A meritorious integrated medical regimen for hepatic fibrosis and its complications via the systematic review and meta-analysis for Dahuang Zhechong pill-based therapy
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Background: Hepatic fibrosis is a health challenge due to the absence of satisfactory therapy, especially at the cirrhosis stage. Dahuang Zhechong pill (DHZCP)-based therapy is reportedly a successful treatment for hepatic fibrosis and is even beneficial for the treatment of cirrhosis. Hence, a systematic review and clinical evidence assessment of DHZCP-based therapy should be performed, and clinical recommendations based on its efficacy for the treatment of hepatic fibrosis should be generated. With respect to potential indicators, the comparative value of the hepatic function, spleen thickness, and portal vein internal diameter should be evaluated.

Materials and methods: PubMed, the Excerpta Medica Database, the Cochrane Library, the Web of Science, the WanFang Database, the Chinese Scientific Journal Database, and the Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure database were searched to identify clinical trials. Three subgroup analyses were performed based on the stage of disease, medication use, and the course of treatment. Statistical analyses were performed using Review Manager 5.4.

Results: A total of 18 studies including 1,494 patients were evaluated. The DHZCP-based therapy was effective in reducing the plasma levels of hyaluronic acid, and laminin, procollagen III, and IV collagen were also reduced irrespective of the hepatitis stage or the presence of hepatic cirrhosis. Abnormalities in alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, albumin, and total bilirubin were reversed. A 6-month
course of treatment was the most beneficial DHZCP-based therapy regimen. Alanine aminotransferase improvement was more obvious in patients with cirrhosis, and alanine aminotransferase was reduced significantly in patients with hepatic cirrhosis. With respect to pharmacological mechanisms, DHZCP-based therapy could inhibit hepatic stellate cell growth and activation, reduce inflammation, and prevent extracellular matrix formation. Hepatic portal hypertension and splenomegaly were ameliorated significantly in the DHZCP-based therapy group.

**Conclusion:** Dahuang Zhechong pill-based therapy has demonstrated efficacy as a treatment for hepatic fibrosis and cirrhosis. A 6-month course of treatment is the recommended option for DHZCP-based therapy in clinical practice. The combination of DHZCP-based therapy and entecavir is a favorable treatment for hepatic cirrhosis.
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**Introduction**

Hepatic fibrosis is caused by repeated injury healing and scar formation, which is a consequence of long-term persistent hepatocellular damage. Liver conditions, such as non-alcoholic fatty liver, alcoholic fatty liver, and viral hepatitis, can all lead to hepatic fibrosis, and of these, viral hepatitis has the highest prevalence. In recent years, chronic liver disease (CLD) has induced cirrhosis in approximately 633,000 patients per annum, with a global prevalence of 4.5–9.0% (1). Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the end stage of hepatic cirrhosis, the incidence of which is currently increasing worldwide. The global incidence of HCC exceeds 500,000 cases per year with the highest incidences in Asia and Africa, associated with the high prevalence of chronic hepatitis B (CHB) and chronic hepatitis C (CHC) in these regions (2, 3). Hepatic fibrosis, the end stage of which is hepatic cirrhosis, is an intermediate stage between malignant liver diseases and the early stage of hepatitis. This process needs to be effectively controlled and managed in the clinic. Based on this, the current urgent situation in patients with CLD has heightened the need to investigate therapies to improve hepatic fibrosis and the liver microenvironment.

Antiviral drugs can reverse the hepatic fibrosis process. Regrettably, alleviating pathogenic infections is a slow process during the reversal of hepatic fibrosis (4). The efficacy of this treatment is considered poor in patients with advanced hepatic cirrhosis, for which the only effective treatment is liver transplantation (5). The therapeutic effects of antiviral drugs on liver function and the liver microenvironment are unclear, as are their associated complications. The adverse effects of antiviral medications have raised public concerns, particularly with respect to long-term courses of treatment (6). This approach to treating hepatic fibrosis is limited; thus, there is a need to develop more effective drugs or treatment methods.

As an important and accessible medical resource, Chinese medicine has been indicated to increase the viral conversion rate, reverse hepatic fibrosis, improve liver microcirculation, and reduce adverse effects in CLD patients (7–10). Dahuang Zhechong pills (DHZ) (also known as “Rhubarb and Eupolyphaga Pills” or “Rhubarb and Ground Beetle Pills”), a classic Chinese medicine formula, were originally described in *Essentials from the Golden Cabinet*. The action of this medicinal formula include dispelling blood stasis and promoting new blood, and its original indications are severe deficiency due to exhaustion or lesions from the five labors: emaciation, fullness in the lower abdomen, loss of appetite, scaly skin, and dark eyes (11). DHZCP could be widely used to treat internal and external conditions, including gynecological diseases, silicosis, and prostate cancer, among others (11–14). Based on the theory of traditional Chinese medicine, blood stasis that stagnates in the liver can lead to liver diseases (11, 12). Therefore, it has been extensively used to treat various liver diseases, particularly hepatitis and hepatic fibrosis (11–14). Notably, DHZCP contains various active ingredients, such as anthraquinones, flavonoids, amino acids, and fatty acids. These components provide a material basis for its action. Pharmacological studies indicate that the effects of DHZCP for the treatment of hepatic fibrosis involve inhibiting inflammation, suppressing hepatic stellate cell (HSC) activation, downregulating fibronectin expression, and promoting microcirculation (15).
To date, the effects of DHZCP for CLD have been identified based on preclinical and clinical studies (15–18). The pharmacological effects of DHZCP for the treatment of CLD involve multiple targets and pathways, and antiviral activation. It has been suggested that combining DHZCP with conventional clinical treatment may be more beneficial than either treatment option alone, but whether DHZCP-based therapy combined with conventional liver-protective or antiviral drugs can effectively treat hepatic fibrosis or associated complications remains unclear. It is therefore necessary to analyze and evaluate the clinical application of DHZCP for the treatment of hepatic fibrosis further. The current meta-analysis systematically assessed clinical evidence pertaining to DHZCP combined with conventional liver-protective antiviral drugs for the treatment of hepatic fibrosis, and relevant aspects of the liver microenvironment, via evaluation of liver function, imaging indexes, and antiviral effects. A promising clinical protocol based on clinical evidence and pharmacological mechanisms of DHZCP is proposed. A meritorious integrated medical regimen is suggested for the treatment of hepatic fibrosis and associated complications, which could be an ideal treatment for hepatic cirrhosis.

Materials and methods

The present study was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement (19). Every step was conducted by at least two authors separately. All discrepancies were resolved via discussion.

Study selection

PubMed, the Excerpta Medica Database, the Cochrane Library, Web of Science, the WanFang Database, the Chinese Scientific Journal Database, and the Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure database were searched independently. The terms used for searching are listed in the Supplementary material. No language or country restrictions were applied. Clinical trial registries1 and 2 were also searched. Trials that met the requirements were considered. We also tried to contact the trial registrants by email to obtain appropriate raw data. Information pertaining to the studies included is presented in Table 1.

Criteria for inclusion and exclusion

The inclusion and exclusion criteria were designed based on the principle of “Participants, Intervention, Control, Outcome, and Study Design” (PICOS). Only patients diagnosed with hepatic fibrosis or hepatic cirrhosis were included. Only studies reporting the results of DHZCP-based therapy combined with common clinical medicine, such as entecavir, lafamidine, adefovir, or interferon, were included. Studies involving acupuncture, surgery, other medicinal formulas, or Taiji were excluded. Studies in which the control treatment was other herbal formulas, acupuncture, or surgery were excluded. Hyaluronic acid (HA) was classified as a primary outcome that reflected hepatic fibrosis status. Laminin (LN), procollagen III (PCIII), IV collagen (IVC), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), albumin (ALB), total bilirubin (Tbil), spleen thickness, and portal vein internal diameter were included as secondary outcomes. ALT, AST, ALB, and Tbil reflect liver function. Reported data for at least one of these outcomes were required for study inclusion. Only clinical randomized controlled trials were included. Animal studies, reviews, meta-analyses, systematic evaluations, and case reports were excluded.

Risk of bias assessments

The Cochrane Handbook was used to assess the risk of bias in the randomized controlled trials. Two reviewers analyzed the risk of bias independently. The items evaluated were (1) random sequence generation, (2) allocation concealment, (3) blinding of participants and personnel, (4) blinding of outcome assessment, (5) incomplete outcome data, (6) selective reporting, and (7) other biases. Each part was graded based on three levels of methodological quality: high risk, low risk, and unclear risk. Studies assessed as “high risk” were excluded.

Statistical analysis

The meta-analysis was conducted using Review Manager 5.4. Analyses were performed using mean ± the standard deviation (M ± SD). Homogeneity was identified when p > 0.1 and I² < 50%, and homogenous outcomes were used in a fixed-effects model. A random-effects model was used for heterogeneous outcomes. The mean difference (MD) values were used to measure the absolute difference in mean value between the two groups in this study. Weighted mean differences with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated for continuous data, and risk ratios (RRs) with 95% CIs were calculated for categorical data. A P-value < 0.05 was deemed to indicate statistical significance.

---

1 http://www.chictr.org.cn/
2 http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/
| First author (Year) | Course of treatment | Sample | Diagnosis | Stage | Drug duration (Intervention/Control) | Indexes |
|---------------------|---------------------|--------|-----------|-------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Cheng Menghuai (29) | 24 weeks            | 31/30  | CHB       | Hepatic cirrhosis | DHZCP + Diammonium Glycyrrhizinate + Potassium magnesium aspartate + Reductive glutathione + Adefovir./Diammonium Glycyrrhizinate + Potassium magnesium aspartate + Reductive glutathione + Adefovir. | A, B, D, E, F, G, H; |
| Feng Xiaohong (28) | 48 weeks            | 30/30  | CHB       | Hepatitis | DHZCP + Interferon-α./Interferon-α. | A, E, |
| Guo Jingjing (18)  | 48 weeks            | 59/60  | CHB       | Hepatitis | DHZCP + Tenofovir./Tenofovir. | A, B, C, D, E, F, H; |
| Dingchun et al. (61) | 24 weeks           | 31/31  | CHB       | Hepatitis | DHZCP + Adefovir./Adefovir. | A, B, C, D, E, F, H; |
| Hong Hailong (21)  | 24 weeks            | 43/43  | CHB       | Hepatic cirrhosis | DHZCP + Entecavir./Entecavir. | E, F, |
| Liang Xiaoli (30)  | 3 months            | 30/30  | CHB       | Hepatic cirrhosis | DHZCP + Tenofovir./Tenofovir. | A, B, C, E, G, H; |
| Liu Weixi (16)     | 24 weeks            | 34/33  | CHB       | Hepatitis | DHZCP + Entecavir + Diammonium Glycyrrhizinate./Entecavir + Diammonium Glycyrrhizinate. | A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H; |
| Li Yanbin (26)     | 24 weeks            | 25/25  | CHB       | Hepatic cirrhosis | DHZCP + Entecavir./Entecavir. | A, B, C, D, E, F, H; |
| Liu Xiaoyan (27)   | 48 weeks            | 66/62  | CHB       | Hepatic cirrhosis | DHZCP + Adefovir./Adefovir. | A, B, C, D, E, F, H; |
| Lin Jianhui (36)   | 3 months            | 38/38  | CHB       | Hepatitis | DHZCP + Reductive glutathione + Kuhuang./Reductive glutathione + Kuhuang | A, B, D, |
| Miao Yongding (32) | 6 months            | 42/36  | CHB       | Hepatitis | DHZCP + Lamivudine./Lamivudine. | A, B, C, D, |
| Pan Wen (19)       | 12 months           | 47/47  | CHB       | Hepatic cirrhosis | DHZCP + Entecavir + Protect liver treatment./Entecavir + Protect liver treatment. | A, E, G, H; |
| Shen Zhongliang (35) | 3 months           | 43/39  | CHB       | Hepatitis | DHZCP + Glucoritaolactone + Legalon + Diammonium Glycyrrhizinate./Glucoritaolactone + Legalon + Diammonium Glycyrrhizinate. | A, B, C, E, |
| Tian Guiqin (34)   | 2 months            | 56/56  | CHB       | Hepatitis | DHZCP + Protect liver treatment./Protect liver treatment. | A, B, C, |
| Xu Qian (33)       | NA                  | 45/45  | CHB       | Hepatitis | DHZCP + Legalon + Wuzhi capsules + Anethole trithine./Legalon + Wuzhi capsules + Anethole trithine. | A, B, D, E, H; |
| Yao Zhongcai (22)  | 24 weeks            | 32/32  | CHB       | Hepatic cirrhosis | DHZCP Capsules + Entecavir./Entecavir. | A, B, C, D, E, F, H; |
| Zhang Hongxing (24) | 6 months            | 32/32  | CHB       | Hepatic cirrhosis | DHZCP + Entecavir./Entecavir. | A, B, C, D, E, F, H; |
| Zhang Bangjie (31) | 12 months           | 23/22  | CHB       | Hepatic cirrhosis | DHZCP + Adefovir/Adefovir | A, B, C, D, |
| Zhou Xiaoke (25)   | 48 weeks            | 48/48  | CHB       | Hepatitis | DHZCP + Entecavir./Entecavir. | A, B, D, |

DHZCP, Dahuang Zhechong pill/capsule; CHB, chronic hepatitis B; CHC, chronic hepatitis C; NA, not applicable; A, HA; B, LN; C, PC III; D, IVC; E, ALT; F, AST; G, ALB; H, Tbl.
Results

Literature research

The initial database search yielded 872 studies. Of these, 417 duplicate studies were excluded before further screening. From the remaining 455 studies, 261 were excluded after the title and abstract screening. A further 176 studies were excluded after full-text screening based on PICOS principles. The remaining 18 studies were included in the meta-analysis (17, 18, 20–35), and they included collective totals of 755 patients in the experimental group and 739 patients in the control group (Figure 1).

Study characteristics

A total of 1,494 participants were ultimately included in the analysis. The participants’ diseases included viral hepatitis B, viral hepatitis C, and hepatic cirrhosis. The interventions included DHZCP (or capsules of the same decoction) combined with adefovir, entecavir, glyburide, lavamidine, tenofovir, or interferon-alpha. The control group consisted of patients who underwent the same interventions without DHZCP or analogous capsules. Specific information on DHZCPs is presented in the Supplementary material (36–40).

Risk of bias

The 18 studies were evaluated using the Cochrane Risk of Bias Assessment Tool. The risk of bias due to the random assignment was low in four studies, while the specific random assignment method was unclear in the remaining 14 studies (Figure 2). Allocation concealment methods and double blinding methods were unclear in all 18 studies. No studies reported missed visits, and indicators of inadequate outcomes were low. Publication bias of primary outcomes was evident, as indicated in the Supplementary material. Other sources of bias were absent.

Data analysis

Hyaluronic acid: A major serum marker of hepatic fibrosis

The HA level is considered as a specific serum indicator of hepatic fibrosis. The current analysis indicated that the DHZCP-based therapy significantly reversed the pathogenic upregulation of HA (n = 1,403; MD = −38.22; 95% CI −42.86 to −33.58; p < 0.00001; I² = 11%) (Figure 3A). Three subgroup analyses of HA were performed (treatment course, disease stage, and medication use) with the aim of ascertaining the therapeutic effects of DHZCP-based therapy.

Course of treatment

The HA level was significantly decreased in the DHZCP-based therapy group after 3 months of treatment (n = 330; MD = −43.61; 95% CI −59.71 to −27.51; p < 0.00001, I² = 51%), 6 months of treatment (n = 396; MD = −32.82; 95% CI −40.84 to −24.80; p < 0.00001, I² = 0%), and 12 months of treatment (n = 354; MD = −41.96; 95% CI −48.46 to −35.47; p < 0.00001, I² = 0%). Subgroup differences demonstrated that 3, 6, and 12 months are ideal courses of treatment (Supplementary Figure 1A).

Disease stage

The DHZCP-based therapy decreased the level of HA at the hepatitis stage (n = 843; MD = −37.66; 95% CI −42.95 to −32.36; p < 0.00001, I² = 21%). This positive effect was also evident at the hepatic cirrhosis stage (n = 327; MD = −41.97; 95% CI −52.63 to −31.30; p < 0.00001, I² = 13%). Subgroup differences were not significant (Supplementary Figure 1B).

Medication use

The results demonstrated that DHZCP combined with entecavir significantly reduced HA plasma levels compared to the control group (n = 224; MD = −34.45; 95% CI −44.70 to −24.20; p < 0.00001, I² = 0%). DHZCP combined with adefovir significantly reduced HA plasma levels (n = 107; MD = −38.46; 95% CI −62.40 to −14.53; p = 0.002, I² = 0%). DHZCP combined with other therapies significantly reduced the plasma levels of HA (n = 839; MD = −39.68; 95% CI −45.16 to −34.19; p < 0.00001, I² = 43%) (Supplementary Figure 2A).

Laminin, procollagen III, and IV collagen: Other hepatic fibrosis serum markers

In terms of total effect, the DHZCP-based therapy group exhibited significant decreases in the levels of LN (n = 1076; MD = −33.55; 95% CI −48.37 to −18.72; p < 0.00001, I² = 93%; Figure 3B), PCIII (n = 691; MD = −30.23; 95% CI −40.58 to −19.89; p < 0.00001, I² = 87%; Figure 4A), and IVC (n = 760; MD = −38.32; 95% CI −51.01 to −25.63; p < 0.00001, I² = 92%; Figure 4B).

Course of treatment

The LN level was significantly reduced in the DHZCP-based therapy group after 3 months of treatment (n = 330; MD = −10.61; 95% CI −18.56 to −2.66; p = 0.009, I² = 10%) and 6 months of treatment (n = 396; MD = −45.81; 95% CI −68.66 to −22.95; p < 0.0001, I² = 88%). There was no statistically significant difference in the LN levels between the DHZCP-based therapy group and the control group after 12 months of treatment (n = 260; MD = −35.25; 95% CI −72.11 to 1.60; p = 0.06, I² = 95%) (Supplementary Figure 2B).

The PCIII level was significantly reduced in the DHZCP-based therapy group after 3 months of treatment (n = 254; MD = −32.60; 95% CI −51.45 to −13.76; p = 0.0007, I² = 74%).
FIGURE 1
The literature research result [Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flow diagram].

6 months of treatment \( (n = 195; MD = -9.37; 95\% CI \text{-37.81 to } -0.92; p = 0.04, I^2 = 85\%) \), and 12 months of treatment \( (n = 164; MD = -43.37; 95\% CI \text{-58.11 to } -28.62; p < 0.00001, I^2 = 83\%) \) (Supplementary Figure 3A).

The IVC level was significantly reduced in the DHZCP-based therapy group after 6 months of treatment \( (n = 256; MD = -28.83; 95\% CI \text{-37.66 to } -20.00; p < 0.00001, I^2 = 0\%) \). IVC was significantly lower in the DHZCP-based therapy group than in the control group after 12 months of treatment \( (n = 260; MD = -36.26; 95\% CI \text{-46.08 to } -26.44; p < 0.00001, I^2 = 77\%) \) (Supplementary Figure 3B).

**Disease stage**

The LN level at the hepatitis stage was significantly better in the DHZCP-based therapy group than in the control group \( (n = 782; MD = -1.12; 95\% CI \text{-1.79 to } -0.44; p = 0.001, I^2 = 93\%) \), and there was also a significant improvement at the cirrhotic stage \( (n = 294; MD = -0.64; 95\% CI \text{-0.90 to } -0.38; p < 0.00001, I^2 = 18\%) \) (Supplementary Figure 4A). PCIII at the hepatitis stage was significantly better in the DHZCP-based therapy group than in the control group \( (n = 470; MD = -29.69; 95\% CI \text{-47.42 to } -11.96; p = 0.001, I^2 = 92\%) \). There was also a significant improvement at the cirrhotic stage \( (n = 233; MD = -34.14; 95\% CI \text{-46.49 to } -21.78; p < 0.00001, I^2 = 77\%) \) (Supplementary Figure 4B). IVC at the hepatitis stage was significantly better in the DHZCP-based therapy group than in the control group \( (n = 448; MD = -33.53; 95\% CI \text{-42.21 to } -24.85; p < 0.00001, I^2 = 78\%) \). There was also a significant improvement at the cirrhotic stage \( (n = 234; MD = -26.00; 95\% CI \text{-35.32 to } -16.67; p < 0.00001, I^2 = 0\%) \) (Supplementary Figure 5A).

**Medication use**

The use of DHZCP in combination with entecavir reduced the LN plasma levels significantly more than entecavir alone \( (n = 224; MD = -30.93; 95\% CI \text{-40.99 to } -20.87; p < 0.00001, I^2 = 99\%) \).
There was no statistically significant difference between DHZCP combined with adefovir and the control group \( (n = 107; \text{MD} = -55.91; 95\% \text{CI} -160.61 \text{ to } 48.79; p = 0.30, I^2 = 98\%) \). DHZCP in combination with other therapies significantly reduced serum LN levels \( (n = 745; \text{MD} = -29.55; 95\% \text{CI} -48.29 \text{ to } -10.81; p = 0.002, I^2 = 94\%) \) (Supplementary Figure 5B).

The use of DHZCP in combination with entecavir reduced PCIII serum levels significantly more than entecavir alone \( (n = 128; \text{MD} = -28.59; 95\% \text{CI} -38.49 \text{ to } -18.70; p < 0.00001, I^2 = 0\%) \). Only one study investigated the combination of DHZCP and adefovir, and therefore, the results should be interpreted with caution \( (n = 45; \text{MD} = -51.78; 95\% \text{CI} -62.98 \text{ to } -40.58; p < 0.00001) \). DHZCP combined with other therapies, such as conventional liver protection, significantly improved serum PCIII levels \( (n = 530; \text{MD} = -29.06; 95\% \text{CI} -42.52 \text{ to } -15.61; p < 0.0001, I^2 = 90\%) \) (Supplementary Figure 6A).

The use of DHZCP in combination with entecavir reduced IVC serum levels significantly more than entecavir alone \( (n = 224; \text{MD} = -30.02; 95\% \text{CI} -35.18 \text{ to } -24.87; p < 0.00001, I^2 = 0\%) \). Adefovir has only one data, and the results should be interpreted with caution \( (n = 45; \text{MD} = -29.70; 95\% \text{CI} -69.96 \text{ to } -10.56; p < 0.15) \). DHZCP combined with other therapies, such as conventional liver protection, significantly improved serum PCIII levels \( (n = 213; \text{MD} = -32.26; 95\% \text{CI} -43.13 \text{ to } -21.39; p < 0.00001, I^2 = 73\%) \) (Supplementary Figure 6B).
Liver function

The DHZCP-based therapy group exhibited significant reductions in the levels of ALT (n = 1037; MD = -12.53; 95% CI -28.28 to -7.74; p < 0.0001, I² = 95%; Figure 5A), AST (n = 651; MD = -5.77; 95% CI -13.15 to -1.62; p < 0.00001, I² = 95%; Figure 5B), ALB (n = 472; MD = 1.85; 95% CI 1.12, 2.59; p = 0.03, I² = 43%; Figure 6A), and Tbil (n = 843; MD = -3.31; 95% CI -6.23 to -0.39; p = 0.03, I² = 86%; Figure 6B).

Course of treatment

Compared with the control group, the DHZCP-based therapy group exhibited a significant reduction in ALT after a 6-month course of treatment (n = 404; MD = -8.84; 95% CI -13.37 to -4.32; p = 0.0001, I² = 73%). ALT was significantly reduced in the DHZCP-based therapy group after a 12-month course of treatment (n = 401; MD = -16.06; 95% CI -29.82 to -2.30; p = 0.02, I² = 98%). After 3 months of treatment, there was no significant reduction in ALT in the experimental group (n = 142; MD = -17.85; 95% CI -54.31 to -18.60; p = 0.34, I² = 96%) (Supplementary Figure 7A).

Compared with the control group, the DHZCP-based therapy group exhibited a significant reduction in AST after a 6-month course of treatment (n = 340; MD = -10.67; 95% CI -17.95 to -3.40; p = 0.004, I² = 58%). There was no significant reduction in AST in the DHZCP-based therapy group after a 12-month course of treatment (n = 311; MD = -0.21; 95% CI -13.80 to 13.37; p = 0.98) (Supplementary Figure 7B).

Compared with the control group, the DHZCP-based therapy group exhibited a mild increase in ALB after a 6-month course of treatment (n = 190; MD = 1.82; 95% CI 0.49, 3.16; p = 0.007, I² = 52%). There was no significant difference in ALB between the DHZCP-based therapy group and the control group after a 12-month course of treatment (n = 222; MD = 3.50; 95% CI -0.87 to 7.86; p = 0.12) (Supplementary Figure 8A).
FIGURE 4
The effect of Dahuang Zhechong pill (DHZCP)-based therapy on procollagen III (PCIII) and IV collagen (IVC). Random-effects models were applied.

| A | DHZCP+ | Mean | SD | Total | DHZCP- | Mean | SD | Total | Weight | Mean Difference | IV, Random; 95% CI |
|---|--------|------|----|-------|--------|------|----|-------|--------|-----------------|------------------|
| Gao Jingping 2020 | 103.44 | 12.75 | 59 | 140.06 | 16.33 | 60 | 13.3% | -36.64 [-41.90, -31.38] |
| Liang Xiao 2009 | 146.3 | 20.6 | 30 | 173.6 | 13.2 | 30 | 12.5% | -27.30 [-36.05, -18.55] |
| Liu Weisi 2015 | 1.8 | 26.9 | 34 | 5.4 | 1.8 | 33 | 12.4% | -3.60 [-12.66, 5.46] |
| Miao Yongping 2007 | 178 | 41.1 | 42 | 204.6 | 27.3 | 36 | 10.6% | -26.60 [-41.90, -11.30] |
| Shen Zhongliang 2002 | 123.49 | 45.23 | 43 | 140.33 | 51.67 | 39 | 8.7% | -16.84 [-38.01, 3.33] |
| Tian Guixin 2003 | 136.7 | 58.3 | 56 | 192.3 | 53.4 | 56 | 8.9% | -55.60 [-76.31, -34.89] |
| Yao Zhongxin 2019 | 113.89 | 20.39 | 32 | 143.5 | 29.61 | 32 | 11.5% | -29.61 [-42.07, -17.15] |
| Zhang Bangfei 2008 | 109.9 | 13.67 | 23 | 161.88 | 23.23 | 22 | 11.8% | -51.76 [-62.98, -40.58] |
| Zhang Hongkang 2016 | 112.68 | 30.56 | 32 | 139.53 | 35.74 | 32 | 10.3% | -26.85 [-43.14, -10.56] |
| Total (95% CI) | 351 | 340 | 160.0% | -30.23 [-40.58, -19.89] |

| B | DHZCP+ | Mean | SD | Total | DHZCP- | Mean | SD | Total | Weight | Mean Difference | IV, Random; 95% CI |
|---|--------|------|----|-------|--------|------|----|-------|--------|-----------------|------------------|
| Cheng Menghui 2009 | 125 | 30 | 31 | 149 | 42 | 30 | 9.6% | -24.00 [-42.37, -5.63] |
| Guo Jingping 2022 | 84.41 | 10.06 | 59 | 126.36 | 14.04 | 60 | 12.1% | -41.95 [-46.52, -37.38] |
| Lin Jianhua 2001 | 73.71 | 32.83 | 38 | 81.36 | 54.02 | 38 | 9.4% | -7.65 [-27.75, 12.45] |
| Liu Weisi 2015 | 68.9 | 41 | 34 | 114.7 | 52.77 | 33 | 8.8% | -45.80 [-58.45, -23.15] |
| Mao Yongping 2007 | 71.3 | 21.2 | 42 | 161.1 | 42.2 | 36 | 10.4% | -109.80 [-125.00, -94.60] |
| Xu Qian 2007 | 57.8 | 19.1 | 45 | 91.7 | 27.3 | 45 | 11.5% | -33.90 [-43.63, -24.17] |
| Yao Zhongxin 2019 | 97.93 | 35.95 | 32 | 124.88 | 35.14 | 32 | 10.0% | -26.95 [-44.37, -9.53] |
| Zhang Bangfei 2008 | 99.97 | 75.68 | 23 | 129.57 | 61.66 | 22 | 5.5% | -29.70 [-49.96, 10.50] |
| Zhang Hongkang 2016 | 89.72 | 27.34 | 32 | 115.82 | 32.53 | 32 | 10.5% | -26.10 [-40.82, -11.38] |
| Zhou Xiaofei 2016 | 54.67 | 13.25 | 48 | 85.64 | 15.64 | 48 | 12.0% | -30.97 [-46.77, -25.17] |
| Total (95% CI) | 384 | 376 | 100.0% | -38.32 [-51.01, -25.63] |

Heterogeneity: $I^2$ for subgroup Mean Difference: $I^2$ = 97%

Test for overall effect: $Z = 5.73 (P < 0.00001)$

were no significant differences in Tbil between the DHZCP-based therapy group and the control group after 6 months of treatment ($n = 318$; MD = $-4.94$; 95% CI $-9.89$ to 0.02; $p = 0.05$, $I^2 = 86$%) or 12 months of treatment ($n = 341$; MD = $-0.70$; 95% CI $-9.16$ to 7.76; $p = 0.87$, $I^2 = 94$%) (Supplementary Figure 8B).

### Disease stage

Compared with the control group, there was a significantly greater reduction in ALT at the cirrhotic stage in the DHZCP-based therapy group ($n = 557$; MD = $-19.33$; 95% CI $-29.07$ to $-9.60$; $p < 0.0001$, $I^2 = 97$%). There was no significant difference in ALT at the hepatitis stage ($n = 480$; MD = $-5.07$; 95% CI $-11.09$ to 0.94; $p = 0.10$, $I^2 = 94$%). For this index, the DHZCP-based therapy group exhibited an advantage at the cirrhotic stage (test for subgroup differences: $Chi^2 = 5.96$, df = 1; $p = 0.01$, $I^2 = 83.2$%) (Figure 7A).

The AST levels in the DHZCP-based therapy group did not differ significantly from those in the control group at the hepatitis stage ($n = 338$; MD = $-2.01$; 95% CI $-6.65$ to 2.64; $p = 0.21$, $I^2 = 94$%) or the cirrhotic stage ($n = 471$; MD = $-4.21$; 95% CI $-9.38$ to 0.97; $p = 0.11$, $I^2 = 86$%) (Supplementary Figure 10A).

### Medication use

Compared with the control group, the ALT levels were significantly lower in patients treated with DHZCP combined with conventional liver protection ($n = 633$; MD = $-16.16$; 95% CI $-27.20$ to $-5.12$; $p = 0.004$, $I^2 = 59$%) and in patients treated with DHZCP combined with entecavir ($n = 214$; MD = $-9.28$; 95% CI $-13.68$ to $-4.88$; $p < 0.0001$, $I^2 = 66$%) but not in patients treated with DHZCP combined with adefovir ($n = 190$; MD = $-12.53$; 95% CI $-18.13$ to $-6.94$; $p < 0.0001$, $I^2 = 95$%). This indicated that DHZCP combined with conventional liver protection or entecavir was better than DHZCP combined with adefovir (Figure 7B).

Compared to the control group, DHZCP combined with entecavir was significantly advantageous with respect to reducing the AST levels ($n = 214$; MD = $-8.87$; 95% CI...
FIGURE 5
The effect of Dahuang Zhechong pill (DHZCP)-based therapy on alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST). Random-effects models were applied.

(A) Total ALT; (B) Total AST.

−12.60 to −5.13; \( p < 0.00001, I^2 = 63\% \)). The AST levels also differed significantly in patients who underwent DHZCP-based therapy combined with conventional liver protection and other treatments compared to the control group (\( n = 247; \) MD = −11.61; 95% CI −20.16 to −3.07; \( p = 0.008, I^2 = 46\% \)). Importantly, DHZCP combined with adefovir treatment significantly elevated AST (\( n = 190; \) MD = 14.00; 95% CI 10.55 to 17.45; \( p < 0.00001, I^2 = 0\% \)), indicating a potential toxic effect (test for subgroup differences: \( \chi^2 = 88.69, df = 2; p < 0.00001, I^2 = 97.7\% \) (Figure 8A).

Compared with the control group, DHZCP combined with other therapeutic treatment regimens resulted in significantly elevated ALB levels (\( n = 282; \) MD = 2.09; 95% CI 1.22 to 2.97; \( p < 0.00001, I^2 = 0\% \)). There was no significant difference in improvement in ALB in DHZCP combined with adefovir and the control group (\( n = 190; \) MD = 1.26; 95% CI −0.12 to 2.64; \( p = 0.07, I^2 = 84\% \)) (Supplementary Figure 10B).

There were no significant reductions in Tbil in patients treated with DHZCP combined with other therapies (\( n = 491; \) MD = −3.53; 95% CI −7.71 to 0.64; \( p = 0.01, I^2 = 86\% \)), DHZCP combined with entecavir (\( n = 128; \) MD = −5.32; 95% CI −11.30 to 0.66; \( p = 0.08, I^2 = 76\% \)), or DHZCP combined with adefovir (\( n = 190; \) MD = 0.15; 95% CI −11.71 to 12.01; \( p = 0.98, I^2 = 78\% \)) (Supplementary Figure 11).

Portal vein internal diameter and spleen thickness

Compared with the control group, the DHZCP-based therapy mildly reversed portal vein internal diameter widening (\( n = 176; \) MD = −2.19; 95% CI −4.36 to −0.03; \( p = 0.05, I^2 = 97\% \), Figure 8B). There was also significant improvement in spleen thickness in the DHZCP group (\( n = 304; \) MD = −6.41; 95% CI −12.35 to −0.48; \( p = 0.03, I^2 = 97\% \), Figure 8C). These results suggest that the DHZCP-based therapy can improve hepatic portal hypertension and reduce portal vein internal diameter while modulating local immune responses, suppressing local inflammation, and improving hypersplenism. However, the results need to be interpreted with caution due to high heterogeneity.

Adverse events

Three studies reported adverse events (Figure 8D). Those reported in the DHZCP-based therapy group included one case of abdominal pain with mild diarrhea, one case of headache, one case of dizziness, one case of nausea, and one case of...
generalized weakness. Those reported in the control group included three cases of dizziness, one case of rash, two cases of general weakness, two cases of nausea, and one case of mild alopecia. The number of adverse events did not differ significantly between the two groups (n = 225; MD = 0.58; 95% CI 0.20 to 1.65; p = 0.27, I² = 23%).

Discussion

Hepatic fibrosis is widely recognized as a crucial stage before malignant liver diseases. Evidence suggests that chronic viral hepatitis is the most critical factor in hepatic fibrosis development (5). DHZCP, a classic formula created by Zhang Zhong-jing, reportedly has the potential to treat hepatic fibrosis (15, 17, 18, 20–35). The formula consists of Dà Huáng (dried root and rhizome of Rheum officinale Baill.), Méng Chóng (dried Tabanus), Shui Zhì (dried Whitmania pigra Whitman.), Tˇu Bi¯e Chóng (dried Eupolyphaga sinensis Walker.), Qí Cáo (dried Holotrichia), Gán qí [dried Toxicodendron vernicifluum (Stokes) F. A. Barkley], Xìng Rén (dried seed of Prunus armeniaca L.), Sháo Yào (dried root of Paeonia lactiflora Pal.), G¯an Cao (dried root of Glycyrrhiza uralensis Fisch.), Huang Qin (dried root of Scutellaria baicalensis Georgi.), Táo Rén [dried seed of Prunus persica (L.) Batsch], and Sh¯eng Dì Huáng (dried root of Rehmannia glutinosa Libosch.) (11). To prepare the formula, the medicinals are ground into a fine powder, and then, honey is added to make pills (3 g for each pill) (11, 12, 36).

The details of the preparation technics could be found in the Supplementary material.

Pharmacological studies indicate that DHZCP can have positive effects on the processes of hepatitis and hepatic fibrosis (15). Clinical studies showed that DHZCP has antifibrotic and anti-platelet agglutination effects, which positively affect liver cirrhosis. Wei et al. reported that DHZCP reduced liver fibrosis serum biomarkers in patients with chronic hepatitis B. Notably, however, little attention has been paid to the effects of DHZCP on liver function and hepatic fibrosis complications or the optimal course of treatment and duration of drug administration. The optimal DHZCP-based therapy regimen remains unclear (41). The current meta-analysis systematically reviewed and analyzed the clinical effects of DHZCP combined with conventional treatments for hepatic fibrosis and its complications. Various benefits of DHZCP-based therapy in the early stages of fibrosis and hepatic cirrhosis have been identified, but the appropriate course and the recommended medication window require further investigation.

Eighteen studies were included in the current meta-analysis, and sensitive indicators of hepatic fibrosis (HA, LN, PCIII, and IVC), liver function (ALT, AST, Tbil, and ALB), and complications (spleen thickness and portal vein internal diameter) (39) were evaluated. Subgroup analyses were
performed to investigate different courses of treatment, stages of the disease, and concordantly administered medications. The course of treatment subgroups included 3, 6, and 12 months. All three durations of treatment significantly improved HA, PCIII, and IVC levels, whereas the effects of 12 months of treatment on LN were not clear. Liver function indicators
FIGURE 8

The effect of Dahuang Zhechong pill (DHZCP)-based therapy on albumin (ALB) with different courses of treatment, portal vein internal diameter, and splenic thickness. The adverse effects are also exhibited. Random-effects models were applied in panels (A–C). Fixed-effects model was applied in panel (D). (A) ALB with different courses of treatment; (B) Portal vein internal diameter; (C) Spleen thickness; and (D) Adverse effect.
were significantly improved after 6 months of treatment with DHZCP combined with conventional therapy. DHZCP combined with conventional therapy could effectively reduce hepatic fibrosis indexes at the hepatitis and cirrhosis stages, as well as ALT. However, ALT was only significantly reduced at the hepatic cirrhosis stage. Notably, DHZCP-based therapy was not associated with any significant improvement in AST or Tbil indexes in patients with hepatitis or hepatic cirrhosis. ALT and AST mainly exist in hepatocytes. The plasma levels of these aminotransferases are low under normal conditions. They infiltrate the blood when hepatocytes are damaged and cell permeability increases. In the current meta-analysis, DHZCP-based therapy resulted in a significant decrease in ALT, but no substantial changes in AST. DHZCP-based therapy effectively upregulated ALB and improved liver function, which also contributed to the healing of the liver injury. Additionally, the results indicated that DHZCP combined with entecavir is an effective treatment for hepatic fibrosis, and even hepatic cirrhosis. The combination of DHZCP and adefovir had several negative effects, however, indicating that this combination should be used cautiously in the clinic and that the combination of DHZCP and entecavir may be more suitable for treating hepatic fibrosis.

Hepatic fibrosis leads to cirrhosis, which in turn increases the burden on the portal vein, and can even lead to the generation of portal vein thrombosis. This condition often responds clinically to the widening of the internal diameter of the portal vein (42). An increase in spleen size is also evident during the development of fibrosis. Because the liver and spleen are closely related through the portal vein system, splenic immune cells and cytokines may influence the hepatic immune microenvironment via portal vein flow, and splenic immune cell dysregulation during hepatic cirrhosis may be caused by liver-derived damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) or exons. Thus, many scholars consider spleen volume an essential indicator when assessing the severity of hepatic fibrosis (16). In the present study, increased portal vein internal diameter and splenic thickening caused by hepatic fibrosis were also evaluated. DHZCP-based therapy significantly reduced the inner diameter of the portal vein and spleen thickness, indicating that DHZCP can reverse compensatory widening of the portal vein and hypersplenism caused by hepatic fibrosis.

Pharmacological studies suggest that DHZCP can improve the pathological status of hepatitis and hepatic fibrosis by interfering with multiple molecular targets and blocking the abnormally activated signaling pathway. Excessive accumulation of extracellular matrix is one of the characteristics of hepatic fibrosis and is associated with excessive proliferation and activation of HSC and Kupffer cells (Figure 9). It has been suggested that HSCs can be used as specific targets for the treatment of hepatic fibrosis (43). DHZCP can inhibit abnormal activation of the hedgehog signaling pathway by downregulating the PATCH protein, thus inhibiting the activation of HSC. Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) is a potent HSC mitogen. DHZCP can reduce the expression of PDGF-BB and PDGF receptors, thus inhibiting HSC proliferation. The death of injured hepatocytes can result in the release of DAMPs. DAMPs can promote the proliferation and activation of HSCs and Kupffer cells into myofibroblasts, producing extracellular matrix and generating fibrous scarring (42, 44). In cases of short-term injury, this process can be balanced by the mechanism of scar dissolution, but an imbalance between profibrotic and antifibrotic mechanisms causes the continuous activation of proliferation, contractility, and the migration of myofibroblasts, resulting in the overproduction of extracellular matrix (45, 46). High mobility group box-1 protein is a DAMP that plays a vital role in liver disease and can interact with toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) to promote immune responses and inflammation (47–49). TLR4 can stimulate hematopoietic stem cells to produce chemokines and express adhesion molecules. It can also promote inflammatory cell infiltration into the liver, activate the NF-κB pathway of hematopoietic stem cells, and regulate histone deacetylase-1 transcription, thereby downregulating activin membrane binding inhibitor (BAMBI) and decoy receptor for transforming growth factor-β receptor (BMP). BMP enhances the transforming growth factor (TGF)-β signaling pathway in HSCs and promotes a fibrotic response (50, 51). DHZCP can block cross-linking between TLR4 and myeloid differentiation factor 88 (MYD88), blocking the TLR4/MYD88-related signaling pathway. DHZCP can upregulate BAMBI, inhibit NF-κB activation, decrease the sensitivity of HSCs to TGF-β, and indirectly block the interaction between DAMPs and TLR4 (15). TGF-β1 and fibronectin expression are downregulated, thus blocking the process of hepatic fibrosis. Some scholars believe that anticoagulation is an essential component of hepatic fibrosis treatment (51, 52). DHZCP can alleviate hepatic fibrosis by increasing GAS5 expression, suppressing p-Erk, and inhibiting p-p38 (53). DHZCP reportedly also significantly increased cardiac trophic blood flow in normal and post-vertical xerophyl-induced myocardial ischemia mice, thus widening coronary blood vessels and improving myocardial microcirculation.

It has been reported that DHZCP contains active ingredients, such as baicalin, hypoxanthine, Rhein, Rhubarbin, Rhubarbol, and Rhubarbin methyl ether. In one study, rhubarb significantly reduced ALT activity, HA, PCIII concentrations, and hepatic MDA levels in CCl4/ethanol-injured rats. Histological changes associated with hepatic fibrosis were also significantly improved in these rats, and α-SMA and TGF-β1 expression was decreased (54). Rhein improved hepatic microcirculation in rats with thioredoxin-induced hepatic fibrosis by inhibiting inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) and increasing endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS).
The metabolism of Dahuang Zhechong pill (DHZCP) in treating hepatic fibrosis according to the reported studies. In the molecular mechanism diagram (the lowest picture), arrows with the same color indicate the same signaling pathway.
expression. DHZCP improved liver microcirculation in rats with thioacetamide-induced hepatic fibrosis by inhibiting iNOS and increasing eNOS (54). Baicalin can induce NLRP3 inflammasomes and promote liver regeneration after liver injury by acetaminophen (55). Recent research indicates that DHZCP can attenuate CCl4-induced rat liver fibrosis via the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, which also confirms the anti-fibrotic effect of DHZCP (56, 57). These reports suggest that DHZCP can assist the recovery of the liver microenvironment by promoting hepatic microcirculation. DHZCP has not demonstrated any significant adverse effects. It exerts significant beneficial effects on liver injury by reversing associated biochemical parameters and histopathological changes, which may be weakened with an increase in the dose (44 g kg\(^{-1} \cdot d^{-1}\)) and a longer course of treatment (>2 months) (58–60). DHZCP is reportedly contraindicated during pregnancy (11, 12), and it requires more rigorous investigation and evaluation. The present meta-analysis demonstrates that DHZCP combined with entecavir is a beneficial clinical protocol, which supports evidence from previous studies and provides a reference with respect to medication used to treat hepatic fibrosis and its complications. The confirmed clinical protocol also enriches clinical options for the treatment of cirrhosis.

The heterogeneity apparent in the analysis of results was of serious concern and may be due to various reasons. The course of treatment, stage of disease, and treatment regimen led to an increase in heterogeneity to varying degrees. These heterogeneities may be related to the randomization methods used in the studies, allocation concealment, and ambiguity with regard to double blinding. Due to the condition of only one data being available in some of the subgroup analyses, the results need to be interpreted with caution. Based on the heterogeneity and the risk of bias, further quality control of clinical studies is needed in the future.

Conclusion

The DHZCP-based therapy can significantly reverse hepatic fibrosis by reducing extracellular matrix, thereby improving the widening of the portal vein internal diameter and splenomegaly. It can also improve liver function by increasing the ALB and reducing the ALT levels. The therapy is appropriate for the timely treatment of hepatitis, and it is also effective for hepatic cirrhosis. DHZCP combined with entecavir is a promising clinical protocol for the treatment of hepatic fibrosis. The pharmacological mechanistic studies indicate that DHZCP can interfere with the proliferation and activation of HSCs via multiple pathways, alleviate inflammation, and block the accumulation of extracellular matrix. These observations demonstrate the value of the clinical application of DHZCP.

Limitations

The designs of the studies included in the current meta-analysis were not rigorous enough. The significant heterogeneity evident in the studies may be due to sampling error, blinding bias, and allocation concealment bias. A representative funnel plot was asymmetrical, suggesting the possibility of significant publication bias and exaggerated treatment effects. Thus, the results of this analysis need to be interpreted with caution. More rigorously designed clinical and preclinical studies investigating the treatment of hepatic fibrosis with DHZCPs are required.
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