Investigation of dental caries prevalence, Decayed, Missing, and Filled Teeth (dmft and DMFT indexes) and the associated factors among 9 - 11 years old children
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Abstract
Background: Oral hygiene is one of the most important health issues in children that affects the health of children. This study aimed to investigate dental caries prevalence, Decayed, Missing, and Filled Teeth (dmft and DMFT Indexes) and the associated factors among 9-11 years old children.

Materials and Methods: This cross-sectional study was performed on 4226 primary school students aged 9-11 years old using the census method in Iran. Data were collected by valid and reliable researcher-made checklists. Data analysis was performed using SPSS software version 24 and using Kruskal Wallis, Mann-Whitney, and Logistic Regression tests.

Results: The prevalence of permanent and primary dental caries in all students was 39.73% and 67.72%. The mean (standard deviation) of DMFT in the 9, 10 and 11 age groups were 0.6 (1.11), 0.97 (1.39) and 1.23 (1.63), respectively. The mean (standard deviation) dmft in the 9, 10 and 11 age groups were 3.14 (2.56), 2.46 (2.34) and 1.37 (1.84), respectively. There was a significant difference in DMFT and dmft between age groups (P<0.001). Results of multivariate logistic regression showed that there is a significant relationship between gender, birth rate, place of residence, mother's education, father's education and use of mouthwash with DMFT index (P<0.05). The results of multivariate logistic regression showed that the variables of insurance, residence and mother's job were significantly correlated with dmft index (P<0.05).

Conclusion: In this study, the prevalence of dental caries was high. Variables of gender, birth rate, parental education, place of residence, use of mouthwash, having health insurance and mother's job were effective in predicting dental caries in students. it seems necessary to hold educational courses to increase awareness among students, educators, and parents about oral Health. Keywords: Elementary students, School, dmft, DMFT, Children, Oral health

Background
Oral and dental health is an indispensable part of the public health and well-being in society [1]. One of the most important oral and dental diseases is dental caries. Dental caries is a multidimensional disease developed because of increasing consumption of nutrients, alcohol, drug abuse, smoking, poor oral care methods, and improper use of healthcare services, consumption of sugars and sweets,
as well as dry mouth[2-6]. The prevalence of dental caries is diminishing in developed countries because of taking preventive measures, while in developing countries the prevalence of dental caries is growing in pediatrics [7]. The important indices for measuring dental caries of permanent and primary teeth are DMFT and dmft, respectively. In these indices, the number of permanent teeth (T), decayed teeth (D), missing due to caries (M), or filled because of caries (F) is evaluated. This index is used as an important criterion to reflect the status of oral and dental health and plays a significant role in healthcare decision-making [8, 9]. The problems associated with dental caries lead to diminished quality of life as well as increased economic costs for the patients [10]. The prevalence of caries is high among children, and the pain resulting from caries causes increased school absence as well as the reduced ability for learning, the impaired ability for eating food, increased talking problems, and eventually reduced rate of growth for children [11, 12].

The results of a study on students in Nepal indicated that 45% of students have dental caries; 31% of them suffer toothache, and only 24% of them brush their teeth twice per day [13]. A study on Egyptian students indicated that 74% of them had dental caries, associated with consuming sweets, parental tooth brushing, and their socioeconomic status [14]. The results of a meta-analysis on Persian Gulf countries in 2018 showed that 80% of students have dental caries, and the mean dmft in them was reported as high and equal to 5.14 [15].

Investigation of the oral and dental health status of children, 6-12 years old, indicated that total caries and total prevalence of untreated dental caries in the study population have been 93 and 30%, respectively [16]. The results of a study on 6-11-year-old Indian children revealed that the prevalence of dental caries was 79% in them, with the mean dmft and DMFT of 2.97 and 0.17, respectively [17].

Since DMFT value is reported provincially and considering the geographical, cultural, economic and other differences in any region and the necessity of achieving special results in every region, to adopt proper healthcare and managerial decisions in line with every society the results should be reported specifically in line with every society so that proper health decisions could be made and the issue would be addressed specifically. The present study has been performed to determine the dental caries prevalence, Decayed, Missing, and Filled Teeth (dmft and DMFT indexes) and the associated
factors among 9 - 11 years old children in Gonabad and Bajestan Towns.

Methods

The present study is a cross-sectional study which was performed on 4226 students in elementary school in Gonabad and Bajestan towns, Iran. In this study, all male and female students aged 9 to 11 years old were enrolled by the census method in the study.

Data collection

A week before the study, the goals of the research project were explained to the parents of the students and then to the students. After the informed consent of the students and their parents, the study process began. Students were also assured that study participation was optional and that their information would remain confidential with the research team. The inclusion criteria were The students of elementary school, residing in the city of Gonabad or Bajestan.

Measurement tools

A researcher-designed checklist consisting of two parts was used to collect the data. The first part of the checklist was about the demographic variables of the students, which they completed by self-report. This section included questions such as age, gender, parental education, parental job status, residence, number of family members, rate of toothbrushes per day, use of dental floss and mouthwash, etc.

The second part of the checklist included questions that were completed by the physician during the student examination. In this section, the number of decayed, missing, and filled primary teeth(dmft) of the students were examined by a physician. The number of decayed, missing, and filled permanent teeth(DMFT) was also evaluated. The face and content validity of the instrument has been reviewed and approved by dental professionals, health education and health promotion professionals.

Data Analysis

Data analysis was performed using SPSS 24 software. Before analyzing the data, the data were checked for normality and non-parametric tests were used to analyze the data. Descriptive statistics and related charts, number and percentage (for qualitative variables) and mean and standard deviation (for quantitative variables) were used to describe the data. Data were analyzed by
inferential statistics using chi-square, Kruskal-Wallis, Mann-Whitney, and univariate and multivariate logistic regression tests (because the dependent variable was qualitative two-way).

In this study, using logistic regression, the relationship between DMFT and dmft index with variables in this study was evaluated in children aged 11-9 years. At first, the variables were included in the univariate model and each of them with a significance level of less than 0.2 (P<0.2) was entered into the multivariate model (reference). In this study, the significance level was considered less than 0.05 for data analysis.

Results
The study was conducted on 4226 students aged 9 to 11 years. In this study, 34.54% (n=1460) were in the 9-year age group, 33% (n=1392) in the 10-year age group and 32.5% (n=1374) in the 11-year age group. Of the participants, 51% were girls (n=2158) and 49% boys (n=2068).

Also, 73% (n=3069) were residents of the urban. Only 8% (n=342) of students reported not using a toothbrush. Only 25% (n=1018) of students used Mouthwash and 18% (n=725) used dental floss.

Other demographic information is visible in Tables 1 and 2.

The prevalence of permanent dental caries in all students was 39.73%. The prevalence of permanent dental caries in 9, 10, and 11 years old was 28.90% (n=422), 43% (n=598) and 48% (n=659), respectively. The mean (standard deviation) of DMFT in the 9, 10 and 11 age groups were 0.6 (1.11), 0.97 (1.39) and 1.23 (1.63), respectively. There was a significant difference and this rate was higher in the 11-year age group (P<0.001) (Table 1). According to the results, there was a significant relationship between DMFT index and gender, place of birth, parental education level, mother's occupation, use of mouthwash and birth rate (P<0.05). The results also showed that DMFT levels in girls were significantly higher than boys (P<0.001) (Table 1).

The prevalence of primary dental caries in all students was 67.72%. The prevalence of primary dental caries in the age group of 9, 10 and 11 years old was 79.20% (n=1156), 71.5% (n=995), and 51.7% (n=711), respectively. The mean (standard deviation) dmft in the 9, 10 and 11 age groups were 3.14 (2.56), 2.46 (2.34) and 1.37 (1.84), respectively. There was a significant difference in the age group of 9 years (P<0.001) (Table 2). The results also showed that the dmft index was significantly correlated
with variables of residence, father's education level, having insurance, maternal occupation, using dental floss and toothbrush (P<0.05). The results also showed that decayed teeth (dt) was significantly higher in boys than girls (P<0.05) (Table 2).

In examining the relationship between DMFT and demographic variables, multivariate logistic regression results showed that variables of gender with odds ratio (2.08), birth rate with odds ratio (1.38), and residence with odds ratio (1.18), mother's diploma and sub-diploma with odds ratio (1.25), mother's college education with odds ratio (1.09), father's diploma and sub-diploma with odds ratio (0.93), father's college education with odds ratio (0.77) and mouthwash with odds ratio (0.84) were statistically significant relationship with DMFT index in children 9-11 years old (P<0.05) (Table 3). The results of multivariate logistic regression on the relationship between dmft and demographic variables showed that variables of insurance with odds ratio (0.57), residence with odds ratio (1.18), mother's government jobs with odds ratio (1.34), other mother's occupations with odds ratios (1.06) were significant relationship with dmft index of children age 11-9 years (P<0.05) (Table 4).

Discussion
The present study has been performed to determine the dental caries prevalence, Decayed, Missing, and Filled Teeth (dmft and DMFT indexes) and the associated factors among 9 - 11 years old children. In the present study, the prevalence of permanent and permanent dental caries was 39.73% and 67.72% in students, respectively. The results of a 2015 Kumar study of Indian students showed that 47 % of them had tooth caries [18]. The results of a study by Zhang on Chinese students also showed that the prevalence of dental caries was 40%[19]. The results of a study on your children in Ethiopia showed that 36.3 % had caries[4].

The results of present study indicated that 90% of students use the toothbrush, 25% mouthwash, and 18% dental floss. In study by Mohamadkhah, tooth brushing, flossing, and use of mouthwash have been reported as 69 %, 34 %, and 69%, respectively[20]. Also, the study by Babaei indicated that 59.5% of students brush their teeth daily [21]. In the study by Halboub, the frequency of tooth brushing (less than twice per day) was reported as 24%[22]. Considering the discrepancy of the age group across different studies, the different findings seem to be normal. Furthermore, since
sometimes flossing can cause the incidence of pain, gum bleeding, and discomfort, the person is discouraged to floss or to care for oral and dental health. Lack of proper knowledge about flossing especially in the regions in which food residual may remain could be a reason for the low percentage of flossing.

In the present study, the rate of decayed, missing and filled teeth was the highest in the permanent teeth of 11 years old and in the primary teeth of 9 years old. Overall, the dmft and DMFT indices showed the highest values at 9 and 11 years, respectively. It seems that since the age of 9 years old, children have more primary teeth and the dmft index in this group is more likely than other age groups. Also in the age group of 11 years old, since children have more permanent teeth, the DMFT index is more likely than other age groups.

Based on the logistic regression results, in this study the girls had a higher rate of tooth decayed and repair compared to boys, and had overall a higher DMFT; this index was likely to be twice as large in girls than in boys. The results of Amirabadi and Nag studies, in line with the results of the present study, showed that girls had a higher rate of caries and repair than boys and overall DMFT index[23, 24]. The results of the study by Shaffer showed that girls in comparison to boys have 1.5 times fewer damaged teeth, and the DMFT of boys is larger than that of girls' [25]. This incongruence in the results of the studies can be due to the different age of the target groups or the method of knowledge acquisition by students regarding oral and dental health. Furthermore, physiological and behavioral differences in girls and boys, earlier growth of teeth in girls, and the high percentage of girls at home causing more consumption of sweets can justify the higher DMFT in girls[26].

In the present study, the rate of decayed, missing teeth, and DMFT index were higher in students living in villages as compared to city-dweller students. Furthermore, the rate of filled teeth was higher in the urban regions, while the rate of missing and decayed teeth, as well as dmft index, were higher in the rural region. In that study by Babaei, the place of residence of children, in terms of living in a city or village, had a significant relationship with the rate of dental decayed [8]. The reason of these discrepancies can be different access to dental care services, lower priority of oral and dental healthcare in comparison to other needs, differences in implementation of healthcare and preventive
programs of oral and dental health, weakness in implementing educational programs, economic and cultural differences, and lack of supervision by parents regarding proper teeth cleaning by children.

The results of Arora's study showed that the rate of DMFT in urban students was lower than that of rural students, which was in line with the results of the present study[27]. In the study by Gorbatova, no difference has been reported between rural and urban regions. The similarity of service provision in both rural and urban regions in the mentioned study has been reported as the reason for the discrepancy with the results of the present study[28].

In the present study, it was found that the students whose fathers and mothers had academic education had lower DMFT index as well as a lower rate of decayed and missing teeth in both permanent and primary teeth while having a higher rate of filled teeth. In the study by Pakpour, the maximum positive and significant correlation was observed between the fathers' level of education and filled teeth of children [29].

The results of the studies of Abbass, Mohebi, and Nurelhuda showed that there was a significant relationship between parents' education and students' oral health status, which was in line with the results of the present study[14, 30, 31]. Concerning the importance of teeth and their role in proper nutrition, providing beauty and formation of speech in the early years of life, developing caries can signal a major defect in the early stages of development for the child's health. In this regard, parental education can be one of the principal factors in promoting the dental and oral health status of children. Furthermore, higher parental education level leads to their better awareness about oral and dental health status and eventually greater supervision of parents on the oral and dental health of children.

The results of this study also showed that father unemployment is an important factor for the high rate of decay. There is also a significant relationship between a mother's employment and dmft index. Shaghaghian found the mother's job as one of the important factors for a student's dental decayed [32]. The results of studies have shown that the average social status of the family is associated with dental caries, where children with a higher socioeconomic level have fewer decayed, missing, and filled teeth [33, 34]. Low socioeconomic status of families can be associated with poor eating habits
and unhealthy lifestyles and can be effective in causing dental caries in children[35].

Based on the results acquired in this study, those with no health insurance had a higher dmft and more decayed teeth. Considering the huge dentistry costs, it seems that lack of health insurance can be a reason for no referral and dental restoration. In this regard, Babaei reported that 41.1% of students cited the expensiveness of dentistry costs as the reason for not referring to a dentist [8]. The results of the study by Amirabadi also showed that DMFT is higher among the students who do not refer to a dentist for annual examinations than among other students [23]. Nevertheless, this problem can be mitigated to some extent through the support of dental insurance companies and governmental support.

The results of this study indicated that students who used mouthwash had higher DMFT index as well as a greater rate of decayed in both permanent and primary teeth. As a justification, usage of these products is recommended for some special cases, because long-term and improper use of mouthwashes can lead to adverse consequences and damages to dental health. It seems that in the present study the students had not used mouthwash properly, and thus damaged their teeth. The results showed that the usage of mouthwash alongside regular tooth brushing contributes to better oral and dental health [20]. On the other hand, based on the results, not all mouthwashes available in the market are useful and some of them can damage the teeth. Hence, the use of mouthwash should be prescribed by a dentist [36].

Based on the findings of the present study, it was observed that not flossing leads to increased dmft index as well as the rate of decayed, while tooth brushing results in reduced dmft along with the rate of decayed in primary teeth. Flossing as a means for taking care of oral and dental health can help in the removal of dental plaques mechanically thereby removing the plaques that form between teeth [36]. The results of a study showed that the students who regularly floss have better oral and dental health compared to those who floss occasionally [37].

Also, in the present study, those who brushed their teeth had a lower DMFT compared to other students, though there was no significant relationship. The results of a meta-analysis study indicated that the students who floss regularly are 1.5 times less likely to suffer dental caries and problems in
comparison to those who toothbrush occasionally and irregularly [38]. It has also been found that improper use of a toothbrush can cause damage to the teeth [36]. Possibly, in the present study, the students had not been trained about the proper way of tooth brushing and they may have damaged their teeth unintentionally. Furthermore, since dental caries is a multidimensional disease, the lack of a statistical relationship may be justified.

The present study also showed that DMFT is higher and dental caries are more frequently observed in fourth and younger children; this value is 1.38 times greater in fourth children and younger compared to first to third children. In primary teeth, the number of restored teeth is higher in students who are the first, second, or third children. In this regard, Al-Meedani, found that the oral and dental health of children in populated families is poorer than in less populated families[39]. It can be justified that the attention of parents to children diminishes as their number increases; thus the opportunity for educating them and raising awareness in them about the impact of decay-causing materials on their dental health and supervision on oral as well as dental health diminish.

Conclusions
According to the results of this study, the prevalence of dental caries was high. Variables of gender, birth rate, parental education, place of residence, use of mouthwash, having health insurance and mother's job were effective in predicting dental caries in students. The results showed that the use of dental floss and mouthwash was poor. Since health care has a direct impact on the oral health index, to improve oral health, it seems necessary to hold educational courses for students about the proper use of toothbrushes, toothpaste, and mouthwash containing fluoride. Also, course training is needed to increase awareness among students, educators, and parents about improving children's diet and emphasizing reducing sugar consumption; using preventive methods such as topical fluoride therapy.

Overall, designing coherent programs to promote students' behavior in the field of preventive oral care seems essential.

Abbreviations
DMFT: Decayed, Missing, and Filled permanent Teeth; dmft: decayed, missing, and filled primary Teeth; OR: Odds ratios
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#### Tables

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics and Its Relation to the DMFT Index

| Variable                      | DT         | MT         | FT         | DMFT       | Dental Caries |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|
|                               | Mean (SD)  | Mean Rank  | Mean (SD)  | Mean Rank  | Mean (SD)    | Mean Rank  | Yes | No |
| Age                           | 9          | 0.538 (1.05) 7 | 1897. 31   | 0.002 (0.04 5) | 2107 .34   | 0.06 1 (0.34 2) | 2050 .13 | 0.6 (1.11 ) | 1860 .94 | 422 (25. 1) | 1038 (40. 8) |
|                               | 10         | 0.843 (1.30) | 2168. 79   | 0.002 (0.05 3) | 2109 .07   | 0.12 8 (0.53 0) | 2117 .47 | 0.97 (1.39 ) | 2175 .18 | 598 (35. 6) | 794 (31. 2) |
|                               | 11         | 1.04 (1.52)  | 2287. 21   | 0.012 (0.12 8) | 2124 .54   | 0.16 7 (0.58 2) | 2176 .81 | 1.23 (1.63 3) | 2319 .81 | 659 (39. 2) | 715 (28. 1) |
|                               |            |            |            |            |             |             |      |      |      |             |             |
|                               | p-value*   | 0.000      | 0.003      | 0.000      | 0.000        | 0.000       |      |      |      |             |             |
|                               | df         | 2          | 2          | 2          | 2            | 2           |      |      |      |             |             |
| Gender                        | Female     | 1.07 (1.51) | 2318. 01   | 0.004 (0.06 7) | 2112 .78   | 0.14 5 (0.55 8) | 2140 .41 | 1.22 (1.59 ) | 2331 .87 | 1052 (62. 7) | 1106 (43. 4) |
|                               | Male       | 0.525 (1.01 4) | 1900. 09   | 0.006 (0.09 8) | 2114 .25   | 0.08 9 (0.41 8) | 2085 .42 | 0.62 (1.11 ) | 1885 .63 | 627 (37. 3) | 1441 (56. 6) |
|                               |            |            |            |            |             |             |      |      |      |             |             |
|                               | p-value*   | 0.000      | 0.749      | 0.001      | 0.000        | 0.000       |      |      |      |             |             |
|                               | z          | -12.997    | -0.320     | -3.353     | -13.491      |             |      |      |      |             |             |
| Habitant                      | Urban      | 0.755 (1.28 1) | 2076. 74   | 0.003 (0.06 7) | 2107 .06   | 0.11 7 (0.49 3) | 2110 .30 | 0.88 (1.38 ) | 2075 .62 | 1186 (70. 8) | 1883 (74)   |
|                               | Rural      | 0.935 (1.41 0) | 2198. 76   | 0.010 (0.11 7) | 2117 .85   | 0.11 9 (0.50 2) | 2109 .20 | 1.07 (1.49 ) | 2201 .76 | 490 (29. 2) | 660 (26)    |
|                               |            |            |            |            |             |             |      |      |      |             |             |
|                               | p-value*   | 0.001      | 0.036      | 0.952      | 0.001        | 0.020       |      |      |      |             |             |
|                               | z          | -1         | -1         | -1         | -1           |             |      |      |      |             |             |
| Father's education level      | Elementary | 0.784 (1.22) | 2097. 07   | 0.009 (0.10 8) | 2086 .24   | 0.07 3 (0.35 7) | 2037 .94 | 0.87 (1.29 ) | 2066 .91 | 341 (20. 6) | 503 (201)   |
|                               | Diploma    | 0.875 (1.37) | 2127. 18   | 0.006 (0.09 1) | 2081 .32   | 0.12 3 (0.51 6) | 2080 .74 | 1.01 (1.47 ) | 2126 .37 | 980 (59. 1) | 1382 (55. 3) |
|                               | Under      | 0.655 (1.26) | 1945. 42   | 0          | 2069 .00   | 0.15 2 (0.55 9) | 2113 .30 | 0.80 (1.37 ) | 1974 .21 | 336 (20. 3) | 615 (24. 6) |
|                               | Academic   |            |            |            |            |             |      |      |      |             |             |
|                               |            |            |            |            |             |             |      |      |      |             |             |
|                               | p-value**  | 0.000      | 0.031      | 0.010      | 0.001        | 0.005       |      |      |      |             |             |
|                               | df         | 2          | 2          | 2          | 2            | 2           |      |      |      |             |             |
| Mother's education level      | Elementary | 0.771 (1.24) | 2087. 70   | 0.008 (0.10 2) | 2099 .67   | 0.07 3 (0.38 6) | 2045 .13 | 0.85 (1.31 ) | 2056 .72 | 441 (26. 4) | 689 (49. 7) |
|                               | Diploma    | 0.905 (1.41) | 2159. 13   | 0.004 (0.07 4) | 2019 .69   | 0.12 5 (0.51 6) | 2099 .25 | 1.04 (1.50 ) | 2159 .37 | 917 (54. 9) | 1251 (49. 7) |
|                          | Academic | 1940.66 | 0.004 (0.082) | 2090.08 | 0.161 (0.567) | 2141.03 | 0.77 (1.29) | 1979.15 | 312 (18.7) | 576 (22.9) |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|--------------|---------|--------------|---------|------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| **p-value**              | 0.000    | 0.251   | 0.000        | 0.000   | 0.001        |
| **Z**                    | -3.447   | -0.105  | -0.590       | -3.254  |

**Insurance**

|                        | Yes      | 2114.79 | 2114.12     | 2114.59 | 0.93 (1.41) | 2115.44 | 1588 (94.6) | 2404 (94.3) |
|------------------------|----------|---------|-------------|---------|------------|----------|-------------|-----------|
| **p-value**            | 0.741    | 0.263   | 0.587       | 0.631   | 0.682      |
| **Z**                  | -0.330   | -1.120  | -0.544      | -0.480  |

**Father's Job**

|                      | Unemployed | 2210.30 | 0.019 (0.140) | 2117.89 | 0.019 (0.140) | 1983.02 | 1.08 (1.62) | 2131.14 | 20 (1.2) | 31 (1.2) |
|-----------------------|------------|---------|---------------|---------|---------------|---------|------------|---------|----------|----------|
| **p-value**           | 0.007      | 0.057   | 0.164         | 0.133   | 0.309         |
| **Z**                 | 0.950      | 0.630   | -0.280        | -0.007  |

**Mother's Job**

|                     | Housewife | 2124.56 | 0.005 (0.082) | 2105.17 | 0.111 (0.483) | 2096.61 | 0.95 (1.43) | 2119.36 | 1433 (85.5) | 2118 (83.6) |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|--------------|---------|---------------|---------|------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| **p-value**         | 0.000     | 0.060   | 0.050        | 0.017   | 0.016         |
| **Z**               | 5.62      | 1.21    | 2.02         | 0.19    |

**Use of Mouthwash**

|                       | Yes       | 2147.81 | 2052.58     | 2061.35 | 1.05 (1.52)  | 2145.20 | 443 (27.3) | 575 (23.1) |
|-----------------------|-----------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|----------|------------|-----------|
| **p-value**           | 0.001     | 0.917   | 0.555       | 0.001   | 0.003       |
| **Z**                 | -3.447    | -0.105  | -0.590      | -3.254  |

**Use of Dental Floss**

|                    | Yes       | 2045.66 | 2046.65     | 2076.37 | 0.93 (1.47)  | 2050.68 | 283 (17.5) | 442 (17.8) |
|--------------------|-----------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|----------|------------|-----------|
| **p-value**        | 0.864     | 0.326   | 0.156       | 0.981   | 0.834       |
| **Z**              | -0.172    | -0.982  | -1.419      | -0.023  |
| Variable | dt | mt | ft | dmft | Dental Caries *** | n (%) |
|----------|----|----|----|------|------------------|-------|
| Mean (SD) | Mean Rank | Mean (SD) | Mean Rank | Mean (SD) | Mean Rank |
| Age | | | | | |
| 9 | 2.56 (2.4) | 2454 .00 | 0.191 (0.65) | 2168. 14 | 0.380 (1.04) | 2182 .47 | 3.14 (2.5) | 251 .69 | 115 (40) | 304 (22) |
| 10 | 2 (2.1) | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | 1.13 (3.1) | | | | | | | | | |
| Gender | Female | Male | | | | | | | | |
| 1.80 (2.0) | | 2.14 (2.3) | | | | | | | | |
| 2069 .93 | | 2158 .97 | | | | | | | | |
| 0.135 (0.54) | | 0.145 (0.53) | | | | | | | | |
| 2105.63 | | 2121.71 | | | | | | | | |
| 0.302 (0.92) | | 0.272 (0.85) | | | | | | | | |
| 2126 .05 | | 2100 .40 | | | | | | | | |
| 2.22 (2.2) | | 2.47 (2.5) | | | | | | | | |
| 208 .36 | | 214 .80 | | | | | | | | |
| 146 (51) | | 140 (48) | | | | | | | | |
| 696 (51) | | 669 (49) | | | | | | | | |
| p-value* | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| z | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Habitent | Urban | Rural | | | | | | | | |
| 1.77 (2.1) | | 2.27 (2.2) | | | | | | | | |
| 2032 .32 | | 2317 .30 | | | | | | | | |
| 0.115 (0.40) | | 0.208 (0.67) | | | | | | | | |
| 2084 .97 | | 2176.80 | | | | | | | | |
| 0.325 (0.95) | | 0.188 (0.69) | | | | | | | | |
| 21.4 .76 | | 2027 .90 | | | | | | | | |
| 2.22 (2.3) | | 2.67 (2.4) | | | | | | | | |
| 204 .33 | | 228 .78 | | | | | | | | |
| 843 (29) | | 307 (22) | | | | | | | | |
| p-value** | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| df | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

* Mann-Whitney Test; ** Kruskal-Wallis Test; *** Chi-Square Test; DMFT (DT = Decayed teeth, FT = Filled teeth, MT = Missing teeth, DMFT = Decayed, missing and filled teeth.)

Table 2. Demographic Characteristics and its Relation to the dmft Index.
| Father's education level | Elementary | Diploma and Under diploma | Academic | p-value** | df |  |
|-------------------------|------------|---------------------------|----------|-----------|----|----|
|                         | 2.03 (2.1 9) | 2173 .09                  | 0.148 (0.54 0) | 2090. 71 | 0.069 (0.36 5) | 1886 .24 | 2.26 (2.2 6) | 206 1.99 | 588 (20. 9) | 256 (19. 1) |
|                         | 1.97 (2.2 5) | 2108 .57                  | 0.146 (0.55 9) | 2086. 41 | 0.218 (0.77 2) | 2016 .84 | 2.34 (2.4 1) | 207 3.02 | 158 4 (56. 2) | 779 (58. 2) |
|                         | 1.64 (2.1 0) | 1924 .22                  | 0.118 (0.52 4) | 2052. 39 | 0.664 (1.31 1) | 2406 .70 | 2.42 (2.4 4) | 211 1.14 | 647 (23. 0) | 304 (22. 7) |
| p-value**               | 0.000       | 0.000                     | 0.000     | 0.000     | 0.366  |  |
| df                      | 2           | 2                         | 2         | 2         |       |  |
| Mother's education level | Elementary | Diploma and Under diploma | Academic | p-value** | df |  |
|                         | 1.91 (2.1 4) | 2120 .53                  | 0.167 (0.60 9) | 2118. 05 | 0.086 (0.47 0) | 1907 .44 | 2.17 (2.2 4) | 202 6.24 | 758 (26. 7) | 372 (27. 6) |
|                         | 2 (2.2 6)   | 2131 .81                  | 0.135 (0.51 3) | 2092. 79 | 0.256 (0.82 9) | 2064 .71 | 2.39 (2.4 1) | 211 0.09 | 146 9 (51. 7) | 699 (51. 9) |
|                         | 1.72 (2.1 9) | 1965 .58                  | 0.119 (0.54 6) | 2064. 00 | 0.629 (1.28 0) | 2400 .56 | 2.46 (2.5 0) | 213 8.59 | 612 (21. 6) | 276 (20. 5) |
| p-value**               | 0.001       | 0.109                     | 0.000     | 0.068     | 0.677  |  |
| df                      | 2           | 2                         | 2         | 2         |       |  |
| Insurance               | Yes         | 1.86 (2.1 8)              | 2087 .71  | 0.142 (0.55 1) | 2115. 74 | 0.284 (0.88 5) | 2111 .32 | 2.29 (2.3 5) | 208 9.25 | 267 9 (93. 6) | 131 0 (96) |
|                         | 2.79 (2.6 3) | 2547 .59                  | 0.113 (0.51 2) | 2075. 87 | 0.346 (0.99 0) | 2150 .21 | 3.25 (2.7 7) | 252 1.68 | 183 6 (4. 4) | 54 (4)  |
| p-value*                | 0.000       | 0.302                     | 0.425     | 0.000     | 0.001  |  |
| z                       | -5.840      | -1.032                    | -0.799    | -5.416    |       |  |
| Father's Job            | Unemploye d | 2.31 (2.5 87)             | 2231 .70  | 0.137 (0.44 8) | 2121. 31 | 0.098 (0.45 8) | 1920 .28 | 2.55 (2.6 1) | 214 3.18 | 33 (1.2 ) | 18 (1.3 ) |
|                         | 2 (2.2 3)   | 2138 .07                  | 0.137 (0.52 3) | 2092. 56 | 0.192 (0.72 0) | 2002 .37 | 2.33 (2.3 6) | 208 4.37 | 208 9 (73. 9) | 971 (72. 1) |
|                         | Retired     | 1.42 (2.0 1)              | 1792 .22  | 0.056 (0.26 0) | 2018. 90 | 0.507 (1.20 ) | 2237 .58 | 1.98 (2.3 2) | 187 5.24 | 81 (2.9 ) | 61 (4.5 ) |
|                         | Employee    | 1.70 (2.1 5)              | 1957 .02  | 0.156 (0.64 7) | 2079. 40 | 0.586 (1.24 0) | 2356 .48 | 2.44 (2.4 5) | 212 7.54 | 625 (22. 1) | 296 (22)  |
| p-value**               | 0.000       | 0.445                     | 0.000     | 0.122     | 0.045  |  |
| df                      | 3           | 3                         | 3         | 3         |       |  |
| Mother's job            | Housewife   | 1.94 (2.2 0)              | 2108 .02  | 0.143 (0.55 3) | 2108. 80 | 0.239 (0.82 4) | 2055 .33 | 2.30 (2.3 6) | 208 4.84 | 238 6 (83. 7) | 116 5 (85. 8) |
|                         | Employee    | 1.85 (2.2 8)              | 2053 .31  | 0.120 (0.53 2) | 2081. 76 | 0.668 (1.26 ) | 2481 .06 | 2.63 (2.5 7) | 223 8.84 | 318 (11. 2) | 123 (9.1 ) |
|                         | Other       | 2.04 (2.2 6)              | 2160 .66  | 0.119 (0.45 5) | 2089. 97 | 0.313 (0.87 8) | 2153 .62 | 2.47 (2.4 3) | 216 3.36 | 147 (5.2 ) | 70 (5.2 ) |
| p-value**               | 0.505       | 0.599                     | 0.000     | 0.029     | 0.114  |  |
| df                      | 2           | 2                         | 2         | 2         |       |  |
| Use of Mouthwash        | Yes         | 2.01 (2.2 1)              | 2118 .62  | 0.147 (0.56 0) | 2052. 55 | 0.297 (0.89 3) | 2034 .76 | 2.46 (2.4 2) | 211 0.74 | 706 (25. 4) | 312 (23. 6) |
|                         | No          | 1.90 (2.2 2)              | 2031 .36  | 0.141 (0.55 0) | 2053. 15 | 0.289 (0.86 3) | 2059 .01 | 2.31 (2.3 8) | 203 3.96 | 207 8 (74. 6) | 100 9 (76. 4) |
|                                | p-value | Z*     | Z*     | Z*     | Z*     |
|--------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|                                | 0.035   | -2.110 | -1.543 | -1.766 | -2.434 |
| Use of Dental floss            |         |        |        |        |        |
| Yes                            | 1.71    | 1.940  | 0.107  | 0.145  | 2.15   |
|                                | (2.21   | (0.74) | (0.44) | (0.55) | (2.31) |
|                                | 0.977   | 20.22  | 0.329  | 0.286  | 0.291  |
|                                | (0.97)  | (0.70) | (0.97) | (0.88) | (0.89) |
|                                | 0.346   | 20.93  | 0.94   | 0.39   | 1.16   |
|                                | (0.34)  | (2.43) | (1.48) | (0.94) | (0.94) |
|                                | 0.067   | 19.5  | 6.39   | 2.39   | 2.32   |
|                                | (1.65)  | (1.86) | (2.43) | (2.33) | (2.33) |
|                                | 0.231   | 19.7  | 9.66   | 2.05   | 2.05   |
|                                | (1.86)  | (1.99) | (1.99) | (1.99) | (1.99) |
|                                |         |        |        |        |        |
| No                             | 1.95    | 2.075  | 0.150  | 0.286  | 0.292  |
|                                | (2.09)  | (0.82) | (0.57) | (0.88) | (0.94) |
|                                | 0.977   | 20.57  | 0.82   | 0.39   | 0.292  |
|                                | (0.97)  | (0.76) | (0.97) | (0.94) | (0.94) |
|                                | 0.067   | 20.42  | 0.94   | 0.29   | 0.292  |
|                                | (0.34)  | (1.92) | (0.76) | (0.94) | (0.94) |
|                                | 0.231   | 20.19  | 1.92   | 2.39   | 2.39   |
|                                | (1.86)  | (1.99) | (1.99) | (1.99) | (1.99) |
|                                |         |        |        |        |        |
| p-value                        | 0.004   | 0.123  | 0.077  | 0.015  | 0.161  |
|                                |         |         |         |         |         |
| Use of Toothbrush              |         |        |        |        |        |
| Yes                            | 1.90    | 2.055  | 0.145  | 0.291  | 0.292  |
|                                | (2.15)  | (0.92) | (0.55) | (0.89) | (0.94) |
|                                | 0.977   | 20.72  | 0.93   | 1.16   | 0.292  |
|                                | (0.97)  | (0.76) | (0.97) | (0.94) | (0.94) |
|                                | 0.067   | 20.71  | 0.93   | 2.32   | 2.32   |
|                                | (0.34)  | (1.92) | (2.33) | (2.33) | (2.33) |
|                                | 0.231   | 20.05  | 6.65   | 2.69   | 2.69   |
|                                | (1.86)  | (1.99) | (1.99) | (2.58) | (2.58) |
|                                |         |        |        |        |        |
| No                             | 2.30    | 2.222  | 0.102  | 0.292  | 0.292  |
|                                | (2.46)  | (0.21) | (0.47) | (0.94) | (0.94) |
|                                | 0.977   | 20.31  | 4.2    | 0.02   | 0.292  |
|                                | (0.97)  | (1.23) | (0.94) | (0.94) | (0.94) |
|                                | 0.067   | 20.51  | 0.02   | 2.69   | 2.69   |
|                                | (0.34)  | (1.30) | (2.58) | (2.58) | (2.58) |
|                                | 0.231   | 20.22  | 1.3    | 2.69   | 2.69   |
|                                | (1.86)  | (1.99) | (2.58) | (2.58) | (2.58) |
|                                |         |        |        |        |        |
| p-value*                       | 0.012   | 0.196  | 0.619  | 0.019  | 0.226  |
|                                |         |         |         |         |         |
| Birthday rating                |         |        |        |        |        |
| 1-3                            | 1.88    | 2.123  | 0.171  | 0.299  | 0.220  |
|                                | (2.09)  | (0.73) | (0.58) | (0.91) | (2.15) |
|                                | 0.977   | 2.146  | 0.02   | 1.08   | 1.08   |
|                                | (0.97)  | (0.59) | (0.91) | (0.91) | (0.91) |
|                                | 0.067   | 19.84  | 0.24   | 0.29   | 0.29   |
|                                | (0.34)  | (0.96) | (0.96) | (0.96) | (0.96) |
|                                | 0.231   | 20.6   | 1.17   | 0.22   | 0.22   |
|                                | (1.86)  | (1.99) | (1.99) | (1.99) | (1.99) |
|                                |         |        |        |        |        |
| 4 and high                     | 0.726   | 0.156  | 0.004  | 0.596  | 0.596  |
|                                | (0.53)  | (0.53) | (0.53) | (0.53) | (0.53) |
|                                |         |         |         |         |         |
| p-value*                       | 0.726   | 0.156  | 0.004  | 0.596  | 0.596  |
|                                |         |         |         |         |         |
|                                | -0.351  | -1.420 | -2.911 | -0.530 | 0.566  |

* Mann-Whitney Test; ** Kruskal-Wallis Test; *** Chi-Square Tests; dmft (dt = Decayed teeth, ft = Filled teeth, mt = Missing teeth, dmft = Decayed, missing and filled teeth.)

Table 3. Relationship between children’s DMFT index of 9-11 years old and variables included in the study using logistic regression
| Variables | r    | OR   | 95 % C.I | P-Value | r    |
|-----------|------|------|----------|---------|------|
| Gender    |      |      |          |         |      |
| Male      | Reference |      |          |         |      |
| Female    | 0.78  | 2.18 | 1.92-2.48| <0.001  | 0.73 |
| Birthday rating |     |      |          |         |      |
| 1-3       | Reference |      |          |         |      |
| 4 or high | 0.33  | 1.40 | 1.10-1.7 | 0.005   | 0.32 |
| Insurance |      |      |          |         |      |
| No        | Reference |      |          |         |      |
| Yes       | 0.05  | 1.06 | 0.81-1.39| 0.66    | -    |
| Education level |     |      |          |         |      |
| Father    |      |      |          |         |      |
| Elementary | Reference |      |          |         |      |
| Diploma and Under diploma | 0.04 | 1.04 | 0.89-1.22 | 0.58   | -0.07 |
| Academic  | -0.21 | 0.80 | 0.66-0.97 | 0.02   | -0.25 |
| Education level |     |      |          |         |      |
| Mother    |      |      |          |         |      |
| Elementary | Reference |      |          |         |      |
| Diploma and Under diploma | 0.13 | 1.14 | 0.98-1.32 | 0.07   | 0.22 |
| Academic  | -0.16 | 0.84 | 0.70-1.01 | 0.07   | 0.09 |
| Father's Job |     |      |          |         |      |
| Unemployed | Reference |      |          |         |      |
| Self- Employee | 0.06 | 1.06 | 0.60-1.87 | 0.83   | -    |
| Retired   | -0.02 | 0.98 | 0.50-1.88 | 0.95   | -    |
| Employee  | -0.08 | 0.92 | 0.51-1.63 | 0.77   | -    |
| Mother's Job |     |      |          |         |      |
| Housewife | Reference |      |          |         |      |
| Employee  | -0.28 | 0.75 | 0.61-0.92 | 0.008  | -0.10 |
| Others    | 0.12  | 1.13 | 0.85-1.49 | 0.38   | 0.15 |
| Habitant  |      |      |          |         |      |
| Rural     | Reference |      |          |         |      |
| Urban     | 0.16  | 1.18 | 1.02-1.35 | 0.02   | 0.17 |
| Use of Toothbrush |     |      |          |         |      |
| Yes       | Reference |      |          |         |      |
| No        | 0.12  | 1.12 | 0.89-1.40 | 0.30   | -    |
| Use of Mouthwash |     |      |          |         |      |
| Yes       | Reference |      |          |         |      |
| No        | -0.22 | 0.80 | 0.69-0.92 | 0.002  | -0.16 |
| Use of Dental floss |     |      |          |         |      |
| Yes       | Reference |      |          |         |      |
| No        | 0.02  | 1.02 | 0.86-1.20 | 0.81   | -    |

Table 4. Relationship between children's dmft index of 9-11 years old and variables included in the study using logistic regression
| Variables                  | Univariate Regression |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|
|                           | r        | OR     | 95% C.I  | P-Value | r   |
| **Gender**                |          |        |          |         |     |
| Male                      | Reference |        |          |         |     |
| Female                    | 0.002    | 1.002  | 0.88-1.14 | 0.97    |  -  |
| **Birthday rating**       |          |        |          |         |     |
| 1-3                       | Reference |        |          |         |     |
| 4 or high                 | -0.07    | 0.92   | 0.72-1.19 | 0.56    |  -  |
| **Insurance**             |          |        |          |         |     |
| No                        | Reference |        |          |         |     |
| Yes                       | -0.50    | 0.60   | 0.44-0.82 | 0.001   | -0.54 |
| **Education level Father**|          |        |          |         |     |
| Elementary                | Reference |        |          |         |     |
| Diploma and Under diploma | -0.12    | 0.88   | 0.74-1.04 | 0.16    | -0.07 |
| Academic                  | -0.07    | 0.92   | 0.75-1.13 | 0.45    | -0.03 |
| **Education level Mother**|          |        |          |         |     |
| Elementary                | Reference |        |          |         |     |
| Diploma and Under diploma | 0.03     | 1.03   | 0.88-1.20 | 0.69    |  -  |
| Academic                  | 0.38     | 1.08   | 0.90-1.31 | 0.38    |  -  |
| **Father's Job**          |          |        |          |         |     |
| Unemployed                | Reference |        |          |         |     |
| Self- Employee            | 0.16     | 1.17   | 0.65-2.09 | 0.58    |  -  |
| Retired                   | -0.32    | 0.72   | 0.37-1.40 | 0.34    |  -  |
| Employee                  | 0.14     | 1.15   | 0.63-2.07 | 0.63    |  -  |
| **Mother's job**          |          |        |          |         |     |
| Housewife                 | Reference |        |          |         |     |
| Employee                  | 0.263    | 1.26   | 1.01-1.57 | 0.03    | 0.29 |
| Others                    | 0.02     | 1.02   | 0.76-1.37 | 0.86    | 0.06 |
| **Habitant**              |          |        |          |         |     |
| Rural                     | Reference |        |          |         |     |
| Urban                     | 0.36     | 1.43   | 1.23-1.66 | <0.001  | 0.41 |
| **Use of Toothbrush**     |          |        |          |         |     |
| Yes                       | Reference |        |          |         |     |
| No                        | 0.16     | 1.17   | 0.91-1.49 | 0.204   |  -  |
| **Use of Mouthwash**      |          |        |          |         |     |
| No                        | Reference |        |          |         |     |
| Yes                       | -0.09    | 0.91   | 0.78-1.06 | 0.22    |  -  |
| **Use of Dental floss**   |          |        |          |         |     |
| Yes                       | Reference |        |          |         |     |
| No                        | 0.12     | 1.12   | 0.95-1.33 | 0.16    | 0.12 |