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Abstract

Let \(\{B(t), t \geq 0\}\) be a standard Brownian motion in \(\mathbb{R}\). Let \(T\) be the first return time to 0 after hitting 1, and \(\{L(T, x), x \in \mathbb{R}\}\) be the local time process at time \(T\) and level \(x\). The distribution of \(L(T, x)\) for each \(x \in \mathbb{R}\) is determined. This is applied to the estimation of a \(L^1\) integral on \(\mathbb{R}\).
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1 Introduction

Let \(B \equiv \{B(t), t \geq 0\}\) be the standard Brownian motion (SBM) on \(\mathbb{R}\), and \(\{L(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}\}\) be its local time process. That is, with probability 1, for any Borel set \(A \subset \mathbb{R}\) and \(t \geq 0\),

\[
\int_0^t \mathbf{1}_A(B(s)) \, ds = \int_A L(t, x) \, dx.
\] (1)

Such a process exists (see e.g. Chapter VI in [6]). Let

\[
T = \inf\{t > 0, B(t) = 0 \text{ and for some } s \in (0, t), B(s) = 1\}
\] (2)

be the first hitting time of 0 after hitting 1. It may be noted that by the strong Markov property \(\{B(T + u), u \geq 0\}\) is independent of \(\{B(u), 0 \leq u < T\}\) and has the same distribution as SBM. That is, the process regenerates at time \(T\). This and similar ideas have been used in [1] to establish limit theorems for Brownian motion and stable processes. The current paper is devoted to finding the marginal distribution of \(L(T, x)\) for \(x \in \mathbb{R}\). This is given in Theorem 2.1, along with some useful corollaries. The proofs are in Section 3. An application (and the motivation for this work) is to obtain a point estimate and a confidence interval based on \(\{B(t), t \geq 0\}\) for \(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, dx\), where \(f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})\). This is done in Section 4, while Section 5 gives an extension of the main result and presents an open problem.
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2 Main results

In the following, we define, for \( x \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[
\tau_x = \inf \{ t \geq 0, B(t) = x \}
\]

to be the hitting time of \( x \) for SBM. Below \( X \overset{(d)}{=} Y \) means \( X \) and \( Y \) are random variables with the same distribution. We establish the following.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( Y_1 \) and \( Y_2 \) be two independent chi-squared random variables with two degrees of freedom, i.e. \( Y_1 \overset{(d)}{=} Y_2 \overset{(d)}{=} Z_1^2 + Z_2^2 \) for independent standard normal \( Z_1 \) and \( Z_2 \). Let \( T \) be as in (2). Assume that \( Y_1 \) and \( Y_2 \) are independent of \( B \). Then, the following hold:

(i) for \( x > 1 \), \( L(T, x) \overset{(d)}{=} 1 \{ \tau_x \leq T \} x Y_1 \),

(ii) for \( x \in [0, 1] \), \( L(T, x) \overset{(d)}{=} x Y_1 + (1 - x) Y_2 \),

(iii) for \( x < 0 \), \( L(T, x) \overset{(d)}{=} 1 \{ \tau_x \leq \tau_1 \} (1 - x) Y_1 \),

where \( \{ L(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R} \} \) is as in (1).

**Corollary 2.2.** For all \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), there exists \( \theta(x) > 0 \), such that \( \mathbb{E}[\exp\{\theta L(T, x)\}] < \infty \) for all \( \theta < \theta(x) \). Hence, \( L(T, x) \) has all moments finite.

**Corollary 2.3.** The first and second moments of \( L(T, x) \) are given below.

(i) For all \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), \( \mathbb{E}(L(T, x)) = 2 \), and

(ii) \[
\mathbb{E}\left( L(T, x)^2 \right) = \begin{cases} 
8x & \text{for } x > 1, \\
8(x^2 - x + 1) & \text{for } x \in [0, 1], \\
8(1 - x) & \text{for } x < 0.
\end{cases}
\]

**Corollary 2.4.** Let \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be Lebesgue integrable, and assume that \( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{|x|} |f(x)| \, dx < \infty \). Then

\[
\mathbb{E}\left( \int_0^T |f(B(s))| \, ds \right)^2 < \infty,
\]

where \( T \) is as in (2) and \( \{ B(s), s \geq 0 \} \) is SBM.

3 Proofs

3.1 Proof of Theorem 2.1

In the following, we will also consider Brownian motions \( B^a \equiv \{ B^a(t) \equiv B(t) + a, t \geq 0 \} \) where \( \{ B(t), t \geq 0 \} \) is SBM. We define \( \tau_x^a \) as the hitting time of \( x \) by \( B^a \). Let \( \{ L^a(t, x), t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R} \} \) be its local time process.
Let $x > 1$. On the event $\{\tau_x > T\}$, $L(T, x) = 0$. By the strong Markov property of $B$, on the event $\{\tau_x \leq T\}$,

$$\{B(\tau_x + t), t \in [0, T - \tau_x]\} \overset{d}{=} \{B^x(t), t \in [0, \tau^x_0]\},$$

and further, the left side is independent of $\{B(u), 0 \leq u \leq \tau_x\}$. Since $B$ does not accumulate any local time at $x$ before $\tau_x$, i.e. $L(\tau_x, x) = 0$, we have

$$L(T, x) \overset{d}{=} L^x(\tau^x_0, x).$$

By translation invariance of SBM, the right side above has the same distribution as $L(\tau_{-x}, 0)$. This, in turn by symmetry of SBM about 0, has the same distribution as $L(\tau_x, 0)$. Next, the scaling property of local times (see exercise 2.11 Chapter VI and Theorem 2.2 Chapter XI in [6]) ensures that

$$L(\tau_x, 0) \overset{d}{=} xL(\tau_1, 0).$$

Further, the first Ray-Knight theorem (p. 454 in [6]) asserts that the process $\{L(\tau_1, 1 - a), 0 \leq a \leq 1\}$ is a squared Bessel 2 process, i.e. has the distribution same as that of

$$\{H(a), 0 \leq a \leq 1\} \equiv \left\{B_1(a)^2 + B_2(a)^2, a \in [0, 1]\right\}, \quad (3)$$

where $B_1$ and $B_2$ are independent standard Brownian motions. In particular,

$$L(\tau_1, 0) \overset{d}{=} B_1(1)^2 + B_2(1)^2 \overset{d}{=} Y_1.$$

We can thus conclude that, on $\{\tau_x \leq T\}$,

$$L(T, x) \overset{d}{=} xY_1.$$

This proves (i).

Next, let $x \in [0, 1]$. The continuity of Brownian trajectories implies that $\tau_x \leq \tau_1 \leq T$. Thus the local time at $x$ accumulated on $[0, T]$ is the sum of

- the local time $L(\tau_1, x)$ at $x$ accumulated on $[0, \tau_1]$,
- the local time accumulated at $x$ on $[\tau_1, T]$.

But by the strong Markov property again, the second one is independent of $L(\tau_1, x)$ and has the distribution of $L(\tau_1, x)$. By translation invariance of SBM, this is distributed as $L(\tau_{-1}, x - 1)$, which by symmetry of SBM has same distribution as $L(\tau_1, 1 - x)$. So,

$$L(T, x) \overset{d}{=} L_1(\tau_1, x) + L_2(\tau_1, 1 - x) \quad (4)$$

for $L_1, L_2$ two independent copies of the process $L$. By the first Ray-Knight theorem again, we conclude

$$L(T, x) \overset{d}{=} (1 - x)Y_1 + xY_2.$$

This proves (ii).

Finally, let $x < 0$. On the event $\{\tau_x > \tau_1\}$, we have $\tau_x > T$ by continuity of Brownian trajectories, and thus $L(T, x) = 0$. On the other hand, on $\{\tau_x \leq \tau_1\}$, we have, as before,

$$L(T, x) = L(\tau_1, x) \overset{d}{=} L^x(\tau^x_1, x) \overset{d}{=} L(\tau_{-1}, 0) \overset{d}{=} (1 - x)L(\tau_1, 0) \overset{d}{=} (1 - x)Y_1,$$

where the second equality is by the strong Markov property, the third by the translation invariance of Brownian motion, the fourth by the scaling property and the fifth by the Ray-Knight theorem. This proves (iii).
3.2 Proof of Corollary 2.2

Let $x > 1$. From Theorem 2.1 (i) we know that $L(T, x) \overset{(d)}{=} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_x \leq T\}} Y_1$. For $\theta > 0$ it then follows that

$$
\mathbb{E}[\exp\{\theta L(T, x)\}] \leq \mathbb{E}[\exp\{\theta x Y_1\}] = \mathbb{E}[\exp\{\theta x (Z_1^2 + Z_2^2)\}],
$$

where $Z_1, Z_2$ independent $N(0, 1)$ random variables. The proof follows since $\mathbb{E}[\exp\{\theta x (Z_1^2 + Z_2^2)\}] < \infty$ if $\theta < \theta(x) \equiv 1/(2x)$. Similarly, for $x < 0$, $\mathbb{E}[\exp\{\theta L(T, x)\}] < \infty$ if $\theta < 1/[2(1-x)]$ and $\mathbb{E}[\exp\{\theta L(T, x)\}] < \infty$ if $\theta < 1/2$ when $x \in [0, 1]$.

3.3 Proof of Corollary 2.3

In the following, recall that $\mathbb{E}(Y_1) = 2$ and $\mathbb{E}(Y_1^2) = 8$, since $Y_1 \overset{(d)}{=} Z_1^2 + Z_2^2$ for $Z_1, Z_2$ independent $N(0, 1)$ random variables.

Now, for $x > 1$, by Theorem 2.1 (i), and independence of $\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_x \leq T\}}$ and $Y_1$,

$$
\mathbb{E}(L(T, x)) = \mathbb{P}(\tau_x \leq T) x \mathbb{E}(Y_1).
$$

But $\tau_x \leq T$ if and only if the Brownian motion hits 1, then hits $x$ before hitting 0, and thus, by the strong Markov property of SBM,

$$
\mathbb{P}(\tau_x \leq T) = \mathbb{P}(\tau_x^1 < \tau_0^1) = \frac{1}{x},
$$

where the last equality is the continuous analog of the usual gambler’s ruin estimate (see Proposition 2.8 Chapter II in [6]). Thus,

$$
\mathbb{E}(L(T, x)) = \frac{1}{x} x x 2 = 2.
$$

Next, for $x \in [0, 1]$, by Theorem 2.1 (ii),

$$
\mathbb{E}(L(T, x)) = x \mathbb{E}(Y_1) + (1 - x) \mathbb{E}(Y_2) = 2x + 2(1 - x) = 2.
$$

Finally, for $x < 0$, by gambler’s ruin estimates again, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}(\tau_x \leq \tau_1) = \frac{1}{1 - x}
$$

and thus by Theorem 2.1 (iii) and independence of $\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_x \leq \tau_1\}}$ and $Y_1$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}(L(T, x)) = \frac{1}{1 - x} x (1 - x) x 2 = 2.
$$

For the second moment, note that, by independence as above we have by Theorem 2.1 (i), for $x > 1$,

$$
\mathbb{E}(L(T, x)^2) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_x \leq T\}} Y_1^2) = \mathbb{P}(\tau_x \leq T) x^2 \mathbb{E}(Y_1^2) = \frac{1}{x} x x^2 8 = 8x.
$$

The same works for $x < 0$. Finally, for $x \in [0, 1]$, by independence,

$$
\mathbb{E}(L(T, x)^2) = x^2 \mathbb{E}(Y_1^2) + (1 - x)^2 \mathbb{E}(Y_2^2) + 2x(1 - x) \mathbb{E}(Y_1) \mathbb{E}(Y_2)
$$

$$
= 8x^2 + 8(1 - x)^2 + 8x(1 - x)
$$

$$
= 8(x^2 - x + 1)
$$

and the proof is complete.
3.4 Proof of Corollary 2.4

Since $f$ is integrable, by the definition of $L(T, x)$ as in (1) (see also Corollary 1.6 Chapter VI in [6]),

$$
\mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T |f(B_s)| \, ds \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x| \mathbb{E}(L(T, x)) \, dx = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x| \, dx < \infty,
$$

so that $\int_0^T |f(B_s)| \, ds < \infty$ a.s. and $V := \int_0^T f(B_s) \, ds$ is a well-defined random variable. Next, by Minkowski inequality [2], for any $p \in [1, \infty)$,

$$
(\mathbb{E}|V|^p)^{1/p} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| (\mathbb{E}(L(T, x)^p)^{1/p} \, dx.
$$

But, by Corollary 2.3 (ii), there is a $C \in (0, \infty)$ such that for all $x$ in $\mathbb{R}$,

$$
(\mathbb{E}L(T, x)^2)^{1/2} \leq C \sqrt{|x|}.
$$

This yields

$$
(\mathbb{E}|V|^2)^{1/2} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| \sqrt{|x|} \, dx,
$$

which is finite by hypothesis.

Remark 3.1. By the same proof, and with an easy extension of Corollary 2.3, it holds that $E(|V|^p) < \infty$ when

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)||x|^{p-1} \, dx < \infty.
$$

In particular, $V$ has moments of all order when

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)||x| \, dx < \infty.
$$

4 An application

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be integrable with respect to the Lebesgue measure and $\lambda := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, dx$. Consider the problem of obtaining a point estimate and a confidence interval for $\lambda$ based on a suitable statistical data.

This problem was solved on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by [3] via simple symmetric random walks on $\mathbb{Z}$ and an appropriate randomization around the observed values of the walk. In that paper, the authors introduced a new Monte Carlo procedure called Regenerative Sequence Monte Carlo (RSMC), which works for estimating $\int_S f \, d\pi$ for an integrable function $f$ on a measure space $(S, \mathcal{S}, \pi)$. While the classical i.i.d. Monte Carlo method and the currently popular Markov Chain Monte Carlo method require $\pi(S) < \infty$, the RSMC allows $\pi(S)$ to be finite or infinite. The key requirement imposed in [3] is that the regenerative sequence used be such that its occupation measure coincides with a constant multiple of the given measure $\pi$. The regenerative sequence need not even be Markovian.

Here, we produce a solution similar to that of [3], but using the regenerative property of standard Brownian motion. This was exploited by [1] in proving many results similar to those of Darling and Kac [4], in particular giving an alternative proof of a well-known result of Kallianpur and Robbins [5].

We start with some basic results on standard Brownian motion. For proofs of the five following results, see [1]. In the following, $B$ is still a standard Brownian motion, and $T$ the first hitting time of 0 after hitting 1 as defined in (2).
Proposition 4.1. It holds that \( T < \infty \) a.s. and there is a \( 0 < C < \infty \) such that
\[
P(T > y) \sim \frac{C}{\sqrt{y}}, \quad \text{as } y \to \infty.
\]

Proposition 4.2. Let \( T_0 = 0, T_1 = T \) and for \( i \geq 1, \)
\[
T_{i+1} = \inf\{t > T_i, \exists \ s \in (T_i, t) \text{ such that } B(s) = 1 \text{ and } B(t) = 0\}.
\]
Define \( \eta_i = \{B(t), T_{i-1} \leq t \leq T_i, T_i - T_{i-1}\} \) for \( i \geq 0. \) Then the excursions \( \{\eta_i\}_{i \geq 0} \) are i.i.d.

Proposition 4.3. Let \( \pi(A) \equiv \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T 1_A(B(s)) \, ds\right) \) for any Borel set \( A \subset \mathbb{R}. \) Then, there exists \( c \in (0, \infty) \) such that, for any Borel set \( A, \)
\[
\pi(A) = c \, m(A),
\]
where \( m \) is the Lebesgue measure.

Proposition 4.4. Assume that \( f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \) and define \( \lambda(t) \equiv \int_0^t f(B(s)) \, ds, \) \( t > 0. \)
Then \( \lambda(t) \to \lambda \) a.s. at \( t \to \infty. \)

The next result is proved in [1] and [5].

Proposition 4.5. Let \( f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \) and
\[
\tilde{\lambda}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(B(s)) \, ds, \quad t > 0.
\]
Then
\[
\tilde{\lambda}(t) \xrightarrow{d} \left(\int_\mathbb{R} f(x) \, dx\right) \sqrt{|Z|} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}
\]
where \( Z \) is a \( N(0,1) \) random variable.

Let \( \{N(t), t \geq 0\} \) be the renewal process generated by the random walk \( \{T_i, i \geq 0\}, \) i.e. \( N(t) = k \) for \( T_k \leq t < T_{k+1}. \) Then, it follows from the recent work of [3] that, if \( f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \) and
\[
\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T f(B(s)) \, ds\right)^2 < \infty \tag{5}
\]
then
\[
\sqrt{N(t)} \left(\frac{1}{N(t)} \int_0^t f(B(s)) \, ds - \lambda\right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)
\]
where
\[
\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T f(B(s)) \, ds\right)^2 - \lambda^2.
\]
By Corollary 2.4, a sufficient condition for (5) is that \( \int_\mathbb{R} |f(x)| \sqrt{|x|} \, dx < \infty. \) This yields the following result.
Theorem 4.6. Let \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be integrable with respect to the Lebesgue measure and \( \lambda = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, dx \). Assume further that \( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| \sqrt{|x|} \, dx < +\infty \), and define \( N(t) \) and \( \sigma^2 \) as above, and
\[
\lambda^*(t) \equiv \frac{1}{N(t)} \int_0^t f(B(s)) \, ds. \tag{6}
\]
Then
\begin{enumerate}[(i)]
\item \( \lambda^*(t) \to \lambda \) a.s.,
\item \( \frac{(\lambda^*(t) - \lambda)}{\sigma} \sqrt{N(t)} \, d \to N(0, 1), \quad t \to \infty, \)
\item \( \frac{(\lambda^*(t) - \lambda)}{\sigma} t^{1/4} \, d \to Q, \quad t \to \infty, \)
\end{enumerate}
where \( Q \) is a random variable having the same distribution as of \( B(V) \), where \( V \) is a random variable independent of \( B \) and has a distribution of a stable law of order \( 1/2 \), i.e. \( \mathbb{E}(e^{-sV}) = e^{-\sqrt{s}} \) for \( s \geq 0 \).

The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 1 in [3] and is omitted.

Remark 4.7. Now, as in [3] based on Theorem 4.6 (i) and (ii) and the data \( \{B(u), 0 \leq u \leq t\} \) a point estimate for \( \lambda \) is provided by \( \lambda^*(t) \) defined in (6) and an asymptotic \((1 - \alpha)\) level \((0 < \alpha < 1)\) confidence interval for \( \lambda \) is
\[
I_t \equiv (\lambda^*(t) - \sigma z_\alpha / \sqrt{N(t)}, \lambda^*(t) + \sigma z_\alpha / \sqrt{N(t)})
\]
where \( P(|Z| > z_\alpha) = \alpha, \ Z \sim N(0, 1), \ \sigma^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{N(t)} \xi_j^2 / N(t) - \lambda^*(t)^2 \) and \( \xi_j = \int_{T_j-1}^{T_j} f(B(u)) \, du, \ j \geq 1 \).

5 A related result and an open problem

From the proof of Theorem 2.1 (ii), more precisely Equation (4), we have the following result.

Proposition 5.1. Let \( H_1(\cdot) \) and \( H_2(\cdot) \) be two independent Bessel 2 processes as defined in (3). Then
\[
\{L(T, x), x \in [0, 1]\} \overset{d}{=} \{H_1(x) + H_2(1-x), x \in [0, 1]\}.
\]
This gives the distribution of the process \( \{L(T, x), x \in [0, 1]\} \). A natural open problem is determining the distribution of the whole process \( \{L(T, x), x \in \mathbb{R}\} \).
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