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Axially Symmetric U-O-Ln and U-O-U containing molecules from the control of uranyl reduction with simple f-block halides

Polly L. Arnold,[a] Bradley E. Cowie, [a] Marketa Suvova, [a] Markus Zegke,[a] Nicola Magnani,[b] Eric Colineau,[b] Jean-Christophe Griveau,[b] Roberto Caciuffo,[b] and Jason B. Love.[a]

Abstract: The reduction of U(VI) uranyl halides or amides by simple LnIII or UVI salts forms highly symmetrical, linear, oxo-bridged trinuclear U(VI)-LnIII-U(VI) and U(VI)-U(VI)-U(VI) complexes or linear LnIII-U(VI) polymers according to the stoichiometry and solvent choice. Reactions can be tuned to give one- or two-electron uranyl reduction. Some features of their reactivity and magnetism are discussed in the context of using a series of strongly oxo-coupled homo and heterometallic poly(f-block) chains to better understand fundamental electronic structure in the f-block.

The d^9(II) uranyl ion, [UO_2]^{2+}, is the most common form exhibited by uranium in molecular complexes; it is a water-soluble ion with linear, strongly bonded and unreactive oxo groups.[1] The reduction to [UO_2]^+ and then insoluble U(V) by metals, minerals or microbes is important for keeping it immobilized in the environment and out of groundwater, but the mechanisms for this are still not clear.[2] The U(V) uranyl ion can also provide better models for the highly radioactive and more oxo-basic f'-neptunyl and plutonyl ions found in nuclear waste.[3]

Two seminal, co-incident reports of the potassium-reduced coordination polymer ([UO_2(py)_3][K_2(py)_3]). A showed that ananerobic U(V) uranyl is stable against disproportionation,[4] since then significant advances have been made in isolating urany(V) complexes. The reductive oxo-functionalisation with Group 1,[5] Group 2,[6] d-block,[7, 8] rare earth,[9, 10] actinides,[11] and main group metals,[12] as well as silicon[13, 14] is also possible. The linearity of the f'-uranyl provides a rare opportunity in f-block chemistry to control the orientation of the magnetic vector, and uranyl(V)-transition metal single molecule magnets have been made through this oxo-bridging strategy.[15a, 15b, 15c]

Lanthanide/actinide complexes are promising candidates for single molecular magnetism,[16] and it has been proposed that an even higher degree of axial symmetry should produce exceptional molecular magnets.[17]

However, access to this new chemistry has almost exclusively been achieved through deployment of complicated polydentate ligands to saturate the equatorial coordination sphere of the uranyl ion.[18] The only examples of oxo-functionalisation of simple systems are from treatment of uranyl dichloride by a Group 1 reagent; these are the reduction by potassium to make A[19] and reduction by NaCH_3SiMe_3 followed by quenching with Me_3SiCl to make [U(VI)(OSiMe_3)_3]_2(OPPh_3)_2. B[20]

Here, we report how simple low oxidation state lanthanide and actinide salts can be used to oxo-coordinate and reduce simple uranyl(VI) salts to make new classes of stable, highly-symmetrical 4f- and 5f-iodo-bridged uranyl(V) and uranyl(VI) complexes.

The U(VI) uranyl complex [UO_2Cl_2(THF)_2] reacts with 1.25 equiv. of a strongly reducing LnIII halide; exemplified here by [SmI_3(THF)]_2 or DyI_2, in pyridine to form the reduced uranyl, U(VI)-LnIII-U(VI) complexes ([UO_2(py)_3][LnI_4]) (Ln = Sm (1-Sm, 40%), Dy (1-Dy, 67%), Scheme 1). The two (unisolated) by-products are LnCl_3 from the redox reaction and 0.25 equivalents of LnCl_2, which come from a halide exchange that ensures the products contain only iodide anions. Reactions carried out with 1 equiv of LnIII and additional iodide as Bu_4NI also make 1 but less cleanly (see SI). The formal oxidation states are confirmed by elemental analysis, FTIR spectroscopy and single crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 1 for 1-Sm and SI for 1-Dy). In agreement with the assigned formal oxidation states, an asymmetric [O=U=O] stretching frequency is located at 818 (1-Sm) and 825 cm^{-1} (1-Dy) (nujol). This indicates stronger U=O overlap in these monometallic [O=U=O] units than in dipotassium [O=U=O] in A (ν_{U=O} = 797 cm^{-1}, KBr)[21] although they are close to the diiodiihlated ([UO_2(py)_3][L_2(OTf)_3]). ν(U=O) = 816 cm^{-1}, pyridine) and [UO_2(OTf)(THF)]_2 (ν(U=O) = 812 cm^{-1}, pyridine) energies.[16]

When the U(VI)SmI_3 reaction is repeated in acetonitrile the polymeric analogue ([UO_2]_2[Sm(NCMe)_3])_2-2SmI_3 (Ln = Sm) is isolated, Scheme 1b. Elemental analysis repeatedly gives a formula of ([UO_2]_2[Sm(NCMe)_3])_2, even for samples dried at ambient pressure. The solid-state structure (Fig. 1b) shows that the iodide ligands now bind equatorially to the uranyl, and the solvent molecules to the harder Sm cation, in contrast to 1, but in accordance with the HSAB principle.

Once formed, polymeric [UO_2Sm] is no longer soluble, but FTIR spectra of the solid show that now both oxo groups of the uranyl are Ln-coordinated, the uranyl stretch is significantly weakened to 722 cm^{-1}. Unfortunately, the stronger reductant DyI_2 reacts with MeCN,[15] so we were unable to target 2-Dy.

Reactions between UO_2X_2(THF)_2 and two equivalents of strongly reducing DyI_3 or UVI form the linear, M-O-U'-O-M oxo-bridged trimers such as [UO_2]_2[UICl(py)_3]_2 4 (Scheme 1d, M = UIV, X = Cl). Additionally, simple uranyl compounds other than the dichloride can be used; e.g. [UO_2[Ni(SiMe_3)_2](THF)]_2 reacts with two DyI_3 to form the linear, symmetrical DyVI-U'O-DyVI complex ([UO_2]_2[DyI(py)_3]_2 3-Dy (see Figs. S14-16 for IR and Raman spectra).
When dissolved in pyridine both canary yellow 1-Sm and cherry-red 1-Dy give cherry red solutions. The UV/vis/NIR spectra exhibit strong, broadened absorptions with maxima at 461 nm (1-Sm \( \varepsilon = 2140 \) M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)) and 454 nm (1-Dy \( \varepsilon = 1249 \) M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)) and are assigned as \( \pi-\pi^* \) transitions. Additional \( \pi\)-electron transitions were also observed between 650–1500 nm for complexes 1-Sm (\( \varepsilon = 7–32 \) M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)) and 1-Dy (\( \varepsilon = 13–34 \) M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)). Unfortunately, once precipitated from solution, 2-Sm, 4, and 5-Sm (see below) have very low solubility in organic solvents, frustrating NMR or quantitative UV/Vis/NIR spectroscopic investigation (see SI for solid state spectra).

Preliminary studies show that the equatorial ligands can be exchanged. For example, the addition of 18-cr-6 to the reaction between [UO\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)(THF)\(_2\)] and [Sm\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)(THF)\(_2\)] in THF affords the crown-ether solvated U\(^{IV}\)–Ln\(^{III}\)–U\(^{IV}\) complex ([UO\(_2\)(18-cr-6);\(\text{Sm}_{1}\)]) 5-Sm (Scheme 2; see SI for characterising data). Alternatively, the pyridine ligands of 1-Sm can be substituted by 18-cr-6, underlining the stability of the U-O-Ln-O-U core.

Complexes 1 are isostuctural, with a linear, pseudo-\(D_{2d}\) [UO\(_2\)]–Ln–[UO\(_2\)] geometry (Figure 1). The uranium centres possess pentagonal bipyramidal geometry, retaining a trans di-oxo coordination (O–U–O = 177.8(3), U–O–Ln(1) = 176.1(3) and O–Ln(1)–O = 180.0(2)\(^\circ\)) in 1-Sm. In agreement with the formal \(U^{IV}\) oxidation state, both terminal U–O and Ln-bridged U–O distances are elongated with respect to uranyl dichloride; 1.802(6) and 1.915(6) \(\AA\) respectively in 1-Sm. The Sm–O and Dy–O bond lengths in 1 are consistent with a single bond (2.331(6) \(\AA\) in 1-Sm), but longer than those in our previously reported \(U^{IV}\)\(^{\text{end}}\) endo-oxo-Ln metallated \([\text{UO}_2\text{Ln}(py)(L^{\text{ES}})]\) C (Sm–O = 2.234(2) \(\AA\)) (L\(^{\text{ES}}\) = Pacman-shaped pyrrole macrocycle\(^{5a,7}\)) and exo-oxo-M-metallated \([\text{X}(M\text{-UO}_2)\text{Ln}](\text{THF}(\text{H}_2\text{L}))\) (\(M = \text{Li, K, Mg, Al, Zn, U, Np}\))\(^{11,3a,15,16}\) The average U–O bond length of 1.859 \(\AA\) in 1-Sm is longer than that of 1.838 \(\AA\) in the coordination polymer \([\text{UO}_2(py)_2][\text{K}_2(py)_2]\)_\(\infty\).\(^{4a}\)

The mean \(U^{IV}\)–O bonds in 2-Sm (Fig. 1b) are 1.876 \(\AA\) and Sm–O are 2.335 \(\AA\), in accordance with the assigned \(U^{III}\) formal oxidation states. The OUO unit remains essentially linear (OUO = 179.3(2)\(^\circ\)) but overall the metal oxo chain waves due to a distortion of the OSmO bond to 145.6° as six coordinated MeCN molecules cannot fit in a purely equatorial plane.

The X-ray structures of 3-Dy and 4 confirm that the double reduction and oxo-coordination remove any ‘uranyl ion’ character, but form strong M–O–M’ interactions. We propose that the preferential coordination of ligand to py to the central U\(^{IV}\) is further indication of the loss of uranyl character, since the use of the majority of the valence orbitals in forming U=O bonds is a characteristic actinyl ion bonding feature. The CI binding trans to the oxo in 4 is ascribed to its stronger inverse trans influence (ITI) than iodide.\(^{[15]}\)
The structures of 3-Dy-4py and 4-4py have pseudo-$D_{3h}$ or $C_{6v}$ symmetry, formally comprising $[\text{UO}_2\text{I}_4]^{\text{II}}$ and two pseudo-pentagonal bipyramidal $[\text{Dy}^{\text{III}}(\text{py})]_4$ or $[\text{U}^{\text{IV}}\text{Cl}(\text{py})]_4$ caps respectively, and with $\text{O}--\text{U}--\text{O} = 177.7(1)^\circ$ in 3-Dy and $\text{O}--\text{U}--\text{O} = 180.0^\circ$ in 4. The U-O bond lengths in 3-Dy are significantly shorter than those found for the central [UO$_2$I$_4$]$^{2-}$ unit in 4 (2.058(3) and 2.068(3) Å versus 2.166(5) Å), whereas the U-I bond lengths in 3-Dy are significantly longer than those in 4 (3.1425(4)–3.1618(4) Å versus 3.0436(8)–3.0572(8) Å). Furthermore, the Dy–O bonds in 3-Dy (2.126(3) and 2.119(3) Å) are significantly shorter than those in 1-Dy (2.270(5) Å), consistent with reduction of $U^{IV}$ to $U^{III}$ and a more symmetrical oxo-bridging in 3-Dy. In 4 the outer U(1)–O(1) bonds are much shorter than the central U(2)–O(1) in 4, 2.042(5) vs 2.166(5) Å, but both are shorter than the average U-O bond in the CSD (2.361 Å).

The susceptibility is significantly larger for 4 than for 1-Sm over the whole investigated temperature range, a fact that is at first counterintuitive given that the latter complex is made up of three Kramers’ ions whereas the former contains three non-Kramers ions. This is explained by considering that the free-ion effective magnetic moment $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ of $U^{IV}$ is much larger than that of both $U^{III}$ and $\text{Sm}^{II}$, and that the high-symmetry, linear geometry of 4 cannot completely remove the degeneracy of the low-energy ligand-field levels (and therefore cannot isolate a non-magnetic singlet as the ground state). Furthermore, whereas the susceptibility for 1-Sm is essentially featureless, the $\chi T$ vs $T$ plot for 4 shows a clear upturn between 8 and 6 K followed by a sharp drop upon further decreasing the temperature. Such an upturn is usually considered as a signature of ferromagnetic coupling, but it is more likely that each of the two outer $U^{IV}$ interacts antiferromagnetically with the central one, whilst also carrying a larger magnetic moment. Indeed, fitting the high-temperature part of the inverse susceptibility of 4 (Fig. S6) with a Curie-Weiss law $\chi^{-1} = \beta(T - \Theta)/\mu_{\text{eff}}^2$ gives $\mu_{\text{eff}}^2 = 21.4 \mu_B^2$ and a negative Curie-Weiss temperature $\Theta = -36.2$ K, which indicates the presence of antiferromagnetic interactions. The effective magnetic moment is only slightly larger than that for two $U^{IV}$ ions and much lower than for three. A similar situation was found in the trinuclear Np$^{IV}$ neptunyl complex $[\text{Np}^{\text{IV}}\text{O}_2\text{Cl}_2]_2[\text{Np}^{\text{III}}\text{O}_2\text{Cl}(\text{THF})]_2$ including the sharp downturn in $\chi T$ at very low temperature which was attributed to magnetic saturation. Since this Np complex was also a single-molecule magnet with a high energy barrier to magnetic relaxation (about 100 cm$^{-1}$), we investigated the ac susceptibility of 4, but did not
find any significant slowing down of the magnetic relaxation processes (Fig. S7).

An a priori prediction of which Ln or An salts would be capable of one or two electron uranyl reduction is complicated by the presence of strongly coordinating solvents, additional halides, a known propensity for these oxophilic metals to rapidly change coordination geometry, number, and their Lewis acidity. For example, the coordination of Lewis acidic Li⁺, boranes, or 4f-cations to the U⁶⁺ uranyl oxo-group shifts the U⁶⁺ reduction by as much as +600 mV\(^{[5a,10d]}\) and uranyl iodides are easier to reduce than their chloride analogues; UO₂I₂(pyr)\(_\text{10}^+\) can be reduced by the organic anions of the normally-stable organometallic U(η-C₃H₈)₂, to form a hexa-uranium cluster U₆O₆I₈(pyr)\(_\text{10}^+\).\(^{[21]}\)

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that redox reactions between readily accessible lanthanide and actinyl halides and related simple salts can produce linear oxo-coupled 4f/5f oligomers or infinite chains. These can be tuned for one or two-electron reduction, and mono- or di-oxo functionalisation. The reductants can be 4f (exemplified by Sm⁴⁺ and Dy⁴⁺) or 5f cations (exemplified by U⁶⁺). Some post-synthetic modification has been demonstrated through simple L donor ligand exchange. The complexes are thermally stable, and most can be dissolved in a variety of aprotic solvents. Given their inherent symmetry and simplicity, these complexes may be an interesting and flexible system from which spectroscopic studies can elucidate more of the fundamental electronic structural details that are still missing for the f-block, in particular for the f⁺ actinyl ions; preliminary magnetic analyses show strong antiferromagnetic coupling in the all-U system. Work is in progress to extrapolate these methods to the transuranic neptunyl and plutonyl cations, and to other combinations to explore the electronic structures of these systems.
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**COMMUNICATION**

**Stuck on U:** Simple, tuneable reactions between Ln(II) iodides and uranyl salts in pyridine or acetonitrile generate axially symmetric, one-or two-electron reduced, discrete or infinite, oxo-coupled 4f/5f systems, allowing fundamental electronic or magnetic properties to be tuned and studied.