Efficacy and safety of telaprevir- and simeprevir-based triple therapies for older patients with chronic hepatitis C
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Abstract

AIM
To evaluate and compare the efficacy and safety of telaprevir (TVR)- and simeprevir (SMV)-based triple therapies in elderly patients, specifically patients aged 66 years or older.

METHODS
The present study enrolled 112 and 76 Japanese patients with chronic hepatitis C virus genotype 1b infection who were treated with a 12-wk TVR-based or SMV-based triple therapy, respectively, followed by a dual therapy that included pegylated interferon α and ribavirin (RBV) for 12 wk. The patients were categorized into two groups according to age as follows: A younger group of patients aged ≤ 65 years old and an older group of patients aged > 65 years old. Among the patients treated with TVR-based triple therapy, 34 patients were included in the older group. The median ages were 56 years (range: 28-65 years) in the younger group and 69 years (range: 66-81 years) in the older group. Among the patients treated with SMV-based triple therapy, 39 patients were included in the older group. The median ages were 59 years (range: 36-65 years) in the younger group and 71 years (range: 66-86 years) in the older group. The clinical, biochemical and virological data were analyzed before and during treatment.

RESULTS
Among the patients treated with the TVR-based triple therapy, no significant difference in the sustained virological response (SVR) was found between the younger (80.8%) and older (88.2%) groups. The SVR rates for patients with the interleukin 28B (IL28B) (rs8099917) TG/GG-genotypes (73.9% and 60.0% in the younger and older groups, respectively) were significantly lower than for patients with the IL28B TT-genotype (86.3% and 92.9%, respectively). The cumulative exposure to RBV for the entire 24-wk treatment period (as a percentage of the target dose) was significantly higher in the younger group than in the older group (91.7% vs 66.7%, respectively, P < 0.01), but the cumulative exposure to TVR was not significantly different between the younger and older groups (91.6% vs 81.9%, respectively). A multivariate analysis identified the TT-genotype of IL28B (OR = 8.160; 95%CI: 1.593-41.804, P = 0.012) and the adherence of RBV (> 60%) (OR = 11.052; 95%CI: 1.114-84.087, P = 0.040). Adverse events resulted in discontinuation of the treatment in 7.0% and 14.3% of patients in the younger and older groups, respectively.

CONCLUSION
Both TVR- and SMV-based triple therapies can be successfully used to treat patients aged 66 years or older with genotype 1b chronic hepatitis C. Genotyping of the IL28B indicates a potential to achieve SVR in these difficult-to-treat elderly patients.
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INTRODUCTION
Chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infections affect approximately 130-170 million people worldwide and are associated with an increased risk of developing liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)[1,2]. In Japan, an estimated 1.5-2 million people are infected with HCV[3]. Most of infected patients in Japan are infected with genotype 1 HCV and are older than the infected patients in Europe and the United States[4]. Although older patients with chronic HCV infection have a higher risk of developing HCC than younger patients even at the same liver fibrosis stage[5], older patients have been reported to show poor virological responses to antiviral treatments, especially postmenopausal women[6-8]. Because older patients often have reduced cardiovascular, pulmonary, and renal function and a decreased blood count, they are usually more susceptible to the toxic effects of antiviral treatments, which may lead to a
higher rate and severity of adverse events and a poor adherence to the treatment\(^4\). Therefore, an evaluation of the safety and efficacy of antiviral treatments, especially in elderly patients with chronic HCV infections, is still necessary.

Before the introduction of direct-acting antiviral agents (DAA), pegylated interferon (PegIFN) \(\alpha\) and ribavirin (RBV) were the standard of care for HCV genotype type 1 infections. However, with the approval of telaprevir (TVR) that is an HCV non-structural (NS) 3/4A protease inhibitor, the optimum treatment regimen for chronic HCV genotype 1 infections was changed to a triple therapy with a protease inhibitor plus PegIFN \(\alpha\) and RBV for 24 wk\(^6\). The TVR-based triple therapy has achieved an improved sustained virological response (SVR) rate compared to PegIFN monotherapy or PegIFN\(\alpha\) plus RBV dual therapy\(^{6,11}\). However, the TVR-based triple therapy is associated with an increased rate and severity of adverse events, including pruritus, skin rash, anemia, and anorectal diseases, as well as increased rates of treatment discontinuation compared to patients receiving PegIFN\(\alpha\) plus RBV dual therapy\(^{10,11}\). Because of the increased risk and severity of adverse events associated with the TVR-based triple therapy, it is difficult to use this therapy in older patients, and, therefore, reports describing the safety and efficacy of TVR-based triple therapy in elderly patients are limited\(^{4}\).

Simeprevir (SMV) is a second-generation oral HCV NS3/4A protease inhibitor with antiviral activity against HCV genotype 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6 infections\(^{12}\). The QUEST 1 and QUEST 2 phase 3 clinical trials demonstrated the SVR rates of 80% and 81%, respectively, in patients treated with SMV-based triple therapy combined with PegIFN\(\alpha\) and RBV\(^{13}\). In Japan, 4 phase 3 clinical trials (CONCERTO) were conducted, and the SVR rates were 88.6% and 91.7% for treatment-naïve patients; 35.8%, 50.9% and 38.5% for non-responders; and 89.8% and 96.6% for patients that relapsed\(^{14-16}\). Although the SMV-based triple therapy shows a favorable efficacy without inducing severe dermatologic and hematologic toxicities, the safety and efficacy of the SMV-based triple therapy for elderly patients has not yet been fully evaluated. Therefore, in the present study, we aimed to assess the efficacy and safety of TVR- and SMV-based triple therapies in elderly patients, specifically patients aged 66 years or older, in a real-world clinical setting.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

This prospective and multicenter study enrolled 112 and 76 HCV genotype 1b Japanese patients who received 12 wk of TVR-based and SMV-based triple therapies, respectively, followed by a dual therapy that included PegIFN\(\alpha\) and RBV for 12 wk. Nine hospitals in Niigata, Japan, including Niigata University Hospital, participated in this study. The patients were categorized into two groups according to age as follows: A younger group of patients aged \(\leq 65\) years old and an older group of patients aged \(> 65\) years old. Among the patients treated with the TVR-based triple therapy, 34 patients were included in the older group. The median ages were 56 years (range: 28-65 years) in the younger group and 69 years (range: 66-81 years) in the older group. Among the patients treated with the SMV-based triple therapy, the older group consists of 39 patients. The median ages were 59 years (range: 36-65 years) in the younger group and 71 years (range: 66-86 years) in the older group. Liver biopsy samples were obtained from 34 (30.6%) and 42 patients (55.2%) in the TVR and SMV groups, respectively. For each sample, the fibrosis stage (F0-4) and activity grade (A0-3) were evaluated according to the Metavir score\(^{17}\).

According to responses to prior treatments, relapse was defined as undetectable HCV RNA during and at the end of treatment with positive HCV RNA detecting later on. Non-responder was defined as detectable HCV RNA for more than 24 wk. Patients with decompensated liver cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma, co-infection with hepatitis B virus or human immunodeficiency virus, autoimmune hepatitis, primary biliary cirrhosis, hemochromatosis, or Wilson's disease were excluded. Patients with uncontrollable diabetes mellitus, chronic renal failure, depression, and those with a history of alcohol abuse, were also excluded. Information regarding patient profiles was shown in Tables 1 and 2.

**Study design**

All patients received a 12-wk triple therapy that included either TVR (1500 or 2250 mg/d; the initial dose of TVR was determined by each attending physician based on each patient's baseline characteristics such as bodyweight (BW)) or SMV (100 mg/d) (Sovriad; Janssen Pharmaceutical K.K., Tokyo, Japan) combined with PegIFN\(\alpha\)2a (180 \(\mu\)g/wk) (Pegasys; Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) or PegIFN\(\alpha\)2b (1.5 \(\mu\)g/BW kg per week) (Peg-Intron; MSD, Tokyo, Japan) and RBV (600-1000 mg/d according to BW as follows: \(< 60\) kg: 600 mg/d; 60-80 kg: 800 mg/d; \(> 80\) kg: 1000 mg/d; if the patient's hemoglobin was \(< 13\) g/dL at the start of therapy, RBV was reduced by 200 mg) (Rebetol; MSD or Copegus; Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.), followed by dual therapy of PegIFN\(\alpha\)2a or PegIFN\(\alpha\)2b with RBV for 12 wk.

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was reviewed and approved by the Niigata University Medical and Dental Hospital Institutional Review Board. Written informed consent was appropriately obtained from all of the individuals who enrolled in the study according to the institutional review board's approved protocols (approval No. 1474) at the Niigata University Medical and Dental Hospital.
Laboratory and safety assessments were performed at initiation of treatment; at treatment weeks 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20 and 24; at the end of treatment; and at 12 and 24 wk after the end of treatment. Data on adverse events were collected, and physical examinations were performed at each visit, if clinically indicated.

Detection of HCV markers

The detection of HCV viremia was performed using a real-time polymerase chain reaction assay (COBAS TaqMan HCV test, Roche Diagnostic, Tokyo, Japan) with a sensitivity of 100 IU/mL.
Figure 1  Rates of virological responses to telaprevir and simeprevir by age. Percentages indicate the proportion of patients with undetectable serum hepatitis C virus (HCV) RNA levels. Patient numbers are shown in parenthesis. TVR: Telaprevir; SMV: Simeprevir; RVR: Rapid virological response; cEVR: Complete early virological response; EOT: End of treatment response; SVR24: Sustained virological response defined as undetectable serum HCV RNA at 24 wk after the end of treatment.

Figure 2 shows the virological responses according to prior treatment responses. In both the TVR and SMV groups, SVR did not significantly differ between the younger and older patients with the same treatment responses. In the older patients in the SMV group, SVR was significantly lower than those of the younger patients in the TVR group (Table 1). Pretreatment serum chemokine C-X-C motif ligand 10 (CXCL10) levels were not significantly different between the younger (543 pg/mL, range: 118-1218 pg/mL) and older (510 pg/mL, range: 95-1794 pg/mL) groups. In the SMV group, BW, white blood cell count, hemoglobin, serum albumin, and serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) in the older patients were significantly lower than those of the younger patients (Table 2). No significant differences in the pretreatment response, HCV core 70/91 mutations, or IL28B SNPs were found between the younger and older group in both TVR and SMV groups.

**Results**

**Patient characteristics**

The patient characteristics in the TVR group (n = 112) and SMV group (n = 76) are summarized by age in Tables 1 and 2. The analysis of the pretreatment factors revealed that serum albumin, γ-glutamyl-transpeptidase, and the estimated glomerular filtration rate in the older patients were significantly lower than those of the younger patients in the TVR group (Table 1). Pretreatment serum chemokine C-X-C motif ligand 10 (CXCL10) levels were not significantly different between the younger (543 pg/mL, range: 118-1218 pg/mL) and older (510 pg/mL, range: 95-1794 pg/mL) groups. In the SMV group, BW, white blood cell count, hemoglobin, serum albumin, and serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) in the older patients were significantly lower than those of the younger patients (Table 2). No significant differences in the prior treatment response, HCV core 70/91 mutations, or IL28B SNPs were found between the younger and older group in both TVR and SMV groups.

**Virological response and outcome**

Figure 1 shows the virological responses by age. RVR, cEVR, ETR and SVR did not significantly differ between the younger and older patients in the TVR group (60.2% vs 58.8%, 92.3% vs 94.1%, 87.2% vs 88.2%, and 80.8% vs 88.2%, respectively). Similar to the TVR group, RVR, cEVR, ETR and SVR did not significantly differ between the younger and older patients in the SMV group (81.1% vs 92.3%, 94.6% vs 94.9%, 94.6% vs 100% and 81.1% vs 82.1%, respectively). In the older patients, SVR did not significantly differ between the TVR and SMV groups, although RVR was significantly higher in the SMV group than in the TVR group (92.3% vs 58.5%, P < 0.01).

Figure 2 shows the virological responses according to prior treatment responses. In both the TVR and SMV groups, SVR did not significantly differ between the younger and older patients with the same treatment responses. In the older patients in the SMV group, SVR
was significantly lower in the prior non-responders than the prior relapsers (60% vs 93.8%, \( P = 0.033 \)). Figure 3 shows the virological responses according to IL28B (rs8099917) SNP status. In the TVR group, the SVR rate for the older patients with the IL28B TT-genotype was significantly higher than for the older patients with the IL28B TG/GG-genotypes (92.9% and 60%, \( P = 0.038 \)). In the SMV group, the SVR rate for the older patients with the IL28B TT-genotype was also significantly higher than for the older patients with the IL28B TG/GG-genotypes (100% and 64.7%, \( P < 0.01 \)).

**Safety and tolerability**

Treatment tolerability was summarized in Tables 3 and 4. In the TVR group, adverse events resulted in treatment discontinuation in 16.7% (13/78 cases) and 11.8% (4/34 cases) of patients in the younger and older groups, respectively. Although a greater number of older patients in the TVR group was treated with the lower initial dose of TVR (1500 mg/d) than the younger patients (\( P < 0.01 \))

9 patients (26.4%) discontinued TVR because of adverse events (four patients experienced skin rush, four patients experienced anemia, and one patient experienced renal dysfunction). However, the rate of discontinuation of TVR did not significantly differ between the younger and older patients (Table 3). The cumulative exposure to RBV for the whole 24-wk treatment period (as a percentage of the target dose) was significantly higher in the younger patients than in the older patients (79.3% ± 26.2% vs 62.7% ± 25.3%, \( P < 0.01 \)), but the cumulative exposure to TVR was not significantly different between the younger and older patients (88.8% ± 22.8% vs 83.5% ± 25.5%, \( P = 0.103 \)). Conversely, SMV was not discontinued in either the younger or older patients, although the rate of discontinuation of RBV was significantly higher in the older patients than in the younger patients in the SMV group (58.9% vs 29.7%, \( P = 0.012 \)) because of anemia. Adverse events resulted in treatment discontinuation in 8.1% (3/37 cases) and 7.6% (3/39 cases) of patients in the younger and older groups, respectively.

**Predictive factors correlated with SVR24**

To identify pretreatment and treatment factors that contribute to SVR, univariate and multivariate analyses were performed in the TVR and SMV groups including the following variables: Gender, age, body mass index, baseline HCV viral load, serum ALT, hemoglobin, platelet
counts, IL28B SNP, initial dose of TVR, TVR/BW (mg/kg per day), SMV/BW (mg/kg per day), dose reduction of treatments, and RVR (Tables 5 and 6). In the TVR group, the IL28B SNP significantly correlated with SVR according to the univariate analysis. A multivariate logistic regression analysis identified the IL28B TT-genotype (OR = 8.160; 95%CI: 1.593-41.804, P = 0.012) and the adherence of RBV (> 60%) (OR = 11.052; 95%CI: 1.160-105.273, P = 0.037) as independent factors associated with the SVR (Table 5). In the SMV group, the IL28B SNP and the absence of a dose reduction in PegIFN significantly correlated with SVR according to the univariate analysis. In the multivariate logistic regression analysis, the independent factors associated with the SVR were IL28B TT-genotype (OR = 9.677; 95%CI: 1.114-84.087, P = 0.004) and the absence of a dose reduction in PegIFN (OR = 6.557; 95%CI: 1.328-32.377, P = 0.021) (Table 6).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we evaluated and compared the efficacy and safety of TVR- and SMV-based triple therapies in combination with PegIFN and RBV in elderly Japanese patients with chronic hepatitis C (CHC), specifically patients aged 66 years or older. The rate of SVR did not differ significantly between younger and older patients in either the TVR or the SMV groups. Among the older patients who were more difficult to treat, more patients carrying the IL28B TG/GG genotypes and prior non-responders were enrolled in the SMV group than the TVR group. However, the rate of SVR did not differ significantly between the TVR and SMV group, although the rates of RVR and relapse were significantly higher in

| Table 3  Treatment tolerability (telaprevir) |
|---------------------------------------------------|
| **Patients aged < 66** | **Patients aged ≥ 66** | **P value** |
| Initial doses (median, range) | | |
| PEG-IFN/BW (μg/kg per week) | 1.48 (0.98-2.00) | 1.49 (1.15-1.87) | 0.859 |
| TVR/BW (mg/kg per day) | 33.0 (19.2-64.3) | 29.2 (7.5-54.2) | 0.044 |
| TVR (2250 mg/1500 mg/others), n | 55/23/0 | 11/21/2 | < 0.001 |
| RBV/BW (mg/kg per day) | 11.4 (6.8-20.0) | 11.4 (5.7-28.0) | 0.103 |
| Dose reduction, n (%) | | | |
| PEG-IFN | 7 (8.9) | 6 (17.6) | 0.209 |
| TVR | 19 (24.3) | 12 (35.3) | 0.256 |
| RBV | 40 (51.2) | 27 (79.4) | 0.006 |
| Discontinuation, n (%) | | | |
| PEG-IFN | 13 (16.7) | 4 (11.8) | 0.580 |
| TVR | 12 (15.4) | 9 (26.5) | 0.192 |
| RBV | 12 (15.4) | 7 (20.6) | 0.585 |
| Adherence, mean ± SD (%) | | | |
| PEG-IFN | 88.2 ± 25.7 | 90.1 ± 19.8 | 0.606 |
| TVR | 88.8 ± 22.8 | 83.5 ± 25.5 | 0.103 |
| RBV | 79.3 ± 26.2 | 62.7 ± 25.3 | < 0.001 |

PEG-IFN: Pegylated interferon; BW: Bodyweight; TVR: Telaprevir; RBV: Ribavirin.

| Table 4  Treatment tolerability (simeprevir) |
|---------------------------------------------------|
| **Patients aged < 66** | **Patients aged ≥ 66** | **P value** |
| Initial doses (median, range) | | |
| PEG-IFNα2a (180/90) (μg/wk) | 19/0 | 10/1 | 0.366 |
| PEG-IFNα2b (120/100/80/others) (μg/wk) | 2/16/5/1 | 0/25/5/1 | 0.422 |
| SMV/BW (mg/kg per day) | 1.6 (1.1-2.5) | 1.8 (1.3-2.7) | 0.011 |
| RBV/BW (mg/kg per day) | 11.6 (6.9-17.1) | 12.3 (6.0-20.6) | 0.166 |
| Dose reduction, n (%) | | | |
| PEG-IFN | 5 (13.5) | 6 (15.3) | 1 |
| SMV | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RBV | 3 (8.1) | 6 (15.3) | 0.481 |
| Discontinuation, n (%) | | | |
| PEG-IFN | 5 (13.5) | 5 (12.8) | 1 |
| SMV | 2 (5.4) | 2 (5.1) | 1 |
| RBV | 11 (29.7) | 23 (58.9) | 0.012 |
| Adherence, mean ± SD (%) | | | |
| PEG-IFN | 93.6 ± 16.8 | 92.3 ± 19.5 | 0.592 |
| SMV | 98.1 ± 7.2 | 93.9 ± 18.1 | 0.079 |
| RBV | 91.0 ± 16.1 | 86.8 ± 20.2 | 0.126 |

PEG-IFN: Pegylated interferon; SMV: Simeprevir; BW: Bodyweight; RBV: Ribavirin.
the SMV group than the TVR group. When we performed univariate analyses of factors associated with SVR in all the enrolled patients, we did not find any significance in the type of treatment (TVR vs SMV) (OR = 1.115, 95%CI: 0.415-3.192, P = 0.787). Ogawa et al[21] reported that the rates of SVR were similar for patients with HCV genotype 1b who were treated with TVR- and SMV-based triple therapies, although patients treated with TVR-based triple therapy had more frequent severe adverse events than those treated with SMV-based triple therapy. In this study, the rate of adverse events that resulted in treatment discontinuation did not differ between the younger and older patients in either the TVR or the SMV group, although a higher frequency and severity of adverse events have been reported in patients treated with TVR-based triple therapy compared to patients treated with PegIFN and RBV dual therapy[10,11]. We found that both TVR- and SMV-based triple therapy were effective and tolerable among older patients aged 66 years or older.

In Japan, an estimated 1.5-2 million people are infected with HCV, and these patients are older than those infected in Europe and the United States[3,22]. However, previous studies describing the safety and efficacy of TVR- and SMV-based triple therapies, especially in elderly patients with CHC, are limited. One of the reasons may be that the inclusion criteria for clinical trials were usually set to a maximum age of 65 years[11,23]. Furusyo et al[4] reported that there were no differences in the efficacy, frequency and severity of adverse events between patients aged > 60 years and those aged ≤ 60 years who were treated with TVR-based triple therapy. Consistent with our study, they reported that a multivariate analysis revealed that the IL28B TT-genotype and the achievement of SVR24 (Table 5).

Table 5  Univariate and multivariate analysis of factors contributing to SVR24 (telaprevir)

| Factors                              | Univariate analysis | P value | Multivariate analysis | P value |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|
| Age                                  | 1.012 (0.955-1.072) | 0.689   | 8.160 (1.593-41.804)  | 0.012   |
| Gender (female)                      | 0.784 (0.262-2.342) | 0.663   |                       |         |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)              | 1.074 (0.875-1.318) | 0.495   |                       |         |
| Prior treatment response (non-NR)    | 3.850 (0.830-17.861)| 0.085   |                       |         |
| Baseline HCV-RNA (log IU/mL)         | 1.264 (0.457-3.495) | 0.652   |                       |         |
| Baseline ALT (IU/mL)                 | 1.008 (0.998-1.017) | 0.105   |                       |         |
| Baseline platelets (* 10³/mm³)       | 1.017 (0.906-1.142) | 0.775   |                       |         |
| Baseline hemoglobin (g/dL)           | 1.038 (0.736-1.464) | 0.850   |                       |         |
| IL28B SNP (TT)                       | 6.700 (1.826-25.84) | 0.004   | 11.052 (1.160-105.273)| 0.037   |
| Initial dose of TVR (2250 mg/d)      | 2.069 (0.670-6.553) | 0.204   |                       |         |
| TVR/BW (mg/kg per day)               | 0.938 (0.870-1.011) | 0.093   |                       |         |
| RBV/BW (mg/kg per day)               | 0.811 (0.617-1.066) | 0.133   |                       |         |
| PEG-IFN dose reduction (none)        | 2.134 (0.253-17.988)| 0.486   |                       |         |
| TVR dose reduction (none)            | 1.020 (0.281-3.703) | 0.976   |                       |         |
| RBV dose reduction (none)            | 1.548 (0.433-5.525) | 0.501   |                       |         |
| Adherence of RBV (> 60%)             | 6.873 (1.784-26.474)| 0.005   |                       |         |
| RVR (none)                           | 0.88 (0.123-1.216)  | 0.104   |                       |         |

HCV: Hepatitis C virus; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; NR: Non-responder; IL28B SNP: Interleukin-28B single nucleotide polymorphism; TVR: Telaprevir; RVR: Rapid virological response; PEG-IFN: Pegylated interferon; BW: Bodyweight; RBV: Ribavirin.

Table 6  Univariate and multivariate analysis of factors contributing to SVR24 (simeprevir)

| Factors                              | Univariate analysis | P value | Multivariate analysis | P value |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|
| Age                                  | 0.998 (0.942-1.058) | 0.953   |                       |         |
| Gender (female)                      | 0.330 (0.083-1.314) | 0.116   |                       |         |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)              | 1.164 (0.934-1.450) | 0.175   |                       |         |
| Prior treatment response (non-NR)    | 2.955 (0.811-10.764)| 0.101   |                       |         |
| Baseline HCV-RNA (log IU/mL)         | 0.767 (0.328-1.791) | 0.540   |                       |         |
| Baseline ALT (IU/mL)                 | 0.998 (0.985-1.012) | 0.785   |                       |         |
| Baseline platelets (* 10³/mm³)       | 1.082 (0.953-1.228) | 0.224   |                       |         |
| Baseline hemoglobin (g/dL)           | 1.250 (0.827-1.910) | 0.285   |                       |         |
| IL28B SNP (TT)                       | 12.593 (1.516-104.576)| 0.019  | 9.677 (1.114-84.087)  | 0.040   |
| SMV/BW (mg/kg per day)               | 0.306 (0.054-1.742) | 0.182   |                       |         |
| RBV/BW (mg/kg per day)               | 1.085 (1.138-3.913) | 0.501   |                       |         |
| PEG-IFN dose reduction (none)        | 7.250 (1.712-30.700)| 0.007   | 6.557 (1.328-32.377)  | 0.021   |
| TVR dose reduction (none)            | 1.556 (0.470-5.160) | 0.470   |                       |         |
| RBV dose reduction (none)            | 0.351 (0.075-1.637) | 0.183   |                       |         |

HCV: Hepatitis C virus; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; NR: Non-responder; IL28B SNP: Interleukin-28B single nucleotide polymorphism; SMV: Simeprevir; BW: Bodyweight; PEG-IFN: Pegylated interferon; RBV: Ribavirin; RVR: Rapid virological response.
RVR were independent factors associated with SVR. Although the decrease in hemoglobin was significantly higher in patients aged > 60 years compared to younger patients aged ≤ 60 years, the rate of adverse events that resulted in treatment discontinuation was similar between the two groups. Abe et al. also reported that in patients treated with TVR-based triple therapy, the SVR rate in patients aged > 65 years was similar to that of patients aged ≤ 65 years and that there was no notable increase of the rate of treatment discontinuation. In our study, the rate of adverse events that resulted in treatment discontinuation in the older patients was lower in the SMV group than in the TVR group, but the difference was not statistically significant. However, considering the risk of higher frequency and severity of adverse events associated with TVR-based triple therapy, we recommend the use of SMV rather than TVR.

The IL28B SNP genotype had a limited impact on the SVR rate with triple therapy in treatment-experienced patients, and the strength of the association between the IL28B genotype and the treatment outcome was attenuated in the triple therapy compared to the dual therapy. In the present study, the IL28B SNP genotype displayed a striking influence on the outcome of both TVR- and SMV-based triple therapy, especially in older patients. In the older patients carrying the IL28B TT-genotype, the rates of SVR were 92.9% and 100% in the TVR and SMV groups, respectively. In contrast, in the older patients carrying the IL28B TG or GG-genotype, the rates of SVR were significantly decreased to 60% and 64.7% in the TVR and SMV groups (P = 0.038 and P < 0.01), respectively. Although the substitutions in the core aa70 of the HCV genotype 1b were reported to be important predictors of the efficacy of dual therapy and triple therapy, our study revealed that the substitutions in the HCV core aa70 were not associated with the achievement of SVR (data not shown). This discrepancy may be explained by the differences in the study population, as our study consisted of a relatively higher number of aged patients. We also measured serum CXCL10 in patients treated with TVR-based triple therapy because previous studies have reported that pretreatment serum CXCL10 concentrations were associated with early virological response and treatment efficacy in patients treated with this therapy. However, we did not confirm the utility of pretreatment CXCL10 concentrations as a predictor of virological response in patients treated with TVR-based triple therapy.

The present study has a number of limitations. First, the sample size might have provided inadequate statistical power to detect definitive differences between the SVR and no-SVR data in both the older and younger patients. However, the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to compare the efficacy and safety of TVR- and SMV-based triple therapies for elderly patients aged 66 years or older. Second, we only investigated Japanese patients with the HCV genotype 1b. Among the Japanese population, the favorable IL28B SNP is found in the majority of the population (approximately 75%). Therefore, our results may not be generalizable to other racial cohorts. Third, the older patients who enrolled in the study did not have any severe baseline complications, such as renal and hematological diseases. Therefore, the conclusions drawn regarding the safety of triple therapies may be limited. However, we believe that our selection of older patients for the triple therapies was appropriate and acceptable. Therefore, our findings regarding the absence of severe adverse events, even in the older patients, are important.

Treatment for CHC has been changing worldwide, and IFN-free DAA combination therapies are now available in Japan. Although the majority of CHC patients are usually treated with IFN-free DAA combination therapies, PegIFN and RBV-based therapy may still have utility in a small number of patients who do not show a favorable effect after the treatment with IFN-free DAA therapies. Moreover, considering the effect of preventing HCC by an eradication of HCV, long-term prevention of HCC has been shown only through the use of IFN-based therapies thus far. Therefore, we believe that the present study will provide useful information regarding antiviral treatment for older patients with CHC.

In conclusion, we found that both TVR- and SMV-based triple therapies can be successfully used to treat patients aged 66 years or older with genotype 1b CHC. The IL28B genotype indicates a potential to achieve SVR in these difficult-to-treat older patients.

**COMMENTS**

**Background**

In Japan, an estimated 1.5-2 million people are infected with hepatitis C virus (HCV), and these patients are older than those infected in Europe and the United States. However, previous studies describing the safety and efficacy of telaprevir (TVR)- and simprevir (SMV)-based triple therapies, especially in elderly patients with chronic HCV infections, are limited.

**Research frontiers**

The patients were categorized into two groups according to age as follows: a younger group of patients aged ≤ 65 years old and an older group of patients aged > 65 years old. The rate of sustained virological response (SVR) did not significantly differ between the younger and older patients in both the TVR and SMV groups. The rate of SVR did not significantly differ between the TVR and SMV group, although the rate of rapid virological response was significantly higher in the SMV group than the TVR group. The rate of adverse events resulted in treatment discontinuation did not differ between the younger and older patients in both TVR and SMV group, although a higher frequency and severity of adverse events has been reported in patients treated with TVR-based triple therapy compared to patients treated with pegylated interferon (PegIFN) and ribavirin (RBV) dual therapy.

**Innovations and breakthroughs**

In this study, the authors found that both TVR- and SMV-based triple therapies can be successfully used to treat patients aged 66 years or older with genotype 1b chronic hepatitis C (CHC). The interleukin 28B genotype indicates a potential to achieve SVR in these difficult-to-treat elderly patients.

**Applications**

Treatment for CHC has been changing worldwide, and interferon (IFN)-free direct-acting antiviral agents (DAA) combination therapies are now available
in. Although the majority of CHC patients are usually treated with IFN-free DAA combination therapies, PegIFNα and RBV-based therapy may still have utility in a small group of patients who do not show a favorable effect after the treatment with IFN-free/DAAs therapies. Importantly, HCV mutants that are resistant to multiple IFN-free DAA therapies have been shown to be sensitive to IFN-based therapies. Moreover, considering the effect of preventing HCC by an eradication of HCV, long-term prevention of HCC has been shown only through the use of IFN-based therapies thus far. Therefore, they believe that the present study will still provide useful information regarding antiviral treatment for older patients with CHC.

**Terminology**

TVR: An HCV non-structural 3/4A (NS3/4A) protease inhibitor; SMV: A second-generation oral HCV NS3/4A protease inhibitor with antiviral activity against HCV genotype 1, 2, 4, 5, and 6 infections.

**Peer-review**

The manuscript is well written and it is clear.
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