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Abstract. Popularity is a critical success factor for a politician and her/his party to win in elections and implement their plans. Finding the reasons behind the popularity can provide a stable political movement. This research attempts to measure popularity in Twitter using a mixed method. In recent years, Twitter data has provided an excellent opportunity for exploring public opinions by analyzing a large number of tweets. This study has collected and examined 4.5 million tweets related to a US politician, Senator Bernie Sanders. This study investigated eight economic reasons behind the senator’s popularity in Twitter. This research has benefits for politicians, informatics experts, and policymakers to explore public opinion. The collected data will also be available for further investigation.
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1 Introduction

Social media play an important role in politics and people show their political Internet activity by posting and sharing their opinions [37]. This communication technology has been bringing more citizens into the political process and has provided a personal accessible level through the posted political information [33]. For example, the percentage of US adults got news from social media has increased from 49% in the 2012 US election to 62% in the 2016 US election [14]. Considering the impact of social media on their public’s impression, politicians have utilized this new communication technology [17].

Twitter with 80 million US users has been considered as one of the top social media platforms. For instance, former president of Chile has asked the members of his cabinet to use Twitter [53] and Hillary Clinton has officially announced her campaign in Twitter [60]. More than 80 million US Twitter users is a great motivation for local and regional campaigns to analyze tweets [52]. Most politicians have a Twitter account and many have a social media team to manage their Twitter account. For example, Barack Obama had a team with 100 staff to work on his social media such as Twitter during his campaign [16]. Besides, there is a new trend that politicians such as Donald Trump have started writing their tweets themselves to have more exciting and informal communications [43].
Public opinion poll is an essential tool in politics. To collect data measuring public opinion, traditional opinion polls use different methods such as face-to-face interview, and phone interview [9]. However, the conventional approaches are labor-intensive and time-consuming. Social media with millions of users and messages per day is a big focus group to mine public opinion [51]. Among social media, Twitter with millions of tweets per day has provided a cost-effective data access platform for collecting millions of tweets containing feelings and opinions to facilitate social media research [48]. Twitter data has been used in different political applications election analysis [20] and non-political applications such as business [15], libraries [8,21], social bot analysis [32], and health like analyzing diabetes, diet, obesity [22,49], exercise [50], LGBT health [62,28]. However, this data has not been considered for popularity analysis.

The popularity of a politician is a critical success factor for the politician and her/his party to win in elections and implement their plans. Finding the reasons behind the popularity can provide a stable political movement. This research investigates Twitter data using computational methods to understand the most important reasons behind a politician’s reputation. For our case study, we selected a popular US politician, Bernie Sanders [54]. He received the highest amount of small donations from American people in the 2016 US presidential primary election and his campaign has raised more money than Donald Trump’s campaign [55]. Although our approach can detect different reasons behind a politician’s popularity, we focus on economic issues, as it was the most important issue for the 2016 US voters [40].

2 Related Work

The fast growth of Twitter and its large-scale public available have drawn the attention of researchers for political applications of Twitter data in three directions: (1) social movement analysis, (2) election prediction, and (3) election analysis. Two examples of the first direction are exploring the role of social media in organizing protesters [56,1,5] and studying the behavior of protesters in social media and its effect on social movements [59,58]. The second direction has adopted quantitative methods to determine the popularity of candidates [57,6,12] and find the most popular candidate and predict the elections [2,47]. The third research category attempts to investigate an election at a macro level such as studying the social media strategy [34] or analyzing economic factors [20].

Although previous studies have provided valuable insights into political processes, there is a need to find the essential reasons behind a politician’s popularity. This paper addresses this gap by applying a mixed method on millions of tweets.
3 Methodology and Results

This research proposes a popularity analysis framework with four steps using two text mining techniques including sentiment analysis and topic modeling along with qualitative coding.

3.1 Data Collection

We used Twitter4j, a Twitter Java API (Application Programming Interfaces), to collect data using four queries: “@berniesanders,” “bernie AND sanders”, “sanders”, and “#sanders”. The tweets were collected from January 1, 2016 to July 31, 2016. The collected data will be publicly available in the first author’s websites[^1].

3.2 Sentiment Analysis

We used Linguistic Inquiry and Word Count (LIWC) tool [39] having good sensitivity value, specificity value, and English proficiency measure [13,31,30,29] for sentiment analysis. Using LIWC, we found 2.1 million positive, 1.7 million negative, and 700,000 neutral tweets. Fig. 1 shows two positive tweets discussing free education and minimum wage. To maintain user privacy, we have lightly edited the represented tweets in this paper to avoid detection.

```
“I agree with Sanders that American can make all public university tuition-free”

“Happy with the candidate who fights for minimum wage”
```

Fig. 1. A Sample of Positive Tweets

3.3 Semantic Analysis

The third part of our analysis detects main topics discussed by Twitter users during a time frame. Our approach is based on the assumption that people show their support with positive feelings in their tweets. Analyzing a large number of documents like the tweets in our dataset needs computational methods for processing high dimensional data [23,19,27]. This step applies a topic model to find discussed topics in the detected positive tweets. Latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA) is the most popular and effective general probabilistic topic model to group related words in a corpus [35,24,25,26].

LDA assumes that each tweet in a corpus contains a mixture of topics and each topic is a distribution of the corpus’s words [4,18]. For example, this model assigns “gene,” “dna,” and “genetic” to a topic with Genetics theme (Figure 2).

[^1]: https://github.com/amir-karami/Sanders-Tweets-Data
After removing the duplicate tweets, retweets, and the tweets containing a URL to retrieve pure personal opinion, we found 307,237 positive tweets. We applied a Java implementation of LDA, Mallet [36], with its default settings and stopwords list to disclose the topics of the 307,237 positive tweets. Using log-likelihood estimation method to identify the optimum number of topics [61], 175 topics were selected as the optimum number of topics.

### 3.4 Topic Analysis

The popularity analysis approach of this research is based on detecting essential reasons. According to the surveys of Gallup and Pew Research Center, the economy was the most critical issue not only in the 2016 election but also in the 2004, 2008, and 2012 US elections [7,44,45,40]. In the 2016 US election, Economic was considered in ten dimensions: Jobs & Income, Trade & Globalization, Taxes, Entitlement, National Debt, Immigration, Infrastructure, Monetary Policy & The Federal Reserve, Pay for College, and Minimum Wage. Then we started to the qualitative analysis to identified economic-related topics and labeled them. The authors separately removed nonrelevant topics, either because they were not economic-related topics such as climate change and minorities rights or they were not understandable. By reviewing the top related words such the ones in Table 2, we agreed upon assigning single or multiple label(s) based on the ten economic dimensions for each of the relevant topics. For example, we assigned Minimum Wage label to a topic containing ‘Minimum Wage” label to a topic containing “feelthebern”, “wage”, “support”, “minimum”, and “workers”.

We also explored the distribution of labels to determine the importance of topics for supporters. Table 3 shows that the total weight of the top three reasons, 71%, was more than the total weight of the rest of the reasons, 29%. Pay for college, jobs & income, and entitlement were the top three reasons behind Sanders’s popularity. This result confirms the result of a survey plan [42].
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Table 2. A Sample of Sanders’s Topics

| Jobs & Income | Trade & Globalization | Taxes | Entitlement |
|---------------|-----------------------|-------|-------------|
| bernie        | berniesanders         | berniesanders | care        |
| sander        | free                  | tax    | universal   |
| jobs          | trade                 | back   | feelthebern |
| leverage      | increase              | millions| people      |
| economy       | deals                 | taxes  | berniesanders |

| Immigration   | Monetary Policy & The Federal Reserve | Pay for College | Minimum Wage |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| bernie        | wall                                  | free           | feelthebern  |
| sander        | street                                | college        | wage         |
| reform        | berniesanders                         | berniesanders  | supports     |
| immigration   | money                                 | tuition        | minimum      |
| good          | arguing                               | public         | workers      |

Table 3. Distribution of Economic Positive Topics

| Economic Issue                          | Distribution(%) | Rank |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------|------|
| College                                 | 28.8%           | 1    |
| Jobs & Income                           | 22.1%           | 2    |
| Entitlement                             | 20.3%           | 3    |
| Trade & Globalization                   | 8.4%            | 4    |
| Minimum Wage                            | 6.8%            | 5    |
| Monetary Policy & The Federal Reserve   | 6.8%            | 5    |
| Taxes                                   | 5.1%            | 7    |
| Immigration                             | 1.7%            | 8    |
| Infrastructure                          | 0%              | NA   |
| National Debt                           | 0%              | NA   |

The second reason behind the popularity was a plan to raise a national minimum wage. This plan is also in line with traditional surveys [10,11]. Although jobs & income and the minimum wage were considered as independent issues, we found an overlap between these two issues. In this case, if we assume that these two reasons represent a single cause, the importance of the combination of these two reasons, 28.9%, is similar to the importance of the pay for college reason, 28.8%. The next reason was entitlement including healthcare and social security that were also in favor of US majority [46,38]. Considering the next reason, traditional polls have shown that most Americans were not in favor of the 2016 trade policies and had supported renegotiating major trades [41]. We found that taxes and immigration were the least important reasons for Sander’s popularity. This study did not find topics covering national debt and infrastructure issues.

4 Discussion

This study applied a mixed method for popularity analysis in social media. There are some key finding informed by this research. First, users don’t assign the same
weight for all the economic issues. Further, two issues were not among the leading economic concerns of users. Second, college tuition, jobs, and income were the main concerns in the 2016 US election. Third, findings show that the potential of this paper for large-scale social media studies. Fourth, the proposed method can be used with traditional surveys to provide a comprehensive perspective for political events. Fifth, we think that this study has other applications such as analyzing and tracking positive and negative comments for business purposes like the stock market. Finally, the flexibility of the mixed method can help to utilize other computational and qualitative methods.

5 Conclusion

This study seeks to the analysis of the economic reasons behind the public’s positive feeling. To address the research question, we used a mixed method to develop a popularity analysis approach considering ten economic dimensions. We applied our approach to a massive number of tweets mentioned a popular US politician in 2016 and 2017 to understand the reasons for his popularity. This paper can help politicians, public opinion analysts, knowledge discovery experts, and social scientists to understand people’s opinions better.

This study has two limitations. First, the data was collected from one single social media source. Collecting data from other social media such as Facebook can represent more population and opinions. Second, while we considered English tweets, the location of the users was not considered in this analysis. To address these limitations, we will collect data from other social media platforms and consider location of users in our future research.
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