A simple within-host, between-host model for a vector-transmitted disease
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Abstract

We present a model that explicitly links the epidemiological Ross-Macdonald model with a simple immunological model through a virus inoculation term that depends on the abundance of infected mosquitoes. We explore the relationship between the reproductive numbers at the population (between-host) and individual level (within-host), in particular the role that viral load and viral clearance rate play in the coupled dynamics. Our model shows that under certain conditions on the strength of the coupling and the immunological response of the host, there can be sustained low viral load infections, with a within-host reproduction number below one that still can trigger epidemic outbreaks provided the between host reproduction number is greater than one. We also describe a particular kind of transmission-clearance trade off for vector-host systems with a simple structure.
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1. Introduction

Infectious disease dynamics integrates two key processes in the host-parasite interaction. One is the epidemiological process associated with disease transmis-
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sion, and the other is the immunological process of infection at the individual host level. The transmission of an infectious agent in a population involves various spatial and temporal scales. Specifically, these scales can be broken down into two major groups of phenomena: those that occur at the population scale (epidemic outbreaks) and those that occur within the host (pathogen-immune system interaction). There are a multiplicity of papers of a theoretical nature that have explored this interaction e.g., [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. The vast majority of these works focus their analysis on directly transmitted diseases based on Kermack-McKendrick type models although there are some studies addressing vector-borne diseases [8, 9]. At the immune system level, the most widely used model, for theoretical purposes, is the one developed for HIV by, for example, [10] that differentiates between target cells, infected target cells, and virions. In particular [5, 6, 7] have looked at the problem of the interplay of between-host, within-host dynamics in an environmentally driven disease framing the equations at the population level as follows

\begin{align*}
S' & = \mu N - \beta SI - \mu S, \\
I' & = \beta SI - \mu I, \\
E' & = \theta I v (1 - E) - \delta E,
\end{align*}

and for the within-host dynamics:

\begin{align*}
T' & = \lambda - k v T - m T, \\
T^* & = k v T^* - (m + d) T^*, \\
v' & = p T^* - c v + g(E).
\end{align*}

where \( S, I, \) and \( E \) denote the susceptible, infectious and polluted environmental compartments with \( \mu, \beta(v), \theta \) and \( \delta \) being the birth and mortality rate, the infection rate, the shedding rate from infected host deposited in the environment, and \( \delta \) the environmental degradation rate, respectively. For the within-host system, \( T, T^* \) and \( v \) represent the target cells, infected target cells and virions respectively; as for the parameters \( \lambda, k, m, d, p \) and \( c \) represent the cell recruitment rate, cell infection rates, cell death rate, virion induced cell death rate,
virion production rate and virion clearance rate, respectively. The function \( g \) represents the inoculum of virions coming from the contaminated environment \( E \). In general, it is assumed that \( g(E) = \xi_1 E \xi_2 \) for \( \xi_1 > 0 \) and \( \xi_2 \leq 1 \) \cite{5,7}.

Feng et al. \cite{7}, have generalized results regarding the evolution of virulence by introducing into the host infected class (Eq. 1) a disease-induced death rate. One of these results is that the evolution of virulence in the host population will favor a maximum level of virulence (at the between-host level) if virion production at the cellular level (within-host) is maximal (\( p \) in Eq. 2) or, alternatively, will favor an intermediate level of virulence if the maximum rate of virion production is large.

In this paper we are interested in exploring between-host, within-host trade-offs in the context of a vector-borne disease in a vertebrate host. The aim of this article is theoretical. We are interested in exploring the coupled dynamics of within- and between-host dynamics in vector-host transmission systems. As mentioned above, \cite{5,7} have studied the interaction of these in an infectious disease that has an environmental component represented in Eq. 1. It is through this component that the virus, when interacting with the contaminated environment, is inoculated in the host, thus linking transmission at the population level with infection at the individual level. Here we explore the same type of problem but replace the passive interaction between contaminated environment and host with an insect vector that actively seeks and infects the host. We restrict ourselves only to infection in the mammalian host and do not consider within-vector dynamics.

Vector-borne diseases are a group of diseases of great human importance with nearly half of the world’s population infected with at least one type of vector-borne pathogen \cite{11}. Diseases such as malaria, African trypanosomiasis, Chagas disease and Dengue fever, to mention just a few examples, are serious public health problems in many regions of the world, generating high levels of mortality and morbidity in at-risk populations, which are generally those with the least economic resources and with the least access to adequate public health systems \cite{12}. On the other hand, arthropod-borne diseases are abundant in
vertebrates such as horses, cattle and other mammals. Climate change has a
direct impact on arthropod vectors (abundance, geographical distribution, and
vectorial capacity) producing a reemergence of many infectious diseases
both in humans and animals of direct economic importance.

What we seek is to explore the fundamental relationship between reproductive numbers at the population level, at the individual level and, in particular, the role of the within- and between-host system in the epidemic dynamics. As stated above, we postulate a model that explicitly links the epidemiological and immunological dynamics through an inoculation term that depends on the abundance of infected mosquitoes. This approach is based on the idea of separating biological time scales: a fast time scale associated with the within-host dynamics and a slow time scale associated with the epidemiological process. One of the advantages of this approach is that the explicit linkage between the two processes can be established through infected mosquitoes: a bite from an infected mosquito inoculates into the host an extra viral load that connects, within our approach, the population dynamics with the within host dynamics of the disease.

2. Model setup

We couple the classical Ross-Macdonald model for a vector-host system coupled with the standard within-host model. Hosts are general vertebrate species and the vector is, in general, a mosquito. In the epidemiological model $I$ represents the number of infected vertebrate individuals and $Y$ represents the number of infected mosquitoes. The variables $T$ and $T^*$ correspond to the immunological dynamics and represent uninfected and infected target cells, respectively, and $v$ represents the virus concentration in plasma of an average infected vertebrate host; $\mu$ and $\delta$ represent mortality rates for the vertebrate and mosquito hosts and $\gamma$ is the cure rate of vertebrate hosts. The parameters $\alpha = \alpha(x)$ and $\beta = \beta(x')$ represent the effective contact rates from mosquito to animal and animal to mosquitoes and are assumed to depend, in general, on some measure
of infectiveness either in the mosquito or vertebrate host, respectively. The most common assumption for these functions \([15, 16]\) is that for \(x, x' \in [0, \infty)\) they satisfy \(\alpha(x), \beta(x') \geq 0, \alpha'(x), \beta'(x') > 0,\) and \(\alpha''(x), \beta''(x') \leq 0.\) In our model (Eq. 3 below), \(\beta(x')\) is the biting rate that transmit the disease from an infected host with infectiousness \(x'\) to a susceptible mosquito. A hypothesis of our model is that the biting rate from the infected mosquito to a susceptible host, \(\alpha(x)\) will be proportional to \(\beta(x').\) Some evidence supporting this hypothesis is in the work of Tesla et al. \([17]\) who report that, for Zika, increasing viral dose in the blood-meal significantly increases the probability of mosquitoes becoming infected and becoming infectious. This hypothesis simplifies our model because then we do not have to follow the fate of the viral load in the mosquito.

In summary, the rationale of this assumption is that a high viral load in the vertebrate host will generate a high viral load infection in the mosquito that, in turn, will produce a high effective biting rate of infected mosquitoes to the vertebrate host. For the within-host system, \(\lambda\) represents the recruitment rate of healthy target cells, \(m\) the natural mortality rate of target cells, \(k\) the cell-infection rate, \(d\) the virus-induced cell death, \(p\) the virus proliferation rate per infected cell, \(c\) the viral clearance rate and \(g = g(y)\) is an inoculation term that depends on the abundance of infected mosquitoes \(y.\) Let \(\alpha(x) = ab(x)\) where \(a\) is the biting rate and \(b(x)\) is the probability of vertebrate infection per bite; likewise, \(\beta(x') = a\phi(x')\) where \(\phi(x')\) is the probability of mosquito infection per bite. The equations for the between-host system are a variant of the so-called Ross-Macdonald equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
    I' &= \alpha(x) \left( \frac{N - I}{N} \right) Y - (\mu + \gamma) I, \\
    Y' &= \beta(x')(M - Y) \frac{I}{N} - \delta Y,
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

The equations for the within-host dynamics are now:

\[
\begin{align*}
    T' &= \lambda - kvT - mT, \\
    T^* &= kvT - (m + d)T^*, \\
    v' &= pT^* - cv + g(y),
\end{align*}
\]

(4)
where $N$ and $M$ stand for the total constant populations of vertebrate host and mosquito, respectively. Normalizing Eq. (3) by defining $i = I/N$ and $y = Y/M$, and defining $q = M/N$ we can rewrite them as

\[
\begin{align*}
i' &= \alpha(x)q(1-i)y - (\mu + \gamma)i, \\
y' &= \beta(x')(1-y)i - \delta y,
\end{align*}
\] (5)

This is the epidemiological model that will be studied below. In order to link the abundance of infected mosquitoes with the infection process at the individual level, we assume that infected mosquitoes directly correlate with within-host level of infected target cells. This biological consideration suggest that the function $g$ should have the following properties: $g(y) \geq 0$, $g(0) = 0$, $g'(y) > 0$ and $g''(y) \leq 0$.

In general, as in [5], we must take $g(y) = ry^s$ with $r, s > 0$. In the next section we restrict our analysis to the case $s = 1$ as our aim is to illustrate the framework of linking within- and between-host dynamics for viral load-dependent contact rates. The inclusion of the inoculation rate $g(y)$ is key for linking the within-host dynamics to the between-host dynamics and replaces the environmental inoculum described in [5, 6, 7].

3. Model analysis

An important biological feature of this coupled system is that the within-host dynamics occurs on a faster time scale than the dynamics of the between-host and the environment. This multiple time-scale allows us to study the mathematical properties of the model by analyzing the fast- and slow-systems determined by the two time scales. As evidence that supports this analysis we can cite [18] who reports on the duration of DEN-1 viremia in a clinical study. According to this author, the duration of viremia ranged from 1 to 7 days (mean, 4.5 days; median, 5 days) with viremias of primary infection lasted more compared to secondary infections: the mean duration of viremia for all patients experiencing a primary dengue virus infection was of 5.1 days versus
4.4 days for those with a secondary dengue virus infection. In contrast Dengue outbreaks last several months or, in endemic situations, transmission takes place over the years as reported in [19] or the statistics provided by PAHO, among many other sources. We would like to decouple model (3, 4) with respect to time. As done in \[5, 6, 7\] we would like to separate slow and fast subsystems corresponding to either of the between-host (epidemiological) or the within-host (immunological) models.

3.1. Summary of results for the fast subsystem

The fast system has been analyzed by \[5, 7\] for the case of an environmentally-driven infectious disease. Their results have immediate applicability to our case. In this subsection we briefly summarize them. The within-host dynamics \[4\] can be considered the fast system where the variable \(y\) can be treated as a constant (i.e. it is not changing with time on the fast time scale). In our case (Eq. \[4\]) when \(g(y) = 0\), the system always has the infection-free equilibrium \(E_0 = (T_0, T_0^*, v_0)\) where \(T_0 = \frac{\lambda}{m}, T_0^* = 0, v_0 = 0\). Let \(R_v(y)\) denote the within-host reproduction number, which is a function of the density of infected mosquitoes, and define \(R_{0v} = R_v(0)\) given by

\[
R_{0v} = \frac{\lambda kp}{mc(m + d)}
\]

as the basic reproduction number of the uncoupled fast (within-host) system. As in Feng et al. \[6\], \(R_v(y)\) when \(y > 0\) is given by

\[
R_v(y) = \frac{T_0}{T_{eq}(y)}
\]

where we take the biological feasible solution to be (cf Feng et al. \[6\]):

\[
T_{eq}(y) = \frac{1}{2} \left( a_1 - \sqrt{a_1^2 - 4a_2} \right)
\]

with

\[
a_1 = \frac{g(y)(m + d)}{pm} + T_0 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{R_{0v}} \right), \quad a_2 = \frac{T_0^2}{R_{0v}}.
\]

\(R_{0v}\), the within-host reproduction number does not depend on \(y\) but the reproductive function \(R_v(y)\) depends on the magnitude of \(R_{0v}\). Such a dependence is
Figure 1: Within-host reproduction number $R_v(y)$ as functions of vector prevalence $y$. Parameters $\lambda = 5000$, $m = 0.311$, $d = 0.01$, $p = 10^4$, $r = 10000$, $k = 4.08410^{-10}$.

illustrated in Fig 1. This figure plots the curves $R_v(y)$ for different $R_{0v}$ values, where we have used a linear function for $g(y) = ry$ with $r$ constant. Following Feng et al. [6], we know that given $R_{0v} > 1$, $\lim_{y \to 0} R_v(y) = R_{0v}$; otherwise if $R_{0v} < 1$, then $\lim_{y \to 0} R_v(y) = 1$ (see the upper right corner of Fig. 1). $E_0$ is locally asymptotically stable if $R_{0v} < 1$ and unstable if $R_{0v} > 1$.

In Appendix A, we present the global stability of the disease-free equilibrium point $E_0$ with $g(y) = 0$ for the within-host dynamics.

There exists a unique endemic equilibrium $E_f = (i^*, y^*)$ with $i^* > 0$, $y^* > 0$ of the fast system if and only if $R_{0v} > 1$. Following [5] the endemic equilibrium $E_f$ is locally asymptotically stable whenever $R_{0v} > 1$.

3.2. The slow subsystem

Let $x' = T^*(t)/T_0$, the proportion of infected target cells at time $t$, a measure of the infectiousness of the vertebrate host. Let

$$\beta(x') = a\phi(x'), \quad \phi(x') = (x')^z$$
with $0 < z$ and $a > 0$, the biting rate. In the case of vertebrate infections, these depend on the infectiousness of the mosquito bite. Since we are not following the within-mosquito dynamics, we will let $b$, the probability of infection form mosquito to vertebrate host to be a free parameter. The basic reproduction number is then
\[
R_b(x') = \sqrt{\frac{a^2 b q \phi(x')}{(\gamma + \mu) \delta}}.
\] (9)

Note that if $x' = 1$ then
\[
R_b(1) = \sqrt{\frac{a^2 b q \phi(1)}{(\gamma + \mu) \delta}},
\]
is the maximum biologically feasible reproduction number as a function of host infectiousness $x'$. When $R_b(x') > 1$, the (between-host) endemic equilibrium point can exists and be found explicitly:
\[
\begin{align*}
i^* &= \frac{\delta (\gamma + \mu) (R_b^2(x') - 1)}{a \phi(x') (q a b + \gamma + \mu)}, \\
y^* &= \frac{\delta (\gamma + \mu) (R_b^2(x') - 1)}{q a b (a \phi(x') + \delta)}.
\end{align*}
\]
Both of these coordinates depend on $x'$ and will render the between-host endemic equilibrium only when $x' = \hat{T}^*/T_0$, the equilibrium infected target cell infection.

An alternative way of looking at the between-host endemic equilibrium is the following. The endemic equilibrium point (slow subsystem) $(i^*, y^*)$ is located on the intersection of the zero isoclines of the between-host equations (for constant within-host dynamics). Explicitly, these are
\[
\begin{align*}
y &= \frac{a \phi(x') i}{a \phi(x') i + \delta}, \\
y &= \frac{i (\gamma + \mu)}{q (1 - i) a b}
\end{align*}
\] (10)
The intersection exists with positive $i$ whenever $R_b(x') > 1$ which is the standard condition for the existence of an endemic equilibrium point in the Ross-Macdonald model. However, in this case, our equilibrium will be located on the line that describes this intersection as function of the parameter $x'$ (see Figure 2) and it will be determined when $x' = x'_*$ implying that $R_b(x'*_*) = R_{06} \leq R_b(1)$, i.e., the between-host basic reproduction number is bounded by the maximum of the between-host reproduction function. We now proceed to characterize the within-host endemic equilibrium, particularly how its state variables depend on the (population level) mosquito abundance. In this, we follow Feng et al. [5].
The epidemiological and within-host subsystems are linked through the abundance of infected mosquitoes in terms of $R_v(y)$ (Eq. 6). Assume $R_v(y) > 1$ and that the fast system is at its stable nontrivial equilibrium $(T_{eq}(y), T^*_{eq}(y), v^*(y))$ given by (6, 7 and 8), where $v^*(y) = \frac{1}{c} \left[ g(y) + \frac{m \lambda}{m + d} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{R_v(y)} \right) \right]$. $R_v(y)$ is indicated in Appendix B.

Note that

$$v^*(0) = m(R_{0v} - 1)/k > 0$$

when $R_{0v} > 1$. The viral load at equilibrium depends now on $y$ and to have $v^*(y) > 0$, it is required that the within-host reproduction function $R_v(y) = 2Q > 1$ where

$$Q = \left( R_{0v} + 1 + \frac{kr}{cm} y - \sqrt{\Delta} \right)^{-1}$$

and

$$\Delta = \left( R_{0v} + 1 + \frac{kr}{cm} y \right)^2 - \frac{4}{R_{0v}}.$$

Biological feasibility dictates that $\Delta, Q > 0$. This is satisfied if $R_{0v} > 1$ since $R_v(y)$ is an increasing function of $y$.

4. Linking time scales

The Jacobian of the whole coupled system is

$$J_{BW} = \begin{pmatrix}
-c - \mu - abqy & ab(1 - i)q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
ab(1 - y) (T^*_m)^z & -ia (T^*_m)^z - \delta & 0 & aim(1 - y)z (T^*_m)^{z - 1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -kv - m & 0 & -kT \\
0 & 0 & kv & -d - m & kT \\
0 & r & 0 & p & -c
\end{pmatrix}$$

At the disease-free equilibrium $E_0 = (0, 0, \lambda/m, 0, v^*(0))$ the Jacobian is

$$J_{BW_{eq}} = \begin{pmatrix}
-c - \mu & abq & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\delta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -kv - m & 0 & -kT \\
0 & 0 & kv & -d - m & kT \\
0 & r & 0 & p & -c
\end{pmatrix}$$
Note from $J_{BW_{E_0}}$ that the components of the between-host reproduction number, namely the biting rates, play a role on the stability of $E_0$ through the proportion of infected target cells $T^* m/\lambda$ once the within-host infections starts to grow when $R_{0v} > 1$. Also, since this condition implies that $\lim_{y \to 0} v(y) > 0$ then necessarily $\lim_{y \to 0} T^*(y) > 0$ too.

5. Conditions for a disease outbreak

5.1. The epidemic system

The existence of an epidemic outbreak depends on the strength of the infection at the within-host level measured by the within-host reproduction number when $R_{0v} > 1$. The between-host reproduction number $R_b(x')$ will be greater than one only until enough infection has accumulated so as to sufficiently increase the ratio $x'(t) = T^*(t)m/\lambda$. When $0 < R_b(x') < 1$ the only between-host equilibrium point that exists is the disease-free equilibrium which is asymptotically stable. $R_b(x') > 1$ requires the average individual in the population to have an active (within-host) viral infection but the transmission efficacy will not be large enough so as to trigger an epidemic until $R_b(x') = R_{0b}$. We can give a more detailed description of the dependence of the between-host equilibrium state and the within-host dynamics. First, there exists a critical value of $T^* = \hat{T}_*$ where $R_b(\hat{T}^*) = 1$. In Figure 2 we plot the intersection of Eq. [10] to show how the existence of an endemic equilibrium depends on $T^*$ and $i$. As $T^*$ increases above $\hat{T}^*$, the boundary between the two colored regions shown in the figure is the line that contains the feasible endemic equilibrium that is realized when $T^*$ reaches its steady-state. A second important feature is associated with the contact rate $\beta(x') = a\phi(x')$, where $\phi(x') = (x')^z$. Figure 3 shows the intersection of the two isolines Eq. [10] that give the feasible endemic equilibrium but as functions of $x'$ and $z$, the exponent of the probability of infection $\phi(x')$. Large values of $z$ prevent the existence of an endemic between-host equilibrium point, whereas for $z \leq 1$ the endemic equilibrium always exist. So concave probabilities of infection always generate an endemic state provided $R_{0b} > 1$ while
Figure 2: 3D representation of the zero-isoclines for the between-host model as a function of $x'$, the proportion of infected target cells. $x$-axis is $i$, $y$ axis is $T^*$ with $z = 0.8$. The blue shaded region describes the dynamic transcritical bifurcation that appears after $T^*$ reaches the critical value such that $R_b(T^*) = 1$.

convex ones do not. A third observation is that we can expect a time-delay of variable duration occurring between the crossing of the threshold $R_b(T^*) = 1$ and the time when the epidemic outbreak will occur and will send the between-host system to its endemic state, i.e., when $R_b(T^*) = R_0$. This delay appears because of the dynamic nature of our contact rate parameters that depend on the within-host dynamics.

5.2. The full coupled system

We look now at the role of virulence, measured by our variable $x'$, on the dynamics of our system. First, we make the reasonable assumption that the recovery rate $\gamma$ is related to the viral clearance rate $c$ in a very specific way. We postulate that the recovery rate is not constant but satisfies

$$\gamma(x') = c(1 - x'),$$

implying that large virulence is associated with chronic disease with practically no recovery, and low virulence makes the recovery rate $\gamma$ approximately equal
to the clearance rate $c$. Recall that $R_b(x')$ is given by Eq. [9]. The endemic equilibrium for the host population, on the other hand, has the formula

$$i^* = \frac{\delta(c(1 - x') + \mu)x'^{-z}}{a(aq + c(1 - x') + \mu)}(R_b^2(x') - 1).$$

We can easily prove that, as a function of $x'$, $i^*(x')$ is a monotonically increasing function, and that $R_b(x')$ is concave, if $z < 1$ and convex is $z > 1$. Also $i^*$ is biologically feasible only for $x > x'_*$ where $x'_*$ is the proportion of infected target cells that results in $R_b(x'_*) = 1$. Moreover, for the same value of $x'$, transmission probabilities with $z > 1$ produce lower levels of endemicity than for $z < 1$. The temporal dynamics of the coupled system is depicted in (Table 1 top to bottom).

In all these simulations, we are assuming that the recovery rate of infected individuals is of the form $\gamma(x') = c(1 - x')$ with $x' = T^*/T_0$ and, also, we have set the baseline clearance rate to $c = 0.14$ or, equivalently, a duration of viremia lasting 7 days. Seven days is then, the shortest recovery time. Since we are using the same within-host parameters in all runs, the behavior of $x'$ in all cases is the
same as can be seen in Table 1b. The observed delay in the onset of the epidemic
(Table 1a) at the between-host level is associated with the particular shape of the
probability of infection \( \phi(x') = (x')^z \) (see Eq.9) from mosquito to host and the
ratio of mosquito numbers to host numbers. The rows of Table 1 correspond
to different values of the parameter \( z \). Top and middle rows correspond to
\( z < 1 \) and the bottom row to \( z = 1 \). We can see that for the same within-host
dynamics, slowly growing transmission probabilities (Table 1 top row) provide
an earlier outbreak than faster growing ones (Table 1 middle row). However,
this effect can be modified by the magnitude of the product \( bq = bM/N \) the
effective ratio of mosquito to host (Table 1 bottom row). Table 1c shows the
relative magnitude of the within-host (constant) reproduction number and the
between-host reproduction function as \( x' \) changes. Finally, Table 1d shows that
when \( R_b(x') < R_{0v} \), the mosquito infection at the population level, is slower
than the infection of target cells (middle and bottom rows). If \( R_b(x') > R_{0v} \)
the above condition still holds but both time scales are then very similar.

Finally, looking closer to the clearance rate \( c \) we can say that, in general
\( 0 < c_* \leq c \leq c^* \) where \( c^* \) is the value of \( c \) for which \( R_{0v} = 1 \). As \( c \to 0 \)
the within-host reproduction number \( R_{0v} \) tends to infinity but \( R_c(y) \) ceases to
be a real number and the ODE system breaks down. In summary, the upper
bound is determined solely by the within-host dynamics \( R_{0v} \), but a very large
residence time \( 1/c \) is biologically unfeasible given the mathematical model we are
proposing. Table 2 shows how \( R_{0v}, v^*(y) \) and \( R_c(y) \) depend on the parameter
c. We have arbitrarily selected three regions in this curves. It is clear that large
or intermediate values of \( c \) (as described in the figure caption) are biologically
feasible giving a reasonable magnitude range for the within-host reproduction
number (e.g., \( R_{0v} < 4 \)). A large \( c \) describes a short viremia period while a small
\( c \) describes a long one. Our results thus indicate that, for the kind of interaction
described by our model, short or intermediate viremia duration are biologically
more feasible than long ones. On the other hand, very short viremias render
\( R_{0v} < 1 \) and the whole coupled system breaks down (mathematically, solution
no longer exist).
Table 1: Dynamic behaviour of the full within-host, between-host model. Parameters for the
within-host system are as in Table 2. For the between-host system, $a = 0.162$, $\gamma = c = 0.14$
and $\delta = 0.05$. Rows correspond to different values of $z$. Top, $z = 0.2$, middle $z = 0.8$
both with $q = 1.5$ mosquitoes per host; and bottom $z = 1$ with $q = 2.5$ mosquitoes per host.
Columns show a) the prevalence of infected mosquitoes (brown) and vertebrate hosts (red); b)
in logarithmic scale the density of naive (black) and infected (blue) target cells, c) the within-host
creproduction number $R_0v$ (cyan), the between-host reproduction function $R_b(x')$ (green);
d) the proportion of infected target cells $x'$ (blue) and the proportion of infected mosquitoes $i$
(red).
The within-host and between-host population processes are closely coupled as can be seen in the timing where equilibria for both subsystems is reached (Table 1 columns a, b and d). However, the between-host reproduction function approaches its limit $R_b(T^*)$ at different speeds depending on the magnitude of $z$. The epidemic outbreak will be triggered when the within-host system reaches its equilibrium state regardless of how large is the within-host infection while approaching it. So, our model indicates that transmission at the population level is feasible but cannot be realized until the average infection conditions of individuals reach their corresponding equilibrium. Therefore, the reproduction number of the between-host system is an indicator of an epidemic outbreak that will be occurring later in time, depending upon the magnitude of $T^*$. This is one of the explicit links of the population level reproduction number and the dynamics of the within-host infection.

6. Conclusion

The dynamics of infectious diseases is driven by two processes: the epidemiological process occurring at the population level and the immunological
process within the host. Many existing models in the context of a vector-borne
disease, approach these two processes as decoupled systems. In this paper we
have linked them using a simple model based on two classical well-known equa-
tions: the Ross-Macdonald model and a basic virus-cell interaction model. We
demonstrate our framework by using as a simplified model system for a general
vector-borne disease in a vertebrate host. Naturally, in these diseases the vec-
tor plays a major and determinant role in transmission. This model produces
a clearance-transmission trade-off where viral load is an increasing function of
viremia duration. This results is contrary to the results on Dengue reported
by [20], where short viremias have larger viral loads than long viremias. Due
to the way the within-host dynamics is modelled and the resulting form that
the within-host reproduction number takes, large $c$ (short viremias) reduce the
magnitude of the reproductive number and therefore, generate lower viremias
than when $c$ is small (long viremias). For Dengue disease, [20] use a more de-
tailed model carefully adapted to Dengue viral dynamics that is able to capture
dynamical characteristics that our simple model cannot achieve. Our simple
model does not consider any specific mechanisms of activation of the innate and
adaptive immune responses and thus our results cannot directly be compared
to those in [20]. However, results on malaria [21] may seem to agree with the
relation of clearance and pathogen load that our model produces. In this work
it is clear that the length of pathogen clearance time is positively associated
with higher concentrations of parasites. For Zika, [22] reports relatively long
viremias in whole blood samples in human hosts, of more than 26 days, while
in macaques [23], the highest viremia was reported for intermediate duration
(in macaques the viremia length ranges form 2 to 7 days); for Chikungunya,
[24], the higher frequency of high viremia in human hosts occurred also on the
7 day of symptom onset (symptom onset occurs in the interval 1-20 in this
study). The model we develop and analyze in this work integrates in a simple
and direct manner, the interplay of epidemiological dynamics and within-host
immune-virus interaction dynamics. The model focuses in a general, classical
approach to approximating the dynamics of vector-borne diseases and immune
system dynamics on vertebrate hosts. The conclusions therefore, are also of a
general nature and only describe broad patterns of interaction.
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Appendix A

Global stability of the disease-free equilibrium point \( E_0 = (T_0, T_0^*, v_0) \) for the
within-host dynamics (fast subsystem) given by system (4) with \( g(y) = 0 \).

Let \( c(d + m) > kp(\frac{\lambda}{m}) \), i.e. \( R_0v < 1 \) and \( S_0 = \frac{v}{d+m} \), then the critical point
\( E_0 \) is globally asymptotically stable.

In order to prove the asymptotic stability of \( E_0 \), consider the Lyapunov function
\[
U(T, T^*, v) = T_0 \left( \frac{T}{T_0} - \ln \frac{T}{T_0} \right) + T^* + \frac{v}{S_0} = T - T_0 \ln(T) + T_0 \ln(T_0) + T^* + \frac{v}{S_0}.
\]
Then from the last expression
\[
\frac{dU}{dt} = T' - \frac{T}{T_0} T' + T^* + \frac{1}{S_0} v'
\]
\[
= \lambda - kT v - mT - \frac{T_0}{T} (\lambda - kT v - mT) + kT v - (d + m)T^* + \frac{1}{S_0} (pT^* - cv)
\]
\[
= \lambda - mT - \frac{T_0}{T} \lambda + kT_0 v + mT_0 - (d + m)T^*
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{S_0} (cv) + \frac{1}{S_0} pT^*
\]
\[
= \lambda + mT_0 - \frac{T_0}{T} \lambda - mT + kT_0 v - (d + \delta_2)T^*
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{S_0} (cv) + \frac{1}{S_0} pT^*
\]

Substituting \( mT_0 = \lambda \) in the second term, \( m = \lambda/T_0 \) in the forth term and \( S_0 = p/(d + m) \) in the last one we get
\[
\frac{dU}{dt} = \lambda \left( 2 - \frac{T_0}{T} - \frac{T}{T_0} \right) + kT_0 v - (d + m)T^*
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{S_0} (cv) + (d + m)T^*
\]
\[
= \lambda \left( 2 - \frac{T_0}{T} - \frac{T}{T_0} \right) + kT_0 v - \frac{1}{S_0} (cv)
\]

A further simplification yields
\[
\frac{dU}{dt} = \lambda \left( 2 - \frac{T_0}{T} - \frac{T}{T_0} \right) + \left( kT_0 - \frac{c}{S_0} \right) v < 0
\]

The last inequality follows from the hypothesis and the inequality of the geometric and arithmetic means.

Appendix B

Here we give the full expression of the within-host reproduction function \( R_v(y) \) that appears in expression \( v^*(y) \)

\[
R_v(y) = \frac{2T_0}{T_0 (R_{0v} + 1) + \frac{(d+m)ry}{mp}} - \sqrt{\left( T_0 (R_{0v} + 1) + \frac{(d+m)ry}{mp} \right)^2 - 4\frac{T_0^2}{R_{0v}}}
\]
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The graph shows the relationship between $R_v(y)$ and $y$ for different values of $c$ and $R_{0v}$.

- For $c = 0.04$, the curve is almost flat with $R_{0v}$ values of 1.004, 1.0, 0.993, and 0.974.
- For $c = 0.1$, the curve shows a moderate increase with $R_{0v}$ values.
- For $c = 0.21$, the curve is the flattest of all with $R_{0v}$ values.

The inset graph provides a magnified view of the curve for $R_{0v} = 1.004$. The $y$-axis ranges from 0.0 to 1.0, and the $x$-axis ranges from 0.0 to 1.0.
