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Abstract

Background: Protecting people against the financial consequences of health-care payments is a key objective of health systems.

Aims: We carried out a descriptive analysis of changes in health spending associated with the implementation of the latest health sector reform in the Islamic Republic of Iran, the Health Transformation Plan (HTP).

Methods: The study relied on 2 rounds of data from the Household Expenditure and Income Survey (2014 and 2015). Key indicators of financial protection in health expenditure were estimated. The Kakwani index was used for out-of-pocket (OOP) health expenditure to measure the degree of progressivity in the distribution of such payments.

Results: Total OOP per capita health expenditure showed a 2.5% relative decrease in real terms in 2015 compared to 2014. Estimation of the Kakwani index suggested OOP spending became slightly more progressive over the time period of HTP reform. The share of the population facing catastrophic health expenditure also decreased significantly from 2.9% to 2.1% at the national level. However, the incidence of impoverishment due to OOP payments increased slightly between pre- and post-HTP, from 0.2% to 0.5%.

Conclusion: Our findings suggest that the new policies have a positive association in improving financial protection against health costs among Iranians, albeit slightly less so for the poor. Future efforts to increase public spending for financial protection would be challenging and should rely on efficiency gains such as a move from fee-for-service to performance-based payment systems and more organized OOP collection mechanisms involving prepayment and risk pooling.
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Introduction

The design of health systems has a fundamental impact on population access to health services and thus their health status. Unfortunately, accessing these services can sometimes lead to some individuals having to pay catastrophic proportions of their available income and/or push many into poverty (1–4). Globally it has been estimated that 150 million people suffer financial catastrophe each year due to health care payments and 100 million are pushed into poverty because of out-of-pocket (OOP) payments (5).

One of the main goals of a health system is fair financing, i.e. providing financial protection against the costs of ill-health. This concept of fairness or equity in health financing promotes the idea that the burden must be shared across society, and that individuals should be protected against financial hardship that threatens their living standards as a result of paying for health care (6,7). Empirical evidence highlights that OOP payment is not only the most inequitable but also the least efficient means of financing health care (8). A more equitable and efficient health financing system requires effective health financing strategies that shift from a reliance on OOP payments at the time of service to more organized forms of revenue collection involving pre-payment and risk pooling mechanisms (8,9). With the goals of financial protection and equity in mind, a number of countries have implemented health sector reforms and have reported promising results in reducing OOP payments. For instance, in Thailand, universal coverage was launched in 2001 to ensure equitable access to health care for the entire population. Since its introduction, the policy had a major impact on reducing the overall incidence of catastrophic expenditure among population (10). In Turkey, following the implementation of the health transformation programme in 2003, which aimed at reducing total OOP expenditure and increasing access to health care, there was a diminishing trend in catastrophic and impoverishing health expenditures (11). Similarly, Indonesia implemented a reform to restructure its health care financing system and improve access to health services by establishing several health insurance programmes, which were relatively successful in providing financial protection for their members against the cost of medical services (12).

In the Islamic Republic of Iran, major sources of financing the health sector are OOP health expenditures...
and government expenditures, which respectively represented 47.0% and 38.9% of total health spending in 2013 (13). Social health insurance accounted for 19.7% of total health spending. In recognition of the Iranian health system being predominantly financed by OOP payments, the country’s fifth 5-year development plan has mandated the government to decrease the share of such payments in total health expenditures to 30% or less (14). In addition, with the aim of ensuring that health care is more accessible and its provision more equitable to the population, the 11th government launched the health transformation plan (HTP) in May 2014 (15). One of the major aims of this plan is to reduce OOP payments, a target which is mainly supported by a substantial increase in the budget of the Ministry of Health and Medical Education (i.e. 59% increase in annual budget), financed from a targeted energy subsidies law and an earmarked 1% of value added tax, together contributing an additional US$3 billion (16).

Protecting the population against the impact of high OOP payments is an important goal of the HTP. First, insurance coverage was extended for approximately 10 million people (17). Recognising that spending on inpatient services and medicines are the main components of total OOP expenditure, the Ministry of Health and Medical Education also took major steps to reduce inpatient costs by reducing co-payments for hospitals affiliated with the Ministry of Health and Medical Education to a maximum of 10% for residents of large and medium cities and a maximum of 5% for residents of rural areas. These co-payment rates were reduced to 6% and 3% respectively at the end of 2014. In addition, modification of the tariffs paid for medical services started in November 2014. The main objective of revising health tariffs was to regulate physicians’ payments and reduce informal payments, which are estimated to be high (18). The HTP also reduced the shortage of essential drugs stemming from international sanctions against the Islamic Republic of Iran, and reduced the price of medicines at the beginning of 2014.

In this study, we aimed to analyse preliminary changes observed around the time of the implementation of the HTP, particularly in regard to changes in the level and distribution of OOP payments and catastrophic and impoverishing health expenditures by comparing 1 year before and after implementation. Equity in the distribution of such payments was also examined to understand the degree of progressivity.

### Methods

Data are from 2 rounds of the Household Expenditure and Income Survey, which is conducted 4 times a year by the Iranian Statistics Centre. Each round is nationally representative, and our analysis relied on data from the Persian calendar’s winter season, corresponding to Gregorian calendar months January–April 2013 (sample size 9535 households) and from January–April 2015 (sample size 9543 households). Since the HTP was initiated in April 2014, and with expenditure recall periods of a maximum of 1 year, the majority of data thus represents the period of a year before and a year after the implementation of reforms.

Health expenditures refer to OOP payments made by individuals to health providers at the time of service use. They include direct payments (including gratuities and payments in kind) to formal medical professionals, informal traditional or alternative healers, clinics, health centres and pharmacies and exclude prepayment for health services (e.g. in the form of taxes or specific insurance premiums or contributions) and, where possible, are net of any reimbursements to the individual who made the payments. All expenditure variables were annualized and baselined to 2011, adjusting for urban and rural inflation rates based on an annual average of quarterly consumer price indices.

Key indicators of financial protection in health spending concern catastrophic and impoverishing health expenditures, where the former is concerned with the impact of health expenditures causing a person to forego spending on other necessities and the latter is concerned with health expenditures pushing a person below the poverty line. Indicators were constructed following established methods. For catastrophic health expenditures, the methodology developed by the World Health Organization (WHO) was applied when catastrophic health expenditures were equal to or exceeded 40% of a household’s capacity to pay (19). The WHO approximates capacity-to-pay as total expenditure net of nondiscretionary food spending. The latter is estimated as the average food expenditure per equivalent adult across households in the 45th–55th percentile of the food budget share distribution. When actual food spending is below this amount, capacity-to-pay is defined as total expenditure net of actual food spending. Health expenditures are considered impoverishing when they push a person below the poverty line, i.e. expenditures gross of spending on health are above the poverty line but expenditures net of health spending are below the line. In this analysis, the international poverty line of US$1.90 (2011 purchasing power parity) per capita, per day was used. Population headcount ratios were estimated for both indicators and t-tests conducted to assess whether changes were significant or not.

Equity in the distribution of OOP payments was also analysed by estimating the Kakwani index. This index is based on the Gini coefficient of income and the concentration index of OOP expenditures (20). A Gini index ranks income distribution on a scale between 0 and 1, where 0 indicates perfect equality and 1 indicates perfect inequality. We proxied income using total consumption expenditure. A concentration index assesses the distribution of OOP payments across the population taking a value between −1 and 1, where a negative value suggests OOP is concentrated in the poor and a positive value suggests OOP is concentrated in the rich. The Kakwani index is then the difference between the Gini coefficient and the concentration index for OOP payments.
health payments, and ranges from −2 (indicating severe regressivity) to +1 (indicating strong progressivity). If OOP payments are a progressive way to finance the health services, the Kakwani index will have a positive value. This research analysed changes in the degree of progressivity in OOP financing in the 2 time periods associated with the HTP reform.

**Results**

One of the main objectives of the HTP is to reduce OOP health payments, especially those due to inpatient costs in public hospitals, across the Iranian population. Table 1 shows total OOP and OOP payments on inpatient services before and after HTP implementation. The results indicate a positive association with a relative decrease in total OOP per capita expenditure of 2.5% in real terms at the national level (from 2 099 569 rials to 2 047 120 rials). Almost all subpopulation groups benefited from the reduction in total OOP expenditure except for the richest quintile and those living in urban areas. The more vulnerable groups of the population, those living in rural areas and the poorest, benefited from the greatest relative reduction in total OOP expenditure.

Table 1: Out-of-pocket (OOP) payments on health (Iranian rials) per capita, 2011 terms

| Area or quintile | Total OOP | Inpatient OOP |
|------------------|-----------|---------------|
|                  | 2014      | 2015          | 2014      | 2015          |
|                  | Population mean; (confidence interval) | Relative change (%) | Absolute change | Population mean; (confidence interval) | Relative change (%) | Absolute change |
| National         | 2 099 569; (1 991 382–2 207 755) | −2.5 | −52 448 429 323; (387 962–470 683) | −32.8 | −141 013*** |
| Urban            | 2 408 992; (2 241 114–2 576 871) | 0.9 | 22 563 485 648; (422 187–549 109) | 31.6 | −153 374** |
| Rural            | 1 311 841; (1 204 820–1 418 862) | −19.5 | −255 886** 285 930; (241 443–330 418) | −38.8 | −110 971*** |
| Q1               | 438 865.2; (409 112.6–468 617.9) | −15.8 | −69 131* 83 593; (69 476–97 710) | −31.5 | −26 315 |
| Q2               | 812 659.5; (760 721.4–864 597.7) | −6.0 | −48 587 160 437; (131 542–189 332) | −14.1 | −22 560 |
| Q3               | 1 312 266; (1 227 578–1 396 954) | −14.1 | −184 664* 297 044; (251 250–342 838) | −39.7 | −117 802** |
| Q4               | 2 223 898; (2 049 588–2 398 208) | −15.1 | −335 148 541 328; (434 074–648 582) | −46.8 | −253 483* |
| Q5               | 5 716 025; (5 164 361–6 267 689) | 6.6 | 379 405 1 065 280; (867 278–1 263 282) | −26.7 | −284 798 |

Expenditure variables were annualized and baselined to 2011, adjusting for urban and rural inflation rates based on an annual average of quarterly consumer price indices. Quintiles are constructed based on per capita consumption expenditure.

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

The Iranian HTP had an initial focus on reducing inpatient costs in public hospitals. The Household Expenditure and Income Survey collected data on spending on inpatient health services (covering both public and private sectors). Table 1 also shows that OOP on inpatient services per capita decreased in the year after HTP compared with the year before HTP at the national level, from 429 323 rials to 288 310 rials, representing a 32.8% relative reduction. All subnational population groups saw a decrease, with the greatest relative change observed for middle socioeconomic status populations: quintile 3 (−39.7%) and quintile 4 (−46.8%), followed by those in rural areas (−38.8%).

Examining OOP payments reveals that the positive impact of the HTP on inpatient spending seems to be counter-balanced by the opposite effect on other outpatient fees and services (Figure 1). The focus of the HTP on inpatient services and medicines saw a reduction in related OOP expenditures. However, OOP on outpatient fees increased, as did such payments for ancillary services and dentistry.

This increase, however, was not observed for all subnational population groups. Figure 1b shows that for the poorest quintile OOP payments for services (with the exception of ancillary services) decreased,
Figure 1: Out-of-pocket payments in the Islamic Republic of Iran according to type of health spending

a. National averages

b. Quintile 1

c. Quintile 5
whereas Figure 1c shows that for the richest quintile OOP payments increased for outpatient fees, ancillary services and dentistry during the same period.

To understand the impact of OOP health spending on living standards, catastrophic health expenditures was calculated following the WHO capacity-to-pay approach. Comparison of the share of the population experiencing catastrophic health expenditures showed there was a statistically significant reduction after the implementation of the HTP (Table 2), decreasing from 2.9% to 2.1%. More importantly, all population groups benefitted from this reduction, although the richest 40% of the population and those in urban areas benefitted the most with greater absolute decreases. In terms of impoverishing health expenditure using the US$ 1.90 international poverty line in 2011 purchasing power parity, the incidence of impoverishment due to OOP payments increased slightly.

Table 3 shows the distributional analysis with estimations for the Gini coefficient for total expenditure, the concentration index for OOP payments and the Kakwani index. The Gini coefficient for total expenditure was estimated as 0.38 in 2014 and 0.39 in 2015, suggesting that the distribution generally remained the same after the implementation of the HTP. The concentration index for OOP payments was estimated as 0.49 in 2014 and rose to 0.55 in 2015, suggesting that such payments were more concentrated in the wealthy than in the poor. The Kakwani index is the difference between the two and was estimated to be 0.12 in 2014, rising to 0.15 in 2015. The positive index indicates OOP was already a progressive source of financing pre-reforms, and the slight increase suggests an increase in the degree of progressivity of OOP as a source of financing.

**Discussion**

This analysis presents preliminary results observed during the implementation of the Iranian HTP, including changes in the level and distribution of OOP and catastrophic and impoverishing health expenditures across the population. Preliminary results indicate that the HTP is associated with reductions in OOP and catastrophic health expenditures. Our results are consistent with those of similar studies that reported a reduction in

---

**Table 2** Key indicators of financial protection in health spending, Islamic Republic of Iran

| Area or quintile | Catastrophic health expenditures (%) | Impoverishing health expenditures (%) |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                  | Headcount ratio| Absolute change | Headcount ratio | Absolute change |
|                  | 2014 | 2015 |          | 2014 | 2015 |          |
| Area             |      |      |          |      |      |          |
| National         | 2.9  | 2.1  | -0.8*    | 0.2  | 0.5  | 0.3**    |
| Urban            | 2.6  | 1.7  | -0.9*    | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.0      |
| Rural            | 3.8  | 3.2  | -0.5     | 0.6  | 1.5  | 0.9***   |
| Quintile         |      |      |          |      |      |          |
| Q1               | 2.3  | 2.2  | -0.1     | 1.1  | 2.2  | 1.1**    |
| Q2               | 2.1  | 1.5  | -0.6     | 0.0  | 0.1  | 0.1      |
| Q3               | 2.1  | 1.7  | -0.4     | 0.0  | 0.0  |          |
| Q4               | 3.4  | 2.2  | -1.3     | 0.0  | 0.0  |          |
| Q5               | 4.9  | 3.3  | -1.6     | 0.0  | 0.0  |          |

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

1Defined as 40% or more of nonsubsistence food expenditure.  
2Using the 2011 international poverty line of US$ 1.90 purchasing power parity.  
3Subject to rounding.

---

**Table 3** Distributional analyses of out-of-pocket payments

| Year and index | Estimate | Standard error | P > t | 95% CI |
|----------------|----------|----------------|-------|--------|
| **2014**       |          |                |       |        |
| Gini coefficient| 0.38     | 0.0053         | 0.0001| 0.366–0.387  |
| Concentration index | 0.49    | 0.0182         | 0.0001| 0.459–0.530  |
| Kakwani index    | 0.12     | 0.0179         | 0.0001| 0.083–0.153  |
| **2015**       |          |                |       |        |
| Gini coefficient| 0.39     | 0.0065         | 0.0001| 0.381–0.406  |
| Concentration index | 0.55    | 0.0442         | 0.0001| 0.459–0.632  |
| Kakwani index    | 0.15     | 0.0403         | 0.0002| 0.073–0.231  |
the proportion of households experiencing catastrophic health expenditure following the implementation of health reforms (10–12). Distributional analyses also suggest that there were progressive changes in the nature of OOP financing. Impoverishing health expenditures increased slightly, but general poverty levels did as well (21).

These initial positive results can be linked with a number of interventions of the HTP. One of the main interventions was the extension of free basic health insurance to all uninsured Iranians by the Iranian Health Insurance Organization. Government reports and household surveys suggest an increase in population coverage from 83.2% to 90.1% (22). During the first year of HTP, co-payments for inpatient services were reduced for hospitals affiliated with the Ministry of Health and Medical Education from 10% to 6% for urban areas and from 5% to 3% for rural areas. In terms of purchasing health services, tariffs paid by the Iranian Health Insurance Organization to health facilities for the provision of health services were increased in November 2014 to better reflect the cost of services provided. The main objectives of such initiatives were to regulate provider payments and reduce informal payments, which had been estimated to be high (18). These reforms in provider payments along with the tighter regulation of what public hospitals could charge patients all aimed to reduce OOP and informal (under-the-table) payments in public hospitals.

Our analyses further demonstrated that the decrease in OOP payments for inpatient services was partially counterbalanced by a slight increase for OOP payments on various outpatient services. Considering the fact that most of the HTP interventions targeted the inpatient sector in the first year of HTP implementation, this initial trend was expected. Relatively higher OOP spending on outpatient care was observed for wealthier population groups and on services related to ancillary and dentistry care.

The distributional analyses of OOP spending showed this to be a progressive source of financing in the country and with OOP payments mainly concentrated among the rich. A similar study conducted in Turkey found that OOP payment was progressive in the first year of the health reform and it tended to be regressive 6 years afterwards (11). In countries of the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), the analysis of progressivity using the Kakwani index revealed that, although health care payments were almost proportional to ability to pay, private payments included, OOP payments and private insurance premiums were highly regressive (23). Several studies in low- to middle-income countries reported that OOP payments were a regressive financing mechanism (24–26). Given the Iranian health system is predominantly financed by OOP payments, it would be important to explore mechanisms to transform the collection of such resources from individuals at the time of service use to more organized collection forms involving pre-payment and risk-pooling.

In this study, the share of the population experiencing catastrophic health expenditures decreased from 2.9% to 2.1% over 2 time periods. More importantly, all population groups benefitted from this reduction, although the richest 40% of the population and those in urban areas benefitted the most with greater absolute decreases, suggesting that the observed reductions in OOP payments in the poor were not as effective in reducing their levels of catastrophic health expenditures in comparison with those population. Strategies aimed at improving financial access for the poor should pursue equity gains by improving financial protection for those harder to reach population groups. The increase in the incidence of impoverishment before and after HTP might be a reflection of the general poverty levels in the Islamic Republic of Iran, which also slightly increased over the same period, from 1.7% to 3.4% (21). As expected, impoverishment was only observed in the poorest quintiles.

Key strengths and limitations of this study are worth noting. First, the study relied on a robust and comprehensive national household survey conducted by the Iranian Statistics Centre. Second, the methods used for analysing financial protection are well established, dating back to the 1990s and used in several other country studies (27–29). Some limitations to note are that the study could not isolate the impact of the HTP, which would have required either a randomized control study or a quasi-experimental model. Working with policymakers to conduct more rigorous impact control studies or a quasi-experimental model. Working with policymakers to conduct more rigorous impact evaluations in the future would be welcome. Additionally, the relatively short time period covered by the study does not allow for full understanding of the impact of the reform and thus, regular monitoring and evaluation are strongly recommended. Nevertheless, this study still presents important preliminary findings of changes associated with reforms. It provides timely insight to inform the future direction of HTP.

**Conclusion**

This study provides preliminary evidence regarding the level and distribution of OOP payments, as well as their catastrophic and impoverishing effects during a period before and after implementation of the latest health sector reform in the Islamic Republic of Iran. Results suggest that the new policies are associated with a positive impact in reducing total OOP expenditure. Catastrophic health expenditures also decreased for all subnational population groups, albeit slightly less so for the poor. In addition, impoverishing health expenditures slightly increased for the poor and near-poor. The results thus suggest efficiency rather than equity improvement was made as the reduction in OOP was less effective in extending financial protection for these more vulnerable groups. The distribution of OOP was progressive pre-HTP and slightly increased post-HTP.
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تحليل الحماية المالية قبل خطة التحول الصحي في جمهورية إيران الإسلامية وبعدها
جاله عبدالی، جاستین سو، الهام احمدنجاد، رضا مجدزاده، ایرج حریرشی

الخلاصة
تُعتبر حماية الناس من التعرض للعواقب المالية التي تترتب على المدفوعات في مجال الرعاية الصحية أحد الأهداف الرئيسية للنظم الصحية.

الخلفية: هدفت هذه الدراسة إلى إجراء تحليل وصفي للتغيرات التي تحدث في الإنفاق على الصحة، والتي تقترن بتنفيذ أحدث إصلاح في قطاع الأهداف: الخطة الصحية في جمهورية إیران الإسلامية، ألا وهو خطة التحول الصحي.

طرق البحث: استندت الدراسة إلى جولتين لجمع البيانات من المسح الخاص بإنفاق الأسرة ودخلها (عامي 2014 و2015). وُفرت المؤشرات الرئيسية للحماية المالية في إطار Cooperative Programme for Research in Income and Poverty Dynamics (CPRID) في توزيع المدفوعات التي تُسدَّد من خلال الدفع المباشر للإنفاق على الصحة.

نتائج: أظهر إجمالي الدفع المباشر للإنفاق على الصحة للفرد حدوث انخفاض نسبي بالقيمة الفعلية بنسبة 2.5% في عام 2014، مقابل 2.1% في عام 2015. واتبعت التقديرات أن مدى تحول الفئة الاقتصادية للإنفاق الصحي عقب خطة التحول الصحي قد ق宝玉 في الفترة الزمنية للإصلاح، حيث بلغ 2.1% بعد أن كان 2.9% قبل ذلك.

استنتاجات: تشير النتائج إلى أن الخطة المالية للرعاية الصحية في جمهورية إيران قد تحقق تحسينات معقولة في الحماية المالية من التكاليف الصحية. ومع ذلك، هناك حاجة إلى مزيد من التحقيق حول كيف يمكن أن تؤثر هذه الخطة في حياة الأشخاص من الناحية الهيكلية والiphersية، وتعزيز الأداء卫生ي والاقتصادي للخطة.
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