Case report

Scar-like lesion on dorsal nose (cellular neurothekeoma)
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Abstract

Neurothekeomas are tumors of neural differentiation and of unknown origin that occur in females at the 2nd and 3rd decades of life. They usually affect the face with an unspecific clinical aspect. The histological features include cellular or mixoid differentiation and immunohistochemistry can be positive for protein s-100, vimentin and epithelial membrane antigen (EMA).

This case report presents a 13-year-old female patient with nasal neurothekeoma of cellular variety and strongly positive for vimentin and s-100; and negative for EMA.

Background

Neurothekeoma (NK) is a tumor of neural differentiation first described by Harkin and Reed in 1969 [1] and later termed "neurothekeoma" by Gallager and Helwig in 1980 [2]. Being extremely rare (1 of 4000 biopsies in international reports) [1], it is histologically constituted by cellular proliferation arranged in lobules or fascicles immersed in an amorphous matrix in the dermis and rarely in subcutaneous tissue [3].

The origin of this tumor is unknown. Some authors consider it as Schwann cells, [4] while others state that perineural tissue or supporting structures of peripheric nerves, fibroblasts, and muscle could be responsible [4]. It has even been considered as a variant of the following dermatoses: dermatofibroma [5], pilar epithelioid leiomioma [6], plexiform neurofibroma, [7] and nerve sheath myxoma [8].

NK presents frequently in females between 10–66 years (especially among the 2nd and 3rd decades of life). It is most commonly located is on the head, face and superior mid-body. To date, 21 reports have shown facial occurrence (with one patient revealing nasal manifestation) and 31 reports demonstrate occurrence of NK in patients younger than 20 years old [5,9-11]. Other reports have documented different locations of occurrence including tongue [9], oral mucosa, eyelids [10], trunk (dorsum and shoulders) and superior extremities (superior mid body).

NK has an unspecific clinical aspect, more frequently presenting as a cupuliform, firm, flesh-colored or hyperpigmented papule-like formation which rarely exceeds 10 mm with capillaries on its surface and may be asymptomatic. The evolution varies between 18 months and 30 years; with a slowly growing, generally benign course.

Diagnosis can be made by conventional histopathology [1,2,4] yielding two basic patterns:
1. Mucinous or myxoid: It is the most frequent form. It has an evident fascicular and tabicated aspect with abundant mucinous and amorphous substance, composed principally of acid mucopolysaccharides.

2. Cellular – epitheloid or fusiform cells with a cellular pattern and fascicle-nodular aggregation, circumscribed to reticular dermis, showing a grenz zone separating the dermis from the subacent tumor. There can be some mitotic figures [12], atypical features [13] and metachromasia with Giemsa stain [14]. Collagen may be sclerotic with some lymphocytic infiltration. Barnhill, et al. [13] consider that the cellular neurothekeoma might be an early form (more frequent in young patients), while the mucinous type might represent a tumor of longer evolution (frequent in older patients).

NK is positive with alcian blue at pH 2.5, and there are reports of metachromasia with Giemsa stain [1].

By immunohistochemistry, protein S-100 [14] has been found positive, as well as other stains: epithelial membrane antigen (EMA), vimentin and neuron specific enolase (NSE), (with controversial results) [15]. Other useful markers are: myelin basic protein, neurofilaments, glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), keratin and Leu-7 [11], NK1/C3 and PGP9.5 [2,16].

Electronic microscopy is not useful for diagnosis. Differential diagnosis should be made chiefly with scars, dermatofibromas, nevi, lymphocytomas and adnexae tumors among others [2,4,12,13].

Case presentation
A 13-year-old female referred to the clinic with dermatosis at the dorsal aspect of the nose. The lesion was 0.3 cm in diameter, flat, soft, swollen; and light pink in color, with superficial telangiectasias. Reportedly the lesion had appeared 6 months ago, and had remained asymptomatic (figure 1). The patient history was non-contributory, including the absence of prior trauma. The incisional skin biopsy showed atrophic epidermis with lax hyperkeratosis, sub-papillar moderate lymphocytic infiltrate; dense dermal infiltrate of fusiform cells arranged in nests with mitoses and hypotrophic adnexae. The collagen surrounding the neoformation had a normal aspect (figures 2). Mucin stain (Mucicarmin of Mayer) and neurofilament stains (Bielchowsky) were positive [17].

Immunohistochemistry was strongly positive for vimentin, lightly positive for s-100, negative for EMA and positive for mucin. Based on biopsy and immunohistochemistry, the diagnosis of Neurothekeoma was made.

Figure 1
A 0.3 cm, soft, light pink neoformation in the dorsal aspect of nose.
The treatment included complete extirpation of the lesion, followed by esthetic correction with a Limbert flap (figure 3).

Figure 2
H-E 40× – Dense infiltrates of fusiform cells arranged in nests, with some mitoses (pleomorphic cytology).

Figure 3
Defect correction with a Limbert flap.
Conclusion
In the present case, clinical diagnosis of neurothekeoma was difficult to make since the lesion had a scar-like pattern, without previous history of trauma. Histological examination is definitely essential for the diagnosis, and must be interpreted by experienced dermatopathologists. An interesting feature of this case is that it was positive for both of the neurofilament stains (Bielschowsky) and mucin stain (Mucicarmin of Mayer) showing its dual differentiation: neural and myxoid.

Authors' contributions
All author(s) read and approved the final manuscript.

LDLC, made the clinical diagnosis, follow up of the patient, surgical treatment and writing the manuscript.

GNF and JNS, made the histological diagnosis.

JEM, planned and assisted the patient's surgical treatment.

Acknowledgements
Dr. Victor Jaimes, Dermatology Department, “Centro Médico Nacional 20 Noviembre, ISSSTE”, for helping us obtain immunohistochemistry stains.

José Alberto Castillo Naranjo, Histotechnologist, “Centro Dermatológico Pascua”, for the slides with special stains.

Dr. Maria del Mar Paola Campos Fernández, for her Assistance in writing the manuscript.

Written consent was obtained from the patient prior to submission of the manuscript.

References
1. Del Río de la Torre E, Requena V A: Neurotecoma (mixoma cutáneo de la vaina nerviosa). Estudio histopatológico de 4 casos. Piel 1993, 8:116-121.
2. Gallager RL, Helwig EB: Neurothekeoma – a benign cutaneous tumor of neural origin. Am J Clin Pathol 1980, 74:759-64.
3. Watanabe K, Kusakabe T, Hoshi N, Suzuki T: Subcutaneous cellular neurothekeoma: a pseudosarcomatous tumor. Br J Dermatol 2001, 144:1273-1274.
4. Requena L, Sangüeza O: Benign neoplasms with neural differentiation: a review. Am J Dermatopathol 1995, 17:75-96.
5. Zelger BG, Steiner H, Kutzner H, Maier H, Zelger B: Cellular neurothekeoma: a benign cutaneous tumor of neural origin. Histopathology 1998, 32:414-22. summary
6. Calonje E, Wilson-Jones E, Smith NP, Fletcher CDM: Cellular Neurothekeoma – a benign cutaneous variant of pilar leiomyoma? Am J Dermatopathol 1995, 17:363.
7. Jurecka W: Nerve sheath myxoma: An immunohistochemical study of a case. Dermatology 1992, 184:228.
8. Nagi Y, Ohno Y, Ishikawa O, Miyachi Y: Cellular neurothekeoma on the lower lip, letter. Br J Dermatol 1997, 137:314-315.
9. Pepine M, Flowers F, Ramos-Caro F: Neurothekeoma in a 15 year old boy: case report. Pediatr Dermatol 1992, 9:272-274.
10. Penarrocha M, Bonet J, Minués M, Vera F: Nerve sheath myxoma (neurothekeoma) in the tongue of a newborn. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Path Oral Radiol Endod 2000, 90:74-7.
11. You TT, Kaiser PK, Netland TP, Jakobiec FA: Neurothekeoma palpebrarum: a rare nerve sheath tumor arising the eyelid. Ophthal Plast Reconstr Surg 1999, 15:448-9.
12. Barnhill RL, Dickersin GR, Nickeleit V, Bhan AK, Muhlbauer JE, Philips ME, Mihm MC Jr: Studies on the cellular origin of neurothekeoma: clinical, light microscopic, immunohistochemical and ultrastructural observations. J Am Acad Dermatol 1991, 25:80-88.
13. Busam KJ, Mentzel T, Colpaert C, Barnhill RL, Fletcher CD: Atypical or worrisome features in cellular neurothekeoma: a study of 10 cases. Am J Surg Pathol 1998, 22:1067-72.
14. Husain S, Silvers D, Halperin A, McNutt NS: Histologic Spectrum of neurothekeoma and the value of immunoperoxidase staining for s-100 protein in distinguishing it from melanoma. Am J Dermatopathol 1994, 16:496-503.
15. Aronson P: Unmasking myelin proteins in neurothekeoma. Letter 1992, 26:659.
16. Wang AR, May D, Bourne P, Scout G. PGP9.5: a marker for cellular neurothekeoma. Am J Surg Pathol 1999, 23:1401-7.
17. Armed Forces Institute of Pathology: Manual of histologic and special staining techniques. Washington D.C; 1957.