Prevalence and factors associated with unintended pregnancy among adolescent girls and young women in sub-Saharan Africa, a multilevel analysis
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Abstract

Background: Unintended pregnancy predisposes women to unsafe abortion, malnutrition, mental illness, and even death. Though adolescent girls and young women are at higher risk of unintended pregnancy, there is a paucity of evidence in its burden and associated factors in sub-Saharan Africa. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the prevalence and factors associated with unintended pregnancy among adolescent girls and young women in sub-Saharan Africa.

Method: This study was a secondary data analysis of 36 sub-Saharan African countries with a total weighted sample of 17,797 adolescent girls and young women. A multilevel logistic regression model was fitted and, the Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR) with a 95% Confidence Interval (CI) was reported to assess the association between the independent variables and unintended pregnancy in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Result: The pooled prevalence of unintended pregnancy in sub-Saharan Africa was 30.01 with 95% CI (29.38–30.74). In multivariable multilevel logistic regression analysis, adolescent girls, and young women with higher education (AOR = 0.71 95%CI 0.52–0.97), those who know modern contraceptive methods (AOR = 0.86 95%CI 0.75–0.98), and traditional contraceptive methods (AOR = 0.90, 95%CI 0.59–0.95), married (AOR = 0.80, 95%CI 0.73–0.88), those from female-headed households (AOR = 0.86,95%CI 0.78–0.94), had lower odds of unintended pregnancy. Whereas adolescent girls and young women from Central Africa (AOR = 2.09,95%CI 1.23–3.55), southern Africa (AOR = 5.23, 95%CI 2.71–10.09), and Eastern Africa (AOR = 1.07,95%CI 1.07–2.66) had higher odds of unintended pregnancy.

Conclusion: Prevalence of unintended pregnancy in Sub-Saharan Africa is high. Therefore, educating adolescent girls and young women, and improving their knowledge about family planning services is vital. It is also better for the government of countries in sub-Saharan Africa and other global and local stakeholders to work hard to ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive healthcare services, including family planning, education, and the integration of reproductive health into national strategies and programs to reduce unintended pregnancy.
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Background

Unintended pregnancy is a pregnancy that is mistimed, unplanned or unwanted at the time of conception [1]. It is usually an outcome of nonuse, inconsistent use, or incorrect use of effective family planning methods [1, 2]. A recent report from the United Nations sexual and reproductive health agency notified that globally, nearly half of all pregnancies are unintended [3]. Though there has been a reduction in unintended pregnancy globally, low- and middle-income countries are still victims of unintended pregnancy [4, 5]. While the global rate of unintended pregnancies in Europe and North America was 35 per 1000 women aged 15 to 49, in sub-Saharan Africa it was 91 per 1000 women [6]. Similarly, there was a substantial heterogeneity within in sub-Saharan African countries regarding unintended pregnancy [7].

Unintended pregnancy predisposes adolescent girls and young women to several risk factors such as unsafe abortion, maternal death, malnutrition, mental illness and vertical transmission of Human Immuno Virus (HIV) to children, and school dropout [8, 9]. Besides, in sub-Saharan Africa, unintended pregnancy predisposes about 1 in 16 women to psychosocial impacts of morbidity and mortality [10]. It also induces stress, affects women's quality of life, and the economic status of families at large [11, 12].

In previous studies education [13–17], parity [13, 15, 16], place of residence [13, 18], wealth [13, 18], age [14, 15, 18], healthcare decision-making [14], sexual violence [19], knowledge of contraceptive methods [15–17], marital status [16–18, 20, 21], occupation [20], sex of household head [20], birth interval [20], region and family planning message [22] have a significant association with unintended pregnancy.

Though unintended pregnancy occurs at any age women, adolescent girls and young women (15–24 years) are at higher risk of unintended pregnancy [23]. Consequently, the risk of maternal mortality is likely to be higher among this age group as evidenced by pieces of literature [24, 25]. Moreover, women in this age category have higher fertility, higher frequency of sexual intercourse, lower knowledge of contraceptive methods, and higher rates of contraceptive failure relative to older women [26–29]. On the other hand, recent evidence has shown the inequalities and uneven progress in many key sexual health indicators within sub-Saharan Africa which makes adolescent girls and young women to be highly vulnerable to poor sexual health outcomes [30].

Even though they are at higher risk of unintended pregnancy and related complications, there is a paucity of information on the magnitude and its sociodemographic correlates in sub-Saharan Africa. Outside of sexual and reproductive health issues affecting adolescent girls and young women, limited research has been conducted on the broader context of adolescent health in SSA. Thus, a strengthened capacity to generate rigorous scientific evidence to inform policies and programs designed to improve young women's health is needed. Therefore, this study is aimed to assess the prevalence and factors associated with unintended pregnancy in sub-Saharan Africa among adolescent girls and young women by using the most recent Demographic and health survey data from 36 countries.

Methods

Data source

The dataset for this study was obtained from the measure DHS program after permission was granted at http://www.dhsprogram.com. A total of 36 sub-Saharan African countries’ most recent DHS datasets from 2006 to 2019 were used in this study.

Data from the southern region of Africa (Lesotho, Namibia, Swaziland, and South Africa), the central region of Africa (Angola, Democratic Republic Congo, Congo, Cameroon, Chad, Gabon, Sao Tome & Principe), the Eastern region of Africa (Burundi, Comoros, Ethiopia, Kenya, Madagascar, Malawi, Mozambique, Rwanda, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia, and Zimbabwe), western Africa (Burkina-Faso, Benin, Cote d'Ivoire, Ghana, Gambia, Guinea, Liberia, Mali, Nigeria, Niger, Sierra Leone, Senegal, and Togo) was included.

Each country’s survey consists of different datasets including men, women, children, birth, and household datasets. For this study, we used the individual records data set (IR file) where data on women's health is recorded. Demographic and health survey is conducted at the five-year interval and follows a common execution procedure in each country.

A two-stage stratified sampling procedure is adopted to select study participants in each survey. In the first phase, Enumeration Areas (EAs) were selected based on the sampling frame of each respective country. In the second stage, a sample of households is drawn from each EA. Then eligible study participants were interviewed in the selected household. The detail of the sampling procedure has been documented elsewhere [31]. For the current study a total of 17,797 (weighted sample) adolescent girls...
and young women [15–24] having a pregnancy at the time of the interview in 36 sub-Saharan African countries were included (Table 1). During analysis sampling weight was applied using individual sample weights recorded in the data set to produce reliable estimates by adjusting the over and under-sampled regions.

Study variables

**Dependent variable**

The dependent variable for this study was unintended pregnancy. It was measured in such a way, by asking women about their pregnancy to state just when they wanted their pregnancy (then, later, or not at all). Those women responding to the above question as ‘wanted later’ or ‘not wanted at all were considered to have an unintended pregnancy and those who responded by saying ‘wanted then’ were considered to have intended pregnancy. Therefore, unintended pregnancy was coded ‘1’, and intended pregnancy was coded ‘0’ for further statistical analysis.

**Independent variables**

Individual and community-level variables were retrieved from DHS datasets. Age [15–24], educational level (no education, primary, secondary, and higher), marital status (single, married), wealth index (Poorest, Poorer, poor, Richer, and Richest), media exposure (yes, no), heard about family planning from media (yes, no), knowledge of contraceptive methods (no, traditional, modern), distance to health facility (big problem and not a big problem), smoking (yes, no), covered by health insurance (no, yes), sex of household head (male, female) and occupation (not working, working) were individual-level variables. Whereas residence (urban and rural) and SSA region (South Africa, Central Africa, East Africa, and West Africa) were community (country) level variables.

**Statistical analysis**

The descriptive statistics was presented in Table 2. The overall prevalence of unintended pregnancy among adolescent girls and young women in sub-Saharan Africa was presented in Table 2.

### Table 1: Study participants included in the study from four sub-Saharan regions of Africa, 2006–2019

| Region of Africa       | Weighted Sample size | Percent (%) |
|------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Central Region of Africa | 4267                 | 23.98       |
| Southern Region of Africa | 706                  | 3.97        |
| Eastern Region of Africa | 6250                | 35.12       |
| Western Region of Africa | 6574                | 36.94       |
| Total                  | 17,797               | 100         |

### Table 2: Sociodemographic characteristics of study participants in sub-Saharan Africa 2006–2019

| Variables                              | Weighted Frequency | Percent |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Age                                    |                    |         |
| 15–19                                  | 6821               | 38.33   |
| 20–24                                  | 10,976             | 61.67   |
| Educational level                      |                    |         |
| No education                           | 5627               | 31.62   |
| Primary                               | 6597               | 37.07   |
| Secondary                             | 5302               | 29.79   |
| Higher                                | 271                | 1.52    |
| Sex of household head                 |                    |         |
| Male                                   | 14,279             | 80.23   |
| Female                                | 3518               | 19.77   |
| Marital status                         |                    |         |
| Single                                 | 3167               | 17.80   |
| Married                               | 14,630             | 82.20   |
| Wealth status                          |                    |         |
| Poorest                               | 4349               | 24.44   |
| Poorer                                | 4125               | 23.18   |
| Middle                                | 3614               | 20.31   |
| Richer                                 | 3233               | 18.17   |
| Richest                               | 2476               | 13.31   |
| Media exposure                         |                    |         |
| No                                     | 6921               | 38.89   |
| Yes                                    | 10,876             | 61.11   |
| Knowledge of contraceptive methods     |                    |         |
| No                                     | 1480               | 8.32    |
| Traditional                           | 113                | 0.63    |
| Modern                                | 16,204             | 91.05   |
| Smoking                                |                    |         |
| No                                     | 15,956             | 89.66   |
| Yes                                    | 1841               | 10.34   |
| Distance from the health facility      |                    |         |
| Not a big problem                     | 10,849             | 55.82   |
| Big problem                           | 6948               | 39.04   |
| Heard family planning from media       |                    |         |
| No                                     | 11,684             | 65.65   |
| Yes                                    | 6113               | 34.35   |
| Covered by health insurance            |                    |         |
| No                                     | 15,411             | 86.59   |
| Yes                                    | 2386               | 13.41   |
| Occupation                             |                    |         |
| Not working                            | 9198               | 51.68   |
| Working                               | 8599               | 48.32   |
| Residence                              |                    |         |
| Urban                                  | 5249               | 29.49   |
| Rural                                  | 12,548             | 70.51   |
| SSA Region                             |                    |         |
| Southern Africa                        | 706                | 3.97    |
| Central Africa                         | 4267               | 23.98   |
| East Africa                            | 6250               | 35.12   |
| West Africa                            | 6574               | 36.94   |
| Total                                  | 17,797             | 100.00  |
A total of 17,797 adolescent girls and young women from 36 sub-Saharan African countries were included in this study. The median age of study participants was 20 years with an interquartile range of 4. The majority (70.51%) of the study participants were rural residents. About three-fourths of (80.20%) of study participants were married. Nearly 24% of study participants were from households with the poorest wealth category. About 91% of study participants knew the modern contraceptive method and two-thirds (65.65) of study participants didn’t hear about family planning from the media. Regarding the region of residence majority (36.94) of respondents were from the western part of the Sub-Saharan African region (Table 2).

**The pooled prevalence of unintended pregnancy**

The overall prevalence of unintended pregnancy among adolescent girls and young women in sub-Saharan Africa was 30.01 with a 95% CI (29.38–30.74). The highest magnitude was observed in Southern Africa (60.01%) and the lowest in Eastern Africa (20.39%) region (Fig. 1).

**Random parameter estimation**

The null model indicated that there was a significant clustering of unintended pregnancy across all 36 countries SSA (country/community variance =0.56, \( p\)-valu<0.001). The intracluster correlation coefficient (ICC) ranges from 19.59% null model to 6.9% in the final model (model IV). The Proportional Change in Variance (PCV) also increases from 20.01% from model II to 44.64% in model IV (a model adjusted for individual and community level variables), which indicates 44.64% of the community variance observed in the null model was explained by both individual and community-level factors in full model (model IV). Besides, model fitness was checked using deviance and the model with the lowest deviance (model IV) was the best-fitted model. The variance inflation factor (VIF) is 1.39 which is lower than the recommended cut-off point indicating the absence of a significant correlation between independent variables (Table 3).
Factors associated with unintended pregnancy

In multilevel logistic regression analysis, marital status, educational status, sex of household head, and knowledge of contraceptive method from individual-level variables and sub-Saharan African region from community-level factors were significantly associated with unintended pregnancy.

The odds of unintended pregnancy was decreased by 10% (AOR = 0.90, 95%CI 0.59–0.95) and 14% (AOR = 0.86 95%CI 0.75–0.98) among adolescent girls and young women who know traditional and modern contraceptive methods respectively as compared to women who didn’t know any method. Married women had 20% (AOR = 0.80, 95%CI 0.73–0.88) decreased odds of unintended pregnancy as compared to single women.

The likelihood of unintended pregnancy among adolescent girls and young women from female-headed households was decreased by 14% (AOR = 0.86,95%CI 0.78–0.94) as compared to male-headed households. Adolescent girls and young women with higher educational levels had 29% (AOR = 0.71 95%CI 0.52–0.97) decreased odds of unintended pregnancy as compared to women with no formal education.

Looking at community-level variables, adolescent girls and young women from Central Africa, southern Africa, and Eastern Africa had 2.09 (AOR = 2.09,95%CI 1.23–3.55), 5.23 (AOR = 5.23, 95%CI 2.71–10.09) and 1.07 (AOR = 1.07,95%CI 1.07–2.66) respectively times higher odds of unintended pregnancy as compared to women in Western Africa (Table 4).

Discussion

This study investigated the prevalence and correlates of unintended pregnancy among adolescent girls and young women in sub-Saharan Africa with data from the most recent Demographic and Health Surveys of 36 Sub-Saharan countries. The estimated prevalence of unintended pregnancy was 30.10% with a 95% CI (29.38–30.74). This estimate is higher than the previous pieces of evidence in India (16.9%) [32], Nepal (22.7%) [19], Sri Lanka (17.2%) [16], and South Asian countries (19.1%) [18]. However, it is lower than the prevalence in Pakistan (38.2%) [15], Kenya (41%) [21], and Uganda (37%) [22]. This difference could be attributed to the variation in intervention to reduce the unmet need for contraception, and unintended pregnancies among women which are critical components of family planning programs in developing countries [33].

The odds of unintended pregnancy among adolescent girls and young women who know contraceptive methods (traditional and modern) decreased as compared to those who didn’t know any methods. This finding is in line with studies in Pakistan [15] and Sri Lanka [16]. Besides, Studies in Bangladesh and, Nepal reported that poor contraceptive knowledge was significantly associated with unplanned pregnancy [34, 35]. Since contraceptive awareness is directly related to its utilization [36–38], women with knowledge of any contraceptive method may have better utilization of family planning methods which help to avoid unintended pregnancy. Thus, it is essential to implement initiatives to improve community knowledge about contraceptive methods with a primary focus on adolescent girls and young women.

This study revealed that education was an important predictor of unintended pregnancy where the odds of unintended pregnancy was decreased among women with higher education. This finding is consistent with a study in Ghana [13, 14], Pakistan [15], and Sri Lanka [16]. The documented pieces of evidence indicated that literate women have a better understanding of their rights and responsibilities and have more freedom, control, and participation in decisions primarily focusing on contraception use and family planning [39–42]. On the contrary, a study in Nigeria found that better-educated women had higher odds of reported unplanned pregnancies [43].

Consistent with previous pieces of literature [16, 18, 20] married women had lower odds of unintended pregnancy as compared to single ones. This may reflect that a more

### Table 3  Random effects, model fitness, and multicollinearity

| Random effects | Model I (null) | Model II | Model III | Model IV |
|----------------|----------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Community level variance (SE) | 0.56 (0.13) | 0.45(0.12) | 0.31 (0.07) | 0.31 (0.07) |
| ICC (%) | 14.59 | 14.34 | 8.80 | 6.90 |
| PCV (%) | 1.00 | 20.01 | 44.64 | 44.64 |
| Model fitness | LLR (Log-likelihood Ratio) | −10,133.49 | −10,107.14 | −10,123.44 | −10,097.17 |
| | Deviance (−2LL) | 20,266.98 | 20,214.28 | 20,246.88 | 20,194.34 |
| Multicollinearity | VIF | – | – | 1.39 |

ICC intraclass correlation coefficient, PCV proportional change in variance, 1.00 reference, VIF variance inflation factor
### Table 4  Multilevel analysis of determinants of unintended pregnancy in sub-Saharan Africa 2006–2019

| Variables                        | Model I (Null) | Model II AOR 95%CI | Model III AOR 95%CI | Model IV AOR 95%CI |
|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| **Individual-level variables**   |                |                    |                     |                    |
| Age                              |                |                    |                     |                    |
| 15–19                            | –              | 1.00               | –                   | 1.00               |
| 20–24                            | –              | 0.96 (0.89–1.03)   | –                   | 0.96 (0.89–1.03)   |
| Educational level                |                |                    |                     |                    |
| No education                     | –              | 1.00               | –                   | 1.00               |
| Primary                          | –              | 1.04 (0.94–1.14)   | –                   | 1.86 (0.97–1.15)   |
| Secondary                        | –              | 1.08 (0.91–1.26)   | –                   | 1.07 (0.97–1.18)   |
| Higher                           | –              | 0.72 (0.52–0.99)   | –                   | 0.71 (0.52–0.97)   |
| Sex of household head            |                |                    |                     |                    |
| Male                             |                | 1.00               | –                   | 1.00               |
| Female                           |                | 0.85 (0.78–0.94)   | –                   | 0.86 (0.78–0.94)   |
| Marital status                   |                |                    |                     |                    |
| Single                           | –              | 1.00               | –                   | 1.00               |
| Married                          | –              | 0.84 (0.72–0.99)   | –                   | 0.80 (0.73–0.88)   |
| Wealth status                    |                |                    |                     |                    |
| Poorest                          | –              | 1.00               | –                   | 1.00               |
| Poorer                           | –              | 1.05 (0.95–1.16)   | –                   | 1.05 (0.95–1.16)   |
| Middle                           | –              | 1.01 (0.91–1.13)   | –                   | 1.03 (0.92–1.13)   |
| Richer                           | –              | 1.03 (0.92–1.15)   | –                   | 1.04 (0.93–1.17)   |
| Richest                          | –              | 1.10 (0.97–1.25)   | –                   | 1.12 (0.98–1.29)   |
| Media exposure                   |                |                    |                     |                    |
| No                               | –              | 1.00               | –                   | 1.00               |
| Yes                              | –              | 1.06 (0.98–1.15)   | –                   | 1.06 (0.98–1.15)   |
| Knowledge of contraceptive       |                |                    |                     |                    |
| No                               | –              | 1.00               | –                   | 1.00               |
| Traditional                      | –              | 0.91 (0.51–1.41)   | –                   | 0.90 (0.59–0.95)   |
| modern                           |                | 0.86 (0.75–0.98)   | –                   | 0.86 (0.75–0.98)   |
| Smoking                          |                |                    |                     |                    |
| No                               | –              | 1.00               | –                   | 1.00               |
| Yes                              | –              | 1.03 (0.89–1.20)   | –                   | 1.03 (0.99–1.08)   |
| Distance from health facility    |                |                    |                     |                    |
| Not a big problem                | –              | 0.97 (0.90–1.05)   | –                   | 0.97 (0.91–1.05)   |
| Big problem                      | –              | 1.00               | –                   | 1.00               |
| Heard family planning from media |                |                    |                     |                    |
| No                               | –              | 1.00               | –                   | 1.00               |
| Yes                              |                | 0.97 (0.91–1.05)   | –                   | 0.97 (0.96–1.05)   |
| Covered by health insurance      |                |                    |                     |                    |
| No                               | –              | 1.00               | –                   | 1.00               |
| Yes                              |                | 0.91 (0.80–1.04)   | –                   | 0.91 (0.80–1.04)   |
| Occupation                       |                |                    |                     |                    |
| Not working                      | –              | 1.00               | –                   | 1.00               |
| working                          |                | 0.98 (0.91–1.05)   | –                   | 0.98 (0.91–1.05)   |
| Community-level variables        |                |                    |                     |                    |
| Residence                        |                |                    |                     |                    |
| Urban                            | –              | 1.00               | –                   | 1.00               |
| Rural                            | –              | 0.98 (0.91–1.05)   | –                   | 1.02 (0.94–1.12)   |
| SSA Region                       |                |                    |                     |                    |
| Central Africa                   | –              | 2.09 (1.23–3.56)   | –                   | 2.09 (1.23–3.55)   |
| Southern Africa                  | –              | 5.18 (2.69–9.99)   | –                   | 5.23 (2.71–10.09)   |
| East Africa                      | –              | 1.68 (1.07–2.64)   | –                   | 1.07 (1.07–2.66)   |
| West Africa                      | –              | 1.00               | –                   | 1.00               |

*a* significant at alpha <= 0.05  
*b* significant at alpha <= 0.01  
*c* significant at alpha <= 0.001
stable relationship between the couples might have encouraged them to have better decision-making and utilization of family planning methods and timing of conception [44].

Similarly, the odds of unintended pregnancy among adolescent girls and young women from female-headed households was lower as compared to those of male-headed households. This might be due to shared family planning decisions in those female-headed households [20] since the women’s capacity of making reproductive health decisions has a significant effect on unintended pregnancy in the previous study [14]. Besides, the evidence shows that husband-only or wife-only decision-making is associated with a higher risk for women in having both unmet needs for contraception and unintended pregnancy [45]. The region was also a significant predictor of unintended pregnancy in sub-Saharan Africa. Those adolescent girls and young women from central, southern, and eastern Africa had higher odds of unintended pregnancy as compared to those from the western region. This might have happened due to the geographic variation of reproductive health services like contraceptive practice in the region since SSA’s family planning situation remains challenged by weak health systems [46]. For instance, in eastern and southern Africa adolescent sexual and reproductive health services require much promotion to ensure explicit understanding in the community by overcoming diverse cultural confrontations [47]. On the other hand, a recent study has shown that adolescent health services including family planning are increasingly available in West Africa [48].

In general, a closer look at sub-Saharan Africa shows how far behind African countries are in preventing these unplanned pregnancies. The relationship between social and economic development and unintended pregnancy goes both ways. When women and girls are not empowered or lack autonomy, they are less likely to use contraceptives, and then they are prone to unintended pregnancy.

This study has strengths and limitations. It is a pooled data analysis that yields a high sample size and then high power. The other strength is the study considers the clustering effect by applying an advanced model to get reliable standard error and estimates. However, it has the following limitations. Due to the cross-sectional study design, it is difficult to establish the temporal relationship between the predictors and the outcome variable. Besides, there could be a possibility of social desirability bias when women report whether their pregnancy was intended or not.

**Conclusion**

Unintended pregnancy is a public health problem in sub-Saharan Africa. Educational status, knowledge of contraceptive methods, marital status, sex of household head, and sub-Saharan African region had a significant statistical association with unintended pregnancy.

Therefore, focusing on educating adolescent girls and young women, and improving their knowledge about family planning services through youth-friendly services is vital to reduce unintended pregnancy in sub-Saharan Africa. Moreover, the findings in this study have valuable input to policymakers to reframe their focus on strengthening women’s empowerment in decision-making through education, and in providing youth-friendly services for adolescent girls and young women. In general, the government of countries in sub-Saharan Africa and other global and local stakeholders should work hard on achieving target 3.7 of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) which states: “by 2030, to ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health-care services, including for family planning, information and education, and the integration of reproductive health into national strategies and programs” [49].
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