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Wnt Signaling Is a Major Determinant of Neuroblastoma Cell Lineages

Marianna Szemes*, Alexander Greenhough and Karim Malik*

Cancer Epigenetics Laboratory, School of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

The neural crest (NC), which has been referred to as the fourth germ layer, comprises a multipotent cell population which will specify diverse cells and tissues, including craniofacial cartilage and bones, melanocytes, the adrenal medulla and the peripheral nervous system. These cell fates are known to be determined by gene regulatory networks (GRNs) acting at various stages of NC development, such as induction, specification, and migration. Although transcription factor hierarchies and some of their interplay with morphogenetic signaling pathways have been characterized, the full complexity of activities required for regulated development remains uncharted. Deregulation of these pathways may contribute to tumorigenesis, as in the case of neuroblastoma, a frequently lethal embryonic cancer thought to arise from the sympathoadrenal lineage of the NC. In this “Hypothesis and Theory” article, we utilize the next generation sequencing data from neuroblastoma cells and tumors to evaluate the possible influences of Wnt signaling on NC GRNs and on neuroblastoma cell lineages. We propose that Wnt signaling is a major determinant of regulatory networks that underlie mesenchymal/neural crest cell (NCC)-like cell identities through PRRX1 and YAP/TAZ transcription factors. Furthermore, Wnt may also co-operate with Hedgehog signaling in driving proneural differentiation programmes along the adrenergic (ADRN) lineage. Elucidation of Signaling Regulatory Networks can augment and complement GRNs in characterizing cell identities, which may in turn contribute to the design of improved therapeutics tailored to primary and relapsing neuroblastoma.
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INTRODUCTION

Neuroblastoma (NB) is a frequently lethal pediatric tumor, with 75% of NBs occurring in children under 5 years of age. About half of the tumors arise in the adrenal medulla, with the remainder originating in the paraspinal sympathetic ganglia in the abdomen or chest, or in pelvic ganglia. This distribution reflects the probable developmental origin of NB in the sympathoadrenal (SA) lineage of the neural crest (NC). Clinically, NB encompasses low-risk disease which responds well to treatment and may even spontaneously regress, and high-risk disease, representing about 40% of total cases, which frequently relapse and have less than 50% survival.

Abbreviations: ADRN, adrenergic; EMT, epithelial-mesenchymal transition; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinase; GRN, gene regulatory network; KEGG, Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes; LEF, lymphoid enhancer binding factor; MEK, MAPK/ERK kinase; MES, mesenchymal; MNA, MYCN-amplified; NB, neuroblastoma; NC, neural crest; NCC, neural crest cell; Rspo, R-spondin; SA, sympathoadrenal; TCF, T-cell-factor.
This clinical heterogeneity is thought to reflect both the complex molecular etiology as well as the cellular heterogeneity of tumors (Brodeur, 2003; Maris et al., 2007). Whilst it has long been known that the proto-oncogene MYCN is crucial for NC cell fate (Wakamatsu et al., 1997) and influencing differentiation states in NB (Westermark et al., 2011), a deeper understanding of developmental factors remains necessary to determine the origins of NB in order to inform improved prognosis and therapies.

In this theoretical analysis, we will consider the potential regulatory influences and interactions of the canonical Wnt signaling pathway in determining phenotypes in the NC and neuroblastoma. Although this signaling pathway is known to be critical in regulating stemness, cell fate, differentiation and proliferation (Nusse and Clevers, 2017), much remains unclear about its role in neuroblastoma. Based on our recent identification of genes regulated by the Wnt ligand Wnt3a and Wnt agonist R-spondin 2 in an NB cell-line (Szemes et al., 2018), we assess transcriptional and signaling pathways, which require further investigation in the contexts of NC development and neuroblastoma.

**WNT SIGNALING PATHWAYS AND COMPONENTS**

In broad terms, Wnt signaling includes “canonical” and “non-canonical” pathways. The former is also referred to as Wnt/β-catenin signaling, as it is dependent on cytoplasmic-nuclear translocation of β-catenin, and its subsequent transcriptional cofactor activity with T-cell factor/lymphoid enhancer factor (TCF/LEF) transcription factors (Clevers and Nusse, 2012; Nusse and Clevers, 2017). Non-canonical, or alternative Wnt signaling pathways include the Planar cell polarity (PCP), the Wnt/Ca²⁺ pathways, β-catenin-independent pathways acting via Rho-associated kinase (ROCK) and G-protein dependent calcium release (Komiyama and Habas, 2008). More recently, another alternative Wnt pathway has been demonstrated, where the downstream effectors are the Hippo signaling pathway transcriptional co-factors, Yes-associated protein (YAP) and Transcriptional C-activator with PDZ-Binding motif (TAZ, encoded by WWTR1, Park et al., 2015; Figure 1).

There is a complex and extensive interplay between these pathways, reflected in the 19 Wnt ligands and 10 Frizzled (FZD) components.
receptors. Wnt ligands are secreted, lipid-modified glycoproteins conserved in all metazoan animals, able to act as morphogens over short distances (MacDonald et al., 2009). Although some preferential usage of certain Wnt ligands by different pathways is observed, such as Wnt3a for canonical, and Wnt5a for non-canonical signaling, strict restriction of ligand usage by pathways, or a “Wnt code,” have not emerged. Rather, numerous other effectors and regulatory molecules add to the complexity of the pathways (van Amerongen, 2012). In general, however, non-canonical Wnt signaling is thought to antagonize the canonical Wnt/β-catenin pathway (Veevan et al., 2003).

In the canonical Wnt/β-catenin pathway, Wnt ligands are bound with high affinity by Frizzled proteins, leading to the formation of heterodimeric membrane core receptor complexes containing LRP5/6. Binding of Wnt ligands leads to LRP phosphorylation and recruitment of the scaffold protein Axin to LRP. Axin is a key component of the “destruction complex,” which also includes APC, the Ser/Thr kinases GSK-3 and CK1, protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A), and the E3-ubiquitin ligase β-TrCP. This complex regulates cytoplasmic β-catenin turnover through phosphorylation and proteosomal turnover. The LRP-Axin interaction leads to inactivation of the destruction complex, permitting β-catenin stabilization and nuclear availability. The TCF/LEF family of transcription factors are then able to utilize β-catenin as a transcriptional co-activator and instigate target gene expression (Clevers and Nusse, 2012). Further amplification of signaling can be achieved through the participation of another set of receptors, the leucine-rich repeat-containing G-protein coupled receptors (LGR4/5/6) and their ligands, the R-spondins (Rspos; de Lau et al., 2011). LGR-Rspos complexes at the cell membrane decrease the endocytic turnover of Frizzled-LRP5/6 by neutralizing the ubiquitin ligases RNF43 and ZNRF3 (Hao et al., 2012).

**DIFFERENTIATION OF THE NEURAL CREST AND WNT SIGNALING**

The tissue of origin for neuroblastoma is the NC, a band of cells that forms transiently between the neural tube and the non-neural ectoderm during early vertebrate development. Many signaling pathways act together during gastrulation to promote NC induction and specification, especially bone morphogenetic protein (BMP; Steventon et al., 2009), fibroblast growth factor (FGF; Stuhlmiiller and Garcia-Castro, 2012) and Wnt/β-catenin signaling (Garcia-Castro et al., 2002; Leung et al., 2016). Together, these signaling pathways orchestrate numerous transcription factors, including MSX1/2 (Tribulto et al., 2003; Ramos and Robert, 2005) and ETS1 (Barembaum and Bronner, 2013). NC specification finishes with an elevation of the neural folds during neurulation, and thereafter, following neural tube closure, premigratory NC cells delaminate and undergo epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT; Nieto et al., 2016). Multipotent neural crest cells (NCCs) with differential abilities to form derivative cell types are arranged along the length of the vertebrate embryo; these can be subdivided into the cranial, vagal, trunk and sacral NC, and will give rise to diverse cell and tissue types, including facial cartilage and bone, dental mesenchymal cells (Sharpe, 2016), melanocytes, smooth muscle cells, Schwann cells and enteric neurons (Bronner and LeDouarin, 2012; Martik and Bronner, 2017). The trunk NCCs give rise to the sympathetic nervous system and the adrenal medulla and are therefore the source of presumptive progenitors of neuroblastoma cells. Interestingly, a recent report by Espinosa-Medina et al. (2016) demonstrated that sacral NC can also give rise to sympathetic neurons.

As well as being crucial for NC induction, canonical Wnt signaling has also been shown to be vital in other NC stages including a role in delamination in co-operation with BMP; here disruption of β-catenin and TCF/LEF inhibits cell cycle progression and NC delamination and transcription of BMP-regulated genes, including MSXI (Burstyn-Cohen et al., 2004). Furthermore, ablation β-catenin specifically in NC stem cells *in vivo* revealed that, despite some NC-derived structures developing normally, mutant animals lack melanocytes and dorsal root ganglia. β-catenin mutant NCCs appear to emigrate normally but fail to undertake sensory neurogenesis, thereby suggesting a role of β-catenin in premigratory/early migratory NCCs (Hari et al., 2002). Non-canonical Wnt signaling is also involved in NCC migration (Mayor and Theveneau, 2014). Thus, Wnt signaling pathways are involved at multiple stages of NC development and can also selectively regulate NC lineages.

The aforementioned signaling pathways orchestrate cell behavior via gene regulatory networks (GRNs) that govern NC development. Transcriptional analysis and molecular loss and gain-of-function studies facilitate a GRN model of the NC, which, encompassing transcriptional regulators and signaling molecules, can explain the formation and maintenance of NC lineages (Martik and Bronner, 2017). Establishment of the neural plate border, specification, migration and differentiation, have their specific GRNs, and these networks can interact, overlap and influence each other. Gradients and balances of Wnt, BMP, FGF and Notch signaling determine NC induction and activate transcription factors (e.g., MSXI, MYCN, DLX5/6), in the neural plate border module, determining the boundaries of NC, neural and non-neural ectoderm. These, in turn, upregulate NC specifier genes, which initiate the EMT programme (including SNAII/2, ETS1, TWIST1/2) to prepare for delamination of NCCs as well as maintain an undifferentiated state (premigratory module). Following EMT, the NCCs acquire a migratory programme enabling long-distance migration guided by environmental signals and maintained by a TF network (including ZEB2, LMO4, SNAII/2, TWIST1/2, ETS1). The sympathoadrenergic lineage follows a ventral migratory pattern and aggregate at the dorsal aorta and go on to form the primary sympathetic ganglia and colonize the adrenal medulla. BMPs released by the wall of the dorsal aorta induce SA specification, activating ASCL1 and PHOX2B, which switches on other SA-lineage specific transcription factors, for example, ASCL1 upregulates PHOX2A, which in turn upregulates TH and DBH, enzymes characteristic of the SA lineage.

MYCN, the key developmental transcription factor deregulated in neuroblastoma, has been shown to be a Wnt target gene in chicken limb mesenchyme (ten Berge et al., 2008). MYCN is expressed at high levels in chick NC progenitors in
early NC development but surprisingly is not apparent in highly proliferative migrating NCCs (Khudyakov and Bronner-Fraser, 2009). This finding was confirmed and extended to show the absence of MYCN expression in the condensed dorsal root and sympathetic ganglia. Elevating MYCN expression in the neural plate border was shown to lead to a change in NC identity, toward a more central nervous system fate (Kerosuo et al., 2018). Transient over-expression of ectopic MYCN in early migrating cells, however, suggested that MYCN can regulate NC fate by regulating ventral migration and neuronal differentiation (Wakamatsu et al., 1997). Evidence from the developing NC in lamprey suggests that ZIC, MSX and TFAP2A/AP-2 transcription factors may positively regulate MYCN in the NC (Nikitina et al., 2008), but signaling pathways upstream of MYCN in the NC are unclear.

**NEUROBLASTOMA AND WNT SIGNALING**

Neuroblastomas display relatively few gene mutations, thus limiting the options for targeted therapeutics, and relapsing disease is common for high-risk NB (Matthay et al., 2016). Another increasingly important consideration is the cellular heterogeneity of NBs. Although this was first observed decades ago in NB cell lines being able to transdifferentiate (Ciccione et al., 1989), the dependence of lineage identity on transcriptional circuitries is only recently becoming evident. One study recently established that primary NBs and NB cell lines can contain two major cellular components, including migrating, NCC-like mesenchymal (MES), and more committed adrenergic (ADR) cells. These two cell types were defined by super-enhancer-associated gene expression patterns, characteristic of NC lineage differentiation stages and could transdifferentiate in vitro and in vivo. The ADRN lineage was defined by transcription factors such as GATA2/3, PHOX2A/2B and DLK1, whereas the MES lineage included the classical EMT transcription factor SNAI2, together with YAP1, TAZ (encoded by WWTR1) and PRRX1. The ADRN cells were more tumorigenic in nude mice than MES cells, although MES cells were more chemoresistant and were enriched in relapsed tumors (van Groningen et al., 2017). Concomitantly, another group also established transcriptional circuitries underlying the heterogenous differentiation states of NB cells and termed their three subtypes as sympathetic noradrenergic, NCC-like and a mixed type (Boeva et al., 2017). The noradrenergic identity required a transcription factor module containing PHOX2B, HAND2, and GATA3 and therefore corresponds to the ADRN subtype defined by van Groningen et al. (2017), whereas the NCC-like overlaps with the MES subtype, given they both require PRRX1. Importantly, ectopic overexpression of PRRX1 was shown to be able to alter lineage identity from ADRN to MES cells (van Groningen et al., 2017).

The prototypic route for disrupted differentiation of NCCs leading to neuroblastoma depends on MYCN amplification (Brodeur et al., 1984), which in turn leads to overexpressed MYCN protein directly repressing genes required for sympathetic nervous system terminal differentiation (Westermark et al., 2011; Gherardi et al., 2013). Given the many examples of cancers dependent on oncogenic Wnt/β-catenin signaling (Clevers and Nusse, 2012), such as colorectal cancers which have activating mutations in β-catenin or loss of function APC mutations (Fodde and Tomlinson, 2010), and that MYCN is a target of Wnt signaling (ten Berge et al., 2008), it was reasonable to propose that Wnt/β-catenin signaling would represent an oncogenic pathway in NB. This was further supported by our demonstration that high levels of LGR5 were apparent in undifferentiated NBs and NB cell lines (Vieira et al., 2015) and LGR5 expression correlated with poor prognosis.

However, although we showed that LGR5 was able to function as a Rspo2 receptor and amplify Wnt3a-induced β-catenin/TCF transcriptional activity, marked increases in proliferation were not observed, and the pro-survival functions of LGR5 were shown to be attributable to LGR5 positively modulating MEK/ERK signaling rather than Wnt/β-catenin signaling (Vieira et al., 2015). This dual regulatory capacity of LGRs on MEK/ERK signaling and Wnt was subsequently also demonstrated in skin carcinogenesis (Xu et al., 2016), but the underlying mechanisms remain unclear.

Although we found that Wnt3a/Rspo2 treatment of NB lines lead to the induction of some established canonical Wnt target genes, such as LEF1 and AXIN2, MYCN transcripts were not induced. In fact, we found that MYCN and MYC protein levels were actually reduced after Wnt3a/Rspo2 treatment, in contrast to previous reports suggesting induction of MYC in non-MYCN amplified (non-MNA) NBs as a result of Wnt/β-catenin signaling (Liu et al., 2008). Furthermore, our study did not align simply with reports showing that individual Wnt pathway components were associated with chemoresistance (FZD1; Flahaut et al., 2009), tumorigenic stem-like cells in human and mouse neuroblastoma (FZD6; Cantilena et al., 2011), and increased NB proliferation dependent on FZD2 (Zins et al., 2016). Using Wnt chemical agonists and inhibitors another study suggested that Wnt signaling hyperactivation promotes apoptosis of NB cells and that Wnt inhibition decreased proliferation and increased NB differentiation (Duffy et al., 2016). Oncogenicity of Wnt/β-catenin signaling, via deregulation of MYCN, was also inferred in a report demonstrating the therapeutic benefit of glypican-2 immunotherapy for NB (Li et al., 2017).

Given the lack of consensus on the role of Wnt signaling in NB, we, therefore, sought to detail the phenotypic and transcriptional effects of Wnt signaling on NB cells by treating three cell lines with Wnt3a and Rspo2. We determined early (6 h) Wnt-induced transcriptomic changes by RNA sequencing in SK-N-BE(2)-C, an MYCN-amplified (MNA) NB cell line (Szemes et al., 2018). Using a statistical cut-off of p < 0.005, we identified 90 genes that showed substantial and significant changes. In parallel, longer treatments were assessed for changes in phenotype and established that Wnt3a/Rspo2 treatment could induce proliferation in the SK-N-AS cell line, but in other lines, especially SK-N-BE(2)-C and SH-SY5Y cell lines, increased proliferation was not observed. Instead, we found an EMT-like transition, evidenced by increased cell migration and induction of SNAI1/2 and TWIST1/2, and neural differentiation accompanied by increased expression of marker genes such as...
NGFR, NTRK1, NEFM and NEFL/NF68. Meta-analysis of the expression of our 90 Wnt target genes in primary tumor gene expression databases revealed: (i) four gene subsets within the 90 genes, and (ii) dramatically different prognostic outcomes associated with each of the four gene subsets (Figure 2). By converting the expression of each of the four gene subsets into a numerical model, or metagene, we were further able to show that, for example, expression of Wnt metagene 1 (WMG-1) strongly positively correlated with Hippo-YAP/TAZ signaling signatures \((R = 0.76)\) in NB, and that these genes were more highly expressed in intermediate risk NB, rather than low- or high-risk NB. WMG-3 was overexpressed in the high-risk NB cluster, dominated by MNA tumors. Conversely, WMG-2 showed a strong positive correlation to Hedgehog signaling \((R = 0.84)\) associated with differentiation (Souzaki et al., 2010) and a negative correlation with MYC signatures \((R = -0.76)\). WMG-2 genes were, concordantly, strongly repressed in high-risk MNA NB (Szemes et al., 2018).

Collectively, studies from our laboratory and from others allude to an underappreciated role for Wnt signaling in NC and NB biology. In particular, the induction of EMT-like changes and the correlation of a subset of our Wnt target genes (WMG-1) with Hippo-YAP/TAZ pathway gene signatures, seemingly characteristic of the MES lineage (van Groningen et al., 2017), suggest that Wnt signaling may be part of the regulatory network determining NB cell identity. In the following sections, we will evaluate this concept through analysis of Wnt signaling on transcriptional and signaling pathways involved in NC and NB.

**IS WNT SIGNALING A MAJOR DETERMINANT OF NC AND NB CELL FATE?**

Numerous studies have examined the spatiotemporal expression of transcription factors and signaling pathway components in the NC. Integration of these efforts has facilitated the establishment of a GRN model, underlying NC development (Martik and Bronner, 2017). As our RNA sequencing of the NB cell line SK-N-BE(2)-C treated with Wnt3a/Rspo2 provides a unique gene set representing, at least in part, Wnt targets in the NC, we assessed which GRN genes encoding transcription factors or signaling molecules from different stages of NC development might be affected by Wnt3a/Rspo2 treatment (Table 1). As expected from our previous work, premigratory and migratory modules, including several EMT transcription factors (TWIST1, ZEB2, ETS1), are affected. Interestingly, given that the presumptive
cell of origin for NBs is along the sympathoadrenal lineage, there is also strong induction for neural plate border specifiers MSX1, DLX5 and DLX6. This suggests that either SK-N-BE(2)-C cells have retained early transcriptional plasticity, or more intriguingly, that NBs may arise prior to sympathoadrenal differentiation. This notion is supported by developmental experiments showing ectopic MYCN expression in the neural plate border resulting in a shift towards a CNS-like state (Kerosuo et al., 2018) and the induction of NB in MYCN-overexpressing NCCs (Olsen et al., 2017).

We next assessed the relationships between the Wnt pathway and NCCs and ARDN and MES identities using publicly available gene expression datasets. As shown in Figure 3A, transcription factors in the Wnt pathway are significantly higher in NCCs and MES cell lines compared with ADRN cell lines.

In order to explore if Wnt may regulate NB lineage identity, we studied the relationship between individual Wnt pathway members and MES and ADRN lineage identity signatures in a primary NB dataset (SEQC498; Su et al., 2014). MES and ADRN metagenes were constructed on the basis of the study of van Groningen et al. (2017). We then plotted the correlation of expression (correlation co-efficient, R) of each Wnt pathway member gene in relation to the expression of MES and ADRN signatures. In addition to the components of the Wnt pathway described in Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes (KEGG), we also included LGR receptors and their ligands, R-spondins. Wnt components showed a strong correlation to the expression of MES signature along a gradient, with R values ranging from 0.81 to −0.381 (Figure 3B, top panel). The association to the ADRN signature was also pronounced (R: −0.54 to 0.48), but interestingly displaying an inverse pattern to that of MES. The functional significance of this pattern could be underpinned, for example, by FZD3, which has been shown to be required for maintenance of dividing sympathetic neuroblasts and correlates with ADRN identity (Armstrong et al., 2011). In contrast, FZD1 and FZD6, previously reported to be associated with chemoresistance and tumorigenic stem-cells, respectively (Flahaut et al., 2009; Cantilena et al., 2011) correlate highly with MES identity (Figure 3B). Although the selectivity of Wnt-Frizzled interactions is poorly understood (Grainger and Willert, 2018), our analysis highlights the possibility that Wnt responses and opposing functional outcomes in NC and NB may be regulated by Wnt receptor configurations.

We previously demonstrated that Wnt can drive the expression of diverse sets of genes in NB cells that display distinct co-expression patterns in primary tumors, which we represented with Wnt metagenes. We reasoned that differential regulation of Wnt metagenes in NB tumors could be due to the configuration of the Wnt pathway, i.e., the differential expression of genes encoding for the pathway components. We, therefore, assessed the correlation of expression between Wnt pathway members and our Wnt metagenes, WMG-1, 2, 3 and 4 (Figure 3B), which revealed a striking similarity of WMG-1 (yellow) with MES identity, and an anticorrelation with ADRN identity. This was also partially true for WMG-2 (green), but to a much lesser extent, whereas WMG-3 (red), which we had previously associated with high-risk and MYC signatures, was generally low in MES and high in ADRN. WMG-2 and 3 had inverse correlation profiles, consistent with their contrasting expression patterns in NB tumors. WMG-4 (gray) correlation patterns were not distinct.

Wnt pathway members also correlated with prognosis (Figure 3B bottom). High expression of genes with moderate correlation to MES or ADRN signature and high correlation to WMG-2 were the strongest indicators of good prognosis.
Conversely, Wnt pathway genes correlating with ADRN signature were usually indicators of poor prognosis.

Focussing on WMG-1, we observed it was also significantly higher in NCC and MES cell lines compared to ADRN cells (Figure 3C), and in the SEQC dataset WMG-1 and the MES signature showed a remarkable correlation ($R = 0.927$, $p = 9.0 \times 10^{-214}$; Figure 3D). This was also apparent in a second NB dataset containing 649 tumors (Supplementary Figure S1A). We had previously shown that WMG-1 expression in NB gene expression datasets correlated with intermediate risk NB, and in accordance with that, we found that the high expression of the MES signature metagene correlated with a better prognosis in the SEQC (Figure 3E) and also in the Kocak dataset of 649 tumors (Kocak et al., 2013; Supplementary Figure S1B). As both our
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**FIGURE 4 |** Associations between neuroblastoma Wnt target genes, PRRX1, and Hippo-YAP/TAZ signaling. (A) PRRX1 expression is significantly higher in the intermediate risk NB Wnt cluster, which strongly associates with high WMG-1 expression (see Figure 1; GSE62564). Statistically significant difference between the groups was tested by using ANOVA ($p$ values shown) and Tukey HSD post hoc tests were applied to evaluate pairwise differences (*** $p < 0.005$). (B) Heatmaps showing the regulation of individual genes within the Wnt metagenes by PRRX1 (GSE908040, derived from inducible PRRX1 expression in SK-N-BE(2)-C cells). Note the induction of WMGs 1, 2, and 4 at later timepoints, and downregulation of most WMG-3 genes. (C) Wnt3a/Rspo-2 treatment of NB cell lines for 96 h leads to the activation of MES-related transcription factors, PRRX1, and WWTR1. (D) Volcano plot showing gene expression changes in SK-N-BE(2)-C cells with induced PRRX1 expression. Red dots indicate members of the Hippo signaling pathway (KEGG). The highlighted Hippo pathway transcription factors and the Hippo target gene CTGF were all strongly upregulated. Dashed lines separate genes regulated by minimum ±2-fold with $p < 0.01$ significance. Cell culturing and gene expression analysis were performed as previously (Szemes et al., 2018). PCR primers are provided in Supplementary Table S1.
WMG-1 (Szemes et al., 2018) and MES (van Groningen et al., 2017) signatures are associated with Hippo-YAP/TAZ, it may be inferred that Hippo signaling, which has been implicated in NB tumorigenesis (Wang et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2017), may, at least at diagnosis, not be integral to high-risk neuroblastoma. Interestingly, the role(s) of Hippo signaling in NC development are not well established, but the observations made here and by others are consistent with a report showing the importance of Hippo-YAP/TAZ in NC migration (Hindley et al., 2016).

The transcription factor PRRX1 was pinpointed as being involved in MES/NCC-like identity by both recent landmark studies on NB heterogeneity (Boeva et al., 2017; van Groningen et al., 2017). Indeed, the first of these directly demonstrated conversion of ADRN to MES lineages by PRRX1 induction in SK-N-BE(2)-C cells. As we had reported Wnt3a/Rspo2 treatment leading to EMT (Szemes et al., 2018), we investigated the possible links between Wnt signaling and PRRX1. As shown in Figure 4A, PRRX1 transcripts were significantly higher in...
NB cluster 2 (intermediate risk, see Figure 2). Analyzing gene expression changes accompanying PRRX1 induction in SK-N-BE(2)-C cells, we found that WMG-1 genes, high expression of which is characteristic of intermediate risk NB (Szemes et al., 2018), showed increased expression following 144 h of PRRX1 induction, with the exceptions of MSX2 and BMP4, which were increased at earlier timepoints (24–72 h). Expression of WMG-2 was also increased, although in a less uniform way, whereas WMG-3 and WMG-4 genes appeared to be partitioned in their response, with two subsets of genes regulated in an opposite fashion (Figure 4B). In sum, we found a remarkable overlap between our Wnt targets and the long-term transcriptional effects of PRRX1 induction in SK-N-BE(2)-C. We conducted the same analysis on Wnt pathway genes (KEGG and other known members) and observed increased expression of Wnt pathway receptor and transcriptional regulator genes accompanying PRRX1 induction (Supplementary Figure S2).

Although the kinetics of WMG responses argues against our Wnt genes being direct targets of PRRX1, this analysis links PRRX1, Wnt signaling and MES identity. We note that PRRX1 has been reported to be upstream of Wnt signaling and regulating EMT in gastric cancer (Guo et al., 2015). We links PRRX1, Wnt signaling and regulating EMT in gastric cancer (Guo et al., 2015). We note that the non-canonical Wnt ligand genes, WWTR1 and TAZ (encoded by PRRX1, and the Hippo regulator and regulating EMT in gastric cancer (Guo et al., 2015). We note that the non-canonical Wnt ligand genes, WWTR1 and TAZ, or on CTGF expression (unpublished data) to determine and maintain MES identity.

Our Wnt-driven NB phenotypes and transcriptome also indicated neural differentiation in SK-N-BE(2)-C and SH-SY5Y cell lines, including induction of NEFL and NEFM. In terms of NB cellular identities, this suggests that Wnt may also influence ADRN (or noradrenergic) cell fates. As a first step to analyzing this possibility, we determined the expression pattern of the ADRN signature genes in the four prognostic NB clusters defined by the expression of our NB Wnt target genes (Szemes et al., 2018; Supplementary Figure S3). ADRN genes had low expression in NB cluster 2, dominated by the overexpression of MES-related WMG-1. We also observed that the ADRN genes clustered into two subsets according to expression in the different prognostic Wnt groups. Therefore, we repeated the clustering in the SEQC dataset according to clinical risk categories and defined high-risk (ADRIN-HR) and low-risk ADRN (ADRIN-LR) signatures, based on high expression of the subsets in risk groups (Figure 5A). Contrasting expression of these two ADRN subsets was particularly striking in MNA tumors, which showed the highest expression of ADRN-HR and the lowest of ADRN-LR genes. Kaplan-Meier survival analyses showed that the ADRN-HR and ADRN-LR signatures were, respectively, strong negative and positive prognostic indicators (Figure 5B). We also found that ADRN-LR was positively correlated with WMG-2, which in turn negatively correlated with ADRN-HR. Evaluation of Hallmark genesets highlighted a striking positive correlation of ADRN-LR with genes affected by canonical Wnt signaling, as well as those associated with proliferation, cell survival, and motility.

**Table 2** Gene ontology analysis of adrenergic low-risk (ADRIN-LR) and adrenergic high-risk (HR) subgroups of genes.

| GO ID | Pathway description | N | FDR |
|-------|---------------------|---|-----|
| GO.0007399 | Nervous system development | 52 | 6.16E-12 |
| GO.0030182 | Neuron differentiation | 37 | 6.16E-12 |
| GO.0048699 | Generation of neurons | 43 | 6.16E-12 |
| GO.0022008 | Neurogenesis | 42 | 7.11E-11 |
| GO.0048666 | Neurodevelopment | 32 | 6.82E-11 |
| GO.0031175 | Neuron projection development | 29 | 1.45E-10 |
| GO.0048667 | Cell morphogenesis involved in neuron differentiation | 24 | 1.30E-08 |
| GO.0048731 | System development | 64 | 1.30E-08 |
| GO.0048812 | Neuron projection morphogenesis | 28 | 1.89E-08 |
| GO.0000904 | Cell morphogenesis involved in differentiation | 26 | 2.12E-08 |

**Table 2** Gene ontology analysis of adrenergic low-risk (ADRIN-LR) and adrenergic high-risk (HR) subgroups of genes.

| GO ID | Pathway description | N | FDR |
|-------|---------------------|---|-----|
| GO.0000278 | Mitotic cell cycle | 28 | 2.41E-06 |
| GO.1903047 | Mitotic cell cycle process | 26 | 2.72E-06 |
| GO.0022402 | Cell cycle process | 30 | 6.23E-06 |
| GO.0007067 | Mitotic nuclear division | 17 | 1.77E-05 |
| GO.0000280 | Nuclear division | 18 | 5.86E-05 |
| GO.0007049 | Cell cycle | 32 | 5.86E-05 |
| GO.0004772 | Mitotic cell cycle phase transition | 14 | 0.00086 |
| GO.0008268 | DNA unwinding involved in DNA replication | 4 | 0.00161 |
| GO.0008271 | DNA strand elongation involved in DNA replication | 5 | 0.0104 |
| GO.001301 | Cell division | 15 | 0.012 |

**Table 2** Gene ontology analysis of adrenergic low-risk (ADRIN-LR) and adrenergic high-risk (HR) subgroups of genes.
Hedgehog signaling, as we had previously pointed out for WMG-2 (Szemes et al., 2018; Figure 5C, top). The ADRN-HR signature negatively correlated with WMG-1, but positively with WMG-3, and accordingly, showed a very strong correlation with an MYC signature (Figure 5C, bottom). Gene Ontology analysis for ADRN-LR genes revealed enrichment for neuronal development and differentiation pathways, and in contrast, ADRN-HR genes were largely involved in cell-cycle processes, proliferation (Table 2). In keeping with the strong association between ADRN-HR and WMG-3 signatures, WMG-3 genes were expressed at significantly higher levels in ADRN cells compared to MES and NCC lines. WMG-4 displayed a similar expression pattern, albeit with lower significance (Figure 5D). WMG-2 was not significantly different between MES and ADRN cell lines but was slightly lower in ADRN lines relative to NCC lines.

Since we previously demonstrated that Wnt3a/Rspo2 treatment can prompt neural differentiation of NB cell lines, we evaluated whether long-term (96 h) treatments with Wnt ligands may influence transcription factors that contribute to the establishment of the ADRN, or noradrenergic, cell lineage. As shown in Figure 5E, PHOX2A/2B, TFAP2B and EYA1 were all markedly upregulated in SK-N-BE(2)-C cells. In SH-SY5Y cells, these factors were already generally higher, but again induction of EYA1 was apparent following Wnt3a/Rspo2 treatment.

Taken together these analyses demonstrate that the ADRN signature can be partitioned into two discrete subsets, which we have termed ADRN-LR and ADRN-HR, with contrasting expression in low-risk and high-risk NBs. The role of Wnt in differentiation of sympathoadrenergic neurons is further underlined by a report by Bodmer et al. (2009) that Wnt5a promotes axonal growth and branching in response to NGF:TrkA signaling. Our data also suggest co-operativity of Wnt with Hedgehog signaling in establishing the ADRN-LR signature. The role of Hedgehog signaling in NB remains poorly understood and, as in the case of Wnt signaling, there is conflicting evidence; two studies have suggested its oncogenic potential (Mao et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2012), whereas others have reported an influence on differentiation of NB cells (Williams et al., 2000; Souzaki et al., 2010). A role for Hedgehog signaling in cephalic and trunk NCC differentiation has been reported (Calloni et al., 2007), and in Xenopus, the Hedgehog transcription factor Gli2 was shown to be necessary for NCC specification and migration (Cerrizuela et al., 2018).

In the context of NB, our previous studies suggested that WMG-2 genes were correlated with Hedgehog signaling and are suppressed by MYCN (Szemes et al., 2018). It, therefore, follows that ADRN-LR genes, strongly associated with neural development, may be Wnt/Hedgehog-regulated genes repressed by MYCN. This informs and extends the suggestion that MYCN represses signaling pathways driving differentiation during NB tumorigenesis (Duffy et al., 2015).

**SUMMARY AND PERSPECTIVES**

The understanding of gene expression programmes, contingent on transcription factor hierarchies and chromatin level plasticity, in particular, epigenetic changes at super-enhancer elements, continues to facilitate our understanding of cellular identity. Notable advances have been made in ascertaining regulatory circuits in NCCs as well as in neuroblastoma recently, yet much remains to be determined about the contributions of autocrine, paracrine and juxtacrine signaling pathways that, together with transcription factors and epigenetic states, form a regulatory triumvirate.

Our analysis of Wnt signaling strongly suggests that this pathway is a major determinant of transcription factor circuitries, and thereby lineage identity, in neuroblastoma. This is further supported by comparisons with NC GRNs, with Wnt signaling regulating early NC specifiers such as MSX1 and DLX5/6, as well as migratory factors such as SNAI2 and TWIST1/2. Further, we highlight the possible interplay between canonical Wnt signaling with Hippo-YAP/TAZ and Hedgehog signaling, emphasizing the need for integrative analysis of signaling inputs for both NC and NB biology. Our transcriptomic signatures facilitate this, but also underline the need for parallel studies using diverse cell types and signaling effectors.

With regard to NB, our study begins to reconcile some of the apparent contradictions about the influence of Wnt signaling. The determination of MES/NCC-like identity by Wnt is consistent with reports that resistance to chemotherapy and stemness may be linked to Wnt (Flahaut et al., 2009; Cantilena et al., 2011; Vangipuram et al., 2012). However, as we have shown, there are also Wnt-driven gene modules linked to neuronal differentiation. We propose that establishing a “Signaling Regulatory Network” will aid the formulation of a novel and efficacious treatment combinations for resistant neuroblastoma and differentiation therapies.
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