Abstract

With the high prevalence of obesity, diabetes, and other features of the metabolic syndrome in United States, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) has inevitably become a very prevalent chronic liver disease and is now emerging as one of the leading indications for liver transplantation. Insulin resistance and derangement of lipid metabolism, accompanied by activation of the pro-inflammatory response and fibrogenesis, are essential pathways in the development of the more clinically significant form of NAFLD, known as non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). Recent advances in the functional characterization of bile acid receptors, such as farnesoid X receptor (FXR) and transmembrane G protein-coupled receptor (TGR) 5, have provided further insight in the pathophysiology of NASH and have led to the development of potential therapeutic targets for NAFLD and NASH. Beyond maintaining bile acid metabolism, FXR and TGR5 also regulate lipid metabolism, maintain glucose homeostasis, increase energy expenditure, and ameliorate hepatic inflammation. These intriguing features have been exploited to develop bile acid analogues to target pathways in NAFLD and NASH pathogenesis. This review provides a brief overview of the pathogenesis of NAFLD and NASH, and then delves into the biological functions of bile acid receptors, particularly with respect to NASH pathogenesis, with a description of the associated experimental data, and, finally, we discuss the prospects of bile acid analogues in the treatment of NAFLD and NASH.
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Core tip: Bile acids and bile acid receptors play important roles in modulation of feature of the metabolic syndrome, hepatic steatosis, and hepatic inflammation. Development of bile acid analogues specifically targeting farnesoid X receptor and transmembrane G protein-
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coupled receptor 5 provide potential novel classes of drugs for the treatment of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis.
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INTRODUCTION
Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is now a very prevalent liver disease in the United States. It affects up to 20% of the United States population[1], with an estimated prevalence of 43%-60% in patients with diabetes[2], and 90% in patients with hyperlipidemia[3]. NAFLD, by definition, is macrovesicular fat accumulation in more than 5% of hepatocytes in patients who drink less than 20 g/d. NAFLD represent a spectrum of diseases ranging from simple hepatic steatosis to steatohepatitis. Simple steatosis rarely progresses to advanced fibrosis and thus does not carry an increased liver-related mortality. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) instead describes hepatic inflammation and hepatocyte damage within liver including lobular inflammation and hepatic ballooning in addition to macrovesicular fat. Fifteen percent to 30% of patients with NASH progresses to fibrosis, cirrhosis and cancer[4,5], leading to the need for a liver transplant. Based on the data from the United Network for Organ Sharing and Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network Registry, the percentage of patients who underwent a liver transplant for NASH has increased to 9.7% in 2009 compared to 1.2% in 2001[6]. The number of adults with NASH awaiting liver transplant has almost tripled in 2013, compared to the year 2004[7]. NASH is projected to become the leading etiology for liver transplant in the United States.

The “two hit hypothesis” and the “multiple hits hypothesis” have been proposed to explain the underlying pathogenesis of NAFLD[8,9] (Figure 1). Simple hepatic steatosis reflects the accumulation of triglyceride in the liver, as result of influx of lipids and de novo lipogenesis exceeding the export of lipids in the forms of lipoproteins. Insulin resistance has been considered a primary driving force for lipid influx by promoting the lipolysis of peripheral adipose tissue, and increasing the level and activity of bile acid 7α-dehydroxylating gut microbiota imbalance, mitochondrial dysfunction, oxidative stress pathway activation, and activation of intracellular signal such as nuclear factor-κB and c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) pathways[10-17]. Unraveling the pathogenesis of NAFLD has established several important drug targets in recent years. Among them are bile acid receptors including farnesoid X receptor (FXR) and transmembrane G protein-coupled receptor (TGR) 5, which play pivotal roles in regulation of metabolism, inflammation and cell proliferation. These receptors have emerged as attractive targets for drug development for the treatment of NAFLD, and are the focus of this review.

BILE ACID METABOLISM
Bile acid synthesis
Bile acids are generated from cholesterol oxidation in the liver through two major pathways: “classic pathway” also called the neutral pathway, and the “alternative pathway” also called the acidic pathway. Cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase (CYP7A1) is a rate-limiting enzyme in the classic pathway. Both of the primary bile acids, cholic acid (CA) and chenodeoxycholic acids (CDCA), are end products of the classic pathway. The alternative pathway of bile acid synthesis is initiated by sterol 27-hydroxylase (CYP27A1), an enzyme located on the inner membrane of mitochondria and widely expressed in various tissues. The alternative pathway produces oxysterols, notably 25-hydroxycholesterol and 27-hydroxycholesterol, which are important ligands in regulating inflammation, lipid metabolism, and cell proliferation.

Bile acid recycling
Once synthesized in the liver, bile acids are conjugated to glycine or taurine, excreted out of liver, and stored in the gallbladder. In response to a meal, the contraction of the gallbladder delivers bile salts to the small intestine, facilitating the digestion of dietary fat. In the gastrointestinal tract, CA and CDCA are further metabolized by intestinal microbiota to secondary bile acids: Lithocholic acid (LCA) and deoxycholic acid (DCA) by de-conjugation and dehydroxylation. DCA is unable to convert back to CA in the liver, and thus the proportion of DCA in the bile acid pool varies from 1% to 50%, depending on the level and activity of bile acid 7α-dehydroxylating gut bacteria and intestinal transient time. LCA is reabsorbed and reduced to CA in the liver. Overall, approximately 95% of bile acids are reabsorbed in the ileum and transported back to the liver via the enterohepatic circulation. Approximately 5% of bile acids are lost in the feces daily. But bile acids do not just aid in digestion and participate in the enterohepatic circulation, they also function as signaling molecules both within and outside of the liver.

FXR AND NAFLD
FXR belongs to the family of nuclear hormone receptors
that regulate expression of genes involved in a wide array of biologic processes including, development, reproduction, and metabolism, and was first described in 1995[18-20]. Bile acids were subsequently identified as unique endogenous ligands for FXR at physiologic levels[21,22] in 1999. FXR is richly expressed at the ileum, and in liver parenchymal cells. It is also expressed in liver non-parenchymal cells such as endothelial cells, Kupffer cells and stellate cells at very low level. Various bile acids activate FXR in the following order of activity: CDCA > DCA > CA > LCA. The targets and effects of FXR are outlined in detail below and summarized in Figure 2.

**FXR biologic functions**

**Bile acid synthesis**: FXR plays an essential role in the feedback regulation of bile acid biosynthesis by repression of CYP7A1, and CYP8B1, two key enzymes in bile acids synthesis. Repression of CYP7A1 is mediated by activation of the orphan nuclear receptor small heterodimer partner (SHP)[22-24], which in turn interact with liver receptor homolog (LRH-1). SHP protein blocks activities of LRH-1 that is known to positively regulate CYP7A1 expression. Mice lacking SHP (SHP−/−) failed to repress CYP7A1 in response to a specific agonist for FXR. Yet, bile acid feeding can restore expression of CYP7A1 in SHP-null mice, indicating the existence of SHP-independent regulation pathways, as well. One of these pathways involves JNK mitogen-activated protein kinase activation[24,25] and fibroblast growth factor 19 (human FGF19, mouse FGF15)[26]. Additionally, FXR induces the expression of ATP-binding cassette transporters such as bile salt export pump, multidrug resistance protein 3 (MDR3) and multidrug resistance-associated protein 2. These transporters export bile acids from hepatocytes into bile canaliculi. Activation of FXR was also found to stimulate the expression of intestinal bile acid-binding protein at ileum, which facilitates enterohepatic recycling of bile acids[21,27].

**Lipid and glucose metabolism**: FXR also regulates lipid metabolism and gluconeogenesis[28,29]. FXR-null mice develop severe fatty liver with elevated circulating plasma cholesterol, elevated triglycerides and free fatty acids. Several lipoproteins such as phospholipid transfer protein, apoC-II, apoC-III, and apoA-1 are FXR targets[30-32] and their decreased expression likely accounts for lipid derangements in FXR-null mice. FXR also regulates lipid synthesis by involving acetyl-CoA carboxylase 1 (Acc1), Acc2, Cd36, and sterol regulatory element-binding protein 1C, with the latter being a major regulator of lipogenesis via stimulation of de novo lipogenesis, and these FXR effects likely occur through activation of SHP[33] and FGF19[34]. Beyond regulation of lipid metabolism, FXR also plays an important role in glucose homeostasis. Loss of FXR in mice lead to development of impaired glucose tolerance and insulin resistance both in liver and skeletal muscles which is associated hepatic steatosis and elevated circulating free fatty acids[35]. Bile acids alter the expression of genes involved in gluconeogenesis, including phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK), glucose-6-phosphatase (G-6-Pase), and fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase[36-38]. SHP activation may modulate gluconeogenesis through repression of PEPCK and G-6-Pase[38,39]. FGF15/19 appear also to be critical in glucose regulation. In the postprandial state, FGF15/19 are released from the small intestine and inhibit hepatic gluconeogenesis, like insulin, through dephosphorylation and inactivation of cAMP regulatory element-binding protein[40].

**Hepatic inflammation and fibrosis**: FXR also regulates hepatic inflammation and fibrosis[29]. FXR is expressed at very low levels on hepatic Kupffer, stellate, and endothelial cells. Porcine serum treatment or bile duct ligation (BDL) are commonly used experimental methods to induce cirrhosis in rats. Treatment of these rats with 6-ethyl chenodeoxycholic acid (6-ECDCA), an FXR ligand, prevents liver fibrosis in porcine serum-treated rats or BDL-treated rats, and decreases expression of matrix proteins including, α1-collagen, transforming growth factor β-1, α-SMA, and tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinase 1 and 2[35]. Interestingly, Fickert et al[42] showed that FXR loss reduced fibrosis of the hepatic biliary tree. In the study, hepatic fibrosis was induced in wild type and FXR knock-out mice (FXR−/−) by a variety of methods, including carbon tetrachloride (CCL4) intoxication, 3,5-diethoxyxarbolyl-1,4-dihydrcollidine feeding, BDL, or Schistosoma mansoni (S.m.)-infection.
Only biliary-type hepatic fibrosis was reduced in FXR (-/-) mice with BDL and 3,5-diethoxycarbonyl-1,4-dihydropicolinic acid. FXR loss had no effect on the prevention of non-cholestatic liver fibrosis in the study.

**Hepatic regeneration and carcinogenesis:** FXR appears to regulate liver regeneration and carcinogenesis. CA feeding has been shown to induce liver growth and decrease mortality in mice that have undergone partial hepatectomy. This effect may involve activation of FGF15/19. Studies have shown that the protective effects with CA feeding after partial hepatectomy were significantly abolished in FGF15 (-/-) mice[43], and proliferation of hepatocytes and cholangiocytes was also noticeably reduced in CA-fed FGF15 (-/-) mice[43]. FXR (-/-) mice developed spontaneous hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) at age > 12 mo[44,45]. And FXR had a direct effect in down-regulating a number of tumor suppressor genes such as N-myc downstream-regulated gene 2[46] and gankyrin, a proteasomal subunit that assists in degradation of a number of tumor suppressor proteins[46,47]. Interestingly, selective reactivation of intestinal FXR can restore bile acid enterohepatic circulation and protect FXR (-/-) mice from spontaneous HCC development[48].

**FXR agonists in the treatment of NAFLD**
6-ECDCA, also known as INT-747 or obeticholic acid (OCA), is a lipophilic bile acid derivative and a potent selective FXR activator[49]. In animal studies, it improves hepatic steatosis[50], fibrosis[42], and portal hypertension[51]. The FLINT trial[52], a phase IIb randomized, placebo-controlled trial of OCA in human NASH, demonstrated that OCA significantly improved the NAFLD activity score in all components, including steatosis, lobular inflammation, and hepatocellular ballooning, compared to placebo, establishing a clear benefit of in alleviating liver injury and inflammation in NAFLD. There was also some improvement in fibrosis score in the OCA group in the FLINT trial, as well, but the trial was not powered to detect the statistical significance in fibrosis changes. It remains to be determined whether or not OCA will resolve NASH and ameliorate advanced fibrosis, but further trials are ongoing.

**TGR5 and NAFLD**
TGR5 is a classic G-protein coupled cell surface receptor[53,54] that is activated by bile acids in the order of LCA > DCA > CDCA > CA[53]. In the absence of bile acid binding, TGR5 is tightly associated with a G-protein complex consisting of α, β, and γ subunits. Upon binding to bile acids, TGR5 allows the release of α subunit, which in turn activates adenylyl cyclase, leading to the accumulation of cAMP and activation of protein kinase A. TGR5 is widely expressed in various tissues including the liver, gallbladder, bile ducts, adipose tissue, spleen, intestines, and kidneys. Within the liver, TGR5 is abundantly expressed in Kupffer cells and endothelial cells, but not in hepatocytes. The targets and effects of TGR5 are outlined in detail below and summarized in Figure 2.

**TGR5 functions and NAFLD**
*Regulation of the bile acid pool:* TGR5 regulates the bile acid pool. The bile acid pool is significantly reduced in TGR (-/-) mice compared to wild-type mice. TGR5 also regulates bile composition as demonstrated by an experiment showing that, when fed with lithogenic diet, TGR (-/-) mice were protected from gallstone diseases. The expression of TGR5 was shown to be present in gallbladder epithelial cells and cholangiocytes, and TGR5 activation induced bicarbonate and chloride secretion from cholangiocytes, which may account for the alteration of bile composition.
Modulation of the immune response: TGR5 also modulates immune responses of immune cells via increasing intracellular cAMP[53], and this function appears relevant to the regulation of hepatic inflammation and atherosclerosis development. TGR5 is highly expressed in monocytes and macrophages. Activation of TGR5 increases cAMP in rat alveolar macrophages and, as a result, it reduces the phagocytic activity of the macrophage and inhibits lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced production of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF-α), interleukin-1 (IL-1), IL-6 and IL-8. This finding was also demonstrated in resident hepatic macrophage Kupffer cells. Activation of TGR5 in isolated Kupffer cells causes an increase in cAMP and reduced expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including: TNF-α, IL-1, IL-6 and IL-8, following LPS treatment. Notably, TGR5-deficient mice are more susceptible to LPS-induced liver injury[59]. TGR5 activation also attenuates the formation of atheromatous plaque in low-density lipoprotein receptor knockout mice (LDL-/-), a commonly used murine model for atherosclerosis studies that have functioning TGR5 (LDL+/+; TGR5+/+). This attenuation of plaque formation was achieved through decreases in intra-plaque inflammation and macrophage activation[56]. Recent study has also showed that a TGR5 agonist increased the production of nitric oxide (NO) in endothelial cells, a key anti-atherogenic molecule. This suggests that NO might be one of downstream effectors of TGR5 signaling.

Energy expenditure and metabolism: TGR5 enhances energy expenditure and mitigates obesity and insulin resistance in obese mice. TGR5 is expressed in human brown adipocytes and skeletal myocytes. In brown adipose and skeletal muscle, interactions between bile acids and TGR5 promote the expression of cAMP-dependent 2-iiodothyronine de-iodinase (D2), which converts inactive thyroxine (T4) to active 3,5,3-triiodothyronine (T3), a major hormone in increasing basal metabolism and thus, inducing energy expenditure[57]. TGR5 signaling also regulates glucose homeostasis[58]. TGR5 is expressed on enteroendocrine L-cells, and activation of TGR5 on L-cells modulates mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation and alters ATP/ADP ratio. This leads to the release of glucagon like peptide-1 (GLP-1) from L-cells. GLP-1 further stimulates insulin secretion from pancreas and maintains glucose homeostasis.

TGR5 agonists in the treatment of NALFD

Given the aforementioned biological effects of TGR5, TGR5 becomes an enticing potential target for NASH therapeutics. A specific CA derivative, 6α-ethyl-23(S)-methylcholic acid (INT-777) has been developed as a selective TGR5 agonist[59]. Treatment of high-fat fed mice with INT-777 increased energy expenditure and attenuated both weight gain and expansion of fat pad mass[58]. In addition, INT-777 treatment reduced hepatic steatosis and improved liver enzyme levels without evidence of hepatic fibrosis[58]. INT-777 treatment has also been shown to improve insulin sensitivity, likely through the release of GLP-1 in the intestines, in both diet-induced obese mice and in genetically obese mice that have a leptin receptor gene mutation (db/db), which is a well-established model of obesity and diabetes[58]. This effect was blunted in TGR5-/- mice, indicating the specificity of INT-777 treatment in targeting TGR5. With all these features, TGR5 agonists such as INT-777 are very attractive treatment candidates for NASH and other features of the metabolic syndrome[60].

TARGETING BOTH FXR AND TGR5 IN THE TREATMENT OF NAFLD

INT-767, the 23-sulphate derivative of OCA, is a dual FXR/TGR5 agonist[61]. INT-767 has been demonstrated to induce FXR-dependent lipid uptake by adipocytes, mobilizing lipid from the circulation and the liver to peripheral adipose tissue. INT-767 also promotes TGR5-dependant GLP-1 release. Treatment of obese mice with INT-767 significantly decreased total plasma cholesterol and triglyceride levels[61], and improved the histological features of NASH in these mice[62]. These effects have been postulated to be due to INT-767-mediated alterations in the phenotypes of intrahepatic macrophage populations and modulation of cytokine production[62].

Uniquely, INT-767, but not INT-777 or INT-747, ameliorates hepatic injury in MDR2 (-/-) mice, a model for chronic cholangiopathy. This hepatoprotective effect is manifested by a reduction in bile acid synthesis and an increase in bile flow and biliary HCO3- output[63].

CONCLUSION

Bile acids and bile acid receptors have pluripotent functions in energy expenditure, regulation of lipids and glucose metabolism, modulation of hepatic inflammation, fibrosis, regeneration, and carcinogenesis. These effects translate into attractive therapeutic targets for NASH and to improve metabolic profiles, ameliorate hepatic injury, and halt hepatic fibrosis. One currently very promising drug is OCA, but additional new drugs are expected in the not-too-distant future that will target the pathways in NASH pathogenesis.
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