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The Allen-Cahn model is discussed mainly in the phase field simulation. The compact difference method will be used to numerically approximate the two-dimensional nonlinear Allen-Cahn equation with initial and boundary value conditions, and then, a fully discrete compact difference scheme with second-order accuracy in time and fourth-order in space is established. And its numerical solution satisfies the discrete maximum principle under the constraints of reasonable space and time steps. On this basis, the energy stability of the scheme is investigated. Finally, numerical examples are given to illustrate the theoretical results.

1. Introduction

The phase field problem is a mathematical model described by partial differential equations. The numerical simulation of the phase field has always been an important field of research at home and abroad because of its important theoretical and practical significance. In 1979, the Allen-Cahn equation is considered to describe the antiphase boundary of the crystal movement by Allen and Cahn, which describes fluid dynamics problems and reaction diffusion problems in materials science, and the same model on the study of many diffusion phenomena is proposed such as the competition and repulsion of biological populations and the migration process of river beds. For describing the motion of the antiphase boundary in the crystal, since this type of phase field model does not have an accurate solution, different numerical methods are used to simulate. At present, numerical approximation methods about these phase field models include the finite difference method [1–5], finite element method [6–8], and spectral method [9, 10], etc.

In the paper, the compact difference method is applied to approximate the two-dimensional nonlinear Allen-Cahn equations with initial boundary conditions numerically.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= \varepsilon^2 \Delta u - f(u), \quad (x, y) \in \Omega, \ t \in [0, T], \\
u(x, y, 0) &= u_0(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in \tilde{\Omega}, \\
u|_{\partial \Omega} &= 0, \quad t \in [0, T],
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

where \( u \) represents the concentration of a metal component in a binary alloy, the positive parameter \( \varepsilon \) is the interface width, and the nonlinear term \( f(u) = u^3 - u \).

The energy function on the \( L^2 \) space is defined as

\[
E(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left( F(u) - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^2 u \Delta u \right) dx.
\]

(2)
Here, \( F(u) = (1/4)(u^2 - 1)^2 \). One of the intrinsic properties of the Allen-Cahn equation is the energy function that decreases with time:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} E(u) \leq 0, \quad \forall t > 0. \tag{3}
\]

In 2016, Zhang and Hou \[3\] considered three discrete schemes of the Allen-Cahn equation that included the stable first-order linear explicit-implicit scheme, stable second-order nonlinear Crank-Nicolson scheme, and stable second-order linear Leap-Frog scheme and proved the discrete maximum principle and energy stability under the condition of these three schemes; a compact difference scheme with second-order accuracy in time and fourth-order accuracy in space for one-dimensional Allen-Cahn equation was established by Tian et al. \[2\] in 2018, and the energy stability of the scheme was investigated; Wu et al. \[11\] proposed two ADI schemes for the two-dimensional Allen-Cahn equation was established by Zhang et al. \[1\] in 2020, obtained the spatial high precision error, and verified the law of energy decline; the Crank-Nicolson difference scheme with second-order accuracy in time and space for the two-dimensional Allen-Cahn equation was established by Zhang et al. \[1\] in 2021, which proved the existence and convergence of the solution, and finally verified the discrete maximum principle with a numerical example. Based on the existing finite difference methods and inspired by reference \[2\], a compact difference scheme with second-order accuracy in time and fourth-order accuracy in space is established for the two-dimensional Allen-Cahn equation. Then, the discrete maximum principle and discrete energy stability are mainly investigated. Compared with the previous work about two-dimensional Allen-Cahn equations, this paper obtains higher accuracy in space than before, and the compact difference method is applied and realized in two-dimensional equations for the first time, which will add an effective and feasible method to this kind of research.

2. Establishment of a Compact Difference Scheme for the Two-Dimensional Allen-Cahn Equation

Firstly, several commonly used numerical differential formulas are given:

Leth > 0 and \( c \) be two constants.

**Lemma 1** (see \[2\]). If \( g(x) \in C^2[c - h, c + h] \), then

\[
g(c) = \frac{1}{2} [g(c - h) + g(c + h)] - \frac{h^2}{2} g''(\xi_1), \quad c - h < \xi_1 < c + h. \tag{4}
\]

**Lemma 2** (see \[2\]). If \( g(x) \in C^3[c - h, c + h] \), then

\[
g'(c) = \frac{1}{2h} [g(c + h) - g(c - h)] - \frac{h^2}{6} g'''(\xi_2), \quad c - h < \xi_2 < c + h. \tag{5}
\]

**Lemma 3** (see \[2\]). If \( g(x) \in C^6[c - h, c + h] \), then

\[
\frac{1}{12} \left[ g''(c - h) + 10g''(c) + g''(c + h) \right] \\
= \frac{1}{h^2} [g(c + h) - 2g(c) + g(c - h)] + \frac{h^4}{240} g^{(6)}(\xi_3), \quad c - h < \xi_3 < c + h. \tag{6}
\]

In this section, a compact difference scheme will be established for the following two-dimensional Allen-Cahn equation as

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= \varepsilon^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right) - f(u), & (x, y) \in \Omega, \ t \in [0, T], \\
u(x, y, 0) &= u_0(x, y), & (x, y) \in \tilde{\Omega}, \\
u |_{\partial \Omega} &= 0, & t \in [0, T],
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \Omega = [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \).

Let us divide the interval \([0, 1]\) into \( M \) equal parts and \([0, T]\) into \( N \) equal parts, the space step is \( h = 1/M \), and time step is \( \tau = T/N \), where \( x_i = ih, \ 0 \leq i \leq M \), \( y_j = jh, \ 0 \leq j \leq M \), and \( t_n = nr \), \( 0 \leq n \leq N \). \( \Omega_h = \{(x_i, y_j)|0 \leq i, j \leq M\} \) and \( \Omega_r = \{t_n|0 \leq n \leq N\} \). Let \( f^{n+1/2} = f(u(x_i, y_j, t^{n+1/2})) \) and \( (x_i, y_j, t^{n+1/2}) \) be called the node.
Suppose $u = \{u_{ij}^n|0 \leq i, j \leq M, 0 \leq n \leq N\}$ is a grid function on $\Omega_h \times \Omega_n$, let

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{n+1}^i &= \frac{1}{2} (\tau_n^i + \tau_{n+1}^i), \\
\delta_i u_{ij}^{n+1/2} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( u_{ij}^{n+1} - u_{ij}^n \right), \\
\delta_x^2 u_{ij}^{n+1/2} &= \frac{1}{h^2} \left( u_{ij}^{n+1} - 2u_{ij}^n + u_{ij-1}^{n+1} \right), \\
\delta_y^2 u_{ij}^{n+1/2} &= \frac{1}{h^2} \left( u_{ij}^{n+1} - 2u_{ij}^n + u_{i,j-1}^{n+1} \right), \\
\tau_{ij}^{n+1/2} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( u_{ij}^n + u_{ij}^{n+1} \right), \\
\left( u_{ij}^{n+1/2} \right)^3 &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (u_{ij}^n)^3 + (u_{ij}^{n+1})^3 \right].
\end{align*}
$$

(8)

Suppose $u = \{u_{ij}^n|0 \leq i, j \leq M\}$ is a grid function on $\Omega_h$, the operator is defined by

$$
(\mathcal{A}u)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \\
\frac{1}{12} (u_{i-1,j} + 10u_{ij} + u_{i+1,j}), & 1 \leq i \leq M - 1, \quad 0 \leq j \leq M, \\
u_{ij}, & i = 0, M, \quad 0 \leq j \leq M,
\end{cases}
$$

(9)

Then, we get the following equation:

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \varepsilon^2 v + \varepsilon^2 w - f(u).
$$

(13)

Consider the differential equation (13) at the point $(x_i, y_j, t_{n+1/2})$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} (x_i, y_j, t_{n+1/2}) &= \varepsilon^2 v (x_i, y_j, t_{n+1/2}) + \varepsilon^2 w (x_i, y_j, t_{n+1/2}) \\
&\quad - f(u(x_i, y_j, t_{n+1/2})), \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq M, \quad 0 \leq n \leq N - 1.
\end{align*}
$$

(15)

Using Taylor expansion,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} (x_i, y_j, t_{n+1/2}) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left[ u(x_i, y_j, t_{n+1}) - u(x_i, y_j, t_n) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{\tau^2}{24} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} (x_i, y_j, t_{n+1/2}) + O(\tau^4) \\
&\quad + \delta_i U_{ij}^{n+1/2} - \frac{\tau^2}{24} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} (x_i, y_j, t_{n+1/2}) + O(\tau^4),
\end{align*}
$$

(16)

Substituting the above three equations into (15),

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_i U_{ij}^{n+1/2} &= \varepsilon^2 V_{ij}^{n+1/2} + \varepsilon^2 W_{ij}^{n+1/2} - f_{ij}^{n+1/2} \\
&\quad + \frac{\tau^2}{24} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} (x_i, y_j, t_{n+1/2}) \\
&\quad - \frac{\varepsilon^2 \tau^2}{8} \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial x^3} (x_i, y_j, t_{n+1/2}) + \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial y^3} (x_i, y_j, t_{n+1/2}) + O(\tau^4).
\end{align*}
$$

(17)

Denoted by

$$
\begin{align*}
g(x, y, t) &= \frac{1}{24} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} (x, y, t) + \varepsilon^2 \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} (x, y, t) + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^3} (x, y, t).
\end{align*}
$$

(18)

Then,

$$
\delta_i U_{ij}^{n+1/2} = \varepsilon^2 V_{ij}^{n+1/2} + \varepsilon^2 W_{ij}^{n+1/2} - f_{ij}^{n+1/2} + \tau^2 g_{ij}^{n+1/2} + O(\tau^4).
$$

(19)

Acting the operator $\mathcal{A}B$ on both sides of the equation
Above,

\[
\mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \delta U_{ij}^{n+1/2} = \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} V_{ij}^{n+1/2} + \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} W_{ij}^{n+1/2} - \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} f_{ij}^{n+1/2} + O(t^4),
\]

\[
1 \leq i, j \leq M-1, 0 \leq n \leq N - 1. \tag{20}
\]

Considering differential Equation (11) at the point \((x_i, y_j, t_n)\),

\[
\nu \left( x_i, y_j, t_n \right) = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \left( x_i, y_j, t_n \right), \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq M, 0 \leq n \leq N. \tag{21}
\]

Based on Lemma 3,

\[
\mathcal{A} V_{ij}^n = \delta_x^2 U_{ij} + \frac{h^4}{240} \frac{\partial^6 u}{\partial x^6} \left( x_i, y_j, t_n \right) + O(h^6),
\]

\[
1 \leq i \leq M - 1, 0 \leq j \leq M, 0 \leq n \leq N. \tag{22}
\]

Acting the two equations superscripted as \(n\) and \(n+1\) and dividing by 2,

\[
\mathcal{A} V_{ij}^{n+1/2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{A} V_{ij}^n + \mathcal{A} V_{ij}^{n+1} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta_x^2 U_{ij}^n + \delta_x^2 U_{ij}^{n+1} \right) + \frac{h^4}{240} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial^6 u}{\partial x^6} \left( x_i, y_j, t_n \right) + \frac{\partial^6 u}{\partial x^6} \left( x_i, y_j, t_{n+1} \right) \right] + O(h^6) = \delta_x^2 U_{ij}^{n+1/2} + \frac{h^4}{240} \frac{\partial^6 u}{\partial x^6} \left( x_i, y_j, t_{n+1/2} \right) + O\left( t^2 + t^2 h^4 + h^6 \right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq M - 1, 0 \leq j \leq N - 1. \tag{23}
\]

Acting the operator \(\mathcal{B}\) on both sides of the equation above,

\[
\mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} V_{ij}^{n+1/2} = \delta_x^2 V_{ij}^{n+1/2} + \frac{h^4}{240} \frac{\partial^6 u}{\partial x^6} \left( x_i, y_j, t_{n+1/2} \right) + O(\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^4 h^4 + h^6), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq M \tag{24}
\]

\[
-1, 0 \leq n \leq N - 1.
\]

Similarly, considering differential Equation (12) at the point \((x_i, y_j, t_n)\), then

\[
\mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} W_{ij}^{n+1/2} = \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} V_{ij}^{n+1/2} + \frac{h^4}{240} \frac{\partial^6 u}{\partial y^6} \left( x_i, y_j, t_{n+1/2} \right) + O(\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^4 h^4 + h^6), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq M \tag{25}
\]

\[
-1, 0 \leq n \leq N - 1.
\]

Substituting (24) and (25) into (20),

\[
\mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \delta U_{ij}^{n+1/2} = \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \delta U_{ij}^{n+1/2} + \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \delta U_{ij}^{n+1/2} - \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} f_{ij}^{n+1/2} + O\left( t^4 + t^2 h^4 + h^6 \right), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq M \tag{26}
\]

\[
-1, 0 \leq n \leq N - 1.
\]

Here,

\[
R_{ij}^{n+1/2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \frac{\partial^6 u}{\partial x^6} \left( x_i, y_j, t_{n+1/2} \right) + \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \frac{\partial^6 u}{\partial y^6} \left( x_i, y_j, t_{n+1/2} \right) \right] + \frac{240}{h^4} \frac{\partial^6 u}{\partial x^6} \left( x_i, y_j, t_{n+1/2} \right) + \frac{240}{h^4} \frac{\partial^6 u}{\partial y^6} \left( x_i, y_j, t_{n+1/2} \right) + O\left( t^4 + t^2 h^4 + h^6 \right) \tag{27}
\]

Then,

\[
\mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \delta U_{ij}^{n+1/2} = \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \delta U_{ij}^{n+1/2} + \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \delta U_{ij}^{n+1/2} - \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} f_{ij}^{n+1/2} + R_{ij}^{n+1/2}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq M - 1, 0 \leq n \leq N - 1. \tag{28}
\]

Omitting the small term \(R_{ij}^{n+1/2}\) and substituting the following equation into (28),

\[
f \left( u \left( x_i, y_j, t_{n+1/2} \right) \right) = \left( u_{ij}^{n+1/2} \right)^3 - u_{ij}^{n+1/2} = \left( u_{ij}^{n+1} \right)^3 + \left( u_{ij}^n \right)^3 - \frac{u_{ij}^{n+1} + u_{ij}^n}{2}. \tag{29}
\]

Then, the two-dimensional Allen-Cahn Equation (7)
corresponding compact difference scheme is obtained.

\[ a \delta^2 u_{ij}^{m+1} - u_{ij}^m + a \delta^2 u_{ij}^m + u_{ij}^{m+1} - u_{ij}^m \]

\[ = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \left( \frac{(u_{ij}^{m+1})^3 + (u_{ij}^m)^3}{2} - u_{ij}^{m+1} + u_{ij}^m \right) \]

\[ \leq M - 1 \leq n \leq N - 1. \]  

(30)

Finally, the spatial derivative is discretized by the central finite difference scheme for the two-dimensional Allen-Cahn equation, the \( D_2 \) is expressed as the corresponding discrete matrix, and

\[ D_2 = BD_1 + D_1A. \]  

(31)

Here,

\[ D_1 = \frac{1}{h^2} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -2 \end{pmatrix}_{(M-1) \times (M-1)} \]

Meanwhile, let \( M - 1 \) be the number of nodes inside the interval after spatial dispersion and \( h \) be the space step.

\[ B = A = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 10 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 10 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 10 \end{pmatrix}_{(M-1) \times (M-1)} \]

Then, the discrete matrix \( D_2 \) satisfies the following properties:

(a) \( D_2 \) is symmetric.

(b) \( D_2 \) is semi-negative definite, such as

\[ U^T D_2 U \leq 0. \]  

(34)

(c) The elements in \( D_2 \) satisfy

\[ d_{ii} < 0, \quad -d_{ii} \geq \max_{1 \leq j \leq M-1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{M-1} |d_{ij}|. \]  

(35)

Let

\[ C = AB. \]  

(36)

Matrix \( C \) satisfies the following properties:

(a) \( C \) is symmetric.

(b) \( C \) is positive definite, such as

\[ U^T C U > 0. \]  

(37)

(c) The elements in \( C \) satisfy

\[ c_{ij} > 0, \quad c_{ii} \geq \max_{1 \leq j \leq M-1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{M-1} |c_{ij}|. \]  

(38)

Substituting the matrix \( D_2 \) and \( C \) into (30), we get the compact difference scheme:

\[ C\frac{U^{n+1} - U^n}{\tau} + C \frac{(U^{n+1})^3 - U^{n+1}}{2} + C \frac{(U^n)^3 - U^n}{2} \]

\[ = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} (D_2 U^{n+1} + D_2 U^n). \]  

(39)

Here,

\[ U^n = \left\{ u_{ij}^n | 1 \leq i, j \leq M-1 \right\}, \]

\[ (U^n)^3 = \left\{ (u_{ij}^n)^3 | 1 \leq i, j \leq M-1 \right\}. \]  

(40)

Multiplying \( C^{-1} \) to both sides of the above equation,

\[ \frac{U^{n+1} - U^n}{\tau} + \frac{(U^{n+1})^3 - U^{n+1}}{2} + \frac{(U^n)^3 - U^n}{2} \]

\[ = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} C^{-1} D_2 (U^{n+1} + U^n), \quad 0 \leq n \leq N - 1. \]  

(41)

Matrix \( C^{-1} D_2 \) satisfies the following properties:

(a) \( C^{-1} D_2 \) is symmetric.

(b) \( C^{-1} D_2 \) is negative definite, such as
3. Discrete Maximum Principle of Compact Difference Scheme for Two-Dimensional Allen-Cahn Equation

Theorem 4. Assuming that the initial value of the Allen-Cahn problem satisfies $\max |u_0(x, y)| \leq 1$, when the step ratio satisfies $5/24 < \lambda < 5/12$ and the time step satisfies $0 < \tau \leq \min \{(4/5) \lambda - 1/6, 1 - (12/5) \lambda\}$, we have $\|U^n\|_{\infty} \leq 1$, for $\forall n \geq 1$.

Proof. Obviously, $\|U^0\|_{\infty} \leq \|u_0\| \leq 1$. Assuming that $\|U^n\|_{\infty} \leq 1$, then $\|U^{n+1}\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ needs to be proved. Expanding the established compact difference scheme,

$$U^n \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2},$$

\begin{align*}
\left[ \begin{array}{c}
1 \frac{1}{\tau} \left[ \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{10} u_{j+1,i-1}^{n+1} + \frac{1}{144} u_{j+1,i-1}^{n+1} + \frac{1}{144} u_{j+1,i}^{n+1} + \frac{1}{144} u_{j+1,i+1}^{n+1} \\
\frac{1}{10} u_{j+1,i}^{n+1} + \frac{1}{144} u_{j+1,i}^{n+1} + \frac{1}{144} u_{j+1,i+1}^{n+1} + \frac{1}{144} u_{j+1,i+1}^{n+1} \\
\frac{1}{10} u_{j+1,i}^{n+1} + \frac{1}{144} u_{j+1,i}^{n+1} + \frac{1}{144} u_{j+1,i+1}^{n+1} + \frac{1}{144} u_{j+1,i+1}^{n+1} \\
\frac{1}{10} u_{j+1,i+1}^{n+1} + \frac{1}{144} u_{j+1,i+1}^{n+1} + \frac{1}{144} u_{j+1,i+1}^{n+1} + \frac{1}{144} u_{j+1,i+1}^{n+1}
\end{array} \right] \\
\frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{144} (u_{i+1,j+1}^{n+1})^3 + \frac{10}{144} (u_{i+1,j}^{n+1})^3 + \frac{10}{144} (u_{i+1,j-1}^{n+1})^3 + \frac{10}{144} (u_{i+1,j}^{n+1})^3 \\
\frac{10}{144} (u_{i+1,j}^{n+1})^3 + \frac{10}{144} (u_{i+1,j}^{n+1})^3 + \frac{10}{144} (u_{i+1,j}^{n+1})^3 + \frac{10}{144} (u_{i+1,j}^{n+1})^3 \\
\frac{10}{144} (u_{i+1,j}^{n+1})^3 + \frac{10}{144} (u_{i+1,j}^{n+1})^3 + \frac{10}{144} (u_{i+1,j}^{n+1})^3 + \frac{10}{144} (u_{i+1,j}^{n+1})^3 \\
\frac{10}{144} (u_{i+1,j}^{n+1})^3 + \frac{10}{144} (u_{i+1,j}^{n+1})^3 + \frac{10}{144} (u_{i+1,j}^{n+1})^3 + \frac{10}{144} (u_{i+1,j}^{n+1})^3
\end{array} \right]
\end{array} \right] \right] \\
\right) + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[ \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{8}{12} u_{j+1,i}^{n+1} + \frac{2}{12} u_{j+1,i}^{n+1} + \frac{2}{12} u_{j+1,i}^{n+1} + \frac{2}{12} u_{j+1,i}^{n+1} \\
\frac{8}{12} u_{j+1,i}^{n+1} + \frac{2}{12} u_{j+1,i}^{n+1} + \frac{2}{12} u_{j+1,i}^{n+1} + \frac{2}{12} u_{j+1,i}^{n+1} \\
\frac{8}{12} u_{j+1,i}^{n+1} + \frac{2}{12} u_{j+1,i}^{n+1} + \frac{2}{12} u_{j+1,i}^{n+1} + \frac{2}{12} u_{j+1,i}^{n+1} \\
\frac{8}{12} u_{j+1,i}^{n+1} + \frac{2}{12} u_{j+1,i}^{n+1} + \frac{2}{12} u_{j+1,i}^{n+1} + \frac{2}{12} u_{j+1,i}^{n+1}
\end{array} \right)
\end{array} \right]
\end{align*}

Multiplying $\tau$ to both sides of the above equation and letting $\lambda = \varepsilon^2 (\tau / h^2)$,
Shifting terms on both sides of the equation,

\[
\left[ \frac{100}{144} (1 - \tau) + \frac{5}{3} \lambda \right] u_{ij}^{\nu+1} + \frac{100}{144} \tau \left( u_{ij}^{\nu+1} \right)^3 = \left( \frac{1}{3} \lambda - \frac{10}{144} (1 - \tau) \right) u_{i-1,j}^{\nu+1} - \frac{10}{144} \tau \left( u_{i-1,j}^{\nu+1} \right)^3 \]

\[
+ \left( \frac{1}{3} \lambda - \frac{10}{144} (1 - \tau) \right) u_{i,j-1}^{\nu+1} - \frac{10}{144} \tau \left( u_{i,j-1}^{\nu+1} \right)^3 \]

\[
+ \left( \frac{1}{3} \lambda - \frac{10}{144} (1 - \tau) \right) u_{i+1,j}^{\nu+1} - \frac{10}{144} \tau \left( u_{i+1,j}^{\nu+1} \right)^3 \]

\[
+ \left( \frac{1}{3} \lambda - \frac{10}{144} (1 - \tau) \right) u_{i+1,j-1}^{\nu+1} - \frac{10}{144} \tau \left( u_{i+1,j-1}^{\nu+1} \right)^3 \]

\[
+ \left( \frac{1}{12} \lambda - \frac{1}{144} (1 + \tau) \right) u_{i-1,j-1}^{\nu+1} - \frac{1}{144} \tau \left( u_{i-1,j-1}^{\nu+1} \right)^3 \]

\[
+ \left( \frac{1}{12} \lambda - \frac{1}{144} (1 + \tau) \right) u_{i+1,j-1}^{\nu+1} - \frac{1}{144} \tau \left( u_{i+1,j-1}^{\nu+1} \right)^3 \]

\[
+ \left( \frac{1}{12} \lambda - \frac{1}{144} (1 + \tau) \right) u_{i+1,j}^{\nu+1} - \frac{1}{144} \tau \left( u_{i+1,j}^{\nu+1} \right)^3 \]

\[
+ \left( \frac{1}{12} \lambda - \frac{1}{144} (1 + \tau) \right) u_{i,j+1}^{\nu+1} - \frac{1}{144} \tau \left( u_{i,j+1}^{\nu+1} \right)^3 \]

\[
+ \left( \frac{1}{12} \lambda - \frac{1}{144} (1 + \tau) \right) u_{i-1,j+1}^{\nu+1} - \frac{1}{144} \tau \left( u_{i-1,j+1}^{\nu+1} \right)^3 \]

\[
+ \left( \frac{1}{12} \lambda - \frac{1}{144} (1 + \tau) \right) u_{i-1,j}^{\nu+1} - \frac{1}{144} \tau \left( u_{i-1,j}^{\nu+1} \right)^3 \]

\[
+ \left( \frac{1}{12} \lambda - \frac{1}{144} (1 + \tau) \right) u_{i+1,j+1}^{\nu+1} - \frac{1}{144} \tau \left( u_{i+1,j+1}^{\nu+1} \right)^3 \]

\[
+ \left( \frac{1}{12} \lambda - \frac{1}{144} (1 + \tau) \right) u_{i,j}^{\nu+1} - \frac{1}{144} \tau \left( u_{i,j}^{\nu+1} \right)^3 \]

\[
+ \left( \frac{1}{12} \lambda - \frac{1}{144} (1 + \tau) \right) u_{i-1,j+1}^{\nu+1} - \frac{1}{144} \tau \left( u_{i-1,j+1}^{\nu+1} \right)^3 \]

\[
+ \left( \frac{1}{12} \lambda - \frac{1}{144} (1 + \tau) \right) u_{i+1,j+1}^{\nu+1} - \frac{1}{144} \tau \left( u_{i+1,j+1}^{\nu+1} \right)^3 \]

\[
+ \left( \frac{1}{12} \lambda - \frac{1}{144} (1 + \tau) \right) u_{i,j}^{\nu+1} - \frac{1}{144} \tau \left( u_{i,j}^{\nu+1} \right)^3 \]

For the right side of Equation (45), the n-layer terms are processed firstly.

Then, the sum of the n-layer terms is L. Let \( \|U^n\|_\infty \leq 1 \).

\[
f(x) = \left[ \frac{100}{144} (1 + \tau) - \frac{5}{3} \lambda \right] x - \frac{100}{144} \tau x^0, \quad x \in [0, 1]. \]  

Thus,

\[
f(0) = 0, \quad f(1) = \frac{25}{36} - \frac{5}{3} \lambda = \frac{5}{3} \left( \frac{5}{12} - \lambda \right). \]  

Since

\[
f'(x) = \frac{25}{36} (1 + \tau) - \frac{5}{3} \lambda - \frac{25r}{24}, \]

\[
f''(x) = -\frac{25r}{12} x \leq 0. \]  

\( f'(x) \) is monotonicity decreasing,

\[
f'(0) = \frac{25}{36} (1 + \tau) - \frac{5}{3} \lambda, \]

\[
f'(1) = \frac{25}{36} (1 + \tau) - \frac{5}{3} \lambda - \frac{25r}{24}. \]  

When \( r \leq 1 - (12/5) \lambda \), \( f'(1) \geq 0 \), therefore, \( f'(x) \geq 0 \). Thus, \( f(x) \) is monotonicity increasing. \( \forall x \in [0, 1], f(0) \leq f(x) \leq f(1), \) namely,

\[
f(x) \leq \frac{25}{36} - \frac{5}{3} \lambda, \quad \lambda \leq \frac{5}{12}. \]  

Let

\[
g(x) = \left[ \frac{10}{144} (1 + \tau) + \frac{1}{3} \lambda \right] x - \frac{10}{144} \tau x^0, \quad x \in [0, 1]. \]

Then,

\[
g(0) = 0, \quad g(1) = \frac{5}{72} + \frac{1}{3} \lambda. \]  

Since

\[
g'(x) = \frac{5}{72} (1 + \tau) + \frac{1}{3} \lambda - \frac{5r}{48}, \]

\[
g''(x) = -\frac{5r}{24} x \leq 0. \]  

\( g'(x) \) is monotonicity decreasing,

\[
g'(0) = \frac{5}{72} (1 + \tau) + \frac{1}{3} \lambda, \]

\[
g'(1) = \frac{5}{72} (1 + \tau) + \frac{1}{3} \lambda - \frac{5r}{48}. \]  

When \( r \leq 1 + (24/5) \lambda \), \( g'(1) \geq 0 \), we have \( g'(x) \geq 0 \). Thus, \( g(x) \) is monotonicity increasing. \( \forall x \in [0, 1], \) then \( g(0) \leq g(x) \leq g(1), \) namely,

\[
g(x) \leq \frac{5}{72} + \frac{1}{3} \lambda. \]  
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Let

\[ h(x) = \frac{1}{144} \left( 1 + \frac{\tau}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{12} \lambda \right] x - \frac{1}{144} \frac{\tau}{2} x^3, \quad x \in [0, 1]. \]  

(56)

Then,

\[ h(0) = 0, \quad h(1) = \frac{1}{144} + \frac{1}{12} \lambda. \]  

(57)

Since

\[ h'(x) = \frac{1}{144} \left( 1 + \frac{\tau}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{12} \lambda - \frac{\tau}{96} x^2, \]  

(58)

\[ h''(x) = -\frac{\tau}{48} x \leq 0. \]

Then,

\[ h'(0) = \frac{1}{144} \left( 1 + \frac{\tau}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{12} \lambda, \]  

(59)

\[ h'(1) = \frac{1}{144} \left( 1 + \frac{\tau}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{12} \lambda - \frac{\tau}{96}. \]

When \( \tau \leq 1 + 12 \lambda \), \( h'(1) \geq 0 \), we have \( h'(x) \geq 0 \).

Thus, \( h(x) \) is monotonicity increasing. \( \forall x \in [0, 1], h(0) \leq h(x) \leq h(1) \), that is,

\[ h(x) \leq \frac{1}{144} + \frac{1}{12} \lambda. \]  

(60)

According to (50), (55), and (60), when \( \tau \leq 1 - (12/5) \lambda \),

\[ f(x) \leq \frac{25}{36} - \frac{5}{3} \lambda, \quad \lambda \leq \frac{5}{12}, \]  

\[ g(x) \leq \frac{5}{72} + \frac{1}{3} \lambda, \]  

\[ h(x) \leq \frac{1}{144} + \frac{1}{12} \lambda. \]  

Then,

\[ L \leq f(1) + 4g(1) + 4h(1) = 1. \]  

(62)

Next, the eight items in the \( n + 1 \) layer on the right side of equation (45) are dealt.

Let

\[ p(y) = \left[ \frac{\lambda}{3} - \frac{10}{144} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \right] y - \frac{10}{144} \frac{\tau}{2} y^3. \]  

(63)

Then,

\[ p'(y) = \frac{\lambda}{3} - \frac{10}{144} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) - \frac{5 \tau}{48} y^2. \]  

(64)

When \( p'(y) = 0 \), the maximum point is obtained,

\[ y_1 = \sqrt{\frac{48}{5 \tau}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{3} \left( \lambda - \frac{5 \tau}{24} \right)^2} \lambda \geq \frac{5 \tau}{24}. \]  

(65)

Since \( p(y) \) is an odd function and monotonicity increasing in the interval of \( (-y_1, y_1) \), then \( |p(y)| \leq |p(y_1)| \).

Let

\[ q(y) = \left[ \frac{\lambda}{12} - \frac{1}{144} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \right] y - \frac{1}{144} \frac{\tau}{2} y^3. \]  

(66)

Then,

\[ q'(y) = \frac{\lambda}{12} - \frac{1}{144} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) - \frac{\tau}{96} y^2. \]  

(67)

When \( q'(y) = 0 \), the maximum point is obtained,

\[ y_2 = \sqrt{\frac{96}{\tau}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{12} \left( \lambda - \frac{1}{12} \right) + \frac{\tau}{288}} \lambda \geq \frac{1}{12}. \]  

(68)

Since \( q(y) \) is an odd function and monotonicity increasing in the interval of \( (-y_2, y_2) \), then \( |q(y)| \leq |q(y_2)| \).

Substituting (62), (63), and (66) into (45), then

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{100} \frac{100 \tau}{144} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) u_{ij}^{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{100} \frac{5 \tau}{144} u_{ij}^{n+1} \]  

\[ \leq p \left( u_{i,j+1}^{n+1} + p \right) \left( u_{i+1,j}^{n+1} + p \right) \left( u_{i-1,j}^{n+1} + p \right) + q \left( u_{i,j+1}^{n+1} + q \right) \left( u_{i+1,j-1}^{n+1} + q \right) \left( u_{i-1,j}^{n+1} + q \right) + \right. \]  

\[ \left. q \left( u_{i+1,j+1}^{n+1} + 1, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N - 1. \right) \]  

(69)

Suppose \( \| U^{n+1} \|_\infty = \| u^{n+1}_{i,j} \| = m \).

On the one hand, for the right eight terms of Equation (69), taking the absolute value of both sides of the equation by definition (63), according to the triangle inequality,

\[ \left| p \left( u_{i,j+1}^{n+1} \right) \right| = \left| \left[ \frac{\lambda}{3} - \frac{10}{144} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \right] u_{i,j+1}^{n+1} - \frac{5 \tau}{144} u_{i,j+1}^{n+1} \right| \]  

\[ \leq \left[ \frac{\lambda}{3} - \frac{5}{72} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \right] u_{i,j+1}^{n+1} + \frac{5 \tau}{144} \frac{5 \tau}{144} \left| u_{i,j+1}^{n+1} \right| \]  

\[ \leq \left[ \frac{\lambda}{3} - \frac{5}{72} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \right] m + \frac{5 \tau}{144} m^3. \]  

(70)
Similarly,

\[ p(\|u_{i+1,j}\|) \leq \left[ \frac{\lambda}{3} - \frac{5}{72} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \right] m + \frac{5\tau}{144} m^3, \]

\[ p(\|u_{i,j}\|) \leq \left[ \frac{\lambda}{3} - \frac{5}{72} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \right] m + \frac{5\tau}{144} m^3, \]

\[ p(\|u_{i,j+1}\|) \leq \left[ \frac{\lambda}{3} - \frac{5}{72} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \right] m + \frac{5\tau}{144} m^3. \]

Taking the absolute value of both sides of the equation by definition (66), according to the triangle inequality,

\[ q(\|u_{i-1,j-1}\|) = \left[ \frac{\lambda}{12} - \frac{1}{144} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \right] u_{i-1,j-1} - \frac{\tau}{288} \left( u_{i-1,j-1}^m \right)^3 \]

\[ \leq \left[ \frac{\lambda}{12} - \frac{1}{144} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \right] u_{i-1,j-1} + \frac{\tau}{288} \left( u_{i-1,j-1}^m \right)^3 \]

\[ \leq \left[ \frac{\lambda}{12} - \frac{1}{144} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \right] m + \frac{\tau}{288} m^3. \]

\[ (71) \]

\[ (72) \]

Figure 1: When \( \tau = 0.025, 0.04 \), the maximum of the scheme is (30).
Similarly, \( q(n_{i+1,j-1}) \leq \frac{\lambda}{12} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) m + \frac{\tau}{288} m^3, \)
\( q(n_{i-1,j+1}) \leq \frac{\lambda}{12} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) m + \frac{\tau}{288} m^3, \)
\( q(n_{i+1,j+1}) \leq \frac{\lambda}{12} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) m + \frac{\tau}{288} m^3. \)

Taking \( i = i_0, j = j_0 \) for the left side of (69), substituting (70) and (72) into the right side of (69),

\[
q(n_{i+1,j-1}) \leq \frac{100}{144} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) + \frac{5\lambda}{3} m + 100 \frac{r}{1442} m^3
\]
\[
q(n_{i-1,j+1}) \leq 4 \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{3} - \frac{5}{72} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) m + \frac{5r}{144} m^3 \right\}
\]
\[
q(n_{i+1,j+1}) \leq 4 \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{12} - \frac{1}{144} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) m + \frac{r}{288} m^3 \right\} + 1,
\]

\[
\left( 1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) m + \frac{7r}{36} m^3 \leq 1.
\]
When \( \tau \leq 1 \), then \( M \leq 1/(1 - \tau/2) \leq 2, |u_{\text{top}}^{n+1}| \in (0, 2) \).

Let \( y_0 = (-y_1, y_1) \cap (-y_2, y_2) \), suppose \( y_0 = 2 \), then \( p(y) \) and \( q(y) \) are monotonicity increasing in the interval of \((-2, 2)\). For

\[
p'(y) = \frac{\lambda}{3} - \frac{10}{144} \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\right) - \frac{5\tau}{48} y^2 \geq 0, \tag{76}
\]

then

\[
\frac{5\tau}{48} y^2 \leq \frac{\lambda}{3} - \frac{10}{144} \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{3} \left(\lambda - \frac{5}{24}\right) + \frac{5\tau}{144}. \tag{77}
\]

Since \( y \in (-2, 2) \), then

\[
\frac{\tau}{12} \leq \frac{1}{3} \left(\lambda - \frac{5}{24}\right). \tag{78}
\]

So,

\[
\tau \leq \frac{4}{5} \lambda - \frac{1}{6}. \tag{79}
\]

Similarly, for

\[
q'(y) = \frac{\lambda}{12} - \frac{1}{144} \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\right) - \frac{\tau}{96} y^2 \geq 0, \tag{80}
\]

then

\[
\frac{\tau}{96} y^2 \leq \frac{\lambda}{12} - \frac{1}{144} \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{12} \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{12}\right) + \frac{\tau}{288}. \tag{81}
\]

Since \( y \in (-2, 2) \), then

\[
\frac{\tau}{24} \leq \frac{1}{12} \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{12}\right). \tag{82}
\]

That means that

\[
\tau \leq 2\lambda - \frac{1}{6}. \tag{83}
\]

In conclusion, according to (65), (68), (79), and (83), we obtain \( \tau \leq (4/5)\lambda - 1/6 (\lambda \geq 5/24) \).

On the other hand, taking \( i = j_0, j = j_0 \) in (69), thus

\[
\frac{100}{144} \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\right) + \frac{5}{3} \lambda \right] |u_{\text{top}}^{n+1}| + \frac{50\tau}{144} \left(\left|u_{\text{top}}^{n+1}\right| \right)^3 \leq 4p\left(|u_{\text{top}}^{n+1}| \right) + 4q\left(|u_{\text{top}}^{n+1}| \right) + 1. \tag{84}
\]

According to (63) and (66),

\[
\frac{25}{36} \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\right) + \frac{5}{3} \lambda \right] m + \frac{25\tau}{72} m^3, \leq \left[\frac{5}{3} \lambda - \frac{11}{36} \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\right) \right] m - \frac{11\tau}{72} m^3 + 1, \tag{85}
\]

namely,

\[
\left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\right) m + \frac{\tau}{2} m^3 \leq 1. \tag{86}
\]

\( m \leq 1 \) is obtained.

Consequently, when \( 0 < \tau \leq \min \{(4/5)\lambda - 1/6, 1 - (12/5)\lambda\}, 5/24 < \lambda < 5/12 \), then \( \|U^{n+1}\|_{\text{loc}} \leq 1 \).
4. Two-Dimensional Discrete Energy Stability

Lemma 5 (see [2]). \( \forall a, b \in [-1, 1] \),

\[
(a^3 - a)(a - b) + (a - b)^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} \left[ (a^2 - 1)^2 - (b^2 - 1)^2 \right],
\]

\[
(a^3 - b)(a - b) + (a - b)^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} \left[ (a^2 - 1)^2 - (b^2 - 1)^2 \right].
\]

(87)

According to the energy function that is defined by (2), discrete energy function of compact difference scheme (41) is defined by

\[
E(u) = \frac{1}{4} \cdot h^2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} (u_{ij}^3 - 1)^2 - \frac{v^2}{2} \cdot h^2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} u_{ij}^T C^{-1} D_x u.
\]

(88)

Theorem 6. Assuming that the initial value of the Allen-Cahn problem satisfies \( \max_{(x,y) \in \Omega} |u_0(x,y)| \leq 1 \), then the numerical solution obtained by scheme (41) satisfies discrete energy decaying under the condition of 4:

\[
E(U^{n+1}) \leq E(U^n).
\]

(89)

Proof.

\[
\frac{E(U^{n+1}) - E(U^n)}{\bar{h}^2} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \left[ \left( U^{n+1}_{ij} \right)^2 - 1 \right]^2 - \left( U^n_{ij} \right)^2 \leq \left[ \left( U^{n+1}_{ij} \right)^2 - 1 \right]^2 - \left( U^n_{ij} \right)^2 \leq \left[ \left( U^{n+1} \right)^T C^{-1} D_x U^{n+1} - \left( U^n \right)^T C^{-1} D_x U^n \right].
\]

(90)

In view of Theorem 4, \( \|U^n\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \) and \( \|U^{n+1}\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \).
Therefore, using Lemma 5,

\[
\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} (U^{n+1} - U^n)^T C^{-1} D_2 (U^{n+1} + U^n) \\
= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} ( (U^{n+1})^T C^{-1} D_2 U^{n+1} - (U^n)^T C^{-1} D_2 U^n). 
\]

Since the matrix \( C^{-1} D_2 \) is symmetric,

\[
\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} (U^{n+1} - U^n)^T C^{-1} D_2 (U^{n+1} + U^n) \\
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \left[ \left( (U_{ij}^{n+1})^2 - 1 \right)^2 - \left( (U_{ij}^n)^2 - 1 \right)^2 \right] \\
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( (U_{ij}^{n+1})^3 - (U_{ij}^n) (U_{ij}^{n+1} - U_{ij}^n) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( (U_{ij}^n)^3 - (U_{ij}^{n+1}) (U_{ij}^{n+1} - U_{ij}^n) \right) \right]. 
\]

(91)

Substituting (91) and (92) into (90),

\[
\frac{E(U^{n+1}) - E(U^n)}{h^2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (U_{ij}^{n+1})^3 - (U_{ij}^n) (U_{ij}^{n+1} - U_{ij}^n) + \frac{1}{2} (U_{ij}^n)^3 - (U_{ij}^{n+1}) (U_{ij}^{n+1} - U_{ij}^n) \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \left( (U_{ij}^{n+1})^3 - (U_{ij}^n) (U_{ij}^{n+1} - U_{ij}^n) + \frac{1}{2} (U_{ij}^n)^3 - (U_{ij}^{n+1}) (U_{ij}^{n+1} - U_{ij}^n) \right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} (U^{n+1} - U^n)^T C^{-1} D_2 (U^{n+1} + U^n). 
\]

(92)

Multiplying (41) by \( U^{n+1} - U^n \), summing up for \( i \) from 1 to \( M-1 \) and same for \( j \),

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (U_{ij}^{n+1})^3 - (U_{ij}^n) (U_{ij}^{n+1} - U_{ij}^n) + \frac{1}{2} (U_{ij}^n)^3 - (U_{ij}^{n+1}) (U_{ij}^{n+1} - U_{ij}^n) \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \left( (U_{ij}^{n+1})^3 - (U_{ij}^n) (U_{ij}^{n+1} - U_{ij}^n) + \frac{1}{2} (U_{ij}^n)^3 - (U_{ij}^{n+1}) (U_{ij}^{n+1} - U_{ij}^n) \right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} (U^{n+1} - U^n)^T C^{-1} D_2 (U^{n+1} + U^n). 
\]
Since \( 0 < \tau \leq \min \{(4/5)\lambda - 1/6, 1 - (12/5)\lambda\}, \) \( 5/24 < \lambda < 5/12, \) the right side of inequality is negative.

Then, \( E(U^{n+1}) \leq E(U^n) \) is proved.

5. Numerical Examples

In this part, some numerical examples are given to verify the theoretical results of the previous sections which are discrete numerical maximum principle and energy stability. Considering a two-dimensional problem with homogeneous Riemann boundary conditions, and selecting the initial condition as

\[
u_0(x) = 0.9 \cdot \text{rand}(\cdot) + 0.05,\]

here, \( \text{rand}(\cdot) \) is a random sequence in the interval of \((0, 1)\).

If given \( \varepsilon^2 = 0.001, h = 0.01, \) by \( \lambda = \varepsilon^2 (\tau/h^2) \), using the conditions of Theorem 4, \( 5/24 < \lambda \leq 5/12, 0 < \tau \leq \min \{(4/5)\lambda - 1/6, 1 - (12/5)\lambda\} \), we can get \( 1/48 < \tau < 1/24. \) Taking different values for time step \( \tau \), the results are shown in the following figures.

It can be observed from Figure 1 that the discrete scheme (30) satisfies the maximum principle when the time step \( \tau \) is 0.025 or 0.04, and from Figure 2, the discrete scheme (30) does not satisfy the maximum principle when the time step \( \tau \) is 0.3 or 0.5. In addition, it satisfies the stability of discrete energy decaying according to Figures 3 and 4.

Then, if given \( \varepsilon^2 = 0.001, h = 0.008; \) similarly, we can get \( 1/75 < \tau < 2/75. \) The results are shown in the following figures.

It can be observed from Figure 5 that the discrete scheme (30) satisfies the maximum principle when the time step \( \tau \) is 0.02 or 0.025, and from Figure 6, the discrete scheme (30) does not satisfy the maximum principle when the time step \( \tau \) is 0.3 or 0.5. In addition, it satisfies the stability of discrete energy decaying according to Figures 7 and 8.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, the two-dimensional nonlinear Allen-Cahn equation is discretized by using the central finite difference method in space and using two operators \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) in time, and then, the fully discrete compact difference scheme with second-order accuracy in time and fourth-order in space is established as below:

\[
\mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \frac{U_{ij}^{n+1} - U_{ij}^n}{\tau} + \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \left( \frac{u_{ij}^{n+1}}{2} + \frac{u_{ij}^n}{2} - \frac{u_{ij}^{n+1} + u_{ij}^n}{2} \right) = \varepsilon^2 \left( \mathcal{B} \delta_i u_{ij}^{n+1} + \mathcal{B} \delta_i u_{ij}^n + \mathcal{A} \delta_j u_{ij}^{n+1} + \mathcal{A} \delta_j u_{ij}^n \right),
\]

\[1 \leq i \leq M - 1, 0 \leq n \leq N - 1.\]
Constructing the discrete matrix,
\[ D_2 = BD_1 + D_1A, \]
\[ C = AB. \] (99)

Then, using the matrix instead of the operator, the following compact difference equation (39) can be obtained:
\[ C \frac{U^{n+1} - U^n}{\tau} + C \left[ \frac{(U^{n+1})^3 - U^{n+1}}{2} + \frac{(U^n)^3 - U^n}{2} \right] = \epsilon^2 \left( D_2 U^{n+1} + D_2 U^n \right). \] (100)

It proved that when \( 5/24 < \lambda < 5/12, 0 < \tau \leq \min \{ (4/5) \lambda - 1/6, 1 - (12/5)\lambda \} \), the discrete scheme satisfies the maximum principle \( \|U^n\|_{\infty} \leq 1, \) for \( \forall n \geq 1. \)

Secondly, the discrete energy function of the compact difference scheme (88) of the two-dimensional Allen-Cahn equation is
\[ E(u) = \frac{1}{4} \cdot h^2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \left( u_{i,j}^3 - 1 \right)^2 - \epsilon^2 \cdot h^2 \cdot u^T C^{-1} D_2 u. \] (101)

The numerical solution obtained by scheme (39) satisfies the stability of discretization energy decaying under the conditions and conclusions of discretization maximization.
\[ E(U^{n+1}) \leq E(U^n). \] (102)

Data Availability
The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Conflicts of Interest
The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments
The work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11761074), the Natural Science Foundation of Jilin Province (2020122336JC), and the Program for Young and Middle-Aged Leading Talents in Scientific and Technological Innovation of Jilin Province (20200301053RQ).

References
[1] X. Zhang, Y. F. Jin, H. Y. Qiao, and C. H. Li, “Crank-Nicolson difference scheme for two dimensional Allen-Cahn equation,” Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 238–250, 2021.
[2] D. Tian, Y. F. Jin, and G. Lv, Discrete maximum principle and energy stability of compact difference scheme for the Allen-Cahn equation, Preprints, 2018.
[3] J. Q. Zhang and T. L. Hou, “Discrete maximum principle and energy stability of finite difference methods for one-dimensional Allen-Cahn equations,” Journal of Beihua University, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 159–164, 2016.
[4] S. Y. Zhai, X. L. Feng, and Y. N. He, “Numerical simulation of the three dimensional Allen-Cahn equation by the high-order compact ADI method,” Computer Physics Communications, vol. 185, no. 10, pp. 2449–2455, 2014.
[5] D. Jeong, S. Lee, D. Lee, J. Shin, and J. Kim, “Comparison study of numerical methods for solving the Allen-Cahn equation,” Computational Materials Science, vol. 111, pp. 131–136, 2016.
[6] F. Wu, The Direct Discontinuous Galerkin Method for Allen-Cahn Equations, Xiangtan University, 2019.
[7] R. H. Guo, Local Discontinuous Galerkin Methods and Fast Solvers for Phase Field Models, University of Science and Technology of China, 2014.
[8] X. F. Xiao, D. W. Gui, and X. L. Feng, “A highly efficient operator-splitting finite element method for 2D/3D nonlinear Allen-Cahn equation,” International Journal of Numerical Methods for Heat and Fluid Flow, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 530–542, 2017.
[9] T. T. Li, Allen-Cahn and Cahn-Hilliard Equations Using Spectral Methods, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, 2015.
[10] H. G. Lee and J. Y. Lee, “A semi-analytical Fourier spectral method for the Allen–Cahn equation,” Computers and Mathematics with Applications, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 174–184, 2014.
[11] L. Y. Wu, J. Y. Wang, and S. Y. Zhai, “Two ADI schemes for solving two-dimensional Allen-Cahn equations,” Journal of Huaqiao University, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 412–420, 2019.
[12] Y. F. Deng, Z. F. Yao, J. Y. Wang, and Z. F. Weng, “Two dimensional Allen-Cahn equations solved by finite difference method/collocation method,” Journal of Huaqiao University, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 690–694, 2020.