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**Abstract**

In this present study, eight genotypes of *Brassica juncea* comprising four tolerant (IC-399802, IC-491089, IC-312545, IC-312553) and four susceptible (IC-385686, IC-264131, IC-426392, Laxmi) genotypes for aphid tolerance were used to generate Sequence Characterized Amplified Region (SCAR) markers through dominant PCR based markers (51 RAPD and 12 ISSR markers). 13 RAPD (Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA) and 8 ISSR (Inter Simple Sequence Repeat) markers were found to be polymorphic but only 3 primers OPE16 (RAPD), UBC 839 (ISSR) and UBC 864 (ISSR) were obtained which could distinguish tolerant genotypes from susceptible ones. OPE16 (RAPD) obtained unique band of size approx. 600 bp in susceptible genotypes while it was absent in the tolerant genotypes. Similarly, UBC 839 (ISSR) yielded ~800 bp unique band in bulk tolerant while UBC 864 (ISSR) yielded three bands of ~1200 bp, ~1000 bp, and ~500 bp in tolerant genotypes which was absent in the susceptible genotypes. These unique bands were excised to generate five sets of SCAR markers. Among the five sets of SCAR marker, only BJSACAR F1 and BJSACAR R1 set yielded the promising result in all for 4 susceptible genotypes as well as bulk susceptible and was absent in all the tolerant genotypes and *Brassica fractula* (highly tolerant to aphid, used as a control). So, this SCAR marker developed could be successfully used in screening of *B. juncea* genotypes in future breeding programs.
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**Introduction**

*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern. & Coss. Commonly known as ‘Indian Mustard’ is one of the highly important crop species from the family Brassicaceae. It is a Rabi season crop and highly demanded as edible oil. But, one of its major biotic constraints is mustard aphid (*Lipaphis erysimi* K.) which hampers its productivity. It belongs to order Homoptera and family aphidae. Both adults and nymphs stages adversely affects the plant growth and development by sucking the saps of the leaf, inflorescence and pods of the plant rendering weak and fragile plants. According to some reports, *L. erysimi* can cause 10-90% loss in yield in rapeseed–mustard. Though, mustard aphids can be controlled satisfactorily by insecticides, but the residual effect of the toxic chemicals hampers the environment as well as the friendly insects. So, development of resistant/tolerant varieties is the best approach to tackle the menace of aphid.

Apart from development of resistant/tolerant varieties, it is further important to develop reliable screening techniques. In *B. juncea*, some of the morphological and biochemical traits like small and hardy inflorescence with loosely packed buds, darker leaves, more branches with wider angle of orientation, less amount of total sugar and sulphur contents, higher glucosinolates particularly sinigrin traits were observed to be related to aphid tolerance (Rai & Sehgal, Ahuja et al., Martínez-Ballesta et al.) [13, 1, 7]. Till date there is no report of successful tolerant cultivars developed by conventional means in *B. juncea* with systemic plant responses in the form of direct or indirect defenses against aphid attack. At the same time, a suitable high-throughput method for screening large numbers of genotypes yet to be developed in breeding for selection of tolerant cultivars in *B. juncea*. Prior reports suggest efforts were made in many crops to study the resistance to biotic stresses using molecular markers (Myburg et al. Prabhu et al. Monika et al. Chander et al.) [10, 12, 9, 2].
However, limited information is available in *B. juncea* related to development of SCAR markers for aphid resistance, which are not good enough for high level of confirmation (Chander et al.) [3].

Sequence Characterized Amplified Region (SCAR) markers are important codominant molecular markers used for tagging of a gene or to link with a specific trait. Therefore, it would be useful to develop a good SCAR marker related to aphid tolerance for screening of *B. juncea* genotypes.

2. Material and Method

2.1 Plant material

The plant materials included four tolerant and four susceptible genotypes of *B. juncea* identified on the basis of earlier field trials conducted at Oilseed section of PBG Department, BAC, Sabour.

2.2 DNA extraction

Total DNA was extracted from leaves collected in the field following the CTAB method described by Doyle and Doyle [5] with a few modifications using 100 mg of leaf tissue in liquid nitrogen using mortar and pestle. For bulked DNA analysis, two DNA bulks were constructed, each using the four genotypes with either tolerance or susceptibility for the aphid infestation. Later, the integrity of DNA was checked by gel electrophoresis on 0.8% Agarose-EtBr gel. Gel was viewed on a UV trans-illuminator and captured on gel documentation system (UVITEC, Cambridge, U.K.).

2.3 PCR setup

The PCR was carried out on a thermal cycler (Veriti R#9902, ABI, Singapore) as follows for 15 µl PCR reaction: 7.5 µl of 2X Primix *Taq* (Xcelris Genomics, India), 0.5µl of primer (10µM), 5µl of distilled autoclaved water was added and further 2 µl of DNA (50 ng) was added. The reaction was carried out in thermal cycler, initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, 40 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 54°C for 1 min, extension at 72°C for 1 min, and final extension at 72°C for 7 min with the list of the primers as mentioned in the table 1.0. The PCR product was resolved by gel electrophoresis on 1.5% Agarose-EtBr gel. Gel was viewed on a UV trans-illuminator and captured on gel documentation system (UVITEC, Cambridge, U.K.).

2.4 Band excision and elution

The six unique bands selected were cut and eluted using Quick gel extraction method as per the protocol of manufactures (Thermo-fisher, USA).

2.5 Ligation reaction for TA cloning

For the ligation reaction following constituents as per the manufacturer’s instruction (Thermo-fisher, USA) were used for 30 µl reaction : Vector *pTZ57R/T* - 3µl, 5X Ligation buffer - 6 µL, *Tt* ligase-1 µL, DNA -10 µl and autoclaved distill water -10 µl and was incubated for 1 hour at 22°C. Chilled on ice and transform 10 µl of reaction into 50 µl competent cells.

2.6 Transformation in competent cells of DH5α strains of E. coli

DH5α *E. coli* cells (NEB, U.K.) were transformed with the ligated product using the standard protocol (Sambrook et al.) [14]. After an hour these cells were spread on Luria-Bertini (LB) agar plates containing ampicillin (100 µl/ml) and X-gal (20 µl/ml).

2.7 Re-streaking of colony

All the white (transformed) colonies were re-streaked on a fresh ampicillin plate containing ampicillin (100 µl/ml) and X-gal (20 µl/ml) and incubated for overnight at 37°C.

2.8 Confirmation of cloning of desired bands

The cloning of desired bands were confirmed by double digestion with *EcoRI* and *BamHI* and colony PCR using vector specific M13 forward and reverse primers.

2.8.1 Double digestion using restriction enzymes

For the confirmation of insert restriction enzyme based double digestion was performed using following constituents for 30 µl reaction: 10 µl Plasmid, 0.5 µl restriction enzyme *BamHI* and *EcoRI* (10U/ µl each, Thermo Scientific, USA ) each was used, 2 µl Tango buffer and 17 µl autoclaved distilled water was added to make up the volume. The setup was incubated for 1 hour and checked on 1% agarose gel and the gel was viewed on a UV trans-illuminator and captured on gel documentation system (UVITEC, Cambridge, UK).

2.8.2 Colony PCR

Colony PCR was performed to check the cloning of PCR product. Plasmid containing the ligated DNA insert was confirmed by colony PCR using vector specific primer pairs flanking the cloning site (M13 forward (F) 5'-GTAAACGACGCTATGACCATG-3' and M13 reverse (R) 5'-GGAAACAGCTATGACCATG-3'). For colony lysis preparation (Sambrook et al.) [14], a small portion of transformed bacterial colony was picked up with a clean micro-tip and transferred into 50.0 µl of colony lysis buffer. The micro-centrifuge tubes were incubated in a boiling water bath for 10 min and chilled on ice for 2 min. After cooling, cell debris was pelleted by centrifugation for 2 min and the supernatant (colony lysate) was transferred to a new micro-centrifuge tube. PCR reaction was set up as follows 7.5 µl of 2X Premix *Taq* (Xcelris Genomics, India), 0.5µl of each M13 F and M13 R primer (10µM), 5µl of distilled autoclaved water was added and further 2 µl of DNA (50 ng) was added. The PCR parameters were: 25 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 54°C for 40 sec, 72°C for 1-3 min and final extension at 72°C for 5 min, and cooling to 4°C. PCR amplified products were electrophoresed on 1.2 % agarose+EtBr gel for analysis.

2.9 Plasmid Extraction

Plasmid from transformed clones was extracted following method (Sambrook et al.) [14].

2.10 Sequencing

Plasmids were sequenced at Xcelris Genomics, Ahmadabad using both M13 forward and M13 reverse primers for forward and reverse sequencing, respectively.

2.11 Blast analysis

The nucleotide sequence obtained was screened for vector sequence contamination initially through online VecScreen (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/vecscreen/), and then manually looked at vector’s flanking sequence near multiple cloning sites (MCS). Then BLASTN analysis (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST.cgi) was done to find if any similarity exists in GenBank database.

2.12 Primer designing

Then the actual sequence was used for SCAR primer
designing by online programme Primer 3 Input (http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/).

2.13 Validation of Primer
SCAR primers developed were validated by PCR using DNA samples from four aphid susceptible genotypes (1) Laxmi (2) IC-426392 (3) IC-599802 (4) IC-264131 (5) bulk of all four susceptible and four aphid tolerant genotypes (6) IC-385686 (7) IC-312553 (8) IC-491089 (9) IC-312545 and (10) bulk of all four tolerant along with (11) B. fruticulosa as control for aphid tolerant.

3. Result
DNA fingerprinting of four susceptible and four tolerant genotypes was carried out using fifty-one RAPD primers and twelve ISSR primers. Out of fifty-one RAPD primers and twelve ISSR primers, only thirteen RAPD primers and eight ISSR primers showed amplifications. Among thirteen RAPD primers and eight ISSR primers, only one RAPD primer OPE 16 and two ISSR primer UBC 839 and UBC 864 produced five unique bands which discriminated tolerant genotypes from susceptible ones.

In RAPD primer OPE 16 produced one bands of size ~600bp (Fig. 1.1.) which could discriminate tolerant genotypes from susceptible ones for aphid tolerance. Similarly, UBC 839 yielded ~800 bp unique band in bulk tolerant (Fig.1.2.) while UBC 864 yielded three bands of ~1200 bp, ~1000 bp, and ~500 bp in tolerant genotypes (Fig. 1.3.) respectively. These unique bands were excised and eluted from the gel. Further, ligated into TA-cloning vector (Invitrogen, Thermo-Fischer, U.S.A) and transformed into E.coli (DH5α) cells following a standard transformation protocol (Sambrook et al.) [14]. Blue-white screening method was performed for the selection of white positive clones as shown in the Fig.1.4.

Further the insert of the clone was confirmed by double digestion using EcoRI and Bam HI and colony PCR. The representative figure of double digestion and Colony PCR is shown in Fig. 1.5(a.) and Fig. 1.5 (b.), respectively.

3.1 Sequencing and analysis of cloned RAPD/ISSR fragments
The sequencing of plasmids was performed by Sanger’s dyeide method with M13F and M13 R primers for forward and reverse sequencing, respectively. These sequences were first looked for cloning site specific sequences and for primer sequences. Vector sequence contamination was also checked by VectScreen online tool (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/vectscreen/). Thus, the resulting sequences of RAPD/ISSR fragments i.e. SCAR sequences named as BJSCAR1, BJSCAR2, BJSCAR3, BJSCAR4 and BJSCAR5. These sequences were searched for similarity in GenBank nucleotide database using BLASTN programme (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and BJSCAR2, BJSCAR3, BJSCAR4 and BJSCAR5 showed similarity with genomic sequence of Raphanus sativus, Brassica napus, Arabidopsis thaliana except BJSCAR 1.

3.2 Studying polymorphism through developed SCAR markers
The sequences obtained were used to design seven sets of SCAR primers using online tool available at http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0. Out of seven sets of SCAR primers designed from unique band sequences, BJSCAR1-F1 and BJSCAR1-R1 (Table 2.0) yielded a prominent unique bands in all the four susceptible genotypes as well as in the bulk susceptible and was absent in the tolerant genotypes under study (Fig.1.6). This primer set also did not show any amplification in B. fruticulosa, a highly tolerant to aphid (used as control), thereby confirms this SCAR primer set’s discriminatory power for aphid susceptible and tolerant genotypes of B. juncea (Fig. 1.6.). Other SCAR primers (BJSCAR1-F2 and BJSCAR1-R2 for SCAR1; BJSCAR2-F1 and BJSCAR2-R1 for SCAR2; BJSCAR3-F1, BJSCAR3-R1, BJSCAR3-F2 and BJSCAR-R2 for SCAR3; BJSCAR4-F1, BJSCAR4-R1 for SCAR4; BJSCAR5-F1, BJSCAR5-R1 for SCAR5) could not show clear cut polymorphism between susceptible and tolerant genotypes (Fig. 1.7-1.10).

4. Discussion
4.1 Conversion of RAPD/ISSR-derived fragments into SCAR marker
In the present study, RAPD/ISSR-derived fragments were cloned, sequenced and converted into SCAR markers namely, BJSCAR1, BJSCAR2, BJSCAR3, BJSCAR4 and BJSCAR5. BLASTN analysis of these SCAR sequences was done to see if any of these related to resistance. The sequences of BJSCAR2, BJSCAR3, BJSCAR4, BJSCAR5 showed high similarity with nucleotide sequences of A. thaliana, B. rapa, B. napus and other Brassica spp. but none specifically related to resistance. BJSCAR1 did not show any match in GenBank nucleotide database, this could be a novel sequence.

There were several reports on RAPD/ISSR-derived SCAR markers, developed for polymorphism study related to biotic and abiotic stresses in crops [3, 4, 15]. A list of important traits linked with molecular markers in B. juncea is shown in Table 2.3. SCAE1 and SCAE2 primers were designed that discriminated heat tolerant and susceptible tomato [3]. In sugarcane, RAPD-derived SCAR marker (OPAK 12245) developed was used for screening tolerant and susceptible genotypes to drought stress [15]. Similarly, for powdery mildew in pea, a RAPD-derived SCAR marker ScOPX 04880 was developed to screen for the resistance gene ‘er1’ in tolerant and susceptible genotypes [10]. In B. juncea, putative source of aphid resistance reported was based on the molecular analysis of the identified tolerant accessions to mustard aphid [2]. They screened 34 germplasm with 284 RAPD primers, of which 87 primers showed amplification, and from these four were polymorphic and finally one RAPD primer could clearly discriminated the tolerant and susceptible accessions, converted SCAR marker [2].

4.2 SCAR primer developed to discriminate the aphid susceptible and tolerant genotypes of B. juncea
Out of seven sets of SCAR primers obtained from SCAR marker sequences, BJSCAR1-F1 and BJSCAR1-R1 yielded a prominent unique bands in all the four susceptible genotypes as well as in the bulk susceptible and was absent in the tolerant genotypes under study (Fig.1.6). This primer set also did not show any amplification in B. fruticulosa, a highly tolerant to aphid (used as control), thereby confirms this SCAR primer set’s discriminatory power for aphid susceptible and tolerant genotypes of mustard. Other SCAR primers (BJSCAR2-F1 and BJSCAR2-R1 for SCAR2; BJSCAR3-F1, BJSCAR3-R1 for SCAR3; BJSCAR4-F1, BJSCAR4-R1 for SCAR4; BJSCAR5-F1, BJSCAR5-R1 for SCAR5) could not show clear cut polymorphism between susceptible and tolerant genotypes (Fig. 4.7.5). This indicates that probably polymorphism of RAPD/ISSR markers from which these markers were derived lost upon conversion into SCAR.
marker. There are reports that conversion of RAPD to SCAR markers resulted in a loss of polymorphism linked to tolerance-sensitivity to *Fusicoccum* in almond [8], this loss of polymorphism has also been reported elsewhere [6, 17]. Even in case of SCAR marker reported in *B. juncea* for aphid resistance could not provide unequivocal results since the association between marker and resistance was not always unidirectional and suggested for refinement of the marker2. SCAR markers also reported to yield ambiguous results or polymorphism and the reason assigned was possibility of either original RAPD polymorphisms was caused by mismatches in nucleotides in the priming sites or due to the crossing over between the gene controlling the trait and marker11. Hence, our emphasis should be on confirming reproducibility of results using these markers for a given trait or characteristics. SCAR marker developed in the present study could be further refined using more aphid resistant genotypes for its wider applicability in *Brassica* spp. Thus, in the present study, only one SCAR marker was developed and validated in different susceptible/tolerant genotypes of *B. juncea*. This marker distinguished susceptible and tolerant genotypes. This was also tested with *B. fruiticulosa*, an aphid tolerant genotypes, which further confirms its discriminatory power. We further suggests that it could be further refined using more aphid resistant genotypes for its wider applicability in *Brassica* spp.

| S. No | Primer Name | Sequence (5’ to 3’) | Tm (° C) |
|-------|-------------|----------------------|----------|
| 1.    | OPA06       | 5’GGTCCCTGAC         | 34       |
| 2.    | OPA08       | 5’GTGACGTAGG         | 32       |
| 3.    | OPA09       | 5’GGGTAACGCC         | 34       |
| 4.    | OPA12       | 5’TCCGGCATAG         | 32       |
| 5.    | OPA13       | 5’CAGCACCCAC         | 34       |
| 6.    | OPA14       | 5’TCTGTCCTGG         | 32       |
| 7.    | OPA15       | 5’TTCGCAAACC         | 32       |
| 8.    | OPA17       | 5’GACCGCTTG          | 32       |
| 9.    | OPC01       | 5’TTCGAGCCAG         | 32       |
| 10.   | OPC05       | 5’GATGACCGGC         | 34       |
| 11.   | OPC06       | 5’GAAGGGACTC         | 32       |
| 12.   | OPC07       | 5’GTCGCGAGCA         | 34       |
| 13.   | OPC09       | 5’CTCACGGTC          | 34       |
| 14.   | OPC17       | 5’TTCGCCCGC          | 34       |
| 15.   | OPC18       | 5’TGAGTGAGGG         | 32       |
| 16.   | OPE01       | 5’CCAAGGTGG          | 34       |
| 17.   | OPE02       | 5’GGTGCGGGGA         | 34       |
| 18.   | OPE04       | 5’GTGACATGC          | 32       |
| 19.   | OPE11       | 5’GAGTCCTCAG         | 32       |
| 20.   | OPE14       | 5’TCCCGCTAG          | 34       |
| 21.   | OPE16       | 5’GTTGACTGGT         | 32       |
| 22.   | OPE17       | 5’CTACTGCGG          | 32       |
| 23.   | OPE18       | 5’GGACTCGAG          | 32       |
| 24.   | OPE19       | 5’ACGGCCGTAT         | 32       |
| 25.   | OPE20       | 5’AACGGTGACC         | 32       |
| 26.   | OPH01       | 5’GTTCGGAGAA         | 32       |
| 27.   | OPH02       | 5’TCCGACGTGA         | 32       |
| 28.   | OPH03       | 5’AGACGTCACC         | 32       |
| 29.   | OPH04       | 5’GGAAGTTGCC         | 34       |
| 30.   | OPH09       | 5’TGTAGCTGGG         | 32       |
| 31.   | OPH13       | 5’GACGCCCAC          | 34       |
| 32.   | Rapid1      | 5’CGTACTGCA          | 32       |
| 33.   | Rapid2      | 5’CGTCCAATG          | 32       |
| 34.   | Rapid3      | 5’GGTGCGAGCT         | 32       |
| 35.   | Rapid4      | 5’CTCGACGGGC         | 34       |
| 36.   | Rapid5      | 5’GATTACGTG          | 32       |
| 37.   | Rapid6      | 5’CACCGAAACA         | 34       |
| 38.   | Rapid7      | 5’GGACCCGTCA         | 32       |
| 39.   | Rapid8      | 5’CATGGGACT          | 32       |
| 40.   | Rapid9      | 5’TCCACACAGA         | 32       |
| 41.   | Rapid10     | 5’GCCGAGCATG         | 34       |
| 42.   | Rapid11     | 5’TGTATTGGGCC        | 32       |
| 43.   | Rapid12     | 5’AAAGCTAGCC         | 32       |
| 44.   | Rapid13     | 5’GGGCCACCAC         | 34       |
| 45.   | Rapid14     | 5’TGCCGAGACG         | 32       |
| 46.   | Rapid15     | 5’TGCCGAGACG         | 32       |
| 47.   | Rapid16     | 5’CAGAAGTGGG         | 32       |
| 48.   | Rapid17     | 5’CGTGGACACT         | 32       |
| 49.   | Rapid18     | 5’GTAGTGAGCA         | 32       |
| 50.   | Rapid19     | 5’AAACGGTCTCG        | 34       |
| 51.   | Rapid20     | 5’AGTCCAGCTA         | 32       |
| 52.   | UBC807      | 5’AGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGT| 45       |
Table 2: List of SCAR primers developed

| S. No. | Name      | Sequences (5' 3') | No. of bases | Tm (°C) | Amplicon Size (bp) |
|--------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|---------|--------------------|
| 1.     | BJSCAR1-F1| CAGAAAATGTGACCCCTGAC | 20           | 56      | 193                |
| 2.     | BJSCAR1-R1| TCAACACACTGGTGTAATC | 20           | 55      |                    |
| 3.     | BJSCAR1-F2| TTAACCAGACCCTTGGATTCT | 20           | 55      | 221                |
| 4.     | BJSCAR1-R2| TGTCAGGCTAACATTTTC | 20           | 55      |                    |
| 5.     | BJSCAR2-F1| ATGCAATTGTTATCCACTCT | 20           | 55      | 219                |
| 6.     | BJSCAR2-R1| TCTTCATCCTCCTGTTTCTT | 20           | 55      |                    |
| 7.     | BJSCAR3-F1| TTCAGAGTAGTTGCTTGTCCTCC | 22           | 56      | 157                |
| 8.     | BJSCAR3-R1| GCTCCATACTGGTTCTCGTGT | 22           | 55      |                    |
| 9.     | BJSCAR3-R2| GAGAGTAGCACCTTGTGTTG | 22           | 55      | 217                |
| 10.    | BJSCAR4-F1| GATGCAATTGTTATCCCACT | 21           | 57      | 153                |
| 11.    | BJSCAR4-R1| GCTTACTTGGCTTTAGTGTTTC | 22           | 55      |                    |
| 12.    | BJSCAR5-F1| GATGATGATTGGATATCTCCAC | 22           | 57      | 156                |
| 13.    | BJSCAR5-R1| GCTTACTTGGCTTTAGTGTTTC | 22           | 55      |                    |

Fig 1.1: RAPD banding pattern of tolerant and sensitive cultivars obtained with the RAPD primer OPE16. Where, 1-4 represents Susceptible genotypes namely 1. Laxmi, 2. IC 426392, 3. IC 399802, 4. IC 264131, and 5. Bulk of these susceptible genotypes (1-4); 6-9 represents Tolerant genotypes namely 6. IC 385686, 7. IC 312553, 8. IC 491089, 9. IC 312545, and 10. Bulk of these tolerant genotypes (6-9); M indicates 500 bp DNA ladder. Band excised for cloning is indicated with yellow arrow.
**Fig 1.2:** DNA banding pattern of tolerant and sensitive cultivars obtained with the ISSR primer UBC 839. Where, 1-4 represents Susceptible genotypes namely 1. Laxmi, 2. IC 426392, 3. IC 399802, 4. IC 264131, and 5. Bulk of these susceptible genotypes (1-4); 6-9 represents Tolerant genotypes namely 6. IC 385686, 7. IC 312553, 8. IC 491089, 9. IC 312545, and 10. Bulk of these tolerant genotypes (6-9); M indicates 100 bp DNA ladder. Band excised for cloning is indicated with green arrow.

**Fig 1.3:** DNA banding pattern of tolerant and sensitive cultivars obtained with the ISSR primer UBC 864. Where, 1-4 represents Susceptible genotypes namely 1. Laxmi, 2. IC 426392, 3. IC 399802, 4. IC 264131, and 5. Bulk of these susceptible genotypes (1-4); 6-9 represents Tolerant genotypes namely 6. IC 385686, 7. IC 312553, 8. IC 491089, 9. IC 312545, and 10. Bulk of these tolerant genotypes (6-9); M indicates 500 bp DNA ladder. Band excised for cloning is indicated with green arrow.

**Fig 1.4:** Screening of DH5α E. coli cells transformed with fragments ligated into pTZ57R/T cloning vector, on LB-Amp agarplates at 37 °C (a), Blue-white screening on X-gal-Amp-LB agar plates (b).
Fig 1.5: Confirmation of inserts by Double digestion with EcoR1 and Bam HI (a), and by Colony PCR using M13 F and M13 R primers (amplicon size of ~800 bp includes size of insert plus distance between M13F & R binding sites) (b). M, 100 bp DNA ladder.

Fig 1.6: BJSCAR 1 amplification in of tolerant and sensitive cultivars. Where, 1-4 represents Susceptible genotypes namely 1. Laxmi, 2. IC 426392, 3. IC 399802, 4. IC 264131, and 5. Bulk of these susceptible genotypes (1-4); 6-9 represents Tolerant genotypes namely 6. IC 385686, 7. IC 312553, 8. IC 491089, 9. IC 312545, and 10. Bulk of these tolerant genotypes (6-9); 11. B. fruiticulosa (known for aphid tolerance). M indicates 100 bp DNA ladder.

Fig 1.7: BJSCAR 2 amplification in of tolerant and sensitive cultivars. Where, 1-4 represents Susceptible genotypes namely 1. Laxmi, 2. IC 426392, 3. IC 399802, 4. IC 264131, and 5. Bulk of these susceptible genotypes (1-4); 6-9 represents Tolerant genotypes namely 6. IC 385686, 7. IC 312553, 8. IC 491089, 9. IC 312545, and 10. Bulk of these tolerant genotypes (6-9); 11. B. fruiticulosa (known for aphid tolerance). M indicates 100 bp DNA ladder.
Fig 1.8: BJSCAR 3 amplification in of tolerant and sensitive cultivars. Where, 1-4 represents Susceptible genotypes namely 1. Laxmi, 2. IC 426392, 3. IC 399802, 4. IC 264131, and 5. Bulk of these susceptible genotypes (1-4); 6-9 represents Tolerant genotypes namely 6. IC 385686, 7. IC 312553, 8. IC 491089, 9. IC 312545, and 10. Bulk of these tolerant genotypes (6-9); 11. B. fruiticulosa (known for aphid tolerance). M indicates 100 bp DNA ladder.

Fig 1.9: BJSCAR 4 amplification in of tolerant and sensitive cultivars. Where, 1-4 represents Susceptible genotypes namely 1. Laxmi, 2. IC 426392, 3. IC 399802, 4. IC 264131, and 5. Bulk of these susceptible genotypes (1-4); 6-9 represents Tolerant genotypes namely 6. IC 385686, 7. IC 312553, 8. IC 491089, 9. IC 312545, and 10. Bulk of these tolerant genotypes (6-9); 11. B. fruiticulosa (known for aphid tolerance). M indicates 100 bp DNA ladder.

Fig 1.10: BJSCAR 5 amplification in of tolerant and sensitive cultivars. Where, 1-4 represents Susceptible genotypes namely 1. Laxmi, 2. IC 426392, 3. IC 399802, 4. IC 264131, and 5. Bulk of these susceptible genotypes (1-4); 6-9 represents Tolerant genotypes namely 6. IC 385686, 7. IC 312553, 8. IC 491089, 9. IC 312545, and 10. Bulk of these tolerant genotypes (6-9); 11. B. fruiticulosa (known for aphid tolerance). M indicates 100 bp DNA ladder.
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