Shoulder Arthroscopy in the Beach Chair Position
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Abstract: Arthroscopic shoulder surgery can be performed in both the beach chair and lateral decubitus positions. The beach chair position is a reliable, safe, and effective position to perform nearly all types of shoulder arthroscopic procedures. The advantages of the beach chair position include the ease of setup, limited brachial plexus stress, increased glenohumeral and subacromial visualization, anesthesia flexibility, and the ability to easily convert to an open procedure. This position is most commonly used for rotator cuff repair, subacromial decompression, and superior labrum anterior-to-posterior repair procedures. To perform arthroscopy surgery in the beach chair position successfully, meticulous care during patient positioning and setup must be taken. In this Technical Note, we describe the necessary steps to safely and efficiently prepare patients in the beach chair position for arthroscopic shoulder surgery.

For the vast majority of all shoulder arthroscopic procedures, patients can be positioned in either the beach chair (BC) or the lateral decubitus (LD) position. The literature is controversial with regard to which position is superior for a given procedure, and certainly, there are many advantages and disadvantages when comparing both positions. Regardless of position, proper setup and positioning are of utmost importance to optimize surgical success and patient safety. Ultimately, it is a combination of the surgeon’s training and experience along with the specific intended procedure that will dictate which position the patient is placed in for a given procedure. The BC position was described in the early 1980s as an alternative patient position to address the issue of brachial plexus traction injuries experienced by patients in the LD position. The BC position creates a favorable teaching environment for experienced surgeons to teach and guide surgeons in training. The upright orientation of
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the patient helps trainees easily identify internal and external anatomic landmarks.\textsuperscript{1,5} Another advantage of the BC position is the ability to easily manipulate and rotate the arm in order to access different areas of the shoulder during surgery, as opposed to needing to work around the nuances of the LD traction/weight setup. Such manipulation may allow for greater visualization of the anterior shoulder structures including the subscapularis, the posterosuperior rotator cuff, the subacromial space, and the glenohumeral joint itself. These advantages enhance a surgeon’s ability to perform rotator cuff repairs, work in the subacromial space, and perform superior labrum anterior-to-posterior stabilization.\textsuperscript{4,5} Further, as Skyhar et al.\textsuperscript{4} noted in their study, the ease of converting an arthroscopic procedure to an open procedure in the BC position is noteworthy and efficiently accomplished. Further, the upright position of the BC position gives the surgical and anesthesia team the freedom to choose between regional and general anesthesia for the surgery and allows for an easy transition to the supine position should airway complications arise.\textsuperscript{1,2} In the subsequent section, we describe our technique for BC patient positioning for shoulder arthroscopy. A summary of key steps is provided in Table 1, and a summary of the technique is provided in Video 1.

| Step | Notes |
|------|-------|
| Position the patient properly in supine position | Position the patient to ensure the buttocks will be pressed against the back of the bed |
| Raise the back of the bed to 60° | Several folded surgical towels can be placed medially to the scapula to improve position |
| Shift the patient so the medial scapula is on the edge of the bed | No gap between the patient and the bed |
| Confirm the buttocks’ position against the back of the bed | A variety of methods can be used, but maintenance of a neutral position is vital |
| Place a large pad or several pillows underneath the patient’s legs | Be aware when applying traction to avoid neurologic damage |
| Secure the nonoperative arm to the patient’s abdomen or on an arm board | To provide the surgical team the appropriate amount of space |
| Secure the head and airway accessibility | |
| Position the arm in the proper position using an arm-positioner | |
| Secure the patient’s position with a safety-belt and tape | |
| Rotate the bed 60° to 90° | |

Fig 1. Intraoperative photograph demonstrating the use of sequential compression devices for venous thromboembolism prophylaxis during shoulder arthroscopy in the beach chair position.

Fig 2. Intraoperative photograph demonstrating the patient’s hips positioned near the break of the bed in order to prevent the patient from slipping down the bed as the head of the bed is raised into the beach chair position.
Shoulder arthroscopy in the BC position is performed in the supine position, and can be conducted under general or regional anesthesia. When performing arthroscopic surgery in the BC position, we prefer the use of regional anesthesia with an interscalene block with sedation or with general anesthesia. If general anesthesia is used, the eyes can be protected with a padded face-mask; tape placed over the eyes by the anesthesia team will also protect against corneal abrasions. Sequential compression devices are placed on both lower extremities for venous thromboembolism prophylaxis (Fig 1). After induction of anesthesia, and prior to positioning, an examination under anesthesia (EUA) should be carried out on the operative shoulder, assessing range of motion and stability in all directions.

**Patient Positioning**

After the patient has been transferred to the operating table and following the EUA, a team-based approach is used to ensure the patient is in the appropriate position prior to raising the back of the table up to the BC position. First, one should ensure that the patient is high enough on the table such that when the back of the table is raised, the patient's buttocks will be at the break...
of the bed; this will ensure the lumbar spine is in a favorable position during the procedure (Fig 2). At this point, the back of the bed is lifted to approximately 60° and the patient is shifted so the medial border of their scapula is just at the edge of the bed. Several folded surgical towels can be placed medial to the patient’s scapula to improve the shoulder position. The patient’s buttocks should be confirmed as being firmly against the operating table with no gap between the patient and the operating table. A large pad or several pillows can be placed underneath the patient’s legs. The nonoperative arm can be tucked and secured to the patient’s abdomen or placed on an arm board. Care should be taken to confirm that all bony prominences, particularly on the nonsurgical arm, are well padded.

Next, the head is secured. There are several ways in which the head and neck can be secured in the BC position. Some surgeons use a specialized headrest with foam mask placed over the patient to secure the head and neck in a neutral position (Fig 3). Others use a foam pad (often ripping the bottom ipsilateral corner off of the pad to allow for more working room) under the patient’s head with a surgical towel and tape used to secure the head and neck in a neutral position (Fig 4). The surgical arm is wrapped in a sterile stockinette; and self-adherent wrap (such as Coban; 3M Health Care, St. Paul, MN) can be secured in a commercial arm-holding device (such as the Trimano [Arthrex, Naples FL; Fig 5], or Spider [Smith & Nephew, Andover, MA; Fig 6]), as show in Video 1, or alternatively, can rest freely on a padded Mayo stand. If an arm-positioning device is used, care must be taken when applying traction to the arm in order to avoid neurologic damage.1,5,6 Regardless of the technique used, it is critical to use a team-based approach, with open communication with the anesthesia staff, while securing the patient’s head and neck in a neutral position. This will ensure that the airway remains open and easily accessible, and that the ears and eyes are carefully protected. Once the patient is properly positioned, a safety-belt and tape are applied to secure the patient to the table (Fig 7). In addition, we secure the patient with thick tape both at the level of the thighs and the torso in an effort to maintain the body in a steady position for the duration of the surgical procedure. The operating table is then rotated 60° to 90° to give the surgical team a dedicated workspace, while maintaining appropriate access to the patient for the anesthesia team.

**Portal Placement**

After patient positioning is determined to be adequate, the patient is draped and prepped in standard fashion. Before proceeding further, the surgical team ensures that the proper perioperative arthroscopy fluid is readily available and in stock. The authors typically use an arthroscopy pump system with the pressure initially set to 40 mmHg, with normal saline with 1:1000 epinephrine (typically 1 mL for a 5 L bag of fluid). Next, anticipated portal sites and drawn out using bony landmarks, including the acromion, clavicle, acromio-clavicular joint (ACJ) and coracoid process (Fig 8). In the BC position, the standard posterior portal is typically placed 2 cm inferior and 1 cm medial to the posterolateral corner of the acromion. A standard anterior rotator-interval portal is often created next using needle localization with a spinal needle placed just lateral to the coracoid process under direct visualization. Additional portals may be created to effectively and efficiently complete the surgical procedure.7

**Discussion**

In this Technical Note, we describe a safe, reliable, and reproducible technique for patient positioning in the BC position for shoulder arthroscopy. Shoulder arthroscopy can be performed in either the LD or BC position, with advantages and disadvantages to each
position. Shoulder arthroscopy in the BC position has several advantages over performing similar procedures in the LD position. As mentioned previously, arthroscopy in the BC position provides an excellent teaching environment for surgical trainees, as the upright position of the patient provides an easily recognizable and familiar view of the glenohumeral joint. As opposed to the LD position, this easily conceptualized patient position allows surgical trainees to focus on surgical technique and less on orientating and identifying anatomic landmarks. The ability to easily convert the BC position to the supine position has also been described as an advantage, should any airway complications arise during the procedure. The BC position was initially created to reduce the number of brachial plexus injuries caused by traction in the LD position, with reported injuring rates ranging from 10% to 30%. Rodeo et al. conducted a retrospective study analyzing the rates of neurologic complications following arthroscopy of various joints. Within the shoulder joint, reported rates were very low, between 0 and 30%; the authors also report no incidences of nerve palsy at their institution when placing the patients in the BC position. Advocates of the BC position argue that BC allows for superior visualization of the anterior aspect of the glenohumeral joint, rotator cuff, and subacromial space, all of which facilitate easier rotator cuff repair, subacromial decompression, and capsular release. The BC position also affords the surgical and anesthesia teams the flexibility of choosing either general or regional anesthesia. If necessary, a regionally anesthetized patient can be converted to general anesthesia very quickly given the ease of airway access and ease of converting from the BC to the supine position. The BC position gives a surgeon the flexibility to convert an arthroscopic procedure to an open procedure should the need arise, which may be more challenging in the LD position.

When performing shoulder arthroscopic surgery, there are several risks and complications associated with the BC position that must be discussed. Although there is a reduced risk of traction-based neurologic injury in patients who are placed in the BC position compared with the LD position, patients may be at greater risk of cerebral hypoperfusion when in the BC position, though certainly, this complication is rare. Although the exact etiology of cerebral hypoperfusion is likely multifactorial, the effects of permissive hypotension, a strategy often employed to minimize blood loss and improve visualization, are compounded by the position of the patient’s head relative to the body. Liguori et al. found that the administration of metoprolol is beneficial to the patient by reducing the number of hypotensive and bradycardic events. In order to address the issues of hypotension, the blood pressure cuff should be placed at the level of the heart and not on the calf; if this is not possible, it is critical for the anesthesiologist to interpret calf pressures relative to heart-level pressure in order to avoid iatrogenic cerebral hypoperfusion. Further, to maximize intraoperative visualization while also maintaining an acceptable blood pressure, the patient’s

| Pearls | Pitfalls |
| --- | --- |
| • Slowly raise the head of bed during patient positioning to avoid iatrogenic hypotension | • Hypoglossal nerve injury |
| • Be aware of potential for cerebral hypotension | • Cervical plexus injury |
| • Consider using a beta-blocker (per anesthesia team) | • Vasovagal episode |
| • Consider location of blood pressure cuff placement | • Cerebral ischemia |
| • Position buttocks up against bed to avoid pressure injury | • Hypotension |
| • Avoid excessive ER to prevent brachial plexus strain | • Vertebral artery hypoperfusion |
| • Avoid contralateral elbow flexion beyond 90° → ulnar nerve | • Difficult access to inferior glenoid |
| • Be careful with head position | • Difficult low-anterior portal placement |
| • Know how the head-holder functions | |
| • Place head/neck in neutral to decrease risk of hypovascular incidents | |
| • Ensure proper padding at the knees → peroneal nerve irritation | |
perioperative blood pressure should be approximately 80% of the resting pressure. A summary of advantages and disadvantages of the BC position is provided in Table 2 and a summary of pearls and pitfalls is provided in Table 3.

In summary, the technique, advantages, disadvantages, and risks of the BC position have been described in this Technical Note to help physicians position their patients in the most advantageous position for shoulder arthroscopy.
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