MOOCCube: A Large-scale Data Repository for NLP Applications in MOOCs
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Abstract

The prosperity of Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) provides fodder for many NLP and AI research for education applications, e.g., course concept extraction, prerequisite relation discovery, etc. However, the publicly available datasets of MOOC are limited in size with few types of data, which hinders advanced models and novel attempts in related topics. Therefore, we present MOOCCube, a large-scale data repository of over 700 MOOC courses, 100k concepts, 8 million student behaviors with an external resource. Moreover, we conduct a prerequisite discovery task as an example application to show the potential of MOOCCube in facilitating relevant research. The data repository is now available at http://moocdata.cn/data/MOOCCube.

1 Introduction

Massive open online courses (MOOCs) boom swiftly in recent years and have provided convenient education for over 100 million users worldwide (Shah, 2019). As a multi-media, large-scale online interactive system, MOOC is an excellent platform for advanced application research (Volery and Lord, 2000). Since MOOC is committed to helping students learn implicit knowledge concepts from diverse courses, many efforts from NLP and AI raise topics to build novel applications for assistance. From extracting course concepts and their prerequisite relations (Pan et al., 2017b; Roy et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019) to analyzing student behaviors (Zhang et al., 2019; Feng et al., 2019), MOOC-related topics, tasks, and methods snowball in recent years.

Despite the plentiful research interests, the resource from real MOOCs is still impoverished. Most of the publicly available datasets are designed for a specific task or method, e.g., Zhang et al. (2019) build a MOOC enrollment dataset for course recommendation and (Yu et al., 2019) is only for course concept expansion, which merely contains a subset of MOOC elements. Consequently, they are not feasible enough to support ideas that demand more types of information. Moreover, these datasets only contain a small size of specific entities or relation instances, e.g., prerequisite relation of TutorialBank (Fabbri et al., 2018) only has 794 cases, making it insufficient for advanced models (such as graph neural networks).

Therefore, we present MOOCCube, a data repository that integrates courses, concepts, student behaviors, relationships, and external resources. Compared with existing education-related datasets, MOOCCube maintains the following advantages:

- **Large-scale**: MOOCCube contains over 700 MOOC courses, 38k videos, 200k students, and 100k concepts with 300k relation instances, which provide sufficient resources for models that require large-scale data.
- **High-coverage**: Obtained from real MOOC websites and external resources, the courses, concepts, and student behaviors in MOOCCube have profuse attributes and relationships, offering comprehensive information for various related tasks.

As shown in Figure 1, a data cell of MOOC-Cube is in terms of concepts, courses, and students, which represents a learning fact, i.e., a student $s$ learns concept $k$ in course $c$. Through different queries, MOOCCube can provide various combinations of these data cells to support existing research. In this paper, we first introduce the data collection process and then give an insight into the characteristics of MOOCCube by analyzing its statistics in different aspects. We also conduct a typical NLP application task on MOOCCube and discuss the future directions on the usage of our datasets.
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Our contribution is in two folds: a) an investigation of NLP and AI application research in online education, especially in MOOCs; b) a large-scale data repository of MOOCs, which organizes data in three dimensions: student behaviors, courses, and knowledge concepts.

2 Dataset Collection

2.1 An Overview of MOOCCube

Figure 1 gives an overview of MOOCCube, which models various facts of MOOCs in three main dimensions: courses, concepts and students. Due to the rich relationships among these entities, we organize the data into a form of a knowledge base for convenient storage and query. Through specific queries, MOOCCube can support diverse related applications, e.g., we can build a dataset for dropout prediction tasks by collecting a student’s all behaviors in a certain course, and build a concept extraction dataset with all concepts in all courses. In subsequent sections, we introduce how to obtain and process the abundant data from XuetangX\(^1\), one of the largest MOOC website in China, while considering the issue of privacy protection.

2.2 Course Extraction

Courses are the foundation of MOOCs and consist of a series of pre-recorded videos. Regarding each course as an entity, we extract the synopsis, video list, teacher, and the organization, offering this course as its attributes. As shown in Figure 1. We obtain each video’s subtitle and save the order of videos for further knowledge discovery in MOOCs. Notably, we also record the description of the teacher and the organization from Wikidata\(^2\) as an external resource.

2.3 Concept and Concept Graph

Course concepts refer to the knowledge concepts taught in the course videos. For each video, we extract 10 most representative course concepts from subtitles (Pan et al., 2017b). We also record the concept description from Wikidata and search top 10 related papers for each concept via AMiner\(^3\) (Tang et al., 2008) as external resource. Moreover, as many NLP types of research are interested in discovering semantic relationships among concepts, we further build a novel concept taxonomy with prerequisite chains as a concept graph (Gordon et al., 2016).

Concept Taxonomy. A solid concept taxonomy is favorable for further research in course content (Gordon et al., 2017). However, existing taxonomies like ConceptNet (Liu and Singh, 2004) or Wiki Taxonomy (Ponzetto and Strube, 2007) cannot be directly applied to course concepts because course concepts are mostly academic terms and the non-academic categories greatly interfere with the quality of taxonomy. Thus, we select a cross-lingual term taxonomy from CNCTST\(^4\) as a basis and lead manual annotation to build a serviceable course concept taxonomy for MOOCCube.

Prerequisite Chain. Prerequisite relation is defined as: If concept A can help understanding concept B, then there is a prerequisite relation from A to B (Gordon et al., 2016). Prerequisite relation has received much attention in recent years (Pan et al., 2017a; Fabbri et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019) and has a direct help for teaching applications. To build prerequisite chains, we first reduce the amount of candidate concept pairs by utilizing taxonomy information (Liang et al., 2015) and video dependency (Roy et al., 2019), and then lead
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\(^1\)https://next.xuetangx.com/
\(^2\)https://www.wikidata.org
\(^3\)https://aminer.org
\(^4\)http://www.cnctst.cn/
manual annotation. The annotation results are then employed to train different models to build a much larger distant supervised prerequisite dataset.

2.4 Student Behavior

Student behavior data not only supports relevant research (such as course recommendation (Zhang et al., 2019), video navigation (Zhang et al., 2017), dropout prediction (Feng et al., 2019)), but also indicates the relationships between courses and concepts (Liang et al., 2015). To meet different needs, we preserve the enrollment records and video watch logs of over 190,000 users from 2017 to 2019. Note that video watch logs record student behavior in detail, e.g., click a certain sentence, jump back to a video point, etc. Considering the data quality and privacy, we first remove the users with less than two video watching records and then anonymize the user names into UserIDs. We further shuffled these IDs and relinked them to the “most popular names”.

2.5 Data Processing and Annotation

We lead data processing and annotations, including 1) process the extracted course videos into subtitles; 2) process the related papers into Json files; 3) the annotation of course/video dependency; 4) large-scale annotation of concept taxonomy and prerequisite relations. All the annotations are provided by students in corresponding domains with strict quality controls.

3 Data Analysis

In this section, we analyze various aspects of MOOCCube to provide a deeper understanding of the dataset.

Comparison with similar datasets. Table 1 shows statistics of MOOCCube and other AI-In-Education datasets, including KDDCup2015 (Predicting dropout in MOOCs) (Cup, 2015), hierarchical MOOC recommendation (HMR) (Zhang et al., 2019), prerequisite relation learning(PRL) (Pan et al., 2017a), TutorialBank (Fabbri et al., 2018) and LectureBank (Li et al., 2019). The comparison is conducted in two aspects:

- **Data Size.** MOOCCube contains the largest data size, especially the course concept graph. For example, the number of prerequisite concept pairs exceeds the existing datasets by almost 100 times, and hereafter supports the attempts of advanced models such as neural networks on related tasks.

- **Data Dimension.** Existing datasets are clearly divided into two categories: datasets centered on user behavior, such as HMR, they only contain very little course content information; datasets centered on course content, such as LectureBank, they focus on the concepts in the education material instead. MOOCCube organically combines these types of data in the MOOC environment so that researchers can analyze specific learning behavior.

Concept Graph. Figure 2 shows the concept distribution over different categories. Overall, we divide the concepts into 24 domains. There are significantly more concepts in engineering courses than in natural sciences or social sciences, while the number of sub-fields is the opposite. Since there are more than 1,500 valid concepts in each field, the concept information in MOOCCube is abundant. Moreover, the statistic of prerequisite concept pairs in Table 1 indicates its rarity: only 6% of concept pairs maintain a solid prerequisite relation, which explains its scarcity in existing datasets.

Student Behavior. Figure 3(a) shows the course distribution of enrolled users, which substantially fits a normal distribution. Despite a few courses with rare students, 451 courses are enrolled by over 100 users. Figure 3(b) presents a user view of the data, indicating more than 70% of users possess over ten videos watching records. These statistical results give an insight into abundant interaction between MOOCCube students, courses, and videos.

4 Application

Such a wealth of data enables MOOCCube to support multiple tasks such as course recommendation (Zhang et al., 2019), concept mining (Yu et al., 2019), etc. In this section, we conduct an important and typical task, prerequisite relation discovery as an example application of MOOCCube by utilizing different types of data from it. As introduced in Section 2.3, prerequisite relation indicates “what should a student learn at first”. Since existing efforts have attempted to discover such relationships among concepts from different types of information, we reproduce the following methods on MOOCCube and present some basic new models.

- MOOC-LR and MOOC-XG learn such relations from the course video list and the abstracts of Wikipedia (Pan et al., 2017b), we select Logic Re-
| Dataset        | Course | Video | Concept | Prerequisite | Taxonomy | Student | Enrollment | Video Watching | External Resource |
|----------------|--------|-------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|------------|----------------|------------------|
| KDDCup2015     | 39     | –     | –       | –            | –        | –       | 112,448    | 200,904        | 1,319,032         |
| HMR            | 1,302  | 1,356 | 573     | 3,504        | –        | –       | 82,535     | 458,454        | –                |
| PRL            | 20     | –     | –       | 200          | –        | 200     | 794        | –              | Corpus           |
| TutorialBank   | –      | 200   | 794     | 200          | –        | –       | 1,221      | –              | Corpus, Paper    |
| LectureBank    | 60     | 208   | 921     | 1,221        | –        | –       | 4,874,298  | 4,874,298      | Corpus, Paper, Blog |
| MOOC Cube      | 706    | 38,181| 106,056 | 17,866       | 3,152    | 199,199 | 682,753    | 4,874,298      | Corpus, Paper    |

Course, Video, Concept, Student are the sum of respective entities. Prerequisite is the number of relation instances, Taxonomy is the number of finest taxonomy categories, and Enrollment and Video Watching are the records of behavior.

Table 1: Statistics of existing NLP-in-Education datasets.

Figure 2: Concept distribution over taxonomy

Figure 3: (a) shows the number of courses for different enrolled users while (b) is the user with different video watching records.

5 Related Work

In this section, we introduce the research of NLP in education, especially in MOOCs, as well as several publicly available related datasets.

Existing research in MOOCs uses courses and students as the main resource, which can be divided into two categories according to the research object: one focuses on the content of the courses, such as the course concept extraction (Pan et al., 2017b), prerequisite relation discovery (Pan et al., 2017a), and course concept expansion (Yu et al., 2017a).

Figure 4: Concept distribution over taxonomy

Table 2: Results of prerequisite discovery.

| Model          | P     | R     | F1-Score |
|----------------|-------|-------|----------|
| MOOC-LR        | 0.667 | 0.479 | 0.565    |
| MOOC-XG        | 0.607 | 0.507 | 0.552    |
| PREREQ         | 0.606 | 0.755 | 0.672    |
| PREREQ-S       | 0.651 | 0.730 | 0.688    |
| PCNN           | 0.629 | 0.636 | 0.630    |
| PRNN           | 0.681 | 0.668 | 0.659    |

Result Analysis. Overall, PREREQs perform best in F1-score, while student behavior is beneficial to the precision of this model (PREREQ-S improves the precision to 0.651). We argue that the diverse information provided by MOOC Cube helps to discover such relationships. Meanwhile, two simple DNN models perform competitive results in this task, which indicates that the existing methods are indeed limited by the amount of data (Most advanced models cannot be trained on small datasets).
the other focuses on the learning behavior of students, such as the prediction of dropouts (Feng et al., 2019), course recommendations (Zhang et al., 2019; Cao et al., 2019), etc. Due to the different tasks, researchers have to repeat the work to build their datasets, which arouses the original motivation of MOOCCube.

In addition, some researchers also try to obtain education information from other resources, e.g., ACL Anthology (Radev et al., 2013), TutorialBank (Fabbri et al., 2018), and LectureBank (Li et al., 2019). They collected concepts and relationships from papers and lectures and also built diverse datasets. Though they are also limited in data scale, these beneficial attempts guide the construction of MOOCCube.

6 Conclusion and Future Work

We present MOOCCube, a multi-dimensional data repository containing courses, concepts, and student activities from real MOOC websites. Obtaining large-scale data in all dimensions, MOOCCube can support new models and diverse NLP applications in MOOCs. We also conduct prerequisite relation extraction as an example application, and experimental results show the potential of such a repository. Promising future directions include: 1) utilize more types of data from MOOCCube to facilitate existing topics; 2) employ advanced models in existing tasks; 3) more innovative NLP application tasks in online education domain.
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A Data Annotation and Quality Control

As introduced in Section A, we conduct manual annotations with a quality control mechanism. Three relations need tagging: Course Dependency Chain, Concept Taxonomy, and Concept Prerequisite Chain.

- **Course Dependency Chain** is the recommended course order of learning, which is often presented by teaching assistance or mentor in school. Many efforts for extracting prerequisite relation utilize this information (Liang et al., 2015; Roy et al., 2019). For each domain of courses, we invite three experts who have corresponding teaching experience to annotate the dependency relation among them.

- **Concept Taxonomy** annotation is in two processes: 1) For each course concept, we use a pre-trained word embedding to calculate the most likely category of it. Then three annotators in the corresponding field are asked to label whether the concept belongs to this category. 2) For the concept-category pairs that are labeled as “not belong to”, we choose the brother category of the prior one as a new candidate and put the refreshed pair into the annotation pool again. Such process effectively reduces the number of invalid annotations.

- **Concept Prerequisite Chain**. To detect the prerequisite relation between concepts, we convene students in the corresponding domain as annotators. However, labeling all possible pairs is infeasible, for 100K concepts may generate over 500 billion candidate pairs. Thus we lead a distantly supervised annotation in three stages. First, we only select the concepts which occur in the same course to sample candidate concept pair. As in prior work, the annotators label if concept A is helpful to understand B. Second, we train a model as (Pan et al., 2017a) and classify other unlabeled pairs. Finally, the results with a low confidence score are labeled again to train another classifier and give all pairs a new label. This process repeats for several rounds\(^7\), and the voting result of each pair is finally adopted. In total, 3,500 pairs are in manual labeling, and the experiments in Application use them as the test set.

**Quality Control.** Both of concept taxonomy and prerequisite relations are subjective (Liang et al., 2015). To prevent low-quality annotation results, we mix some golden standards (which are from existing well-organized datasets (Fabbri et al., 2018)) into the annotation pool. Once the labeling result is different from the golden standard, we lead another expert estimation to specifically confirm the truth of these conflicts and identify the annotators that can’t meet the requirements.

B MOOC Q&A Dataset

Except for the data types that are introduced in the paper, we also collect and build a Q&A dataset of MOOCs, which requires an ability of language\(^7\)
| Course Name                                                                 | Number of concepts included |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Data and Structure 1                                                       | 140                         |
| Data and Structure 2                                                       | 117                         |
| Network Technology and Applications                                        | 125                         |
| The Basics of Programming in C++ Language                                  | 84                          |
| The Advanced Design of C++ Program                                         | 77                          |
| Introduction to Computer Science and Python Programming                    | 116                         |
| Operating System                                                           | 143                         |
| Java Programming Design                                                    | 62                          |
| Artificial Intelligence                                                    | 66                          |
| Artificial Intelligence for Beginner                                      | 54                          |
| 5G and Artificial Intelligence                                             | 71                          |
| Big Data and Machine Learning                                              | 236                         |

Table 3: Course name list.

| MOOCQA Dataset | Type          | One-hop | Multi-hop | Total  | All Types |
|----------------|---------------|---------|-----------|--------|-----------|
|                | Query (Type A)| 5,504   | 10,615    | 16,119 | 30,625    |
|                | Judge (Type B)| 16,324  | 14,301    | 30,625 | 53,311    |
|                | Count (Type C)| 3,384   | 3,183     | 6,567  |           |

Table 4: Statistics of questions.

| Entity/Relationship | Table Name | Number of Rows |
|---------------------|------------|----------------|
| Entity              | concept    | 700            |
|                     | course     | 12             |
|                     | paper      | 5,927          |
|                     | school     | 208            |
|                     | teacher    | 1,733          |
|                     | user       | 4,723          |
|                     | video      | 1,242          |
| Relationship        | concept_field | 44            |
|                     | concept_paper | 5,927        |
|                     | course_concept | 10,346       |
|                     | course_video  | 1,591          |
|                     | school_course  | 705            |
|                     | school_teacher | 2,130         |
|                     | teacher_course | 2,349         |
|                     | user_course   | 24,933         |
|                     | video_concept  | 4,040          |

Table 5: Statistics of entities and relationships in MOOC Q&A.

understanding and multi-hop reasoning, to provide a comprehensive resource for more possible applications of MOOCs.

Here are the methods we followed to collect the QA dataset. We divide the dataset into one-hop questions and multi-hop questions. An one-hop question only involves a single head entity and a single predicate in the knowledge, while a multi-hop question may contain several entities and to answer the question needs to reason over several facts in the knowledge graph.

We design 22 types of 1-hop question schema and 20 types of multi-hop question schema based on the meaningful real queries we collected from MOOC platform. Each schema is paraphrased into 4 different templates and questions are generated by random sampling from the text template pool. Triples related with twelve typical courses are used in case that the model won’t run out of memory. The twelve courses are listed as Table 3.

The twelve courses are all from the computer science field. They cover different levels of courses in computer science and the internal prerequisite-successive relationships between the twelve courses typically represent the real relations between courses in MOOC platform. The model trained on our dataset is expected to provide MOOC users with information and further related knowledge they need. The type and number of entities and relationships are shown in Table 5.

Besides, to make our dataset closer to the actual scenario, three types of questions are contained in MOOCQA Dataset, which are Query, Judge and Count. When answering Query questions, model is expected to offer the correct entities in knowledge graph. As for Count questions, the count of the related entities is required. For Judge questions, the model should make a clear judgement of the
factoid description in the question.

In MOOCQA Dataset, each line is a question sample. In addition to the question and its corresponding answer, we provide more information including entity ids, question type, etc. Question, supporting fact and answer are separated by “\t”. If the answer consist of several entities, they will be separated by ‘|’.