Fine Mapping of the Barley Chromosome 6H Net Form Net Blotch Susceptibility Locus
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ABSTRACT Net form net blotch, caused by the necrotrophic fungal pathogen Pyrenophora teres f. teres, is a destructive foliar disease of barley with the potential to cause significant yield loss in major production regions throughout the world. The complexity of the host–parasite genetic interactions in this pathosystem hinders the deployment of effective resistance in barley cultivars, warranting a deeper understanding of the interactions. Here, we report on the high-resolution mapping of the dominant susceptibility locus near the centromere of chromosome 6H in the barley cultivars Rika and Kombar, which are putatively targeted by necrotrophic effectors from P. teres f. teres isolates 6A and 15A, respectively. Utilization of progeny isolates derived from a cross of P. teres f. teres isolates 6A × 15A harboring single major virulence loci (VK1, VK2, and VR2) allowed for the Mendelization of single inverse gene-for-gene interactions in a high-resolution population consisting of 2976 Rika × Kombar recombinant gametes. Brachypodium distachyon synteny was exploited to develop and saturate the susceptibility region with markers, delimiting it to ~0.24 cM and a partial physical map was constructed. This genetic and physical characterization further resolved the dominant susceptibility locus, designated Spt1 (susceptibility to P. teres f. teres). The high-resolution mapping and cosegregation of the Spt1.R and Spt1.K gene/s indicates tightly linked genes in repulsion or alleles possibly targeted by different necrotrophic effectors. Newly developed barley genomic resources greatly enhance the efficiency of positional cloning efforts in barley, as demonstrated by the Spt1 fine mapping and physical contig identification reported here.

The necrotrophic fungus Pyrenophora teres is the causal agent of net blotch of barley (Hordeum vulgare) and exists in two forms which, although morphologically indistinguishable under the microscope, can be differentiated by the symptoms observed on susceptible barley genotypes (McLean et al. 2009; Smedegård-Peterson 1971). The disease net form net blotch (NFNB) caused by P. teres f. teres is manifested by necrotic lesions with transverse and longitudinal striations, forming a net-like pattern of necrosis often accompanied by chlorosis (Mathre 1997). The disease spot form net blotch (SFNB) caused by P. teres f. maculata produces elliptical necrotic lesions surrounded by chlorosis (McLean et al. 2009; Smedegård-Peterson 1971). Both NFNB and SFNB are destructive foliar diseases, which can cause yield losses of 10–40% (Mathre 1997; Murray and Brennan 2010) and reduction in barley end use quality. Although similar fungicide applications and cultural practices can be used to manage these diseases, the preferred method of management is through host resistance (Mathre 1997). However, even though these two pathogens are closely related and genetically very similar, their host–pathogen genetic interactions are distinct (reviewed in Liu et al. 2011), thus they must be treated as different diseases when deploying genetic resistances.

Using globally diverse barley cultivars and P. teres f. teres isolates, several genetic studies have positioned both dominant and recessive resistance to NFNB at the centromeric region of barley chromosome 6H, suggesting the presence of multiple diverse resistance or susceptibility genes. It is hypothesized that these host genes interact with different P. teres f. teres effectors/avirulence proteins from diverse isolates resulting in the differential compatible or incompatible reactions
observed in this pathosystem (Ho et al. 1996; Steffenson et al. 1996; Raman et al. 2003; Bakir et al. 2006b; Manninen et al. 2006; Friesen et al. 2006a; Abu Qamar et al. 2008; St. Pierre et al. 2010). Abu Qamar et al. (2008) mapped two recessive resistance genes, which exist in repulsion, in a double haploid population derived from a cross between the barley lines Rika and Kombar. Rika is resistant to P. teres f. teres isolate 15A and susceptible to P. teres f. teres isolate 6A, while Kombar is resistant to P. teres f. teres isolate 6A and susceptible to P. teres f. teres isolate 15A. The susceptibility loci were mapped to an \( \sim 5.9 \) cM region near the centromere on barley chromosome 6H. The region was further delimited to an \( \sim 3.3 \) cM interval through the use of EST-based markers (Liu et al. 2010).

A comprehensive genetic analysis utilizing a biparental population of P. teres f. teres isolates 6A and 15A, which exhibit reciprocal virulence on Rika and Kombar, respectively, identified four virulence QTL in the pathogen. Two of the QTL, designated VR1 and VR2 for virulence on Rika 1 and 2, were identified for P. teres f. teres isolate 6A and two QTL, VK1 and VK2, for virulence on Kombar 1 and 2, were identified for P. teres f. teres isolate 15A (Shjerve et al. 2014). It was postulated that host selective toxins or necrotrophic effectors underlie these virulence QTL as it was recently shown in this pathosystem that a proteinaceous effector from P. teres f. teres isolate 0-1, designated PtTnE1, interacts with a single dominant susceptibility gene on chromosome 6H in barley cv. Hector, inducing necrotrophic effector triggered susceptibility (NETS) (Liu et al. 2015). Also, other closely related necrotrophic ascomycete fungi, such as Pyrenophora tritic-repentis and Parastagonospora nodorum, produce necrotrophic effectors that alter the host’s innate immunity responses resulting in enhanced disease (Tomas et al. 1990; Strelkov et al. 1999; Liu et al. 2009, 2012; Gao et al. 2015). The proteinaceous necrotrophic effector ToxA produced by P. tritic-repentis (Ptr ToxA) and P. nodorum (SnToxA), interact with the dominant host sensitivity protein Tsn1 (Friesen et al. 2006b; Faris et al. 2010). Tsn1 has protein domains similar to typical resistance proteins that confer resistance to biotrophic pathogens through the induction of tightly regulated programmed cell death (PCD) pathways. These pathways are typically thought to have evolved to sequester biotrophic pathogens which require living host tissues to complete their lifecycle. The inappropriate elicitation of these innate resistance pathways through the use of necrotrophic effectors by the necrotrophic pathogens allow them to subvert and utilize the host immunity pathways to infect and complete their lifecycle in the host. Thus, they utilize necrotrophic effectors to target specific host susceptibility genes, that otherwise represent immune receptors, to elicit NETS. These interactions between the host and pathogen are similar to H. H. Flor’s classical gene-for-gene paradigm (Flor 1956), yet are considered to be an inverse gene-for-gene relationship in which the specific interactions leading to PCD result in a compatible interaction (susceptibility) in a quantitative manner due to the nature of the pathogen’s lifestyle (Friesen et al. 2007). However, it also appears that classical gene-for-gene or dominant resistances also exist in this pathosystem as Friesen et al. (2006a) identified dominant resistance to three different NFNB isolates, which interestingly also mapped to the centromeric region of barley chromosome 6H.

Grass species, such as the globally important crop barley, wheat (Triticum aestivum), maize (Zea mays), rice (Oryza sativa), and sorghum (Sorghum bicolor), diverged from a common ancestor \( \sim 55–70 \) million years ago (Kellogg 2001). Throughout their evolutionary history, it has been shown that the relative gene content and order have been conserved between the grass species, with much of the change in genome size being due to an increase of repetitive elements (Bennetzen and Freeling 1997; Kellogg 2001). Although synteny breaks attributed to chromosome insertions and translocations are observed, the overall gene order is well conserved (IBI 2010). The sequencing and annotation of the Brachypodium distachyon genome provided a useful tool for gene discovery in other grass species, especially crop species with large genomes as the result of expansion of repetitive DNA elements. These large repetitive genomes complicate genome sequence assembly such that there is adequate assembly of low copy gene spaces but many gaps at repeat regions, thus robust genome assemblies have not been achieved (Mur et al. 2011; IBGSC 2012). Syntenic conservation between the model grass genome sequence and barley and wheat expedite the development of markers in the large grass genomes allowing for the rapid saturation of regions for positional cloning (IBI 2010; Mur et al. 2011).

The draft assembly of the barley gene space was recently completed, however, a nearly complete genome assembly of the \( \sim 5.1 \) Gb genome, which consists of approximately 84% repetitive elements, is a challenging task with the current bioinformatic software, but a draft physical map of the barley genome has been assembled via high-information content fingerprinting of bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) clones and incorporation of whole-genome shotgun (WGS) clones, BAC end sequence (BES), sequence from selected BAC clones, and integration of genetic mapping data (IBGSC 2012). Furthermore, additional anchoring of WGS contigs was accomplished through population sequencing (POPSEQ) and BAC clones have been assembled into minimum tiling paths across assembled contigs (Mascher et al. 2013; Ariyadasa et al. 2014) Additionally, 15,622 cv. Morex BACs were sequenced and subsequently integrated with consensus map and synteny analyses into the easily accessible software HarvEST (Muñoz-Amatriain et al. 2015). The use of this resource allows for the physical mapping of regions identified by genetic map-based cloning strategies, which facilitates the identification of candidate genes in a more efficient manner.

The dominant susceptibility genes Spt1R/Spt1K (formerly rpt.k/rpt.r), which are hypothesized to confer dominant susceptibility to P. teres f. teres isolates 6A and 15A, appear to operate in a complex fashion. We hypothesize that alleles of Spt1, Spt1R, and Spt1K, or several tightly linked genes, confer major effect dominant susceptibility to P. teres f. teres isolates 6A and 15A, respectively. Here, we describe the high-resolution mapping of a dominant susceptibility locus located in the centromeric region of barley chromosome 6H. Mapping was done utilizing markers developed via the exploitation of the syntenic relationship with B. distachyon chromosome 3. Additionally, the identification of barley physical contigs, enabled by the newly developed barley genomic resources, were used to construct a partial physical map of the region. The results of this research will soon facilitate the identification of the dominant susceptibility gene Spt1 and allow for the subsequent functional analysis of its alleles that are hypothesized to function by NETS. This research will fill gaps in knowledge concerning these complex host–parasite genetic interactions and the underlying molecular mechanisms determining compatible interactions in this complex barley-NFNB pathosystem.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Biological materials**

The two P. teres f. teres isolates 6A and 15A, and progeny identified as containing single virulence QTL for VK1, VK2, and VR2 (Shjerve et al. 2014) from the cross between isolates 6A and 15A, were utilized for phenotyping. The P. teres f. teres isolate 6A was collected from Fresno County, California (Wu et al. 2003) and isolate 15A was collected from Solano County, California (Steffenson and Webster 1992).
The Scandinavian two-rowed barley variety Rika and US six-rowed barley variety Kombar were previously identified as having reciprocal reactions to *P. teres* f. *teres* isolates 6A and 15A. Rika is susceptible to *P. teres* f. *teres* isolate 6A and resistant to *P. teres* f. *teres* isolate 15A. Kombar exhibits an opposite reaction, being susceptible to *P. teres* f. *teres* isolate 15A and resistant to *P. teres* f. *teres* isolate 6A (Abu Qamar et al. 2008). Rika and Kombar were crossed and advanced to generate a population of 1488 F2 individuals, representing 2976 gametes, that were subsequently genotyped to identify recombinant gametes within the *Spt1* region. Previously identified critical recombinant double haploid (DH) progeny identified from a population consisting of 118 individuals derived from a Rika and Kombar cross (Abu Qamar et al. 2008) were also genotyped to validate the DH recombinants within the NFNBR *Spt1* region, which was previously referred to as the *rpt.k* and *rpt.r* recessive resistance genes.

Genotyping and recombinant gamete identification

Two previously identified flanking simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers, Bmag0173 on the distal side and Rbah21g15 on the proximal side (Liu et al. 2010), were used to genotype the 1488 Rika × Kombar F2 individuals. The DNA isolation for the initial F2 generation was performed at the US Department of Agriculture-Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS) cereal genotyping center, Fargo, ND, using the high-throughput procedure described by Tsilo et al. (2009).

After the F2 individuals with recombination within the *Spt1* region were identified from the initial round of genotyping with the SSR markers on the ABI sequencer, the recombinant seedlings were transplanted from containers into 6 inch pots, grown in the greenhouse, and genomic DNA isolated from leaves at the beginning of the booting stage (Liu et al., 2010). Genomic DNA was isolated from leaves at the booting stage (Liu et al., 2010) and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were identified by alignment using Vector NTI software (Invitrogen) and NCBI nucleotide sequence alignment tools. Sequence tagged site (STS) markers were developed from the SNPs by designing genotype specific primers with SNP specific nucleotides corresponding to the 3' terminal nucleotide of the primers (Table 1). A robust STS marker was unable to be developed, the critical recombinants were amplified using the original primer pairs and the amplicons were Sanger sequenced (Genscript).

PCR-GBS library preparation and ion torrent sequencing

A PCR genotyping-by-sequencing (PCR-GBS) panel was also constructed consisting of two previously designed SNP markers from the T3 database (https://triticeaetoolbox.org/barley/) and 11 primer pairs designed from the predicted coding, intron, or 3' UTR sequence from barley orthologs of *B. distachyon* genes within the region of SNP discovery. Additionally, four previously designed STS markers were included in the panel for regenotyping and internal control. A 22 nucleotide CS1 adaptor (5' - ACACGTACGACATGTCTACAC-3') (Fluidigm) was added to the 5' end of forward primers and a 22 nucleotide CS2 adaptor (5' - TACGGTAGCAGACTTGGTCT-3') (Fluidigm) was added to the 5' end of the reverse primers. Barcoded adapter primers were designed containing the Ion Torrent A adaptor sequence, unique 12 nucleotide barcode, and the CS1 adaptor sequence (5' - CCATCTCATCCCTGCGTGTCTCCGACTCAG(NNNNNNNN CGAT)ACACGTACGACATGTCTACAC-3'). A universal reverse primer was designed containing the Ion Torrent P1 adaptor sequence and the CS2 adaptor sequence (5' - CCATCTCATCCCTGCGTGTCTCCGACTCAG(NNNNNNNN CGAT)ACACGTACGACATGTCTACAC-3'). Primers were multiplexed by adding 5 μl of each forward and reverse primer (100 μM) into a sterile 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube and 820 μl of nuclease-free H₂O for a final primer pool volume of 1 ml. PCR reactions consisted of 1.5 μl genomic DNA, 1 μl of primer pool (100 nM each primer), and 2.5 μl Platinum Multiplex PCR Master Mix (Life Technologies). DNA concentrations were normalized to ~20 ng/μl and 1.5 μl of each sample was pipetted into individual wells on a 96-well plate. Replicates of each, 20×, 10 cycles, of 94°C for 30 sec, 10 cycles, of 94°C for 30 sec, 62°C for 30 sec, and 64°C for 30 sec, followed by 20 cycles of 94°C for 20 sec, 57°C for 30 sec, and 68°C for 30 sec, ending with a final extension of 72°C for 3 min. The PCR plate was then briefly centrifuged and 15 μl of nuclease-free H₂O was added to each sample. The plate was then sealed, vortexed, briefly centrifuged, and 2 μl of each sample was aliquoted into a new 96-well plate. Additionally, 2 μl of each reaction was separated on a 1% agarose gel.
Phenotyping was conducted as described by Friesen et al. (2006a). Briefly, inoculum was prepared by plating marginalized plugs of the P. teres f. teres isolates on V8 PDA media (150 ml V8 Juice, 10 g Potato Dextrose Agar, 10 g agarose, 3 g CaCO₃, and 850 ml H₂O). Fungal cultures were incubated at room temperature in the dark for 5 d, placed under light at room temperature for 24 hr, and then returned to the dark at 15°C for 24 hr. Conidia were harvested by flooding the plates with H₂O and scraping the culture with a sterile inoculation loop. Inoculum was adjusted to a concentration of ~2000 spores/ml and one drop of Tween 20 was added per 50 ml of inoculum. Three seeds of each ICR line were planted in a single container (Stuewe and Sons, Inc., Corvallis, OR.) and three containers were planted for each ICR, totaling nine seedlings per line. Seedlings were grown under greenhouse conditions until the second leaf was fully expanded, approximately 14 d. Inoculum was applied as described by Friesen et al. (2006a) and incubated at 21°C and 100% relative humidity for 22–24 hr. Plants were then moved to a growth chamber at 21°C with a 12 hr photoperiod and were scored 7 d post inoculation using a 1–10 scale (Tekauz 1985). Nine plants per line were scored collectively as one experimental unit and inoculations were replicated three times. High-resolution map construction

A total of 14 ICRs harboring a recombination between flanking markers Bmarg0173 and Rbhab21g15 were identified and utilized in the construction of a high-resolution map. Genetic distances were calculated based on recombination frequency between markers and denoted as map units (M.U.).

**Barley iSELECT 9K chip**

The barley 9K Illumina Infinium iSELECT assay was utilized to genotype the parents Rika and Kombar and eight of the ICR lines (RK122, 125, 130, 139, 151, 173, 183, and 188) with recombination in the Spt1 region. The genotyping was performed as described by Muñoz-Amatriain et al. (2014).

**BAC library screening and physical map construction**

Utilizing the current barley physical map (IBGSC 2012) containing anchored WGS and BAC sequences, as well as the Morex 6H minimum tiling path (MTP) BAC library (Mascher et al. 2013; Ariyadasa et al. 2014), genetic markers from the high-resolution map were anchored to

---

**Table 1 Primer sequences (5′–3′) for polymorphic markers developed from barley orthologs of Brachypodium distachyon genes within the Spt1 region**

| Marker          | Class | Forward Primer                      | Reverse Primer                      |
|-----------------|-------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| rpt-M4-1*       | STS   | AGGAAATGGTCTCTCCTCAAGTCTC           | ACATCTCATCATCTGGCCACCTAC            |
| rpt-M4-2*       | STS   | TGAAGAAGAGCTGGAACGATAG              | TGATCCAGACATGAATTCCTTCC             |
| rpt-M5*         | STS   | AAGAAGATGCACTAGTCTCACAGATC          | ATCGACACCAGGTTAAGTAAGTGG            |
| rpt-M8          | SNP   | GCTGCCCCCTGCTGACGTGAGT             | AAATGAAATGCTTACATGACAT              |
| rpt-M12.c       | STS   | ATGCCAACCAGCTTAAATATCAGCA           | TCATCTGACAGAGTGCCGAGCACCA           |
| rpt-M20         | SNP   | CCATCAATACAGTGTTTATCACCAA          | GCTTACAAATGTCGAGATGTTATTGCC         |
| rpt-M32         | SNP   | ATGAATGTGAATATGTTGCGGAT            | GAGTAAATTCAATCCATTAGGCCATG          |
| rpt-M61         | indel | ACCTTCTTCGACCAACACACAGACAG         | GTATGATGTCGGAATGGAACAAATGCC         |
| rpt-M62         | indel | GAATTTAGCAGAGACACCCCATTAGAAGA      | TTTCTTATTAGTGATGCGGTTTGT            |
| rpt-M14         | SNP   | AGACCACACCTGACGAGCTGAGTAAGAGA      | ATGAGATCGAACAAACTGAGGTGCCACC       |

STS, sequence tagged site; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; indel, insertion or deletion of bases in the DNA of an organism.

---

SNP calling

Sequencing reads were trimmed by 22 nucleotides on the 5′ and 3′ ends to remove the PCR adaptors. Trimmed reads were aligned to a reference file consisting of previously identified amplicon sequences from the T3 database, orthologous barley EST sequence corresponding to select B. distachyon genes within the region, and sequence from previously designed STS markers using the BWA-MEM algorithm with default settings (Li and Durbin 2009), and the alignments were converted to BAM files using SAMtools (Li et al. 2009). SNPs were identified using the Genome Analysis Toolkit's Unified Genotyper tool (DePristo et al. 2011). Called SNPs were then filtered based on the parameters of a minimum genotype quality of 10 and a minimum read depth per site per individual of 3.

---

Phenotyping

The ICRs were phenotyped with P. teres f. teres isolates 6A, 15A, and the progeny isolates 15×6A#20, 15A×6A#63, and 15A×6A#72, with the Mendelized virulence QTL VK1, VK2, and VR2, respectively.

---

 agarose gel containing GelRed to ensure successful reactions. The barcoding PCR reaction consisted of 0.235 μl of H₂O, 0.625 μl NEB Standard Taq Buffer (1.25×), 0.1 μl dNTPs (500 μM), 1 μl universal reverse primer (1 μM), 1 μl unique barcode adaptor primer (0.4 μM), 0.04 μl of NEB Taq polymerase (0.2 units), and 2 μl template (diluted primary PCR reaction). PCR parameters are the same as the primary reaction. Following PCR, the plate was briefly centrifuged and each sample was diluted by adding 15 μl of H₂O. Samples were pooled by aliquoting 5 μl of each sample into a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube and purified using the E.Z.N.A. Cycle Pure Kit. The pooled library was then amplified to prepare for sequencing. PCR reactions consisted of a 2 μl sequencing library, 13 μl H₂O, 2.5 μl NEB Standard Taq Buffer (1×), 3 μl ABC1 primer (0.6 μM), 3 μl P1 primer (0.6 μM), 0.5 μl dNTPs (200 μM), and 1 μl NEB Taq polymerase (5 units). PCR parameters were as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 8 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 62°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 30 sec, ending with a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. The amplified library was quantified using the Qubit dsDNA High Sensitivity Kit and the Qubit Fluorometer (Life Technologies) and diluted to ~3 pg/μl. Sequencing was conducted on the Ion Torrent PGM utilizing the Ion PGM Template OT2 200 Kit, Ion PGM Sequencing 200 Kit v2, and an Ion 314 Chip (Life Technologies).
physical contigs. Sequences of the markers within the region were used in BLAST searches of the Morex WGS database to identify positive WGS contigs (http://webblast.ipk-gatersleben.de/barley/viroblast.php). When possible, positions of the WGS contigs on larger fingerprinted contigs (FPCs) were identified. Those markers that did not yield anchored WGS contigs were used to screen the Morex 6H MTP BAC library via PCR (INRA-CNRGV) to identify its position on a FPC. Additionally, a Morex BAC library (Yu et al. 2000) was screened with markers rpt-M8, rpt-M12, and rpt-M13, as described by Brueggeman et al. (2002). Positively identified BAC clones were plated on LB plates containing chloramphenicol (50 µg/ml) and incubated overnight at 37°C. Colonies were then inoculated into liquid LB containing chloramphenicol (50 µg/ml) and incubated for 16 hr at 37°C with shaking at 230 rpm. BAC DNA was isolated as described in Brueggeman et al. (2002). PCR was conducted on BAC DNA with the markers rpt-M8, rpt-M12, and rpt-M13 to identify corresponding BAC clones using the aforementioned PCR protocol. Additionally, the HarvEST database, which houses BAC sequences from the Yu et al. (2000) library, was BLAST searched with marker sequences to identify and confirm additional BAC clones (Muñoz-Amatriain et al. 2015).

**BAC library sequencing and assembly**

DNA from the selected BACs 783N21 and 650G13 (Yu et al. 2000) was isolated as previously described. BAC DNA was pooled and sequenced at the Washington State University Molecular Biology and Genomics Core using Ion Torrent PGM technology. Sequencing reads were de novo assembled using a CLC Bio Genomics Workbench (Qiagen) requiring 95% sequence homology and minimum contig size of 1 kb for assembly.

**Data availability**

Data and reagents are available upon request. Supplemental Material, File S1 contains genotypes of the ICRs from the newly developed markers. File S2 contains the raw phenotypic data for Rika, Kombar, and the ICRs from inoculations with the aforementioned *P. teres* f. *teres* isolates.

**RESULTS**

**Initial genotyping and phenotyping of critical recombinants**

A total of 1488 F2 individuals and 118 DH lines derived from a cross of Rika × Kombar were genotyped with the flanking SSR markers Bmag0173 and Rbah21g15. Thirteen F2 individuals and one DH line were identified that contained recombination within the previously delimited *rpt.r/rpt.k* region, now referred to as the *Sptl* region. Subsequently, the F2,3 families of these 13 critical recombinants were genotyped with the codominant marker *rpt-M4* and an immortal critical recombinant (ICR) individual was identified for each F2,3 family.

**Phenotyping of the ICR lines**

Individuals from each ICR family and the lone DH individual were then phenotyped with *Pyrenophora teres* f. *teres* isolates 15A, 6A, 15 × 6A #20, 15A × 6A #63, and 15A × 6A #72. Lines that exhibited an average disease reaction of five or less were classified as resistant and lines that displayed average reactions greater than five were considered susceptible. Following inoculations with isolate 15A, Rika and Kombar exhibited average reactions of 1.67 and 7.5, respectively. A total of five ICRs were classified as resistant with individual averages ranging from 1–3.33 and an overall average of 1.77. The remaining nine ICRs exhibited individual average disease reactions ranging from 6.83–7.83 with an overall average of 7.59 and were classified as susceptible (Table 2). When inoculated with progeny isolate 15A × 6A #20 (Shjerve et al. 2014) containing only the VK1 virulence locus, Rika and Kombar exhibited average disease reactions of 1.83 and 6.83, respectively. Five ICRs were considered resistant and nine classified as susceptible. The average disease reaction of the resistant lines ranged from 1.83–3.83 with an overall average of 2.73. For the susceptible group, individual averages ranged from 6.67–8.83 with an overall mean of 7.74 (Table 2). Phenotypic data from inoculations with a second progeny isolate, 15A × 6A #63, harboring only the VK2 virulence locus (Shjerve et al. 2014) showed the same pattern. Disease reaction averages for Rika and Kombar were 2.17 and 7.17, respectively. The previously classified resistant and susceptible ICRs grouped together again. The disease score averages of resistant individuals ranged from 1.5–2 with an overall mean of 1.77. Susceptible individuals exhibited average disease scores ranging from 5.33–7.17 and an overall average of 6.35 (Table 2).

Following inoculations of the ICRs with isolate 6A, Rika and Kombar displayed reaction types of 9.5 and 4.17, respectively. Average disease reaction types for six resistant lines ranged from 3.5–5 with an overall mean of 4.06. A total of eight individuals were considered susceptible and average reaction types ranged from 5.50 to 9.33 with an overall average of 7.65 (Table 2). After inoculation with progeny isolate 15A × 6A #72 harboring only the VR2 virulence locus (Shjerve et al. 2014), Rika and Kombar showed average reaction types of 7.83 and 1, respectively. A total of nine ICRs were considered resistant with average disease scores ranging from 1.00–1.50 and a total mean of 1.15. The susceptible class of ICRs consisted of five individuals with average disease ratings ranging from 7.17–8.67 and an overall average of 7.97 (Table 2). Several individuals (RK121, RK122, and RK173) exhibited intermediate reactions ranging from 5.50–6.17 when inoculated with isolate 6A and subsequently were classified as susceptible. However, due to the averages of these three individuals being near the mean score of Kombar and the 6A resistant individuals, as well as these individuals being highly resistant to isolate 15A × 6A #72 (VR2), these apparent intermediate reactions may not accurately represent the classification of susceptible.

**Marker development and high-resolution map construction**

Utilizing synteny between barley and *B. distachyon*, orthologous *B. distachyon* genes Bradi3g48220.1 and Bradi3g49360.1 corresponding to the *Sptl* flanking markers BE636841 and RBah21g15, respectively, were identified via BLAST searches. This approximately 1.02 Mb *B. distachyon* genomic region between the two orthologs harbors 149 annotated genes. *B. distachyon* genes containing syntenic barley orthologs at approximately 60 kb intervals were selected and used in BLAST searches to identify high-confidence barley unigenes with EST support. Primers were designed from the 16 identified unigenes and used to produce gene specific amplicons from the barley cultivars Rika and Kombar. Sanger sequencing was performed on the amplicon and sequence alignments used to characterize allelic differences. Sequencing of the 16 selected unigenes yielded six robust amplicons containing at least one SNP between the parents. Allele specific primers with 3’ terminal nucleotides specific to each parental SNP were designed and used as STS markers to genotype the ICRs to saturate the high-resolution map with markers at the *Sptl* locus. STS markers were unable to be developed from SNPs within two barley orthologs, designated as *rpt-M8* and *rpt-M14*. The original primer pairs were used to produce amplicons from each ICR and subsequently Sanger sequenced for genotyping. Markers *rpt-M4*, *rpt-M5*, and *rpt-M8* cosegregated and
mapped distal to the susceptibility locus, rpt-M12 and rpt-M13 cosegregated with Spt1, and rpt-M14 mapped proximal to the susceptibility locus. The newly developed markers delimited the Spt1 locus to ~0.43 cm between the distal flanking marker rpt-M8 and the proximal flanking marker rpt-M14. The delimited region in barley corresponded to ~540 kb of *B. distachyon* sequence which contained 69 annotated genes. Using the aforementioned process of marker development via synteny, focus was then placed on the saturation of the region. A total of 17 orthologous barley unigenes were identified from *B. distachyon* genes within this region and targeted for marker development, of which allele sequencing further identified two orthologs containing SNPs. The newly identified markers, rpt-M20 and rpt-M61, were subsequently genotyped via PCR directed genotype-by-sequencing of target amplicons due to the inability to develop efficient STS markers. Additionally, a robust indel marker, rpt-M62, was developed from the same ortholog as rpt-M61. Data procured from the barley Select 9K chip also revealed a SNP marker, SCRI_RS_165041, which further delimits the region. Currently, the *Spt1* gene is delimited by markers rpt-M8 and SCRI_RS_165041 to an ~0.24 cm region. (Figure 1). The ~0.24 cm region delimiting *Spt1* in barley corresponds to ~466 kb of *B. distachyon* sequence harboring 62 annotated genes, and is predicted to contain 49 barley genes using anchoring data from the barley genome database and POPSEQ data, as well as BLAST searches of the barley genome using *B. distachyon* genes as the query. Attempts to utilize these genes to further saturate the genetic map identified 15 orthologous barley genes within the region. However, allele sequencing of introns, 3’ UTRs, and coding regions from Rika and Kombar of these select orthologs determined that these genes did not contain polymorphisms in our population (Figure 1).

**PCR-GBS sequencing and SNP calling**

Sequencing on the Ion Torrent PGM platform resulted in a total of 569,040 reads averaging 82 bp in length. However, due the presence of primer dimers, only 184,174 reads were amplicon specific which averaged to ~5581 reads per individual, which is sufficient to call SNPs for the amount of markers in this panel. Amplicons within the library were represented to a varying degree due to the differences in PCR efficiency. The two SNP markers from the T3 database (11_21216 and 11_20651) were not polymorphic between Rika and Kombar. However, we were able to identify polymorphism between Rika and Kombar within the amplicon of a single selected ortholog of a *B. distachyon* gene within the region, designated rpt-M32. The SNP marker rpt-M32 mapped proximal to SCRI_RS_165041 and distal to rpt-M14 (Figure 1). Additionally, two of the four previously identified STS markers (rpt-M4 and rpt-M8) had enough representative sequence to call SNPs and confirmed previous genotyping.

**BAC library screening and physical map construction**

Screening of a barley cultivar Morex BAC library with markers rpt-M8, rpt-M13, and rpt-M12 identified four BAC clones and were confirmed via PCR (Table 3). Marker rpt-M8 did not amplify from any of the identified BAC DNA templates. The markers rpt-M12 and rpt-M13 were both amplified from the 783N21, 302J12, and 082C13 BAC DNA templates, indicating that these BAC clones comprise a MTP in which both markers reside. Additionally, via BLAST searches on the HarvEST database (Muñoz-Amatriain et al. 2015), the location of markers rpt-M13 and rpt-M12 on BAC clone 783N21 was confirmed, as well as identified an additional BAC, 650G13, corresponding to marker rpt-M12. All the markers used in genotyping the high-resolution mapping population were also BLAST searched utilizing the HarvEST server to identify the BACs from the Yu et al. (2000) library on which they reside, resulting in the identification of corresponding BAC clones for each marker, except rpt-M8 and rpt-M14 (Table 3). Also, the marker sequences were BLAST searched using the IPK barley BLAST server to identify corresponding sequenced BAC clones, cv. Morex WGS contigs, and, if possible, position on larger FPCs. Markers rpt-M8, rpt-M20, rpt-M32, and rpt-M14 were also used to screen the cv. Morex MTP BAC library (INRA-CNRGV) to successfully identify corresponding BAC clones and a position on a FPC due to the inability to anchor them to an FPC via BLAST search methods (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Previous studies on host resistance to NFNB in barley have been conducted utilizing diverse arrays of barley lines, as well as fungal isolates from geographically distinct regions of the world. The results of these investigations were the identification of resistance/susceptibility loci distributed throughout the barley genome (Ho et al. 1996; Steffenson et al. 1996; Raman et al. 2003; Çakır et al. 2003; Ma et al. 2004; Manninen et al. 2006; Friesen et al. 2006a; Abu Qamar et al. 2008; St. Pierre et al. 2010). Although dominant susceptibility and/or resistance loci have been mapped to all seven barley chromosomes (reviewed by Liu et al. 2010), a common significant QTL near the centromere of chromosome 6H has repeatedly been associated with NFNB resistance and/or susceptibility. We have begun to characterize this important locus, and it is likely that the QTL region contains several closely linked genes that interact with the putative necrotrophic effectors underlying the four major virulence QTL identified in a *P. teres* f. *teres* 6A × 15A pathogen population (Shjerve et al. 2014). However, allele analysis of the candidate genes identified in this research suggests that underlying this major susceptibility locus is a single gene, with divergent alleles that confer dominant susceptibility to the California *P. teres* f. *teres* isolates 15A and 6A (J. Richards and R. Brueggeman, unpublished data).

The presence of multiple dominant susceptibility specificities (a.k.a. recessive resistance genes) at this locus (Abu Qamar et al. 2008; Liu et al. 2015) indicate that a repertoire of effector/virulence genes evolved in the pathogen to target a complex region of host biotrophic immunity receptors to elicit NETS. As seen in other fungal necrotrophic specialist pathosystems, the recessive resistance designation previously given to these host–pathogen genetic interactions are probably mediated by a functional dominant susceptibility gene product instead of a

**Table 2 Average disease scores for Rika, Kombar, and resistant/susceptible ICRs with *Ptt* isolates**

| Genotype | 15A | 15A × 6A #20 (VK1) | 15A × 6A #63 (VK2) | 6A | 15A × 6A #72 (VR2) |
|----------|-----|-------------------|-------------------|----|-------------------|
| Rika     | 1.67 ± 0.58 | 1.83 ± 0.29 | 2.17 ± 0.29 | 9.5 ± 0.50 | 7.83 ± 1.04 |
| Kombar   | 7.5 ± 0.50  | 6.83 ± 1.76  | 7.17 ± 1.44  | 4.17 ± 1.26 | 1 ± 0 |
| Resistant ICRs | 1.77 ± 0.92 | 2.73 ± 0.89 | 1.77 ± 0.25 | 4.06 ± 0.61 | 1.15 ± 0.18 |
| Susceptible ICRs | 7.59 ± 0.35 | 7.74 ± 0.83 | 6.35 ± 0.63 | 7.65 ± 1.62 | 7.97 ± 0.71 |

ICR, immortal critical recombinants.
nonfunctional allele and should be more appropriately described as
dominant susceptibility within an inverse gene-for-gene model (Friesen
et al. 2007). Similar to the classic gene-for-gene paradigm (Flor 1956), a
dominant gene product produced by the host interacts with a dominant
gene product from the pathogen. However, due to the necrotrophic
lifestyle of this specialist pathogen, recognition by the host results in a
PCD immunity response that is subverted by the necrotroph, resulting
in a compatible reaction and disease. It has been observed that several
necrotrophic plant pathogenic fungi, such as members of the genera
Pyrenophora and Parastagonospora, produce effectors, which are rec-
ognized by a single host gene (Friesen et al. 2006b; Faris et al. 2010).
Recognition of necrotrophic effectors and subsequent cellular signaling

Figure 1 Genetic and partial physical map of the Spt1 locus in synteny with Brachypodium distachyon. Syntenous B. distachyon sequence, Rika ×
Kombar barley high-resolution map and barley cv. Morex physical map at the Spt1 locus. (A) The gray horizontal bars represent the syntenous B.
distachyon chromosome 3 sequence delimiting the Spt1 gene region from barley. The lower bar shows the relative position of barley orthologous
sequences used to develop markers from the annotated B. distachyon genes. Black dots represent marker positions and white dots represent the
Spt1 flanking markers. The upper bar is an expanded and more detailed representation of the delimited Spt1 region with annotated B. distachyon
genes shown with arrows and labeled above with putative gene functions. The black arrows represent genes from which barley orthologs were
used to generate SNP (single nucleotide polymorphism) markers and the gray arrows are genes that did not have polymorphisms in the Rika ×
Kombar population but were utilized to anchor physical contigs in panel C. The numbers between arrows are the number of additional B.
distachyon genes present between each gene designated with an arrow. The 100 kb scale is shown above and below. (B) Rika × Kombar chromosome 6H (Ch.6H) Spt1 high-resolution map generated by screening 2976 recombinant F2 gametes. The boxes contain cosegregating
markers present with white boxes containing markers that delimit the Spt1 locus. Numbers between the vertical lines connected to the marker boxes
indicate the number of recombinants between the markers. T and C at the end of the horizontal line indicate the direction of telomere and
centromere, respectively. (C) Barley cv. Morex physical map showing the relative sizes of the supercontigs (white horizontal bars) with approx-
imated positions of genetic markers generated from B. distachyon orthologous barley genes. The black circles indicate position of markers with
the white boxes indicating the Spt1 region flanking markers. The position of the barley genes identified by B. distachyon orthologs that we were
unable to develop markers from but could anchor to the barley physical map are indicated by gray arrows. The proximal flanking SNP marker SCR1_RS_165041, identified from the barley 9k Illumina Infinium iSELECT assay position, is also designated with a white circle. The asterisk indicates two super contigs that were out of synteny between B. distachyon and barley. Barley contigs _47325 and _47326 were identified to
contain barley orthologs of the annotated B. distachyon genes Bradi3g49130 and Bradi3g49140, which in the B. distachyon sequence are located
between Bradi3g49110 and Bradi3g49160. The cv, Morex supercontig designations (IBGSC, 2012) are provided below with the 1 Mb scale.
contigs and gene identifiers, which are quickly being re-barley genome sequence. The use of the various newly available barley development and map saturation. Due to the identifiers as identifiers physical sequences in correlation with our high-resolution map, as well completely contiguous, these tools have allowed for the positioning of pedited the genetic to physical map construction and candidate resolution mapping greatly re-

region near the centromere of barley chromosome 6H. This high-

putative dominant susceptibility locus, designated 1816 by the host may occur via “resistance” genes encoding proteins with nucleotide binding site (NBS), leucine rich repeats (LRR), and/or serine-threonine protein kinase (STPK) domains (Lorang et al. 2007; Faris et al. 2010). Typical host resistance response mechanisms, such as the induction of programmed cell death, production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and the up-regulation of PR genes, are triggered upon host recognition of a necrotrophic effector (Lorang et al. 2007; Stergioulas et al. 2013). Although these responses provide effective defense against biotrophic pathogens, the necrotrophs that survive the hostile host induced environment produced as a barrier to colonization, also intentionally induce PCD immunity responses to obtain nutrients from the resulting dead host tissue. However, in contrast to biotrophic host resistance by these responses that typically confer qualitative dominant resistances, these inverse gene-for-gene interactions appear to be quantitative in nature (Friesen et al. 2008).

Through high-resolution mapping of a Rika × Kombar F2 population utilizing markers derived from orthologous B. distachyon genes within the previously delimited rpt/krrp/t region, we have delimited a putative dominant susceptibility locus, designated Spt1, to an ∼0.24 cM region near the centromere of barley chromosome 6H. This high-resolution mapping greatly refined the previously delimited ∼3.3 cM region and facilitated the construction of a physical map using the new barley genome sequence. The use of the various newly available barley genomic resources, which are quickly being refined and updated, expedited the genetic to physical map construction and candidate Spt1 gene identification. Although the physical map of the Spt1 region is not completely contiguous, these tools have allowed for the positioning of physical sequences in correlation with our high-resolution map, as well as identification of flanking BAC clones to be used for further marker development and map saturation. Due to the identification of barley contigs containing the syntenic B. distachyon genes, we expect that we have captured the majority of the Spt1 physical region and show that it has been delimited to ∼9.5 Mb of the barley genome which is predicted to contain 49 genes.

We hypothesize that the gene/s underlying the Spt1 locus within the characterized region confers dominant susceptibility to NFNb isolates 6A and 15A through the recognition of necrotrophic effectors produced by the pathogen and the subsequent induction of PCD pathways in the host. It has recently been shown that P. teres f. teres produces necrotrophic effectors that induce the aforementioned host responses (Liu et al. 2015). Using intercellular wash fluids from barley leaf tissue inoculated with P. teres f. teres isolate 0-1, necrosis was induced on the susceptible cultivar Hector and sensitivity was subsequently mapped to the centromeric region of chromosome 6H. The segregation analysis indicated that a single locus at 6H likely contains a gene conferring sensitivity to an effector produced by P. teres f. teres isolate 0-1 (Liu et al. 2015). Additionally, four virulence loci, (VR1, VR2, VK1, and VK2), have been mapped in a P. teres f. teres mapping population derived from a cross of isolates 6A and 15A, identifying two major virulence QTL per isolate in different regions of the P. teres f. teres genome. Progeny isolates containing single virulence loci were identified for VK1, VK2 and VR2, essentially Mendelizing the major virulence QTL from 15A and one from 6A by isolating the specific necrotrophic effector–host interactions. These single QTL progeny isolates were inoculated onto the 6H critical recombinants to map the sensitivity genes, which we expect will encode immunity receptor-like proteins that govern susceptibility mediated by these unique effectors. Susceptibility to the VR2, VK1, and VK2 single major virulence progeny isolates mapped to the same region on 6H and, interestingly, all mapped to the Spt1 locus. This indicates that virulence conferred by isolate 15A may be governed by the recognition of two unique necrotrophic effectors by two tightly linked genes. Alternatively, a single gene at the Spt1 locus may perceive both necrotrophic effectors produced by isolate 15A. The putative necrotrophic effector produced by a progeny isolate harboring VR2, conferring virulence to isolate 6A, may also interact directly or indirectly with a dominant susceptibility factor at the Spt1 locus, which may or may not be alleles of a gene conferring susceptibility to isolate 15A. Alternatively, several effector targets within the ~0.24 cm Spt1 genetic interval may exist in a “susceptibility island,” each recognizing a unique necrotrophic effector and eliciting PCD. We have delimited the genetic region to ~9.5 Mb of barley physical sequence which, based on POPSEQ anchoring of barley unigenes and BLAST searches of orthologous B. distachyon genes within the region against the barley genome sequence, contains a predicted 39 high-confidence genes. Based on annotation of the functional domains, six of the genes encode predicted immunity receptor-like proteins. Thus, these genes are candidate Spt1 genes and are being further analyzed via allele analysis and high-resolution association mapping.

Table 3 Bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) clones identified from all markers on high-resolution map with corresponding sequence contigs and fingerprinted contigs

| Marker | Morex WGS Contig | FPC Contig | BAC Clone | BAC Clone |
|--------|-----------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| rpt-M4 | morex_contig_38836 | N/A | 387C23, 442K9 | N/A |
| rpt-M5 | morex_contig_1564520 | contig_4406 | 227H05, 534F01, 729E01 | N/A |
| rpt-M8 | morex_contig_1573477 | contig_45267 | N/A | eA0312J23 |
| rpt-M12 | morex_contig_43862 | contig_45181 | 783N21, 650G13, 82C13, 302J12 | N/A |
| rpt-M13 | morex_contig_64570 | contig_45181 | 783N21, 82C13, 302J12 | N/A |
| rpt-M20 | morex_contig_37494 | contig_45181 | 105J02, 666N13 | hA0105J02, eA0192020, mA0024H19 |
| rpt-M32 | morex_contig_102499 | contig_1117 | 72B22, 154J12 | mA0346B09, mA0406L23, hC0118K01 |
| SCRI_RS_165041 | morex_contig_1559439 | contig_1117 | 575NOS | N/A |
| rpt-M61 | morex_contig_45053 | contig_1117 | 726H13, 344C02 | mA0406L23 |
| rpt-M62 | morex_contig_45053 | contig_1117 | 726H13, 344C02 | mA0406L23 |
| rpt-M14 | morex_contig_52512 | contig_6770 | N/A | eA0049J16 |

WGS, whole-genome sequence; FPC, fingerprint contig; BAC, bacterial artificial chromosome; N/A, not applicable.

The rpt designation refers to the recessive resistance nomenclature previously used in the literature.

WGS contig from barley cultivar Morex identified via BLAST search (IBGSC 2012).

FPC contig identified via barley physical map browser or BAC MTP (minimum tiling path) (IBGSC 2012, Anyadasa et al. 2014).

BAC clones identified from Morex BAC library (Yu et al. 2015) by hybridization and BLAST (Muñoz-Amatriain et al. 2015).

BAC clones identified from Morex 6H MTP BAC library, screened by Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA) or via BLAST search (BAC prefix HVVMRXALL) (IBGSC 2012).
The barley–NFNB pathosystem proves to be genetically complex, consisting of dominant, recessive, and incomplete resistances, which are clustered at the 6H locus. This 6H complexity complicates efforts to incorporate effective resistance into elite barley lines. The cloning of Spt1, as well as the effectors that interact directly or indirectly with them, will allow for greater knowledge and understanding of the molecular mechanisms underscoring this pathosystem, as well as how necrotrophic specialist fungal pathogens have evolved to exploit the intricate immunity system of the host to induce beneficial PCD responses. The isolation of individual resistance/susceptibility genes implicated in this pathosystem will fill gaps in the understanding of the molecular basis of necrotrophic specialist–host interactions and allow researchers to effectively utilize identified sources of resistance. This work greatly advances the effort toward the positional cloning and functional analysis of the host gene/s involved in this complex pathosystem.
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