Evaluation of Patients for High-risk Obstructive Sleep Apnea from a Dental Perspective: A Cross-sectional Study
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A B S T R A C T

Aim and objective: To evaluate patients presenting to a Dental Hospital’s healthcare facility for the factors linked with the development of high-risk obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) through oral and radiographic examination.

Materials and methods: A cross-sectional study was performed to identify the patients at high risk of OSA. The patients were screened with the Snoring, Tired, Observed, and Blood Pressure (STOP)—BANG questionnaire, followed by oropharyngeal examination and lateral cephalography.

Results: Three hundred patients were screened for the risk of OSA out of which 194 (64.6%) were men and 106 (35.3%) were women. One hundred twenty-four (41.3%) belonged to the high-risk group and 176 (58.6%) to the low-risk (control) group. Logistic regression analysis confirmed that in the high-risk OSA group, neck circumference, class 3 or 4 Mallampati scores, temporomandibular disorder (TMD), bruxism, wide tongue, and deep palatal vault were all often seen parameters and were independent indications of developing high risk of OSA. The cephalometric analysis revealed decreased airspace distances in the high-risk group with downward displacement of the hyoid bone.

In the high-risk OSA group, neck circumference, class 3 or 4 Mallampati scores, TMD, bruxism, wide tongue, and deep palatal vault were all often seen parameters and were independent indications of developing high risk of OSA.

Conclusion: This study throws light on the imperative role of orofacial features in screening high-risk OSA patients. Dental sleep medicine is an upcoming branch with diversified treatment modalities. Because OSA has been related to the development of fatal medical disorders, it is critical to educate patients about sleep problems and their consequences.

Clinical significance: Oral and radiographic findings can play a pivotal role in identifying patients at risk for OSA. As the general population is unaware about the systemic implications of OSA, the screening for OSA should be conducted as a routine procedure by oral physicians. Oral examination can be a cost-effective tool in screening OSA.
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questionnaire, have been designed and validated to screen for OSA in patients. The STOP questionnaire is the most widely used, with a sensitivity of 72%, which rises to 83.6% when body mass index (BMI), age, neck circumference, and gender are included (STOP-BANG questionnaire). According to a systematic review by Senaratna et al., increasing age, higher BMI, and male gender are the three major established risk factors for developing OSA. Excessive daytime sleepiness and loud snoring are the alarming clinical indicators for OSA. The predisposing orofacial features are hypertrophied tonsils and uvula, deep palatal vault, macroglossia, increased facial height, and length of the soft palate with decrease in airway spaces. The orofacial features are additional parameters proposed by the American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM) for assessing OSA.

American Academy of Sleep Medicine has recommended oral appliances for the treatment of OSA making dental sleep medicine an important contributing branch for diagnosis and treatment of OSA. Dental sleep medicine is a new area of dentistry that focuses on diagnosing sleep disorders using orofacial features and treating them with oral appliances. Cephalometric analysis is a useful tool and can be included in the diagnosis—treatment protocol of OSA. The characteristic radiographic features most commonly observed on cephalogram in OSA include short mandible, narrow pharyngeal space, long soft palate, and low positioning of the hyoid.

In Indian studies prevalence of OSA varies from 4.4 to 13.7% and a large number of populations remains undiagnosed. Very few studies have been conducted in Indian scenario to assess the risk of OSA based on oral and radiographic examination. In light of this, the current study was designed to screen patients who presented to the dental outpatient department with the purpose of analyzing the parameters associated with high-risk OSA using a questionnaire, followed by an oral and radiographic examination.

**Materials and Methods**

A cross-sectional study was conducted among patients presenting for routine dental treatment to the Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, after obtaining Institutional Ethical Clearance (IEC/MPDC_152/OD-11/18). The study comprised 300 patients over the age of 18 years, who provided written informed consent and were willing to complete the questionnaire and undergo assessment. Patients younger than 18 years of age, patients with chronic morbidities, and unwilling to participate in the study were excluded from the study. A sample size of 300 was estimated to assess the prevalence of high-risk OSA based on the study by Kale et al. (2018) with a power of 80%.

The study population was then divided into two groups (high risk and low risk) based on the STOP BANG questionnaire as mentioned below.

**S**-snoring, Do you snore loudly (loud enough to be heard through closed doors or your bed partner elbows you for snoring at night?)

**T**-tired, Do you often feel tired, fatigued, or sleepy during the daytime (such as falling asleep during daytime, while driving or talking to someone else)

**O**-observed, Has anyone observed you stop breathing or choking/gasping during your sleep?

**P**-pressure, Do you have or are being treated for high blood pressure?

- **B**-BMI > 35 kg/m²?
- **A**-age older than 50 years?
- **N**-neck size large more than 17 inches for men and more than 16 inches for women
- **G**-gender: male?

- **Group I**: High risk for OSA (patients responding “YES” to 5 or more questions).
- **Group II**: Low risk (control group) for OSA (Patients responding “NO” to less than two questions).

Body mass index was calculated by dividing the weight in kilograms by square of the height in meters according to the metric standards as recommended by WHO.

Neck circumference was measured along the cricoid cartilage in erect position.

Additional parameters evaluated

- **Facial profile** (concave, straight or convex) was assessed by imagining a line passing through glabella, subnasale, and the pogonion.
- **Temporomandibular disorders (TMD)** were classified using the diagnostic criteria (DC)/TMDs criteria. The TMD examination was performed by trained oral medicine specialists with an experience of more than 10 years. The patients were classified in to myofascial pain, internal disc derangement, or osteoarthritis according to the DC/TMD criteria.
- **Bruxism**: The presence of bruxism was evaluated by a questionnaire based on the DC of the “AASM” (2005). The questionnaire refers to events during the past 6 months as follows:
  - “Are you aware, or has anyone heard you, grinding your teeth frequently during sleep? (yes/no)
  - Are you aware that your dentition is worn down more than it should be? (yes/no)
  - Are you aware of any of the following symptoms upon awakening? (yes/no):
    - Sensation of fatigue, tightness, or soreness of your jaw upon awakening?
    - Feeling that your teeth are clenched or that your mouth is sore upon awakening?
    - Aching of your temples upon awakening?
    - Difficulty in opening your mouth wide upon awakening?
    - Feeling of tension in your jaw joint upon awakening and feeling as if you have to move your lower jaw to release it?
    - Hearing or feeling a “click” in your jaw joint upon awakening that disappears afterwards?”

Respondents were scored as suffering from active sleep bruxism if their answer was positive to question 1 and/or question 2, in addition to at least one positive answer to a symptom listed in question 3.

Intraoral soft tissue examination includes assessment of the following:

- **Palatal vault shape** was noted as deep when the distance between the occlusal surface and the palatal vault appeared increased and the lateral distance appeared constricted, medium when the palatal vault shape was ideal, or shallow when the distance between the occlusal surface and the palatal vault was decreased and the lateral distance appeared enlarged.
- **Tongue size** as identified by Huynh et al. (2011) classification by using tongue position and tongue scalloping was as follows:
  - Small tongue: tongue edge does not cover lower teeth interesting position.
Cephalometric Analysis

High-risk and no-risk (control group) patients were subjected to lateral cephalogram. The lateral cephalogram radiographs were acquired with a sensor-to-source distance of 165 cm and rigid head fixation on the Soredex Digital Pano D plus Cephalogram Radiography Unit. The technical features of the machine include the current of 5 mA and the potential difference of 64 kV. Patients donned lead aprons and were positioned with Frankfurt horizontal plane (FHP) parallel to the floor, mid sagittal plane perpendicular to the floor, teeth in maximum intercuspation, and lips in rest position. The head position was fixed with ear rods and nasion positioner and an exposure was made.

All cephalograms were processed and imported into Digora software, and manually adjusted for brightness and contrast. The images were imported into Adobe Photoshop 7 and the various parameters were measured. The parameters measured in the lateral cephalogram are discussed in (Table 1, Fig. 3). The obtained values were compared with the control group which consisted of normal patients reporting to the radiology department comprising of no risk group.

Statistical Analysis

Data were entered in Microsoft Excel and analyzed using the program Statistical Package for Social Sciences, version 20.0 (SPSS v.20 Software. For each variable, the Chi-square test was used to

Table 1: Cephalometric parameters analyzed

| Measure                                      | Abbreviation | Abbreviation |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Maxillomandibular Difference                 | ANB          | Difference between the Angle between Sella, Nasion and Point A (SNA) and Angle between Sella, Nasion and Point B (SNB) angles |
| Maxillary effective length                   | Co-A (mm)    | Distance in millimeter from the condyle’s extreme posterior upper part to point A |
| Mandibular effective length                  | Co-Gn (mm)   | Distance in millimeter from the condyle’s extreme posterior upper part to point Gn |
| Maxillary anteroposterior position           | A-N (mm)     | Distance in millimeter from Frankfurt’s perpendicular plane passing through the Nasion point to point A |
| Mandibular anteroposterior position          | Pg-N (mm)    | Distance in millimeter from Frankfurt’s perpendicular plane passing through the Nasion point to point Pg |
| Upper pharyngeal space                       | UFS (mm)     | Distance in millimeter measured from the soft palate and pharyngeal posterior part throughout a line parallel to plane Go-B passing through the soft palate’s most posterior and superior point |
| Inferior pharyngeal space                    | IFS (mm)     | Distance in millimeter from the soft palate and pharyngeal posterior wall throughout the Go-B line |
| Distance from hyoid bone to mandibular plane | HPM (mm)     | Distance in millimeter from the hyoid bone’s most anterior-superior palate and mandibular plane |

Cephalometric Analysis

High-risk and no-risk (control group) patients were subjected to lateral cephalogram. The lateral cephalogram radiographs were acquired with a sensor-to-source distance of 165 cm and rigid head fixation on the Soredex Digital Pano D plus Cephalogram Radiography Unit. The technical features of the machine include the current of 5 mA and the potential difference of 64 kV. Patients donned lead aprons and were positioned with Frankfurt horizontal plane (FHP) parallel to the floor, mid sagittal plane perpendicular to the floor, teeth in maximum intercuspation, and lips in rest position. The head position was fixed with ear rods and nasion positioner and an exposure was made.

All cephalograms were processed and imported into Digora software, and manually adjusted for brightness and contrast. The images were imported into Adobe Photoshop 7 and the various parameters were measured. The parameters measured in the lateral cephalogram are discussed in (Table 1, Fig. 3). The obtained values were compared with the control group which consisted of Lateral Cephalograms of normal patients reporting to the radiology department comprising of no risk group.

Statistical Analysis

Data were entered in Microsoft Excel and analyzed using the program Statistical Package for Social Sciences, version 20.0 (SPSS v.20 Software. For each variable, the Chi-square test was used to
The age-group between 31 and 40 showed a statistically significant correlation for the presence of OSA (p = 0.0010). Prevalence of OSA among men was significantly more than women (p = 0.0220). The factors that showed a significant difference were included in the logistic regression model, and the odds ratio for each variable was calculated after controlling for all other variables. The odds ratio for large neck circumference more than 17 inches is 4.6, for class 4 Mallampati score for uvula is 25.25, large tongue 7.5, deep palate 19.80, and wear facets is 19.80, according to the multivariate logistic regression (Table 3). Therefore, these factors could have an increased chance of being associated with the risk of developing OSA.

**Cephalometric Analysis**

The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare the variables between men and women participants in the high-risk group and the control group. In case of high-risk group, none of the differences of the variables between men and women are statistically significant. And in case of control group, only the difference of upper pharyngeal space (UFS) and inferior pharyngeal space (IFS) between the men and women is statistically significant (p < 0.05).

Table 4 shows the comparison of the variables between male and female participants in the high-risk group and the control group by using Mann-Whitney U test. The mean UFS in high-risk group was 0.72 ± 0.35 and in control group 1.32 ± 0.78, mean IFS in high-risk group was 1.02 ± 0.54 and in control group 1.81 ± 0.61. The HPM (distance from hyoid bone to mandibular plane) distance was 2.62 ± 0.33 in high-risk group and in control group 1.81 ± 0.61. The UFS and IFS measurements in the OSA group were significantly lower than that in the control group, and the MP-H in the OSA high-risk group was significantly greater than in the control group (p = 0.005). The maxillary effective length Co-A was greater in the high-risk 5.79 ± 1.77 compared to the control group 6.45 ± 2.01 (p = 0.005). Figures 4 and 5 demonstrate the comparison of airway space and downward displacement of hyoid bone between the high-risk and control group.

**Discussion**

Obstructive sleep apnea is associated with several risk factors with prominent clinical implications. Patients with a high risk of developing sleep apnea can be identified through a thorough clinical examination and risk correlational analysis. The existence of oropharyngeal characteristics and radiological findings, which can be used to identify patients at high risk of developing OSA, was investigated in this cross-sectional investigation. In our study, 300 patients were screened using the STOP BANG questionnaire, 41.3% were within the high-risk group and 58.6% within the no-risk group. The classification of patients into high-risk and no-risk group supported by the STOP BANG questionnaire scores, highlights the importance a radical clinical examination and risk correlational analysis. This study is the first of its kind to assess the presence of dental parameters like TMDs, bruxism with a well-defined criteria, mandibular tori, periodontitis, and attrition in high-risk OSA patients. According to a study the orofacial features such as neck circumference greater than 40 cm, Macroglossia, Class 3 or 4 Mallampati score, and deep palatal vault were important factors in their study predisposing to the...
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Table 2: Logistic regression analysis of obstructive sleep apnea (OSA)

| Variables          | Factors          | No risk | %    | High risk | %    | χ²   | p-value |
|--------------------|------------------|---------|------|-----------|------|------|---------|
| Age-groups         | 21–30 yrs        | 29      | 74.36| 10        | 25.64| 15.503| 0.0040* |
|                    | 31–40 yrs        | 41      | 71.93| 16        | 28.07|      |         |
|                    | 41–50 yrs        | 36      | 62.07| 22        | 37.93|      |         |
|                    | 51–60 yrs        | 37      | 46.25| 43        | 53.75|      |         |
|                    | ≥61 yrs          | 33      | 50.00| 33        | 50.00|      |         |
| Gender             | Male             | 113     | 58.25| 81        | 41.75| 0.0401| 0.8422  |
|                    | Female           | 63      | 59.43| 43        | 40.57|      |         |
| Bruxism            | Absent           | 173     | 65.53| 91        | 34.47| 42.7401| 0.0001* |
|                    | Present          | 3       | 8.33 | 33        | 91.67|      |         |
| Neck circumference | <40 cm           | 151     | 94.38| 9         | 5.63 | 180.2851| 0.0001* |
|                    | >40 cm           | 25      | 17.86| 115       | 82.14|      |         |
| BMI                | <35              | 175     | 75.43| 57        | 24.57| 118.6271| 0.0001* |
|                    | >35              | 1       | 1.47 | 67        | 98.53|      |         |
| Profile            | CC               | 4       | 80.00| 1         | 20.00| 0.9541| 0.6212  |
|                    | convex           | 137     | 58.30| 98        | 42.70|      |         |
|                    | ST               | 35      | 58.33| 25        | 41.67|      |         |
| TMD                | Absent           | 173     | 64.31| 96        | 35.69| 34.2172| 0.0001* |
|                    | Present          | 3       | 9.68 | 28        | 90.32|      |         |
| Macroglossia       | Absent           | 160     | 97.56| 4         | 2.44 | 225.6871| 0.0001* |
|                    | Present          | 16      | 11.76| 120       | 88.24|      |         |
| Palate             | D                | 5       | 4.81 | 99        | 95.19| 194.5580| 0.0001* |
|                    | M                | 114     | 82.61| 24        | 17.39|      | 0.267   |
|                    | S                | 57      | 98.28| 1         | 1.72 |      |         |
| Mal score          | 1                | 46      | 97.87| 1         | 2.13 | 252.117 | 0.135   |
|                    | 2                | 118     | 99.16| 1         | 0.84 |      | 0.0200* |
|                    | 3                | 8       | 27.59| 21        | 72.41|      | 0.0001* |
|                    | 4                | 4       | 3.81 | 101       | 96.19|      |         |
| Periodontitis      | Absent           | 103     | 88.79| 13        | 11.21| 70.7890| 0.0050* |
|                    | Present          | 73      | 39.67| 111       | 60.33|      |         |
| Attrition          | Absent           | 151     | 94.97| 8         | 5.03 | 183.8512| 0.0001* |
|                    | Present          | 25      | 17.73| 116       | 82.27|      |         |
| Wear facets        | Absent           | 172     | 86.87| 26        | 13.13| 191.009 | 0.0001* |
|                    | Present          | 4       | 3.92 | 98        | 96.08|      |         |
| Tori               | Absent           | 176     | 67.43| 85        | 32.57| 63.626 |         |
|                    | Present          | 0       | 0.00 | 39        | 100.00|      |         |
| Mal occlusion      | I                | 174     | 59.79| 117       | 40.21| 5.0821 | 0.0240* |
|                    | II               | 2       | 22.22| 7         | 77.78|      |         |
| Crowding           | Absent           | 175     | 59.52| 119       | 40.48| 4.454  | 0.0350* |
|                    | Present          | 1       | 16.67| 5         | 83.33|      |         |
| Deep bite          | Absent           | 173     | 58.84| 121       | 41.16| 0.0001 | 1.0000  |
|                    | Present          | 3       | 50.00| 3         | 50.00|      |         |

BMI, body mass index; OSA, obstructive sleep apnea; TMD, temporomandibular disorder

*Significance at p < 0.05, χ² = Chi-squared test value

Development of high risk of OSA.14 Our study screened patients for OSA reporting to the dental outpatient department (OPD).

Our study identified a high male predominance in the high-risk group (64.6%) and is substantiated in the preliminary study by Block et al.23 and Kale et al.14 A statistically significant male predominance was noted. High prevalence of obesity is another significant factor to assess the severity of OSA. The high-risk group had 67% more risk of developing OSA in the present study. The BMI is the product of a calculation related to an individual’s weight and height, indicating an overweight condition. This was substantiated within the present study and emphasized in the other studies.12,14

Approximately 46.67% of the high-risk groups had a neck circumference larger than 40 cm. Excess fat accumulation around the neck constricts the pharyngeal region causing upper airway collapse. Modified Mallampati class 3 and 4 score, are high risk
factors for OSA and signify a narrow oropharyngeal airway. While sleeping the tongue falls back posteriorly and the constricted pharyngeal space causes airway distress. The risk of OSA in subjects with Mallampati class 4 was 25 times higher than that in those with Mallampati class 3 and 2 in the present study. Previous studies have also shown that a narrow oropharyngeal space increases the risk of OSA. The prevalence of macroglossia was found to be 7.5 times more common in the high-risk group compared to the low-risk group. The muscle tonus decreases during sleep and due to its large volume as seen in macroglossia, the tongue collapses and masks the posterior area including tonsillar pillars and uvula causing respiratory distress. Ruangsri et al. and Weiss et al. observed similar results.

OSA has been linked to periodontal disease and has been substantiated by several cross-sectional studies with an OR between 1.75 and 1.84. In the present study, periodontitis was seen in 111 patients within the high-risk group with OR of 1.52. A higher prevalence of periodontitis among patients with OSA, was demonstrated by Gunaratnam et al. signifying a probable association between OSA and periodontitis. Management of periodontitis shows desirable effects such as decreased systemic inflammation, metabolic control of glycemia, and improved

### Table 3: Odds ratio of variables included in logistic regression model

| Factors          | OR   | 95% CI Upper | OR   | 95% CI Lower | p value |
|------------------|------|--------------|------|--------------|---------|
| Age-groups       | 0.39 | 0.22         | 0.70 |              | 0.001*  |
| Males            | 0.72 | 0.54         | 0.95 |              | 0.022*  |
| Bruxism          | 11.00| 3.37         | 35.87|              | 0.0001* |
| Neck circumference >17 | 4.60 | 2.99         | 7.09 |              | 0.0001* |
| BMI>35           | 67.00| 9.30         | 482.62|             | 0.0001* |
| Convex Profile   | 0.72 | 0.55         | 0.93 |              | 0.011*  |
| TMD              | 9.33 | 2.84         | 30.70|              | 0.0001* |
| Macroglossia     | 7.50 | 4.45         | 12.64|              | 0.0001* |
| Deep Palate      | 19.80| 8.06         | 48.62|              | 0.0001* |
| Mal score 3      | 2.63 | 1.16         | 5.93 |              | 0.020*  |
| Mal score 4      | 25.25| 9.30         | 68.58|              | 0.0001* |
| Periodontitis    | 1.52 | 1.13         | 2.04 |              | 0.005*  |
| Attrition        | 4.64 | 3.01         | 7.15 |              | 0.0001* |
| Wear facets      | 24.50| 9.02         | 66.58|              | 0.0001* |

OR, Odds ratio; CI, Confidence Interval

### Table 4: Comparison of the cephalometric parameters between the high-risk and control groups

| Parameters     | Mean | SD   | Lower | Upper | Mean | SD   | Lower | Upper | p value |
|----------------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|---------|
| Age            | 52.60| 13.57| 22.00 | 81.00 | 29.64| 7.41 | 16.00 | 50.00 | 0.975   |
| ANB            | 6.13 | 1.68 | 1.30  | 13.00 | 6.33 | 1.80 | 2.40  | 10.50 | 0.444   |
| Co-A           | 5.79 | 1.77 | 2.40  | 10.50 | 6.45 | 2.01 | 2.60  | 11.30 | 0.014*  |
| Co-Gn          | 7.66 | 2.19 | 2.60  | 11.80 | 7.66 | 2.12 | 2.60  | 11.80 | 0.967   |
| A-N            | 2.84 | .46  | 2.15  | 4.32  | 2.81 | .43  | 2.15  | 4.32  | 0.729   |
| Pg-N           | 7.47 | 1.62 | 4.63  | 10.35 | 7.33 | 1.67 | 4.63  | 10.35 | 0.533   |
| UFS            | .72  | .35  | .06   | 1.98  | 1.32 | .78  | 0.06  | 2.92  | 0.000*  |
| IFS            | 1.02 | .54  | .06   | 2.75  | 1.81 | .61  | 0.33  | 2.95  | 0.000*  |
| HPM            | 2.62 | .33  | 1.75  | 4.32  | 1.06 | .64  | 0.05  | 2.90  | 0.000*  |

Fig. 4: Lateral cephalogram of high-risk patients showing decreased airway space and increased MP-H distance

Fig. 5: Lateral cephalogram of control subject showing increased airway space and decreased MP-H distance
parameters of vascular health. Diagnosis and management of periodontitis must be included in the treatment protocol and maybe a novel approach to improve OSA.

The present study showed a prevalence of 22.58% for TMDs (myofascial pain) in the high-risk group which was statistically significant. The TMJ pain experienced over time is through the effect of central sensitization and pain amplification in decreasing function in pain inhibitory systems, for example, via baroreceptor pathways. The overactivity of the sympathetic nervous system detected in OSA could trigger the occurrence of TMD. Patients with genetic susceptibility to heightened action of catecholamines are at risk of developing TMD.

Attrition and temporomandibular problems have been proposed by several authors as outcomes of OSA rather than risk factors. The current study found a significant odds ratio of patients with attrition and TMD in the high-risk OSA group compared to the no-risk OSA group. When the tongue collapses posteriorly, reducing airway space, the body may activate an inherent defence mechanism that causes the mandible to move forward unknowingly to create space for air in the upper airway region, causing tooth attrition. This action caused by the forward movement of the mandible repeatedly leads to excessive strain on the TMJ leading to TMDs. The present study shows the presence of wear facets and attrition in a statistically significant number of high-risk OSA patients. Consistent results were seen in a study to assess the frequency of OSA in patients with dental wear (attrition). A high correlation was seen between AHI severity and tooth wear severity. The authors concluded that tooth wear could be used as a diagnostic tool to identify patients at risk of having OSA.

In the present study, patients demonstrated an increased prevalence of Angle’s class I malocclusion than Angle’s class 2 malocclusion among high-risk OSA group as compared to the no-risk control group. The findings were similar to those of Al-Madani et al. and Triplett et al. who found Angle’s class 2 malocclusion to be a risk factor for OSA but did not find it to be a risk factor for OSA. Banabilh et al. identified some discrepancies in their findings. No significant association of dental crowding, deep bite, and facial profile was seen within the high-risk group of patients with OSA. An increased association of deep bite was seen in the present study with OR of 19.20 in the high-risk group. It’s in concordance with the study carried out by Kale et al. but in contrast to the study conducted by Ruangrsri et al.

A novel, notable finding in the present study is the presence of mandibular tori in high-risk patients (39/85; $p = 0.0001$). Large mandibular tori can predispose patients to OSA. Tori are large bony masses, which dwell in the tongue space, causing tongue collapse and upper airway obstruction. This airway restriction could manifest as OSA, as measured by sleep devices. A case of large mandibular tori causing OSA was reported which after surgical excision demonstrated a reduction in the AHI.

A high prevalence of probable bruxism (26.12% (33/124) $p = 0.0001$) is seen in the present study. The results are consistent with a study by Phillips et al. which demonstrated a positive correlation between episodes of tooth clenching and apnea. According to previous research studies, 35% of tooth grinders present with snoring and approximately 30% of OSA reported of bruxism. A pilot study by Winck et al. showed the presence of bruxism in 9 out of 11 OSA patients. A statistically significant positive correlation was found between tooth grinding episodes and OSA ($R = 0.735$).

Lateral cephalograms of the high-risk and low-risk (control group) patients provided significant results. Cephalometric analysis demonstrated decrease in the upper and inferior pharyngeal airway spaces (UFS and IFS) in the high-risk group and the MP-H in the OSA high-risk group was significantly greater in the control group ($p < 0.05$). Literature search revealed several studies reporting smaller airway diameters in patients with OSA. According to Szymanska et al. the width of the upper airway was decreased than the typical values standardized by McNamara on lateral cephalograms in patients with OSA. Martin et al. correlated the increased episodes of apnea or hypoapnea with decreased upper airway diameter. It was also deciphered that the values reduced drastically when the patients were observed in lying down position.

The distance between the hyoid and mandible was significantly increased in the OSA group ($p < 0.05$). this finding indicated that the hyoid bone was positioned more downward in the OSA group. According to Arya et al. this downward position of the hyoid with a lower tongue posture may increase the mandibular load due to the need of additional energy to elevate the tongue; this, in turn, may aggravate apnea by leading to the open-mouth posture during sleep.

The downward positioning of hyoid may be a poor prognostic indicator for the successful use of mandibular advancement splints which are utilized in the treatment of OSA. The MP-H distance was 4.14 mm larger in OSA patients than in controls in a meta-analysis by Armalaite et al. They came to the conclusion that greater MP-H readings might be used to distinguish between healthy people and OSA patients.

**Limitation and Future Directions**

A major limitation of the study is the absence of gold standard PSG, in identifying OSA. High cost and decreased feasibility are the major reasons to abstain from performing PSG. Polysonmography is an effective test to diagnose OSA, but the origin of airway obstructions that produce OSA cannot be identified by PSG. Lateral cephalometric radiography may be a useful gizmo to gauge the airway obstructions in these individuals but cannot predict the transverse diameter and airway volume. Therefore, the cephalograms remain a facultative preliminary tool for upper airway evaluation, thanks to low cost and convenience, despite the recognition of 3D images like cone beam computerized tomography (CBCT). Cone beam computerized tomography helps in assessing the transverse diameter and airway volume but is associated with increased radiation dose and cost as compared to cephalograms. Cephalometry combined with clinical factors, physical examination, and nocturnal was useful in the screening of OSA, according to Lavanya et al. and Julià-Serdà et al. and the combination of those approaches can greatly minimize the quantity of polysomnography examinations undertaken by patients. Future studies should focus on screening patients for high-risk OSA through questionnaires and oropharyngeal examination. PSG and advanced imaging modalities can be advised depending on the severity of OSA.

**Conclusion**

The current study highlights the significance of clinical signs and symptoms, as well as the STOP-BANG questionnaire, in detecting OSA risk factors. Increasing age, male gender, BMI, large neck circumference, class 3 and 4 Mallampati score for uvula, macroglossia, large mandibular tori, and radiological parameters...
such as decreased upper and lower pharyngeal space with downward displacement of hyoid bone are risk factors for OSA. Presence of TMD, attrition, and bruxism are consequences and convex facial profile and periodontitis are associated factors for OSA and were corroborated in the present study.

**Clinical Significance**

Dental sleep medicine is an upcoming branch with diversified treatment modalities. We as dentists are in constant exposure to the oral cavity and can assess the different oropharyngeal parameters associated with OSA. This study throws light on the imperative role of orofacial features in OSA patients. The oral and radiographic findings can be used as preliminary tools for early screening of patients with high risk of OSA. These patients can be further referred for confirmatory tests like PSG and 3D imaging. Since OSA has been related to the development of medical disorders, it is critical to educate patients about sleep problems and their repercussions. It is imperative to enquire about the patient’s sleep history, evaluate them with accessible validated questionnaires, and direct them to a sleep physician if necessary, supporting interdisciplinary therapy.
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