Acellular dermal matrix and bone cement sandwich technique for chest wall reconstruction
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INTRODUCTION

The major indications of chest wall resection are tumors of the chest wall and lungs. Chest wall reconstruction is a challenging procedure as it involves rigid skeletal reconstruction and surrounding soft tissue coverage, in addition to resected tissue reconstruction, to prevent flail chest and subsequent respiratory distress [1]. In recent years, as adjuvant and neoadjuvant chemotherapy and radiotherapy options have evolved, thoracic surgeons have been able to operate more aggressively; thus, there is a growing need for efficient reconstruction methods [2].

Various prosthetic materials have been used for reconstruction. There are methods to reconstruct pleura and soft tissue using synthetic materials such as polypropylene and polyester [3] and to perform rigid fixation in skeletal reconstruction by sandwiching bone cement between these materials [4,5]. However, these methods have major disadvantages. Synthetic mesh is vulnerable to postoperative infections in case of poor diffusion into the surrounding tissue, and direct interactions of polymethyl methacrylate with the remaining tissues above and below the synthetic mesh may cause foreign body reactions. Moreover, soft tissue flaps, bone grafts, or chimeric free flaps often require extensive surgery, and the possibility of various complications cannot be ruled out. Therefore, we replaced those synthetic materials and autologous flaps with acellular dermal matrix (ADM).

IDEA

We analyzed chest wall resection and reconstruction cases that were performed by the department of plastic and reconstructive surgery in consultation with the department of thoracic surgery from 2012 to 2018. Six patients (five men and one woman; mean age, 51 years) underwent chest wall reconstruction using ADM (AlloDerm, LifeCell Corp., Branchburg, NJ, USA) with...
the bone cement sandwich technique. We retrospectively analyzed all medical records, including radiographic images. Informed consent was obtained from the patients, including approval for photographic documentation.

Four patients had primary malignant tumors of the chest wall: three patients had chondrosarcoma, one had neurofibromatosis, and another had leiomyosarcoma. The other patient underwent resection of the chest wall combined with pneumonectomy for non-small cell lung cancer.

After the thoracic surgeon performed wide excision of the primary tumor, the visceral pleura was repaired with a Gore-Tex patch. The size of the ADM was selected to accurately cover the defect, the size of which depended on the extent of resection. First, the ADM was hydrated to cover the defect of the visceral pleura over the Gore-Tex patch, and reconstruction was performed with a 1-cm margin over the border of the tissue defect (Fig. 1). Bone cement was then spread to cover the area where ribs were harvested. After complete hardening of the bone cement, reconstruction was completed by covering it with another layer of ADM (Fig. 2). Two layers of ADM were completely sutured in a watertight fashion, establishing a pouch containing the bone cement, in order to prevent direct contact between the bone cement and adjacent soft tissue (Fig. 3). The patient was

Fig. 1. Intraoperative photographs demonstrating the sandwich technique. (A) Acellular dermal matrix (ADM) was placed onto the Gore-Tex patch over the visceral pleura. (B) Bone cement was applied over the ADM layer.

Fig. 2. Two layers of acellular dermal matrix (ADM) wrapping around the bone cement. The ADM prevented direct contact between the bone cement and the adjacent soft tissue.

Fig. 3. Preoperative and postoperative computed tomography (CT) images showing the chest wall. (A) Preoperative CT shows chondrosarcoma on the patient’s left fourth rib cartilage (arrow). (B) At 11 months after surgery, postoperative CT shows three layers of the reconstructed chest wall (arrow).
checked for paradoxical breathing and natural movement of the chest wall, and the wound was closed in a layer-by-layer fashion with suction drainage in place.

Most of the patients were transferred to the general ward after 2 days of intensive care and were discharged 7.5 days (on average) later. During the average follow-up period of 19 months, the patients did not experience major complications such as postoperative wound infection, hemorrhage, respiratory complications (atelectasis, pleural effusion, and air leak), or arrhythmia.

**DISCUSSION**

Chest wall reconstruction is a challenging task for reconstructive surgeons due to the unique characteristics of the chest wall, which must exhibit mechanical capacities in order to facilitate respiratory movements, in addition to its core function as a mechanical barrier that separates the inner viscera from the outside space [1-3].

To date, methods to reconstruct the chest wall, including the pleura and muscular layers, have involved using synthetic materials and covering them with autologous flaps if needed [3-5]. Rigid prostheses have also been used for the reconstruction of defects of the rib cage. The most common method is mechanical barrier reconstruction using bone cement. However, this method has a critical disadvantage in that it is difficult to avoid foreign body reactions and potential donor site morbidity of the autologous flaps [1,6,7].

In our cases, autologous flap surgery was not considered as the treatment of choice because sufficient skin and soft tissue remained present. Rigid reconstruction of the chest wall using non-stretchable materials may result in flail chest with paradoxical respiration, which can increase the respiratory burden. ADM is a more flexible material than those used in traditional fixation methods, such as bone cement, plates, or Prolene mesh. However, ADM itself cannot provide sufficient rigidity to protect the thoracic organs. In this regard, we propose the sandwich technique, wherein bone cement is added between ADM layers. This semi-rigid reconstruction technique is anatomically and functionally favorable and offers both firmness and flexibility of the chest wall.

ADM is highly biocompatible compared to Prolene mesh, which interacts with the recipient soft tissue and acts as a scaffold for donor-side cells after implantation to promote cell incorporation and revascularization [8,9]. It also has the advantage of a lower complication rate and significantly fewer foreign body reactions than occur with synthetic mesh. Moreover, by sealing the bone cement completely with a bioengineered, biocompatible skin product, it is possible to prevent bone cement from directly contacting adjacent soft tissues, thereby promoting safer tissue interactions.

We thought that the ADM should be thicker than that used for skin grafts because it should be able to protect against foreign body reactions in response to bone cement. It could also be of similar thickness or slightly thinner than that used for breast implant reconstruction. Therefore, the thickness of ADM in our technique was chosen to fall within that range (mean, 2.3 mm; range, 1.5–3.0 mm).

However, our approach involving the use of ADM is more expensive than those involving the use of synthetic mesh. The average amount of AlloDerm used was about 30 × 30 cm per patient, which costs approximately 12,000,000 Korean won ($10,000); this can be unaffordable for patients without full health insurance coverage. The patient’s ability to bear these expenses must be discussed before surgery.
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