Implementation of Critical Path Method in Project Planning and Scheduling
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Abstract. Scheduling in a project is a tool to determine the activities needed to complete a project in a certain order and time frame, in which every activity must be carried out so that the project is completed on time at an economical cost. The purpose of this study is to find out the critical path in project implementation and find out which projects are postponed and which cannot be delayed in their completion which can affect the entire project work process so that the project can be completed on time. The method used in this study is the Critical Path Method (CPM), which is a network method that has a series of activity components with the longest total amount of time and shows the fastest time period of completion. The results of this study indicate that the use of CPMs is able to get the longest total amount of time with the fastest project completion period, project scheduling and project critical paths can be seen more clearly so that the project can be completed on time.

1. Introduction

The project is a combination of several resources (labor, budget, equipment, facilities, support services) in one organization to achieve the stated objectives [1]. Planning is an activity carried out in the project to anticipate existing tasks and conditions by setting goals and objectives to be achieved and determining implementing policies, programs to be carried out, schedule and time of implementation, implementation procedures and administrative and operational as well as budget and source power [2]. Project scheduling is an activity carried out to determine the duration of project activities that must be completed, raw materials, labor and the time needed by each activity [2]. To make planning and scheduling projects can use several methods, one of which is the Critical Path Method (CPM) [3]. CPM is an integrated network consisting of a series of activities with one another intended to obtain maximum work efficiency [3]. In determining the total time, the CPM project is simpler, it is obtained by summing the duration of each activity and taking the last / greatest finish time. The path where delays may not occur in each project activity is called the critical path [3]. CPM is more used than other traditional methods. CPM concentrates on the most important tasks the project can be confirmed on time and in line with the predetermined schedule [4][5].

Some previous studies using CPM were studies carried out by the 1950 DuPont Company and Remington Rand Corporation, CPMs used to manage power plants and construction could save the company one million dollars in the first year of use [5]. In 2013 Putra proved that scheduling time using CPM proved to be very helpful in time and cost efficiency [6]. Another study conducted by Hamzah et al. In 2013 [7] proved that CPM networks help in time and cost efficiency. As well as a study conducted by Ezekiel, et al. [8] stated that CPM is suitable for scheduling, formulating, and managing various
activities in all construction work, because it provides a schedule built on experience, and observations that have been made.

The purpose of this study is to find a project critical path that can be used to find out the longest time the project is completed, as well as the project leader's guidance to determine which jobs can be delayed and which jobs cannot be delayed. In this study, researchers used CPM to design and schedule projects so that a critical path in construction projects was obtained, namely the construction of government buildings. By using the CPM time of project execution and the critical path of the project can be known so that the project can be completed on time.

2. Methodology
The research methodology used in this research is descriptive methodology. Descriptive methodology is a research methodology that is used to make descriptions, drawings or paintings systematically, factually and actually about the facts, the characteristics and relationships between the phenomena investigated. The methodology of this research begins with a review of CPM literature studies together with secondary data collection and determining the logical relationship between jobs. Then calculate the project's forward time value, then calculate the project backward time. After the forward time and backward time, the next step is to calculate the total float and determine the critical path and the last one produces the design guide and project scheduling.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Secondary Data Collection
The data used in this study is a data on the construction of BUMN buildings in the city of Bali, where the project implementation schedule starts from January 9, 2017 to December 18, 2017 (350 days or 50 weeks). There are five steps to using CPM [9], namely identifying all project activities, calculating forward time, calculating backward time, calculating float and building a network diagram. The identification, activities, relationships between jobs and duration of project work can be seen in Table 1.

| No. | Job Name                   | Code | Prior Job | Follower's work | Duration (weeks) |
|-----|----------------------------|------|-----------|-----------------|------------------|
| I   | Project Preparation Work   | I    | Start     | II, III         | 4                |
| II  | Site Development Job       | II   | I         | V               | 22               |
| III | Lower Building Works       | III  | I         | IV, VII         | 14               |
| IV  | Upper Building Works       | IV   | III       | VI              | 12               |
| V   | Roof and Canopy Jobs       | V    | II        | IX              | 8                |
| VI  | Install Work and Partitions| VI   | IV        | VIII            | 2                |
| VII | Floor and Wall Coating Work| VII  | III       | IX              | 16               |
| VIII| Doors, Windows and Locking Works| VIII | VI | X | 4 |
| IX  | Ladder Railing Work        | IX   | V, VII    | XI, XV          | 4                |
| X   | Roof and Ceiling Jobs      | X    | VIII      | XII, XVII       | 4                |
| XI  | Painting Work              | XI   | IX        | XIII, XVI       | 4                |
| XII | Interior work              | XII  | X         | XIV             | 4                |
| XIII| Furniture work             | XIII | XI        | XVIII           | 4                |
| XIV | Main Building-Electric Work| XIV  | XII       | End             | 4                |
| XV  | Electrical Site Development and Guard Posts| XV | IX | XVIII | 8 |
| XVI | Electronic work            | XVI  | XI        | XVIII           | 4                |
| XVII| Plumbing work              | XVII | X         | End             | 8                |
| XVIII| Drainage Work             | XVIII| XIII, XV, XVI | End | 2 |

3.2 Calculating Forward Time
The first step to find a critical path is to calculate the forward time, namely the fastest time of a project can be completed using the formula \( EF (i-j) = ES (i-j) + D (i-j) \) [10], then the forward time value is obtained as in Table 2.

### Table 2. Forward time calculation results

| Activities | Duration | Forward Calculation |
|------------|----------|---------------------|
| I          | Code     | ES | EF         |
| 0          | 1        | 4  | 0          | 4          |
| 1          | 2        | 22 | 4          | 26         |
| 1          | 3        | 14 | 4          | 18         |
| 3          | 4        | 12 | 18         | 30         |
| 2          | 5        | 8  | 26         | 34         |
| 4          | 6        | 2  | 30         | 32         |
| 7          | 9        | 16 | 18         | 34         |
| 6          | 8        | 4  | 32         | 36         |
| 5          | 9        | 4  | 34         | 38         |
| 8          | 10       | 4  | 36         | 40         |
| 9          | 11       | 4  | 38         | 42         |
| 10         | 12       | 4  | 40         | 44         |
| 11         | 18       | 4  | 42         | 46         |
| 14         | 19       | 4  | 44         | 48         |
| 9          | 18       | 8  | 38         | 46         |
| 11         | 18       | 4  | 42         | 46         |
| 10         | 19       | 8  | 40         | 48         |
| 18         | 19       | 2  | 46         | 48         |

### 3.3 Calculating Countdown Time

After calculating the forward time, the next step is to calculate the countdown time, the countdown time is done starting at the end of the moving network towards the beginning of the network [11]. Calculation of backward time is used to find the longest time of a project can be solved using the formula \( LS (i-j) = LS (i-j) - D (i-j) \) and the countdown time is obtained as in table 3.

### Table 3. Countdown time calculation results

| Activities | Duration | Countdown Calculation |
|------------|----------|-----------------------|
| I          | J        | Code | LS | LF |
| 0          | 1        | I    | 4  | 0  | 4  |
| 1          | 2        | II   | 22 | 4  | 26 |
| 1          | 3        | III  | 14 | 4  | 18 |
| 3          | 4        | IV   | 12 | 18 | 30 |
| 2          | 5        | V    | 8  | 26 | 34 |
| 4          | 6        | VI   | 2  | 30 | 32 |
| 7          | 9        | VII  | 16 | 22 | 38 |
| 6          | 8        | VIII | 4  | 32 | 36 |
| 5          | 9        | IX   | 4  | 34 | 38 |
| 8          | 10       | X    | 4  | 36 | 40 |
| 9          | 11       | XI   | 4  | 38 | 42 |
| 10         | 12       | XII  | 4  | 40 | 44 |
| 11         | 18       | XIII | 4  | 42 | 46 |
| 14         | 19       | XIV  | 4  | 44 | 48 |
| 9          | 18       | XV   | 8  | 38 | 46 |
| 10         | 19       | XVII | 8  | 40 | 48 |
| 18         | 19       | XVIII| 2  | 46 | 48 |

### 3.4 Calculating Total Float
Total float is the amount of time allowed for an activity to be delayed, without knowing the overall project schedule. To calculate the total float in this study using the formula TF = LS - ES. The results of the total float calculation can be seen in Table 4.

**Table 4. Total float calculation results**

| Activities | Code | Duration (Weeks) | Forward Calculation | Countdown Calculation | TF |
|------------|------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----|
|            |      |                  | ES  | EF  | LS  | LF  |      |
| 0          | 1    | I                | 4   | 0   | 4   | 0   | 0    |
| 1          | 2    | II               | 22  | 4   | 26  | 4   | 0    |
| 1          | 3    | III              | 14  | 4   | 18  | 4   | 0    |
| 3          | 4    | IV               | 12  | 18  | 30  | 18  | 0    |
| 2          | 5    | V                | 8   | 26  | 34  | 26  | 0    |
| 4          | 6    | VI               | 2   | 30  | 32  | 30  | 0    |
| 7          | 9    | VII              | 16  | 18  | 34  | 22  | 0    |
| 6          | 8    | VIII             | 4   | 32  | 36  | 32  | 0    |
| 5          | 9    | IX               | 4   | 34  | 38  | 34  | 0    |
| 8          | 10   | X                | 4   | 36  | 40  | 36  | 0    |
| 9          | 11   | XI               | 4   | 38  | 42  | 38  | 0    |
| 10         | 12   | XII              | 4   | 40  | 44  | 40  | 0    |
| 11         | 18   | XIII             | 4   | 42  | 46  | 42  | 0    |
| 14         | 19   | XIV              | 4   | 44  | 48  | 44  | 0    |
| 9          | 18   | XV               | 8   | 38  | 46  | 38  | 0    |
| 11         | 18   | XVI              | 4   | 42  | 46  | 42  | 0    |
| 10         | 19   | XVII             | 8   | 40  | 48  | 40  | 0    |
| 18         | 19   | XVIII            | 2   | 46  | 48  | 46  | 0    |

Based on the calculations in table 4, it can be concluded that the initial total project work is 48 weeks, and the work in the critical path can be determined by looking at the results of Total Float (TF), TF = 0, from the results the critical path is obtained at work I- II-V-IX-XV-XVIII. The results of analysis of project critical work can be seen in Table 5 while the project network can be seen in Figure 1.

**Table 5. Project Critical Job Analysis Results**

| No | Jobs Name                              | Code | Duration (Weeks) | Jobs Status       |
|----|----------------------------------------|------|------------------|-------------------|
| I  | Project Preparation Work               | I    | 4                | Critical path     |
| II | Site Development Job                   | II   | 22               | Critical path     |
| III| Lower Building Works                   | III  | 14               | -                 |
| IV | Upper Building Works                   | IV   | 12               | -                 |
| V  | Roof and Canopy Jobs                   | V    | 8                | Critical path     |
| VI | Install Work and Partitions            | VI   | 2                | -                 |
| VII| Floor and Wall Coating Work            | VII  | 16               | -                 |
| VIII| Doors, Windows and Locking Works       | VIII | 4                | -                 |
| IX | Ladder Railing Work                    | IX   | 4                | Critical path     |
| X  | Roof and Ceiling Jobs                  | X    | 4                | -                 |
| XI | Painting Work                          | XI   | 4                | -                 |
| XII| Interior work                          | XII  | 4                | -                 |
| XIII| Furniture work                         | XIII | 4                | -                 |
| XIV| Main Building-Electric Work            | XIV  | 4                | -                 |
| XV | Electrical Site Development and Guard Posts | XV  | 8                | Critical path     |
| XVI| Electronic work                        | XVI  | 4                | -                 |
| XVII| Plumbing work                          | XVII | 8                | -                 |
| XVIII| Drainage Work                         | XVIII| 2                | Critical path     |
3.5 Results and Differences with Previous Research

Based on the calculations made, the results show that a CPM can save project time and produce a critical path that can be used as a guide for project implementation so that the project can be completed on time, this result is in line with the research conducted by Putra and Hamzah. In addition, CPM can help in the time efficiency and cost of the project differently from the research developed by DuPont Company and Remington Rand Corporation which CPM can only save on project costs.

4. Conclusion

The conclusion in this study is that the critical path on the project can be used to determine the longest time that shows the project completion period. In addition, the critical path can be a reference for project leaders in the project that work on the critical path must be timely in order for the project to finished on time.
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