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Abstract. This paper aims at introducing the concept of time-dependent polarization tensors for the Helmholtz equation. One considers solutions to the frequency-domain Helmholtz equation in two and three dimensions. Based on layer potential techniques one provides for such solutions a rigorous systematic derivation of complete asymptotic expansions of perturbations resulting from the presence of diametrically small targets with constitutive parameters different from those of the background and size less than the operating wavelength. Such asymptotic expansions are based on careful and precise estimates of the dependence with respect to the frequency of the remainders. By truncating the high frequencies of the Fourier transform of these asymptotic expansions, one recovers the time-domain formulas. The threshold of the truncation is determined by the size of the target. The time-dependent asymptotic expansions are written in terms of the new concept of time-dependent polarization tensors. It is expected that our results will find important applications for developing time-domain algorithms for target classification.

1. Introduction

Echolocating bats can detect changes as small as 500 nanoseconds in the arrival time of echoes when these changes appear as jitter or alternations in arrival time from one echo to the next. The bat perceives the phase of the sounds, which cover the 25- to 100-kilohertz frequency range, as these are represented in the auditory system after peripheral transformation. The acoustic image of a sonar target is apparently derived from time-domain or periodicity information processing by the nervous system [20, 21].

The aim of this paper is to introduce the concept of time-dependent polarization tensors for the Helmholtz equation. Experimental data suggest that bats use temporal information for most, if not all, perceptual tasks [20]. Therefore, it is expected that our results will find important application for developing time-domain methods for target classification in echolocation by extending the corresponding frequency-domain methods [2, 11] and the electro-sensing case [9]. Since a signal in time contains
more information from a frequency point of view, they are expected to give a better performance in target classification if treated directly in the time domain.

We consider the case of a bat which is not moving and is sending a signal in time. This problem has to be evaluated in the frequency-domain first. We derive an asymptotic expansion for the perturbed wave in terms of the frequency-dependent polarization tensors by taking care of the frequency of the remainder associated to the asymptotic formulas. The target has to be small compared to the wavelength. Note that high frequencies correspond exactly to small wavelengths. The basic idea is then to truncate the high frequencies, as in [7]. The threshold of the truncation is determined by the size of the target. The truncation is not just a mathematical assumption: CF (constant frequency) bats cannot hear all the frequencies outside a certain range of finite values [20]. By truncating the high frequencies of the Fourier transform of the asymptotic expansion, we recover the time-domain formulae. These time-dependent asymptotic expansions are written in terms of the new concept of time-dependent polarization tensors.

To be more precise, suppose that the target \( D \) is of the form
\[
D = \epsilon B + z,
\]
where \( B \) is a bounded Lipschitz domain in \( \mathbb{R}^d \), \( d = 2, 3 \), containing the origin and \( \epsilon \) is the order of magnitude of the diameter of the target. A cylindrical or spherical wave
\[
U_y(x, t) := \begin{cases} \delta(t - |x - y|) & d = 3, \\ \frac{\delta(t - |x - y|)}{2\pi |x - y|} & d = 2, \end{cases}
\]
is generated by a point source located at \( y \) far away from \( z \). When this wave hits the target \( D \), it is perturbed. We will derive complete asymptotic expansions of this perturbation far away from the target as \( \epsilon \to 0 \). In fact, we will derive asymptotic expansions of the perturbation \( u_y - U_y \) after having the high-frequency component truncated, where \( u_y \) is the solution to
\[
\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u_y - \nabla : (\chi(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{D}) + k \chi(D)) \nabla u_y = \delta_{x=y}\delta_{t=0} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty), \\ u_y(x, t) = 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } t \ll 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}
\]
The leading-order term in this asymptotic formula has been derived by Ammari et al. [4] for the three-dimensional case. The proof of our asymptotic expansion is different, is written for dimensions \( d = 2, 3 \) and is complete. It is based on layer potential techniques and the decomposition formula
\[
v_y(x, \omega) = \begin{cases} V_y(x, \omega) + S_D^\omega \phi \bigl( |x - y| \bigr) & x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{D}, \\ S_D^\omega \psi \bigl( |x - y| \bigr) & x \in D, \end{cases}
\]
of the solution \( v_y \) to the Helmholtz equation associated (1.1). Here the background solution \( V_y \) is defined as follows:
\[
V_y(x, \omega) := \begin{cases} \frac{\omega |x - y|}{4\pi |x - y|} & d = 3, \\ \frac{\omega |x - y|}{4\pi |x - y|} + H_0^{(1)}(\omega |x - y|) & d = 2, \end{cases}
\]
where \( H_0^{(1)} \) is the Hankel function of the first kind of order zero. One of the main achievements of this paper is a rigorous derivation of a complete asymptotic expansion of \( v_y \) with careful and precise estimates of the dependence with respect to the frequency of the remainders, see Theorem 3.3 and
Theorem 6.4. Note that these estimates are much more involved in two dimensions than in three dimensions because of the logarithmic behavior of the Hankel function at the origin \([1, 18, 19, 22]\). Finally, we recover the time-domain formulas by truncating the high frequencies of the Fourier transform of the asymptotic expansion of \(v_y\). Note that the polarization tensors introduced by Ammari et al. in \([6]\) are not defined for any frequency. Therefore, the threshold of the truncation is determined by the diameter of the target and is of order \(O(\epsilon^{-\alpha})\) for \(0 \leq \alpha < 1\), that is less than the lowest Dirichlet eigenvalue for \(-\Delta\) on \(D\). The time-dependent asymptotic expansions allows us to introduce the main concept of this paper, that is the concept of time-dependent polarization tensors, see Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 7.2. They are the truncated Fourier transform of the frequency-dependent polarization tensors.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we consider the Helmholtz equation in three dimensions. We derive in Section 3 complete asymptotic formulas for the three-dimensional Helmholtz equation and estimate the dependence of the remainders in these formulas with respect to the frequency. Based on these estimates, we obtain in Section 4 formulas for the three-dimensional transient wave equation that are valid after truncating the high-frequency components. They are written in terms of the new concept of three-dimensional time-dependent polarization tensors. In Section 5 we consider the Helmholtz equation in two dimensions. In Section 6 we derive complete asymptotic formulas for the two-dimensional Helmholtz equation and estimate the dependence of the remainders in these formulas with respect to the frequency. Note that these estimates are more involved in two dimensions than in three dimensions because of the behavior of the Hankel function. Based on these estimates, we obtain in Section 7 asymptotic formulas for the two-dimensional transient wave equation that are valid after truncating the high-frequency components. As in the three-dimensional case, these formulas are written in terms of the time-dependent polarization tensors.

2. The three-dimensional case: Preliminary results

Let \(D \subset \mathbb{R}^3\), \(D = z + \epsilon B\), \(0 < \epsilon < 1\), \(|B| = 1\). Denote by \(V_y\) the time-harmonic wave generated at \(y \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{D}\), with \(\text{dist}(y, D) \geq c_0 > 0\) (we assume that the inclusion \(D\) and the point \(z\) are away from the source \(y\)), and operating frequency \(\omega \geq 0\):

\[
V_y(x, \omega) := \Gamma_\omega(x, y) = \frac{e^{i\omega|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|},
\]

with \(x \neq y\). Note that \(\Gamma_\omega(x, y)\) satisfies

\[
(\Delta_x + \omega^2)\Gamma_\omega(x, y) = -\delta_y(x),
\]

and \(|\Gamma_\omega(x, y)|\) does not depend on \(\omega\).

Let \(k > 0\), \(k \neq 1\). The perturbed field \(v_y\) is solution to

\[
\nabla \cdot (\chi(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{D}) + k\chi(D))\nabla v_y + \omega^2 v_y = -\delta_y,
\]

and satisfies the Sommerfeld radiation condition. Equation (2.1) can be written as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta v_y + \omega^2 v_y &= -\delta_y, & \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{D}, \\
\Delta v_y + \frac{\omega^2}{\epsilon^2} v_y &= 0, & D, \\
v_y|_+ = v_y|-, & \partial D, \\
v_y \cdot \nu|_+ = kv_y \cdot \nu|-, & \partial D,
\end{align*}
\]

\(v_y\) satisfies the Sommerfeld radiation condition.
Note that $v_y$ can be represented as follows:

$$v_y(x, \omega) = \begin{cases} V_y(x, \omega) + S_D^\omega[\psi](x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{D}, \\ S_D^\omega[\phi](x), & x \in D, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where $(\phi, \psi) \in L^2(\partial D) \times L^2(\partial D)$ is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} S_D^\omega[\phi] - S_D^\omega[\psi] = V_y, \\ ku \cdot \nabla S_D^\omega[\phi] - \nu \cdot \nabla S_D^\omega[\psi] = \nu \cdot \nabla V_y, \end{cases} \quad \text{on } \partial D,$$

provided that $\omega^2$ is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue for $-\Delta$ on $D$. Here, the single-layer potential is given by

$$S_D^\omega[\phi](x) = \int_{\partial D} \Gamma_\omega(x-s)\phi(s) \, d\sigma(s) \quad \text{for } \phi \in L^2(\partial D).$$

### 3. Asymptotic Expansion for the Three-Dimensional Frequency-Dependent Equation

Suppose that $\omega^2$ is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue for $-\Delta$ on $D$. In addition, suppose that $\omega \in (0, \epsilon^{-\alpha})$, with $0 < \alpha < 1$. Note that there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for $\epsilon$ sufficiently small, $\epsilon \omega \leq \epsilon_0 < 1$, i.e., $\epsilon \omega$ can be taken arbitrarily small. We need the following result.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $D = \epsilon B + z$, $|B| = 1$ and $D \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. Denote by $(\phi, \psi) \in L^2(\partial D) \times L^2(\partial D)$ the unique solution of the following system:

$$\begin{cases} S_D^\omega[\phi] - S_D^\omega[\psi] = F, \\ ku \cdot \nabla S_D^\omega[\phi] - \nu \cdot \nabla S_D^\omega[\psi] = G, \end{cases} \quad \text{on } \partial D. \quad (3.1)$$

Suppose there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\epsilon \omega \leq \epsilon_0 < 1$. We have

$$\|\phi\|_{L^2(\partial D)} + \|\psi\|_{L^2(\partial D)} \leq C(\epsilon^{-1}\|F\|_{L^2(\partial D)} + \|\nabla F\|_{L^2(\partial D)} + \|G\|_{L^2(\partial D)}),$$

where $C$ does not depend on $F$, $G$, $\epsilon$ and $\omega$.

**Proof.** Let

$$\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{x}) = \phi(\epsilon \tilde{x} + z), \quad \tilde{x} \in \partial B,$$

and define $\tilde{\psi}$, $\tilde{F}$ and $\tilde{G}$ likewise. After a change of variables, $(3.1)$ becomes

$$\begin{cases} S_B^\omega[\tilde{\phi}] - S_B^\omega[\tilde{\psi}] = \epsilon^{-1}\tilde{F}, \\ ku \cdot \nabla S_B^\omega[\tilde{\phi}] - \nu \cdot \nabla S_B^\omega[\tilde{\psi}] = \tilde{G}, \end{cases} \quad \text{on } \partial B.$$ 

Define an operator $T : L^2(\partial B) \times L^2(\partial B) \to H^1(\partial B) \times L^2(\partial B)$ by

$$T(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi}) := (S_B^\omega[\tilde{\phi}] - S_B^\omega[\tilde{\psi}], ku \cdot \nabla S_B^\omega[\tilde{\phi}] - \nu \cdot \nabla S_B^\omega[\tilde{\psi}], \tilde{F}, \tilde{G}).$$


We then decompose $T$ as

$$T = T_0 + T_e,$$

where

$$T_0(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\psi}) := (S_B^0[\hat{\phi}] - S_B^0[\hat{\psi}], k\nu \cdot \nabla S_B^0[\hat{\phi}] - \nu \cdot \nabla S_B^0[\hat{\psi}]),$$

and

$$T_e := T - T_0.$$

For $\epsilon \omega < \epsilon_0$, with $\epsilon_0$ small enough, we have

$$\|S_B^0[\hat{\phi}] - S_B^0[\hat{\psi}]\|_{H^1(\partial B)} \leq C \epsilon \omega \|\hat{\phi}\|_{L^2(\partial B)},$$

$$\|\nu \cdot \nabla S_B^0[\hat{\phi}] - \nu \cdot \nabla S_B^0[\hat{\psi}]\|_{L^2(\partial B)} \leq C \epsilon \omega \|\hat{\phi}\|_{L^2(\partial B)},$$

where $C$ does not depend on $\epsilon$ and $\omega$. Therefore

$$\|T_e(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\psi})\|_{H^1(\partial B)\times L^2(\partial B)} \leq C \epsilon \omega (\|\hat{\phi}\|_{L^2(\partial B)} + \|\hat{\psi}\|_{L^2(\partial B)}).$$

Since $T_0$ is invertible \[13, 16\], $T$ is invertible for $\epsilon \omega$ small enough and

$$T^{-1} = T_0^{-1} + E,$$

where the operator $E$ satisfies

$$\|E(\epsilon^{-1} \tilde{F}, \tilde{G})\|_{L^2(\partial B)\times L^2(\partial B)} \leq C \epsilon \omega \|(\epsilon^{-1} \tilde{F}, \tilde{G})\|_{H^1(\partial B)\times L^2(\partial B)},$$

with $C$ being independent of $\tilde{F}$, $\tilde{G}$, $\epsilon$ and $\omega$. Finally, we have

$$(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\psi}) = T^{-1}(\epsilon^{-1} \tilde{F}, \tilde{G}) = T_0^{-1}(\epsilon^{-1} \tilde{F}, \tilde{G}) + E(\epsilon^{-1} \tilde{F}, \tilde{G}).$$

Assuming $\epsilon \omega$ small enough, it follows that

$$\|(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\psi})\|_{L^2(\partial B)\times L^2(\partial B)} \leq C \|(\epsilon^{-1} \tilde{F}, \tilde{G})\|_{H^1(\partial B)\times L^2(\partial B)},$$

where $C$ does not depend on $\tilde{F}$, $\tilde{G}$, $\epsilon$ and $\omega$. By scaling back, \[3.2\] holds true.

Since $\text{dist}(y, D) \geq c_0 > 0$, the function $V_y(x, \omega)$ is smooth for $x \in \bar{D}$. Then, for $x \in \partial D$ and $z$ away from $y$, the following function is well defined:

$$V_{y,n}(x, \omega) := \sum_{|i| = 0}^n \frac{\partial_x^i V_y(z, \omega)}{i!} (x - z)^i.$$

Denote by $(\phi_n, \psi_n) \in L^2(\partial D) \times L^2(\partial D)$ the unique solution of the following system:

$$\begin{cases}
S_{\partial B}^{\infty}[\phi_n] - S_{\partial B}^{\infty}[\psi_n] = V_{y,n+1}, \\
k\nu \cdot \nabla S_{\partial B}^{\infty}[\phi_n] - \nu \cdot \nabla S_{\partial B}^{\infty}[\psi_n] = \nu \cdot \nabla V_{y,n+1},
\end{cases} \quad \text{on } \partial D. \quad (3.3)$$
Then \((\phi - \phi_n, \psi - \psi_n)\) is the unique solution of
\[
\begin{align*}
S_D^\omega [\phi - \phi_n] - S_D^\omega [\psi - \psi_n] &= V_y - V_{y,n+1}, \\
k \nu \cdot \nabla S_D^\omega [\phi - \phi_n] - \nu \cdot \nabla S_D^\omega [\psi - \psi_n] &= \nu \cdot \nabla (V_y - V_{y,n+1}),
\end{align*}
\]
on \partial D.

By Lemma 3.1, we have
\[
\|\phi - \phi_n\|_{L^2(\partial D)} + \|\psi - \psi_n\|_{L^2(\partial D)} \leq C(\epsilon^{-1}\|V_y - V_{y,n+1}\|_{L^2(\partial D)} + \|\nabla (V_y - V_{y,n+1})\|_{L^2(\partial D)}),
\]
where \(C\) does not depend on \(\epsilon\) and \(\omega\). By Cauchy-Schwartz, we have
\[
\|V_y - V_{y,n+1}\|_{L^2(\partial D)} = \left( \int_{\partial D} |V_y - V_{y,n+1}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq |\partial D|^{1/2}\|V_y - V_{y,n+1}\|_{L^\infty(\partial D)}.
\]

In the following estimates, we assume that \(\omega > 1\), since the case \(\omega \leq 1\) is much easier to handle. By the definition of \(V_{y,n+1}\), we have
\[
\|V_y - V_{y,n+1}\|_{L^2(\partial D)} \leq C|\partial D|^{1/2}\|V_y - V_{y,n+1}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C|\partial D|^{1/2}\epsilon^{n+2}\|V_y\|_{C^{n+2}(\partial D)},
\]
and
\[
\|\nabla (V_y - V_{y,n+1})\|_{L^2(\partial D)} \leq C|\partial D|^{1/2}\epsilon^{n+1}\|V_y\|_{C^{n+1}(\partial D)}.
\]

By a straightforward calculation, we observe that \(\|V_y\|_{C^4(\partial D)} \leq C\omega^4\), where \(C\) does not depend on \(\omega\).

We have
\[
\|V_y - V_{y,n+1}\|_{L^2(\partial D)} \leq C|\partial D|^{1/2}\epsilon^{n+2}\omega^{n+2},
\]
and
\[
\|\nabla (V_y - V_{y,n+1})\|_{L^2(\partial D)} \leq C|\partial D|^{1/2}\epsilon^{n+1}\omega^{n+2}.
\]

We have proved that
\[
\|\phi - \phi_n\|_{L^2(\partial D)} + \|\psi - \psi_n\|_{L^2(\partial D)} \leq C|\partial D|^{1/2}\epsilon^{n+1}\omega^{n+2}, \quad (3.4)
\]

For \(x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{D}\), \(x \neq y\), \(\text{dist}(x, D) \geq c_1 > 0\), the representation formula (2.2) yields
\[
v(x, \omega) - V_y(x, \omega) = S_D^\omega \psi_n(x) + S_D^\omega [\psi - \psi_n](x).
\]

Note that \(\|\Gamma_\omega (x, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\partial D)} \leq C\), where \(C\) that does not depend on \(\omega\). By Cauchy-Schwarz and (3.1), we have
\[
\|S_D^\omega [\psi - \psi_n](x)\| \leq \left( \int_{\partial D} |\Gamma_\omega (x, s)|^2 d\sigma(s) \right)^{1/2} \|\psi - \psi_n\|_{L^2(\partial D)} \leq \|\Gamma_\omega (x, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\partial D)}|\partial D|^{1/2}|\partial D|^{1/2}\epsilon^{n+1}\omega^{n+2} \leq C\epsilon^{n+3}\omega^{n+2},
\]
where \(C\) is independent of \(\epsilon\) and \(\omega\). Then we have proved that
\[
v(x) - V_y(x) = S_D^\omega \psi_n(x) + O(\epsilon^{n+3}\omega^{n+2}), \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{D}, x \neq y. \quad (3.5)
\]

For each multi-index \(i\), define \((\phi_i, \psi_i)\) to be the unique solution to
\[
\begin{align*}
S_B^\omega [\phi_i](\tilde{x}) - S_B^\omega [\psi_i](\tilde{x}) &= \tilde{x}^i, \\
k \nu \cdot \nabla S_B^\omega [\phi_i](\tilde{x}) - \nu \cdot \nabla S_B^\omega [\psi_i](\tilde{x}) &= \nu \cdot \nabla \tilde{x}^i,
\end{align*}
\]
where \(\tilde{x} = \epsilon^{-1}(x - z)\), \(x \in \partial D\). The following proposition has been proved in [3].
Proposition 3.2. We claim that
\[
\phi_n(x) = \sum_{|i| = 0}^{n+1} \epsilon^{|i|-1} \frac{\partial^{|i|} V_{y}(z, \omega)}{i!} \phi_i(\epsilon^{-1}(x - z)), \quad (3.6)
\]
\[
\psi_n(x) = \sum_{|i| = 0}^{n+1} \epsilon^{|i|-1} \frac{\partial^{|i|} V_{y}(z, \omega)}{i!} \psi_i(\epsilon^{-1}(x - z)), \quad (3.7)
\]
for \( x \in \partial D \) and \((\phi_n, \psi_n)\) defined as in (3.3).

Expansion (3.5) together with formula (3.7) yields:
\[
v_y(x, \omega) - V_y(x, \omega) = \sum_{|i| = 0}^{n+1} \epsilon^{|i|-1} \frac{\partial^{|i|} V_{y}(z, \omega)}{i!} S_{D}^\omega[\psi_i(\epsilon^{-1}(\cdot - z))](x) + O(\epsilon^{n+3}, \omega^{n+2}), \quad (3.8)
\]
for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{D} \) and \( x \neq y \). Note that
\[
S_{D}^\omega[\psi_i(\epsilon^{-1}(\cdot - z))](x) = \int_{\partial D} \Gamma_{\omega}(x, s) \psi_i(\epsilon^{-1}(s - z)) \, d\sigma(s) = \epsilon^2 \int_{\partial B} \Gamma_{\omega}(x, \epsilon \hat{s} + z) \psi_i(\hat{s}) \, d\sigma(\hat{s}).
\]
By a straightforward calculation, we observe that \( ||\Gamma_{\omega}(x, \cdot)||_{C^{n+2}(D)} \leq C \omega^{n+2} \), where \( C \) does not depend on \( \omega \). Therefore, for sufficiently small \( \epsilon \), we have
\[
\Gamma_{\omega}(x, \epsilon \hat{s} + z) = \sum_{|j| = 0}^{n+1} \epsilon^{|j|} \frac{\partial^{|j|} \Gamma_{\omega}(x, z)}{j!} \hat{s}^j + O(\epsilon^{n+2}, \omega^{n+2}).
\]
Finally, we get
\[
S_{D}^\omega[\psi_i(\epsilon^{-1}(\cdot - z))](x) = \sum_{|j| = 0}^{n+1} \epsilon^{|j|+2} \frac{\partial^{|j|+2} \Gamma_{\omega}(x, z)}{j!} \int_{\partial B} \hat{s}^j \psi_i(\hat{s}) \, d\sigma(\hat{s}) + O(\epsilon^{n+4}, \omega^{n+2}). \quad (3.9)
\]
For multi-indices \( i \) and \( j \) in \( \mathbb{N}^3 \), the frequency dependent polarization tensors (FDPTs) \( \hat{W}_{ij} := \hat{W}_{ij}(B, \epsilon, \omega, \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}) \) are defined as follows \([6, 8]\):
\[
\hat{W}_{ij} := \int_{\partial B} \hat{s}^j \psi_i(\hat{s}) \, d\sigma(\hat{s}). \quad (3.10)
\]
We obtain the following theorem from \([8, 8] \) and \([8, 8]\).

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that \( \omega^2 \) is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue for \(-\Delta\) on \( D \) and \( \omega \in (0, \epsilon^{-n}) \), with \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \). The following asymptotic expansion holds:
\[
v_y(x, \omega) - V_y(x, \omega) = \sum_{|j| = 0}^{n+1} \sum_{|i| = 0}^{n-|j|+1} \epsilon^{|i|+|j|+1} \frac{\partial^{|i|+|j|+1}}{i! j!} V_y(z, \omega) \partial^{|i|} \Gamma_{\omega}(x, z) \hat{W}_{ij} + O(\epsilon^{n+3}(1 + \omega^{n+2})), \quad (3.11)
\]
for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{D} \) and \( x \neq y \).
By using \([3]\), one can recover from \([3, 11]\) the leading-order term of the scattered field derived in \([7]\)
\[
v_y(x, \omega) - V_y(x, \omega) = \epsilon^3 \nabla_z V_y(z, \omega) M(B) \nabla_z \Gamma_{\omega}(x, z) + O(\epsilon^4 \omega^3),
\]
where \( M \) is the polarization tensor \([4]\) and \( \omega > 1 \).
4. Three-dimensional time-dependent asymptotic expansion

Define
\[ U_y(x,t) := \frac{\delta(t - |x-y|)}{4\pi|x-y|}, \]
where \( \delta \) is the Dirac mass at 0. \( U_y \) satisfies
\[
\begin{cases}
(\partial^2_t - \Delta)U_y(x,t) = \delta_{x=y}\delta_{t=0}, & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \\
U_y(x,t) = 0, & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ and } t \ll 0.
\end{cases}
\]

For \( \rho > 0 \), we define the operator \( P_\rho \) on tempered distributions by
\[
P_\rho[\psi](t) = \int_{|\omega| \leq \rho} e^{-i\omega t} \hat{\psi}(\omega) \, d\omega,
\]
where \( \hat{\psi} \) is the Fourier transform of \( \psi \). The operator \( P_\rho \) truncates the high-frequency component of \( \psi \). Since
\[
\hat{U}_y(x,\omega) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\omega t} U_y(x,t) \, dt = \frac{e^{i\omega|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|} = V_y(x,\omega),
\]
it follows that
\[
P_\rho[U_y](x,t) = \frac{\psi_\rho(t - |x-y|)}{4\pi|x-y|},
\]
where
\[
\psi_\rho(t) := \frac{2\sin \rho t}{t} \int_{|\omega| \leq \rho} e^{-i\omega t} \, d\omega.
\]

Therefore, \( P_\rho[U_y] \) satisfies
\[
(\partial^2_t - \Delta)P_\rho[U_y](x,t) = \delta_{x=y}\psi_\rho(t) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}.
\]

For \( u_y = u_y(x,t) \), we consider the wave equation
\[
\begin{cases}
\partial^2_t u_y - \nabla \cdot (\chi(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{D}) + k\chi(D)) \nabla u_y = \delta_{x=y}\delta_{t=0} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty), \\
u_y(x,t) = 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ and } t \ll 0.
\end{cases}
\]

We want to derive an asymptotic expansion for \( P_\rho[u_y - U_y](x,t) \). We have
\[
P_\rho[u_y](x,t) = \int_{|\omega| \leq \rho} e^{-i\omega t} v_y(x,\omega) \, d\omega,
\]
where \( v_y \) is the solution to \( (4.1) \). To introduce \( P_\rho[W_{ij}](x,t) \), we must remember that the frequency-dependent \( \hat{W}_{ij} \) are defined when system \( (3.3) \) has unique solution, i.e., \( \omega^2 \) is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue for \( -\Delta \) on \( D \). Given the \( n \)-dimensional isoperimetric inequality \([10, 17]\):
\[
\lambda_1(D) \geq \left( \frac{1}{|D|} \right)^{2/n} C_n^{2/n} j_{n/2-1,1},
\]
where \( j_{m,1} \) is the first positive zero of the Bessel function \( J_m \), \( C_n \) the volume of the \( n \)-dimensional unit ball, and \( \lambda_1(D) > 0 \) is the lowest eigenvalue for \( -\Delta \) on \( D \), it is sufficient to take \( |\omega| < 1/\epsilon \).
Definition 4.1. For $\rho < 1/\epsilon$ and multi-indices $i$ and $j$, the three-dimensional truncated time-dependent polarization tensors (TTDPTs), $P_\rho[W_{ij}]$, are defined as follows:

$$P_\rho[W_{ij}](x,t) = \int_{|\omega| \leq \rho} e^{-i\omega t} \hat{W}_{ij} d\omega,$$

(4.2)

where $\hat{W}_{ij}$ are the FDPTs given by (3.10).

From Theorem 3.3, we have

$$\int_{|\omega| \leq \rho} e^{-i\omega t} (v_y(x,\omega) - V_y(x,\omega)) d\omega = \sum_{|j|=0}^{n} \sum_{|i|=0}^{n-|j|+1} \frac{\epsilon^{|i|+|j|}}{i! j!} \int_{|\omega| \leq \rho} e^{-i\omega t} \partial^j_z V_y(z,\omega) \partial^i_z \Gamma_\omega(x,z) \hat{W}_{ij} d\omega,$$

$$+ \int_{|\omega| \leq \rho} e^{-i\omega t} R(x,\omega) d\omega,$$

where

$$\int_{|\omega| \leq \rho} e^{-i\omega t} R(x,\omega) d\omega = O(\epsilon^{n+3})$$

Suppose that $\rho = O(\epsilon^{-\alpha})$ for some positive $\alpha < 1$. Then

$$\int_{|\omega| \leq \rho} e^{-i\omega t} R(x,\omega) d\omega = O\left(\epsilon^{(n+3)(1-\alpha)}\right).$$

Since

$$\int_{|\omega| \leq \rho} e^{-i\omega t} \partial^j_z V_y(z,\omega) \partial^i_z \Gamma_\omega(x,z) \hat{W}_{ij}(\omega;B) d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial^j_z P_\rho[U_y](z,t-\tau-\tau') \partial^i_z P_\rho[U_z](x,\tau) P_\rho[W_{ij}](\tau'') d\tau d\tau',$$

we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. For $0 < \alpha < 1$, the following asymptotic expansion holds:

$$P_\rho[u_y](x,t) = P_\rho[U_y](x,t)$$

$$+ \epsilon \sum_{|j|=0}^{n+1} \sum_{|i|=0}^{n-|j|+1} \frac{\epsilon^{|i|+|j|}}{i! j!} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial^j_z P_\rho[U_y](x,\tau) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial^i_z P_\rho[U_z](z,t-\tau-\tau') P_\rho[W_{ij}](\tau'') d\tau' \right) d\tau$$

(4.3)

$$+ O\left(\epsilon^{(n+3)(1-\alpha)}\right),$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{D}$, $D = \epsilon B + z$, $|B| = 1$, $P_\rho[W_{ij}]$ are the TTDPTs defined in (4.2) and $\rho = O(\epsilon^{-\alpha})$. 
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5. THE TWO-DIMENSIONAL CASE: PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, $D = z + \epsilon B$, $0 < \epsilon < 1$, $|B| = 1$. Denote by $V_y$ the time-harmonic wave generated at $y \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bar{D}$, with $\text{dist}(y, D) \geq \epsilon_0 > 0$ (we assume that the inclusion $D$ and the point $z$ are away from the source $y$), and operating frequency $\omega > 0$:

$$V_y(x, \omega) := \Gamma_\omega(x, y) = \frac{i}{4} H_0^{(1)}(\omega|x-y|),$$

with $x \neq y$. Note that $\Gamma_\omega(x, y)$ satisfies

$$(\Delta + \omega^2)\Gamma_\omega(x, y) = -\delta_y(x),$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Let $k > 0$, $k \neq 1$. The perturbed field $v_y$ is solution to

$$\nabla \cdot \left( \chi(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bar{D}) + k \chi(D) \right) \nabla v_y + \omega^2 v_y = -\delta_y, \quad (5.1)$$

and satisfies the Sommerfeld radiation condition. Equation (5.1) can be written as follows

$$\begin{cases}
\Delta v_y + \omega^2 v_y = -\delta_y, & \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bar{D}, \\
\Delta v_y + \frac{\omega^2}{k} v_y = 0, & D, \\
v_y|_+ = v_y|_- , & \partial D, \\
\nu \cdot \nabla v_y|_+ = \nu \cdot \nabla v_y|_- , & D, \\
v_y \text{ satisfies the Sommerfeld radiation condition.}
\end{cases}$$

As in the three-dimensional case, $v_y$ can be represented as follows:

$$v_y(x, \omega) = \begin{cases} 
V_y(x, \omega) + S^2_D[\psi](x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bar{D}, \\
S^2_D[\phi](x), & x \in D,
\end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

where $(\phi, \psi) \in L^2(\partial D) \times L^2(\partial D)$ is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases}
S^2_D[\phi] - S^2_D[\psi] = V_y, & \text{on } \partial D, \\
k\nu \cdot \nabla S^2_D[\phi]|_+ - \nu \cdot \nabla S^2_D[\psi]|_+ = \nu \cdot \nabla V_y,
\end{cases}$$

provided that $\omega^2$ is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue for $-\Delta$ on $D$.

6. ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION FOR THE TWO-DIMENSIONAL FREQUENCY-DEPENDENT EQUATION

Suppose that $\omega^2$ is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue for $-\Delta$ on $D$. In addition, suppose that $\omega \in (0, \epsilon^{-\alpha})$, with $0 < \alpha < 1$. Note that there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for $\epsilon$ sufficiently small, $\epsilon \omega \leq \epsilon_0 < 1$, i.e., $\epsilon \omega$ can be taken arbitrarily small. We need the following result.
Lemma 6.1. Let $D = \epsilon B + z$, $|B| = 1$ and $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. For each $(F, G) \in H^1(\partial B) \times L^2(\partial B)$, denote by $(\phi, \psi) \in L^2(\partial D) \times L^2(\partial D)$ the unique solution of the following system:

$$
\begin{cases}
S_D^c [\phi] - S_D^c [\psi] = F, \\
k \nu \cdot \nabla S_D^c [\phi]_+ - \nu \cdot \nabla S_D^c [\psi]_+ = G,
\end{cases}
$$

on $\partial D$. (6.1)

Suppose there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\epsilon \omega \leq \epsilon_0 < 1$. We have

$$
\| \phi \|_{L^2(\partial D)} + \| \psi \|_{L^2(\partial D)} \leq C (\epsilon^{-1} \| F \|_{L^2(\partial D)} + \| \nabla F \|_{L^2(\partial D)} + \| G \|_{L^2(\partial D)}),
$$

where $C$ does not depend on $\epsilon$ and $\omega$.

Since the two-dimensional fundamental solutions $\Gamma_0(x, y)$ and $\Gamma_{(\epsilon \omega)/k}(x, y)$ do not converge to $\Gamma_0(x, y) = 1/(2\pi) \log |x - y|$ as $\epsilon$ goes to zero, we need a few facts to prove Lemma 6.1. The following results are an application of [8] [14] [15].

Lemma 6.2. Suppose that $\partial B$ is of class $C^2$. For each $(F, G, a) \in H^1(\partial B) \times L^2(\partial B) \times \mathbb{R}$, there exists a unique solution $(\phi, \psi, c) \in L^2(\partial D) \times L^2(\partial D) \times \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}
S_B^0 [\phi] - S_B^0 [\psi] - c = F \\
k \nu \cdot \nabla S_B^0 [\phi]_+ - \nu \cdot \nabla S_B^0 [\psi]_+ = G \\
I_{\partial B} (\psi - \phi) = a,
\end{cases}
$$

on $\partial B$. (6.3)

Proof. We set $f = \psi - \phi$. By Lemma 2.2 of [14], there exists a unique solution $(f, c) \in L^2(\partial B) \times \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}
S_B^0 [f] + c = -F \\
I_{\partial B} f = a,
\end{cases}
$$

on $\partial B$.

Therefore, $\phi$ and $\psi = \phi + f$ will be the unique solution of (6.3) provided

$$
k \nu \cdot \nabla S_B^0 [\phi]_+ - \nu \cdot \nabla S_B^0 [\psi]_+ = G,
$$

or

$$(1 - k) \left( \frac{k + 1}{2(k - 1)} I - \mathcal{K}_B^* \right) [\phi] = \left( \frac{1}{2} I + \mathcal{K}_B^* \right) [f] + G,$$

where $\mathcal{K}_B^*$ is the Neumann-Poincaré operator. Hence (see [14])

$$
\phi = \left( \frac{k + 1}{2(k - 1)} I - \mathcal{K}_B^* \right)^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - k} \left( \frac{1}{2} I + \mathcal{K}_B^* \right) [f] + G \right],
$$

$$
\psi = \left( \frac{k + 1}{2(k - 1)} I - \mathcal{K}_B^* \right)^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - k} \left( \frac{1}{2} I + \mathcal{K}_B^* \right) [f] + G \right] + f.
$$

Lemma 6.3. For each $(F, G) \in H^1(\partial B) \times L^2(\partial B)$, there exists a unique solution $(\phi, \psi) \in L^2(\partial D) \times L^2(\partial D)$, such that

$$
\begin{cases}
S_B^0 [\phi] + \beta_\omega I_{\partial B} \phi - S_B^0 [\psi] - \beta_\omega I_{\partial B} \psi = F \\
k \nu \cdot \nabla S_B^0 [\phi]_+ - \nu \cdot \nabla S_B^0 [\psi]_+ = G,
\end{cases}
$$

on $\partial B$. (6.4)
where \( \beta_{\epsilon \omega} = (1/2\pi) \ln \omega + \gamma - i/4 \), \( \gamma \) is the Euler constant. Moreover, for \( \omega \leq \epsilon_0, \epsilon_0 \) sufficiently small, there exists \( C > 0 \) independent of \( F, G, \epsilon \) and \( \omega \) such that

\[
\|\phi\|_{L^2(\partial B)} + \|\psi\|_{L^2(\partial B)} \leq C(\|F\|_{H^1(\partial B)} + \|G\|_{L^2(\partial B)}).
\]  
(6.5)

**Proof.** Existence

Define \((\phi_0, \psi_0, c_0)\) by

\[
\begin{align*}
S^0_B[\phi_0] &= S^0_B[\psi_0] + c_0 \\
k\nu \cdot \nabla S^0_B[\phi_0] - \nu \cdot \nabla S^0_B[\psi_0] &= 0 & \text{on } \partial B, \\
\int_{\partial B} (\psi_0 - \phi_0) &= 1, \\
\end{align*}
\]

This has a unique solution \((\phi_0, \psi_0, c_0) \in L^2(\partial B) \times L^2(\partial B) \times \mathbb{C}\) by Lemma \([6,2]\). Obviously, there exists a constant \( C_1 > 0 \) independent of \( \epsilon \) and \( \omega \) such that

\[
\|\phi_0\|_{L^2(\partial B)} + \|\psi_0\|_{L^2(\partial B)} \leq C_1.
\]  
(6.6)

Define \((\phi_1, \psi_1, c_1) \in L^2(\partial B) \times L^2(\partial B) \times \mathbb{C}\) by

\[
\begin{align*}
S^0_B[\phi_1] &= S^0_B[\psi_1] + c_1 + F \\
k\nu \cdot \nabla S^0_B[\phi_1] - \nu \cdot \nabla S^0_B[\psi_1] &= G & \text{on } \partial B, \\
\int_{\partial B} (\psi_1 - \phi_1) &= 0, \\
\end{align*}
\]

This has a unique solution \((\phi_1, \psi_1, c_1) \in L^2(\partial B) \times L^2(\partial B) \times \mathbb{C}\) by Lemma \([6,2]\). Moreover, there exists a constant \( C_2 > 0 \) independent of \( F, G, \epsilon \) and \( \omega \) such that

\[
\|\phi_1\|_{L^2(\partial B)} + \|\psi_1\|_{L^2(\partial B)} \leq C_2(\|F\|_{H^1(\partial B)} + \|G\|_{L^2(\partial B)}),
\]  
(6.7)

and

\[
|c_1| \leq C_2(\|F\|_{H^1(\partial B)} + \|G\|_{L^2(\partial B)}).
\]  
(6.8)

These estimates are a consequence of solvability and the closed graph theorem. Let

\[
(\phi, \psi) = (\phi_1, \psi_1) + p(\phi_0, \psi_0),
\]  
(6.9)

for some \( p \in \mathbb{C} \) being dependent of \( \epsilon \) and \( \omega \). This will satisfy \([6,4]\), provided

\[
S^0_B[\phi_1] + pS^0_B[\phi_0] + \beta_{\epsilon \omega} \int_{\partial B} \phi_1 + p\beta_{\epsilon \omega} \int_{\partial B} \phi_0 - S^0_B[\psi_1] - pS^0_B[\psi_0] - \beta_{\epsilon \omega} \int_{\partial B} \psi_1 - p\beta_{\epsilon \omega} \int_{\partial B} \psi_0 = F,
\]

or

\[
c_1 + F + p\epsilon_0 - p\beta_{\epsilon \omega} = F.
\]

or

\[
p = \frac{c_1}{\beta_{\epsilon \omega} - \epsilon_0}.
\]  
(6.10)

Note that \( \epsilon_0 \) is a complex constant but independent of \( \epsilon \) and \( \omega \) (or \( \beta_{\epsilon \omega} \)). Thus \( \epsilon_0 \) would possibly equal to one value of \( \beta_{\epsilon \omega} \) which we rule out by taking \( \epsilon \omega \leq \epsilon_0 \), with \( \epsilon_0 \) being sufficiently small.
Uniqueness

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
S_B^0[\phi] + \beta_\omega \int_{\partial B} \phi - S_B^0[\psi] - \beta_\omega \int_{\partial B} \psi &= 0 \\
k\nu \cdot \nabla S_B^0[\phi]_- - \nu \cdot \nabla S_B^0[\phi]_+ &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$
on $\partial B$. \hspace{1cm} (6.11)

Case (i): $\int_{\partial B} (\psi - \phi) = 0$

This is the homogeneous case of Lemma 6.2 and we find $(\phi, \psi) = (0, 0)$.

Case (ii): $\int_{\partial B} (\psi - \phi) \neq 0$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\psi} &= \frac{\psi}{\int_{\partial B} (\psi - \phi)}, \\
\hat{\phi} &= \frac{\phi}{\int_{\partial B} (\psi - \phi)},
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\int_{\partial B} (\hat{\psi} - \hat{\phi}) = 1.$$

System (6.11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
S_B^0[\hat{\phi}] - S_B^0[\hat{\psi}] - \beta_\omega &= 0 \\
k\nu \cdot \nabla S_B^0[\hat{\phi}]_- - \nu \cdot \nabla S_B^0[\hat{\phi}]_+ &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$
on $\partial B$.

Lemma 6.2 for $F = G = 0$ yields $\beta_\omega = c_0$, but this could not happen since $c_0$ is independent of $\epsilon$ and $\omega$. Hence uniqueness is proved.

Estimate (6.5)

The estimate (6.5) is a consequence of (6.6), (6.7), (6.8), (6.9) and (6.10). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi\|_{L^2(\partial B)} + \|\psi\|_{L^2(\partial B)} &\leq \|\phi_1\|_{L^2(\partial B)} + \|\psi_1\|_{L^2(\partial B)} + |p|\|\phi_0\|_{L^2(\partial B)} + \|\psi_0\|_{L^2(\partial B)} \\
&\leq C_2(\|F\|_{H^1(\partial B)} + \|G\|_{L^2(\partial B)}) + C_1C_2(\ln \epsilon \omega)^{-1}(\|F\|_{H^1(\partial B)} + \|G\|_{L^2(\partial B)}) \\
&\leq C(\|F\|_{H^1(\partial B)} + \|G\|_{L^2(\partial B)}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $C$ is independent of $F$, $G$, $\epsilon$ and $\omega$, for $\epsilon \omega \leq \epsilon_0$, with $\epsilon_0$ being sufficiently small. \hspace{1cm} \Box

We are now ready to prove Lemma 6.1.

Proof of Lemma 6.1. Let

$$\hat{\phi}(\hat{x}) = \phi(\epsilon \hat{x} + z), \quad \hat{x} \in \partial B,$$

and define $\hat{\psi}$, $\hat{F}$ and $\hat{G}$ likewise. After a change of variables, (6.11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
S_B^0[\hat{\phi}] - S_B^0[\hat{\psi}] &= \epsilon^{-1} \hat{F}, \\
k\nu \cdot \nabla S_B^0[\hat{\phi}]_- - \nu \cdot \nabla S_B^0[\hat{\psi}]_+ &= \hat{G},
\end{aligned}$$
on $\partial B$. \hspace{1cm} (6.11)
Define an operator $T : L^2(\partial B) \times L^2(\partial B) \to H^1(\partial B) \times L^2(\partial B)$ by

$$T(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\psi}) := (S_B^{\hat{\phi}}[\hat{\phi}] - S_B^{\hat{\psi}}[\hat{\psi}], kv \cdot \nabla S_B^{\hat{\psi}}[\hat{\phi}]_+ - \nu \cdot \nabla S_B^{\hat{\psi}}[\hat{\psi}]_+).$$

We then decompose $T$ as

$$T = T_0 + T_\epsilon,$$

where

$$T_0(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\psi}) := \left( S_B^0[\hat{\phi}] + \beta \epsilon \omega \int_{\partial B} \hat{\phi} - S_B^0[\hat{\psi}] - \beta \epsilon \omega \int_{\partial B} \hat{\psi}, kv \cdot \nabla S_B^0[\hat{\phi}]_+ - \nu \cdot \nabla S_B^0[\hat{\psi}]_+ \right),$$

and

$$T_\epsilon := T - T_0.$$

For $\epsilon \omega < \epsilon_0$ with $\epsilon_0$ small enough, we have $[8, 14]$

$$\|T_\epsilon(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\psi})\|_{H^1(\partial B) \times L^2(\partial B)} \leq C(\epsilon \omega)^2(\ln \epsilon \omega)(\|\hat{\phi}\|_{L^2(\partial B)} + \|\hat{\psi}\|_{L^2(\partial B)}),$$

where $C$ does not depend on $\epsilon$ and $\omega$. By Lemma $[6, 13]$, $T_0$ is invertible. Therefore, $T$ is invertible for $\epsilon \omega$ small enough and

$$T^{-1} = T_0^{-1} + E,$$

where the operator $E$ satisfies

$$\|E(\epsilon^{-1} \tilde{F}, \tilde{G})\|_{L^2(\partial B) \times L^2(\partial B)} \leq C(\epsilon \omega)^2(\ln \epsilon \omega)(\|\epsilon^{-1} \tilde{F}, \tilde{G}\|_{H^1(\partial B) \times L^2(\partial B)}),$$

with $C$ being independent of $\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}, \epsilon$ and $\omega$. Finally, we have

$$(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\psi}) = T^{-1}(\epsilon^{-1} \tilde{F}, \tilde{G}) = T_0^{-1}(\epsilon^{-1} \tilde{F}, \tilde{G}) + E(\epsilon^{-1} \tilde{F}, \tilde{G}) = (\hat{\phi}_0, \hat{\psi}_0) + E(\epsilon^{-1} \tilde{F}, \tilde{G}).$$

Assuming $\epsilon \omega$ small enough, it follows that

$$\| (\hat{\phi}, \hat{\psi}) \|_{L^2(\partial B) \times L^2(\partial B)} \leq C(\|\epsilon^{-1} \tilde{F}, \tilde{G}\|_{H^1(\partial B) \times L^2(\partial B)} + C(\epsilon \omega)^2(\ln \epsilon \omega)(\|\epsilon^{-1} \tilde{F}, \tilde{G}\|_{H^1(\partial B) \times L^2(\partial B)})\|_{H^1(\partial B) \times L^2(\partial B)} \leq C(\|\epsilon^{-1} \tilde{F}, \tilde{G}\|_{H^1(\partial B) \times L^2(\partial B)}),$$

where $C$ does not depend on $\epsilon$ and $\omega$. By scaling back, $[6, 2]$ holds true.

For $x \in \partial D$, $z$ away from $y$, and $\omega > 0$, the following function is well defined:

$$V_{y, n}(x, \omega) := \sum_{|i| = 0}^n \frac{\partial_i^j V_y(z, \omega)}{i!}(x - z)^i.$$

Denote by $(\phi_n, \psi_n) \in L^2(\partial D) \times L^2(\partial D)$ the unique solution of the following system:

$$\begin{cases}
S_B^{\phi_n} - S_B^{\psi_n} = V_{y, n+1}, \\
k \nu \cdot \nabla S_B^{\phi_n} - \nu \cdot \nabla S_B^{\psi_n} = \nu \cdot \nabla V_{y, n+1},
\end{cases} \text{ on } \partial D.$$
Then \((\phi - \phi_n, \psi - \psi_n)\) is the unique solution of
\[
\begin{align*}
S_D^\omega [\phi - \phi_n] - S_D^\omega [\psi - \psi_n] &= V_y - V_{y,n+1}, & \text{on } \partial D. \\
k\nu \cdot \nabla S_D^\omega [\phi - \phi_n] - \nu \cdot \nabla S_D^\omega [\psi - \psi_n] &= \nu \cdot \nabla (V_y - V_{y,n+1}),
\end{align*}
\]
By Lemma 6.1 we have
\[
\|\phi - \phi_n\|_{L^2(\partial D)} + \|\psi - \psi_n\|_{L^2(\partial D)} \leq C(\epsilon^{-1}\|V_y - V_{y,n+1}\|_{L^2(\partial D)} + \|\nabla (V_y - V_{y,n+1})\|_{L^2(\partial D)}),
\]
where \(C\) does not depend on \(\epsilon\) and \(\omega\). By definition of \(V_y - V_{y,n+1}\), we have
\[
\|V_y - V_{y,n+1}\|_{L^2(\partial D)} = \left(\int_{\partial D} |V_y - V_{y,n+1}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq |\partial D|^{1/2}\|V_y - V_{y,n+1}\|_{L^\infty(\partial D)}.
\]
The derivation of asymptotic expansion (3.11) is more involved in two dimensions than in three dimensions because of the logarithmic singularity of the Green function \([1, 8]\). For \(n \geq 0\), \(H_n^{(1)}(\omega|z - y|)\) is bounded when \(\omega \geq 1\). In particular, we have
\[
H_n^{(1)}(\omega|z - y|) = O(\omega^{-1/2}).
\]
For \(\omega < 1\), \(H_0^{(1)}(\omega|z - y|) = O(\ln \omega)\). For \(n \geq 1\), we have
\[
H_n^{(1)}(\omega|z - y|) = O(\omega^{-n}).
\]
Moreover, recall that
\[
(H_0^{(1)})'(x) = -H_1^{(1)}(x),
\]
and
\[
(H_n^{(1)})'(x) = \frac{1}{2}(-H_{n-1}^{(1)}(x) + H_{n+1}^{(1)}(x)).
\]
In the following estimates we assume that \(\omega \in (0, \epsilon^{-n})\), with \(0 < \alpha < 1\). By expanding in Taylor series, we obtain
\[
\|V_y - V_{y,n+1}\|_{L^2(\partial D)} \leq C|\partial D|^{1/2}\epsilon^{n+2}(1 + \omega^{n+3/2}),
\]
and
\[
\|\nabla (V_y - V_{y,n+1})\|_{L^2(\partial D)} \leq C|\partial D|^{1/2}\epsilon^{n+1}(1 + \omega^{n+3/2}).
\]
We have proved that
\[
\|\phi - \phi_n\|_{L^2(\partial D)} + \|\psi - \psi_n\|_{L^2(\partial D)} \leq C|\partial D|^{1/2}\epsilon^{n+1}(1 + \omega^{n+3/2}). \tag{6.12}
\]
For \(x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bar{D}, \ x \neq y, \ \operatorname{dist}(x,D) \geq c_1 > 0\), representation formula (5.2) yields
\[
v(x,\omega) - V_y(x,\omega) = S_D^\omega \psi_n(x) + S_D^\omega [\psi - \psi_n](x).
\]
By Cauchy-Schwarz, we have
\[
|S_D^\omega [\psi - \psi_n](x)| \leq \left[\int_{\partial D} |\Gamma_\omega(x,s)|^2 \nu^2 \left| d\sigma(s) \right| \right]^{1/2} \|\psi - \psi_n\|_{L^2(\partial D)} \leq \|\Gamma_\omega(x,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\partial D)} \|\partial D\|^{1/2} \|\psi - \psi_n\|_{L^2(\partial D)}.
\]
By (6.12),
\[ |S_D^n[\psi - \psi_n](x)| \leq C\epsilon^{n+2}(|\ln \omega| + \omega^{n+1}), \]
where \( C \) is independent of \( \epsilon \) and \( \omega \).

As in the three-dimensional case, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.4.** Suppose that \( \omega^2 \) is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue for \(-\Delta\) on \( D \) and \( \omega \in (0, \epsilon^{-\alpha}) \), with \( 0<\alpha<1 \). The following asymptotic expansion holds:
\[ v_{y}(x, \omega) - V_{y}(x, \omega) = \sum_{|j|=0}^{n+1} \sum_{|i|=0}^{n-|j|+1} \frac{\epsilon^{|i|+|j|}}{i! j!} \partial_z^i \partial_{z}^j V_{y}(z, \omega) \partial_z^j \Gamma_{\omega}(x, z) \hat{W}_{ij} + R(x, \omega), \] \( (6.13) \)

where
\[ R(x, \omega) \leq C\epsilon^{n+2}(|\ln \omega| + \omega^{n+1}), \] \( (6.14) \)
for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bar{D}, x \neq y \), and the two-dimensional FDPTs \( \hat{W}_{ij} \).

### 7. Two-dimensional time-dependent asymptotic expansion

Define
\[ U_{y}(x, t) := \frac{H(t - |x - y|)}{2\pi \sqrt{t^2 - |x - y|^2}}, \]
where \( H \) is the Heaviside function at 0. \( U_{y} \) satisfies
\[ \begin{cases} \left( \partial_t^2 - \Delta \right) U_{y}(x, t) = \delta_{x=y} \delta_{t=0}, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}, \\
U_{y}(x, t) = 0, & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ and } t \ll 0. \end{cases} \]

For \( \rho > 0 \), consider the operator \( P_{\rho} \) on tempered distributions defined (4.1). Note that
\[ P_{\rho}[U_{y}](x, t) = \int_{|\omega| \leq \rho} e^{-i\omega t} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\omega t} U_{y}(x, t) \, dt \right) d\omega = \int_{|\omega| \leq \rho} e^{-i\omega t} \frac{i}{4} H^{(1)}_{0}(\omega|x-y|). \]
and satisfies
\[ \left( \partial_t^2 - \Delta \right) P_{\rho}[U_{y}](x, t) = \delta_{x=y} P_{\rho}(\psi_{\rho}(t)) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}, \]

For \( u_{y} = u_{y}(x, t) \), we consider the wave equation
\[ \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u_{y} - \nabla \cdot (\chi(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bar{D}) + k\chi(D)) \nabla u_{y} = \delta_{x=y} \delta_{t=0} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, \infty) \\
u_{y}(x, t) = 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ and } t \ll 0. \end{cases} \]

We want to derive an asymptotic expansion for \( P_{\rho}[u_{y} - U_{y}](x, t) \).

**Definition 7.1.** For \( \rho < 1/\epsilon \) and multi-indices \( i \) and \( j \), the two-dimensional truncated time-dependent polarization tensors (TTDPTs), \( P_{\rho}[W_{ij}] \), are defined as follows:
\[ P_{\rho}[W_{ij}](x, t) = \int_{|\omega| \leq \rho} e^{-i\omega t} \hat{W}_{ij} \, d\omega, \] \( (7.1) \)
where \( \hat{W}_{ij} \) are the two-dimensional FDPTs.
From Theorem 6.4 we have
\[ \int_{|\omega| \leq \rho} e^{-i\omega t} (V_y(x,\omega) - V_y(x,\omega)) \, d\omega = \sum_{|j|=0}^{n+1} \sum_{|i|=0}^{n-|j|+1} \frac{\epsilon^{i|j|+|i|}}{i!j!} \int_{|\omega| \leq \rho} e^{-i\omega t} \partial^2_x \partial^2_z V_y(z,\omega) \partial^2_z \Gamma_{\omega}(x,z) \hat{W}_{ij} \, d\omega \]
\[ + \int_{|\omega| \leq \rho} e^{-i\omega t} R(x,\omega) \, d\omega. \]

Suppose that \( \rho = O(\epsilon^{-\alpha}) \) for some \( \alpha < 1 \). Then
\[ \left| \int_{|\omega| \leq \rho} e^{-i\omega t} R(x,\omega) \, d\omega \right| = O \left( \epsilon^{(n+2)(1-\alpha)} \right). \]

Since
\[ \int_{|\omega| \leq \rho} e^{-i\omega t} \partial^2_x \partial^2_z V_y(z,\omega) \partial^2_z \Gamma_{\omega}(x,z) \hat{W}_{ij} \, d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial^2_x P_{\rho} [U_y](z,t) \partial^2_z P_{\rho} [U_z](x,t) \partial^2_x \partial^2_z P_{\rho} [W_{ij}](\tau') \, d\tau \, d\tau', \]
we have proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 7.2.** For \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), the following asymptotic expansion holds:
\[ P_{\rho} [u_y](x,t) = P_{\rho} [U_y](x,t) \]
\[ + \sum_{|j|=0}^{n+1} \sum_{|i|=0}^{n-|j|+1} \frac{\epsilon^{i|j|+|i|}}{i!j!} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial^2_x P_{\rho} [U_y](x,\tau) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial^2_z P_{\rho} [U_z](z,\tau) \partial^2_x \partial^2_z P_{\rho} [W_{ij}](\tau') \, d\tau' \right) \, d\tau \]
\[ + O \left( \epsilon^{(n+2)(1-\alpha)} \right), \]
where \( x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bar{D} \), \( D = \epsilon B + z \), \( |B| = 1 \), \( P_{\rho} [W_{ij}] \) are the TTDPTs defined in (7.1) and \( \rho = O(\epsilon^{-\alpha}) \).
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