Smoothing the steep microsurgery learning curve: considering alternative suture sizes for early-stage microsurgery training with in vivo rat models
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Abstract

Background Laboratory microsurgery training using invivo rat models is essential for clinical operation. However, challenges exist in a structured training course when students transition from a non-living model exercise to a living one. In the present article, we first demonstrate this steep learning curve in early-stage microsurgery training. We then propose the potential solution of using various sizes of sutures for different training purposes.

Methods Two separate preliminary studies were included. First, we reviewed the records of 25 students enrolled in our basic microsurgery training course. Each student completed exercises in a non-living model before graduating to a live animal model where their performance on end-to-end arterial and venous anastomoses was evaluated. Second, we examined the feasibility of different suture sizes in millimeter microvascular anastomosis. Four groups of identical procedures in rat femoral artery were completed using sutures from 8-0 to 11-0. Patency rate and mean blood flow at 60 min post-op were measured and compared.

Results Thirty-minute patency rates for first arterial and venous anastomoses were 18/25 and 14/25. Those students who had non-patent anastomoses spent significantly longer time than those who had patent ones ($p < .05$). For various suture sizes, all groups achieved a 100% patency rate. No significant differences were found between the mean blood flow volume at 60 min post-op ($p > .05$).

Conclusions Steep learning curve existed in the early-stage microsurgery training when transitioning from non-living to living exercise models. The feasibility of using various suture sizes in millimeter anastomosis may be a potential solution for instructors to ease this steep learning curve.

Level of Evidence: Not gradable
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Introduction

Laboratory microsurgery training is an essential step before the clinical operation. The basic end-to-end (ETE) arterial anastomosis is among the most fundamental microsurgical procedures and is a focus in microsurgical courses around the globe [1, 2]. In order to demonstrate clinical readiness, microsurgeons must demonstrate mastery of this procedure in living microvascular anastomosis, such as the use of rat [3–5]. This procedure serves as an important building block to more complex microsurgical procedures [6, 7]. Proper mastery of these skills is critical for future learning and progression [8]. However, the challenge of instruction exists in the early stages of the training process.

We notice one of the major training challenges posed in the early-stage of microsurgery training is the transitioning from non-living exercise modalities such as gloves, plastic tubes, or chicken wings to the living rat model exercises. In the early stage of microsurgery training, the most common curriculum consists of the first eight hours devoted to introducing non-living modalities followed by rat model exercises [6]. However, there are discrepancies between them. First, non-living modalities lack vessel patency feedback and tissue structural similarity to the living rat model. Second,
there are technical demand differences between practicing on non-living models and a living rat model [9]. These factors may cause a steep learning curve in the early-stage microsurgery training for selective students struggling to transition from non-living to living exercises.

Besides, we believe another potential cause of this steep learning curve is due to the suture size commonly used. Oftentimes, for the millimeter ETE anastomosis exercise, 10–0 Nylon (135-degree taper, 50-microneedle, and 0.02-mm thread) is used [3, 5]. This may not be most ideal for early-stage living model training in millimeter exercises for those selective struggling students because of its adding difficulty to the already challenged living models. Indeed, a study by Studinger et al. also revealed that only 64% of surgical residents who had microsurgery training within a year could perform a patent arterial or venous anastomosis in a rat millimeter vascular model using a 10–0 suture [10]. Thus, we propose using the larger suture size to provide more training variability to ease the learning curve. However, no previous research exists comparing various suture sizes to the feasibility in the millimeter vascular exercise to date.

To illustrate the aforementioned issues in microsurgery training, below we present our preliminary findings regarding the steep learning curves in the early-stage microsurgery training and the feasibility of various sutures sizes in millimeter microvascular anastomosis.

**Methods**

**Overview of anastomosis techniques**

Two separate preliminary studies were included below. All procedures described below were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at Columbia University Irving Medical Center. The anastomosis techniques used in the following studies were identical.

In short, after the dissection of rat vessels, double clamps with a frame were applied to either artery or vein. Following a transection in the middle of the blood vessel, eight interrupted sutures were placed circumferentially around the anastomosis site in order of two stay stitches at 12 and 6 o’clock following by three additional stitches on each side of the vessel wall anteriorly and posteriorly. Anastomosis time, defined as the duration from first suture placement to the completion of exercises, was recorded. The milking and lift-up tests were performed for patency verification in arterial and venous anastomoses after completing exercise [11].

**Illustration of a steep learning curve in the early-stage microsurgery training**

Records of 25 students enrolled in the Columbia University Basic Microsurgery Training course from Sep 2019 to Jan 2020 were retrieved. Each student was instructed to practice non-living exercises, such as gloves and plastic tubes on day 1 of the training. After the careful evaluation of satisfactory proficiency in these non-living exercises, each student proceeded to the first rat femoral arterial and venous (<1 mm in diameter) ETE anastomosis. All students used the identical techniques described above to perform the ETE anastomosis. Anastomosis time and 30-min post-exercise patency rate were recorded.

**Comparison of the use of sutures from 8–0 to 11–0 in millimeter microvascular anastomosis**

Twenty standardized rats’ common carotid artery (<1 mm in diameter) ETE anastomosis divided into four groups with suture sizes from 8–0 to 11–0 were performed by one author (YZ) (Table 2). Patency was recorded in an identical way as stated above. In addition, arterial blood flow was examined using a transonic doppler flowmeter (Transonic Systems, INC., Ithaca, NY) at 60-min post-operation before euthanizing the animals.

**Statistical analysis**

The independent t-test was performed to compare the difference between the anastomosis time between those who had patent vessels versus those who had non-patent ones in both
arterial and venous anastomoses. The point-biserial correlation was employed to examine the correlation between total anastomosis time and final patency rate. For the comparison between various suture sizes, one-way ANOVA was performed to evaluate the blood flow rate difference. Significance is defined as \( p < 0.05 \). IBM SPSS v. 26 (Chicago: SPSS Inc.) was used for these operations.

### Results

#### Students’ performance on the first living exercises

The mean anastomosis time needed for both arterial anastomosis and venous anastomosis was 75.76 ± 30.71 min and 77.92 ± 28.31 min, respectively. The overall patency rates at 30-min post-exercise were 18/25 and 14/25 for arterial anastomosis and venous anastomosis, respectively. The independent \( t \)-test showed there was a statistically significant difference with a big mean difference regarding the anastomosis time between those who had patent vessels versus those who had non-patent ones in both arterial and venous anastomoses \( (t(23) = -1.94, p = 0.033, d = 0.9) \) and \( (t(23) = -2.516, p = 0.009, d = 0.7) \), respectively, Fig. 1. Significant medium correlations were found between the increasing anastomosis time and non-patent rate (Table 1). Furthermore, seven out of 25 students had both non-patent arterial and venous anastomoses.

#### Feasibility of different sutures sizes in millimeter microvascular exercise

At 60-min post-exercise, results show that a 100% patency rate was achieved, and no statistical difference was found for the blood flow volume regardless of the suture sizes \( [F(3,16) = 2.259, p = 0.121] \) (Table 2 and Fig. 2). Although more bleeding was noticed through anastomotic holes performed by 8–0 and 9–0, it was easily stopped after applying gauze and light pressure (Fig. 2).

### Discussion

Our training record points to a jump in difficulty moving to the living model from the non-living model for some selected struggling students who tended to spend much longer time with unsuccessful outcomes. Remedial training on non-living models may be a standard solution for the selective struggling students. However, we caution against this training approach given the following concerns in the practical setting: (1) How can we assess a student’s readiness for the transition given students already demonstrated mastery of the non-living model? (2) We cannot hold a student away from his/her clinical duties by keeping practicing in a laboratory environment on a non-living model. (3) A student cannot finish the designated curriculum offered...

### Table 1

| N=25 | Mean anastomosis time (min) and standard deviation | Patency rate at 30-min post-exercise | Correlation between time and arterial patency rate |
|------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Arterial anastomosis | 75.76 ± 30.71 | 18/25 | −.375, \( p = .033 \) |
| Venous anastomosis | 77.92 ± 28.31 | 14/25 | −.337, \( p = .05 \) |

### Table 2

| Group \((n=20)\) | Mean anastomosis time (min) | Patency rate | Mean blood flow at 60-min post-operation (ml/min)\# | Anastomotic holes observed |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 8–0, 140-micron needle, 0.4 metric* | 15.0 ± 5 | 5/5 | 1.16 ± 0.26 | 3/5 |
| 9–0, 100-micron needle, 0.3 metric* | 17.5 ± 2.6 | 5/5 | 0.96 ± 0.39 | 3/5 |
| 10–0, 70-micron needle, 0.2 metric* | 17.0 ± 1 | 5/5 | 1.01 ± 0.28 | 0/5 |
| 11–0, 50-micron needle, 0.1 metric* | 26.6 ± 4 | 5/5 | 1.4 ± 0.30 | 0/5 |

*All have 135-degree taper needle, nylon thread, 10 cm in length, made by Surgical Specialties Corporation; Wyomissing, PA

\#One-way ANOVA shows no significant difference in blood flow volume among groups \([F(3,16) = 2.259, p = .121]\)
by a structured program, potentially hurting his/her confidence. (4) It causes animal redundancy because we cannot identify the struggle after working on the animals. However, continuing the living exercise also poses issues in a practical setting as repetitive failure may also harm students’ confidence in practicing microsurgery techniques. Indeed, it is not uncommon for us to witness selective students struggling throughout the course of the training.

Here, we recognize a solution needed to bridge a gap between non-living and living training models. We believe the use of larger suture size can provide more training variability to ease the learning curve. Although no evidence or literature existed, we perceive the considerable ease to manipulate a larger sized suture when performing the exercise. In the event a student has progressed beyond non-living training models but still struggling to succeed in in vivo rat models, we propose instructors may consider allowing the use of larger suture sizes to facilitate student progression through a steep microsurgical learning curve, given the feasibility of sutures sizes up to 8–0 in the present finding. As struggling students begin to demonstrate mastery using larger suture sizes, instructors may consider transitioning a student to finer suture sizes required for the procedure parallel to students’ learning progression.

We showed in sole regard to basic rat microvascular exercises, the sizes of suture from 8–0 to traditionally accepted 10–0 and 11–0 did not affect a successful anastomosis outcome. This transition from larger to finer suture may be useful for facilitating student progress in demanding microsurgery courses.

While we believe 10–0 suture should remain its common use, we recommend using 9–0 suture for students who have some difficulties in completing their initial animal models training. In some extreme cases, an 8–0 is suitable for use too. After gaining the competency, the student can shift to 11–0 for more advanced training. Table 3 summarizes the authors’ proposed recommendations for the choice of suture size in early-stage microsurgery training.
Limitation

Microsurgery training requires attention to detail in every step to ensure the students can master and finesse their surgical skills. Due to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, we could not continue a more thorough investigation to compare the use of various suture sizes in training and their potential impact on microsurgery pedagogy. We suggest a future mixed-method study to prove its efficacy compared to the standard method of training.
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| Table 3 Authors’ recommendations for the choice of suture size |
| --- |
| Size of the suture | Authors’ recommendation |
| 8–0/9–0 | Students start with either 8–0 or 9–0 suture for non-biological models, such as plastic gloves or silicone tubes. After gaining competency under microscope, the student can move from 8–0 to 9–0, and eventually 10–0 to perform basic anastomosis exercises in non-living models, such as chicken thighs. |
| 10–0 | Students start with 10–0 for basic anastomosis exercises in living animal models, such as rat femoral or carotid vessels. If students encounter difficulty completing a procedure, consider switching students to 9–0 sutures (8–0 in extreme cases). |
| 11–0 | For advanced training (after students demonstrate competency with 10–0 sutures), request students use 11–0 sutures for basic anastomosis exercises. Emphasis should be focused on fine motor movements due to needle fragility. |