Comparative Genomic and Phylogenetic Analysis of Chloroplast Genomes of Hawthorn (Crataegus spp.) in Southwest China
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The hawthorns (Crataegus spp.) are widely distributed and famous for their edible and medicinal values. There are ~18 species and seven varieties of hawthorn in China distributed throughout the country. We now report the chloroplast genome sequences from C. scabrifolia, C. chungtienensis and C. oresbia, from the southwest of China and compare them with the previously released six species in Crataegus and four species in Rosaceae. The chloroplast genome structure of Crataegus is typical and can be divided into four parts. The genome sizes are between 159,654 and 159,898bp. The three newly sequenced chloroplast genomes encode 132 genes, including 85 protein-coding genes, 37 tRNA genes, and eight rRNA genes. Comparative analysis of the chloroplast genomes revealed six divergent hotspot regions, including ndhA, rps16-trnQ-UUG, ndhF-rpl32, rps16-psbK, trnR-UCU-atpA and rpl32-trnL-UAG. According to the correlation and co-occurrence analysis of repeats with indels and SNPs, the relationship between them cannot be ignored. The phylogenetic tree constructed based on the complete chloroplast genome and intergenic region sequences indicated that C. scabrifolia has a different origin from C. chungtienensis and C. oresbia. We support the placement of C. hupehensis, C. cuneata, C. scabrifolia in C. subg. Crataegus and C. kansuensis, C. oresbia, C. kansuensis in C. subg. Sanguineae. In addition, based on the morphology, geographic distribution and phylogenetic relationships of C. chungtienensis and C. oresbia, we speculate that these two species may be the same species. In conclusion, this study has enriched the chloroplast genome resources of Crataegus and provided valuable information for the phylogeny and species identification of this genus.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Chloroplasts are semi-independent organelles derived from blue-green algae through endosymbiosis and play an important role in the transfer and expression of genetic material. (Margulis, 1976; Wolfe et al., 1987; Bremer et al., 2002). The chloroplast genome of angiosperms is highly conserved and consists of four parts, including two inverted repeats (IRa and IRb), a large single copy region (LSC) and a small single copy region (SSC) (Palmer, 1991). Before the development of sequencing
technology, the complete chloroplast genome required a lot of manpower and material resources. Therefore, some genome segments for phylogenetic analysis were identified before the entire genome sequence was available (Shaw et al., 2005; Dong et al., 2012). These segments contain significant species-level genetic variability and divergence to distinguish different species (Kress and Erickson, 2008). At the same time, these segments are highly conserved in plant evolution, and this conservatism and uniparental heritance make it possible to compare phylogenetic relationships at various taxonomic levels (Katayama and Uematsu, 2005; Kress and Erickson, 2008). At present, genome segments commonly used to study the phylogenetic relationship of species include gene regions (rpoCl, rpoB, matK, rbcl, rps16, rpl16) and intergenic regions (atpF-atpH, trnH-psbA, psbK-psbI, trnG-trnS, trnH-rpl2, rpl20-rps12, trnC-ycf6, atpB-rbcL, trnL-trnF) (Hollingsworth et al., 2009; Lo and Donoghue, 2009; Lo et al., 2009; Zarre et al., 2015). Since the Nicotiana tabacum plastid genome sequence was published (Shinozaki et al., 1986), the chloroplast genomes of 3,452 plants have been published on NCBI (27 October 2019), and using chloroplast genomes for DNA barcoding is an important developmental tool (Yu et al., 2021). Thus, the chloroplast genome has always been important for plant identification, classification and phylogeny (Zhao et al., 2018; Biju et al., 2019; Nguyen et al., 2021).

The hawthorns (Crataegus spp.) are a genus which has been used as edible, medicinal, ornamental, and horticultural plants. Hawthorn is very popular as a sour fruit, and its fruit has been processed into jam, candy and other foods, with high economic value. Hawthorn is also an important traditional Chinese medicine which helps digest food, enhances stomach function, lowers blood pressure and blood lipids (Chinese Pharmacopoeia Commission 2020). In addition, modern pharmacological studies show that hawthorn can promote blood circulation, have anti-hyperlipidemic, hepatoprotective, antioxidant, anti-aging, anti-tumor, and antibacterial properties (Jurikova et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2015; Dong et al., 2017).

Crataegus contains more than 250 species (Evans and Campbell, 2002), in which ~18 species and 7 varieties are distributed throughout China (Gu and Spongberg, 2003). There are some unique hawthorn resources in southwest China, however, current chloroplast genome and phylogenetic studies of Crataegus only contain a few samples from the area. Therefore, this study assembled three Crataegus chloroplast genomes from southwest China, including Crataegus scabridifolia (Franch.) Rehder, Crataegus chtsngtienensis W.W. Smith, Crataegus oregia W. W. Smith, and compared them with six Crataegus (Crataegus pinnatifida var. major N.E.Br., Crataegus pinnatifida Bunge, Crataegus hupheensis Sarg., Crataegus kansuensis Wils., Crataegus cuneata Sieb. et Zucc., Crataegus marshallii Egg.) and four other species of Rosaceae (Eriobotrya salwinensis Hand.-Mazz. (Liu et al., 2019b), Malus × micromalus Makino (Hu et al., 2017), Spiraea mongoilica Maxim. (Ma et al., 2021), and Rubus phoenicolasius Maxim. (Zhang et al., 2021). We conducted a comprehensive analysis of the Crataegus chloroplast genomes, including basic genome structure, analysis of codon usage, repetitive structure characteristics, comparative genomic and phylogenetic analyses of the chloroplast genomes of Crataegus. In addition, phylogenetic trees were constructed using five intergenic regions to explore the subgenus classification of 8 hawthorn species native to China. Through these studies we will enrich the information of hawthorn chloroplast genomes and explore the relationships between species within Crataegus and between Crataegus with other species of Rosaceae.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Plant and DNA Sources
Healthy fresh leaves of C. scabridifolia were obtained from the Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences and healthy fresh leaves of C. chungtienensis and C. oregia were obtained from the Shangri-la Alpine Botanical Garden (Supplementary Figure S1). The voucher specimens C. scabridifolia (YUNCM5301260363), C. chungtienensis (YUNCM2021051701) and C. oregia (YUNCM2021051702) were deposited in the Herbarium of Yunnan University of Chinese Medicine (YUNCM). DNA was extracted from the leaves of the above three plants for each species using the Plant Genomic DNA Kit and the DNA concentration and quality were detected by a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer and agarose gel electrophoresis.

2.2 DNA Sequencing, Assembly, and Annotation
The obtained total DNA was sequenced on an Illumina Hiseq 4000. The chloroplast genome sequences were assembled by NOVOPlasty v2.7.2 (Wyman et al., 2004); the size of k-mers was 39. The chloroplast genomes were annotated, compared, checked and corrected with Geneious Prime®2020.1.1 (Greiner et al., 2019). Transfer RNAs (tRNAs) were annotated by tRNAscan-SE software (Lohse et al., 2007). The genes, introns and the boundaries of coding regions were compared with reference sequences. Finally, the OGDRAW tool (Greiner et al., 2019) was used to display a circular chloroplast genome map.

2.3 Structural Analyses
Total chloroplast genome length, length of LSC, SSC and IR, GC content, number of genes and frequency of amino acids were counted by Geneious Prime®2020.1.1 (Greiner et al., 2019). Codon usage bias analysis was performed using MEGA X (Beier et al., 2017). The online MISA (Beier et al., 2017) tool was used to detect simple sequence repeats. The minimum repeat number of mononucleotides, dinucleotides, trinucleotides, tetranucleotides, pentanucleotides, and hexanucleotides was set to 10, 5, 4, 3, 3, and 3, respectively. The repeat sequences (forward, palindromic, reverse, and complement repeats) were detected using REPutter (Kurtz et al., 2001) with the minimum repeat size 30 bp, and the Hamming Distance 3.

Using C. chungtienensis as the reference sequence, the indels and SNPs of the other five chloroplast genomes (C. scabridifoli, E.
salwinensis, M. micromalus, S. mongolica, R. phoenicosilus) were analyzed by snippy software (Seemann, 2015) (Supplementary Table S1), as used previously (Abdullah et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020). Oligonucleotide repeats in the reference genome were counted using REPutter (Kurtz et al., 2001). The minimal repeat size was set to 14 bp, and there was no mismatch between the two copies of the repeat sequence. The repeats are the sum of oligonucleotide repeats and SSRs. Using C. chungtiensis as the coordinate reference sequence, other five sequences were aligned using Geneious Prime® 2020.1.1 software (Greiner et al., 2019) and the occurrence of repeats with SNPs and indels was counted. Then, indels, SNPs and repeats were counted within non-overlapping 150 bp windows of the chloroplast genome (Supplementary Table S2). Spearman's rho correlation was performed using SPSS software version 26.0 to calculate the degree of association between indels, SNPs, and repeats. The strength levels of the correlations were classified as follows: very weak (0.1–0.19), weak (0.20–0.29), moderate (0.30–0.39), strong (0.4–0.69), very strong (0.70–0.99) and perfect (1.0). The probability (p) of significance of the correlation was tested at a-level of 0.01.

2.4 Comparative Genomic Analysis
The whole-genome alignment of Crataegus and relatives was compared by the mVISTA program in Shuffle-LAGAN mode (Beier et al., 2017). On the basis of annotations, the chloroplast genome borders between the IR, LSC, and SSC were analyzed and the schematic diagram was drawn with IRscope (Amiryousefi et al., 2018). Nucleotide variability (Pi) was computed by DnaSP v5.10. Then the divergence hotspot values of Crataegus chloroplast genomes were calculated by sliding window analysis; the window length was set to 600 bp and the step length was set to 200 bp (Librado and Rozas, 2009).

2.5 Phylogenetic Analysis
We conducted a phylogenetic analysis of Rosaceae, including C. scabridifolia, C. chungtiensis, and C. oesobia. The other 47 species of Rosaceae and the outgroups Broussonetia kawai (Moraceae) and Morus alba (Moraceae) were downloaded from NCBI (Supplementary Table S3). The phylogenetic trees of complete chloroplast genomes were constructed using the maximum likelihood (ML) method and the Bayesian inference (BI) method. ML analysis was conducted by IQ-TREE (version 2.1.3) in Phylosuite (Bennetzen et al., 2005; Zhang D et al., 2020) software with a GTR + F + I substitution model and 1,000 bootstrap replicates. The Bayesian inference (BI) tree was implemented in MrBayes in Phylosuite (Zhang D et al., 2020) and was run for two million generations in total. Based on the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm (Gascuel, 1997), the best-fitting GTR + F + I substitution model was determined with sampling after every 1,000 generations. When the value of the average standard deviation of split frequencies was less than 0.01 we stopped running. Then we discarded less than 25% of the aging samples and constructed a consistent tree according to the remaining samples.

In addition, we selected ten diploid species from five Crataegus subgenus, including C. subg. Americanae (C. crus-galli, C. punctata and C. trifloral), C. subg. Crataegus (C. pentagyna), C. subg. Sanguiniae (C. saligna, C. suksdorfi, C. nigra and C. wilsonii), C. subg. Mespilus (C. germanica) and C. subg. Brevisipinae (C. brachycantha) (Phipps et al., 1990; Zareei et al., 2015; Ufimov and Dickinson, 2020; Liston et al., 2021), and downloaded their intergenic regions sequences from NCBI (atpF-atpH, trnL-trnF, atpB-rbcL, rpl20-rps12 and rpl2-trnH). An outgroup (Amelanchier alnifolia (a)) was also downloaded from NCBI. Using Geneious Prime® 2020.1.1 software (Greiner et al., 2019), identical intergenic regions were extracted from the complete chloroplast genomes of nine hawthorns and outgroups (Amelanchier pallida, Amelanchier alnifolia (b), Eriobotrya japonica and Eriobotrya salwinensis) (Supplementary Table S4). The phylogenetic trees were constructed by the concatenated sequence of five intergenic regions, and the methods for constructing the phylogenetic tree were the same as above.

3 RESULTS

3.1 General Features of Crataegus
All of these nine chloroplast genomes of Crataegus have a typical circular tetrameric structure, including two inverted repeats (IRs), a large single copy (LSC) and a small single copy (SSC) (Figure 1). The chloroplast genomes size varied from 159,654 bp (C. pinnatifida var. major) to 159,898 bp (C. pinnatifida). The LSC size was from 87,599 bp (C. pinnatifida) to 87,857 bp (C. scabridifolia), the SSC size from 19,138 bp (C. pinnatifida var. major) to 19,263 bp (C. oesobia), and the IR size from 26,384 bp (C. cuneata) to 26,540 bp (C. pinnatifida). The overall GC content of the chloroplast genomes was 36.6% (Table 1).

A total of 132 genes were detected in the three newly sequenced species (C. scabridifolia, C. chungtiensis, C. oesobia), including 85 protein-coding genes, 37 tRNA genes, and eight rRNA genes. The LSC region contained 63 protein-coding and 37 tRNA genes, while the SSC region contained 12 protein-coding genes and eight rRNA genes. Ten protein-coding genes, 14 tRNA coding genes and eight rRNA coding genes were located within IRs. In the chloroplast genomes of the three species of Crataegus, 18 genes contained introns, of which two genes (ycf3 and clpP) contained two introns, whereas the remaining 16 (trnU, rps16, trnG-GCC, atpF, rpoC1, trnL-UAA, trnV-UAC, rpl2, ndhB, rps12, trnI-GAU, trnA-UGC, ndhA, petB, petD, rpl16) genes contained one intron (Table 2). In addition, we counted the intergenic regions of nine hawthorn chloroplast genomes (Supplementary Table S5).

3.2 Amino Acid Abundance and Codon Usage
A total of 61 codons were found in the chloroplast protein coding genes of the nine species. All protein-coding genes consisted of 25,058 (C. pinnatifida)–26,218 (C. chungtiensis) codons. Among the amino acids encoded by these codons, Leucine (Leu) was the most abundant, followed by Isoleucine (Ile) while Cysteine (Cys) was the least common amino acid.
According to the Relative Synonymous Codon Usage (RSCU) value, the synonymous codon preference was divided into three grades: high preference, RSCU > 1.0; no preference, RSCU = 1.0; low preference, RSCU < 1.0. The result showed that there were 31 codons with RSCU values > 1.0; out of the above 31 codons, 29 codons were A/T-ending codons. Of those, 38.71% were A-ending codons and 51.61% were T-ending codons. In contrast, there were 33 codons with RSCU values < 1.0, 29 of which ended in G/C. Among them, 48.39% were C-ending codons and 45.16% were G-ending codons. Stop codon usage was found to be biased toward TAA (RSCU > 1.0) (Figure 2).

### 3.3 SSRs and Long Repeats Analyses

A total of 880 SSRs were identified from chloroplast genomes of nine species in Crataegus using the MISA software tool. In detail, 98 SSRs were detected in *C. oresbia*, 97 in *C. scabrifolia*, 98 in *C. chungtienensis*, 96 in *C. marshallii*, 92 in *C. Pinnatifida*, 96 in *C. cuneata*, 103 in *C. kansuensis*, 100 in *C. hupehensis*, and 99 in *C. pinnatifida var. major*. Among the detected mono-, di-, tri-, tetra-
TABLE 1 | Comparison of chloroplast genome features of the nine species of Crataegus and four other genera of Rosaceae (Eriobotrya, Malus, Rubus, and Spiraea).

| Species                  | Size (bp) | LSC (bp) | SSC (bp) | IR (bp) | Genes number | GC (%) | Reference                   |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|---------|---------------|--------|------------------------------|
| C. oresbia               | 159,851   | 87,819   | 19,263   | 26,384  | 132           | 36.6   | This study                   |
| C. acerifolia            | 159,742   | 87,819   | 19,218   | 26,383  | 132           | 36.6   | This study                   |
| C. cuneata               | 159,859   | 87,819   | 19,263   | 26,384  | 132           | 36.6   | This study                   |
| C. marshallii            | 159,860   | 87,712   | 19,231   | 26,383  | 132           | 36.6   | Liu et al. (2019a)           |
| C. chinensis var. major  | 159,888   | 87,599   | 19,218   | 26,540  | 129           | 36.6   | He et al. (2020)             |
| C. kansuensis            | 159,865   | 87,815   | 19,231   | 26,384  | 132           | 36.6   | Zhang X et al. (2020)        |
| C. hupehensis            | 159,766   | 87,852   | 19,143   | 26,385  | 132           | 36.6   | Hu et al. (2021b)            |
| C. pinnatifida var. major| 159,654   | 87,747   | 19,138   | 26,384  | 131           | 36.6   | Wu et al. (2021)             |
| E. salwinensis           | 159,488   | 87,380   | 19,290   | 26,384  | 132           | 36.7   | Liu et al. (2019b)           |
| M. micromalus            | 159,834   | 87,950   | 19,176   | 26,409  | 129           | 36.6   | Hu et al. (2017)             |
| S. mongolica             | 155,949   | 84,375   | 18,894   | 26,354  | 131           | 36.7   | Ma et al. (2021)             |
| R. phoenicolasius        | 155,144   | 84,848   | 18,580   | 25,937  | 130           | 37.3   | Zhang G et al. (2021)        |

TABLE 2 | Genes in the chloroplast genome of Crataegus cuneata.

| Gene Group                | Gene name          |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Photosystem I             | psaA, psaB, psaC, psal, psaI |
| Photosystem II            | psbA, psbB, psbC, psbD, psbE, psbF, psbH, psbI, psbJ, psbK, psbL, psbN, psbT, psbZ |
| NAD (P) H oxidoreductase  | ndhA, ndhB, ndhC, ndhD, ndhE, ndhF, ndhG, ndhH, ndhI, ndhJ, ndhK |
| Cytochrome b6/f complex   | petA, petB, petD, petG, petL, petN |
| ATP synthase              | atpA, atpB, atpE, atpF, atpH, atpI |
| Rubisco                   | rbcL               |
| Large subunit of ribosomal| rps2, rps3, rps4, rps5, rps7, rps11, rps12[a,b,c], rps14, rps15, rps16, rps18, rps19 |
| Small subunit of ribosomal| rps2, rps3, rps4, rps5, rps7, rps11, rps12[a,b,c], rps14, rps15, rps16, rps18, rps19 |
| DNA dependent RNA polymerase| rpoA, rpoB, rpoC1, rpoC2 |
| rRNA genes                | rrn4.5, rrn5, rrn16, rrn23 |
| tRNA genes                | trnA-UGCa,c, trnC-GCA, trnD-GUC, trnE-UCU, trnF-GAA, trnM-CAU, trnG-GCC, trnG-UCC, trnH-GUG, trnL-CAU, trnL-GAU, trnL-UUU, trnL-CAG, trnL-UAA, trnL-UAG, trnM-CAU, trnN-GUU, trnP-UGU, trnQ-UGU, trnR-ACG, trnR-UCA, trnS-GCU, trnS-GCA, trnS-UGA, trnT-GGU, trnT-UGU, trnV-GAC, trnV-UAC, trnW-CCA, trnY-GUA |
| Maturase                  | matK               |
| Protease                  | clpP               |
| Envelop membrane protein  | cemA               |
| Subunit of acetyl-CoA     | accD               |
| c-type cytochrome synthesis gene | cssA             |
| Translation               | trnA               |
| Conserved hypothetical chloroplast ORF | ycf1, ycf2, ycf3, ycf4 |

Gene[a], Gene with one intron; Gene[b], Gene with two introns; Gene[c], Number of copies of multi-copy genes.

FIGURE 2 | Heatmap analysis of relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) values among the 9 species of Crataegus.
FIGURE 3 | Complete chloroplast genome comparison of 13 species of Rosaceae. Gray arrows indicate the direction of the gene. The dark blue regions represent exons. Pink regions represent noncoding sequences (CNS), and white peaks represent genomic differences. The Y-axis represents the percentage, from 50 to 100%.
penta-, and hexa-nucleotide SSRs, the single-nucleotide SSRs were the most (ranged from 74 to 63), which accounted for 69.55% of the total number of SSRs (Supplementary Figure S3A). In addition, the di-nucleotide and tetra-nucleotide accounted for the total number of SSRs at 21.25 and 4.89%, respectively. A/T repeats were the most common of mononucleotides (65.61%), while AT/TA repeats were the majority of dinucleotide repeat sequences (20.20%) and tetranucleotide AAAT/TTTA (4.88%) repeats were the third most abundant SSRs types (Supplementary Figure S3B).

More complex and longer repeats may play an important role in the genome. 439 long repeat sequences were identified from the nine species of *Crataegus* chloroplast genomes using the REPuter. 47 long repeats were detected in *C. marshallii*, and 49 long repeats were detected in the remaining 8 chloroplast genomes, including 196 forward repeats, 192 palindromic repeats, 39 reverse repeats, and 12 complementary repeats (Supplementary Figure S3C). The length of these repeat sequences ranged from 30 to 70 bp (Supplementary Figure S3D).

### 3.4 Comparative Analysis of Chloroplast Genomes

Using the annotated *C. kansuensis* chloroplast genome as a reference, our results showed that the nine *Crataegus* chloroplast genomes were relatively conserved and the IR region was more conserved than the LSC and SSC regions. The highly divergent regions were mainly located in the intergenic regions, such as *trnH-GUG-trnT-UGU*, *trnR-UCU-atpA*, *petN-psbM*, *psbM-trnD-GUC*, *trnY-GUA-psbD*, *ndhC-trnV-UAG*, *atpB-rcbl*, *accB-ycf4*, *rpl32-trnL-UAG* (Figure 3). As expected, the other four species of Rosaceae were significantly different from *Crataegus* in the noncoding regions, especially *S. mongolica* and *R. phoenicolasius*, which are more distantly related to *Crataegus*.

We used DNAsp software to examine nucleotide diversity (Pi), and the divergent hotspot regions in the nine species of *Crataegus* were determined by comparison of the Pi values. The analysis indicated that the average Pi was 0.00175. Furthermore, five highly variable regions (rps16-trnQ-UUG, *ndhF-rpl32*, rps16-psbK, *trnR-UCU-atpA*, rpl32-trnL-UAG) and a protein-coding gene (*ndhA*) were screened. Among these divergent hotspots, the *ndhA* (Pi = 0.01509) region had the highest Pi values, followed by the rps16-trnQ-UUG (pi = 0.01148), ndhF-rpl32 (pi = 0.01120), rps16-psbK (pi = 0.01028), trnR-UCU-atpA (pi = 0.00995) and rpl32-trnL-UAG (pi = 0.00991) (Figure 4).

We compared the chloroplast genomes of hawthorns with other four species of Rosaceae (*E. salwinensis*, *M. micromalus*, *S. mongolica*, *R. phoenicolasius*). Their chloroplast genome structures were highly conserved, but there were some differences (Figure 5). The genes rpl22, rps19, rpl2, ycf1, *ndhF*, *trnH-GUG*, and psbA were present at the junction of the IRb/LSC (JLB), SSC/IRb (JSB), IRa/SSC (JSA), and LSC/IRa (JLA) borders. In addition, rps19 (except *C. pinnatifida*) was mainly located in the LSC region, ycf1 was mainly located in the SSC region. Most of the sequence variations were distributed in LSC and SSC regions while IR regions exhibited relatively few sequence variations (Khakhlova and Bock, 2006). Even so, the contractions and expansions at the borders of IR regions are common evolutionary events and may cause size variations of chloroplast genomes (Biju et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019; Luo et al., 2021). The IR regions of *Rubus* were contracted, and rps19 only appeared in the LSC region, so the gene is not copied, resulting in a shorter gene length of *R.*
FIGURE 5 | Comparison of the borders of the LSC, SSC, and IR regions among 9 Crataegus chloroplast genomes and four species of Rosaceae.
phoenicolasius. In contrast, the IR of C. pinnatifida was further expanded, rps19 was mainly located in the IRb region, so the gene is almost completely replicated in the IR region, and the gene length of C. pinnatifida is also longer compared with other species.

### 3.5 Phylogenetic Analyses

Using a total of 50 chloroplast genomes, including 48 species of Rosaceae with two species of Moraceae as outgroups, the phylogenetic relationships of Rosaceae plants were studied using ML and BI phylogenetic analysis. The topologies of the two datasets (ML and BI) were basically the same, with high support for each branch. Two subfamilies (Amygdaloideae and Rosoideae) and seven tribes (Maleae, Spiraeae, Amygdaleae, Exochordeae, Roseae, Potentilleae, and Rubeae) were strongly supported as monophyletic groups. It was notable that species in Crataegus were divided into two clades, clade A included C. chungtienensis, C. oresbia, C. kansuensis and C. marshallii, and clade B included C. scabrifolia, C. pinnatifida, C. pinnatifida var. major, C. hupehensis and C. cuneata (Figure 6). That was consistent with previous results (Zhang et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2021a; Wu et al., 2021). The phylogenetic trees of the intergenic regions resolved 19 hawthorn species into five clades. C. pinnatifida, C. pinnatifida var. major, C. hupehensis, C.
cuneata and C. scabrifolia clustered with species from C. subg. Crataegus. C. kansuensis, C. orebia and C. kansuensis clustered with species from C. subg. Sanguineae. C. marshallii clustered with species from C. subg. Americanae. Two species (C. germanica and C. brachyacantha) from C. subg. Mesplius and C. subg. Brevispinae, respectively (Figure 7).

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Chloroplast Genome Structure

The newly sequenced three chloroplast genomes of Crataegus are similar in composition; the structures are the same as with other angiosperms (Liu et al., 2018; Gao et al., 2019; Zhang X-F et al., 2021). In addition, the chloroplast genomes of C. pinnatifida var. major, C. cuneata and C. pinnatifida lacked the infA and this is the most common gene loss event in the chloroplast genome of angiosperms (Liu et al., 2017; Li et al., 2021). Studies have shown that infA is an unstable chloroplast gene that is lost from the chloroplast genome and transferred several times to the nucleus (Millen et al., 2001).

Correlation analysis of SNPs, indels and repeats showed weak correlations ($p < 0.001$) between indels and SNPs in four species of Rosaceae (E. salwinensis, M. micromalus, S. mongolica, R. phoenicosilarius). In the correlation analysis of indels with SSRs and repeats, C. scabrifolia, E. salwinensis and M. micromalus showed moderate correlation, while the other two species showed no correlation (Table 3). SNPs and repeats showed no correlation. However, in the co-occurrence analysis of repeats with indels and SNPs, a total of 98 SSRs were detected, of which 75 (76.53%) co-occurred with indels and 70 (71.42%) co-occurred with SNPs (Supplementary Table S6). In addition, Among the 49 oligonucleotide repeats detected, 38 (77.55%) co-occurred with indels and 40 (81.63%) co-occurred with SNPs (Supplementary Table S7). Previous studies have also reported high co-occurrence of repeats with indels and SNPs, and suggested a role for repeats in SNPs and indel mutations (Ibrar et al., 2012; Abdullah et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020). We believe that the effect of repeats on genetic variation cannot be ignored. Based on the high co-occurrence between oligonucleotide repeats
4.2 Identification of Highly Variable Regions

Highly variable regions containing rich informative loci can serve as DNA barcodes to construct phylogenetic trees, identify closely related species and accelerate the discovery of species not yet found in nature (Kress and Erickson, 2008; Dong et al., 2012; Li et al., 2015). In this study, six highly variable regions were identified, including rps16-trnQ-UUG, ndhF-rpl32, rps16-psbK, trnR-UCU-atpA, rpl32-trnL-UAG, and ndhF. Some intergenic regions (trnG-trnS, trnL-trnF, psbA-trnH, trnC-ycf6, and trnH-rpl2) and gene regions (rps16 intron, rpl16 intron, accD, rpoC1, rbcL, and ndhF) have been used in phylogenetic studies of the Rosaceae (Lo et al., 2009; Lo and Donoghue, 2012; Zarrei et al., 2015). In addition, studies have shown that the resolution of plant universal DNA barcodes (rbcL, matK, psbA-trnH, and ITS2) for taxa of the genus Crataegus was poor. In contrast, concatenated sequences of three plastid barcode loci and 11 other plastid loci provided better resolution (Zarrei et al., 2015). Therefore, these highly variable regions provide abundant information about molecular marker development for plant identification and phylogenetic relationships in Crataegus.

4.3 Phylogenomic Validation

Because complete chloroplast genome sequences are not yet available for some species, we used intergenic regions to construct phylogenetic trees and analyze the relationship between species within the Crataegus subgenus. Some segments extracted from the complete chloroplast genome (such as psbA-trnH, rps16, etc.) differ significantly from the sequences obtained by NCBI. Therefore, we used only five intergenic regions that could be accurately extracted to construct the phylogenetic tree. The result of this phylogenetic analysis also provided us with some valuable information. C. pinnatifida, C. pinnatifida var. major, C. huphensis, C. cuneata and C. scabridifolia clustered with species from C. subg. Crataegus, while C. kansuensis, C. oresbia and C. kansuensis clustered with species from C. subg. Sanguineae (Figure 7). This result is consistent with the phylogenetic tree of the complete chloroplast genome, and the eight species (C. marshallii is a species from North America) native to China were divided into two clades (clade A and clade B). Previous classifications based on morphology placed C. pinnatifida (C. sect. Pinnatifidae Zabel ex C.K.Schneid.), C. huphensis (C. sect. Huphenses J.B.Phipps), C. cuneata (C. sect. Cuneatae Rehder ex C.K. Schneid), and C. scabridifolia (C. sect. Henryanae (Sarg.) J.B.Phipps) in C. subg. Crataegus (Ufinov and Dickinson, 2020). However, it is noteworthy that the leaf morphology of C. scabridifolia (unlobed leaves) is different from other species (lobed leaves) in clade A (Gu and Spongberg, 2003). Hybridization of different species is one of the reasons for the diversity of morphology in Crataegus (Lo et al., 2009; Zarrei et al., 2015). Previous studies have documented a hybrid (Crataegus × cogswellii K.I. Chr. & T.A.) with a leaf shape between unlobed [C. suksdorffii (Sarg.) Kruschke] and markedly lobed (Crataegus monogyna Jacq.) (Christensen et al., 2014). In addition, studies have shown that hawthorn species native to China may have two evolutionary pathways, one is that C. scabridifolia differentiated or hybridized to produce new species during its northward migration (Du et al., 2019). Although there are significant differences in leaf morphology between species in clade A, we agree with the view that they have the same origin, and support the four sections (C. sect. Pinnatifidae Zabel ex C.K.Schneid., C. sect. Huphenses J.B.Phipps, C. sect. Cuneatae Rehder ex C.K. Schneid and C. sect. Henryanae (Sarg.) J.B.Phipps) in C. subg. Crataegus.

Another evolutionary pathway of hawthorns native to China is closely related to the North American species (Du et al., 2019). Our results also confirm this view, C. scabridifolia, C. chungtieniensis, and C. oresbia are all from southwestern China; phylogenetic tree results indicate that C. scabridifolia is distant from the other two species. C. scabridifolia is also morphologically significantly different from C. chungtieniensis and C. oresbia (Supplementary Table S8). In addition, research suggests that the ancient trans-Beringian migrations made the species of East Asia and western North America closely related (Phipps, 1983; Lo et al., 2009). Our results are consistent with this study (Lo et al., 2009), C. kansuensis, C. oesbia, C. kansuensis and C. wilsonii from East Asia are more closely related to C. saligna and C. suksdorffii from western North America, while they are distant from C. marshallii, C. punctata, C. crus-galli and C. triflora from Eastern America. Therefore, we support the morphology-based classification results that place C. kansuensis, C. oesbia, C. kansuensis in C. subg. Sanguineae. In addition, C. marshallii is considered a paleohybrid, and its

### Table 3: Correlation values of indels with SNPs, indels with repeats and SNPs with repeats.

|                        | Indels and SNPs | Indels and SSRs | Indels and Oligonucleotide repeats | Indels and Repeats | SNPs and SSRs | SNPs and Oligonucleotide repeats | SNPs and Repeats |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|
|                        | Rho  | p-value | Rho  | p-value | Rho  | p-value | Rho  | p-value | Rho  | p-value |
| C. scabridifolia       | 0.161| <0.001  | 0.332| <0.001  | 0.093| 0.002  | 0.343| <0.001  | 0.088| 0.026  | 0.007| 0.819  | 0.065| 0.034  |
| E. salviniensis        | 0.211| <0.001  | 0.335| <0.001  | 0.053| 0.081  | 0.323| <0.001  | 0.088| 0.004  | -0.015| 0.613  | 0.07 | 0.023  |
| M. micromalus          | 0.202| <0.001  | 0.358| <0.001  | 0.071| 0.02   | 0.345| <0.001  | 0.057| 0.060  | -0.023| 0.455  | 0.037| 0.228  |
| S. mongolica           | 0.256| <0.001  | 0.188| <0.001  | 0.003| 0.916  | 0.166| <0.001  | -0.020| 0.470  | -0.117| <0.001  | -0.08 | 0.009  |
| R. phoenicolasius      | 0.206| <0.001  | 0.047| 0.125   | -0.024| 0.431  | 0.032| 0.290   | -0.059| 0.129  | -0.114| <0.001  | -0.095| 0.002  |

and indels, SNPs, we support the ideal of using oligonucleotide repeats as a proxy for finding mutational hotspots (Abdullah et al., 2020).
maternal parent may be a species closely related to C. Mexicana (C. subg. American) (Lo et al., 2009). Therefore, C. marshallii clustered with species of the C. subg. American after adding species from this subgenus. It is worth noting that most species in clade B are used as cultivated varieties and medicinal materials, and it is generally believed that hawthorn in clade B may have more value. Most of the current studies have focused on species associated with clade B, while fewer studies have been conducted on species associated with clade A (Cui et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2017; Lou et al., 2022). However, it has been found that the concentration of vitexin derivatives in the leaves of European hawthorn was significantly higher than that of North American hawthorn, while the rutin content of North American hawthorn was significantly higher than European hawthorn (Lund et al., 2020). The active constituents of related species generally have similar chemical constituents and functions. Therefore, the research on clade A hawthorn species is of great significance.

According to the results of the phylogenetic tree, C. chungtienensis and C. oresbia are closely related, and the two species are also very similar in morphology. Both C. chungtienensis and C. oresbia have the following morphological characters (Supplementary Table S8): they are all shrubs, about 6 m tall; the leaves are broadly ovate and usually with 3-5 pairs of shallow lobes, leaf blade abaxially sparsely pubescent; corymb; bracts caducous; pomes are red and 0.6 cm in diameter; pyrenes with concave scars on both inner sides. The main difference between the two species is the white tomentose visible on the peduncle of C. oresbia (Gu and Spongberg, 2003). In addition, the geographical distribution of these two species is highly overlapping, mainly distributed in the alpine regions of southwest China (such as Zhongdian and Weixi), with an altitude of about 2,500–3,300 m (Gu and Spongberg, 2003). Based on the results of molecular evidence, morphological characteristics, and geographical distribution, we speculate that C. chungtienensis and C. oresbia may be the same species.

5 CONCLUSION

In this study, we sequenced the complete chloroplast genomes of three species of Crataegus, and comparatively analyzed them with other species in Rosaceae. The chloroplast genome features of Crataegus were similar to the other angiosperms, including gene size, genome structure, gene number and gene order. In addition, we found some highly divergent regions, which provided valuable information for the identification and phylogenetic relationships of Crataegus. Phylogenetic studies based on the complete chloroplast genome revealed that Crataegus can be divided into 2 clades; there are some key differences between the two clades in morphological characteristics and application value. We constructed a phylogenetic tree using intergenic regions and discussed the subgenus classification of eight hawthorn species native to China. We speculate that C. chungtienensis and C. oresbia are the same species. The major limitations of this study were the small sample sizes. In future work, more taxa could be used and more powerful tools including transcriptome, and whole-genomes could be included to shed light on the evolutionary history of Crataegus. Nevertheless, this study provides an important step in further understanding the phylogeny of the genus and the taxonomic relationships among these species.
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