1. Introduction

The study of Willmore surfaces which are critical points for the bending energy \( \int H^2 \), where \( H \) is the mean curvature, goes at least back to Blaschke’s school in the 1920’s. About 40 years later Willmore [12] reintroduced the problem and asked to find the minimizers for the bending energy, nowadays called Willmore energy, over compact surfaces of fixed genus. He showed that the round sphere is the minimum over genus zero surfaces and formulated the conjecture that the minimum over tori is given by the Clifford torus with Willmore energy \( 2\pi^2 \). In the 1980’s Bryant [2] classified all Willmore spheres in 3-space as inverted minimal spheres with planar ends in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Subsequently, Ejiri [5] and recently Montiel [9] proved an analogous result for Willmore spheres in 4-space: in addition to inverted minimal spheres in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) also twistor projections to \( S^4 \) of rational curves in \( \mathbb{CP}^3 \) occur.

The case of Willmore tori is more involved: there are examples constructed by integrable system methods which are neither inverted minimal surfaces nor twistor projections of elliptic curves [10], [7], [1]. By now there is a reasonable understanding of how to construct all Willmore tori in 3 and 4-space from theta functions on finite genus Riemann surfaces, the spectral curves [8], [11]. In fact, the recent preprint [11] by Schmidt seems to go some way towards proving the Willmore conjecture.

An important aspect of the theory of Willmore surfaces is its connection to the theory of harmonic maps. The conformal Gauss map or mean curvature sphere congruence of a Willmore surface is a harmonic map whose energy is equal to the Willmore energy. This relationship between Willmore surfaces and harmonic maps becomes even more pronounced in the description of surface theory via quaternionic holomorphic geometry [3]. In this setting the theory of Willmore surfaces in \( S^4 \) shows a close resemblance to the theory of harmonic maps into \( S^2 \).

A classical result of Eells and Wood [4] states that a harmonic map \( f : M \to S^2 \) from a compact Riemann surface \( M \) of degree \( |\text{deg} f| > \frac{1}{2} \deg K \), where \( K \) denotes the canonical bundle of \( M \), is holomorphic or antiholomorphic. If \( M \) is a torus then only degree zero harmonic maps are nonholomorphic, and these are the Gauss maps of constant mean curvature tori in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Such harmonic maps are constructed by integrable systems methods and are given by theta functions on hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces, the spectral curves of the harmonic torus. Therefore, at least for tori, one can view the result of Eells and Wood as a criterion to distinguish the trivial holomorphic case from the more involved integrable system case.
In view of the close resemblance between harmonic maps into $S^2$ and Willmore surfaces in $S^4$, we expect a similar criterion to hold for Willmore surfaces: under which conditions does a Willmore surface in $S^4$ come from a twistor projection of a holomorphic curve in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ or a minimal surface in $\mathbb{R}^4$, i.e., is given by holomorphic data?

**Theorem.** Let $f : T^2 \to S^4$ be a Willmore torus in $S^4$ with nontrivial normal bundle. Then $f$ comes from a twistor projection of an elliptic curve in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ or from a minimal torus with planar ends in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

In fact, we conjecture the following more general result for any compact Willmore surface $f : M \to S^4$: if the normal bundle degree $v$ satisfies $|v| > 2 \deg K$, then the Willmore surface comes from a twistor projection of a holomorphic curve in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ or from a minimal surface in $\mathbb{R}^4$. Of course, this is an exact analog of the above mentioned result by Eells and Wood for harmonic maps into $S^2$. We notice that in case $M$ has genus at most 1 this conjecture is true: for Willmore spheres it is the result by Ejiri and Montiel, and for Willmore tori it is the theorem stated above. Moreover, if $f$ is minimal in $S^4$ or, more generally, if $f$ has a dual Willmore surface then by Theorem 2.1 the conjecture holds for any genus.

The theorem by Eells and Wood follows from the fact that the $(1,0)$–part of the derivative of a harmonic map into $S^2$ is holomorphic together with a degree calculation. For Willmore surfaces such a computation can also be done, but turns out to be insufficient for proving the theorem. The additional ingredient needed is a detailed study of the monodromy of the associated family of Willmore surfaces. Our model for the Möbius geometry of $S^4$ is the quaternionic projective line $\mathbb{H}P^1$ on which the Möbius group acts by $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{H})$. The associated family of Willmore surfaces is described by an $S^1$–family of flat connections with $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{H})$ monodromy. Nontrivial normal bundle together with the Plücker formula imply that, over a torus, this loop of monodromy representations has all of its eigenvalues equal to 1. In case the monodromy is trivial, the Willmore torus comes from a twistor projection. The only other possibility is translational monodromy, in which case the Willmore surface is an inverted minimal torus in $\mathbb{R}^4$ with planar ends.

In terms of spectral curves our result can also be given the following interpretation: a Willmore torus with nontrivial normal bundle is known to the extent one understands elliptic curves in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ and minimal tori with planar ends in $\mathbb{R}^4$, both of which are given by elliptic functions. For a Willmore torus with trivial normal bundle, which is not an inverted minimal torus in $\mathbb{R}^3$, the monodromy representation of the family of flat connections has non–constant eigenvalues. In this case, one can associate to the Willmore torus its spectral curve, namely the Riemann surface defined by the eigenvalues of the monodromy in dependence of the complexified loop parameter $\xi$. The Willmore torus is then parameterized by theta functions on the spectral curve, a topic which we will return to in a forthcoming paper.

### 2. Preliminaries and degree estimates

Before describing our setup it will be helpful to collect some of the basic notions concerning the theory of quaternionic vector bundles over Riemann surfaces $[8]$. A quaternionic vector bundle $W$ with complex structure $J$ over a Riemann surface $M$ decomposes into $W = W_+ \oplus W_-$, where $W_\pm$ are the $\pm i$–eigenspaces of $J$. By restriction $J$ induces complex structures on $W_+$ and $W_- = W_+ j$ gives a complex linear isomorphism between $W_+$ and
The degree of the quaternionic bundle $W$ with complex structure $J$ is then defined as the degree of the underlying complex vector bundle

\[(2.1) \quad \deg W := \deg W_+ , \]

which is half of the usual degree of $W$ viewed as a complex bundle.

Given two quaternionic bundles $W$ and $\tilde{W}$ with complex structures $J$ and $\tilde{J}$ the complex linear homomorphisms $\text{Hom}_+ (W, \tilde{W})$ are complex linearly isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_{C} (W_+, \tilde{W}_+)$. On the other hand, the complex antilinear homomorphisms $\text{Hom}_{-} (W, \tilde{W})$ are complex linearly isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_{+}(W, \tilde{W})$, where the complex structure on a homomorphism bundle is induced by the target complex structure.

A quaternionic holomorphic structure on the vector bundle $W$ with complex structure $J$ is given by a quaternionic linear operator

\[(2.2) \quad \bar{\partial} + Q : \Gamma(W) \to \Omega^{0,1}(W) = \Gamma(KW) . \]

Here $\bar{\partial} = \bar{\partial} \oplus \bar{\partial}$ is the double of a complex holomorphic structure on $W_+$ and $Q \in \Omega^{0,1}(\text{End}_{-}(W))$ is a $(0,1)$–form with values in complex antilinear endomorphisms of $W$. The quaternionic vector space of holomorphic sections of $W$ is denoted by

$$ H^0(W) = H^0(W, \bar{\partial} + Q) = \text{ker}(\bar{\partial} + Q) $$

and is finite dimensional for compact $M$. The $L^2$–norm

$$ \mathcal{W}(W) = \mathcal{W}(W, \bar{\partial} + Q) = 2 \int_M <Q \wedge *Q> $$

of $Q$ is called the Willmore energy of the holomorphic bundle $W$ where $<,>$ denotes the trace pairing on $\text{End}(W)$. The special case $Q = 0$, for which $\mathcal{W}(W) = 0$, describes (doubles of) complex holomorphic bundles $W = W_+ \oplus W_+$. A typical example of a quaternionic holomorphic structure arises from the $(0,1)$–part $\nabla''$ of a quaternionic connection $\nabla$ on $W$.

Now let $f : M \to S^4$ be a conformal map of the Riemann surface $M$. We model the M"obius geometry of $S^4$ by the projective geometry of the quaternionic projective line $\mathbb{H}{\mathbb{P}}^1$. Therefore, the map $f$ corresponds to the line subbundle $L \subset V$ with $L_p = f(p)$, where $V$ is the trivial $\mathbb{H}{\mathbb{P}}^1$–bundle over $M$. Its differential $df$ corresponds to the $\text{Hom}(L, V/L)$–valued 1–form

$$ \delta = \pi \nabla|_L, $$

where $\pi : V \to V/L$ is the canonical projection and $\nabla$ denotes the trivial connection on $V$. A 2–sphere in $S^4$ is given by an endomorphism $S \in \text{End}(\mathbb{H}^2)$ with $S^2 = -1$: points on the 2–sphere correspond to fixed lines of $S$. We denote by $\mathcal{Z}$ the space of oriented 2–spheres in $S^4$. A sphere congruence $S : M \to \mathcal{Z}$ is thus a complex structure on $V$.

Given such a complex structure, we can decompose the trivial connection into $S$–commuting and anticommuting parts

\[(2.3) \quad \nabla = \hat{\nabla} + A + Q , \]

where $\hat{\nabla}$ is a complex connection, and $-2 \ast A$ and $2 \ast Q$ are the $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$–parts of

\[(2.4) \quad \nabla S = 2(\ast Q - \ast A) . \]

By construction, $A \in \Gamma(K \text{End}_{-}(V))$ and $Q \in \Gamma(K \text{End}_{-}(V))$, i.e., $\ast A = SA = -SA$ and $\ast Q = -SQ = QS$. 
Among all sphere congruences the mean curvature sphere congruence \( S : M \to \mathbb{Z} \), also called the conformal Gauß map, of \( f \) is characterized by the following properties \[3\] :

(i) The sphere \( S(p) \) passes through \( f(p) \) for \( p \in M \), i.e., \( SL = L \).
(ii) The sphere \( S(p) \) is tangent to \( f \) at \( p \) for \( p \in M \), i.e., \( *\delta = S\delta = \delta S \).
(iii) The sphere \( S(p) \) has the same mean curvature vector as \( f \) at \( p \) for \( p \in M \), i.e., \( AV \subset \Omega^1(L) \), or, equivalently, \( Q|_L = 0 \).

In general, a conformal map \( f : M \to S^4 \) has a mean curvature sphere congruence only along immersed points. In the sequel, we will always assume that \( f \) has a mean curvature sphere congruence which is certainly the case when \( f \) is immersed.

Note that (ii) implies that \( \delta \) is a \((1,0)\)-form with values in the complex linear homomorphisms, i.e., \( \delta \in \Gamma(K \text{Hom}_+(L,V/L)) \). The complex connection \[2.3\] decomposes into \((1,0)\) and \((0,1)\)-parts
\[
\hat{\nabla} = \hat{\nabla}' + \hat{\nabla}'' =: \partial + \bar{\partial}
\]
and \( \bar{\partial} \) stabilizes \( L \) and therefore also \( V/L \) from (ii) and (iii) we see that \( \pi\bar{\partial}|_L = \delta'' = 0 \). Thus, \( L \) and \( V/L \) are (doubles of) complex holomorphic line bundles and by (iii)
\[
\bar{\partial}\delta = \pi\bar{\partial}\delta|_L = \pi(\partial\bar{\partial} + R^{\hat{\nabla}})|_L = \delta\bar{\partial},
\]
where the curvature \( R^{\hat{\nabla}} = -(A \wedge A + Q \wedge Q) \) of \( \hat{\nabla} \) stabilizes \( L \). This shows that \( \delta \) is a holomorphic section \( \delta \in H^0(K \text{Hom}_+(L,V/L)) \) and, using \[2.1\], we obtain
\[
\text{ord} \delta = \deg K + \deg V - 2\deg L.
\]
If \( f \) is immersed, \( \delta \) has no zeros and therefore
\[
\text{deg} V = 2\deg L - \deg K.
\]
The tangent bundle of \( \mathbb{HP}^1 \) splits into
\[
\text{Hom}(L,V/L) = \text{Hom}_+(L,V/L) \oplus \text{Hom}_-(L,V/L),
\]
where \( \text{Hom}_+(L,V/L) \supseteq \delta(TM) \) and \( \text{Hom}_-(L,V/L) = \text{Hom}_+(\bar{L},V/L) \) extend the tangent bundle and the normal bundle of \( f \) across the branch points. Therefore, the normal bundle degree \( \nu \) of \( f \) calculates to
\[
\nu = \text{deg} V.
\]
Up to now, our discussion dealt with conformal maps \( f : M \to S^4 \) and their mean curvature sphere congruences. In case \( f \) is a Willmore surface, we will be able to derive further degree relations. The Willmore functional of a conformal map \[4\] is given by
\[
\mathcal{W}(f) = 2\int_M < A \wedge *A >,
\]
which, up to topological terms, is the Willmore energy of the quaternionic holomorphic structure \( \partial + \pi Q \) on \( V/L \). The Euler Lagrange equation \[3\] of this functional is
\[
d^{\nabla} * A = 0 \quad \text{or, equivalently} \quad d^{\nabla} * Q = 0,
\]
where the latter can be seen by differentiating \[2.4\]. For degree computations it is necessary to interpret \( A \) and \( Q \) as complex holomorphic bundle maps. From \[2.3\] we obtain
\[
d^{\nabla} * A = d^{\nabla} * A + [A \wedge *A] + [Q \wedge *A] = d^{\nabla} * A = Sd^{\nabla} A,
\]
and similarly,
\[ d\nabla^* Q = -S d\nabla Q, \]
where \([A \wedge^* A] = 0\) by symmetry and \([Q \wedge^* A] = 0\) by type considerations. Therefore, viewing \(A \in \Gamma(K \text{Hom}_+(\bar{V}, V))\) and \(Q \in \Gamma(K \text{Hom}_+(V, \bar{V}))\), equations (2.10) are equivalent to
\[ \bar{\partial} A = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial} Q = 0, \]
which means that \(A \in H^0(K \text{Hom}_+(\bar{V}, V))\) and \(Q \in H^0(K \text{Hom}_+(V, \bar{V}))\). From (iii) we see that \(A\) and \(Q\) have at most rank 1, and hence there exist holomorphic subbundles \(\bar{L}, \hat{L} \subset \bar{V}\), the \textit{forward} and \textit{backward B"acklund transforms} \([3]\) of \(f\), such that
\[ \bar{L} \subseteq \ker A \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{L} \supseteq \text{im} Q. \]
If \(A \neq 0\) and \(Q \neq 0\) the forward and backward B"acklund transforms are again conformal maps into \(S^4\), but their mean curvature sphere congruences may not extend into their branch points. In case \(\bar{L} = \hat{L}\), i.e., if \(AQ = 0\), we will see below that the conformal map \(\bar{L}\) has mean curvature sphere congruence \(-S\) and is therefore a dual Willmore surface to \(f\). Now
\[ A \in H^0(K \text{Hom}_+(\bar{V}/\bar{L}, L)) \]
\[ Q \in H^0(K \text{Hom}_+(V/L, \hat{L})) \]
\[ AQ \in H^0(K^2 \text{Hom}_+(V/L, L)) \]
define holomorphic bundle maps between complex holomorphic line bundles. Therefore, the order of zeros of \(A\) and \(Q\) calculate to
\[ \text{ord} A = \deg K + \deg L + \deg V - \deg \bar{L} \]
\[ = 3 \deg L - \deg \bar{L} + \text{ord} \delta, \]
\[ \text{ord} Q = -\deg \bar{L} - \deg V + \deg L + \deg K \]
\[ = 2 \deg K - \deg L - \deg \hat{L} - \text{ord} \delta, \]
where we used (2.7). Moreover, if \(AQ \neq 0\) then
\[ \text{ord} AQ = 3 \deg K - \text{ord} \delta. \]
If \(A = 0\) or \(Q = 0\) then the Willmore surface \(f\) comes from holomorphic data \([6]\): in the former case \(f\) and in the latter case the Willmore surface \(f^\perp\), given by the line bundle \(L^\perp \subseteq V^*\), is the twistor projection of a holomorphic curve \(g : M \to \mathbb{CP}^3\).

\textbf{Theorem 2.1.} \textit{Let} \(f : M \to S^4\) \textit{be a compact Willmore surface with normal bundle degree}\(|v| > 2 \deg K\)

\[ \text{and} \quad AQ = 0, \quad \text{i.e., admitting a dual Willmore surface. Then, either} \quad f \textit{or} f^\perp \textit{is a twistor projection of a holomorphic curve in} \mathbb{CP}^3, \textit{or} f \textit{is an inverted minimal surface in} \mathbb{R}^4. \]

\textbf{Remark 2.2.} \textit{If} \(M = S^2\) \textit{then} \(\deg K = -2\) \textit{and the hypothesis of the theorem are satisfied by} \([2]\). \textit{Therefore, every Willmore sphere in} \(S^4\) \textit{either comes from a holomorphic curve in} \(\mathbb{CP}^3\) \textit{or is an inverted minimal sphere in} \(\mathbb{R}^4\) \textit{which, for immersed} \(f\), \textit{recovers the results by} \([2], [6], [9]\).}

\textbf{Remark 2.3.} \textit{As already mentioned in the introduction, there is evidence that the theorem holds without assuming the existence of a dual Willmore surface. But the proof of this conjecture, even in the genus 1 case, seems more involved then simple degree computations. This is mainly due to the fact that the B"acklund transform generally does not admit a mean curvature sphere congruence.}
Proof. We may assume that $A \neq 0$ and $Q \neq 0$. In this case $AQ = 0$ implies that the forward and backward Bäcklund transforms coincide, i.e. $\tilde{L} = \hat{L}$. Moreover, $-S$ is the mean curvature sphere congruence of $\tilde{L}$ since

$$\tilde{Q}|_{\tilde{L}} = A|_{\tilde{L}} = 0.$$ 

Therefore $\tilde{L}$ is a dual Willmore surface and our aim is to show that $\tilde{L}$ is in fact a point on the Willmore surface $f$. Since $S$ stabilizes $\tilde{L}$, all the mean curvature spheres of $f$ will then pass through a common point. Inverting $f$ at this point thus gives a minimal surface in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

Assuming that $\tilde{L}$ is not a point its derivative $\tilde{\delta} \in H^0(\text{Hom}_+(\tilde{L}, V/\tilde{L}))$ is a non-trivial holomorphic bundle map (2.6), so that its vanishing order calculates to

$$(2.16) \quad \text{ord} \tilde{\delta} = \text{ord} \hat{\delta} = \deg K - \deg V + 2 \deg \tilde{L}.$$ 

From (2.7), (2.13) and (2.16), we obtain

$$0 \leq 2 \text{ord} A + \text{ord} \delta + \text{ord} \tilde{\delta} = 4 \deg K + 2 \deg V,$$

and similarly (2.7), (2.14) and (2.16) give

$$0 \leq 2 \text{ord} Q + \text{ord} \delta + \text{ord} \hat{\delta} = 4 \deg K - 2 \deg V.$$

Therefore,

$$|\deg V| \leq 2 \deg K,$$

which contradicts the degree assumption of the theorem. \hfill \Box

3. Loops of flat connections

In addition to degree estimates, we now study the monodromies of the associated family of flat connections arising from a Willmore surface $f : M \to S^4$. The main reference for this is Section 6 of [6]. Recall (2.10) that $f : M \to S^4$ is Willmore if and only if

$$d\nabla^* A = 0 \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad d\nabla^* Q = 0,$$

where $\nabla S = 2(*Q - *A)$ is the derivative of the mean curvature sphere congruence $S : M \to \mathcal{Z}$ of $f$. One can immediately verify that these equations are equivalent to the flatness of the family of quaternionic connections

$$(3.1) \quad \nabla_\lambda = \nabla + (\lambda - 1)A,$$

where $\lambda = \alpha + \beta S$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1$. The geometric interpretation of this family of connections is the following: viewing the line bundle $L \subset V$ corresponding to $f$ in the flat background connection $\nabla_\lambda$, we obtain the associated family of Willmore surfaces $f_\lambda$ which generally have Möbius monodromy.

For our purposes it is advantageous to extend $\nabla_\lambda$ to a holomorphic family of flat complex connections parameterized over $\mathbb{C}_\ast$. To do this, we view $V$ as a complex vector bundle with respect to the complex structure $I$ given by multiplication $I\psi = \psi i$ by the quaternion $i$. Then

$$\lambda = \frac{\mu + \mu^{-1}}{2} + \frac{\mu^{-1} - \mu}{2} IS,$$

where $\mu = a + Ib \in \mathbb{C}_\ast$, extends $\lambda$ away from the unit circle and $\nabla_\lambda$ becomes

$$(3.2) \quad \nabla_\mu = (\nabla - A) + \left(\frac{1 - IS}{2} \mu + \frac{1 + IS}{2} \mu^{-1}\right) A.$$
Since $I$ is parallel with respect to $\nabla$, we see that the flatness of the family $\nabla_{\lambda}$ is equivalent to the flatness of the holomorphic family of complex connections $\nabla_{\mu}$ for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}_s$.

It is important to notice that the $(0,1)$–part with respect to the complex structure $S$ of $\nabla_{\mu}$ is independent of $\mu \in \mathbb{C}_s$ and gives the quaternionic holomorphic structure
\[(\nabla_{\mu})'' = \nabla'' = \bar{\partial} + Q . \tag{3.3}\]
In particular, every parallel section of $\nabla_{\mu}$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}_s$ is holomorphic, i.e., contained in $H^0(V, \bar{\partial} + Q)$. We denote by
\[H_{\mu} : \pi_1(M) \to \text{GL}(4, \mathbb{C}), \quad \mu \in \mathbb{C}_s, \tag{3.4}\]
the holomorphic family of monodromy representations of the flat connections $\nabla_{\mu}$. Notice that for unitary $\mu$ the connection $\nabla_{\mu}$ is quaternionic and therefore
\[H_{\mu} : \pi_1(M) \to \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{H}), \quad \mu \in S^1. \tag{3.5}\]

For a Willmore torus $f : T^2 \to S^4$ with non–trivial normal bundle the monodromies of the holomorphic family of complex connections $\nabla_{\mu}$, and thus also the monodromies of the associated family of Willmore surfaces, are either all trivial or translational.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $f : T^2 \to S^4$ be a Willmore torus with non–trivial normal bundle where $T^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\Gamma$. Then 1 is the only occurring eigenvalue for the holomorphic family of monodromy representations $H_{\mu} : \Gamma \to \text{GL}(4, \mathbb{C})$.

**Proof.** Note that
\[\nabla_{\mu} = (\nabla - A) + \left(\frac{1 - IS}{2} \mu + \frac{1 + IS}{2} \mu^{-1}\right)A\]
for $\mu = e^{it}$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$, is gauge equivalent by $e^{itS}$ to
\[\tilde{\nabla}_{\mu} = (\nabla - Q) + \left(\frac{1 - IS}{2} \mu^{-1} + \frac{1 + IS}{2} \mu\right)Q. \tag{3.6}\]
Therefore, if $A = 0$ or $Q = 0$ the monodromy representation $H_{\mu}$ is trivial for all $\mu \in \mathbb{C}_s$.

We now assume that $A \neq 0$, $Q \neq 0$, and that there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ so that the family $H_{\mu}(\gamma)$ has non–constant eigenvalues $h_{\mu}$ depending holomorphically on $\mu$. In other words, there exists a $\nabla_{\mu}$ parallel section $\psi_{\mu} \in \Gamma(\text{pr}^* V)$ on the universal cover $\text{pr} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to T^2$ with $H_{\mu}(\gamma)\psi_{\mu} = \psi_{\mu}h_{\mu}$. From (3.3) we see that the quaternionic holomorphic structure on $V/L$ satisfies
\[\bar{\partial} + \pi Q = \pi \nabla'' = \pi \nabla_{\mu}''.\]
In particular, $\varphi_{\mu} = \pi \psi_{\mu} \in \Gamma(\text{pr}^*(V/L))$ is a quaternionic holomorphic section with monodromy $h_{\mu}$, i.e.,
\[(\bar{\partial} + \pi Q)\varphi_{\mu} = 0, \quad \gamma^* \varphi_{\mu} = \varphi_{h_{\mu}}. \tag{3.7}\]
First, we note that $\varphi_{\mu} \neq 0$ since otherwise $\psi_{\mu}$ would be a parallel section of $\text{pr}^* L$ which would imply that $f$ is constant. Second, since $h_{\mu}$ is a non–constant holomorphic function of $\mu$, the sections $\varphi_{\mu} \in \Gamma(\text{pr}^*(V/L))$ are linearly independent for $\mu$ near $\mu_0$ with $h'_{\mu_0} \neq 0$: the $\varphi_{\mu}$ are eigenvectors with distinct eigenvalues $h_{\mu}$ of the deck transformation operator $\gamma^* : \Gamma(\text{pr}^*(V/L)) \to \Gamma(\text{pr}^*(V/L))$. On the other hand, the Plücker formula [6] for holomorphic sections with monodromy of the quaternionic holomorphic line bundle $V/L$ bounds the number $n$ of such linearly independent sections by its Willmore energy
\[W(V/L) \geq n((n - 1)(1 - g) - \deg(V/L)) = -n \deg(V/L). \]
Here \( g \) is the genus of the underlying Riemann surface, which in our case is \( g = 1 \).

In case the normal bundle degree of \( f \) satisfies \( v = \deg V < 0 \), we see from [28] that also \( \deg(V/L) < 0 \). Therefore, the eigenvalues of \( H_\mu(\gamma) \) must be independent of \( \mu \) for all \( \gamma \in \Gamma \). Since \( H_1 \) is the trivial representation all the eigenvalues of \( H_\mu(\gamma) \) are equal to 1.

If the normal bundle degree of \( f \) is positive, we will apply the above argument to the Willmore surface \( f^\perp : T^2 \to S^4 \) given by the line bundle \( L^\perp \subseteq V^* \) whose mean curvature sphere congruence is \( S^* \) : since

\[
\nabla^* S^* = (\nabla S)^* = 2(-*A^* + *Q^*),
\]

where \( A^* \in \Gamma(K\text{End}_-(V^*)) \) and \( Q^* \in \Gamma(K\text{End}_-(V^*)) \), we see that

\[
Q^\perp = -A^*, \quad A^\perp = -Q^*
\]

and hence \( L^\perp \subseteq \ker Q^\perp \). Moreover, \( d\nabla^* \circ Q^\perp = 0 \) so that \( f^\perp \) is also Willmore. The corresponding family of flat connections is given by

\[
\nabla^\perp_\mu = (\nabla^* - A^\perp) + \left(\frac{1 - IS}{2}\mu + \frac{1 + IS}{2}\mu^{-1}\right)A^\perp
\]

which, as we have seen above, is gauge equivalent to

\[
\tilde{\nabla}^\perp_\mu = (\nabla^* - Q^\perp) + \left(\frac{1 - IS}{2}\mu^{-1} + \frac{1 + IS}{2}\mu\right)Q^\perp.
\]

But the latter is the dual connection of \( \nabla_\mu \) so that \( \nabla^\perp_\mu \) is gauge equivalent to \( (\nabla_\mu)^* \). Therefore, the monodromy representations \( H_\mu \) and \( H^\perp_\mu \) have the same eigenvalues. If the normal bundle degree of \( f \) is positive, i.e., \( v = \deg V > 0 \), then \( V^* \) with complex structure \( S^* \) has negative degree \( v^* = \deg V^* < 0 \) and we can apply our previous argument to \( f^\perp \).

Again we deduce that all the eigenvalues of \( H^\perp_\mu \), and thus also of \( H_\mu \), are equal to 1. \( \square \)

Remark 3.2. In the previous proof, we used the Plücker formula for holomorphic sections with monodromy whereas in [6] this formula is only proven for holomorphic sections without monodromy. To allow for monodromy, we adapt the proof in [6] to our situation by replacing the trivial connection with a flat connection.

From the previous lemma, we see that \( V \) admits a \( \nabla_\mu \)-parallel complex line subbundle \( U_\mu \subset V \). For \( |\mu| = 1 \) the connection \( \nabla_\mu \) is quaternionic and thus we obtain a \( \nabla_\mu \)-parallel quaternionic line subbundle.

Lemma 3.3. Let \( V \) be a rank 2 quaternionic vector bundle over a torus \( T^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\Gamma \) with flat connection \( \nabla \). Assume that the monodromy representation \( H : \Gamma \to \Gamma(\text{GL}(V)) \) of \( \nabla \) has 1 as its only eigenvalue.

Then there exists a parallel quaternionic line subbundle \( U \subset V \) on which \( \nabla \) is trivial. If we denote by \( R := \text{Hom}(V/U, U) \), then

\[
R := H - \text{Id} : \Gamma \to \Gamma(R)
\]

is a translational representation. Moreover, there exists \( \omega \in \Omega^1(R) \) of the form \( \omega = B_1dx + B_2dy \) with \( B_i \in \Gamma(R) \) parallel with respect to \( \nabla \), such that \( \nabla + \omega \) is a trivial connection.

Proof. Since 1 is an eigenvalue of \( H \) there exists \( \psi \in \Gamma(V) \) with \( H\psi = \psi \). But \( \psi \) is nowhere vanishing and thus spans a parallel quaternionic line subbundle \( U \) on which \( \nabla \) is trivial, i.e., \( R|_U = 0 \). On the other hand, the characteristic polynomial of \( R \) is \( X^4 \)
From our assumptions, we see that \( \omega \) then the latter is equivalent to complementary \( \bar{\omega} \) holomorphic section, i.e., \( \text{complementary } \bar{\omega} \text{holomorphic section of } \nabla \). Let \( A \) be the quaternionic line subbundle on which \( \bar{A} \) is parallel with respect to \( \nabla + \omega \) and has no monodromy.

**Proof.** Let \( U_1 = U \subset V \) be the quaternionic line subbundle on which \( \nabla \) is trivial. Since \( U \subset \ker \omega \) and \( \bar{\nabla} = \nabla + \omega \), we see that \( U_1 \subset V \) is also \( \bar{\nabla} \)-trivial. Let \( U_2 \subset V \) be a complementary \( \bar{\nabla} \)-trivial subbundle so that \( V = U_1 \oplus U_2 \). If \( \varphi = \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \in \Gamma(V) \) is a holomorphic section, i.e.,

\[
\nabla^{(0,1)} \varphi = \bar{\partial}_0 \varphi - \omega^{(0,1)} \varphi = 0,
\]

then the latter is equivalent to

\[
\bar{\partial}_0 \varphi_1 = \omega^{(0,1)} \varphi_2, \quad \bar{\partial}_0 \varphi_2 = 0.
\]

From our assumptions, we see that \( \omega^{(0,1)} = Bdz \) where \( B = \frac{1}{2}(B_1 - IB_2) \) is parallel with respect to \( \nabla \), and hence also with respect to \( \bar{\nabla} \). Therefore, \( \varphi_2 \) is \( \bar{\nabla} \)-parallel and \( \bar{\partial}_0 \varphi_1 = B \varphi_2 dz \). This implies that \( \varphi_1 \) is harmonic on the torus \( T^2 \) and thus \( \bar{\nabla} \)-parallel. If \( \omega \neq 0 \) then \( B \varphi_2 = 0 \) shows that \( \varphi_2 = 0 \). Since \( \bar{\nabla} = \nabla + \omega \), we see that \( \varphi \) is \( \nabla \)-parallel.

**Remark 3.5.** The flat connections \( \nabla_\mu \) for \( |\mu| = 1 \) are quaternionic. Thus, applying the previous corollary to the flat connections \( \nabla_\mu \) for \( |\mu| = 1 \), we see that the number of holomorphic sections of \( \nabla_\mu^{(0,1)} \) is either 4 or 2, depending on whether \( \omega_\mu = 0 \) or not. Since the dependence on \( \mu \) is holomorphic, this holds also for \( \mu \in \mathbb{C}_* \). Therefore every holomorphic section of \( \nabla_\mu^{(0,1)} \) is parallel with respect to \( \nabla_\mu \) for \( \mu \in \mathbb{C}_* \).

### 4. Willmore tori with non–trivial normal bundle

In the previous section we have seen that the monodromy of the associated family of a Willmore torus \( f : T^2 \to S^3 \) with non–trivial normal bundle is either trivial or translational. The former occurs for the twistor projection of a holomorphic curve in \( \mathbb{C}P^3 \) since in this case \( A = 0 \) or \( Q = 0 \). On the other hand, translational monodromy occurs from the
periods around the ends of the associated family of a minimal surface in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) with planar ends. The main result of this paper is that these are in fact the only possibilities:

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( f : T^2 \to S^4 \) be a Willmore torus with non–trivial normal bundle. Then, either \( f \) or \( f^\perp \) is a twistor projection of an elliptic curve in \( \mathbb{CP}^3 \), or \( f \) is an inverted minimal torus in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \).

**Proof.** We may assume that \( A \) and \( Q \) are not identically zero. Due to Theorem 2.1 it suffices to show that under our assumptions \( AQ = 0 \), i.e., that \( f \) admits a dual Willmore surface. Assume this were not the case. Since we are working over a torus, (2.15) shows that \( AQ \) and \( \delta \) have no zeros. In particular, \( A \) and \( Q \) have no zeros and therefore (2.13), (2.14) imply that

\[
\deg \tilde{L} = 3 \deg L, \quad \deg \hat{L} = -\deg L.
\]

We may assume that the normal bundle degree \( v \) of \( f \) is positive. Otherwise we work with the Willmore surface \( f^\perp \). Therefore, (2.8) and (2.9) imply that \( \deg L = \frac{1}{2}v > 0 \) and hence

\[
(4.1) \quad \deg \tilde{L} > 0.
\]

Taking the \((1,0)\)-parts of the complex connections

\[
(4.2) \quad \nabla_\mu = (\nabla - A) + \left( \frac{1 - IS}{2} \mu + \frac{1 + IS}{2} \mu^{-1} \right) A
\]

with respect to the complex structure \( I \), gives the holomorphic family of antiholomorphic structures

\[
\partial_\mu := \nabla_\mu^{(1,0)} = \nabla^{(1,0)} + (\mu - 1) \frac{1 - IS}{2} A
\]

on the complex vector bundle \( V \). Here we have used that \( A^{(1,0)} = \frac{1-\mathbf{1}S}{2} A \).

Even though the holomorphic family of flat connections \( \nabla_\mu \) does not extend into \( \mu = 0 \), the family of antiholomorphic structures \( \partial_\mu \) does. Corollary 3.4 and Remark 3.5 show that every antiholomorphic section is \( \nabla_\mu \)-parallel for \( \mu \neq 0 \) and thus by (3.3) holomorphic with respect to \( \nabla_\mu^\ast = \bar{\partial} + Q. \) In particular, the kernels of \( \partial_\mu \) are all contained in the finite dimensional vector space \( H^0(V, \bar{\partial} + Q) \) of quaternionic holomorphic sections of \( V \). Consider

\[
\partial_\mu : H^0(V, \bar{\partial} + Q) \to \Omega^{(1,0)}(V)
\]

as a holomorphic family of endomorphisms with finite dimensional domain parameterized over \( \mu \in \mathbb{C} \). Then the minimal kernel dimension of \( \partial_\mu \) is generic, and we obtain a complex holomorphic vector bundle \( \mathcal{K} \) of rank 2 or 4 over \( \mathbb{C} \) with \( \mathcal{K}_\mu \subseteq \ker \partial_\mu \). If \( \psi \) is a holomorphic section of \( \mathcal{K} \), then

\[
\psi(\mu) = \psi_0 + \mu \psi_1 + O(\mu^2)
\]

is parallel with respect to \( \nabla_\mu \) for \( \mu \neq 0 \) and \( \psi_0 \in \mathcal{K}_0 \subset H^0(V, \bar{\partial} + Q) \).

Recall the decomposition \( V = V_+ \oplus V_- \) into the \( \pm i \) eigenspaces \( V_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 \mp IS)V \) of \( S \). Since \( (1 \pm IS)A = A(1 \mp IS) \), we obtain

\[
\nabla_\mu^\ast \psi_\mu = \mu^{-1} A \psi_0^+ + (\nabla - A) \psi_0 + A \psi_1^+ + O(\mu) = 0
\]

for \( \mu \in \mathbb{C}^\ast \). Comparing coefficients at powers of \( \mu \) gives

\[
A \psi_0^+ = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\nabla - A) \psi_0 + A \psi_1^+ = 0.
\]
Finally, taking \((1, 0)\) and \((0, 1)\)-parts with respect to the complex structure \(S\), we arrive at

\[
A\psi_0^+ = 0, \quad \partial_\psi_0^+ = 0, \quad \partial_\psi_0^- + A\psi_1^+ = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad (\tilde{\partial} + Q)\psi_0 = 0,
\]

where we again used the direct sum decomposition \(V = V_+ \oplus V_-\). The first two equations of (4.3) imply that \(\psi_0^+\) is an antiholomorphic section of the complex line bundle \(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\), where \(\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \ker A\) is the forward Bäcklund transform \((2.11)\) of \(f\). But \(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\) has positive degree by (4.1) and therefore \(\psi_0^+ = 0\). Decomposition of the last equation in (4.3) according to \(V = V_+ \oplus V_-\) gives

\[
\tilde{\partial}\psi_0^- = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Q\psi_0^- = 0.
\]

We now recall that \(L = \ker Q\) so that \(\psi_0^- \in \Gamma(L)\) is a section of \(L\) which, by (1.3), satisfies

\[
\nabla\psi_0^- = A(\psi_0^- - \psi_1^+).
\]

Since \(A\) has image in \(L\) and \(\psi_0^-\) can be chosen not identically zero, this implies that \(L \subset V\) is \(\nabla\)-parallel, i.e., that \(f\) is constant. \(\square\)

References

1. M. Babich and A. Bobenko, Willmore tori with umbilic lines and minimal surfaces in hyperbolic space, Duke Math. Journal 72 (1993), 151–185.
2. R. L. Bryant, A duality theorem for Willmore surfaces, J. Diff. Geom. 20 (1984), 23–53.
3. F. Burstall, D. Ferus, K. Leschke, F. Pedit, and U. Pinkall, Conformal geometry of surfaces in \(S^4\) and quaternions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2002.
4. J. Eells and J. C. Wood, Harmonic maps from surfaces into projective spaces, Adv. in Math. 49 (1983), 217–263.
5. N. Ejiri, Willmore surfaces with a duality in \(S^n(1)\), Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., III Ser. 57, No.2 (1988), 383–416.
6. D. Ferus, K. Leschke, F. Pedit, and U. Pinkall, Quaternionic holomorphic geometry: Plücker formula, Dirac eigenvalue estimates and energy estimates of harmonic 2-tori, Invent. math., Vol. 146 (2001), 507–593.
7. D. Ferus and F. Pedit, \(S^1\)-equivariant minimal tori in \(S^4\) and \(S^1\)-equivariant Willmore tori in \(S^3\), Math. Z. 204 (1990), 269–282.
8. D. Ferus, F. Pedit, U. Pinkall, and I. Sterling, Minimal tori in \(S^4\), J. reine angew. Math. 429 (1992), 1–47.
9. S. Montiel, Willmore two-spheres in the four sphere, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 352 (2000), 4449–4486.
10. U. Pinkall, Hopf tori in \(S^3\), Invent. Math. 81 (1985), no. 2, 379–386.
11. M. Schmidt, A proof of the Willmore conjecture, http://arXiv.org/abs/math.DG/0203224, 2002.
12. T. J. Willmore, Curvature of closed surfaces in \(\mathbb{R}^n\), Acta II Coloq. Int. Geom. Diferencial, Santiago Compostela (1968), 7–9.