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Nidogen-1 is a novel extracellular ligand for the NKp44 activating receptor
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ABSTRACT
The release of soluble ligands of activating Natural Killer (NK) cell receptors may represent a regulatory mechanism of NK cell function both in physiologic and in pathologic conditions. Here, we identified the extracellular matrix protein Nidogen-1 (NID1) as a ligand of NKp44, an important activating receptor expressed by activated NK cells. When released as soluble molecule, NID1 regulates NK cell function by modulating NKp44-induced IFN-γ production or cytotoxicity. In particular, it also modulates IFN-γ production induced by Platelet-Derived Growth Factor (PDGF)-DD following NKp44 engagement. We also show that NID1 may be present at the cell surface. In this form or when bound to a solid support (bNID1), NID1 fails to induce NK cell cytotoxicity or cytokine release. However, analysis by mass spectrometry revealed that exposure to bNID1 can induce in human NK cells relevant changes in the proteomic profiles suggesting an effect on different biological processes.

Introduction

NK cells are Innate Lymphoid Cells (ILCs) involved in various immune processes ranging from the direct elimination of pathogens or tumor cells to the release of cytokines and chemokines and to regulatory interactions with different immune cells. In order to fulfill this variety of functions, NK cells use an array of receptors which sense microenvironmental stimuli and mediate appropriate responses. Several NK receptors are capable of regulating different NK cell functions. For example, the Natural Cytotoxicity Receptors (NCRs) NKp46, NKp30, and NKp44, play an important role in human NK cell-mediated recognition and killing of virally infected and tumor cells, and also induce the release of a number of cytokines and chemotactic factors.

In addition, NKp30 and NKp44 mediate regulatory interactions occurring between NK and different leukocytes, including dendritic cells (DCs), neutrophils, eosinophils, macrophages, and T cells. NCRs were identified and molecularly characterized in ‘90s. Since then, numerous studies attempted to identify their ligands. This information is crucial for a better exploitation of the NK cell potential in the therapy of tumors, infections, or immune-mediated diseases.

In spite of many efforts, so far, the panel of the NCR ligands has been only partially defined. A reason of these difficulties is related to the fact that study models of receptor-ligand interaction for the NCR are rather limited, as only NKp46 is expressed also on NK cells of rat and mouse. Moreover, although the NCRs belong to the Ig superfamily, they greatly differ in their molecular structure, implying that their ligands may be rather heterogeneous. In addition, each NCR may interact with different ligands, not necessarily displaying similar molecular features. Thus, for example, NKp46 and NKp44 have been shown to bind viral hemagglutinins.

On the other hand, molecularly unrelated cellular ligands have been shown to bind NKp30 (B7H6 and BAT3/BAG6) and NKp44 (21spe-MLL5).

To further complicate this issue, NCRs may interact with ligands by different modalities and even opposite functional outcomes. For example, NKp44 appears to differently modulate NK cell function by cis- or trans-interactions with heparan-sulphate proteoglycans (present at the NK or target cell surface). In addition, this receptor has been reported to transduce inhibitory signals upon interaction with Proliferating Cell Nuclear Antigen (PCNA). NKp30 triggers cytotoxicity and cytokine release upon binding B7H6 or BAT3 at the cell surface. Besides NCRs, other activating receptors, including NKG2D and DNAM-1, contribute to trigger the NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity. Ligands specific for these receptors have been extensively characterized: MICA/B and ULBPs molecules are recognized by NKG2D, while PVR and Nectin-2 (belonging to the Nectin family), are ligands for DNAM-1.
Binding to soluble ligands may in some instances inhibit the triggering capability of activating NK receptors. In these cases, the release of such ligands in the extracellular environment may represent an effective mechanism to dampen NK cell function, not only in physiologic immune interactions but also in tumor-driven escape strategies.\textsuperscript{37-40} In this context, soluble ligands, endowed with suppressive capability, have been described for NKG2D and DNAM-1 activating receptors (sMICA, sULBP\textsubscript{3}, and sPVR) and for NKp30 (sB7H\textsubscript{6} and sB\textsubscript{AT3/BAG6}).\textsuperscript{32,33,35,41-50} On the other hand, extracellular ligands for NKp46 and NKp44 have been recently identified and demonstrated to have a positive effect on the NK cell function. NKp46 has been shown to bind an extracellular molecule: the Complement Factor P (CFP or properdin).\textsuperscript{51} Recognition of CFP has been indicated as an important tool for innate responses to pathogens. Regarding NKp44, this receptor was recently shown to recognize a soluble factor, namely PDGF-DD. NKp44 engagement by PDGF-DD resulted in NK cell-mediated release of IFN-γ, TNF-α, and other proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines.\textsuperscript{52}

In this study, we provide evidence that NKp44 recognizes a novel extracellular ligand, namely the Nidogen-1 (NID1) protein (also known as Êntactin). We show that the NKp44/NID1 interaction results in a reduced NKp44-mediated induction of cytokine release by NK cells. Further analysis of the proteomic changes induced in NK cells by the exposure to NID1 revealed a substantial modulation of different molecules and pathways involved in important biological processes.

Results

Identification of Nidogen-1 as an extracellular ligand for NKp44 activating NK receptor

Aim of this study was the identification of putative extracellular ligands for NKp44. To this end, we analyzed the HEK293\textsuperscript{T} cells as possible source of such ligands since these cells were found to bind NKp44Fc chimeric receptor at their cell surface. This data suggested that HEK293\textsuperscript{T} cells could synthesize a putative NKp44-ligand. Importantly, these cells could be cultured extensively in protein-free medium, thus facilitating the analysis of proteins released in the culture supernatant.

Thus, HEK293\textsuperscript{T} cells were cultured in protein-free medium and the supernatants (HEK293\textsuperscript{T}-SN) were collected, concentrated, and coated on ELISA plates. Direct ELISA was performed using NKp44Fc, NKp30Fc, NKp46Fc, and DNAM-1Fc soluble chimeric receptors (Fc molecules). As shown in Fig. S1A, NKp44Fc strongly bound to HEK293\textsuperscript{T}-SN-coated wells. Regarding the other Fc molecules tested, only NKp46Fc showed some reactivity (although significantly weaker than NKp44Fc), while NKp30Fc and DNAM-1Fc displayed no binding. These data suggested that NKp44 could recognize ligand(s) released by HEK293\textsuperscript{T} cells.

To gain further information on such putative NKp44 soluble ligand(s), a metabolic labeling of HEK293\textsuperscript{T} cells was performed in the presence of azido-sugars. As a result of this procedure, cells synthesize glycoproteins characterized by modified glycosylated residues, capable of linking covalently to biotin, enabling the detection of glycosylated proteins. The supernatant of labeled HEK293\textsuperscript{T} cells (HEK293\textsuperscript{T}-SN-biot) was analyzed by ELISA on plates coated with NKp44Fc or NKp30Fc molecules. As shown in Fig. S1B, HEK293\textsuperscript{T}-SN-biot reacted with NKp44Fc- (and not with NKp30Fc-) coated wells, indicating that NKp44Fc can bind glycosylated protein(s) secreted by HEK293\textsuperscript{T} cells.

The NKp44Fc-reactive glycoprotein(s) were further analyzed by Western blot. Proteins from concentrated HEK293\textsuperscript{T}-SN were separated by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with NKp44Fc. As shown in Fig. S2, NKp44Fc, but not other Fc molecules analyzed (NKp30Fc, NKp46Fc, DNAM-1Fc), recognized a band of approximately 180 KDa under non-reducing conditions.

In order to characterize the high molecular weight glycoprotein(s) recognized by NKp44Fc, concentrated HEK293\textsuperscript{T}-SN was resolved by two-dimensional electrophoresis (2-DE) and analyzed by Western blot with NKp44Fc, NKp46Fc, or NKp30Fc (Figure 2A–C). Concentrated HEK293\textsuperscript{T}-SN-biot was subjected to the same procedure and immunoblotted with Neutradvin-HRP (for the detection of glycoproteins) (Figure 1D). In parallel, a preparative 2-D gel was stained with Blue Coomassie to visualize all proteins and excise the spots of interest (Figure 1E). Following 2-DE and immunoblotting, NKp44Fc was found to recognize different proteins present in HEK293\textsuperscript{T}-SN (Figure 1A). Thus, 27 spots, visualized in NKp44Fc blot, were excised, digested, and analyzed by high resolution mass spectrometry (Table S1). Thanks to the comparison with blots stained with NKp46Fc and NKp30Fc soluble receptors (Figure 1B, 1C) it was possible to subtract the background and further restrict the analysis to 17 spots. Finally, by the comparative analysis with the blot stained with Neutradvin (Figure 1D), we could further select 7 spots recognized by NKp44Fc and corresponding to glycosylated proteins. We focused on spot n. 26 because it corresponded to high MW proteins. Among the proteins identified in this spot, Nidogen-1 (NID1) displayed a predicted MW compatible with the electrophoretic mobility of the glycoprotein(s) that had been detected by NKp44Fc in mono-dimensional SDS-PAGE (i.e. approximately 180 kDa) (see Fig. S2).

The direct and specific binding of NKp44 to NID1 was confirmed by different experimental evidences. Thus, immunoprecipitation experiments showed that NKp44Fc (but not NKp30Fc nor DNAM-1Fc used as controls) was able to immunoprecipitate NID1 protein from concentrated HEK293\textsuperscript{T}-SN (Figure 2A). In addition, the ability of NKp44Fc to bind purified NID1 was investigated by Western blot. Recombinant human Nidogen-1 (rNID1) and HEK293\textsuperscript{T}-SN were run in SDS-PAGE in parallel and immunoblotted with NKp44Fc (and NKp30Fc or DNAM1Fc as controls). Figure 2B shows that NKp44Fc was able to recognize both rNID1 and NID1 released from HEK293\textsuperscript{T}-SN. In contrast, NKp30Fc and DNAM-1Fc did not display any reactivity. Finally, the interaction between NKp44 and rNID1 was assessed by ELISA. As shown in Figure 2C, different concentrations of NKp44Fc bound to rNID1-coated wells, while NKp30Fc, NKp46Fc, and DNAM-1Fc did not. This experiment shows that
soluble NKp44Fc can specifically bind to NID1 also in its native conformation.

**Effect of soluble NID1 on NKp44-mediated cell activation**

In order to investigate the potential effect of NID1-NKp44 interaction, we took advantage of a model available in our lab based on the use of murine Bw5147 (Bw) cell transfectants expressing either the NKp44/DAP12 receptor complex (Bw-NKp44) or the chimeric receptor NKp30-CD3ζ (Bw-NKp30). In this model, cell activation induced by mAb-mediated cross-linking of NKp44 or NKp30 results in the release in culture SN of IL-2, which can be measured by ELISA. Since soluble ligands of different activating receptors have been shown to interfere with receptor function, we asked whether rNID1 pretreatment of Bw-NKp44 cells had a similar inhibitory effect. As shown in Figure 3, different rNID1 concentrations inhibited the NKp44-induced IL-2 production. As control, Bw-NKp30 cells were also analyzed. A minor inhibition of NKp30-induced IL-2 production could be detected only at the highest rNID1 concentration. Since NID1 has been reported to interact with α3β1 (CD49a/CD29) and αvβ3 (CD51/CD61) integrins,\(^53,54\) we analyzed the expression of CD29, CD49a, and CD61 in Bw-NKp44 and Bw-NKp30 cells, to rule out possible biases in the functional data on NID1. As shown in Fig. S3, expression levels of these integrins on the two Bw cell transfectants were comparable, indicating that the effects induced by rNID1 pretreatment were not reasonably influenced by integrin expression.

Next, we asked whether also NID1 released from cells could inhibit NKp44-mediated cell activation. To this end, the NID1 construct was transfected in NID1-negative cells. The culture supernatant of such transfected cells was assessed in functional assays. The human K562 cell line was used as recipient, since it does not express NID1 mRNA (Figure 4A). Stable transfection with the NID1 construct resulted in NID1 transcript expression and protein secretion in cell culture supernatants (Figure 4A, B). In ELISA, NKp44Fc reacted with K562-NID1-SN and not with K562-SN (Figure 4C) and in Western blot experiments it specifically reacted with the band corresponding to NID1 protein, while it didn't bind to any band of K562-SN (Figure 4D). In order to investigate the potential functional consequences of NID1-NKp44 interaction we used again Bw-NKp44 and Bw-NKp30. Bw-NKp44 cells were stimulated with anti-NKp44 mAb in the absence or in the presence of K562-SN or K562-NID1-SN. As shown in Figure 5A, K562-SN had no effect, while K562-NID1-SN inhibited NKp44-induced IL-2 release. In contrast, K562-NID1-SN didn't induce any inhibitory effect in Bw-NKp30 cells stimulated via NKp30, as compared to K562-SN. A similar inhibitory effect on NKp44-induced
IL-2 production was also detected with culture SN from NID1-transfected Bw cells (Figs. S4–S5).

We next investigated whether NID1 released by NID1-expressing cells could exert an inhibitory effect on NKp44-mediated activation of normal, polyclonal NK cells. To this end, NK cells isolated from PB were cultured in the absence of IL-2 to induce the expression of NKp44 (absent on resting PB-NK cells). NK cells were then stimulated with anti-NKp44, anti-NKp30, or anti-NKp46 mAbs either in the absence or in the presence of K562-SN or K562-NID1-SN. After stimulation, SN of NK cells were collected and analyzed by ELISA for their IFN-γ content. As shown in Figure 5B, a significant reduction of NKp44-induced IFN-γ production was observed in the presence of K562-NID1-SN, as compared to K562-SN. On the other hand, neither K562-NID1-SN nor K562-SN inhibited IFN-γ secretion triggered via NKp46 or NKp30. Finally, we investigated whether NID1-containing SN could affect NKp44-induced NK cell cytotoxicity. To this end, NK cells were analyzed in a redirected killing assay either in the absence or in the presence of K562-SN or K562-NID1-SN. As compared to K562-SN. On the other hand, neither K562-NID1-SN nor K562-SN inhibited IFN-γ secretion triggered via NKp46 or NKp30. Finally, we investigated whether NID1-containing SN could affect NKp44-induced NK cell cytotoxicity. To this end, NK cells were analyzed in a redirected killing assay either in the absence or in the presence of K562-SN or K562-NID1-SN. K562-NID1-SN (but not K562-SN) reduced anti-NKp44-triggered killing of P815 target cells, while it was ineffective on NKp30- and NKp46-mediated cytotoxic activity (Figure 5C).

Collectively, these results show that NID1 may interfere with target cell recognition via NKp44, exerting a regulatory effect on NKp44-induced NK cell activation.

In view of the recent identification of PDGF-DD as an extracellular NKp44 ligand, able to induce cytokine production by NK cells, we investigated whether sNID1 could inhibit NKp44 triggering induced by this novel ligand. We first tested this hypothesis in the Bw model, by stimulating

---

**Figure 2.** Specific recognition of NID1 by NKp44Fc chimeric receptor. (A) Concentrated HEK293T-SN was immunoprecipitated with the indicated Fc molecules and analyzed in 7.5% SDS-PAGE under non-reducing conditions; in parallel, concentrated HEK293T-SN was loaded as a positive control. After blotting, the membrane was probed with mouse anti-NID1 mAb followed by HRP-conjugated anti-mouse IgG mAb. One representative experiment of three is shown. (B) Concentrated HEK293T-SN and NID1 were analyzed in SDS-PAGE on a 7.5% polyacrylamide gel under non-reducing conditions and, after blotting, probed with the indicated Fc molecules or with anti-NID1 mAb, followed by HRP-conjugated anti-human or anti-mouse IgG secondary reagent, respectively. Molecular weight (MW) markers (kDa) are indicated on the right. One representative experiment of five is shown. (C) ELISA plates were coated with rNID1 and incubated with different concentrations of the indicated Fc molecules followed by HRP-conjugated anti-human IgG mAb. Graph represents absorbance at 405 nm after normalization to background (nonspecific binding of the secondary reagent). Data are medians of triplicates ± interquartile range and are the pooled results of three independent experiments. ****p < 0.0001 by two-tailed Mann-Whitney test.

**Figure 3.** Effect of NID1 pretreatment on NKp44-induced IL-2 production in Bw-NKp44 cells. Bw-NKp44 and Bw-NKp30 cells were left untreated or were pre-treated with rNID1 at the indicated concentrations for 1 h at 37°C and subsequently incubated on anti-NKp44 or anti-NKp30 mAb-coated plates, respectively, for 20 h. IL-2 release in the SN was evaluated by ELISA. The background (from GAM-stimulated cells) was subtracted for each value. Data are medians of duplicates ± interquartile range and are the pooled results of three independent experiments. **p = 0.0022, ns (not significant) = 0.584, by two-tailed Mann-Whitney test.
Figure 4. NKp44Fc recognizes NID1 released by NID1-transfected K562 cells. (A) NID1 mRNA expression was assessed by RT-PCR in wild type or NID1-transfected K562 cells. Primers specific for β-actin were utilized as positive control. PCR products were run on 1.5% agarose gel and visualized by ethidium bromide staining. One representative experiment of three is shown. (B, C) ELISA plates were coated with mouse anti-NID1 mAb (B) or with the indicated Fc molecules (C), followed by incubation with concentrated SN obtained from untransfected or NID1-transfected K562 cells cultured in protein-free medium. NID1 was detected using a goat anti-NID1 Ab followed by a HRP-conjugated anti-goat IgG Ab. Graphs represent absorbance at 405 nm after normalization to background (nonspecific binding of goat anti-NID1 + secondary reagent). Data are medians of triplicates ± interquartile range and are the pooled results of three independent experiments. ****p < 0.0001 by two-tailed Mann-Whitney test. (D) Concentrated SN (30 μl for each sample) derived from K562 cells and the corresponding NID1 transfectants cultured in protein-free medium were analyzed in SDS-PAGE on a 7.5% polyacrylamide gel; membrane was probed with NKp44Fc molecule or with mouse anti-NID1 mAb followed by the appropriate HRP-conjugated secondary mAb. MW markers (kDa) are indicated on the right. One representative experiment of two is shown.

Figure 5. Effects of soluble NID1 on NKp44-induced function in Bw-NKp44 cells and human polyclonal NK cells. (A) Bw-NKp44 and Bw-NKp30 cells were incubated on anti-NKp44 or anti-NKp30 mAb-coated plates, respectively, for 20 h at 37°C in the absence or in the presence of SN derived from untransfected or NID1-transfected K562 cells. IL-2 release in the SN was evaluated by ELISA. The background (from GAM-stimulated cells) was subtracted for each value. Data are medians of duplicates ± interquartile range and are the pooled results of four independent experiments. ***p = 0.0002, ns = 0.1044, by two-tailed Mann Whitney test. (B) Polyclonal NK cell lines were incubated with SN derived from wild type or NID1-transfected K562 cells and cultured for 20 h at 37°C on plates coated with anti-NKp44, -NKp30, or -NKp46 mAbs. IFN-γ release in the SN was evaluated by ELISA. Data are medians of six independent experiments ± interquartile range performed with NK cells from three donors. *p = 0.0156, ns = 0.1094 (NK + anti-NKp30) and 0.0983 (NK + anti-NKp46) by one-tailed Wilcoxon test. (C) Polyclonal NK cell lines were incubated with medium or with SN derived from wild type or NID1-transfected K562 cells. After 20 h cells were utilized in a redirected killing assay against the FcγRI P815 target cell line in the absence or in the presence of the indicated mAbs (E:T ratios 2:1 and 1:1). Data are medians of duplicates ± interquartile range and are the pooled results of six experiments performed with NK cells derived from three donors. *p = 0.0313 (2:1 ratio) and 0.0156 (1:1 ratio) by one-tailed Wilcoxon test.
Bw-NKp44 and Bw-NKp30 cells with recombinant (r)PDGF-DD. As shown in Figure 6A, recombinant PDGF-DD at 250 and 25 ng/ml was able to induce IL-2 production by Bw-NKp44 cells, while pretreatment of Bw-NKp44 cells with rNID1 resulted in a dose-dependent inhibition of PDGF-DD-induced IL-2 release. A similar inhibitory effect was induced by K562-NID1-SN. As expected, PDGF-DD had no effects on Bw-NKp30 cells. Next, we assessed the ability of NID1 to interfere with the PDGF-DD-induced IFN-γ production in NK cells. Figure 6B shows that rPDGF-DD could efficiently stimulate IFN-γ secretion by polyclonal IL-2-activated NK cells and that this effect was inhibited by K562-NID1-SN. Taken together, these data highlight the potential role of NID1 as a decoy NKp44 ligand.

**NID1 surface expression and functional effects on NKp44**

Having demonstrated that soluble NID1 is able to interfere with PDGF-DD-induced cytokine production in Bw-NKp44 cells and human polyclonal NK cells, we next asked whether NID1 could be expressed also at the cell surface and be recognized as surface-associated molecule with possible functional outcomes. To this end, we assessed the cellular expression and distribution of NID1 in HEK293T, a cell line capable of binding NKP44Fc at its surface (see above). Thus, HEK293T cells were stained with a NID1-specific mAb and analyzed by imaging flow cytometry. This analysis revealed a weak but detectable surface expression of NID1. Figure 7A shows representative single cells stained with anti-NID1 mAb, while the histogram profiles in Figure 7B show the mean fluorescence intensity of all cells analyzed. The presence of NID1 protein at the cell surface suggested that it could be accessible for a direct interaction with NKp44. Thus, we analyzed by flow cytometry the surface expression of NID1 and, in parallel, the reactivity of NKp44Fc on HEK293T, K562, and K562-NID1 cells. As shown in Figure 7C, anti-NID1 mAb stained HEK293T and K562-NID1 cells, but not K562 cells. Consistently, NKp44Fc bound to HEK293T and K562-NID1 but not to K562 cells. Comparable results were obtained with Bw-NID1 cell transfectants (Figure 7C). Taken together, these data indicate that
NID1 can be expressed at the cell surface and recognized by NKp44(Fc) receptor.

On the basis of these results, we further investigated the possible effect of NID1 expressed at the cell surface on NKp44-induced NK cell activation. To this end, Bw-NKp44 cells were cultured in the presence of K562 or K562-NID1 cells or in rNID1-coated plates. After 20 h, IL-2 was measured in culture SN. As shown in Figure 8A, neither K562 nor K562-NID1 cells could induce increases of IL-2 production by Bw-NKp44 cells. Similarly, no increments in IL-2 production occurred upon culture of Bw-NKp44 in rNID1-coated plates (Figure 8B). Comparable results were obtained when rNID1 coating was performed through anti-NID1 (or anti-His) mAb.
We then analyzed whether cell surface NID1 had any effect on NK cells expressing NKp44 upon activation. To this end, IL-2-activated polyclonal NK cells were obtained from several healthy donors and used in functional assays. Since, in NK cells, NKp44 triggers cytotoxicity, we analyzed the susceptibility to NK cell-mediated killing of NID1⁺ or NID1⁻ cells in a cytolytic assay. Because NK cells express different activating receptors that recognize ligands on human K562 cells, this assay was performed using murine Bw cell transfectants (see Fig. S4). As shown in Figure 8C, Bw-NID1 and Bw cells didn’t display significant differences in their susceptibility to NK-mediated cytolysis.

We also assessed the possible effect of plastic-bound rNID1 on IFN-γ release from NK cells cultured in rNID1-coated plates. As shown in Figure 8D, exposure to NID1 failed to induce significant increments of IFN-γ production by NK cells.

In order to confirm NKP44 interaction with NID1 exposed at the cell surface, we performed transient NID1 silencing in HEK293T cells. SiRNA-mediated silencing resulted in a reduced presence of NID1 both as surface associated and as soluble released molecule (Figure 9). Remarkably, NID1 silencing also induced a reduced binding of NKp44Fc to the cell surface (Figure 9A) and a reduced NKP44Fc reactivity with the SN derived from NID1-silenced HEK293T cells (Figure 9B). These results confirm that NKP44Fc is able to recognize NID1 exposed at the cell surface as well as NID1 released in the extracellular space.
Effect of NID1 on NK cell proteome

The above data suggest that NID1 exposed at the target cell surface or bound to a solid support could not significantly modify the cytokine release or cytotoxicity in NKp44+ cells. Thus, to gain insight on the possible effects of surface-exposed NID1 on NK cells, we used a proteomic approach. To this end, NKp44+ polyclonal NK cell populations expanded from four healthy donors were cultured for 20 h on plates coated with rNID1 (NID1) (or w/o coating as control, CTR). In parallel, NK cells were also cultured on plates coated with goat anti-mouse IgG (GAM) + anti-NKp44 mAb (NKp44) (or GAM alone as control, GAM). NK cells were then collected and lysed; total cell lysates were analyzed by high-resolution mass spectrometry.

Data processing through the MaxQuant software allowed the identification of a total of 6903 proteins (of which 5682 were quantified using a Label-Free Quantitation approach). Most of the proteins (5317) could be identified in all of the experimental conditions analyzed (i.e. CTR, NID1, GAM, and NKp44), while few proteins were exclusive of specific conditions (Fig. S6A). An initial analysis of the whole data set (i.e. without any restriction for statistical significance and fold change threshold) indicated that both stimuli could modify the NK cell proteomic profile and could induce concordant

Figure 9. Effect of NID1 silencing on NKp44-NID1 interaction in HEK293T cells and in SN derived from NID1-silenced HEK293T cells. (a) NID1 surface expression and NKp44Fc reactivity was analyzed in untransfected HEK293T cells and in HEK293T cells transfected with siRNA-NID1 or siRNA-CTR 48 and 72 h after transfection. Samples were analyzed by flow cytometry. Dotted profiles correspond to isotype control. One representative experiment of three is shown. (b) Western blot analysis of SN derived from HEK293T cells transfected with siRNA-NID1 or siRNA-CTR. Concentrated SN (30 μg for each sample) derived from untransfected HEK293T cells and HEK293T transfected with siRNA-NID1 or siRNA-CTR cultured in protein-free medium were analyzed in SDS-PAGE on a 7.5% polyacrylamide gel; membrane was probed with mouse anti-NID1 mAb or with NKp44Fc molecule followed by the appropriate HRP-conjugated secondary mAb. MW markers (kDa) are indicated on the right. One representative experiment of three is shown.

Effect of NID1 on NK cell proteome

The above data suggest that NID1 exposed at the target cell surface or bound to a solid support could not significantly modify the cytokine release or cytotoxicity in NKp44+ cells. Thus, to gain insight on the possible effects of surface-exposed NID1 on NK cells, we used a proteomic approach. To this end, NKp44+ polyclonal NK cell populations expanded from four healthy donors were cultured for 20 h on plates coated with rNID1 (NID1) (or w/o coating as control, CTR). In parallel, NK cells were also cultured on plates coated with goat anti-mouse IgG (GAM) + anti-NKp44 mAb (NKp44) (or GAM alone as control, GAM). NK cells were then collected and lysed; total cell lysates were analyzed by high-resolution mass spectrometry.

Data processing through the MaxQuant software allowed the identification of a total of 6903 proteins (of which 5682 were quantified using a Label-Free Quantitation approach). Most of the proteins (5317) could be identified in all of the experimental conditions analyzed (i.e. CTR, NID1, GAM, and NKp44), while few proteins were exclusive of specific conditions (Fig. S6A). An initial analysis of the whole data set (i.e. without any restriction for statistical significance and fold change threshold) indicated that both stimuli could modify the NK cell proteomic profile and could induce concordant...
expression changes (i.e. up- or down-regulation) in a substantial number of proteins (Fig. S6B).

In order to analyze the statistically significant regulated proteins and to define the possible relationships between rNID1 and anti-NKp44 stimulation, two volcano plots were generated from the NID1 vs CTR and NKp44 vs GAM data sets, and proteins were selected on the basis of a two-sample t-test (FDR = 0.05 S0 = 0.1) (Figure 10A, B). By this selection, 112 proteins resulted modulated by rNID1 (64 up- and 44 down-regulated, as compared to CTR) (Table S2), and 129 proteins were modulated by anti-NKp44 mAb (41 up- and 88 down-regulated, as compared to GAM) (Tab S3). 15 modulated proteins were common to the two conditions (indicated in red in Figure 10A, B). The GO analysis indicated that a major fraction of NID1-modulated proteins were involved in the regulation of cell metabolism, but substantial numbers of proteins were also involved in processes related to cell proliferation, signal transduction, endo/exocytosis, and immune response, indicating that NID1 stimulation could induce effective functional responses on NK cells (Figure 10C). Interestingly, NID1- and NKp44-modulated proteins appeared to be similarly involved in the same biological processes, and were similarly distributed among the main cellular components, with the highest percentage of proteins located in membrane compartments (Figure 10C, D). In order to further compare the two stimuli, we drilled down through the GO biological processes/molecular functions and built a functional heatmap depicting the quantitative modulation of the NK cell proteotype in response to NID1 or anti-NKp44 stimuli (Fig. S7). This analysis indicates that part of the effects on the modulation of biological processes is shared by the two stimuli.

On their complex our data suggest that NID1 can bind NKp44 and induce still unnoticed functional responses on NK cells. The GO analysis provides substantial information on these new putative functions, which, however, remain incompletely defined. In this context, the evaluation of the proteins modulated by both stimuli may give some hints. Within this group one of the most up-regulated proteins is represented by MYSM1 (see Figure 10). Interestingly, MYSM1 was recently demonstrated to be essential for NK cell maturation and differentiation, suggesting that the NKp44-NID1 interaction may be relevant to these processes.
and play a key role for the homeostasis of the mature NK cell population.

Discussion

In this study we have identified and characterized a novel extracellular ligand of the NCR NKp44. Analysis of the culture supernatant of the NKp44Fc-reactive cell line HEK293T and the use of 2-DE, combined to high-resolution mass spectrometry, revealed NID1 as an NKp44-reactive molecule. Interaction between NID1 and NKp44 was validated both by immunoprecipitation and by ELISA experiments, indicating that NKp44 recognizes NID1 in its native conformation. Soluble NID1 could down-regulate NKp44-mediated NK cell activation; in addition, plastic-bound NID1 induced significant changes in the NK cell proteomic profile, suggesting a possible effect on various NK cell functions.

NID1 glycoprotein is an essential component of the basement membrane (BM) that plays a role both in BM assembly and stabilization, and in the adhesion between cells and extracellular matrix (ECM). Therefore, NKp44-NID1 interactions are likely to occur in tissues, namely in the mucosae, which contain both NK cells and ILC3, expressing NKp44 receptor. The role of NKp44 in regulating the function of these cells has not been clearly defined. Some evidences, however, indicate that the engagement of NKp44 in ILC3 may induce TNF-α production and synergize with IL-1, IL-7, and IL-23 to induce secretion of IL-22 (a cytokine typically produced by ILC3s). In a recent study, the transcriptome analysis of NKp44-stimulated ILCs revealed a "genome wide regulating effect". This finding is in line with our present proteomic study showing that NK cell stimulation both via mAb-mediated NKp44 cross-linking and by plastic-bound NID1 could induce significant changes in a relevant number of proteins. Consistent with the multiple ligand specificities of NKp44 and its ability to mediate different functional responses, NKp44 cross-linking and NID1-induced stimulation resulted in protein changes that were only partially overlapping. However, the GO analysis suggested some common functional effects induced by the two stimuli. Based on this analysis, NID1 recognition appeared to influence different biological processes related not only to immunologic functions but also to cell metabolism, proliferation, and development. In this context, it is of note that among the limited number of proteins up-regulated by both stimuli, the highest score was associated with MYSM1, a molecule that has been proposed to play a role in NK cell development/maturation. Thus, the finding that MYSM1 was strongly induced by both stimulation with rNID1 and mAb-mediated NKp44 cross-linking suggests a new NKp44-induced cell function and offers clues to study the role of NKp44-NID1 interaction in the process of NK cell (and/or ILC) maturation and differentiation.

Functional experiments revealed that soluble NID1 may play a role as a decoy ligand. Indeed, the addition of rNID1 to cell cultures resulted in inhibition of NKp44-mediated responses in Bw-NKp44 cells. An inhibitory effect was displayed also by soluble NID1 released from cell transfectants. Importantly, released NID1 could significantly decrease NKp44-induced IFN-γ secretion (and, marginally, cytotoxicity) in normal, polyclonal human NK cells. Remarkably, the NID1-mediated inhibition was specific for NKp44, although high concentrations of rNID1 had a slight inhibitory effect also in Bw-NKp30 cells. On the other hand, the supernatant from NID1-releasing cell transfectants did not inhibit NKp30 function in Bw-NKp30 cells. In addition, it could not inhibit NKp30 and NKp46 responses in normal, polyclonal NK cells (see Figure 5). Finally, rNID1 didn’t react with NKp30Fc in ELISA (see Figure 2C). Notably, NID1 was able to inhibit cytokine production triggered not only upon mAb-mediated NKp44 crosslinking but also by stimulation of NK cells with the recently characterized NKp44 ligand, namely PDGF-DD. It is conceivable that the release of NID1 in extracellular fluids may represent a regulatory mechanism that could act on NKp44+ NK cells at specific sites or in the blood stream. Notably, recent studies have highlighted the potential relevance of soluble NID1 as a biomarker in different tumors. Li and coworkers reported the presence of elevated NID1 levels in the serum of ovarian cancer patients, primarily those with an advanced stage of the disease. Along this line, a high content of a specific fragment of NID1, derived from its degradation by cathepsin-S (CatS) was detected in the serum of NSCLC patients. CatS-degraded NID1 was suggested to reflect the loss of BM integrity, an event typically associated to tumor invasion. On the other hand, loss of NID1 expression was frequently detected in human gastrointestinal tumor samples. In this case it was proposed that the loss of NID1 could favor tumor invasion and metastasis by destabilizing the structure of BM and loosening the cell-BM interactions. It should be considered, however, that several reports described a pro-tumoral and pro-metastatic role for NID1. Thus, NID1 has been shown to promote tumor cell migration, invasion, and chemoresistance in ovarian carcinomas via the induction of Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition (EMT). Another study revealed a possible NID1 involvement in the acquisition of migratory and invasive properties by endometrial cancer cells. It has also been shown that NID1 was present at high levels in the secretome of lung metastases in both breast cancer and melanoma. This study showed that NID1 promoted cancer cell migration, invasion, and metastases in the lung. Thus, NID1 appears to be released in the extracellular environment of various tumor types. In this context, the inhibitory NID1-NKp44 interaction described in our present study may be regarded as a novel putative suppressive mechanism exploited by tumors to prevent the NK cell-mediated attack. Along this line, tumor escape mechanisms involving the release of soluble ligands have been described for different activating NK cell receptors. Thus, high levels of soluble NKG2D or DNAM-1 ligands were detected in serum of cancer patients and have been associated to advanced stages of the disease or to poor prognosis. Increased amounts of soluble B7-H6 were found in sera of melanoma patients and in the peritoneal fluid of ovarian carcinoma patients. Soluble BAG6/BAT3 was detected in sera of CLL patients and could modulate NKp30-mediated cytotoxicity. Recently, Galectin-3 has been described as a soluble molecule released from tumor cells and capable of inhibiting NKp30-induced NK cell functions. Soluble ligands are often released through...
the action of proteases, as in the case of B7-H6 shedding caused by ADAM-10 and –17 metalloproteases. NID1 is generally released as a whole molecule. Therefore, it is conceivable that the inhibitory effect on NK cells may be mediated by the intact molecule. On the other hand, NID1 may be modified in the ECM by the action of extracellular proteases, such as Cat-S and ADAMTS1 (A Disintegrin and Metalloproteinase with Thrombospondin motifs). In addition, NID1 can interact with different BM/ECM proteins including laminin, collagen type IV, and perlecans. Thus, it is possible that the tissue microenvironment and the molecular context may further influence the functional outcome of the NID1-NK44 interaction. The ability of NKp44 to recognize NID1 glycoprotein both in denatured and native conditions indicates that NKp44 may bind to a linear epitope. This observation suggests that NKp44 may recognize also cleaved NID1 fragments. This issue should be further investigated in view of the possible targeting of the NKp44-NID1 interaction in the context of cancer immunotherapy.

Importantly, we show that NID1, besides being released in the extracellular space, can be also detected at the cell surface of NID1-releasing cells (see Figure 7). In this context, it has been reported that NID1 may associate through the interaction of its RGD sequence with α3β1 or αvβ3 integrins. Notably, we could detect surface NID1 on a panel of different NKp44Fc-binding human tumor cell lines (Figure 11). Although it has been reported that NID1 up-regulation occurs in several tumor types, information is still lacking on whether NID1 is associated to the tumor cell surface. We assessed the possible functional outcome of the interaction between cell surface-associated NID1 on NKp44+ responding cells. In the Bw-NKp44 model, no induction of IL-2 production could be observed. In NKp44+ NK cells, neither induction of IFN-γ production upon exposure to rNID1-coated plates nor increase of cytotoxic activity against NID1-expressing target cells could be detected. On the other hand, as discussed above, using a proteomic approach, we found that surface-bound rNID1 could induce changes in proteins involved in different biological processes. It is conceivable that such proteomic changes may be induced also by cell surface-associated NID1.

In conclusion, the characterization of NID1 as a ligand of NKp44 provides new interesting hints to extend our knowledge on the NK cell biology. Future investigations of the functional effects of NID1 in different molecular contexts (i.e., associated with ECM proteins or modified by ECM enzymes) may help to understand the mechanisms regulating NK cell (and ILC3) function in tissues. In addition, studies based on proteomic data may lead to the definition of new functional roles of NKp44 receptor (and, consequently, of NK cells) in different biological processes. Taken together, our present study may offer clues and open new opportunities for a more effective exploitation of NK cells for therapeutic purposes, especially (but not exclusively) in the immunotherapy of solid tumors.

**Methods**

**Antibodies**

The following monoclonal antibodies (mAbs), produced in our laboratory, were used in this study: BAB281 (IgG1, anti-NKp46), AZ20 (IgG1, anti-NKp30) and Z231 (IgG1, anti-NKp44). The following commercial antibodies were also used: mouse anti-Nidogen-1 mAb (IgG1, clone 302117, R&D, MAB2570) and goat anti-NID1 polyclonal Ab (R&D, AF2570); mouse anti-NK46 (IgG1, clone 29A1.4.9, Miltenyi Biotec, 130-095-118); hamster anti-mouse CD29-PE (IgG3, clone HMβ1-1, Miltenyi Biotec, 130-102-994); hamster antimouse CD61-PE (IgGx, clone 2C.9.G2, Miltenyi Biotec, 130-102-851); human anti-mouse CD49a-PE (IgG1, clone REA493, Miltenyi Biotec, 130-107-632); goat anti-human IgG Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated mAb, (Southern Biotech, 2040-05), goat anti-mouse Ig HRP-conjugated mAb (Southern Biotech, 1031-05), mouse anti-goat IgG HRP-conjugated mAb (Southern Biotech, 6164-05), and goat anti-mouse IgG phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated mAb (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 109-116-170); rabbit polyclonal anti-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) Ab (ThermoFisher, PA1-16780); mouse anti-His mAb (IgG1, clone BMG-His-1, Roche Diagnostics, 1 922 416).

**Cell lines**

The following cell lines, purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), were used in this study: HEK293T (human embryonic kidney), K562 (human erythroleukemia), Bw1547 (Bw, murine thymoma), SH-SY-5Y.
Briefly, the sequences coding for the extracellular portion of the different receptors were subcloned in the pRB1-2B4Fcmut vector (kindly provided by Dr. M Falco, Ist. G. Gaslini, Genova) in frame with the sequence coding for the human IgG1 portion, that was mutagenized in order to obtain a mutated Fc that does not bind to Fc receptors. These constructs were transfected into HEK293 cell line utilizing JetPEI (Polyplus, 101-10) following manufacturer’s instructions; after 48–72 h, cells were collected with 0.5 mg/ml G418 sulphate, in order to obtain stably transfected cells, and subcloned by limiting dilution. SN were collected from cell clones cultured either in DMEM/10% Ultra-low IgG FCS (ThermoFisher) or in Ex-CELL ACF CHO medium (Sigma, C5467) and the soluble Fc molecules were purified by affinity chromatography utilizing Protein A Sepharose 4 Fast Flow (G-Biosciences, 786-283). Purified recombinant proteins were checked by SDS-PAGE followed by silver staining and by ELISA utilizing mAbs specific for the different receptors.

**Preparation of cell culture supernatants**

Cell culture supernatants (SN) were obtained from wild type or transfected K562 or Bw cells. After 48–72 h culture in medium supplemented with 1% (v/v) FCS or in protein-free CD medium, SN were collected and utilized for subsequent experiments either as they were or following concentration (up to 30-fold) with Amicon Ultracel-10K (Millipore, IPVH00010). For some experiments, gels were prepared with 7.5% or 10% polyacrylamide gels under non-reducing conditions and transferred to Immobilon-P PVDF membranes (Millipore, IPVH00010). For some experiments, gels were prepared with 7.5% TGX Stain-Free Acrylamide Solutions (Bio-Rad, 161-0181). Membranes were blocked with 5% BSA in Tris-buffered-saline containing 0.05% Tween-20 (TBS-T) and probed with anti-NID1 mAb, or Fc molecules (7 μg/ml) applied. Briefly, ELISA plates were coated with Fc molecules (5 μg/ml in PBS), saturated, and incubated with 100 μl HEK293T-SN-biot followed by HRP-conjugated Streptavidin (Southern Biotech, 7100-05). Alternatively, ELISA plates were coated with mouse anti-NID1 mAb or Fc molecules (5 μg/ml in PBS), saturated, and incubated with 100 μl SN derived from wild type or NID1-transfected K562 or Bw cells cultured in medium with 1% (v/v) FCS or in protein-free CD medium. Next, a polyclonal goat anti-NID1 Ab was added, followed by a HRP-conjugated anti-goat Ig mAb.

In all experiments a final incubation with the HRP substrate ABTS (2,2'-azino-di-(3-ethylbenzthiazoline sulfonic acid) (Roche Diagnostics, 102 946) was performed. The colorimetric signal was measured with a microplate reader (TECAN Sunrise) at an optical density (OD) of 405 nm.

**Western blot analysis**

Samples (6–28 μg SN obtained in protein-free medium, 30 μg SN obtained in medium/1% FCS, 160 ng rNID1) were run on 7.5% or 10% polyacrylamide gels under non-reducing conditions and transferred to Immobilon-P PVDF membranes (Millipore, IPVH00010). For some experiments, gels were prepared with 7.5% TGX Stain-Free Acrylamide Solutions (Bio-Rad, 161-0181). Membranes were blocked with 5% BSA in Tris-buffered-saline containing 0.05% Tween-20 (TBS-T) and probed with antibody anti-NID1 mAb or Fc molecules (7 μg/ml) followed by the appropriate HRP-conjugated secondary reagent. The SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (ThermoFisher, 34,080) was used for detection. Images were acquired with ChemiDoc Touch Imaging System (Bio-Rad) and analyzed with Image Lab software (Bio-Rad).

**Two-dimensional electrophoresis (2-DE) and western blot**

Concentrated HEK293T-SN and HEK293T-SN-biot (300 μg for Western blot and 600 μg for preparative gels) were solubilized in the reduction/alkylation solution containing 8 M urea, 4% CHAPS, 5 mM tributylphosphine (TBP), 20 mM iodoacetamide (IAA), 40 mM Tris, and 0.1 mM EDTA for 1 h. To prevent over-alkylation during the isoelectric focusing (IEF) step, excess of IAA was neutralized by adding an equimolar
amount of DTT. Finally, samples were dissolved in the focusing/rehydration solution, i.e. 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% CHAPS, and 15 mM dithioerythritol (DTE) and a 0.6% (v/v) carrier ampholyte cocktail, containing 40% of the pH 3.5–10 and 60% of the pH 4–8 intervals (BDH Biochemical, 44430 2F) and loaded onto home-made non-linear pH 3–10 strips. After IEF runs, the strips were equilibrated in 6 M Urea, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.8, 2% (w/v) SDS, 30% (v/v) glycerol, and traces of bromophenol blue; the proteins were separated using a SDS-PAGE (T% 8–16) and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Protran BA85, Whatman, 10402588) with a semidy system. The membranes were saturated with 3% w/v polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) in TBS and incubated overnight separately with NKp44Fc, NKp30Fc, or NKp46Fc in 3% w/v BSA in TBS-Tween 0.15% v/v (TBS-T). Membranes were then rinsed in TBS-T and incubated with anti-human IgG HRP-conjugated mAb. HEK293T-SN-biot was subjected to the same procedure and immunoblotted with Neutravidin-HRP (ThermoFisher, 31001). For preparative experiments, SDS-gels were stained with "blue silver" colloidal Coomassie. Images were digitalized using ChemiDoc Touch (Bio-Rad) and analyzed with PDQuest software (Bio-Rad).

2-DE spot identification
Spots excised from 2D-PAGE were fully discolored and digested with trypsin. All mass spectrometric measurements were performed using a LTQ linear ion trap mass spectrometer (Thermo Electron) coupled to a HPLC Surveyor (Thermo Electron) equipped with a Jupiter C18 column 250 mm × 1 mm (Phenomenex). Protein identification was performed using SEQUEST software and searched against a Human protein database. Peptide MS/MS assignments were filtered following very stringent criteria: Xcorr ≥1.9 for the singly charged ions, Xcorr ≥2.2 for doubly charged ions, Xcorr ≥3.7 for triply charged ions, peptide probability ≤0.01, delta Cn ≥0.1 and Rsp ≤4.26.

Immunoprecipitation
Immunoprecipitation experiments were carried out using 12 µg NKp44Fc, NKp30Fc, or DNAM-1Fc molecules linked to 50 µl Dynabeads Protein G (ThermoFisher, 10003D) and incubated O/N at 4°C with 400 µl concentrated HEK293T SN (corresponding to 500 µg proteins). Samples were eluted using non-reducing sample buffer (10% glycerol, 2% SDS, 62.5 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 0.01% bromophenol blue) for 5' at 60°C and subsequently analyzed by SDS-PAGE using TGX Stain-Free Acrylamide Solutions; membrane was probed with mouse anti-NID1 mAb followed by HRP-conjugated anti-mouse Ig mAb.

RT-PCR analysis
Total RNA was extracted using RNAeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, 74,104) from the following cells: K562, K562-NID1, Bw, Bw-NID1. Oligo(dT)-primed cDNA was prepared by standard technique using a Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche Diagnostics, 04379012001) following manufacturer’s instructions. Amplifications were performed for 30 cycles utilizing Platinum TAA DNA Polymerase (ThermoFisher, 10966034) with an annealing T of 58°C (β-actin) or 62°C (NID1). Primers used were: β-actin for 5'TCTCCATCATGAAAGTGACG and β-actin rev 5’-CATACTCCTGTCTGATCC; NID1 for 5’TCTCCATGGCCGTGAG and NID1 rev 5’-AGACACGGGGGCCTGAGG and NID1 rev 5’-AGACACGGGGGCCTGAGG. PCR products (249 bp fragment for β-actin and 795 bp for NID1) were separated by electrophoresis on a 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel and visualized by ethidium bromide staining.

Stable cell transfectants
K562 cell line was transfected with pcDNA3.1-NID1 construct (Geneart, ThermoFisher) using JetPEI following manufacturer’s instructions. After 72 h cells were cultured in medium containing 1.2 mg/ml G418 sulphate. At the end of the selection period, surviving cells were sub-cloned by limiting dilution. Bw-NID1 cells were prepared by retrovirus gene transfer. NID1 ORF cDNA was sub-cloned in pMXs-IG (IRES-GFP) retrovirus vector (kindly provided by Dr. Kitamura, Tokyo, Japan). The pMXs-IG-NID1 construct was transiently transfected into Plat-E packaging cell line in order to generate viral particles that were used to infect Bw cells. NID1-positive cells were sorted according to GFP expression; subsequently, NID1-positive cells were sub-cloned by limiting dilution. Bw-NKp44/DAP12 cells (Bw-NKp44) were obtained by a similar approach, utilizing pMXS-IG vector, in which NKp44 ORF and DAP12 ORF cDNAs were sub-cloned in the two available cloning sites (GFP-encoding sequence was replaced by DAP12 ORF cDNA). NKp44-expressing cells were sorted and sub-cloned by limiting dilution. Bw-NKp30-CD3ζ cells (Bw-NKp30), expressing a chimeric molecule composed of the extracellular region of NKp30 fused to murine CD3ζ, were kindly provided by Eric Vivier (Marseille, France), and were sorted and sub-cloned by limiting dilution. For the recovery of SN from K562 and BW cells and transfectants, cell culture was carried out either in RPMI/1% FCS or in protein-free CD medium and SN was collected after 48–72 h. In the latter case, SN were concentrated up to 10-fold using Amicon Ultracel-10K.

Functional assays on Bw cells
1 × 10^5 Bw cells were pre-treated 1 h at 37°C with 20 or 7.5 µg/ml rNID1 or with 100 µl SN obtained from wild type or transfected K562 and Bw cells cultured in RPMI/1% FCS, and subsequently plated on 96-well cell culture plates (1 × 10^5 cells/well) coated with 5 µg/ml goat anti-mouse IgG (GAM, MP Cappel, 55481) alone or with GAM plus anti-NKp44 or -NKp30 mAbs. Alternatively, pretreated cells were stimulated with rPDGF-DD (R&D, 1159-SB) at 250 and 25 ng/ml. For co-culture experiments, Bw cells were pre-incubated 1 h at 37°C with wild type or NID1-transfected K562 and Bw cells at an effector/target (E/T) ratio of 1:1 and then transferred on mAb-coated plates. In other experiments, Bw-NKp44 cells were incubated on plates in which rNID1 was coated either directly or through anti-NID1 or anti-His mAbs. For all these assays, after 20 h at
37°C, SN were collected and analyzed for their IL-2 content by ELISA using the Mouse IL-2 ELISA Ready-SET-Go (eBioscience, BMS88-7024-77) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Each sample was run in duplicate.

**Generation of polyclonal NK cell lines**

NK cells were derived from healthy donors. Approval was obtained from the ethical committee of IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino (39/2012) of Genova (Italy), and informed consent was provided according to the Declaration of Helsinki. NK cells were purified from peripheral blood using the RosetteSep™ NK Cell Enrichment Cocktail (StemCell Technologies, 15025). Those populations displaying more than 95% of CD56+CD3-CD14- NK cells were selected. Polyclonal NK cell lines were obtained by culturing purified NK cells at appropriate dilutions on irradiated feeder cells in the presence of 100 U/mL rhIL-2 (Proleukin, Novartis) and 1.5 µg/mL phytohemagglutinin (PHA, Gibco Ltd, 10576-015) in round-bottomed 96-well microtiter plates. After 3/4 weeks of culture the expanded NK cells were used for NK cell stimulation experiments.

**Functional assays on NK cells**

Evaluation of NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity against Bw and Bw-NID-1 transfected cells or against the P815 FcγR7 murine cell line (redirected killing assay) was done in a 4-h ⁵¹Cr-release test. In the redirected killing assay mAbs were added at the final concentration of 1.25 µg/mL (anti-NKp30 and anti-NKp46 mAbs) or 0.5 µg/mL (anti-NKp44 mAb) (appropriate concentration was determined after titration to induce specific functional response in NK cells). When indicated, K562-SN or K562-NID1-SN was added to NK cells (50% v/v) 1 h before the onset of the test.

For the IFN-γ secretion assay, NK cells (10 x 10⁴/well) were cultured overnight in 96-well microtiter plates precoated with GAM either in the absence or in the presence of anti-NKp44, -NKp30, -NKp46 mAbs. Alternatively, NK cells were stimulated with rPDGF-DD at 250 and 50 ng/mL. When indicated, K562-SN or K562-NID1-SN was added at the onset of culture. The culture SN were then collected and analyzed for the presence of IFN-γ using the IFN gamma Human ELISA Kit (ThermoFisher, EHIFNG).

**Imaging flow cytometry**

HEK293T cells were incubated with anti-NID1 mAb followed by PE-conjugated anti-IgG1 mAb. Prior to analysis, cells were stained with the nuclear dye Hoechst 33342 (ThermoFisher, 62249) (1:1000 dilution). Cells were acquired with a 12 channel MultiMag system ImageStream® Mark II imaging flow cytometer (IFC) (Merck) using INSPIRE acquisition software (Amnis Corporation). Three ImageStream channels were used: channel 1 for the brightfield, channel 3 for NID1-PE (excited by a 488-nm laser), channel 7 for Hoechst 33342 (excited by a 405-nm laser). In order to collect only on focus-single-cells, we first restricted our attention on the brightfield (BF) parameters analysis. Single cells were selected using a biparametric dot plot representing BF aspect ratio (i.e. width/height) versus BF cell area then, by mean of the BF gradient RMS (root mean square), which measures the sharpness quality of an image, we gated only on focus cells. For each staining condition, 4,000 raw images were collected using a 40x objective. To avoid spectral overlaps, single-color compensation controls (500 cells each) were gathered and a compensation matrix was generated. The raw image files were then analyzed using IDEAS 6.0.3 software (Amnis Corporation) setting compensation on the basis of the calculated matrix. To verify the correspondence between data derived from traditional cytometry and IFC, we compared NID expression with its negative control (i.e. cells labeled only with secondary PE-conjugated mAb) by mean of their MFI (mean fluorescence intensity). To grant a better visualization of these data, we merged the two files.

**Flow cytometry**

Cells were incubated with the primary mAbs or Fc molecules (20 µg/mL) for 30 minutes at 4°C, washed, and stained with the appropriate PE- isotype-matched secondary mAbs for 30' at 4°C. Bw-NKp44 and Bw-NKp30 cells were incubated with PE-conjugated anti-integrin mAbs for 30' at 4°C. All samples were analyzed using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer and CellQuest Pro software (BD Biosciences).

**Silencing experiments**

NID1 expression was transiently silenced in HEK293T cells by siRNA transfection using iBONi siRNA 4-duplexes Plus (Rockyox Life Sciences). In particular, the following siRNA were used: siRNA-NID1 (ID4811_4): Sense 5’-UUAACACAUUGUUGCA CGCCCCC-3’, Antisense 5’-GGGGCUGAAGAUGG UUA-3’, siRNA-CTR (iBONi Negative Control-N3): Sense 5’- ACAACAUUAUGAGCCGCCC-3’, Antisense 5’-GGGG GCAGCUAUGAUGUGU-3’. siRNA (300 nM) were introduced in HEK293T cells by Nucleofector technology (Lonza) using Amaxa Cell Line Nucleofector Kit V (Lonza, VACA-1003); after 48 and 72 h cells were analyzed by flow cytometry with anti-NID1 mAb and NKp44Fc followed by appropriate PE-conjugated secondary reagents. For the analysis of SN derived from NID1-silenced cells, siRNA (50 nM) were transfected in HEK293T cells with Interferin (Polyplus, 409-10) following manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were then cultured in CD medium; after 72 h SN were collected, concentrated, and analyzed by Western blot with anti-NID1 mAb and NKp44Fc followed by appropriate HRP-conjugated secondary reagents.

**Proteomic analysis of polyclonal NK cell populations**

Polyclonal IL-2-activated NK cells derived from four different healthy donors were cultured for 20 h at 37°C in FCS- and IL-2-deprived medium in the absence or in the presence of rNID1 (20 µg/mL) directly coated on the plate or of anti-NKp44 mAb coated via GAM. Next, for subsequent proteomic analysis, cells were processed by in-StageTip (IST) method. Briefly, the pellets were lysed, reduced, alkylated in a single step using a buffer containing 2% (w/v) SDS (sodium deoxycholate), 10 mM TCEP (Tris(2-carboxyethyl)
phosphine hydrochloride), 40 mM CAA (chloroacetamide), 100 mM Tris HCl pH 8.0, and loaded into StageTip. The lysates were diluted with 25 mM Tris pH 8.5 containing 1 µg of trypsin. Samples were acidified with 100 µl of 1% (v/v) TFA (Trifluoroacetic Acid) and washed three times with 0.2% (v/v) TFA. Elutions were performed with 60 µl of 5% (v/v) ammonium hydroxide, 80% (v/v) ACN.

Samples were loaded from the sample loop directly into a 75-µm ID × 50 cm 2 µm, 100 Å C18 column mounted in the thermostat column compartment and the peptides were separated with increasing organic solvent at a flow rate of 250 nL/min using a non-linear gradient of 5–45% solution B (80% CAN and 20% H2O, 5% DMSO, 0.1% FA) in 180 min.

Eluting peptides were analyzed using an Orbitrap Fusion Tribrid mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific). Orbitrap detection was used for both MS1 and MS2 measurements at resolving powers of 120 K and 30 K (at m/z 200), respectively. Data dependent MS/MS analysis was performed in top speed mode with a 2 sec. cycle time, during which precursors detected within the range of m/z 375 – 1500 were selected for activation in order of abundance. Quadrupole isolation with a 1.8 m/z isolation window was used, and dynamic exclusion was enabled for 30s. Automatic gain control targets were 2.5 × 106 for MS1 and 5 × 104 for MS2, with 50 and 54 ms maximum injection times, respectively. The signal intensity threshold for MS2 was 1 × 105. HCD was performed using 28% normalized collision energy. One microscan was used for both MS1 and MS2 events.

MaxQuant software, version 1.6.0.1, was used to process the raw data, setting a false discovery rate (FDR) of 0.01 for the identification of proteins, peptides and PSM (peptide-spectrum match), a minimum length of 6 amino acids for peptide identification was required. Andromeda engine, incorporated into MaxQuant software, was used to search MS/MS spectra against Uniprot human database (release UP000005640_9606 February 2017). In the processing the variable modifications are Acetyl (Protein N-Term) Oxidation (M), Deamidation (NQ); on the contrary the Carbamidomethyl (C) was selected as fixed modification. The intensity values were extracted and statistically evaluated using the ProteinGroup Table and Perseus software. Algorithm MaxLFQ was chosen for the protein quantification with the activated option ‘match between runs’ to reduce the number of the missing proteins.

Statistical analyses

Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 6, R, or Perseus software. Details of statistical analysis for each experiment are indicated in the corresponding figure legend.
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