Yacon Flour and Corn Steep Liquor as Substrates for Inulinas and Biomass Production by Kluyveromyces marxianus NRRL Y-7571
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ABSTRACT

Yacon is a perennial plant native of the Andes that stores inulin-type polyfructans as reserve carbohydrates in its tubers. The enzymes that hydrolyze the inulin β-2,1 fructose linkages are called inulinases and are much used to produce high fructose syrups (HFS) and ethanol. The present study investigated inulinase and biomass production by Kluyveromyces marxianus NRRL Y-7571 using yacon flour as carbon source and corn steep liquor as nitrogen source by a Central Composite Design (CCD) 2² to carry out experiments and Surface Response Methodology (RSM) to analyze the results. The maximum enzyme production was 36.1 U.ml⁻¹ and biomass production was 8.95 g.l⁻¹ under the condition of 7% yacon flour and 2% corn steep liquor. The crude enzyme partial characterization showed that inulinase had optimal pH at 4.0 and optimal temperature at 60°C. The enzyme maintained over 90% of its activity for four hours at 45°C and 50°C.
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INTRODUCTION

Inulin-type polyfructans are linear carbohydrates with β(2→1) bonds between the fructose units. They have received a lot of attention recently due to their functional properties, because they improve food texture and fiber content without altering the appearance and flavor of the end product and they also have a beneficial effect on the intestinal flora and serum parameters due to their non-digestibility [1-4]. Furthermore, they have been used as a renewable raw material to produce several substances of industrial interest, especially high fructose (HFS) syrup and bioethanol [5-8]. Many plants store inulin as reserve carbohydrate, usually in bulbs, tubers or tuberous roots, such as Jerusalem artichoke (Helianthus tuberosus), dahlia (Dahlia pinnata), chicory (Cichorium intybus), dandelion (Taraxacum officinale) and yacon (Smallanthus sonchifolius) [6, 9]. Of these, yacon has stood out as a functional food because of its fructooligosaccharides (FOS) rich composition.

Yacon is a perennial herb that belongs to the Asteraceae family, which height varies from 1.5 to 3.0 m and shows a root system composed from 4 to 20 tubercles. It is native to the Andean valleys of Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, Bolivia and northwestern Argentina. In the mid-1980s, yacon cultivation started outside the Andes, at first in New Zealand and Japan, from where it spread to other countries, and can be found since the 1990s in Brazil, Paraguay, United States, Slovakia, China, Korea and Taiwan [10]. This crop was introduced to Brazil in the early 1990s, in the state of São Paulo [11], but consumption became popular only in the mid 2000s, when this tuber became popularly known as “yaco potato” or “diet potato” [12], especially among people with diabetes and consumers of low calorie foods.

Fructooligosaccharides (FOS) are the main reserve carbohydrate of the yacon, in addition to inulin, glucose, fructose and sucrose [9, 13], so that this tuber is an interesting substrate for fermentation processes. The enzymes that hydrolyze linear β-2,1-linked polyfructan chains such as inulin are called inulinases. These enzymes belong to the hydrolases class, being classified as β-2,1-D-fructan frutahydrolyses (EC:3.2.1.7) [14-16], and are used to produce high fructose syrups (HFS) by enzymatic hydrolysis of the inulin, although they can also hydrolyses the linkages of other sugars such as sucrose, raffinose and stachyose, with high yield of up to 95% of the end product [16-18]. Although some bacteria such as Xanthomonas and Streptomyces are reported as good inulinase producers [19-21], fungi are among the most used, especially the Aspergillus genus [17, 22-26]. Among the yeasts, good inulinase productions are reported by the genera Pichia [11, 27] and Saccharomyces [28], but it is the yeasts of the Kluyveromyces genus, especially K. marxianus, that are the most studied and promising [18, 29-32] because of their high production, rapid growth and GRAS (Generally Recognized As Safe) classification [33]. Thus the objective of the present study was to verify the potential of yaco flour as carbon source to inulase production by K. marxianus NRRL Y-7571 using a Central Composite Design (CCD) to carry out the experiments and Response Surface Methodology (RSM) to analyze the results.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Obtaining yaco flour

Yacon tubers were washed with water, cut in thin slices and dried at 65°C for 48 hours in a forced air circulation chamber. The dried pieces were ground in a blender until a fine powder was obtained and stored at room temperature.

Microorganism and culture conditions

The K. marxianus NRRL Y-7571 yeast was acquired from the André Tosello Foundation, Campinas, Brazil (reference 7073). The preinoculum consisted of basal medium containing (%): sucrose 1, KH₂PO₄ 1.5, NH₄Cl 0.15, KCl 0.12, MgSO₄.7H₂O 0.07 incubated at 37°C and 150 rpm, for 24 hours. For the inoculum medium, the sucrose was substituted with yaco flour and corn steep liquor.

Experimental designs

To carry out the experiment, yaco flour (X₁) and corn steep liquor (X₂) were chosen as independent variables. The effects of these variables, and their interactions on inulase production, were studied by the Central Composite Design (CCD) [2, 34]. The dependent variables (responses) were: inulase (U.ml⁻¹) and biomass (g.l⁻¹) production. Two CCD were carried out, named Experimental Design 1 and Experimental Design 2. In Experimental Design 1 the yaco flour concentrations ranged from 2% to 7.8% and the corn steep liquor concentrations from 8% to 2.2% (Table 1) and in Experimental Design 2 the concentrations ranged from 5.5% to 8.5% for yaco flour and from 1.3% to 2.7% for corn steep liquor (Table 4). Submerged fermentations were carried out in Erlemeyer flasks containing 30 ml culture medium with initial pH adjusted to 5.0 with orthophosphoric acid at 150 rpm and 37°C for 96 hours, inoculated with 10% (v/v) inoculum.

Two levels were chosen, upper (+1) and lower (-1) in addition to another central point (0), which was applied in triplicates to determine the rigor of the methodology, plus two axial points (+1.41 and -1.41) to use the quadratic model and obtain a surface-response. This model is represented by a second order polynomial regression as Eq. (1):

\[ Y = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_11X_1^2 + b_22X_2^2 + b_12X_1X_2 \]  

Eq. (1)

Where y is the predicted response; \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) are the codified independent variables (yaco flour extract and corn steep liquor, respectively); \( b_0 \) is the point of intersection; \( b_1 \) and \( b_2 \) are linear coefficients; \( b_{12} \) double interaction coefficient; \( b_{11} \),
and $b_{22}$ are quadratic coefficients. The effects of the variables and their interactions on the analyzed responses were estimated using the STATISTICA 7.0® (StatSoft), considering 5% level of significance. The statistical parameters were estimated by analysis of variance (ANOVA) considering the Fisher’s test, and the quality of the fit of the quadratic model was expressed by the coefficient of correlation ($R^2$).

**Cell growth**

Biomass was determined through turbidimetry with a spectrophotometer at $\lambda=600$ nm. Biomass (g.l$^{-1}$) was calculated by the correlation between dry cell weight and optic density (OD) to a standard curve. All experiments were conducted in triplicate.

**Enzymatic activity**

The enzymatic activity of the supernatant was determined according to Suzuki et al. [35] through the determination of reducing sugars formed by incubation of the 1 mL of enzyme in sucrose 2%, citrate-phosphate buffer 0.05 M with pH 4.0, using the reagent 3.5-dinitrosalisylic acid, according to Miller [36]. Glucose (1 g.l$^{-1}$) was used for the standard curve.

**Crude enzyme partial characterization**

Optimum pH was obtained by determining the enzymatic activity of the supernatant at different pH values, using the following buffers (0.05M): Glycine-HCl (pH 2-3), sodium citrate buffer (pH 3-6), phosphate buffer (pH 6-8), Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8-9), Glycine-NaOH (pH 9-11). The optimum temperature was obtained by determining the enzymatic activity at different temperatures, ranging from 45°C to 60°C. The enzyme thermal stability was assessed by incubating the enzyme at 45°C and 60°C for five hours.

**RESULTS**

**Experimental design 1**

Table 1 shows the responses obtained when yacon flour concentrations ranged from 2.0% to 7.8% and corn steep liquor concentrations from 0.8% and 2.5%. The greatest inulinase (31.0 U.ml$^{-1}$) and biomass production (7.69 g.l$^{-1}$) occurred on run 4, with 7% yacon flour and 2% corn steep liquor.

| Variables | Observed | Predicted |
|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Runs      | $X_1$    | $X_2$     | Enzymatic activity (U.ml$^{-1}$) | Biomass (g.l$^{-1}$) | Enzymatic activity (U.ml$^{-1}$) | Biomass (g.l$^{-1}$) |
| 1         | -1       | -1        | 10.0 | 5.75 | 8.84 | 5.54 |
| 2         | 1        | -1        | 25.7 | 5.79 | 25.9 | 5.70 |
| 3         | -1       | 1         | 14.0 | 5.69 | 13.3 | 5.59 |
| 4         | 1        | 1         | 31.0 | 7.69 | 31.7 | 7.70 |
| 5         | -1.41    | 0         | 3.0  | 5.08 | 4.16 | 5.25 |
| 6         | 1.41     | 0         | 30.0 | 6.86 | 29.2 | 6.86 |
| 7         | 0        | -1.41     | 19.0 | 5.33 | 19.5 | 5.49 |
| 8         | 0        | 1.41      | 27.1 | 6.94 | 26.9 | 6.95 |
| 9         | 0        | 0         | 26.6 | 6.21 | 24.4 | 6.11 |
| 10        | 0        | 0         | 21.6 | 6.11 | 24.4 | 6.11 |
| 11        | 0        | 0         | 25.2 | 6.01 | 24.4 | 6.11 |

**Real Levels**

| $X_1$ | Yacon flour (%) | 2.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.8 |
|-------|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| $X_2$ | Corn Steep Liquor (CSL) (%) | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.2 |
The statistical analyses indicated that the yacon flour variable \((X_1)\) had a significant effect \((p<0.05)\) on inulinase production in the linear \((L)\) and quadratic \((Q)\) terms of the model, but only had positive influence on the linear term. This means that the increase in the yacon flour concentration to 7.0% lead to an increase in inulinase production (Fig. 1a).

The interaction among the variables also had a positive but not significant effect \((p<0.05)\) as shown by the regression coefficients (Table 2). It can be observed that the greatest enzymatic productions were found in the experiments with the highest concentrations of the carbon source (runs 2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10 and 11) while in the experiments with the lower yacon flour concentration, between 2.0% and 3.0% (runs 1, 3 and 5), the enzymatic activity decreased progressively, reaching 3.0 \((\text{U.mL}^{-1})\) in run 5 (Table 1).

Corn steep liquor \((X_2)\) had no statistically significant influence in the concentration range studied \((p<0.05)\) (Table 2). However, Fig. 1a shows that increase in the concentration of the nitrogen source positively influenced enzyme production.

### Table 2. Regression coefficient of inulinase production

|                      | Regression coefficient | Standard error | t(5)  | P-value |
|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------|---------|
| Mean                 | 24.46667              | 1.094416       | 22.35591 | 0.000003 |
| Yacon flour (%) \((L)\) | 8.86047               | 0.670190       | 13.22083 | 0.000044 |
| Yacon flour (%) \((Q)\) | -3.88333              | 0.797686       | -4.86825 | 0.004600 |
| CSL (%) \((L)\)       | 2.59439               | 0.670190       | 3.87113  | 0.011747 |
| CSL (%) \((Q)\)       | -0.60833              | 0.797686       | -0.76262 | 0.480100 |
| Yacon flour x CSL    | 0.32500               | 0.947792       | 0.34290  | 0.745618 |

L: Linear; Q: Quadratic

Fig 1. Contour plot from the model equation from experimental design 1 for inulinase production, \(\text{U.mL}^{-1}\) (a) and biomass production, \(\text{g.L}^{-1}\) (b) by \(K.\ marxianus\) \(\text{NRRL Y-7571}\) in submerged fermentation after 96 hours.

Increase in the concentration of the carbon \((X_1)\) and nitrogen \((X_2)\) sources also had a positive effect on biomass production, although it was less marked than that on the enzyme production and ranged from 5.8 \(\text{g.L}^{-1}\) (run 5) to 7.9 \(\text{g.L}^{-1}\) (run 4). The runs 1, 2, 3, 5 and 7 presented the lowest biomass productions (5.53 \(\text{g.L}^{-1}\), on average) at 0.8-2.0% corn steep liquor concentrations (Table 1). The statistical analysis showed that only linear terms of \(X_1\) and \(X_2\) had significant effect \((p<0.05)\) (Table 3). Thus it can be concluded that the increase in the concentrations of \(X_1\) and \(X_2\) can lead to increase in biomass production, as shown in Fig. 1b.
Table 3. Regression coefficient of biomass

|                        | Regression coefficient | Standard error | t(5)   | P-value |
|------------------------|------------------------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Mean                   | 6.110000               | 0.094990       | 64.32224 | 0.000000 |
| Yacon flour (%) (L)    | 0.569663               | 0.058170       | 9.79314 | 0.000189 |
| Yacon flour (%) (Q)    | -0.025625              | 0.069236       | -0.37011 | 0.726459 |
| CSL (%) (L)            | 0.514610               | 0.058170       | 8.84673 | 0.000307 |
| CSL (%) (Q)            | 0.056875               | 0.069236       | 0.82147 | 0.448761 |
| Yacon flour x CSL      | 0.490000               | 0.082264       | 5.95642 | 0.001907 |

L: Linear; Q: Quadratic

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) showed that the model was statistically significant at 95% confidence level because the F value was 100.16 for enzyme production and 96.31 for biomass. The coefficients of correlation ($R^2$) of 0.9771 for inulinase production and 0.9768 for biomass showed that there was an excellent correlation between the observed and predicted values and the model explained about 97.0% of the results.

Experimental design 2

A new CCD $2^2$ was established taking into account the results from the first experimental design. New concentrations were established (Table 4) in order to find the best conditions for inulinase and biomass production by *K. marxianus* NRRL Y-7571. The increase in the $X_1$ and $X_2$ variables positively influenced both inulinase production and cell growth. The greatest enzyme production (36.1 U.ml$^{-1}$) and the greatest biomass production (9.95 g.l$^{-1}$) occurred in run 8, with 7.0% yacon flour and 2.7% corn steep liquor. This represented an increase of about 14% in both responses, compared to experimental design 1.

Table 4. Matrix of the experimental design 2 with responses in terms of biomass and inulinase production

| Runs | Variables | Observed values | Predicted values |
|------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|
|      |           | Enzymatic activity | Biomass | Enzymatic activity | Biomass |
|      | $X_1$ | $X_2$ | (U.ml$^{-1}$) | (g.l$^{-1}$) | (U.ml$^{-1}$) | (g.l$^{-1}$) |
| 1    | -1    | -1    | 24.4          | 6.47         | 23.84         | 6.30 |
| 2    | 1     | -1    | 22.3          | 5.19         | 19.62         | 5.68 |
| 3    | -1    | 1     | 25.3          | 7.91         | 29.04         | 7.65 |
| 4    | 1     | 1     | 26.1          | 7.98         | 27.73         | 8.39 |
| 5    | -1.41 | 0     | 26.3          | 6.18         | 24.26         | 6.52 |
| 6    | 1.41  | 0     | 19.4          | 7.20         | 20.35         | 6.60 |
| 7    | 0     | -1.41 | 20.6          | 6.20         | 23.10         | 6.01 |
| 8    | 0     | 1.41  | 36.1          | 8.95         | 32.51         | 8.88 |
| 9    | 0     | 0     | 29.5          | 8.33         | 28.23         | 8.62 |
| 10   | 0     | 0     | 28.1          | 8.93         | 28.23         | 8.62 |
| 11   | 0     | 0     | 27.1          | 8.61         | 28.23         | 8.62 |

Real Levels

| X1  | X2          |
|-----|-------------|
| -1.41 | -1 | 0 | +1 | +1.41 |
| 5.5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 8.5  |
| 1.3  | 1.5 | 2  | 2.5 | 2.7  |
The yacon flour variable ($X_1$) did not have a statistically significant influence on either the linear or quadratic terms ($p>0.05$), that is, the increase in the concentration, in the range studied, did not influence enzyme production (Table 5). However, Fig. 2a shows that the 7.0% concentration was the best condition and production decreased at concentrations below and above this value. The corn steep liquor had statistically significant effect on the linear term ($p<0.05$), indicating that the increase in its concentration had positive influence and raised enzyme production (Fig. 2a). The interaction among the variables had a positive, but not significant, effect ($p>0.05$) (Table 5).

![Contour plot from the model equation from experimental design 2 for inulinase production, U.ml$^{-1}$ (a) and biomass production, g.l$^{-1}$ (b) by K. marxianus NRRL Y-7571 in submerged fermentation after 96 hours.]

**Fig 2.** Contour plot from the model equation from experimental design 2 for inulinase production, U.ml$^{-1}$ (a) and biomass production, g.l$^{-1}$ (b) by K. marxianus NRRL Y-7571 in submerged fermentation after 96 hours.

| Table 5. Regression coefficient of inulinase production |
|---------------------------------------------------------|
| **Regression coefficient** | **Standard error** | **t(5)** | **P-value** |
| Mean | 28.23333 | 1.848020 | 15.27761 | 0.000022 |
| Yacon flour (%) (L) | -1.38226 | 1.848020 | -1.22143 | 0.276369 |
| Yacon flour (%) (Q) | -2.96042 | 1.346965 | -2.19784 | 0.079309 |
| CSL (%) (L) | 3.32754 | 1.131677 | 2.94036 | 0.032243 |
| CSL (%) (Q) | -0.21042 | 1.346965 | -0.15622 | 0.881975 |
| Yacon flour x CSL | 0.72500 | 1.600432 | 0.45300 | 0.669527 |

For biomass production, both yacon flour and corn steep liquor presented significant effects, with $P$-value <0.05 for the quadratic terms of $X_1$ and $X_2$ and the linear term of $X_2$, as shown by the coefficients of regression in Table 6. Fig. 2b shows that for biomass, the process could be optimized and the best culture conditions were obtained in run 8 and the central point (runs 9, 10 and 11), with 7.0% yacon flour and 2.0%-2.7% corn steep liquor.

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) showed that the model was statistically significant at 95% confidence level, because the $F$ value was 7.21 for enzyme production and 29.70 for biomass. The coefficients of correlation ($R^2$) of 0.75534 for inulinase production showed a good correlation among the observed and predicted responses and the model explained almost 76.0% of the results. For biomass, the $R^2$ of 0.92699 suggested that the generated model explained about 93.0% of the total variation of the results, which is an excellent representation of the process by the model.
Table 6. Regression coefficient of biomass production

|                      | Regression coefficient | Standard error | t(5) | P-value |
|----------------------|------------------------|----------------|------|---------|
| Mean                 | 8.62333                | 0.282172       | 30.5606 | 0.000001 |
| Yacon flour (%) (L)  | 0.02906                | 0.172794       | 0.16819 | 0.873026 |
| Yacon flour (%) (Q)  | -1.02792               | 0.205666       | -4.99799 | 0.004112 |
| CSL (%) (L)          | 1.01489                | 0.172794       | 5.87338 | 0.002031 |
| CSL (%) (Q)          | -0.58542               | 0.205666       | -2.84644 | 0.035974 |
| Yacon flour x CSL    | 0.33750                | 0.244368       | 1.38111 | 0.225781 |

L: Linear; Q: Quadratic

The mathematical models that relate inulinase (Y) and biomass (Y’) production in function of the yacoon flour (X1) and corn steep liquor (X2) variables can be expressed by equations 2 and 3, respectively, and these models can be used to predict inulinase and biomass productions within the limits of the experimental factors.

\[ Y = 28.23 - 1.38X_1 - 2.96X_1^2 + 1.02X_2 - 0.59X_2^2 + 0.34X_1X_2 \]  
\[ Y’ = 8.62 + 0.03X_1 - 1.03X_1^2 + 1.01X_2 - 0.59X_2^2 + 0.34X_1X_2 \]  

DISCUSSION

It is well established in the literature that carbon and nitrogen sources are some of the factors that most influence fermentation processes and can cause great variability in results, especially when dealing with complex substrates such as plant extracts or agro-industrial byproducts. However, in an endeavor to reduce process costs, agro-industrial substrates are increasingly studied and the establishment of their ideal concentration in the culture medium has been the objective of much research in this area. Interest has grown in inulin, a polyfructans widespread among plants as source of reserve energy, because it is a relatively cheap and abundant substrate for fructose syrup and ethanol [8]. The plant substrates that contain inulin most described in the literature as culture medium for the fermentation processes are Jerusalem artichoke [6, 21, 37], chicory root [22, 26] and dahlia tubers [38]. Yacon has only recently been studied as a fermentation substrate [39]. Regarding yacoon flour, its use has been described only in food formulation, such as cakes [40-41].

Naturally, the ideal is to obtain high yields of the metabolite of interest at the lowest possible substrate concentration. In this case, yacoon flour and corn steep liquor presented good results, because the best biomass and inulinase productions were obtained at relatively low concentrations of these carbon and nitrogen sources, 7.0% and 2.7%, respectively. This is a common characteristic in studies reporting microbial inulinase production and most describe the best production at low concentrations of the carbon source: 4% chicory root extract [42], 3% Jerusalem artichoke [37], 2.5% to 6.5% buckwheat [43]. This occurs because inulinase is an enzyme that presents catabolic repression in the presence of excess substrate, especially the carbon source [44]. Furthermore, the complex composition of agroindustrial substrates can cause a negative effect due to the increase in the concentration of some of their constituents [43]. Regarding corn steep liquor, different concentrations are found in the literature, ranging from 0.3% to 20%, or even more [29, 45, 46, 47, 48] showing that the response to this substrate depends mainly on the microorganism used and should be adjusted to the metabolic needs of each one.

The optimum temperature and optimum pH profile for inulinase of K. marxianus NRRL Y-7571 produced with yacoon flour were observed at 60°C and pH 4.0, respectively. Other authors have also reported similar optimum pH and temperature values for K. marxianus [38, 39, 46, 49], but inulinases from other fungi species have also presented similar biochemical characteristics [17, 26, 50]. This characteristic is very interesting for the industrial sector, because acid pH and higher temperatures decrease the risk of contamination [16].

The thermostability tests showed that inulinase maintained more than 95% of its activity for three hours at 45°C and about 90% for four hours at 50°C. These results indicated certain thermostability in this enzyme, which is a very desirable characteristic for application in biotechnological processes. However, the enzyme was quickly inactivated at 60°C, a performance commonly described for most of the microbial inulinases [18]. According to Zhou and Chen [51], temperature acts on the enzyme activity in the same way as an enzymatic catalyze until it reaches the optimum value, above which activity decreases due to denaturation.
CONCLUSIONS

Yacon flour was a viable substrate for application in fermentation processes, not only because of the good inulinase and biomass yields obtained in this work, but also because it presented low variability. The results obtained showed that the increase on yacon flour concentration, in the range studied, influenced positively up to the 7.0% limit, and this was the best concentration for production of both biomass and inulinase. This result is very desirable because there is no need for a great quantity of substrate to obtain the enzyme, which helps reduce the process costs. Similarly, corn steep liquor also presented the best results at low concentrations, and was shown to be a good nitrogen source for inulinase production. This characteristic is very interesting, because this substrate is cheaper compared to other nitrogen sources such as peptone and yeast extract. Central Composite Design (CCD) was very useful to establish the best substrate concentration and made it possible to optimize the process with a small number of experiments. In addition, with surface response analysis the interaction among variables could be visualized, giving a more complete view of the microorganism performance during the process.
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