Factors Associated with Short-Term Seizure Recurrence in Extratemporal Epilepsy Surgery
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Abstract:
Introduction: Drug-resistant extratemporal epilepsy is the second cause of referral to epilepsy surgery. Objectives: To identify factors associated with short-term seizure recurrence following extratemporal epilepsy surgery.

Materials and Methods: We performed a retrospective study of 19 consecutive patients who underwent surgery for drug-resistant extratemporal epilepsy at the National Institute of Neurology and Neurosurgery of Havana, Cuba, from September 2014 to October 2019. All patients had at least one year of postoperative follow-up. Fisher's exact test was used to search for an association between dichotomous variables. A value of p≤0.05 was considered significant.

Results: After one year of follow-up, seizure freedom reached 31.6% (Engel I) and 36.8% showed significant improvement in the number of seizures (Engel II). The frontal location (p=0.046) and incomplete resection of the epileptogenic zone (p=0.017), bilateral interictal discharges on the preoperative electroencephalogram (EEG) (p=0.017), the presence of epileptiform discharges on the postsurgical EEG (p=0.001), and the occurrence of seizures after the sixth month of surgery (p=0.001), were associated with seizures recurrence.

Conclusions: After one year, 31.6% of patients operated on for extratemporal epilepsy were seizure-free. The incomplete resection of the epileptogenic zone and the presence of epileptogenic discharges in the postsurgical EEG, and the presence of seizures after the sixth month of surgery were the most significant factors of seizure recurrence.
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INTRODUCTION

Estimations consider that at least 50 million people live worldwide, 5 million of whom are from the “Americas”.[1] Close to one-third of cases do not respond to pharmacological treatment, and of these, between 10 and 15% are candidates for surgery. [2] 20% of patients who underwent surgery showed the extratemporal location, predominantly in the frontal lobe. [3, 4] The outcomes of different series of extratemporal surgery are varied. West et al. [5] found 30 to 50% of seizure freedom (Engel I), Morales et al. [6], in Cuba, found 52.6% of patients in Engel I during the first year and 41.1% after two years of follow-up. In the literature consulted, Jehi et al. [7] reported between 13 and 80% of seizure freedom after frontal lobe surgery. These results clearly show the superiori ty of surgery over the medical treatment alternative. Even so, only one percent of the cases that could probably benefit from this procedure are referred for presurgical evaluation. With
In Cuba, epilepsy surgery is also an underused option and patients arrive at the presurgical evaluation with more than 20 years of diagnosis of their disease. [6] This article aims to show, for the first time, the extratemporal epilepsy surgical series of the National Institute of Neurology and Neurosurgery of Havana, Cuba, to identify factors associated with short-term seizure recurrence following extratemporal epilepsy surgery, facilitating thus the early and most adequate selection of candidates for surgery.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

We performed a retrospective study of 19 consecutive patients operated for drug-resistant extratemporal epilepsy at the National Institute of Neurology and Neurosurgery of Havana from September 2014 to October 2019, with a comprehensive epilepsy surgery program. All the patients had at least one year post-surgery follow-up.

The information was gathered from the patients’ medical records. The variables evaluated as potential predictors were: a) age at diagnosis of epilepsy and at the time of surgery; b) medical history (initial precipitating factors related to epilepsy in the prenatal, perinatal, or postnatal stage); c) seizure semiology and monthly frequency; d) preoperative electroencephalogram (interictal EEG, ictal onset) and neuroimaging findings; e) type of surgery; f) results of intraoperative electrocorticography (ECoG) before and after surgery; g) anatomopathological studies; h) postoperative electroencephalogram (EEG) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as well as i) the occurrence of seizures before and after six months. [4-7, 9]

**Preoperative evaluation** [6,10]

All patients were evaluated in a non-invasive way, with prolonged video-EEG monitoring and electrodes placed according to the international 10-20 system and additional electrodes depending on the topography of the epileptogenic zone (EZ). The interictal epileptiform activity was classified as ipsilateral whenever it was consistent with the ictal onset or bilateral zone when it occurred in both hemispheres. 1.5T and/or 3T high-field MRI with epilepsy protocol was performed in all patients and interictal and ictal single-photon emission tomography (SPECT) was co-registered to MRI in patients with normal MRI.

**Surgical Procedure**

Depending on the type of surgical procedure used, these were classified as resection, disconnection, or a combination of both. [5] A pre-surgical ECoG was performed and patterns were classified as specific, according to criteria based on Boonypisit 2003:

- isolated spikes, amplitude > 200 mV;
- bursts duration > 0.5 s, amplitude > 200 μV, frequency ±7–10 Hz, regular firing;
- paroxysmal fast: burst duration > 0.5 s, frequency > 10 Hz, regular firing and runs of slow repetitive spikes: duration > 0.5 s, amplitude > 200 μV, frequency < 7 Hz, regular firing; and non-specific. [11] After a postsurgical procedure ECoG, it was analyzed whether the pattern disappeared, changed (a decrease of 50% or more of the epileptiform discharges per minute concerning the presurgical study), or whether it remained unchanged. [6]

**Postoperative prognosis and follow-up** [10]

Anatomopathological studies of the samples were performed and classified as focal cortical dysplasia (FCD) according to the ILAE classification, (12) descriptive or unspecified.

Six months after surgery, an MRI was performed. It was evaluated whether the resection of the estimated epileptogenic zone (EZ) was complete or incomplete. It was considered a complete resection when it was possible to completely resect the identified ictal area and the cortex that showed epileptiform activity on ECoG. In patients in whom the MRI showed a lesion, a total resection of said lesion guided by ECoG with the absence of epileptiform activity at the edges of the resection. An EEG was also performed to analyze the topography and the presence or absence of epileptiform activity. After the first year, the cases were classified according to the Engel Class Outcome System. Engel I was considered a good prognosis (seizure freedom) and Engel II to IV a recurrence of seizures, short-term follow-up (one year). [4,7]

**Statistical analysis**

The information was stored in a Microsoft Excel database, and its analysis was carried out in the SPSS system version 20.1. The categorical variables were presented in the form of frequencies and percentages. Fisher's Exact Test was used to associate the dichotomous variables. A value of p<0.05 was considered significant.

**Ethical aspects**

This research was approved by the Institute of Neurology and Neurosurgery Ethics Committee, which acted following the current laws and regulations issued by the Ministry of Public Health of Cuba (MINSAP) and follows the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki of the World Medical Association for Human Medical Research.

**RESULTS**

Of the 19 patients studied, 16 were male and three females, ranging in age between 13 and 34 years. Most of them (57.9 %) were distributed in the age group of 16 to 30 years. After one year of follow-up, 31.6 % of the patients were seizure-free (Engel I), seven patients (36.8 %) presented significant seizure improvement (Engel II). The rest were distributed between Engel III (21.1%) and Engel IV (10.5%).

It was observed a predominance of patients with over 15 years from the time of their epilepsy diagnosis until surgery with 13 patients (68.4 %), 8 of which (42.1 %), with more than 20 years of evolution of their disease. (Table 1)
Table 1: Presurgical variables and their relationship with the short-term postsurgical clinical course. INN, 2014-2019.

Focal-onset seizures with impaired awareness were the most frequent, 16 patients (84.2%); likewise, 16 presented seizures with evolution to a bilateral tonic-clonic at some point in their disease. 57.9% of the cases presented more than 20 monthly seizures before surgery. None of these variables was statistically associated with postoperative evolution. On MRI, 57.9% of the patients presented lesions, primarily distributed on the frontal lobe. The ictal onset in the frontal lobe predominated, 13 patients (68.4%), 11 presented recurrence of seizures. Both had a statistical association in the short term.

Table 2: Intraoperative variables and their relationship with the short-term postsurgical clinical course. INN, 2014-2019.

Intercital epileptiform activity occurred bilaterally in 8 patients (42.1%), all of them presented recurrence of seizures after surgery, which was statistically associated (p = 0.017).

On MRI, 57.9% of the patients presented lesions, primarily distributed between Engel I and II. Six non-lesional patients underwent ictal and interictal SPECT and subsequent co-registration with MRI. The focal hyperperfusion found in all of them was consistent with the rest of the evaluation.

Electrocorticography was performed in 16 patients (84.2%), who predominantly were classified as Engel I and Engel II, with classic patterns in the presurgical ECoG and the prevalence of the pattern of the repetitive spikes (68.4%).
Resective surgical techniques were given preference, 9 patients for a 47.4 %, prevailing the ECoG-guided lesionectomy, six patients (31.6 %), and subtotal lobar resections. Six patients (31.6 %) benefited from the combination of resective and disconnective techniques. Disconnection surgery was used in only four patients: anterior corpus callosotomy in three patients and multiple subpial transections (MST) in one patient with ZE in the primary motor area.

The postsurgical ECoG showed that the presurgical pattern disappeared in 8 patients (42.1%), and in other 8 patients it was modified.

The most frequent anatomopathological diagnosis was the focal cortical dysplasia type IA with six patients (31.6 %), distributed across Engel classes II to IV, followed by FCD IIA. Out of those that evolved without seizures after surgery (Engel I), two patients were classified as FCD type III B (associated with tumors) and two patients as descriptive results.

In the postsurgical EEG, 11 patients (57.9 %) presented interictal epileptiform discharges (IED), all presented recurrence of seizures after surgery (Engel II-IV), which was statistically significant (p = 0.001).

### Table 3: Postsurgical follow-up variables and their relationship with the short-term postsurgical clinical course. INN, 2014-2019.

| Variables                  | Categories                        | Engel class |          |          |          |          |          |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                            |                                  | I n=6       | II-IV n=13 | Total n=19 | P        |
|                            |                                   | N°%         | N°%      | N°%      |          |          |          |
| Postoperative EEG (IED)    | Yes                               | 0           | 11       | 8.6      | 11       | 57.9     | 0.001    |
|                            | No                                | 6           | 100      | 2        | 15.4     | 8         | 42.1     |
| Postoperative MRI          | Complete resection                | 4           | 66.7     | 1        | 7.7      | 5         | 26.3     | 0.017    |
|                            | Incomplete resection              | 2           | 33.3     | 12       | 92.3     | 14        | 73.7     |
| Seizures in the first 6 months after surgery | Yes | 3 | 50.0 | 11 | 84.6 | 14 | 73.7 | 0.151 |
|                            | No                                | 3           | 50.0     | 2        | 15.4     | 5         | 26.3     |
| Seizures after 6 months from date of surgery | Yes | 1 | 14.2 | 13 | 100 | 14 | 73.7 | 0.001 |
|                            | No                                | 5           | 83.3     | 0        | 0        | 5         | 26.3     |

EID: Epileptiform Interictal Discharges

Patients with incomplete resection of the EZ (73.7%) predominated in class II or higher of the Engel scale (seizure recurrence), finding a statistical association between these variables (p = 0.017).

Of the 14 patients who presented seizures six months after surgery, 13 evolved from Engel II to Engel IV, which was statistically significant (p = 0.001). Regarding the postoperative complications, the evolution was very favorable, with a low rate of complications related to the surgical procedure in 4 patients (21.1%), a cicatricial infarction, 2 cerebrospinal fluid fistulas, and an epidural hematoma.

### DISCUSSION

In the present research, most cases were male, and the prevailing age group that of 16 to 30 years. Other studies show similar data, such as one that was carried out in China [13] with 82 patients operated on for frontal lobe epilepsy, male sex predominated (59.8%), and the mean age was 19.1 years. Similarly, in a meta-analysis carried out in Cincinnati, USA, with 2028 patients, the male sex predominates (54.3 %), and the mean age is 10.24 ± 1.11 years.[14] Similar results were found in the Cuban series of Morales et al.[6] with 55% male predominance and a mean age of 24.1 ± 10.1 (ranging 5 - 47 years).

The 2019 Cochrane review, [5] reports 30 to 50% seizure freedom after extratemporal epilepsy surgery. In another investigation of frontal lobe surgery, [4] seizure freedom is obtained in 45%, 34%, 26%, 20% and 14% at the end of the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th years respectively after the surgery. Morales et al.[6], in Cuba, find 52.6 % of the patients in Engel I in the 1st year and 41.1 % after a two-year follow-up.

The authors believe that the results of the present study, are related to the characteristics of the sample, the predominance of patients with non-lesional MRI, incomplete resection coinciding with eloquent areas, the presence of focal cortical dysplasia type IA as etiology, and the delay between the diagnosis of epilepsy and surgery. Nevertheless, after surgery, most of the patients showed a significant reduction in the number of seizures per month.

In the current study, patients with a medical record had a less favorable Engel classification, similar to Mariani V et al. [15]. Conversely, Sun et al. [16] describe that 33.6 % have a positive history, most of them in the Engel I class.

In most patients in the present study, the condition evolved 15 years or more until the time of surgery. In other investigations performed about frontal lobe epilepsy surgery, more than 60% of cases remain seizure-free when the surgery was conducted in the first five years after the onset of the disease, but, when operated after that period, only 30% improve clinically. The difference is more remarkable in patients with non-lesional frontal epilepsy, with 80% of seizure freedom with early treatment and only 10% with late treatment. [17] In their series in Cuba, Morales et al. [6] found that 86.9% had had the disease for more than five years.

Simasathien et al. [18] conclude that surgery in patients under 18 years of age and with less than five years of disease evolution are factors statistically related to a good postsurgical prognosis.

It is estimated that only 1% of patients who could benefit from surgery are referred for evaluation, and this usually occurs an average of 20 years after the onset of the disease. [19,20]

The most important modifiable factor described in several studies [4, 5, 7, 9, 21-24] is the time elapsed between the diagnosis of epilepsy and surgery. If this factor is acted upon, better results will be obtained.

In one study carried out in China, it was observed that 61.8 % presented lesions on MRI, and there is a correlation between this parameter and a better evolution of seizures after surgery. [13] Similar to what was found in the present study, Several authors, in turn, identified this factor as a predictor of good prognosis in extratemporal surgery. [4, 5, 16, 21, 25-29]
The use of intraoperative electrocorticography in our environment has become increasingly important to delineate the resection edges and is related to the best results of the present research. Studies with ECoG offer more excellent coverage of areas of the cortex surface and allow spatial contiguity in detecting the ictal onset and its immediate propagation, the complete resection guided by ECoG of the cortical area that presents a FCD pattern, is a predictor of an excellent postsurgical prognosis detected by Morales et al. [6] in Cuba and other authors.[16, 30-32]

There was a statistical association between incomplete resection of the EZ and the recurrence of postsurgical seizures in the current investigation. Several authors describe the complete resection of the epileptogenic area as a predictor of a good prognosis. [5, 16, 21,30, 33-35] The Cochrane Review 2019, [5] and Chen et al., [36] concluded that incomplete resection is highly associated with a poor prognosis after surgery. In the present study, FCD types IA and IIA were the most frequent anatomoopathological diagnoses. In a meta-analysis [36] carried out with 48 studies on surgery for focal cortical dysplasia, it was determined that FCD type I was a significant predictor of recurrence, and type IIB was related to seizure control. Another study similar to the previous one conducted on parietal epilepsy surgery [37] found that the best postsurgical outcome is associated with epilepsy-related tumors, not with MCD (malformation of cortical development) and vascular malformations. In Cuba, Morales et al. [6] find 61.1 % of MCD, with a predominance of FCD types I and II. Some authors [21,38] identify the presence of epileptiform interictal discharges (EID) in the postsurgical EEG as a predictor of seizure recurrence, as in the present study, where a statistical association between these two variables was obtained.

Different mechanisms are identified for early recurrence, before six months, and late recurrence after six months onwards.

It is known that almost half of those operated on for extratemporal epilepsy recur after 2 to 6 months (early), which is related to incomplete resection of the epileptogenic zone, diffuse or poorly localized EZ. [39] However, after 6 months to several years, late recurrence is consistently seen in patients in all studies whose surgery was successful but who have developed new “epileptic foci” by secondary epileptogenesis mechanisms.

Several studies support the role of neuroinflammation and the complement system in epileptogenesis, the IL-1 receptor type II gene and IL-8 genes, among other markers, are expressed in different ways in patients who recur late. [36]

Limitations of the study
A small sample that reduces the possibility of generalizing the results from the statistical point of view.

CONCLUSIONS
The frontal location of the epileptogenic zone and its incomplete resection, the bilateral interictal activity in the presurgical EEG, interictal epileptiform discharges in the postsurgical electroencephalogram, and the presence of seizures after the sixth month after surgery was statistically associated with seizure recurrence.

A favorable evolution was obtained in more than half of the cases, grouped into the Engel classes I and II.
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