The Influence of Polysaccharides/TiO$_2$ on the Model Membranes of Dipalmitoylphosphatidylglycerol and Bacterial Lipids
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Abstract: The aim of the study was to determine the bactericidal properties of popular medical, pharmaceutical, and cosmetic ingredients, namely chitosan (Ch), hyaluronic acid (HA), and titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$). The characteristics presented in this paper are based on the Langmuir monolayer studies of the model biological membranes formed on subphases with these compounds or their mixtures. To prepare the Langmuir film, 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-rac-(1-glycerol) (DPPG) phospholipid, which is the component of most bacterial membranes, as well as biological material-lipids isolated from bacteria *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* were used. The analysis of the surface pressure-mean molecular area ($\pi$-A) isotherms, compression modulus as a function of surface pressure, $C_S^{-1} = f(\pi)$, relative surface pressure as a function of time, $\pi/\pi_0 = f(t)$, hysteresis loops, as well as structure visualized using a Brewster angle microscope (BAM) shows clearly that Ch, HA, and TiO$_2$ have antibacterial properties. Ch and TiO$_2$ mostly affect *S. aureus* monolayer structure during compression. They can enhance the permeability of biological membranes leading to the bacteria cell death. In turn, HA has a greater impact on the thickness of *E. coli* film.
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1. Introduction

The cell envelope of Gram-negative bacteria consists of two distinct layers, the outer (OM) and the inner (IM) membranes, separated by the periplasm with a thin layer of peptidoglycan. Both membrane leaflets of the IM are mostly composed of phospholipids. The OM is an asymmetric lipid bilayer containing mainly phospholipids in the inner leaflet, whereas the outer leaflet is mainly composed of lipopolysaccharide (LPS). This strict OM asymmetry is important for the proper functioning of the bacterial cell, as it constitutes a permeability barrier, preventing the penetration of toxic compounds inside of the cell [1]. Due to difficulties in studying the biological processes that take place on or within the natural membranes, resulting from the high complexity of such structures, research in this area is performed with the use of biomimetic model membranes [2]. It has been proven that their application allows the assessment of changes in the physicochemical properties of lipid layers caused by foreign substances [3].

Among the available models of biological membranes, the greatest interest has focused on biomimetic monolayer films formed using the Langmuir technique [4,5]. Although this method enables the characterization of only monomolecular films at the interface,
there is a strong correlation between properties (pressure, surface area per lipid molecule, phase transition, compressibility) of mono- and bilayers prepared from cell membrane components, at surface pressures of 30–35 mN/m [6–10]. Owing to this, it is possible to predict, with high probability, interactions at the level of living organisms. This makes the Langmuir technique an ideal tool for obtaining information about the properties and behavior of membranes (or their components), as well as for assessing the influence of various chemical substances, e.g., biologically active, biomolecules, on the behavior of biologically important systems [8–10]. However, extrapolating the results obtained from such studies to actual studies of biophysical situations may require some additional considerations. To visualize the interface organization of the lipid components of a monolayer or changes in interfacial behavior resulting from the introduction of an interesting compound into the monolayer and/or subphase, and thus for a better understanding of the structure–property relation of organized films, the Langmuir technique can be easily combined with optical Brewster angle microscopy (BAM). BAM is useful for determining the structure of the tested films, detecting phase changes, emerging domains, or multi-molecular structures as well as changes in monolayer thickness and other morphological effects [11,12]. Owing to this coupling (Langmuir trough with BAM), it is possible to observe the changes occurring during compression in real-time. The analysis of these changes in relation to the \( \pi-A \) isotherms can provide a more precise characterization of the interactions. Knowledge about the organization of monolayers and their interactions with the components of the support and the possibility of their control at the molecular level determine the potential usefulness of the received bioproducts and enable the development of a wide range of science and technology.

Chitosan (Ch) is a linear copolymer of \( \beta-(1\rightarrow4) \)-D-glucosamine and \( \beta-(1\rightarrow4) \)-N-acetyl-D-glucosamine and has a polycationic nature in acidic solutions. The positively charged backbone of chitosan (controlled by the pH) plays a crucial role in its interaction with other macromolecules or with negatively charged or neutral phospholipids of biomembranes. Clearly, the protonated amino groups \( \text{NH}_3^+ \) of chitosan are involved in the mechanism of adsorption (forced by electrostatic interactions) of this positively charged polyelectrolyte on the lipid membrane of vesicles [13,14]. Contrarily, hyaluronic acid is a polyanion. It consists of glucuronic acid and N-acetyl-glucosamine. HA is hydrophilic, biocompatible, biodegradable, and negatively charged in a wide pH range (pKa 3–4) [15]. Its presence in thermo-reactive hydrogels leads to the improvement of viscoelastic and mucoadhesive properties. In addition, it proves that Ch and HA also can create strong interactions with inorganic substances, e.g., titanium dioxide particles (TiO\(_2\)). Moreover, the presence of free carboxylic, amine, and hydroxyl groups at the surface of the HA and Ch films allows to bind stably the titania nanoparticles to the polymeric substrate, without the need to functionalize the nanoparticles. Moreover, these interactions lead to different physical and chemical surface adsorption, including the simple adsorption by electrostatic attraction, hydrogen bonding, and chemical binding through the formation of ester like linkage, bridging, and chelating [16,17]. TiO\(_2\) nanoparticles have found application in tissue engineering. They can be a component of biocomposites. Nanocomposites with bactericidal properties would be excellent materials for biomedical applications. TiO\(_2\) nanoparticles are being tested for use in cancer therapies. In addition, some studies confirm that both TiO\(_2\) and chitosan have antimicrobial (antibacterial, antifungal) properties and are also non-toxic. One of the proposed mechanisms of the antimicrobial action of Ch and TiO\(_2\) is based on biological disorders of the membranes of microorganisms. Therefore, it is also important to develop a mechanism of action at the molecular level, e.g., using the Langmuir monolayer technique by studying the effect of these substances on bacterial membrane components.

Knowledge about the possibility of creating or not the chemical bonds/interactions between a biomaterial and biological membrane is very important when making decisions about the specific use of a given preparation. Such an analysis is necessary regardless of the type of product prepared, and at the same time permits to direct research in order to obtain
further characteristics. The effective combination of these ingredients will allow receiving a multifunctional material for applications in the field of medicine.

Therefore, we made an effort to determine whether chitosan, TiO$_2$, and hyaluronic acid and/or their mixtures cause disturbances in the structure of model biological membranes, formed of the synthetic material 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-rac-(1-glycerol) sodium salt (DPPG) phospholipid, which is the component of most bacterial membranes, as well as biological material (lipids isolated from bacteria *Escherichia coli*, a Gram-negative organism model and *Staphylococcus aureus*, a Gram-positive organism model). This allowed us to confirm the assumption that the mechanism of the antibacterial action of these compounds and their mixtures is based on disorders of the membrane of microorganisms. That aspect was also checked by microbiological methods, using the same species of bacteria. This provided the verification of the proposed method. We assumed that the study of material isolated from microorganisms would make it possible to predict the results of microbiological tests. On the other hand, the knowledge of the mechanism of these substances interactions with membranes/monolayer is an indispensable element in the production of carriers of active substances (e.g., drugs), as it allows controlling the amount of the pharmaceuticals incorporated into the carrier (and then released from it).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Samples and Subphases Preparation

Chitosan (Ch; 100,000–300,000 g/mol; DD = 82 ± 2% [18] ACRøS Organics, Göteborg, Sweden) was dissolved (using homogenizer (SilentCrusher M, Heidolph, Schwabach, Germany)) in acetic acid (0.1% solution of glacial AA; 99.5–99.9%; Avantor Performance Materials, Glivice, Poland) at a concentration of 0.1 mg/mL. Titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$ particle size 10–30 nm [18]; Sigma Aldrich, Poznań, Poland) was mixed with AA in amount of 1.2 mg/mL after purification, as described previously [18,19]. Hyaluronic acid (HA; high-molecular; 1.60–1.80 MDa; 1% solution (Poznań, Poland)) was stirred with AA in proportion 0.5 mL/L. The solutions/dispersions of various combinations of these ingredients were prepared, namely: AA, AA/Ch, AA/HA, AA/TiO$_2$, AA/Ch/TiO$_2$, AA/HA/TiO$_2$, AA/Ch/HA, AA/Ch/HA/TiO$_2$, and their concentrations were simultaneously maintained. In addition, the TiO$_2$-containing suspensions were placed in ultrasonic cleaner for 15 min. All samples were prepared before measurements in room temperature. As a reference, subphase deionized water from the Milli-Q system (Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA, conductivity 18.2 MΩ cm) was used.

2.2. Isolation of Lipids from Bacteria

Lipids from the bacterial mass of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* were isolated using the Bligh and Dyer method [20] with a chloroform-methanol-water mixture (1:2.18 v/v/v).

2.3. Model Bacterial Membrane Preparation (Langmuir Films)

For the preparation of model membranes, 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-rac-(1-glycerol) sodium salt (phospholipid DPPG) (≥99%, Sigma Aldrich, Poznań, Poland), and bacterial lipid of *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* were used. DPPG was dissolved in chloroform (98.5%):methanol (99.8%) solution, in 4:1 ratio. *E. coli* lipids were dissolved in chloroform:methanol mixture (9:1) while *S. aureus* lipids in pure chloroform. All samples were prepared in concentration of 1 mg/mL. All solvents were purchased from Avantor Performance Materials (Gliwice, Poland).

2.4. π-A Isotherms and Stability of the Lipid Monolayers Measurements

The π-A isotherms were recorded using a double-barrier Langmuir trough (KSV NIMA, total area 783 cm$^2$) placed on an anti-vibration table in a dust-reduced environment. The surface pressure was measured by the Wilhelmy plate method. Temperature of the aqueous subphase was kept constant at 20 ± 0.1 °C by a circulating water system from the thermostat (Lauda). The lipid solution was applied dropwise on subphase surface.
After 10 min (necessary for solvent evaporation), compression of the lipid monolayer was followed at the constant pre-set speed of 10 mm/min (29 cm$^2$/min). As a result of monolayer compression, the $\pi$-A isotherms were registered. Based on these plots, the following parameters were taking into account during analysis: $A_0$, the lift-off area, defines the area per molecule at which the transition from the gas phase (G) to the expanded liquid (LE) occurs, $A_{\text{lim}}$, the limit area, is a parameter that defines the area per molecule in a closely packed monolayer (determined by extrapolation of the linear part of the isotherm to zero surface pressure), $\pi_{\text{coll}}$ is a surface pressure at the monolayer collapse. Based on data received from the $\pi$-A isotherms, the compression modulus was calculated using the formula:

$$C_s^{-1} = -A\left(\frac{d\pi}{dA}\right)_{n,T}$$

This parameter is useful to identify the physical state of a monolayer [21–23] to be classified as liquid-expanded: $C_s^{-1}$ in the range of 12.5–50 mN/m, liquid: 50–100 mN/m, liquid-condensed 100–250 mN/m, or as condensed >250 mN/m. The minima in the plots of $C_s^{-1}$ vs. $\pi$, on the other hand, correspond to phase transitions.

Additionally, the one-hour stability of the compressed to 35 mN/m (for DPPG) or 30 mN/m (for both bacterial extract) monolayers and compression-decompression measurements (to $\pi = 30$ or 35 mN/m; with relaxation times after compression = 1000 s) were recorded. The monolayer stability was expressed as a $\pi/\pi_0$ ratio, where $\pi$-surface pressure value at given time, $\pi_0$-surface pressure value to which the monolayer was compressed, i.e., equal 30 mN/m for *E. coli* and *S. aureus* monolayers, equal 35 mN/m for DPPG film.

2.5. Measurements of the Monolayer’s Morphology

The morphology of monolayers was visualized with a Brewster angle microscope (BAM; Accurion, Goettingen, Germany) equipped with a 50 mW laser emitting p-polarized light at a wavelength of 658 nm, a polarizer, an analyzer, and a CCD camera. The BAM apparatus was coupled with the Langmuir trough. The procedure of monolayer formation was identical to that described above. BAM images presented in this paper show monolayer fragments of 360 × 200 µm$^2$. Due to the application of appropriate parameters of the polarizer and analyzer, the aqueous solution (without the monolayer) at Brewster’s angle takes a shade of black, and the lipid domains appearing in this field take a shade of gray, which makes it possible to determine the thickness of the monolayer. Moreover, owing to the single-layer optical model [24,25], it is also possible to estimate the thickness of the phospholipid layer formed during the compression.

2.6. Microbiological Tests

Antimicrobial activity of chitosan Ch (AA/Ch), AA/TiO$_2$, AA/HA, AA/Ch/HA, AA/Ch/TiO$_2$, AA/HA/TiO$_2$, and AA/Ch/HA/TiO$_2$ against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* was determined using a colony forming unit (CFU)-counting assay and Live/Dead staining combined with fluorescent intensity measurements. *E. coli* (ATCC 25922) and *S. aureus* (ATCC 25923) were grown in 5 mL Luria-Bertani (LB) medium at 37 °C with agitation at 200 r.p.m. A bacterial suspension with OD$_{600}$ of 0.1 was diluted 10$^{-4}$-fold in LB medium. Next, 5 µL of the last dilution of the bacterial culture was transferred into a sterile Eppendorf tube, mixed with 5 µL of LB medium (control) or suspension of the appropriate compound (final concentration was the same as for subphase, e.g., AA: 0.1%, Ch: 0.1 mg/mL, TiO$_2$: 1.2 mg/mL, HA: 0.5 mL/L (ν/ν)), and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. The mixtures were transferred onto agar plates and the colonies were counted after 1 day of incubation at 37 °C (BTL). The controls defined the total (100%) survival of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* cells incubated in identical conditions but without compound addition. Results from the antimicrobial assay represent the mean of three independent experiments, with three replicates per experiment.

Hence, 100 µL of the test compounds (final concentrations AA: 0.1%, Ch: 0.1 mg/mL, TiO$_2$: 1.2 mg/mL, HA: 0.5 mL/L (ν/ν)) were added to 100 µL of bacterial suspension (*E. coli*,...
S. aureus) with OD = 0.1. After one hour of incubation of all samples at 37 °C, the samples containing TiO$_2$ were centrifuged at 500 × g, 1 min, TR (Labnet Prism R). The supernatants were transferred into a sterile Eppendorf tube and stained using the Live/Dead BacLight bacteria viability assay kit (ThermoFisher, Waltham, Massachusetts, United States). The Live/Dead BacLight bacteria viability kit allows to discriminate viable bacterial cells from membrane compromised dead cells. The BacLight is composed of the nucleic acid-binding stains SYTO 9 and propidium iodide (PI) which differ in their spectral characteristics, as well as in their ability to penetrate bacterial cells. SYTO 9 penetrates bacterial membranes resulting in a green fluorescing signal, whereas PI is membrane impermeant and penetrates cells with damaged membranes only. Live/Dead staining of the bacteria was performed by incubation for 15 min in Live/Dead staining solution (5 μM Syto9 and 30 μM PI in 3% DMSO) in RT.

Images of the bacteria were collected using an Axiovert 200 M confocal microscope with an LSM 5 PASCAL scanning head (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany). The Live/Dead test was performed on the basis of 20 images of each sample. Images were captured with AxioVision 4.8 software (Carl Zeiss) in the multichannel fluorescence technique, with the AxioCam HR3 camera, using 470 nm and 546 nm filters for the green and red channels, respectively, with the same exposure time for each pair of images. Analyses were performed using ImageJ 1.50i (Wayne Rasband, National Institutes of Health, Kensington, MD, USA). The sum of the lighting values was analyzed separately for each channel in a pair of images, which corresponds to the percentage of live and dead bacteria.

3. Results

3.1. Effect of Ch, HA, TiO$_2$ on the DPPG Model Membranes

3.1.1. Behavior of the DPPG Monolayer during Compression

In general, the basis for the analysis of the interactions between the components within the monolayer, as well as the components constituting the film and those present in the subphase, is the dependence of changes in surface pressure (π) as a function of the area per one molecule in the monolayer (A) (called π-A isotherms), recorded during compression measurements. Surface pressure, defined as the difference between the water surface tension without and with the presence of the Langmuir film, is measured by the Wilhelmy plate method. During this process, the physical state of the monolayer changes (gaseous (G), expanded liquid (LE), condensed liquid (LC), solid (S) are distinguished), and is influenced by the intermolecular interactions occurring at the interface, whose strength and range change due to approaching the molecules to each other. Particular orientations and packing of molecules on the subphase surface correspond to the specific states. On the other hand, under high surface pressure, the monolayer may bend or collapse, which is manifested by a sudden drop/change in the surface pressure. Therefore, the analysis of the shape, course, and position of the π-A curves provides information on the phase transitions, monolayer phase state, and its organization and stability (packing density and molecular orientation or conformation).

The π-A isotherm formed during compression of the DPPG monolayer on the water subphase (H$_2$O) was called a reference (Figure 1). Additionally, for better visualization of results, the values of A$_0$ and A$_{lim}$ parameters are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Lift-off area (A$_0$) and limit area (A$_{lim}$) determined from the π-A isotherms for the DPPG monolayer on individual subphases.

| Subphase | DPPG Monolayer on Different Subphases |
|----------|--------------------------------------|
|          | A$_0$ [Å$^2$] | A$_{lim}$ [Å$^2$] |
| H$_2$O   | 52.5          | 48.3           |
| AA       | 51.9          | 46.5           |
| AA/Ch    | 107.2         | 63.5           |
The negatively charged heads of the phospholipid create favorable conditions for interaction with positively charged TiO2 particles. TiO2 penetrates between DPPG molecules and collapsed at slightly lower surface pressure values. However, acetic acid and hyaluronic acid caused only shifts, but allowed the molecule to maintain the correct shape. Moreover, TiO2 caused a milder increase in surface pressure when the compression did not change. The G/LE phase transition (A0 parameter) increases with the addition of further components to the subphase, which indicates that the chitosan and TiO2 get between the DPPG molecules weakening the attraction forces between the phospholipid molecules. Only the use of acetic acid (AA) and acetic acid with the addition of hyaluronic acid (AA/HA) as a subphase for the lipid films did not cause the visible changes during their compression. The C_s coll values (Figure 1B) suggest that the TiO2 particles could be pushed out of the monolayer at the proximity of 65 mN/m proves that the state of the DPPG monolayer changes from the condensed liquid phase. Moreover, the AA and HA presence did not affect the A0 parameter (Table 1) increases with the addition of further components to the subphase, which indicates that the chitosan and TiO2 get between the DPPG molecules weakening the attraction forces between the phospholipid molecules. Only the use of acetic acid (AA) and acetic acid with the addition of hyaluronic acid has the opposite effect, that is, it slightly enhances the attraction forces between the DPPG molecules. This conclusion also arrived from analysis of limit area values (A lim). In addition, the limit area per molecule in the monolayer grows with the increasing amounts of components in the subphase. This means that even at high compression, subphase components (excluding AA, and AA/HA) mostly affect the monolayer behavior.

The DPPG phospholipid (pKa 3.5–4) bears the negative charge due to the presence of the negatively charged PO4^- group and non-deprotonated glycerol [22]. However, in the DPPG monolayers on AA and AA/HA, despite the presence of acetic acid and hyaluronic acid in the subphase (pH 3.4, 3.7 respectively), the arrangement of the DPPG molecules during compression did not change. The G/LE phase transition (A0 parameter) was not shifted significantly, and the LC/S transition was not lost (remain visible; see graph C5^-1 = f(π), Figure 1)). Moreover, the AA and HA presence did not affect the A lim.

Table 1. Cont.

| Subphase                  | DPPG Monolayer on Different Subphases |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                           | A0 [Å^2] | A lim [Å^2] |
| AA/TiO2                  | 72.8     | 54.3      |
| AA/HA                    | 51.0     | 47.9      |
| AA/Ch/TiO2               | 117.0    | 67.1      |
| AA/HA/TiO2               | 68.2     | 50.9      |
| AA/Ch/HA                 | 110.5    | 65.3      |
| AA/Ch/HA/TiO2            | 116.4    | 64.5      |

Figure 1. (A) π = f(A) isotherms obtained by means of the Langmuir technique and determined based on them (B) C_s^-1 = f(π) relationships for the DPPG monolayer on individual subphases.
values which means that those substances did not substantially affect the organization of the tightly packed DPPG monolayer. Under applied conditions, a strong intermolecular hydrogen bonding between the glycerol hydroxyl and the phosphate of the neighboring lipid molecules can prevail, resulting in dense packing and rigidity of the monolayer [22].

The most significant changes in the course of the \( \pi-A \) isotherms were noted after additional introduction of TiO\(_2\) to those (AA, AA/HA) subphases. In the case of TiO\(_2\)-containing dispersions (AA/TiO\(_2\), AA/HA/TiO\(_2\)), the curves moved towards larger values of area per molecule, suggesting a weakening of the attraction forces between the DPPG molecules. In addition, TiO\(_2\) caused a milder increase in surface pressure when the condensed DPPG domains formed during transition from the gas to expanded liquid phase and then to the condensed liquid phase. Moreover, the monolayers collapsed at a surface pressure similar to that of the monolayer spread on water but probably according to a different mechanism induced by TiO\(_2\).

The negatively charged heads of the phospholipid create favorable conditions for interaction with positively charged TiO\(_2\) particles. TiO\(_2\) penetrates between DPPG molecules at the beginning of compression, causing a reduction in the available area at the interface, thus accelerating the G-LE phase transition. However, the slight difference of \( \pi_{\text{coll}} \) values to the reference suggests that the TiO\(_2\) particles could be pushed out of the monolayer at high surface pressures. On the other hand, the change in the shape of the isotherms for DPPG monolayers on the TiO\(_2\)-containing subphases is indicative of DPPG-TiO\(_2\) interactions, and thus TiO\(_2\) particles may be located just below the surface of the lipid film. The close presence of TiO\(_2\) imposes a different arrangement of both the charged hydrophilic heads and the hydrophobic chains of DPPG molecules at the interface. The DPPG molecule consists of two saturated chains which, under high surface pressure, due to the lack of spatial restrictions, position themselves vertically in relation to the liquid surface. In turn, the head of the phospholipid has both a negatively charged phosphate group and glycerol, which is a very weak acid (\( \text{pKa} = 14.4 \)), occurring in the protonated form. The appearance of a positive charge (TiO\(_2\)) in the vicinity may determine the formation of strong interaction with PO\(_4^-\), and thus change the arrangement of the entire fragment of the molecule.

Moreover, the analysis of the \( C_s^{-1} = f(\pi) \) relationship (Figure 1B) suggests that the addition of chitosan and TiO\(_2\) to the subphase caused deviations from the typical course of the curve. However, acetic acid and hyaluronic acid caused only shifts, but allowed maintaining the correct shape.

On the typical \( \pi-A \) isotherms for DPPG, the LE-LC phase transition region is not visible because in the DPPG monolayers the condensed domains are formed at lower values of surface pressure [26]. In addition, the compression modulus value at approximately 45 mN/m proves that the state of the DPPG monolayer changes from the condensed liquid phase to a solid. The lack of LE-LC phase transition if the acetic acid or acetic acid with the addition of hyaluronic acid subphases are used confirms that these components do not affect the DPPG monolayer properties. They only affect the value at which the LC-S phase transition occurs.

The presence of TiO\(_2\) causes a complete disintegration of the DPPG monolayer, which manifests itself as the disappearance of the LC-S phase transition and difficulty in the formation of a compact film. The compression modulus value has been significantly reduced, which means that in the presence of TiO\(_2\) the DPPG monolayers exist in the less packed and ordered state. This drastic reduction in packing suggests great weakness of the attraction forces between DPPG molecules, causing the monolayer to be highly elastic independently of the surface pressure values.

Drastic changes in the course of the \( \pi-A \) isotherms occurred in the case of chitosan-containing subphases. The isotherms shift towards larger areas as compared to the reference which indicates the penetration of chitosan into the DPPG monolayer, thereby causing increasing repulsive Coulombic forces between negatively charged DPPG headgroups. This gives rise to looser molecular packing in DPPG monolayers, where the LE-LC phase transition is observed [22]. After compression of the monolayers, the \( \pi-A \) isotherms
registered for chitosan-containing subphases were collapsed at much higher values of surface pressure than that of the monolayer on water. This can suggest its presence in a closely packed monolayer.

As mentioned above, the introduction of chitosan into the subphase causes the appearance of the LE-LC phase transition region, which does not occur for the DPPG monolayer on water. This denotes that the Ch presence slows the creation of condensed domains in the DPPG monolayers. As an effect, the LE-LC phase transition is revealed. Similar results were obtained by Piosik et al. [27], who examined the effect of nanoparticles with a Fe$_3$O$_4$ core and a chitosan crown on the behavior and structure of the DPPG monolayer. The presence of the Fe$_3$O$_4$-Ch nanoparticles in the water also causes the appearance of the liquid expanded phase between the gaseous state and liquid condensed phase. Additionally, the shift of the π-A isotherms of DPPG in the presence Fe$_3$O$_4$-Ch and thus the expansion increases with the rising concentration of the Fe$_3$O$_4$-Ch nanoparticles, indicating the adsorption of the investigated nanoparticles into the phospholipid monolayers, which were probably located preferably into loosen monolayer areas in the liquid expanded phase.

Referring again to our chitosan-containing subphases, the graph shows a plateau which is indicative of LE-LC phase transition (Figure 1A). The precise value of the LE-LC phase transition pressure can be read based on the dependency of the compression modulus as a function of the surface pressure. The graph (Figure 1B) shows a minimum in the course of the function, dividing the graph into two maxima that correspond to the expanded liquid (LE) and the condensed liquid (LC). The presence of chitosan contributed to the fluidity of the DPPG monolayers, keeping them in the state of LC at high values of surface pressure. On the other hand, DPPG monolayer on the chitosan-containing subphases showed slightly more stability than the reference one. They were collapsed at much higher surface pressure values. The same observations were obtained by [26], where the presence of Fe$_3$O$_4$-Ch also caused a decrease of the maximal C$_{S-1}$ value associated with the disappearance of the solid state at the DPPG final compression stage. In addition, it is noticeable to reduce the monolayer packing after an additional introduction of TiO$_2$ into the Ch-containing subphases (lower values of the compression modulus were received). Ultimately, the shape/course both the π-A isotherms and the C$_{S-1} = f(\pi)$ relationships was very similar to those obtained for DPPC monolayers [28]. This means that as a result of the interactions of Ch with DPPG molecules, similar conditions occurred during compression. DPPC has the same hydrophobic part as DPPG but differs in the hydrophilic part. DPPC is zwitterionic, i.e., it has two differentially charged groups, PO$_4^{-}$ and -N(CH$_3$)$_3$$. On the one hand, this may suggest that (I) Ch penetrates between DPPG molecules, interacting with the phosphate groups, thus reducing the charge of the hydrophilic heads, (II) Ch causes the vertical orientation of the DPPG molecules at the interface, (III) Ch remains in the monolayer even during its high compression (A$_{lim}$ value was significantly higher than the reference), (IV) Ch determines some kind of stabilization of the lipid film (π$_{coll}$ was much higher than the reference), but at the same time (V) Ch increases the fluidization of the film (keeping it in the LC state). This observation may be a result of separation of the phosphate-groups by the positively charged Ch in the DPPG headgroup region which prevents a formation of rigid and tightly packed monolayer. As a consequence, the LE-LC phase transition is revealed.

In order to more exactly characterize the interactions between the subphase components (TiO$_2$, HA, and Ch) and DPPG molecules, the stability of DPPG monolayers (expressed as $\pi/\pi_0 = f(t)$) previously compressed to $\pi = 35$ mN/m, was tested.

3.1.2. Stability of the DPPG Monolayer

The lateral pressure on the monolayer during its compression forces the appropriate behaviour and orientation of DPPG molecules. When compression is stopped (and thus surface pressure does not increase), phospholipid molecules reorganize and any changes in surface pressure during relaxation indicate their different responses to the mechanical compression. Among other things, the changes taking place may also suggest the loss
of lipid material from the interface. This in turn could be confirmed by the compression-decompression measurements. The observation of the stability of the monolayers over time, combined with the analysis of hysteresis loops, provides detailed information on the interactions between the components of the subphase and the model membrane.

Figure 2A shows changes of a relative surface pressure over one hour relaxation of DPPG monolayers, previously compressed to 35 mN/m on different subphases. As can be seen, a rapid decrease of $\pi/\pi_0$ ratio took place within 15 min for all cases. After that time, the DPPG monolayer showed comparatively constant values of relative surface pressure. The trend of $\pi/\pi_0$ ratio variations with time for DPPG monolayer on different subphases was close to the reference one. Only more intense changes occurred for DPPG film on the AA/TiO$_2$ and AA/HA/TiO$_2$ subphases, where a greater pressure drop was noted at first 10 min. However, the quite stable level of $\pi/\pi_0$ ratio achieved in a comparable time as for DPPG layers on the remaining subphases. For these two cases, specific/characteristic hysteresis loops were also noted during the compression-decompression measurements with downtime 1000 s (Figure 2B). Although a slight downtime plateau was observed, there was a drastic drop in surface pressure as soon as the decompression cycle was initiated (the descending curve is almost perpendicular to the x-axis). This may suggest the intercalation of TiO$_2$ particles between DPPG molecules that are able to form strong bonds with PO$_4$ not governed by electrostatic forces alone, but also of specific adsorption [29–32]. TiO$_2$ interacts with adjacent DPPG molecules, during the entire compression cycle, on the one hand, to some extent stabilizing the monolayer. On the other hand, they make it difficult for DPPG monolayers to take the place of individual phase transitions and create a compact structure. TiO$_2$ increases the monolayer fluidity, as observed in Figure 1B.

![Figure 2. (A) $\pi/\pi_0 = f(t)$ (more details Figure S1) and (B) hysteresis loops obtained by compression and decompression of the DPPG monolayers on the individual subphases.](image)

Lower stability of the DPPG monolayers (expressed as $\pi/\pi_0 = f(t)$, Figure 2A) in the presence of AA/TiO$_2$ and AA/HA/TiO$_2$ in relation to the others, resulting from the fact that DPPG molecules adsorbed on TiO$_2$ surfaces, even during high compression, provide greater possibilities of the spatial arrangement of hydrophobic chains (because they were separated by TiO$_2$ particles). In turn, a dramatic decrease of pressure reflected in decompression curves (Figure 2B) suggests that these interactions are so strong that DPPG molecules do not loosen during the expansion of monolayers, but the rupture of the lipid film probably takes place.

The use as a subphase of AA and AA/HA for DPPG monolayers did not reveal any specific interactions. The relative surface pressure of the DPPG films on the AA and...
AA/HA during 1 h did not differ from the typical changes recorded for the reference (DPPG on the water), and their thicknesses over the entire compression cycles were very similar. The compression-decompression curves were also identical, with almost no hysteresis loops. This suggests that AA and HA did not disturb the structure, packing, or stability of the DPPG monolayers.

In contrast, a special type of interaction occurred between DPPG molecules and chitosan. All DPPG films recorded on subphases containing this biopolymer (AA/Ch, AA/Ch/TiO$_2$, AA/Ch/HA, AA/Ch/HA/TiO$_2$), despite demonstrating deviations from the typical course of the $\pi$-A curve (Figure 1A), showed stability at an almost identical level (Figures 2A and S1). Moreover, the same hysteresis loops during compression-decompression measurements were recorded for them. This indicates that the contact of DPPG with Ch creates strong interactions which at the same time enable the production of a slightly looser packing (Figure 1B) but stable monolayer. These interactions take place during the initial contact and are stable under all conditions (compression, decompression, for 1 h in a closely packed monolayer). More precisely, these interactions are so strong and dominant that it is impossible to see the influence of other substances present in the subphase (HA, TiO$_2$), regardless of their combination.

3.1.3. Thickness and Structure of the DPPG Monolayers

An important aspect of lipid film stability in highly compressed states is the packing of lipid molecules and the presence of ordered and disordered regions. Real-time images from the Brewster angle microscope can provide information in this respect. In addition, based on measurements of the reflectivity, the relative thickness of DPPG monolayers (Table 2) deposited at the subphase/air interface was investigated.

| Surface Pressure [mN/m] | Thickness of DPPG Monolayer Obtained on Different Subphases [nm] |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
|                         | H$_2$O          | AA          | AA/Ch       | AA/HA       | AA/Ch/HA       |
| 0.5                     | 0.3             | 2.1         | 0.9         | -           | 1.1            |
| 5                       | 2.5             | 2.7         | 1.5         | 2.6         | 1.6            |
| 10                      | 2.6             | 2.7         | 1.4         | 2.7         | 1.9            |
| 15                      | 2.7             | 2.8         | 2.7         | 2.8         | 0.9            |
| 20                      | 2.7             | 2.8         | 3.5         | 2.8         | 1.8            |
| 25                      | 2.8             | 2.8         | 3.7         | 2.8         | 3.6            |
| 30                      | 2.8             | 2.8         | 3.8         | 2.8         | 3.9            |
| 35                      | 2.8             | 2.8         | 3.8         | 2.9         | 4.0            |
| 40                      | 2.8             | 2.9         | 3.9         | 2.8         | 4.0            |
| 45                      | 2.8             | 2.9         | 3.9         | 2.9         | 4.1            |
| 50                      | 2.8             | 2.9         | 3.9         | 2.9         | 4.1            |
| 55                      | 2.8             | 2.9         | 3.9         | 2.9         | 4.1            |
| 60                      |                |             |             | 3.8         | 4.0            |
| 65                      |                |             |             | 3.5         | 3.6            |

The $\pi$-A isotherm (Figure 1A) and BAM images recorded for the DPPG monolayer compressed on the pure water subphase (Figure 3) are consistent with those presented in the literature [26,27,33–35]. Although the presence of AA and HA in the subphase did not cause significant differences in the $\pi = f(A)$ and $C_S^{-1} = f(\pi)$ plots (Figure 1) for DPPG monolayers, observation with BAM (Figure 3) showed that these substances influenced the structure of the film during compression. They contributed to the creation of a more compact and homogeneous structure but did not change its thickness relative to the reference (DPPG on the water). That means the HA presence did not disturb the DPPG monolayer, but only caused an “improvement” of the compression process due to accelerating the appropriated phase transitions (from gas through expanded and condensed liquid to solid state). This may be an effect of repulsion interaction between negatively charged PO$_4^{2-}$ headgroups of DPPG and carboxylic groups of HA.
| Subphase        | Surface Pressure [mN/m] |
|-----------------|-------------------------|
|                 | ~0.5 | ~1 | 30 | 35 |
| H2O             | ![Image](image1.png)    |
| AA              | ![Image](image2.png)    |
| AA/Ch           | ![Image](image3.png)    |
| AA/HA           | ![Image](image4.png)    |
| AA/Ch/HA        | ![Image](image5.png)    |

**Figure 3.** Structure of the DPPG monolayers during their compression (at surface pressures of 0.5, 1, 30 and 35 mN/m) on the different subphases (AA—acetic acid, AA/Ch—chitosan on acetic acid, AA/HA—hyaluronic acid in acetic acid, AA/Ch/HA—chitosan in acetic acid with the addition of hyaluronic acid).

On the other hand, the DPPG film on the AA/Ch subphase below $\pi = 15$ mN/m showed a thickness of about 1 nm smaller and above this pressure—about 1 nm greater compared to the film on H2O (Table 2). Moreover, as mentioned before, Ch caused an increase of DPPG monolayer fluidity. Therefore, in the BAM images, the characteristic condensed domains of DPPG that occurred for the water subphase were not observed. In addition, during compression, the grain-shaped areas were visible, whose amount accreted with increasing the surface pressure. These small domains comprise the condensed phase of DPPG monolayer. These LC phase islands appear porous, as the dark gaseous phase coexists with the bright domain structures. This suggests that Ch is probably located preferably in loose monolayer areas in the liquid expanded phase, creating Ch-rich and lipid-poor regions. Chitosan can not only interact electrostatically with charged groups, but can also produce hydrophobic interactions with the lipid tails [36]. Consequently, Ch may insert between DPPG molecules, causing the larger expansion of the examined monolayers, which was also reflected as the decrease in the monolayer packing (Figure 1B). In effect, these physical interactions between Ch molecules and phospholipids possibly account for
the enhanced permeability of biological membranes induced by Ch molecules. Similar changes for the DPPG monolayer were also observed by [33] using DMSO solution as the subphase. This effect was assigned the condensing and caging effect of DMSO on the DPPG monolayers, although it is a negatively charged lipid. The observed condensing effect of DMSO molecules on phospholipids physically changes the morphology of the film, creating DMSO-rich and lipid-poor regions. Moreover, the adsorption kinetics recorded during penetration experiments carried out by [27] revealed the strongest adsortion of the Fe3O4-Ch nanoparticles in the DPPG monolayer.

Such a structure of DPPG film was also observed on the AA/Ch/HA subphase. Therefore, in the most compressed state, the expansion of the DPPG films occurs and the Ch molecules remain adsorbed into them. Here, however, the appearance of a lipid-poor region at 15 mN/m was additionally observed as a drastic decrease in monolayer thickness, which confirms the above suggestions.

3.2. The Influence of Ch, HA and TiO2 on the S. aureus Lipids Monolayer

3.2.1. Behavior and Packing of S. aureus Lipid Monolayers during Compression

The π-A isotherm for the film composed of lipids isolated from S. aureus species registered on the H2O subphase was called the reference (Figure 4). The π-A isotherm plotted has a mild course without any visible inflections indicative of phase transitions. The surface pressure began to increase at an area of A0 = 93.9 Å2. The monolayer collapse occurred at π = 47.3 mN/m (Figure 4A). Used as subphases the AA and AA/HA solutions caused a slight shift of the S. aureus lipid isotherms to smaller areas, A0 = 89.6 Å2 and A0 = 88.1 Å2, respectively. The monolayers collapsed at almost the same surface pressure πcoll = 46.7 mN/m and 46.8 mN/m, respectively. The shapes of the π-A plots were maintained, although a little inflection at π~27.5 mN/m took place. This was reflected in the graph of compression modulus (C−1) versus surface pressure. Clearly, for the S. aureus lipid film on the H2O subphase, the C−1 values rose from the compression beginning, reaching a maximum at 30 mN/m (C−1 = 95.2 mN/m). In turn, in the case of AA and AA/HA subphases (Figure 4B), two maxima were visible at π = 25 mN/m (C−1 ca. 85 mN/m) and 40 mN/m (C−1 ca. 75 mN/m), and minimum at 30 mN/m (C−1 ca. 70 mN/m).

Figure 4. (A) π-A isotherms obtained by means of the Langmuir technique and determined based on them (B) C−1 = f(π) relationships for S. aureus lipid monolayers on the individual subphases (more details Figure S2).

A different course of the π-A plot occurred for the S. aureus lipid film on the AA/TiO2 subphase. Despite a similar progression at the beginning of compression, i.e., the G-LE
transition took place at \( A = 94.7 \, \text{Å}^2 \) (near the reference), followed by an increase in \( \pi \) with tendency similar to that on the H2O subphase. However, two inflections were observed, the first one at \( \pi \approx 40 \, \text{mN/m} \) and the second one at \( \pi = 62.5 \, \text{mN/m} \). The same shape of a graph was received for \( S. aureus \) lipid film on the AA/HA/TiO2. Only slight shift of the plot to smaller molecular areas took place, \( A_0 = 88.7 \, \text{Å}^2 \). In Figure 4B, two maxima of \( C_{S^{-1}} \) values were observed, at \( \pi = 32.5 \, \text{mN/m} \) and \( 55 \, \text{mN/m} \). The inflections at \( \pi \approx 40 \, \text{mN/m} \) were visible as a minimum of \( C_{S^{-1}} = f(\pi) \) in Figure 4B. That drastic decrease in packing degree may suggest the expulsion of at least one of the ingredients. For the sake of clarity, the \( S. aureus \) cells consist of cardiolipin (CL) in 42\%, and phosphatidylglycerol (PG) in 58\% [37,38]. Based on the literature data concerning the behavior of the pure CL [23,39] and PG monolayers (previous section) on different kinds of subphases, it can be concluded that the CL monolayer collapsed earlier than that of PG. The two inflections were also observed for the \( S. aureus \) lipid films on the AA/Ch/TiO2 and AA/Ch/HA/TiO2 subphases. Thus, in Figure 4B, for these cases, a minimum of the \( C_{S^{-1}} = f(\pi) \) function was also visible (at \( \pi = 40 \, \text{mN/m} \)), dividing the graph into two maxima, at \( \pi \approx 32.5 \, \text{mN/m} \) and \( \pi \approx 44 \, \text{mN/m} \). A difference was the surface pressure value of collapse, \( \pi \approx 46 \, \text{mN/m} \), smaller even than a reference one. In addition, these isotherms (Figure 4A) were shifted to larger molecular areas, \( A_0 = 113.4 \, \text{Å}^2 \), and \( A_0 = 128.5 \, \text{Å}^2 \), respectively.

For the \( S. aureus \) lipid monolayer on the AA/Ch subphase, the shape of the \( \pi-A \) plot was maintained (relative to a reference), only shifted to slightly higher areas, \( A_0 = 96.2 \, \text{Å}^2 \), and collapsed at some lower pressure value, \( \pi_{\text{coll}} = 46.2 \, \text{mN/m} \) (Figures 4A and S2). This was also reflected in the \( C_{S^{-1}} = f(\pi) \) relationship. For lipid film on that subphase, only one maximum of the compression modulus values was noted (at \( \pi \approx 37.5 \, \text{mN/m} \)). However, in the case of the AA/Ch/HA subphase, despite the fact that the take-off area of the \( \pi-A \) isotherm for \( S. aureus \) lipid film was similar (\( A_0 = 95.5 \, \text{Å}^2 \)) to that on AA/Ch, and the collapse pressure was the same, a change in the course of plot was observed. More precisely, the small inflection at \( \pi \approx 22.5 \, \text{mN/m} \) appeared (Figures 4A and S2). That was visible in Figure 4B as a minimum of the graph between two maxima, at \( \pi \approx 20 \, \text{mN/m} \) and \( \pi \approx 38 \, \text{mN/m} \).

Generally, despite all changes in the course of the plot that occurred in Figure 4B in relation to the reference, the \( S. aureus \) lipid films on all subphases possessed compression modulus values in the range characteristic for the liquid phase.

Interestingly, for the \( S. aureus \) lipid films on the H2O, AA/TiO2, and AA/HA/TiO2 subphases, similar values of \( A_{\text{lim}} \) parameter were noted, 64.4 \( \text{Å}^2 \), 65.0 \( \text{Å}^2 \), and 65.5 \( \text{Å}^2 \), respectively. This suggests that these components of the subphase (mainly TiO2) did not penetrate into the \( S. aureus \) monolayer, but lipids molecules could adsorb on the TiO2 particles causing an expulsion of the CL or PG. Slightly larger \( A_{\text{lim}} \) values were received for the \( S. aureus \) lipid film on the AA (68.5 \( \text{Å}^2 \)) and AA/HA (66.7 \( \text{Å}^2 \)) subphases. This indicates that the acidic environment leads to the weakness of the attraction forces between lipid molecules in the Langmuir layer.

A substantial increase in the \( A_{\text{lim}} \) values occurred for the \( S. aureus \) lipid film on the Ch-containing subphases, namely AA/Ch (71.2 \( \text{Å}^2 \)), AA/Ch/HA (77.9 \( \text{Å}^2 \)), AA/Ch/TiO2 (85.6 \( \text{Å}^2 \)), and AA/Ch/HA/TiO2 (91.8 \( \text{Å}^2 \)). This implies that Ch can penetrate the monolayer made of \( S. aureus \) lipids, weakening the attraction forces between them. Moreover, a Ch-induced effect has been reinforced by further components.

### 3.2.2. Stability of the \( S. aureus \) Lipid Monolayers

To analyze the changes in the structure of \( S. aureus \) lipid film taking place at the pressure corresponding to the pressure of biological membranes in more detail, the stability of the \( S. aureus \) lipid film at \( \pi = 30 \, \text{mN/m} \) over one hour and compression-decompression measurements were done (Figure 5).

The stability of \( S. aureus \) lipid monolayer compressed to 30 mN/m was reflected as \( \pi/\pi_0 \) ratio versus time (Figure 5A). Reference monolayer had very stable relative pressure over one hour. A minimal decrease of \( \pi/\pi_0 \) value was observed. Interestingly, almost
the same level was reached by *S. aureus* lipid film on the AA, AA/Ch, and AA/Ch/HA subphases. In turn, for membrane on AA/HA subphases from the beginning the decrease of surface pressure was occurring, more intense after 29 min. This suggests that the interactions between Ch and lipid molecules during compression were so strong that they made it impossible of relaxation lipids molecules at the interface. On the other hand, HA did not influence a membrane during compression, but only had the ability to interact on their packed form. A slightly greater decrease of $\pi/\pi_0$ at the beginning, appeared for *S. aureus* lipid film on the AA/TiO$_2$ and AA/Ch/TiO$_2$ subphases, in relation to the reference, but a relatively stable level was reached after just 10 min. A different situation occurred in the case of AA/HA/TiO$_2$ and AA/Ch/HA/TiO$_2$ subphases. The lipid films on both subphases were not stable in time. Only for *S. aureus* lipid film on AA/Ch/HA/TiO$_2$ subphase was a constant $\pi/\pi_0$ value reached after 45 min.

The obtained results are discussed more accurately in the next section.

![Figure 5](image-url) **Figure 5.** (A) $\pi/\pi_0 = f(t)$ and (B) hysteresis loops (more details Figures S3 and S4) obtained by compression and decompression of the *S. aureus* lipid monolayers on the individual subphases.

The magnitude of the hysteresis loops obtained during compression-decompression measurements provides information about the existence of interactions between lipids molecules (in a closely packed layer) and subphase components, as a result of which the loss of material from interface could have occurred. Both compression and decompression curves for *S. aureus* lipid film had similar courses on each of the subphases (except AA) (Figure 5). In all cases, the descending curves were shifted to smaller molecular areas, besides reference. In effect, hysteresis loops of considerable sizes were obtained (Figures S3 and S4).

Interestingly, the decompression curve of the *S. aureus* lipid film on H$_2$O was shifted to the larger areas per molecule. At the beginning of the decompression process, it was about 2 Å$^2$, but total relaxation was achieved at area larger by about 12.3 Å$^2$. This suggests that during compression the highly packed aggregates, separated by empty areas, could be created, which at constant surface pressure value (at downtime) relax. In turn, using the AA solution as a subphase caused a shift descending curve (at the start) about 4 Å$^2$ to the left side of the graph, but total decompression occurred at $\pi$ ca. 2.5 mN/m (did not achieve zero surface pressure) at area ca. 18.4 Å$^2$ smaller. A slightly bigger plateau at downtime in relation to the reference on the AA/Ch, AA/TiO$_2$, and AA/Ch/HA was noted (2.6 Å$^2$, 2.8 Å$^2$, and 2.9 Å$^2$, respectively). Ultimately, in these cases, the decompression monolayer occurred at 18.3 Å$^2$, 19.7 Å$^2$, and 18.3 Å$^2$ in the smaller areas, in reference to the compression curves. The greater hysteresis loops were obtained for *S. aureus* lipid films on the AA/Ch/TiO$_2$, AA/HA/TiO$_2$, and AA/Ch/HA/TiO$_2$, and the differences in
the G-L/G phase transition area between ascending and descending curves were 28.0 Å², 26.8 Å², and 27.6 Å², respectively (Figure S4). Meanwhile, the length of the plateau at downtime was in sequence 6.7 Å², 6.3 Å², and 4.6 Å². In turn, a decompression curve of S. aureus lipid monolayer on an AA/HA subphase was shifted to the left side of the graph about 5.4 Å² at 30 mN/m and 19.8 Å² at π=0 mN/m (Figure S3). Taking into consideration effects involving by AA/Ch, AA/HA, and AA/TiO₂ subphases on model S. aureus lipid monolayer, it could be concluded that Ch and TiO₂ affect the monolayer structure during compression, downtime, and decompression in a constant manner. In turn, HA has a greater impact on a packed monolayer (during downtime). This is related to the charge of the individual components of the subphases. HA with a negative charge causes repulsion of the lipid molecules (also negatively charged), which is more intense at downtime. In effect, the attraction forces between lipids become stronger, creating more condense and packed regions occupying smaller areas. More considerations have been made as regards the structure and thickness of S. aureus lipid film (in the next section).

3.2.3. Thickness and Structure of the S. aureus Lipid Monolayers

Taking into account that the Langmuir film is not a pure component but rather a mixture of lipids (in this case CL and PG), in order to draw more precise conclusions, it is necessary to analyze the membrane behavior under other conditions in more detail.

Therefore, the structure of S. aureus lipid films was analyzed during compression using the Brewster angle microscope, obtaining information in real-time on the shape of domains formed (Figure 6) and the thickness of the membranes (Table 3). The morphology of the monolayers obtained on individual subphases is presented in Figure 6.

| Surface Pressure [mN/m] | Thickness of S. aureus Lipid Monolayer Obtained on Different Subphases [nm] |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                        | H₂O   | AA    | AA/Ch | AA/HA | AA/Ch/HA |
| 0.5                    | 1.4   | 1.7   | 1.7   | 1.5   | 1.6       |
| 5                      | 1.8   | 1.9   | 2.0   | 1.9   | 1.8       |
| 10                     | 2.0   | 2.2   | 2.0   | 2.1   | 2.0       |
| 15                     | 2.1   | 2.3   | 2.5   | 2.3   | 2.2       |
| 20                     | 2.2   | 2.4   | 2.6   | 2.4   | 2.3       |
| 25                     | 2.3   | 2.6   | 3.1   | 2.5   | 2.5       |
| 30                     | 2.4   | 2.7   | 2.8   | 2.6   | 2.5       |
| 35                     | 2.5   | 2.8   | 3.0   | 2.8   | 2.7       |
| 40                     | 2.7   | 2.8   | 3.0   | 2.8   | 2.8       |
| 45                     | 2.7   | 2.7   | 3.1   | 2.7   | 2.8       |

The S. aureus lipid film on the H₂O subphase formed condensed, bright domains even at low values of the surface pressure π > 5 mN/m. The structure of the film resembled a grid with round, symmetrical holes of various diameters. As the compression progressed, these holes decreased to form a more compact and uniform layer. However, up to π < 5 mN/m, slight defects in the form of black-colored holes were observed, indicating the existence of small regions of non-condensed state. The number of these defects decreased with the increase of π value. On the other hand, at π > 20 mN/m, domains in the form of bright points began to appear, and their amount increased with the progress of compression. The highest quantity was observed at π = 35–40 mN/m, which could indicate partial miscibility of the lipid components in the monolayer and possible ejection of those having a lower collapse surface pressure value [40]. Both π = f(A) and Cₛ⁻¹ = f(π) relationships plotted for this lipid film suggest that its collapse took place above surface pressure value, π > 45 mN/m. Moreover, the thickness (Table 3) of the obtained film increases, until π = 40–45 mN/m. Overall, S. aureus lipid film thickness during compression slowly increases with surface pressure π, from 1.4 nm for π = 0.5 mN/m to 2.7 nm for π = 40–45 mN/m.
the attraction forces between lipids become stronger, creating more condensed and packed regions occupying smaller areas. More considerations have been made as regards the structure and thickness of *S. aureus* lipid film (in the next section).

### 3.2.3. Thickness and Structure of the *S. aureus* Lipid Monolayers

Taking into account that the Langmuir film is not a pure component but rather a mixture of lipids (in this case CL and PG), in order to draw more precise conclusions, it is necessary to analyze the membrane behavior under other conditions in more detail. Therefore, the structure of *S. aureus* lipid films was analyzed during compression using the Brewster angle microscope, obtaining information in real-time on the shape of domains formed (Figure 6) and the thickness of the membranes (Table 3). The morphology of the monolayers obtained on individual subphases is presented in Figure 6.

| Subphase   | Surface Pressure [mN/m] |
|------------|--------------------------|
|            | -0.5                     | -1                      |
| H2O        | ![Image](image1.png)     | ![Image](image2.png)    |
| AA         | ![Image](image3.png)     | ![Image](image4.png)    |
| AA/Ch      | ![Image](image5.png)     | ![Image](image6.png)    |
| AA/HA      | ![Image](image7.png)     | ![Image](image8.png)    |
| AA/Ch/HA   | ![Image](image9.png)     | ![Image](image10.png)   |

**Figure 6.** Structure of the *S. aureus* lipid monolayer during their compression (at surface pressures of 0.5, 1, 20, 30 and 35 mN/m) on the different subphases (AA—acetic acid, AA/Ch—chitosan on acetic acid, AA/HA—hyaluronic acid in acetic acid, AA/Ch/HA—chitosan in acetic acid with the addition of hyaluronic acid).

The *S. aureus* lipid film compressed on the acetic acid subphase showed an upper level of condensation at the same pressure values compared to the *S. aureus* lipid film on the H2O, while the entire structure was retained. This is also confirmed by the values of the thickness of *S. aureus* lipid layer (Table 3) at the respective surface pressures, which were from 0.1 to 0.3 nm higher compared to the values obtained on H2O. At low surface pressure values, less condensed areas in the form of black craters were also observed. Almost no bright highly condensed fragments were present at the start of compression. On the other hand, at \( \pi > 25 \text{ mN/m} \), aggregates appeared in the form of white, bright dots, which remained to \( \pi = 35 \text{ mN/m} \), then their amount significantly decreased.

As expected, the use of a mixture of acetic and hyaluronic acid as a subphase caused a visible change in the structure of the *S. aureus* lipid film (Figure 6). The coexistence of a reticular structure with small, round holes and elliptical, bright (more condensed) areas was observed. A homogeneous structure at \( \pi < 1 \text{ mN/m} \) was formed, but with numerous black holes/craters. As the pressure increases, at the \( \pi \sim 4 \text{ mN/m} \) (data not shown), thread-like bright packed structures were also observed, and bright “glowing” dots/aggregates resembling the structure of the DPPG monolayer on H2O subphase (Figure 3). However, above surface pressure value \( \pi > 5 \text{ mN/m} \), a relatively homogeneous lipid layer of *S. aureus* was obtained, without any defects. On the other hand, above \( \pi > 25 \text{ mN/m} \), white points began to appear, as they appeared in *S. aureus* lipid films prepared on other subphases. In this case (on the AA/HA subphase), their greatest amount occurred at \( \pi = 40 \text{ mN/m} \). As a result of these changes, the thickness (Table 3) of the *S. aureus* lipid monolayer was also slightly higher than the reference one (differences at the level of 0.1–0.3 nm).
In the presence of chitosan in the subphase (AA/Ch) the complete disappearance of the reticular structure of *S. aureus* lipid film was obtained (Figure 6). At the beginning of compression, a condensed layer with numerous aggregates was observed. The monolayer did not create a fully dense structure throughout the compression cycle. Two types of structure were visible at all times, the first in the form of black holes/craters, evidencing the co-existence of domains in more and less condensed phases, and the second in the form of white spots (revealed at the pressure of $10 \text{ mN/m} < \pi < 20 \text{ mN/m}$), indicating the existence of highly condensed regions. In addition, specific elliptical “blinking” structures appeared, which had already been observed previously for monolayers of other phospholipids registered on chitosan-containing subphases [Ładniak et al., personal communication]. All defects occurred regardless of the prevailing $\pi$, and their amount dropped sharply above $\pi > 5 \text{ mN/m}$. On the other hand, at $\pi > 30 \text{ mN/m}$, bright points were revealed (also described for other subphases). The appearance of a compact (but with numerous domains) structure of the *S. aureus* lipid film was also reflected in its thickness (Table 3), which was greater than the reference one from 0.2 to 0.8 nm (taking into account the entire compression cycle).

A situation similar to that of the above-discussed monolayer on the AA/Ch subphase occurred for the lipid film on the subphase containing both biopolymers, i.e., AA/Ch/HA. In this case also the monolayer was not homogeneous, it had the same domains (Figure 6). The difference is that the structures with greater condensation were visible in significant amounts at value $\pi > 35 \text{ mN/m}$. However, the thickness of the *S. aureus* lipid layer on AA/Ch/HA subphase was not as significantly different as that on the AA/Ch subphase. It was only slightly less than the reference one by 0.1–0.2 nm (Table 3).

In summary, the presence of Ch and Ch/HA in the subphase had the greatest effect on the changes of the structure of *S. aureus* lipid layer during the compression process. Simultaneously, BAM images allowed observation of the growing lipid domains (Figure 6). Their presence was evidenced by bright points appearing on the dark subphase background, which combine with increasing pressure to form a uniform film. On the other hand, bright white aggregates appearing at $\pi \approx 30 \text{ mN/m}$ may also denote the existence of partial miscibility of lipid components in the monolayer. As a consequence, strong hydrogen bonds can form between the same group of film components, more specifically between neighboring lipid molecules. The uprising of these permanent interactions means that during expansion it was not possible to return them to the state before compression. Consequently, the decompress curve was shifted towards much smaller molecular surfaces, creating large hysteresis loops and a significant plateau at maximum compression pressure (during downtime) (Figure 5B), which can suggest the loss of lipid material from the interface.

The situation of the monolayer in the region at surface pressure $\pi = 30 \text{ mN/m}$, and as a result of strong interactions between the components of the lipid film, causes “partial” stabilization of this state. Therefore, when recording the stability over time, no significant changes in the relative surface pressure were observed. The lack of visible relaxation of molecules in the lipid film after ending the compression process proves that the molecules have already adapted their spatial orientation to the prevailing surface conditions.

Only in the case of the *S. aureus* lipid film created on the AA/HA subphase was a sharp decrease of relative surface pressure after 0.5 h observed (Figure 5A). In this case, however, at $\pi = 30 \text{ mN/m}$, a smaller amount (than in the monolayer for AA/Ch subphase) of monolayer inhomogeneities was observed (Figure 6). This can mean that under this pressure, the monolayer is more homogeneous. In turn, that suggests that HA affects the *S. aureus* lipid monolayer, but not as significantly as Ch. This is probably due to differences in the charge of both polysaccharides, HA is a polyanion with a strong negative charge and Ch is a polycation which can strongly interact with CL (one of components of the *S. aureus* monolayer with negative charge). This conclusion may be supported by the fact that CL, because of the restricted movement resulting from its size, is not able to form intra- or intermolecular hydrogen bonds that can stabilize the negative charge of the head.
Therefore, the unshielded negative charge can easily interact with protons and cations in solution, e.g., chitosan molecules. The interaction reduces the effective size of the polar head, promoting the formation of nonlamellar structures [41]. In addition, CL induces the folding structure locally on the membrane, before reaching the collapsed state upon further compression [39]. That effect can be also strengthened by the Ch-presence. Consequently, we can observe the increase of the thickness and packing degree of the S. aureus lipid monolayer. The model for a folding structure assumes that the increased interfacial area forming a curvature helps the CL molecules to minimize the repulsive force caused by the unsaturated hydrocarbon chains and the negatively charged headgroups. However, the collapsed structure is an even more thermodynamically favorable process. In the collapsed structure, the internal repulsion between hydrocarbon chains is drastically reduced, and the negatively charged headgroups repulsion is completely gone [39].

Due to technical reasons, i.e., too much scattering of the laser light emitted by the BAM by the large TiO2 particles, it was impossible to record the structure and thickness of S. aureus lipid films on the subphases containing this component. However, taking into account the above dependencies, we can conclude that both the \( \pi/\pi_0 \) ratio over time for S. aureus lipid films on AA/TiO2 and AA/Ch/TiO2 subphases and the significant size of hysteresis loops (mainly on AA/Ch/TiO2) also may indicate the partial miscibility of lipid components in the membrane at \( \pi = 30 \text{ mN/m} \) or its collapse. As a result, both subphases have a destructive effect on the monolayer made of lipids isolated from S. aureus.

In turn, the \( \pi/\pi_0 = f(t) \) ratio determined for the S. aureus lipid films on the AA/HA/TiO2 and AA/Ch/HA/TiO2 subphases decreased with time. On the one hand, this would suggest no monolayer collapse at \( \pi = 30 \text{ mN/m} \), so the decrease in \( \pi/\pi_0 \) would result from the relaxation of the lipid mixture at the interface. On the other hand, taking into account the fact that after 2500 s the S. aureus lipid film on AA/Ch/HA/TiO2 reached a stable level of \( \pi/\pi_0 \) ratio, while on AA/HA/TiO2 it continued to decline after analogous time, we can conclude that their effect on the membrane was not the same and each of the cases discussed must be considered separately.

Based on the results obtained for AA/Ch and AA/Ch/TiO2 subphases, the following changes can be visible as an effect of TiO2 addition to Ch during S. aureus lipid film formation: (I) shift of the S. aureus lipid film \( \pi-A \) isotherm towards higher molecular areas, (II) decrease in the \( C_s^{-1} \) values, (III) lowering \( \pi/\pi_0 \), but maintaining stability for 1 h, (IV) increasing the hysteresis loop; (in relation to data received for S. aureus lipid film on the AA/Ch subphase). The similar modifications of the S. aureus lipid film were observed (except for point II) after the introduction of TiO2 particles into the mixed AA/Ch/HA subphase. It is also worth noting that the S. aureus lipid film on the AA/Ch/HA subphase showed higher inhomogeneity, suggesting partial miscibility of lipid components, than existed on the AA/HA subphase. Considering, in the same way, the influence of TiO2 addition to the AA/HA subphase on the behavior of the S. aureus lipid film, we do not observe the above-mentioned relationships (I-IV). Thus, we can conclude that the use of the AA/Ch/HA/TiO2 subphase could also have had a destructive effect on S. aureus lipid monolayer. Moreover, the decrease in \( \pi/\pi_0 \) could be dictated not only by lipid relaxation, but also by additional interference of such a complex subphase on the lipid components present in the membrane. On the other hand, the combination of TiO2 with HA could have contributed to the further reduction of the disturbance effect on the S. aureus lipid monolayer. As a result of the existence of competition for interactions between the negatively charged lipid components of the S. aureus lipid layer and HA molecules with TiO2 particles.

3.3. The Influence of the Ch, HA and TiO2 on the E. coli Lipids Monolayers
3.3.1. Behavior and Packing of E. coli Lipid Monolayers during Compression

The \( \pi-A \) isotherm for the film composed of lipids isolated from E. coli registered on the H2O subphase was called the reference. For this case, the G-LE phase transition occurred at \( A = 85.5 \text{ Å}^2 \). As the compression progressed, the surface pressure increased, finally, the
monolayer collapsed at surface pressure value $\pi = 47.4$ mN/m. The shape of the isotherm did not reveal any inflections. On the other hand, the dependence of the compression modulus ($C_{S^{-1}}$) as a function of surface pressure indicated that the monolayer was mainly present in the expanded liquid state. Only in the pressure range of 20–30 mN/m, the $C_{S^{-1}}$ had the values characteristic for condensed liquid.

The use of AA solution as a subphase resulted in a faster pressure increase in the range of $\pi = 0–25$ mN/m ($A_0 = 86.2$ Å$^2$). On the other hand, at higher pressure values, the isotherm course for E. coli lipids was similar to the reference. After all, layer collapse occurred at slightly lower value $\pi = 46.3$ mN/m. Moreover, the film in the entire pressure range did not exceed the $C_{S^{-1}}$ values characteristic for the state of expanded liquid. A similar relationship was observed for the E. coli lipid film on the subphase with hyaluronic acid (AA/HA).

There was also a shift in the G-LE transition towards larger areas ($A_0 = 87.8$ Å$^2$). However, above the pressure of 25 mN/m, the isotherm course was the same as that on the AA subphase (Figure S5). Moreover, the plot of the $C_{S^{-1}}$ $\pi$ relationship was similar. In both cases (AA and AA/HA subphases), the maximum $C_{S^{-1}}$ values for E. coli lipid film were observed at 15, 25, and 40 mN/m surface pressures.

The use of TiO$_2$ in the subphase (AA/TiO$_2$) resulted in visible changes in the course of the isotherm for the E. coli lipids layer. The G-LE transition took place at $A = 92.2$ Å$^2$. Thereafter, there was a much milder increase in pressure as the compression progressed. Additionally, an inflection was observed at a pressure of approximately 40 mN/m, which can indicate a collapse of one or more constituents of the monolayer, or their partial miscibility. In turn, the graph of the compression modulus as a function of surface pressure plotted two maxima of values at $\pi$ value 25 mN/m and 53 mN/m. On the other hand, the observed minimum at 40 mN/m indicates partial miscibility and/or expulsion of one kind of the components (phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), CL, or PG) from the monolayer, rather than phase transition, because the $C_{S^{-1}}$ value does not drop to zero [40]. When such a situation takes place, in the monolayer the coexisting areas with packed and not-condensed domains can be observed. Higher surface pressure values may come to reveal that situation, which is reflected in a decrease in the value of the compression modulus. After combining HA with TiO$_2$ in the subphase, the same course of the isotherm for the E. coli lipid film was recorded like that on the AA/TiO$_2$ subphase. The difference is that it started at 79.7 Å$^2$ and finally collapsed at $\pi$ value ca. 61 mN/m. This was also reflected in the $C_{S^{-1}} = f(\pi)$ plot.

Two peaks at $\pi = 27$ mN/m and 54 mN/m were also observed. However, in the $\pi$ range 0–15 mN/m, the $C_{S^{-1}}$ values were similar to those obtained for E. coli lipid layer on the AA/HA subphase. The similar course of isotherm was received also by [42] for the POPE/POPG (3:1 ratio) on the 15 mM NaCl solution subphase. Additionally, after adding the CL to this Langmuir film, disappearance of the plateau at $\sim 40$ mN/m was noted [43].

Considering the fact that in our case E. coli lipid film consists of PE, PG, and CL, it can be concluded that the presence of positive charged TiO$_2$ particles and further HA (containing Na$^+$ ions) provides an expulsion of CL from the monolayer, simultaneously stabilizing the created membrane.

However, the use of chitosan with acetic acid as a subphase did not affect the shape of the E. coli lipid film isotherm compared to the reference. There was only a shift in its course towards larger areas ($A_0 = 90.5$ Å$^2$). A plateau suggesting a collapse took place at surface pressure value $\pi = 46.2$ mN/m. A similar relationship occurred on the subphase containing both biopolymers (AA/Ch/HA). The E. coli lipid film during compression plotted the similar isotherm as on AA/Ch, with its position approaching the reference isotherm ($A_0 = 88.6$ Å$^2$). The values of the compression modulus for lipid films on these subphases (AA/Ch and AA/Ch/HA) were also practically comparable in the entire pressure range (Figure S5). The only difference was that in the AA/Ch subphase three maxima of $C_{S^{-1}}$ values were observed (at $\pi$ ca. 20, 30, and 37 mN/m), but on the AA/Ch/HA subphase only two maxima (at $\pi = 24$ and 37 mN/m).

After applying a mixed subphase of TiO$_2$ with chitosan, despite the fact that the isotherm for the lipid film showed a similar inflection in the region of $\pi = 40$ mN/m
way that did not limit their contact with the external environment. On the other hand, the
penetration of TiO$_2$ particles and/or HA molecules into the monolayer (A$_\text{lim}$ = 63.4 Å$^2$, respectively). In the remaining subphases, the lipid molecules in the films occupied a larger area in a tightly packed monolayer (for AA A$_\lim$ = 69.8 Å$^2$, for AA/Ch/HA A$_\lim$ = 71.3 Å$^2$, for AA/HA A$_\lim$ = 71.7 Å$^2$, for AA/Ch A$_\lim$ = 72.9 Å$^2$, for AA/Ch/HA/TiO$_2$ A$_\lim$ = 73.1 Å$^2$, for AA/Ch/TiO$_2$ A$_\lim$ = 76.9 Å$^2$). The obtained packing parameters can prove the weakening of the attractive interactions/forces between the membrane components caused by the presence of the subphase ingredients. Moreover, it can be seen that the presence of HA in the subphase, compared to the corresponding subphase without this biopolymer, shifts the isotherm for *E. coli* lipid film towards smaller areas per molecule. Such a relationship was also observed previously when examining the effect of HA, Ch and TiO$_2$ on the DPPC membrane (except AA/Ch/HA/TiO$_2$) [28]. On the other hand, during an investigation of the effect of the same substances on the DOPC monolayer behaviour, a completely opposite relationship was obtained [Ładniak et al., personal communication], i.e., an increase in the A$_\lim$ parameter on the subphases containing HA compared to those without it.

Moreover, the observed changes resulting from the mixing in the subphase, i.e., Ch with TiO$_2$ or HA with TiO$_2$, in relation to differences caused by individual components, confirm our previous reports [18,28,44–47]. Clearly, in the case of the AA/HA/TiO$_2$ subphase, TiO$_2$ dominates in the interactions with Langmuir film components. This is completely different behaviour for AA/Ch/TiO$_2$, where Ch limits/eliminates changes caused by TiO$_2$. This can suggest that TiO$_2$ particles are dispersed in the HA matrix in a way that did not limit their contact with the external environment. On the other hand, the Ch molecules adsorb on the surface of TiO$_2$ particles, preventing their interaction with the environment. As was mentioned earlier, the differences for these polysaccharides are due to the presence of opposite charges in their molecules.

Larger discrepancies in the position of isotherms for *S. aureus* lipid films (Figure 4) than *E. coli* (Figure 7) were an effect of the higher sensibility of PG affecting the ion presence in the subphases (caused an expansion of the isotherm) than PE. Both phospholipids (PG and PE) have the capability to form hydrogen bonds. However, in the case of PG molecules,
these interactions are weaker due to electrostatic repulsions existing between negatively charged phosphatidylglycerol moieties [42].

Figure 7. (A) $\pi$-A isotherms obtained by means of the Langmuir technique and determined based on them (B) $C_5^{-1} = f(\pi)$ relationship for *E. coli* lipid monolayers on the individual subphases (more details Figure S5).

3.3.2. Stability of the *E. coli* Lipid Monolayers

Figure 8A presents the one-hour relative stability of the *E. coli* lipid films compressed to $\pi = 30$ mN/m on the different subphases. The most interesting fact is that the *E. coli* lipid films on almost all subphases (except AA/TiO$_2$ and AA/HA/TiO$_2$) achieved constant values of surface pressure the faster, than reference one. Contrariwise, for *E. coli* lipid monolayers on AA/TiO$_2$ and AA/HA/TiO$_2$, a greater decrease in surface pressure values occurred, although after 20 min a milder drop was observed. On the other hand, in the case of H$_2$O, AA, and AA/HA subphases, a uniform decrease of $\pi$ value took place all the time. In turn, *E. coli* lipid film on the other subphases got a permanent value of fewer than 20 min.

The data from compression-decompression measurements are presented in Figure 8B. For all samples, the descending curves were shifted to the left side of the graph (except *E. coli* lipid films on the H$_2$O and AA subphases). The lipids film on H$_2$O and AA subphases did return to the starting state, i.e., stable surface pressure suggesting transition monolayer to the gas phase occurred at ca.3 and 5 mN/m, respectively. In addition, a downtime plateau was 1.1 Å$^2$ and 5.0 Å$^2$, accordingly. The *E. coli* lipid films on the AA/HA, AA/TiO$_2$, and AA/HA/TiO$_2$ subphases revert to the state before compression, but the descending curves were shifted at 30 mN/m about 0.7 Å$^2$, 3.4 Å$^2$, and 3.2 Å$^2$, at near-zero surface pressure about 6.0 Å$^2$, 13.2 Å$^2$, and 6.0 Å$^2$, respectively (Figures S6 and S7). The biggest hysteresis loop was observed for *E. coli* lipid film on the AA/TiO$_2$ subphase, where the start of decompression occurred at 3.4 Å$^2$ smaller area and finished at 13.2 Å$^2$ smaller area, in relation to the compression curve as an effect of TiO$_2$ particles.

None of the *E. coli* lipid films on the Ch-containing subphases achieved zero surface pressure after decompression, although the inflection informing about the transitions of the monolayers to the gas phase was visible, but at slightly higher $\pi$ values (below 1 mN/m). Additionally, the differences in the areas of the compression and decompression curves at $\pi = 30$ mN/m and 0 mN/m were as follows: AA/Ch: 2.1 Å$^2$ and 3.0 Å$^2$, AA/Ch/TiO$_2$: 3.4 Å$^2$ and 2.8 Å$^2$, AA/Ch/HA: 0.9 Å$^2$ and 8.2 Å$^2$, AA/Ch/HA/TiO$_2$: 2.1 Å$^2$ and 8.5 Å$^2$ (Figures S6 and S7).
Besides, analyzing the changes of structure and stability for both lipid films (E. coli and S. aureus) on different subphases in relation to the composition of lipid layers (E. coli 5% CL, 15% PG and 80% PE or S. aureus 42% CL and 58% PG [37,38]), it can be suggested that the monolayer plateau occurring at ~40 mN/m refers mainly to CL. It is a component of both lipid films, but in various proportions. However, it is clearly visible regarding compression-decompression measurements that the size of downtime plateau closely correlates with CL amount in the appropriate bacterial lipid film.

![Figure 8](image_url)

**Figure 8.** (A) $\pi/\pi_0 = f(t)$ and (B) hysteresis loops (more details Figures S6 and S7) obtained by compression and decompression of the E. coli lipid monolayers on the individual subphases.

### 3.3.3. Thickness and Structure of the E. coli Lipid Monolayers

Visualization of the E. coli lipid film (Figure 9) on the H$_2$O subphase showed that at low $\pi$ values < 1 mN/m, condensed domains were formed, and the entire structure was in the form of a network with holes of various diameters. Additionally, the high-packing areas were separated by empty bands/spaces. In the $\pi$ range of 1–10 mN/m, a compact, homogeneous structure with no visible defects was formed. Above $\pi = 10$ mN/m, aggregates appeared in the form of brighter points, and their amount increased with the progress of compression process. Their greatest quantity occurred at surface pressure values $\pi > 45$ mN/m. This is also reflected in the thickness of the film (Table 4), which at $\pi = 0.5$ mN/m was 1.5 nm and gradually increased to the value of 2.5 nm ($\pi \geq 40$ mN/m).

#### Table 4. Thickness of the E. coli lipid monolayers during compression.

| Surface Pressure [mN/m] | Thickness of E. coli Monolayer Obtained on Different Subphases [nm] |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| H$_2$O                   | AA               | AA/Ch          | AA/HA          | AA/Ch/HA        |
| 0.5                      | 1.5              | 1.4            | 1.4            | 0.7             | 1.6             |
| 5                        | 1.7              | 1.8            | 1.7            | 1.2             | 1.7             |
| 10                       | 1.9              | 1.9            | 1.9            | 1.4             | 1.7             |
| 15                       | 2.0              | 2.0            | 2.0            | 1.6             | 2.4             |
| 20                       | 2.1              | 2.1            | 2.2            | 1.7             | 2.5             |
| 25                       | 2.2              | 2.3            | 2.3            | 1.8             | 2.5             |
| 30                       | 2.3              | 2.3            | 2.3            | 1.8             | 2.7             |
| 35                       | 2.4              | 2.3            | 2.4            | 1.9             | 2.8             |
| 40                       | 2.5              | 2.4            | 2.5            | 2.1             | 2.9             |
| 45                       | 2.5              | 2.5            | 2.6            | 2.1             | 2.9             |
Figure 9. Structure of the *E. coli* lipid monolayer during their compression (at surface pressures of 0.5, 1, 30 and 35 mN/m) on the different subphases (AA—acetic acid, AA/Ch—chitosan on acetic acid, AA/HA—hyaluronic acid in acetic acid, AA/Ch/HA—chitosan in acetic acid with the addition of hyaluronic acid).

| Subphase      | Surface Pressure [mN/m] |
|---------------|-------------------------|
|               | ~0.5                    | ~1          | 30        | 35        |
| H2O           |                         |             |           |           |
| AA            |                         |             |           |           |
| AA/Ch         |                         |             |           |           |
| AA/HA         |                         |             |           |           |
| AA/Ch/HA      |                         |             |           |           |

The use of acetic acid as a subphase for the *E. coli* lipid film had no significant effect on its structure and thickness. Both features were comparable to that obtained with H2O subphase. Moreover, at a pressure near 1 mN/m, a compact, uniform layer was formed with visible domains above \( \pi > 10 \) mN/m. However, at value \( \pi > 40 \) mN/m a characteristic band of focused bright points was observed.

The presence of chitosan in the subphase (AA/Ch) slightly changed the structure of the obtained *E. coli* lipid film. It caused additional less condensed regions within the packed mesh structure. Moreover, it was observed that the regions with a tight-knit (reticulated) structure were more densely packed than those obtained on H2O and AA subphases. Ultimately, however, a compact and homogeneous layer was obtained with no visible damage/disturbance. Defects in the form of small dark craters/holes began to appear at \( \pi > 15 \) mN/m. At larger surface pressure value \( \pi > 25 \) mN/m, bright points were also observed (similar to that occurred in other cases). The greatest amount of them was found at \( \pi > 35 \) mN/m. However, the observed changes did not significantly alter the thickness of the *E. coli* lipid film compared to that obtained with H2O subphase.

Also, the mixing of acetic acid with hyaluronic acid in subphase (AA/HA) contributed to the acceleration of the formation of a compact structure of the *E. coli* lipid film, but with twice smaller thickness. At first, a meshwork structure with small holes was observed.
which quickly formed a uniform layer. However, at $\pi > 20$ mN/m, domains in the form of bright points gradually appeared, and their amounts increased significantly above $\pi > 40$ mN/m. The changes caused by the presence of HA in the subphase also influenced the formation of an E. coli lipid film of much lower thickness during the entire compression cycle, compared to all tested samples (0.4–0.5 nm in the range of $5 \leq \pi \leq 45$ mN/m, relative to the reference).

The mixing of biopolymers in the subphase (AA/Ch/HA) also influenced the structure of the E. coli lipid film. At low-pressure values, foam-like structures were visible, which confirm the coexistence of the gas and liquid phases. However, a uniform, dense layer was quickly formed, with small structures in both forms, e.g., black holes and bright spots (seen in other cases). Additionally, above surface pressure of 25 mN/m, clusters of bright points in the form of band-zones were observed (which also occurred in the E. coli lipid film on the AA subphase). Despite the occurrence of these above structures, the E. coli lipid film on AA/Ch/HA had the greatest thickness among all the tested films (above $\pi = 10$ mN/m it had a value greater by about 0.4 nm in relation to the E. coli lipid film on H$_2$O subphase).

Compared to BAM images obtained on the AA, AA/Ch, AA/HA, and AA/Ch/HA subphases for S. aureus lipid films (Figure 6), the faster appearance of domains was observed for E. coli films on the same subphases. As already mentioned, this was an effect of higher sensibility of PG affecting the ion presence in the subphases than PE [42]. On the other hand, Sennato et al. [48] suggest that the increase of DPPE concentration promotes the phase separation of the film into components with a different degree of stability, in addition the less stable one collapses very close to the CL collapse pressure. Thus, in this case, i.e., E. coli lipid monolayers, because they consist in 80% of PE, more discrepancies in the course of the $\pi$-A and $C_l^{-1} = f(\pi)$ relationships were observed.

Moreover, the use of the HA subphase (AA/HA) had a greater impact on the thickness of the resulting E. coli (Figure 9) than S. aureus lipid film (Figure 6). The structure of lipid monolayer of S. aureus (Figure 6) was most influenced by Ch. A consequence of adding the cationic antimicrobial agent (e.g., Ch) interacting with anionic lipids (PG, CL) could result in the emerging complex membrane domains becoming unstable and/or having altered properties. If these natural membrane domains are biologically relevant, modifying their properties may also lead to cell death [38]. In turn, the carboxylic (-COO$^-$), hydroxyl (-OH), and amine groups (-NH$_3^+$) of HA can strongly interact with positively charged ethanolamine and negatively charged phosphorus groups of PE.

3.4. Microbiological Tests

E. coli, a Gram-negative organism model, and S. aureus, a Gram-positive one, were used to study the antimicrobial activity of AA/Ch, AA/TiO$_2$, AA/HA, AA/Ch/TiO$_2$, AA/HA/TiO$_2$, AA/Ch/HA, and AA/Ch/HA/TiO$_2$ mixtures. All tested compounds showed antimicrobial activity against E. coli and S. aureus to a greater or lesser extent. However, there were considerable differences in the sensitivity to the compounds between these bacteria and methods used. The sensitivity of E. coli and S. aureus to the compounds is presented in Table 5 and Figure 10. The highest anti E. coli effect was caused by AA/Ch and AA/Ch/HA/TiO$_2$ dispersions. The AA/Ch dispersion was responsible for the 96% mortality rate in E. coli in the CFU counting test and 74% in Live/Dead staining. The AA/Ch/HA/TiO$_2$ dispersion decreased the viability of E. coli by 93% in the CFU counting test and 91% in Live/Dead staining. AA/HA/TiO$_2$, AA/Ch/HA, and AA/Ch/TiO$_2$ were also highly active against E. coli. Among the tested mixtures, AA/TiO$_2$ and AA/HA showed the lowest activity against E. coli in both tests used.
Table 5. Mortality (%) of S. aureus and E. coli in the presence of the compounds measured using a colony-counting assay (A) and live/dead staining with fluorescent intensity measurements (B).

| Sample          | E. coli      | S. aureus    |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
|                 | A    | B    | A    | B    |
| AA/Ch           | 96 ± 2 | 74 ± 6 | 96 ± 1 | 72 ± 7 |
| AA/TiO₂         | 78 ± 4 | 58 ± 7 | 70 ± 5 | 65 ± 3 |
| AA/HA           | 73 ± 5 | 49 ± 4 | 25 ± 4 | 35 ± 5 |
| AA/Ch/TiO₂      | 92 ± 2 | 73 ± 8 | 43 ± 1 | 41 ± 2 |
| AA/HA/TiO₂      | 94 ± 2 | 74 ± 6 | 0     | 52 ± 2 |
| AA/Ch/HA        | 92 ± 1 | 82 ± 5 | 62 ± 1 | 60 ± 4 |
| AA/Ch/HA/TiO₂   | 93 ± 2 | 91 ± 1 | 42 ± 4 | 41 ± 1 |

Figure 10. E. coli with Live/Dead staining and imaged with LSCM. The green signal is due to the dye SYTO9, indicating alive cells while the red signal is due to PI which marks the dead cells. (A) E. coli control, (B) E. coli treated with AA/TiO₂, (C) E. coli treated with AA/Ch, (D) E. coli treated with AA/Ch/HA/TiO₂. S. aureus with Live/Dead staining and imaged with LSCM. (E) S. aureus control, (F) S. aureus treated with AA/TiO₂, (G) S. aureus treated with AA/Ch, (H) S. aureus treated with AA/HA, (I) S. aureus treated with AA/Ch/TiO₂. Magnification 1000×.

Similar to E. coli, chitosan was also very active against S. aureus, as the killing effect was 96% in the CFU counting test and 72% in Live/Dead staining. AA/TiO₂ was the second most lethal suspension against S. aureus. Although chitosan and TiO₂ alone were the most...
active against *S. aureus*, 96% and 70% (72% and 65% in Live/Dead staining), respectively, no synergistic effect of their antibacterial activity in mixed sample AA/Ch/TiO$_2$ was observed.

On the other hand, AA/HA was characterized by the lowest killing activity against *S. aureus*, amounting to 25% in the CFU counting assay and 35% in Live/Dead staining. Despite its high activity against *E. coli*, AA/HA/TiO$_2$ showed no bactericidal activity against *S. aureus* (0%) in the CFU counting assay. However, Live/Dead staining showed the lethal effect of AA/HA/TiO$_2$ on *S. aureus* (52% mortality). Probably, these differences are due to the fact that AA/HA/TiO$_2$ causes *S. aureus* cells to enter a dormancy state termed viable but non-cultur able (VBNC).

Comparing the effect of AA/Ch, AA/HA, and AA/TiO$_2$ on the mortality of both bacteria, it is clearly visible (and simultaneously confirmed) that AA/Ch has the biggest antibacterial activity. Nonetheless, the synergism/strengthening of that property was observed for the mixture of AA/HA/TiO$_2$ and AA/Ch/HA/TiO$_2$ against *E. coli* in Live/Dead staining measurements. Taking into the consideration that Ch and HA possess a similar structure to the LPS in OM of Gram-negative bacteria (consisting of repeating oligosaccharide units of various sugar residues connecting by glycosidic linkages), it probably facilitates the interactions of biopolymers with the bacterial membranes. Then, the TiO$_2$ particles surrounded by polysaccharides being in contact with bacteria can be successively released. In turn, the combination of Ch with TiO$_2$, does not affect the *E. coli* mortality (for both AA/Ch and AA/Ch/TiO$_2$ mixtures the same level of antibacterial activity is noted).

The rate of bacterial mortality under the influence of the tested compounds correlates with the values of physicochemical parameters obtained for model lipid bacterial membranes using the Langmuir technique and Brewster angle microscopy. As we expect, given that Ch has the most antibacterial activity, the greatest impact on the change of $A_0$ and $A_{lim}$ parameters, hysteresis loops, thickness, and a conformation of molecules in monolayers (Figures 6 and 9) is observed for this biopolymer. In the case of Ch-containing subphases, the largest packing disturbance is manifested in the larger area occupied by molecule in the compact layer ($A_{lim}$ was in the range of 6.8–27.4 Å$^2$ for *S. aureus* lipid films, and 3.5–9.1 Å$^2$ for *E. coli* lipid films) in relation to the reference lipid monolayer on water. Additionally, a strong interaction between subphase components (mainly Ch) and lipid molecules in the monolayer during compression makes the relaxation of lipid molecules at the interface difficult (Figures 5A and 8A). Besides, Ch (alone or in combination with HA) has the most influence on the monolayer structures, leading to the appearance of a large amount of condensed domains (Figures 6 and 9), and causing the significant increase (average of about 0.4nm) of their thickness (Tables 3 and 4) in comparison to the reference. Despite the fact that microbiological tests did not reveal the synergism of antibacterial properties of Ch in combination with TiO$_2$ and/or HA against *S. aureus*, in the Langmuir measurements an enhancement of this effect was observed. For *S. aureus* monolayers on the AA/Ch/TiO$_2$, AA/Ch/HA, and AA/Ch/HA/TiO$_2$, bigger $A_{lim}$ values and hysteresis loops in relation to the bacterial layer on the AA/Ch subphase were noted. This may be because the sensitivity of the two methods is different. As far as the application aspect is concerned, it is important, because even subtle changes in the typical bacterial monolayer may, in the long run, suggest the intensification of the antibacterial properties of the tested phase.

However, considering the mortality rate of the bacteria in the microbiological tests, we have to take into account that the bacterial membranes consist of not only lipids, but also of other components, such as proteins and polysaccharides. This fact and knowledge about the structure of cell wall Gram(−) (containing IM and OM [1]) and Gram(+) (having a thick murein layer) bacteria allow to explain the different levels of bacterial mortality. Between Ch, HA, and TiO$_2$ compounds and bacterial components, other specific interactions could also occur. For example, researchers [49] suggest that HA, as a component of the mammalian extracellular matrix, is used by pathogens as a carbon source during tissue invasion and replication, which may preferentially attract bacteria to the nanogel surface. Therefore, it can be an effective coating for antibacterial substances, which, as a
result of “digesting” HA, can successively be released and interact with phospholipids of the bacterial membrane, increasing its permeability and causing subsequent disruption of the cell wall. Antibacterial ability was also observed by Makvandi et al. [50], who did not show the antibacterial properties of HA against Gram(+) S. aureus, Bacillus subtilis, and Gram(−) Pseudomonas aeruginosa, E. coli, but introduced Ag particles into the coatings. In turn, Abdelrahman et al. [51] observed the antibacterial effect only after the combination of HA with Ch. Similarly, Chen et al. [52] made a similar report for HA adduct obtained by N-halogenation reaction of HA.

4. Conclusions

The presence of Ch, TiO$_2$, and HA in the subphases influenced the DPPG membrane structure, mainly by the modifications of packing degree. The greatest changes of compression modulus and average surface area per molecule occurred in the presence of Ch and/or TiO$_2$ at pressures values close to those corresponding to the biological membranes. At this pressure, the DPPG monolayers formed a less condensed film on all tested subphases, compared to standard layer occurring on water. The Ch and TiO$_2$ caused significant deviations from the typical behaviour of DPPG membrane in all conducted experiments. The Ch penetration caused the change in orientation of the DPPG molecules and slowed the creation of condensed domains in the monolayers. The Ch was located preferably into loose monolayers creating the Ch-rich and lipid-poor regions, producing hydrophobic interactions with the lipid tails. As an effect, increasing repulsive Coulombic forces between negatively charged DPPG headgroups occurred. In contrast, TiO$_2$ particles could be located just below the surface of the lipid film and form strong bonds with PO$_4^{−}$ governed not only by electrostatic forces alone, but also of specific adsorption. This indirectly showed that one of the mechanisms of antibacterial action of mixtures containing chitosan, TiO$_2$, and/or hyaluronic acid is probably based on bacterial membrane disturbance.

The monolayer morphology of all bacterial membranes showed inhomogeneities strictly dependent on the specific subphases compositions and in the case of E. coli and S. aureus lipid films suggested the expulsion of one of the components (CL, PE, or PG) from the monolayer or partial miscibility.

All components of the subphases influenced the structure of E. coli and S. aureus lipids monolayer but through different mechanisms. Analyzing the hysteresis loops on model S. aureus monolayer, it could be concluded that Ch and TiO$_2$ affected the monolayer structure during compression. In turn, HA had a greater impact on a packed monolayer. This correlated to the different compositions of lipid membranes. The carboxylic, hydroxyl, and amine groups of HA could strongly interact with a positive charge of ethanolamine and an anionic charge of phosphorus groups of PE. Therefore, the use of the HA had a stronger influence on the thickness of the E. coli (80% PE) than on S. aureus lipid film (0% PE). The structure of S. aureus monolayer was most influenced by Ch molecules interacting with anionic lipids (PG, CL), which could result in the emerging complex membrane domains becoming unstable and/or having altered properties. In effect, these interactions between Ch molecules and phospholipids enhanced the permeability of biological membranes and may be one of the mechanisms leading to cell death of the bacteria as shown in microbiological tests.

The obtained materials with in-depth physicochemical characteristics brought us closer to functional systems useful in the production of biocompatible antibacterial films and/or skin substitutes.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded online, Figure S1: $\pi/\pi_0 = f(t)$ relationships for the DPPG monolayer on biopolymers-containing subphases (inset: for TiO$_2$-containing subphases); Figure S2: $\pi$-$A$ isotherms obtained by means of the Langmuir technique and determined based on them $C_0^{-1} = f(\pi)$ relationships (inset) for the S. aureus lipid film on biopolymers-containing subphases; Figure S3: Hysteresis loops obtained by compression and decompression of the S. aureus lipid film on biopolymers-containing subphases; Figure S4: Hysteresis loops obtained by compression and decompression of the S. aureus lipid film on TiO$_2$-containing...
subphases; Figure S5: π-A isotherms obtained by means of the Langmuir technique and determined based on them C_5 \cdot \text{mg} = f(\pi) relationships (inset) for the E. coli lipid film on biopolymers-containing subphases; Figure S6. Hysteresis loops obtained by compression and decompression of the E. coli lipid film on biopolymers-containing subphases; Figure S7. Hysteresis loops obtained by compression and decompression of the E. coli lipid film on TiO_2-containing subphases.
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