Infinite degeneracy of states in quantum gravity
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Abstract. The setting of Braided Ribbon Networks is used to present a general result in spin-networks embedded in manifolds: the existence of an infinite number of species of conserved quantities. Restricted to three-valent networks the number of such conserved quantities in a given network is shown to be determined by the number of nodes in the network. The implication of these conserved quantities is discussed in the context of Loop Quantum Gravity.

1. Introduction
Spin networks[1] have been a part of the search for quantum gravity for over three decades. More recently they have gained prominence in Loop Quantum Gravity as a basis for the kinematical Hilbert space[2, 3]. Though a great deal of the understanding of spin-networks is derived directly from graph theory, the spin-network states of quantum gravity possess a richer structure owing to their embedding. Recently a great deal of attention has been focused on understanding the possibility that we may have to work with an even further enriched structure of spin networks with width [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. This work was motivated from the suggestion that in studying loop quantum gravity with a positive cosmological constant we may need to consider framed spin networks [15, 16, 17].

One of the major revelations of the work on framed spin networks has been the existence of conserved topological structures. This was joined in [18] with the existence of conserved quantities even in the absence of an embedding.

We shall present an overview of embedded three-valent framed spin networks (which we will call three-valent Braided Ribbon Networks), we will then describe the existence of conserved topological structures in these networks and demonstrate the existence of countably infinite species of these structures. We will then finally lift the result to embedded three-valent spin networks without framing. The results on the classification of the structures will be general in the valence of the spin-network though the results in the invariance of the number of conserved structures will only apply to the three-valent case.

2. Braided Ribbon Networks
Braided ribbon networks (BRNs) generalize spin networks by extending the edges into a higher dimensional structure and associating non-isotopic embeddings of the same spin network to different basis states in a quantum space of a theory of quantum gravity. Trivalent BRNs are constructed through taking the union of trinions - 2-surfaces of the form of Fig. 1a. By
considering a trinion to be the combination of three legs and a node (the union of the three legs), we can allow the legs of the trinion to be twisted about and to cross over parts of other trinions before being joined together into a BRN. This gives us generalized structures with features such as those in Fig. 1b. We will use the word ribbon to refer to the extended edges of the BRN, and use the term edges to refer instead to the boundaries of the ribbons. The BRN is considered to evolve subject to the standard evolution algebra of trivalent spin networks $A_{evol}$ whose generators consist of the $1 - 3$ move and the $2 - 2$ move (Fig. 2). Whether these correspond to evolution with respect to time, or some other concept is irrelevant to this work, and so will be left to the reader’s taste. In the present work we shall use what is referred to as the Smolin-Wan rule in [18] which means that a $2 - 2$ move cannot be performed when the shared ribbon (labeled $b$ in the exchange move of Fig. 2) has additional content from the embedding (for example a knot, or a twist). We shall also consider the simpler case of embedded spin networks, an analogous situation where we do not extend the graph edges, but still consider non-isotopic embeddings of the same abstract spin network to be distinct (these admit similar structures but without twisting). In discussing embedded spin networks, we will use the word edge in the sense of graph theory - the meaning of the word edge should be clear from its context.

Additionally before we proceed we will clarify what we mean by a ‘knot’ in an embedded spin network:

**Definition 1.** We will call a local knot any locally knotted arc that we can place a closed compact surface around with the network only intersecting the surface precisely twice at the single edge making up the locally knotted arc.

![Figure 1: Trinions, twists and braiding](image)

In [5] the reduced link was introduced as a tool for understanding the non-trivial topological content of a braided network. The reduced link consists of removing the interior of the 2-surface - leaving only the edges of the ribbons - and then removing all un-linked un-knotted loops. An example of taking the reduced link is shown in Fig. 3 to demonstrate the process. It has been shown that the reduced link is an invariant of the generators of $A_{evol}$ and therefore could be used to demonstrate invariants of the BRN.

![Figure 2: Generators of $A_{evol}$](image)
3. Conserved Structures in Braided Ribbon Networks

Given the existence of conserved structures in braided ribbon networks we shall consider specifically the more local structures (in the sense of micro-locality from [6]). This specifically shall ignore structures where ribbons are knotted or braided with ribbons that are distant under the standard distance function of graph theory. We can consider the local structures in order of reducing locality: the most local are those that involve only a single ribbon (ultra local), then those that involve ribbons sharing a node (1st degree local), then those that involve the ribbons of two adjacent nodes (2nd degree local), and so on. In particular, we know from [18] that a structure such as that in Fig. 4 is conserved as we are unable to perform the $2 - 2$ move, and is therefore an ultra local conserved structure. The only exception to this is if the ribbon we are concerned with connects two 'halves' of the network (i.e. that the ribbon divides the network between two parts that are not connected to one another through anything other than that single ribbon - in the language of the next section, it corresponds to both $b$ and $b'$ being tethers of isolated substructures). In this situation we are able to remove the knotting and twisting by isotopy. As this case is artificial in nature and uninteresting, we shall ignore it for our investigation.

3.1. Isolating the conserved structures

In [6] the concept of an isolated substructure was introduced as a means of understanding the ability to translate features through a braided ribbon graph. An isolated substructure is a subset of a graph which connects to the rest of the graph only through a single ribbon (called its tether) and is not part of any larger topological features. If a substructure can be evolved into an isolated substructure by a sequence of applications of elements of the evolution algebra, we will call them isolatable. Isolatable substructures are essentially propagating locally conserved quantities[6] able to move via the evolution algebra to any point edge-connected to its tether, and having conserved structure inside of it. We can also see via the form of the reduced link of an isolated substructure - and the invariance of the reduced link under the evolution moves - that an isolatable substructure corresponds to a 'piece' of the reduced link that is essentially cut and paste into the link of the edge its tether is on (see for example fig.3). That a general reduced link can be considered a direct product of these 'pieces' means that a structure being isolatable does not require the evolution to acquire its meaning as a part of an invariant of the network, and that this meaning is invariant under interpretation of the meaning of the evolution. We shall demonstrate that a specific class of ultra-local conserved quantities are isolatable. To do this we shall first introduce several definitions.

**Definition 2.** Two edge segments are said to be edge connected if they are connected in the space consisting of the edge of the network. Equivalently two edge segments are said to be edge connected if they are part of the same link in the reduced link of the network. The path in the space of edges between the two edge segments is called the edge path.

**Definition 3.** Two ribbons or two nodes in a network have a path between them if there exists a sequence of ribbons and nodes that can be traversed between them. The sequence of ribbons and nodes taken is called the path.
Definition 4. A free path is a path which does not have any twists, knots or links along it. Specifically, each ribbon connecting the nodes of the path does not have any knotting or twisting on it, and there is no ribbon that crosses a ribbon in the path in such a way that cannot be undone by the Reidemeister moves applied to the ribbons.

Definition 5. A free edge path is an edge path which does not have any knots or links along it. This corresponds similarly to requiring that each ribbon the edges of the path belong to does not have any knotting or twisting on it, and there is no ribbon that crosses one of these ribbons in such a way that cannot be undone by the Reidemeister moves applied to the ribbons.

We shall now prove that a general class of ultra-local structures (see Fig. 4) can be made isolated.

Theorem 1. An ultra-local structure in a BRN which possesses a free edge path between one of the edges of each of the external ribbons can be isolated.

Proof. We shall prove this using induction on the number of intervening nodes. First we shall prove for one intervening nodes, then assume true for $n - 1$ nodes and prove true for $n$ nodes. Consider the situation depicted in Fig. 6 (where the apparent orientation of the nodes is for simplicity, and is in fact general), the application of the exchange move between nodes $v_1$ and $v'$ reduces the situation to that of Fig. 5 which can then be isolated by using the exchange move on the two nodes involved. Now, we examine the situation in Fig. 7 to demonstrate that the $n$
node situation can be reduced to \( n - 1 \) nodes by applying the exchange move on \( v' \) and \( v_n \). We can then use the assumption of truth on the \( n - 1 \) case to isolate the knot.

This result lets us examine a peculiar situation: that where a knot on a ribbon is not a conserved quantity. Examining Fig. 8 (where the unattached ribbons connect to a larger network) we can see that it is possible in certain situations to reduce the number of ultra local structures, in the sense that two knots, e.g. the \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) in the figure, merge with each other. From this we see that we can always obtain a graph with only conserved local structures remaining.

**Theorem 2.** A knot in an embedded graph which possesses a free path between its two external edges can be isolated.

**Proof.** The proof of this follows inherently from the above proof and the fact that in an embedded graph - instead of a BRN - one can rotate an edge without introducing a twist.

\[
\begin{align*}
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**Figure 8: Reduction of the number of ultra local structures**

We can apply the above theorems to reduce less local structures to more local situations. Consider for example the situation in Fig. 9a, we can apply the results of the above theorems to transform it to Fig. 9b if ribbons \( a \) and \( b \), and \( a' \) and \( b' \) are connected by a free edge path.

\[
\begin{align*}
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\]

**Figure 9: Less Local knottings**
We can also see from these results that if there is no (edge) free path between the two edges of any of the knots in a network, there is no means to combine the knots onto single edges. This leads us to conclude that if one isolates all isolatable knots on a graph the remaining knots are completely invariant.

3.2. Immediate Results of Ultra-Local Structures
Considering the idea of ultra local structures, we find that there is only a single type of conserved structure. A structure formed by the topological deformation of a single ribbon can only possess two features: knots and twists. As twists can be passed through the knotting of a ribbon by an isotopy, we can consider any ultra local structure to be exactly characterized by a half integer (corresponding to the number of rotations) and a knot or a connected sum of knots.

This leads us to our first results:

**The existence of countably infinite many species of local conserved structures**
There exist infinitely many species of local conserved structures.

Any edge can be replaced by an edge with an isolated edge with some half-integer twist and a knot or a connected sum of knots. As there are infinitely many half-integers and knots, there are therefore infinitely many such species of structures.

**Maximal number of local conserved quantities**
For a closed 3-valent BRN with \( N \) nodes, the maximum number of ultra-local conserved quantities is \( \frac{3N}{2} \).

We can immediately lift these results to the scenario of un-framed spin networks: excepting the twists, all the results follow immediately. Additionally the first result does not depend on the valence of the spin-network involved in any way and is therefore a general result for embedded spin-networks.

4. Conclusions and Discussion
We have demonstrated the existence of a countable infinity of species of local conserved structures within Braided Ribbon Networks (and embedded spin-networks in general). We have also provided several results of use for isolating these structures and understanding when they are actually preserved.

In a theory of Quantum Gravity where the states are given by spin-networks embedded in a 3-manifold all of these states will be part of the Hilbert space. The difficulty this poses comes from the fact that these locally conserved structures correspond to an infinite number of conserved quantities that don’t correspond with anything that commutes with the constraints of general relativity. This poses a significant problem in any attempt to recover the classical limit from a generic embedded spin-network - there is no reason to believe that these conserved quantities will simply cease to exist in the classical limit. This leaves a significant dilemma: we must change something in the theory for general relativity to be the classical limit.

There are two immediately obvious alternatives for resolving this, the first being to modify the hamiltonian constraint in such a way that we introduce new generators or the evolution algebra. The alternative to this is that we should reduce the physical Hilbert space of a theory of quantum gravity to require that there do not exist any knots or linking. Our ability to consider this super selection rule and still do certain things (including considering embedded spin networks) is questionable and requires investigation before this can be adopted as an ‘easy’ solution.
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