Supramolecular Multilayered Templates for Fabricating Nanometer-Precise Spacings: Implications for the Next-Generation of Devices Integrating Nanogap/Nanochannel Components
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ABSTRACT: Molecular transistors, electromagnetic waveguides, plasmonic devices, and novel generations of nanofluidic channels comprise precisely separated gaps of nanometric and subnanometric spacing. Nonetheless, fabricating a nanogap/nanochannel is a technological challenge, currently tackled by several approaches such as breakdown electromigration and lithography. The aforementioned techniques, though, are limited, respectively, in terms of gap stability and ultimate resolution. Here, nanogaps/nanochannels are templated via the microtomy of metallic thin films embedded in a polymer matrix and precisely separated by a nanometric, sacrificial layer of polyelectrolytes grown via the layer-by-layer (LbL) approach. The versatility of the LbL technique, both in terms of the number of layers and composition of polyelectrolytes, allows to finely tune the spacing across the gap; the LbL template can further be removed by plasma etching. Our findings pave the path toward the realization of molecularly defined functional spacings at the nanometer-scale for the modular implementation of devices integrating nanogap/nanochannel components.
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INTRODUCTION

The fine control of the spacing across two parallel structures/walls is critical for the design of nanogap/nanochannel devices1,2 and their application into tunneling junctions,3−6 molecular transistors,7,8 waveguides9−10 plasmonic devices11−16 and state-of-the-art nanofluidic channels.17 For instance, the characteristics of devices such as molecular transistors rely on the specific molecular structure of the bridging element and most importantly require electrodes separated by a gap having a width comparable to that of a single molecule,17 that is, few nanometers. Similarly, tunneling junctions are based on nearly touching electrodes—typically also separated by a few nanometers—across which a tunneling current detects18 or probes the electronic transport through single molecules.22−25 Nonetheless, the precise and controllable fabrication of so-close nanogaps/nanochannels hits the intrinsic limits of conventional optical and electron-beam lithography.

Microtomy—the process of skiving nanometer-thick slabs out of a polymeric block which in our case embeds thin films—has been successfully employed in surface micromachining of complex nanostructures.27 Facile operation and affordable process costs are among the important advantages of the approach. The process does not require a cleanroom environment and is applicable for a wide range of materials (metals, ceramics, semiconductors, two-dimensional materials, polymers, etc.) and geometries. The functionality of the device to be made is controlled by the properties of the embedded thin films (number of layers, thickness of the films, and spacing between them). Highly complex structures can be made by overdepositing multiple slabs.19 Particularly sub-nanometer gaps between metallic nanostructures have been realized by using a graphene template between two metallic films, reaching the ultimate lower limit of physically achievable gap size.28 Larger gaps can potentially be achieved by increasing the number of graphene layers (overdepositing monolayers); in practice, the stress exerted on the structure during the skiving, however, may exceed the weak van der Waals interaction between the layers causing uncontrolled delamination. More-
over, scaling up the gap size (>few nanometers) by overdepositing numerous multilayers is impractical. Note that replacing multilayer graphene with an ultrathin sacrificial solid-state material (e.g., deposited films) would lack accuracy as a result of the inhomogeneous deposition typically observed when decreasing the thickness. 

While innovative strategies have come up in order to achieve precisely aligned nanogaps/nanochannels, several drawbacks remain, mainly the stability of the spacing with temperature and the formation of process residuals detrimental for the performances of the eventual device.

In this article, we employ the layer-by-layer (LbL) deposition to grow multilayered stacks of polyelectrolytes of precisely controlled thicknesses between two large area metallic thin films. The stacks are subsequently embedded into a polymeric block; skiving the surface of the block with microotomy achieves metallic nanorods spaced by a sacrificial layer of polyelectrolytes.

Next, the molecular-length spacing is opened up using plasma reactive etching of the sacrificial layer. Compared to existing reports (e.g., using monolayer graphene templates), the gap size—controlled by the multilayered polyelectrolytes—can vary over a wide range (few nanometers to few tens of nanometers) with an accuracy down to nanometer scale. The strong electrostatic interaction between the layers of polyelectrolytes and the covalent bonds between the metal and adjacent layer minimize the risk of delamination during the process. The polymeric support permits the agile manipulation of such nanostructures, their precise alignment, and the assembly into a well-defined device architecture. As a remarkable example, we already demonstrated that overdepositing two consecutive slabs yields complex nanofluidic architectures.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

In order to form nanogaps/nanochannels, a multilayered polyelectrolyte stack is stepwise deposited on a gold surface prefunctionalized with a mixed self-assembled monolayer (SAM) of amino- and alkyl-thiols grown from a solution of 5 mM 1-dodecanethiol and 5 mM 11-amino-1-undecanethiol in ethanol.

The aminothiols protonate in acidic solutions, conferring the gold surface positive charges and allowing the adsorption of the first layer of the negatively charged polyelectrolyte (PSS) via electrostatic interaction. The self-assembly was characterized by ellipsometry (Figure 1b). Uniform, ordered monolayers formed only from ethanol solutions with a concentration of aminothiols below 40% in volume (see the Supporting Information). Higher concentrations yielded thicker layers because of the formation of disordered thiol assemblies, which turned out to be unsuitable for the deposition of further polyelectrolyte layers. Figure 1c shows the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) of a mixed SAM with 30% in volume of 11-amino-1-undecanethiol with a fit of the N 1s peak belonging to the amines present in the SAM (solid line). Figure 1d shows the layered architecture: The upmost layer of polyelectrolytes (black) is the thiolated poly-(allylamine hydrochloride) (PAH). Yellow layers correspond to the gold films.

The synthesis of the last thiolated poly(allyl-amine) layer is depicted in Figure 2a. The addition of a thiol functionality results from the reaction of a disulfide precursor with the dangling amines of PAH, where (ii) is obtained from the esterification of (i) 3,3′-dithiopropionic acid with N-hydroxysuccinimide. The reactive amines of the PAH open the pyrrolidone heterocycle of (ii) cross-linking the PAH via disulfide bonds. Afterward, dithiothreitol breaks the disulfide, forming thiol moieties. Figure 2b shows the solid-state 13C CPMAS nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) characterization of the PAH before and after conversion (brown and black spectra, respectively). Thiol moieties are plugged to the PAH backbone via a carbonyl linker, followed by methyl spacers. The presence of sulfur and oxygen atoms in (ii) make the methylene carbon attached to the nitrogen of PAH peaks move from 32.98 ppm down to 36.78 ppm; this downfield shift of 4 ppm clearly proves that the reaction has proceeded further. Additionally, the presence of the carbonyl linker is further confirmed by a major peak at 172.98 ppm and a small peak at 160.89 ppm. This minor peak could be formed because of the presence of hydrogen bonding in the polymer, leading to more upfield shift.

When immersed in a KCl electrolyte, the residual amines of the thiolated PAH protonate, driving its deposition on top of the multilayered film of polyelectrolytes. Starting from a negative layer of PSS, the multilayered film grows linearly, stepwise at a pace down to 1.5 nm per layer. Remarkably, the modified PAH grows thicker than the layers underneath. The lower content of amines on its backbone yields increased thickness: less amines protonate in KCl solution, reducing the overall charging of the polyelectrolyte. Thus, the outmost PSS layer demands for a higher amount of modified PAH in order to screen its negative charge.

At last, the polyelectrolytes are coated with a second layer of gold, yielding two metallic thin films separated by a tunable, molecular spacer of polyelectrolytes. This stack is then lifted from its substrate and immersed within a photocurable polymer of pentaerythritol tetra(3-mercaptopropionate) and triallyl-1,3,5-tria-
range (tens of nanometers to micrometers). The polymer support allows the facile transfer of the slabs from the diamond knife to a given substrate, here a silicon wafer (Figure 3c). Then, as a last step, a reactive oxygen plasma is used to etch the sacrificial film of polyelectrolytes and (for longer exposures) the supporting polymer, yielding a planar nanogap/nanochannel across metallic walls (Figure 3d).

The pressure exerted on the block by the microtomy blade is potentially capable of compressing and narrowing the polyelectrolyte multilayer. The polymer, however, surrounds the polyelectrolyte structure entirely and absorbs/damps a large part of the stress. In practice, while the length of the nanogaps/nanochannels is limited to a few tens of microns, the width of the polymeric slabs can be as large as a few millimeters (the schematics in Figure 3 are not on scale) highlighting the importance of this damping effect by the polymer. Separately, the direction of the cutting in microtomy plays an important role in avoiding delamination and imperfections: proceeding with “vertical cut”, with the blade orthogonal to the embedded multilayers generates an expanding stress across the multilayers, potentially capable to buckle the Au films, yielding the rupture of the structure by delamination. The compressive stress in a “horizontal cut” (blade/multilayer orientation shown in Figure 3b) however helps preventing the delamination of the assembly pushing the bottom Au film “up” and keeping the structure compressed. The sharpness of the diamond blade and the cutting speed are other important parameters. Slicing too slow (0.2–0.5 mm/s) induces long-standing compressive pressures which ultimately causes delamination. Blunt blades exert friction onto the polymer, creating imperfections (e.g., delamination, deformation) especially at the interface between different layers.

The lateral size of the slab could vary in a large range, from tens of microns to even millimeters (the slabs shown in Figure 4a are of ~400

\[
x \times 400 \, \mu m^2.
\]

As for the thickness, the microtomy can cut slices as thin as ~50 nm effortlessly and can be as thick as several micrometers. Beyond the scalable preparation of the slabs, microtomy yields nanoarchitectures that can be easily manipulated, thanks to the surface tension between the water and the floating slabs embedding the eventual devices. Importantly, the supporting polymer and the sacrificial film of polyelectrolytes promote the integrity of the layered structure that can therefore be readily transferred onto arbitrary substrates, such as Si/SiO2 wafers, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) grids, or SiN membranes (Figure 4a,b). In fact, after the microtomy step, the slabs slide from the diamond knife and float on a
water meniscus placed behind the blade. Next, by positioning a titanium ring around the floating polymeric slabs, every device can be handled and transferred at will from the meniscus to a substrate of interest. In fact, the ring exploits the surface tension of the water to withdraw a droplet containing the floating polymeric slabs, allowing the agile transfer of the droplet onto any target substrate. After the transfer of the droplet, and while the water slowly evaporates, the polymeric slab steadily lands over the target substrate. The slow evaporation of water also permits the micrometer-scale alignment of the slab over microfabricated carrier chips using a needle connected to a microstep manipulator pinning the polymer slab and controlling its position.

The resulting slab after transfer on a Si/SiO₂ wafer was imaged using a scanning electron microscope (Figure 4c) showing an in-plane nanogap/nanochannel structure. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) resolved the spacing with nanometer accuracy for a device suspended over a holey carbon grid (Figure 4d). The darker regions on the TEM image correspond to the two gold rods separated by a gap (brighter region) opened after the etching of a four-layer polyelectrolyte stack; the estimated gap width (≈13 nm) matches the ellipsometry results shown in Figure 2c.

### CONCLUSIONS

To conclude, multilayered films of polyelectrolytes were deposited LbL on gold substrates and converted into nanogap/nanochannel structures via microtomy. The LbL deposition allowed the facile assembly of nanometric thin films over large area substrates, with thicknesses that could be readily monitored by optical methods such as ellipsometry. The further mechanical sectioning of such assemblies yielded spacings between two gold layers directly embedded within polymeric supports which were precisely transferred onto target substrates. Specifically, transferring a droplet of water with a floating integrated nanogap/nanochannel architecture in combination with proper alignment tools (i.e., a simple micromanipulator) allowed the precise control over the position on the target substrate. Our fabrication approach based on supramolecular templating will pave the way toward the design of a large variety of nanogaps/nanochannels where the spacing is precisely customized by structures as simple as macromolecular assemblies.
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