Modularity and determinants of a (bi-)polarization control system from free-living and obligate intracellular bacteria
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Abstract Although free-living and obligate intracellular bacteria are both polarized it is unclear whether the underlying polarization mechanisms and effector proteins are conserved. Here we dissect at the cytological, functional and structural level a conserved polarization module from the free living α-proteobacterium Caulobacter crescentus and an orthologous system from an obligate intracellular (rickettsial) pathogen. The NMR solution structure of the zinc-finger (ZnR) domain from the bifunctional and bipolar ZitP pilus assembly/motility regulator revealed conserved interaction determinants for PopZ, a bipolar matrix protein that anchors the ParB centromere-binding protein and other regulatory factors at the poles. We show that ZitP regulates cytokinesis and the localization of ParB and PopZ, targeting PopZ independently of the previously known binding sites for its client proteins. Through heterologous localization assays with rickettsial ZitP and PopZ orthologs, we document the shared ancestries, activities and structural determinants of a (bi-)polarization system encoded in free-living and obligate intracellular α-proteobacteria.
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Introduction

Polarity is an ancient trait underlying developmental patterning and morphogenesis in eukaryotes and bacteria (Martin and Arkowitz, 2014; Shapiro et al., 2002; St Johnston and Ahringer, 2010). Cell pole-organizing proteins arose more than once during bacterial evolution as indicated by the fact that distinct polarization mechanisms exist in different bacterial phyla (Davis and Waldor, 2013; Kirkpatrick and Viollier, 2011; Strahl and Hamoen, 2012; Treuner-Lange and Segarra-Andersen, 2014). The Gram-negative α-proteobacterial lineage encompasses polarized free-living (Davis and Waldor, 2013; Haglund et al., 2010; Hallez et al., 2004; Kirkpatrick and Viollier, 2011) and obligate intracellular bacteria including the mitochondrial ancestors (Andersson et al., 1998; Haglund et al., 2010).

The fresh-water bacterium Caulobacter crescentus is a model system for the genetic analysis of α-proteobacterial cell polarity because polar differentiation is tightly coordinated with cell cycle progression and because of the availability of a myriad of genetic tools to study this species compared to the obligate intracellular (rickettsial) pathogens (Figure 1A)(Curtis and Brun, 2010; Ely, 1991). The C. crescentus predivisional cell features the flagellum and a pilus biosynthesis machine at the new pole and a stalk, a cylindrical extension of the cell envelope, at the old pole. Upon completion of cell division, the replicative stalked (ST) cell progeny begins chromosome replication and an asymmetric cell division cycle. By contrast, the motile and piliated swarmer (SW) cell progeny resides...
Figure 1. The Zinc finger (ZnR) of ZitP and orthologs is a polar localization signal. (A) Schematics of PopZ and ParB localization and chromosome organization during the C. crescentus cell cycle. Each cell cycle yields two different daughter cells: a swarmer (SW) and a stalked (ST) cell residing in G1 and S-phase, respectively. The replication origin region (red, including the centromeric sequence eight kbp from the origin) and the terminus region (yellow) are shown. (B) Schematic of the domain organization in ZitP: the N-terminal zinc-finger domain (ZnR), the transmembrane domain (TM) and the DUF3426 domain (orange).

**Figure 1 continued on next page**
C-terminal domain-of-unknown function (DUF3426). The green arrowhead points to the codon in the zitP coding sequence harboring the GFP insertion in the zitP::Tn5-GFP strain. All regions are drawn to scale. Numbers indicate residues. (C) Alignment of the ZnR from α-proteobacterial ZitP orthologs (in red) and one δ-proteobacterium (in blue) (accession nos.: YP_002517671 [Cc, Caulobacter crescentus], ADU14901 [Ae, Asticcacaulis excentricus], ABI66665 [Mm, Maricaulis maris], ADG11135 [Cs, Caulobacter segnis], WP_003168465 [Bd, Brevundimonas diminuta], WP_014365322 [Rm, Rickettsia massiliae], WP_011909408 [Rs, Rhodobacter sphaeroides] and ABF87224 [Mx, Myxococcus xanthus]). The four cysteine residues coordinating the zinc ion are highlighted (blue arrowheads). Asterisks indicate the conserved residues promoting ZitP·PopZ complex formation. (D) Overlays of fluorescence and phase contrast images showing the subcellular localization of ZitP·Tn5-GFP encoded by the zitP::Tn5-GFP allele in WT or ΔpopZ. C. crescentus cells (top). The graphs below show the quantitation of the localization from above. The left graph indicates the distribution of foci along the longitudinal axis. Focus (n = 1048) position is given in relative coordinates from 0 (pole) to 0.5 (midcell). P, pole; M, midcell. The right graph shows the percentage of cells containing at least one focus of ZitP·Tn5-GFP in WT (n = 1048) or in ΔpopZ cells (n = 426). (E) Overlay images as in D showing the subcellular localization of the first 90 residues of ZitP from C. crescentus (Cc) and orthologs from A. excentricus (Ae), M. maris (Mm), C. segnis (Cs) in C. crescentus WT (upper panels) or ΔpopZ (bottom panels) cells. Strains expressing Dendra2-ZitP1-43 from the chromosomal xyf locus were induced with xylose for 4 hr before imaging (phase contrast and Dendra2-fluorescence). (F) Overlay images as in D showing the subcellular localization of the ZnR of ZitP (Dendra2-ZitP1-43) of C. crescentus (Cc) and orthologs from B. diminuta (Bd) in WT (upper panels) or ΔpopZ (bottom panels) C. crescentus cells. Strains expressing Dendra2-ZitP1-43 from the chromosomal xyf locus were induced with xylose for 4 hr before imaging (phase contrast and Dendra2-fluorescence). (G) Time-lapse imaging of swarmer cells from ΔzitP cells expressing Dendra2-ZitP1-43 from the chromosomal xyf locus after induction for 1 hr with 0.3% xylose. Cells were then synchronized and transferred onto an agarose pad containing 0.3% xylose (t = 0 min), and visualized at 40 min intervals (time in minutes is indicated in the images) by phase contrast and Dendra2-fluorescence microscopy, respectively. Shown above the overlays are the schematics representing ZitP·Tn5-GFP localization during the C. crescentus cell cycle. (H) Images of ΔzitP cells expressing Dendra2-ZitP1-43 from the chromosomal xyf locus and mCherry-PopZ from the native chromosomal popZ locus. Fluorescence and phase contrast images were acquired after 4 hr of induction with 0.3% xylose. Cells expressing Dendra2-ZitP1-43 (left panel, green) and mCherry-PopZ (middle panel, red) are shown. Co-localized red and green foci appear yellow in the overlay (right panel).
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The following figure supplement is available for figure 1:

**Figure supplement 1. Conservation of ZitP and PopZ.**
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temporarily in a non-replicative (G1-like) state. At the SW to ST cell transition, the flagged and piliated (SW) pole is remodeled into a ST pole and the developing cell acquires DNA replication competence. Replication of the circular chromosome proceeds bi-directionally from the single origin of replication (Cori) located at the nascent ST pole (Curtis and Brun, 2010). Once duplicated, the Cori region is rapidly segregated towards the nascent SW pole by the ParAB chromosome segregation system that targets the parS centromeric sequence located circa 8 kbp from Cori (Figure 1A) (Mohl and Gober, 1997; Viollier et al., 2004). The cis-encoded ParB protein binds parS and the resulting ParB·parS complex is guided pole-ward by the ParA ATPase, likely reinforced by poorly understood biochemical constraints and properties of the chromosome (Lim et al., 2014; Mohl and Gober, 1997). The PopZ polar organizing protein is thought to assemble a porous homo-polymorphic matrix at the cell poles that captures the segregated ParB·parS complex (Figure 1A) via a direct interaction with ParAB (Bowman et al., 2008, 2013; Ebersbach et al., 2008; Holmes et al., 2016; Laloux and Jacobs-Wagner, 2013).

The polar localization of PopZ is cell cycle-regulated: in newborn cells PopZ is localized to the old cell pole, whereas the newborn pole initially lacks a PopZ cluster (Bowman et al., 2008; Ebersbach et al., 2008). During S-phase, PopZ adopts a bipolar disposition (Figure 1A) coincident with ParB·parS segregation to facilitate its capture at the opposite pole. Formation of the second polar PopZ cluster may depend on the ParA ATPase and the TipN landmark protein, a coiled-coil protein that interacts with ParA and that marks the new pole as flagellar assembly site (Huitema et al., 2006; Laloux and Jacobs-Wagner, 2013; Lam et al., 2006; Ptacin et al., 2010). Although ParAB are essential for viability in C. crescentus, TipN or PopZ are individually dispensable (Bowman et al., 2008; Ebersbach et al., 2008; Huitema et al., 2006; Lam et al., 2006; Mohl and Gober, 1997), but joint inactivation of both genes arrests growth (Schofield et al., 2010). As most α-proteobacterial genomes encode PopZ and ParAB, but not TipN, TipN-independent control mechanism(s) for PopZ polarization should exist in α-proteobacteria.

In the Rhizobiales order of the α-proteobacteria, PopZ does not appear to fasten ParB at the cell poles as polar PopZ does not co-localize with ParB: while PopZ is monopolar, ParB localizes in a bipolar fashion (Deghele et al., 2014; Grangeon et al., 2015). Thus, compared to the bipolar co-
localization of PopZ and ParB observed in C. crescentus (Bowman et al., 2008; Ebersbach et al., 2008; Ptacin et al., 2014), PopZ seems to have undergone functional specialization in the Rhizobiales, presumably interacting with other (unknown) client proteins. The genomes of the obligate intracellular (rickettsial) lineage also encode PopZ and ParAB orthologs (Andersson et al., 1998), but not several other known client proteins of C. crescentus PopZ that depend on a short N-terminal stretch in PopZ to interact with it (Bowman et al., 2010; Holmes et al., 2016; Laloux and Jacobs-Wagner, 2013; Ptaciu et al., 2014).

Here, we unearth a reciprocal, physical and conserved interaction between PopZ and the cytoplasmic N-terminal zinc-finger domain (ZnR) from ZitP (Hughes et al., 2010), a bifunctional and bipolar membrane protein whose C-terminal DUF3426 domain is required for polar pilus biogenesis (Mignolet et al., 2016)(Christen et al., 2016), but dispensable for motility. We locate the structural determinants governing PopZ/ZitP complex formation and we show that this interaction is required to control cytokinesis and centromere positioning from the membrane. The PopZ/ZitP interaction differs for previously described interactions between PopZ and client proteins (Holmes et al., 2016) in that it does not require the aforementioned PopZ N-terminal segment. We show that ZitP induces PopZ bipolarity in the heterologous host Escherichia coli and we reconstitute a bipolar ZitP–PopZ–ParB tripartite complex in this system. Examining rickettsial PopZ and ZitP orthologs, we find that the PopZ/ZitP complex is modular and that the structure-function relationship is maintained in the obligate intracellular lineage.

**Results**

**PopZ-dependent localization of the ZitP zinc-finger domain (ZnR) of α-proteobacteria**

We previously isolated a C. crescentus strain bearing a Tn5-GFP insertion in the 5'-proximal third of the poorly characterized and conserved CC_2215/CCNA_02298 gene (Figure 1-figure supplement 1A, dubbed zitP, zinc-finger targeting PopZ, Figure 1B–D). The resulting strain expresses a bipolarly localized and truncated ZitP-GFP fusion protein and is viable (Hughes et al., 2010). Consistent with this result, genome-wide transposon insertion sequencing (Tn-Seq) revealed that ZitP is not essential for viability, but required for pilus biogenesis and motility (Christen et al., 2011, 2016; Hughes et al., 2010(Mignolet et al., 2016)). The predicted ZitP protein harbors an N-terminal zinc-finger domain (zinc_ribbon_5 or PF13719 superfamily, residues 1–37, henceforth ZnR, Figure 1B–C) and a trans-membrane segment (TM, residues 106–127) preceding the C-terminal DUF3426 (residues 128–245). While the DUF3426 is required for polar pilus biogenesis (Mignolet et al., 2016), it is not required for motility or to direct ZitP to the cell poles since in polar ZitP-Tn-GFP the GFP moiety is fused in-frame to codon 49 of ZitP (green triangle in Figure 1B).

Quantitative live-cell fluorescence imaging of ZitP-Tn-GFP in zitP::Tn5-GFP cells (n = 1048) revealed monopolar fluorescent foci in non-constricted cells, while bipolar foci are present in constricted cells (Figure 1D), suggesting that the ZnR and/or adjacent residues are necessary and sufficient for ZitP polarization and that bipolarization is cell cycle-regulated. We engineered strains expressing a Dendra2-ZitP fusion protein (an N-terminal translational fusion of the Dendra2 fluorescent protein to the zinc-finger domain (1-43) and a trans-membrane segment (TM, residues 106–127) preceding the C-terminal DUF3426 (residues 128–245). While the DUF3426 is required for polar pilus biogenesis (Mignolet et al., 2016), it is not required for motility or to direct ZitP to the cell poles since in polar ZitP-Tn-GFP the GFP moiety is fused in-frame to codon 49 of ZitP (green triangle in Figure 1B).

Next, we asked if the highly conserved 43 residues encompassing the C. crescentus ZitP ZnR domain (Figure 1C, Figure 1-figure supplement 1A) suffice to direct Dendra2 to the cell poles. Expression of Dendra2-ZitP(1–43) from xyIR in C. crescentus WT or ΔzitP cells indeed gave rise to cell cycle-dependent bipolar fluorescence (Figure 1G): while Dendra2-ZitP(1–43) is initially monopolar in G1-phase cells, it switches to a bipolar disposition during S-phase, 60 min after the release of G1.
cells into fresh medium. Since this cell cycle localization pattern of Dendra2-ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> closely resembles that of the polar matrix protein PopZ (Bowman et al., 2008; Ebersbach et al., 2008), we co-expressed Dendra2-ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> and mCherry-PopZ in AzT<sup>b</sup>(xyI):dendra-zitP<sup>(1-43)</sup>popZ::mCherry-popZ cells and found that mCherry-PopZ co-localizes with Dendra2-ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> (Figure 1H). Importantly, ZitP<sup>Tn-GFP</sup>, Dendra2-ZitP<sup>(1-90)</sup> and Dendra2-ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> are all delocalized (diffuse) in ΔpopZ cells (Figure 1D, E and F) and immunoblotting revealed that the abundance of Dendra2-ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> is not significantly affected by the ΔpopZ mutation (Figure 1—figure supplement 1C).

Knowing that polar localization of Dendra2-ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> depends on PopZ, we then tested if Dendra2-ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> is sequestered into the large monopolar PopZ patch (‘plug’) that forms when PopZ is overexpressed in C. crescentus (Bowman et al., 2008; Ebersbach et al., 2008). This PopZ ‘plug’ seems to exclude cytoplasmic macromolecular structures as ribosomes and genomic DNA from the cell poles, while capturing PopZ-interacting proteins (Bowman et al., 2010). We observed Dendra2-ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> and full length Dendra2-ZitP to adopt a monopolar ‘plug’-like disposition when PopZ is overexpressed (Figure 2A, Figure 2—figure supplement 1A), suggesting that Dendra2-ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> is indeed recruited to PopZ ‘plugs’.

To test whether PopZ is sufficient to recruit Dendra2-ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup>, we expressed both proteins in the γ-proteobacterium E. coli and observed that Dendra2-ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> indeed co-localizes with mCherry-PopZ (Figure 2B). By contrast, in the absence of PopZ, Dendra2-ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> remains diffuse (cytoplasmic, see below) in this heterologous host. All orthologous Dendra2-ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> variants tested share this pattern (with the exception of the Rhodobacter sphaeroides variant, see below), co-localizing with C. crescentus mCherry-PopZ in E. coli (Figure 2B). Thus, PopZ recruits the (predicted) ZitP ZnR and this property is conserved in most ZitP orthologs.

### NMR structure of ZitP ZnR and determinants for PopZ binding

To determine if C. crescentus ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> and PopZ interact directly, we separately purified soluble ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> and PopZ with a C-terminal-hexa-histidine (His6)-tag from an E. coli overexpression system. In size exclusion chromatography (SEC), PopZ elutes with an apparent molecular mass of oligomers (≈200 kDa), while ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> elutes as a monomer (Figure 2—figure supplement 1B–D). ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> and PopZ can be co-purified by SEC and the resulting ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup>PopZ complex has a high apparent molecular mass, suggesting that ZitP binds oligomeric PopZ. Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) estimated the dissociation constant (K<sub>D</sub>) between ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> and PopZ at 700 nM (Figure 2C), confirming the specific interaction between both proteins in vitro.

To identify determinants within ZitP that are required for the interaction with PopZ, we first resolved the NMR solution structure of ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> by following a classical nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE)-based approach (Figure 2E, Supplementary file 1, Figure 2—figure supplement 1E, see Materials and methods). The resonance assignment revealed that cysteine residues C5, C8, C28 and C31 are reduced and harbor typical Cβ chemical shifts of a zinc ion coordination module comprised between 31.58 ppm and 32.96 ppm (Kornhaber et al., 2006). The 20 NMR structures of ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> were overlaid with a root mean square deviation of 0.23 Å over the backbone atoms (Supplementary file 1), revealing two double-stranded antiparallel β-sheets (ββ-ββ), forming a ‘crab claw’ in which C5, C8, C28, C31 are located at the turns of β1/β2 and β3/β4 chelate a zinc ion (Figure 2D–E). This ZitP ZnR structure is unusual, though related to the family of the ‘ribbon zinc-fingers’ (zinc_ribbon_5 or PF13719 superfamily), albeit it lacks the additional β-strand typically located in between the two β-sheets.

Next, we monitored the interaction between 15N-labeled ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> and unlabeled PopZ to locate residues in ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> that are influenced by the interaction with PopZ (Figure 2F). This turned our attention to the aromatic side-chain of an invariant tryptophan at position 35 (W35, Figure 2E) that stacks above the zinc-coordination module of ZitP and that is replaced by a new species upon the addition of PopZ. W35 is interesting because the aromatic side chain at this position is replaced by an isoleucine in the primary structure of the AgmX zinc-finger (Figure 1C) that does not localize in C. crescentus (Figure 1—figure supplement 1B). Moreover, W35 is surrounded by a surface-exposed patch of basic residues (K18, R24, R27, R34, Figure 2E) and it is required to bind PopZ in vitro, as determined by ITC with a W35 mutant derivative of ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> [ZitP<sup>(1-43)W35D</sup>]. Consistent with these ITC experiments, W35I impairs the interaction of Dendra2-ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> with polar PopZ in vivo (Figure 3A and B), as indicated by the diffuse fluorescence of Dendra2-ZitP<sup>(1-43)</sup> in C. crescentus and in E. coli cells expressing PopZ (Figure 3A–B). Similarly, a C5S/C8S/C28S/C31S
Figure 2. The ZnR of ZitP directly interacts with PopZ. (A) Images showing the localization of Dendra2-ZitP<sup>1-43</sup> in ΔzitP cells harbouring the empty vector (upper panel) or a plasmid to overproduce PopZ under control of the vanillate-inducible P<sub>van</sub> promoter (lower panel). Images were taken before (- van) or after PopZ overexpression was induced by the addition of 0.5 mM vanillate for 5 hr (+ van). (B) Images of E. coli TB28 cells co-expressing Dendra2-ZitP<sup>1-43</sup> from C. crescentus (Cc), B. diminuta (Bd) or M. maris (Mm) and mCherry-PopZ. The Dendra2-fluorescence (green channel, right), the mCherry-fluorescence (red, middle) or the combined fluorescence (yellow) channels are shown as overlays with phase contrast images. Cells were grown in LB media for 2 hr, then Dendra2-ZitP<sup>1-43</sup> variants were induced with 1 mM IPTG and mCherry-PopZ was induced with 0.2% L-arabinose for 2 hr. (C) Isothermal titration calorimetry experiments (upper) measuring changes upon injection of 4 µL of a 300 µM PopZ solution into a 15 µM solution...
ZitP controls PopZ localization

As PopZ interacts with the centromere (parS)-binding protein ParB and is required to anchor parS at both C. crescentus poles (Bowman et al., 2008; Ebersbach et al., 2008; Laloux and Jacobs-Wagner, 2013; Ptacin et al., 2014), we tested if the ZitP·PopZ complex associates, directly or indirectly, with parS in vivo. To this end, we conducted chromatin immunoprecipitation–deep-sequencing (ChiP-Seq) using antibodies recognizing ParB (anti-ParB) or ZitP (anti-ZitP specific for either the N-terminus or the C-terminus, Figure 4—figure supplement 1). These experiments confirmed that ParB exclusively occupies the parS site in vivo and additionally showed that i) the ZitP·PopZ complex associates with two chromosomal regions flanking, but not overlapping, parS (by 2–3 kbp) and that ii) the ParAB interaction sites in PopZ are critical for this association (Figure 4—figure supplement 1A). Interestingly, R. sphaeroides Dendra2-ZitP variants are stably expressed (Figure 3—figure supplement 1A), we conclude that these residues are required for the formation of a polar ZitP·PopZ complex.

Interestingly, R. sphaeroides Dendra2-ZitP is also largely diffuse in C. crescentus (Figure 3C) or in PopZ-expressing E. coli, but some faint polar signals are noticeable as well (Figure 3D). Questioning the basis for this poor polar localization in C. crescentus, we noted that this ZitP ortholog contains a naturally-occurring substitution (corresponding to R27Q in Figure 1C in the aforementioned basic patch. We therefore asked if polar localization could be improved if this substitution is ‘corrected’ (i.e. reversed) by a Q27R substitution of R. sphaeroides Dendra2-ZitP. This ‘corrected’ R. sphaeroides ZitPQ27R version is indeed robustly polar in C. crescentus (Figure 3C) and in PopZ-expressing E. coli cells (Figure 3D). When the W35I substitution is introduced into this ‘corrected’ R. sphaeroides variant, polar localization is again lost without compromising abundance (Figure 3—figure supplement 1B). We conclude that this W35I substitution is responsible for the formation of a polar ZitP·PopZ complex.

ZitP controls PopZ localization

As PopZ interacts with the centromere (parS)-binding protein ParB and is required to anchor parS at both C. crescentus poles (Bowman et al., 2008; Ebersbach et al., 2008; Laloux and Jacobs-Wagner, 2013; Ptacin et al., 2014), we tested if the ZitP·PopZ complex associates, directly or indirectly, with parS in vivo. To this end, we conducted chromatin immunoprecipitation–deep-sequencing (ChiP-Seq) using antibodies recognizing ParB (anti-ParB) or ZitP (anti-ZitP specific for either the N-terminus or the C-terminus, Figure 4—figure supplement 1). These experiments confirmed that ParB exclusively occupies the parS site in vivo and additionally showed that i) the ZitP·PopZ complex associates with two chromosomal regions flanking, but not overlapping, parS (by 2–3 kbp) and that ii) the ParAB interaction sites in PopZ are critical for this association (Figure 4—figure supplement 1).

As ZitP is perfectly positioned to influence the subcellular position of ParB and/or PopZ, we examined the localization of both proteins in strains lacking or overproducing ZitP. While inactivation of ZitP has a mild effect on CFP-ParB localization in WT cells (Figure 4—figure supplement 2), we observed aberrant CFP-ParB localization and perturbed cytokinesis in ΔzitP cells expressing variants of PopZ impaired in binding ParB and/or ParA (Laloux and Jacobs-Wagner, 2013; Ptacin et al., 2014)(Figure 4A). Quantification revealed that ΔzitP △popZ cells expressing mCherry-PopZKE (impaired in ParB binding, ΔzitP mCherry-popZKE) had fewer bipolar foci compared to zitP+ cells and an increase of cells without polar signals. Importantly, these abnormalities were not seen in zitP+ cells, indicating that ZitP promotes polar localization of PopZ when the ParAB-dependent (and/or another) localization pathway requiring the PopZ N-terminal region is impaired. Consistent with these results, we found that the efficiency of gliding (EOP) of ΔzitP cells harboring the popZKE allele (ΔzitP mCherry-popZKE) that encodes a PopZ variant lacking the N-terminal 26 residues to bind ParAB and other client proteins (Holmes et al., 2016; Ptacin et al., 2014) is markedly reduced compared to zitP+ cells (Figure 4B). EOP was similarly diminished in ΔzitP △popZ cells expressing PopZ-KEP (ΔzitP mCherry-popZKE) a version of PopZ in which the interaction sites for ParAB (and other PopZ client proteins) are inactivated by site-directed mutation [E12K/R19E/S22P](Figure 4B).
Figure 3. Molecular determinants underpinning the ZitP-PopZ complex. (A) Images showing the subcellular localization of WT C. crescentus cells expressing Dendra2-ZitP<sup>1-43</sup>(WT), Dendra2-ZitP<sup>1-43</sup>(CS), Dendra2-ZitP<sup>1-43</sup>(W35I) or Dendra2-ZitP<sup>1-43</sup>(R24A/R27A) from the xylX locus. Synthesis of the Dendra2-ZitP<sup>1-43</sup> variants was induced with xylose for 4 hr before phase contrast and Dendra2-fluorescence imaging. (B) Images of E. coli TB28 cells expressing Dendra2-ZitP<sup>1-43</sup>(WT), Dendra2-ZitP<sup>1-43</sup>(CS), Dendra2-ZitP<sup>1-43</sup>(W35I) or Dendra2-ZitP<sup>1-43</sup>(R24A/R27A) (left panels) in the presence of mCherry-PopZ (middle panels) and overlay images (right panels).
panels). Overlays between green (Dendra2) and/or red (mCherry) fluorescence and phase contrast images are shown (right panels). Cells were grown in LB for 2 hr, then the expression of Dendra2-ZitP was induced with 1 mM IPTG and 0.2% L-arabinose, respectively, for 2 hr. (C) Images of WT C. crescentus cells expressing R. sphaeroides (Rs) Dendra2-ZitP\textsuperscript{1-143(WT)}, Dendra2-ZitP\textsuperscript{1-143(G27R)} or Dendra2-ZitP\textsuperscript{1-143(G27R/W35G)} and of ΔpopZ C. crescentus cells expressing R. sphaeroides Dendra-ZitP\textsuperscript{1-143(WT)}. Synthesis of the Dendra2-ZitP\textsuperscript{1-143} variants was induced from the xyl\textsubscript{X} locus 4 hr before phase contrast and Dendra2-fluorescence imaging. (D) Images of E. coli TB28 cells expressing R. sphaeroides (Rs) Dendra2-ZitP\textsuperscript{1-143(WT)}, Dendra2-ZitP\textsuperscript{1-43(G27R)} or Dendra2-ZitP\textsuperscript{1-43(G27R/W35G)} (left panels) in the presence of mCherry-PopZ (middle panels). Overlays between green (Dendra2) and/or red (mCherry) fluorescence channels with phase contrast images are shown (right panel). Cells were grown in LB for 2 hr, then the expression of Dendra2-ZitP\textsuperscript{1-143} variants and of mCherry-PopZ was induced with 1 mM IPTG and 0.2% L-arabinose, respectively, for 2 hr.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.20640.007

The following figure supplement is available for figure 3:

**Figure supplement 1.** Steady-state levels of Dendra-ZitP\textsuperscript{1-143} variants.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.20640.008

While exploring which region in ZitP is required to control PopZ, we noted that ΔzitP ΔpopZ cells expressing the mCherry-PopZ\textsuperscript{KES} have a reduced growth rate in broth and that this defect is rescued by expression of (full-length) Dendra2-ZitP from the xyl\textsubscript{X} locus using the xylose-inducible P\textsubscript{xyl} promoter (Figure 4C). The C-terminal DUF3426 that is required for polar pilus biogenesis (Mignollet et al., 2016) is not required to improve growth, as revealed by growth measurements of cells expressing the ZitP\textsuperscript{1-133} variant. However, when the interaction with PopZ is abrogated [in the ZitP\textsuperscript{1-133(W35G)} mutant], cells grow poorly. By contrast, no dependency on ZitP is seen in ΔpopZ cells expressing otherwise unmodified mCherry-PopZ (mCherry-popZ, Figure 4C). Taken together, these results show that ZitP is important for growth and viability for ΔpopZ cells expressing PopZ that no longer interacts efficiently with ParAB, and that the ability of membrane-anchored ZitP to bind PopZ via the ZnR is important to regulate PopZ. Thus, there exist at least two (redundant) mechanisms of PopZ localization control in C. crescentus: one regulated directly or indirectly by ParAB (Laloux and Jacobs-Wagner, 2013; Ptacin et al., 2014) (or the N-terminal region of PopZ) and another that is modulated by membrane-anchored ZitP [ZitP\textsuperscript{1-133}].

Further insight for the role of this truncated (membrane-anchored) ZitP came from overexpression of ZitP\textsuperscript{1-133} from P\textsubscript{xyl} on a multi-copy plasmid (pMT464) in cfp-parB mCherry-popZ cells. We found that localization of ParB and PopZ is strongly perturbed (Figure 4D) upon induction of ZitP\textsuperscript{1-133} with xylose. Time lapse-fluorescence imaging revealed that the pole-ward movement of CFP-ParB normally seen in S-phase of WT cells stalls halfway through in cells overexpressing ZitP\textsuperscript{1-133} (Figure 4D, see Figure 4—figure supplement 3A for GFP-ParB). In these cells, mCherry-PopZ forms internal clusters, often proximal to CFP-ParB clusters. By contrast, CFP-ParB movement and mCherry-PopZ localization proceeds normally through the cell cycle in cells overexpressing the W35I derivative of ZitP\textsuperscript{1-133} (Figure 4D). Also, ZitP\textsuperscript{1-133} overexpression displaces a monomeric ParA derivative [ParA(G16V)] whose localization is PopZ-dependent (Ptacin et al., 2014) from the cell poles into aberrant cytoplasmic clusters (Figure 4—figure supplement 3B). These defects seen at the single cell level are accompanied by cell filamentation at the population level and are not apparent either with full-length ZitP (Figure 4E) overexpressed to comparable levels (Figure 4—figure supplement 3C) or with ZitP\textsuperscript{1-133} variants harboring the W35I single mutation, the R24A/R27A double mutation or the C55/C85/C285/C315 quadruple mutation (Figure 4E). As overexpression of the ZnR without any membrane anchoring domain [ZitP\textsuperscript{1-90}] does not cause such cell cycle defects either (Figure 4F), we asked if membrane-anchoring is specific for this ZitP activity. The aforementioned overexpression phenotypes are still supported by overexpression of a ZitP variant in which the TM of ZitP\textsuperscript{1-133} had been replaced with an unrelated TM from the E. coli MalF protein (Figure 4F). Finally, we also observed that overproduction of ZitP\textsuperscript{1-133} in mCherry-popZ\textsuperscript{KES} cells [in which the interaction with ParAB is impaired, (Laloux and Jacobs-Wagner, 2013; Ptacin et al., 2014) recapitulates the overexpression phenotype (Figure 4G)] seen in mCherry-popZ cells. Taken together, these results show that ZitP is able to redirect PopZ localization via a direct interaction at the membrane independently of the known interaction sites for ParA and ParB (and/or potentially other PopZ client proteins). As a consequence of PopZ delocalization, the localization of DNA segregation proteins like ParB and ParA is perturbed and cells divide infrequently owing to the tight coupling of chromosome segregation and cytokinetic control (Thanbichler and Shapiro, 2006). Since
Figure 4. The ZitP-PopZ complex controls the C. crescentus cell division cycle. (A) Overlays of mCherry-fluorescence and phase contrast images of WT (upper panels) or ΔzitP (bottom) C. crescentus expressing the mCherry-PopZ<sup>KE</sup> variant that no longer interacts with ParB. mCherry-PopZ<sup>KE</sup> is expressed from the native locus (mCherry-popZ<sup>KE</sup>) in lieu of untagged PopZ. Below the micrographs are quantifications of cells with and without bipolar or monopolar fluorescent foci of mCherry-PopZ<sup>KE</sup>. (B) Efficiency of plating (EOP) assays of C. crescentus strains expressing WT mCherry-PopZ (mCherry-PopZ<sup>KE</sup>) from pMT464. (C) Overexpression of ZitP<sup>1-133</sup> version from pMT464 [p<sup>p<sub>xyl</sub></sup>-zitP<sup>1-133</sup>]<sup>KE</sup> in parB::CFP-parB; popZ::mCherry-popZ<sup>KE</sup> cells.

Overexpression of ZitP<sup>1-133</sup> from pMT464 in parB::CFP-parB; popZ::mCherry-popZ<sup>KE</sup> cells.
mCherry-popZ\textsuperscript{KEP} cells are also affected by ZitP\textsuperscript{1-133} in growth and division, an unknown PopZ client protein(s) may contribute to the PopZ-dependent phenotypes caused by under- or over-expression of ZitP\textsuperscript{1-133}.

**ZitP imparts bipolarity upon PopZ in *E. coli***

Knowing that membrane-anchored ZitP controls PopZ localization in *C. crescentus*, we attempted to recapitulate these effects in a heterologous system by co-expression of ZitP\textsuperscript{1-43} or ZitP\textsuperscript{1-133} with mCherry-PopZ in *E. coli*. When ZitP\textsuperscript{1-43} is co-expressed with mCherry-PopZ in this system, mCherry-PopZ forms a single cluster (Figure 5—figure supplement 1A) resembling the ones previously described (Bowman et al., 2008; Ebersbach et al., 2008; Laloux and Jacobs-Wagner, 2013) whose location is fairly sporadic and infrequently associated with the cell extremity (Figure 5—figure supplement 1A). By contrast, co-expression of full-length ZitP or ZitP\textsuperscript{1-133} directs mCherry-PopZ into a robust bipolar disposition in *E. coli* cells (Figure 5A, Figure 5—figure supplement 1A). Conversely, the localization of Dendra2-ZitP in *E. coli* co-expressing PopZ provided matching results: Dendra2-ZitP\textsuperscript{1-133} and full length Dendra2-ZitP are both bipolar, whereas Dendra2-ZitP\textsuperscript{1-43} is dispersed (Figure 5B). To confirm that Dendra2-ZitP associates with the polar membrane in *E. coli* rather than inclusion bodies, we localized it by super-resolution photo-activated localization microscopy (PALM) (Betzig et al., 2006) and observed the fluorescent signals to line exclusively the polar caps (Figure 5C). By contrast, Dendra2-ZitP is dispersed along the cell envelope when PopZ is absent (Figure 5C). Thus, these interdependent clusters of PopZ and ZitP at the polar caps are clearly distinct from the internal (cytoplasmic) aggregates typically seen for PopZ when expressed without ZitP in *E. coli* cells (Figure 5A) or in division-inhibited (filamentous) *E. coli* (Laloux and Jacobs-Wagner, 2013) and that resemble fluorescent aggregates of inclusion bodies (Miller et al., 2015).

Dendra2-ZitP\textsuperscript{1-133} also induces the redistribution of the ParAB-blind version of mCherry-PopZ (mCherry-PopZ\textsuperscript{262}) in *E. coli* (Figure 5—figure supplement 1B). By contrast, Dendra2-ZitP\textsuperscript{1-43} is unable to do so, yet it still co-localizes (interacts) with mCherry-PopZ\textsuperscript{262}. This indicates that Dendra2-ZitP\textsuperscript{1-43} can still interact with mCherry-PopZ\textsuperscript{262}, but no longer controls its localization since Dendra2-ZitP\textsuperscript{1-43} is not membrane-anchored. Thus, ZitP regulates mCherry-PopZ localization either via the conserved C-terminal DUF2497 domain that is conserved in PopZ orthologs or via sequences distal to the N-terminal (26) residues where the ParAB recognition sites reside. As these findings imply that ZitP and ParB do not compete for the same binding site in PopZ, we reasoned that it should be possible to reconstitute a tripartite bipolar complex by co-expression of PopZ and Dendra2-ParB together with either mCherry-ZitP\textsuperscript{1-133} or mCherry-ZitP\textsuperscript{1-133}W\textsuperscript{35I} in *E. coli* (Figure 5D).
**Figure 5.** ZitP controls PopZ bipolarity in *E. coli* and *C. crescentus.*

**A** Overlays of mCherry-fluorescence with phase contrast images of *E. coli* TB28 cells co-expressing mCherry-PopZ and various (untagged) ZitP versions: full length ZitP, or the derivatives ZitP\(^{1-133}\), ZitP\(^{1-133(W35I)}\) and ZitP\(^{1-133(MalF-TM)}\). Cells were grown in LB for 2 hr, then ZitP variants and mCherry-PopZ were induced with 1 mM IPTG and 0.2% L-arabinose, respectively, for 2 hr before imaging.

**B** Overlays of Dendra2-fluorescence with phase contrast images of *E. coli* TB28 cells co-expressing (untagged) PopZ and full length Dendra2-ZitP. Cells were grown in LB for 2 hr, then Dendra2-ZitP was induced with 1 mM IPTG and 0.2% L-arabinose, respectively, for 2 hr before imaging.

**C** PALM of *E. coli* cells expressing Dendra2-ZitP with or without PopZ. Cells were grown in LB for 2 hr, then Dendra2-ZitP was induced with 1 mM IPTG and 0.2% L-arabinose, respectively, for 2 hr before imaging.

**D** Overlays of Dendra2-ParB with mCherry-ZitP\(^{1-133(WT)}\) or mCherry-ZitP\(^{1-133(W35I)}\). Cells were grown in LB for 2 hr, then PopZ variants and mCherry-PopZ were induced with 1 mM IPTG and 0.2% L-arabinose, respectively, for 2 hr before imaging.

**E** Overlays of CFP-ParB, mCherry-PopZ and their overlay. Cells were grown in LB for 2 hr, then CFP-ParB was induced with 1 mM IPTG and 0.2% L-arabinose, respectively, for 2 hr before imaging.
We found that mCherry-ZitP\(^{1-133}\) can indeed direct Dendra2-ParB to the poles of PopZ-expressing *E. coli*, whereas it remains in a cytoplasmic aggregate in the presence of mCherry-ZitP\(^{1-133}\)W35I. These experiments in *E. coli* prompted us to examine if ZitP\(^{1-133}\) can redistribute mCherry-PopZ from the monopolar ‘plug’ of overexpressed PopZ in *C. crescentus*. Fluorescence microscopy of mCherry-popZ CFP-parB cells harboring either a PopZ/ZitP or a PopZ/ZitP\(^{1-133}\) co-overexpression plasmid (Figure 5E) revealed bipolar signals of mCherry-PopZ and CFP-ParB in the presence of ZitP\(^{1-133}\). By contrast only monopolar ‘plugs’ containing mCherry-PopZ and CFP-ParB were seen with the W35I derived or ZitP\(^{1-90}\) co-overexpression plasmids at similar expression levels (Figure 5—figure supplement 2). In the latter condition, there is co-localization between PopZ and ParB. However, ParB is no longer at the periphery of bipolar PopZ in cells harboring the PopZ/ZitP or the PopZ/ZitP\(^{1-133}\) co-overexpression plasmid. Cell length quantification revealed that cells containing monopolar ‘plugs’ are longer (Figure 5E) than the PopZ/ZitP\(^{1-133}\) co-overexpressing cells. As biochemical fractionation experiments confirmed the predicted localization of ZitP\(^{1-43}\) and ZitP\(^{1-133}\) (Figure 5—figure supplement 1C), we conclude that membrane-anchored ZitP robustly and directly controls PopZ localization in *E. coli* and in *C. crescentus*.

**ZitP control of PopZ is conserved**

To investigate if the ZitP-dependent control of PopZ localization is common to closely and distantly related α-proteobacterial lineages, we overexpressed ZitP\(^{1-120}\) from *Brevundimonas diminuta* and ZitP\(^{1-104}\) from *Rickettsia massiliae* (corresponding to *C. crescentus* ZitP\(^{1-133}\)) in *C. crescentus* and found that both induced filamentation with mislocalized CFP-ParB and mCherry-PopZ akin to *C. crescentus* ZitP\(^{1-133}\) (Figure 6A). As for *C. crescentus* ZitP or ZitP\(^{1-133}\) (Figure 5E), ZitP\(^{1-104}\)\(_{Rm}\) prevents the accumulation of monopolar ‘plugs’ of overexpressed PopZ\(_{Cc}\) in *C. crescentus* (Figure 6B). As expected, this *R. massiliae* ZnR [ZitP\(^{1-43}\)\(_{Rm}\)] also localizes to the *C. crescentus* cell poles in a PopZ-dependent manner, but no longer when the analogous W35I mutation is introduced (Figure 6C). Rickettsial ZnR also co-localizes with *C. crescentus* mCherry-PopZ in *E. coli* (Figure 6D) and ZitP\(^{1-104}\)\(_{Rm}\) directs mCherry-PopZ into bipolarity (Figure 6E). Finally, we found that i) *R. massiliae* PopZ can also recruit *C. crescentus* Dendra2-ZitP\(^{1-43}\) to clusters in *E. coli* (Figure 6F) and that ii) it promotes the bipolar localization of full-length Dendra2-ZitP (Figure 6G). We conclude that the PopZ-ZitP bipartite bipolarization system is conserved in α-proteobacteria and modular.

**Discussion**

Eukaryotic zinc-finger (ZnR) domains are typically used to bind DNA, but they can also mediate protein-protein interactions (Klug, 2010). Here we described a small (43-residue) ZnR that acts as a conserved polar localization sequence, reminiscent of the nuclear localization signal (NLS) of eukaryotes, by promoting the interaction with the PopZ polar organizer of α-proteobacteria. This ZnR interacts
Figure 6. Conservation of PopZ/ZitP localization and activity. (A) Overlays of CFP- and mCherry-fluorescence with phase contrast images of C. crescentus popZ::mCherry-popZ parB::CFP-parB cells over-expressing ZitP<sup>1-104</sup> from R. massiliae (upper panel) or B. diminuta (lower panel) from P<sub>xyl</sub> on a multi-copy plasmid (pMT464). mCherry-PopZ and CFP-ParB are expressed from the chromosome in lieu of the untagged versions. ZitP<sup>1-104</sup> overexpression was induced by the addition of 0.3% xylose for 6 hr prior to imaging. (B) Overlays of CFP- and mCherry-fluorescence with phase contrast images of CFP-ParB and mCherry-PopZ from C. crescentus popZ::mCherry-popZ parB::CFP-parB cells over-expressing ZitP<sup>1-104</sup>Rm from P<sub>xyl</sub> on a multi-copy plasmid (pMT464-[zitP<sup>1-104</sup>Rm + popZ]).

Figure 6 continued on next page
images of *C. crescentus* popZ::mCherry-popZ parB::CFP-parB cells over-expressing ZitP<sup>1-104</sup> from *R. massiliae* with *C. crescentus* PopZ. Over-expression was induced by the addition of 0.3% xylose for 6 hr prior to imaging. (C) Overlays of Dendra2-fluorescence with phase contrast images of the *R. massiliae* (Rm) ZitP ZnR version expressed from the xyfX locus in *WT* and ΔpopZ *C. crescentus* cells. Synthesis of the Dendra2-ZitP<sup>1-430WT/104</sup> variant or Dendra2-ZitP<sup>1-430WT/350</sup> Rm<sup>4</sup> was induced for 4 hr with 0.3% xylose before imaging. (D) Overlays of Dendra2- and/or mCherry-fluorescence with phase contrast images of *E. coli* TB28 cells expressing *R. massiliae* (Rm) Dendra2-ZitP<sup>1-430WT</sup> (upper panels), Dendra2-ZitP<sup>1-430WT/350</sup> (lower panel) in the presence of mCherry-tagged PopZ from *C. crescentus*. Cells were grown in LB for 2 hr, then Dendra2-ZitP<sup>1-430</sup> variants and mCherry-PopZ were induced with 1 mM IPTG and 0.2% L-arabinose, respectively, for 2 hr. (E) Overlay of mCherry-fluorescence with phase contrast images of *E. coli* TB28 cells co-expressing mCherry-ZitP<sup>1-104Rm</sup> from *R. massiliae* (Rm) with *C. crescentus* popZ from *P. aerogenes* encoded on the same pBAD22-derived plasmid. Cells were grown in LB for 2 hr, then expression of mCherry-ZitP<sup>1-104Rm</sup> and PopZ was induced with 0.2% L-arabinose for 2 hr before imaging. (F) Overlays of Dendra2- and/or mCherry-fluorescence with phase contrast images of *E. coli* TB28 cells expressing *C. crescentus* Dendra2-ZitP<sup>1-43</sup> (Cc) in the presence of mCherry-PopZ from *R. massiliae* (Rm). Cells were grown in LB for 2 hr, and Dendra2-ZitP<sup>1-43</sup> variants and mCherry-PopZ were induced with 1 mM IPTG and 0.2% L-arabinose, respectively, for 2 hr. (G) Images of *E. coli* cells co-expressing *C. crescentus* Dendra2-ZitP<sup>1-43</sup> (Cc, upper panel) or Dendra2-ZitP full-length (Cc, bottom panel) and PopZ from *R. massiliae* (Rm). Fluorescence (Dendra2) images (left panels) and overlays between phase contrast and Dendra2 fluorescence images (right panels) are shown. Cells were grown in LB to during 2 hr, then Dendra2-ZitP<sup>1-43</sup> variants were induced with 1 mM IPTG and PopZ was induced with 0.2% L-arabinose for 2 hr. (H) The (bipolar PopZ/ZitP complex of free-living (Caulobacteriales) and obligate intracellular (Rickettsiales) α-proteobacteria. Pink dots denote PopZ monomers that assemble into a bipolar or monopolar patch, while blue dots denote ZitP molecules. An obligate intracellular rickettsial (rod with bipolar PopZ) cell is depicted within a vacuole (dashed structure) of a host cell (closed structure) and presumed also to polarize PopZ/ZitP (grey arrow). As ZitP is not present in the Rhizobiales, another mechanism of PopZ control is likely operational to drive it into a monopolar disposition. Similarly, we suggest that PopZ localization in *C. crescentus* can be accomplished by another pathway that operates independently of ZitP and likely involves ParAB and/or another pathway (see Discussion). DOI: 10.7554/eLife.20640.015

directly with PopZ and controls its localization from the membrane, even when PopZ no longer carries the interaction sites for ParAB, indicating that PopZ localization is regulated by redundant mechanisms in *C. crescentus*. One mechanism may require ParAB or at least it depends on the N-terminal region of PopZ that promotes the interaction with ParAB and other PopZ client proteins (Holmes et al., 2016). However, ZitP controls PopZ independently of this region, underscoring the multivalent interactions and multifunctional properties of PopZ.

ZitP emerges as new a component of the α-proteobacterial polarity control pathway using an N-terminal ZnR to regulate PopZ localization. Interestingly, ZitP is bifunctional, controlling the localization of polar plus biogenesis proteins via its C-terminal DUF3426 (Mignonet al., 2016). While the DUF3426 is less conserved among the α-proteobacteria and dispensable for PopZ localization control, the membrane-anchored ZitP ZnR and PopZ binding is featured by many ZitP orthologs from the branch of obligate intracellular α-proteobacteria (the Rickettsiales) and regulates PopZ subcellular positioning.

The NMR solution structure of the ZitP ZnR revealed an atypical βββ(ββ)-architecture, resembling that of the crenarchaeal DNA-binding protein Cren7 (Zhang et al., 2010). However, the ZitP ZnR lacks a fifth β-strand (β5) that is used by Cren7 to establish critical sequence-specific contacts with DNA. An unexpected finding was that PopZ-bound ZitP associates indirectly with sites flanking the ParB-bound centromere (*parS*), rather than *parS* itself that ParB binds (Figure 4—figure supplement 1). We found that the interaction between PopZ and ParAB (Bowman et al., 2008; Ebersbach et al., 2008; Laloux and Jacobs-Wagner, 2013; Ptacin et al., 2014, 2010), or at least the N-terminal region of PopZ, is required for ZitP to associate with these *parS*-flanking sites. It is also possible that another (unknown) component(s) of the PopZ complex influences the association of ZitP at these sites or that it underlies an unprecedented mode of occupancy of the macromolecular ZitP·PopZ·ParB complex on the chromosome in proximity to *parS*. Interestingly, the ParB-centromeric complex was recently proposed to self-assemble via nucleation and ‘caging’ in vivo, i.e. three-dimensional stochastic interactions between ParB and *parS*, and possibly reinforced or delimited by adjacent nucleoprotein complexes (Sanchez et al., 2015) or accessory factors that may allow super-complexes to extend into neighboring chromosomal regions.

With the juxtaposition of PopZ·ZitP at the ParB-bound centromere and our reconstitution of the ZitP·PopZ·ParB tripartite complex in *E. coli*, ZitP is well positioned to exert control of ParB·*parS* dynamics and to impair segregation by sequestering the adjacent centromere into aberrant PopZ·ZitP clusters. The ParB-control function of PopZ·ZitP depends on the ability of membrane-anchored ZitP ZnR to interact with PopZ. Our molecular dissections provide evidence that ZitP...
targets residues flanking the conserved C-terminal DUF2497 of PopZ. Thus, the DUF2497 region may serve as a key determinant in PopZ to regulate its association with (known and unknown) client proteins and its bipolar localization and/or dynamics.

The bipolar localization of DivIVA of the Gram-positive Firmicutes has been intensively studied and is governed by the biophysical properties of DivIVA that attract it to concavely curved membranes and thus both cell poles when expressed in *E. coli* (Lenarcic et al., 2009; Ramamurthi and Losick, 2009, Strahl and Hamoen, 2012). The α-proteobacterial PopZ system is of distinct ancestry and structural features compared to DivIVA, and it aggregates into (sporadic) monopolar and non-polar (cytoplasmic) clusters in (filamentous) *E. coli* in the absence of ZitP. While these PopZ clusters do not rely on membrane curvature to find the *E. coli* poles, their localization mechanism is not understood (Laloux and Jacobs-Wagner, 2013) and could reflect aggregation in a partially folded form into cellular inclusion bodies (Miller et al., 2015). A clearly different mechanism underlies the robust assembly of (near native) bipolar PopZ·ZitP complexes at the polar membrane as observed by PALM. It is possible that these PopZ·ZitP complexes scout the poles by a similar mechanism as DivIVA, a possibility that warrants further investigation. As these bipolar PopZ·ZitP complexes in *E. coli* can also be reconstituted with components from different α-proteobacteria, it is unlikely that it is mediated by specialized phospholipids as the composition differs between *E. coli*, *Caulobacter* (De Siervo, 1985; De Siervo and Homola, 1980) and other α-proteobacteria, for example the Rickettsiae.

There are intriguing evolutionary implications based on the finding that rickettsial ZitP retains the aforementioned PopZ control activities. It suggests that these obligate intracellular pathogens also feature a polarized PopZ·ZitP complex. The rickettsial actin filament organizer Sca2 is polarized and promotes actin-mediated motility of some Rickettsiae inside the host cell cytoplasm (Haglund et al., 2010; Madasu et al., 2013). It is therefore conceivable that the PopZ·ZitP bipolarity system served as a primordial platform (Figure 6H) for the acquisition of specialized polarity based functions conferred via PopZ-interacting proteins that reinforce bipolarity and/or confer other polarized traits. For example, PopZ is also required for stalk biogenesis in *C. crescentus* (Bowman et al., 2010), a structure that requires specialized peptidoglycan (PG) biosynthesis enzymes (Kühn et al., 2010). As several Rhizobiales members also sequester PopZ to the site of PG synthesis to promote unipolar growth (Anderson-Furgeson et al., 2016; Brown et al., 2012; Curtis and Brun, 2014; Grangeon et al., 2015), the current challenge is to determine which proteins interact with PopZ in the different α-proteobacterial branches, particularly in the Rhizobiales. PopZ is unipolar in this branch (Deghelt et al., 2014; Grangeon et al., 2015) and no ZitP orthologs are encoded, suggesting that unknown PopZ-control mechanisms exist (Figure 6H, Figure 1—figure supplement 1A). By contrast, many members of the Rhodobacterales, do not encode a conspicuous PopZ ortholog in their genome. This, along with the structural divergence of the ZitP2^R_α in this lineage (Figure 3C), suggests that ZitP has been appropriated for other functions or interactions with partners differing in primary structure.

Proper control of polarization is critical for efficient cellular proliferation and fitness in many cell types. In yeast, aberrant or misregulated polarity complexes impair the fitness of yeast cells to the extent where it is favorable to cells to eliminate these functions completely than retaining them improperly regulated (Laan et al., 2015). Similar selective forces must have ensured the evolution and retention of related polarity control modules in free-living and obligate intracellular bacteria since misregulation of polarity similarly perturbs the α-proteobacterial cell division cycle.

**Materials and methods**

**Strains, plasmids and oligos**

Strains, plasmids and oligos are listed in *Supplementary file 2*. Plasmids expressing Dendra2 variants were used (Holden et al., 2014) and strains are derivatives of *Caulobacter crescentus* NA1000 whose genome is sequenced (Marks et al., 2010).

**Growth conditions**

*Caulobacter crescentus* NA1000 (Marks et al., 2010) and derivatives were cultivated at 30°C in peptone yeast extract (PYE)-rich medium (2 g/L bactopeptone, 1 g/L yeast extract, 1 mM MgSO₄, and
0.5 mM CaCl$_2$ or in M2 minimal salts (M2G) (0.87 g/L Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 0.54 g/L KH$_2$PO$_4$, 0.50 g/L NH$_4$Cl, 0.2% [wt/vol] glucose, 0.5 mM MgSO$_4$, 0.5 mM CaCl$_2$, and 0.01 mM FeSO$_4$) (Ely, 1991). E. coli strains were grown at 37°C in Luria Bertani (LB)–rich medium (10 g/L NaCl, 5 g/L yeast extract, and 10 g/L tryptone). When appropriate, media were supplemented with antibiotics at the following concentrations (µg/mL in liquid/solid medium for C. crescentus strains; µg/mL in liquid/solid medium for E. coli strains): kanamycin (5/20 µg.mL$^{-1}$; 20/20 µg.mL$^{-1}$), tetracycline (1/1 µg mL$^{-1}$; not appropriate), spectinomycin and streptomycin (in solid for C. crescentus only) (25/25, five respectively; 30/90 µg.mL$^{-1}$), gentamycin (1/1; 10/25 µg.mL$^{-1}$) and nalidixic acid (in solid only) (20 µg.mL$^{-1}$). When needed, for Caulobacter, D-xylose or sucrose was added at 0.3% final concentration, glucose at 0.2% final concentration and vanillate at 500 µM final concentration. When needed, for E. coli, arabinose and IPTG were added at a final concentration of 0.3% and 1 mM, respectively.

Swimmer cell isolation, electroporation, biparental mating (intergeneric conjugations) and bacteriophage φCr30-mediated generalized transduction were performed as described (Ely, 1991). Briefly, swimmer cells were isolated by Ludox or Percoll density-gradient centrifugation at 4°C (Ely, 1991). Ten strains were grown at 37°C to an OD$_{600nm}$ of 0.6 and induced by the addition of IPTG to 1 mM during 3 hr, and harvested at 5000 rpm at 4°C during 30 min. Cells were pelleted and re-suspended in 25 mL of lysis buffer (10 mM Tris HCl (pH 8), 0.1 M NaCl, 1.0 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 5% glycerol, 0.5 mM imidazole Triton X-100 0.02%). Cells were sonicated in a water–ice bath, 15 cycles 30 s ON; 30 s OFF. After centrifugation at 5000g for 20 min at 4°C, the supernatant was loaded onto a column containing 5 mL of Ni-NTA agarose resin (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) pre-equilibrated with lysis buffer. The column was rinsed with lysis buffer, 400 mM NaCl and 10 mM imidazole, both prepared in lysis buffer. Fractions were collected (in 300 mM Imidazole buffer, prepared in lysis buffer) and used to immunize New Zealand white rabbits (Josman LLC).

**ZitP purification and production of antibodies**

ZitP N-TER or C-TER recombinant protein, comprising only the first 90 amino acids or lacking the last 119 residues respectively, was expressed from pET28a in E. coli BL21(DE3)/pLysS and purified under native conditions using Ni$^{2+}$ chelate chromatography. Cells were grown in LB at 37°C to an OD$_{500nm}$ of 0.6 and induced by the addition of IPTG to 1 mM during 3 hr, and harvested at 5000 rpm at 4°C during 30 min. Cells were pelleted and re-suspended in 25 mL of lysis buffer (10 mM Tris HCl (pH 8), 0.1 M NaCl, 1.0 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 5% glycerol, 0.5 mM imidazole Triton X-100 0.02%). Cells were sonicated in a water–ice bath, 15 cycles 30 s ON; 30 s OFF. After centrifugation at 5000g for 20 min at 4°C, the supernatant was loaded onto a column containing 5 mL of Ni-NTA agarose resin (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) pre-equilibrated with lysis buffer. The column was rinsed with lysis buffer, 400 mM NaCl and 10 mM imidazole, both prepared in lysis buffer. Fractions were collected (in 300 mM Imidazole buffer, prepared in lysis buffer) and used to immunize New Zealand white rabbits (Josman LLC).

**Fractionation**

Fifty mL of an exponential culture of Caulobacter (OD$_{500nm}$ = 0.4) was harvested by centrifugation for 15 min at 8000g at 4°C. Cell pellets were re-suspended in 1 mL of lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 12500 U ready-lyse (Epicentre technologies), and one tablet of EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail ([Complete; Roche] per 50 mL). Ten µL of DNAse 1 mg.mL$^{-1}$, 5 µL of RNaseA 20 mg.mL$^{-1}$ were added before sonication in an ice-water bath, 15 cycles 30 s ON; 30 s OFF. Twenty µL of this was mixed with 20 µL of loading buffer 2X (0.25 M Tris pH 6.8, 6% (wt/vol) SDS, 10 mM EDTA, 20% (vol/vol) Glycerol) containing 10% (vol/vol) β-mercaptoethanol to obtain the crude extract (CE). Sonicated samples were centrifuged for 30 min at 20,000g at 4°C, and the supernatant was diluted in 2X loading buffer to obtain the soluble fraction (S). The pellet, containing the insoluble fraction, was re-suspended in 1 mL resuspension buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT) and 20 µL was diluted in loading buffer 2X to obtain the insoluble fraction (P). The membrane fraction was split in 3 fractions of 300 µL to add 300 µL re-suspension buffer (control) or 300 µL 4M NaCl buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 4 M NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT) (solubilize proteins associated with membrane) or 300 µL 2% Triton-X100 (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 2% Triton-X100) (solubilize integral membrane proteins). Samples were incubated 1 hr with shaking at 4°C and harvested by centrifugation at 20,000g during 30 min at 4°C. Forty µL
of the supernatant was diluted in 40 μL loading buffer 2X to obtain the soluble fraction (S). The pellet, containing the insoluble fraction, was resuspended in 600 μL of resuspension buffer. Forty μL of this was diluted in 40 μL loading buffer 2X to obtain the insoluble fraction (P). All the fraction were analysed by immunoblot using antibodies to ZitP (NTER), DivJ (as control of integral membrane protein) and CtrA (as control of soluble proteins).

**Whole-cell extracts preparation**

Five hundred μL of an exponential *Caulobacter* or *E. coli* cells (OD$_{600nm}$ = 0.4 and 0.8 respectively) were harvested with 20,000g at room temperature for 5 min. Whole-cell extracts were prepared by resuspension of cell pellets in 75 μL TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 and 1 mM EDTA) followed by addition of 75 μL loading buffer 2X (0.25 M Tris pH 6.8, 6% (wt/vol) SDS, 10 mM EDTA, 20% (vol/vol) Glycerol) containing 10% (vol/vol) β-mercaptoethanol. Samples were normalized for equivalent loading using OD$_{600nm}$ and were heated for 10 min at 90°C prior to loading.

**Immunoblot analysis**

Protein samples were separated by SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and blotted on polyvinylidenfluoride membranes (Merck Millipore). Membranes were blocked overnight with Tris-buffered saline 1X (TBS) (50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8) containing, 0.1% Tween-20 and 8% dry milk and then incubated for an additional 3 hr with the primary antibodies diluted in TBS 1X, 0.1% Tween-20, 5% dry milk. The different polyclonal antisera to ZitP (NTER, 1:5000 dilution and CTER, 1:5000), CtrA (1:10,000) and DivJ (1:10,000) were used. Commercial and polyclonal antibodies to Dendra2 (Antibodies-Online) and mCherry (*Chen et al., 2005*) were used at 1:5,000 and 1:10,000 dilutions respectively. Primary antibodies were detected using HRP-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch) with ECL Western Blotting Detection System (GE Healthcare) and a luminescent image analyzer (Chemidoc™ MP, Biorad).

**ChIP-SEQ**

Mid-log phase cells were cross-linked in 10 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.6) and 1% formaldehyde at room temperature for 10 min and on ice for 30 min thereafter, washed three times in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and lysed in a Ready-Lyse lysozyme solution (Epicentre Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Lysates were sonicated in a ice-water bath, 15 cycles 30 s ON; 30 s OFF to shear DNA fragments to an average length of 0.3–0.5 kbp and cleared by centrifugation at 14,000 g for 2 min at 4°C. Lysates were normalized by protein content, diluted to 1 mL using ChiP buffer (0.01% SDS, 1.1% Triton X-100, 1.2 mM EDTA, 16.7 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.1), 167 mM NaCl plus protease inhibitors (Roche, Switzerland) and pre-cleared with 80 μl of protein-A agarose (Roche) and 100 μg BSA. To immunoprecipitate the chromatin, two μL of polyclonal antibodies were added to the supernatant, incubated overnight at 4°C with 80 μL of protein-A agarose beads pre-saturated with BSA. Antibodies to ZitP NTER, ZitP CTER and ParB (*Mohl and Gober, 1997*) were used for ChIP. The immunoprecipitate was washed once with low salt buffer (0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.1) and 150 mM NaCl), high salt buffer (0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.1) and 500 mM NaCl) and LiCl buffer (0.25 M LiCl, 1% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA and 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.1)), and twice with TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.1) and 1 mM EDTA). The protein DNA complexes were eluted in 500 μL freshly prepared elution buffer (1% SDS and 0.1 M NaHCO3), supplemented with NaCl to a final concentration of 300 mM and incubated overnight at 65°C to reverse the crosslinks. The samples were treated with 2 μg of Proteinase K for 2 hr at 45°C in 40 mM EDTA and 40 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.5). DNA was extracted using phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1), ethanol precipitated using 20 μg of glycogen as carrier and resuspended in 100 μL of water.

Immunoprecipitated chromatin was used to prepare sample libraries used for deep-sequencing at Fasteris SA (Geneva, Switzerland). ChIP-Seq libraries were prepared using the DNA Sample Prep Kit (Illumina) following manufacturer’s instructions. Single-end run were performed on an Illumina Genome Analyzer Ix or HiSeq2000, 50 cycles were read and yielded several million reads. The single-end sequence reads stored in FastQ files were mapped against the genome of *Caulobacter crescentus* NA1000 (NC_011916) and converted to SAM using BWA and SAM tools respectively from the galaxy servor (https://usegalaxy.org/). The resulting SAM was imported into SeqMonk (http://
www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/seqmonk/, version 0.21.0) to build sequence read profiles. The initial quantification of the sequencing data was done in SeqMonk: the genome was subdivided into 50 bp probes, and for every probe we calculated a value that represents a normalized read number per million. All ChIP-seq data was deposited in the GEO database under accession number GSE79918 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE79918).

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)
ITC experiments were performed on a VP-ITC instrument (Microcal). Both partners were prepared in the same NMR spectroscopy buffer, ZitP at 0.015 mM and PopZ at 0.300 mM. ZitP was titrated using a solution of PopZ by 65 injections of 4 µL every 300 s at 20°C. The raw data were integrated, normalized for the molar concentration and analyzed using Origin7.0 according to a 1:1 binding model.

Growth measurements in broth
The overnight cultures were started in PYE supplemented with D-xylose 0.3% final. The cultures were diluted to obtain an OD₆₀₀nm of 0.1 in PYE supplemented with D-xylose 0.3% final. The OD₆₀₀nm was recorded every hour during 9 hr. The graph represents the trend of the growth curve of three independent experiments.

Plasmid constructions
pMB123 (pNTPS138- ΔzitP)
The plasmid construct used to delete zitP (CCNA_02298) was made by PCR amplification of two fragments. The first, a 771 bp fragment was amplified using primers OMB87 and OMB88 (table S?), flanked by an EcoRI and a BamHI site. The second, a 889 bp fragment was amplified using primers OMB89 and OMB90, flanked by a BamHI site and a HindIII site. These two fragments were first digested with appropriate restriction enzymes and then triple ligated into pNTPS138 (M.R.K. Alley, Imperial College London, unpublished) previously restricted with EcoRI/HindIII.

pXdendra2-N2
We PCR amplified the photoactivatable variant dendra2 from the pX-ftsZ-dendra2 (Biteen et al., 2012) with dendra2N_F and dendra2N_R primers. This fragment was digested with Ndel/Pacl and cloned into Ndel/Pacl-digested pMT582 (Thanbichler et al., 2007).

pXdendra2-N2-zitPWT
The zitP-coding sequence (nt 2444803–2445738, NA1000 genome) was PCR amplified from the NA1000 strain using the 2215sh + 2_Sacl and CC2215_E primers. This fragment was digested with Sacl/EcoRI and cloned into Sacl/EcoRI-digested pX-dendra2N2.

pMB22 (pXdendra2-N2-zitP¹⁻⁹⁰(Cc))
The first 270nt of the ZitP coding sequence were PCR amplified from the NA1000 strain using the OMB12 and OMB13 primers. This fragment was digested with Sacl/EcoRI and cloned into Sacl/EcoRI-digested pXdendra2-N2 (Seamus Holden, unpublished).

pMB33 (pXdendra2-N2-zitP¹⁻⁸⁰(Ae))
The first 240 nt of the Astex_3267 coding sequence were PCR amplified from the synthetic fragment one using the Van and T7pro primers. This fragment was digested with Sacl/EcoRI and cloned into Sacl/EcoRI-digested pXdendra2-N2.

pMB38 (pXdendra2-N2-zitP¹⁻⁸⁷(Mm))
The first 261 nt of the Mmar10_2373 coding sequence were PCR amplified from the synthetic fragment two using the Van and T7pro primers. This fragment was digested with Sacl/EcoRI and cloned into Sacl/EcoRI-digested pXdendra2-N2.
pMB39 (pXdendra2-N2-zitP\textsuperscript{1-89(Cs)})
The first 267 nt of the Cseg\_28671 coding sequence were PCR amplified from the synthetic fragment three using the Van and T7pro primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/EcoRI and cloned into SacI/EcoRI-digested pXdendra2-N2.

pMB26 (pXdendra2-N2-zitP\textsuperscript{1-89})
The first 267 nt of the Cseg\_28671 coding sequence were PCR amplified from the synthetic fragment three using the Van and T7pro primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/EcoRI and cloned into SacI/EcoRI-digested pXdendra2-N2.

pMB66 (pXdendra2-N2-zitP\textsuperscript{1-43WT(Cc)})
The first 129 nt of the ZitP coding sequence were PCR amplified from the NA1000 strain using the OMB12 and OMB41 primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/EcoRI and cloned into SacI/EcoRI-digested pXdendra2-N2.

pMB164 (pXdendra2-N2-zitP\textsuperscript{1-43CS(Cc)})
The first 129 nt of the ZitP coding sequence were PCR amplified from the NA1000 strain using the OMB12 and OMB41 primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/EcoRI and cloned into SacI/EcoRI-digested pXdendra2-N2.

pMB23 (pXdendra2-N2-zitP\textsuperscript{1-133})
The first 396 nt of the ZitP coding sequence were PCR amplified from the NA1000 strain using the OMB12 and OMB41 primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/EcoRI and cloned into SacI/EcoRI-digested pXdendra2-N2.

pMB25 (pXdendra2-N2-zitP\textsuperscript{1-133CS})
The zitP\textsuperscript{CS} tetracysteine mutant allele was PCR amplified from the pMT335-2215CS strain using the OMB12 and OMB41 primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/EcoRI and cloned into SacI/EcoRI-digested pXdendra2-N2.

pMB73 (pXdendra2-N2-zitP\textsuperscript{1-49(Bd)})
The first 147 nt of HMPREF0185\_02600 coding sequence were PCR amplified from the synthetic fragment four using the xyl and lac290 primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/EcoRI and cloned into SacI/EcoRI-digested pXdendra2-N2.

pMB115 (pXdendra2-N2-zitP\textsuperscript{1-43W35I(Rs)})
The first 129 nt of the ZitP coding sequence were PCR amplified from the synthetic fragment five using the Van and xylAS primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/EcoRI and cloned into SacI/EcoRI-digested pXdendra2-N2.

pMB170 (pXdendra2-N2-zitP\textsuperscript{1-43R24A/R27A(Cc)})
The first 129 nt of the ZitP coding sequence were PCR amplified from the synthetic fragment six using the van and T7pro primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/EcoRI and cloned into SacI/EcoRI-digested pXdendra2-N2.

pMB184 (pXdendra2-N2-zitP\textsuperscript{1-43WT(Rs)})
The first 129 nt of the ZitP coding sequence were PCR amplified from the synthetic fragment seven using the Van and xylAS primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/EcoRI and cloned into SacI/EcoRI-digested pXdendra2-N2.

pMB91 (pXdendra2-N2-zitP\textsuperscript{1-43Q27R(Rs)})
The first 129 nt of the ZitP coding sequence were PCR amplified from the synthetic fragment seven using the Van and xylAS primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/EcoRI and cloned into SacI/EcoRI-digested pXdendra2-N2.
pMB185 (pXdendra2-N2-zitP^{1-43}Q27R/W35I(Rs))
The first 129 nt of the Rsph17025_2450 coding sequence were PCR amplified from the synthetic fragment 18 using the van and T7pro primers. This fragment was digested with Sacl/EcoRI and cloned into Sacl/EcoRI-digested pXdendra2-N2.

pMB81 (pXdendra2-N2-zitP^{1-50}WT(Rm))
The first 150 nt of RMB_01390 coding sequence were PCR amplified from the synthetic fragment nine using the van and xylAS primers. This fragment was digested with Sacl/EcoRI and cloned into Sacl/EcoRI-digested pXdendra2-N2.

pMT335-zitP^{CS}:
We PCR amplified the zitP^{CS} tetracysteine mutant allele from pMT335-2215^{CS} with 2215shCS_Ndel and CC2215_E primers. This fragment was digested with Ndel/EcoRI and cloned into Ndel/EcoRI-digested pMT335 (Thanbichler et al., 2007).

pMB21 (pMT335-popZ)
The PopZ coding sequence was PCR amplified from NA1000 using the OMB7 and OMB11 primers. This fragment was digested with Ndel/EcoRI and cloned into Ndel/EcoRI-digested pMT335.

pMB93 (pMT464-zitP^{1-132}WT(Cc))
The first 396 nt of ZitP coding sequence was PCR amplified from NA1000 using the 2215sh_Ndel and OMB14 primers. This fragment was digested with Ndel/EcoRI and cloned into Ndel/EcoRI-digested pMT464.

pMB94 (pMT464-zitP^{1-132}CS(Cc))
The first 396 nt of zitP sequence was PCR amplified from fragment 11 (containing the cysteines C5, C8, C28 and C31 mutated in serine) using the Van and OMB14 primers. This fragment was digested with Ndel/EcoRI and cloned into Ndel/EcoRI-digested pMT464.

pMB113 (pMT464-zitP^{1-132}malF)
The first 396 nt of the ZitP coding sequence was PCR amplified from fragment 13 (containing the MalF transmembrane domain instead the natural transmembrane domain from ZitP) using the Van and T7 primers. This fragment was digested with Ndel/EcoRI and cloned into Ndel/EcoRI-digested pMT464.

pMB127 (pMT464-zitP^{1-132}malF)
The first 396 nt of the ZitP coding sequence was PCR amplified from fragment 13 (containing the MalF transmembrane domain instead the natural transmembrane domain from ZitP) using the Van and T7 primers. This fragment was digested with Ndel/EcoRI and cloned into Ndel/EcoRI-digested pMT464.

pMB156 (pMT464-zitP^{1-120}WT(Bd))
The first 360 nt of the HMPREF0185_02600 coding sequence was PCR amplified from fragment 14 (containing the ZnR from B. diminuta and its transmembrane domain) using the Van and T7 primers. This fragment was digested with Ndel/EcoRI and cloned into Ndel/EcoRI-digested pMT464.

pMB114 (pMT464-zitP^{1-104}WT(Rm))
The first 312 nt of the ZitP coding sequence was PCR amplified from fragment 15 (containing the ZnR from R. massiliae and its transmembrane domain) using the Van and T7 primers. This fragment was digested with Ndel/EcoRI and cloned into Ndel/EcoRI-digested pMT464.
pMB145 (pMCS-4-popZΔ26)
The PopZ coding sequence deleted for the 26 first amino acids was PCR amplified from NA1000 using the OMB70 and OMB11 primers. This fragment was digested with KpnI/EcoRI and cloned into KpnI/EcoRI-digested pJP312 (Ptacin et al., 2014).

pMB49 (pBAD101-popZ)
The popZ coding sequence was PCR amplified from pMB21 using the OMB31 and M13(C020) primers. These fragments were digested with NcoI/NdeI and NdeI/XbaI respectively and triple ligated into NcoI/XbaI-digested pBAD101.

pMB59 (pBAD101-mCherry-popZ)
The mCherry coding sequence was PCR amplified using the OMB35 and OMB36 and popZ was PCR amplified from pMB21 using the van and M13(C020) primers. These fragments were digested with NcoI/NdeI and NdeI/XbaI respectively and triple ligated into NcoI/XbaI-digested pBAD101.

pMB128 (pBAD101-mCherry-popZΔ26)
The mCherry coding sequence was PCR amplified using the OMB35 and OMB36 and popZ was PCR amplified from NA1000 using the OMB65 and OMB66 primers. These fragments were digested with NcoI/NdeI and NdeI/XbaI respectively and triple ligated into NcoI/XbaI-digested pBAD101.

(pSRK-dendra2-zitP1-43WT(Cc))
The ZitP1-43 coding sequence was PCR amplified from pMB66 using OMB67 and M13(C020) primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/XbaI and ligated into SacI/XbaI-digested pMB86.

pMB193 (pSRK-dendra2-zitP1-43CS(Cc))
The ZitP1-43CS sequence coding was PCR amplified from pMB164 using OMB76 and M13(C020) primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/XbaI and ligated into SacI/XbaI-digested pMB86.

pMB194 (pSRK-dendra2-zitP1-43R24A/R27A(Cc))
The ZitP1-43R24A/R27A coding sequence was PCR amplified from pMB170 using OMB76 and M13(C020) primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/XbaI and ligated into SacI/XbaI-digested pMB86.

pMB195 (pSRK-dendra2-zitP1-43Q27R(Rs))
The ZitP1-43Q27R coding sequence was PCR amplified from pMB184 using OMB76 and M13(C020) primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/XbaI and ligated into SacI/XbaI-digested pMB86.

pMB196 (pSRK-dendra2-zitP1-43Q27R/W35I(Rs))
The ZitP1-43Q27R/W35I coding sequence was PCR amplified from pMB185 using OMB76 and M13(C020) primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/XbaI and ligated into SacI/XbaI-digested pMB86.

pMB197 (pSRK-dendra2-zitP1-43Q27R/W35I(Bd))
The ZitP1-43Q27R/W35I coding sequence was PCR amplified from pMB185 using OMB76 and M13(C020) primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/XbaI and ligated into SacI/XbaI-digested pMB86.

pMB166 (pSRK-dendra2-zitP1-49WT(Bd))
The HMPREF0185_026001-49 coding sequence was PCR amplified from pMB73 using OMB76 and M13(C020) primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/XbaI and ligated into SacI/XbaI-digested pMB86.
pMB167 (pSRK-dendra2-zitP23731-87WT(Mm))
The Mmar10_23731-87 coding sequence was PCR amplified from pMB38 using OMB76 and M13 (−20) primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/XbaI and ligated into SacI/XbaI-digested pMB86.

pMB97 (pSRK-dendra2-zitP1-50WT(Rm))
The RMB_013801-50WT coding sequence was PCR amplified from pMB81 using OMB76 and M13 (−20) primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/XbaI and ligated into SacI/XbaI-digested pMB86.

pMB168 (pSRK-dendra2-zitP1-50W35I(Rm))
The RMB_013801-50W35I coding sequence PCR amplified from pMB116 using OMB76 and M13(C020) primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/XbaI and ligated into SacI/XbaI-digested pMB86.

pMB169 (pSRK-dendra2-zitP1-43W35I(Cc))
The ZitP1-43W35I coding sequence was PCR amplified from pMB115 using OMB76 and M13(C020) primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/XbaI and ligated into SacI/XbaI-digested pMB86.

pMB43 (pSRK-dendra2-zitP1^WT)
The Dendra2-ZitP coding sequence was PCR amplified from pX-dendra2-zitP1^WT using xylseq2 and M13(C0) primers. This fragment was digested with NdeI/XbaI and ligated into NdeI/XbaI-digested pSRK.

pMB45 (pSRK-dendra2-zitP1-90)
The Dendra2-ZitP1-90 coding sequence was PCR amplified from pX-dendra2-zitP1^WT using xylseq2 and OMB13 primers. This fragment was digested with NdeI/XbaI and ligated into NdeI/XbaI-digested pSRK.

pMB86 (pSRK-dendra2-zitP1-133WT(Cc))
The Dendra2-ZitP1-133WT coding sequence was PCR amplified from pMB23 using xylseq2 and M13(C0) primers. This fragment was digested with NdeI/XbaI and ligated into NdeI/XbaI-digested pSRK.

pMB178 (pSRK-dendra2-zitP1-133W35I(Cc))
The ZitP1-133W35I coding sequence was PCR amplified from pMB113 using OMB80 and OMB84 primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/XbaI and ligated into SacI/XbaI-digested pMB86.

pMB179 (pSRK-dendra2-zitP1-133malF)
The ZitP1-133malF coding sequence was PCR amplified from pMB127 using OMB81 and OMB84 primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/XbaI and ligated into SacI/XbaI-digested pMB86.

pMB181 (pSRK-dendra2-zitP1-104WT(Rm))
The RMB_013901-104WT coding sequence was PCR amplified from pMB114 using OMB83 and OMB84 primers. This fragment was digested with SacI/XbaI and ligated into SacI/XbaI-digested pMB86.

pMB175 (pMT464-zitP1-133WT-popZ)
The PopZ coding sequence was PCR amplified from NA1000 using the OMB78 and OMB79 primers adding an EcoRI plus an RBS and an XbaI site respectively. This fragment was digested with EcoRI/XbaI and cloned into EcoRI/XbaI-digested pMB93.
pMB177 (pMT464-zitP^{1-133W35I}-popZ)
The PopZ coding sequence was PCR amplified from NA1000 using the OMB78 and OMB79 primers adding an EcoRI plus an RBS and an XbaI site respectively. This fragment was digested with EcoRI/XbaI and cloned into EcoRI/XbaI-digested pMB113.

pSC
:pSC is a derivative of pET26b (Novagen) in which expression of the protein of interest is in frame with a coding sequence for C-terminal thrombin cleavage site and a His<sub>6</sub>-tag. The coding sequence can be cloned between NdeI and XhoI. An NheI site was also introduced after the stop codon that enables an easy construction of polycistronic synthetic genes.

pMB64 (pSC-popZ)
The PopZ coding sequence (codon optimized for <i>E. coli</i>) was PCR amplified from fragment 16 using Van and T7 primers. This fragment was digested with NdeI/XhoI and ligated into NdeI/XhoI-digested pSC.

pMB54 (pSC-zitP<sup>1-90</sup>)
The first 270 nt of the ZitP coding sequence (codon optimized for <i>E. coli</i>) was PCR amplified from fragment 17 using Van and T7 primers. This fragment was digested with NdeI/XhoI and ligated into NdeI/XhoI-digested pSC.

pET28a-zitP<sup>Cterm</sup>
The zitP-coding sequence lacking the first 189 bp from the start codon (nt 1082122–1082481) was PCR amplified from the NA1000 strain using the zitP_Cterm_nde and zitP_Cterm_eco primers. This fragment was digested with NdeI/EcoRI and cloned into NdeI/EcoRI-digested pET28a (Novagen).

pSC-zitP<sup>1-43WT</sup>
The first 129 nt of the ZitP coding sequence (codon optimized for <i>E. coli</i>) was PCR amplified from fragment 17 using zitP1-43_fw_NdeI and zitP1-43_fw_XhoI primers. This fragment was digested with NdeI/XhoI and ligated into NdeI/XhoI-digested pSC.

pMB140 (pSC-zitP<sup>1-43W35I</sup>)
The first 129 nt of the ZitP coding sequence (carrying the W35I mutation and codon optimized for <i>E. coli</i>) was PCR amplified from fragment 19 using Van and T7 primers. This fragment was digested with NdeI/EcoRI and ligated into NdeI/EcoRI-digested pET28a (Novagen).

pMB233 (pSRK-dendra2-parB)
The dendra2 and parB coding sequence were PCR amplified from pXdendra2N2 with OMB18 and OMB134 and from NA1000 with OMB130 and OMB131 respectively. These fragment were digested with NdeI/BamHI and BamHI/HindIII and triple ligated into NdeI/HindIII-digested pSRK.

pMB267 (pBAD22-mCherry-popZ)
The mCherry coding sequence was PCR amplified using the OMB35 and OMB36 and popZ was PCR amplified from pMB21 using the van and M13(-20) primers. These fragments were digested with Ncol/NdeI and NdeI/XbaI respectively and triple ligated into Ncol/XbaI-digested pBAD22.

pMB224 (pBAD22-mCherry-zitP<sup>1-133</sup>-popZ)
The zitP<sup>1-133</sup>-popZ was digested from pMB175 using NdeI/Xbal enzyme and ligated into NdeI/Xbal-digested pMB267.

pMB225 (pBAD22-mCherry-zitP<sup>1-133(W35I)</sup>-popZ)
The zitP<sup>1-133(W35I)</sup>-popZ was digested from pMB177 using NdeI/Xbal enzyme and ligated into NdeI/XbaI-digested pMB267.
pMB255 (pMT464-zitP<sup>1-90</sup>)
The zitP<sup>1-90</sup> coding sequence was PCR amplified from NA1000 using the 2215sh_ndeI and OMB13 primers. The fragment was digested with Ndel/EcoRI and ligated into Ndel/EcoRI-digested pMT464.

pMB256 (pMT464-zitP)
The zitP coding sequence was PCR amplified from NA1000 using the 2215sh_ndeI and CC2215_E primers. The fragment was digested with Ndel/EcoRI and ligated into Ndel/EcoRI-digested pMT464.

pMB257 (pMT464-zitP<sup>1-90</sup>-popZ)
The zitP<sup>1-90</sup> coding sequence was digested from pMB255 using NdeI/EcoRI and ligated into NdeI/EcoRI-digested pMB175.

pMB258 (pMT464-zitP-popZ)
The zitP coding sequence was digested from pMB256 using Ndel/EcoRI and ligated into Ndel/EcoRI-digested pMB175.

pMB265 (pMT464-zitP<sup>1-104(Rm)</sup>-popZ)
The ZnR from R. massiliae and its transmembrane domain (zitP<sup>1-104(Rm)</sup>) coding sequence was digested from pMB114 using Ndel/EcoRI and ligated into Ndel/EcoRI-digested pMB175.

pMB266 (pBAD22-mCherry-zitP<sup>1-104(Rm)</sup>-popZ)
The (ZitP<sup>1-104(Rm)</sup>)-popZ co-expression construct was digested from pMB265 using Ndel/Xbal and ligated into Ndel/Xbal-digested pMB267.

**Synthetic fragment used in this study**

**Fragment 1:** Astex_3267<sup>1-80</sup>
gccgaccgactgagacgctcacaaGAGCTCTGATGCTGCTGACGTGCCCGAAGTGCGCCCTGTCGTACGC
GATCGATGTGCAGCTGACGTGCCCGAAGTGCGCCCTGTCGTACGC
GATCGATGTGCAGCTGACGTGCCCGAAGTGCGCCCTGTCGTACGC
GATCGATGTGCAGCTGACGTGCCCGAAGTGCGCCCTGTCGTACGC

**Fragment 2:** Mmar10_2373<sup>1-90</sup>
gccgaccgactgagacgctcacaaGAGCTCTGATGCTGCTGACGTGCCCGAAGTGCGCCCTGTCGTACGC
GATCGATGTGCAGCTGACGTGCCCGAAGTGCGCCCTGTCGTACGC
GATCGATGTGCAGCTGACGTGCCCGAAGTGCGCCCTGTCGTACGC
GATCGATGTGCAGCTGACGTGCCCGAAGTGCGCCCTGTCGTACGC

**Fragment 3:** Cseg_2867<sup>1-90</sup>
gccgaccgactgagacgctcacaaGAGCTCTGATGCTGCTGACGTGCCCGAAGTGCGCCCTGTCGTACGC
GATCGATGTGCAGCTGACGTGCCCGAAGTGCGCCCTGTCGTACGC
GATCGATGTGCAGCTGACGTGCCCGAAGTGCGCCCTGTCGTACGC
GATCGATGTGCAGCTGACGTGCCCGAAGTGCGCCCTGTCGTACGC

**Fragment 4:** HMPREF0185_02600<sup>1-50</sup>
agattttgctgcagctgacgactgacgaGAGCTCTGATGCTGACGTGCCCGAAGTGCGCCCTGTCGTACGC
GATCGATGTGCAGCTGACGTGCCCGAAGTGCGCCCTGTCGTACGC
GATCGATGTGCAGCTGACGTGCCCGAAGTGCGCCCTGTCGTACGC
GATCGATGTGCAGCTGACGTGCCCGAAGTGCGCCCTGTCGTACGC
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Fragment 5: \text{zitP}^{1-43W35I}
tcaagaccggttaggcccccaAGAGCTCTAATGATACCTGGCCGAGGAGCGCCGATTTTC
GTGACAGACTCCCAAGCTCGGCGGACGCCGACTGACCTGCCCGGAGTGCGCCAGCCGCTATTTC
GTCGACGACTCCAAGGTCGGGCCGGACGGTCGCGTCGTGCGTTGCGCCTCTTGCGGCAATCGC
ATCACCGCCTTCAAGGACGAAGCTGAATGAattctatagtgagtcgtattaattt

Fragment 6: \text{zitP}^{1-43R24A/R27A}
TCGTGACGTTCGTTGCTCTAACTGCGGTCACGGTATGATACTGACCTGCCCGGAGTGCGCCAGCCGCTATTTC
GTCGACGACTCCAAGGTCGGGCCGGACGGTCGCGTCGTGCGTTGCGCCTCTTGCGGCAATCGC
ATCACCGCCTTCAAGGACGAAGCTGAATGAattctatagtgagtcgtattaattt

Fragment 7: \text{Rsph17025}_{2450}^{1-43WT}
gccgaccgactgagacgctcacaaGAGCTCTGATGCGTCTGATCTGCCCGAACTGCGACGCTCAGTACGAA
GTTTCTGACGACGCTATCCCGCCGGAAGGTCGTGACGTTCAGTGCTCTAACTGCGGT
ACCGTTGTTGCTTCCAGGTCCCTTGCTTTCTCGGTAATTCgaggatttcgcgctggtcagacaa

Fragment 8: \text{Rsph17025}_{2450}^{1-43Q24R}
TCGTGACGTTCGTTGCTCTAACTGCGGTCACGGTATGCGTCTGATCTGCCCGAACTGCGACGCTC
AGTACGAAGTTTCTGACGACGCTATCCCGCCGGAAGGTCGTGACGTTCGTTGCTCTAACTGCGGT
ACCGTTGTTGCTTCCAGGTCCCTTGCTTTCTCGGTAATTCgaggatttcgcgctggtcagacaa

Fragment 9: \text{RMB}_{01390}^{1-50WT}
aggatttcgcgctggtcagacaaGAGCTCTGATGTATATCACCTGCCCGAACTGCCAGACCCGTTTTATCG
TTACCTCTAACCAGATCGGTGGCACATTACAAGCCGACTCTGCAAGGAACTCCACTTCAATAATGCGAT
ACCAGAAGCTGGACTACAACACCTCTACTCTGAACGACTTCAAATGAATTCagctatgaccatgcagattt
gatttcgcgctggtcagacaa

Fragment 10: \text{RMB}_{01390}^{1-50W35I}
gccgaccgactgagacgctcacaaAACCAGATGATCCTGACGTGCCCCGAATGCGCCTCGCGTTATTTTGTGGAT
GATTCCAAGGTCGGTCCCGATGGTCGTGTGGTCCGTTGTGCCAGCTGTGGTAATCGTATCACGGC
CTTTAAGGATGAAGCGGAAGAACTGCTGGATCTGTTTGAAGGCCGGCTGCTGCATCCCGCG

Fragment 11: \text{zitP}^{1-133CS}(\text{codon optimized for } \text{E. coli})
 gccgaccgactgagacgctcacaaAACATATGTCGATCCGCAAGGCGCGTCACTGGGCTTTCTGGACATCA
GGGTTAAAATCAGCGACCCCAGTAAGTTATGTGGGGTTCGTTCGATTCGCGGCCATGATACTGAC
CTCCCCGGATCCCGGCATCTTTTCTCGGCAATCCTGCCGGCCGAGGAGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAG

Fragment 12: \text{zitP}^{1-133W35I}(\text{codon optimized for } \text{E. coli})
gccgaccgactgagacgctcacaaAACCAGATGATCCTGACGTGCCCCGAATGCGCCTCGCGTTATTTTGTGGAT
GATTCCAAGGTCGGTCCCGATGGTCGTGTGGTCCGTTGTGCCAGCTGTGGTAATCGTATCACGGC
CTTTAAGGATGAAGCGGAAGAACTGCTGGATCTGTTTGAAGGCCGGCTGCTGCATCCCGCG

Fragment 13: \text{zitP}^{1-132malF}(\text{codon optimized for } \text{E. coli})
gccgaccgactgagacgctcacaaATGATCCTGACGTGCCCCGAATGCGCCTCGCGTTATTTTGTGGAT
GATTCCAAGGTCGGTCCCGATGGTCGTGTGGTCCGTTGTGCCAGCTGTGGTAATCGTATCACGGC
CTTTAAGGATGAAGCGGAAGAACTGCTGGATCTGTTTGAAGGCCGGCTGCTGCATCCCGCG
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TAGCCAGGGCGATCGTGACGAAGCTGCGGAAGAAGCTGTAGCGGCAGAAGCGGAAGAGCCGC
CGGTTAGCGCGCTGCCGGGTGAAGAACTGCCGAAGGTGTTCCGTGCCCGCGCTGATGCGGAAC
GCCGTCTGCTGCGTGCGGCTCTGAAATGGTCCGTACTGGGTCTGCTGGGCCTGCTGGTTGGTTACCTG
GTTGTTCTGATGTACGCCGTAATTCTctagaatatagtgagtcgtattaattt

Fragment 14 : HMPREF0185_02600^1-121WT (codon optimized for E. coli)
ggcgaccgactgacgctcacaCTATATGATCGTACGCTCGCCGCGGTGTTCCCGGAGGCTACGCTATGTTGGTCGCTGGTTACGCTCGCCGCTGCTGGGTGAAGAACTGCCGAAGGTGTTCCGTGCCCGCGCTGATGCGGAACGCCGTCTGCTGCGTGCGGCTCTGAAATGGTCCGTACTGGGTCTGCTGGGCCTGCTGGTTGGTTACCTG
GTTGTTCTGATGTACGCCGTAATTCTctagaatatagtgagtcgtattaattt

Fragment 15 : RMB_01390^1-102WT (codon optimized for E. coli)
ggcgaccgactgacgctcacaCTATATGATCGTACGCTCGCCGCGGTGTTCCCGGAGGCTACGCTATGTTGGTCGCTGGTTACGCTCGCCGCTGCTGGGTGAAGAACTGCCGAAGGTGTTCCGTGCCCGCGCTGATGCGGAACGCCGTCTGCTGCGTGCGGCTCTGAAATGGTCCGTACTGGGTCTGCTGGGCCTGCTGGTTGGTTACCTG
GTTGTTCTGATGTACGCCGTAATTCTctagaatatagtgagtcgtattaattt

Fragment 16 : popZ (codon optimized for E. coli)
ggcgaccgactgacgctcacaCTATATGATCGTACGCTCGCCGCGGTGTTCCCGGAGGCTACGCTATGTTGGTCGCTGGTTACGCTCGCCGCTGCTGGGTGAAGAACTGCCGAAGGTGTTCCGTGCCCGCGCTGATGCGGAACGCCGTCTGCTGCGTGCGGCTCTGAAATGGTCCGTACTGGGTCTGCTGGGCCTGCTGGTTGGTTACCTG
GTTGTTCTGATGTACGCCGTAATTCTctagaatatagtgagtcgtattaattt

Fragment 17 : zitP^1-90 (codon optimized for E. coli)
ggcgaccgactgacgctcacaCTATATGATCGTACGCTCGCCGCGGTGTTCCCGGAGGCTACGCTATGTTGGTCGCTGGTTACGCTCGCCGCTGCTGGGTGAAGAACTGCCGAAGGTGTTCCGTGCCCGCGCTGATGCGGAACGCCGTCTGCTGCGTGCGGCTCTGAAATGGTCCGTACTGGGTCTGCTGGGCCTGCTGGTTGGTTACCTG
GTTGTTCTGATGTACGCCGTAATTCTctagaatatagtgagtcgtattaattt

Fragment 18 : zitP^1-43R27Q/W35I(Rs)
ggcgaccgactgacgctcacaCTATATGATCGTACGCTCGCCGCGGTGTTCCCGGAGGCTACGCTATGTTGGTCGCTGGTTACGCTCGCCGCTGCTGGGTGAAGAACTGCCGAAGGTGTTCCGTGCCCGCGCTGATGCGGAACGCCGTCTGCTGCGTGCGGCTCTGAAATGGTCCGTACTGGGTCTGCTGGGCCTGCTGGTTGGTTACCTG
GTTGTTCTGATGTACGCCGTAATTCTctagaatatagtgagtcgtattaattt

Fragment 19 : zitP^1-43W35I (codon optimized for E. coli)
ggcgaccgactgacgctcacaCTATATGATCGTACGCTCGCCGCGGTGTTCCCGGAGGCTACGCTATGTTGGTCGCTGGTTACGCTCGCCGCTGCTGGGTGAAGAACTGCCGAAGGTGTTCCGTGCCCGCGCTGATGCGGAACGCCGTCTGCTGCGTGCGGCTCTGAAATGGTCCGTACTGGGTCTGCTGGGCCTGCTGGTTGGTTACCTG
GTTGTTCTGATGTACGCCGTAATTCTctagaatatagtgagtcgtattaattt

Fragment 20 : agmX^1-90 (codon optimized for E. coli)
ggcgaccgactgacgctcacaCTATATGATCGTACGCTCGCCGCGGTGTTCCCGGAGGCTACGCTATGTTGGTCGCTGGTTACGCTCGCCGCTGCTGGGTGAAGAACTGCCGAAGGTGTTCCGTGCCCGCGCTGATGCGGAACGCCGTCTGCTGCGTGCGGCTCTGAAATGGTCCGTACTGGGTCTGCTGGGCCTGCTGGTTGGTTACCTG
GTTGTTCTGATGTACGCCGTAATTCTctagaatatagtgagtcgtattaattt
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