Proteomic profiling of milk small extracellular vesicles from bovine leukemia virus-infected cattle
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Milk small extracellular vesicles (sEV) contain proteins that provide potential information of host physiology and immunology. Bovine leukemia virus (BLV) is an oncogenic virus that causes progressive B-cell lymphosarcoma in cattle. In this study, we aimed to explore the proteomic profile of milk sEV from BLV-infected cattle compared with those from uninfected cattle. Milk sEV were isolated from three BLV-infected and three uninfected cattle. Proteomic analysis was performed by using a comprehensive nanoLC-MS/MS method. Furthermore, gene ontology (GO) annotation and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways were used to evaluate the candidates for uniquely or differentially expressed proteins in milk sEV from BLV-infected cattle. Proteomic analysis revealed a total of 1330 common proteins in milk sEV among BLV-infected cattle, whereas 118 proteins were uniquely expressed compared with those from uninfected cattle. Twenty-six proteins in milk sEV were differentially expressed proteins more than two-fold significant difference (p < 0.05) in BLV-infected cattle. GO and KEGG analyses indicated that the candidates for uniquely or differentially expressed proteins in milk sEV had been involved in diverse biological activities including metabolic processes, cellular processes, respond to stimulus, binding, catalytic activities, cancer pathways, focal adhesion, and so on. Taken together, the present findings provided a novel insight into the proteomes of milk sEV from BLV-infected cattle.

Extracellular vesicles (EV) are membranous particles, secreted by a wide variety of cells found in all biological fluids in humans and animals such as blood, amniotic fluid, ascitic fluid, urine, saliva, tears, and milk1. There is still some variability in the different classes of EV that included exosomes, ectosomes or shedding microvesicles, apoptotic bodies, and other EV subsets according to their size, biogenesis, and releasing pathway2. Among all classes of EV, one of the EV is classified as small EV (sEV), so called exosomes (30–150 nm in diameter)1. The International Society for Extracellular Vesicles (ISEV) has been established to accelerate research activities including all classes of EV. Recently, ISEV suggested that the term “sEV” should be used instead of “exosomes” in the Minimal Information for Studies of Extracellular Vesicles guidelines 2018 (MISEV2018)3.

Milk is a diverse source of sEV that contains proteins, microRNAs (miRNAs), mRNAs, DNA, and lipids that play an important role in many biological activities including cell growth, development, immune modulation and regulation4,5. For example, sEV derived from beneficial bacteria in human breast milk has been involved in the transfer of gut microbiota from mother to infant4. More recent study described that bovine milk sEV also contained proteins, miRNAs, mRNAs, DNA, and lipids that were considered to transport biologically active cargos from donor to recipient cells for exchanging genetic information7. Over the last two decades, proteomic analysis has been widely used to detect changes of proteins in milk sEV in relation with many physiological
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### Results

#### Clinical status of cattle.

The clinical status including BLV infection, hematology, and serum chemistry parameters of cattle were assessed and shown in Table 1. BLV provirus and BLV antibody was checked either by nested polymerase chain reaction (nested PCR) and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for the confirmation of BLV infection in cattle. Three BLV-infected cattle had high proviral load (HPL) > 30,000/10^5 white blood cells (WBCs) DNA along with high lactate dehydrogenase isozymes 2 and 3 (LDH2 + 3) percentage > 30%. The high WBCs and lymphocyte counts along with age were indicative either ‘Suspect’ or ‘Lymphocytic’ according to the European Community’s (EC) key parameter.

#### Protein concentration of milk sEV.

Protein concentration of milk sEV from BLV-infected and uninfected cattle were 9.95 mg/mL and 9.92 mg/mL, respectively (p > 0.05) (Supplementary Fig. 1).

#### Detection of BLV genomic RNA and BLV proteins in milk sEV.

To select BLV genomic RNA and BLV protein free milk sEV from BLV-infected cattle, reverse transcription-nested PCR and western blot (WB) analyses were performed. The results indicated that both BLV genomic RNA and BLV protein were not detected in milk sEV from BLV-infected cattle (Supplementary Fig. 2A and 1B).

#### Characterization of milk sEV.

For the characterization of isolated milk sEV from BLV-infected and uninfected cattle biophysically, nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis were performed. NTA showed that the peak (mode) for particle size distribution of milk sEV from BLV-infected and uninfected cattle were 145.6 nm and 145.7 nm, respectively (p > 0.05) (Fig. 1A). TEM indicated that a similar spherical bilayer shape of milk sEV from BLV-infected and uninfected cattle was observed (Fig. 1B). WB analysis successfully detected milk sEV-surface-marker CD63 and internal protein HSP70 from BLV-infected and uninfected cattle (Fig. 1C and Supplementary Fig. 3).

---

### Table 1. Clinical status of BLV-infected and uninfected cattle.

| Cattle no. | Age (month) | ELISA (antibody) | Nested PCR | Proviral load (copies/10^5 WBCs DNA) | WBC (µl) | Lymphocyte (µl) | Key of EC | LDH (mg/mL) | p-value |
|------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| BLV-infected cattle |
| B1         | 84          | +                | +           | 32,023                               | 17,000   | 10,931          | +         | 47.7        | 0.05    |
| B2         | 72          | +                | +           | 33,480                               | 9900     | 6752            | ±         | 54.5        | 0.05    |
| B3         | 96          | +                | +           | 36,859                               | 8900     | 6070            | ±         | 58.4        | 0.05    |
| Uninfected cattle |
| U1         | 108         | −                | −           | NT                                   | NT       | NT              | NT        | NT          | NT      |
| U2         | 48          | −                | −           | NT                                   | NT       | NT              | NT        | NT          | NT      |
| U3         | 84          | −                | −           | NT                                   | NT       | NT              | NT        | NT          | NT      |

Note: +, positive; -, negative; ±, suspect; NT, not tested; 1no., number; 2Age at the time of blood sampling; 3ELISA, anti-BLV antibody enzyme-linked immunosorbsorbent assay; 4copies/10^5 WBCs DNA; 5WBCs, white blood cells; 6Key of EC, leucosis-key of the European Community; 7 LDH, lactate dehydrogenase.
Proteomic profiling of milk sEV. Isolated sEV were analysed using nano LC–MS/MS method to compare the milk sEV proteins of BLV-infected cattle with that of uninfected cattle. The proteomic analysis revealed a large number of proteins in milk sEV from both BLV-infected and uninfected cattle (Table 2). A total of 1330 proteins in milk sEV were found as common proteins among three BLV-infected cattle. Whereas, a total of 1512 proteins in milk sEV were found as common proteins among three uninfected cattle. From the result, a total of 1212 proteins in milk sEV were overlapped after comparing BLV-infected cattle with those of uninfected cattle (Fig. 2). Most significantly, our study identified a total of 118 proteins that were the candidates for uniquely expressed proteins in milk sEV from BLV-infected cattle (Supplement Table 1). The volcano plot indicated the differential encapsulation of milk sEV proteins between BLV-infected and uninfected cattle (Fig. 3A). The heat map showed that a total of 26 proteins in milk sEV showed more than two-fold up/down-regulation with a significant difference (p < 0.05) in BLV-infected cattle (Fig. 3B and Table 3).
Functional and protein–protein interaction (PPI) network analysis. The GO analysis was performed to evaluate the candidates for uniquely (Supplementary Fig. 4A–4D) or differentially expressed proteins (Fig. 4A–4D) to obtain a comprehensive image of the changes in proteins in milk sEV from BLV-infected cattle. The candidates for uniquely or differentially expressed proteins in milk sEV were engaged in a broad range of biological processes such as cellular process, metabolic processes, response to stimulus, signalling, biogenesis, and so on. As for the molecular function, the majority of the proteins appeared to participate in binding and catalytic activity. In the cellular component category, proteins were mainly localised in the cell, membrane, and organelles. Furthermore, the majority of the proteins were classified as cytoskeletal proteins, protein modifying enzymes, translational proteins, and metabolite interconversion enzyme.

The candidates for uniquely or differentially expressed proteins in milk sEV were illustrated in the protein–protein interaction (PPI) network by STRING analysis. Importantly, the unique proteins in milk sEV have been illustrated a connectivity PPI network (Supplementary Fig. 5A) relating to several KEGG pathways including ribosome, pathways in cancer, regulation of actin cytoskeleton, chemokine signalling pathway, focal adhesion, and so on (top 10 KEGG pathways were shown in Supplementary Fig. 5B). The candidates for differentially expressed proteins milk sEV from BLV-infected cattle, Up/down-regulated proteins were indicated red/green colour, respectively (B). GraphPad Prism software, version 8 (https://www.graphpad.com) was used to generate the volcano plot and heat map.

| Proteins | BLV-infected cattle | Uninfected cattle |
|----------|---------------------|------------------|
| Identified proteins | 1549 | 1478 | 1555 | 1560 | 1974 | 1690 |
| Common proteins | 1330 | 1512 |

Table 2. Number of proteins identified in milk sEV from three BLV-infected and three uninfected cattle.

Discussion

In this study, milk sEV were characterized by NTA, TEM, and WB analyses. The results by NTA, TEM and WB analyses were indicating the typical definition and enrichment of sEV according to the MISEV2018 guidelines. Proteomic analysis revealed a total of 1330 proteins in milk sEV made a strong cluster of networking in PPI (Fig. 5A) relating to several KEGG pathways including pathways in cancer, cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, JAK-STAT signalling pathways, proteoglycans in cancer, focal adhesion, and so on (top 10 KEGG pathways were shown in Fig. 5B).
nanoLC-MS/MS method had detected of low-abundance compounds in small amount of proteins resulting a high-throughput protein count in milk sEV from BLV-infected cattle20. Although the mortality rate is low, the most noticeable negative outcome of BLV infection is that cattle develop to lymphosarcoma. However, it is difficult to suspect and detect which BLV-infected cattle could be developed with lymphosarcoma soon or later. Collection and analysis of milk sEV proteins hold to promise as a novel monitoring platform for BLV infection of cattle. Though sEV play a vital role in cell to cell communication7 and influence the physiological processes8, their function in infectious diseases is still under progress. Huang et al.21 reported that Staphylococcus aureus modified the protein expression in mammary tissue of cattle indicating that infectious diseases are capable of changing the normal proteomic profile of infected tissues. Recently, we demonstrated that mRNAs profile in milk sEV from BLV-infected cattle were altered compared with those of uninfected cattle15. However, to date, no research has been performed on identifying the changes of proteins in milk sEV from BLV-infected cattle. Therefore, the current study was conducted to evaluate the proteins encapsulation in milk sEV from BLV-infected cattle.

The present study detected a total of 26 proteins which were the candidates for differentially expressed proteins in milk sEV from BLV-infected cattle compared with those of uninfected cattle. Previous studies have been identified different types of proteins from blood or tumour tissues that were feasible in understanding the tumour progression in human. Some of the up/down-regulated proteins in our present study such as CNTFR, DDAH1, TUBB1, KRT1, KRT18, APOE, PDCD6, ITGA6, MYOF, and TGFB2 were previously reported to be associated with certain tumorigenesis and progression in malignancies22–33. Taken together, these results indicated that as an oncogenic viral disease in cattle, BLV infection could alter the encapsulated sEV proteins; therefore, the new proteins are appearing within milk sEV. The results also suggested that these proteins could be crucial in obtaining information regarding BLV infection and its pathogenesis in cattle.

GO and KEGG analyses identified several functional terms that were enriched by the candidates for uniquely or differentially expressed proteins in milk sEV. Attention was paid to the aforementioned milk sEV proteins to unveil diverse GO terms and numerous KEGG pathways for BLV infection. In the biological process, where proteins were predominantly associated with the cellular process, metabolic process, response to stimulus, and developmental process suggesting cellular proliferation, alteration, and attachment, extensively participated during BLV infection. Binding and catalytic activity were the most prevalent molecular functions, which indicated that direct regulation of protein–protein interactions might be the key process during BLV infection and its pathogenicity in the host. The cellular component indicated that the identified proteins were enriched in the cell and membrane, thus suggesting that the contents of sEV shared close ties with their host cells. The KEGG

| UniPort accession | Protein name                               | Gene name  | Regulation |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| A0A3Q1LQY1        | Ciliary neurotrophic factor receptor      | CNTFR      | UP         |
| P30546            | Histamine H1 receptor                     | HRH1       | UP         |
| P56965            | N(G),N(G)-dimethylarginine dimethylaminohydrolase 1 | DDAH1      | UP         |
| G3N0V2            | Keratin 1                                 | KRT1       | UP         |
| A0A3Q1M442        | Tubulin beta chain                        | TUBB1      | UP         |
| A6QPT7            | Endoplasmic reticulum aminopeptidase 2    | ERAP2      | UP         |
| F6S1Q8            | Keratin 18                                | KRT18      | UP         |
| Q0VDSD9           | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase MARCH8        | MARCH8     | UP         |
| A1A4Q2            | Prolyl-tRNA synthetase associated domain-containing protein 1 | PRORSID1 | UP         |
| Q3TOV7            | Endothelial differentiation-related factor 1 | EDF1       | UP         |
| Q03247            | Apolipoprotein E                          | APOE       | Down       |
| F1MLZ1            | Cytochrome b reductase 1                  | CYB1D1     | Down       |
| A0A3Q1LFT77       | Programmed cell death 6                   | PDCD6      | Down       |
| A0A3QIN92         | Semaphorin 7A                             | SEMA7A     | Down       |
| E1BEH7            | Tandem C2 domains, nuclear                | TC2N       | Down       |
| A7EQ8             | Plastin-3                                 | PL3        | Down       |
| Q9GLE5            | 72 kDa type IV collagenase                | MMP2       | Down       |
| A0A3Q1M8K4        | Integrin subunit alpha 6                  | ITGA6      | Down       |
| A6QPP7            | ELA2 protein                              | ELANE      | Down       |
| F1MXH7            | Colony stimulating factor 2 receptor beta common subunit | CSF2RB     | Down       |
| Q58CQ9            | Pantetheinase                             | VNN1       | Down       |
| F1N3I4            | Myoferlin                                | MYOF       | Down       |
| G3MW08            | Uncharacterized protein                   | LOC100337076 | Down   |
| Q2KHZ6            | CD48 molecule                             | CD48       | Down       |
| A0A3Q1J8B2        | Raf GTPase activating protein catalytic alpha subunit 2 | RALGAPA2 | Down       |
| P21214            | Transforming growth factor beta-2 proprotein | TGFBR2   | Down       |

Table 3. The candidates for differentially expressed proteins in milk sEV from BLV-infected cattle.
analysis revealed that the candidates for uniquely or differentially expressed proteins involved in many pathways including pathways in cancers, cytokine-cytokine interaction, PI3K-Akt signalling pathway, Jak-STAT signalling pathway, and focal adhesion. Several of these KEGG pathways played an important role in tumour cell proliferation, metastasis, leukemogenesis as well as formation of solid tumours reported previously in human. The results suggested that the biologically important pathways are probably involved in development and/or progression of BLV-induced leukemogenesis and tumour formation in cattle. Previous studies reported that mRNAs in milk and blood from BLV-infected cattle involved in diverse biological functions and many other pathways that are consistent with our current study.

In conclusion, proteomic analysis identified a total of 1330 common proteins in milk sEV from BLV-infected cattle, from which 118 proteins were the candidates for uniquely expressed proteins compared with those of

Figure 4. GO analysis of the candidates for differentially expressed proteins in milk sEV from BLV-infected cattle. The candidates for differentially expressed proteins in milk sEV from BLV-infected cattle were analysed using Panther software and categorised according to the biological process (A), molecular function (B), cellular component (C), and protein class (D).
uninfected cattle. Besides, GO and KEGG analyses showed a new biological phenomenon and distinct pathways that may have a great contribution into the BLV pathogenesis. The results of this study could be solid ground to facilitate future development of milk sEV-based disease monitoring. This is the first study to present the proteomic analysis of milk sEV from BLV-infected cattle that could have wide applicability in molecular biology.

In this study, since verification of the data by ELISA or other, equivalent means has not been performed, further studies are required.

**Materials and methods**
All experiments were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations of the Gifu University Animal Care and Use Committee (approval number 17046 and approved on 4 September, 2017). Additionally, experiments using cows were carried out in compliance with the standards of animal rights, welfare, and with minimum distress by following relevant guidelines and regulations of the Gifu University Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Blood samples.** Blood samples of 10 ml of each of the 16 Holstein cows were collected from two different farms and directly allocated to vacuum blood collection tubes with or without an anti-coagulant (VP-AS076K,
Isolation of sEV. For the isolation of milk sEV from BLV-infected and uninfected cow, we followed the procedure previously described by Yamauchi et al. and Rahman et al. with slight modifications. Importantly, after defatting of milk, milk sEV were purified using acetic acid followed by sequential filtration through 1.0, 0.45, and 0.2 μm filters (GA-100, C045A047A, and C020A047A, Advantec, Tokyo, Japan). Subsequently, milk sEV were concentrated by ultracentrifugation (UC) at 100,000 × g for 15 min at 25 °C for plasma separation by a centrifuge, MAX-307 (Tomy Seiko, Tokyo, Japan). Plasma samples were collected from the top portion of the tube and used for lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) isozymes measurement later. 

Protein concentration of milk sEV. Protein concentration of recovered sEV were estimated by Lowry’s method using a DC protein assay kit (500-0113, 500-0114, 500-0115, and 5,000,007; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) with a spectrophotometer, GeneQuant100 (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA).

Isolation of BLV-infected cattle with HPL in blood were selected for this study. It was reported that BLV-infected cattle with HPL in blood were considered as cattle at high risk to be BLV spreaders and might be one of the factors of disease progression. BLV proviral load was measured by using 100 ng of WBC DNA by a quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). The amplification was carried out in a reaction mixture containing 10 μl of THUNDERBIRD Probe qPCR Mix (A4250K, Toyobo, Osaka, Japan), 0.3 μl of CoCoMo-BLV Primer/Probe (A803, Riken Genesis, Tokyo, Japan), 5 μl of a template DNA sample, and PCR grade water to increase the volume up to 20 μl. For the proviral quantification, BLV BoLA-DRA gene Plasmid DNA was used from the kit (A804, Riken Genesis) and BLV proviral DNA was measured by a Thermal Cycler Dice Real Time System III (TP970, Takara Bio, Kusatsu, Japan), 0.5 μM of forward and reverse primers, and 1 μl of extracted WBC DNA (100–400 ng). Thermal cycling condition was as follows: 95 °C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 45 s, 62 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s, and finally 72 °C for 4 min.

Measurement of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) isozymes. A previous study reported that LDH activities in the serum, mainly, increased LDH 2 and 3 isozyme percentages, reflected progression of EBL, thereby making it a key parameter for the diagnosis of lymphosarcoma. Therefore, we also focused on serum LDH isozyme activity. BLV-infected cattle with LDH 2 + 3 > 30% was selected. LDH isozymes were measured by a Hydrasys 2 Scan (Sebia, Paris, France) using HYDRAGEL 7 ISO-LDH (Sebia), which was conducted by a clinical laboratory testing company, Fujifilm Vet Systems (Tokyo, Japan).

Collection of milk samples. Milk samples were collected from three BLV-infected and three uninfected healthy cattle. After collection, both milk samples were transported quickly to the laboratory in a cool box to maintain the temperature and were stored at 4 °C for further use.

Isolation of sEV. For the isolation of milk sEV from BLV-infected and uninfected cow, we followed the procedure previously described by Yamauchi et al. and Rahman et al. with slight modifications. Importantly, after defatting of milk, milk sEV were purified using acetic acid followed by sequential filtration through 1.0, 0.45, and 0.2 μm filters (GA-100, C045A047A, and C020A047A, Advantec, Tokyo, Japan). Subsequently, milk sEV were concentrated by ultracentrifugation (UC) at 100,000 × g at 4 °C for 1 h using a P42A angle rotor (Hitachi Koki, Tokyo, Japan) in a Himac CP80WX ultracentrifuge (Hitachi Koki). After the first UC, the supernatant was discarded and the pellet was resuspended with PBS up to 10 ml into a 13PET tube (Hitachi Koki) followed by another UC at 100,000 × g at 4 °C for 1 h using a P40ST swing rotor (Hitachi Koki). sEV pellet was collected and resuspended with 100 μl of PBS for further use.

Protein concentration of milk sEV. Protein concentration of recovered sEV were estimated by Lowry’s method using a DC protein assay kit (500-0113, 500-0114, 500-0115, and 5,000,007; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) with a spectrophotometer, GeneQuant100 (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA).

Isolation of RNA and detection of BLV genomic RNA and BLV proteins in milk sEV. Total RNA was extracted from milk sEV from BLV-infected cow by using QIAamp viral RNA Mini Kit (52906, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA concentration was measured by a spectrophotometer NanoDropLite (Thermo Fisher Scientific). cDNA was synthesis by reverse transcription reaction using 5 × PrimeScript RT Master Mix (DR036A-1, Takara Bio) followed by nested PCR was performed to amplify the envelope or pX region of BLV by amplifying total WBC DNA by a quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). The amplification was carried out in a reaction mixture containing 10 μl of THUNDERBIRD Probe qPCR Mix (A4250K, Toyobo, Osaka, Japan), 0.5 μM of forward and reverse primers, and 1 μl of extracted WBC DNA (100–400 ng). Thermal cycling condition was as follows: 95 °C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 45 s, 62 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s, and finally 72 °C for 4 min.
After gel electrophoresis and trans-blotting, membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat skim milk in Tris-buffered saline [0.1 M Tris–HCl (pH 8.0) and 0.03 M NaCl] containing 0.1% Tween-20 (TBST) at room temperature (RT) for 30 min. For the detection of BLV proteins gp51 in milk sEV from BLV-infected cattle, monoclonal antibody specific to a D-D’ epitope on BLV gp51 (Env) (1:400, VMRD, Pullman, WA, USA) was used. The membrane was incubated for 1 h at RT, diluted in 1% non-fat skim milk in TBST, followed by washing thrice with TBST. The secondary antibody, anti-mouse IgG sheep antibody (1:1000, NA9310, GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) conjugated with horseradish peroxidase, were diluted with TBST incubated for 1 h at RT followed by washing thrice with TBST. Peroxidase activity was detected using a Pierce ECL Plus substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and visualised by using a chemiluminescence apparatus (Chemidoc XRS+, Bio-Rad Laboratories).

**Characterization of milk sEV.** NTA analysis of milk sEV from BLV-infected and uninfected cattle was performed using a NanoSight LM10HV-3S, NTA 3.4 instrument by an assigning company (Quantum Design Japan, Tokyo, Japan). Morphological examination of isolated milk sEV from BLV-infected and uninfected cattle was carried out by TEM as described in a previous study with slight modifications. The sEV pellet was diluted 10 times from its original concentration with distilled water, applied to glow-discharged carbon support films on copper grids followed by stained with 2% uranyl acetate. The samples were then visualized under an electron microscope, JEM-2100F (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) at 200 kV. WB analysis was carried out as described in a previous study with slight modifications. The primary antibodies, anti-CD63 (1:400, M-13, SC-31214, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or anti-HSP70 (1:100, N27F3-4, Enzo Life Science, Farmingdale, NY, USA) were used. The secondary antibodies, anti-goat IgG donkey antibody (1:2000, SC-3851, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or anti-mouse IgG sheep antibody (1:1000, NA9310, GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) were used. The membrane was incubated for 1 h at RT, incubated for 1 h at RT followed by washing thrice with TBST. Peroxidase activity was detected using a Pierce ECL Plus substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and visualised by using a chemiluminescence apparatus (Chemidoc XRS+, Bio-Rad Laboratories).

**Proteomic and Scaffold Data Independent Acquisition (Scaffold DIA) analysis.** For the proteomic profiling, all milk sEV samples were analyzed by the nanoLC-MS/MS method (UltiMate 3000 RSLCnano System, Thermo Fisher Scientific) as described previously by Nguyen et al. with slight modifications. Procedures of proteomic analysis were performed by an entrusted company, Hakarel (Osaka, Japan). Accesion number of each sEV protein was obtained from the UniPort database for Bos taurus (https://www.uniprot.org/proteomes/UP000009136). The results were further analyzed by the Scaffold DIA software (Proteome Software, Portland, OR, USA) to compare the peptide counts of the identified proteins, considering the false discovery rate > 1%. A moderated t-test with Benjamini–Hochberg test were performed to assess the statistical significance of the data. Corrected p-value cut off of 0.05 was applied. From our data sets, common proteins present in all three samples from BLV-infected and those from three uninfected cattle were considered for listing up and the proteins without a gene name or those with a dual gene name were excluded.

**Functional and STRING interaction network analysis.** GO and KEGG pathway analyses were performed using the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID version 6.8) (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/). The biological process, molecular function, cellular component, and protein class were determined using Panther software, online version (http://www.pantherdb.org/). An adjusted p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. In this study, we used the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING) database, version 10.5 (https://string-db.org) to construct the interaction network of the candidates for uniquely or differentially expressed proteins in milk EVs from BLV-infected cattle.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis was performed using the Student’s t-test to compare the milk sEV proteins from BLV-infected and uninfected cattle. The p value 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**Data availability**

Raw data of proteomic analysis of milk sEV from BLV-infected and uninfected cattle was deposited in the Mendley data repository (Direct URL: Mendele Data, V1, https://doi.org/10.17632/zxb5v9jr7.5.1 and https://doi.org/10.17632/7c2ddwcg7.1).
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