Characteristics of Organically Grown Compared to Conventionally Grown Potato and the Processed Products: A Review
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Abstract: Interest in organic foods is increasing at a moment when humanity is facing a range of health challenges including the concern that some conventionally produced foods may pose possible adverse effects on human and livestock health. With the increasing human population, intensive production is increasingly trending towards high-input systems that aim to close yield gaps, increase crop yields, and develop new crop varieties with higher yield potential and tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses, all within the context of incorporating specific traits to satisfy consumer demand. Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) is one of the most consumed foods under different cultural diets; however, its production faces some challenges related to soilborne diseases, marketable yield and quality, sugars and dry matter content of the produced tubers, tuber content in terms of nitrate, minerals, vitamins, bioactive compounds, and antioxidants, and consumer appreciation regarding the sensory characteristics of tubers and processed products. Different studies have been investigating some of these challenges, with sometimes straightforward and sometimes conflicting results. This variability in research results indicates the general non-transferability of the results from one location to another under the same management practices in addition to differences in plant material. This review compares some characteristics of raw or boiled potato and processed products from potato tubers grown organically and conventionally. Ideally, such information may be of benefit in decision making by consumers in their dietary choices, by potato growers in their selection of crop management practices, and by scientists looking at potential areas for future research on potatoes.
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1. Introduction

Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) is the fourth-largest crop produced worldwide after rice, wheat, and maize at a total annual production of 370, 504, 766, and 1150 million tons for potato, rice, wheat and maize, respectively [1]. Potato is adapted to a large range of geographical environments and climates [2]. Potato is consumed by humans under many different preparations—boiled, baked, fried, snack, chips, starch—and is a significant source of carbohydrates [3,4], protein [3,5,6], minerals [6], vitamin C [4], and phenolic compounds [6]. Potato crop is very sensitive to water stress [7–9], nitrogen fertilizer deficiency, and is subject to a wide variety of disorders and diseases. Aboveground disorders and diseases include early blight (Alternaria solani), late blight (Phytophthora...
infestans), Fusarium dry rot (Fusarium spp.), black dot (Colletotrichum coccodes), potato virus Y, black leg (Pectobacterium spp.), aerial stem rot (Pectobacterium carotovorum subsp. carotovorum), ring rot (Clavibacter michiganensis subsp. sepedonicus), and alternaria brown spot (Alternaria alternate). Physiological disorders include growth cracks, knobs, misshapes, hollow heart, and brown center, among others. Soilborne diseases include Rhizoctonia canker and black scurf (Rhizoctonia solani), common scab (Streptomyces scabiei), powdery scab (Spongospora subterranea f. sp. subterranea), white mold (Sclerotinia sclerotiorum), silver scurf (Helminthosporium solani), pink rot (Phytophthora erythroseptica), Verticillium wilt (Verticillium dahlia), pythium leak (Pythium spp.), and brown rot (Ralstonia solanacearum). All of these disorders and diseases can tremendously impact tuber yield and the organoleptic quality of the harvested tubers and the processed products.

Different factors affect the quality of the potato tubers. Nitrogen availability to potato plants is critical to plant growth and development, tuber yield, and quality. Nitrogen form and source are one of the main differences between conventional and organic potato production [10–14]. In addition, to control the numerous potential diseases and stabilize yield in potatoes, commercial growers apply a strict package of pesticides and high rate of nitrogen fertilizer [3,13,15–17]. Some undesirable residues can be accumulated in the potato tubers [18] and the soil [19] under conventional farming that can potentially affect human and animal health [20], and organic foods are therefore generally assessed as being healthier and of better taste than conventionally grown crops [21,22].

The taste and bitterness are the main sensory properties of the boiled potato tuber, and the texture, color, and oil content, and crispiness are the main quality parameters of fried potatoes [23–26]. Moyano et al. [27] found a direct relationship between potato’s specific gravity, total solids content, starch content, cell size, and surface area and pectin and potato texture. For the processed product, like potato chips, a very crispy texture is expected as crispness is an indicator of freshness and high quality [24,28–30]. Moreover, the color of the fresh, boiled or fried potatoes is considered one of the most important defining qualities caused by factors such as sugar content of potato tubers, storage temperature and duration, potato genotype, high soil moisture late in the growing season, low specific gravity of potato tuber, and enzymatic browning [31–35]. Particularly in fried potatoes, the browning process is the result of the Maillard reaction that is directly linked with the reducing sugars content and amino acids or proteins at the surface, and the temperature and duration of frying [36,37].

For the present review, we compared some characteristics of the organically grown potato tubers to the conventional ones. We have considered only side-by-side comparative studies that include both organic and conventional farming practices, in order to exclude some extraneous information that might not necessarily be the impact of the organic farming but the effects of uncontrolled factors. The main characteristics in our review focus on disease pressure on the potato plant and tubers, potato tuber total and marketable yields, specific gravity and dry matter content, sugar and starch contents of potato tubers, nitrate content of potato tubers, bioactive compounds and antioxidant contents in potato tubers, mineral and vitamin content, and the sensory characteristics of the potato tubers.

2. Weed and Pest Management in Potato

Weeds are a threat to any cultivated crop and negatively impact crop production as they compete with the planted crop for light, nutrients, water, and space. They induce quantity and quality losses of the yield and ultimately decrease the net return of the production system with increased production costs. Potato cultivars with a strong, erect shoot growth habit with shorter stems, more branching, and a denser and taller canopy in the early stages of plant growth may be less susceptible to weed interference than cultivars with less lofty plant habit [38–40]. Commercial potato growers follow rigorous herbicide application schedules throughout the conventional potato growing season and apply different pesticides. While conventional potato often starts by soil fumigation, organic potato often relies on the biofumigation provided by cover crops such as those of the Brassicaceae
family. Boydston [41] reported that weeds should be managed in a holistic, intentional, and proactive manner if no herbicide is considered. Under organic farming, crop rotation, cover crop selection, planting pattern and timing in addition to healthy and appropriate seed material are the main aspects to be considered for successful weed management. Some specific plants considered as cover crops such as sudangrass and different species of Brassica have been used as a green manure and biofumigant to reduce nematode populations preceding potatoes and weed emergence [42–44]. Cover crops that have reportedly suppressed weeds through direct competition or release of allelopathic compounds during decomposition of residues include rye, oats, barley, rapeseed, mustards, sorghum–sudangrass hybrids, and buckwheat [42,45–56]. Mustard foliage and seeds contain glucosinolate compounds that upon hydrolysis produce isothiocyanates, which act as natural bio-fumigants [57–59]. In addition, multiple, well-timed shallow cultivations or heat-flaming can eliminate many early season weeds and the application of new technologies for detecting crop rows and weeds coupled with precision cultivation, flaming, and application of nonselective organic herbicides are being developed and hold promise to reduce the need for labor-intensive hand weeding [41]. Cover crops are planted by both organic and conventional potato growers for multiple objectives: Nitrogen fixation, soil hydraulic properties improvement, disease and nematode suppression, adding more organic matter to the soil, nutrients reclamation, etc. [51,56,60–62]. With cultivation as the only weed management practice in potato production, weed density increased with reduction in tuber yield compared to hand weeding or herbicide application [63,64]. VanderZaag [65] reported that weekly scouting of all fields to determine economic thresholds before spraying and the reliance on weekly newsletters informing about the status of pests and diseases offers significant, environmentally friendly approaches for sustainable weed, pest, and disease management across the potato field. The use of neonicotinoid chemistry as a seed tuber treatment greatly reduced the need to spray insecticide, especially for Colorado potato beetle control, and the amendment with cattle or swine manure led to better crop health and reduced the need for pest and disease treatments [65]. Herbicide selection, herbicide combinations, and application rates and timing determine the effectiveness of the method in weed management [40,66–68]. Barbas et al. [40] found that the chemical method of controlling weeds was the most effective weed control method over a combination of mechanical methods in potato production. However, the combination of metribuzin and rimsulfuron+SN oil as a potato pre-emergence tool was more effective than the other meribuzin, ethoxylated isodecyl alcohol 0.1%, fluazifop-P butyl, and SN oil combinations.

3. Nutrient Management

Potatoes are a special crop, and not only does the organic production system affect the crop plant growth and yield, but the tuber quality during cold storage is also affected by the particular production system. Organic farming faces many challenges such as proper nutrient management, weeds, diseases, and insect pest control [69]. Both organic and conventional systems have adopted cover cropping to improve soil organic matter content and improve soil quality. Bio-fertilizers derived from microorganisms are an alternative to chemical and organic fertilizers. El-Sayed et al. [70] compared mineral fertilizers (at a rate of 285.6 kg N + 178.5 kg P₀₂₅ + 357 kg K₂O/ha) to a combination of bio-fertilizers consisting of nitrogen fixers (Azospirillum brasilense and Azotobacter chroococcum), P-dissolving bacteria (Bacillus megaterium and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza), and K-dissolving bacteria (Bacillus cereus) plus different rates of compost on potatoes. They found significant increases in the total and marketable yield of potato from plots treated with 50% of the recommended mineral fertilizers plus 23.8 t/ha compost with or without bio-fertilizer as well as from plots that received compost at 35.7 t/ha, compared with plots treated with full dose of mineral fertilizer plus 11.9 t/ha compost (control). However, the conventional fertilizer showed significantly higher weight loss of potato tubers during cold storage than all other treatments [70]. Mycorrhiza and Azospirillum as bio-fertilizers were found to reduce nitrate and nitrite contents of potato tubers [71,72]. Mycorrhizal potato plants were reported to
show improved growth and development, pathogen resistance, and productivity compared to non-inoculated potato plants [73–77]. Khosravifar et al. [78] inoculated potato plants with *Claroideoglomus etunicatum* and *Rhizophagus intraradices* and found that *R. intraradices* increased tuber yield by 32.5–36.0% compared to non-inoculated control plants with a maximum root colonization percentage of 54.2%. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are found to increase tolerance of potato plants to drought, salinity, and disease by facilitating water and nutrient acquisition and by improving overall soil structure [77].

Carter et al. [79] reported that the application of compost once in a 3-year potato rotation was beneficial for both soil physical and biological properties, and for potato productivity. However, due to the limited viability of compost and/or organic fertilizers in many locations and their low nitrogen content [80], organic fertilizer may be challenging, and more emphasis should be given to cover cropping in addition to bio-fertilizers that colonize the soil under organic production in humid and sub-humid climates. The bio-fertilizers are constituted with microorganisms which solubilize the unavailable forms of inorganic-P [81] and potassium rock through the production and secretion of organic acids [82]. The leguminous cover crops are associated with the nitrogen-fixing bacteria *Azotobacter* spp., which are known to produce different growth hormones, vitamins, and siderophores. *Azotobacter* is capable of converting nitrogen into ammonia, the form of nitrogen that can be taken up by plants [83]. Jen-Hshuan [84] reported that *Azotobacter* spp. can produce antifungal compounds to fight against plant pathogens. Rees et al. [85] reported that in-season fresh poultry manure amendment increased potato total yield compared to the fall application [86]. Lynch et al. [87] reported the commercial hog manure–sawdust compost (CP) and pelletized poultry manure (NW) applied at 300 and 600 kg total N /ha positively affect potato tuber yield and potato plant N uptake (112 kg N /ha) under non-limiting soil moisture conditions. Fahmy et al. [88] found that pulp-fiber residue compost amendment increased potato plant-available phosphorus and potassium and the tuber yield was increased under supplementary irrigation while no change was observed in a rainfed setting compared to the non-amended plot. Green manure management and the recycling of organic materials may be a valid alternative to the conventional synthetic fertilizer-based management system for sustainable potato production, sustaining tuber yield without enhancing potential environmental risks due to N leaching [89]. Drakopoulos et al. [90,91] investigated solid cattle manure, lucerne pellets, grass/clover silage amendments on crop performance, and nitrogen utilization of organic potato and found that plant-based fertilizers enhanced nitrogen utilization in terms of apparent nitrogen recovery compared to animal-based manures, and the lucerne pellets resulted in the highest yield regardless of the tillage practices. Wilson et al. [92] reported that soil amendments with diverse wood waste and manure compost products resulted in a small increase in plant N availability in small plots experiments. The immature products resulted in net N immobilization, the composts high in K increased plant K availability with non-significant effects on tuber yield.

### 4. Potato Disease Occurrence and Intensity

Disease management is a serious challenge and threat to organic potato management and disease pressure depends on crop physiology and nutrient availability that confers plant tolerance to disease stressors [93–97]. Late blight, caused by *Phytophthora infestans*, is commonly thought to be the factor most limiting yield under organic practices [69]. Common scab (caused by *Streptomyces scabies*), silver scurf (caused by *Helminthosporium solani*), and soft rot (caused by *Pectobacterium* sp. and *Dickeya* sp.) may be detrimental to organic production systems. Organic farming relies on the agricultural practices to reduce and/or control diseases instead of applying chemical pesticides (Table 1). Larkin and Halloran [54] indicated that disease levels and crop production are influenced by crop management practices. Crop rotation plays a tremendous role in maintaining potato disease incidence at controllable levels. Through a process known as biofumigation, plants within the Brassicaceae family produce glucosinolates, which break down into volatile compounds
that are toxic to several plant pathogens [50,58,59,98–102]. Tein et al. [103] found potato common scab more severe under organic farming compared to the conventional farming during two seasons out of three. Conversely, the number of tubers infected by silver scurf was lower under organic farming while there was no significant difference between the farming system with regard to potato soft rot infection [103]. Tein et al. [103] found that the application of cattle manure in addition to catch crops increased the severity of silver scurf and common scab. However, the use of green and animal manures under organic farming rarely has a disease-increasing effect on soilborne diseases infection and severity [50,104]. Some studies have shown that the application of cattle manure overall increases the incidence of soilborne diseases [103,105,106]. Moore et al. [107] pointed out that manure provides optimum conditions for common scab development by altering soil pH level. Tein et al. [103] investigated the effect of management practices on potato tuber diseases such as common scab (Streptomyces spp.), silver scurf (Helminthosporium solani), dry rot (Fusarium spp.) and soft rot (Pectobacterium spp.) and found that the organic systems had significantly more tubers (~39%) infected with common scab (surface cover 4–15%) than in conventional systems (~25%), fewer tubers infected with silver scurf compared to all conventional farming systems, less tubers infected with dry rot in organic systems compared to the conventional systems, and the soft rot infections were not influenced by farming systems. Bernard et al. [106] reported rapeseed rotation reduced all observed soilborne diseases such as stem canker, black scurf, common scab, and silver scurf by 10 to 52% under organic farming.

Deja-Sikora et al. [108] investigated the effect of joint Potato Virus Y infection and mycorrhizal colonization by Rhizophagus irregularis on growth traits of the host potato plant and found that the viral particles were concentrated in the leaves but decreased the root growth, and the infection with PVY evoked prolonged oxidative stress reflected by increased level of endogenous H$_2$O$_2$ and alleviation of oxidative stress in PVY-infected host plants by a substantial decrease in the level of shoot- and root-derived H$_2$O$_2$ with asymptomatic growth depression.

Organic farming heavily relies on crop rotations and improved cropping systems incorporating management practices associated with soil health management (crop rotation length, cover crop species choice, and green manures, organic matter amendments, and minimum tillage). Larkin et al. [56] found that disease suppression practices, which included disease-suppressive green manures and cover crops, produced the highest yields compared to soil improvement, soil conservation, and status quo practices representing yield increase from 11 to 35%. The disease suppression system consisted of a three-year rotation with the disease-suppressive Brassica “Caliente 119”, Mustard Blend (blend of oriental and white mustard seeds, Brassica juncea L. and Sinapis alba L.) grown as a green manure, followed by a fall cover crop of rapeseed (Brassica napus L.” Dwarf Essex”) in the first year [56]. In the second year, a disease-suppressive sorghum–sudangrass hybrid (Sorghum bicolor x S. bicolor var. sudanense) was grown as a green manure, followed by a fall cover crop of winter rye (Secale cereale L.), with potato in the third year. This combination was observed to improve disease control in potato, with yield advantage. These organic management practices have been shown to significantly affect soil chemical, physical, and biological properties [109,110], as well as reduce soilborne diseases and increase crop productivity [111]. The use of Brassica spp. and sudangrass cover crops preceding potato planting reduces the pressure of the soilborne diseases on potato plant and the tuber [54,56,112,113]. The mechanism of action of the disease-suppressive crops used involves biofumigation, the breakdown of plant metabolites in soil to produce volatile toxic compounds that can reduce populations of weeds, nematodes, and plant pathogens [50,100,111,114,115]. In this regard, Brassica species may change the soil microbial communities besides the bio-fumigation potential and reduce the soilborne diseases [50,115,116].
| Cover Crops, Compost, Biostimulants | Diseases/Nematodes/Weeds | Disease Control | Impact on Tuber Yield | Locations | References |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------|------------|
| barley                              | *Rhizoctonia* disease   | reduced         | decline in tuber quality | Canada    | Carter and Sanderson [117] |
| barley—red clover                   |                         |                 | yield increase         | Canada    |            |
| ‘Lemtal’ ryegrass                   | *Rhizoctonia* disease   |                 |                       | Maine, USA| Brewer and Larkin [118]    |
| barley (undersown with red clover), red clover |                         |                 |                       |           | Peters et al. [119]         |
| grass–clover                        |                         |                 |                       |           |            |
| winter wheat                        | late blight (*Phytophthora infestans*) |                 | 30%                   | Germany   | Finckh et al. [69]          |
| cabbage                             |                         |                 |                       |           |            |
| canola, rapeseed, radish, turnip, yellow mustard, and Indian mustard | *Rhizoctonia solani, Phytophthora erythroseptica, Pythium ultimum, Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, and Fusarium sambucinum* | 80–100% in vitro |                       |           |            |
| Indian mustard, rapeseed, canola, and ryegrass | powdery scab | 15–40%          |                       | Maine, USA| Larkin and Griffin [50]     |
| canola and rapeseed                 | canola and rapeseed     | 70–80%          |                       |           |            |
| Indian mustard green manure         | common scab             | 25%             |                       |           |            |
| rapeseed, yellow mustard, and ‘Lemtal’ ryegrass | black scurf | reduced         |                       |           |            |
| barley and ryegrass                 | *Rhizoctonia solani*    | reduced         |                       |           |            |
| canola, rapeseed, and yellow mustard | black scurf             | 48–78%          |                       |           |            |
| organic matter amendments           | soilborne pathogens     | suppressive in 45% and non-significant in 35% of the cases |                       | Italy     | Bonanomi et al. [120]       |
| flutolanil and *Trichoderma harzianum* | stem canker and black scurf | decreased the incidence of black scurf | marketable-sized tubers increased in yield from 35% to 60% | Finland   | Wilson et al. [121]          |
| *Trichoderma harzianum*             | stem canker and black scurf | reduced the severity of black scurf | fewer malformed and green-colored tubers |           | Wilson et al. [122]          |
| mycorrhizae                         | stem canker, black scurf | 17–28%          |                       | Maine, USA| Larkin [123]                   |
| aerobic compost tea + beneficial microorganisms | stem canker, black scurf, and common scab | 18–33%          | increased by 20–23%    | Maine, USA|            |
| Cover Crops, Compost, Biotimulants | Diseases/Nematodes/Weeds | Disease Control | Impact on Tuber Yield | Locations | References |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------|------------|
| subclover and hairy vetch         | weed suppression          |                | improved total and marketable tuber yield | Italy     | Campiglia et al. [61] |
| canola and rapeseed               | Rhizoctonia canker, black scurf, and common scab | 18 to 38%    | 6.8 to 8.2% higher   | Maine, USA | Larkin et al. [113] |
| barley, ryegrass, canola, and rapeseed | Rhizoctonia and other soilborne diseases | 15–50%     |                      | Maine, USA | Larkin et al. [113] |
| barley, ryegrass, canola, and rapeseed + rye | Rhizoctonia and common scab diseases | 20–70%      |                      | Maine, USA | Larkin et al. [113] |
| Brassica and sudangrass green manures, fall cover crops, and high crop diversity | soilborne diseases      | 25–58%         |                      | Maine, USA | Larkin and Tavantzis [124] |
| biocontrol agents (Bacillus subtilis GB03 and Rhizoctonia solani hypovirulent isolate Rhs1A1) | multiple soilborne diseases, stem and stolon canker | 20–38%     | no direct effect on yield | Maine, USA | Larkin and Tavantzis [124] |
| biocontrol agents (Bacillus subtilis GB03 and Rhizoctonia solani hypovirulent isolate Rhs1A1) | black scurf          | 30–58%         |                      | Maine, USA | Larkin and Tavantzis [124] |
| biocontrol agents (Bacillus subtilis GB03 and Rhizoctonia solani hypovirulent isolate Rhs1A1) | common scab           | 10–34%         |                      | Maine, USA | Larkin and Tavantzis [124] |
| compost amendments from different sources | black scurf and common scab | 4–20% increase of common scab | total yield by 11–37%; marketable yield by 17–51% | Maine, USA | Bernard et al. [106] |
| Brassica napus                     | stem canker, black scurf, common scab, and silver scurf | 10–52%     |                      | Maine, USA | Bernard et al. [106] |
| conifer-based compost amendment    | stem canker, black scurf, common scab, and silver scurf | variable   | increase 9 to 15%   | Maine, USA | Bernard et al. [106] |
| mustard blend, sudangrass, and rapeseed | black scurf          | 16–27%         | 6–11%                | Maine, USA | Larkin and Halloran [54] |
| mustard blend                      | common scab           | 11%            |                      | Maine, USA | Larkin and Halloran [54] |
| mustard blend managed as a green manure | scurf               | 54%            | 25%                  |             |             |
Table 1. Cont.

| Cover Crops, Compost, Biostimulants | Diseases/Nematodes/Weeds | Disease Control | Impact on Tuber Yield | Locations | References |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------|------------|
| barley + red clover                 | not specified            |                |                       |           |            |
| barley–sorghum sudangrass + *Brassica napus* subsp. *rapifera* | not specified |                | significantly higher yields | Canada     | Nyiraneza et al. [55] |
| barley + *Brassica napus* subsp. *Napus* + *Brassica napus* subsp. *Rapifera* | not specified |                | significantly higher yields |           |            |
| Inhana Rational Farming: a complete organic 'Package of Practice' from seed sowing to crop harvest | late blight (*Phytophthora infestans*) | higher 49.4–66.7% vs. 2.8 to 7.9% under conventional |           | India      | Bera et al. [125] |
| *Brassica* *rapa*, kale, cauliflower, broccoli, cabbage | *Globodera rostochiensis* | appreciable reduction in newly formed cysts |           | Portugal   | Aires et al. [126] |
| *Brassica carinata* | *Meloidogyne chitwoodi* | reduced infection of tuber in field |           | USA        | Henderson et al. [127] |
| *B. napus*, *Raphanus sativus* | *M. chitwoodi*, *P. neglectus* | green manuring protected host root against nematode infection for six weeks | increase in yield | USA        | Mojtahedi et al. [128,129] |
| *B. napus*, *Raphanus sativus* | *M. chitwoodi*, *P. neglectus* | declined population of both nematode species | increase in yield | USA        | Al-Rehiayani et al. [130] |
| *Sinapis alba* | *G. rostochiensis*, *G. pallida* | hatch inhibition of juveniles from cysts |           | The Netherlands | Scholte and Vos [131] |
| *Raphanus sativus* | *Paratrichodoras teres* | reduction in nematode population | increase in tuber yield | The Netherlands | Hartsema et al. [60] |
| *Eruca sativa* | *M. chitwoodi*, *M. hapla*, *P. allius* | reduced nematode populations to non-detectable levels |           | USA        | Riga et al. [132] |
| *B. juncea*, *Eruca sativa* | *M. incognita* | increase in nematode population |           | South Africa | Engelbrecht [133] |
| sorghum, sudangrass | *M. incognita*, *P. penetrans* | significant decline of *P. penetrans*, *M. incognita* populations were unaffected |           | USA        | Everts et al. [56] |
| Cover Crops, Compost, Biostimulants | Diseases/Nematodes/Weeds | Disease Control | Impact on Tuber Yield | Locations | References |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------|------------|
| *T. erecta* × *T. patula*, sorghum-sudangrass | *P. penetrans* | reduced nematode populations | increased crop yield | USA | LaMondia [134] |
| white mustard (*Sinapis alba*) and oriental mustard (*Brassica juncea*) | Verticillium wilt | 25% and black scurf and common scab were reduced | 12% | USA | Larkin et al. [135] |
| sorghum–sudangrass hybrid | Verticillium wilt | 18% | | | |
| municipal solid waste compost | | | | | |
| compost of municipal solid waste with leguminous straw | | | | | |
| compost of mixed cow manure with leguminous straw | not specified | increased yield + macronutrients, micronutrients and heavy metals accumulation in the tubers | Spain | Escobedo-Monge et al. [136] |
| compost of mixed chicken manure with leguminous straw | | | | | |
| compost of mixed sheep manure with leguminous straw | | | | | |
| arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi | not specified | marketable yield increase by +25% | Italy | Lombardo et al. [137] |
5. Total Tuber Yield and Marketable Yield

Potato under organic production is subjected to different pests, diseases and limited available nutrients and consequently produces lower tuber yield compared to the conventionally grown potato (Tables 1 and 2) [12,69,138–144]. Synthetic fertilizers, pesticides, and other non-organic inputs are not allowed under organic production, which infers challenges in nutrient and pest management under organic farming than conventional systems with lower marketable potato tuber yield in organic production [69,85,145,146]. In various studies, the yield of organically grown potato tubers is lower compared to the yield of the conventionally grown potato by 5–40% [3,147–152]. Brazinskiene et al. [153] reported potato yield under conventional production to be double the equivalent yield under organic production of five Lithuanian potato varieties (VB Venta, Goda, VB Liepa, VBRasa and VB Aista). Similarly, Kazimierzczak et al. [154] reported lower tuber yield of eight potato cultivars (Mazur, Justa, Lawenda, Lech, Tacja, Laskana, Otolia, Magnolia) grown under organic system compared to conventional system. Clark et al. [138] reported that limitations in the amount of available soil nitrogen and the less complete and slower control of diseases explain the reduction in potato tuber yield under low-input systems. Maggio et al. [3] found 25% reduction in potato marketable yield under the organic system compared to the conventional system with higher percentage of large tubers under the conventional system. Zarzyńska and Pietraszko [155] compared the tuber yield of four potato cultivars (Viviana, Gawin, Legenda, Gustaw) grown under organic and conventional management practices and found that the organic system resulted in less than optimal plant growth, tuber yield, and tuber size, with the greatest number of small tubers under organic practices. From a six-year investigation of the effects of organic vs. conventional crop management practices (fertilization, crop protection) and preceding crop on potato tuber yield and quality, Palmer at al. [144] found that total and marketable yields were significantly reduced by the use of both organic crop protection and fertility management. Moreover, the yield gap between organic and conventional fertilization regimes was greater and more variable due to lower or less predictable nitrogen supply in organic fertilizer practice than that between crop protection practices [144]. Ierna and Parisi [156] reported that organic cultivation system was less productive (5 to 50% less) than the conventional due to less availability of nitrogen and to appearance time and severity level of late blight infection. However, Fiorillo et al. [157] and Warman and Havard [4] found no tuber yield reduction under organic farming. Warman [158] suggested that variation in weather has a greater influence on productivity than the kind of fertilizer adopted.

Table 2. Comparative analysis of characteristics differences between organically and conventionally grown potatoes.

| References                | Major Research Findings                                                                 | Locations |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Warman and Havard [4]     | The yield and vitamin C content of the potatoes was not affected by treatments.        | Canada    |
|                           | P, Mg, Na and Mn content in potato tubers and N, Mg, Fe and B content in leaves were  |           |
|                           | influenced by treatments.                                                              |           |
| Lombardo et al. [13]      | Potatoes from organic systems had 18% more total phenolics than those from conventional systems. | Italy     |
|                           | The nitrate content in organically grown tubers was 34% lower than conventional products. |           |
|                           | Ascorbic acid content of conventionally produced tubers were 23% greater than from organic systems. |           |
|                           | Better sensory performance after frying (crispness and less browning) was observed in potatoes from organic than conventional systems. |           |
| Brazinskiene et al. [153] | Conventional farming yield is significantly higher than that obtained by organic.      | Lithuania |
|                           | The farming type has no significant effect on the content of phenolic acids.            |           |
|                           | No significant effect of farming type on dry matter and starch content, or sensory properties was found. |           |
## Table 2. Cont.

| References | Major Research Findings | Locations |
|------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Lombardo et al. [159] | The organic cultivation system was less productive than the conventional. The organic farming produced tubers with a lower nitrate content, an important benefit in the context of human health. | Italy |
| Soltoft et al. [160] | Higher concentration of the phenolic 5-caffeoylquinic acid was detected in potatoes produced organically. | |
| Dramičanin et al. [161] | The largest starch content in the peel and the bulk of the tubers was observed in the conventional system (33.0% and 78.1%, respectively). The lowest contents of starch content in the peel and the bulk of the tubers were identified in the organic cropping system (22.7% and 65.2%, respectively). The highest content of fructose, glucose, and saccharose in tubers was identified in the conventional system, followed by integral, and being the lowest in the organic cultivation system. | Serbia |
| Zarzyńska and Pietraszko [155] | The organic production system resulted in less than optimal plant growth, tuber yield and tuber size. | Poland |
| Maggio et al. [3] | Organic farming caused a 25% marketable yield reduction with a higher percentage of large tubers under conventional farming. Highest starch values were found in organic Merit and conventional Agria cultivars. The total protein content was higher in both Agria and organically grown tubers and it also corresponded to higher total amino acid contents. Specifically, organic farming increased only threonine, whereas it significantly reduced most of the other amino acids. | Italy |
| Larkin et al. [113] | Incorporation of mustard residues (*B. juncea*) consistently resulted in greater effects on soil microbial communities and greater reductions in soilborne diseases than additions of other organic amendments. | Maine, USA |
| Vaitkevičiene et al. [162] | Higher contents of polyphenols (sum), phenolic acids (sum), chlorogenic acid, p-coumaric acid, and caffeic acid were found in biodynamic and organic samples compared to the conventional tubers. Organically and biodynamically produced potatoes were significantly richer in flavonoids and anthocyanins. Content of polyphenols (sum), phenolic acids (sum), chlorogenic acid, p-coumaric acid, caffeic acid, carotenoids (sum), lutein, and β-carotene showed no significant difference among the conventional and organic samples. Organically and biodynamically cultivated potatoes (except the “Salad Blue” cultivar) were essentially richer in flavonoids and anthocyanins. | Poland |
| Larkin et al. [56] | Disease-suppressive green manures and cover crops, produced the highest yields overall under irrigation. | Maine, USA |
| Lombardo et al. [14] | The organic cultivation system produced tubers of higher nutritional value, specifically exhibiting a higher total phenolic content (5.76 vs. 4.28 g kg\(^{-1}\) dry matter, averaged across locations and cultivars) and a lower nitrate content (0.64 vs. 1.04 g kg\(^{-1}\) dry matter, averaged across locations and cultivars), and displaying a more attractive color of both the skin and flesh. | Italy |
| Keutgen et al. [163] | Organic farming was characterized by higher antioxidant capacity. There was better development of antioxidant properties of potato tubers in the organic cultivation system when compared with the integrated system. Potato tubers grown under two different production systems irrespective of location and variety or clone showed significant differences in the content of total phenolics, total flavonoids, ascorbic acid and citric acid. | Poland |
Table 2. Cont.

| References            | Major Research Findings                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Locations            |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Palmer et al. [144]   | Total and marketable yields were significantly reduced by the use of both organic crop protection and fertility management. Yield gap between organic and conventional fertilization regimes was greater and more variable than that between crop protection practices. | England              |
| Bartova et al. [164]  | Organic potatoes contained significantly less nitrogen, nitrates and α-solanin. Protein content, patatin relative abundance in total tuber protein and patatin content in organically produced tubers were on the lower end of the range than conventional tubers but the differences were not statistically significant. | Czech Republic       |
| Bernard et al. [106]  | Compost amendment had variable effects on tuber diseases, but consistently increased yield (by 9 to 15%). Rapeseed rotation reduced all observed soilborne diseases (stem canker, black scurf, common scab, and silver scurf) by 10 to 52%. Combining rapeseed rotation with compost amendment both reduced disease and increased yield. | Maine, USA           |
| Diviš et al. [165]    | Mean content of crude protein was significantly higher in tubers from organic crop management than in tubers from conventional system (10.9 and 9.7% in dry matter, respectively). Cultivar was the factor having the highest direct effect on crude protein as well as protein contents. Tubers from conventional crop management showed an increased tendency to accumulate nitrates. | Germany              |
| Moeller and Reents [166]| N availability was most important in limiting yields in organic potato crops. Only 25% of this variation in yield could be attributed to the influence of late blight. In organic farming, yields are mainly limited by nutrient availability in spring and early summer. The higher the N status of a potato crop, the longer the growing period needed to achieve the attainable yield and the higher the probability that late blight stops further tuber growth and becomes the key tuber-yield-limiting factor. | Germany              |
| Fiorillo et al. [157] | Potato cultivars Aladin and Almera are suitable varieties for growers of Lazio region who may be interested to switch from conventional to organic management system due to the highest marketable yield especially of medium-size tubers (45–75 mm) and the low incidence of disease problems (common scab). Incidence of wireworm attacks (percentage) was almost 9 times higher in organic system in comparison to conventional system, leading to a reduction of marketable yield of medium tuber size. | Italy                |
| Gilsenan et al. [22]  | The conventional potatoes had a lower dry matter content and a slightly softer texture than the organic potatoes. No significant differences were noted between the organic and conventional baked potato samples for the sensory attributes of appearance, aroma, texture and taste acceptability. | Ireland              |

6. Tuber Specific Gravity and Dry Matter Content

Potato tuber specific gravity is an important characteristic for processing potato quality, and it represents the dry matter content of tubers. Potato tubers with high specific gravity show higher dry matter content and produce potato chips or fries with light color with less oil absorption [167]. Dale et al. [168] and Haase [169] indicated that the dry matter content of potato tuber linked with specific gravity is a main determinant of potato quality. Potato dry matter content has been grouped as high dry matter content (≥20%), intermediate (between 18 and 19.9%), and low (≤17.9%) [170]. A dry matter
content $\geq 20\%$ and a specific gravity $\geq 1.08$ are standard references of the processing industries [169,171]. Lombardo et al. [152] and Herencia et al. [172] reported higher dry matter content in organically fertilized potatoes, and other studies have also shown higher dry matter content in organically grown potatoes compared to conventional production systems [5,13,154,173]. However, Woese et al. [6] found no difference in potato dry matter content between the organically and conventionally grown potatoes.

7. Sugar and Starch Contents of Potato Tubers

Glucose and fructose are prevalent reducing monosaccharides with concentrations between 0.15% and 1.5% in potato tubers [161] while the disaccharide saccharose is the most abundant sugar component in potatoes at a content between 0.4 to 6.6%, and other sugars are present in traces [174–176]. Starch is another large component of potato tubers (60–80% of potato dry matter), which defines the inner and/or outer quality of the potato product [177]. Arvanitoyannis et al. [178] reported that the ratio of the starch content to the reducing sugars content is a quality index that determines the suitability of potato for industrial processing. Starch and reducing sugar content in potato tubers are considered as a primary nutritional quality indicator for consumers, particularly those under increasing diabetes threat. However, the non-reducing sugars are converted into reducing sugars, and lead to the formation of acrylamide through a Maillard reaction with asparagines [179]. Therefore, high content of glucose and fructose as reducing sugars in potato is an undesirable trait [142,180–184]. Reducing sugars accumulation in potato tubers occurs ordinarily only under organic systems [3]. From field experiments involving four varieties, three environments and three farming systems (conventional, integrated, and organic), Dramičanin et al. [161] found that starch content in the potato tubers may be considered an important indicator of the type of production, botanical origin, and ripening time and the sugar macro- and microcomponents such as fructose, glucose, saccharose, sorbitol, trehalose, arabinose, turanose, and maltose were the main factors for the differentiation of production types, production years, and botanical origin of potato. An increase in total sugars was noted for organic potatoes when compared to conventional potatoes [185–187]. In contrast, Leonel et al. [16] reported that potato tubers fertilized with increased P exhibited a lower concentration of total sugar contents. Wadas and Dziugiel [188] found that the use of plant biostimulant (the seaweed extracts Bio algeen S90 (Ascophyllum nodosum) and Kelpak SL (Ecklonia maxima), as well as humic and fulvic acids) had a significant effect on starch content in potato cultivars Denar, Lord, and Milek tubers but did not affect the content of total sugars (glucose, fructose, and sucrose), monosaccharides (glucose and fructose), or sucrose. In contrast, Grze’skiewic et al. [189] reported that the starch content of the potato tubers of the medium-early cultivar Muza was not affected by application of the Bio-algeen S90. Starch content in potato tubers of early cultivars Arizona and Riviera and medium-early cultivars Agria had increased under biostimulants based on A. nodosum extracts (Phylgreenmira, Algage, Ultra-Kelp) [190]. The humic substance molecular size, molecular characteristics, and concentration affect the non-enzymatic activities, showing contradictory results for starch content in potato tubers [191–196].

Lombardo et al. [14] and Dramičanin et al. [161] reported that the most abundant sugars in both the bulk and the peel potato were fructose, glucose, and saccharose while sorbitol, trehalose, arabinose, turanose, galactitol, galactose, xylose, melibiose, maltose, gentiobiose, isomaltose, iso-maltotriose, ribose, panose and maltotriose, were found in traces [175]. Dramičanin et al. [161] found significant effect of production type on the sugar content of potato tubers; the largest starch content in the peel (33.0%) and the bulk (78.1) of the tubers was observed in the conventional system, followed by the integral system with 28.2% and 67.5% in the peel and the bulk, respectively. The peel and the bulk potato grown under organic system had the lowest contents of starch with 22.7% and 65.2%, respectively. Tein et al. [197] indicated that crop growth and development under conventional system are improved by extensive application of pesticides and fertilizers.
while under the organic system, the use of synthetic fertilizer and pesticides are not allowed. Lombardo et al. [14] reported that the low starch content of potato tubers grown under organic systems is due to the auto-consumption of part of the starch by the plant for its growth and development since no fertilizers are applied [161]. Dramičanin et al. [161] found that potato tuber contents in glucose, fructose, sucrose, sorbitol, trehalose, arabinose, turanose, maltose, and other simple sugars vary with the production systems with the highest content of the glucose, fructose, and sucrose in the conventional system, followed by integral and organic production systems. The starch and the sugar in potato tubers may be used as a promising tool in tracing the differences between potato cultivation systems, botanical origin, and ripening time [161].

8. Nitrate Content of Potato Tubers

Organically grown potatoes generally contain less nitrate [198–201] than conventionally grown potatoes (Table 2). Lombardo et al. [13] found that the nitrate content in organically grown tubers was 34% less than in conventionally grown potatoes. Similarly, studies have shown lower amounts of dry matter [5,80], vitamin C [186], total amino acids [3], and total protein in organic potatoes [3,202]. Bartova et al. [164] also reported that organically produced tubers contained a significantly lower content of total nitrogen and crude protein compared to the conventionally grown potato. Kazimierczak et al. [154] found lower concentrations of nitrates and lutein in organic tubers of cultivars Mazur, Justa, Lawenda, Lech, Tacja, Laskana, Otolia, and Magnolia. The non-availability of nitrogen under organic farming is compensated by the higher soil residual nitrogen content, which increases the concentration of nitrates in plants [203]. Bártová et al. [164] reported that organic potatoes contained significantly lower nitrogen, nitrates, and α-solanin contents compared to the conventionally grown potatoes while the protein and patatin contents were not significantly different between production systems.

In contrast, Divis et al. [165] reported that mean contents of crude protein and in protein content in dry matter were significantly higher in organically grown potato tubers than in tubers from conventional practice. They found that potato genotype or cultivar was the factor with the highest direct effect on crude protein and protein contents in the potato tubers. Makaraviciute [204] and Maggio et al. [3] reported non-significant differences in potato content in essential amino acids between organic and conventional potato tubers. Shepherd et al. [205] found that mass-spectrometry and gas chromatography analysis of polar compounds identified 83 metabolites showing significant differences in the metabolome between the organic and conventional farming with 62 metabolites (dominated by free amino acids) being less abundant in tuber samples from organic compared with conventionally grown potatoes due to the 50% lower nitrogen content of the organically grown potatoes than for conventional production. Lombardo et al. [13] found that total protein amount was independent of the farming management system. However, on the basis of peptide composition, protein quality as nutritional value is superior in the organically grown potato tubers than the conventionally grown potato tubers [187].

9. Bioactive Compounds and Antioxidants Content in Potato Tubers

The polyphenol content of potato increases under stress conditions as a protection response from the potato plant by producing large numbers of specialized compounds of secondary metabolism [153,160,206–209]. Potato tubers contain important levels of bioactive compounds and antioxidants, including phenolic acids mainly chlorogenic acid with concentrations that vary from 49 to 1400 mg/kg dry matter [210,211], ascorbic acid [14,152,212], and flavonoids from 200 to 300 mg/kg of fresh mass, which are phytochemicals known to reduce the risk of several human diseases such as cardiovascular disease, high cholesterol, and cancer [14,152,210,212–219]. Indeed, polyphenols and other plant-derived antioxidants are widely known to prevent various types of cancers, cardiovascular diseases, and other diseases. For example, potato anthocyanins and phenolic acids suppress the proliferation of human melanoma and glioblastoma cells [216,220]. Nichenametla et al. [221] indicated that
chlorogenic and ferulic acid decreased lung tumors in rats by 30–40%. The anthocyanins and their aglycones present in red- and purple-fleshed potatoes have been found to exert proapoptotic and antiproliferative properties in gastric adenocarcinoma, colon cancer, and bovine aortic endothelial cells [222,223]. Under limited nitrogen availability similar to organic farming systems, plant growth is limited, and plant’s metabolism shifts towards C-rich compounds such as starch and phenolic compounds [187]. Vaitkevičienė et al. [162] found higher contents of polyphenols, phenolic acids, chlorogenic acid, p-coumaric acid, caffeic acid, flavonoids, and anthocyanins in potato tubers grown organically or biodynamically than in the conventionally grown potato cultivars Red Emmalie, Salad Blue, Violetta, Tornado, and Laura. Similar findings were reported by Kazimierczak et al. [154], Jeon et al. [224], Hamouz et al. [225], and Baranski et al. [201]. However, phenolic content in the potato tubers depends on the genotypes, climatic conditions, and conditions during storage period after harvest [153,226–228]. Potato cultivar Violetta, with a dark purple flesh, accumulated the highest contents of flavonoids, anthocyanins, petunidin-3,5-di-O-glucoside, pelargonidin-3,5-di-O-glucoside, and peonidin-3,5-di-O-glucoside. Colored potatoes are known for their unique sensory appeal, nutritional value, and antioxidant activities as they are rich in polyphenols, anthocyanins, flavonoids, carotenoids, tocopherols, and vitamin C [162,229]. Tatarowska et al. [230] found that carotenoid content in potato tubers was higher under organic production compared to the conventional production. Kazimierczak et al. [154] reported significantly higher contents of flavonoids, quercetin, and quercetin-3-O-rutinoside in organically grown potato tubers compared to the conventionally grown tubers. Conversely, Brazinskiene et al. [153] found that the farming system had no significant effect on phenolic acid concentrations in the potato tubers while Keutgen et al. [163] found higher contents of phenolic compounds, flavonoids, and ascorbic acid in organically grown potato tubers than the conventionally grown potato tubers. Romero-Pérez et al. [231] found that flavonoids in plants are strongly impacted by genotype, the agroclimatic conditions, and the cultivation system. Interestingly, Lachman et al. [232] and Vaitkevičienė et al. [162] derived from their study that the colored-flesh potato genotypes have a greater impact on the anthocyanins content than the agricultural production system and they are not detected in white- or yellow-flesh potato tubers [162,233,234].

On the one hand, Ezekiel et al. [235] found no differences in phenolic contents in tubers grown in organic and integrated farming systems. However, Grudzińska et al. [217] and Lombardo et al. [14] found higher total phenolics content in potato tubers under organic production. Lombardo et al. [14] indicated that the higher concentration of phenolic compounds in the organically grown potato tubers was the result of diseases and pest pressure and lower nitrogen availability under organic farming. Phenolic compounds accumulations might be genotype dependent. Keutgen et al. [163] reported that the highest amounts of phenolic compounds were found in potato cultivar Satina (3.48 ± 0.57 g/kg of dry matter) as a genetic ability of that cultivar to accumulate phenolics. Similar findings were reported by Gugala et al. [236], and similar development ability of flavonoids was reported by Keutgen et al. [163]. Smith-Spangler et al. [212] and Grudzińska et al. [217] reported higher ascorbic acid content in the organically grown potato tuber while Keutgen et al. [163] found the opposite trend in their study and indicated that the discrepancy might be due to the limited availability of nitrogen in the organic production systems and the reduced above-ground biomass, for the buildup of which photosynthates could have been used. Some studies reported that potato tuber content in ascorbic acid is cultivar dependent [13,237,238].

10. Mineral and Vitamin Contents

Potato tuber content might be impacted by soil and plant management practices (Table 2). Wszelaki et al. [185] found that potassium, magnesium, phosphorus, sulfur, and copper concentrations in tuber skin and flesh were also significantly higher in the organic treatments, while iron and manganese concentrations were higher in the skin of conventionally grown potatoes. Lombardo et al. [159] investigated early potato tuber
mineral contents under organic and conventional farming and found that the potato tubers contained more phosphorus (2.8 vs. 2.3 g kg\(^{-1}\) of dry matter) and a comparable quantity of both magnesium and copper (on average 250 and 2.6 mg kg\(^{-1}\) of dry matter, respectively) under organic farming than the conventional farming. Wszelaki et al. [185] found tuber skin and flesh to have significantly higher concentration in potassium, magnesium, phosphorus, sulfur, and copper under organic management than conventional practices, while iron and manganese contents were higher in the skin of conventionally grown potatoes.

Contradictory data have also been reported between the organically grown and conventionally grown potato with respect to vitamin C content [4,239,240]. Warman and Havard [4] found that there was no significant difference in vitamin C content of the potato tubers grown under organic and conventional practices. Conversely, other studies have reported higher vitamin C content in the organic potato tubers than in the conventional potato tubers [80,186,241].

11. Sensory Characteristics of Potato Tubers

Crop management practices have not shown any significant effect on sensory properties of early potato cultivar tubers boiled [242], unpeeled tubers boiled in steam [186], or raw samples of potato [243]. However, potato cultivar and production year are important influences on sensory quality of boiled potatoes [186]. Potato skin might have significant property as consumers usually differentiate samples with skin from different cultivars as compared to samples without skin [185,244,245]. Lombardo et al. [13] found that potato cultivars Ditta and Nicola were well suited to boiling with a delicate taste, firmness, and absence of blackening. Moreover, potato cultivars Arinda, Ditta, and Nicola grown organically had a better sensory performance after frying (strong taste and crisp flesh) than the conventionally grown potato. There was no significant difference in farming systems with regards to consistency, typical taste after boiling [13,186,245], or typical taste after frying; however, organically grown potato tuber showed higher crispiness and lower browning index [13]. Woese et al. [6] found no clear and consistent statements about the high sensorial quality of organic potatoes vs. conventional potatoes from different studies on the organoleptic quality in organic practices compared to the conventional practices.

Potato threshold concentration in solanine of 140 µg g\(^{-1}\) causes bitter taste, and solanine concentration greater than 200 µg g\(^{-1}\) creates a burning sensation in the throat and on the tongue [246]. Gilsenan et al. [22] found that the conventional potatoes had a lower dry matter content and a slightly softer texture than the organic potatoes. The conventional baked potato was also slightly softer, less adhesive, and wetter than the organic baked potato, but there was no significant difference between the organic and conventional baked potato samples for the sensory attributes of appearance, aroma, texture, and taste acceptability [22]. Brazinskiene et al. [153] reported that odor and taste intensity of the potato samples were not affected by farming practices.

12. Conclusions

This review has explored the characteristics of organically grown potato tubers compared to the conventionally grown potatoes. Across several studies comparing potato farming practices, it can be derived that potato total tuber and marketable yield is lower under organic farming than under conventional farming. Weed and disease pressure is more intense under the organic farming and the lower nitrogen available for potato plant infers low nitrogen content in the organically grown potato tuber. Organic potato tubers contain higher sugar content compared to the conventionally grown tubers. Organic potato tubers show high polyphenol compounds content due to the stress occurring under the organic practices (limited nutrients and increasing disease pressure) compared to the conventional practices. Some contradictory results are reported on the impact of cropping systems on potato tuber content in minerals, vitamin C, sensory properties, and the dependence of several characteristics on the genotypic material. For future studies, it is crucial to match the best agronomic production practices and plant genotypic material
to maximize the fresh potato and processed product contents in bioactive compounds to match the health-promoting properties for more production sustainability. Consumers are showing increasing interest in organically grown potatoes due to their nutritional quality and health protection value. Due to the lower tuber yield under organic farming, for the profitability of the production system, organic products are necessarily more expensive, and some consumers might be willing to pay the price for health-promoting properties.
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