Enhanced CREB-dependent gene expression increases the excitability of neurons in the basal amygdala and primes the consolidation of contextual and cued fear memory
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Regulated expression of a constitutively active form of cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB), VP16-CREB, lowers the threshold for the late phase of long-term potentiation in the Schaffer collateral pathway in a de novo gene expression-independent manner, and increases the excitability and reduces afterhyperpolarization of neurons at the amygdala and the hippocampus. We explore the consequences of these changes on the consolidation of fear conditioning and find that the expression of VP16-CREB can bypass the requirement for de novo gene expression associated with long-term memory formation, suggesting that CREB-dependent gene expression is sufficient for fear memory consolidation.

[Supplemental material is available online at www.learnmem.org.]

Gene expression driven by the cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB) family of transcription factors, which is known to be important for memory storage in invertebrates, has also been proposed to play a role in regulating the conversion of different types of short-term memory to long-term memory in mammals (Josselyn and Nguyen 2005). However, the specific role of CREB on the consolidation of different forms of memory remains unclear. For example, although fear conditioning triggers CREB phosphorylation (Stanciu et al. 2001; Desmedt et al. 2003; Trifilieff et al. 2006) in the circuits that underlie the formation of fear memory, and CREB-driven gene expression in the amygdala and the hippocampus correlated with the formation of cued and contextual fear memories, respectively (Impey et al. 1998), the analysis of contextual conditioning in CREB-deficient mice has produced mixed results. Whereas some studies have found that CREB-deficient mutants exhibited impaired contextual fear (Bourtchuladze et al. 1994; Gass et al. 1998; Graves et al. 2002), others failed to reveal significant deficiencies in this task (Rammes et al. 2000; Pittenger et al. 2002; Balschun et al. 2003). Some of these discrepancies may have resulted from the fact that the expression of different members of the CREB family appears to be strongly co-regulated in the mouse, and, as a result, the expression of CREB might be compensated for by the altered expression of other family members (Blendy et al. 1996; Mantamadiotis et al. 2002). Subsequent studies designed to overcome the obscuring effects of compensation have yielded a more precise examination of the role of CREB in fear memory. Thus, the inducible and transient repression of CREB in forebrain neurons specifically blocked the consolidation of long-term fear memories (Kida et al. 2002), and experiments using recombinant herpes viruses have demonstrated that the acute overexpression of CREB in the amygdala facilitated the formation of fear memory (Josselyn et al. 2001; Wallace et al. 2004).

Here, we explore the role of CREB activity in fear memory formation using an approach complementary to previous gain- and loss-of-function studies. We investigate fear memory formation and the excitability of amygdalar neurons in a strain of bitransgenic animals, referred to as VP16-CREBhigh mice, which express in a regulated and restricted manner VP16-CREB, a CREB variant whose strong transactivation activity is independent of the signaling cascades that regulate wild-type CREB (Barco et al. 2002; Lopez de Armentia et al. 2007). Expression of a constitutively active CREB variant in forebrain neurons using the CaMKIIα-tTA/tetO system of double-transgenics (Mayford et al. 1996) provides a unique tool for evaluating the role of processes downstream of CREB and allows for the exploration of the sufficiency of CREB-dependent gene expression in fear memory. In all our experiments, we used as a control littermate mice carrying either pCaMKIIα-tTA, tetO-VP16-CREB, or no transgene. Bitransgenic mice were housed and maintained in the same conditions as their control littermates, in single-sex cages on a light:dark cycle (12/12 h) with food and water available ad libitum, according to National and Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines. Doxycycline (dox) was administrated in the food at a dose of 40 mg/kg and removed at the indicated times.

Although previous physiological studies in VP16-CREBhigh mice focused on the effects of the expression of VP16-CREB in CA1 pyramidal neurons (Barco et al. 2002; Lopez de Armentia et al. 2007) and cortical neurons of the visual cortex (Pham et al. 2004), VP16-CREB is also expressed in other neuronal populations, such as granular cells at the dentate gyrus and, to a lesser extent, neurons in the amygdala (Fig. 1A). Similar to pyramidal neurons in the CA1 subfield, neurons at the basal nucleus of the amygdala (BA) of VP16-CREBhigh mice showed enhanced expression of CREB target genes involved in synaptic plasticity and memory formation, such as the c-fos (Fig. 1B).

Our previous research demonstrated that enhanced CREB-mediated gene expression increased the intrinsic excitability of CA1 pyramidal neurons (Lopez de Armentia et al. 2007). To investigate whether similar changes in excitability also occur in the amygdala, we examined the firing pattern and membrane
Figure 1. VP16-CREB enhances CREB-dependent gene expression and intrinsic excitability in fear conditioning circuits. (A) (Left panel) Low-magnification image of brain vibratome coronal sections of a VP16-CREB-expressing mouse (1 wk off dox) immunostained using an antibody against the VP16 domain. (Right panel) High-magnification image shows the region framed in the left panel; (dotted circle) region of the basal nucleus of the amygdala in which the intracellular recordings were performed. Immunohistochemistry was performed as previously described (Barco et al. 2002). (B) Up-regulation of c-fos expression in the amygdala of VP16-CREBhigh mice. Double-labeling of neurons of control and VP16-CREB mice using anti-c-fos polyclonal antibody and anti-VP16 monoclonal antibody. Scale bar, 35 μm. (C) Dox was removed from the mouse diet when the mice were 6-wk old; 7–10 d later the animals were anesthetized with isoflurane and decapitated, and coronal slices that included the amygdala (300 μm) were processed as previously described (Lopez de Armentia et al. 2007). Patch-clamp pipettes were filled with intracellular solution containing 135 mM KMeSO4, 8 mM NaCl, 10 mM HEPES, 2 mM Mg2ATP, 0.3 mM Na2GTP (pH 7.2, osmolality 290 mOsm/kg). The panels show representative BA neuron response to 400 and 500 pA of depolarizing pulse in a control mouse (left panels) and a VP16-CREBhigh mouse (right panels). All recorded neurons had membrane potentials more negative than −50 mV. Access resistance was 7–20 MΩ and was monitored throughout the experiment. No series resistance compensation was used. (D) Average number of APs triggered in response to increasing depolarizing currents in neurons at the BA of the amygdala of VP16-CREBhigh mice (○, 8 neurons; five mice) and control littermates (●, 56 neurons, five mice) 10 d after dox removal. (E) Representative traces of currents underlying AHP in a BA neuron of a VP16-CREBhigh mouse (black) and a control littermate (gray). Averaged amplitude of the I_{low} (left panel) and the I_{sup} (right panel) of the same neurons represented in panel D. (Black bars) VP16-CREBhigh mice; (white bars) control littermates; (*) P < 0.05.

Table 1. Electrophysiological properties of neurons at the BA

|         | Em (mV) | Rm (MΩ) | τ (ms) | AP amplitude (mV) | AP50 (ms) | dV/dtmax (V/s) | Threshold (mV) |
|---------|---------|---------|--------|-------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| VP16-CREBhigh (n = 57, 5) | −69 ± 0.8 | 180 ± 16.9 | 30 ± 1.6 | 112 ± 0.7 | 1.30 ± 0.05 | 243 ± 6.1 | −32 ± 0.7 |
| Control (n = 56, 5) | −70 ± 0.8 | 147 ± 16.6 | 26 ± 1.5 | 111 ± 0.8 | 1.20 ± 0.03 | 248 ± 5.9 | −34 ± 0.6 |
| P-value | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 0.53 | 0.06 |

E_m: membrane potential; R_m: membrane resistance; τ: membrane time constant; AP: action potential; AP50: AP duration at 50% of amplitude; dV/dt max: maximum rate of depolarization.
concurrent alterations in neuronal physiology initially affected anxiety, locomotor activity, or motor coordination.

In contextual fear conditioning, the animal learns to fear a specific context (conditioned stimulus or CS) in which it received an electric foot shock (unconditioned stimulus or US), whereas in cued fear conditioning, the mice associate a specific tone (CS) with the electric shock. Memory was evaluated in both cases by measuring the percentage of time that the animal freezes when re-exposed to the CS. Since CREB overexpression has been shown to facilitate fear memory formation (Josselyn et al. 2001; Wallace et al. 2004; Han et al. 2008), in order to specifically assess whether VP16-CREB expression can prime memory formation, rendering its consolidation protein synthesis independent, we used a strong training protocol (0.7-mA foot shock) that elicited a similar and robust freezing response in bitransgenic animals and control siblings 24 h after training, both in the contextual (control vehicle: 70.86 ± 4.5%; VP16-CREBhigh [9 animals per group]: 63.86 ± 4.7%, VP16-CREBhigh [7 animals per group]: 62.33 ± 5.0%; 4.31%, VP16-CREBhigh [9 animals]; 63.89 ± 4.31%, VP16-CREBhigh [9 animals]; 6.0% versus anisomycin: 62.8 ± 4.9%, 34.2 ± 4.8%, 4.3% vs. anisomycin: 36.3 ± 6.0%, P = 0.001), but had no effect on VP16-CREBhigh mice (Fig. 2A; VP16-CREBhigh mice injected with vehicle: 70.3 ± 4.6% vs. anisomycin: 58.3 ± 5.5%, P = 0.608). Moreover, freezing in control and VP16-CREBhigh mice injected with anisomycin was significantly different (P = 0.006), and ANOVA revealed a significant genotype × treatment interaction (F(1.63) = 4.666, P = 0.035), confirming that anisomycin differentially affected contextual memory retention in control and VP16-CREBhigh mice.

Post-training injection of anisomycin also interfered with the consolidation of cued fear memory in wild-type animals (Fig. 2B; control mice vehicle: 73.3 ± 3.6% vs. anisomycin: 36.3 ± 6.0%, P < 0.001), but did not block memory consolidation in bitransgenic animals (Fig. 2B; VP16-CREBhigh [9 animals] mouse vehicle: 77.5 ± 4.3%, vs. anisomycin: 67.2 ± 7.2%, P = m). Like in the contextual task, control mice injected with anisomycin froze more than VP16-CREBhigh mice injected with the drug (P = 0.001), and a significant genotype × treatment interaction confirmed that memory retention was differentially affected by anisomycin (F(1.63) = 5.815, P = 0.019). These results suggest that plasticity factors required for the consolidation of contextual and cued fear memory need to be induced in the case of control mice, but could be present in the basal state in VP16-CREB-expressing neurons and, therefore, bitransgenic mice can bypass the requirement for de novo gene expression associated with this form of long-term memory.

Our study provides the first evidence for modulation by CREB activity of intrinsic excitability in neurons at the BA, a critical component of the fear memory circuit (Sah et al. 2003). This result together with the recent findings in the nucleus accumbens, locus ceruleus and hippocampus (Dong et al. 2006; Han et al. 2006; Lopez de Armentia et al. 2007; Huang et al. 2008) suggests that regulation of intrinsic plasticity is a major function of CREB conserved in different types of neurons.

In agreement with previous studies showing that CREB activity can provide the building blocks necessary for the consolidation of synaptic plasticity processes (Casadio et al. 1999; Barco et al. 2002, 2005), we also found that enhanced CREB activity led to the formation of memories for contextual and cued fear conditioning that were more resistant to interference with a protein synthesis inhibitor. This finding supports a role for synaptic tagging and capture (STC) mechanisms in associative memory. The STC hypothesis distinguishes two independent and essential events required for the consolidation of changes in synaptic strength: (1) synaptic activation or tagging and (2) de novo gene expression. Consolidation results from the interaction of both processes, which is referred to as synaptic capture (Frey and Morris 1997; Barco et al. 2008). According to this hypothesis, it is
therefore possible to achieve consolidation even if the synaptic tag and the burst of gene expression are initiated independently by different sorts of stimuli. Interestingly, a recent study showed that a weak inhibitory avoidance training, which induces short- but not long-term memory, could be consolidated into long-term memory by exploration of a novel environment occurring close in time to the training session. This reinforcement was blocked by anisomycin applied before exploration, providing the first experimental evidence for behavioral tagging (Moncada and Viola 2007). Similarly, hippocampal early phase of LTP (E-LTP) can be also behaviorally reinforced into L-LTP by novelty exploration in a protein synthesis-dependent manner (Straube et al. 2003). As in our study, those experiments indicate that previously synthesized plasticity-related proteins can be efficiently used for the consolidation of ensuing memory.

The physiological activation of CREB in neuronal populations involved in the acquisition and storage of fear memory might favor memory formation as a result of the additive effects of two changes in neuronal physiology: the increase in intrinsic excitability that could contribute to reducing the threshold for stable synaptic changes and facilitating learning (Josselyn et al. 2001; Wallace et al. 2004; Han et al. 2008), and the overexpression of plasticity-related proteins that would prime the synapses and enable the rapid consolidation of learning-related plasticity changes (Barco et al. 2002, 2005). Although we have not investigated whether L-LTP is facilitated in amygdalar circuits, we speculate that similarly to the changes in intrinsic excitability, which are observed both in amygdalar and hippocampal neurons, long-term synaptic plasticity may also be facilitated in BA neurons. This could explain the resistance to anisomycin observed in the consolidation of cued fear conditioning, a task that is independent of hippocampal function.

Our results also contribute to the current debate concerning the role of de novo protein synthesis in memory consolidation and in particular the use of the drug anisomycin in such studies (Alberini 2008; Gold 2008; Hernandez and Abel 2008; Rudy 2008). The resistance observed in VP16-CREB mice argues against the idea that anisomycin-induced amnesia results from the toxic and proapoptotic effects of this drug (Rudy 2008).

In conclusion, previous studies have suggested that CREB activity is necessary for the consolidation of fear memory; we have now demonstrated that it may also be sufficient. The previous activation of CREB-dependent gene expression enabled the rapid consolidation of contextual and cued fear memory, bypassing the gene expression-dependent step normally associated with long-term memory processes.
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