Risk factors for hidden blood loss in unilateral biportal endoscopic lumbar spine surgery
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Background: Unilateral biportal endoscopy (UBE) spine surgery is a minimally invasive procedure for treating lumbar disorders. Hidden blood loss (HBL) is easily ignored by surgeons because blood loss is less visible. However, there are limited studies on HBL in UBE spine surgery. This study aimed to evaluate HBL and its possible risk factors in patients undergoing UBE spine surgery.

Methods: Patients with lumbar disc herniation or lumbar spinal stenosis who underwent unilateral biportal endoscopic surgery between December 2020 and February 2022 at our hospital were retrospectively analyzed. Patient demographics, blood loss-related parameters, and surgical and radiological information were also collected. Pearson or Spearman correlation analysis was conducted to determine the association between clinical characteristics and HBL. Multivariate linear regression analysis was used to determine the independent risk factors for HBL.

Results: Fifty-two patients (17 males and 35 females) were retrospectively enrolled in this study. The mean total blood loss (TBL) volume was 434 ± 212 ml, and the mean HBL volume was 361 ± 217 ml, accounting for 77.9% of the TBL in patients who underwent UBE surgery. Multivariate linear regression analysis revealed that HBL was positively associated with operation time ($P = 0.040$) and paraspinal muscle thickness at the target level ($P = 0.033$).

Conclusions: The amount of HBL in patients undergoing UBE surgery should not be neglected. Operation time and paraspinal muscle thickness at the target level may be independent risk factors for HBL.
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Introduction

Unilateral biportal endoscopy (UBE) is an emerging minimally invasive surgical procedure for the treatment of lumbar disorders. Spine surgery is favored by spine surgeons because of the lower rate of surgical injury, quicker postoperative recovery, and limited influence on spinal stability (1). The efficacy and safety of UBE have been confirmed in previous studies (2–5). However, the amount of blood loss is easily underestimated by spine surgeons because of continuous irrigation and the blood infiltrating into the soft tissue or remaining in the dead space of the surgical channel.
HBL was first proposed by Sehat et al. (6) and has attracted increasing attention from surgeons. HBL is common in minimally invasive spine surgeries. Jiang et al. (7) compared the clinical outcomes between UBE and percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy (PELD) in the treatment of patients with lumbar disk herniation and found that the HBL volume in PELD and UBE were 30.64 ± 22.99 ml and 195.62 ± 130.44 ml, respectively. Wang et al. (6) evaluated the mean HBL volume in patients undergoing UBE surgery for lumbar degenerative diseases to be 469.5 ± 195.3 ml. Moreover, accurate evaluation of hidden blood loss (HBL) during UBE surgery is helpful for reducing perioperative complications and ensuring patient safety. However, to our knowledge, there is limited literature on HBL and its risk factors in UBE surgery for lumbar disorders. Therefore, this study aimed to estimate the amount of HBL and its risk factors in patients with lumbar disorders who underwent UBE surgery.

Patients and methods

This retrospective study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Beijing Friendship Hospital, Capital Medical University. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. Fifty-two patients diagnosed with lumbar spinal stenosis or lumbar disc herniation were included in this study from December 2020 to February 2022. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age <18 years old; (2) presence of lumbar spine tumor, infection, or trauma; (3) use of anticoagulant or antiplatelet drugs; (4) presence of liver or kidney dysfunction, abnormal bleeding, or abnormal coagulation function; (5) presence of scoliosis, ankylosing spondylitis, or other spinal deformities; and (6) incomplete medical records.

Data collection

Clinical data, including sex, age, height, weight, body mass index (BMI), hypertension, diabetes, coronary heart disease (CHD), history of smoking, history of alcohol use, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) classification, operation time, surgical level, and disc dissection were systematically collected.

Triglyceride (TG), serum total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), hemoglobin (Hb), hematocrit (Hct), platelet (PLT), albumin (ALB), prothrombin time (PT), activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT), international normalized ratio (INR), D-dimer, and fibrinogen (Fbg) levels were recorded before surgery. Hct, ALB, Hb, PLT, and drainage levels were recorded on postoperative day 1.

The total soft-tissue thickness, subcutaneous layer thickness, and paraspinal muscle thickness at the target level were independently measured by two experienced radiologists using lumbar MRI images (Figure 1). The MRI measurements have demonstrated good internal consistencies with Cronbach’s alpha ranging from 0.86 to 0.90.

Calculation of blood loss

Patients’ blood volume (PBV) was calculated using the Nadler formula (9): $k_1 = 0.3669$, $k_2 = 0.03219$, and $k_3 = 0.0641$ for males and $k_1 = 0.3561$, $k_2 = 0.03308$, and $k_3 = 0.1833$ for females.

$$PBV = k_1 \times \text{Height}^3 (\text{m}) + k_2 \times \text{Weight(\text{kg})} + k_3$$

Total blood loss (TBL) was calculated using Gross formula (10):

$$TBL = \frac{\text{PBV} \times \left(\text{Hct}_{\text{post}} - \text{Hct}_{\text{pre}}\right)}{\text{Hct}_{\text{ave}}}$$

$\text{Hct}_{\text{pre}}$ is the Hct on preoperative day 1, $\text{Hct}_{\text{post}}$ is the Hct on postoperative day 1 and $\text{Hct}_{\text{ave}}$ is the average of $\text{Hct}_{\text{pre}}$ and $\text{Hct}_{\text{post}}$.

Thus, the HBL was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Visible blood loss(VBL)} = \text{intraoperative blood loss} + \text{postoperative drainage}$$

$$\text{HBL} = \text{TBL} - \text{VBL}$$

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were grouped and presented as numerical values, and continuous data were presented as mean ± standard deviation. Pearson’s correlation analysis, Spearman’s correlation analysis, and multiple linear regression were used to determine the factors associated with HBL, including continuous and categorical variables respectively. Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$. All data analyses were performed using SPSS v25.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, United States).

Results

Fifty-two consecutive patients (17 males and 35 females) were retrospectively enrolled in this study. The demographic characteristics of the participants are summarized in Table 1. The mean age was 61.2 ± 14.3 (range, 26–84) years, and the mean BMI was 25.8 ± 4.3 kg/m². Regarding lumbar disorders, 27 patients had lumbar disk herniation and 35 had lumbar spinal stenosis. With respect to comorbidities, 27, 11, and 6 patients had hypertension, diabetes, and CHD, respectively. The mean surgery time was 132.2 ± 46.0 min. In total, 56 levels were operated, of which 2 were at L2–3, 6 at L3–4, 29 at L4–5,
and 19 at L5–S1. Forty-eight patients underwent UBE surgery at a single level, and four patients underwent surgery at double levels. In terms of ASA classification, 2, 38, and 12 patients had a physical status classification of I, II, and III, respectively. The mean total soft tissue thickness, paraspinal muscle thickness, and subcutaneous layer thickness measured using MRI were 5.5 ± 1.1, 3.6 ± 0.6, 1.8 ± 1.0 cm, respectively. The mean PBV was 4.1 ± 0.7 L, mean TBL volume was 434.0 ± 212.0 ml, mean VBL volume was 72.5 ± 41.0 ml, mean HBL volume was 361.4 ± 216.8 ml (77.9% of the TBL). The mean amounts of Hct and Hb lost were 4.2 ± 2.0 and 11.9 ± 7.2 g/L, respectively. Postoperative Hb and Hct levels were significantly lower than the preoperative levels (P < 0.001 for both). Meanwhile, eight patients developed anemia (seven mild and one moderate) after UBE surgery, accounting for 15.4% of all the patients. None of the patients received perioperative transfusions. No significant difference was found in HBL between the lumbar disc herniation and lumbar spinal stenosis groups.

The Pearson and Spearman correlation analyses results are shown in Table 2. The analyses showed that the paraspinal muscle thickness at the target level was related to HBL (P < 0.05). The following factors with P < 0.10 were included in the multivariate linear regression analysis to identify the independent risk factors for HBL: operation time (P = 0.072), paraspinal muscle thickness (P = 0.025), preoperative Hct level (P = 0.055), preoperative Fbg level (P = 0.074), and preoperative Hb level (P = 0.084), and the results showed that paraspinal muscle thickness (P = 0.033) and operation time (P = 0.040) were significant independent risk factors (Table 3).

**Discussion**

Recently, UBE surgery has shown advantages in the treatment of lumbar disorders due to the less trauma, quick postoperative recovery, and less influence on spinal stability.
Although previous studies have elaborated on the complications following UBE surgery, spine surgeons have underestimated HBL in UBE surgery. Wang et al. (8) retrospectively analyzed patients who underwent UBE surgery and reported an HBL volume of 469.5 ± 195.3 ml, accounting for 57.6% of TBL. Age, number of fusion levels, ASA classification, surgery time, PBV, TBL, postoperative Hct, Hct loss, and fibrinogen level were independent risk factors for HBL. Our findings showed a mean HBL of 361.4 ± 216.8 ml, accounting for 77.9% of the TBL in patients who underwent UBE for lumbar disorders. Similar with previous studies on HBL in spine surgery (7, 8), the amount of HBL during surgery was significantly higher than that of VBL. Excessive HBL not only increases the incidence of perioperative complications but also prolongs patient recovery time. The purpose of this study aimed to explore the risk factors of HBL in UBE spine surgery. And we hope that our finding could help spine surgeons identify potential groups of patients at high risk of bleeding and pay more attention to intraoperative hemostasis and perioperative blood loss management during minimally invasive surgery, thereby reducing perioperative complications and ensuring patient safety.

Although previous studies have elaborated on the complications following UBE surgery, spine surgeons have underestimated HBL in UBE surgery. Wang et al. (8) retrospectively analyzed patients who underwent UBE surgery and reported an HBL volume of 469.5 ± 195.3 ml, accounting for 57.6% of TBL. Age, number of fusion levels, ASA classification, surgery time, PBV, TBL, postoperative Hct, Hct loss, and fibrinogen level were independent risk factors for HBL. Our findings showed a mean HBL of 361.4 ± 216.8 ml, accounting for 77.9% of the TBL in patients who underwent UBE for lumbar disorders. Similar with previous studies on HBL in spine surgery (7, 8), the amount of HBL during surgery was significantly higher than that of VBL. Excessive HBL not only increases the incidence of perioperative complications but also prolongs patient recovery time. The purpose of this study aimed to explore the risk factors of HBL in UBE spine surgery. And we hope that our finding could help spine surgeons identify potential groups of patients at high risk of bleeding and pay more attention to intraoperative hemostasis and perioperative blood loss management during minimally invasive surgery, thereby reducing perioperative complications and ensuring patient safety.

| Parameters                        | Statistics                  |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Total patients (n)                | 52                          |
| Sex (n)                           |                             |
| Female                            | 35 (67.3%)                  |
| Age, year                         | 61.2 ± 14.3                 |
| BMI, kg/m²                        | 25.8 ± 4.3                  |
| Height, cm                        | 162.8 ± 8.4                 |
| Weight, kg                        | 68.5 ± 13.4                 |
| Hypertension (n)                  | 27 (51.9%)                  |
| Diabetes mellitus (n)             | 11 (21.2%)                  |
| CHD (n)                           | 6 (11.5%)                   |
| Smoking (n)                       | 6 (11.5%)                   |
| Drinking (n)                      | 2 (3.8%)                    |
| Diseases groups                   |                             |
| Lumbar disc herniation            | 27 (51.9%)                  |
| Lumbar spinal stenosis            | 25 (48.1%)                  |
| Operation level                   |                             |
| L2-L3                             | 2 (3.6%)                    |
| L3-L4                             | 6 (10.7%)                   |
| L4-L5                             | 29 (51.8%)                  |
| L5-S1                             | 19 (33.9%)                  |
| Single-level operation (n)        | 4 (7.7%)                    |
| Double-level operation (n)        | 48 (92.3%)                  |
| Tranexamic acid (n)               | 47 (90.4%)                  |
| Lumbar disk dissection (n)        | 25 (48.1%)                  |
| ASA classification (n)            |                             |
| I                                 | 2 (3.8%)                    |
| II                                | 38 (73.1%)                  |
| III                               | 12 (23.1%)                  |
| IV                                | 0                           |
| Surgery time, min                 | 132.2 ± 46.0                |
| PBV, L                            | 4.1 ± 0.7                   |
| TBL, ml                           | 434.0 ± 212.0               |
| VBL, ml                           | 72.5 ± 41.0                 |
| HBL, ml                           | 361.4 ± 216.8               |
| Preoperative Hb, g/L              | 136.0 ± 15.1                |
| Postoperative Hb, g/L             | 124.0 ± 15.0                |
| Hb loss, g/L                      | 11.9 ± 7.2                  |
| Preoperative Hct                  | 41.2 ± 4.3                  |
| Postoperative Hct                 | 37.0 ± 4.2                  |
| Hct loss                          | 4.2 ± 0.2                   |
| Preoperative ALB, g/L             | 38.7 ± 3.2                  |
| Postoperative ALB, g/L            | 34.9 ± 3.1                  |
| Alb loss, g/L                     | 3.8 ± 2.6                   |
| Preoperative Platelet, g/L        | 241.6 ± 72.1                |
| Preoperative PT, s                | 11.6 ± 1.1                  |
| Preoperative APTT, s              | 27.4 ± 3.1                  |
| Preoperative Fibrinogen, g/L      | 2.6 ± 0.6                   |

Although previous studies have elaborated on the complications following UBE surgery, spine surgeons have underestimated HBL in UBE surgery. Wang et al. (8) retrospectively analyzed patients who underwent UBE surgery and reported an HBL volume of 469.5 ± 195.3 ml, accounting for 57.6% of TBL. Age, number of fusion levels, ASA classification, surgery time, PBV, TBL, postoperative Hct, Hct loss, and fibrinogen level were independent risk factors for HBL. Our findings showed a mean HBL of 361.4 ± 216.8 ml, accounting for 77.9% of the TBL in patients who underwent UBE for lumbar disorders. Similar with previous studies on HBL in spine surgery (7, 8), the amount of HBL during surgery was significantly higher than that of VBL. Excessive HBL not only increases the incidence of perioperative complications but also prolongs patient recovery time. The purpose of this study aimed to explore the risk factors of HBL in UBE spine surgery. And we hope that our finding could help spine surgeons identify potential groups of patients at high risk of bleeding and pay more attention to intraoperative hemostasis and perioperative blood loss management during minimally invasive surgery, thereby reducing perioperative complications and ensuring patient safety.
more blood to penetrate the tissue space. This finding is consistent with those of previous studies on HBL in patients undergoing oblique lateral interbody fusion surgery or cervical open-door laminoplasty (11, 12). It might be important to evaluate the thickness of the paraspinal muscle at the target level of the patient using MRI before surgery. Surgeons should pay attention to the risk of excessive HBL, especially in patients with thick paraspinal muscle tissue, and achieve satisfactory hemostasis of muscle tissue as much as possible. However, the thickness of the subcutaneous layer, total soft tissue, and proportion of paraspinal muscle in the soft tissue did not show any significant relationship with HBL in this study. This may be related to the small sample size of the study. Further research is required to clarify the effects of tissue type on HBL.

Our study demonstrated that operative time was an independent risk factor for HBL. This finding is consistent with the results of previous studies (8, 13). During the UBE surgery, saline was used to irrigate and achieve good surgical vision. Continuous irrigation with a large amount of fluid flushes out the seeping blood through the soft tissue and bone surfaces. With the extension of the operation time, the blood flushed increased. Therefore, surgeons might need to be alert to the potential for excessive HBL during UBE surgery, especially if the operation time is too long. Meanwhile, a certain pressure or rapid flow of saline during irrigation might help reduce blood loss during surgery (14, 15).

The current study has some limitations. First, it was a retrospective study with a relatively small sample size and a lack of control group. Future prospective studies with larger sample sizes are required to confirm these results. Second, our study did not enroll patients undergoing fusion surgery, and the amount of TBL and related risk factors might differ from those in previous studies. Further research is required to explore the impact of spinal fusion on HBL during UBE surgery. Besides, considering that postoperative drainage might be affected by intraoperative irrigation, the calculation of VBL and HBL might be slightly biased.

**Conclusion**

This study showed that a large amount of HBL occurred during the UBE procedure for treating lumbar disc herniation or

---

**TABLE 2 Correlation analysis between clinical factors and HBL.**

| Parameters                  | P     | Correlation |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------------|
| Sex                         | 0.435 | −0.111      |
| Age                         | 0.638 | 0.067       |
| BMI                         | 0.736 | −0.048      |
| Height                      | 0.825 | −0.031      |
| Weight                      | 0.594 | −0.076      |
| Hypertension                | 0.241 | −0.165      |
| Diabetes mellitus           | 0.178 | −0.190      |
| CHD                         | 0.672 | 0.060       |
| Smoking                     | 0.693 | −0.056      |
| Drinking                    | 0.743 | −0.047      |
| Diseases groups             | 0.836 | 0.029       |
| Operation level             | 0.803 | 0.037       |
| single/double levels        | 0.308 | 0.144       |
| Tranexamic acid             | 0.530 | 0.089       |
| Lumbar disk dissection      | 0.607 | 0.073       |
| ASA classification          | 0.139 | −0.208      |
| Surgery time                | 0.072 | 0.251       |
| Preoperative Hb             | 0.084 | 0.220       |
| Preoperative Hct            | 0.055 | 0.268       |
| Preoperative ALB            | 0.271 | 0.155       |
| Preoperative Platelet       | 0.543 | −0.086      |
| Preoperative PT             | 0.592 | 0.078       |
| Preoperative APTT           | 0.218 | −0.177      |
| Fibrinogen                  | 0.074 | −0.255      |
| D-dimer                     | 0.227 | −0.174      |
| Preoperative TC             | 0.655 | 0.063       |
| Preoperative TG             | 0.114 | 0.222       |
| Preoperative LDL            | 0.713 | 0.052       |
| Preoperative HDL            | 0.719 | −0.051      |
| Soft tissue thickness       | 0.274 | 0.155       |
| Subcutaneous layer thickness| 0.897 | −0.018      |
| Paraspinal muscle thickness | 0.025 | 0.310       |
| Paraspinal muscle ratio     | 0.593 | 0.076       |

Value in bold indicates statistical significance.

BMI, body mass index; CHD, coronary heart disease; ASA, American society of anesthesiologists; PBV, patients’ blood volume; TBL, total blood loss; VBL, visible blood loss; HBL, hidden blood loss; Hb, hemoglobin; Hct, hematocrit; Alb, albumin; PT, prothrombin time; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; INR, international normalized ratio; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein.

**TABLE 3 Multivariate linear regression analysis on risk factors of HBL.**

| Coefficients | Unstandardized β | SE  | Standardized β | t   | P   |
|--------------|------------------|-----|----------------|-----|-----|
| Constant     | −198.707         | 198.24 | −1.002       | 0.321|
| Paraspinal muscle thickness | 107.052         | 48.662 | 0.294       | 2.2  | 0.033|
| Operation time | 1.278           | 0.606 | 0.282       | 2.109| 0.040|

*aDependent variable: hidden blood loss (ml).*
spinal stenosis. Operation time and paraspinal muscle thickness at the target level were independent risk factors for HBL in patients with lumbar disorders who underwent UBE surgery.
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