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ABSTRACT

Background: Adjuncts used clinically to improve the efficacy of Pelvic floor muscle training (PFMT) include electromyographic biofeedback (EB) and electrical stimulation (ES).

Aim: The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of PFMT on patients’ quality of life and sexual function in UI treatment. Different PFMT with different EB + ES treatments were designed in this study. In order to distinguish between 10 minutes of electrical stimulation at the beginning of PFMT treatment to fully arouse the patient’s body response and then Kegel training, or one electric stimulation and a Kegel action, which is better. For this purpose, we designed two different treatment groups: 10ES-20EB and 15ES-15EB. Whether changing pelvic floor treatment regimen can better improve quality of life and sexual function in female patients with urinary incontinence?

Methods: Patients diagnosed urinary incontinence (UI) were from January 2020 to April 2021 at our Hospital, Jiangsu Province.

Outcome: Primary outcome including I-QOL and PISQ-12, and secondary outcome including measurements of pelvic floor musculature by glazer method were compared before and after treatment in both groups.

Results: 78 patients were enrolled including 37 cases in 10ES-20EB group and 41 in 15ES-15EB group. Both groups made similar gains in quality of life and sexual function. There was no statistical difference between the two groups in Glazer total score and I-QOL and PISQ-12 questionnaire results. However, 15ES-15EB significantly improved the flick contractions average peak more than the 10ES-20EB group (P < 0.05).

Clinical Implications: Pelvic floor muscle training is a commonly recommended physical therapy treatment that has been shown to improve the outcome of UI. Comparison of the main observation indicators I-QOL and PISQ-12 before and after treatment between the two groups have good clinical significance

Strengths and Limitations: The sample is single, the sample size is small, and the participating patients are all from the same hospital.

Conclusions: These two different pelvic floor muscle treatment (10ES-20EB and 15ES-15EB) were examined and found to may improve the quality of life and sexual function of women with UI. Wang Y, Chen W, Li W. To Compare the Effects of two Pelvic Floor Muscle Treatments on Quality of Life and Sexual Function in Female Patients With Urinary Incontinence. Sex Med 2022;10:100561.
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INTRODUCTION

Women are twice as likely to suffer from UI than men, with reported prevalence rates ranging between 25% and 45%, depending on the population and study design. 1,2 The development of UI in women is typically related to pregnancy, childbirth, menopause, or old age. 3 This condition can have serious
effects on the patient’s quality of life and can alter their private, social and professional lifestyle. In a study conducted by Dutkiewicz and Kapusta on a group of 60 women, 91.7% of the participants reported a change in lifestyle and a decrease in social contacts, while 65% reported feelings of shame related to their condition.

Sexual function problems in women with urination Female UI patients with abnormal sexual function are common and may be related to anatomical abnormalities, as well as psychological and emotional factors. Women with UI often leak urine and smell during sex. Some female UI patients have recurrent vaginitis and cystitis, vaginal dryness and atrophy, as well as dyspareunia and pain during sexual intercourse. Such symptoms have been associated with a decrease in the frequency of coitus and sexual quietus, in almost 50% of women with UI. Another explanation is that urine overflow may affect the pH of the normally acidic vagina, disrupt the normal flora, cause vaginal dryness and vaginal lubrication dysfunction, and cause dyspareunia and pain.

Managing UI starts with behavioral changes that include bladder training and timed voiding, as well as lifestyle changes such as weight loss, increased activity, smoking cessation and limiting alcohol and caffeine intake. Smoking cessation can relieve symptoms in patients with frequent urination. Nicotine, as a stimulant, is considered a risk factor for urgency urinary incontinence (UUI). For overweight or obese UI women, weight loss can make a big difference in improving symptoms and related troubles. The study showed that those who participated in the weight-loss program lost at least 3 to 5 percent of their body weight, reduced their stress incontinence episodes by 47 percent, and reduced their urge incontinence episodes.

Although medication and surgery are treatment options for patients with UI, typically the first-line therapy for UI is pelvic floor muscle training (PFMT) with or without adjuncts like electromyographic biofeedback (EB) or electrical stimulation (ES). PFMT is a commonly recommended physical therapy treatment that has been shown to improve the outcome of UI. PFMT increases the contractility of the pelvic floor muscles and the strength, coordination, speed and endurance to maintain the pelvic floor muscles, keep the bladder elevated when the intraabdominal pressure rises, keep the urethra closed adequately, and support and stabilize the pelvic organ. Electromyographic biofeedback uses a vaginal probe to capture the electrical activity of the pelvic floor muscles, which is displayed on a screen. Used in tandem with PFMT, electromyographic biofeedback aims to facilitate teaching of the correct contraction technique and home exercise programs. Additionally, biofeedback allows women to visualize the activity of their pelvic floor muscles while exercising, potentially motivating them, and enhancing adherence to the prescribed exercises. Electromyographic biofeedback has become an increasingly popular method of UI treatment, at the same time teaching women self-awareness of their bodies and the physiological processes taking place in them. Pelvic floor ES is one of the first-line conservative treatments for female urinary incontinence. Electrical stimulation prior to biofeedback can elicit the patient’s proprioceptive response. Terlikowski et al. proposed the use of transvaginal electrostimulation with biofeedback for the treatment of UI, creating a safe method that should be carried out on a daily basis for 3–6 months to maintain improved PFM strength. Herein, we examine two different PMFT protocols with utilize at ES and EB in UI treatment and show their effect on pelvic floor muscle performance, quality of life and sexual function. During PFMT, there was no equipment for EMG examination and this examination was not performed.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Design and Subjects**

This study was a retrospective analysis of 78 female outpatients from January 2020 to April 2021 at the Pelvic Floor Treatment Center of our Hospital. All participants with the following criteria were excluded from this study: (1) vaginal bleeding (uncleanness of lochia postpartum or menstruation), (2) synchronous pacemaker, (3) vaginal stenosis (such as severe vaginal scar, vaginal atrophy), (4) recent pelvic floor surgery (within one month), (5) pelvic and abdominal malignancy, and (6) nervous system diseases that prevent patients from actively cooperating with the treatment. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of our Hospital (No. 2021-007).

The primary outcome measures of this study included I-QOL and PISQ-12, and the secondary outcome measures included glazer method for measuring pelvic floor muscle. According to the I-QOL scale, a parallel controlled clinical trial design was adopted, which was divided into the 10ES-20EB group and the 15ES-15EB group. It is assumed that the probability of class I error in this study $\alpha=0.05$ and the degree of certainty $(1-\beta) =90\%$, and the two groups of samples are not equal. In this study, it is planned to include $n=37$ in the 10ES-20EB group and $n=41$ in the 15ES-15EB group.

**Diagnosis of UI**

All patients are clinically classified into the three types of UI based on their symptoms by an experienced physician. IUGA/ICS defines the three main types of UI in women as: stress urinary incontinence (SUI), urgency urinary incontinence (UUI), and mixed urinary incontinence (MUI). SUI is defined as the involuntary loss of urine during sneezing, coughing, or other types of physical effort. UUI is characterized by an involuntary loss of urine related with urgent conditions; MUI is the mixture of stress and urge UI.

Participants were patients with degree 1 to 2 UI as measured by the Ingelman-Sundberg scale. Degree 1: Incontinence occurs when coughing and sneezing and does not require the use of a pad; Degree 2: urinary incontinence occurs when running, jumping, walking and other daily activities, requiring the use of
urinary pads; Degree 3: urinary incontinence occurs when slight activity or recumbent position changes.23

Lack or insufficiency of pelvic floor muscle function is the main cause of SUI.24 UUI is caused by overactivity of the bladder detrusor muscle, which affects the proper storage of urine. The underlying cause is multifactorial, including abnormal bladder receptors, peripheral and central innervation, pelvic floor muscles, and behavioral factors.25 Biofeedback can increase the patient's perception of pelvic floor muscle contraction and correct correct muscle contraction pattern.) The American Urological Association (AUA) recommends that lifestyle changes in patients with UUI, combined with pelvic floor muscle training (PFMT), be the first-line treatment for UUI.26

Typical Hospital ES and EB Assisted Sessions

All participants were randomly assigned to one of two different treatment regimens. The treatment of the participants was determined by the treating physician, who was the same person who diagnosed them. Each participant went through 10 30-minute physician-directed sessions in the hospital and sessions were separated by at least 48 hours. Participants were educated on the female pelvic floor anatomy and the pathogenesis of urinary incontinence prior to treatment.

Therapeutic apparatus brand model: PHENIX USB4; Manufacture: ELECTRONIC CONCEPT LIGNON INNOVATION; Place of origin: France. In the treatment menu of the therapeutic instrument, there are treatment modes suitable for various pelvic floor dysfunction diseases, which are selected by the therapist according to the diagnosis and condition of the patient. Pelvic floor ES and EB were performed in every session with an intra-vaginal electrode. All patients were grouped into 10ES-20EB and 15ES-15EB respectively according to the different protocols they received.

Protocol with 10 min ES plus 20 min EB (name as 10ES-20EB): Patients who was treated with electrical stimulation for 10 minutes to fully arouse the proprioceptive response of the pelvic floor muscles. According to the instructions of the therapeutic instrument, electrical stimulation was performed on type I muscle with the frequency of 8-33Hz and pulse width of 320-740us, and on Type II muscle with frequency of 20-80Hz and pulse width of 20-320us. The current waveform is a two-phase rectangular wave and the current is an alternating current. Specific stimulation range is based on whether the patient feels the pelvic floor muscles have a tightening sense, and within the patient's maximum tolerance value and biofeedback treatment of type I and type II muscles on the pelvic floor was performed in the remaining 20 minutes. Type I and type II muscles were exercised for 10 minutes each. In this study, the frequency and pulse width of the current used to treat type I and type II muscles were adjusted according to the parameters set by the device. In the future, how to select the frequency and pulse width of the current and the related principles will be further studied.

Protocol with 15 min ES, low frequency, and high pulse width (name as 15ES-15EB): Patients who received electrical stimulation before each biofeedback, also to evoke the body response of the patient's muscles. The frequency of electrical stimulation for type I muscles and type II muscles was the same as 10ES-20EB. Type I and type II muscles work for 15 minutes each.

Conventional Family Training and Bladder Training

Each participant was assigned home workouts, with a total of 150 Kegel workouts done at home each day in 2-3 sessions. In general, participants are advised to train the two muscle types equally. If the biofeedback results indicate that a muscle type is weak, they tend to increase the training of that muscle type. Before each visit, the doctors were briefed on the participants' family training. Each time participants came for treatment, the treating physician asked participants about their training at home, and pelvic floor muscle strength assessments were performed at the beginning and end of treatment to assess changes in muscle strength. After the treatment, the deficiencies were pointed out according to the biofeedback graph of the participants, and the content of family training was adjusted. Because Chinese patients do not have the habit of keeping training diaries, and patients in this age group have limited education, they do not keep training diaries. Moreover, Chinese women in this age group not only have to do social work but also do most of the housework, leaving little time for Kegel's training, so the results of family training are mostly not satisfactory to the therapists.

Bladder training is used to correct urination habits. Bladder training may be a more effective way of dealing with the symptoms of frequency and nocturia than any of these therapies alone. In order to fully understand the therapeutic effect of PFMT on UI, none of the enrolled patients received drug therapy. Patients were advised to limit caffeine intake as it may diminish the symptoms of urgency and frequency.27

Data Collection and Definition

The following baseline characteristics were collected and analyzed: diagnosis, BMI, age, education level, spouse's education level, marital length, job nature, family monthly income, living environment, menarche, menstrual cycle, menstrual period, mother or sister's history of urinary incontinence, history of surgery, history of vaginal delivery.

Data of Quality of Life Questionnaire (I-QOL)28 and Urinary Incontinence Sexual Function Questionnaire (PISQ-12) were collected. There were 22 I-QOL questions and 12 PISQ-12 questions, which were scored according to the participants' chief complaints. In addition to the I-QOL total score, the subscales were assessed in the areas of restricted behavior, psychosocial impact and social embarrassment. In addition, PISQ-12 has three domains: behavior, emotion, and partner-related. All questionnaires and personal information were kept strictly
confidential, and the participants’ answers were used only to inform the doctor of key questions about the participants’ sex life.29 These two scales were completed by the treating physician. The treatment duration is generally 22 days. If the patient has menstruation during the treatment period, the treatment needs to be suspended, and the total treatment time will be extended.

Each participant was evaluated for pelvic floor muscle strength by Glazer assessment 30 before treatment and again after treatment to understand changes in pelvic floor muscle strength. Improvements in quality of life and sexual function in UI patients are associated with improvements in pelvic floor muscle strength. Glazer can objectively reflect changes in pelvic floor muscle strength. The Glazer score objectively reflected the status of the pelvic floor muscles of the participants.

Statistical Analysis
Continuous variables were reported as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Comparisons between groups were performed using t-test for continuous variables and the chi-square test for categorical variables. Confidence interval which was 95% and p < 0.05 were considered significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) version 21.0.

RESULTS
There was no significant difference between the 10ES-20EB and the 15ES-15EB groups in baseline characteristics, such as body mass index (BMI), age, the number of vaginal deliveries, type of UI diagnosis, and cultural background. However, there was a statistically significant difference between the two groups for living environments, history of UI in the mother or sister, and the nature of work (Table 1).

In this study, the initial values of each dependent variable did not differ statistically between groups. There was no significant difference between the 10ES-20EB group and the 15ES-15EB group in the three domains of limited behavior, psychosocial impact and social embarrassment in I-QOL scale before treatment (Table 2). According to I-QOL score of 10ES-20EB group before and after treatment, the quality of life of patients was improved (P<.05). In the 10ES-20EB group, there were improvements in the three domains of limited behavior, psychosocial impact and social embarrassment (P<.05) (Table 4). In the 15ES-15EB group, changes in I-QOL score before and after treatment also showed improvement in patient’s quality of life (P<.05), and improvement in the three domains of behavioral restriction, psychosocial impact and social embarrassment (P<.05) (Table 5). The mean I-QOL scores of patients in the two groups showed no significant difference in the treatment results (13.54±11.29 vs. 15.66±11.09, P=.406), and no significant difference in the treatment results in the three domains of behavioral restriction, social psychological impact and social embarrassment between the two groups (Table 6).

There were participants who denied sexual history in both groups. According to statistics, 34 people in the 10ES-20EB group and 37 people in the 15ES-15EB group participated in filling in the PISQ-12 scale. There was no significant difference between the 10ES-20EB group and the 15ES-15EB group in emotion, behavior and partner relationship in PISQ-12 scale before treatment (Table 3). The total score of PISQ-12 scale showed that the sexual life quality of the 10ES-20EB group was improved (P<.05) (Table 7). and the emotion and behavior were significantly improved (P<.05), with statistically significant differences. But there was no significant improvement in partner relationship (P=.05) (Table 7). According to the total score of PISQ-12 scale, the sexual life quality of the 15ES-15EB group was improved, including significant improvement in emotion and behavior (P<.05), and the differences were statistically significant. But there was no significant improvement in partner relationship (P=.05) (Table 8). There was no significant difference in the mean difference of the scores of the two groups for PISQ-12 (3.38±2.34 vs. 2.92±3.22 P=.493) for groups 10ES-20EB and 15ES-15EB. Respectively, there was no statistical significance in the effect of PISQ-12 on emotion, behavior and partner relationship in 10ES-20EB group and 15ES-15EB group (Table 9).

There was no statistically significant difference between the effect of the two protocols on the mean difference of the total glazer scores (7.83 ± 7.54 vs. 9.18 ± 9.66, P = .5) (Table 10).

DISCUSSION
It is well documented that lifestyle and intimacy are markedly affected by UI. Both groups reported significant improvement in quality of life and sexual function through the I-QOL and PISQ-12 questionnaires. The Glazer method was used to measure pelvic floor muscular performance in type I and II muscles. Patients in both groups saw significant improvement in pelvic muscle performance for tonic contractions and endurance, however only the 15ES-15EB group showed an improvement in flick contractions.

Increased pelvic floor muscle strength supports the urethra, prevents urinary leakage during physical activity31 and may also inhibit detrusor contraction during urgent urinary incontinence.32 Evidence suggests that daily pelvic floor muscle training for 3 months is safe and effective, with an estimated improvement in symptoms in 40-60% of women with urinary incontinence.33 Pelvic floor muscle training comprises the repetitive timed contraction and relaxation of pelvic muscles to improve the endurance, strength, and relaxation of the musculature in that region. The gains in urinary control can significantly
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improve patients’ lives as the effect of UI on the patient’s quality of life is multidimensional, with serious implications in health issues such as increased risk of infections, but also major effects on the psychological and social aspects of the patient’s life. In this study, the quality of life of UI patients in both groups was improved compared with that before treatment, and the following three domains were improved: behavioral restriction, psychological impact, and social embarrassment.

### Table 1. Comparison of baseline characteristics (The general information of the patient is given at the beginning of treatment)

| Variable                        | (n=78) | 10ES-20EB (n=37) | 15ES-15EB (n=41) | T (X²) | P value |
|---------------------------------|--------|------------------|------------------|--------|---------|
| **BMI**                         | 23.38 ± 3.23 | 23.83 ± 3.17 | 22.97 ± 3.27 | 1.174  | 0.244   |
| **Age (years)**                 | 48.50 ± 10.36 | 48.22 ± 8.895 | 48.76 ± 11.625 | -0.228 | 0.82    |
| **Marital length (years)**      | 24.40 ± 11.58 | 24.59 ± 10.524 | 24.22 ± 12.579 | 0.142  | 0.887   |
| **Gain weight during childbirth (Kg)** | 9.48 ± 5.26 | 9.92 ± 5.30 | 9.09 ± 5.26 | 0.696  | 0.488   |
| **Fetal weight (Kg)**           | 3.27 ± 0.50   | 3.27 ± 0.433 | 3.26 ± 0.56 | 0.137  | 0.891   |
| **The living environment**      |        |                  |                  |        |         |
| Lives in town                   | 57 (73.08%) | 28 (75.68%) | 29 (70.73%) | 9.761  | 0.021   |
| Lives in the country            | 13 (16.67%) | 4 (10.81%) | 9 (21.95%) |        |         |
| Migrant worker                  | 8 (10.26%)  | 5 (13.51%) | 3 (7.32%)  |        |         |
| **Mother and sister have urinary incontinence** |        |                  |                  |        |         |
| Don’t know                      | 11 (14.1%)  | 8 (21.6%) | 3 (7.3%) | 18.607 | <0.001  |
| No                              | 51 (65.4%)  | 23 (62.2%) | 28 (68.3%) |        |         |
| Yes                             | 16 (20.5%)  | 6 (16.2%) | 10 (24.4%) |        |         |
| **Number of vaginal deliveries**|        |                  |                  |        |         |
| 0                               | 12 (15.38%) | 7 (18.92%) | 5 (12.20%) | 1.532  | 0.821   |
| 1                               | 48 (61.54%) | 22 (59.46%) | 26 (63.41%) |        |         |
| 2                               | 15 (19.23%) | 7 (18.92%) | 8 (19.51%) |        |         |
| 3                               | 2 (2.56%)   | 1 (2.70%) | 1 (2.44%) |        |         |
| 4                               | 1 (1.28%)   | 0 (0.00%) | 1 (2.44%) |        |         |
| **Diagnosis**                   |        |                  |                  |        |         |
| MUI                             | 4 (5.13%)   | 0 (0.00%) | 4 (9.76%) | 5.386  | 0.068   |
| UUI                             | 23 (29.49%) | 9 (24.32%) | 14 (34.15%) |        |         |
| SUI                             | 51 (65.38%) | 28 (75.68%) | 23 (56.10%) |        |         |
| **Whether menopause**           |        |                  |                  |        |         |
| Yes                             | 54 (69.23%) | 32 (86.49%) | 22 (53.66%) | 9.839  | 0.002   |
| No                              | 24 (30.77%) | 5 (13.51%) | 19 (46.34%) |        |         |
| **Cultural background**         |        |                  |                  |        |         |
| Master’s degree                 | 2 (2.56%)   | 1 (2.70%) | 1 (2.44%) | 2.016  | 0.959   |
| Undergraduate course            | 6 (7.69%)   | 2 (5.41%) | 4 (9.76%) |        |         |
| College                         | 13 (16.67%) | 5 (13.51%) | 8 (19.51%) |        |         |
| High school                     | 10 (12.82%) | 4 (10.81%) | 6 (14.63%) |        |         |
| Technical secondary school      | 3 (3.85%)   | 2 (5.41%) | 1 (2.44%) |        |         |
| Junior high school              | 31 (39.74%) | 16 (43.24%) | 15 (36.59%) |        |         |
| Primary school                  | 11 (14.10%) | 6 (16.22%) | 5 (12.20%) |        |         |
| Illiteracy                      | 2 (2.56%)   | 1 (2.70%) | 1 (2.44%) |        |         |
| **Whether there is a laceration in the perineum** |        |                  |                  |        |         |
| Don’t know                      | 1 (1.28%)   | 0 (0.00%) | 1 (2.44%) | 1.064  | 0.587   |
| No                              | 61 (78.21%) | 30 (81.08%) | 31 (75.61%) |        |         |
| Yes                             | 16 (20.51%) | 7 (18.92%) | 9 (21.95%) |        |         |
| **First delivery mode**         |        |                  |                  |        |         |
| Cesarean section was delivered directly before labor | 10 (12.82%) | 5 (13.51%) | 5 (12.20%) | 2.346  | 0.309   |
| Vaginal delivery                | 66 (84.62%) | 30 (81.08%) | 36 (87.80%) |        |         |
| Vaginal delivery midway to cesarean section | 2 (2.56%)   | 2 (5.41%) | 0 (0.00%) |        |         |
| **Nature of work**              |        |                  |                  |        |         |
| Housework                       | 21 (26.92%) | 19 (51.35%) | 2 (4.88%) | 24.679 | <0.001  |
| Mental labor and manual labor   | 14 (17.95%) | 5 (13.51%) | 9 (21.95%) |        |         |
| Mental work                     | 31 (39.74%) | 12 (32.43%) | 19 (46.34%) |        |         |
| Manual labor                    | 12 (15.38%) | 1 (2.70%) | 11 (26.83%) |        |         |
Table 2. Comparison of I-QOL scale scores between 10ES-20EB group and 15ES-15EB group before treatment

| Measurements               | 10ES-20EB (n=37) | 15ES-15EB (n=41) | Mean difference | P Value |
|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Restricted behavior        | 32.81 ± 5.75     | 30.95 ± 5.26     | 1.86            | 0.140   |
| Psychosocial impact        | 39.81 ± 4.41     | 37.73 ± 6.39     | 2.08            | 0.102   |
| Social embarrassment       | 19.62 ± 3.00     | 19.59 ± 5.21     | 0.04            | 0.970   |
| Total score                | 92.24 ± 11.47    | 88.27 ± 14.64    | 3.97            | 0.189   |

Table 3. Comparison of PISQ-12 scale scores between 10ES-20EB group and 15ES-15EB group before treatment

| Measurements    | 10ES-20EB (n=34) | 15ES-15EB (n=37) | Mean difference | P Value |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Emotion         | 8.00 ± 2.20      | 7.62 ± 3.41      | 0.38            | 0.584   |
| Behavior        | 18.21 ± 2.33     | 17.22 ± 2.07     | 0.99            | 0.062   |
| Partner-related | 9.24 ± 1.21      | 8.84 ± 0.93      | 0.40            | 0.123   |
| Total score     | 35.44 ± 4.47     | 33.68 ± 4.88     | 1.77            | 0.117   |

Table 4. Comparison of treatment before and after 10ES-20EB I-QOL scale

| Measurements               | Before treatment | After treatment | Mean difference | P Value |
|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Restricted behavior        | 32.81 ± 5.75     | 38.19 ± 1.81    | −5.38           | <0.001  |
| Psychosocial impact        | 39.81 ± 4.42     | 43.97 ± 1.40    | −4.16           | <0.001  |
| Social embarrassment       | 19.62 ± 3.00     | 23.62 ± 1.74    | −4              | <0.001  |
| Total score                | 92.24 ± 11.47    | 105.78 ± 3.74   | −13.54          | <0.001  |

Table 5. Comparison of treatment before and after 15ES-15EB I-QOL scale

| Measurements               | Before treatment | After treatment | Mean difference | P Value |
|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Restricted behavior        | 30.95 ± 5.26     | 36.98 ± 3.57    | −6.02           | <0.001  |
| Psychosocial impact        | 37.73 ± 6.39     | 43.49 ± 2.70    | −6.76           | <0.001  |
| Social embarrassment       | 19.59 ± 5.21     | 23.46 ± 2.61    | −3.88           | <0.001  |
| Total score                | 88.27 ± 14.64    | 103.93 ± 7.94   | −15.66          | <0.001  |

Table 6. Comparison of efficacy evaluation of I-QOL scale between 10ES-20EB and 15ES-15EB

| Measurements               | 10ES-20EB (n=37) | 15ES-15EB (n=41) | Mean difference | P Value |
|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Restricted behavior        | 5.38 ± 5.49      | 6.02 ± 4.93      | −0.65           | 0.586   |
| Psychosocial impact        | 4.16 ± 4.09      | 5.76 ± 4.77      | −1.59           | 0.119   |
| Social embarrassment       | 4.00 ± 3.20      | 3.88 ± 4.64      | 0.12            | 0.894   |
| Total score                | 13.54 ± 11.29    | 15.66 ± 11.09    | −2.12           | 0.406   |

Table 7. Efficacy evaluation and analysis of PISQ-12 scale in 10ES-20EB group

| Measurements    | Before treatment | After treatment | Mean difference | P Value |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Emotion         | 8.00 ± 2.20      | 9.24 ± 2.10     | −1.24           | <0.001  |
| Behavior        | 18.21 ± 2.33     | 19.82 ± 1.64    | −1.62           | <0.001  |
| Partner-related | 9.24 ± 1.21      | 9.76 ± 1.08     | −0.53           | 0.005   |
| Total score     | 35.44 ± 4.47     | 38.82 ± 3.21    | −3.38           | <0.001  |
A quarter of incontinence women report that urinary leakage impairs their sexual function, and urinary incontinence may adversely affect the quality of their sexual life. The causes of female sexual dysfunction are multifactorial, involving physical, social and psychological aspects. Sexual function can be described as four stages: desire, arousal, orgasm, and sexual satisfaction. Dysfunction may affect any of these areas and may be organic, psychological, mixed, or of unknown etiology. UI patients were lack of desire, and the fear of leaking urine during intercourse may gradually lead to loss of confidence and self-esteem, depression, as well as the elimination of libido and enhanced feelings of sexual aversion. Low libido and sexual arousal may come from psychological and emotional factors, not entirely anatomical ones, and the positive effects of PFMT on sexual function are mainly due to the relief of this painful condition. In this study, sexual function in both groups was significantly improved compared with before treatment. Participants' behavior and mood improved significantly, but sexual function and partner relationship did not improve significantly before and after treatment. Further efforts should be made in this aspect in future treatment.

The pathophysiological mechanism of urinary incontinence during intercourse is unclear, but some urodynamic studies have confirmed simultaneous bladder contraction and urethral relaxation during orgasm. The strengthening of pelvic floor muscles can cause spontaneous precontraction before and during orgasm, often referred to as "backsupport", which helps reduce urine overflow during intercourse. In our study, women who reported urinary leakage during sex experienced significant improvement with PFMT.

PFMT may improve sexual function, as the pelvic floor muscles are directly responsible for the amount of sensation a woman feels during intercourse, and for the amount of grip felt by her partner. Rhythmic contractions of the pelvic floor contribute to arousal and the ability to achieve orgasm for many women. In addition, exercise improves muscle tone and improves circulation, which is particularly important for the smaller muscles of the pelvic floor that are responsible for engorging the clitoris when women are aroused.

Our results indicate that by improving muscle strength and performance, women utilizing these protocols were better able to control UI, and as a result they experienced an improved quality of life and intimacy. Although both protocols under evaluation
proved efficacious, the protocol followed by the 15ES-15EB group may offer additional benefits in that greater muscular improvements were observed in tonic and flick contractions.

The limitations of this study are: (1) participants were enrolled from a tertiary hospital, (2) most patients suffered from mild symptoms, leaving little room for improvement and making it difficult to detect difference in symptom severity between groups, (3) the small sample size used in the study, and (4) the two groups examined in the study had statistically significant differences in baseline characteristics such as menopause and nature of work that might affect the results of study. (5) This study lacked an EMG assessment to verify whether PFM was altered. Therefore, the results should be interpreted with these limitations in mind.

CONCLUSION
In summary, two different pelvic floor muscle treatments (10ES-20EB and 15ES-15EB) were examined and found to be efficacious for improving the quality of life and sexual function of women with UI. According to the results of I-QOL and PISQ-12 scale, there was no significant difference in the efficacy of the two groups. Based on the results of the Glazer score, the 15ES-15EB group may offer additional benefits, but a larger sample size is needed to support this observation.
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