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**ABSTRACT**

**Introduction:** Infection of burn wound is a chronic disturbance to the skin, which is caused by *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, but lately many cases found that both bacteria have been resistant to the antibiotic. To overcome the infection caused by those bacteria, it is important to search for natural substance that has antibacterial activity to both bacteria. The power of natural substance is underestimated. Studies reveal that honey can be more effective for healing burns than modern medicine. The previous research revealed that amber honey and white honey were used as an alternative treatment against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa Multi Resistant (PaMR)* and *Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)*. The aim of this study was to evaluate the antibacterial activity of amber honey and white honey on PaMR and MRSA.

**Methods:** This research was conducted by performing antibacterial test phase, determining Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC), and determining comparison value of antibacterial activity from amber honey and white honey to tetracycline.

**Results:** The result of this research showed that both amber honey and white honey have antibacterial activity to both bacteria, by way of stronger antibacterial activity from white honey than amber honey. Amber honey and white honey have the same PaMR activity. MIC of white honey was 7.1% (to MRSA) and 12.3% (to PaMR), while MIC of amber honey was 10% (to MRSA) and 12.5% (to PaMR). The comparison value of antibacterial activity between amber honey and white honey to tetracycline for MRSA was equal to 1:1.08 x 10⁻⁴ and 1:1.62 x 10⁻⁴. The comparison value of antibacterial activity between amber honey and white honey to tetracycline for PaMR was equal to 1:5.62 x 10⁻⁶ and 1:1.03 x 10⁻⁵.

**Conclusion:** Amber honey and white honey have antibacterial activity against MRSA and PaMR.
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Introduction

One of many health problems in the community that can never be completely resolved is infection. Infectious diseases caused by bacteria are reported to still be an important health problem in developing countries, including Indonesia.\(^1\) One type of infection is an infection of burn wound that results in inflammation. Burns are damage to the skin caused by direct contact with heat (fire, hot objects), chemicals, and electricity.\(^2\) If not treated quickly, it will cause infection due to dead tissue which will become a fertile place for bacterial growth. The result of this infection is in the form of pus.\(^3\) Inflammation of festering burns at weekly intervals from one of the cases at Brazilian da Asa Norte Hospital reported that there had been the presence of *Staphylococcus aureus* as much as 28.4% and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* as much as 26.9%.\(^4\)

*P. aeruginosa* is a Gram negative, aerobic, and moving bacterium using flagellum, and is an opportunistic bacterium. *P. aeruginosa* infection causes disease in various tissues, including the respiratory tract, eyes, urinary tract, and skin.\(^5\), \(^6\) Treatment for *P. aeruginosa* infections uses antibiotics penicillin group, cephalosporin group, aminoglycoside group, and fluoroquinolone group. Reported by the European epic study, *P. aeruginosa* is resistant to several antibiotics: gentamicin (46%), imipenem (21%), ceftazidime (27%), ciprofloxacin (26%), and ertapenem (37%).\(^7\)

*S. aureus* is a Gram-positive, rounded bacterium, arranged in an irregular sequence like grapes, easily grows in a variety of seedlings and has an active metabolism, and produces pigments that vary from white to dark yellow. *S. aureus* can cause inflammation, abscesses, etc. About 40% of *S. aureus* that can be isolated in hospitals are known to be resistant to various types of antibiotics, but are still sensitive to vancomycin, clindamycin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, and gentamicin.\(^8\) One type of *S. aureus* that is resistant is *Staphylococcus aureus* Methicillin Resistant (MRSA). MRSA is widely distributed as a pathogen in the form of a group of bacteria, and other than being resistant to methicillin, MRSA is also resistant to penicillin, oxacillin, and erythromycin.\(^9\) With the discovery of several cases of resistance, the cost of treatment and status of the patient's disease condition will be higher. Therefore, one alternative treatment for burn infection other than antibiotics is derived from natural ingredients.

Honey is reported to have antibacterial activity against *P. aeruginosa*, *Escherichia coli*, *S. aureus*, and *Streptococcus pyogenes*.\(^10\) Honey is a natural liquid from flower essence (floral nectar) or other parts of plants (extra floral nectar) collected by honey bees.\(^11\) White honey and amber honey are examples of types of honey based on their color. White honey has a higher consistency compared to amber honey.\(^12\) Antibacterial activity in honey is caused by high levels of honey sugar, hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), high acidity of honey, and organic compounds (polyphenols, flavonoids, and glycosides) which are antibacterial. High sugar levels will inhibit the growth of bacteria, thus it cannot live and reproduce. The presence of H₂O₂ radical compounds that are produced enzymatically makes honey has a very acidic pH, thus it can kill pathogenic microorganisms.

Based on scientific information about the antibacterial activity of amber honey and white honey against bacteria in festering burns, it is necessary to test the antibacterial activity of amber honey and white honey against MRSA and PaMR antibacterial tests.

Methods

In this study, we used amber honey and white honey from the island of Sumbawa, Indonesia, which was purchased by CV. Syan Bimpar Utama, Jakarta. The test was conducted at Microbiology Laboratory of Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga on September-October 2013.

The study was conducted pre-experimentally using 1-2 dose for each test of bacteria. MRSA and PaMR are inoculated into Nutrient Broth as a complete aseptic, and then incubated in an incubator at 370°C for 18-24 hours.

For antibacterial activity test, a total of 20 µL of each bacterial suspension was put into a sterile petri dish, then the nutrient that was still runny (40-450°C) was poured into it. After being homogenised and allowed to freeze, several holes are made in the nutrient agar using a perforator. A total of 50 µL of test material (honey) was put into the holes. All petri dishes were incubated in an incubator at 370°C for 18-24 hours. The diameter of the inhibition formed around the hole was observed. The formation of inhibitory diameter around the hole indicated the antibacterial activity of the test material.

In determining Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC), a certain amount of honey was put into a petri dish, then a gradual dilution was performed by adding a certain amount of nutrients in order to reach a certain concentration variation. After being homogenised and allowed to freeze, a dose of test bacteria was etched on the surface of the nutrient agar. All petri dishes were incubated upside down in an incubator at 370°C for 18-24 hours. The diameter of the inhibition formed around the hole was observed. The formation of inhibitory diameter around the hole indicated the antibacterial activity of the test material.

For the comparative test of antibacterial activity of amber honey and white honey against tetracycline, a total of 40 µL of bacterial suspension was put into a sterile petri dish, then the liquid nutrient agar (40-450°C) was poured into it. After being homogenised and allowed to freeze, several holes were made in the nutrient agar with a perforator. A total of 50 µL of test material (honey) and various concentrations were put into each hole. All petri dishes were incubated in an incubator at 370°C for 18-24 hours. The diameter of the inhibition formed around the hole was measured by the callipers.

Results
Parameters analyzed in the honey include acidity, refractive index, water content, viscosity, and specific gravity. Analysis was done to see the quality of the honey used, with reference to SNI-01-3545-2004 concerning honey. The results of honey quality analysis are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Results of quality analysis of amber honey and white honey.

| Criteria                  | SNI     | White Honey | Amber Honey |
|---------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| Similarity (ml NaOH 1 N/kg) | 50      | 40.337      | 38.071      |
| Refractive index          | 1.5044-1.4745 | 1.4803      | 1.4781      |
| Water content (%) (max)   | 22      | 22.6        | 23.4        |
| Viscosity (poise) (min)   | 10.7    | 18          | 16          |
| Specific gravity (g/ml)   | 1.354-1.4164 | 1.4136      | 1.398       |
| pH                       | 3.2-4.5 | 4.08        | 4.17        |

The results of amber honey and white honey activity testing on MRSA and PaMR can be seen in Table 2.

Table 2. Test results of antibacterial activity of amber honey and white honey against MRSA and PaMR.

| Type of Honey | Concentration (%) | Inhibitory zone diameter (mm) |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
|               |                   | MRSA | PaMR |
| White Honey   | 50%               | 36.5 | 16.3 |
| Amber Honey   | 50%               | 31.5 | 15.6 |

The results of MIC determination of amber honey and white honey against MRSA and PaMR can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3. Results of MIC determination of amber honey and white honey against MRSA and PaMR.

### Concentration of Antibacterial Activity

| Concentration C (ppm) | Log C | Inhibitory diameter (mm) towards MRSA |
|-----------------------|-------|--------------------------------------|
| Tetracycline          |       |                                      |
| 100                   | 2     | 25.26                                |
| 200                   | 2.302029996 | 28.5                           |
| 300                   | 2.477121255 | 30.3                           |
| 400                   | 2.602059991 | 31.03                          |
| 500                   | 2.698970004 | 31.5                           |
| Amber Honey           |       |                                      |
| 100000                | 5     | 16.7                                |
| 200000                | 5.301029996 | 17.5                          |
| 300000                | 5.477121255 | 18.3                          |
| 400000                | 5.602059991 | 20.1                          |
| 500000                | 5.698970004 | 20.9                          |
| 100000                | 5     | 18.3                                |
| 200000                | 5.301029996 | 21.21                         |
| 300000                | 5.477121255 | 23.2                          |
| 400000                | 5.602059991 | 26.4                          |
| 500000                | 5.698970004 | 32.1                          |

Based on Table 4, to get an appeal value, the standard curve between log tetracycline concentration and MRSA was obtained by the straight line equation for the antibacterial activity of tetracycline against MRSA such as y = 9.1219 x + 7.2792 with a correlation coefficient of 0.9822. From Table 4, the standard curve was obtained as shown in Figure 1.
Figure 1. The standard curve for tetracycline with respect to MRSA with the equation.

The results of comparative tests on the antibacterial activity of white honey and amber honey with respect to MRSA is shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2. The curve of antibacterial test of white honey and amber honey activity against MRSA.

For comparative data on the antibacterial activity of tetracycline, amber honey, and white honey against PaMR can be seen in Table 5.

| Type of substance antibacterial | Concentration C (ppm) | Log C | Inhibitory diameter (mm) towards PaMR |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|
| Tetracycline                    | 1000                  | 3     | 18.02                             |
|                                 | 2000                  | 3.301029996 | 20.31                            |
|                                 | 4000                  | 3.602059991 | 23.22                            |
|                                 | 8000                  | 3.903089987 | 25.47                            |
|                                 | 16000                 | 4.204119983 | 30.68                            |
| Amber Honey                     | 600000                | 5.77815125  | 16                               |
|                                 | 700000                | 5.84509804  | 16.5                             |
|                                 | 800000                | 5.903089987 | 17.1                             |
|                                 | 900000                | 5.954242509 | 17.5                             |
|                                 | 1000000               | 6       | 18.1                             |
| White Honey                     | 600000                | 5.77815125  | 16.8                             |
|                                 | 700000                | 5.84509804  | 17.75                            |
|                                 | 800000                | 5.903089987 | 18.9                             |
|                                 | 900000                | 5.954242509 | 20.81                            |
|                                 | 1000000               | 6       | 21.5                             |

Based on Table 5, to get an appeal value, the standard curve between log tetracycline concentration and PaMR was obtained by the straight line equation for the antibacterial activity of tetracycline against the PaMR with \( y = 3.048x + 14.396 \) with a correlation coefficient of 0.9707. The data on Table 5 was processed through linear regression analysis, producing a standard curve graph seen in Figure 3.

Figure 3. The standard curve of tetracycline against PaMR with the equation \( y = 3.048x + 14.396 \), with \( R^2 = 0.9707 \).

The results of comparative tests on the antibacterial activity of white honey and amber honey against PaMR is shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4. The curve of antibacterial test of white honey and amber honey activity against PaMR.

Discussion

From Table 1, it can be seen that only the water content criteria could not be fulfilled by amber honey and white honey. The difference in yield water content in the second analysis of honey with SNI standard was quite small. Therefore, amber honey and white honey used in this study had good quality.

Based on Table 2, it shows both honey’s produce zone of inhibition against each test bacteria. The inhibitory diameter of the second inhibition zone of honey against MRSA was not completely clear, but it was yellowish in color, which was due to the bacteriostatic activity of both honey against MRSA. In the second zone of inhibition of honey against PaMR, there were still some colonies with smaller numbers and sizes than those outside the zone of inhibition. This shows that both honey were also bacteriostatic towards PaMR.
Based on Table 3, MIC of amber honey to MRSA was 10% and against PaMR was 12.5%. MIC of white honey against MRSA was 7% and against PaMR was 12.3%. This shows that activity of the antibacterial properties of white honey against MRSA and PaMR were stronger than amber honey against these two bacteria. Based on the results of the study, it also shows that MRSA was more sensitive to both honey than PaMR.

From Figure 2, it is known that white honey has better antibacterial activity against MRSA test bacteria than amber honey, because the graph of antibacterial activity of white honey was above the amber honey graph.

To get the comparative test value, one concentration and inhibition diameter data were taken from amber honey and white honey against MRSA. Amber honey with a concentration of 100,000 ppm produced an inhibitory diameter of 16.7 mm, while white honey with a concentration of 100,000 ppm produced an inhibitory diameter of 18.3 mm. Values of 16.7 and 18.3 were substituted into the equation of \( y = 9.1219x + 7.2792 \) as the value of \( y \), so that the resulting \( x \) value and the antilog were 10.78368756 and 16.1498819 respectively. By using the comparative test formula, the comparative value of the antibacterial activity of amber honey against tetracycline for MRSA was 1:1.08 x 10-4, while white honey against tetracycline for MRSA was 1:1.62 x 10-4.

From Figure 4, it is known that white honey has better activity antibacterial against PaMR test bacteria compared to amber honey, because the antibacterial activity chart of white honey was above the amber honey graph, thus it is known that white honey has better antibacterial activity against both test bacteria than amber honey, because both graphs of antibacterial activity of white honey which were above amber honey chart. The same calculation was performed on antibacterial activity of amber honey against PaMR. Amber honey with a concentration of 600,000 ppm produced an inhibitory diameter of 16 mm, while white honey with a concentration of 600,000 ppm produced an inhibitory diameter of 16.8 mm. Values of 16 and 16.8 were substituted into the equation of \( y = 3.048x + 14.396 \) as the value of \( y \), so that the resulting \( x \) and antilog values were 3.374544994 and 6.175646302 respectively. By using the comparative test formula, the comparative value of the antibacterial activity of amber honey against tetracycline for PaMR was 1:5.62 x 10-6, while white honey against tetracycline for PaMR was 1:1.03 x 10-5.

Conclusion

From the research conducted it can be concluded that amber honey and white honey have antibacterial activity against MRSA and PaMR. MIC of amber honey and white honey against MRSA was 10% and 7%. MIC of amber honey and white honey against PaMR was 12.5% and 12.3%. The comparative value of the antibacterial activity of amber honey and white honey against tetracycline for MRSA was 1:1.08 x 10-4 and 1:1.62 x 10-4. Comparative value of antibacterial activity of amber honey and white honey against tetracycline for PaMR was 1:5.62 x 10-6 and 1:1.03 x 10-5.

In the future, this research can be continued by testing the antibacterial activity of honey against various other bacterial strains.
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