Clinical and Radiologic Analysis of Disc Heights Change in Conservatively Treated Lumbar Herniated Disc Patients
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Objective: The main purpose of this study was to evaluate the clinical and radiologic factors of disc height loss in spontaneous resolution of herniated lumbar disc patients.

Methods: From January 2017 to December 2018, a total of 56 symptomatic herniated lumbar disc patients (36 men and 20 women) were investigated retrospectively in this study. Clinical findings including age, sex, pain, and smoking history were examined in each group (group A, patients with not-changed disc heights; group B, patients with decreased disc heights). Radiologically, the level, severity, laterality, modic change, and disc degeneration of lumbar disc herniation were investigated between groups. We compared demographic and radiologic findings between groups.

Results: A total of 56 patients were enrolled (group A, 30 patients; group B, 26 patients). There were no significant statistical differences in age, sex, visual analog scale (VAS) scores, level of disc herniation, and laterality of disc herniation between groups. Group A had more protrusion cases (14/30 cases) and group B had more Sequestration (11/26 cases). About Modic change and disc degeneration classification, group B had more modic change cases and more disc degeneration cases than group B.

Conclusion: In this study, there were no significant differences in demographic findings, level of disc herniation, the status of disc herniation, laterality of disc herniation, VAS of back pain between group A and group B.
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INTRODUCTION

Lumbar disc herniation, which causes low back pain and radiating pain in the lower extremities, is a common disease in 20% to 30% of the population. Since Mixter and Barr introduced surgical treatment for lumbar disc herniation in 1934, discectomy has been performed as a standard treatment for this disease. However, it has been reported that the lumbar disc herniation-induced low back pain and radiating pain in the lower extremities improves spontaneously without surgical treatment. In 1984, Guinto et al. reported for the first time that the herniated intervertebral disc disappeared spontaneously through computed tomography imaging. Following this, numerous cases of spontaneous recovery have been published. This has been the basis for conducting conservative treatment for lumbar disc herniation. Disc space height was decreased after discectomy due to surgical reduction of disc material, which has been widely known. But, few studies have assessed changes in disc height in patients who are treated conservatively.

In this study, we retrospectively analyzed patients whose herniated lumbar disc disappeared spontaneously after conservative treatment and assessed the change in disc space height. The main purpose of this study was to evaluate the clinical and radiologic factors of disc height loss in spontaneous resolution of herniated lumbar disc patients. Demographic, clinical, and radiologic findings such as the level, status, severity, Modic change, and disc degeneration of lumbar disc herniation were assessed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Patients

We retrospectively analyzed 56 symptomatic herniated lumbar disc patients from 226 patients diagnosed with an acute symptomatic herniated lumbar disc from January 2017 to December 2018 in our department. Fifty-six patients (36 men and 20 women) were retrospectively chosen for this study. Patients selection criteria included: (1) <50 years of age with buttocks and leg pain concomitantly associated with a herniated lumbar disc; (2) one level of herniated lumbar disc (protrusion, extrusion, and sequestration) diagnosed on lumbar magnetic resonance (MR) imaging (MRI); (3) conservative treatment choice (medication and physical therapy) after medical explanation; and (4) regular hospital visits for 2 years with lumbar MRI during follow up after initial MRI. The exclusion criteria were as follows (1) spine trauma history; (2) history of cancer; (3) previous lumbar surgery; and (4) a change in treatment options, such as interventional block and surgery. Disc heights (initial and last follow-up MRI) were measured at T2 sagittal MR using modified...
method from Farfan4) (Fig. 1). For evaluation of disc endplates and disc degeneration, Modic changes and Pfirrmann grading was used, respectively5) (Table 1, 2).

We analyzed and organized two patient groups according to the difference between initial and last measured disc height. Group A included a patient with no or <2 mm disc height (Hereinafter referred to as not-changed disc height group). Group B included patients with ≥2 mm decrease in disc height (Hereinafter referred to as decreased disc height group).

Lumbar MRI was performed twice during this study with a 3.0 Tesla imager (Philips Medical Systems, Best, Netherlands) from T10 to S5. The MR protocol was adjusted by a radiologist. All images were uploaded to the in-hospital picture archive and communication system (PACS; Infinitt PACS, invented by Infinitt Co., Seoul, Korea) and reviewed using the workstation-base unit (Hewlett-Packard Co., Palo Alto, CA, USA). All 112 MR images of 56 patients were reviewed independently by two experts (one neurosurgeon and one radiologist) who had not been given any information about the subjects. Lumbar vertebrae were counted from S1. The status of disc herniation was defined as below: Protrusion of disc herniation is focal herniation which has a broader base than the body, while extrusion has a narrower base than the body. When there is already continuity loss with the disc itself, it is called disc sequestration.

2. Analysis

Clinical characteristics, including age, sex, smoking history and visual analog scale (VAS; 10 points for back and leg) score were examined in each group. Radiologically, the level, status, laterality of lumbar disc herniation, Modic change, and disc degeneration grade were investigated between groups. Clinical and radiologic factors were compared to determine those that caused height differences between groups (Table 3).

The clinical and radiologic analysis was performed using the SPSS statistical package version 22.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) using an independent samples t-test and χ² test. Statistical significance was measured to be when the p-value was less than 0.05. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Ewha Womans Mokdong Hospital Bioethics Committee (IRB No. 2020-06-16-0017).

RESULTS

Patients ages ranged from 18 to 49 years (mean, 32.4±11.3 years in group A, 35.8±13.3 in group B) (Table 3). The total group

---

**Table 1. Endplate changes using Modic classification**

| Type | T1-weighted images | T2-weighted images | Description |
|------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| I    | Low signal        | High signal        | Edema and inflammation of bone marrow |
| II   | High signal       | High signal        | Marrow ischemia; yellow fatty marrow; transformation |
| III  | Low signal        | Low signal         | Sclerosis over subchondral bony area |

**Table 2. Disc degeneration classification using Pfirrmann grading**

| Grade | Structure            | Distinction nucleus and annulus | Signal intensity                      | Height of intervertebral disc |
|-------|----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| I     | Homogenous, bright white | Clear                          | Hyperintense, isointense to cerebrospinal fluid | Normal                       |
| II    | Inhomogenous with or without horizontal bands | Clear          | Hyperintense, isointense to cerebrospinal fluid | Normal                       |
| III   | Inhomogenous, gray   | Unclear                        | Intermediate                         | Normal to slightly decreased |
| IV    | Inhomogenous, gray to black | Lost                           | Intermediate to hypointense          | Normal to moderately decreased |
| V     | Inhomogenous, black  | Lost                           | Hypointense                          | Collapsed disc space         |
mean age was 38.4 years and the male to female ratio was 1.8:1 (36 males and 20 females). The initial VAS scores in group A and B were 4.8 and 4.7 points, respectively. The VAS scores at the time of last follow-up were 2.4 and 2.3 points in group A and B. There were no significant differences in age, sex ratio, smoking ratio, and VAS scores between groups.

The mean differences (initial disc height-last disc height) were 0.88±0.75 mm and 2.16±0.64 in group A and B, respectively, which was significant (p=0.021). However, patients were divided into two groups according to disc height differences (Table 3). Initial mean disc heights were 12.15±0.15 mm and 12.48±0.35 in group A and B, respectively, which were not different between the two groups in disc height at the initial diagnosis. The last mean of disc heights was 11.89±0.41 mm in group A and 10.23±0.82 in group B. The initial disc height was no difference between the two groups, but the last disc height of group B was less than group A (Table 3).

Level of disc herniation was observed in group A: 5 cases in L3/4, 17 in L4/5, and 8 in L5/S1 and in group B: 4 cases in L3/4, 21 in L4/5, and 7 in L5/S1. In both groups, disc herniation was the most common in L4/5, followed by L5/S1 and L3/4. Status of disc herniation revealed, there were 14 cases protrusion, 7 extrusion, and 9 sequestration in group A. There were 5 cases protrusion, 10

### Table 3. Demographical and radiologic data of herniated lumbar disc patients

|                     | Group A (n=30) | Group B (n=26) | p-value |
|---------------------|---------------|----------------|---------|
| Sex (Male:Female)   | 18:12         | 18:8           | 0.072   |
| Mean age            | 32.4±11.3     | 35.8±13.3      |         |
| Smoking             | 14 (46.7%)    | 12 (46.2%)     |         |
| VAS (back pain) +   |               |                |         |
| Initial             | 4.8           | 4.7            | 0.452   |
| Follow-up           | 2.4           | 2.3            | 0.448   |
| Disc height         |               |                |         |
| Initial             | 12.15±0.15    | 12.48±0.35     | 0.132   |
| Follow-up           | 11.89±0.41    | 10.23±0.82     | 0.034*  |
| Difference (initial – follow-up) | 0.88±0.75 | 2.16±0.64 | 0.021*  |
| Modic change        |               |                |         |
| Normal              | 24 (80.0%)    | 13 (50.0%)     | 0.025*  |
| Grade 1             | 3 (10.0%)     | 8 (30.8%)      | 0.091   |
| Grade 2             | 2 (6.67%)     | 4 (15.4%)      | 0.083   |
| Grade 3             | 1 (3.33%)     | 1 (3.85%)      | 0.082   |
| Disc degeneration classification | | | |
| Grade 1             | 14 (46.7%)    | 0 (0.0%)       |         |
| Grade 2             | 16 (53.3%)    | 0 (0.0%)       |         |
| Grade 3             | 0 (0.0%)      | 8 (30.8%)      |         |
| Grade 4             | 0 (0.0%)      | 18 (69.2%)     |         |
| Grade 5             | 0 (0.0%)      | 0 (0.0%)       |         |
| Level of disc herniation | | | |
| L3/4                | 5 (16.7%)     | 4 (15.4%)      | 0.167   |
| L4/5                | 17 (56.7%)    | 21 (80.8%)     | 0.075   |
| L5/S1               | 8 (26.7%)     | 7 (26.9%)      | 0.132   |
| Status of disc herniation | | | |
| Protrusion          | 14 (46.7%)    | 5 (19.2%)      | 0.036*  |
| Extrusion           | 7 (23.3%)     | 10 (38.5%)     | 0.082   |
| Sequestration       | 9 (30.0%)     | 11 (42.3%)     | 0.175   |
| Laterality of disc herniation | | | |
| Left:Right          | 13:17         | 14:12          |         |

The data is presented as number (%) or mean±standard deviation.

VAS: visual analog scale.

*p<0.05. †VAS (10 points). ‡Group A: patients with not-changed disc height. §Group B: patients with decreased disc heights.
Sequestration was defined as a free disc fragment that was distinct and a connection between the material and the disc of origin. Disc protrusion was demarcated as a focal or asymmetric extension of the disc beyond the vertebral border, with the base against the protrusion. Extrusion was defined as a more extreme extension beyond the vertebral border, with the protruded origin wider than any other aspect of the disc. Extrusion and sequestration are likely to have a ruptured posterior longitudinal ligament (PLL) compared with protrusion. In general, it can be said that the higher the amount of herniation and the more the PLL supporting posterior aspect of disc ruptures, the higher the possibility that the disc height will be lowered later.

Several pathophysiological mechanisms have been reported for the natural regression of the herniated intervertebral disc; however, the exact mechanism has not been elucidated. Three hypotheses have been proposed in the literature. First, the prolapsed intervertebral disc returns to the intervertebral disc space by a healthy PLL. Second, the size of the intervertebral disc is reduced and resolved by gradual dehydration and contraction. Third, the herniated intervertebral disc causes immunologic, biochemical responses and neovascularization, which results in phagocytosis and enzymatic degradation of cartilage tissue. Among them, the most recently noted hypothesis of spontaneous resolution is that intervertebral disc tissue is absorbed by immune responses and neovascularization, which be inferred from the rim enhancement of end plate of disc space on the Gadolinium-enhanced MRI in patients with a lumbar herniated disc.

In this study, decreased disc height patients group had more Modic change and disc degeneration than not-changed disc height patients group (Table 3). This suggested that more degenerative changes cause disc height to decrease later.

Surgical treatment is considered when there is persistent pain or neurological symptoms despite the proper period of conservative treatment. Surgery creates a dramatic recovery of symptoms in lumbar intervertebral disc herniation; however, the incidence of recurrence or complications after surgery is reported to be 5% to 10%. Additionally, there have been reports that removal of a protruded lumbar intervertebral disc may accelerate degenerative changes in the intervertebral disc and end plates, resulting in a loss of disc space height, Modic change, and post-discectomy back pain.

In this study, spontaneously resolved disc herniation without discectomy showed a decrease in disc height at long-term follow-up MRI. Conservative treatment is an effective treatment for herniated lumbar disc; however, it is necessary to recognize lumbar intervertebral disc herniation as a risk factor that can cause the loss of intervertebral disc height along with disc degeneration and Modic changes.

There were no differences in back pain between not-changed disc height patients and decreased disc height patients. To date, the relationship between disc height reduction or disc degeneration and back pain remains unclear. In addition to discussing with patients the options of conservative treatment for herniated lumbar disc, it is necessary to explain that herniated lumbar disc itself can cause
a decrease in disc height and chronic back pain due to degenerative changes in the intervertebral disc.

Further research is required on the factors that are associated with degenerative changes in lumbar intervertebral disc herniation.

This study has some limitations. The main disadvantage is the retrospective nature. We organized the patient groups and selected patients into groups, which creates a bias. Additionally, the number of patients in this study was small and the follow-up periods were relatively short to obtain significant conclusions. Therefore, further research with larger patient populations is required to fully elucidate the underlying mechanisms and assess radiological and clinical evaluations.

CONCLUSION

In conservative treatment option for symptomatic lumbar disc herniation, a decrease of disc height may occur later and it would be more at the cases of extrusion and sequestration type herniation. This disc height decrease after lumbar disc herniation without surgical removal of disc may be due to disc degeneration and disc herniation itself. It is necessary to explain to patients who are treated conservatively that disc height may be reduced later.
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