Sensing formaldehyde using graphene oxide as sensing material

Abstract

Nanomaterials have been widely used in analytical chemistry as chemical sensor and biosensor materials. Graphene, a kind of nanomaterial, has attracted attention increasingly since it was isolated in 2004 showing promising applications in scientific and technological fields owing to its novel properties such as electrical, thermal, and mechanical properties. Graphene and its derivatives including graphene oxide (GO) have attracted ever-increasing devotion in recent years as a novel class of 2D carbon-based nano materials with the promise of a range of applications. GO has large surface area, excellent conductivity, good chemical stability and easy fabrication; in combination makes GO the paramount materials in the fields of sensors. A graphene oxide (GO) based formaldehyde sensor to detect at room temperature was developed. Graphene oxide was synthesised by modified Hummers method. FT-IR spectra of the graphene oxide revealed these –OH and –COOH functional groups were formed on the graphene surface. XRD patterns also showed the formation of graphene oxide material. The p-type semiconductor sensing material of GO was performed and at room working temperature. It was tested as 10 to 50 ppm HCHO concentrations for GO, and the sensor response was raised from 2.11 to 6.98. Using Material Studio 4.3 software, the adsorption phenomena were explained to the HCHO sensing property.
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Introduction

Nanomaterials have been widely used in analytical chemistry as chemical sensor and biosensor materials.1 Graphene, a kind of nanomaterial, has attracted attention increasingly since it was isolated.2 It shows promising applications in scientific and technological fields owing to its novel properties such as electrical, thermal, and mechanical properties, graphene and its derivatives; including graphene oxide (GO), have attracted ever-increasing devotion in recent years as a novel class of 2-D carbon-based nanomaterials3 with the promise of a range of applications.4 The oxide of graphene (GO), usually exfoliated from graphite by a mild ultrasonic treatment and bearing lots of electro-active oxygen-containing functional groups and disorder on the basal planes and edges of graphene5-6 has been proved to possess overwhelming physical/chemical properties applications in material sciences,7 energy storage,8 catalysis,9 adsorption10 and electronic devices.11 GO has large surface area, excellent conductivity, good chemical stability and easy fabrication, in combination makes GO the paramount materials in the fields of sensors12-13 and electrocatalysis,14 which often exhibits electroanalytical performance as excellent or superior to carbon nanotubes.3 GO a material of recent interest towards sensors have created a lot of attention due to the fact that it paved the way for solution-based synthesis of graphene sheets and as sensors.15 Formaldehyde (HCHO) was first reported in 1859 by the Russian chemist Aleksandr Butlerov (1828–86) and was conclusively identified in 1869 by August Wilhelm von Hofmann. HCHO, is a known carcinogen found in pathology laboratories16 due to vapours of formalin solutions (40wt.% formaldehyde, 12.5wt.% methanol and 47.5wt.% water) used to preserve tissues,17 industrial chemical processes, and buildings as a result of condensation polymerization used for building materials, paint and carpets. HCHO is a colourless, strong-smelling gas. It’s most significant use in homes as an adhesive resin in pressed wood products.

In particular, HCHO is considered as a major cause of sick building source.17 But there are no continuous electronic sensors available for HCHO gas detection;18 the demand for accurate and devoted sensors to provide precise process control in manufacturing processes, and also to monitor and control environmental pollution, have accelerated the development of new sensing materials and sensor technology in the last decade. Formaldehyde is a naturally occurring organic compound with the formula CH₂O. It is the simplest aldehyde and is also known by its systematic name methanal. The common name of this substance comes from its similarity and relation to formic acid. The hazardous formaldehyde levels in air are mandated on a “long-term exposure” basis e.g., averaged over 1–2-week-period.19 Most indoor air pollution is caused by the sources within like storage of adhesives, carpeting, copy machines, manufactured wood products, cleaning agents and pesticides may emit volatile organic compounds (VOCs), including HCHO. Formaldehyde is an important precursor to many other materials and chemical compounds. Its widespread use, toxicity, and volatility, HCHO poses a significant danger to human health.18 The US National Toxicology Program (UNTP) in 2011 described formaldehyde as a human carcinogen.20 HCHO was declared as a toxic substance by the 1999 Canadian Environmental Protection Act.20 Formaldehyde is more complicated than many simple carbon compounds in that it adopts several different forms. As a gas, formaldehyde is colourless and has a characteristic pungent, irritating odour. Upon condensation, the gas converts to various other forms of formaldehyde (with different chemical formulas) that are of more practical value. One important derivative is the cyclic trimer metaformaldehyde or 1,3,5-trioxane with the formula (CH₂O)₃. There is also a linear polymer called paraformaldehyde. Parafomaldehyde is a common form of formaldehyde for industrial applications. When dissolved in water, formaldehyde also forms a hydrate, methanediol, with the formula H₂C(OH)₂. This compound also exists in equilibrium with various oligomers (short polymers),
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Depending on the concentration and temperature. Used heavily in Industrial applications, like disinfectant and biocide, tissue fixative and embalming agent, in drug testing and photography etc. Here in this article, we report the fabrication and characterization of sensors based on graphene oxide powder. Graphene oxide is a chemically modified graphene containing oxygen functional groups such as epoxides, alcohols, and carboxylic acids, and chemical analysis shows the carbon to oxygen ratio to be approximately three to one. Many semiconductor type materials that have been studied for HCHO sensing, include NiO, Au–ZnO/ZnSnO, and Ag–InO. Above materials are commonly used to detect HCHO gas at elevated temperatures (>200°C). A need is to create novel materials for HCHO sensor at lower working temperature for the reason of saving power and easily portable. Substantially, room temperature operable sensors were found rarely. Here we show this GO material readily detects formaldehyde (HCHO) in the parts-per-million (ppm) range at room temperature.

Experimental details

Materials

All the chemicals used in this study were of analytical reagent grade (AR) (purity>99%), purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co., Inc., USA unless otherwise mentioned. Graphite fine powder extra pure (104206), Molar mass 12.01g/mol (CAS number:7782-42-5) with a particle size (<50μm) ≥99.5% was obtained from Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany. All the chemicals were used as received. Water was distilled and de-ionized (DI) using a Milli-Q water purification system (Millipore Corp.).

Preparation of sensing material

GO was synthesised by oxidation of graphite powder using the modified Hummer’s method which results in a brownish colloidal suspension. The expandable graphite powders (2g) were stirred in 98% Conc. H₂SO₄ (35ml) for 2h. KMnO₄ (6g) was gradually added to the above solution while keeping the temperature less than 20°C. The mixture was then stirred at 35°C for 2h. The resulting solution was diluted by adding 90ml of water under constant stirring and a dark brown suspension was obtained. The suspension was further treated by adding 30% H₂O₂ solution (10ml) and 100 ml of distilled water. The resulting graphite oxide suspension was washed by repeated centrifugation, first with 5% HCl aqueous solution for several times and then with distilled water until the pH of the solution becomes neutral. The GO material was obtained by adding 180 ml of water to the resulting precipitate and thoroughly sonicated to attain a uniform suspension of GO.

Sensor head fabrication and sensor response to HCHO gas

Optimised sensing material (GO) was made into a paste by mixing 0.1g with an appropriate organic binder. Then, this mixture was spread on Al₂O₃ substrate (4.0mm×2.5mm) with interdigitated comb-like gold electrodes and calcined in air at 300°C. The temperature of the sensor device was controlled at 25±3°C, and the relative humidity was 60±15 RH%. The sensor system comprises an HCHO sensing chamber and associated with a static system was set up in Figure 1A. Based on the calculation of ideal gas equation, the concentration of HCHO gas can be determined by injection appropriate amount of liquid HCHO solution in liquid sample inlet. A heater was used to heat the HCHO sample into vapour and the vapour mixed in the system by a fan. The system can create a set of concentrations in the range of 10 to 50ppm. The sensor response (S) was defined as S=R_HCHO/R_Air and the temperature of the sensor device was controlled at 25±3°C. Sensor signals from the sensor head were converted to resistance using a simple circuit in circuit board via a computer for data acquisition and processing.

Results and discussion

Instrumental techniques (UV/Vis, FT-IR, XRD) and theoretical analysis

The obtained GO sensing sample was characterised by using UV/Vis spectroscopy. The UV/Vis spectrometer used here was SINCQ, S-2100 series. Light sources for the UV-Vis were deuterium and tungsten, halogen lamps, respectively, and the wavelengths scanned between 200 to 800nm. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra of the graphene oxide were done with Alpha-T FT-IR (Bruker Optics, Germany) equipped with a deuterated L-alanine doped triglycene sulphate (DLATGS) detector. Transparent film material was measured directly over ZnSe ATR without any sample preparation. The spectrum was collected with 64 scans at room temperature and at a resolution of 4cm⁻¹. The sensing materials were characterised by X-ray diffractometer (XRD); Shimadzu XRD 6000; X-ray tube-Cu (1.54060Å). Various samples were identified by 2° angles, and the particle planes were estimated (XRD voltage:40.0keV and current:30.0mA). The scanning 2° range was between 10°~70°, at a scanning rate of 4°/min. A series of molecular dynamics/simulations calculated the bonding energy for HCHO molecule adsorption into the graphene oxide surface using Material Studio software, Version 4.3 (Accelrys Software Inc., USA, http://www.accelrys.com/products/mstUDIO). By applying the discovery method of the software, the parameters were chosen to minimise the system energy, and the force field was chosen as the compass item. The calculated energy could be estimated, and the adsorption and desorption interaction phenomena of HCHO molecule and GO could, therefore, be obtained.

Discussion

UV, XRD and FT-IR characterization of GO

Figure 1B shows that UV/Visible absorption spectrum of graphene oxide sample prepared using Hummers method. A peak at 232nm, which is due to π to π* transition of the functional groups C=O and C=C of GO, this experimental spectra data is matched with published
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Sensing curve of HCHO gas and short term repeatability

Figure 2A shows the response curve of the sensing material graphene oxide at room temperature (25±3°C) exposed to 50ppm formaldehyde at room temperature. The output resistance signal depicts the drop from the resistance $R_{\text{Air}}$ to the equilibrium value $R_{\text{HCHO}}$ that occurs when the formaldehyde gas enters the test chamber and its purging brings back to the background value indicating the absence of alcohol. Response time $t_{90}$ (time needed to obtain a result equal to 90% of the equilibrium signal) and the recovery time $t_{R}$ (time needed to bring the signal back to 90% of the HCHO background signal) both were 398s and 587s. The sensor response for 50 ppm of HCHO was 6.98. A decrease in the output resistance when the formaldehyde contacts the graphene oxide surface implies a decrease in sensor resistance, indicating that the graphene oxide is $p$-type semiconductor formaldehyde sensor. Table 1 gives the sensing properties/data of graphene oxide to 50ppm formaldehyde. Graphene oxide sensors also had sensor responses ($S=R_{\text{HCHO}}/R_{\text{Air}}=6.98$), middle response times ($t_{90}=398s$) and recovery times ($t_{R}=587s$). Figure 2B presents the series response curves versus time of graphene oxide to 50 ppm HCHO. It showed the three peaks are quite the same, so the short-term stability of GO to detect HCHO is good. But the baseline of the curve was increasing with additional time; it could be some force between the HCHO and GO surface.

Sensing properties Vs various HCHO concentrations

Figure 2C shows sensor responses versus 10 to 50ppm formaldehyde concentration of graphene oxide. Obviously, sensor response increased with increasing formaldehyde concentration. The responses of the sensor were 2.11 and 6.98 ($S=R_{\text{HCHO}}/R_{\text{Air}}$) to the concentrations of 10 and 50ppm, respectively. Table 1 revealed that the response and recovery times were decreasing by increasing the
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formaldehyde concentration from 10 to 50 ppm in graphene oxide. It showed on 10 ppm formaldehyde the response and recovery times were 742 and 1200s, respectively, and to 50 ppm formaldehyde, the response and recovery times were decreasing as 398 and 587s, respectively.

Figure 2B Response curves of various samples of GO towards 50ppm HCHO.

Simulation of HCHO adsorption on GO surface

We assume the sensing behaviour is related to the reversible adsorption and desorption process between HCHO molecules and GO vacancy surface site (\(\ast\)), as described in Equation 1.

\[
\text{HCHO} + \ast \leftrightarrow \text{HCHO} - \ast
\]

(1)

From the analysis of UV/Vis, XRD and FT-IR spectra in Section 3.1, the existence functional groups of –OH and –COOH on GO surface in the simulation model. By using the computation MS 4.3 adsorption method, the energy of the formaldehyde molecule for graphene oxide material surface adsorption was measured as an exothermic reaction. Figure 2D revealed the side view of formaldehyde adsorption on graphene oxide, and the formaldehyde adsorption number is 10. The adsorption bond lengths of O-H (O atom is from HCHO and H atom is from graphene oxide) were calculated as 2.91×10^{-1} to 2.98×10^{-2} cm from Figure 2D. The bond length belongs to the normal hydrogen bond. In Figure 2E revealed that one formaldehyde molecule of adsorption heat was –76.4 kcal/mol, and this value was close to the chemical adsorption (~40 kcal/mol). Figure 2E, the molecular adsorption exothermic value (~kcal/mol) fell while the molecular number of the formaldehyde molecule rose. Therefore, some of the HCHO molecules are irreversibly adsorbed on the GO surface and the background curve with an increase in time (Figure 2B). When the formaldehyde adsorption number was increased to 10, the molecular adsorption exothermic value was fallen to the value as ~10.1 kcal/mol. This value was close to the normal hydrogen bond (~10 kcal/mol). When the formaldehyde adsorption number was increased to 100, the adsorption energy of the normal hydrogen bond (~4 kcal/mol) was larger than Van der Waals force (~1 kcal/mol). However, under the actual state, many HCHO molecules adsorption and the adsorptive capacity is far bigger than 26. Therefore, under the actual state, the formaldehyde molecule was close to weak hydrogen bond adsorption on the material surface. Therefore, the middle response times (\(t_{90} = 398\)) and recovery times (\(t_R = 587\)) appeared in the sensing curve. Above simulation results can explain the sensing data of section 3.3. For 10ppm HCHO gas input, the response and recovery times were 742 and 1200s, respectively. Increasing formaldehyde concentration to 50ppm, the response and recovery times were decreasing as 398 and 587s, respectively (Table 1). While HCHO concentration is increased, response and recovery times are decreased. The reason is if the HCHO concentration is low, most of the HCHO molecules with strong chemical adsorption or normal hydrogen bond adsorption. So the HCHO molecule is not easily desorbed and adsorbed, therefore the response and recovery time could be larger in low concentration HCHO.

Figure 2C Sensor responses Vs 10 to 50ppm HCHO concentration with GO.

Figure 2D Side view of HCHO adsorption on GO and the HCHO adsorption number is 10. White ball represents ‘H\(_2\)’ atom; black ball represents ‘C’ atom; red ball represents ‘O’ atom.

Figure 2E HCHO adsorption energy Vs adsorption number of HCHO on GO surface.
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**Table 1** Sensor response, $t_{100}$ (s) and $t_4$ (s) values are 10 to 50 ppm HCHO concentration of GO

| HCHO concentration (ppm) | $t_{100}$ (s) | $t_4$ (s) | Sensor response ($R_{HCHO}$/$R_{Au}$) |
|--------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------------------------------|
| 10                       | 742          | 1200     | 2.11                                 |
| 20                       | 720          | 1197     | 2.12                                 |
| 30                       | 707          | 1040     | 2.51                                 |
| 40                       | 590          | 909      | 3.14                                 |
| 50                       | 398          | 587      | 6.98                                 |

**Conclusion**

The present investigation is a useful contribution in the field of vapour sensors. In this study, promising, meaningful findings and interesting results were found with regard to the GO as a sensor for the detection of volatile organic compounds, particularly, Formaldehyde. Typically at the room, working temperature, the gas sensing results showed that the sensor had promising potential for formaldehyde. Typically at the room, working temperature, the recovery time $t_4$ (time needed to bring the signal back to 90% of the background signal) both were 393s and 584s. The responses of the sensor were 2.11 and 6.98 ($S=R_{HCHO}/R_{Au}$) to the concentrations of 10 and 50ppm, respectively. Nevertheless, there is a scope for additional progress and development for further avenues and steps ahead.

1. Using GO as such or experimenting with Graphene in studying the behaviour of structural and electrical properties in the presence of vapours can help in revealing the sensing process and mechanism so as to develop a definite model predicting the performance.
2. Fabrication of a robust sensor needs to be taken up.
3. Development of an intelligent sensor for ultra-trace levels by an integrating a number of sensors in arrays is an exciting field for extension of present research and efforts were in that direction in our laboratory.
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