Zoledronic acid inhibits osteoclast differentiation and function through the regulation of NF-κB and JNK signalling pathways
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Abstract. It is well known that extensive osteoclast formation plays a key role in osteoporosis in post-menopausal women and the elderly. The suppression of extensive osteoclastogenesis and bone resorption may be an effective preventive strategy for osteoporosis. Zoledronic acid (ZOL) has been indicated to play an essential role in regulating bone mineral density and has already been used in large clinical trials. However, the effects of ZOL on osteoclastogenesis remain to be fully elucidated. Therefore, the present study aimed to determine the effects of ZOL on osteoclastogenesis, and to explore the corresponding signalling pathways. By using a cell viability assay, as well as in vitro osteoclastogenesis, immunofluorescence and resorption pit assays, we demonstrated that ZOL (0.1-5 µM) suppressed receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB ligand (RANKL)-induced osteoclast differentiation and bone resorptive activity. Furthermore, western blot analysis and reverse transcription-quantitative PCR indicated that ZOL inhibited the RANKL-induced activation of NF-κB and the phosphorylation of JNK in RAW264.7 cells, and subsequently decreased the expression of osteoclastogenesis-associated genes, including calcitonin receptor, tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase and dendritic cell-specific transmembrane protein. ZOL inhibited osteoclast formation and resorption in vitro by specifically suppressing NF-κB and JNK signalling. On the whole, the findings of this study indicate that ZOL may serve as a potential agent for the treatment of osteoclast-associated diseases, including osteoporosis.

Introduction

Bone is a solid yet dynamic organ, which is in a constant state of remodelling. These remodelling activities occur throughout the entire lifespan of an individual and are tightly regulated by the cooperation of osteoblast-mediated disruption and the osteoclast-mediated facilitation of bone resorption. These two cell types are normally in balance to maintain homeostasis and warrant a constant amount of healthy bone (1). However, the imbalance between bone formation and resorption may lead to severe skeletal diseases, including osteoporosis and osteopetrosis (2,3).

Osteoclasts are members of the monocyte/macrophage hematopoietic lineage with specific morphological characteristics, including multiple nuclei and ruffled borders (4,5). Their number and resorptive function are usually increased in osteoporosis. Since osteoclasts are unique in their ability to resorb bone (6), the formation of mature multi-nucleated osteoclasts is a critical event in the development of osteoporosis (7,8). Furthermore, osteoclasts have become one of the key targets for the treatment of osteoporosis. The formation of osteoclasts includes two critical steps, namely a commitment of the mono-nuclear cell lineages to become pre-osteoclasts and cell-cell fusion to generate multinucleated giant osteoclasts (9). Each step may serve as a potential target for therapeutic intervention on osteoporosis. However, it has been verified that intervention on the first step may have severe adverse effects on the hematopoietic system (10). The strategy of interfering with the second step has been confirmed to attenuate the efficiency of bone resorption by decreasing the actin-rich structure of podosomes (10). Fusion failure may lead to an obvious reduction of bone-resorbing activity and an increase in bone mass, as observed in osteopetrosis (11). However, excessive bone resorption by osteoclasts is also involved in the pathogenesis of bone-associated disorders, including osteoporosis.

Pre-osteoclastic RAW264.7 cells may be induced into osteoclasts by receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB ligand (RANKL). RANKL is a member of the tumour necrosis factor (TNF) family, and it is the most significant for the process of osteoclast formation and activation (12,13). After binding to its receptor, RANK, RANKL stimulates the osteoclastic differentiation of monocyte macrophages and the maturation
of osteoclasts (14,15). In detail, RANKL binding to RANK recruits TNF receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6) and sequentially activates the transcription factors, NF-κB, and several inflammation-associated-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways, including extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK)1/2, c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) and p38 pathways (16-18). These pathways, in turn, stimulate the key transcription factors nuclear factor of activated T cells 1 (NFATc1) and c-Fos (19,20). Stimulated NFATc1 translocates into the nucleus and activates the expression of osteoclast marker genes, including RANK, calcitonin receptor (CTR), tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) and dendritic cell-specific transmembrane protein (DC-STAMP), which enable osteoclastogenesis and bone resorption by osteoclasts (Fig. 1) (6,21).

Zoledronic acid (ZOL), a third-generation, nitrogen-containing, long-acting bisphosphonate, has been identified to significantly increase bone mineral density. It is used for the treatment of osteoporosis and decreases the incidence of osteoporotic fractures in patients with post-menopausal conditions when applied systemically by intravenous infusion once a year (22,23). Such agents are known to act by inhibiting osteoclast proliferation and inducing the apoptosis of osteoclasts (24,25). There are pioneer studies on the effect of nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates, e.g., minodronic and alendronate, on osteoclastogenesis (26,27). However, the mechanisms through which ZOL inhibits osteoclastogenesis remain to be fully elucidated (26,28). The present study aimed to further explore the effects of ZOL on RANKL-induced osteoclast differentiation and bone resorption activity in vitro, and to further explore the underlying mechanisms.

Materials and methods

Cells, reagents and antibodies. The RAW264.7 mouse macrophage (osteoclast precursor) cell line was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. Foetal bovine serum (FBS) and the alpha modification of Eagle’s medium (α-MEM) were purchased from Gibco-BRL (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The cell counting kit (CCK-8) was purchased from Dojindo Laboratories. ZOL (dissolved in purified water) and α-MEM were purchased from Gibco-BRL. Foetal bovine serum (heat-inactivated FBS) at 37˚C in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO₂. The cells were used after 3-5 passages in α-MEM.

Cell viability assay. The effects of various concentrations of ZOL on the growth and viability of RAW264.7 cells in the presence or absence of RANKL were evaluated using a CCK-8 kit. In brief, the RAW264.7 cells were seeded into 3 96-well plates, at a density of 3×10⁴ cells/well and cultured in α-MEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin and streptomycin for 24 h. The medium was discarded and serially diluted ZOL (0, 0.1, 1, 5, 15, 30 and 50 µM) with or without 100 ng/ml RANKL were added to the cells at the same time, followed by further incubation at 37˚C for 24, 48 or 72 h, respectively. A total of 10 µl CCK-8 reagent was added to each well, and the cells were incubated for an additional 2 h at 37˚C with 5% CO₂. The optical density at 450 nm was read on an ELX800 microplate reader (BioTek Instruments), and the background reading (medium) was subtracted. Six replicates were used for each condition, and the experiments were repeated at least 3 times. The cell growth curves of the ZOL-treated cells were generated using GraphPad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc).

In vitro osteoclastogenesis assays. RAW264.7 cells differentiate into osteoclast-like cells in the presence of RANKL. The cells were seeded in 96-well tissue culture plates at a density of 1.5×10⁴ cells/well with α-MEM (supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin) and incubated at 37˚C overnight to allow the cells attach to the inner surface of a 6-well plate, and the cell culture was then supplemented with (RANKL group) or without (RANKL-free and ZOL-free, denoted vehicle group) 100 ng/ml RANKL and various concentrations of ZOL (0, 0.1, 1 or 5 µM) for 5 days at 37˚C, and the cell culture medium were replaced with fresh complete medium every 2 days until a large number of mature osteoclasts formed in the group treated with RANKL only. In addition, RAW264.7 cells treated with or without 1 µM ZOL and 100 ng/ml RANKL were cultured for 3, 5 or 7 days at 37˚C. To determine osteoclast differentiation at the end of each incubation, the cells were washed twice and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min. The TRAP staining kit was then used to stain for TRAP, an osteoclast marker, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. TRAP-positive multinucleated cells with >3 nuclei identified under an inverted microscope (Olympus IX 51) were considered as osteoclast-like cells.

Immunofluorescence. RAW264.7 cells, cultured on glass coverslips, were treated with or without 100 ng/ml RANKL and 0, 0.1 or 5 µM ZOL until mature osteoclasts appeared in the control wells. To detect the formation of F-actin rings and nuclei, the cells were stained with TRAP and DAPI, respectively, and to further explore the underlying mechanisms.
nuclei with DAPI at room temperature for 30 min or 30 sec, respectively. Finally, the cells were washed with PBS and observed under a fluorescence microscope (BX51; Olympus) and the fluorescence images were obtained using Zeiss ZEN software (Zen 2.6, Zeiss AG).

Resorption pit assay. The resorptive function of the mature osteoclasts derived from the RANKL-differentiated RAW264.7 cells was analysed on sterile bovine bone slices (IDS Nordic), which were placed in 96-well plates with 3 replicates for each condition. The RAW264.7 cells were plated at a density of 1.5x10⁵ cells/well onto the bovine bone slices. The cells were treated with 100 ng/ml RANKL and 0, 0.1 or 5 µM ZOL to induce osteoclast differentiation. After 10 days of culture (medium was changed every 48 h), all cells were removed from the bone slices, and the resorption pits were then visualised under a scanning electron microscope (Hitachi E-1010). The total number and area of resorption pits was quantified and compared using Image J software 6.0 (National Institutes of Health).

RNA extraction and reverse transcription-quantitative (RT-qPCR). The RAW264.7 cells were seeded onto 6-well plates at a density of 1x10⁵ cells per well and cultured in complete α-MEM in the presence or absence of 100 ng/ml RANKL. These cells were then incubated with 0, 0.1, 1 or 5 µM ZOL at 37°C for 3 days until mature osteoclasts formed. The cells were transferred into a tube containing TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and total RNA was isolated according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Complementary DNA was synthesised from 1 mg total RNA using PrimeScript™ reverse transcriptase (2690A, Takara Bio Inc.) and stored at -70°C until further use. RT-qPCR was performed to verify the differential expression of the specific genes during osteoclast formation or of GAPDH using the SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ kit (Takara Bio Inc.). For the analysis of mRNAs encoding osteoclastogenic proteins and osteoclast-specific markers, TRAP, CTR, RANK, NFATc1, c-Fos, DC-STAMP and GAPDH were amplified. The specific primer sequences are listed in Table I.
The thermocycling conditions for PCR were as follows:
Initial denaturation for 1 min at 95˚C, followed by 40 cycles
of 95˚C for 15 sec and extension at 60˚C for 1 min. The 2^-∆∆Cq
method (29) was used to calculate relative mRNA expression
as described previously, and each sample was run and analysed
in triplicate. The expression levels of each gene in all experi-
mental groups were normalised to the endogenous reference
gene (GAPDH) and indicated as relative fold changes of the
control.

Protein preparation and western blot analysis. The
RAW264.7 cells were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of
1x10^6 cells/well, and following incubation at 37˚C overnight,
the cells were pre-treated with or without 5 µM ZOL for 4 h,
and then cultured with 100 ng/ml RANKL for a further 0,
5, 10, 20, 30 or 60 min. Whole-cell lysates were prepared
from harvested cells using radioimmunoprecipitation assay
buffer consisting of 150 mM Nacl, 50 mM Tris-Hcl, 5 mM
EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM sodium vanadate, 1 mM
sodium fluoride, 1% deoxycholate and protease inhibitors.
Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 12,000 x g
at 4˚C for 10 min. The lysates were boiled in the loading
buffer for 10 min and the protein concentrations in the
whole-cell extracts were quantified using the bicinchoninic
acid method (Beijing Solarbio). Total protein (30 µg per
lane) was then separated by 10% SdS-PAGE and trans-
ferred onto PVDF membranes. After blocking in 5% non-fat
milk in Tris-buffered saline containing Tween-20 at room
temperature for 2 h, the membranes were incubated with a
1:1,000 dilution of the indicated primary antibodies at 4˚C
overnight, followed by horseradish-peroxidase-conjugated
secondary antibodies diluted at 1:10,000 in the blocking
buffer at room temperature for 1 h. After washing, the
membranes were soaked in enhanced chemiluminescence
solution (ECL, Millipore) for 1 min, and the bands were
detected using the Gene Gnome Imaging System (Syngene).
Phosphorylated proteins (e.g., p-p65, p-IkBα, p-ERK1/2, p-p38 and p-JNK) were visualized by its specific
primary antibody and corresponding secondary antibody.
To detect total protein in the same membrane, antibodies,
detecting each phosphorylated protein, were stripped from
the membranes by using Stripping Buffer purchased from
Solarbio® LIFE SCIENCE (SW3020, Beijing). Antibody-free
membranes were then re-incubated with antibodies binding
to total proteins (e.g., p65, IkBα, p38, JNK). The GAPDHPDG protein was used as an internal reference.

Statistical analysis. All experiments were performed 3 times
and values are expressed as the means ± standard devia-
tion. The groups were compared using one- or two-way
ANOVA analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test
for multiple comparisons. All data analyses were performed
with GraphPad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc) and differ-
ences between means were considered statistically significant
at P<0.05.

Results

Cytotoxic effects of ZOL on RAW264.7 cells and osteoclasts.
The effect of ZOL on the viability of RAW264.7 cells in the
presence or absence of RANKL was assessed using a CCK-8
assay. First, the cytotoxicity of ZOL (0, 0.1, 1, 5, 15, 30 and
50 µM) on RAW264.7 cells without RANKL was analysed.
The results indicated that the cell growth was suppressed by
ZOL at concentrations of 15, 30 and 50 µM (Fig. 2A).
Subsequently, the cytotoxicity of ZOL (at the same concen-
trations) in the presence of 100 ng/ml RANKL was assessed.
As expected, the result was similar to that observed in the
RANKL-free group (Fig. 2B).

Table I. Sequences of primers used in RT-qPCR.

| Primer                     | Gene sequence                              |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Mouse CTR forward          | 5'-GTCCAGAGTGAAAAGGCGGA-3'                 |
| Mouse CTR reverse          | 5'-AGGGCAACTGATGAATCCGG-3'                 |
| Mouse TRAP forward         | 5'-TTCCAGCCAGCATACTACCAG-3'                |
| Mouse TRAP reverse         | 5'-TTCCAGCTGGTTGACTGCTCC-3'                |
| Mouse RANK forward         | 5'-TCAGGTGCTTTTCAGGGGAC-3'                 |
| Mouse RANK reverse         | 5'-CCCTTGGGCTTGTCTTCTTT-3'                 |
| Mouse DC-STAMP forward     | 5'-AGGAAATGCACGTCGTTCA-3'                  |
| Mouse DC-STAMP reverse     | 5'-GACCGAAGATACCTGGCTCG-3'                 |
| Mouse NFATc1 forward       | 5'-GTCGAAGATGGTGAGTGGG-3'                  |
| Mouse NFATc1 reverse       | 5'-CCGTTCTCCTCTATGCA-3'                   |
| Mouse c-Fos forward        | 5'-GCTTGGAAAGGATCTAGCTCT-3'                |
| Mouse c-Fos reverse        | 5'-GGGTTGGCCTTGGACCTTTA-3'                 |
| Mouse GAPDH forward        | 5'-TGGTCAGGTTTCTTACCT-3'                   |
| Mouse GAPDH reverse        |                                           |

CTR, calcitonin receptor; TRAP, tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase; RANK, receptor activator of nuclear factor κB; DC-STAMP, dendritic
cell-specific transmembrane protein; NFATc1, nuclear factor of activated T cells 1.
ZOL suppresses the RANKL-induced osteoclastic differentiation of RAW264.7 cells. After excluding the possibility that the inhibitory effects of ZOL on TRAP activity were due to cytotoxicity at concentrations of up to 5 µM, the effects of ZOL on RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis were assessed. In the RANKL group, the RAW264.7 cells exhibited characteristic morphological changes toward osteoclasts at day 3 of RANKL-induced differentiation, with increasing cell-cell fusion into large and multinucleate TRAP-positive osteoclast cells, reaching completion at day 5 (Fig. 2C). However, the number of osteoclasts (Fig. 2D) and percentage of the osteoclast area (Fig. 2E) was significantly suppressed by incubation with 1 µM ZOL for different periods of time (3, 5 and 7 days). Furthermore, ZOL suppressed osteoclastogenesis in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 2F-H). Taken together, these results suggest that ZOL inhibits osteoclast formation.
ZOL inhibits the formation of F-actin rings and multiple nuclei. Mature osteoclasts contain actin ring structures that create sealing zones between the cells and bone matrix, and it is a prerequisite for osteoclast bone resorption (30,31). Thus, immunofluorescence analysis was performed to examine the effects of ZOL on F-actin rings and cell nuclei. Well-structured F-actin rings were observed by confocal fluorescence microscopy in the sealing zones of RANKL-induced osteoclasts (Fig. 3A). However, the formation of the F-actin ring and the gathering of nuclei was markedly inhibited by ZOL in a concentration-dependent manner. Therefore, osteoclast morphology appeared abnormal or immature (Fig. 3A).

Effects of ZOL on bone resorption in RANKL-induced RAW264.7 cells. We then investigated whether ZOL modulates mature osteoclast activity by performing a resorption pit assay. RAW264.7 cells were plated on bone slices, which were treated with various concentrations of ZOL in the presence or absence of 100 ng/ml RANKL. The results indicated that the area of osteoclast bone resorption pits was markedly decreased by ZOL in a dose-dependent manner compared with the ZOL-free group. Furthermore, almost no resorption pits were observed in the groups treated with 5 µM ZOL (Fig. 3B-D). These results suggested that treatment with ZOL markedly attenuates the bone-resorption activity of osteoclasts. This may, at least partially, be explained by the effect of ZOL to impair osteoclastogenesis.

Effects of ZOL on the mRNA expression of osteoclast differentiation-specific genes in RAW264.7 cells. To further elucidate the effects of ZOL on osteoclast formation and resorptive function, the expression of specific osteoclast
differentiation-associated genes in ZOL-treated cells was assessed by RT-qPCR. It was indicated that treatment with RANKL markedly increased the expression levels of CTR, RANK, TRAP, DC-STAMP, NFATc1 and c-Fos. However, this upregulation was significantly suppressed by ZOL in a dose-dependent manner during osteoclastogenesis compared with that in the ZOL-free group (Fig. 4). These results suggest that ZOL inhibits the expression of RANKL-induced genes involved in osteoclast differentiation and function.

ZOL inhibits NF-κB and JNK signalling. Previous studies have revealed that NF-κB, p38, ERK1/2 and JNK play critical roles in osteoclast differentiation (Fig. 1) (32-34). To explore the pathways through which ZOL regulates osteoclastogenesis, the protein levels of RANKL-induced signalling pathways were investigated by western blot analysis. As presented in Fig. 5A, the rapid activation of NF-κB was detected by the phosphorylation of IkBα, the inhibitor of NF-κB, at 5 min following RANKL exposure. As expected, RANKL treatment induced a significant increase in the RANKL-induced phosphorylation of p65. In addition, induction with RANKL markedly increased the phosphorylation levels of p38, ERK and JNK, which exhibited a maximum increase at 10 or 20 min (Fig. 5A).

To further examine the influence of ZOL on NF-κB and MAPK mediated osteoclast differentiation, the intensity of each phosphorylated protein was divided by the intensity of corresponding total protein in both RANKL and RANKL+ZOL treated groups. The results revealed that ZOL significantly inhibited RANKL-dependent phosphorylation of IkBα (Fig. 5B) and p65 (Fig. 5C). Among the MAPK family proteins, the phosphorylation of JNK (Fig. 5D) was significantly inhibited by ZOL. However, the phosphorylation of p38 and ERK proteins were not significantly affected by ZOL (Fig. 5E and F). Thus, these results indicate that ZOL may inhibit NF-κB and JNK signalling by reducing the levels of p-IκBα, p-p65 and p-JNK. In other words, ZOL may reduce the formation of osteoclasts by suppressing the RANKL-induced activation of the NF-κB and JNK signalling pathways.

As reported previously, JNK and NF-κB signalling play a vital role at the early stage of osteoclast differentiation (35-37). Thus, it was further explored whether ZOL also suppresses early-stage osteoclast formation. Following the addition of RANKL, the RAW264.7 cells were treated with ZOL on days 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4. It was observed that treatment with ZOL at the early stage resulted in a prominent decrease in osteoclastogenesis in the RAW264.7 cells (Fig. 5G). However, the extent of osteoclastogenesis was comparable to that in the control group if ZOL was added at a later stage (Fig. 5H and I). These results thus indicate that ZOL suppresses the early stage of osteoclast formation, which is consistent with the findings of western blot analysis, according to which ZOL suppressed NF-κB and JNK signalling at the early stage of osteoclast differentiation.

Discussion

Osteoporosis is a silent disease and remains a major health concern; it is characterized by low bone mineral density and quality, as well as an abnormal microarchitecture of bone tissue (38). A previous study indicated that nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates, e.g., minodronate and alendronate, inhibit osteoclastogenesis (26,27). ZOL belongs to the class of nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates and is widely used...
Figure 5. ZOL specifically attenuates RANKL-induced NF-κB and JNK signalling. (A) RAW264.7 cells were induced with RANKL for the indicated times following pre-treatment with ZOL (5 µM) for 4 h. Western blot analysis was performed using specific antibodies. The band intensities were quantified using Image J software. The ratios of band intensity of (B) p-IκBα/IκBα, (C) p-p65/p65, (D) p-JNK/JNK, (E) p-p38/p38 and (F) p-ERK/ERK are shown. (G) ZOL inhibited osteoclast differentiation at an early stage. RAW264.7 cells were treated with or without 5 µM ZOL at days 0, 1, 3 and 4. They were subsequently treated with 100 ng/ml RANKL for 5 days, and then subjected to TRAP staining.
to prevent bone loss. Kimachi et al. (26) indicated that ZOL inhibited RANK expression and the migration of osteoclast precursors during osteoclastogenesis, and that the inhibitory effects on RANK expression were likely to be associated with the suppression of the NF-κB pathway. However, the mechanisms of the inhibitory effects of ZOL on osteoclastogenesis remain to be fully elucidated (26,28). In the present study, the effects of ZOL on osteoclastogenesis were explored by using RANKL-induced RAW264.7 cells as a model. The results indicated that ZOL inhibited osteoclast formation in a dose-dependent manner at the early stage. It was also demonstrated to impair the formation of the actin cytoskeleton and the bone resorption ability of RANKL-induced RAW264.7 cells. Furthermore, it was revealed that ZOL inhibited osteoclastogenesis through the NF-κB and JNK pathways, as indicated by the inhibition of the RANKL-induced expression CTR, RANK, TRAP, DC-STAMP, NFATc1 and c-Fos genes by ZOL.

The NF-κB signalling pathway may be activated by the binding of RANK to RANK (39,40). RANKL/RANK/TRAF6 signalling may activate IKK and subsequently, IκB-α becomes phosphorylated and is degraded (20). As a result, NF-κB is released and translocated to the nucleus to increase the expression of NFATc1 and c-Fos, which have been identified as two important transcription factors that regulate osteoclast formation via initiating the transcription of certain downstream targets that are osteoclastogenesis-associated genes (41-43). Previous studies using gene knock-out experiments have indicated that mice lacking NF-κB dimmers may not form osteoclasts normally and present with serious osteopetrosis (44,45). The present study suggested that ZOL exerts an inhibitory effect on the RANKL-induced degradation of IκB-α and phosphorylation. These results suggest that ZOL may attenuate RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis by blocking the NF-κB signalling pathway.

Another group of essential signalling pathways, namely MAPKs, are downstream pathways of RANKL/RANK/TRAF6 signalling. The RANKL-RANK interaction results in the phosphorylation of MAPKs, including JNK, p38 and ERK, promoting the activation of c-Fos and facilitating the translocation of activator protein-1, an essential translation factor for osteoclast formation. Previous studies have confirmed that inhibitors of p38, JNK or ERK inhibit osteoclast formation (46,47). In the present study, ZOL diminished the phosphorylation of JNK induced by RANKL. It may be speculated that the blockade or downregulation of NF-κB and JNK signalling pathways by ZOL may result in decreased expression of downstream molecules required for osteoclast differentiation. Thus, it may be suggested that ZOL exerts a marked inhibitory activity on osteoclast differentiation through the inhibition of NF-κB and JNK signalling. The present results not only testified the conclusion drawn in the study by Kimachi et al. (26), but also further indicated that the JNK pathway was inhibited by ZOL. However, further studies are required to determine the biological efficacy of ZOL in in vitro or in vivo models and selective inhibitors of NF-κB or JNK should also be administrated to investigate the expression of associated proteins, so as to further verify the present results.

In recent years, bisphosphonate therapy has been prescribed for an increasing number of patients with osteoporosis and bone cancer metastasis. Despite these significant advances, the evidence for bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (BRONJ), first noted in 2003 and now widely recognised as a complication of bisphosphonate therapy, has been increasingly regarded as a limitation (26). The majority of reported cases of bisphosphonate osteonecrosis were caused by dental extractions, intraoral surgical intervention or mucosal trauma (12). Previous studies have also reported on the development of BRONJ along with bacterial infection (48-50). However, the pathogenic mechanisms of BRONJ remain elusive and successful treatments are currently unavailable. In the present study, the cytotoxic effects of ZOL on RAW264.7 cells and osteoclasts were first explored. It was revealed that low concentrations of ZOL (0.1-5 μM) were non-toxic, but suppressed osteoclast formation in a dose- and time-dependent manner during osteoclast precursor differentiation. Based on this finding, it can be hypothesized that exposure to ZOL at appropriate dosages and for suitable durations may provide a benefit in the treatment of osteoporosis. However, beyond this, it may be expected to have severe side-effects, e.g., BRONJ. Thus, it is suggested that avoiding overexposure to ZOL may be an effective way to avoid BRONJ. In addition, clinical investigations are still required to develop novel therapeutic agents that do not cause these side-effects.

In dental implantation, osteoporosis may result in poor primary stability and subsequent prosthetic loosening, as well as severe inflammatory bone loss, e.g., peri-implantitis. Therefore, it is widely discussed whether patients who have osteoporosis are suitable for tooth implantation. Numerous attempts have been made to identify a reliable therapeutic strategy to prevent osteoporosis (51-53). Since the RANKL/RANK interaction is mechanistically involved in the pathological processes of bone loss, it has received a large amount of attention. RANKL targeted therapy has been a valid target for the modulation of bone formation and resorption as an approach for the development of anti-osteoporotic...
and anti-resorptive drugs. For instance, the Food and Drug Administration of the USA has approved the anti-RANKL monoclonal antibody denosumab, which acts by decreasing bone resorption, for the treatment of post-menopausal women with osteoporosis (43,54,55). Furthermore, a large international clinical trial demonstrated that osteoporotic patients treated with ZOL exhibited significant improvements in bone mineral density and bone metabolism markers. Treatment with ZOL reduces the risk of vertebral fracture by 70% and hip fracture by 41% over 3 years relative to placebo (56,57). Therefore, discovering the underlying mechanisms of the effects of ZOL to prevent bone loss may further promote the development of drugs for the treatment of osteoporosis.

In conclusion, the present results may shed light on the mechanisms of action of ZOL and the pathology of BRONJ. The optimal dosage and timing of ZOL administration should be further determined to enhance the prospects of this drug as a candidate for the treatment of osteoporosis.
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