conditions. It is now apt to review the recent literature to explain further
the rationale of our choice of polyoxometalate and an imidazolium component for the design of our catalyst. In the matter of recoverable polyoxometalate catalysts, several strategies have been adopted. Broadly, recoverability achieved can be grouped into three classes as follows: (i) recoverability exploiting photo-catalytic redox behaviour of the polyoxometalates,\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^15\) (ii) Recoverability by heterogenization,\(^16\)\(^-\)\(^26\) by amphiphilic\(^27\),\(^28\) or dendritic encapsulation\(^29\),\(^30\) and heterogenization to facilitate magnetic separation\(^31\),\(^32\) (iii) recovery by membrane separation of the reacted catalyst.\(^33\) Moreover different classes of reactions that have been catalyzed using the above recoverable techniques can further be broadly grouped into categories like acid catalysis, hydration, dehydration\(^28\),\(^41\)\(^-\)\(^46\) and a few other allied reactions like the Mannich reaction and benzylation.\(^31\),\(^47\)

We now describe in the following sections the synthesis of this catalyst, its characterization techniques; along with the details of polymerization reactions, recovery of the catalyst, loading of the catalyst, reaction kinetics of polymerization, effect of addition of inhibitors and details of spectroscopic and density functional theoretical (DFT) calculations and propose a pathway for its action.

**Experimental**

**Monomers used**

All the chemicals used in these experiments were of reagent grade and were used without further purification. The ionic liquid 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium (BMIm) chloride used in the experiments was prepared according to the method as available in the literature using available precursors.\(^54\)

**Details of polymerization reaction**

In a quartz tube, 0.2 g of the catalyst, (BMIm)\(^2\)(DMIm)PW\(_{12}O_{40}\) was mixed with ca. 3–5 ml of the monomer (styrene, methyl methacrylate, butyl methacrylate, vinyl acetate). The mixture was dissolved in 20 ml acetone. The mixture was bubbled with nitrogen for 15 minutes and the tube was closed tightly for isolation from air. The tube was then placed in a XPA-1 photo-reactor, operating with a Hg lamp at 300 W and \(\lambda = 365\) nm for 2–3 hours. Within a few minutes, a blue hue appeared in the reaction tube. The reaction was terminated by adding an excess of acidified methanol. Solvents were evaporated under vacuum which leaves behind a mixture of polymer and the catalyst. The polymer was then separated by dissolving it in THF and was characterized further by GPC. The catalyst, which is insoluble in THF, was separated by centrifugation and washed 2–3 times with \(n\)-hexane and THF before drying under vacuum at room temperature. The catalyst, upon drying, underwent several changes of colour (like, from blue to yellow to dirty yellow) till a colourless white powder was formed, upon exposure to air. The catalyst was thus recovered. This recovered catalyst was then reused for the next polymerization cycle, recovered back and reused again. The catalytic activity of the catalyst was tested for 10 such polymerization cycles. The different steps of the reaction are shown in Fig. 1.

**Synthesis of the catalyst (BMIm)\(_2\)(DMIm)PW\(_{12}O_{40}\)**

To a solution of the starting polyoxometalate (H\(_3\)PW\(_{12}O_{40}\cdot2H\(_2\)O) (2.38 g, 0.01 mol) in 20 ml water, the ionic liquid of BMImCl (17.45 g, 0.1 mol), was dropped slowly with vigorous shaking (note: the molar ratio of polyoxometalate to BMImCl is 1 : 10) to form a milky white viscous dispersion. The mixture was kept at 30 °C for 24 hours until the reaction was complete. The dispersion was then allowed to stand and the white solid precipitate so formed was collected by vacuum filtration. After drying the precipitate completely under low pressure, the product was recrystallized from an equal volume mixture of acetone and acetonitrile. Upon standing at room temperature for 7 days, chunks of colourless crystals were formed, which
The structural geometry of the catalyst was fully optimized (assuming $C_1$ symmetry) with BP86 $^{55,56}$ generalized gradient approximations and VWN $^{57}$ local density functional, triple-$\zeta$ plus polarization Slater type orbital basis sets (TZP), and the numerical integration parameter 6.0, as implemented in the Amsterdam density functional (ADF) package. $^{58-60}$ The core shells [O: (1s)$^2$, P: (1s2s2p)$^5$, W: (1s2s2p3s3p3d4s4p4d)$^6$] were kept frozen and were described by means of single Slater functions. The zero-order regular approximation was adopted in all of the calculations to account for the scalar relativistic effects. $^{61-63}$ The solvent effects were employed in the calculations of geometry optimization by using a conductor-like screening model (COSMO) $^{64}$ of solvation with the solvent-excluding-surface. $^{65}$ The solute dielectric constant was set to 20.7 (acetone). The van der Waals radii for the POM atoms, which actually define the cavity in the COSMO, are 1.40, 1.92, and 2.10 Å for O, P, and W, respectively. $^{66-73}$

**Electrochemical characterization of the catalyst**

The electrochemistry measurements were performed with a 69 CHI 660 electrochemistry workstation. Three-electrodes were employed for the electrochemical studies, viz., gold (Au) as working electrode, modified with the complex in acetone solution to emulate the working conditions of the catalyst. Secondly, platinum (Pt) disk was used as another counter electrode, and the third reference electrode used was a standard saturated calomel reference electrode (SCE). The oxidized gold working electrode surface was created using standard protocol i.e., by applying 2.0 V vs. SCE for 90 seconds in 2 M NaOH solution.

**Determination of recovery of the catalyst**

The recovery of the catalyst was tested exhaustively by gravimetric analysis. The weight and FTIR spectra of the recovered catalyst and its activity were recorded and compared after every polymerization cycle. Before any measurements, the catalyst was dried in open air overnight and then kept in an oven for 30 minutes at 50 °C.

**Variation of catalyst loading**

Polymerization reactions were carried out by increasing the relative concentration of an equimolar mixture of BMIm$^+$ and DMIm$^+$ with respect to the catalyst, thereby changing the
effective loading of the catalyst. (Note in these cases chloride was used as counter-ion and the effect does not change with other halides.) This variation of loading was carried out at 5 equi-spaced mole-ratios of BMIm⁺ and DMIm⁺ to the catalyst ranging from 1 to 5.

**Loading of inhibitors**

To the polymerization reaction mixture, o-, m- and p-dihydroxy phenols were added in increasing ratio with respect to the catalyst for a fixed monomer concentration. It is well-known in the literature that polyoxometalates scavenge the dihydroxy phenols under photo-catalytic conditions used here.1-7,11-15 Hence the above di-hydroxy phenols were chosen as inhibitors in this study to investigate the role of the polyoxometalate component in the catalyst.

**Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) for determining molecular weight ($M_n$) and polydispersity index (PDI)**

Molecular weight and molecular weight distribution of the polymers were measured by PL GPC 50 at 25 °C using THF as eluent against polystyrene standards, flow rate: 1 mL min⁻¹, sample concentration: 1 mg mL⁻¹.

**Results and discussion**

**On photo-polymerization by the catalyst**

The photo-polymerization catalyst reported here is found to polymerize a series of industrially important monomers. We here present the results of catalytic photo-polymerization of styrene, methyl methacrylate, vinyl acetate and butyl methacrylate. It is worth noting that the catalyst can photo-polymerize in the absence of any externally added co-initiators. The (BMIm)₆(DMIm)₆PW₁₂O₄₀ salt itself acted as an initiator-catalyst. Polymerization reactions of the monomers with this catalyst take place readily under a 300 W, 365 nm light with an irradiation time of 2-3 hours at room temperature in acetone. Within a few minutes of irradiation, the contents of the tube develop a blue color due to the reduction of the POM moiety of the photo-catalyst. Time dependent UV-Vis spectrum of the reaction mixture presents two absorption bands with maxima at 470 nm and 750 nm (Fig. 2), which is characteristic of one-electron-reduced POM, viz. $[\text{PW}_{12}\text{O}_{40}]^{4-}$.88,89

It can be clearly seen from the spectrum that the extent of reduction increases with time, clearly indicating the participation of POM in photo-polymerization. This is discussed in detail later. The percentage of POM photo-reduced at the end of the reaction was estimated to be 39% from the reported molar extinction coefficient of $[\text{PW}_{12}\text{O}_{40}]^{4-}$ at 750 nm ($\varepsilon_{750} = 2000$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹).86 The extent of conversion of the polymer obtained over 10 successive cycles of polymerization for 4 monomers, viz. styrene, methyl methacrylate, butyl acrylate and vinyl acetate are recorded (Fig. 2). It shows that for all 4 monomers the conversions vary from 20% to 60%. The optimization of the reaction conditions was achieved by varying two main operational parameters, viz. the catalyst loading and the irradiation time. It was ensured that the reaction temperature is maintained at room temperature, in order to meet two needs: (i) reduction of chain transfer and (ii) making the process less energy consuming. The control in $M_n$ and PDI of the resulting polymer. In all these cases chloride was the counter-ion and the effect does not change if other halides are used. We observe that on increasing the concentration of BMIm⁺ and DMIm⁺, the $M_n$ of the synthesized polymers increases, while the PDI decreases (Fig. 3). It only means that with increasing BMIm⁺ and DMIm⁺ concentrations, the relative concentration of the radicals responsible for polymerization reduces.74 The question arises: are the radicals responsible for polymerization coming from a source directly related to the

**Effects of catalyst and inhibitor loading on $M_n$ of the polymers and its implications on the polymerization pathway**

Now we find the effect of the catalyst loading on the $M_n$ and PDI of the synthesized polymers. We do so to understand the pathway of this polymerization reaction. For this purpose, polymerization reactions were carried out by systematically increasing the relative concentrations of an equimolar mixture of BMIm⁺ and DMIm⁺ with respect to the catalyst. Specifically, we varied the concentration ratio [BMIm⁺ + DMIm⁺]/[initiator] from 1 to 5 and analysed its effect on the $M_n$ and PDI of the resulting polymer. In all these cases chloride was the counter-ion and the effect does not change if other halides are used. We observe that on increasing the concentration of BMIm⁺ and DMIm⁺, the $M_n$ of the synthesized polymers increases, while the PDI decreases (Fig. 3). It only means that with increasing BMIm⁺ and DMIm⁺ concentrations, the relative concentration of the radicals responsible for polymerization reduces.74 The question arises: are the radicals responsible for polymerization coming from a source directly related to the
polyoxometalate part of the catalyst? To test this, we added to the reaction mixture o-, m- and p-dihydroxy phenols as inhibitors as they can quench the polyoxometalate radicals. We added these di-hydroxy phenols in increasing ratio with respect to the catalyst, as these di-hydroxy phenols will scavenge the photo-excited POM and alter the $M_n$ of the resulting polymer accordingly. We indeed observe that as the loading of these dihydroxy phenols (o-, m- or p-) increases relative to the polyoxometalate, the $M_n$ of the polymers increase almost monotonically (Fig. 4). This shows that with an increase in dihydroxy phenol loading, lesser polyoxometalate radicals are available for polymerizing the monomer, since they are quenched by the phenols under our experimental conditions and are consumed in the process. Hence, lesser radicals are available and the $M_n$ of the polymers obtained increases. These results, in turn, also show that the participation of polyoxometalate radicals plays a key role in photo-polymerization.

On the photo-catalytic activity of the catalyst and the reaction pathway

We now explain the photo-catalytic activity of the catalyst. To do so we take recourse to DFT calculations. The DFT calculations reveal a band-gap energy of 2.729 eV between the HOMO (Fig. 5a) and LUMO (Fig. 5b) of the polyoxometalate part of the catalyst.

It hence justifies the photo-excitation of the anionic polyoxometalate part of the catalyst to form a radical upon irradiation. Hence, initially upon irradiation, photo-activation of the inorganic part of the catalyst leads to the formation of POM in excited state (POM$^*$$^*$). POM$^*$$^*$ in turn generates an imidazolium radical cation by abstraction of hydrogen free radical from imidazolium and thereby itself gets reduced to HOM (reduced POM). The imidazolium cation radical so generated is responsible for effectively initiating free-radical or cationic photo-polymerization, rendering the catalyst its versatility. On the other hand, HOM on exposure to air regenerates the active and oxidized POM. The successive change of colour of the used catalyst during drying also supports such step-wise oxidation of the HOM to POM. Time resolved EPR spectroscopy also shows the free-radical participation in initiating the photo-polymerization reaction. The generation of a paramagnetic radical ($S = 1/2$) upon irradiation is shown clearly by the EPR spectrum (Fig. 5d). The spectrum also shows a second peak at $g = 1.93$, which perhaps corresponds to the imidazolium cation radical that is bound to POM. DFT calculations furthermore show that the anionic part of the catalyst, the POM cluster surface, has a high degree of electrophilicity (Fig. 5c). The electrophilic C$_3$ centres of the cluster surface act as docking sites for the transfer of H$^+$ of imidazolium moiety to form HOM during polymerization cycles.

Structure of the crystal-lattice of the catalyst and its role in polymerization catalysis

We now address the issue of stabilization of emerging hydrophobic polymers by the catalyst. We observe that the photo-polymerization reaction with the catalyst reported here is much more facile as compared to the polymerization initiated by phosphotungstic acid alone. We therefore ask: does the...
catalyst exert a stabilizing role on emerging oligomeric chains? The single crystal X-ray diffraction pattern shows continuous elliptical hydrophobic channels with a diameter of 7 Å (Fig. 6a). These channels are defined by the dangling butyl groups of the imidazolium cations of the catalyst close to the POM core (Fig. 6b). Moreover, the ionic liquids are known to retain their channel framework of solid state in solution. It is postulated that the ionic liquid solutions “may not be homogenous, but have to be considered as nano-structured with polar and non-polar regions”. Neutron diffraction analysis yields the complementary liquid structure information. In the case of the dialkylimidazolium systems, which are similar to our reported photo-catalyst, a close relationship between the crystal structure and the liquid structure was found, emphasizing once again the retention of the channel framework of the solid state in solution. Specifically, nanometer-scale ordering in ionic liquids belonging to the 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazolium family is observed using molecular simulation with an all-atom force field. It is also shown that for ionic liquids with alkyl side chains longer than or equal to C4, aggregation of the alkyl chains occurs to form continuous hydrophobic non-polar domains in solution. It is thus reasonable to think that the hydrophobic channels of the reported catalyst in the solid state is retained in solution and acts as stabilizing hydrophobic compartments for the growing polymer chains. They, thereby facilitate formation of hydrophobic polymers with long chain length. This explains that alone PW_{12}O_{40}^{3-} with only protons/ammonium as counter-ions, although it can act as a catalyst, it leads to polymers with extremely poor conversions. These observations support the proposition that the butyl channels of imidazolium indeed create a stabilizing hydrophobic channel. Such channels enable successful stabilization of the emerging oligomeric chains and ensure rapid recoverable photo-polymerization by the catalyst.

**Results of investigation of catalyst by {superscript}1H NMR spectroscopy**

{superscript}1H NMR analysis is an important method for characterizing the catalyst. In Fig. 7a, the peak at 5.416 ppm is attributed to the protons in phosphotungstic acid, and the peak at 2.475 ppm to the solvent of CD_{3}COCD_{3}. It therefore implies that all of the protons in phosphotungstic acid are in the same chemical environment. Fig. 7b is the {superscript}1H NMR spectrum of 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride. The peaks are assigned as follows (refer to the formula in Fig. 7b): 0.8 ppm; H1, 1.2 ppm; H2, 1.7 ppm; H3, 4.2 ppm; H4, 3.8 ppm; H5, 9.2 ppm; H6, 7.8 ppm; H7, 7.9 ppm; H8. The peak at 2.475 ppm is attributed to the solvent of CD_{3}COCD_{3}. Fig. 7c is the {superscript}1H NMR spectrum of the catalyst, (BMIm)_{2}(DMIm)(PW_{12}O_{40}). The characteristic peak, around 5.4 ppm, of the phosphotungstic acid protons is absent in this spectrum, clearly indicating the complete exchange of the protons of H_{1}PW_{12}O_{40} with imidazolium cations of the ionic liquid. Furthermore, all the peaks corresponding to the imidazolium cation are retained in the final spectrum which corroborates the complete cation exchange between phosphotungstic acid and the imidazolium cation.

**Results of electrochemical investigations of the catalyst**

Fig. 8 shows cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of the catalyst in 0.5 M buffer solution of HAc–NaAc with different scan rates. Three groups of redox peaks could be observed. While the peaks at...
−0.07 V (b) and −0.27 V (c) are very small, the peak at 0.57 V (a) was intense. The redox potential is observed at 1.5 (V) and 0.57 (V) when the scan rate is selected as 100 mV s\(^{-1}\). With increasing scan rate, the oxidation peak potential becomes more positive and the reduction potential becomes more negative, while the peak currents increase linearly with increasing of the square of the scan rate. This reveals the electrochemical behavior of the complex is a diffusion confined redox process.\(^{33}\)

Investigation of recoverability of the catalyst

To do so, the recovered catalyst is weighed, its FTIR spectrum is recorded and its catalytic activity is tested after every polymerization cycle. Percentage recoverability of the catalyst is calculated by gravimetric analysis as \([W_n/W_0] \times 100\); where \(W_n\) = dry catalyst weight recorded at the end of \(n\)th cycle and \(W_0\) = weight of the catalyst used for the 1st cycle. It is found that the catalyst is not only highly recoverable, but is also very efficient after recovery, indicating its structural integrity. Fig. 9 shows the variation of \(\log(M_n)\) and % conversion of the polymer after every cycle with styrene as a model reaction system, for 10 such cycles and the corresponding catalyst recoverability. From the FTIR spectra we wanted to understand the recovery of the catalyst on a molecular level, qualitatively. For this, we analysed the finger-print region of the catalyst in the absorbance mode. It is known that \([\alpha\text{-PW}_{12}\text{O}_{40}]\) type polyoxometalates show the following characteristic vibrational frequencies: 804 and 894 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(\nu_{\text{as}},\ W\text{-O-W}\)), 979 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(\nu_{\text{as}},\ W\text{-O}(\text{i})\)), 1078 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(\nu_{\text{as}},\ P\text{-O(br)}\)). Likewise, peaks of BMIm\(^+\) and DMIm\(^+\) appear at 2867, 2958, while 3116 and 3147 cm\(^{-1}\) are assigned to (\(\nu_{\text{s}},\ CH_3\)), (\(\nu_{\text{as}},\ CH_3\)), [\(\nu_{\text{as}},\ HC(4)\text{-C(5)H}\)] and [\(\nu_{\text{as}},\ HC(4)\text{-C(5)H}\)] respectively.\(^{9,10,75}\) It is reasonable to assume that retention of the finger-print implies retention of the molecular structure of the catalyst. One such recovery detail is shown (Fig. 10).

Clearly, the finger print features of the IR spectrum of the catalyst are retained even after 10 polymerization cycles, indicating the retention of the molecular framework of the catalyst and that of its active sites. The structural retention and integrity is in good agreement with the functional activity of the catalyst since the conversion of the polymer at the end of the tenth consecutive polymerization cycle (Fig. 2) does not deviate significantly from that after the first few polymerization cycles. Further analysis of the FT-IR spectrum shows some decrease in the intensity of the peaks characteristic to the imidazolium moiety of the catalyst, suggesting some loss of lattice imidazolium in the course of photo-polymerization.

---

![Cyclic voltammograms](image1)

**Fig. 8** Cyclic voltammograms of the catalyst, (BMIm)\(_2\)(DMIm)PW\(_{12}\)O\(_{40}\) in 0.5 M buffer solution of HAc-NaAc with different scan rates. Inset showing the two small peaks at −0.07V (b) and −0.27 V (c).

![FT-IR spectra](image2)

**Fig. 9** (a) Variation of \(\log(M_n)\) of polymer and % recoverability of the catalyst after every cycle; (b) variation of % conversion of polymer and % recoverability of catalyst for all cycles.

![FT-IR spectra](image3)

**Fig. 10** FT-IR spectra of the catalyst before reaction (below), after 1 cycle (middle) and after 10 cycles (above).
This observation prompts us to think that the reported catalyst might be a pre-catalyst in part as well.

On the polymerization kinetics

Experiments were also performed to investigate the polymerization kinetics with styrene taken as a model reaction system for this purpose. The reaction kinetics was studied by monitoring the conversion of the monomer with reaction time. In order to understand the efficiency of the catalyst, photo-polymerization kinetics with (BMIm)2(DMIm)PW12O40 as the photo-catalyst was compared and contrasted with that of other conventional polyoxometalates such as H3PW12O40 (Fig. 11). Because of the solubility issues of H3PW12O40 in acetone, the reactions were performed in DMSO so as to make valid comparisons and to rule out any solvent effects. Not only were the polymerization kinetics found to be much faster for the reported photo-catalyst ($k_{BMImDMImPW12} = 0.019 \text{ min}^{-1}$) than that for H3PW12O40 ($k_{PW12} = 0.013 \text{ min}^{-1}$), but also the conversion of the resulting polymer was three times that of H3PW12O40 alone. This is attributed to the formation of an imidazolium radical cation from the photo-excited POM* component of the catalyst. The so formed imidazolium radical cation then initiates further cationic and/or radical polymerization. Secondly, the hydrophobic butyl chains furthermore stabilize the emerging oligomers formed in the course of photo-polymerization. As no such possibility exists in the case of H3PW12O40, the corresponding photo-polymerization kinetics and conversions are very low.

On the probable pathway of action of the catalyst

We now put forward a probable pathway of polymerization by the catalyst. Upon UV-irradiation, the catalyst (BMIm)2(DMIm)PW12O40 generates a POM in excited state (POM*), since the HOMO–LUMO band-gap corresponds to the wavelength of irradiation. A hydrogen free radical, $\cdot H$, is then transferred from imidazolium cation to POM* (POM in excited state) which generates an imidazolium radical cation and simultaneously POM* is reduced to HOM (Fig. 12).

Reason: vicinity of the acidic imidazolium hydrogen to POM* center. The reduction of the POM* centre also manifests in the change of colour of the catalyst from colourless to blue. The generated imidazolium radical cation then initiates further free-radical/cationic polymerization. This explains the high reactivity and efficiency of the catalyst in contrast to other unmodified polyoxometalates such as H3PW12O40 and renders the catalyst its versatility. Later the reacted imidazolium cation is replenished by the lattice DMIm+ and BMIm+ and the POM* radical center is further oxidized by aerial oxygen to give the starting POM.

Conclusions

In summary, the photo-catalyst, (BMIm)2(DMIm)(PW12O40), introduced in this paper can run efficiently over many cycles thereby polymerizing an array of monomers, like, styrene, methyl methacrylate, butyl methacrylate and vinyl acetate in the absence of any externally added co-initiators. These results could lead to greener ways of polymerization with well known polyoxometalates.
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