GPNMB expression identifies TSC1/2/mTOR–associated and MiT family translocation–driven renal neoplasms

Daniela C Salles1,‡, Kaushal Asrani1,‡, Juhyung Woo1, Thiago Vidotto1, Hans B Liu1, Igor Vidal1, Andres Matoso1, George J Netto1, Pedram Argani2 and Tamara L Lotan1,3,4,*

1 Department of Pathology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA
2 Department of Pathology, University of Alabama, Birmingham, AL, USA
3 Department of Urology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA
4 Department of Oncology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

*Correspondence to: TLL, Department of Pathology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, 1550 Orleans Street, Baltimore, MD 21231, USA. E-mail: tlotan1@jhmi.edu
‡These authors contributed equally to this study.
§This article is dedicated to the memory of Daniela Correia Salles (1986–2021).

Abstract
GPNMB (glycoprotein nonmetastatic B) and other TFE3/TFEB transcriptional targets have been proposed as markers for microphthalmia (MiT) translocation renal cell carcinomas (tRCCs). We recently demonstrated that constitutive mTORC1 activation via TSC1/2 loss leads to increased activity of TFE3/TFEB, suggesting that the pathogenesis and molecular markers for tRCCs and TSC1/2–associated tumors may be overlapping. We examined GPNMB expression in human kidney and angiomyolipoma (AML) cell lines with TSC2 and/or TFE3/TFEB loss produced using CRISPR–Cas9 genome editing as well as in a mouse model of Tsc2 inactivation–driven renal tumorigenesis. Using an automated immunohistochemistry (IHC) assay for GPNMB, digital image analysis was employed to quantitatively score expression in clear cell RCC (ccRCC, n = 87), papillary RCC (papRCC, n = 53), chromophobe RCC (chRCC, n = 34), oncocytoma (n = 4), TFE3– or TFEB–driven tRCC (n = 56), eosinophilic solid and cystic RCC (ESC, n = 6), eosinophil vacuolated tumor (EVT, n = 4), and low-grade oncocytic tumor (LOT, n = 3), as well as AML (n = 29) and perivascular epithelioid cell tumors (PEComas, n = 8). In cell lines, GPNMB was upregulated following TSC2 loss in a MiT/TFE– and mTORC1–dependent fashion. Renal tumors in Tsc2+/−/A/J mice showed upregulation of GPNMB compared with normal kidney. Mean GPNMB expression was significantly higher in tRCC than in ccRCC (p < 0.0001), papRCC (p < 0.0001), and chRCC (p < 0.0001). GPNMB expression in TSC1/2/MTOR alteration–associated renal tumors (including ESC, LOT, AML, and PEComa) was comparable to that in tRCC. The immunophenotype of tRCC and TSC1/2/MTOR alteration–associated renal tumors is highly overlapping, likely due to the increased activity of TFE3/TFEB in both, revealing an important caveat regarding the use of TFE3/TFEB–transcriptional targets as diagnostic markers.
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Introduction
Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) classification has historically been based on morphology, with clear cell RCC (ccRCC), papillary RCC (papRCC), and chromophobe RCC (chRCC) identified by their distinctive histopathologic features under the microscope. However, advances in molecular phenotyping have challenged this purely morphological classification of renal tumors. Although there is a strong association between morphology and genotype for the most common categories of RCC listed above, morphologically unclassified RCC cases—many of which have eosinophilic or oncocytic features—have demonstrated a much weaker correlation between their appearance under the microscope and their underlying molecular landscape. Accordingly, several newer molecular subtypes of RCC have been defined with overlapping or heterogeneous morphologic features. For example, translocation RCC (tRCC)–harboring genomic rearrangements that drive expression of the microphthalmia (MiT) family of transcription factors including TFE3 and TFEB—can assume a wide
spectrum of clear cell, papillary, and eosinophilic morphologies, making identification based on H&E difficult or impossible in some cases [1–4]. Similarly, several novel or emerging subtypes of renal tumors associated with underlying TSC1/2 loss or MTOR activating mutations have recently been described with overlapping histologic features, including eosinophilic or oncocytic morphology [5–14].

In contrast to succinate dehydrogenase- or fumarate hydratase-deficient RCC, where pathologists can screen for the genomic driver alteration using an immunohistochemistry (IHC) assay, IHC assays to sensitively screen for tRCC or TSC1/2/MTOR alteration-associated RCC cases remain problematic. IHC panels including markers such as CK7, CK20 or CD117 have been reported for TSC1/2/mtOR-associated cases [6,10,12,14] but are not specific. TFE3 and TFEB overexpression by IHC [2,15] is technically challenging for diagnosis of tRCC.Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) can be used to examine for common TFEB/TFE3 rearrangements [16–18]; however, FISH assays are expensive screening tools and not widely available. Intriguingly, recent work shows that MiT family transcriptional targets — such as melan A, cathepsin K, or TRIM63 — identify both tRCC and TSC1/2/MTOR alteration-associated renal tumors [7,19,20]. Although initially puzzling, this finding is entirely consistent with a recent study from our group demonstrating that constitutive mTORC1 activation via TSC2 loss leads to increased activity of TFE3 and TFEB [21]. Taken together, this work suggests that a common underlying molecular pathogenesis may explain the overlapping morphology and immunophenotype for tRCC and TSC1/2/MTOR alteration-associated RCC cases.

In the current study, we explore the expression and regulation of GPNMB (glycoprotein nonmetastatic B), a transmembrane protein and MITF/TFE3 transcriptional target recently proposed as a specific marker for tRCC in mouse models [22]. Similar to the other TFE3/TFEB targets described above, we demonstrate that GPNMB is also highly expressed in human kidney cell lines and murine kidney tumors with TSC2 deletion and regulated by mTOR kinase and TFE3/TFEB in vitro. Clinically, GPNMB identifies tRCC and TSC1/2/MTOR alteration-associated renal tumors, distinguishing them from most conventional morphologic subtypes.

Materials and methods

Patients and tissue samples

With Johns Hopkins institutional review board approval, this study included a total of 11 tissue microarray (TMA) cohorts comprising evaluable renal tumors from nephrectomies dating from 1998 to 2012, including the common subtypes: clear cell RCC (ccRCC; n = 90; 87 with evaluable GPNMB staining), papillary RCC (papRCC; n = 54; 53 with evaluable GPNMB staining), and chromophobe RCC (chRCC; n = 36; 34 with evaluable GPNMB staining). A detailed description of these cohorts has been previously published [23–25]. In addition, we studied TMAs and standard sections including predominantly previously published [1] TFE3 tRCC cases confirmed by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) (n = 16; 15 with evaluable GPNMB staining). TFEB tRCC confirmed by FISH (n = 18), tRCC confirmed by IHC (n = 18), and five cases on standard section of cathepsin K-negative tRCC. We also studied standard sections from four cases of oncocytoma. In addition to these cohorts, we created a new TMA of conventional angiomylolipoma (AML) cases (n = 24); used a previously published TMA containing four cases of metastatic epithelioid AML and one case on standard section (n = 5) [23]; and obtained additional cases of perivascular epithelioid cell tumors (PEComa) (n = 8, from seven unique patients). In addition, we searched the Johns Hopkins pathology archives for emerging or new renal tumor diagnoses during the time period of 2008–2021 and obtained standard sections of eosinophilic solid and cystic RCC (ESC) (n = 8; 6 with evaluable GPNMB staining), eosinophilic and vacuolated tumor (EVT) (n = 4), and low-grade oncocytic tumor of the kidney (LOT) (n = 3).

Immunohistochemistry

GPNMB immunohistochemistry (IHC) on human tissues was performed using the Ventana Discovery ULTRA platform (Ventana/Roche, Oro Valley, AZ, USA) using hand-applied GPNMB primary antibody (E4D7P XP® Rabbit mAb #38313, 1:1500; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA). Positive staining in histiocytes was considered as an internal positive control in all cases (see supplementary material, Figure S1). Additional validation was performed using an independent GPNMB antibody (#20338-1-AP; Proteintech, Rosemont, IL, USA) on the Ventana Discovery ULTRA (Ventana/Roche) (see supplementary material, Figure S1).

Because the E4D7P antibody clone is specific for human GPNMB and does not detect mouse GPNMB, GPNMB IHC on murine tissues was performed on the Ventana Discovery ULTRA (Ventana/Roche) using a hand-applied mouse-specific anti-GPNMB clone (E7U1Z, #90205, 1:100; Cell Signaling Technology). Renal cystadenoma and carcinoma tissue from Tsc2−/− mice was immunostained for p-S6 (#4858; Cell Signaling Technology; 1:100; p-4E-BP1 (#2855; Cell Signaling Technology; 1:200), and TSC2 (#4308; Cell Signaling Technology; 1:100) on the Ventana Discovery ULTRA (Ventana/Roche).

IHC analysis

Visual scoring was performed by a trained uropathologist (DCS) using a simple scoring rubric of 1–3+ staining, with 0+ representing no visible color reaction, 1+: <10% of cells showing strong intensity membranous staining, 2+: 10–90% of cells showing strong intensity...
membranous staining, and 3+: >90% of cells showing strong intensity membranous staining. For digital scoring, immunostained slides were scanned, and the whole-slide images were loaded into HALO v3.1.1076.433 (Indica Labs, Albuquerque, NM, USA). A trained uropathologist (DCS) manually annotated the tumor epithelium in all cases, in four regions of each standard section slide measuring 100 × 100 μm each, and in all available tumor tissue from the TMA cores. TMA cores with no available tissue or no available tumor in the core were considered non-contributory. The Membrane algorithm (v1.7; Indica Labs) was optimized to correspond to visually detected GPNMB intensity and applied uniformly to all cases described above to derive the digital H-score.

Cell lines

Human embryonic kidney HEK293T cells with or without somatic genomic deletion (KO) of TSC2 using CRISPR–Cas9 gene editing were a kind gift of the TSC Alliance and Dr Nellist [26]. TRI102 cells derived from a TSC2-null human AML and TRI103 [27,28] cells derived from TRI102 cells stably transfected with wild-type TSC2 (pcDNA3.1 TSC2-zeo) were obtained from the ATCC (Manassas, VI, USA). RCC cell lines from human iRCC (PRCC–TFE3; UOK124) and sporadic clear cell RCC (ccRCC; UOK111) were a kind gift from Dr W Marston Linehan [22]. All cell lines were maintained in DMEM high glucose medium (Gibco/Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with 10% FBS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin stock solution at 37 °C in 5% CO₂. RCC cell lines were cultured as above in DMEM containing additional 1X essential amino acids. Rapamycin (200 nM) and Torin 1 (1 μM) (LC Laboratories, Woburn, MA, USA) treatment was performed for 72 h prior to cell lysis for immunoblotting.

Xenograft studies

UOK111 and UOK124 cells were used for xenograft studies. Three million cells were mixed with growth-factor reduced Matrigel (356231; Corning, Corning, NY, USA) 1:1 (v/v) in a final volume of 100 μl and injected subcutaneously into the flanks of NSG (NOD scid gamma) mice. Tumor volume and body weight were measured every 3 days by calipers and calculated using the formula (length × width²/2). Mice were euthanized 21 days after inoculation, and tumors were harvested for histological examination and immunoblotting.

siRNA-mediated gene silencing

siRNAs [# M-011741-01-0005: siGENOME Human GPNMB (10457) siRNA – SMARTpool] for human GPNMB and negative control were purchased from Dharmacon (GE Healthcare, Lafayette, CO, USA). HEK293T TSC2 KO cells were transfected with 100 nm siRNA using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX reagent according to the manufacturer's transfection guidelines (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

CRISPR–Cas9 genome editing for TFE3 and TFE3

We designed single-guide RNA (sgRNA) for three target sequences in the human TFE3 gene (GGC GATTCAACATTAACGACAGG, GCGACGCTCAA CTTGGGAGAGGG, TCGCTGCGACGGTCACACT TTGG) and four target sequences in the human TFEB gene (CAACCCCCATGCGACGCTGG, GCGG TAGCAGTGTCGTCAGG, TGCCCTAGCGACGG GGGCCAGGG, and GAGTACCTTGCCGAGC GTCTA). Novel CRISPR–Cas9 KO HEK293T cells were infected for 48 h and selected with puromycin (1 μg/ml) for 10 days, and monoclonal cell colonies established. Immunoblotting was used for confirmation of TFE2 and TFE3 KO.

Transgenic mice

Tsc22f/-/ Aj mice have been described previously [30] and were the kind gift of David Kwiatkowski and the TSC Alliance. Mice were sacrificed at 14 months of age, and kidneys were removed, then formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded, followed by histologic sectioning prior to immunohistochemistry. In parallel, fresh tissue from the contralateral kidney was snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and cryosectioned for laser capture microdissection as described below.

Lysosomal fractionation

Lysosomal fractionation assays were carried out as described previously [31]. Cells grown on 150-mm-diameter dishes were harvested and lysed in 750 μl of cold fractionation buffer (50 mM KCl, 90 mM potassium glutonate, 1 mM EGTA, 5 mM glucose, protease inhibitor cocktail tablet, and 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4). The cells were then lysed by sonication, and the nuclear fraction was removed by centrifugation at 1000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was then centrifuged at 20 000 × g for 30 min at 4 °C. The precipitated lysosome-enriched fraction was suspended in the fractionation buffer, and the supernatant was separated as the cytosolic fraction.

Immunoblotting

Whole-cell or lysosome-enriched fractions were utilized for immunoblotting as described previously [32]. The primary antibodies used were as follows (all were used at 1:1000 unless otherwise noted): GPNMB (#38313, Cell Signaling Technology; CST; 1:500), TSC2 (#4308, CST), Phospho-p70 S6 Kinase (Thr389) (#9205, CST), Phospho-S6 Ribosomal Protein (Ser235/236) (#4858, CST), GPNMB (#4308, CST), Phospho-p70 S6 Kinase (Thr389) (#4831, Cell Signaling Technology), Phospho-Akt (S473) (#4060, CST), Phospho-Akt (T308) (#202, CST), Akt (pan) (#4691, CST), Phospho-FoxO1(Thr24)/FoxO3a(Thr32)/FoxO4(Thr28) (#2599, #2965, CST), Akt (pan) (#4691, CST), Phospho-
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CST, FoxO1 (#2880, CST), BECN1 (#3495, CST), beta-actin (#4970, CST), GAPDH (#5174, CST).

Laser capture microdissection (LCM)
Frozen, OCT-embedded kidney sections from Tsc2+/− A/J mice were prepared for LCM processing as follows: tissues were cut in 10 μm-thick sections on 4 μm polyethylene naphthalate (PEN) membrane slides (11600288; Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) for LCM and 4 μm on a regular slide for hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining. Before using for sectioning, PEN slides were sterilized overnight under ultraviolet radiation to degrade RNases. Sections were stained with hematoxylin and LCM was performed using a Leica DM7000 microscope. Tumor regions were isolated and stored in Buffer RLT lysis buffer (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Respective H&E/p-S6-stained sections were used as a reference to identify the morphology of the tissue and renal tumors. LCM-sorted cells were then homogenized using a QIAshredder (Qiagen) and total RNA was extracted using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen), following the manufacturer’s instructions. Tumor regions were isolated and stored in Buffer RLT lysis buffer (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Respective H&E/p-S6-stained sections were used as a reference to identify the morphology of the tissue and renal tumors. LCM-sorted cells were then homogenized using a QIAshredder (Qiagen) and total RNA was extracted using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen). RT-qPCR was performed as described below.

RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and qPCR analysis
Total RNA from cell pellets/xenografts was extracted using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen), following the manufacturer’s instructions. Using the SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System for RT-PCR (Invitrogen/Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and oligo(dT)50 primers, cDNA synthesis was realized from 2 μg of total RNA. qPCR reactions were performed using the QuantStudio 12K Flex Real-Time PCR System (Life Technologies/Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), using primer/probes for GPNMB (human: Hs01095669_m1 and mouse: Mm01328587_m1).

Statistical analyses
Comparisons between groups were made using Student’s t-test or one-way ANOVA (Gpnmb relative level) or Mann–Whitney or Kruskal–Wallis tests (comparisons of H-scores or visual scores between tumor groups). GraphPad Prism 9.1.2 (GraphPad Software Inc, San Diego, CA, USA) was used for all analyses.

Results
GPNMB is upregulated with TSC2 inactivation in a TFE3/TFEB- and mTORC1-dependent fashion
We first examined HEK293T cells with TSC2 deletion (KO) by CRISPR–Cas9 genome editing, where mTORC1 signaling is increased by immunoblotting compared with parental cells (Figure 1A). Notably, GPNMB expression was increased in cells with TSC2 KO compared with parental cells (Figure 1B). There were two bands detected with the anti-GPNMB antibody: one at approximately 95 kDa (the predicted molecular weight for the precursor form of GPNMB) and one below the 75 kDa marker (which has not been described for GPNMB previously). The upper band at 95 kDa increased dramatically in cells with TSC2 KO compared with parental cells (Figure 1B) and was decreased by treatment of TSC2 KO cells with siRNA specific for GPNMB (supplementary material, Figure S2), confirming its specificity. In contrast, the lower band did not change upon TSC2 KO or with GPNMB siRNA, suggesting it was likely nonspecific. GPNMB localizes to plasma membranes, including melanosomes or lysosomal/endosomal vesicles in non-melanocytes, and we confirmed that GPNMB is upregulated in lysosome-enriched fractions of HEK293T lysates with TSC2 KO compared with parental cells (Figure 1C). To determine whether GPNMB expression in the setting of TSC2 deletion was mTOR-dependent or -independent, we inhibited mTOR with the allosteric inhibitor rapamycin in HEK293T TSC2 KO cells and found that this was sufficient to downregulate GPNMB protein levels by immunoblotting (Figure 1D). GPNMB is a transcriptional target of MITF and TFE3 as well as tRCC-associated TFE3 fusion proteins [22,33,34], and in other systems, TFE3 and TFEB have cooperative rather than redundant effects [35,36]; however, whether MiT/TFE factors regulate GPNMB in TSC2 KO cells has not been determined. We used CRISPR–Cas9 genome editing with multiple gRNAs to generate clones of HEK293T TSC2 KO cells and found that this was sufficient to downregulate GPNMB gene expression, with the dual knockdown showing more consistent suppression across clones (Figure 1E,F).

For independent validation, we queried TRI-102 cells, a human angiomyolipoma (AML) cell line with TSC2 deletion, and compared these with cells stably transfected with wild-type TSC2 (TRI-103 cells). Expression of exogenous TSC2 was sufficient to inhibit mTORC1 signaling (Figure 1G), to significantly downregulate GPNMB gene expression in this cell line (Figure 1H), and to decrease lysosome-associated GPNMB protein levels (Figure 1I). Similarly, treatment of TRI-102 cells with the mTOR kinase inhibitor Torin 1 was sufficient to reduce GPNMB protein expression (Figure 1J).

GPNMB is upregulated in murine models of renal tumorigenesis with Tsc2 inactivation
We next examined whether these in vitro findings could be replicated in vivo. Heterozygous deletion of Tsc2 in murine models followed by spontaneous inactivation of the second allele leads to the development of renal cystadenomas (Figure 2A), and a subset of mice develop oncocytic high-grade renal cell carcinomas with aging [37]. We used laser capture microdissection (LCM) to isolate RNA from microscopic adenoma lesions (Figure 2B) and found that Gpnmb gene expression was upregulated in these precursor lesions compared...
Figure 1. GPNMB is upregulated following TSC2 loss in a TFE3/TFEB- and mTORC1-dependent fashion. (A) Immunoblotting of whole-cell lysates from parental and TSC2 KO HEK293T cells for TSC2 and mTORC1 activation markers. (B) Immunoblotting of whole-cell lysates from parental and TSC2 KO HEK293T cells for GPNMB. A non-specific band (*) based on the siRNA experiment in supplementary material, Figure S2 is present in this cell line by immunoblotting. (C) Immunoblotting of cell lysates enriched for lysosomal content from parental and TSC2 KO HEK293T cells. (D) Immunoblotting of whole-cell lysates from TSC2 KO HEK293T cells with or without 72-h treatment with mTOR inhibitor rapamycin (200 nM). (E) RT-qPCR for relative GPNMB gene expression in TSC2 KO HEK293T cells with or without genomic deletion of TFE3, TFEB, or TFE3 and TFE3 (r = 3; ***p < 0.0001 by one-way ANOVA). (F) Immunoblotting of whole-cell lysates from TSC2 KO HEK293T cells with or without genomic deletion of TFE3, TFEB, or TFE3 and TFE3. (G) Immunoblotting of whole-cell lysates from TRI-102 parental cells (TSC2+/C0/C0/C0) and TRI-103 cells (TSC2+/C0/C0/C0) with stable transfection of wild-type TSC2 for TSC2 and mTORC1 activation markers. (H) RT-qPCR for relative GPNMB gene expression in TRI-102 and TRI-103 cells. (I) Immunoblotting of cell lysates from TRI-102 and TRI-103 cells enriched for lysosomal content. (J) Immunoblotting of whole-cell lysates from TRI-102 cells with or without 72-h treatment with mTOR kinase inhibitor Torin 1 (1 μM).
with surrounding normal renal parenchyma (Figure 2C). By immunohistochemistry (IHC) using an independent antibody clone from that used in human cell lines, there was a similar upregulation of GPNMB protein in the oncocytic carcinoma cells (Figure 2D). Taken together, our in vitro and in vivo data suggest that GPNMB is upregulated with TSC2 inactivation in a TFE3/TFEB- and mTORC1-dependent fashion.

GPNMB is upregulated in tRCC and TSC1/2/mTOR alteration-associated renal tumors compared with more common RCC subtypes

Next, we assessed GPNMB expression across a wide spectrum of human renal tumors using an automated assay with digital quantification (Figures 3–6 and supplementary material, Figure S3). GPNMB was expressed at negligible levels in normal renal tissue (Figure 3A, left panels). However, it was highly expressed in histocytes, consistent with their high lysosomal content and the initial cloning of GPNMB from cells in the mononuclear phagocytic system [38]. GPNMB was only infrequently expressed in clear cell RCC (ccRCC) (median H-score 0; range 0–83; Figure 3A, middle panels), with confirmation of this result using a second, independent antibody clone (supplementary material, Figure S1). There was similarly low GPNMB expression in papillary RCC (papRCC; Figure 3A, right panels) with rare exceptions, likely due in part to difficulty in excluding admixed histocytes.

Figure 2. Renal tumors from A/J Tsc2+/− mice show increased GPNMB expression. (A) Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and TSC2, p-S6, and p-4EBP1 IHC staining of cystadenoma lesions occurring in 14-month-old A/J Tsc2+/− mice. Scale bar: 500 μm. (B) Laser capture microdissection (LCM) of renal cystadenomas from 14-month-old A/J Tsc2+/− mice guided by p-S6 immunostaining for mTORC1 activation. Images reduced from 100× magnification. Scale bar: 250 μm. (C) RT-qPCR for relative GPNMB gene expression in normal kidney parenchyma and LCM-captured renal cystadenoma tissue. (D) H&E and GPNMB IHC staining of oncocytic vacuolated carcinomas occurring in 14-month-old A/J Tsc2+/− mice. Scale bar: 500 μm. Right panels are higher-magnification views of the outlined area in each image.
during quantification (median H-score 0.02; range 0–228). Interestingly, a larger subset of chromophobe RCC cases had GPNMB expression (chRCC; Figure 3B, right panels; Figure 6; and supplementary material, Figure S3), though the median expression was low (median H-score 2; range 0–218). In contrast to chRCC, the limited number of oncocytomas included in the current study had uniformly low expression of GPNMB (median H-score 10; range 1–16; Figure 3B, left panels).

Although these differences in GPNMB protein expression among the common RCC subtypes were not statistically significant, the trends in these data parallel the statistically significant differences in GPNMB mRNA expression observed in the TCGA cohorts, where ccRCC cases have the lowest normalized counts, followed by papRCC and chRCC cases (supplementary material, Figure S4) [39–41]. The higher GPNMB expression among at least a subset of chRCCs compared with other common subtypes could potentially be consistent with the previously reported increased frequency of TSC1/TSC2/MTOR alterations among eosinophilic chRCC cases, ranging from 6% of cases in TCGA to 17% in a metastatic chRCC cohort [41–43]. Within the
TCGA chRCC cohort (KICH) [41], a previous study has demonstrated that high FOXI1 mRNA expression is characteristic of chRCC, and outlier cases lacking FOXI1 expression (suggestive of misclassification) are enriched for TSC1/TSC2/MTOR alterations (present in 4/6 cases with low FOXI1 expression) [42]. Interestingly, we found that these FOXI1-negative outlier tumors showed increased levels of GPNMB expression at the high end of the spectrum seen in chRCC, consistent with their underlying TSC1/TSC2/MTOR alterations (supplementary material, Figure S5).

Though sequencing data were not available in our chRCC cohort, we used genetically validated immunohistochemistry assays for TSC2, p-S6, and p-4EBP1 (Figure 2A) to assess potential loss of TSC2 within our chRCC cohort. Using this assay, only 1/34 chRCCs expressed detectable p-S6; only 4/34 chRCCs expressed detectable p-4EBP1; and 5/34 chRCCs had loss of TSC2 protein relative to background normal kidney. Since all of these markers (particularly the phospho-epitopes) may show false-negative staining with poor or delayed fixation (as is common with human tissue), we required both loss of TSC2 protein and detectable staining of p-S6 and p-4EBP1 to consider a case likely to have TSC2 genomic loss based on immunostaining. Only one case met these stringent criteria (supplementary material, Figure S6). Strikingly, this case was the chRCC case with the highest GPNMB expression in the entire cohort (mean H-score 218). On morphologic re-review, this case has many features of LOT, an entity which was not described at the time this case was originally reviewed.

GPNMB expression in tRCC was significantly higher than that seen in the more common RCC subtypes in most cases, consistent with the fact that GPNMB is a TFE3/TFEB-target gene. We tested a wide spectrum of tRCC cases (n = 56 in total), including TFE3- and TFEB-driven cases, some with FISH and some with IHC confirmation (Figures 4 and 6 and supplementary material, Figure S3). Overall, GPNMB expression was highest in TFEB tRCC with FISH confirmation (median H-score 246; range 67–283; Figure 4, right panels), with similar results in TFE3 FISH-concordant tRCC (median H-score 202; range 19–277; Figure 4, left panels) and IHC-concordant tRCC (median H-score 216; range: 5–280). GPNMB scores in these tRCC subgroups were significantly higher than those seen in ccRCC (p < 0.0001 for all comparisons), papRCC (p ≤ 0.0001 for all comparisons), and chRCC (p = 0.0001 for TFE3/FISH-concordant tRCC; p = 0.03 for TFE3/FISH-concordant tRCC; and p = 0.002 for IHC-concordant tRCC cases). Notably, a subset of tRCC cases that were cathepsin K-negative also had high GPNMB H-scores compared with other tRCC cases (median H-score 138; range 64–279; Figure 4, second panels from right), with significantly higher scores than ccRCC (p = 0.002) and a similar trend for papRCC (p = 0.12), though this was not seen in comparison to chRCC (p > 0.99).

Similar to iRCC and as predicted by our in vitro data, GPNMB expression in renal tumor subtypes associated with TSC1/2/MTOR alterations was generally significantly higher than in the more common renal tumor subtypes (Figures 5 and 6 and supplementary material, Figure S3). For example, low-grade oncocytic tumors (LOT; median H-score 244; range 139–281; Figure 5A, right panels) and eosinophilic solid and cystic carcinomas (ESC; median H-score 221; range 68–266; Figure 5A, left panels) showed significantly higher expression of GPNMB compared with ccRCC (p = 0.02 and 0.0003, respectively), with a similar trend compared with papRCC (p = 0.36 and 0.04, respectively), though no significant difference was seen when
these tumors were compared with chRCC. Similar-ly, conventional and epithelioid AML had significantly higher GPNMB expression (median H-scores 238 and 260; ranges 22–282 and 184–270, respectively; Figure 5B) when compared with ccRCC ($p < 0.0001$ and $p = 0.0001$, respectively), papRCC ($p < 0.0001$ and $p = 0.01$, respectively), and chRCC ($p = 0.0001$ and $p = 0.18$, respectively). The only exception to this pattern was for the small number of eosinophilic vacuolated tumors (EVT) tested ($n = 4$), where there was a wide range in GPNMB expression and no significant differences when compared with more common RCC subtypes (Figure 5A, middle panels). In total, eight PEComas from seven patients were analyzed, including three positive for TFE3 gene rearrangements (median H-score 229) and two lacking TFE3 gene rearrangements (median H-score 164), without a significant difference in GPNMB expression between these groups ($p = 0.2$).

Performance characteristics of GPNMB immunohistochemistry

Quantitative H-scores are not typically used for clinical diagnosis, but we assessed the performance characteristics of the GPNMB assay using an H-score cutoff of 150 to define positive and negative cases, analogous to...
previous work with other biomarkers for tRCC [19]. With this cutoff, GPNMB IHC identified 82% (27/33) of FISH-confirmed tRCC cases, 83% (15/18) of IHC-confirmed tRCC cases versus 0% (0/87) of ccRCCs, 6% (3/53) of papRCCs, 3% (1/34) of chRCCs, and 0% (0/4) of oncocytomas. Among TSC1/2/mTOR-related tumors, this threshold identified 67% (2/3) of LOT cases, 83% (5/6) of ESC cases, 86% (25/29) of AMLs, and 88% (7/8) of PEComas, but only 25% (1/4) of EVT cases.

To make this study more relevant to clinical practice, we also tested a simple visual scoring system from 0 to 3+ (supplementary material, Figure S7). Digital H-scores were significantly different between all visual score groups \( (p \leq 0.001 \text{ for all comparisons}) \), validating the digital scoring system. When we used a cutoff of 3+ by visual score (>90% of cells with strong intensity staining) to identify GPNMB-positive cases, GPNMB IHC identified 70% (39/56) of all tRCC cases [including 40% of (or 2/5) cathepsin K-negative cases] versus 0% (0/87) of ccRCCs, 2% (1/53) of papRCCs, 3% (1/34) of chRCCs, and 0% (0/4) of oncocytomas. Among TSC1/2/mTOR-related tumors, this threshold identified 67% (2/3) of LOT cases, 67% (4/6) of ESC cases, 86% (25/29) of AMLs, and 63% (5/8) of PEComas, but none (0/4) of EVT cases.

**Discussion**

The MiT transcription factor family includes TFEB and TFE3. While these factors are key regulators of lysosomal gene expression in normal cells, they may become oncogenic drivers when dysregulated by involvement in gene rearrangements or amplifications [18]. In current models, physiologic mTORC1 activity phosphorylates MiT/TFE proteins, resulting in their cytoplasmic retention and inactivation [44]. In contrast, short-term pharmacological mTORC1 inactivation with Torin 1 promotes their dephosphorylation, nuclear localization, and activity [45–50]. However, a number of different lines of evidence have emerged recently to suggest that the role of mTORC1 in TFE3/TFEB regulation is likely much more complex than previously appreciated. In a small number of previous studies, including one by our group, constitutive mTORC1 hyperactivity (via TSC1/2 loss) paradoxically positively regulated TFE3-dependent lysosomal v-ATPase expression [51] and promoted TFE3/TFEB nuclear localization in an mTORC1-dependent manner [21,52–54].

While paradoxical, these results are highly consistent with genomic data from human tumors. TFE3/TFEB gene rearrangements or TSC1/2 loss-of-function alterations are established and mutually exclusive molecular drivers of PEComas, suggesting that the biological consequences of these two alterations may be overlapping [55–58]. There is also emerging evidence that rare PEComas may be driven by folliculin inactivation [59], an alteration that also results in increased TFE3/TFEB activity [33,60]. Supporting the concept that TFE3/TFEB rearrangements and TSC1/2 inactivation have biologically overlapping effects, MiT gene targets such as melanocyte markers (PMEL17 or Melan A) [61], cathepsin K (a lysosomal protease) [62], and TRIM63 [63] are all diagnostic biomarkers of PEComas [19,58,64] and tRCC [19,65,66], in addition to identifying RCC associated with TSC1/2 loss [7,19]. Though it would be of interest to quantify TFE3 or TFEB nuclear protein expression in RCC associated with TSC1/2/MTOR alterations, technical issues with these IHC assays have been prevalent [67] and they remain insufficiently sensitive and specific for tRCC, further motivating the search for additional markers of their activity.

In this context, it should not come as a surprise that GPNMB – a well-characterized TFE3/TFEB gene target [33,34] and marker of tRCC [22] – is also highly expressed in human kidney cell lines and murine kidney tumors with TSC2 deletion and regulated by mTOR kinase and TFE3/TFE3 in vitro. GPNMB is expressed at relatively low levels in most normal tissues (with a prominent exception being histiocytes) but highly expressed in aggressive cancers such as melanoma (where it was first described), triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC), and invasive gliomas, where it is generally associated with poor prognosis [68]. There is emerging evidence for the direct role of GPNMB in tumor progression [69], cellular invasation, invasion, metastases [70], and angiogenesis [71]. Consistent with its regulation by MiTF/TFEB/TFE3, GPNMB expression is upregulated in mouse models of numerous MiT-family neoplasms, including tRCC [22] and alveolar soft part sarcoma [70], with a previous small study also
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documenting increased expression in a series of nine TFE3-driven human tRCC cases [22].

Here, we confirm these data in a much larger series of 56 tRCC cases, encompassing a spectrum of TFE3- and TFEB-driven tumors. In tuberous sclerosis-associated neoplasms, previous work has also demonstrated GPNMB overexpression in angiomyolipomas [72,73], lymphangioleiomyomatosis [74], and subependymal giant cell astrocytomas (SEGAs) [75] compared with their respective normal tissues, findings that we confirm with a different assay in both sporadic conventional and epithelioid variants of AML as well as in PEComas. Notably, no prior studies have examined GPNMB expression in epithelioid variants of AML as well as in PEComas.

In the current study, despite a relatively small sample size, we find that GPNMB expression is similar among ESC and LOT tumors to that seen in tRCCs and considerably lower in ccRCCs, papRCCs, chRCCs, and oncocytomas. Of note, we found that GPNMB expression is more variable among a small series of EVTs. Future, more extensive studies will be necessary to confirm these findings as these entities are increasingly recognized and large case series are accrued. Nevertheless, our work reveals an important caveat surrounding the use of TFE3/TFEB-transcriptional targets when used as diagnostic markers in renal tumors.

Among conventional RCC subtypes, it is notable that GPNMB expression in a subset of chRCC is somewhat higher than that seen in oncocytoma, papRCC or ccRCC, while lower than tRCC and other TSC1/2/MTOR alteration-associated RCC subtypes. The higher expression could reflect the fact that some eosinophilic chRCC cases may harbor underlying alterations in TSC1/2 or mTOR signaling pathways [41,42]; indeed, we show evidence using genetically validated IHC assays that the chRCC case in our series with the highest GPNMB expression levels had concurrent TSC2 protein loss and mTORC1 signaling activation, with morphologic features potentially consistent with LOT. Similarly, expression outlier cases from the TCGA KICH cohort which are enriched for TSC1/2/ mTOR alteration-associated RCC subtypes [42] also showed high GPNMB expression levels (supplementary material, Figure S5), and future sequencing studies may be helpful to definitively determine whether GPNMB positivity could be an indicator of underlying TSC1/2/MTOR alterations in chRCC cases. GPNMB positivity in a subset of chRCCs is also interesting in light of the fact that one prior study suggested that GPNMB expression might distinguish Birt–Hogg–Dubé-associated hybrid oncocytic renal tumors from sporadic chRCC [76], consistent with emerging data implicating folliculin in the negative regulation of TFE3/TFEB activity [33,60]. Given the rarity of Birt–Hogg–Dubé, it seems unlikely that the positivity that we observed in a subset of chRCCs could be due to underlying sporadic or inherited FLCN mutations; however, future sequencing studies may specifically address this question. Curiously, we did not find evidence of GPNMB expression in a substantial proportion of ccRCC cases (using two independent antibodies), despite prior evidence of non-genomic mTOR activation in a large proportion of ccRCCs. It is possible that the cellular context and the underlying mechanism of mTORC1 activation may further modulate the effects of mTOR on GPNMB expression in different RCC subtypes.

Molecularly, TFE3/TFEB activity and mTOR activity may exist in a reciprocal feed-forward signaling loop, each driving the other. tRCC demonstrates increased mTOR activity compared with ccRCC [1], and recent work suggests that the mechanism for this may be increased RAGD transcription downstream of TFEB activity [77]. Reciprocally, we have shown previously [21], and herein, that mTOR activity can drive TFE3/TFEB activity, as evidenced by GPNMB expression. Given the overlapping molecular pathways active in tRCC and TSC1/2/mTOR-associated renal tumors, it is notable that the clinical behavior of these tumors is highly variable. While tRCC [4] and subsets of ESC [14] can behave aggressively, many of the other TSC1/2/MTOR alteration-associated tumors including AML, LOT, and EVT are almost all indolent in the initial descriptions [14]. Future work may identify additional molecular alterations or signaling perturbations that drive aggressive behavior in the context of TSC1/2/ MTOR alterations and/or TFE3/TFEB activity during renal tumorigenesis.

Clinically, we propose that GPNMB may be useful to add to our arsenal of IHC screening tools for tRCC and TSC1/2/MTOR alteration-associated renal tumors, distinguishing them from common morphologic subtypes. While screening all renal tumors with GPNMB would be neither practical nor necessary given the ease of diagnosis of conventional subtypes by morphologic analysis alone, GPNMB could be useful as an adjunct marker, similar to cathepsin K, for screening unclassified RCC cases. A GPNMB H-score of 150 effectively distinguished most common RCC subtypes from tRCC and TSC1/2/MTOR-alteration associated RCC. Since most clinical IHC assays are interpreted dichotomously, in practice it may be most beneficial to only consider cases with >90% of cells showing intense membrane staining by visual scoring as GPNMB-positive, and histiocytes are important to assess as an internal positive control in each case. In our small sample of five cathepsin K-negative cases, for example, this cutoff still detected 40% of cases as positive, suggesting that GPNMB could be a useful marker in addition to cathepsin K in unclassified cases. It is notable that some tRCC cases in each diagnostic category had lower expression of GPNMB than others, and the source of this variability is unclear. Some effect of sample fixation and/or storage prior to staining may contribute, as we anecdotally saw a higher fraction of GPNMB-negative cases among those that were also cathepsin K-negative. Alternatively, it is possible that a subset of tRCC cases does not maintain a TFE3/TFEB-regulated gene expression program, either due to co-occurring genomic alterations or due to
peculiarities of their underlying specific gene fusion event. Finally, we cannot exclude non-MiT/TFE-driven mechanisms that may affect GPNMB expression. Limitations of the current study include the relatively small sample sizes of emerging RCC diagnostic entities and the lack of associated genomic data for correlation with GPNMB expression.

In addition to its utility as a biomarker, characterizing GPNMB expression in renal tumors is clinically significant since it may serve as a future clinical diagnostic biomarker or even as a therapeutic target for tRCC. As GPNMB is a transmembrane protein on the cell surface, radiolabeled ligands or antibodies could be used for diagnostic imaging of tRCC or TSC1/2/MTOR alteration-associated RCC. Although most TSC1/2/MTOR alteration-related tumors are relatively indolent, tRCC may be aggressive and effective targeted therapies have yet to be identified. Notably, an antibody–drug conjugate (ADC) specific for GPNMB – glembatumumab vedotin (CDX-011) – was well tolerated in clinical trials for breast cancer [68,78]. Though these trials were disappointing for breast tumors [79], future work may examine the efficacy of similar ADC strategies in tRCC.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that GPNMB is highly expressed in human kidney cell lines and murine kidney tumors with TSC2 deletion and regulated by mTOR kinase and TFE3 in vitro, similar to other MiT/TFE targets. This study adds to emerging data suggesting that tumors harboring TFE3/TFE3 rearrangements and those with TSC1/2/MTOR alterations may overlap phenotypically due to high underlying TFE3/TFE6 activity, revealing a major caveat for using TFE3/TFE6 targets as diagnostic biomarkers. Clinically, GPNMB may be useful as a sensitive screening tool for tRCC and TSC1/2/MTOR alteration-associated renal tumors when paired with confirmatory genomic assays and may help to distinguish these tumors from more common subtypes.
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Figure S1. Comparison of GPNMB immunohistochemistry using two independent antibody clones

Figure S2. Immunoblotting of HEK293T TSC2 KO cells treated with GPNMB siRNA confirms that the higher molecular weight band (~95 kDa, arrow) is the correct one and the lower band (denoted by *) is non-specific

Figure S3. Digitally quantified GPNMB expression by renal tumor diagnosis

Figure S4. GPNMB mRNA expression by TCGA RCC cohort

Figure S5. GPNMB versus FOXI1 expression in the TCGA chRCC (KICH) cohort, with annotated TSC1/TSC2/MTOR mutations

Figure S6. GPNMB, TSC2, p-S6, and p-4EBP1 expression in benign and tumor tissue from a case classified as chRCC

Figure S7. Digitally quantified GPNMB expression compared with visually scored expression