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Abstract

We study the one-instanton sector of 5d U(N) maximal SYM theory. By using the moduli space approximation we obtain all the 1/4-BPS bound states of one dyonic instanton when a vev is given to one of the SYM scalar fields that breaks U(N) maximally. We compute the corresponding 1/4-BPS index and partition function and find agreement with arXiv:1110.2175.
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1 Introduction

A direct formulation of 6d non-Abelian M5 brane theory has been a longstanding problem. The recent proposal of [1, 2] that M5 brane theory compactified in the M-theory circle direction with radius $R$, is dual to 5d maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory (henceforth referred to as $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SYM or MSYM) as the worldvolume theory of D4 branes is of particular interest in the sense that the latter may be used as a definition of the former M5 brane theory. The 5d MSYM coupling is related to the circle radius $R$ by

$$ g_{YM}^2 = 4\pi^2 R $$

(1.1)

This 5d MSYM theory involves a solitonic sector of instanton particles corresponding to D0 branes bound to D4 branes, and the required KK spectra along the M-circle (fifth) direction are correctly reproduced by them [2]. Indeed, for the U(1) case, the D4/M5 correspondence has been checked explicitly by direct computation of partition functions from both sides [3]. However the 5d U(N) MSYM theory with $N \geq 2$ is certainly perturbatively nonrenormalizable and turns out to involve infinity at six-loop order [4]. Hence the check of the proposal is not possible in the standard field theoretic framework.

The DLCQ definition of M5 brane theory [5, 6] can be of rescue to this situation. (For the effort along the idea of the deconstruction, see Refs. [7, 8, 9].) The DLCQ description of $k$ D0-brane sector agrees with that of the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ quantum mechanics (four complex supercharges) on the moduli-space of $k$ instantons based on the ADHM construction of the 5d MSYM theory [8, 10]. Our $\mathcal{N} = 8$ quantum mechanics below is slightly different in the sense that it involves a potential arising from turning on the scalar vacuum expectation values (vev) [11] which introduce another mass scale $\phi_0 = \langle \phi^6 \rangle$ to the problem in addition to the M-circle radius $R$. This $\mathcal{N} = 8$ quantum mechanics can be understood from the following DLCQ limit of the 5d MSYM theory. For the $k$ instanton sector, the corresponding KK momentum is given by

$$ p^5 = \frac{k}{R} $$

(1.2)

and the energy by

$$ p^0 = E = \sqrt{p_\perp^2 + \mathcal{H}_\perp} $$

(1.3)

where

$$ \begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}_\perp &= \mathcal{H}_{\text{md}} + O[p_\perp^2 (R^2 p_\perp^2)^n (R^2 \phi_0^2)^m] + O[p_\perp^2 (\phi_0^2 p_\perp^2)^n (R^2 \phi_0^2)^m] \\
\mathcal{H}_{\text{md}} &= p_\perp^2 + \mathcal{V}
\end{align*} $$

(1.4)

with $n, m$ non-negative integers and $n + m \geq 1$. $p_\perp$ denotes the transverse directional moduli momentum and $\mathcal{V}$ is the potential which is of order $\phi_0^2$. As the $x^5$ direction is circle compactified with the radius $R$, we have the identification

$$ x^5 \sim x^5 + 2\pi R $$

(1.5)
and let us boost the system in the $x^5$ direction with a velocity $u$

$$x^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-u^2}}(x^0 - ux^5), \quad x^5 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-u^2}}(x^5 - ux^0), \quad x^i = x^i$$ \hfill (1.6)

Let us further introduce $x^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x^0 \pm x^5)$, which, under the boost, transform as

$$x'^+ = \epsilon x^+, \quad x'^- = \frac{1}{\epsilon} x^-$$ \hfill (1.7)

with $\epsilon = \sqrt{(1-u)/(1+u)}$. In the $\epsilon \to 0$ (infinite momentum) limit, we have the identification

$$(x'^+, x'^-, x'^i) \sim (x^+, x^- + 2\pi R', x^i)$$ \hfill (1.8)

where $R' = \frac{R}{\sqrt{2}\epsilon}$ and we keep $R'$ finite by sending also $R$ to zero. In this DLCQ limit with $k > 0$,

$$p'_- = p'^+ = \frac{k}{R'} + O(\epsilon^2) \to \frac{k}{R'}$$ \hfill (1.9)

$$p'^+ = p'^- = \frac{R'}{2k}(p^2_\perp + V) + O(\epsilon^2) \to \frac{R'}{2k}(p^2_\perp + V)$$ \hfill (1.10)

while the anti-instanton sector with $k < 0$ decouples from the instanton sector completely because their states become infinitely heavy. A few comments are in order. The resulting DLCQ Hamiltonian is precisely that of the moduli space approximation supplemented by the above mentioned potential term. Its $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supersymmetric completion is uniquely fixed by the moduli space metric together with the triholomorphic Killing vector $G$ \cite{12, 13, 14}, which describes $k$ D0 brane (Coulomb-branch) dynamics in the presence of $N$ parallel D4 branes. Due to the potential, we do not have any possible danger since the potential is confining asymptotically leading to a finite mass gap in the fluctuation spectra near the instanton configurations. There are no interactions between different $k$ sectors as is usual in light-cone frame dynamics. Hence each $k$ sector of the dynamics can be studied separately. As we shall see below explicitly, this quantum mechanics for a finite $k$ sector is indeed well defined and regular.

In this paper, we study the $k = 1, \mathcal{N} = 8$ quantum mechanics for the gauge group U(N). In order to avoid the singularity of the modular space geometry, we turn on the spatial (anti-self-dual) noncommutativity. We adopt then the ADHM construction \cite{15, 16} of instanton solutions with general ADHM data. By solving the ADHM constraints explicitly, we shall find the moduli space for an arbitrary $N$, which corresponds to the Calabi space \cite{17} times the overall translation $\mathbb{R}^4$. By turning on the vev of $\phi^6$, the gauge symmetry is broken down to U(1)$^N$. We shall compute the potential explicitly in terms of the moduli-space coordinates. Thus we claim that the resulting $\mathcal{N} = 8$ quantum mechanics describes the $k = 1$ sector of the circle-compactified M5 brane theory in the DLCQ limit.

We test the resulting quantum mechanics by computing the index partition functions for their 1/4-BPS states and find a perfect agreement with the result
in [18] from the index computation using localization of the 5d MSYM theory. These 1/4-BPS states are associated with the dyonic (electrically-charged) instantons (D0-F1 bound states) which amount to F-strings stretched between D4 branes in the presence of instantons [11]. For the minimal $N = 2$ case, we are led to the Eguchi-Hanson (EH) space [19] times the overall $\mathbb{R}^4$ as the moduli-space geometry [20]. We shall present rather detailed constructions of related 1/4-BPS states as well as their supermultiplet structures based on the results in [21].

In order to compute the index partition function of the 1/4-BPS states, we use the property of the deformation invariance of the number of 1/4-BPS states. A detailed account of the relevant index theorem can be found in [22]. Here we present a brief account of the index theorem relevant for our discussions. We note that our $\mathcal{N} = 8$ Lagrangian admits a deformation

$$\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \tau^2 \mathcal{L}$$

(1.11)

under which the central charge $Z(\phi_0, Q)$ as a function of only the vev and electric charges, remains invariant. Furthermore the index

$$I^+ = \text{number of selfdual states} - \text{number of anti-selfdual states}$$

(1.12)

remains invariant. There is no net contribution coming from non-BPS states to the index [22]. Also it has been made plausible (and was proven for $N = 2$ [22]) that the number of anti-selfdual 1/4-BPS states vanishes, so that the index counts precisely the number of 1/4-BPS states. Now in the limit where $\tau^2$ goes to infinity ($R \rightarrow 0$), the states are localized around the zeroes of the potential which is non-negative definite. There are $N$ such minima around which the relative moduli-space becomes $\left(\mathbb{R}^4\right)^{N-1}$ which we may interpret as the world volume of $N$ D4 branes where the dyonic instanton Hilbert space at one of these D4 branes has been deleted, henceforth referred to as deleted location$^3$. In each of the relative $\mathbb{R}^4$, there lives a 4d $\mathcal{N} = 8$ superharmonic oscillator, for which we shall find the 1/4-BPS states explicitly. Due to these $N$ deleted locations, the number of states of one instanton involving a singly connected F-string scales as $\frac{16}{9} N^3$ for the large $N$, which is unexpected from the $N^2$ scaling of the MSYM field degrees of freedom.

It should be mentioned that our $\mathcal{N} = 8$ quantum mechanics describes not just the 1/4-BPS states but also generic non-BPS ones of the system. This is contrasted to the index computation in [18], where we do not know the way to deal with the non-BPS states due to the lack of the formulation.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the 5d $U(N)$ MSYM theory with the noncommutativity turned on. We give the basic properties of the dyonic instantons which are 1/4-BPS. In Section 3, the $\mathcal{N} = 8$ quantum mechanical sigma model [12] is described. Together with the potential, we set up

$^3$If we compactify one spatial direction of D4 on a circle and T-dualize along that circle, then what we refer to as deleted location corresponds to the D3 brane on which a dipole instead of a monopole is located, and whose dipole charge corresponds to the noncommutativity parameter [23].
the BPS equation [13] whose solutions the 1/4-BPS states of dyonic instantons. In Section 4, we review the ADHM construction of the instanton moduli space. We obtain the moduli-space metric explicitly for the $k = 1$ sector leading to the Calabi metric of the relative space. We also compute the potential as a function of moduli coordinates and identify the triholomorphic Killing vector $G$. In Section 5, we compute the number of states associated with an instanton involving an F-string singly connected from one D4 to another D4. This is done adopting the $\tau^2 \to \infty$ deformation of the quantum mechanics leading to $N$ distinct localization points in the relative space [23]. Each of the localized point is characterized by one deleted location of D4 at which no dynamical degrees live. On the other hand, in each of the remaining D4 branes, the associated part of the moduli-space becomes $\mathbb{R}^4$, in which lives one set of 4d $\mathcal{N} = 8$ superharmonic oscillator. Based on this localization, we compute the $k = 1$ index partition function of dyonic instantons in Section 6. Section 7 is devoted for the discussion of the spin and R-symmetries of dyonic instanton states. In Section 8, we take the EH case of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ and give the detailed description of states, spin and R-symmetry of the dyonic instanton states based on the results of [21]. We also present the full general treatment of 1/4-BPS states of the 4d $\mathcal{N} = 8$ superharmonic oscillator problem. Based on these results, we compute the more refined version of the index partition function with extra chemical potentials for the spin ($J^3$) and the R-charges ($R^3$) and find a full agreement with the index computation of the 5d MSYM theory in [18]. Finally we show that the counting of states has a smooth commutative limit. Various technical details as well as some explicit constructions are collected in Appendices.

2 Five-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SYM and the dyonic instanton

We will use 11d notation [2] for the 5d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super Yang-Mills (SYM) theory. The classical action is given by

$$S = \frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \int dtd^4x \text{Tr} \left( -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} D_\mu \Phi^A D^\mu \Phi^A + \frac{1}{4} [\Phi^A, \Phi^B]^2 
+ \frac{i}{2} \bar{x} \Gamma^D D_\mu x + \frac{1}{2} \bar{x} \Gamma^A \Gamma^B [\Phi^A, x] \right)$$

(2.1)

where

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - i[A_\mu, A_\nu]$$

(2.2)

The spinor $x$ is subject to an 11d Majorana and a 6d Weyl condition

$$\bar{x} = x^T C$$

$$\Gamma^{(6)} x = -x$$

(2.3)
where $\Gamma_{(6)} = \Gamma_{012345}$. Our conventions for the gamma matrices are collected in appendix A. The on-shell supersymmetry variations read

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta \phi^A &= i \bar{\omega} \Gamma^A \chi \\
\delta A_\mu &= i \bar{\omega} \Gamma_\mu \Gamma_5 \chi \\
\delta \chi &= \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_5 \omega F_{\mu\nu} + \Gamma^\mu \Gamma_\chi \omega D_\mu \phi^\chi - i \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^{A\bar{B}} \omega [\phi^A, \phi^\bar{B}]
\end{align*}
\] (2.4)

The supersymmetry algebra in a massive dyonic instanton background reads [2]

\[
\{Q, Q^\dagger\} = M - \Gamma_{50} \frac{4\pi^2 k}{g_Y^2} + \Gamma_{560} Q_E
\] (2.5)

Here the central charges are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
k &= \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \epsilon_{ijkl} \text{tr} \left( F_{ij} F_{kl} \right) \\
Q_E &= \frac{1}{g_Y^2} \int d^3\Omega \text{tr} \left( \nu_6 F_{0i} \right)
\end{align*}
\] (2.6)

This algebra shows that the dyonic instanton requires a nonvanishing vev $\nu^6 = \langle \phi^6 \rangle$, carries instanton charge $k$, electric charge $Q_E$, preserves $1/4$ of SUSY and has the BPS mass $M = |k|/R + |Q_E|$. There are 12 broken supercharges out of which 6 become lowering operators. Acting with these lowering operators on a highest weight state we generate a supermultiplet with $2^6 = 64$ states [2]. This analysis does not give us all the $1/4$-BPS states though. This is so because we have more fermionic zero modes than broken supercharges, unless the gauge group is $U(1)$ in which case we cannot have any vev and no dyonic instanton. For gauge group $U(2)$ we will obtain 2 copies of the above 64-state supermultiplet.

In this paper we will explore the $k = 1$ sector of the dyonic instanton for higher-rank gauge groups $U(N)$. To find all $1/4$-BPS states, we count number of solutions of the $1/4$-BPS equation by transcribing the fermionic zero modes into form-fields on moduli space.

For this analysis we need to regularize the instanton moduli space. We will make a noncommutative deformation

\[
[x_i, x_j] = i \theta_{ij}
\] (2.7)

where, for the selfdual instanton, we shall assume that $\theta_{ij}$ is antiselfdual. Such a deformation breaks $SO(4) = SU(2)_+ \times SU(2)_b$ rotation symmetry down to $SU(2)_+ \times U(1)_b$. To see this we consider a variation

\[
\delta^{\pm} x_i = \epsilon^{I\pm} \eta_{ij}^{I\pm} x_j
\] (2.8)

This gives

\[
\delta^{\pm} [x_i, x_j] = i \epsilon^{I\pm} [\eta^{I\pm}, \theta]_{ij}
\] (2.9)

This commutator vanishes for $\delta^+$ which means that $SU(2)_+ \subset SO(4)$ is unbroken by this antiselfdual noncommutativity deformation. On the other hand $SU(2)_b$ is broken down to $U(1)_b$. 


3 The $\mathcal{N} = 8$ quantum mechanics

The instanton background preserves 8 real supercharges. The low-energy dynamics of zero modes of the instanton is therefore described by an $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supersymmetric sigma model in one dimension (quantum mechanics) with a potential for the charged or dyonic instanton [11], [12], [14]

\[
S = \frac{1}{2} \int dt \left( g_{rs} \left( X^r \dot{X}^s + i \bar{\psi}^r \gamma^0 D_t \psi^s \right) + \frac{1}{6} R_{rstu} \bar{\psi}^r \psi^s \bar{\psi}^t \psi^u \right. \\
- g_{rs} G^{\sigma A} G^{s \hat{A}} - i D_r G^A_s \bar{\psi}^r (\Omega^A \psi)^s \right)
\]

(3.1)

$\mathcal{N} = 8$ supersymmetry requires the moduli space metric $g_{rs}$ to be hyper Kahler thus supporting three covariantly constant complex structures $(J^I)^{rs}$. The $G^{\sigma A}$ must be triholomorphic and mutually commuting Killing vector fields. The moduli space is on the form

\[
\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathcal{M}_{rel}
\]

(3.2)

The three Kahler forms living on this space are on the form

\[
K^I = K^I_{\mathbb{R}^4} + K^I_{\mathcal{M}_{rel}}
\]

(3.3)

and the associated complex structures obtained by rising one index by the inverse moduli space metric, are on the form

\[
J^I = \left( \begin{array}{cc} I^I_{\mathbb{R}^4} & 0 \\ 0 & I^I_{\mathcal{M}_{rel}} \end{array} \right)
\]

(3.4)

In later sections when we discuss the relative moduli space we will use the shorter notations $I^I$ in place of $I^I_{\mathcal{M}_{rel}}$. This action describes the dynamics of the moduli parameters $X^r$ and $\psi^r$ (which thus have been given a time dependence) of a dyonic instanton particle. Here $\psi^r$ are two-component Majorana spinors. Despite we have just one time direction here, it is useful to define gamma matrices (associated to an $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$, 1 + 1 dimensional sigma model) as $\gamma^0 = i \sigma^2$, $\gamma^1 = \sigma^1$ and $\gamma = \sigma^3$ where $\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3$ denote the $2 \times 2$ Pauli sigma matrices. The R symmetry group is $SO(5)$ which rotates $A$ as a vector index. If we decompose $\hat{A} = (I, m)$ where $I = 1, 2, 3$ and $m = 4, 5$, then the $\Omega^A$ satisfy the half-Clifford algebra of half-gamma matrices

\[
\{ \Omega^I, \Omega^J \} = 2 \delta^{IJ} \\
\{ \Omega^m, \Omega^n \} = -2 \delta^{mn} \\
[\Omega^I, \Omega^m] = 0
\]

(3.5)

where $\Omega^I$ are hermitian and $\Omega^m$ are antihermmitian. One gets hermitian generators of $SO(5)$ out of these as follows

\[
R^{IJ} = \frac{i}{4} [\Omega^I, \Omega^J]
\]
\[ R^{mn} = -\frac{i}{4}[\Omega^m, \Omega^n] \]
\[ R^{lm} = \frac{i}{2}\Omega^l \Omega^m \]  

(3.6)

One could imagine that we had introduced full hermitian gamma matrices on a doubled space

\[ \Gamma^I = \Omega^I \otimes \sigma^1 \]
\[ \Gamma^m = \Omega^m \otimes (-i\sigma^2) \]  

(3.7)

Being hermitian, we must take \( \Omega^m \) antihermitian. These satisfy the Clifford algebra

\[ \{ \Gamma^I, \Gamma^J \} = 2\delta^{IJ} \] 

Generators of \( SO(5) \) are \( K^A_B = \frac{i}{4}[\Gamma^A, \Gamma^B] \) and \( K^{IJ} = R^{IJ} \otimes 1, K^{mn} = R^{mn} \otimes 1 \text{ and } K^{lm} = R^{lm} \otimes \sigma^3 \). We then project onto \( \sigma^3 = 1 \) subspace where we recover the above half-Clifford algebra. An explicit realization is given by

\[ \Omega^I = i(J^I)^r_s \]
\[ \Omega^4 = i\delta^r_s \gamma^1 \]
\[ \Omega^5 = i\delta^r_s \gamma \]  

(3.8)

The covariant derivative is given by

\[ D_t \psi^r = \dot{\psi}^r + \Gamma_{st}^r \dot{X}^s \psi^t \]  

(3.9)

where \( \Gamma_{st}^r \) is the Christoffel symbol. Conjugate momenta to \( X^r \) are

\[ p_r = g_{rs} \left( \dot{X}^s + \frac{i}{2} \gamma^0 \bar{\psi}^s \gamma^0 \psi^r \right) \]  

(3.10)

In this paper we will assume that \( G^{4r} = \delta^{45} G^r \) which corresponds to one SYM scalar field acquires a vev \( \langle \phi^6 \rangle = \text{diag}(v^1, \ldots, v^N) \). In this case the 8 real supercharges are given by

\[ Q_\alpha = \psi_\alpha^r p_r + (\gamma^0 \gamma)_{\alpha \beta} \psi_\beta^r G_r \]
\[ Q_\alpha = i(J^I)^r_s (\psi_\alpha^s p_r + (\gamma^0 \gamma)_{\alpha \beta} \psi_\beta^s G_r) \]  

(3.11)

We have the supersymmetry algebra

\[ \{ Q_\alpha, Q_\beta \} = 2(H\delta_{\alpha \beta} - Z\sigma^1_{\alpha \beta}) \]
\[ \{ Q^I_\alpha, Q^J_\beta \} = 2\delta^{IJ} (H\delta_{\alpha \beta} - Z\sigma^1_{\alpha \beta}) \]  

(3.12)

where \( H \) is the Hamiltonian and \( Z \) is the central charge

\[ Z = G^r p_r - \frac{i}{2} D_r G_s \bar{\psi}^r \gamma^0 \psi^s \]  

(3.13)

We define 4 complex supercharges

\[ Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (Q_1 - iQ_2) \]
\[
Q^I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (Q^I_1 - iQ^I_2)
\]  
(3.14)

Also defining \(Q^i = Q\) and letting \(i = (I, 4)\), the superalgebra generated by them reads

\[
\begin{align*}
\{Q^i, Q^{ij}_+\} &= 2\delta^{ij} H \\
\{Q^i, Q^i\} &= 2i\delta^{ij} Z
\end{align*}
\]  
(3.15)

This can be further rewritten as

\[
\left\{Q^i \pm iQ^{ij}_+, (Q^i \pm iQ^{ij}_+)\right\} = 4\delta^{ij} (H \pm Z)
\]  
(3.16)

Since the left-hand side is non-negative we see that \(H \geq |Z|\) where equality holds for BPS saturated states. The condition for a BPS state \(|\Omega_+\rangle\) which corresponds to the case \(Z > 0\) reads

\[
(Q^i - iQ^{ij}_+) |\Omega_+\rangle = 0
\]  
(3.17)

and for an anti-BPS state we have the condition

\[
(Q^i + iQ^{ij}_+) |\Omega_-\rangle = 0
\]  
(3.18)

which corresponds to \(Z < 0\). If \(Z = 0\) we require both BPS conditions, which amounts to

\[
\begin{align*}
Q^i |\Omega_0\rangle &= 0 \\
Q^{ij}_+ |\Omega_0\rangle &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  
(3.19)

and we have no broken supersymmetries in the \(\mathcal{N} = 8\) sigma model. This case corresponds to a pure instanton.

The fourth supercharge has a particular nice form after transcribing it to form space [13],

\[
Q^4 = -i (d - i_G)
\]  
(3.20)

and the dyonic instanton BPS equation with \(Z > 0\) becomes

\[
\left[(d - i_G) + i(d^l - G)\right] |\Omega\rangle = 0
\]  
(3.21)

The other BPS equations \((Q^I - iQ^{ij}_+) |\Omega_+\rangle = 0\) will be automatically satisfied since the mass of the solution saturates the BPS bound. We can then read the equation (3.16) backwards. Its left-hand side would have been positive definite had \((Q^I - iQ^{ij}_+) |\Omega_+\rangle\) been non-zero, contradicting the fact that the right-hand side is zero. Therefore solving (3.21) will be sufficient.
4 Brief review of the ADHM construction

Here we review the ADHM construction of instantons [15, 16] which is necessary for our construction of the moduli space metric and the corresponding potential induced by the vev of the scalar field.

The basic object for the ADHM constraint is the \((N+2k) \times 2k\) complex-valued matrix \(\Delta_{\lambda m \dot{\alpha}}\), which is assumed to be linear in the 4d spatial coordinates \(x_i\) \((i, j, \cdots = 1, 2, 3, 4)\). Only in this section we will assume a generic instanton number \(k\) and let the instanton indices \(m, n, \cdots\) run over \(1, 2, \cdots, k\). Later on we will fix \(k = 1\). The indices \(\lambda, \mu, \cdots = 1, 2, \cdots, N+2k\) are decomposed as \(u \oplus m \alpha, v \oplus n \beta, \cdots\) with \(u, v, \cdots = 1, 2, \cdots, N\). We use the notation \(\bar{\Delta}_{m \dot{\alpha}, \lambda} = (\Delta_{\lambda, m \dot{\alpha}})^*\).

Then \(\Delta\) can be parametrized as
\[
\Delta_{\lambda, n \dot{\alpha}} = \Delta_{u \oplus m \alpha, n \dot{\alpha}} = \left(\begin{array}{c}
w_{u n \dot{\alpha}} \\
(X_i mn + x_i \delta_{mn}) \bar{q}_{i \alpha \dot{\alpha}}
\end{array}\right)
\]
(4.1)
where \(\bar{q}_{i \alpha \dot{\alpha}}\) are as specified in Eq (A.4). We shall require the ADHM constraint
\[
\bar{\Delta}_{m \dot{\alpha}, \lambda} \Delta_{\lambda, n \beta} = \delta_{\dot{\alpha} \beta} (f^{-1})^m_n
\]
(4.2)
where \(f\) is an \(x\)-dependent \(k \times k\) Hermitian matrix. To get the instanton solution, one introduces an \((N+2k) \times N\) matrix \(U_{\lambda u}\) satisfying
\[
\bar{\Delta}_{m \dot{\alpha}, \lambda} U_{\lambda u} = 0, \quad \bar{U}_{u \lambda} U_{\lambda v} = \delta^u_v
\]
(4.3)
Then the gauge field is given by
\[
(A_i)^u_v = i \bar{U}_{u \lambda} \partial_i U_{\lambda v}
\]
(4.4)
whose field strength is self-dual \((F = *_4 F)\). We choose noncommutativity parameter defined by (2.7) as \(\theta_{ij} = \zeta \eta_{ij} \delta^3\) as suitable for selfdual instantons. Then the ADHM constraint becomes
\[
0 = \bar{w}^{m \dot{\alpha}, u} w_{u, n \beta} (\sigma^I)^\dot{\beta} \, \dot{\alpha} + i [X_i + x_i, X_j + x_j]^m_n \eta_{ij}^I
\]
\[
= \bar{w}^{m \dot{\alpha}, u} w_{u, n \beta} (\sigma^I)^\dot{\beta} \, \dot{\alpha} + i [X_i, X_j]^m_n \eta_{ij}^I - 4 \zeta \delta^m_n \delta^{I3}
\]
(4.5)

4.1 Moduli space metric

The moduli space metric can now be computed starting from the flat metric
\[
ds^2 = \text{tr}_k \left( d\bar{w}^{\dot{\alpha}, \dot{\alpha}} dw_{\dot{\alpha}, \alpha} + dX^i dX^i \right)
\]
(4.6)
by imposing the ADHM constraint and an appropriate \(U(k)\) gauge fixing condition. We will clarify this construction in section 5 where we obtain the moduli space metric for \(k = 1\).
4.2 Potential

The scalar field equation in the instanton background

\[ D_i D_i \phi = 0 \]  

(4.7)

can be solved for any given ADHM data. The solution is given by (see for instance the appendix in Ref [16])

\[ \phi = \bar{U} J U = \bar{U} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi I_{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix} U \]  

(4.8)

where \( \phi_0 \) is the vev of the scalar field (which we will choose as an \( N \times N \) diagonal matrix) and \( \varphi \) is the \( k \times k \) \( x \)-independent Hermitian matrix satisfying

\[ [X_i, [X_i, \varphi]] + \frac{1}{2} \left( \bar{w}^\alpha w_i \varphi + \varphi \bar{w}^\alpha w_i \right) = \bar{w}^\alpha \phi_0 w_i \]  

(4.9)

The potential of the \( \mathcal{N} = 8 \) supersymmetric quantum mechanics can then be obtained by evaluating

\[ V = \frac{1}{2g^2} \int d^4x \text{tr} D_i \phi D_i \phi = \frac{1}{2g^2} \int_{S_3^\infty} d^3\Omega_i \text{tr} \phi D_i \phi \]  

(4.10)

With a short computation, one has

\[ D_i \phi = -\bar{U} (\partial_i \Delta) f \bar{\Delta} U - \bar{U} \Delta f (\partial_i \Delta) U \]  

(4.11)

and

\[ x^i D_i \phi \rightarrow \frac{1}{x^2} \left( \phi_0 w_i \bar{w}^\alpha + w_i \bar{w}^\alpha \phi_0 - 2 w_i \varphi \bar{w}^\alpha \right) \]  

(4.12)

leading to the potential

\[ V = \frac{2\pi^2}{g^2} \text{tr} \left( \bar{w}^\alpha \phi_0^2 w_i - \bar{w}^\alpha \phi_0 w_i \varphi \right) \]  

(4.13)

5 Calabi metric from ADHM constraints

Let us now consider \( k = 1 \) of \( \text{U}(N) \) noncommutative instanton problem. Since the center-of-mass part of the metric decouples, we shall set \( X_i = 0 \) and consider only the relative part. Our starting point is the flat metric on \( \mathbb{H}^N = \mathbb{R}^{4N} \). We map \( 4N \) real coordinates \( y_u^i (u = 1, \cdots, N) \) into \( N \) quaternionic coordinates

\[ y_u = y_u^i q_i \]  

(5.1)

using the quaternion basis \( (A.4) \). The flat metric on \( \mathbb{H}^N \) can be expressed as

\[ ds^2 = \sum_{u=1}^N dy_u d\bar{y}_u \]  

(5.2)
Here we suppress the overall coefficient of this metric, which is given by \( \frac{k}{R} = 4\pi^2 \), with \( k = 1 \).

By introducing the Hopf map \( \mathbb{H}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3N} \),

\[
y_u q_3 y_u = 4x_u^I q_I
\]

the above flat metric takes the form [24]

\[
\sum_{u=1}^{N} \left( C_u dx_u^2 + C_u^{-1} d^2 \psi_u \right)
\]

Here \( \vec{x}_u \) refers to \( x_u^I \) and

\[
C_u = \frac{1}{x_u}
\]

with \( x_u = \sqrt{x_u^2} \). Associated with the circle-fiber over \( \mathbb{R}^{3N} \) we define

\[
\sigma_{\psi_u} = d\psi_u + A_u
\]

The \( 4\pi \)-periodic angles \( \psi_u \) are defined from the quaternions by

\[
y_u = a_u \exp \left( q_3 \frac{\psi_u}{2} \right)
\]

with \( \bar{a}_u = -a_u \) purely imaginary. The vector potentials \( A_u \) are related to the functions \( C_u \) as

\[
* dA_u = dC_u
\]

If we parametrize

\[
\vec{x}_u = x_u (\sin \theta_u \cos \phi_u, \sin \theta_u \sin \phi_u, \cos \theta_u)
\]

where the coordinates \((\theta_u, \phi_u)\) are the usual polar coordinates on \( S^2 \) base manifold, then we have

\[
A_u = (1 + \cos \theta_u) d\phi_u
\]

In the original Cartesian coordinates we find that

\[
A_u = \frac{1}{x_u \left( x_u - x_u^3 \right)} \left( x_u^1 dx_u^2 - x_u^2 dx_u^1 \right)
\]

We present a derivation of this form of the flat metric in the appendix B.

The ADHM constraints (4.5) are expressed in terms of \( w_{u\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}^{2N} \). Therefore we wish to have a map \( \mathbb{H}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2N} \). In the \( 2 \times 2 \) realization of quaternions we find that

\[
\tilde{y}_u = y_u^I
\]

\[^4\text{Our convention for the Lagrangian for the SUSY quantum mechanics is given in (3.1) and this fixes the normalization of the moduli space metric } g_{rs}.\]
and

\[ y_u = \begin{pmatrix} w_{u1} & -\bar{w}^2_u \\ w_{u2} & \bar{w}^1_u \end{pmatrix} \]  

(5.13)

where we define

\[
\begin{align*}
  w_{u1} &= y_{u4} - iy_{u3} \\
  w_{u2} &= y_{u2} - iy_{u1} \\
  \bar{w}^1_u &= y_{u4} + iy_{u3} \\
  \bar{w}^2_u &= y_{u2} + iy_{u1}
\end{align*}
\]  

(5.14)

This now defines a map \( \mathbb{H}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2N} \).

The ADHM constraints

\[
\sum_u w_{u\alpha}(\sigma_I)^\alpha_{\beta} \bar{w}^\beta_u = 4\zeta_I
\]  

(5.15)

can now be written as

\[
\sum_u y_u q_3 \bar{y}_u = 4\zeta_I q_I
\]  

(5.16)

and can be solved as

\[
\vec{x}_N = -\sum_{A=1}^{N-1} \vec{x}_A + \zeta \hat{e}_3
\]  

(5.17)

Our indices range as \( u, v, \ldots = 1, \ldots, N \) and \( A, B, \ldots = 1, \ldots, N - 1 \) respectively, and \( \hat{e}_3 = (0, 0, 1) \). We insert this into (5.4) to eliminate \( \vec{x}_N \). Furthermore we have the U(1) symmetry

\[
y_u \rightarrow y_u \exp(q_3 t)
\]  

(5.18)

which acts as a translation of the angles

\[
\psi_u \rightarrow \psi_u + 2t
\]  

(5.19)

Since we shall mod out this \( U(1) \) symmetry, we introduce \( U(1) \) invariant angles

\[
\varphi_A = \psi_A - \psi_N
\]  

(5.20)

and define corresponding one-forms

\[
\sigma_{\varphi_A} = d\varphi_A + A_A - A_N
\]  

(5.21)

As an intermediate step in obtaining the metric, we compute

\[
\sum_u \frac{1}{x_u} d\vec{x}^2_u = \sum_A \frac{1}{x_A} d\vec{x}^2_A + \sum_{A,B} \frac{1}{x_N} d\vec{x}_A \cdot d\vec{x}_B
\]
\[ \sum_{u} x_u \sigma_u^2 = \sum_A x_A \left( \sigma_A^2 - \frac{1}{L} (x_A \sigma_A)^2 \right) \]
\[ + L \left( \sigma_{\psi} + \frac{1}{L} x_A \sigma_A \right)^2 \]  
(5.22)

where we define
\[ L = \sum_{u} x_u \]  
(5.23)

We now mod out by the U(1) gauge symmetry by putting the momentum conjugate to \( \psi_N \) to zero. This kills the last term. The resulting metric is the Calabi space metric [17, 26, 25, 23]
\[ ds^2 = C_{AB} d\vec{x}_A \cdot d\vec{x}_B + C^{-1}_{AB} \sigma_A \sigma_B \]  
(5.24)

where
\[ C_{AB} = \delta_{AB} + \frac{1}{x_A x_N} \]
\[ C^{-1}_{AB} = x_A \delta_{AB} - \frac{1}{L} x_A x_B \]  
(5.25)

6 The potential for the Calabi space

We take the scalar vev
\[ \phi_0 = \text{diag}[v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_N] \]  
(6.1)

For the \( k = 1 \) case, the scalar data \( \varphi \) is given by
\[ \varphi = \frac{\bar{w}^\alpha \phi_0 \omega_\alpha}{\bar{w}^\alpha w_\alpha} \]  
(6.2)

Then the potential becomes
\[ V = \frac{2\pi^2}{g^2} \left( \frac{\bar{w}^\alpha \phi_0^2 w_\alpha}{\bar{w}^\alpha w_\alpha} - \frac{(\bar{w}^\alpha \phi_0 \omega_\alpha)^2}{\bar{w}^\alpha w_\alpha} \right) \]  
(6.3)

Noting
\[ \bar{w}^\alpha w_\alpha = 4L \]  
(6.4)

which follows from (5.23) together with the usual relation between the radii of spheres, \( 4x_u = w_{u\alpha} \bar{w}^\alpha u \) in the Hopf map \( S^3 \to S^2 \), this is evaluated as
\[ V = \frac{2\pi^2}{g^2} 4 \left( \sum_{u=1}^N x_u v_u^2 - \frac{1}{L} \left( \sum_{u=1}^N x_u v_u \right)^2 \right) \]  
(6.5)

One may rewrite this as
\[ V = \frac{2\pi^2}{g^2} \frac{4}{L} \sum_{u<v} x_u x_v (v_u - v_v)^2 = \frac{2\pi^2}{g^2} C^{AB} 2(v_A - v_N)^2 (v_B - v_N) \]  
(6.6)
Hence one can see that each Killing direction $\varphi_A$ is weighted by $2(v_A - v_N)$, which corresponds to an F-string (W-boson) connecting $D4_N$ to $D4_A$. When $v_u - v_v \neq 0$ for any $u \neq v$, the U(N) gauge symmetry is maximally broken down to U(1)$^N$. For this case, one finds that the potential is non degenerate near any zeroes of the potential and receives the nontrivial quadratic contributions. Finally the corresponding Killing vector $G$ can be identified as

$$G = \sum_{A}^{N-1} 2(v_A - v_N) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_A}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.7)

The electric charge $Q_A$ is defined by

$$Q_A = -2i \mathcal{L}_{\partial \varphi_A} \in \mathbb{Z}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.8)

while $Q_N = -\sum A Q_A$ due to the overall U(1) invariance of the Calabi metric.

7 Localization to $\mathbb{R}^{4(N-1)}$ and counting of 1/4-BPS states

We now come to the central part of this paper. We will count the number of 1/4-BPS states for U(N) gauge group in the sector with instanton number $k = 1$. In subsequent sections we will also classify these states according to their representations of the unbroken global symmetry group $G = SU(2) \times SO(4)$ (this symmetry group will be explained in great detail in subsequent sections. Let us for now only mention that $G$ corresponds to unbroken Lorentz times R-symmetries) of the underlying 5d SYM theory. We have not succeeded to find exact solutions to the relevant 1/4-BPS equation (3.21), not even for the simplest case when $N = 2$. (A vev when $N = 1$ has no significance so there would be no 1/4-BPS states in that case.) Instead we will make use of the index (1.12) to count the number of 1/4-BPS states. A detailed account of this index can be found in Ref. [22]. The index is invariant under the rescaling (1.11), which allows us to localize to points of minima of the potential where the potential is that of an $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supersymmetric harmonic oscillator, and the moduli space metric is locally flat and on the form $\mathbb{R}^{4(N-1)}$. Furthermore, it will be sufficient to solve this BPS equation in $\mathbb{R}^4$ (corresponding to taking $N = 2$) due to a factorization property of the harmonic oscillator. This BPS equation and its solutions have been obtained in [22] by viewing $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{C}^2$. However for our purpose of classifying these BPS states according to their representations of $G$ we find it more convenient to obtain these solutions in a vielbein basis which is constructed out of the Maurer-Cartan forms on $S^3 = SU(2)$ and hence our view is that $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{R}_+ \times S^3$. We present this BPS equation along with detailed steps on how to obtain its solutions in appendix D.

Let us now describe how the Calabi metric near any of the minima of the
potential becomes flat $\mathbb{R}^{4(N-1)}$. The Calabi metric can be expressed as

$$ds^2 = \sum_{u=1}^{N} \frac{1}{x_u} dx_u^2 + \frac{1}{x_1 + \cdots + x_N} \sum_{u \geq v=1}^{N} x_u x_v (\sigma_u - \sigma_v)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.1)

where we define

$$\sigma_N = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.2)

and we assume that

$$\vec{x}_1 + \cdots + \vec{x}_N = \vec{\zeta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.3)

The central charge is given by

$$G = \sum_{u=1}^{N} v^u N Q_u$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.4)

Minima of the potential are uniquely characterized by specifying a D4 brane $u_0$ that we refer to as deleted location (see also [23]). We thus specify $u_0 = 1, \cdots, N$ and take $x_{u_0} = \zeta$ while all other $x_u = 0$ ($u \neq u_0$). The metric near the minimum with a deleted location at $u_0$ is given by

$$ds^2 = \sum_{u \neq u_0} \frac{1}{x_u} dx_u^2 + \sum_{u \neq u_0} x_u (\sigma_u - \sigma_{u_0})^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.5)

This metric is flat and describes the space $\mathbb{R}^{4(N-1)}$. We identify this part of moduli space with space of $N-1$ out of $N$ D4 branes as illustrated in Figure 1.

The $U(1)^{N-1}$ angles $\varphi_u$, where we define $\varphi_N = 0$, sit in the metric as

$$\sum_{u=1}^{N} (d\varphi_u - d\varphi_{u_0})^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.6)

which motivates us to define local $\tilde{U}(1)^{N-1}$ angles

$$\tilde{\varphi}_u = \varphi_u - \varphi_{u_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.7)
where apparently \( \tilde{\varphi}_{u_0} = 0 \). The associated charges are related as

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_u &= \tilde{Q}_u, \quad u \neq u_0 \\
Q_{u_0} &= -\sum_{u \neq u_0} \tilde{Q}_u
\end{align*}
\] (7.8)

The relation (viewed as a map from \( N - 1 \) charges into \( N - 1 \) charges) can be inverted as

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{Q}_A &= Q_A, \quad A \neq u_0 \\
\tilde{Q}_N &= -\sum_{A=1}^{N-1} Q_A
\end{align*}
\] (7.9)

for \( u_0 = 1, \cdots, N - 1 \). If \( u_0 = N \), we have

\[
\tilde{Q}_A = Q_A
\] (7.10)

The central charge can be expressed as

\[
G = \sum_{u=1}^{N} (u^n - v^{u_0}) \tilde{Q}_u
\] (7.11)

in terms of local charges.

In Ref. [22] was obtained the number of 1/4-BPS states. We present another derivation of this result as well as further details on representations of these states in section 9.2. These studies show that as factorized 4d superharmonic oscillators, labeled by \( u \neq u_0 \), one has the following number of BPS states at each such \( u \):

\[
n_u = \begin{cases} 
4|Q_u| & \text{if } (v^u - v^{u_0}) Q_u > 0 \\
1 & \text{if } v^u - v^{u_0} \neq 0 \text{ and } Q_u = 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

and the total number of 1/4-BPS states is given by the product

\[
n = \prod_{u \neq u_0} n_u
\] (7.12)

We are interested in the case of one connected F-string stretching from D4\(_u\) to D4\(_v\) (which we denote by F\(_{uv}\)) where \( 1 \leq u < v \leq N \) and we may order the branes such that \(^5\)

\[
v_1 > v_2 > \cdots > v_N
\] (7.13)

Such a string is associated with charges

\[
q_a = 1 \quad (a = u, \cdots, v - 1)
\]

\(^5\)This ordering of vev is always possible by utilizing a group element of the U(N) gauge symmetry which is a permutation.
Figure 2: Various configurations of F-strings stretched between D4 branes and corresponding charges are illustrated for \( N = 3 \).

\[
q_a = 0 \quad \text{otherwise} \quad (7.14)
\]

The charge assignment of \( Q_u \) can be understood from the caloron picture. An alternative derivation of the Calabi metric starting from the caloron dynamics is presented in Appendix C and, there, the relation between \( Q_u \) and the string charges \( q_a \) is explained in detail. We present here simply the result: the charges are related by

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_1 &= q_1 - q_N \\
Q_2 &= q_2 - q_1 \\
&\vdots \\
Q_N &= q_N - q_{N-1}
\end{align*}
\]

(7.15)

where only \( N-1 \) of these charges are independent due to the constraint \( \sum_u Q_u = 0 \). The \( q_A \) counts the number of \( \text{F}1_{A+1,A} \)-strings. \( q_N \) vanishes in the compactification limit of the caloron configurations.

In our case of an \( \text{F}_{uv} \)-string stretched between \( \text{D}4_u \) and \( \text{D}4_v \), we now find that

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_u &= 1 \\
Q_v &= -1
\end{align*}
\]

(7.16)

and \( Q_w = 0 \) for \( w \neq u, v \). These charge assignments are illustrated in Figure 2.

We now map this to charges as seen by the local flat metric near the minima. Let us first assume that \( u = 1 \) and \( v = N \). Then we have \( Q_1 = 1, Q_N = -1 \) and all the other charges are zero. Then

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{Q}_1 &= 1 \\
\tilde{Q}_N &= -1
\end{align*}
\]

(7.17)

if \( u_0 \neq \{1, N\} \). If \( u_0 = 1 \) then \( \tilde{Q}_1 \) is not defined and the only nonvanishing charge is \( \tilde{Q}_N = Q_N = -1 \). If \( u_0 = N \) then \( \tilde{Q}_N \) drops out and the only nonvanishing charge is \( \tilde{Q}_1 = 1 \). If \( u_0 = 1 \) then the central charge is

\[
G = (v^N - v^1) \tilde{Q}_N
\]

(7.18)
which is positive so this yields 4 BPS states. If \( u_0 = N \) then the central charge is

\[
G = (v^1 - v^N) \bar{Q}_1
\]  

(7.19)

which is positive, so this yields 4 BPS states. Let us now take \( u_0 = 2, \cdots, N-1 \). Then the central charge is

\[
G = (v^1 - v^{u_0}) \bar{Q}_1 + (v^N - v^{u_0}) \bar{Q}_N
\]  

(7.20)

Both terms are positive so we find \( 4 \times 4 = 16 \) BPS states.

Let us now assume that \( 1 < u < v < N \). Then \( q_u = \cdots = q_{v-1} = 0 \) and the rest is vanishing. Then

\[
Q_u = 1 \\
Q_v = -1
\]  

(7.21)

For \( u_0 \neq u, v \) and we get

\[
\bar{Q}_u = 1 \\
\bar{Q}_v = -1
\]  

(7.22)

and all other charges are zero, including \( \bar{Q}_N \). If \( u_0 \) is not at the boundary of the F-string, then the central charge is

\[
G = (v^u - v^{u_0}) \bar{Q}_u + (v^v - v^{u_0}) \bar{Q}_v
\]  

(7.23)

This is positive if \( u < u_0 < v \). Otherwise the two terms have opposite sign and we get no BPS states, unless \( u_0 \) is at the one boundary, say \( u_0 = u \) and then \( \bar{Q}_u \) gets absent while we get \( \bar{Q}_N = 0 \) and so the only non-vanishing charge is \( \bar{Q}_v = -1 \). The central charge is

\[
G = (v^v - v^u) \bar{Q}_v
\]  

(7.24)

and this is positive and so we find 4 BPS states. For the other boundary, \( u_0 = v \) we find \( \bar{Q}_u = 1 \) as the only non-vanishing charge. The central charge is

\[
G = (v^u - v^v) \bar{Q}_u
\]  

(7.25)

which is again positive and so we find 4 BPS states.

Let us summarize our findings. If an \( F_{1,N} \)-string is connected from \( D4_N \) to \( D4_1 \), the number of BPS states becomes

\[
4 \times 2 + 16 \times (N - 2)
\]  

(7.26)

where 8 comes from the two boundary deleted locations whereas the \( 16(N - 2) \) comes from the contributions of the internal deleted locations. If an \( F_{uv} \)-string is connecting \( D4_u \) to \( D4_v \) with \( u < v \), the number of BPS states is

\[
4 \times 2 + 16 \times (v - u - 1)
\]  

(7.27)
Figure 3: An $F_{13}$-string connecting $D_{41}$ to $D_{43}$ with three deleted locations is illustrated.

where $4 \times 2$ comes from the deleted locations at the boundaries $D_{4u}$ or $D_{4v}$, and $16 \times (v - u - 1)$ comes from the internal deleted locations. If the deleted locations are located at $D_4$ branes outside $F_{uv}$-string, one does not have any BPS states.

We have dyonic instantons which correspond to $F_{uv}$-strings with deleted locations at either one of the two boundary $D_4$ branes of the string. There are

$$2 \times 4 \frac{N(N - 1)}{2}$$

such dyonic instanton states. We also have dyonic instantons which correspond to $F_{uv}$-strings with their deleted location at an internal $D_4$ brane. The number of such states is

$$4 \times 4 \frac{N(N - 1)(N - 2)}{6}$$

8 The one-instanton partition function

In the brane picture we have $N$ separated $D_4$ branes with separations

$$v_{uv} = v_u - v_v$$

where again $v_1 > v_2 > \cdots > v_N$. We select an index $u_0 = 1, \cdots, N$ and a corresponding brane $D_{4u_0}$. This brane is distinguished by that no 1/4-BPS states can be located at this brane irrespectively how the F-strings are being stretched. The instanton partition function is given by the sum over deleted locations

$$Z_N = \sum_{u_0=1}^{N} Z_{u_0,N}$$

Assume that we have an $F_{uv}$-string that stretches between $D_{4u}$ and $D_{4v}$. A deleted location $u_0$ can be classified into three types: internal if $u < u_0 < v$, boundary if $w = u$ or $w = v$, and exterior otherwise. In Figure 3 we illustrate two boundary deleted locations and one internal deleted location for an $F_{13}$-string connecting $D_{41}$ to $D_{43}$.

We will proceed by induction. When $N = 2$ we have two boundary deleted locations only. From any one of these boundary deleted locations we have the
contribution

\[ Z_2 = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 4ne^{-\beta n v_{12}} = \coth^2 \frac{\beta v_{12}}{2} \tag{8.3} \]

Here \( n \) counts the number of F-strings stretching between D3\(_1\) and D3\(_2\). In the exponent we have the central charge or the BPS energy times a parameter \( \beta \). These strings are BPS and the energies add up so that \( n \) F-strings have the energy \( n v_{12} \). The degeneracy of a state of energy \( n v_{12} \) is 4 if the charge given by \( Q_1 = q_1 - q_2 = n - 0 \) is positive. If the charge vanishes, \( Q_1 = 0 \), we have instead degeneracy 1 and we have \( n = 0 \) and energy \( E_{n=0} = 0 \). This state gives the contribution 1 to the instanton partition function \( Z_2 \).

For general \( N \), the central charge is

\[ G = \sum_{A=1}^{N-1} v_{AN} Q_A = \sum_u v_{uu_0} \tilde{Q}_u \tag{8.4} \]

The state is BPS only if

\[ v_{uu_0} \tilde{Q}_u \geq 0 \tag{8.5} \]

for each \( u \). Since \( v_{uu_0} > 0 \) for \( u = 1, \cdots, u_0 - 1 \) and \( v_{uu_0} < 0 \) for \( u = u_0 + 1, \cdots, N \), this condition is equivalent with

\[ 0 \leq q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \cdots \leq q_{u_0 - 1} \]
\[ q_{u_0} \geq q_{u_0 + 1} \geq \cdots \geq q_N = 0 \tag{8.6} \]

We illustrate this BPS condition in Figure 4.

Let us assume that \( N = 3 \). For the various possible deleted locations at \( u_0 = 1, 2, 3 \) respectively, we find the potential is given by

\[
G(u_0 = 1) = v_{21} \tilde{Q}_2 + v_{31} \tilde{Q}_3 \\
G(u_0 = 2) = v_{12} \tilde{Q}_1 + v_{32} \tilde{Q}_3 \\
G(u_0 = 3) = v_{13} \tilde{Q}_1 + v_{23} \tilde{Q}_2 \tag{8.7}
\]

We are only interested in BPS states. For \( u_0 = 1 \) this means

\[ \tilde{Q}_2 = -m \]
\[\tilde{Q}_3 = -n \quad (8.8)\]

For \(u_0 = 2\) this means
\[\begin{align*}
\tilde{Q}_1 &= m \\
\tilde{Q}_3 &= -n
\end{align*} \quad (8.9)\]

and for \(u_0 = 3\) this means
\[\begin{align*}
\tilde{Q}_1 &= m \\
\tilde{Q}_2 &= n
\end{align*} \quad (8.10)\]

Here \(m, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\). The partition function is
\[\text{coth}^2 \frac{\beta v_{12}}{2} \text{coth}^2 \frac{\beta v_{13}}{2} + \text{coth}^2 \frac{\beta v_{12}}{2} \text{coth}^2 \frac{\beta v_{23}}{2} + \text{coth}^2 \frac{\beta v_{13}}{2} \text{coth}^2 \frac{\beta v_{23}}{2} \quad (8.11)\]

where each term corresponds to \(u_0 = 1, 2, 3\) respectively. To see this, we use that for any given deleted location, if \(m = n = 0\) we have one state. For \(m = 0\) and \(n > 0\) we have \(1 \times 4n\) states. For \(m > 0\) and \(n = 0\) we have \(4m \times 1\) states. For \(m > 0\) and \(n > 0\) we have \(4m \times 4n\) states.

To obtain the partition function of higher \(N\), let us first assume the deleted location is on the first brane \(u_0 = 1\) and let us denote the partition function at \(N\) by \(Z^1_N\). Then add an \((N+1)\)-th brane at \(v_{N+1}\). The corresponding partition function will then become
\[Z^1_{N+1} = Z^1_N \text{coth}^2 \frac{\beta v_{1, N+1}}{2} \quad (8.12)\]

We also know that for \(N = 2\) we have
\[Z^1_2 = \text{coth}^2 \frac{\beta v_{12}}{2} \quad (8.13)\]

The recursion relation can now be solved with this boundary condition as
\[Z^1_N = \prod_{u=2}^{N} \text{coth}^2 \frac{\beta v_{1, u}}{2} \quad (8.14)\]

By reflection symmetry we also deduce that if the delocation point is \(u_0 = N\), then
\[Z^N_N = \prod_{u=1}^{N-1} \text{coth}^2 \frac{\beta v_{u, N}}{2} \quad (8.15)\]

We proceed by induction to compute \(Z^1_{N+1}\). Let us assume the partition function for \(N\) is known and given by
\[Z_N = \sum_{u_0=1}^{N} Z^2_{u_0} \quad (8.16)\]
By adding an \((N + 1)-th\) brane at \(v_{N+1}\), we find that
\[
Z_{N+1}^{u_0} = Z_{N}^{u_0} \coth^2 \frac{\beta v_{u_0,N+1}}{2}
\] (8.17)
for \(u_0 = 1, \cdots, N\). If the deleted location is placed on the brane \(u_0 = N+1\) we get the contribution
\[
\prod_{v=1}^{N} \coth^2 \frac{\beta v_{v,N+1}}{2}
\] (8.18)
In summary we find
\[
Z_{N+1} = \sum_{u_0=1}^{N} Z_{N}^{u_0} \coth^2 \frac{\beta v_{u_0,N+1}}{2} + \prod_{v=1}^{N} \coth^2 \frac{\beta v_{v,N+1}}{2}
\] (8.19)
This recursion relation with given boundary condition is uniquely solved by
\[
Z_{N} = \sum_{u=1}^{N} \prod_{v \neq u} \coth^2 \frac{\beta v_{uv}}{2}
\] (8.20)
Let us now compare this to the result that was obtained in [18]. In this reference a generalized Witten index was computed. By specializing this to the one-instanton \(k = 1\) sector and by choosing parameters appropriately\(^6\), we can descend to the quantity
\[
\text{tr}_{k=1,1/4\text{-BPS states}} \exp \left( -\beta (H - v_u Q_u) - \mu_u Q_u \right)
\] (8.21)
We can furthermore bring this into a partiton function over 1/4-BPS states, \(\text{tr}_{k=1,1/4\text{BPS}} \exp (-\beta H)\), by taking
\[
\mu_u = \beta v_u
\] (8.22)
which leads to a perfect match with our partition function \(Z_{N}\).

Let us comment that the two methods to compute this partition function is very different. While we rely on moduli space of dyonic instantons, Ref. [18] makes no use of this moduli space.

We can consider a refined index where the spin content of the 1/4-BPS states is taken into account by including chemical potentials. We compute the refined index in section 10 but before that we need to understand the spin content of our 1/4-BPS states.

### 9 Spin and R-symmetry representations

We will now obtain the \(SU(2)_+ \times SO(4)\) representations of the 1/4-BPS states we have constructed as \(p\)-forms on moduli space. Since the moduli space is on the form of (3.2) we can study this problem for each factor of moduli space separately.

\(^6\)As explained in [18] this amounts in the notations of this reference to taking \(\gamma_2 = \pi\) in order to cancel the \((-1)^F\) factor in their index. Also we shall take \(\gamma_R = 0\).
9.1 Center of mass \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) part of moduli space

We let \( \delta_r A_i \) denote bosonic zero modes where \( r = 1, \ldots, \dim \mathcal{M}_N \) is a curved index on the one-instanton moduli space \( \mathcal{M}_N \) and \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \) is a spatial index of 5d SYM. We can then express the fermionic zero modes \( \chi \) as

\[
\chi = \delta_r A_i \Gamma_i \mathcal{E}_+ \psi^r
\]

where \( \psi^r \) are 2-component Majorana spinors. Since these correspond to broken supersymmetries, we take

\[
\Gamma_4 \chi = -\chi \\
\Gamma_4 \mathcal{E}_+ = \mathcal{E}_+
\]

Here \( \mathcal{E}_+ \) is a commuting spinor. We represent the 5d MSYM gamma matrices relevant for us as

\[
\Gamma_i = \gamma_i \otimes 1 \\
\Gamma_{\hat{A}} = \gamma(4) \otimes \gamma_{\hat{A}}
\]

These can be used to construct generators of \( SU(2)_+ \times SO(5) \) rotational times R-symmetry. Here \( \gamma(4) = \gamma_{1234} \). We reserve the 5th index for the M-theory circle. Our R symmetry indices range over \( \hat{A} = 6789(10) \). From our realization we see that \( \Gamma_4 = \gamma(4) \otimes 1 \). We may then write out all 2-component spinor indices

\[
\chi^\beta \gamma'' = \delta_r A_i q_{i\alpha\beta} \mathcal{E}^\beta \psi^r \gamma''
\]

Here \( \beta \) and \( \gamma'' \) are 2-component indices such that \( \beta' \gamma'' \) is a 4-component spinor label of an \( SO(5) \) R symmetry spinor, \( \psi^r \gamma'' \) is a 2-component Majorana spinor.

Let us first consider the \( SU(2)_+ \) rotation

\[
\delta \chi = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^+_{ij} \Gamma_{ij} \chi
\]

This amounts to

\[
\delta \chi_\alpha = \frac{i}{4} \epsilon^+_{ij} \eta^I_{ij} (\sigma^I)_\alpha^\beta \chi_\beta
\]

We next note that

\[
\frac{1}{4} \epsilon^+_{ij} \eta^I_{ij} (\sigma^I)_\alpha^\beta q_{k\beta\gamma} \mathcal{E}^\gamma_+ = -\epsilon^+_{kj} q_{j\alpha\beta} \mathcal{E}^\beta_+
\]

as a consequence of the gamma matrix identity \( \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{ij} [\gamma_{ij}, \gamma_k] = -\epsilon_{kj} \gamma_j \). This means that we can write

\[
\delta \chi_\alpha = -\delta_r A_i \epsilon^+_{ij} q_{j\alpha\beta} \mathcal{E}^\beta_+ \psi^r
\]

We next expand

\[
\epsilon^+_{ij} = \epsilon^+ \eta^I_{ij}
\]
and we use the identity
\[ \delta_r A_i \eta_{ij}^+ = -\delta_s A_j (J^{+I})_r^s \]  
(9.10)
where we define
\[ (J^{I\pm})_{rs} = \int \text{tr} (\delta_r A_i \delta_s A_j) \eta_{ij}^{I\pm} \]  
(9.11)
Using the completeness relation of modes [28] it can be shown that \( J^{I\pm} \) obey the same algebra as \( \eta_{ij}^{I\pm} \). We now find the following \( SU(2)_+ \) action on the Fermi zero modes
\[ \delta \psi^r = \epsilon^+(J^{I+})^r_s \psi^s \]  
(9.12)
A subgroup of \( SO(4) \) R symmetry\(^7\) is the \( SU(2)_- \) generated by three complex structures. By the same analysis as for \( SU(2)_+ \) we find that \( SU(2)_- \) acts as
\[ \delta \psi^r = \epsilon^-(J^{I-})^r_s \psi^s \]  
(9.13)
Since \( SU(2)_- \) commutes with \( SU(2)_+ \) we shall associate \( J^{I-} \) with \( SU(2)_- \). We can also understand the appearance of \( J^{I-} \) for the \( SU(2)_- \) symmetry by studying how supersymmetry is induced from 5d MSYM. We have the following supersymmetry variation of the gauge potential,
\[ \delta A_i = i \bar{\omega} \sigma_1 \chi \]  
(9.14)
In the moduli approximation we may put
\[ \delta A_i = \delta X^r \delta_r A_i \]  
(9.15)
by including a gauge variation. We then act by \( \int d^4x \text{tr} \delta_s A_i \), expand the zero mode \( \chi \) in collective coordinates \( \psi^r \), and we get
\[ \delta X^r = i \bar{\epsilon} \psi^r + i \bar{\epsilon} (J^{I-})^r_s \psi^s \]  
(9.16)
Here \( \bar{\epsilon} = \bar{\omega} \sigma_1 \mathcal{E}_+ \) and \( \bar{\epsilon}^l = \bar{\omega} \sigma^l \otimes \sigma_1 \mathcal{E}_+ \). By the well-established theory of the \( N = 8 \) (four complex supercharges) sigma model, we can now reliably identify \( J^{I-} \) as the generator of \( SU(2)_- \) subgroup of the \( SO(4) \) R symmetry generated by the three complex structures on moduli space.

In order to map spinors to forms, we first define complex spinors
\[ \xi^r = \psi_1^r - i \psi_2^r \]  
(9.17)
We then map
\[ \xi^r \simeq dX^r \]  
(9.18)
\(^7\)The original \( SO(5) \) R symmetry is broken to \( SO(4) \) by the vev.
For the overall $\mathbb{R}^4$ part of the moduli space on which lives one-forms $dX_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, 4$), we further define complex one-forms
\[
\begin{align*}
  dw_1 &= dX_4 - idX_3 \\
  dw_2 &= dX_2 - idX_1
\end{align*}
\]
(9.19)
The generators are realized as follows. The $SU(2)_+ \ L$ generators act on $dX_i$ as
\[
(J^{I+})_{ij} dX_j = -\frac{i}{2} \eta_{ij}^I dX_j
\]
(9.20)
The $SU(2)_- \ R$ generators act as
\[
(J^{I-})_{ij} dX_j = -\frac{i}{2} \eta_{ij}^I dX_j
\]
(9.21)
We can establish this by noting that for the overall $\mathbb{R}^4$ part of the moduli space, the zero modes are
\[
\delta_j A_i = F_{ji}
\]
(9.22)
From this, we find that $J^{I \pm} \sim \eta_{ij}^I$. It is important to note that $J^{-}$ may be identified with the subset $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{IJK} R_{IK}$ of the R symmetry generators (3.6). This means that we must have the vector embedding of $SU(2)_- \simeq SO(3)$ into $SO(5)$. In terms of complex coordinates we have
\[
\begin{align*}
  J^{3+} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
    dw_1 \\
    dw_2 \\
    d\bar{w}_1 \\
    d\bar{w}_2
  \end{pmatrix}, \\
  J^{3-} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
    -dw_1 \\
    -dw_2 \\
    d\bar{w}_1 \\
    d\bar{w}_2
  \end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]
(9.23)
To find the third Cartan generator we change the sign when acting on the last two entries, compared to how $J^{3-}$ acts, so that
\[
K^3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
    dw_1 \\
    -dw_2 \\
    d\bar{w}_1 \\
    -d\bar{w}_2
  \end{pmatrix}
\]
(9.24)
We can verify that this gives a consistent embedding of $SU(2)_-$ in $SO(4) \ R$ symmetry by extending this construction to the other generators $J^{I-}$ and construct corresponding generators $K^I$ by again changing the sign when they act on the two last entries. This way we find that $R^I \pm \ = \frac{1}{2} \ (J^{I-} \pm \ K^I)$ generate $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ which is consistent with the fact that $J^{I-}$ define a vector embedding in $SO(4)$.

Given this, we build a multiplet of states
\[
\begin{align*}
  &1 \\
  &dw_1 dw_2 \\
  &d\bar{w}_1 d\bar{w}_2 \\
  &dw_1 d\bar{w}_2 \\
  &d\bar{w}_1 d\bar{w}_2
\end{align*}
\]
(9.25)
with corresponding weights of Cartan generators \((J^3^+,J^3^-,K^3)\)

\[
\begin{align*}
(\frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}) & \quad (0,0,0) \\
(1,0,0) & \quad (0,\pm 1,0) \\
(-\frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}) & \quad (\frac{1}{2}, \mp \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}) \\
(-\frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}) & \quad (0,0,0) \\
\end{align*}
\]

We then recognize that these states fill up a 16-dimensional massive instanton-particle multiplet

\[
(3; 1, 1) \oplus (1; 2, 2) \oplus (1; 1, 1) \oplus (2; 2, 1) \oplus (2; 1, 2)
\]

(9.27)

of the \(SU(2)_+ \times SO(4)\). Here we label the representations of \(SO(4)\) by the dimensions of \(SO(4) = SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R\) whose Cartans are

\[
R^3^\pm = \frac{1}{2} (J^3^- \pm K^3)
\]

(9.28)

It should be noted that \(SU(2)_-\) which is generated by \(J^I^+ = R^I^+ + R^I^-\) is the diagonal subgroup of \(SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R\).

But for the charged instanton this is not the full story as the dyonic instanton-particle also carries internal degrees of freedom whose spin quantum numbers we will obtain in the next section.

### 9.2 Relative part of moduli space – localization to \(\mathbb{R}^4\) and classification of BPS states

In this section, we shall describe the localization of states to the flat space, \(\mathbb{R}^4\), starting from the Eguchi-Hanson space. Since the index is essentially invariant under the scaling of the potential and the corresponding central charge is basically determined by charges, we may compute the 1/4 BPS free energy exactly by taking the limit where the vacuum expectation value (vev) of the scalar field becomes large. In this limit the states of the system are localized around the zeroes (minima) of the potential. We have shown that, at each localization point, the space becomes \(\mathbb{R}^{4(N-1)}\). At each copy of \(\mathbb{R}^4\), the system is described by the \(\mathcal{N} = 8\) supersymmetric quantum mechanics of 4d harmonic oscillators. Below we investigate multiplet structures of this \(\mathcal{N} = 8\) quantum mechanics focusing on its BPS sectors.

As we show in appendix E, the Calabi metric when \(N = 2\), is equivalent with the Eguchi-Hanson metric

\[
ds^2 = \alpha^2 \left[ \frac{d\rho^2}{K^2} + \frac{\rho^2}{4} \left( d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 + K^2 (d\psi + \cos \theta d\phi)^2 \right) \right] \]

\[
= \alpha^2 \left[ \frac{d\rho^2}{K^2} + \frac{\rho^2}{4} \left( \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + K^2 \sigma_3^2 \right) \right] \quad (9.29)
\]
by a coordinate transformation. Here \( K^2 = 1 - \frac{4\zeta^2}{\rho^2} \), the overall coefficient is 
\[ \alpha^2 = 2 \times \frac{4\pi^2}{g^2} \] and

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_1 + i\sigma_2 &= e^{i\psi} (id\theta + \sin \theta d\phi) \\
\sigma_3 &= d\psi + \cos \theta d\phi \quad (9.30)
\end{align*}
\]

where the coordinate ranges are \( \phi \in [0, 2\pi] \) and \( \psi \in [0, 2\pi] \), such that in the limit \( \zeta \to 0 \) the Eguchi-Hanson space degenerates to the orbifold \( \mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2 \). In particular the Calabi space fiber coordinate \( \phi = 2\phi \) is ranged in \([0, 4\pi]\). From the form of the Killing vector in Eq (6.7), which in our case of \( N = 2 \) reduces to

\[
G = v \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \quad (9.31)
\]

where \( v = v_1 - v_2 \), we see that the potential takes the form

\[
V = \frac{1}{2} g_{rs} G^r G^s = \frac{1}{2} g_{\phi\phi} v^2 = \frac{1}{8} \alpha^2 v^2 \left( \rho^2 - \frac{4\zeta^2}{\rho^2} \cos^2 \theta \right) \quad (9.32)
\]

The localization occurs at the points where \( V \) becomes zero, and there are two localization points for the case of \( N = 2 \): One is at the north pole \( \theta = 0 \) of the sphere \( \rho^2 = 2\zeta \) and the other at the south pole \( \theta = \pi \) of the same sphere.

Around the north pole, we introduce coordinates

\[
\frac{\alpha \rho}{2} K = \tilde{\rho} \to 0, \quad \frac{\alpha \rho}{2} \theta = \tilde{\theta} \to 0
\]

By introducing \( \tilde{\rho} = \rho \cos \frac{\tilde{\phi}}{2} \) and \( \tilde{\theta} = \rho \sin \frac{\tilde{\phi}}{2} \), the metric, to the quadratic order in \( \tilde{\rho} \), becomes

\[
ds^2 = d\tilde{\rho}^2 + \frac{\tilde{\rho}^2}{4} \left[ d\tilde{\theta}^2 + \sin^2 \tilde{\theta} d\psi^2 + (2d\tilde{\phi} + (\cos \tilde{\theta} + 1)d\psi)^2 \right]
\]

\[
= d\tilde{\rho}^2 + \frac{\tilde{\rho}^2}{4} \left[ \tilde{\sigma}_1^2 + \tilde{\sigma}_2^2 + \tilde{\sigma}_3^2 \right] \quad (9.33)
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\sigma}_1 + i\tilde{\sigma}_2 &= e^{i\tilde{\phi}} (i\tilde{\theta} + \sin \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\psi}) \\
\tilde{\sigma}_3 &= d\tilde{\phi} + \cos \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\psi}
\end{align*}
\]

(9.34)

with

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\phi} &= 2\phi + \psi \\
\tilde{\psi} &= \psi
\end{align*}
\]

(9.35)

Note that \( \tilde{\phi} \) is ranged over \([0, 4\pi]\). We have two \( U(1) \) charges corresponding to two commuting Killing vectors

\[
q_{\tilde{\phi}} = -i\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\tilde{\phi}}}
\]

28
\[ q_{\bar{\phi}} = -i \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\psi}}} \] (9.36)

which can be related to the following two commuting Killing vectors of Eguchi-Hanson metric,

\[ Q_{\phi} = -i \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\phi}} \]
\[ Q_{\psi} = -i \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\psi}} \] (9.37)

as

\[ Q_{\psi} = q_{\bar{\phi}} + q_{\bar{\phi}} \]
\[ Q_{\phi} = 2q_{\bar{\phi}} \] (9.38)

Here \( Q_{\psi}/q_{\bar{\psi}} \) and \( Q_{\phi}/q_{\bar{\phi}} \) are integral/half-integral quantized.

Around the south pole, we introduce coordinates

\[ \alpha \rho K = \tilde{\rho} \to 0, \quad \alpha \rho (\pi - \theta) = \tilde{\theta} \to 0 \] (9.39)

By introducing \( \tilde{\rho} = \rho \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \) and \( \tilde{\theta} = \rho \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \), the metric, to the quadratic order in \( \bar{\rho} \), becomes

\[ ds^2 = d\bar{\rho}^2 + \bar{\rho}^2 \left[ \frac{d\bar{\phi}^2 + \sin^2 \bar{\theta} d\psi^2 + (2d\phi + (\cos \bar{\theta} - 1)d\psi)^2}{4} \right] \]
\[ = d\bar{\rho}^2 + \bar{\rho}^2 \left[ \bar{\sigma}_1^2 + \bar{\sigma}_2^2 + \bar{\sigma}_3^2 \right] \] (9.40)

where

\[ \bar{\phi} = 2\phi - \psi \]
\[ \bar{\psi} = -\psi \] (9.41)

The angle \( \bar{\phi} \) is again ranged over \([0, 4\pi]\) and

\[ Q_{-\psi} = q_{\bar{\phi}} + q_{\bar{\phi}} \]
\[ Q_{\phi} = 2q_{\bar{\phi}} \] (9.42)

where the minus sign in front of \( \psi \) reflects the change of the relative orientation of the tangent space at the south pole in comparison with that of the north pole. We furthermore have that \( Q_{-\psi} = -Q_{\psi} \). Introducing vielbeins by

\[ \bar{e}^0 = d\bar{\rho}, \quad \bar{e}^I = \frac{\bar{\rho}}{2} \bar{\sigma}_I \] (9.43)

the metric for \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) takes the form

\[ ds^2 = \bar{e}^0 \bar{e}^0 + \bar{e}^I \bar{e}^I \] (9.44)

Furthermore,

\[ G = \bar{\nu} \partial_{\bar{\phi}} \]
\[ v := 2v \]  
(9.45)

and the potential becomes
\[ V = \frac{1}{8} \bar{v}^2 \bar{\rho}^2 \]  
(9.46)

locally near the north or the south pole.

In order to construct the generators for the \( SU(2)_L \) part of the R-symmetry, we need the expressions for the three complex structures given by
\[ I_1 = e^{0}i_{e^0} - e^{I}i_{e^I} + \epsilon_{IJK}e^{J}i_{e^K} \]  
(9.47)

Upon localization, they are reduced to
\[ I_1 = E^0i_{E^0} - E^{I}i_{E^I} + \epsilon_{IJK}E^{J}i_{E^K} \]  
(9.48)

where the new set of vielbein is defined as
\[ E^1 + iE^2 = \frac{\bar{\rho}}{2} e^{i\tilde{\psi}} (i d\bar{\theta} + \sin \bar{\theta} d\bar{\phi}), \]
\[ E^0 + iE^3 = d\bar{\rho} + i\frac{\bar{\rho}}{2} (d\tilde{\psi} + \cos \bar{\theta} d\bar{\phi}) \]  
(9.49)

These satisfy
\[ I_I I_J = -\delta_{IJ} + \epsilon_{IJK} I_K \]  
(9.50)

### 9.2.1 BPS states in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \)

In this subsection, we would like to describe the general structure of BPS states of the \( \mathcal{N} = 8 \) supersymmetric harmonic oscillator in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \). We are interested in the solutions of the BPS equation
\[ \left[(d - iG) + i(d^\dagger - G)\right] \Omega = 0 \]  
(9.51)

where \( d^\dagger = -\ast d \ast \). Since the BPS operator \( Q - iQ^\dagger \) is commuting with the self-dual or anti-self-dual projections, one can separate states into a sum of self-dual and anti-self-dual parts
\[ \Omega = \Omega_+ + \Omega_- \]  
(9.52)

Within the BPS sector, the even-form and the odd-form part of the wave functions are decoupled from each other. We shall call the even-form/odd-form part as bosonic/fermionic, the meaning of which will be clear when we discuss the spin content of BPS multiplets. The BPS states are characterized by the central charge
\[ Z = \bar{v} \bar{q} \geq 0 \]  
(9.53)

where the charge \( q \) is half-integral quantized with the charge operator
\[ \hat{q} = -iL_{\partial \phi} \]  
(9.54)
Below we shall concentrate on the BPS states with $\bar{v} > 0$ and $q \geq 0$ and the case with $\bar{v} < 0$ and $q \leq 0$ will be briefly discussed at the end. The BPS solutions exist only in the self-dual sector. To classify the solutions we will use spherical coordinates $(\rho, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\phi}, \bar{\psi})$ on $\mathbb{R}^4$ and we introduce the Wigner D-function

$$D_{mq}^j = \langle jm | \sigma | jq \rangle$$

(9.55)

where $|jm\rangle$ denotes a spin-$j$ state of $SU(2)$ with $m, q = -j, -j + 1, \cdots, j$. We define

$$\bar{\sigma} = \bar{g}^{-1} d \bar{g}$$

$$\bar{g} = e^{i \bar{\psi} J_3} e^{i \bar{\theta} J_2} e^{i \bar{\phi} J_3}$$

(9.56)

where $J_I$ generate $SU(2)$ with commutation relations $[J_I, J_J] = i \epsilon_{IJK} J_K$. The components of $\bar{\sigma} = \bar{\sigma}_I J_I$ are given in (9.34). Expressing the D-function as

$$D_{mq}^j = e^{im\bar{\psi} + iq\bar{\phi}} d_{mq}^{ij}(\bar{\theta})$$

(9.57)

we see that

$$\hat{q} D_{mq}^j = q D_{mq}^j$$

(9.58)

When $q = 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, \cdots$ we find the following bosonic multiplet of charge $\hat{q} = q$ states as solutions to the 1/4-BPS equation (9.51)

$$\Omega_{mq}^q = D_{mq}^q \rho^{2q} e^{-\frac{v}{2} \rho^2} (1 + \bar{e}^1 \bar{e}^2)(1 + \bar{e}^0 \bar{e}^3)$$

(9.59)

$$\Omega_{mq}^{q-1} = D_{mq}^{q-1} \rho^{2(q-1)} e^{-\frac{v}{2} \rho^2} (\bar{e}^0 + i \bar{e}^3)(\bar{e}^1 + i \bar{e}^2)$$

(9.60)

Our notation is such that the states $\Omega_{mq}^q$ carry charge $\hat{q} = q$ and fall in a $j$-multiplet of states with $m = -j, -j + 1, \cdots, j$. We will occasionally suppress the labels $mq$ and write these states as $\Omega^q$ and $\Omega^{q-1}$. The $j = q - 1$ multiplet exists only for $q \geq 1$ and has charge $\hat{q} = q$ as a consequence of $\hat{q}(\bar{e}^1 + i \bar{e}^2) = 1$. We obtain these states in appendix D by solving the BPS equation. By acting with the supercharge $\hat{Q} + i \hat{Q}^\dagger$ on these bosonic states, we obtain two $j = q - \frac{1}{2}$ multiplets $\Omega_{\mp^q}^{q-\frac{1}{2}}$ when $q \geq \frac{1}{2}$. These multiplets are fermionic and we will describe their spin content below. Therefore, for $q > 0$, we have the following multiplet of 1/4 BPS states

$$(2q + 1) \oplus (2q - 1) \oplus 2q^+ \oplus 2q^-$$

(9.61)

Here representations of $SU(2)$ are labeled by their dimension. The physical role of this $SU(2)$ will be clarified shortly and will be identified as the unbroken $SU(2)_+$ Lorentz symmetry. Thus we find in total $8q$ states for $q = 1/2, 1, 3/2, \cdots$. For $q = 0$ we have on the other hand a unique state

$$\Omega_0 = e^{-\frac{v}{2} \rho^2} (1 + \bar{e}^1 \bar{e}^2)(1 + \bar{e}^0 \bar{e}^3)$$

(9.62)

which is annihilated by all supercharges

$$\hat{Q} \Omega_0 = \hat{Q}^\dagger \Omega_0 = 0$$

(9.63)
Therefore no new odd-form state is generated by the action of the supercharges and the corresponding $q = 0$ state is unique.

For $\bar{v}$ negative, the charge $q$ has to be non-positive definite, since the central charge $Z$ is non-negative definite, and the corresponding number of degenerate states remains. To show this, one may use the parity symmetry of the system

$$\bar{\psi} \rightarrow \bar{\psi} + \pi, \quad \bar{\theta} \rightarrow \pi - \bar{\theta}, \quad \bar{\phi} \rightarrow -\bar{\phi},$$

(9.64)

and

$$\bar{v} \rightarrow -\bar{v}$$

(9.65)

Consequently, one finds the same number of states as is demonstrated in the appendix explicitly. Therefore, the number of degenerate BPS states with charge $q$ is

$$n_q = \begin{cases} 
8|q| = 4|Q| & \text{if } \bar{v}q > 0 \\
1 & \text{if } q = 0 \text{ and } \bar{v} \neq 0 \\
0 & \text{if } \bar{v}q < 0 
\end{cases}$$

(9.66)

where $Q$ is the eigenvalue of the integral-valued (F-string) charge

$$\hat{Q} = 2\hat{q} = -i\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\phi}}$$

(9.67)

9.2.2 Rotation- and R-symmetries

The Eguchi-Hanson space is invariant under the action of $SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times U(1)$ transformation. The $SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ isometry generated by the Killing vectors

$$L_1^{EH} + iL_2^{EH} = e^{i\phi}\left(i\partial_{\theta} - \cot \theta \partial_{\phi} + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_{\psi}\right)$$

$$L_3^{EH} = \partial_{\phi}$$

(9.68)

acts triholomorphically as

$$\mathcal{L}_{L_i^{EH}} I^J = 0$$

(9.69)

as a consequence of $\mathcal{L}_{L_i^{EH}} \sigma_J = 0$ where $\sigma_J$ are the Maurer-Cartan forms given by Eq. (9.30). The remaining $U(1)$ generated by $\partial_{\psi}$ has nothing to do with the F-string charge. As derived in the context of ADHM construction, the triholomorphic vector field $G$ relevant for the F-string charge has to do with the other $U(1)$ generated by $\partial_{\phi}$. In the presence of the potential, the $SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry of the quantum mechanical system is further broken down to the $U(1)_{\phi}$. Hence once the vev of the scalar is turned on, the quantum mechanics is no longer invariant under $SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times U(1)_{\psi}$ but only under $U(1)_{\phi} \times U(1)_{\psi}$.

The $SO(4)$ little group of the $SO(5)$ R-symmetry will remain and below we shall focus on the $SO(3)$ subgroup of this $SO(4)$. As discussed in the previous section, this $SO(3)$ R symmetry is generated by the action of the three complex

\footnote{Note here that, without introducing the noncommutativity, the $U(1)_{\psi}$ is enhanced to $SU(2)_{\psi}$ symmetry, which apparently rotates the noncommutativity parameter $\theta_{ij}$.}
structures $I^I$. Their action on a form is multiplicative and satisfies the usual Leibniz rule:

$$I_I dx^i = dx^i (I_I)^i$$

(9.70)

and

$$I_I dx^i \wedge dx^j = (I_I dx^i) \wedge dx^j + dx^i \wedge (I_I dx^j)$$

(9.71)

We would now like to understand the multiplet structure of the states we have constructed by the localization to $\mathbb{R}^4$. In case of the $R$ symmetry, the story is rather clear since the complex structures $I^I$ of the Eguchi-Hanson space has a natural realization in $\mathbb{R}^4$: Their explicit form in $\mathbb{R}^4$ is given in (9.48). Next we would like to identify the $SU(2)_+ \times SU(2)$ rotational symmetry, it is rather clear that a particular combination of the $SU(2)$'s realizes the $SU(2)_+$. Let us denote the two $SU(2)$'s by $SU(2)_{\phi}$ and $SU(2)_{\psi}$ where $U(1)_{\phi}$ and $U(1)_{\psi}$ subgroups are included into $SU(2)_{\phi}$ and $SU(2)_{\psi}$ respectively.

For reasons described below, we find that $SU(2)_+ = SU(2)_{\phi}$. First of all, the multiplets are labeled by a fixed $U(1)_{\phi}$ charge, which is physically interpreted as F-string charge of the dyonic instantons. If the $SU(2)_+$ rotation involves this $U(1)_{\phi}$, then there is no way to understand the multiplet structure of the above states. Secondly the $U(1)_{\phi}$ belongs to $SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ of the EH space which is originated from the $SU(N)$ gauge symmetry of the SYM theory instead of any spacetime symmetries. Finally, with the choice of $SU(2)_{\psi}$ for the rotation symmetry, one can understand the full multiplet structure in a natural manner as we shall demonstrate shortly. A similar choice can be realized for the general $SU(N)$ Calabi space upon localization and the rotational $SU(2)_+$ in each $\mathbb{R}^4$ should be chosen such that this $SU(2)_+$ do not include the $U(1)$ responsible for the F-string charges.

By localization the symmetry $U(1)_{\phi}$ is enhanced to $SU(2)_{\phi}$. On the other hand, by turning off the noncommutativity parameter the rotation symmetry $SU(2)_+$ gets enhanced to $SU(2)_+ \times SU(2)_b$. Very naively then, since we have enhancements to $SU(2)_{\phi}$ and $SU(2)_b$ both being related to the noncommutativity parameter in various limits, one may think that these two $SU(2)$ shall be identified. But this is incorrect. Localization limit effectively means sending noncommutativity parameter to infinity which is a completely different limit from turning off the noncommutativity parameter. The noncommutativity parameter is related to the localization point because the potential, and its zeroes, depend on the noncommutativity parameter. As we approach the localization point the $U(1)_{\phi}$ symmetry is enhanced to $SU(2)_{\phi}$ and the localization point is not rotated by $SU(2)_{\phi}$ which is a tangent space symmetry at the localization point. Neither is the noncommutativity parameter rotated by $SU(2)_+$. This shows that we cannot identify $SU(2)_{\phi}$ with $SU(2)_b$ which would rotate the noncommutativity parameter. We conclude that we must identify $SU(2)_{\psi} = SU(2)_+$ since $SU(2)_+$ leaves the noncommutativity parameter fixed, just like the tangent space group $SU(2)_{\phi}$ at the localization point is supposed to leave the localization point fixed.
The $SU(2)_\psi$ is generated by the Killing vectors
\[
\bar{L}_1 + i \bar{L}_2 = e^{i\psi} \left( i\partial_\theta - \cot \theta \partial_\phi + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_\varphi \right)
\]
\[
\bar{L}_3 = \partial_\varphi
\]
(9.72)

The orbital angular momentum is generated by the Lie derivatives
\[
M_I = -i\mathcal{L}_{\bar{L}_I} = -i(d\bar{L}_I + i\bar{L}_I d)
\]
(9.73)

It is straightforward to demonstrate that the vielbein $\bar{e}^a = (\bar{e}^0, \bar{e}^I)$ is invariant under the action of $M_I$:
\[
M_I \bar{e}^a = 0
\]
(9.74)

One then recognizes that the states $\Omega^q$ and $\Omega^{q-1}$ fall in $(2q + 1)$ and $(2q - 1)$ dimensional representations of $SU(2)_\psi$.

The R-symmetry generators
\[
R_I = \frac{i}{2} I_I
\]
(9.75)

satisfy the $SU(2)$ algebra
\[
[R_I, R_J] = i\epsilon_{IJK} R_K
\]
(9.76)

It is straightforward to show that $R_I$ transforms
\[
[M_I, R_J] = i\epsilon_{IJK} R_K
\]
(9.77)
as a triplet under $M_I$. The desired total angular momentum including the spin part should be chosen as [21]
\[
J_I = M_I - R_I
\]
(9.78)

which satisfy the $SU(2)$ algebra
\[
[J_I, J_J] = i\epsilon_{IJK} J_K
\]
(9.79)

Furthermore, one finds that the R-symmetry and the rotation generator commute, i.e.
\[
[J_I, R_J] = 0
\]
(9.80)

which is required from the first principle construction of the generators starting from the SYM theory. One finds that $\Omega^q$ and $\Omega^{q-1}$ are $SU(2)_L$ R-symmetry singlets,
\[
R_I \Omega^q = 0 \quad R_I \Omega^{q-1} = 0
\]
(9.81)

so that
\[
\Omega^q = (2q + 1, 1), \quad \Omega^{q-1} = (2q - 1, 1)
\]
(9.82)
where the first and the second numbers in the bracket denote the dimensions of representations of $SU(2)_{+} \times SU(2)_{L}$.

Let us now turn to the case of odd-forms. As we said before, the odd-forms can be generated by applying the combination of supercharges to the even-form solutions. To understand the corresponding multiplet structure, we note that the supercharges transform as a singlet plus a triplet under $M_{I} = J_{I} + R_{I}$,

$$[M_{I}, Q] = 0 \quad [M_{I}, Q_{J}] = i\epsilon_{IJK}Q_{K} \quad (9.83)$$

As the four supercharges belong to doublets under $R_{I}$, they must form doublets under $J_{I}$ as well. Indeed one may construct one doublet of $J_{I}$ by

$$Q^{-} = i(Q^{3} - iQ^{4}), \quad Q^{-} = i(Q^{3} - iQ^{2}) \quad (9.84)$$

with $[R_{3}, Q_{\pm}] = -\frac{1}{2}Q_{\pm}$. The second combination

$$Q^{+} = i(Q^{1} + iQ^{2}), \quad Q^{+} = -i(Q^{3} + iQ^{4}) \quad (9.85)$$

forms a doublet under $J_{I}$ with $[R_{3}, Q^{\pm}_{I}] = \frac{1}{2}Q^{\pm}_{I}$. Then by the action of the appropriate combination of $Q^{\pm}_{I}$ to $\Omega^{q} \oplus \Omega^{q-1}$, one generates states $\Omega^{-q-\frac{1}{2}}$ while, by $Q^{\pm}_{I}$, one generates states $\Omega^{+q-\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus the odd form states form the representation

$$\Omega^{+q-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \Omega^{-q-\frac{1}{2}} = (2q, 2) \quad (9.86)$$

For $q = 0$, there is a unique state

$$\Omega_{0} = (1, 1) \quad (9.87)$$

which is 1/2 BPS. The minimal $q = \frac{1}{2}$ multiplet is

$$(\Omega^{\frac{1}{2}}) \oplus (\Omega^{+0} \oplus \Omega^{-0}) = (2, 1) \oplus (1, 2) \quad (9.88)$$

which consists of 4 states.

The 1/4-BPS dyonic instanton multiplet with 64 states [2] can be obtained by taking the tensor product of the 16 states in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ with the above 4 states at the localization point,

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}
(3; 1, 1) \oplus (1; 2, 2) \oplus (1; 1, 1) \oplus (2; 2, 1) \oplus (2; 1, 2) \\
(2; 1, 1) \oplus (1; 2, 1)
\end{array}\right) \quad (9.89)$$

Here we have included the trivial representation (which is 1) of the additional representation of an $SU(2)_{R}$ which is inside the full unbroken $SO(4) = SU(2)_{L} \times SU(2)_{R}$ R-symmetry and which is not generated by the three Kahler forms which generate the $SU(2)_{L}$. Thus the above denotes representations of $SU(2)_{+} \times (SU(2)_{L} \times SU(2)_{R})$. Expanding out the tensor product we recover the multiplet of [2].
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10 Refined partition function and Index

The spin content can be seen by computing a refined partition function

\[ Z(\beta, a, b, c) = \text{tr}_{1/4\text{BPS}} \left( e^{-\beta H} e^{J^3+ a + R^3+ b + R^3- c} \right) \] (10.1)

where \( J^3+, R^3\pm \) are Cartans of \( SU(2)_+ \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \). For BPS states over which we trace, the Hamiltonian can be replaced by the central charge. For \( N = 2 \) this is given by \( Z = vQ = 2vq \). Furthermore, as we have identified \( SU(2)_+ = SU(2)_\psi \), we shall take

\[ J^3+ = \pm Q_\psi = q + q_\psi \] (10.2)

on north and south pole respectively. Using this, we get

\[ Z = \text{tr}_{1/4\text{BPS}} \left( e^{-q(2\beta v - a)} e^{Q_\psi a + R^3+ b + R^3- c} \right) \] (10.3)

and explicitly

\[ Z = 1 + \sum_{q} e^{-q(2\beta v - a)} [s(2q + 1, 1) + s(2q - 1, 1) + s(2q, 2)] \] (10.4)

where we define

\[ s(2j + 1, 2k + 1) = \sum_{m,n} e^{am+bn} \] (10.5)

and \( m = -j, -j + 1, \cdots, j - 1, j \) and \( n = -k, -k + 1, \cdots, k - 1, k \). We find

\[ s(2j + 1, 2k + 1) = \frac{\sinh \left( \frac{q}{2}(2j + 1) \right) \sinh \left( \frac{q}{2}(2k + 1) \right) \sinh \frac{q}{2} \sinh \frac{q}{2}}{\sinh \frac{q}{2} \sinh \frac{q}{2}} \] (10.6)

We get

\[ Z = \cosh \frac{2\beta v - a - b}{4} \cosh \frac{2\beta v - a + b}{4} \sinh \frac{2\beta v - a}{2} \sinh \frac{2\beta v}{2} \] (10.7)

We can now also compute the index

\[ \text{Index} = \text{tr} \left( (-1)^F e^{-\beta H} e^{J^3+ a + R^3+ b + R^3- c} \right) \] (10.8)

as follows

\[ \text{Index} = 1 + \sum_{q} e^{-q(2\beta v - a)} [s(2q + 1, 1) + s(2q - 1, 1) - s(2q, 2)] \] (10.9)

with the result

\[ \text{Index} = \frac{\sinh \frac{2\beta v - a - b}{4} \sinh \frac{2\beta v - a + b}{4} \sinh \frac{2\beta v - a}{2} \sinh \frac{2\beta v}{2}}{\sinh \frac{2\beta v - a}{2} \sinh \frac{2\beta v}{2}} \] (10.10)
We may notice that
\[ \text{Index}(b + 2\pi i) = Z(b) \quad (10.11) \]
and indeed this relation can be explained by noticing that
\[ e^{2\pi i R^3} = (-1)^F. \]
Finally we notice that our result agrees with [18] if we make the following identifications
\[
\begin{align*}
  a &= 2i\gamma_R \\
  b &= 2i\gamma_2 \\
  \beta v &= \mu
\end{align*}
(10.12)
\]
where definitions of parameters on the right-hand side are found in [18].

In the index we do not need to restrict ourselves to 1/4-BPS states since all non-BPS states are paired by a superpartner state with opposite \((-1)^F\). But for the partition function over 1/4-BPS states we cannot drop the projection onto 1/4-BPS states. However this can again be expressed as an index by noting that
\[
\text{tr}_{\text{non-BPS}}(-1)^{F+2R^3} = 0 \quad (10.13)
\]
To see this we first note that none of the supercharges \(Q_h^\pm\) can annihilate a non-BPS state. As we act with a sequence of these supercharges on some bosonic/fermionic non-BPS state they will generate 8 states with \((-1)^F = +1/-1\) representations \((3; 1, 1) \oplus (1; 1, 1) \oplus (1; 2, 2)\) and \((-1)^F = -1/+1\) representations \((2; 2, 1) \oplus (2; 1, 2)\) (tensor multiplied with the representation of the non-BPS states with which we started). By inspection we see that the sum of \((-1)^{F+2R^3}\) cancels for the 8 bosonic and 8 fermionic states separately. We can now express the 1/4-BPS partition function as the following index
\[
Z(\beta, a, b, c) = \text{tr} \left((-1)^{F+2R^3} e^{-\beta H} e^{J^3+a+R^3+b+c} \right) \quad (10.14)
\]
where we can drop the explicit projection onto 1/4-BPS states due to the cancellation between non-BPS states as we argued for above.

11 The commutative limit

Our index and partition function do not depend on the noncommutativity parameter \(\zeta\). We claim that noncommutativity parameter can be smoothly taken towards zero. We can justify this claim for U(2) gauge group. In the commuting limit the Eguchi-Hanson space becomes the orbifold \(\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2\) with metric
\[
\begin{align*}
  ds^2 &= dp^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{4} \left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 + (d\psi + \cos \theta d\phi)^2\right) \\
  &= dp^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{4} \left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\psi^2 + (d\phi + \cos \theta d\psi)^2\right)
\end{align*}
(11.1)
where $\phi$ and $\psi$ are $2\pi$ ranged. The fact that we can exchange $\psi$ and $\phi$ in this metric can be traced to the fact that $S^3$ can be described either in terms of left-invariant or right-invariant Maurer-Cartan forms. This symmetry is present only in the commutative limit and is not a symmetry of the Eguchi-Hanson metric.

By now substituting $\theta, \psi, \phi$ with $\bar{\theta}, \bar{\psi}, \bar{\phi}$, we see that we have already obtained all these 1/4-BPS solutions in Eq. (9.61). The only difference is that here $\phi$ is $2\pi$-ranged instead of $4\pi$-ranged, so that the corresponding electric charge $Q_\phi = -i\mathcal{L}_{\partial_\phi}$ is integer quantized. Let us denote the charge integer-eigenvalue on a state by $Q$. Then the bosonic states with charge $Q$ are given by

$$
\Omega^{Q}_{m,Q}|_{\zeta=0} = D^{Q}_{m,Q}e^{2q\rho e^{-\frac{v^2}{r^2}} (1 + e^0 e^3) (1 + e^1 e^2)}
$$

Let us comment that it seems out of reach to find corresponding exact BPS solutions away from $\zeta = 0$ where we instead must rely on localization computations.

These states carry $U(1)$ charges that is most conveniently labeled by $Q_\phi = Q$ and by $Q_\psi = m$. To understand that the commutative limit is smooth, we need to match the $U(1)$ charges of these states with corresponding $U(1)$ charges of the states we found on the Eguchi-Hanson space by the localization computation. Since $Q$ is integer quantized and $q$ from localization computation are half-integer quantized, we must have that

$$
Q = 2q
$$

since otherwise we could never hope to match these states in a one-to-one fashion. On the north pole we have the states $\Omega^q_{m,q}$ and $\Omega^{q-1}_{m,q}$ and we have corresponding states on the south pole. All these states carry charge $Q_\phi = 2q$. We then recall the relations

$$
Q_\psi = \pm (q_\bar{\psi} + q_\bar{\phi})
$$

where $+$ is for the north pole and $-$ is for the south pole. Then we find on the north pole that the $j = q$ multiplet has $Q_\psi = m + q = 0, 1, \cdots, 2q$ and the $j = q - 1$ multiplet has $Q_\psi = m + q = 1, 2, \cdots, 2q - 1$. On the south pole we find that the $j = q$ multiplet has $Q_\psi = -2q, \cdots, 0$ and the $j = q - 1$ multiplet has $Q_\psi = -(2q - 1), \cdots, -1$. Thus collecting the states, and recalling that $Q = 2q$, we see that we indeed have states with

$$
Q_\psi = -Q, \cdots, Q
$$

$$
Q_\psi = -(Q - 1), \cdots, Q - 1
$$

which matches with the multiplet of states $\Omega^Q|_{\zeta=0} \oplus \Omega^{Q-1}|_{\zeta=0}$ that we found above. The odd-form parts can be related in a similar manner.

Let us finally confirm that these bosonic states in the orbifold limit are really singlets under the $SU(2)_L$ R symmetry generated by the three complex
structures on the Eguchi-Hanson space in the orbifold limit. We have the Kahler form

\[ K_3 = e^0 e^3 + e^1 e^2 \] (11.6)

and indeed the corresponding complex structure

\[ I_3 = e^0 i_3 - e^3 i_0 + e^1 i_2 - e^2 i_1 \] (11.7)

leaves all the bosonic states invariant,

\[
\begin{align*}
I_3 \Omega^Q_{\zeta=0} &= 0 \\
I_3 \Omega^{Q-1}_{\zeta=0} &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

We thus find exactly the same states in the orbifold limit and so we conclude that the orbifold limit appears to be smooth, although we do not have a direct proof for this for the higher-dimensional Calabi spaces.

12 Discussion

We have computed the index and the partition function of one 1/4-BPS dyonic instanton in noncompact 5d MSYM with U(N) gauge group being maximally broken to U(1)^{N−1} by a generic vev of one of the five scalar fields, which induces a potential term in the corresponding sigma model. The number of states does not quite sum up nicely to the anomaly coefficient \( \sim N(N^2 - 1) \) but probably there is no reason to expect this number to emerge here as we only consider the \( k = 1 \) instanton sector.

One obvious direction to look at further is the higher \( k \) generalization. The general form of the metric and the potential are not known yet especially with the noncommutativity turned on. We leave this for the future study.

It would be very interesting if one can understand what happens when the gauge group is not maximally broken.

We can also ask what happens if we compactify one direction of D4 on a circle. In that case we expect the theory to have an S-duality, and it would be interesting to confirm that the 1/4-BPS dyonic instanton states and the monopole-string states carry the same spin quantum numbers so that they can be mapped into each other under S-duality [27, 18]. As it was argued in [8], showing that 5d MSYM is S-dual would also give strong evidence that 5d MSYM and the corresponding 6d (2, 0) theory on a circle, are equivalent.
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A Spinor conventions

We represent the 11d gamma matrices as
\[ \Gamma_0 = \gamma_{(4)} \otimes i \sigma_2 \otimes 1 \]
\[ \Gamma_i = \gamma_i \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \]
\[ \Gamma_5 = \gamma_{(4)} \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes 1 \]
\[ \Gamma_{\hat{A}} = \gamma_{(4)} \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \gamma_{\hat{A}} \]  
(A.1)
where we split \( \mu = (0, i) \) and \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \). We define \( \gamma_{(4)} = \gamma_{1234} \). We let \( \hat{A} = 6, 7, 8, 9, (10) \) and reserve the index 5 for the M-theory circle. The 11d charge conjugation matrix is chosen as
\[ C = \Gamma_0 \]  
(A.2)

We represent SO(4) gamma matrices in quaternion Weyl basis
\[ \gamma_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_i^{\alpha \beta} \\ \bar{q}_i^{\alpha \beta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]  
(A.3)

Here
\[ q_i = (-i\sigma_I, 1) \]
\[ \bar{q}_i = (i\sigma_I, 1) \]  
(A.4)
are quaternions and their conjugates in the \( 2 \times 2 \) representation where
\[ \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \]  
(A.5)
are the Pauli matrices. We have the relations
\[ q_i \bar{q}_j = \delta_{ij} + i\eta_{ij}^T \sigma_I \]
\[ \bar{q}_i q_j = \delta_{ij} + i\eta_{ij}^T \sigma_I \]  
(A.6)
where the selfdual and antiselfdual ’t Hooft tensors are given by
\[ \eta_{ij}^{T \pm} = \epsilon_{1i} \epsilon_{4j} \pm (\delta_{i}^{T} \delta_{j}^{T} - \delta_{j}^{T} \delta_{i}^{T}) \]  
(A.7)

B Flat metric on \( \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^4 \)

Let us begin with a description of \( \mathbb{H} \). We introduce a coordinates \( y = y_i q_i \) in \( \mathbb{H} \) where \( (y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \). Thus \( y_i \mapsto y = y_i q_i \) is a map \( \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \). The flat metric reads
\[ ds^2 = dy d\bar{y} = dy_i dy_i \]  
(B.1)
Note that the quaternion \( y \) can be represented as \( y = a e^{q_3 \psi/2} \) with \( a \) being purely imaginary, i.e. \( a = -\bar{a} \). We further introduce
\[ 4x_I q_I = y q_3 \bar{y} = a q_3 \bar{a} \]  
(B.2)
With this definition, one finds
\[ 4x_3 = y_3^2 + y_4^2 - (y_1^2 + y_2^2) = a_3^2 - (a_1^2 + a_2^2) \quad (B.3) \]
\[ 2(x_1 + ix_2) = y_3y_1 + y_4y_2 + i(y_3y_2 - y_4y_1) = a_3 (a_1 + ia_2) \quad (B.4) \]

Representing \( a \) by
\[ a = 2\sqrt{x} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} (q_1 \cos \phi + q_2 \sin \phi) + 2q_3 \sqrt{x} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \quad (B.5) \]
one finds
\[ x_1 q_1 = x \left( \sin \theta (q_1 \cos \phi + q_2 \sin \phi) + q_3 \cos \theta \right) \quad (B.6) \]
The flat metric \( dqd\bar{q} \) then becomes
\[ ds^2 = dad\bar{a} + \frac{1}{4} a^2 d\psi^2 + \frac{1}{2} d\psi (aq_3 d\bar{a} - daq_3 \bar{a}) \quad (B.7) \]
Introducing \( b \) by \( a = 2\sqrt{x} b \), the metric can be presented as
\[ ds^2 = \frac{dx_a dx_a}{x} + x (d\psi + b q_3 d\bar{b} - db q_3 \bar{b})^2 \quad (B.8) \]
Note that
\[ d(bq_3 d\bar{b} - dbq_3 \bar{b}) = \ast d\frac{1}{x} \quad (B.9) \]
By explicit computation, one can show
\[ \sigma_{\psi} = d\psi + (b q_3 d\bar{b} - db q_3 \bar{b}) = d\psi + A = d\psi + (\cos \theta - 1) d\phi \quad (B.10) \]
Therefore one is led to
\[ ds^2 = \frac{d\bar{x}^2}{x} + x \sigma_{\psi}^2 \quad (B.11) \]

\section{C \ Calabi metric from the caloron dynamics}

In this section we shall derive the Calabi metric for the \( k = 1 \) instanton starting from the known caloron dynamics. This will be helpful in understanding the corresponding brane picture. We begin with the metric for the \( U(N) \) caloron [23],
\[ ds^2 = \frac{4\pi^2}{g^2} L_4 \left[ M_{uv} d\tilde{y}_u \cdot d\tilde{y}_v + M^{-1}_{uv} \sigma_{\xi_u} \sigma_{\xi_v} \right] \quad (C.1) \]
where
\[ M_{uv} d\tilde{y}_u \cdot d\tilde{y}_v = \sum_{u=1}^{N} m_u d\tilde{y}_u^2 + \frac{dy_{1N}^2}{y_{1N}} + \frac{dy_{21}^2}{y_{21}} + \cdots + \frac{dy_{NN-1}^2}{y_{NN-1}} \quad (C.2) \]
with
\[ \tilde{y}_{uv} = \tilde{y}_u - \tilde{y}_v + \frac{\zeta}{L_4} \delta_{u}^{N} \delta_{v}^{N-1} \quad (C.3) \]
The coordinate $\xi_u$ is ranged over $[0, 4\pi]$ and we introduce
\[ \sigma_{\xi_u} = d\xi_u + \vec{w}_{uv} \cdot d\vec{y}_v \]  
(C.4)
where
\[ \vec{\nabla}_p \times \vec{w}_{uv} = \vec{\nabla}_p M_{uv} \]  
(C.5)
$L_4(= 2\pi R_4)$ is the circumference of the $x_4$ circle of D4 branes on $R^{1,3} \times S^1$ and the mass parameter $m_u$
\[ m_u = \frac{\epsilon_u}{L_4} \]  
(C.6)
with $\sum_{u=1}^N \epsilon_u = 1$ is related to the Wilson line expectation value $\langle A_4 \rangle$. The caloron system is related to the dynamics of $N$ distinct monopoles sustained between $T$-dual D3 branes, whose total magnetic charges vanish. $\vec{y}_u$ is the position of monopole (D-string) connecting D3$_u$ and D3$_{u+1}$ (with D3$_N$ = D3$_0$) and the mass parameter is then related to the mass of each distinct monopole. The positivity of $m_u$ implies that we order the D3 brane locations along the $x_4$ direction monotonically.

Starting from this metric, we now derive the Calabi metric of $k = 1$ U(N) instanton. First we introduce the relative and the center-of-mass coordinates by
\[ \vec{x}_A = \vec{y}_{AA-1} \quad (A = 1, 2, \cdots, N) \]  
(C.7)
and
\[ \vec{x}_N = \vec{x}_{\text{com}} = \sum_u \frac{m_u \vec{y}_u}{\sum_u m_u} \]  
(C.8)
and we shall denote this transformation by
\[ \vec{x}_u = U_{uv} \vec{y}_v \]  
(C.9)
Introducing
\[ \tilde{M} = (U^T)^{-1} M U^{-1} \]  
(C.10)
the metric becomes
\[ ds^2 = \frac{4\pi^2}{g^2} L_4 \left[ \tilde{M}_{uv} d\vec{x}_u \cdot d\vec{x}_v + \tilde{M}_{uv}^{-1} \sigma_{\phi_u} \sigma_{\phi_v} \right] \]  
(C.11)
where we introduce
\[ \phi_u = \xi_u U_{vu}, \quad \sigma_{\phi_u} = \sigma_{\xi_u} U_{uv}^{-1} \]  
(C.12)
Then the derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_u}$ satisfies $\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_u} = U_{uv} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_u}$, i.e.
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_A} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_A} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{A-1}} \]  
(C.13)
and
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_N} = L_4 \sum_u m_u \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_u} \]  
(C.14)
where we have used the fact $\sum u m_u = 1/L_4$. Note that the charge $q_u \equiv -2i\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_u}$ is integral quantized, i.e. $q_u \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $Q_A \equiv -2i\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_A} = q_A - q_{A-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus it is clear that $\varphi_A$ is again ranged over $[0, 4\pi]$. One can check that

$$\sigma_{\varphi_u} = d\varphi_u + \vec{A}_{uv} \cdot d\vec{x}_v$$

(C.15)

where

$$\vec{\nabla}_p \times \vec{A}_{uv} = \vec{\nabla}_p \vec{M}_{uv}$$

(C.16)

Let us introduce the relative mass $\mu_{AB}$ by

$$\sum_{u=1}^N m_u d\vec{y}_u^2 = \frac{d\vec{x}_{com}^2}{L_4} + \mu_{AB} d\vec{x}_A \cdot d\vec{x}_B$$

(C.17)

The metric then takes the form

$$ds^2 = \frac{4\pi^2 L_4}{g^2} \left[ \frac{d\vec{x}_{com}^2}{L_4} + L_4 d\varphi_N^2 + \tilde{M}_{AB} d\vec{x}_A \cdot d\vec{x}_B + \tilde{M}_{AB}^{-1} \sigma_{\varphi_A} \sigma_{\varphi_B} \right]$$

(C.18)

where

$$\tilde{M}_{AB} d\vec{x}_A \cdot d\vec{x}_B = \mu_{AB} d\vec{x}_A \cdot d\vec{x}_B + \frac{d\vec{x}_1^2}{\vec{x}_1} + \cdots + \frac{d\vec{x}_N^2}{\vec{x}_N}$$

(C.19)

Now the Calabi limit is defined by taking the decompactification limit $L_4 \to \infty$ with the rescaling

$$\vec{x}_A \to \frac{1}{L_4} \vec{x}_A$$

(C.20)

In this limit the metric becomes

$$ds^2 = \frac{4\pi^2}{g^2} \left[ dx_{com}^2 + C_{AB} d\vec{x}_A \cdot d\vec{x}_B + C_{AB}^{-1} \sigma_{\varphi_A} \sigma_{\varphi_B} \right]$$

(C.21)

Here $x^i = (\vec{x}_{com}, x_{com}^4)$ where $x_{com}^4 = L_4 \varphi_N$ is noncompact after taking the limit. The matrix $C_{AB}$ is as defined in (5.25).

Since we are taking the decompactification limit, putting an electric charge to the monopole connecting $D3_N$ to $D3_1$ becomes impossible. Hence in our interpretation of electric charges, the corresponding charge $q_N$ vanishes.

## D Dyonic instanton BPS states in $\mathbb{R}^4$

The instanton 1/4 BPS equation localized to $\mathbb{R}^4$ reads

$$\left[ d - iG + i(d^i - G) \right] \Omega_\pm = 0$$

(D.1)

where

$$G = \frac{\bar{\psi} \rho \sigma^3}{2}$$

(D.2)
using the notation of section 9.2. We use the same letter for the one-form as for the corresponding dual vector field. We make the following Bose (even-form) ansatz

\[ \Omega_{q\pm} = D_q \Lambda_{0\pm} + D_{q-1} \Lambda_{1\pm} + D_{q+1} \Lambda_{-1\pm} \] (D.3)

where

\[ \Lambda_{0\pm} = f_{\pm}(1 \pm e^0 e^1 \mp e^2) + g_{\pm}(e^0 e^3 \pm e^1 e^2) \]
\[ \Lambda_{1\pm} = c_{\pm}(e^0 \pm i e^3)(\mp e^1 + i e^2) \]
\[ \Lambda_{-1\pm} = d_{\pm}(e^0 \mp i e^3)(\mp e^1 - i e^2) \] (D.4)

and \( D_q \) denotes here the highest weight \( D^j_q \). Note that \( j \geq q \). The states with

\[ D^j_{mq} = e^{-im\bar{\psi} - iq\bar{\delta}d_{mq}(\bar{\theta})} \] (D.5)

can be obtained by applying the lowering operator \( \bar{L}_- \) using the SU(2) rotational symmetry. Further using the rotational symmetry, the coefficient functions \( f_{\pm}, g_{\pm}, c_{\pm} \) and \( d_{\pm} \) are only functions of \( \rho \).

By applying exterior derivative, we get

\[ d\Lambda_{0\pm} = f' e^0 \pm g' e^0 e^1 \mp e^2 - \delta_{\text{down}} \frac{4g}{\rho} e^0 e^1 e^2 \]
\[ d\Lambda_{1\pm} = \pm c' i e^0 e^3 e^+ - i \delta_{\text{down}} \frac{4c}{\rho} e^0 e^3 e^+ \]
\[ d\Lambda_{-1\pm} = \mp d' i e^0 e^3 e^- - i \delta_{\text{up}} \frac{4d}{\rho} e^0 e^3 e^- \] (D.6)

where we define \( \delta_{\text{down}} = 1 \) for lower sign and \( \delta_{\text{down}} = 0 \) for upper sign, and \( \delta_{\text{up}} = 1 - \delta_{\text{down}} \). We use

\[ dD_q = iD_q \frac{2q}{\rho} e^3 + i\frac{\mu_q}{\rho} D_{q-1} e^+ + i\frac{\lambda_q}{\rho} D_{q+1} e^- \] (D.7)

where

\[ \mu_q = \sqrt{j(j + 1) - q(q + 1)} \]
\[ \lambda_q = \sqrt{j(j + 1) - q(q - 1)} \] (D.8)

and

\[ e^3 \Lambda_{0\pm} = f e^3 \pm g e^1 e^2 e^3 \]
\[ e^4 \Lambda_{0\pm} = f e^4 \pm g e^2 e^3 e^4 \]
\[ e^3 \Lambda_{1\pm} = -e^0 e^3 e^+ \]
\[ e^3 \Lambda_{-1\pm} = -de^0 e^3 e^- \]
\[ e^+ \Lambda_{1\pm} = -2ic(e^0 \mp i e^3) e^1 e^2 \]
\[ e^+ \Lambda_{-1\pm} = 2id(e^0 \pm i e^3) e^1 e^2 \]
\[ e^- \Lambda_{1\pm} = 0 \]
\[ e^- \Lambda_{-1\pm} = 0 \] (D.9)
and

\[ \ast \bar{e}^3 = - \bar{e}^0 \bar{e}^1 \bar{e}^2 \]
\[ \ast \bar{e}^0 = \bar{e}^1 \bar{e}^2 \bar{e}^3 \]
\[ \ast \bar{e}^\pm = \mp i \bar{e}^0 \bar{e}^3 \bar{e}^\pm \] (D.10)

and the fact that \( \ast \ast = -1 \) on all these odd-dimensional forms. We also note that

\[ i_G \bar{e}^3 = \frac{\bar{v} \bar{p}}{2} \]
\[ i_G \bar{e}^0 = 0 \]
\[ i_G \bar{e}^\pm = 0 \] (D.11)

We now find

\[
d \Omega_{\pm} = \bar{e}^3 i D_q \frac{2q}{\bar{\rho}} f
+ \bar{e}^0 D_q \frac{\bar{\rho}}{2} f'
+ \bar{e}^1 \bar{e}^2 \bar{e}^3 D_q \left[ \pm \frac{2q}{\bar{\rho}} g \pm \frac{\lambda q - 1}{\bar{\rho}} 2e \pm \frac{\mu q + 1}{\bar{\rho}} 2d \right]
+ \bar{e}^+ D_{q-1} \frac{\mu q}{\bar{\rho}} f
+ \bar{e}^- D_{q+1} \frac{\lambda q}{\bar{\rho}} f
+ \bar{e}^0 \bar{e}^3 \bar{e}^+ D_{q-1} \left[ \frac{\mu q}{\bar{\rho}} g - \frac{2(q - 1)}{\bar{\rho}} c \pm c' - \delta_{\downarrow} \frac{4c}{\bar{\rho}} \right]
+ \bar{e}^0 \bar{e}^3 \bar{e}^- D_{q+1} \left[ \frac{\lambda q}{\bar{\rho}} g - \frac{2(q + 1)}{\bar{\rho}} d \mp d' - \delta_{\uparrow} \frac{4d}{\bar{\rho}} \right]
+ \bar{e}^0 \bar{e}^1 \bar{e}^2 D_q \left[ \frac{\lambda q - 1}{\bar{\rho}} 2c - \frac{\mu q + 1}{\bar{\rho}} 2d \pm g' - \delta_{\downarrow} \frac{4g}{\bar{\rho}} \right] \] (D.12)

and

\[
i \ast d \Omega = \bar{e}^3 i D_q \left[ \frac{\lambda q - 1}{\bar{\rho}} 2c - \frac{\mu q + 1}{\bar{\rho}} 2d \pm g' - \delta_{\downarrow} \frac{4g}{\bar{\rho}} \right]
+ \bar{e}^0 D_q \left[ \pm 2qg \pm \frac{\lambda q - 1}{\bar{\rho}} 2c \pm \frac{\mu q + 1}{\bar{\rho}} 2d \right]
+ \bar{e}^1 \bar{e}^2 \bar{e}^3 i D_q f'
+ \bar{e}^+ D_{q-1} \frac{\mu q}{\bar{\rho}} g - \frac{2(q - 1)}{\bar{\rho}} c \pm c' - \delta_{\downarrow} \frac{4c}{\bar{\rho}}
+ \bar{e}^- D_{q+1} \frac{\lambda q}{\bar{\rho}} g - \frac{2(q + 1)}{\bar{\rho}} d \mp d' - \delta_{\uparrow} \frac{4d}{\bar{\rho}}
+ (-) \bar{e}^0 \bar{e}^3 \bar{e}^+ D_{q-1} \frac{\mu q}{\bar{\rho}} f
+ \bar{e}^0 \bar{e}^- i D_{q+1} \frac{\lambda q}{\bar{\rho}} f
+ \bar{e}^0 \bar{e}^3 \bar{e}^2 D_q \left[ \frac{2q}{\bar{\rho}} f \right]
+ \bar{e}^0 \bar{e}^1 \bar{e}^2 D_q \left[ \frac{2q}{\bar{\rho}} \bar{\rho} f \right] \] (D.13)
We also have

\[ iG\Omega = \frac{\bar{v}\bar{p}}{2} [iD_q(f\bar{e}^3 \pm ge^1\bar{e}^2\bar{e}^3) - iD_{q-1}c\bar{e}^0\bar{e}^3\bar{e}^+ - iD_{q+1}d\bar{e}^0\bar{e}^3\bar{e}^-] \]

and

\[ \iota_G\Omega = \frac{vqK}{2} [D_q(\mp fe^0\bar{e}^2 - ge^0) \pm iD_{q-1}c\bar{e}^+ + iD_{q+1}d\bar{e}^-] \quad (D.14) \]

The BPS equation is

\[ d\Omega - iG\Omega = \pm i * d\Omega + iG\Omega \quad (D.15) \]

that we write as

\[ d\Omega \mp i * d\Omega = iG\Omega + iG\Omega \quad (D.16) \]

The LHS now becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
(e^3i \mp \bar{e}^0\bar{e}^1\bar{e}^2)D_q \left[ -g' - 4\delta_{\text{down}} \frac{g}{\rho} + \frac{2q}{\rho} f + \frac{\lambda g_{q-1}2c + \mu g_{q+1}2d}{\rho} \right] \\
+ (\bar{e}^0 - \bar{e}^1\bar{e}^2\bar{e}^3)D_q \left[ f' - \frac{2q}{\rho} g - \frac{\lambda g_{q-1}2c - \mu g_{q+1}2d}{\rho} \right] \\
+ (\bar{e}^+i \mp \bar{e}^0\bar{e}^3\bar{e}^+ i)D_{q-1} \left[ -c' - 4\delta_{\text{down}} \frac{c}{\rho} + \frac{\mu q}{\rho} (f \mp g) \pm \frac{2(q-1)c}{\rho} \right] \\
+ (\bar{e}^-i \pm \bar{e}^0\bar{e}^3\bar{e}^-)D_{q+1} \left[ -d' - 4\delta_{\text{up}} \frac{d}{\rho} + \frac{\lambda q}{\rho} (f \pm g) \mp \frac{2(q+1)d}{\rho} \right] \quad (D.17)
\end{align*}
\]

The RHS is the sum of

\[ iG\Omega = \frac{\bar{v}\bar{p}}{2} [iD_q(f\bar{e}^3 \pm ge^1\bar{e}^2\bar{e}^3) - iD_{q-1}c\bar{e}^0\bar{e}^3\bar{e}^+ - iD_{q+1}d\bar{e}^0\bar{e}^3\bar{e}^-] \]

and

\[ iG\Omega = \frac{\bar{v}\bar{p}}{2} [D_q(\mp fe^0\bar{e}^2 - ge^0) \pm iD_{q-1}c\bar{e}^+ + iD_{q+1}d\bar{e}^-] \quad (D.18) \]

Thus RHS is

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\bar{v}\bar{p}}{2} & \times \left[ (e^3i \mp \bar{e}^0\bar{e}^1\bar{e}^2)D_q f \\
+ (\bar{e}^0 - \bar{e}^1\bar{e}^2\bar{e}^3)D_q(-)g \\
+ (\bar{e}^+i \mp \bar{e}^0\bar{e}^3\bar{e}^+ i)D_{q-1}(\pm)c \\
+ (\bar{e}^-i \pm \bar{e}^0\bar{e}^3\bar{e}^-)D_{q+1}(\mp)d \right] \\
\end{align*}
\]

(D.19)

Subtracting RHS - LHS, we have

\[
(e^3i \mp \bar{e}^0\bar{e}^1\bar{e}^2)D_q \left[ -g' + \frac{2q}{\rho} f \mp \frac{\lambda g_{q-1}2c + \mu g_{q+1}2d}{\rho} - \frac{\bar{v}\bar{p}}{2} f - \delta_{\text{down}} \frac{4}{\rho} g \right]
\]
Thus for upper sign (SD case) we have the BPS equations

\[-g' + \frac{2q}{\rho} f - \lambda_{q-1} \frac{2c}{\rho} - \frac{\mu_{q+1}}{\rho} 2d - \frac{\bar{\nu}\rho}{2} f = 0\]

\[f' - \frac{2q}{\rho} g - \lambda_{q-1} \frac{2c}{\rho} + \frac{\mu_{q+1}}{\rho} 2d + \frac{\bar{\nu}\rho}{2} g = 0\]

\[-c' + \frac{\mu_q}{\rho} (f - g) + \frac{2(q - 1)}{\rho} c - \frac{\nu\rho}{2} c = 0\]

\[-d' + \frac{\lambda_q}{\rho} (f + g) - \frac{2(q + 1)}{\rho} d + \frac{\nu\rho}{2} d - \frac{4}{\rho} d = 0 \quad (D.20)\]

and for lower sign (ASD case) we have the BPS equations

\[-g' + \frac{2q}{\rho} f + \lambda_{q-1} \frac{2c}{\rho} - \frac{\mu_{q+1}}{\rho} 2d - \frac{\bar{\nu}\rho}{2} f - \frac{4q}{\rho} = 0\]

\[f' - \frac{2q}{\rho} g - \lambda_{q-1} \frac{2c}{\rho} - \frac{\mu_{q+1}}{\rho} 2d + \frac{\bar{\nu}\rho}{2} g = 0\]

\[-c' + \frac{\mu_q}{\rho} (f + g) - \frac{2(q - 1)}{\rho} c + \frac{\nu\rho}{2} c - \frac{4}{\rho} c = 0\]

\[-d' + \frac{\lambda_q}{\rho} (f - g) + \frac{2(q + 1)}{\rho} d - \frac{\nu\rho}{2} d = 0 \quad (D.21)\]

For the upper sign, we define \( h = f + g \) and \( s = f - g \). Then the equations become

\[s' - \frac{\bar{\nu}\rho}{2} s + \frac{2q}{\rho} s - \frac{\lambda_{q-1}}{\rho} 4c = 0\]

\[c' + \frac{\bar{\nu}\rho}{2} c - \frac{2(q - 1)}{\rho} c - \frac{\mu_q s}{\rho} = 0\]

\[h' + \frac{\bar{\nu}\rho}{2} h - \frac{2q\rho}{\rho} h - \frac{\mu_{q+1} 4d}{\rho} = 0\]

\[d' - \frac{\bar{\nu}\rho}{2} d + \frac{2(q + 1)}{\rho} d - \frac{\lambda_q h}{\rho} = 0 \quad (D.22)\]

First consider the case of \( j > q \). One finds that \( \lambda_{q-1} = \mu_q \) and \( \lambda_q = \mu_{q+1} \) are all nonvanishing. Requiring the normalizability of the wave function, one can show that \( s = c = h = d = 0 \). Hence such states do not exist. The brief discussion of the proof is as follows. Let us consider the first two coupled equations. Eliminating \( s \), one finds

\[\left(\frac{d}{d\rho}\right)^2 + \frac{\bar{\nu}\rho}{2} \frac{d}{d\rho} - \frac{\nu^2 \rho^2}{4} + 2q\bar{\nu}\rho^2 - 2q(q - 1)\]  

\[c = 4\mu_q^2 c \quad (D.23)\]
One can rearrange this equation to the following form

$$\left[-\frac{1}{\bar{\rho}^3} \frac{d}{d\bar{\rho}} \frac{d}{d\bar{\rho}} + \left(\frac{\bar{\rho}}{2} - \frac{2q}{\bar{\rho}}\right)^2\right] c = -4\left(\mu_q^2 - q\right) \frac{c}{\bar{\rho}^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (D.24)

Note that $\mu_q^2 - q > 0$ since we are interested in coupled case requiring $j \geq q$ when $q \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $j \geq 1$ if $q = 0$. Performing an integration with respect to $\bar{\rho}$ after multiplying (D.24) by $\bar{\rho}^3 c^*$ (i.e. $\int_0^\infty d\bar{\rho}^4 c^*$ (D.24)) , one finds that the LHS is positive definite while the RHS is negative definite unless $c = 0$. Therefore we conclude that $s = c = 0$ once the two equations are coupled with each other. A similar argument goes through for the latter two equations leading to $h = d = 0$.

For $j = q$, $\lambda_{q-1}$ and $\mu_q$ are nonvanishing while $\lambda_q = \mu_{q+1} = 0$. Then again requiring the normalizability, one finds $s = c = d = 0$ and

$$f = g = \bar{\rho}^{2q} e^{-\frac{\bar{\rho}^2}{4}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (D.25)

which leads to the $j = q$ multiplet. For $j = q - 1$, $h = s = d = 0$ by definition. One has

$$c = \bar{\rho}^{2(q-1)} e^{-\frac{\bar{\rho}^2}{4}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (D.26)

Similarly one can show that the ASD sector does not have any solution based on the fact that four are all coupled among themselves.

For the case with negative $\bar{v}$, $q$ has to be non-positive definite. One finds the following solutions by the same argument as the positive $\bar{v}$ case in the above. For $j = |q|$, one finds

$$f = -g = \bar{\rho}^{2|q|} e^{-\frac{|q|\bar{\rho}^2}{4}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (D.27)

with $c = d = 0$. For $j = |q+1|$ with $q \leq -1$, one has

$$d = \bar{\rho}^{2(|q+1|)} e^{-\frac{|q|\bar{\rho}^2}{4}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (D.28)

with $f = g = c = 0$.

**E Eguchi-Hanson metric**

For $N = 2$ we can directly solve the ADHM constraints as

$$(w_{1u} \ w_{2u}) = g \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\rho^2 + 2\zeta} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\rho^2 - 2\zeta} \end{pmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (E.1)

where

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & -u^2 \\ u_2 & u^1 \end{pmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (E.2)
and

\[ u^1 = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i(\psi + \phi)/2} \]
\[ u^2 = \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i(\psi - \phi)/2} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(E.3)}

We can in addition make a $U(1)$ gauge transformation

\[ w_{\hat{a} u} \rightarrow e^{-i\xi} w_{\hat{a} u} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(E.4)}

of our solution. After this transformation, the metric (4.6) induces the metric

\[ ds^2 = 2\rho^2 \left( \frac{d\xi}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2\rho^2} \right)^2 + dw_{\hat{a} u} d\bar{w}^{\hat{a} u} - \frac{\alpha^2}{2\rho^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(E.5)}

where

\[ \alpha = -iw_{\hat{a} u} dw^{\hat{a} u} + idw_{\hat{a} u} \bar{w}^{\hat{a} u} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(E.6)}

Here $\xi$ is a cyclic coordinate, in the sense that the metric does not depend on $\xi$ (but only on $d\xi$). The conjugate momentum

\[ p_\xi = d\xi + \frac{\alpha}{2\rho^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(E.7)}

to $\xi$ is a conserved quantity. Modding out by $U(1)$ gauge symmetry amounts to putting $p_\xi = 0$. An alternative approach is to define a covariant derivative

\[ Dw_{\hat{a} u} = dw_{\hat{a} u} - iA_{\hat{a} u} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(E.8)}

define the moduli space metric as

\[ ds^2 = Dw_{\hat{a} u} D\bar{w}^{\hat{a} u} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(E.9)}

and use the Gauss law constraint, which amounts to extremizing this metric with respect to $A$. Either way the moduli space metric becomes

\[ ds^2 = 2 \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{4\zeta^2}{\rho^4}} d\rho^2 + \rho^2 du_{\alpha} du_{\alpha} - \frac{4\zeta^2}{\rho^2} \left( iu_{\alpha} du_{\alpha} \right)^2 \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(E.10)}

From (E.3) we get

\[ du_{\alpha} du_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{4} \left( d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 + (d\psi + \cos \theta d\phi)^2 \right) \]
\[ iu_{\alpha} du_{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( d\psi + \cos \theta d\phi \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(E.11)}

and thus

\[ ds^2 = 2 \left( \frac{d\rho^2}{1 - \frac{4\zeta^2}{\rho^4}} + \rho^2 \left( \frac{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}{4} + \left( 1 - \frac{4\zeta^2}{\rho^4} \right) \sigma_3^2 \right) \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(E.12)}

where

\[ \frac{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}{\sigma_3^2} = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \]
\[ \sigma_3 = d\psi + \cos \theta d\phi \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(E.13)}

This is the Eguchi-Hanson metric.
E.1 Coordinate map from Eguchi-Hanson to Calabi metric

We have seen two different ways to obtain the moduli space metric from ADHM constraints by factoring out the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry. For $N = 2$ the two methods must give the same moduli space, which means that the Calabi metric must be equivalent to the Eguchi-Hanson metric by means of a coordinate transformation. To find the coordinate transformation we will now more carefully compare the two methods we used to obtain these two metrics.

In the Calabi case and for $N = 2$ we eliminate $\vec{x}_2$ by expressing it in terms of the Calabi space coordinates

\[ \vec{x}_1 = \frac{1}{4} w_{1\hat{a}} \hat{s}^\hat{a}_\beta w^\beta_1 \]  

(E.14)

From (E.1) we have

\[ w_{1\hat{a}} = (u_1 \rho_+, -u^2 \rho_-) \]  

(E.15)

where we define $\rho_\pm = \sqrt{\rho^2 \pm 2\zeta}$. Using the parametrization (E.3), we get

\[ x_1^1 = \frac{1}{4} (\rho_+ \rho_- \sin \theta \cos \psi) \]
\[ x_1^2 = \frac{1}{4} (\rho_+ \rho_- \sin \theta \sin \psi) \]
\[ x_1^3 = \frac{1}{4} (\rho^2 \cos \theta + 2\zeta) \]  

(E.16)

and we may recall that $x_2^1 = -x_1^1$, $x_2^2 = -x_1^2$ and $x_2^3 = \zeta - x_1^3$. It is convenient to introduce the notation $z_+ = x_1^3$ and $z_- = x_1^3$. Then

\[ z_\pm = \frac{1}{4} (\pm \rho^2 \cos \theta + 2\zeta) \]
\[ r_\pm = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_1^2 + z^2_\pm} \]  

(E.17)

Quite remarkably we find the square root of a perfect square

\[ r_\pm = \lambda (\rho^2 \pm 2\zeta \cos \theta) \]  

(E.18)

From (5.25) we then get

\[ C = \frac{2\rho^2}{\lambda (\rho^4 - (2\zeta)^2 \cos^2 \theta)} \]  

(E.19)

The fiber coordinate and gauge potential in Calabi coordinates is given by

\[ \varphi = \psi_1 - \psi_2 \]
\[ A = A_1 - A_2 \]  

(E.20)

respectively, where

\[ A_u = \frac{1}{r_u (r_u - z_u)} (x_u dy_u - y_u dx_u) \]  

(E.21)
In Eguchi-Hanson coordinates the Calabi space gauge potential becomes
\[ A = \frac{2(\rho^4 - (2\zeta)^2) \cos \theta}{\rho^4 - (2\zeta)^2 \cos^2 \theta} d\psi \]  
\[ (E.22) \]

We shall identify
\[ \varphi = 2\phi \]
\[ (E.23) \]
in order to match Calabi metric with Eguchi-Hanson metric. Thus the fiber direction which is parametrized by $\psi$ in the Eguchi-Hanson metric shall not to be confused with the fiber over $\mathbb{R}^3$ of the Calabi metric which is parameterized by $\varphi$.

\section*{E.2 Properties of Calabi space}

The Eguchi-Hanson metric gives us the vierbein
\[
e^1 = \frac{\rho}{2} \sigma^1 \\
e^2 = \frac{\rho}{2} \sigma^2 \\
e^3 = \frac{\rho}{2} K \sigma^3 \\
e^4 = \frac{d\rho}{K} \]
\[ (E.24) \]
up to a local $SO(4)$ rotation. We define the spin connection $\omega^{ab}$ by
\[ de^a + \omega^{ab} e^b = 0 \]
\[ (E.25) \]
Defining $\epsilon^{1234} = 1$ we have [19]
\[ \omega^{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abcd} \omega^{cd} \]
\[ (E.26) \]
The three Kahler forms are now given by
\[ I^I = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{ab} e^a \wedge e^b \]
\[ (E.27) \]
We can see that these are closed by the following argument. We first obtain
\[ dI^I = \eta_{ab} \omega^{ac} e^c \wedge e^b \]
\[ (E.28) \]
We then expand the selfdual spin connection as $\omega^{ac} = \eta^{J+}_{ac} \omega^J$ and we find that
\[ dI^I = |\eta^{J-}, \eta^{J+}|_{ab} e^a \wedge e^b = 0 \]
\[ (E.29) \]
To obtain Kahler forms on Calabi space we start with flat space $\mathbb{H}^N = \mathbb{C}^{2N}$ with metric (5.4). Three Kahler forms are given by
\[ I^I = \sum_{u=1}^{N} \eta_{ab} e_u^a \wedge e_u^b \]
\[ (E.30) \]
where the vielbein is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
    e^I_u &= C^2 u dx^I_u \\
    e^4_u &= C^{-\frac{1}{2}} u \sigma_\psi u
\end{align*}
\]  
(E.31)

By using

\[
d\sigma_\psi u = \frac{1}{2x^3} \epsilon^{IJK} x^I_u dx^J_u \wedge dx^K_u
\]  
(E.32)

we may check that

\[
dI^I = 0
\]  
(E.33)

To derive the Kahler forms on Calabi space we eliminate \( \vec{x}_N \) using the ADHM constraint (5.17) and we define \( \sigma_{\varphi_A} \) as in (5.21). We then get

\[
I^I = dx^I_A \wedge \sigma_A + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{IJK} C_{AB} dx^J_A \wedge dx^K_B
\]  
(E.34)
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