Preoperative external beam radiotherapy and reduced dose brachytherapy for carcinoma of the cervix: survival and pathological response
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Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate the pathologic response of cervical carcinoma to external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) and high dose rate brachytherapy (HDRB) and outcome.

Materials and methods: Between 1992 and 2001, 67 patients with cervical carcinoma were submitted to preoperative radiotherapy. Sixty-five patients were stage IIb. Preoperative treatment included 45 Gy EBRT and 12 Gy HDRB. Patients were submitted to surgery after a mean time of 82 days. Lymphadenectomy was performed in 81% of patients. Eleven patients with residual cervix residual disease on pathological specimen were submitted to 2 additional insertions of HDRB.

Results: median follow up was 72 months. Five-year cause specific survival was 75%, overall survival 65%, local control 95%. Complete pelvic pathological response was seen in 40%. Surgery performed later than 80 days was associated with pathological response. Pelvic nodal involvement was found in 12%. Complete pelvic pathological response and negative lymphnodes were associated with better outcome (p = .03 and p = .005). Late grade 3 and 4 urinary and intestinal adverse effects were seen in 12 and 2% of patients.

Conclusion: Time allowed between RT and surgery correlated with pathological response. Pelvic pathological response was associated with improved outcome. Postoperative additional HDRB did not improve therapeutic results. Treatment was well tolerated.
Background
Radiotherapy (RT), surgery (S), or the combination of both treatments with preoperative radiotherapy following surgery (RT→S) have all been shown to be effective local-regional treatments [1-8] for patients with FIGO stages IB1, IB2, IIA and IIB (with <1/3 proximal parametrial invasion) cervix carcinoma [9,10]. Recent randomized trials have demonstrated that the addition of chemotherapy (CT) to RT improves treatment results [11,12]. The choice of the best local-regional approach remains controversial. Early retrospective reviews showed better results for patients treated with hysterectomy following radiotherapy for bulky cervical carcinoma [13,14]. O'Quin and cols published special recommendations for hysterectomy following RT for bulky endocervical carcinoma [15], but more recent randomized and retrospective studies have failed to demonstrate better local control or survival with such combined modality [3,16-18] and therefore RT→S remains controversial.

Several factors have been associated with prognosis for patients with cervical cancer treated with RT followed by surgery: performance status, age, tumor size, FIGO stage, residual tumor, histology, and nodal status [4,6,17,19]. There is no consensus on whether or not the presence of residual tumor on hysterectomy specimens is related to better survival and local control [4,6,17,19-21]. Few studies have evaluated the role of external beam radiation therapy and brachytherapy with high dose rate (HDRB) as a preoperative modality.

We performed a retrospective study to analyze the pathologic response and to relate it to survival in patients with early stage cervical carcinoma (most initial IIB) submitted to EBRT and HDRB following hysterectomy.

Materials and methods
Patients from December 1992 to December 2001, 67 patients with invasive cervical cancer were submitted in a single institution to hysterectomy following preoperative radiotherapy with external beam irradiation and high dose rate brachytherapy. Chemotherapy was not administered to any of them. Median age was 46 years (range 22–72). Squamous cell carcinoma was the histological type in 56 patients (84%); adenocarcinoma in 9 (13%); and 2 patients (3%) had other histologies. Clinical staging of the tumor was defined after clinical history and physical examination performed at least by one gynecology oncologist surgeon and one radiation oncologist. According to the 1995 FIGO staging system 65 patients (97%) were "early" IIB (less than 1/3 proximal parametral involvement), 1 (1.5%) was IIA and 1 (1.5%) was IB "bulky". All patients were submitted to cystoscopy, rectosigmoidoscopy, routine blood count, and biochemical profile and chest radiography. Abdominal-pelvic tomography was not routinely used until 1996, when it was incorporated to our staging routine for all patients. Patients' characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Radiation therapy
all patients received preoperative treatment with EBRT and reduced dose HDRB. Treatment with EBRT was delivered with 4 or 6 mV linear accelerators. Patients were treated in prone position with 45 Gy in a four-field "box" technique to the whole pelvis. All fields were treated daily. Fractionation was 1.8 Gy per day five times per week. Median dose with EBRT was 45 Gy (range 29–45 Gy) and mean dose was 44.5 Gy. None of the patients received parametrial boost.

After the second week of pelvic irradiation all patients were submitted to a physical examination in order to evaluate the anatomical and geometrical conditions for brachytherapy, and whenever possible, high dose rate brachytherapy (HDRB) was started during EBRT. Intracavitary treatment (HDRB) was delivered with Fletcher after-loading applicators with an Iridium-192 source (IR-192) with a nominal activity of 10 Ci. Proposed dose to point A was delivered in two weekly insertions of 6 Gy. The median dose of brachytherapy to point A was 12 Gy (range 6–15 Gy) and the mean point A dose was 11.8 Gy.

According to the beliefs of the assistant physician, 11 patients with residual tumor on cervix and no positive margin on surgical specimens were submitted to postoperative vaginal vault HDRB with 12 Gy (2 fractions of 6 Gy) prescribed on the vaginal surface. Two other patients who presented cervical complete pathological response

| Table 1: Patient and treatment characteristics. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Median | Range |
|---|---|
| Age | 46 | 22 – 72 |
| EBRT – Gy | 45 | 29 – 45 |
| HDRB – Gy | 12 | 6 – 15 |
| Radiotherapy duration – days | 42 | 27 – 108 |
| Delay to surgery – days | 82 | 45 – 182 |
| Absolute number % |
| Histological type | |
| Squamous cell carcinoma | 56 | 84% |
| Adenocarcinoma | 9 | 13% |
| Other | 2 | 3 |
| FIGO – Clinical stage | |
| IB2 | 1 | 1.5% |
| IIA | 1 | 1.5% |
| IIB | 65 | 97% |
| Pelvic lymphadenectomy | 54 | 81% |
were also submitted to vaginal vault HDRB. The median time to complete both EBRT and HDRB was 42 days (range 27–108), and the mean time was 45 days.

**Surgery**
The surgical procedure was carried out in a median time of 82 days (45 – 182) after the preoperative RT course (including the preoperative HDRB insertions). The procedure consisted of radical hysterectomy plus bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy – Piver II type. Fifty-four patients (81%) underwent selective pelvic lymph node dissection.

**Pathologic examination**
Pathologic response was evaluated in the surgical specimens according the presence of residual tumor on the cervix, paracervical tissues and pelvic lymph nodes. Complete pathologic response (CPR) was defined as total absence of residual disease.

**Analysis of recurrent sites**
Treatment failure was classified as local recurrence when it occurred in cervix, paracervical tissues or vaginal vault. Whereas, local-regional recurrence when it occurred inside the pelvis. Distant metastasis was defined as any recurrence outside the pelvis.

**Statistical analysis**
The chi-square test was performed to evaluate significance of variables. Kaplan-Meier test was used to calculate overall and specific survival. Univariate analysis was assessed using the log-rank-test.

**Analysis of complications**
Complications were recorded for bladder, ureter, small bowel, and rectum. All acute and late complications were scored according to the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) scale.

**Results**
Median follow-up time was 72 months (range 4 – 151). Two patients (3%) were lost to follow-up. At the end of this data collection, 41 patients (61%) were alive, of whom 39 had no evidence of disease. Sixteen patients (23%) died of cancer and 8 patients (12%) died of other causes. Five-year overall survival (OS) was 65%, and 5-year cause-specific survival (CSS) was 75% (Fig. 1a).

Local-regional recurrence occurred in 7 patients (10% – 3 local and 4 regional) and distant metastasis developed in 15 patients (22%). Five-year disease free survival (DFS), Local control, local-regional control and distant control were 75%, 95%, 90% and 79% (Fig. 1b).

Twenty-seven patients (40%) achieved pelvic complete pathological response (pCPR) – no residual tumor on any pathological specimen (cervix, parametrium and lymph nodes, if available). Cervical complete pathological response (cCPR) was found in 29 patients (43%). Parametrial CPR was achieved in all 65 patients with clinical parametrial involvement.

Five-year DFS was higher for patients who achieved pCPR (88% vs. 65%, p = 0.03). Also there was an advantage in

---

**Figure 1**
(a) Overall survival (OS) in 67 cervix cancer patients submitted to preoperative radiotherapy. (b) Disease free survival (DFS) of 67 patients submitted to preoperative radiotherapy.
5-year distant control (92% vs. 69%, p = 0.03), but no significant statistic difference in 5-year local-regional control (96% vs. 86%, p = 0.3) (Fig. 2). Five-year overall and cause-specific survival were better for patients who achieved pCPR (72% vs. 54%, p = 0.06; and 86% vs. 63% p = 0.02).

For 29 patients with cCPR no recurrences were seen while for 38 patients with residual cervical tumors 3 recurrences occurred. However, these numbers did not reach significant level (p = 0.2). The 5-year OS, DFS, and CSS were 67% vs. 57% (p = 0.25), 82% vs. 69% (p = 0.19), and 85% vs. 67% (p = 0.15).

For 11 patients with residual cervical tumors submitted postoperatively to vaginal vault HDRB there was one failure while for 27 patients with residual cervical cancer not submitted to postoperative HDRB there were 2 failures (10% vs. 7%; p = 0.97).

For the 54 patients submitted to lymphadenectomy (81% of the cohort) the median and mean number of lymph nodes dissected were 8 and 10 nodes respectively. Positive lymph node involvement (N+) was found in 8 patients (15%). Of 22 cCPR patients there were 2 N+ while among 35 patients with residual disease on the cervix there were 6 N+ (10 vs. 17%, p = 0.46). Lymph node involvement was a strong predictor of prognosis. Five-year OS for N+ and N- patients was 37% vs. 71% (p = 0.01), and 5-year CSS for N+ and N- patients was 46% vs. 78% (p = 0.01). Also, the 5-year DFS (80% vs. 47%, p = 0.005), 5-year metastasis free survival (84% vs. 47%; p = 0.0008) was worse for N+ patients, but the postoperative N stage had no impact on local regional control (93% vs. 87%; p = 0.57).

Median duration of radiotherapy was 42 days (range 27–108), and there was no significant statistic correlation between delay of irradiation and pathologic response on prognosis.

Patients underwent surgery after a median interval after radiotherapy of 82 days (range 45–182). When surgery was performed earlier than 80 days there were significantly less pCPR (22% vs. 57%; p = 0.003), and cCPR (28% vs. 57%; p = 0.017).

Age and histological type were not associated with prognosis or with better pathological response. (p = 0.3 and 0.14 respectively).

According to the RTOG morbidity scale there were 12% grade 3 or 4 late genitourinary and 4.5% late gastrointestinal sequelae. Table 2 shows the crude incidence of gastrointestinal and genitourinary complications.

**Discussion**

In the late 60’s Durrance and cols published their analysis of cervical cancer central recurrences from a retrospective study conducted in the MDACC. They showed that after radical radiotherapy the incidence of central recurrences was higher in patients with bulky or barrel-shaped disease, and that local control could be improved with post irradiation hysterectomy. However, they have included patients with extensive parametrial disease [14]. In the mid 70’s Rutledge and cols published another study, from the same institution. This time excluding patients with massive tumors, and confirmed the concept that the addition of post irradiation surgery to bulky disease patients improved results in local control [13]. During this period, in Europe, Pilleron and cols used this modality of treatment published in the Institute Curie and showed worse local regional and distant control in patients with residual tumor after preoperative brachytherapy [22].

Based on these studies and in other smaller reports, Nelson and O’Quin introduced guidelines for hysterectomy after irradiation [15,23]. Several institutions around the world then adopted pre-operative irradiation as the standard treatment of bulky uterine cervical cancer and new conflicting data began to appear.

In the late 80’s the first drawback came when Perez and cols published a prospective randomized trial and described comparable results with either surgery following radiotherapy or radiotherapy alone [24]. Perez had shown in previews retrospective articles the same results against the use of surgery after irradiation [3,4,18].

In a Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG 84/20) and Gynecology Oncology Group (GOG) prospective randomized trial comparing radiation therapy followed or not by extra-facial hysterectomy there was a reduction in pelvic recurrence and an increase in progression free survival for patients submitted to surgery after irradiation. Residual disease on cervical specimen was a strong predictor of disease progression and death [6].

In Brazil, a country with a high incidence of cervical cancer, pre-operative treatment is a common approach recommended by gynecologist surgeons. In part, due to the idea that sexual function could be improved with surgery [25].

Our study showed that pelvic radiotherapy followed by high dose rate brachytherapy and hysterectomy yield a 5-year OS of 63% and CSS of 73%. These results are similar to our own experience with exclusive RT and to other published data from other institutions [5,26-28].
Figure 2
Survival in cervix cancer patients submitted to preoperative radiotherapy according to pathological pelvic response. (a) Disease free survival. (b) Local-regional control. (c) Metastasis tree survival. (pCPR: pelvic complete pathological response)
Table 2: Crude incidence of toxicity according to the RTOG criteria.

| Grade | 0      | 1      | 2      | 3      | 4      |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Genitourinary tract | Acute  | 57(85%) | 5(7.5%) | 5(75%) | 0      | 0      |
|        | Late   | 53(79%) | 2(3%)   | 4(6%)  | 4(6%)  | 4(6%)  |
| Gastrointestinal Tract | Acute  | 37(55%) | 18(27%) | 12(18%) | 0      | 0      |
|        | Late   | 57(85%) | 5(7.5%) | 2(3%)  | 2(3%)  | 1(1.5%) |
CPR was predictive of higher local control it may be important to determine the best interval between RT and S to achieve the best results regarding local control.

Also of great importance is the fact that for exclusive radiotherapy the total time to complete the course of treatment is determinant of outcome as shown by Ferrigno and cols [26]. Considering that patients who receive EBRT and reduced dose HDRB are supposed to undergo surgery the coordination between the radiation oncologist and the surgeon is fundamental. If the patient for any reason is deemed surgery she has to complete the adequate dose of HDRB in the proper length of time.

Of note is the fact that the present study has not used LDRBT, but only HDRB. Labin and cols [35] studied pathological response following LDRB and found different response rates for small variations in dose rate employed. In a next study we intend to compare pathological response between LDRB and HDRB and relate it to their biological equivalence.

Conclusion

Time allowed between RT and surgery correlated with pathological response. Pelvic pathological response was associated with improved outcome. Postoperative additional HDRB did not improve therapeutic results. Treatment was well tolerated.
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