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**Introduction**

As advice and consensus on the pegylated-interferon (Peg-IFN) treatment for chronic hepatitis B (CHB) in China, the *Expert Advice on Peg-IFN Treatment for CHB* was published in the Chinese Journal of Infectious Diseases in 2007, and updated in 2010 and 2012.1,2 Previous versions of the Chinese Journal of Infectious Diseases in 2007, and *Expert Advice on Peg-IFN Treatment for CHB* have deepened clinicians’ awareness of individualized Peg-IFN treatment for CHB patients, playing a positive role in guiding the rational use of interferon.

Seeing as the last update of *Expert Advice*, following the revised version of China’s *CHB Prevention and Treatment Guidelines* and international guidelines for the treatment of CHB and expanded clinical research, new evidence from evidence-based medicine and a new concept of Peg-IFN in the treatment of CHB has motivated us to review the previous version of *Expert Advice*. In 2017, the expert group, under the premise of conforming with the principle of *Expert Advice*, refined and supplemented some of the suggestions and explored hot issues related to the current Peg-IFN treatment, forming a new version of *Expert Consensus on Peg-IFNα in the Treatment of CHB* to further standardize the clinical use and improve the efficacy of Peg-IFN therapy to achieve treatment goals.

**Treatment goals of CHB**

CHB treatment goal is to delay the progress of CHB, reduce the incidence of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), and improve the patient survival rate. Guidelines of 2015 American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases,3 3 2015 Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver,4 2015 Chinese Society of Hepatology5 and 2017 European Association for the Study of the Liver6 emphasized that the ideology for CHB treatment is persistent clearance of hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), with or without seroconversion. In China’s new version of CHB Guidelines, it is the first time the term ‘clinical cure’ has been used and it means sustained undetectable hepatitis B virus (HBV) DNA, alanine aminotransferase (ALT) normalization, HBsAg clearance and resolution of residual liver injury. The serum HBsAg quantitative level and the intrahepatic covalent closed circular DNA are positively correlated, which is an alternative indicator of infected liver cells.7 According to a long-term follow-up study in Taiwan performed by Tseng et al.,8 and a meta-analysis conducted by Liu et al.,9 the disease development risk lessens with low level of HBsAg, and the risk of HCC occurrence is drastically diminished with HBsAg clearance. These studies suggest that the quantitative level of HBsAg is an independent predictor of the progress of CHB, and a low level of HBsAg suggests a good prognosis of the patient.

**Suggestion 1:** For CHB patients with antiviral therapy indications, if appropriate, i.e. the patient’s baseline HBsAg level is lower or (and) HBsAg level shows a good response during treatment, the ideal therapy should be pursued as far as possible in order to achieve clinical cure (clearance of HBsAg and/or seroconversion).

**Seizing the CHB treatment opportunity**

The immune active phase (immune clearance phase) is the best period for antiviral therapy3–6 in patients with CHB. The immune active phase is characterized by persistent increase or fluctuation of ALT, virus replication, active liver inflammation or fibrosis of liver tissue. It is difficult to understand the timing of interferon therapy on the patient’s ALT level. ALT...
level changes are relatively intuitive indicators of immune response in patients with CHB. The immune active phase initiates with fluctuations of ALT levels, namely “increase, decrease and normalization” with different immune reactive states. Patients with higher ALT levels have stronger immune response, while those with lower ALT levels have weaker immune response. The sustained response rate is higher in patients after antiviral treatment with higher ALT levels, such as those with 2 times upper limit normal (ULN), or even more than 5 times ULN, lower levels of serum HBV DNA, such as below $1 \times 10^6$ copies/mL, and hepatocellular inflammatory necrosis. In addition, about 5% to 15% of patients in the inactive phase may have one or several episodes of hepatitis (i.e. the immune re-active phase), manifesting negative hepatitis e antigen (HBeAg), anti-HBe positivity, HBV DNA at medium to high levels of replication ($>20000$ IU/mL) and persistent or repeated abnormalities of ALT, which turns to HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis. The immune reactive period is also an ideal time for antiviral therapy.

**Suggestion 2:** Regardless of positive or negative HBeAg, CHB patients can take Peg-IFN antiviral therapy, as long as they are in the immune active and reactive phases, and have no Peg-IFN contraindications.

**Principle of individualized treatment**

Dosage and duration of the therapy are clearly stated by China’s and international guidelines or consensus on Peg-IFN therapy of CHB. The recommended dosage of Peg-IFN-$\alpha$-2a with HBeAg-positive or HBeAg-negative CHB is 180 $\mu$g via subcutaneous injection once weekly for 48 weeks. Theoretically, it is not recommended to extend the treatment without any consideration. The basic dosage and duration of therapy are proposed on the design and results of clinical trials. This is because basic situation, drug response and tolerance between clinically-treated patients and patients admitted to clinical trials are different.

In order to improve the patient’s compliance and treatment efficacy during clinical practice, it is necessary and rational to adjust the dosage and duration, or even to stop the treatment, according to individual patient’s treatment responses and tolerance. Mainly based on the patient’s tolerance, individual treatment adjustment involves adjusting the dosage of Peg-IFN, extending the time interval of the injection, suspending Peg-IFN treatment and reinforcing follow-up observations. Once adverse reactions are reduced or subside, the usual dosage should be resumed or medication should be resumed from low dosage. In the meantime, the stop rule in the principle of individualized treatment plays an important role in clinical practice.

Response-guided treatment adjustment (the RGT rule) should be conducted at week 24, rather than week 48. Therefore, it is important to predict the effect of long-term therapy within the shortest finite time possible. In recent years, the long-term efficacy prediction research has made great progress, providing much useful evidence for clinical reference. Predictive indicators involved in the efficacy prediction of CHB are mainly changes in HBV DNA levels, quantitative or semi-quantitative changes in HBeAg, and especially the quantitative changes of HBsAg in the early stage of the treatment.

The important evidence after stopping Peg-IFN treatment for the prediction of persistent response is HBsAg quantification. Numerous domestic and foreign scholars, such as Sonneveld, Piratvisuth, Liaw, Yang, Marcellin, et al., have accurately studied the instructive significance of early stage HBsAg quantification for dosage adjustment of Peg-IFN, which is discussed in details with specific suggestions in “Individualized Application Strategy of Peg-IFN on naive and nucleos(t)ide analogue (NA)-treated patients” of the “Expert Consensus”.

**Suggestion 3:** For individualized treatment regimens, treatment adjustment of dosage, duration of treatment and even drug withdrawal should be done according to the patient’s tolerance and response to treatment. HBV DNA, HBeAg and HBsAg quantification should be performed regularly, before and during the therapy, with HBsAg playing a particularly important role in guiding adjustment of the therapeutic regimen.

**Individualized treatment strategy for naïve CHB patients**

**Drug efficacy evaluation before treatment**

Most extensive clinical studies have confirmed the efficacy of Peg-IFN treatment on CHB. Asian-based studies conducted by Lau et al. showed that the HBeAg seroconversion rate of 271 HBeAg-positive patients who received Peg-IFN-$\alpha$-2a for 48 weeks was 42% at 48 weeks after the end of the treatment. Neptuane study and its long-term follow-up study mainly based on Asian patients showed that the HBeAg seroconversion rate of HBeAg-positive CHB patients who received Peg-IFN-$\alpha$-2a therapy for 48 weeks were as high as 36.2% and 48% at 24 weeks and 5 years after the end of treatment, respectively. Studies conducted by Marcellin et al. showed that the sustained response rate in HBeAg-negative CHB patients who received Peg-IFN-$\alpha$-2a treatment for 48 weeks increased continuously after the end of treatment. Patients with HBV DNA $\geq 2000$ IU/mL accounted for 31% at 1 year after the end of treatment, 88% of which maintained the response for 5 years after the end of treatment. The HBsAg clearance rate was 5% at 1 year after the end of treatment, and increased to 12% at 5 years after completing therapy. Studies conducted by Stelma et al. found that the 5 years’ cumulative HBsAg clearance rates of HBeAg-positive and HBeAg-negative patients who received combined therapy of Peg-IFN-$\alpha$-2a and adefovir dipivoxil for 48 weeks are 19.3% and 17.2%, respectively, 88% of which showed anti-HBs antibody ($>10$ IU/L).

These studies suggest that Peg-IFN-based monotherapy or combined therapy can achieve sustained response in CHB patients after completing therapy. The European Association for the Study of the Liver Guidelines of CHB treatment in 2012 highlighted that the best option for HBeAg-positive patients to achieve HBeAg seroconversion and HBeAg-negative patients to achieve a sustained response after completion of a finite course of treatment is to accept Peg-IFN therapy. Patient baseline characteristics contribute to selecting patients for interferon treatment. Two early stage global randomized and controlled trials of HBeAg-positive CHB patients with B/C genotype showed that patients with low baseline HBV DNA ($<20000$ IU/mL) and high ALT levels ($>2 \times$ ULN) were able to obtain higher sustained response with Peg-IFN-$\alpha$-2a treatment. Neptuane study showed that HBeAg seroconversion rates of HBeAg-positive CHB patients with baseline ALT more than 2 to 5 times ULN and ALT more than 5 to 10 times ULN who received Peg-IFN-$\alpha$-2a therapy for 48 weeks were
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44.8% and 61.1% at 24 weeks after the end of treatment, respectively. For patients with baseline ALT <2 × ULN, the HBeAg seroconversion rate was only 18.5%.11

Two recent studies have found that low baseline HBsAg levels can predict treatment response after completing therapy. A retrospective meta-analysis on HBeAg-positive CHB patients with B/C genotype (n = 647) from three randomized and controlled Peg-IFNα-2a studies found that patients with baseline HBsAg ≤25000 IU/mL achieved higher HBeAg clearance rate (35% vs. 16.3%, p < 0.0001) at 24 weeks after the end of treatment.20 Another real-world study analyzing 201 CHB patients with Peg-IFN treatment found that baseline HBsAg <25000 IU/mL was an independent predictor of HBeAg seroconversion at 1 year after the end of treatment for HBeAg-positive patients (OR = 10.45, p = 0.025).21

Suggestion 4: The principle of patient selection for better clinical efficacy with Peg-IFN treatment (i.e. baseline-guided therapy) involves level of ALT in rise and fall, HBV DNA level <2 × 10^6–8 IU/mL or fluctuation, and quantitative HBsAg at a relatively low level (lower than 25 000 IU/mL) at baseline.

HBeAg-positive CHB patients: At present, it is believed that quantitative HBsAg changes at 24 weeks with Peg-IFN therapy can predict a sustained response after the end of treatment and guide the treatment adjustment. Studies conducted by Sonneveld et al.,22 Piratvisuth et al.,15 and Neputane11 have found that the negative predictive value (NPV) of HBsAg clearance at 24 weeks after the end of treatment is 100% for HBeAg-positive patients with quantitative HBsAg level of >20000 IU/mL at 24 weeks of Peg-IFN treatment. On the other hand, HBsAg decrease to <1500 IU/mL at 24 weeks of Peg-IFN treatment can predict sustained response after the end of treatment.

Piratvisuth et al.,10 found that HBeAg seroconversion rates at 24 weeks after the end of treatment were 54%, 26% and 15% for patients with quantitative HBsAg level of <1500, 1500 to 20000 and >20000 IU/mL at 24 weeks of Peg-IFN treatment, respectively. The Neptuane11 study showed that positive predictive value (PPV) of HBeAg seroconversion at 24 weeks after the end of treatment was 57%, 45% and 0 for patients with quantitative HBsAg level of <1500, ≤20000 and >20000 IU/mL at 24 weeks of Peg-IFN treatment, respectively. Studies have also shown that changes in HBV DNA levels during therapy can also predict sustained response at the end of therapy. Ter Borg et al.23 have found that NPV for HBsAg clearance in HBeAg-positive patients was 100% at 24 weeks after the end of treatment, if the HBV DNA decreased <2 log IU/mL at the early stage (0 to 32 weeks) of Peg-IFN treatment. Hansen et al.24 have also found that chance of HBeAg clearance and HBV DNA <10^3 copies/mL at 6 months after the end of treatment disappeared if the HBV DNA decreased <2 log IU/mL at 24 weeks of Peg-IFN treatment.

The 2013 NICE CHB Management Guidelines suggest that HBeAg-positive patients should consider stopping the therapy if HBV DNA decreases <2 log IU/mL and/or HBsAg >20000 IU/mL at 24 weeks of Peg-IFN therapy.25 The efficacy predictive value of prolonged therapy with HBsAg levels at 48 weeks of Peg-IFN for HBeAg-positive patients has not been demonstrated by any research at this time. However, the safety of Peg-IFN therapy for 72 to 96 weeks has been confirmed26 and the 2017 New Switch study showed that extending Peg-IFN therapy to 96 weeks enhanced the PPV of HBsAg clearance of NA-treated HBeAg-positive CHB patients. HBSAg levels decreased to 200 IU/mL at 24 weeks of Peg-IFN treatment, which is equal to 56.1% and 48.3% of patients who had not yet received HBsAg clearance at 48 weeks and were able to achieve HBsAg clearance during therapy prolonged to 72–96 weeks.27 Thus, it is suggested that HBeAg-positive patients with HBsAg reduced to the low level or showing sustained decrease at 48 weeks of treatment should be considered for extending Peg-IFN therapy to 72 weeks or longer.

HBeAg-negative CHB patients: The quantitative changes in HBV DNA and HBsAg in the early stages of Peg-IFN therapy can also predict a sustained response after the end of treatment, guiding adjustment of the therapy regimen and contributing to achievement of a high treatment goal. Rijkeboer et al. have found that NPV of sustained response (HBV DNA <10000 IU/mL and ALT normal) at 24 weeks after the end of treatment reaches 100% if HBV DNA had decreased <2 log IU/mL at 12 weeks of Peg-IFN therapy. It is considered, however, that the results are mainly subject to genotype D and the NPV decreases to 80% for non-genotype D. Therefore, the 2013 NICE guidelines suggested that HBeAg-negative patients who received Peg-IFN therapy for 24 weeks should consider stopping the therapy if HBV DNA decreases <2 log IU/mL and HBsAg level remains constant.

The research developed by Moucari et al.,28 for the treatment of HBeAg-negative patients with Peg-IFNα-2a demonstrated that quantitative HBsAg level decreased obviously only in patients with sustained viral response (SVR). After 24 weeks of treatment, if HBsAg decreased >1 log IU/mL, PPV of SVR was 92%, while HBsAg decreased <1 log IU/mL the NPV of SVR was 97%. The retrospective analysis result of a Phase III clinical study in the treatment of HBeAg-negative CHB with Peg-IFNα-2a developed by Marcellin et al.,29 showed that 56% of patients achieved a decrease ≥10% of HBsAg level at 24 weeks of treatment, with sustained response rate significantly increasing after the end of treatment compared with those patients with HBsAg decreased <10% (43% vs. 13%, p = 0.0004); the HBsAg clearance at 5 years after the end of treatment in patients whose HBsAg decreased ≥10% at 24 weeks of treatment was 22.4%, which was obviously higher than in the patients whose HBsAg decreased <10% at 24 weeks of treatment (3.8%, p < 0.01). These studies showed that for those patients with considerable decline of HBsAg level at 24 weeks of treatment and with higher certainty of SVR, Peg-IFN treatment should be continued for 48 weeks in order to achieve SVR after the end of treatment; for those patients with a modest decline of HBsAg level, the treatment could be adjusted.

The quantitative level of HBsAg at the end of the treatment also has a predictive effect on sustained response after completing treatment. The research developed by Brunetto et al.,25 showed that HBsAg level decreased obviously after Peg-IFNα-2a treatment with or without lamivudine for 48 weeks. HBsAg level at 48 weeks of treatment predicts the sustained response of HBV DNA at 6 months after the end of treatment. After treatment for 48 weeks, the lower the HBsAg level, the higher the sustained response rate of HBV DNA after completing treatment. For those patients whose HBsAg level was <10, <100 and <1000 IU/mL at the end of the treatment, the proportion of HBV DNA <400 IU/mL at 24 weeks after the end of treatment was 88%, 66% and 40%, respectively; in those patients whose HBsAg decreased >1 log IU/mL at 24 weeks of treatment while still being positive or maintaining a very low level (<10 IU/mL) after treatment for 48 weeks, prolonged treatment is helpful to improve efficacy, achieve sustained response and reduce recurrence rate after the end
of treatment. Lampertico et al.\textsuperscript{30} reported that among the refractory HBeAg-negative patients with genotype D, the patients whose HBsAg level was <1000 IU/mL at 48 weeks of Peg-IFN\textsubscript{a}-2a treatment were followed-up for 1 year after extended treatment for 96 weeks; the proportion of HBV DNA <10000 IU/mL was 80\%, 25\% higher than those who received 48 weeks of treatment.

Obviously, extended Peg-IFN treatment can improve sustained response after completing treatment, and patients with low HBsAg level after 48 weeks treatment can obtain more benefits from extended Peg-IFN treatment. In addition, in the above-described research studies, 93\% of the patients were refractory genotype D; therefore, the efficacy of extended treatment will be more desirable in patients with genotype B and C who have better response to Peg-IFN. In conclusion, the lower the HBsAg level after Peg-IFN treatment, the higher the probability of HBsAg clearance. Thus, for those patients with a continuous decline of HBsAg level during treatment and low HBsAg level (<10 IU/mL) at the end of treatment, the extended treatment should be considered after enquiring about the patient’s willingness to obtain HBsAg clearance. The principle of treatment adjustment will be more desirable in patients with genotype B and C who have better response to Peg-IFN.

**Suggestion 5:** The principle of treatment adjustment during treatment (i.e. response guided treatment adjustment and stopping rules).

**HBeAg-positive CHB patients:** At 24 weeks of treatment with Peg-IFN, if HBsAg level is >20000 IU/mL, treatment should be continued to 48 weeks. For reevaluation at 48 weeks of treatment, if HBV DNA has dropped to the lower quantification limit, HBeAg seroconversion has occurred and HBsAg has decreased to a low level (<200 IU/mL), the treatment can be extended to 72 weeks (no more than 96 weeks in principle), in order to pursue HBsAg clearance. If HBV DNA has dropped to the lower quantification limit and HBeAg seroconversion has not yet occurred, but HBsAg has decreased stably or been cleared at 48 weeks of treatment, the treatment can be extended to 72 weeks to pursue HBsAg seroconversion. If HBeAg seroconversion has not occurred and HBsAg has not decreased significantly at 48 weeks of treatment, it is recommended to stop the Peg-IFN and treat with NAs for long term.\textsuperscript{24,26} If HBV DNA has dropped <2 log IU/mL at 24 weeks of treatment with Peg-IFN, it is recommended to treat with a combined NA and Peg-IFN approach. If HBsAg was >20000 IU/mL and HBV DNA dropped <2 log IU/mL at 48 weeks of treatment with Peg-IFN, it is recommended to stop Peg-IFN and treat with NAs for long term (Fig. 1).

**HBeAg-negative CHB patients:** If HBsAg has dropped ≥1 log IU/mL at 24 weeks of treatment with Peg-IFN, it is recommended to continue treatment for 48 weeks. If HBV DNA has dropped <2 log IU/mL at 24 weeks of treatment with Peg-IFN, it is recommended to treat with NAs together. If HBsAg has decreased <1 log IU/mL and HBV DNA has dropped <2 log IU/mL at 24 weeks of treatment with Peg-IFN, it is recommended to stop Peg-IFN and treat with NAs for long term. For those patients whose HBV DNA has dropped to the lower limit of detection and HBsAg has dropped to 10 IU/mL at 48 weeks of treatment with Peg-IFN, the treatment can be extended to 72-96 weeks to pursue clinical cure.

**Fig. 1. Adjustment during Peg-IFN treatment for HBeAg-positive CHB patients.** Abbreviations: CHB, chronic hepatitis B; NAs, nucleos(t)ide analogues; Peg-IFN, pegylated interferon.
extended to 72 weeks or longer to pursue clinical cure; otherwise, it is recommended to stop Peg-IFN and treat with NAs for long term (Fig. 2).

Individualized treatment strategy of NA-treated patients

Drug efficacy evaluation and NA-treated patient selection before Peg-IFN treatment

Partial response to NAs long-term treatment includes virological response with HBeAg clearance or seroconversion. Those patients who had achieved a partial response showed difficulty in achievement of a sustained response after drug withdrawal. The research developed by Chaung et al. using 88 cases of HBeAg-positive CHB patients showed that virological relapse (HBV DNA >100 IU/mL) occurred in 90% of patients receiving NAs treatment, even for the patients with HBeAg seroconversion after drug withdrawal. In 2016, two research studies developed by Kim et al. determined that regardless of the HBeAg status, the cumulative relapse rate of patients achieving NA discontinuation standard and continuing 3 years of consolidation therapy was still above 60% for 5 years after the end of treatment.

With the advancement of clinical practice involving interferon treatment, many studies for NAs-treated patients with partial response have made great progress. In the so-called ‘OSST’ study developed by Ning et al., 200 patients received continuous entecavir treatment for 9 to 36 months, with HBV DNA level <1000 IU/mL and HBeAg <100 PEIU/mL, and sequential treatment with Peg-IFN-2a for 48 weeks. The HBeAg seroconversion rate in the Peg-IFNα-2a group was significantly higher than that in the entecavir group (14.9% vs. 6.1%, p = 0.0467). In the Peg-IFNα-2a group, the HBeAg clearance rate was 9.3%, and in patients with baseline HBsAg (<1500 IU/mL) the HBsAg clearance rate was 16.7%; however, no HBsAg clearance occurred in the entecavir treatment group. In the ‘NEW SWITCH’ study, 303 HBeAg-positive patients with HBV DNA suppression (<200 IU/mL) for 1 to 3 years after NAs treatment were treated with Peg-IFNα-2a for 48 or 96 weeks randomly. The two groups of patients received NAs and Peg-IFNα-2a combination treatment therapy in the first 12 weeks, and were followed up for 48 weeks after the end of treatment. The HBsAg clearance rate reached 16.6% and 21.3% at 48 weeks and 96 weeks of Peg-IFNα-2a treatment, respectively, and the HBsAg clearance rates were as high as 9.8% and 15.3% at 1 year after the end of treatment, respectively. The ‘SWAP’ mid-term analysis reported in 2017 also showed that for HBeAg-positive or -negative CHB patients who had been treated with NAs for more than 1 year and whose HBV DNA were lower than the detection limit switched to or combined with Peg-IFNα-2a achieved 11.4% and 9% of the HBsAg clearance rate, respectively, while no HBsAg clearance occurred in the NAs treatment group. During 72 weeks of follow-up, the recurrence rate was lower in the combination treatment group, some of who even achieved clinical cure.

These research studies have provided a theoretical basis and practice evidence for achieving higher therapeutic goals for NAs-treated patients with partial response through limited Peg-IFN treatment. Based on further analysis of the ‘NEW

![Fig. 2. Adjustment during Peg-IFN treatment for HBeAg-negative CHB patients. Abbreviations: CHB, chronic hepatitis B; NAs, nucleos(t)ide analogues; Peg-IFN, pegylated interferon.](image-url)
SWITCH’ research, it has been found that for the higher HBsAg clearance rate of HBeAg-positive CHB patients with NA treatment for 1 to 3 years, HBV DNA suppression (<200 IU/mL) and baseline HBsAg <1500 IU/mL reached 26.5% and 40% at 48 weeks or 96 weeks of Peg-IFN treatment, respectively.27 The OSST research also showed that 33.3% and 22.2% of patients with HBeAg clearance and HBsAg <1500 IU/mL who had switched to Peg-IFNα-2a therapy achieved HBeAg seroconversion and of HBsAg clearance, respectively.34 Therefore, for those patients treated with NAs who obtained HBV DNA clearance of HBsAg can be further pursued according to the condition of the patient. If HBV DNA is undetectable, HBsAg is <1500 IU/mL and HBeAg has disappeared, the sequential combination with Peg-IFN can achieve further HBsAg clearance rate. Therapy should be adjusted according to the response during treatment (refer to Suggestion 7).

**Adjustments for NA-treated patients during the Peg-IFN treatment**

For patients treated with NAs, besides the baseline HBsAg level, HBsAg changes in early Peg-IFN sequential or combination therapy can also predict response, which is beneficial for adjusting the treatment regimen or prolonging the duration of treatment, so as to improve the sustained response rate and save medical resources. NEW SWITCH research verified that the HBsAg clearance rate in patients with HBsAg <200 IU/mL or that had dropped ≥1 log IU/mL at 24 weeks of Peg-IFN sequential treatment reached 47.8% or 56.1% at 48 weeks or 96 weeks of treatment, respectively. On the contrary, the NPV of HBsAg clearance in patients with HBsAg ≥200 IU/mL and that had dropped <1 log IU/mL at 24 weeks of Peg-IFN sequential treatment was 100% or 96.4% at 48 weeks or 96 weeks of treatment, respectively.27 The OSST study also showed that patients with HBsAg <200 IU/mL at 12 weeks of Peg-IFN sequential treatment achieved the best efficacy (HBeAg seroconversion rate of 66.7%, and HBsAg clearance was up to 77.8%). On the contrary, the HBsAg clearance rate in patients with HBsAg =1500 IU/mL at 12 weeks of treatment was only 1.7%.34 Both the SWAP mid-term analysis and the Japanese Red Cross Hospital cohort study showed that early decrease of HBsAg can accurately predict the long-term response in Peg-IFN combined or sequential therapy.35,36

**Suggestion 7:** For NA-treated patients, if HBsAg is <200 IU/mL or drops ≥1 log IU/mL at 24 weeks of Peg-IFN therapy, it is recommended to continue Peg-IFN therapy to 48 weeks; if HBsAg is ≥200 IU/mL or drops <1 log IU/mL at 24 weeks of treatment, the treating clinician should decide whether to continue the treatment according to the change of HBeAg. If the HBeAg has decreased obviously or cleared/seroconverted, it is recommended to continue with the Peg-IFN therapy to 48 weeks, otherwise stop the Peg-IFN and treat patients with NA for long term. If the HBsAg has cleared at 48 weeks of treatment, stop treatment and follow up; if not, extend the Peg-IFN treatment to 72 or 96 weeks, as alternative. If HBsAg clearance or HBeAg seroconversion can be achieved, stop treatment and follow up; otherwise, stop Peg-IFN and treat patients with NA for long term (Fig. 3).

**Peg-IFN treatment strategy for the population with high risk of HCC**

**Baseline liver cancer in high-risk patients with CHB**

In the natural history of CHB, the annual incidence rate of HCC in CHB patients with non liver cirrhosis ranges from 0.5% to 1%, and with cirrhosis ranges from 3% to 6%, which is far higher than the general population and the incidence of liver cancer in China (0.026%).37 Interferon has a variety of biological functions, including antiviral, antiproliferation, antiangiogenesis and immunomodulatory effects, and interferon can also achieve its antitumor effect38 by inhibiting tumor angiogenesis and antitumor cell proliferation.

A retrospective cohort study in Taiwan showed that the cumulative incidence of HCC in the Peg-IFN treatment group was significantly lower than that in the entecavir treatment group (p = 0.022). When the baseline age of the patients was matched for analysis, Peg-IFN treatment was found to significantly reduce the risk of HCC in patients with CHB by 90% (baseline matched population correction HR = 0.103, p = 0.031) compared with NAs.39 Similarly, from the prospective cohort study of Lim et al.,40 the incidence of liver cirrhosis in HBeAg-positive patients treated with Peg-IFNα was found to be significantly lower than that of patients treated with entecavir (p = 0.044); based on the REACH-B model, the incidence of HCC in patients treated with Peg-IFNα was lower than expected (p = 0.038), while in the entecavir group this effect was not observed (p = 0.36). However, this conclusion should be verified by more findings with evidence-based medicines.

**Suggestion 8:** CHB is a risk factor for HCC, and the CHB-related liver cirrhosis is a high-risk factor for HCC. For patients with high-risk factors of HCC, the Peg-IFN antiviral therapy is recommended, if no contraindication of interferon exists.

**Patients after HCC resection**

Currently, resection is still the preferred method for treating primary HCC,40 but the recurrence rate of HCC after radical resection is reportedly 61.5% in 5 years, which represents a problem in the clinical treatment of HCC and significantly affects the long-term efficacy of HCC treatment and its prognosis.50 The virologic factors in circulation of HBV-related HCC recurrence is HBV load, so the application of antiviral therapy in patients with HBV-related HCC has clinical significance.43 On the other hand, it has been widely accepted that metastasis and recurrence of tumor are not only associated with tumor cells, but also affected critically by the microenvironment in an immunosuppressive state through immunoeediting.42,43

Interferon has an effect on HBV DNA suppression, immune-regulation, inhibition of malignant cell proliferation and antiangiogenesis, which provide a solid theoretical basis for prevention of recurrence after HBV-related HCC resection.44 Systematic review and meta-analysis in 2016 also confirmed that interferon-alpha adjuvant therapy significantly reduced the recurrence of HBV-related HCC surgery/interventional therapy (RR = 0.90, p = 0.02) and mortality risk (RR = 0.72, p = 0.001), as compared with the placebo control group.
This benefit is more significant after hepatic arterial chemoembolization or hepatic arterial chemoembolization combined surgical treatment. The “Expert Consensus on Antiviral Therapy to Hepatitis B/C Virus-Related Hepatocellular Carcinoma” published in 2014 also stressed that in the synthesized project of HBV-related HCC patients, interferon-alpha adjuvant therapy can be chosen if no contraindications exist (1, A).

**Suggestion 9:** Interferon alpha (including Peg-IFNα) is recommended to be used as adjuvant therapy to reduce and prevent the postoperative recurrence of HCC in patients with HBV-related HCC who have been treated with surgery, intervention and ablation, and who are without interferon contraindication.

**Children with CHB**

Compared with adults, children with CHB have similarities that highlight its distinctive particularity. HBV infection in the infantile period has a stronger immunological tolerance, and the earlier the age of HBV infection, the sooner and more likely the virus is to form immune tolerance. The incidence of chronic HBV infection is highest in the perinatal period (90%) compared to the toddler period (30%) or adulthood (5% to 10%). People with HBV infection in the immune tolerant phase are known as chronic HBV carriers, and they usually have high levels of HBV DNA, but ALT and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) in the normal range, and no pathological changes or minor lesions found in the liver biopsy examination. Therefore, HBV carriers are now considered as having no indications for antiviral therapy.

However, a few young children can present clinically with ALT elevation and other immune activity, and the reports about treating them with antiviral therapy are increasing year by year. Zhang showed that in 506 cases of children with CHB treated by interferon alpha, the HBeAg-negative turning rate was 36.5% at the end of the treatment, higher than in the adult patients in the same period (32.3%); the HBV DNA-negative turning rate (that is, lower than the lower limit of detection) was 15.4% (with 15.03% of adult patients in the same period). After follow-up, liver pathological lesion inflammatory activity grades were significantly restored and liver active lesions and recurrent illness were under control; thus, children were considered less likely than adult patients to experience adverse reactions. Zhu et al. retrospectively analyzed the HBsAg clearance rate of 293 children with HBeAg-positive CHB who were 1–7 years old and treated with interferon antiviral therapy. Follow-up for at least 6 months after the drug withdrawal showed that the children treated with interferon alone were able to achieve a 48.8% HBsAg clearance rate. Moreover, despite the low level of ALT before treatment and high load of HBV DNA, the HBsAg clearance rate could go higher. The study suggested that children with HBeAg-positive CHB have a higher HBsAg clearance rate upon receiving interferon-based antiviral therapy before age 7.

Similar studies have also shown that ALT elevation (≥2 × ULN), low HBV DNA load, female sex, and age less than 5 years associated with obtainment of a higher response rate from interferon alpha therapy, suggesting these factors can be used to determine the more appropriate population of interferon alpha. Treating children with CHB with interferon...
alpha usually requires the selection of interferon dosage based on body surface area. In order to optimize the child’s administration, Sarr et al. studied the effects of selecting Peg-IFNα dose based on body surface area of 3- to 18-year-old patients with CHB using pharmacokinetic parameters, and found that 0.51-0.53 m², 0.54-0.74 m², 0.75-1.08 m², 1.09-1.51 m² and >1.51 m² patients were given 45 µg/week, 65 µg/week, 90 µg/week, 135 µg/week and 180 µg/week Peg-IFN, respectively, which can reach similar pharmacokinetic properties as in adults, and suggest that the selection of appropriate dosage based on body surface area is feasible for children with CHB administration.

**Suggestion 10:** Interferon alpha (including Peg-IFNα)-oriented treatment regimen could be selected for antiviral treatment of children with CHB. Due to different ages, different individual compliance and differences in lesions etc., the antiviral drug selection and individualized treatment regimen of children is more important than that of adults who are CHB patients. Interferon alpha (including Peg-IFNα) could be used as first-line treatment for children without active lesions and contraindications or cautions for interferon alpha. Children with ALT elevated (>2 × ULN), low HBV DNA level, female sex and <5 years of age have better response to IFN.

**Monitoring, follow-up and adverse reaction management during Peg-IFNα treatment**

The monitoring and follow-up of antiviral CHB are important issues in clinical practice, and the relative technique for both is clearly stated in relevant technical specifications. Because different patients have different response times and drug tolerances, and many other differences related to the interferon treatment, it is very important to closely monitor and follow-up during interferon treatment. Before initiating interferon therapy, measurement of key baseline parameters is required to predict efficacy and safety, such as precise evaluation of the HBsAg quantity, HBV DNA level and normal levels of aminotransferases, liver ultrasound and FibroScan, mental condition, thyroid function and associated antibodies and lung disease, which is significant for determining the severity of adverse events and whether there is response to therapy. Monitoring shall be carried out periodically for adverse events and the efficacy index during the treatment, where adverse events will be monitored mainly at the beginning of treatment and efficacy index monitoring shall be combined in the later stage.

As for ALT elevation at the initial treatment period, HBsAg quantity and HBV DNA level changes will be combined for specific analysis and correct understanding. Timely communication with patients shall be conducted for understanding and cooperation. In general, patients with response may experience ALT elevation when they experience decreased HBV DNA and decreased HBsAg quantity. Accordingly, ALT elevation is an important efficacy monitoring index and shall be monitored closely. As for patients with realization of endpoint of treatment, periodical follow-up visits shall be done once in 3 months at the start, and the time interval of the follow-up visits can be gradually extended for patients without recurrence beyond 6 months. If there is any recurrence detected during the follow-up, retreatment is still effective.

Adverse events of interferon have great influence on treatment compliance. As for adverse events and disposal of interferon, there is clear explanation in China’s CHB Prevention and Treatment Guidelines and they play great guiding and reference roles in clinic. The adverse events at the beginning of interferon treatment mainly include influenza-like symptoms (fever, muscular soreness or joint sore) and one-time peripheral blood counts change. Influenza-like symptoms can be relieved and even completely resolved with time extension of treatment. In severe cases, expectant treatment can be made, and there are few patients who discontinue the treatment because of it. The most common reason for discontinuing treatment is decreased peripheral blood count (including neutrophils) and blood platelets. Generally, symptoms can be relieved with expectant treatment or adjustment of dosage. According to experience of clinicians, Chinese patient weight is lower than patients in Europe and America, so standard dosage cannot be prescribed directly. Patients with average weight, lower dosage or extension of dosing interval can be taken into account for determining safety and effectiveness.

**Suggestion 11:** As for monitoring and follow-up visits in Peg-IFNα treatment, the baseline level measurement of key indexes shall be made before treatment, including HBV DNA quantity, HBeAg and HBsAg (as quantitative or semi-quantitative), ALT and AST, total bilirubin and direct bilirubin, and routine blood test. Liver ultrasound or computed tomography examination, double-lung imageological examination and fundus examination shall be made and fasting blood glucose, thyroid function or thyroid autoantibodies [thyrotropic hormone (TSH), free triiodothyronine, free thyroxine, thyroglobulin antibodies, thyroid peroxidase antibody] and so on shall be examined. If interferon treatment is considered for patients with obviously higher ALT level (>10 × ULN) and the interferon therapy for patients with normal total bilirubin and direct bilirubin, such treatment shall be carried out or guided by experts with strong clinical experience. When using interferon, the adverse events of drugs shall be monitored closely, and expectant treatment and supportive treatment could be beneficial. If ALT level starts to decrease or has decreased to <10 × ULN and there is no significant increase in bilirubin, then interferon treatment can be initiated. Normal dosage or lower dosage can be used according to conditions of the patients. Routine blood test will be made once a week after starting treatment and disposal will be made properly according to changes (see Suggestion 12 for understanding and processing of adverse events); according to monitoring, interval time can be extended gradually after the index becomes stable or shows improvement within a month. In the first 3 months following treatment, ALT, HBV DNA, HBsAg and HBeAg shall be monitored once a month and the monitoring interval time can be extended after these parameters become significantly decreased or normal. HBeAg and anti-HBe quantitative or semi-quantitative changes of patients with positive HBeAg shall be monitored, and in the meantime, there should be monitoring for whether any adverse events of interferon occur. B-mode ultrasound inspection shall be made every 6 months. At the beginning, follow-up visits can be made once a month, and the follow-up visit interval time can be extended gradually after 3 months. A follow-up visit of every 3–6 months can be made for patients with response and stable conditions.

**Suggestion 12:** For understanding and processing of Peg-IFN adverse events, if there is influenza-like illness, including fever, headache, muscle pain and weakness, Peg-IFN can be injected before sleep or an analgesic-antipyretic can be taken at the same time. Peripheral blood routine changes shall be monitored closely. If neutrophil granulocytes
are $=0.75 \times 10^9/L$ and (or) blood platelets are $<50 \times 10^9/L$, Peg-IFN dosage shall be reduced and re-examination shall be made within 1–2 weeks. If the numbers are restore, the original dosage will be introduced gradually; if neutrophil granulocytes are $=0.5 \times 10^9/L$ and (or) blood platelets are $<25 \times 10^9/L$, Peg-IFN shall be stopped. Granulocyte colony stimulating factor treatment can be tried for patients with significant decrease of neutrophil granulocytes. Patients receiving interferon treatment should receive close monitoring for possible depressive symptoms, such as depression, delusion, severe anxiety and so on. Expectant treatment can be given in minor depression; patients with worse depressive symptoms should consult a psychiatrist, and interferon treatment shall be instantly suspended for severe insanity. Some patients may have autoantibodies and only a few patients have thyroid disease, diabetes, decrease platelet count, psoriasis, vitiligo, rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus syndrome. When the said symptom occurs, the patient shall be diagnosed and treated by the relative clinics, and patients with severe symptoms shall discontinue the drug. Thyroid function or thyroid autoantibodies and other relevant indicators, as well as associated symptoms of thyroid disease, shall be monitored periodically (see Suggestion 11). Patients with normal TSH and negative thyroid autoantibodies shall be monitored once every 3 months until the end of interferon treatment; reasons for abnormal TSH in patients shall be determined and processed in a timely manner. In general, thyroxine treatment and interferon treatment can be initiated at the same time for patients with hypothyroidism and mild Grave’s disease, but shall be monitored closely. Patients with sudden occurrence of severe thyroid disease in the treatment shall be treated by the relevant specialist and, if necessary, interferon treatment can be discontinued. Peg-IFN treatment should be stopped in the case of renal damage, cardiovascular complication, retinopathy, hearing loss and interstitial pneumonia.

**Problems remaining to be solved for Peg-IFN treatment**

Most data comes from Caucasian populations, and there is not enough evidence of treatment for CHB patients infected with genotype B or C. Nowadays, more attention is paid to patient selection, treatment adjustment and the stopping rule of Peg-IFNα therapy. Therefore, more studies on Chinese patients are needed to answer the following questions: (1) What is the cut-off value of HBsAg at 48 weeks or 72 weeks of Peg-IFN treatment when pursuing HBsAg clearance? (2) What is the range of the degree of HBsAg decline in HBeAg-negative CHB patients, and could it predict the treatment response? (3) Is the initial combination therapy of NA and Peg-IFN valuable, and what is the advantage of pharmacoeconomics for the sequential Peg-IFN therapy in NA-treated patients? (4) How to individualize the prolonged Peg-IFN treatment? (5) Is it necessary to treat nonactive HBsAg carriers? (6) Does interferon therapy have more advantages for reducing incidence rate of liver cancer than NA antiviral therapy? (7) What is the dosage and duration of Peg-IFN for the postoperative patients with hepatic cancer?
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