SAŽETAK

Uvod: Oportunistička CMV reaktivacija je najčešća virusna komplikacija nakon alogene transplantacije matičnih ĉelija hematopoze (AloTMČH).

Cilj: Cilj rada je da se ispita uĉestalost CMV reaktivacije u odnosu na serostatus donora i recipijenta, a i korelacija sa danom postizanja engraftmenta leukocita (Le) i trombocita (Tr). Analizirano je da li je veća uĉestalost CMV reaktivacije kod MAC (myeloablative conditioning) ili RIC (reduced intensity conditioning) reţima i da li je uĉestalost veća kod srodne (MRD, match related donor) ili nesrodne (MUD, match unrelated donor) aloTMČH. Analizirali smo da li CMV reaktivacija utiče na preţivljavanje nakon aloge TMČH.

Materijal i metode: U retrospektivnoj kohortnoj studiji, ispitivana su 42 bolesnika, starosti preko 18 godina, lećenih na Klinici za hematologiju Univerzetskog kliničkog centra Srbije (UKCS) od decembra 2017. do novembra 2019. godine.

Rezultati: Najveća uĉestalost reaktivacije je bila u grupi u kojoj je recipient (R) bio seropozitivan a donor (D) seronegativan (R+/D− = 60.0%). Broj kopija CMV DNK korelira sa danom engraftmenta Le (p = 0.031), ali ne i sa engraftmentom Tr (p = 0.598). Uĉestalost reaktivacije kod pacijenata podvrgnutih RIC-u je 25.0%, a 63.5% kod pacijenata podvrgnutih MAC-u. Jaĉina reţima korelira sa brojem kopija CMV DNK (p = 0.025). Korelacija izmeĊu tipa transplantacije (MRD ili MUD) i reaktivacije CMV infekcije nije utvrĊena (p = 0.515). Sveukupno preţivljavanje je bilo 36.39 meseci (95% CI 26.0 – 46.78). Srednja vrednost preţivljavanja nakon transplantacije, ukoliko se desila reaktivacija, iznosilo je 7.39 meseci (95% CI 5.72 – 9.06), ali nismo dokazali da CMV reaktivacija utiče na preţivljavanje (p = 0.527).

Zakljuĉak: CMV reaktivacija nije povezana sa povećanjem mortaliteta u ispitivanoj grupi bolesnika nakon aloTMČH i bila je najĉešća u kombinaciji R+/D–.
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Opportunistic CMV reactivation is the most common viral complication after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (allo-HSCT).

Aim: The aim of our study is to evaluate the frequency of CMV reactivation in relation to the serostatus of the donor and the recipient, and the correlation with the day of leukocyte (Le) and thrombocyte (Tr) engraftment. We compared the frequency of CMV reactivation in myeloablative conditioning (MAC) versus reduced intensity conditioning (RIC), as well as in match related donor (MRD) versus match unrelated donor (MUD) allo-HSCT. We analyzed whether CMV reactivation affected the overall survival (OS) after allo-HSCT.

Materials and methods: In a retrospective cohort study, we inspected 42 patients over the age of 18 years, who were treated at the Clinic for Hematology of the Clinical Center of Serbia, from December 2017 to November 2019.

Results: Most CMV reactivations were noticed if the recipient (R) was seropositive, and the donor (D) was seronegative (R+/D− = 60.0%). The number of CMV DNA copies correlated with the day of leukocyte engraftment (p = 0.031), but not of thrombocyte engraftment (p = 0.398). The frequency of reactivation in patients treated with RIC was 25.0%, and it was 63.5%, if they were treated with MAC. The intensity of the conditioning regimen correlated with the number of CMV DNA copies (p = 0.025%). There was no correlation found between the type of transplantacation (MRD or MUD) and CMV reactivation (p = 0.515). OS after allo-HSCT was 36.39 months (95% CI 26.0 – 46.78). The mean OS in patients with CMV reactivation was 7.39 months (95% CI 5.72 – 9.06), but we did not prove that CMV reactivation had an impact on OS (p = 0.527).

Conclusion: CMV reactivation was most common in the R+/D– group. CMV reactivation did not affect OS after allo-HSCT in our group of patients.
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INTRODUCTION

The cytomegalovirus (CMV) is a ubiquitous herpes virus, whose name stems from the enlargement of the infected cells, i.e., they are cytomegalic.

The prevalence of CMV seropositivity varies in the world from 60% do 100%. Primary infection usually occurs in early childhood, and in most cases, it is asymptomatic, though it can manifest in the form of atypical mononucleosis [1]. After primary infection, the genome of the CMV virus persists in the genome of the host, without producing viral particles, i.e., it remains latent, maintaining the possibility of reactivation, if the host is immunocompromised.

Allogenic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT) is the replacement and repopulation of the recipient's hematopoietic stem cells with the stem cells of the donor. The most common indications for carrying out this therapeutic procedure are acute leukemias, myelodysplastic syndromes, aplastic anemia, congenital metabolic disorders, and autoimmune diseases. The donors are HLA identical (brother or sister), or haploidentical relatives, or the donor can be unrelated HLA-matching or partially matching [2,3].

Morbidity and mortality upon allo-HSCT depend on the relapse rate of the disease, as well as on mortality unrelated to the relapse of the disease (non-relapse mortality – NRM). There is a growing significance of the causes of NRM after allo-HSCT, which is 10 – 30% [4,5], and amongst these causes are infections, organ dysfunction, as well as graft versus host disease (GvDH) [6]. The most common infections are blood infections with an incidence that can vary from 20% – 70% [7].

Immune reactivity of recipients of bone marrow or organs is artificially suppressed with immunosuppressive therapy, in order to prevent graft rejection, which is why these patients are at high risk of the reactivation of different latent viral infections. Opportunistic CMV reactivation is the most common viral complication after allo-HSCT, which is why DNA viremia is determined with the quantitative PCR method, once to twice a week, in the first 100 days after transplantation [8]. The probability and frequency of reactivation depends on the serostatus of the donor (D) and the recipient (R). Reactivation happens in 60% of seronegative and 10% of seropositive recipients who received their graft from seropositive donors [8,9]. However, the highest reactivation incidence is recorded in seropositive recipients who received their graft from a seronegative donor [8,10]. Apart from the serostatus of the donor and recipient, the following are also described as risk factors: older age of the patients, unmatching HLA or unrelated donor, T-cell depletion, graft versus host disease (GvHD) and high doses of corticosteroids in GvHD
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retinitis i encefalitis, pri čemu se bolest može razviti kao rana ili kasna komplikacija nakon procedure [11].

Sve veći uspeh antivirusne terapije je smanjio incidenciju CMV bolesti na približno 10% u prvoj godini nakon transplantacije, ali se zato uvećala incidencija kasnih CMV reaktivacija, zato što je utvrđeno da antivirusna terapija usporava oporavljanje T-specifičnog odgovora na CMV. Mortalitet od CMV pneumonije je i dalje visok, oko 70%, dok se CMV bolest gastrointestinalnog trakta može ispoljiti bez detektabilne viremije, zbog čega se teško razlikuje od GvHD gastrointestinalnog trakta [12].

U literaturi su navedeni podaci o zaštitnom dejstvu rane CMV reaktivacije od relapsa mijeloidna leukenija, ali isto nije dokazano za druge hematološke neoplasme [13].

U ovom radu smo uporedivali CMV reaktivaciju u odnosu na serostatus donora i recipijenta (D/R) i ispitivali da li postoji korelacija CMV statusa sa donator, MRD) ili nesrodne (match unrelated donor, MUD) aloTMČH. Cilj nam je takođe bio da utvrdimo da li CMV reaktivacija utiče na preživljavanje nakon aloTMČH.

**METODE**

U retrospektivnoj kohortnoj studiji, ispitivana su 42 bolesnika, starosti preko 18 godina, sa dijagnozom: akutna mijeloidna leukenija (AML), akutna limfocitna leukenija (ALL), hronična limfocitna leukenija (HLL), mijelodisplastična/mijeloproliferativna neoplasma (MDS/MPN), Hočkinov limfom (HL), Nehočkinov limfom (NHL), koji su lečeni na Klinici za hematologiju UKCS, od decembra 2017. do novembra 2019. godine. Podaci o pacijentima dobijeni su u vidu medicinskih dokumentacija (Tabela 1).

**Microbiološke metode**

Nakon aloTMČH, reaktivacija CMV je dokazivana kvantitativnom PCR metodom, gde je određivan broj CMV DNK kopija po 1 ml krvi.

**Terapija reaktivacije**

Reaktivacija CMV je definisana kao bilo koja vrednost viremije (broj kopija/1 ml krvi), dokazana kvantitativnom PCR metodom, pri čemu su svi naši pacijenti imali preko 100 kopija CMV DNK na 1 ml krvi. Reaktivacija CMV je lečena terapijskim dozama valganiciplovira (Valcyte®) 2x900 mg po dve nedelje, uz smanjenje doza na 2x450 mg u narednom toku, ili ganciklovirom (Cymeve®) 2x500mg iv dve nedelje, uz davanje anti CMV imunoglobulina (Cytotec®) 50mg iv, na 2 nedelje, do negativizacije broja kopija CMV DNK.

**Therapy**

Therapy [8]. The manifestations of CMV disease are the following: pneumonia, hepatitis, gastroenteritis, retinitis, and encephalitis, while the disease can develop as an early or late complication after the procedure [11].

The increasing success of antiviral therapy has decreased the incidence of CMV disease to approximately 10% in the first year after transplantation, however, the incidence of late CMV reactivation has increased, since it has been established that antiviral therapy slows down the restoration of CMV-specific T-cell response. Mortality from CMV pneumonitis is still high, approximately 70%, while gastrointestinal CMV disease may manifest without detectable viremija, which makes it difficult to distinguish from gastrointestinal GvHD [12].

There is data in literature related to the protective role of early CMV reactivation against the relapse of myeloid leukemia, however, the same has not been proven for other hematologic neoplasms [13].

In this paper, we have compared CMV reactivation in relation to the serostatus of the donor and the recipient (D/R), and we have analyzed whether there is a correlation between CMV status and the day of Le and Tr engraftment. We have also analyzed whether there is a greater frequency of CMV reactivation in the MAC (myeloablative conditioning) or in the RIC (reduced intensity conditioning) regimen, and whether there is greater frequency in match related donor (MRD) or match unrelated donor (MUD) allo-HSCT. The goal is also to determine whether CMV reactivation affects survival after allo-HSCT.

**METHODS**

This retrospective cohort study included 42 patients, above the age of 18 years, with one of the following diagnoses: acute myeloid leukemia (AML), acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL), chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative neoplasm (MDS/MPN), Hodgkin lymphoma (HL), and Non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL), who were treated at the Clinic for Hematology of the Clinical center of Serbia, from December 2017 to November 2019. The data on the patients have been obtained from patient medical records (Table 1).

**Microbiology methods**

After allo-HSCT, CMV reactivation was proven with the quantitative PCR method, determining the number of CMV DNA copies per 1 ml of blood.

**Reactivation therapy**

CMV reactivation is defined as any value of viremia (number of copies/1 ml of blood) proven with the quantitative PCR method. All of our patients, however, had more than 100 copies of CMV DNA per 1 ml of blood. CMV reactivation was treated with therapeutic doses of...
Statistical analysis

The SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) for Windows, version 23.0 was used for statistical analysis. Statistical analysis included the formation of a database with the grouping and tabular presentation of patient data relevant to the study. Descriptive statistical parameters have been expressed through the following: arithmetic mean with measure of dispersion (SD, SE), median, MOD, and the distribution of relevant frequencies. The overall survival (OS) of patients covered the period from the establishing of the diagnosis to the lethal outcome, or ending with November 2019, in living patients. Overall survival in relation to treatment was calculated with the Kaplan-Meier method, while the differences in survival in the context of the analyzed parameters were analyzed with the use of the Log Rank test.

At the beginning of statistical testing, the level of significance was defined at 0.05. Values below 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The study included 42 patients of the average age 37.83±11.36 years, in the range of 19 to 57 years, at the time of the allo-HSCT procedure. At the time of diagnosis, the average age was 35.19±12.02 years. Out of 42 patients, 21 were male and 21 were female patients

| Tabela 2. Demografske karakteristike pacijenata |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Pol / Sex                                      |
| Żene / Women                                   |
| 21 (50%)                                       |
| Mužkarci / Men                                 |
| 21 (50%)                                       |
| Starost / Age                                  |
| 37,83±11,36 (19 – 57) years                    |
| Sveukupno preživljavanje / Overall survival    |
| 36,39±5,30 months                              |

Studijom je obuhvaćeno 42 pacijenta, prosečne starosti 37,83±11,36 godina, u rasponu od 19 do 57 godina, u vreme sprovođenja procedure alogene TCMH. U vreme dijagnoze, prosečna starost je iznosila 35,19±12,02 godina. Od 42 pacijenata, 21 je bio muškog pola i 21 ženskog pola (Tabela 2).

Na početku statističkog testiranja definisan je nivo značajnosti od 0,05. Vrednosti koje su iznosile manje od 0,05 su smatrane statistički značajnim.

REZULTATI

Studijom je obuhvaćeno 42 pacijenta, prosečne starosti 37,83±11,36 godina, u rasponu od 19 do 57 godina, u vreme sprovođenja procedure alogene TCMH. U vreme dijagnoze, prosečna starost je iznosila 35,19±12,02 godina. Od 42 pacijenata, 21 je bio muškog pola i 21 ženskog pola (Tabela 2).

Bitan je bio odnos serostatusa recipijenta i donora (R/D), i utvrđeno je da je najučestalija kombinacija bila kada su i recipijent i donor bili CMV seropozitivni, što je utvrđeno kod 28 pacijenata (68,3%). Kombinacija u kojoj je recipijent bio pozitivan, a donor negativan bilo je 10 (24,4%). Kombinacija u kojoj je recipijent bio

valganciclovir (Valcyte®) 2x900 mg for two weeks, with a lowering of the doses to 2x450 mg during further treatment, or with ganciclovir (Cymevene®) 2x500mg iv, for two weeks, with the administering of anti-CMV immunoglobulin (Cytotect®) 50mg iv, every two weeks, until the nullification of the number of CMV DNA copies.
negativan, a donor pozitivan bilo je 2 (4,9%). U jednom slučaju je recipijent bio pozitivan i na IgM i na IgG antitela na CMV, pri čemu je i donor bio IgG pozitivan. U jednom slučaju nedostajali su podaci o serostatusu recipijenta i donora (Tabela 3).

We established a correlation between CMV reactivation and the serostatus ratio between the recipient and donor (R/D). In case of R+/D+, reactivation occurred in 15 patients (53.6%). When the CMV serostatus was R+/D–, reactivation occurred in 6 patients (60.0%). When the CMV status relationship was R–/D+, reactivation occurred in one patient, while it did not occur in the other patient from this group. In the one case where anti-CMV IgM antibody positivity was proven, reactivation did not occur, i.e., viral DNA was not detected (Table 3).

Correlation between the number of CMV copies and Le engraftment \( (p = 0.031) \) was established, but not the correlation between the number of CMV copies and Tr engraftment \( (p = 0.598) \).

Twelve patients underwent the RIC conditioning regimen (28.6%), while 30 patients (71.4%) underwent the MAC conditioning regimen. Of the 12 patients on the RIC regimen, reactivation occurred in 3 patients (25.0%), while of the 30 patients on the MAC regimen, reactivation of the latent CMV infection occurred in 9 patients (63.5%). Correlation was determined between the intensity of the conditioning regimen and CMV reactivation. Namely, reactivation in patients under the more intensive regimen, i.e., MAC was significantly more frequent \( (p = 0.025) \) (Table 4).

Of the 15 patients who had undergone MRD transplantation, reactivation occurred in 8 patients. In the patient subgroup with related allo-HSCT, in 8 patients, related haploidentical allo-HSCT was performed, but, due to the small number of patients in this group, we did not separate it from the rest of the MRD transplantations. MUD transplantation was performed in 19 patients, and, again, in 8 of them, reactivation of the CMV combinations where the recipient was negative, and the donor was positive 2 (4.9%). In one case, the recipient was positive for both IgM and IgG CMV antibodies, while the donor was IgG positive. In one case, the data on the serostatus of the recipient and donor were missing (Table 3).
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Overall survival after allo-HSCT, calculated on the basis of the Kaplan-Meier study, on a sample of 42 patients, was 36.39 months (95% CI 26.0 – 46.78). The mean value for survival was 39.57 months, if there had been no reactivation, and it was 7.39 months, if reactivation had occurred (95% CI 5.72 – 9.06) (Figure 1). On the basis of the Log Rank test, it was not determined that CMV reactivation affected survival (p = 0.527).

DISCUSSION

Our study analyzed 42 patients, 21 female and 21 male patients, whose average age at the time of the allo-HSCT procedure was 37.83±11.36 years. The distribution of the diagnoses was such that the greatest number of patients was affected by ALL, i.e., 15 of them (35.71%), 14 suffered from AML (33.33%), 9 were affected with HL (21.43%), two were MDS/MPN patients (4.76%), and there was one patient suffering from NHL (2.38%) and one affected by CLL (2.38%).

As far as the distribution of the CMV serostatus is concerned, most of the combinations were R+/D+ (68.3%), 24.4% were R+/D− combinations, 4.9% were R−/D+ combinations, and there was one case of a patient who was positive for both IgM and IgG antibodies, while the donor was also IgG positive, though, in this case, viral DNA was not detected. When we compared reactivation frequency with the CMV serostatus of the recipients and donors, the results showed the
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stalost reaktivacije u slučaju kada je kombinacija R+/D−. Slučajne rezultate su dobili Džordž i radnici, koji su svojih 315 pacijenata podелили u 3 grupe: grupu niskog rizika (R−/D−), grupu srednjeg rizika (R+/D+), i grupu visokog rizika za reaktivaciju (R+/D− ili R+/D+) [9]. Utvrdili su da najveća incidencija bila je u grupi visokog rizika, i iznosila je 53.3% (11 pacijenata). Stern i radnici visoku incidenciju reaktivacije u grupi sa R+/D+ objašnjavaju ili reaktivacijom latentne CMV infekcije u čelijama recipijenta ili/ili reaktivacijom iz inficiranih čelija donora prenetih graftom matičnih čelija [8]. Najveća incidencija reaktivacije u grupi R+/D− se objašnjava odloženim CMV specifičnim imunskim odgovorom, zbog nedostatka T specifičnih čelija u graftu [8,14].

Naša studija je takođe ispitivala da li CMV reaktivacija utiče na engraftment Le i Tr. Utvrdili smo da postoji korelacija između broja kopija CMV DNK i engraftmenata Le (p = 0,031), ali korelacija sa engraftmentom Tr nije utvrđena (p = 0,598), što znači da reaktivacija CMV, ovdje definisana preko detektovane viremiјe kvantitativnim PCR-om, odlaže prihvaćanje kalaма и rekonstrukciju alogene hematopoeze u slučaju Le.

RIC kondicioni režim je alternativa za pacijente kojima je aloTMĆH neophodna, ali postoje kontraindikacije za jači režim (MAC), zbog komorbiditeta ili starije životne dobi [15]. U našoj studiji, pre transplantacije, MAC kondicionom režimu je bilo podvrgnuto 30 pacijenata (71,4%), a RIC režimu 12 pacijenata (28,6%). Kod naših pacijenata, reaktivacija se dogodila kod 19 pacijenata koji su bili podvrgnuti MAC-u i kod 3 pacijenta koji su bili podvrgnuti RIC-u, čime smo pokazali da se CMV reaktivacija statistički značajno češće dešava ukoliko se koriste jači kondicioni režimi (MAC), odnosno da jačina kondicionog režima korelira sa brojem kopija virusne DNK. Studija koju su sproveli Pinjana i radnici following: in case of R+/D+, reactivation occurred in 15 patients (53.6%), in R+/D−, reactivation happened in 6 patients (60.0%), in the case of R−/D+, reactivation occurred in one patient. This leads us to the conclusion that the highest reactivation frequency is in case of the R+/D− combination. Similar results were obtained by George et al., who divided their 315 patients, regarding the risk of CMV reactivation, into the following three groups: the low-risk group (R−/D−), the medium-risk group (R+/D+), and the high-risk group (R+/D− or R+/D+) [9]. They established that the highest incidence of reactivation was in the high-risk group, amounting to 53.3% (11 patients). Stern et al. explained the high incidence of reactivation in the R+/D+ group with either the reactivation of the latent CMV infection in the cells of the recipient or/and with reactivation from infected donor cells transplanted in the stem cell graft [8]. The highest incidence of reactivation in the R+/D− group is explained by the delayed CMV-specific immune response, due to the lack of T-specific cells in the graft [8,14].

Our study also analyzed whether CMV reactivation affected Le and Tr engraftment. We found that there was a correlation between the number of CMV DNA copies and Le engraftment (p = 0.031), but correlation with Tr engraftment was not found (p = 0.598), which means that CMV reactivation, defined here through viremia detected with quantitative PCR, delays graft acceptance and the reconstruction of allogeneic hematopoiesis in the case of Le.

The RIC conditioning regimen is an alternative for patients who need allo-HSCT, but who have contraindications for the more intensive regime (MAC), due to comorbidities or a more advanced age [15]. In our study, prior to transplantation, 30 patients (71.4%) underwent the MAC conditioning regime, while 12 patients underwent the RIC conditioning regime (28.6%). Amongst our patients, reactivation occurred in 19 patients who had undergone MAC and three patients who had undergone RIC, whereby we demonstrated that CMV reactivation occurs statistically significantly more often when more intensive conditioning regimens are applied (MAC), i.e., that the intensity of the conditioning regime correlates with the number of viral DNA copies. A study by Piňána et al. examined the effect of the RIC regimen on CMV reactivation and disease development in 195 patients. They established that reactivation occurred in 36.0% of patients [15].

In their cohort study, Nakamae et al. compared the incidence of reactivation against the MAC and RIC conditioning regimen and came up with different results. In fact, they demonstrated that, in conditioning regimens of reduced intensity, there was a lesser inci-
CMV bolesti između dva režima nije bilo. Oni pretpo- iali značajne razlike u samoj incidenciji reaktivacije ili  u prvih 100 dana nakon transplantacije, kao i da se CMV bolest kasnije javlja, ali značajne razlike u samoj incidenciji reaktivacije ili CMV bolesti između dva režima nije bilo. Oni pretpo- stavljaju da rezidualne T memorijske ćelije kod recipi- jenata koji su bili seropozitivni deluju zaštitno, u smi- slu da sprečavaju visoki viral load, sve dok ne dođe do potpunog himerizma, kada se više ne zapaža njihov zaštitni efekat [16].

Zlatni standard za aloTMĆH su HLA identični srod- nici, što ovde označava MRD transplantacija. Ukoliko je  graft uzet od HLA podudarnog, ali nesrodnog do- nora, radi se o MUD-u. Od 15 pacijenata kod kojih je  obavljena MRD transplantacija, kod njih 8 je došlo do reaktivacije. MUD transplantacija je obavljena kod 19 pacijenata, i opet je kod 8 došlo do reaktivacije CMV infekcije, iako korelacija između tipa transplantacije (MRD ili MUD) sa reaktivacijom CMV infekcije nije utvr- dena (p = 0.515), u našoj studiji.

Do istih zaključaka došli su Jaskula i saradnici, u svojoj studiji u kojoj su upoređivali CMV reaktivaciju kod 71 pacijenta koji su draft dobili od nesrodnog do- nora, ali sa HLA podudarnošću 10/10 i kod 78 pacije- nata koji su draft dobili od srodnika. U grupi nesrodnik- a, do reaktivacije je došlo kod 19 pacijenata, a u gru- pi srodnika kod 17 pacijenata, i nije nađena statistički značajna korelacija, ali, ukoliko je HLA podudarnost bila manja od 10/10, povećavala se incidencija reakti- vacije (p < 0,08) [17].

Naša studija nije pokazala da CMV reaktivacija utiče na sveukupno preživljanje. U stranoj literaturi se pominje zaštitni efekat CMV reaktivacije na relaps, posebno u slučaju AML, ali to ne utiče na poboljšanje sveukupnog preživljanja [18]. Sa druge strane, retrospektivna studija Souse i saradnika je pokazala da je CMV infekcija značajno smanjila preživljanje na- kon transplantacije, te je medijana preživljanja bila 16 mjeseci, ukoliko je došlo do reaktivacije, i 36 mjeseci, ako do reaktivacije nije došlo (p = 0,002) [19]. To se de- limično objašnjava većom incidencijom infekcije usled mijelosupresije indukovane antivirusnom terapijom i usled akutnog GvHD [18].

Naša studija je imala više ograničenja. Obuhvatila je mali broj pacijenata, svega 42, sa heterogenim dija- gnozama, a sama analiza je vršena retrospektivno. dence of high viral load in relation to MAC, in the first 100 days upon transplantation, and that CMV disease occurred later, but that there was no significant differ- ence in the incidence of reactivation itself, nor in CMV disease incidence, between the two regimens. They propose that residual memory T-cells act protectively in recipients who were seropositive, in the sense that they prevent high viral load, until complete chime- rism occurs, after which their protective effect is no longer apparent [16].

The golden standard for allo-HSCT are HLA identi- cal relatives, marked here as MRD transplantation. If the graft is taken from an HLA-matching, but unrelat- ed donor, this is MUD. Out of 15 patients who under- went MRD transplantation, reactivation occurred in 8 of them. MUD transplantation was performed in 19 patients, and, again, reactivation of the CMV infection occurred in 8 of them, although correlation between the type of transplantation (MRD or MUD) and the re- activation of CMV infection was not established in our study (p = 0.15).

Jaskula et al. came to the same conclusion, in their study wherein they compared CMV reactivation in 71 patients who had received a graft from an unrelated donor, but with an HLA match of 10/10, with 78 pa- tients, who had received a graft from a relative. In the unrelated group, reactivation occurred in 19 patients, whereas in the related group it occurred in 17 patients, and statistically significant correlation was not found, but, if the HLA match was below 10/10, the incidence of reactivation increased (p < 0.08) [17].

Our study did not show that CMV reactivation af- fected overall survival. International literature men- dions the protective effect of CMV reactivation on re- lapse, especially in case of AML, but this has no influ- ence on the improvement of overall survival [18]. On the other hand, a retrospective study by Sousa et al. showed that CMV infection significantly decreased survival after transplantation, so the median survival was 16 months, if reactivation occurred, and it was 36 months, if there was no reactivation (p = 0.002) [19]. This is partially explained by a higher incidence of in- fection due to myelosuppression induced by antiviral therapy and due to acute GvHD [18].

Our study had a number of limitations. It included a small number of patients, only 42, who had heterog- eneous diagnoses, and the analysis itself was performed retrospectively.

CONCLUSION
CMV reactivation after allo-HSCT is still a frequent complication of this procedure, and is affected, to a great extent, by the serostatus ratio of the donor and
ZAKLJUČAK CMV reaktivacija nakon aloTMČH je i dalje česta komplekacija ove procedure i na nju u velikoj meri utiče serostatus donora i recipijenta (D/R). Najveća incidencija je u kombinaciji R+/D-, tako da izbor između seropozitivnog i seronegativnog donora jeste značajan za seropozitivnog recipijenta. CMV reaktivacija usporava engraftment Le, odnosno odaže trenutak rekonstruiranje alogene hematopoieze, tako da je odgovarajuća preventivna terapija, kod pacijenata koji su pod rizikom, neophodna (letermovir). Ista korelacija za Tr nije utvrđena. Izbor između MUD i MRD transplantacije nije značajan, u smislu reaktivacije latentne CMV infekcije. RIC kondicioni režim je u našoj studiji bio povezan sa manjom incidencijom reaktivacije. Takođe, nije utvrđen uticaj latentne CMV infekcije na sveukupno preživljavanje pacijenata.

**SPISAK SKRAĆENICA**

CMV – citomegalovirus
aloTMČH – alogena transplantacija matičnih ćelija hematopoieze
HLA – human leukocyte antigens
GVHD (graft versus host disease) – bolest kalema protiv domaćina
MAC – myeloablative conditioning
RIC – reduced intensity conditioning
MRD – match related donor
MUD – match unrelated donor
AML – akutna miješodijna leukenija
ALL – akutna limfocitna leukenija
HLL – limfocitna limfoma
MDS/MPN mijelo-plastične/mijelo proliferativne neoplazme
HL – Hočkinov limfom
NHL – Nehočkinov limfom

**Sukob interesa:** Nije prijavljen.
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