Functional Properties of the Retinal Glutamate Transporters GLT-1c and EAAT5*
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Background: GLT-1c and EAAT5 are two excitatory amino acid transporters co-expressed in retinal neurons.

Results: GLT-1c and EAAT5 differ in glutamate and Na⁺ affinity, individual transport rates as well as in unitary anion current amplitudes.

Conclusion: GLT-1c and EAAT5 are optimized to fulfill different physiological tasks.

Significance: Identification of unitary channel properties underlying separate anion conductances associated with GLT-1c and EAAT5.

In the mammalian retina, glutamate uptake is mediated by members of a family of glutamate transporters known as “excitatory amino acid transporters (EAATs).” Here we cloned and functionally characterized two retinal EAATs from mouse, the GLT-1/EAAT2 splice variant GLT-1c, and EAAT5. EAATs are glutamate transporters and anion-selective ion channels, and we used heterologous expression in mammalian cells, patch-clamp recordings and noise analysis to study and compare glutamate transport and anion channel properties of both EAAT isoforms. We found GLT-1c to be an effective glutamate transporter with high affinity for Na⁺ and glutamate that resembles original GLT-1/EAAT2 in all tested functional aspects. EAAT5 exhibits glutamate transport rates too low to be accurately measured in our experimental system, with significantly lower affinities for Na⁺ and glutamate than GLT-1c. Non-stationary noise analysis demonstrated that GLT-1c and EAAT5 also differ in single-channel current amplitudes of associated anion channels. Unitary current amplitudes of EAAT5 anion channels turned out to be approximately twice as high as single-channel amplitudes of GLT-1c. Moreover, at negative potentials open probabilities of EAAT5 anion channels were much larger than for GLT-1c. Our data illustrate unique functional properties of EAAT5, being a low-affinity and low-capacity glutamate transport system, with an anion channel optimized for anion conduction in the negative voltage range.

In the mammalian visual system, photoreceptors are depolarized in the dark. Exposure to light results in cell hyperpolarization and diminished glutamate release (1). Secondary active transporters rapidly remove glutamate from the synaptic cleft and thus permit the effective transmission of receptor potentials into electrical signals of bipolar cells.

Glutamate transporters belonging to the excitatory amino acid transporter (EAAT) family are crucial for glutamate uptake in the retina and thus for signal transmission during visual perception (2). EAAT1/GLAST mediates the uptake of glutamate into Müller cells (2–10), whereas EAAT2/GLT-1 is responsible for the glutamate transport into photoreceptors, bipolar and amacrine cells (4, 9, 10). Experiments with knockout animals demonstrated profound effects on visual signal transmission upon EAAT1/GLAST removal, but only slight changes in EAAT2/GLT-1 knock-out animals (2). These data indicate that EAAT1/GLAST is the major retinal glutamate transporter that controls synaptic signal transmission, whereas EAAT2/GLT-1 mostly plays a role in neuroprotection against glutamate excitotoxicity. EAAT3/EAAC1 can be found in amacrine, ganglion, and horizontal cells (9, 11, 12) with predominant non-synaptic localization so that an important role in glutamatergic neurotransmission seems unlikely (13). EAAT4 has been identified in retinal astrocytes (9, 14) and in the retinal pigment epithelium (15). High affinity glutamate binding by EAAT4 (16) might serve as a back-up system preventing the escape of glutamate from beyond the bounds of the retina (9). EAAT5 is expressed in photoreceptors, bipolar, and amacrine cells. It is known to exhibit low glutamate transport rates and large anion conductances (17, 18) and has therefore been suggested to mainly act as glutamate-activated chloride channel to control the excitability of retinal neurons (17, 19–24).

GLT-1c is a splice variant of GLT-1/EAAT2 that is strongly and selectively expressed in the axon terminals of photoreceptors (10). During development, its expression is linked to the appearance of retinal dark currents, suggesting a functionally important role of this GLT-1 splice variant. So far, neither glutamate transporter TEACl, tetraethylammonium chloride; TBOA, α-threo-β-benzylxoyaspartate; GLAST, L-glutamate-L-aspartate transporter.

The abbreviations used are: EAAT, excitatory amino acid transporter; GLT, glutamate transporter; TEACl, tetraethylammonium chloride; TBOA, α-threo-β-benzylxyspartate; GLAST, L-glutamate/L-aspartate transporter.
Glutamate transport nor anion currents of GLT-1c have been studied. EAAT5 is almost retina-specific and co-expressed with GLT-1c in photoreceptor terminals. Whereas EAAT5 transport functions have already been characterized in detail (17, 18), a comprehensive study of the EAAT5 anion conductance is still lacking.

We cloned mouse GLT-1c (mGLT-1c) and mouse EAAT5 (mEAAT5) and studied transport and anion currents using whole-cell patch-clamp analyses in transfected HEK293T cells. We observed clear functional differences in both transporter functions, illustrating isoform-specific optimizations of glutamate transport and anion channel function in these two retinal EAAT isoforms.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cloning and Heterologous Expression of Mouse GLT-1c and Mouse EAAT5—Full-length mouse GLT-1c (mGLT-1c) was amplified from mouse retina cDNA using sequence specific sense and antisense primers with the following sequences: ATGGTCAAGCTTCAAAAGATAAGCA and GCCAGATGATATTCCACATAATG. The PCR product was inserted into pGEM-T Easy using TA cloning techniques of the pGEM®-T Easy Vector System (Promega). After transformation into OneShot®TOP10 competent cells, plasmid DNA was isolated with NucleoSpin Mini Kit (Macherey-Nagel). The 1674 base pair mGLT-1c product was confirmed by sequencing and published by the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (KC825358). For expression in mammalian cells, the coding region was inserted into pcDNA3.1(+) with the monomeric yellow fluorescent protein (mYFP) coding sequence linked to the 5′-end of the cDNA.

For cloning mouse EAAT5 (mEAAT5), RNA from the retina of 1-month-old WT C57BL6 mice was purified using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). Tissue-specific mRNA was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using the RevertAid M-MuLV Kit and oligo(dT)18 primers (Fermentas), and the mEAAT5 coding region was amplified using 2 μl of the cDNA product, 1 μl of Pfu polymerase (50 μl final volume) and sense and antisense primers (CACGTGGCCTGCTCTAATTT and GCGGAGACTCC-AAAAGACTTG). The blunt PCR product was 1707 base pairs in length, and the molecular sequence was in perfect agreement with the reference sequence of mouse EAAT5 (NP_666367.2) published at NCBI. The amplification product was inserted into pCR™4Blunt-TOPO® using the Zero Blunt® TOPO® PCR Cloning Kit from Invitrogen and then subcloned with the mYFP coding sequence linked to the 5′-end of the cDNA encoding mEAAT5 into pcDNA3.1(+) using NotI and XbaI restriction sites. mGLT-1c and mEAAT5 were transiently expressed in HEK293T cells as described previously (25). For each construct, two independent recombinants from the same transfection were examined and shown to exhibit indistinguishable functional properties.

Confocal Microscopy—HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with mGLT-1c or mEAAT5 and cultivated on poly-l-lysine-treated glass coverslips. Attached cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 5 min and subsequently washed with 0.1 M phosphate buffer. Confocal imaging was carried out 2 days after transfection using a TCS SP5 II confocal laser-scanning setup (Leica Microsystems, Germany) with an inverted Leica DM6000 CF5 confocal laser scanning microscope and a 63×/1.4 oil immersion objective. The mYFP was excited with a 488 nm argon laser. Images were recorded with Leica Application Suite Advanced Fluorescence 2.6 and processed with Fiji (Fiji is just ImageJ 1.48f).

Electrophysiology—Standard whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were performed using an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Molecular Devices, Palo Alto, CA) (25, 26). Borosilicate pipettes were pulled with resistances of between 1.0 MΩ and 2.5 MΩ. In some experiments, pipettes were covered with dental wax to reduce their capacitance. Electrophysiological experiments were exclusively performed on cells that expressed mYFP-fusion proteins as observed by a Leica DM IL fluorescence microscope. To minimize voltage errors, we compensated at least 80% series resistance by an analog procedure and excluded cells with current amplitudes of more than 10 nA from the analysis. For the analysis of macroscopic currents, currents were filtered at 2 or 5 kHz and digitized with a sampling rate of 10 kHz using a Digidata AD/DA converter (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). For the non-stationary noise analysis and the determination of relative open probabilities current traces were sampled at 50 kHz and filtered using a Bessel low-pass filter of 10 kHz. The standard external solution contained (mM): 140 NaCl, 4 KCl, 2 CaCl₂, 1 MgCl₂, 5 HEPES with or without 0.5 L-glutamate (Glu), whereas the standard internal solution was composed of (mM): 115 KNO₃ or 115 NaNO₃, 2 MgCl₂, 5 EGTA, and 10 HEPES. In experiments where K⁺ was used as internal cation, we added 5 mM tetraethylammonium chloride (TEACl) to the bath solution to suppress the activity of K⁺ channels. In the bath or internal solutions, the pH was adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH or KOH, respectively.

In some of the experiments we used modified internal and/or external solutions. For the determination of electrogenic glutamate transport currents, we used internal and external Cl⁻ instead of NO₃⁻ or substituted permeable anions equimolarly with gluconate salts. For reverse glutamate transport, cells were internally dialyzed with a solution based on 115 mM Na-glutamate and externally perfused with (mM): 142 K-glutamate, 2 CaCl₂, 1 MgCl₂, 5 HEPES. In K⁺-reduced solutions, we substituted K⁺-glutamate with Na-glutamate. The anion selectivity was tested with a standard internal solution containing 115 mM NaNO₃ and standard bath solutions containing 0.5 mM glutamate and Cl⁻, NO₃⁻ or SCN⁻ as the main external anion. To determine the sodium dependence of mGLT-1c and mEAAT5-mediated currents, we equimolarly substituted Na-cloroide with choline chloride and recorded currents in the presence of 1 mM glutamate. Current measurements to determine relative open probabilities and for non-stationary noise analysis were performed in symmetrical NO₃⁻, with 0.5 mM external glutamate and Na⁺ as the main internal cation.

Noise Analysis—Non-stationary noise analysis was used to determine the single-channel current amplitudes of mGLT-1c and mEAAT5 anion channels (Fig. 5) (26, 27). We calculated current variances during 300 subsequent voltage jumps from a positive prepulse to −140 mV from current differences in subsequent records at each time point to reduce the artifacts arising from small linear shifts during the measurement protocol.
(27). After subtracting the background noise (measured at the reversal potential) and discretizing variances into 50 current bins, we plotted the ratio of the variance by the mean current amplitude versus the mean current amplitude at various time points for individual cells (Fig. 5, C and D).

Current artifacts in individual sweeps might cause overestimation of mEAAT5 or mGLT-1c current noise. To identify such sweeps we performed a systematic analysis of such artifacts and found that exclusion of all difference sweeps with time-averaged variances higher than the double overall variance of all sweeps resulted in stable and converging fit parameters that were not affected by removal of further outliers. We routinely applied this threshold value that removed 5–10% of all sweeps.

Ion channels usually generate a Lorentzian-type of noise. Since open and closed states of an ion channel are binomially distributed, the amplitude, and the time dependence of the current variance \( \sigma^2 \) can be calculated by Equation 1,

\[
\sigma(t)^2 = N \times i \times p(t) \times (1 - p(t)) + \sigma_{bg}^2 = I_{\text{mean}}(t) \times i - \frac{I_{\text{max}}(t)}{N} + \sigma_{bg}^2 \quad \text{(Eq. 1)}
\]

or after linear transformation, Equation 2,

\[
\frac{\sigma^2(t) - \sigma_{bg}^2}{I_{\text{mean}}(t)} = i - \frac{I_{\text{mean}}(t)}{N} \quad \text{(Eq. 2)}
\]

with \( i \) being the single-channel current amplitude, \( p \) the absolute open probability, \( N \) the total number of channels in the membrane, \( I_{\text{mean}} \) the mean macroscopic current amplitude and \( \sigma_{bg}^2 \) the voltage-independent background noise. The \( y \) axis intercept from a linear regression of Equation 2 to the data points thus provides the unitary current amplitude and the slope of the linear regression \( -N^{-1} \) gives an approximation of the number of channels.

We first performed this analysis on the data of individual cells and obtained consistent results. However, to estimate the uncertainty of the single-channel current estimate from noise analysis as precisely as possible, we pooled data from all cells expressing mEAAT5 or mGLT-1c into single plots. To compare data from different cells with varying numbers of channels \( N \) and different whole-cell current amplitudes, mean currents \( I_{\text{mean}} \) were normalized to the maximum current amplitudes \( I_{\text{max}} \) observed during the voltage jump experiment, and ratios of current variances by non-normalized current amplitudes were plotted versus normalized current amplitudes for all examined cells (Fig. 5E) in Equation 3.

\[
\frac{\sigma^2(t) - \sigma_{bg}^2}{I_{\text{mean}}(t)} = i - \frac{I_{\text{mean}}(t)}{I_{\text{max}}} \times \frac{I_{\text{max}}}{N} = i - \frac{I_{\text{mean}}(t)}{I_{\text{max}}} \times i \times p_{\text{max}} \quad \text{(Eq. 3)}
\]

This normalization allows fitting of Equation 3 to all data points and assessing the regression error using bootstrap sampling simulations (28). Bootstrap sampling has been shown to yield better estimates of the regression error than the conventional methods using approximated covariance matrices of the regression parameters (28). It furthermore allows definition of 95% confidence intervals of the fit by ordering the regression lines of all bootstrap samples along the \( y \) axis and identifying 2.5% and 97.5% quantiles.

50,000 bootstrap samples were resampled by randomly selecting observations from the original data sets of mEAAT5 and mGLT-1c with replacement. We determined single-channel amplitudes for all bootstrap samples and the distribution of these values was plotted in a histogram for visual inspection (Fig. 5F). Single-channel current amplitudes are given as mean \( \pm \) standard deviation of the distribution of this parameter after regression of all bootstrap samples.

**Data Analysis**—Data were analyzed with a combination of pClamp 10.2 (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA), SigmaPlot 11 programs (Jandel Scientific, San Rafael, CA) and self-written Python programs. Steady-state current amplitudes were used without any subtraction procedure. The relative errors for apparent dissociation constants were obtained as standard errors of fit estimates by fitting Hill equations to the concentration dependence of mGLT-1c or mEAAT5-mediated currents (Fig. 3) in Equation 4.

\[
l = \frac{I_{\text{max}} \text{[substrate]}^n}{[\text{substrate}]^n + K_n^s} + I_0 \quad \text{(Eq. 4)}
\]

The substrate-dependent \( I_{\text{max}} \) and substrate-independent current amplitudes \( I_0 \) and the Hill coefficient \( n \) were determined as fit parameters. Steady-state currents measured as function of [L-glutamate] were normalized to the current in the presence of 2 mM L-glutamate, whereas sodium-dependent currents were normalized to the current recorded at 200 mM external Na\(^+\).

To determine the voltage dependence of relative open probabilities of mGLT-1c and mEAAT5 anion channels, isochronal current amplitudes were measured at \(-130\) mV after 150 ms prepulses immediately after the capacitive current relaxation (<500 \( \mu s \) after the voltage step), normalized to maximum values and plotted versus the prepulse potential (Fig. 6).

For statistical evaluations Student’s \( t \) test and paired \( t \) test with \( p \leq 0.05 \) (*) as the level of significance were used (\( p \leq 0.01 \) (**), \( p \leq 0.001 \) (***)). Both transporters expressed in HEK293T cells transfected with YFP fusion protein and examined by confocal imaging (Fig. 1, A and B). Both transporters were located in the surface membrane. Cells transfected with identical DNA amounts of mGLT1c or mEAAT5 exhibited comparable fluorescence amplitudes (Fig. 1, A and B, bottom). Whereas cells expressing mGLT-1c showed almost exclusive

**RESULTS**

**mGLT-1c and mEAAT5 Express Robustly in Transiently Transfected HEK293T Cells**—mGLT-1c and mEAAT5 might differ in expression levels as well as in the subcellular distribution when heterologously expressed in HEK293T cells. Profound differences in these parameters would affect the comparison of transport functions. We expressed mGLT-1c and mEAAT5 as mYFP fusion protein and examined transfected cells by confocal imaging (Fig. 1, A and B). Both transporters were located in the surface membrane. Cells transfected with identical DNA amounts of mGLT1c or mEAAT5 exhibited comparable fluorescence amplitudes (Fig. 1, A and B, bottom). Whereas cells expressing mGLT-1c showed almost exclusive
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Subcellular localization and functional properties of mGLT-1c and mEAAT5—mGLT-1c and mEAAT5 were functionally characterized as mYFP fusion proteins through whole-cell patch clamping of transiently transfected HEK293T cells. This procedure permits identification of transfected cells and selection of cells with high expression levels by fluorescence microscopy. Fig. 1 shows representative current recordings from HEK293T cells expressing mGLT-1c (Fig. 1C) or mEAAT5 (Fig. 1E). Cells were internally dialyzed with KNO3-based solutions and consecutively exposed to glutamate-free and glutamate-containing solutions. These conditions permitted transitions through all functional transporter states and increased anion currents to levels significantly above leak and endogenous current amplitudes. We observed robust mGLT-1c and mEAAT5 anion currents in the absence of glutamate, indicating glutamate-independent anion currents. The application of glutamate increased mGLT-1c currents ~2-fold (Fig. 1, C and D) and mEAAT5 currents 4-fold (Fig. 1, E and F). With potassium as the internal cation, currents mediated by mGLT-1c were almost time-independent, whereas mEAAT5 currents activated at hyperpolarizing voltage steps.

Glutamate-dependent currents consist of the uptake current generated by the electrogenic coupled glutamate transport and of the glutamate-sensitive anion current component. To evaluate the contribution of glutamate transport current to the total current amplitude, we substituted intracellular NO3− and extracellular Cl− equimolarly with the impermeable anion gluconate and recorded currents of mGLT-1c (Fig. 2A, top) or mEAAT5 (Fig. 2A, bottom) in the absence and presence of 0.5 mM glutamate. In glutamate-free solution, nearly no current could be detected for mGLT-1c at positive or negative potentials. Saturating glutamate concentrations elicited significant currents at negative voltage steps, but not at positive potentials. In cells expressing mEAAT5, no current was induced by glutamate in the absence of permeable anions. Glutamate uptake currents (Fig. 2B) were calculated by subtracting whole-cell currents of mGLT-1c and mEAAT5 recorded in the absence of glutamate from currents measured in the same cell after application of glutamate. Whereas mGLT-1c displayed prominent electrogenic glutamate uptake, uptake by mEAAT5 was below the resolution limit of our experimental approach.

We recently identified C-terminal truncations that abolish forward glutamate transport, but leave backward transport by the human EAAT2 unaffected (30). To test whether mGLT-1c or mEAAT5 exhibit a similar difference in transport rates for backward and forward glutamate transport, we internally dialyzed cells expressing mGLT-1c (Fig. 2C, top) or mEAAT5 (Fig. 2C, bottom) with solutions containing 115 mM Na-glutamate and applied bath solutions with varying concentrations of K+ (2, 60, 100, or 142 mM). Whole-cell currents were recorded at 0 mV, and the contribution of anion channels to the measured current amplitudes were minimized by substituting internal Cl− with glutamate and external Cl− with gluconate. For mGLT-1c, a K+-dependent outward current was observed under these conditions (Fig. 2, C and D), which increased with [K+] and was reversibly blocked by the application of 50 μM external \( \beta \)-threo-benzylxysapartate (TBOA) (I_{TBOA} K^+ = 76.3 ± 8.8 pA; I_{TBOA} = 9.3 ± 2.6 pA; n = 7). These results indicate that...
mGLT-1c effectively mediates forward as well as reverse glutamate transport.

For mEAAT5, no reverse glutamate transport could be detected (Fig. 2, C and D). In cells expressing mEAAT5 changes of external [K+] from 0 mM to 142 mM resulted only in negligible current increases, and current amplitudes in presence of 142 mM K+ were not different from currents recorded in presence of 50 μM TBOA (I_{-142 mM K^+} = 13.4 ± 3.2 pA; I_{TBOA} = 8.6 ± 3.4 pA; n = 6). Taken together these results demonstrate that mEAAT5 mediates neither effective forward nor reverse glutamate transport.

mGLT-1c and mEAAT5 Currents Display Distinct Glutamate and Sodium Dependences—Fig. 3A shows representative whole-cell recordings of mGLT-1c (left) and mEAAT5 (right) in glutamate-free solution or selected concentrations of 0.01 mM, 0.1 mM, or 2 mM glutamate at a constant voltage step of −120 mV. The concentration dependence of steady-state currents of mGLT-1c and mEAAT5 could be fit with a Hill equation (Fig. 3B), providing K_m values of 9.6 ± 0.3 μM (Hill coefficient = 1.2 ± 0.04, n = 6) for mGLT-1c and 24.7 ± 0.4 μM (Hill coefficient = 0.9 ± 0.01, n = 5) for mEAAT5. For mGLT-1c and for mEAAT5 a similar fraction of the maximum current at 2 mM external glutamate was observed in the absence of glutamate, 35 ± 2% (mGLT-1c, n = 6) or 28 ± 3% (mEAAT5, n = 5). Glutamate binding requires the presence of extracellular sodium. Fig. 3C shows representative current responses of mGLT-1c and mEAAT5, which were recorded with internal KNO_3 and bath solutions containing 1 mM glutamate with various concentrations of external Na^+ (0 mM, 10 mM, 50 mM, or 140 mM) at −120 mV. Under these conditions, we detected an apparent dissociation constant of 28.4 ± 2.3 mM (Hill coefficient = 1.2 ± 0.1, n = 5) for mGLT-1c. For mEAAT5, we had to increase the external sodium concentration up to 500 mM to reach saturating conditions and we determined a K_m value of 62.8 ± 4.3 mM (Hill coefficient = 1.9 ± 0.2, n ≥ 3), which is in the same range as the value previously reported for the hEAAT5 (18).

Relative current amplitudes in the absence of external Na^+ were different for mGLT-1c and mEAAT5. Whereas relative current amplitudes in the absence of sodium were only 21 ± 1% (n ≥ 3) of the maximum current amplitude at saturating concentrations of sodium in mEAAT5, the contribution of the sodium-independent current to the total current was relatively...
high in mGLT-1c (49 ± 1%, n = 5). We recently demonstrated that hEAAT2 anion channels are active even in the combined absence of glutamate and sodium, verifying a unique sodium dependence of this isoform (30). Our present results indicate that this particular property of EAAT2 is preserved in mEAAT5.

**mEAAT5 and mGLT-1c Anion Channels Display Identical Anion Selectivity**—mGLT-1c and mEAAT5 represent extreme examples of isoform-specific differentiation into effective transporters with small associated anion currents or low-capacity transporters with predominant anion conductance, respectively (16). The low EAAT5 glutamate transport rates have been recently assigned to small Na\(^+\)-association rates (18). At present, it is not known whether the predominance of EAAT5 anion currents is simply caused by the ineffective glutamate transport or is due to unique properties of the underlying anion channels.

To test for isoform-specific differences in anion selectivity, current reversal potentials were determined in perfusion experiments with external Cl\(^-\), NO\(_3\)\(^-\), or SCN\(^-\), and NO\(_3\)\(^-\)-based internal solutions (Fig. 4). In these experiments, we substituted internal K\(^+\) with Na\(^+\) to abolish coupled transport, which significantly contributes to the macroscopic current in mGLT-1c, resulting in a deactivation of mGLT-1c and activation of mEAAT5 anion currents upon hyperpolarization.

With Cl\(^-\) as external anion, currents of mGLT-1c (Fig. 4A) or mEAAT5 (Fig. 4B) reversed at +53.1 ± 2.6 mV (n = 6) or +51.3 ± 6 mV (n = 4), respectively. For external NO\(_3\)\(^-\), reversal potentials of 2.3 ± 0.8 mV (mGLT-1c, n = 6) or 3.5 ± 1.0 mV (mEAAT5, n = 4) were determined, whereas the application of the more permeable anion SCN\(^-\) led to a shift in reversal potentials to negative values (−41.2 ± 2.7 mV for mGLT-1c, n = 6; −48.5 ± 0.9 mV for mEAAT5, n = 4). No statistically significant difference in the reversal potentials of mGLT-1c or mEAAT5-mediated currents (Fig. 4C) was detected in these experiments, indicating comparable anion selectivity for mGLT-1c and mEAAT5 anion channels. For both transporters, changing the predominant external anion caused only slight alterations in the time and voltage dependence of the anion currents.

**mGLT-1c and mEAAT5 Differ in Unitary Anion Channel Conductance and Relative Open Probability**—We next employed non-stationary noise analysis to determine unitary current amplitudes of mGLT-1c and mEAAT5 anion channels. Fig. 5 shows time courses of the mean macroscopic currents and the corresponding current variances for mGLT-1c (A) and mEAAT5 (B) elicited by repetitive voltage steps of −140 mV in symmetrical NO\(_3\)\(^-\) and 0.5 mM external glutamate. Ratios of the current variance by the mean current amplitude plotted against the mean current amplitude at various time points after the hyperpolarizing voltage step were fitted with a linear function (Equation 2) providing the single-channel current amplitudes as fitted y axis intercept (Fig. 5, C and D) (31). Whereas mGLT-1c exhibits a unitary current amplitude of 43 ± 1 fA (n = 13) at −140 mV (Fig. 5C), the single-channel current amplitude of mEAAT5 anion channels was more than 2-fold larger (89 ± 3 fA (n = 6)) (Fig. 5D).

**FIGURE 4.** Anion selectivity of mGLT-1c and mEAAT5 anion currents. A and B, current responses of cells expressing (A) mGLT-1c or (B) mEAAT5 to the application of 140 mM Na\(^+\)-based bath solutions containing various anions (Cl\(^-\), NO\(_3\)\(^-\), or SCN\(^-\)) and 0.5 mM external glutamate. Cells were internally dialyzed with 115 mM NaNO\(_3\). Dotted lines represent 0 nA. C, the effect of external anions on the reversal potential of currents mediated by mGLT-1c (n = 6) (white bars) or mEAAT5 (n = 4) (black bars). The statistical significance was evaluated with Student’s t test (ns = not significant).
DISCUSSION

In the mammalian retina, all known EAATs are expressed (often with more than one isoform in a particular cell type) suggesting distinct functional tasks of various EAATs in visual synaptic transmission. To gain deeper insights into a possible functional specialization of EAATs at presynaptic terminals of photoreceptors, we cloned two transporters co-expressed in retinal neurons, we cloned two transporters co-expressed in

FIGURE 6. Voltage dependence of the relative open probabilities of mGLT-1c and mEAAT5 anion channels. A and B, representative whole-cell measurements of cells expressing (A) mGLT-1c or (B) mEAAT5 performed in symmetrical NaNO₃-based solutions. C and D, relative open probabilities of (C) mGLT-1c (n = 11) and (D) mEAAT5 (n = 7) anion channels in the presence of glutamate.

mGLT-1c and mEAAT5 also differ in the relative open probabilities of anion channels (Fig. 6). Relative open probabilities of anion channels were determined by plotting normalized isochronal current amplitudes at a voltage step of −130 mV versus the preceding potential (Fig. 6, A and B). Anion channels of mGLT-1c (Fig. 6C) displayed a higher relative open probability at positive potentials, while anion channels of mEAAT5 (Fig. 6D) closed at depolarizing voltage steps and opened at voltages to more negative potentials.

analyzed by regression with a linear function (Equation 3). Bootstrap analysis simulates the error-generating process of data sampling from a larger population and thus permits approximation of the true error of fit parameters. For each bootstrap sample, single-channel current amplitudes were determined as $y$ axis intercept from this fit providing a single channel current amplitude of 43 ± 1 FA for mGLT-1c and 91 ± 4 FA for mEAAT5 (Fig. 5E and F). The bootstrap analysis allowed for the definition of the 95% confidence intervals of the regression lines and regression parameters (mGLT-1c: [40.7, 44.4]; mEAAT5: [83.4, 98.3]) and demonstrated the statistical significant difference ($p < 0.0001$) of the single-channel conductance of the two isoforms (Fig. 5F).
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...pal be due to a negligible number of transporters in the surface membrane of transfected cells. However, confocal imaging shows clear surface membrane staining of mYFP-mEAAT5, with fluorescence intensity in the range of cells expressing mYFP-mGLT1c (Fig. 1). Moreover, robust mEAAT5 anion currents (Figs. 4 and 6) directly demonstrate a substantial number of mEAAT5 in the surface membrane of investigated cells.

To permit comparison of anion conductances of distinct EAAT isoforms, anion current amplitudes are often normalized to glutamate transport currents obtained at the same cell. This analysis revealed much smaller relative anion currents for EAAT1, EAAT2, and EAAT3 than for EAAT4 and EAAT5 (17, 33, 34). Since separate EAAT isoforms differ in the glutamate transport rate, variation in these relative values could be exclusively due to a difference in glutamate transport (16) and thus do not allow comparison of unitary current amplitudes of distinct EAAT anion channels. To determine single EAAT anion channel current amplitudes, stationary as well as non-stationary noise analysis has been used in recent years. Initially, EAAT anion channels were considered to be closed in the absence of glutamate, and current variances in the absence of glutamate were subtracted as background noise. These early experiments provided largely varying unitary current amplitudes ranging from a few fA for EAAT1 expressed in Xenopus oocytes (35) over values in the range of the results of the present study in photoreceptors (36) to several pA in bipolar cells from goldfish retina (22). These pronounced differences were interpreted in terms of substantial isoform-specific variation in unitary anion current amplitudes. Later, expression of EAATs in mammalian cells permitted experiments with large EAAT anion currents and negligible background currents. Such experiments demonstrated that EAAT anion channels are active also in the absence of glutamate and revealed significant current relaxations upon voltage steps (25, 30, 37, 38). Our group used voltage-dependent gating of EAAT anion currents for non-stationary (25, 39) and stationary noise analysis at different potentials (37, 38, 40). Unfortunately, non-stationary noise analysis requires significant stimulus-dependent changes in absolute open probabilities and is therefore not always possible. Stationary noise analysis requires assumptions about the voltage dependence of unitary current amplitudes and is particularly viable to correct determination of background variances.

For the present study we decided to compare mGLT-1c and mEAAT5 using non-stationary noise analysis. Using this approach, we determined mEAAT5 single anion channel conductances that were about twice as large as the value determined for mGLT-1c. Comparable measurements were also performed for rEAAT4, with a unitary current amplitude of 25 fA at −150 mV. For EAAT1 and EAAT3, such measurements are missing, however, stationary noise analysis at other ionic conditions demonstrated similar unitary anion current amplitudes for EAAT3 and EAAT4 (37). Taken together, these experiments provide EAAT anion current amplitudes decreasing in the order EAAT5 > EAAT2 > EAAT4 ~ EAAT3. Although EAAT4 and EAAT5 both appear to mainly function as anion channels, single EAAT5 anion currents are roughly four times larger than those of EAAT4. Moreover, mGLT-1c, a prototypic EAAT isoform with small macroscopic anion conductance exhibits unitary anion current amplitudes that are larger than those of EAAT4 (16, 33). These data demonstrate that the dramatic differences in relative anion conductance between EAAT1–3 and EAAT4, 5 are not purely caused by isoform-specific unitary anion current amplitudes. In fact, unitary current amplitudes of separate EAAT anion channels differ less than for example those of various voltage-gated K+ channels or Cl- channels (41). Anion selectivities are very similar among different EAATs (25, 34, 35) (Fig. 4). Taken together, these functional data support the notion that all mammalian EAATs utilize a conserved anion conduction pathway.

Grewer et al. recently provided a detailed kinetic analysis of currents of the hEAAT5 (18). Using rapid application of transport substrates the authors demonstrated that the low transport rate of EAAT5 is caused by very slow Na+ association to the glutamate-free transporter. Upon hyperpolarizing voltage steps a slow conformational change precedes opening of the anion channels and explains the experimentally observed anion channel activation at negative voltages. Differences in Na+ association likely account for the marked differences in mGLT1c/EAAT2 and mEAAT5 anion channel gating (Fig. 1).

The physiological role of EAAT5 is still insufficiently understood. Its low glutamate transport rate and glutamate affinity argue against a major role in glutamate reuptake, and EAAT5 was therefore always assumed to function as a glutamate-gated anion channel. However, experimental validation of this suggestion is still missing. No animal models lacking EAAT5 have been reported, and, so far, no human disease has been linked to mutations in SLC1A7 that might have allowed an unambiguous identification of a cellular function of EAAT5.

Recent work assigned presynaptic anion currents in native rod and cone photoreceptor terminals to EAAT5 (23). The high unitary current amplitudes together with the maximum open probability of anion channels at negative potentials enable EAAT5 to mediate large Cl- currents at physiological potentials. Activation of presynaptic EAATs by glutamate can stimulate or inhibit the activity of Ca2+ channels depending on the intracellular [Cl-]. In cones of the salamander retina, Cl- efflux through EAAT5 might support the depolarization of the cell and activate Ca2+ channels for further glutamate release (42). On the other hand, low internal Cl- concentrations in rods have been shown to inhibit Ca2+ channels and to cause a reduction of glutamate release (43). The particularly low affinity of EAAT5 for transporter substrates, as well as the lower open probability of EAAT5 anion channels at depolarizing membrane potentials could prevent an early inhibition of Ca2+ currents by EAAT5 when synaptic transmission starts and extracellular glutamate levels are low.

EAAT5 is also expressed in axon terminals of bipolar cells. Bipolar cells exhibit a somatodendritic chloride gradient that might serve inversion of GABAergic horizontal cell input (44). We recently reported that a naturally occurring mutation found in a patient with episodic ataxia type 6 modifies the gating of EAAT1 (38, 45), resulting in a profound inward-rectification, and we postulated that P290R EAAT1 might affect

---

3 J. P. Machtens, unpublished observation.
intracellular anion concentrations in Bergmann glia. The gating of EAAT5 closely resembles gating of P290R EAAT1 anion channels, and it is thus tempting to speculate that EAAT5 might fulfill a similar function in retinal neurons.

In summary, this study provides a first detailed functional characterization of transport and anion conduction properties of mGLT-1c and mEAAT5. Whereas mGLT-1c exhibits functional properties well suited for effective glutamate clearance, mEAAT5 is a low-capacity and low-affinity glutamate transporter with an associated anion channel that is optimized for conducting large anion currents at negative potentials.
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