Novel de novo ARCN1 intronic variant causes rhizomelic short stature with microretrognathia and developmental delay
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Abstract The archain 1 (ARCN1) gene encodes the coatomer subunit delta protein and is a component of the COPI coatomer complex, which is involved in retrograde vesicle trafficking from the Golgi complex to the endoplasmic reticulum. Variants in ARCN1 have recently been associated with rhizomelic short stature with microcephaly, microretrognathia, and developmental delay. Here we report a 3.5-yr-old boy with microcephaly, global developmental delay, and multiple congenital abnormalities and the ARCN1-related syndrome caused by a novel de novo intronic variant. Whole-exome sequencing of the proband and his parents was utilized to determine the genetic origin of the patient’s disorder and identified a de novo variant, NM_001655.5:c.654-15A > G, in the ARCN1 gene. Follow-up functional characterization of mRNA from the patient demonstrated that this variant creates a splicing defect of the ARCN1 mRNA. ARCN1-related syndrome represents an emerging disorder of developmental delay, and this report represents the sixth described patient. Despite the few instances reported in literature, the phenotype is consistent between our patient and previously reported individuals.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]

INTRODUCTION

Global developmental delay (GDD), a common disorder affecting up to 3% of the population, occurs when children fail to meet normal developmental milestones in multiple domains (Mithyantha et al. 2017). There are many causes for developmental delay including maternal drug and alcohol exposure, birth complications, infections, and genetic conditions (Vissers et al. 2016). There is extreme genetic heterogeneity in GDD with more than 700 genes reported to be associated. Exome sequencing has been shown to be highly effective in the discovery of the genetic etiology of GDD and is even more effective in syndromic cases when additional findings are present (Srivastava et al. 2019). The yield for exome analysis is greatest when the parents of an affected individual are also sequenced allowing for the detection of de novo variants (de Ligt et al. 2012).
The archain 1 (ARCN1) gene encodes the coatamer subunit delta protein and is a component of the COPI coatamer complex, which is involved in retrograde vesicular trafficking from the Golgi complex to the endoplasmic reticulum (Tunnacliffe et al. 1996). This transport function is important for a wide variety of cell types and several multisystem disorders have been described in association with variants of genes in the COP1 complex (Watkin et al. 2015; Ge et al. 2016; DiStasio et al. 2017). Variants in ARCN1 have been reported in individuals with an autosomal dominantly inherited syndrome that includes rhizomelic short stature, with microcephaly, microretrognathia, and developmental delay (MIM: 617164) (Izumi et al. 2016; Reunert et al. 2019).

Here we present a report of an ARCN1-related syndrome caused by a novel de novo intronic variant. Functional analysis of the patient’s mRNA demonstrated altered splicing consistent with loss of function of this allele.

RESULTS

Clinical Presentation and Family History
The patient was a 3.5-yr-old Caucasian/Peruvian/Native American boy with microcephaly, severe global developmental delay, and multiple congenital abnormalities. At birth he was documented to have a small ventricular septal defect (which was closed by 3 wk), a patent foramen ovale, rhizomelic shortening of extremities on clinical examination, pectus carinatum, and underdeveloped genitalia including severe penoscrotal hypospadias and cryptorchidism. His distinctive facial features recorded then included bulbous nasal tip, microretrognathia, and downturned corners of the mouth.

Gestational and birth history showed that the patient was delivered at 31 wk gestation by cesarean because of nonreassuring fetal testing, had Apgar scores of 6 and 8, and was small for his gestational age (1244 g). His length at birth was 37 cm, below the 10th centile, and his occipitofrontal circumference (OFC) was 27.5 cm, which was just above the 10th. He developed spasticity and hyper-reflexia, likely related to a type III intraventricular hemorrhage (IVH) seen on brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), which also showed white matter injury to both sides of the brain. The IVH was considered to be the underlying basis of the spastic quadriplegia. During early infancy he experienced severe aspiration and swallowing dysfunction causing G-tube dependence. The EEG was abnormal with excess background slowing, hemispheric asymmetry, and epileptiform features over the right hemisphere suggesting an underlying encephalopathy and seizure tendency. However, no seizures had occurred in the first 3 yr of life. At 43 mo of age he had the onset of seizures; at 44 mo he was admitted to the hospital for status epilepticus. Since then the patient has gone on to have regular seizures on treatment and is considered to have intractable focal epilepsy. At 4 yr and 8 mo of age he was being treated with oxcarbazepine, Keppra, and Epidiolex and was starting the ketogenic diet. On genetics assessment at 3.5 yr, he had significant GDD. Skills included sitting with support, rolling both ways, downward scooting, and saying “mom” and “dad.” He used a wheelchair for mobility and was not able to stand or walk. He was progressing slowly in his milestones and not regressing. Family history was noncontributory, and neither parent had short stature or his facial features. On physical examination, his height, weight, and OFC were all below the third centile; the distinctive facial features and rhizomelic shortening were again documented (Fig. 1A,B). He was enrolled in physical therapy, speech therapy, and occupational therapy since early infancy and has continued with these services. A previous genomic microarray identified a 95-kb loss at 12q23.2 including exons 1–4 of the NUP37 gene and exons 1–9 of the PARPBP gene, which were deemed nondiagnostic. Neither parent was tested for this deletion but analysis of exome coverage depth and single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) within this region suggests that the...
deletion was maternally inherited. A skeletal dysplasia panel had detected a heterozygous variant in \( HSPG2 \), c.9893 C > T, p. Pro3298Leu, which is associated with three skeletal disorders, none fitting his presentation; because he was heterozygous, the variant was maternally inherited and these conditions are autosomal recessive; this variant was not considered to be causative of his particular skeletal phenotype. Normal tests results included newborn metabolic screen, hearing screen, two skeletal surveys (Fig. 2), and chromosome analysis (46,XY).

**Genomic Analyses**

Although the history of prematurity and intraventricular hemorrhage (IVH) represented a potential explanation for his GDD, the other physical features, including the degree of short stature, the microretrognathia, microcephaly, rhizomelic shortening of extremities, pectus carinatum, and severe penoscrotal hypospadias, were not consistent with the complicated perinatal history as the cause, and therefore clinical exome sequencing was ordered.

Whole-exome sequencing of the proband and his parents identified a de novo variant, Chr 11(GRCh37):g.118455180A > G, (NM_001655.5:c.654-15A > G), in the \( ARCN1 \) gene (Table 1). Sanger sequencing confirmed this change to be present only in the proband and not the parents (Fig. 3). The c.654-15A > G variant creates a novel splice acceptor site by creating a new AG nucleotide pair and computational splice site predictors indicate this new AG site may be utilized by splice machinery. When utilized, 14 novel nucleotides are inserted into the mRNA, which is predicted to shift the reading frame and create a premature stop codon p.Pro219Phefs*13.

**Functional Analyses**

To determine if the mRNA with the predicted alternative splicing isoform was detectable in the patient’s sample, primers were designed to specifically target exon 4 of \( ARCN1 \) with the partial 14-bp sequence (Fig. 4A) as predicted from the c.654-15A > G variant. RNA was extracted from the patient’s whole blood and from three presumed wild-type controls, and

---

**Figure 1.** Patient data. (A) Patient at 3.5 yr old. (B) Profile of patient at 3 yr old. Patient has microcephaly, microretrognathia, pectus carinatum, and mild rhizomelic shortening of the limbs.
cDNA was generated. The cDNA samples were then tested with the sequencing primers, and only the patient’s cDNA produced an amplicon (Fig. 4B). When the amplicons were sequenced and aligned to the NM_001655.5 reference sequence, a novel sequence was found between exons 4 and 5 (Fig. 4C, yellow highlighted region). Fourteen nucleotides of intron 4 are retained and were present on both forward and reverse sequencing (Fig. 4D).

**DISCUSSION**

Pathogenic loss-of-function variants in ARCN1 represent an emerging disorder of developmental delay and skeletal manifestations. The phenotype has proven to be relatively consistent among the five previously reported patients (Izumi et al. 2016; Reunert et al. 2019). The majority of patients demonstrate developmental delay, microcephaly, and short stature with rhizomelic shortening. Other less common manifestations include seizures, joint laxity, cleft palate, and need for tracheostomy. Transient defects in N-glycosylation have also been reported in a patient with an ARCN1-related disorder; although this was not assessed in our patient, it provides a potential avenue to confirm the diagnosis. The history of prematurity and the subsequent IVH in our patient likely contributed to his GDD as he has early-onset spastic

| Gene    | Chromosome       | HGVS DNA reference   | HGVS protein reference | Variant type       | Predicted effect | dbSNP/dbVar ID | Genotype   | Parent of origin | Observed effect |
|---------|------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------|------------|------------------|-----------------|
| ARCN1   | NC_000011.9:     | g.118455180A>G       | NM_001655.4:           | c.654-15A>G        | p.? Intronic     | Frameshift     | NA         | Heterozygous     | p.Pro219Phefs*13 |

**Figure 2.** The composite figure depicts the skeletal survey performed at age 3 yr. The lateral spine (A) and long bones (B,D–G), including the humerus (D), show normal contour. Of note, the clinically apparent rhizomelia is not seen on the radiographs of the upper limbs. The hands (C) show hypoplasia of some distal phalanges and a pseudoepiphysis of the second metacarpal.
Quadriplegia. However, his facial and skeletal manifestations cannot be explained by sequelae of IVH and fit the pattern described for ARCN1-related syndrome (Izumi et al. 2016).

Variants that affect splicing have historically been underrepresented but may account for up to 50% of all disease-causing variants (Wang and Cooper 2007). Identifying disease-

**Figure 3.** Sanger sequencing data from the patient and the patient's parents showing the de novo NM_001655.5(ARCN1):c.654-15A > G variant.

**Figure 4.** RNA studies. (A) To determine if the detected genomic variant altered RNA splicing, primers were designed to specifically amplify the predicted novel RNA isoform. (B) PCR products from the patient's sample and unrelated controls imaged with an eGene instrument. Only RNA extracted from the patient generated a PCR product indicating the presence of the predict RNA isoform. (C) Sanger sequence data. Patient sample forward reaction (top), reverse sequencing reaction (middle), and synthesized NM_001655.5 (ARCN1) RNA reference sequence (bottom). Nucleotides not present in the reference sequence are highlighted in yellow. (D) Schematic of new splice junction. The 14 retained nucleotides of intron 4 are highlighted in red. The partial primer site is represented by the blue bar.
causing splice variants is complicated by lack of computational tools to identify new splice sites and the general unavailability of clinical methods to test for altered transcription (Spurdle et al. 2008). Out of the four algorithms, SpliceSiteFinder-like (Shapiro and Senapathy 1987; Zhang 1998), MaxEntScan (Yeo and Burge 2004), NNSPLICE (Reese et al. 1997), and GeneSplicer (Pertea et al. 2001), we routinely use for the assessment of intronic variants, only two (SpliceSiteFinder-like and MaxEntScan) predicted that the c.654-15A > G variant created a significant novel splice acceptor site. Splice site choice is a complicated process, and multiple splice acceptors can be utilized per exon (Scotti and Swanson 2016; Sibley et al. 2016; Cummings et al. 2017). Therefore, one limitation of the RNA testing methodology used is that we do not know what proportion of transcripts retain the intron. Based on the creation of a premature stop codon in this novel transcript it is predicted to undergo nonsense-mediated decay. This is expected to result in a deficiency of mature mRNA and protein, which would be consistent with previously identified ARCN1 variants but alternate mechanisms of disease cannot be ruled out. However, given the high clinical overlap with other reports of ARCN1-related disorders and because the detected variant occurred de novo in the patient, it is presumed that the splicing defect observed is sufficient to cause the disorder observed in the patient.

Exome sequencing is recommended as a first-tier test for patients with neurodevelopmental disorders including GDD (Srivastava et al. 2019). The clinical yield for exome testing is increased when trio sequencing is utilized for the identification of de novo variants (Retterer et al. 2016). Trio sequencing facilitated early identification of the de novo c.654-15A > G variant in our patient. Without the use of the parent’s sequencing data, it would have been more difficult to identify the causative ARCN1 variant given the weak splice prediction and nucleotide conservation data.

To our knowledge, this patient represents the sixth documented case of an ARCN1-related disorder and the first disease-associated ARCN1 splice variant. This case study further delineates the phenotypes associated with ARCN1 and provides possible expansion of the phenotype. More case descriptions are required to understand the full scope of the phenotype and to provide prognostic and treatment-related recommendations.

**METHODS**

**Exome Sequencing**

Clinical exome sequencing was performed on the patient and his parents at ARUP Laboratories. Briefly, DNA was extracted from whole blood using the Chemagic MSM I instrument (PerkinElmer). XGen Exome Research Panel v1.0 (Integrated DNA Technologies) was used for exome capture and a NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina) was used for paired-end sequencing. A mean coverage depth of 199.6× was achieved for the proband and 95.5% of refseq-annotated exons were covered at a depth of 10× or greater. Full coverage data for all three individuals is available in Supplemental Table 1. Raw sequencing reads were aligned to the hg19 genome, and variants calls were filtered based on population frequency and inheritance. Reported variants were confirmed by targeted Sanger sequencing.

**RNA Analysis**

RNA was isolated from whole blood using the Maxwell RSC 48 simplyRNA Blood Kit (Promega). cDNA was generated with random hexamers using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen), followed by RNase H treatment. Primers were designed with M13 sequences to specifically target the 14-bp alternatively spliced region directly downstream from exon 4 (indicated in bold, forward: 5′-TGTTAAAACGACGGCGAGTACCAGCCAGAT
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TCTTCCACT-3'; reverse: 5'-CAGGAAACAGCTATGACCTTAGCACCCCTACGTCACTG-3').

PCR was performed with FailSafe premix J (Lucigen) and Accustart Taq (Quantabio) with a final primer concentration of 0.25 µM in a 20 µL reaction. Samples were amplified on SimpliAmp (ThermoFisher) thermalcylers with a touchdown PCR cycle: an initial denaturation step of 95°C for 5 min, followed by 10 cycles of 94° for 30 sec, 62°C (with an auto Δ-0.5°C/cycle) for 45 sec, and 72°C for 45 sec, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 57°C for 45 sec, and 72°C for 45 sec, with a final extension step of 72°C for 7 min and an infinite hold of 4°C. The resulting PCR products were visualized on the eGene (QIAGEN) and cleaned up with 4 µL exoSAP-IT (ThermoFisher) on SimpliAmp thermalcylers (ThermoFisher) with the following conditions: 37°C for 15 min, 85°C for 15 min, and 4°C hold. The PCR amplicons were sequenced bidirectionally with M13 primers (forward: 5´-TGTAAAA CGACGGCCAGT-3´; reverse: 5´-CAGGAAACAGCTATGACC-3´) and the BigDye Terminator v1.1 Cycle Sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems, ThermoFisher). Sequencing reaction products were purified with Sephadex G-50 Superfine beads (GE Life Sciences). Diluted purified sequencing products were detected on the ABI 3730xl DNA Analyzer (ThermoFisher) capillary electrophoresis instrument. The resulting sequencing files were analyzed with MutationSurveyor v5.1.2 (SoftGenetics) using the NM_001655.5 reference sequence.

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

Data Deposition and Access

The ARCN1 variant has been submitted to ClinVar (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/) under accession number SCV001142736.1. Consent was not obtained to submit exome sequencing data to a public repository.
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We obtained signed consent from the parents of the proband under the University of Utah IRB_00007551, entitled Clinical Genetics Research program, renewed 05/15/2020. The family was enrolled and provided consent to participate in the collection of phenotypic and genetic data, October 8, 2019.
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