Estimation of carbon dioxide assimilated in shoot biomass of mature *Acacia seyal* (Del.) Brenan and *Eucalyptus microtheca* (F.) Muell
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**Abstract:** It was determinately proved that CO\(_2\) emission has been causing a paramount effect in climate change and hence global warming. The major effort that could mitigate the adverse impact is to expand in the forestation programs. Today, Sudan has lost in two decades (1990–2010) about 6,432,000 hectares of its forests, which significantly reduced the number of surviving trees to absorb CO\(_2\). However, Sudan’s data on tree species efficiency in assimilating CO\(_2\) is limited. Hence, the objective of this study was to estimate the amount of CO\(_2\) assimilated by shoot biomass of two tree species widely used in plantation programs in Sudan; *Acacia seyal* and *Eucalyptus microtheca*. The non-destructive sampling method of data collection was used in this research in November 2016, when all the trees held their leaves. That was in Umbarona Forest for *A. seyal* and Alamelhuda Forest for *E. microtheca*, both in the vicinity of Medani Town in central Sudan. One hundred samples of rectangular layout were randomly selected for each species. The diameter at breast height (cm) and total tree height (m) were measured. A recommended procedure to estimate green shoot biomass (kg) and sequestered CO\(_2\) (kg) was adopted. Accordingly, the green biomass weight was estimated on the basis of the formula; \( W = 0.25 \ D^2H \) for \( D < 11 \) cm and \( W = 0.15 \ D^2H \) for \( D \geq 11 \) cm. Two models correlated the diameter at breast height (DBH) and height (h) of the trees to the weight of CO\(_2\) sequestered (wcs). *A. seyal* had the highest magnitude of sequestered CO\(_2\) as it was estimated at 370 ton/hectare, and that for *E. microtheca* was 176 ton/hectare. The correlation analysis applied to test the significance of two models (wcs = a + b.D and wcs = a + b.DBH) on the estimated amount of CO\(_2\) sequestered in both species revealed that the model wcs = a + bDBH was the best predicting model as it retained 93% of the coefficient of determination (R\(^2\)) for *E. microtheca* and 68% for *A. seyal*. In conclusion, *A. seyal* was the most efficient in CO\(_2\) sink, despite the fact that it is a deciduous tree while *E. microtheca* is an evergreen tree. However, if the felling cycle will consider, six year for *E. microtheca* and 20 years for *A. seyal* and *E. microtheca* will be the most efficient in CO\(_2\) sink. The diameter at breast height was the most interpreting biophysical parameter for assimilated CO\(_2\) stock estimation. Establishing more forest plantations, supporting forestry agencies, implementing strong policies and improving public awareness on forest conservation as a natural carbon sink are recommended.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The climate change phenomenon is getting today’s utmost global concern and there is an alarm shouting to reduce the gases emission, especially carbon dioxide (CO\(_2\)). The plant in general and forests in particular play a vital role in the global carbon circle. However, the situation over the world calls upon each country to enormously consider the process of carbon sequestration in its plantations and forest management programs. The actual rate of carbon sequestration varies with species, climate and site (Tahoor *et al.* 2016, Aryal *et al.*...
2017, Babu & Parthasarathy 2019). The living biomass of trees, the understory vegetation and the deadwood constitute the primary carbon pool in forests (Kuimi & Jayakumar 2012, Vivek & Parthasarathy 2015, Abetu & Bekele 2019). In this formation, the above-ground biomass of the tree is mainly the largest carbon pool, and it is directly affected by deforestation and degradation. Hence, estimating the tree carbon stocks is primarily important to assess the magnitude of carbon exchange between the trees and the atmosphere (Rowntree & Nowak 1991, Johnson & Abrams 2009, Vashum & Jayakumar 2012).

The expansion of agriculture in Sudan, such as Gezira Scheme since 1925, was occurred at the direct expense of forests. Large amounts of woodland had been cleared in the development of the Gezira Scheme cotton farming (Bernal & Victoria 1997). In 1992 a ministerial decree was issued in Sudan to plant 5% of the area of the irrigated schemes and 10% of the rainfed schemes by trees for just environmental functions. This decree was ignored since that time, but today and due to the drastic implications of accelerating deforestation rate of the dryland forests, especially those related to climate change and global heating, the Forests National Corporation and allied programs of some international agencies concerned with carbon sequestration and natural resources sustainable management are embarked on wide and extended forestation programs in the dryland of the Sudan under both irrigated and rainfed environments. This is also because the number of trees available to absorb carbon dioxide through photosynthesis has been greatly reduced (Falkowsk et al. 2000). The species selection choices for the prevailing both irrigated and rainfed environment in central Sudan are restricted to two major tree species: *Acacia seyal* (Del.) Brenan and *Eucalyptus microtheca* (F.) Muell. Both species are of enormous wood and non-wood uses and paramount influences on the environment but they are contrasted in their phonological rhythm; *A. seyal* is deciduous with wide spherical crown and *E. microtheca* is evergreen with very narrow crown. *A. seyal* has bipinnate leaves while *E. microtheca* has simple narrow leaves. Both species are managed on rotation at 20 years for *A. seyal* (Dafa-Alla & Abdallla 2014) and six years for *E. microtheca* (Badi et al. 1989).

Assessment of the amount of carbon dioxide sequestered by a tree gives us an estimate of the quantity of carbon emitted into the atmosphere when a particular tree is deforested or degraded. Furthermore, it helps us to quantify the carbon stocks that in turn may enable us to understand the current status of carbon stocks and also drive the near-future changes in the carbon stocks (Vashum & Jayakumar 2012). Such research was not conducted in the Sudan before; therefore, this research aimed to bridge the gap of knowledge and the overall goal of the study was to estimate the amount of CO₂ assimilated by shoot biomass of *A. seyal* and *E. microtheca*, which are widely used in plantation programs in Sudan.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was conducted in two forests; natural *Acacia seyal* forest (Umbarona) and *Eucalyptus microtheca* plantation (Alamalhuda), both were in central Sudan in the neighborhood of Medani Town. During the year of study (2016–2017) the environment was characterized by average temperature of 38°C in summer and 24°C in winter, total rainfall was 165 mm and the soil is heavy clay soil. Umbarona forest was grown at random spacing and there were about 1190 trees per hectare while Alamalhuda was planted at 2x3 m spacing to grow 1715 trees per hectare.

To collect reliable data, 100 samples were laid in each forest in a systematic way in rectangular plots, each of 100 m² in area. Non-destructive method of data collection was used in this research. The height (h) and diameter at breast height (DBH) were two measurements for biophysical parameters of each tree using Haga altimeter and the ordinary Caliper, respectively. In case of tree with several stems, each one was measured separately. The method recommended by Chavan & Rasal (2010) and Eneji et al. (2014) was applied, with slight modifications, into two steps to measure biomass and CO₂ sequestered as followed:

*Step (1):* Estimation of shoot biomass:

\[ D < 11: \ W = 0.25D^2H \]
\[ D \geq 11: \ W = 0.15D^2H \]

Where, D= Diameter of the stem at breast height (cm); W= Shoot biomass weight of the tree (kg); and H= Height of tree (m).

Then dry shoot biomass was calculated by multiplying the tree biomass weight by 72.5% (Chavan & Rasal 2010).

*Step (2):* Fixed carbon weight:

It was calculated by multiplying the dry shoot biomass of the tree by 50%. Then sequestered carbon dioxide was determined by multiplying the weight of carbon in each tree by 3.666, which is the ratio of CO₂ to C.
Analysis using the model \[ \text{wcs} = a + \text{DBH} \times h \] was carried out to construct a fitted line correlating diameter at breast height (DBH) and height (h) of the trees to the weight of CO\(_2\) sequestered (wcs).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Growth characteristics and CO\(_2\) sequestered in *Acacia seyal* and *Eucalyptus microtheca* trees were shown in Table 1. There were no significant variations between the trees in both species as far as the height and diameter were considered. That was not the case when the shoot biomass and hence carbon and fixed CO\(_2\) were arbitrated. The mean weight of sequestered CO\(_2\) by a single *A. seyal* tree was 304 kg to produce 370 ton/hectare while that of *E. microtheca* was 100 kg per tree and 176 ton/hectare. It was clear that *A. seyal* had twice the weight of CO\(_2\) sequestered as compared to *E. microtheca*. That was predicted to exist due to the difference in management practices and species type. *A. seyal* is managed by clear felling at 20 years rotation while *E. microtheca* is commercially used to be felled at six years. However, this variation that seems to favor *A. seyal* will diminish if the rotation period is perceived; three crops of *E. microtheca* will be harvested during the rotation of *A. seyal*. Moreover, *E. microtheca* is evergreen while *A. seyal* is deciduous flushes the leaves during the rainy season which is very short in prevalence. This means that *E. microtheca* continues to fix CO\(_2\) all the year around, the growth rhythm that could outlive the amount of CO\(_2\) fixed by *A. seyal*.

**Table 1.** Growth characteristics and CO\(_2\) sequestered in *Acacia seyal* (Del.) Brenan and *Eucalyptus microtheca* (F.) Muell grown, respectively in Umbarona and Alamahuda forests in central Sudan. Measurements were taken in November 2016 and given here on a tree basis.

| Attribute                  | Acacia seyal |          | Eucalyptus microtheca |          |
|----------------------------|--------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|
| Mean ±SD                   |              |          |                       |          |
| Height (m)                 | 8.0 ± 1.6    | 9.0 ± 1.2|                       |          |
| Diameter at breast height (cm) | 23.2 ± 5.6  | 11.0 ± 3.9|                       |          |
| Shoot biomass weight (kg)  | 229.0 ± 102.2| 75.4 ± 47.2|                       |          |
| Dry shoot biomass weight (kg) | 165.1 ± 74.1| 113.6 ± 34.0|                       |          |
| Weight of carbon (kg)      | 102.4 ± 37.1 | 27.2 ± 17.2|                       |          |
| Weight of CO\(_2\) (kg)    | 304.3 ± 135.8| 100.2 ± 62.9|                       |          |

**Figure 1.** Relationship between tree height (m) and weight of CO\(_2\) sequestered (kg) in *Acacia seyal* (Del.) Brenan natural forest grown in Umbarona in the dry land of Sudan.

In Umbarona natural *A. seyal* forest, results of the correlation between tree height (m) and weight of CO\(_2\) sequestered (kg) was shown in Figure 1. The figure showed significant fitting of relation and estimate of sequestered CO\(_2\) to 67 kg when the tree height increased by one m. Applying the diameter at breast height (cm) as independent variable to predict the sequestered CO\(_2\) was significantly gave 20 kg on one-cm increase in the diameter (Fig. 2). Nevertheless the relation confidence limits tended to diverge when the diameter grew to bigger than 27 cm. Both interpreting variables showed a good interpolation as many of the values lies within the range of line of good fitting.
Figure 2. Relationship between diameter at breast height (cm) and weight of CO$_2$ sequestered (kg) in *Acacia seyal* (Del.) Brenan natural forest grown in Umbarona in the dry land of Sudan.

Figure 3. Relationship between tree height (m) and weight of CO$_2$ sequestered (kg) in *Eucalyptus microtheca* (F.) Muell. plantation grown in Alamalhuda in the dry land of Sudan.

In *E. microtheca* plantation at Alamalhuda, correlation between the tree height (m) and weight of CO$_2$ sequestered (kg) gave a model of $y = 0.8x + 93$ (Fig. 3). This means that if the tree height increases by one m, then the weight of CO$_2$ sequestered (kg) will increase by 0.8 kg. The variables showed an extrapolation because many values on the scattered plot fell outside the range of the line of the best fitting. Correlation between the tree diameter at breast height (cm) and weight of CO$_2$ sequestered (kg) gave a model of $y = 16x - 82$ (Fig. 4). This means that if the diameter at breast height increased by one cm, then the weight of CO$_2$ sequestered (kg) will increase by 16 kg. The variables showed a good interpolation as many of the values lie within the range of the line of the best fitting. Therefore, the diameter at breast height is the best variable to give an estimation of CO$_2$ sequestered by *E. microtheca* while the height is misrelated. In general, correlation analyses applied to both species reveal that the model “wcs = a + b.DBH” was the best predicting model in finding the correlation between the two biophysical parameters (total tree height and diameter at breast height) to the weight of CO$_2$ sequestered. This aligns with previous researches (Rowntree & Nowak 1991, Johnson & Abrams 2009, Chavan & Rasal 2010, Vashum & Jayakumar 2012, Eneji et al. 2014).
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In conclusion, it was found that the model \[ wcs = a + DBH \] gave the best fitting correlation between the weight of CO\(_2\) sequestered (wcs) and the diameter (DBH) for both species; \( R^2 \) was 68% for \( A. \text{seyal} \) and 93% for \( E. \text{microtheca} \). However, when the length of rotation and growth rhythm of the two species taken in consideration, the magnitude of carbon dioxide sequestered in the shoot biomass of \( E. \text{microtheca} \) was greater than that sequestered by \( A. \text{seyal} \). This is suggesting the adoption of \( E. \text{microtheca} \) in plantation programs; however, many assumptions are raised by environmentalists criticize the allelopathic impacts of the \( Eucalyptus \) on the fauna and flora compared to \( Acacia \) the leguminous genus that enriches the ecosystems. Sudan is in the midst of a genuine deforestation crisis. Most of the resources in northern, eastern and central Sudan have already been lost and the remainder is being depleted at a rapid pace. Therefore, more forest plantations will be vital ecosystems to serve as a carbon sink, specially the plantation that has the capacity to regenerate naturally after harvest. Moreover, the adoption of agroforestry practices because they are crucial ways in involving the community in conserving forest resources thereby improving the carbon dioxide sequestration potentials. In Sudan, the majority of agricultural schemes lack integration with forestry, thus, strong policies on agroforestry practices that positively and easily implementable with the strong and reliable effects of proper public awareness would back the plantation programs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are highly appreciating the logistic support provided by the Forests National Corporation at the Gezira State. We are also gratefully evaluating the help afforded by the staff of the Faculty of the Forest Sciences and Technology and those of the Department of the Environmental Studies and Natural Resources of the Faculty of Agricultural Sciences.

REFERENCES

Abetu D & Bekele T (2019) Carbon stock in the Dirki woodland vegetation of Central Ethiopia: A case study in Ilu Gelan District, West Shewa Zone, Oromia Regional State. Tropical Plant Research 6(3): 438–451.

Aryal B, Bhattarai BP, Pandey M & Giri A (2017) Carbon sequestration and CO\(_2\) mitigation in a burned ecosystem of Pinus roxburghii forest in Langtang National Park, Nepal. Tropical Plant Research 4(2): 297–306.

Babu KN & Parthasarathy N (2019) Assessment of liana diversity and carbon stock in differently disturbed tropical dry evergreen forests of southern India. Tropical Plant Research 6(1): 74–89.

Badi KH, Elhouri A & Bayoumi AMS (1989). The forests of the Sudan. Forests National Corporation and National Council for Research, Sudan. 235 p.

Bernal & Victoria (1997) Colonial Moral Economy and the Discipline of Development: The Gezira Scheme and

www.tropicalplantresearch.com
Modern Sudan. *Cultural Anthropology* 12(4): 447–479.

Birdsey RA (1992) Carbon Storage and Accumulation in United States Forest Ecosystems, General Technical Report. United States Department of Agriculture and Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station, 59 p.

Chavan BL & Rasal GB (2010) Sequestered Standing Carbon Stock in Selective Tree Species Grown in University Campus at Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India. *International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology* 2: 3003–3007.

Dafa-Alla DM & Abidallha EH (2014) Management of Acacia seyal plantations for charcoal production: Local economies and sustainability. *University of Khartoum Journal of Agricultural Science* 22(2): 208–223.

Eneji IS, Obinna O & Azua E (2014) Sequestration and Carbon Storage Potential of Tropical Forest Reserve and Tree Species Located Within Benue State of Nigeria. *Journal of Geoscience and Environment Protection* 2: 157–166.

Falkowski P, Scholes RJ, Boyle E, Canadell J, Canfield D, Elser J, Gruber N, Hibbard K, Högberg P, Linder S, MacKenzie FT, Moore B, Pedersen T, Rosenthal Y, Seitzinger S, Smetacek V & Steffen W (2000) The Global Carbon Cycle: A Test of Our Knowledge of Earth as a System. *Science* 290(5490): 291–296.

Johnson SE & Abrams MD (2009) Age Class, Longevity and Growth Rate Relationships: Protracted Growth Increases in Old Trees in Eastern United States. *Tree Physiology* 29(11): 1317–1328.

Kuimi TV & Jayakumar S (2012) Methods to Estimate Above-Ground Biomass and Carbon Stock in Natural Forests - A Review. *Journal of Ecosystem and Ecography* 2: 116

Rowntree RA & Nowak DJ (1991) Quantifying the Role of Urban Forests in Removing Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide. *Journal of Arboriculture* 17: 269–275.

Tahoor A, Musavi A & Khan JA (2016) Biomass extraction impact on vegetation community structure in Kaimur wildlife sanctuary, Uttar Pradesh, India. *Tropical Plant Research* 3(1): 142–152.

Vashum KT & Jayakumar S (2012) Methods to Estimate Aboveground Biomass and Carbon Stock in Natural Forest. *Journal of Ecosystem and Ecography* 2: 116.

Vivek P & Parthasarathy N (2015) Diversity and carbon stock assessment of trees and lianas in tropical dry evergreen forest on the Coromandel Coast of India. *Tropical Plant Research* 2(3): 230–239.