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Abstract
Background Sovateltide (IRL-1620, PMZ-1620), an endothelin-B receptor agonist, has been previously shown to increase cerebral blood flow, have anti-apoptotic activity and produce neurovascular remodeling when administered intravenously following acute cerebral ischemic stroke in rats. Its safety and tolerability were confirmed in healthy human volunteers (CTRI/2016/11/007509).

Objective Our objective was to determine the safety, tolerability and efficacy of sovateltide as an addition to standard of care (SOC) in patients with acute cerebral ischemic stroke.

Methods A prospective, multicentric, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study was conducted to compare the safety (primary objective) and efficacy (secondary objective) of sovateltide in patients with acute cerebral ischemic stroke. Adult males or females aged 18–70 years who had experienced a radiologically confirmed ischemic stroke within the last 24 h were included in the study. Patients with intracranial hemorrhage and those receiving endovascular therapy were excluded. Patients randomized to the sovateltide group received three doses of sovateltide (each dose 0.3 µg/kg) administered as an intravenous bolus over 1 min at an interval of 3 ± 1 h on day 1, day 3 and day 6 (total dose of 0.9 µg/kg/day). Patients randomized to the placebo group received an equal volume of saline. Every patient in both groups received SOC for stroke. Efficacy was evaluated using neurological outcomes based on National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), modified Rankin Scale (mRS) and Barthel Index (BI) scores from day 1 through day 90. Quality of life was measured using the Euro-QoL-5 Dimensions (EQ-5D) and Stroke-Specific Quality of Life (SSQoL) at 60 and 90 days of follow-up.

Results A total of 40 patients with acute cerebral ischemic stroke were enrolled in this study, of whom 36 completed the 90-day follow-up. Patients received saline (n = 18; 11 male and 7 female) or sovateltide (n = 18; 15 male and 3 female) within 24 h of onset of stroke. The number of patients receiving investigational drug within 20 h of onset of stroke was 14/18 in the saline group and 10/18 in the sovateltide group. The baseline characteristics and SOC in both cohorts was similar. Sovateltide was well-tolerated, and all patients received complete treatment with no incidence of drug-related adverse events. Hemodynamic, biochemical or hematological parameters were not affected by sovateltide. Sovateltide treatment resulted in improved mRS and BI scores on day 6 compared with day 1 (p < 0.0001), an effect not seen in the saline group. Sovateltide increased the frequency of favorable outcomes at 3 months. An improvement of ≥ 2 points on the mRS was observed in 60 and 40% of patients in the sovateltide and saline groups, respectively (p = 0.0519; odds ratio [OR] 5.25). An improvement on the BI of ≥ 40 points was seen in 64 and 36% of the sovateltide and saline groups, respectively (p = 0.0112; OR 12.44). An improvement of ≥6 points on the NIHSS was seen in 56% of patients in the sovateltide group versus 43% in the saline group (p = 0.2714; OR 2.725). The number of patients with complete recovery (defined as an NIHSS score of 0 and a BI of 100) was significantly greater (p < 0.05) in the sovateltide group than in the saline group. An assessment of complete recovery using an mRS score of 0 did not show a statistically significant difference between the treatment groups. Sovateltide treatment resulted in improved quality of life as measured by the EQ-5D and SSQoL on day 90.

Conclusion Sovateltide was safe and well-tolerated and resulted in improved neurological outcomes in patients with acute cerebral ischemic stroke 90 days post-treatment.

Trial Registration The study is registered at CTRI/2017/11/010654 and NCT04046484.
1 Introduction

Stroke is the fifth most common cause of death in the USA and is also an important cause of serious long-lasting disability. Ischemic stroke caused by arterial occlusion is responsible for most strokes [1]. The currently available treatment for acute cerebral ischemic stroke includes tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) and mechanical thrombectomy. However, the use of tPA is limited to a short time window of < 4.5 h from the onset of symptoms [2], and it also has a 4.9% risk of intracranial hemorrhage [3]. Mechanical thrombectomy was limited to 6 h after onset of stroke symptoms but has recently been extended up to 24 h [4]. Limitations of time, risk of bleeding and involvement of larger blood vessels for thrombectomy restricts current treatment to only about 5% of patients. New effective drugs for acute cerebral ischemic stroke to alleviate neurological deficits and repair cerebral damage are urgently required. Significant effort is being made to understand the complex pathophysiology of stroke, and various mechanisms are being explored, including anti-coagulation, neuroprotection and neuroregeneration [5–8]. Despite many encouraging preclinical results, numerous potential neurovascular protectants failed to demonstrate beneficial effects in clinical trials [6, 9]. The reason for these failures could be the complex pathophysiology of ischemic stroke, which involves hypoxia, vascular damage, inflammation, apoptosis and other events leading to neural cell damage and functional impairment of the brain. Therefore, a new approach is needed with the potential to preserve salvageable brain tissue, minimize complications, and act as secondary prevention.

Endothelin-1 (ET-1) levels have been reported to be elevated in the blood and brain tissues following cerebral ischemia [10, 11]. Since ET-1 has been described as a potent vasoconstrictor acting via endothelin A (ET_A) receptors, it was hypothesized that ET_A receptor antagonists would reduce the damage associated with acute cerebral ischemic stroke. Studies focused on antagonizing ET_A receptors using BQ123, SB234551, A-127722 and S-1039 demonstrated a reduction in infarct area, edema, and neurological deficits following experimental cerebral ischemia [12–16], but these drugs have not advanced to clinical testing. Combined ET_AB receptor antagonists have shown mixed results: TAK-044 decreased oxidative stress and reduced ischemia, whereas bosentan and SB209670 had no effect in animal models of acute ischemic stroke [17, 18]. Conversely, antagonizing endothelin B (ET_B) receptors worsened ischemic injury, leading to poor outcomes [19, 20], suggesting a critical role of ET_B receptors in salvaging brain damage due to cerebral ischemia.

ET_B receptors are present in large numbers in the central nervous system (CNS) and play a key role in its development. We have demonstrated that ET_B receptors in the brain are over expressed at the time of birth and that their expression decreases as the brain matures [21, 22], suggesting that they are important in brain development and may be useful in the repair and regeneration of adult brains after stroke [5, 23, 24]. ET_B receptors play an important role in neural cell survival and proliferation [5, 25–28]. Stimulation of ET_B receptors in middle cerebral artery-occluded rats with intravenous administration of sovateltide, a highly selective ET_B receptor agonist, significantly improved neurological and motor functions; decreased infarct volume, oxidative stress and apoptotic damage; and increased cell proliferation and angiogenesis [24, 29, 30]. Sovateltide-induced improvements were blocked with the ET_B receptor antagonist BQ788, confirming ET_B receptor involvement in sovateltide effects [29, 30].
Sovateltide demonstrated potential to be a novel and effective drug to treat acute cerebral ischemic stroke. The safety and tolerability of sovateltide was demonstrated in a clinical (CTRI/2016/11/007509) phase I study in healthy human volunteers [5]. The aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of sovateltide in adult patients who had experienced a cerebral ischemic stroke.

2 Methods

This was a prospective, multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blinded, exploratory phase II clinical study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of sovateltide as an addition to standard of care (SOC) in patients with acute ischemic stroke. The primary objective was to evaluate the safety and tolerability of sovateltide. Key secondary objectives included measurement of efficacy (i.e., neurological improvements) using the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) and the Barthel Index (BI), and quality-of-life assessments using the EuroQol-5 Dimensions (EQ-5D) and Stroke-Specific Quality of Life (SSQoL).

2.1 Patients, Eligibility Criteria and Trial Design

Key inclusion criteria were as follows: age 18–70 years, patient presenting up to 24 h after onset of stroke symptoms with an mRS score of 3–4 and NIHSS score of 5–14, stroke is ischemic in origin, and supratentorial and radiologic confirmation with either a computed tomography scan or diagnostic magnetic resonance imaging. Female patients were either not of child-bearing potential or using two methods of contraception. Key exclusion criteria included the following: patients receiving endovascular therapy, intracranial hemorrhage, recurrent stroke, participation in other therapeutic clinical trials, evidence of major life-threatening or serious medical condition, pregnant or breast-feeding women or a positive pregnancy test.

The study was conducted in accordance with the International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use Guideline for Good Clinical Practice (ICH-GCP), the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and local regulatory requirements. The study protocol (PMZ-01 version 2.0/April 18, 2016) was approved by the Drugs Controller General of India, Directorate General of Health Services, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India, and the institutional ethics committee for each of the seven sites (who reviewed and approved the study protocol before patient enrolment at each site was initiated). The study was registered at the Clinical Trials Registry, India (CTRI/2017/11/010654), and the US National Library of Medicine, ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT04046484). The ethics committee for each site was continuously informed of any protocol deviation, amendment, subject exclusion or withdrawal and serious adverse events. Informed consent was obtained from each patient; if a patient was not fit to give consent themselves at the time of treatment initiation, informed consent was provided by their legally authorized representative (LAR).

2.2 Treatment Regimen

Patients were randomized 1:1 to either sovateltide or saline placebo. Block randomization was used for patient randomization into the two treatment groups. The randomization list was prepared by a statistician using a validated computer program, the statistical analysis system SPSS. An interactive web response system (IWRS) method containing randomization codes was used to randomize eligible patients to the treatment groups.

All patients in both groups received SOC for ischemic stroke according to their local institutional guidelines. Sovateltide was administered as an add-on to SOC. SOC was provided to patients according to the particular hospital setup and each patient’s medical condition. Patients in the sovateltide group received three doses of sovateltide (each dose 0.3 µg/kg) administered as an intravenous bolus over 1 min at an interval of 3 ± 1 h on day 1, day 3 and day 6 (total dose of 0.9 µg/kg/day). Specific intravenous treatments over 3 days post stroke was based on preclinical proof-of-concept studies conducted in our laboratory [24, 25, 29, 30]. The dose of sovateltide used in efficacy studies in rats was 5 µg/kg intravenously, and the human equivalent dose was calculated as 0.80 µg/kg. In phase I safety and tolerability studies, the minimum intolerable dose for sovateltide was 0.9 µg/kg and the maximum tolerated dose was 0.6 µg/kg [5]. Patients in the placebo group received an equal volume of saline as an intravenous bolus over 1 min at an interval of 3 ± 1 h on day 1, day 3 and day 6. The study duration for each patient was 3 months (90 days), which included five study visits: visit 1 (day 1–6, screening/baseline measurements/treatment/assessment), visit 2 (day 12, assessment), visit 3 (day 30 ± 5 days, assessment), visit 4 (day 60 ± 5 days, assessment) and visit 5/end of study (day 90 ± 5 days, assessment). All patients were monitored closely throughout hospitalization for qualifying stroke and followed-up for 90 days from randomization.

2.3 Data Safety Monitoring Board

An independent data safety monitoring board consisting of a neurologist, a biostatistician, and a clinical pharmacologist was established to monitor the safety and efficacy of the trial. The data safety monitoring board reviewed the safety
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data of each subject from the study and all serious adverse events, regardless of attribution, contemporaneously with submissions to the sponsor and investigator.

2.4 Safety and Tolerability Assessments

All patients who received treatment were included in the safety analysis. Safety was assessed during treatment and the post-treatment follow-up period based on adverse events, physical examination, vital signs and clinical laboratory parameters as per protocol. A complete set of hematological, biochemical and organ function tests (complete blood count, blood glucose, lipid profile, serum electrolytes, liver function test and kidney function test) were performed. Adverse events that occurred or worsened during treatment or post-treatment were recorded. All AEs were coded by preferred term and system organ class using the latest version of the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities. All patients were followed up for safety assessment at visit 1 (day 1 to day 6), visit 2 (day 12), visit 3 (day 30 ± 5 days), visit 4 (day 60 ± 5 days) and visit 5 (day 90 ± 5 days).

2.5 Assessment of Neurological Outcome

The efficacy of sovateltide was evaluated using three different outcome measures. The proportion of patients with change in NIHSS, mRS and BI scores was evaluated on day 6, day 30 and day 90 post-randomization. Improvements of ≥ 6 points in NIHSS, ≥ 2 points in the mRS or ≥ 40 points in BI scale from baseline were considered a favorable outcome. Comprehensive analysis of complete recovery was undertaken at 3 months post-randomization using three different outcome scales in patients from the saline and sovateltide groups. Complete recovery was defined as a patient having an NIHSS score of 0, an mRS score of 0 and a BI score of 100. Quality of life was assessed using two different scales: the EQ-5D and the SSQoL. In the EQ-5D, a score of 100 was defined as the best possible health. Similarly, in the SSQoL, a score of >200 was defined as the best health. Both these measurements were done on day 60 and day 90 post-randomization.

2.6 Blinding/Unblinding

In this double-blind study, the patients and all relevant personnel involved with the conduct and interpretation of the study (including the investigator, investigational site personnel, and the sponsor or designee’s staff) were blinded to the identity of the assigned study drug (sovateltide/normal saline) and the randomization codes. Healthcare professionals were certified in administration of the NIHSS and other scales and were unaware of the assessment status recorded on the previous visit. The biostatistician/unblinded pharmacist was independent of the study team. Dispensing activity was monitored by an unblinded monitor independent of the monitoring team. The final randomization list was kept strictly confidential and accessible only by authorized people per sponsor until the completion of the study. Emergency unblinding through IWRS was available.

2.7 Sample Size and Statistical Methods

Data obtained from earlier clinical studies of stroke in the literature were considered to determine the sample size for this phase II study. Assuming 80% power and a 5% significance level, a sample size of 32 patients (16 patients in each group) was required. Assuming a 10% loss to follow-up, a final sample size of 36 patients was required. To increase the power of the study, we increased the sample size to 40 patients (20 patients in each group).

The results of the trial are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). The unpaired t-test was used to analyze two sets of data with equal variances, and a two-sided p-value was used to determine the level of significance. The unpaired t-test was used to compare discrete variables between the two sets of data at baseline and at follow-ups. Non-parametric analysis was carried out using one-way analysis of variance without assuming equal variances. This test was used for multiple comparisons, and the significance of differences was estimated using Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. The Chi-squared test was used to compare the groups, and the two-sided p-value was used for significance, p < 0.05 was considered significant at a 95% confidence level, and p = 0.10 was considered significant at a 90% confidence level. The Baptista–Pike method was used to calculate odds ratios (ORs). Demographic variables and patient characteristics were summarized descriptively by treatment assignments. Demographic variables include age, weight, height, and body mass index (BMI). Continuous variables, such as patient age at enrollment, number of non-missing observations (n), mean and SEM were tabulated by treatment assignment. All available data were used in the analyses. Each group was summarized individually. Unavailable data were assessed as “missing values,” and only the observed population was evaluated. The statistical analysis was processed with GraphPad Prism 8.1.1 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA).

3 Results

3.1 Demographics and Patient Characteristics

A total of 516 patients were assessed in seven clinical sites across India, of which 40 patients met the eligibility criteria (Table 1). To be eligible for this study, patients had to
be diagnosed with stroke for the first time. The majority of patients screened for this study had experienced a recurrent stroke so were considered screen failures and not enrolled in the study. All 40 eligible patients signed informed consent and were randomized to either placebo saline (n = 20) or sovateltide (n = 20). Of these 40 patients, 36 completed the study with 90-day follow-up. In each group, 18 patients completed the study (one patient in each group withdrew consent, and one patient in each group was withdrawn by the investigator) (Fig. 1). Patient demographics and baseline characteristics were comparable between the two groups, including baseline NIHSS (saline 9.17 ± 0.89; sovateltide 9.72 ± 0.94), mRS (saline 3.72±0.11; sovateltide 3.78 ± 0.10) and BI (saline 35.56 ± 5.60; sovateltide 32.22 ± 4.75) scores (Table 2). Both groups included more male than female patients, and the proportion of males was higher in the sovateltide group (15 males and 3 females for sovateltide vs. 11 males and 7 females for saline). Age, body weight and BMI were similar in each group. Table 3 shows the case details of individual patients in each cohort, their medical history, stroke details (radiological findings), state of other cardiovascular diseases and NIHSS and mRS scores at admission.

SOC in both groups was similar; treatment details are given in Table 4. All patients received the study drug or saline within 24 h of onset of stroke. However, more patients received treatment within 20 h of stroke onset in the saline group than in the sovateltide group (Fig. 2).

### 3.2 Safety/Tolerability

Every enrolled patient in the sovateltide group received all nine doses; no patients experienced any safety issues after receiving the drug. All patients who received treatment were included in the safety analysis. Compliance with the protocol was excellent in this trial. Sovateltide treatment had no effect on hemodynamic, biochemical or hematological parameters. No drug-related adverse events were reported. No mortality or incidence of recurrent ischemic stroke occurred in any enrolled patients. Sovateltide was safe and well-tolerated in patients with ischemic stroke.

### 3.3 Recovery with Sovateltide

Figure 3 presents the clinical markers of neurological outcome in individual patients from day 1 through day 90, using three different scales. Figure 3a presents the NIHSS scores of individual patients on day 1 (baseline) and days 2, 3, 6, 12, 30 and 90 post randomization for both saline and sovateltide groups. Data indicate a trend of early recovery in patients receiving sovateltide compared with saline. A significant improvement in NIHSS score was observed compared with day 1 in the sovateltide group (sovateltide – day 3 NIHSS vs. baseline, \( p < 0.0001 \); saline – day 3 NIHSS vs. baseline, \( p = 0.5771 \)). Figure 3b presents the mRS scores of individual patients on day 1 (baseline) and day 6, 30, 60 and 90 post randomization for both saline and sovateltide groups. A significant improvement in mRS score indicates a trend of early recovery for patients receiving sovateltide (sovateltide – day 6 mRS vs. baseline, \( p < 0.0001 \); saline – day 6 mRS vs. baseline, \( p = 0.0859 \)). Figure 3c shows the BI score of individual patients on day 1 (baseline) and days 6, 30 and 90 post randomization for both saline and sovateltide groups. A significant improvement in BI scores indicates a trend of early recovery for patients receiving sovateltide compared with saline (sovateltide – day 6 BI vs. baseline, \( p < 0.0001 \); saline – day 6 BI vs. baseline, \( p = 0.3948 \)). Clinical markers of neurological outcome on day 1 versus day 6 in NIHSS scores are presented in Fig. 4. An improvement of ≥6 points in NIHSS from baseline was seen in 87.50% of patients treated with sovateltide compared with 12.50% of those receiving saline (\( p = 0.0201 \)). These data further support the conclusion that patients treated with sovateltide recovered much quicker than patients treated with saline.

---

**Table 1** Sites participating in the study

| Site no. | Study site                                                                 | Total enrolled |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| 1       | New Era Hospital, Near Jalaram Mandir, Queta Colony, Telephone Exchange Chowk, Central Avenue Road, Nagpur 440008 Maharashtra | 12             |
| 2       | Christian Medical College and Hospital, Department of Neurology, Brown Road, Ludhiana -141008 Punjab | 02             |
| 3       | All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Department of Neurology, Neurosciences Centre, Ansari Nagar, New Delhi - 110029 | 08             |
| 4       | Sanjay Gandhi Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Department of Neurology, Ground Floor, Raebareli Road, Lucknow - 226014 Uttar Pradesh | 05             |
| 5       | Paras Hospital, C-1, Sushant Lok-1, Sector-43, Gurgaon 122002, Haryana     | 01             |
| 6       | Dayanand Medical College and Hospital, Tagore Nagar, Civil Lines, Ludhiana, 141001 Punjab | 10             |
| 7       | Nizam’s Institute of Medical Sciences, Punjagutta, Hyderabad 500082, Telangana | 02             |
3.4 Frequency of Favorable Outcomes at Day 90

Sovateltide increased the frequency of favorable outcomes at day 90. An improvement of ≥ 2 points in mRS score was observed in 60 and 40% of patients in the sovateltide and saline groups, respectively ($p = 0.0519$; OR 5.25); this did not reach significance at the 95% confidence level but was significant at the 90% confidence level. BI improvement of ≥ 40 points was seen in 64 and 36% of those in the sovateltide and saline groups, respectively ($p = 0.0112$; OR 12.44). An improvement of ≥ 6 points in NIHSS score was seen in 56 and 43% of patients in the sovateltide and saline groups, respectively ($p = 0.2714$; OR 2.275) (Fig. 5). Although statistical significance was not reached in NIHSS scores, the trend clearly shows an improvement in favorable outcomes.

3.5 Frequency of Complete Recovery

Data analysis shows that the sovateltide group had more patients with an NIHSS score of 0 ($p = 0.04791$) and more patients with a BI score of 100, indicating that sovateltide increased the frequency of complete recovery compared with saline ($p = 0.02714$; OR 2.275) (Fig. 5). Although statistical significance was not reached in NIHSS scores, the trend clearly shows an improvement in favorable outcomes.

3.6 Quality of Life

Statistical analysis of EQ-5D data on day 60 showed an OR of 3.250 (95% CI 0.7181–11.52); the outcome in the sovateltide group was 225% likely to be better than that in the saline group. EQ-5D data on day 90 showed that the outcome in the sovateltide group was 95% likely to be better than that in the saline group (Fig. 7). Statistical analysis of SSQoL data on day 60 showed an OR of 1.429 (95% CI 0.3565–4.907); the outcome in the sovateltide group was 42% likely to be better than that in the saline group. SSQoL data on day 90 showed an OR of 3.429 (95% CI 0.7909–15.47), indicating that the outcome in the sovateltide group was 242% likely to be better than that in the saline group (Fig. 7).

4 Discussion

Rapid restoration of blood flow by removing or dissolving thrombus from blood vessels is considered the primary intervention of choice for ischemic stroke. tPA and mechanical thrombectomy [31] are the currently available treatments but are beneficial only if provided quickly after the onset of stroke. Administration of tPA after 4.5 h of onset of stroke has shown no benefit [32] and involves the risk of hemorrhagic transformation, leading to highly significant morbidity and mortality [32]. Stroke pathophysiology involves hypoxia, vascular damage, inflammation, apoptosis.

![Fig. 1 Screening and enrollment of patients](image-url)

Table 2 Demographics of patients in standard-of-care plus saline and standard-of-care plus sovateltide cohorts

| Group       | Sex | Age (years) | Body weight (kg) | Height (cm) | BMI (kg/m²) |
|-------------|-----|-------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Normal saline (N = 18) | 11M/7F | 58.34 ± 1.73 | 64.97 ± 2.40    | 163.72 ± 2.08 | 24.47 ± 0.98 |
| Sovateltide (N = 18)   | 15M/3F | 49.83 ± 2.79 | 67.82 ± 2.47    | 168.78 ± 1.50 | 24.00 ± 0.68 |

Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean

*BMI* body mass index, *F* female, *M* male
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### Table 3  Case details of patients in control and sovateltide cohorts

| Subject no. | Case details | Scores at admission | Subject no. | Case details | Scores at admission |
|-------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 01-001      | Male, age 65 years; heaviness, tingling and numbness in head; unconscious; drowsy; one seizure; red blood spot in right eye  
*Medical history:* HTN, T2DM  
*Cardiovascular:* BP 170/80 mmHg; pulse 80 bpm.  
ECG: sinus rhythm; LVH with strain pattern.  
Echo: mild aortic stenosis, LVH, grade II diastolic dysfunction  
*CT/MRI:* CT scan showed small subtle area of hypodensity in left lentiform nucleus suggestive of acute non-hemorrhagic infarct. Chronic vessel ischemic changes in right peri-ventricular white matter | NIHSS 5; mRS 3 | 01-002      | Male, age 68 years; slurred speech; right side mouth deviation; left-side weakness since morning; power in left upper and lower limbs grade IV; grip weak  
*Medical history:* None  
*Cardiovascular:* BP 140/90 mmHg; pulse 83 bpm.  
ECG: sinus rhythm, right bundle branch block.  
Echo: mild ventricular hypertrophy, mild stenosis aortic valve, mild mitral regurgitation, LVEF 65%  
*CT/MRI:* CT scan showed acute to subacute infarct (patchy hypodensities) involving right gangliocapsular region and right temporal lobe | NIHSS 6; mRS 4 |
| 01-004      | Female, age 56 years; weakness in right upper and lower limb since morning; slurred speech; decreased oral intake; nausea; right hemiplegia  
*Medical history:* HTN, T2DM  
*Cardiovascular:* BP 160/90 mmHg; pulse 60 bpm.  
ECG: sinus rhythm, LVH with strain pattern. Echo: LVEF 65%  
*CT/MRI:* CT scan showed multiple small patchy acute to subacute infarct involving left gangliocapsular region, corona radiata centrum semiovale | NIHSS 7; mRS 4 | 01-003      | Male, age 55 years; right-side weakness with upper and lower limb; right-side hemiparesis; power in right upper and lower limbs grade III+  
*Medical history:* HTN  
*Cardiovascular:* BP 120/80 mmHg; pulse 80 bpm.  
ECG: sinus rhythm, LVH  
*CT/MRI:* CT scan showed patchy areas of small hypodensities involving bilateral gangliocapsular region, left thalamus, left corona radiata, acute to subacute infarct | NIHSS 5; mRS 4 |
| 01-005      | Male, age 51 years; left-side weakness; slurred speech; mouth deviation; left hemiparesis  
*Medical history:* Systemic HTN, T2DM  
*Cardiovascular:* BP 150/100 mmHg; pulse 84 bpm  
*CT/MRI:* CT scan showed small hypodensities involving right caudate nucleus suggesting acute to subacute infarct. Diffuse cerebral atrophy. MRI of brain and neck with angiography showed early subacute right MCA territory infarct involving right fronto-parietal, temporal and gangliocapsular region, hemorrhagic transformation in the right gangliocapsular region and frontal lobe. Mass on the right lateral ventricle with midline shift towards left of about 2 mm. Chronic lacunar infarct in the inferior aspect of left cerebellum. Loss of flow-related signal in the M1, M2 and M3 segments of right MCA, suggestive of complete occlusion | NIHSS 13; mRS 4 | 01-006      | Male, age 48 years; right-side body weakness; mouth deviation; slurred speech for 1 day  
*Medical history:* None  
*Cardiovascular:* BP 150/100 mmHg; pulse 80 bpm.  
Echo: congenital heart disease. Small 3 mm restrictive ventricular septal defect with left to right shunt; LVEF 65%  
*CT/MRI:* CT scan showed tiny hypodensities involving left side of medulla and left insular cortex | NIHSS 6; mRS 3 |
### Table 3 (continued)

| Subject no. | Case details | Scores at admission |
|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 01-008      | Female, age 53 years; heaviness in left side of body and head; headache; imbalance while walking and standing for 1 day before admission; left-side hemiparesis; grip weak; power in left upper limb grade III, left lower limb grade IV | NIHSS 5; mRS 3 |
|             | Medical history: T2DM Cardiovascular: BP 180/110 mmHg; pulse 84 bpm CT/MRI: CT scan showed small infarct bilateral thalamus, left corona radiata and pons on right sides |               |
| 01-009      | Male, age 64 years; uneasiness; eight episodes of nausea with vomiting; numbness of right upper and lower limb with weakness; slurring of speech; deviation of mouth towards left side for 1 h before admission; power grade IV on right side; right side grip weak | NIHSS 7; mRS 3 |
|             | Medical history: None Cardiovascular: BP 140/80 mmHg; pulse 76 bpm. ECG: sinus rhythm, left axis deviation Echo: LVEF 60%, grade I diastolic dysfunction of the left ventricle CT/MRI: CT scan showed small subtle hypodensity in left parietal region suggestive of infarct |               |
| 01-007      | Male, age 58 years; right-sided weakness; numbness of upper limb and slurring speech for 2 h before admission; right hemiparesis; power in right upper and lower limbs grade III, grip weak | NIHSS 6; mRS 4 |
|             | Medical history: None Cardiovascular: BP 200/120 mmHg; pulse 72 bpm; Echo: Concentric LVH; LVEF 65%; grade I diastolic dysfunction of the left ventricle, mild sclerosis aortic valve CT/MRI: CT scan showed subtle hypodensity in left occipital region, infarct. Old lacunar infarcts in bilateral ganglioncapsular region and mild age-related diffuse cerebral atrophy |               |
| 01-010      | Male, age 40 years; slurred speech; tingling, numbness of upper limb and weakness of right upper limb since morning; power in right upper limb grade IV; grip weak | NIHSS 6; mRS 3 |
|             | Medical history: None Cardiovascular: BP 120/80 mmHg; pulse 86 bpm CT/MRI: CT scan showed tiny calcification with surrounding hypodensity and edema in left parietal lobe |               |
### Table 3 (continued)

| Subject no. | Case details                                                                 | Scores at admission | Subject no. | Case details                                                                 | Scores at admission |
|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 01-012      | Female, age 47 years; vomiting; vertigo; bed wet since 2 days before admission; right-sided weakness and aphasia since morning; right hemiparesis  
**Medical history:** T2DM  
**Cardiovascular:** BP 200/110 mmHg; pulse 76 bpm  
**CT/MRI:** CT scan showed areas of hypodensity in left temporal region. MRI showed acute non-hemorrhagic left ACA territory infarct in left parafalcine frontal lobe and left half of the body of corpus callosum | NIHSS 8; mRS 4  | 01-011      | Male, age 30 years; left-side weakness and slurring of speech since morning; left hemiparesis; left upper limb weakness; power in left upper and lower limb grade III  
**Medical history:** None  
**Cardiovascular:** BP 150/90 mmHg; pulse 103 bpm.  
Echo: coronary artery disease; moderate to severe LVEF 35%; mild mitral regurgitation; grade I diastolic dysfunction of the left ventricle  
**CT/MRI:** MRI showed bilateral intracranial ICA and MCA; atherosclerotic wall thickening with significant narrowing of right distal M1, M2, with non-visualization of distal M2, M3 and M4 segments showing occlusion. Screening of brain revealed area of altered signal intensity in right parieto-temporal region appearing hyperintense on T2/FLAIR and restricted diffusion on diffusion-weighted imaging suggesting acute infarct | NIHSS 8; mRS 3  |
| 03-001      | Female, age 47 years; left-side body weakness; slurring of speech and facial deviation to left since morning; power in left upper and lower limbs 0/5; left hemianesthesia. Cranial nerve: gaze preference to right; left facial upper motor neuron palsy  
**Medical history:** Hypothyroidism and dyslipidemia for 4 years  
**Cardiovascular:** BP 146/60 mmHg; pulse 70 bpm  
**CT/MRI:** CT scan showed acute right MCA infarct (large). CT angiography showed right ICA complete occlusion | NIHSS 19; mRS 4  | 02-001      | Male, age 55 years; right upper limb weakness; dysarthria; sensory loss of right upper and lower limbs; power in upper and lower limbs 4/5  
**Medical history:** None  
**Cardiovascular:** BP 150/90 mmHg; pulse 90 bpm.  
Echo: LVEF 55%  
**CT/MRI:** MRI showed acute thalamic non-hemorrhagic infarct; high-grade stenosis/occlusion of left posterior cerebral artery (P2) and focal segmental stenosis of right posterior cerebral artery | NIHSS 13; mRS 4  |
### Table 3 (continued)

| Subject no. | Case details | Scores at admission |
|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 03-003      | Male, age 61 years; sudden-onset right-side upper and lower limb weakness; slurred speech and facial deviation to left; right upper and lower limb hypotonia; power in right upper and lower limbs 4/5. Cranial nerve: right upper motor neuron facial palsy. Gait: dragging of right leg while walking | NIHSS 6; mRS 4 |
|             | **Medical history:** T2DM, HTN |                     |
|             | **Cardiovascular:** BP 110/80 mmHg; pulse 88 bpm |                     |
|             | **CT/MRI:** CT scan showed hypodensity in left internal capsule. CT angiography showed no major vessel occlusion |                     |

| Subject no. | Case details | Scores at admission |
|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 03-006      | Female, age 66 years; acute-onset weakness of right upper and lower limb; mild facial deviation to left side; right hemiparesis; power in right upper and lower limbs 4/5; mild dysarthria. Cranial nerves: mild right upper motor neuron facial weakness | NIHSS 5; mRS 4 |
|             | **Medical history:** None |                     |
|             | **Cardiovascular:** BP 70/100 mmHg; pulse 70 bpm |                     |
|             | **CT/MRI:** CT scan showed left MCA territory infarct. CT angiography showed left posterior cerebral artery and generalized intracranial atherosclerotic changes |                     |

| Subject no. | Case details | Scores at admission |
|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 03-008      | Male, age 62 years; weakness of right upper and lower limbs with deviation of angle of mouth and slurring of speech since morning | NIHSS 12; mRS 4 |
|             | **Medical history:** None |                     |
|             | **Cardiovascular:** BP 136/80 mmHg; pulse 86 bpm. ECG: ST depression in VI-6, L II-L III |                     |
|             | **CT/MRI:** CT scan showed ASPECTS 9/10. Hypodensity in left MCA territory in left corona radiata extending to lentiform nucleus. CT angiography showed no major vessel occlusion |                     |

| Subject no. | Case details | Scores at admission |
|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 02-002      | Male, age 53 years; weakness in left upper limb and deviation of mouth right side for 14 h before admission; power in left upper limb 2/5 and left lower limb 3/5. Cranial nerve: left upper motor neuron facial palsy | NIHSS 12; mRS 4 |
|             | **Medical history:** DM, HTN |                     |
|             | **Cardiovascular:** BP 140/80 mmHg; pulse 68 bpm. ECG: Minor left axis deviation |                     |
|             | **CT/MRI:** CT scan showed abrupt cutoff at M1, M2 segment of right MCA suggestive of thrombotic occlusion. Focal filling defect in right common carotid artery, suggestive of atheromatic lipid-rich plaques. MRI showed right-side fronto-parietal internal watershed infarct along with areas of restricted diffusion along the parieto-occipital cortical region |                     |

| Subject no. | Case details | Scores at admission |
|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 03-004      | Male, age 61 years; acute-onset weakness of right upper and lower limb; slurred speech; deviation of mouth to left side. Cranial nerve: left hemianopia present | NIHSS 11; mRS 4 |
|             | **Medical history:** None |                     |
|             | **Cardiovascular:** BP 170/100 mmHg; pulse 80 bpm. Echo: LVEF 60% |                     |
|             | **CT/MRI:** CT scan showed left MCA territory infarct, ASPECTS 6/10, left internal capsular, putamen, insula, M6. CT angiography showed partial occlusion at left MCA bifurcation |                     |

| Subject no. | Case details | Scores at admission |
|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 03-005      | Male, age 58 years; acute-onset weakness of left upper NIHSS 7; mRS 4 and lower limbs; visual difficulty; slurred speech; power in left upper limb 3+/5 and left lower limb 4/5; left hemianopia. **Medical history:** T2DM, HTN. Ischemic heart disease and CAGB in 2014. Gangrene and self-amputation of left big toe 7 years previously; acute quadriparesis 35 years previously | NIHSS 11; mRS 4 |
|             | **Cardiovascular:** BP 150/90 mmHg; pulse 80 bpm. ECG: left bundle branch block. Normal sinus rhythm. Echo: moderate left systolic dysfunction. Regional wall motion abnormalities in posterolateral septum. LVEF 35–40% |                     |
|             | **CT/MRI:** CT scan showed infarct in right internal capsule, ASPECTS 9/10. CT angiography showed 60% stenosis of left ICA |                     |
### Table 3 (continued)

| Subject no. | Case details | Scores at admission |
|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 04-002      | Male, age 54 years; acute-onset weakness of right face, arm and leg; slurred speech; right hemiplegia  
*Medical history:* HTN and T2DM. Left hemiparesis 1 year previously, recovered completely  
*Cardiovascular:* BP 140/90 mmHg; pulse 90 bpm  
*CT/MRI:* CT scan showed left internal capsule ischemic stroke | NIHSS 13; mRS 4 |
| 04-003      | Female, age 62 years; right-sided face, arm, leg weakness since morning; slurred speech since previous night. Deep tendon reflexes: brisk on right vs. left. Grade 2 in lower limb, grade 1 in upper limb; no sensory deficit. Right-sided hemiparesis  
*Medical history:* Hypothyroid and T2DM. CAD and right hemiparesis 12 years previously  
*Cardiovascular:* BP 160/90 mmHg; pulse 56 bpm  
*CT/MRI:* CT scan showed left frontal-parietal infarct | NIHSS 13; mRS 4 |
| 04-005      | Male, age 69 years; left-side facial deviation; slurred NIHSS 11; mRS 4 speech; power in right upper and lower limbs >3/5; left upper and lower limbs 0/5  
*Medical history:* T2DM for 12 years  
*Cardiovascular:* BP 130/80 mmHg; pulse 76 bpm  
*CT/MRI:* MRI showed right MCA infarct | NIHSS 14; mRS 4 |

| Subject no. | Case details | Scores at admission |
|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 03-007      | Male, age 58 years; right-sided weakness; mild deviation in mouth to left side since morning  
*Medical history:* HTN  
*Cardiovascular:* BP 140/94 mmHg, pulse 68 bpm.  
*Echo:* Mild LVH. Normal LV function (EF 60%)  
*CT/MRI:* CT scan showed subtle hypodensity in right putamen. CT angiography showed mild paucity of flow in one distal branch of left MCA | NIHSS 6; mRS 4 |
| 04-001      | Male, age 60 years; acute-onset left upper and lower arm weakness with altered sensorium; irritable and restless; left hemiplegia  
*Medical history:* None  
*Cardiovascular:* BP 140/90 mmHg; pulse 90 bpm.  
*Carotid doppler suggestive of >90% stenosis in right ICA*  
*CT/MRI:* CT scan showed ill-defined hypodensity in right MCA territory | NIHSS 14; mRS 4 |
| 04-004      | Female, age 32 years; tingling and paresthesia in right NIHSS 11; mRS 4 upper and lower limbs with hemi-cranial headache; weakness in left upper and lower limbs; facial deviation. Weakness initially mild but worsened over 5 h to cause complete loss of power in left upper and lower limbs. Vomiting, seizures, visual complaints, speech abnormality, urinary incontinence, cough, chest pain, palpitations. Left upper motor neuron facial palsy  
*Medical history:* Balloon mitral valvulotomy in 2009  
*Cardiovascular:* BP 110/62 mmHg; pulse 90 bpm.  
*CVS:* S1 loud; ejection systolic murmur noted in pulmonary artery  
*CT/MRI:* CT scan showed subacute infarct in right basal ganglia region. MRI showed acute infarct in right caudate nucleus, right putamen. Insular cortex, subtle blooming noted in SWAN. Chronic infarct in right posterior cerebellum | NIHSS 11; mRS 4 |
### Table 3 (continued)

| Subject no. | Case details | Scores at admission |
|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 06-002      | Male, age 64 years; restless and right-side weakness for 1 day; right arm weakness and slurred speech for 2 days of admission | NIHSS 11; mRS 4 |
|             | Medical history: None Cardiovascular: BP 130/80 mmHg; pulse 86 bpm. Echo: Normal LV size and systolic function; LVEF 60% CT/MRI: MRI showed multifocal acute infarcts fronto-parieto-occipital region involving left insular cortex, likely embolic |             |
| 06-006      | Male, age 56 years; slurred speech; left-side facial deviation with facial nerve palsy since morning | NIHSS 8; mRS 3 |
|             | Medical history: T2DM for 3 years Cardiovascular: BP 120/70 mmHg; pulse 72 bpm. Carotid doppler: 20% plaque at left carotid bulb CT/MRI: MRI showed small acute infarct in right internal capsule |             |
| 06-007      | Female, age 50 years; slurred speech; weakness of right upper and lower limb for 1 day before admission; right upper motor neuron facial nerve palsy; power in right limbs grade 4/5; power in left limbs grade 5/5 | NIHSS 9; mRS 4 |
|             | Medical history: None Cardiovascular: BP 180/100 mmHg; pulse 96 bpm. ECG: Suspected LVH CT/MRI: MRI showed multifocal infarct in left MCA territory with no mass effect |             |
| 06-001      | Male, age 44 years; left-side weakness, left hemiparesis associated with facial abnormality on right side; heaviness of speech; patient could not recognize people, completely empty urinary bladder, or clench teeth; drooled food from left side from angle of mouth; decreased wrinkles, closing of eyelids and nasolabial fold on left side; power in left upper and lower limbs 1/5 | NIHSS 16; mRS 4 |
|             | Medical history: None Cardiovascular: BP 110/70 mmHg; pulse 70 bpm; ECG: Right bundle branch block CT/MRI: MRI showed right MCA infarct |             |
| 06-004      | Male, age 57 years; vomiting and slurred speech 1 day before admission; multiple episodes of vomiting; facial deviation | NIHSS 14; mRS 4 |
|             | Medical history: None Cardiovascular: BP 130/80 mmHg; pulse 80 bpm. Echo: LVEF 60% CT/MRI: Area of altered signal intensity appearing hyperintense on T2/FLAIR and showing DR on DW/ADC involving right cerebellar hemisphere; B/L tonsils suggestive of acute infarct. Multiple areas showing diffuse restriction in the rest of B/L cerebellar hemispheres and pons suggestive of acute infarcts. Few T2/FLAIR hyperintense foci without DR in B/L corona radiata and centrum semiovale; chronic ischemic changes. Normal CT angiography |             |
| 06-005      | Male, age 30 years; left-side weakness; slurred speech NIHSS 13; mRS 4 with facial deviation towards right side since morning; power in left upper and lower limbs 0/5; left 7th upper motor neuron palsy | NIHSS 13; mRS 4 |
|             | Medical history: None Cardiovascular: BP 120/70 mmHg; pulse 78 bpm CT/MRI: CT scan showed large right territory infarct; CT angiography showed right ICA attenuated |             |
### Table 3 (continued)

| Subject no. | Case details | Scores at admission | Subject no. | Case details | Scores at admission |
|-------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 06-009      | Male, age 70 years; right-side weakness; right hemiparesis; memory loss; power in right lower limb 4/5  
  **Medical history:** HTN for 2 years  
  **Cardiovascular:** BP 160/90 mmHg; pulse 76 bpm.  
  **Echo:** Mild concentric LVH. LVEF 60%  
  **CT/MRI:** MRI showed multiple acute infarct in posterior cerebral artery territory | NIHSS 7; mRS 4 | 06-008 | Male, age 54 years; altered sensorium; speech arrest; drooling of saliva associated with bowel incontinence 3-4 h before admission; left eye ptosis. Tone increased in left limbs; deep tendon reflexes 1+ in all four limbs  
  **Medical history:** DM, HTN. Cerebrovascular accident – right thalamic infarct, left hemiparesis with residual weakness and facial nerve nucleus lesion  
  **Cardiovascular:** BP 170/80 mmHg; pulse 74 bpm.  
  **ECG:** LVH. Echo: LVEF 60%  
  **CT/MRI:** MRI showed acute infarct in left MCA territory; no evidence of hemorrhagic transformation | NIHSS 16; mRS 4 |
| 07-002      | Male, age 53 years; slurred speech; word finding difficulty; weakness of right upper limb; deviation of mouth to left side. Cranial nerve: VII, mild facial palsy  
  **Medical history:** HTN; alcoholic  
  **Cardiovascular:** BP 180/100 mmHg; pulse 76 bpm.  
  **Color doppler:** athero-scleromatous changes in left carotids; plaques in right common carotid artery, left distal common carotid artery  
  **CT/MRI:** MRI showed acute infarct in left parietal and frontal lobe. Narrowing of M2 and M3 segment with paucity of cortical branches on right side | NIHSS 6; mRS 3 | 07-001 | Female, age 33 years; sudden-onset slurred speech and NIHSS 5; mRS 3  
  deviation of angle of mouth to right side since morning; facial dysarthria; tongue deviation to right side  
  **Medical history:** None  
  **Cardiovascular:** BP 110/70 mmHg; pulse 82 bpm  
  **CT/MRI:** MRI showed hypodensity in right pre-central gyrus suggesting subacute infarct | NIHSS 5; mRS 3 |

ACA anterior cerebral artery, ADC apparent diffusion coefficient, ASPECTS Alberta Stroke Program Early CT score, B/L bilateral, BP blood pressure, bpm beats per minute, CABG coronary artery bypass graft, CAD coronary artery disease, CT computed tomography, CVS Cardiovascular system, DM diabetes mellitus, DR Diffuse restriction, DW diffusion weighted, ECG electrocardiogram, Echo echocardiogram, EF ejection fraction, HTN hypertension, ICA internal carotid artery, LV left ventricle, LVEF left ventricular ejection fraction, LVH left ventricular hypertrophy, MCA middle cerebral artery, MRI magnetic resonance imaging, mRS modified Rankin Scale, NIHSS National Institute of Health Stroke Scale, SWAN susceptibility-weighted angiography, T2/FLAIR T2-weighted fluid-attenuated inversion recovery, T2DM type 2 diabetes mellitus
and other events that severely affect neuronal cells [33]. Neuronal and glial cells are major components of neural tissues in the CNS, and both are generated from common Nestin-expressing neural stem/progenitor cells [34, 35]. Survival and directed differentiation of progenitor cells has been shown to play an important role in regeneration of damaged tissues in different organs, including the brain [36]. Vascular endothelial growth factor enhances both angiogenesis and neurogenesis [37]. In a rat model of cerebral ischemia, human trophoblast progenitor cells enhanced expression of angiogenic and neurogenic factors in the brain and significantly decreased infarct volume [38]. A non-randomized open-label phase I study of autologous cluster of differentiation (CD)-34+ selected stem/progenitor cell therapy in patients with cerebral ischemic stroke with NIHSS scores ≥ 8 and within 7 days of stroke onset showed improvement in mRS and NIHSS scores during 6-month follow-up [39]. Patients with chronic stroke, functional deficits and NIHSS scores ≥ 6 transfused intravenously with mesenchymal stem cells over the 12 months of follow-up reported increases in BI scores (p < 0.001) of 10.8 ± 15.5 points [40]. It appears that using stem cells or progenitor cells may have promise in improving the outcomes of patients with acute cerebral ischemic stroke.

Intravenous sovateltide resulted in higher neuronal progenitor cell (NPC) differentiation and better mitochondrial morphology and biogenesis in the brain of stroked rats [41, 42].

Table 4

| Pt no. | SOC + saline (N = 18)                                                                 | Pt no. | SOC + sovateltide (N = 18)                                                                 |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 01-001 | Enoxaparin injection, aspirin tablet, rosuvasatatin tablet, cilnidipine and chlorthalidone tablet | 01-002 | Enoxaparin injection, rosuvasatatin tablet, amlodipine tablet, aspirin and clopidogrel tablet |
| 01-004 | Enoxaparin injection, aspirin tablet, clopidogrel and rosuvasatatin tablet            | 01-003 | Amlodipine tablet, enoxaparin injection, cognistar injection, aspirin tablet, clopidogrel, telmisartan tablet, rosuvasatatin tablet |
| 01-005 | Cognistar injection, levetiracetam tablet, mannitol injection, rosuvasatatin tablet, amlodipine tablet, aspirin tablet, furosemide tablet, rosuvasatatin tablet | 01-006 | Enoxaparin injection, aspirin and clopidogrel tablet, amlodipine tablet |
| 01-008 | Enoxaparin injection, aspirin and clopidogrel tablet, rosuvasatatin tablet           | 01-007 | Enoxaparin injection, aspirin and clopidogrel tablet, rosuvasatatin tablet, cognistar injection, labetalol tablet, amlodipine tablet, nifedipine tablet |
| 01-009 | Aspirin and clopidogrel tablet, atorvastatin tablet, cognistar injection              | 01-010 | Enoxaparin injection, aspirin and clopidogrel tablet, mannitol injection |
| 01-012 | Enoxaparin injection, cognistar injection, aspirin and clopidogrel tablet, rosuvasatatin tablet, amlodipine tablet | 01-011 | Atorvastatin tablet, enoxaparin injection, cognistar injection, nicoumalone tablet |
| 03-001 | Mannitol, aspirin tablet, amlodipine tablet, atorvastatin tablet                      | 02-001 | Alteplase injection, aspirin tablet, atorvastatin tablet, escitalopram tablet, telmisartan tablet |
| 03-003 | Aspirin tablet, atorvastatin tablet                                                  | 02-002 | Heparin injection, aspirin tablet, atorvastatin tablet, enoxaparin injection |
| 03-006 | Amlodipine tablet, aspirin tablet, clopidogrel tablet, atorvastatin tablet            | 03-004 | Amlodipine tablet, alteplase, aspirin tablet, atorvastatin tablet |
| 03-008 | Amlodipine tablet, tenecteplase, aspirin tablet, atorvastatin tablet                  | 03-005 | Metoprolol tablet, aspirin tablet, clopidogrel tablet, ramipril tablet, atorvastatin tablet |
| 04-002 | Aspirin and atorvastatin capsule                                                      | 03-007 | Atorvastatin tablet, aspirin tablet, clopidogrel tablet, fluoxetine tablet, amlodipine tablet |
| 04-003 | Aspirin and atorvastatin capsule, clopidogrel tablet                                  | 04-001 | Aspirin and atorvastatin capsule |
| 04-005 | Atorvastatin tablet, aspirin tablet, telmisartan tablet, clopidogrel tablet           | 04-004 | Nicoumalone tablet, furosemide and spironolactone tablet, enoxaparin injection |
| 06-002 | Aspirin and clopidogrel tablet, mannitol injection, atorvastatin tablet, dalteparin injection | 06-001 | Aspirin tablet, clopidogrel tablet, atorvastatin tablet, trimetazidine tablet |
| 06-006 | Aspirin tablet, atorvastatin tablet, telmisartan and amlodipine tablet                | 06-004 | Aspirin tablet, atorvastatin tablet |
| 06-007 | Aspirin tablet, atorvastatin tablet, amlodipine tablet, telmisartan tablet, fluoxetine capsule | 06-005 | Aspirin tablet, mannitol injection, enoxaparin injection, furosemide injection, atorvastatin tablet |
| 06-009 | Telmisartan and amlodipine tablet, aspirin, atorvastatin and clopidogrel tablet, memantine tablet | 06-008 | Olmesartan and amlodipine tablet, atorvastatin tablet, phenytoin syrup, aspirin and clopidogrel tablet |
| 07-002 | Aspirin tablet, atorvastatin tablet                                                  | 07-001 | Aspirin tablet, atorvastatin tablet |

*14pt patient, SOC standard of care
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higher NPC differentiation and maturation with sovateltide [41, 42]. ETB receptors are vital for the development of neural crest-derived epidermal melanocytes and enteric neurons [19, 27, 28, 43, 44]. ETB receptors are known to regulate the differentiation, proliferation and migration of neurons, melanocytes and glia of both the enteric system and the CNS during pre- and post-natal development [5, 20, 23, 45]. ETB receptor knock-out in rodents led to mortality within 4 weeks of birth [46, 47], craniofacial malformation [48, 49], increased apoptosis, and a significantly lower number of NPCs in the CNS. It has been demonstrated that ETB receptors in the brain are overexpressed at the time of birth and that their expression decreases with maturity of the brain [21, 50]. We have successfully used sovateltide as a novel pharmacological tool to activate a regenerative response in the ischemic brain by stimulating ETB receptors [24, 25, 29, 30].

Stimulation of ETB receptors by sovateltide facilitated restoration of cerebral blood flow and improvement in neurological and motor function in a rat model of cerebral ischemia [24, 25, 29, 30]. Sovateltide-treated animals showed strong evidence of neural tissue repair and regeneration, including decreased infarct volume and oxidative stress, increased pro-angiogenic, pro-survival and anti-apoptotic markers; and increased numbers of proliferating cells [24, 25, 29, 30, 41, 42]. The SONAR trial administered a selective ETA receptor antagonist (leaving ETB receptors unblocked) in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus with chronic kidney disease [51], who have a significantly greater risk of cardiovascular accidents [52], providing indirect supporting evidence of a reduced number of nonfatal strokes in these patients. Unblocked ETB receptors can be stimulated by endogenous circulating ET-1 to produce a neuroprotective effect, because ETA-selective antagonism produces an overstimulation of ETB receptors [53], and ET-3 (more selective for ETB receptors) dilates cerebral blood vessels, which was enhanced by ETA receptor antagonist BQ123 [54]. These findings support our argument that sovateltide mediates brain regeneration and repair after ischemic stroke in the adult brain. Further confirmation is provided by
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**Fig. 2** Time of initiating the treatment after onset of stroke

**Fig. 3** Neurological outcome measures of National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), modified Rankin Scale (mRS) and Barthel Index (BI) in individual patients from day 1 through day 90
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evidence that selective blockade of ET<sub>B</sub> receptors exacerbates ischemic brain damage [19].

The clinical phase I trial (CTRI/2016/11/007509) in healthy human volunteers determined a safe and maximum tolerated dose of sovateltide [5]. In the present study, we report the results of an exploratory phase II (NCT04046484, CTRI/2017/11/010654) study in patients with ischemic stroke. For the present study, we used three doses of sovateltide 0.3 µg/kg administered as an intravenous bolus over 1 min at an interval of 3 ± 1 h on days 1, 3 and 6 (total dose of 0.9 µg/kg/day). The half-life of intravenous sovateltide ranged from 4.38 to 8.29 min at doses of 0.11 µg/kg and 0.29 µg/kg, respectively [55, 56]. The observed half-life of sovateltide was short; however, a longer duration of action is possible because it has been suggested that ET-1 and its receptors form complexes that are internalized and continue to signal [57]. Internalization of ET-1 and its receptor complex into caveolin-containing vesicles occurs within 10 min of ET-1 application, but it continues to signal and provide effects that may last for days [58, 59].

The primary objective of the study was to evaluate the safety and tolerability of sovateltide. Key secondary objectives included efficacy measurements of neurological improvements using the NIHSS, mRS and BI scales and quality-of-life assessments using the EQ-5D and the SSQoL. Sovateltide was well-tolerated, and every patient in the sovateltide group received all nine doses during treatment without any adverse events. Sovateltide treatment was initiated between 8 and 24 h after the onset of stroke. Sovateltide treatment resulted in a quicker recovery as measured by improvements in mRS and BI scales on day 6 compared with day 1. Moreover, sovateltide increased the frequency of favorable outcomes at day 90. An improvement of ≥ 6 points in NIHSS was seen in 56% of patients with sovateltide versus 43% with saline. Although this was not statistically significant, the trend clearly shows an improvement in favorable outcomes. An improvement of ≥ 2 points in the mRS was observed in 60 and 40% of patients with sovateltide and saline, respectively. Although changes in mRS score were not significant at the 95% confidence level, it was significant at the 90% confidence level. Similarly, a BI improvement of ≥ 40 points was reported in 64 and 36% of patients with sovateltide and saline, respectively (p = 0.0112). The number of patients with complete recovery achieving an NIHSS score of 0 and a BI score of 100 was significantly higher (p < 0.05) with sovateltide than with saline at the 95% confidence level. The sovateltide group also had more patients with an mRS score of 0 (p = 0.1193), but this was not statistically significant. These results show a trend towards complete recovery with sovateltide compared with saline.

### Statistical significance

| Test       | Chi-square |
|------------|------------|
| P value    | 0.0201     |
| Odds ratio | 10.18      |
| 95% CI     | 1.285 to 120.5 |

**Fig. 4** Neurological outcome measures on day 6 vs day 1 of National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) scores

**Fig. 5** Number of patients with change in National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), modified Rankin Scale (mRS) and Barthel Index (BI) at 90 days of follow up
although it did not reach statistical significance in all clinical outcome scales. In a landmark recombinant tPA (rtPA) stroke study, complete recovery was defined as an NIHSS score of 0–1, an mRS score of 0–1 and a BI score of 95–100 [60]. In this study, complete recovery was experienced by 38 and 50% of the control and rtPA groups, respectively, according to BI scores; 26 and 39% of the respective groups according to mRS scores; and 20 and 31% of the respective groups according to NIHSS scores [60]. The results in our study also showed favorable outcomes, with complete recovery in 12 and 28% of the saline and sovateltide groups, respectively, according to BI scores; 15 and 24% of the respective groups according to mRS scores, and 13 and 23% of the respective groups according to NIHSS scores. Our percentages were lower than those in the rtPA stroke study [60] because we considered an NIHSS score of 0, a BI score of 100 and an mRS score of 0 to be indicators of complete recovery (Fig. 6). No drug-related adverse events were

Fig. 6 Number of patients with complete recovery as indicated by National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), modified Rankin Scale (mRS) and Barthel Index (BI) at 90 days of follow up. CI confidence interval, EuroQoL EuroQoL 5-Dimensions tool

| Test   | Statistical significance | P value  | Odds ratio     |
|--------|--------------------------|----------|----------------|
| Chi-square | 0.0842                  | 3.429 95% CI 0.7909 to 15.47 |
| Test   | Statistical significance | P value  | Odds ratio     |
| Chi-square | 0.3539                  | 1.956 95% CI 0.4213 to 8.509 |

Fig. 7 Number of patients with improved quality of life at 90 days of follow up.
reported. Results indicate a clear superiority of sovateltide over SOC, resulting in better clinical outcomes for patients with acute cerebral ischemic stroke.

Patients enrolled in this study had mild-to-moderate acute ischemic stroke, and patients with lacunar stroke were excluded. The main reason for excluding lacunar stroke was to exclude extreme variability in the assessment of neurological outcome in such strokes. Since this was a study using a potential first-in-class drug product, we took this approach to enable proper comparison with a limited number of patients. All patients (100%) had an mRS score > 2 at enrollment. Further, 83.33% (15/18) of patients in the saline group and 88.89% (16/18) of those in the sovateltide group had an NIHSS score ≥ 6 and a BI score < 60. Stroke in most of the patients was moderate in nature because the NIHSS score was 9.17 ± 0.89 and 9.72 ± 0.94 in the saline and sovateltide groups, respectively. Similarly, the mRS score was 3.72 ± 0.11 and 3.78 ± 0.10 in the respective groups, and the BI score was 35.56 ± 5.60 and 32.22 ± 4.75 in the respective groups. The most important clinical endpoint, recognized by the major regulatory agencies, is mRS score at 90 days, which was 1.71 ± 0.41 and 0.88 ± 0.26 in the control and sovateltide groups, respectively; the difference in mean was not statistically significant (p = 0.0965) at the 95% confidence level but was at the 90% confidence level.

The limitations of this study include that it enrolled a small number of patients and was conducted in one country. The promising results from this study led to an efficacy study in a larger cohort of patients with ischemic stroke, and sovateltide is currently being tested in a multicentric, randomized, blinded, controlled efficacy phase III clinical trial (NCT04047563). The sovateltide group had more younger patients than the control group, which may contribute to better recovery in the former. Another limitation is that more female patients were enrolled in the control group, which could affect the outcome. It has been reported that age-adjusted mortality rates due to stroke are higher for men than women, but women surviving stroke have less favorable outcomes than men [61]. Although our results suggest that sovateltide treatment can be initiated within a window of 24 h after the onset of stroke, experimental studies in our laboratory indicate that neurological outcomes are better if sovateltide treatment is initiated within 2–6 h after the onset of stroke [24, 25, 30, 62]. Hence, if sovateltide treatment is initiated quickly after the onset of stroke, a greater improvement in neurological outcome may be possible. In the present study, 22.22% of patients in the saline group and 44.45% of those in the sovateltide group received treatment after 20 h (between 20 and 24 h) of onset of stroke. This delay in sovateltide treatment could have negatively affected neurological recovery.

5 Conclusions

Sovateltide was safe and well-tolerated in patients with ischemic stroke. This is the first and thus far only study to assess the effect of sovateltide on neurological outcome in patients with acute cerebral ischemic stroke. This was an exploratory phase II study because using sovateltide is a completely new approach in the treatment of stroke. Sovateltide administered intravenously in patients with acute cerebral ischemic stroke showed significant improvement in several but not all parameters of neurological outcome.
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