Coulomb corrections to two-particle interaction in artificial traps
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In present work, we discuss the effect of Coulomb interaction to the dynamics of two-particle system bound in various traps. The strategy of including Coulomb interaction into the quantization condition of trapped system is discussed in a general and non-perturbative manner. In most cases, Coulomb corrections to quantization condition largely rely on numerical approach or perturbation expansion. Only for some special cases, such as the spherical hard wall trap, a closed-form of quantization condition with all orders of Coulomb corrections can be obtained.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Recent advances in lattice quantum Chromodynamics (LQCD), ab initio nuclear many-body theory and developments in computer technology have now made it possible for the high precision computation of hadron and nuclei systems from the first principle. However, most of these computations are performed in various traps, for instance, harmonic oscillator trap in nuclear physics and periodic cubic box in LQCD. The typical observables from these ab initio computations are discrete energy spectrum of trapped systems. Therefore, extracting particle interactions from discrete energy spectrum in the trap and building connection between trapped dynamics and infinite volume dynamics have become an important subject in both LQCD and nuclear physics communities in recent years. In elastic two-particle sector, such a connection between trapped system and infinite volume system can be formulated in a closed form, such as Lüscher formula [1] in a periodic cubic box in LCQD and BERW formula[2] in a harmonic oscillator trap in nuclear physics community. Since then, Lüscher and BERW formula have been quickly extended into both coupled-channel and few-body sectors, see e.g. Refs. [3–31]. Both Lüscher and BERW formula have the form of

$$\det \left[ \cot(\delta(E)) - M(E) \right] = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $\delta(E)$ refers to the diagonal matrix of scattering phase shifts, and the analytic matrix function $M(E)$ is associated to the geometry and dynamics of trap itself. Lüscher and BERW formula as the matter of fact is the result of the presence of two well separated physical scales: (1) short-range interaction between two particles and (2) size of trap. Hence the short-range dynamics that is described by scattering phase shift and long-range correlation effect due to the trap can be factorized.

The aim of present work is to extend such a relation to include long-range Coulomb interaction between charged particles. Coulomb interaction becomes dominant for charged particles interactions at low energy [32], including Coulomb interaction may be crucial for charged system interaction in a trap, see e.g. charge hadron system in LQCD [33]. In fact, some early works on including Coulomb corrections in finite volume has already been presented in Refs. [34, 35]. The discussion in Refs. [34, 35] was primarily based on effective perturbation field theory approach. It has been well known fact that both incoming plane wave and scattered spherical wave are distorted by long-range Coulomb interaction [36],

$$\psi^{(\infty)}_l(r,q) \sim \frac{\sin(qr - \frac{\pi}{2} t) + \frac{Z\mu}{q} \ln 2qr}{qr} + t_l(q) e^{i(qr - \frac{\pi}{4} t - \frac{Z\mu}{q} \ln 2qr) \over qr}, \quad (2)$$

where $Z = -Z_1 Z_2 e^2$ is Coulomb interaction strength, and $\mu$ and $q$ refers to the effective mass and incoming momentum of two-particle system. $t_l(q)$ is the partial wave scattering amplitude. Hence perturbation breaks down and Coulomb corrections must be dealt with non-perturbatively, see e.g. [32]. When it comes to formulating Lüscher and BERW formula in the presence of long-range Coulomb force, Coulomb propagator must be used instead of free particle propagator. In this work, we offer a general perspective for the formulating Lüscher and BERW formula in presence of long-range Coulomb force. All the discussion are based on Lipmann-Schwinger (LS) equation approach, hence, the discussion can be made general in non-perturbative way for various types of trap. However, except the hard-sphere wall trap, the analytic form of Green’s function in a trap is usually not available, Dyson equation must be solved either numerically or in terms of perturbation expansion.

The paper is organized as follows. The derivation of the Coulomb corrections to the quantization condition of trapped system is presented in Sec. II. The discussions and summary are given in Sec. III.
II. CONNECTING BOUND STATES IN A TRAP TO INFINITE VOLUME SCATTERING STATE WITH COULOMB FORCE

In this section, we present a general formalism on the topic of bridging discrete bound state energy spectrum in a trap and infinite volume scattering dynamics in the presence of Coulomb force. The commonly used traps are periodic finite box in LQCD [33], harmonic potential in nuclear physics [37–40], and spherical hard wall in some of the lattice implementations of chiral effective field theory [41, 42]. The brief discussion of formal scattering in presence of both a short-range interaction and a long-range Coulomb interaction is given in Appendix A. Before the technical presentation of detailed derivation of quantization conditions, our notations for describing the dynamics of two-particle interaction in trap and in infinite volume are established as follows:

a. Dynamics in a trap: the relative motion of two charged spinless particles interacting with both Coulomb and short-range interactions in a trap is described by Schrödinger equation

\[
[\varepsilon - \hat{H}_t - V_C(r)] \psi^{(t)}_\varepsilon(r) = \int_{\text{trap}} \text{d}r' V_S(r, r') \psi^{(t)}_\varepsilon(r'),
\]

where \( \int_{\text{trap}} \text{d}r' \) refers to the integral over space of unit cell of the trap, e.g.

\[
\int_{\text{trap}} \text{d}r' = \int_{-\frac{L}{2}}^{\frac{L}{2}} \text{d}x' \text{d}y' \text{d}z'
\]

in a periodic box with the size of \( L \). The Hamiltonian operator of the trap is given by

\[
\hat{H}_t = \hat{H}_0 + V_{\text{trap}}(r),
\]

with

\[
\hat{H}_0 = -\frac{\nabla^2}{2\mu}
\]

and \( V_{\text{trap}}(r) \) representing the free particle Hamiltonian operator and trap potential respectively. \( \mu \) stands for the reduced mass of two particles, and \( \varepsilon \) is energy of trapped particles associated with relative motion.

\[
V_C(r) = -\frac{Z}{r}
\]

and \( V_S(r, r') \) denote the Coulomb and short-range interactions between two particles respectively.

b. Dynamics in infinite volume: the dynamics of two charged interacting particles through the same short-range interaction \( V_S(r, r') \) in infinite volume is given by

\[
[\varepsilon_\infty - \hat{H}_0 - V_C(r)] \psi^{(\infty)}_{\varepsilon_\infty}(r) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{d}r' V_S(r, r') \psi^{(\infty)}_{\varepsilon_\infty}(r'),
\]

where \( \varepsilon_\infty \) stands for the relative motion energy of particles in infinite volume. \( \varepsilon_\infty \) is related to \( \varepsilon \) in the trap by total energy conservation,

\[
\varepsilon_\infty + \frac{P^2}{2M} = \varepsilon + E^{(t)}_{\text{CM}} = E, \tag{8}
\]

where \( \frac{P^2}{2M} \) and \( E^{(t)}_{\text{CM}} \) are the center of mass (CM) energy of system in infinite volume and in the trap respectively.

c. Short-range interaction: the separable zero-range potential is assumed in follows for the derivation of quantization condition. In coordinate space, it has the form of, see Refs.[30, 31],

\[
V_S(r, r') = \frac{\delta(r)\delta(r')}{(rr')^2} \sum_{lm} \frac{V^{(S)}_l}{\text{Im}\left[r \rho\right]} Y_{lm}(\hat{r}) Y_{lm}^*(\hat{r}'). \tag{9}
\]

We emphasis that the assumption of separable zero-range potential is not essential for obtaining the Lüscher or BERW formula type quantizations condition, due to the fact that Lüscher or BERW formula type quantization conditions are model independent asymptotic result when the size of trap is much larger than the range of nuclear interactions, see e.g. discussion in Refs.[30, 31]. However, separable zero-range potential does serve as a convenient tool for the derivation of quantization condition.

Next, the dynamical equations of charged particles interaction in a trap is presented in II A, and quantization condition of trapped particles system that connects the strength of short-range interaction and the Coulomb Green’s function in a trap is also derived and given in II A. Then, under the same assumption of separable short-range interaction, the scattering solutions of charged particles in infinite volume are given in details in II B, the similar relation that connecting the strength of short-range interaction, infinite volume Coulomb Green’s function and scattering phase shift is also obtained. At last, by combining dynamical equations in a trap and infinite volume together, the Lüscher or BERW formula type of quantization condition is obtained and given in II C.

A. Coulomb force modified dynamical equations in a trap

In the trap, the integral representation of Eq.(3) is given by

\[
\psi^{(t)}_\varepsilon(r) = \int_{\text{trap}} \text{d}r'' G^{(C,t)}(r, r''; \varepsilon)
\times \int_{\text{trap}} \text{d}r' V_S(r'', r') \psi^{(t)}_\varepsilon(r'), \tag{10}
\]

where

\[
G^{(C,t)}(r, r''; \varepsilon) = (r') \frac{1}{\varepsilon - H_t - V_C} |r''| \tag{11}
\]
stands for the Coulomb Green’s function in a trap. The Coulomb Green’s function \( G^{(C,t)}(r, r'; \varepsilon) \) satisfies Dyson equation,

\[
G^{(C,t)}(r, r''; \varepsilon) = G^{(t)}(r, r''; \varepsilon) + \int_{\text{trap}} d\mathbf{r}' G^{(t)}(r, \mathbf{r}'; \varepsilon) V_C(\mathbf{r}') G^{(C,t)}(\mathbf{r}', r''; \varepsilon),
\]

(12)

where

\[
G^{(t)}(r, r''; \varepsilon) = (\mathbf{r}| \frac{1}{\varepsilon - H_t} | r'')
\]

(13)
is particle Green’s function in a trap. The partial wave expansions

\[
\psi_{l\varepsilon}^{(t)}(r) = \sum_{lm} \psi_{l,m}^{(t)}(r) Y_{lm}(\hat{r})
\]

(14)

and

\[
G^{(C,t)}(r, r''; \varepsilon) = \sum_{lm,l'm'} Y_{lm}(\hat{r}) G_{lm,l'm'}^{(C,t)}(r, r''; \varepsilon) Y_{l'm'}^*(\hat{r}'')
\]

(15)
yields

\[
\psi_{l\varepsilon}^{(t)}(r) = \sum_{lm} \int_{\text{trap}} r''^2 dr'' G_{lm,l'm'}^{(C,t)}(r, r''; \varepsilon) \times \int_{\text{trap}} r'^2 dr' V_p^{(S)}(r'', r') \psi_{l'm'}^{(t)}(r').
\]

(16)

With separable potential given in Eq.(9), the quantization condition that determines the discrete bound state energy spectrum of trapped system is thus given by

\[
\det \left[ \delta_{lm,l'm'} \frac{1}{V_l^{(S)}} - \frac{G_{lm,l'm'}^{(C,t)}(r, r''; \varepsilon)}{r''^2 r'^2} \right]_{r, r'' \rightarrow 0} = 0.
\]

(17)

The Coulomb Green’s function, \( G^{(C,t)} \), that describes the propagation of charged particles in a trap is an essential ingredient of quantization condition, and must be solved first. Specifically, only commonly used traps in lattice and nuclear physics communities are considered in this work:

1. Harmonic oscillator trap

In the harmonic oscillator (HO) trap with trap potential:

\[
V_{\text{trap}}(r) = \frac{1}{2} \mu \omega^2 r^2,
\]

(18)

the rotational symmetry is still preserved, thus only diagonal elements of partial wave Green’s function contribute. The partial wave Dyson equation for harmonic trap Green’s function in presence of Coulomb force is given by

\[
G_l^{(C,\omega)}(r, r'; \varepsilon) = G_l^{(\omega)}(r, r'; \varepsilon) - \int_0^\infty r''^2 dr'' G_l^{(\omega)}(r, r''; \varepsilon) \frac{Z}{r''} G_l^{(C,\omega)}(r'', r'; \varepsilon),
\]

(19)

where \( G_l^{(\omega)} \) is the partial-wave HO Green’s function and is given in Refs. [31, 43] by

\[
G_l^{(\omega)}(r, r'; \varepsilon) = -\frac{1}{\omega} \frac{\Gamma(l + \frac{3}{2} - \varepsilon)}{\Gamma(l + \frac{3}{2}} \times M_{\omega l} \left( \frac{\mu \omega r^2}{4} \right) W_{\omega l} \left( \frac{\mu \omega r^2}{4} \right).
\]

(20)

\( M_{a,b}(z) \) and \( W_{a,b}(z) \) are the Whittaker functions as defined in Ref. [44], and \( r_\less \) and \( r_\gtr \) represent the lesser and greater of \( (r, r') \) respectively.

2. Periodic cubic box

In finite volume, the trap potential is replaced by periodic boundary condition. The rotational symmetry is broken, and angular orbital momenta are no longer good quantum numbers. In addition, the periodic boundary condition is not satisfied by infinite volume Coulomb potential: \( V_C(r) = -\frac{Z}{r} \). The infinite volume Coulomb potential is usually replaced by infrared singularity regularized periodic Coulomb potential, see Refs. [34, 35],

\[
V_C^{(L)}(r) = -\frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^3, n \neq 0} \frac{4\pi Z}{|p|^2} e^{ip \cdot r},
\]

(21)

where \( L \) is size of cubic box, and

\[
V_C^{(L)}(r + nL) = V_C^{(L)}(r), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^3.
\]

(22)

In momentum space, Dyson equation in finite volume is given by

\[
\tilde{G}_{C,L}^{(C,L)}(p, p'; \varepsilon) = \frac{L^3 \delta_{pp'}}{\varepsilon - \frac{Z}{|p|}}
\]

\[
-\frac{1}{\varepsilon - \frac{Z}{|p|}} \frac{L^3}{4\pi Z} \sum_{p'' \neq p} \left| p - p'' \right|^2 \tilde{G}_{C,L}^{(C,L)}(p'', p'; \varepsilon).
\]

(23)

The finite volume Coulomb force modified Green’s function in coordinate space is thus given by finite volume Fourier transform

\[
G^{(C,L)}(r, r'; \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{p, p' \in \mathbb{Z}^3} e^{ip \cdot r} \tilde{G}_{C,L}^{(C,L)}(p, p'; \varepsilon) e^{-ip' \cdot r'}.
\]

(24)
3. Spherical hard wall

The hard-sphere boundary condition is accomplished by the trap potential

\[ V_{\text{trap}}(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & r < R, \\ \infty, & r > R, \end{cases} \]

where \( R \) is the radius of the sphere. Hence, inside of spherical hard wall: \( |r| < R \), Coulomb force modified Green’s function satisfies

\[ (\varepsilon - H_0 - \tilde{V}_C) G^{(C,h.s.)}(r, r'; \varepsilon) = \delta(r - r'), \]

which is just regular differential equation for Coulomb Green’s function except boundary condition.

B. Coulomb force modified infinite volume dynamical equations

In infinite volume, the scattering solution of two charged interacting particles in presence of Coulomb interaction is described by inhomogeneous LS equation,

\[
\psi^{(\infty)}(r, q) = \psi^{(C, \infty)}(r, q) + \int_0^\infty d\nu G^{(C, \infty)}(r, r''; \nu) q \int_0^\infty d\nu' V_S(r'', r') \psi^{(\infty)}(r', q),
\]

where \( q \) is on-shell incoming momentum:

\[ q = \sqrt{2\mu \varepsilon}. \]

\( \psi^{(C, \infty)} \) and \( G^{(C, \infty)} \) are Coulomb wave function and Coulomb Green’s function respectively. The partial wave expansion

\[
\psi^{(\infty)}(r, q) = \sum_{lm} Y_{lm}^*(\hat{q}) \psi^{(\infty)}(r, q) Y_{lm}(\hat{r}),
\]

\[
G^{(C, \infty)}(r, r''; q) = \sum_{lm} Y_{lm}(\hat{r}') G^{(C, \infty)}(r, r''; q) Y_{lm}^*(\hat{r}''),
\]

and separable potential in Eq.(9) yield an algebra equation

\[
\frac{\psi^{(\infty)}(r, q)}{r^l} = \frac{\psi^{(C, \infty)}(r, q)}{r^l} + \sum_{lm} \frac{G^{(C, \infty)}(r, r''; q)}{(r r'')^{l'}} \psi^{(\infty)}(r', q) |_{r,r''\to 0}.
\]

1. Coulomb wave function and Coulomb Green’s function

The analytic expression of \( \psi^{(C, \infty)} \) and \( G^{(C, \infty)} \) are given in Refs. [36, 45] respectively by

\[
\psi^{(C, \infty)}(r, q) = 4\pi \frac{\Gamma(l + 1 + i\gamma)}{(2l + 1)!} e^{-\frac{r}{\gamma}} \times (2iq)^l e^{iqr} M(l + 1 + i\gamma, 2l + 2, -2iqr),
\]

and

\[
G^{(C, \infty)}(r, r''; q) = 2\mu(2iq) \frac{\Gamma(l + 1 + i\gamma)}{(2l + 1)!} \\
\times (-2iqr)^l e^{iqr} M(l + 1 + i\gamma, 2l + 2, -2iqr),
\]

\[
\times (-2iqr)^l e^{iqr} U(l + 1 + i\gamma, 2l + 2, -2iqr),
\]

where \( M(a, b, z) \) and \( U(a, b, z) \) are two linearly independent Kummer functions [44], and

\[ \gamma = \frac{Z\mu}{q}. \]

For the convenience, let’s introduce two real functions:

\[ j_l^{(C)}(\gamma, qr) = C_l(\gamma)(qr)^l e^{iqr} M(l + 1 + i\gamma, 2l + 2, -2iqr), \]

and

\[ n_l^{(C)}(\gamma, qr) = i(2qr)^l e^{iqr} U(l + 1 + i\gamma, 2l + 2, -2iqr), \]

\[ -i(2qr)^l e^{-iqr} U(l + 1 - i\gamma, 2l + 2, 2iqr), \]

\[ e^{2i\delta_l^{(C)}} = \frac{\Gamma(l + 1 + i\gamma)}{\Gamma(l + 1 - i\gamma)}. \]

At the limit of \( \gamma \to 0 \), \( j_l^{(C)}(\gamma, qr) \) and \( n_l^{(C)}(\gamma, qr) \) are reduced to the regular spherical Bessel functions,

\[ (j_l^{(C)}(\gamma, qr), n_l^{(C)}(\gamma, qr)) \xrightarrow{\gamma \to 0} (j_l(qr), n_l(qr)). \]

Also using identity

\[ M(l + 1 + i\gamma, 2l + 2, -2iqr) = (-1)^l \frac{(2l + 1)!}{\Gamma(l + 1 + i\gamma)} e^{\pi\gamma} U(l + 1 + i\gamma, 2l + 2, -2iqr) \]

\[ -(-1)^l \frac{(2l + 1)!}{\Gamma(l + 1 + i\gamma)} e^{-2iqr} U(l + 1 - i\gamma, 2l + 2, 2iqr), \]

the partial wave Coulomb wave function and Coulomb Green’s function can thus be rewritten as

\[ \psi^{(C, \infty)}(r, q) = 4\pi j_l^{(C)}(\gamma, qr) e^{i\delta_l^{(C)}}, \]

and

\[ G^{(C, \infty)}(r, r''; q) = -i2\mu j_l^{(C)}(\gamma, qr) H_l^{(C, +)}(\gamma, qr), \]
where
\[ h_i^{(C,\pm)}(\gamma, qr) = j_i^{(C)}(\gamma, qr) \pm i n_i^{(C)}(\gamma, qr) \]
\[ = -2(-2qr)^l e^{\mp iq} U(l + 1 + i\gamma, 2l + 2, \mp 2iq) e^{\pm i\delta_i^{(C)}}, \quad (42) \]
The Coulomb Green’s function in Eq.\((41)\) thus resemble the free particle Green’s function,
\[ G_i^{(0,\infty)}(r, r’; q) = -i2\mu j_i(qr_<)h_i^{(+)}(qr_), \quad (43) \]
where \(j_i\) and \(h_i^{(+)}\) are regular spherical Bessel and Hankel functions.

2. Coulomb force modified scattering amplitudes

In presence of Coulomb force, the total scattering amplitude now is composed of two components: (1) the short-range interaction scattering amplitude modified by Coulomb interaction and (2) the pure Coulomb scattering amplitude.

a. Coulomb force modified short-range interaction scattering amplitude: the short-range interaction scattering amplitude can be defined by the solution of Eq.\((30)\),
\[ \psi_i^{(\infty)}(r, q) = 4\pi i \left[ j_i^{(C)}(\gamma, qr) e^{i\delta_i^{(C)}} + i t_i^{(SC)}(q) h_i^{(C,\pm)}(\gamma, qr) e^{-i\delta_i^{(C)}} \right], \quad (44) \]
where \(t_i^{(SC)}(q)\) is the Coulomb force modified short-range interaction scattering amplitude and is given by
\[ t_i^{(SC)}(q) = -\frac{1}{V_i^{(S)}} \cdot \frac{G_i^{(0,\infty)}(r, r’; q)}{\left| r, r’ \rightarrow 0 \right|} e^{2i\delta_i^{(C)}}. \quad (45) \]
The \(t_i^{(SC)}(q)\) is typically parameterized by both Coulomb phase shift \(\delta_i^{(C)}\) and a short-range scattering phase shift \(\delta_i^{(S)}\),
\[ t_i^{(SC)}(q) = \frac{1}{\cot\delta_i^{(S)} - i} e^{2i\delta_i^{(C)}}. \quad (46) \]
Using the asymptotic form:
\[ j_i^{(C)}(\gamma, qr) \bigg|_{r \rightarrow 0} = q^l C_l(\gamma), \]
\[ \Im \left[ G_i^{(C,\infty)}(r’, r”; q) \bigg|_{r’, r” \rightarrow 0} \right] = -2\mu q^{l+1} C_l^2(\gamma), \quad (47) \]
and Eq.\((45)\) and Eq.\((46)\), one thus find
\[ \frac{1}{V_i^{(S)}} = -2\mu q^{l+1} C_l^2(\gamma) \cot\delta_i^{(S)}(q) \]
\[ + \Re \left[ \frac{G_i^{(C,\infty)}(r’, r”; q)}{(r’r”)^l} \right] \bigg|_{r’, r” \rightarrow 0}. \quad (48) \]

b. Pure Coulomb scattering amplitude: the pure Coulomb scattering amplitude is defined by Coulomb wave function. By introducing
\[ h_i^{(C,\pm)}(\gamma, qr) = e^{\pm i\delta_i^{(C)}} H_i^{(C,\pm)}(\gamma, qr), \quad (49) \]
where
\[ H_i^{(C,\pm)}(\gamma, qr) = j_i^{(C)}(\gamma, qr) \pm i n_i^{(C)}(\gamma, qr) \]
\[ = -2(-2qr)^l e^{\mp iq} U(l + 1 + i\gamma, 2l + 2, \mp 2iq), \quad (50) \]
we can thus rewrite the Coulomb wave function in Eq.\((40)\) to
\[ \psi_i^{(C,\infty)}(r, q) = 4\pi i \left[ J_i^{(C)}(\gamma, qr) + it_i^{(C)}(q) H_i^{(C,\pm)}(\gamma, qr) \right], \quad (51) \]
where \(t_i^{(C)}(q)\) is the pure Coulomb scattering amplitude:
\[ t_i^{(C)}(q) = \frac{e^{2i\delta_i^{(C)}} - 1}{2i}. \quad (52) \]
d. Total scattering amplitude: the total wave function in Eq.\((44)\) is now also given by
\[ \psi_i^{(\infty)}(r, q) = 4\pi i \left[ J_i^{(C)}(\gamma, qr) + it_i^{(C)}(q) H_i^{(C,\pm)}(\gamma, qr) \right], \quad (53) \]
where
\[ t_i(q) = t_i^{(C)}(q) + t_i^{(SC)}(q) = \frac{e^{2i\delta_i^{(C)}} e^{2i\delta_i^{(S)}} - 1}{2i}. \quad (54) \]

Asymptotic forms of wave functions: using asymptotic form of \(H_i^{(C,\pm)}\) functions,
\[ H_i^{(C,\pm)}(\gamma, qr) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} h_i^{(\pm)}(qr)e^{\mp i\gamma \ln 2qr}, \quad (55) \]
one can easily illustrate that
\[ \psi_i^{(C,\infty)}(r, q) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} 4\pi i \left[ \sin(qr - \frac{\pi}{2} l - \gamma \ln 2qr) \right. \]
\[ \left. + it_i^{(C)}(q) h_i^{(\pm)}(qr)e^{-i\gamma \ln 2qr} \right], \quad (56) \]
and
\[ \psi_i^{(\infty)}(r, q) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} 4\pi i \left[ \sin(qr - \frac{\pi}{2} l - \gamma \ln 2qr) \right. \]
\[ \left. + it_i(q) h_i^{(\pm)}(qr)e^{-i\gamma \ln 2qr} \right], \quad (57) \]
where the factor \(\gamma \ln 2qr\) represents the long-range Coulomb distortion effect to the incoming plane wave and outgoing spherical wave.
C. Quantization condition in a trap in presence of Coulomb interaction

Combining Eq.(17) and Eq.(48) by eliminating $V_l^{(S)}$, one thus find Coulomb force modified Lüscher formula-like relation,

$$\det \left[ \delta_{lm,l'm'} \cot \delta_l^{(S)}(q) - M_{lm,l'm'}^{(C,t)}(\varepsilon) \right] = 0,$$

where $M_{lm,l'm'}^{(C,t)}$ is generalized zeta function in presence of Coulomb force,

$$M_{lm,l'm'}^{(C,t)}(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2\mu q^{2l+1} C^2_l(\gamma)} \left[ G_{lm,l'm'}^{(C,t)}(r, r'; \varepsilon) \right]_{r, r' \to 0} + \delta_{lm,l'm'} \frac{\Re \left[ G_l^{(C,\infty)}(r, r'; q) \right]}{(rr')^l} \left| r, r' \to 0 \right. \right.$$  

(59)

Both $G_{lm,l'm'}^{(C,\infty)}$ and $G_l^{(C,\infty)}$ are ultraviolet divergent, and after cancellation between two terms, generalized zeta function is finite and well-defined. At the limit of $\gamma \to 0$,

$$C_l(\gamma) \to 0 C_l(0) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2^l+1 \Gamma(l+\frac{3}{2})} \frac{j_l(qr)}{(qr)^l} \left| r, r' \to 0 \right. \right.$$  

(60)

and

$$\Re \left[ G_l^{(C,\infty)}(r, r'; q) \right] \left| r, r' \to 0 \right. \to \frac{2\mu q j_l(qr) n_l(qr)}{r^{2l}} \left| r, r' \to 0 \right. \right.$$  

(61)

hence, Eq.(59) is reduced to Eq.(B32) in Ref. [30].

III. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

A. Perturbation expansion

The key element of generalized zeta function in Eq.(59) is Coulomb interaction modified Green’s function in a trap, which is given by Dyson equation, Eq.(12). Solving Dyson equation in most cases is not an easy task, great effort must to be made to deal with both ultraviolet divergence (UV) and infrared divergence (IR) caused by Coulomb interaction. Therefore the perturbation expansion may be more practical in general, see discussion in [34, 35]. Symbolically, the Coulomb force modified zeta function is a real function and given by

$$\hat{M}_{C,t} \sim \frac{1}{C^2_l(\gamma)} \left[ \hat{G}_{C,t} - \Re \left[ \hat{G}_{C,\infty} \right] \right],$$

(62)

where the solution of $\hat{G}_{C,t}$ is given by

$$\hat{G}_{C,t} = \frac{\hat{G}_t}{1 - V_C \hat{G}_t} = \sum_{n=0} \hat{G}_t \left( V_C \hat{G}_t \right)^n,$$  

(63)

and $\hat{G}_t$ denotes Green’s function in a trap,

$$\hat{G}_t(E) = \frac{1}{E - H_t}.$$  

(64)

Although the analytic expression of infinite volume Coulomb Green’s function $\hat{G}_{C,\infty}$ is known already, in order to make sure the UV and IR divergences cancelled out properly order by order, $\hat{G}_{C,\infty}$ can be expanded in terms of perturbation theory as well

$$\hat{G}_{C,\infty} = \frac{\hat{G}_0}{1 - V_C \hat{G}_0} = \sum_{n=0} \hat{G}_0 \left( V_C \hat{G}_0 \right)^n,$$  

(65)

where

$$\hat{G}_0(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{E - H_0}.$$  

(66)

Hence, Coulomb corrected zeta function may be computed by perturbation expansion systematically,

$$C^2_l(\gamma) M_l \sim \sum_{n=0} \left[ \hat{G}_t \left( V_C \hat{G}_t \right)^n \right] - \Re \left[ \hat{G}_{0,\infty} \left( V_C \hat{G}_{0,\infty} \right)^n \right].$$  

(67)

Perturbation expansion may be well applied to both HO trap and periodic cubic box, also see [34, 35] for the discussion in finite volume from effective field theory perspective.

a. HO trap: for the harmonic oscillator trap, iterating Dyson equation in Eq.(19) once, the leading order and first order perturbation result can be written down formally by,

$$\frac{C^2_l(\gamma)}{C^2_l(0)} M_l^{(C,0th)}(\varepsilon) = \left( -1 \right)^{l+1} \left( \frac{4\mu \omega}{q^2} \right)^{l+\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{\Gamma \left( l + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2\omega} \right)} \frac{1}{\Gamma \left( l + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2\omega} \right)},$$

(68)

and

$$\frac{C^2_l(\gamma)}{C^2_l(0)} M_l^{(C,1st)}(\varepsilon) = - \frac{2^{l+1} \Gamma(3)}{2^{l+2} \Gamma^2(\frac{3}{2})} \Delta G_l^{(C,1st)}(r, r'; \varepsilon),$$

(69)

where

$$\Delta G_l^{(C,1st)}(r, r'; \varepsilon) = - \int_0^\infty r''^2 dr'' G_l^{(\infty)}(r, r''; \varepsilon) Z \frac{q^2}{r''^2} G_l^{(\infty)}(r'', r'; \varepsilon),$$

(70)
b. Periodic cubic box: similarly, in finite volume, the leading order and first order perturbation result are given by

$$\frac{C_l^2(\gamma)}{C_l^2(0)} \mathcal{M}_{lm, l'm'}^{(C, 0th)}(\epsilon) = \delta_{lm, l'm'} - \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{p, p' \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \frac{n_{l+1} Y_{l,m}(\hat{p}) Y_{l',m}(\hat{p}')}{2 \mu \epsilon - \hat{p}^2}$$

and

$$\frac{C_l^2(\gamma)}{C_l^2(0)} \mathcal{M}_{lm, l'm'}^{(C, 1st)}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2 \mu q^{2l+1}} \sum_{p, p' \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \frac{n_{l+1} Y_{l,m}(\hat{p}) Y_{l',m}(\hat{p}')}{(2n)^{l+\frac{3}{2}} (2\pi)^n} \frac{4 \pi Z q^{2l+1} Y_{l',m}(\hat{p}')}{{|p-p'|^2} {\epsilon - \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2\mu}}}$$

B. Analytic solutions in a spherical hard wall trap

The rotational symmetry inside of a hard-sphere trap is also well-preserved, so angular orbital momentum is still a good quantum number, only diagonal elements of Coulomb Green’s function contribute. The partial wave Coulomb Green’s function inside hard-sphere must be the combination of regular and irregular functions of Coulomb differential equation: $\hat{j}_l^{(C)}(\gamma, q r)$ and $n_l^{(C)}(\gamma, q r)$ defined in Eq.(34) and Eq.(35) respectively. Similar to the hard-sphere trap without Coulomb interaction, Eq.(54) in Ref.[31], the closed form of Coulomb force modified Green’s function inside hard-sphere is given by

$$G_l^{(C, h.s.)}(r, r'; \epsilon) = -2 \mu q \hat{j}_l^{(C)}(\gamma, q r) n_l^{(C)}(\gamma, q r') \times \left[ \frac{n_l^{(C)}(\gamma, q r)}{j_l^{(C)}(\gamma, q r') - n_l^{(C)}(\gamma, q r')} \right].$$

The real part of Coulomb Green’s function in infinite volume is given by

$$\Re \left( G_l^{(C, \infty)}(r, r'; \epsilon) \right) = 2 \mu q j_l^{(C)}(\gamma, q r) n_l^{(C)}(\gamma, q r').$$

Hence, after UV cancellation in Eq.(59), the analytic expression of Coulomb force modified generalized zeta function for hard-sphere trap is obtained

$$\mathcal{M}_{lm, l'm'}^{(C, h.s.)}(\epsilon) = \delta_{lm, l'm'} C_l^2(0) n_l^{(C)}(\gamma, q R) \frac{C_l^2(\gamma)}{C_l^2(\gamma)} j_l^{(C)}(\gamma, q R).$$

The quantization condition in a hard-sphere trap in presence of Coulomb interaction is given in a closed-form:

$$\cot \delta_l^{(S)}(q) = \frac{C_l^2(0) n_l^{(C)}(\gamma, q R)}{C_l^2(\gamma) j_l^{(C)}(\gamma, q R)},$$

where $j_l^{(C)}(\gamma, q r)$ and $n_l^{(C)}(\gamma, q r)$ are defined in Eq.(34) and Eq.(35) respectively.

C. Summary

In summary, we present a general discussion on the topic of formulating quantization condition of trapped systems by including long-range Coulomb interaction. Although all the discussion are based on non-perturbative LS equation approach, in most cases, the Coulomb force modified Green’s function in a trap must be solved either numerically or by perturbation expansion. In special cases, such as the spherical hard wall trap, the closed-form of quantization condition is obtained and given in Eq.(76).
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Appendix A: Formal scattering theory with short-range and Coulomb interactions

In this section, the formal scattering theory in presence of both a short-range interaction and a long-range Coulomb interaction is briefly discussed, the complete discussion can be found in Refs. [46, 47]. The connection to trapped system is also briefly discussed symbolically.

1. Coulomb force modified scattering amplitude in infinite volume

The infinite volume scattering amplitude in the presence of both Coulomb and short-range nuclear interactions is defined by

$$T_{\infty} = -\langle \Psi_0 | (\hat{V}_C + \hat{V}_S) | \Psi^{(+)} \rangle,$$

where $|\Psi_0\rangle$ stands for plane wave. The $|\Psi^{(+)}\rangle$ is defined by LS equation,

$$|\Psi^{(\pm)}\rangle = |\Psi_0\rangle + \tilde{G}_0(E \pm i0)(\hat{V}_C + \hat{V}_S)|\Psi^{(\pm)}\rangle,$$
where
\[ \hat{G}_0(E \pm i0) = \frac{1}{E - H_0 \pm i0}. \] (A3)

Using Eq. (A2) and also LS equation for pure Coulomb interaction,
\[ \langle \Psi_0 \rangle = \langle \Psi_C^{(-)} \rangle |V_C\hat{G}_0(E + i0), \] (A4)

the total infinite volume scattering amplitude \( T_\infty \) can be rewritten as, also see Refs. [46, 47],
\[ T_\infty = -\langle \Psi_C^{(-)}|\hat{V}_C|\Psi_0 \rangle - \langle \Psi_C^{(-)}|\hat{V}_S|\Psi^{(+)} \rangle. \] (A5)

The second term in Eq. (A5) is the result of short-range interaction in the presence of Coulomb interaction, using LS equation
\[ \langle \Psi^{(+)} \rangle = |\Psi_C^{(+)} \rangle + \hat{G}_C(E + i0)V_S|\Psi^{(+)} \rangle, \] (A8)

where
\[ \hat{G}_C(E \pm i0) = \frac{1}{E - H_0 - V_C \pm i0}. \] (A9)

it can be shown rather straight-forwardly that second term satisfies equation
\[ -\langle \Psi_C^{(-)}|\hat{V}_S|\Psi^{(+)} \rangle = -\langle \Psi_C^{(-)}|\hat{V}_S|\Psi^{(+)} \rangle - \langle \Psi_C^{(-)}|\hat{V}_S \hat{G}_C(E + i0)\hat{V}_S|\Psi^{(+)} \rangle. \] (A10)

Hence, it may be useful and more convenient to define a Coulomb force modified scattering operator
\[ \hat{T}_{SC,\infty}|\Psi_C^{(+)} \rangle = -\hat{V}_S|\Psi^{(+)} \rangle, \] (A11)

thus, the second term in Eq. (A5) now can be written as
\[ -\langle \Psi_C^{(-)}|\hat{V}_S|\Psi^{(+)} \rangle = \langle \Psi_C^{(-)}|\hat{T}_{SC,\infty}|\Psi^{(+)} \rangle. \] (A12)

According to Eq. (A10), \( \hat{T}_{SC,\infty} \) satisfies operator equation
\[ \hat{T}_{SC,\infty} = -\hat{V}_S + \hat{V}_S \hat{G}_C(E + i0)\hat{T}_{SC,\infty}. \] (A13)

The total scattering amplitude is now given by
\[ T_\infty = T_{C,\infty} + \langle \Psi_C^{(-)}|\hat{T}_{SC,\infty}|\Psi_C^{(+)} \rangle. \] (A14)

Given the fact that the symbolic solution of \( \hat{T}_{SC,\infty} \) operator is given by
\[ \hat{T}_{SC,\infty}^{-1} = -\hat{V}_S^{-1} + \hat{G}_C(E + i0), \] (A15)

and
\[ \langle \Psi_C^{(-)}|\hat{T}_{SC,\infty}|\Psi^{(+)} \rangle = 1 + 2iT_{C,\infty} = S_{C,\infty} \propto e^{2i\delta_1^{(C)}} \] (A16)

is the pure Coulomb interaction \( S \)-matrix, the partial wave expansion of \( \langle \Psi_C^{(-)}|\hat{T}_{SC,\infty}|\Psi^{(+)} \rangle \) is conventionally parameterized by both Coulomb phase shift \( \delta_1^{(C)} \) and the short-range interaction phase shift, \( \delta_1^{(S)} \), see Refs. [46, 47],
\[ \langle \Psi_C^{(-)}|\hat{T}_{SC,\infty}|\Psi^{(+)} \rangle \propto e^{2i\delta_1^{(C)}} e^{2i\delta_1^{(S)}} - 1. \] (A17)

Therefore, the partial wave total infinite volume scattering amplitude is thus defined by a total phase shift,
\[ \delta_1 = \delta_1^{(S)} + \delta_1^{(C)}, \] (A18)

and
\[ T_\infty = e^{2i\delta_1^{(C)}} - 1 = e^{2i\delta_1^{(C)}} - 1 + e^{2i\delta_1^{(C)}} e^{2i\delta_1^{(S)}} - 1. \] (A19)

2. Charged particles in a trap in presence of Coulomb force

In the trap, the Eq. (A13) is now modified to
\[ \hat{T}_{SC,t} = -\hat{V}_S + \hat{V}_s \hat{G}_{C,t}(E + i0)\hat{T}_{SC,t}, \] (A20)

where
\[ \hat{G}_{C,t}(E \pm i0) = \frac{1}{E - H_t - V_C \pm i0}, \] (A21)

is Coulomb Green’s function in the trap, and
\[ \hat{H}_t = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{V}_t \]

is trap Hamiltonian operator. The quantization condition including Coulomb interaction is thus given by
\[ \det [\hat{V}_S^{-1} - \hat{G}_{C,t}(E + i0)] = 0. \] (A22)

3. Quantization condition including Coulomb interaction

Eliminating \( V_s^{-1} \) from Eq. (A15) and Eq. (A22), the quantization condition Eq. (A22) thus can be rewritten as
\[ \det [\hat{T}_{SC,\infty}^{-1} + \hat{G}_{C,t}(E + i0) - \hat{G}_C(E + i0)] = 0. \] (A23)
In general, Coulomb Green’s function in the trap is obtained by Dyson equation,
\[ \hat{G}_{c,t}(E) = \hat{G}_t(E) + \hat{G}_t(E)\hat{V}_c\hat{G}_{c,t}(E), \]  
(A24)
where
\[ \hat{G}_t(E \pm i0) = \frac{1}{E - \hat{H}_t \pm i0}. \]  
(A25)

In practice, Coulomb effect may be treated as perturbation by summing over all the ladder diagrams generated by Coulomb exchanges,
\[ \hat{G}_{c,t}(E) = \hat{G}_t(E) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\hat{V}_c\hat{G}_t(E)\right)^n. \]  
(A26)
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