Finite temperature analytical results for a harmonically confined gas obeying exclusion statistics in $d$-dimensions
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Abstract
Closed form, analytical results for the finite-temperature one-body density matrix, and Wigner function of a $d$-dimensional, harmonically trapped gas of particles obeying exclusion statistics are presented. As an application of our general expressions, we consider the intermediate particle statistics arising from the Gentile statistics, and compare its thermodynamic properties to the Haldane fractional exclusion statistics. At low temperatures, the thermodynamic quantities derived from both distributions are shown to be in excellent agreement. As the temperature is increased, the Gentile distribution continues to provide a good description of the system, with deviations only arising well outside of the degenerate regime. Our results illustrate that the exceedingly simple functional form of the Gentile distribution is an excellent alternative to the generally only implicit form of the Haldane distribution at low temperatures.

PACS numbers: 05.30.—d, 05.30.Pr, 05.20.—y

1. Introduction
In his now famous 1991 paper, F D M Haldane [1] proposed a novel generalization of the Pauli exclusion principle, that leads to particle statistics which continuously interpolate between the Bose and Fermi statistics. In the Haldane fractional exclusion statistics (FES)\(^1\), one constructs a generalized exclusion principle through the single particle dimension of the $N$th particle, in the presence of $N-1$ other identical particles, viz

$$d_N^g = d - g(N-1),$$

\(^1\) An excellent discussion of FES by M V N Murthy and R Shankar may be found in [2].
where we note that \( g = 0 \) corresponds to bosons, and \( g = 1 \) to fermions. The constant \( g \) is the so-called FES of a particle, and by definition is given by

\[
g = -\frac{\Delta d}{\Delta N} \tag{2}
\]

In equation (2), \( \Delta d \) denotes the change in the dimension of the single particle space, and \( \Delta N \) is the change in the number of particles, with the proviso that the size and boundary conditions of the system are unchanged. The FES parameter, \( g \), is then a measure of partial Pauli blocking, and can quite generally take on arbitrary values \( g \geq 0 \), although we will focus on \( 0 \leq g \leq 1 \). Furthermore, FES is a consequence of state counting arguments made in Hilbert space, and so is valid for arbitrary spatial dimensions [3].

Following Haldane’s work, Ramanathan [4], Dasinères de Veigy and Ouvry [5], Wu [6] and Isakov [7] independently examined the thermodynamic properties of an ideal FES gas, and derived the now well-known result for the average occupancy of a gas of particles obeying ideal FES in the grand canonical ensemble, viz,

\[
n(\epsilon_i) = \frac{1}{f(e^{(\epsilon_i-\mu)/k_BT}) + g}, \tag{3}
\]

where \( T \) is the temperature, \( \epsilon_i \) is the single particle energy, \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, and \( \mu \) is the chemical potential. The function \( f(e^{(\epsilon_i-\mu)/k_BT}) \) is determined by \( (x = e^{(\epsilon_i-\mu)/k_BT}) \)

\[
f(x)^x(1 + f(x))^{1-x} = x. \tag{4}
\]

It is easily seen that for \( g = 0 \), we recover the usual ideal Bose distribution, while for \( g = 1 \), we obtain the Fermi distribution.

In general, closed form expressions for \( n(\epsilon_i) \) in the Haldane FES, equation (3), are not possible; that is, \( n(\epsilon_i) \) is generally only given implicitly through equation (4). However, for the special case of \( g = p/q \) where \( p \) and \( q \) are co-prime, explicit expressions may be obtained [8]. Regrettably, such distributions quickly become difficult to work with both numerically and analytically. For example, with \( g = 1/2 \) (semions), and \( g = 1/3 \), one obtains [8]

\[
n(\epsilon_i) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1 + 4e^{(\epsilon_i-\mu)/k_BT}}}, \tag{5}
\]

and

\[
n(\epsilon_i) = \frac{3}{h + h^{-1} - 1}, \tag{6}
\]

\[
h = [2\sqrt{y(y-1)} + 2y - 1]^{1/3}, \quad y = 2 \left( \frac{3e^{(\epsilon_i-\mu)/k_BT}}{2} \right)^3 + 1, \tag{7}
\]

respectively. It is therefore reasonable to investigate if there is an alternative distribution to equation (3), which is able to capture the essential thermodynamic properties of the Haldane FES, while still possessing the desirable property of having an explicit functional form.

Remarkably, over 70 years ago, the pioneering work of Gentile [9] on intermediate particle statistics may have already provided a possible answer to this question. Gentile’s work was founded on a quantum phase-space approach, in which a single quantum cell may accommodate up to \( 1/\alpha_w \) particles with the same energy. Utilizing the method of most probable distribution, Gentile obtained what we shall refer to as the Gentile exclusion statistics (GES) distribution, viz,

\[
n^{(\alpha_w)}(\epsilon_i) = \frac{1}{e^{(\epsilon_i-\mu)/k_BT} - 1} - \frac{w}{e^{w(\epsilon_i-\mu)/k_BT} - 1}. \tag{8}
\]
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where \( w \equiv 1/\alpha_{w} \). It is clear that for \( \alpha_{w} = 1 \), equation (8) exactly reproduces the standard Fermi distributions. However, there are subtle issues when taking the \( \alpha_{w} \rightarrow 0 \) limit, as recently discussed in [10]. Nevertheless, provided that the appropriate limiting procedure is employed, equation (8) does indeed reduce to the Bose distribution when \( \alpha_{w} = 0 \) [10]. More importantly, equation (8) also provides a simple, form invariant, expression for the average occupation number, \( n(\alpha_{w})(\epsilon_{i}) \), \( \forall 0 \leq \alpha_{w} \leq 1 \). We wish to point out that equation (8) appears to have been recently ‘rediscovered’ by Wang et al [11], who based their analysis on the grand partition function, also supposing \( n_{\text{max}} = 1/\alpha_{w} \) for the maximum occupation number. In fact, the distribution derived in [11] is identical to what is obtained in Gentile statistics, equation (8), although Wang et al do not appear to be aware of this fact [9, 12, 13].

One of the objectives of this paper is to investigate the viability of the GES explicit distribution, viz, equation (8), as an alternative to the implicit FES distribution given by equations (3) and (4). We note that this is a meaningful comparison, since both GES and FES are rooted in the generalization of the Pauli principle, with a well defined method for the counting of states. In order to facilitate this goal, we will focus our attention to a \( d \)-dimensional gas of ideal particles obeying arbitrary statistics at finite-temperature, confined to a harmonic oscillator trap, \( V(R) = m\omega^{2}R^{2}/2 \), with \( R = \sqrt{x_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2} + \cdots + x_{d}^{2}} \) being the \( d \)-dimensional hyper-radius. The motivation for studying this system lies in its possible connection to current experiments on harmonically trapped, ultra-cold quantum gases, along with the models relatively simple analytical properties.

To this end, the rest of our paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we will present finite temperature, closed form analytical expressions for the \( d \)-dimensional one-body density matrix (ODM) and Wigner function obeying general exclusion statistics. Then, in section 3, we make use of the Wigner function to construct a variety of thermodynamic properties without restriction to any specific statistics. In section 4, we narrow our focus to FES and GES, so that we may make a detailed comparison of these two distributions at finite, and zero-temperature. In section 5 we present our concluding remarks.

2. Finite temperature one-body density matrix and Wigner function

In this section, we will provide closed form expressions for the finite-temperature ODM and Wigner function obeying exclusion statistics, in arbitrary dimensions for a harmonically trapped gas. In what follows, we will denote the general exclusion statistics parameter by \( \alpha \), such that \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \) defines the fermionic sector. This is in fact a generic feature of any exclusion statistics distribution, which is required to continuously interpolate between Bose and Fermi statistics (see also equation (15) below). The finite-temperature Wigner function is subsequently used to evaluate a variety of thermodynamic properties, such as the spatial density, momentum density, kinetic energy density, and form factor.

2.1. One-body density matrix

The \( d \)-dimensional, finite-temperature ODM, \( \rho^{(d)}(r, s; T) \), for a system obeying arbitrary statistics is obtained by taking the two-sided inverse Laplace transform (ILT) of the finite-temperature Bloch-density matrix [14],

\[
\rho^{(d)}(r, s; T) = B_{T}^{-1}[C^{(d)}(r, s; \beta)],
\]  

(9)

2 The Gentile statistics also implements equation (3) in an average sense, (with maximal occupancy of the state as \( 1/\alpha_{w} \)) without the correlations between states for occupancy rules. So Gentile statistics in this sense also has elements of Haldane statistics, 50 years prior to Haldane’s work in [1].
where
\[ C_T^{(d)}(r, s; \beta) = C_0^{(d)}(r, s; \beta) \times \frac{H^{(u)}(\beta; T)}{\beta}, \] (10)
and \( \beta \) is the generally complex variable conjugate to \( \mu \). We have also introduced the center-of-mass and relative coordinates
\[ r = \frac{r_1 + r_2}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad s = r_1 - r_2, \] (11)
respectively. Hereby, we shall use units such that \( k_B = \hbar = m = \omega = 1 \). The factor, \( H^{(u)}(\beta; T)/\beta \), in equation (10) is a thermal weighting factor, taking into account the statistics, and \( C_0^{(d)}(r, s; \beta) \) is the normal \((T = 0)\) Bloch-density matrix [14].

The ILT in equation (9) will be a convolution between the \( \beta \)-dependence of \( C_0^{(d)}(r, s; \beta) \), and \( H^{(u)}(\beta; T)/\beta \). Therefore, it would appear that the thermal factor must be known explicitly in order to obtain the ODM of the system. However, we now point out the following defining property of the two-sided ILT for any given thermal factor \( H^{(u)}(\beta; T)/\beta \), which we write as
\[ B_{\mu}^{-1} \left[ \frac{H^{(u)}(\beta; T)}{\beta} \right] = n^{(u)}(0). \] (12)
In equation (12), \( n^{(u)}(\epsilon) \) is the distribution associated with the statistics of the particles. For example, let us consider the Bose and Fermi distributions
\[ n_B(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\epsilon(\epsilon - \mu)/T - 1}, \] (13)
and
\[ n_F(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\epsilon(\epsilon - \mu)/T + 1}, \] (14)
respectively. A reasonable attempt at the generalization of the above cases would be to write [15]
\[ n^{(\alpha)}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{e^{(\epsilon - \mu)/T + \alpha}}, \] (15)
so that Bose \((\alpha = -1)\), Boltzmann \((\alpha = 0)\), and Fermi \((\alpha = 1)\) statistics are all represented by a universal distribution. Note that while we have not formally derived equation (15), it too can be obtained as a bona fide exclusion statistics distribution, as shown by Polychronakos in [15]. However, the Polychronakos exclusion statistics (PES) given by equation (15) is not the focus of our work.

Focusing on the Bose and Fermi distributions, explicit expressions for the thermal factors \( H^{(u)}(\beta; T)/\beta \) are known; namely, for bosons [16]
\[ \frac{H_B(\beta; T)}{\beta} = -\frac{\pi T}{\tan(\pi \beta T)}, \] (16)
whereas for fermions,
\[ \frac{H_F(\beta; T)}{\beta} = \frac{\pi T}{\sin(\pi \beta T)}. \] (17)
It can be readily shown by direct calculation that [16]
\[ B_{\mu}^{-1} \left[ \frac{H_B(\beta; T)}{\beta} \right] = \frac{1}{\exp(-\mu/T) - 1} = n_B(0), \] (18)
and
\[ B_{\mu}^{-1} \left[ \frac{H_F(\beta; T)}{\beta} \right] = \frac{1}{\exp(-\mu/T) + 1} = n_F(0). \] (19)
Therefore, once the $\beta$-dependence of $C_0^{(d)}(r,s;\beta)$ is known, an application of the convolution theorem for Laplace transforms will, at least in principle, be able to provide us with the finite-temperature ODM via equation (9). Note that the convolution integral may still be very difficult to evaluate analytically if the $\beta$-dependence coming from the normal Bloch-density matrix is complicated. Indeed, the analytical evaluation of the ILT may only be feasible for specific dimensions.

However, we now make a critical observation. If $C_0^{(d)}(r,s;\beta)$ can be written such that the $\beta$-dependence is exponential, the shifting property of the Laplace transform [17] may be used to find a universal expression for the finite-temperature ODM, which is unchanged by the dimension or statistics under consideration. To wit, we note that by the shift property, we have

$$B^{-1}_\mu \left[ e^{-\gamma \beta} \frac{H^{(\alpha)}(\beta; T)}{\beta} \right] = n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma),$$

where $\gamma$ is real and positive. In other words, if the Bloch density matrix is purely exponential in its $\beta$-dependence, the ODM may be found for any statistics without requiring an explicit expression for the thermal factor, $H^{(\alpha)}(\beta; T)/\beta$. It is then highly desirable to try to express the $\beta$-dependence of $C_0^{(d)}(r,s;\beta)$ as a pure exponential. This goal is actually achievable for the case of $d$-dimensional harmonic confinement, where we obtain the following expression for the normal Bloch-density matrix, viz [18],

$$C_0^{(d)}(r,s;\beta) = \frac{g_\epsilon}{\pi^{d/2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n L_n^{d/2-1}(2r^2)L_k^{d/2-1}(s^2/2) e^{-(r^2+s^2/4)} e^{-(\epsilon_n+k)\beta},$$

where $\epsilon_n = (n+d/2)$ is the $d$-dimensional spectrum of an isotropic harmonic oscillator potential, and plays the role of $\gamma$ in equation (20). The quantity, $g_\epsilon$ in equation (21) denotes the spin degeneracy, and $L_n^{d}(x)$ are the associated Laguerre polynomials [17].

It then immediately follows that the ILT in equation (9) may be performed without requiring an explicit expression for the thermal factor, by using the general result

$$B^{-1}_\mu \left[ e^{-(\epsilon_n+k)\beta} \frac{H^{(\alpha)}(\beta; T)}{\beta} \right] = n^{(\alpha)}(\epsilon_n + k).$$

In order to clarify, and illustrate the above analysis, let us again consider the Bose and Fermi statistics, from which equations (18) and (19) provide us with the appropriate ILTs for the thermal factors, $H^{(\alpha)}(\beta; T)/\beta$. We may evaluate the ILT piece in equation (9) for bosons by brute force using the convolution theorem for ILTs, viz,

$$B^{-1}_\mu \left[ e^{-(\epsilon_n+k)\beta} \frac{\pi T}{\tan(\pi \beta T)} \right] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \delta(\tau - (\epsilon_n + k)) \frac{1}{\exp[(\tau - \mu)/T] - 1}
= \frac{1}{e^{(\epsilon_n+k-\mu)/T} - 1}
\equiv n_B(\epsilon_n + k),$$

and similarly for fermions

$$B^{-1}_\mu \left[ e^{-(\epsilon_n+k)\beta} \frac{\pi T}{\sin(\pi \beta T)} \right] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \delta(\tau - (\epsilon_n + k)) \frac{1}{\exp[(\tau - \mu)/T] + 1}
= \frac{1}{e^{(\epsilon_n+k-\mu)/T} + 1}
\equiv n_F(\epsilon_n + k).$$

Notice that a direct application of equation (20) immediately leads to the same result, without explicit knowledge of $H^{(\alpha)}(\beta; T)/\beta$. 
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We may then write down the general expression for the finite-temperature ODM of a harmonically trapped gas, appropriate for general exclusion statistics, as

\[
\rho^{(d)}(r, s; T) = \frac{g_s}{\pi^{d/2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n L_n^{d/2-1} (2r^2) L_k^{d/2-1} (s^2/2) e^{-(r^2+s^2/4)} n^{(a)}(\epsilon_n + k).
\]  

(25)

Thus, for bosons, equation (25) would read exactly as above, but with \(n^{(a)}(\epsilon_n + k) \rightarrow n_b(\epsilon_n + k)\). Similarly, for fermions, equation (25) still holds, but with \(n^{(a)}(\epsilon_n + k) \rightarrow n_F(\epsilon_n + k)\). We must emphasize that this universal expression for the finite-temperature ODM is only possible owing to the special decomposition of \(C^{(d)}_0(r, s; \beta)\), such that the \(\beta\) dependence is strictly exponential. This is by no means a trivial result, and would be difficult, if not impossible to establish by starting with the single-particle harmonic oscillator eigenstates in \(d\)-dimensions. In fact, for any other form of \(C^{(d)}_0(r, s; \beta)\), the temperature dependence in equation (25) changes with dimensionality, and the universal representation of the ODM is lost, as illustrated in [19]. In addition, note that in equation (25), both the center-of-mass, \(r\), and relative coordinate, \(s\), are treated on equal footing, resulting in a clean separation of the variables. This form for the ODM is useful for analytical calculations where separate integrations over \(r\) and \(s\) may need to be performed.

### 2.2. Wigner function

The \(d\)-dimensional Wigner function, \(W^{(d)}(r, p; T)\), may now be obtained via a Fourier transform of the ODM, equation (25), with respect to the relative coordinate. The Wigner function is a useful tool for the phase-space formulation of quantum mechanics [20], and as we shall see below, also simplifies analytical calculations for various thermodynamic properties of the system. Specifically, by definition,

\[
W^{(d)}(r, p; T) = \int \! d^d s \rho^{(d)}(r, s; T) e^{-ip \cdot s},
\]

(26)

where \(d^d x = 2\pi^{d/2} x^{d-1}/[\Gamma(d/2)]\). Given that the ODM only depends on the magnitude of the coordinates, all angular integrals may be immediately performed, thereby allowing us to write equation (26) as [21]

\[
W^{(d)}(r, p; T) = (2\pi)^{d/2} \int_0^{\infty} \! ds \rho^{(d)}(r, s; T) \left( \frac{1}{ps} \right)^{d/2-1} J_{d-1}(sp)s^{d-1},
\]

(27)

where \(J_d(x)\) is a Bessel function of the first kind [17]. The integral in equation (27) has already been addressed in an earlier work [21], and following the same analysis, we readily obtain the desired result

\[
W^{(d)}(r, p; T) = 2^d g_s \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+k} L_n^{d/2-1} (2r^2) L_k^{d/2-1} (p^2) e^{-(r^2+p^2/4)} n^{(a)}(\epsilon_n + k).
\]

(28)

Similar to equation (25), there is once again a clean separation of the variables in equation (28), which in this case are the spatial and momentum variables. The utility of this form for the Wigner function will be illustrated below.

The finite temperature expressions given by equations (25) and (28) are valid for any dimensionality, any flavor of exclusion statistics, and represent the main analytical results of this paper.
3. Finite temperature results

Here, we make use of the Wigner function developed above to construct several thermodynamic quantities of interest. The results presented here serve to generalize the Bose and Fermi expressions presented elsewhere in the literature [21].

3.1. Spatial density

The spatial density is obtained from the Wigner function via

\[ \rho^{(d)}(r; T) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int d^d p W^{(d)}(r, p; T). \]  

(29)

Using the integral [17]

\[ I = \int_0^\infty dx L_k^{d/2-1}(2x^2) e^{-x^2} x^{d-1} = \frac{1}{2} (-1)^d \frac{\Gamma(k + d/2)}{\Gamma(k + 1)}, \]

(30)

equation (29) evaluates to

\[ \rho^{(d)}(r; T) = \frac{g_s}{\pi^{d/2}} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^n \binom{k + d/2 - 1}{k} L_n^{d/2-1}(2r^2) e^{-r^2 n^{(\alpha)}} (\epsilon_n + k). \]  

(31)

Observe that the convenient separation of the \( r \) and \( p \) coordinates in equation (28) has allowed for an easy calculation of the spatial density. Of course, equation (31) may also be obtained by setting \( s = 0 \) in equation (25).

3.2. Momentum density

The finite temperature momentum density, \( \Pi^{(d)}(p; T) \), is obtained by integrating over the coordinate variable, viz,

\[ \Pi^{(d)}(p; T) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int d^d r W^{(d)}(r, p; T). \]  

(32)

Once again, making use of equation (30), we obtain

\[ \Pi^{(d)}(p; T) = \frac{g_s}{\pi^{d/2}} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^n \binom{n + d/2 - 1}{n} L_n^{d/2-1}(2p^2) e^{-p^2 n^{(\alpha)}} (\epsilon_n + k). \]  

(33)

3.3. Kinetic energy density

The finite temperature kinetic energy density, \( \tau^{(d)}(r; T) \), is calculated according to

\[ \tau^{(d)}(r; T) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^\infty d^d p W^{(d)}(r, p; T) \frac{p^2}{2}. \]  

(34)

Inserting the finite-temperature Wigner function, equation (28), into equation (34), and performing the integration, leads to

\[ \tau^{(d)}(r; T) = \frac{g_s}{4\pi^{d/2} \Gamma(d/2)} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^n \binom{d + 4k}{k} \Gamma(d/2 + k) L_n^{d/2-1}(2r^2) e^{-r^2 n^{(\alpha)}} (\epsilon_n + k). \]  

(35)

From here, the kinetic energy can be obtained by integrating equation (35) over all space,

\[ E_{\text{kin}}(T) = \int_0^\infty d^d r \tau^{(d)}(r; T). \]  

(36)

Using tabulated integrals [17], it is straightforward to show that

\[ E_{\text{kin}}(T) = \frac{g_s}{4\Gamma(d/2)^2} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(d + 4k) \Gamma(d/2 + n) \Gamma(d/2 + k)}{n! k!} n^{(\alpha)} (\epsilon_n + k). \]  

(37)
3.4. Form factor

The form factor is simply the Fourier transform of the spatial density, and is given by,

\[ f^{(d)}(q; T) = \int d^d r \rho^{(d)}(r; T) e^{-i q \cdot r}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (38)

Following an identical analysis as for the evaluation of \( W^{(d)}(r, p; T) \) yields [21]

\[ f^{(d)}(q; T) = g_s \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left( 1 + d/2 - 1 \right) T_n^{d/2-1} (q^2/2) e^{-q^2/4} n(\epsilon_n + l) \]

\[ = g_s \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} L_m^{d-1} (q^2/2) e^{-q^2/4} n^{(a)}(\epsilon_m). \]  \hspace{1cm} (39)

3.5. Zero temperature

In the case of the fermionic branch, the \( T \to 0 \) limit is easily obtained by noting that

\[ n^{(a)}(\epsilon_n + k) \to \frac{1}{\alpha} \Theta(\epsilon_f^{(a)} - (\epsilon_n + k)), \]

where the Fermi energy is now given by \( \epsilon_f^{(a)} = \sqrt{\alpha}(M + d/2) \). Therefore, for any flavor of exclusion statistics, the zero-temperature ODM becomes

\[ \rho^{(d)}(r, s) = \frac{8 \pi^{d/2}}{\alpha^{d/2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n L_n^{d/2-1} (2r^2) L_k^{d/2-1} (s^2/2) e^{-(r^2 + s^2/4)} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha} \Theta(\epsilon_f^{(a)} - (\epsilon_n + k)) \right] \]

\[ = \frac{8 \pi^{d/2}}{\alpha^{d/2}} \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\text{max}}} \sum_{k=0}^{k_{\text{max}}} (-1)^n L_n^{d/2-1} (2r^2) L_k^{d/2-1} (s^2/2) e^{-(r^2 + s^2/4)}, \]

where \( n_{\text{max}} = \lfloor \sqrt{\alpha}(M + d/2) \rfloor - d/2 \) and \( k_{\text{max}} = \lfloor \sqrt{\alpha}(M + d/2) \rfloor - n - d/2 \). Similarly, the \( T \to 0 \) Wigner function reduces to

\[ W^{(d)}(r, p) = 2^d g_s \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+k} L_n^{d/2-1} (2r^2) L_k^{d/2-1} (2p^2) e^{-(r^2 + p^2)} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha} \Theta(\epsilon_f^{(a)} - (\epsilon_n + k)) \right] \]

\[ = \frac{2^d g_s}{\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\text{max}}} \sum_{k=0}^{k_{\text{max}}} (-1)^{n+k} L_n^{d/2-1} (2r^2) L_k^{d/2-1} (2p^2) e^{-(r^2 + p^2)}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (42)

All of the zero temperature results in the fermionic sector may be obtained from equations (41) and (42).

4. Application

While it may seem a little pedantic, we feel that it is useful to first illustrate the above discussion with a specific example, namely, the Gentile distribution, equation (8). Even though we do not require an explicit expression for the thermal weighting factor, for the GES, it can readily be shown that

\[ \frac{H^{(a\nu)}(\beta; T)}{\beta} = -\frac{\pi T}{\tan(\pi \beta T)} + \frac{1}{w} \frac{\pi T}{\tan(w \pi \beta T)}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (43)
With the above form for the GES thermal factor, one may then work out all of the two-sided ILTs explicitly, and readily confirm that this is equivalent to a direct application of equation (20), viz,

\[
B^{-1}_\mu \left[ e^{-(\epsilon_n+k)\beta} H^{(\alpha_w)}(\beta; T) \right] = \frac{1}{\exp\left(\frac{\epsilon_n+k-\mu}{T}\right) - 1} - \frac{\left( \frac{1+\alpha_w}{\alpha_w} \beta \right)}{\exp\left(\frac{(1+\alpha_w)(\epsilon_n+k-\mu)}{\alpha_w} \right) - 1} = n^{(\alpha_w)}(\epsilon_n+k),
\]

(44)

Note that at \( T = 0 \), the fermionic branch (i.e. \( 0 < \alpha_w \leq 1 \)) of the GES becomes

\[
n^{(\alpha_w)}(\epsilon_n+k) \to \frac{1}{\alpha_w} \Theta(\epsilon_n + k - (\epsilon_n + k)),
\]

(45)
as mentioned above. We therefore have closed form, analytical expressions for the one-body density matrix, and Wigner Function for GES, given by equations (25) and (28), respectively, provided we take \( n^{(\alpha)} \to n^{(\alpha_w)} \).

Let us continue the application of our results by also presenting the finite-temperature spatial density profiles (equation (31)) for a harmonically trapped system obeying GES, and comparing them to those obtained from the FES distribution. In this comparison, we identify \( \alpha_w \) with the FES parameter \( g \) \([9, 11, 12]\). Our motivation is two-fold. First we wish to illustrate the quality of the much simpler GES distribution when evaluated for a local quantity (as opposed to integrated thermodynamic quantities such as the chemical potential, specific heat or energy per particle \([22, 23]\)). In addition we also would like to examine how differences in the spatial densities may be used to probe the type of statistics exhibited by the system experimentally. In particular, we have in mind applications to ultra-cold, harmonically trapped Fermi systems in the unitary regime where there is suggestive evidence that the strongly interacting gas may be mapped to a noninteracting system obeying ideal FES \([24–29]\).

In figure 1, we present the 3D spatial density profiles obtained from the GES (dashed curves) and FES (solid curves) at various temperatures, with \( g = \alpha_w = 1/2 \) (semions). The numerical procedure for obtaining these curves for a general value of \( g \) is as follows. We choose a value for the number of particles, \( N \), chemical potential, \( \mu \), temperature, \( T \), and statistical parameter, \( g \). Defining \( x_{n,k} \equiv \exp[(n+k+1-\mu)/T] \), equation (4) is solved using a root finding algorithm, which gives the function \( f(x_{n,k}) \) to be used in equation (3). Having numerically determined \( n(\epsilon_n + k) \), the spatial density is then obtained from equation (31). In practice, the maximum number of \( n \) and \( k \) terms retained in the summation for the spatial density, equation (31), depends on the temperature and particle number. The integral over all space of the spatial density yields the number of particles, and if this number does not agree with our choice of \( N \), the chemical potential is adjusted, and the procedure repeated until the correct number of particles is obtained. The end result of this procedure leads to the spatial density profiles for the FES found in figures 1 and 2. Note that for GES, the distribution is given explicitly, and the spatial densities are determined without the additional step of having to numerically generate the average occupancy distribution.

Note that for \( g = \alpha_w = 1/2 \), the statistical parameter, an explicit form for the distribution function is available, and is given by equation (5). We note that at high temperature (panel (a)), the FES and GES spatial densities are in good agreement, with the only significant deviation occurring near the center of the trap. As the temperature is lowered, the agreement between the two densities improves. In the zero temperature limit, the two spatial densities are analytically identical, and the quantum mechanical shell oscillations become more prominent. As a reference, we have also included in the \( T = 0 \) plot (panel (d)) the spatial density for the Fermi statistics (dotted line, \( \alpha_w = g = 1 \)). We observe that the smaller statistical parameter
Figure 1. Three-dimensional, finite temperature spatial density profiles for \( N = 420 \) particles with \( g = \alpha_w = 1/2 \) and \( g_s = 2 \). (a) \( T = 3 \), (b) \( T = 2 \), (c) \( T = 1 \), (d) \( T = 0 \). Solid curves are FES, dashed curves are GES, and the dotted line in panel (d) is the \( T = 0 \) Fermi density. Units are such that \( k_B = \hbar = m = \omega = 1 \) as discussed in the text.

also effectively serves to ‘bosonize’ the particles, resulting in an increase in the density at the origin, a squeezing of the distribution in the tail region, and the diminished shell oscillations.

In figure 2, we again present the spatial densities, but now with \( g = \alpha_w = 0.29 \). Our motivation for choosing this particular value of the statistical parameter lies in the earlier work of Bhaduri et al [26] in the context of a harmonically trapped ultra-cold Fermi gas, where it was argued that in the unitary regime, the strongly interacting system may be mapped onto a gas of particles obeying ideal FES. In their investigation, the statistical parameter was determined by fitting the finite temperature, theoretical FES energy per particle, \( E/N \), and chemical potential to the experimental data, thereby obtaining a ‘best fit’ value of \( g = 0.29 \). It is important to note, however, that by fitting to only global quantities, local information is not included, which may be important in comparing to experimental data. We suggest that by also examining the local spatial density, one may be able to provide further evidence in support of the conjecture that the unitary Fermi gas obeys fractional statistics.

Figure 2 once again illustrates that the GES and FES distributions are in good agreement, and as the temperature is lowered, the agreement improves. As in figure 1, the zero temperature limit results in identical spatial densities between the two distributions. It is also clear that the
reduced statistical parameter leads to more boson-like behavior of the particles, as evidenced by the significant squeezing of the cloud, and the increased density in the central region of the trap, especially when contrasted with the Fermi density (panel (d), dotted line). It would therefore be interesting to examine the experimental density distribution of the trapped Fermi gas in the unitary regime, and compare it to the theoretical predictions of the GES and FES with $g = \alpha_w = 0.29$ presented here. Owing to the similarities in the spatial distributions at low temperatures however (the regime of ultra-cold gases), it is unlikely that one would be able to determine the specific kind of the statistics obeyed by the particles. Nevertheless, the spatial density may well serve as another ‘smoking gun’ signature that the system in the unitary regime is indeed exhibiting fractional statistics.

We would like to further mention that the agreement between the FES and GES extends to all values of the statistical parameter, and is also evident in other thermodynamic quantities, which we have not detailed here.

5. Closing remarks and conclusions

We have presented closed form, universal analytical expressions for the finite temperature one-body density matrix, and Wigner function of a $d$-dimensional, harmonically trapped gas,
obeying general exclusion statistics. These expressions, equations (25) and (28), completely generalize results presented elsewhere, which were limited to Bose and Fermi statistics [19, 21].

The universal forms of the one-body density matrix and Wigner function are only possible provided the normal Bloch-density matrix has its \( \beta \)-dependence written in a purely exponential form. As a result, we have established that explicit knowledge of the thermal weighting factors, \( H^{(\alpha)}(\beta; T)/\beta \), previously thought to be necessary [16, 19, 21], for the evaluation of the one-body density matrix, are in fact not required.

As an application of our results, we have examined the GES distribution, equation (8), which has recently been rediscovered [11], and proposed as an alternative to the more complicated distribution found independently by Ramanathan and others [4–7]. Through an examination of the local spatial density at finite temperature, we were able to demonstrate that the GES is a good description of the harmonically confined gas obeying FES. Indeed, we have established that the low temperature \( (k_B T/\hbar \omega < 1) \) global and local thermodynamic properties derived from FES and GES are essentially indistinguishable. As a result, we note that it would be unlikely to experimentally ascertain the specific underlying fractional statistics of an ultra-cold Fermi gas in the unitary regime, as all such distributions will tend to lead to the same low temperature properties. In particular, any suggestion that the unitary Fermi gas obeys ideal FES would be difficult to determine experimentally, as almost identical results will be found at low temperatures using some other exclusion statistics distribution which smoothly interpolates between Bose and Fermi statistics. For example, while we have not presented the details here, we have confirmed that the spatial densities obtained from the PES distribution given by equation (15) are indistinguishable from GES and FES at low temperatures, although noticeable differences from GES and FES do occur at intermediate temperatures; at high temperatures, all of the distributions evolve to the Boltzmann statistics.

Given the excellent agreement between the GES and FES distributions at low temperatures, we conclude that the much simpler GES may be used with confidence in other studies where simple, analytical results for ultra-cold gases obeying FES are desired.
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