Introduction

Rapid anthropogenic climate change caused by a large number of greenhouse gases is one of the most significant environmental problems in the world today. The development of sustainable and environmentally friendly technology to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, particularly carbon dioxide (CO₂), is the focus of attention in many countries. Unique physicochemical properties of ionic liquids (ILs) such as high thermal stability, low vapor pressure, and tunable properties make ILs suitable for CO₂ absorption. Functionalized ILs, such as amine, azolium, phenolate, and carbene-based ILs were reported as potential CO₂ absorption solvents owing to their electron-rich property, or the basicity of ILs. High CO₂ absorption capacity is one of the performance evaluations of ILs. In this regard, a series of strategies, including tuning the basicity of the active sites, changing the steric hindrance of the ILs, utilization of entropic effects and hydrogen bond formation, were developed to enhance the CO₂ absorption capacity. However, the CO₂ capacity of ILs with single site was low compared to those multiple-sites, which have attracted attention to enhance the CO₂ capacity.

Designing ILs with cooperative sites was considered as attractive to enhance the CO₂ capacity as well as gas adsorption materials. Vaidhyanathan and Woo reported CO₂ capture by an amine-functionalized nanoporous solid with cooperative sites for the low-pressure binding and large uptake of CO₂. McDonald reported an energy-saving CO₂ separation by small temperature or pressure swings via the cooperative insertion of CO₂ in diamine-appended MOFs such as mmen-Mg₂(dobpdc). It has been found that cooperative CO₂ capture is a much feasible way to achieve high capacity and reversibility. Recently, cooperative sites were considered in designing ILs. Wang et al. synthesized hydroxyl-pyridinium based ILs with dual cooperative sites to fix CO₂ for the delocalized π electrons, which enhanced by 85% of the capacity to 1.58 mol mol⁻¹ IL. Similarly, the cooperation of Lewis acid–basic reaction and the hydrogen bond interactions of IL with CO₂ were put to good use to improve CO₂ capture with imidazolium ILs. Dai et al. found the dual sites of [P₄₄₄₂][IDA] could be activated by weakening the depression of amine and high capacity of 1.69 mol CO₂ per mol [P₄₄₄₂][IDA] was achieved. Wang and Cui synthesized [P₄₄₄₂][Suc] with structural preorganization for improving the capture to 1.65 mol CO₂ per mol IL of low-concentration CO₂ as 10 vol% through multiple site cooperation, the capacity would be further enhanced to 2.21 mol CO₂ per mol IL at 30 ℃ and 1 bar by tuning the anion substituent group. However, [apmim][Suc] with the active sites of imidazolium anion and amine group in cation, [aemim][Tauf] and [aP₄₄₄₄][AA] with an amine group both in cation and anion, [DAIL] with a dual amine group in cation and as well as [Arg], [Lys], [AA–Im]-based ILs with a dual amine group in cation were reported as a CO₂ absorbent but have capacity just up to equimolar...
similar to the ILs with a single site, which means one site might be suppressed or inactive. Mu\textsuperscript{27} thought that there is interplay of the dual amine in ILs, which might restrict their ability. As can be seen, cooperation is the key to the high capacity of ILs with dual sites\textsuperscript{32,33} but the depression of one site is a common problem making the active site to be suppressed and have low CO\textsubscript{2} capacity.

A dual site-functionalized IL consists of three parts including cation, site A and site B in anion, as shown in Fig. 1. Two possible causes influence the activity of sites, including the interactions between a cation and anion, and the interactions between site A and site B. In our previous study, the effects of cation was investigated and the results indicated that strong interactions between cation and anion would deactivate one site.\textsuperscript{24} In this study, the interplay between dual sites was investigated; amino acids with binary acids are considered as an anion precursor to investigate the depression effects of CO\textsubscript{2} absorption sites. Phosphonium ions [P\textsubscript{66614}] and [P\textsubscript{4442}] are selected as the cations. The structure of the used ILs is presented in Chart 1. There are two potential sites including amino acid (AA) and anion site (R) in [AA–R]. Thereinto, it has been reported that [P\textsubscript{66614}] could capture CO\textsubscript{2} efficiently via the reaction of an amine group with CO\textsubscript{2} to carbamic acid. Indolium (Ind) and imidazolium (Im) ions are also good choices for CO\textsubscript{2} absorption with high capacity, while carboxylate (Ac) anion prefers to react with CO\textsubscript{2} and should be active to fix CO\textsubscript{2} efficiently, sulfonate (Su) ion would not react with CO\textsubscript{2}. The results in this study indicate that the dual sites in ILs could cooperate and do their best in the CO\textsubscript{2} capture if two sites have quite an activity; otherwise, the less active site would be suppressed by another site. Furthermore, [P\textsubscript{66614}]\textsubscript{2}[Am–IPA] was synthesized with equivalent dual sites to cooperative CO\textsubscript{2} absorption, and the results showed that it presented high capacity as 2.38 mol CO\textsubscript{2} per mol IL at 30 °C and 1 bar.

**Results and discussion**

**Properties of ILs**

Some properties of these synthesized ILs such as their thermal property and viscosity were detected, and are shown in Table 1. The decomposition temperature of [P\textsubscript{66614}]\textsubscript{2}[AA–Im] and [P\textsubscript{66614}]\textsubscript{2}[AA–Ind] containing azole groups are 258 °C and 252 °C, respectively. [P\textsubscript{66614}]\textsubscript{2}[Am–PA] with a benzene substituent was stable until the temperature reached above 300 °C, and they are thermally stable enough for the application as a CO\textsubscript{2} absorbent. The viscosity of these ILs are thousands of cP and it is considered that the high viscosity was derived from the hydrogen bond formation in amine-based ILs.

**CO\textsubscript{2} absorption**

These ILs were applied to CO\textsubscript{2} absorption under 1 bar CO\textsubscript{2} pressure at 30 °C (Fig. 2). [P\textsubscript{66614}]\textsubscript{2}[AA–Ac] showed a high capacity of 1.97 mol CO\textsubscript{2} per mol IL. With an exchange of a cation, the IL [P\textsubscript{4442}]\textsubscript{2}[AA–Ac] shows a capacity of 2.05 mol CO\textsubscript{2} per mol IL, with no obvious distinction with the exchange of a phosphonium cation, and this phenomenon is similar to that observed in the previous studies.\textsuperscript{34,35} Thus, the following experiments take [P\textsubscript{66614}] as the IL’s cation. Otherwise, [P\textsubscript{66614}]\textsubscript{2}[AA–Su] presents a CO\textsubscript{2} capacity of 0.49 mol mol\textsuperscript{–1} IL, which is less than [P\textsubscript{66614}]\textsubscript{2}[AA–Ac] for the sulfonic moiety that does not react with CO\textsubscript{2}. According to the ref. 6, azolium ions such as [Ind] and [Im] prefer to react with CO\textsubscript{2}, [P\textsubscript{66614}]\textsubscript{2}[AA–Ind] and [P\textsubscript{66614}]\textsubscript{2}[AA–Im] with azolium ions were applied to CO\textsubscript{2} absorption. However, their CO\textsubscript{2} absorption capacities are 1.45 and 1.55 mol mol\textsuperscript{–1} IL, respectively, which are lower than that of [P\textsubscript{66614}]\textsubscript{2}[AA–Ac]. This means the activity of the CO\textsubscript{2} absorption site AA or azolium ions might be suppressed.

**The possible mechanism for CO\textsubscript{2} absorption**

The possible interaction process controlled by enthalpy was speculated via theoretical calculations performed using the Gaussian 03 program at the B3LYP/6-31G++(d,p) level, the optimized structures of the [AA–R], and its CO\textsubscript{2} complexes are listed in Fig. S1\textsuperscript{†} and the enthalpies are listed in Table 2. It is reported that CO\textsubscript{2} could be chemisorbed when the reaction enthalpy is less than about −50 kJ mol\textsuperscript{–1}.\textsuperscript{6} As seen from Table 2, the amine groups are all active for CO\textsubscript{2} absorption according to the reaction enthalpy ΔH(\textsubscript{AA–CO\textsubscript{2}}). The reaction enthalpy of CO\textsubscript{2} with an Ac anion in [AA–Ac] is −61.08 kJ mol\textsuperscript{–1}, which means that Ac could react with CO\textsubscript{2} efficiently, and this result coincides with the absorption capacity of [P\textsubscript{66614}]\textsubscript{2}[AA–Ac] as 1.97 mol CO\textsubscript{2} per mol IL. The Su anion is not an active site for CO\textsubscript{2} absorption because the reaction enthalpy of CO\textsubscript{2} with the Su anion in [AA–Su] is −27.15 kJ mol\textsuperscript{–1}, which causes the CO\textsubscript{2} capacity of [P\textsubscript{66614}]\textsubscript{2}[AA–Su] presenting 0.49 mol mol\textsuperscript{–1} less than [P\textsubscript{66614}]\textsubscript{2}[AA–Ac]. The reaction enthalpies of the amine group and Im anion in [AA–Im] with CO\textsubscript{2} are −102.28 and −130.68 kJ mol\textsuperscript{–1}, which indicates that two sites might react with CO\textsubscript{2} preferentially. However, [P\textsubscript{66614}]\textsubscript{2}[AA–Im] also showed 0.42 mol CO\textsubscript{2} per mol IL less than [P\textsubscript{66614}]\textsubscript{2}[AA–Ac] and is similar to [P\textsubscript{66614}]\textsubscript{2}[AA–Ind]. There is an inhibiting effect between sites.
including anion and amine, where the amine site competes with anion in CO2 reaction. The CO2 capacity was associated with the relative activation of two sites, which was considered as the value of $\Delta H(R-CO_2)$ divided by $\Delta H(AA-CO_2)$. The results in Fig. 3 indicate that there is a high CO2 capacity when the activation of two sites are almost equivalent, whereas the CO2 capacity is much lower.

The CO2 absorption with these ILs was investigated via IR and $^{13}$C NMR spectroscopy, as shown in Fig. 4. There are 2 new peaks at 160.4 ppm and 158.2 ppm in the $^{13}$C NMR spectra compared with CO2 saturated [P66614][AA-Ac] and its fresh state, while the chemical shift of the CH (marked with green circle) and CH$_2$ (marked with red square) groups have a few ppm changes, which indicates that CO2 is fixed in two forms. As seen from the IR spectra of [P66614][AA-Ac] in Fig. 4(b), the vibration absorption of the carboxylate anion at 1585 cm$^{-1}$ shift to 1610 cm$^{-1}$ and the IR absorption intensity of the captured CO2 between 1630–1760 cm$^{-1}$ increases with a gradual increase in the CO2 content, which indicates that the carboxylate anion assists in CO2 absorption.

The 2D IR spectroscopy is a usual method to study the dynamics of interactions.36,37 Thereinto, the IR spectra of ILs associated with the CO2 content in 2D correction forms are shown in Fig. 5. Compared with the synchronous and asynchronous correction of [P66614][AA-Ac], it is interesting that the absorption between 1630–1780 cm$^{-1}$ consists of several peaks. There are cross-correlation peaks marked with the elliptical line that appeared at (1715 cm$^{-1}$, 1585 cm$^{-1}$), where 1585 cm$^{-1}$ belongs to the carboxylate anion and 1715 cm$^{-1}$ belongs to the fixed CO2. The $\Psi(1715$ cm$^{-1}$, 1585 cm$^{-1}$) in Fig. 5(a) is opposite in signs to $\Phi(1715$ cm$^{-1}$, 1585 cm$^{-1}$) in Fig. 5(c), which indicates that the change of 1585 cm$^{-1}$ precedes 1715 cm$^{-1}$. Similarly, with the analysis of the correlation among the peaks of [P66614][AA-Ac] at 1585, 1660 and 1715 cm$^{-1}$, it indicates that the absorption at 1660 cm$^{-1}$ belongs to the fixed CO2 with the amine group in [AA-Ac] also follows 1585 cm$^{-1}$, while 1715 cm$^{-1}$ and 1660 cm$^{-1}$ appear simultaneously for no cross-correlation at $\Phi(1713$ cm$^{-1}$, 1660 cm$^{-1}$), which is marked with dotted square in Fig. 5(c). Thus, the amine site of [AA-Ac] reacts with one CO2, then another CO2 fixed by an anion site, dual sites of ILs such as [P66614][AA-Ac] could be listed as path (a) in Fig. 6, it presents high capacity of up to 1.97 mol CO2 per mol IL owing to the cooperation of amine group and the carboxylate anion.

However, what happens on using [P66614][AA-Im] as a CO2 absorption agent?

As can be seen from Fig. S3† that the partial IR spectra of [P66614][AA-Im] varied with the CO2 content, the IR

| Entry | IL      | Viscosity$^a$ (cPa) | Decomposition temperature$^b$ (°C) |
|-------|---------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1     | [P66614][AA-Im] | 2693               | 258                               |
| 2     | [P66614][AA-Su]  | 4432               | 296                               |
| 3     | [P66614][AA-Ac]  | 3076               | 264                               |
| 4     | [P66614][AA-Ind] | 4220               | 252                               |
| 5     | [P66614][Am-iPA] | 5135               | 320                               |

$^a$ Viscosity date were obtained using a Bookfield DV-II+ Pro viscometer at 30 °C. $^b$ Decomposition temperature was measured by DTG with temperature increase from 30 °C to 600 °C at a rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$ under an argon gas flow.

### Table 1: The viscosity and decomposition temperature of typical ILs

| Entry | IL         | Viscosity$^a$ (cPa) | Decomposition temperature$^b$ (°C) |
|-------|------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1     | [P66614][AA-Im] | 2693               | 258                               |
| 2     | [P66614][AA-Su]  | 4432               | 296                               |
| 3     | [P66614][AA-Ac]  | 3076               | 264                               |
| 4     | [P66614][AA-Ind] | 4220               | 252                               |
| 5     | [P66614][Am-iPA] | 5135               | 320                               |

$^a$ Viscosity date were obtained using a Bookfield DV-II+ Pro viscometer at 30 °C. $^b$ Decomposition temperature was measured by DTG with temperature increase from 30 °C to 600 °C at a rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$ under an argon gas flow.

### Table 2: Reaction enthalpies of CO2 with one site of [AA–R]

| [AA–R] | $\Delta H(AA-CO_2)$ | $\Delta H(R-CO_2)$ | CO2 capacity$^b$ | Relative activation of two sites$^c$ |
|---------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| [AA-Ac] | -106.15             | -61.08              | 1.97             | 0.58                             |
| [AA-Su] | -103.67             | -27.15              | 1.48             | 0.26                             |
| [AA-Im] | -102.28             | -130.68             | 1.55             | 1.28                             |
| [AA-Ind] | -105.32            | -136.78             | 1.45             | 1.30                             |
| [Am-iPA] | -75.85             | -64.53              | 2.38             | 0.85                             |

$^a$ kJ mol$^{-1}$, the reaction enthalpies were calculated by the Gaussian program at B3LYP/6-31G++(d,p) level. $^b$ mol mol$^{-1}$ IL, CO2 absorption was operated at 30 °C, 1 bar. $^c$ Relative activation of two sites was presented as $\Delta H(AA-CO_2)$ divide by $\Delta H(R-CO_2)$. 
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The absorption of the carboxylate anion at 1578 cm$^{-1}$ gets blue-shift with the uptake of CO$_2$, and the absorption of the fixed CO$_2$ with the Im and amine group appear at 1713 and 1664 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. Compared with the synchronous and asynchronous 2D correction IR spectra of [P$_{66614}$]$_2$[AA–Im] associated with the CO$_2$ content, the sign of $\Psi$(1713 cm$^{-1}$, 1578 cm$^{-1}$) in Fig. 5(b) and $\Phi$(1713 cm$^{-1}$, 1578 cm$^{-1}$) in Fig. 5(d) indicate the absorption of fixed CO$_2$ by imidazolium at 1713 cm$^{-1}$ before the change in the vibration absorption of the carboxylate anion at 1578 cm$^{-1}$. Similarly, the IR absorption of the fixed CO$_2$ with the amine group in [AA–Im] at 1664 cm$^{-1}$ follows 1713 cm$^{-1}$, while the absorption appears at 1664 cm$^{-1}$ prior to 1578 cm$^{-1}$. Thus, the reaction of CO$_2$ with dual site ILs could be listed as path (b) in Fig. 6, that the amine site of [AA–Im] reacts with CO$_2$ following with CO$_2$ fixed by anion site, which is different from [P$_{66614}$]$_2$[AA–Ac]. The $^{13}$C NMR spectra in Fig. 7 shows that one new carbon appeared at 157.9 ppm compared with CO$_2$ saturated [P$_{66614}$]$_2$[AA–Im] and its fresh state. It is noticed that the chemical shift of CH (marked with a green circle) group shifts tiny, which would be attributed to the reaction of the amine group with CO$_2$ be suppressed. A similar phenomenon occurs in CO$_2$ absorption with [P$_{66614}$]$_2$[AA–Ind]. We thought that cooperative CO$_2$ absorption with dual site-functionalized ILs might be liable to occur when the dual sites have the equivalent ability.

**Application of cooperation**

Based on this opinion that the sites with equivalent ability are more likely to form cooperative CO$_2$ absorption, we know that the valence electron delocalizes in the conjugated plane, so that the charge can be dispersed. Thereinto, [P$_{66614}$]$_2$[Am–IPA] was synthesized by taking advantage of a benzene ring, which is one of the most common conjugated planes. The reaction enthalpy of CO$_2$ with the amine group and carboxylate anion absorption of the carboxylate anion at 1578 cm$^{-1}$ gets blue-shift with the uptake of CO$_2$, and the absorption of the fixed CO$_2$ with the Im and amine group appear at 1713 and
were calculated to predict the possibility of the cooperative CO₂ absorption of dual sites. The reaction enthalpy of CO₂ with carboxylate anion and amine in [Am–iPA] are −64.53 and −75.85 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively, which indicate that two sites might react with CO₂, and the reactions are more moderate than with [AA–Im] and [AA–Ind], which is a benefit to CO₂ desorption. [P66614][Am–iPA] was applied to CO₂ absorption with capacity as high as 2.38 mol mol⁻¹ IL within 20 min at 30 °C. There are two new carbon peaks of captured CO₂ with [P66614][Am–iPA] that appeared at 157.7 and 156.5 ppm in the ¹³C NMR spectra from Fig. 8(c). In the carbon capture utilization, moisture may be one of the strongest competitors to CO₂ in the absorption with ILs; therefore, the humid CO₂ absorption performance with [P66614][Am–iPA] was tested at 30 °C (Fig. S3†). The results indicated that [P66614][Am–iPA] was diluted with 2.5 wt% water, and the CO₂ absorption capacity of [P66614][Am–iPA] with the copresence of water remained at 8.56 wt%. Compared with the dry CO₂ absorption capacity of 9.14 wt% (2.38 mol CO₂ per mol IL), it was demonstrated that a small amount of water in IL did not significantly reduce the CO₂ capture capability. 33,34 The influence of temperature and pressure were investigated. The results in Fig. 8(a and b) indicate that the CO₂ capacity decreases to 1.19 mol mol⁻¹ when temperature increase to 70 °C under 1.0 bar, or decreases to 1.79 mol mol⁻¹ when the CO₂ partial pressure decreases to 0.1 bar at 30 °C, which means that the captured CO₂ could be desorbed by increasing the temperature and decreasing the CO₂ partial pressure. The thermal stability of [P66614][Am–iPA] was characterized via TGA measurement with its decomposition temperature set as 322 °C. Ten consecutive absorption cycles of CO₂ by [P66614][Am–iPA] are displayed in Fig. 8(d) and exhibit reversibility with the captured CO₂ being desorbed at 80 °C and 1 kPa vacuum for 1 hour. With the cooperation of dual sites, higher CO₂ capacity as well as weaker interactions between CO₂ and IL could be achieved.

![Fig. 8](image-url)

**Fig. 8** Properties of CO₂ absorption with [P66614][Am–iPA]. (a) CO₂ capacity varied with temperature. (b) CO₂ capacity varied with the CO₂ content of CO₂ and N₂ mixed gas at 1 bar pressure. (c) ¹³C NMR spectra of [P66614][Am–iPA] compared with the [P66614][Am–iPA]–CO₂ complex. (d) 10 recycle of CO₂ absorption at 30 °C and 1 bar CO₂ pressure, and desorption at 80 °C and 1 kPa vacuum for 1 hour.

### Experimental

#### Materials and methods

The used reagents such as L-aspartic acid (AA–Ac), L-histidine (AA–Im), tryptophan (AA–Ind), L-homocysteic acid (AA–Su), and 5-aminoisophthalic acid (Am–iPA) were purchased from Aladdin Industrial Co. Tributylethylphosphonium bromide and trihexyl(tetradecyl)phosphonium bromide were purchased from Nanjing Chemlin Biomedical Technology Company. An anion exchange resin in the chloride form (95% purity) and tributylamine (98% purity) were purchased from Sinopharm Group Chemical Company.

#### Synthetic procedures

ILs were prepared via synthesizing halide ILs, anion exchange, and neutralization with amino acids. Taking the synthesis of trihexyl(tetradecyl)phosphonium tryptophan ([P66614][AA–Ind]) as an example. Trihexyl(tetradecyl)phosphonium bromide ([P66614][Br]) was synthesized according to the reported ref. 38. A solution of trihexyl(tetradecyl)phosphonium hydroxide ([P66614][OH]) in ethanol was obtained from [P66614][Br] by the anion-exchange method, and equimolar tryptophan was added to the ethanol solution of [P66614][OH]; the mixture was dealt with a vacuum-rotary evaporation procedure at 60 °C to remove the most of ethanol after stirring at room temperature for 24 h. Then, all ILs were dried under vacuum at 80 °C for 24 h and freeze-dried to remove possible traces of water.

#### Characterization

The synthesized ILs were confirmed via NMR and FTIR spectroscopies (see the NMR and IR absorption data in ESI†), and FTIR spectra were recorded using a Nicolet iS50 FT-IR spectrometer. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a 500 MHz Bruker Avance III spectrometer in a deuterated reagent using tetramethylsilane as the standard, and the purities of ILs were calculated based on the NMR spectra. Moreover, the content of the ILs used in this work in higher than 95%. Furthermore, the water content of these ILs was determined by Karl Fischer titration, which was lower than 0.5 wt%. The viscosity of ILs was detected on a Brookfield DV-II+ Pro viscometer at 30 °C. Their thermal stability was analysed via TGA on Shimadzu DTG-60H with an increase in temperature from 25 °C to 600 °C with an increased ratio of 10 °C min⁻¹ under N₂ gas protection.

#### CO₂ absorption

CO₂ absorption experiments with the IL were carried out according to our previous report. CO₂ desorption was operated under a vacuum pressure of 1 kPa for an hour to recover the IL. CO₂ capture properties with IL were proposed via calculation, and all calculations were performed in this study using the Gaussian 03 programs package. For each set of calculations, we calculated the geometry optimization for the free anion and the cation–CO₂ complex, and their energies at the B3LYP/6-31G+(d,p) level. 2D correlation IR spectroscopy was acquired via a 2D software based on Fourier transform and the analysis
was according to the so-called Noda’s rule. The intensity of the synchronous 2D correlation spectrum $\Psi(r_1, r_2)$ represents the simultaneous or coincidental changes in two spectral intensity variations measured at $r_1$ and $r_2$ during the measurement interval of the NH$_3$ content, while the intensity of an asynchronous spectrum $\Psi'(r_1, r_2)$ represents sequential or successive but not coincidental changes in spectrum measured separately at $r_1$ and $r_2$.

### Conclusions

In summary, a variety of dual site-functionalized ILs $[P_{66614}]_{\text{AA--R}}$ were synthesized with an amino acid group and basic anion including sulfonate, carboxylate, imidazolium, and indolium to investigate the suppression and cooperation between each site in CO$_2$ absorption. Combined with CO$_2$ absorption experiments, IR and NMR spectroscopic analyses, and DFT calculations, CO$_2$ absorption results indicated that the CO$_2$ capacity increases first but decreases later with the continuous increase in the CO$_2$ absorption ability of R. The ability of the amine group to capture CO$_2$ would be suppressed when the interactions of another site with CO$_2$ is stronger. Thus, the dual site-functionalized ILs might be cooperative to achieve high CO$_2$ capacity by balancing two sites to be equivalent. Based on this point, $[P_{66614}]_{\text{Am--IPA}}$ was further synthesized by taking the advantage of a conjugated benzene ring. As expected, $[P_{66614}]_{\text{Am--IPA}}$ showed capacity as high as 2.38 mol CO$_2$ per mol IL and without capacity decrease within 10 times recycle performance of CO$_2$ absorption and desorption. Cooperation exists widely in a variety of fields as well as gas absorption, and the investigation of the knowledge of suppression would be better to achieve cooperation.
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