Epidemiologic and clinical characteristics of severe burn patients: results of a retrospective multicenter study in China, 2011–2015
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Abstract

Background: Severe burns injury is a serious pathology, leading to teratogenicity and significant mortality, and it also has a long-term social impact. The aim of this article is to describe the hospitalized population with severe burns injuries in eight burn centers in China between 2011 and 2015 and to suggest future preventive strategies.

Methods: This 5-year retrospective review included all patients with severe burns in a database at eight institutions. The data collected included gender, age, month distribution, etiology, location, presence of inhalation injury, total burn surface area, depth of the burn, the length of hospitalization, and mortality. SPSS 19.0 software was used to analyze the data.

Results: A total of 1126 patients were included: 803 (71.3%) male patients and 323 (28.7%) female patients. Scalds were the most common cause of burns (476, 42.27%), followed by fire (457, 40.59%). The extremities were the most frequently affected areas, followed by the trunk. The median length of hospitalization was 30 (15, 52) days. The overall mortality rate was 14.21%.

Conclusions: Although medical centers have devoted intensive resources to improving the survival rates of burn patients, expenditures for prevention and education programs are minimal. Our findings suggest that more attention should be paid to the importance of prevention and the reduction of injury severity. This study may contribute to the establishment of a nationwide burn database and the elaboration of strategies to prevent severe burns injury.

Keywords: Severe burns, Epidemiology, Multicenter

Background

Burns are devastating injuries that impair a patient’s emotional well-being and influence his or her quality of life. The World Health Organization estimates that more than 300,000 people die annually from fire-related burns worldwide [1]. Although emphasis on the prevention of burns has increased, there are still many people who have suffered burn injuries every year. In South Korea, the annual incidence of fire accidents increased from 31,372 in 2003 to 49,631 in 2008; it then decreased to 47,318 in 2012 and to 41,868 in 2013 [2]. Over 95% of victims of lethal burns live in low- and middle-income countries [3]. China currently has a population of just over 13 billion. Although > 90% of all burns are preventable, burns remain a major public health problem [4]. Severe burns can lead to extremely serious deformity, disability, or even death. The likelihood that a given individual will suffer from severe burns during his or her lifetime is 1% [5]. Patients with severe burns often need long-term hospitalization and undergo multiple surgical procedures, and some require readmission for reconstructive surgery. The impact of physical disfigurement and pain due to severe burns are far-reaching, and the...
psychological impairments can last a lifetime. Unfortunately, a nationwide burn database and repository, such as the American Burn Association, have not been established in China. Several studies have focused on the overall population of burn patients in different regions, including Shanghai [6], Beijing [7], and Zhejiang [8]. A multicenter study of severe burn patients for epidemiology, clinical features, and outcomes has not yet been performed in China. Here, we present the epidemiology of severe burns using a burn database that includes medical records for patients hospitalized in burn wards at eight hospitals. The data presented should contribute to the elaboration of strategies to prevent the incidence of burns, the reduction of injury severity and the development of strategies for the functional rehabilitation of burn patients.

**Methods**

**Patient selection**

This retrospective multicenter study included data for all severe burns patients admitted to the burn units at eight public hospitals (six academic teaching hospitals and two non-academic general hospitals) in China, from June 2011 to September 2015. Relying on the database titled “Clinical, multicentre and epidemiological case data and biological samples of severe burns database”, we included all inpatients with a diagnosis of severe burns. The criteria for entering a case into the database were as follows: (1) total burn surface area (TBSA) over 30%; (2) full-thickness burn area over 10% or full-thickness burn area over 5% for children younger than 12 years old; and (3) full-thickness burn area less than 10%, but suffered complications of shock, respiratory tract burns, or other injury-related complications. Patients with repeated admissions or incomplete data were excluded from this study. In addition, the following data were collected from electronic medical records: (1) demographic data, including ID number, age, gender, place of occurrence, admission date, and discharge date; (2) injury-related data, including the etiology of burn injuries, depth and area of the burn, injured anatomic locations, and inhalation injury; and (3) treatment situation, including the length of hospitalization and patient outcomes. The etiology of burn injuries included flame burn, scald burn, contact burn, electrical burn, chemical burn, and explosion burn. Inhalation injuries included mild, moderate, and severe inhalation injuries. Patients’ outcomes were categorized into death and cured when discharged from our center. These different outcomes were defined and evaluated based on the following criteria. If patients had died prior to discharge, the outcome was classified as “death”. If the burn wounds had healed above 95% without any secretion, the patients’ outcome was defined as “cured.”

**Quality control**

All data were collected by dedicated clinical research assistants using a standardized electronic case report form. An additional researcher checked the accuracy of data input for 10% of all patients to eliminate errors due to missing or inconsistent data.

**Statistical analysis**

Qualitative data were presented in the form of numbers and percentages, and the length of hospitalization was reported using medians (25th percentile, 75th percentile (Q1, Q3)). The Mann-Whitney U test or the Kruskal-Wallis test was conducted to compare two or more medians of categorical variables. Data were primarily input and processed using Microsoft Excel 2007. Data analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 6 and SPSS 19.0 software. $P < 0.05$ was considered significant.

**Results**

Patients who were treated in the emergency room (outpatient clinic) or did not meet the inclusion criteria of severe burn injury were not entered into the database. Ultimately, after excluding 142 patients with repeated admissions or incomplete data, 1126 patients were included in this study from January 2011 to December 2015.

**Gender and age**

The ratio of males to females was 2.49:1 (803 males and 323 females). The age distribution patterns of males, females, and the entire sample of inpatients appeared to be similar. The three predominant age groups of inpatients were 1.1–3 years old (222 patients, 19.72%), 18.1–40 years old (268 patients, 23.80%), and 40.1–65 years old (354 patients, 31.44%) (Fig. 1).

![Fig. 1 Age distribution of severe burn patients by gender group](image-url)
Month distribution
Out of the entire year, burns more commonly occurred during the period from May–October than during the other months. August (132, 11.72%) had the most admissions, and February (49, 4.35%) had the fewest. Male patients’ admissions had a substantially increasing trend from February (34, 4.23%) to a peak in May (97, 12.08%); the number of admissions then showed a huge drop from October (84, 10.46%) to November (42, 5.23%). However, compared to the admission pattern of male patients, the admissions of female patients had a smooth trajectory. Female admissions increased from March (15, 4.64%) to November (38, 11.76%) and then decreased until February (15, 4.64%) (Fig. 2a). There was also a relationship between the incidence of burn and season. The summer months (June, July, and August) became the season in which individuals were the most vulnerable, with 375 (33.30%) cases. Individuals were least vulnerable to burns during the winter months (December, January, and February), with 192 (17.05%) inpatients during this period (Fig. 2b).

Etiology and location
Table 1 shows that the vast majority of burns were caused by scalds (476, 42.27%) and flame (457, 40.59%). These leading causes were followed by electrical burns (81, 7.19%), explosion burns (58, 5.15%), chemical burns (31, 2.66%), and contact burns (15, 1.33%), each of which caused significantly fewer burns than scald and flame burn. Males appeared to be more likely to suffer from electrical burns than females, while females were more likely to experience flame burns than males. The number of burns caused by flame, contact, electricity, chemicals, or explosion increased sharply when people reached working age (18.1–65 years old). In addition, burns caused by flame, electricity, chemicals, or explosion more easily occurred in the summer. The great majority of the burns were accidental and occurred at home (369, 96.85%), especially in young children. In a total of 303 adult patients (18.1–65 years old), 48.40% were work related. The reasons cited for contact, electricity, chemical, or explosion burns included failure to enforce the safety procedure and personal negligence in the workplace.
Among the elderly (> 65 years of age), domestic burns (41, 73.21%) were the most common type of burn (Fig. 3).

**Table 1** Etiology of severe burn patients by gender, age, season, and location

| Etiology         | Flame | Scald | Contact | Chemical | Electricity | Explosion | Others | Total |
|------------------|-------|-------|---------|----------|-------------|-----------|--------|-------|
| Gender, n(%)     |       |       |         |          |             |           |        |       |
| Male             | 320(39.85) | 311(38.73) | 14(1.74) | 23(2.86) | 77(9.59) | 49(6.10) | 9(1.12) | 803 |
| Female           | 137(42.41) | 165(51.08) | 1(0.31)  | 7(2.17)  | 41(13.8) | 9(2.79)  | 0       | 323 |
| M to F ratio     | 0.94:1 | 0.76:1 | 5.63:1  | 1.32:1   | 7.74:1     | 2.19:1    | –       | 1:1  |
| Age (years), n(%)|       |       |         |          |             |           |        |       |
| 0–1              | 1(15.49) | 58(81.69) | 0       | 0        | 1(1.41)   | 1(1.41)  | 0       | 71  |
| 1.1–3            | 9(40.5)  | 211(95.05) | 0       | 1(0.45)  | 1(0.45)   | 0        | 0       | 222 |
| 3.1–6            | 15(16.30) | 76(82.61) | 0       | 0        | 1(1.09)   | 0        | 0       | 92  |
| 6.1–12           | 14(35.90) | 17(43.59) | 0       | 0        | 6(15.38)  | 2(5.13)  | 0       | 39  |
| 12.1–18          | 16(66.67) | 4(1.77)  | 0       | 0        | 6(25.00)  | 1(4.17)  | 0       | 24  |
| 18.1–40          | 157(58.58) | 4(1.5)   | 9(3.6)  | 8(2.99)  | 35(13.06) | 15(5.6)  | 3(1.2)  | 268 |
| 40.1–65          | 188(53.11) | 69(19.49) | 6(1.69) | 20(5.65) | 29(8.19)  | 36(10.17)| 6(1.69) | 354 |
| 65.1+            | 47(83.93) | 3(5.36)  | 0       | 1(1.79)  | 2(3.57)   | 3(5.36)  | 0       | 56  |
| Season, n(%)     |       |       |         |          |             |           |        |       |
| Winter           | 90(46.88) | 73(38.02) | 3(1.56) | 2(1.04)  | 16(8.33)  | 7(3.65)  | 1(0.52) | 192 |
| Spring           | 97(35.93) | 126(46.67) | 5(1.85) | 7(2.59)  | 17(6.30)  | 16(5.93) | 2(0.74) | 270 |
| Summer           | 159(42.40) | 141(37.60) | 3(0.80) | 16(4.27) | 29(7.33)  | 22(5.87) | 5(1.33) | 375 |
| Autumn           | 111(38.41) | 136(47.06) | 4(1.38) | 5(1.73)  | 19(6.57)  | 13(4.50) | 1(0.35) | 289 |
| Location, n(%)   |       |       |         |          |             |           |        |       |
| Industrial       | 125(39.81) | 72(22.93) | 12(3.82) | 23(7.32) | 37(11.78) | 38(12.10) | 7(2.23) | 314 |
| Home             | 236(36.25) | 385(59.14) | 1(0.15) | 4(0.61)  | 9(1.38)   | 16(2.46) | 0       | 651 |
| Public building  | 55(60.44) | 7(7.69)  | 2(2.20) | 1(1.10)  | 20(21.98) | 4(4.40)  | 2(2.20) | 91  |
| Others           | 41(58.57) | 12(17.14) | 0       | 2(2.86)  | 15(21.43) | 0        | 0       | 70  |
| Total, n(%)      | 457(40.59) | 476(42.27) | 15(1.33) | 30(2.66) | 81(7.19)  | 58(5.15) | 9(0.80) | 1126|

Fig. 3 Distribution of location. Depiction of four types of location where severe burns occurred.
TBSA
TBSA of the full-thickness burns in age had a similar trend with the overall TBSA (Fig. 5). Most young patients (0–6 years old) suffered a burn with a TBSA of less than 30%, while most older patients (45+ years old) had a TBSA of greater than 30.1%. Among scald burns, most TBSA ranged from 10.1–30%. For flame burns, most TBSA were above 30.1% (Fig. 6a). Among all the patients, 757 (67.23%) severely burned patients had full-thickness burns. In contrast to the causes in overall burn patients, full-thickness burns were most commonly caused by flame (377, 49.8%), followed by scald (204, 26.9%) (Fig. 6b).

The length of hospitalization
The overall median length of hospitalization was 30 (15, 52) days, ranging from 1 to 576 days. Table 2 shows the results of median length of hospitalization associated with epidemiological features, and Fig. 7 illustrates the detailed distribution of the length of hospitalization by gender. A total of 73.4% of the patients (826) were hospitalized < 50 days, and among them, the 11- to 20-day group had the largest numbers (242) of patients. The median length of hospitalization of male patients was 31 (16, 55) days, and the median length of hospitalization of female patients was 26 (14, 45) days. There is a significant difference in the length of hospitalization by gender ($z = -3.176$, $p < 0.05$).

Mortality
Among the 1126 admitted patients, 160 (14.21%) died, including 105 (13.08%) males and 55 (17.03%) females. Patients aged 65.1+ years had the highest mortality (38, 67.86%) in all age groups, while children 3.1–6 years old had the least (6, 6.52%). The highest mortality rate was observed in winter (39, 20.31%). Explosion burns have been associated with a higher mortality rate (17, 29.31%), followed by burns due to flame (83, 18.16%). Inhalation injuries were observed in 329 cases (29.2%). The number of patients with mild, moderate, and severe inhalation injuries was 139, 146, and 44, respectively. Of those with inhalation injury, the mortality rate was 34.35%, significantly higher than the 22.56% average mortality. A higher mortality tends to emerge when the TBSA and the TBSA of full-thickness burns increase (Table 2).

Discussion
Gender and age
Children account for a large proportion of the population with severe burns injury [9]. In addition, children younger than 5 years old account for 50 to 80% of all childhood burns [10, 11]. The children between 1 and 6 years old (314, 27.89%) were the most likely to be severely burned compared to children under 1 year of age (71, 6.31%) or between 6 and 18 years old (63, 5.60%). As children grow up, they receive less protection from parents and lack adequate self-protection abilities, which results in the first summit in Fig. 1. In most studies, an overall predominance of males among burn injury patients (55 to 75%) has been described [12, 13]. More men than women work in poor and dangerous environments and suffer larger burned skin areas. The increased risk for severe burns among males aged 18–65 years may reflect inadequate protection measures at the workplace. Children under age 4 and the elderly have a higher chance of complications and death from severe burns because their skin tends to be thinner than that of patients in other age groups. Accordingly, children and the elderly need more protection from family members, and workplaces should offer more protection to adults, especially males.
Month distribution
The seasonal (rather than yearly) variation in burn admission seems more statistically valuable for the study. Different populations and injury sites, causes, and the like have a connection with the environmental temperature, as has been previously reported [14]. The same phenomena in other studies, a peak in summer months, were described in our study [15, 16]. People are more easily sunburned during the summer because they wear less clothing and thus have less protection against the sun. However, summer has the lowest mortality rate, while winter has the highest. Most likely, in winter, the cold limits the range of people’s activities, making electric blankets, fireplaces, and flammable fuels more easily available and fatal in use. Additionally, a long period of direct contact between the skin and hot-water bottles or a crack in the bottles leads to severe burns.

Etiology and location
In contrast to previously published epidemiologic findings, we found that scald burns, rather than fire burns, were the most common cause of severe burns [17, 18]. However, findings from our study are the same as those from the studies from Catalonia and Diyarbakir [19, 20]. In pediatric populations, scald burns account for 81% of all burns requiring hospitalization. Young children were more likely to be injured by boiling water, hot soup, or hot milk. Different types of burns are distinctly related to the environmental temperature, dietary habits, bathing habits, and clothes. For the location of burns, our study showed similar demographic characteristics, with most chemical, electrical, and explosion burns occurring during the performance of work-related activities. However, fire burns and hydrothermal scald burns typically occurred in the home [15]. In the workplace, males are
usually more active and exposed to higher environmental risks.

Burn sites
As for the sites of burns, the face and neck, trunk, and extremities were the most easily burned parts of patients of all age group, which is comparable with the findings of a study in Shanghai, China [6]. For the first time, we put the total burned sites and the sites of full-thickness burns into a burned body map, and the sites that are more vulnerable to severe burns can be reflected by the reduced amplitude. Approximately 60% of patients were burned on the head and neck, but less than one-third of patients (20%) experienced full-thickness burns, possibly because individuals subconsciously protect their head and other important parts of their body when confronted with sudden injury. The protection of the head and perineum is admittedly necessary; however, we should pay particular attention to burns on the extremities. Those burned areas not only cause cicatrix and deformities but also bring about functional losses, thus affecting patients’ quality of life.

TBSA
Burns of < 20% TBSA do not represent a large proportion of severe burns. This trend reflects the strict admission criteria at many institutions [7, 21]. Large-area burns mainly occur in working-age adults. The most
|                          | The length of hospitalization | Mortality                      |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                          | Median (Q1, Q3), days         | Statistic, P value             | Died cases, n | Total cases, n | Mortality rate, n% |
| Gender                   |                               |                               |               |                |                   |
| Male                     | 31(16, 55)                    | 105                            | 803           | 13.08          |
| Female                   | 26(14, 45)                    | 55                             | 323           | 17.03          |
| Age                      |                               | 194.5, < 0.001                 |               |                |                   |
| 0–1                      | 26(14, 37)                    | 8                              | 71            | 11.27          |
| 1.1–3                    | 18(11, 28)                    | 19                             | 222           | 8.56           |
| 3.1–6                    | 25(13, 41)                    | 6                              | 92            | 6.52           |
| 6.1–12                   | 26(21, 34)                    | 7                              | 39            | 17.95          |
| 12.1–18                  | 50(28, 65)                    | 3                              | 24            | 12.50          |
| 18.1–40                  | 47(25, 79)                    | 25                             | 268           | 9.33           |
| 40.1–65                  | 38(20, 64)                    | 54                             | 354           | 15.25          |
| 65.1 +                   | 7(1, 40)                      | 38                             | 56            | 67.86          |
| Season                   |                               | 4.7, 0.196                     |               |                |                   |
| Winter                   | 27(12, 48)                    | 39                             | 192           | 20.31          |
| Spring                   | 29(17, 52)                    | 36                             | 270           | 13.33          |
| Summer                   | 29(15, 55)                    | 47                             | 375           | 12.53          |
| Autumn                   | 31(16, 54)                    | 38                             | 289           | 13.15          |
| Etiology                 |                               | 75.8, < 0.001                  |               |                |                   |
| Flame                    | 38(17, 61)                    | 83                             | 457           | 18.16          |
| Scald                    | 23(14, 37)                    | 44                             | 460           | 9.57           |
| Contact                  | 54(21, 97)                    | 2                              | 15            | 13.33          |
| Electrical               | 42(19, 81)                    | 8                              | 81            | 9.88           |
| Chemical                 | 41(19, 69)                    | 5                              | 30            | 16.67          |
| Explosion                | 39(19, 74)                    | 17                             | 58            | 29.31          |
| Others                   | 27(28, 170)                   | 1                              | 15            | 6.67           |
| Inhalation injury        |                               | 25.3, < 0.001                  |               |                |                   |
| Mild                     | 39(23, 70)                    | 18                             | 139           | 12.95          |
| Moderate                 | 46(14, 81)                    | 39                             | 146           | 26.71          |
| Severe                   | 38(11, 95)                    | 19                             | 44            | 43.18          |
| Total                    | 40(15, 47)                    | 76                             | 329           | 23.10          |
| TBSA                     |                               | 110.4, < 0.001                 |               |                |                   |
| 0.1–10                   | 19(12, 28)                    | 2                              | 40            | 5.00           |
| 10.1–20                  | 18(11, 32)                    | 14                             | 188           | 7.45           |
| 20.1–30                  | 26(15, 41)                    | 22                             | 198           | 11.11          |
| 30.1–40                  | 32(16, 52)                    | 23                             | 198           | 11.62          |
| 40.1–50                  | 37(21, 64)                    | 14                             | 140           | 10.00          |
| 50.1–60                  | 38(20, 58)                    | 16                             | 111           | 14.41          |
| 60.1–70                  | 45(25, 87)                    | 10                             | 91            | 10.99          |
| 70.1–80                  | 47(23, 78)                    | 14                             | 51            | 27.45          |
| 80.1–90                  | 55(19, 99)                    | 20                             | 59            | 33.90          |
| 90+                      | 33(11, 79)                    | 25                             | 50            | 50.00          |
Table 2  The length of hospitalization and mortality rate by gender, age, season, etiology, inhalation injury, %total body surface area (TBSA) and full-thickness burns %TBSA (Continued)

| TBSA 3rd degree | Median (Q1, Q3), days | Statistic, P value | Died cases, n | Total cases, n | Mortality rate, n% |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|
| None            | 19(13, 31)            | 123.4, < 0.001     | 21            | 369            | 5.69               |
| 0.1–10          | 34(20, 52)            |                    | 22            | 286            | 7.69               |
| 10.1–20         | 45(23, 65)            |                    | 24            | 164            | 14.63              |
| 20.1–30         | 44(22, 79)            |                    | 17            | 100            | 17.00              |
| 30.1–40         | 45(12, 90)            |                    | 19            | 73             | 26.03              |
| 40.1–50         | 42(12, 97)            |                    | 13            | 37             | 35.14              |
| 50.1–60         | 54(17, 122)           |                    | 7             | 27             | 25.93              |
| 60.1–70         | 34(6, 98)             |                    | 14            | 30             | 46.67              |
| 70.1–80         | 37(23, 63)            |                    | 7             | 15             | 46.67              |
| 80.1–90         | 22(14, 90)            |                    | 9             | 15             | 60.00              |
| 90+             | 11(4, 32)             |                    | 7             | 10             | 70.00              |
| Total           | 30(15, 52)            |                    | 160           | 1126           | 14.21              |

Q1 25th percentile, Q3 75th percentile

common etiology among adults was flame burn; the most common etiology among children was scald burn. Compared to scald burn, flame-sustained burns were associated with increased TBSA, as determined by the injury mechanism. It also explained that full-thickness burns were most commonly caused by flames. The full-thickness burns are considered one of the main risk factors of death. The TBSA of full-thickness burns is often the key factor affecting the final outcome. The importance of developing etiology-based prevention and treatment strategies is crucial to decreasing the mortality and morbidity caused by severe burn injuries.

The length of hospitalization
Patients with serious injuries were typically kept until their wounds had nearly healed. Our department also provides rehabilitation for burn patients, resulting in prolonged hospital stays. Accordingly, the length of hospitalization may have been longer than the time required for wound treatment. This result suggests that new measures may be necessary to shorten the average length of hospitalization. We recommend shortening the interval between operations and increasing the size of the area treated during a single operation. The final declining trend of the average length of hospitalization with the increasing age and TBSA may be due to increased mortality and thus reduced the length of hospitalization.

Mortality
Mortality rate was closely related to the proportion of burn patients with severe burns. The mortality rate for most hospitalized patients with severe burns ranges from 1.4 to 18% (maximum 34%) [22]. Although other variables are also related to a higher mortality, older age, inhalation injury, and increasing TBSA are the three major risk factors for mortality in our study, which is consistent with reports from other studies.

The elderly are prone to burns because of age-related decreases in mobility, cognition, and judgment. Twenty-six (8%) female burn patients aged over 65 while 30 (3.7%) male burn patients aged over 65, which may explain the higher mortality of females than males. Owing to their weak physical basis and pre-burn diseases, the elderly have higher mortality rates and worse prognosis relative to other age groups. The aging of China’s population is becoming increasingly serious, and the elderly population with severe burns remains a challenging problem. There is a clear correlation trend between
inhalation injury and mortality. It is well known that the presence of inhalation injury significantly increases mortality among burn patients [23, 24]. Overall, inhalation injury occurred in 29.2% of all hospitalized patients with severe burns injuries but in 47.5% of all deceased patients. In addition, more attention should be paid to comorbidities at the time of admission. Among the patients included in this study, the most common comorbidity was respiratory disorder (e.g., inhalation injury). Acute upper airway obstruction occurs in 20 to 33% of hospitalized burn patients with inhalation injury and is a major hazard because of the risk for rapid progression from mild pharyngeal edema to complete upper airway obstruction [25]. The prognosis is made worse by respiratory damage, which is the second-most common cause of death after the injury. However, a patient with very severe burns may die, even in the absence of inhalation injury. Currently, the treatment of inhalation injury includes antibiotics, airway control, and mechanical ventilation. More evidence-based individualized protocols should be developed to treat burns in patients with a high risk of death. Generally, a comparison of correlations of mortality rate, TBSA, and deep burn area shows that the mortality rate increased significantly with the increase of the TBSA and the depth of the burn. Interestingly, the 20.1–70% TBSA groups have similar mortality rates. It is possible that many patients with burns with TBSA under 30% received treatment and were therefore not included in this study. There are several limitations to this study. The database “Clinical, multicentre and epidemiological case data and biological samples of severe burns database” had been established before the execution of this study but still has room for improvement. Many data points were excluded due to clinical deficiencies, which may have resulted in deviations related to outcomes.

Conclusions
Medical centers devote intensive resources to improving the survival rates among burn patients. Further efforts to improve the quality of life for burn survivors should eventually have a very beneficial effect in this patient population. Analyzing the epidemiological information of burns serves to prepare each patient and provide the best service to relieve their pain rather than to distinguish the treatment of burn patients. Based on these epidemiological characteristics, some specific suggestions can be made. Therefore, some programs should take action through, for instance, strengthening health promotion, child supervision, and labor protection, all of which may reduce the incidence of burns. The establishment of a multicenter database indicates a future development trend to finally build a nationwide burn database and repository in China.
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