Estimation of absolute water content in Spitsbergen glaciers from radar sounding data
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Field data available on radio-wave velocities and power reflection coefficients from the cold/temperate ice boundary have been used to estimate the absolute water content and its variations in the temperate ice of two-layered glaciers on Spitsbergen. The data have been interpreted with certain assumptions concerning radio-wave propagation and reflection models. The study shows that in cold periods, the average total water content in the upper part of the temperate ice varies in different glaciers from 2.8 to 9.1%. Macro inclusions might contain the major part of the total water volume. Within one glacier, the spatial variability of water content in the upper part of the temperate ice is 1.7–11.9%. Seasonal variation of the total water content in the temperate layer reaches 2.3% (from 0.1% in spring to 2.4% in summer). Water content distribution with depth can vary: either it has a maximum up to 5.0% (even in spring) in the upper 30–60 m of the temperate ice, then decreases downward; or it is more uniform. Water content in the upper part of temperate ice and bedrock reflection coefficients reveal a rather close relation with surficial melting rate at the ELA and with ice facies zones. Water storage in the temperate layer is enough to feed englacial run-off during the whole cold period.

Absolute water content in glaciers is an important and little known parameter which in many ways defines their hydrothermal state, regime and dynamics. For its determination in situ in temperate and subpolar glaciers, the data on radio-wave velocities (RWVs) obtained by the wide-angle reflection method, radar logging of boreholes and radio-echo sounding (RES) in the vicinity of boreholes and from hyperbolic reflections from englacial reflectors, as well as formulae describing the dependence of the dielectric permittivity of wet ice on water content are applicable (Macheret, Moskalevsky et al. 1993; Frolov & Macheret 1998; Moore et al. 1999). RES data on power reflection coefficients (PRCs) from the cold/temperate ice boundary can also be used to estimate the absolute water content in the temperate ice of polythermal (two-layered) glaciers near to the boundary (Macheret & Zhuravlev 1985; Bamber 1987b). RES data on backscattered power from water inclusions can also be used to estimate the relative water content in temperate ice (Hamran et al. 1996). The absolute data obtained by the above-mentioned methods can be applied to calibrate relative estimations. Thus the set of radar techniques might be applied to estimate both the water content in two-layered glaciers at fixed sites along the radar wave-paths and its variations along RES profiles.

Our prime interests here are the spatial and seasonal variations of absolute water content in two-layered glaciers on Spitsbergen, where more than 80
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1 This paper was originally submitted in connection with the International Symposium on Polar Aspects of Global Change, Tromsø, Norway, 24–28 August 1998. - The Editor.
such glaciers were studied using data from airborne RES at frequencies of 440, 620 and 60 MHz; many of these have winter englacial run-off and are surge-type glaciers (Glazovsky, Krass et al. 1998). A specific indicator of two-layered glaciers is an internal reflecting horizon (IRH) clearly visible on many RES records as a continuous track (Bamber 1987b; Macheret, Glazovsky et al. 1992). The IRH is located close to the depth where direct temperature measurements in boreholes indicate that the ice is at pressure-melting point (Macheret, Zagorodnov et al. 1985; Bjornsson et al. 1996; Odegård et al. 1997).

This paper considers the spatial and seasonal variations of absolute water content in the temperate ice of two-layered Spitsbergen glaciers and their relation to glacioclimatic conditions and internal structure of glaciers. The analysis is based on data on PRCs from IRH (Bamber 1987a, 1989) and RWVs (Macheret, Zhuravlev et al. 1980; Macheret, Vasilenko et al. 1984; Macheret, Moskalevsky et al. 1993), measured in spring and summer periods of 1977–1989, that together cover almost a quarter of the two-layered glaciers known on Spitsbergen (Fig. 1).

Estimation of absolute water content and relevant parameters

Since dielectric losses in ice and fresh water are small (tangent of dielectric losses \( \tan \delta < 1 \)), the real part of dielectric permittivity \( \varepsilon' \) of dry and wet ice relates to radio-wave velocities \( V \) by simple equations:

\[
\varepsilon'_d = \left( \frac{c}{V_d} \right)^2, \quad \varepsilon'_s = \left( \frac{c}{V_s} \right)^2
\]  

(1)

where \( c \) is light velocity in vacuum, indexes \( d \) and \( s \) denote dry and wet ice, respectively.

As is known (e.g. Bamber 1989), the PRC from a boundary of two semi-infinite ice layers depends on their dielectric permittivity, boundary roughness, and volume content and size of air and water inclusions in ice. Two latter parameters usually are poorly known, therefore for the estimation of power reflection coefficient \( R \) (dB) we use a simple model of radio-wave reflection from a plane dry/wet ice boundary. In this case, \( R \) is determined as

\[
R = 20 \log_{10} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon'_s} - \sqrt{\varepsilon'_d}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon'_s} + \sqrt{\varepsilon'_d}} \right]
\]

from where

\[
\varepsilon'_s = \varepsilon'_d \left[ (1 + 10^{0.05R}) / (1 - 10^{0.05R}) \right]^2.
\]  

(2)

Note that values of \( \varepsilon'_d \) and \( \varepsilon'_s \) in equation (2) are the dielectric permittivity of dry and wet glacier ice, respectively, at their boundary.

Wide-angle reflection measurements and RES data near borehole allow estimates of the average radio-wave velocities \( V \) in a glacier and \( V_d \) in its cold layer, as well as glacier thickness \( h \) and cold layer thickness \( h_d \). Assuming that the effect of radio-wave refraction at the cold/temperate ice boundary is small (Babenko & Macheret 1996), the RWV in temperate ice is equal to

\[
V_s = (h - h_d) V_d / (h V_d - h_d V).
\]  

(3a)

If there is an additional reflecting boundary in
temperate ice, the average RWV in its upper part \( V_{s1} \) and lower part \( V_{s2} \) with ice thickness \( h_{s1} \) and \( h_{s2} \), respectively, are calculated from equations
\[
V_{s1} = (h_{ds} - h_d) V_{ds} V / (h_{ds} V_{d} - h_d V_d). \tag{3b}
\]
\[
V_{s2} = (h - h_{ds}) V_{ds} V / (h V_{ds} - h_d V). \tag{3c}
\]
where \( V_{ds} \) is measured average RWV in ice sequence that includes cold ice and the upper part of temperate ice with total thickness \( h_{ds} \). In all cases it is assumed that the IRH and the cold/temperate ice boundary are at the same depth. From data on radar logging of boreholes, the average RWVs both in cold and temperate ice and in their specific layers have been determined (Kotlyakov & Macheret 1987).

Analysis of experimental data on the dielectric permittivity of wet snow at density less than 0.5–0.6 Mg m\(^{-3}\) (Frolov & Macheret 1998) shows that the absolute water content, \( W_c \), in wet ice at densities more than 0.8 Mg m\(^{-3}\) can be estimated using the formula of Looyenga (1965) for two- and three-component dielectric mixtures with spherical air and air/water inclusions, respectively. The Looyenga's formula is a particular case of an exponential model describing the dielectric permittivity, \( \varepsilon'_m \), of mixture as a function of dielectric permittivities, \( \varepsilon'_k \), of \( k \)th components with a volume portion \( f_k \) (Sihvola et al. 1985)
\[
\varepsilon'_m = \sum f_k \varepsilon'_k \tag{4}
\]
where \( a = 1/3 \). For two-component dielectric mixture of ice and water, in which all the cavities are water-filled, the equation (4) is transformed to
\[
\varepsilon'_s = \left[ \varepsilon'_i^{1/3} + W (\varepsilon'_w^{1/3} - \varepsilon'_i^{1/3}) \right]^3. \tag{5}
\]
where \( \varepsilon'_s \), \( \varepsilon'_i \), and \( \varepsilon'_w \) is dielectric permittivity of wet glacier ice, solid ice and water, respectively. The value \( W \) is total water content dispersed as micro and macro inclusions in ice. Veins, lenses and films at ice grains' boundaries with typical dimensions less than 1 mm are referred here as micro inclusions or pores. Cavities and channels of >1 mm in size are named here as macro inclusions.

From equation (5) follows that the total water content in temperate ice is equal to
\[
W = \left( \varepsilon'_s^{1/3} - \varepsilon'_i^{1/3} \right) / \left( \varepsilon'_w^{1/3} - \varepsilon'_i^{1/3} \right). \tag{6}
\]

For the three-component system, representing mixture of ice with water and air inclusions, the dielectric permittivity, \( \varepsilon'_s \), is estimated from equation (4) as
\[
\varepsilon'_s = \left[ \varepsilon'_i^{1/3} (1 - P) + W \varepsilon'_w^{1/3} + P - W \right]^3 \tag{7}
\]
where \( P \) is the total fractional water and air content, or total “porosity” of temperate ice.

Cold glacier ice is a two-component dielectric mixture of ice and air. Its permittivity, \( \varepsilon'_a \), in equations (2) and (5) can also be estimated from Looyenga’s formula (4) as
\[
\varepsilon'_a = \left[ \varepsilon'_i^{1/3} (1 + 1) + 1 \right]^3 \tag{8}
\]
where \( f_i = \rho_d / \rho_i, \rho_d \) is the density of cold glacier ice, and \( \rho_i \) is density of solid ice (\( \rho_i = 0.917 \) Mg m\(^{-3}\)).

Equation (1) and (8) can be also used to estimate the average density, \( \rho_a \), of cold glacier ice from the measured velocity \( V_d \)
\[
\rho_a = \rho_i \left( \left( \varepsilon'_V / V_d \right)^{2/3} - 1 \right) / \left( \varepsilon'_V / V_d \right) - 1 \right] \tag{9}
\]
Accordingly, the average “voidness” is \( \Delta p = 1 - \rho_a / \rho_i \) which accounts macro and micro air inclusions in cold glacier ice.

On the other hand, the integral average density, \( \rho_o \), of cold glacier ice, estimated from ice core data, corresponds to the micro air inclusions only and its average porosity is
\[
\Delta p = \rho_a - \rho_o \tag{10}
\]
where \( \rho_o = 1 - \rho_a / \rho_i \).

It is known (Evans 1965; Fujita et al. 1993) that in the frequency range from 1 MHz to 10 000 MHz, the dielectric permittivity \( \varepsilon'_i \) of solid ice weakly depends on frequency, ice temperature, anisotropy and small concentration of soluble chemical impurities. Generalization of experimental data by Gough (1972), Mätzler & Wegmüller (1987) and others on the temperature dependence of \( \varepsilon'_i \) has shown (Frolov & Macheret 1998) that \( \varepsilon'_i = 3.19 + 0.04 \) at a temperature of 0°C, which corresponds to velocity in solid ice of \( V = 168 \pm 1 \) m \( \mu \)s\(^{-1}\). In the RES frequency range (1–1000 MHz), the dielectric permittivity of water \( \varepsilon'_w \) is constant and equal to 86 (Smith & Evans 1972).

In further calculations we suggest that \( \varepsilon'_i = 3.19 \) and \( \varepsilon'_w = 86 \) at the melting point. The values of ice density at base of the cold ice, \( \rho_d = 0.912 \) Mg m\(^{-3}\), and the average integral density of cold ice, \( \rho_o = 0.904 \) Mg m\(^{-3}\) (porosity \( p_o = 1.4\% \)), used in equations (2) and (10), respectively, are taken from density measurements on an ice core from borehole 2 on Fridtjovbreen (Macheret, Zagorodnov et al. 1985).

The dependencies \( W = f (V_d), \rho_a = f (V_d) \) and
$W = f(R)$ as well as the sensitivity of $W$ and $\rho_d$ estimations to the accuracy of RWV and PRC data are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. Errors in estimations of $W$ and $\rho_d$ (by equations 1, 6 and 9) are $\pm 0.27\%$ and $\pm 0.01$ Mg m$^{-3}$, respectively, if the errors in measurements of $V_s$ and $V_d$ are $\pm 1$ m $\mu$s$^{-1}$. Uncertainty increases by a magnitude of two or three if the measurement errors increase up to $\pm 3-5$ m $\mu$s$^{-1}$. Errors in calculations of $W$ (by equations 2 and 6) are $\pm 0.15-1.66\%$, if error in measurements of $R$ is $\pm 1$ dB. They increase up to $\pm 0.32-3.64\%$ when $R$ error is $\pm 2$ dB, and up to $\pm 0.43-5.60\%$ at $R$ error $\pm 3$ dB. As seen in Fig. 3, the uncertainty of water content estimations increases strongly in the range of high $R$ values. On the other hand, the sensitivity of calculations of $W$ from $R$ values to the density $\rho_d$ of cold glacier ice, which is used in equations (2), (6) and (8), does not exceed $0.4\%$ over the density range from 0.900 to 0.917 Mg m$^{-3}$.

The actual errors in $V_d$ and $V_s$ are $\pm 2-6$ m $\mu$s$^{-1}$ and $\pm 3.5-8.5$ m $\mu$s$^{-1}$, respectively, depending on many factors (Macheret, Moskalevsky et al. 1993), while the errors in $R$ are close to $\pm 2-3$ dB depending mainly on estimated dielectric absorption, scattering in cold ice and calibration errors (Bamber 1989). So both methods have comparable accuracy in absolute water content estimations by equations (2)–(6) and (8) if the errors in $R$ estimations are close to $\pm 2$ dB in $R$ range from $-30$ to $-22$ dB. Note again that this conclusion is valid for a plane cold/temperate ice boundary only.

Radar sounding data

For estimation of spatial and seasonal variations in absolute water content in temperate ice of two-layered glaciers on Spitsbergen the data available on PRCs from IRH and RWVs were used (Table 1).

Average power reflection coefficients $R_n$ from IRH obtained from 60 MHz airborne radio-echo sounding data collected on 21 glaciers in northern Spitsbergen in May 1983 (Bamber 1989) are given in Table 2. The values of $R_n$ have been found by averaging of PRCs calculated from digitized individual wave forms collected at intervals of about 120 m along a flight line using the basic radar equation and measured power of reflected signals from the IRH. Spatial variations in individual power reflection coefficients $R$ from
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1. Darn of airborne and ground-based radio-echo sounding used for the estimation of absolute water content in two-layered glaciers on Spitsbergen and its spatial and seasonal variations. \( R \) is power reflection coefficient from internal reflecting horizon, \( V \) is radio-wave velocity.

| Method of measurements                              | Radar frequency MHz | Measured parameter | Month/ year of measurements | Glacier, region (Fig. 1)                                                                 | Sources                                      |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Airborne radio-echo sounding (RES)                   | 60                  | \( R \)            | May 1983                   | 21 glaciers (Table 2)                                                                    | Bamber 1987a, b, 1989                       |
| Ground-based RES in the vicinity of a borehole       | 620                 | \( V \)            | July 1977                  | Fridtjovbreen, borehole 1                                                                | Macheret, Zhuravlev et al. 1980              |
| Radar logging of a borehole                          | 620                 | \( V \)            | July 1979                  | Fridtjovbreen, borehole 2                                                                | Macheret, Vasilenko et al. 1984; Kotlyakov & Macheret 1987 |
| Wide-angle reflection measurements                   | 2–13                | \( V \)            | April 1988                 | Fridtjovbreen, near borehole 2                                                           | Glazovsky & Moskalovsky 1989; Glazovsky, Konstantinova et al. 1991; Glazovsky, Macheret et al. 1991; Macheret, Moskalovsky et al. 1993; Glazovsky, Macheret et al. 1991; Macheret, Moskalovsky et al. 1993 |
| Wide angle reflection measurements                   | 2–13                | \( V \)            | April 1989                 | Hansbreen, site HS                                                                       |                                              |

Glacier no. as given in Fig. 1

| Glacier name                  | \( R_a \), dB | \( W_{aw} \), \% | \( +\delta \) | \( -\delta \) | \( R_B \), dB |
|-------------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 Fimbulisen                   | -27.6         | 2.8            | 1.2        | -0.9        | nd*         |
| 2 Tunabreen                    | -27.1         | 2.9            | 1.3        | -0.9        | -15.9       |
| 3 Veteranen                    | -25.5         | 3.6            | 1.6        | -1.1        | -19.6       |
| 4 Sefströmabreen               | -24.7         | 3.9            | 1.8        | -1.2        | -12.2       |
| 5 Mittag-Lefflerbreen          | -24.7         | 3.9            | 1.8        | -1.2        | nd          |
| 6 Nordenskålbreen              | -24.4         | 4.1            | 1.8        | -1.3        | nd          |
| 7 Chydeniusbreen               | -24.1         | 4.3            | 1.9        | -1.3        | -19.0       |
| 8 Hochstetterbreen             | -23.4         | 4.6            | 2.1        | -1.4        | -16.3       |
| 9 Forradamlebhrenek            | -23.3         | 4.7            | 2.1        | -1.4        | nd          |
| 10 Wahlenbergbreen             | -22.5         | 5.2            | 2.3        | -1.6        | -9.8        |
| 11 Uversbreen                  | -22.2         | 5.4            | 2.4        | -1.7        | -11.6       |
| 12 Vonbreen                    | -22.0         | 5.5            | 2.5        | -1.7        | -10.6       |
| 13 Aavatsmarkbreen             | -21.4         | 5.9            | 2.7        | -1.8        | nd          |
| 14 Abrahamsenbreen             | -20.8         | 6.4            | 2.9        | -2.0        | -10.7       |
| 15 Eidembergen                 | -20.5         | 6.6            | 3.1        | -2.1        | -9.7        |
| 16 Fjortende Julibreen         | -20.0         | 7.1            | 3.3        | -2.2        | -9.2        |
| 17 Borehrenek                  | -19.8         | 7.2            | 3.4        | -2.3        | -7.7        |
| 18 Monacobreen                 | -19.1         | 7.9            | 3.7        | -2.5        | nd          |
| 19 Kronbreen                   | -18.4         | 8.6            | 4.1        | -2.7        | -7.4        |
| 20 Kongsvogen                  | -18.0         | 9.1            | 4.3        | -2.9        | -9.1        |
| 21 Nansenbreen                 | -18.0         | 9.1            | 4.3        | -2.9        | -8.0        |

*Mean value

Glacier no. as given in Table 2, Average power reflection coefficient \( R_a \) from internal reflecting horizon in two-layered glaciers in northern Spitsbergen, calculated from airborne RES data collected along longitudinal profiles at 60 MHz in May 1983 (Bamber 1989), and average water content \( W_{aw} \) in the upper part of temperate ice in these glaciers estimated by equations (2) and (6). The errors in water content estimations \( \delta \) are given in assumption of \( R_a \) uncertainty \( \pm 3 \) dB. The average power reflection coefficients \( R_B \) from bedrock are also given. Both \( R_a \) and \( R_B \) are averages of corresponding power reflection coefficients calculated from individual wave forms recorded digitally along a flight line (Bamber 1989). Positions of glaciers are shown in Fig. 1.

Table 1.

| Glacier no. as given in Fig. 1 | Glacier name              | \( R_a \), dB | \( W_{aw} \), \% | \( +\delta \) | \( -\delta \) | \( R_B \), dB |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 Fimbulisen                    | -27.6                     | 2.8           | 1.2            | -0.9       | nd*         |
| 2 Tunabreen                     | -27.1                     | 2.9           | 1.3            | -0.9       | -15.9       |
| 3 Veteranen                     | -25.5                     | 3.6           | 1.6            | -1.1       | -19.6       |
| 4 Sefströmabreen                | -24.7                     | 3.9           | 1.8            | -1.2       | -12.2       |
| 5 Mittag-Lefflerbreen           | -24.7                     | 3.9           | 1.8            | -1.2       | nd          |
| 6 Nordenskålbreen               | -24.4                     | 4.1           | 1.8            | -1.3       | nd          |
| 7 Chydeniusbreen                | -24.1                     | 4.3           | 1.9            | -1.3       | -19.0       |
| 8 Hochstetterbreen              | -23.4                     | 4.6           | 2.1            | -1.4       | -16.3       |
| 9 Forradamlebhrenek             | -23.3                     | 4.7           | 2.1            | -1.4       | nd          |
| 10 Wahlenbergbreen              | -22.5                     | 5.2           | 2.3            | -1.6       | -9.8        |
| 11 Uversbreen                   | -22.2                     | 5.4           | 2.4            | -1.7       | -11.6       |
| 12 Vonbreen                     | -22.0                     | 5.5           | 2.5            | -1.7       | -10.6       |
| 13 Aavatsmarkbreen              | -21.4                     | 5.9           | 2.7            | -1.8       | nd          |
| 14 Abrahamsenbreen              | -20.8                     | 6.4           | 2.9            | -2.0       | -10.7       |
| 15 Eidembergen                  | -20.5                     | 6.6           | 3.1            | -2.1       | -9.7        |
| 16 Fjortende Julibreen          | -20.0                     | 7.1           | 3.3            | -2.2       | -9.2        |
| 17 Borehrenek                   | -19.8                     | 7.2           | 3.4            | -2.3       | -7.7        |
| 18 Monacobreen                  | -19.1                     | 7.9           | 3.7            | -2.5       | nd          |
| 19 Kronbreen                    | -18.4                     | 8.6           | 4.1            | -2.7       | -7.4        |
| 20 Kongsvogen                   | -18.0                     | 9.1           | 4.3            | -2.9       | -9.1        |
| 21 Nansenbreen                  | -18.0                     | 9.1           | 4.3            | -2.9       | -8.0        |

*Mean value.
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Spatial variability

In spring average water content $W_{a0}$ in the upper part of temperate ice, estimated by equations (2), (6) and (8) from average IRH power reflection coefficients $R$, obtained on 21 two-layered glaciers of Spitsbergen (Bamber 1989), varies from glacier to glacier in the range 2.8–9.1% (Table 2). In all cases, even taking the error in $R$ estimation as $-3\,\text{dB}$ that results in the $W_{a0}$ range 1.9–6.2%, these values are higher than available values of water content (up to 1.4%) measured directly in ice samples from temperate glaciers elsewhere in the world (Raymond & Harrison 1975; Golubev 1976). They are also higher than values of 1.5–2% estimated from wide-angle reflection measurements of RWVs in temperate glacier ice of the Abramov glacier, Central Asia (Macheret, Moskalevsky et al. 1993; Frolov & Macheret 1998). Suggesting that the 1.4% water content is the uppermost limit for the compact temperate ice, all excess of bulk water content above this limit in temperate glacier ice might concentrate in “macro inclusions”, such as water-filled cavities and veins. In this case, the “excess” average water content in “macro inclusions” of
temperate ice in Spitsbergen glaciers (Table 2) is 1.4–7.7%.

Within one glacier the water content \( W_o \) in the upper part of temperate ice, estimated from IRH reflection coefficients \( R \) measured along RES profiles using individual wave forms (Bamber 1987a), varies over a rather wide range. For example, \( W_o \) on Borebreen varies from 3.4 to 11.0%, with peak frequency values 7.0%; on Uversbreen these values are 1.7–11.9% and 5.7%, respectively (Fig. 5). Taking into account the error in \( R \) measurement of ±3 dB, the variability of individual values of \( W_o \) within one glacier is comparable with variability of average values of \( W_{av} \) for all the glaciers (Table 2, Fig. 5).

**Seasonal variations**

Average absolute water content \( W \) in temperate ice as a whole, estimated by equations (6), (3) and (1) from RWV measurements (Fig. 4), is 2.4% in summer and 0.1% in spring on Fridtjovbreen, and 1.6% in spring on Hansbreen (Fig. 6), indicating the lower water content in spring than in summer. Therefore, even taking into account the uncertainty of \( W \) estimation (see Figs. 2a, 6), seasonal variations of bulk water content in two-layered glaciers might reach 2.3%. Assuming that the summer water content of 2.4% in Fridtjovbreen represents the total available volume that might be filled in different ratios by air and water in springtime (i.e. the total fractional water and air content \( P \) in spring is 2.4%), it is possible to re-estimate the spring conditions using the equation (7) for a three-component system. In this case, water and air content is 0.7% and 1.7%, respectively. Therefore, 70% of summer englacial water might drain from Fridtjovbreen before the spring comes.

**Variations with depth in temperate ice**

Water content \( W \) in Fridtjovbreen and Hansbreen, estimated from RWV data (Figs. 6b, d), varies with depth in temperate ice. On Fridtjovbreen the upper 28 m of temperate ice contains 4.5% while the whole temperate sequence contains 2.4%. On Hansbreen the upper 60 m of temperate ice contains 5.0% of water while the whole temperate sequence contains 1.6%. Therefore in both cases the upper part of temperate ice is 2–3 times more water-saturated than the whole temperate layer. This phenomenon becomes even more evident in comparison to the water contents in the upper and lower parts of temperate ice. In Hansbreen the ratio of these values reaches 10:1 (Fig. 6d). High average water content in the upper temperate ice layer \( (W_{av} > 3\%) \) is also revealed for most glaciers by estimations based on IRH power reflection coefficients \( R_a \) (Table 2).

On the other hand, the RWV data from Fridtjovbreen indicate that more uniform water content distribution with depth is also possible in the temperate ice of two-layered glaciers (Figs. 6a, b). It corresponds with measurements of back-scattered power from water inclusions in the temperate layer of Uversbreen, May 1991 (Hamran et al. 1996), which were interpreted as a gradual increase of relative water content only in the upper 10 m of temperate ice downward from the cold/temperate ice boundary, then with rather uniform distribution down to the bedrock. The
highest relative water content was found at glacier moulaine sites, where water enters into the glacier during the melting season. Measurements of relative amplitudes of backscattered signals on Finsterwalderbreen (Fig. 1) show that the water inclusions occur throughout the temperate ice before the melting season, April 1994–95 (Ødegård et al. 1997).

Discussion

It is common knowledge (Golubev 1976) that water in glaciers appears from surficial melting, liquid precipitation, run-off from surrounding slopes and firn areas, ground water as well as melting from dissipative heating and geothermal heat flux. Water gravitates down the glacier body because of infiltration through the snow/firn layer, and inflow throughout crevasses, channels, moulaines and fracture zones, where it can be stored for a long time, if the ice temperature is close to the melting point, or drain out by a system of englacial channels. Therefore, water content and distribution should depend on the temperature regime, rate of surficial melting, type and pattern of ice facies zones, degree of ice discontinuity and development of internal drainage system, i.e. on a combination of complex glacioclimatic and dynamic factors with glacier internal structure. In particular, the permeability of the upper cold ice layer and temperate firn should determine the water influx to the temperate layer in polythermal glaciers. In this context the study of spatial and seasonal water changes in temperate ice of two-layered glaciers in relation with surficial melting rate is of considerable interest.

Comparison of water content values derived from PRC and RWV data

Water content in the upper part of temperate ice estimated from average PRC data is somewhat higher than values derived from RWV data (Table 2, Fig. 6). Because no simultaneous measurements of both PRC and RWV are made on the same glacier, it is difficult to relate this difference with real variations, or estimation errors, or differences inherent in the methods themselves.

In particular, unexpectedly high values of water content in the upper part of temperate ice (Table 2) revealed from PRC data collected in the springtime might be attributed to the following limitations of plane-reflection boundary model described by equation (2).

The model is valid for cases in which the dimensions of water inclusions are much smaller than the radio-wavelength in ice, otherwise a more exact solution is necessary. Our estimations are based on data obtained at a frequency of 60 MHz and, as shown by Bamber (1988), the threshold dimension of water inclusions for that frequency is 2.5 cm. At this dimension the PRC values estimated from the plane model (equation 2) are equal to the PRCs derived from Mie’s theory that applied for radio-wave scattering from the sphe-
rical water bodies of arbitrary size in ice. But at larger water inclusions, much higher PRCs should be observed than the plane model predicts. The increase of water bodies’ radius by only 2.5 cm above the threshold raises the PRC by 7 dB at the same water volume fraction in ice of 1%. Thus our estimations might reflect the variations both in water content and/or in size of water macro inclusions. In this context, the appearance of water veins with cross-sections of 10–15 mm$^2$ which occurred at depths more than 98 m in borehole 2 at Fridtjovbreen, i.e. at depths close to the IRH (Glazovsky, Krass et al. 1988) favours the hypothesis of PRC enhancement because of the macro inclusion effect.

On the other hand, the opposite effect might occur and superimpose on the water body influence. The model applied (equation 2) is valid when the boundary roughness is much smaller than the radio-wavelength in ice (2.8 m at a frequency of 60 MHz). In the case of a rough boundary, the power reflection coefficient $R$ reduces in range from 0 to 15 dB (Neal 1979), which leads to the underestimation of water content using equations (2), (6) and (8). Nevertheless, if the irregular IRH does occur, the water content assessments based on a flat boundary assumption might be used for the comparison of relative water content variations in different glaciers of the archipelago, suggesting a similar roughness parameter for IRH.

Both approaches used here for water content estimations have different sensitivities to the measurement errors (Figs. 2, 3). At higher water contents, the PRC method lacks precision, while the RWV method is rather stable and seems more reliable. Therefore, the RWV data – if available – can contribute the calibrating ground for the PRC assessments.

All things considered, the PRC data provide a wide regional scope to understand the spatial hydrological pattern at IRH, but require further field and theoretical studies. Thus, our interpretation of PRC data given below, only in terms of water content variations, should be considered as the conventional simplification.

Spatial variability in absolute water content and surficial melting rate

Figure 1 and Table 2 show spatial variations of average water content $W_{au}$ in the upper part of temperate ice of two-layered glaciers, estimated from average power reflection coefficient $R_a$. The surficial melting rate at the equilibrium line altitude (ELA) and predominant ice facies zones of northern Spitsbergen (Koryakin et al. 1985; Treshnikov 1985) are also shown. Figure 1 shows that the glaciers with lower average water content ($W_{au} < 5\%$) generally prevail in the north-eastern region, while glaciers with higher average water content ($W_{au} > 5\%$) are dominant in the north-western region. These regions are also distinguished by different melting rates at the ELA – less than 75 g cm$^{-2}$ and more than 75 g cm$^{-2}$, respectively. A more extended comparison of the melting contour line pattern with glacier distribution with different average water content $W_{au}$ reveals a rather close relationship: the higher the melting rate, the higher the water content. Exceptions to this rule are only Sefstrømøren and to some extent Wahlenbergbreen, where melting rates at ELA are as high as 125–150 g cm$^{-2}$, but water content is only 3.9% and 5.2%, respectively. In the north-western region the firn ice and warm firn ice facies zones prevail and favour meltwater inflow into glaciers, while predominance of firn ice and cold ice facies zones in the north-eastern region limits water influx. Therefore, the relationship between the surficial melting rate and glacier permeability with water content in the upper part of the temperate ice layer seems to be evident. Growth of individual PRCs at most accumulation areas seen from Bamber’s data (1987a) supports the idea of a strong effect of water percolation on PRC values. Sefstrømøren and Wahlenbergbreen do not follow the rule, possibly as a result of a high ratio of superimposed ice, which protects the glaciers from water percolation.

Comparison of average water content $W_{au}$ in the upper part of the temperate ice layer with average power reflection coefficients $R_B$ from bedrock (Table 2) shows that $-19.6\, \text{dB} < R_B < -12.2\, \text{dB}$ only when $W_{au} < 5\%$, and in contrast $-7.4\, \text{dB} > R_B > -11.6\, \text{dB}$ when $W_{au} > 5\%$, i.e. the values correlate with each other. This relationship might be attributable to the more intensive water percolation in glaciers with higher water content, which is favourable for the presence of water film or water saturated sediments at the glacier bed. In both cases this increases $R_B$ compared with dry bed conditions. However, PRCs from bedrock depend on many other factors, such as bedrock composition and roughness, water mineralization, water film thickness etc. (Bamber 1989). Therefore, the relationship of average water content $W_{au}$ in the upper temperate ice with $R_B$
cannot explained as one-to-one correspondence with water influx to the bed.

Seasonal variations in absolute water content and surficial melting

According to RES data (Glazovsky & Moskalevsky 1989; Glazovsky, Konstantinova et al. 1991; Glazovsky, Macheret et al. 1991), the ice volume of Fridtjovbreen is 7.6 km$^3$, and the mean thickness of the upper cold ice layer is ca. 100 m. Considering a glacier area of 48.7 km$^2$, this corresponds to a temperate ice volume of 2.7 km$^3$. The water content in temperate ice (as seen from Figs. 6a, c) increases by the end of the melting season by 2.3% or by 0.062 km$^3$, which equals 1.28 m of water layer equivalent. On the other hand, the summer glacier mass balance in 1987/88 was 1.49 m (Troitsky 1989), i.e. the melting volume was 0.073 km$^3$. Therefore, assuming the values are representative, 85% of surface melting water migrates into the glacier, suggesting the essential contribution of summer melting in refilling the temperate ice layer. The same estimations, but with seasonal englacial water variations equal to 1.7% derived from the three-component equation (7), show that 63% of surficial melting might gravitate down to the temperate ice annually.

Some portion of percolated water refreezes at the base of the cold ice layer as follows from data of ice core drilling at site 2 on Fridtjovbreen (Macheret, Zagorodnov et al. 1985), where an ice layer 15 m thick with refrozen water channels was found. The refreezing rates of 0.2–0.6 m a$^{-1}$ were found from temperature measurements in boreholes on Finsterwalderbreen (Ødegård et al. 1997). Applying this value to Fridtjovbreen results in annual water refreezing ca. 0.0002–0.0007 km$^3$, i.e. maximum losses for refreezing from total meltwater input are 1.5%. Thus nearly 13.5–35.5% of meltwater drains from the glacier as a surficial run-off.

Water accumulated in the glacier body also drains out, as in springtime its volume is only 0.1–0.7%. The run-off distribution in the fall–winter period on Fridtjovbreen can be estimated indirectly by applying data of water run-off measurements conducted on Bertilbreen, Spitsbergen, which has a perennial run-off and temperate ice volume of 0.03 km$^3$ (Gokhman & Khodakov 1985). According to these data, the water run-off from the temperate ice is 0.00004 km$^3$, or 40% of total glacier run-off during the cold period. Assuming that water run-off from the temperate ice is proportional to the temperate ice volume, the winter run-off from Fridtjovbreen is estimated as ca. 0.0036 km$^3$. With all these assumptions the temperate ice of Fridtjovbreen drains 92–94% of water in the fall, and 6–8% in wintertime. In turn, it indicates a well developed englacial water drainage system with a high rate of water filling in summertime, which is followed by rapid emptying.

In spring, the average water content in the temperate ice layer in Hansbreen is estimated as 1.6% (Fig. 6d). As the glacier volume is 10.47 km$^3$, the temperate ice volume is 4.07 km$^3$, and glacier area is 64 km$^2$, so spring water storage in the glacier is estimated as 0.065 km$^3$, or 1.02 m of water layer equivalent. In spring, the average water content in the temperate ice layer in Fridtjovbreen is 0.1–0.7%, or 0.0027–0.0189 km$^3$ (0.06–0.39 m of water layer equivalent). That is, the relative water content in Hansbreen is 2.6–17 times higher than in Fridtjovbreen in spring. Such a big difference can be assigned to the difference in glacier “voidness”.

Additional support for this suggestion comes from data on cold ice. Average integral cold ice density, $\rho_a$ estimated by equation (9) from RWV data (Fig. 4), is 0.870 Mg m$^{-3}$ on Fridtjovbreen and 0.821 Mg m$^{-3}$ on Hansbreen. Therefore, an average porosity of cold ice of Hansbreen, $\rho_a$ (10.5%), is nearly 7.4 times higher than on Fridtjovbreen (1.42%). The volume of air macro inclusions in the cold ice of Hansbreen, $\Delta p$ estimated from equation (10) with $\rho_a = 0.904$ Mg m$^{-3}$, is also nearly 2.5 times higher than on Fridtjovbreen (9.0% and 3.7%, respectively).

Speleological studies on Hansbreen have revealed large cavities which consist of vertical shafts down to the depth of the cold/temperate ice boundary, connected to subhorizontal galleries below. Chambers are up to 10–15 m in diameter and in some cases have water pools of metre scale. In the cold/temperate ice transition zone at a depth exceeding 100 m, “Swiss cheese”-type small-diameter channels occur (Pulina & Rehak 1991) along with the large channels.

Conclusion

Data on radio-wave velocities and power reflec-
tion coefficients from the cold/temperate ice boundary in two-layered glaciers on Spitsbergen are highly promising for our understanding of the water content in polythermal glaciers in the Arctic. The PRC method is preferable in the assessment of spatial variations at IRH, but suffers from higher uncertainty in absolute water content estimations. The radio-wave velocity method reveals more stable results and allows to clarify the water distribution with depth, but is much more time and labour consumable.

The available data have been interpreted with certain assumptions concerning the radio-wave propagation and reflection models. The study shows that the mean water content $W_{ad}$ in the upper part of the temperate ice for 21 Spitsbergen glaciers is 5.7%, varying from glacier to glacier in the range 2.8–9.1% before the melting season starts. In all cases the water content is higher than in the temperate glaciers. Within specific glaciers the water content $W_{ad}$ in the upper part of temperate ice varies from 1.7 to 11.9%, i.e. the water content variability along the RES cross-section for one glacier is comparable with variability of average values $W_{ad}$ for 21 Spitsbergen glaciers. Seasonal water content changes in the temperate parts of two-layered glaciers can reach 2.3%, ranging from 0.1% in spring to 2.4% in summer. Water content distribution with depth in some cases has a maximum at the upper part of the temperate ice 30–60 m thick and reaches 5% in the spring period, or it is uniform throughout the temperate ice sequence. Surficial melting rate at the ELA and glacier permeability are correlated rather well with average water content in the upper part of the temperate ice and bedrock power reflection coefficients. Water volume stored in the temperate ice of two-layered glaciers is enough to feed englacial water run-off during the whole cold period.

It should be noted that the complex nature of radio-wave propagation and reflection in polythermal glaciers requires further measurements of RWV and PRC performed repeatedly at cold and warm periods to understand the seasonal water variations with depth and at IRH. The solution of the problem of water macro inclusions needs further field studies and their theoretical interpretation.
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