Coronaviruses: Innate Immunity, Inflammasome Activation, Inflammatory Cell Death, and Cytokines
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The innate immune system acts as the first line of defense against pathogens, including coronaviruses (CoVs). Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-CoV and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS)-CoV are epidemic zoonotic CoVs that emerged at the beginning of the 21st century. The recently emerged virus SARS-CoV-2 is a novel strain of CoV that has caused the coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Scientific advancements made by studying the SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV outbreaks have provided a foundation for understanding pathogenesis and innate immunity against SARS-CoV-2. In this review, we focus on our present understanding of innate immune responses, inflammasome activation, inflammatory cell death pathways, and cytokine secretion during SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 infection. We also discuss how the pathogenesis of these viruses influences these biological processes.

Innate Immunity and Coronaviruses

Innate Immunity and Inflammasomes

The innate immune system functions as the first line of host defense against microbial infections. During viral infections, the innate immune system is crucial for identifying and removing infected cells while also coordinating an adaptive immune response. To detect and defend rapidly against various microbes, mammalian hosts have evolved multiple pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs; see Glossary) including Toll-like receptors (TLRs), retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I)-like receptors (RLRs), the nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain (NOD)-like receptor family proteins (NLRs), and absent in melanoma 2 (AIM2). In response to specific pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), some PRRs, particularly members of the NLR family and AIM2, have the ability to assemble a large multiprotein complex called the inflammasome [1]. Upon assembly, the inflammasome induces membrane pore formation and proinflammatory cytokine processing, leading to a form of inflammatory cell death known as pyroptosis [1–3].

Innate immune signaling and inflammasome activation are well-established key barriers during viral infection. However, activation of the innate immune system must be tightly regulated, because excessive activation can lead to systemic inflammation and tissue damage, which are detrimental to the host. Systemic hyperinflammation is common in a wide range of infectious diseases [4]. Therefore, the balance between the host innate immune response and viral intracellular replication has been considered for potential therapeutic approaches in viral infections; this balance must be finely controlled to reduce excessive inflammation while retaining antiviral functions.

Coronaviruses

Coronaviruses (CoVs) are positive-sense single-stranded (ss)RNA viruses and have an extensive range of natural hosts [5]. There are seven human-infecting CoVs identified to date: human
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- Toll-like receptor (TLR)- and retinoic acid-inducible gene I-like receptor (RLR)-mediated type I interferon (IFN) production is essential for providing protection against coronavirus (CoV) infection; the timing of the IFN response relative to CoV replication determines infection outcomes.

- Optimal NLR family pyrin domain-containing 3 (NLPR3) inflammasome activation is beneficial for the host but aberrant activation may lead to detrimental CoV infection outcomes.

- Specific CoV infections can activate inflammatory cell death (PANoptosis), thereby inducing cytokine release.

- CoV disease tolerance occurs in age-, species-, and sex-dependent manners.

- More studies are needed to define the innate immune response, specifically during severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-CoV-2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection, and to inform the development of candidate therapeutics.
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coronavirus 229E (HCoV-229E), HCoV-OC43, severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-CoV, HCoV-NL63, HCoV-HKU1, Middle East respiratory syndrome CoV (MERS)-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 [6,7]. The endemic HCoV-229E and HCoV-OC43 were isolated >50 years ago and are responsible for about one-third of the common cold cases each year. SARS-CoV was isolated in 2003 in China [8], while HCoV-NL63 and HCoV-HKU1 were identified shortly following the SARS-CoV outbreak. Ten years after SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV emerged in Middle Eastern countries [9]. The most recently identified human-infecting CoV is SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) [10,11], a respiratory illness in humans (Table 1). In addition to the human-infecting CoVs, there are also several other CoV strains that infect various animals. Among these, the most studied is murine hepatitis virus (MHV), which mimics many of the key aspects of human CoV biology [6]. Due to receptor specificity of human CoVs, MHV has been an ideal model for examining the pathogenesis and immune response to CoVs as well as for studying the basics of viral replication [6].

SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2, and MHV belong to the Betacoronavirus genus in the Coronaviridae family and have RNA genomes of 27.9 kb, 30.1 kb, 29.9 kb, and 31.3 kb, respectively [6,12]. Within the viral membrane, the genomic RNA is complexed with the nucleocapsid (N) protein to create a helical capsid. The viral proteins spike (S), envelope (E), and membrane (M) make up the membrane proteins of all CoVs. The S protein is a type I glycoprotein responsible for forming peplomers on the virion surface. The E protein is highly hydrophobic, and the M protein has a cytoplasmic tail that contains a short N-terminal ectodomain (Figure 1A) [6].

To infect host cells, the S protein on the viral envelope binds to its cellular receptor, which is angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) for SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2, and HCoV-NL63;

Table 1. Systemic Hyperinflammation and Tissue Damage during SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Humansa

| Organ/system | Symptoms and clinical outcome                                                                                                                                 |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Circulation  | Cytokine storm  
IL-6 ↑, TNF ↑, IL-1β ↑ are associated with poor clinical outcomes [11,42,121]  
Lymphocyte changes  
ThT helper 17 cells (Th17) ↑, plasma cells ↑, CD8+ T cell activity ↑, and regulatory T cells ↓ [11,122,123]  
Increased neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio predicts disease severity [124], and lymphopenia is associated with use of mechanical ventilation and mortality in severe cases [125,126]  
Lymphopenia is mediated with development of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [125,126] |
| Thrombocytopenia | Reduced platelet to lymphocyte ratio is associated with worse clinical outcomes [127,128]  
Thrombocytopenia is associated with increased risk of severity and in-hospital mortality [127,128] and use of mechanical ventilation and mortality in severe cases [127,128] |
| Ferritin       | Increased ferritin is associated with development of ARDS [121,125] and increased risk of death [121] |
| Blood vessels  | Vasculitis and vascular dysfunction, endothelial cell inflammation and damage cause blood vessels to leak; vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) ↑, IL-10 ↑, IL-8 ↑ [11,121,129] |
| Lung           | Pneumonia with ARDS and dyspnea [10,11,130] |
| Kidneys        | Acute renal injury resulting in proteinuria and hematuria [131,132] |
| Brain          | Neurological complications, headache, and ischemic stroke in patients with severe disease [11,133] |
| Heart          | Acute myocardial injury and chronic damage to cardiovascular system [11,134] |
| Liver          | Steatosis and abnormal liver function with aspartate transaminase (AST) ↑ and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) ↑ [130,133]; C-reactive protein (CRP) ↑ and albumin ↑ [121,136] |
| Intestine      | Gastrointestinal symptoms (e.g., diarrhea and severe acute ulcerative colitis) [11,137,138] |

*a↑, increased concentrations or amounts; ↓, decreased concentrations or amounts.
dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) for MERS-CoV; murine carcinoembryonic antigen-related adhesion molecule 1 (mCEACAM1) for MHV; aminopeptidase N (APN) for HCoV-229E; and 9-O-acetylated sialic acid for HCoV-OC43 and HCoV-HKU1 [6,13,14]. S protein binding to these receptors promotes viral and host membrane fusion [6,13,14]. After membrane fusion, the viral RNA genome is released from the endosome into the cytoplasm (Figure 1B). CoVs have a unique coding strategy, and viral RNA is translated into two large polyproteins, pp1a and pp1ab, encoding 16 nonstructural proteins (nsp1–nsp16) that make up the viral replicase–transcriptase complex [15,16]. This complex generates an antisense negative-strand template; subgenomic negative-strand templates are predicted to enable subgenomic mRNAs to be produced. Membranes derived from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and Golgi are reorganized by the viral nonstructural protein (N) to form double-membrane vesicles, where viral replication and transcription occur [17]. The transcribed viral RNAs also encode S, E, M, and N proteins that are not involved in viral replication but mediate virus entry (S) and assembly and release (E and M). To create new virions, newly formed viral proteins traffic to the ER or Golgi membranes, while the genomic RNA and N proteins combine to form nucleocapsids. The full viral particle can then be assembled into the ER–Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC). This allows newly synthesized virion-containing vesicles to fuse with the plasma membrane and release the virus [18].

In this review, we discuss innate immune recognition, inflammasome activation, programmed cell death, and cytokine release in response to CoV infections, and describe how innate immune responses regulate disease to contribute to resistance to viral infection and disease tolerance.

**Studying the Innate Immune Response to CoVs**

Data from patients with CoVs have served as a key starting point for studying these viruses. However, mechanistic dissections of innate immune signaling pathways typically require the use of animal models. Due to species-specific CoV S protein binding to host cellular receptors, there is no single animal model for CoV infection that reproduces all aspects of the human disease. However, adaptation of SARS-CoV (Urbani strain) by serial passage in the lungs of BALB/c mice led to the creation of the MA15 virus, which is lethal following intranasal inoculation in mice [19]. MA15 is used as the mouse model for SARS-CoV, because infection with this virus recapitulates several aspects of the severe human disease, including pulmonary pathology, morbidity, and mortality [19]. For infections with MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, human DPP4 transgenic mice with a mouse-adapted strain of MERS-CoV and human ACE2 (hACE2) transgenic mice with SARS-CoV-2 have been used to replicate several features of severe human disease, including pulmonary pathology and mortality [20,21]. Limitations with these systems have been noted. In human DPP4 transgenic mice, the lung disease that develops in response to the mouse-adapted strain of MERS-CoV infection may depend on species-specific mutations in the new host, which may not recapitulate all aspects of the human infection [20]. A potential disadvantage of studying SARS-CoV-2 in hACE2 transgenic mice is that the brain infection observed in mice that succumb to disease may not reflect the pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 in humans [21]. Additionally, hACE2 is expressed under the control of the HFH4/FOXJ1 promoter in these mice, which is largely specific to epithelial cells [21]. Consequently, hematopoietic cells from hACE2 transgenic mice cannot be infected with SARS-CoV-2 [21]. Despite these limitations, significant work has been done to molecularly characterize the innate immune pathways involved in detecting and controlling CoV infections.

**Innate Immune Recognition of CoV**

The innate immune response acts as a powerful barrier against CoV infection. CoVs infect the host through the oral or nasal cavities, where they first encounter the respiratory epithelium. After infecting respiratory epithelial cells, MHV, SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 can infect both components and machinery from three types of programmed cell death (pyroptosis, apoptosis, and necroptosis).

- **PANoptosome**: multiprotein complex containing components from multiple cell death pathways; initiates and coordinates pyroptosis, apoptosis, and necroptosis (PANoptosis).
- **Pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs)**: molecular signatures of infection.
- **Pattern recognition receptors (PRRs)**: group of host innate immune sensors that recognize PAMPs and DAMPs, thereby inducing inflammatory cytokine production.
- **Pneumonia**: respiratory lung infection that causes inflammation in one or both lungs.
- **Polyprotein**: protein cleaved to produce several polypeptides.
- **Protein kinase R (PKR)**: an interferon-induced, double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase; key host factor in the antiviral response.
- **Pyroptosis**: inflammasome- or gascin-activated form of inflammatory cell death.
- **Reactive oxygen species (ROS)**: generally produced by mitochondria, resulting in oxidative damage of mitochondrial proteins, membranes, and DNA; impairing the function of mitochondria.
- **Stimulator of interferon genes (STING)**: downstream protein of cAMP-GMP synthase; plays an essential role in the innate immune response.
- **T helper (Th) 17**: subset of T helper cells induced by the production of IL-17.
- **Tumor necrosis factor (TNF)**: proinflammatory cytokine involved in systemic inflammation; plays a key role in the acute phase reaction.
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non-immune and immune cells in the respiratory tract. During a typical viral infection, viral RNA can be recognized by various PRRs, including TLRs [22,23], RLRs [24,25], and NLRs [26–29] for the production of proinflammatory cytokines and the induction of an antiviral state (Figure 2 and Table 2). Prototypically, the proinflammatory cytokines are largely beneficial to the host and trigger the infiltration of immune cells to remove infectious viral agents. However, dysregulated proinflammatory cytokines drive detrimental systemic hyperinflammation and tissue damage [10].

**TLR- and RLR-Mediated CoV Recognition**

TLR- and RLR-mediated signaling lead to the secretion of type I interferons (IFNs), which stimulate the expression of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) by infected and neighboring cells, inducing an antiviral state [22,23,25,30]. The TLR- and RLR-signaling cascades also lead to the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines, including interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) [22,25].

TLR7 is a membrane-bound PRR on endosomes, where it can recognize ssRNA motifs from invading SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and MHV [22,23]. After recognizing the ssRNA, TLR7 dimerizes and recruits the myeloid differentiation primary response 88 (MYD88) adaptor protein [10,23,31]. MYD88 recruitment to the endosome results in signaling to trigger transcription factors, including interferon regulatory factor 7 (IRF7) and nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB), which stimulates the production of type I IFNs and proinflammatory cytokines, respectively, for host defense [22,23]. Indeed, Tlr7−/− and Myd88−/− mice have more severe disease following MERS-CoV or MHV infection compared with wild-type (WT) mice, with reduced survival and increased spread of the virus [22,23]. Another membrane-bound PRR, TLR3 has not been found to regulate the expression of type I IFNs and proinflammatory cytokines in response to SARS-CoV and MHV infection because Tlr3−/− mice respond similarly to WT mice [23,32].

Melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5 (MDA5) is a cytosolic PRR in the RLR family that canonically senses double-stranded long RNAs. It plays a role in MHV detection and subsequent type I IFN production [24,25,33]. MDA5 contains two caspase recruitment domain (CARD)-like motifs near its N terminal and a downstream DExD/H-box helicase domain near its C terminal. Upon viral ligand binding in the C terminal domain, MDA5 facilitates conformational changes that enable CARD to bind the signaling adaptor mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein (MAVS), eventually leading to the production of type I IFNs and proinflammatory cytokines via the activation and nuclear translocation of IRF3 and NF-κB [24,25,34].

RIG-I is another cytosolic RLR, and it canonically senses 5′-triphosphate-double-stranded RNA. While RIG-I has been extensively characterized during infection with other respiratory RNA viruses, such as influenza A virus (IAV) [35], the MHV infection-mediated type I IFN response is RIG-I-independent in mice [24]. Further studies are required to determine the dominant innate response for type I IFN production and proinflammatory cytokine secretion among other mouse strains. RIG-I–deficient mice have more severe disease following MERS-CoV or MHV infection because Tlr3−/− mice respond similarly to WT mice [23,32].

Figure 1. Coronavirus (CoV) RNA Genome and Replication. (A) Schematic diagram of representative positive-sense RNA genomes of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-CoV (29.7 kb), Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS)-CoV (30.1 kb), SARS-CoV-2 (29.9 kb), and murine hepatitis virus (MHV) (31.3 kb). The 5′-end encodes four structural proteins [spike (S), membrane (M), envelope (E), nucleocapsid (N)], hemagglutinin-esterase (HE), internal (I) protein, and accessory proteins (ORF2a, 3, 3a, 3b, 4a, 4b, 5a, 5, 6, 7a, 7b, 8a, 8b, 9a, 9b, and/or 10). The S, M, E, and N proteins are unique to each virus. (B) Representative diagram of CoV replication. After host receptor interaction with S viral protein [angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) for SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2, and HCoV-NL63; dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) for MERS-CoV, murine coronavirus bv. antigen-related adhesion molecule 1 (mCEACAM1) for MHV; aminopeptidase N (APN) for HCoV-229E; 9-O-acetylated sialic acid for HCoV-OC43 and HCoV-HKU1], viral RNA and proteins are released to the cytoplasm, and open reading frame (ORF)-1a and ORF1ab are translated to produce pp1a and pp1ab. Next, pp1a and pp1ab form the replicase–transcriptase complex through cotranslational proteolytic processing. The complex produces an antisense negative-strand template, and the subgenomic mRNAs are synthesized. Subsequent translation of subgenomic mRNAs generates structural viral proteins, including the N, E, M, and S proteins, which are assembled at the endoplasmic reticulum (ER–Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC). Newly synthesized virion-containing vesicles fuse with the plasma membrane to release the virus [15–18]. ACE2, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2; APN, aminopeptidase N; CoV, coronavirus; DPP4, dipeptidyl peptidase 4; mCEACAM1, mucin carcinomembrinous antigen-related adhesion molecule 1; ORF, open reading frame.
CoV infection (SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2, MHV, HCoV-NL63, HCoV-229E, HCoV-OC43, HCoV-HKU1)

Figure 2. Innate Immune-Sensing Pathways in Coronavirus (CoV) Infection and Immune Evasion. Upon CoV infection, incoming double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) and genomic single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) are recognized by Toll-like receptor 3 (TLR3) and TLR7, respectively. Signaling downstream of these TLRs induces activation of nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) to produce proinflammatory cytokines and phosphorylation of interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) and IRF7 to drive type I interferon (IFN)
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sensor that drives type I IFN induction in vivo in response to infection with different CoVs (see Outstanding Questions).

Type I IFN Response during CoV Infection

Different studies have come to opposing conclusions regarding the involvement of the IFN response in CoV infection. In general, type I IFN production is thought to restrict SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2, and MHV infection in human and murine models [10,22,23,30]. Indeed, mice deficient in key mediators of type I IFN production (Tlr7−/−, Myd88−/−, or Mda5−/− mice) show more severe disease following MERS-CoV or MHV infection compared with WT mice; this increased disease severity may be due to the reduced type I IFN production and elevated concentrations of proinflammatory cytokines [10,22,25,31,34]. During infection with SARS-CoV MA15, rapid viral replication in WT mice delays type I IFN signaling but orchestrates an robust proinflammatory cytokine response, including the induction of TNF and IL-6, which promotes lung immunopathology with diminished survival [30]. Ifnar−/− mice have reduced morbidity and mortality following lethal SARS-CoV (MA15) infection, suggesting a pathological role for IFN signaling; however, administration of recombinant IFN-β in WT mice early during the SARS-CoV infection reduces the viral titer in the lungs and improves animal survival [30]. Collectively, these results suggest that the timing of the IFN response is crucial and that delayed type I IFN causes lethal pneumonia during SARS-CoV infection [30]. A subsequent study also showed that type I IFN signaling is protective during MERS-CoV infection in the murine model; specifically, relative to control mice, blocking IFN signaling using an anti-IFNAR antibody via intraperitoneal injection led to delayed viral clearance, enhanced neutrophil infiltration, and impaired MERS-CoV-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses, causing fatal pneumonia [22].

In the case of SARS-CoV-2 infection, data are just beginning to emerge. Single-cell RNA sequencing has shown that the type I IFN response coexists with TNF– and IL-1β–driven inflammation in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from patients with severe COVID-19, indicating that type I IFN may play a role in exacerbating inflammation in severe COVID-19 [36]. Conversely, recent reports have observed that low amounts of type I and type III IFN proteins in the serum of patients with COVID-19 are accompanied by elevated protein amounts of production. Viral RNA in the cytosol is recognized by retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I) and melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (MDA5). The helicases of RIG-I and MDA5 associate with mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein (MAVS), leading to the activation of NF-κB and phosphorylation of IRF3. Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-CoV open reading frame (ORF)-3a, ORF8b, and envelope (E) protein stimulate the inflammasome, which results in caspase-1 activation and release of the cytokines interleukin-1β (IL-1β) and IL-18. Many CoV viral proteins (red: SARS-CoV; blue: Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS)-CoV; green: murine hepatitis virus (MHV)) antagonize type I IFN signaling [85–91]. Abbreviations: ASC, apoptosis-associated speck-like protein containing a caspase activation domain; M, membrane protein; N, nucleocapsid protein; NLRS, nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-like receptor family pyrin domain-containing 3; nsp, nonstructural protein; PLP, papain-like protease.

| Virus     | TLRs | RLRs | NLRs | Cell death | Notable cytokines                  |
|-----------|------|------|------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| SARS-CoV  | TLR7 | N/A  | NLRS3| Pyroptosis  | IL-1β [26,27,51], TNF [51], IL-6 [51] |
|           | [22] |      | [26,27,51] |            |                                   |
| MERS-CoV  | TLR7 | N/A  | NLRS3| Pyroptosis  | IL-1β [28], TNF [28], IL-6 [28]   |
|           | [22] |      | [28] |            |                                   |
| SARS-CoV-2| N/A  | N/A  | NLRS3| Pyroptosis  | IL-1β [11,38,40–42], IL-18 [42], TNF [11], IL-6 [11] |
|           |      |      | [42] |            |                                   |
| MHV       | TLR7 | MDA5 | NLRS3| Pyroptosis  | IL-1β [29,52], IL-18 [52], TNF [52], IL-6 [52] |
|           | [23] | [24,25,33] | [29,52] |            |                                   |

*aResults are summarized from studies in both humans and mice.

*bAbbreviations: MDA5, melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5; N/A, not applicable (data for a particular virus and receptor combination have not been reported).

Table 2. Engaged Innate Immune Sensors, Subsequent Cell Death Pathways, and Notable Cytokines Released in Response to Specific CoVsa,b
chemokines and proinflammatory cytokines, including IL-6, CCL8, CXCL8, CXCL9, CXCL16, IL-1RA, CCL2, CXCL2, EN-RAGE, TNGSF14, and Oncostatin-M, resulting in severe or critical COVID-19 pathology [10,37]. A subsequent study monitoring protein amounts and viral titers in whole blood taken from patients with severe or critical COVID-19 also found that reduced amounts of type I IFNs in the blood during SARS-CoV-2 infection were associated with increased viral load in the blood, and exacerbation of the inflammatory response [38]. Furthermore, data from whole-genome sequencing of patients with life-threatening pneumonia from SARS-CoV-2 infection identified mutations in genes in the type I IFN pathway, such as IRF7, TLR3, and IFNAR1, along with autoantibodies against type I IFNs in the blood, among the patient cohort [39]. Together, these studies suggest that the location, timing, and duration of IFN exposure in both lungs and blood relative to CoV replication are important factors in driving or contributing to infection outcomes, and certainly warrant further investigation.

CoV Infection Stimulates NLRP3 Inflammasome Activation

Innate immune cells play a major role in antiviral immunity, inflammatory signaling, and cytokine production. Among the proinflammatory cytokines, IL-1β and IL-18 are key mediators of the inflammatory response, and increased amounts of IL-1β and IL-18 in plasma have been correlated with mortality or severity in patients with COVID-19 [38,40–42]. The release of IL-1β and IL-18 requires proteolytic maturation of pro-IL-1β and pro-IL-18 mediated by inflammasomes and the activation of the protease caspase-1 [43]. The inflammasome sensors can recognize PAMPs and/or DAMPs produced during pathogen infection and cellular instability, respectively [43]. Among those sensors, the most well characterized is the NLR family pyrin domain-containing 3 (NLRP3), which has been implicated in many diseases, including pathogen-mediated disease, autoinflammatory diseases, colitis, and obesity [44–50].

Studies have shown that SARS-CoV encodes at least three different proteins that can activate NLRP3 inflammasome assembly. First, SARS-CoV open reading frame (ORF) 3a protein-mediated K+ efflux and mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS) induce NLRP3 inflammasome activation and IL-1β secretion in lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-primed murine bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) in vitro [27]. Second, the SARS-CoV ORF8b protein interacts with the LRR domain of NLRP3 and triggers NLRP3 inflammasome activation and IL-1β secretion in THP-1 macrophages [26]. Third, SARS-CoV E protein-mediated ion channel activity induces IL-1β secretion in the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) from BALB/c mice [51]. Although the specific ligands from MHV that activate the NLRP3 inflammasome have not been characterized, a recent study demonstrated that MHV infection induces NLRP3 inflammasome activation and the subsequent release of IL-1β and IL-18 in murine BMDMs [52]. In the case of SARS-CoV-2, the specific ligands are also unknown, but infection with the virus can induce NLRP3 inflammasome activation, caspase-1 cleavage, and the release of IL-1β and IL-18 in PBMCs isolated from patients with COVID-19 [42].

Activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome is often vital in the host antiviral immune response [47,48,50]. The NLRP3 inflammasome is activated in response to several RNA viruses, including IAV and West Nile virus (WNV), leading to the inhibition of viral replication and reduced mortality in mouse models [50,53]. However, hyperactivation of the NLRP3 inflammasome can also lead to severe pathological injury. In a murine model of SARS-CoV infection, proinflammatory cytokines, including inflammasome-derived IL-1β, along with TNF and IL-6, promote lung damage and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [51]. Additionally, MHV-3 infection induces dysregulated NLRP3 inflammasome activation and IL-1β secretion, resulting in severe liver disease and high mortality in mice [29]. While these studies indicate that optimal NLRP3 inflammasome activation may be beneficial for the host, but that aberrant activation could lead to detrimental...
outcomes, detailed molecular mechanisms describing how inflammasome-driven inflammation plays a role in ARDS remain largely unknown. Given that IL-1β has been associated with the severity of COVID-19 [38,40,42], future studies are needed to fully examine the factors required for SARS-CoV-2–induced NLRP3 inflammasome assembly and NLRP3 inflammasome-driven ARDS.

**Programmed Cell Death and Proinflammatory Cytokines during CoV Infections**

**Programmed Cell Death**

Cell death plays an important role in limiting infection with pathogens. However, inflammatory cell death also results in the release of proinflammatory cytokines and cellular contents, including alarmins, PAMPs, and DAMPs, which can cause severe inflammation [54,55]. Therefore, cell death has been considered a double-edged sword for the host during pathogen infection.

Pyroptosis, apoptosis, and necroptosis are mechanistically well-studied programmed cell death pathways (Figure 3, Key Figure).

**Pyroptosis during CoV Infection**

Pyroptosis is an inflammasome- or gasdermin-mediated form of inflammatory cell death [3]. Inflammasome assembly leads to the activation of inflammatory caspases [caspase-1 (human and mouse), caspase-4 (human), -5 (human), or -11 (mouse)], which proteolytically cleave and release the N-terminal fragment of gasdermin D (GSDMD) to form pores in the plasma membrane [1–3]. Caspase-1-dependent GSDMD cleavage leads to the release of IL-1β and IL-18 [1]. SARS-CoV ORF3a, ORF8b, or E protein can induce NLRP3 inflammasome activation and IL-1β secretion, phenomena consistent with pyroptotic death in human THP-1 macrophages and HeLa cells [26,27,51], and pyroptotic death has been directly observed in THP-1 macrophages exposed to SARS-CoV ORF8b [26]. MERS-CoV can also cause pyroptosis and stimulates IL-1β release through the NLRP3 inflammasome in THP-1 cells and hDPP4 transgenic mice [28]. Furthermore, IL-1β release and caspase-1 activation have also been observed in murine peritoneal exudative macrophages (PEMs) and RAW264.7 cells [29]. A recent study revealed that MHV infection induces caspase-1 activation, GSDMD cleavage, IL-1β and IL-18 release, and pyroptosis in murine BMDMs in vitro [52]. Additionally, recent findings suggest that SARS-CoV-2 infection stimulates pyroptosis in PBMCs from patients with COVID-19, as evidenced by NLRP3 inflammasome activation, cleavage of caspase-1, and secretion of IL-1β and IL-18 [42] (Table 2).

**Necroptosis during CoV Infection**

Necroptosis is a mixed-lineage kinase domain-like pseudokinase (MLKL)-mediated form of inflammatory cell death, during which oligomerized MLKL is translocated and forms channels in the plasma membrane [56]. While evidence for necroptosis during CoV infection has been historically limited, new studies are beginning to identify this cell death pathway in response to CoVs. HCoV-OC43 induces necroptosis in human neural cells [57]. Additionally, a recent study reported that MHV infection induced phosphorylation of MLKL, causing necroptosis in murine BMDMs [52]. This study also found that Nrp3<sup>−/−</sup>, Casp1<sup>−/−</sup>Casp11<sup>−/−</sup>, or Gsdmd<sup>−/−</sup> BMDMs exhibited robust apoptosis and necroptosis, as evidenced from the cleavage of caspase-3, -7, and -8 and the phosphorylation of MLKL, driven by caspase-8 and receptor-interacting serine/threonine-protein kinase 3 (RIPK3) during MHV infection; indeed, Casp8<sup>−/−</sup>Ripk3<sup>−/−</sup> BMDMs were protected from MHV-induced death [52]. To date, there has been no clear evidence of necroptosis in the context of SARS-CoV-2 infection, or SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV (Table 2), and additional work is needed to determine whether this is occurring.
Upon severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-CoV, Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS)-CoV, SARS-CoV-2, and murine hepatitis virus (MHV) infection, CoV viral proteins can function as cytosolic pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and stimulate inflammasome assembly, resulting in activation of caspase-1. Active caspase-1 then cleaves pro-interleukin (IL)-1β, pro-IL-18, and gasdermin D (GSDMD). The N-terminal fragment of GSDMD may then oligomerize within membranes to form membrane pores and execute pyroptosis [26–29, 42, 51, 52]. SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2, and MHV infections also initiate a signaling cascade mediated by caspase-8 activation. Caspase-8 activates caspase-3 to drive apoptosis [52, 60–66]. Human HCoV-OC43 and MHV infection initiate necroptosis, a receptor-interacting serine/threonine-protein kinase 1 (RIPK1) and RIPK3 complex-dependent form of inflammatory cell death (Figure legend continued at the bottom of the next page.)
Apoptosis during CoV Infection
Pyroptosis and necroptosis are similar in that they are lytic forms of cell death driven by the GSDMD pore and MLKL channel, respectively, which release proinflammatory cytokines and other cellular factors to alert the surrounding cells of danger and to recruit innate and adaptive inflammatory cells [54,55]. Apoptosis was originally thought to be a less inflammatory form of cell death that disassembled the cell via membrane blebbing to avoid directly releasing cellular contents [58]. However, more recent studies have suggested that it is not always immunologically silent, because there is crosstalk between apoptotic proteins and lytic cell death executioners [58]. Apoptosis is driven by initiator caspase-8, -9, and -10 cleavage of executioner caspase-3 and -7. The apoptotic caspase-3 has been reported to activate gasermin E (GSDME) to induce a lytic form of cell death [59]; caspase-8 has also been reported to activate GSDMD under specific conditions [58]. In the context of CoV infection, SARS-CoV infection and SARS-CoV ORF6 induce apoptosis in Vero E6 cells, indicated by the activation of caspase-3 [60,61]. Likewise, MERS-CoV infection can also activate apoptosis in renal proximal tubular cells (HK2) and human CD4+ and CD8+ T cells isolated from PBMCs, as evidenced by the activation of caspase-3 [62,63]. Additionally, infection with MHV induces apoptosis, as evidenced by cleavage of caspase-3, caspase-7, and caspase-8 in mouse BMDMs [52,64]. ORF3a from SARS-CoV-2 also induces cleavage of caspase-8 and -9 and causes apoptosis in HEK293T, HepG2, and Vero E6 cells [65]. A subsequent study of SARS-CoV-2 infection in human airway epithelial cells in vitro found an increase in cytopathic effects with apoptotic characteristics [66]. Collectively, these findings indicate that CoVs can induce apoptosis (Table 2).

Inflammatory Cell Death and Cytokines
Overt activation of inflammatory cell death pathways may lead to critical tissue damage as well as severe inflammation [54]. For instance, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), a common marker for cell death, has now been linked to the pathogenesis of COVID-19; specifically, high LDH concentrations in the serum of patients are associated with tissue breakdown during COVID-19, and LDH amounts are considered a powerful predictive factor for the early recognition of lung injury in severe COVID-19 cases [67,68]. Indeed, LDH concentrations are upregulated in PBMCs from patients with COVID-19 [42]. Additionally, autopsy studies have observed lung damage in fatal cases of COVID-19 [69], suggesting that these proinflammatory cell death pathways are dysregulated in severe or critical cases. Because inflammatory cell death releases cytokines and chemokines, this process may contribute to the cytokine storm observed in patients with COVID-19. Patients with severe or critical COVID-19 cases have higher serum concentrations of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines, such as granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (GCSF), monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP1), TNF, IL-6, and IL-1β, compared with healthy individuals [11,40]. Recent emerging studies examining the cytokine profiles from patients with COVID-19 have indicated a direct correlation between the cytokine storm and lung injury [70,71]. ARDS is another serious outcome of this cytokine storm [72]. Therefore, it is important to determine what innate immune processes contribute to cytokine release and identify what links may exist between cytokine signaling and inflammatory cell death in SARS-CoV-2 infections.

Additional studies are required to fully elucidate the inflammatory cell death pathways and functional consequences of these processes during CoV infections. Furthermore, based on the
extensive co-regulation and crosstalk that has been identified between pyroptosis, apoptosis, and necroptosis [73–83], recent work has introduced PANoptosis as a unique inflammatory programmed cell death regulated by the PANoptosome, which provides a molecular scaffold for interactions and activation of the machinery required for inflammasome/pyroptosis, apoptosis, and necroptosis [78,79,82,83]. MHV infection in mice induces PANoptosis in murine BMDMs, and inhibiting activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome pathway and pyroptosis leads to a robust increase in apoptosis and necroptosis, as evidenced by increased cleavage of the apoptotic caspase-3, -7, and -8 and the increased phosphorylation of the necroptotic executioner MLKL in Nlrp3^-/-, Casp1^-/-Casp11^-/-, or Gsdmd^-/- BMDMs [52]. It will be important to determine if and how these findings translate to other CoVs, especially SARS-CoV-2, and what the implications of these findings in vivo will be.

CoV Immune Evasion
One of the goals of the innate immune response is to use PRRs to recognize pathogens (here, viral agents) and ultimately trigger an inflammatory response and programmed cell death to limit viral replication and promote clearance. In response, CoVs have undergone selective pressure to limit the innate immune response and permit their intracellular replication [84].

The most well-studied CoV immune evasion mechanism involves counteracting type I IFN innate immune signaling (Figure 2). SARS-CoV ORF3b, ORF6, and N protein can reduce type I IFN expression in A549 cells by inhibiting the activation of IRF3 and NF-κB nuclear translocation [85]. The M protein of SARS-CoV inhibits type I IFN production in HEK293T cells by delaying the formation of a functional TNF receptor-associated factor 3 (TRAF3)-containing complex, which is a key transcription factor that induces IFN production from cells through activation of TBK1 and IKKε upstream of IRF3 [86,87]. SARS-CoV papain-like proteases (PLP) also suppress type I IFN production in HEK293T cells mediated by stimulator of interferon genes (STING) [88]. SARS-CoV nsp1 suppresses type I IFN expression in HEK293T cells by promoting host mRNA degradation [89]. Additionally, MERS-CoV ORF4a suppresses the activation of RIG-I and MDA5 in HEK293T cells [90,91]. MERS-CoV nsp4a and nsp4b can also antagonize IFN expression in human A549 cells stably expressing the MERS-CoV receptor DPP4 [92]. Upon MHV infection, nsp15 and N protein suppress IFN production, as well as protein kinase R (PKR) and 2’,5’-oligo adenylate synthetase (OAS)/RNase L responses in murine 17Cl1 cells or BMDMs [64,93]. MHV nsp2 also antagonizes type I IFN production and blocks the 2’,5’-OAS/RNase L response in BMDMs [94]. Additionally, MHV infection induces high amounts of type I IFN secretion in plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs), BMDMs, microglia, and oligodendrocytes [23,24,95], but relatively little production of type I IFNs in bone marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDCs), hepatocytes, neurons, astrocytes, and murine 17Cl-1 fibroblasts [24,96,97]; this suggests that MHV efficiently evades innate immune sensing in a cell type-dependent manner.

CoV Disease Tolerance versus Immunopathology
Innate host protection can play an important role in dictating CoV disease tolerance. First, SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 disease tolerance can occur in an age-dependent manner, because children with CoV-induced diseases, including COVID-19, have a less aggressive clinical course compared with adults [98–100]. However, this may not be due to a virus-specific effect, because children have reduced expression of ACE2 in their nasal epithelium compared with expression in adults [101]; this might result in reduced viral infectivity in children compared with adults and contribute to the age-dependence of SARS- and SARS-CoV-2-induced disease. However, it is important to note that recent studies have found that SARS-CoV-2 infection is sometimes associated with multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C) [102],
which is characterized by systemic inflammation, and may be caused by an aberrant immune response, although much remains unknown about this condition, and future robust studies are absolutely necessary.

Second, CoV disease tolerance is species specific. Bats are largely considered the original host of SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 [103–105]. NLRP3 inflammasome-mediated inflammation in bat PBMCs is dampened in response to MERS-CoV infection compared with that observed in human PBMCs [106]. Another study also showed that bats have a mutation in an essential and highly conserved serine residue (S358) in STING that causes reduced IFN responses relative to controls in HEK293T cells [107]. These studies suggest that species-dependent host factors and molecular mechanisms regulate inflammatory responses during CoV infection.

Third, CoV disease tolerance is sex dependent. Specifically, men have had a higher case fatality rate than women during the SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks [108–111]. This sex-dependent CoV disease tolerance in women is also more well defined with advancing age [108,110]. One recent study also found that lung infiltration of inflammatory cells and virus titers were further elevated in SARS-CoV-infected male mice compared with female mice and, furthermore, that estrogen receptor signaling induced by tamoxifen enhanced disease tolerance in female mice by moderating SARS-CoV-induced inflammation [112], suggesting that sex-based differences affect inflammation and susceptibility to CoV infection. These results suggest that innate host protection plays an important role in directing CoV disease resistance.

Concluding Remarks
We have highlighted the sensing of different CoVs by the innate immune system and the inflammatory cell death pathways activated in response to these CoV infections. Optimal cell death and inflammatory cytokine release are important for host defense. However, excessive host inflammatory responses and cell death can lead to cytokine storms and/or tissue damage, increasing morbidity and mortality in patients with CoV. Therefore, CoV-induced innate immunity and cell death must be finely controlled to reduce excessive inflammation while retaining antiviral functions.

In this regard, it will be important to mechanistically characterize the innate immune response to different CoV infections and evaluate the clinical efficacy of targeting innate immune pathways when designing evidence-based treatment strategies (see Outstanding Questions). For example, SARS-CoV-2 infection antagonizes type I and type III IFN production, but elevates the expression of chemokines and proinflammatory cytokines [10], which are associated with poor prognosis [10,11]. With other RNA viruses, IAV and WNV, inflammasome activation is required for host defense, but hyperactivation may lead to disease exacerbation [29,50,51,53]. It is possible that reduced innate antiviral defenses together with excessive inflammatory cytokine production are some of the driving features of CoV-mediated diseases.

The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic continues to spread globally, and we now desperately need effective therapies and vaccines to combat COVID-19. Given the urgency of the global health situation, researchers are exploring the possibility of repurposing existing therapeutic agents already approved, or which are under development/testing for other infections, including malaria (Plasmodium sp.), HIV, or other CoVs, or for blockade of the inflammasome (e.g., colchicine) or the proinflammatory cytokines IL-1 and IL-6 [113–117]. The response to these therapies has been mixed. For example, a recent pilot study of COVID-19 in five patients who were already undergoing treatment with colchicine found that all five patients presented with mild/moderate disease and survived despite having multiple comorbidities [118]. However, this was a small observational study, preventing definitive conclusions. Despite promising early data from

Outstanding Questions
What is the dominant signaling pathway that controls the activation of type I IFNs and proinflammatory cytokine expression during CoV infections? What are the molecular mechanisms by which IFN-inducible genes drive robust inflammatory responses with delayed type I IFN production in these infections?

What are the ligands that activate the NLRP3 inflammasome, and what are the key host factors that regulate NLRP3 inflammasome activation in response to SARS-CoV-2 infection?

What types of programmed cell death are induced by CoV infection? Is there any redundancy among these types of cell death? What are the positive/negative regulators of cell death?

What makes older men more susceptible to CoV-related illness and fatality than other populations? What are the driving forces that lead to gain or loss of NLRP3 inflammasome functions in different species during CoV infections?
retrospective and single-center studies using IL-6-antibody blockade therapy [119], recent studies have found that blocking IL-6 can be associated with increased secondary infections [120], and the large Phase III trials using these inhibitors have had mixed results. The first two Phase III randomized double-blind, placebo-controlled trials that included anti-IL-6 antibody and placebo groups in patients with severe COVID-19, the COVACTA (NCT04320615) and Kevzara trials (NCT04327388), used improvement on the seven category ordinal scale as their primary outcomes. Both reported in company press releases that they failed to meet their primary endpoints. However, EMPACTA (NCT04372186) reportedly met its primary endpoint of a reduction in the outcomes. Both reported in company press releases that they failed to meet their primary endpoints. These results suggest that, while several potential therapies have been explored for COVID-19, balancing proinflammatory responses to clear the virus with preventing systemic inflammation remains challenging. An improved understanding of the mechanisms by which the innate immune system recognizes and responds to specific CoV infections will be key to informing the development of putative therapeutic strategies.
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