Tract integrity in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: 6-month evaluation using MR diffusion tensor imaging
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Abstract

Background: This study was performed to assess changes in diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) over time in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS).

Methods: We performed DTI in 23 ALS patients who had two magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans at 6 month intervals and to correlate results with clinical features. The revised ALS functional rating scale (ALSFRS-R) was administered at each clinical visit. Data analysis included voxel–based white matter tract–based spatial statistics (TBSS) and atlas–based region–of–interest (ROI) analysis of fractional anisotropy (FA) and mean diffusivity (MD).

Results: With TBSS, there were no significant changes between the two scans. The average change in FA and MD in the ROIs over 6 months was small and not significant after allowing for multiple comparisons. After allowing for multiple comparisons, there was no significant correlation of FA or MD with ALSFRS–R.

Conclusion: This study shows that there is little evidence of progressive changes in DTI over time in ALS. This could be because white matter is already substantially damaged by the time of onset of symptoms of ALS.
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Background

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is a neurodegenerative disease defined by loss of upper and lower motor neurons. However, extra–motor dysfunction can also be found in ALS [1] with cognitive impairment being prominent [2]. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies of ALS have reported pathological changes in white matter (WM), using diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), and in gray matter (GM) [3, 4]. There are changes in major white matter tracts in ALS [5], and changes in gray and white matter are more severe in patients with cognitive impairment [6].

For clinical monitoring and for use in clinical trials there is a need for a biomarker that is related to disease pathology [7]. Imaging biomarkers have the advantage of being non-invasive. DTI provides a measure of white matter changes. In ALS, cross–sectional studies have focused on abnormalities in the corticospinal tract (CST) [8–10], where it is widely accepted that there is reduction in fractional anisotropy (FA), although some studies have reported the involvement of association tracts and subcortical structures [11, 12]. Changes in mean diffusivity (MD) are less frequently studied, although in our previous study we found that MD shows changes in ALS [6]. It might be expected that serial DTI studies could be useful as a measure of the rate of progression of disease and could also demonstrate the spread of pathology to different regions [13].

There have been some longitudinal studies of DTI in ALS [14–17]. These have given inconsistent findings. Using a region of interest approach, some have reported the progression of ALS by demonstrating decreasing FA over time along the CST [14, 15], while another found...
that FA does not change on later scans [16]. There have been some recent studies suggesting changes in axial diffusivity (AD) in the spinal cord over time [18] and radial diffusivity (RD) over time [19]. A study using $^1$H magnetic resonance spectroscopy gave negative findings [17]. To obtain further value from MRI biomarkers, there is also a need for correlation of MRI findings with clinical status.

This study explores the changes in WM of motor and extra-motor pathways over time using tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS) and region-of-interest (ROI) analysis in 23 patients who had two MRI scans, 6 months apart, analyzing FA and MD. The primary hypothesis was that the later scan would show greater WM damage than the first scan and the secondary hypothesis was that changes in WM would correlate with changes in disease severity.

**Methods**

**Participants**

Patients with ALS were recruited from the multidisciplinary Motor Neuron Disease clinic at the Royal Brisbane Women Hospital (RBWH). All patients fulfilled the criteria for definite ALS according to revised El Escorial criteria [20]. The patients were classified into the phenotypes described by Chio et al. [21]. All participants in the present study were participants in a previous cross-sectional MRI study of 30 subjects with ALS [6]. The revised ALS functional rating scale (ALSFRS-R) was administered at each clinical visit [22]. All ALS subjects also received cognitive and behavioral testing, using the Addenbrooke's cognitive examination III (ACE-III) [23, 24] and the Frontal Assessment battery (FAB) [25] on the day of the first MRI scan. The study was approved by the RBWH Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC 2008/98) and all patients provided written informed consent. All activities were conducted in accordance with relevant guidelines.

**Image acquisition**

MRI scans were performed at RBWH using a 3T Siemens Tim Trio (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) equipped with a 12–channel parallel head coil. In addition to a standard series of clinical sequences, diffusion-weighted images (DWIs) were acquired along 64 non-collinear directions at $b = 3000 \text{s/mm}^2$, with one non-diffusion weighted image. Acquisition parameters were: 60 axial slices, FOV $30 \times 30 \text{ cm}$, slice thickness 2.5 mm, matrix $128 \times 128$, TR/TE $920/112 \text{ ms}$, iPAT factor 2. A field map was acquired using two 2D gradient-recalled echo images with $\text{TE1/TE2} = 4.76/7.22 \text{ msec}$ to assist in the correction of geometric distortions. The acquisition time for the diffusion dataset was 9:40 min.

**Diffusion processing**

Diffusion MRI data were preprocessed as described previously [26]. Preprocessing methods included correction for head movement with rotation of the b-matrix, detection and removal of signal intensity outliers, and correction for geometric distortions and intensity inhomogeneity. Maps of FA and MD were calculated using MRtrix 0.2.9.

A custom FA template, generated using the scripts provided with the Advanced Normalization Tools Software (ANTS) package (http://picsl.upenn.edu/ANTS/) [27], was derived from all subjects. We used ANTS symmetric diffeomorphic registrations using symmetric image normalization (Greedy SyN). The Johns Hopkins University (JHU) 1 mm FA was used for the initial rigid body registration to generate the template. The JHU atlas [28] was normalized to this study template using symmetric diffeomorphic registration. JHU atlas ROIs were subsequently transformed to the individual datasets in native space by applying the inverse transform.

**Tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS)**

Tract-based spatial statistics analysis was performed with the Functional MRI of the Brain (FMRIB) Software Library (FSL) package version 5.0 (www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/tbss) [29] which is a fully automated whole brain analysis technique that uses voxel-wise statistics on DTI data while simultaneously minimizing the effects of misalignment [29]. Briefly, the main steps were a) non-linear alignment of FA images to $1 \times 1 \times 1 \text{ mm MNI152 standard space}$, b) creation of the

| Table 1 List of regions-of-interest investigated in this study |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **ROIs** |
| Corticospinal tract |
| Corona radiata (CR) (right and left) |
| Medial lemniscus (ML) (right and left) |
| Pons (midline) |
| Posterior internal capsule (PLIC) (right and left) |
| Callosal tracts |
| Forceps minor (FMi) (right and left) |
| Genu corpus callosum (gCC) (midline) |
| Body corpus callosum (bCC) (midline) |
| Splenium corpus callosum (sCC) (midline) |
| Association fibers |
| Superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF) (right and left) |
| Inferior longitudinal fasciculus (ILF) (right and left) |
| Other extramotor tracts |
| Cingulum (Cg) (midline) |
| Hippocampus (Hpc) (right and left) |
| Anterior limb of internal capsule (ALIC) (right and left) |
mean FA image and its white matter “skeleton” representing the tracts that are common to all subjects (mean FA skeleton threshold was 0.2), c) projection of individual FA maps onto the image skeleton, d) projection of individual non-FA maps (e.g. MD) using the projections obtained from FA. We performed voxel-wise statistical analysis on the skeleton, with statistical tests as described below.

Region of interest (ROI) analysis
Using the JHU atlas in subject space, we performed a ROI analysis of the diffusion tensor data in 21 regions; non-midline structures were measured on both sides separately. The regions that were studied are listed in Table 1. Using ITK-Snap software, placement of ROIs was confirmed by one rater (A.R.A). Mean FA and MD values were extracted for each region as shown in Fig. 1.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses for ROI measurements were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) for Mac (ver. 23.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Mean and standard deviation values were calculated for each variable. All data were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. For data that were normally distributed, we used the paired-sample t-test. Significant results were set at the level of \( p < 0.05 \). For data that were not normally distributed, group differences were analyzed by Wilcoxon rank test, with a threshold for significance of \( p < 0.05 \). To
confirm our results, MANOVA was performed on the significant results correcting for age and gender as covariant.

The selected ROI were used to explore the relationship between FA and MD with disease severity (using ALSFRS-R) [22] and disease duration from the time of onset of symptoms until the time of the test for each patient over time using a Pearson correlation. The ALSFRS-R is a scale with 12 domains, with a maximum score of 48 which indicates a lack of symptoms and disability, and a lower score indicates worse disability.

TBSS statistical analysis used a paired t-test design to detect changes between two time-points from the same group of patients. To correct for multiple comparisons across space, we employed permutation testing (5000 permutations) and threshold-free cluster enhancement (TFCE; [30]). We consider results to be significant at a fully corrected \( p < 0.05 \).

**Results**

**Subjects**

Twenty-three patients with ALS were studied (16 males, 7 females, mean age 59 years, mean ALSFRS-R score of 39, mean duration at first scan of 27.6 months). These subjects were part of a cohort of 30 ALS patients previously reported [6]. Of the original 30 subjects, 6 declined to have a second scan, usually because of increasing difficulty with mobility and one was deceased before the second scan. Of these 7 patients who did not return for follow-up examination there were 4 males and 3 females. The mean age was 66 years, the mean ALS FR SR score was 36.7 and the mean duration was 16.8 months. Of the

---

### Table 2: Summary of clinical data

| At first scan | At second scan | \( p \) value |
|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| Disease duration in months (mean ± SD) | 27.6 ± 24 | 33.0 ± 24 | – |
| ALSFRS–R (Mean ± SD) | 39 ± 5 | 38 ± 4 | 0.03 |

### Table 3: Clinical features of ALS patients

| Subjects | Age | Gender | Handedness | El Escorial category | Phenotype \(^a\) | Site of Onset \(^b\) | Riluzole | Disease duration (months) \(^c\) |
|----------|-----|--------|------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|--------------------------------|
| 1        | 57  | Male   | Right      | Definite            | classic        | RLL            | Y        | 15                             |
| 2        | 68  | Male   | Right      | Definite            | classic        | LUL            | N        | 27                             |
| 3        | 74  | Male   | Right      | Definite            | atypical       | RUL            | N        | 48                             |
| 4        | 63  | Female | Left       | Definite            | classic        | LLL            | –        | 65                             |
| 5        | 41  | Male   | Right      | Definite            | classic        | RUL            | Y        | 22                             |
| 6        | 65  | Female | Right      | Definite            | classic        | LUL            | N        | 17                             |
| 7        | 51  | Male   | Right      | Definite            | slow progression | LL (Bilateral) | N        | 68                             |
| 8        | 47  | Male   | Mixed      | Definite            | flail          | LLL            | Y        | 30                             |
| 9        | 57  | Male   | Right      | Definite            | flail          | LUL            | Y        | 28                             |
| 10       | 71  | Male   | Right      | Definite            | flail          | RLL            | Y        | 19                             |
| 11       | 28  | Female | Right      | Definite            | UMN            | LUL            | Y        | 13                             |
| 12       | 54  | Female | Right      | Definite            | slow progression | LUL          | N        | 2.8                            |
| 13       | 66  | Female | Right      | Definite            | classic        | RLL            | Y        | 6                              |
| 14       | 63  | Male   | Right      | Definite            | classic        | RUL            | N        | 8                              |
| 15       | 60  | Female | Right      | Definite            | classic        | LLL            | –        | 31                             |
| 16       | 71  | Male   | Right      | Definite            | classic        | RUL            | N        | 112                            |
| 17       | 52  | Male   | Right      | Definite            | atypical       | LUL            | Y        | 11                             |
| 18       | 68  | Male   | Right      | Definite            | slow progression | LLL          | N        | 14                             |
| 19       | 60  | Male   | Right      | Definite            | classic        | Bulbar         | N        | 26                             |
| 20       | 53  | Male   | Right      | Definite            | UMN            | LL             | Y        | 27                             |
| 21       | 59  | Male   | Left       | Definite            | classic        | RLL            | N        | 11                             |
| 22       | 60  | Female | Left       | Definite            | classic        | LLL            | N        | 24                             |
| 23       | 71  | Male   | Right      | Definite            | classic        | Bulbar         | N        | 16                             |

\(^a\) Phenotype as described by Chio et al. 2011 [21]. Those patients with long survival hasve been designated as atypical

\(^b\) RUL: right upper limb, RLL: right lower limb, LUL: left upper limb, LLL: left lower limb, LL: lower limb

\(^c\) Disease duration is taken from the time of onset of symptoms until the time of the first scan
23 participants, 10 had cognitive impairment (ACE-III score of < 88) and one had abnormal frontal lobe features (FAB< 12).

The clinical details of the participants are summarized in Table 2. The clinical features of the individual ALS subjects are shown in Table 3. The timing of the first scan ranged from 3 to 112 months after the date of onset of ALS (mean 27.6 months). Three patients had long disease duration (patient 4: 65 months, patient 7: 68 months; and patient 16: 112 months). In the interval between the first and second scans there was a small but significant decline in the ALSFRS-R ($p = 0.032$).

**TBSS**

Whole brain analysis performed using TBSS showed no significant difference between the first and second scans for ALS subjects. ROI analyses were then used to measure changes in specific brain regions.

**ROI studies**

For the motor pathways, there was only a small change in FA between the two scans. In some patients there was a decrease, and in other patients there was an increase in FA. Table 4 shows the mean FA for the first and second scans. There were only small differences between the mean values. Significant increases ($p < 0.05$, uncorrected) were observed in the FA along the cortico-spinal tract at the right medial lemniscus (ML), pons, white matter tracts of the right hippocampus and the right anterior limb of internal capsule (ALIC). However, after correcting for multiple comparisons these differences would not be significant. Using MD, there were no significant changes between ROIs over time in any level of the motor pathways (data not shown).

**Correlation of FA with ALSFRS-R and disease duration**

We investigated the correlation of FA with ALSFRS-R and disease duration at both time points, as shown in Table 5. At the first scan, the only significant findings were negative correlations the genu of CC, bilateral forceps minor and bilateral ILF ($p < 0.05$, uncorrected). At the time of the second scan, there were no significant correlations. For disease duration, at the first scan, there was a significant negative correlation was in bilateral ALIC ($p < 0.05$, uncorrected). At second scan, there were significant negative correlations in the right ALIC and bilateral ILF. However, these findings would not be significant after correcting for multiple comparisons.

| ROI          | FA Mean (SD) First scan | FA Mean (SD) Second scan | $p$-value |
|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Right FMi    | 0.3639 (0.03)           | 0.3647 (0.03)            | 0.586     |
| Left FMi     | 0.3605 (0.03)           | 0.3618 (0.03)            | 0.266     |
| gCC          | 0.4887 (0.04)           | 0.4908 (0.04)            | 0.190     |
| bCC          | 0.5221 (0.04)           | 0.5230 (0.04)            | 0.689     |
| sCC          | 0.5884 (0.03)           | 0.5909 (0.03)            | 0.124     |
| Right CR     | 0.4076 (0.03)           | 0.4076 (0.03)            | 0.988     |
| Left CR     | 0.4129 (0.03)           | 0.4133 (0.03)            | 0.801     |
| Right PLIC   | 0.5517 (0.03)           | 0.5525 (0.03)            | 0.700     |
| Left PLIC    | 0.5493 (0.03)           | 0.5520 (0.03)            | 0.220     |
| Right ML     | 0.4912 (0.02)           | 0.4988 (0.02)            | 0.029     |
| Left ML      | 0.4958 (0.02)           | 0.5007 (0.03)            | 0.163     |
| Pons         | 0.3879 (0.03)           | 0.3956 (0.03)            | 0.032     |
| Right ILF    | 0.4893 (0.03)           | 0.4895 (0.03)            | 0.306     |
| Left ILF     | 0.5053 (0.03)           | 0.5031 (0.04)            | 0.907     |
| Right SLF    | 0.4293 (0.02)           | 0.4283 (0.03)            | 0.506     |
| Left SLF     | 0.4216 (0.03)           | 0.4218 (0.03)            | 0.898     |
| Right ALIC   | 0.4803 (0.03)           | 0.4846 (0.03)            | 0.040     |
| Left ALIC    | 0.4732 (0.03)           | 0.4769 (0.03)            | 0.169     |
| Right Hpc    | 0.3339 (0.02)           | 0.3402 (0.03)            | 0.038     |
| Left Hpc     | 0.3364 (0.03)           | 0.3401 (0.02)            | 0.243     |
| Right Cg     | 0.4042 (0.03)           | 0.4046 (0.03)            | 0.832     |
| Left Cg      | 0.4171 (0.03)           | 0.4192 (0.03)            | 0.177     |
Correlation of MD with ALSFRS-R and disease duration

The correlations of FA with ALSFRS-R and with disease duration at both time points are shown in Table 6. At the first scan, there were significant positive correlations between MD and ALSFRS-R scores in the left hippocampus, bilateral ALIC, bilateral ILF and SLF ($p < 0.05$, uncorrected). Bilateral cingulum, forceps minor and the genu of CC also showed significant positive correlation ($p < 0.05$, uncorrected). In the motor pathways, MD at baseline correlated with ALSFRS-R only in the corona radiata ($p < 0.05$, uncorrected). At the second scan, the only significant correlations were in the right hippocampus ($p < 0.05$, uncorrected). However, these would not be significant after correction for multiple comparisons.

Correlation between change in FA and change in ALSFRS-R

The correlations between the changes in FA with the changes in ALSFRS-R in different ROIs is shown in Table 7. Statistically significant correlations were observed only in the splenium of the corpus callosum and the right cingulum ($p < 0.05$, uncorrected), and this would not be significant after correcting for multiple comparisons.

Discussion

This study was performed to determine the usefulness of DTI of WM tracts in ALS, as a measure of disease progression over a 6-month interval. The ability to measure the progression of ALS using imaging is important for use in prognosis and in clinical trials, and to understand disease pathogenesis [31]. There has been interest in the role of ROI studies in DTI of fiber tracts to evaluate progression of ALS [32, 33] but the results have been variable. A summary of the results of

| ROI     | ALSFRS-R (Baseline scan) | ALSFRS-R (6-month scan) | Disease duration (Baseline scan) | Disease duration (6-month scan) |
|---------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|         | Pearson Correlation | $p$-value (2-tailed)    | Pearson Correlation | $p$-value (2-tailed)    | Pearson Correlation | $p$-value (2-tailed)    | Pearson Correlation | $p$-value (2-tailed)    |
| Rt.CR   | -0.270                   | 0.250                   | 0.287                          | 0.282                          | -0.362                  | 0.090                  | -0.317                  | 0.141                  |
| Lt.CR   | -0.026                   | 0.317                   | 0.308                          | 0.246                          | -0.249                  | 0.253                  | -0.195                  | 0.372                  |
| Rt.ML   | 0.103                    | 0.667                   | 0.142                          | 0.600                          | -0.120                  | 0.586                  | 0.047                   | 0.830                  |
| Lt.ML   | 0.008                    | 0.972                   | 0.206                          | 0.444                          | -0.118                  | 0.592                  | -0.069                  | 0.755                  |
| Rt.P LIC| 0.178                    | 0.453                   | -0.048                         | 0.860                          | 0.069                   | 0.753                  | -0.179                  | 0.414                  |
| Lt.P LIC| 0.127                    | 0.595                   | -0.141                         | 0.601                          | -0.041                  | 0.852                  | -0.255                  | 0.239                  |
| Pons    | -0.134                   | 0.574                   | -0.183                         | 0.497                          | -0.064                  | 0.772                  | -0.298                  | 0.168                  |
| Rt.FMi  | -0.649                   | 0.002                   | -0.173                         | 0.521                          | -0.354                  | 0.098                  | -0.378                  | 0.076                  |
| Lt.FMi  | -0.560                   | 0.010                   | -0.051                         | 0.851                          | -0.342                  | 0.110                  | -0.396                  | 0.061                  |
| gCC     | -0.542                   | 0.014                   | 0.185                          | 0.493                          | -0.203                  | 0.352                  | -0.180                  | 0.410                  |
| bCC     | -0.232                   | 0.325                   | -0.012                         | 0.966                          | -0.169                  | 0.442                  | -0.207                  | 0.344                  |
| sCC     | -0.372                   | 0.106                   | -0.272                         | 0.308                          | -0.138                  | 0.530                  | -0.271                  | 0.211                  |
| Rt.I LF | -0.594                   | 0.006                   | -0.266                         | 0.319                          | -0.404                  | 0.056                  | -0.431                  | 0.040                  |
| Lt.I LF | -0.597                   | 0.005                   | -0.088                         | 0.745                          | -0.333                  | 0.120                  | -0.435                  | 0.038                  |
| Rt.SLF  | -0.399                   | 0.081                   | 0.123                          | 0.650                          | -0.325                  | 0.130                  | -0.333                  | 0.120                  |
| Lt.SLF  | -0.389                   | 0.090                   | 0.271                          | 0.311                          | -0.245                  | 0.261                  | -0.315                  | 0.143                  |
| Rt.Cg   | -0.333                   | 0.152                   | 0.091                          | 0.736                          | -0.236                  | 0.278                  | -0.310                  | 0.150                  |
| Lt.Cg   | -0.373                   | 0.105                   | -0.063                         | 0.815                          | -0.196                  | 0.370                  | -0.178                  | 0.417                  |
| Rt.H pc | 0.123                    | 0.605                   | -0.103                         | 0.704                          | 0.132                   | 0.547                  | -0.007                  | 0.976                  |
| Lt.H pc | -0.223                   | 0.346                   | -0.217                         | 0.419                          | -0.056                  | 0.799                  | -0.276                  | 0.202                  |
| Rt.A LIC| -0.349                   | 0.132                   | 0.130                          | 0.632                          | -0.056                  | 0.003                  | -0.535                  | 0.009                  |
| Lt.A LIC| -0.381                   | 0.098                   | 0.115                          | 0.671                          | -0.441                  | 0.035                  | -0.399                  | 0.059                  |
other longitudinal studies of ALS is shown in Table 8. Our study has analyzed the results of FA and MD, which were shown to differ between ALS and controls in our previous study [6].

Our study showed that over this time period there was some evidence of clinical progression of ALS patients as seen by a decline in clinical scores of motor function and cognition, but this change was small. Over this time interval there was no significant change in DTI measures using TBSS. ROI analysis of FA and MD revealed some significant changes, however, these would not be significant after correcting for multiple comparisons. There have been inconsistent findings in other serial studies (Table 8), but our work agrees with those who found little change over time.

Using the uncorrected $p$ values, there were some minor changes in the motor pathways over 6 months observation. DTI changes in motor pathways over time would be expected in ALS, which involves degeneration of the motor pathways. Previous studies have shown some evidence of progressive decrease in FA in the CST over time [33, 34]. We found changes only in the right hemisphere, which is consistent with previous work by Steinbach et al. [35]. DTI studies using an ROI method showed a bilateral reduction in FA along the CST [34] while other studies found changes in CST to be confined to the right hemisphere [36]. A recent study from our group has found that handedness has an effect on the site of onset and the spread of pathology [37, 38] and that there is asymmetry of atrophy of the motor cortex in ALS [39]. The greater change in the distal portions of the intracranial CST suggests a pattern of distal degeneration. This has also been reported in previous studies [40, 41] and could indicate a dying back of the CST. We found some evidence of progressive changes in the hippocampus. There is known to be atrophy of the hippocampus in ALS [42, 43] and there has been a previous study showing hippocampal abnormality at the advanced stage of ALS [44], but this is the first to show changes over time.

The lack of significant change between scans could be due to the relatively short 6-month interval

| ROI   | ALSFRS-R (Baseline) | ALSFRS-R (6–month) | Disease duration (Baseline) | Disease duration (6–month) |
|-------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|       | Pearson Correlation | $p$-value (2-tailed) | Pearson Correlation | $p$-value (2-tailed) | Pearson Correlation | $p$-value (2-tailed) | Pearson Correlation | $p$-value (2-tailed) |
| Rt.Cr | 0.468               | 0.037               | 0.088                       | 0.745                       | 0.477               | 0.021               | 0.0455                       | 0.029                       |
| Lt.Cr | 0.493               | 0.027               | -0.008                      | 0.977                       | 0.416               | 0.048               | 0.390                       | 0.066                       |
| Rt.ML | 0.144               | 0.544               | 0.241                       | 0.368                       | 0.035               | 0.873               | 0.094                       | 0.669                       |
| Lt.ML | 0.224               | 0.342               | 0.202                       | 0.453                       | 0.174               | 0.428               | 0.281                       | 0.195                       |
| Rt.PLIC| -0.301              | 0.198               | -0.195                      | 0.47                        | -0.277              | 0.201               | -0.407                       | 0.54                        |
| Lt.PLIC| -0.133              | 0.575               | -0.299                      | 0.837                       | -0.252              | 0.247               | -0.240                       | 0.720                       |
| Pons  | 0.206               | 0.384               | 0.236                       | 0.379                       | -0.115              | 0.602               | 0.130                       | 0.555                       |
| Rt.FMi| 0.530               | 0.016               | 0.212                       | 0.430                       | 0.351               | 0.101               | 0.344                       | 0.109                       |
| Lt.FMi| 0.651               | 0.0028              | 0.257                       | 0.337                       | 0.474               | 0.022               | 0.474                       | 0.022                       |
| Gcc   | 0.508               | 0.022               | 0.313                       | 0.238                       | 0.266               | 0.219               | 0.285                       | 0.188                       |
| LcCc  | 0.313               | 0.179               | -0.034                      | 0.901                       | 0.193               | 0.378               | 0.308                       | 0.153                       |
| Scc   | 0.362               | 0.117               | 0.276                       | 0.301                       | 0.089               | 0.686               | 0.214                       | 0.328                       |
| Rt.ILF| 0.652               | 0.002               | 0.358                       | 0.173                       | 0.445               | 0.033               | 0.520                       | 0.011                       |
| Lt.ILF| 0.621               | 0.003               | 0.256                       | 0.338                       | 0.353               | 0.098               | 0.438                       | 0.037                       |
| Rt.SLF| 0.516               | 0.020               | 0.223                       | 0.406                       | 0.445               | 0.033               | 0.446                       | 0.033                       |
| Lt.SLF| 0.623               | 0.003               | 0.059                       | 0.829                       | 0.497               | 0.016               | 0.530                       | 0.009                       |
| Rt.Cg | 0.469               | 0.037               | 0.189                       | 0.482                       | 0.295               | 0.171               | 0.307                       | 0.154                       |
| Lt.Cg | 0.582               | 0.007               | 0.232                       | 0.388                       | 0.307               | 0.154               | 0.195                       | 0.372                       |
| Rt.Hpc| 0.310               | 0.183               | 0.417                       | 0.108                       | -0.069              | 0.754               | 0.069                       | 0.754                       |
| Lt.Hpc| 0.478               | 0.033               | 0.553                       | 0.026                       | -0.146              | 0.507               | -0.001                       | 0.995                       |
| Rt.ALIC| 0.551               | 0.012               | 0.310                       | 0.242                       | 0.647               | 0.001               | 0.449                       | 0.031                       |
| Lt.ALIC| 0.702               | 0.001               | 0.311                       | 0.241                       | 0.459               | 0.027               | 0.602                       | 0.002                       |
between scans, as other studies have reported that longer intervals have shown significant changes from baseline [14]. Another reason would be that the patients did not show major change in clinical features, and indeed some of the patients had slow progression. This is a common problem in studies of ALS, where patients with slow progression are often available for inclusion in research projects. Another possibility for the lack of significant change could be the small sample size, and increasing the participant numbers may reveal statistically significant changes. DTI analysis was performed using data that was acquired using optimized parameters for a HARDI analysis, which may affect results slightly. However, degeneration of upper motor neurons is an early event in ALS [38, 45] and white matter tracts may already be substantially damaged by the time of onset of symptoms. The evidence for early damage to upper motor neurons comes from studies showing early changes in cortical excitability [45] and also our previous study that showed that upper motor neuron signs appear before lower motor neuron signs as the disease spreads [38]. It has been estimated that, because of compensation by collateral sprouting, weakness does occur not until many lower motor neurons are lost, and that clinical signs of ALS follow a long subclinical phase [46]. Other studies have shown little change in DTI over time and the authors have suggested that this is because motor tracts are lost early in the disease. A more recent paper also shows that there is little change in DTI over time, although there is progressive loss of grey matter [35, 47–49].

Table 7  Correlation between change in FA and change in ALSFRS-R

| ROI    | Pearson correlation | p-value (2 tailed) |
|--------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Rt.sCR | -0.009              | 0.969              |
| L.t.sCR | 0.066              | 0.764              |
| Rt.PLIC | -0.140              | 0.523              |
| L.t.PLIC | -0.016              | 0.942              |
| Rt.ML | -0.362              | 0.090              |
| L.t.ML | -0.186              | 0.395              |
| Pons | -0.257              | 0.237              |
| Rt.FMi | -0.150              | 0.496              |
| L.t.FMi | -0.330              | 0.124              |
| gCC | -0.095              | 0.665              |
| bCC | -0.317              | 0.140              |
| sCC | -0.454              | 0.029              |
| Rt.ILF | -0.188              | 0.391              |
| L.t.ILF | -0.364              | 0.088              |
| Rt.SLF | -0.045              | 0.840              |
| L.t.SLF | -0.072              | 0.744              |
| Rt.Cg | -0.534              | 0.009              |
| L.t.Cg | 0.329              | 0.125              |
| Rt.Hpc | -0.392              | 0.064              |
| L.t.Hpc | -0.376              | 0.077              |
| Rt.ALIC | -0.156              | 0.476              |
| L.t.ALIC | -0.287              | 0.185              |

Table 8  Previous serial ROI studies of DTI in ALS

| Study            | Number of subjects | Mean Age (sd) at first study | Mean ALS FRS-R (sd) at first study | Mean ALS FRS R (sd) at second study | Field Strength | Duration of study | Significant ROI changes |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Kwan et al. [8]  | 9                 | 57.2 (12.6)                   | 40.2 (6.3)                        | 34.1 (9.8)                          | 3 T            | Mean of 1.26 years | No change in CR, pons, CST |
| Steinbach [49]   | 16                | 62.1 (11.7)                   | 41.0 (3.6)                        | 38.2 (4.6)                          | 3 T            | 3 months         | No change in CST, Increased connectivity in Hpc |
| Cardenas-Blanco [54] | 34        | 57.3 (9.9)                    | 40.2 (4.4)                        | 37.9 (5.3)                          | 3 T            | Mean of 6 months | No change over time in ALS group |
| Zhang [36]       | 17                | 57.3 (10)                     | 35.1 (7.1)                        | 29.2 (9.3)                          | 4 T            | Mean of 8.1 months | Significant decline in FA in R CST |
| Keil [52]        | 15                | 61.5 (10.9)                   | 36.3 (9.0)                        | na                                  | 1.5 T          | 6 months         | Decline in FA in CST |
| Nickerson [14]   | 2                 | 48                            | na                               | na                                  | 3 T            | 12 months        | Decrease in FA in CST |
| Mitsumoto [17]   | 30                | 52.6 (10.9)                   | 36.4 (7.8)                        | na                                  | 1.5 T          | 9.2 months       | No change in CST with FA |
| Menke [48]       | 27                | 61 (11)                       | 35 (6)                           | na                                  | 3 T            | Mean of 16 months | No change over time |
| Bede et al. [47] | 32                | 59.9 (9.9)                    | 39.31 (6.4)                       | 33.88 (7.8)                         | 3 T            | Mean of 273 days | No change in DTI over time |

na = not available
Conclusions
In conclusion, there has been previous evidence of substantial DTI changes of WM in ALS, particularly in the CST [50], frontocortical connections [51] and limbic pathways [52, 53]. However, our study finds little evidence to support using longitudinal DTI studies to follow patients.
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