Efficacy of non-surgical interventions for promoting improved functional outcomes following acute compartment syndrome: A systematic review
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Abstract

Background

Acute compartment syndrome (ACS) is a devastating complication which develops following a traumatic extremity injury that results in increased pressure within osteofascial compartments, thereby leading to ischemia, muscle and nerve necrosis, and creates a life-threatening condition if left untreated. Fasciotomy is the only available standard surgical intervention for ACS. Following fasciotomy the affected extremity is plagued by prolonged impairments in function. As such, an unmet clinical need exists for adjunct, non-surgical therapies which can facilitate accelerated functional recovery following ACS. Thus, the purpose of this systematic review was to examine the state of the literature for non-surgical interventions that aim to improve muscle contractile functional recovery of the affected limb following ACS.

Methods

English language manuscripts which evaluated non-surgical interventions for ACS, namely those which evaluated the function of the affected extremity, were identified as per PRISMA protocols via searches within three databases from inception to February 2022. Qualitative narrative data synthesis was performed including: study characteristics, type of interventions, quality, and outcomes. Risk of bias (RoB) was assessed using the Systematic Review Centre for Laboratory Animal Experimentation’s (SYRCLE) RoB tool and reported level of evidence for each article.

Results

Upon review of all initially identified reports, 29 studies were found to be eligible and included. 23 distinct non-surgical interventions were found to facilitate improved muscle contractile recovery in the affected limb following ACS.
contractile function following ACS. Out of 29 studies, 15 studies which evaluated chemical and biological interventions, showed large effect sizes for muscle function improvement.

**Conclusions**

This systematic review demonstrated that the majority of identified non-surgical interventions facilitated an improvement in muscle contractile function following pathological conditions of ACS.

---

**Introduction**

The majority of combat-related injuries within the military population are in the lower extremities [1–3]. Acute compartment syndrome (ACS) within the extremities is a devastating condition that can develop in conjunction with long bone fractures, crush injury, gunshot wounds, burns, contusions, over-exertion, or prolonged limb compressions [4, 5]. ACS is also seen in civilian populations who are involved in fire arm assaults, motorcycle crash, and pedestrian struck by automobile injuries [6]. ACS occurs when the pressure within a closed compartment space surpasses a critical threshold (perfusion pressure of 30 mmHg or less) and compromises circulation/perfusion subsequently leading to ischemia [7–10]. At the biochemical level, reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated within the ischemic tissues activate a neutrophil response via arachidonic acid inflammatory pathways [11] that ultimately leads to intracellular swelling/edema, vascular and nerve damage, and deep muscle pain at the affected tissue site. Moreover, this inflammatory response further accelerates the release of cytotoxic enzymes and excess ROS whereby an additional cascade of cellular damage is triggered. Under these conditions, skeletal muscle, specifically Type II muscle fibers, are susceptible to severe damage leading to myonecrosis and a loss of function with a prolonged/delayed recovery [12]. As such, while loss of function presents a threat of limb loss, release of cellular contents from myonecrosis in conjunction with complement activation, and pro-inflammatory cytokines accelerate the systemic inflammation which can lead to remote organ damage [13–15] and contribute to multi-organ failure, or even death if left untreated [11, 16–20]. Therefore, it is essential to relieve pressure, reduce ischemia, and restore blood circulation to salvage ischemic tissues shortly after diagnosis to limit the primary and secondary impacts of the condition.

Fasciotomy is the only standard surgical intervention available to treat ACS. Surgical treatment of ACS by fasciotomy restores perfusion within the injured compartment with immediate relief; however, in-so-doing, this procedure further contributes to an additional reperfusion injury due to the release of pro-inflammatory immune cells and by-products of muscle tissue damage [7] and a further increase in oxidative stress. In other words, reperfusion injury is multifactorial and causes extensive tissue destruction involving generation of ROS, calcium overload, capillary leakage/endothelial dysfunction, the opening of the mitochondrial permeability transition pore, thus impairing ATP production, activation of inflammatory and pro-thrombogenic cascades, mechanically and metabolically induced cytoskeletal damage. Ultimately, ACS and the associated ischemia reperfusion (I/R) injury elicits a deleterious impact on the muscle contractile functionality of the affected limb. There exists an opportunity for the development of adjunct, non-surgical therapeutics which aim to facilitate improved functional outcomes by increasing the rate of healing and reducing the overall recovery time for those afflicted with ACS.
Given the lack of clinically available non-surgical treatments for ACS, it is imperative to better understand the existing literature, across the translational research continuum, to this end. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to perform a systematic review of the ACS literature to identify all non-surgical therapeutics which aim to promote improved functional outcomes that have been investigated as a means of providing a reference for subsequent use in the development of next generation technologies. While the initial literature search conducted to this end resulted in no articles recapitulating trauma-induced ACS with outcomes on muscle function in extremities, evaluation of articles which examined interventions on tissue I/R injuries were used as a means for identifying therapeutic candidates which might address the primary aspects of the pathophysiology of ACS in extremity trauma.

**Methods**

**Search strategy**
A systematic review of the literature was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) protocols [21]. This systematic review was not registered and a review protocol paper was not submitted. A comprehensive search of several databases (PubMed, Web of Science and Embase) was conducted by one author (N.B.J) from inception to Feb 3rd, 2022 using the following key word strategy: 
("Trauma" OR "penetrating" OR "injury" OR "wound") AND ("extremity" OR “limb” OR “arm” OR “leg”) AND ("acute compartment syndrome" OR "compartment syndrome" OR "crush syndrome" OR "intracompartmental pressures" AND "edema" OR "ischemia" OR "ischemia" OR "reperfusion") AND ("therapy" OR "drug" OR "intervention" OR "treatment" OR "pharmacology" OR "medicine" OR “therapeutic” OR “small molecule” OR “biologic”) AND ("muscle function" OR "muscle strength" OR "neuromuscular strength").

**Selection criteria**
Covidence software was used for primary screening and data extraction. The articles were reviewed in an un-blinded, standardized method. Two independent reviewers (NBJ and JMM) reviewed the title and abstract of all studies for eligibility and subsequently screened the full texts of those included. Discrepancies were resolved by a third reviewer (SMG). To be included in the analyses, each article was screened for all of the following criteria: 1) original studies containing primary data about non-surgical interventions for traumatic ACS of extremities, 2) utilization of a skeletal muscle functional outcome measure, 3) availability of the full text of the article, 4) reported sample size, measured variance as standard deviation (SD) of the mean or standard error of the mean (SEM) 5) reporting of the type of intervention, and 6) duration of treatment. The following exclusion criteria were applied: 1) non-traumatic, chronic, exer-tional, and/or pathological forms of compartment syndrome, vascular ACS and abdominal ACS, 2) studies including patients below 18 years of age, 3) biomechanical studies, ex-vivo/in-vitro studies, 4) non-primary data reports (e.g., technical reports, reviews, commentaries, conference abstracts and case-reports), 5) studies in languages other than English, 6) studies with no functional analysis of the affected musculature, and 7) non-traumatic healthy patients study.

**Extraction of data**

**Clinical studies**
No eligible clinical studies were found.
Preclinical studies

The following details from included studies were extracted by two independent reviewers (NBJ and JMM): 1) publication year and the name of the first author, 2) the characteristics of the in-vivo model including sample size, species, sex, weight, and age, 3) anesthesia methods 4) symptoms such as edema, inflammation, and loss of function, 5) information related to treatment group(s), including type of therapeutic agent (e.g., biological or chemical), therapeutic dosage, method of administration, duration of treatment, and therapeutic agent alone or combined with another material and the same information of control group, 6) types of outcome measures evaluated, such as inflammation, edema, and muscle strength/function, and 7) group sizes, mean value and SD or SEM of outcomes. If outcomes were performed at different time points, only data from the final test was extracted for use herein. If the experimental group of animals received various doses of the drug therapy, all the data of various doses of the drug was extracted for use herein. Muscle function data was extracted from each experimental and control group of every study. Data was extracted from published figures (for unreported actual data values) using Web Plot Digitizer, version 4.5 (https://automeris.io/WebPlotDigitizer). The effect size with 95% CI was for the muscle functional outcome measure was calculated for each individual study.

Study quality and risk of bias of the studies

Two independent reviewers (NBJ and JMM) utilized the Systematic Review Centre for Laboratory Animal Experimentation’s (SYRCLE) risk of bias (RoB) tool to assess the risk of bias [22] via the following criteria: 1) peer reviewed publication, 2) control of temperature and lighting for animal housing, 3) random allocation of animals to treatment or control groups, 4) timing of disease induction before/after randomization (ischemia/trauma), 5) random selection of animals for outcome assessment, 6) blinded assessment of outcome, 7) use of anesthetic without significant effects on the skeletal muscle injury(force production, 8) animal model (aged, young, sex, weight), 9) sample size calculation, 10) free of selective outcome reporting (i.e., if all the pre-specified primary and secondary outcomes are reported), 11) compliance with animal welfare regulations, and 12) statement of potential conflict of interests. Study quality is rated as yes scored 1, and studies rated as no or unsure scored 0. Each study quality was given overall quality of evidence scores. Lower total scores indicate a higher risk of bias.

Data analysis and synthesis

A qualitative narrative data synthesis of included studies was conducted. Study characteristics, type of interventions, quality, and outcomes were reported according to a standard format and similarities and differences compared across studies.

Results

1. Study selection

Database searches yielded 608 titles with potential relevance (Fig 1). After excluding 62 duplicate studies, 546 studies were screened for titles and abstracts, of which 466 studies did not meet the inclusion criteria leaving 80 studies eligible for full text review (Fig 1). After reviewing the full text, an additional 51 studies were excluded due to the following reasons: no therapeutic investigated (n = 15), no full text available (n = 15), irrelevant study design/studies (e.g. prophylactic or other forms of compartments syndrome that are not traumatic ACS of the extremities) (n = 8), no evaluation of muscle function (n = 6), article not in English (n = 3),
case report (n = 3), or a review article (n = 1). Subsequently, a total of 29 studies met the criteria for inclusion (See Table 1).

2. Study characteristics

**General information.** All 29 articles used preclinical animals for experimentation. All studies had an appropriate control arm for the intervention used. 18 studies used rats in their experimental design. Of these 18 studies, nine articles used Sprague-Dawley rats [23–31], one used Wistar albino rats [32], seven studies used Lewis rats [33–39], and one study used Fisher rats [40]. Five of the 29 articles used mice in their experimental design. Of these five studies, two studies used Friend Virus B (FVB) mice [41, 42] and three studies used C57BL/6 mice [43–45]. Three of the 29 articles used New Zealand white rabbits [46] and one article used dogs in their experimental designs [47]. 21 studies used male animals [23–30, 32–40, 45, 46, 48, 49], five studies used female animals [31, 41, 44, 47, 50], and one study used animals of both sexes [43]. Two studies did not report the gender of the animals [42, 51] (See Table 1).

**Animal model.** Ischemia and I/R models were used for all the included studies (Table 1). These studies used either elastic non-pneumatic tourniquet [26, 27, 29, 32, 40, 49], pneumatic tourniquet [23, 31, 33, 34, 41–43], atraumatic clamps [24, 25, 28, 46–48, 51], or ligation methods [30, 39, 44, 45] to produce ischemia in the hind limbs. Specifically, four of the studies amputated the thigh sparing femoral vessels, later femoral vessels were occluded to avoid venous congestion and create ischemia [35–38]. One study clamped only the femoral artery sparing femoral vein [47]. One study used combined hemorrhage (HEM) and tourniquet-induced limb I/R.

To induce anesthesia, ten studies used isoflurane [23, 28, 33, 34, 39, 41–45], seven studies used nembutal [24, 25, 35–38, 50], four studies used ketamine and xylazine [29, 31, 32, 40],
| First Author | Year of publication | Species | Sex | I/R method | Anesthesia | Type of intervention | Name of intervention | Route of administration | Duration of treatment | Termination of experiment | Ref |
|--------------|---------------------|---------|-----|------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----|
| Aurora, A.   | 2018                | Sprague-Dawley-Rat | Male | Pneumatic tourniquet | Isoflurane | Biological (fresh whole blood (FWB)) | FWB- (Group- TK and HEM with FWB resuscitation) | Intravenous infusion | 60 mins  | 28 days                  | [23] |
| Bagis, Z.    | 2018                | Wistar albino-Rat  | Male | Elastic non-pneumatic tourniquet | Ketamin hydrochloride and xylazin hydrochloride | Synthetic chemical | IL- iloprost | Intraperitoneal infusion | 10 mins infusion | After 4 and 8 hrs | [32] |
| Chen, L. E.  | 1998                | Sprague-Dawley-Rat | Male | Atraumatic clamps | Nembutal | Synthetic chemical | S-nitroso-N-acetylcysteine (SNAC) | Intravenous infusion | 6.5 hrs | after 6.5 hrs | [24] |
| Chen, L. E.  | 1996                | Sprague-Dawley-Rat | Male | Atraumatic clamps | Nembutal | Synthetic chemical | Dexamethasone | Intramuscular | One time injection | after 27 hrs | [25] |
| Chen, X. K   | 2011                | Lewis-Rat          | Male | Pneumatic tourniquet | Isoflurane | Biological | MuscK progenitor cells-infected with lentiviruses | Intramuscular | One time injection | After 14 days | [33] |
| Corona, B. T.| 2014                | FVB-Mouse          | Female | Pneumatic tourniquet | Isoflurane | Biological | Lin-BMCs | Intravenous | One time injection | after 16 days | [41] |
| Corona, B. T.| 2013                | FVB-Mouse          | No mention | Pneumatic tourniquet | Isoflurane | Biological | Lin-BMCs | Intramuscular | One time injection | After 16 days | [42] |
| Demirel, M   | 2013                | Fisher-Rat         | Male | Elastic non-pneumatic tourniquet | Ketamine and Xylazine | Chemical | L-carnitine | Intraperitoneal | Daily-7 days | After 7 days | [40] |
| Dillon, J. P.| 2008                | Sprague-Dawley-Rat | Male | Elastic non-pneumatic tourniquet | Thiopentone Sodium | Chemical | Hypertonic saline (7.5% NaCl) | Intravenous | 15 minutes | 14.5 hrs | [26] |
| Dillon, J. P.| 2006                | Sprague-Dawley-Rat | Male | Elastic non-pneumatic tourniquet | Thiopentone Sodium | Chemical | Pravastatin | Gavage | Daily-5 days | 5 days | [27] |
| Dyer, S.E.   | 2022                | Lewis-Rat          | Male | Pneumatic tourniquet | Isoflurane | Chemical and biological | Sodium percarbonate and catalase | Intramuscular | One time injection | 28 days | [34] |
| Feller, A. M | 1989                | NZ-Rabbits         | Male | Atraumatic clamps | Ketamine hcl and acepromazine maleate and general anesthesia by 1% halothane, 50% oxygen and 49% nitrous oxide by mask. | Biological and chemical | SOD & DMSO | Intravenous | One time injection | After 5 and 8 hrs | [48] |
| Frey, S. P.  | 2019                | NZ-Rabbits         | No mention | Atraumatic clamps | General Anesthesia | Biological | CYR61 | collagen matrix coated with CYR61- placed at site of osteotomy | One time injection | 40 days | [51] |

(Continued)
Table 1. (Continued)

| First Author | Year of publication | Species | Sex | I/R method | Anesthesia | Type of intervention | Name of intervention | Route of administration | Duration of treatment | Termination of experiment | Ref |
|--------------|---------------------|---------|-----|------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----|
| Hirose, J.   | 1997                | Lewis Rat | Male | Amputated the thigh sparing femoral vessels, later femoral vessels were occluded to avoid venous congestion | Sodium Pentobarbital | Synthetic chemical | L-ascorbic acid 2-[3,4-dihydro-2,5,7,8-tetrameth-1-2-(4,8,12-trimethyltridecyl)-2H-1-benzopyran-6-yl hydrogen phosphate] potassium salt (EPC-K1) | Intravenous | One time injection | 24 hrs | [35] |
| Hirose, J.   | 2001                | Lewis Rat | Male | Amputated the thigh sparing femoral vessels, later femoral vessels were occluded to avoid venous congestion | Sodium Pentobarbital and Pentobarbital | Chemical | L-ascorbic acid 2-[3,4-dihydro-2,5,7,8-tetramethyl-2-(4,8,12-trimethyltridecyl)-2H-1-benzopyran-6-yl hydrogen phosphate] potassium salt (EPC-K1) | Intravenous | One time injection | 24 hrs | [36] |
| Hoch, J. R   | 1991                | Canine | Female | Atraumatic clamps | Acepromazine, Atropine, and Halothane | Biological and chemical | Superoxide dismutase and mannitol | intra-arterially +infusion | One time bolus +60 min infusion | 1 hr | [47] |
| Ikebe, K.    | 2001                | Lewis Rat | Male | Amputated the thigh sparing femoral vessels, later femoral vessels were occluded to avoid venous congestion | Sodium Pentobarbital | Chemical | L-NMMA | Intravenous infusion | 2 hrs | After 24 hrs | [37] |
| Ikebe, K.    | 2002                | Lewis Rat | Male | Amputated the thigh sparing femoral vessels, later femoral vessels were occluded to avoid venous congestion | Sodium Pentobarbital | Chemical | L-NMMA; L-NMMA + S-nitrosoglutathione | Intravenous infusion | 2 hrs | After 24 hrs | [38] |
| Kingston, R. | 2005                | Sprague-Dawley Rat | Male | Atraumatic clamps | Isoflurane | Chemical | Taurine | Intravenous | One time injection | After 4 hrs 30 mins | [28] |

(Continued)
| First Author | Year of publication | Species | Sex | I/R method | Anesthesia | Type of intervention | Name of intervention | Route of administration | Duration of treatment | Termination of experiment | Ref |
|--------------|---------------------|---------|-----|------------|------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----|
| Mohler, L. R. | 1996                | NZW-Rabbit | Male | Elastic non-pneumatic tourniquet | Ketamine + Xylazine + Acepromazine | Chemical | U74006F (tirilazad mesylate, 21-[4-(2,6-di-1-pyrrolidinyl)-4-pyrimidinyl]-1-piperazinyl]-16m-methyl-pregna-1,4,9 (11)-triene-3,20 diene methane-sulphonate | Intravenous | 3 doses every 2 hrs | 2 days | [49] |
| Morgan, R. A  | 1993                | DOG/Canines | Female | Pneumatic tourniquet | Sodium Pentobarbital | Chemical | ATP/MgCl2 | Intravenous-infusion | 3 hrs | 7 hrs | [50] |
| Pekoglu, E    | 2020                | Sprague-Dawley Rat | Male | Elastic non-pneumatic tourniquet | Ketamine HCL + Xylazine | Synthetic chemical | trans-cinnamaldehyde (TCA) | Gavage | 3 times with an 8 hr interval | After 24 hrs | [29] |
| Quinones-Baklrich, W. J. | 1991 | NZW-Rabbits | Male | Atraumatic clamps | Ketamine and Thorazine | Pump Controlled reperfusion | Controlled reperfusion | Intravenous | 30 mins | 7 hrs | [46] |
| Ricles, L. M. | 2016                | Lewis Rat | Male | Ligation methods | Isoflurane infused with oxygen | Biological | MSCs + PEGylated fibrin gel | Intramuscular | One time injection | After 7 and 14 days | [39] |
| Rybalko, V.   | 2015                | C57BL/6 Mouse | F & M | Pneumatic tourniquet | Isoflurane | Biological | in vitro polarized M1macrophages | Intramuscular | One time injection | after 14 days | [43] |
| Rybalko, V.   | 2017                | C57BL/6 Mouse | Female | Ligation methods | Isoflurane | Biological | mMPs | Intramuscular | One time injection | After 21 days | [44] |
| Stahl, D.     | 2012                | Sprague-Dawley Rat | Male | Ligation methods | Urethane | Temperature effects and L-arginine | L-arginine at Warm I/R | NA | 0.5-ml increments over 5-minute intervals for 1 hr | After 2 hrs | [30] |
| Wang, Y.      | 2021                | C57BL/6 Mouse | Ligation methods | Isoflurane | Biological (Exosomes) | NC-Exo, or si-cPWWP2A (si-Exo) | Intramuscular | One time injection | 28 days | [45] |
| Wilson, J. S. | 2003                | Sprague-Dawley Rat | Female | Pneumatic tourniquet application | Ketamine and Xylazine | Chemical | Dichloroacetate (DCA) | Intravenous | One time injection | After 1 hr | [31] |
two studies from the same group used thiopentone sodium [26, 27], one study used ketamine, xylazine, and acepromazine [49], one study used ketamine and thorazine [46], one study used ketamine, acepromazine maleate, and general anesthesia by 1% halothane, 50% oxygen, and 49% nitrous oxide by mask [48], one study used acepromazine, atropine, and halothane [47], one study used unspecified general anesthesia [51], and the remaining one study used urethane [30] (see Table 1).

Experimental timelines and parameters of therapeutic strategy. Tables 1 and 2 examines a wide range of interventions including chemicals, biologicals, and others factors such as temperature effects and controlled reperfusions. Out of 29 studies, 16 used chemical interventions [24–29, 31, 32, 34–38, 40, 49, 50], nine used biological interventions [23, 33, 39, 41–45, 51], three tested both biological and chemical interventions [34, 47, 48], one study tested temperature effects and also arginine chemical [30], and one tested the effect of controlled reperfusions [46]. Chemical interventions included: iloprost-(IL), S-nitroso-N-acetylcysteine (SNAC), Dexamethasone, L-carnitine, Hypertonic saline (7.5% NaCl), Pravastatin, Sodium percarbonate, Superoxide dismutase (SOD), L-ascorbic acid 2-[3,4-dihydro-2,5,7,8-tetramethyl-2-(4,8,12-trimethyltridecyl)-2H-1-benzopyran-6-yl hydrogen phosphate] potassium salt (EPC-K1), L-NMMA, L-NMMA + S-nitrosoglutathione, Taurine, U74006F (tirilazad mesylate,21-[4-(2,6-di-1-pyrrolidinyl-4-pyrimidinyl)-1-piperazinyl]16m-methyl-pregna-,4,9(11)-triene-3,20 dione methane-sulfonate, ATP/MgCl2, trans-cinnamaldehyde (TCA), Dichloroacacetate (DCA), L-arginine, and mannitol. Biological interventions included: CYR61, bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) in PEGylated fibrin hydrogel, LPS/IFN-γ polarized macrophages, Lin-BMCs, catalase, mMPs (IFN-gamma polarized mouse bone
| First Author | Year of publication | Name of intervention | General details | Inflammation | Oedema | Isometric Torque |
|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------|---------|-----------------|
|             |                     |                      |                | Method used to test inflammation | Time point oedema analysed | % difference Control Vs Treated | P value | Muscle tested | Muscle function method | Isometric torque tested at Hz | Ref |
| Aurora, A.  | 2018                | FWB-(Group- TK and HEM with FWB resuscitation) | Tested-No effect | Histology (hematoxylin and eosin stain) (neutrophils, lymphocytes, macrophages) | Tested- FWB had no effect and HEX increased oedema when compared to control. | At day 2 wet-to-dry weight ratio | Hex-12.4904% increase; FWB-3.55412% increase | ns and P ≤0.05 | TA | In-vivo | 150 | [23] |
| Bagis, Z.   | 2018                | IL- iloprost         | Did not test | NA | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | NA | EDL | Ex-vivo | 150 | [32] |
| Chen, L. E. | 1998                | S-nitroso-N-acetylcysteine (SNAC) | Tested-No effect | Histology (hematoxylin and eosin stain- microscopic observation) | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | NA | EDL | Ex-vivo | 120 | [24] |
| Chen, L. E. | 1996                | Dexamethasone        | Tested-Inhibited in treatment group | Histology (hematoxylin and eosin stain) Neutrophils | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | NA | EDL | Ex-vivo | 100 | [25] |
| Chen, X. K. | 2011                | Muscle progenitor cell-infected with lentivirus | Tested-Inhibited in treatment group | CD68 immunoreactivity, indicative of macrophage infiltration-IHF | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | NA | TA | In situ | 150 | [33] |
| Corona, B. T. | 2014              | Lin-BMCs            | Did not test | NA | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | NA | Anterior crural muscle (i.e., TA and EDL, muscles) | In-vivo | 200 | [41] |
| Corona, B. T. | 2013              | Lin-BMCs            | Did not test | NA | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | NA | Anterior crural muscle (i.e., TA and EDL, muscles) | In-vivo | 42 |
| Demirel, M  | 2013                | L-carnitine         | Did not test | NA | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | NA | EDL and SOL | Ex-vivo | 150 | [40] |
| Dillon, J. P. | 2008              | Hypertonic saline (7.5% NaCl) | Tested-Inhibited in treatment group | Myeloperoxidase activity- neutrophil infiltration | Tested- Inhibited in treatment group | 14.5 hrs Wet-to-dry ratios | 180.284% decrease | P<0.01 | TA | In situ | 50 | [26] |
| Dillon, J. P. | 2006              | Pravastatin         | Tested-Inhibited in treatment group | Myeloperoxidase (MPO) activity— neutrophil infiltration. | Tested- Inhibited in treatment group | 14.5 hrs Wet-to-dry ratios | 5.29986% decrease | P<0.04 | Gastrocnemius muscle | In situ | 50 | [27] |
| Dyer, S.E.  | 2022                | Sodium percarbonate and catalase | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | TA | In-vivo | 150 | [34] |

(Continued)
| First Author | Year of publication | Name of intervention | Inflammation | Method used to test inflammation | Oedema | Time point oedema analysed | Method used to test Oedema | % difference - Control Vs Treated | P value | Muscle tested | Muscle function method | Isometric torque tested at Hz | Ref |
|--------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|---------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----|
| Feller, A. M. | 1989                | SOD                  | Tested-No effect | Macrophage infiltration-Histology-Trichrome stain | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | TA | In situ | No mention | [48] |
| Frey, S. P.  | 2019                | CYR61                | Did not test | NA | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | TA | In-vivo | No mention | [51] |
| Hirose, J.  | 1997                | L-ascorbic acid 2- [3,4-dihydro-2,5,7,8-tetrameth-1-2-(4,8,12-trimethyltridecyl)-2H-1-benzo[perialdehyde-6-y hydrogen phosphate] potassium salt (EPC-K1) | Tested - Inhibited in treatment group | Histology-Stained with hema-toxylin and eosin (HE) cellular inflammation-no specifics on type of cells. | Tested - Inhibited in treatment group | 24 hrs | Wet-to-dry ratios | 10.9989% decrease | P = 0.01 | Gastrocnemius muscle | In situ | 200 | [53] |
| Hirose, J.  | 2001                | L-ascorbic acid 2- [3,4-dihydro-2,5,7,8-tetramethyl-2-(4,8,12-trimethyltridecyl)-2H-1-benzo[perialdehyde-6-y hydrogen phosphate] potassium salt (EPC-K1) | Tested - Inhibited in treatment group | Histology- Neutrophil infiltration-stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE). | Tested - Inhibited in treatment group | 24 hrs | Wet-to-dry ratios and also by histology | 8.20046% decrease | P = 0.003 | Gastrocnemius muscle | In situ | 200Hz | [36] |
| Hoch, J. R. | 1991                | Superoxide dismutase and mannitol | Did not test | NA | Tested - Inhibited in treatment groups | 1 hr | Muscle was removed and weighed and percentage weight change in the experimental muscle was calculated. | Superoxide dismutase -12.2449% decrease; mannitol-56.3945% decrease | Superoxide dismutase- P<0.04; Mannitol- P<0.005 | TA | As previously reported | No mention | [47] |
| Ikebe, K.   | 2001                | L-NMMA               | Did not test | NA | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | Gastrocnemius muscle and TA | In situ | 150 | [37] |
| Ikebe, K.   | 2002                | L-NMMA; L-NMMA + S- nitrosothiourea; Superoxide dismutase (SOD) | Did not test | NA | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | Gastrocnemius muscle | In situ | 200 | [38] |
| Kingston, R. | 2005               | Taurine             | Did not test | NA | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | Gastrocnemius muscle | Ex-vivo | No mention | [28] |
| Mohler, L. R. | 1996              | U74006F | Did not test | NA | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | TA | In situ | 100 | [49] |
| Morgan, R. A | 1993                | ATP/MgCl2            | Did not test | NA | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | Muscle and nerve stimulation | In-vivo | No mention | [50] |

(Continued)
| First Author | Year of publication | Name of intervention | Inflammation | Method used to test inflammation | Oedema | Time point oedema analysed | Method used to test Oedema | % difference | Control Vs Treated | P value | Muscle tested | Muscle function method | Isometric torque tested at Hz | Ref |
|--------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----|
| Pekoglu, E   | 2020                | Trans-cinnamaldehyde (TCA) | Tested - Inhibited in treatment group | Neutrophil infiltration-MPO analysis-non-significant | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | EDL | Ex-vivo | 150 | [29] |
| Quinones-Baldrich, W. J. | 1991            | Controlled reperfusion | Did not test | NA | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | TA | In-situ | [46] |
| Ricles, L. M. | 2016             | MSCs + PEGylated fibrin gel | Did not test | NA | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | Lateral gastrocnemius | In-situ | 150 | [39] |
| Rybalko, V.  | 2015               | In vitro polarized M1 macrophages | Did not test | NA | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | Gastrocnemius muscle | In-situ | 150 | [43] |
| Rybalko, V.  | 2017               | mMPs | Did not test | NA | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | Calf muscles | In-situ | 150 | [44] |
| Stahl, D.    | 2012               | L-arginine at Warm I/R | Did not test | NA | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | EDL | Ex-vivo | [30] |
| Wang         | 2021               | Biological (NC-Exosomes) | Tested - Inhibited in treatment group | Inflammasome pathway(NLRP3, caspase 1, IL-1β and IL-18) | Tested - Inhibited in treatment groups | NA | NA | NA | Grip test- (Motor nerve test) hind limb muscles | In-vivo | NA | [45] |
| Wilson, J. S. | 2003          | Dichloroacetate (DCA) | Did not test | NA | Did not test | NA | NA | NA | Gastrocnemius muscle | In-vivo | 150 | [31] |
marrow macrophages), superoxide dismutase, fresh whole blood (FWB), muscle progenitor cells infected with lentiviruses, IFN-gamma polarized mouse bone marrow macrophages and exosomes. Physical interventions used included: warm I/R and pump controlled reperusions. 

Route of administration, and duration of treatment used in each study are listed in Table 1. The route of administration for these interventions were intravenous infusions (n = 4) [23, 24, 37, 38], intravenous (n = 9) [26, 28, 31, 35, 36, 41, 46, 48, 49], intramuscular (n = 8) [25, 33, 34, 39, 42–45], intraperitoneal (n = 1) [40], intraperitoneal infusion (n = 1) [32], local application (n = 1) [51], intra-arterially one-time bolus plus infusion (n = 1) [47], and gavage (n = 2) [27, 29]. Duration of treatment ranged from 30 mins to 6.5 hrs in eight studies [23, 24, 26, 32, 37, 38, 46, 50], in 15 studies a single bout of treatment was used [25, 28, 31, 33–36, 39, 41–45, 48, 51], one study used a one-time bolus followed by 60 mins of infusion [47], one-time treatment was used every day for 7 days [40] or for 5 days [27], three times treatment with two hour intervals [49] or 8 hour interval [29] was used, and the drug was given in 0.5 ml increments over 5 min interval for 1 hour [30] (Table 1).

The experimental endpoints varied considerably across studies (Table 1). The majority of studies terminated their experiments within 28 hours [24–26, 28–32, 35–38, 46–48, 50]. Only one study out of 29 terminated after 40 days [51]. Three studies terminated the experiments after 28 days [23, 34, 45] and another study terminated at 21 days [44]. Two studies terminated the experiments after 16 days [41, 42]. Two studies terminated the experiments at 14 days [33] and one of the studies terminated at two different time points, seven and 14 days [39]. One study terminated the experiments after 7 days [40]. One study terminated the experiments after five days [27]. One study terminated the experiments after two days [49].

**Inflammation and edema.** Out of the 29 studies, 11 reported inflammatory results (Table 2). Out of the 11 studies which reported inflammatory results, one study reported the inhibition of inflammation based on histological analysis of inflammatory cells such as, neutrophils, lymphocytes, and macrophages [23], one study reported the drug effects on inflammation based on microscopic observations (no details on how these observations were made are reported) [24], two studies reported inhibition of inflammation based on histology analysis of neutrophil infiltration alone [25, 36], and of the remaining six studies, two reported results based on CD68 immunoreactivity indicative for macrophage infiltration [33, 48], two studies from the same group [26, 27] and one other study [29] employed myeloperoxidase activity as a marker of skeletal muscle neutrophil infiltration to study inflammation, and the remaining study analyzed stained tissue for cellular inflammation with no specifics on the type of inflammatory cells [35], and one study analyzed inflammasome pathway genes (NLRP3, caspase 1, IL-1β, and IL-18) to study the drug effects on inflammation [45] (Table 2).

Edema was evaluated in only six studies [23, 26, 27, 35, 36, 47], while the remaining 23 studies did not test edema. Of these six studies, four calculated wet-to-dry ratios as an index of edema formation [23, 26, 27, 35], one used both histological analysis and calculated wet-to-dry ratio as an index of edema formation [36], one used amount of volume the muscle weight displaced and calculated the percentage weight change in the experimental muscle as a measurement of edema [47] (Table 2). All six studies tested intervention effects on edema reported a decrease in edema compared to their respective controls (Table 2).

**Muscle function analysis.** In line with the inclusion criteria, all 29 studies evaluated neuromuscular function in hind limb muscles (i.e., isometric force or torque either in tibialis anterior [TA]/gastrocnemius/extensor digitorum longus [EDL]/soleus muscle [n = 28] or via grip test [n = 1]). Of these studies, eight tested isometric torque of the TA muscle [23, 26, 33, 46–49, 51], one tested isometric torque of TA and gastrocnemius muscle [37], five tested isometric torque of an EDL muscle [24, 25, 29, 30, 32], one tested isometric torque of EDL and soleus muscles [40], eight tested isometric torque of the gastrocnemius muscle [27, 28, 31, 35–37, 39,
44], one analyzed the calf muscles (gastrocnemius, soleus, and plantaris) [44], two studies from the same group tested isometric torque of the anterior crural muscles [41, 42], and one study analyzed neuromuscular function by directly stimulating muscle or nerve alone for function analysis of the effected limb [50]. The remaining 1 study analyzed neuromuscular function of hind limb muscles (grip test) [45]. For muscle function analysis, nine studies used in-vivo muscle tests [23, 31, 34, 41, 42, 45, 50, 51], seven used ex-vivo muscle function tests [24, 25, 28–30, 32, 40], and 13 used in-situ muscle function tests [26, 27, 33, 35–39, 43, 44, 46, 48, 49] (Table 2).

Study quality. All the included studies were peer reviewed publications, free of selective outcome reporting, and all reported a description of the animals used. None of the studies, however, reported a sample size calculation. Out of 29 studies, 26 studies [23, 25–30, 32–47, 49–51] reported control of temperature and lighting for animal housing except for three studies [24, 31, 48]. 18 studies [23–30, 32, 33, 36–38, 40, 42, 45, 49, 51] reported that they performed random allocation of animals to control and treatment groups. 23 studies [23–30, 32, 33, 36–42, 44, 45, 47–49, 51] reported the timing of induction of disease and whether this was done after or before randomization. One study mentioned random selection of animals for outcome assessment [33]. Five studies reported a blinded outcome assessment [23, 32, 36, 48, 49], and the remaining studies did not use blinded outcome assessment. Five studies reported use of an anesthetics without significant effects on skeletal muscle injury [26–28, 39, 48]. 26 studies reported a compliance with animal welfare regulations [23, 25–30, 32–47, 49–51] and 11 studies mentioned a potential conflict of interest [23, 26–29, 34, 40, 41, 44, 45, 51]. The standard quality score included 29 studies that ranged from three to nine. Of which, four studies scored nine points [23, 26–28], eight studies scored eight points [29, 32, 33, 36, 40, 44, 45, 49, 51], nine studies scored seven points [25, 30, 34, 37–39, 41, 42], two studies scored six points [47, 48], five studies scored five points [24, 35, 43, 46, 50], and one study scored three points [31] (Fig 2). The methodological qualities of each study are summarized in Table 3.

3. Reported effects of interventions

Inflammation. 8 studies separately interrogated interventions which used dexamethasone [25], muscle progenitor cells [33], hypertonic saline [26], pravastatin [27], EPC-K1 (L-ascorbic acid 2-[3,4-dihydro-2,5,7,8-tetramethyl-2-(4,8,12-trimethyltridecyl)-2H-1-benzopyran-6-yl hydrogen phosphate] potassium salt) radical scavenger [35, 36], trans-cinnamaldehyde [29], and exosomes [45] reported a reduction in inflammation when compared with their respective control groups. Interventions with FWB did not exacerbate the inflammation induced by I/R. S-nitroso-N-acetylcysteine, superoxide dismutase (SOD), and dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) showed no apparent effect on inflammation Table 2.

Edema

Five studies, which interrogated hypertonic saline (P<0.01) [26], pravastatin (P< 0.04) [27], EPC-K1 radical scavenger (tested in two independent studies; P = 0.01, and P = 0.003) [35, 36], SOD (P<0.04) [47] and mannitol (P<0.003) [47], showed a reduction in edema relative to their respective control groups. One of the studies, however, showed treatment with hextend exacerbated edema while the FWB treated group did not have any effect on edema when compared with a control group (I/R injured -untreated) [23] Table 2.

Muscle isometric torque

In general, functional outcome measures improved after treatment in 22 of the included studies. All studies that explored chemical interventions showed an improvement in maximum
| First Author          | Year of publication | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | SCORE |
|----------------------|---------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|
| Aurora, A.           | 2018                | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9     |
| Bagis, Z.            | 2018                | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8     |
| Chen, L. E.         | 1998                | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5     |
| Chen, L. E.         | 1996                | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7     |
| Chen, X. K.         | 2011                | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8     |
| Corona, B. T.       | 2014                | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7     |
| Corona, B. T.       | 2013                | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7     |
| Demirel, M          | 2013                | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8     |
| Dillon, J. P.       | 2008                | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9     |
| Dillon, J. P.       | 2006                | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9     |
| Dyer, S. E.         | 2022                | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7     |
| Feller, A. M        | 1989                | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6     |
| Frey, S. P.         | 2019                | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8     |
| Hirose, J.          | 1997                | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5     |
| Hirose, J.          | 2001                | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8     |
| Hoch, J. R.        | 1991                | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5     |
| Ikebe, K.           | 2001                | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7     |
| Ikebe, K.           | 2002                | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7     |
| Kingston, R.        | 2005                | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9     |
| Mohler, L. R.       | 1996                | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8     |
| Morgan, R. A        | 1993                | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5     |
| Pekoglu, E.         | 2020                | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8     |
| Quinones-Baldrich, W. J. | 1991             | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5     |
| Ricles, L. M.       | 2016                | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7     |
| Rybalko, V.         | 2015                | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5     |
| Rybalko, V.         | 2017                | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8     |
| Stahl, D.           | 2012                | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7     |
| Wang                | 2021                | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8     |
| Wilson, J. S.       | 2003                | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5     |

Note: Items rated as yes scored 1, and items rated as no or unable to determine or unsure both scored 0. Lower scores indicate a higher risk of bias.
A Peer reviewed publication
B Control of temperature and lighting (animal housing)
C Random allocation of animals to treatment or control
D Timing of disease induction before/after randomization (ischemia/trauma)
E Random selection of animals for outcome assessment
F Blind outcome assessment
G Use of anesthetic without significant effects on the skeletal muscle injury
H Animal model description (young, sex, weight)
I Sample size calculation
J Study free of selective outcome reporting (If all the pre-specified primary and secondary outcomes reported)
K Compliance with animal welfare regulations
L Statement of potential conflict of interests

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0274132.t003
isometric torque measurements, except for iloprost-(IL) [32], U74006F [49], L-NMMA + S-nitroso glutathione [38] and L-arginine [30]. 12 studies that experimented with the following agents: SNAC (100 nmol/min—116.8%; SNAC at 1 μmol/min—46.7441%; SNAC at 5 μmol/min—34.0218%; P < 0.01 and P < 0.001) [24], dexamethasone (512.36%, P < 0.001) [25], L-carnitine (75.1418%, P < 0.01) [40], hypertonic saline (7.5%) [26], EPC-K1 (tested in two independent studies, 143.902% and 22.0796%, P < 0.02) [35], L-NMMA (28.8462% and 143.526%, P < 0.05) [36], taurine (taurine proximally-600.405% and proximally and distally-325.506%, P < 0.005) [28], ATP/MgCl2 (after one hour Ischemia Nerve-2.24359%, four hours ischemia-Muscle 1000%, three hours after reperfusion-Muscle-2075% and Nerve-2350% increase, P < 0.01) [50], TCA (75.1977%; P < 0.05) [29], and DCA (30%, P < 0.05) [31], reported an increase in maximum isometric torque when compared with their respective controls (Table 4). Three studies used both chemical and biological agents to test their effects on improving muscle contractile function [47, 48]. One of the studies interrogated SOD (5 hrs-69.7023%, P < 0.005), and DMSO (at 5 hours-27.6708%; 8 hours-112.593%, P < 0.01) individually [48] and the other study experimented both SOD and mannitol individually [47] and analyzed neuromuscular contractile force at two time points (3 hours and 20 hours). The study reported that SOD showed a decrease (P < 0.002) in direct muscle stimulated contractile function at 3 hours, with no difference in nerve evoked contractile function (43.75%, ns), and mannitol at the three hour time point did not show a difference in both muscle and nerve contractile function, whereas at the 20 hour time point mannitol showed an increase (143.75%, P < 0.05) in nerve stimulated contractile function (Table 4). The other study investigated sodium percarbonate (two doses) and catalase (fixed dose) at three different time points. Low dose of sodium percarbonate (week-1-260%, week-2-234% and week-3-78.7%, P < 0.005), showed an increase in muscle contractile function, whereas its high dose did not show an improvement on muscle function [34]. Out of eight studies that investigated biological interventions, two studies that used muscle progenitor cells-infected with lentiviruses [33] and Lin-BMCs [42], did not show an improved contractile muscle function upon analysis. Seven studies that interrogated biological interventions, FWB (2.86%, P = 0.02) [23], Lin-BMCs (20.2%, P < 0.05) [41], CYR61 (58.96%, p = 0.0015) [51], bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) in PEGylated fibrin hydrogel (14 days-54.6%, P < 0.0125) [39], LPS/IFN-γ polarized mouse bone marrow macrophages (22.3%, P < 0.05) [39], mMPs (IFN-gamma polarized mouse bone marrow macrophages) (mouse adipose stem cells (ASCs) in PBS-15.9% [44], polarized macrophages with adipose stem cells in PBS-25.43% [44], showed an improvement in maximum isometric torque of the muscle and NC-exosomes (109% increase compared to PBS; 30.078% Increase compared to Si-Exo), showed an improvement in neuromuscular strength [45]. One of the two studies that used the physical means of intervention, such as pump controlled reperfusion, did not show a statistical difference in any of the four groups tested [46] and the remaining study that used cold I/R reported a significant increase in maximum isometric torque when compared with the warm I/R group (P < 0.01) [30].

Effect size calculation of each study revealed that it had different effect size with sample size. Out of 29 studies, 15 studies, which showed large effect sizes on muscle function improvement are SNAC at 100 nmol/min (3.3807, 95% C.I. 1.9371 to 4.8242) 1 μmol/min (1.0412, 95% C.I. -0.0031 to 2.0855), 5 μmol/min (0.8475, 95% C.I. -0.2112 to 1.9062) [24], dexamethasone (2.2698, 95% C.I. 1.0133 to 3.5264) [25], hypertonic saline (1.1045, 95% C.I. 0.1126 to 2.0964) [26], pravastatin (1.1349, 95% C.I. 0.1394 to 2.0694) [27], low dose of sodium per carbonate (Week 1 is 1.9363, 95% C.I. 0.7699 to 3.1027; Week 2 is 2.0654, 95% C.I. is 0.8756 to 3.2552) [34], SOD at five hours (1.9314, 95% C.I. 0.7447 to 3.1181) [48], DMSO at eight hours (1.2686, 95% C.I. 0.1105 to 2.4267) [48], CYR61 (1.349, 95% C.I. 0.3346 to 2.3455) [51], EPC-K1 (2.1616, 95% C.I. 0.9282 to 3.395) [35], Mannitol at 20 hours (Muscle stimulated: 1.959, 95% C.I. 0.6846
| First Author | Year of publication | Intervention name | Type of therapeutic agent | Isometric Torque Control | Isometric Torque Treated | % difference | Significance | Isometric Torque tested at Hz | Ref |
|--------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------------------|-----|
| Aurora, A.   | 2018                | FWB- (Group- TK and HEM with FWB resuscitation) | Biological TK | 0.7 +/- 0.03 | FWB: 0.72 +/- 0.03; HEM: 0.6 +/- 0.02 | FWB: 2.86% increase; HEM: 95.6% decrease | FWB: P = 0.02 | FWB - P = 0.02 | 150 [23] |
| Bagis, Z.    | 2018                | IL- iloprost      | Synthetic chemical        | 8.508 +/- 1.313 N/cm2   | IR24SF: 1.77 +/- 0.145 N/cm2; IR24IL: 3.052 +/- 0.562 N/cm2; IR48SF: 0.167 +/- 0.058 N/cm2; IR48IL: 0.571 +/- 0.159 N/cm2 | Decrease-IR24SF: = 79.1961%; IR24IL: -64.4452%; IR48SF: -98.0371%; IR48IL: -93.3004% | P < 0.001 | 150 [32] |
| LONG-EN CHEN | 2018                | S-nitroso-N-acetylcysteine (SNAC) | Synthetic chemical | 41.832 +/- 8.168 | I/R plus SNAC at 100 nmol/min - 90.718 +/- 1.609; I/R plus SNAC at 1 μmol/min - 61.386 +/- 6.064; I/R plus SNAC at 5 μmol/min - 56.064 +/- 3.837 | Increase- I/R plus SNAC at 100 nmol/min– 116.8%; I/R plus SNAC at 1 μmol/min– 46.7441%; I/R plus SNAC at 5 μmol/min– 34.0218% | P < 0.01; P < 0.001 | 120 [24] |
| Chen, L. E.  | 1996                | Dexamethasone     | Synthetic chemical        | 1.78 +/- 0.45 | 10.9 +/- 2.1 | increase-512.36% | P < 0.001 | 100 [25] |
| Chen, X. K   | 2011                | Muscle progenitor cells-infected with lentiviruses | Biological | 4.32 +/- 0.65 N/cm2 | 3.27 +/- 0.82 N/cm2 | Decrease- 24.3056% | P < 0.345 (not different between MPC and Veh) | 150 [33] |
| Corona, B. T.| 2014                | LinBMCs           | Biological | 1.24 +/- 0.19 Nmm | 1.49 +/- 0.11 Nmm | Increase- 20.1613% | P < 0.05 | 200 [41] |
| Corona, B. T.| 2013                | Lin-BMCs          | Biological | 1.36 +/- 0.08 Nmm | 1.25 +/- 0.11 Nmm | Decrease-8.08824% | P < 0.05 | 42 |
| Demirel, M   | 2013                | L-carnitine       | Chemical | 2.82 +/- 1.09 EDL N/cm2: 10.85 +/- 2.35 SOL N/cm2 | 4.939 +/- 1.588 EDL N/cm2: 4.9 +/- 1.53 SOL N/cm2 | Increase-75.1418% | P < .01 | 150 [40] |
| Dillon, J. P.| 2008                | Hypertonic saline (7.5% NaCl) | Chemical | 2.13 +/- 0.35 | 2.69 +/- 0.46 | Increase-26.2911% | P < 0.03 | 50 [26] |
| Dillon, J. P.| 2006                | Pravastatin       | Chemical | 1.91 +/- 0.47 | 2.6 +/- 0.72 | 36.1257% increase | P < 0.004 | 50 [27] |
| Dyer, S. E.  | 2022                | Sodium percarbonate and catalase | Biological and chemical | Week1-12.2 +/- 4.0; Week2-20.4 +/- 6.9; Week4-50.2 +/- 7.8 Nmm/Kg | Week1-Low dose-43.9 +/- 9.7; High Dose- 19.8 +/- 10.7; Week2- Low dose-68.2 +/- 10.6; High Dose-31.4 +/- 13.0; Week4- Low dose- 9.7 +/- 9.3; High Dose-57.5 +/- 15.5 Nmm/Kg | Week1-Low dose- 260%, High dose- 62.3%; Week2-Low dose- 234.3%; High dose- 53.9%; Week4-Low dose- 78.7%; High dose- 14.5% | Week1-Low dose- P < 0.005; Week2-Low dose- P < 0.005; Week4-Low dose- P < 0.005. | 150 [34] |
| Feller, A. M | 1989                | SOD & DMSO        | Biological and chemical | 5 hrs- 57.1 +/- 10.7% ( ); 8 hrs- 27 +/- 10.1% | SOD-5 hrs- 96.9 +/- 2.1; 8 hrs- 16.1 +/- 9.3; DMSO-5 hrs- 72.9 +/- 7.8%; 8 hrs- 57.4 +/- 8.7% | SOD-5 hrs- 69.7023% increase; 8 hrs- 40.3704% decrease; DMSO-5 hrs- 27.6708%; increase; 8 hrs- 112.593% increase | SOD-5hr-P < 0.005; DMSO-8 hr-P < 0.01 | No mention [48] |

(Continued)
| First Author | Year of publication | Intervention name | Type of therapeutic agent | Control | Treated | % difference | Significance | Isometric Torque tested at Hz | Ref |
|-------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------------|---------|---------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----|
| Frey, S. P. | 2019 | CYR61 | Biological | 1.73 +/- 0.90 | 2.75 +/- 0.50 | 58.9595% increase | P = 0.0015 | No mention | [51] |
| Hirose, J. | 1997 | L-ascorbic acid 2-[3,4-dihydro-2,5,7,8-tetramethyl-2-(4,8,12-trimethyltridecyl)-2H-1-benzopyran-6-yl hydrogen phosphate] potassium salt (EPC-K1) | Synthetic chemical | 4.1 +/- 3.1 | 10.0 +/- 2.3 | 143.902% increase | P < 0.02 | 200 | [35] |
| Hirose, J. | 2001 | L-ascorbic acid 2-[3,4-dihydro-2,5,7,8-tetramethyl-2-(4,8,12-trimethyltridecyl)-2H-1-benzopyran-6-yl hydrogen phosphate] potassium salt (EPC-K1) | Chemical | 7.79 +/- 1.41 | 9.51 +/- 0.98 | 22.0796% increase | P < 0.02 | 200Hz | [36] |
| Hoch, J. R | 1991 | Superoxide dismutase and mannitol | Biological and chemical | [Superoxide dismutase—3 hrs- Muscle stimulated:5.3 +/- 1.9; Nerve stimulated:11.5 +/- 5.4] [20 hrs- Muscle stimulated:3.3 +/- 1.4; Nerve stimulated:7.3 +/- 3.5] [Mannitol—3 hrs data: Muscle stimulated:10.3 +/- 2.1; Nerve stimulated:12.3 +/- 3.7] [20 hrs data: Muscle stimulated:15.4 +/- 3.3; Nerve stimulated:19.5 +/- 4.9] | [Superoxide dismutase—3 hrs- Muscle stimulated:34.5679% decrease; Nerve stimulated:43.75% increase] [20 hrs- Muscle stimulated:10.8108% decrease; Nerve stimulated:8.75% decrease] [Mannitol—3 hrs data: Muscle stimulated:27.1605% increase; Nerve stimulated:53.75% increase] [20 hrs data: Muscle stimulated:316.216% increase; Nerve stimulated:143.75% increase] | Muscle stimulated- SOD: 3 hrs- P < 0.002; Nerve stimulated- mannitol-20 hrs-P < 0.05 | Reference provided | [47] |
| Ikebe, K. | 2001 | L-NMMA | Chemical | TA-2.6 (0.09); Gastrocnemius muscle | TA-3.35 (0.13); Gastrocnemius muscle | 28.8462% increase | P < 0.05 | 150 | [37] |
| Ikebe, K. | 2002 | L-NMMA; L-NMMA + S-nitrosoglutathione; SOD | Chemical | 3.63 (0.35) | L-NMMA: 8.84 (1.23); L-NMMA + S-nitrosoglutathione:3.31 (0.39); SOD: 7.44 (0.77) | NMMA:143.526% increase; NMMA+S-nitrosoglutathione-8.81543% decrease; SOD:104.96% increase | P < 0.05 | 200 | [38] |
| First Author | Year of publication | Intervention name | Type of therapeutic agent | Control | Treated | % difference | Significance | Isometric Torque tested at Hz | Ref |
|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------|---------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----|
| Kingston, R. | 2005                | Taurine           | Chemical                  | 9.88+/-11.52 | Taurine-Proximally 69.2+/-55.37; Taurine-distally 74.78+/-50.44 Taurine-Prox+dist 42.04+/-39.49 | Taurine proximally-600.405% increase; Taurine distally-656.883% increase; Taurine-proxim_Dis-325.506% increase | Taurine proximally-P = 0.013; Taurine distally-P < 0.091; Taurine-proxim_Dis-P < 0.005 | No mention | [28] |
| Mohler, L. R. | 1996               | U74006F (tirilazad mesylate, 21-[4-(2,6-di-1-pyrrolinyl-4-pyrimidinyl)-1-piperaziny]16m-methyl-pregna-1,4,9(11)-triene-3,20-dione methane-sulphonate) | Chemical | 2 hrs–Nerve stimulation: 1340 +/-236; Direct: 1631 +/-200 –4 Hrs Direct stimulation: 1321 +/-132 | 2 hrs–Nerve stimulation: 1320+/-259; Direct: 1469+/-99–4 Hrs Direct stimulation:1389 +/-84 | 2 hrs–Nerve stimulation: 1.49254% decrease; Direct: 9.62687% increase; 4 Hrs Direct stimulation-3.65672% increase | No statistically significant difference between the groups is seen | 100 | [49] |
| Morgan, R. A | 1993                | ATP/MgCl2         | Chemical                  | Muscle stimulation 0- immediately prior to arterial clamping Mean (SE) 895 (26) Nerve stimulation 0- immediately prior to arterial clamping Mean (SE) 842 (28); Muscle stimulation 1 hr after ischemia Mean (SE) 448 (71) Nerve stimulation 1 hr after ischemia Mean (SE) 312 (52); Muscle stimulation 4 hr after ischemia Mean (SE) 0 (0); Nerve stimulation 4 hr after ischemia Mean (SE) 1 (1); Muscle stimulation 3 hr after reperfusion Mean (SE) 4 (3) Nerve stimulation 3 hr after reperfusion Mean (SE) 2 (2) | Muscle stimulation 0- immediately prior to arterial clamping Mean (SE) 892 (52) Nerve stimulation 0- immediately prior to arterial clamping Mean (SE) 813 (65); Muscle stimulation 1 hr after ischemia Mean (SE) 439 (72) Nerve stimulation 1 hr after ischemia Mean (SE) 319 (61); Muscle stimulation 4 hr after ischemia Mean (SE) 0 (0); Nerve stimulation 4 hr after ischemia Mean (SE) 1 (1); Nerve stimulation 4 hr after ischemia Mean (SE) 0 (0); Muscle stimulation 3 hr of reperfusion Mean (SE) 87 (19) Nerve stimulation 3 hr of reperfusion Mean (SE) 49 (10); | 0 hr- Muscle-0.335196% decrease; Nerve-3.44418% decrease; 1 hr Isch-Muscle-2.00893% decrease Nerve-2.24359% increase; 4 hr isch-Muscle-1000% increase; Nerve-1000% decrease; 3 hr after reperfusion- Muscle-2075% increase; Nerve-2350% increase | Both muscle and nerve stimulation-P < 0.01 | No mention | [50] |
| Pekoglu, E | 2020                | trans-cinnamaldehyde (TCA) | Synthetic chemical | 307.31 ± 217.8 | 358.40 ± 355.8 | 75.1977% increase | P < 0.05 | | 150 | [29] |
| First Author                | Year of publication | Intervention name                        | Type of therapeutic agent | Control | Treated                          | % difference | Significance | Isometric Torque tested at Hz | Ref  |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------|
| Quinones-Baldrich, W. J.    | 1991                | Controlled reperfusion                   | Pump                      | Normal  | Controlled reperfusion-0.574 -/+ 0.073 |              |              |                             |      |
|                             |                     |                                          | Controlled reperfusion    | Leukopenic/thrombocytopenic | Controlled reperfusion-0.552 -/+ 0.057 |              |              |                             | [46] |
|                             |                     |                                          |                           | Fibrinolytic reperfusion   | Controlled reperfusion-0.618 -/+ 0.151 |              |              |                             |      |
|                             |                     |                                          |                           |                     | Fibrinolytic reperfusion-0.482 -/+ 0.066 |              |              |                             |      |
|                             |                     |                                          |                            |                     |                                   |              |              | -3.83275% decrease            |      |
|                             |                     |                                          |                            |                     |                                   |              |              | Leukopenic/ thrombocytopenic |      |
|                             |                     |                                          |                            |                     |                                   |              |              | 7.66551% increase            |      |
|                             |                     |                                          |                            |                     |                                   |              |              | Fibrinolytic reperfusion     |      |
|                             |                     |                                          |                            |                     |                                   |              |              | 16.0279% decrease            |      |
|                             |                     |                                          |                            |                     |                                   |              |              | No statistically significant difference between the four groups is seen |      |
| Ricles, L. M.              | 2016                | MSCs + PEGylated fibrin gel              | Biological                | 7 days: 72.02 +/- 3.05; 14 days: 59.03 ± 8.69% | [MSCs + PEGylated fibrin gel: 7 days- 76.85 +/- 20.49;14 days- 91.26 ± 4.06%] [MSCs in FBS: 7 days- 81.08 +/- 9.76; 14 days -71.74 ± 9.21%] [PEGylated fibrin gel: 7 days-70.15 +/- 5.12; 14 days- 68.12 ± 6.23%] | [MSCs + PEGylated fibrin gel: 7 days- 67.0647% increase; 14 days-54.5994% increase [MSCs in FBS: 7 days- 12.5798% increase; 14 days -21.5314% increase] [PEGylated fibrin gel: 7 days- 2.5965% decrease; 14 days-15.3989% increase] | MSCs + PEGylated fibrin gel-14 days- P<0.0125 | [39] |
| Rybalko, V.                | 2015                | In vitro polarized M1 macrophages        | Biological                | 13.23 +/- 0.43 | [In vitro polarized M1 macrophages: 16.18 +/- 0.42] [M0 macrophages: 11.55 +/- 0.53] | [In vitro polarized M1 macrophages: 22.2978% increase] [M0 macrophages: 12.6984% decrease] | P<0.05 | 150 | [43] |
| Rybalko, V.                | 2017                | mMPs                                      | Biological                | 14.04 +/- 1.16 | [IFN-gamma polarized mouse bone marrow macrophages: 12.15 +/- 1.08] [mouse adipose stem cells (ASCs) in PBS: 16.27 +/- 1.67] [polarized macrophages with adipose stem cells in PBS:17.61 +/- 2.64] | [IFN-gamma polarized mouse bone marrow macrophages: 13.4615% decrease] [mouse adipose stem cells (ASCs) in PBS: 15.8832% increase] polarized macrophages with adipose stem cells in PBS: 25.4274% increase | P<0.05 | 150 | [44] |
| First Author | Year of publication | Intervention name | Type of therapeutic agent | Control | Treated | % difference | Significance | Isometric Torque tested at Hz |
|--------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Stahl, D.    | 2012                | L-arginine at Warm I/R | Temperature effects       | Maximum contractile force 74.3±12 | [Warm I/R: Maximum contractile force 39.7±10; Cold I/R: Maximum contractile force 80.5±9; L-arginine at warm I/R: Maximum contractile force 61.4±11;] | [Warm I/R: Maximum contractile force 46.568% decrease; Cold I/R: Maximum contractile force 8.34455% increase; L-arginine at warm I/R: Maximum contractile force 17.362% decrease;] | Warm I/R groups showed a statistically significant decrease in contractile force when compared with the sham, cold I/R, and L-arginine groups (P<0.05). Cold I/R group was not significantly different from the sham and/or the L-arginine group but found to be significantly increased compared with the warm I/R group (P<0.01). L-Arginine, similarly, was not significantly different in the average contractile force generated compared with the sham and/or the cold I/R group. However, the L-arginine group showed significantly improved average contractile forces compared with the warm I/R group (P<0.05). | 10 | [30] |
| Wang         | 2021                | Biological (NC-Exosomes) | Biological               | 28 days- Si-Exo-192.7±5.7; PBS-131.9±8.6 (g) | 28 days-NC-Exo-275.6±7.9 | 109% increase compared to PBS; 30.078% Increase compared to Si-Exo. | PNC-Exo compared to PBS-P = 0.0001; NC-Exo compared to Si-Exo-P = 0.0001 | NA | [45] |
| Wilson, J. S.| 2003                | Dichloroacetate (DCA) | Chemical                  | 2.0 +/- 0.6 mins | 2.6 +/- 0.3 min | 30% increase | P<0.05 | PLS ONE | [31] |
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to 3.2334; Nerve evoked: 1.2545, 95% C.I. 0.1085 to 2.4006) [47], L-NMMA (TA: 2.0226, 95% C.I. 1.0389 to 3.0063; Gastrocnemius muscle: 1.0002, 95% C.I. 0.1515 to 1.8489) [37], L-NMMA in another study showed (2.5767, 95% C.I. 1.0389 to 4.1074) [38], ATP/MgCl2 (Nerve stimulation- 3 hr after reperfusion: 2.6609, 95% C.I. 1.2225 to 4.0992) [50], MSCs plus PEGylated fibrin gel at 14 days (4.7521, 95% C.I. 1.3704 to 4.5118) [44], and NC-exosomes (12.0275, 95% C.I. 6.6125 to 17.4425) [45] and DCA (1.2649, 95% C.I. 0.1914 to 2.3384) [31] (Table 5).

Four studies, which showed medium effect sizes are Lin-BMCs (0.6071, 95% C.I. -0.4303 to 1.6445) [41], L-carnitine (EDL, 0.5218, 95% C.I. 0.1126 to 2.0964) [40], EPC-K1 (0.5354, 95% C.I. -0.462 to 1.5328; 2001) [36], SOD at three hours (Muscle stimulated: -0.5119, 95% C.I. -1.5765 to 0.5528) [47], and mannitol at three hours (Nerve stimulated: 0.5333, 95% C.I. -0.5328 to 1.5994) [47]. ATP/MgCl2 at a different time point and experimental condition showed a medium effect size. (Muscle stimulation 4 hr after ischemia: 0.5774, 95% C.I. -0.4919 to 1.6466) [50]. All remaining studies showed small effect sizes [23, 30, 32, 33, 42, 46, 49]. L-NMMA alone showed a large effect size, whereas, L-NMMA plus S-nitrosoglutathion showed a small effect size [38]. Sodium bicarbonate tested at higher dose showed a small effect size [34]. SOD tested at eight hour time-point showed a small effect size [48]. The study which tested ATP/MgCl2 one hour after ischemia (by direct stimulation of either muscle or nerve alone), showed a small effect size [50]. In the same study muscle stimulation after 1 hour of reperfusion showed a small effect size [50]. MSCs plus PEGylated fibrin gel in combination or PEGylated fibrin gel alone at seven day time point showed a small effect size [39]. M0 macrophages showed a small effect size in one study [44].

### Discussion

#### 1. Summary of the overall results

No clinical studies qualified for inclusion within this systematic review. Within the preclinical literature, the efficacy of the non-surgical interventions for ACS with respect to edema, inflammation, and muscle-contractile function was assessed in 29 studies. Although edema and inflammation are the key players in ACS pathology, not all of the included studies investigated the effects of interventions on these symptoms. 22 studies showed improvement in muscle contractile function after the treatments. The evidence available from the present study revealed that 12 chemical interventions (i.e., SNAC, dexamethasone, L-carnitine, hypertonic saline, EPC-K1, L-NMMA, taurine, ATP/MgCl2, TCA, DCA, DMSO, and mannitol) while seven biological interventions (i.e., FWB, Lin-BMCs, CYR61, bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), LPS/IFN-γ polarized mouse bone marrow macrophages, mMPs, and exosomes) and three combinatorial interventions (i.e. chemical and biological co-therapies: SOD/DMSO/mannitol and Sodium percarbonate and catalase) were found to facilitate improvement in muscle contractile function. Likewise, One physical intervention (i.e. warmer reperfusion liquid (maintained at 34˚C–36˚C) was shown to mediate an improvement in muscle isometric torque after injury. Effect size calculations revealed that out of all the studies, the study which tested NC-exosomes expressing cPWWP2A repaired I/R injury by inhibiting Rb1-mediated NLRP3 inflammasome through the cPWWP2A/Rb1/AMPKα2/NLRP3 signaling pathway showed the largest effect on muscle function improvement. The remaining studies which showed large effect sizes on muscle function improvement are: SNAC, dexamethasone, hypertonic saline, pravastatin, low dose of sodium percarbonate, SOD (at five hours), DMSO.
| General details | Isometric torque | Sample # | effect size | Ref |
|-----------------|------------------|----------|-------------|-----|
| First Author    | Year of publication | Name of intervention | Control | Treated | n = | d | 95% C.I. |
| Aurora, A.      | 2018             | FWB-(Group - TK and HEM with FWB resuscitation) | TK 0.7+/-.03 | FWB: 0.72+/-.031; HEM:0.6+/-.02 | 8 | FWB: -0.2478; HEM: -1.7387 | FWR: -1.2315 to 0.7359; HEM: -2.889 to -0.5883 | [23] |
| Bagis, Z.       | 2018             | IL- iloprost | 8.508±1.313 N/cm² | IR24SF: 1.77±0.145 N/cm²; IR24IL: 3.025±0.662 N/cm²; IR48SF: 0.167±0.058 N/cm²; IR48IL:0.571±0.159 N/cm² | 7 | IR24SF: -7.2135; IR24IL: -5.4292; IR48SF: -8.9752; IR48IL: -8.4868 | IR24SF: -10.0835 to -3.4346; IR24IL: -7.6967 to -3.1617; IR48SF: -12.4608 to -5.4896; IR48IL: -11.8003 to -5.1733 | [32] |
| Chen, L. E.     | 1998             | S-nitroso-N-acetylcysteine (SNAC) | 41.832+/-.8.168 | I/R plus SNAC at 100 nmol/min: 90.718+/-.1.609; I/R plus SNAC at 1 μmol/min: 61.386+/-.064; I/R plus SNAC at 5 μmol/min: 56.064+/-.3.837 | C = 8; t = 10/8/7; for 100/1/5 | 100 nmol/min: 3.3807; 1 μmol/min: 1.0412; 5 μmol/min: 0.8475 | 100 nmol/min: 1.9371 to 4.8242; 1 μmol/min: -.0031 to 2.0855; 5 μmol/min: -0.2112 to 1.9062 | [24] |
| Chen, L. E.     | 1998             | Dexamethasone | 1.78+/-.0.45 | 1.09+/-.2.1 | 8 | 2.2698 | 1.0133 to 3.5264 | [25] |
| Chen, X. K      | 2011             | Muscle progenitor cells-infected with lentiviruses | 3.27+/-.65 N/cm² | 3.27+/-.82 N/cm² | 6 | -0.6346 | C.I.: -1.7944 to 0.525 | [33] |
| Corona, B. T.   | 2014             | Lin-BMCs | 1.24+/-.0.19 Nmm | 1.49+/-.0.11 Nmm | C = 8; T = 7 | 0.6071 | C.I.: -0.4303 to 1.6445 | [41] |
| Corona, B. T.   | 2013             | Lin-BMCs | 1.36+/-.0.8 Nmm | 1.25+/-.11 Nmm | C = 9; T = 10 | -0.3847 | C.I.: -1.2935 to 0.5241 | [42] |
| Demirel, M      | 2013             | L-carnitine | 2.82+/-.1.9 EDL N/cm²; 10.85+/-.2.35 SOL N/cm² | 4.939+/-.1.588 EDL N/cm²; 4.9+/-.1.53 SOL N/cm² | 9 | EDL: 0.5218; SOL: -1.0527 | EDL: -0.3939 to 1.4375; SOL: -2.0135 to -0.092 | [40] |
| Dillon, J. P.   | 2008             | Hypertonic saline (7.5% NaCl) | 2.13+/-.0.55 | 2.69+/-.0.46 | 9 | 1.1045 | 0.1126 to 2.0964 | [26] |
| Dillon, J. P.   | 2006             | Pravastatin | 1.91+/-.0.47 | 2.6+/-.0.72 | 9 | 1.1349 | 0.1394 to 2.1304 | [27] |
| Dyer, S.E.      | 2022             | Sodium percarbonate and catalase | Week1-12.2 ± 4.0; Week2-20.4±6.9; Week4-50.2±7.8 Nmm/Kg | Week1-Low dose-43.9 ± 9.7; High Dose-19.8 ± 10.7; Week2- Low dose-68.2±10.6; High Dose-31.4 ± 13.0; Week4- Low dose-9.7 ± 9.3; High Dose-57.5 ± 15.5 Nmm/Kg | C = 12; LD = 6; HD = 6 | Week1-Low dose: 1.9363; High Dose: 0.4389; Week2-Low dose: 2.0654; High Dose: 0.4403; Week4- Low dose: 1.66; High Dose: 0.2992 | Week1-Low dose: 0.7699 to 3.1027; High Dose: -0.5515 to 1.4293; Week2-Low dose: 0.8736 to 3.2552; High Dose: -0.5502 to 1.4308; Week4- Low dose: -2.78 to -0.54; High Dose: -0.6856 to 1.2841 | [34] |
| Feller, A. M    | 1989             | SOD/DMSO | 5 hrs-57.1+/10.7-%; 8 hrs-27+/10.1% | SOD-5 hrs-96.9+/2.1; 8 hrs-16.1+/-.9.3; DMSO- 5 hrs-72.9+/7.8%; 8 hrs- 57.4+/-.87% | All groups = 8; hrs = 6 | SOD-5 hrs:1.9314; 8 hrs: -0.4462; DMSO-5 hrs: 0.6217; 8 hrs:1.2686 | SOD-5 hrs: 0.7447 to 3.1181; 8 hrs: -1.5175 to 0.6251; DMSO-5 hrs: -0.3817 to 1.625; 8 hrs:0.1105 to 2.4267 | [48] |
| Frey, S. P.     | 2019             | CYR61 | 1.73+/-.90 | 2.75+/-.50 | C = 11; T+8 | 1.34 | 0.3346 to 2.3455 | [51] |

(Continued)
| First Author | Year of publication | Name of intervention | Control | Treated | Sample # | effect size | 95% C.I. | Ref |
|--------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------|---------|----------|------------|---------|-----|
| Hirose, J.   | 1997                | L-ascorbic acid 2-   | 4.1+/−3.1| 10.0+/−2.3| 8        | 2.1616     | 0.9282 to 3.395 | [35] |
|              |                     | [3,4-dihydro-2,5,7,8-tetrameth-|        |          |          |            |         |     |
|              |                     | -1-2-(4,8,12-trimethyltridecy)-2H-1-benzopyran-6-yl hydrogen phosphate] potassium salt (EPC-K1) | | | | | | |
| Hirose, J.   | 2001                | L-ascorbic acid 2-   | 7.79+/−1.4| 9.51+/−0.98| 8        | 0.5354     | EPC-K1:−0.462 to 1.5328 | [36] |
|              |                     | [3,4-dihydro-2,5,7,8-tetramethyl-2-(4,8,12-trimethyltridecy)-2H-1-benzopyran-6-yl hydrogen phosphate] potassium salt (EPC-K1) | | | | | | |
| Hoch, J. R   | 1991                | Superoxide dismutase and mannitol | 3 hrs- Muscle stimulated:8.1+/−2.8; Nerve stimulated:8.0+/−3.0] [20 hrs- Muscle stimulated:3.7+/−1.0; Nerve stimulated:8.0+/−2.0] | [Superoxide dismutase—3 hrs- Muscle stimulated:5.3+/−1.9; Nerve stimulated:11.5+/−5.4] [20 hrs-Muscle stimulated:3.3+/−1.4; Nerve stimulated:7.3+/−3.5] | 7 | [Superoxide dismutase—3 hrs- Muscle stimulated:−0.5119; Nerve stimulated:0.3271] | [47] |
|              |                     | —3 hrs- | Muscle stimulated:10.3+/−2.1; Nerve stimulated:12.3+/−3.7] [20 hrs: Muscle stimulated:15.4+/−3.3; Nerve stimulated:19.5+/−4.9] | | | | | | |
| Ikebe, K.    | 2001                | L-NMMA                | TA-2.6 (0.09); Gastrocnemius muscle:0.77+/−0.151 | TA-3.35 (0.13); Gastrocnemius muscle:1.6+/−0.32 | 12 | TA: 2.0226; Gastroc: 1.0002 | TA:1.0389 to 3.0063; Gastroc: 0.1515 to 1.8489 | [37] |
| Ikebe, K.    | 2002                | L-NMMA; L-NMMA + S-nitrosoglutathione; Superoxide dismutase (SOD) | 3.63 (0.35) | L-NMMA: 8.84 (1.23); L-NMMA + S-nitrosoglutathione:3.31 (0.39); SOD: 7.44 (0.77) | 6 | L-NMMA: 2.5767; NMMA + S-nitrosoglutathione:−0.3862; SOD: 2.8489 | NMMA: 1.0459 to 4.1074; NMMA + S-nitrosoglutathione:−1.5283 to 0.7559; SOD:1.2428 to 4.455 | [38] |
| Kingston, R. | 2005                | Taurine               | 9.88+/−11.52 | Taurine-Proximally 69.2+/−55.37; Taurine-distally:74.78+/−50.44 Taurine-Proxi+dist 42.04+/−39.49 | | T test; No mention of SE/SD | T test; No mention of SE/SD | [28] |

(Continued)
| First Author | Year of publication | Name of intervention | Control | Sample size | Effect size | % CI | Ref |
|--------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|------|-----|
| Mohler, L. R. | 1996 | U74006F (tirilazad mesylate, 21-[4-(2,6-di-1-pyrrolidinyl-4-pyrimidinyl)-1-piperazinyl]16m-methyl-pregna-1,4,9(11)-triene-3,20 dione methane-sulfonate | 2 hrs—Nerve stimulation:1340 +/- 236; Direct: 1631 +/- 80 | 7 | 2 hrs—Nerve stimulation:0.0301; Direct: -0.7348 to 0.2509 | 4 | [49] |
| Morgan, R. A | 1993 | ATP/MgCl2 | Muscle stimulation 0- immediately prior to arterial clamping Mean (SE) 895 (26) Nerve stimulation 0- immediately prior to arterial clamping Mean (SE) 813 (65); Muscle stimulation 1 hr after ischemia Mean (SE) 439 (72) | 7 | Muscle stimulation 0- immediately prior to arterial clamping: -16.9864 Nerve stimulation 0- immediately prior to arterial clamping: -0.2366; Muscle stimulation 1 hr after ischemia: -0.0514 Nerve stimulation 1 hr after ischemia: 0.0504; Muscle stimulation 4 hr after ischemia: -0.5774 Nerve stimulation 4 hr after ischemia: -0.9974 to 1.0812; Muscle stimulation 3 hr of reperfusion: -1.6466 Nerve stimulation 3 hr of reperfusion: 0.5194 Nerve stimulation 3 hr of reperfusion: 1.2225 to 4.0992 | [50] |
| Pekoglu, E | 2020 | Trans-cinnamaldehyde (TCA) | 307.31 ± 217.8 | 8 | 0.7834 | TCA: -0.2335 to 1.8003 | [29] |
| Quinones-Baldrich, W. J. | 1991 | Controlled reperfusion | Normal reperfusion-0.574 +/- 0.073; Controlled reperfusion-0.552+/- 0.057; Leukopenic/thrombocytopenic Controlled reperfusion-0.618 +/- 0.151; Fibrinolytic reperfusion-0.482 +/- 0.066 | 7 | Controlled reperfusion: -0.1145; Leukopenic/thrombocytopenic Controlled reperfusion: 0.1319; Fibrinolytic reperfusion: 0.45 | Controlled reperfusion: -1.0449 to 0.816; Leukopenic/thrombocytopenic Controlled reperfusion: -0.7696 to 1.0334; Fibrinolytic reperfusion: -1.3619 to 0.4618 | [46] |
(at eight hours), CYR61, EPC-K1, Mannitol (at 20 hours), L-NMMA, MSCs in FBS (at both seven and 14 days), PEGylated fibrin gel (at 14 days), M1 macrophages and DCA.

2. Limitations of the included studies

This review provides useful information with respect to the possibility of using above identified agents as non-surgical therapies to elicit improved functional outcomes following ACS. However, the articles included within this systematic review have the following limitations: 1) None of the studies provided information on sample size calculations. The adequate sample size is required for scientific rigor and appropriate interpretation of findings. 2) Only one
study reported that animals were randomly selected for outcome assessments. Randomization is used in human studies, whereas in animal experiments, this is not widely adopted. 3) Out of 29 studies, only five provided blinded outcome assessments. The random selection of subjects and blinded outcome assessments have been shown to reduce bias in human clinical trials. Hence, the unduly biased pre-clinical studies may not be considered a part of the rationale for clinical trials. 4) Only five studies investigated the effects of anesthesia on skeletal muscle injury. Inhalant anesthetics have shown effects on neurotransmission pathways and calcium activation of muscle. It is important to study anesthetic effects on muscle injury. There are speculations that inhalant anesthetics may directly involve the contractile proteins actin or myosin. Thus, having control animals to study anesthesia effects alone is recommended to account for these variables. 5) Only 11 studies included the statement “disclosure of potential conflict” out of 29 studies. Declaring conflicts of interest is critical for maintaining the integrity of unbiased professional assessment of the publications. Previously, the inclusion of this statement was largely neglected in reputed journals, but presently, it is necessary to report conflicts of interest statements before acceptance for publication. 6) The majority of included studies, except for three, reported random allocation of animals to treatment or control groups. It is well known that failure to randomize will lead to the overestimation of treatment benefits of interventions across outcome measures. 7) Out of 29 studies, six did not specify the timing of ischemia/trauma induction before/after randomization, making the analysis difficult. As discussed earlier, the randomization of animals plays an important role in outcome assessment. Further, only five studies adopted female animals, and it cannot be ignored that both genders will be developing ACS due to trauma with a different pattern of sensitivity towards trauma. Healing patterns may also differ in these genders due to differences in the type of sexual hormones. Another limitation is that none of the studies used a crushed/trauma model to create ischemia for testing intervention efficacy on muscle function. As discussed previously, immediately after ACS is diagnosed, the fascia should be cut open within 3–6 hrs of the injury to prevent irreversible damage. This point should be considered when designing the experiments. Another limitation is that three of the included studies terminated the study within 1 or 2 hrs, which is before the onset of ACS. Early termination of studies made it difficult to derive/understand results that are meaningful to apply for treating trauma-related ACS. Although these models represent the pathophysiological mechanism that causes ACS with increased pressure and ischemia leading to tissue damage, clearly, all of the models reviewed here are not representative of the exact pathophysiology of ACS present in the trauma patient population, where severe destruction of soft tissue with/without fractures, localized reductions in regional blood flow to traumatized tissue and trauma induced inflammatory milieu are seen.

As discussed earlier, high-quality animal studies are crucial for the translation of animal data into clinical studies [52]. Therefore, we suggest that while designing experiments/analyzing results, authors should try to avoid the limitations listed above and follow standard guidelines for animal studies to improve the accuracy of the experimental data [53].

3. Implications
As previously discussed, fasciotomy is the current standard of care for ACS and the only clinical option for immediate relief of the increased intra-compartmental pressure. Part of the sequelae of ACS and its subsequent release via fasciotomy is a reperfusion injury which carries with it a multi-week timeline over which muscle function is slowly recovered. Given the prevalence of ACS in military trauma, this recovery timeline is a significant burden to our wounded Service members and ultimately the readiness of the joint Forces. As such identifying and gaining knowledge of the effective treatments for reperfusion injury is paramount to designing
adjunct, non-surgical treatment strategies to fasciotomy for ACS. Thus, given the lack of bona-fide ACS studies in the literature, studies focused on preventing or ameliorating the pathophysiology of I/R injury were used to gain insights into how various readily available interventions might help reduce ACS symptoms and improve muscle function. The majority of the studies evaluated here terminated the experiments at/after >4 hrs except for three studies [30, 31, 47]. Biological interventions used individually are the most effective in protecting the tissue from I/R damage and improved muscle contractile function. These interventions tested muscle contractile function after a prolonged time (7 to 40 days after intervention) compared to other treatments, which analyzed this parameter within 24 hrs. Despite the relevance of studies that investigated the intervention effects on I/R damage within 24 hours of injury, they are limited in understanding the effects of interventions that may prolong the ischemic time that tissue can resist before the tissue damage occurs. An increase in the length of the ischemic period will lead to an increase in cell death with irreversible damage followed by loss of structural integrity of the affected tissue. Therefore, specifically targeted therapies are necessary to activate cell survival programs to overcome the pathologic events associated with ACS.

From the reviewed literature, it is apparent that inflammation plays a significant role in creating ACS conditions [54]. Compounds that possess anti-inflammatory properties may show improved efficacy in reducing ACS, importantly when improving muscle contractile function in the affected limb. A majority of the included studies showed improvement in muscle function whereas, only 10 included studies reported/analyzed effects on inflammation. Out of 10 studies, eight studies showed inhibitory effects on inflammation after treatments. Similarly, edema is the result of acute inflammation from an injury [54]. Edema results in an increased tissue pressure, metabolic insults due to tissue necrosis and increased infiltration of inflammatory cells, inducing defective endogenous muscle recovery mechanisms. Thus, the development of therapeutic strategies is required to minimize the pathological inflammatory processes and direct towards tissue formation. Among the included studies, only a few aimed to analyze intervention effects on edema. Currently, this review was able to show that the majority of interventions tested in the included studies rescued the muscle from pathological conditions of ACS with an improvement in muscle contractile function. The agents discussed in this review may have the potential to rescue the muscle from pathological conditions of ACS developed by trauma.

From this paper, we have observed a lack of therapies which directly target skeletal muscle regeneration as a means to accelerate functional recovery after ACS. Given the critical role that myofiber damage and necrosis plays in the pathology of ACS, it seems that such interventions represent an underexplored therapeutic option which warrants further investigation as a means to accelerate functional recovery of the afflicted. To this end interventions (e.g. small molecules or direct cellular therapies) which might promote an expanded pool of activated satellite cells in the affected compartment would be of particular interest either as a monotherapy or in conjunction with a number of compounds targeting inflammation, oxidative stress, or nitric oxide metabolism as reviewed herein.

**Conclusions**

Based on the findings presented herein, both chemical and biological interventions may improve muscle-contractile function following I/R within an ACS conditions. However, caution should be exercised in the interpretation of the data as variability in experimental designs, analysis measures, and limited analysis of edema and inflammation limits our understanding of how these interventions compare to each other. In addition, there are paucity of studies available on the use of extremity trauma-mediated ACS models which utilized muscle
contractile force as an (primary) outcome measure. Therefore, further work is warranted to evaluate the efficacy of non-surgical interventions on functional outcomes in ACS that is caused by extremity trauma. Further research in this direction will help wounded soldiers who develop ACS to have shorter recovery times and restoration of full muscle function.

Supporting information
S1 Checklist. PRISMA abstract checklist. (DOCX)
S2 Checklist. PRISMA 2020 main checklist. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments
The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views, opinions or policies of Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS), the Department of Defense (DoD), the Departments of the Army, Navy, or Air Force. Mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Author Contributions
Conceptualization: Naveena B. Janakiram, Stephen M. Goldman, Christopher L. Dearth.
Data curation: Naveena B. Janakiram, Jessica M. Motherwell, Stephen M. Goldman.
Formal analysis: Naveena B. Janakiram, Jessica M. Motherwell.
Funding acquisition: Christopher L. Dearth.
Methodology: Naveena B. Janakiram, Jessica M. Motherwell, Stephen M. Goldman, Christopher L. Dearth.
Project administration: Christopher L. Dearth.
Software: Naveena B. Janakiram, Jessica M. Motherwell.
Supervision: Stephen M. Goldman, Christopher L. Dearth.
Validation: Naveena B. Janakiram, Jessica M. Motherwell.
Visualization: Naveena B. Janakiram.
Writing – original draft: Naveena B. Janakiram.
Writing – review & editing: Naveena B. Janakiram, Jessica M. Motherwell, Stephen M. Goldman, Christopher L. Dearth.

References
1. Ramasamy A, Masouros SD, Newell N, Hill AM, Proud WG, Brown KA, et al. In-vehicle extremity injuries from improvised explosive devices: current and future foci. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2011; 366(1562):160–70. Epub 2010/12/15. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0219 PMID: 21149353; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3013426.
2. Belmont PJ, Schoenfeld AJ, Goodman G. Epidemiology of combat wounds in Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom: orthopaedic burden of disease. Journal of surgical orthopaedic advances. 2010; 19(1):2–7. Epub 2010/04/08. PMID: 20370999.
3. Dougherty AL, Mohrle CR, Galarneau MR, Woodruff SI, Dye JL, Quinn KH. Battlefield extremity injuries in Operation Iraqi Freedom. Injury. 2009; 40(7):772–7. Epub 2009/05/20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.injury.2009.02.014 PMID: 19450798.

4. von Keudell AG, Weaver MJ, Appleton PT, Bae DS, Dyer GSM, Heng M, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of acute extremity compartment syndrome. Lancet. 2015; 386(10000):1299–310. Epub 2015/10/16. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)02077-9 PMID: 26460664.

5. Hope MJ, McQueen MM. Acute compartment syndrome in the absence of fracture. J Orthop Trauma. 2004; 18(4):220–4. Epub 2004/04/17. https://doi.org/10.1097/00005131-200404000-00005 PMID: 15087985.

6. Meskey T, Hardcastle J, O’Toole RV. Are certain fractures at increased risk for compartment syndrome after civilian ballistic injury? The Journal of trauma. 2011; 71(5):1385–9. Epub 2011/11/11. https://doi.org/10.1097/TA.0b013e31822fece25 PMID: 22071934.

7. Matsen FA. Compartmental syndrome. An unified concept. Clinical orthopaedics and related research. 1975; 113:8–14. PMID: 1192678.

8. Gourgiotis S, Villias C, Germanos S, Foukas A, Ridolfini MP. Acute limb compartment syndrome: a review. J Surg Educ. 2007; 64(3):178–86. Epub 2007/06/19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsurg.2007.03.006 PMID: 17574182.

9. Torlincasi AM, Lopez RA, Waseem M. Acute compartment syndrome. StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing Copyright © 2020, StatPearls Publishing LLC.; 2020.

10. Donaldson J, Haddad B, Khan WS. The pathophysiology, diagnosis and current management of acute compartment syndrome. Open Orthop J. 2014; 8:185–93. Epub 2014/07/30. https://doi.org/10.2174/1874325001408010185 PMID: 25067973; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4110398.

11. Gillani S, Cao J, Suzuki T, Hak DJ. The effect of ischemia reperfusion injury on skeletal muscle. Injury. 2012; 43(6):670–5. Epub 2011/04/13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.injury.2011.03.008 PMID: 21481870.

12. Woitaske MD, McCarter RJM. Effects of Fiber Type on Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury in Mouse Skeletal Muscle. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery. 1998; 102(6). https://doi.org/10.1097/00006534-199811000-00037 PMID: 9811003.

13. Lawendy AR, Bihari A, Sanders DW, Badhwar A, Cepinskas G. Compartment syndrome causes systemic inflammation in a rat. Bone Joint J. 2016; 98-b(8):1132–7. Epub 2016/08/03. https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.98B8.36325 PMID: 27482029.

14. Vourc’h M, Roquilly A, Asehnoune K. Trauma-Induced Damage-Associated Molecular Patterns-Mediated Remote Organ Injury and Immunosuppression in the Acutely Ill Patient. Frontiers in Immunology. 2018; 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.01330 PMID: 29963048.

15. Merle G, Harvey EJ. Pathophysiology of Compartment Syndrome. In: Mauffrey C, Hak DJ, Martin IM, editors. Compartment Syndrome: A Guide to Diagnosis and Management. Cham (CH): Springer Copyright 2019, The Author(s). 2019. p. 17–24.

16. Knight KR, Messina A, Hurley JV, Zhang B, Morrison WA, Stewart AG. Muscle cells become necrotic rather than apoptotic during reperfusion of ischaemic skeletal muscle. International journal of experimental pathology. 1999; 80(3):169–75. Epub 1999/09/01. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2613.1999.00111.x PMID: 10469272; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2517767.

17. Lawendy AR, Bihari A, Sanders DW, McGarr G, Badhwar A, Cepinskas G. Contribution of inflammation to cellular injury in compartment syndrome in an experimental rodent model. Bone Joint J. 2015; 97-b(4):539–43. Epub 2015/03/31. https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.97B4.34965 PMID: 25820895.

18. Finkelstein JA, Hunter GA, Hu RW. Lower limb compartment syndrome: course after delayed fasciotomy. J Trauma. 1996; 40(3):342–4. Epub 1996/03/01. https://doi.org/10.1097/00005373-199603000-00002 PMID: 8601846.

19. McQueen MM, Christie J, Court-Brown CM. Acute compartment syndrome in tibial diaphyseal fractures. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1996; 78(1):95–8. Epub 1996/01/01. PMID: 8898136.

20. Hargens AR, Schmidt DA, Evans KL, Gonsalves MR, Cologne JB, Garfin SR, et al. Quantitation of skeletal-muscle necrosis in a model compartment syndrome. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1981; 63(4):631–6. Epub 1981/04/01. PMID: 7217130.

21. Higgins JPT TJ, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. 2nd Edition ed: Chichester (UK), John Wiley & Sons; 2019.

22. Hooijmans CR, Rovers MM, de Vries RB, Leenaars M, Ritskes-Hoitinga M, Langendam MW. SYRCLE’s risk of bias tool for animal studies. BMC medical research methodology. 2014; 14:43. Epub 2014/03/29. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-14-43 PMID: 24667063; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4230647.

23. Aurora A, Roe JL, Umoh NA, Dubick M, Wenke JC, Walters TJ. Fresh whole blood resuscitation does not exacerbate skeletal muscle edema and long-term functional deficit after ischemic injury and...
hemorrhagic shock. The journal of trauma and acute care surgery. 2018; 84(5):786–94. https://doi.org/10.1097/TA.000000000001806 PMID: 29370063.

24. Chen LE, Seaber AV, Nasser RM, Stamler JS, Urbaniak JR. Effects of S-nitroso-N-acetylcysteine on contractile function of reperfused skeletal muscle. Am J Physiol. 1998; 274(3): R822–9. Epub 1998/04/08. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.1998.274.3.R822 PMID: 9530251.

25. Chen LE, Silver WP, Seaber AV, Korompias AV, Urbaniak JR. Effects of dexamethasone on the contractile function of reperfused skeletal muscle. Microsurgery. 1996; 17(6):313–20. Epub 1996/01/01. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2752(1996)17:6<313::AID-MICR5>3.0.CO;2-I PMID: 9308715.

26. Dillon JP, Laing AJ, Chandler JR, Shields CJ, Wang JH, McGuinness A, et al. Hypertonic saline reduces skeletal muscle injury and associated remote organ injury following ischemia reperfusion injury. Acta Orthop. 2008; 79(5):703–7. Epub 2008/10/08. https://doi.org/10.1080/17453670810016740 PMID: 18839379.

27. Dillon JP, Laing AJ, Chandler JR, Wang JH, McGuinness A, Redmond HP. Pravastatin attenuates tourniquet-induced skeletal muscle ischemia reperfusion injury. Acta Orthop. 2006; 77(1):27–32. Epub 2006/03/15. https://doi.org/10.1080/17453670610045669 PMID: 16534699.

28. Kingston R, Kearns S, Kelly C, Murray P. Effects of systemic and regional taurine on skeletal muscle function following ischaemia-reperfusion injury. J Orthop Res. 2005; 23(2):310–4. Epub 2005/03/01. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jores.2004.07.003 PMID: 15734241.

29. Pekoglu E, Buyukakilli B, Turkseven CH, Balli E, Bayrak G, Cimen B, et al. Effects of Trans-Cinnamaldehyde on Reperfused Ischemic Skeletal Muscle and the Relationship to Laminin. Journal of investigative surgery: the official journal of the Academy of Surgical Research. 2020:1–10. Epub 2020/08/06. https://doi.org/10.1080/17453670.2020.1802538 PMID: 32752972.

30. Stahl D, Souder N, Probe R, Sampson W, Tharakan B, Ward R. The effects of hypothermia and L-arginine on skeletal muscle injury and associated remote organ injury following ischemia reperfusion injury. Acta Orthop. 2008; 79(5):703–7. Epub 2008/10/08. https://doi.org/10.1080/17453670810016740 PMID: 18839379.

31. Wilson JS, Rushing G, Johnson BL, Kline JA, Back MR, Bandyk DF. Dichloroacetate increases skeletal muscle pyruvate dehydrogenase activity during acute limb ischemia. Vasc Endovascular Surg. 2003; 37(3):191–5. Epub 2003/06/12. https://doi.org/10.1177/153857440303700306 PMID: 12799728.

32. Bagis Z, Ozeren M, Buyukakilli B, Balli E, Yaman S, Yetkin D, et al. Effect of iloprost on contractile function of reperfused skeletal muscle. Microsurgery. 1996; 17(6):313–20. Epub 1996/01/01. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2752(1996)17:6<313::AID-MICR5>3.0.CO;2-I PMID: 9308715.

33. Hirose J, Yamaga M, Ide J, Tanoue M, Takagi K. Reduced ischemia-reperfusion injury in muscle. J Appl Physiol (1985). 2022; 132(2):541–52. Epub 2022/01/07. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00259.2021 PMID: 34989649; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8836730.

34. Dyer SE, Remer JD, Hannifin KE, Hombal A, Wenke JC, Walters TJ, et al. Administration of particulate oxygen generators improves skeletal muscle contractile function after ischemia-reperfusion injury in the rat hindlimb. J Appl Physiol (1985). 2022; 132(2):541–52. Epub 2022/01/07. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00259.2021 PMID: 34989649; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8836730.

35. Hirose J, Yamaga M, Ide J, Tanoue M, Takagi K. Reduced ischemia-reperfusion injury in muscle. Experiments in rats with EPC-K1, a new radical scavenger. Acta Orthop Scand. 1997; 68(4):369–73. Epub 1997/08/01. https://doi.org/10.3109/17453679708996179 PMID: 9310042.

36. Hirose J, Yamaga M, Kato T, Ikebe K, Takagi K. Effects of a hydroxyl radical scavenger, EPC-K1, and neutrophil depletion on reperfusion injury in rat skeletal muscle. Acta Orthop Scand. 2001; 72(4):404–10. Epub 2001/10/03. https://doi.org/10.1080/000164701753542078 PMID: 11580190.

37. Ikebe K, Kato T, Yamaga M, Hirose J, Tsushima T, Takagi K. Increased ischemia-reperfusion blood flow impairs the skeletal muscle contractile function. J Surg Res. 2001; 99(1):1–6. Epub 2001/06/26. https://doi.org/10.1006/jsre.2001.6134 PMID: 11421597.

38. Ikebe K, Kato T, Yamaga M, Tsushima T, Irie H, Oniki Y, et al. Effect of nitric oxide on the contractile function of rat reperfused skeletal muscle. J Surg Res. 2002; 106(1):82–5. Epub 2002/07/20. https://doi.org/10.1006/jsre.2002.6395 PMID: 12127812.

39. Ricles LM, Hsieh PL, Dana N, Rybalko V, Kraynak C, Farrar RP, et al. Therapeutic assessment of mesenchymal stem cells delivered within a PEGylated fibrin gel following an ischemic injury. Biomaterials. 2016; 102:9–19. Epub 2016/06/20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2016.06.011 PMID: 27318932; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4939799.

40. Demirel M, Kaya B, Cercek C, Erlunc M, Sara Y. L-carnitine pretreatment protects slow-twitch skeletal muscles in a rat model of ischemia-reperfusion injury. Vasc Endovascular Surg. 2013; 47(7):540–5. Epub 2013/07/23. https://doi.org/10.1177/1538574413496481 PMID: 23873671.

41. Corona BT, Rathbone CR. Accelerated functional recovery after skeletal muscle ischemia-reperfusion injury using freshly isolated bone marrow cells. J Surg Res. 2014; 188(1):100–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2013.12.026 PMID: 24485153.
42. Corona BT, Wenke JC, Walters TJ, Rathbone CR. Intramuscular transplantation and survival of freshly isolated bone marrow cells following skeletal muscle ischemia-reperfusion injury. The journal of trauma and acute care surgery. 2013; 75(2 Suppl 2): S142–9. https://doi.org/10.1097/TA.0b013e318289ac1fa PMID: 23883899.

43. Rybalko V, Hsieh PL, Merscham-Banda M, Suggs LJ, Farrar RP. The Development of Macrophage-Mediated Cell Therapy to Improve Skeletal Muscle Function after Injury. PLoS One. 2015; 10(12): e0145550. Epub 2015/12/31. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0145550 PMID: 26717325; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4696731.

44. Rybalko V, Hsieh PL, Ricles LM, Chung E, Farrar RP, Suggs LJ. Therapeutic potential of adipose-derived stem cells and macrophages for ischemic skeletal muscle repair. Regen Med. 2017; 12(2):153–67. Epub 2017/03/01. https://doi.org/10.2217/rme-2016-0094 PMID: 28244825; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5348723.

45. Wang Y, Xie W, Liu B, Huang H, Luo W, Zhang Y, et al. Stem cell-derived exosomes repair ischemic muscle injury by inhibiting the tumor suppressor Rb1-mediated NLRP3 inflammasome pathway. Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy. 2021; 6(1): 121. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-021-00520-8 PMID: 33727530

46. Quiñones-Baldrich WJ, Chernv A, Hernandez JJ, Colburn M, Moore WS. Skeletal muscle function after ischemia: “no reflow” versus reperfusion injury. J Surg Res. 1991; 51(1):5–12. Epub 1991/07/01. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-4804(91)90062-q PMID: 2067359.

47. Hoch JR, Stevens RP, Keller MP, Silver D. Recovery of neuromuscular function during reperfusion of the ischemic extremity: effect of mannitol and superoxide dismutase. Surgery. 1991; 110(4):656–62; discussion 62–3. Epub 1991/10/01. PMID: 1925954.

48. Feller AM, Roth AC, Russell RC, Eagleton B, Suchy H, Debs N. Experimental evaluation of oxygen free radical scavengers in the prevention of reperfusion injury to skeletal muscle. Ann Plast Surg. 1989; 22(4):321–31. Epub 1989/04/01. https://doi.org/10.1097/00000637-198904000-00007 PMID: 2539767.

49. Mohler LR, Pedowitz RA, Ohara WM, Oyama BK, Lopez MA, Gershuni DH. Effects of an antioxidant in a rabbit model of tourniquet-induced skeletal muscle ischemia-reperfusion injury. J Surg Res. 1996; 60(1):23–8. Epub 1996/01/01. https://doi.org/10.1006/jsre.1996.0005 PMID: 8592419.

50. Morgan RA, Cikrit DF, Dalsing MC. Allastair B. Karmody Award. Improved recovery of limb function with ATP/MgCl2 in an ischemic canine hind limb. Am J Surg. 1993; 166(2):103–7. Epub 1993/08/01. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9610(93)81038-4 PMID: 8352386.

51. Frey SP, Yorumazel B, Hölscher-Doht S, Eden L, Schütze N, Meffert RH, et al. CYR61 improves muscle force recreation in a rabbit trauma model. Technol Health Care. 2019. Epub 2019/03/26. https://doi.org/10.3233/thc-191635 PMID: 30909257.

52. Ramirez FD, Motazedian P, Jung RG, Di Santo P, MacDonald ZD, Moreland R, et al. Methodological Rigor in Preclinical Cardiovascular Studies: Targets to Enhance Reproducibility and Promote Research Translation. Circulation research. 2017; 120(12):1916–26. Epub 2017/04/05. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.310628 PMID: 28373349; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5466021.

53. Kilkenny C, Browne W, Cuthill IC, Emerson M, Altman DG. Animal research: reporting in vivo experiments: the ARRIVE guidelines. British journal of pharmacology. 2010; 160(7):1577–9. Epub 2010/07/24. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1476-5381.2010.00872.x PMID: 20649561; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2936830.

54. Blaisdell FW. The pathophysiology of skeletal muscle ischemia and the reperfusion syndrome: a review. Cardiovasc Surg. 2002; 10(6):620–30. Epub 2002/11/28. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0967-2109(02)00070-4 PMID: 12453699.