s-wave scattering lengths of the strongly dipolar bosons $^{162}\text{Dy}$ and $^{164}\text{Dy}$
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We report the measurement of the deca-heptuplet s-partial-wave scattering length $a$ of two bosonic isotopes of the highly magnetic element, dysprosium: $a = 122(10)a_0$ for $^{162}\text{Dy}$ and $a = 92(8)a_0$ for $^{164}\text{Dy}$, where $a_0$ is the Bohr radius. The scattering lengths are determined by the cross-dimensional relaxation of ultracold gases of these Dy isotopes at temperatures above quantum degeneracy. In this temperature regime, the measured rethermalization dynamics can be compared to simulations of the Boltzmann equation using a direct-simulation Monte Carlo (DSMC) method employing the anisotropic differential scattering cross section of dipolar particles.

PACS numbers: 34.50.-s, 03.65.Nk, 67.85.-d

I. INTRODUCTION

In the study of ultracold atomic collisions, the scattering length $a$ is a simple parameter that characterizes the contact-like pseudo-potential approximation of the van der Waals potential [1][2]. By abstracting away microscopic details, this number encapsulates the essential physics needed to predict the cross section of atoms whose collision channel is dominated by an s partial wave. Knowledge of $a$ allows one to predict the mean-field energy of a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC). Manipulating $a$ via a Fano-Feshbach resonance provides interaction control [3], which can increase evaporation efficiency for BEC production [4–6] or provide access to strongly interacting gases and gases that emulate interesting many-body Hamiltonians [7].

Given the importance of the s-wave scattering length, it is desirable to know its value for the highly magnetic and heavy open-shell lanthanide atom dysprosium (Dy), whose three high-abundance bosonic isotopes have recently been Bose-condensed [6, 8]. However, Dy has a highly complex electronic structure: an open f-shell submerging beneath closed outer s-shells. The four unpaired f electrons give rise to a total electronic angular momentum $J = L + S = 8$, with an orbital angular momentum $L = 6$ and electronic spin $S = 2$. (Bosonic Dy has no nuclear spin $I = 0$ and hence has no hyperfine structure.) The complexity of Dy’s electronic structure—possessing 153 Born-Oppenheimer molecular potentials, electrostatic anisotropy, and a large dipole moment ($\mu = 9.9326952 (80)$ Bohr magnetons [9])—renders calculating collisional parameters challenging [10]. Therefore, as with all but the lightest atoms, determination of the scattering length must rely on experimental measurements [1].

One well-known technique often used to probe the collisional properties of ultracold atoms is the cross-dimensional relaxation method [11]. Such experiments usually begin with a cloud of atoms in thermal equilibrium. Then extra energy is suddenly added to the cloud along one of the trap axes to create an energy imbalance. This may be accomplished by diabatically increasing the trap frequency in that direction. One can then extract the elastic cross section of the colliding particles by measuring the rate at which this energy redistributes among all three trap axes.

For bosonic alkali atoms, whose collision interaction is dominated by s-wave scattering at ultracold temperatures, i.e., below the d-wave centrifugal energy barrier, the elastic cross section is directly related to the scattering length [1]. However, the scattering in ultracold bosonic Dy gases is strongly affected by the magnetic dipole-dipole interaction (DDI). In contrast to the short-ranged, isotropic van der Waals interaction, the DDI is long-ranged and highly anisotropic:

$$ U_{dd}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\mu_0 \mu^2}{4\pi} \frac{1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta}{|\mathbf{r}|^3}, $$

where $\mu_0$ is the vacuum permeability, $\mathbf{r}$ is the relative position of the dipoles, and $\theta$ is the angle between $\mathbf{r}$ and the dipole polarization direction. Scattering due to the DDI has been calculated to be universal in the ultracold regime, meaning that it does not depend on the microscopic details of the colliding particles [12]. Such scattering can be characterized by a single parameter, the dipole length scale

$$ a_d = \frac{\mu_0 \mu^2 m}{8\pi \hbar^2}, $$

where $m$ is the single-particle mass [12, 13]. The universal nature of the DDI has been observed for both elastic [14–17] and inelastic collisions [18]. The remaining non-universal part of scattering resides in the scattering length, whose value varies from atom to atom.

The goal of this work is to measure $a$, which includes the small dipolar contribution [2], by accounting for the DDI in the total Dy-Dy elastic cross section. This is achieved by comparing the measured cross-dimensional relaxation of an ultracold gas of Dy to numerical simulations in which the DDI’s contribution to the cross section...
is well understood [19]. The simulation of the nonequilibrium dynamics of ultracold dipolar gases in realistic experimental situations is made possible by a recently developed direct-simulation Monte Carlo (DSMC) method that solves the Boltzmann equation with the full dipolar differential scattering cross section [20]. This numerical method has proven successful in describing the rethermalization of a cloud of fermionic erbium atoms driven out of equilibrium [21]. Here we apply these simulation tools to bosonic $^{162}$Dy and $^{164}$Dy undergoing cross-dimensional relaxation and extract the deca-heptuplet s-wave scattering length $a$ for both isotopes in their maximally stretched ground state $|J = 8, m_J = −8⟩$.

II. THE CROSS-DIMENSIONAL RELAXATION EXPERIMENT

Preparation of ultracold Dy gases is discussed in a previous work [6]. Dysprosium atoms in an atomic beam generated by a high-temperature effusive cell are loaded into a magneto-optical trap (MOT) via a Zeeman slower, both operating at 421 nm. For further cooling, the atoms are loaded into a blue-detuned, narrow-linewidth MOT at 741 nm. We typically achieve trap populations of $4 \times 10^7$ $^{162}$Dy or $^{164}$Dy atoms at $T ≈ 2 \mu K$. The atoms confined within this narrow-line, blue-detuned MOT are spin-polarized in $|J = 8, m_J = +8⟩$. They are subsequently loaded into a single-beam 1064-nm optical dipole trap (ODT). Once in the ODT, the atoms are transferred to the absolute electronic ground state $|J = 8, m_J = −8⟩$ by radio-frequency-induced adiabatic rapid passage. We then perform forced evaporative cooling in two differently optimized crossed optical dipole traps (cODT) formed by three 1064-nm beams. The first cODT is very tight and ˆ$\beta$, where $\beta$ is the angle between the field orientation and ˆ$z$. We ensure that the magnitude of the field remains unchanged after the rotation to within 10 mG of the initial value through rf-spectroscopy measurements of Zeeman level splittings. We repeat the experiment at three different angles $\beta = [0.0(2)°, 44.7(5)°, 90.0(2)°]$, as the dipole alignment angle should affect the thermalization time scale. A valid theory that accounts for both the anisotropic DDI and the s-wave interaction should extract consistent scattering lengths from measurements made at different $\beta$.

The last preparatory step involves uniformly increasing the temperature of the cloud to prevent dipolar mean-field interaction energy from affecting time-of-flight (TOF) thermometry. While the contact interaction is negligible above $T_c$, the DDI energy requires accurate modeling. We find that even a thermal cloud of Dy in equilibrium expands anisotropically near degeneracy, indicating that the DDI affects TOF expansion. However, we observe isotropic expansion after heating the cloud to about 1.2 $\mu K$. We parametrically heat the cloud by modulating the power of the horizontal ODT for 0.4 s at 400 Hz, nearly resonant with $\omega_z$. After the heating, we hold the cloud for 0.4 s to ensure thermal equilibrium, which we verify by observing isotropic expansion at 20 ms TOF. This sets the initial state of the cross-dimensional relaxation experiment with a peak atomic density of $n_0 = 3.7(1) \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $T/T_c = 2.6$ for both $^{162}$Dy and $^{164}$Dy at $\beta = 0°$. The $^{162}$Dy densities at $\beta = 45°$ and $\beta = 90°$ are lowered by 5% and 16%, respectively. For $^{164}$Dy, we observe no decrease in density at $\beta = 45°$ but a 27% decrease at $\beta = 90°$. These losses are likely due to Fano-Feshbach resonances encountered during the magnetic field rotation [25].

To drive the cloud out of equilibrium, we increase the power of the vertical ODT by a factor of 2 with a 1-ms linear ramp. The resulting trap frequencies are $[\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z] = 2\pi \times [151(2), 70(5), 393(1)] \text{ Hz}$. The induced change in the trapping potential can be considered diabatic since the ramp time is much shorter than the trap oscillation periods in the two directions, ˆ$x$ and ˆ$y$, that are primarily affected by the vertical beam. During the compression process, the majority of the energy is added to the most weakly confined direction ˆ$y$, which is along the imaging beam. The trap frequency along ˆ$x$ is also slightly increased by the vertical beam. The extra energy then redistributes among all three dimensions as the atoms undergo elastic collisions in the trap, and we record the rethermalization process by measuring $T_x$ and $T_z$ after

(164Dy), both at 550(10) nK and $T/T_c ≈ 1.7$.
holding the cloud for variable durations [26]. To extract the s-wave scattering length, we compare the measured rethermalization scattering dynamics to the numerical simulations described in the next section.

III. NUMERICAL SIMULATION

In non-dipolar (or sufficiently weak dipolar) Bose gases, the scattering length is simply related to the rethermalization time-constant by \( \tau = \alpha/\bar{n}\sigma v_{\text{rel}} \), where \( \bar{n} \) is the averaged atom number density, \( \sigma = 8\pi a^2 \) is the elastic collision cross section, and \( \alpha = \text{mean number of collisions per particle required for rethermalization} \) [19].

In a strongly dipolar gas, a more complicated relationship exists between the rethermalization time constant and the scattering length because \( \alpha \) becomes a function of polarization.

To simulate our experiments, we solve the Boltzmann equation using the DSMC algorithm outlined in Ref. [20]. The goal of the computation is to simulate the nonequilibrium dynamics of Dy gas with the single free parameter \( a \). We expect the results of the DSMC algorithm to be quantitatively accurate at temperatures well above quantum degeneracy, but below the Wigner threshold, which for bosonic Dy corresponds to the \( d \)-wave centrifugal barrier \( \sim 250 \mu K \) [10, 27].

To briefly summarize, the simulation uses \( N_t \) test-particles that undergo classical time dynamics within the trapping potential, where the \( i \)-th test-particle has a phase-space coordinate \( (r_i, p_i) \). Interactions are included by binning test-particles into spatial volume elements before evaluating the collision probability for every pair of test particles in accordance with Boltzmann’s collision integral [28]. This computational procedure is capable of including the complete details of the dynamic trapping potentials relevant to the experiment. The crucial ingredient in our simulations is the DDI differential scattering cross section derived analytically in the first-order Born approximation in Ref. [19]. For bosons this is given by

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(p_{\text{rel}}, p'_{\text{rel}}) = \frac{a^2}{2} \left[ -2 \frac{a}{a_d} \right] \frac{2(p_{\text{rel}} \cdot \hat{\varepsilon})^2 + 2(p'_{\text{rel}} \cdot \hat{\varepsilon})^2 - 4(p_{\text{rel}} \cdot \hat{\varepsilon})(p'_{\text{rel}} \cdot \hat{\varepsilon}) (p_{\text{rel}} \cdot p'_{\text{rel}})}{1 - (p_{\text{rel}} \cdot p'_{\text{rel}})^2 + \frac{4}{3}} \right] ,
\]

where \( p_{\text{rel}} \) and \( p'_{\text{rel}} \) denote the relative momenta before and after the collision [20]. The vector \( \hat{\varepsilon} \) denotes the direction of the magnetic field, to which all dipoles are aligned. The scattering cross section is a function of two length scales: the \( s \)-wave scattering length \( a \) and the dipole length scale \( a_d \).

We compute a time-dependent temperature from the momentum-space widths of the phase space distribution. Away from equilibrium, this temperature can be anisotropic:

\[
k_B T_j = \frac{\sigma_{p_j}^2}{m},
\]

where \( \sigma_{p_j} = \sqrt{\langle p_j^2 \rangle} \) for direction \( j \), and angle brackets denote an average over test-particles \( \langle f(r, p) \rangle = \sum f(r_i, p_i) \), i.e., \( \sigma_{p_j} \) is the standard deviation of \( p_j \). Alternatively, one could define temperatures from the spatial distribution rather than the momentum space, but since the experiment measures TOF expansion images, we focus on the momentum space images to enable direct comparison between theory and experiment.

A. Direct comparison between simulation and experiment

We observe qualitative agreement between a direct comparison of experiment and simulation, some examples of which are shown in Fig. 1. The simulations use a variety of different scattering lengths to provide a visualization of the rethermalization dependence on scattering length. All curves in the simulations of Fig. 1 employ the same initial condition and ODT parameters. They differ only in the value of the \( s \)-wave scattering length.

We believe the temperature oscillations evident in Fig. 1 arise from collective modes excited by the diabatic trap compression. These oscillations are unusual in cross-dimensional rethermalization experiments, and they are due to the fact that the dysprosium gas, being highly magnetic, lies closer to the hydrodynamic collisional regime than ultracold gases of less magnetic atoms. That is, elastic collisions occur far more frequently than in weakly dipolar gases due to the presence of both \( s \)-wave and dipolar contributions to the elastic cross section, where the dipolar contribution is \( \sigma_{DDI} \approx 2.23a_d^2 \) and \( a_d \approx 195a_0 \) [12]. Indeed, our simulations show that the oscillations arise from the DDI: the oscillations vanish—and the rethermalization time increases—as the dipolar length is artificially decreased at fixed trap frequency. The criteria for the hydrodynamic regime is \( l \ll R \), where \( l = 1/\nu_\text{tot} \) is the mean-free-path, \( \sigma_\text{tot} \) is the total elastic collision cross section, and \( R \sim (kT/m\omega_p^2)^{1/2} \) is the characteristic size of the gas along the weakest trap axis [1]. Before compression, \( l/R \approx 1.5 \), indicating that the collision and trapping frequencies are comparable for this highly magnetic gas. Indeed, the oscillation frequency of \( T_x \) is similar to
that of $2\omega_x$, while the oscillation of $T_z$ is similar to $2\omega_y$, the most weakly confined direction and also the direction most tightly compressed when the ODT power is abruptly increased.

These temperature oscillations would be eliminated by bringing the dipolar gas out of the hydrodynamic regime by reducing the trapping frequencies. However, we cannot reduce the trap frequencies since large trap depths are required to avoid plain evaporation of the gas after rethermalization [29]. An analytic understanding of the collective excitations that give rise to the temperature oscillations in this dipolar thermal gas are challenging and beyond the scope of the present work [30].

B. Two-step fitting procedure

We find the frequency of the temperature oscillations to be reasonably well reproduced by the simulations. However, the phase and amplitude seem to be highly sensitive to values of the initial and final trap frequencies as well as to the details of the ODT power ramp and are not closely replicated in the simulations. The correspondence between simulation and data can be improved by varying the simulated trap frequencies, total atom number, initial temperature, and ODT ramp powers within experimental errors, but doing so for all data sets is computationally intensive.

Instead, we use a two-step fitting procedure to efficiently extract estimates of $a$ from the data sets based on the observation that simulating the full equilibration evolution from first principles—oscillations of the temperature in addition to the exponential increase in temperature—is unnecessary to achieve the goal of this work. The most direct influence that $a$ has on the gas is through the scattering rate given by $\Gamma \sim \tilde{n} a \sigma \bar{v}_{el}$, which directly contributes to the rate of equilibration in the gas. By contrast, the temperature oscillations in the gas are more closely related to details of the trapping frequencies than to the precise value of the $s$-wave scattering length. We may therefore extract the time constant associated with the $s$-wave cross section using a simpler model that is more robust to uncertainties in trap parameters and then use the full Boltzmann equation simulation to relate this fit parameter to the value of $a$.

We compare simulation and experiment through the function:

$$\tilde{T}_{x,z}(t) = \tilde{T}_f + (\tilde{T}_i - \tilde{T}_f) e^{-t/\tau_{1x,1z}} + \tilde{A} e^{-t/\tau_{2x,2z}} \sin \left(2\Delta t - \delta \right),$$

where this function is fit to the experimental data (see Fig. 2) and to the simulation results along the $x$ and the
z axes separately. The fits are restricted to times after the end of the diabatic compression ramp. The following are free parameters: \( T_i \) and \( T_f \) are closely related to the initial and final temperatures, respectively; \( \tau_{1x,1z} \) is the time constant for rethermalization; and \( A, \tau_{2x,2z}, \omega, \) and \( \delta \) are the parameters of a damped sinusoid at the first harmonic of \( \dot{\omega} \).

Our fitting function reproduces both experimental and simulation results with a reduced-\( \chi^2 \) of order unity. We search for values of the free-parameters which generate a local minimum in the error function:

\[
\sum_j \left[ \tilde{T}_{x,z}(t_j) - T_{x,z}(t_j) \right]^2
\]

where \( T_{x,z}(t_j) \) is derived from either the experimental measurement or the simulation. There exist multiple local minima, but we are careful to choose the local minimum which lies nearest to the physically meaningful values of \( T_i, \dot{\omega}, \) etc.

We expect, based on physical grounds, that the damping time-scales \( \tau_{1x,1z} \) and \( \tau_{2x,2z} \) to be the free-parameters most affected by the scattering length (through the cross section). We now focus our attention on these two parameters. For concreteness, we continue with a description of our data analysis for the case of rethermalization along the x-axis; an equivalent procedure applies along the z-axis. Once we have found the parameters that best fit Eq. 5 to our experimental data, we calculate a \( \chi^2 \) value for that fit and denote it \( \chi^2_{\text{min}} \). To obtain the 1\( \sigma \) uncertainty on \( \tau_{1x} \) and \( \tau_{2x} \), we vary them while allowing all other parameters to be re-optimized until \( \chi^2 \) rises to \( \chi^2_{\text{min}} + 1 \).

We find that the experimental data tightly constrain the values of \( \tau_{1x} \) and \( \tau_{1z} \), the parameters that characterize the overall rethermalization of the gas following the sudden squeezing of the trap. However, Fig. 1 shows that the experimental data are insufficient to make precise measurements of \( \tau_{2x} \) and \( \tau_{2z} \), which characterize the damping of the collective oscillations. Two distinct difficulties apply to the x-axis and z-axis separately: Along the x-axis, the 1-ns separation between the data points is comparable to the period of these oscillations, and quantitative analysis of the oscillations cannot be made due to uncertainty from under-sampling. In contrast, along the z-axis the oscillation frequency is well captured by the data, but the amplitude is small compared to statistical errors. Thus, we rely on our measurements of \( \tau_{1x,1z} \) for our estimates of \( a \). Note that while \( \tau_{2x,2z} \) do not help to constrain the value of \( a \), they are consistent with the measured values of \( \tau_{1x,1z} \): We expect and observe \( \tau_{2x,2z} \) to be longer than \( \tau_{1x,1z} \) by approximately a factor of two as well as both time scales to be of order 1/\( \Gamma \).

To assign a scattering length \( a \) to each measured \( \tau_{1x,1z} \), we fit the simulated rethermalization to Eq. 5 to extract \( \tau_{1x,1z} \) for each value of \( a \). The set of these \( \tau_{1x,1z} \)'s are shown as dots in the panels of Figs. 3 and 4. The Monte-Carlo nature of the simulation leads to an uncertainty in the predicted values for \( \tau_{1x,1z} \). The resulting 1\( \sigma \) un-
uncertainties are shown as the smaller, darker grey bands in these plots. This band is found by first fitting the simulation dots to a functional form

\[ \tau_{1x,1z} = c_1/[c_2 + c_3(a/a_d) + (a/a_d)^2], \tag{6} \]

which is motivated by the quadratic dependence on \( a/a_d \) in the cross section; see Eq. 3. We then use a bootstrap method to estimate the error on the best fit. This is done by assigning to each data point a common relative error such that the \( \chi^2 \) of the fit reaches the 1σ confidence interval value of the \( \chi^2 \)-distribution with the appropriate number of degrees of freedom [31]. The best-fit curve is then scaled by the estimated relative error to produce the 1σ uncertainty represented by the dark grey band. One additional source of error on \( \tau_{1x,1z} \) arises from the uncertainties in trap frequencies and atom number. This error can be determined analytically using the relation \( \tau \propto 1/\bar{n} \), where the mean density \( \bar{n} \) contains the relevant experimental parameters. The combined 1σ error is shown as the larger, light grey band in Figs. 3 and 4. Once the relation between \( \tau_{1x,1z} \) and \( a/a_d \) has been established in Figs. 3 and 4, one can simply project a given measured \( \tau_{1x,1z} \), with its associated 1σ uncertainty, onto the \( x \)-axis to obtain the best-fit \( a/a_d \) value and its 1σ uncertainty, as indicated by the horizontal and vertical dashed lines in the figures.

FIG. 4. (Color online). Analyses of \( ^{162}\text{Dy} \) data. The dots show the value of \( \tau_{1x,1z} \) extracted by fitting the functional form Eq. (5) to the simulation results. The dark grey band denotes a 1σ uncertainty on the simulated \( \tau_{1x,1z} \), and the larger grey band includes experimental uncertainty. See text for details. The horizontal dashed lines show the upper/lower bounds at 1σ uncertainty of \( \tau_{1x,1z} \) found by fitting the same functional form Eq. (5) to the experimental data. The blue bar along the bottom axis of each figure shows the 1σ estimation of \( a/a_d \), i.e., where the grey area lies between the 1σ experimental bounds. Figures (a) and (d) correspond to \( \beta = 0^\circ \); (c) and (d) show \( \beta = 45^\circ \), and (e) and (f) show \( \beta = 90^\circ \). Data for \( \beta = 90^\circ \) fail to constrain \( \tau_{1x} \) due to the fast thermalization time scale for \( T_x \) and hence do not yield an estimate of \( a/a_d \).

IV. RESULTS

As shown in Figs. 3 and 4, the measured \( \tau_{1x,1z} \)'s at three different \( \beta \) angles produce six independent measurements of the scattering length \( a \) for each isotope, except for \( ^{162}\text{Dy} \) at \( \beta = 90^\circ \). In this case, the data fails to yield a constraint on \( \tau_{1x} \). We believe this is because we fit to data after the 1-ms ODT ramp time, and 1 ms is comparable to the thermalization time scale of \( T_x \) at this \( \beta \); see Figs. 1(d) and 4(e). The dependence of \( \tau_{1x,1z} \)'s on \( \beta \) directly shows the anisotropic nature of the DDI: \( \tau_{1x} \) decreases while \( \tau_{1z} \) increases as \( \beta \) is rotated from 0° to 90°.

The measured \( a \) values are summarized in Fig. 5. The measured values for each isotope are, in general, consistent with each other. The dashed line represents the weighted average of \( a/a_d \) and the grey band represents 1σ uncertainty calculated using the procedure described in Ref. [32]. The weighted average values of \( a/a_d \) are 0.63(5) for \( ^{162}\text{Dy} \) and 0.47(4) for \( ^{164}\text{Dy} \). In absolute units, they correspond to s-wave scattering lengths of \( a_{162} = 122.0(10)a_0 \) for \( ^{162}\text{Dy} \) and \( a_{164} = 92.8(8)a_0 \) for \( ^{164}\text{Dy} \). As a comparison, the mean scattering length [33, 34] is 73a_0, as estimated using the value of \( C_6 = 1890 \) (a.u.) for Dy obtained via the calculations of Ref. [10].

These numbers are consistent with our previous ob-
loss is observed, rendering Boltzmann simulations unreliable due to the violation of equipartition. Our previous work [6] showed that while we could make a $^{160}$Dy BEC by tuning to a Fano-Feshbach resonance, the condensate population was only $10^3$. No BEC could be made away from a resonance, implying that $^{160}$Dy has a background $a$ insufficient for producing stable condensates, as would typically be the case for a small and/or negative value of $a$. Other techniques for measuring scattering lengths might prove more effective for $^{160}$Dy [1].

V. CONCLUSIONS

We measured the rethermalization process of ultracold dipolar $^{162}$Dy and $^{164}$Dy gases driven out of equilibrium. The observed dynamics of the gases can be described by DSMC simulations based on a Boltzmann equation that incorporates the dipolar differential scattering cross section. The agreement between experiment and theory allows us to extract the deca-heptuplet $s$-wave scattering length for both isotopes in their maximally stretched ground state. Knowledge of the scattering lengths of $^{162}$Dy and $^{164}$Dy now allows researchers to more accurately calculate properties of these highly magnetic systems. Such calculations are relevant to engineering and interpreting Dy-based simulations of quantum many-body physics.
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Note added after preparation: Using Fano-Feshbach spectroscopy, T. Maier et al. [35] recently report a value of $a$ for $^{164}$Dy consistent with ours.
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