Growth and nitrogen fixation of legumes at increased salinity under field conditions: implications for the use of green manures in saline environments
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Abstract. The use of legumes as green manure can potentially increase crop productivity in saline environments and thus contribute to the sustainability of agricultural systems. Here, we present results from a field experiment conducted in the Netherlands that addressed the efficiency of nitrogen (N) fixation by a legume at varying salinities. We grew Melilotus officinalis in an agricultural field using drip irrigation with water salinity varying in electrical conductivity between 1.7 and 20 dS m⁻¹. In the experiment, nearly 100% of total plant N in M. officinalis was derived from symbiotic fixation at all but the highest salinity level (20 dS m⁻¹). Our results indicated that this species derived substantial amounts of N via symbiotic fixation, the N becoming available in the soil (and thus available to crops) when cultivated legumes senesce and decompose. Based on the growth performance of M. officinalis and its ability to fix N at moderate soil salinity in our field experiments, we identified this species as a promising source for green manure in saline agriculture in temperate regions.

Keywords: Halophytes; Melilotus officinalis; salinity; Sesbania; symbiotic nitrogen fixation.

Introduction

With a predicted global population of 9–10 billion people in 2050 (United Nations (UN), 2013) our ability to feed the world in the 21st century will depend upon our capacity to produce enough food. However, the impact of food production on the environment should be kept at a minimum. Even though global food production is not distributed equally over the human population, at present the quantity of food is sufficient for the world’s population (Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), 2002). This
has been accomplished through a series of developments commonly referred to as the ‘green revolution’: increases in yields per hectare via higher-yielding crop varieties, optimizing the use of fertilizers and pesticides and improved irrigation practices. Though impressive, these developments are considered by many to be ultimately unsustainable and alternatives have to be found (Spiertz 2010; Coba de la Peña and Pveyo 2012). For example, global fertilizer production is responsible for ~2 % of the world’s energy consumption but largely makes use of non-renewable resources (Vance 2001; Foley et al. 2005).

One suggestion to expand our agricultural output with minimal impact on natural ecosystems is to use salt-affected lands for agricultural purposes. Large areas around the world are, through human activity or through natural causes, affected by high levels of soil salinity in ways that render such lands unsuitable for conventional agriculture because yields are unprofitable (Zahran 1999). Several options exist to raise yields to a viable level. First, naturally salt-tolerant species (halophytes) can be used as crops. Second, conventional crops can be improved through classical breeding so that they produce viable yields on salt-affected lands (Rozema and Flowers 2008; Munns et al. 2012; Rozema and Schat 2013). Third, techniques such as marker-assisted breeding or genetic engineering (reviewed by Dita et al. 2006, focussing on legumes) can be applied to generate salt-tolerant crop varieties. Any of these approaches would allow increased food production without necessitating conversion of more (natural) areas to agricultural fields, instead re-using those areas that have been abandoned because of increased salt levels.

Most agricultural systems depend on the application of nitrogen (N), as it is often the limiting nutrient (Vitousek and Howarth 1991). In conventional agricultural practices, N is applied as synthetic fertilizer, whereas in natural ecosystems—especially in arid and semi-arid regions—the main source of N is through biological N fixation by microorganisms (diazotrophs) (Cleveland et al. 1999; Zahran 1999). Legumes host N-fixing symbiotic bacteria (collectively called Rhizobia) that provide the host with N in return for photosynthates from the plant (Kneip et al. 2007). Through this process, legumes provide a net input of N in agricultural fields and 30–60 % of this added N (i.e. N derived from the atmosphere, Ndfa) is available for subsequent crops if (part of) the organic matter of the legume is incorporated into the soil (Chalk 1998). Ndfa can, at least partially, provide a viable solution for improving the sustainability of agriculture (Jensen and Hauggaard-Nielsen 2003).

To introduce a legume crop in saline agriculture requires either an effort to increase salt tolerance of currently used legumes or domestication of a naturally salt-tolerant legume. This paper will explore the latter option: whether symbiotic N fixation is possible at high soil salinities. Nitrogen fixation in root nodules in higher plants is often stated to be more sensitive to salinity than plant growth (Dekkou and Planchon 1991) and all stages in nodule formation and nodule functioning are negatively affected by salinity (Coba de la Peña and Pveyo 2012; Bruning and Rozema 2013). If legumes are to be adopted as green manures in salt-affected areas, it is essential that their N-fixing capabilities are not disproportionally affected by salinity. It is therefore very important to assess the effects of salinity on the efficiency of symbiotic N fixation in suitable legume species under field conditions.

While research has been carried out on salinity tolerance in legumes, much of the research focussed on commercially important or model species such as Glycine max and Medicago truncatula. For the research reported here, we focussed on a relatively salt-tolerant species, Melilotus officinalis. We also grew Medicago sativa on the same plots, some results of which are included in the Supporting Information. The genus Melilotus is one of the most salt tolerant and waterlogging tolerant of temperate legumes (Rogers et al. 2008). Medicago sativa is also considered relatively salt tolerant (moderately sensitive according to Munns and Tester 2008) and still grows at 250 mM NaCl (Noble et al. 1984). Using this species, we assessed the effect of various salinities on N-fixing efficiency with the aim of identifying a species suitable as green manure in saline agriculture. Specifically, we asked: what is the effect of increasing soil salinity on the efficiency of N fixation in M. officinalis?

**Methods**

**Research location and irrigation strategy**

Field experiments were performed with the legume species Medicago sativa and M. officinalis on an experimental field on Texel (53.012837°N, 4.755306°E), The Netherlands in 2013 and in 2014. In this article we will report only the results of the field trial of 2014. The experimental area consisted of a field (40 x 224 m) divided into 56 plots (8 x 20 m) with seven salt concentrations each replicated eight times and randomly distributed over the area. In the year prior to the experiment, the soil, which was mainly sand (3 % clay, 2 % organic matter), was homogenized by a large power shovel mixing the top 1 m of soil for 3 days. The plots were drip irrigated daily with 12 mm m⁻² day⁻¹ so that the soil moisture never dropped <80 % of the soil water-holding capacity. Individual drip lines were 40 cm apart, with drippers at 30 cm intervals. The irrigation water was a mixture of...
fresh water from a nearby rainwater basin and natural salt water from a nearby ditch fed from the Waddensea, with a conductivity of around 35 dS m\(^{-1}\). Fresh and saline waters were mixed using a custom-built proportional-integral-derivative (PID) controller with frequency-regulated pumps from both water sources, which allowed time-based automatic pulse irrigation. Average salinity levels of the irrigation water during an irrigation event reached a minimum of 0.5 dS m\(^{-1}\) accuracy compared with the target level. Drainage pipes located 60 cm below the surface, with 5 m spacing between any two pipes aided rapid drainage of the daily irrigation water and aeration of the soil.

Target electrical conductivities of the drip irrigation water were: 2, 4, 8, 12, 16 and 20 dS m\(^{-1}\).

### Soil salinity
Soil salinity was monitored during the experiment by means of soil samples (collected in all plots, three times during the experiment) and by sampling pore water (measured every 2 weeks). Soil samples in each plot were produced by mixing three individual samples from the top 30 cm of soil and dried at 70 °C for 48 h. Soil salinity was estimated in solutions derived from 1:2 mixtures (v:v, soil:water) and saturated pastes (29 samples). There was a strong correlation between the results from the 1:2 method (EC\(_{1:2}\)) and the saturated paste method (EC\(_p\)), with EC\(_e\) = EC\(_{1:2}\) × 5.33 (\(r^2 = 0.94\)). All soil samples in this paper are expressed as EC\(_e\). Soil pore water was collected by means of suction cups that were placed at three different depths (0–10, 20–30 and 50–60 depth) in half of the plots (four of the eight replicates per salinity). Since no large variation occurred between the electrical conductivity of the soil pore water (EC\(_{pw}\)) at the different depths, the average of the three depths was taken. There was a strong correlation between EC\(_{pw}\) and EC\(_e\) (EC\(_e\) = 0.69 × EC\(_{pw}\), with \(r^2 = 0.82\)), and values of EC\(_{pw}\) are expressed as EC\(_e\) in this paper.

### Plant material
The experiment involved the legume species *M. officinalis*, seeds of which were obtained from B & T World Seeds, France (http://b-and-t-world-seeds.com/). Since *Medicago sativa* was grazed just before harvest we did not include data on this species in the main text of this article [see Supporting Information]. Per plot of 8 × 20 m, a 1.25 × 1.25 m subplot was used for each species. Seeds were sown in four rows on March in 2013 and in April in 2014 and grown for 4 months in each year. Plants were grown on the same 8 × 20 m plots but on different subplots, for different experiments. The data for the 2 years were very similar so here we present data from 2014.

At the start of the season, a bag made of water permeable cloth (https://www.google.nl/search?q=worteldoek&client=firefox-a&hs=Rxg&rls=org.mozilla:nl:official&channel=sb&biw=1680&bih=913&source=lnms&tbm=isch&sa=X&ei=XRFrV0sEKMy8PYDijLAJ&ved=0CAQw_AUoAQ; 20 × 20 × 50 cm) was dug in the soil into which the seeds were sown. The bag was designed to provide, by the end of the season, a better harvest of all the roots and nodules of a plant. The bags, which were kept completely free of other plants, were dug out at harvest. Roots and shoots were carefully washed with demineralized water. Then roots, shoots and nodules were separated (nodules picked off by hand) and dried in an oven at 70 °C for 48 h and dry weights were determined.

The dried shoots were ground with a ball mill, and 3–4 mg of the material used to estimate N content and N isotopic composition using an elemental analyser (NC2500, ThermoQuest Italia, Rodano, Italy) coupled online to a stable isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Delta plus; ThermoFinnigan, Bremen, Germany). Two non-legume species (*Chenopodium album* and *Brassica napus*) were harvested as reference plants for the calculations of the percentage symbiotically N fixed (Ndfa) by the legumes (Shearer and Kohl 1986). The percentage of Ndfa was calculated as follows:

\[
Ndfa = \frac{\delta^{15}N_{\text{reference plant}} - \delta^{15}N_{\text{legume}}}{\delta^{15}N_{\text{reference plant}}}
\]

### Statistics
We performed two-way ANOVAs with salinity and species as independent variables and Ndfa, N content and \(^{13}\)C values as dependent variables. Tukey’s post-hoc test was used to determine significant differences between salt levels. All data were analysed using SPSS 21 statistical software.

### Results

#### Field experiment

**Soil parameters.** The soil salinity closely followed the salinity of the drip irrigation water (Fig. 1). The irrigation water itself was measured regularly and never deviated from the target salinity by more than 0.1 dS m\(^{-1}\) (data not shown). Carbon and N contents of the soil were similar in all plots (data from 2013, no data for 2014). The results of a soil analysis are shown in Table 1, of the 2013 season.

**Plant growth**

Plant growth of *M. officinalis* was negatively affected by salinity. Figure 2 shows biomass data at the varying
salinity levels. After 8 dS m\(^{-1}\), plant biomass was significantly lower than at lower salinities, but there was no significant difference between plant biomass at 12, 16 and 20 dS m\(^{-1}\). Hares ate many of the *Medicago sativa* plants, rendering biomass measurements uninformative and hence the data are not shown.

**Symbiotic N fixation**

\(\delta^{15}N\) values of the four reference plant species did not differ significantly in both years. A mean value of 9.58‰ was used for the calculations of Ndfa.

The Ndfa was negatively affected by salinity but only at the highest treatment (20 dS m\(^{-1}\)) (Fig. 3; ANOVA: \(F_{5, 39} = 4.8, P = 0.002\), post-hoc Tukey’s HSD multiple comparison test, \(P \leq 0.05\)). Close to 100 % of the N was derived from the atmosphere at all but the highest salinity level, dropping to around 80 % at 20 dS m\(^{-1}\). In *Medicago sativa*, around 90 % of total plant N was derived from the atmosphere, dropping to 70 % at 16 dS m\(^{-1}\) and to 43 % at 20 dS m\(^{-1}\) [see Supporting Information].

**Total plant N**

Total plant N was affected little by salinity even though there was still a significant effect of treatment (for *M. officinalis*: ANOVA, \(F_{5, 39} = 4.7, P = 0.002\), post-hoc Tukey’s HSD multiple comparison test, \(P \leq 0.05\)). At all but the highest salinities total plant N was close to 5 %, dropping to \(\sim 4\) % at 20 dS m\(^{-1}\). Data on total plant N in *Medicago sativa* is given in Supporting Information. The mean N content was \(\sim 3.5\) % at salinities

---

Table 1. Soil C/N ratios and total nitrogen of the experimental field in 2013 (no data available for 2014). Data are averages of duplicate measurements in two different plots for each salt treatment.

| Salinity | C/N ratio | Total nitrogen (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) |
|----------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| 1        | 6         | 1810                          |
| 4        | 6         | 1820                          |
| 8        | 6         | 1585                          |
| 12       | 7         | 1630                          |
| 16       | 6.5       | 1630                          |
| 20       | 5.5       | 1410                          |

---

Figure 1. Electrical conductivity of the pore water in 2014. Data based on measurements using various methods (see Methods section) and are all expressed as EC\(_{e}\) based on correlations between the three methods used. Bars indicate average values with standard error of the mean.

Figure 2. Total plant dry weight (roots plus shoots) from *M. officinalis* in 2014. Different letters above the bars reflect significant differences between the treatments (ANOVA, \(F_{5, 37} = 6.0, P = 0.001\) (post-hoc Tukey’s HSD multiple comparison test, \(P \leq 0.05\))). Bars indicate average values with standard error of the mean.

Figure 3. Nitrogen derived from the atmosphere (Ndfa) as a percentage of total plant N in *M. officinalis* at various irrigation salinities in 2014. Different letters above the bars reflect significant differences between the treatments (ANOVA, \(F_{5, 39} = 4.8, P = 0.002\) (post-hoc Tukey’s HSD multiple comparison test, \(P \leq 0.05\))). Bars indicate average values with standard error of the mean.
the salinity of the irrigation water reached 20 dS m$^{-1}$ indicating salinity, with a reduction being recorded only when this was indeed the case.

Additionally, as stated in the methods we performed the experiment in two consecutive years and the results of both years are nearly identical. These findings strongly suggest that the capacity of symbiotic N fixation would not limit the adoption of legumes as green manure in a saline agricultural system, but rather that the general salt tolerance of the legumes (growth performance) would be a limiting factor. Both species maintained their N content upon increasing salinity. with a reduction being recorded only when the salinity of the irrigation water reached 20 dS m$^{-1}$ for *M. officinalis*. The value of a legume as a green manure is a combination of its N content, total biomass and Ndfa. Our results suggest that the effectivity of *M. officinalis* as a green manure under saline conditions is not negatively affected through its N content.

How do our findings compare with other published results? Few data are available on N fixation at salinities of 250 mM NaCl ($\sim 25$ dS m$^{-1}$) and above. Bruning and Rozema (2013) compared the relative performance of growth and N fixation of 16 legumes from published studies, where the study with the highest salinity was 120 mM NaCl (around 12 dS m$^{-1}$) and then for only 2 of the 16 species (*Acacia nilotica* and *Prosopis juliflora*). The remaining studies were done at much lower salinities, reflecting a limited salt tolerance for those species under study. As a phylum, the *Fabaceae* are slightly below average in salt tolerance, expressed as the number of salt-tolerant species in the eHALOPH database divided by the total number of species in the phylum (0.22 % of species in the clade are salt tolerant; average of all Angiosperms: 0.49 %; Bruning et al. in preparation). The eHALOPH database does not use as strict a definition for halophytism as Flowers and Colmer (2008), who consider a plant a halophyte when it is able to complete its life cycle at 200 mM NaCl or more. The eHALOPH database contains species that are considered salt tolerant when they are able to perform well at a minimum of 7–8 dS m$^{-1}$, so around 80 mM of NaCl. Despite these different definitions, it is likely that true halophytism as in the definition by Flowers and Colmer (2008) must also exist in the *Fabaceae* (i.e. this paper).

We searched the literature for data on growth and N fixation at high salinity (higher than 200 mM NaCl) levels in symbiotically N-fixing species. Table 2 summarizes data extracted from four publications on N fixation and growth of four different N-fixing species. The different publications vary greatly in their methods concerning growth conditions (soil or hydroponics) and duration, from just 25 days to almost 6 months, but all species have been tested up to high (>150 mM of NaCl) salinity. For ease of comparison, despite differences in methodology and growing times, we expressed both biomass production and N fixation relative to the species’ performance at 0 mM NaCl.

One of the four species (*Casuarina equisetifolia*) is an actinorhizal species, i.e. not a legume. This species is the most salt tolerant for which we could find N-fixing data: growth and N fixation are not affected at 200 mM NaCl. The two *Sesbania* species appear to be the least salt tolerant of the listed species. Our data suggest that *M. officinalis* is quite as tolerant as any of the species listed in Table 2 and fixes N at the salt concentration used by Flowers and Colmer (2008) to define a halophyte, although the little data that we could find, in the literature and our studies on Texel, appear to show that it is rare for N fixation to happen above 200 mM NaCl. Unfortunately, however, such a limited number of species precludes any formal (meta)analysis.
There are costs associated with symbiotic N fixation in terms of units carbon invested per unit N obtained (Phillips 1980), because of the ATP requirements of nitrogenase and because the host plant supplies the symbiotic Rhizobia with carbon compounds. This energy requirement of legumes that depend on symbiotic N fixation is likely the reason for their sensitivity compared with growing on mineral N (i.e. supplied with ammonium ions) (Delgado et al. 1994). Similarly, the increased stress on a plant associated with increasing salinity in the soil and the concomitant increased energy expenditure is probably the reason why some authors have found symbiotic N fixation to be more sensitive to salinity than general growth of the host plant (Delgado et al. 1994). Exposure to salinity requires plants to respond in several ways, such as the synthesis of compatible solutes and sodium sequestration in the vacuole, which also must pose energetic constraints to plant performance. However, in Bruning and Rozema (2013), there were no apparent differences in the slopes of N fixation and growth at increasing salinity. The interaction between salinity and symbiotic N fixation deserves further attention.

**Conclusions**

Based on the definition of halophytes by Flowers and Colmer (2008), halophytic N fixation does exist. Here we have identified from our experiments on Texel, one species, _M. officinalis_, that is able to grow and fix N symbiotically at high soil salinity levels (20 dS m\(^{-1}\)) and from the literature three species that fix N and four that will grow at at least 200 mM of NaCl. Hence, halophytic N fixation does occur but does not seem to be widespread in the large plant family of the Fabaceae. The percentage of

---

**Table 2.** Data on symbiotic nitrogen fixation from the scientific literature. Nitrogen fixation performance at different salinities is calculated on the basis of the Acetylene Reduction Assay (ARA at 0 mM NaCl taken as 100 %) for all species except for _Sesbania sesban_, in which case relative nodule dry weight is taken as a proxy for N fixation. *For _Sesbania sesban_: reduction in nodule dry weight as compared to nodule dry weight at 0 mM; for all other species reduction in ARA as compared to ARA at 0 mM NaCl. **Fresh weight for _S. rostrata_, the other species total dry weight. For all species, weight is expressed relative to the weight at 0 mM of NaCl. ***Actinorrhizal species (not a legume). 1 Mahmood et al. (2008), 2 Hopmans et al. (1983), 3 Jungklang (2003), *Ng (1987).
plant N fixed in both species grown on Texel is very high, being close to 100%. However, plants were harvested near the end of the completion of the life cycle and this is the moment when Ndfa is known to be highest and legumes receiving their total plant N from symbiotic fixation have been previously reported (Bergersen 1982). Additionally, the sandy soil on the test location is poor in nutrients and our plots were not fertilized for these experiments. Nevertheless, our results show that symbiotic N fixation persists under relatively high salt concentrations (at least one-quarter sea water strength) and this suggests legumes can serve as a green manure in a saline agricultural system. This improves the sustainable character already associated with saline agriculture.
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