Reverse Latissimus Dorsi Muscle Flap for an Extensive Soft Tissue Defect Accompanied by Infectious Spondylitis

Chai Min Yoo, M.D., Dong Ho Kang, M.D., Soo Hyun Hwang, M.D., Kyung Bum Park, M.D.
Department of Neurosurgery, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine, Jinju, Korea

Spinal infection is an inflammatory process around the vertebral body, and it can extend to the epidural space, posterior elements and paravertebral soft tissues. Infectious spondylitis is a rare infectious disorder, which is often associated with significant neurologic deficits and mortality. When an extensive soft tissue defect is accompanied by infectious spondylitis, effective infection control and proper coverage of soft tissue are directly connected to successful outcomes. However, it is not simple to choose the appropriate treatment methods for infectious spondylitis accompanied by a soft tissue defect. Herein, we report a case of severe infectious spondylitis that was accompanied by an extensive soft tissue defect which was closed with a reverse latissimus muscle flap after traumatic spinal epidural hemorrhage.
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INTRODUCTION

Extensive soft tissue defects accompanied by infectious spondylitis are rare clinically. In these cases, medical/surgical therapy that involves debridement of the dead tissue and treatment with antibiotics can take a long time to carry out and a considerable length of time may elapse before the patient recovers, with this lengthy period of recovery adversely influencing the clinical outcome of patients. In addition, management of massive soft tissue defects and chronic wounds are major challenges. Various reports have proposed techniques of managing complex back wounds.

Published methods for treating the complex back wound of paraplegia patients are not specific and detailed enough to apply to general clinical practice. It is even hard to find a case of a complex back wound of a paraplegic patient that is associated with a spinal infection in the literature. In this case, to treat the complex back wound that involved an extensive soft tissue defect associated with spinal infection, we tried a muscle flap technique that is mainly used in the plastic surgery field and not in neurosurgery in this hospital. The reverse latissimus dorsi muscle flap (RLDMF) was our final choice for this patient. This muscle flap technique allowed us to fill up the extensive soft tissue defect, thereby reducing the dead space, and maintain the paraspinal vasculature. The objective of this report was to evaluate the efficacy of filling up the defect using RLDMF in the treatment of severe infectious spondylitis. We observed that 6 months after the operation, the patient showed no sign of recurrence of infection and the back wounds had completely healed without any complication or sequela.

CASE REPORT

A 68-year-old woman with a previous history of paraplegia after traumatic spinal epidural hemorrhage from a ruptured L3 arteriovenous fistula (AVF) was admitted to our institute with high fever above 38°C and severe back pain. About 10 days earlier, she suffered a superficial burn to her back and was admitted into the local hospital because of acute renal failure due to rhabdomyolysis. On neurologic examination, the patient was alert but mildly disoriented. Examination of the motor system revealed intact muscle strength in the upper extremity and flaccid paraplegia with areflexia. An examination of the sensory
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indicated that the main advantages of the technique were its reduced invasiveness compared with open surgery, and the almost immediate symptomatic relief it provided. Indications for this technique are considered to include the following: 1) no improvement in the condition of the patient despite use of antibiotic agents and immobilization for at least 1 month; 2) the presence of only a single affected intervertebral disc; 3) the location of the involved vertebra in the lower thoracic or lumbar spine; 4) the absence of any major neurological deficit; and 5) the absence of radiographically documented marked destructive changes in the affected vertebral body. But this treatment is not indicated in severe pyogenic spondylodiscitis in which abscess formation or marked bone destruction or extensive soft tissue defect is associated with a potential risk of neurological complications, such as existed in our case. In addition, the procedure failed to improve symptoms in one patient in whom marked destructive changes were apparent on radiography, and open surgery involving an implantation was necessary in that case.

In the presence of instrumentation, providing preemptive soft tissue reconstruction at the time of the initial spinal surgery can help minimize potentially serious wound complications. For management of wound complications that have developed, aggressive debridement and coverage with well-vascularized tissue can allow for expedient wound healing while maintaining stabilized instrumentation.

In extensive soft tissue defects accompanied by infectious spondylitis, such as in our case, the conventional approach using serial wound debridement and antibiotic administration could take a considerable period to complete, and is usually only successful in cases of mild infections. In more severe cases of infection with an extensive soft tissue defect, marked skin and muscle reactive fibrosis adjacent to the wound makes using paraspinous muscle flaps to close the defect difficult, if dissection is possible in the first place. Moreover, even if they can be dissected, they often are of insufficient volume to fill these wide and deep wounds. In this situation, pedicled latissimus dorsi muscle flaps have proven to be the most reliable method of treatment. Generally, the muscles of the back can be classified into 3 groups: superficial, intermediate, and deep groups. The superficial muscles such as the latissimus dorsi muscle are often valuable sources for a secondary flap in paraspinous muscle reconstruction as soft tissue defects are usually deep and extensive or require a skin island. The pedicled latissimus dorsi flap proved to be a valuable and reliable tool to treat large and deep back wound infections with extensive soft tissue defects. It can be used as a musculocutaneous advancement or rotation flap when laterally based (thoracodorsal vessels), or as a muscular turnover flap, when medially based (paraspinous perforators). This versatility enabled the latissimus dorsi flap arc of rotation to reach midline spine defects from high thoracic through lower lumbar levels.

In extensive soft tissue defects accompanied by infectious spondylitis, such as in our case, the conventional approach using serial wound debridement and antibiotic administration could take a considerable period to complete, and is usually only successful in cases of mild infections. In more severe cases of infection with an extensive soft tissue defect, marked skin and muscle reactive fibrosis adjacent to the wound makes using paraspinous muscle flaps to close the defect difficult, if dissection is possible in the first place. Moreover, even if they can be dissected, they often are of insufficient volume to fill these wide and deep wounds. In this situation, pedicled latissimus dorsi muscle flaps have proven to be the most reliable method of treatment. Generally, the muscles of the back can be classified into 3 groups: superficial, intermediate, and deep groups. The superficial muscles such as the latissimus dorsi muscle are often valuable sources for a secondary flap in paraspinous muscle reconstruction as soft tissue defects are usually deep and extensive or require a skin island. The pedicled latissimus dorsi flap proved to be a valuable and reliable tool to treat large and deep back wound infections with extensive soft tissue defects. It can be used as a musculocutaneous advancement or rotation flap when laterally based (thoracodorsal vessels), or as a muscular turnover flap, when medially based (paraspinous perforators). This versatility enabled the latissimus dorsi flap arc of rotation to reach midline spine defects from high thoracic through lower lumbar levels. And as the procedure is simple and the blood circulation stable during this operation, it is thought to be an effective reconstruction method for extensive soft tissue defects accompanied by infectious spondylitis.

It is true that literature has been published on methods of operative defect closure in the case of complex back wounds. However, since the data available is limited and the numbers of cases are relatively small in these studies, any comparison be-
the use of the pedicled latissimus dorsi flap and other methods such as the use of free flaps\textsuperscript{2,6}, perforator flaps\textsuperscript{6),} or tissue expansion\textsuperscript{13),} combined methods\textsuperscript{13),} paraspinal muscle flaps\textsuperscript{10) and superior gluteal muscle flaps\textsuperscript{13) precluded. Use of the RLDMF, which was attempted in our patient, is a reliable method of treatment of infectious spondylitis with an extensive soft tissue defect\textsuperscript{11).}

**CONCLUSION**

We experienced good surgical and clinical outcome using RLDMF in treating intractable spinal infection. Hence, we recommend RLDMF in the case of infectious spondylitis with an extensive soft tissue defect or dead space and uncontrolled recurrent spinal infection.
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