Recent Improvement in Particulate Matter (PM) Pollution in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia
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ABSTRACT

Ulaanbaatar, the capital city of Mongolia, has occasionally been considered the most polluted city in the world. Approximately 46% of the population resides in Ulaanbaatar, and over half of the population living in ger (traditional yurt dwelling) areas consumes raw coal, which leads to an increase in ambient air pollutants. The Government of Mongolia took a series of actions to reduce air pollution; one was the ban on the consumption of raw coal beginning on 15 May 2019. In this study, improvement in particulate matter (PM) air quality was shortly studied by assessing the hourly data for the last six years, from January 2014 to February 2020. The analysis exhibited a major improvement in PM concentrations during the 2019–2020 winter in Ulaanbaatar. The average PM concentrations clearly exhibited a decreasing trend in November 2019–February 2020 compared to the previous five years. The maximum PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ concentrations were reduced to 46% and 55%, respectively, compared to the mean maximum values of the previous five years. The most prominent occurrence frequency of PM concentrations shifted to a lower concentration range. Although a PM pollution reduction was seen during the 2019–2020 winter, further air quality improvement can be obtained by taking a set of multiple actions with accurate planning management.
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INTRODUCTION

Ulaanbaatar, the capital city of Mongolia, is situated in a dome valley located at a high altitude of ~1300 m above sea level and is far from any coast. Due to its location, Ulaanbaatar is known as the coldest capital in the World. It is a home of over 1.5 million people which is around 46% of the population (Mongolian Statistical Information Service, 2020). Sixty percent of its population resides in ger areas which usually consumes raw coal and wood for heating and cooking purposes in the cold season. Pollutants are emitted from various sources including over 200,000 ger households, mainly using small stoves, ~3000 heat-only boilers (HOBs), 4 power plants, over 500 thousand vehicles, and other sources. Based on the analysis of pollutants in PM collected for 2004–2008 in Ulaanbaatar, coal combustion processes are largely responsible for fine particle air pollution during winter. Major sources of coarse particle air pollution are crustal matter and coal combustion (Davy et al., 2011). In addition to the pollutant emission sources, the weather condition with temperature inversions under the Siberian high-pressure system (Ganbat and Baik, 2016) plays an important role in air pollution in winter. Wintertime air pollution in Ulaanbaatar has been widely noted during the past ~15 years (Guttikunda, 2007; Guttikunda et al., 2013; Ganbat and Baik, 2016).

For years, air pollution was a severe problem in winter, reaching values many times higher than the recommendations of the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines. For instance, during December 2009-February 2010, the mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentration was 171 µg m$^{-3}$, with a maximum 24-h value reaching 766 µg m$^{-3}$ in Ulaanbaatar (Wang et al., 2018) which was 3.4 and 6.8 times higher than the 24-h average national air pollution standard level of PM$_{2.5}$ according to the National Air Quality Standard MNS 4585:2016 of Mongolia (50 µg m$^{-3}$ for the 24-h average) and WHO guideline level
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(25 µg m\(^{-3}\) for the 24-h average), respectively. Long-time high PM concentrations present in wintertime in Ulaanbaatar are likely to have negative effects on the health of the exposed population. According to a study by Enkhjargal and Burmaajav (Enkhjargal and Burmaajav, 2015), hospitalization for cardiovascular disease increases by 0.65% on a day of exposure with 100 µg m\(^{-3}\) growth of PM\(_{2.5}\) concentration. Additionally, it was shown that air pollution and decreased fetal wellbeing were strongly correlated (Enkhmaa et al., 2014).

The government of Mongolia put tremendous effort and sources to combat air pollution in Ulaanbaatar; for example, during 2008–2016, 164.1 billion MNT and 104.7 million USD were spent on actions to reduce air pollution (National Audit report, 2018). One of the most recent actions is banning the consumption of raw coal, the combustion of which in small stoves is a primary source of air pollution, and replacing it with high-quality briquette fuel. Starting on 15 May 2019, the consumption of raw coal was banned for household consumption in Ulaanbaatar according to the Governmental decision. Air quality improvement is expected as a result of the coal-replacement program on briquette fuel substitution.

PM concentration reductions are reported in monthly reports released by the National Agency for Meteorology and Environmental Monitoring (NAMEM) (www.agaar.mn). The monthly average concentrations of PM\(_{2.5}\) and PM\(_{10}\) for October–February of 2018–2019 and 2019–2020 (i.e., winters), which represent up to 50% PM reductions from the previous year are presented in Table 1.

The aim of this study is to describe the improvement in PM pollution in Ulaanbaatar evidenced during winter 2019–2020. This study does not estimate the effects of weather conditions on air quality or the economic benefits and health benefits of air quality. According to reports released from the NAMEM, there was no notable exceptional weather condition during the 2019–2020 winter (www.tsag-agaar.mn).

**STUDY AREA AND DATA**

Fig. 1 shows the location of Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia and 12 air quality monitoring sites in Ulaanbaatar which are operated by the NAMEM and the Agency Against Air Pollution (AAAP) of the Municipality. Up to six pollutants—PM\(_{2.5}\), PM\(_{10}\), SO\(_2\), NO\(_2\), CO, O\(_3\)—are measured at the sites, though not all sites measured all six pollutants. PM\(_{10}\) is measured at twelve sites, while PM\(_{2.5}\) is measured at eight sites (Table 2). In this study, we analyzed the hourly mean PM\(_{2.5}\) and PM\(_{10}\) concentrations for the period from 01 January 2014 to 29 February 2020, which were obtained from 12 air quality monitoring sites (Fig. 1).

The current national air quality standard, a maximum permissible level of pollutants in the air and physical negative impacts were amended in 2016. The national standard levels of air pollutants are 50 µg m\(^{-3}\) and 100 µg m\(^{-3}\) for 24-h PM\(_{2.5}\) and PM\(_{10}\), respectively. The annual standard levels were set 25 µg m\(^{-3}\) and 50 µg m\(^{-3}\) for PM\(_{2.5}\) and PM\(_{10}\), respectively.

**AIR POLLUTION REDUCTION MEASURES: A BAN ON RAW COAL CONSUMPTION**

In recent years, the air pollution problem in Ulaanbaatar has tended to worsen, which is directly related to raw coal consumption. To address this challenge, beginning 15 May 2019, the consumption of raw coal in six central districts in Ulaanbaatar has been replaced by the consumption of briquette fuel for the improvement of air quality according to Governmental Resolution No. 62 adopted in 2018. The briquette fuel factory ‘Tavan Tolgoi Tsulsh’ with an annual output capacity of 600,000 tons made by refined energy coal from the Ukhaa Khudag coal mine, was established in Ulaanbaatar in 2018. The refined energy coal is considered as high-grade coal with approximately two times the calorific value (≥4200 Kcal), less moisture content (≤10%), and low volatile matter (≤29%) than the previously- and frequently-used raw coal in Ulaanbaatar, and it fully satisfies the National Standard—Refined solid fuel, MNS 5679:2019. The factory has started supplying briquette coal to households in Ulaanbaatar since the autumn 2019.

In addition to banning the consumption of raw coal, the Government enables other actions, including subsidies for the installation of energy-efficient technologies for HOBs and HOB chimney scrubbers, as well as public awareness regarding various actions, such as raw coal control and consumption instructions of briquette fuel. In addition, volunteers participated in collecting “survey on living environment” data in ger areas using a smartphone application, which is considered the largest collection of households’ information living in ger areas of Ulaanbaatar.

**GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF TEMPORAL VARIATIONS IN PM CONCENTRATIONS IN ULAANBAATAR**

The intent of this section is to provide an overview of PM pollutant characteristics in Ulaanbaatar.

| Components | PM\(_{2.5}\) | PM\(_{10}\) |
|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Month      | Winter 2018–2019 (µg m\(^{-3}\)) | Winter 2019–2020 (µg m\(^{-3}\)) | Reduction load, % |
| October    | 48          | 39          | −19          |
| November   | 108         | 61          | −43          |
| December   | 182         | 113         | −38          |
| January    | 195         | 104         | −47          |
| February   | 120         | 68          | −43          |
|           | Winter 2018–2019 (µg m\(^{-3}\)) | Winter 2019–2020 (µg m\(^{-3}\)) | Reduction load, % |
| October    | 127         | 127         | 0            |
| November   | 208         | 121         | −42          |
| December   | 242         | 138         | −43          |
| January    | 249         | 129         | −48          |
| February   | 194         | 97          | −50          |
2.5 concentrations are averaged over the air quality monitoring sites during the study period. The concentrations are averaged over the air quality monitoring sites, while the temperature is taken from the Ulaanbaatar station (44292). The annual mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were 1.4 µg m$^{-3}$, followed by autumn values (53.1 µg m$^{-3}$) and spring months (39.1 µg m$^{-3}$ and 103.5 µg m$^{-3}$). The highest concentration occurred in January, followed by December. The mean PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ concentrations in summer were 6.7 and 2.7 times, respectively, lower than in winter months. The lowest concentrations occurred in July–August PM$_{2.5}$ and for June–July for PM$_{10}$.

The monthly–mean PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratio was 0.44, which was in agreement with investigations in other cities in Asia, with PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratio values of less than 0.5 indicating higher than coarse particle masses (Hopke et al., 2008). The ratio was large (small) in winter and small (low) in summer months. These ratios are consistent with previous findings by Allen et al. (2013) in Ulaanbaatar. In April and May, the PM$_{10}$ concentrations were still high, which can be explained by the predominance of large particles, indicating crustal dust storm events that frequently occur in spring in the relatively dry and windy seasons (Davy et al., 2011).

The daily mean PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ concentrations during the study period significantly exceeded the national air quality standard levels. The daily mean concentrations were slightly higher on workdays than on weekends (Fig. 3(b)). The lowest concentrations were recorded during the weekend—60.7 µg m$^{-3}$ for PM$_{2.5}$ and 117.9 µg m$^{-3}$ for PM$_{10}$. The variations in day by day peaks of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ concentrations were different—the largest PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations occurred on Thursday and Friday (67.9 µg m$^{-3}$ and 67.7 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively), while the highest daily mean PM$_{10}$ concentrations occurred on Tuesday and Friday (130.0 µg m$^{-3}$ and 131.0 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively). This finding with higher concentrations on workdays than weekends has also been observed in other

![Fig. 1. (a) Location of Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia. (b) Air quality monitoring sites in Ulaanbaatar. Yellow (green) marks indicate the sites operated by the NAMEM (AAAP).](image)

Table 2. Air quality monitoring sites in Ulaanbaatar, their location classification, and monitor devices.

| Site name, ID | Location | PM$_{10}$ | PM$_{2.5}$ |
|--------------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Misheel, UB1 | Industrial | +        | –         |
| Baruun 4 zam, UB2 | Traffic | +        | +         |
| 1-r horoolol, UB3 | Ger area | +        | +         |
| Buhin urguu, UB4 | Residential | +        | +         |
| 100 ail, UB5 | Ger area | +        | –         |
| Mongol gazar, UB7 | Industrial | –        |           |
| Urgakh naran, UB8 | Remote | –        |           |
| Tolgoit, APRD1 | Ger area | +        | +         |
| Zuragt, APRD2 | Ger area | +        | +         |
| Amgalan, APRD3 | Traffic | +        | +         |
| Nisekh, APRD4 | Ger area | +        | +         |
| Bayankhoshuu, APRD6 | Ger area | +        | +         |
cities of the world (Adame et al., 2014; Lim et al., 2018). This is mainly caused by workday activities but the concentrations behavior at each site is different, a detailed investigation will be done in the future.

Daily variations in $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and $\text{PM}_{10}$ concentrations showed strong variations due to anthropogenic activities and planetary boundary layer evolution inclusive the day and night wind field system, which is still under investigation.

![Image of time series data](image)

**Fig. 2.** Time series of daily mean $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ (blue), $\text{PM}_{10}$ (red) concentrations and temperature at Ulaanbaatar (44292) station (black) for the period January 2014–February 2020. The concentrations are averaged over the air quality monitoring sites. Horizontal dashed lines indicate the 24-h average standard air pollution levels of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and $\text{PM}_{10}$ (50 µg m$^{-3}$ and 100 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively).

**Table 3.** Mean and maximum $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and $\text{PM}_{10}$ concentrations.

| Pollutant | 2014   | 2015   | 2016   | 2017   | 2018   | 2019   |
|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Yearly mean |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ | 50.0   | 52.5   | 59.8   | 86.4   | 74.9   | 57.9   |
| $\text{PM}_{10}$   | 168.6  | 106.3  | 114.5  | 127.1  | 120.3  | 120.3  |
| Yearly maximum |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ | 293.0  | 278.5  | 511.4  | 424.2  | 371.9  | 279.8  |
| $\text{PM}_{10}$  | 833.6  | 475.6  | 566.1  | 486.0  | 415.5  | 379.0  |
| Daily mean | Nov 2014–Feb 2015 | Nov 2015–Feb 2016 | Nov 2016–Feb 2017 | Nov 2017–Feb 2018 | Nov 2018–Feb 2019 | Nov 2019–Feb 2020 |
| $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ | 86.4   | 120.3  | 163.9  | 170.7  | 138.4  | 87.6   |
| $\text{PM}_{10}$  | 207.1  | 172.3  | 194.0  | 196.2  | 205.4  | 117.0  |
| Daily maximum | Nov 2014–Feb 2015 | Nov 2015–Feb 2016 | Nov 2016–Feb 2017 | Nov 2017–Feb 2018 | Nov 2018–Feb 2019 | Nov 2019–Feb 2020 |
| $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ | 197.9  | 511.4  | 424.3  | 371.9  | 279.8  | 194.1  |
| $\text{PM}_{10}$  | 475.6  | 566.1  | 471.7  | 486.0  | 379.0  | 211.3  |
and not part of this short paper. The daily variation showed a “W”-like shape, with the lowest concentration appearing at approximately 7 a.m. and 4–5 p.m. for PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$, respectively. Two peaks of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ concentrations appeared between 10 a.m. and 11 a.m., respectively, as well as around midnight. The increase in the morning could be explained by a “rush hour” due to cooking and space heating and traffic resuspension and particle emissions, while the primary emissions made an important contribution at night. This concentration pattern is in agreement with previously identified daily variations in PM$_{2.5}$ in Ulaanbaatar (Allen et al., 2013). However, the seasonal pattern can be different depending on the coal combustion activities for cooking and heating purposes. For example, coal consumption in the morning in winter and autumn likely results in the first peak of the diurnal course in PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ concentrations (not shown).

The daily patterns with bimodal peaks of PM concentrations in Ulaanbaatar were very similar to those in other cities, e.g., Seoul, South Korea (Kim et al., 2020), Beijing, China (Liu et al., 2014) and at the urban background and urban traffic sites in Andalusia, Spain (Adame et al., 2014). Decreases and increases in hourly mean PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ concentrations throughout the day could also be explained by changes in the boundary layer height and temperature inversion layer. A increased boundary layer height and a resolving temperature inversion with weakened strength and thickness in the daytime (Ganbat and Baik, 2016) are beneficial to the vertical distribution/exchange/mixture of pollutants, which results in a reduction of mean pollutant concentrations at ground level in the afternoon.

REDUCTIONS IN PM CONCENTRATIONS IN ULAANBAATAR DURING THE 2019–2020 WINTER

Since the replacement program of the consumption of raw coal with briquette fuel became active, marked improvement in air quality has been recorded in Ulaanbaatar, and the public witnessed better air quality during the 2019–2020 winter.

Fig. 4 shows the daily mean PM$_{10}$ concentrations for the cold months (November–February) for the whole study period (2014–2020) using a color graduation corresponding levels of between zero (light yellow) to 400 µg m$^{-3}$ (dark red-brown). The mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations for November–February were 86.4 ± 41.8, 120.3 ± 78.9, 163.9 ± 94.1, 170.7 ± 79.7, 138.4 ± 53.2, and 87.6 ± 37.6 µg m$^{-3}$ in 2014–2015, 2015–2016, 2016–2017, 2017–2018, 2018–2019, and 2019–2020, respectively. The mean PM$_{10}$ concentrations for November–February are 207.1 ± 78.7, 172.3 ± 95.9, 194.0 ± 79.6, 196.2 ± 92.3, 205.4 ± 60.9, and 117.0 ± 36.5 µg m$^{-3}$ in 2014–2015, 2015–2016, 2016–2017, 2017–2018, 2018–2019, and 2019–2020, respectively. The mean November–February PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ concentrations were reduced by 37% and 40% compared to the mean November–February concentrations of the previous 5 years, respectively.

The daily mean PM concentrations clearly exhibit a decreasing trend in November 2019–February 2020 (Fig. 4). In the previous five years, in the most polluted month, January, the number of days with PM$_{10}$ concentrations above 250 µg m$^{-3}$ is 25–35 and extremely highly polluted days with daily mean PM$_{10}$ concentrations above 350 µg m$^{-3}$ occurred 1–7 times. The maximum PM$_{2.5}$ (PM$_{10}$) concentrations reached 197.9, 511.4, 424.2, 371.9, and 279.8 µg m$^{-3}$ (475.6, 566.1, 471.7, 486.0, and 379.0 µg m$^{-3}$) for November–February in 2014–2015, 2015–2016, 2016–2017, 2017–2018, and 2018–2019, respectively. In contrast, during January 2020, there was no day with a PM$_{10}$ concentration exceeding 250 µg m$^{-3}$. The maximum daily mean PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ concentrations were recorded as 194.1 µg m$^{-3}$ and 211.3 µg m$^{-3}$ during the 2019–2020 winter, respectively, which indicate 46% and 55% reductions of PM concentrations in the previous five years (2014-2019).

For winters before 2019, the days exceeding the PM$_{10}$ standard level constituted 78–98% of all days, but it decreased to ~67% for the 2019–2020 winter. Table 4 supplements Fig. 4 and provides the number of polluted days with average PM concentrations during November–February exceeding 1, 2, and 3 times the national air quality standard levels of 50 µg m$^{-3}$ (for PM$_{2.5}$) and 100 µg m$^{-3}$ (for PM$_{10}$). Notably, days with an average PM concentration exceeding
Fig. 4. Daily mean concentrations of PM$_{10}$ for November–February during the study period.
1 time indicate the days with an average PM concentration above the national air quality standard levels. For winters before 2019, but 2019–2020 winter, the average number of days exceeding the PM$_{2.5}$ concentration was 106.2, and reduced to 81. For winters before 2019, but 2019–2020 winter, the average number of days exceeding the PM$_{10}$ concentration was 108, and reduced to 98. It became evident that the number of days with an average PM$_{2.5}$ concentration exceeding 2 times the national air quality standard level increased dramatically each year during the period of 2014–2019. The number of days exceeding 2 (3) times the PM$_{2.5}$ standard level also rose nearly 2 (3) times from 2014 to 2018. For winters before 2019, the number of days with average PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations exceeding 3 times the national air quality standard level ranged from 13–46, but for 2019–2020 winter, it was reduced to 8. For the 2019–2020 winter, there were no days (3 days) with average PM$_{10}$ concentrations exceeding 3 (2) times the national air quality standard level.

Fig. 5 shows the histograms of the frequency or count distribution of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ concentrations for November–February for the study period. In general, before 2019, the distributions of frequency occurrence appeared in a wider range when compared to the 2019–2020 winter. For PM$_{2.5}$, the percentage exceeding the national standard level constituted 79.2–96.7% for November–February 2014–2019 and it was changed to 80.9% for November–February 2019–2020. For PM$_{10}$, the percentage exceeding the national standard level constituted 77.5–97.5% for November–February 2014–2019 and it was reduced to 66.9% for November–February 2019–2020. The bars of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ concentrations at 50–100 $µg$ m$^{-3}$ and 100–150 $µg$ m$^{-3}$ were sharp during the 2019–2020 winter compared with the previous five years. For PM$_{10}$, the occurrence frequencies of the concentration below 150 $µg$ m$^{-3}$ for the previous five years constituted 52–58%. For November–February 2019–2020, the most prominent occurrence frequency (93.4%) of PM$_{2.5}$ concentration was in the range between 0 $µg$ m$^{-3}$ and 150 $µg$ m$^{-3}$, and PM$_{10}$ concentrations below 150 $µg$ m$^{-3}$ occurred more frequently (82.6% of the total cases).

Decreases in PM pollution after implementing a series of actions related to the ban on coal consumption are also found in other cities. In Beijing, China, strict control measures, such as a ban of raw coal consumption and replacement of coal-burning heating with electric heating, effectively reduced PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations during the 2008 Olympic Games (Lang et al., 2017). Emission reduction plans which includes the reduction of coal consumption for residential, industrial, and commercial sectors have successfully reduced the air pollutant concentrations since the 1990s in the Seoul metropolitan area (Kim and Lee, 2018). There is no academic study that reported the reduction in PM concentration in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia. To the authors’ knowledge, the current study reports for the first time the improvement in PM pollution in Ulaanbaatar.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This study shortly described the temporal variations in

**Table 4. Days with average concentrations exceeding the national air quality standard levels of PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$.

| Exceedance factor | Concentration, $µg$ m$^{-3}$ | Nov 2014–Feb 2015 | Nov 2015–Feb 2016 | Nov 2016–Feb 2017 | Nov 2017–Feb 2018 | Nov 2018–Feb 2019 | Nov 2019–Feb 2020 |
|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| PM$_{2.5}$ 1      | ≥ 50                       | 95                | 103               | 110               | 116               | 116               | 98                |
| 2                | ≥ 100                      | 42                | 65                | 84                | 94                | 91                | 42                |
| 3                | ≥ 150                      | 13                | 32                | 59                | 65                | 46                | 8                 |
| PM$_{10}$ 1       | ≥ 100                      | 115               | 93                | 103               | 103               | 117               | 81                |
| 2                | ≥ 200                      | 56                | 35                | 56                | 51                | 52                | 3                 |
| 3                | ≥ 300                      | 14                | 12                | 9                 | 19                | 9                 | 0                 |

Fig. 5. Frequency distribution histograms of (a) PM$_{2.5}$ and (b) PM$_{10}$ for November–February in 2014–2015, 2015–2016, 2016–2017, 2017–2018, 2018–2019, and 2019–2020.
PM concentrations in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia, for January 2014–February 2020. Pronounced seasonal and diurnal patterns were found for PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ concentrations. The concentrations were the highest in cold months. Bimodal daily peaks of PM concentrations were observed.

The PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ concentrations in the ambient air of Ulaanbaatar during the 2019–2020 winter were different than those of the previous winters. The data obtained from the national air quality monitoring network showed large and significant reductions of 46% and 55% in the maximum PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ concentrations in Ulaanbaatar, respectively. It became evident that the number of heavily polluted days was substantially reduced during the 2019–2020 winter compared to the winters of the previous five years.

This study proposes several directions for further research. Pollution source apportionment and emission inventories will hopefully change in accordance with the replacement of raw coal by briquette fuel. The modified emission inventory can be used in future forecasting and modeling works. Studies on relevant benefits from the improvement in air quality are expected to be considered. Additionally, high-resolution spatial variations in air pollution should be investigated to suggest air pollution reduction measures. Although PM concentration levels were reduced as a result of resources, due to an enormous investment of time, and will, but still far exceed international recommendations, and further air quality improvement may occur after taking a set of multiple actions with accurate planning management.
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