Accurate simulation of light transmission through subwavelength apertures in metal films
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Abstract. We use the finite-element method for simulating light transmission through a 2D-periodic array of rectangular apertures in a film of highly conductive material. We report results with a relative error of the transmissivity lower than 0.01%. This is an improvement of about one order of magnitude compared to previously reported results. Further, the influence of corner and edge roundings on light transmission through the subwavelength apertures is investigated.

1. Introduction

Experiments investigating light transmission through subwavelength apertures in metallic films have revealed surprising phenomena like enhanced transmission and beaming effects [1, 2]. The mechanisms involved in the transmission spectra can be explained using 2D models and approximative methods [3]. For a fully quantitative understanding and from the point of view of a nano-optical design of these structures, accurate numerical simulations of Maxwell’s equations for cylindrically symmetric problems as well as for problems with full 3D geometry are desired [4, 5].

A variety of different methods exist for rigorous simulations of Maxwell’s equations. Among these are the finite-element method (FEM), the finite-difference time-domain method (FDTD), the Fourier-modal method (FMM, RCWA), the boundary-element method (BEM), and others. However, especially in cases of large 3D computational domains and of highly conductive materials (like silver or gold) the field distributions of interest are so complicated that it becomes challenging for all numerical methods to accurately approximate them. Recently, Granet and Li [5] have presented results on the convergence for the simulation of light transmission through periodically perforated thin silver films. They use a dedicated Fourier-modal method which allows for spatial adaptivity and for the use of symmetries of the problem. This allows them to obtain numerical results which are converged to a relative error of the order of 0.1% [6]. In their paper, Granet and Li suspect that all other published numerical results obtained so far for the same type of problems are not fully converged. I.e., due to the lack of efficient methods, many published results regarding numerical simulations of Maxwell’s equations for nano-optical problems are at improper accuracy levels.
In this contribution we revisit the same problem using the finite-element method. We show that our method yields the same numerical values within numerical uncertainty, and we present convergence results showing an obtained relative error which is about one order of magnitude lower than the results of Granet and Li. Further we investigate the influence of corner roundings on the transmissivity of the sample.
2. Problem statement

The exemplary geometric layout we study in this paper has already been thoroughly examined by Granet and Li [5]. Despite its geometric simplicity it turns out to be a challenging case in the achievement of sufficient numerical convergence of the solution. The layout consists of a doubly periodic, infinite array of square-shaped apertures in a highly conductive metal layer on a glass substrate, c.f. Fig. 1. Monochromatic light is incident in $-z$-direction, linearly polarized in $x$-direction, and with a vacuum wavelength of $\lambda_0 = 1.3875 \mu m$. A unit cell of the periodic layout and the computational domain are shown in Fig. 1 (a). The substrate consists of glass with a relative permittivity $\varepsilon_{\text{Glass}} = 1.5^2$. The silver grating has a relative permittivity of $\varepsilon_{\text{Silver}} = (0.1 + 8.94i)^2$ at the wavelength of interest. The half space above the grating as well as the apertures have the material properties of vacuum, $\varepsilon_{\text{vac}} = 1.0$. The thickness of the metal layer is $H_z = 200$ nm, the lateral dimensions of the square apertures are $H_x = H_y = 250$ nm, and the periodicity lengths of the layout are $P_x = P_y = 900$ nm. This combination of geometry, materials and incident light properties results in enhanced light transmission through the apertures (see Section 4.3).

We also investigate the influence of corner roundings on the transmissivity through the sample. Fig. 1 (b) schematically shows how corner rounding is applied to edges parallel to the $z$-axis of the coordinate system, and Fig. 1 (c) shows additional corner roundings applied to edges parallel to the $x$- and $y$-axes (compare also Fig. 2).
3. Finite-element method

We use the finite-element method to find rigorous solutions to the linear, time-harmonic Maxwell’s equations. In a nutshell, the method consists of the following steps:

- The geometry of the computational domain is discretized with simple geometrical patches. 2D layouts are discretized using, e.g., triangular patches. For a 3D layout tetrahedral, prismatoidal or other patches are used. In the simulation results presented here we have used prismatoidal patches. Transparent boundary conditions (BC) are applied in the $\pm z$-directions, mirror-symmetric boundary conditions are applied in the lateral directions. Alternatively, the method allows for Bloch-periodic or transparent BC also in the lateral directions. Transparent BC are realized with a perfectly matched layer (PML) method [7].

- The function spaces in the integral representation of Maxwell’s equations are discretized using Nedelec’s edge elements, which are vectorial functions of polynomial order, defined on the simple geometrical patches [8]. In the current implementation, our FEM solver uses polynomials of first to ninth order. FEM can be explained as expanding the field corresponding to the exact solution of Maxwell’s equations in the basis given by these elements.

- The expansion leads to a large sparse matrix equation (algebraic problem). To solve the algebraic problem on a standard workstation linear algebra decomposition techniques (e.g., sparse LU-factorization) are used. For the case when the available memory (RAM) of the computer becomes the limiting factor for large computational domains or high accuracy demands, we have also implemented rigorous domain decomposition methods [7]. These allow to handle problems with larger numbers of unknowns. However, all results presented in this paper are obtained by sparse LU-factorization.

For details on our FEM implementation, e.g., the choice of Bloch-periodic functional spaces, and the adaptive FEM discretization we refer to previous works [9]. Our solver has been compared and benchmarked with RCWA and FDTD-based Maxwell solvers for 2D [10] and 3D [11, 12] computational domain problems. It has been successfully applied to various problem classes, e.g., to simulate properties of metamaterials, photonic crystal fibers, plasmonic structures, nanoresonators, and integrated optical devices. We note that our finite-element solver is also part of a commercial program package [13].

4. Numerical investigations

4.1. Light transmission through apertures with sharp edges

We have performed FEM computations on meshes with increasing refinement in order to investigate the convergence behavior of our method for the simulation example described in Chapter 2 and Figure 1 (a). The transmissivity through the periodic array of apertures, $T$, is computed from the finite-element near field solutions. The number
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of degrees of freedom of the algebraic problem, \( N \), increases with increasing refinement of the prismatooidal mesh discretizing the geometry. Figure 3 shows the dependence of the absolute value of transmissivity through the periodic array of apertures, \( T \), on the number of unknowns, \( N \). As expected, we obtain convergence of the solution with increasing \( N \). The results presented here are obtained using finite elements of polynomial degree \( p = 2 \). The absolute value of transmissivity converges to a value of about 0.0866, see Tab. \( \text{[6]} \). Granet and Li computed the same number using RCWA, within the error bounds of the numerical solutions [6].

![Figure 3](image3.png)

**Figure 3.** Convergence of the simulated transmittivity \( T \) in dependence on the number of unknowns \( N \) of the FEM problem.
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**Figure 4.** Relative error of the transmittivity, \( \Delta T \), in dependence on the number of unknowns \( N \) of the FEM problem.

Figure 4 shows the convergence of the relative error of transmissivity, \( \Delta T = |T(N) - T_{qe}|/|T_{qe}| \), corresponding to the results shown in Figure 3. Here \( T_{qe} \) is the transmissivity computed from the quasi-exact solution, i.e., from a solution obtained with our FEM solver on a finer mesh than the meshes of the solutions corresponding
to $T(N)$. The numerical value of the transmissivity computed from the quasi-exact solution is quoted in Table 1 ($R_z = 0, R_{xy} = 0$). The fine mesh of the quasi-exact solution results in a number of unknowns of the FEM problem of $N = 4,967,892$. Indeed, it would be desirable to compare $T(N)$ to an analytical solution. However, for problems where an analytical solution is not available, the quasi-exact solution is used as a makeshift. From Fig. 4 it can be seen that solutions with a relative accuracy of transmissivity of about 1\% can be obtained with a computational effort of a few $10^3$ unknowns. Relative accuracy of about 0.1\% is reached with about one million of unknowns, and an accuracy of about $10^{-4}$ can be obtained with a computational effort of some millions of unknowns. Computation times range from below a minute on the 1\%-accuracy side to about one hour for the best converged solutions. Memory (RAM) consumption for the displayed results ranges between below 1GB and about 100GB, again depending on accuracy. We note that the computations have been performed on a standard workstation with extended RAM which recently has become affordable due to the price decline of modern computers. However, when RAM consumption becomes the limiting factor for high precision computations, it is also possible to compute solutions on fine grids using domain-decomposition algorithms [7] instead of the direct LU factorization of the full problem matrix. Therefore, all presented results can also be obtained on desktop computers with limited RAM.

We further note that keys for achieving highly converged results using FEM are, firstly, the adaptive discretization of the geometry where the mesh is finer close to corners and edges of the geometry, and, secondly, a careful implementation of transparent boundary conditions.

**4.2. Light transmission through apertures with corner rounding**

The good convergence properties of FEM allow us to investigate the influence of small changes in the geometry on the transmissivity. In this section we investigate the convergence properties of the method when corner rounding is introduced. Further we observe that the transmissivity can be greatly influenced by corner roundings of the structure.

We first introduce a rounding of the $z$ edges of the apertures, cf. Fig. 1(b). The rounding is approximated by 13 polynomial segments per quarter circle. As in the previous section we compute FEM solutions for different mesh qualities for a convergence analysis. To investigate the influence of corner rounding we choose different rounding radii between 0.1 nm and 20 nm. Figure 5 provides numerical results for three different corner radii. Displayed is again the transmissivity in dependence on the number of unknowns. Again we observe very good convergence. Table 1 gives a summary of the transmissivity values for a finer sampling of corner radii. All indicated numerical errors are obtained from a convergence analysis as described above. We observe that the transmissivity value changes only by $< 1\%$ for a corner rounding of 3 nm and below and it changes by up to 15\% for a corner rounding of 20 nm.
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Figure 5. Transmissivity over number of unknowns for different roundings of \( z \) edges. The dashed horizontal line shows the value to which the layout without corner rounding has converged.

| \( R_z \) [nm] | \( R_{xy} \) [nm] | \( T \) |
|---------------|----------------|------|
| 0.0           | 0.0            | \( 8.6564 \times 10^{-2} \pm 8 \times 10^{-6} \) |
| 0.1           | 0.0            | \( 8.650 \times 10^{-2} \pm 2 \times 10^{-5} \) |
| 0.5           | 0.0            | \( 8.647 \times 10^{-2} \pm 2 \times 10^{-5} \) |
| 1.0           | 0.0            | \( 8.644 \times 10^{-2} \pm 3 \times 10^{-5} \) |
| 2.0           | 0.0            | \( 8.6277 \times 10^{-2} \pm 3 \times 10^{-6} \) |
| 3.0           | 0.0            | \( 8.6099 \times 10^{-2} \pm 8 \times 10^{-6} \) |
| 5.0           | 0.0            | \( 8.5584 \times 10^{-2} \pm 7 \times 10^{-6} \) |
| 7.0           | 0.0            | \( 8.4842 \times 10^{-2} \pm 3 \times 10^{-6} \) |
| 10.0          | 0.0            | \( 8.326 \times 10^{-2} \pm 3 \times 10^{-5} \) |
| 20.0          | 0.0            | \( 7.360 \times 10^{-2} \pm 6 \times 10^{-5} \) |
| 2.0           | 2.0            | \( 7.31 \times 10^{-2} \) |
| 10.0          | 10.0           | \( 3.24 \times 10^{-2} \) |

Table 1. Transmissivity values for layouts with different corner radii on the vertical edges (\( R_z \)) and on the horizontal edges (\( R_{xy} \)).

In a next set of numerical experiments we introduce corner rounding on all edges, cf., Figs. 1(c) and 2. We choose two corner radii, 2 nm and 10 nm for comparison. The transmissivity values in those cases are also included in Table 1. A larger impact of the rounding on the horizontal edges on transmissivity is observed: For the 10 nm corner rounding the transmissivity value drops by a factor of less than 50% of the aperture with sharp edges and of the apertures with \( R_z \leq 10 \text{nm} \).

These results show that for quantitative studies of optical properties of metallic
nanostructures corner roundings in general have to be taken into account, even for relatively small rounding radii. Such roundings are always present in the experimental setups, and as shown they can have a critical impact on the performance of nano-optical devices.

4.3. Enhanced light transmission

In order to understand processes involved in light transmission through the investigated array of holes we have performed the following numerical experiment: We varied the periodicity length \( P (P = P_x = P_y) \) of the array and recorded the simulated transmissivity. For this scan we have used second order finite elements and discretizations with about \( 5 \times 10^5 \) unknowns which corresponds to a relative error of \( \Delta T < 1\% \) (cf., Section 4.1). Figure 6 shows the transmission through the array in the range \( P = 800 \ldots 1000 \text{ nm} \). A sharp transmission peak is observed with a full width at half maximum of only about 2.5 nm, centered around a periodicity length of \( P = 900 \text{ nm} \).
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**Figure 6.** Transmission \( T \) in dependence on periodicity length \( P \). (a) Enhanced transmission appears as a very sharp peak in the scanned parameter range. (b) Detail with the peak shape (Circles: simulation results; solid line: spline fit).

We have exported sections through the 3D field distributions in order to visualize the electric field distribution at peak transmission \( (P = 900 \text{ nm}) \). Figure shows sections parallel to the metal film, 1 nm above the film and 1 nm below the film. Plotted is the
amplitude of the electric field. The sections are taken through one quarter of the unit cell of the periodic array. The scales of the pseudocolor plots range between 0 to 1.5 V/m (above the metal film) and 0 to 15 V/m (below the metal film). Please notice that the exciting light field is incident from the top. At first sight it is surprising that the near field intensity is orders of magnitude larger at the bottom side of the array, i.e., on the ‘shaded’ side of the structure. This can explained by the fact that for the resonant structure counterpropagating surface plasmons are excited at the metal-substrate interface.\cite{14} The surface plasmons propagate in $\pm x$-direction (as can be seen, e.g., from the standing wave pattern in Figure 7 right). The explanation of enhanced transmission through the excitation of surface plasmons is also in accordance with the narrow width and the position of the peak in the transmission spectrum (cf., Fig. 6): We have checked that surface plasmons on an unstructured material stack are excited in a Kretschmann configuration for the investigated material parameters and exciting wavelength.\cite{15} The surface plasmons do not contribute directly to transmission in forward-direction, but they are scattered at the holes in the metal film which causes large energy flux into the substrate.

Also the larger impact of rounding of the horizontal edges compared to rounding of the vertical edges (as investigated in Section 4.2) is in accordance with the explanation of enhanced transmission caused by a plasmonic surface state of the structure: The scattering cross-section for scattering of surface plasmons at holes in the metal film depends critically on the geometry of the holes, especially at the metal-glass interface where the surface plasmon amplitude is at its maximum.
5. Conclusion

We have presented a finite-element method for simulating light transmission through periodic arrays of apertures in a highly conducting metal films. Well converged simulation results have been achieved. Despite the demonstrated and theoretically expected advantage in convergence properties, FEM is a very general and flexible method which also allows to investigate more realistic problem settings. Corner roundings, sidewall angles or other small geometrical features can be a roadblock for accurate simulations with other methods relying, e.g., on more regular grids. In contrast, such geometrical features can very well be resolved using finite-element methods on unstructured grids. We have demonstrated this advantage by investigating the influence of corner and edge roundings on light transmission through subwavelength apertures.
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