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Abstract—Cyclone detection is a classic yet developing topic. Various methods have been developed for the purpose of cyclone detection based on sea level pressure, cloud imagery, and wind field. In this article, a data fusion approach that utilizes the data productions from multiple remote sensors is presented. A deep-learning-based object detection algorithm was adopted to form a global-scale cyclone detection model. Wind field data obtained from mean wind field-advanced scatterometer was integrated with the rainfall intensity data obtained from global precipitation measurement as the dataset for model training and testing. Feature pyramid network (FPN), which was designed for small target detection, was integrated with faster-regions with convolutional neural network to detect the cyclones within the fused dataset. The proposed model consists of two modules: a feature extractor and region proposal network based on FPN that searches for the potential areas of cyclones within the fused dataset, and a regions of interests processor that calibrate the locations of cyclone regions through a fully-connected neural network and a bounding box regression. An ablation experiment was also designed in the study in order to verify the necessity of data fusion. The results from ablation experiment suggested that the wind field data provided more contribution in the cyclone detection than the precipitation data.

Index Terms—Cyclone detection, data fusion, deep learning, precipitation, wind field.

I. INTRODUCTION

CYCLONE is a typical extreme weather condition that negatively affects the safety of maritime transportation and coastal residents. Therefore, cyclone detection is an important topic in the related field. Since 1960s, remote sensing technology has been developed and applied to monitor oceanic environment, including cyclones on the sea surface. Meanwhile, thanks to the improvements in the spatial coverage and resolution of remote sensors, detecting cyclones in large spatial and temporal scales became a trend in relevant studies. Researchers have made attempts to accomplish such objective and their works can be classified as follows based on the data they utilized.

1) Cyclones are characterized as low-pressure centers [1]. Thus, low sea-level pressure (SLP) zone is a classic identifier for the presence of cyclones [2], [3]. By comparing the Laplacian operator of SLP, Simmonds et al. [4] proposed an automatic cyclone detection algorithm. Hanley and Caballero [5] further developed an identification and tracking method for multicenter cyclones by examining the gradient of SLP. Nevertheless, less SLP application is being made for cyclone detection in the recent studies because of the lack of in situ and remote sensing data.

2) Because the wind field of cyclone wing is usually characterized as high vorticity zone compared with surrounding area, the remotely sensed wind field data, which is usually obtained through satellite-based scatterometer, have been widely applied in cyclone detection. A classic approach for identifying cyclone in the wind field is the Okubo–Weiss (OW) method, which is proposed to quantitatively evaluate the significance between rotation and deformation in the flow field [6], [7]. The OW parameter $W$ is defined specifically as

$$W = S_n^2 + S_n^2 - \omega^2$$

where $S_s$ and $S_n$ are the shear and normal components of strain, and $\omega$ is the relative vorticity. These parameters can be specifically calculated using

$$S_s = \frac{\partial V'}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U'}{\partial y}, \quad S_n = \frac{\partial U'}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial V'}{\partial y}, \quad \omega = \frac{\partial V'}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial U'}{\partial y}$$

where $U'$ and $V'$ are the zonal and meridional components of the flow velocity and $x$ and $y$ are the spatial coordinates. Some cyclone detection algorithms have been proposed based on the wind field information derived from remote sensing data and OW parameters [8], [9]. Tory et al. [10] took the product of the normalized OW parameter and the absolute vorticity, and proposed Okubo–Weiss–Zeta predictor (OWZP) to identify the regions of enhanced vorticity with weak deformation in the wind field, based on which they further designed a model-free cyclone detection scheme. The major disadvantage of OW method is that the threshold of OW parameter is only valid in a regional area [11], [12]. Thus, the OW-based cyclone detection methods are usually not capable for cyclone detection in large spatial scale. In order to overcome this shortage, Xie et al. [13] designed a deep-learning-based target detection algorithm and achieved...
global-scale cyclone detection using wind field data. The deep learning model was able to recognize the characteristics of vorticity in the wind field, but also reported false alarms including monsoon gyre or other cyclone-like turbulence.

3) The infrared (IR) remote sensing images can capture the shapes of cyclone clouds, which have a strong characteristic of geometric symmetry. With the wide application of advanced machine learning algorithms in image processing, automatic cyclone detection using IR images has become a hotspot in recent studies. Lee and Liu [14] classified the shapes of clouds formed by tropical cyclones in remote sensing images into eight categories, based on which they proposed a cyclone detection method using neural networks. Jaiswal and Kishtawal [15], [16] conducted a series of studies on cyclone detection using IR remote sensing images based on spiral template and gradient vectors of brightness temperature. Different method was proposed by Liu et al. [17], who designed an algorithm to detect cyclone’s edge using Sobel operator. Xu et al. [18] derived the cloud motion wind (CMW) field from IR images, and proposed a cyclone detection algorithm combining CMW and IR images. Shakya et al. [19] developed a deep-learning-based model using IR imagers and applied it to track the cyclone path in Indian Ocean. Similar methods were proposed to detect cyclone or storm using multispectral images [20], radar images [21], and other earth observations [22]. There have also been sufficient studies that developed the models to estimate cyclone intensities using IR images [23], [24], [25], [26], [27], [28], [29], [30], [31]. However, this topic is considered beyond the scope of this article, and thus will not be discussed in detail here.

As a state-of-the-art data mining technique, data fusion method has become a focus in the researches on remote sensing data processing. Researchers have also made some attempt to identify the presence of cyclone using the datasets obtained from multiple types of remote sensors. Ho and Talukder [32], [33], [34] conducted a series of studies on cyclone detection using multiple remote sensing datasets. They combined the wind field data obtained from quick scatterometer (QuikSCAT) with the precipitation data obtained from tropical rainfall measurement mission (TRMM), and then distinguished the tropical cyclone based on support vector machine. Warunsin and Chitsobhuk [35] combined the wind data with cloud shape and developed a fuzzy inference system for cyclone detection. They claimed that the combined dataset could achieve higher detection accuracy than using wind field data alone. Murata et al. [36] utilized two quantities that derived from the radial gradient and the tangential asymmetry of vortex properties cyclones to build a topological model for tropical cyclone detection. These successful applications indicate that the integrated remote sensing data could potentially be applied to analyze the complex meteorological system, including cyclone detection.

Following the route of remote sensing data fusion, this article presents a cyclone detection model based on deep learning. Wind field and precipitation, which are two types of meteorological features strongly related to the cyclone events, are selected to generate an integrated dataset. And an object detection method based on deep convolutional neural network (CNN) is introduced to identify the cyclones within the integrated dataset. The data fusion approach, deep-learning-based model, and the design of ablation experiment are described in detail in Section II. The cyclone detection results at global and regional scales are presented in Section III. The detection accuracies from ablation experiment and comparison experiment are quantitatively analyzed in Section IV. The potential applications and limitations of the proposed model are also discussed in Section IV. It is expected that the proposed method could provide a novel method for global cyclone detection, and promote the studies on remote sensing data fusion approach.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Data Acquisition and Processing

Draw on the successful experiences in the studies by Ho and Talukder [33], [34], wind field vectors and precipitation data were applied to the cyclone detection model. The details on data acquisition and processing are discussed as follow.

1) Wind Field Data: As mentioned in the introduction, wind field of cyclone events have strong characteristic of vorticity, and wind field data have been applied to detect cyclones in previous studies. The mean wind field (MWF) data used in this article is derived from advanced scatterometer (ASCAT), which is provided by European Remote Sensing program of the European Space Agency. MWF-ASCAT was upgraded from QuikSCAT in term of spatial resolution: it covers the wind field data on the sea surface between 80°N-80°S and 180°W-0°-180°E, at the spatial resolution of 0.25°×0.25°. Among the 15 variables included in MWF-ASCAT dataset, northward and eastward windspeed are utilized to capture the spirals in wind field.

Because ASCAT only records windspeed on water surface, the wind field can be cut off by small island [see Fig. 1(a)]. As reported by Xie et al. [13], this may negatively affect the cyclone detection results. Therefore, the wind field data within the small island (less than 4 pixels in either of the dimension) were interpolated with the windspeed data around. The bilinear interpolation method was applied to complete the spatial interpolation. The interpolated wind field is shown as Fig. 1(b).

2) Precipitation Data: Cyclone events usually bring strong rainfall in the cyclone wings, while low precipitation in the cyclone eyes. Nevertheless, it is unreliable to detect cyclone events by using precipitation data alone. Instead of that, precipitation can be applied as a side information with wind field. It can help

![Fig. 1. Wind field vectors over an island. (a) Raw data. (b) Interpolated data.](image)
been developed for this purpose. According to the model of artificial intelligent algorithm and various models have learning. Object detection is an important topic in the field constructed based on an object detection algorithm of deep.

B. Model Structure

The cyclone detection model applied in this article was constructed based on an object detection algorithm of deep learning. Object detection is an important topic in the field of artificial intelligent algorithm and various models have been developed for this purpose. According to the model structures, the deep-learning-based object detection models can be classified into two categories: 1) two-stage object detection, such as faster-RCNN [37] and SSP-Net [38], which usually consists of a regions proposal network (RPN) and a neural-network-based classifier; 2) one-stage object detection based on regression, such as YOLO [39] and SSD [40].

Since the cyclones usually appeared as small targets in the integrated dataset at the global-scale, their spatial features may be lost in the deep convolution operation. In order to solve this problem, feature pyramid network (FPN) was integrated with a modified faster-RCNN algorithm to construct the detection model. Specifically, the model consists of two parts: 1) a feature extraction and RPN built based on FPN that seeks for potential areas of cyclones within the feature maps generated under different levels of convolution operations and proposes regions of interests (ROI); 2) a ROI processor that calibrates the locations of proposed cyclone regions with fully-connected (FC) layer and bounding box regression. The overall structure of the faster-RCNN model applied in this article is shown as Fig. 3.

As shown in Fig. 3, 5 convolution layers and 5 pooling layers were applied on the integrated dataset and produced the feature maps, based on which FPN-RPN proposed candidate cyclone regions. The size of the convolutional kernel is $3 \times 3$. The proposed regions were determined based on a fixed set of anchor sizes and positions. Because the shapes of cyclone regions are mostly close to a circle and can be enclosed in square regions, the anchor shape were set as squares. In terms of side lengths of the anchors, three types of anchor sizes were applied in the model according to the sizes of commonly witnessed cyclones on sea surface: 4, 8, and 16 pixels in integrated dataset, which represent $1^\circ$, $2^\circ$, and $4^\circ$ on the earth surface.
With the deepening of the convolutional levels, more detailed information was learnt and the ability of feature expression was strengthened. However, if the targets to be detected are rather small (such as the cyclone regions at global-scale), the corresponding feature maps are likely to be over-compressed and lose some key information. As a result, it makes the cyclones difficult to be detected correctly. In this article, FPN was introduced to achieve multiscale feature fusion and object detection. FPN uses the pyramid structure of CNN hierarchical features and generates feature pyramids with strong semantics on all scales [41]. Its architecture is designed as a top-down structure with horizontal connections, which connect the shallow layer with high resolution and the deep layer with rich semantic information. As specifically shown in Fig. 3, the size of the feature maps becomes smaller after each convolution operation. The feature maps generated after each convolution operation are extracted and form a feature pyramid. In this way, the size of its feature map is increased while retaining the high-level semantic information. Thus, the feature pyramid with strong semantic information on all scales can be quickly constructed from a single input image of a single scale at small computational cost. The feature maps generated under level 2, 3, and 4 are used for the bounding box of cyclones generated under the size of 4, 8, and 16, respectively. Thus, the proposed bounding box with large size will tend to choose the feature level with the greater depth. In other words, the larger the target is, the lower the resolution and the more abstract the feature map will be selected for regression prediction. On the contrary, the regression prediction of small targets will be carried out in the high-resolution feature map. This can solve the problem of small target detection on faster-RCNN.

The proposed regions of cyclones are processed through ROI pooling and normalized as $5 \times 5$ feature maps, which are flattened into feature vectors with the dimension of $1024 \times 1 \times 1$. Because there is only one class of target (cyclone) to be detected in the dataset, the Softmax classifier in the final classification layer of faster-RCNN is replaced by a bounding box regression that calibrates the locations of proposed cyclone regions. The FC layer and bounding box regression calibrate the location of the anchor based on these feature vectors. The FC layer consist of an input layer, three hidden layers, and an output layer.

Faster-RCNN model was intended to detect objects in RGB images. The integrated dataset constructed in this article also has a three-layers structure that is similar as RGB images. Nevertheless, if additional data were integrated into the dataset and further extent its dimension, the input size of feature extraction part would need to be modified in order to work with the dataset with extended dimension. Moreover, compared with regular faster-RCNN model, the cyclone detection model proposed in this article pruned a Softmax classification layer and its corresponding FC layer. As a result, the computational complexity is reduced and the model efficiency is improved.

C. Ablation Experiment

In order to evaluate the necessity of data fusion and determine the contribution of each data component on the detection result, an ablation experiment was designed and conducted. In addition to the model described previously that uses the data layers of wind field and precipitation, three additional contrasting models were designed in the ablation experiment by using only the wind field, precipitation, or windspeed from the input data. The detection accuracies using the three types of data were compared with that using the data fusion method.

It should be noted that when only one type of data in the integrated dataset, the number of data layers changes. As a
result, the input size of the feature extractor needs to be modified accordingly. More specifically, the wind field data consist of two layers (northward wind and eastward wind), while the precipitation and windspeed data consist of only one layer and can be treated similar as the grayscale images. Transformer-based object detection model can be implemented with the similar RPN module, and thus could theoretically

D. Implementation Details

The models used in this article and ablation experiment were constructed and trained with Keras in the Tensorflow backend [42] and Python 3.6 environment. Rectified linear unit [43] was applied as the activation function between the convolutional and pooling layers. For the hyperparameters, the initial learning rate was set at 0.001, and reduced at a factor of 10 after 100 iterations. The maximum number of iterations is set at 10000. Nonmaximum suppression was applied in the classifier to decide the prediction, and the intersection-over-union thresholds of NMS are 0.8 and 0.2 for training and testing, respectively. The models were trained using the dataset built in the previous section. 70% of the wind field data were used for training, 10% of the data were used for validation, and 20% of the data were used for testing.

III. RESULTS

The performance of the detection model was tested using the wind field and precipitation data that collected from Feb. 25, 2020 to Mar. 5, 2020. Fig. 4 shows the cyclone detection results at global scale. The vectors in Fig. 4 represent for the magnitude and direction of windspeed. The background represents for the intensity of rainfall. The spatial resolution of the windspeed vectors in the insert panel is at 0.25°×0.25°, while that of the global vectors is resampled down to 4°×4°. The spatial resolution for the rainfall intensity is the same as the input data (0.25°×0.25°). The results show that the model can detect cyclones at different latitude.

In order to compare the accuracy of the proposed model with those of the models for ablation experiment, the results are quantitatively evaluated by precision, recall, and F-measure, which are defined as

\[
\text{precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \quad (4)
\]

\[
F - \text{measure} = \frac{2 \cdot \text{precision} \cdot \text{recall}}{\text{precision} + \text{recall}} \quad (5)
\]

where TP stand for true positive predictions, FP stand for false positive predictions, and FN stand for false negative predictions.

When the confidence threshold is set at a higher level, less object would be detected. This change would reduce the false alarms but increase the number of undetected objects, in other words, improving the precision at the cost of reducing the recall. By adjusting the confidence threshold and recording the corresponding precisions and recalls, a precision-recall (PR) curves can be plotted. Each point in the PR curve corresponds to a different threshold, and its value is determined by the corresponding precision and recall [44]. The area under the PR curve is a direct indicator of the models’ accuracies, and has been widely-used to evaluate the performance of machine learning models [45]. The PR curves of the four models used in the ablation study is shown as Fig. 5. The results indicated that the detection model using the integrated dataset achieved accurate detection on cyclones, as the average precision (AP) values were...
more than 0.9. The high precision and recall values are achieved with the confidence over 0.8. Therefore, the proposed regions with the confidence over 0.8 are preserved for the model training. However, the APs for the classification models that only uses wind field, precipitation, or windspeed were significantly lower than that using the integrated data. The confusion matrices of the detection results are shown in Table I. Based on the confusion matrices, the accuracies can be calculated in Table I.

As shown in the confusion matrix (see Fig. 6), the proposed model using the data fusion approach provided the cyclone detection results with smallest number of FN and FP predictions. It also achieved the highest F-measure of over 95%. The model using only wind field data resulted in less FN and FP predictions, and thus higher accuracies (about 75%) than that using only precipitation data (about 63%) or windspeed data (60%). But all of these three models achieved lower detection accuracies than that of the proposed model using the data fusion approach.

A comparison study was also conducted by replacing the classifier in the proposed model with other existing models including Faster-RCNN [37] and YOLOv4 [39]. It can be seen from the comparison results shown in Table II that the proposed FPN+faster-RCNN model achieved higher detection accuracies than existing models. Compared with the object detection model proposed in [37] and [39], the proposed model includes the FPN module that can effectively recognize the small targets. Considering the size of cyclones at the global scale, integrating FPN in the detection model should be an appropriate improvement. This can also be verified from the comparison results shown in Table II.

### IV. DISCUSSION

#### A. Accuracy Analysis on the Ablation Experiment

As indicated by the cyclone detection results (see Fig. 4), the proposed FPN+faster-RCNN model can effectively identify cyclones though the data fusion method. According to the detection results using three different datasets (see Table I and Fig. 5), the detection model using the data fusion approach can achieve significantly better performance than those using single type of data. Moreover, the detection model using wind field data provide better prediction than that using precipitation data. These results are also confirmed by the PR curves (see Fig. 5).

It should be noted that if the cyclone locates at the boundary of the integrated dataset, part of the cyclone would be cut off by the 180° meridian or 80° parallel and the model would fail to detect it. For the cyclones cut off by the 180° meridian, the problem may be solved by adding a duplicating padding of the dataset on the other boundary (e.g., 2° to 4° in longitude). However, this would not solve the cyclones cut off by the 80° parallel, since the polar area are not covered in ASCAT data and affected by the "cask effect". With the appropriate data coverage, the model should also work for the cyclone detection in polar area.

Compared with the detection model using the data fusion approach, the model that only used wind field data ended up in much lower precision (see Table I), which indicated more false alarms. According to a detailed examination on the false alarms, this was because that the model was not able to distinguish between the cyclones and anticyclones at global scale very well, as they rotate in the different direction in north- and south-hemisphere. This problem can be solved though data fusion by introducing precipitation data, since cyclone, and anticyclone events have very different rainfall condition. The model that only

### Table I

| Dataset                  | Precision | Recall | F-Measure |
|--------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| Integrated Data          | 0.944     | 0.968  | 0.956     |
| Wind Field Data          | 0.788     | 0.713  | 0.749     |
| Precipitation Data       | 0.646     | 0.623  | 0.634     |
| Windspeed Data           | 0.604     | 0.589  | 0.596     |

### Table II

| Dataset                  | Precision | Recall | F-Measure |
|--------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| FPN+faster-RCNN          | 0.944     | 0.968  | 0.956     |
| Faster-RCNN              | 0.901     | 0.882  | 0.891     |
| YOLOv4                   | 0.928     | 0.906  | 0.917     |

Fig. 5. PR curve of the cyclone detection results in the ablation study.

Fig. 6. Confusion matrices of the detection results using different datasets. (a) Integrated dataset. (b) Wind field data only. (c) Precipitation data only.
used the windspeed data provided detection results lower than that using only wind field data because it lacks the information in wind direct, which helps identify the cyclones through their vortex structures. The model that only used precipitation data was also not able to provided very accurate prediction, since high rainfall intensity could be related to various weather conditions besides cyclone events. Furthermore, because the detection results using wind field data were more accurate than that using precipitation data, wind field data were likely to have higher contribution in the cyclone detection process of data fusion approach than precipitation data.

B. Application Areas and Future Studies

A direct application of the proposed data fusion method would be tracking the cyclone path. By processing the integrated dataset in temporal sequence with the cyclone detection model, the locational information of the cyclones can be obtained through
the proposed regions and the movement of a cyclone can be captured by the model. For example, we attempted to track the path of Hurricane Irma that occurred in September 2017 with the proposed model. The time-series results are shown sequentially in Fig. 7. The spatial resolution of the windspeed vectors in the insert panel is at 0.25°×0.25°, while that of the regional vectors is resampled down to 1°×1°. The spatial resolution for the rainfall intensity is set as the raw data (0.1°×0.1°). As indicated in the results, the model was able to detect Hurricane Irma and track its moving path, which started from the west Atlantic Ocean [see Fig. 7(a)], passed over Cuba [see Fig. 7(b) and (c)], and turned north toward Florida, United States [see Fig. 7(d)].

It should be noted that the proposed model has not been developed into a completed cyclone tracking model yet for the following reasons: 1) For the purpose of cyclone tracking, it is usually important to determine the locations of cyclone eyes, while the proposed model only indicated the cyclone regions. The most straightforward way to locate the cyclone eyes is to make the centers of the proposed regions as the location of the cyclone eyes. This may not be accurate though, since the wind fields of the cyclones could be asymmetric; 2) The spatial resolution of the integrated dataset is not fine enough to precisely determine the locations of cyclone eyes. As stated previously in the methodology part, the spatial resolution of the integrated dataset is influenced by “cask effect”. Thus, in order to solve this problem, the data component with the lowest spatial resolution needs to be improved. This problem could be solved through the future development of remote sensing technology; 3) ASCAT and GPM data are derived from polar satellites that provide two passes in a day. However, cyclones are moving phenomena, of which the spatial coverage may not be correctly indicated on daily-averaged data. Geostationary satellites collect continuous observations for cyclone tracking, but with lower accuracy. Therefore, the proposed model can be applied as an additional validation of cyclone tracking using geostationary satellite.

For the same reasons, the proposed approach can be more appropriately applied for the census of cyclone occurrences in large spatial and temporal scales. Such studies usually do not require precise location of the cyclone eyes. As a global-scale, fully-automatic cyclone detection model with high efficiency and accuracy, the proposed data fusion approach can be applied to construct an archive of historical occurrences of cyclone events in large temporal and spatial scale, and propel further studies on the patterns of cyclone occurrences.

A direct application of the proposed data fusion method would be tracking the cyclone path. By processing the integrated dataset in temporal sequence with the cyclone detection model, the locational information of the cyclones can be obtained through the proposed regions and the movement of a cyclone can be captured by the model. For example, we attempted to track the path of Hurricane Irma that occurred in September 2017 with the proposed model. The time-series results are shown sequentially in Fig. 7. The spatial resolution of the windspeed vectors in the insert panel is at 0.25°×0.25°, while that of the regional vectors is resampled down to 1°×1°. The spatial resolution for the rainfall intensity is set as the raw data (0.1°×0.1°). As indicated in the results, the model was able to detect Hurricane Irma and track its moving path, which started from the west Atlantic Ocean [see Fig. 7(a)], passed over Cuba [see Fig. 7(b) and (c)], and turned north toward Florida, United States [see Fig. 7(d)].

Besides the data fusion approaches, we envision an improvement on the performance of cyclone detection model as the trends of future studies, and there are at least two ways to pursue that. The first approach focuses on the configuration of neural network structure. For instance, the lately-proposed transformer-based object detection, which was built on object queries rather than the bounding boxes used in the faster-RCNN or YOLO [46], may be an alternative detector to process the integrated dataset. Transformer-based object detection model can be implemented with the similar RPN module [47], and thus could theoretically be applied to detect small cyclone targets at the global scale. However, considering the problem of tedious training time and low running efficiency in the transformer-based object detection model [48], its feasibility of global cyclone detection still needs to be further verified. The other approach would be the integration of physic model and artificial neural network, which has drawn the attentions of researches in different application fields [49]. For the purpose of cyclone detection, the OW method may be combined with the deep learning model where the distribution of OWZP operator could provide additional information that imply the exist of cyclones.

V. CONCLUSION

A data fusion approach for global scale cyclone detection is proposed using deep-learning-based object detection algorithm. Wind field vectors derived from ASCAT scatterometer and rainfall intensity derived from precipitation radar are combined and form an integrated dataset for model training and testing. The experiment results showed that the detection model using the data fusion approach was able to provide significantly more accurate detection than those using single types of data. This fact indicated the necessity of data fusion. Furthermore, according to the results of ablation experiment, the wind field data seemed to have higher contribution than precipitation data for the cyclone detection model applied in this article.

The limitations and potential applications of the detection model are also discussed in the article. The spatial resolution of the dataset is affected by “cask effect”. In other words, the spatial resolution of the dataset is determined by the data layer with the lowest spatial resolution. Therefore, it may not be able to accurately determine the locations of cyclone eyes according to the current spatial resolution of remote sensing data. However, by developing a fully-automatic cyclone detection model with data fusion approach, this article is expected to promote the researches on the cyclone occurrences and climate patterns, as well as remote sensing data fusion methods.
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