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Abstract

Background and Objectives Edoxaban is an oral, once-daily direct factor Xa inhibitor. To support the possibility that patients may choose to switch treatment from another nonvitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant to edoxaban, this clinical study was conducted to evaluate the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic effects of edoxaban after switching from rivaroxaban or dabigatran etexilate to edoxaban.

Methods In this open-label, three-period, crossover study, healthy subjects received 3 days of edoxaban 60 mg daily, rivaroxaban 20 mg daily, or dabigatran etexilate 150 mg twice daily, followed by edoxaban 60 mg on day 4.

Results Day 4 edoxaban pharmacokinetic parameters were similar for all treatments. The peak effect of edoxaban on prothrombin time (PT) after 4 days of edoxaban only was 21.8 ± 2.46 s; after switching from rivaroxaban to edoxaban, peak effect on PT was similar at 21.8 ± 2.88 s. After switching from dabigatran etexilate to edoxaban, least squares mean activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) at 2 h after administration was 47.6 vs 35.0 s for edoxaban alone. The treatment difference was 12.8 s (95 % confidence interval 10.5–15.1; p < 0.0001). Post hoc analysis revealed that predose aPTT was elevated on day 3 of dabigatran administration, and on day 4, indicating a carryover effect from dabigatran. All treatments were well tolerated and there were no safety concerns upon switching, with no increased risk of bleeding.

Conclusions The study results suggest that switching to edoxaban from either rivaroxaban or dabigatran etexilate at the time of the next dose is well tolerated and maintains coagulation status.

Key Points

Switching from rivaroxaban or dabigatran etexilate to edoxaban at the next scheduled dosing time produces a similar effect on anticoagulant biomarkers as continuing on these drugs.

In most instances, subjects switching to edoxaban from rivaroxaban or dabigatran etexilate can initiate therapy at the next scheduled dosing time.

1 Introduction

Edoxaban, a nonvitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant (NOAC), is an oral, once-daily, direct, specific, and reversible inhibitor of activated clotting factor X (FXa) [1, 2]. Edoxaban 60 mg once daily has been approved in the US for the prevention of stroke and systemic embolic events in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation, and for the treatment of venous thromboembolism (VTE) [2]. Other approved NOACs include the FXa inhibitors rivaroxaban [3] and apixaban [4], and the direct thrombin inhibitor dabigatran etexilate [5]. Compared with vitamin
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K antagonists such as warfarin, NOACs provide simple dosing and freedom from frequent coagulation monitoring, along with broader therapeutic windows.

Edoxaban is rapidly absorbed, reaching peak plasma concentration and peak antithrombotic effect within 1–2 h [6], with an oral bioavailability of approximately 62% [7] and a terminal elimination half-life of 10–14 h [8, 9]. The time course profiles of biomarkers indicative of anticoagulant activity closely parallel edoxaban’s plasma concentration–time course profile. These include anti-factor Xa (anti-FXa), prothrombin time (PT), activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT), and thrombin generation parameters.

To support the possibility that patients may switch treatment from another NOAC to edoxaban, this clinical study was conducted in healthy subjects to evaluate the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic effects of edoxaban after switching from steady-state rivaroxaban or dabigatran etexilate to edoxaban. The principal pharmacodynamic measures were PT and aPTT, which are considered most sensitive for rivaroxaban and dabigatran, respectively, as indicated in their product labels [3, 5]. For completeness, other biomarkers (including anti-FXa and thrombin generation parameters) were also assessed.

2 Methods

2.1 Study Design

This was an open-label, randomized, three-period, crossover study at a single center in the US. The study was conducted in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki and in accordance with Good Clinical Practice guidelines and the International Conference on Harmonisation. All study procedures were approved by the IntegReview Institutional Review Board (Austin, TX, USA), and informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

The three treatments were: (1) edoxaban 60 mg (Savaysa®; Daiichi Sankyo, Inc.) once daily for 4 days; (2) rivaroxaban 20 mg (Xarelto®; Janssen Ortho, LLC) once daily for 3 days and (3) dabigatran etexilate 150 mg (Pradaxa®; Boehringer Ingelheim) twice daily for 3 days, with treatments 2 and 3 followed by a single oral dose of edoxaban 60 mg on day 4. Meal times and contents were standardized during treatment periods. On days of blood sampling for pharmacokinetics, edoxaban was administered in the morning with 240 mL of water, following an overnight fast of at least 10 h. Rivaroxaban was administered with breakfast, while dabigatran etexilate and edoxaban were administered under fasting conditions on days 1–3. On day 4 of all treatments, subjects continued to fast for an additional 4 h after edoxaban administration, with water allowed ad libitum except for 1 h before and after dosing. All treatments were administered in the morning. Each treatment period lasted 5 days, with a washout period of 7 days between treatment periods (Fig. 1).

Blood samples for the quantification of edoxaban plasma concentrations and for pharmacodynamic and biomarker assessments were collected on day 1 of treatment 1 and on day 4 for all treatments at 0 (predose), 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6, 10, 14, and 24 h postdose. Additional serial blood samples were collected on day 3 of treatment 2, and were collected at 0 (predose), 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12, and 24 h postdose, and at 0 (predose), 1, 2, 4, 6, and 24 h postdose for the determination of the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of rivaroxaban. Similarly, additional serial blood samples were collected on day 3 of treatment 3 for the characterization of the pharmacokinetics of dabigatran at 0 (predose), 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, and 12 h postdose, and at 0 (predose), 1, 2, 4, and 12 h postdose for characterization of pharmacodynamics assessments.
2.2 Study Population

Subjects were healthy men and women, 18–45 years of age, with a body mass index (BMI) of 18–30 kg/m². Subjects had normal coagulation values for PT/international normalized ratio (INR) and aPTT. Exclusion criteria included a history of major bleeding, major trauma, or major surgical procedure of any type within 6 months of the first dose of study medication; a history of minor bleeding within 3 months before the first dose of study medication; a history of peptic ulcer, gastrointestinal bleeding, or bleeding from hemorrhoids; a family history (suspected or documented) of coagulopathy; use of anti-coagulants, coagulants, or antiplatelet therapy within 30 days before the first dose of study medication; use of any drugs or substances known to be strong inhibitors or strong inducers of cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4/5 enzymes or p-glycoprotein within 28 days before the first dose of study medication; and use of fish oil, acetylsalicylic acid, any over-the-counter medication containing acetylsalicylic acid, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, or other supplements (e.g. ginkgo biloba) that could prolong bleeding within 14 days before the first dose of study medication. The use of any of the above mentioned agents during the study was also prohibited. Subjects agreed to abstain from alcohol and specified caffeinated food and drink from 2 days prior to dosing through day 5 of each treatment period, and from food and beverages containing grapefruit or Seville oranges from 10 days before first dosing through the end of the study.

2.3 Bioanalytical Analysis

Human plasma samples were analyzed for edoxaban using a liquid chromatographic method with tandem mass spectrometric detection, developed and validated at Advinio BiServices (Ithaca, NY, USA). The assay was linear over the range of 0.764–382 ng/mL for edoxaban. The intra-and interassay precision for quality control samples prepared at 0.764, 2.29, 153, and 306 ng/mL were ≤11.0 and ≤8.8 %, respectively. The intra- and interassay accuracy of these quality control samples were −6.9 to 5.8 % for edoxaban.

Human plasma samples were analyzed by Worldwide Clinical Trials (San Antonio, TX, USA) for free (unconjugated) dabigatran and for rivaroxaban. Quantitations were performed using weighted 1/x² linear least squares (LS) regression analyses generated from calibration standards. For rivaroxaban, the method was validated for a range of 0.500–500 ng/mL, based on the analysis of 0.100 mL of plasma. The quality control intraday precision and accuracy ranges were 0.7–4.2 and −3.2 to 6.7 %, respectively. The quality control interday precision and accuracy ranges were 1.0–3.1 and −1.6 to 5.3 %, respectively. For dabigatran, the method was validated for a range of 0.500–300 ng/mL, based on the analysis of 0.200 mL of plasma. The quality control intraday precision and accuracy ranges were 1.1–5.8 and −12.0 to −3.0 %, respectively. The interday precision and accuracy ranges were 2.7–6.1 and −7.3 to −5.0 %, respectively.

2.4 Pharmacokinetic Analyses

Data from all dosed subjects were analyzed. Plasma concentration–time data for edoxaban were analyzed by non-compartmental methods using Phoenix™ WinNonlin® version 6.1 (Pharsight Corporation, St. Louis, MO, USA). The following parameters were assessed: maximum plasma concentration (Cmax), time to Cmax (Tmax), area under the plasma concentration–time curve during the dosing interval calculated using the linear trapezoidal rule (AUCt), and the day 4 to day 1 accumulation ratio for AUCt. Additionally, trough concentrations (Ctrough) were reported for each treatment.

2.5 Biomarker Analysis

Biomarkers were measured using validated methods at Medpace Reference Laboratories (Cincinnati, OH, USA). PT was measured on the Stago PT platform (Parsippany, NJ, USA), using Neoplastin C1+ as the thromboplastin to measure plasma clotting time. The intraday and interday precision ranges were 0.5–1.8 and 1.8–2.2 %, respectively, and bias ranged from −4.0 to 6.4 %.

aPTT was measured in duplicate on the Siemens (Erlangen, Germany) BCS hemostasis analyzer. Calculated was added to trigger the coagulation process and clotting time was measured. The intraday and interday precision ranges were 0.9–1.0 and 2.4–7.9 %, respectively, and bias ranged from −15.6 to −8.5 %.

Anti-FXa was measured using the Biopheren heparin 6 assay (Aniara, West Chester, OH, USA). The intraday and interday precision ranges were 3.1–4.9 and 4.8–10.0 %, respectively, and bias reported in two tables within the analytical report ranged from −7.7 to 3.9 and −16.7 to −4.3 %.

The Technothrombin® thrombin generation assay (TGA) (Technoclone, Vienna, Austria) measured fluorescence generated by cleavage of a specific thrombin substrate. The interday precision ranged from 10.4 to 27.1 %, while the intraday precision ranges were 7.9–8.3 % for lag time, 3.0–6.0 % for time to peak, 5.2–9.5 % for peak, 14.5–20.2 % for velocity, and 2.5–6.0 % for endogenous thrombin potential (ETP). The bias ranged from −3.8 to 30.9 %.
2.6 Pharmacodynamic Assessments

Data from all dosed subjects were analyzed. Biomarker time course profile data were analyzed by noncompartmental methods using Phoenix™ WinNonlin® version 6.1 (Pharsight Corporation, St. Louis, MO, USA). The following parameters were assessed: minimum observed activity level (A\text{min}), maximum observed activity level (A\text{max}), time to maximum observed activity value (T\text{max}), area under the effect–time curve during dosing interval calculated using the linear trapezoidal rule (A\text{UE}_\text{T}), change from maximum activity relative to baseline (ΔA\text{max}), and percent change in maximum activity relative to baseline (ΔA\text{max}). The primary endpoint was the 2-h assessment of PT on day 4 when comparing edoxaban with rivaroxaban, and of aPTT on day 4 when comparing edoxaban with dabigatran etexilate. This 2-h timepoint was chosen as it is close to peak effect.

2.7 Safety Assessment

Safety assessments included monitoring of incidence and severity of adverse events (AEs); physical examination findings; vital signs; 12-lead electrocardiograms; standard hematology, clinical chemistry, coagulation (PT/INR and aPTT) and urinalysis laboratory tests; and fecal occult blood tests.

2.8 Statistical Analysis

The pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters were summarized using descriptive statistics for all dosed subjects using SAS version 9.2. Statistical comparison between treatments was performed on day 4 data for the most sensitive biomarker of interest for the anticoagulant that was administered prior to switching to edoxaban. The reference was edoxaban administered alone for a similar duration. The primary endpoint selected for this statistical comparison was the 2-h assessment of PT on day 4 when comparing edoxaban with rivaroxaban, and of aPTT on day 4 when comparing edoxaban with dabigatran etexilate. This 2-h timepoint was chosen as it is close to peak effect.

2.9 Sample Size Considerations

Based on previous trials of edoxaban, a total of 18 healthy subjects was expected to provide 90% power for the test of equivalence for PT, with an equivalence margin of 1.5, α = 0.05, if the difference in means was 0.2 with standard deviation (SD) = 1.2; and to provide 90% power for the test of equivalence for aPTT, with an equivalence margin of 6.5, α = 0.05, if the difference in means was 2 with SD = 4.2. Therefore, a total of 24 subjects were planned for study enrollment, with no replacement of dropouts.

3 Results

3.1 Subjects

Twenty-four subjects were randomized to one of six treatment sequences in this three-treatment crossover study. Two subjects did not complete all three treatments. Subjects had a mean age of 31 years, and the majority of subjects were White (58%) and male (58%), with an average body weight of 74.1 ± 12.4 kg and a BMI of 26.1 ± 2.7 kg/m\(^2\) (Table 1).

| Variable                              | N = 24     |
|---------------------------------------|------------|
| Age (years; mean ± SD)                | 30.8 ± 8.1 |
| Male sex \([n (\%)]\)                 | 14 (58.3)  |
| Ethnicity \([n (\%)]\)                |            |
| Hispanic/Latino                       | 13 (54.2)  |
| Non-Hispanic/Latino                  | 11 (45.8)  |
| Race \([n (\%)]\)                     |            |
| American Indian/Alaskan native        | 4 (16.7)   |
| Black or African American             | 5 (20.8)   |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander   | 0 (0.0)    |
| Asian                                 | 0 (0.0)    |
| White                                 | 14 (58.3)  |
| Other                                 | 1 (4.2)    |
| Height (cm; mean ± SD)                | 168.1 ± 8.9|
| Weight (kg; mean ± SD)                | 74.1 ± 12.4|
| BMI (kg/m\(^2\); mean ± SD)          | 26.1 ± 2.7 |

BMI body mass index, SD standard deviation
3.2 Pharmacokinetics

When administered once daily, the mean edoxaban plasma concentration–time profile after a single dose on day 1 was similar to that on day 4 (Fig. 2), with minimal accumulation upon multiple dosing. Edoxaban $C_{\text{trough}}$ were similar on days 4 (15.4 ± 6.19 ng/mL) and 5 (15.5 ± 3.98 ng/mL), indicating that steady state was achieved by day 4 of once-daily edoxaban administration. Pharmacokinetic parameters were similar following single- and multiple-dose administration of edoxaban (Table 2) due to the minimal accumulation.

Upon switching from rivaroxaban to edoxaban, or from dabigatran etexilate to edoxaban, the concentration–time profile of edoxaban was similar to edoxaban when administered alone. Pharmacokinetic parameters were also similar following treatment with edoxaban alone or upon switching from rivaroxaban or dabigatran etexilate to edoxaban (Table 2). The most relevant comparison for pharmacokinetics after switching is day 1 administration of edoxaban in treatment 1. As can be seen from the results, the single-dose pharmacokinetic parameters of edoxaban administered alone and after switching were similar.

The mean pharmacokinetic parameters $C_{\text{max}}$ and $\text{AUC}_s$, ± SD on day 3 for rivaroxaban were 408 ± 97.8 ng/mL and 3050 ± 556 ng·h/mL, and 140 ± 42.3 ng/mL and 921 ± 265 ng·h/mL for dabigatran, respectively. These were comparable to published values and demonstrate adequate exposure to these drugs in this study [10, 11].

3.3 Pharmacodynamics

3.3.1 Treatment Over 4 Days with Edoxaban Alone

The time course profiles of PT (Fig. 3a), aPTT (Fig. 4a), and anti-FXa (Fig. 5) paralleled the concentration–time profile of edoxaban, with a rapid increase to peak effect within 1–2 h, followed by a return to baseline by 24 h. Repeat dosing did not cause additive anticoagulatory effects, as assessed by these biomarkers. Of note, there was a linear relationship between PT, aPTT, anti-FXa, and plasma edoxaban concentration (data not shown). Further, thrombin generation parameters were similar upon single and repeat dosing (Table 3). These data indicate that maximum anticoagulatory effects are observed with the first dose of edoxaban, and that the anticoagulatory effects are consistent upon multiple dosing.

3.3.2 Switching to Edoxaban After 3 Days of Once-Daily Rivaroxaban Dosing

Upon switching from rivaroxaban to edoxaban, the time course profile of PT was similar to that observed with edoxaban administered alone (Fig. 3b). The peak effect of edoxaban on PT was similar for both treatments: 21.8 ± 2.88 s on day 4 of treatment 2 after switching from rivaroxaban.

![Fig. 2](image-url) Mean edoxaban plasma concentration for 24 h after dosing on day 1 or 4 after treatment 1 (edoxaban alone). Error bars represent the standard deviation

### Table 2 Pharmacokinetic parameters of edoxaban administered alone or following a switch from rivaroxaban or dabigatran

| Treatment | Edoxaban Day 1 (treatment 1) (n = 23) | Edoxaban Day 4 (treatment 1) (n = 23) | Edoxaban after switching from rivaroxaban Day 4 (treatment 2) (n = 24) | Edoxaban after switching from dabigatran etexilate Day 4 (treatment 3) (n = 23) |
|-----------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| $C_{\text{max}}$ (ng/mL) | 309 ± 97.2 | 303 ± 87.7 | 288 ± 113 | 280 ± 124 |
| $T_{\text{max}}$ (h) | 1.00 (1.00, 2.00) | 1.50 (0.50, 4.00) | 1.00 (0.50, 2.00) | 1.00 (0.50, 3.00) |
| $\text{AUC}_s$ (ng·h/mL) | 1780 ± 319 | 1990 ± 403 | 1740 ± 353 | 1680 ± 475 |
| $C_{\text{trough}}$ (ng/mL) | 14.0 ± 5.44 | 15.5 ± 3.98 | 13.4 ± 5.00 | 12.8 ± 4.13 |
| Accumulation ratio [$\text{AUC}_s$ (day 4)/$\text{AUC}_s$ (day 1)] | – | 1.14 ± 0.25 | – | – |

Data are expressed as arithmetic mean ± standard deviation, except for $T_{\text{max}}$, which is expressed as median (minimum, maximum) $\text{AUC}_s$, area under the concentration–time curve during the 24 h dosing interval, $C_{\text{max}}$, maximum plasma concentration, $C_{\text{trough}}$, trough concentration, $T_{\text{max}}$, time to reach maximum plasma concentration.

△ Adis
rivaroxaban, and 21.8 ± 2.46 s on day 4 of treatment 1, edoxaban alone (Table 3). Other day 4 pharmacodynamic parameters were also similar between the two treatment regimens (Table 3).

LS mean PT at 2 h after dosing (a time point close to $T_{\text{max}}$) was 20.8 s for the regimen of edoxaban after switching from rivaroxaban, and 20.6 s for edoxaban alone. The treatment difference was 0.25 s (95% confidence interval [CI] −1.23 to 1.73; $p = 0.734$). Assessments of aPTT (Table 3), anti-FXa (Table 3), and TGA parameters (Table 4) were also similar between the two treatment regimens.

### 3.3.3 Switching to Edoxaban After 3 Days of Twice-Daily Dabigatran Etexilate Dosing

Mean changes in clotting time after treatment with edoxaban on day 4, as measured by aPTT, were higher after switching from dabigatran etexilate than after treatment with edoxaban alone (Fig. 4b). For treatment regimens of
edoxaban after switching from dabigatran etexilate and edoxaban alone, respectively, on day 4, mean \(A_{\text{max}}\) values ± SD were 50.8 ± 8.92 and 35.9 ± 3.15 s; median \(T_{\text{max}}\) values (min, max) were 1.00 (0.50, 3.00) and 1.50 (0.50, 4.00) (Table 3).

LS mean aPTT at 2 h after dosing was 47.6 s after switching from dabigatran etexilate to edoxaban versus 35.0 s for edoxaban alone. The treatment difference was 12.8 s (95 % CI 10.5–15.1; \(p < 0.0001\)). Due to this observation, a post hoc analysis was conducted to assess if this difference was due to lingering effects of dabigatran etexilate twice-daily treatment rather than an edoxaban effect. As shown in Fig. 4b, c (the post hoc comparison for this treatment regimen between day 3 with dabigatran etexilate and day 4 after switching to edoxaban), predose aPTT was elevated on both days 3 and 4, indicating that this was indeed a dabigatran effect (Fig. 4c).

Increased anticoagulation in subjects who switched to edoxaban after treatment with dabigatran etexilate compared with those treated with edoxaban alone was also suggested by three of the TGA parameters. Edoxaban treatment on day 4 after switching from dabigatran etexilate compared with continual edoxaban treatment resulted in \(ETP_{A_{\text{max}}}\) values of 3338 ± 575 mN·min and 3644 ± 434 mN·min, lag time \(A_{\text{min}}\) values of 55.3 ± 16.2 and 37.8 ± 7.09 min, and time-to-peak \(A_{\text{max}}\) values of 74.0 ± 12.4 and 58.5 ± 12.2 min, respectively (Table 4). However, other thrombin generation parameters such as velocity and peak thrombin were similar between the two regimens (Table 3), as were elevations in PT (Table 3) and anti-FXa (Table 3).

### Table 3 Pharmacodynamic parameters on day 4

| Parameter                          | Edoxaban alone (treatment 1) \((n = 23)\) | Edoxaban after switching from rivaroxaban (treatment 2) \((n = 24)\) | Edoxaban after switching from dabigatran etexilate (treatment 3) \((n = 23)\) |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Prothrombin time                  |                                          |                                                               |                                                                     |
| \(A_{\text{max}}\) (s)            | 21.8 ± 2.46                              | 21.8 ± 2.88                                                  | 24.6 ± 4.25                                                         |
| \(T_{\text{max}}\) (h)            | 1.50 (0.50, 4.00)                        | 1.04 (0.50, 2.00)                                           | 1.00 (0.50, 3.00)                                                   |
| \(AUE\) (s·h)                     | 382 ± 16.2                               | 383 ± 14.7                                                  | 398 ± 21.5                                                         |
| \(A_{\text{min}}\) (s)            | 13.7 ± 0.46                              | 13.9 ± 0.61                                                  | 14.1 ± 0.65                                                        |
| \(A_{\text{max}}\) (s)            | 8.58 ± 2.39                              | 8.72 ± 2.95                                                  | 11.7 ± 4.15                                                        |
| Activated partial thromboplastin time |                                          |                                                               |                                                                     |
| \(A_{\text{max}}\) (s)            | 35.9 ± 3.15                              | 36.5 ± 3.94                                                  | 50.8 ± 8.92                                                        |
| \(T_{\text{max}}\) (h)            | 1.50 (0.50, 4.00)                        | 1.00 (0.50, 4.00)                                           | 1.00 (0.50, 3.00)                                                   |
| \(AUE\) (s·h)                     | 725 ± 48.8                               | 738 ± 58.6                                                  | 863 ± 87.3                                                         |
| \(A_{\text{min}}\) (s)            | 27.7 ± 1.70                              | 28.4 ± 2.13                                                  | 30.0 ± 2.20                                                        |
| \(A_{\text{max}}\) (s)            | 9.18 ± 2.11                              | 9.73 ± 3.54                                                  | 24.3 ± 8.35                                                        |
| Anti-FXa                           |                                          |                                                               |                                                                     |
| \(A_{\text{max}}\) (IU/mL)        | 3.14 ± 0.944                             | 3.23 ± 1.10                                                 | 2.83 ± 1.27                                                        |
| \(T_{\text{max}}\) (h)            | 1.50 (0.50, 4.00)                        | 1.50 (0.50, 2.00)                                           | 1.00 (0.50, 3.00)                                                   |
| \(AUE\) (IU/mL·h)                 | 20.7 ± 4.36                              | 21.9 ± 5.26                                                 | 17.3 ± 5.31                                                        |
| \(A_{\text{min}}\) (IU/mL)        | 0.12 ± 0.03                              | 0.17 ± 0.09                                                 | 0.100 ± 0.000                                                      |
| \(A_{\text{max}}\) (IU/mL)        | 3.04 ± 0.945                             | 3.15 ± 1.12                                                 | 2.81 ± 1.24                                                        |

Data are expressed as arithmetic mean ± standard deviation, except for \(T_{\text{max}}\), which is expressed as median (minimum, maximum)

\(\Delta A_{\text{max}}\) change in maximum activity relative to baseline, \(A_{\text{max}}\) maximum observed activity, \(A_{\text{min}}\) minimum observed activity, \(AUE\) area under the concentration–time curve during the 24 h dosing interval, \(T_{\text{max}}\) time to reach maximum observed activity
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3.4 Safety

Edoxaban, dabigatran etexilate, and rivaroxaban were well tolerated in healthy adult subjects. No subjects withdrew from the study due to treatment-emergent AEs (TEAEs). One subject had mildly elevated creatine kinase levels at a period 2 check-in that were not considered by the investigator to be related to the study drug. The subject was not dosed in period 2; however, the subject was allowed to return for period 3 dosing. The most common (>2 subjects during any treatment) TEAEs were dizziness (one subject in treatment 2, four subjects in treatment 3), constipation (two subjects in treatment 1, two subjects in treatment 2), diarrhea (one subject in treatment 1, two subjects in treatment 3), vessel puncture site hemorrhage (two subjects in treatment 3), and nausea (one subject in treatment 3, two subjects in treatment 3). Similar proportions of subjects across treatments experienced TEAEs during the study, and all TEAEs were mild or moderate in intensity.

4 Discussion

This is the first study to address the effects of switching from other NOACs to edoxaban. Studying pharmacokinetic parameters of edoxaban and pharmacodynamic measures of coagulation after switching from another NOAC can provide clinical guidance for physicians and their patients who may choose to switch from another NOAC to edoxaban. In this study of healthy subjects, steady-state conditions were achieved by 4 days of once-daily edoxaban treatment. We compared 4 days of continual treatment with edoxaban with the immediate effects of switching to edoxaban from previous treatment with rivaroxaban or dabigatran etexilate on day 4. Edoxaban was well tolerated when administered alone or upon switching from dabigatran etexilate or rivaroxaban.

Switching from rivaroxaban to edoxaban on day 4 did not lead to any relevant differences in edoxaban pharmacokinetics or pharmacodynamics compared with 4 days of continual edoxaban treatment. All edoxaban pharmacoki-
Switching from Rivaroxaban or Dabigatran Etxilate to Edoxaban

5 Conclusions

Switching from rivaroxaban to edoxaban 24 h after the last rivaroxaban dose did not affect edoxaban pharmacokinetics and resulted in similar anticoagulant effects in all pharmacodynamic assays compared with multiple administration of edoxaban. Switching from dabigatran etexilate to edoxaban 12 h after the last dabigatran etexilate dose had no effect on edoxaban pharmacokinetics. Switching from dabigatran etexilate to edoxaban resulted in higher anticoagulant effects of edoxaban for aPTT and select thrombin generation parameters compared with treatment with edoxaban alone, although previous treatment with dabigatran etexilate did not affect other pharmacodynamic markers. Overall, the study results suggest that switching to edoxaban from either rivaroxaban or dabigatran etexilate at the time of the next dose is well tolerated and maintains coagulation status in healthy subjects.
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