Electronic and Magnetic Structures of Chain Structured Iron Selenide Compounds
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Electronic and magnetic structures of iron selenide compounds Ce2O2FeSe2 (2212∗) and BaFe2Se3 (123∗) are studied by the first-principles calculations. We find that while all these compounds are composed of one-dimensional (1D) Fe chain (or ladder) structures, their electronic structures are not close to be quasi-1D. The magnetic exchange couplings between two nearest-neighbor (NN) chains in 2212∗ and between two NN two-leg-ladders in 123∗ are both antiferromagnetic (AFM), which is consistent with the presence of significant third NN AFM coupling, a common feature shared in other iron-chalcogenides, FeTe (111∗) and KFe2−δSe2 (122∗). In magnetic ground states, each Fe chain of 2212∗ is ferromagnetic and each two-leg ladder of 123∗ form a block-AFM structure. We suggest that all magnetic structures in iron-selenide compounds can be unified into an extended J1−J2−J3 model. Spin-wave excitations of the model are calculated and can be tested by future experiments on these two systems.

PACS numbers: 74.25.Jb, 74.70.-b, 74.25.Ha, 71.20.-b

I. INTRODUCTION

The newly discovered 122∗, A1Fe2−xSe2, iron-chalcogenide superconductors1−4 have attracted enormously interests. Like iron-pnictide high temperature superconductors, the FeSe-based superconductors have the same robust tetrahedral layers structure. However, there are a number of distinct intriguing physical properties which are noticeably absent in iron-pnictide materials. Such as antiferromagnetically (AFM) ordered insulating phases5,6, extremely high Néel transition temperatures7−9, and the presence of intrinsic Fe vacancies and ordering10−12. In addition, the Fermi surface (FS) topologies of superconducting compounds are very different from previously known superconducting Fe-pnictides. Both band structures calculations13−16 and angle resolved photoemission spectroscopy studies17,18 indicated that only the electron pockets are present in the superconducting compounds, while the hole pockets around Γ point observed in iron-pnictide counterparts sink below the Fermi level, indicating that the inter-pocket scattering between the hole and electron pockets is not an essential ingredient for superconductivity.

Following the discovery of the 122∗ iron-chalcogenide, two new materials, Ce2O2FeSe2 (2212∗)19 and BaFe2Se3 (123∗)20−22, have been synthesized. In the 2212∗, each Fe-layer is composed of coupled one-dimensional (1D) Fe-chains and in the 123∗, it is structured by coupled two-leg ladders. An analogy of these materials can be made to those in cuprates, such as a spin-ladder system Sr14−xCa2−yCu2+xO4+y/2 (x=11.5−15.5) and a double chain system Pr2Ba4Cu7O15−δ24,25. 123∗20−22, which has been investigated intensively. It was also reported that the 123∗ may be superconducting20.

In this paper, we present the theoretical study of the electronic band structures and magnetic orders in these iron selenide systems featured with low-dimensional iron structures. We investigate two materials including 2212∗ and 123∗ and show that while all these compounds are composed of 1D Fe chain structures, their electronic structures are not close to be quasi-1D. Their FS still exhibit two dimensional or even three dimensional topologies. We calculate their magnetic ordered ground states. In 2212∗, the magnetic order ground state is a collinear-AFM (CAF), similar to iron-pnictides. In 123∗, the magnetic structure is a block-AFM (BAF), similar to KFe2Se2.27. The magnetic exchange couplings between two nearest neighbor (NN) chains in 2212∗ and between two NN two-leg-ladders in 123∗ are both AFM, which is consistent with the presence of significant third NN AFM...
about 2% than the ones in experimental values optimized in our NM calculations are found smaller by both iron selenide compounds of the lattice parameters and the internal coordinate (z of Se atom by the energy minimization). The lattice parameters and the internal coordinates are all optimized within energy minimization.

| a(Å) | b(Å) | c(Å) | N(E_F) | γ₀ | χ₀ |
|------|------|------|--------|----|----|
| 2212* | 5.5508 | 5.6794 | 16.2566 | 6.7293 | 31.7196 | 5.4546 |
| 123* | 5.3821 | 9.1123 | 11.2096 | 0.7650 | 3.6060 | 0.6201 |

II. THEORIES AND RESULTS

A. First-Principles Calculations

We perform the first-principles calculations on the iron selenide compounds: 2212*, which has 1D chains of edge-shared FeSe₂, tetrahedra structure and 123*, which consists double chains (two-legged ladders) of edge-shared FeSe₄ tetrahedra structure. The crystal structures are shown in Fig. 1. In our calculations the projected augmented wave method as implemented in the VASP code, and the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof exchange correlation potential was used. All atomic positions and the lattice constants are allowed to relax simultaneously to minimize the energy only for nonmagnetic (NM) state. The experimental crystal structures of 2212* and 123* are all listed in Table I.

Because the NM state is strongly unstable against moment formation, we turn to study the magnetic structures in both iron selenide compounds. The six different possible magnetic configurations, as shown in Fig. 2, [ferromagnetic (FM) state has not been included] are all calculated. In Table II, we list the energies of different magnetic states. For 2212*, it is shown that the CAF is the lowest energy state. In the CAF state of 2212*, spins are FM for each Fe-chains and are AFM between two NN chains. The calculated magnetic moment around each Fe ion is found to be about 3.12μB, which is well consistent with experimental results 3.33μB at low temperature 12K. Furthermore, the calculated band structure of CAF state is shown that 2212* is a semiconductor with an energy band gap of around 0.64eV, as shown in Fig. 3(a). This is also well consistent with reported experimental results. For 123*, the BAF state is the lowest energy state. In the BAF state of 123*, for each two-leg ladder, four spins group together become a superunit. Spins between two NN units are AFM. The coupling between two NN two-leg ladders is also AFM. The moment coupling, J₃, in FeTe (11*) and 122*. This result suggests that all magnetic structures in iron selenide compounds can be unified into an extended J₁-J₂-J₃ model. We also calculate spin-wave excitations of the model which can be tested in future experiments on these two systems.

Figure 2 shows the NM state band structure and FS of both iron selenide compounds. As we can see that there are three bands crossing the Fermi level for both iron selenide compounds. Although both iron selenide compounds are composed of 1D Fe chain (ladder) structures and exhibit quasi-1D characters, their FSs still exhibit two-dimensional or even three dimensional complex topologies. Their NM state electronic structures are very distinct from that of the iron selenide superconductor KFe₂Se₂. Therefore, if superconductivity exists in these compounds, it provides a new playground to test theoretical mechanisms.
FIG. 3: (Color online) Schematic top view of five possible magnetic orders in the Fe-Fe square layer of the iron selenide compounds: (a) AFM Néel order in which the nearest neighboring Fe moments are AFM ordered; (b) Bicollinear-AFM (BCAF) order (the chain direction is changed into vertical direction for 2212\textsuperscript{*}); (c) CAF order in which the Fe moments are FM ordered along the chain direction and AFM ordered across the chains direction; (d) BAF consisting of FM Fe\textsubscript{4} plaquettes tiled AFM along the chain direction; (e) Staggered-BAF (SBAF) configuration with FM diagonal double stripes that are also tiled AFM.

around each Fe is about 2.85\(\mu B\) for 123\textsuperscript{*}, and the electronic band structure calculated shows a semiconductor with an energy band gap \(E_g=0.24\text{eV}\), as shown in Fig. 4(b). The very small energy difference between FM state and CAF state is indicative of weak AFM coupling between different chains, and the energy difference between AFM state and CAF state is indicative of strong FM coupling along the chain direction in 2212\textsuperscript{*}. Similarly, in 123\textsuperscript{*} system, the small energy difference between FM state and BCAF state is also indicative of weak AFM coupling between different ladders, and the energy difference between BAF state and BCAF state indicative of four Fe atom plaquettes along the ladders.

Nevertheless, these results are consistent with magnetic exchange couplings obtained in other iron-chalcogenides FeTe and K\textsubscript{0.8}Fe\textsubscript{1.6}Se\textsubscript{2}, where an FM NN coupling \(J_1\), an AFM next nearest neighbor (NNN) coupling \(J_2\), and an third NN AFM \(J_3\) are necessary in describing magnetic orders. As we will show in next subsection, the magnetic orders of both materials can be obtained within models with the similar exchange coupling parameters. The AFM couplings between two NN chains in the 2212\textsuperscript{*} and between two NN two-leg ladders in the 123\textsuperscript{*} are exactly the third NN AF coupling, \(J_3\). The BAF order within each two-leg ladder can also be naturally understood from these couplings. Therefore, overall, the magnetism of all iron-chalcogenides can be unified into an effective model that includes local magnetic exchange couplings as suggested in\textsuperscript{32} and \textsuperscript{33}. The values of exchange couplings can not be accurately determined since the result depends on the selection of the magnetic configurations\textsuperscript{32}.

Table II: Energetic and magnetic properties of the 2212\textsuperscript{*} and 123\textsuperscript{*}. Results in the magnetic states configurations, as shown in Fig. 3 using experimental crystal structures\textsuperscript{19,21}. \(\Delta E\) is the total energy difference per iron atom in reference to the FM state, and \(m_{Fe}\) is the local magnetic moment on Fe.

|          | 2212\textsuperscript{*} | 123\textsuperscript{*} |
|----------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| \(\Delta E\)(eV)/\(m_{Fe} (\mu B)\) | \(\Delta E\)(eV)/\(m_{Fe} (\mu B)\) |
| FM       | 0/3.13                  | 0/2.58                 |
| AFM      | 0.2184/3.11             | -0.1131/2.38           |
| CAF      | -0.0118/3.12            | -0.1560/2.77           |
| BCAF     | 0.0944/3.13             | -0.0139/2.55           |
| BAF      | -0.1615/2.85            | -0.1514/2.74           |
| SBAF     | -0.1514/2.74            |                       |

FIG. 4: (Color online) (a) Electronic band structure of the CAF state in 2212\textsuperscript{*} with an energy band gap \(E_g=0.64\text{eV}\); (b) Electronic band structure of the BAF state in 123\textsuperscript{*} with an energy band gap \(E_g=0.24\text{eV}\). The Fermi energy is set to zero.
B. Magnetic Model for Ce$_2$O$_2$FeSe$_2$

Following above results and effective models derived for other iron-chalcogenides, we construct the following model to describe this material

$$\hat{H} = J_1 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j + J_3 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j + J'_3 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j,$$

where $J_1$ and $J_3$ are the NN and next NN intrachain magnetic exchange couplings and $J'_3$ is the NN interchain magnetic exchange coupling, as shown in Fig. 3(c).

The classical ground state of the Hamiltonian can be obtained exactly. In general, the classical energy is given as (for simplicity, we take $S = 1$)

$$E_c = 2J_1 \cos Q_x + 4J_3 \left( \cos^2 Q_x - 1/2 \right) + 2J'_3 \cos Q_y,$$

where $(Q_x, Q_y)$ are the magnetic order wavevectors which can be viewed as the relative polarization angles between two NN intrachain spins and interchain spins respectively. The CAF phase is obtained when $J_3 < |J_1|/4$ and $J'_3 > 0$.

In this state, we perform a linear spin wave analysis for this material in the classical limit. To do this we use the usual linearized Holstein-Primakoff transformation from spin operators to magnon operators which read as

$$S^+_i = \sqrt{\frac{S}{2}} (b_i + b_i^\dagger); S^0_i = -i \sqrt{\frac{S}{2}} (b_i - b_i^\dagger); S^-_i = b_i^\dagger b_i,$$

where $i$ runs over all the lattice sites. Performing a Fourier transform, the spin wave excitations of the model is given by

$$\hat{H} = H_0 + \sum_k \Psi_k \left( \begin{array}{ccc} a_k & b_k & a_k \end{array} \right) \psi_k,$$

where $H_0 = 2NJ_1 + 2NJ_2 - 2NJ_3$ is the ground state energy and $\Psi_k = (b_k^\dagger, b_k, -a_k)$, where

$$a_k = J_1 \left( \cos k_x - 1 \right) + J_3 \left( \cos 2k_x - 1 \right) + J'_3 \cos k_y$$

$$b_k = J'_3 \cos k_y$$

Using the Bogoliubov transformation, the linear spin-wave approximation, Eq. (2) can be diagonalized and shown in Fig. 5. It is interesting to see the effect of $J_3$ on the spin wave excitations. For $J_3$ being AFM and close to 0.25$J_1$, the spin wave dispersion along chain direction ($k_x, \pi$) becomes quadratic at $k_x = 0$. Otherwise, the dispersion is linear.

C. Magnetic model for BaFe$_2$Se$_3$

For the 123* system, we can begin with the following general Hamiltonian,

$$\hat{H} = J_{1b} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j + J_{1a} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j + J'_3 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j$$

$$+ J_2 \sum_{\langle\langle i,j \rangle\rangle} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j + J_3 \sum_{\langle\langle i,j \rangle\rangle} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j + J'_3 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j,$$

where $J_{1b}$ along with $J_{1a}, J'_3$ and $J_3$ denote the intraladder vertical and horizontal NN and the third NN couplings, $J_2$ is the intraladder diagonal coupling and $J'_3$ is the interladder interaction as shown in Fig. 3(c). These coupling parameters reflect the symmetry breaking of the BAF state.

We can treat the above model classically to obtain the exact ground state and phase diagram. We define the relative polarization angles $(Q_x, Q'_x, Q_y, Q'_y)$ along the different directions, with the primed variables going with the respective primed couplings. We can then write off the classical ground state energy as (for simplicity, we also take $S = 1$)

$$E_c = 2J'_3 \cos Q'_x + 2J_{1b} \cos Q_y + 2J_{1a} \cos Q_x$$

$$+ 2J'_3 \cos Q'_x + 2J_2 \cos Q_y \cos Q'_x$$

$$+ 2J_2 \cos Q_y \cos Q_x + 4J'_3 \cos (Q_x + Q'_y)$$

We can then obtain the ground states by simply minimizing the classical energy. With the BAF state being the ground state, we have $(Q_x, Q'_x, Q_y, Q'_y) = (0, \pi, 0, \pi)$. Following the exchange coupling values measured for FeTe$_2$ and K$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.6}$Se$_2$, we expect that $J_{1a} \sim J_{1b} < 0$, $J_3 > 0$, $J'_3 > 0$, $J_2 > 0$, and $J'_3 > 0$. The strength of the couplings satisfies, $|J_{1a}| > J_2 > J_3, J'_3, J_{1a}$, which stabilizes the BAF phase.

In the BAF state, we can obtain the spin wave excitations as done previously, which is given by

$$H = H_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \psi_k \left( \begin{array}{ccc} A_k & B_k & A_k \end{array} \right) \psi_k,$$

where $H_0 = NJ_{1a} + NJ_{1b} - NJ'_3 - 2NJ_3 - N J'_3$ is the ground state energy and $\psi_k = \begin{array}{ccc} a_k & b_k & a_k \end{array}$. The spin wave dispersion relation as a function of $k_x$-$k_y$, in the commensurate phase $(0, \pi)$ CAF state for 2212*. Parameters chosen are $(J_1, J_3, J'_3) = (-1, 0.25, 0.25).$
FIG. 6: (Color online) The spin wave dispersion relation of the lowest three branches (the other one is too high to be drawn in the same plot) as a function of $k_x$ and $k_y$ in the BAF state for 123$^\ast$. The chosen parameters are fixed as $(J_{1a}, J_{1b}, J_2, J_3, J_0^3) = (-1.0, 0.1, -0.5, 0.3, 0.3)$.

$\begin{pmatrix} b_{1k}^\dagger, b_{1k}^\dagger, b_{3k}^\dagger, b_{3k}^\dagger, b_{1, -k}, b_{2, -k}, b_{3, -k}, b_{4, -k}, \end{pmatrix}$. $A_k$ and $B_k$ are four-by-four matrices, defined by:

$$A_k = \begin{pmatrix} E_0 & J_{1a} & J_2 & J_{1b} \\ . & E_0 & J_{1b} & J_2 \\ . & . & E_0 & J_{1a} \\ . & . & . & E_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4a)$$

$$B_k = \begin{pmatrix} E_0' & J_0' e^{-i k_x} & J_0' e^{-i k_y} \\ . & E_0' & J_0' e^{-i k_x} \\ . & . & E_0' \\ . & . & . & E_0' \end{pmatrix} \quad (4b)$$

where $E_0 = -J_{1a} - J_{1b} + J_0' + J_3^0 + 2J_3$ and $E_0' = 2J_3 \cos k_x$. The lower triangle elements are suppressed because both matrices are hermitian.

By diagonalizing this Hamiltonian Eq. 3 for each $k$ in the Bosonic metric, we obtain the spin wave dispersion shown in Fig. 6 by taking $J_{1a} = J_{1b} = -1, J_2 = 0.5, J_3 = J_3^0 = 0.3, J_{1a} = 0.1$. The spin wave has four branches which is very similar to the BAF state discussed for KFe$_2$Se$_2$\textsuperscript{22}.

**III. CONCLUSION**

In this paper, we have performed the first-principles calculations for the electronic band structures and magnetic orders in these iron selenide systems featured with quasi-1D Fe chain (ladder) structures including 2212$^\ast$ and 123$^\ast$. However, the calculated FS topologies still exhibit two dimensional or even three dimensional features.

For 2212$^\ast$, we find that the ground state is a CAF ordered semiconductor with an energy gap of 0.64eV, in agreement with the experimental measurements. For 123$^\ast$, the calculated results show that the ground state is a BAF ordered semiconductor with an energy gap of 0.24eV. These results suggest that all magnetic structures in iron selenide compounds can be unified into an extended $J_1$-$J_2$-$J_3$ model. We also calculate spin-wave excitations of the model which can be tested in future experiments on these two systems.
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