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Random media with tailored optical properties are attracting burgeoning interest for applications in imaging, biophysics, energy, nanomedicine, spectroscopy, cryptography and telecommunications. A key paradigm for devices based on this class of materials is the transmission matrix, the tensorial link between the input and the output signals, that describes in full their optical behavior. The transmission matrix has specific statistical properties, as the existence of lossless channels, that can be used to transmit information, and are determined by the disorder distribution. In nonlinear materials, these channels may be modulated and the transmission matrix tuned accordingly. Here we report the direct measurement of the nonlinear transmission matrix of complex materials, exploiting the strong optothermal nonlinearity of scattering Silica Aerogel (SA). We show that the dephasing effects due to nonlinearity are both controllable and reversible, opening the road to applications based on the nonlinear response of random media.
The transmission of light in the presence of diffusion and multiple scattering can be effectively controlled manipulating its wavelength, polarization and spatio-temporal dynamics [8–11]. This rich behavior is enabled by a large multitude of optical modes that can interact during propagation, resulting in interest from a variety of fields [1–5]. A proper shaping of the beam wave-front is key for engineering the propagation of light in scattering media, exciting specific transmissive channels, which route information and energy in otherwise diffusive materials and devices [6, 12].

Typically, this approach is based on iterative algorithms that modify the state of the light at the input of the random material, until a pre-determined figure of merit is obtained at the output. This brute-force approach can be implemented very efficiently and it has enabled a number of breakthroughs in the field, such as diffraction limit beating devices [13], optical tweezing in biological media [14], and focusing of light in time and space [15]. The merit of this technique is to treat the random material as a black box, in which light is efficiently coupled to and transported by transmission channels created by long-range intensity correlations as a result of interference effects [16].

The ability to address individual transmission channels has facilitated the development of direct measurement techniques to experimentally acquire the transmission matrix (TM) of the sample [17]. This matrix contains the full information of the optical channels in the medium and permits to address their interaction as they transport light through the material. The knowledge of the TM enables either to directly shape the input beam to obtain a desired pre-designed output, or to retrieve the unknown input that generates a given output. This powerful technique has been extensively adopted to create remarkable optical devices [10, 13, 18–20].

The possibility of controlling complex light-matter interaction is not limited to linear propagation, but also include nonlinear optics. The effect of nonlinearity in three-dimensional nonlinear media has been considered in ab-initio large scale simulations [21], and pioneering experiments revealing evidence of the nonlinear phase-shift of transmission channels were realized [22], including waveform shaping [1, 23]. However, to date, no direct measurements of the transmission matrix of nonlinear random media has been reported.

In the following, we focus on the opto-thermal nonlinearity in SA. With a series of pump-probe experiments, we first demonstrate that the coupling to the transmission channels can be dynamically tuned and we then characterize the TM of the sample in nonlinear regime. We hence quantify the effect of nonlinearity in modifying the transmission channels and demonstrate the all-optical control of light propagation in random media.

![FIG. 1. Sketch of the formation dynamics of transmissive channels in a pump/probe configuration.](image)

The TM is a matrix of complex coefficients $k_{mn}$, that relates the $n^{th}$ input fields and $m^{th}$ output fields. In this way the output of the $m^{th}$ mode, $E_{m}^{out}$ is given by the sum of input modes multiplied by the $m^{th}$ row of the TM:

$$E_{m}^{out} = \sum_{n} k_{mn} E_{n}^{in}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The linear transmission matrix is denoted in the following as $K_{off}$, corresponding to the matrix measured when the pump beam is off. As detailed in the supplementary information (SI), in the presence of an external pumping the TM of the material is perturbed, so that
\[ E_{\text{out}} = \sum_n \hat{k}_{mn} e^{i\kappa_{2n}^{(2)} \phi_{NL} E_{\text{in}}} , \]  

where \( \hat{k}_{mn} \) is the amplitude of the perturbed channels and \( \kappa_{mn}^{(2)} \) is a modal dependent nonlinear coefficient. The nonlinear transmission matrix is denoted below as \( K_{mn} \), which changes with the pump power \( P \).

As further discussed in the following, from a physical point of view, the phase perturbation can be directly linked to the nonlinear response of the material. The nonlinear phase gained by the TM elements can be written as

\[ \phi_{NL} = \frac{\pi}{2} \int \Delta \varepsilon(r) \rho(r, \omega) dr, \]

where \( \Delta \varepsilon(x, y, z) \) is the relative permittivity perturbation and \( \rho(r, \omega) \) is the local density of states. Hence those modes that are mostly overlapped with the index perturbation are subject to a phase-shift.

For a thermo-optical nonlinearity, letting \( I(x, y, z) \) the pump intensity that induces a temperature profile \( \Delta T(x, y, z) \), one has \( \Delta \varepsilon = \Delta T \partial \varepsilon / \partial T \).

In terms of a scattering process, one can visualize the process as sketched in figure 1. The spatial modulation of the input beam optimizes the transmission of the channels in the random media that gain a specific phase to produce a focalized spot at the output. The nonlinear index perturbation induces multiple novel channels, with amplitude and phase that depends on the power of the pump beam, that also interfere at the output. This additional channels may be explained in terms of a diagrammatic expansion of the perturbed Green function, as detailed in the SI.

For increasing pump power, the additional paths tends to phase-shift with respect to the unperturbed path. This nonlinear phase-shift causes the blurring of the disordered lens focus, that can be refocused by compensating the nonlinear phase at the input.

For the experimental characterization on the nonlinear TM, the key requirement is a medium with strong nonlinearity, and whose scattering properties can be easily controlled. SA is an ultra-porous material made of sparse silica aggregates, whose optical properties can be tuned from full transparency to fully diffusive behavior, by controlling the size and distribution of the silica inclusions [24]. Additionally, the optical properties of SA samples can be controlled by changing their spatial density, during fabrication [25] or by mechanical compression, e.g. for polarization or light propagation control, respectively [26]. One of the key features of SA is also its extremely low thermal conductivity, even lower than that of air, due to the Knudsen effect [27]. Thanks to this property, SA can host extremely high temperature spatial gradients [28], which in turn mediates optothermal nonlinearities on the order of \( 10^{-12} m^2/W \), which can be increased to \( 10^{-10} m^2/W \) with the inclusion of an absorbing dye [7].

For the SA used for this work, we adapted a standard base catalyzed silica precursor approach. Tetramethyl orthosilicate (TMOS), Methanol, and Ammonium Hydroxide (aq) were mixed in a 2:4:1 ratio, for a total volume of 4ml. The TMOS and Ammonium Hydroxide were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. The Ammonium Hydroxide was diluted to 35\( \mu l \) per 100ml of ultra pure water also from Sigma Aldrich. The stock chemicals of Methanol and Acetone were purchased from Fischer Scientific. This mixture was poured in a teflon mold, producing a cuboid shaped gel, with thickness of 0.5 cm. After approximately one hour the sol-gel gelated. The newly formed gel was removed from the mould and washed in a series of several acetone baths, each lasting 24 h, to remove residual chemical impurities left over from the gelation process. A few drops of water were added in the final acetone bath, to add a small impurity that would lead to a small amount of opaqueness to the final sample, to increase the amount of scattered photons. The aerogel were dried using a custom made CO\(_2\) critical point drier, over a period of 24 h.

For the nonlinear experiments, we used a pump-probe setup coupled with a spatial light modulator (SLM) for wavefront shaping of the probe beam. The setup, as well as details on the linear absorption of the SA at the pump and probe wavelength can be found in figures S1 and S2 of the SI.

To demonstrate the dynamic control of the transmission channels, we first adopted a wavefront shaping approach. The probe light was focused in the SA in an 8x8 pixel wide region of interest (ROI) on the CCD display, by employing a genetic algorithm [29]. With this approach we found an enhancement of the intensity in the ROI of 16x, with respect to the initial intensity in the same region, and 12.5x higher respect to the background intensity. This is comparable with reported values in other materials and algorithms [30]. The focused spot was controlled reversibly switching on and off the pump, with pump power equal to 200mW, as seen in 2a-c.

The focusing through random media via wavefront shaping is enabled by long-range correlations between speckles [6]. Pumping dephases the correlations, decoupling the photons from the high transmission output channels, and eliminating the bright point. Several processes can lead to this dephasing: absorption [31], refractive index changes, and scattering particle deformation [22]. Absorption was eliminated by a direct transmission measurement, observing
FIG. 2. a-c) CCD images of the output facet of the sample at different instants. The inset are zoomed in views around the Region of Interest (ROI), which is a 8x8 pixel region, highlighted in yellow in panel b. Panel d) shows the intensity in the Region of Interest (ROI) and the cross correlation of the entire speckle pattern over time with respect to the t=0 pattern.

that no measurable change occurs at the probe wavelength when the sample is pumped (not shown). The other two mechanisms are interlinked and can be treated together. SA is a highly porous skeletal structure that exhibits a high degree of optothermal nonlinearity. Thermal effects due to pumping result in an expansion of the silica matrix, lowering its density, resulting in a refractive index change, which dephases light.

As seen in fig. 2d, when pumping the SA, the intensity drop in the ROI was approximately 86% compared to the mean background level. Once the pump was turned off and the material cooled down, the focus point returned to the same intensity it was prior to the pumping process. Remarkably, the cross-correlation of the whole image with a pre-pumped reference frame was fully restored shortly after the pump was switched off. Both these effects demonstrate that the nonlinear deformation of the SA skeletal framework is a fully reversible process. The lack of 100% modulation in the intensity was due to the random chance associated with a new speckle appearing in the ROI. The minimum value of the cross-correlation was similar to that obtained correlating the reference frame with a random, uncorrelated speckle distribution.

We next set out to measure the TM of the SA, under different pumping conditions. The phase front of the incoming beam was manipulated using a sequential algorithm, in order to determine contributions from individual input channels. The 512x512 pixels of the SLM were grouped in a 16x16 array, which optimized the signal to noise ratio. The phase of each pixels was tuned from 0 to 2π. For each pixel value we acquired 1080x1080 pixels images. To improve the image stability, we integrated the signal in 120x120 pixels images and averaged them over 10 acquisitions.

The amplitude of each element of the TM is given by the peak to peak value of the intensity recorded in a CCD pixel, as the phase of the relative SLM pixel is varied. The phase of each element is given by the offset between the maximum intensity and the phase of the SLM pixel. This procedure is discussed in details in the SI. The resulting TM comprises 256x14400 elements and the complex coefficients of a typical TM are shown in Fig. 3a. To facilitate the analysis of the results, it is useful to take the average of the TM over the M pixels of the CCD images and plot its amplitude and phase, as shown in Fig. 3b-c, remapped on the 16x16 coordinates of the SLM. From a physical point of view, this corresponds in taking the average effect that a single pixel of the SLM (which corresponds to a specific direction of light incident on the sample) has on the brightness of the image acquired by the CCD. The measurement process was repeated at a range of pump powers from 5mW to 20mW. In each case the SA was pumped for 5 minutes before measurement after which there was no change in the speckle pattern. To generate a high intensity on the i\textsuperscript{th} pixel of the CCD, it is sufficient to consider the i\textsuperscript{th} row of the TM and remap it to the coordinate space of the SLM. An indication of the quality of the TM is obtained by multiplying each matrix by its normalized complex conjugate which, in the ideal case, results in a diagonal matrix [17]. Three representative examples are shown in fig. 3d, where we selected a central area of 256x256 elements of the norm of the full 14400x14400 matrix.

To determine the relationship between the TM obtained at different pumping conditions, as shown in fig. 4a-b,
FIG. 3. a) Amplitude (brightness) and phase (color) of a typical TM. b-c) Phase and amplitude of the TM, averaged over the M pixels of the CCD and remapped on 16x16 SLM matrices, respectively. d) 256x256 pixel wide section of the norm of a TM, multiplied by its normalized complex conjugate.
we took the amplitude and phase of the ratio between the TMs of the pumped and un-pumped sample, element by element, averaged along the CCD coordinates and remapped in the SLM space, as for fig. 3. The specific profiles emerging in the differential matrix are due to the particular choice of basis used to address the SLM and on the fact that we slightly overfilled the back focal plane of the focusing lens. In this configuration, the photons reflected from the external pixels of the SLM do not couple to propagating channels in the medium. This effect is particularly evident when considering the perturbation of the TM, both in amplitude and phase. Additionally, the sign of the dephasing is consistent with the fact that the optothermal nonlinearity in the SA is self-defocusing [7].

The peak phase change in the TMs for different pump powers is shown in fig. 4c. The amplitude of the maximum dephasing increases linearly up to 20mW. After this pump value, the pumped material changes substantially and the transmission channels become uncorrelated with the unpumped case. This trend demonstrates that for low pump powers the channels are only slightly dephased, until the deformation of the material induces a completely different scattering dynamics, embodied by an uncorrelated set of transmission channels. In order to restore the focusing action, the SLM must compensate this nonlinear phase perturbation. And this is exactly what we found from the experiments.

**FIG. 4.** Measurement of the nonlinear transmission matrix: (a) ratio between the amplitudes of the TM at different powers with $K_{off}$; (b) nonlinear phase at different powers determined as the phase of the ratio between $K_{on}$ and $K_{off}$; (c) Amplitude of the peak of the dephasing vs pump power, extracted from panel b.

The transmission matrices formalism for random media can usually be used either to engineer an input complex field to obtain a pre-determined output field or to infer the input field, from the analysis of an output image [6]. With our result we extend the applicability of the TM paradigm to dynamically evolving samples with all-optical control of the material properties. Additionally, for our specific case, there exists a pumping regime where the evolution of the...
channels is correlated, thus allowing to use the TM predictively. We anticipate that the use of the TM in the nonlinear regime will enable a new class of nonlinear devices based on all-optical control random media, e.g. in random lasing, imaging, gating and switching applications.

CONCLUSION

We have demonstrated the reversible and all-optical control of wavefront shaping in nonlinear random media based on opto-thermal nonlinearity in silica based aerogel. We also characterized the transmission matrix of the random material in the nonlinear regime, using a pump and probe scheme coupled with phase controlled input modulation. In the material under examination we identified a regime where the transmission channels maintain a degree of correlation for a finite range of powers. The full knowledge of TM in the nonlinear regime paves the way for a new generation of nonlinear devices based on random media.
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**S1 - PUMP-PROBE OPTICAL SETUP**

The probe beam ($\lambda_{\text{probe}} = 830$ nm, MDL-III-830-800mW diode from Changchen New Industries Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd.) was polarized and collimated onto a spatial light modulator (SLM) (HSP512 from Boulder Nonlinear Systems). The image displayed on the SLM was relayed on the back aperture of a 30x aspheric lens ($f = 6.2\, \text{mm}$), to focus the light on the sample. This configuration allowed correlating the change in phase of the pixels of the SLM to the direction of light impinging onto the sample [1]. The scattered light was collected by a 20x objective from Newport ($f = 9.0\, \text{mm}, \text{NA}=0.40$), with a field of view of 400 $\mu\text{m}$ in diameter, and imaged onto a CCD camera (Basler acA1920-25gm). To ensure the collection of only scattered photons, two cross polarizers were used on either side of the sample. The pump beam ($\lambda_{\text{pump}} = 488$ nm) was focused on the back focal plane of the input objective, creating a collimated beam 40$\mu\text{m}$ in width, collinear to the probe beam.

![Pump-Probe Optical setup with wavefront shaping of the probe beam by SLM.](image)

**S2 - LINEAR ABSORPTION OF SILICA AEROGEL**

The linear absorption of the used SA sample was estimated measuring the optical transmission of the sample for different angles and unpolarized, collimated light, and shown in fig. S2.
FIG. 2. Transmission characteristics of the SA for the pump and probe wavelengths.

S3 - CONSTRUCTION OF THE TRANSMISSION MATRICES

The process for forming the TM from raw image data is outlined in figure S3. The 2D pixels of the CCD (M pixels) and of the SLM (N pixels) are mapped in a MxN TM matrix. To improve the SNR in the CCD images, we sum the total black-white intensity values over 8x8 pixels, giving a measurement range between 0 and 16383, rather than 0 to 255.

The phase of each pixel of the SLM is tuned in turn in the range \((-\pi, \pi)\), keeping the other pixels at \(-\pi\) and the corresponding CCD image is acquired. The light impinging on the constant area of the SLM interferes with that of the tuned pixel, to access the complex values of the transmission channel. This process produces a stack of 3D images for each SLM pixel, as shown in panel c).

The intensity of each pixel in the stack changes with the phase of the SLM pixel in a cosine function. The amplitude and phase of the relative elements of the TM are given by the peak-to-peak value of the cosine function and by the offset respect to the reference phase, respectively, as seen in panels d-e). A typical complex TM is shown in panel f).

S4 - TRANSMISSION MATRIX IN THE NONLINEAR REGIME

We consider the Green function \(G_s(r, r')\) for the material with refractive index \(n_s(r)\) and permittivity \(\varepsilon_s(r) = n_s^2(r)\). We report here a scalar formulation for the sake of simplicity, a fully vectorial treatment will be reported elsewhere and is not needed for explaining the reported experiments. The transmission matrix \(k_{mn} = \langle m|\mathbf{K}|n\rangle\) elements can be calculated.
FIG. 3. Process outline for the determination of the Complex Transmission Matrix.

in terms of the propagator $K$, which - in compact notation - is related to the Green function
by $K = 1 - G_s e_s$ with $e_s = k_0^2 \varepsilon_s(r)$.

In the presence of nonlinear effects, we write for the relative permittivity $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_s(r) + \Delta \varepsilon(r)$.
The perturbation $\Delta \varepsilon(r)$ is expressed as a superposition of localized perturbations as

$$\Delta \varepsilon(r) = \int \Delta \varepsilon(r_0) \delta(r - r_0) dr. $$ (1)

We first consider the effect each “impurity” $\Delta \varepsilon(r_0) \delta(r - r_0)$ and follow the known treatments concerning impurities in metals.[2] The Green function

$$G(r, r') = G_s(r, r') - k_0^2 G_s(r, r_0) t(r_0) G_s(r_0, r') $$ (2)

where the scattering amplitude $t(r_0)$ of the impurity at $r_0$ is

$$t(r_0) = \frac{\Delta \varepsilon(r_0)}{1 + \Delta \varepsilon(r_0) G_s(r_0, r_0)} $$ (3)

Eq.(2) shows that an additional path is induced by the index perturbation such that
a scattering event that first involved $r'$ and $r$, has an additional contribution involving
a scattering from $r$ to $r_0$ and then from $r_0$ to $r$ (see figure 1 of the main text). The
corresponding amplitude for this event is $t(r_0)$.

The phase gained in this scattering event is calculated as

$$\angle - k_0^2 t(r_0) \approx k_0^2 \Delta \varepsilon(r_0) \Im(G_s(r_0, r_0)) = \frac{\pi \omega}{2} \Delta \varepsilon(r_0) \rho(r_0, \omega) $$ (4)
where we used for the local density of states $\rho(r,\omega) = \pi c^2 \Im \{G_s(r_0, r_0)\} / (2\omega)$.

One has to consider all the scattering events from the different $r_0$, and the overall phase-shift will be proportional to

$$\phi_{NL} = \frac{\pi \omega}{2} \int \Delta \varepsilon(r) \rho(r, \omega) dr. \quad (5)$$

Indeed, one finds the following representation for the perturbation to the propagator elements

$$\Delta K_{mn} = -k_0^2 t(r_0) \kappa_{mn}(r_0) \quad (6)$$

Where $\kappa_{mn}(r_0)$ does not depend on $\Delta \varepsilon(r_0)$. Summing over all the index perturbation positions $r_0$, and neglecting higher order terms (see below), one finds that the transmission matrix will be affected in phase and amplitude. In the limit of small phase perturbation, as the index perturbation is slowly varying with respect to $r_0$, the effect on the phase can be approximated as $\kappa_{mn}^{(2)} \phi_{NL}$, as described in the text.

In this approach, the overall phase shift can be determined by summing the phases of all the amplitudes due to the scattering events induced by the nonlinearity. When considering other perturbation at different $r_0$ one has to include also higher order processes. For example a scattering from $r$ to $r_0$, from $r_0$ to another impurity at $r'_0$, and then from $r'_0$ to $r'$. But these higher order processes are weighted by higher order power of the scattering amplitude $t(r_0)$, and hence higher powers of the index perturbation $\Delta \varepsilon$ and can be neglected.
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