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1. Introduction

Mesenchymal stem cell (MSC)-based therapies are currently being evaluated in more than 500 clinical trials (https://clinicaltrials.gov) for the treatment of various skeletal and non-skeletal related disorders. Although some patients have benefited significantly by these treatments, many MSC-based clinical trials have yielded suboptimal outcomes or failed to meet their primary endpoints of efficacy (Phinney et al., 2013; Lin and Hogan, 2011). A limiting factor in the development of efficacious MSC-based therapies is the fact that MSCs are ill-defined based on physical, phenotypic and functional properties. For example, routinely used methods to isolate MSCs from bone marrow yield populations that exhibit significant heterogeneity in terms of morphologic features, growth rate, life span, differentiation potential, and potency in functional-based assays (Phinney, 2007; Wagner and Ho, 2007; Zhou et al., 2008; Russell et al., 2010; Whitfield et al., 2013). Additionally, few if any established surface markers used to characterize MSCs relate phenotype to function thereby making it difficult to determine whether cell preparations used in translational/clinical studies are of similar composition and biological activity. Finally, the prevailing viewpoint that MSCs modulate the immune system and promote tissue regeneration independent of their stem/progenitor properties (Caplan and Correa, 2011; Bernardo and Fibbe, 2013) has impeded efforts to describe their functional complexity using established principles of stem cell biology.

Here we explored the mechanisms that confer different functional attributes onto MSCs to gain insight at the cellular and molecular level as to how they achieve such broad-based therapeutic effects. Our results establish the transcription factor Twist1 as an intrinsic determinant of intra- and inter-population heterogeneity by regulating multiple pathways affecting cell growth, cell cycle progression, DNA replication, cell surface receptor signaling and survival. Furthermore, we show that Twist1 is a direct target of fibroblast growth factor (FgF2) and interferon gamma (IFN-gamma) action in MSCs, and that alterations in Twist1 levels mediated by exposure to Fgf2, IFN-gamma or via direct genetic manipulation alters the growth, survival and multi-potency as well as angiogenic, anti-inflammatory and immuno-modulatory activities of cells in predictable ways. These findings demonstrate a direct mechanistic link between stem/progenitor and effector functions in MSCs, and reveal that Twist1-mediated changes in cell function/efficacy can be modeled via a hierarchical process. Using this information we developed a Clinical Indications Prediction (CLIP) scale that predicts the therapeutic efficacy of different human MSC donor populations for a given disease indication based on TWIST1 expression levels, which specifies the positional identity of cells within the established hierarchy.

Corresponding author at: Department of Molecular Therapeutics, A231, The Scripps Research Institute — Scripps Florida, Jupiter, FL 33458, USA.
E-mail address: dphinney@scripps.org (D.G. Phinney).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2015.12.020
2352-3964/© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cell Culture

Human MSCs were obtained from bone marrow as previously described (Russell et al., 2010) and seeded at 500–1000 cells/cm² in complete culture media (CM) consisting of α-MEM containing 2 mM l-glutamine (GIBCO), 17% FBS (HyClone), 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. Colony forming unit-fibroblast (CFU-F) content and multi-lineage differentiation was determined as previously described (Russell et al., 2010). Cell growth was analyzed using the MIT Cell Proliferation Assay Kit (Cayman Chemical Company) or by flow cytometric analysis of carboxyfluorescein succinimidyld ester (CSFE)-labeled cells. Cells were initially seeded at 1000 cells/cm² for MITT and CSF assays and analyses were conducted when cells reached ~50% confluence to ensure log phase growth. MSCs were transfected with small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) using the inverse transfection protocol (Invitrogen) and collected at 3 days post-transfection for viability measures, cell cycle analysis and to harvest RNA and protein, at 7 days post-transfection for cell proliferation assays, and at 14 days post-transfection for CFU-F assays. Stained cells were analyzed using an LSR II Flow Cytometer System (Beckton Dickinson) and FlowJo software (Tree Star, Inc.).

2.2. Microarray Analysis

An equal amount of RNA was pooled from triplicate experiments and cDNA prepared following the manufacturer’s protocols from the WT expression kit (4411973, Affymetrix). Biotin-labeled cDNA was fragmented and hybridized to the Human Gene ST 1.0 microarray (901086, Affymetrix) and data analysis was performed using Expression Console analysis software (Affymetrix). These data have been deposited in NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and are accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE76158 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE76158). Functional profiling of microarray data was performed using g:Profiler based on hierarchical sorting using the best parent fit (Reimand et al., 2011). Unbiased gene annotation enrichment analysis for the top five functional clusters of Twist1 targets was performed by DAVID analysis using automated functional annotation clustering based on GO Terms of biological processes (GO_BP_FAT). Gene lists were annotated using the gene accession version tool to ensure accuracy. The individual genes of these five categories were then curated for enhanced accuracy by systematic search of the GeneCards Compendium (http://www.genecards.org), which validated almost all of the initial genes.

2.3. Mixed Lymphocyte Reactions

Freshly isolated human peripheral blood mono-nuclear cells (PBMCs) obtained from OneBlood Florida (http://www.oneblood.org) were suspended in RPMI-10 media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Atlanta Biologics), 1× MEM Vitamin solution, 1× MEM with 2 mM l-glutamine (GIBCO), 17% FBS (HyClone), 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 U/ml streptomycin, 1 mM Sodium pyruvate (all from GIBCO), and 10 ng/ml IL-2 (Biologend) and co-cultured with pre-plated MSCs at a MSC:PBMNC ratio of 1:20. Dynabeads® Human T-Activator CD3/CD28 (Gibco) were added to the co-culture at a bead/PBMNC ratio of 2:1. Cultures were then incubated at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ for 5 days after which the non-adherent PBMCs were collected and stained using the FITC Mouse Anti-Human Ki-67 Set (556026, BD Pharmingen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Alternatively, Th1/Th2/Th17 cytokines were measured in the conditioned media collected 5 days post-co-culture using the Human Th1/Th2/Th17 CBA Kit (560485, BD Biosciences) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 50 µl of cytokine standards and samples were mixed with 50 µl of mixed capture beads and phycoerythrin (PE) detection reagent and incubated for 3 h. Beads were washed, suspended in 300 µl wash buffer and analyzed by flow cytometry. Median intensity of PE fluorescence was used to derive concentration vs. fluorescence standard curves to determine the concentration of each cytokine.

2.4. Chip-PCR

MSCs were cultured in media containing 1% formaldehyde to cross-link protein and DNA and the reaction quenched by adding 1.375 M glycine to the culture media. The cell monolayer was harvested by scraping in 1× cold PBS, centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C, incubated in cell lysis buffer and then the DNA sheared by sonication. Tw1 bound chromatin was precipitated using an anti-Twist1 antibody (sc-15393, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and the Dynabeads® Protein G Immunoprecipitation kit (Life Technologies). Eluted DNA was purified using spin columns (Qiagen) and then used as input in real-time PCR reactions using the primers listed in Table S5.

2.5. TWIST1 Cloning

Genomic DNA was purified from human MSCs using the Quick-gDNA MiniPrep Kit (Zymo Research) according to manufacturer’s directions. TWIST1 was amplified by polymerase chain reaction using the KOD HotStart DNA Polymerase (EMD Millipore) with the forward and reverse primers 5'-GGCTGCAGCGGAGGTATAAG-3' and 5'-GAGGAATTCG AGGTGGACTG-3', respectively. Following amplification, the product was blunt ligated into the EcoRV site of pBlueScript II SK + using T4 DNA Ligase (New England Biolabs). Following sequence and orientation verification, pBlueScript-TWIST1 was digested with XhoI and EcoRI and the product ligated into the XhoI and EcoRI site of pMSCV-PIG (Addgene) to generate a TWIST1 retroviral construct (pMSCV-PIG-Twist1). The latter was co-transfected into HEK-293 cells with pCL-Ampho using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies) according to manufacturer’s directions. At 72 h post-transfection supernatant was collected, passed through a 45 µm syringe filter, and 4 ml of filtered supernatant was combined with 1 ml of fresh MSC media and 4 µg/ml polybrene and added to MSCs plated at a density of 1000 cells/cm² in a 60 mm dish. MSCs were subsequently sorted for GFP expression by FACS. A pMSCV-PIG vector-only control was generated using the same method.

2.6. In Vivo Treatments

All animal studies conform to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (The Nationals Academic Press, Eighth Edition) and protocols approved by the Institutional Care and Use Committee of Scripps Florida. Institutional review board (IRB) approval was obtained for use of human samples. Bleomycin-induced lung injury was performed as previously described (Ortiz et al., 2003; Ortiz et al., 2007) except experiments were conducted using immune-deficient mice (B6.CB17-Prkdcsid/Sj). The Jackson Labs) and animals were administered 5 × 10⁶ human MSCs. Where indicated human MSCs were cultured in Fgt2 (20 ng/ml) supplemented media for 7 days or transfected with a TWIST1-specific siRNA.

2.7. Statistical Analyses

Significance was estimated by unpaired Student’s t test in experiments where comparisons between two groups was warranted. Alternatively, significance was determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post-hoc Tukey or Tukey–Kramer tests for equal or unequal sample size, respectively. Cell cycle data was fit using the Watson pragmatic model and correlations were determined using the Pearson’s moment correlation coefficient. Differences between treatment groups were considered significant with a p value of ≤0.05.
3. Results

3.1. TWIST1 Levels Predict Intrinsic Differences Between MSC Donor Populations

It is well-established that MSC populations derived from different human donors are intrinsically heterogeneous. Therefore, we initially questioned if donor-to-donor heterogeneity of MSC populations is informed by Twist1, a potent epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition inducer, based on recent studies indicating that some proportion of marrow resident MSCs derive from the neural crest (Morikawa et al., 2009). Therefore, we quantified TWIST1 levels in nine human donor populations that during log phase growth exhibited significant differences in viability and growth rate as quantified by MTT assay (Fig. 1a) and cell counting (Fig. 1b), respectively. Colony forming unit-fibroblast (CFU-F) activity, a measure of progenitor cell frequency, also varied significantly between these populations (Fig. 1c). Expressed levels of 20 different markers commonly used to phenotype MSCs did not predict inter-population differences in these activities (Fig. 1d and Table S1). However, normalized TWIST1 mRNA levels, which varied significantly between populations (Fig. 1e), significantly correlated with measures of cell viability (Fig. 1f), cell growth (Fig. 1g) and CFU-F activity (Fig. 1h). The nature of this correlation was robust since a comparison between TWIST1 raw Ct values and cell viability, growth, and CFU-F activity were also significantly correlated (Fig. S1a–d).

Fig. 1. TWIST1 levels predict differences in growth rate and CFU-F activity between human MSC donors. (a) Viability of nine different human MSC donor populations. MSCs were plated at 1000 cells/cm², cultured for 7 days to ensure log phase growth, and then analyzed by MTT assay. Plotted data are mean ± SD from experiments performed twice with 8 replicates each. (b) Growth rate of nine different human MSC donor populations. MSCs were cultured as in (a) and total cell number determined by counting. Plotted data are mean ± SD from experiments performed in triplicate. (c) CFU-F activity of nine different human MSC donor populations. MSCs (100 cells/55 cm²) were plated in triplicate, cultured for 14 days, then the number of CFU-Fs determined by counting. Plotted data are mean ± SD determined by counting. Plotted data are mean ± SD from experiments performed in triplicate. (d) Expressed levels of the indicated surface epitopes as determined by flow cytometry in nine human MSC donor populations from (a). MSCs harvested at sub confluent density (~70%) were plated in triplicate, cultured for 14 days, then the number of surface marker+ cells determined by counting. Plotted data are mean ± SD from experiments performed in triplicate. (e) TWIST1/GAPDH mRNA levels as determined by qPCR in nine different human MSC donor populations from (a). Plotted data are mean ± SD from experiments performed in quadruplicate and repeated twice. (f) Scatter plot between MSC viability data (a) and TWIST1/GAPDH mRNA levels (e) where (r) is the Pearson’s product moment correlation coefficient. (g) Scatter plot between MSC growth data (b) and TWIST1/GAPDH mRNA levels (e) where (r) is the Pearson’s product moment correlation coefficient. (h) Scatter plot between CFU-F data (c) and TWIST1/GAPDH mRNA levels (e) where (r) is the Pearson’s product moment correlation coefficient. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 as compared to 99L by one-way ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc analysis for (a–c) and (e).
To establish a biological relevance for this correlation, we inhibited TWIST1 expression using a small interfering RNA (siRNA) in a representative donor population that expressed intermediate TWIST1 levels (7089L) (Fig. 2a). Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) analysis of siRNA-transfected MSCs indicated that TWIST1 mRNA levels remained repressed up to seven days post-transfection (Fig. 2b). As anticipated based on this result, TWIST1 silencing significantly inhibited cell growth and viability as determined by counting (Fig. 2c), MTT assay (Fig. 2d) and flow cytometric analysis of 6-Carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE)-labeled cells (Fig. 2e). It also significantly reduced CFU-F activity (Fig. 2f) and residual CFUs that did emerge were smaller in size than those from scrambled siRNA transfected cells (not shown). SiRNA targeting of TWIST1 also increased the percentage of senescent (Fig. 2g) and apoptotic cells (Fig. 2h) in populations. TWIST1 inhibition yielded similar biological responses in multiple donor populations (not shown) whereas siRNA targeting of TWIST2 had no significant effect on cell growth, CFU-F activity, senescence or apoptosis (Fig. 2c–h).

To identify Twist1 targets responsible for these biological effects we performed micro-array analysis, which identified 216 transcripts upregulated by TWIST1 inhibition.
regulated and 544 transcripts down regulated by ≥2-fold in MSCs following siRNA targeting of **TWIST1** (Table S2). Unbiased analysis of the gene expression data, which was deposited in NCBI’s GEO repository [GSE76158] revealed that Twist1 targets mapped to critical nodes within multiple gene regulatory networks (Fig. S2) controlling cell surface receptor-linked signal transduction, cell cycle progression, cell division, DNA metabolic processes, DNA replication, DNA repair, and homeostatic processes (Fig. S3). Consistent with these results, siRNA targeting of **TWIST1** resulted in increased P21 and decreased P53, Ccnd3, Cdk2 and Cdk4 protein expression (Fig. 2i and Fig. S1e), which manifested in changes in cell cycle progression. For example, populations transfected with a **TWIST1**-specific siRNA contained significantly more cells in G1 phase and fewer cells in S phase as compared to scrambled siRNA transfected or non-transfected populations (Fig. 2j). SiRNA targeting of **TWIST1** also induced expression of Rasa4 (Fig. 2k and Fig. S1f), and siRNA targeting of **RASA4** resulted in increased Cdk2, Cdk4, and Ccnd3 expression (Fig. 2i and Fig. S1e) and elevated Erk1/2 activation (Fig. 2k and Fig. S1f) consistent with its role as an inhibitor of Ras-Mapk signaling. SiRNA targeting of **SPRY4**, an inhibitor of Fgf-induced Erk signaling that served as a positive control, also increased p-Erk1/2 levels (Fig. 2k and Fig. S1f).

3.2. **TWIST1** Levels Correlate with Tri-lineage Differentiation Potential and Maintain Cells in an Uncommitted State

To assess effects on multi-potency we quantified **TWIST1** levels in five MSC donor populations that exhibited quantitative differences in tri-lineage differentiation (Fig. 3a) and found that normalized expression levels of this mRNA correlated with adipogenic, chondrogenic and osteogenic potential (Fig. 3b). To assess effects at the single cell level, we generated clonally-derived populations and determined their capacity for tri-lineage differentiation by clone splitting (Russell et al., 2010). As shown in Fig. 3c, normalized **TWIST1** mRNA levels were significantly higher in clones capable of tri-lineage differentiation as compared to bi-potent clones. As anticipated based on these results, siRNA targeting of **TWIST1** significantly induced expression of key regulators of cell differentiation including **RUNX2**, **PPAR-γ**, **SOX4**, **BMP4**, and **GDF15** (Fig. 3d) (Brun et al., 1996; Hammarstedt et al., 2013; Kim et al., 1999; Komori et al., 1997; Nissen-Meyer et al., 2007), and also significantly augmented that ability of cells to undergo tri-lineage differentiation in response to the appropriate stimuli (Fig. 3e). However, siRNA targeting of **TWIST1** did not induce spontaneous differentiation in the absence of stimuli (not shown). These findings implicate Twist1 in
maintenance of the multi-progenitor state by simultaneously stimulating cell growth and survival and repressing pathways that induce competence to respond to differentiation inducing stimuli.

3.3. TWIST1 is a Target of Fgf2 and Confers a Pro-angiogenic Phenotype Onto MSCs

Previous studies have shown that Fgf2 promotes growth and self-renewal of MSCs (Ahn et al., 2009; Tsutsumi et al., 2001; Di Maggio et al., 2012). Consistent with these studies, we found that siRNA targeting of TWIST1 induced expression of FGF2, FGFR1, FGFR3 and FGFR4 but suppressed expression of FGFR2IIIc (Fig. 4a) and that culture of MSCs in Fgf2-supplemented media induced Twist1 protein accumulation (Fig. 5a). We further observed a positive correlation between normalized FGFR2IIIc and TWIST1 mRNA levels in different MSC donor populations (Fig. 4b) and although this correlation did not reach statistical significance the correlation between FGFR2IIIc and TWIST1 Ct values was statistically significant (Fig. 4c). Additionally, normalized

**Fig. 4.** Twist1 is a target of Fgf2 and confers a pro-angiogenic phenotype onto MSCs. (a) Effect of siRNAs on gene expression as determined by qPCR in MSCs at 3 days post-transfection. Values were determined using the relative Ct method with GAPDH as an internal control. (b) Scatter plot of FGFR2IIIc/GAPDH vs. TWIST1/GAPDH mRNA levels as determined by PCR where (r) is the Pearson’s product moment correlation coefficient. Data are from MSC populations in Fig. 1. (c) Scatter plot of FGFR2IIIc vs. TWIST1 raw Ct values as determined by qPCR where (r) is the Pearson’s product moment correlation coefficient. Data are from MSC donor populations in Fig. 1. (d) Scatter plot of FGFR2IIIc/GAPDH mRNA levels vs. CFU-F activity (left panel) and cell viability (MTT assay, right panel) where (r) is the Pearson’s product moment correlation coefficient. Data are from MSC donor populations in Fig. 1. (e) Effect of siRNAs on MSC viability as measured by MTT assay. MSCs were plated at 1000 cells/cm² and cultured for 7 days after siRNA transfection to ensure log phase growth. Data are mean ± SD from experiments performed in triplicate and repeated twice. (g) ELISA showing effect of siRNAs or pre-treatment with Fgf2 (20 ng/ml) or IL-6 (10 ng/ml) on secretion of Ccl2 by MSCs. ELISA was performed on fresh media added 48 h following siRNA transfection or 24 h following mitogen pretreatment. Cells were cultured an additional 48 h before quantification of Ccl2. (h) Growth of HAECs cultured in HAEC growth media alone or a mixture (1:1) of HAEC growth media and conditioned media from native or fixed MSCs or MSCs transfected with siRNAs or pre-treated with Fgf2 (20 ng/ml), IFN-gamma (50 ng/ml) and IL-6 (10 ng/ml). Plotted data are mean ± SD from experiments performed with six replicates. (i) Effect of MSC conditioned media on HUVEC tube formation. HUVECs plated on matrigel were cultured in growth media (GM), serum free media (SMF) or conditioned media from native MSCs and MSCs transfected with siRNAs or pre-treated with Fgf2 (20 ng/ml), IFN-gamma (50 ng/ml) and IL-6 (10 ng/ml) for 12 h. Length of endothelial tubules was then determined using image analysis software. Plotted data are mean ± SD from experiments performed with in triplicate. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 compared to control (g). No CM (h) and Scrambled siRNA (i) by on one-way ANOVA and post-hoc Tukey test for (g–i). Bars highlight significant differences between experimental groups.
**FGFR2IIc** mRNA levels significantly correlated with CFU-F activity and growth/viability of different MSC donor populations (Fig. 4d) but we failed to detect any correlation between TWIST1, cell growth or CFU-F activity and **FGFR1, FGFR3, FGFR4** or **AXL** expression (not shown). As anticipated based on this result, siRNA targeting of **FGFR2IIc** also significantly decrease **FGFR2IIc** and **TWIST1** expression in MSCs (Fig. 4e) and significantly inhibited cell viability as determined by MTT assay (Fig. 4f). The fact that siRNA targeting of **FGFR2IIc** produced similar effects in MSCs as that of **TWIST1** indicates that Twist1 is positively regulated by an Fgf2–Fgfr2IIc signaling axis. Through a process that involves vascular remodeling, MSCs are capable of generating ectopically a miniature bone organ that contains hematopoiesis–competent sinusoids (Friedenstein et al., 1968; Sacchetti et al., 2007). Since Twist1 is also implicated in regulating vascularization during development and tumorigenesis (Rodrigues et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2008) we questioned if this protein confers a pro-angiogenic phenotype. Interrogation of our micro-array data revealed that siRNA targeting of **TWIST1** repressed expression of the pro-angiogenins **CCL2, CXCL12, FGF5, VEGFC** and **CYR61** and induced miRNAs encoding proteins with angio-static activity, such as **TIMPs 1–4, ADAMTS2** and **CTGF** (Fig. 4g). This result is consistent with a previous study demonstrating that Twist1 induces Ccl2 expression in mammalian epithelial cells although the mechanism responsible for this effect has not been explored (Li et al., 2003). A bioinformatics search identified several consensus E-box motifs in the Ccl2 promoter (Table S3) and we confirmed by ChIP that Twist1 was associated with this promoter (Fig. 4g). Our micro-array data further demonstrated that treatment of MSCs with Fgf2 induced expression of the pro-angiogenins **VEGFC, CYR61** and **FGF5** and inhibited expression of **TIMP1, TIMP2** and **CTGF**, which block angiogenesis (Fig. 4d). Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) confirmed that siRNA targeting of **TWIST1 and FGFRIIc** inhibited whereas pre-treatment with Fgf2 stimulated Ccl2 secretion from MSCs (Fig. 4g). Next, we collected conditioned media from native MSCs or MSCs pre-treated with Fgf2 and IL-6 or transfected with a **TWIST1**–specific and scrambled siRNA, then assayed its ability to support the growth of primary human aortic endothelial cells (HAECs). As shown in Fig. 4h, conditioned media from native MSCs pre-treated with or without IL6 yielded significant increases in HEAC survival as compared to that from fixed MSCs or the cell free control. Moreover, conditioned media from Fgf2 pre-treated MSCs was superior to that of native MSCs in supporting HEAC survival whereas that from MSCs transfected with a **TWIST1**–specific siRNA or pre-treated with IFN-gamma offered no significant benefit as compared to the cell free control. We also assayed the ability of MSC conditioned media to support tube formation by human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECS) using serum free media (SFM) or growth factor supplemented media (GM) as negative and positive controls, respectively. As shown in Fig. 4i, conditioned media from native MSCs and MSCs transfected with a scrambled siRNA or pre-treated with IL6 were not as effective as GM in supporting tube formation but were significantly better than SFM. Moreover, tube formation was significantly greater in conditioned media from Fgf2 pre-treated MSCs and significantly less from **TWIST1** siRNA transfected or IFN-gamma pre-treated cells as compared to native MSCs. Collectively, these studies demonstrate that Fgf2 induces a pro-angiogenic phenotype onto MSCs via a **TWIST1**–dependent mechanism.

### 3.4. **TWIST1** Regulates Cytokine and Immuno-modulatory Effector Protein Expression and is a Target of IFN-Gamma

MSCs also exhibit potent anti-inflammatory and immuno-modulatory activities but a role for Twist1 in these processes has not been described. We found that siRNA targeting of **TWIST1** significantly induced expression of **IL1-α, IL1-β, IL1RN, IL18, TNF** and **TSG6** but inhibited expression of **IL6** (Fig. 5a), consistent with its ability to represses NF-kB activity by binding to ReA (Sosic et al., 2003). However, multi-plex ELISA of MSC conditioned media failed to detect IL-1α, IL-1β, and TNF indicating that native MSCs do not secrete these pro-inflammatory cytokines. We did detect secreted levels of IL11m, IL-6 and IL-8. Moreover, siRNA targeting of **TWIST1** significantly increased IL11m and inhibited IL-6 secretion, whereas culture of MSCs in Fgf2 significantly enhanced secretion of all three cytokines (Fig. 5b). Fgf2-mediated increases in IL-6 likely result from increased Twist1 expression since this effect was abolished following siRNA targeting of this gene (Fig. 5c). **SI RNA** targeting of **TWIST1** also abolished Fg2-induced increases in secretion of Ccl2 (Fig. 5d) consistent with our ChIP data but not that of IL-8 (Fig. 5g). The latter likely results from increased activator protein 1 (AP1) activity since this protein is a target of Fgf2 and stimulates IL-8 transcription (Li et al., 2003).

We also found that transcripts encoding proteins that contribute to the immuno-modulatory activity of MSCs including indoleamine 2, 3-dioxygenase (Ido1), heme oxygenase 1 (Hmox1), prostaglandin-endoperoxidase synthase 2 (Ptgs2/Cox2), prostaglandin E synthase (Ptges) and colony stimulating factor 1 (Csf1) were also induced following siRNA targeting of **TWIST1** (Fig. 5c). Consistent with these results, MSCs transfected with a **TWIST1**–specific vs. scrambled siRNA secreted significantly higher levels of prostaglandin–E2 (Pge2) (Fig. 5d) and expressed higher levels of Ido1 protein (Fig. 5e). Interrogation of the **IDO1** promoter revealed several consensus E-box motifs (Table S3) and ChIP analysis confirmed that Twist1 associated with this promoter (Fig. 5f). Therefore, Twist1 activates CCL2 and represses Ido1 transcription. Difference in Twist1 activity at these promoters is likely influenced by distinct basic region DNA conformations and choice of protein dimerization partners (Kophengnawong et al., 2000). We did not detect binding of Twist1 to the HMOX1 promoter, which lacked E-box motifs, indicating that Twist1 regulates HMOX1 expression indirectly (not shown).

IFN-gamma strongly induces Ido1 in MSCs wherein it functions as a T-cell inhibitory effector pathway (Meisel et al., 2004; Krampera et al., 2006). Therefore, we questioned if IFN-gamma modulates Ido1 expression via Twist1. Western blot confirmed that pre-treatment of MSCs for 24 h with IFN-gamma strongly repressed Twist1 and induced Ido1 expression whereas treatment with IL-6 had little effect on either protein (Fig. 5g). Consistent with these results, the ability of IFN-gamma to induce **IDO1** expression was significantly inhibited in MSCs engineered to over express Twist1 by retroviral transduction (Fig. 5h). Moreover, IFN-gamma pre-treatment repressed **TWIST1** expression but significantly induced that of **PTGS, PTGES, and IL1RN** (Fig. S5a). It also suppressed MSC growth (Fig. 5i and Fig. S5b), CFU-F activity (Fig. 5j) and Rpl23a, Cdk2 and Cdk4 expression (Fig. 5k) thereby mimicking effects seen following siRNA targeting of **TWIST1**. Growth inhibition by IFN-gamma was abrogated in MSCs treated with the Ido1 inhibitor CAY10581 and therefore was dependent upon induced Ido1 activity (Fig. 5i). MSCs treated with IFN-gamma also exhibited significantly reduced angiogenic activity (Fig. 4h and i) while MSCs cultured in Fgf2 exhibited enhanced growth, CFU-F activity, and increased expression of Cnd3, Cdk2 and Cdk4 (Fig. 5i–k).

IFN-gamma and Fgf2 also produced disparate effects on stimulus driven cellular differentiation, which reflects their broader effects on cell signaling pathways and gene expression as compared to Twist1 inhibition alone. For example, IFN-gamma pre-treatment augmented adipogenic and suppressed osteogenic differentiation in response to the appropriate stimuli to significant extents as reported previously (Liu et al., 2011; Vidal et al., 2012) while Fgf2 pre-treatment inhibited adipogenic differentiation and resulted in a slight increase in matrix mineralization over a time course of osteogenic differentiation (Fig. S5c and d). The latter is consistent with studies indicating that Fgf2 lowers the phosphate threshold for mineralization by directly inducing expression of the calcium binding proteins bone sialoprotein and osteocalcin (Shimizu-Sasake et al., 2001; Nauman et al., 2003; Xiao et al., 2002).
3.5. Perturbation of TWIST1 Levels Yields Predictable Changes in the Therapeutic Efficacy of MSC in Cell-based Assays and In Vivo

We next determined whether perturbation of Twist1 levels altered the ability of MSCs to suppress proliferation of CD3+ T cells following antibody-mediated activation in a mixed lymphocyte reaction in vitro. Addition of fixed or native MSCs significantly stimulated and repressed, respectively, CD3+ T cell proliferation as compared to cultures containing no MSCs (Fig. 6a). Moreover, MSCs transfected with a TWIST1-specific siRNA suppressed T cell proliferation to a significantly greater extent than compared to native or scrambled siRNA transfected cells, and IFN-gamma pre-treated cells inhibited T cell proliferation to an even greater extent than those transfected with a TWIST1-specific siRNA. In separate experiments we showed that MSC mediated inhibition of T cell proliferation following siRNA targeting of TWIST1 or IFN-gamma pre-treatment was largely reversed by the Ido1 inhibitor CAY10581 (Fig. S5e). In contrast, MSCs pre-treated with Fgf2 or IL-6 were no more effective in suppressing T cell proliferation as compared to native MSCs. Next we employed multiplex bead arrays to quantify changes in Th1, Th2, and Th17 cytokine secretion by peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) in these mixed lymphocyte reactions. As shown in Fig. 6b, native MSCs suppressed Tnf and IL-4 and stimulated...
IL-17A and IL-10 secretion. These changes are reminiscent of those that occur during transition from an acute to chronic inflammatory state (Lawrence and Gilroy, 2007) and may explain why MSCs are therapeutically efficacious in models of acute tissue injury. Alternatively, MSCs pre-treated with IFN-gamma or transfected with a TWIST1-specific siRNA inhibited expression of Th1 cytokines, did not induce IL-17A, and stimulated IL-4 and IL-10 secretion to varying degrees, which is consistent with a Th2 response. Importantly, siRNA inhibited expression of Th1 cytokines, did not induce IL-17A, and stimulated IL-4 and IL-10 secretion to varying degrees, which is consistent with a Th2 response.

To ascertain whether perturbation of Twist1 levels alters MSC potency in vitro and in vivo, we employed a bleomycin (BLM)-induced acute lung injury model based on published studies showing MSCs are highly protective in this model (Ortiz et al., 2003; Ortiz et al., 2007). This model employs a BAL (Fig. 6e). Alternatively, animals infused with naïve MSCs (7089L) at the time of lung injury exhibited only modest weight loss as compared to bleomycin treated animals, which reflected reduced airway inflammation based on significantly lower BAL cell counts and reduced IL-6 expression, While the same MSCs pre-treated with Fgf2 afforded no protection against the injurious effects of bleomycin (Fig. 6e–g). Next, we compared the potency of 7089L to that of 7075L, which expressed significantly higher levels of TWIST1 (Fig. 6f). As anticipated, MSCs from donor 7075L were significantly less effective in protecting mice against bleomycin-induced injury based on the extent of weight loss by 7 days post-treatment (Fig. 6g) and the cellularity of BAL (Fig. 6h). Lastly, we asked whether the potency of donor 7089L could be improved by transfecting cells with a TWIST1-specific siRNA prior to infusion into animals. The transfected cells did not afford significantly enhanced protection to mice based on body weight assessment or BAL cell count but in some cases were more potent in suppressing cytokine gene activation in lung tissue (not shown). Although we did not
evaluate the effect of IFN-gamma treated MSCs in this model since it employed immuno-deficient mice, other groups have established that IFN-gamma priming augments the immuno-modulatory activity of MSCs in vivo (Sivathan et al., 2014), which our data indicate occurs, in part, by repression of Twist1 expression.

4. Discussion

Results presented herein reconcile several critical aspects of MSC biology that existing paradigms have not resolved. For example, our findings establish a direct mechanistic link between stem/progenitor and effector functions in MSCs, which according to current dogma are unrelated. They also extend in a meaningful way the known functions of Twist1, which despite its important role in tumorigenesis is understudied in MSCs. For example, Twist1 has been reported to suppress osteo/chondro differentiation, stimulate adipogenesis, and inhibit cellular senescence via Ezh2-mediated suppression of p16 (Isemann et al., 2009; Hemming et al., 2013; Cakourovs et al., 2012) in MSCs. Our studies indicate that Twist1 is indispensable for MSC maintenance as siRNA targeting of this transcript alters multiple pathways affecting cell growth, replication, survival, multi-potency, and paracrine signaling. Previous groups have interrogated Twist1 function by over expressing the protein in MSCs, which may explain differences between previously published studies and those reported here. Our data also indicate that acquisition by MSCs of different functional traits can be modeled at the population level by a hierarchical process (see Graphic Abstract). For example, we provide evidence that high Twist1 expressing cells are characterized by rapid growth, high CFU-F activity, a low intrinsic level of apoptosis and a pro-angiogenic phenotype, which is consistent with the characterization of naïve MSCs as marrow resident pericytes (Crisan et al., 2008). We further demonstrate that Twist1 down regulation confers onto cells anti-inflammatory and immuno-modulatory activities at the expense of angiogenic activity, and also induces competence to undergo stimulus driven tri-lineage differentiation. These findings expand in a meaningful way the hierarchy of MSC lineage determination proposed by Caplan (1994) and confirmed by others (Muraglia et al., 2000; Sarugaser et al., 2009; Russell et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2010) by superimposing onto it various effector functions that confer therapeutic potency onto MSCs. Consequently, this revised hierarchy provides a unifying framework to model the functional complexity of populations, predict differences in the therapeutic efficacy between donors, and understand how responses to extrinsic factors alter cell fate and function.

Although hypothesis driven studies that link progenitor and effector functions are lacking, a careful review of the literature provides an implicit link between these processes. For example, most studies that pre-condition MSCs with Fgf2 or Vegf to enhance their angiogenic potential report positive effects on cell growth, survival, and CFU-F activity while studies that employ pre-conditioning to enhance immuno-modulatory activity are devoid of such claims (unpublished data). Moreover, studies have shown that differentiation of MSCs to the osteoblast lineage results in a concomitant up regulation of IFN-gamma-inducible genes (Duque et al., 2009) and activation of the kynurenine pathway (Vidal et al., 2015) while impairing angiogenic activity (Hoch et al., 2012). Our studies advance these findings in a meaningful way by providing a direct mechanistic link between these processes.

Our findings also have important implications with respect to the clinical application of MSCs. For example, Fgf2 supplementation is frequently used to drive MSC expansion to enhance cell yields for clinical therapies but our data indicate this enriches for populations with a pro-angiogenic phenotype. This result predicts such cells are ill suited for treating conditions wherein angiogenesis is detrimental, such as joint repair, or acute and chronic inflammatory conditions as demonstrated herein for bleomycin-induced lung injury. In the latter case, our data suggest that naïve or Fgf2-pretreated MSCs are not “pro-inflammatory” since they do not secrete detectable levels of IL-1α, IL-1β and Tnf. Moreover, we are unaware of any studies that suggest administration of these cells in vivo induces an acute inflammatory response.

Alternatively, our data suggest these cells are less potently anti-inflammatory due to elevated expression levels of the angiogens IL-6, IL-8, and Ccl2, which also exhibit potent chemotactic activity for leukocytes and neutrophils, and lower expression of anti-inflammatory mediators, such as Il1r. Owing to the fact that Hif-1-alpha is a potent inducer of Twist1 (Yang et al., 2008) our data also provide mechanistic insight into how hypoxic preconditioning potentiates the angiogenic activity of MSCs.

Similarly, our data also provides mechanistic insight into how IFN-gamma licenses the anti-inflammatory and immuno-modulatory activities of MSCs by identifying Twist1 as a target of IFN-gamma action and linking Twist1 down regulation with elevated expression of Ido1, Il1rn, and Hmox1, increased secretion of Pge2, and reduced IL-6 secretion. Importantly, IFN-gamma-mediated Twist1 down regulation also impedes MSC growth and CFU-F activity and enhances cellular senescence and apoptosis. This is consistent with the fact that interferons are known to exhibit growth repressive effects by inhibiting expression of Rpl23a (Jiang et al., 1997), which is also a Twist1 target in MSCs. Indeed, recent studies indicate that IFN-gamma potentiates inflammatory activation of macrophages by translational suppression of repressors of inflammation such as Hes1, a basic helix-loop-helix protein, and down regulation of Mtor1 via Ido1-mediated tryptophan depletion (Su et al., 2015). Similarly, our studies indicate that IFN-gamma-mediated induction of Ido1 in MSCs, which confer immuno-suppressive properties onto cells, occurs via inhibition of Twist1. Whether the latter results from specific translational suppression of this protein or post-translational modifications that affect its stability and/or function are unknown. Nevertheless, careful consideration should be given to how culture supplements and/or pre-conditioning regimens alter the cellular composition of MSC populations and their therapeutic efficacy via effects on Twist1 expression. To facilitate this process, we have developed a CLinical Indications Prediction (CLIP) scale (Fig. 7), which can be used to inform clinical trials by determining the suitability of a given MSC donor population for a specific disease indication and/or to develop improved manufacturing strategies to develop disease-specific cell therapy products.

In summary, our data suggest that intrinsic differences in TWIST1 levels induce cell fate changes that simultaneously alter the progenitor and effector properties of MSCs in a predictable manner. Therefore, an important area of future study will be to determine the extent by which stochastic and deterministic processes regulate TWIST1 and its target, Twist1, levels through genetic manipulation or exposure of cells to Fgf2 and IFN-gamma. However, these manipulations also significantly impact cell growth, survival and differentiation potential.

Fig. 7. Clinical Indication Prediction (CLIP) scale. Illustrated is a scale that predicts the therapeutic efficacy of a given MSC donor population based on TWIST1 expression levels. MSC donor populations expressing high TWIST1 levels are predicted to be beneficial in treating diseases where angiogenesis is warranted, such as stroke and limb ischemia. In contrast, low TWIST1 expressing populations are predicted to be beneficial in treating inflammatory and immune-related disorders, such as acute tissue or organ injury and graft vs. host disease. Importantly, the therapeutic efficacy of the population can also be altered by manipulating TWIST1 levels through genetic manipulation or exposure of cells to Fgf2 and IFN-gamma. However, these manipulations also significantly impact cell growth, survival and differentiation potential.
expression at the cellular level to impart heterogeneity. Genomics-based studies conducted in Drosophila have determined that Twist modulates transcriptional regulatory networks with complex logical outputs by binding to over 2000 cis-regulatory elements (Sandmann et al., 2007; Ozdemir et al., 2011). Twist1 targets identified in MSCs also map to critical nodes within multiple gene regulatory networks, which explains in part how changes in its expression reliably predicts donor-to-donor differences in the biological properties of MSC populations. However, the finer points of Twist1 action in MSCs, such as how it represses Id1 and stimulates Ccl2 transcription by direct promoter binding, remain a fertile area of study. Further scrutiny of Twist1 regulated pathways, protein binding partners, and targets of direct transcriptional regulation will provide additional insight into the mechanisms that drive population heterogeneity, which directly impact therapeutic efficacy, and also reveal other Twist1 surrogates, such as Fgrf2llc, that can be used to screen populations pre- and post-manufacturing to determine their suitability for treating a specific disease indication in human patients.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jebiom.2015.12.020.
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