A Modification of Internal Bone Transport Method for Reconstruction of Nonunion of Forearm

Abstract

Background: Defects of bone and soft tissue occur frequently after high-energy trauma, infections, and tumor resection. Treatment options are limited and outcomes are controversial in nonunion. Classical reconstruction methods are challenging. We describe a method of internal bone transport for treatment of complicated nonunion of the forearm. This method permits axial and internal bone transport without harming the distorted and complex neurovascular anatomy or soft-tissue envelope.

Materials and Methods: Five patients (mean age, 27 years) with defect nonunion (3 ulna, 2 radius) were treated. Mean preoperative defect size was 36 mm, mean shortening was 14 (0–30) mm, and the extent of surgical resection was 24 (20–40) mm. Total bone loss due to defect, resection, or shortening was 74 mm. According to Paley classification, two of the patients had B1, and three had B3 defect nonunion. This study treats defect nonunion of the forearm using an internal bone-transport method. Our method involved cannulated screws, a cerclage wire, and a circular fixator being used in combination. When transportation was completed, internal fixation of the docking site with a plate and screws was done, with bone grafting after fixator removal. Bone healing and functional outcomes were assessed with radiographs and disabilities of the arm, shoulder, and hand (DASH) scores, respectively. Results: Mean followup was 67.6 months. Solid osseous union and functional improvement were achieved in all cases. Mean bone loss was 66 mm, mean fixator time was 131.8 days, the lengthening index was 1.3 days/mm, and the fixator index was 2.1 days/mm. DASH score was 82.2 before treatment and 15.36 after treatment. Conclusions: Using our method, internal bone transport and progressive axial docking of defects can be done with minimal effects on surrounding neurovascular arrangements and soft tissues. Size of fixators can be decreased and formation of painful scar tissue can be avoided.
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Introduction
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Defects of bone and soft tissue occur frequently after high-energy trauma, infections, and tumor resection. Appropriate initial attempts at treatment may fail and further reconstruction may be needed. Treatment options are limited and outcomes are controversial in nonunion, which are complicated by the resultant infection, soft-tissue contractures, and deformities in adjacent joints.1-4

In complicated nonunion of the forearm, classical reconstruction methods are challenging due to the distorted and complex neurovascular anatomy, bone structure, and soft tissue due to previous surgery, infection, and scar tissues. A possible alternative method of the treatment was to close the bone defect and acute limited size lengthening by various microsurgical reconstructive procedures as described by many authors.5,6 However, with this procedures, complication rate is high, and there is a greater need for microsurgery skills. Nevertheless, very effective and successful methods can provide solutions to these problems, including bone transportation with external fixators.7,8 Although it has disadvantages (e.g., long learning curve, severe complications, patient discomfort), a high prevalence of success has been reported.7,8-11

Here, we describe a method of internal bone transport for treatment of complicated nonunion of the forearm. The method involves cannulated screws and cerclage wires to construct an internal pulley system for transportation of osteotomized fragments. This method permits axial and internal bone transport without harming the distorted and complex neurovascular anatomy or soft-tissue envelope.
Materials and Methods

The study cohort was 5 patients [4 males and 1 female; mean age, 27 years (range 16–35 years)] and involved 5 nonunions (3 ulna and 2 radius). Initial etiologic factors of defects were fracture due to a sport injury (n = 1), tumor resection (n = 1), and fractures due to a motor-vehicle accident (n = 3). Patients had undergone a mean 4 (range 3–9) surgical interventions before our treatment. These surgical procedures had involved, over a long period of time: tumor resection, debridement of open fractures, open reduction and internal fixation, debridement of infected bone, and bone grafting. Patients had severe loss of function due to contracture of the wrist and elbow [Table 1].

There were 2 type-B1 and 3 type-B3 nonunions based on the Paley classification. These nonunions were termed “complicated” because of joint deformities, contractures, bone defects, and infection. Mean elapsed time from the injury to our treatment was 10.8 years (range 2–23 years). Defective area was 36 mm (range 20–50 mm), mean shortening was 14 mm (range 0–30 mm), and the extent of resection was 24 mm (range 20–40 mm). Resultant mean bone loss due to shortening, defects, or resection was 74 mm (range 60–90 mm). Anatomic integrity of radiocarpal and distal radioulnar joints was distorted in 2 cases. One patient had a failed vascularized fibular graft reconstruction after resection of an aneurysmal bone cyst localized at the distal radial metaphysis. The cause of this failure was deep infection, and the infection was unresolved at the time of surgery. The second patient experienced a physical injury and concomitant diaphysis defect that resulted in radial shortening of 17 mm. Deformity of the radial head (not round and larger than the other side) was noted in the other 2 patients[Table 2].

All patients (n = 5) provided their informed consent at enrollment for the use of patients’ data for research. Outcomes at final followup were assessed using radiologic interventions and functional scoring systems. Evidence of union, infection, deformity, and length discrepancy were evaluated through anteroposterior and lateral radiographs of the forearm. Pain, range of motion, and disabilities of the arm, shoulder, and hand (DASH) scores for functional outcomes were recorded. For range of motion evaluation, an electronic digital goniometer was used.

Operative procedure

A bone transport pulley system (BTPS), which comprised cannulated screws (4.5 mm) and a cerclage wire (0.5 mm), was placed into the forearm by open surgery [Figure 1a-c]. Before insertion of the BTPS, meticulous debridement of the infected area and removal of necrotic tissues was undertaken. This step is of paramount importance, and...
Debridement should be repeated until the soft-tissue bed and punctuate bleeding from the bone is observed [Figures 2-4].

To construct the BTPS, one cannulated screw was inserted into the main fragment (fragment which was osteotomized for obtaining transporting fragment) and two cannulated screws were placed into the target bone (smaller fragment according to main fragment which transporting fragment go towards it), parallel to each other and perpendicular to the bone. A cerclage wire was inserted through the first screw (which was located in the target bone). Then, the cerclage wire was passed inside the screw in the main fragment and the remaining screw in the target bone. The two ends of the cerclage wire were removed from the skin [Figure 5]. The screws changed the direction of the traction force and made it parallel to the direction of bone transport, thereby enabling internal bone transport while reducing the risk of cutout of the screw. After skin closure, the forearm was stabilized in a circular fixator and a lengthening osteotomy carried out percutaneously. The fixator was used only for stabilization of fragments and carrying of motor units (which were used to move the osteotomized fragment toward the main fragment).

After a latency period, bone transport was initiated at 1 mm/day. To allow periosteal healing, the ends of the cerclage wire had to be loose during the latency period [Figures 6 and 7]. Transporting fragment was carried until the defect eliminated. After that, lengthening is done until length of bone was restored. What must be done at this point is to wait for minimum 3 weeks of pause when bone transport is completed. Minimum 3 weeks of waiting is essential for the maturation of regenerate bone. Following regenerate bone maturation seen in X-rays after this pause, fixator is removed, plate is placed over maturated regenerate bone, transported fragment, and distal target bone, spongious bone grafting of the docking-site and plate-screw osteosynthesis are performed.[Figure 8].

**Results**

Solid osseous union was achieved in all patients. Mean followup was 67.6 months (range 42–87 months). Mean bone loss was 66 mm (range 40–80 mm), mean time for fixator application was 131.8 days (range 82–180 days), the lengthening index was 1.3 days/mm (range 1.2–1.4 days/mm), and the fixator index was 2.1 days/mm (range 1.5–2.4 days/mm). The DASH score was 82.2 (range 68.4–97.3) before treatment and 15.36 (range 5.9–28.9) after treatment [Table 3].

One patient had active infection that necessitated wound drainage at treatment initiation. Surgical treatment for this patient comprised bone resection, debridement, and deep sampling of tissue for culture. The culture was positive for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, which was treated with 5 weeks of ceftriaxone (intravenous) and 6 weeks of cefuroxime.

Complications were seen while bone transport continued. Schanz pin and cerclage wire were broken in one patient. Although this complication was noticed, wire placement was revised without anesthesia in the outpatient setting.
and treatment was completed in this patient. Grade 1 pin-tract infection was observed in 2 patients but resolved with careful management at the pin site and use of a first generation cephalosporin for 5–7 days with clinical followup.

At final followup, mean flexion and extension at the elbow and wrist were improved. Two cases with 20° of elbow extension deficit in the preoperative period healed with full recovery and one case with 30° of elbow extension deficit improved to 10° of deficit. Elbow flexion range increased in two cases by 10° and 20°, decreased in one by 5° and did not change in two. Wrist flexion range improved in four cases with an average of 31° (range 10° to 75°) and did not change in one, compared to preoperative evaluations. Wrist extension range improved in three cases with an average of 10° (range 5°–20°) and did not change in one, compared to preoperative evaluations. Preoperative pronation range improved in all cases with an average of 22° (range 10°–45°), and forearm supination range improved in all cases with an average of 58° (range 10°–90°) [Table 4 and Figure 9].

**Discussion**

Several options have been described for the treatment of bone and soft-tissue defects of the radius and ulna caused by trauma, infection or tumor resection, and all have advantages and disadvantages.\(^2,13-16\) For options not involving bone transport, treatment can be completed in a single session without the requirement of external hardware with relatively simple followup procedures for patients and the surgeon. However, these methods have disadvantages in patients with complicated bone defects: requirement of sophisticated microsurgical procedures, donor-site comorbidity, high level of surgical expertise, difficulty in acute restoration of shortening, and bone defects.\(^5,6\)

Failure of sophisticated reconstruction methods can have devastating effects for patients and healthcare staff.
Multiple surgical procedures, infections, and resections undertaken in previous treatments altered the anatomic structures in our patients. In an altered anatomic environment, predisposition of additional trauma to neurovascular structures is increased and necessitates increased management and protection of these structures. From this viewpoint, despite all appropriate sophisticated microsurgical interventions, the risk of failure is not eliminated. Application of an external fixator is simple and possible at any time, but our treatment option is also very risky, and extreme care is needed.

Our patients had a mean number of 5 previous surgical procedures, and 10.8 years from the original trauma to our treatment. They had complex neurovascular structures in the forearm that had moved from their original anatomic location due to previous surgical procedures, and patients also had disuse osteoporosis.8,10 Our new method can be used to transport bone in an axial direction and secure anatomic pathway.17‑19 All patients had anatomic and functional disabilities, so the primary goal of treatment was restoration of functionality of the extremities. DASH scores at final followup showed a significant improvement compared with preoperative DASH scores, revealing satisfactory treatment.

Bone transport with an external fixator has been used in the treatment of large defects in bone.1,4,20,21 A high prevalence of success has been reported, but it is not first line treatment because the learning curve of the method is long and the fixator rings are uncomfortable for patients. Hence, this method is carried out in limited number of surgical centers.8,22‑26 With the modification of internal bone transport described here, the prevalence of complications for internal bone transport methods can be decreased and successful outcomes can be achieved. Less time is spent in the fixator, and therefore, the patient comfort is improved.

Defect size is not of paramount importance when deciding whether to use our internal bone-transport method. One of the most important advantages of our method is that bone can be transported in an axial direction, so progressive compression at the docking site is provided internally. The cerclage wire is placed parallel to neurovascular structures, so trauma to the soft-tissue envelope during transport is avoided. Tensioning and bone transport are carried out in the same direction, so the shearing forces that can result in screw cutouts in atrophic bone are decreased. In one of our patients, the cerclage wire broke because of the excessive force applied for transportation. However, the screws and internal part of the cerclage wire showed resistance to the same force, and screw cutout did not occur even though the bone was atrophic. After revision of wire placement without anesthesia in the outpatient setting, treatment was completed in this patient. Neurovascular complications were not observed in any of our patients.

Table 3: Treatment of pseudarthroses technical data on bone transport and complications

| Patients | Treatment | Complications | Other surgeries | Secondary surgical procedures | Lengthening size (mm) | Fixator duration (days) | Fixator index (day/mm) | Lengthening index (mm/day) |
|----------|-----------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1        | Nibtt     | Cerclage wire failure, schanz pin failure | If + Dsg | None | 60 | 138 | 2.3 | 1.3 |
| 2        | Nibtt     | G1 pin infection | If + Dsg + Rca | None | 80 | 180 | 2.25 | 1.4 |
| 3        | Nibtt + Rl | G1 pin infection | If + Dsg | None | 80 | 121 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| 4        | Nibtt     | None | If + Dsg | Radial head resection after 17 months | 40 | 82 | 2.5 | 1.2 |
| 5        | Nibtt     | None | If + Dsg | Plate and screws removed after 60 months | 70 | 168 | 2.4 | 1.3 |

Nibtt=Novel internal bone transport technique, Rl=Radial lengthening, G1=Grade 1, If=Internal fixation, Dsg=Docking side grafting, Rca=Radiocarpal arthrodesis

Figure 9: The primary goal of our treatment was restoring the functionality of the extremity. Despite of some restriction in supination (increased from 15° to 55°), a good functional outcome was obtained in Patient 1 at the end of 42 months of followup period.
In the literature, there are several articles that had described a modification of an internal bone transport technique, each having unique advantages and disadvantages. However, all of these above-mentioned techniques had been described for the treatment of defects localized in the long bones of the lower limb, technically challenging to be performed in dimensionally smaller or comparatively weaker bones such as forearm bones. The technique that we are performing is different from the above-mentioned ones; the cannulated screws used in the technique are small in size, thus they can be easily applied to every small and weak bones. In addition, our method can be performed with a circular fixator in combination which helps an orthopedic surgeon to use circular fixator’s supplemental ability to adapt into narrowest anatomical zones with technical ease, whenever needed. Another advantage of our technique is that cerclage wire passing in the screws has a capability to obtain docking-side compression if transport is continued after bone defect reconstruction is completed. For all these features, we believe that the axial internal bone transport technique that we use is more advantageous to perform compared to other methods.

The prevalence of complications of bone-transport methods can be more if the treatment period is long. In addition, the time elapsed during the consolidation phase can increase the fixator index. To decrease the time of fixator application, internal fixation was done with grafting of the docking site after the defects had been closed, and fixators were removed. Mean segmental bone loss that necessitated treatment was high (74 mm), but the mean lengthening index was 1.3 days/mm, and the fixator index was 2.1 days/mm. In complicated defective nonunions, the biological responses of bones are affected negatively and the capacity for regeneration decreases. All of our patients had complicated defective nonunions but solid osseous union was achieved in all cases.

We performed grafting at the docking site and plate screw fixation to reduce the duration of fixation because a longer duration of fixation can increase the prevalence of infection. Infection or recurrence due to plate screw fixation was not observed in our cohort, probably due to meticulous debridement and removal of necrotic tissues.

Low number of patients in the cohort, heterogeneity in the etiological factors of the defects in patients and the lack of more objective functional assessment tools are the limitations of our study.

Technological advancements may allow the treatment of severe traumatic fractures with a low prevalence of complications. Nevertheless, orthopedic surgeons who deal with trauma and deformity must be familiar with conventional treatment options such as circular external fixators. Bone transport employing circular external fixators has become conventional treatment for large defects in bone. In our method, fixator application was simplified and

---

### Table 4: Clinical evaluations of the patients

| Patients | Followup (months) | Preoperative DASH | Postoperative DASH | Radiological outcome |
|----------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 1        | 0/120            | 0/0               | 0/0               | Solid osseous union |
| 2        | 0/125            | 0/0               | 0/0               | Solid osseous union |
| 3        | 0/120            | 0/0               | 0/0               | Solid osseous union |
| 4        | 0/120            | 0/0               | 0/0               | Solid osseous union |
| 5        | 0/120            | 0/0               | 0/0               | Solid osseous union |

DASH = Disabilities of the arm shoulder and hand.

In the literature, there are several articles that had described a modification of an internal bone transport technique, each having unique advantages and disadvantages. However, all of these above-mentioned techniques had been described for the treatment of defects localized in the long bones of the lower limb, technically challenging to be performed in dimensionally smaller or comparatively weaker bones such as forearm bones. The technique that we are performing is different from the above-mentioned ones; the cannulated screws used in the technique are small in size, thus they can be easily applied to every small and weak bones. In addition, our method can be performed with a circular fixator in combination which helps an orthopedic surgeon to use circular fixator’s supplemental ability to adapt into narrowest anatomical zones with technical ease, whenever needed. Another advantage of our technique is that cerclage wire passing in the screws has a capability to obtain docking-side compression if transport is continued after bone defect reconstruction is completed. For all these features, we believe that the axial internal bone transport technique that we use is more advantageous to perform compared to other methods.

The prevalence of complications of bone-transport methods can be more if the treatment period is long. In addition, the time elapsed during the consolidation phase can increase the fixator index. To decrease the time of fixator application, internal fixation was done with grafting of the docking site after the defects had been closed, and fixators were removed. Mean segmental bone loss that necessitated treatment was high (74 mm), but the mean lengthening index was 1.3 days/mm, and the fixator index was 2.1 days/mm. In complicated defective nonunions, the biological responses of bones are affected negatively and the capacity for regeneration decreases. All of our patients had complicated defective nonunions but solid osseous union was achieved in all cases.

We performed grafting at the docking site and plate screw fixation to reduce the duration of fixation because a longer duration of fixation can increase the prevalence of infection. Infection or recurrence due to plate screw fixation was not observed in our cohort, probably due to meticulous debridement and removal of necrotic tissues.

Low number of patients in the cohort, heterogeneity in the etiological factors of the defects in patients and the lack of more objective functional assessment tools are the limitations of our study.

Technological advancements may allow the treatment of severe traumatic fractures with a low prevalence of complications. Nevertheless, orthopedic surgeons who deal with trauma and deformity must be familiar with conventional treatment options such as circular external fixators. Bone transport employing circular external fixators has become conventional treatment for large defects in bone. In our method, fixator application was simplified and
a new internal transport device added and could be used for the treatment of chronic cases.

Conclusions
With this new treatment method, internal bone transport and progressive axial docking of defect can be performed with minimal influence of surrounding neurovascular tissues and soft tissues. The size of the fixators can be decreased and painful scar tissue formation can be avoided, resulting in better posttreatment DASH scores. We think that small sample size in the cohort is an important limitation for the study, thus the success in both clinical and radiological outcomes of the technique must be supported with further studies with larger group of patients.

Declaration of patient consent
The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form the patient(s) has/have given his/her/their consent for his/her/their images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The patients understand that their names and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal their identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Financial support and sponsorship
Nil.

Conflicts of interest
There are no conflicts of interest.

References
1. Bagatur AE, Doğan A, Zorer G. Correction of deformities and length discrepancies of the forearm in children by distraction osteogenesis. Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc 2002;36:111-6.
2. Lee J, Oh SJ, Jung SW, Koh SH. Ilizarov distraction and vascularized fibular osteocutaneous graft for postosteomyelitis skeletal deformity of the forearm. J Reconstr Microsurg 2012;28:627-9.
3. Kremer T, Bickert B, Germann G, Heitmann C, Sauerbier M. Outcome assessment after reconstruction of complex defects of the forearm and hand with osteocutaneous free flaps. Plast Reconstr Surg 2006;118:443-54.
4. Eldzaroğlu PE. Treatment of forearm bone pseudarthrosis by the ilizarov's method. Kilirurgia (Mosk) 2012;8:60-4.
5. Kremer T, Bickert B, Germann G, Heitmann C, Sauerbier M. Outcome assessment after reconstruction of complex defects of the forearm and hand with osteocutaneous free flaps. Handchir Mikrochir Plast Chir 2007;39:388-95.
6. Adani R, Delcroix L, Innocenti M, Marcoccio I, Tarallo L, Celli A, et al. Reconstruction of large posttraumatic skeletal defects of the forearm by vascularized free fibular graft. Microsurgery 2004;24:423-9.
7. Paley D, Maar DC. Ilizarov bone transport treatment for tibial defects. J Orthop Trauma 2000;14:76-85.
8. Villa A, Paley D, Catagni MA, Bell D, Cattaneo R. Lengthening of the forearm by the ilizarov technique. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1990;250:125-37.
9. Demir B, Ozturk K, Oke R, Gursu S, Aydin KB, Sahin V, et al. A modified technique of internal bone transport. Acta Orthop Belg 2008;74:216-21.
10. Paley D. Problems, obstacles, and complications of limb lengthening by the ilizarov technique. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1990;250:81-104.
11. Demir B, Gursu S, Ozturk K, Yildirim T, Konya MN, Er T, et al. Single-stage treatment of complete dislocation of radial head and forearm deformity using distraction osteogenesis in paediatric patients having multiple cartilaginous exostosis. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2011;131:1195-201.
12. Paley D, Catagni MA, Argnani F, Villa A, Benedetti GB, Cattaneo R, et al. Ilizarov treatment of tibial nonunions with bone loss. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1989;241:146-65.
13. Mathoulin C, Gilbert A, Arze RG. Congenital pseudarthrosis of the forearm: Treatment of six cases with vascularized fibular graft and a review of the literature. Microsurgery 1993;14:252-9.
14. Malizos KN, Beris AE, Xenakis TA, Korobitias AB, Soucacos PN. Free vascularized fibular graft: A versatile graft for reconstruction of large skeletal defects and revascularization of necrotic bone. Microsurgery 1992;13:182-7.
15. Bara T, Synder M. Nine-years experience with the use of shock waves for treatment of bone union disturbances. Ortop Traumatol Rehabil 2007;9:254-8.
16. Bae DS, Waters PM, Sampson CE. Use of free vascularized fibular graft for congenital ulnar pseudarthrosis: Surgical decision making in the growing child. J Pediatr Orthop 2005;25:755-62.
17. Rigal S, Merloz P, Le Nen D, Mathevon H, Masquelet AC, French Society of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology (SoFCOT), et al. Bone transport techniques in posttraumatic bone defects. Ortop Traumatol Rehabil 2012;1;98:103-8.
18. Arslan H, Subasy M, Keseminti C, Ersuz H. Occurrence and treatment of nonunion in long bone fractures in children. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2002;122:494-8.
19. Orzechowski W, Morasiewicz L, Dragan S, Krawczyk A, Kulej M, Mazur T, et al. Treatment of non-union of the forearm using distraction-compression osteogenesis. Ortop Traumatol Rehabil 2007;9:357-65.
20. El‑Mowafi H, Elalfi B, Wasfi K. Functional outcome following treatment of segmental skeletal defects of the forearm bones by ilizarov application. Acta Orthop Belg 2005;71:157-62.
21. Raimondo RA, Skaggs DL, Rosenwasser MP, Dick HM. Lengthening of pediatric forearm deformities using the ilizarov technique: Functional and cosmetic results. J Hand Surg Am 1999;24:331-8.
22. Harbacheuski R, Fragomen AT, Rozbruch SR. Does lengthening and then plating (LAP) shorten duration of external fixation? Clin Orthop Relat Res 2012;470:1771-81.
23. Folkerts C, Henry S, Kovelman HF, Lentz P, Paley D, Boland E, et al. Rehabilitation of the ilizarov patient. Rehab Manag Technol 1992;5:126-9.
24. Weber M. Segment transport of the bone using cable reels and flexible wire-a new technology at the ring fixator. Med Orthop Technol 1998;118:134-40.
25. Thaller PH, Füremetz J. Case 53: Cable technique with a medial monorail for bone transport and extended soft tissue access for reconstruction of more than 16 cm of tibial bone. In: Rozbruch SR, Hamdy R, editors. Limb Lengthening and Reconstruction Surgery Case Atlas. Adult Deformity, Tumor - Upper Extremity, 1st ed. Springer International Publishing Switzerland; 2015. p. 353-8.
26. Kucukkaya M, Armagan R, Kuzgun U. The new intramedullary cable bone transport technique. J Orthop Trauma 2009;23:531-6.
27. Hyodo A, Kotschi H, Kambic H, Muschler G. Bone transport using intramedullary fixation and a single flexible traction cable.
Clin Orthop Relat Res 1996;325:256-68.

28. Baumgart R, Kuhn V, Hinterwimmer S, Krammer M, Mutschler W. Tractive force measurement in bone transport – An in vivo investigation in humans. Biomed Tech (Berl) 2004;49:248-56.

29. Young JW, Kovelman H, Resnik CS, Paley D. Radiologic assessment of bones after ilizarov procedures. Radiology 1990;177:89-93.

30. Laible C, Earl-Royal E, Davidovitch R, Walsh M, Egol KA. Infection after spanning external fixation for high-energy tibial plateau fractures: Is pin site-plate overlap a problem? J Orthop Trauma 2012;26:92-7.