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Abstract

Background
Asymmetric medullary veins (AMV) are frequently observed in stroke patients and single-echo susceptibility weighted imaging (SWIs) is the main technique in detecting AMV. Our study aimed to investigate which echo time (TE) on single-echo susceptibility is the optimal echo for visualizing AMV and to compare the ability in detecting AMV in stroke patients between SWIs and multi-echo susceptibility weighted imaging (SWIc).

Materials and Methods
Twenty patients with middle cerebral artery stroke were included. SWI was acquired by using a multi-echo gradient-echo sequence with six echoes ranging from 5 ms to 35.240 ms. Three different echoes of SWIs including SWIs¹ (TE = 23.144 ms), SWIs² (TE = 29.192 ms) and SWIs³ (TE = 35.240 ms) were reconstructed. SWIc was averaged using the three echoes of SWIs. Image quality and venous contrast of medullary veins were compared between SWIs and SWIc using peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR), mean opinion score (MOS), contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). The presence of AMV was evaluated in each SWIs¹–³ and SWIc.

Results
SWIs² had the highest PSNR, MOS and CNR and SWIs¹ had the highest SNR among three different echoes of SWIs. No significant difference was found in SNR between SWIs¹ and SWIs². PSNR, MOS and CNR in SWIc were significantly increased by 27.9%, 28.2% and 17.2% compared with SWIc and SNR in SWIc was significantly increased by 32.4%.
compared with SWI_{s1}. 55% of patients with AMV were detected in SWI_{s2}, SWI_{s3} and SWI_{c}, while 50% AMV were found in SWI_{s1}.

Conclusions
SWI_{s} using TE around 29ms was optimal in visualizing AMV. SWI_{c} could improve image quality and venous contrast, but was equal to SWI_{s} using a relative long TE in evaluating AMV. These results provide the technique basis for further research of AMV in stroke.

Introduction
Susceptibility weighted imaging (SWI) is a high spatial resolution three dimensional (3D) gradient echo magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) technique that exploits the differences in magnetic susceptibility of various tissues, such as blood products, iron, and calcification [1–3]. In previous studies, we have reported that phase values from single-echo SWI (SWI_{s}) and R2’ values derived from combined multi-echo SWI (SWI_{c}) are useful imaging biomarkers of brain iron deposition in vivo [4, 5]. In addition to quantitative measurement of brain iron, SWI is also a noninvasive means which can visualize artery thrombi and venous system in the brain without contrast agent injection [6, 7].

The cerebral venous architecture, especially deep medullary veins (DMV) at the periventricular white matter areas of the cerebral hemispheres, has recently been paid increased attention because these small veins have important clinical and pathophysiological significance in cerebrovascular diseases [8–11]. Asymmetric medullary veins (AMV) are frequently detected in stroke patients [12, 13], and has been reported to be associated with development of hemorrhagic transformation in patients with acute stroke treated with intravenous tissue plasminogen activator [9]. Because of the small diameter of DMV, it is essential to choose appropriate technique to depict DMV clearly. If there were vague DMV in MR images, it would be difficult to determine the presence of AMV.

SWI_{s} using a specific echo time (TE) remains the main SWI technique in clinical stroke research [14]. It has been reported that optimal venous contrast of a vein parallel to the main field is achieved at TE = 28 ms [15, 16]. However, which TE would produce the best image contrast of DMV which are perpendicular to the main field is still unknown. On the other hand, SWI_{c} images are proposed to have better contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) than SWI_{s} images [17]. Furthermore, different echoes can be acquired simultaneously within one repetition time (TR) period without increasing scan time [18]. Recently, several papers have focused on the value of SWI in detecting AMV [8, 19], but few studies have been previously published about the comparison of SWI_{s} and SWI_{c} in visualizing AMV. In our study, we used a high resolution multi-echo SWI sequence to analyze which TE yields the optimum image quality and venous contrast of DMV for identifying AMV in a subset of stroke patients. At the meantime, we compared the image quality and venous contrast of DMV in SWI_{c} with those in SWI_{s} and compared the ability of SWI_{c} and SWI_{s} in detecting AMV.

Materials and Methods
Patients
The study was approved by the human ethics committee of the second affiliated hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine (No.2013LSY-006) and written informed consent was
obtained from all subjects. Every participant was informed of the purpose and procedure of this study.

Twenty patients with middle cerebral artery (MCA) territory infarction within 3 to 7 days after symptom onset between April 2013 and January 2014 were recruited. All patients did not receive any thrombolytic or recanalization therapies. The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) first-ever ischemic stroke; 2) involving the vascular territory of unilateral MCA; 3) admission between 3 and 7 days after stroke onset; 4) age older than 18 years; 5) without hemorrhagic infarction; 6) without history of neurological or psychiatric disorders.

Data acquisition
Each patient was scanned using a 3D high resolution flow-compensated multi-echo SWI sequence on a 3.0T MR scanner (Signa Excite, GE Healthcare, USA) by using an 8-channel brain phased array coil. The detail parameters of SWI sequence were as follows: TR = 45 ms, TE = 5 ms to 35.240 ms with an echo spacing of 6.048 ms, echo number = 6, flip angle = 25°, bandwidth (BW) = 41.67 kHz, slice thickness = 2 mm, matrix = 384 × 320, field of view (FOV) = 24 cm. To further improve in-plane resolution, 384 × 320 images were interpolated into 512 × 512 images, which yielded an in-plane resolution of 0.47 × 0.47 mm². In order to save scan time, scan covering supratentorial regions was performed. Brain images were collected parallel to anterior commissure–posterior commissure line. The total acquisition time was 4 min 25 sec.

SWI process
We used the latter three echoes (including TE of 23.144 ms, 29.192 ms, and 35.240 ms) from multi-echo SWI sequence to reconstruct three different echoes of SWIc. Each echo of SWIc was processed based on magnitude and phase images using the SPIN software (Signal Processing in NMR, Detroit, Michigan, USA). The process involves high pass filter with 64 × 64, phase multiplication with factor of 4 and minimum intensity projection (mIP) over 4 slices. Lastly, three different echoes of SWIc including SWIc1 (TE = 23.144 ms), SWIc2 (TE = 29.192 ms), SWIc3 (TE = 35.240 ms) were obtained. Then, SWIc was processed by averaging the three different echoes of SWIc [17].

SWI image analysis

1. Image quality comparison. a. Objective comparison: Peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) of SWIc1, SWIc2, SWIc3 and SWIc images were calculated to analytically compare image quality using MATLAB software.

The PSNR was defined as follows [20]:

$$\text{Mean squared error (MSE)} = \sum_{i=1}^{x} \sum_{j=1}^{y} \frac{(|A_{ij} - B_{ij}|)^2}{x \cdot y}$$

$$\text{PSNR} = 10 \cdot \log_{10} \left( \frac{255^2}{\text{MSE}} \right)$$

where $A_{ij}$ is the original image data and $B_{ij}$ is the compressed image value and $x \times y$ represents image size. The units of PSNR are in decibels (dB) and higher PSNR indicates better image quality.
b. **Subjective comparison:** Image quality was also analyzed by subjective method of mean opinion score (MOS) [21] with five-point scale by two radiologists who were blinded to the study goal and gave a consensus result. Each type of SWI images were evaluated at an interval of one week using the same window level and window width by SPIN software. The five-point scale is as follows: a score of 5 indicates excellent; a score of 4, good; a score of 3, moderate; a score of 2, poor; and a score of 1, nondiagnostic [22]. Inter-rater reliability was analyzed using intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) with 95% confidence intervals (CI).

2. **Venous contrast comparison.** Venous contrast of SWIs1, SWIs2, SWIs3 and SWIc images were compared using contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) which were calculated based on region of interest (ROI) measurement using Medical Image Processing, Analysis, and Visualization (MIPAV) software.

The CNR is defined as follows [23]:

\[
CNR = \frac{|S_a - S_b|}{\sigma_b}
\]

where \(S_a\) and \(S_b\) are the mean signal intensities in regions of DMV and regions of adjacent white matter tissues, and \(\sigma_b\) is standard deviation (SD) of the signal intensity in regions of adjacent white matter tissues.

The SNR is defined as follows [24]:

\[
SNR = \frac{S}{\sigma}
\]

where \(S\) is the mean signal intensity in regions of DMV, and \(\sigma\) is SD of the signal intensity in background air.

---

**Table 1. The clinical characteristics of stroke patients.**

| Patient NO. | Age (yr) | Gender | Time to MRI (day) | Affected hemisphere | Lesion size (cm³) | NIHSS score |
|-------------|----------|--------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 01          | 58       | M      | 3                | R                   | 40.9            | 6           |
| 02          | 54       | M      | 3                | L                   | 102.2           | 7           |
| 03          | 69       | F      | 4                | L                   | 17.8            | 16          |
| 04          | 36       | M      | 3                | R                   | 15.2            | 7           |
| 05          | 78       | M      | 6                | L                   | 3.3             | 9           |
| 06          | 72       | F      | 4                | R                   | 30.2            | 12          |
| 07          | 58       | F      | 4                | L                   | 14.5            | 8           |
| 08          | 64       | M      | 4                | L                   | 16.8            | 8           |
| 09          | 45       | M      | 4                | L                   | 26.0            | 6           |
| 10          | 48       | M      | 4                | L                   | 9.2             | 5           |
| 11          | 51       | M      | 6                | L                   | 46.3            | 17          |
| 12          | 57       | F      | 6                | R                   | 2.1             | 5           |
| 13          | 75       | M      | 5                | R                   | 3.9             | 10          |
| 14          | 46       | F      | 4                | L                   | 2.0             | 5           |
| 15          | 58       | M      | 7                | R                   | 2.3             | 5           |
| 16          | 38       | M      | 4                | L                   | 29.8            | 11          |
| 17          | 46       | M      | 3                | L                   | 29.3            | 16          |
| 18          | 57       | F      | 7                | R                   | 46.8            | 8           |
| 19          | 53       | M      | 5                | R                   | 62.3            | 10          |
| 20          | 61       | M      | 5                | R                   | 2.2             | 9           |

MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NIHSS, National Institute of Health Stroke Score; M, male; F, female; R, right; L, left.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0159251.t001
Both ROIs of DMV and adjacent white matter tissues were firstly chosen in three different regions on SWIc images (Fig 1) and then copied to other SWIs images by an experienced radiologist. The same ROIs of DMV were used in calculating SNR. ROI was each measured again after a one-week interval by the same radiologist who was blind to previous measurements. The average results of CNR and SNR were calculated. The units of CNR and SNR are in decibels (dB) and higher CNR and SNR indicate better image quality.

AMV evaluation
AMV was defined as increased number of DMV in one hemisphere with at least 5 more seen in comparison to the contralateral hemisphere [25]. The presence of AMV was independently evaluated by two radiologists who were blinded to the clinical data and image information using the same window level and window width by SPIN software. AMV in SWI1, SWI2, SWI3 and SWI images were evaluated at an interval of one week. Inter-rater reliability of AMV was assessed using kappa statistics.

Statistics
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 20.0 for Windows software (IBM, USA). Quantitative data are expressed as mean ± SD or median (interquartile range [IQR]), and categorical data are expressed as proportions or percentages. The significant differences in PSNR, CNR and SNR (the data were normally distributed) among the four types of SWI images were analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by least significant difference (LSD) post-hoc analysis for multiple comparisons. The significant difference in MOS (the data were not normal distribution) among the four types of SWI images was analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis test followed by all pairwise multiple comparisons. The correlation between PSNR and MOS was
performed using Spearman’s correlation analysis. A two-tailed value of \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant.

**Results**

**Patient characteristics**

The mean patient age was 56.2 ± 11.5 years (range, 36 to 78 years) with 14/20 (70%) being male patients. The time between MR examination and symptom onset was 4.6 ± 1.3 days (range, 3 to 7 days). NIHSS on admission was 9.1 ± 3.7 (range, 5 to 17). The detail information of stroke patients was shown in Table 1.

**Image quality and venous contrast comparison**

SWI\(_{s2}\) had the highest PSNR value among the three different echoes of SWIs (102.3 ± 5.2 dB in SWI\(_{s2}\) versus 94.8 ± 4.3 dB in SWI\(_{s1}\), \( P = 0.002\); and versus 94.7 ± 7.9 dB in SWI\(_{s3}\), \( P = 0.002\)). There were no significant differences in PSNR value between SWI\(_{s1}\) and SWI\(_{s3}\) (\( P = 0.964\)).

PSNR value of SWI\(_{c}\) was 130.9 ± 10.9 dB, increasing by 27.9% compared with PSNR value of SWI\(_{s2}\) (\( P < 0.001\)). Fig 2a showed the result of PSNR among the four types of SWI images.

The median values of MOS in SWI\(_{s1}\), SWI\(_{s2}\) and SWI\(_{s3}\) were 3 (IQR, 3–4), 4 (IQR, 4–4) and 4 (IQR, 3–4), respectively. No significant differences were found between SWI\(_{s1}\) and SWI\(_{s2}\) (\( P = 0.097\)), SWI\(_{s1}\) and SWI\(_{s3}\) (\( P = 0.746\)) and SWI\(_{s2}\) and SWI\(_{s3}\) (\( P = 1.000\)). The median values of MOS in SWI\(_{c}\) was 5 (IQR, 5–5), which was much higher than MOS of SWI\(_{s2}\) (\( P < 0.001\)). Inter-rater reliability for MOS was excellent with an ICC of 0.90 (95% CI, 0.86 to 0.93). Fig 2b showed the result of MOS among the four types of SWI images. There was a highly significant correlation between MOS and PSNR (\( r = 0.69\), \( P < 0.001\)).

Both values of CNR in SWI\(_{s2}\) and SWI\(_{s3}\) were 2.9 ± 0.7 dB, which were significantly higher than that in SWI\(_{s1}\) (\( P = 0.018\)). CNR values of SWI\(_{c}\) was 3.4 ± 0.7 dB, increasing by 17.2% compared with CNR value of SWI\(_{s2}\) or SWI\(_{s3}\) (\( P = 0.013\)). With TE increasing, the SNR decreased. SWI\(_{s1}\) had the highest SNR value compared with SWI\(_{s2}\) and SWI\(_{s3}\) (35.8 ± 10.9 dB in SWI\(_{s1}\) versus 30.6 ± 9.4 dB in SWI\(_{s2}\), \( P = 0.151\); and versus 27.1 ± 8.1 dB in SWI\(_{s3}\), \( P = 0.018\)). SNR value of SWI\(_{c}\) was 47.4 ± 15.8 dB, increasing by 32.4% compared with SNR value of SWI\(_{s1}\) (\( P = 0.002\)). Fig 2c and 2d showed the comparison of CNR and SNR among the four types of SWI images.

The raw data of PSNR, MOS, CNR and SNR are within S1 Dataset.

**AMV evaluation**

The presence of AMV was found in 11 patients (55%) in SWI\(_{s2}\), SWI\(_{s3}\) and SWI\(_{c}\), but in 10 patients (50%) in SWI\(_{s1}\). The Kappa value of AMV was 0.80 for SWI\(_{s1}\), 0.90 for SWI\(_{s2}\), SWI\(_{s3}\) and SWI\(_{c}\). AMV were much more conspicuous in SWI\(_{c}\) images, but were inconspicuous in SWI\(_{s1}\). The case without obvious AMV in SWI\(_{s1}\) was shown in Fig 3.

**Discussion**

Our study showed that TE = 29.192 ms was the optimal echo in visualizing DMV among the three different echoes of SWI. Compared with SWI images, SWI\(_{c}\) images can effectively improve both image quality and venous contrast. For qualitative evaluation of AMV, SWI\(_{c}\) (except TE = 23.144 ms) had the same capability as SWI\(_{c}\).

AMV is considered to be caused by an increase of oxygen extraction within veins, due to the elevated ratio of deoxyhemoglobin to oxyhemoglobin in ischemic brain regions [26, 27]. T2*-weighted imaging (T2*WI) which is based on the blood oxygenation level dependent effect is...
the main method in evaluating AMV in stroke patients during hyperacute or acute stage [9, 12, 28]. SWI is developed as an alternative to T2*WI and is typically acquired at higher spatial resolution than T2*WI [1]. SWI has been confirmed to be more sensitive in detecting small paramagnetic materials than T2*WI [29–31]. Therefore, SWI should be more suitable in visualizing AMV.

SWI images using longer echoes can produce higher venous contrast. Thus, we used the latter three echoes from multi-echo SWI sequence including TE = 23.144 ms, TE = 29.192 ms and TE = 35.240 ms to reconstruct different single echoes of SWI images and found that SNR decreased when TE was prolonged. In other words, it was not the longest TE that had the best
venous contrast. This result is consistent with previous finding that a longer TE has a higher venous contrast but contains severe artifacts caused by the off-resonance effect [32]. Unlike veins parallel to the B_0 field, venous orientation of DMV with θ = 90° would be mainly influenced by an additional extravascular field around the vessel which brings additional dephasing [33]. We found that the best image quality and optimal venous contrast of DMV occurred at TE = 29.192 ms. This TE was close to the optimal time (28 ms) for veins running parallel to the main field [15, 16]. The conclusion of similar TE value producing optimum contrast for veins parallel and perpendicular to B_0 is in accordance with an in vivo and simulation study that showed maximum contrast of venous orientation of θ = 90° was close to that of venous orientation of θ = 0° when using a comparable TE [34]. It suggests that cerebral veins, regardless of whether they are perpendicular or parallel to magnetic field, can be achieved with a high venous contrast at TE around 29 ms.

By combining SWI, images acquired at different echo times, venous contrast would be improved because different contrasts of veins and susceptibility artifacts in the same zone of interest are weighted [17]. In our study, we found that SWI, had a more desirable balance between contrast of small DMV and image quality and quantitative image analysis showed that image quality and venous contrast were significantly increased on SWI_c. As far as we known, no studies have quantitatively investigated these small veins in SWI images. The main reason is that it is difficult to segment DMV because of low local contrasts and high noise levels of DMV in current MR technique. Venous contrast could be improved by using complex post-processing techniques, which has been reported in recent studies [23, 35]. The small DMV may be successfully segmented by using post-processing methods, which requires further investigation.

Although SWI_c showed superior contrast compared to SWI, our data showed that the detection ratio of AMV in SWI_c images was the same as that in SWI, images when using TE = 29.192 ms or 35.240 ms. The requirement for image quality in detecting the presence of AMV is not such rigorous as that in quantitative measurement of DMV because only the asymmetric performance of DMV is needed to be identified. Thus, for hyperacute or acute stage of stroke with limited scanning time or for some institutions without multi-echo SWI technique, SWI_c can be recommended to evaluate the presence of AMV. Based on our finding that best image quality and venous contrast of DMV was achieved at TE = 29.192 ms, a SWI_c with a relative long TE (around 29 ms) seems to be sufficient. However, using a shorter TE in SWI_c should be cautious because it may underestimate the presence of AMV. Small vessels at shorter TE have a lower venous contrast, and therefore, DMV may be difficult to be differentiated from adjacent image noise.

Image resolution is also an important issue in delineating intracranial small veins. Due to the normal caliber of DMV ranging from 100 μm to 250 μm [36], a high image resolution is necessary. It has been known that high in-plane resolution with a thicker slice is best for SWI images. The concept of voxel aspect ratio, defined as a ratio of R/w/h (R is the diameter of the vein, and w and h are the width and height of the voxel dimension), has been reported to play an important role in venous contrast of SWI images [37]. It is suggested that a voxel aspect ratio of 1:1:4 is optimal for venous contrast of a vein perpendicular to the main field [37]. In our study, an in-plane resolution of 0.47 mm × 0.47 mm with a slice thickness of 2 mm was used. Although DMV are smaller than the voxel dimensions, a resolution of 0.5 mm × 0.5 mm with a 2 mm slice thickness still can yield a good venous contrast for veins with diameter of roughly 200 μm or greater [38].

There are some limitations in our study. Firstly, an additional single-echo sequence was not acquired for comparison. Different echoes of SWI_c images derived from a multi-echo sequence has its own advantage that all parameters are the same besides TE. In fact, it is difficult to obtain the same sequence parameters between a reference SWI_c image and a SWI_c image.
because some parameters have to be adjusted to allocate time for the sampling of multiple echoes. Secondly, the maximum TE was only 35.240 ms. It is unknown whether combined with other TEs of longer than 35.240 ms would produce a better image quality and venous contrast. However, a too long TE will increase the total scan time, which is not practicable in the clinical setting of hyperacute stroke when “time is brain” [39].

In summary, SWI, using TE around 29 ms was the optimal echo for visualizing AMV in stroke patients. SWIc could improve visibility of AMV, but was equal to SWI, using a relative long TE in qualitative assessment of AMV. These results will provide the technique basis for choosing the optimal echo for visualizing AMV and pave the way for further research of AMV in stroke.
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