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Abstract: The present study shows the effects of environmental conditions (atmospheric temperature, pressure and relative humidity) due to altitude changes on performance, fuel consumption and emissions in a naturally aspirated diesel engine. Due to changes in altitude, the atmospheric conditions are altered, mainly the air density, associated to hydrostatic pressure, temperature profile and humidity and relative nitrogen/oxygen ratio, thus modifying the engine intake conditions. The study considers changes in altitude from sea level to 2500 m above sea level, which are representative of the orographic conditions in Ecuador. As a main part of this research, a parametric study of variation of atmospheric temperature, pressure and relative humidity is carried out in AVL BOOST™, showing the effects on mean effective pressure, fuel consumption and specific pollutant emissions (CO₂, NOx, CO and soot). The study considers effects at regional level (change from an altitude to another) and local level (changes in the atmospheric conditions due to local anticyclone or storm, temperature and humidity). The quantitative effects are expressed in the form of sensitivity coefficients, e.g., relative change in an engine output variable due to the change in atmospheric pressure, temperature or humidity. In addition, several global correlations have been obtained to provide analytical expressions to summarize all results obtained, showing the separate effect of pressure and temperature on each engine performance variable.
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1. Introduction

Within the technological development in the automotive industry, the evaluation of energy efficiency in reciprocating internal combustion engines (ICE) has always established new monitoring guidelines towards a common goal: to establish new methodologies for the reduction in the consumption of fossil fuels, being these elements non-renewable, as well as the reduction in the emission of polluting gases, greenhouse gases and particles from this thermal process [1].

Even though the feasibility of electrified powertrains in medium-duty and heavy-duty busses and trucks, both for passengers and freight, will be ready in the near future, nowadays, the diesel engine still maintains its hegemony over the rest of configurations, mainly due to the low production costs of fuel, as well as the continuous improvements in terms of performance, fuel consumption and pollutant emissions.

Currently, the scientific community, builders and designers follow three main lines to achieve these objectives [2]:

- Establish methodologies that improve energy efficiency and the performance of existing propulsion systems.
• Establish a transition towards the use of fuels with lower carbon content (natural gas, hydrogen, renewable fuels and electricity).

• Develop technologies for capturing and transforming the gases resulting from the combustion process into products with a less harmful effect on nature (catalysts, NOx reduction systems, particle filters).

Following the first line of action, for the establishment of methodologies to improve the efficiency and performance on thermal machines, it is important to analyze the influence of all the elements that participate in the combustion process: the technical and technological configuration of the engine (naturally aspirated or supercharged), the qualitative characteristics of the fuel and the environmental conditions (atmospheric pressure, temperature and humidity) in which the whole phenomenon occurs.

Thus, the increase in the altitude at which the engine of a vehicle works, with the consequent reduction in pressure and atmospheric temperature, has an effect on the air composition and density, and consequently, on the performance of diesel reciprocating combustion engines, being this effect more important in naturally aspirated technologies [3]. Within their research on the effect of environmental conditions on operation [4], the authors establish the need to use the atmospheric hydrostatic equation, based on considering a certain temperature profile (isothermal or triangular), as a way of estimating the composition of the air, thus predicting the molar fraction of oxygen and nitrogen in the air, and thus estimating the air density at each of the points.

Based on this difference in the composition of the air, the explanation about the decrease in performance of an ICE, due to the variation in altitude, focuses, on the one hand, on the change in the ratio between the amounts of air and fuel that enter the combustion chamber (i.e., the operating fuel-air ratio) and, on the other hand, both in the variation of the volumetric efficiency, as the capability of the reciprocating engine to renew the air mass in the cylinder, as well as in the indicated performance, since, in these conditions, the pressure in the cylinder is lower throughout the entire engine cycle. This decrease in indicated performance implies that the weight of mechanical losses increases over the decreased indicated power, and, therefore, the relative loss of effective power is even greater than the indicated power.

The increase in altitude notably decreases the indicated power, and therefore, the effective power, also causing an extension of the delay period to ignition, an increase in the maximum heat release rate and a reduction in the combustion duration throughout the range of engine speed [3]. This effect is minimized in the case of supercharged engines [5]. The decrease in oxygen in the air translates into a higher specific fuel consumption BSFC of the engine, which in vehicle terms, means an increase in fuel consumption referred to the distance traveled (L/100km).

In the case of emissions, the altitude influences on certain characteristic parameters in the diesel combustion process, such as the adiabatic temperature of the flame, the delay time and the lift-off length, both in naturally aspirated and supercharged engines, whose effect on NOx emissions, for example, represented a decrease of around 11% for altitudes of 1850 MASL (meters above sea level) in natural aspiration, and of 2% for altitudes between 1600 and 2160 MASL in supercharged configurations [4]. According to [6], NOx is formed in the combustion processes in such a way that its composition depends on the maximum combustion temperature and its temporal evolution, the combustion pressure and the oxygen concentration. These effects of altitude on NOx emissions depend on different variables [7]. Due to the increase in altitude, the maximum temperature in the cylinders decreases, because the maximum pressure in the cylinder also decreases, caused by a lower pressure at the intake valve closing.

Regarding the formation of carbon monoxide (CO) and unburned hydrocarbons (HCs), the dependence on environmental factors is due to changes in temperature and pressure that have been generated in the combustion chamber. In this way, with the increase in altitude, the internal pressure of the cylinder decreases, which causes an increase in jet-to-wall impingements, as the main phenomenon of generation of the aforementioned
Finally, the soot formation is well known as the result of an incomplete combustion process, generally accentuated at higher altitude, having as a main effect on this environmental condition a greater delay in self-ignition, which would cause a shorter delayed combustion phase.

Other researchers have analyzed the effect on emissions in greater detail, considering two operating conditions when the engine works at a high latitude, and the amount of air is reduced: if the amount of injected fuel is reduced in order to maintain the fuel-air ratio, or if the amount of fuel remains constant or even increases (to compensate the internal efficiency loss).

To evaluate these effects due to the variation of the environmental characteristics in the combustion process in diesel engines, methodologies based on computational mathematical models or experimental models can be followed. The computational models for the complete estimation of the performance characteristics, fuel consumption and emissions of polluting gases in ICE, such as the AVL BOOST™ software package, provide a very complete, economical and intuitive interface with quite exact results.

Other works use computational models to predict diesel engines behavior, such as those specified in [8–10], focusing on improving engine performance taking into account environmental condition changes.

These simulation methodologies are an option to choose, especially in countries with less access to advanced measurement technology (to acquire data either in engine laboratory or in driving conditions). Experimental methodologies, even having the advantage of directly evaluating the results on the physical motor, have the disadvantage of being very expensive, taking too much time in preparation and calibration, as well as the difficulty to obtain explicit cause and effect relationships from the results.

Thus, applying computational mathematical models, an investigation conducted by [11] analyzed a diesel system for naturally aspirated engines, with a range of analysis between 0 MASL and 4500 MASL, in different operating regimes, verifying a reduction of 5–10% in the generated power, also verifying the proportional improvement of the system (approximately 24%) with the use of turbocharged systems. On the other hand, it was estimated the behavior of a diesel engine under various conditions of temperature and ambient pressure, using both diesel and ethanol and methanol in the combustion process, showing a reduction in the in-cylinder pressure when the temperature in the intake manifold increases [12]. These authors concluded that, in the case of ethanol, the highest combustion pressure (174.8 bar) occurs at an ambient temperature of 30 °C, while with the use of diesel as fuel, a lower pressure peak would occur (103.9 bar) at an ambient temperature of 50 °C.

Experimentally, the effect of environmental conditions on the diesel combustion process has been extensively studied. Thus, the effects of the increase in altitude in heavy-duty diesel engines were verified, both for atmospheric pressures recorded in Detroit (989 hPa) and for two simulated altitudes in Denver (826 hPa) and Mexico City (779 hPa), under constant fuel conditions controlled by DDEC II [13]. The results showed that at full load, the torque decreases slightly with increasing altitude, while in the field of emissions of HC, CO and PM, there is a growth in these emissions where, for example, the emissions of CO increased by 60%, and that of PM by 47% when it went from 989 hPa to 779 hPa of atmospheric pressure.

Meanwhile, focusing mainly on naturally aspirated diesel engines, a 10% decrease in NOx was visualized in a 1980 Caterpillar 3208 engine increasing altitude from 1800 MASL to 3050 MASL [14]. In parallel, it was denoted an increase in HC, CO and smoke emissions in these experimental conditions, while [15] found a 40% increase in the emission of soot when passing from 350 MASL to 975 MASL, mainly due to the decrease in the density of the air in the intake, causing incomplete combustion in direct injection diesel engines. In addition, an experimental chamber was described for testing engines with the capability of reproducing the ambient pressure and temperature under high altitude conditions (up to
7000 MASL) [16,17]. The engine tests can be in stationary conditions and also simulate the dynamic behavior in a vehicle driving cycle.

Currently, the introduction of turbocharged systems has represented a substantial improvement, both in the increase in performance and the reduction in fuel consumption and emissions, but even these engines are not free from experiencing the effects of the variation of environmental conditions. Thus, for example, it was analyzed the behavior of a turbocharged diesel engine, comparing it at altitude ranges between 150 MASL and 3000 MASL [17]. They showed that the dynamic behavior of a variable geometry turbine during the EDC driving cycle generates high pressure peaks in the exhaust manifold that, added to the low charge pressures at the intake, reduce the indicated efficiency with the consequent increase in BSFC and emissions. The performance of these systems when they experiment altitude variations are limited due to three main factors: the pressure limits in the cylinder, the average speed of the turbine and the temperature reached at the exhaust [11], in addition to the functional design limits of the diesel engine [18].

Another important factor to consider is the engine load and the regime, as analyzed by [18,19], who stated that as the altitude increases, the emission of HC, CO, NOx and smoke are increased in a diesel engine, especially at speeds of 2000 rpm when, for example, under special engine operating conditions (full load and low rpm), the reduction in HC and NOx emissions can be observed at high altitudes, or higher efficiency conditions observed at higher fuel injection temperatures and decreased ignition delay time.

On the other hand, research on the behavior of the type of fuel under these environmental conditions provides a complementary perspective to the analysis of performance, fuel consumption and emissions that is equally interesting. In this way, it was observed that in diesel engines using biodiesel and diesel with ultra-low sulfur concentration (ULSC), the pressure drop in the intake manifold has a negative impact not only on efficiency and power delivered, but also on emissions, where small differences were verified by the properties of each fuel [20]. In the case of using methanol, ethanol and conventional diesel at 30 °C, it was observed that since methanol has a much higher vapor pressure compared to the other two fuels, the different properties of the fuel lead to different behaviors in the combustion process according to the environmental conditions in which it is carried out [12].

Finally, another atmospheric variable to study, although its participation in the combustion phenomenon is lower, is the environmental humidity. The state of the art in this case follows different trends since, for example, researchers such as [3] prefer not to address this effect since the humidity correction is usually incorporated in the pressure term of the equation, subtracting from this the pressure of atmosphere water vapor to calculate the amount of air present. There are investigations that describe in a more extended way the participation of humidity in the combustion process. The authors of [21] showed results with a reduction in NOx, as well as a lower amount of PM at various levels of humidity in the air, and an increase in CO in the same conditions. It should be noted that these results always demonstrated an effect of humidity lower than those of ambient temperature and pressure, which are much more noticeable.

The individual influence of each of the environmental factors studied is presented through a series of sensitivity coefficients, based on both absolute values and relative percentages, regarding variation in atmospheric temperature (°C), pressure (bar) and relative humidity (%). In a first stage of development, the performance and fuel consumption are characterized under reference conditions, provided by the manufacturer, to subsequently visualize its behavior under the environmental conditions typical of each of the cities.

The parameterization of the individual effect of each of these factors is of great relevance by means of two levels of analysis: regional and local. In the regional analysis, the effect of altitude on engine performance is considered when atmospheric pressure decreases from 1012.8 hPa (Guayaquil, 13 MASL) to 813.2 hPa (Ambato, 2500 MASL), while the associated average temperature varies from 26.5 °C to 12.5 °C, respectively. In the local analysis, alterations of the local reference conditions at each city are considered due to the
presence of anticyclone (local increase in atmospheric pressure) and storm (local reduction in atmospheric pressure), together with variations in temperature (in intervals of 20 °C from 0 °C to 40 °C) and relative humidity (0% (dry air), 40%, 60% and 100%).

Furthermore, analytical expressions are obtained of the individual effect of atmospheric pressure and temperature on the performance variables and pollutant emissions. These analytical expressions are statistical correlations, in the form of power laws of pressure and temperature, built from the results provided by the simulation code when the input ambient conditions are changed. CO₂ emissions are derived from the fuel consumption results. Changes in these greenhouse emissions due to the use of different fuels are accounted for by the emission factor of each fuel, including renewable ones.

In summary, this work is aimed to express in a quantitative form the influence of the environmental conditions associated with altitude changes on performance engine variables (BMEP, BSFC) and emissions (CO₂, NOx, CO and Soot). The work is systematic in the approach and complete in the sense of providing sensitivity coefficients for each output variable associated with changes in pressure, temperature and air humidity conditions. These conditions respond to an extensive parametric study that covers the changes in pressure and temperature from a city at sea level to a city at 2500 MASL (regional variation). Moreover, local changes in pressure and temperature at each city are also considered to evaluate the effects of a local increase in pressure (anticyclone) and similarly, a local decrease in pressure (storm). As comprehensive results of computing all operative conditions, correlations in the form of power laws summarize the quantitative effects on each engine output variable, both performance (BMEP, BSFC) and emissions, as a first approximation of engine behavior when altitude is modified.

2. General Concepts

In this initial stage of the research, as a starting point, it is important to write the reaction of the combustion process in an ICE in a general way, such as the chemical oxidation reaction of substances of the general formula \( \text{C}_n\text{H}_m\text{O}_p\text{S}_u \), with the participation of air (composed of oxygen \( \text{O}_2 \), nitrogen \( \text{N}_2 \) and other gases in less quantity), both in the absence of environmental humidity (dry air) and in its presence (humid air) [22]. The result of the reaction is a mixture of products, mainly substances in gaseous form (\( \text{CO}_2 \), \( \text{CO} \), \( \text{SO}_2 \), \( \text{O}_2 \text{sec} \), \( \text{NO}_x \), \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \)), in addition to substances in the form of solid particles (soot) (Figure 1).
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In this way, it is essential to formulate the complete combustion chemical reaction, starting from the premise that both the amount of oxygen and nitrogen, as well as the humidity of the air, are variables dependent on altitude and environmental conditions. For a better understanding of the effect of these conditions, initially, the impact of the composition of the dry air is presented, and secondly, the effect of humidity (water vapor) is also considered.
2.1. Effect of Altitude on the Composition of Dry Air

According to [22], when combustion is carried out at altitudes above sea level, the properties of solid and liquid fuels practically do not suffer effects, but the properties of air do suffer a significant variation. As a first approximation, it is proposed to consider combustion with dry air, not including ambient humidity, establishing the effect of altitude on the composition of the air.

As the altitude increases above sea level (expressed as MASL, meters above sea level), the air pressure decreases due to the reduction in the weight of the air column that it supports, resulting in a lower air density, but also a change in the relative ratio between oxygen and nitrogen. In addition, the temperature is generally reduced, especially if large variations in altitude are considered, although this variation needs to be considered in more detail.

To analyze the relationship between atmospheric pressure and temperature as altitude varies, it is common to consider different thermal profiles of the column of air. For example, if this relationship were examined assuming that the temperature is uniform with varying altitude (isothermal column), the resulting atmospheric pressure $P$ and the composition of air $X_i$, for both oxygen and nitrogen, turn out to be exponential functions of the altitude $z$, given by the following expressions:

$$ P_z = P_{ref} e^{-\frac{g z}{RaT}} $$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$ X_i_z = X_{i ref} e^{-\frac{g z}{R_a T_a} (PM_i - PM_a)} $$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

A second alternative is considering that the temperature decreases linearly with increasing altitude, with a constant thermal gradient, according to $dT = K_T dz$, where $K_T$ is negative (typical value of $K_T = -7.41 \times 10^{-3} \text{K m}$), which gives rise to a triangular temperature profile of the air column. Even though it is not an exact model, it does allow a better reproduction of the behavior of the real atmosphere. In this way, the calculation of the atmospheric pressure at a given altitude and the molar fraction of oxygen and nitrogen are given by potential dependencies of temperature, which in turn, decreases linearly with altitude, through the following formulas:

$$ T_z = T_{ref} + K_T (z - z_{ref}) $$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$ P_z = P_{ref} \left( \frac{T_z}{T_{ref}} \right)^{-\frac{g}{R_a T_a}} e^{\frac{g}{R_a T_a} (PM_i - PM_a)} $$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$ X_i_z = X_{i ref} \left( \frac{T_z}{T_{ref}} \right)^{-\frac{g}{R_a T_a} (PM_i - PM_a)} $$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Please note that in the expressions, a difference is established between the universal gas constant and the particular value of gas constant for air $R_a$ (slightly depending on altitude).

Since the molar weight of oxygen is greater than the molar weight of air, while the molar weight of nitrogen is lower, both in Equation (2) and in Equation (5), the exponent is negative for oxygen and positive for nitrogen. Consequently, the mole fractions of oxygen and nitrogen change with altitude in the opposite way, so the ratio between the amount of nitrogen and oxygen in the air depends on the altitude. To generally consider the effect of ambient conditions on the combustion process, the following ratio $\psi$ will be used:

$$ \psi = \frac{X_{N_2}}{X_{O_2}} $$  \hspace{1cm} (6)
Taking into account the two possibilities for the dependence of temperature with altitude, Equation (2) for an isothermal profile and Equation (5) for a triangular profile with a thermal gradient \(dT = K_T dz\), the ratio \(\psi\) can be expressed analogously as developed before for atmospheric pressure:

\[
\psi_z = \psi_{ref} e^{-\frac{\Delta T}{T}} (PMN_2 - PMO_2) \tag{7}
\]

\[
\psi_z = \psi_{ref} \left( \frac{T_z}{T_{ref}} \right) - \frac{\Delta T}{T} (PMN_2 - PMO_2) \tag{8}
\]

As indicated, the difference between the molar weights of nitrogen and oxygen is negative and as a consequence, from both Equations (7) and (8), it is obtained that \(\psi\) increases with altitude.

Taking into consideration the dependence of the ratio \(\psi\) on the combustion reaction, a parameter \(\sigma_a\) is defined according to Equation (9):

\[
\sigma_a = \frac{1}{PM_{O_2} + PM_{N_2} \psi} = \frac{1}{32 + 28 \psi} \tag{9}
\]

Furthermore, considering air as an ideal gas, the density of dry air (without humidity) at each altitude can be calculated as:

\[
\rho_{a, dry, z} = \frac{P_z}{R_a, z T_z} \tag{10}
\]

### 2.2. Effect of Altitude on the Composition of Humid Air

For a better approach to the influence of ambient conditions, it is necessary to add the participation of environmental humidity, based on expressions formulated from both relative humidity, as well as absolute humidity [23].

Air absolute humidity is defined as the ratio between the amount of present water vapor and the amount of dry air:

\[
\omega = \frac{m_{H_2O}}{m_{a, dry}} \tag{11}
\]

It is usual to consider the relative humidity \(\phi\), defined as the ratio between the vapor pressure of the water contained in a certain volume of air and the saturation pressure at that temperature, which is only a function of temperature:

\[
\phi = \frac{P_{vap}}{P_{sat}(t)} \tag{12}
\]

Although there are various expressions proposed by different authors, as indicated in [23], the saturation pressure (kPa) of humid air can be expressed as an exponential function of the ambient temperature (°C), based on the following expression valid between 0 and 150 °C:

\[
P_{sat} = \exp\left(\frac{16.461 - 114.86}{T} + 231.67\right) \tag{13}
\]

Both expressions of humidity are related to each other, as can be seen in Equation (14):

\[
\omega = \frac{m_{H_2O}}{m_{a, dry}} = \frac{PM_{H_2O}}{PM_a} * \frac{\phi P_{sat}}{P - \phi P_{sat}} \tag{14}
\]

As can be seen in Equation (14), since the maximum value of \(\phi\) is one, it turns out that the maximum value of the absolute humidity \(\omega_{max}\) is strongly determined by temperature (through \(P_{sat}\)), and to a lesser extent, by ambient pressure \(P\). Therefore, as the temperature decreases, the value of \(\omega_{max}\) is strongly reduced.
To quantitatively introduce the influence of humidity in the combustion process, a parameter \( \delta_h \) is defined as the ratio between the mass of dry air and that of humid air, whose expression is given by:

\[
\delta_h = \frac{m_{a,dry}}{m_{a,hum}} = \frac{m_{a,dry}}{m_{a,dry} + m_{H_2O}} = \frac{1}{1 + \omega} < 1
\]  

(15)

As a consequence of the presence of environmental humidity, the value of air density is reduced because the molar weight of water is lower than that of oxygen and nitrogen. The ratio between the number of moles of water vapor and of dry air, which can be called molar pseudo-fraction of water vapor, can be written as:

\[
X_{H_2O,a,dry} = \frac{n_{H_2O}}{n_{a,dry}} = \frac{m_{H_2O}}{m_{a,dry}} = \omega \frac{PM_{a,dry}}{PM_{H_2O}}
\]  

(16)

A convenient way to represent the influence of humidity on air density is by a correction factor \( K_{hum} \) of density of dry air, defined as the ratio between the molar weight of humid air and the molar weight of dry air, considering absolute humidity, as given in Equations (17)–(19):

\[
PM_{a,hum} = \frac{m_{a,dry} + m_{H_2O}}{n_{a,dry} + n_{H_2O}} = \frac{m_{a,dry}(1 + \omega)}{m_{a,dry}\left(\frac{1}{PM_{a,dry}} + \frac{\omega}{PM_{H_2O}}\right)} = \frac{1 + \omega}{\frac{1}{PM_{a,dry}} + \frac{\omega}{PM_{H_2O}}}
\]  

(17)

\[
PM_{a,hum} = PM_{a,dry} \times \frac{1 + \omega}{1 + \omega \frac{PM_{a,dry}}{PM_{H_2O}}}
\]  

(18)

\[
K_{hum} = \frac{1 + \omega}{1 + \omega \frac{PM_{a,dry}}{PM_{H_2O}}} < 1
\]  

(19)

\[
\rho_{a,hum,z} = \left(\frac{P_a}{R_a z T_z}\right) \times K_{hum,z} = \rho_{a,dry,z} \times K_{hum,z}
\]  

(19)

The humid air density becomes depending on altitude through the corresponding dependence of all variables appearing in Equation (19).

2.3. Effect of Altitude on Stoichiometric Fuel-Air Ratio and CO₂ Emissions

After considering the influence of the ambient conditions on the air composition, as a consequence of altitude (through \( \psi \)) and humidity (through \( X_{H_2O} \)), and assuming an invariable fuel composition, the general expression that would represent a complete combustion, for a lean mixture with air excess (that is, an equivalence ratio \( F_r \) less than one), is expressed by:

\[
C_n H_m O_p S_u + \frac{1}{r} \left(n + \frac{p}{4} + u - \frac{n}{2}\right) (O_2 + \psi N_2) \left[1 + \frac{X_{H_2O}}{\frac{1}{r} + \frac{\omega}{PM_{H_2O}}} H_2O\right] = nCO_2 + (\frac{u}{2} + X_{H_2O}) H_2O + uSO_2 + \psi \frac{1}{r} \left(n + \frac{p}{4} + u - \frac{n}{2}\right) N_2 + \left(1 - \frac{P_a}{P_{a,hum}}\right) \left(n + \frac{p}{4} + u - \frac{n}{2}\right) O_2
\]  

(20)

It is obvious from this reaction that the air composition change due to altitude modifies the stoichiometric fuel-air ratio, causing mismatches in the fuel-air ratio [4]. A general expression of the stoichiometric fuel-air ratio (fuel mass over air mass) of a fuel with a general formula \( C_n H_m O_p S_u \), considering Equation (9) for the air composition molar mass and Equation (15) for the humidity correction, provides Equation (21):

\[
F_{sl} = \frac{12n + m + 16p + 32u}{n + \frac{p}{4} - \frac{n}{2} + u} \times \frac{1}{32 + 28\psi} \times \frac{1}{1 + \omega}
\]  

(21)
Subsequently, from Equation (21), a general formal expression can be established that allows us to identify how the stoichiometric fuel-air ratio depends on the product of three factors: one dependent on the fuel composition ($\beta_f$), another on the air composition ($\sigma_a$) and a third dependent on the air specific humidity ($\delta_h$), as can be seen in Equation (22).

$$F_{st} = \beta_f(fuel) \cdot \sigma_a(air) \cdot \delta_h(humidity)$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

This expression for $F_{st}$ must be considered when establishing the input conditions of the simulation code of engine performance, as described in the following paragraphs.

Finally, the CO$_2$ emission factor of a general fuel $C_nH_mO_pS_u$ can be expressed as:

$$e_{CO_2} \left( \frac{kg CO_2}{kg fuel} \right) = (1 - \epsilon_{ren}) \frac{m_{CO_2}}{m_f} = (1 - \epsilon_{ren}) \frac{44}{(12 + \frac{m}{n} + 16 \frac{p}{n} + 32 \frac{u}{n})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

where $\epsilon_{ren}$ is a coefficient representing the degree of renewability of the fuel, being 0 for fossil fuels and 1 for a fully renewable fuel. The second factor of the right-hand side of Equation (23) represents the dependence of the emission factor on the fuel composition through the ratios $m/n$, $p/n$ and $u/n$. Once the CO$_2$ emissions of a reference fuel in an engine are known, the CO$_2$ emissions for a different fuel can be extrapolated (assuming in a first approximation that combustion efficiency remains similar) by scaling with the ratio of emission factors.

3. Subject and Research Methods

For the development of this work, a computational model has been used included in the AVL BOOST™ software package. The procedure requires, on the one hand, the introduction of the design values of the ADE360N Diesel engine provided by the manufacturer, and on the other hand, meteorological information registered by the National Institute of Meteorology and Hydrology of Ecuador to account for the reference atmospheric conditions at several altitudes.

3.1. ADE360N Engine Simulation Model Using AVL BOOST™

The engine considered for the analysis of the influence of environmental conditions on its operating cycle is a naturally aspirated Mercedes Benz ADE 360N Series Engine, 6 cylinders in-line and a displacement of 5.958 L.

The main technical specifications of the motor are listed in Table 1, while the connection model, flow direction and mechanical operation in the code are presented in Figure 2.

Table 1. Technical information—ADE 360N Series Engine.

| Parameter                  | Value                        |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Bore                       | 97.5 mm                      |
| Stroke                     | 133 mm                       |
| No. of cylinders           | 6                            |
| Cubic Capacity             | 5.958 l                      |
| Compression Ratio          | 17.25:1                      |
| Firing Order               | 1–5–3–6–2–4                 |
| Combustion system          | Direct Injection             |
| Cycle                      | 4 stroke                     |
| Maximum Torque *           | 402 Nm @ 1400 r/min          |
| Output kW *                | 100 kW @ 2800 r/min          |

* Source: Engine Repair Manual ADE 360 Series. Atlantis Diesel Engines (PTY) LTD.
A naturally aspirated diesel engine has been selected, without the use of an exhaust post-treatment system, as it is representative of most of the interurban passenger transport buses in Ecuador and other Latin American countries, as well. Due to the naturally aspirated characteristics of the engine, a high dependence of performance on altitude and ambient conditions can be expected.

### 3.2. Orographic Configuration of the Selected Cities of Ecuador

Environmental conditions have a great impact on transport performance within countries, such as those located in Latin America. Ecuador, in addition to other countries located in the area of the Andes Mountains in South America, has a very typical characteristic that distinguishes it from other countries in the world: a clear difference in altitude, temperature and humidity at different points on its national map, with consequences for the performance, consumption and emissions of polluting gases in the reciprocating internal combustion engines used in vehicles.

Continental Ecuador, being divided into three main regions (Coast, Sierra and Amazon region), has all the characteristics to exemplify the influence of environmental conditions in various types of configurations according to the city to be analyzed. To carry out the research, five representative cities were considered: Guayaquil (4 MASL), Tena (598 MASL), Santo Domingo de los Tsáchilas (1000 MASL), Santa Isabel (1641 MASL), Baños de Agua Santa (1815 MASL) and Ambato (2500 MASL). The altitudes and reference environmental characteristics of these cities are presented in Table 2.

**Table 2. Average environmental characteristics of the selected cities of Ecuador.**

| City                  | z (MASL) | P (bar) | T (°C) | φ (%) | ρ_a.dry (kg/m³) |
|-----------------------|----------|---------|--------|-------|-----------------|
| Guayaquil             | 4        | 1.0128  | 26.5   | 77    | 1.172           |
| Tena                  | 598      | 0.9716  | 23.8   | 87    | 1.135           |
| Santo Domingo T.      | 1000     | 0.9537  | 22.6   | 87    | 1.118           |
| Santa Isabel          | 1641     | 0.9332  | 21.2   | 87    | 1.099           |
| Baños Agua Santa      | 1815     | 0.8356  | 14.2   | 93    | 1.008           |
| Ambato                | 2500     | 0.8132  | 12.5   | 75    | 0.987           |

Based on the real pressure and temperature profile corresponding to the environmental conditions in each of the cities, making use of the expressions in Equations (4)–(6), with a
triangular profile \( K_T = -7.41 \times 10^{-3} \), the values of the relevant variables are calculated for each city for dry air (Table 3) and humid air (Table 4).

### Table 3. Real profile characteristics—dry air.

| City            | \( X_{O_2} \) (mol) | \( X_{N_2} \) (mol) | \( R_a \) (J/kg·K) | \( PM_a \) (g/mol) | \( \psi \) (-) | \( \gamma_a \) (-) |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|
| Guayaquil       | 0.2100               | 0.7900               | 288.30             | 28.84             | 3.762          | 0.00728          |
| Tena            | 0.2090               | 0.7909               | 288.34             | 28.84             | 3.784          | 0.00725          |
| Santo Domingo T.| 0.2086               | 0.7914               | 288.35             | 28.83             | 3.793          | 0.00724          |
| Santa Isabel    | 0.2081               | 0.7919               | 288.37             | 28.83             | 3.805          | 0.00722          |
| Baños de A. Santa| 0.2056              | 0.7944               | 288.47             | 28.82             | 3.863          | 0.00713          |
| Ambato          | 0.2050               | 0.7950               | 288.50             | 28.82             | 3.878          | 0.00711          |

### Table 4. Real profile characteristics—humid air.

| City            | \( P_{sat} \) (bar) | \( \phi \) (%) | \( \omega \) (kg H₂O/kg a.dry) | \( X_{H_2O} \) (g/mol) | \( K_{hum} \) (-) | \( \rho_{a,hum} \) (kg/m³) |
|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Guayaquil       | 0.035               | 0.77            | 0.017                           | 0.027                   | 0.990            | 1.160           |
| Tena            | 0.030               | 0.87            | 0.017                           | 0.027                   | 0.990            | 1.123           |
| Santo Domingo T.| 0.027               | 0.87            | 0.016                           | 0.026                   | 0.991            | 1.108           |
| Santa Isabel    | 0.025               | 0.8             | 0.014                           | 0.022                   | 0.992            | 1.090           |
| Baños de A. Santa| 0.016               | 0.93           | 0.011                           | 0.018                   | 0.993            | 1.001           |
| Ambato          | 0.015               | 0.75            | 0.008                           | 0.014                   | 0.995            | 0.981           |

### 3.3. Model Tuning for Reproduction of the Engine Manufacturer Performance Curves

The methodology developed in this work should be considered as a first order approach to estimate engine performance when environmental conditions associated with altitude change. As a first order, we mean that the AVL BOOST™ model options have been considered to simulate the engine combustion using a double Wiebe Law to impose the Heat Release Rate. This approach has the advantage of relative simplicity and less calculation time, which has made it possible to carry out a very complete parametric study. A higher order approach would require including all the complex relationships associated with the injection process, mixture formation, combustion and pollutant generation that occur in a diesel engine. It should be noted that by varying the altitude from 4 to 2500 MASL, the ambient conditions are significantly modified, so that the lower order effects can be considered secondary.

Within the scope of engine definition, all the relevant information and dimensions are included, i.e., the engine configuration (see Figure 2), the geometric dimensions, as well as the valve timing values associated with the gas exchange processes. From these values, the model can calculate the amount of air admitted in the engine at each operating point (pressure, temperature, humidity, operating conditions), and therefore, the changes in the amount of air per cylinder and cycle when these conditions change.

In relation to the fuel injection system, the relevant information used is the amount of fuel injected per cylinder per cycle, which is calculated from the fuel mass flow rate. The process of injection and formation of an air–fuel mixture inside the cylinder is not modeled, although there is obviously a quantity of fuel that is introduced into the cylinder and determines the total amount of Heat Release in each cycle.

Inside the combustion chamber, a two-zone model is considered so that the temperatures of the two zones are calculated: burned and unburned. Associated with these temperatures, the chemical composition of each zone is calculated to take into account the formation of combustion products and pollutant emissions. As said, the combustion process inside the combustion chamber is simulated by specifying the Rate of Heat Release (ROHR), using a Wiebe’s Law function, with two components, one for premixed combustion and one for diffusion combustion.
For the kinetic production coefficients of NOx, CO and soot, the standard values proposed in the AVL BOOST™ code have been adopted. The model implemented for NOx emissions is the one proposed by [24], taking into account six reactions based on the well-known Zeldovich mechanism. The CO model is based on the proposed by [25] and considers two reactions of CO with OH and O₂. Finally, considering that a two-zone calculation model is selected, then the soot model is based on [26], taking into account two reactions, one for formation and another for oxidation, both governed by kinetic mechanisms. The soot formation is attributed to the combustion rate of the diffusion combustion. The soot oxidation reaction depends on the actual net soot mass in the cylinder and the oxygen availability in the burned zone.

The expressions presented in Section 2 have been used to establish the input conditions related to the air density and composition when the altitude changes, in particular, the ratio between the amount of nitrogen and oxygen, as well as the effect of the relative humidity of the air.

The tuning of the AVL BOOST™ model to the engine was performed considering the engine performance curve provided by the manufacturer. Based on the detailed engine geometry, including the valve timing for intake and exhaust and the operating conditions for homologation, the calibration of the model has been performed, achieving a very good agreement between the values predicted by the model and the manufacturer’s values in terms of performance and thermofluid variables (as can be seen in Table 5). The AVL BOOST™ model also provides the simulated emissions values, although detailed information is not available from the engine manufacturer. Since the objective is to analyze the influence to changes in environmental conditions by means of sensitivity coefficients, this approach has been considered appropriate.

To fine-tune the engine simulation model, a first step to cover is reproducing its performance curves for the conditions set by the manufacturer (0 MASL, 1013.25 hPa, 20 °C and 50% relative humidity). Based on this preliminary objective, a process diagram is established within the simulation in AVL BOOST™, considering three stages: first environmental conditions, ADE360N engine geometrical parameters and fuel properties (heating value, stoichiometric fuel-air ratio F<sub>st</sub>); second operating fuel-air ratio F<sub>r</sub>; and third the combustion processes and combustion law (Figure 3).

From these input data (columns in right part of Table 5), torque, power and BSFC curves are obtained for different engine rpm, as well as the emissions of CO₂ and other pollutants. Additionally, the values of air and fuel mass flow rates (kg/s) are included, since they are derived results that can be obtained by using the Equations (27) and (29) of following paragraphs.

As can be seen in Figure 4, the simulation model provides very similar results with respect to engine manufacturer data, maintaining an approximation error between −3.33% and 0.67% for results in torque simulation. Since these errors are below 5%, the model can be considered tuned to reproduce the engine performance in the reference conditions, as a previous step before its use for the estimation and parameterization of the effect of environmental conditions.

To determine the coherence of the results obtained, a series of characteristic engine efficiencies [27] have been calculated and plotted in Figure 5, such as volumetric efficiency (η<sub>v</sub>), effective efficiency (η<sub>e</sub>), indicated efficiency (η<sub>i</sub>) and mechanical efficiency (η<sub>m</sub>), for each of the rpms studied and considering both dry and humid air (marked with an asterisk). For different calculations, an average equivalence ratio F<sub>r</sub> = 0.667 (lean mixture, F<sub>r</sub> < 1) has been considered. The calculated values are within the expected ranges and have correct trends when varying the engine speed.
Table 5. Comparison of AVL BOOST™ results with engine manufacturer data.

| Regime (rpm) | Torque (N·m) | Power (kW) | ΔTorque (%) | Power (%) | BSFC (g/kWh) | BMEP (bar) | F | F_r * | m_α | m_f |
|--------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|----|--------|------|------|
| 800          | 325.1        | 27.2       | −0.8%       | 32.0      | 266.6        | 0.0465    | 0.6732 | 0.044 | 0.0138 |
| 1000         | 340.1        | 35.6       | −3.3%       | 35.5      | 258.1        | 0.0472    | 0.6834 | 0.055 | 0.0179 |
| 1200         | 360.1        | 45.3       | −1.1%       | 44.8      | 253.0        | 0.0485    | 0.7022 | 0.066 | 0.0219 |
| 1600         | 372.5        | 62.4       | −0.2%       | 62.3      | 247.4        | 0.0493    | 0.7138 | 0.088 | 0.0300 |
| 2000         | 370.2        | 77.5       | 0.7%        | 78.1      | 245.5        | 0.0491    | 0.7109 | 0.110 | 0.0378 |
| 2400         | 356.5        | 89.6       | 0.1%        | 89.7      | 246.5        | 0.0475    | 0.6877 | 0.131 | 0.0448 |
| 2800         | 330.8        | 97.0       | −0.4%       | 96.6      | 249.5        | 0.0449    | 0.6501 | 0.152 | 0.0511 |

* Calculation based on $F_r = 0.0691$, for a diesel fuel equivalent formula $C_{12}H_{22}$.

Figure 3. AVL BOOST™ Simulation Process Diagram for manufacturer reference conditions.

Figure 4. Power and torque curves. Manufacturer—AVL BOOST™.
3.4. Estimation of Input Values to the AVL BOOST™ Model for Different Altitudes

Following the validation of the simulation model, regarding the results under experimental conditions proposed by the manufacturer, it is necessary to establish a methodology to set the input data for the conditions of each of the cities to be studied, as a starting point for the estimations of the brake mean effective pressure BMEP, volumetric efficiency $\eta_v$, the absolute fuel-air ratio $F$, the air mass flow rate $m_a$ and the fuel mass flow rate $m_f$.

As pointed out by [3], in order to relate the engine indicated power at some altitude conditions with the corresponding value at reference conditions, an analytical expression involving the ratios of atmospheric pressure and temperature at altitude $z$ and their associated reference values can be used:

$$\frac{N_i}{N_{i\text{ref}}} = \left(\frac{P_z}{P_{\text{ref}}}\right)^a \left(\frac{T_z}{T_{\text{ref}}}\right)^b \tag{24}$$

Additionally, it is assumed that the same functional dependence of the indicated power can also be applied for the effective power. This consideration is based on two main premises: first, that the indicated power decreases with altitude mainly because the pressure in the cylinder is lower throughout the entire cycle of the engine, and second, that the mechanical losses also experiment a slight reduction with altitude, due to lower in-cylinder pressure. This last reason justifies that many authors assume that the mechanical loss is a constant fraction of the indicated power, which means that the ratio of effective powers is equivalent to the ratio of indicated powers.

Based on this assumption, and considering that in a given engine, for each rpm, the mean effective pressure is proportional to the effective power, then Equation (24) can be expressed as follows:

$$\frac{N_i}{N_{i\text{ref}}} = \frac{BMEP_z}{BMEP_{\text{ref}}} = \left(\frac{P_z}{P_{\text{ref}}}\right)^a \left(\frac{T_z}{T_{\text{ref}}}\right)^b \tag{25}$$

In the scientific literature, the values of the exponents $a$ and $b$ depend on the characteristics of the engine and operating conditions. Thus, specifically for engines such as the ADE 360N Series, the exponent $a$ is usually equal to $a = 1$ in naturally aspirated diesel engines [3]; for the exponent $b$, the ISO 3046-1 standard suggests the value of $b = -0.75$, while other authors recommend using the value $b = -0.5$, which is the one used in this work.
It is also necessary to estimate the volumetric efficiency $\eta_v$ in each atmospheric condition. By considering a definition of volumetric efficiency associated with the geometry and the air density calculated with conditions (pressure, temperature) at the intake manifold [27], the only effect on the volumetric efficiency that is considered is that of the ambient temperature when it changes with altitude, in the form of power dependence as expressed in Equation (26), with an exponent $c = 0.5$:

$$\eta_{vz} = \eta_{vref} \left(\frac{T_z}{T_{ref}}\right)^c$$ (26)

Once the modified values of both the effective mean pressure and the volumetric efficiency have been estimated, then the values of air and fuel mass flow rates can be determined. The air mass flow rate is given by Equation (27).

$$\dot{m}_{az} = \dot{V} \cdot n \cdot \eta_v \cdot \eta_e \cdot \rho_z$$ (27)

It should be noted that the pressure reduction due to altitude is transferred to the air mass flow rate only through to the change in air density, while the volumetric efficiency $\eta_v$ is pressure-independent (it only varies slightly with temperature).

The absolute fuel-air ratio can be obtained from Equation (28).

$$F_z = \frac{\text{BMEP}_z}{\rho_z \cdot \eta_v \cdot \eta_e \cdot H_V}$$ (28)

From the previous expressions, it is possible to determine the fuel mass flow rate for each altitude as:

$$\dot{m}_{fz} = \dot{m}_{az} \cdot F_z$$ (29)

Additionally, as detailed in the following section, when varying the altitude, it is necessary to decide whether what remains constant is the fuel-air ratio $F_z$ (with an associated reduction in fuel mass flow rate) or the fuel flow (with then an increase in fuel-air ratio, due to air flow reduction). The second possibility will try to keep engine effective power, although with a slight associated reduction in the effective efficiency.

### 3.5. Environmental Conditions and Input Data for Each City

Following the validation of the simulation model, it is necessary to establish the reference conditions of temperature, atmospheric pressure and environmental humidity for each city. From these conditions, the input data for the engine model can be estimated in order to be able to compute the engine performance at each city (providing the results for the regional analysis).

The expressions of the previous paragraph for the effect of environmental variables on engine performance have been used to estimate BEMP Equation (24) and volumetric efficiency Equation (25) and are included in Table 6.

| Regime [rpm] | Guayaquil | Tena | Santo Domingo | Santa Isabel | Baños de Agua Santa | Ambato |
|--------------|-----------|------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|--------|
|              | BMEP (bar) | $\eta_v$ (-) | BMEP (bar) | $\eta_v$ (-) | BMEP (bar) | $\eta_v$ (-) | BMEP (bar) | $\eta_v$ (-) | BMEP (bar) | $\eta_v$ (-) | BMEP (bar) | $\eta_v$ (-) |
| 800          | 6.60      | 0.919 | 6.38         | 0.915        | 6.28      | 0.913        | 6.16      | 0.911        | 5.62      | 0.900        | 5.49      | 0.897        |
| 1000         | 6.94      | 0.922 | 6.70         | 0.918        | 6.60      | 0.916        | 6.48      | 0.914        | 5.91      | 0.903        | 5.77      | 0.900        |
| 1200         | 7.30      | 0.925 | 7.05         | 0.921        | 6.94      | 0.919        | 6.81      | 0.917        | 6.21      | 0.906        | 6.07      | 0.903        |
| 1600         | 7.61      | 0.929 | 7.35         | 0.925        | 7.24      | 0.923        | 7.11      | 0.920        | 6.48      | 0.909        | 6.34      | 0.907        |
| 2000         | 7.63      | 0.928 | 7.37         | 0.923        | 7.26      | 0.921        | 7.13      | 0.919        | 6.50      | 0.908        | 6.35      | 0.906        |
| 2400         | 7.30      | 0.921 | 7.05         | 0.917        | 6.95      | 0.915        | 6.82      | 0.913        | 6.22      | 0.902        | 6.08      | 0.899        |
| 2800         | 6.75      | 0.911 | 6.52         | 0.907        | 6.41      | 0.905        | 6.30      | 0.903        | 5.74      | 0.892        | 5.61      | 0.890        |
In parallel with these, the value of the air mass flow rate is computed by means of Equation (26), while the fuel mass flow rate is computed by Equation (28) (Table 7).

Table 7. Estimated values of air and fuel mass flow rates needed to compute the fuel-air ratio in each city.

| Regime rpm | Guayaquil | Tena | Santo Domingo | Santa Isabel | Baños de Agua Santa | Ambato |
|------------|-----------|------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|--------|
|            | \(m_a\) (g/s) | \(m_f\) (g/s) | \(m_a\) (g/s) | \(m_f\) (g/s) | \(m_a\) (g/s) | \(m_f\) (g/s) |
| 800        | 42.79     | 1.93 | 41.23        | 1.87         | 40.55              | 1.80   |
| 1000       | 53.67     | 2.46 | 51.72        | 2.37         | 50.86              | 2.29   |
| 1200       | 64.63     | 3.04 | 62.27        | 2.94         | 61.24              | 2.84   |
| 1600       | 86.50     | 4.14 | 83.35        | 4.00         | 81.97              | 3.86   |
| 2000       | 107.98    | 5.14 | 104.05       | 4.97         | 102.33             | 4.80   |
| 2400       | 128.64    | 5.93 | 123.96       | 5.91         | 121.91             | 5.54   |
| 2800       | 148.53    | 6.47 | 143.12       | 6.00         | 140.75             | 6.04   |

*\(F_{avg}\): \(0.04616\) | \(0.04627\) | \(0.04632\) | \(0.04638\) | \(0.04668\) | \(0.04675\) |

*\(F_{ravg}\): \(0.6683\) | \(0.6729\) | \(0.6749\) | \(0.6773\) | \(0.6898\) | \(0.6928\) |

Later, from these input data, a parametric study will be carried out by varying each atmospheric variable in certain ranges over the city reference value (providing the results of the local analysis). The process diagram is indicated in Figure 6.

Figure 6. Simulation process diagram with AVL BOOST™ for the characteristic conditions of each selected city.

The main use of computing these flow rates (as intermediate estimations) is obtaining the values of the fuel-air ratio, used as input data in AVL BOOST™ simulations. Additionally, the fuel mass flow rate will be later used in the parametric study under the assumption of keeping it constant when the environmental conditions change. As a first approach to the simulation of the engine performance, the double Wiebe curves that establish the ROHR for each engine speed have been maintained, although a change in the shape of these curves could be expected due to second-order changes caused by modifications in the combustion delay time.
4. Results

Once the ADE360N engine model is tuned and validated, and the reference conditions at each city are established, parametric studies of the effects of independent variations in temperature, atmospheric pressure and air humidity can be performed (Figure 7).

Figure 7. Simulation process for the parametric study of each environmental variable separately.

As can be seen in Figure 7, both in the parametric study of temperature variation and in the atmospheric pressure variation, two levels of analysis are considered. First, a regional characteristic study is developed, by which engine performance under reference conditions is estimated for the atmospheric conditions of each city. In this considered interval, the atmospheric pressure drops from 1012.8 hPa (Guayaquil) to 813.2 hPa (Ambato), while the average temperature drops from 26.5 °C to 12.5 °C, respectively. Subsequently, on these reference values, a second level of the parametric study is established, locally, within which the pressure conditions are modified considering situations of anticyclone (local increase in pressure) and storm (local reduction in pressure), independently of temperature variation (in 20 K intervals from 0° to 40 °C), as well as relative humidity (in specific intervals of 0% (dry air), 40%, 60% and 100%).

The entire set of results obtained is used in the last section to establish correlations of performance and pollutant emissions with respect to ambient pressure, temperature and humidity.

4.1. Effect of the Variation of Ambient Temperature at Each Altitude

In this first section of the parametric study of influence, a range of variation of ambient temperature is fixed between 0 °C and 40 °C (historical maximum registered in the Meteorological Yearbook of Ecuador), with intermediate values every 5 °C, for three characteristic engine speeds: 1200 rpm (low speed), 1600 rpm (medium speed) and 2400 rpm (high speed). At each city, its particular reference pressure associated to its altitude (Table 2) is used in this set of simulations.

Although this is a temperature range acceptable for simulation, it should be emphasized that in many of the highest altitude places in Ecuador, temperatures higher than 30 °C are rarely reached, while in those closer to sea level, temperatures are almost never
lower than 10 °C. Therefore, the simulated cases cover extreme values, whose experimental observation would require climatic chambers.

4.1.1. Effect on Brake Mean Affective Pressure BMEP

The analysis of BMEP is started for each city, always considering a constant fuel mass flow rate. The results in general show a BMEP decrease as the temperature locally increases, with a bigger effect in cities at higher altitude (and lower reference pressure).

Figure 8 shows the absolute values of BMEP as they are reduced due to increase in ambient temperature (0 °C–40 °C), with very similar trends for the pressure conditions corresponding to three cities (4, 1641, 2500 MASL) and three engine rpms.

![Figure 8. Effect of temperature on absolute values of BMEP for three characteristic ambient pressures and three engine rpms.](image)

It is very important to indicate that these trends can be unified for all computed conditions (pressure, rpm) as a single relative reduction in a percentage basis, as is shown in Figure 9, with a sensitivity factor of BMEP with temperature of −0.17%/°C (or strictly speaking %/K).

![Figure 9. Relative dependence of BMEP on temperature for the three engine rpms.](image)

4.1.2. Effect on Specific Fuel Consumption BSFC

In contrast to the decrease in BMEP with the temperature increase, specific fuel consumption BSFC increases uniformly with ambient temperature, as can be seen in Figure 10.
4.1.2. Effect on Specific Fuel Consumption BSFC

In contrast to the decrease in BMEP with the temperature increase, specific fuel consumption BSFC increases uniformly with ambient temperature, as can be seen in Figure 10.

As before, a sensitivity coefficient can be computed to see the relative increase in BSFC, shown in Figure 11. Although the mean value of this coefficient is about +0.09%/°C, there are slight differences between low rpm (higher coefficient) and high rpm (smaller coefficients). In addition, the effect is also slightly more important at higher altitudes than at sea level.

4.1.3. Effect on NOx Emission

Figure 12 shows the effect of temperature on the absolute values of NOx emissions for the conditions considered. As expected, the higher the values of temperature for each reference pressure, the higher the NOx emissions.

There are, however, differences depending on the engine rpm, as can be seen in more detail in Figure 13. The sensitivity coefficients can be estimated as 0.86%/°C at high speed (2400 rpm) (Figure 13, box C), 0.54%/°C at medium speed (1600 rpm) and 0.47%/°C at low speed (1200 rpm) (Figure 13, box D).

4.1.4. Effect on CO Emissions

In terms of the dependence of the estimated CO emissions on the variation in temperature, Figure 14 shows the results for the two extreme pressures considered (4 and 2500 MASL). In general, absolute values increase with air temperature, with small differences among the engine rpms.
(2400 rpm) (Figure 13, box C), 0.54%/°C at medium speed (1600 rpm) and 0.47%/°C at low speed (1200 rpm) (Figure 13, box D).

4.1.4. Effect on CO Emissions

In terms of the dependence of the estimated CO emissions on the variation in temperature, Figure 14 shows the results for the two extreme pressures considered (4 and 2500 MASL). In general, absolute values increase with air temperature, with small differences among the engine rpms.

These differences can be seen in more detail in Figure 15, where the sensitivity coefficient is plotted for each condition. The values range from 0.20%/°C (2400 rpm) to 0.35%/°C (1200 rpm), and the higher the altitude (lower pressure), the higher the sensitivity coefficient.
4.1.5. Effect on Soot Emissions

Figure 16 plots the results of the absolute values of soot emissions when ambient temperature increases, at the three reference pressures and three engine rpms, showing a general uniform trend.

This can also be appreciated in Figure 17 where the relative dependence of soot emissions on temperature shows only small variations among the results relative to different pressures and engine rpms. Quantitative values of the sensitivity coefficient range between 1.51%/°C at low speed (Figure 17, box G) and 1.81%/°C at high speed (Figure 17, box H).
4.2. Effect of the Variation of Ambient Pressure at Each Altitude

In the case of variation of the ambient pressure, it is essential, as with the temperature, to analyze its influence both at a local level and at a comparative regional level between each of the cities, as specified at the beginning of this section.

According to this, in a first approach at a regional level, atmospheric pressure values of each of the selected cities are estimated for three determined temperature values (0 °C, 20 °C and 40 °C), at different engine speed (1200 rpm, 1600 rpm and 2400 rpm). Later, locally, a single value of ambient temperature was considered ($T_{avg} = 20 °C$), while an increase or decrease of ± 2 kPa is introduced on the average ambient pressure of each city, to represent the increase and decrease in pressure associated with an anticyclone and a storm, respectively.

4.2.1. Effect on the Brake Mean Effective Pressure BMEP

The regional level analysis shows a decrease in performance while comparing the values obtained between Guayaquil (1.0128 bar$_{Patm}$) and Ambato (0.8132 bar$_{Patm}$), with sensitivity coefficients of 0.073 bar/kPa at low regimes (1200 rpm), 0.071 bar/kPa at medium speeds (1600 rpm) and 0.068 bar/kPa at high speeds (2400 rpm) (Figure 18).

Locally, at a temperature of $T_{avg} = 20 °C$, a slight difference between the presence of anticyclone (+2 kPa$_{Patm}$) and storm (−2 kPa$_{Patm}$) over the average BMEP value was obtained (Figure 19), with percentage differences of ±1.13%/kPa for the city of Guayaquil (1.0128 bar$_{Patm}$), ±1.24%/kPa for Santa Isabel (0.9332 bar$_{Patm}$) and ±1.45%/kPa for Ambato (0.8132 bar$_{Patm}$).

![Figure 18. Effect of regional atmospheric pressure variation on BMEP at 0 °C, 20 °C, 40 °C.](image)

![Figure 19. Average effect of the local variation of atmospheric pressure on the BMEP with anticyclone and storm characteristics.](image)
4.2.2. Effect on Specific Fuel Consumption BSFC

Contrary to the decrease in performance, in the case of fuel consumption, there is an increase in both analysis levels considered in the parametric study. As seen in Figure 20, regionally, the sensitivity coefficient of BSFC is higher at high temperatures (40 °C) with 3.41% in the whole range from 4 MASL to 2500 MASL, while at low temperatures (0 °C), it is 3.26% in the same range.

Furthermore, due to the effect of this environmental parameter, considering low operating regimes (1200 rpm), the accumulated BSFC increase is 3.27%; at medium regime (1600 rpm), it is slightly reduced to 3.21%; and finally, at high speed (2400 rpm), an increase of 3.52% holds (Figure 20, box I).

Locally, with the presence of an anticyclone (+2 kPa), the BSFC decreased on average by −0.17%/kPa, while in storm (−2 kPa) consumption increases by +0.18%/kPa (Figure 21).

4.2.3. Effect on NOx Emission

Considering the atmospheric pressure difference \( \Delta P_{\text{amb, GYE - AMB}} = 19.96 \text{ kPa}_{\text{Patm}} \), between 0 °C and 40 °C, for low operating regimes (1200 rpm), the cumulative percentage difference in the average NOx emissions is −1.00%; at medium speed (1600 rpm), it is slightly reduced to −0.65%; and finally, at high speed (2400 rpm), there is a variation of −0.56% (Figure 22, box G).
4.2.3. Effect on NOx Emission

Considering the atmospheric pressure difference ($\Delta P_{\text{ambient}} = 19.96 \text{ kPa}$), between 0 °C and 40 °C, for low operating regimes (1200 rpm), the cumulative percentage difference in the average NOx emissions is $-1.00\%$; at medium speed (1600 rpm), it is slightly reduced to $-0.65\%$; and finally, at high speed (2400 rpm), there is a variation of $-0.56\%$ (Figure 22, box G).

At a local level, an increase in NOx generation is observed at low speed (1200 rpm), regarding a higher operating point (2400 rpm), with 19.51% in Guayaquil (1.0128 bar$_{\text{Patm}}$) and 22.78% in Ambato (0.8132 bar$_{\text{Patm}}$) in stormy environments ($-2\ kPa_{\text{Patm}}$), compared to 18.74% in Guayaquil (1.0128 bar$_{\text{Patm}}$) and 22.08% in Ambato (0.8132 bar$_{\text{Patm}}$) in anticyclone ($+2\ kPa_{\text{Patm}}$) (Figure 23).

4.2.4. Effect on CO Emissions

Regionally, the trends do not follow a clear pattern, as can be seen in Figure 24. It mainly stands out that in case of engine speed analysis, CO production would be much higher at elevated operating regimes (2400 rpm), with an average difference of 9.34 g/kWh in the whole pressure range, comparing it to low and medium speeds (1200 and 1600 rpm).
Figure 23. Effect of the local variation of atmospheric pressure on NOx with anticyclone and storm characteristics.

4.2.4. Effect on CO Emissions

Regionally, the trends do not follow a clear pattern, as can be seen in Figure 24. It mainly stands out that in case of engine speed analysis, CO production would be much higher at elevated operating regimes (2400 rpm), with an average difference of 9.34 g/kWh in the whole pressure range, comparing it to low and medium speeds (1200 and 1600 rpm).

Figure 24. Cumulative percentage effect of atmospheric pressure variation on CO emissions at 0 °C, 20 °C and 40 °C.

In a local analysis, in terms of anticyclone (+2 kPa$_{Patm}$) and storm (−2 kPa$_{Patm}$) (Figure 25), a greater influence on CO emissions in cities with higher altitudes is shown. In this case, the CO sensitivity coefficient increases at storm with $\Delta CO_{max} = +0.36%/kPa$, while in anticyclone decreases by $\Delta CO_{min} = -0.33%/kPa$, unlike the city of Guayaquil (1.0128 bar$_{Patm}$), where the values are reduced to $\Delta CO_{max} = +0.25%/kPa$ and $\Delta CO_{min} = -0.23%/kPa$, for storm and anticyclone, respectively.

Figure 25. Effect of the local variation of atmospheric pressure on CO with anticyclone and storm characteristics.

4.2.5. Effect on Soot Emissions

Finally, from a regional point of view, unlike what happened with NOx, in the case of soot, there is a greater emission in medium and high operating regimes (1600 rpm: $Soot_{GYE} = 0.93$ g/kWh, $Soot_{AMB} = 1.03$ g/kWh) and a lower formation in low operating regimes (1200 rpm: $Soot_{GYE} = 0.75$ g/kWh, $Soot_{AMB} = 0.82$ g/kWh). As a percentage, in the case shown in Figure 26, box O, the mentioned phenomenon is clearly observed for Ambato (0.8132 bar$_{Patm}$) with a variation of DSoot = 0.75% at 2400 rpm, DSoot = 0.74% at 1600 rpm and DSoot = 0.61% at 1200 rpm.

Figure 26. Cumulative percentage effect of atmospheric pressure variation on soot emissions at 0 °C, 20 °C and 40 °C.

The presence of anticyclone (+2 kPa$_{Patm}$) and storm (−2 kPa$_{Patm}$) has an influence on the emission of soot higher than NOx and CO rates at local levels, with a sensitivity coefficient of $\Delta Soot_{max} = 0.97%/kPa$ in cities with higher altitude, such as the city of Ambato (0.8132 bar$_{Patm}$), as observed in Figure 27.
Table 8.

| Altitude (MASL) | Saturation Pressure (bar) | Absolute Humidity (g H₂O/kg air) | Molar Pseudo Fraction of Water Vapor | Soot Emissions (g/kWh) |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 0               | 0.10132                   | 0.0000                           | 0.0000                              | 0.23                  |
| 100             | 0.10132                   | 0.0000                           | 0.0000                              | 0.23                  |
| 200             | 0.10132                   | 0.0000                           | 0.0000                              | 0.23                  |
| 300             | 0.10132                   | 0.0000                           | 0.0000                              | 0.23                  |
| 400             | 0.10132                   | 0.0000                           | 0.0000                              | 0.23                  |

The presence of anticyclone (+2 kPa) and storm (−2 kPa) has an influence on the emission of soot higher than NOx and CO rates at local levels, with a sensitivity coefficient of \( \Delta \text{Soot}_{\text{max}} = 0.97\%/\text{kPa} \) in cities with higher altitude, such as the city of Ambato (0.8132 bar\( \text{Patm} \)), as observed in Figure 27.

![Figure 26. Cumulative percentage effect of atmospheric pressure variation on soot emissions at 0 °C, 20 °C and 40 °C.](image)

Figure 26. Cumulative percentage effect of atmospheric pressure variation on soot emissions at 0 °C, 20 °C and 40 °C.

4.3. Effect of the Variation of Relative Humidity for Each Altitude

To illustrate the influence of air relative humidity on engine performance, fuel consumption and pollutant emissions, the results relative to the two cities with the extreme conditions will be presented: Guayaquil (4 MASL, 1.0128 bar\( \text{Patm} \)) and Ambato (2500 MASL, 0.8132 bar\( \text{Patm} \)). The effects of four relative humidity values (0% (dry air), 40%, 60% and 100% relative humidity) are studied, all of them applied at three levels of ambient temperature (0 °C, 20 °C and 40 °C).

As a first step in this parametric study, the values of all the variables that participate in the determination of the influence of ambient humidity (Tables 8 and 9) are calculated: saturation pressure \( P_{\text{sat}} \) in Equation (13), absolute humidity \( \omega \) in Equation (11) and molar pseudo fraction of water vapor \( X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \) in Equation (16). In addition, according to those stated in Section 2.2, the density of humid air of Equation (19) is also calculated, obtained by applying the correction factor \( K_{\text{hum}} \) of Equation (18).

![Figure 27. Effect of the local variation of Atmospheric Pressure on soot emissions with anticyclone and storm characteristics.](image)

Figure 27. Effect of the local variation of Atmospheric Pressure on soot emissions with anticyclone and storm characteristics.
It should be noted that the AVL BOOST™ model cannot be applied directly considering humid air due to the limitations of the software for estimating pollutant emissions under these conditions. An alternative methodology to estimate emissions is to consider the influence of humidity only on the reduction in air density, without considering other aspects such as the reduction in the temperature in the combustion chamber, or characteristic changes in the behavior of the flame front, among others.

To obtain the fuel-air ratio $F_{a,\text{hum}}$ values, initially the calculated volumetric efficiency value is kept constant, according to Equation (25), since the density $\rho_{a,\text{hum}}$ changes due to the application of the correction factor $K_{\text{hum}}$, to later estimate the approximate value of the brake mean effective pressure BMEP in each of the cases Equation (24).

The resulting values of volumetric efficiency $\eta_v$ and brake mean effective pressure BMEP are shown in Tables 10 and 11, for Guayaquil and Ambato, respectively.

### Table 8. Humid air profile characteristics. Relative humidity variation—Guayaquil.

| City       | T (°C) | φ (%) | $P_{\text{sat}}$ (bar) | $\omega$ (kg H$_2$O/kg a.dry) | $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ (–) | $K_{\text{hum}}$ (–) | $\rho_{a,\text{hum}}$ (kg/m$^3$) |
|------------|--------|-------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Guayaquil  | 0      | 0     | 0.0000                 | 0.000                         | 1.0000                      | 1.2857                |                               |
|            | 40     | 0.61  | 0.0015                 | 0.002                         | 0.9991                      | 1.2845                |                               |
|            | 60     |       | 0.0023                 | 0.004                         | 0.9986                      | 1.2840                |                               |
|            | 100    |       | 0.0038                 | 0.006                         | 0.9977                      | 1.2828                |                               |
| Guayaquil  | 20     |       |                        |                               |                             |                       |                               |
|            | 0      |       | 0.0000                 | 0.000                         | 1.0000                      | 1.1980                |                               |
|            | 40     | 2.34  | 0.0058                 | 0.009                         | 0.9965                      | 1.1938                |                               |
|            | 60     |       | 0.0088                 | 0.014                         | 0.9948                      | 1.1917                |                               |
|            | 100    |       | 0.0148                 | 0.024                         | 0.9913                      | 1.1876                |                               |
| Ambato     | 40     | 0     | 0.0000                 | 0.000                         | 1.0000                      | 1.1215                |                               |
|            | 60     | 7.40  | 0.0188                 | 0.030                         | 0.9890                      | 1.1092                |                               |
|            | 100    |       | 0.0286                 | 0.046                         | 0.9835                      | 1.1030                |                               |

### Table 9. Humid air profile characteristics. Relative humidity variation—Ambato.

| City       | T (°C) | φ (%) | $P_{\text{sat}}$ (bar) | $\omega$ (kg H$_2$O/kg a.dry) | $X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ (–) | $K_{\text{hum}}$ (–) | $\rho_{a,\text{hum}}$ (kg/m$^3$) |
|------------|--------|-------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Ambato     | 0      | 0     | 0.0000                 | 0.000                         | 1.0000                      | 1.0319                |                               |
|            | 40     | 0.61  | 0.0019                 | 0.003                         | 0.9989                      | 1.0308                |                               |
|            | 60     |       | 0.0028                 | 0.005                         | 0.9983                      | 1.0302                |                               |
|            | 100    |       | 0.0047                 | 0.008                         | 0.9972                      | 1.0290                |                               |
| Ambato     | 20     |       |                        |                               |                             |                       |                               |
|            | 0      |       | 0.0000                 | 0.000                         | 1.0000                      | 0.9615                |                               |
|            | 40     | 2.34  | 0.0073                 | 0.012                         | 0.9957                      | 0.9573                |                               |
|            | 60     |       | 0.0110                 | 0.018                         | 0.9935                      | 0.9553                |                               |
|            | 100    |       | 0.0185                 | 0.030                         | 0.9892                      | 0.9511                |                               |
| Ambato     | 40     | 0     | 0.0000                 | 0.000                         | 1.0000                      | 0.9001                |                               |
|            | 60     | 7.40  | 0.0236                 | 0.038                         | 0.9863                      | 0.8878                |                               |
|            | 100    |       | 0.0361                 | 0.058                         | 0.9795                      | 0.8817                |                               |
| Ambato     | 100    |       | 0.0625                 | 0.100                         | 0.9659                      | 0.8694                |                               |
Table 10. Estimated values of BMEP variation due to changes in air relative humidity for several temperatures—Guayaquil.

| Regime [rpm] | T (°C) | 0 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 100 | 0 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 100 |
|--------------|--------|---|----|----|----|-----|---|----|----|----|-----|-----|
| ηv (%)       | BMEP (bar) | BMEP (bar) | BMEP (bar) | BMEP (bar) | BMEP (bar) | BMEP (bar) | BMEP (bar) | BMEP (bar) | BMEP (bar) | BMEP (bar) | BMEP (bar) |
| 800          | 0.909  | 7.15 | 7.14 | 7.13 | 7.12 | 6.75 | 6.72 | 6.69 | 6.65 | 6.39 | 6.29 |
| 1000         | 0.912  | 7.50 | 7.49 | 7.48 | 7.47 | 7.09 | 7.05 | 7.03 | 6.98 | 6.72 | 6.61 |
| 1200         | 0.915  | 7.88 | 7.87 | 7.86 | 7.85 | 7.45 | 7.41 | 7.38 | 7.34 | 7.06 | 6.94 |
| 1600         | 0.919  | 8.21 | 8.20 | 8.19 | 8.18 | 7.76 | 7.72 | 7.69 | 7.64 | 7.36 | 7.23 |
| 2000         | 0.917  | 8.20 | 8.19 | 8.18 | 8.17 | 7.76 | 7.72 | 7.69 | 7.64 | 7.37 | 7.24 |
| 2400         | 0.911  | 7.73 | 7.71 | 7.69 | 7.67 | 7.45 | 7.41 | 7.38 | 7.33 | 7.12 | 7.00 |
| 2800         | 0.901  | 7.25 | 7.24 | 7.23 | 7.22 | 6.88 | 6.84 | 6.81 | 6.77 | 6.59 | 6.46 |

Table 11. Estimated values of BMEP due to air relative humidity for several temperatures—Ambato.

| Regime [rpm] | T (°C) | 0 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 100 | 0 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 100 |
|--------------|--------|---|----|----|----|-----|---|----|----|----|-----|-----|
| ηv (%)       | BMEP (bar) | BMEP (bar) | BMEP (bar) | BMEP (bar) | BMEP (bar) | BMEP (bar) | BMEP (bar) | BMEP (bar) | BMEP (bar) | BMEP (bar) |
| 800          | 0.909  | 5.43 | 5.42 | 5.42 | 5.41 | 5.13 | 5.10 | 5.08 | 5.04 | 4.87 | 4.75 |
| 1000         | 0.912  | 5.71 | 5.70 | 5.69 | 5.68 | 5.40 | 5.36 | 5.34 | 5.30 | 5.12 | 5.00 |
| 1200         | 0.915  | 6.01 | 5.99 | 5.99 | 5.98 | 5.68 | 5.64 | 5.62 | 5.57 | 5.39 | 5.26 |
| 1600         | 0.919  | 6.26 | 6.24 | 6.24 | 6.22 | 5.92 | 5.87 | 5.85 | 5.80 | 5.61 | 5.48 |
| 2000         | 0.917  | 6.24 | 6.23 | 6.22 | 6.21 | 5.91 | 5.86 | 5.84 | 5.79 | 5.61 | 5.47 |
| 2400         | 0.911  | 5.98 | 5.95 | 5.94 | 5.93 | 5.65 | 5.60 | 5.58 | 5.53 | 5.37 | 5.23 |
| 2800         | 0.901  | 5.46 | 5.45 | 5.44 | 5.43 | 5.18 | 5.14 | 5.12 | 5.07 | 4.94 | 4.82 |

Once the values of volumetric efficiency ηv, humid air density ρa_hum, reference effective efficiency ηe_ref and the theoretical estimate of BMEP have been obtained, the fuel-air ratio corresponding to each of the proposed cases can be computed, based on the application of Equation (27), always considering constant fuel mass flow rate. Once the fuel-air ratio for each operating point is fixed, all input data for the AVL BOOST™ model are completed and the code is run to generate the results of performance and emissions, as described in the following.

4.3.1. Effect of Air Relative Humidity on BMEP

The effect of the relative humidity on the BMEP is less than the effects of the variation in the temperature and the ambient pressure. The results of the BMEP calculated for the two cities considered, considering the effect of going from 0% to 100% relative humidity, can be seen in Figure 28 in absolute values (left) and in relative values referring to 0% relative humidity. In general, there is a slight decrease in BMEP, which is more noticeable at higher temperatures (40 °C) than at medium (20 °C) and low temperatures (0 °C). Although it is true that, as can be seen in Figure 28 (right), the reduction in BMEP can reach values of −2.5%, the environmental characteristics on which these values are obtained (T = 40 °C, φ = 100%, 2500 MASL) represent extreme hypothetical cases.
4.3.2. Effect of Air Relative Humidity on Specific Fuel Consumption BSFC

As can be seen in Figure 29, both in absolute (left) and relative (right) values, the variation of BSFC due to the influence of relative humidity is practically negligible, since the percentage values in any case range between ±0.05%.

4.3.3. Effect of Air Relative Humidity on NOx Emissions

As indicated above, for the analysis of pollutant formation (NOx, CO and soot), the influence of the air relative humidity is considered in this study through a reduction in dry air density, not including the variation of the temperature in the combustion chamber or other factors that would directly participate in its production.

As a result of this assumption, the effect of increasing the relative humidity causes a slight reduction in NOx emissions (Figure 30). In the case of cities near sea level, such as Guayaquil (1.0128 \text{ bar}_{\text{Patm}},\text{ continuous lines}), the variation is small for all ambient temperatures, the difference greater being at higher temperatures ($\Delta NOx = -0.63\%$, $T = 40 \degree C, \phi = 100\%$).
4.3.4. Effect of Air Relative Humidity on CO Emissions

The results of the influence of relative humidity on CO emissions show an increase within all temperature ranges, both for Guayaquil (1.0128 bar_{patm}) and for Ambato (0.8132 bar_{patm}), being the values of ΔCO_{max} = 1.89% for the first and ΔCO_{max} = 3.23% for the second, respectively (Figure 31). This increase is greater (in modulus) than the reduction in the NOx emissions.

Figure 31. Effect of air relative humidity on CO emissions at 0 °C, 20 °C and 40 °C.

4.3.5. Effect of Air Relative Humidity on Soot Emissions

The biggest influence of air relative humidity occurs on soot emissions, with reductions in the full range 0–100% of relative humidity between ΔSoot_{max} = −9.20% for Guayaquil (1.0128 bar_{patm}, continuous lines) and ΔSoot_{max} = −11.45% in Ambato (0.8132 bar_{patm}, dotted lines) (Figure 32). In the case of Guayaquil, at 0 °C, there is, however, a slight increase in emissions.

Figure 32. Effect of air relative humidity on NOx emissions at 0 °C, 20 °C and 40 °C.
4.4. Statistical Correlations of Combined Effects of Temperature and Pressure

Although it is true that the individual analysis of the influence of each of the environmental factors involved in the combustion process has relevant importance, it is convenient to obtain statistical correlations to establish an analytical relationship between ambient conditions and the engine outputs: performance (BMEP), fuel consumption (BSFC) and emissions (NOx, CO and soot). To obtain the desired correlations, the process diagram shown in Figure 33 is followed.

These statistical correlations are obtained for the specific type of engine and the environmental conditions in which its operation is established. However, the use of reference values makes possible to nondimensionalize the input data (ambient pressure and temperature) and the output variables (BMEP, BSFC, CO2, NOx, CO, soot) to obtain relationships that can be used in other similar engines.

4.4.1. Sensitivity Coefficient of BMEP

In a previous section, it has been established that the difference in BMEP due to the influence of local temperature in each city (i.e., for a fixed altitude or atmospheric pressure) is small. However, the variation of BMEP is much wider when the change in city altitude is considered at the regional level, with different atmospheric pressures.
The global effect of the variation in ambient pressure and temperature on BMEP can be represented, for each engine speed, as a surface that relates three variables (Figure 34): BMEP (bar), temperature (°C) and pressure (bar).

One way to quantitatively characterize the sensitivity of the dependence of BMEP with respect to air temperature is considering a coefficient that represents the slope of the BEMP surface along a line of constant atmospheric pressure. The values of this coefficient, in bar/°C (strictly speaking, in bar/K), are shown in Table 12. Since the results for each atmospheric pressure (or each city or altitude) are slightly different, the last row in the table includes the averaged values in each city.

Table 12. ΔBMEP/ΔT_{amb}, for Guayaquil, Santa Isabel and Ambato.

| Regime (rpm) | Guayaquil (1.0128 bar_{Patm}) | Santa Isabel (0.9332 bar_{Patm}) | Ambato (0.8132 bar_{Patm}) |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1200         | −0.0124                       | −0.0114                       | −0.0099                    |
| 1600         | −0.0129                       | −0.0120                       | −0.0104                    |
| 2400         | −0.0123                       | −0.0114                       | −0.0100                    |
| (ΔBMEP/ΔT_{amb})_{avg} (bar/°C) | −0.013 | −0.012 | −0.010 |

Table 13 shows the analogous values of a coefficient of dependence of the BMEP relative to the atmospheric pressure as the slope of the BMEP surface along a constant air temperature line, expressed in bar/kPa (i.e., BMEP change in bar for 1 kPa change in atmospheric pressure). The results are presented for low temperatures (0 °C), medium temperatures (20 °C) and high temperatures (40 °C) in each of the specified engine regimes (1200 rpm, 1600 rpm and 2400 rpm). Finally, the averaged value of the coefficient of dependence of BMEP with ambient pressure for a given temperature is indicated in the last row. In this case, the coefficient indicates that the sensitivity of BMEP to atmospheric pressure is higher at lower temperatures.

These dependence coefficients of BMEP with respect to ambient temperature and pressure allow us to obtain the BMEP values at certain ambient conditions once the values in other reference conditions are known, by using a linear expression. As an example, since the BEMP at Guayaquil (1.0128 bar_{Patm}) is 7.10 bar at 1200 rpm, considering a temperature of 20 °C, the BMEP at Ambato (0.8132 bar_{Patm}, a reduction of 20 kPa) would be 7.10 − 0.071°20 = 5.71 bar. Similarly, at Santa Isabel (0.9332 bar_{Patm}, a reduction of 8 kPa), the linear dependence predicts a value of BMEP of 6.55 bar.
Table 13. $\Delta$BMEP/$\Delta P_{\text{atm}}$—temperature: $0 ^\circ \text{C}$, $20 ^\circ \text{C}$ and $40 ^\circ \text{C}$.

| Regime (rpm) | Low Temperature ($0 ^\circ \text{C}$) | Medium Temperature ($20 ^\circ \text{C}$) | High Temperature ($40 ^\circ \text{C}$) |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1200         | -0.072                              | -0.070                              | -0.067                              |
| 1600         | -0.075                              | -0.072                              | -0.070                              |
| 2400         | -0.072                              | -0.070                              | -0.068                              |

( $\Delta$BMEP/$\Delta P_{\text{atm}}$)$_{\text{avg}}$ (bar/kPa) = -0.073, -0.071, -0.068

A more convenient alternative to express the dependency of BMEP on the environmental variables is considering a statistical correlation, that provides a straightforward analytical function. This can be obtained by using a statistical multiple correlation tool, applied to all the results calculated by means of the simulation model, considering all the engine regimes, for all changes in pressure and ambient temperature. The results have been fitted to an expression of BMEP as power functions of temperature and pressure. All variables are nondimensionalized by using the corresponding reference values of BMEP and ambient temperature and pressure, as indicated in Equation (30).

$$BMEP_z = BMEP_{\text{ref}} \left( \frac{T_z}{T_{\text{ref}}} \right)^{-0.51} \left( \frac{P_z}{P_{\text{ref}}} \right)^{0.99}$$ (30)

The exponents obtained for the dependence with temperature and pressure are approximately $-0.5$ and $1.0$, but this is a consequence of the working conditions of the simulated engine which have been adjusted to get BMEP values given by the expression Equation (24). This topic is seen in more detail at the end of this section. It should be emphasized again that, as specified in Figures 8 and 9, the difference between the value obtained by means of the AVL BOOST™ model and the value estimated by Equation (30), in most cases, does not exceed 3%.

4.4.2. Sensitivity Coefficient of BSFC

In the same way as with the variation of BMEP, a dependence of BSFC can be obtained considering the pressure and temperature conditions dependent on the altitude in each city, which, graphically, is a three-dimensional surface for each engine regime, as seen in Figure 35.

Figure 35. Effect of temperature and atmospheric pressure on BSFC for each regime.

The values of the coefficients of the slope of BSFC surface function of ambient temperature (g/kWh/$^\circ \text{C}$) along a constant pressure line at three representative cities can be seen in Table 14, with slightly different values for each engine regime. Similarly, Table
contains the corresponding coefficients of dependence of BSFC of ambient pressure (g/kWh/kPa) at constant temperature.

Table 14. Dependence coefficient \( \Delta \text{BSFC}/\Delta T_{\text{amb}} \) at the constant pressure associated to three characteristic cities—Guayaquil, Santa Isabel and Ambato.

| Regime (rpm) | Guayaquil (1.0128 \( \text{bar}_{\text{Patm}} \)) | Santa Isabel (0.9332 \( \text{bar}_{\text{Patm}} \)) | Ambato (0.8132 \( \text{bar}_{\text{Patm}} \)) |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 1200         | \(+0.23\)                                    | \(+0.23\)                                    | \(+0.24\)                                    |
| 1600         | \(+0.21\)                                    | \(+0.22\)                                    | \(+0.23\)                                    |
| 2400         | \(+0.20\)                                    | \(+0.21\)                                    | \(+0.22\)                                    |
| \((\Delta \text{BSFC}/\Delta T_{\text{amb}})_{\text{avg}}\) \((\text{g/kWh}/\circ\text{C})\) | \(+0.21\)                                    | \(+0.22\)                                    | \(+0.23\)                                    |

Table 15. Dependence coefficient \( \Delta \text{BSFC}/\Delta P_{\text{atm}} \) at three constant temperatures: 0 °C, 20 °C and 40 °C.

| Regime (rpm) | Low Temperature (0 °C) | Medium Temperature (20 °C) | High Temperature (40 °C) |
|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1200         | \(+0.40\)             | \(+0.42\)                  | \(+0.43\)                |
| 1600         | \(+0.38\)             | \(+0.40\)                  | \(+0.41\)                |
| 2400         | \(+0.42\)             | \(+0.44\)                  | \(+0.45\)                |
| \((\Delta \text{BSFC}/\Delta P_{\text{atm}})_{\text{avg}}\) \((\text{g/kWh}/\text{kPa})\) | \(+0.40\)                  | \(+0.42\)                  | \(+0.43\)                |

As for BMEP, a statistical correlation has been obtained for BSFC in the form of a power function, as seen in Equation (31), recalling that a constant fuel mass flow rate has been assumed when the air mass flow rate is changed by the pressure and temperature. The general trend, according to the results obtained by various authors, is that BSFC increases if the ambient temperature increases or the atmospheric pressure decreases.

\[
BSFC_z = BSFC_{\text{ref}} \cdot \left( \frac{T_z}{T_{\text{ref}}} \right)^{0.25} \cdot \left( \frac{P_z}{P_{\text{ref}}} \right)^{-0.15}
\]  

(31)

4.4.3. Sensitivity Coefficient of CO₂

Considering the specific fuel consumption BSFC given by Equation (31) and the fuel emission factor, the CO₂ emissions at reference conditions (of both fuel composition and altitude) can be expressed as:

\[
\text{CO}_2_{\text{ref}} = BSFC_{\text{ref}} \cdot e_{\text{CO}_2_{\text{ref}}}
\]  

(32)

In case a different fuel is used, with an emission factor \( e_{\text{CO}_2} \), and altitude \( z \) changes, the CO₂ emissions can be estimated accounting by both fuel and environmental conditions:

\[
\text{CO}_2_{z,z} = \text{CO}_2_{\text{ref}} \cdot e_{\text{CO}_2_{\text{ref}}} \cdot \left( \frac{T_z}{T_{\text{ref}}} \right)^{0.25} \cdot \left( \frac{P_z}{P_{\text{ref}}} \right)^{-0.15}
\]  

(33)

4.4.4. Sensitivity Coefficient for Other Emissions

To illustrate the general trends of the specific emissions of NOx, CO and soot as the environmental conditions associated with altitude change, the surfaces for a medium engine speed are shown in Figure 36.
As for BMEP, a statistical correlation has been obtained for BSFC in the form of a power function, as seen in Equation (31), recalling that a constant fuel mass flow rate has been assumed when the air mass flow rate is changed by the pressure and temperature.

\[
\text{BSFC}_{\text{new}} = \text{BSFC}_{\text{old}} \cdot (T_{\text{new}}/T_{\text{old}})^{0.19} \cdot (P_{\text{new}}/P_{\text{old}})^{-0.19}
\]

(31)

4.4.3. Sensitivity Coefficient of CO2

Considering the specific fuel consumption BSFC given by Equation (31) and the fuel emission factor, the CO2 emissions at reference conditions (of both fuel composition and altitude) can be expressed as:

\[
\text{CO2}_{\text{ref}} = \text{BSFC}_{\text{ref}} \cdot e_{\text{ref}} \cdot (T_{\text{ref}}/T_{\text{ref}})^{0.19} \cdot (P_{\text{ref}}/P_{\text{ref}})^{-0.19}
\]

In case a different fuel is used, with an emission factor \(e_{\text{alt}}\), and altitude \(z\) changes, the CO2 emissions can be estimated accounting by both fuel and environmental conditions:

\[
\text{CO2}_{\text{alt}},\text{ref} = \text{CO2}_{\text{ref}} \cdot e_{\text{alt}} \cdot e_{\text{ref}} \cdot (T_{\text{ref}}/T_{\text{ref}})^{0.19} \cdot (P_{\text{ref}}/P_{\text{ref}})^{-0.19}
\]

(33)

4.4.4. Sensitivity Coefficient for Other Emissions

To illustrate the general trends of the specific emissions of NOx, CO and soot as the environmental conditions associated with altitude change, the surfaces for a medium engine speed are shown in Figure 36.

Figure 36. Effect of temperature and atmospheric pressure on the emission of NOx, CO and soot (1600 rpm).

As general trends, it can be observed that the increase in temperature has a great influence on the specific emissions of the three pollutants considered. The effect of pressure is less important in the range of altitudes considered. Furthermore, the effect of a decrease in pressure with increasing altitude leads to a reduction in NOx emissions, while CO and soot emissions increase slightly.

The results obtained follow the same trends of the values presented in previous investigations carried out by [19,28,29] on the formation of NOx and CO, and the registered data obtained by [15,30] on soot emissions regarding the variation of environmental conditions at different altitudes.

The values of the coefficients representing the slope of the surfaces of each pollutant emission are presented in Table 16, for the temperature dependence at constant pressure, and in Table 17, for the pressure dependence at constant temperature.

In general, except for some cases in CO emissions, both dependence coefficients show uniform trends with engine rpm, what allows obtaining averaged values, included in the last row of each table.

Table 16. Dependence coefficient of specific emissions with ambient temperature \(\Delta \text{SE}/\Delta T_{\text{amb}}\) at the constant pressure associated to three characteristic cities—Guayaquil, Santa Isabel and Ambato.

| Regime (rpm) | Guayaquil (1.0128 bar\(_\text{atm}\)) | Santa Isabel (0.9332 bar\(_\text{atm}\)) | Ambato (0.8132 bar\(_\text{atm}\)) |
|--------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|              | NOx  | CO   | Soot * | NOx  | CO   | Soot * | NOx  | CO   | Soot * |
| 1200         | 0.049| 0.061| 7.3    | 0.048| 0.067| 7.5    | 0.046| 0.068| 7.9    |
| 1600         | 0.053| 0.072| 9.0    | 0.052| 0.063| 9.3    | 0.049| 0.063| 9.8    |
| 2400         | 0.064| 0.058| 9.1    | 0.063| 0.052| 9.4    | 0.060| 0.059| 10.0   |
| (\(\Delta \text{SE}/\Delta T_{\text{amb}}\))\(_\text{avg}\) (g/kWh/°C) | 0.056| 0.064| 8.5    | 0.054| 0.061| 8.7    | 0.052| 0.063| 9.2    |

* Values expressed in scientific notation \(\times 10^{-3}\).
Table 17. Dependence coefficient of specific emissions with ambient pressure $\Delta SE/\Delta P_{\text{atm}}$ at three constant temperatures: 0 °C, 20 °C and 40 °C.

| Regime (rpm) | Low Temperature (0 °C) | Medium Temperature (20 °C) | High Temperature (40 °C) |
|-------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
|             | NOx  |
| 1200        | -0.017 | 0.012 | 2.40 |
| 1600        | -0.017 | 0.021 | 3.25 |
| 2400        | -0.012 | 0.009 | 3.45 |
|             | CO   |
| 1200        | 0.014 | 0.014 | 3.01 |
| 1600        | 0.004 | 0.004 | 4.06 |
| 2400        | 0.023 | 0.023 | 4.37 |
|             | Soot * |
| 1200        | 3.01  | 0.024 | 3.69 |
| 1600        | 4.06  | 0.021 | 4.94 |
| 2400        | 4.37  | 0.021 | 5.37 |

$\Delta SE/\Delta P_{\text{atm}}^{\text{avg}}$ (g/kWh/kPa)

-0.015 0.014 3.03 -0.019 0.014 3.81 -0.023 0.014 4.67

* Values expressed in scientific notation $\times 10^{-3}$.

Following the same statistical procedure of linear regression used to obtain correlations of the combined effect of temperature and atmospheric pressure, using all the values obtained for the different engine regimes (1200 rpm, 1600 rpm and 2400 rpm), the following expressions have been obtained:

\[ \text{NOx}_z = \text{NOx}_{\text{ref}} \left( \frac{T_z}{T_{\text{ref}}} \right)^{1.71} \left( \frac{P_z}{P_{\text{ref}}} \right)^{0.20} \]  \hspace{1cm} (34)

\[ \text{CO}_z = \text{CO}_{\text{ref}} \left( \frac{T_z}{T_{\text{ref}}} \right)^{0.81} \left( \frac{P_z}{P_{\text{ref}}} \right)^{-0.06} \]  \hspace{1cm} (35)

\[ \text{Soot}_z = \text{Soot}_{\text{ref}} \left( \frac{T_z}{T_{\text{ref}}} \right)^{3.58} \left( \frac{P_z}{P_{\text{ref}}} \right)^{-0.47} \]  \hspace{1cm} (36)

4.4.5. Goodness of Statistical Correlations

As seen before, a series of statistical correlations have been obtained that allow obtaining estimates of engine performance, fuel consumption and emissions, at altitude $z$, through its values of temperature and atmospheric pressure ($T_z$, $P_z$), from known values of performance, fuel consumption or pollutant emission is available ($\text{BMEP}_{\text{ref}}$, $\text{BSFC}_{\text{ref}}$, $\text{NOx}_{\text{ref}}$, $\text{CO}_{\text{ref}}$, $\text{Soot}_{\text{ref}}$) at reference ambient conditions ($T_{\text{ref}}$, $P_{\text{ref}}$). Each correlation is defined by specific exponents ($a$, $b$).

In order to evaluate the goodness of these statistical correlations, it is necessary to check the values of the adjusted coefficient of determination $R^2$ and typical error obtained in each case (Table 18).

Table 18. Adjusted $R^2$ values and typical error.

| Stat. Correlation | Exponents | $R^2_{\text{adjtd}}$ | Typical Error |
|-------------------|-----------|----------------------|---------------|
| $\text{BMEP}_z$ Equation (30) | -0.51 0.99 | 0.994 | 0.05% |
| $\text{BSFC}_z$ Equation (31) | 0.25 -0.15 | 0.993 | 0.04% |
| $\text{NOx}_z$ Equation (34) | 1.71 0.20 | 0.947 | 0.75% |
| $\text{CO}_z$ Equation (35) | 0.81 -0.06 | 0.940 | 0.42% |
| $\text{Soot}_z$ Equation (36) | 3.58 -0.47 | 0.993 | 0.26% |

Since no other published values have been found for the other engine output variables, the discussion must be based on the goodness of the correlation. A value of the adjusted coefficient of determination $R^2$ close to one means the effectiveness of the independent
variables to explain the values of the dependent variable. High values of $R^2$ are usually associated with small values of the typical error. Looking at the values included in Table 18, it can be said that the adjustment is very good for BMEP, BSFC and soot, and reasonably good for NOx and CO.

5. Discussion

The main objective of the work is to establish the dependence of the engine output variables with respect to environmental conditions, given by pressure, temperature and humidity. To do this, first, a general expression for the combustion reaction of fuel and air with changing composition due to altitude has been written. From this expression, the stoichiometric fuel air ratio can be expressed as the product of three terms, one depending on fuel composition (in general independent of altitude), a second one depending on air composition (dependent on altitude) and a third one depending on air specific humidity.

A methodology was developed which allows us to simulate the behavior of a naturally aspirated diesel engine of six liters of displacement and 100 kW of power, representative of engines used for public transport vehicles in Ecuador and other countries in the area. For this, an AVL BOOST™ simulation model was used, which has been tuned to reproduce the curves provided by the manufacturer at standard reference conditions. The model was then extended to predict the engine performance and emissions for operating conditions associated to different altitudes. For the sake of concretion, five cities in Ecuador, ranging from sea level to 2500 MASL, were considered, with the associated values of atmospheric pressure, temperature and air humidity.

By using the engine simulation model, the effect of each of the environmental variables was considered separately: pressure, temperature and relative humidity. These variables depend on a regional basis through altitude, which sets the values of pressure and temperature, and also the ratio between relative and specific air humidity. These environmental variables can also depend locally on meteorological conditions, through an increase or decrease in temperature or an increase or decrease in pressure due to an anticyclone or storm. In general, there is a greater influence of the variation of atmospheric pressure, compared to temperature and relative humidity, the latter environment parameter having minimal influence on the calculation results.

The quantitative effects of the environmental variables on the engine output variables (BMEP, BSFC, pollutant emissions) have been evaluated by means of sensitivity coefficients of several types. The first type is just the percentage change of a given engine output variable with respect to a reference condition when the environmental variable changes. For example, it has been shown that due to the variation of the ambient temperature between 0 °C and 40 °C, the computed values for BSFC, NOx, CO and soot have a slight growth of between 0.1%/°C and 1.6%/°C, and only the BMEP experiment has a decrease of 0.2%/°C, approximately.

In relation to atmospheric pressure, in the regional analysis, with broad atmospheric pressure variation ranges (between 1.0128 bar 13 MASL, and 0.8132 bar 2500 MASL), when atmospheric pressure reduces, there is an increase in the BSFC values (+0.17%/kPa), CO (+0.06%/kPa) and soot (+0.56%/kPa), while the BMEP and NOx experience the opposite effect: −0.98%/kPa for BMEP and −0.20%/kPa for NOx emissions. At a local level, it is assumed that an anticyclone increases atmospheric pressure +2 kPa, which leads to a slight reduction in BSFC (−0.17%/kPa), accompanied by growths in BMEP (+1.27%/kPa) and NOx emissions (+0.33%/kPa). On the other hand, a storm represents a reduction of −2 kPa, which translates to an increase in BSFC, whose percentage variation is the same as in the reduction by anticyclone. On the other hand, the emissions of CO and soot also increase in stormy conditions, where the latter pollutant emission is the one that experiences the greatest variation (+0.42%/kPa).

As for the relative humidity, its influence has been introduced in the engine model input data as a reduction in the density of dry air, resulting in practically negligible effects on the output variables (lower than 0.1%/φ).
A second type of sensitivity coefficient is related to evaluate the change in the absolute value of each engine performance and emission outputs as \(\frac{\Delta \psi}{\Delta P_{\text{atm}}}\) and \(\frac{\Delta \psi}{\Delta T_{\text{amb}}}\), which are the local slopes of the surface of each output variable (BMEP, BSFC, specific emissions) when pressure (or temperature) changes at constant temperature (or pressure). The values of these dependence coefficients are slightly different depending on the environmental pressure and temperature.

Finally, the third type of dependence relationships developed are statistical correlations, in the form of power laws, which allow estimating the performance values (BMEP), specific fuel consumption (BSFC), and emissions (\(\text{CO}_2\), NOx, CO and soot), taking into account the variation in pressure and ambient temperature associated with altitude, based on a reference value for each variable. These correlations, given by Equation (30) to Equation (36), provide values very close to the values used to obtain them (the results of the AVL BOOST™ model applied to the engine), in accordance with very high adjusted coefficients of determination \(R^2\), as well as typical errors lower than 0.75%. Due to the limitations of the emissions sub-model, these correlations do not internally consider the effect of air humidity, but are applicable in dry air conditions, allowing the extrapolation of engine performance and emissions at reference conditions to other environmental conditions.

6. Conclusions

This work focuses on the influence of environmental conditions on performance, fuel consumption and pollutant emissions of a naturally aspirated diesel engine. The main contributions of this research are as follows: (a) to apply a simulation model for estimating performance, fuel consumption and polluting gas emissions based on the technical information of the engine, using AVL BOOST™; (b) to quantify the influence of the environmental conditions (temperature, atmospheric pressure and humidity) by presenting sensitivity coefficients of each engine output; and (c) to develop statistical correlations, in the form of power laws, which allow the extrapolation of engine performance and emissions when environmental conditions change (in terms of pressure and temperature). In summary, the results obtained indicate that at high environmental temperature, there is an increase in consumption and emissions of polluting gases, contrary to the BMEP, which is reduced under these conditions. When atmospheric pressure increases, there is an increase in BMEP and NOx emission rates and a decrease in BSFC and the CO and soot emission rates. The exponents of the dependence of each engine output variable on pressure and temperature are presented in Table 18. The influence of relative humidity is very small on BMEP and BSFC.

Future complementary work is necessary to establish estimation methodologies within real driving cycles, and thus, evaluate the impact of the variation in altitude and its environmental characteristics, not only in the operation of the diesel engine, but also its effect on other characteristics of the vehicle, such as its chassis, powertrain, brake and tire efficiency, among others.
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**Abbreviations**

| Variable | Definition |
|----------|------------|
| $\delta_h$ | Dry and wet air ratio $\delta_h = \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\text{ren}}}\right)$ |
| $\varepsilon_{\text{ren}}$ | Renewable degree of a fuel |
| $\phi$ | Relative humidity |
| $\rho_{a,\text{dry}}$ | Dry air density |
| $\rho_{a,\text{hum}}$ | Wet air density |
| $\psi$ | Nitrogen and oxygen mole fraction ratio ($\psi = \frac{X_{N_2}}{X_{O_2}}$) |
| $\psi_{\text{ref}}$ | Value of $\psi$ at reference altitude |
| $\sigma_a$ | Dry air influence factor in engine combustion |
| $\omega$ | Absolute humidity |
| $\text{BMEP}_{\text{ref}}$ | Reference brake mean effective pressure |
| $\text{BMEP}_z$ | Brake mean effective pressure at altitude $z$ |
| $\text{CO}_2,z,x$ | CO$_2$ emission rate for a fuel composition $C_nH_mO_pS_u$ at altitude $z$ |
| $\epsilon_{\text{CO}_2,z,x}$ | CO$_2$ emission factor for a fuel composition $C_nH_mO_pS_u$ |
| $\epsilon_{\text{CO}_2,\text{ref}}$ | CO$_2$ emission factor for reference fuel |
| $F_r$ | Relative fuel-air ratio (<1 diesel engines) |
| $F_{\text{st}}$ | Stoichiometric fuel-air ratio |
| $g$ | Gravity acceleration |
| $H_V$ | Fuel Lower Heating Value |
| $i$ | Index associated to engine type (1/2 for 4-stroke) |
| $K_{\text{hum}}$ | Humid air density correction factor |
| $K_T$ | Thermal gradient |
| $m$ | Hydrogen number of moles in fuel |
| $m_{a,\text{dry}}$ | Mass of dry air |
| $m_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ | Mass of water vapor |
| $n$ | Carbon number of moles in fuel |
| $N_{\text{e},\text{ref}}$ | Reference effective power |
| $N_{e,z}$ | Effective power at altitude $z$ |
| $N_{i,\text{ref}}$ | Reference indicated power |
| $N_{i,z}$ | Indicated power at altitude $z$ |
| $p$ | Oxygen number of moles |
| $PM_a$ | Air molar weight |
| $PM_{a,\text{hum}}$ | Wet air molar weight |
| $PM_i$ | Molar weight of component $i$ |
| $PMN_2$ | Nitrogen molar weight |
| $PMO_2$ | Oxygen molar weight |
| $P_{\text{ref}}$ | Reference atmospheric pressure |
| $P_z$ | Atmospheric pressure at altitude $z$ |
| $P_{\text{sat}}$ | Water saturation pressure |
| $P_{\text{vap}}$ | Water vapor pressure |
| $R_a$ | Air specific gas constant ($R_a = \frac{k}{\text{PM}_a}$) |
| $t$ | Celsius temperature |
| $T$ | Kelvin temperature |
| $T_{\text{ref}}$ | Reference atmospheric temperature |
T₂ Atmospheric temperature at altitude z

μ Sulfur number of moles

Xᵣᵢ Reference mole fraction of the component i

Xᵢ\text{H₂O} \text{mol}_{H₂O}/\text{mol}_{\text{dry}} \text{fraction within wet air } \left( Xᵢ\text{H₂O} = \omega \left( \frac{PMᵢ\text{dry}}{PMᵢ\text{H₂O}} \right) \right)

Xᵢ\text{N₂} Nitrogen mole fraction

Xᵢ\text{O₂} Oxygen mole fraction

z Altitude

zᵣ Reference altitude
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