Multiannual soil mulching in agriculture: analysis of biogeochemical soil processes under plastic and straw mulches in a 3-year field study in strawberry cultivation
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Abstract

Purpose The application of plastic mulching differs globally as well as climate, soils, crops, and agricultural practices, making it difficult to generalize the reported impacts on soil. Because literature is scarce about the influence of plastic mulching on soil under temperate, humid climate, the objective of this study was to understand how multiannual plastic mulching influences central soil parameters and processes under Central European cultivation conditions to evaluate its impact on soil quality in the long term.

Materials and methods Central soil parameters and processes like leaching, aggregation, soil organic matter (SOM), and microbial biomass were investigated in a strawberry cultivation in Southwestern Germany. The field experiment compared a plastic-covered ridge–furrow system with subsurface drip irrigation (PC) to the same system with straw coverage (SC) in three soil layers (0–10, 10–30, and 30–60 cm) at seven dates within a 3-year period. Soil analyses comprised soil temperature and moisture, pH, bulk density, water-stable aggregates, soil organic carbon, dissolved organic carbon, and microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen.

Results Rainfall infiltration impeded by PC reduces soil moisture but neither reduces leaching nor promotes (macro-)aggregate formation or stability; however, it maintains a loose and friable soil structure in surface soil (0–5 cm), compared to SC. PC promotes SOM accumulation and shifted SOM composition to a more hardly degradable SOM, especially below the topsoil (10–60 cm). Furthermore, PC revealed no indications of an increased microbial biomass or activity accompanied with an enhanced SOM decomposition due to the shifted microclimate. The seasonal, time- and depth-dependent effects, observed in some parameters, emphasize the importance to include them in future studies for a more holistic process understanding.

Conclusion Our study showed no indications that multiannual plastic mulching influences soil quality within the 3 years of this study. Further research is advisable to support our findings on a larger scale and longer time periods and across various soil and crop types.
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**1 Introduction**

During the past decades, plastic mulching has become a worldwide practice, and further expansion is forecasted for the next years (Sintim and Flury 2017; Transparency Market Research 2020). Plastic mulching is applied for a variety of purposes; all aim to improve growth and harvest conditions and thus agronomic productivity (reviewed in Gan et al. 2013; Steinmetz et al. 2016). Different materials are used to produce plastic mulches, which are applied in various thicknesses, mulching arrangements, and periods (Kasirajan and Ngouajio 2012; Liu et al. 2014; Zhao et al. 2018) to various crops, soil types, and climatic conditions. Application and purposes of plastic mulching can differ globally: In China, with 20 million ha of plastic-covered farmland and globally the largest user of plastic mulches (Liu et al. 2014; Mordor Intelligence 2020), mainly film thicknesses < 0.008 mm are used, whereas in Europe and the USA often film thicknesses ≥ 0.020 mm are used (Liu et al. 2014; Ingman et al. 2015). In China, plastic mulching is mainly used to improve water use efficiency to ensure agronomic productivity under water-scarce conditions, as large parts of the farmland are located in arid and semiarid regions (Deng et al. 2006; Han et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2018; Gao et al. 2019). Conversely, in Europe, plastic mulching is mainly used to improve product quality and to promote earliness or extend harvest periods (Scarascia-Mugnozza et al. 2011; Neri et al. 2012) and is hence often used for premium and seasonal products, such as strawberries, asparagus, and other vegetables (Scarascia-Mugnozza et al. 2011; Steinmetz et al. 2016).

Most current research and scientific literature on plastic mulching and its impacts on soil is based in China, whereas in Europe, scientific literature and research is scarce on this topic, despite of its increasing application (Mordor Intelligence 2020). Since application of plastic mulching can differ globally, as exemplarily described above, as well as climate, soils, crops, and agricultural practices (Farmer et al. 2017; Ma et al. 2018), it is difficult to extrapolate the reported impacts on soil to European cultivation condition. Furthermore, Steinmetz et al. (2016) pointed out that most recent studies focused on individual effects of plastic mulches, particularly on their short-term agronomic benefits, whereas a substantial process understanding of its impact on key soil parameters and processes is still missing but necessary to evaluate the impact of plastic mulching on soil quality in the long term. Additionally, less literature is available on the influence of plastic mulching on soil below the topsoil layer (0–10 cm) and over the temporal course of a multiannual application, typical in, e.g., strawberry and asparagus cultivation (Steinmetz et al. 2016).

A common plastic mulching application, e.g., in strawberry cultivation, is to cover the ridges of a ridge–furrow system with a black plastic film (mostly black polyethylene), often combined with subsurface drip irrigation (Neri et al. 2012; Poling 2016). The optical properties and the impermeability (physical barrier) of the plastic film influence heat transfer and impede gas and mass exchange between soil surface and surroundings (Ham et al. 1993; Ham and Kluitenberg 1994; Khan et al. 2000). This increases soil temperature; reduces evaporation, rainfall infiltration, and entry of aboveground biomass; suppresses weed growth; and decreases soil erosion by wind and water (reviewed in Gan et al. 2013; Steinmetz et al. 2016). This in turn can impact on biogeochemical soil processes such as aggregation, leaching, soil organic matter (SOM) decomposition, and microbial biomass growth and activity.

The objective of this study was to provide information on the influence of multiannual plastic mulching application on biogeochemical soil processes, governing SOM quality, soil structure, and microbial biomass, under humid Central European cultivation conditions to better estimate the plastic mulching impact on soil quality.

Rainfall increases soil moisture and can induce seepage water flows after infiltration, which can increase nutrient leaching (Cameron et al. 2013). Furthermore, rainfalls can cause aggregate slaking and dispersion at the soil surface, resulting in crusted soil with a higher density and erodibility and lower aeration (Bronick and Lal 2005; Bing So 2006). Consequently, we hypothesized the following: (1) The water-impermeable PC reduces leaching due to impeded rainfall infiltration and hence impeded seepage water flow during rainfall events. (2) PC maintains a loose and friable soil structure in the topsoil, because PC prevents aggregate slaking and dispersion on the soil surface during rainfall and thus crust formation, soil silting, and compaction.

Soil temperature and moisture influence the shoot and root growth of plants (Kumar and Dey 2011; Gan et al. 2013) and growth and activity of microorganisms (Coûteaux et al. 1995; Pietikäinen et al. 2005). Microbial biomass, SOM, roots, and soil moisture influence aggregate formation and stability (Bronick and Lal 2005). Thus, the third hypothesis was as follows: (3) The elevated soil temperature and moisture under PC promotes root and microbial biomass growth and leads to increasing macroaggregate formation and stability. Plant growth affects SOM inputs into soil (Grego and Lagomasino 2008; Jackson et al. 2017), whereas microbial biomass and activity correlate positively with SOM decomposition (von Lützow and Kögel-Knabner 2009; Stockmann et al. 2013). SOM input and decomposition govern the quantity and composition of SOM (von Lützow et al. 2006). Derived from this, we hypothesized

| MBN | Microbial biomass nitrogen |
| DOC | Dissolved organic carbon |
that (4) PC reduces SOM due to an impeded entry of above-ground biomass into soil and enhanced SOM decomposition, induced by the larger microbial biomass under PC. (5) Furthermore, PC reduces the fresh and fast-mineralizable SOM and thus shifts SOM composition towards an older, hardly degradable SOM.

In order to test this hypotheses, the respective soil processes were investigated in a plastic-covered ridge–furrow system with subsurface drip irrigation (PC) in comparison to the same system with straw coverage (SC) in three soil layers (0–10, 10–30, and 30–60 cm) at seven dates within a 3-year period of strawberry cultivation in Southwestern Germany. With this experiment design, an additional focus was set on how the plastic coverage influences soil in a temporal course and in two soil layers below the topsoil (0–10 cm).

2 Material and methods

2.1 Site description and field establishment

The sampling site was a commercial strawberry field in Southwestern Germany (49° 11′ N, 8° 10′ E, 130 m asl), located in a temperate, humid climate with an annual average rainfall of 643 mm year\(^{-1}\) (weather station of Landau-Wollmesheim, Agrarmeteorologie Rheinland-Pfalz). According to FAO soil classification, the soil type was classified as a silt loam (Anthrosol) (IUSS Working Group WRB 2015) and the soil texture consisted of 7 ± 2% sand, 83 ± 5% silt, and 10 ± 3% clay in the 0–60-cm soil layer. The sampling field was cultivated with winter wheat in the previous season and had neither been cultivated with strawberries nor been mulched with plastic or straw in recent years. After tillage and fertilization (MALTaflor® and NPK (Mg) fertilizer), a raster sampling was conducted on the field (May 2016), which identified no significant gradients or inhomogeneities of soil properties that may interfere with the experiment design. A ridge–furrow system was established in the field (late June 2016) with subsurface drip irrigation and black plastic-covered ridges (polyethylene, 50 µm) and bare furrows. Strawberries \(\textit{Fragaria} \times \textit{ananassa}, \ ‘\textit{Malwina}’\) were planted as bare-root plants in mid-July 2016 at the ridges in double rows with a 40-cm distance between plants (8 plants per m\(^2\)). A straw coverage (wheat straw) was applied to the furrows in April 2017 and was renewed every year. Further information about the sampling site can be found in Meyer et al. (2020).

2.2 Experimental design and soil sampling

In short, a semi-controlled field experiment was designed that reflected current agricultural practice while enabling us to study soil processes in a homogeneous soil type and avoiding masking of treatment effects by landscape variation and edge effects. Two treatment areas were chosen (21 × 10 m), respectively, one with plastic-covered and one with straw-covered ridges (PC and SC), in which respectively five sampling plots (10 × 1.5 m) were randomly chosen for soil sampling: PC \((n = 5)\) and SC \((n = 5)\). To establish the SC treatment area, the plastic film was manually removed from the ridges immediately after field setup (July 2016). As usual in strawberry cultivation, the ridges were covered with wheat straw before the first harvest (April 2017) and the straw cover was yearly renewed.

Ten soil samplings were conducted during a 3-year period of strawberry cultivation from 2016 to 2019 (SI Fig. 1): During the establishment period of strawberries, three samplings in 2-month intervals were conducted after strawberry plantation from late July to late November in 2016 (T0–T2) to identify a potential short-term impact of PC on soil parameters and processes after field setup and strawberry plantation (results described in Meyer et al. (2020)). The results of T0 (25 July 2016) and T1 (26 September 2016) are presented here again to describe the initial field conditions and to draw conclusions over the full 3-year investigation period. For the remaining investigation period, five samplings were conducted in larger time intervals (≥ 6 months) on 25 April 2017 (T3), 9 October 2017 (T6), 3 May 2018 (T7), 11 October 2018 (T8), and 23 July 2019 (T9). In order to give a complete overview over the samplings conducted in the 3-year field study, two further samplings have to be mentioned here: They were conducted on 19 June (T4) and 18 July (T5) in 2017 after fungicide application to estimate the influence of both coverage types (plastic vs. straw) on fungicide residues in soil and their impact on mycotoxin occurrence, microbial biomass, and SOM decomposition (results described in Meyer et al. (2021)). Besides coverage type, the agricultural practice was identical in both treatments: Subsurface drip irrigation (three emitters per meter) was applied from March until September each year depending on weather conditions for 3–4 h a day (7–11 L water per meter). The field was weekly fertilized with a mineral fertilizer (15 kg N, 5 kg P, 30 kg K, 2 kg Mg) via drip irrigation during an 8-week period from March to May each year. The fungicides Switch® (37.5% cyprodinil and 25% fludioxonil) and Teldor® (50% fenhexamid) were yearly applied during strawberry bloom with an application rate of 1 and 2 kg ha\(^{-1}\), respectively.

Composite soil samples (five single cores) were taken in the ridges of each sampling plot in the surface, root and subsoil layer (0–10, 10–30, and 30–60 cm) at each sampling date. Soil samples were collected with stainless steel soil sampling rings (0–10 cm) and a boring rod (> 10 cm). Further information about experimental design and soil sampling can be found in Meyer et al. (2020).
Besides the pore size distribution, the same soil parameters were determined in this study as in Meyer et al. (2020) with identical methods. Therefore, these methods are subsequently only briefly outlined.

### 2.3 Soil temperature and moisture

A field measuring station (ecoTech®, Bonn, Germany) recorded hourly soil temperature and moisture in both treatment areas at the 5-, 15-, and 35-cm soil depths, according to the investigated soil layers in the field experiment. Air temperature and precipitation data were received from the weather station of Landau-Wollmesheim (Agrarmeteorologie, Rheinland-Pfalz). The differences in soil temperature and moisture between SC and PC were calculated for each soil depth based on daily means. The data were smoothed by calculating for each day a 60-day mean (30 days before and after this day).

### 2.4 Soil physicochemical parameters

The cation-exchange capacity (CEC) was determined at the first (T0) and last sampling (T9), according to DIN ISO 11,260:1997–05. In short, field-fresh soil was threefold extracted with 0.1 M BaCl₂ solution and subsequently with 0.02 M MgSO₄ solution. Both extracts were analyzed with inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (Agilent 720 Series, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Karlsruhe, Germany). Soil pH in 0.01 M CaCl₂ solution and electrical conductivity in deionized water were determined with air-dried soil, based on DIN EN 15,933:2012–11 and DIN CEN/TS 15,937:2013–08, respectively. Total N was measured in milled, oven-dried soil with a CHNS analyzer (vario MicroCUBE, Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Langenselbold, Germany).

### 2.5 Soil structure indicators

Bulk density, pore size distribution, and water-stable aggregates are interconnected soil structure parameters, which can be used to assess the influence of agricultural practices on soil structural stability, aeration, water-holding capacity, and water movement (Bronick and Lal 2005; Lal 2006). The determination of the bulk density and the pore size distribution was restricted to the 0–5-cm soil layer, since the impact of coverage type was expected mainly in the surface soil. The dry bulk density was determined gravimetrically, according to DIN ISO 11,272:2014–06. The pore size distribution was determined with the method described in Meyer et al. (2018). In brief, soil cores were sampled with plastic rings (d = 3.64 cm, h = 5 cm) in triplicates at one sampling plot in both treatment areas, respectively. Samples were completely saturated with water, and subsequently, a matric potential of −0.3 kPa was adjusted with a sandbox (08.01 sandbox, Eijkelkamp, Zeitz, Germany). Samples were weighted at matric potential of −0.3 kPa and subsequently measured with proton nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H-NMR) relaxometry, using a Bruker Minispec MQ, version 2.2 (Bruker BioSpin, Rheinstetten, Germany). After ¹H-NMR measurement, a replicate was centrifuged for 20 min at 2000 g with a Universal 320 centrifuge (Hettich Lab Technology, Tuttlingen, Germany) to remove bulk solution, using centrifuge tubes with 2-µm filter inserts. The bulk solution was afterwards measured with ¹H-NMR relaxometry to receive the bulk relaxation time. Two replicates were dried at 105 °C to determine the gravimetical water content at matric potential −0.3 kPa. The ¹H-NMR device measured the transverse relaxation time with a Carr–Purcell–Meiboom–Gill pulse sequence at a magnetic field strength of 0.176 T and the following instrument settings: 256 scans were conducted and the echo time was set to 300 µs. Gain was adjusted to 80–90% signal intensity. The repetition time and the number of 180° pulses were set individually such that complete magnetization decay of the prior measurement was enabled. The Butler, Reeds, and Dawson algorithm (Butler et al. 1981) was used in MATLAB 7.7.0 (R2008b) to convert ¹H-NMR data into relaxation time distributions. Each relaxation time constant of the relaxation time distributions was bulk-corrected with the bulk relaxation time and subsequently transformed with the “common calibration curve” into pore sizes. The amplitudes associated with the relaxation time constants were transformed with the gravimetric water content of a sample into pore volume (the sum of all amplitudes is equal to the gravimetric water content of a sample). The volumes of the macropore, medium pore, and micropore domains (>10, 0.2–10, and <0.2 µm) were calculated from the pore size distributions (Blume et al. 2016) by cumulating the volumes of the single pore sizes belonging to the pore range of the respective pore domains. The water-stable aggregates (soil aggregates >0.2 mm) of the 1–2-mm aggregate fraction was determined by the wet-sieving procedure (Buchmann et al. 2015). The 1–2-mm aggregate fraction was separated from air-dried, sieved (<2-mm) soil samples with a 1-mm sieve, and its weight percent of the total soil fraction was determined.

### 2.6 Characterization of SOM

We used the soil organic carbon (SOC) to quantify SOM and the C:N ratio as an indicator for degradability and transformation velocity of SOM (Kindler et al. 2011). Soil microbial carbon (MBC) and nitrogen (MBN) were used to quantify soil microbial biomass. The MBC:MBN
ratio was used to estimate the microbial community composition (Moore et al. 2000). Because of their rapid turnover rates, MBC and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) can be used as indicators for changes in SOM due to management practices (Haynes 2005). In order to identify potential changes in different SOM pools, the SOM was separated depending on density into free, aggregate-occluded, and mineral-associated SOM fraction. According to their turnover rates, these SOM fractions are associated with the active, slow (or intermediate), and passive (or inert) SOM pool, respectively (von Lützow et al. 2007).

![Diagram](image)

**Fig. 1** Soil temperature and moisture of the 3-year field experiment in strawberry cultivation (*Fragaria×ananassa*, ‘Malwina’). **a** Smoothened soil temperature differences, based on daily means, between plastic coverage (PC) and straw coverage (SC) measured at 5-, 15-, and 35-cm soil depths and daily mean air temperature measured 2 m above ground. **b** Smoothened soil moisture differences, based on daily means, between plastic coverage (PC) and straw coverage (SC) measured at 5-, 15-, and 35-cm soil depths and daily precipitation.
2.6.1 Analysis of SOC and DOC

The SOC was measured in accordance with Harris et al. (2001). After carbonate removal with HCl fumigation, the oven-dried soil samples were analyzed for carbon content with a CHNS analyzer (vario MicroCUBE, Elemental Analysensysteme GmbH, Langenselbold, Germany). In accordance with DIN EN 1484:1997–05, the DOC was measured in filtrated soil extracts (0.45 µm) from field-fresh soil with a TOC analyzer (multiNC 2011S, Analytik Jena AG, Jena, Germany).

2.6.2 Separation of SOM into free, aggregate-occluded, and mineral-associated SOM fractions by density fractionation

The density fractionation was conducted through an adapted method, principally based on the methods described by Cerli et al. (2012) and Ontl et al. (2015): In brief, air-dried, sieved soil (2 mm) was carefully extracted with sodium polytungstate solution (1.6 g cm⁻³). The centrifuged supernatant was filtered (20 µm), and filter residues were quantified gravimetrically (free SOM) after cleaning (deionized water) and drying (60 °C). In a second step, soil was re-suspended with sodium polytungstate solution and treated ultrasonically (350 J mL⁻¹) for aggregate disruption. Again, the centrifuged supernatant was filtered (20 µm) and filter residues were quantified gravimetrically (aggregate-occluded SOM). The mineral-associated SOM fraction was obtained by subtracting free and aggregate-occluded SOM from the total SOM. Total SOM was obtained by multiplying the SOC values by a factor of 2 (Blume et al. 2016).

2.6.3 Analysis of MBC and MBN

The MBC and MBN were determined by the chloroform-fumigation method (Vance et al. 1987; Blume 2000): Respectively, a chloroform-fumigated and a non-fumigated sample of field-fresh soil were extracted with 0.5 M K₂SO₄ solution. The filtered soil extracts were analyzed for carbon content with a TOC analyzer (multiNC 2011S, Analytik Jena AG, Jena, Germany) and for ninhydrin-reactive nitrogen (Joergensen and Brookes 1990) with a photometer (Specord50, Analytik Jena GmbH, Jena, Germany).

2.7 Statistical analyses

The correlation of two variables was estimated with Pearson’s correlation coefficient or Spearman’s rho if data were not normally distributed. The normality distribution of data was examined graphically with histograms and quantile–quantile plots. Mixed factorial ANOVAs with coverage time and soil depth as repeated factors and treatment as fixed factor were applied to determine significant differences between means. If significant interaction effects were determined, additional ANOVAs, with least significance distance testing as post hoc test, were applied to locate significant differences. Variance homogeneity was confirmed with Levene’s test. Differences were reported as statistically significant if the probability of error was <0.05. All statistical analyses were done with IBM SPSS Statistics 25.

3 Results

3.1 Soil temperature and moisture

Soil temperature differences between PC and SC (Fig. 1a, SI Fig. 2) showed that soil temperature was higher under PC during the 3-year sampling period. Maxima of up to 2 °C higher soil temperature under PC were yearly found in spring (March–June) and additionally in late summer and autumn (August–October) in 2016 and 2018. During these periods, soil depth had a clear effect on soil temperature difference (differences of >0.5 °C between soil depths), which decreased with increasing soil depth. No or only small differences (<0.2 °C) were observed between soil depths in the remaining autumn and winter periods. The hourly recorded data revealed up to 6.5 °C higher soil temperatures under PC than under SC at 5-cm soil depth during midday on sunny days in the summer season (data not shown).

The differences in soil moisture between PC and SC (Fig. 1b, SI Fig. 3) demonstrated that soil moisture under SC was about 5–10 and 2–5% higher at the 5- and 35-cm soil depths, respectively (with maxima of >10% in winter 2018 and 2019 at 5 cm and of ≥5% in summer and autumn at 35-cm depth). In contrast, the 15-cm soil depth showed partly higher soil moisture under PC (mainly from June 2017 to September 2018) and, generally, the smallest differences in soil moisture between treatments (mostly <2%). The 20 largest daily rainfall events during the sampling period (15.1 to 39.8 mm) showed that the increase in soil moisture after rainfalls was clearly lower and mostly delayed at 5-cm soil depth under PC in comparison to SC (SI Fig. 4a–p). In contrast, soil moisture increases were greater after rainfalls under PC than under SC at the 35-cm depth and partly at the 15-cm soil depth. Generally, the increase in soil moisture after rainfalls decreased and was temporally delayed with increasing
soil depth under SC, whereas under PC, the lowest and most delayed increase in soil moisture was observed at 5-cm soil depth.

### 3.2 Physicochemical soil parameters

The CEC (Table 1) was between 97 and 107 cmol kg\(^{-1}\) at T0 and increased in all soil layers under SC (7–18 cmol kg\(^{-1}\)) and PC (6–12 cmol kg\(^{-1}\)) during the sampling period (\(p < 0.001\)).

The pH, electrical conductivity, and total N (Fig. 2a–c) showed a similar pattern in their temporal fluctuations during the sampling period. The pH was around 7.8 in all soil layer under both treatments at T0 and decreased to T7 by \(\sim 1.3\) units (\(p < 0.001\)) and increased to T9 by \(\sim 0.9\) units (\(p < 0.001\)). Similar to pH, the electrical conductivity was between 160 and 234 µS cm\(^{-1}\) at T0 and strongly declined by 79–168 µS cm\(^{-1}\) in all soil layers to T3 (\(p < 0.001\)), remained between 50 and 90 µS cm\(^{-1}\) from T3 to T8, and increased again by 29–52 µS cm\(^{-1}\) to T9 (\(p < 0.001\)). The total N was between 0.07 and 0.14% from T0 to T6 and showed a strong increase between T7 and T8 (\(p < 0.001\)) followed by a decrease to T9 (\(p < 0.001\)). This effect was stronger under PC (\(\sim 0.03\%\)) than under
SC (~0.01%), such that total N was higher under PC at T8 (10–30-cm soil layer, \( p < 0.001 \)) and lower at T9 (0–10-cm soil layer, \( p = 0.015 \)) compared to SC. Mostly small differences and no clear trends were observed between treatments and soil layers for pH (<0.15 units), electrical conductivity (<15 µS cm\(^{-1}\)), and total N (<0.005%). Only total N in the 30–60-cm soil layer of both treatments was on average 0.04% lower than in both upper layers \( (p < 0.001) \).

### 3.3 Soil structural indicators

The bulk density (Fig. 3a) was comparable in both treatments from T0 to T3 (1.17–1.23 g cm\(^{-3}\)) but increased under SC to 1.33 g cm\(^{-3}\) at T7 \( (p = 0.037) \) and was 0.08–0.12 g cm\(^{-3}\) higher under SC than under PC from T6 to T9 (T6, \( p = 0.016 \)). The bulk density under PC did not change significantly during the experiment, but as a trend, a slight decrease was revealed from T0 (1.23 g cm\(^{-3}\)) to T9 (1.14 g cm\(^{-3}\)).

Treatment-related differences in the pore volumes (Fig. 3b, SI Fig. 5) in the 0–5-cm soil layer increased with increasing pore size and time from T0 to T8. Starting with comparable values at T0, the PC maintained a 0.04–0.12 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\) larger macropore volume from T6 to T9 (T8, \( p = 0.001 \)) and a 0.02–0.03 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\) larger medium pore volume at T6 and T8 (T8, \( p = 0.038 \)) compared to SC, whereas the micropore volume remained unaffected. During the sampling period, the macropore volumes declined by 0.04 and 0.1 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\) under PC and SC, respectively \( (p < 0.001) \), whereas the medium pore volumes decreased by 0.02 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\) in both treatments \( (p = 0.011) \). The results of T6 were excluded from statistical analyses and should be carefully interpreted as three samples have to be discarded due to accidentally captured earthworms, which destroyed the samples during water saturation.

The weight percentage of the 1–2-mm aggregate fraction in soil (SI Fig. 6) showed no clear differences between treatments or soil layers but decreased from 47 to 58% at T0 to 22–32% at T7 \( (p < 0.001) \) and increased strongly to 71–80% at T8 \( (p < 0.001) \). The water-stable aggregates (Fig. 4) showed large seasonal fluctuations (up to 30%), which occurred simultaneously but with different extents in all soil layers of both treatments from T0 to T7. A seasonal pattern was observed (exception T8) with a low water-stable aggregate fraction in spring (22–32%), an intermediate water-stable aggregate fraction in summer (28–41%), and a high water-stable aggregate fraction in autumn (39–52%). The effects of treatment and soil layers were mostly <10% and hardly interpretable because of the large standard errors. However, as a trend, the water-stable aggregate fractions under PC were larger at T3 and T6 and smaller at T1 and T7 compared to SC. The largest water-stable aggregate fractions were (mostly) found in the 10–30-cm soil layer of both treatments. The

| Sampling | Soil layer | PC CEC (cmol kg\(^{-1}\)) | SC CEC (cmol kg\(^{-1}\)) |
|----------|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| **T0 (July 2016)** | 0–10 cm | 106 ± 2 | 97 ± 1 |
| | 10–30 cm | 106 ± 2 | 102 ± 3 |
| | 30–60 cm | 107 ± 2 | 103 ± 1 |
| **T9 (July 2019)** | 0–10 cm | 114 ± 5 | 115 ± 2 |
| | 10–30 cm | 118 ± 1 | 112 ± 1 |
| | 30–60 cm | 113 ± 4 | 110 ± 4 |
water-stable aggregates correlated positively with CEC \((r_s = 0.264, p = 0.041, n = 60)\), pH \((r_s = 0.188, p = 0.006, n = 210)\), electrical conductivity \((r = 0.341, p < 0.001, n = 210)\), MBC \((r = 0.231, p = 0.001, n = 210)\), and DOC \((r = 0.335, p < 0.001, n = 180)\).
was 210–530 mg kg$^{-1}$ lower than at T6/7, but still 1.5–4 times higher than at T0 ($p < 0.024$). At T3, the MBC under SC strongly decreased in all soil layers, leading to a 247–352 mg kg$^{-1}$ lower MBC under SC compared to PC ($p < 0.001$), but MBC fully recovered to T6. In the 0–10-cm soil layer, the MBC under SC was higher than under PC from T6 to T9 (T9, $p = 0.010$) and showed gradually increasing differences between treatments (36–154 mg kg$^{-1}$).

The MBN (Fig. 5b) was 18–30 mg kg$^{-1}$ at T0 and decreased during the sampling period by 3.7–12.2 mg kg$^{-1}$.
in all soil layers of both treatments \((p < 0.001, \text{ with a stronger effect under PC and with increasing soil depth})\), with exception of the 0–10-cm soil layer under SC, where MBN increased by 14 mg kg\(^{-1}\) \((p < 0.001)\). Similar to MBC, the increase in MBN in the 0–10-cm soil layer led to a higher MBN under SC compared to PC \((T7, T8, \text{ and } T9, p < 0.043)\) with gradually increasing differences between the treatments \((2.0–16.7 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})\).

The SOC (Fig. 6a) ranged from 0.83 to 1.20% and was higher under PC compared to SC in all soil layers during in strawberry cultivation \(\text{(Fragaria} \times \text{ananassa}, \text{ ‘Malwina’)}\), respectively, shown as mean with standard error \((n = 5)\). b MBC:MBN ratio

**Fig. 7** Soil organic matter ratios. a C:N ratio determined in the 0–10-, 10–30-, and 30–60-cm soil layers under plastic coverage (PC) and straw coverage (SC) at seven dates within the 3-year field experiment
the sampling period with largest differences between treatments in the 30–60-cm soil layer (up to 0.25%). However, this trend changed in the 0–10-cm soil layer during the sampling period, and from T6 to T9, higher SOC values were observed under SC (0.02–0.11%), with gradually increasing differences between treatments (T3, T7, and T9, \( p < 0.045 \)). The SOC showed a strong increase (0.05–0.14%) in all soil layers of both treatments from T0 to T1 (\( p < 0.001 \)). From T1 until T9, the SOC revealed a trend to increase under SC and to decrease under PC in all soil layers (except, 30–60-cm soil layer under PC). These effects decrease with increasing soil depth. The SOC correlated positively with CEC (\( r_s = 0.283, p = 0.028, n = 60 \)).

Because the DOC at T3 (SI Fig. 7) was unrealistically high with values of 494–1024 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (>2000 mg kg\(^{-1}\) in some replicates) and we did not find a valid explanation for the high values nor can exclude a mistake during the determination process (no filtration maybe), the DOC at T3 was excluded from interpretation and statistical analyses. The DOC (Fig. 6b) ranged from 7 to 47 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and was 1–18 mg kg\(^{-1}\) higher under SC than under PC in the 0–10-cm soil layer from T1 to T9 (T6 and T7, \( p < 0.007 \)), whereas in both soil layers below the topsoil, a tendency to the opposite was observed. During the sampling period, the DOC increased by 6–21 mg kg\(^{-1}\) in all soil layers (effect decrease with increasing soil depth) of both treatments (\( p < 0.001 \)). The DOC showed a tendency to have a seasonal pattern with a high DOC in April, an intermediate DOC in July, and a low DOC in October, which is the opposite of what was observed with water-stable aggregates.

Generally, the C:N ratios (Fig. 7a) were between 7.1 and 16.1 during the sampling period. After an initial increase from T0 to T1 in all soil layers of both treatments, the C:N ratios in the 30–60-cm soil layer were by 1.2–1.9 units higher under PC than under SC from T3 to T9. In this period, the C:N ratios were by 0.9–2.9 units higher than at T0 (except at T8) in all soil layers of both treatments (\( p < 0.002 \)). In both treatments, the largest C:N ratios were found in the 30–60-cm soil layer \( (p < 0.005) \).

The MBC:MBN ratios (Fig. 7b) were between 3 and 39 and were by 10–26 units lower in all soil layers under PC than under SC at T1 (\( p < 0.021 \)). However, the MBC:MBN ratios were 14–28 units wider in all soil layers under PC from T0 to T3, which led to mostly wider MBC:MBN ratios under PC from T3 to T9, with differences between treatments of up to 25 units (T3, \( p < 0.004 \); 30–60-cm soil layer at T6, \( p = 0.013 \); 10–30-cm soil layer at T7, \( p = 0.014 \)). Generally, the MBC:MBN ratios became wider during the sampling period (\( p < 0.001 \)); however, this effect was stronger in all soil layers under PC (13, 12, and 23) than under SC (4, 7, and 22).

All three SOM fractions (Fig. 8a–c) revealed temporal fluctuations in all soil layers of both treatments and partly large data scattering, which makes it difficult to identify any treatment- or depth-specific differences. However, as a trend, the free SOM in the 0–10-cm soil layer increased by 0.9 g kg\(^{-1}\) under SC and decreased by 1.8 g kg\(^{-1}\) under PC during the sampling period, which resulted in a higher free SOM under SC compared to PC from T6 to T9 (0.4–1.3 g kg\(^{-1}\)). The mineral-associated SOM showed a tendency to higher values under PC than under SC in all soil layers (exception, 0–10-cm soil layer from T7 to T9).

4 Discussion

4.1 Influence of soil coverage on rainfall infiltration and leaching

The delayed and smaller increases in soil moisture after rainfalls and the considerably lower soil moisture at 5-cm soil depth under PC compared to SC indicated that PC strongly reduces rainfall infiltration and excess water at the soil surface and thus seepage water flows, which was expected to reduce leaching under PC (Lal 2006). In contrast to the downward-directed seepage water flows, hints were observed to lateral and ascending water flows after rainfalls under PC, presumably induced by lateral pressure heads between ridges and furrows (Ruidisch et al. 2013). This points to an upward and laterally directed particle and substance relocation, which can counteract leaching. However, clear indications for the hypothesized reduced leaching under PC were observed neither in total N nor in electrical conductivity during the sampling period. However, Meyer et al. (2020) described reduced leaching under PC compared to bare soil in the establishment period of strawberries (the first 4 months of the experiment). Thus, we conclude that leaching might also be mitigated under SC due to a decelerated rainwater infiltration by the straw mulch (applied in April 2017) and rainfall interception by the closely grown plant canopy (Gan et al. 2013). Additionally, a stronger nitrogen (nutrient) uptake under PC (Subrahmaniyan et al. 2006; Kumar and Dey 2011), because of stronger plant growth (Kumar and Dey 2011; Gan et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2017), could have compensated the nitrogen (nutrient) gains due to omitted leaching losses. The yearly fertilization from March until May seem to have no influence on pH, electrical conductivity, and total N, which might indicate a good fertilizer use efficiency.

4.2 Influence of soil coverage on pore volumes and soil structure

Under PC, a lower bulk density and a higher macropore volume became observable in the surface soil (0–5 cm) after 1 year, which was presumably governed by two processes:
In contrast to SC, the water-impermeable PC avoids rapid soil wetting after rainfalls, which prevents aggregate slaking, physicochemical dispersion, and particle relocation and thus soil crusting and compaction (Bing So 2006; Le Bissonnais 2006). Soil crusting and compaction reduce water infiltration, increase runoff, and consequently make soils more prone to erosion (Le Bissonnais 2006). Secondly, the bulk density slightly decreased under PC during the sampling period, which was not reflected in increasing macropore volumes and thus might be caused by larger root growth under PC (Fernandez et al. 2001; Kumar and Dey 2011). Thus, PC maintained a more loose and friable soil structure in the surface soil compared to SC, which made soil less prone to erosion and promotes good aeration, soil warming, rooting, and water retention and, thus, soil quality and agronomic productivity (Bronick and Lal 2005; Le Bissonnais 2006). However, no increase in the (water-)stability of macroaggregates was observed in the topsoil under PC compared to SC, despite the impeded (disruptive) raindrop impact on aggregates at the soil surface and the lower soil moisture under PC (Bing So 2006; Blanco-Canqui and Lal 2006). Presumably, the straw mulch and the dense plant canopy reduced raindrop impact on aggregate stability also under SC; therefore, the physical impact of raindrops on surface soil seems to have only a minor role for the aggregate stability in both treatments. As Sintim et al. (2021) found a higher aggregate stability in the topsoil after 4 years of continuous plastic mulching, we cannot exclude that effects on aggregate stability would not appear during an extended experiment period.

### 4.3 Influence of soil coverage on soil microbial biomass and aggregate formation and stability

As described in numerous studies, PC increased soil temperature (reviewed in Gan et al. 2013; Steinmetz et al. 2016), which is generally attributed to the optical properties of the mulch and its impact on the heat transfer between soil surface and surroundings (Tarara 2000). In contrast to the higher soil moisture under plastic mulching, attributed to a reduced evaporation in semiarid and arid regions (e.g., Gan et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2015), our results showed a predominantly lower soil moisture under PC compared to SC, especially in the topsoil. This suggests that under humid climate, impeded rainfall infiltration strongly outweighs the effect of reduced evaporation (Meyer et al. 2020). Similarly, a lower soil moisture under PC was also found in a study conducted by Schirmel et al. (2018) under humid conditions in Southwestern Germany. The seasonal effects on soil temperature presumably depend on seasonal changes in solar radiation but additionally also on wind, cloudiness, and density of plant canopy. In winter, the missing irrigation combined with impeded rainfall infiltration under PC might have led to the increasing soil moisture differences between PC and SC in the topsoil (0–10 cm). Although the subsoil under PC received more water after rainfalls compared to SC, the soil moisture decreased more strongly afterwards and led especially in summer and autumn to a clearly lower soil moisture under PC. This might be explained by a higher water uptake of the plants and ascending water flows to the drier upper soil layers (Tarara 2000; Wang et al. 2014).

Several studies found a higher MBC under PC, which was mainly attributed to the elevated soil temperature and moisture under PC (e.g., Gan et al. 2013; Luo et al. 2015; Yang et al. 2018). In spite of partly up to 6.5 °C higher soil temperatures under PC, a clearly larger MBC under PC was only found at T3 (April 2017) and partly at T6 (October 2017) in the 3-year study. Maybe the partly lower soil moisture under PC compensated for the expected positive effect of soil temperature on MBC. However, as differences in soil temperature and moisture between coverage types were soil-and depth-specific but were not reflected in MBC, it can be concluded that these differences were not large enough to shape different MBC under the investigated coverage types. The higher MBC and MBN in the topsoil under SC compared to PC from T6 to T9 were presumably caused by the incorporation of straw and aboveground biomass into soil (Bünemann et al. 2006; Huo et al. 2017). This implies, in contrast to the differences in soil temperature and moisture, a strong and gradually increasing treatment effect on microbial biomass.

Wang et al. (2017) described a 16–28% increase in the water-stable macroaggregate fraction under PC, which they attributed to an increased root growth and microbial activity under PC. In contrast to Wang et al. (2017), no clear positive effects of PC on aggregation and aggregate stability was found in this study. This is in accordance with the results of microbial biomass, which was hypothesized as main driver for an increased aggregate formation and stability under PC. However, a strong influence of microorganisms on aggregate stability was confirmed by the highly significant positive correlation between MBC and water-stable aggregates. Generally, aggregation and aggregate stability are influenced by a multitude of factors such as soil moisture, SOM, root growth, fungal hyphae, exudation of roots and microbes, and wetting–drying cycles (Bronick and Lal 2005). This is reflected in the observed positive correlation between water-stable aggregates and pH, electrical conductivity, MBC, and DOC. Thus, the increasing aggregate stabilities from spring
to autumn and their strong variations between sampling time points and treatments are likely the result of several processes (co-)occurring during the sampling period. The largest water-stable aggregate fraction found in the root layer (10–30 cm) and increasing aggregate stabilities from spring to autumn point to a large influence of root growth and exudation (Tisdall and Oades 1982; Bronick and Lal 2005). However, more detailed studies are necessary to break down the individual processes that govern aggregate stability and elucidate how they are influenced by soil coverage and how much they contribute to the observed variations.

4.4 Influence of soil coverage on entry and decomposition of soil organic matter

The general increase in SOC under both treatments after strawberry establishment was attributed to the strong root growth of the plants (Meyer et al. 2020). This increase in SOC was stronger under PC, presumably because of a larger root growth and exudation under PC due to the higher soil temperature (Fernandez et al. 2001; Kumar and Dey 2011; Yin et al. 2013). This results in a larger SOC under PC than under SC (especially in the 30–60-cm soil layer), even though the aboveground biomass entry under PC was impeded by the impermeable plastic mulch. Similarly, Luo et al. (2015) described higher SOC stocks in the 20–40-cm soil layer under plastic mulching, which they attributed to improved rooting systems and increased root biomass. However, this effect was compensated for in the topsoil (0–10 cm) after 1 year (T6) and from T6 to T9, the SOC was higher under SC compared to PC, which resulted most likely from the SOM entry under SC due to decaying straw mulch and plant residues. The higher DOC in the topsoil under SC supports this assumption as DOC mainly derives from fresh plant residues or decomposition by-products (Bolan et al. 2011). In contrast, the partially higher DOC in the 10–30- and 30–60-cm soil layers under PC might originate from higher root exudation or small organic molecules transported from straw-covered furrows to ridges by lateral water flows under PC (Bolan et al. 2011; Ruidisch et al. 2013). Former studies found reduced SOM under PC, which was attributed to an accelerated SOM decomposition due to changes in microclimate and microorganisms (e.g., Li et al. 2004, 2007; Zhang et al. 2015). However, despite higher soil temperatures, increasing MBC, and an impeded aboveground biomass entry under PC, no increased SOM losses were found in this 3-year study under temperate, humid climate conditions. This suggests that an accelerated SOM decomposition under PC, which would result in reduced SOM stocks, can either be excluded or be compensated for by other processes like, e.g., biomass entry due to root growth and exudation under the present conditions (Fernandez et al. 2001; Kumar and Dey 2011; Yin et al. 2013). Reduced SOM stocks could be critical for soil quality and productivity and would question the sustainability of the management practice (Haynes 2005; Steinmetz et al. 2016). It can be speculated that in arid and semiarid regions, where microbial activity and thus SOM decomposition are usually strongly restricted by the low soil moisture (Coûteaux et al. 1995; Butenschoen et al. 2011), elevated soil moisture under plastic mulching (Gan et al. 2013) might strongly trigger SOM decomposition. In contrast to that, soil moisture under humid conditions is not elevated by plastic mulching and generally high enough to enable decomposition.

4.5 Influence of soil coverage on quality and composition of soil organic matter

The still rarely investigated influence of soil coverage on SOM composition and hence SOM quality (Steinmetz et al. 2016) as estimated by density fractionation revealed a positive effect of SC on free SOM (topsoil) and of PC on mineral-associated SOM. The free SOM fraction refers to the active SOM pool (turnover time of 1–2 years) and represents (often combined with aggregate-occluded SOM) the light SOM fraction (von Lützow et al. 2006, 2007). The light SOM fraction consists mainly of a fresh and fast-mineralizable SOM (e.g., plant residues, microbial biomass), which is important for the nutrient supply in soil (Wander 2004; von Lützow et al. 2006). Thus, the lower free SOM in the topsoil under PC from T6 to T9 corroborated our assumption of an impeded underground SOM entry into soil compared to SC and point to a lower short-term nutrient supply under PC (Wander 2004). Similarly, Wang et al. (2016) found decreased light SOC fraction under multiannual PC use compared to non-mulched plots and an increased light SOC fraction after straw incorporation. The mineral-associated SOM fraction refers to the passive SOM pool (turnover time of 100 to < 1000 years), which consists of older, more degraded, recalcitrant SOM, often bound in organo-mineral complexes (von Lützow et al. 2006, 2007). The passive SOM pool is of great relevance for long-term SOM stabilization, soil structure, nutrient sorption, and water-holding capacity (Wander 2004; Grego and Lagomarsino 2008). Thus, the tendency for larger mineral-associated SOM fractions under PC might indicate an improved nutrient sorption, water-holding capacity, and soil structural and SOM stability under PC (Wander 2004; Grego and Lagomarsino 2008). According to Jackson et al. (2017), markedly larger amounts of belowground biomass (45%) are stabilized in SOM compared to aboveground biomass (8%) and mainly simple organic compounds undergo organo-mineral formation (Blume et al. 2016). Thus, it was assumed that the larger mineral-associated SOM fractions under PC were caused by temperature-induced larger root growth.
accompanied by larger root and microbial exudation under PC (Fernandez et al. 2001; Kumar and Dey 2011; Yin et al. 2013). Similarly, the wider C:N ratios in the 30–60-cm soil layer under PC point to a more hardly degradable SOM (Kindler et al. 2011), which could decelerate microbial SOM mineralization and thus preserve SOM and nitrogen stocks in the subsoil (Jia et al. 2006).

The MBC:MBN ratios under PC indicate an increasing and larger fungal fraction compared to SC, pointing to a shift in microbial community (Campbell et al. 1991). At the beginning of the experiment (T0–T2), the MBC:MBN ratios indicated larger fungal fractions under bare soil compared to PC, which were attributed to aboveground biomass entry, promoting fungal growth (Meyer et al. 2020). However, during the annual fungicide application (in flowering period), the soil under SC received larger fungicide loads than under PC, which reduced fungal growth or rather promoted bacterial growth under SC (Meyer et al. 2021). The larger soil temperature under PC might have additionally favored fungal growth (Pietikäinen et al. 2005; Drenovsky et al. 2010). The influence of PC on microbial community and potential shifts towards mycotoxinogenic fungi was already discussed before (Buyer et al. 2010; Muñoz et al. 2015, 2017). However, more detailed investigations are required to verify these indications on microbial community shifts under PC, which additionally seem to vary in dependence of the pesticide treatment and the duration of the PC application.

### 4.6 Influence of seasonality, time, and soil depth on coverage effects

Several investigated soil parameters confirmed the assumption of a seasonal, time- and depth-dependent impact of soil coverage on soil parameters and processes. The differences between coverage types in soil temperature and partly in soil moisture, the main drivers of PC effects (Gan et al. 2013), exhibit strong seasonal and depth-dependent effects, which have already been observed for soil temperature by Wang et al. (2015). However, these differences were not reflected in temperature- and moisture-sensitive soil parameters such as MBC and MBN (Pietikäinen et al. 2005; Bárcenas-Moreno et al. 2009). Additionally, seasonal effects were observed to some extent also in DOC and water-stable aggregates. The influence of soil coverage was partly also observed below the topsoil layer (e.g., for soil temperature and moisture, DOC, and MBC) or only below the topsoil layer (C:N ratios) or differed between soil layers (SOC). The effects of soil coverage on pore volumes, bulk density, and MBC became only observable in the second year of the experiment, whereas the effects on SOC, MBN, and MBC:MBN ratios changed during the sampling period, indicating several co-occurring processes which compensate for and overbalance each other after a certain time. Furthermore, the restriction of the present study to 3 years of observation may hide some long-term effects that become significant only after a longer application. For example, Liu et al. (2021) showed that in particular changes in the microbial community structure were observed after 10 years of continuous plastic mulching in a semiarid climate and Sintim et al. (2021) found a higher aggregate stability in the topsoil after 4 years of continuous plastic mulching. Thus, changes in soil properties after 3 years cannot be excluded. But a longer period was beyond the scope of this study because we wanted to test the effects of the current agricultural practices in strawberry cultivation, which is mainly restricted to 2 years or 3 years before the plastic covers and plants are removed and the ridge–furrow system is renewed. In summary, these findings on seasonal, time- and depth-dependent effects of coverage types on soil pronounce their importance and recommend to include them also in future studies for a holistic process understanding.

### 5 Conclusion

The impeded rainfall infiltration under plastic mulching reduces soil moisture under temperate, humid climate, especially when no drip irrigation is applied. Thus, the positive effects of plastic mulching on plant growth and yields are mainly induced by the seasonally elevated soil temperature. Plastic mulching neither reduces leaching nor promotes (macro-)aggregate formation or stability; however, it maintains a loose and friable soil structure in surface soil (0–5 cm), which can improve aeration and rooting. Furthermore, it promotes SOM accumulation and shifted SOM composition to a more hardly degradable SOM, especially below the topsoil (10–60 cm), which can also improve soil structure, serve as a nutrient reservoir, and enhance sorption and water-holding capacity. As from the second year, straw mulch provides fresh and fast-mineralizable substrate in the topsoil (0–10 cm), which enhances microbial biomass and fostering larger bacterial fractions. Our study under temperate, humid climate reveals no indications of an increased microbial biomass or activity accompanied with an accelerated SOM decomposition under plastic mulching. The study revealed no significant adverse effects of the plastic coverage on the investigated soil parameters and processes and does not point to a decreased soil quality in the investigated time period. However, the partly seasonal, time- and depth-dependent effects of our study emphasize the importance of including soil depth, temporal course, and season in future studies to gain a more holistic process understanding. Additionally, further research is necessary to prove our findings on a larger scale and longer time periods and to various soil and crop types.
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