Collider Signals of Unparticle Physics
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Phenomenology of the notion of an unparticle \( U \), recently perceived by Georgi, to describe a scale invariant sector with a non-trivial infrared fixed point at a higher energy scale is explored in details. Behaving like a collection of \( d_U \) (the scale dimension of the unparticle operator \( O_U \)) invisible massless particles, this unparticle can be unveiled by measurements of various energy distributions for the processes \( Z \rightarrow f \bar{f} U \) and \( e^- e^+ \rightarrow \gamma U \) at \( e^- e^+ \) colliders, as well as monojet production at hadron colliders. We also study the propagator effects of the unparticle through the Drell-Yan tree level process and the one-loop muon anomaly.

PACS numbers: 14.80.-j, 11.15.Tk, 12.38.Qk, 13.66.Hk

**Introduction.** Scale invariance is a powerful concept that has wide applications in many different disciplines of physics. In phase transitions and critical phenomena, the system becomes scale invariant at critical temperature since fluctuations at all length scales are important. In particle physics, scale invariance has also been a powerful tool to analyze asymptotic behaviors of correlation functions at high energies. In string theory, scale invariance plays an even more fundamental role since it is part of the local diffeomorphism × Weyl reparametrization invariance group of the 2-dimensional Riemann surfaces. However, at the low energy world of particle physics, what we observe is a plethora of elementary and composite particles with a wide spectrum of masses \( 1 \). Scale invariance is manifestly broken by the masses of these particles. Nevertheless, it is conceivable that at a much higher scale, beyond the Standard Model (SM), there is a nontrivial scale invariant sector with an infrared fixed point that we have not yet probed experimentally. For example, this sector can be described by the vector-like non-abelian gauge theory with a large number of massless fermions as studied by Banks and Zaks \( 2 \).

Recently, Georgi \( 3 \) made an interesting observation that a nontrivial scale invariant sector of scale dimension \( d_U \) might manifest itself at low energy as a non-integral number \( d_U \) of invisible massless particles, dubbed unparticle \( U \). It may give rise to peculiar missing energy distributions at various processes that can be probed at Large Hadron Collider (LHC) or \( e^- e^+ \) colliders. In this Letter, we explore in details various implications of the unparticle \( U \) using the language of effective field theory as in \( 3 \). We show that the energy distributions for the processes of \( Z \rightarrow f \bar{f} U \) at LEP and monophoton production plus missing energy via \( e^- e^+ \rightarrow \gamma U \) at LEP2 can discriminate the scale dimension \( d_U \) of the unparticle, while monojet production plus missing energy at the LHC cannot easily do so because of parton smearing. In addition, we generalize the notion of real unparticle emission to off-shell exchange and study its propagator effects in the Drell-Yan tree-level process and the muon anomaly at one-loop level. We show that the invariant mass spectrum of the lepton pair in Drell-Yan process can discriminate the scale dimension \( d_U \), and we can use the muon anomalous magnetic moment data to constrain the scale dimension as well as the effective coupling.

**Unparticle.** For definiteness we denote the scale invariant sector as a Banks-Zaks (BZ) sector \( 2 \) and follow closely the scenario studied in \( 3 \). The BZ sector can interact with the SM fields through the exchange of a connector sector that has a high mass scale \( M_U \). Below this high mass scale, non-renormalizable operators that are suppressed by inverse powers of \( M_U \) are induced. Generically, we have operators of the form

\[
O_{SM} O_{BZ} / M_U^k (k > 0) ,
\]

where \( O_{SM} \) and \( O_{BZ} \) represent local operators constructed out of SM and BZ fields, respectively. As in massless non-abelian gauge theories, renormalization effects in the scale invariant BZ sector induce dimensional transmutation \( 4 \) at an energy scale \( \Lambda_U \). Below \( \Lambda_U \), matching conditions must be imposed onto the operator \( \mathcal{O} \) to match a new set of operators having the following form

\[
\langle C_{\mathcal{O}_U} \Lambda^{d_{BZ} - d_U} / M_U^k \rangle O_{SM} O_U ,
\]

where \( d_{BZ} \) and \( d_U \) are the scale dimensions of \( O_{BZ} \) and the unparticle operator \( O_U \) respectively, and \( C_{\mathcal{O}_U} \) is a coefficient function fixed by the matching.

Three unparticle operators with different Lorentz structures were addressed in \( 3 \): \{\( O_U, O_{U}^{\rho}, O_{U}^{\mu \nu} \)\} \( \in \mathcal{O}_U \). It was argued in \( 3 \) that scale invariance can be used to fix the two-point functions of these unparticle operators. For instance,

\[
\langle 0 | O_U(x) O_{U}^{\rho}(0) | 0 \rangle = \int \frac{d^4 P}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-i P \cdot x} | \langle 0 | O_{U}(0) | P \rangle |^2 \rho(P^2)
\]
with $|0\langle O\gamma(0)|P\rangle|^2 \rho(P^2) = A_{d_{u}} \theta(P^0) \theta(P^2) (P^2)^{d_{u} - 2}$ where $A_{d_{u}}$ is normalized to interpolate the $d_{u}$-body phase space of massless particle 

$$A_{d_{u}} = \frac{16\pi^2\sqrt{\xi}}{(2\pi)^{2d_{u}} \Gamma(d_{u} + 1)} \Gamma(2d_{u}) \ .$$

These unparticle operators are all taken to be Hermitian, and $O_{d_{u}}^\nu$ and $O_{d_{u}}^{\mu\nu}$ are assumed to be transverse. As pointed out in [3], important effective operators of the form (2) that can give rise to interesting phenomenology are

$$\lambda_0 \frac{1}{A_{d_{u}}} G_{\alpha\beta} G^{\alpha\beta} O_{d_{u}} \ , \ \lambda_1 \frac{1}{A_{d_{u}}} \bar{f} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 O_{d_{u}}^{\mu} \ , \ \lambda_2 \frac{1}{A_{d_{u}}} G_{\mu\alpha} G_{\nu}^{\alpha} O_{d_{u}}^{\mu\nu} \ , \ etc., \ (5)$$

where $G^{\alpha\beta}$ denotes the gluon field strength, $f$ stands for a SM fermion, and $\lambda_i$ are dimensionless effective couplings $C_{O_{d_{u}}} A_{d_{u}}^{d_{u}/2} M_{Z}^{2}$ with the index $i = 0, 1$ and 2 labeling the scalar, vector and tensor unparticle operators respectively. The scalar operator $O_{d_{u}}$ can also couple to the SM fermions. However, its effect is necessarily suppressed by the fermion mass. We focus on the first two operators of Eq. (3) in this work. For simplicity, we assume universality that $\lambda_1$ is flavor blind. Furthermore, we only consider $d_{u} \geq 1$ to avoid the crash with unitarity of the theory [3].

**Phenomenology.** We now turn to several phenomenological implications of the unparticle.

(1) $Z \rightarrow f \bar{f} \gamma$: The decay width for the process can be easily obtained as

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_{Z \rightarrow f \bar{f} + \gamma}} \frac{d\Gamma(Z \rightarrow f \bar{f} + \gamma)}{dx_1 dx_2 d\xi} = \frac{\lambda_1^2}{8\pi^2} g(1 - x_1, 1 - x_2, \xi) \times \frac{M_Z^2}{A_{d_{u}}} \frac{P_{d_{u}}^2}{A_{d_{u}}} (d_{u} - 2)^{d_{u} - 2} \ (6)$$

where $\xi = P_{d_{u}}^2 / M_Z^2$ and $x_{1,2}$ are the energy fractions of the fermions $x_{1,2} = 2E_{f,j} / M_Z$. The function $g(z, w, \xi)$ is given by

$$g(z, w, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{w + z}{z} \right) + \frac{(1 + \xi)^2}{z w} \ - \frac{\xi}{2} \left( \frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{1}{w^2} \right) \ - \frac{1 + \xi}{z} \ - \frac{1 + \xi}{w} \ . \ (7)$$

The integration domain for Eq. (6) is defined by $0 < \xi < 1$, $0 < x_1 < 1 - \xi$, and $1 - x_1 < x_2 < 1 - x_1 (1 - x_1) / (1 - x_1)$. In Fig. 1 we plot the normalized decay rate of this process versus the energy fraction of the fermion $f$. One can see that the shape depends sensitively on the scale dimension of the unparticle operator. As $d_{u} \rightarrow 1$, the result approaches to a familiar case of $\gamma^* \rightarrow q\bar{q}g^*$ [3].

(2) Monophoton events in $e^- e^+$ collisions: The energy spectrum of the monophoton from the process

$$e^-(p_1) e^+(p_2) \rightarrow \gamma(k_1) U(P_{d_{u}})$$

can also be used to probe the unparticle. Its cross section is given by

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{2s} |\mathcal{M}|^2 \frac{A_{d_{u}}}{16\pi^3 A_{d_{u}}^2} \frac{P_{d_{u}}^2}{A_{d_{u}}} (d_{u} - 2) E_{\gamma} dE_{\gamma} d\Omega \ (8)$$

with the matrix element squared

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = 2e^2 Q_e^2 \lambda_1^2 \frac{w^2 + t^2 + 2st P_{d_{u}}^2}{u t} \ . \ (9)$$

The $P_{d_{u}}^2$ is related to the energy of the photon $E_{\gamma}$ by the recoil mass relation,

$$P_{d_{u}}^2 = s - 2\sqrt{s} E_{\gamma} \ . \ (10)$$

The monophoton energy distribution is plotted in Fig. 2 for various choices of $d_{u}$. The sensitivity of the scale dimension to the energy distribution can be easily discerned. Monophoton events have been searched quite extensively at LEP experiments [7] in some other contexts. Details of comparison with the data and background analysis will be given in a forthcoming publication [3].

(3) Monojet at hadronic collisions: It was suggested in [3] that at the hadronic collider, the following partonic subprocesses

$$gg \rightarrow q\bar{q}U \ , \ \bar{q}g \rightarrow q\bar{q}U \ ,$$

$$qq \rightarrow q\bar{q}U \ , \ gg \rightarrow q\bar{q}U$$

which can lead to monojet signals could be important for the detection of the unparticle. For the subprocesses that involve both quark and gluon, we consider solely the effects from the vector operator $O_{d_{u}}^{\nu}$. For the gluon-gluon fusion subprocess, we consider solely the effects from the scalar operator $O_{d_{u}}$. Although $P_{d_{u}}^2$ is related to $s$ by a kinematic relation similar to Eq. (10), it
is not uniquely determined at the hadronic level where $s \sim x_1 x_2 s$ with $s$ the center-of-mass energy squared of the colliding hadrons and $x_{1,2}$ are the parton momentum fractions. We have studied in details the $P_{LL}$ distribution in hadronic collisions. We found that the peculiar feature of the phase space of fractional $d_{\ell\ell}$ at partonic level is completely washed out. Therefore it would be difficult to detect the unparticle at hadronic environment using the monojet signal, in contrast to its original anticipation to detect the unparticle at hadronic environment using the propagator for the vector unparticle operator $\hat{O}^V_{\ell\ell}$ can be derived as

$$
\Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\ell\ell}(P^2_{\ell\ell}) = Z_{d_{\ell\ell}} \left( -g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{P^\mu_{\ell\ell} P^\nu_{\ell\ell}}{P^2_{\ell\ell}} \right) (-P^2_{\ell\ell})^{d_{\ell\ell}-2} \quad (11)
$$

with

$$
Z_{d_{\ell\ell}} = \frac{A_{d_{\ell\ell}}}{2 \sin(d_{\ell\ell} \pi)} . \quad (12)
$$

The $(-)$ sign in front of $P^2_{\ell\ell}$ of the unparticle propagator in Eq. (11) gives rise to a phase factor $e^{-i \phi_{d_{\ell\ell}}}$ for time-like momentum $P^2_{\ell\ell} > 0$, but not for space-like momentum $P^2_{\ell\ell} < 0$. Virtual exchange of vector unparticle can result in the following 4-fermion interaction

$$
M_{\ell\ell}^4 = \lambda_1^2 Z_{d_{\ell\ell}} \left( \frac{1}{\Lambda_{d_{\ell\ell}}} \right) (\hat{f}_1 \gamma_\mu f_2) (\hat{f}_3 \gamma_\nu f_4) \quad (13)
$$

where the contribution from the longitudinal piece $P^\mu_{\ell\ell} P^\nu_{\ell\ell}/P^2_{\ell\ell}$ has been dropped for massless external fermions. Note that $P^2_{\ell\ell}$ is taken as the $s$ for an $s$ channel exchange subprocess. The most important feature is that the high energy behavior of the amplitude scales as $(s/\Lambda_{d_{\ell\ell}}^2)^{d_{\ell\ell}-1}$. For $d_{\ell\ell} = 1$ the tree amplitude behaves like that of a massless photon exchange, while for $d_{\ell\ell} = 2$ the amplitude reduces to the conventional 4-fermion interaction $\hat{O}^4_{\ell\ell}$, i.e., its high-energy behavior scales like $s/\Lambda_{d_{\ell\ell}}^2$. If $d_{\ell\ell}$ is between 1 and 2, say 3/2, the amplitude has the unusual behavior of $\sqrt{s}/\Lambda_{d_{\ell\ell}}$ at high energy. If $d_{\ell\ell} = 3$ the amplitude’s high energy behavior becomes $(s/\Lambda_{d_{\ell\ell}}^3)^2$, which resembles the exchange of Kaluza-Klein tower of gravitons [10]. But for virtual integration, one must restrict $d_{\ell\ell} < 2$. We can determine the differential cross section for the Drell-Yan process

$$
\frac{d^2\sigma}{dM_{\ell\ell} dy} = K \frac{M_{\ell\ell}^4}{12 \pi s} \sum_q f_q(x_1) f_{\bar{q}}(x_2) \times (|M_{LL}|^2 + |M_{LR}|^2 + |M_{RL}|^2 + |M_{RR}|^2) , \quad (14)
$$

where $s = M_{\ell\ell}^2$ and $\sqrt{s}$ is the center-of-mass energy of the colliding hadrons. $\hat{M}_{\ell\ell}$ and $y$ are the invariant mass and the rapidity of the lepton pair, respectively, and $x_{1,2} = M_{\ell\ell} e^{\pm y}/\sqrt{s}$. The $K$ factor equals $1 + \frac{y}{1+2y} \left( 1 + \frac{4y^2}{3} \right)$. The reduced amplitude $M_{\alpha\beta}(\alpha, \beta = L, R)$ is given by

$$
M_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda^2 \left( \frac{1}{\Lambda_{d_{\ell\ell}}} \right) (s) \quad (15)
$$

where $g_1^g = Q_\ell \sin^2 \theta_w$, $g_2^g = -Q_\ell \sin^2 \theta_w$ and $Q_\ell$ is the electric charge of the fermion $\ell$. The phase $\exp(-i \phi_{d_{\ell\ell}})$ in the 4-fermion contact term will interfere with the $Z$ boson propagator in a rather non-trivial way. This is because both the contact term phase and the $Z$ boson propagator have the real and imaginary parts, which give rise to interesting interference patterns [11]. This kind of interference had been studied some time ago in [9] in the context of preon models. In Fig. 3 we depict the angular distributions and interference patterns in $e^+ e^-$ collisions that we will delay to a full publication [8].

(5) Lepton anomalous magnetic moments: Replacing one photon exchange in QED by the unparticle associated with the vector operator $\hat{O}^V_{\ell\ell}$, one can derive the unpar-
Unparticle physics associated with a hidden scale invariant sector with a nontrivial infrared fixed point at a higher energy scale has interesting phenomenological consequences at low energy experiments. Effective field theory can be used to explore the unparticle effects. Because the scale dimensions of the unparticle operators can take on non-integral values, this leads to peculiar features in the energy distributions for many processes involving SM particles. In this Letter, we have demonstrated these interesting features can be easily exhibited for various processes in $e^-e^+$ machines, but not for the monojet production at hadron colliders like the LHC. Moreover, virtual effects of the unparticle could be seen in the Drell-Yan process and the muon anomaly.

**Note added:** Recently, a second unparticle paper by Georgi appeared [11], which also studied the effect of the virtual propagation of the unparticle. Our form of the unparticle propagator agrees with his, once we adopt the same normalization.

It is amusing to see that current experimental data of the muon anomaly can give bounds to the effective coupling $\lambda_1$ and scale dimension $d_\mu$ already.

**Conclusion.** Unparticle physics associated with a hidden scale invariant sector with a nontrivial infrared fixed point at a higher energy scale has interesting phenomenological consequences at low energy experiments. Effective field theory can be used to explore the unparticle effects. Because the scale dimensions of the unparticle operators can take on non-integral values, this leads to peculiar features in the energy distributions for many processes involving SM particles. In this Letter, we have demonstrated these interesting features can be easily exhibited for various processes in $e^-e^+$ machines, but not for the monojet production at hadron colliders like the LHC. Moreover, virtual effects of the unparticle could be seen in the Drell-Yan process and the muon anomaly.
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