INTRODUCTION

The treatment and retention of an endodontically treated tooth is a challenging aspect for dental clinicians worldwide. Owing to advances in the field of endodontics, a significant increase in the retention of endodontically treated teeth has been observed. However, their retention is considered an uphill task for the clinician as these teeth are often considered brittle and hence, calls for continuous care and follow-up of the concerned tooth, which often challenges the skill and ingenuity of dental clinicians.

In today’s scenario, there is still a lack of consensus regarding the clinical procedures and materials to be used to restore teeth in endodontic procedures. Every treatment modality has its own advantages, disadvantages and complications.

One of the major complications following teeth that are endodontically treated is the incidence of root fracture (RF) which leads to either root amputation (hemisectioning) or tooth extraction and causes discomfort to the patient along with unnecessary, expensive and increased dental visits. Fractures of root can be broadly classified into two categories: horizontal and vertical. They are further sub-defined as fractures involving the dentine, cementum and pulp. The prevalence of these fracture varies from 0.5 to 7% among the permanent dentition with the age group of 11 to 20 years being the most commonly affected population.

Among root fractures, the commonest form of root fracture is horizontal root fracture (seen primarily in the anterior maxillary teeth). Research shows that these types of fractures frequently occur at middle-third segment and are infrequently seen in the apical and coronal-third of the root structure. On the contrary, vertical root fractures are rare in nature, and extend through the long axis of the root toward the apex with prevalence ranging from 2 to 20%. Sometimes these fractures are asymptomatic in nature and are only diagnosed during routine clinical, diagnostic procedures.

Owing to the complex presentation of root fractures, additional diagnostic aids like IOPAR,
occlusal radiographs, OPG etc., are required for rehabilitation (functional and aesthetic) of the tooth following such fractures. The aim of the present study is to identify the prevalence of root fractures among patients visiting the OPD of a specialised tertiary care dental care clinic. This study can assist clinicians to understand the myriad spectrum of root fracture presentation and thereby help them formulate an adequate management strategy.

METHODOLOGY
The study was conducted from July 2016 to August 2017 among patients visiting the OPD of a specialised tertiary care dental care clinic and suspected of having root fractures. To confirm the same, and IOPA/OPG/occlusal radiograph was taken and cases of root fractures as a result of endodontically treated teeth and well as non-endodontically treated teeth were identified. Only those teeth which had a permanent restoration and were treated endodontically/restored for more than six months were included. Following patient consent, data regarding the type and location of the root fracture, diagnostic aid used was entered into a spreadsheet and was analysed using statistical methods. The entire study protocol was approved from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) prior to commencement of the study. The statistical tests used were student’s t-test, ANOVA (for inter group comparison) and calculation of odd’s ratio (OR). The data was analysed using SPSS version 21.0 and p value was set as significant when p value was ≤0.05.

RESULTS
The study population comprised of 383 subjects and it was observed that males (207, 54%) formed a majority of the study population as compared to females (176, 46%). Table 1 describes the gender-wise distribution of root fractures in both endodontically treated and non-endodontically treated teeth. It was seen that a majority of fractures among endodontically treated teeth were seen in females (162, 58.5%) while a higher prevalence was seen among males (92, 86.8%) in fractures related to non-endodontically treated teeth.

The types of fractures seen among both endodontically (with restoration) and non-endodontically treated teeth (with restoration) is shown in Table 2. Of the total of 383 fractured teeth examined, 277(72.3%) teeth were endodontically treated [155(55.0%) horizontal and 122(44.1%) vertical fracture], while 106(27.7%) were 155 non-endodontically treated teeth [63(59.4%) horizontal and 43(40.6%) vertical fracture] which fractured due to any other reason.

Table 3 describes the types of horizontal and vertical fracture(s) seen among endodontically (with restoration) treated teeth. It was observed that there were 155 teeth with horizontal fractures and a majority of them had single fracture (63, 40.6%) followed by displaced fractures. Among vertical fractures, the majority comprised of complete fractures (48, 39.3%) followed by incomplete fractures (32, 26.2%) and supra-osseous fractures (29, 23.7%). Statistical analysis revealed a significance difference between horizontal and vertical root fractures [p=.005(t-test), p=.0025(ANOVA)]. Odd’s ratio analysis revealed that horizontal fractures (OR=2.2) were more prone to develop as compared to vertical fractures.

DISCUSSION
Diagnosis of root fractures (both horizontal and vertical) poses a clinical dilemma as the condition is often misdiagnosed as a periodontal disease or associated with endodontic lesions. Owing to the nature of the condition and in the absence of radiographic confirmatory tests, it is possible that many teeth with root fractures are simply extracted, especially among non-endodontically treated teeth.11,12

The present study identifies the prevalence of root fractures among patients visiting a specialised tertiary care dental care clinic using radiographic diagnostic procedures and found a total 383 patients suffering from different types of root fractures over a period of 13 months. The treatment protocol for root fractures varies and depends upon the location of root fracture: fractures in the apical third primarily needs a “watch and observe” protocol and if the pulp is vital, the segment can be retained, while if the pulp shows signs of necrosis, surgical extraction is advised. Fractures in the middle third can be managed through reduction and stabilization of the tooth. Approximately 75% of these fractures heal, and if the fracture does not heal and the pulp
is necrosed, extraction is advised. Cervical fractures, have the poorest healing ability, and treatment protocols include reduction and stabilization, reattachment, periodontal surgery, orthodontic extrusion and finally if nothing works, surgical extraction. For vertical root fractures, extraction is advised in a single rooted tooth while multi-rooted teeth have been treated by uniting the fragments (through GIC, 4 META, dual cure resin cements, fibre posts with composite resin cores) and then replanting the tooth back in its socket. In both horizontal and vertical root fractures, long term follow up is required and is advised at 4 weeks, 6–8 weeks, 4 months, 6 months, 1 year and 5 years intervals.

In the present study, the prevalence of horizontal root fractures was seen as 40.4%. This percentage is higher as compared to Karhade et al. who reported a prevalence of 0.5–7% horizontal root fractures among all traumatic dental injuries. However, the prevalence calculated in the present study is only based on the total prevalence of fractured teeth and individual comparisons may vary from author to author. Similarly, the prevalence of vertical root fracture was seen as 31.8% and is higher in comparison to Zadik et al. (8.8%) and Hansen et al (4%). The results of the present study are however in agreement with Sjögren et al. who found the prevalence of vertical root fractures in endodontically treated as 30.8%. Such variations in the prevalence rates can be attributed to difference in author interpretation of vertical root fractures and also due to improper processing of radiographs. Additionally Garcia-Guerrero C et al. stated that endodontic retreatment could be considered as a risk factor for the development of vertical root fracture after 1-8 years and additionally explains the high prevalence of root fractures in the present study.

CONCLUSION
Due to loss of structural integrity, endodontically treated teeth need to be followed up routinely as they are predisposed for root fractures. If a root fracture is diagnosed, it should be treated promptly in order to optimise patient outcomes.
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LEGENDS

| CHARACTERISTIC                      | GENDER | n(%)    |
|------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Endodontically treated teeth       | Males  | 115(41.5%) |
|                                    | females| 162(58.5%) |
|                                    | Total  | 277(100%)  |
| Non-Endodontically treated teeth   | Males  | 92(86.8%)  |
|                                    | Females| 88(13.2%)   |
|                                    | Total  | 106       |
|                                    | Total  | 383(100%) |

Table 1. Gender-wise distribution fractures in in both endodontically treated and non-endodontically treated teeth
**Table 2.** Types of fracture(s) i.e horizontal and vertical seen among both endodontically (with restoration) and non-endodontically treated teeth (with restoration)

| CHARACTERISTIC                  | N(%)         | Horizontal fracture* vertical fracture | p= 0.005*(Chi Squared) | OR= 2.2(Horizontal fractures vs Vertical fractures) |
|--------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Horizontal fractures            |              |                                        |                        |                                                    |
| Number                          | Single       | 63(40.6%)                              |                        |                                                    |
|                                 | Multiple     | 10(6.4%)                               |                        |                                                    |
| Location                        | Cervical     | 21(13.5%)                              |                        |                                                    |
|                                 | Middle       | 18(11.6%)                              |                        |                                                    |
|                                 | Apical       | 03(1.9%)                               |                        |                                                    |
| Position of Coronal fragment    | Not displaced| 16(10.3%)                              |                        |                                                    |
|                                 | displaced    | 24(15.7%)                              |                        |                                                    |
| Total                           | 155(100%)    |                                        |                        |                                                    |
| Vertical Fractures              |              |                                        |                        |                                                    |
| Fragment separation             | Complete     | 48(39.3%)                              |                        |                                                    |
|                                 | Incomplete   | 32(26.2%)                              |                        |                                                    |
| Fracture position               | Supra-osseous| 29(23.7%)                              |                        |                                                    |
|                                 | Intra-osseous| 13(10.8%)                              |                        |                                                    |
| Total                           | 122(100%)    |                                        |                        |                                                    |

**Table 3.** Types of horizontal and vertical fracture(s) seen among endodontically treated teeth (with restoration)