INTRODUCTION

Delivery of a baby before 37 completed weeks of pregnancy is a common health issue with a worldwide incidence of around 10%, and more than 1 million preterm babies die while long life handicap affects many of the survivors, this health issue is more common in low-income countries with a worse prognosis where a reasonable survival not reached until 34 weeks gestation [1]. With the improvement of fertility treatment regimens, more cases with threatened preterm labor are seen due to the higher incidence of multiple gestations which constitutes a major cause for uterine overdistension [2]. It has been criticized as the single most important factor in adverse infant outcome as the infant mortality rate in 2011 was more than 251,100 live deliveries compared to 15,100 for those delivered at term [3]. The gestational age was significantly associated with various morbidity as developmental, behavioral, educational, and various influences on later health [4]. As the neonatal management has a little impact on these morbidities, all efforts should be directed toward the prevention of early birth and prolongation of pregnancy to achieve good survival and better outcome. Among these interventions are the progesterone supplementation which was chosen in this clinical trial to delineate its effect on those admitted with threatened preterm deliveries by means of clinical evaluation as well as ultrasonic evaluation including Doppler study of uterine arteries resistance which has been found as a useful modality for the prediction of preterm birth [5]. Various doses and routes of administration had been studied, but the use of rectal progesterone is more rationale as it is more convenient for the patients who are afraid from preterm delivery and does not need medical supervision. At present, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommends progesterone therapy for a singleton fetus at risk of preterm birth based on the history of prior birth before 37 weeks [6]. While the Royal College for Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommends its use to be restricted to therapeutic trials, until its fetal effects both on short and long term become evident [7]. The novelty of the study comes from the priority of using rectal progesterone in the prevention of threatened preterm labor in our population and the evaluation of its effects on Doppler indices of the uterine arteries.

Patients and method

This interventional prospective case–control study was conducted at Al-Yarmouk Teaching Hospital which is a tertiary referral hospital receives more than 3500 pregnant women per year in Baghdad, for a period of 1 year from April 1, 2017, to April 1, 2018. The protocol of the study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Scientific Researches of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Al-Yarmouk Teaching Hospital. The study involved 100 pregnant women who attained the Outpatients Department and those admitted to the Obstetric ward of Al-Yarmouk Hospital. 50 of them were assigned as the control group who were apparently healthy pregnant women. The other 50 women were those with a diagnosis of threatened preterm labor in the current pregnancy who were assigned as a study group.

Conclusion:

Rectal progesterone can arrest threatened preterm labor, and this effect is possible in part explained by its action on uterine arteries.
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Exclusion criteria
The following criteria were excluded from the study:
1. Multiple pregnancy
2. Polyhydramnios or oligohydramnios
3. Diabetic or hypertensive patients
4. Over or underweight women
5. Ruptured membrane
6. Smoking

The two groups were matched for the age, gravidity, parity, weeks of gestation, and body mass index (BMI) which was calculated according to the following equation (BMI = weight in kg/height in square meter). Detailed history was taken from all participants, full physical examination including general and abdominal examination to assess the intensity and the frequency of uterine contractions was done to all pregnant women involved in the study. Pelvic examination including sterile speculum examination was performed only for those with signs and symptoms of threatened preterm labor looking for cervical dilation and effacement. Patients with threatened preterm delivery were those with at least 3 contractions per 10 min associated with cervical changes. Blood sample (5 cc) was taken from all participants for complete blood count looking for white blood cells, and C reactive protein to exclude infection, and midstream urine sample to exclude urinary tract infection.

Examination was done by B-mode ultrasound with the patient on left lateral position without uterine contractions. Color and spectral Doppler studies were done using transabdominal sonography (2.5 MHz). All cases were evaluated by the same radiologist 2D. Ultrasound and Doppler evaluation were done using GE Voluson E6 Machine that was equipped with a transabdominal volumetric probe. The patients with preterm labor received nifedipine tocolysis initially until contractions subsided and 400 mg rectal progesterone in the form of cyclogest suppository every day for 1 week and another Doppler ultrasound was done to those who completed the study after this period to evaluate the change in the Doppler flow indices of uterine arteries. The patients in the study group were followed until the time of delivery.

Statistical analysis
The data were analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 24. The data were presented as mean with standard deviation/standard error mean and median with range. Student’s t-test (two-tailed) was used to compare the demographic data between the study and control groups. Mann-Whitney U nonparametric test was used to compare the Doppler parameter values between the two groups. p value level of <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS
The demographic data for the participants in this study is shown in Table 1 which clarifies that there are no significant differences between the study and control groups regarding age, weeks of gestation, BMI, gravidity, and parity as p>0.05.

Doppler examination results for both those with preterm labor and apparently healthy pregnant women were analyzed, and the comparison results are demonstrated in Table 2.

In Table 2, the study group has a significantly higher resistance index (RI) than the control group (0.58 vs. 0.52) as p<0.05; however, the pulsatility index (PI) is higher in the study group compared to the control group (0.78 vs. 0.77) but this difference is not significant statistically as p>0.05.

The Doppler examination results after progesterone treatment for those with threatened preterm labor who completed the study and not delivered or lost in the follow-up (43 patients) were analyzed, and the results of comparison are shown in Table 3.

| Parameters                        | Study group n=50 | Control group n=50 | p value |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                       | 21.84±1.65/0.15  | 19.6 (19–22.3)     | 0.04    |
| Median (range)                    | 20.02 (19.6–23)  |                    |         |
| RI                                | 0.58±0.06/0.61   | 0.49±0.48–0.56     | <0.001  |
| Median (range)                    | 0.6 (0.55–0.98)  |                    |         |
| PI                                | 0.78±0.19/0.21   | 0.71±0.25/0.4      | 0.003*  |
| Median (range)                    | 0.6 (0.59–0.98)  |                    |         |

Table 1: Comparison of Doppler study results between the two groups

From Table 3, it is clear that the RI before therapy (0.58) is significantly higher than the index after therapy (0.52) as p<0.05.

With regard to the PI, it is significantly higher before therapy (0.78) than after therapy (0.71) as p<0.05.

The outcome of the patients whom recruited initially in the study and completed the study (46 patients as 3 patients lost in the follow-up and 1 patient stopped the therapy because of side effects) is demonstrated in Table 4.

From Table 4, we observed that 3 patients delivered within 1 week despite the therapy while 4 patients delivered after 1 week and 39 patients (84.8%) achieved a term delivery which could be
Table 4: The outcome of study group

| Outcome                              | n (%) |
|--------------------------------------|-------|
| Delivered within 1 week              | 3 (6.5) |
| Delivered after 1 week               | 4 (8.7) |
| Delivered at term                    | 39 (84.8) |
| Total                                | 46 (100) |

Sample size: 46 patients

attributed to the beneficial effect of progesterone in inhibiting preterm birth.

DISCUSSION

All over the world, threatened preterm delivery constitutes the leading cause for hospitalization during pregnancy [5]. In spite of all the available measures to prevent its occurrence about 1.5 million preterm babies are born per year [8]. Early prediction of preterm delivery is vital because immediate medical interventions, such as steroid and maternal transfer to tertiary center are the cornerstone that can reduce neonatal mortality and morbidity. Unfortunately, there are no approved clinical screening or diagnostic tools for preterm delivery and the accuracy of most of these tools is limited [5,9]. Different biochemical markers are now available for research purposes not for routine clinical practice [10]. There are lots of controversies regarding the role of local progesterone in the arrest of threatened preterm delivery [11]. Some studies proved its anti-inflammatory and local cervical effects while others discussed its vasodilatory effects on fetomaternal circulations [9].

The current study clarified the effect of rectal progesterone on the uterine arteries resistance. The study groups were matched for age, weeks of gestation, parity, and BMI to avoid the effects of these parameters on the results of the study. The present study showed a statistical significance difference between the study groups regarding RI of the uterine arteries as p<0.05; however, the PI was higher in the study group compared to the control group, but this difference was statistically not significant as p>0.05, this might be due to the presence of uterine contractions that reduced the end-diastolic flow component of the PI and RI of the uterine arteries [5,12].

After 1 week of treatment with 400 mg rectal progesterone for the women with threatened preterm labor, there were significant differences in all Doppler indices of the uterine arteries. In 2016, Homeira et al concluded that there was a great reduction in the PI of the uterine arteries after treatment with vaginal progesterone due to the vascular relaxation of the uterine arteries [9] which matches the results of this study but here the route of progesterone supplementation was rectal one. In 2015, Fadwah et al. studied the effects of rectal progesterone on the latency period of patients with pre-labor rupture of membrane, and they concluded that there was a significant increase in the latency period after treatment with progesterone. However, they did not include the changes in Doppler indices of fetomaternal circulations which support the beneficial effects of progesterone in threatened preterm labor [13].

In 2014, Barda et al. found that there was a significant reduction in the PI of the fetal middle cerebral and umbilical arteries after treatment with vaginal progesterone. Still, there were no effects on the uterine arteries indices [9] which disagreed with the finding of the current study and this might be due to the different route of progesterone therapy. In 2016, DeFranco et al. studied the effects of vaginal and systemic progesterone on the fetomaternal circulation and they concluded that vaginal treatment was associated with a significant difference in the uterine PI while the systemic one in the form of intramuscular injection of 17 hydroxyprogesterone caproate was not [14-16] this supports the idea of our results regarding the beneficial effect of local progesterone in the management of threatened preterm labor in comparison to systemic one. In the current study, a rectal route was used because many patients with threatened preterm labor were reluctant to take vaginal medication either because of fear of labor or excessive vaginal discharge. In addition that injectable progesterone was painful and not self-administrable.

In the current study and during the follow-up period of the patients; about 3 (6.5%), 4 (8.7%), and 39 (84.8%) delivered within 1 week, after 1 week, and at term, respectively, and it was so clear that progesterone had a significant effect on the latency period between the start of signs and symptoms of threatened preterm labor and the actual time of delivery. In 2016, Olgan and Celiloglu studied the relationship between uterine arteries PI and the time of delivery and they concluded that patients with high PI delivered within 1 week while those with lower PI delivered at a time exceeding 1 week. Still, they did not study the effect of progesterone on the prolongation of the duration of the pregnancy [5].

In spite of all these controversies, most of the studies showed the same results regarding the usefulness of progesterone treatment in the prevention of threatened preterm labor. However, the exact mechanism, dose, preferable gestational age, and route of administration are still not completely proven which require further researches to reach the ideal results. This work may help the obstetricians to understand the role of progesterone in suppressing preterm labor which represents a big dilemma in their work due to its major adverse effect on the baby’s life and future physical health and mentality, in addition, to other medical care parameters such as health workers skills, antenatal care, and nutritional support which have a major role in preventing preterm birth in addition to other common medical problems [17-20].

CONCLUSION

Rectal progesterone has a vasodilatory effect on uterine arteries and this might be one of the mechanisms by which progesterone acts to arrest threatened preterm labor.

RECOMMENDATION

We recommend further studies with a larger population sample especially in our community to support our results, including the use of different routes and compare the results of these studies in a suitable meta-analysis to reach ideal and effective treatment protocols.
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