Anatomy of quantum critical wave functions in dissipative impurity problems
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Quantum phase transitions reflect singular changes taking place in a many-body ground state, however, computing and analyzing large-scale critical wave functions constitutes a formidable challenge. New physical insights into the sub-Ohmic spin-boson model are provided by the coherent state expansion (CSE), which represents the wave function by a linear combination of classically displaced configurations. We find that the distribution of low-energy displacements displays an emergent symmetry in the absence of spontaneous symmetry breaking, while experiencing strong fluctuations of the order parameter near the quantum critical point. Quantum criticality provides two strong fingerprints in critical low-energy modes: an algebraic decay of the average displacement and a constant universal average squeezing amplitude. These observations, confirmed by extensive variational matrix product states (VMPS) simulations and field theory arguments, offer precious clues into the microscopics of critical many-body states in quantum impurity models.

I. INTRODUCTION

The understanding of critical phenomena in classical mechanics owes a great deal to the spatial representation of critical states, whereby the order parameter experiences statistical fluctuations on all length scales, due to a diverging correlation length [1, 2] at the critical temperature. This scale invariance property was the starting point for one of the most powerful tools in theoretical physics, the renormalization group, which allowed rationalization of classical criticality in terms of trajectories in the space of coupling constants [3]. Today, one frontier of research in critical phenomena lies in the quantum realm, where criticality may govern some of the most fascinating and complex properties found in strongly correlated materials or cold atoms [4, 5]. One very fruitful approach is to consider quantum criticality in light of an effective classical theory in higher dimensions [5], combining spatial and temporal fluctuations within the path integral formalism. Quantum phase transitions are then probed through physical response functions that display a diverging correlation length in space-time. However, this point of view does not provide a full picture of the physics at play, especially since quantum criticality pertains to a singular change in a many-body ground state. Developing wave function-based approaches to strong correlations is indeed a blossoming field, ranging from quantum chemistry [6] to quantum information [7, 8], so that hopes are high that quantum critical states may be rationalized in a simpler way.

Our aim in this article is to directly study the quantum critical wave function of a simple toy-model, the sub-Ohmic spin-boson Hamiltonian, and to unveil some salient fingerprints of criticality in its ground state. In this standard model, to be described in further details below, a single quantized spin interacts with a continuum of bosonic modes, with a spectrum of coupling constants that vanishes with a power-law $s < 1$ at low energy. For this purpose, we shall use a combination of two numerically-exact wave function-based methods for quantum impurity models, a variational matrix-product-state approach (VMPS) [8–11] and the coherent state expansion (CSE) [12–15]. VMPS is an acronym for the variational matrix-product-state (MPS) formulation of the density matrix renomalization group (DMRG), which has been established as a very powerful and flexible technique also in the context of bosonic impurity models [11, 16, 17], and will be used as a reference. Its all-purpose character makes it hard, however, to rationalize the precise content of the wave function in simple physical terms. For this reason, we implement the CSE variationally, which amounts to expanding environmental states of the bath onto a discrete set of classical-like configurations, namely coherent states of the bosonic states in the bath (note that an infinite discrete set is enough to ensure completeness of the coherent state basis [18]). Thus, crucial aspects of quantum criticality can be directly inferred by reading-off the various superpositions of oscillator displacements that parametrize the set of coherent states.

For a given spin orientation of the impurity, we find that the distribution of displacements within the CSE wave function displays an emergent symmetry (between
positive and negative values) in the critical domain. This implies that the average displacement decays to zero for low-energy critical modes, with a universal exponent controlled by the dynamical susceptibility. This behavior reflects the absence of spontaneous symmetry breaking, and the fact that the magnetization order parameter directly couples to the bosonic displacement field. Hence the displacements of the oscillators in the critical many-body wave function vanish in average at low energy. In addition, the CSE wave function indicates that the distribution of displacements admits a finite width at the quantum critical point (although its mean value vanishes algebraically for critical modes, as mentioned above). This observation translates physically the wide fluctuations of the order parameter that take place in the quantum critical regime in absence of ordering. At the level of the critical wave function, this effects amounts to a finite average squeezing amplitude of the quantum critical modes (averaged over a logarithmic energy interval), which we show from field theory arguments to take a constant universal value.

For the spin-boson model, we demonstrate that both the MPS and CSE methodologies converge to the same results, both away from and at the critical point. We find that the number of coherent states required to capture quantum critical behavior on a reasonable energy range (at least three decades) is relatively large, of the order of a hundred. For this reason, recent investigations of the sub-Ohmic model with variational CSEs using fewer states [19, 20] failed to grasp the critical exponents found in large scale VMPS calculations [16, 17]. In contrast to the usual Kondo problem associated with the Ohmic spin-boson model, the sub-Ohmic case is indeed governed by two energy scales in its delocalized phase, namely the renormalized tunneling amplitude and the mass of a soft bosonic collective mode which drives the transition. Capturing the critical softening requires careful and extensive numerical simulations, as we shall show by benchmarking the VMPS and CSE against each other.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the spin-boson model, its discretization on a Wilson energy mesh, and the variational solution of its many-body wave function using both MPS and CSE representations. The wave function obtained by CSE is displayed to guide physical intuition in the rest of the paper. Sec. III develops the necessary analytical work that relates the dynamical critical exponent of the spin susceptibility to two important features of the wave function: the average displacement of the environmental state and the average width (or squeezing amplitude). This allows us to elucidate the different behaviors of the wave function in both the non-critical delocalized phase and at the quantum critical point. Finally, Sec. IV shows numerical results from the VMPS and CSE approaches, finding excellent agreement between each other, as well as with analytical predictions. Appendix A provides details on a new hierarchical algorithm devised to solve the CSE in a fast and reliable way.

II. GROUND STATE WAVE FUNCTION OF THE SUB-OHMIC SPIN-BOSON MODEL

A. Model

Our study will be based on the spin-boson Hamiltonian [4, 21–24] with \( \Delta \) the quantum Larmor frequency of a two-level system described by Pauli matrices \( \sigma \):

\[
H = \frac{\Delta}{2} \sigma_x - \frac{\sigma_z}{2} \sum_k g_k (a_k^+ + a_k) + \sum_k \omega_k a_k^+ a_k. \tag{1}
\]

The bosonic spectrum assumes a pure power-law with exponent \( 0 < s < 1 \) up to a sharp high-energy cutoff \( \omega_c \) (\( \omega_c = 1 \) in all our numerical computations):

\[
J(\omega) \equiv \sum_k \pi g_k^2 \delta(\omega - \omega_k) = 2\pi \alpha \omega_c^{1-s} \omega^s \theta(\omega - \omega_c). \tag{2}
\]

The Ohmic case \((s = 1)\) can be realized in the context of waveguide-QED [25–28] by coupling a superconducting qubit to a high impedance transmission line consisting of a uniform Josephson junction array. In principle, a precise tailoring of the capacitance network could allow the sub-Ohmic regime to be realized as well. In terms of quantum critical phenomena [29–33] the sub-Ohmic model with \( 0 \leq s < 1 \) presents a continuous quantum phase transition at a critical coupling \( \alpha_c \) between a localized phase \((\langle \sigma_x \rangle \neq 0 \text{ for } \alpha > \alpha_c)\) and a symmetric phase \((\langle \sigma_x \rangle = 0 \text{ for } \alpha \leq \alpha_c)\), which will be our focus.

B. Wilson discretization

The bosonic bath \( J(\omega) \) is discretized in a logarithmic fashion, using a Wilson parameter \( \Lambda > 1 \), first on the highest energy window close to the cutoff \( [\Lambda^{-1} \omega_c, \omega_c] \), and then iteratively on successive decreasing energy intervals \([\omega_{n+1}, \omega_n]\) with \( \omega_n = \Lambda^{-n} \omega_c \) [16, 29, 30]. This leads to the so-called star-Hamiltonian, which involves the direct coupling of the spin to all bosonic modes (and not to a single site within an extended bosonic chain):

\[
H_{\text{star}} = \frac{\Delta}{2} \sigma_x - \frac{\sigma_z}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\gamma_n}{\pi} (a_n^1 + a_n) + \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \xi_n a_n^1 a_n. \tag{3}
\]

The impurity coupling strength reads

\[
\gamma_n^2 = \int_{\omega_{n+1}}^{\omega_n} d\omega \, J(\omega) = 2\pi \alpha \frac{1 - \Lambda^{-(s+1)}}{s+1} \omega_c^2 \Lambda^{-n(s+1)}, \tag{4}
\]

and the typical energy \( \xi_n \) in each Wilson shell is

\[
\xi_n = \frac{1}{\gamma_n^2} \int_{\omega_{n+1}}^{\omega_n} d\omega \, \omega \, J(\omega) = \frac{s+1}{s+2} \frac{1 - \Lambda^{-(s+2)}}{\Lambda^{-n(s+1)}} \omega_c \Lambda^{-n}. \tag{5}
\]

Note that the continuum limit is only recovered for \( \Lambda \to 1 \) and an infinite number of Wilson shells. However, in
practice $\Lambda = 2$ will be used in the following, and 50 sites will be used for both the MPS and the CSE variational calculations. This standard choice of parameters offers a good compromise between energy resolution and numerical costs, but our techniques can be pushed in principle to smaller $\Lambda$ values.

C. Variational matrix product states approach

One very successful approach that enables direct access to ground-state wavefunction of low-dimensional quantum system is the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) [9, 10]. Though originally developed in the context of one-dimensional real-space systems, the matrix-product-state formulation of this variational method (VMPS) has been established as indispensable tool also in the context of quantum impurity models [8, 11, 16, 17].

Its application to the spin-boson model works as follows. First, the star Hamiltonian $H_{\text{star}}$ is mapped on a truncated Wilson chain, where the spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ impurity is coupled to a length-$N$ tight-binding chain model whose hopping matrix elements decrease exponentially with site number $k$. Next, one initializes a random MPS for the Wilson chain Hamiltonian,

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{\sigma,m} A^{[\sigma]} A^{[m_0]} A^{[m_1]} ... A^{[m_N]} |\sigma\rangle |m\rangle,$$

where $|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle$ represents the $\sigma_z$ eigenstates of the impurity and $|m\rangle = |m_0\rangle ... |m_N\rangle$ describes boson number eigenstates in a truncated Fock basis, i.e., $\hat{m}_k|m\rangle = m_k|m\rangle$, with $m_k = 0, 1, ..., d_k - 1$. The wave function coefficient is split into a product of tensors $A^{[\cdot\cdot\cdot]}$, which are iteratively varied with respect to the energy for finding the best approximation for the ground-state wavefunction. If the parameters such as the bond dimension $D$ and the Fock-space dimension $d_k$ are chosen appropriately large, the algorithm converges the MPS to a numerically quasi-exact representation of the ground-state wavefunction. In practice, we use an optimal boson basis [16, 17] mapping the local Fock basis $|m_k\rangle$ to a smaller, effective bosonic basis $|\tilde{m}_k\rangle$ for efficiency reasons. Good convergence is ensured for the delocalized phase and at the quantum critical point for $D = 60$, $d_k = 100$, and $\tilde{d}_k = 16$.

D. Coherent state expansion

1. General methodology

More recently, an alternative representation of bosonic environmental wave functions was proposed [12, 13], based on a simple physical picture of the energy landscape in terms of classical-like configurations. These are parametrized by multimode coherent states, $|\pm f^{(m)}\rangle = e^{\pm \sum_k f_k^{(m)} (a_k^\dagger - a_k)} |0\rangle$, with $f_k^{(m)}$ the displacement of mode $k$ for the $m^{th}$ variational coherent state. Note that the index $k$ labels momentum, while the index $m = 1 \ldots M_{cs}$ represents an optimal choice of a set of discrete coherent states, which embodies a complete basis for an infinite number of coherent states, $M_{cs} \rightarrow \infty$ [18]. The expansion for the many-body ground state wave function $|\text{GS}\rangle$ reads:

$$|\text{GS}\rangle = \sum_{m=1}^{M_{cs}} \left[p_m |f^{(m)}\rangle |\uparrow\rangle + q_m |\tilde{h}^{(m)}\rangle |\downarrow\rangle\right],$$

with the normalization $\langle \text{GS} | \text{GS} \rangle = 1$. Here, $p_m$ and $q_m$ characterize the weight of the different coherent state components within the ground state wave function for each spin orientation. The discrete sum over the index $m$ can thus be interpreted as an optimal discretization of the multidimensional integral involved in the standard overcomplete Glauber-Sudarshan representation [18] in terms of continuously varying displacement functions. We find in practice that the coherent state representation does not show signs of this overcompleteness once the wavefunction is developed on a discrete sum of coherent states, as in Eq. (7), and if the number of coherent states $M_{cs}$ is typically much less than the number of states in the Hilbert space required to capture the ground state (which corresponds to the usual application of the method). There is of course a trivial redundancy when reshuffling the indices $m$ of the set of coherent states for a given solution, but apart from this, we usually find a single global minimum in the variational procedure (although the local minima tend to cluster in energy when more and more states are added). Thus, the full many-body ground state of the spin-boson model can be interpreted physically based on the optimal variational state, a path that we will follow here. We have also developed a new hierarchical algorithm for the optimization of the systematic variational state (7), see Appendix A.

For the spin-boson model without any magnetic field along $\sigma_z$ and in absence of spontaneous symmetry breaking (which occurs for $\alpha > \alpha_c$), the system obeys a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry, so that the parameters for the ground state satisfy exactly $p_m = -q_m$ and $f_k^{(m)} = -f_k^{(-m)}$ for all $k$ and $m$. This method was thoroughly tested for the Ohmic spin-boson model ($s = 1$) [12, 13], where extremely rapid convergence was established for a moderate number of coherent states $M_{cs} \lesssim 10$, unless one considers the deep Kondo regime where $\alpha \rightarrow 1$.

2. Full many-body wave function

We show in Fig. 1 typical wavefunctions obtained with the CSE near the quantum critical point (for two bath exponents $s = 0.3$ and $s = 0.8$). Here the set of displacements $f_k^{(m)}$ for each oscillator mode $a_k^\dagger$ is plotted versus the frequency $\omega_k$ of the mode, with $m = 1 \ldots M_{cs}$ the index in the expansion (the corresponding weight $p_m$ are shown in the Appendix). In both plots, the
FIG. 1. (Color online) Nearly critical wave functions from the CSE for the case $s = 0.3$ (upper panel) and $s = 0.8$ (lower panel), represented by the set of displacements $f_n^{(m)}$ with $m = 1 \ldots M_n$ given by the thin full lines. Thick full lines show the average mean displacement $0.5f_n$, and thick dashed lines the average width $0.5\sqrt{\kappa_n}$. The critical regime is identified in the range $10^{-4} < \omega_n < 10^{-2}$ by a constant plateau in $\kappa_n$, which reflects the clearly wide distribution of the displacements associated to the classical-like configurations of the CSE. For frequencies $\omega < 10^{-4}$, the wavefunction is no more critical and the displacements collapse onto a single curve, so that the distribution narrows, and $\kappa_n$ goes to zero.

critical domain lies roughly for frequencies in the range $10^{-4} < \omega_n < 10^{-2}$, which shows two striking observations. First, the distribution of displacements looks very symmetric between positive and negative values of the set of $f_n^{(m)}$, both in the critical regime, and in the region of run-away flow $\omega_n < 10^{-4}$ at lower energy. This symmetry is clearly not obeyed for the high energy modes near the cutoff. Because the displacement operator directly couples to the order parameter $\sigma_z$ in Hamiltonian (1), this symmetry nicely reflects the absence of spontaneous symmetry breaking at the critical point. This observation can be substantiated mathematically by defining, from the star Hamiltonian (3), the average $f_n$ of the displacement fields in mode $n$ (see Sec. III for thorough discussion):\
\begin{align}
 f_n &\equiv \langle (a_n^1 + a_n)\sigma_z \rangle \\
 &\doteq 2 \sum_{m,m'} P_{mn}P_{m'n'} \langle f^{(m)}|f^{(m')}\rangle \left( f_n^{(m)} + f_n^{(m')} \right).
\end{align}

The absence of spontaneous symmetry breaking, both at the critical point and in the whole delocalized phase, translates in the fact that the average value $f_n$ vanishes for $\omega_n \rightarrow 0$. However, the set of displacements in the non-critical domain ($\omega_n < 10^{-4}$) obey a trivial symmetry, as all displacements collapse on a single curve. In contrast, the displacements in the critical range $10^{-4} < \omega_n < 10^{-2}$ keep fluctuating, showing a finite width of the distribution. This width $\kappa_n$ can be defined as follows:
\begin{align}
 \kappa_n &\equiv \langle (a_n^1 + a_n)^2 \rangle - 1 \\
 &= 2 \sum_{m,m'} P_{mn}P_{m'n'} \langle f^{(m)}|f^{(m')}\rangle \left( f_n^{(m)} + f_n^{(m')} \right)^2. 
\end{align}

This plateau in $\kappa_n$ seen in the critical domain has for origin the strong quantum fluctuations that take place at criticality, due to an order parameter that is nearly but not quite localized. Alternatively, the width $\kappa_n$ can be interpreted as a squeezing parameter for the mode $a_n^1$.

Having clarified the physics at play in the wave function itself, we will study these two coarse grained quantities $f_n$ (average) and $\kappa_n$ (width), which capture mathematically the distribution of classical configurations in the wave function. This study will rely not only on the CSE variational state, but also on VMPs calculations for benchmark, and on analytical field-theory calculations, which we present now.

III. ANALYTICAL INSIGHTS INTO VARIOUS WAVE FUNCTION PROPERTIES

We establish in this section a set of exact analytical results for various wave function properties, both in the non-critical and in the critical regimes. The properties that we will consider concern the average displacement of the bath oscillators, as well as their squeezing amplitude, which can be interpreted as the variance of the oscillator displacements. These two quantities thus give interesting information on the structure of the environmental wavefunction.

A. Average displacement

1. General formula

Owing to the linear coupling between $\sigma_z$ and the oscillator displacement operator $(a_n^1 + a_n)$, correlations are established between the spin degree of freedom and its bosonic environment. Due to the symmetry properties of Hamiltonian (1), the ground state wave function can be written generically as $|\text{GS}\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle|\Psi_1\rangle - |\downarrow\rangle|\Psi_2\rangle$, where $|\Psi_i\rangle = P|\Psi_i\rangle$, with the parity operator $P = \exp(\pi i \sum_k a_k^1a_k)$. Thus, except for the trivial non-interacting case $\alpha = 0$ where the environmental wave function is in the bare vacuum, the qubit does not factorize from its environment. The manner in which correlations in $|\Psi_i\rangle$ penetrate the bath states can be viewed equally as properties of a screening cloud [15, 28]. One goal of this paper is to illustrate the behavior of this screening cloud in the sub-Ohmic
model, both away from and at the quantum critical point. Since the environmental wave function $|\Psi_\tau\rangle$ is a complicated object, the simplest measure of the cloud resides in the average displacement $f_k$ that is obeyed by a given but arbitrary mode $a_k^\dagger$ within this state. This quantity is defined as $f_k \equiv \langle (a_k^\dagger + a_k)\sigma_z \rangle$, where the average is taken with respect to the full many-body ground state $|\text{GS}\rangle$. The average displacement $f_k$ gives thus information on how strong the order parameter fluctuates at the energy scale $\omega_k$.

Now, we would like to show that this average displacement can be related exactly to the spin-spin correlation function, defined in imaginary time as:

$$\chi(\tau) = \langle \text{GS} | T_\tau \frac{\sigma_z(\tau)}{2} \frac{\sigma_z(0)}{2} | \text{GS} \rangle, \quad (10)$$

with $T_\tau$ the standard time-ordering operator, so that $T_\tau A(\tau)B(0) = \theta(\tau)A(\tau)B(0) + \theta(-\tau)B(0)A(\tau)$. The imaginary-time evolved operators read $A(\tau) = e^{H\tau}A e^{-H\tau}$. For the purpose of computing $f_k$, let us introduce the mixed correlation function between the spin and the displacement operator associated to a given bosonic $k$-mode:

$$G_{z,k}(\tau) \equiv \langle \text{GS} | T_\tau [a_k^\dagger(\tau) + a_k(\tau)]\sigma_z(0) | \text{GS} \rangle, \quad (11)$$

so that $f_k = G_{z,k}(0^+)$. Taking the time derivative in Eq. (11), one gets the equations of motion:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} G_{z,k}(\tau) = \omega_k^2 G_{z,k}(\tau) - 4g_k \omega_k \chi(\tau). \quad (12)$$

Now, going to zero-temperature (but the formula below applies as well to finite temperature using discrete Matsubara frequencies), with $G(i\omega_n) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \ G(\tau)e^{i\omega_n t}$, one obtains the exact relation:

$$G_{z,k}(i\omega_n) = \frac{4g_k \omega_k}{\omega_n^2 + \omega_k^2} \chi(i\omega_n). \quad (13)$$

Going back to the time domain, one finds the connection between the average displacement of the environmental wave function (the screening cloud) and the local spin susceptibility:

$$f_k = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} G_{z,k}(i\omega) = 4g_k \omega_k \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\omega_n^2 + \omega_k^2} \chi(i\omega_n). \quad (14)$$

From this equation, previous knowledge obtained for spin dynamics of the sub-Ohmic model [29, 30, 33] will allow us to make exact predictions for the average displacement characterizing the screening cloud.

2. **Asymptotic behavior of the average displacement**

A change of variable in Eq. (14) gives

$$f_k = 4g_k \int \frac{dx}{2\pi} \frac{1}{x^2 + 1} \chi(i\omega_k x), \quad (15)$$

so that the small-momentum behavior of $f_k$ is determined by the low-energy scaling of the spin-spin correlation function [29, 30, 33], which reads $\chi(\omega) \approx 1/(m_R + B_\omega |\omega|^s)$, with $B_\omega = 4\omega_c^{1-s} \int dx x^{s-1}/(1 + x^2)$. Here $m_R$ is the renormalized mass, which is finite in the delocalized phase ($\alpha < \alpha_c$) and vanishes at the quantum critical point. Thus, two scaling laws are established in the limit $k \to 0$:

$$f_k \approx \frac{2g_k}{m_R} \quad \text{for } \alpha < \alpha_c, \quad (16)$$

$$f_k \approx \frac{4A_\omega g_k}{B_\omega |\omega_k|^s} \quad \text{for } \alpha = \alpha_c, \quad (17)$$

where $A_\omega = \int (dx/(2\pi)) x^{-s}/(1 + x^2)$. Let us now specialize to the case of the Wilson energy discretization on the grid $\omega_n = \omega_c \Lambda^{-n}$, in which case $\omega_k$ is replaced by $\xi_n \propto \Lambda^{-n} \propto \omega_n$ and $g_k$ by $\gamma_n/\sqrt{\pi} \propto \Lambda^{-n(s+1)/2} \sim \omega_n^{(s+1)/2}$. We thus find the following low-energy scaling laws of the average displacement for the modes obeying the Wilson energy discretization:

$$f_n \propto \omega_n^{(1+s)/2} \quad \text{for } \alpha < \alpha_c, \quad (18)$$

$$f_n \propto \omega_n^{(1-s)/2} \quad \text{for } \alpha = \alpha_c. \quad (19)$$

The non-critical modes thus follow a different and faster power law than the critical ones, a result that we shall confirm from our numerics in Sec. IV. In fact, our low frequency analysis allows us to extract the exact prefactor of the critical average displacement. At $\alpha = \alpha_c$, we find:

$$f_n = \frac{\sqrt{2}(s + 2)^s (1 - \Lambda^{-(s+1)})^{s+\frac{1}{2}} \tan \frac{\pi s}{2} \frac{1}{\omega_n}}{\sqrt{\gamma_n} \Lambda^{s+\frac{1}{2}} (s + 1)^{s+\frac{1}{2}} (1 - \Lambda^{-(s+2)})^{s+\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (20)$$

The prefactor is clearly non-universal, as a dependence in the frequency cutoff $\omega_c$ is present.

B. **Average width (squeezing amplitude)**

1. **General formula**

Generalizing the previous results, we define the average intramode squeezing amplitude as $\kappa_k \equiv \langle (a_k^\dagger + a_k)^2 \rangle - 1$, such that it is exactly zero for a vacuum state. Following the previous methodology, we introduce the intermode Green’s function of the displacement field of the bosonic modes:

$$G_{k,q}(\tau) \equiv \langle \text{GS} | T_\tau [a_k^\dagger(\tau) + a_k(\tau)]a_q^\dagger(0) + a_q(0) | \text{GS} \rangle. \quad (21)$$

Applying the time-derivative twice provides exact equations of motion, which lead to the following formula in the Matsubara domain:

$$G_{k,q}(i\omega_n) \equiv G_{k,q}^R(i\omega_n)\delta_{k,q} + g_k g_q G_{k,q}^R(i\omega_n)G_{q,q}^R(i\omega_n)\chi(i\omega_n), \quad (22)$$

where $G_{k,k}^R(i\omega_n) = 2\omega_k/\omega_k^2 + \omega_k^2$. This gives the exact equation relating the average squeezing parameter to the
dynamical spin-spin susceptibility:
\[ \kappa_k = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} G_{k,k}(i\omega) - 1 = 4g_k^2\omega_k^2 \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{1}{(\omega^2 + \omega_k^2)} \chi(i\omega). \]

Again, knowledge of the spin dynamics will give information on the average squeezing parameter for the ground state wave function.

2. Asymptotic behavior of the average squeezing

Similar to our analysis of the average displacement, a change of variable in Eq. (23) gives
\[ \kappa_k = \frac{4g_k^2}{\omega_k} \int \frac{dx}{2\pi} \frac{1}{(x^2 + 1)^2} \chi(i\omega_kx), \]
resulting in the following low-energy leading order behavior of the average squeezing amplitude:
\[ \kappa_k \simeq \frac{g_k^2}{m\omega_k} \text{ for } \alpha < \alpha_c, \]
\[ \kappa_k \simeq \frac{4C_s g_k^2}{|\omega_k|^{1+s}} \text{ for } \alpha = \alpha_c, \]
where \( C_s = \int (dx/2\pi)x^{-s}/(1 + x^2)^2 \). In the case of the Wilson energy discretization on the grid \( \omega_n = \omega_n \Lambda^{-n} \), we get the explicit scaling laws for the average squeezing amplitude:
\[ \kappa_n \propto \omega_n^s \text{ for } \alpha < \alpha_c, \]
\[ \kappa_n = \text{const. for } \alpha = \alpha_c. \]

We find a constant and universal (cutoff independent) value of \( \kappa_n \) at the quantum critical point, as a precise computation of the constant value for \( \alpha = \alpha_c \) reads:
\[ \kappa_n = \frac{(s + 2)^{s+1}}{\pi (s + 1)^{s+1}} \tan \frac{\pi s}{2}. \]

Since the average displacement \( f_n \) vanishes at low energy, this means that the distribution of displacements of the critical wave function is very broad, reflecting the strong fluctuations of the order parameter at the quantum critical point. We stress that \( \kappa_n \), defined as (10), strictly vanishes in the continuum limit \( \Lambda \to 1 \), but that it remains finite when integrated over a logarithmic energy mesh.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

A. General scaling behavior

We start by presenting general VMPS calculations, allowing us to outline the scaling behavior and the quantum criticality of the sub-Ohmic spin-boson model. We shall consider two different values of the bath spectral density throughout the paper, \( s = 0.3 \) and \( s = 0.8 \). The former corresponds to the case where the quantum phase transition is of mean-field type, while the latter case is associated to an interacting fixed point [29–32]. We stress beforehand that both the average displacement \( f_n \) and average squeezing amplitude \( \kappa_n \) are exactly related to the dynamical susceptibility from Eqs. (14) and (23), so that their scaling behavior as a function of momenta, both in the non-critical and critical regimes, is determined by a trivial \( s \)-dependent exponent.

However, non-trivial exponents in the interacting case \( 0.5 < s < 1 \) will show up in the \( \alpha \)-dependence of the correlation length \( \xi \) that is defined by the spatial extent up to which quantum critical fluctuations penetrates within the bath states. More precisely, the correlation length is given by an inverse energy \( \xi = 1/\omega^\star \), where \( \omega^\star \) is such that quantum critical behavior is established for \( \omega^\star \ll \omega_k \ll \omega_c \) (this regime sets in only if \( \alpha \) is quite close to \( \alpha_c \)). This correlation length behaves as \( \xi \propto |\alpha_c - \alpha|^{-\nu} \), with the exponent \( \nu_{MF} = 1/s \) in the mean field regime \( 0 < s < 1/2 \). This can be gathered from the low-energy behavior \( \chi(i\omega) \simeq 1/(mH + B_{\omega} |\omega|^s) \) and the absence of singular vertex corrections at mean-field level, giving the
renormalized mass $m_R \propto \alpha_c - \alpha$. However, $\nu$ assumes non-trivial values given by a classical long-range Ising model [29, 30] for the interacting regime $1/2 < s < 1$. This behavior is illustrated in the lower panels of Fig. 2 and Fig. 3. Thus, both the average displacement and average squeezing amplitude (not shown here) encode non-trivial exponents for $1/2 < s < 1$, but only due to the divergent correlation length $\xi = 1/\omega^*$. These observations can be also summed up by scaling laws:

$$f_n = \omega_n^{(1-s)/2} F(\omega_n/\omega^*)$$

(29)

$$\kappa_n = K(\omega_n/\omega^*)$$

(30)

with $F(x), K(x) \propto 1$ for $x \gg 1$, and $F(x), K(x) \propto x^a$ for $x \ll 1$. This general scaling behavior of the average displacement is illustrated in the upper panel of Fig. 2 for $s = 0.8$, and in the upper panel of Fig. 3 for $s = 0.3$. We find indeed that our VMPS data exhibits the expected non-critical and critical scaling laws, respectively $f_n \propto \omega_n^{(1+s)/2}$ for $\alpha < \alpha_c$ (dotted line) and $f_n \propto \omega_n^{(1-s)/2}$ for $\alpha = \alpha_c$ (dashed line). We now turn to a more detailed analysis, with a comparison to our analytical predictions, and with the numerics from the coherent state expansion.

B. Non-critical regime

Let us now investigate the non-critical regime, which is established either for $\alpha < \alpha_c$ at all frequencies, or for $\alpha \approx \alpha_c$ but for $\omega \ll \omega^*$. Focusing first on the average displacement, we consider in Fig. 4 the two cases $s = 0.3$ and $s = 0.8$ for values of $\alpha$ that are sufficiently away from $\alpha_c$ so that critical behavior is not triggered. The comparison between the fully converged VMPS data and CSE at increasing number of coherent states shows that the CSE converges very quickly in this simplest non-critical regime. In addition, the CSE captures the exact leading behavior of the average displacement, $f_n \propto \omega_n^{(1+s)/2}$, already for $M_{cs} = 1$ (the so-called Silbey-Harris theory [34–36]), since the variational equation gives $f_k = (g_k/2)/(\omega_k + \Delta R) \propto g_k/\Delta R$ for $k \to 0$, in agreement with the exact result (16). Note that the quantum critical scaling $f_n \propto \omega_n^{(1-s)/2}$ is not apparent in this plot, because the $\alpha$ value is too far away from $\alpha_c$.

Turning to the average squeezing amplitude, we find excellent agreement of our converged CSE results to the expected non-critical scaling behavior $\kappa_n \propto \omega_n^s$, see Fig. 5. However, we observe a much slower convergence of the CSE for the average squeezing amplitude as compared to the computation of the average displacements in Fig. 4, especially regarding the low-energy modes. This behavior can be understood from the Silbey-Harris theory at $M_{cs} = 1$, which predicts incorrectly $\kappa_n = (f_n)^2 \propto \omega_n^{1+s}$ instead of the exact non-critical scaling $\kappa_n \propto \omega_n^s$. This disagreement is not fully a surprise, because the Silbey-Harris theory is based on a single coherent state, and is tailored to address...
vanishing $\omega$ can be seen from the VMPS data of Fig. 2. The coher-
dissipation strength $\alpha$ is such that the correlation
function $\langle a_n^+ a_n \rangle$ vanishes (with the expected exponent) at low energy, we
showed analytically Eq. (28) that the average squeezing
amplitude $\kappa_n = \langle (a_n^+ + a_n)^2 \rangle - 1$ is constant at the quan-
tum critical point. Thus $\kappa_n$ can be viewed as the average
fluctuation of the distributions within the many-body
wave function. Therefore we conclude that $\kappa_n \gg (f_n)^2$ at
the quantum critical point, which reflects the strong fluc-
tuations of the order parameter. This expected physical
picture is very clear in Fig. 1: in the intermediate energy
range $10^{-4} < \omega < 10^{-2}$, the distribution of displacements
is nearly symmetric around zero, and thus almost van-
ishes on average (this behavior is more pronounced for
$s = 0.3$ than for $s = 0.8$, because the average displace-
ment vanishes as $\omega_n^{(1-s)/2}$). In contrast, the width of the
distribution of displacements has roughly a constant value
in the critical domain. Away from the critical domain,
where $\omega^* < \omega < \omega_n$, the distribution
of the classical-like configurations becomes very narrow as
all displacements collapse onto the same curve. Thus the
average squeezing amplitude should vanish, with the non-
critical scaling behavior $\kappa_n \propto \omega_n^{2}$, However, due to the
finite size of the coherent state basis set used here, we find
for this computation the different behavior $\kappa_n \propto \omega_n^{1+s}$ as
discussed previously.

Let us finally check in more detail the precise scaling
behavior of the critical average displacement in Fig. 6.
Again we find excellent convergence of the CSE to the
VMPS curves, and we are able to match quantitatively
the expected scaling law Eq. (20), including the analytic
prefactor $F_s$ in front of the power law $\omega_n^{(1-s)/2}$. Due to the
construction of the CSE based on coherent states, one sees again that any truncation to finite $M_{cs}$ produces
an incorrect scaling $f_n \propto \omega_n^{(1+s)/2}$ at vanishing energy.
But the correct power law is typically obeyed on several
decades for a moderate numerical effort. The same type
of behavior is also found in the critical average squeezing
amplitude $\kappa_n$, which shows the expected constant plateau,
see Fig. 7, and that matches the analytical prediction of
Eq. (28) nicely. We have assessed the general prediction of the power-law dependance of the critical average dis-
placement $f_n \propto \omega_n^{(1-s)/2}$ by fitting the low energy tails
of our converged data for a wide selection of the bath
exponent $s$ in the range $0 < s < 1$. We found that the
critical exponent $(1-s)/2$ is very well obeyed, both in the
mean-field and interacting regimes, with an accuracy of a
few percent. This reflects the peculiarity of the spin-boson
model, which does not present anomalous exponents in the
spin-spin correlation function [29, 30, 33], even below
its upper critical dimension.

C. Critical regime

We now consider the quantum critical point, where the
dissipation strength $\alpha = \alpha_c$ is such that the correlation
length $\xi = 1/\omega^*$ diverges. In practice we fine tune $\alpha_c - \alpha$
to more than 7 digits so that $\xi$ is larger than $10^{10}$, as
can be seen from the VMPS data of Fig. 2. The coher-
ent state expansion offers, alternatively, a more pictorial
view of the quantum critical wave function, which can be
fully represented by a set of classical-like displacement
configurations, as shown previously in Fig. 1.

![Graph](image_url)
We have investigated physical properties of ground-state wave functions in a simple model of quantum criticality, the sub-Ohmic spin-boson Hamiltonian. For this purpose, a combination of variational matrix product states and an extensive coherent state expansion have been performed and compared very precisely. The coherent state approach allows a direct representation of many-body wave functions in terms of a collection of classical-like trajectories associated to a set of displacements. Focusing on the quantum critical regime, the wave function displays a nearly symmetric distribution of displacements at low energy. However its width, related to a squeezing amplitude of the low-energy modes defined on a logarithmic energy interval, remains finite with a universal value. This behavior strikingly reflects the wide fluctuations of the order parameter at the quantum critical point in absence of spontaneous symmetry breaking, in analogy with strong statistical fluctuations near classical phase transitions. Detailed analytical predictions have been made using exact field theory results, which match very well all the obtained numerical data, both in the non-critical and critical regimes. Similar analysis should be possible for various extensions of dissipative impurity model, such as the two-bath case [16, 17], which presents new classes of interacting fixed points. It should be applicable also to fermionic models, both with impurities or with bulk interactions, using a similar decomposition of the many-body wavefunction in terms of a distribution of one- or two-body phase shifts [38].

V. CONCLUSION
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Appendix A: Hierarchical algorithm for the CSE

We present here a new algorithm for finding the many-body ground state (7) of the spin-boson model (1), which
radically improves the methodology developed previously in Refs. [12, 13], allowing us to incorporate a large number $M_{cs}$ of coherent states. This new scheme, devised to optimize efficiently the energy functional, is only based on fast local minimization routines. Indeed, while global minimization routines such as simulated annealing can give the most reliable estimates, they do not scale favorably in the case of a large number of variational parameters. However, blind application of local routines, for instance L-BFGS or conjugate gradients [37], do not guarantee convergence to the lowest energy minimum. Hence, physical insight must be used as a guide to implement a fast and reliable local optimization method.

Here, we use the fact that the coherent state decomposition (7) is an expansion that displays a hierarchical structure. Indeed, our simulations demonstrate that the weight $p_M$ of a newly added coherent state is typically smaller than the majority of the weights $p_m$ of the preceding states. This hierarchical structure is clearly apparent in Fig. 8.

This feature is exploited as follows in our numerical implementation. The algorithm starts with the solution for a single coherent state (the so-called Silbey-Harris Ansatz) with $M_{cs} = 1$, which is reliably obtained by a local routine, providing a first estimate of $f_1^{(1)}$. Then the energy is minimized for $M_{cs} = 2$ with two coherent states, using the previously determined $f_1^{(1)}$ as an initial guess, $f_2^{(2)} = 0$, and $p_2 = p_1/2$. Both displacements (and their corresponding weights) are then optimized together. The algorithm continues in the same manner by increasing $M_{cs}$ by one unit at a time, and using the previous displacements and weights as an initial guess for the next minimization stage. For completeness, we give below all the required analytical expressions used in our simulations.

1. Explicit form of the energy functional

We focus here on the case of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry, so that the averaged Hamiltonian from the systematic variational state (7) reads:

$$
\langle H \rangle = -\Delta \sum_{n,m=1}^{M_{cs}} p_n p_m \langle f^{(n)} | - f^{(m)} \rangle + \sum_{n,m=1}^{M_{cs}} p_n p_m \langle f^{(n)} | f^{(m)} \rangle \sum_q 2\omega_q f_q^{(n)} f_q^{(m)} - \sum_{n,m=1}^{M_{cs}} p_n p_m \langle f^{(n)} | f^{(m)} \rangle \sum_q g_q \left( f_q^{(n)} + f_q^{(m)} \right).
$$

The overlaps obey the usual coherent state algebra (all displacements $f_q^{(n)}$ and weights $p_n$ are real in the ground state), namely $\langle f^{(n)} | f^{(m)} \rangle = e^{-(1/2)\sum_q (f_q^{(n)} - f_q^{(m)})^2}$. The minimization is performed on the energy $E = \langle H \rangle / \mathcal{N}$ with the norm $\mathcal{N} = \langle GS | GS \rangle = 2 \sum_{n,m=1}^{M_{cs}} p_n p_m \langle f^{(n)} | f^{(m)} \rangle$.

2. Energy gradients

Standard optimization routines gain a huge computing advantage by using an explicit expression for the gradient of the function to be minimized. We thus provide here the gradients with respect to the weight $p_M$ and displacement $f_k^{(M)}$:
\[
\frac{\partial E}{\partial p_M} = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{M_{ex}} p_n \left\{ -\Delta \langle f^{(n)} | - f^{(M)} \rangle + \langle f^{(n)} | f^{(M)} \rangle \left[ \sum_q \left( 2\omega_q f_q^{(n)} f_q^{(M)} - q \left( f_q^{(n)} + f_q^{(M)} \right) \right) - 2E \right] \right\}, \quad (A2)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial E}{\partial f^{(M)}_k} = \frac{2p_M}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{M_{ex}} p_n \left\{ \Delta \langle f^{(n)} | - f^{(M)} \rangle \left( f^{(n)}_k + f^{(M)}_k \right) + \langle f^{(n)} | f^{(M)} \rangle \left( 2\omega_k f^{(n)}_k - q_k \right) \right. \\
+ \langle f^{(n)} | f^{(M)} \rangle \left( f^{(n)}_k - f^{(M)}_k \right) \left[ \sum_q \left( 2\omega_q f_q^{(n)} f_q^{(M)} - q \left( f_q^{(n)} + f_q^{(M)} \right) \right) - 2E \right] \right\}.
\]