New freshwater plesiosaurian materials from the Middle Jurassic Xintiangou Formation of the Sichuan Basin, southwestern China
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Abstract
Sichuan Basin is very famous for the Mesozoic reptiles, especially the Jurassic dinosaurs. Here, we report some isolated plesiosaurian teeth and vertebrae newly excavated from the Middle Jurassic Xintiangou Formation in Yunyang county, Chongqing City, the northeastern region of the Sichuan Basin, southwestern China. The specimens are referred to Pliosauroidea based on the combination of the following features: the circular cross-section of the tooth crown, apicobasal ridges fully covering the enamel surface and reaching to the apex, the short and amphicoelous centrum, the centrum shorter than wide or tall, and the absence of a keel on the lateral surface of the cervical centrum. With the fragmentary nature, it is undetermined whether the Yunyang specimens belong to a known taxon or represent a new species of Plesiosauria. These plesiosaurian specimens further demonstrate that the freshwater plesiosaurians are common in the Jurassic deposits of the Sichuan Basin. For a comprehensive knowledge of the plesiosaurians of the basin in origin, distribution and diversity, to discover new and more complete specimens is necessarily the first priority.
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1 Introduction
Plesiosaurians are Mesozoic aquatic reptiles generally with a “fish-shaped” body plan. They show a short stiff trunk, a relatively short tail, four limbs modified into flippers, and a highly variable neck length and skull size. Based on the neck length and skull size, plesiosaurians are generally grouped into two major assemblages, i.e. Pliosauroidea (for those short necked and large headed) and Plesiosauroidae (for those small headed and long necked) as well as some intermediate morphotypes (Brown 1981; O’Keefe 2002; Ketchum and Benson 2010; Benson et al. 2013a, 2013b; Benson and Druckenmiller 2014). Plesiosaurians are distributed globally, mainly in the Jurassic and Cretaceous marine deposits (Welles 1943; Tarlo 1960; Brown 1981; Sues 1987; Tschanz 1989; Storrs 1991; Sato 1998; Sato et al. 2006; Benson and Druckenmiller 2014; Cau and Fanti 2014; Sato et al. 2018; Zverkov et al. 2018). Plesiosaurian remains from freshwater environments are comparatively rare, which mainly come from England (Andrews 1922; Kear and Barrett 2011; Benson et al. 2012, 2013a), Germany (Hampe 2013; Hornung et al. 2013; Sachs et al. 2017), Canada (Sato et al. 2005; Sato and Wu 2006; Vandermark et al. 2006; Vavrek et al. 2014), Australia (Bartholomai 1966; Thulborn and Warren 1980; Wiffen et al. 1995; Kear 2006, 2007, 2012; Vajda and Raine 2010; Benson et al. 2013b), New Zealand (Cruickshank and Fordyce 2002), China (Young 1942, 1944, 1973; Dong 1980; Zhang 1985; Wu 1987; Xiao et al. 1991; Gao et al. 2004, 2019; Peng et al. 2005), and South Africa (Cruickshank 1997).
In China, all reported plesiosaurian remains come from freshwater deposits and are very fragmentary with no skull preserved. They were known from the Lower to Middle Jurassic formations of the Sichuan Basin (Young 1942, 1944; Dong 1980; Zhang 1985; Gao et al. 2004; Peng et al. 2005), the Upper Triassic Shezi Formation of Yunnan Province (Xiao et al. 1991), the Upper Cretaceous Napai Formation of Guangxi Province (Hou et al. 1975), the Middle Jurassic Xinhe Formation of Gansu Province (Gao et al. 2019) and the Lower Cretaceous Wu’erhe Formation of Xinjiang Province (Young 1973; Wu 1987). The Guangxi plesiosaurian specimens of Hou et al. (1975) originally named as *Sinopliosaurus fusuiensis* were reconsidered as a spinosaurid theropod dinosaur (Buffetaut et al. 2008). The Yunnan plesiosaurian specimens of Xiao et al. (1991) represented by three incomplete teeth were assigned to a new species of *Sinopliosaurus sheziensis*. The Gansu plesiosaurian material of Gao et al. (2019) was considered as an indeterminate genus and species within the Plesiosauria. The plesiosaurian specimens of Xinjiang were referred to *Sinopliosaurus weiyuanensis* Young 1944. The plesiosaurian specimens from the Sichuan Basin were all assigned to Pliosaurioidea, namely *Sinopliosaurus weiyuanensis* (Young 1944), *Bishanopliosaurus youngi* (Dong 1980), *Bishanopliosaurus zigongensis* (Gao et al. 2004) and *Yuzhoupliosaurus chengjiangensis* (Zhang 1985).

Here, we report some plesiosaurian specimens from the lower Middle Jurassic Xintiangu Formation in Yunyang county, Chongqing City, the northeastern Sichuan Basin, southwestern China.

2 Geological background

Plesiosaurian specimens of Yunyang county were collected in Laojun village, Pu’an town, Yunyang county, Chongqing, the northeastern Sichuan Basin, southwestern China (Fig. 1). The basin is a Mesozoic continental basin and famous for abundant Jurassic dinosaur fossils.

---

Fig. 1 Maps showing the locality of plesiosauroid fossils in Yunyang. a A sketch map of China showing the geographical locations of Sichuan Basin and Chongqing City. The base map of China is modified after the Standard Map Service of the National Administration of Surveying, Mapping and Geoinformation of China (http://bzdt.ch.mnr.gov.cn/) (No. GS (2016)1585); b Locality of Yunyang county (marked by a black rectangle); c Locality of Pu’an town in Yunyang (marked by a black rectangle and plesiosauroid silhouette); d Fossil sites in Pu’an town
and tracks (Young 1941; Peng et al. 2005; Wang 2008; Wang et al. 2008, 2010; Ye et al. 2012; Li 2016). Our fieldwork shows that the fossiliferous strata belong to the Jurassic Xintiangou Formation. The formation is widely distributed in the Sichuan Basin, which conformably contacts the underlying Ziliujing Formation and the overlying Xiashaximiao Formation. In Yunyang area, the Xintiangou Formation is more than 424.7 m in thickness. It consists of purplish red argillite and yellowish grey siltstones. The presence of abundant bivalves indicates that the formation originated from a typical freshwater lacustrine environment. The U–Pb dating suggests that the formation is about 180–170 Ma corresponding to the late Toarcian–Aalenian (Li et al. 2019).

The fossil specimens of this study came from a steep slope (with a strike direction of 335° and a dip angle of 26°–35°) of a hill of the upper Xintiangou Formation. The fossiliferous layer varies in thickness from 0.3 m to 0.7 m and reaches maximally 1 m in certain places. The fossil specimens are abundant but isolated and disarticulated, being scattered around or not closely associated. They are concentrated in four sites of the fossilizations layer within a maximal distance of about 450 m (Fig. 1d). The specimens vary in size and the surface of teeth bears clear abrasions. These specimens show a heterochthonous burial state and possibly experienced a long-distance transportation before accumulated and buried together in Yunyang area. With plesiosaurian specimens, there are also rich dinosaur bones discovered together, such as those of a basal sauropodomorphs (Tan et al. 2020), of an ornithopod (Li et al. 2019), as well as much unstudied.

3 Materials and methods
3.1 Specimens
All plesiosaurian specimens are housed in Chongqing Laboratory of Geoheritage Protection and Research (CLGPR), No. 208 Hydrogeological and Engineering Geological Team, Chongqing Bureau of Geological and Mineral Resource Exploration and Development, with a catalogue number of CLGPR V00004. Among the preserved specimens, we selected four teeth, seven vertebrae and two ribs from four sites as a base for this study because they are better preserved than others and can represent the morphotypes of plesiosaurs of the upper Xintiangou Formation in Yunyang area. Of the seven vertebrae, three (18YP1305-1, 18YP0808-8 and 18YP2014-3) belong to the cervical section, one (18YP0808-7) comes from the dorsal section and the other three (17YP1609-7, 18YP2306-1 and 18YP4125-1) belong to the caudal section of the vertebral column based on the position of the transverse process for the rib. In plesiosaurs, the transverse process (rib facet) is entirely located on the lateral side of the centrum or never reaches the suture of the centrum with the neural arch in the cervical vertebrae (see Brown 1981: fig. 8; Sato et al. 2003: fig. 1a). Contrarily, the transverse process is entirely placed on the lateral side of the neural arch in dorsal vertebrae (see Brown 1981: figs. 9, 11; Benson et al. 2012: fig. 1). The position of the transverse process overlaps the suture of the centrum and neural arch in the sacral vertebrae and anterior caudal vertebrae (see Brown 1981: fig. 12; Sato et al. 2003: fig. 2), but entirely back to the lateral side of the centrum in posterior caudal vertebrae (see Sachs et al. 2016: fig. 17).

According to Brown (1981), in adults of plesiosaurs, the centra are strongly amphicoelous and central margins are more rounded and rugose. The centra from Yunyang are moderately amphicoelous and not fused with their neural arches, which indicate that they most probably represent juvenile individuals.

3.2 Methods
Measurements are taken using a calliper from the true specimens, and photographed using Canon D530 camera. Images are prepared by Adobe Photoshop CS6 and CorelDRAW X4. Fossil’s site data were collected by us in the fieldwork.

4 Systematic Palaeontology
Sauropterygia Owen 1860
Plesiosauria de Blainville 1835
Pliosauroidea Welles 1943, sensu O’Keefe 2001
Gen. et sp. indet.

4.1 Locality and horizon
Laojun village, Pu’an town, Yunyang county, Chongqing, China; lower middle Jurassic, upper part of the Xintiangou Formation.

4.2 Description
4.2.1 Teeth
All teeth collected are represented by the crown portion. The terminology used to describe the morphology and outer enamel structures here follows Zverkov et al. (2018). Tooth crowns are robust. Their enamel surfaces are fully covered by apicobasal ridges or striations. They vary in size (height from 20 mm to 55 mm), and the width-to-length ratio of tooth crowns ranges from 0.28 to 0.4. As represented by the four teeth (Table 1), the teeth are slightly curved linguodistally (Figs. 2, 3). The apex is not sharply pointed because it was worn off. The apicobasal ridges are almost regularly distributed around the entire surface of the crown. Most of the assessable ridges extend through the whole apicobasal height of the crown. The labial ridges are slightly more distantly spaced than their lingual counterparts are. In apical view, most of the ridges reach to the apex. On the crown
base, the ridges appear vermicular. The crown is conical and nearly circular in cross-section. Abrasion traces are present among the apicobasal ridges, which were possibly caused by a long-distance transportation or predation behaviour. In tooth 18YP1302-1, there is an arc-shaped worn facet and the ridge branching is present in two places around the base of the crown (Fig. 2). Abrasion of some ridges occurs on the basal part of the crown surface. Teeth are widely distributed in sites 2–4.

According Gao et al. (2019), the tooth crowns of a large body-sized plesiosaurs are not less than 36 mm in length (height), while in small body-sized individuals, the tooth crowns range from 4.5 mm to 54 mm. As shown in Table 1, crowns of some teeth of the Yunyang plesiosaurians reach 55 mm in height, which suggests that there were large-sized plesiosaurians (possibly more than 4 m long) lived in Yunyang during the early Middle Jurassic.

4.2.2 Cervical vertebrae

Of the three cervical vertebrae described here, cervical 18YP1305-1 comes from site 2. Its centrum is preserved while its neural arch is missing. The centrum is moderately amphicoelous (Fig. 3). The anterior articular surface is deep and the posterior one is shallow. The centrum is shorter than wide or high, with a length-width ratio of 1:1.85 and a length-height ratio of 1:1.6 (see Table 1). The transverse process for the rib is moderately developed on each side of the centrum; its cross-section is oval in outline, with diameters of 22 mm and
14 mm, respectively. The process is ventrally positioned and extends downwards and backwards. The ventral surface of the centrum bears a weak keel (antero-posteriorly oriented) which only extends to the half-length of the centrum. Two small foramina are present on each side of the ventral surface. In dorsal view, the articular facet for the neural arch on each side is deeply concave. Margins of the centrum are obscured because of erosion during transportation before the vertebra was covered by sediments.

Cervical vertebra 18YP0808-8 is from site 4. Its centrum is well preserved, with the upper part antero-posteriorly compressed (Fig. 3). The centrum is gently amphicoelous. It is shorter than wide or high, with a length-width ratio of 1:1.75 and a length-height ratio of 1:1.7 (see Table 1). The transverse process for the rib is partly preserved and the shape of its cross-section is obscured due to damage. The ventral surface bears a weakly developed ridge, which appears thicker compared with that of centrum 18YP1305-1. Three foramina can be seen on the ventral surface, two on the left side. In dorsal view, the articular facet for the neural arch on each side is very concave but deformed during preservation. It is difficult to see whether the facet merges with the transverse process owing to poor preservation. Margins of the centrum are obscured due to erosion during transportation before the vertebra was covered by sediments.

Cervical vertebra 18YP2014-3 is also from site 4. The vertebra preserves centrum only (Fig. 3). It is strongly amphicoelous. The concave anterior articular surface is convex around the margin and deeply hollow at the centre. The concave posterior articular surface is slightly convex around the margin and shallowly depressed inwards. The centrum is sub-circle in cross-section. It is shorter than wide or tall, with a length-width ratio of 1:1.6 and a length-height ratio of 1:1.3 (see Table 1). The transverse process is moderately developed; its facet is oval in outline, with a long axis of 12 mm and a short axis of 10 mm, respectively. The ventral surface is gently convex and bears no foramen. There is no ventral keel developed. In dorsal view, the articular facet for the neural arch on each side is deeply concave and distantly spaced from its counterpart. The rib facet is dorsally very close to the articular facet for the neural arch, indicating that 18YP2014-3 represents a posterior cervical vertebra. Margins around the centrum are obscured owing to erosion during transportation before sediments covered the vertebra.

### 4.2.3 Dorsal vertebra

Dorsal vertebra 18YP0808-7 also comes from site 4. It is the only dorsal vertebra showing some morphological features. Its centrum is well preserved (Fig. 3). The centrum is evidently amphicoelous. As in the aforementioned cervical vertebrae, the centrum is shorter than wide or high. It is very short, about half of its width (ratio of 1:1.9). The length-height ratio reaches 1:1.6 (see Table 1). There is no transverse process for the rib on the lateral surface, indicating that it belongs to the dorsal section of the vertebral column. The ventral surface bears three small foramina but no keel. The smooth lateral and ventral surfaces of the centrum are strongly concave. The anterior and posterior articular surfaces are circular. In dorsal view, the articular facet for the neural arch on each side is evenly concave antero-posteriorly. Margins of the centrum are obscured due to erosion during transportation before sediments covered the vertebra.
Fig. 3 Vertebrae and ribs of pliosauroid materials from Yunyang. a In dorsal view; b In ventral view; c In lateral view; d In anterior view; e In posterior view; f Rib head facet of 18YP3026-1. Scale bar = 5 cm.
4.2.4 Caudal vertebrae

Of the three caudal vertebrae, vertebra 17YP1609–7 was collected from site 1. Only the centrum is preserved (Fig. 3). The centrum is moderately amphicoelous. The centrum has a length-width ratio of about 1:1.6 and a length-height ratio of about 1:1.7, respectively. The lower part of the lateral surface is concave inwards and the rough upper part represents the rib facet which occupies the upper centrum in addition to the lower neural arch. In dorsal view, the concave articular facet for the neural arch on each side shows a triangular depression. The ventral surface is flat and bears one big foramen at the midline. There are two pairs of facets for the chevron on the anteroventral and posteroventral edges of the centrum. The anterior pair is much bigger than the posterior pair. The transverse process is broad and reaches the articular facet for the neural arch, which means that the transverse process crossed the suture of the centrum to the neural arch. By extension, it indicates that this centrum represents an anterior caudal vertebra. Margins of the centrum are obscured due to erosion during transportation before sediments covered the vertebra.

Vertebra 18YP2306-1 comes from site 3. The centrum is preserved while the neural arch is missing (Fig. 3). The centrum is strongly amphicoelous and short, with a nearly circular cross-section. The length-width ratio is similar to the length-height ratio, separately reaching to 1:1.4 and 1:1.5 (see Table 1). The lateral surface is gently smooth and concave, with the rib facet positioned dorsally and meeting the facet for the neural arch. The latter suggests that vertebra 18YP2306-1 probably represents an anterior caudal vertebra. The dorsal surface for the neural arch is shallowly concave. The ventral surface is very flat and bears a big foramen on each side to the midline. The anterior pair of the chevron facets is much bigger than the posterior pair. Margins around the centrum are relatively rough and obscured due to erosion during transportation before sediments covered the vertebra.

Vertebra 18YP4125-1 was collected from site 2. The centrum is preserved. It is very short and small, with a circular cross-section (Fig. 3). It is weakly amphicoelous. As in 18YP2306-1, the length-width ratio is close to the length-height ratio (about 1:1.6 and 1:1.65, respectively). The lateral surface is smooth and nearly flat. There is a nub-like pronounce on the lateral surface, which may represent a remnant of a transverse process. If so, this centrum is one of the posterior-most section of the caudal vertebrae. The lateral surface is naturally transitional to ventral surface with no concavity inwards. The ventral surface is even weakly convex and bears no chevron facets but a pair of foramina. The dorsal articular facet for the neural arch on each side is deeply concave. Margins around the centrum are relatively rough and rounded in outline, which may have been exaggerated by erosion during transportation before sediments covered the vertebra.

4.2.5 Ribs

Ribs 18YP3026-1 and 18YP4034-1 are both from site 4 (Fig. 3). The ribs are incomplete, with distal portion missing. The rib head is moderately enlarged, with a slightly concave facet for the transverse process of the vertebrae. The rib head facet of 18YP3026-1 is longitudinal ovoid, with 23 mm and 32 mm in diameter, respectively. The rib shaft is curved and clavate, and the cross-section is almost circular. The inner surface of the ribs twists slightly. In morphology, the ribs are similar to that of B. youngi (Peng et al. 2005) and considered as two dorsal ribs based on its length and single-headed appearance.

5 Results and discussion

Tarlo (1960) concluded that the teeth, mandibles, cervical vertebrae, scapulae and epipodials (radii, ulnae, tibiae and fibulae) were much useful in making comparisons among different species; the propodials (humeri and femora) were of very limited value to taxonomic comparisons; and the cervical vertebrae, particularly the presence or absence of a ventral keel, could best affect specific distinctions. Thus, we herein use the morphological characters of the teeth and cervical vertebrae to make comparisons with other taxa.

In Plesiosauroidea, the tooth ornament is reduced, and the apicobasal ridges mostly interrupt and do not extend to the apex, while in Pliosauroidia, the tooth apicobasal ridges are dense and most extend from the base to the apex (Tarlo 1960; Brown 1981). The plesiosaurian teeth from Yunyang are all well decorated with the apicobasal ridges arranged in the pliosauroid pattern, thus they are reasonable to be referred to Pliosauroidia. The teeth of Pliosauroidia are commonly divided into two types (Fischer et al. 2015). In one type, tooth crowns are conical with a circular cross-section but no carinae on enamel surface. Pliosaurids with this type of teeth mainly lived in the Early Jurassic to Middle Jurassic. The other type has been considered to be derived, the crowns with a subtrihedral-trihedral cross-section and one to three carinae on the enamel surface. Pliosaurids with such a type of teeth mainly lived in the Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous (Tarlo 1960; Ketchum and Benson 2010; Kear and Barrett 2011; Kear 2012; Benson et al. 2013a; Benson and Druckenmiller 2014; Fischer et al. 2015; Sassoon et al. 2015; Madzia 2016; Madzia and Machalski 2017; Zverkov et al. 2018). With our comparisons, the plesiosaurian teeth from Yunyang are morphologically compatible with those of Jurassic pliosaurids, showing a robust and curved tooth crown that is conical in outline,
usually has a worn off on the tip and apicobasal ridges which are closely spaced, reach to the apex and fully cover the enamel surface. In addition, there are few or no ridge branching and no carinae on the crown surface (Tarlo 1960; Brown 1981; Benson and Druckenmiller 2014).

Compared to the conical tooth crowns of non-marine Pliosauroidea from China, England, Canada, and Australia (Kear 2006, 2012), the pliosauroid tooth crowns from Yunyang are different in ornaments and general morphology. The tooth tip is not as pointed as in *Y. chengjiangensis* (Zhang 1985). The crown is relatively shorter and less curved than in *B. youngi* (Peng et al. 2005). The ridges are more densely spaced than in the plesiosaur teeth from Gansu (Gao et al. 2019). The ridges are not as often interrupted as in *Simolestes nowackianus* (Huene 1938) which was further reinterpreted as a telosauroid crocodile rather than a pliosaur (Bardet and Hua 1996). The ridges extend much more basal on the crown than in *Simolestes vorax* (Andrews 1909). There are more ridges reaching to the apex than in *Lio-pleurodon ferox* (Sauvage 1873). There are relatively more ridges (or more densely spaced) on the enamel surface than in *Liopleurodon pachydeirus* (Seeley 1869) and *Pliosaurus andrewsi* (Tarlo 1960). There are no inserted ridges that are seen in *Peloneustes philarchus* (Seeley 1869) from England (Tarlo 1960; Madzia 2016). When compared with those taxa from the Cretaceous, Yunyang pliosaurid teeth are very different in that there are most ridges reaching to the crown tip, no short ridges occurring in the middle of the crown and no ridges reduction on the labial surface. Those features are opposite in an elasmosaur (a pliosaurid) from the Lower Cretaceous, Australia (Benson et al. 2006). There are more ridges reaching to the apex and covering the labial surface than in the pliosaurid teeth from the Lower Cretaceous, Australia (Benson et al. 2013b). Similarly, the differences between the Yunyang pliosaurid teeth and the aforementioned Cretaceous taxa are also true when compared with pliosaurid teeth from the Lower Cretaceous of Germany (Hampe 2013), South Africa (Cruickshank 1997) and southern England (Kear and Barrett 2011). Following the above comparisons, the pliosaurid teeth from Yunyang cannot be referred to any known pliosaurids from the Sichuan Basin (such as *Y. chengjiangensis* and *B. youngi*) or an unnamed plesiosaurid from Gansu, which only have teeth preserved. The Yunyang pliosaurid teeth also differ from those of the Upper Triassic Shezi Formation in that the crown ridges are much more densely spaced in the former than in the latter. *Sinopliosaurus weiyuanensis* from the Sichuan Basin only has one incomplete tooth preserved. This tooth bears very fine ridges (stria-tions), an oval cross-section and a labial-lingually unсимmetrical crown (Young 1944: Fig. 3b in Plate II). These tooth features indicate that the plesiosaurid teeth from Yunyang cannot be referred to *S. weiyuanensis* either. Since other Chinese pliosaurids do not have teeth preserved, we can only make a comparison of the preserved vertebrae between those forms and the Yunyang pliosaurid.

All the plesiosaurian vertebral centra found in Yunyang show a set of characters more similar to pliosaurs than to plesiosaurs. In nearly all short-necked pliosaurid forms, the anterior cervical vertebrae do not have a lateral keel, which is almost invariably present in long-necked pliosaurid forms, although there is a high degree of variability in ontogeny, intraspecific and taxonomic variations (Brown 1981; Benson and Druckenmiller 2014). It also should be noticed that the ventral keel might disappear towards the back of the neck in all plesiosaurs (Brown 1981; O’Keefe and Hiller 2006; Benson and Druckenmiller 2014). We herein take the absence of the lateral keel of the cervical centrum for a diagnostic character and further consider that the vertebrae from Yunyang most probably come from a pliosaurid. The plesiosaurian dorsal and caudal centra from Yunyang also possibly belong to a pliosaurid if the cervical vertebrae from the same sites are considered to represent a pliosaurid. These vertebral centra are clearly shorter than wide or tall as in many pliosaurs. In most plesiosaurs, the centrum can be as long as wide (Brown 1981; O’Keefe and Hiller 2006).

Compared with those Chinese pliosaurs with vertebrae preserved, the cervical centra with a ventral keel and foramina are most similar to that of *B. youngi* (Dong 1980; Sato et al. 2003). The dorsal centrum without a ventral keel is similar to that of *B. zigongensis* (Gao et al. 2004) and *Y. chengjiangensis* (Zhang 1985). *B. youngi* comes from the Early Jurassic Ziliujing Formation, well below the Xintiangou Formation that yields the pliosaurid *Simolestes weiyuanensis* (Young 1944: Fig. 3b in Plate II). These tooth features indicate that the plesiosaurid teeth from Yunyang cannot be referred to *S. weiyuanensis* either. Since other Chinese pliosaurids do not have teeth preserved, we can only make a comparison of the preserved vertebrae between those forms and the Yunyang pliosaurid.
layer of the Xintiangu Formation but also its fossil locality is not too far (about 250 km) from the site of the Yunyang plesiosaurid sites. This indicates that the Yunyang plesiosaurid specimens might be referable to *Y. chengjiangensis*. Again, to verify this needs to find new or more complete specimens. From the above discussion, the plesiosaurid specimens from Yunyang can be only referred to Plesiosauroidea in taxonomy as an indeterminate genus and species.

It has to be noted that with the Yunyang plesiosaurid, there are at least five plesiosaurids reported from the Jurassic in the Sichuan Basin, which separately come from the Daanzhai (Taanchai) Member (limestone) of the Lower Jurassic Ziliujing Formation (*B. youngi*), from the upper part of the lower Middle Jurassic Xintiangu Formation (*Y. chengjiangensis* and the Yunyang plesiosaurid), and from the upper Middle Jurassic Xiaxaximiao Formation (*B. zigongensis*). All these named or unnamed plesiosaurids are fragmentary, their taxonomic status (except for *B. youngi*) has not been revised, and their phylogenetic relationships have not been considered in any phylogenetic analysis. Under such a situation, it is too early to illustrate the origin and diversity of these plesiosaurs in Sichuan Basin. However, it is clear that after the Triassic–Jurassic extinction, some basal members of the marine pistosaurids had to adapt to a freshwater environment in the Early Jurassic and survived at least to the end of the Middle Jurassic in Sichuan Basin.

6 Conclusions

We attribute the teeth, vertebrae and ribs specimens from Yunyang to Plesiosauroidea based on the morphological features of teeth and cervical vertebrae. These specimens are too fragmentary to represent a new or a known species. Discovery of new and better-preserved specimens from the same formation is necessary to verify the Yunyang plesiosaurid specimens in morphology and taxonomy.

The tooth crown morphology of the plesiosaurs of Yunyang further supports the view that a high dental disparity occurred in plesiosaurs before crossing the Jurassic–Cretaceous boundary. The present knowledge on the Chinese plesiosaurs is too little to illustrate the origin or diversity of the plesiosaurians in the Sichuan Basin during the Jurassic, but it is certain that plesiosaurians survived to the end of the Middle Jurassic in the basin after adaptation to a freshwater environment during the Triassic–Jurassic transition.
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