A curated database reveals trends in single cell transcriptomics
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Over 500 single cell transcriptomics studies have been published to date. Many of these have data available, but the links between data, study, and systems studied can be hard to identify through literature search. This manuscript describes a nearly exhaustive and manually curated database of single cell transcriptomics studies with descriptions of what kind of data and what biological systems have been studied. Additionally, based on the text in the listed papers information about analysis is included in the database, allowing analysis of trends in the field. As a particular example, it is demonstrated that the number of cell types identified in single cell RNA sequencing studies is directly proportional to the number of cells analyzed. Instructions to access the database are available at www.nxn.se/single-cell-studies/.

Introduction

It has been recognized that the ability to perform large scale single cell transcriptomics is opening the door to unseen views into biological variation (Klein and Treutlein 2019). This new kind of big data in biology - a large set of measurements of a large number of cells - can yield insights even after several passes of analysis of individual datasets. With hundreds of datasets available, integration of datasets becomes another avenue for exploration, highlighting the importance of standardization in how data is collected and shared, as well as curation of public data (Stuart et al. 2019).

As single cell transcriptomics studies become more accessible to many labs, discoverability of studies and datasets becomes a challenge, and several efforts have arisen to curate datasets. The Human Cell Atlas portal aims to provide uniformly processed data from all of the human body (Regev et al. 2017). JingleBells provides data, with a focus on immune cells (Ner-Gaon et al. 2017). The conquer database provides uniformly processed expression data for the sake of fair comparison of computational tools (Soneson and Robinson 2018). The PanglaoDB database provides count matrices from public sequencing data in the national center for biotechnology information (NCBI) sequence read archive (SRA) (Franzén, Gan, and Björkegren 2019). The EMBL-EBI Single Cell Expression Atlas provides uniformly processed data from datasets submitted to ArrayExpress. The Broad Institute offers a Single Cell Portal which can be used to share custom single cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) data. A database called scRNASeqDB provides links to a number of datasets from human scRNA-seq experiments (Cao et al. 2017). These efforts all aim to tackle different aspects of the considerable challenge of data management in the era of big biology. Here we present a manually curated database of single cell transcriptomics studies rather than primary data, indexed according to publication and study authors. This resource will allow researchers to identify studies of particular tissues, together with which tissues have not been studied previously. It also aims to facilitate the citation of appropriate references when performing follow-up experiments. This database tracks metadata applicable to most studies, such as the number of cell types identified, or which protocols were used. These annotations enable analysis of trends in the field.

Database structure

This database aims to provide a quick listing between datasets from different organs, the data location, and a citation, to make published data and results discoverable. A secondary goal is to annotate metadata about these primary studies directly which can be used to spot trends in the field.

The “Single cell studies database” considers the analysis of many genes at once in single cells as a “single cell transcriptomics” study. There is some ambiguity where choices had to be made. For example, multicolor fluorescence flow cytometry or mass cytometry are not considered, even though both technologies can measure dozens of analytes per cell. The main focus is on datasets where over a hundred genes are measured. Some targeted technologies measuring fewer genes such as osmFISH are also included when they are directly related to the higher throughput versions (Codeluppi et al. 2018; Shah et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2018).

The primary identifier of an entry is the DOI (digital object identifier) of a publication. Based on the DOI four entries are added using the CrossRef API. Authors, Journal, Title and Date. Additional fields are based on the contents of the publication and are manually annotated by investigating the text and supplement of the original publication. If the study was deposited to bioRxiv, the bioRxiv DOI field gives the DOI of this, with a “-” indicating a study was not submitted to bioRxiv.

Reported cells total is the number of cells investigated in the study.

Technique is the kind of technology or protocol used to obtain the single cell gene expressions.

Panel size annotates the number of genes investigated for targeted technologies such as microarrays or multiplexed smFISH.

Measurement annotates the type of quantitative measurements, which is most cases is “RNA-seq” but can also be “In Situ” or “Microarray”.

Figure 1) Studies over time.
(upper) The number of single cell transcriptomics studies published per month.
(lower) The number of scRNA-seq studies published per month stratified by method.
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Table 1) Single cell study trends.

(left) Number and size of single cell transcriptomics studies in 2019. (middle) Most common tissue investigated with single cell transcriptomics. (Culture’ refers to in vitro studies of cell lines). (right) Journals which have published most single cell transcriptomics studies. (‘bioRxiv’ means the study is so far only available on bioRxiv).

| Month | Studies | Median cells | Tissue | Studies | Journal | Studies |
|-------|---------|--------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| Jan 2019 | 9 | 3,368 | Brain | 64 | bioRxiv | 63 |
| Feb 2019 | 21 | 11,175 | Culture | 47 | Nature | 50 |
| Mar 2019 | 14 | 11,452 | Blood | 16 | Cell | 49 |
| Apr 2019 | 21 | 17,725 | Heart | 16 | Cell Reports | 35 |
| May 2019 | 39 | 14,585 | Pancreas | 16 | Science | 34 |
| Jun 2019 | 39 | 15,000 | Embryo | 14 | Nature Communications | 29 |
| Jul 2019 | 34 | 13,966 | Lung | 12 | Genome Biology | 19 |

Data location provides the accession ID for the repository where the original data can be found, providing a quick reference for downloading and reanalyzing the data. A number of fields indicate what system the paper is studying.

Organism lists the species included in the study.

Tissue describes which organs single cells were collected from.

Cell Source entry provides brief notes about the cells in the study and allows straightforward searches for specific kinds of cells or sub-tissues.

Contrasts describes different experimental conditions studied, if any

Isolation describes the method used to produce the single cell suspension.

Developmental stage describes the developmental stages or ages of the animals or humans the cells were collected, as applicable.

Additionally, whether some types of analysis was performed in a paper is annotated as a “Yes” or “No” entry. It is indicated whether the paper did:

Cell clustering: Whether a study performed unsupervised clustering of cells.

Pseudotime: Whether a study investigated cellular trajectories with pseudotime methods.

RNA velocity: Whether a study investigated a vector field of cells through RNA velocity (La Manno et al. 2018).

PCA: Whether a study performed principal component analysis.

tSNE: Whether t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding was used for visualization (Van der Maaten and Hinton 2008).

Finally, the number of cell types or clusters identified in the studies is annotated under Number of reported cell types or clusters. This is most commonly based on de novo clustering, but in some cases the number of different pre-sorted cell types.

By virtue of relying on manual curation which provide detailed and accurate annotation this database is incomplete, but is substantial enough to serve as a good starting point for a community effort to fill the gaps. Even with some missing annotations, the data available allows analysis of trends in the field.

The database can be accessed as a graphical interface through Google Sheets at www.nxn.se/single-cell-studies/gui. This view allows searching for keywords and browsing studies. Importantly, it also allows the community to contribute information to the database through comments on the individual entries of the database.

A version of the database in TSV (tab separated values) format can be downloaded from www.nxn.se/single-cell-studies/data.tsv. This enables researchers to do advanced analysis of the data.

Additional studies can be submitted through a form available at www.nxn.se/single-cell-studies/submit. Submissions require a DOI, which is the primary identifier for an entry in the database. If more information is known about the study, they can be reported through the optional fields. This facilitates annotation and addition to the database. Claims in the submissions are spot checked to be referring to the original text in the publication.

A snapshot of the database is saved (in TSV format) daily, and all snapshots are available in a public Google Storage bucket at gs://single-cell-studies, which can be accessed with gsutil. An example snapshot is provided as Supplementary Table 1, which has data on 555 studies published between 2003 and August 17 2019.

Results

The earliest single cell transcriptomics study annotated was published in 2004. Since 2013 almost every month at least one study has been published per month. The rate of studies has increased steadily, and in May, June, and July of 2019 there were over 30 single cell transcriptomics studies published per month (Figure 1). In 2019 the median scRNA-seq study investigates 14,000 cells (Table 1).

Individual studies have increased in scale, and every few months a new study is released which breaks the previous record in number of cells. During the first half of 2019 about 200,000 cells were added to the pool of public data every month (Figure 2).
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Discussion

The curated database described here is hosted at www.nxn.se/single-cell-studies/. It has been designed for easy access to the underlying data for in depth analysis in Python or R. The focus of the database is to expose researchers to published papers, so that for example a researcher can find all single cell studies of pancreas and explore the results and perhaps reanalyze public data. By also tracking other aspects of the studies mentioned in the papers, such as protocol, number of cells, or the number of clusters identified, trends in the field can be revealed. As an example, it was shown here that the vast majority of studies perform clustering, and in general the number of clusters identified is directly proportional to the number of cells analyzed.

A notebook with the analysis and generation of the figures here is available on GitHub as a Jupyter Notebook: https://github.com/vals/single-cell-studies.

The database is also designed to be expanded by the community by suggesting additions to it be leaving comments at www.nxn.se/single-cell-studies/gui, and by adding data through the submission form at www.nxn.se/single-cell-studies/submit. The analysis notebook and data snapshot have also been deposited to CaltechDATA with accession 10.22002/D1.1267.
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