Comparison of subgaleal and subdural closed drainage system in the surgical treatment of chronic subdural hematoma
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ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: One or two burr-hole craniostomies with subgaleal or subdural drainage system and irrigation are the most common methods for surgical treatment of CSDH. The aim of this study is to compare the advantages or disadvantages of these techniques used for CSDH.

METHODS: Seventy patients were treated by burr-hole subdural drainage or subgaleal drainage system with irrigation. Our patients were classified into two groups according to the operative procedure as follows: Group I, one or two burr-hole craniostomy with subgaleal closed system drainage and irrigation (n=36), Group II, one or two burr-hole craniostomies with subdural closed drainage system and irrigation (n=38). We compared male and female ratios, complication rates, and age distribution between groups.

RESULTS: There was no remarkable difference between recurrence rates of the two groups. Recurrence rate was 6.25% in Group I and 7.8% in Group II. Subdural empyema occurred in one of the patients in Group II. Symptomatic pneumocephalus did not develop in patients. Four patients were reoperated for recurrence at an average of 12–20 days after the operation with the same methods.

CONCLUSION: Both of the techniques have a higher cure rate and a lower risk of recurrence. However, subgaleal drainage system is relatively less invasive, safe, and technically easy. So it is applicable for aged and higher risk patients.
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Chronic subdural hematoma (CSDH) is seen frequently in daily neurosurgical practice. Its incidence is about five per 100,000 per year in the general population but it is higher among those aged 70 years and older [1]. Trauma is the most important risk factor for the development of CSDH [2, 3].
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The clinical picture of CSDH varies widely. Common symptoms in the largest series with medical reports on 2300 patients were simple treatment-refractory headache and sensorimotor and neuropsychiatric changes such as amnestic or concentration deficits [2]. Surgical treatment of CSDH in symptomatic patients is still the “gold standard“ therapy. The following types of surgical treatment approaches have been used: craniotomy, twist-drill craniostomy, burr-hole drainage with placement of a subdural drain or subgaleal drainage system, percutaneous subdural tapping and endoscopy with variable results.

In this article, we described, and compared the consequences of subdural and subgaleal closed drainage systems.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

From January 2009 to December 2011, we operated on 78 adult patients with chronic subdural hematomas in the department of Neurosurgery of Erciyes University Faculty of Medicine. All of the patients were treated surgically and analyzed retrospectively. Our patients were classified into two groups according to the operative procedure as follows: Group I, one or two burr-hole craniostomy with subgaleal closed system drainage, and Group II, one or two burr-hole craniostomies with subdural closed drainage system. The choice of the surgical technique was decided randomly by different surgeons. Preoperative clinical laboratory parameters measured included bleeding time, platelet count, prothrombin time, and activated partial thromboplastin time. Our study was approved by institutional ethics committee. In all cases, computed tomography and/or magnetic resonance images was used for diagnosis and postoperative evaluation. We measured thickness of the hematoma using a PACS (Infinitt Co. Ltd. Seoul, Korea) viewer. Two groups had similar preoperative clinical data.

Standard supplemental statistical methods (mean, standard deviation and percentage) were used to evaluate the results of this study. The normality of the range of the parametric variables was evaluated with the Kolmogrov-Smirnov test. Result were analyzed using Student t-test, Mann-Whitney U and Wilcoxon Test. P-value of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**Surgical techniques**

All operations were performed under sedo-analgesia and general anesthesia. Patients were placed in the supine position with the head elevated and inclined 20–40 degrees towards the contralateral side of the hematoma. As a prophylactic antibiotic, 1 g cefazolin injection was given before the skin incision.

A skin incision of approximately 4 to 5 cm in length was made over the maximum thickness of...
the hematoma, generally the parietal bump. Burr-hole craniostomy was performed with the high speed pneumatic drills. The dura mater was incised and bleeding foci were coagulated by bipolar coagulation. The outer and inner membranes of the hematoma were coagulated and incised and hematoma was drained. Then irrigation of the hematoma cavity with sterile saline at 37°C was made until clear fluid came out of the burr hole. A 10 F drainage tube was placed in the subgaleal area and the terminal end of the tube was positioned over the burr hole in Group I (Figure 1). In Group II, the drainage tube was inserted and left in the subdural space. After we closed the incision, the tube was connected to a closed drainage system. The drainage tubes were removed 48–72 hours after the surgery in Groups I and II. Prophylactic antibiotics were used so long as the drainage continued.

RESULTS

Distribution of males and females in each group was 28:8 in Group I, 29:9 in Group II. The mean ages of the patients in Groups I, and II were 68.1±14.4 and 66.1±13.7 years, respectively. Clinical features of the patients are listed in Table 3. Neurologic performances of the patients were evaluated based on Markwalder Grading Scale (MGS) (Table1).

Fifty-eight (78.3%) patients had a history of head trauma and nine patients (11.5%) were receiving anticoagulant therapy. Four patients had had a ventriculoperitoneal shunt inserted previously for
hydrocephalus. No precipitating factors could be identified in three patients (Table 2).

Headache was the most common symptom of the patients (51.3%). The other symptoms and signs were limb weakness (51.3%), decreased consciousness (20.5%), seizure (5.1%), diplopia (1.2%), vertigo (11.5%), and cognitive dysfunction (10.2%). Hematoma was bilateral in 5 patients (6.4%) (Table 3). There was no significant difference between groups as for clinical presentations. No statistically significant differences were found regarding the distribution of genders and age groups between groups.

In Group I, 6, and in Group II, 5 patients had grade 3 neurologic performance status based on Markwalder Grading System. At the time of discharge, in Group I, 4, and in Group II, 2 patients had grade 3 neurologic performance status based on Markwalder Grading System. The mean thickness of the hematoma was 2.32 cm preoperatively in Group I and 2.38 cm in Group II. Any statistically significant intergroup differences were not found as for the thickness of hematomas (p>0.05) (Table 4).

All the patients were checked with CT or MRI at the 2., 8., and 12. postoperative weeks. All the patients were discharged between the 2.–5. postoperative days. We followed up the patients for 3 months. The patients have been followed clinically and in the third month control CT, the complete resorption of the hematomas was observed (Figures 2 and 3).

Symptomatic pneumocephalus did not develop in patients. Postoperative complications and outcomes of the patients with CSDH are shown in Table 5.

A subdural empyema occurred in a patient from Group II who was treated with antibiotics and surgery. Seizures were treated with anticonvulsant drugs (phenytoin or sodium valproate). Recurrence of CSDH was diagnosed according to clinical (aggravation of headache, change in consciousness, and worsening of preexisting neurological deficit) and/or radiological criteria (CT scans). Four patients were reoperated for recurrences between 12–20 days after the operation with the same methods. But one patient was reoperated with craniotomy in Group II with the indication of acute subdural hematoma. In the majority of the patients, neurologic status improved after the operation.

**DISCUSSION**

Chronic subdural hematoma is seen in geriatric patients, and trauma is the most important reason for CSDH [1, 2, 3]. Treatment options for CSDH are surgical or nonsurgical therapies. Nonoperative treatment of CSDH consists of the use of steroids (low dose dexamethasone) or mannitol [4, 5, 6, 7]. Operative treatment of CSDH in symptomatic patients is yet the gold standard of therapy because it allows urgent decompression of the subdural space [8].

Different operative treatment options have been reported for CSDH, such as twist-drill craniotomy, twist-drill craniotomy, twist-drill craniotomy, or twist-drill craniotomy.
small craniotomy and endoscopic removal, large craniotomy and membranectomy or burr-hole craniotomy with or without continuous closed drainage system and continuous subgaleal suction drainage or burr-hole trepanation and a subperiostal drainage system [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15].

In this study, we compared two surgical techniques including burr-hole craniostomy, subdural and subgaleal drainage systems. Besides, we discussed the advantages and disadvantages of these techniques.

According to Gazzeri et al. subgaleal closed drainage system has a low rate of recurrence and pneumocephalus [14]. Also, some researchers have reported lower recurrence rates with the use of postoperative drains [16]. In addition, clinical data support the beneficial effects of intraoperative irrigation in order to resolve hematoma and reduce recurrences. Furthermore, use of closed system drainage reduces the risk of recurrence without additional risk of complications [17]. In our study, rate of recurrence was 5.5% in Group I, and 7.8% in Group II.

Additionally, rates of pneumocephalus were 43.75% in Group I and 47.3% in Group II. Consequently, these techniques have a lower recurrence rate and pneumocephalus.

The craniotomy technique has a low recurrence rate. But it is more invasive and has a greater morbidity and mortality [2, 18]. Nevertheless, crani-
ototomy as a management of CSDH has indications as solid hematoma and multiple recurrences [1]. In our study, we used craniotomy for reoperation of one patient who developed a solid hematoma.

Its other surgical complication is postoperative seizures [19]. In our experience, rate of seizures was 2.7–5.2% in Groups I and II. Seizure rates of 2–19% have been reported in CSDH patients [20, 21].

The other common complication is symptomatic pneumocephalus. This complication has been reported to range from 0 to 10% in the literature [14, 20, 22]. In the present study this complication did not develop in any patient in Groups I and II. Similarly, Gazzeri et al. did not report any incident of symptomatic pneumocephalus in their series [14].

Subdural empyema occurred in one of the patient who had undergone subdural drainage. The incidence of postoperative empyema has been reported to range between 0, and 6 percent [23, 24, 25, 26]. Similarly, Gazzeri et al. and Zumofen et al. reported a very low rate of subdural empyema in association with extracranial placement of the drain [14, 15]. In addition, Zumofen et al. advocated that the placement of extracranial drainage can reduce the incidence of deep brain infection which might develop as a consequence of surgical treatment of CSDH [15].

Postoperative acute hemorrhage was reported in some series in association with the use of subdural drain and burr-hole techniques [27]. Gazzeri et al. [14] and Zumofen et al. [15] have signified that the use of a subdural drain with burr-hole may lead to intracerebral hemorrhage. These drainage catheters may penetrate into the brain parenchyma or injure bridging veins [5, 28]. Placement of subgaleal drain-

age tube has not any risk of these complications. In our series, we haven’t seen any postoperative acute hemorrhage.

Conclusions

Subdural and subgaleal drainage system have a higher cure rate and a lower risk of recurrence. However, subgaleal drainage system is relatively less invasive, safe, and technically easy. Regarding safety, we didn’t see any subdural empyeme in our patients for whom we used subgaleal drainage system. Since the drainage tube is not in direct contact with the brain tissue and membranes of CSDH in this method, theoretically, there is no risk of acute cerebral hemorrhage. So subgaleal drainage system is practically applicable for higher risk patients.
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