Multiscale analysis of autotroph-heterotroph interactions in a high-temperature microbial community
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Abstract

Interactions among microbial community members can lead to emergent properties, such as enhanced productivity, stability, and robustness. Iron-oxide mats in acidic (pH 2–4), high-temperature (> 65 °C) springs of Yellowstone National Park contain relatively simple microbial communities and are well-characterized geochemically. Consequently, these communities are excellent model systems for studying the metabolic activity of individual populations and key microbial interactions. The primary goals of the current study were to integrate data collected in situ with in silico calculations across process-scales encompassing enzymatic activity, cellular metabolism, community interactions, and ecosystem biogeochemistry, as well as to predict and quantify the functional limits of autotroph-heterotroph interactions. Metagenomic and transcriptomic data were used to reconstruct carbon and energy metabolisms of an important autotroph (Metallosphaera yellowstonensis) and heterotroph (Geoarchaeum sp. OSPB) from the studied Fe(III)-oxide mat communities. Standard and hybrid elementary flux mode and flux balance analyses of metabolic models predicted cellular- and community-level metabolic acclimations to simulated environmental stresses, respectively. In situ geochemical analyses, including oxygen depth-profiles, Fe(III)-oxide deposition rates, stable carbon isotopes and mat biomass concentrations, were combined with cellular models to explore autotroph-heterotroph interactions important to community structure-function. Integration of metabolic modeling with in situ measurements, including the relative population abundance of autotrophs to heterotrophs, demonstrated that Fe(III)-oxide mat communities operate at their maximum total community growth rate (i.e. sum of autotroph and heterotroph growth rates), as opposed to net community growth rate (i.e. total community growth rate subtracting autotroph consumed by heterotroph), as predicted from the maximum power principle. Integration of multiscale data with ecological theory provides...
a basis for predicting autotroph-heterotroph interactions and community-level cellular organization.

**Author summary**

Microbial communities often display emergent properties, such as enhanced productivity, stability, and robustness, compared to their component populations in isolation. However, determining the governing principles of these emergent properties can be elusive due to the complexities of interpreting and integrating genomic and geochemical data sets collected at largely different observational scales. Here, we use multiscale, metagenome-enabled modeling of an Fe(II)-oxidizing community to extract information regarding biomass productivity limitations, relative population abundance, total biomass concentration, and electron acceptor uptake rates. The systematic approach used herein is broadly applicable to any microbial community with modest activity and metagenomic data as well as provides a mechanism to characterize interaction motifs in communities that include uncultivated organisms.

**Introduction**

Microorganisms are the largest component of the biosphere and drive biogeochemical cycles through metabolic activity [1]. Microorganisms commonly exist in biofilms or mats that contain numerous microenvironments due to the interplay between convection-, diffusion-, and chemical concentration gradients induced by microbial activity [2–4]. In addition, most natural microbial communities have diverse microbial populations and an array of nutrient and energy sources, which often precludes detailed analyses of microbial interactions linked to metabolic activity. Natural microbial communities containing well-characterized microbial populations and tractable nutrient inputs are excellent systems to elucidate the principles that organize microbial metabolism and interaction.

The phylogenetic diversity of microorganisms within Fe(III)-oxide microbial mats of acid-sulfate-chloride springs in Yellowstone National Park (YNP) is limited due to high temperature (65–75˚C) and low pH (~ 3) [5–7]. These biomineralizing communities are formed and inhabited by a limited number of distinct phylotypes, including crenarchaea from the order Sulfolobales (e.g. *Metallosphaera yellowstonensis* str. MK1) and candidate phylum Geoarchaeota (e.g. *Geoarchaeum* str. OSPB) (supplemental material) [6–12]. The aqueous and solid-phase geochemistry of two such environments in Beowulf and One Hundred Springs Plain (OSP) hot springs have been studied in detail [5,12–15], and provide bounding conditions and physicochemical context for modeling microbial community interactions. The primary electron donors that drive chemolithoautotrophy in Fe(III)-oxide microbial mats include Fe(II) (25–40 μM) and possibly reduced forms of sulfur (dissolved sulfide < 10 μM) and As(III) (25–30 μM) [9]. The oxidation of Fe(II) coupled with the reduction of oxygen provides energy necessary for the fixation of carbon dioxide by *M. yellowstonensis*, a major autotroph in these mats [6,8,14,16,17]. The consumption of oxygen is diffusion-limited in Fe(III)-oxide microbial mats, and results in steep gradients in dissolved oxygen from 60 μM to below 1 μM over 0.5 to 1 mm [14]. The steep oxygen concentration gradients and corresponding relative abundance of community members as a function of mat depth indicate microbial competition for this limiting electron acceptor [12]. Genomic and mRNA data indicate that predominant
autotrophs (e.g. *M. yellowstonensis*) and heterotrophs (e.g. *Geoarchaeum* str. OSPB) in Fe(III)-oxide mats utilize oxygen as an electron acceptor [7, 16, 18].

Carbon dioxide fixation by autotrophs in the community contributes 42 to 99% of the total microbial biomass carbon in Fe(III)-oxide mats from Beowulf and OSP hot springs; the remaining carbon originates from exogenous sources that are produced independent of system electron donor and acceptor requirements [17]. Carbon dioxide fixation has been demonstrated in *M. yellowstonensis*, which is one of the primary autotrophs in the oxic zones of the Fe(III)-oxide mats [17, 19]. Metagenome analysis has established *Geoarchaeum* str. OSPB as a primary aerobic heterotroph, which comprises 30 to 50% of the total microbial community in the oxic zones of Fe(III)-oxide mats found at OSP [7, 12]. Chemolithoautotrophic metabolism and the subsequent transfer of nutrients and energy to heterotrophs (e.g. *Geoarchaeum* str. OSPB) is hypothesized to drive major autotroph-heterotroph interactions along with competition for the primary terminal electron acceptor, oxygen. Sulfolobales viruses are highly represented in the metagenome sequence of these Fe(III)-oxide mats [10], which suggests that viral predation of *M. yellowstonensis* and other Sulfolobales populations contributes to the turnover of autotrophic biomass and creates reduced carbon sources for heterotrophs.

Genome-enabled stoichiometric modeling is a powerful approach in systems biology for examining metabolic acclimation to environmental stress across size scales from individual cells to communities of interacting populations [20–22]. A summary and graphical representation of stoichiometric modeling can be found in the supplemental information (Figure A in S13 File). Briefly, these approaches construct *in silico* representations of cellular metabolism inferred from genome sequence analysis [23]. The metabolic models define possible routes of electron transport, cellular energy production, carbon acquisition and central metabolism, and include details of the anabolic processes necessary to synthesize biomass. There are two major types of stoichiometric modeling: elementary flux mode analysis (EFMA) and flux balance analysis (FBA). EFMA identifies all distinct and indecomposable routes through a metabolic network; these routes are termed elementary flux modes (EFMs) [24]. EFMs, and non-negative linear combinations thereof, describe all possible physiologies independent of kinetic parameters, which makes EFMA well-suited for evaluating energetic efficiencies of different electron donors, acceptors, and nutrient sources involved in biomass production. FBA identifies optimal routes through a metabolic network that, for example, maximize growth rate for a given substrate uptake rate [25]. The use of optimization to identify these routes and rates for a given set of nutrient uptake rates is ideal for sampling a large metabolic space bounded by known but flexible kinetic parameters. Stoichiometric modeling has been used to predict optimal genotypes in engineered systems [20, 26], interpret physiological behavior [21, 27], and evaluate the transfer of mass and energy between distinct populations in a natural phototrophic microbial community [22] and others [28].

A primary goal in environmental microbiology is to understand and predict microbial behavior in communities, where interactions among different populations lead to emergent properties, such as enhanced productivity, stability, and robustness [29]. Consequently, the objectives of this study were to 1) construct metabolic network models for major autotroph and heterotroph populations present in oxic zones of high-temperature Fe(III)-oxide mats using metagenome sequence assemblies from representative sites; 2) analyze the use of electron donors and acceptors for the production of biomass and cellular energy under different nutrient limitations; 3) integrate individual population models to examine possible autotroph-heterotroph interactions that may be fundamental to the ecology of microbial communities (e.g. relative population abundances and oxygen competition); and 4) perform a sensitivity analysis based on parameters measured *in situ* to determine model limitations and identify future priorities for field measurements. The approach used here integrates data from the
nanoscale of electron transport to microscale oxygen depth-profiles to hot spring-scale measurements of biotic Fe(III)-oxide deposition. Novel insights and governing principles of community structure and function were established through the integration of metagenome-enabled in silico approaches and in situ measurements.

Results

Enzymatic chemolithoautotroph electron donor and acceptor pathways

Genomic data were used to construct in silico representations of the electron transport network responsible for the oxidation of Fe(II) and sulfur species and the reduction of oxygen in *M. yellowstonensis* str. MK1 (Fig 1). Genomic and physiological evidence indicated that this chemolithoautotroph oxidizes Fe(II) using proteins encoded by the fox operon, which are also found in other Sulfolobales [16]. *M. yellowstonensis* can also oxidize a variety of sulfur species, including sulfide, elemental sulfur, sulfite, and thiosulfate, to reduce the quinone pool to quinol [16]. Electrons in the quinol pool can drive cellular energy production via oxidative phosphorylation through the reduction of oxygen using a high affinity heme copper oxidase. Alternatively, electrons in the quinol pool can reduce NAD⁺, enter central carbon metabolism, and be used to reduce inorganic carbon via the 3-hydroxypropionate / 4-hydroxybutyrate (3-HP / 4-HB) pathway [17]. These electron transport pathways are hypothesized to provide the majority of energy to the studied microbial communities. The modeled central carbon metabolism of *M. yellowstonensis* included the tricarboxylic acid cycle, gluconeogenesis, the pentose phosphate pathway via ribulose monophosphate [30], and the mevalonate pathway [31].

Cellular resource requirements to produce chemolithoautotroph or organoheterotroph biomass

Electron donor and acceptor pathways for *M. yellowstonensis* were integrated into a cellular-level metabolism model to quantify the relationship between growth and the consumption of environmental resources (Fig 1, supplemental material). Biological systems often minimize their requirements for growth limiting resources, providing an ecologically relevant basis for the in silico prediction of metabolic phenotypes [32]. A total of 6,337 elementary flux modes (EFMs) were calculated that produce *M. yellowstonensis* biomass using the inorganic electron donors, Fe(II), sulfide, elemental sulfur, sulfite, or thiosulfate. Each EFM was plotted as a function of moles of electron donor and moles of electron acceptor required to produce one carbon mole (Cmole) of *M. yellowstonensis* biomass (Fig 2A). The moles of electron donor required to form a Cmole of biomass was lowest for sulfide and highest for Fe(II) (Fig 2A), which follows the available free energy predicted for the oxidation of these electron donors coupled to the reduction of oxygen [33]. The oxidation of Fe(II) also required the most moles of oxygen per Cmole of biomass produced of the electron donors evaluated. These relationships were consistent on both a moles of electron donor and moles of oxidized electrons basis (Figure B in S13 File, Table A in S13 File for relevant degrees of reduction).

Genomic analysis of *Geoarchaeum* str. OSPB indicated that this organism is an organoheterotroph with the metabolic potential to utilize a wide variety of reduced carbon species, including biomass macromolecules (i.e. lipids, peptides, polysaccharides, and nucleic acids; see the supplemental material or [34] for a description of reactions involved). Details of *Geoarchaeum* biomass production from components of lysed *Metallosphaera* cells were elucidated in a prior report [34]. Briefly, the production of heterotroph biomass based on the consumption of autotroph biomass as a carbon source was evaluated with respect to the Cmoles of carbon.
substrate or moles of oxygen required to produce a Cmole of biomass (Table B in S13 File).

The production of a Cmole of *Geoarchaeum* str. OSPB biomass required 2.4 Cmoles of autotroph biomass to supply the cellular energy and structural components for growth under carbon-limited conditions (Fig 2B, point A). Production of a Cmole of *Geoarchaeum* str. OSPB biomass under oxygen-limited conditions requires 3.8 Cmoles of autotroph biomass (Fig 2B, point B).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006431.g001
Cellular oxygen requirements to produce autotroph and heterotroph biomass

The growth of autotroph required substantial inputs of oxygen and was governed largely by the degree of reduction and redox potential of the electron donor (Fig 2C, Table A in S13 File). The predicted growth of autotroph on elemental sulfur required 3.5 moles of oxygen per Cmole of biomass produced while oxidation of Fe(II) required 38 moles oxygen per Cmole of biomass produced. Both predicted oxygen requirements are within the range of measured values for similar organisms growing on sulfur (3.5 moles of oxygen per Cmole biomass, assuming $1.8 \times 10^{-13}$ grams per cell) and iron oxidation (35–104 moles oxygen per Cmole biomass) [35,36].

Different scenarios were used to evaluate heterotroph growth in this system: organic carbon was either made available, opportunistically, as a result of viral predation and subsequent lysis of the autotrophic cells, modeled as free monomer pools, or organic carbon was supplied as the result of a biological strategy where metabolites were excreted from the autotroph. Alternatively, organic carbon was provided from the surrounding landscape (hereafter called landscape carbon) which allowed for heterotrophic growth independent of the examined autotroph. The landscape carbon was modeled to have the same macromolecular composition as autotroph biomass for simplicity (supplemental material). The landscape carbon was produced from electron donors and acceptors external to the system boundaries and therefore did not contribute to oxygen consumption in the mat. Heterotroph growth on landscape carbon required 1.6 moles oxygen per Cmole of biomass produced, which is 54 and 96% less than the oxygen required to support the production of autotroph biomass from either elemental sulfur or Fe(II), respectively (Fig 2C, Table B in S13 File). The lower oxygen requirement for organo-heterotrophic growth was due to utilization of reduced carbon substrates as both electron donors and anabolic precursors. By comparison, autotrophic metabolism required substantially more energy to reduce carbon dioxide, which resulted in high oxygen requirements, especially when Fe(II) was the primary electron donor. Consequently, the oxygen requirements to
produce heterotroph biomass increased sharply when community-level interactions were included. For example, *Geoarchaeum* str. OSPB requires 2.4 Cmoles of autotroph biomass to produce a Cmole of heterotroph biomass under carbon-limited conditions (Fig 2B, Table B in S13 File). The total, aggregate oxygen requirement to produce heterotroph biomass from the biomass of an Fe(II) oxidizing autotroph included both the oxygen requirements of the heterotroph and the oxygen requirements to produce the autotroph biomass consumed, which resulted in 93 moles of oxygen being consumed per Cmole heterotroph biomass (Fig 2C).

**Community structure based on resource competition**

Oxygen is required to produce biomass and cellular energy for both the autotroph and heterotroph, which results in resource competition between these two trophic levels. Additionally, the heterotroph consumed autotroph biomass as an electron donor, creating a competitive interdependence. Mass balances on the autotroph and heterotroph within the oxic system boundaries (0.7 mm depth, Fig 1C) were described as functions of growth rates, resource requirements, and biomass concentrations (Tables 1 and 2). The system was assumed to be at steady-state for the analyzed time scale of days to weeks, which resulted in a relationship

| Variable | Description | Units | Value |
|----------|-------------|-------|-------|
| $\mu_i$  | Specific growth rate of population “i” | g g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ | Calculated |
| $\mu_{\text{max}}$ | Maximum growth rate of any population | g g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ | 0.1 |
| $Y_{\text{Fe}/\text{BA}} / Y_{\text{O}_2/\text{BA}}$ | Iron / oxygen to produce autotroph biomass$^a$ | mol g$^{-1}$ | 6.7 / 1.63 |
| $Y_{\text{Fe}/\text{EA}} / Y_{\text{O}_2/\text{EA}}$ | Iron / oxygen to produce autotroph cellular energy | mol mol$^{-1}$ | 16 / 4 |
| $Y_{\text{S}/\text{BA}} / Y_{\text{O}_2/\text{BA}}$ | Sulfide / oxygen to produce autotroph biomass$^a$ | mol g$^{-1}$ | 0.112 / 0.181 |
| $Y_{\text{S}/\text{EA}} / Y_{\text{O}_2/\text{EA}}$ | Sulfide / oxygen to produce autotroph cellular energy | mol mol$^{-1}$ | 0.25 / 0.5 |
| $Y_{\text{A}/\text{BH}} / Y_{\text{O}_2/\text{BH}}$ | Carbon / oxygen to produce heterotroph biomass | g g$^{-1}$ / mol g$^{-1}$ | 2.29 (3.45)$^b$ / 0.057 (0.054)$^b$ |
| $Y_{\text{S}/\text{EH}} / Y_{\text{O}_2/\text{EH}}$ | Carbon / oxygen to produce heterotroph cellular energy | g mol$^{-1}$ / mol mol$^{-1}$ | 16.8 (8.2)$^b$ / 0.35 (0.38)$^b$ |
| $X_i$   | Biomass concentration of population “i” | $\mu$g cm$^{-3}$ | Calculated |
| $X_{\text{Tot}}$ | Biomass concentration of all populations | $\mu$g cm$^{-3}$ | 0.2–2.0 |
| $\text{GAM}_A$ | Cellular energy to sustain autotroph growth | mmol g$^{-1}$ | 137.6 |
| $\text{GAM}_H$ | Cellular energy to sustain heterotroph growth | mmol g$^{-1}$ | 120 |
| $M_i$  | Cellular energy to sustain nongrowing population “i” | mmol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ | 0.11 |
| Depth of oxic zone | cm | 0.07 |
| $V$ | Volume of aerobic region | cm$^3$ | 0.07 |
| $F$ | Volumetric flow rate | cm$^3$ h$^{-1}$ | – |
| $j_{\text{O}_2}$ | Oxygen flux into the mat | $\mu$mol cm$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ | Calculated |
| $R_{\text{ox}}$ | Iron oxidation rate | $\mu$mol cm$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ | Calculated |
| Relative population abundance | g g$^{-1}$ | 0.3–0.5 |
| Lowest fraction of biomass carbon from DIC | g g$^{-1}$ | 0.42 (0.67)$^c$ |
| $R_{\text{net}}$ | Net community growth rate | $\mu$mol cm$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ | Calculated |
| $R_{\text{net}}$ | Total community growth rate | $\mu$mol cm$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ | Calculated |
| Moles of carbon per gram of autotroph | Cmol g$^{-1}$ | 0.0430 |
| Moles of carbon per gram of heterotroph | Cmol g$^{-1}$ | 0.0438 |

$^a$: Growth associated resource requirements excluding nongrowth resource requirements  
$^b$: Lowest carbon (oxygen) resource requirements  
$^c$: Values for Beowulf (OSP) hot springs  
$^d$: Constrained by mass balance  

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006431.t001
between specific growth rates of the autotroph and heterotroph. This relationship and the flux of oxygen into the system were used to solve for steady-state total community growth rate, which sums both the autotroph and heterotroph, and net community growth rate, which subtracts autotroph carbon consumed by heterotroph from the total community growth rate, (Table 2). The rate of Fe(II) oxidation was then calculated using the autotroph growth rate. These relationships (Table 2) provided a mechanism to examine the effects of oxygen flux and the relative population abundance of autotroph and heterotroph on specific and community growth rates (Figs 3 and 4). Three oxygen fluxes into the mat were analyzed: 50, 100, and 200% of the average in situ oxygen flux (420 nmol O$_2$ cm$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) measured with microelectrodes [12]. In situ values of relative population abundances of autotroph to heterotroph (0.3–0.5) were determined based on metagenome analyses from Fe(III)-oxide mat samples collected from Beowulf and OSP springs (Table C and D in S13 File).

Simulated total biomass concentrations were bounded by two constraints: 1) maximum specific growth rate of the autotroph and 2) oxygen flux into the mat. A microbial community composed of mostly autotroph was constrained to a minimum biomass concentration of 0.04 mg cm$^{-3}$ by the maximum measured specific growth rate for *M. yellowstonensis* in vitro ($\mu = 0.1$ h$^{-1}$ [8,37]) and in situ oxygen flux into the mat (420 nmol O$_2$ cm$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ [12,14]) (Figure C in S13 File). Increasing total biomass concentration decreased the specific growth rate for an autotroph dominated community and increased the feasible steady-state heterotroph abundance in the community at a given oxygen flux into the mat (Fig 3). Two scenarios were examined for heterotrophic growth: 1) a carbon-limited scenario, which was expected to occur at the top of the mat where oxygen is plentiful, and 2) an oxygen-limited scenario, which was expected at the bottom of the oxic zone (Fig 3). Heterotroph acclimation to intermediary oxygen availabilities would be bounded by these two scenarios. The observed in situ relative population abundance (0.3–0.5 autotroph per heterotroph) and oxygen flux was predicted to be feasible at steady-state with a total biomass concentration of ~0.2 mg cm$^{-3}$, thereby defining a minimum total biomass concentration for the studied microbial community (Fig 3). Increases in total biomass concentration decreased the specific growth rate for the heterotroph.

The total community growth rate, which was the sum of autotroph and heterotroph produced, was constrained by competition for oxygen and the autotroph biomass requirement of the heterotroph. Increases in flux of oxygen into the mat increased total community growth rate supporting oxygen limitation of the modeled community. Increases in relative population abundance of autotroph to heterotroph decreased the total community growth rate, as it did with the specific rate of the autotroph (Figs 3 and 4A). This trend highlights the available mass

---

### Table 2. Equations used to define mass balances, growth rates, and Fe oxidation rates in terms of other system variables (Table 1).

| Parameter                          | Equation                                                                 |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Autotroph accumulation             | $\frac{dX_A}{dt} = \mu_A X_A V - (\mu_H Y_{A/BH} + M_H Y_{A/EH}) X_H V - F X_A$ |
| Heterotroph accumulation           | $\frac{dX_H}{dt} = \mu_H X_H V - F X_H$                                  |
| Oxygen flux into the mat           | $J_O2 = (\mu_A Y_{O2/BAF} + M_A Y_{O2/EF}) X_A V + (\mu_H Y_{O2/BH} + M_H Y_{O2/EH}) X_H V$ |
| Total Community Growth Rate        | $R_{Xtot} = \mu_A X_A V + \mu_H X_H V$                                   |
| Net Community Growth Rate          | $R_{Xnet} = \mu_A X_A V + (\mu_H Y_{A/BH} + M_H Y_{A/EH}) X_H V$          |
| Fe oxidation rate                  | $R_{Fe} = (\mu_A Y_{Fe/BA} + M_A Y_{Fe/EA}) X_A V$                       |

The system was analyzed using the oxic zone as system boundaries, which was defined by the in situ depth of oxygen penetration, 0.7 mm [14]. The system volume was modeled as homogeneous to simplify the analysis and remained in the oxic zone by moving vertically as Fe(III)-oxide and biomass were deposited.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006431.t002
Fig 3. Overlaying simulation of various nutrient limitation, relative population abundance, and in situ parameters established a lower limit for the total concentration of biomass in a mat community. Three oxygen fluxes, representing 50, 100, and 200% of the average observed oxygen flux (420 nmol O₂ cm⁻² h⁻¹) provided upper and lower bounds of resource availability [12,14]. Each modeled oxygen flux was analyzed using the predicted metabolic strategy for most efficient utilization of oxygen by the autotroph (dotted line) as well as oxygen (solid line) and carbon (dashed line) for the heterotroph. Minimum and maximum active biomass concentrations (top and bottom panels, respectively, note the log scales) and a constant aerobic volume allowed for the prediction of specific growth rates. Consistent with in situ sequence data, the predicted relative population abundance was 0.3 to 0.5 autotroph to heterotroph (vertical boxed area) based on maximum in vitro specific growth rate (horizontal boxed area) and community stability.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006431.g003
and energy in autotroph biomass. This mass and energy can be utilized by heterotrophs with less oxygen required per biomass to further increase the total biomass using the same oxygen flux. Optimized carbon usage by the heterotroph, hypothesized to occur under carbon limitation, increased community growth rates by minimizing the autotroph biomass required to produce heterotroph. Conversely, optimized oxygen usage by the heterotroph increased carbon requirements for the heterotroph and decreased all steady-state growth rates by consuming more autotroph biomass (Figs 3 and 4).

The net community growth rate, which quantified autotroph and heterotroph accumulation as opposed to production, was constrained by competition for oxygen and the
heterotrophic requirement for autotroph biomass. The net and total specific rate of an autotroph only community was equal because no biomass was consumed (Fig 4). Net community growth rate increased with oxygen flux, as observed for specific rate, and relative population abundance of autotroph to heterotroph (Fig 4B). The maximum net community growth rate occurs in a community composed of mostly autotroph and is equal to the total growth rate of the autotroph. The observed oxygen flux into the mat (420 nmol O$_2$ cm$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ [12,14]) and biomass concentrations above 0.7 mg cm$^{-3}$ resulted in negative net community growth rates, which are not sustainable in a steady-state community, due to the minimum metabolic activity to maintain an active population (Figure C in S13 File). The observed relative population abundances and oxygen flux predicted a maximum feasible, total biomass concentration of ~2.0 mg cm$^{-3}$, above which the net community growth rate was negative. A negative net community growth rate indicated that the total biomass concentration in the mat was not sustainable and would decrease for the oxygen fluxes simulated (Fig 4B).

Community electron donor sensitivity analysis using multiscale, hybrid EFMA+FBA models

*In situ* measurements in natural ecosystems are complicated by numerous variables, such as seasonal variation in weather, wind, precipitation, temperature, and inherent heterogeneity. A sensitivity analysis was performed using sulfide and landscape carbon as alternative electron donors for the autotroph and heterotroph, respectively, using a multiscale, hybrid EFMA and FBA approach. The hybrid methodology first identified cellular-level, metabolic acclimation strategies to simulated environments, such as carbon- or oxygen-limited environments, using EFMA. The analysis hypothesized that organisms maximized the desired product, such as biomass or cellular energy, per limiting resource utilized (discussed above) (Fig 2). The same optima are calculable using FBA, but cellular-level EFMA provides additional insights, such as the number of reactions and distribution of suboptimal yields, which are difficult or prohibitive to determine using FBA [34]. The EFM resource requirements to produce biomass and associated maintenance energy were adjusted for specific growth rate using the relationship discussed in Pirt *et al* [38]. These cellular-level activities were represented by overall resource transformation reactions, quantified using the model exchange reactions, then incorporated into a model of community-level function, which was analyzed using FBA. FBA provides a convenient tool for assessing the impact of rate constraints and different modeling optimization criteria, such as maximizing net or total community growth rate, on the feasible range of metabolic activities. The hybrid FBA simulations evaluated three different electron donor scenarios (A, B, and C in Fig 5, Figure D in S13 File).

Firstly, Fe(II) and autotroph biomass were the only electron donors for the autotroph and heterotroph, respectively (Scenario A), which addressed the capacity of the community to oxidize Fe(II) based on varying oxygen flux into the mat (Fig 5, Figure E in S13 File). The resource requirements for the heterotroph to produce biomass and cellular energy were bounded by results from the carbon- and oxygen-limited EFMA simulations to approximate the high and low oxygen regions in the mat, respectively. The growth rate constraints used for FBA are described in Figure D in S13 File and Table 1 and included relative population abundance of autotroph to heterotroph, total biomass concentration, and the maximum specific growth rates of autotroph and heterotroph. The maximum specific growth rates of both populations were set to 0.1 h$^{-1}$ based on *in vitro* studies of *M. yellowstonensis* [8,37]. Maximization of net and total community growth rate impacted the simulated rates but not the range of metabolic activities feasible by the system.
Fig 5. Sensitivity analysis of system behavior as a function of geochemical variation provides physiological context for in situ measurements. Three scenarios (middle panel) predicted the metabolic space feasible for autotroph-heterotroph interactions of the entire community (top panel). Scenario A analyzes a community composed solely of an Fe(II) oxidizing autotroph (represented by R1 or Fe) and autotroph-consuming heterotroph (R4 or AC), which are present at relative population abundances of 0.3 and 0.5 autotroph to heterotroph. Scenario B analyzes the impact of additional carbon sources for the heterotroph (R5), such as the consumption of landscape carbon (LC), while enforcing 42 to 99% of total biomass carbon was autotroph in origin based on the in situ relative system carbon isotope signature. The decrease in slope toward the bottom of scenario B (lower panel) is due to mathematical resolution and the required carbon fractionation in biomass. Scenario C determines the impact of an additional electron donor (sulfide) for the autotroph (R2 or S) in addition to a landscape carbon source for the heterotroph. The net production of autotroph is denoted by R3. Maximum specific growth rate and system volume were set to 0.1 h$^{-1}$ and 0.07 cm$^{-3}$, respectively. Biomass and cellular energy yields were determined by elementary flux mode analysis, which were then used as inputs to flux balance analysis to predict rates. Averages of observed Fe(III)-oxide deposition (0.054 and 0.36 μmol cm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ for Beowulf and OSP, respectively) and oxygen uptake rates (0.38 and 0.46 μmol cm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ for Beowulf and OSP, respectively) were shown for comparison.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006431.g005
Secondly, autotroph biomass and landscape carbon were both evaluated as possible carbon sources for the heterotroph (Scenario B). This scenario examined the effect of 42 to 99% of the biomass carbon in the system having been supplied by the Fe(II)-oxidizing autotroph with the balance from exogenous, landscape carbon (Fig 5, Figure F in S13 File) as determined by in situ isotopic analyses [17]. The biomass carbon origins were simulated by setting the relative population abundance of autotrophy-based populations (i.e. autotroph and autotroph consuming heterotroph) and the landscape carbon consuming heterotroph. Growth of the autotroph-consuming heterotroph resulted in a lower limit for iron oxidation below which sustaining the heterotrophic population would consume more autotroph than produced (Fig 5, Figure F in S13 File).

Finally, sulfide was evaluated as an alternative electron donor for the autotroph (Scenario C). Elemental sulfur and sulfide can be present at low levels in Fe(II) oxidizing mats. The presented analysis only considered sulfide as it was predicted to have the largest impact (Fig 2A, Figure G in S13 File). Autotrophy was simulated to vary between oxidation of sulfide exclusively or Fe(II) exclusively with a total autotroph maximum specific growth rate of 0.1 h⁻¹; therefore, as Fe(II) oxidation rates increased, less oxygen could be directed toward sulfide oxidation (Fig 5, Figure G in S13 File). A scenario where heterotroph was able to consume Fe(II) and/or sulfide oxidizing autotroph but not landscape carbon, predicted a trend similar to scenario C.

The three scenarios were compared to the in situ measurements of Fe(III)-oxide deposition and oxygen flux into the mat. The average in situ measurements for OSP Spring were within the predicted metabolic space for both scenarios B and C in a microbial mat that has a high biomass concentration (~ 2.0 mg cm⁻³ as determined above). This overlap between in silico and in situ observation suggests that oxidation of landscape carbon or combinations of landscape carbon and reduced sulfur species could account for the additional aerobic activity in OSP Spring communities. The average in situ measurements for Beowulf spring were within the predicted metabolic space for scenario C for the high biomass concentration simulations, which indicated that the community would have to use landscape carbon, as well as Fe(II) and sulfide oxidation to account for the oxygen consumption. At lower biomass concentrations the metabolic space covered by each scenario decreased, excluding the in situ measurements of both Beowulf and OSP Springs, which indicated if these springs have such low biomass concentrations there must be additional oxygen consumption occurring, such as the oxidation of additional electron donors (see Discussion).

**Discussion**

Microbial processes span multiple spatial scales from metabolites, enzymes, individual cells, populations, and communities to microbial mats that can ultimately impact planetary biogeochemical cycling (Fig 1). Metagenomic data from Fe(III)-oxide mats in YNP provided a foundation for identifying enzymes responsible for electron transport in a dominant autotroph and heterotroph that occur within the oxic zones of Fe(III)-oxide microbial mats. Biochemical pathways for autotrophic and heterotrophic metabolism were integrated into stoichiometric models to quantify cellular-level resource requirements for biomass and cellular energy production (Fig 2). In situ measurements of relative population abundances (i.e. metagenomes) and fractions of autotrophic- versus landscape-based biomass carbon (i.e. stable carbon isotopes [17]) provided context for modeling microbial interactions within these communities. In addition, in situ measurements of oxygen flux into these mats using microelectrodes [12,14], and Fe(III)-oxide accretion rates from long-term temporal studies [12] (Fig 5) were integrated with the in silico models to quantify possible interactions between a dominant
primary producer and secondary consumer, as well as the total contribution of these populations to the biogeochemical activity of the natural Fe(III)-oxide mats. Autotroph-heterotroph interaction modeling indicated that at least 98% of the measured oxygen flux in situ is consumed by the autotroph (e.g. *M. yellowstonensis*). This is due in part to the relatively low energy content of the electron donor (i.e. Fe(II)) and the high energy demands of carbon dioxide fixation. Conversely, heterotrophic activity had little effect on predicted Fe(II) oxidation rates as a function of oxygen consumed (Scenario A and B in Fig 5), though it represented the major fraction of steady-state biomass. The relatively high energy content of autotroph biomass compared to Fe(II) meant heterotroph growth increased the total biomass produced at the cost of a decreased net community growth (Fig 4).

The turnover of autotroph biomass is important to nutrient and energy flux through the mat community. It has been hypothesized that viral predation and subsequent cell-lysis represents a major mechanism of carbon cycling in microbial communities [39,40]. Numerous Sulfolobales viruses have been identified [41,42], and more specifically, the genome of *M. yellowstonensis* contains an extremely high abundance of transposases [16] and viral CRISPR spacers, which suggests that viruses are important in the life-cycle of these organisms. Numerous Sulfolobales viruses have been obtained from Fe(III)-oxide microbial mats [10], and the lysis of archaeal cells by viruses has been observed in situ using scanning electron microscopy (Inskeep, unpl). The turnover of autotroph biomass due to viral predation releases organic carbon enabling the production of heterotroph biomass, which leads to increased total community activity (Fig 4A). The predicted autotroph turnover is greater than 80% at the observed relative population abundances (Figure H in S13 File). To put this turnover value in perspective, it falls within the experimentally observed range of 26 to 1200% reported in other studied geothermal systems [43]. Cell lysis provides one mechanism of carbon and energy exchange; alternatives, such as metabolite exchange, could also contribute to these relative rates and abundances. Metabolite exchange is not expected to be the sole mechanism of exchange in these communities as it would necessitate greater than 80% of all autotrophically fixed carbon be secreted (Figure H in S13 File). The presented analysis does not exclude these mechanisms; indeed, a combination of lysis and metabolite exchange likely occur to varying degrees in all microbial communities. In addition to the lysis mechanism of carbon and energy transfer, simulations were run which considered only metabolite exchange between autotroph and heterotroph populations subject to the previously described community constraints. The metabolite exchange mechanism was simulated where the autotroph secreted monomer distributions consistent with the autotroph biomass composition to facilitate comparison with simulations of the lysis mechanism. The predicted maximum and minimum biomass concentrations were relatively unaffected (supplemental material). The simulated lysis mechanism required cellular energy expenditures by the autotroph to polymerize the monomer pools; this cellular energy was lost to the community when the organic material was transferred to the heterotroph. This energy expenditure was not required during simulated metabolite exchange mechanism conserving community energy and the oxygen used to produce it. However, the oxygen required for polymerizing monomer pools was very small relative to the oxygen required to fix DIC resulting in minimal differences in the predicted maximum and minimum biomass concentrations (Supplemental material).

The relative population abundances observed in situ suggest that the Fe(III)-oxide mat communities have metabolic activity that maximize energy acquisition from the environment thereby making them more competitive than those that exhibit lower rates of energy acquisition; this theory is known as the maximum power principle [44]. The ecological benefit to maximizing energy acquisition may be the dilution of autotroph biomass, which increases
community diversity and has been shown to promote resilience and stability of the entire community from phage and/or predatory bacteria [45,46]. Applying the maximum power principle to metagenome-derived models may provide theoretical context for community structure-function relationships in other systems.

Analysis of the carrying capacity (i.e. maximum sustainable population numbers) of Fe (III)-oxide mats indicated that minimum and maximum total biomass concentrations exist where the specific growth rate of autotroph or oxygen flux into the mat become limiting, respectively. The minimum predicted biomass carrying capacity of 0.2 mg cm$^{-3}$ (Fig 3) corresponds remarkably well with the calculated biomass concentration in Beowulf Spring (0.3 mg cm$^{-3}$) (Table E in S13 File), which suggests that this microbial community is governed by the maximum specific growth rate of autotroph. Increases in heterotroph abundance would require an autotroph specific growth rate higher than the value observed in vitro [8]. This reduction in biomass concentration, and therefore autotroph limitation, is supported by high channel flow rate (20–30 cm s$^{-1}$) and associated shear force in Beowulf Spring [12]. Conversely, the maximum predicted biomass carrying capacity of 2.0 mg cm$^{-3}$ (Fig 4B and Figure I in S13 File) corresponds well with the calculated biomass concentration of OSP Spring (2.2 mg cm$^{-3}$) (Table E in S13 File), which suggests that biomass production at this site is governed by the flux of oxygen. Indeed, in OSP Spring the channel flow rate is 10-fold lower than Beowulf (2–5 cm s$^{-1}$), which results in less shear force and more limited oxygen transfer [12]. The presented modeling framework combined with ecological theory provides a powerful tool for understanding factors that control community structure and function, and can be applied to many natural and/or engineered microbial systems.

A variety of additional oxygen consuming processes in the Fe(III)-oxide mats could explain the higher amounts of measured oxygen flux relative to measured Fe(III)-oxide deposition rates [14] (Fig 5). For example, at least three major oxygen consuming processes (i.e., additional electron donors) could account for the higher measured oxygen flux than predicted for Fe(II) oxidation alone; these include the oxidation of reduced sulfur species, As(III), and reduced carbon from landscape carbon sources [14]. Small amounts of sulfide (≤ 5 μM) and occasional flocs of elemental sulfur can be present in Fe(III)-oxide depositing zones of acid-sulfate-chloride springs, such as Beowulf Spring, where high sulfide at discharge results in the deposition of elemental sulfur upstream of Fe(II) oxidation [5]. However, analysis of landscape carbon as an additional stimulant for heterotrophic activity could not account for the Fe(III)-oxide deposited for a given oxygen flux in the low biomass concentration simulations expected to be more representative of Beowulf Spring (Fig 5). Additionally, 25 to 30 μM As(III) is present in many acid-sulfate-chloride springs, and has been shown to be oxidized to As(V) by a bacterial autotroph population (i.e. Hydrogenobaculum spp.), which is commonly found in high abundance upstream of the examined mat community [47]. Elemental analysis of the poorly-crystalline Fe(III)-oxide phases indicates that significant amounts of As(V) are incorporated into the solid phase [5]. Any of these reduced species would result in consumption of additional oxygen if oxidized and warrant further study.

The integration of in situ measurements and metagenome-based in silico analyses provide unprecedented approaches to understand microbial interactions and community function. The analyses applied in the presented study provide context for the relative population abundance of autotrophs and heterotrophs, the minimum and maximum biomass concentration of microbial mats, and the possible effects of additional electron donors. The multiscale analyses presented here suggests that microbial interactions contribute to emergent properties of complex organization, such as increased productivity. These principles are likely shared across microbial and macro ecology.
Materials and methods

Genome analysis and individual model construction

*In silico* stoichiometric models were constructed for autotroph *M. yellowstonensis* str. MK1 (NCBI Taxon ID 671065, GOLD ID Gi04920) and heterotroph *Geoarchaeum* str. OSPB (NCBI Taxon ID 1448933, GOLD ID Gi0000638) in the following 5 step process. 1) Initial models were constructed using RAST [48–50]. 2) The elementally and electronically balanced reactions that represent carbon and energy metabolism were manually curated based on genome sequence (Joint Genome Institute—Integrated Microbial Genomes (JGI-IMG) [51]), prior genome analyses [6–8,10,16,17], literature surveys, and the MetaCyc [52,53] and KEGG databases [54]. 3) Reactions were assumed to close pathway gaps that would have resulted in auxotrophy or deviated from observed physiological behavior [17]. 4) The modeled macromolecular compositions of biomass for each population were 2% DNA, 11% lipid, 11% polysaccharide, 16% RNA, and 60% protein based on previous reports [55]. Genomes of each organism were mined for monomer distributions of DNA, RNA, and protein, based on the GC content, the ribosomal subunits, and the average amino acid distribution of all protein encoding genes, respectively (supplemental material, [51]). 5) Maintenance energy requirements were adjusted to calibrate the *M. yellowstonensis* and *Geoarchaeum* str. OSPB models to observed yields for *Acidothiobacillus ferroxidans*, a representative thermoacidophilic autotrophic Fe(II) oxidizing bacterium [56,57] and *Alicyclobacillus acidocaldarius*, a representative thermoacidophilic heterotrophic bacterium [58], respectively. Nongrowth associated maintenance energy (0.11 mmol ATP g biomass\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) for both *M. yellowstonensis* and *Geoarchaeum* str. OSPB) was calculated for 65˚C assuming 50 KJ mol ATP\(^{-1}\) (supplemental material) [59], which determined the growth associated maintenance energy (171 and 149 mmol ATP g biomass\(^{-1}\) for *M. yellowstonensis* and *Geoarchaeum* str. OSPB, respectively).

EFMA-based cellular metabolisms (Fig 2A and 2B) were used as input reactions for FBA-based sensitivity analysis of electron donors available to the community. Briefly, the net exchange reactions from the EFMs were expressed on a specific biomass or specific cellular energy basis, such as iron per autotroph (mol Fe(II) per gram of autotroph), by normalizing to the biomass formed. The maximum specific growth rate (0.1 h\(^{-1}\)), relative population abundances (0.3–0.5 autotroph to heterotroph), and DIC incorporation fractionation were then used to establish constraints on the maximum and minimum rates and population abundances (See supplemental material for explicit constraints and optimization criteria for the different environmental electron donor scenarios).

Computational packages and analyses

*In silico* stoichiometric models were constructed using CellNetAnalyzer version 2014.1 [60], and exported to RegEFMTool version 2.0 [61] to enumerate EFMs for each metabolic model. The macronutrients available to both populations were modeled as illustrated in Fig 1. Metabolic reconstructions for both populations, including reactions, genomic evidence, specific literature references, metabolites, stoichiometric balance, and gene regulatory rules can be found in the supplemental material. SBML files provided were exported and tested for import using CellNetAnalyzer version 2017.3 and the CNA SBML parser. Community FBA was performed using functions from the COBRA Toolbox [62] and overall reactions obtained from EFMs deemed ecologically competitive based on resource utilization. Functions used for the community analysis are available in the supplemental material. All computations were processed on a machine with at most two Intel Xeon X5690 and 120 GB RAM.
Supporting information
S1 File. AutoHeteroBiomass.  
(M)
S2 File. Auto_Hetero_Biomass_Exchange.  
(M)
S3 File. BioMatAnalyzer.  
(M)
S4 File. DRAPuller.  
(M)
S5 File. Fig 2A.  
(M)
S6 File. Fig 2C.  
(M)
S7 File. Figurepuller.  
(M)
S8 File. Geo.  
(XML)
S9 File. Geo_Reaction_Workbook.  
(XLSX)
S10 File. Myell.  
(XML)
S11 File. Myell_Reaction_Workbook.  
(MAT)
S12 File. Myell_output.  
(XLSX)
S13 File. SupplementalMaterial.  
(DOCX)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Kristopher A. Hunt, Ryan M. Jennings, William P. Inskeep, Ross P. Carlson.

Data curation: Kristopher A. Hunt, Ryan M. Jennings.

Formal analysis: Kristopher A. Hunt, Ryan M. Jennings, William P. Inskeep, Ross P. Carlson.

Funding acquisition: William P. Inskeep, Ross P. Carlson.

Investigation: Kristopher A. Hunt, Ryan M. Jennings.

Methodology: Kristopher A. Hunt, Ryan M. Jennings, William P. Inskeep, Ross P. Carlson.

Visualization: Kristopher A. Hunt, Ryan M. Jennings, William P. Inskeep, Ross P. Carlson.

Writing – original draft: Kristopher A. Hunt, Ryan M. Jennings, William P. Inskeep, Ross P. Carlson.
Writing – review & editing: Kristopher A. Hunt, Ryan M. Jennings, William P. Inskeep, Ross P. Carlson.

References

1. Falkowski PG, Fenchel T, Delong EF. The microbial engines that drive Earth’s biogeochemical cycles. Science. 2008; 320: 1034–1039. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1153211 PMID: 18497287

2. Battin TJ, Sloan WT, Kjelleberg S, Daims H, Head IM, Curtis TP, et al. Microbial landscapes: new paths to biofilm research. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2007; 5: 76–81. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1556 PMID: 17170748

3. Costerton JW, Lewandowski Z, Caldwell DE, Korber DR, Lappin-Scott HM. Microbial Biofilms. Annu Rev Microbiol. 1995; 49: 711–745. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.mic.49.100195.003431 PMID: 8561477

4. Flemming H-C, Wingender J, Szewzyk U, Steinberg P, Rice SA, Kjelleberg S. Biofilms: an emergent form of bacterial life. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2007; 5: 76–81. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1556 PMID: 18497287

5. Inskeep WP, Macur RE, Harrison G, Bostick BC, Fendorf S. Biominerallization of As(V)-hydrated ferric oxyhydroxide in microbial mats of an acid-sulfate-chloride geothermal spring, Yellowstone National Park. Geochim Cosmochim Acta. 2004; 68: 3141–3155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2003.09.020

6. Inskeep WP, Rusch DB, Jay ZJ, Herrgard MJ, Kozubal MA, Richardson TH, et al. Metagenomes from high-temperature chemotrophic systems reveal geochemical controls on microbial community structure and function. Rodriguez-Valera F, editor. PLoS One. 2010; 5: e9773. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009773 PMID: 20333304

7. Kozubal MA, Romine M, Jennings R deM, Jay ZJ, Tringe SG, Rusch DB, et al. Geoarchaeota: a new candidate phylum in the Archaea from high-temperature acidic iron mats in Yellowstone National Park. ISME J. 2013; 7: 622–634. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.132 PMID: 23151644

8. Kozubal M, Macur RE, Korf S, Taylor WP, Ackerman GG, Nagy A, et al. Isolation and distribution of a novel iron-oxidizing crenarchaeon from acidic geothermal springs in Yellowstone National Park. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2008; 74: 942–949. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01200-07 PMID: 18083851

9. Kozubal MA, Macur RE, Jay ZJ, Beam JP, Malfatti SA, Tringe SG, et al. Microbial iron cycling in acidic geothermal springs of Yellowstone National Park: integrating molecular surveys, geochemical processes, and isolation of novel Fe-active microorganisms. Front Microbiol. 2012; 3: 109. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2011.00259 PMID: 22470372

10. Inskeep WP, Jay ZJ, Herrgard MJ, Kozubal MA, Rusch DB, Tringe SG, et al. Phylogenetic and functional analysis of metagenome sequence from high-temperature archaeal habitats demonstrate linkages between metabolic potential and geochemistry. Front Microbiol. 2013; 4: 95. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00095 PMID: 23720654

11. Beam JP, Jay ZJ, Kozubal MA, Inskeep WP. Niche specialization of novel Thaumarchaeota to oxic and hypoxic acidic geothermal springs of Yellowstone National Park. ISME J. 2014; 8: 938–951. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.193 PMID: 24196321

12. Beam JP, Bernstein HC, Jay ZJ, Kozubal MA, Jennings RM deM., Tringe SG, et al. Assembly and succession of iron oxide microbial mat communities in acidic geothermal springs. Front Microbiol. 2016; 7: 25. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00025 PMID: 26913020

13. Langner HW, Jackson CR, McDermott TR, Inskeep WP. Rapid oxidation of arsenite in a hot spring ecosystem, Yellowstone National Park. Environ Sci Technol. 2001; 35: 3302–3309. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0105562 PMID: 11529568

14. Bernstein HC, Beam JP, Kozubal MA, Carlson RP, Inskeep WP. In situ analysis of oxygen consumption and diffusive transport in high-temperature acidic iron-oxide microbial mats. Environ Microbiol. 2013; 15: 2360–2370. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12108 PMID: 23516993

15. Inskeep WP, Ackerman GG, Taylor WP, Kozubal M, Korf S, Macur RE. On the energetics of chemolithotrophy in nonequilibrium systems: case studies of geothermal springs in Yellowstone National Park. Geobiology. 2005; 3: 297–317. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-4669.2006.00059.x

16. Kozubal MA, Dlakic M, Macur RE, Inskeep WP. Terminal oxidase diversity and function in “Metallosphaera yellowstonensis”: gene expression and protein modeling suggest mechanisms of Fe(II) oxidation in the Sulfogloales. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011; 77: 1844–1853. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01646-10 PMID: 21239598

17. Jennings RM, Whitmore LM, Moran JJ, Kreuzer HW, Inskeep WP. Carbon dioxide fixation by Metallosphaera yellowstonensis and acidothermophilic iron-oxidizing microbial communities from Yellowstone.
18. Takacs-Vesbach C, Inskeep WP, Jay ZJ, Herrgard MJ, Rusch DB, Tringe SG, et al. Metagenome sequence analysis of filamentous microbial communities obtained from geothermally distinct geothermal channels reveals specialization of three aquificales lineages. Front Microbiol. 2013; 4: 84. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00084 PMID: 23755042

19. Jennings R, Moran J, Jay Z, Beam J, Whitmore L, Kozubal M, et al. Integration of metagenomic and stable carbon isotope evidence reveals the extent and mechanisms of carbon dioxide fixation in high-temperature microbial communities. Front Microbiol. 2017; 8: 88. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00088 PMID: 28217111

20. Carlson R, Fell D, Srien F. Metabolic pathway analysis of a recombinant yeast for rational strain development. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2002; 79: 121–134. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.10305 PMID: 12115428

21. Feist AM, Scholten JCM, Palsson BØ, Brockman FJ, Ideker T. Modeling methanogenesis with a genome-scale metabolic reconstruction of Methanosarcina barkeri. Mol Syst Biol. 2006; 2: 2006.0004. https://doi.org/10.1038/msb4100046 PMID: 16738551

22. Taffs R, Aston JE, Brileya K, Jay Z, Klatt CG, McGlynn S, et al. In silico approaches to study mass and energy flows in microbial consortia: a syntrophic case study. BMC Syst Biol. 2009; 3: 114. https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-0509-3-114 PMID: 20003240

23. Trinh CT, Wlaschin A, Srien F. Elementary mode analysis: a useful metabolic pathway analysis tool for characterizing cellular metabolism. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2009; 81: 813–826. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-008-1770-1 PMID: 19015845

24. Schuster S, Hilgetag C. On elementary flux modes in biochemical reaction systems at steady state. J Biol Syst. 1994; 02: 165–182. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218339094000131

25. Orth JD, Thiele I, Palsson B. What is flux balance analysis? Nat Biotechnol. Nature Publishing Group; 2010; 28: 245–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1614 PMID: 20212490

26. Varma A, Boesch BW, Palsson BO. Stoichiometric interpretation of Escherichia coli glucose catabolism under various oxygenation rates. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1993; 59: 2465–2473. PMID: 8368835

27. Carlson RP. Decomposition of complex microbial behaviors into resource-based stress responses. Bioinformatics. 2005; 29: 90–97. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn589 PMID: 19008248

28. Gottstein W, Olivier BG, Bruggeman FJ, Teusink B. Constraint-based stoichiometric modelling from single organisms to microbial communities. Journal of the Royal Society Interface. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2016.0627 PMID: 28334697

29. Konopka A. What is microbial community ecology? ISME J. 2009; 3: 1223–1230. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2009.88 PMID: 19667372

30. Soderberg T. Biosynthesis of ribose-5-phosphate and erythrose-4-phosphate in archaea: a phylogenetic analysis of archaeal genomes. Archaea. 2005; 1: 347–352. PMID: 15876568

31. Matsumi R, Atomi H, Driessen AJM, van der Oost J. Isoprenoid biosynthesis in Archaea—Biochemical and evolutionary implications. Res Microbiol. 2011; 162: 39–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2010.10.003 PMID: 21034816

32. Folsom JP, Carlson RP. Physiological, biomass elemental composition and proteomic analyses of Escherichia coli ammonium-limited chemostat growth, and comparison with iron- and glucose-limited chemostat growth. Microbiology. 2015; 161: 1659–1670. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.000118 PMID: 26018546

33. Amend JP, Shokk EL. Energetics of overall metabolic reactions of thermophilic and hyperthermophilic Archaea and Bacteria. FEMS Microbiology Reviews. 2001. pp. 175–243. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6445(00)00006-0

34. Hunt KA, Jennings R de M, Inskeep WP, Carlson RP. Stoichiometric modelling of assimilatory and dissimilatory biomass utilisation in a microbial community. Environ Microbiol. 2016; 18: 4946–4960. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13444 PMID: 27387069

35. Smith JR, Luthy RG, Middleton a C. Microbial ferrous iron oxidation in acidic solution. J Water Pollut Control Fed. 1988; 60: 518–530.

36. Ceskova P, Mandl M, Helanova S, Kasparovska J. Kinetic studies on elemental sulfur oxidation by Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans: Sulfur limitation and activity of free and adsorbed bacteria. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2002; 78: 24–30. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.10172 PMID: 11857277

37. Huber G, Spinnler C, Gambacorta A, Stetter KO. Metallosphaera sedula gen, and sp. nov. represents a new genus of aerobic, metal-mobilizing, thermoclophilic archaea bacteria. Syst Appl Microbiol. 1989; 12: 38–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0702-230X(89)80038-4

38. Pirt SJ. Maintenance energy: a general model for energy-limited and energy-sufficient growth. Arch Microbiol. 1982; https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00521294
39. Suttle CA. Marine viruses—major players in the global ecosystem. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2007; 5: 801–812. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1750 PMID: 17853907

40. Rohwer F, Prangishvili D, Lindell D. Roles of viruses in the environment. Environ Microbiol. 2009; 11: 2771–2774. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.02101.x PMID: 19878268

41. Young M, Wiedenheft B, Snyder J, Spuhler J, Roberto F, Douglas T. Archaeal viruses from Yellowstone’s high-temperature environments. Geotherm Biol Geochemistry Yellowstone Natl Park. 2005; 289–304.

42. Prangishvili D. The wonderful world of archaeal viruses. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2013; 67: 565–585. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-092412-155633 PMID: 24024638

43. Breitbart M, Wegley L, Leeds S, Schoenfeld T, Rohwer F. Phage community dynamics in hot springs. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2004; 70: 1633–1640. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.3.1633-1640.2004 PMID: 15006788

44. Beck AE, Hunt KA, Bernstein HC, Carlson RP. Interpreting and designing microbial communities for bio-process applications, from components to interactions to emergent properties. In: Eckert C, Trinh CT, editors. Biotechnology for Biofuel Production and Optimization. 1st ed. Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier; 2016. pp. 407–432. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63475-7.00015-7

45. Jessup CM, Forde SE. Ecology and evolution in microbial systems: the generation and maintenance of diversity in phage–host interactions. Res Microbiol. 2008; 159: 382–389. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2008.05.006 PMID: 18582563

46. Hobley L, King JR, Sackett RE. Bdellovibrio predation in the presence of decoys: three-way bacterial interactions revealed by mathematical and experimental analyses. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2006; 72: 6757–6765. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00844-06 PMID: 17021228

47. Hamamura N, Macur RE, Korf S, Ackerman G, Taylor WP, Kozubal M, et al. Linking microbial oxidation of arsenic with detection and phylogenetic analysis of arsenite oxidase genes in diverse geothermal environments. Environ Microbiol. 2009; 11: 421–431. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01781.x PMID: 19196273

48. Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, et al. The RAST Server: Rapid Annotations using Subsystems Technology. BMC Genomics. 2008; 9: 75. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-75 PMID: 18261238

49. Overbeek R, Olson R, Pusch GD, Olsen GJ, Davis JJ, Disz T, et al. The SEED and the Rapid Annotation of microbial genomes using Subsystems Technology (RAST). Nucleic Acids Res. 2014; 42: D206–D214. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1226 PMID: 24293654

50. Brettin T, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Olsen GJ, et al. RASTtk: A modular and extensible implementation of the RAST algorithm for building custom annotation pipelines and annotating batches of genomes. Sci Rep. 2015; 5: 8365. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep08365 PMID: 25666585

51. Markowitz VM, Chen I-MA, Palaniappan K, Chu K, Szeto E, Grechkin Y, et al. IMG: the integrated microbial genomes database and comparative analysis system. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012; 40: D115–D122. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr1044 PMID: 22194640

52. Caspi R, Altman T, Dale JM, Dreher K, Fulcher CA, Gilham F, et al. The MetaCyc database of metabolic pathways and enzymes and the BioCyc collection of pathway/genome databases. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010; 38: D473–D479. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkp875 PMID: 19850718

53. Caspi R, Altman T, Dreher K, Fulcher CA, Subhraveti P, Keseler IM, et al. The MetaCyc database of metabolic pathways and enzymes and the BioCyc collection of pathway/genome databases. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012; 40: D742–D753. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr1014 PMID: 22102576

54. Kanehisa M, Goto S. KEGG: Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000; 28: 27–30. PMID: 10592173

55. Neidhardt FC, Ingraham JL, Schaechter M. Physiology of the bacterial cell: a molecular approach. 1st ed. Simpson J, editor. Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates Inc, Sunderland, MA; 1990.

56. Kumar S, Gandhi KS. Modelling of Fe^{2+} oxidation by *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 1990; 33: 524–528. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00172545

57. Campodonico MA, Vaisman D, Castro JF, Razmilic V, Mercado F, Andrews BA, et al. *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*’s comprehensive model driven analysis of the electron transfer metabolism and synthetic strain design for biomining applications. Metab Eng Commun. 2016; 3: 84–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meteno.2016.03.003 PMID: 29468116

58. Farrand SG, Jones CW, Linton JD, Stephenson RJ. The effect of temperature and pH on the growth efficiency of the thermoacidophilic bacterium *Bacillus acidocaldarius* in continuous culture. Arch Microbiol. 1983; 135: 276–283. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00413481
59. Tijhuis L, Van Loosdrecht MCM, Heijnen JJ. A thermodynamically based correlation for maintenance gibbs energy requirements in aerobic and anaerobic chemotrophic growth. Biotechnol Bioeng. 1993; 42: 509–519. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.260420415 PMID: 18613056

60. Klamt S, von Kamp A. An application programming interface for CellNetAnalyzer. Biosystems. 2011; 105: 162–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystems.2011.02.002 PMID: 21315797

61. Jungreuthmayer C, Ruckerbauer DE, Zanghellini J. regEfmtool: Speeding up elementary flux mode calculation using transcriptional regulatory rules in the form of three-state logic. Biosystems. 2013; 113: 37–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystems.2013.04.002 PMID: 23664840

62. Schellenberger J, Que R, Fleming RMT, Thiele I, Orth JD, Feist AM, et al. Quantitative prediction of cellular metabolism with constraint-based models: the COBRA Toolbox v2.0. Nat Protoc. 2011; 6: 1290–1307. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2011.308 PMID: 21886097