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Abstract

The eradication of mosquito's breeding place (PSN) is the most effective efforts to prevent the increasing prevalence of DHF in Demak which is 43% of its area is endemic. This study aims to determine the factors related to PSN behavior by family members. This is an observational analytic study with cross sectional approach using 210 samples divided into 3 groups of respondents (father, mother, child) with purposive sampling technique. Data were obtained through questionnaire based on interview results and analyzed by chi square. The results of the research on the father respondents showed that there was a relationship between the support of family members (p=0.001), support of community leaders (p=0.007), and support of health attendant (p=0.005) with PSN behavior. In mother respondents was found that the support of family members (p=0.006) and support of health officer (p=0.009) correlated with PSN behavior. In the child respondents was found that the support of family members (p=0.000), support of community leaders (p=0.007), and support of health officer (p=0.009) correlated with PSN behavior. It was concluded that the support of family members and support of health attendant was related to the behavior of PSN in all groups of respondents, and support of community leaders related to PSN behavior to the respondents of father and child.

Introduction

Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever (DHF) is an infectious disease oftenly causing a plaque and even deceased. It caused by dengue virus which is Arthropod-Borne Virus, genus Flavivirus, family Flaviviridae. DHF is transferred through mosquito bite from genus Aedes, particularly Aedes aegypti or Aedes albopictus. DHF can emerge for whole year and able to infect all group age. This disease is related with environment and community behavior (Kemenkes RI, 2015).

Global DHF prevalence is increasing every year. The number of cases in US, South East Asia and West Pasific had surpassed 1.2 millions cases in 2008 and increase up to more than 2.3 millions cases in 2010. In 2013, there are 2.35 millions cases had been reported from US, where 37,687 cases are severe. In Indonesia, 100,347 DHF cases (39.8/100,000 population) with mortality number 907 persons (CFR 0.9%) is reported in 2014 (Kemenkes RI, 2015).
that PSN behavior has significant relation with DHF incident (Respati, 2007). On the other side, family is the closest and main place where behavior is formed, maintained and developed. The involvement of all family members in the effort to prevent the disease has larger effect than one active family member, an finally the community health can be increased. The most ideal family form is core family (traditional nuclear) consists of the father, mother and children living in one house.

Based on above, the researcher is interested to analyze further regarding the factors related with PSN behavior in preventing DHF by family members (father, mother, and children) on RW06 Subdistrict Mangunjiwan, Demak where as far as researcher knowledge, there has not been any similar research which analyzed similar factors on core family members consist of Father, Mother and Children on one research.

**Method**

Research used is observational analysis with cross sectional design. Analyzed variables consist of education, work status, DHF infected experience, knowledge, attitude, information access, family member support, public figure support and health attendant support. This research is conducted in 2017 at RW 06, Subdistrict Mangunjiwan, Demak Region Demak. The population is all family registered as RW 06 community which is 216 family. Sample is family with core members consist of father, mother, and children on early and end teenage group (12-25 years old) as research criteria. Sample taken is 210 respondents from 70 families taken based on purposive sampling technique with detail as follow: 70 fathers, 70 mothers and 70 children respondents. Data collection technique is conducted by interview and observation with questionaire guided that has been validity and reliability tested. Data analysis conducted are univariate and bivariate by chi square.

**Result And Discussion**

On father respondents, of total 70 subjects mostly are 36-45 years old (64.3%), in term of education mostly does not graduate Junior High School (74.3%), work status mostly working (94.3%), mostly does not has DHF infected experience (92.9%). Father respondent
| Respondent Characteristic | Father Group | Mother Group | Children Group |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
|                          | f (n=70) | %   | f (n=70) | %   | f (n=70) | %   |
| Age (years)              |          |      |          |      |          |     |
| 46-55                    | 21       | 30  | 5        | 7.1  | -        | -   |
| 36-45                    | 45       | 64.3| 43       | 61.4 | -        | -   |
| 26-35                    | 4        | 5.7 | 22       | 31.4 | -        | -   |
| 17-25                    | -        | -   | -        | -    | 34       | 48.6|
| 12-16                    | -        | -   | -        | -    | 36       | 51.4|
| Sex                      |          |      |          |      |          |     |
| Male                     | 70       | 100 | -        | -    | 23       | 32.9|
| Female                   | -        | -   | 70       | 100  | 47       | 67.1|
| Education                |          |      |          |      |          |     |
| Junior High Graduate     | 18       | 25.7| 46       | 65.7 | -        | -   |
| Junior High Not Graduate | 52       | 74.3| 24       | 34.3 | -        | -   |
| Educated                 | 4        | 5.7 | -        | -    | 62       | 88.6|
| Uneducated               | -        | -   | -        | -    | 8        | 11.4|
| Work Status              |          |      |          |      |          |     |
| Work                     | 66       | 94.3| 5        | 7.1  | -        | -   |
| Does not work            | 4        | 5.7 | 65       | 92.9 | -        | -   |
| DHF Experience           |          |      |          |      |          |     |
| Has                      | 5        | 7.1 | 5        | 7.1  | 5        | 7.1 |
| Does not has             | 65       | 92.9| 65       | 92.9 | 65       | 92.9|
| Knowledge                |          |      |          |      |          |     |
| Good                     | 37       | 52.9| 35       | 50   | 36       | 51.4|
| Fair                     | 29       | 41.4| 33       | 47.1 | 34       | 48.6|
| Poor                     | 4        | 5.7 | 2        | 2.9  | 0        | 0   |
| Attitude                 |          |      |          |      |          |     |
| Good                     | 39       | 55.7| 37       | 52.9 | 34       | 48.6|
| Poor                     | 31       | 44.3| 33       | 47.1 | 36       | 51.4|
| Information Access       |          |      |          |      |          |     |
| Easy                     | 50       | 71.4| 42       | 60   | 41       | 58.6|
| Difficult                | 20       | 28.6| 28       | 40   | 29       | 41.4|
| Family Member Support    |          |      |          |      |          |     |
| More Support             | 40       | 57.1| 49       | 70   | 53       | 75.7|
| Less Support             | 30       | 42.9| 21       | 30   | 17       | 24.3|
| Public Figure Support    |          |      |          |      |          |     |
| More Support             | 35       | 50  | 36       | 51.4 | 40       | 57.1|
| Less Support             | 35       | 50  | 34       | 48.6 | 30       | 42.9|
| Health Attendant Support |          |      |          |      |          |     |
| More Support             | 38       | 54.3| 41       | 58.6 | 37       | 52.9|
| Less Support             | 32       | 45.7| 29       | 41.4 | 33       | 47.1|
| PSN Behavior             |          |      |          |      |          |     |
| Better                   | 44       | 62.9| 45       | 64.3 | 44       | 62.9|
| Worse                    | 26       | 37.1| 25       | 35.7 | 26       | 37.1|
having good knowledge is 52.9%, better attitude 55.7%, easy information access 71.4%, get family support 57.1%, get public figure support 50%, get health attendant support 54.3% and has better PSN behavior 62.9%. Based on bivariate analysis can be seen that factors related with PSN behavior on father respondent are family member support (p=0.001), public figure support (p=0.007), and health attendant support (p=0.005).

On mother respondents, of total 70 subjects mostly are 36-45 years old (61.4%), in term of education mostly Junior High School graduate (65.7%), work status mostly does not work (92.9%), mostly does not has DHF infected experience (92.9%). Mother respondent having good knowledge is 50%, better attitude 52.9%, easy information access 60%, get family support 70%, get public figure support 51.4%, get health attendant support 58.6% and has better PSN behavior 64.3%. Based on bivariate analysis can be seen that factors related with PSN behavior on mother respondent are family member support (p=0.006) and health attendant support (p=0.009).

On children respondents, of total 70 subjects mostly are 12-16 years old (51.4%), mostly is female (67.1%), in term of education mostly educated (88.6%), mostly does not has DHF infected experience (92.9%).
respondent having good knowledge is 51.4%, better attitude 48.6%, easy information access 58.6%, get family support 75.7%, get public figure support 57.1%, get health attendant support 52.9% and has better PSN behavior 62.9%. Based on bivariate analysis can be seen that factors related with PSN behavior on Children respondent are family member support (p=0.000), public figure support (p=0.007) and health attendant support (p=0.009).

Statistic test result shown there is no relation between education with PSN behavior whether on father, mother or children respondent. This is aligned with Monintja (2015) stating there is not significant relation between education and PSN activity on Subdistrict Malalayang I City of Manado (p=0.114), yet it is not aligned with Liza (2015) stating that education is related with participation of DHF prevention on District Kuta Alam Banda Aceh (p=0.05). The unaligned result of this research result can be explained through Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) which is an expansion of Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA). This theory explains that education of father, mother and children respondent can not affect the behavior directly yet it is affected by the intention first, while the intention is affected by attitude, subjective norm and perception control (Notoatmodjo, 2014).

Based on statistic test can be seen that

Table 3. Relation of Various Factors with PSN Behavior on Mother Respondents

| Factors (Variables) | PSN Behavior | P Value | OR | 95%CI |
|---------------------|--------------|---------|----|------|
|                     | Good | Poor | Total |       | Lower | Upper |
| Education           |      |      |       |       |       |       |
| Junior High School Graduate | 13 (18.6) | 33 (47.1) | 46 (65.7) | 0.124 | 2.538 | 0.910 | 7.080 |
| Junior High School Does not Graduate | 12 (17.1) | 12 (17.1) | 24 (34.3) |       |       |       |       |
| Work                |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |
| Work                | 2 (2.9) | 3 (4.3) | 5 (7.1) | 1.000 | 0.821 | 0.128 | 5.277 |
| Does not work       | 23 (32.9) | 42 (60) | 65 (92.9) |       |       |       |       |
| DHF Infected Experience |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |
| Has                 | 2 (2.9) | 3 (4.3) | 5 (7.1) | 1.000 | 0.821 | 0.128 | 5.277 |
| Does Not Has        | 23 (32.9) | 42 (60) | 65 (92.9) |       |       |       |       |
| Knowledge           |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |
| Good                | 10 (14.3) | 25 (35.7) | 35 (50) | Reference |       |       |       |
| Fair                | 14 (20) | 19 (27.1) | 33 (47.1) | 0.347 | 1.842 | 0.673 | 5.043 |
| Poor                | 1 (1.4) | 1 (1.4) | 2 (2.9) | 0.512 | 2.500 | 0.142 | 43.968 |
| Attitude            |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |
| Good                | 14 (20) | 23 (32.9) | 37 (52.9) | 0.886 | 0.821 | 0.308 | 2.194 |
| Poor                | 11 (15.7) | 22 (31.4) | 33 (47.1) |       |       |       |       |
| Information Access  |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |
| Easy                | 14 (20) | 28 (40) | 42 (60) | 0.799 | 1.294 | 0.479 | 3.495 |
| Difficult           | 11 (15.7) | 17 (24.3) | 28 (40) |       |       |       |       |
| Family Members Support |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |
| More Support        | 12 (17.1) | 37 (52.9) | 49 (70) | 0.006 | 5.010 | 1.676 | 14.981 |
| Less Support        | 13 (18.6) | 8 (11.4) | 21 (30) |       |       |       |       |
| Public Figure Support |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |
| More Support        | 9 (12.9) | 27 (38.6) | 36 (51.4) | 0.094 | 2.667 | 0.970 | 7.331 |
| Less Support        | 16 (22.9) | 18 (25.7) | 34 (48.6) |       |       |       |       |
| Health Attendant Support |      |      |       |       |       |       |       |
| More Support        | 9 (12.9) | 32 (45.7) | 41 (58.6) | 0.009 | 4.376 | 1.546 | 12.386 |
| Less Support        | 16 (22.9) | 13 (18.6) | 29 (41.4) |       |       |       |       |
there is no relation between work status with PSN behavior whether on father or mother respondent. This is aligned with Maulida (2016) stating that there is no relation between job with DHF prevention behavior on Pakijangan Brebes (p=0.189) so does with Monintja (2015) stating there is not significant relation between job with PSN activity on community of Subdistrict Malalayang I City of Menado (p=0.086), yet this is not aligned with Wong (2015) stating that there is relation between job with DHF prevention behavior on Malaysia community (p=0.029) and Hasim (2013) stating there is significant relation between job with DHF PSN activity on house wife mother on Subdistrict Surau Gadang City of Padang (p=0.03). The unalignment can be explained by Transtheoritical Model Behavior Theory stating that work status can not affect the behavior directly yet through several stages. It can be explained that the respondent in the beginning does not has intention to do PSN activity (precontemplation stage), then start to think to do it (contemplation stage), then occurs a strong will and intention to do PSN activity (preparation stage), by then the behavior is actualized though it has not consistant (action stage), therefore the PSN activity become a habit routinely and sustainably conducted maintenance stage (Priyoto, 2014)

Statistic test on all respondent’s group (father, mother and children) show that there is no relation between DHF infected experience with PSN behavior. This is aligned with Mohamad (2014) stating that DHF infected experience does not related with PSN behavior on DHF risk region in Malaysia. The unalignment of the result can be explained

**Table 4. Relation of Various Factors with PSN Behavior on Children Respondents**

| Factors (Variables) | PSN Behavior | P Value | OR | 95% CI |
|---------------------|-------------|--------|----|--------|
|                      | Good (n (%)) | Poor (n (%)) | Total (n (%)) |        |
|                      | n (%)       | n (%)  | N (%)        | Lower  | Upper |
| Education            |             |        |              |        |
| Educated             | 23 (32.9)   | 39 (55.7) | 62 (88.6)    | 1.000  | 1.017 | 0.222 | 4.658 |
| Uneducated           | 3 (4.3)     | 5 (7.1)   | 8 (11.4)     |        |       |       |       |
| DHF Infected Experience |         |        |              |        |
| Has                  | 3 (4.3)     | 2 (2.9)   | 5 (7.1)      | 0.353  | 0.365 | 0.057 | 2.345 |
| Does Not Has         | 23 (32.9)   | 42 (60)   | 65 (92.9)    |        |       |       |       |
| Knowledge            |             |        |              |        |
| Good                 | 13 (18.6)   | 23 (32.9) | 36 (51.4)    | 1.000  | 1.095 | 0.415 | 2.889 |
| Fair                 | 13 (18.6)   | 21 (30)   | 34 (48.6)    |        |       |       |       |
| Attitude             |             |        |              |        |
| Good                 | 10 (14.3)   | 24 (34.3) | 34 (48.6)    | 0.292  | 1.920 | 0.715 | 5.157 |
| Poor                 | 16 (22.9)   | 20 (28.6) | 36 (51.4)    |        |       |       |       |
| Information Access   |             |        |              |        |
| Easy                 | 13 (18.6)   | 28 (40)   | 41 (58.6)    | 0.385  | 1.750 | 0.654 | 4.682 |
| Difficult            | 13 (18.6)   | 16 (22.9) | 29 (41.4)    |        |       |       |       |
| Family Members Support |         |        |              |        |
| More Support         | 13 (18.6)   | 40 (57.1) | 53 (75.7)    | 0.000  | 10.000 | 2.771 | 36.093 |
| Less Support         | 13 (18.6)   | 4 (5.7)   | 17 (24.3)    |        |       |       |       |
| Public Figure Support |         |        |              |        |
| More Support         | 9 (12.9)    | 31 (44.3) | 40 (57.1)    | 0.007  | 4.504 | 1.599 | 12.686 |
| Less Support         | 17 (24.3)   | 13 (18.6) | 30 (42.9)    |        |       |       |       |
| Health Attendant Support |      |        |              |        |
| More Support         | 8 (11.4)    | 29 (41.4) | 37 (52.9)    | 0.009  | 4.350 | 1.537 | 12.310 |
| Less Support         | 18 (25.7)   | 15 (21.4) | 33 (47.1)    |        |       |       |       |
through Protection Motivation Theory (PMT) stating that DHF infected experience owned by father, mother, and children respondent can not affect PSN behavior directly, but by the intention to behave first (Priyoto, 2014).

The statistic test on father, mother, and children respondent indicates there is not relation between knowledge and PSN behavior. This is aligned with Thakolwiboon (2013) stating that the knowledge is not related with DHF prevention behavior of community in Thaluang Thailand ($p=0.862$) also as found out in a study by Mohamad (2014) stating that knowledge is not related with PSN behavior on DHF risk region in Malaysia, yet this is not aligned with result by Chandren (2015) stating there is relation between knowledge with DHF prevention activity of Orang Asli in Semenanjung Malaysia ($p=0.015$) and the result of research by Castro (2013) stating that there is relation between knowledge with DHF prevention activity in La Lisa Havana Kuba. The unalignment can be explained through Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) saying that knowledge can not affect the behavior directly yet it is affected by intention first, while the intention it self is affected by subjective attitude and norm (Notoatmodjo, 2014).

Based on statistic test result on all respondent group (father, mother, and children) can be seen that there is no relation between attitude with PSN behavior. This is aligned with Bahtiar (2012) saing that there is no relation between attitude and DHF control practice on Puskesmas Tawalu work region City of Tasikmalaya ($p=0.177$) and the result from Thakolwiboon (2013) stating that attitude is not related with DHF prevention behavior of community in District Thaluang Thailand ($p=0.457$), yet this is not aligned with Listyorini (2016) stating that attitude is related with PSN behavior of community on Subdistrict Karangjati Blora Regency ($p=0.001$) and study by Liza (2015) stating that attitude is related with DHF prevention participating on District Kuta Alam Banda Aceh. The unalignment can be explained through Transtheoretical Model Behavior Theory saying that attitude can not directly affect behavior but through severage stages first which are precontemplation, contemplation, preparation, action and maintenance (Priyoto, 2014).

Statistic test indicate that information access is not related with PSN behavior whether on father, mother, or children respondent. This is not aligned with Mohamad (2014) stating that information access is related with PSN behavior on DHF risk region in Malaysia and Nuryanti (2013) stating that information access is related with DHF PSN behavior of community on Subdistrict Karangjati Blora Regency ($p=0.001$). The unalignment can be explained by Protection Motivation Theory (PMT) stating that access to information source is not directly yet through cognitive media process first. Based on the theory can be explained that PSN behavior can be formed with the occurrence of intention to behave emerge after the fulfillment of components as follow: self-efficacy, effectiveness respond, vulnerability and severity. Those attitude components are affected by environment and intrapersonal factors that can be in form of information access to information particulary regarding DHF and PSN activity (Priyoto, 2014).

Statistic test result indicates the relation between family member support with PSN behavior of father, mother, and children respondent. This is aligned with Lawrence Green theory explaining family member support include as reinforcing factor able to support and empower the health behavior like PSN activity, therefore the respondent require example and support from other family member to have good PSN behavior (Notoatmodjo, 2014).

Based on statistic test can be seen there is relation between public figure with PSN behavior of father and children respondent. This is aligned with Zahir (2016) stating that there is relation between public figure support with DHF control practice on community in Swat Pakistan ($p=0.04$). The relation between public figure support with PSN behavior on Father respondent is aligned with Precede Model Behavior Theory stated by Lawrence Green. This theory explains that reinforcing factors can be manifested in attitude, behavior and public figure support as reference to the forming of respondent PSN behavior (Notoatmodjo, 2014).

It is different with statistic test result of
mother respondent stating that public figure support is not related with PSN behavior. This result is aligned with Bahtiar (2012) stating that there is no relation between public figure support with DHF control practice on Puskesmas Kawalu work region City of Tasikmalaya (p=0.177). This can be explained by Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) which is expansion of Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA). This theory explains that public figure motivation or support can not affect the behavior directly but it is affected by the intention first, while the intention is affected by attitude, subjective norm and perception control. Through this theory can be concluded that PSN behavior on mother respondent will be determined by consideration of benefit-loss of the activity fist, then a belief of taken behavior will occur, next the self control occur along with perception of consequences that will be occured if the PSN activity is not done (Priyoto, 2014).

Statistic test on all respondent's group (father, mother, and children) indicates there is relation between health attendant support with PSN behavior. This is aligned with Nuryanti (2013) stating that health attendant support is related with DHF PSN behavior of community on Village Karangjati Blora Regency (p=0.001). The relation between health attendant support with PSN behavior on all respondent group is aligned with Precede Model Behavior Theory stated by Lawrence Green. This theory explains that support or reinforcing factor can be manifested in attitude, behavior and health attendant support as reference to the occurance of respondent PSN behavior. Sometimes knowledge and ability is not sufficient to form health behavior like PSN activity, therefore respondent need example and support from health attendant to be able to have good PSN behavior (Notoatmodjo, 2014).

**Conclusion**

It can be concluded that family members and health attendant support is related with PSN behavior on all respondent group (father, mother, and children), public figure support is related with PSN behavior on father and children respondent. There is not relation between education, work status, DHF infected experience, knowledge, attitude and information access with PSN behavior on all respondent group (father, mother, and children). Also there is not relation between public figure support with PSN behavior on Mother respondent. To improve family members role in PSN activity as an effort to prevent DHF infection, it is advised to increase the support between family member, motivation from local public figure and health attendant role, like information sharing (coaching, media, etc) and community empowerment program.
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