A novel sensor for the selective monitoring of trace ytterbium ions using an agarose-based optical membrane
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A novel highly selective optical sensor was prepared via the chemical immobilization of β-2-hydroxybenzyl-5-bromo-2-hydroxyazastyrone (HBBHAS) on an epoxy-activated agarose membrane pieces. The absorbance variation of the immobilized azastyrone film on agarose upon the addition of 1.5 × 10⁻⁵ M aqueous solutions of La³⁺, Y³⁺, Al³⁺, Sc³⁺, Sm³⁺, Eu³⁺, Lu³⁺, Fe³⁺, Ce³⁺, Cr³⁺, S₂O₃²⁻, Tb³⁺, Mn²⁺ and KIO₃ revealed substantially higher changes for the Yb³⁺ ion compared to the other considered ions. Thus, using HBBHAS as an appropriate ionophore, a selective optical sensor for Yb³⁺ was prepared via its chemical immobilization on a transparent agarose membrane. The effects of pH, reagent concentration, and time duration of the reaction of immobilizing the reagent were examined. A distinct change in the maximum absorbance of the reagent was established on contact of the sensing membrane with Yb³⁺ ions at pH = 4.25. For the membrane sensor, a linear relationship was observed between the variation in membrane absorbance (ΔA) at 424 nm and Yb³⁺ concentrations in the range of 4.75 × 10⁻⁵ to 6.20 × 10⁻¹⁰ M with a detection limit of 1.9 × 10⁻¹⁰ M for Yb³⁺. The effects of some potentially interfering ions on the assessment of Yb³⁺ were analyzed, and no substantial interference was found. The sensor showed a short response time and decent durability with no reagent leaching. The recovery of Yb³⁺ ions from the sensor material was performed using 0.3 M HNO₃ and its response was reversible and reproducible with RSD = 1.95%. This study reports a non-toxic, economical, stable, accurate, easy-to-use, and novel optical sensor material to assess Yb³⁺ in synthetic and environmental water samples.

Introduction

Ytterbium, a soft silvery metal, is a member of the lanthanide family. Monazite, gadolinite and xenotime are minerals that contain it. This element is employed in some steels and is occasionally linked with yttrium or other related attributes. A mixture of seven stable isotopes makes up natural ytterbium. It has a beautiful silvery shine and is a soft, malleable, and ductile element. Ion-exchange and solvent methodologies advanced in the late 20th century have facilitated the separation of ytterbium element. Ion-exchange and solvent methodologies advanced in the late 20th century have facilitated the separation of ytterbium element. Ion-exchange and solvent methodologies advanced in the late 20th century have facilitated the separation of ytterbium element. Ion-exchange and solvent methodologies advanced in the late 20th century have facilitated the separation of ytterbium element.
electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry\textsuperscript{25} are typical techniques for detecting Yb\textsuperscript{3+} ions in solutions at low levels. However, almost all of them are slow since they require various stages for sample preparation and are costly.

As a result, we are interested in developing a highly sensitive Yb\textsuperscript{3+} sensor that is both simple and rapid for analyzing Yb\textsuperscript{3+} ions in various samples. A variety of lanthanide group metal sensors have recently been reported.\textsuperscript{26–29}

Optical sensors have shown to be quite useful for analyzing several metal ions.\textsuperscript{30–34} They are simple, quick, and affordable, and they can reliably respond to an extensive diversity of concentrations. According to a literature survey, there are just two reports on Yb\textsuperscript{3+} sensors. The first one was constructed on 2,5-bis(5-tert-butyl-benzoxazol-2-yl)thiophene (BBT) as a membrane carrier on PVC.\textsuperscript{41} This sensor has a reasonably narrow working range (10\textsuperscript{-6} to 10\textsuperscript{-2} M) and a micro-level detection limit. The second technique was established via the complexation of N\textsubscript{3}N-bis(salicylidene)1,2-bis(2aminophenylthio)ethane embedded with Yb\textsuperscript{3+} onto mesoporous silica by a direct anchoring method for the adsorption and recovery of Yb\textsuperscript{3+} from aqueous samples.\textsuperscript{42}

Owing to the crucial significance of Yb\textsuperscript{3+} in industry, the lack of good assessment techniques for this metal, and the urgent need for a sensitive Yb\textsuperscript{3+} sensor for the rapid monitoring of sub-micromolar Yb\textsuperscript{3+}, a highly sensitive Yb\textsuperscript{3+} sensor for the estimation of Yb\textsuperscript{3+} ions in several samples is required.

Optical sensors have lately sparked a lot of attention because of their numerous applications in environmental analysis, process control, and clinical investigation.\textsuperscript{43} Immobilizing ionophores on transparent membranes is a fundamental step in the fabrication of optical sensors. Optical sensors are made with a variety of support materials, including sol–gel glass and plasticizers as well as lipophilic, ionic, hydrophilic, and molecularly imprinted polymers. Ionophores play a crucial role in optical sensor development. Because of their propensity to form stable complexes with metal ions, Schiff base ligands are broadly used as ionophores in membrane sensors. They possess great selectivity, stability, and sensitivity for a specified ion.\textsuperscript{46,47}

Covalently immobilized dyes, unlike physically entrapped or immobilized dyes, suffer from hysteresis or leaching and have long lifetimes.\textsuperscript{48} Agarose, a non-toxic gel, is very hydrophilic in the pH range of 0.0–14. Our lab has been using transparent agarose membranes to facilitate the development of covalently immobilized optical sensors for monitoring pH. Agarose membranes can be easily created and activated by forming chemical connections with nucleophilic group-containing indicators.\textsuperscript{49–51}

Spectrophotometric studies of complexes are preferred because of their low operating costs and simplicity. In our earlier reports, some optical sensors for Ag\textsuperscript{1+},\textsuperscript{52} Zn\textsuperscript{2+},\textsuperscript{53} Cr\textsuperscript{3+},\textsuperscript{54} and Co\textsuperscript{2+}\textsuperscript{50} evaluation in terms of covalent immobilization of coloured complexes in a suitable membrane were introduced. The goal of the present study is to successfully immobilize β-2-hydroxybenzyl-5-bromo-2-hydroxyazasteryene on an agarose membrane film to construct a novel optical sensor for Yb\textsuperscript{3+} determination. The sensor response time and pH value were optimized. The influence of several parameters such as pH, base matrix, and reagent concentration was optimized. The main objective of this article is to design a highly sensitive, selective, and stable Yb\textsuperscript{3+} sensor that can be used for the analysis of Yb\textsuperscript{3+} ions in real samples.

**Experimental**

**Apparatus**

A Jenway 3505 pH meter 9 V-AC power was employed to record the pH values. The Yb\textsuperscript{3+} ion evaluation was conducted by ICP-AES (PerkinElmer, Germany, 8300). Spectroscopic assessments were performed using a JASCO V-670 UV-Vis spectrophotometer. The thin films were positioned in a quartz cuvette and all absorption investigations were accomplished at 25 ± 2 °C in a batch mode.

**Materials and devices**

All reagents utilized in this investigation were of analytical grade. The Merck Chemical Company provided epichlorohydrin and other chemicals. Double-distilled water was used to prepare a CH\textsubscript{3}COOH/CH\textsubscript{3}COONa buffer test solution (0.05 M). By adding 0.5 M HCl or NaOH solutions dropwise, the pH was set.\textsuperscript{44} Synthesis and purification of the synthesized reagent (β-2-hydroxybenzyl-5-bromo-2-hydroxyazasteryene) were performed as per our previous report.\textsuperscript{25} To hold the agarose membranes within the spectrophotometer quartz cells for absorbance assessment, a home-made polyacrylamide holder was used.

**Preparing and activating agarose membranes**

By dissolving agarose powder in boiling water, a 10 M solution of 5.0% (w/v) agarose was prepared. The hot solution was poured gently and pressed between two glass plates of 20 × 20 cm with a gap of 0.2 mm until it cooled down to 25 ± 2.0 °C. Then, the obtained transparent and thin membrane was cut into 3 × 1 cm sections and preserved under 50% methanol solution.\textsuperscript{49,50} The epichlorohydrin method was utilized for epoxy activation.\textsuperscript{51–53} After adding 0.8 mL 6.0% epichlorohydrin and 3.2 M 2.0 M NaOH solutions to about 10 agarose membranes pieces, the solution in a beaker (25 mL) was diluted to 10 M with water. In a water circulating bath, the blend was heated to 40 °C with agitation for 2.0 h. After cooling, the epoxy-activated membranes were completely rinsed with water of 4.0 °C using a glass filter. Then, the activated membranes were left for a longer time prior to immobilizing the reagent.\textsuperscript{49–51}

**Preparing the immobilized optical sensor**

For immobilization, the agarose membrane was combined with a 5 × 10\textsuperscript{-3} M alkaline HBBHAS solution, as reported elsewhere. To remove any non-bound HBBHAS, the yellow membranes were fully washed with 50% methanol on a glass filter, soaked in methanol (50%) overnight, and rinsed vigorously with water. Consequently, the membranes were prepared and fixed by a polyacrylamide holder in a cell. Then, the cell was typically employed to assess the absorbance. All the assessments for the agarose membranes were done within an aqueous medium.
**Measurement procedure**

The sensor was positioned in a 1.0 cm quartz cell and a 3.0 mL acetate buffer solution (pH 4.25) containing a defined Yb³⁺ concentration was added. Its absorbance was estimated at 424 nm after 3.0 min. Detailed structural and morphological characterizations of the agarose-based optical membrane are presented in Scheme 1. The calibration curve was obtained by plotting the absorbance of a series of Yb³⁺ standard solutions at various concentrations. The Yb³⁺ concentration that existed in the sample was assessed using the calibration curve. The optode was regenerated for 5.0 min in a 0.3 M HNO₃ solution and was ready to use. Scheme 1 presents both the immobilized optical sensor preparation and its measurement procedure.

**Interferents**

The influences of common coexisting ions on the recovery of Yb³⁺ were evaluated by spiking suitable quantities of the respective ions into solutions with 1 x 10⁻⁷ M Yb³⁺ ions, which was done according to a published approach after determining the optimal conditions. The tolerance limit was defined as the concentration of added ions that produced less than ±5.0% relative error. The Yb³⁺ recovery was almost the same in the presence of other species within the calculated tolerance limits.

**Accuracy and precision**

To establish the fabricated optode’s precision and accuracy, solutions with three different concentrations of Yb³⁺ were prepared. The assay method was performed in six replicates, and the relative standard deviation (RSD) was calculated as a percentage within the same day to assess the repeatability (intra-assay) and over five days to estimate the intermediate precision (inter-assay).

**Procedure for the ytterbium determination of samples**

A column with the intensely basic anion exchange resin Dowex 1 × 8 in the Cl⁻ form, 200 mesh, was applied. The resin was conditioned via successive washing with 1.0 M HCl, 1.0 M NaOH, 1.0 M HCl and 0.2 M EDTA disodium salt (Na₂H₂Y), until no Cl⁻ ions could be detected in the effluent. The resin was placed in a column of 6.0 mm diameter and 7.0 cm height. An adequate aliquot of a rare earth mixture from the sample dissolution and later elimination of the matrix elements was evaporated to dryness and turned into respective anionic complexes with EDTA. It was dissolved at a volume of 200 µL 5 x 10⁻³ M Na₂H₂Y, pH = 4.25, and sowing was carried out to the top of the column. Elution was performed with 5 x 10⁻³ M Na₂H₂Y at a flow rate of 1.0 mL min⁻¹.

Fractions of 1.5 mL were collected and analyzed after removing organic matter with an HCl/HNO₃ mixture via an extractive absorptiometric procedure. In the current working conditions, Yb³⁺ is present in the first 37 mL of elution.

**Determination of Yb(III) in soil and sediments**

First, a sample (1.0 g) was weighed in a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) beaker, followed by the addition of 5.0 mL 75% HClO₄ and 10 mL 50% hydrofluoric acid (HF). Furthermore, the sample was heated to incipient dryness in a sand bath, and acid attack with HClO₄ and HF (1 + 2) was performed three times to achieve silicate matrix digestion. The resulting samples were moved into flasks and diluted with 10 mL 2.5% NaOH and
distilled water to 50 mL (pH ~ 4.25). The next step was the evaluation of the ytterbium ion concentration in the samples using the absorbance variance of these solutions.

**Results and discussion**

**HBBHAS immobilization over agarose membranes**

Immobilizing HBBHAS on an agarose film altered its optical properties to some extent. A red shift was observed in the absorbance maximum of HBBHAS from 337 nm in acetonitrile solution to around 424 nm over the immobilized sample (Fig. 1), caused by the immobilized reagent’s flatter structure compared to that of its soluble analog. To obtain sufficient reagent loading across the agarose membrane, the effect of the pH of the solution reaction on the maximum absorbance of the membrane was examined at 424 nm. The highest HBBHAS immobilization was attained within the pH range of 9.5–10.5. Investigations were conducted on the impacts of the HBBHAS concentration in the solution on the HBBHAS immobilization over the membrane. By increasing the HBBHAS concentration from $1 \times 10^{-5}$ to $7 \times 10^{-3}$ M, a steady increase in membrane absorbance could be observed. Any increase in load, conversely, might reduce the membrane’s transmittance. Hence, the subsequent investigations were performed using the membrane sensors prepared in a HBBHAS concentration of $5 \times 10^{-3}$ M with maximum absorbance.

**Optimization of the Yb$^{3+}$ optical sensor**

The influences of several parameters such as pH, response time, temperature, lifetime and regeneration were optimized to achieve highly selective determination.

**Effect of pH on the sensor reaction**

The fabricated membrane sensor’s response characteristics were highly reliant on pH. Variation in pH altered the absorbance of the complexed and free species of the immobilized HBBHAS within the pH range of 3.0–11, which was used to evaluate the effects of pH absorbance variance ($\Delta A$) prior to and after Yb$^{3+}$ addition. Fig. 2 indicates that on altering the pH from 4.0 to about 4.5, the change in absorbance rapidly increased; conversely, at pH values greater than 6.5, it decreased. A pH of 4.25 was regarded as optimal and utilized for the test solutions. There is a possibility of the hydrolysis of Yb$^{3+}$ in higher pH values.

**The sensor response time**

To calculate the Yb$^{3+}$ sensor’s response time, the absorbance was plotted as a function of time with $10^{-7}$ M Yb$^{3+}$ concentration at 424 nm. As shown in Fig. 3, in about 60 s, the absorbance achieves a steady-state signal of 98%. Generally, the response time was reduced by increasing the analyte concentration, and it changed between 45 and 60 s. This could be attributed to the fact that by increasing the concentration of the analyte, its diffusion rate may be increased in the membrane phase.$^{49,59,60}$

**Effect of temperature on the sensor reaction**

The influence of temperature on the sensing performance was investigated. The absorption spectra were recorded at different temperatures from 25 to 70 °C at 424 nm. As the temperature of the Yb$^{3+}$ sample increases, the absorbance difference at 424 nm decreases due to thermal quenching related to the increase in the ion lattice vibration$^{61}$ and decrease in the formation of the complex with the membrane. Increasing the temperature to $\approx 75$ °C has no effect on absorbance, indicating that no complex formation occurs between Yb$^{3+}$ and HBBHAS. The optimum
temperature to achieve highly sensitive and selective results was 25 ± 2.0 °C.

The sensor regeneration

Multiple usage cycles of an optical sensor are desired, wherein the sensor is simply regenerated and gives reproducible responses. SCN⁻, EDTA, HNO₃ and HCl solutions with different concentrations were employed to regenerate the membrane sensor and desorb Yb³⁺ from it. A 0.3 M HNO₃ solution was used to efficiently remove any adsorbed Yb³⁺ from the membrane, returning its absorbance (ΔA ~ 0) to its primary value in <2.0 min.

Lifetime

The membrane sensor’s lifetime was examined by storing the membranes for two months in water. Before and after this period, the membranes’ mean absorbances at 424 nm were 0.710 (±0.020) and 0.703 (±0.028), respectively, indicating the high stability of the obtained result. As a result, the membranes are found to stable for at least two months. Furthermore, no indication of signal drift or HBBHAS leaching was revealed across the membrane’s many applications.

Preliminary studies

Absorbance variations following the absorbance readings at the maximum wavelength of immobilized HBBHAS on an agarose membrane in a spectrometer quartz cell were recorded in our preliminary investigations. They occur by adding 1.5 × 10⁻³ M aqueous solutions of Ti³⁺, Zn²⁺, Al³⁺, Ca²⁺, Co²⁺, CrO₄²⁻, Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺, H₂BO₃, Mg²⁺, Na⁺, Pb²⁺, S₂O₃²⁻, Sn²⁺, Mn²⁺, Ce³⁺, Ag⁺, Ba²⁺, Cd²⁺, Cr³⁺, Fe³⁺, La³⁺, Y³⁺, Al³⁺, Sc³⁺, Sm³⁺, Tb³⁺, Eu³⁺, Lu³⁺, Fe³⁺, Ce³⁺, Cr³⁺, S₂O₃²⁻, Mn²⁺ and KIO₃ followed by equilibration at pH 4.25. It is worth noting that the greatest variation is associated with Yb³⁺; nonetheless, minor changes in the maximum absorbance were detected (when studying the other ions) by increasing the concentration of the relevant ions. Due to the relatively high selectivity of HBBHAS for Yb³⁺ and based on its absorbance change, it was expected that the stated compound HBBHAS has a high selectivity for Yb³⁺.

Fig. 3 A characteristic response plot of the sensor at 424 nm as a function of time for [Yb³⁺] (10⁻⁷ M).

Fig. 4 The optimized structures of the HBBHAS molecule and its Yb complex.
It is possible that the immobilized HBBHAS had a flatter structural conformation than its soluble analog. To acquire further information regarding the conformational alterations in HBBHAS after complexing with the Yb$^{3+}$ ion, the free HBBHAS molecular structure and that of its $1 : 1$ complex with Yb$^{3+}$ were enhanced using HyperChem 7.0 on a Pentium IV personal computer. The 6-31G* basis set was applied at the controlled Hartree–Fock (RHF) theory level to optimize the free ligand structure. Then, using the enhanced structure of HBBHAS, the structure of its $1 : 1$ complex with Yb$^{3+}$ was presented. Fig. 4 shows the optimal structures of the HBBHAS molecules as roughly planar; however, the structure was collected slightly with respect to the complex to provide the least conformational energy. The HBBHAS molecule acts as a bidentate ligand in the optimized complex structure and creates covalent and coordinate bonds with Yb$^{3+}$ over its oxygen and one nitrogen donating groups, respectively. Considering the relatively high selectivity of the HBBHAS molecule for Yb$^{3+}$ and spectrophotometry results, the biggest variation in absorbance was observed for Yb$^{3+}$ compared to that for the other studied ions. Moreover, it is expected that HBBHAS acts as an appropriate sensing structure to fabricate an optical sensor for Yb$^{3+}$ ions. The spectral features of this optical sensor reveal an absorbance maximum at 424 nm. It is found that by incrementing Yb$^{3+}$ concentration, caused by the formation of the complex, the membrane absorbance at 424 nm is reduced. There was no detectable spectral change during the titration, which is characteristic of an absorption technique involving a robust complex establishment.

Selectivity

The developed sensor system’s selectivity was examined in the presence of a series of different ions (cations and anions) under the optimized conditions. Interference was considered only when it caused a change in absorbance greater than ±5.0%. The impacts of several possibly interfering ions on the performance of the membrane sensor response were examined. All of the previously investigated ions, particularly lanthanide ions with concentrations at least 100 times that of the Yb$^{3+}$ ion, were shown to have no significant influence on the analytical signal. The resultant relative errors are defined as $\text{RE} (%) = \left[ \frac{(A - A_0)/A_0}{A_0} \right] \times 100$. The selectivity of the obtained Yb$^{3+}$ optical membrane sensor was investigated by recording the absorbance of Yb$^{3+}$ ions at a fixed concentration ($1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ M; pH = 4.25) before ($A_0$) and after ($A$) adding some possibly interfering ions, at concentrations over 100 times that of the analyte ion. Based on the data, it is evident that the relative error is less than ±2.75% for all the considered metal ions, which is regarded as tolerable.

Calibration curve of the sensor

Under the optimal conditions, to prepare the calibration curve for Yb$^{3+}$, absorbance change values were plotted as a function of the analyte concentration at a time of 60 s, followed by the solution contact with the sensing phase. The film optode absorption signals were introduced to various Yb$^{3+}$ concentrations in the range of $6.20 \times 10^{-10}$ to $4.75 \times 10^{-5}$ M. The calibration curve is linear up to the limit where the Yb$^{3+}$ concentration is $6.20 \times 10^{-5}$ M, with an $R^2$ value of 0.9988 defined by the following equation:

$$\Delta A = -0.062 p \text{Yb} + 0.631$$

where $p \text{Yb}$ represents $-\log[\text{Yb}^{3+}]$. Based on the methodologies set by the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC), the detection and quantification limits were correspondingly defined as the sample concentration that afforded a signal identical to the blank signal and three times the standard deviation, which were $1.9 \times 10^{-10}$ and $5.76 \times 10^{-10}$ M, respectively, which are low enough to adequately monitor Yb$^{3+}$ within environmental specimens.

Reproducibility and short-term stability

The reproducibility of the optode and its repeatability were demonstrated. To evaluate the optode reproducibility, six optodes were prepared, and solutions of $5.0 \times 10^{-7}$ M and $2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ M Yb$^{3+}$ ions were measured seven times by the optodes. The RSD% values were calculated to be 2.1 (for $5.0 \times 10^{-7}$ M) and 1.6 (for $2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ M). To evaluate the repeatability of the optode, Yb$^{3+}$ ion solutions with concentrations of $5.0 \times 10^{-7}$ M and $2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ M were measured seven times by the optode. The results show RSD% values of 2.25 (for $2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ M) and 1.75 (for $5.0 \times 10^{-7}$ M), respectively. In order to assess the short-term stability of the optical sensor, the membrane was placed in a sample solution containing $2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ M Yb$^{3+}$ and the absorption was assessed for 48 h (once every 4.0 hours, and repeating each measurement 5 times). The RSD% was equal to 1.45. This experiment reported that the optode had good stability and reproducibility.

The intra-day and inter-day precision and accuracy analytical results show that the present approach is highly repeatable and reproducible, with coefficients of variation ranging between 1.15% and 1.75%.

![Fig. 5](26625) Spectrophotometric titration curve of 10 mL Yb$^{3+}$ ion solution ($2.5 \times 10^{-6}$ M) with EDTA solution ($1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ M) using the proposed membrane sensor.
Analytical applications

The Yb$^{3+}$ membrane sensor has been successfully applied in the spectrophotometric titration of Yb$^{3+}$ (10 mL, 2.5 × 10$^{-6}$ M) with a standard EDTA (1.0 × 10$^{-4}$ M with pH 10) solution, and the results are provided in Fig. 5. As can be seen, the membrane sensor may be used to assess the amount of Yb$^{3+}$ ions present.

To demonstrate the usefulness of the proposed membrane, a set of samples comprising several natural water, soil and sediment samples containing different foreign ions were analyzed. Due to its high selectivity, the impurities in the sediment samples containing different foreign ions were analyzed. The ICP-AES data (Tables 1 and 2) reveal a respectable consistency within the assessed values using the introduced technique. The accuracy was assessed by comparing the results with those obtained using ICP-AES. Applying the paired $t$-test and $F$-test, no significant difference at the 95% confidence level was observed. The agarose membrane has a long lifetime and could be used several times to determine Yb$^{3+}$ ions in environmental water, soil and sediment samples.

To test the reliability of the proposed procedure, the proposed method was employed for the direct assessment of Yb$^{3+}$ ions in binary mixtures, and the results are listed in Table 3. Defined concentrations of selected ions were mixed with 1 × 10$^{-6}$ M Yb$^{3+}$ ions and a buffer solution of pH 4.25 and added to

| Sample                | Yb added M × 10$^{-6}$ | Proposed method | ICP-AES$^{19}$ |
|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
|                       |                        | Yb found$^a$    | Yb found$^a$   | Recovery% | Recovery% | t-Test$^b$ | $F$-Test$^c$ |
| Tap water$^d$         | 0.0                    | n.d.            | n.d.           |
|                       | 7.5                    | 7.4 ± 0.31      | 98.67          | 7.7 ± 1.67 | 102.67    | 1.34       | 2.99        |
|                       | 15                     | 15.3 ± 0.65     | 102.00         | 14.5 ± 0.45 | 96.67     | 1.95       | 3.09        |
|                       | 30                     | 30.5 ± 0.38     | 101.67         | 29.4 ± 0.29 | 98.00     | 1.66       | 3.81        |
| Ground water$^d$      | 0.0                    | n.d.            | n.d.           |
|                       | 1.0                    | 0.99 ± 0.33     | 99.00          | 1.02 ± 1.66 | 102.00    | 1.47       | 2.87        |
|                       | 5.0                    | 5.03 ± 0.65     | 100.60         | 4.85 ± 0.67 | 97.00     | 1.85       | 2.35        |
|                       | 10                     | 9.85 ± 0.41     | 98.50          | 10.30 ± 0.52 | 103.00   | 1.14       | 3.23        |
| Sea water$^d$         | 0.0                    | n.d.            | n.d.           |
|                       | 25                     | 24.5 ± 0.32     | 102.08         | 26.9 ± 0.29 | 107.60    | 1.13       | 2.35        |
|                       | 75                     | 76.5 ± 0.48     | 102.00         | 74.2 ± 0.63 | 98.93     | 1.39       | 2.75        |
|                       | 150                    | 146.5 ± 0.66    | 97.67          | 158.5 ± 0.54 | 105.67   | 1.57       | 3.22        |
| Lake water$^d$        | 0.0                    | n.d.            | n.d.           |
|                       | 35                     | 35.8 ± 0.57     | 102.29         | 34.6 ± 1.66 | 98.85     | 1.37       | 3.82        |
|                       | 70                     | 72.1 ± 0.31     | 103.00         | 67.4 ± 1.48 | 96.29     | 1.56       | 3.19        |
|                       | 210                    | 215.6 ± 0.38    | 102.67         | 202.0 ± 1.74 | 96.19    | 1.95       | 3.44        |
| Polluted water$^d$    | 0.0                    | n.d.            | n.d.           |
|                       | 20                     | 20.5 ± 0.36     | 102.50         | 19.1 ± 1.84 | 95.50     | 1.95       | 3.54        |
|                       | 80                     | 82.2 ± 0.42     | 102.75         | 78.0 ± 1.43 | 97.50     | 1.76       | 3.85        |
|                       | 160                    | 162.2 ± 0.21    | 101.38         | 153.8 ± 1.29 | 96.13    | 1.81       | 2.68        |

$^a$ Mean ± relative standard deviation (n = 6). $^b$ Tabulated $t$-value for five degrees of freedom at $P(0.95)$ is 2.57. $^c$ Tabulated $F$-value at $P(0.95)$ is 5.05. $^d$ Gave no test for Yb$^{3+}$.

Table 2  Ytterbium determination in soil samples (results based on triplicate measurements)

| Samples             | Added ng g$^{-1}$ | Found$^a$ ng g$^{-1}$ | Optode | ICP-AES$^{19}$ | t-Test$^b$ | $F$-Value$^c$ |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------|----------------|------------|--------------|
| Raase El-Bar soil   | 50                | 42.8 ± 0.2            | 42.0 ± 0.6 | 91.4 ± 0.9 | 1.27       | 3.56         |
|                     | 100               | 141.7 ± 0.5           | 144.4 ± 0.8 | 190.5 ± 0.7 | 1.49       | 2.87         |
| Enshas soil         | 50                | 56.2 ± 0.3             | 54.5 ± 0.9 | 96.2 ± 1.0 | 3.81       |
|                     | 80                | 138.2 ± 0.7            | 132.6 ± 1.1 | 1.05       | 2.87       |
| Gamassa sediment    | 50                | 185.8 ± 0.3            | 189.2 ± 0.8 | 1.24       | 3.63       |
|                     | 100               | 252.2 ± 0.6            | 243.7 ± 1.2 | 2.78       |

$^a$ Average of six determinations. $^b$ Tabulated $t$-value for five degrees of freedom at $P(0.95)$ is 2.57. $^c$ Tabulated $F$-value at $P(0.95)$ is 5.05.
the cell containing the sensor after each absorbance measurement. The recovery of Yb\(^{3+}\) ions is equivalent, as revealed in Table 3. The results obtained were compared with those obtained from ICP-AES\(^{44}\) analysis and were found to be in good agreement. The findings show that the Yb\(^{3+}\) membrane sensor can be used to monitor Yb\(^{3+}\) directly in real samples.

The HBBHAS reagent introduces satisfactory sensitivity for the spectrophotometric assessment of rare earth ions, due to its great selectivity for Yb\(^{3+}\). Synthetic binary mixtures of Yb\(^{3+}\) and La\(^{3+}\) comprising various proportions of both substances were solved with excellent results (Table 3). This is due to the fact that the Yb\(^{3+}\) ion is selectively extracted with respect to La\(^{3+}\).

It is also possible to solve ternary mixtures of Yb\(^{3+}\), La\(^{3+}\) and Ce\(^{3+}\), with the results shown in Table 4. The lanthanide assessments in geological and other samples do not need a preceding separation step, even though determination techniques such as ICP-AES\(^{44}\) are employed. These separation procedures are time-consuming processes. Methods such as that of Crock et al.\(^{36}\) based on ion-exchange chromatography by sequential acid elution can be applied for the initial separation of lanthanides from the matrix metals (this leads to a relative error of 3.0% in individual Yb assessment).

To solve multi-element lanthanide samples, ion-exchange chromatography was used. Minczewsky and Dybczynski\(^{44}\) examined the possibility of separating anionic complexes of rare earth elements with some organic ligands using anionic resins. This is a rapid and effective technique. According to their results, the elution order is Lu < Yb < Tm < Y < Ho < La < Ce < Tb < Pr < Gd < Pm < Eu.

Their experiment revealed that the separation of Yb\(^{3+}\) from La\(^{3+}\) is possible by the approach reported here (% relative error < 2.0%). Yb\(^{3+}\) was separated from Y\(^{3+}\) with an error of 3.0%. The elution order obtained by Minczewsky was confirmed, which guarantees the quantitative separation of Yb\(^{3+}\) from the rest of the lanthanides. Table 5 presents the results obtained in the processing of synthetic samples and other materials.

The performance of the proposed method was assessed by calculating the t-value (for accuracy) and F-value (for precision) compared with the ICP-AES method. The mean values were obtained using the Student’s t- and F-tests at 95% confidence limits for five degrees of freedom.\(^{48}\) The results show that the calculated values did not exceed the theoretical values. The wider range of determination, higher accuracy, increased stability and lower time consumption show the advantages of the proposed method over the other method.

**Conclusions**

In summary, immobilizing \(\beta\)-2-hydroxybenzyl-5-bromo-2-hydroxyazasteryne on an agarose membrane led to an optical sensor with better selectivity to assess Yb\(^{3+}\). The introduced
sensor provided desirable optical features, such as great selectivity, reproducibility, adequate lifetime, fast regeneration, easy and cheap fabrication, and easy handling. The membrane reacts to Yb$^{3+}$ by reducing the sensing phase absorbance. Using a 0.3 M HNO$_3$ solution, the sensor can be readily regenerated, resulting in a longer lifetime with multiple applications and making it an alternative technique for the environmental monitoring of Yb$^{3+}$ over a range of $4.75 \times 10^{-5}$ to $6.20 \times 10^{-10}$ M. It was demonstrated that the data from ICP-AES$^{10}$ were in accordance with the corresponding results of the proposed sensor.
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