1. Introduction

Ternary semiconductor materials have attracted much attention because of their potential application in photonic devices [1]. PbS–SnS materials are promising materials in photovoltaic, infrared detection [2]. In addition, tin sulfide compounds in photovoltaic structures have led to the production costs of solar cells being reduced. Because of the materials involved are cheap, nonstrategic, and abundant in nature.

As we know, the fabrication involves many steps using different deposition methods. It is usually a time consuming and expensive process. In general, the nature of the surface of any material plays a crucial role in device fabrication. The surface energy of the adhesive material and the contact angle are the characteristics of molecular adhesion. Sufficient wetting is necessary for a good contact adhesion. The larger the wetting and hence, the smaller contact angle, the stronger the adhesion and the greater the possibility for the adhesive material filling the pores on the surface of the substrate. If the adhesive material in the coating formed air bubbles between the adhesive and the substrate, then these areas are potentially breaking the adhesive bonds in some places as a result of the applied external force. Therefore, the performance of the junction and the cell depends critically on composition, structure and morphology of the absorber surface, whereas local inhomogeneity, chemical composition and surface morphology determine surface wettability. The wettability interferes with adhesion absorber surface [3] (and, in turn, with performance of the junction), which in turn influences solar cell energy conversion efficiency. Lokhande et al. [4] and Roh et al. [5] propose to use contact angle measurement as a diagnostic method to determine the quality of CuInS$_2$ absorbers without forming an actual solar cell.

The purpose of this project is to study morphology and wettability of the surface of Pb$_{1-x}$Sn$_{1-x}$S thin films fabricated in a wide temperature range. We use hot wall technique for synthesis of polycrystalline thin films on glass substrates. For the synthesis, the substrate temperature was varied. Surface properties of thin films were studied by using atomic force microscopy (AFM) and contact angle measurements.

2. Experiment details

The polycrystalline Pb$_{1-x}$Sn$_{1-x}$S ingots used as a source material were synthesized by reaction of stoichiometric mixtures of pure Sn and S (99.99%) and natural galena (PbS). The mixture is sealed under 1.3 × 10$^{-1}$ Pa vacuum in quartz tube. It was placed vertically in an electric furnace and kept at 450°C for 7 days and after that at 700°C for 10 days. In order to avoid explosions due to the sulfur vapour pressure, the tube was heated slowly (25°C/h). Then the product was ground and mixed in an agate mortar, sealed in a silica glass tube and reheated at 700°C for 10 days. Crushed powder was used as raw material for the hot wall vacuum evaporation (Fig. 1) onto glass slides and molybdenum boat was used as evaporator. The chamber pressure was about 3 × 10$^{-4}$ Pa. Substrates were chemically cleaned, rinsed with water and blown dry with compressed air before deposition. The substrate temperature was measured using a chromel–alumel thermocouple in contact with substrate surface. Substrate and wall temperatures were 200°C–382°C and 600°C, respectively. The distance between source and substrate has been maintained as constant at 12 cm, deposition time was 30 min.

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) study of samples was performed with an atomic force microscope (NT-206) using cantilevers CSC21. Roughness values in this paper refer to the average surface roughness values, $R_a$. The roughness ratio $k$ is defined as the ratio of true area of the solid surface to the apparent area. AFM images were analyzed using the SurfaceXplore 1.3.11 program.
The wetting behavior is characterized by a value of the contact angle ($\theta_0$). Contact angle measurements were based on the sessile-drop method described in [6]. The wetting agent was doubly distilled water. Besides contact angle measurements based on the sessile-drop method contact angle hysteresis evaluation was conducted by extension/contraction method. We measure the contact angle in the state in which the droplet is attached to both the needle tip and solid surface, and the droplet amount is increased or decreased. The angle formed while increasing volume is called the advancing angle ($\theta_a$), likewise the angle while decreasing volume is called the receding angle ($\theta_r$). Contact angle hysteresis is defined as the difference between advancing and receding angles: $\Delta \theta = \theta_a - \theta_r$.

The wetting of rough textured surfaces can be described by models: Wenzel, Cassie-Baxter, liquid film state. The Wenzel model describes homogeneous wetting regime (when the liquid fills in the roughness grooves of a surface). In the case when the liquid does not fill in the roughness grooves of a surface, the Wenzel model is not sufficient. The drop of water creates on the substrate small air pockets underneath it. It is a heterogeneous wetting regime and surface is a composite of two types of patches: air and solid. This heterogeneous surface is explained using the Cassie-Baxter model. The Wenzel state switches to the liquid film state when the penetration front spreads beyond the drop and a liquid film forms over the surface. The film smooths the surface roughness and the Wenzel model no longer applies.

The Rutherford backscattering technique was employed for the investigation of target composition and for depth profiling of components in films. The energy of He$^+$ ions was 1.5 or 2.0 MeV, and the scattering, entry and escape angles were 160°, 0°, and 20°, respectively. The energy resolution of the analyzing system was 15 keV. Concentration profiles of components were evaluated using the RUMP code computer simulation. However, the quantitative application of that method is restricted to laterally homogeneous and smooth films. Surface roughness, such as grains, can cause diffusion-like broadening of the spectrum and it is difficult to render the interpretation of the result. The effect of rough films on a smooth substrate was investigated by Mayer [7]. The effect of layer roughness on the shape of RBS spectra was investigated for incident He$^+$ ions backscattered from a gold layer at a scattering angle of 165°. In RBS geometry, the layer roughness results in broadening of the low energy edge of thin films and the development of tails stretching to low energies.

### 3. Results and discussion

Some AFM images of the Pb$_x$Sn$_{1-x}$S films are shown in Fig. 2. These pictures indicate how the shape of the surface changes with an increase of substrate temperature. The topography and wettability parameters are listed in Table I.

![AFM pictures (3D) of Pb$_x$Sn$_{1-x}$S samples deposited at different substrate temperatures $T_s$: 2 — $T_s = 206$ °C, 4 — $T_s = 240$ °C; 7 — $T_s = 290$ °C; 11 — $T_s = 320$ °C; 15 — $T_s = 330$ °C.](image-url)
can be seen that the size of the largest crystallites and \( R_a \) increase with \( T_s \) increasing, because of the sintering, wherein small grains coalesce to form larger grains.

Group 2 consists of samples 4 and 6. These films consist of vertically placed crystals of approximately the same shape and size. It also appears that the grown granules are loosely packed together and form a highly rough surface. The crystallite size, the \( R_a \) of the films decreases with increasing \( T_s \).

The third group consists of film 5, 7, 10, 12, 13. The entire deposited material is subdivided into a more or less continuous layer and crystals grown on it. The main film consists of stacked blocks with their \( c \)-axis always parallel to growth direction. The size of the blocks is different for the different samples and has no clear dependence on the temperature of the substrate. The crystallites grown on the surface of the films have the geometric shape: rectangular blocks for lower temperatures, and the pyramid to the higher temperature of the substrate.

Samples 9, 11, 16 can also be counted to the Group 3, but we distinguish them in a separate Group 4. Their difference is that the nanorods (whiskers) grow on the surface of the film. Thin film 9 has few droplets on its surface only. Such droplets were already observed on the surface of PbS, PbTe, PbSe thin films prepared by hot-wall vacuum evaporation (HWVE) [8].

The last group 5 consists of the samples 14, 15, 17. Ordered placement of blocks in these films (as in groups 3 and 4) is replaced by a disordered one. The crystals on the surface of films are randomly and undirected. There is no definite shape and size of the crystallites.

The images of the all thin films showed a densely packed microstructure free of pinholes. The grains are well connected with each other, which is essential for the development of \( p-n \) junction.

Figure 3 shows the position of a water drop on the surface of Pb\(_2\)Sn\(_{1-x}\)S samples.

| No. | \( T_s \) [°C] | \( R_a \) [\( \mu \)m] | \( k \) | \( f \) | \( \Theta \) [°] | \( \Theta^1 \) [°] | \( \Theta^2 \) [°] | Wenzel \( \Theta \) [°] |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|-----|-----|--------|--------|--------|-------------|
| 1   | 200            | 18.5            | 1.909 | 0.592 | 119.0  | 108.1  | 11.9  | 118.7       |
| 2   | 205            | 23.6            | 1.901 | 0.507 | 79.8   | 170.4  | 9.6   | 79.9        |
| 3   | 210            | 42.1            | 1.043 | 0.620 | 50.0   | 133.9  | 26.1  | 52.0        |
| 4   | 210            | 47.0            | 1.020 | 0.621 | 125.4  | 161.6  | 18.4  | 124.6       |
| 5   | 206            | 14.0            | 1.001 | 0.698 | 70.7   | 175.3  | 4.7   | 70.7        |
| 6   | 200            | 16.9            | 1.031 | 0.647 | 98.4   | 156.8  | 23.2  | 98.1        |
| 7   | 200            | 79.9            | 1.074 | 0.830 | 107.6  | 134.2  | 45.8  | 106.4       |
| 8   | 300            | 116.0           | 1.049 | 0.726 | 40.9   | 147.9  | 32.1  | 43.9        |
| 9   | 302            | 37.7            | 1.024 | 0.745 | 82.7   | 156.1  | 23.9  | 82.9        |
| 10  | 319            | 36.7            | 1.037 | 0.746 | 93.9   | 150.8  | 29.2  | 93.8        |
| 11  | 320            | 69.3            | 1.048 | 0.744 | 82.0   | 147.4  | 32.6  | 82.4        |
| 12  | 320            | 70.5            | 1.059 | 0.764 | 94.5   | 143.1  | 36.9  | 94.2        |
| 13  | 325            | 18.8            | 1.004 | 0.759 | 78.7   | 169.6  | 10.4  | 78.7        |
| 14  | 330            | 107.8           | 1.113 | 0.684 | 95.0   | 137.4  | 42.6  | 94.5        |
| 15  | 330            | 32.2            | 1.054 | 0.637 | 100.7  | 150.5  | 29.5  | 100.1       |
| 16  | 361            | 56.7            | 1.036 | 0.731 | 113.0  | 151.9  | 28.1  | 112.2       |
| 17  | 382            | 210.6           | 1.216 | 0.774 | 117.9  | 120.8  | 59.2  | 112.6       |

\[ \cos \Theta = f \cos \Theta_0 + 1 - f \] (liquid film state),
\[ \cos \Theta = k \cos \Theta_0 \] (Wenzel state),
\[ \cos \Theta = f \cos \Theta_0 + f - 1 \] (Cassie state).

We found that only for the Wenzel formula the contact angle \( \Theta_0 \) is in the interval of \( \Theta^2 < \Theta_0 < \Theta^1 \). For the other formulae \( \Theta_0 \) is outside the respective regions \( \Theta^1 < \Theta_0 < \Theta^2 \) and \( \Theta^3 < \Theta_0 < 180^\circ \). Based on these calculations, we use the Wenzel model to describe the wettability of our films; i.e. drop of water do not form air bubbles between the water and the substrate (the Wenzel model definition). The relative deviation of the Wenzel formula contact angle from the experimentally measured \( \Theta \) range from \(-4.5\%\) to \(7.4\%\).

In some cases, the Cassie model can work outside \( \Theta^3 < \Theta_0 < 180^\circ \) [9]. After the first results of the Pb\(_2\)Sn\(_{1-x}\)S samples water wettability investigation, questions were raised about the applicability of the Wenzel model to samples 4, 6, 9, 11, 16, which consist of stacked blocks with their \( c \)-axis parallel to the growth direction and the crystallites (pyramids or whiskers) grown on it.

To check the results, studies of the contact angle hysteresis of water drop have been conducted. The contact
angles in both states are comparable (the Wenzel angle is slightly smaller than the Cassie one), but the hysteresis is dramatically affected by the change of state: it is found to be 10-20 times larger in the Wenzel regime. This is a sign of air trapping: because the drop sits on a cushion of air, its pinning on the solid (which is responsible for the hysteresis) is highly reduced [10]. In the case of Cassie model, perimeter of contact between the drop and the surface immediately begins to decrease at contraction of the drop.

The surface of the thin films will be characterized by a dimensionless quantity \( L/H \), where \( L \) — the maximum distance between the columns within a single unit cell texture, and \( H \) — height of the columns. Texture coefficient \( L/H \) determines whether the meniscus of the liquid touches the lower surface of texture. Their relationship predicts the existence and the transition from the Wenzel model to the Cassie model.

**TABLE II**

Values of the advancing, receding, and hysteresis contact angles, and texture coefficient of Pb\(_x\)Sn\(_{1-x}\)S samples.

| No. | \( \Theta_1 \) [\(^\circ\)] | \( \Theta_2 \) [\(^\circ\)] | \( \Delta \Theta \) [\(^\circ\)] | \( L/H \) |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 4   | 40.5            | 127.0           | 86.50           | 1.02            |
| 6   | 37.6            | 101.3           | 63.70           | 2.94            |
| 9   | 32.3            | 86.1            | 53.80           | 4.00            |
| 11  | 48.6            | 84.0            | 35.40           | 5.06            |
| 16  | 30.0            | 113.5           | 83.50           | 2.50            |

![Fig. 4. Values of the advancing, receding, and hysteresis contact angles as a function of the texture coefficient.](image)

The values of the receding contact angles look almost independent from the texture coefficient, while the value of the advancing contact angle decreases with increasing texture coefficient (Table II and Fig. 4). \( \Delta \Theta \) therefore decreases with increasing period texture. The contact angle hysteresis of the samples is not less than 35.4\(^\circ\), hence we must use the model Wenzel description of their wettability.

In experiments [11] it has been shown that for a glass substrate \( R_a = 2.2 \text{ nm} \), and the thickness of the Pb\(_x\)Sn\(_{1-x}\)S films, whose chemical composition was investigated by means of RBS and RUMP, is more than 830 nm. Thus, substrates can be considered to be smooth, because of their roughness is much smaller than the mean thickness \( d \) of the film. By means of the program "SurfaceXplore" we estimated values of mean thickness \( d \) and standard deviation \( \sigma \) for samples 5, 7, 12, 15, 16, 17 (Table III).

**TABLE III**

Atomic composition, mean thickness value and standard deviation of the Pb\(_x\)Sn\(_{1-x}\)S films.

| No. | \( T_s \) [\(^\circ\)C] | The maintenance of elements [at.\%] | \( D \) [\(\mu\text{m}\)] | \( \sigma \) [\(\mu\text{m}\)] | \( \sigma/d \) |
|-----|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| 5   | 268             | Sn 37.3, Pb 10.4, S 52.3      | 830            | 21.5           | 0.03          |
| 7   | 290             | Sn 39, Pb 7.6, S 53.4          | 900            | 89.7           | 0.10          |
| 12  | 320             | Sn 38, Pb 9.5, S 52.5          | 950            | 106.6          | 0.11          |
| 15  | 330             | Sn 40.2, Pb 6.8, S 53          | 1160           | 37.9           | 0.03          |
| 16  | 361             | Pb 8.6, S 48                  | 1680           | 68.7           | 0.04          |
| 17  | 382             | Pb 8.3, S 52.7                | 1150           | 283.5          | 0.25          |

In our case the thickness variation is much smaller than the mean film thickness. Comparing the calculated results with Mayer’s results (energy spectra for 2 MeV \(^3\)He backscattered from a smooth and rough gold layers with mean thickness \( 1 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) and different roughnesses with standard deviation \( \sigma \)) we can conclude that only the low energy edge of the film is affected by the roughness and gets broader. The development of tails stretching to low energies is negligible. In our RBS spectra, the surface roughness can cause an error in evaluating elemental composition deeply in a simulated film (in the intermediate layer of elements of a substrate and a coating).

The energy spectrum of 2 MeV \(^3\)He backscattered from rough Pb\(_x\)Sn\(_{1-x}\)S films deposited on glass is shown in Fig. 5. The experimental data are not well reproduced by the simulated spectrum, especially the small background. The remaining discrepancies between experimental data and simulation are mainly due to plural scattering, which was not taken into account in the calculation. The typical depth profile for one representative sample is given in Fig. 6. The depth profiles of films reveal relatively uniform distribution of components in the bulk of the films through the depth. Table III contains the average atomic concentrations of Pb, Sn and S determined for the films.

The Pb\(_x\)Sn\(_{1-x}\)S films are 0.83–1.68 \(\mu\text{m} \) thick (at different temperatures). With the increase of the substrate
temperature, the thickness of a film increases, too. The films consist of 6.8–10.4 at.\% of lead, 37.3–43.4 at.\% of tin, 48.0–53.4 at.\% of sulphur. Profiles of element distribution show that the obtained films are homogeneous. As appears from spectra, the substrate includes silicon and oxygen. Mutual diffusion of elements of a substrate (Si, O) and a coating (Pb, Sn, S) has been observed. The thickness of an interphase layer is from 0.48 \( \mu \text{m} \) to above 1.65 \( \mu \text{m} \) for different samples.

The Pb\(_x\)Sn\(_1-x\)S films are 0.83–1.68 \( \mu \text{m} \) thick (at different temperatures). With the increase of the substrate temperature, the thickness of films increases, too. Profiles of distribution of elements show that the obtained films are homogeneous. As appears from spectra, the substrate includes silicon and oxygen. Mutual diffusion of elements of a substrate (Si, O) and a coating (Pb, Sn, S) has been observed. The thickness of an interphase layer is from 0.48 \( \mu \text{m} \) to above 1.65 \( \mu \text{m} \) for different samples.

**4. Conclusions**

With a help of AFM the main stages in the development of the thin films have been investigated.

We have proposed a possible description of the wetting (or dewetting) of a rough textured surface with two parameters: the roughness ratio \( k \) and the part \( f \) of the total surface area wet by the liquid. The effective contact angle \( \theta \) could be calculated on such a surface, as a function of these parameters and the Young contact angle, fixed by the chemical nature of the solid and the liquid.

The studies of the contact angle hysteresis of water drop have been conducted in order to confirm the validity of using the Wenzel model in description of the water wettability of Pb\(_x\)Sn\(_1-x\)S films. The values of the receding contact angles look almost independent of the texture coefficient, while the value of the advancing contact angle decreases with increasing texture coefficient. Contact angle hysteresis decreases with increasing texture coefficient.
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