Identification of \textit{in vivo} Essential Genes of \textit{Vibrio vulnificus} for Establishment of Wound Infection by Signature-Tagged Mutagenesis
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\textit{Vibrio vulnificus} can cause severe necrotic lesions within a short time. Recently, it has been reported that the numbers of wound infection cases in healthy hosts are increasing, for which surgical procedures are essential in many instances to eliminate the pathogen owing to its rapid proliferation. However, the mechanisms by which \textit{V. vulnificus} can achieve wound infection in healthy hosts have not been elucidated. Here, we advance a systematic understanding of \textit{V. vulnificus} wound infection through genome-wide identification of the relevant genes. Signature-tagged mutagenesis (STM) has been developed to identify functions required for the establishment of infection including colonization, rapid proliferation, and pathogenicity. Previously, STM had been regarded to be unsuitable for negative selection to detect the virulence genes of \textit{V. vulnificus} owing to the low colonization and proliferation ability of this pathogen in the intestinal tract and systemic circulation. Alternatively, we successfully identified the virulence genes by applying STM to a murine model of wound infection. We examined a total of 5418 independent transposon insertion mutants by signature-tagged transposon mutagenesis and detected 71 clones as attenuated mutants consequent to disruption of genes by the insertion of a transposon. This is the first report demonstrating that the pathogenicity of \textit{V. vulnificus} during wound infection is highly dependent on its characteristics: flagellar-based motility, siderophore-mediated iron acquisition system, capsular polysaccharide, lipopolysaccharide, and rapid chromosome partitioning. In particular, these functions during the wound infection process and are indispensable for proliferation in healthy hosts. Our results may thus allow the potential development of new strategies and reagents to control the proliferation of \textit{V. vulnificus} and prevent human infections.
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INTRODUCTION

\textit{Vibrio vulnificus} is a Gram-negative halophilic bacterium and opportunistic human pathogen that causes primary septicemia and wound infections (Oliver, 2005, 2015; Menon et al., 2014). Epidemiological studies show that the incidence of \textit{V. vulnificus} wound infection, which can result from exposure to seawater or through handling marine products, is increasing (Oliver, 2005). This
is primarily due to rising seawater temperatures caused by global warming, which are essential for the rapid proliferation of this pathogen (Vezzulli et al., 2013; Oliver, 2015). The wound infection can result in lethal septicemia or require surgery to prevent extensive tissue damage and remove bacteria from the infection sites. However, the mechanisms of pathogenesis in V. vulnificus wound infection remain to be elucidated.

To date, in vivo expression technology (IVET), in vivo-induced antigen technology (IVIAT), and signature-tagged mutagenesis (STM) have been used to identify virulence factors of V. vulnificus that are functionally expressed in vivo, focusing on primary septicemia (Kim et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2007; Yamamoto et al., 2015). Both IVET and IVIAT comprise positive selection methods, which can identify genes whose expression is enhanced in vivo after excluding constitutively expressed genes (Mahan et al., 1993; Kim et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2007). In contrast, STM is a negative selection method that can detect essential genes for in vivo proliferation and functionally deficient mutants (Hensel et al., 1995; Yamamoto et al., 2015). However, although harvesting sufficient bacterial number from hosts as an output pool is indispensable for the negative selection of mutants, V. vulnificus is not able to efficiently colonize and proliferate in mouse models of primary septicemia (Lin et al., 2014; Kashimoto et al., 2015). Thus, STM had been considered not to be suitable for the identification of virulence genes of V. vulnificus. However, we could show that a sufficient number of bacteria required for the STM can be collected from a murine model of wound infection and that the lethal outcomes cannot be prevented if allowing sufficient proliferation by V. vulnificus in muscle tissue (Yamazaki et al., 2017). In addition, recent epidemiological report and our previous report suggested that STM is an adequate method to identify essential genes for V. vulnificus proliferation only in a murine model of wound infection. Oliver (2015) reported that 94% of patients with primary septicemia have one or more underlying disease(s), whereas over 80% of individuals presenting with V. vulnificus wound infection have no underlying diseases. This suggests that soft-tissues in wound infection constitute an environment for bacterial proliferation that is significantly different from the intestinal tract or systemic circulation affected in primary septicemia.

Virulence genes are preferentially expressed during infection. Both in primary septicemia and wound infection of V. vulnificus, the multifunctional-autoprocessing repeats-in-toxin (MARTX) toxin is known to be indispensable for pathogenicity and colonization (Chung et al., 2010; Lo et al., 2011; Jeong and Satchell, 2012). Toxic effects of the MARTX toxin are exerted in a cell contact-dependent manner and can kill host cells, leading to bacterial resistance against neutrophils (Kim et al., 2008). Moreover, the incubation period for V. vulnificus wound infection cases averages only 16 h, which is much shorter than that of primary septicemia (Oliver, 2015). These findings encourage the hypothesis that V. vulnificus carries mechanisms for immune evasion, colonization, and rapid proliferation especially in wound infection. These processes would be mediated by factors that are constitutively expressed and preemptively function in early stages of infection, underlying its pathogenic ability to establish wound infection within a short time. To test this hypothesis, we applied STM to a murine model of wound infection, which was expected to resolve the problems of low-efficiencies in harvesting the pathogen from systemically infected animals, and identified the genes and functions required by V. vulnificus for efficient proliferation in wound infection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals
We utilized five-week-old female C57BL/6 mice (Charles River Laboratories Japan, Yokohama, Japan) for the animal experiments. The mice were housed in a controlled environment with a 12:12-h light-dark cycle and were fed rat chow MF (Oriental Yeast, Tokyo, Japan) and tap water. Ambient temperature during the study was maintained at about 23°C.

Ethics Statement
All animal studies were carried out in strict accordance with the Guidelines for Animal Experimentation of the Japanese Association for Laboratory Animal Science. The animal experimentation protocol was approved by the President of Kitasato University based on the judgment of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Kitasato University (Approval No. 15–156).

Bacterial Strains
Vibrio vulnificus CMCP6 is a clinical isolate from a male septicemic patient at the Chonnam National University Hospital, South Korea (Kim et al., 2003; Tan et al., 2014), and kindly provided by Dr. Joon Haeng Rhee (Chonnam National University, South Korea). The complete genome sequence of CMCP6 is available in some databases, Joint Genome Institute1 and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG)2. Escherichia coli BW19795 with signature-tagged mini-Tn5Km2 in pUT were used for conjugation of transposons to V. vulnificus. ΔpomA is a non-motile strain used as a control strain for the swarming assay (Gülig et al., 2009). V. vulnificus E4 is unencapsulated strain (translucent colony morphology) and was used as a control strain for colony morphology. This strain was isolated from seafood in Florida, and kindly provided by Dr. Shinichi Miyoshi (Okayama University, Japan) (Kashimoto et al., 2003).

STM
The scheme of STM is shown in Figure 1. The construction of a library containing 63 mutants with a transposon tagged by a unique sequence was performed as previously described (Yamamoto et al., 2015). Briefly, E. coli BW19795 with signature-tagged mini-Tn5Km2 in pUT were combined with V. vulnificus on a nitrocellulose Hybond C membrane (GE Healthcare) for conjugation, placed onto an M9 agar plate, and incubated

1http://www.jgi.doe.gov/programs/GEBA/index.html
2https://www.genome.jp/kegg
at 25°C (Forsyth and Kushner, 1970; de Lorenzo et al., 1990; Pobigaylo et al., 2006). The bacterial suspension was plated onto Thiostate-Citrate-Bile Salts-Sucrose (TCBS) agar containing 100 µg/ml kanamycin and incubated overnight at 37°C for selection (Pfeffer and Oliver, 2003). Each signature-tagged transposon insertion mutants of \( V.\ vulnificus \) were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium containing 100 mg/ml of kanamycin for 12 h at 37°C in 96 well plate separately. We checked each bacterial growth by measuring optical density at 600 nm (OD600) with a microplate reader (Sunrise/TECAN, Japan, Kanagawa, Japan), and the mutants were pooled, washed with LB medium without any anti-biotics, and used as an input pool. Mice were subcutaneously inoculated with 10\(^6\) CFU of the input pool into right caudal thighs (Kashimoto et al., 2005). The infected mice were carefully monitored and sacrificed by sevoflurane (Wako pure chemical industries, Osaka, Japan) inhalation 12 to 24 h post-infection when they displayed critical symptoms that are directly associated with death, such as deep hypothermia, and the output pool was collected from murine spleens (Figure 1). Selection of attenuated mutants by STM was performed in triplicate for each of 86 libraries.

For tag-specific dot hybridization, 10 µM of the target DNA, comprising the signature-tagged sequence region of each transposon, was blotted onto Hybond-N+ membrane (GE Healthcare) and fixed with CL-1000 Ultraviolet Crosslinkers (UVP, Upland, CA, United States). DNA probes were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with Dig-labeled primers: Arb1 (5′-GGG CAC GGC TGC ACT AGT CAN NNN NNN ATG AC-3′), Arb2 (5′-GGC CAC GGC TGC ACT AGT CAN NNN NNN TTC AA-3′), Arb4 (5′-GGG CAC GGC TGC ACT AGT CAN NNN NNN CCA CG-3′), Arb5 (5′-GGG CAC GGC TGC ACT AGT CAN NNN NNN ACT GA-3′), Arb6 (5′-GGG CAC GGC TGC ACT AGT CAN NNN AGC CG-3′), Arb7 (5′-GGG CAC GGC TGC ACT AGT CAN NNN NNN TGG GA-3′), and Arb for 2nd (5′-GGG CAC GGC TGC ACT AGT CAN NNN NNN CCA CG-3′). Thermal cycling conditions of first round were as follows: (i) 5 min at 95°C; (ii) 6 cycles of 20 s at 95°C, 20 s at 30°C, and 2 min at 72°C; (iii) 30 cycles of 20 s at 95°C, 20 s at 45°C, and 2 min at 72°C; and (iv) 5 min at 72°C. PCR was performed in a 50-µl reaction mix containing 5 µl of 10× PCR Buffer for Paq5000, 2 µl of 2 mM dNTPs, 2 µl of primer mix (0.5 µM final concentration of each primer), 0.5 µl Paq5000 polymerase (0.5 unit, Stratagene, CA, United States), 1 µl DNA template (about 200 ng genomic DNA), and distilled water. Thermal cycling conditions of second round were as follows: (i) 30 s at 95°C; (ii) 30 cycles of 20 s at 95°C, 30 s at 55°C, and 4 min at 68°C; and

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.jpg)
Construction of a pomA Mutant and a cheY Mutant

To delete a 762-bp DNA fragment of pomA, approximately 1000 bp of each 5’ and 3’ of flanking regions of PomA encoding gene were amplified by PCR with the primers: pomA Up Fw (5’-GGG GTG ACG CCA AAG TAT ATG GTG AAT GGC AGC GTT TG-3’), pomA Down Fw (5’-AAG GGA GGG AAA TAA TCG CCG GAG TAT GTG ATG GAT GAC G-3’), pomA Down Rev (5’-CTT AAC GGC TGA CAT GGG GAC GAG ATC TGG TAC ATG GAT GAC GAC GAT AAG ATC GTT GAT ATC GAG GGC AC-3’), and pomA Flag Rev (5’-TGA TTT CCT CCC GTT TTA GAT TAC AAG GAT GAC GAT AAG ATC GTT GAT ATC GAG GGC AC-3’). The amplified DNA were cloned into the suicide vector pYAK1, which contains the chloramphenicol resistant gene and sacB gene conferring sensitivity to sucrose (Kodama et al., 2002).

A 2859-bp DNA fragment including cheY was amplified using specific primers: cheY up Fw (5’-CTG CAG TGA ATG TGA GCC TCG AAC CGA AAC ATG AGC GTT TG-3’) and cheY down Rev (5’-GGA TCC TTC GTG ATG GAG AAG TTA CTA CGG GAC CAT TGC TAC TC-3’). It cloned into the pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega, WI, United States). To delete a 381-bp DNA fragment of cheY, the plasmid was amplified by inverse PCR using specific primers: Inv Fw (5’-TTA GGG CCC CAA AAT TCC CTC CAT CAG TGA ATG-3’) and Inv Rev (5’-GCC GTG CAC TAA ACC TCG TTT GAA GAT TA-3’), treated with DpnI to digest methylated parental plasmids, and self-ligated. The plasmid was digested with Apal and ApaLI and then cloned into the suicide vector pYAK1.

The generated plasmids, pYAK1-pomAKO and pYAK1-cheYKO, were introduced into Escherichia coli BW19795. The transformants were combined with V. vulnificus on a nitrocellulose Hybond C membrane (GE Healthcare, Tokyo, Japan) for conjugation, placed onto an M9 agar plate, and incubated at 25°C (Forsyth and Kushner, 1970; de Lorenzo et al., 1990; Pobigaylo et al., 2006). The bacterial suspension was plated onto TCBS agar containing 10 μg/ml chloramphenicol and incubated overnight at 37°C for selection (Pfeffer and Oliver, 2003). V. vulnificus CMCP6, retaining pYAK1- pomAKO and pYAK1-cheYKO, were cultured in LB broth containing 20% sucrose. The resulting strain due to sacB-assisted allelic exchange was named ΔpomA and ΔcheY (Miller, 1972; Kodama et al., 2002).

Complementation of ΔcheY

The cheY gene was amplified using specific primers: cheY Fw (5’-GGA TCC TTC GTG AAT AAA AAC ATG AAG ATC CTT ATT-3’) and cheY Rev (5’-CTC GAG TTA TAA ACG TTC AAA AAT TTT ATC TAG-3’). It cloned into pACYC184 by Infusion cloning reactions (Clontech, TaKaRa, Shiga, Japan). The complementing plasmid pACYC184-cheY was introduced into V. vulnificus via electroporation. After inoculation onto LB plate containing 10 μg/ml chloramphenicol and incubation overnight at 37°C for selection, the strain retaining the plasmid was named pcheY. The cheY gene could be expressed from the cat promoter on this plasmid (Wiesner et al., 2003).

Swarming Assay

Vibrio vulnificus CMCP6 parent strain (WT) were grown in LB medium, and each signature-tagged transposon insertion mutants were grown in LB medium containing 100 μg/ml of kanamycin at 37°C. Overnight cultures (100 μl) were inoculated into 2 ml of fresh medium and incubated for 2 h. Log-phase bacteria were inoculated onto LB plates containing 0.3% agar and incubated for 12 h at 37°C.

Capsule Assay

WT and E4 were grown in LB medium, and each signature-tagged transposon insertion mutants were grown in LB medium containing 100 μg/ml of kanamycin at 37°C. Overnight cultures (5 μl) were inoculated onto LB plate and incubated for 12 h at 37°C. The opacity of the colonies was examined.

Bacterial Proliferation Analysis in Muscle Tissue

WT and ΔcheY were grown in LB medium containing 50 mg/ml of rifampicin, and pcheY was grown in LB medium containing 50 mg/ml of rifampicin and 10 μg/ml chloramphenicol with agitation (163 rpm) at 37°C. Overnight cultures (100 μl) were inoculated into 2 ml of fresh medium and incubated for 2 h. Bacteria were harvested, washed with PBS (pH 7.2) containing 0.1% gelatin, and resuspended in fresh medium. Then, 10^6 CFU/mouse were subcutaneously inoculated into right caudal thighs. Infected mice were sacrificed at 6 h post-infection. The collected muscular tissue was suspended in PBS containing 0.1% gelatin, homogenized for 5 s with a lab mixer IKA EUROSTAR digital (IKA Werke, Germany; 1,300 rpm), and centrifuged at 800 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant was plated at 10-fold serial dilutions in duplicate on LB agar containing 50 μg/ml rifampicin and incubated for 12 h at 37°C. V. vulnificus colonies were counted, and the number of CFU/g of muscle tissue was determined as a bacterial burden in muscle tissue.

RESULTS

Screening of Mutants in the Wound Infection Model and Identification of Crucial V. vulnificus Genes for Proliferation in the Healthy Hosts

A total of 86 sets of libraries consisting of 5418 independent transposon insertion mutants were examined by comparing the
presence of each tagged mutant between the input pool and the output pool samples (Figure 1). Overall, 71 mutants produced weak signals or lacked any signals upon comparing the hybridized output pool with the input pool (Figure 1), and were selected as attenuated mutant strains in which a virulence gene was disrupted by the insertion of a transposon. Although 11 out of 71 clones (5 genes: VV1_0217, VV1_0400, VV1_0778, VV1_2145, and VV2_0843) were detected twice or three times in this study, the transposon insertion sites of all attenuated strains were different even within the same gene. Of the identified genes, 82% were located in chromosome I and 18% were in chromosome II (Figure 2A). The encoded proteins of transposon-inserted genes were broadly grouped based on the KEGG pathway database\(^1\) and their putative function: cellular component, metabolism, regulation, and uncertain function proteins (Figure 2B).

**Flagellum and Pili**

Genes that express putative cellular component proteins were found to be involved in the construction of the flagellum, membranes, phage, and pili (Figure 3A). *V. vulnificus* has a polar single flagellum. Flagellar-based motility is involved in swimming in liquid medium and swarming on surfaces (Ottemann and Miller, 1997). A list of detected genes involved in the flagellum and its predicted function are summarized in Table 1. The detected genes were involved in the assembly or components of the flagellar MS-ring (*fliF*), C-ring (*fliM*), hook (*flgK*), filament cap (*fliD*), and motors (*pomA* and *motX*) (Yorimitsu and Homma, 2001; Ran Kim and Haeng Rhee, 2003; Evans et al., 2014; Gao et al., 2014). Genes for a component of the flagellar Type III secretion system (*fliH*, *fliI*, and *fliB*) and flagellar hook-length control (*fliK*) were responsible for flagellin export (Minamino et al., 2008). All strains carrying a disruption of any gene for flagellar proteins exhibited a defect in swarming motility (Table 1 and Figure 4). Genes for FimT, FimV, and CpaE-like proteins are involved in the synthesis of Type IV pili (Tfp) (Table 1). Our findings indicate that the flagellum and pili of *V. vulnificus* are essential for the wound infection.

**Carbohydrate and Iron Metabolism**

The genes involved in metabolism included those responsible for the utilization of carbohydrate and iron (Figure 3B). Glycosyltransferase gene of N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) metabolism was primarily detected in carbohydrate metabolism

---

\(^1\)https://www.genome.jp/kegg/pathway.html

| Gene tag | Name | Gene product | In vitro phenotype | Predicted function in the wound infection |
|----------|------|--------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------|
| VV1_0217 | *flgK* | Flagellar hook filament junction | Non-motile | Spread in soft-tissues |
| VV1_0312 | *pomA* | Flagellar Na+ motor (torque generation) | Non-motile | Transition to the systemic circulation |
| VV1_1300 | *motX* | Flagellar Na+ motor component | Non-motile | |
| VV1_1928 | *fliD* | Flagellar filament cap | Non-motile | |
| VV1_1935 | *fliF* | Flagellar MS-ring | Non-motile | |
| VV1_1937 | *fliH* | Fli export; negative regulator of Fli | Non-motile | |
| VV1_1938 | *fliI* | Fli export ATPase | Non-motile | |
| VV1_1940 | *fliK* | Flagellar hook-length control | Non-motile | |
| VV1_1942 | *fliM* | Flagellar motor switch component/C-ring | Non-motile | |
| VV1_1948 | *fliN* | Fli export | Non-motile | |
| VV1_1953 | *cheY* | Transmits chemoreceptor signals | Smooth bias swimming | |
| VV1_1955 | *cheA* | Sensor kinase | Smooth bias swimming | |
| VV1_1958 | *cheW* | Purine-binding chemotaxis protein | Non-motile | |
| VV1_0352 | *flmT* | Tfp pilus assembly | | Adhesion to cells |
| VV1_1991 | *flmA* | ATPase AAA pilus assembly | | Biofilm formation |
| VV1_2333 | Pili assembly (CpaE-like protein) | | | |
| VV1_0578 | *murG* | Glycosyltransferase | | Maintenance of bacterial cell morphology |
| VV1_0778 | Glycosyltransferase | | Translucent colony | Resistance to immune cells in soft tissue and the systemic circulation |
| VV1_1426 | Lipid A core-O-antigen ligase | | Non-motile | Induction of inflammatory cytokines |
| VV1_0786 | Polysaccharide export | | Translucent colony | |
| VV1_1667 | *wza* | Glucosamine kinase | | |
| VV2_0843 | *vuuA* | Ferric vulnibactin receptor | | |
| VV2_1016 | *iutA* | Aerobactin siderophore receptor | | |
| VV1_2145 | *mukB* | Chromosome partition | | Chromosome partition and cell division |
| VV2_2245 | DNA polymerase III subunit epsilon | | | |
| VV2_0122 | DNA helicase IV | | | |
FIGURE 2 | Broad grouping of genes detected by STM screening (A,B). Genes detected by STM were broadly grouped. Pie charts showing their chromosomal localization (A) and their predicted function (B).

(Figure 3B and Table 1). The metabolism and biosynthesis of GlcNAc are required for the bacterial cell wall, peptidoglycans, and outer membrane; i.e., lipopolysaccharides (LPS) (Low et al., 2010; Typas et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2013). In addition, strains carrying a disruption of glycosyltransferase (VV1_0778) gene exhibited translucent colony (Figure 5), meaning reduced capsular polysaccharides (CPS) expression (Lee et al., 2013).

In iron metabolism, the genes for VuuA and IutA were detected in this study (Table 1 and Figure 3B). These are outer membrane receptors of iron chelators (siderophores) that is a high affinity protein against iron, which is necessary for bacterial proliferation (Webster and Litwin, 2000; Tanabe et al., 2005; Weinberg, 2009; Kawano et al., 2017). Thus, these findings indicate that V. vulnificus requires an iron acquisition system for their proliferation in healthy hosts.

Regulation of Chemotaxis and Cell Division
Mutant strains of chromosome partition protein MukB, DNA helicase, and DNA polymerase showed equivalent proliferation as the parent strain in vitro but were detected by the STM method (Table 1). It indicates the existence of regulators of chromosomal replication functioning only in vivo. Two transposon insertion mutants that lacked chromosome partition protein MukB were detected in the STM. Each mutant was expressed 918 or 1269 amino acid residues of MukB, which is composed of 1484 amino acid residues. Both mukB::Tn mutants did not exhibit a growth defect in vitro. We have attempted to construct an in-frame deletion mutant of MukB to verify the phenotypes of mukB::Tn, but it could not be obtained. Thus, our results suggest that MukB is essential for the survival of V. vulnificus and the C-terminal domain of MukB likely plays key roles in vivo.

In order to sense and respond to various environmental conditions, the two-component system, which regulates the direction of flagellar rotation based on sensing of chemoattractants or chemorepellents in bacterial chemotaxis (Butler and Camilli, 2005), along with regulation of cell division are essential in bacteria (Figure 3C). The two-component system consists of sensor kinase and response regulators and accomplishes signal transduction via their phosphorylation (Hoch, 2000; Wadhams and Armitage, 2004). In the present study, a histidine kinase (CheA), a response regulator (CheY), and an adapter protein (CheW) for chemoreceptors and the histidine kinase in V. vulnificus chemotaxis were detected (Table 1; Zhu et al., 2013). To determine a responsible gene for chemotaxis and whether the chemotaxis is essential for proliferation at the site of the wound infection, we inoculated WT, ΔcheY, or pccheY into the murine subcutaneous tissue and then analyzed bacterial burdens in the muscle tissue beneath the inoculation site at 6 h post-infection. Average CFU values in WT-infected mice was $5.97 \times 10^6$ CFU/g. In contrast, the average CFU in the ΔcheY-infected mice was significantly lower, $3.59 \times 10^4$ CFU/g (Figure 6). The ΔcheY mutant exhibits approximately 15-fold reduced bacterial burden ($p = 0.0043$) in the tissue compared with WT, and the bacterial burden was recovered by complementation with cheY (Figure 6). These results demonstrate that chemotaxis, as well as flagellum construction, were essential for V. vulnificus proliferation at the local site of the wound infection.

DISCUSSION
Chromosomal Location of Functional Genes
We classified and selected 71 clones from among 5418 independent transposon insertion mutants. Although genes involved in the known virulence factors of V. vulnificus, such as MARTX, VVH, VvpE, the and iron acquisition system, are located on chromosome II (Miyoshi et al., 1993; Jeong et al., 2000; Chen et al., 2003; Jones and Oliver, 2009), our detected genes mainly were localized on chromosome I. These findings indicate that the genes encoding essential functions for proliferation and spreading in healthy hosts during wound infection are preferentially situated on chromosome I.

Adhesion and Biofilm Formation
Type IV pili are known to play a role in cell adhesion, twitching motility, and the uptake of foreign genes (Paranjpye and Strom, 2005; Bucior et al., 2012). Studies on
*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* indicate that the FimT, FimV, and CpaE-like protein are involved in Tfp assembly (Michel et al., 2011). Tfp of *P. aeruginosa* is essential for twitching motility, however, that of *V. vulnificus* is expected to be functionally distinct (Jones and Oliver, 2009; Bucior et al., 2012). Pili contribute to pathogenicity via biofilm formation and adhesion to epithelial cells in *V. vulnificus* infection (Paranjpye et al., 1998; Paranjpye and Strom, 2005; Jones and Oliver, 2009). Gander and LaRocco (1989) showed that clinically isolated strains of *V. vulnificus*, especially those isolated from wound infection sites, presented higher numbers of pilus fibers per cell than environmentally isolated strains. Paranjpye and Strom (2005) further reported that pili-deficient mutants of *V. vulnificus* exhibited decreased adhesion to cells, biofilm formation, and lethality in iron dextran-treated mice. Together with these data, the results of the present study first showed the essential genes for and importance of pili in the wound infection, suggesting the possibility that Tfp of *V. vulnificus* may be involved in the adherence to cells and biofilm formation in soft tissues at the wound infection site (Figure 7A).

**Bacterial Spreading in Soft-Tissues and Transition to the Systemic Circulation**

The flagellum is structurally composed of the basal body, hook, and filament (Ran Kim and Haeng Rhee, 2003; Hirano et al., 2009; Evans et al., 2014; Gao et al., 2014; Tsang and Hoover, 2015). The basal body serves as a rotation axis and consists of the MS-ring, C-ring, PL-ring, and rod. The C-ring of the basal body interacts with the motor protein and functions as a rotor (Yorimitsu and Homma, 2001). The hook transmits the torque generated in the basal body to the filament. The basal body rod, hook, and filament...
are transported through the central channel of the flagellum by the flagellar Type III secretion system that exists in the cytoplasm (Minamino et al., 2008; Parker et al., 2014). The filament is made of polymerized flagellin proteins and forms a spiral shape. It has been reported that mutants disrupting flagellar hook-basal body, flagellin, and controlling flagellum expression exhibited significant decreases in invasion ability from the intestine into the systemic circulation, as well as in lethality during intragastric infection of suckling mice (Kim et al., 2003, 2014; Duong-Nu et al., 2016). In addition, we reported that immunization with flagellin can prevent *V. vulnificus* proliferation in a local wound infection site (Yamazaki et al., 2017). These findings show that the flagellum is required for proliferation both in the intestine and in soft-tissues at the local wound infection site and for invasion of the systemic circulation (Figure 7B).

Bacteria change swimming direction by tumbling consequent to switching the direction of flagellar rotation from counterclockwise to clockwise (Homma et al., 1996; Zhu et al., 2013) and move toward a favorable environment through the proper control of flagellar rotation based on chemotaxis, which is controlled by the two-component system (Butler and Camilli, 2005). Methyl-accepting chemosensory proteins (MCPs) sense chemical gradients of the surrounding environments, bind chemoattractants or chemorepellents, and transmit chemotactic signals to CheW interacting with CheA (Zhu et al., 2013). CheA is involved in the activation (phosphorylation) of CheY. When the activated CheY binds to the switch protein FlIM, the direction of flagellar rotation is altered from counterclockwise to clockwise (Delalez et al., 2010; Biswas et al., 2013). Our observation that CheY and CheA mutants swim straight is a consequence of counterclockwise-biased flagellar rotation (smooth biased) (Table 1). They will swim straight *in vivo* as well as *in vitro* and may not able to spread in soft tissues which have complex and intricate structures. It must have resulted in a non-sufficient proliferation by ΔcheY at the local wound infection site and not be detected from spleen as a STM output (Figure 6).

Together, our findings revealed that in addition to the complete flagellum, flagellar rotation control based on chemotaxis is essential for *V. vulnificus* to spread in soft tissue and proliferate at the site of wound infection. Notably, the chemotaxis of *V. vulnificus* associated with tissue tropism or invasion of the systemic circulation has not previously been investigated. However, although approximately 40 genes encoding MCPs exist on *V. vulnificus* chromosomes, these were not detected in the present study. Identification of the chemoattractants underlying bacterial spread in soft tissue and transition to the systemic circulation will therefore likely be helpful to prevent the development of sepsis.

**Rapid Proliferation**

Several pathogens, such as *Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi*, *Vibrio cholerae*, and *E. coli*, secrete siderophore during intestinal tract infection (Weinberg, 2009). It is thought that *V. vulnificus* also secretes siderophore in primary septicemia through the digestion of raw seafood (Simpson and Oliver, 1983; Litwin and Byrne, 1998; Jones and Oliver, 2009; Tan et al., 2014; Oliver, 2015). Notably, patients with primary septicemia have underlying diseases such as liver cirrhosis, hemochromatosis, or alcoholism, which predispose to iron overload. In fact, the elevation of serum iron levels in hosts is highly associated with *V. vulnificus* infection (Biosca et al., 1996; Litwin et al., 1996; Arezes et al., 2015). Unlike in such patients, iron is limited in a healthy host and usually stored as heme in erythrocytes (hemoglobin) and muscle (myoglobin), or ferritin in hepatocytes. Extracellular iron is bound to transferrin in the serum and lactoferrin in secretions. In addition, Arezes et al. (2015) reported that the hepcidin secreted by hepatocytes suppresses serum iron levels during *V. vulnificus* infection. Unlike in septicemia, in soft-tissue infection, one a single study has reported that *P. aeruginosa* secretes siderophore, in a murine model of surgical site infection (Kim et al., 2015). These observations indicate that it would be difficult for pathogens to acquire iron from the iron regulatory system in a healthy host. Our result is strongly suggested that siderophore secretion and siderophore receptors (ferric vulnibactin receptor and aerobactin siderophore receptor) are essential to acquire iron for the proliferation of *V. vulnificus* during wound infection in healthy hosts (Figure 7C). It is consistent with the previous report that the expression of these receptors in *V. vulnificus* is enhanced under *in vitro* iron-restricted conditions (Kawano et al., 2017).

*Vibrionaceae* bacteria such as *V. vulnificus*, *V. cholerae*, and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* have two differently sized chromosomes that each contain an origin for replication (Sawitzke and Austin, 2000; Chen et al., 2003; Rasmussen et al., 2007; Val et al., 2016). This characteristic enables rapid chromosome replication, cell division, and proliferation and is likely essential for the proliferation of *V. vulnificus* in the wound infection. In particular, several studies on *E. coli* have shown that MukB acts as a homodimer, condenses DNA, and facilitates chromosome segregation (Niki et al., 1992).

We also detected a *murG* mutant by STM. In *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli*, MurG plays a key role in the biosynthesis of the peptidoglycan layer to form a glycosidic bond between
Yamazaki et al. Functional Genes During Wound Infection

FIGURE 7 | STM screening reveals the essential factors whereby *V. vulnificus* invades, spreads, proliferates, and causes wound infection. (A) *V. vulnificus* adheres to cells located at the local wound infection site via the pilus. Biofilm formation and CPS contribute to resistance against immune cells. (B) *V. vulnificus* spreads in soft-tissues by flagellar based motility based on chemotaxis. (C) Two different sizes of chromosomes enable rapid chromosome replication leading to rapid cell division and proliferation. Iron, which is an essential element in bacterial metabolic and informational cellular pathways, is acquired via a siderophore-mediated system. (D) CPS and LPS induce inflammation-associated cytokines leading to septic shock.

N-acetylmuramyl pentapeptide and GlcNAc for bacterial cell walls (Mengin-Lécreulx et al., 1991; Brown et al., 2013; Dhar et al., 2017; Saxena et al., 2017). The bacterial cell wall contributes to cellular integrity, determination of shape, and adaptation to the surrounding environment, allowing cell expansion during growth and cell separation after cell division. Accordingly, mutation in the cell wall composition affected not only morphology of the bacterial cells but also the rapid cell division (Figure 7C).

Immune Evasion and Pathogenesis

Park et al. (2006) and Senchenkova et al. (2009) showed a mutant strain of *wbpP* gene encoding GlcNAc C4 epimerase is CPS-deficient. Thus, GlcNAc metabolism is essential for the synthesis of CPS. CPS is considered essential for *in vivo* proliferation, as clinically isolated strains typically produce CPS unlike environmentally isolated strains (Yoshida et al., 1985; Simpson et al., 1987). It has been reported that CPS of *V. vulnificus* is essential for the evasion of phagocytosis by macrophages as well as for serum resistance (Wright et al., 2001; Williams et al., 2014). In addition, capsular (opaque) strains are more invasive than non-capsular (translucent) strain in guinea pig subcutaneous tissue (Yoshida et al., 1985; Figure 7A). Synthesized CPS is transported through a channel in the bacterial outer membrane formed by the lipoprotein Wza, of which deficient mutants showed translucent colonies, increased serum sensitivity, and reduced lethality in mice (Wright et al., 2001). In turn, LPS constitutes a polysaccharide side chain that comprises lipid A,
core oligosaccharide, and O antigen. The lipid A core-O-antigen ligase that was detected in the present study functions to bind O-antigen polysaccharide to the lipid A-core oligosaccharide (Abeyrathne et al., 2005). It was reported that sialic acid modification of LPS is required for \textit{V. vulnificus} to proliferate in the systemic circulation (Lubin et al., 2015). However, Wza and the sialic acid modification of LPS are also involve in flagellar assembly (Lubin et al., 2015). These results complicate the interpretation of which factor(s) among CPS, LPS, or the flagellum is the most important for each function. However, although further study is required to clarify this issue, the importance of CPS, LPS, and the flagellum was suggested by the detection of mutants that specifically disrupted each factor in our STM (Table 1). In addition, CPS and LPS of \textit{V. vulnificus} serve as inducers of tumor necrosis factor-alpha (Powell et al., 1997), which has the potential to cause endotoxic shock. Together, our findings suggest that LPS and CPS of \textit{V. vulnificus} contribute to the initial establishment and fatal outcomes in both primary septicemia and wound infection (Figures 7A,D).

CONCLUSION

Our findings demonstrate that the pathogenicity of \textit{V. vulnificus} during wound infection highly depends on its characteristics; motility, iron-acquisition, CPS, LPS, and two circular chromosomes. In addition, we identified novel factor: chemotaxis, which likely enables \textit{V. vulnificus} to spread toward a suitable environment, rapidly proliferate especially at the local infection site, and aggravate wound infection within a short period. These factors comprise attractive potential candidates for the development of antibiotics or vaccines to control infection.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

KY and TKas contributed to the conception and design of the study. KY performed the majority of the experiments and analyzed the data. MM and TKad assisted STM. KY wrote the manuscript. SU analyzed and supervised this study. All authors contributed to manuscript revision and read and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was partly supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI Grant Numbers 26450411 and 18H02350, and by the Grant for Joint Research Project of the Research Institute for Microbial Diseases, the University of Osaka. The funder had no role in study design, data collection, and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

REFERENCES

Abeyrathne, P. D., Daniels, C., Poon, K. K., Matewish, M. J., and Lam, J. S. (2005). Functional characterization of Wza, a ligase associated with linking O-antigen polysaccharide to the core of \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} lipopolysaccharide. \textit{J. Bacteriol.} 187, 3002–3012. doi: 10.1128/JB.187.9.3002-3012.2005

Aрезеs, J., Jung, G., Gabayn, V., Valore, E., Ruchala, P., Gulig, P. A., et al. (2015). Hecpcid-induced hypoferrremia is a critical host defense mechanism against the siderophilic bacterium \textit{Vibrio vulnificus}. \textit{Cell Host Microbe} 17, 47–57. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2014.12.001

Biosca, E. G., Fouz, B., Alcaide, E., and Amaro, C. (1996). Siderophore-mediated iron acquisition mechanisms in \textit{Vibrio vulnificus} biootype 2. \textit{Appl. Environ. Microbiol.} 62, 928–935.

Biswas, M., Dey, S., Khamrui, S., Sen, U., and Dasgupta, I. (2013). Conformational barrier of CheY3 and inability of CheY4 to bind CheM control the flagellar motor action in \textit{Vibrio cholerae}. \textit{PLoS One} 8:e73923. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073923

Brown, K., Vial, S. C., Dedi, N., Westcott, J., Scally, S., Bugg, T. D., et al. (2013). Crystal structure of the \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} MurG: UDP-GlcNAc substrate complex. \textit{Protein Pept. Lett.} 20, 1002–1008. doi: 10.2174/092986651130009006E

Bucior, I., Pielage, I. F., and Engel, J. N. (2012). \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} pil and flagella mediate distinct binding and signaling events at the apical and basolateral surface of airway epithelium. \textit{PLoS Pathog.} 8:e1002616. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002616

Butler, S. M., and Camilli, A. (2005). Going against the grain: chemotaxis and infection in \textit{Vibrio cholerae}. \textit{Nat. Rev. Microbiol.} 3, 611–620. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1207

Chen, C. Y., Wu, K. M., Chang, Y. C., Chang, C. H., Tsai, H. C., Liao, T. L., et al. (2003). Comparative genome analysis of \textit{Vibrio vulnificus}, a marine pathogen. \textit{Genome Res.} 13, 2577–2587. doi: 10.1101/gr.1295503

Chung, K. J., Cho, E. J., Kim, M. K., Kim, Y. R., Kim, S. H., Yang, H. Y., et al. (2010). RtxA1-Induced expression of the small GTPase Rac2 plays a key role in the pathogenicity of \textit{Vibrio vulnificus}. \textit{J. Infect. Dis.} 201, 97–105. doi: 10.1086/648612

de Lorenzo, V., Herrero, M., Jakubzik, U., and Timmis, K. N. (1990). Mini-Tn5 transposon derivatives for insertion mutagenesis, promoter probing, and chromosomal insertion of cloned DNA in gram-negative eubacteria. \textit{J. Bacteriol.} 172, 6568–6572. doi: 10.1128/JB.172.11.6568-6572.1990

Delalez, N. J., Wadhams, G. H., Rosser, G., Xue, Q., Brown, M. T., Dobbie, I. M., et al. (2010). Signal-dependent turnover of the bacterial flagellar switch protein FlIM. \textit{Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.} 107, 11347–11351. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1000284107

Dhar, S., Kumar, H., Balasubramanian, D., and Matthe, K. (2017). Cell-wall recycling and synthesis in \textit{Escherichia coli} and \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} – their role in the development of resistance. \textit{J. Med. Microbiol.} 67, 1–21. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.000636

Duong-Nu, T. M., Jeong, K., Hong, S. H., Nguyen, H. V., Ngo, V. H., Min, J. J., et al. (2016). All three TonB systems are required for \textit{Vibrio vulnificus} GMCP6 tissue invasiveness by controlling flagellum expression. \textit{Infect. Immun.} 84, 254–265. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00821-15

Evans, L. D., Hughes, C., and Fraser, G. M. (2014). Building a flagellum outside the bacterial cell. \textit{Trends Microbiol.} 22, 566–572. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2014.05.009

Forsyth, M. P., and Kushner, D. J. (1970). Nutrition and distribution of salt response in populations of moderately halophilic bacteria. \textit{Can. J. Microbiol.} 16, 253–261. doi: 10.1139/m70-047

Gander, R. M., and LaRocco, M. T. (1989). Detection of piluslike structures on Vibrio cholerae by monoclonal antibodies. \textit{J. Med. Microbiol.} 27, 1015–1021.

Gao, B., Lara-Tejero, M., Lefebre, M., Goodman, A. L., and Galán, J. E. (2014). Novel components of the flagellar system in epsilonproteobacteria. \textit{mBio} 5:e01349-14. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01349-14

Gulig, P. A., Tucker, M. S., Thiaville, P. C., Joseph, J. L., and Brown, R. N. (2009). USER friendly cloning coupled with chitin-based natural transformation enables rapid mutagenesis of \textit{Vibrio vulnificus}. \textit{Appl. Environ. Microbiol.} 75, 4936–4949. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02564-08

Hensel, M., Shea, J. E., Gleeson, C., Jones, M. D., Dalton, E., and Holden, D. W. (2010). RtxA1-Induced expression of the small GTPase Rac2 plays a key role in the development of resistance. \textit{J. Med. Microbiol.} 69, 4936–4949. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02564-08

Hensel, M., Shea, J. E., Gleeson, C., Jones, M. D., Dalton, E., and Holden, D. W. (1995). Simultaneous identification of bacterial virulence genes by negative selection. \textit{Science} 269, 400–403. doi: 10.1126/science.7618105
Hirano, T., Mizuno, S., Aizawa, S. I., and Hughes, K. T. (2009). Mutations in fhlA, fhpG, fhaA, and fhaE that affect the flagellar type III secretion specificity switch in Salmonella enterica. J. Bacteriol. 191, 3938–3949. doi: 10.1128/JB.01811-08
Hoch, J. A. (2000). Two-component and phosphorelay signal transduction. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 3, 165–170. doi: 10.1016/s1369-5274(00)00159-0
Homma, M., Oota, H., Kojima, S., Kawagishi, I., and Imae, Y. (1996). Chemotactic responses to an attractant and a repellent by the polar and lateral flagellar systems of Vibrio alginolyticus. Microbiology 142, 2777–2783. doi: 10.1099/13500872-14-2-2777
Jeong, H. G., and Satchell, K. J. (2012). Additive function of Vibrio vulnificus mmi.12401 in host-pathogen interaction. J. Bacteriol. 194, 285–293. doi: 10.1128/jb.06363-10
Kodama, T., Akeda, Y., Kono, G., Takahashi, A., Imura, K., Iida, T., et al. (2002). Pseudomonas aeruginosa shows virulence in mice. J. Infect. Dis. 186, 721–728. doi: 10.1128/MD.00038-01
Lee, K. J., Kim, J. A., Hwang, W., Park, S. J., and Lee, K. H. (2013). Role of capsular polysaccharide (CPS) in biofilm formation and regulation of CPS production by Vibrio vulnificus. Front. Cell. Microbiol. 4:63. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2013.00063
Litwin, C. M., Byrne, B. L. (1998). Cloning and characterization of an outer membrane protein of Vibrio vulnificus required for heme utilization: regulation of expression and determination of the gene sequence. Infect. Immun. 66, 3134–3141.
Litwin, C. M., Rayback, T. W., and Skinner, J. (1996). Role of catechol siderophore synthesis in Vibrio vulnificus virulence. Infect. Immun. 64, 2834–2838.
Lo, H. R., Lin, J. H., Chen, Y. H., Chen, C. L., Shao, C. P., Lai, Y. C., et al. (2011). RTX toxin enhances the survival of Vibrio vulnificus during infection by protecting the organism from phagocytosis. J. Infect. Dis. 203, 1866–1874. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jir707
Low, D. H. P., Freyer, V., Saux, A. L., Srivinasan, G. A., Ho, B., Chen, J., et al. (2010). Molecular interfaces of the galactose-binding protein tetroncin domains in host-pathogen interaction. J. Biol. Chem. 285, 9888–9907. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.059774
Lubin, J. B., Lewis, W. G., Gilbert, N. M., Weimer, C. M., Almagro-Moreno, S., Boyd, E. F., et al. (2015). Host-like carbohydrates promote bloodstream survival of Vibrio vulnificus in vivo. Infect. Immun. 83, 3126–3136. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00345-15
Mahan, M. J., Slauh, J. M., and Mekalanos, J. J. (1993). Selection of bacterial virulence genes that are specifically induced in host tissues. Science 259, 686–688. doi: 10.1126/science.8430319
Mengin-Lecreulx, D., Texier, L., Rousseau, M., and van Heijenoort, J. (1991). The murG gene of Escherichia coli codes for the UDP-N-acetyl-glucosamine: N-acetylmuramyl-(pentapeptide) pyrophosphoryl-undecaprenol N-acetylglucosamine transferase involved in the membrane steps of peptidoglycan synthesis. J. Bacteriol. 173, 4625–4636. doi: 10.1128/ib.173.15.4625–4636.1991
Menon, M. P., Yu, P. A., Iwamoto, M., and Painter, J. (2014). Pre-existing medical conditions associated with Vibrio vulnificus septicemia. Epidemiol. Infect. 142, 878–881. doi: 10.1017/S0950268813001700
Michel, G. P., Aguzzi, A., Ball, G., Socia, C., Bleves, S., and Voulhoux, R. (2011). Role of fimV in type II secretion system-dependent protein secretion of Pseudomonas aeruginosa on solid medium. Microbiology 157, 1945–1954. doi: 10.1099/micro.0.045849-0
Miller, J. H. (1972). Experiments in Molecular Genetics, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, New York, NY: Cold Spring Harbor.
Minamino, T., Imada, K., and Namba, K. (2008). Mechanisms of type III protein export for bacterial flagellar assembly. Mol. Biosyst. 4, 1105–1115. doi: 10.1039/b80863H
Miyoshi, S., Oh, E. G., Hirata, K., and Shinoda, S. (1993). Exocellular toxic factors produced by Vibrio vulnificus. J. Toxicol. Toxin Rev. 12, 253–288. doi: 10.3109/1565945930914409
Niki, H., Imamura, R., Kitaoka, M., Yamanaka, K., Ogura, T., and Hiraga, S. (1992). E.coli MukB protein involved in chromosome partition forms a homodimer with a rod-and-hinge structure having DNA binding and ATP/GTP binding activities. EMBO J. 11, 501–509. doi: 10.1002/1460-2075.1992.tb05617.x
Oliver, J. D. (2005). Wound infections caused by Vibrio vulnificus and other marine bacteria. Epidemiol. Infect. 133, 383–391. doi: 10.1017/S0950268805003894
Oliver, J. D. (2015). The biology of Vibrio vulnificus. Microbiol. Spectr. 3, 349–366. doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.V0001-2014
Ottmann, K. M., and Miller, J. F. (1997). Roles for motility in bacterial–host interactions. Mol. Microbiol. 24, 1109–1117. doi: 10.1042/1365-2958.1997.428178x
Paranjpe, R. N., Lara, J. C., Pepe, J. C., Pepe, C. M., and Strom, M. S. (1998). The type IV leader peptidase/N-methyltransferase of Vibrio vulnificus controls factors required for adherence to HEP-2 cells and virulence in iron-overloaded mice. Infect. Immun. 66, 5659–5668.
Paranjpe, R. N., and Strom, M. S. (2005). A Vibrio vulnificus type IV pilin contributes to biofilm formation, adherence to epithelial cells, and virulence. Infect. Immun. 73, 1411–1422. doi: 10.1128/JI.73.3.1411-1422.2005
Parker, J. L., Lowry, R. C., Couto, N. A., Wright, P. C., Stafford, G. P., and Shaw, J. G. (2006). Identification of the Vibrio vulnificus wspP gene and evaluation of its role in virulence. Infect. Immun. 74, 721–728. doi: 10.1128/IAI.74.1.721-728.2006
Park, N. Y., Lee, J. H., Kim, M. W., Jeong, H. G., Lee, B. C., Kim, T. S., et al. (2006). Identification of the Vibrio vulnificus wspP gene and evaluation of its role in virulence. Infect. Immun. 74, 721–728. doi: 10.1128/IAI.74.1.721-728.2006
Parker, J. L., Lowry, R. C., Couto, N. A., Wright, P. C., Stafford, G. P., and Shaw, J. G. (2014). Maf-dependent bacterial flagellin glycosylation occurs before chaperone binding and flagellar T3SS export. Mol. Microbiol. 92, 258–272. doi: 10.1111/mmi.12549
Peiffer, C., and Oliver, J. D. (2003). A comparison of thiissulPose-citate-bile salts-sucrose (TCSAR) agar and thiissulPose-chloride-iodide (TCl) agar for the isolation of Vibrio species from estuarine environments. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 36, 150–151. doi: 10.1046/j.1472-765X.2003.01280.x
Sawitzke, J. A., and Austin, S. (2000). Suppression of chromosome segregation defects of Escherichia coli muk mutants by mutations in topoisomerase I. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 97, 1671–1676. doi: 10.1073/pnas.030528397

Senchenkova, S. N., Shashkov, A. S., Knirel, Y. A., Esteve, C., Alcaide, E., Merino, S., et al. (2009). Structure of a polysaccharide from the lipopolysaccharide of Vibrio vulnificus clinical isolate V1016 containing 2-acetimidoylamino-2-deoxy-1-galacturonic acid. Carbohydr. Res. 344, 1009–1013. doi: 10.1016/j.carres.2009.03.021

Simpson, L. M., and Oliver, J. D. (1983). Siderophore production by Vibrio vulnificus. Infect. Immun. 41, 644–649.

Simpson, L. M., White, V. K., Zane, S. F., and Oliver, J. D. (1987). Correlation between virulence and colony morphology in Vibrio vulnificus. Infect. Immun. 55, 269–272.

Tanabe, T., Naka, T., Naka, H., Narimatsu, S., Inoue, Y., et al. (2005). A novel aerobactin utilization cluster in Vibrio vulnificus with a gene involved in the transcription regulation of the iutA homologue. Microbiol. Immunol. 49, 823–834. doi: 10.1111/j.1348-0421.2005.tb03671.x

Tsang, J., and Hoover, T. R. (2015). Basal body structures differentially affect transcription of RpoN- and FliA-dependent flagellar genes in Helicobacter pylori. J. Bacteriol. 197, 1921–1930. doi: 10.1128/JB.02533-14

Val, M.-E., Marbouty, M., de Lemos Martins, F., Kennedy, S. P., Kemble, H., Iland, M. J., et al. (2016). A checkpoint control orchestrates the replication of the two chromosomes of Vibrio cholerae. Sci. Adv. 2:e1501914. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1501914

Vezzulli, L., Colwell, R. R., and Pruzzo, C. (2013). Ocean warming and spread of pathogenic Vibrios in the aquatic environment. Microb. Ecol. 65, 817–825. doi: 10.1007/s00248-012-0163-2

Wadhams, G. H., and Armitage, J. P. (2004). Making sense of it all: bacterial chemotaxis. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 5, 1024–1037. doi: 10.1038/nrm1524

Webster, A. C., and Litwin, C. M. (2000). Cloning and characterization of vuuA, a gene encoding the Vibrio vulnificus ferric vulnibactin receptor. Infect. Immun. 68, 526–534. doi: 10.1128/IAI.68.2.526-534.2000

Weinberg, E. D. (2009).Iron availability and infection. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1790, 600–605. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2008.07.002

Wiesner, R. S., Hendrixson, D. R., and DiRita, V. J. (2003). Natural transformation of Campylobacter jejuni requires components of a type II secretion system. J. Bacteriol. 185, 5408–5418. doi: 10.1128/JB.185.15.5408-5418.2003

Williams, T. C., Ayyapathy, M., Ryan, H., and Oliver, J. D. (2014). Serum survival of Vibrio vulnificus: role of genotype, capsule, complement, clinical origin, and in situ inoculation. Pathogens 3, 822–832. doi: 10.3390/pathogens3040022

Wright, A. C., Powell, J. L., Kaper, J. B., and Morris, J. G. Jr. (2001). Identification of a group 1-like capsular polysaccharide operon for Vibrio vulnificus. Infect. Immun. 69, 6893–6901. doi: 10.1128/IAI.69.11.6893–6901.2001

Yamamoto, K., Kashimoto, T., Tong, P., Xiao, J., Sugiyama, M., Inoue, M., et al. (2015). Signature-tagged mutagenesis of Vibrio vulnificus. J. Vet. Med. Sci. 77, 823–828. doi: 10.1292/jvms.14-0655

Yamazaki, K., Kashimoto, T., Hashimoto, Y., Kado, T., and Ueno, S. (2017). Immunogenicity and protective efficacy of Vibrio vulnificus flagellin protein FlaB in a wound infection model. J. Vet. Med. Sci. 80, 55–58. doi: 10.1292/jvms.17-0395

Yorimitsu, T., and Homma, M. (2001). Na+-driven flagellar motor of Vibrio. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1505, 82–93. doi: 10.1016/S0005-2728(00)00279-6

Yoshida, S., Ogawa, M., and Mizuguchi, Y. (1985). Relation of capsular materials and colony opacity to virulence of Vibrio vulnificus. Infect. Immun. 51, 446–451.

Zhu, S., Kojima, S., and Homma, M. (2013). Structure, gene regulation and environmental response of flagella in Vibrio. Front. Microbiol. 4:410. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00410

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Yamazaki, Kashimoto, Morita, Kado, Matsuda, Yamasaki and Ueno. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.