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Abstract

We define a one-parameter family of canonical volume measures on Lorentzian (pre-)length spaces. In the Lorentzian setting, this allows us to define a geometric dimension — akin to the Hausdorff dimension for metric spaces — that distinguishes between e.g. spacelike and null subspaces of Minkowski spacetime. The volume measure corresponding to its geometric dimension gives a natural reference measure on a synthetic or limiting spacetime, and allows us to define what it means for such a spacetime to be collapsed (in analogy with metric measure geometry and the theory of Riemannian Ricci limit spaces). As a crucial tool we introduce a doubling condition for causal diamonds and a notion of causal doubling measures. Moreover, applications to continuous spacetimes and connections to synthetic timelike curvature bounds are given.
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1 Introduction

One of the current topics on the interface between physics and mathematics concerns the language in which General Relativity is formulated — differential geometry — and physically relevant models that might not fit into that language. Naturally, as a geometric theory General Relativity is developed for smooth Lorentzian manifolds; however models of stars, for example, may have non-smooth matter distributions, in which case the Lorentzian metric that the Einstein equations use to describe such a spacetime cannot be smooth either. Moreover, fundamental to Einstein’s theory of relativity is the notion of curvature. In the Riemannian or metric setting analogs of sectional and Ricci curvature bounds can be defined in a very general setting, allowing for non-smoothness or even absence of the Riemannian metric. The common framework in the metric setting are length spaces and there one can introduce curvature bounds via triangle or angle comparison leading to the notion of Alexandrov- or CAT($k$)-spaces ([BBI01, BH99]). Moreover, on a metric measure space $(X, d, m)$, i.e., a metric or length space with Borel measure $m$, (lower) Ricci curvature bounds are introduced using techniques from the theory of optimal transport via convexity properties of entropy functionals along geodesics of probability measures [LV09, Stu06a, Stu06b, Vil09].
This gives rise to the theory of CD\((K, N)\)-spaces, which roughly speaking, are measure spaces \((X, d, m)\) which behave as though they have Ricci curvature bounded below by \(K\) and dimension bounded above by \(N\). Such generalizations of curvature bounds to the synthetic metric setting have proven extremely fruitful, even yielding profound insights concerning smooth Riemannian manifolds, cf. e.g. [CC97, CC00a, CC00b, CJN21, Den21].

Inspired by this, a line of research was initiated in [KS18], where the notion of Lorentzian length spaces was introduced and shown to serve as a common framework for analogs of timelike and sectional curvature bounds while, at the same time, capturing all the relevant parts of causality theory and Lorentzian geometry. This line of research was extended recently in a seminal work of Cavalletti and Mondino [CM20], where they build on ideas from the smooth Lorentzian setting [McC20, MS21] to develop the concept of lower timelike Ricci curvature bounds in the framework of Lorentzian (pre-)length spaces. We give a brief introduction to Lorentzian length spaces in Subsection 1.3.

Furthermore, spacetimes of low regularity and related topics have been the focus of a very active field of investigation in mathematical physics. One aim is to understand the cosmic censorship conjecture of Penrose, cf. e.g. [Ise15], which, roughly, states that the maximal globally hyperbolic development of generic initial data for the Einstein equations is inextendible as a suitably regular spacetime. To this end an intensive study of causality theory (cf. [Min19b]) for Lorentzian metrics of low regularity was initiated by Chruściel and Grant in [CG12] and then pursued by various researchers, see e.g. [Min15, KSS14, KSSV14, Säm16]. In particular, Chruściel and Grant showed in [CG12] that for Lorentzian manifolds with merely continuous metrics pathologies in the causality do occur: For example, there are so-called causal bubbles, where the boundary of the lightcone is not a hypersurface but has positive measure. This causal bubbling phenomenon and the failure of the so-called push-up property has been investigated in [GKSS20]. A groundbreaking result for continuous Lorentzian metrics is the \(C^0\)-inextendibility of the Schwarzschild solution to the Einstein equations, which was shown by Shbierski in [Sbi18] and has initiated further research into low regularity (in-)extendibility and causality (e.g. GLS18, GL17, DL17, GL18, Sb21) and in particular [GKS19], where an inextendibility result for Lorentzian length spaces is given. As mentioned above, the importance of such low regularity (in-)extendibility results is rooted in the cosmic censorship conjecture.

Another very active field of mathematical general relativity, where low regularity features prominently in current lines research, is the study of singularities and singularity theorems, predicting causal geodesic incompleteness under certain causality and curvature hypotheses. The classical singularity theorems of Hawking and Penrose have only recently been successfully generalized to the \(C^{1,1}\)-setting ([KSSV15, KSV15, GGKS18]). The \(C^{1,1}\)-regularity class of the metric is a natural class to consider as the Riemann
curvature tensor is still almost everywhere defined and locally bounded. Notably, these singularity theorems have recently been extended even further to the regularity class $C^1$ in [Gra20], where the additional difficulty of non-unique geodesics had to be overcome. Moreover, the first singularity theorems in the synthetic setting have been proven in [AGKS21] for generalized cones, while an analog of the Hawking singularity theorem has been established in [CM20] using the new notion of lower timelike Ricci curvature bounds for Lorentzian pre-length spaces.

Let us also briefly discuss another natural extension of smooth Lorentzian geometry, namely cone structures on differentiable manifolds and Lorentz-Finsler spacetimes, see [FS12, BS18, Min19a, MST19, LMO21], which provide new perspective on the field.

Finally, there have been several approaches to a synthetic or axiomatic description of (parts of) Lorentzian geometry and causality in the past: The causal spaces of Kronheimer and Penrose [KP67], and the timelike spaces of Busemann [Bus67]. A closely related direction of research is the recent approach of Sormani and Vega [SY16] and its further development by Allen and Bürscher in [AB21] of defining a metric on a (smooth) spacetime that is compatible with the causal structure in case the spacetime admits a time function satisfying an anti-Lipschitz condition. Recently, this approach has been extended to the setting of Lorentzian length spaces in [KS21] and it was shown that these two approaches are in a strong sense compatible.

One goal of our work is to identify any preferred choices of reference measure (and dimension) on a Lorentzian length space, analogous to the Hausdorff measure and dimension of a metric space. Given the centrality of these Hausdorff notions in the theory of metric spaces $(X, d)$ and Riemannian geometry, it is clear that one needs a satisfactory answer to develop the synthetic Lorentzian theory further. To illustrate this we review several results from the Riemannian or metric space case below.

Let $(M_k, g_k, p_k)_k$ be a sequence of pointed (smooth) Riemannian manifolds of the same (topological) dimension $N$ and Ricci curvature uniformly bounded below. Assuming that $(M_k, g_k, p_k) \to (X, d, p)$ in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense, where $(X, d, p)$ is a pointed length space, Cheeger and Colding [CC97] established that either

(i) the volumes of balls of fixed radii collapse, i.e., $\text{vol}^g_k(B_{1}^{g_k}(p_k)) \to 0$

or

(ii) they do not collapse, i.e., $\inf_k \text{vol}^g_k(B_{1}^{g_k}(p_k)) > 0$.

Thus, the notion of a collapsed or non-collapsed limit of Riemannian manifolds was introduced into the literature, with the intuition that non-collapsed limit spaces are more regular (in a suitable sense). In particular, a non-collapsed limit space $(X, d)$ has Hausdorff dimension $N$, its $N$-dimensional
Hausdorff measure is positive, i.e., $\mathcal{H}^N(X) > 0$, and the volume measures $\text{vol}^k$ converge to $\mathcal{H}^N$ in (a suitably adapted) weak-$^\ast$ sense. This has been promoted to a definition in the synthetic Riemannian (RCD) setting by De Philippis and Gigli in [DPG18]: An RCD($K,N$)-space $(X,d,m)$ is non-collapsed if $m = \mathcal{H}^N$. Here, the RCD-condition is a strengthening of the CD condition, introduced by Ambrosio, Gigli and Savaré in [AGS14], to ensure the Riemannian character of the metric measure space (e.g. ruling out spaces of Finsler type). Recently, Brué and Semola established in [BS20] the following remarkably strong result: Let $(X,d,m)$ be a metric measure space satisfying the RCD($K,N$)-condition for $K \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \in (1,\infty)$. Then there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq k \leq N$ and $R \subseteq X$ (the regular set) such that $m|_R$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathcal{H}^k$ and $m(X\setminus R) = 0$. Yet more recently, Brenna, Gigli, Honda and Zhu [BGHZ21] show that weakly non-collapsed RCD-spaces are, in fact, non-collapsed.

Consequently, our goal is to introduce to the nonsmooth Lorentzian setting a natural family of Borel measures $(\text{vol}^N)_N$ indexed by a dimensional parameter $N$, that play a role analogous to the family of Hausdorff measures on a metric space. Having such a family allows one to define a geometric dimension akin to the Hausdorff dimension and — by analogy with the RCD case — a notion of non-collapsed TCD spaces. At the same time, our construction is novel and potentially useful even in the smooth setting. For example, the geometric dimension of a subspace of Minkowski space may or may not agree with its algebraic dimension, depending on whether or not the restriction of the metric to this subspace has a definite, semidefinite, or indefinite sign. This provides a Lorentzian analog to the well-known fact that the Hausdorff and topological dimensions do not generally agree in subRiemannian geometries [Mit85, GJ15]. Our geometric measure and dimensions also provide a natural, invariant tool for quantifying the partial regularity of particular solutions to the Einstein equation, analogous to the parabolic Hausdorff measure introduced by Caffarelli, Kohn and Nirenberg to limit the size and dimension of any singular set for solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation [CKN82].

Finally, another aspect of our construction is its possible relation to models of quantum gravity. To be precise, a central paradigm of quantum gravity is that the spacetime geometry can be recovered from the causal structure and a volume element, i.e., the geometry and curvature is an emergent phenomenon, see the result of Hawking, King and McCarthy [HKM76]. This is, in particular, the starting point of the causal set approach ([BLMS87, Sur19] to quantum gravity. Another approach are causal Fermion systems ([Fin18, https://causal-fermion-system.com/], see also the discussion in [KS18, Subsec. 5.3]. Our approach remains feasible when one goes beyond Lorentzian manifolds but retains a notion of causal structure and time separation. Indeed, we show that one can define a one-parameter family of volume element(s) from just the causal structure and a
notion of time separation.

In the following subsection we present the main results of our article and outline the structure of the paper.

1.1 Main results and outline of the article

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Subsection 1.2 we introduce the relevant background on Lorentzian geometry and fix some notations and conventions. Then, to conclude the introduction we give a brief review of the theory of Lorentzian length spaces in Subsection 1.3.

In Section 2 we construct a natural family \((V^N)_N\) of Borel measures on very general Lorentzian spaces, indexed by a dimensional parameter \(N\), see Proposition 2.4. Then in Section 3 we use this family to define a notion of geometric dimension \(\dim^\tau\). This culminates in Corollary 3.4 which shows the value \(N = \dim^\tau\) of this geometric dimension to be characterized by \(V^k(X) = \infty\) and \(V^K(X) = 0\) for all \(0 \leq k < N < K\).

Next, in Subsection 3.1 we investigate the relation between the length of causal curves and the one-dimensional Lorentzian measures of their images. In particular, images of null curves have zero geometric dimension, while their Hausdorff dimension is one, see Corollary 3.7. Moreover, the length agrees with the one-dimensional measure for globally hyperbolic Lorentzian length spaces (Proposition 3.8).

Section 3 concludes with Subsection 3.2, where illustrate the geometric dimension on linear subspaces of Minkowski spacetime. In particular, we establish that for spacelike subspaces the algebraic and geometric dimensions agree, whereas for null subspaces the former exceeds the latter by one (as in the above mentioned case of null lines).

The main part of our article is devoted to applying the theory we develop to spacetimes with continuous metrics 4. In Subsection 4.1 we introduce a notion of enlargement of causal diamonds in an axiomatic way. Then in Subsection 4.2 we establish the existence of cylindrical neighborhoods adapted to our specific purposes, which we then use to establish that the volume measure \(\text{vol}^g\) induced by a continuous Lorentzian metric \(g\) on a spacetime \((M, g)\) of topological dimension \(n\) agrees with our Lorentzian measure \(V^n\) in Subsection 4.3, Theorem 4.8.

After that, we introduce the notion of causally doubling measure in Subsection 4.4 and relate it to the geometric dimension. In particular, a doubling constant yields a bound from above on the geometric dimension, see Theorem 4.16.

Finally, in Section 5 we relate the synthetic timelike Ricci curvature bounds of Cavalletti and Mondino [CM20], by showing for any lower bound \(K \in \mathbb{R}\) and transport exponent \(0 < p < 1\), that — in the absence of causal bubbles and timelike branching — their weak entropic timelike curvature-
dimension (respectively timelike measure contraction) property \(\text{wTCD}_p^e(K, N - 1)\) (respectively \(\text{TMCP}_p^e(K, N)\)) for a continuous globally hyperbolic space-time \((M, g)\) provides a bound \(\dim^r \leq N\) on its geometric dimension; see Theorem 5.2 and Corollary 5.3. This motivates us to define:

**Definition 1.1 (Non-collapsed TCD-space).** A \(\text{wTCD}_p^e(K, N)\)-space \(X\) with reference measure \(m\) is called non-collapsed if \(m = cV^N\), for some constant \(c > 0\).

A technical approximation result for spacetimes with continuous metrics — used in the proof of Theorem 5.2 — is outsourced to the Appendix A.

### 1.2 Notation and conventions

We fix some notation and conventions: For \(\kappa \geq 0\) we denote by \(H^\kappa\) (and \(H^\kappa_\delta\)), the \(\kappa\)-dimensional Hausdorff outer measure (at scale \(\delta > 0\)) on a metric space \((X, d)\).

Throughout this article, \(M\) denotes a smooth, connected, second countable Hausdorff manifold. We fix a smooth complete Riemannian metric \(h\) on \(M\) and denote the induced (length) metric by \(d^h\). Unless otherwise stated, \(g\) is a continuous Lorentzian metric on \(M\), and we assume that \((M, g)\) is time-oriented (i.e., there exists a continuous timelike vector field \(\xi\), that is, \(g(\xi, \xi) < 0\) everywhere). We call \((M, g)\) a continuous spacetime. Moreover, note that our convention is that \(g\) is of signature \((- + + + \ldots)\). A vector \(v \in TM\) is called

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{timelike} & \quad \text{if } g(v, v) < 0, \\
\text{null} & \quad \text{if } g(v, v) = 0 \text{ and } v \neq 0, \\
\text{causal} & \quad \text{if } g(v, v) \leq 0 \text{ and } v \neq 0, \\
\text{spacelike} & \quad \text{if } g(v, v) > 0 \text{ or } v = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Furthermore, a causal vector \(v \in TM\) is future/past directed if \(g(v, \xi) < 0\) (or \(g(v, \xi) > 0\), respectively), where \(\xi\) is the global timelike vector field giving the time orientation of the spacetime \((M, g)\). Analogously, one defines the causal character and time orientation of sufficiently smooth curves into \(M\).

The (Lorentzian) length \(L^g(\gamma)\) of a causal curve \(\gamma: [a, b] \to M\) is defined as

\[
L^g(\gamma) := \int_a^b \sqrt{-g(\dot{\gamma}(\tau), \gamma)}.
\]

The time separation function is defined as follows: for \(x, y \in M\) set

\[
\tau(x, y) := \sup \{ L^g(\gamma) : \gamma \text{ future directed causal from } x \text{ to } y \} \cup \{0\}.
\]

Two events \(x, y \in M\) are timelike related if there is a future directed timelike curve from \(x\) to \(y\), denoted by \(x \ll y\). Analogously, \(x \leq y\) if there is
a future directed causal curve from \(x\) to \(y\) or \(x = y\). The spacetime \((M, g)\) is strongly causal if for every point \(p \in M\) and for every neighborhood \(U\) of \(p\) there is a neighborhood \(V\) of \(p\) such that \(V \subseteq U\) and all causal curves with endpoints in \(V\) are contained in \(U\), (in which case \(V\) is called causally convex in \(U\)). Furthermore, a spacetime is causally plain if there are no causal bubbles, i.e., the boundary of the lightcone is a set of zero Lebesgue measure, cf. [CG12, Def. 1.16]. In particular, in causally plain spacetimes the push-up principle holds: \(\tau(p, q) > 0\) if and only if \(p \ll q\), cf. [GKSS20, Thm. 2.12]. This causality condition is only relevant in low regularity: every spacetime with locally Lipschitz continuous metric is causally plain ([CG12, Cor. 1.17]), hence any smooth spacetime.

Given Lorentzian metrics \(g_1, g_2\), we say that \(g_2\) has strictly wider light cones than \(g_1\), denoted by \(g_1 \prec g_2\), if for any tangent vector \(X \neq 0\), \(g_1(X, X) \leq 0\) implies that \(g_2(X, X) < 0\) (cf. [MS08, Sec. 3.8.2], [CG12, Sec. 1.2]). Thus any \(g_1\)-causal vector is timelike for \(g_2\). For \(C > 0\) we denote by \(\eta_C\) the (scaled) Minkowski metric on \(\mathbb{R}^n\), i.e.,

\[
\eta_C := -C^2 dt^2 + (dx_1)^2 + \ldots + (dx_{n-1})^2.
\]

Note that for \(C > 1\) one has \(\eta_{C^{-1}} \prec \eta_C\).

### 1.3 A Concise Review of Lorentzian (pre-)Length Spaces

We conclude the introduction by giving a brief review of Lorentzian length spaces [KS18].

Let \(X\) be a set endowed with a preorder \(\leq\) and a transitive relation \(\ll\) contained in \(\leq\). If \(x \ll y\) respectively \(x \leq y\) we call \(x\) and \(y\) timelike respectively causally related. The causal/timelike future of \(x \in X\) is defined as

\[
I^+(x) := \{y \in X : x \ll y\}, \quad J^+(x) := \{y \in X : x \leq y\}.
\]

Analogously, one defines the causal/timelike past \(I^-(x)/J^-(x)\) of \(x \in X\) as the set of points \(y \in X\) such that \(y \ll x\) or \(y \leq x\), respectively. Moreover, the chronological/causal diamond with vertices \(x, y \in X\) is

\[
I(x, y) := I^+(x) \cap I^-(y), \quad J(x, y) := J^+(x) \cap J^-(y).
\]

A subset \(A \subseteq X\) is causally convex if for all \(x, y \in A\) the causal diamond \(J(x, y)\) is contained in \(A\), i.e., \(J(x, y) \subseteq A\).

If \(X\) is, in addition, equipped with a metric \(d\) and a lower semicontinuous map \(\tau : X \times X \to [0, \infty]\) that satisfies the reverse triangle inequality

\[
\tau(x, y) + \tau(y, z) \leq \tau(x, z)
\]

(for all \(x \leq y \leq z\), as well as \(\tau(x, y) = 0\) if \(x \not\ll y\) and \(\tau(x, y) > 0 \iff x \ll y\)), then \((X, d, \ll, \leq, \tau)\) is called a Lorentzian pre-length space and \(\tau\) is called
the time separation function of $X$. Note that these assumptions on $(X, d, \ll, \leq, \tau)$ yield that the push-up principle holds, i.e., for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $x \ll y \leq z$ or $x \leq y \ll z$ one has that $x \ll z$.

A non-constant curve $\gamma: I \to X$ on an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is called future-directed causal (respectively timelike) if $\gamma$ is locally Lipschitz continuous with respect to $d$ and if for all $t_1, t_2 \in I$ with $t_1 < t_2$ we have $\gamma(t_1) \leq \gamma(t_2)$ (respectively $\gamma(t_1) \ll \gamma(t_2)$). It is called null if, in addition to being causal, no two points on the curve are related with respect to $\ll$. For strongly causal continuous Lorentzian metrics, this notion of causality coincides with the usual one ([KS18, Prop. 5.9]). Moreover, it should be emphasized that for a continuous spacetime $\ll$-timelike curves need not be $g$-timelike curves, see [KS18, Ex. 2.22]. However, the chronological and causal futures and pasts with respect to both notions agree (by the definition of $\ll$, $\leq$ in continuous spacetimes). Thus, there is no ambiguity in denoting them by $I^\pm(x)$ and $J^\pm(x)$, respectively.

In analogy to the theory of metric length spaces, the length of a causal curve is defined via the time separation function: For $\gamma: [a, b] \to X$ future-directed causal we set

$$L_\tau(\gamma) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \tau(\gamma(t_i), \gamma(t_{i+1})) : a = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_N = b, \, N \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$ 

For smooth and strongly causal spacetimes $(M, g)$ this notion of length coincides with the usual one: $L_\tau(\gamma) = L^g(\gamma)$ ([KS18, Prop. 2.32]). A future-directed causal curve $\gamma: [a, b] \to X$ is maximal if it realizes the time separation, i.e., if $L_\tau(\gamma) = \tau(\gamma(a), \gamma(b))$.

Standard causality conditions can also be imposed on Lorentzian pre-length spaces, and substantial parts of the causal ladder ([MS08]) continue to hold in this general setting, cf. [KS18, Subsec. 3.5] and [ACS20]. What is needed in this work are the following notions:

A causal space $(X, \ll, \leq)$ is called causal if the relation $\leq$ is a partial order; this rules out, e.g. closed timelike loops. A Lorentzian pre-length space $(X, d, \ll, \leq, \tau)$ is called non-totally imprisoning if for every compact $K \subseteq X$ there is a constant $C \geq 0$ such $L^d(\gamma) \leq C$ for all causal curves $\gamma$ contained in $K$. Here $L^d$ denotes the (variational) length of a curve. A Lorentzian pre-length space $(X, d, \ll, \leq, \tau)$ is called strongly causal if the metric topology of $(X, d)$ agrees with the topology generated by the chronological diamonds $I(x, y) \ (x, y \in X)$ — also called the Alexandrov topology. Finally, a non-totally imprisoning Lorentzian pre-length space is called globally hyperbolic if all causal diamonds $J(x, y) \ (x, y \in X)$ are compact.

We need the following auxiliary result, which has not been established yet in the setting of Lorentzian pre-length spaces — only for Lorentzian length spaces, see [KS18 Thm. 3.26] (cf. also [KP67, Lem. 1-2] for a similar
result in a slightly different setting, which uses the topology generated by the chronological futures and pasts $I^\pm(x)$ but called Alexandrov topology there).

**Lemma 1.2** (Strong causality implies causality). Let $(X, d, \ll, \leq, \tau)$ be a strongly causal Lorentzian pre-length space, then it is causal.

**Proof:** Assume that $(X, d, \ll, \leq, \tau)$ is not causal, i.e., there are $x \neq y$ such that $x \leq y \leq x$. By assumption the Alexandrov topology agrees with the metric topology, hence it is Hausdorff. Consequently, there is a neighborhood $U$ of $y$ and there are $x_1 \pm 1, \ldots, x_N \pm 1 \in X$ such that $V := I(x_1, x_1^+) \cap \ldots I(x_N, x_N^+)$ is a neighborhood of $x$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$. However, for every $i = 1, \ldots, N$ we have that $x_i^- \ll x \leq y \leq x_i^+$, so $x_i^- \ll y \ll x_i^+$ by push-up, and thus $y \in U \cap V$ — a contradiction. \[\square\]

Lorentzian length spaces are close analogues of metric length spaces in the sense that the time separation function can be calculated from the length of causal curves connecting causally related points. A Lorentzian pre-length space that satisfies some additional technical assumptions (cf. [KS18, Def. 3.22]) is called a *Lorentzian length space* if for any $x, y \in X$

\[\tau(x, y) = \sup \{L(\gamma) : \gamma \text{ future-directed causal from } x \text{ to } y\} \cup \{0\}.\]

Any smooth strongly causal spacetime is an example of a Lorentzian length space. More generally, spacetimes with low regularity metrics [KS18, Sec. 5], certain Lorentz-Finsler spaces [Min19a] and warped products of a line with a (metric) length space [AGKS21] provide further examples.

Finally, one can then define curvature bounds in terms of triangle comparison with the two-dimensional Lorentzian model spaces of constant curvature, cf. [KS18, Sec. 4] or Ricci curvature bounds via convexity properties of entropy functionals on the space of probability measures on a Lorentzian pre-length space, cf. [CM20] (and Section 5).

## 2 Construction of the measures

The construction in this section works for slightly more general spaces than Lorentzian pre-length spaces. In fact, the time separation function $\tau$ need not be lower semicontinuous, the reverse triangle inequality and the push-up principle, i.e., $\tau(p, q) > 0$ if and only if $p \ll q$, are not required to hold. Therefore, in this section we consider a set $X$, a transitive and reflexive relation $\leq$ on $X$, and $\tau : X \times X \to [0, \infty]$. Of course, later we consider Lorentzian (pre-)length spaces with their time separation function $\tau$.

**Definition 2.1** (Volume of a causal diamond). Let $N \in [0, \infty)$. Set $J(p, q) := J^+(p) \cap J^-(q)$ for $p, q \in X$ and for $\tau(p, q) < \infty$ set

\[(1) \quad \rho_N(J(p, q)) := \omega_N \tau(p, q)^N,\]
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where $\omega_N := \frac{N+1}{N(N+1)^{2N+1}}$ and $\Gamma(x) := \int_0^\infty t^{x-1}e^{-t} \, dt$ is Euler’s gamma function.

The motivation for defining $\rho_N$ in this way comes from the volumes of causal diamonds in $N$-dimensional Minkowski spacetime, when $N \geq 2$ is an integer. Recall the Lebesgue volume of the unit ball $B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ is $\alpha_{N-1} := \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(B_1(0)) = \pi^{\frac{N-1}{2}}/\Gamma(\frac{N+1}{2})$; the remaining factors $N2^{N-1}$ in $\omega_N$ come from the volume formula for a solid cone with base $B_\tau(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$.

**Lemma 2.2 (Volumes of causal diamonds in Minkowski spacetime).** Let $N \geq 2$ be an integer and for $\tau(p, q) < \infty$ let $\bar{J}(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$ be a causal diamond in $N$-dimensional Minkowski spacetime $\mathbb{R}_1^N$ with $\tau(p, q) = \bar{\tau}(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) := \bar{\tau}^{\mathbb{R}}(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$ then

$$\rho_N(J(p, q)) = \text{vol}^{\mathbb{R}^N}((\bar{J}(\bar{p}, \bar{q})) = \omega_N \tau(p, q)^N.$$ Moreover, the the volume $\rho_N(J(p, q)) = \text{vol}^{\mathbb{R}^N}((\bar{J}(\bar{p}, \bar{q}))$ is independent of the choice of $\bar{p}, \bar{q}$. In particular, in case $\tau(p, q) > 0$, we can find $p', q' \in \mathbb{R}_1^N$ with $\bar{\tau}(p', q') = \bar{\tau}(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) = \tau(p, q)$ and $p', q'$ only differ in the time component, i.e., $\text{proj}_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}(p') = \text{proj}_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}(q')$.

**Proof:** For simplicity we drop the $\bar{}$ notation for this proof as we only work in $N$-dimensional Minkowski spacetime $\mathbb{R}_1^N$.

In case $p \leq q$ but $p \ll q$ there is nothing to do as $J(p, q)$ is a point or the image of a null geodesic, as such it has zero $N$-dimensional Lebesgue measure.

Let $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_1^N$ with $p \ll q$ and set $T := \tau(p, q) > 0$, $v := q - p$ which is by assumption future directed and timelike with length $T$. As the proper, orthochronous (or restricted) Lorentz group $SO^+(1, N - 1)$ acts transitively on $\{w \in \mathbb{R}_1^N : w$ future directed timelike and $|w| = T\}$, there is an $A \in SO^+(1, N - 1)$ such that $Av = (T, \bar{0}) =: \bar{q}$. Then the affine linear transformation $\phi(z) := A(z - p)$ maps $p$ to $0$, $q$ to $(T, \bar{0})$ and (bijectively) $J(p, q)$ to $J(0, \bar{q})$. Moreover, as the determinant of $A$ is 1 we get

$$\text{vol}(J(p, q)) = \int_{J(p, q)} 1 \, d\mathcal{H}^N = \int_{J(0, \bar{q})} 1|\det(A)| \, d\mathcal{H}^N = \text{vol}(J(0, \bar{q})).$$

Finally, we establish that $\text{vol}(J(0, \bar{q})) = \omega_N T^N = \omega_N \tau(p, q)^N$. Denote by $D_r(z) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ the closed Euclidean ball of radius $r$ around $z$. Let $v = (t, \bar{x}) \in \mathbb{R}_1^N$, then $v \in J(0, \bar{q})$ if and only if $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $\|\bar{x}\|_e \leq \min(t, T - t)$, where $\|\cdot\|_e$ denotes the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^N$. Thus we get by using the
known volume of (closed) Euclidean balls in $\mathbb{R}^N$ that

$$H^N(J(0, q)) = \int_0^T H^{N-1}(D_{\min(t, T-t)}(0)) \, dt$$

$$= \int_0^T H^{N-1}(D_t(0)) \, dt + \int_0^T H^{N-1}(D_{T-t}(0)) \, dt$$

$$= 2 \frac{\pi^{N+1}}{\Gamma(\frac{N+1}{2})} \int_0^T t^{N-1} \, dt = \frac{\pi^{N+1}}{\Gamma(\frac{N+1}{2})} \frac{2}{2^{N-1}} T^N.$$

Definition 2.3 (Lorentzian measures from coverings by closed diamonds). Let $(X, \leq, \tau)$ be as above, $d$ a metric on $X$ and set $J := \{J(p, q) : p, q \in X \text{ with } p < q\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$. Extending (1) by setting $\rho_N(\emptyset) := 0$ and $\rho_N(J(p, q)) := +\infty$ if $\tau(p, q) = +\infty$ yields a map $\rho_N : J \to [0, +\infty]$. For $\delta > 0$ and $A \subseteq X$ set

$$V^N_\delta(A) := \inf\{\sum_{i=0}^\infty \rho_N(A_i) : A_i \in J, \text{diam}(A_i) \leq \delta \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^\infty A_i\},$$

with the convention that $\inf\emptyset = +\infty$. Moreover, for $A \subseteq X$ we set

$$V^N(A) := \sup_{\delta > 0} V^N_\delta(A).$$

Classical results (cf. [Els18, Thm. 9.3], [Fed69, 2.10.1]) give:

Proposition 2.4 (Outer measures and measure of each given dimension). For $N \in [0, +\infty)$ and $A \subseteq X$ the map $(0, +\infty) \ni \delta \mapsto V^N_\delta(A)$ is monotonically nonincreasing, $V^N_\delta$ is an outer measure and $V^N(A) = \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} V^N_\delta(A)$ defines a Borel measure, i.e. a measure $V^N$ on the Borel subsets of $X$.

Remark 2.5 (Finite versus countable coverings). In the definition of the Lorentzian outer measures $V^N_\delta$ one can also restrict to finite coverings of the set to be measured. A precise argument is given in the proof of Proposition 3.8.

Thus, in particular, we have constructed a dimensionally parameterized family of measures on a Lorentzian pre-length space $(X, d, \ll, \leq, \tau)$. In the following section 3 we show that for each $N \in [0, +\infty)$, analogous to Hausdorff measure in metric spaces, this can be used to define a notion of dimension for such spaces. Moreover, this measure (with $N$ equal the spacetime dimension) coincides with the volume measure in a spacetime, as will be established in Subsection 4.3.
Remark 2.6 (Dependence of $V^N$ on the metric $d$). A priori the measure $V^N$ depends on the metric $d$ on $X$. However, if two metrics $d, \tilde{d}$ are strongly equivalent, i.e., there are constants $c_\pm > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$: $c_- d(x, y) \leq \tilde{d}(x, y) \leq c_+ d(x, y)$, then the measure $V^N$, and the measure $\tilde{V}^N$ (constructed with respect to $\tilde{d}$), agree. This can be weakened if $X$ is a Radon space, i.e., a topological space such that every Borel measure is (inner) regular (or tight), hence for example if $X$ is a Polish topological space. In this case it suffices to have for every $x \in X$ constants $c_x^\pm > 0$ such that for all $y \in X$ one has $c_x^- d(x, y) \leq \tilde{d}(x, y) \leq c_x^+ d(x, y)$. This condition is sufficient (but not necessary) for the topological equivalence of $d$ with $\tilde{d}$.

Furthermore, we will see in Theorem 4.8 that in the case of spacetimes it does not depend at all on the chosen background Riemannian metric as it coincides with the volume measure of the Lorentzian metric.

3 A geometric dimension for Lorentzian pre-length spaces

At this point we introduce a geometric dimension of Lorentzian pre-length spaces and arbitrary subsets, analogously to the Hausdorff dimension defined for metric spaces using the Hausdorff measures. This is also useful for defining hypersurfaces and appropriate measures on them.

Definition 3.1 (Geometric dimension). If $(X, d, \ll, \leq, \tau)$ is a Lorentzian pre-length space, $(V^N)_{N \in [0, \infty)}$ the family of Borel measures constructed in Theorem 2.4, and $B \subseteq X$, then the geometric dimension $\dim^\tau(B)$ of $B$ is defined as

$$\dim^\tau(B) := \inf\{N \geq 0 : V^N(B) < \infty\},$$

with the convention that $\inf\emptyset = +\infty$.

To give a characterization of the geometric dimension we need the following notion.

Definition 3.2 (Local $d$-uniformity). A Lorentzian pre-length space $(X, d, \ll, \leq, \tau)$ is called locally $d$-uniform if every point has a neighborhood $A$ such that $\tau(x, y) = o(1)$ on $A$ as $d(x, y) \searrow 0$.

Lemma 3.3 (Uniqueness of dimension with nontrivial measure). Let $(X, d, \ll, \leq, \tau)$ be a Lorentzian pre-length space, $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$ and $0 \leq k < \dim^\tau(B) < K < \infty$. Then

(i) $\dim^\tau(A) \leq \dim^\tau(B)$,

(ii) $V^k(B) = \infty$, and
(iii) if \((X, d, \ll, \leq, \tau)\) is locally \(d\)-uniform then \(V^K(B) = 0\).

**Proof:**

(i) Let \(\varepsilon > 0\) then there is an \(N \in [\dim^\tau(B), \dim^\tau(B) + \varepsilon)\) such that \(V^N(B) < \infty\), hence \(V^N(A) \leq V^N(B) < \infty\) and so \(\dim^\tau(A) \leq N < \dim^\tau(B) + \varepsilon\). As \(\varepsilon > 0\) was arbitrary we get the claim.

(ii) This holds by the definition of \(\dim^\tau(B)\).

(iii) Let \(B \subseteq X\), and cover it by a countable family of neighborhoods \(U_i \subset A_i\), where \(\tau = o(1)\) on \(A_i\) (as \(d \searrow 0\)) and \(\eta_i := \text{dist}(U_i, X \setminus A_i) > 0\). Then \(V^K(B) \leq \sum_i V^K(B \cap U_i)\), thus it suffices to show \(V^K(B \cap U_i) = 0\).

Let \(\dim^\tau(B) < K' < K\) such that \(V^K(B) =: G < \infty\), so \(V^{K'}(B \cap U_i) \leq G\). Let \(0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2K'}\) and let \((F_j)_j\) be a covering of \(B \cap U_i\) by causal diamonds with \(\text{diam}(F_j) < \delta\) for all \(j \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(\sum_j \rho^{K'}(F_j) < 2G\). Moreover, without loss of generality we can assume that \(F_j \cap (B \cap U_i) \neq \emptyset\) for all \(j \in \mathbb{N}\) and thus \(F_j \subseteq A_i\). Therefore, \(\tau = o(1)\) holds on \(F_j = J(p_j, q_j)\). Finally, we estimate

\[
V^K(B \cap U_i) \leq \sum_j \rho^K(F_j) = \frac{\omega_K}{\omega_{K'}} \sum_j \rho^{K'}(F_j)\tau(p_j, q_j)^{K-K'} \leq \frac{\omega_K}{\omega_{K'}} 2G o(1)^{K-K'} \to 0,
\]

as \(\delta \searrow 0\) (as then \(\text{diam}(F_j) \searrow 0\)). Thus \(V^K(B \cap U_i) = 0\) and hence \(\dim^\tau(B) = 0\) as claimed.

The above results allow a characterization of the geometric dimension analogous to the one for the Hausdorff dimension of a metric space, cf. [BB101 Thm. 1.7.16].

**Corollary 3.4** (Equivalent characterizations of dimension). Let \((X, d, \ll, \leq, \tau)\) be a locally \(d\)-uniform Lorentzian pre-length space. Then \(N = \dim^\tau(X)\) if and only if \(V^k(X) = \infty\) and \(V^K(X) = 0\) for all \(0 \leq k < N < K < \infty\). Moreover,

\[
\dim^\tau(X) = \sup \{ L \geq 0 : V^L(X) = \infty \}.
\]

**Lemma 3.5.** Let \((X, d, \ll, \leq, \tau)\) be a locally \(d\)-uniform Lorentzian pre-length space and \(X = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_i\). Then

\[
\dim^\tau(X) = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \dim^\tau(U_i).
\]
In this subsection we investigate the relationship of \(3.1\) One-dimensional measure versus length

**Proof:** By Lemma 3.3\((i)\) we get that \(\dim^* (U_i) \leq \dim^* (X)\) for all \(i \in \mathbb{N}\). For the converse inequality, let \(K > \sup_i \dim^*(U_i)\), then by Lemma 3.3\((iii)\) we know that \(\mathcal{V}^K(U_i) = 0\) for all \(i \in \mathbb{N}\). Consequently, \(\mathcal{V}^K(X) \leq \sum_i \mathcal{V}^K(U_i) = 0\) and thus \(\dim^*(X) \leq K\). As this holds for all \(K > \sup_i \dim^*(U_i)\) we conclude that \(\dim^*(X) = \sup_i \dim^*(U_i)\). \(\square\)

We will see in Proposition 3.9 that a strongly causal, causally plain continuous spacetime is locally \(d\)-uniform and its geometric dimension agrees with the manifold dimension.

### 3.1 One-dimensional measure versus length

In this subsection we investigate the relationship of \(\mathcal{V}^1(\gamma([a, b]))\) and \(L_\tau(\gamma)\), where \(\gamma: [a, b] \to X\) is a causal curve. Note that the normalization constant in that case is \(\omega_1 = 1\), cf. Definition 2.1

The following lemma is the Lorentzian analog of [AT04, Lem. 4.4.1] (note the reversal of the inequality as compared to the metric case).

**Lemma 3.6** (Simple upper bound by the time separation). Let \((X, d, \ll, \leq, \tau)\) be a strongly causal Lorentzian pre-length space. Let \(\gamma: [a, b] \to X\) be a future directed causal curve and \(N \in [1, \infty)\). Then

\[
\mathcal{V}^N(\gamma([a, b])) \leq \omega_N \tau(\gamma(a), \gamma(b))^N.
\]

**Proof:** Set \(\Gamma := \gamma([a, b])\), which is a compact subset of \(X\). Let \(\delta > 0\) and set \(B_t = B_{\delta/2}(\gamma(t))\), for \(t \in [a, b]\). By the definition of strong causality there is for every \(t \in [a, b]\) a causally convex open neighborhood \(U_t\) of \(\gamma(t)\) that is contained in \(B_t\) (use a neighborhood \(I(x_1, y_1) \cap \ldots \cap I(x_k, y_k)\)). Consequently, we can cover \(\Gamma\) by finitely many of them, i.e., \(\Gamma \subseteq \bigcup_{j=0}^K U_j\), where \(U_j := U_{j_1}\). By connectedness of \(\Gamma\) there is a partition \(a = s_0 < s_1 < \ldots = s_L = b\) such that \(\gamma(s_i), \gamma(s_{i+1}) \in U_{j_i}\) for \(i = 0, \ldots, L - 1\) and \(j_i \in \{0, \ldots, K\}\) (cf. step 2 of the proof of [BB101, Lem. 2.6.1]). Setting \(J_i := J(\gamma(s_i), \gamma(s_{i+1}))\) yields that \(J_i \subseteq U_{j_i}\) and so \(\text{diam}(J_i) \leq \text{diam}(U_{j_i}) \leq \delta\). Clearly, \(\Gamma \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{L-1} J_i\) and therefore, this gives that

\[
\mathcal{V}^N(\Gamma) \leq \omega_N \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} \tau(\gamma(s_i), \gamma(s_{i+1}))^N.
\]

\[
\leq \omega_N \left( \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} \tau(\gamma(s_i), \gamma(s_{i+1})) \right)^N
\]

\[
\leq \omega_N \tau(\gamma(a), \gamma(b))^N,
\]

where we used \(N \geq 1\) in the second inequality and the reverse triangle inequality in the last one. This holds for all \(\delta > 0\), so the claim follows. \(\square\)
Corollary 3.7 (Null curves are zero-dimensional). Let \((X, d, \ll, \leq, \tau)\) be a strongly causal Lorentzian pre-length space. Let \(\gamma: [a, b] \to X\) be a future directed null curve. Then \(\dim^\tau(\gamma([a, b])) = 0\).

Proof: Set \(\Gamma := \gamma([a, b])\). Let \(N \in (0, \infty)\) and let \(\delta > 0\). As in the proof of Lemma 3.6 above we construct a covering \(J_i := J(\gamma(t_i), \gamma(t_{i+1}))\) of \(\Gamma\), where \(a \leq t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_K \leq b\) is a partition of \([a, b]\) with \(\text{diam}(J_i) \leq \delta\) for all \(i = 0, \ldots, K - 1\). Thus, as \(\gamma\) null, we obtain

\[
\mathcal{V}_N^\delta(\Gamma) \leq \omega_N \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \tau(\gamma(t_i), \gamma(t_{i+1}))^N = 0.
\]

This gives that \(\mathcal{V}_N^N(\Gamma) = 0\) and so \(\dim^\tau(\Gamma) \leq N\) for all \(N \in (0, \infty)\), hence \(\dim^\tau(\Gamma) = 0\).

So null curves have zero geometric dimension whereas their Hausdorff dimension is one (as they are Lipschitz and injective, if suitably parametrized).

Furthermore, Lemma 3.6 above allows us to establish a Lorentzian analog of [AT04, Thm. 4.4.2].

Proposition 3.8 (One-dimensional measure versus length). Let \((X, d, \ll, \leq, \tau)\) be a strongly causal Lorentzian pre-length space. Let \(\gamma: [a, b] \to X\) be a future directed causal curve. Then

\[
\mathcal{V}^1(\gamma([a, b])) \leq L_\tau(\gamma).
\]

Furthermore, if all causal diamonds \(J(x, y)\) in \(X\) are closed (as e.g. if \(X\) is globally hyperbolic), then the length of a causal curve agrees with the one-dimensional measure \(\mathcal{V}^1\) of its image, i.e., \(\mathcal{V}^1(\gamma([a, b])) = L_\tau(\gamma)\).

Proof: First, we establish that \(\mathcal{V}^1(\Gamma) \leq L_\tau(\gamma)\), where \(\Gamma := \gamma([a, b])\). Let \(a = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_N = b\) be a partition of \([a, b]\), then by Equation (2) (with \(N = 1\)) we get that

\[
\mathcal{V}^1(\gamma([a, b])) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{V}^1(\gamma([t_i, t_{i+1}])) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \tau(\gamma(t_i), \gamma(t_{i+1})).
\]

Now taking the infimum over all partitions of \([a, b]\) the claim follows.

Second, we show the reverse inequality under the assumption that all causal diamonds are closed. To this end we establish that for the Lorentzian (outer) measures \(\mathcal{V}_\delta^N, \mathcal{V}^N\) we can restrict to finite coverings of the set in question (rather than countable ones). To be precise: For \(N \in [0, \infty), \delta > 0\)
and $A \subseteq X$ set

$$\mathcal{V}_N^N(A) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k} \rho_N(J_i) : k \in \mathbb{N}, J(x_i, y_i) = J_i \in \mathcal{J}, \text{diam}(J_i) \leq \delta \ \forall i \right\}$$

and $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{k} J_i$, $\mathcal{V}_N^N(A) := \sup_{\delta > 0} \mathcal{V}_\delta^N(A)$.

Clearly, one has that $\mathcal{V}_N^N \leq \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_N^N$ and $\mathcal{V}_N^N \leq \mathcal{V}_N^N$ for all $N \in [0, \infty)$, $\delta > 0$. For $N \in [0, \infty)$, $\delta > 0$, and $A \subseteq X$ let $J \in \mathcal{J}$ with $\text{diam}(J) \leq \delta$. As $J$ covers $A \cap J$ we have that $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_\delta^N(A \cap J) \leq \rho_N(J)$. Then [Fed69 Thm. 2.10.17, (i)] gives that $\mathcal{V}_N^N(A) \leq \mathcal{V}_\delta^N(A) \leq \mathcal{V}_N^N(A)$. Taking the supremum over all $\delta > 0$ yields that $\mathcal{V}_N^N \leq \mathcal{V}_N^N$ and so $\mathcal{V}_N^N = \mathcal{V}_N^N$, hence we can restrict to finite coverings from now on.

Without loss of generality we can assume that $\gamma$ is parametrized such that it is never-locally-constant, i.e., there is no non-trivial interval $[a', b']$ in $[a, b]$ such that $\gamma|_{[a', b']}|_{[a', b]}$ is constant (cf. [BB101 Exc. 2.5.3]), as a reparametrization does not change the length, cf. [KS18 Lem. 2.28]. Given such a parametrization of $\gamma$, the curve $\gamma$ is injective: Note that $(X, d, \ll, \leq, \tau)$ is causal by Lemma 1.2. Thus if there were

$$\gamma(s) = \gamma(t),$$

then for all $s \leq r \leq t$ one has that $\gamma(s) \leq \gamma(r) \leq \gamma(t) = \gamma(s)$, hence $\gamma(r) = \gamma(s)$ and $\gamma$ is constant on $[s, t]$ — a contradiction.

Let $\delta > 0$, and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a finite covering $(J_i)_{i=0}^k$ of $\Gamma$ with causal diamonds $J_i = J(x_i, y_i)$ of diameter less or equal than $\delta$ such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} \tau(x_i, y_i) < \mathcal{V}_\delta^1(\Gamma) + \varepsilon.$$ 

Moreover, we can assume that $J_i \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$ for all $i$. As $\Gamma$ is connected and all $J_i$s are closed we can find a finite chain $J_{i_0}, \ldots, J_{i_l}$ such that $\gamma(a) \in J_{i_0}$, $\gamma(b) \in J_{i_l}$ and $\Gamma \cap J_{i_j} \cap J_{i_{j+1}} \neq \emptyset$ for all $i = 0, \ldots, l - 1$. For $i = 1, \ldots, l - 1$ choose $\gamma(t_i) \in J_{i_j} \cap J_{i_{j+1}}$. Then $a =: t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_{l-1} < t_l := b$ is a partition of $[a, b]$ as $\gamma$ is injective.

Then for all $j = 0, \ldots, l - 1$ one has that $\tau(\gamma(t_j), \gamma(t_{j+1})) \leq \tau(x_{i_j}, \gamma(t_j)) + \tau(\gamma(t_j), \gamma(t_{j+1})) + \tau(\gamma(t_{j+1}), y_i) \leq \tau(x_{i_j}, y_i)$ as $\gamma(t_j), \gamma(t_{j+1}) \in J_{i_j} = J(x_{i_j}, y_i)$ and by the reverse triangle inequality. Finally, by using Equation (3) this gives that

$$L_\tau(\gamma) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \tau(\gamma(t_j), \gamma(t_{j+1})) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \tau(x_{i_j}, y_i) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k} \tau(x_i, y_i) < \mathcal{V}_\delta^1(\Gamma) + \varepsilon.$$
As this holds for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \) we can let \( \delta \searrow 0 \) to obtain that \( L_\tau(\gamma) \leq V^1(\Gamma) \), which concludes the proof.

**Proposition 3.9** (Countable sets are zero dimensional and measured by their cardinality). Let \( (X,d,\ll,\leq,\tau) \) be a strongly causal Lorentzian pre-length space. Let \( N \in [0,\infty) \) and assume additionally that in case \( N > 0 \) we have that for all \( x \in X \) and all neighborhoods \( U \) of \( x \) there are \( x^\pm \in U \) such that \( x^- < x < x^+ \) and \( x^- \not\preceq x \not\preceq x^+ \). Let \( A \subseteq X \) countable, then for \( N > 0 \) we have \( V^N(A) = 0 \). For \( A \subseteq X \) arbitrary we have \( V^0(A) = |A| \), the cardinality of \( A \).

**Proof:** Let \( N \in [0,\infty) \) and assume first that \( A \) is countable. Thus we can write \( A \) as the countable disjoint union of its singletons, so \( V^N(A) = \sum_{a \in A} V^N(\{a\}) \). Thus it remains to show that \( V^N(\{a\}) = 0 \) for \( N > 0 \) and \( V^0(\{a\}) = 1 \). Let \( a \in A \) and for \( \delta > 0 \) set \( B_{\delta/2}(a) \). Then by by strong causality there is a causally convex neighborhood \( U_a \) of \( a \) contained in \( B_{\delta/2}(a) \). Moreover, for \( N > 0 \) we have by assumption that there are \( a^\pm \in U_a \) such that \( a^- < a < a^+ \) and \( a^- \not\ll a \not\ll a^+ \). In case \( N = 0 \), we can find (by strong causality) that there are \( a^\pm \in U_a \) with \( a^- \ll a \ll a^+ \). Thus, in both cases, \( J_a := J(a^-,a^+) \subseteq U_a \) and \( \text{diam}(J_a) \leq \delta \). Consequently,

\[
V^N_1(\{a\}) \leq \omega N \tau(a^-,a^+)^N = \begin{cases} 0 & N > 0, \\ 1 & N = 0. \end{cases}
\]

Note that actually equality holds in (4) and as this holds for all \( \delta > 0 \) we obtain \( V^N(A) = 0 \) for \( N > 0 \) and \( V^0(A) = |A| \) as claimed. Finally, if \( A \subseteq X \) is uncountable, the above shows that \( V^0(A) \geq k \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and so \( V^0(A) = \infty = |A| \). □

**Remark 3.10.** The assumption in case \( N > 0 \) of the Proposition 3.9 above is satisfied if, e.g., there is a null curve through every point in the Lorentzian pre-length space.

### 3.2 Dimension and measure of Minkowski subspaces

To give some intuition for the geometric notions of dimension and measure that we have introduced, we examine them on linear subspaces of Minkowski spacetime. From Proposition 3.8 it is already clear that nontrivial subspaces on which the Lorentzian metric has negative definite restriction have geometric dimension 1 and that \( V^1 \) agrees with the Lebesgue measure given by proper time on them. The next lemma shows that the geometric dimension of a spacelike subspace also agrees with its topological and algebraic dimensions, and that the corresponding nontrivial Lorentzian measure is a positive multiple of Lebesgue measure on this subspace. It is followed by an example which shows that the geometric dimension of a null subspace is
one less than its topological and algebraic dimension. Here null subspace refers to a subspace on which the metric has nonnegative semidefinite, but not positive definite, restriction.

Note that Hausdorff measure on Minkowski spacetime is not canonical, unless one specifies a choice of Euclidean metric. However, Hausdorff dimension is canonical, since the Hausdorff measures of a given dimension associated to different Euclidean metrics are mutually absolutely continuous with respect to each other.

**Lemma 3.11** (Lorentzian and Hausdorff measures on spacelike subspaces). The restriction of $V^k$ to a spacelike subspace of the Minkowski spacetime $\mathbb{R}_1^n$ having algebraic dimension $k$ is a positive multiple of the Hausdorff measure on the same subspace.

**Proof.** By Lorentz invariance, it is enough to establish the lemma for the canonical $k$-dimensional subspace $S = (0,\ldots,0) \times \mathbb{R}^k \subset \mathbb{R}_1^n$. Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^k$ is defined using the associated Euclidean product metric on $\mathbb{R}_1^n$. Since the restriction of $V^k$ to $S$ is translation invariant, the result follows as soon as we bound $V^k$ above and below by constant multiples $c_{\pm}$ of $\mathcal{H}^k$ on $S$.

Let $I = [-1,1]$ and $B \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ denote the ball of radius $r_k = \sqrt{k}$ circumscribed around $I^k$, and recall $\mathcal{H}^k[B] = \alpha_k r_k^k$ where $\alpha_k := \pi^{k/2} / \Gamma(\frac{k+2}{2})$ is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^k$. Set $Q = (0,\ldots,0) \times I^k \subset S$. For each $\delta = 2r_k/j > 0$, to obtain the easy bound $V^k(Q) \leq 2^k \frac{\omega_k}{\alpha_k} \mathcal{H}^k(B)$ just divide the cube $Q$ into $j^k$ subcubes of length $2/j$ with midpoints $(0,\ldots,0,m_i)$ with $m_i \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and cover $Q$ by the $j^k$ diamonds

$$J((-r_k/j,0,\ldots,0,m_i),(r_k/j,0,\ldots,0,m_i)),$$

each of which contributes $\omega_k (2r_k/j)^k$ to an upper bound $V^k(Q) \leq 2^k \frac{\omega_k}{\alpha_k} \mathcal{H}^k(B)$.

To get a lower bound, let $Q \subset \bigcup J_i$ denote any countable cover of $Q$ by closed causal diamonds $J_i = J(A^-_i,A^+_i)$ of diameter at most $\delta$. The Euclidean areas $\mathcal{H}^k(Q_i)$ of the intersections $Q_i = Q \cap J_i$ sum to at least $2^k$. If we can find $c_- > 0$ such that $\omega_k \tau(A^-_i,A^+_i)^k \geq c_- \mathcal{H}^k(Q_i)$ for each $i$, then since the cover was arbitrary, $V^k(Q) \in [c_- 2^k, 2^k \frac{\omega_k}{\alpha_k} \mathcal{H}^k(B)] \subset (0,\infty)$ will follow, so that $Q$ and hence $S$ have Lorentzian dimension $k$.

Fixing $i$, we may assume the intersection of $J^+(A^+_i)$ with $S$ is a closed $k$-ball $B^-$ of positive radius, since otherwise there is nothing to prove. Notice the subgroup of $SO(n,1)$ which fixes $S$ (and $S \cup \{A^+_i - A^-_i\}$) has dimension $\frac{2}{2} (n-k)(n-k-1)$ and $\frac{2}{2} (n-k-1)(n-k-2)$ respectively. The difference $n-k-1$ indexes the dimension of the set of alternate locations $A^\pm$ of $A^i_+$ whose time separation from each point of $S$ is the same as that of $A^+_i$, so that e.g. $J^\pm(A^\pm) \cap S = B^\pm$. One such choice yields $A^\pm = (t_\pm,a_+,b_\pm)$ where $t_\pm > 0$ and $a_+ = a_- \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k-1}$ (hence if $k = n-1$, then there are no $a^\pm$), so
that $B^\pm$ is a Euclidean $k$-ball centered at $b_\pm$ with radius $r_\pm = (t_\pm^2 - |a_-|^2)^{1/2}$. This choice gives $\mathcal{H}^k(B^\pm) \leq \alpha_k r_\pm^k$ and
\[
\tau(A^-, A^+) = (t_+ + t_-)^2 - |b_+ - b_-|^2.
\]

It remains to estimate $\mathcal{H}^k(B^+ \cap B^-) \leq \tau(A^-, A^+)^k$. Observe that the desired estimate is straightforward when $B^+ \cap B^- = B^+$ or $B^+ \cap B^- = B^-$. In the remaining cases by dilating, we may assume $|b_+ - b_-| = 1$ and estimate $\mathcal{H}^k(B^+ \cap B^-) \leq (r_+ + r_- - 1)\alpha_k^{-1} r_\pm^{k-1}$ where $B^+ \cap B^-$ is contained in a right circular cylinder of height $r_+ + r_- - 1$ and radius $r$ satisfying the Pythagorean laws
\[
\begin{align*}
r_+^2 &= r^2 + (1-s)^2, \\
r_-^2 &= r^2 + s^2,
\end{align*}
\]
see Figure[1].

Solving for $(r, s)$ yields
\[
\begin{align*}
s &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + r_-^2 - r_+^2) \quad \text{and} \\
r^2 &= (r_- - s)(r_- + s).
\end{align*}
\]

From $-r_+ \leq s - 1 \leq r_+$ and $r_\pm \leq t_\pm$ we find
\[
\begin{align*}
\tau(A^+, A^-)^{2k} &= (t_+ + t_- - 1)^k(t_+ + t_- + 1)^k \\
&\geq (t_+ + t_- - 1)^{(k+1)}(t_+ + t_- + 1)^{k-1} \\
&\geq (t_+ + t_- - 1)^2(t_- - s)^{k-1}(t_- + s)^{k-1} \\
&\geq (r_+ + r_- - 1)^2 r^{2k-2} \\
&\geq \alpha_k^{-2} \mathcal{H}^k(B^+ \cap B^-)^{2k},
\end{align*}
\]
as desired; i.e., we may take $c_- = \omega_k / \alpha_k^{-1} = \frac{1}{k 2^{k-1}}$. \hfill \square

**Lemma 3.12** (Linear null hypersurfaces have geometric codimension two). Let $n \geq 2$. Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n_1$ be a null subspace of algebraic dimension $k \neq n$ in Minkowski spacetime. Then $\dim^\tau(S) = k - 1$ and the Lorentzian measure splits as $\mathcal{V}^{k-1} = c \mathcal{H}^{k-1} \times \mathcal{H}^0$ on $S = R \times \mathbb{R}^\nu$, where $R$ is any spacelike subspace of $S$, $\nu \in S$ is a null vector and $c = c(R, \nu) > 0$.

**Proof.** The case $k = 1$ follows from Corollary [3.7] and Proposition [3.9], thus let $k \geq 2$. Moreover, $S$ contains a spacelike subspace of algebraic dimension $k - 1$ as well as a null-vector $\nu \neq 0$. Lemmas [3.3(i)] and [3.11] imply $\dim^\tau(S) \geq k - 1$; the remainder of the argument shows the opposite inequality.

Fixing a Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^n_1$, we may suppose $\nu = (e_1 - e_2) / \sqrt{2}$ and $e_1$ both have Euclidean length 1 without loss of generality. Now $S$ can be
Figure 1: A schematic drawing of the (green) cylinder of height \( r_+ + r_- - 1 \) and radius \( r \) containing \( B^+ \cap B^- \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k \) from the proof of Lemma 3.11.

tiled by translates of a Euclidean unit cube \( Q \subset S \) centered at the origin and having one of its faces orthogonal to \( \nu \) in the Euclidean sense. In view of Lemma 3.5, it remains only to show \( \dim^\tau(Q) \leq k - 1 \).

Now observe that the intersection of the causal cone \( J^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\delta \nu + t e_1) \) with \( S \) is a paraboloid of revolution having Euclidean focal length \( \sim t \). Taking \( t \ll \delta \), the intersection of the causal diamond \( J_{\delta,t} := J(-\frac{1}{2}(\delta \nu + t e_1), \frac{1}{2}(\delta \nu + t e_1)) \) with \( S \) contains a right circular cylinder of Euclidean height \( \sim \delta \) parallel to \( \nu \) and radius \( \sim (\delta t)^{1/2} \) in the Euclidean-orthogonal directions. If \( k = 1 \) then \( S \) is a null line and \( Q \) can be covered by a single causal diamond of timelike diameter as small as we please, hence \( \dim^\tau(S) = 0 \). For \( k > 1 \), fixing \( 0 < \epsilon < 1 \) and choosing \( t = \delta^{-1+2/\epsilon} \) implies that \( J_{\delta,t} \) has timelike diameter \( \sim \delta^{1/\epsilon} \) and Euclidean diameter \( \sim \delta \) as \( \delta \to 0 \), while \( K := J_{\delta,t} \cap S \) has Hausdorff measure \( H^k(K) \gtrsim \delta(\delta t)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} = \delta^{1+(k-1)/\epsilon} \). It is possible to see that \( Q \) can be covered by \( \lesssim \delta^{-1-(k-1)/\epsilon} \) translates of \( K \), thus \( \mathcal{V}_{\delta}^{k-1+\epsilon}(Q) \lesssim 1 \). Since \( \delta > 0 \) was arbitrary, \( \mathcal{V}^{k-1+\epsilon}(Q) < \infty \) for each \( 0 < \epsilon < 1 \). Thus Corollary 3.4 yields \( \dim^\tau(Q) \leq k - 1 \) as desired.

Finally, we show that \( \mathcal{V}^{k-1} \) splits on \( S = R \times \mathbb{R} \nu \) as claimed. First, note that by Lemma 3.11 we have that \( \mathcal{V}^{k-1}|_R = cH^{k-1} \). Second, it suffices to consider sets of the form \( R' \times N \), where \( N \subseteq \mathbb{R} \nu \) and \( R' \subseteq R \). Let \( N \subseteq \mathbb{R} \nu \)
be countable and let $R' \subseteq R$. Then, denoting $N = (n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, we have that
\[
V^{k-1}(R' \times N) = \sum_i V^{k-1}(R' \times \{n_i\}) = c \sum_i \mathcal{H}^{k-1}(R') = (c \mathcal{H}^{k-1} \times \mathcal{H}^0)(R' \times N).
\]

If $N$ is not countable the same argument shows that $V^{k-1}(R' \times N) \geq c \mathcal{H}^{k-1}(R') \cdot \infty = (c \mathcal{H}^{k-1} \times \mathcal{H}^0)(R' \times N)$.

Figure 2: The intersection (in red) of the causal cones $J^\pm(\tau(\delta \nu + te_1))$ (in blue) with the null subspace $S$ (in green) from the proof of Lemma 3.12.

4 Continuous spacetimes

Throughout this section $(M, g)$ is a continuous, strongly causal and causally plain spacetime of dimension $n$. Here continuous means that although the manifold $M$ is smooth, the Lorentzian metric tensor $g$ various continuously (but not necessarily smoothly) from point to point.
4.1 Doubling of causal diamonds

In the metric theory doubling measures and doubling metric spaces are a convenient notion that generalize finite dimensional spaces with Lebesgue or Hausdorff measures. Since these concepts are formulated in terms of balls we have to adapt it to causal diamonds for our purpose.

A main technique in our work is to compare volumes of causal diamonds and suitable enlargements of diamonds. Federer, in [Fed69, Subsec. 2.8], develops a general concept of enlargement of sets, which he then uses in the Carathéodory construction of measures. However, we need something stronger and so give an axiomatic description here and establish in the following subsection that continuous spacetimes are a class of examples where this construction is possible.

Definition 4.1 (Enlargement of causal diamonds). Adopting the setting and notation of Definition 2.3, let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq J$ be a family of causal diamonds. Let $\Delta : \mathcal{F} \to [0, \infty)$ be bounded and let $1 < \xi < \infty$. Then the $\Delta, \xi$-enlargement $\hat{J}$ of a causal diamond $J \in \mathcal{F}$ is defined as

$$\hat{J} := \bigcup\{J' \in \mathcal{F} : J \cap J' \neq \emptyset, \Delta(J') \leq \xi \Delta(J)\}.$$  

Let $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. We call $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}', \Delta, \xi)$ a reasonable enlargement

(i) if every point $p$ lies in one causal diamond $J$ of $\mathcal{F}'$, and

(ii) if there exists a constant $\Xi \geq 1$ such that for all $J, J' \in \mathcal{F}'$ one has that $J \cap J' \neq \emptyset$ and $\Delta(J') \leq \xi \Delta(J)$, then there is a causal diamond $\tilde{J} \in \mathcal{F}$ with $J, J' \subseteq \tilde{J}$, $\hat{J} \subseteq \tilde{J}$ and $\Delta(\tilde{J}) \leq \Xi \Delta(J)$.

Finally, a doubling of causal diamonds is any reasonable $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}', \Delta, 2)$-enlargement.

4.2 Cylindrical neighborhoods

A useful tool for studying continuous spacetimes are cylindrical neighborhoods introduced by Chruściel and Grant in [CG12, Def. 1.8]. We now establish the existence of a refined version of such neighborhoods adapted to our purpose. Moreover, after we have constructed them we will use them throughout this section without always recalling all the details. See also Figures 3, 4 for schematic drawings of this constructions.

For a point $p \in M$ and a neighborhood $W$ of $p$ we define $J^\pm(p, W)$ as the local causal future and past, i.e., $J^\pm(p, W) := \{q \in W :$ there is a future/past directed causal curve connecting $p$ to $q$ that is contained in $W\}$. Moreover, for $p, q \in M$ we set $J(p, q, W) := J^+(p, W) \cap J^-(p, W)$.

Lemma 4.2 (Cylindrical neighborhoods and doubling). Given $C > 1$, every point $p_0 \in M$ has a neighborhood $W \subseteq M$ that has the following properties:
(i) The neighborhood $W$ is an open, connected, relatively compact coordinate chart such that $\eta_{C-1} \ll g < \eta_C$ on $W$.

(ii) It is cylindrical, i.e., $W = (0, B) \times Z$ and $p_0$ has coordinates $(\frac{B}{2}, 0)$, where $Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

(iii) The coordinate vector field $\partial_t = \partial_{x^0}$ is uniformly timelike on $W$.

(iv) There is a smaller, open neighborhood $W' \subseteq (a, b) \times V \subseteq W$ of $p_0$ (with $V \subseteq Z$) that is causally convex in $W$ (i.e., $J(p, q, W) = J(p, q)$ for all $p, q \in W'$) and such that $b - a < \frac{B}{2}$, $\forall p = (t, x), q = (s, x) \in W'$: 
$$p = (t - \lambda(s - t), x), q = (s + \lambda(s - t), x) \in W, \quad \text{where } \lambda = 3C^2 + 2 \geq 5.$$  

(v) Moreover, $\forall p = (t, x), q = (s, x), p' = (t', x'), q' = (s', x') \in W'$ with $p \ll q, p' \ll q', s' - t' \leq 2(s - t)$ and $J(p, q) \cap J(p', q') \neq \emptyset$ we have $J(p', q') \subseteq J(\hat{p}, \hat{q}, W) \subseteq W$.

(vi) Finally, $W$ can be made arbitrarily small and globally hyperbolic.

We will refer to $(W', W)$ as cylindrical neighborhood of $p_0$.

Proof: By [CG12] Prop. 1.10(ii) (where $C$ is fixed to $C = 2$, but could be an arbitrary constant greater than one) there is a cylindrical neighborhood $W = (0, B) \times Z$ such that $\eta_{C-1} \ll g < \eta_C$ on $W$ and $\partial_t$ is timelike on $W$. We will now work in these coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and suppress for convenience the chart. By construction, $[\frac{B}{4}, \frac{3B}{4}] \times Z$ is a neighborhood of $p_0$, so by strong causality there is an open neighborhood $W'$ of $p_0$ that is causally convex in $W$ and contained in $(a, b) \times V \subseteq [\frac{B}{4}, \frac{3B}{4}] \times Z$. In particular, we have $\frac{B}{4} \leq a < b \leq \frac{3B}{4}$. Now set $\lambda := 3C^2 + 2 > 5$, and let $p = (t, x), q = (s, x), p' = (t', x'), q' = (s', x') \in W'$ with $p \ll q, p' \ll q', s' - t' \leq 2(s - t)$ and $z = (r, y) \in J(p, q) \cap J(p', q') \neq \emptyset$. Then $p \ll q$ and $p' \ll q'$, which implies that $t < s'$ and $t' < s$. Consequently, we also have that $p \ll q, q' < q_C, q$ and so $|x - x'| \leq C \min(s' - t, s - t')$. Furthermore, $|x - x'| \leq |x - y| + |y - x'| \leq C(r + t + r + t') \leq C(s - t + s' - t') \leq 3C(s - t)$.

At this point set $v_- := p' - \hat{p}$ and $v^+ := \hat{q} - q'$, then 
$$\eta_{C-1}(v_-, v_-) \leq -\frac{1}{C^2}(t' - t + \lambda(s - t))^2 + 9C^2(s - t)^2 \leq C^2(9 + 9)(s - t)^2 = 0.$$  

Similarly, we get $\eta_{C-1}(v_+, v_+) \leq 0$ and thus $J(p', q') \subseteq J(\hat{p}, \hat{q}, W)$.

Finally, note that every point that is globally hyperbolic with respect to a smooth metric with wider light cones, hence it is also globally hyperbolic for $g$ (cf. the proof of [SST18] Thm. 2.2]), so $W$ can be chosen to be globally hyperbolic as well.

We will now work in such refined cylindrical neighborhoods and refer to $W', W, C, \lambda, \hat{p}, \hat{q}$ etc. without recalling their definition every time.
\[ \partial_t W = (0, B) \times Z \]

Figure 3: A schematic drawing of a cylindrical neighborhood \((W, W')\) around \(p_0\) in Lemma 4.2 (note \(W'\) has to be considerably smaller than \(W\)).

**Corollary 4.3** (Cylindrical neighborhoods yield a doubling of causal diamonds). Let \((W, W')\) be a cylindrical neighborhood as above. Setting \(F := \{ J(p, q) : p = (t, x), q = (s, x) \in W' \text{ with } p \ll q \}\) and \(\Delta(J((t, x), (s, x))) := s - t\), yields a reasonable \((F, F', \Delta, 2)\)-enlargement, hence a doubling of causal diamonds. Moreover,

\[ \hat{J}(p, q) \subseteq W \cap J(\hat{p}, \hat{q}, W) \subseteq W \cap J(\hat{p}, \hat{q}) \]

for all \(p = (t, x), q = (s, x) \in W'\).

**Proof:** Lemma 4.2(v) gives that \(J(p', q') \subseteq \hat{J}(p, q) \subseteq W \cap J(\hat{p}, \hat{q}, W) \subseteq W \cap J(\hat{p}, \hat{q})\) and \(\Delta(J(\hat{p}, \hat{q})) = (1 + 2\lambda)(s - t) = (1 + 2\lambda) \Delta(J(p, q))\) for all \(p = (t, x), q = (s, x), p' = (t', x'), q' = (s', x') \in W'\).

**4.3 Compatibility for spacetimes**

The aim of this subsection is to establish that for a continuous, strongly causal and causally plain \(n\)-dimensional spacetime (viewed as a Lorentzian
length space) the measure $\mathcal{V}^n$ constructed above in Proposition 2.4 agrees with the Lorentzian volume measure $\text{vol}$ given by $\text{vol}(A) := \int_A \sqrt{|\det(g)|} \, dx^0 \wedge \ldots \wedge x^{n-1}$. In what follows we use the fact that for spacetimes with continuous metrics that are causally well-behaved (i.e., strongly causal and causally plain) the different notions of causal curves and causality conditions agree, cf. [KS18].

As a first application of the doubling of causal diamonds introduced in Subsection 4.1 and cylindrical neighborhoods, we establish that the volume measure of a continuous spacetime is doubling in a suitable sense.

**Lemma 4.4 (Doubling property of the volume measure).** Let $(W, W')$ be a cylindrical neighborhood that is so small that in these coordinates $|\det(g)|$ is bounded above and below on $W$. Then there is a constant $L \geq 1$ (depending on the dimension $n$, the constant $C$ and on the minimum and maximum of $|\det(g)|$ on $W$) such that

$$\text{vol}^g(J(p, q)) \leq L \text{vol}^g(J(p, q)) \quad \forall p = (t, x), q = (s, x) \in W'.$$

**Proof:** In these coordinates the volume measure $\text{vol}^g$ is just a $|\det(g)|d\mathcal{H}^n$,
there is a cylindrical neighborhood $W$ where $p = (t, x), q = (s, x) \in W'$ and $\hat{p} = (t - \lambda(s - t), x), \hat{q} = (s + \lambda(s - t), x)$ that

$$\omega_n C^{1-n} (s - t)^n = H^n(J_{\eta_{C^{-1}}}(p, q)) \leq H^n(J(p, q)),$$

as $\eta_{C^{-1}} \prec g$. This yields that

$$H^n(J(\hat{p}, \hat{q})) \leq H^n(J_{\hat{C}}(\hat{p}, \hat{q}))$$

$$= C^{n-1} \omega_n (2\lambda + 1)^n (s - t)^n$$

$$\leq (2\lambda + 1)^n C^{2(n-1)} H^n(J(p, q)),$$

and so by Corollary 4.3 we conclude that

$$\text{vol}^g(J(p, q)) \leq \text{vol}^g(J(\hat{p}, \hat{q}, W))$$

$$\leq K H^n(J(\hat{p}, \hat{q}, W))$$

$$\leq K (2\lambda + 1)^n C^{2(n-1)} H^n(J(p, q))$$

$$\leq \frac{K}{\hat{K}} (2\lambda + 1)^n C^{2(n-1)} \text{vol}^g(J(p, q)).$$

Following [Fed69, Subsec. 2.8, 2.10] we set up the machinery needed to compare the volume measure with $\nu^n$, which is constructed from the causal diamonds by Carathéodory’s construction.

**Definition 4.5** (Fine cover). Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space, let $F$ be a family of closed subsets of $X$ and let $A \subseteq X$. We say that $F$ covers $A$ finely if for all $a \in A$ and for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $F \in F$ with $a \in F \subseteq B_{\varepsilon}(a)$.

**Lemma 4.6** (Controlling the time separation locally). For every $\delta > 0$, $p_0 \in M$ and $C > 1$ there is a cylindrical neighborhood $W = W(p_0, \delta, C)$ of $p_0$ such that $\eta_{C^{-1}} \prec g \prec \eta_C$ on $W$ and $\text{diam}(W) \leq \delta$. For $p = (t, x), q = (s, x) \in W$ with $p \leq q$ we have

$$\sqrt{1 - \delta} (s - t) \leq \tau(p, q) \leq (\sqrt{1 + C^2})\delta + 2C^2 - 1 (s - t).$$

**Proof:** By Lemma 4.2 we know that for every $\delta > 0$, $p_0 \in M$ and $C > 1$ there is a cylindrical neighborhood $W = W(p_0, \delta, C)$ of $p_0$ such that $\eta_{C^{-1}} \prec g \prec \eta_C$ on $W$, $\text{diam}(W) \leq \delta$ and $|g - \eta| < \delta$. Now let $p = (t, x), q = (s, x) \in W$ with $p < q$ (in case $p = q$ there is nothing to show) and consider the curve $\lambda: [t, s] \rightarrow W$, $\lambda(r) := (r, x)$. Then $\lambda$ is $\eta$- and $g$-future directed timelike from $p$ to $q$. Thus

$$\tau(p, q) \geq L^g(\lambda) = \int_t^s \sqrt{-(g_{00} + 1) + 1} > \sqrt{1 - \delta} (s - t),$$
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where we used that $|g - \eta| < \delta$ on $W$ and $g_{00} = g(\partial_t, \partial_t)$.

Denoting by $|\cdot|_e$ the euclidean norm in this chart we have for any $g$-causal curve $\gamma(r) = (r, \vec{\gamma}(r))$ in $W$ that $|\dot{\gamma}|_e^2 \leq C^2$ as such a curve is $\eta_C$-causal. Thus $|\dot{\gamma}|_e$ is uniformly bounded by $\sqrt{1 + C^2}$ for all such curves. Let $\gamma_0 : [t, s] \to W$ be a future directed maximal causal curve in $W$ from $p$ to $q$ (exists by [SS18, Thm. 2.2]), parametrized as $\gamma(r) = (r, \vec{\gamma}(r))$. Then

$$\tau(p, q) = L^g(\gamma) \leq \int_t^s \sqrt{\delta(1 + C^2) + (C^2 - 1) - \eta_C(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})} = \sqrt{(1 + C^2)\delta + 2C^2 - 1(s - t)}.$$  

For smooth spacetimes we have the following expansion using normal coordinates of the volumes of small causal diamonds (cf. e.g. [GS07, Eq. (74)]):

$$\nu^g(J(p, q)) = \omega_n \tau(p, q)^n(1 + O(\tau(p, q)^2)),$$

from which

$$\lim_{\tau(p, q) \to 0} \frac{\nu^g(J(p, q))}{\rho_n(J(p, q))} = 1,$$

follows. The latter holds also for continuous spacetimes as the following Lemma establishes.

**Lemma 4.7** (Local metric vs geometric volume). For every $p_0 \in M$

$$\lim_{\text{diam}(J(p, q)) \to 0} \frac{\nu^g(J(p, q))}{\rho_n(J(p, q))} = 1.$$

**Proof:** By Lemma 4.2 we know that for every $\delta > 0$, $p_0 \in M$ and $C > 1$ there is a cylindrical neighborhood $W = W(p_0, \delta, C)$ of $p_0$ such that $\eta_{C-1} \prec g \prec \eta_C$ on $W$, diam($W) \leq \delta$ and $|g - \eta| < \delta$. Now let $p = (t, x), q = (s, x) \in W'$ with $p \leq p_0 \leq q$. As $W'$ is causally convex in $W$ we have that diam($J(p, q)$) $\leq$ diam($W) \leq \delta$ and $J_{\eta_{C-1}}(p, q) \subseteq J(p, q) \subseteq J_{\eta_C}(p, q)$. Consequently, we get that

$$C^{1-n}\omega_n(s - t)^n = \mathcal{H}^n(J_{\eta_{C-1}}(p, q)) \leq \mathcal{H}^n(J(p, q)) \leq \mathcal{H}^n(J_{\eta_C}(p, q)) = C^{n-1}\omega_n(s - t)^n.$$  
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So letting the neighborhoods shrink and simultaneously \( C \rightarrow 1 \) yields that

\[
\lim_{\text{diam}(J(p,q)) \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^n(J(p,q))}{\omega_n(s-t)^n} = 1,
\]

where \( p = (t,x), q = (s,x) \in W' \). From Equation (5) we get that \( \sqrt{1-C^2} (s-t) \leq \tau(p,q) \) and \( |\det(g)| = 1+o(1) \) as \( \delta \to 0 \), thus \( \text{vol}^g(J(p,q)) = \mathcal{H}^n(J(p,q))(1+o(1)) \) and so

\[
\limsup_{\text{diam}(J(p,q)) \to 0} \frac{\text{vol}^g(J(p,q))}{\rho_n(J(p,q))} \leq 1.
\]

Again by Equation (5) we bound \( \tau \) from above and hence similarly to the estimate for the limit superior we obtain

\[
\frac{\text{vol}^g(J(p,q))}{\rho_n(J(p,q))} \geq \frac{\mathcal{H}^n(J(p,q))(1+o(1))}{\omega_n(s-t)^n(\sqrt{1+C^2})\delta + 2C^2 - 1^n} \to 1
\]
as \( \delta \to 0 \) and \( C \to 1 \). Thus

\[
\liminf_{\text{diam}(J(p,q)) \to 0} \frac{\text{vol}^g(J(p,q))}{\rho_n(J(p,q))} \geq 1,
\]

which finishes the proof.

\[\square\]

**Theorem 4.8** (Metric versus geometric volume). The volume measure \( \text{vol}^g \) agrees with the measure \( \mathcal{V}^n \) constructed in Proposition 2.4, i.e., \( \text{vol}^g = \mathcal{V}^n \), where the \( n \)-dimensional spacetime \( (M,g) \) is viewed as a Lorentzian length space.

**Proof:** First, we show equality locally, i.e., in a cylindrical neighborhood \((W', W)\) as in Lemma 4.4. To this end let \( O \subseteq W' \) be open and \( A \subseteq O \) Borel measurable. Note that \( J' \) covers \( A \) finely, all \( J(p,q) \) are closed and \( \rho_n(J(\hat{p}, \hat{q})) \leq \hat{L} \rho_n(J(p,q)) \) for all \( p = (t,x), q = (s,x) \in W' \) by Equation (6). Letting \( \varepsilon > 0 \), for every \( a \in A \) Lemma 4.7 yields

\[
\limsup_{\text{diam}(J(p,q)) \to 0} \frac{\text{vol}^g(J(p,q))}{\rho_n(J(p,q))} > 1 - \varepsilon.
\]

At this point we can apply a slight modification of [Fed69 Thm. 2.10.18(1)] to get that \( \text{vol}^g(O) \geq (1-\varepsilon)\mathcal{V}^n(A) \) (the modification is needed as \( J(p,q) \notin J \) in general). As Equation (6) holds for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( \text{vol}^g \) is Borel regular we get that \( \text{vol}^g(A) \geq \mathcal{V}^n(A) \) for all \( A \subseteq U \) Borel measurable (any Borel measure on a manifold is regular, so also \( \mathcal{V}^n \) is; cf. Ulam’s Theorem [Els18 VIII.§1 Thm. 1.16]).
For the other inequality we again apply Lemma 4.7 to obtain that for \( \varepsilon > 0 \) we have that for every \( a \in A \)

\[
\limsup_{\text{diam}(J(p,q)) \to 0} \frac{\text{vol}^g(A \cap J(p,q))}{\rho_n(J(p,q))} < 1 + \varepsilon.
\]

Then [Fed69, Thm. 2.10.17(2)] gives \( \text{vol}^g(A) \leq V^n(A) \) and we are done. Finally, as now \( V^n \) agrees with \( \text{vol}^g \) on all Borel measurable subsets of such cylindrical neighborhoods we have that \( V^n = \text{vol}^g \): Let \( A \subseteq M \) be Borel measurable and let \( (W_l)_l \) be a covering of \( M \) by such cylindrical neighborhoods as above. Set \( V_0 := W_0 \) and \( V_l := W_l \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{l-1} V_k \) for \( l \geq 1 \). Then \( V_l \subseteq W_l \) for all \( l \in \mathbb{N} \), \( (V_l)_l \) is pairwise disjoint and \( M = \bigcup_l V_l \). Thus

\[
\text{vol}^g(A) = \sum_l \text{vol}^g(A \cap V_l) = \sum_l V^n(A \cap V_l) = V^n(A). 
\]

\( \square \)

**Proposition 4.9** (Topological vs geometric dimension for spacetimes). Let \((M, g)\) be a strongly causal, causally plain continuous spacetime of dimension \( n \) and \( h \) a smooth Riemannian background metric on \( M \). Then

(i) the induced Lorentzian pre-length space is locally \( d^h \)-uniform.

(ii) Moreover, the geometric dimension \( \dim^\tau(M) \) agrees with the manifold dimension, i.e. \( \dim^\tau(M) = n \).

**Proof:**

(i) This follows from the proof of Lemma 4.6 in particular from Equation (5), and the fact that locally in a chart, one can always bound the Euclidean distance by the induced Riemannian distance.

(ii) Theorem 4.8 gives that \( V^n = \text{vol}^g \). Let \( M = \bigcup_i U_i \) be a covering of \( M \) by open charts such that in each chart \( U_i \) the volume measure \( \text{vol}^g \) is absolutely continuous with respect to the \( n \)-dimensional Lebesgue measure (cf. Lemma 4.4) and \( \text{vol}^g(U_i) < \infty \). Then, \( \dim^\tau(U_i) \leq n \) for all \( i \in \mathbb{N} \). Also, assuming \( \dim^\tau(U_i) < n \), Lemma 3.3 (iii) gives that \( 0 = V^n(U_i) = \text{vol}^g(U_i) \), which contradicts the absolute continuity with respect to the \( n \)-dimensional Lebesgue measure. Finally, this yields \( \dim^\tau(U_i) = n \) for all \( i \in \mathbb{N} \) and so by Lemma 3.5 \( \dim^\tau(M) = n \). \( \square \)

### 4.4 Doubling measures on continuous spacetimes

A (Borel) measure \( \mu \) on a metric space \((X, d)\) is said to be **doubling** if there exists a constant \( C \geq 1 \) (called the **doubling constant**) such that for all \( x \in X, r > 0 \) one has that

\[
\mu(B_{2r}(x)) \leq C \mu(B_r(x)).
\]
By restricting to small balls one arrives at an analogous notion of local doubling measure. Of particular interest for us is the following classical result: If \((X, d)\) is a metric space with a doubling measure that has doubling constant \(C\), then the Hausdorff dimension of \((X, d)\) is bounded above by \(\log_2(C)\), i.e., \(\dim^H(X) \leq \log_2(C)\), cf. e.g. \cite[Cor. 2.5]{Stu06b}. Moreover, a synthetic (lower) bound on the Ricci curvature implies that the reference measure is locally doubling, hence gives a bound on the Hausdorff dimension, cf. e.g. \cite[Cor. 2.4]{Stu06b}. Thus, a natural question in the Lorentzian setting is what is the right analog of the doubling property \(7\) and how does it relate to the geometric dimension introduced in Section \(3\)? We will define local doubling for causal diamonds in the setting of continuous spacetimes below and relate the (causal) doubling constant to the geometric dimension in Theorem \(4.16\).

Lemma \(4.3\) indicates what the (local) doubling property of the volume measure \(\text{vol}^g\) is and thus we are lead to the following:

**Definition 4.10** (Causal doubling property). Let \((X, d, \ll, \leq, \tau)\) be a Lorentzian pre-length space, let \(F \subseteq J\) be a family of causal diamonds and let \((F, F', \Delta, 2)\) be a doubling of causal diamonds. A Borel measure \(m\) on \((X, d)\) is **causally doubling** if there exists a constant \(L \geq 1\) such that

(i) for all \(x, y \in X\) one has

\[ m(J(x,y)) \leq L m(J(x,y)), \]

and

(ii) \(0 < m(J(x,y)) < \infty\) for \(x, y \in X\) with \(x \ll y\).

The constant \(L\) is called the **doubling constant** of \(m\).

One can easily also introduce a local version of the causal doubling property \(14.10\). However for our purposes we will focus on the case of continuous spacetimes and therefore give a definition adapted to this setting. In essence it says that if we restrict the measure to the doubling of causal diamonds in a cylindrical neighborhood, cf. Corollary \(4.3\), then this restriction is a causally doubling.

**Definition 4.11** (Local doubling property). A Borel measure \(m\) on a continuous spacetime \((M, g)\) is **locally causally doubling** if for every cylindrical neighborhood \((W', W)\) there exists a constant \(L \geq 1\) (depending on \(n, W, C\)) such that

(i) for all \(p = (t, x), q = (s, x) \in W'\) one has

\[ m(J(\hat{p}, \hat{q}, W)) \leq L m(J(p, q)), \]

(ii) \(0 < m(J(p, q, W)) < \infty\) for \(p, q \in W\) with \(p \ll q\),
and $0$ causally doubling. Then for any cylindrical neighborhood $(W', W)$ and for $W = (\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) \times \tilde{V} \subseteq W'$ open, non-empty, there are constants $K, \kappa > 0$ (depending only on $C, \delta$ and $L$) such that the following holds: if $p_0 = (t_0, x_0), q_0 = (s_0, x_0), p = (t, x), q = (s, x) \in W$ with $J(p, q) \cap J(p_0, q_0) \neq \emptyset$ and $0 < s_0 - t_0 < 2 \frac{b - a}{1 + 2\lambda}$ with

$$s + t \in \left(2\tilde{a} + \frac{s_0 - t_0}{2}(1 + 2\lambda), 2\tilde{b} - \frac{s_0 - t_0}{2}(1 + 2\lambda)\right),$$

then

$$\frac{m(J(p, q))}{m(J(p_0, q_0))} \geq \frac{1}{K} \left(\frac{\tau(p, q)}{\tau(p_0, q_0)}\right)^\kappa.$$

**Proof:** For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $(\tilde{p}^k, \tilde{q}^k)$ the $k$-times “enlarged” points, i.e.,

$$\tilde{p}^k = (t^k, x), \quad \tilde{q}^k = (s^k, x)$$

where

$$t^k = t + \frac{s - t}{(1 - (1 + 2\lambda)^k)} = \frac{s - t}{2} - \frac{s - t}{4} (1 + 2\lambda)^k$$

and

$$s^k = s - \frac{s - t}{(1 - (1 + 2\lambda)^k)} = \frac{s - t}{2} + \frac{s - t}{4} (1 + 2\lambda)^k.$$ We want to find $k \in \mathbb{N}$ minimal such that $J(p_0, q_0) \subseteq J(\tilde{p}^k, \tilde{q}^k, W)$. By Lemma 4.2(v) it suffices to find a $k$ such that

$$2(s^{k - 1} - t^{k - 1}) < s_0 - t_0 \leq 2(s^k - t^k),$$

and this is possible as by assumption (8) since $J(p, q) \cap J(p_0, q_0) \neq \emptyset$. Thus let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy Equation (9). By construction we have that $\tilde{p}^k, \tilde{q}^k \in W$, so

$$J(p_0, q_0) \subseteq J(\tilde{p}^{k - 1}, \tilde{q}^{k - 1}) \subseteq J(\tilde{p}^k, \tilde{q}^k, W) \subseteq W.$$

The doubling property (on $W$, with local doubling constant $L$) implies that

$$m(J(p_0, q_0)) \leq m(J(\tilde{p}^k, \tilde{q}^k)) \leq L^k m(J(p, q)).$$

Since

$$2(1 + 2\lambda)^{k - 1}(s - t) = 2(s^{k - 1} - t^{k - 1}) < s_0 - t_0$$

and setting $\kappa := \log_{1 + 2\lambda}(L)$ we conclude that

$$\left(\frac{s - t}{s_0 - t_0}\right)^\kappa < \left(\frac{1 + 2\lambda}{2}\right)^\kappa (1 + 2\lambda)^{-k\kappa} = KL^{-k}.$$

This gives by Equation (10) that

$$\frac{m(J(p, q))}{m(J(p_0, q_0))} \geq \frac{1}{K} \left(\frac{s - t}{s_0 - t_0}\right)^\kappa.$$

Finally, we apply Lemma 4.10 to estimate $\frac{s - t}{s_0 - t_0}$ by $\frac{\tau(p, q)}{\tau(p_0, q_0)}$ and thereby only changing the constant $K$. \qed
Following [Fed69, Subsec. 2.8] we set up the machinery needed to get a Vitali covering lemma for causal diamonds and causally doubling measures. First we recall the following

**Definition 4.13 (Adequate).** Let \((X, d)\) be a metric space and let \(\nu\) be a Borel measure on \((X, d)\) such that any bounded set has finite \(\nu\)-measure. Let \(\mathcal{F}\) be a family of closed subsets of \(X\) and let \(A \subseteq X\). We say that \(\mathcal{F}\) is \(\nu\)-adequate for \(A\) if for all open \(V \subseteq X\) there is a countable subfamily \(G \subseteq \mathcal{F}\) of pairwise disjoint sets with \(\bigcup_{G \in G} G \subseteq V\) and
\[
\nu((V \cap A) \setminus \bigcup_{G \in G} G) = 0.
\]

**Proposition 4.14 (Doubling criterion for \(m\)-adequacy).** Let \(m\) be a locally causally doubling measure on (a continuous, strongly causal, causally plain spacetime) \(M\). Given a cylindrical neighborhood \((W', W)\) in \(M\), if \(A \subseteq W'\), then \(J'\) is \(m\)-adequate for \(A\).

**Proof:** Every causal diamond in \(J'\) is closed and contained in \(W\). Moreover, any \(A \subseteq W'\) is finely covered by \(J'\): if \(a = (r, z) \in A\) and \(\varepsilon > 0\) then by strong causality there are \(\bar{p}, \bar{q}\) such that \(a \in I(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \subseteq J(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \subseteq B_\varepsilon(a)\). Then let \(\alpha > 0\) be small enough that \(\alpha := (r - \alpha, z) \ll a \ll a_+ := (r + \alpha, z) \in I(\bar{p}, \bar{q})\) and \(a_+ \in W'\). Then \(J(a_-, a_+) \subseteq J'\) and \(a \in J(a_-, a_+) \subseteq J(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \subseteq B_\varepsilon(a)\).

The doubling property and Lemma 4.4 yield that
\[
m(J(\bar{p}, \bar{q})) \leq m(J(\bar{p}, \bar{q}, W)) \leq L m(J(p, q)),
\]
where \(L\) is the local doubling constant of \(m\). Thus [Fed69, Thm. 2.8.7] applies and yields that \(J'\) is \(m\)-adequate for \(A\). \(\square\)

From [Fed69, Thm. 2.8.4, Cor. 2.8.5] we deduce in the above setting the following useful Corollary, which could be of independent interest.

**Corollary 4.15 (Covering by enlargements of disjoint diamonds).** In the above setting let \(A \subseteq W'\) and \(G \subseteq J'\) be \(m\)-adequate for \(A\). Then there is a family of pairwise disjoint causal diamonds \(J'' \subseteq G\) such that
\[
\bigcup_{J \in J''} J \subseteq \bigcup_{J \in G} \hat{J},
\]
and for any finite subset \(H \subseteq J''\) one has that
\[
A \setminus \bigcup_{J \in H} J \subseteq \bigcup_{J \in J'' \setminus H} \hat{J}.
\]

Analogously to the metric case (see e.g. [Stu06b, Cor. 2.5]) a doubling property implies a bound on the (synthetic) dimension.
Theorem 4.16 (Doubling constant bounds the geometric dimension). Let $(M, g)$ be a continuous, causally plain and strongly causal spacetime of dimension $n$. Let $m$ be a locally causally doubling measure on the induced Lorentzian length space of $(M, g)$, which has the same local doubling constant $L$ on all sufficiently small cylindrical neighborhoods. Then

$$n = \dim^\tau (M) \leq \log_{1+2\lambda} (L).$$

Proof: Set $\kappa := \log_{1+2\lambda} (L)$. By Lemma 4.3, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{V}^\kappa (J)$ is uniformly bounded (independent of $J$) for all causal diamonds in $M$, as $M$ can be written as a countable union of causal diamonds. Moreover, it suffices to only consider sufficiently small causal diamonds $J = J(p_0, q_0)$ in a cylindrical neighborhood $(W', W)$ (with the same fixed constants $C > 1$ and $\lambda = 3C^2 + 2$). To be precise, let $W = (\hat{a}, \hat{b}) \times \hat{V} \subseteq W'$ open, non-empty and let $p_0 = (t_0, x_0), q_0 = (s_0, x_0) \in W$ with $0 < s_0 - t_0 < 2 \frac{\hat{b} - \hat{a}}{1 - \lambda}$ and $J \subseteq \hat{W}$.

Note that for any $\bar{p} = (\bar{t}, \bar{x}), \bar{q} = (\bar{s}, \bar{x}) \in W$ we have $\operatorname{diam}(J(\bar{p}, \bar{q}, W)) \leq 2\sqrt{1 + C^2} (\bar{s} - \bar{t})$ by a similar calculation to the one in the proof of Lemma 4.6.

For $0 < \xi < 4\sqrt{1 + C^2} (1 + 2\lambda) \min(t_0 - \hat{a}, \hat{b} - s_0)$, set $T_\xi := \frac{\xi}{2\sqrt{1 + C^2} (1 + 2\lambda)}$. Let $J_i := J(p_i, q_i)$ ($i \in I_\xi$) be maximally $T_\xi$-separated, i.e., the family satisfies

(i) $p_i = (t_i, x_i), q_i = (s_i, x_i) \in \hat{W},$

(ii) $s_i - t_i = T_\xi,$

(iii) for all $i, j \in I_\xi, i \neq j$ one has $p_i \not\leq q_j$ or $|s_j - t_i| > 2T_\xi$ or $p_j \not\leq q_i$ or $|s_i - t_j| > 2T_\xi$, and finally

(iv) $J_i \cap J \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in I_\xi$.

This implies that $\operatorname{diam}(J_i) \leq \xi$ and $\operatorname{diam}(J(\hat{p}_i, \hat{q}_i)) \leq \xi$ for all $i \in I_\xi$. By Lemma 4.3 we deduce that $\tau(p_i, q_i) \geq C_1 \xi$ and $\tau(\hat{p}_i, \hat{q}_i) \leq C_2 \xi$. Furthermore, the sets $(J_i)_{i \in I_\xi}$ are disjoint and one has $J \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I_\xi} J(p_i, q_i)$. The latter follows from a similar calculation to the proof of Lemma 4.2.

Finally, we choose $t_i \in (\hat{a} - \frac{2\xi}{1 + 2\lambda}, \hat{b} - \frac{2\xi}{1 + 2\lambda})$ (i.e., $i \in I_\xi$). Then by Theorem 4.12 there are constants $\kappa > 0, K > 0$ (only depending on $C, \delta, L$) such that for all $i \in I_\xi$ we have

$$m(J_i) \geq \tilde{K} \tau(p_i, q_i)^\kappa,$$

as $m(J) < \infty$.

At this point we estimate, using the pairwise disjointness of the causal diamonds $(J_i)_{i \in I_\xi}$,

$$\infty > m(W) \geq m\left(\bigcup_{i \in I_\xi} J_i\right) = \sum_{i \in I_\xi} m(J_i) \geq \tilde{K} \sum_{i \in I_\xi} \tau(p_i, q_i)^\kappa \geq \tilde{K} C_1 \xi^{\kappa} |I_\xi|. $$
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This gives $|I_\xi| \leq C_3 \xi^{-\kappa}$, where we subsumed all the constants into $C_3$. Finally, we are in the position to estimate

$$V^\kappa_\xi(J) \leq \sum_{i \in I_\xi} \rho_\kappa(J(\hat{p}_i, \hat{q}_i)) = \omega_\kappa \sum_{i \in I_\xi} \tau(\hat{p}_i, \hat{q}_i)^\kappa \leq \omega_\kappa |I_\xi| C_3^\kappa \xi^\kappa \leq \omega_\kappa C_3 C_2^\kappa < \infty,$$

where the constants on the left-hand-side do not depend on $\xi$ (and $J$). Letting $\xi \searrow 0$ yields that $V^\kappa(J) < \omega_\kappa C_3 C_2^\kappa < \infty$, as claimed. \hfill \Box

Note that it always possible to choose the same constant $C$ (and $\delta$) for a family of cylindrical neighborhoods and so if we consider the volume measure vol$^g$ on a continuous spacetime $(M, g)$ one sees that letting the cylindrical neighborhoods shrink and $C \searrow 1$ yields $\log_1(1 + 2 \lambda(L)) \searrow n = \dim(M)$, as the local doubling constant $L$ converges monotone non-increasingly to $(1 + 2 \lambda)n$.

In the following section we can relate the dimensional parameter $N$ of the timelike curvature-dimension-condition (TCD-condition) of Cavalletti and Mondino [CM20] to the geometric dimension.

Finally, let us remark that there seems to be no obvious way to define doubling in general Lorentzian pre-length spaces that has all the required properties. This will be a topic of further investigation, in particular in conjunction with the relation to synthetic timelike Ricci curvature bounds as discussed in the following Section 5.

5 Timelike Ricci curvature bounds

Following [McC20, MS21], Cavalletti and Mondino introduced synthetic lower timelike Ricci curvature bounds in [CM20] in the form of a timelike curvature-dimension condition. In this section we show that a continuous spacetime which satisfies such a condition with respect to its volume measure, then this dimensional parameter bounds the geometric dimension from above. First, we start by briefly reviewing the relevant notions and results of [CM20]. However, for details we refer to [CM20], especially for the definition of the (weak) timelike curvature dimension condition $(w)\text{TCD}_p^\kappa(K, N)$ and timelike measure contradiction property $\text{TMCP}_p^\kappa(K, N)$, see Section 3 of [CM20].

A measured Lorentzian pre-length space $(X, d, m, \ll, \leq, \tau)$ is a Lorentzian pre-length space equipped with a Radon measure $m$ with $\text{supp}(m) = X$. Moreover, Cavalletti-Mondino additionally require that $(X, d)$ is proper (i.e., all closed and bounded subsets are compact), making $(X, d)$ a Polish metric space. Then synthetic timelike Ricci curvature bounds are introduced via convexity properties of entropies along curves of (causal) probability measures, cf. [CM20, Def. 3.2, Def. 3.7]. Cavalletti-Mondino derive a timelike Brunn-Minkowski inequality for weak $\text{TCD}_p^\kappa(K, N)$ spaces.
and this is the basis for the timelike Bishop-Gromov inequality \cite{CM20} Prop. 3.5], which we will describe in more detail below.

For $K \in \mathbb{R}$ define $s_K : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$s_K(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \sin(\sqrt{K} t) & (K > 0), \\ t & (K = 0), \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-K}} \sinh(\sqrt{-K} t) & (K < 0). \end{cases}$$

Let $(X, d, \ll, \leq)$ be a Lorentzian pre-length space and let $x_0 \in X$, $r > 0$. The sub-level set of $\tau(x_0, \cdot)$ of radius $r$ with base point $x_0$ (or $\tau$-ball in the terminology of \cite{CM20}) is defined as

$$B_\tau^r(x_0) := \{ x \in I^+(x_0) \cup \{x_0\} : \tau(x_0, x) < r \}.$$  

A subset $E \subseteq I^+(x_0) \cup \{x_0\}$ is called $\tau$-star-shaped with respect to $x_0$ if every geodesic (i.e., maximal causal curve) from $x_0$ to $x$ is contained in $E$ (except possibly at $x_0$) for all $x \in E$. Moreover we set $E_r := B_\tau^r(x_0) \cap E$ for $r > 0$.

Then, the timelike Bishop-Gromov inequality \cite{CM20} Prop. 3.5] gives for a locally causally closed, globally hyperbolic measured Lorentzian pre-length space $(X, d, m, \ll, \leq, \tau)$ that

$$\frac{m(E_r)}{m(E_R)} \geq \frac{\int_0^r s_{K/N}^N}{\int_0^R \frac{s_{K/N}^N}{N}}$$

for all $x_0 \in X$, for all compact subsets $E \subseteq I^+(x_0) \cup \{x_0\}$ that are $\tau$-star-shaped with respect to $x_0$ and for all $0 < r < R \leq \pi \sqrt{\frac{N}{\max(K,0)}}$.

Finally, to have a finite upper bound on the maximal time separation in case $K < 0$, we set $R_\ast = \infty$, if $K \geq 0$, and for $K < 0$, let $R_\ast \in (0, \infty)$ arbitrary but fixed for this section.

The following result can be understood as a kind of a (local) doubling property of the reference measure analogous to the metric case, cf. \cite{Stu06b} Cor. 2.4 or \cite{Vil09} Cor. 30.14]. However, it does not seem to imply a doubling property for causal diamonds — even when restricted to continuous spacetimes.

Lemma 5.1 (wTCD implies doubling of the sub-level sets of $\tau$). Let $(X, d, m, \ll, \leq, \tau)$ be a globally hyperbolic, locally causally closed measured Lorentzian length space satisfying $\text{wTCD}_p^\infty(K, N)$ for some $K \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \in [1, \infty)$ and $p \in (0,1)$. Then for all $x_0 \in X$, $E \subseteq I^+(x_0) \cup \{x_0\}$ compact and $\tau$-star-shaped with respect to $x_0$ and $0 < r < \min(\pi \sqrt{\frac{N}{\max(0,K)}}, R_\ast)$ one has

$$m(E_{2r}) \leq L m(E_r),$$
where

\[
L = 2^{N+1} \max(1, \text{sgn}(-K) \cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{K}{N}} R_\ast\right)^N).
\]

**Proof:** By the timelike Bishop-Gromov inequality (11) one has

\[
\frac{m(E_{2r})}{m(E_r)} \leq \int_0^{2r} \frac{s_{K/N}}{\int_0^r s_{K/N}} = \frac{2 \int_0^r s_{K/N}(2t)^N dt}{\int_0^r s_{K/N}}.
\]

Double angle formulas show that for \( K \geq 0 \) the right-hand-side of Equation (12) is bounded above by \( 2^{N+1} \), while for \( K < 0 \) it is bounded above by \( 2^{N+1} \cosh(\sqrt{-K/N} R_\ast)^N \).

In the remainder of this section we establish a consistency result for continuous spacetimes that satisfy a \( \text{wTCD}_p(K, N) \) curvature bound with respect to the volume measure and a sufficient criterion for general reference measures.

The following theorem is a generalization of [CM20, Cor. A.2,(2)] to non-smooth spacetimes.

**Theorem 5.2 (Synthetic vs geometric dimension).** Let \((M, g)\) be a continuous, globally hyperbolic and causally plain spacetime whose induced measured Lorentzian length space \((M, d^\text{B}, \text{vol}^g, \ll, \leq, \tau)\) satisfies the \( \text{wTCD}_p(K, N) \) condition for some \( K \in \mathbb{R}, \ N \in [1, \infty) \) and \( p \in (0, 1) \). Then

\[
n = \dim^\tau(M) \leq N + 1.
\]

**Proof:** Let \( p \in M, E \subseteq I^+(p) \cup \{p\} \) compact and \( \tau \)-star-shaped with respect to \( p \). By the timelike Bishop-Gromov inequality (11) the map \( r \mapsto \frac{\text{vol}^g(E_r)}{\int_0^r s_{K/N}} \) is monotonically decreasing. As \( s_K(t) = O(t) \) for small \( t \) it suffices to show that \( \text{vol}^g(E_r) \leq cr^n \) for some constant \( c > 0 \) and small \( r > 0 \). In fact, it suffices to show that \( \text{vol}^g(B^\text{g}_r(p, U)) \leq cr^n \), where \( U \) is a causally convex neighborhood of \( p \) and \( B^\text{g}_r(p, U) := \{ y \in U : \tau(p, y) < r \} \).

Let \((g_k)_k\) be a sequence of smooth metrics given by Lemma [A.1]. Moreover, denote by \( \tau_k \) the time separation function with respect to \( g_k \) \((k \in \mathbb{N})\), then, in particular, by Proposition [A.2] we have that \( \tau_k \searrow \tau \) locally uniformly and \( g_k \to g \) locally uniformly as well. At this point let \( U \) be a \( g_0 \)-causally convex, relatively compact chart around \( p \). Then \( U \) is causally convex for \( g, g_k \) \((k \in \mathbb{N})\) as \( g \prec g_k \prec g_0 \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). There is a constant \( c > 0 \) only depending on \( U \) such that \( \text{vol}^{g_k}(B^\text{g}_r(p, U)) \leq cr^n \), cf. [CM20, Eq. (A.6)].

Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and let \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \sup_U \left| \sqrt{\det g} - \sqrt{\det g_k} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{H^\tau(U)} \). Consequently, as \( \tau \leq \tau_k \), we estimate that

\[
\text{vol}^g(B^\text{g}_r(p, U)) = \int_U \sqrt{\det g} \mathbb{1}_{B^\text{g}_r(p, U)} \leq \varepsilon + \text{vol}^{g_k}(B^\text{g}_{r \epsilon}(p, U)) \leq \varepsilon + cr^n,
\]
where \(1_A\) is the indicator function of a set \(A\). As this holds for all \(\varepsilon > 0\) we conclude \(\text{vol}^p(B^\varepsilon_B(p,U)) \leq cr^n\), as claimed.

Using the same argument we can improve the bound to \(n \leq N\) if we assume slightly stronger properties of \((M, g)\). In fact, the refined timelike Bishop-Gromov inequality [CM20, Cor. 5.14] directly gives:

**Corollary 5.3** (Synthetic dominates geometric dimension). Let \((M, g)\) be a continuous, globally hyperbolic, timelike non-branching and causally plain spacetime of dimension \(n \geq 2\) whose induced measured Lorentzian length space \((M, d^h, \text{vol}^g, \ll, \leq, \tau)\) satisfies the \(\text{TMCP}^p\) condition for some \(K \in \mathbb{R}, N \in [1, \infty)\) and \(p \in (0, 1)\). Moreover, assume that the causally-reversed structure satisfies the same conditions. Then

\[
(14) \quad n = \dim^\tau(M) \leq N.
\]

By analogy with the case of metric measure geometry with positive signature [Stu06a, Eq. (2.4)–(2.5)] [Stu06b] [EKS15] [CM21], it is natural to expect the refined Bishop-Gromov inequality (with exponent \(N - 1\) replacing \(N\)) to also hold under the \(\text{wTCD}^p\) condition of Lemma 5.1, improving the conclusion of Theorem 5.2 from (13) to (14) provided the anticipated equivalence of various TCD-notions holds true, as is known in the CD case.
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**A Appendix**

Here in this appendix we establish a refined version of the Chruściel - Grant approximation for continuous metrics (cf. [CG12, Prop. 1.2]).

**Lemma A.1** (Smooth approximation of continuous metrics). Let \((M, g)\) be a continuous spacetime. Then there is a sequence of smooth metrics \((g_k)\) such that

(i) \(g_k \to g\) locally uniformly,

(ii) \(g \ll g_{k+1} \ll g_k\) for all \(k \in \mathbb{N}\), (i.e., \(g_k\) has strictly wider light cones than \(g_{k+1}\))

(iii) \(-g(X,X) < -g_k(X,X)\) for all \(g\)-causal \(X \in TM\), and

(iv) \(-g_{k+1}(X,X) \leq -g_k(X,X)\) for all \(g_{k+1}\)-causal \(X \in TM\).
Note that (iii) and (iv) imply that for all $X \in TM$ $g$-causal we have that
\[-g(X, X) \leq -g_{k+1}(X, X) \leq -g_k(X, X) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

**Proof:** We know that there is a net $(g_{\varepsilon})_\varepsilon$ of smooth metrics that satisfies (analogous) points (i)-(iii), see e.g. [CG12, Prop. 1.2] or [KSV15, Prop. 2.3(iii)]. Also, one sees that the construction actually yields property (iii). Thus let $(g_{\varepsilon})_\varepsilon$ be a net of smooth metrics that satisfies (i)-(iii).

Let $K \subseteq M$ be compact. Fix $0 < \varepsilon_0$ and set $L := \{X \in TM|_K : |X|_h = 1, g(X, X) \leq 0\}$, then $L$ is compact. By property (iii) we thus have that $\delta := \min_{X \in L}(g(X, X) - g_{\varepsilon_0}(X, X)) > 0$. Let $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon_0$ such that for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'$ we have $d_h(g, g_{\varepsilon}) < \delta$. Let $X \in TM|_K$ be $g$-causal with $|X|_h = 1$, hence $X \in L$. Consequently, we have for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'$ that
\[
-g(X, X) < -g_{\varepsilon}(X, X) \\
\leq d_h(g, g_{\varepsilon}) - g(X, X) \\
< \delta - g(X, X) \\
\leq -g_{\varepsilon_0}(X, X).
\]

Now set $L' := \{X \in TM|_K : |X|_h = 1, g'(X, X) \leq 0\}$, then $L'$ is compact and consists of $g_{\varepsilon_0}$-timelike vectors. Thus $\delta' := \min_{X \in L'}(-g_{\varepsilon_0}(X, X)) > 0$. Let $0 < \bar{\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon'$ such that for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq \bar{\varepsilon}$ we have $d_h(g, g_{\varepsilon}) < \delta'$. Let $X \in TM|_K$ be $g_{\varepsilon}$-causal with $|X|_h = 1$, hence $X \in L'$. Consequently, in case $X$ is not $g$-causal we have
\[-g_{\varepsilon}(X, X) \leq d_h(g, g_{\varepsilon}) - g(X, X) < \delta' - g(X, X) < \delta' \leq -g_{\varepsilon_0}(X, X).
\]

If $X$ is $g$-causal we anyway have $-g_{\varepsilon}(X, X) \leq -g_{\varepsilon_0}(X, X)$ by Equation (15) above. Setting $\varepsilon_1 := \bar{\varepsilon}$, we conclude that $(g_{\varepsilon})_{0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1}$ is a net that satisfies (i)-(iii) and $-g_{\varepsilon}(X, X) \leq -g_{\varepsilon_0}(X, X)$ for all $X \in TM|_K$ that are $g_{\varepsilon}$-causal. In particular, for all $K \subseteq M$ compact there is such a net with that properties. Applying, [KSSV14, Lemma 2.4] to the map $(\varepsilon, p) \mapsto g_{\varepsilon}(p)$ for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$ and $p \in M$ we globalize to get a net $(\tilde{g}_{\varepsilon})_\varepsilon$ that satisfies (i)-(iii) and $-\tilde{g}_{\varepsilon}(X, X) \leq -\tilde{g}_{\varepsilon_0}(X, X)$ for all $X \in TM$ that are $\tilde{g}_{\varepsilon}$-causal. Then start with $\tilde{g}_{\varepsilon_1}$ and continue iteratively to obtain the desired sequence. \[\square\]

**Proposition A.2** (Monotonicity of time separation along approximation). Let $(M, g)$ be a continuous, strongly causal and causally plain spacetime. Then $\tau$ is locally the uniform and nonincreasing limit of time separation functions of smooth metrics approximating $g$ but with wider light cones.

**Proof:** Let $U$, $W$ as in Lemma 2.2 with $\mathcal{U} \subseteq W$ and $W$ globally hyperbolic. As $(M, g)$ is causally plain the time separation function $\tau$ is lower semicontinuous by [KSSV14, Prop. 5.7]. Thus $\tau$ is continuous on $\mathcal{U}$ by [KSSV14, Thm. 3.28]. Moreover, let $(g_k)_k$ be a sequence as in Lemma A.1 and denote the time separation function of $g_k$ by $\tau_k$ and the corresponding length functional by $L_k$. First, by the properties of $(g_k)_k$ it is clear that for all $p, q \in \mathcal{U}$
we have \( \tau(p, q) \leq \tau_{k+1}(p, q) \leq \tau_k(p, q) \). Second, for all \( p, q \in \overline{U} \) we have that \( \tau_k(p, q) \to \tau(p, q) \) pointwise. To see this note that if \( p \) is not causally related to \( q \) but for every \( k \) there is a \( g_k \)-causal curve \( \gamma_k \) from \( p \) to \( q \) then by the appropriate version of the Limit Curve Theorem ([Sam16, Thm. 1.5]) there is subsequence of \( (\gamma_k)_k \) that converges uniformly to a \( g \)-causal curve from \( p \) to \( q \) (as necessarily \( p \neq q \)). Thus we only need to consider the case where \( p \leq q \). To this end and similar to above, let \((\gamma^k)_k \) be a sequence of future directed \( g_k \)-causal and maximal curves from \( p \) to \( q \) that converge uniformly to a \( g \)-causal curve \( \lambda \) from \( p \) to \( q \). Now, let \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and let \( k_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that for all \( k \geq k_0 \) we have that \( |L_k(\lambda) - L^g(\lambda)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \). As \( L_{k_0} \) is upper semicontinuous (cf. e.g. [Sam16, Thm. 6.3]) there is a \( k_1 \geq k_0 \) such that for all \( k \geq k_1 \) we have that \( L_{k_0}(\gamma^k) \leq L_{k_0}(\lambda) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \). Then for all \( k \geq k_1 \) we obtain

\[
\tau_k(p, q) = L_k(\gamma^k) \leq L_{k_0}(\gamma^k) \leq L_{k_0}(\lambda) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq L^g(\lambda) + \varepsilon \leq \tau(p, q) + \varepsilon.
\]

At this point we can apply Dini’s Theorem (cf. e.g. [AB06, 2.66]) to conclude that \( \tau_k \to \tau \) uniformly on \( \overline{U} \).

\[\]
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