Combined effects of ozone and drought stress on the emission of biogenic volatile organic compounds from Quercus robur L.
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Abstract. Drought events are expected to become more frequent with climate change. To predict the effect of plant emissions on air quality and potential feedback effects on climate, the study of biogenic volatile organic compound emissions under stress is of great importance. Trees can often be subject to a combination of abiotic stresses, for example due to drought or ozone. Even though there is a large body of knowledge on individual stress factors, the effects of combined stressors are not much explored. This study aimed to investigate changes of biogenic volatile organic compound emissions and physiological parameters in Quercus robur L. during moderate to severe drought in combination with ozone stress. Results show that isoprene emissions decreased while monoterpene and sesquiterpene emissions increased during the progression of drought. We exposed plants with daily ozone concentrations of 100 ppb for 1 h for 7 d, which resulted in faster stomatal closure (e.g., a mean value of −31.3 % at an average stem water potential of −1 MPa), partially mitigating drought stress effects. Evidence of this was found in enhanced green leaf volatiles in trees without ozone fumigation, indicating cellular damage. In addition we observed an enhancement in (C₈H₈O₃)H⁺ emissions likely corresponding to methyl-salicylate in trees with ozone treatment. Individual plant stress factors are not necessarily additive, and atmospheric models should implement stress feedback loops to study regional-scale effects.

1 Introduction

Plants, in both natural and managed ecosystems, release biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs), covering over 30 000 known compounds (Peñuelas and Llusiá, 2004). These molecules have different physical and chemical characteristics and they differ in their metabolic origins in plants (Peñuelas and Llusiá, 2001; Laothawornkitkul et al., 2009; Maffei, 2010). An important subset of BVOCs are isoprenoids, such as isoprene (IS), monoterpenes (MTs), and sesquiterpenes (SQTs). The estimated global annual flux of IS ranges from 440 to 600 Tg C yr⁻¹ (Guenther et al., 2012). These values correspond to 2 % of the photosynthetically fixed carbon (Lal, 1999) and comprise a significant part of the total annual emission of BVOCs on a global scale of 1150 Tg C (Guenther et al., 1995).

The emission of BVOCs is strongly influenced by external factors (Peñuelas and Llusiá, 2003; Niinemets et al., 2004; Fitzky et al., 2019). BVOCs are thought to play a role in protecting vegetation from abiotic (Peñuelas and Munné-Bosch, 2005; Velikova et al., 2005) and biotic stress (Berg...
et al., 2013; Amin et al., 2012, 2013) and to act as a system for plant–plant and plant–animal communication (Baldwin et al., 2006; Filippa et al., 2013).

Future climate scenarios with expected temperature increases between 1.8 and 4 °C (IPCC, 2007) suggest an additional enhancement of global BVOC emissions between 30% and 45% (Peñuelas and Llusia, 2003). An enhancement of abiotic stress events, due to an indirect effect of a temperature increase (e.g., via ozone or drought episodes) can also alter BVOC emissions (EEA, 2017; Muller et al., 2008; Loreto and Schnitzler, 2010; Dai, 2013; Unger et al., 2013; Sindelarova et al., 2014). Drought stress can change the composition of BVOCs emitted by plants, depending on the nature of stress (Ninemets, 2010). Pegoraro et al. (2004) and Beckett et al. (2012) have shown that the gradual suppression of physiological processes of plants in response to drought stress initially leads to an increase in isoprene emissions, followed by a tapering off of isoprene emissions. In the initial phase of stress, the plant responds via a reduction of stomatal conductance, leading to reduced transpiration rate; this results in an increase in temperature at the leaf level and a decrease in carbon assimilation (Siddique et al., 2000). Although emissions tend to increase initially due to reserves of reduced carbon present in the plant, isoprene emissions decrease under severe drought stress (Tingey, 1981; Pegoraro et al., 2004).

Besides increasing temperature and more severe droughts, future climate scenarios predict increasing ozone concentrations (Bowen, 1926; Kangasjarvi et al., 1994; Holloway et al., 2012). Long-term elevated tropospheric ozone concentration affects BVOC emissions (Peñuelas et al., 1999) and induces alterations in photosynthetic performance, increasing the production of reactive oxygen species (ROSs) (Cotrozzi et al., 2017; Jolivet et al., 2016).

Ground-level O3 concentrations in the pre-industrial period were around 10 ppb in Europe (Volz and Kley, 1988; Royal Society, 2008). For the period 2000–2014, the average ozone concentrations during the growing season (April to September) in European forests were 36.2 ppb, ranging from 14.5 to 70.1 ppb (Schaub et al., 2018). Instances of severe ozone pollution were recorded during the heat wave of summer 2003 in Europe, with peaks > 100 ppbv in UK (Lee et al., 2006).

Among plants, trees are the dominant source of BVOC emissions (Guenther et al., 1995), and they are not often subject to only one stress but to a combination of stresses (Fitzky et al., 2019). For example, drought and ozone stresses can often occur in parallel. The combinations of these stress factors are difficult to understand because ozone and drought stress individually lead to similar symptoms, such as cell dehydration, early senescence, and cell necrosis (Chaves et al., 2003). A typical class of compounds emitted by plants in a situation of stress is green leaf volatiles (GLVs). Their emissions are indicators for damage of cellular membranes (Hatanaka, 1993; Croft et al., 1993). Other BVOCs are the product of metabolic processes in plants such as transcription and enzymatic activity which are induced by various stimuli, for example ozone (Betz et al., 2009). An example of such a BVOC is methyl salicylate (MeSa), produced by the shikimate pathway (Kessler and Baldwin, 2001), which fixes 20% of the carbon obtained from photosynthesis (Bentley and Haslam, 2008; Herrmann and Weaver, 1999).

Few studies have analyzed the effects of plant emissions from a combination of drought and ozone stress (Vitale et al., 2008; Yuan et al., 2016). Studying Quercus ilex, Vitale et al. (2008) reported that drought stress leads to stomatal closure, therefore reducing stress by ozone as it is restricted to enter the leaf. They did not report effects of ozone when going from a well-watered situation to severe stress. Yuan et al. (2016) found that drought increased isoprene emissions in a hybrid poplar deltoid species but that isoprene emissions decreased under moderate drought stress combined with long-term ozone fumigation. In their case, Yuan et al. (2016) analyzed the emissions under a situation of moderate drought stress.

Here we are also interested in the situation of severe stress that could occur in the future due to climate change, combined with model projections of elevated ozone concentrations (> 100 ppb).

Pollastrini et al. (2014) consider a change in sensitivity of the plants to ozone (different poplar clones) under severe drought conditions. In their case, ozone and drought produced a synergistic effect for CO2 exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence when applied together. Wittig et al. (2007) found a dependency on ozone effects under different levels of drought stress. In fact, Wittig et al. (2007) report a dependency of the damage in the photosynthetic apparatus depending on the cumulative ozone flux into the leaf, thus in relation to stomatal conductance.

In this work, our hypothesis was that ozone and drought stresses in plants are not necessarily additive and that the plant’s response to drought and ozone exposure can result in an alteration of characteristic BVOC emission strengths. Changing BVOC emissions have an important impact on climate through atmospheric chemistry (Claeys et al., 2004; Paulot et al., 2009; Hallquist et al., 2009). The presence of BVOCs in the atmosphere contributes to the formation of tropospheric ozone and growth of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) and radicals (Griffin et al., 1999; Orlando et al., 2000; Atkinson and Arey, 2003).

As a model plant we chose Quercus robur L., a widely distributed isoprene-emitting oak species in Europe (Barstow and Khela, 2017), considered not at risk of extinction (Barstow and Khela, 2017). In the future, this species may become more threatened (Barstow and Khela, 2017), triggering a migration from the current climate range to a zone more representative of the north and east of Europe (EFDAC, 2015). Climate change could also expose Q. robur to greater environmental stress from drought (Jonsson, 2012). Understanding how BVOC
emissions respond to climate change is therefore essential to understand what direct or indirect actions they can have on the biosphere–atmosphere–climate system and to develop strategies necessary to mitigate the effects of climate change itself (Kulmala et al., 2004; Yuan et al., 2009).

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Plant species and stress treatments

*Q. robur* is a broadleaf tree species widely distributed in Europe growing in mixed and deciduous forest ranging from sea level up to 1200 m a.s.l. (Ülker et al., 2018). According to Ellenberg (1988), the defensive actions of *Q. robur* against drought stress are caused by fast regulation of transpiration rates and stomatal conductance and a low susceptibility of water embolism in the xylem (Van Hees, 1997).

Fourteen 2-year-old *Q. robur* seedlings were planted in 7 L pots in March 2019. The substrate consisted of one-thirds of soil used by the city gardeners for city trees in Vienna and two-thirds of quartz sand to improve drainage. The plants were fertilized once after planting (universal fertilizer Novatec, Compo, Münster, Germany) and from thereon kept well-watered in a greenhouse at near-ambient light (80 % to 90 % of photosynthetically active radiation) (Lak et al., 2020). The trees were moved from a greenhouse in Tulln into another close-by greenhouse in Vienna 2 weeks prior to the experiments. Dust was removed from the leaves by showering the trees before starting the drought stress.

For the biochemical reference assays, 8 trees of the initial 14 were used: four well-watered plants (C) and four well-watered plants receiving 100 ppb ozone for 1 h one time (OS) inside the enclosures. The remainder (six plants) were used for BVOC emission measurements, CO$_2$ and H$_2$O gas exchange measurements, and biochemical assays. Hereby, we were left with three replicates under drought stress (DS) and three replicates exposed to drought stress and ozone (DS × OS). The drought stress was initiated for all six plants 10 d before the VOC measurements started and was maintained by keeping the soil water content at 4 vol %–5 vol % using a soil moisture probe (FieldScout TDR100, 20 cm probe depth, Spectrum 105 Technologies, UK), whereas 100 % field capacity was 13.4 vol %. With the start of VOC measurements, we stopped watering the previously drought-stressed trees to further increase drought stress.

The plants were moved from the greenhouse to an indoor climate chamber (Fitotron Weiss Gallenkamp, UK) 24 h before the experiment started. Thereafter trees were kept in the climate chamber for the remainder of the experiment and were only placed into the branch enclosures during the gas exchange measurements. The branch enclosures were situated next to the climate chamber in a climatized laboratory exhibiting the same environmental conditions as in the climate chamber. The climate conditions during the first day of experiment were kept at 25 °C, ~ 60 % relative humidity (RH), and ~ 1000 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) at canopy top, to adapt to constant air temperature. To continuously increase the drought stress, the plants were not watered and the humidity in the climate chamber was decreased to 40 % RH and temperature was increased to 30 °C after the first day. The same temperature conditions were also present in the climatized laboratory, where the plants were placed in the enclosures at an RH of 32 % and 30 °C. Overall light conditions remained constant during the day, with lights off during the night.

To study the effect of ozone exposure of trees during increasing drought, the six trees mentioned above were separated into two groups: three trees were drought stressed and fumigated with 100 ppb O$_3$ (DS × OS) inside the enclosure for 1 h each day after the daily measurement of BVOCs. The other three trees were drought stressed but not fumigated with ozone (DS).

At the end of the experiment leaves were harvested for leaf area and enzyme analysis. Values of the enzymatic activity of C and OS were compared to DS and DS × OS to investigate the effect of ozone fumigation.

2.2 Measurement of leaf gas exchange and BVOC fluxes

Throughout the increasing drought stress, tree leaf gas exchange (CO$_2$ and H$_2$O) and BVOC emissions were measured for two sets, DS and DS × OS, over a 7 d period, one in the morning and one in the afternoon alternating daily. The plants were taken out of the climate chamber and kept inside the custom-made plant enclosures (Fig. 1; TC-400, Vienna Scientific Instruments GmbH, Alland, Austria) for 2–3 h each day in order to measure their CO$_2$ and H$_2$O exchange along with key physiological parameters (soil moisture and stem water potential). After the measurements inside the enclosures, the plants were moved back to the climate chamber until the next measurement session. The plant enclosures covered most of the plant material excluding a few leaves (about seven on each tree) to allow determination of stem water potential (SWP). Each day, one leaf was wrapped in aluminum foil and placed in a plastic bag for equilibrating to SWP (Williams and Araujo, 2002). After darkening for 30 min the leaf was cut off and SWP was measured by using a Scholander pressure bomb (Soilmoisture Equipment Corp., Goleta, CA, USA).

The four custom-made plant enclosures (12 L) were lined with PTFE and sealed on top with 55 × 60 cm PET bags. The plant enclosures were continuously flushed with 10 L min$^{-1}$ of ambient outside air that was previously passed through a cold trap to remove water and an activated carbon filter (360 m$^3$ h$^{-1}$, Prima Klima Trading, Radnice, CZ) to remove VOCs and O$_3$. This resulted in 32 % RH air and ~ 370 ppm CO$_2$ entering the enclosures (experimental conditions in Appendix A, Table A1). The flow rate of 10 L min$^{-1}$, tested dur-
Figure 1. Scheme of a custom-made plant enclosure and set-up of the experiment. In brief, the chambers consisted of a PTFE-covered bottom plate with an opening mechanism to insert and seal the plant stem using PTFE plugs; furthermore, the bottom plate featured three in- and outlets for gas sampling and ozone exposure; the inlet was raised above the bottom plate to allow for air mixing. The upper part of the chamber consisted of a transparent, 12 L PET bag, holding most of the tree crown. The bags were tightly sealed towards the bottom plate.

An automated valve system allowed the consecutive analysis of air exiting each enclosure for 5 min each, leading to a 20 min cycle through the four enclosures. Before inserting the three trees into the enclosures, background measurements of the empty enclosures were carried out. After inserting each plant into one enclosure, the plant was allowed to acclimatize for approximately 2 h, and the following 40–60 min of data were analyzed to determine plant CO₂ assimilation, transpiration, and BVOC emissions rates. After the measurements, the trees of DS × OS were fumigated for 1 h with 100 ppb of ozone each day.

CO₂ and H₂O mixing ratios in the air leaving the enclosures were measured using a CIRAS-3 SC PP system (Amesbury, MA, USA), which was factory calibrated 3 months before the measurement campaign. Ozone measurements before and after the enclosures were conducted continuously in all enclosures with an ozone monitor (six-channel ozone monitor BMT 932, BMT Messtechnik, Berlin, Germany). BVOC measurements were made using a proton-transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer (PTR-ToF-MS, PTR-TOF 6000X2, IONICON Analytik GmbH, Innsbruck, Austria; Graus et al., 2010) operated at 350 V drift voltage, ion funnel settings of 1 MHz and 35 V amplitude as well as 35 VDC, and 2.5 mbar drift pressure. These settings are comparable to an E/N of 100 Td in a PTR-TOF 8000 with no ion funnel (Markus Müller, IONICON Analytic Biogeosciences, 18, 535–556, 2021 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-535-2021
as al., 2017; Yener et al., 2016; Misztal et al., 2015). Emissions demonstrated by previous studies (e.g., Fall et al., 1999; al., 2005; Giacomuzzi et al., 2016; Portillo-Estrada et al., 8 hexanol [(C \(_8\)H\(_{14}\)O)H]) and hexenyl acetate [(C\(_8\)H\(_{14}\)O\(_2\))H\(^+\)], respectively (Beauchamp et al., 2005; Giacomuzzi et al., 2016; Portillo-Estrada et al., 2017). The correspondence of these ions to GLVs has been demonstrated by previous studies (e.g., Fall et al., 1999; Karl et al., 2001, 2005). Shikimate BVOCs were tentatively assigned to benzene as \(m/z\) 79.054 [(C\(_6\)H\(_7\))H\(^+\)], phenol as \(m/z\) 95.050 [(C\(_6\)H\(_5\)O)H\(^+\)], methyl salicylate (MeSa) as \(m/z\) 153.055 [(C\(_8\)H\(_9\)O)H\(^+\)], and eugenol as \(m/z\) 165.092 [(C\(_{10}\)H\(_{12}\)O\(_2\))H\(^+\)] (Brilli et al., 2011, 2016; Tasin et al., 2012; Maja et al., 2014; Giacomuzzi et al., 2016; Portillo-Estrada et al., 2017; Yener et al., 2016; Misztal et al., 2015). Emissions of IS, MT, and SQT were standardized to 1000 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) PAR and 30°C (ISs, MTs, SQTs) using the Guenther et al. (1993) algorithm for ISs and Geron et al. (1994) for MTs and SQTs.}

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{IS}s &= \frac{\text{IS}}{C_L \times C_T} \quad \text{(1)} \\
C_L &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2 \times L^2}} \quad \text{(2)} \\
C_T &= \frac{\exp{\frac{C_T(T-T_s)}{kT}}}{1 + \exp{\frac{C_T(T-T_s)}{kT}}} \\
MTs &= \frac{1}{\exp(\beta(T-T_s))} \quad \text{(4)} \\
SQTs &= \frac{1}{\exp(\beta(T-T_s))} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Here IS, MT, and SQT are emission rates normalized by leaf area at sampling temperature \(T\) (K) and sampling PAR flux \(L\) (\(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) at half plant height; \(\alpha = 0.0027\), \(C_L = 1.066\), \(R = 8.314 \text{ J } \text{K}^{-1} \text{ } \mu\text{mol}^{-1}\), \(c_{T1} = 95,000 \mu\text{mol}^{-1}\), \(c_{T2} = 230000 \mu\text{mol}^{-1}\), \(T_M = 314 \text{ K}\), \(\beta = 0.1\), and \(T_S = 303.15 \text{ K}\) (Guenther et al., 1993; Geron et al., 1994).

Mass flow of air (\(W\)), transpiration rate (\(E\)), net photosynthesis (\(A\)), and stomatal conductance (\(g_s\)) were calculated accordingly (CIRAS-3 Operation Manual V. 2-01, PP-Systems, 2018).

\[
\begin{align*}
W &= \left(\frac{V_0}{60 \times 10^3}\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{22.414}\right) \times \left(\frac{10^4}{a}\right) \quad \text{[mol m}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}] \\
E &= \frac{W \times (e_{\text{out}} - e_{\text{in}})}{(P - e_{\text{out}})} \quad \text{[mol m}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}] \\
A &= -([C_{\text{out}} - C_{\text{in}}] \times W) + (C_{\text{out}} \times E) \quad \text{[\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}]} \\
e_{\text{leaf}} &= 6.1365 \times \exp{\left[\frac{T_{\text{leaf}} \times (17.502)}{T_{\text{leaf}} + 240.97}\right]} \\
r_s &= \frac{(e_{\text{leaf}} - e_{\text{out}})}{(E \times (P - (e_{\text{leaf}} + e_{\text{out}})/2))} - r_b \quad \text{[m}^2\text{ s}^{-1}\text{ mol}^{-1}] \\
g_s &= \frac{1}{r_s} \times 10^3 \quad \text{[mmol m}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}] \\
\end{align*}
\]

Here \(V_0\) is the volume air flow, \(a\) is the leaf area, \(e_{\text{in}}\) is the partial water vapor pressure of the air entering the enclosures, \(e_{\text{out}}\) is the partial water vapor pressure inside the enclosure, \((P)\) is the atmospheric pressure, \(C_L\) in the concentration of \(\text{CO}_2\) entering and \(C_{\text{out}}\) is the concentration exiting the enclosure, \(e_{\text{leaf}}\) is the saturation vapor pressure at leaf temperature \(T_{\text{leaf}}\), \(r_s\) is the stomatal resistance, and \(r_b\) is the boundary layer resistance to water vapor transfer, which was assumed to be zero according to the recommendations of the manufacturer (CIRAS-3 Operation Manual V. 2-01, PP-Systems, 2018).

The ratio of the sum of carbon lost in the form of BVOCs \((C_{\text{BVOCs}})\) vs. the uptake of carbon from net photosynthesis
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(C_A) was calculated according to Pegoraro et al. (2004), with the BVOCs used to calculate \( C_{\text{BVOC}} \) given in Table A3.

After 7 d, finishing the emission measurements, all leaves were harvested immediately, imaged with a flatbed scanner (Epson Expression 10000XL, Epson, Japan), and analyzed with the PC program WinFOLIA 2013 Pro (Regent Instruments Inc., Québec, Canada) to determine the leaf surface area. About 80% of the leaves’ fresh mass was shock-frozen and crushed in liquid nitrogen for biochemical assays (Sect. 2.3). About 20% of the leaves per plant were dried for 3 d in a drying room at 40°C to determine dry weight to an accuracy of ±0.001 g for the calculation of enzyme activity and specific leaf area (SLA) (Table A4).

2.3 Biochemical assay

For the interpretation of the emissions of GLVs and shikimate volatiles, enzymatic activities were analyzed additionally to better understand the effect of ozone fumigation during a situation of severe drought. Using foliar materials collected after the 7 d period of emission measurements (Sect. 2.2) and stored at −80°C until analysis, peroxidase and antioxidant capacity and phenol content (TPhen) were measured. These properties provide additional insights into the response of GLV and shikimate emissions as products of the metabolic process of the enzymatic activity (Betz et al., 2009).

Values from plants after 7 d of increasing drought (DS x OS, DS) were compared to well-watered control plants (C) and a well-watered set of plants that received ozone fumigation once (OS).

For measurements of peroxidase activities, 0.5 g plant material, 0.25 g Polyclar AT (Serva Electrophoresis, Heidelberg, Germany), and 0.25 g quartz sand (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) were homogenized in a mortar with 3 mL 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.0). After removal of solid compounds by centrifugation at 4°C and 10,000 \( \times \) g for 10 min, 400 \( \mu \)L of the supernatant was subjected to gel chromatography with Sephadex G-25 medium (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) to remove low-molecular-weight compounds. Peroxidase activity was determined according to the Worthington Manual (1972). Briefly, the enzyme assay contained in a final volume of 1110, 1095 \( \mu \)L buffer 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer +0.003% (v/v) \( \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}_2 \) (pH 6.0), 5 \( \mu \)L enzyme preparation, and 10 \( \mu \)L 1% (w/v) o-dianisidine (Sigma-Aldrich-Aldrich, Vienna Austria) in MeOH.

The activity was determined by measuring the extinction at 460 nm on a DU-65 spectrophotometer (Beckman Instruments, Brea, CA, USA) in intervals of 30 s for a period of 6 min. The activity was calculated from the slope in the initial linear portion of the reaction progress curve using an extinction coefficient of 1.13 \( \times \) 10^4 M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\) for oxidized o-dianisidine (Worthington manual, 1972). The protein content was determined by a modified Lowry procedure (Sandermann and Strominger, 1972) using bovine serum albumin as a standard. All measurements were performed in two technical replicates.

For the determination of the antioxidant capacity and the TPhen, the material was lyophilized and homogenized by grinding to a fine powder in a mortar. A total of 0.25 g of the lyophilized powder was extracted with 3 mL distilled water for 1 h in a cooled water bath during sonication. After centrifugation for 5 min at 4°C and 10,000 \( \times \) g, the supernatant was filtered through a Chromafil AO-20/25 polyamide filter (Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany).

The TPhen was determined as described (Wootton-Beard et al., 2011) with some modifications. Briefly, 100\( \mu \)L of the aqueous solution was mixed with 6 mL distilled water and 500 \( \mu \)L Folin–Ciocâlteu reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, Vienna, Austria) (1 : 1 v/v with distilled water). After equilibration for 8 min, 1.5 mL 20% \( \mathrm{Na}_2\mathrm{CO}_3 \) (w/v) and 1.9 mL distilled water were added, and the mixture was incubated at 40°C for 30 min. The TPhen was obtained by measuring the absorbance of the mixture at 765 nm using a freshly prepared standard curve obtained with gallic acid. The results were expressed as microgram gallic acid equivalents per gram of sample. All measurements were performed in technical triplicates.

The in vivo antioxidant activity was determined with Saccharomyces cerevisiae ZIM 2155 as model system following the procedures described in Slatnar et al. (2012), which estimates intracellular oxidation by fluorimetric measurements using the ROS-sensitive dye 2’,7’-dichlorofluorescin (H\(_2\)DCF). A total of 100\( \mu \)L of the aqueous samples was incubated with 10 mL yeast suspension at their stationary phase in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) at a density of 10\(^8\) cells per suspension at 28°C and 220 rpm for 2 h. After a centrifugation step at room temperature for 5 min at 14,000 \( \times \) g, the pellet was washed three times with 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.8) and was finally resuspended in nine volumes of 500\( \mu \)L 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.8) and incubated for 10 min at 28°C and 220 rpm.

The fluorescence of the yeast cell suspensions was measured at a GloMax\textsuperscript{®} Multi Microplate Reader (Promega, Walldorf, Germany) using excitation and emission wavelengths of 490 and 520 nm, respectively. Values of fluorescence intensity were measured against a blank, in which the sample was replaced with water. Data are expressed as relative fluorescence intensity, where the values obtained with the blank are defined as 1. Values lower than 1 indicate a higher antioxidant activity than the blank (Slatnar et al., 2012). All measurements were performed in two technical replicates.
2.4 Statistical analyses

Emission rates, physiological parameters, means, and standard deviation were calculated with MATLAB (MATLAB and Statistics Toolbox Release 2017a; The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA, United States). All leaf gas exchange (CO₂ and H₂O) and BVOC flux measurements collected over the 7 d period for the set DS and DS × OS were aggregated into four ranges of SWP (R1: 0.00 to −1.40 MPa; R2: −1.45 to −2.85 MPa; R3: −2.90 to −4.30 MPa; R4: −4.35 to −6.00 MPa) to perform statistical analysis using the Wilcoxon rank sum test. To test for significant differences in the biochemical markers, a one-way ANOVA test was used. For both tests, p values below 0.05 were considered significant.

3 Results and discussions

3.1 Stomatal closure and net photosynthesis

SWP was measured daily and used as a drought stress indicator to study the evolution of Q. robur under continuously increasing drought condition. All six trees began the experiment with a high to moderate mean SWP of −0.9 MPa (Brüggemann and Schnitzler, 2002) and reached low values on the order of −5.5 MPa after 7 d of continuously increasing drought stress. Mean and standard deviation of stomatal conductance, net photosynthesis, leaf temperature, and SWP as well as notes for statistically significant differences are summarized in Table 1 for the four drought stress ranges defined in Sect. 2.4. The mean stomatal conductance (gₛ) of DS × OS was 20.2 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹ in R1 and decreased to 6.8 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹ in R2 (Table 1). For DS it was 42.4 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹ in R1 and decreased to 6.6 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹ in R2. For both sets the reduction of gₛ and SWP between R1 and R4 was significant (p value 0.02 and 0.05 for DS and DS × OS, respectively). R1, shown in Fig. 2a, includes values of trees fumigated with ozone (DS × OS) from the first and the second days of analysis, because, for this set, SWP had not changed much during these 2 d. Differently, for DS, R1 includes only measurements of the first day. The values collected during the second day of analysis, for the set DS, is assigned to R2, because we observed a decrease in SWP between the first and second days of measurement. This shows that trees of DS × OS closed their stoma quickly at higher stem water potential after the first ozone fumigation session and confirms what was reported in other studies that moderate ozone concentrations can induce partially closed stomata (Khatamian et al., 1973; Farage et al., 1991; Wittig et al., 2007). A partial stomatal closure prevented excessive water loss through stomatal openings (Pinheiro and Chaves, 2011; McDowell et al., 2008; Allen et al., 2010) during drought stress and enhanced the closure with ozone, allowing DS × OS plants to better survive the increased drought. Kobayashi et al. (1993) consider the interactive effects of O₃ and drought stress using a growth model of soybeans, finding that ozone fumigation reduces or postpones drought stress, similar to the findings of this experiment.

Figure 2b shows a decrease in net photosynthesis (A) with the increase in the stress for both sets, especially between R1 and R2, whereas the values in R3 and R4 are close to zero. In R1, A presented the same differences exposed for gₛ between the sets. Our results are different from the findings of Tjoelker et al. (1995) and Paoletti (2005), where stomatal conductance and photosynthesis are shown to decouple at moderate ozone exposure due to direct damage to biochemical carboxylation, caused by chronic ozone exposure.

The ratio of C_{BVOC} and C_A is shown in Fig. 3. IS, the dominant BVOC (averagely 96 % of the total emissions), and mean standardized IS emissions of DS × OS-treated plants were consistently higher in all SWP ranges compared to DS alone (Fig. 4), thus showing the difference between DS and DS × OS in C_{BVOC}/C_A in the highest SWP ratio range. Initially, at low drought stress (R1), 3 %–7 % of the assimilated carbon was lost as emitted BVOC, which matches findings in other studies (Sharkey et al., 1991; Baldocchi et al., 1995; Monson and Fall, 1989; Fang et al., 1996), showing that ~2 % of carbon assimilated is lost as IS (C_{IS}/C_A) under un-stressed conditions and at 30 °C. As CO₂ assimilation rate decreased quickly and BVOC emission (especially isoprene emission) stayed elevated, the ratio of lost vs. fixed carbon increased to 20 % for DS and 16 % for DS × OS in R2. Pgoraro et al. (2004) reported a carbon loss on the order of 50 % for SWP of −2 MPa, in a drought experiment with Quercus virginiana. In R3, the increasing stress corresponded to ratios of 0.7 and 1.03 for DS and DS × OS, respectively. Alternative carbon sources for isoprene biosynthesis under drought stress are thus proposed for DS × OS. For example, extra-chloroplastic origin or chloroplastic starch (Karl et al., 2002; Kreuzwieser et al., 2002; Funk et al., 2004; Affek and Yakir, 2003; Schnitzler et al., 2004; Rosenstiel et al., 2003) can sustain carbon sources for isoprene production. At very high drought stress (R4) this ratio decreased again to 0.4 in DS and 0.8 in DS × OS.

3.2 BVOC emissions

To give a general overview on BVOC emissions for both sets, Fig. 5a and b show the total mass spectra ranging from 40–220 amu for the first and last days of measurement for DS and DS × OS, respectively. Figure 5c shows relative changes of the mass spectra between the first and last days of measurements. The mass range 80–110 amu is of particular interest as it is thought to be related to BVOC emissions. Changes in emissions or lack thereof for IS, MT, SQT, and
stress-related BVOCs are investigated in further detail below and are summarized in Table 2.

### 3.2.1 Isoprene emissions

**Q. robur** is generally classified as a high-IS-emitting (Benjamin and Winer, 1998; Lehning et al., 2002) and medium- to low-MT-emitting and low-SQT-emitting species (Owen et al., 1997; Karl et al., 2009; Steinbrecher et al., 2009). IS is emitted by plants and synthesized by the enzyme isoprene synthase (Silver and Fall, 1991) and via the 2-methylery-thritol 4-phosphate (MEP) pathway (Lichtenthaler et al., 1997; Lichtenthaler, 1999; Schwender et al., 1997) in chloroplasts (Wildermuth and Fall, 1996, 1998).

Figure 4 shows standardized isoprene emissions (IS) in chloroplasts (Wildermuth and Fall, 1996, 1998). thaler et al., 1997; Lichtenthaler, 1999; Schwender et al., 1997) as a function of drought stress for all investigated trees. In the range of SWP R1 the plants were in a low- to no-water-stress condition (Brüggemann and Schnitzler, 2002). Whereas gs and A (Fig. 2a and b) decreased rapidly with increasing drought stress and bottom out at −3 MPa, isoprene emissions decreased much slower, reaching close-to-zero emissions at R4. IS in R1 was 12.8 and 18.0 nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for DS and DS × OS, respectively. In R4 the mean IS was 1.7 nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for DS and 3.9 nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for DS × OS.

The fact that IS emissions remain higher in DS × OS for R1 and R2 compared to DS suggests that overall isoprene production within the leaves must have remained high in response to ozone. High IS fluxes due to ozone treatment are also reported in other studies (Fares et al., 2006; Velikova et al., 2005; Kanagendran et al., 2018).

An increase in IS with moderate stress was observed by Pegoraro et al. (2004) and Beckett et al. (2012), who related this finding to an increase in leaf temperatures as a consequence of stomatal closure. In contrast, a nonsignificant increase was observed in the leaf temperatures, suggesting IS emissions of DS × OS in R2 being a result of a temperature-independent isoprene production.

The decrease in A with decreasing SWP, particularly at mild drought stress (Fig. 2b), is much more pronounced than...
the decrease in IS$_S$ emission rates (Fig. 4). Similar results are found for leaf level measurements of *Quercus robur* (Brüggemann and Schnitzler, 2002), *Populus alba* (Brilli et al., 2007), and *Quercus virginiana* (Pegoraro et al., 2004) as well as on the ecosystem scale in the Ozarks region in the central US (Seco et al., 2015).

Even though the rate of photosynthetic carbon assimilation declined much faster under drought than IS, a substantial decline of IS was also seen as drought progressed. Drought stress has been found to be one of the stronger influencing factors affecting photosynthesis but had often only limited influence on IS emission rates (Tingey et al., 1981; Sharkey and Loreto, 1993; Fang et al., 1996).

In young hybrid poplars (*Populus deltoides ‘55/56’ × P. deltoides ‘Imperial’*), the combined application of elevated ozone and drought decreases isoprene emission, whereas drought alone increases the emission, and ozone alone decreases it (Yuan et al., 2016).

Studies report that volatile isoprenoids strengthen cellular membranes, thus maintaining the integrity of the thylakoid-embedded photosynthetic apparatus, and have a generic antioxidant action by deactivating ROS around and inside leaves and thus indirectly reduce the oxidation of mem-
Table 2. Mean and standard deviation for standardized isoprene emissions (IS\textsubscript{S}), standardized monoterpene emissions (MT\textsubscript{S}), standardized sesquiterpene emissions (SQT\textsubscript{S}), sum of GLV (Σ GLV), and sum of shikimate (Σ shikimate) for each set divided by range of stem water potential (SWP) (R1: 0.00 to −1.40 MPa; R2: −1.45 to −2.85 MPa; R3: −2.90 to −4.30 MPa; R4: −4.35 to −6.00 MPa).

|          | R1          |          | R2          |          | R3          |          | R4          |          |
|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|
|          | DS          | DS × OS  | DS          | DS × OS  | DS          | DS × OS  | DS          | DS × OS  |
| IS\textsubscript{S} [nmol m\textsuperscript{−2}s\textsuperscript{−1}] | 12.8 (2.0)\textsuperscript{a} | 18.0 (7.3)\textsuperscript{c} | 8.6 (3.8)\textsuperscript{b} | 17.3 (4.1)\textsuperscript{b} | 6.9 (2.4) | 10.6 (4.4) | 1.7 (0.9)\textsuperscript{a} | 3.9 (2.6)\textsuperscript{c} |
| MT\textsubscript{S} [nmol m\textsuperscript{−2}s\textsuperscript{−1}] | 0.010 | 0.036 | 0.009 | 0.023 | 0.010 | 0.015 | 0.033 | 0.047 |
|          | (0.002)\textsuperscript{a} | (0.026) | (0.004) | (0.013) | (0.002) | (0.010) | (0.014)\textsuperscript{a} | (0.012) |
| SQT\textsubscript{S} [nmol m\textsuperscript{−2}s\textsuperscript{−1}] | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.014 | 0.035 |
|          | (0.001)\textsuperscript{a} | (0.001)\textsuperscript{c} | (0.002) | (0.001) | (0.003) | (0.008) | (0.005)\textsuperscript{a} | (0.007)\textsuperscript{c} |
| Σ GLV    | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.032 | 0.009 |
| [nmol m\textsuperscript{−2}s\textsuperscript{−1}] | (0.001)\textsuperscript{a} | (0.004) | (0.005)\textsuperscript{b} | (0.003)\textsuperscript{b} | (0.002) | (0.001) | (0.045)\textsuperscript{a} | (0.010) |
| Σ shikimate | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0.003 | 0.009 |
| [nmol m\textsuperscript{−2}s\textsuperscript{−1}] | (0.001)\textsuperscript{c} | (0.001)\textsuperscript{c} | (0.002) | (0.003) | (0.002) | (0.012) | (0.001) | (0.001)\textsuperscript{c} |

Values in bold marked with \textsuperscript{a} indicate a significant (\(p\) values < 0.05) difference between R1 and R4. \(\textsuperscript{b}\) Values indicate a significant difference between the set under drought stress (DS) and the set under drought stress with ozone treatment (DS × OS). Values marked with \textsuperscript{c} indicate close-to-significant differences with \(p\) values of 0.05–0.06 between R1 and R4.

Figure 5. Mean mass spectra of the set under drought stress (DS) (a) and the set under drought stress with ozone fumigation (DS × OS) (b), on the first (black) and last (yellow) days of measurement. (c) Relative change in the mass spectra between the last and the first days of analysis for DS (blue) and DS × OS (red).

Figure 6a shows MT\textsubscript{S} and Fig. 6b SQT\textsubscript{S} as a function of SWP. Mean MT\textsubscript{S} values for DS and DS × OS were 1.0 × 10\textsuperscript{−2} nmol m\textsuperscript{−2}s\textsuperscript{−1} and 3.6 × 10\textsuperscript{−2} nmol m\textsuperscript{−2}s\textsuperscript{−1}, respectively, at R1. With the increase in drought stress (R3) DS × OS decreased to 1.5 × 10\textsuperscript{−2} nmol m\textsuperscript{−2}s\textsuperscript{−1} while DS emissions remained stable (1.0 × 10\textsuperscript{−2} nmol m\textsuperscript{−2}s\textsuperscript{−1}). For higher drought stress (R4) both sets showed an increase in MT emissions reaching 3.3 × 10\textsuperscript{−2} nmol m\textsuperscript{−2}s\textsuperscript{−1} for DS and 4.7 × 10\textsuperscript{−2} nmol m\textsuperscript{−2}s\textsuperscript{−1} for DS × OS.

3.2.2 Terpenoid emissions

Monoterpenes (MT) and sesquiterpenes (SQT), other classes of isoprenoids, are synthesized through the condensation of isoprene units (allylic isomer dimethylallyl diphosphate, DMAPP, and isoprenyl diphosphate, IPP) (Ruzicka, 1953; Cheng et al., 2007). Geranyl diphosphate (GDP) is the precursor of all MT isomers. GDP is formed from IPP and DMAPP driven enzymatically by GDP synthase (Mahmoud and Croteau, 2002). Farnesyl diphosphate (FDP) synthases adds two molecules of IPP to DMAPP for the formation of the SQT precursors, C\textsubscript{15} diphosphate (Cheng et al., 2007).
Figure 6. Standardized monoterpene (MT$_S$) (a) and sesquiterpene (SQT$_S$) (b) emissions versus stem water potential (SWP). Empty markers represent individual trees where the black squares represent trees out of the set under drought stress (DS) and the gray circles out of the set under drought stress with ozone treatment (DS $\times$ OS). Filled squares and circles represent means calculated for each SWP range with the corresponding standard deviation. SWP ranges are separated by vertical dashed lines.

Loreto et al. (2004) demonstrated that ozone can stimulate the emission of monoterpenes in *Q. ilex* but that ozone has no effect on photosynthesis nor on any other physiological parameter when Mediterranean oak plants are exposed to mild and repeated as well as acute ozone stress.

In this experiment MT emissions from *Q. robur* increased in DS and DS $\times$ OS trees. In the case of DS, there was a positive effect of drought, with a significant increase in MT emissions, although there was a drastic decrease in IS emissions when the water deficit was severe. These observations contrast those by Llusiá and Peñuelas (1998) for *Q. coccifera* reporting a decrease in IS emissions under severe drought conditions. This could be due to the fact that in the case of *Q. coccifera* no specific terpene storage structures are present in leaves, while they are present in *Q. robur* (Karl et al., 2009).

In both sets SQT$_S$ emissions remained close to zero down to a SWP of $-3$ MPa. SQT$_S$ emissions increase with increasing drought stress, reaching a mean value of $1.4 \times 10^{-2}$ nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for DS and $3.5 \times 10^{-2}$ nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for DS $\times$ OS in R4. The increase in SQT$_S$ in the set with ozone began 1 d later than in the set without ozone fumigation.

Stress on plants can induce SQT emissions (Toome et al., 2010; Maes and Debergh, 2003; Ibrahim et al., 2006). Ormeño et al. (2007) observe a reduction of sesquiterpenes with drought stress for a variety of plant species including *Q. coccifera*. For *Q. robur* we see an increase in SQT emissions under conditions of severe drought.

The release in SQT from leaves can be triggered when plants face stress due to oxidative processes in leaves, indicating that damaging effects inside the plants start to occur (Beauchamp et al., 2005; Bourtsoukidis et al., 2012). Unlike MT, SQTs do not provide an additional barrier to plant damage during severe water stress (Palmer-Young et al., 2015). This is due to their different physicochemical characteristics and the different pathways that produce them (Niinemets et al., 2004; Umlauf et al., 2004). In the case of SQT emissions, the parallel occurrence of two stresses (ozone and increased drought) generally led to an increase in emissions. In fact, the higher SQT emissions in DS $\times$ OS compared to DS may have been due to ozone, similar to those reported in Beauchamp et al. (2005).

3.2.3 GLV and shikimate emissions

GLVs are released once the membrane is injured independently of the stress that caused the damage (Heiden et al., 2003). The release in GLVs is related to the degree of damage, and high emissions are linked to high membrane degradation (Fall et al., 1999; Beauchamp et al., 2005; Behnke et al., 2009).

In this experiment, the $\Sigma$ GLV increased for both sets in R4 (Fig. 7a). Within $\Sigma$ GLV $m/z$ 99.080, attributable to hexenial isomers, showed the strongest increase in DS (mean value of $m/z$ 99.080 in R4 was 68% of the $\Sigma$ GLV emission). Within the cascade of GLV production, ($E$)-2-hexenal and ($Z$)-3-hexenal are typically the ones appearing first (Fall et al., 1999).

DS $\times$ OS, on the other hand, showed an increase in shikimate compounds (Fig. 7b) at SWP $<-3$ MPa; DS showed a similar but less pronounced trend. The $\Sigma$ shikimate was dominated by methyl salicylate (MeSa) across the entire SWP range for DS and in R1–R3 for DS $\times$ OS. R4 of DS $\times$ OS was dominated by $m/z$ 95.050 (matching the exact mass of protonated phenol, $C_6H_7O^+\)$. MeSa is considered a volatile-stress-signaling molecule from plants (Karl et al., 2008).
High emissions of MeSa are also found in the case of the tobacco plant (*Nicotiana tabacum* L. cultivars) in both O$_3$-sensitive and O$_3$-tolerant cultivars exposed to ozone at high concentrations (Heiden et al., 1999; Beauchamp et al., 2005).

Observing the increase in GLV emissions in DS and shikimate emissions in DS × OS was important to understand how ozone affected the *Q. robur* trees exposed to drought stress. The impact of exposure to high ozone concentrations on ROS production was not significant and not associated with membrane lesions in Pellegrini et al. (2019). In this experiment, GLV emissions in R4 were not significantly different from R1, with low values in ozone-treated plants (DS × OS), while plants that were exposed to drought only (DS) exhibited higher emissions, with a significant increase in GLV emissions between R1 and R4 (Table 2). The observations of this experiment can be interpreted such that plants did not suffer from detrimental effects due to acute ozone exposure yet (e.g., Beauchamp et al., 2005) but that mild ozone exposure can potentially delay effects of drought stress and help maintain membrane structure and integrity.

The activation of an efficient free-radical-scavenging system can minimize the adverse effects of a general peroxidation (Miller et al., 1999). This was not the case in DS, where exposure to severe water stress alone led to an increase in GLV emissions, suggesting the onset of physical membrane damage, as the enhancement of the lipoxygenase activity, in accordance with other studies (Ebel et al., 1995; Wendepiesik, 2011). In addition to the lipoxygenase and hydroperoxide lyase systems producing GLVs, the phenylpropanoid pathway signals plant responses to stimuli induced by abiotic factors (Dixon and Paiva, 1995; Baier et al., 2005; Heath, 2008; Vogt, 2010), but drought stress alone does not induce the phenylpropanoid pathway in *Q. robur* (Pellegrini et al., 2019).

On the other hand, DS × OS showed a small increase in GLV only at the highest stress level. We take this to indicate that ozone has the potential to inhibit drought stress damage and therefore the emissions of GLV, by stimulating the phenylpropanoid pathway to form an antioxidant protection for chloroplasts (Pellegrini et al., 2019). The GLV emissions in DS × OS are initially inhibited during the onset of drought. While ozone fumigation initially inhibits the activation of the lipoxygenase and the hydroperoxide lyase pathway indirectly, these pathways are clearly triggered during the progression of severe drought stress (R4) (Heiden et al., 2003; Matsui, 2006). Cabané et al. (2004) report that, in poplar leaves, ozone exposure stimulates not only the enzymes of the phenylpropanoid pathway but also the activity of the enzyme SHDH of the shikimate pathway that yields TPhe in fully developed leaves.

To better understand the emissions of GLVs and shikimate volatiles, we looked at antioxidant capacity, total phenol content, and peroxidase activity summarized in Table 3. No significant differences were found for antioxidant capacity between the sets DS and DS × OS and their corresponding references C and OS. However, it appeared that the OS had the highest oxidizing capacity. TPhe in the fully developed leaves was significantly higher in the two groups experiencing drought stress (DS, DS × OS) than in those with no drought stress (C, OS). Pellegrini et al. (2019) found a significant difference in TPhe content in well-watered plants with the increase in ozone and a decrease at moderate drought and no significant influence of ozone on TPhe during severe drought in *Q. robur*. The results of our study showed no significant decrease in TPhe due to ozone fumigation in...
Table 3. Mean and standard deviation of antioxidant capacity, total phenol content (TPhen), peroxidase activity for well-watered sets with (OS) and without (C) ozone treatment, and sets under severe drought stress with (DS × OS) and without (DS) ozone treatment after 7 d of measurements.

|                  | Antioxidant capacity | TPhen       | Peroxidase activity |
|------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------------------|
|                  | [(F OD per sample) (F OD per control)] | grGAEequiv. kg⁻¹ (DW) | µmol s⁻¹ kg⁻¹ (DW) |
| C                | 0.9 (0.1)            | 35.6 (11.7)  | 0.9 (0.7)           |
| OS               | 0.8 (0.04)           | 25.8 (11.7)  | 0.6 (0.3)           |
| DS               | 1.0 (0.1)            | 86.5 (24.1)  | 0.9 (0.3)           |
| DS × OS          | 1.0 (0.1)            | 77.1 (9.2)   | 0.8 (0.4)           |

Values in bold marked with e represent values with significant (p value < 0.05) differences between C and DS. f Values with significant differences between OS and DS × OS.

both well-watered and severe drought conditions (R4) (OS, DS × OS). Peroxidase activity analysis did not show significant differences between the four sets. This is in accordance with the findings of Schwanz and Polle (2001), who found that unspecific peroxidase activities are not affected by drought stress in Q. robur.

4 Conclusions

The changes in BVOC emissions of Q. robur subject to continuously increasing drought were investigated, and differences in the drought progression were observed in plants with and without ozone fumigation. Stomatal conductance and net photosynthesis showed a fast reaction to increasing drought, closing stomata and reducing CO₂ uptake strongly. ISₘ emissions, on the other hand, stayed high down to a SWP of −3 MPa and then decreased gradually. We consider that leaves must have maintained a high production of IS to sustain similar emissions compared to a SWP of −2 MPa. MTₘ and SQTₘ emissions increased under high drought stress. Plants that were subject to 1 h of ozone fumigation (∼100 ppbv) every day in addition to reduced watering showed lower stomatal conductance at mild drought stress compared to those with no ozone fumigation, and consecutively the effect of drought was slowed down. The shikimate pathway, producing antioxidants, was stimulated earlier in the set with ozone. The combination of (i) sustained isoprene emissions, (ii) increase in antioxidants due to the higher stimulation of the two pathways (phenylpropanoid and shikimate), and (iii) early closure of the stomata resulted in a longer endurance of drought stress in the set exposed to ozone. Therefore, we conclude that fumigation with moderately high ozone levels (∼100 ppbv) decelerated the effect of drought in Q. robur. Overall Q. robur leaves appeared very resistant to drought stress. Consequently GLVs indicating cell damage were only emitted at SWP < −5 MPa.

As seasonal drought events and elevated ozone concentrations often occur in parallel in midlatitudes (Löw et al., 2006; Panek et al., 2002), it is important to study their combined stress effects. In this study we observe that a combination of stresses can lead to opposing feedbacks that alter BVOC emissions. These effects are compound-specific and reflect biochemical changes in the plant.
Appendix A

Table A1. Acronyms and experimental conditions used in this experiment.

| ACRONYMS | Definition                                                                 |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A        | Net photosynthesis (CO$_2$ assimilation rate)                             |
| BVOCs    | Biogenetic volatile organic compounds                                     |
| C        | Control samples without ozone treatment                                   |
| DS       | Set under drought stress without ozone treatment                          |
| DS × OS  | Set under drought stress with ozone treatment                             |
| gS       | Stomatal conductance                                                      |
| GLVs     | Green leaf volatiles                                                      |
| IS       | Isoprene                                                                  |
| ISs      | Standardized emissions of isoprene                                        |
| MeSa     | Methyl salicylate                                                         |
| MT       | Sum of monoterpenes                                                       |
| MT$_S$   | Standardized emissions of MT                                               |
| O$_3$    | Ozone                                                                     |
| OS       | Well-watered control samples with ozone treatment                         |
| PTR-Tof-MS | Proton-transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer                |
| Q. robur | Quercus robur L.                                                          |
| ROS      | Reactive oxygen species                                                   |
| SQT      | Sum of sesquiterpenes                                                     |
| SQT$_S$  | Standardized emissions of SQT                                              |
| SD       | Standard deviation                                                        |
| SWP      | Stem water potential                                                      |
| TPhe     | Total phenol content                                                      |

Experimental conditions

| Condition                      | Value                        |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Enclosure pressure             | 2.386 kPa                    |
| Mean leaf temperature          | 29.06 °C                     |
| Mean PAR                       | 1374 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$   |
| Ozone concentration            | 100 ppb                      |
| Standardized temperature       | 30 °C                        |
| Standardized PAR               | 1000 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$   |

Table A2. The $m/z$ ratio and chemical formula and name of compounds present in the standard gas mixture used for the daily calibration of the PTR-Tof-MS.

| m/z ratio | Chemical formula | Compound                                     |
|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------|
| 32.0262   | CH$_3$OH        | Methanol                                     |
| 41.0265   | C$_2$H$_3$N     | Acetonitrile                                 |
| 44.0261   | C$_2$H$_4$O     | Acetaldehyde                                 |
| 58.0418   | C$_3$H$_6$O     | Acetone                                      |
| 72.0574   | C$_4$H$_8$O     | Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK)                    |
| 78.0469   | C$_6$H$_6$      | Benzene                                      |
| 92.0625   | C$_7$H$_8$      | Toluene                                      |
| 106.0782  | C$_8$H$_{10}$   | Xylenes                                      |
| 120.0939  | C$_9$H$_{12}$   | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene (TMB)                 |
| 136.1252  | C$_{10}$H$_{16}$| a-Pinene                                     |
| 62.0189   | C$_2$H$_6$S     | Dimethyl sulfide (DMS)                       |
| 86.0731   | C$_3$H$_{10}$O  | 2-Methyl-3-buten-2-ol (MBO)                  |
| 134.1095  | C$_{10}$H$_{14}$| 1,2,4,5-Tetramethylbenzene                   |
Table A3. Measured m/z ratio, chemical formula, and tentative assignment of compounds used for the calculation of the sum of BVOCs in C_{BVOCs}/C_A.

| m/z ratio | Chemical formula | Compound |
|-----------|------------------|----------|
| 33.033    | (CH_4O)H^+       | Methanol |
| 45.033    | (C_2H_4O)H^+     | Acetaldehyde |
| 47.049    | (C_3H_6O)H^+     | Ethanol |
| 57.033    | (CH_3O)H^+       | E-2-Hexenal fragment |
| 57.069    | (C_3H_6)H^+      | Butyl |
| 59.049    | (C_2H_6O)H^+     | Acetone |
| 61.028    | (C_3H_6O)H^+     | Acetic acid |
| 71.049    | (C_4H_8O)H^+     | Methyl vinyl ketone (MVK)/methacrolein (MAC) |
| 73.064    | (C_4H_8O)H^+     | Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) |
| 79.054    | (C_6H_8)H^+      | Benzene |
| 83.085    | (C_6H_10)H^+     | Hexanals/hexenol fragment |
| 85.101    | (C_6H_12)H^+     | Hexene |
| 87.080    | (C_6H_10O)H^+    | 2-Methyl-3-butene-2-ol (MBO) |
| 93.069    | (C_7H_8)H^+      | Toluene/MT fragment |
| 95.050    | (C_6H_9O)H^+     | Phenol |
| 99.080    | (C_6H_10O)H^+    | Hexenals |
| 101.096   | (C_6H_12O)H^+    | Hexanal |
| 107.049   | (C_7H_8O)H^+     | Benzaldehyde |
| 107.073   | (C_8H_10)H^+     | Xylenes |
| 143.107   | (C_8H_14O_2)H^+  | Hexenylacetate |
| 145.122   | (C_9H_16O_2)H^+  | Hexylacetate |
| 153.055   | (C_8H_18O_3)H^+  | Methyl salicylate (MeSa) |
| 165.092   | (C_10H_12O_2)H^+ | Eugenol |
| 211.133   | (C_12H_18O_3)H^+ | Jasmonic acid |
| 225.149   | (C_12H_20O_3)H^+ | Methyl jasmonate |
| 265.144   | (C_15H_20O_3)H^+ | Abscisic acid (ABA) |
| 69.070    | (C_3H_6)H^+      | Isoprene (IS) |
| 137.133   | (C_10H_16)H^+    | Monoterpenes (MT) |
| 205.195   | (C_15H_24)H^+    | Sesquiterpenes (SQT) |

Table A4. Mean dry weight and mean specific leaf area for 20% of the total analyzed leaves of sets DS and DS × OS.

| Dry weight [g] | Specific leaf area [m^2] |
|----------------|-------------------------|
| DS             | 1.16                    | 0.015          |
| DS × OS        | 0.82                    | 0.011          |
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