Electron Transmission through Coordinating Atoms Embedded in Metal-Organic Nanoporous Networks
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On-surface metal-organic nanoporous networks generally refer to adatom coordinated molecular arrays, which are characterized by the presence of well-defined and regular nanopores. These periodic structures constructed using two types of components confine the surface electrons of the substrate within their nanocavities. However, the confining (or scattering) strength that individual building units exhibit is a priori unknown. Here, we study the modification of the substrate’s surface electrons by the interaction with a Cu-coordinated TPyB metal-organic network formed on Cu(111) and disentangle the scattering potentials and confinement properties. By means of STM and angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy we find almost unperturbed free-electron-like states stemming from the rather weak electron confinement that yields significant coupling between adjacent pores. Electron plane wave expansion simulations match the superlattice induced experimental electronic structure, which features replicating bands and energy renormalization effects. Notably, the electrostatic potential landscape obtained from our ab initio calculations suggests that the molecules are the dominant scattering entities while the coordination metal atoms sandwiched between them act as leaky channels. These metal atom transmission conduits facilitate and enhance the coupling among quantum dots, which are prone to be exploited to engineer the electronic structure of surface electron gases.
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Nanoporous networks grown on noble metals have been established in the last decade as reliable confining entities for the surface state (SS) electrons [1–4]. Each pore acts as a quantum dot (QD) and their properties are tunable by proper selection of the building units. These building units dictate the effective potential barriers [5–7], the geometry of the array [4], and the existing interaction with the substrate [7,8] defining the ultimate confining capabilities of the system. Metal-organic nanoporous networks (MONNs) are possibly the most versatile and robust of noncovalent organic arrays when compared to other related electrostatically bonded (hydrogen or halogen) networks [1–4,9–11]. Their stability can be utilized for condensing guest organic and inorganic adsorbates [12–17] and their metal coordination atoms show novel magnetic properties [18,19], catalytic effects [20], and variable valence oxidation states [21]. Moreover, different tessellation patterns can be tailored (hexagonal, square, or quasicrystalline) when rationally playing with the molecular end groups (cyano, pyridyl), coordination atoms (transition metal, rare earth) or the support surface (noble metal, hBN, graphene) [22–24], even resulting in theoretically predicted exotic topological properties [25–28].

The atomically precise regularity of MONNs allows the investigation of electron confinement and periodic QD intercoupling that strongly depends on the building units’ potential landscape. These quantum effects manifest as energy shifts and gap openings at high symmetry points in the electronic bands when studied by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) [2,4,29,30]. Such modifications to the two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) have been reported for several organic and metal-organic nanoporous networks and show flexibility upon organic unit selection [1,2,7,31] by affecting the pore dimensions
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(QD size) [3,6] and/or the barrier widths [4]. The resulting QD array bands respond to thermal effects [32] and to the condensation of weakly interacting guest atoms and molecules within their pores [33].

Unlike the well-understood scattering mechanisms of quantum corrals [34] and step edges [35,36], the scattering properties of molecules and metal atoms generating MONNs are not yet clear. The evidence so far suggests smaller potential barriers of the coordinating single metal atoms than the molecules [3,6,37]. This confinement strength exerted upon the 2DEG is found to vary from one network to another, necessarily affecting the electronic intercoupling between neighboring QDs.

In this Letter, we provide insight into the potential landscape of a MONN by disentangling the scattering properties of the molecules and single coordination atoms. We confirm that metal adatoms act as weak scatterers compared to the organic molecular backbones and, due to their strategic position within the array, they provide accessible transmission channels for the surface electrons, further enhancing the interporo coupling. Such findings rely upon mesoscopic scale (by means of the spatially averaging ARPES) and nanoscale [by highly resolved scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) and spectroscopy (STS)] experimental electronic characterization of the MONN supported theoretically by electron plane wave expansion (EPWE) simulations and electrostatic potential (ESP) maps calculations using density functional theory (DFT).

The studied semiconducting Cu-coordinated network, that we name hereafter TPyB-Cu, is shown in Fig. 1(a). It is generated from thermally evaporated TPyB [1,3,5-tri(4-pyridyl)-benzene], sketched in the figure inset. The precursors are deposited at RT on Cu(111) and annealed to 420 K, leading to a periodic, long-range ordered and single-domain (of the substrate’s average terrace size), and practically defect-free network. This QD array is thermally robust up to 600 K [38]. Such a homogeneous formation only happens when the MONN saturates the surface (two differently oriented phases coexist for submonolayer coverage [8]). As shown in Fig. 1(b), the Cu coordination atoms bond to the pyridyl groups of TPyB in a twofold coordination that presents sixfold symmetry [8]. Constant current STM imaging shows that the apparent height at adatom positions is significantly smaller than at the molecular centers, suggesting that they are closer to the surface than the average molecular backbones. The pore-to-pore periodicity is 2.65 nm along the [112] direction resulting in a \(6\sqrt{3} \times 6\sqrt{3} \) \(R30^\circ\) superstructure [cf. LEED pattern in Fig. 1(c)]. The nanopore areas of 6 nm\(^2\) should confine surface electrons [7]; therefore, we probe them by ARPES and STS.

Figures 2(a)–2(c) show the experimental ARPES spectral density of the pristine Cu SS and the TPyB-Cu covered surface along the two network high-symmetry directions (rotated 30\(^\circ\) from the substrate’s main directions). We observe a down-shift of the fundamental energy (\(\Gamma\) point) of \(-70\) meV, that becomes obvious after comparing the normal emission energy distribution curves (EDCs) of Fig. 2(d) (cf. Table SI of the Supplemental Material [39]). Such a counterintuitive fundamental energy shift is explained elsewhere [6] and is also found for other related MONNs generated with larger precursors (see Fig. S1). Note that in this ARPES energy window only the modified 2DEG state is found, validating the semiconducting character of the metal-organic overlayer (see Figs. S2–S4) [8].

This band structure should correlate to the local density of states (LDOS) when probed by STS. Figure 2(e) shows position-dependent experimental conductance \((dI/dV)\) spectra for TPyB-Cu that are compared to the Cu SS. As expected from ARPES, the \(dI/dV\) onsets of the TPyB-Cu are also shifted by \(-70\) meV with respect to the Cu SS (dashed vertical lines). Moreover, the \(dI/dV\) line shape at the pore center (red line) shows weak confinement resonances peaking at \(\sim-0.42\) V \((n = 1\) state\), \(\sim-0.23\) V \((n = 2, 3\) states\) and \(\sim-0.2\) V \((n = 4\) state\), characteristic of trapped electrons [1–4,17,33]. This identification of the LDOS features allows us to explicitly correct the

FIG. 1. Topographic characterization of the TPyB-Cu metal-organic network. (a) High-resolution STM image of the single-domain Cu-coordinated TPyB-Cu hexagonal QD array grown on Cu(111). The inset shows the precursor molecule. (b) Enlargement into the hexagonal porous structure with a superimposed structural model. (c) LEED pattern of the network, which shows evidence that the molecular film is long-range ordered and single domain on the Cu(111) surface.
The assignment of the S1 peak in Ref. [7] as the $n = 2, 3$ states. The 2DEG confinement at the pores is unraveled in ARPES by the presence of small gaps at symmetry points [practically invisible in the raw data of Figs. 2(b) and 2(c)]. However, the replica bands in adjoining Brillouin zones and small energy gaps (see Figs. S2–S4), imply weak 2DEG scattering from the network barriers.

To shed light into the surface potential landscape that TPyB-Cu entails for the 2DEG, we perform EPWE simulations. This semiempirical method has been successfully used to obtain the confining character of related nanoporous networks [1,3–5]. Our simulations agree simultaneously with the ARPES and STS datasets when using the geometry shown in the inset of Fig. 2(f) (constructed from the chemical model structure of the MONN) and assuming two different scattering regions: highly repulsive for molecules ($V_{\text{mol}} = 250$ meV in green) and weakly repulsive around the Cu adatoms ($V_{\text{Cu}} = 50$ meV in dark blue) [1,3,5] (Fig. S5 shows the effect in the band structure when tweaking these potential values). Using the modified 2DEG parameters indicated in Table SI for the EPWE simulations, we can nicely reproduce the experimental ARPES replicating bands [white lines in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c)] and also the spectral intensity and weak scattering strength of the networks (see Fig. S4). Moreover, we find good agreement with the experimental conductance spectra in the simulated line shapes, as shown in Fig. 2(f) (the down-slope experimental background is not included in our simulations). The LDOS at the molecule and Cu adatoms show steplike onsets that are weakly modulated up to 0.2 V corresponding to the modified 2DEG. Molecular states are observed much further away from the Fermi energy [8]. We note that no bound state feature is found at the Cu adatom spectrum, contrarily to the case of isolated adatoms on pristine noble metal surfaces [47,48]. The experimental agreement...
between ARPES and STS is also manifested by the similarity between the power spectral functions along the pore-to-pore direction [Fig. 2(g)] and the ARPES band structure along ΓK [Fig. 2(c)]. The discontinuous red lines overlaid as a guide to the eye closely resemble the gray scale electronic structure shown in ARPES.

The EPWE permits us to simulate one-dimensional (1D) linescans along the horizontal and vertical directions, as shown in Fig. 2(h). At the Cu adatom positions (vertical direction) weaker barriers than at the molecular units are felt by the 2DEG. Even when the first confined state \((n = 1)\) is well encapsulated in both directions within the pore, we hypothesize that higher resonances effectively leak through the Cu barriers \((v\text{ line})\) and smoothly couple adjoining pores. Such weak scattering originates at the metal-organic coordination position, strategically located between nanopores. As a result, the free-electron-like character of the pristine 2DEG becomes almost unperturbed in comparison to other studied organic or metal-organic networks [2,4], where appreciable energy gaps were discernible.

To verify the hypothesis that single metal atoms present weaker scattering potentials for the surface electrons than the molecular backbones, we extract the electrostatic potential (ESP) maps from DFT calculations for the TPyB-Cu network. First, we generate the network and calculate the scattering potential landscape encountered by surface electrons at selected planes \((z)\) from the average MONN position, which is taken as a reference (see Fig. S6). Since the Cu(111) SS has its largest probability density close to the substrate’s last layer atomic centers and exponentially decays towards the vacuum [49], we plot the resulting ESP maps at the atomic centers’ plane \((z = 3.08 \text{ Å})\) and halfway in their atomic radius \((z = 2.36 \text{ Å})\) in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) (see 3D perspective in Fig. S7). Notably, our calculations exhibit remarkable agreement with the scattering potential landscape used in EPWE simulations and corroborates the heterogeneous scattering potential that features strong repulsive character at the molecules [4,50–52] and quite weak at Cu coordination positions. Inspection into the potential line profiles extracted from these calculations, shows that the Cu adatom regions present a particular barrier substructure. At these sites, the outer rim is weakly repulsive, but quickly reverses its character towards its center. We find that such behavior is \(z\) dependent, becoming more pronounced as we move away from the atomic centers’ plane. This observation validates our hypothesis that the Cu adatoms act like effective transmission channels between adjacent nanopores, preventing the appearance of noticeable energy gaps and strong confinement features in our ARPES and STS datasets.

For comparison, we calculate the ESP maps for the reported 3,9-dibromodinaphtho[2,3-b:2’,3’-d]diophene (Br-DNT) halogen bonded nanoporous network grown on Ag(111) [4]. We select this molecular array since it bears a similar interpore separation and QD size, but lacks the metal coordination. Even if there are slight site dependent differences (Br and S atoms show stronger repulsion character than the C backbone), our calculations displayed in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d) show a very homogeneous repulsive potential barrier landscape compared to the TPyB-Cu case. In essence, the Br-DNT network efficiently confines the electrons within the nanopores at different \(z\) planes. Since there is no transmission channel for surface electrons to leak through, the QD intercoupling is relatively hindered and noticeable energy gaps and band flattening is found in this network. This takes place even when the
reported EPWE potential for the carbon backbone is half the value of the TPyB-Cu network reported here. Note that no down-shift of the fundamental energy is present on Br-DNT [4] (see Table SI), confirming its origin in the coordinating metal adatoms [6]. Importantly, further EPWE simulations show that the side position of the coordinating adatoms is key for opening a transmission channel between neighboring pores, since this effect is absent at corner positions (see Fig. S8).

Nevertheless, we find an important difference in the absorption geometry between the two networks. While the Br-DNT layer stays practically flat at 0.3 nm distance from the substrate [4], the TPyB molecules significantly bend when coordinating with the Cu adatoms [8] and come as close as 0.2 nm to the substrate surface at their edges (see Fig. S6). Note that such bending is also found for Br-DNT when forming metal-organic coordination chains with Ag adatoms [53]. In any case, the large atomic radius of the coordinating adatoms enhance their orbital hybridization with the substrate. On this basis, the role of the bridging Cu adatoms that facilitates the interpor electron transfer and the fundamental energy down-shifts, become physically justified.

In summary, we have grown a single-domain and long-range ordered TPyB-Cu MONN network film that saturates the Cu(111) surface. The electronic structure modification of the substrate’s SS was studied through a combination of experimental ARPES and STS together with EPWE simulations and DFT based ESP map calculations. Based on the practical absence of energy gaps and unmodified effective mass, we find that the coordination metal atoms exhibit the weakest potential barriers for the surface electrons, which practically spoil the confining properties of their molecular counterparts. Since these Cu adatoms are located at strategic positions of the network, they act like efficient transmission channels between adjacent pores that yields significant interdot coupling. In this way, they provide leakage pathways that severely weaken the pore confining capabilities. In opposition to the repulsive character of molecular barriers, our ESP maps show that metal coordination atoms activate such transmission channels by spatially varying their character from slightly repulsive at the outer rim to attractive at their cores. Contrarily to these single adatom coordination nanoporous networks, homomolecular arrays (like Br-DNT) present more homogeneous potential barriers that enhance confinement effects and reduce the interdot coupling.

Our insight into the potential landscape of such 2D nanostructures could trigger further interest in tailoring the confinement properties of these networks. Particularly, it could contribute to extend its use to other molecules and coordinating elements, such as rare earths and alkali metals, grown on different types of surfaces, such as topological insulators or Rashba type surface alloys that host topologically protected or spin textured helical surface states.
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