ON A NONLINEAR RECURRENT RELATION

DONG LI

Abstract. We study the limiting behavior for the solutions of a nonlinear recurrent relation which arises from the study of Navier-Stokes equations [1]. Some stability theorems are also shown concerning a related class of linear recurrent relations.

1. Introduction and main theorems

In this paper we consider the following nonlinear recurrent relation,

\[ \Lambda_p(x) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p_1 + p_2 = p, p_1, p_2 \geq 1} f(\gamma) \Lambda_{p_1}(x) \Lambda_{p_2}(x), \quad \gamma = \frac{p_1}{p}, \ p > 1 \quad (1.1) \]

where \( \Lambda_1 = x \in \mathbb{R} \) is a parameter, and \( f : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R} \) has integral 1. This quadratic recurrent relation arises from our recent study of complex blow ups of the 3D Navier-Stokes system [1]. There \( f(\gamma) \) takes the special form \( f(\gamma) = 6\gamma^2 - 10\gamma + 4 \), and we need to show that for each initial value \( \Lambda_1 = x \), there exists \( R(x) \) such that

\[ \Lambda_p(x) = R(x)^p(1 + \delta_p), \]

and \( \delta_p \to 0 \) as \( p \to \infty \). The main object of this paper is to prove this claim for a general class of functions \( f \) including our special function.

It is clear that \( \Lambda_p(\lambda x) = \lambda^p \Lambda_p(x) \) for any \( \lambda \neq 0 \). Therefore it suffices for us to show that there exists some \( x^* \) such that \( \Lambda_p(x^*) = 1 + \delta_p \to 1 \) as \( p \to \infty \). The limiting value of \( \Lambda_p(x^*) \) is 1 since the function \( f \) has integral 1 over \([0, 1]\). Clearly \( \Lambda_p(x) = (x/x^*)^p \Lambda_p(x^*) = R(x)^p(1 + \delta_p) \) if we define \( R(x) = x/x^* \).

We now state our conditions on the function \( f \).

Assumption 1.1. Let \( \tilde{f}(\gamma) = f(\gamma) + f(1 - \gamma) \) satisfy the following:

1. The integral of \( \tilde{f} \) over \([0, 1]\) is 2.
(2) $\tilde{f}$ is a polynomial, i.e.

$$\tilde{f}(\gamma) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n \gamma^n$$

(1.2)

(3) The equation in $\alpha$:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{n a_n}{n + 2} - \frac{1}{n + \alpha} = 1,$$

has $N$ distinct roots $z_1, \cdots, z_N$, in $\mathbb{C} \cap \{ z : -1 < \text{Re}(z) < 0 \}$. Denote

$$\alpha_f = 1 - \max_k \text{Re}(z_k).$$

One more technical condition, which is needed for the inductive stage of our proof, and can be justified with easy numerics, will be stated in section 5 (see remark 5.2). We now state our main theorem.

**Theorem 1.2** (Main theorem). Let assumption 1.1 and the technical condition in section 5 hold. Then there exists $x^*$ and constant $C > 0$, such that the solution $\Lambda_p(x)$ to the recurrent relation (1.1) satisfies

$$|\Lambda_p(x^*) - 1| \leq \frac{C}{p^{\alpha_f}}, \quad \forall \ p > 1.$$

Consequently for any $x$, there exists a unique number $R = x/x^*$, such that

$$\Lambda_p(x) = R^p \left( 1 + \frac{C_p}{p^{\alpha_f}} \right), \quad \forall \ p > 1,$$

where $C_p$ does not depend on $x$ and is uniformly bounded in $p$.

**Remark 1.3.** In the special case $f(\gamma) = 6\gamma^2 - 10\gamma + 4$ [1], we have $\tilde{f}(\gamma) = 12\gamma^2 - 12\gamma + 4$, and $z_{1,2} = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{15}}{2}$ are the roots of (1.3). Clearly the assumptions are satisfied with $\alpha_f = 3/2$. Our theorem says that in this case

$$\Lambda_p(x) = R^p \left( 1 + O(p^{-3/2}) \right).$$

More examples can be easily constructed.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we derive the linear system and give the main arguments. Section 3 includes the technical estimates needed to derive the linear system. Section 4 contains some theorems on the behavior of the solutions to the linearized system. Section 5 is devoted to the estimates of the nonlinear term and the proof of the main theorem. Some elementary estimates with explicit control of constants are deferred to the appendix.
2. Preliminary analysis and linearization

Since the limiting value of \( \Lambda_p(x^*) \) is 1, we linearize (1.1) around 1 and this gives:

\[
\Lambda_p(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{p} \left( \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} f(\gamma) + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} \tilde{f}(\gamma)(\Lambda_{p_1}(x) - 1) \right.
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p_1+p_2=p} f(\gamma)(\Lambda_{p_1}(x) - 1)(\Lambda_{p_2}(x) - 1),
\]

where \( \tilde{f}(\gamma) = f(\gamma) + f(1-\gamma) \). Our main goal is to show that there exists \( x^* \) such that \( \Lambda_p(x^*) \rightarrow 1 \). This motivates us to define \( a_p \) such that \( \Lambda_p(a_p) = 1 \).

When \( p \) tends to infinity, \( a_p \) is a good approximation of \( x^* \).

Now let us write

\[
\frac{a_p}{a_{p-1}} = 1 + \frac{\xi_p}{p^3}.
\]

The scaling \( p^{-3} \) here is not intuitively obvious and in fact is not optimal since as we shall see, \( |\xi_p| \leq C \cdot p^{-\alpha_f-1} \) as \( p \) tends to infinity. In terms of \( \xi_p \) we have

\[
\left(1 + \frac{\xi_p}{p^3}\right)^{-p} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} f(\gamma) + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-2} \tilde{f}(\gamma)(\Lambda_{p_1}(a_{p-1}) - 1)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p_1+p_2=p} f(\gamma)(\Lambda_{p_1}(a_{p-1}) - 1)(\Lambda_{p_2}(a_{p-1}) - 1).
\]

Or in a better form,

\[
p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-1} f(\gamma)\right) + p \left(1 + \frac{\xi_p}{p^3}\right)^{-p} - \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-2} \tilde{f}(\gamma) \left( \prod_{p_1 < q < p} \left(1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3}\right)^{p_1} - 1 \right).
\]

(2.1)

We shall derive a linear system for \( \xi_p \) from the above expression. First observe that for small values of \( p_1 \), the summand in \( Q_p \) are of order 1 and therefore the main order of \( Q_p \) is not linear in \( \xi_q \), \( q \leq p - 1 \). However as we shall show in section 3, we have

\[
p(Q_p - Q_{p-1}) = \frac{p}{p-1} \xi_{p-1} - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{q=2}^{p-1} \xi_q G(q/p) + O(\log p/p),
\]
where \( G(\gamma) = \int_0^1 \tilde{f}(t\gamma)t^2 dt \) and \( O(\log p/p) \) denotes terms of higher order of smallness in \( p \). As for \( R^{(1)}_p \), since by assumption \( f \) is a polynomial, it follows (by direct summation) that

\[
p(R^{(1)}_p) = O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right).
\]

Similarly

\[
p(R^{(2)}_p) = -\xi_p + \frac{p}{p-1} \xi_{p-1} + O\left(\frac{1}{p^2}\right).
\]

The term \( N_p \) will be estimated in section 5 and it is of higher order of smallness in \( p \). As for \( R^{(1)}_p \), since by assumption \( f \) is a polynomial, it follows (by direct summation) that

\[
p(R^{(1)}_p) - R^{(1)}_{p-1} = O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right).
\]

Put all these considerations together, we see that (2.1) is equivalent to

\[
\xi_p = 1 - \sum_{q=2}^{p-1} \frac{G(q/p)}{p} \xi_q + h_p,
\]

where \( h_p \) are of higher order in \( p \).

The main difficulty of estimating \( \xi_p \) by using (2.2) is "loss of control of constants". To explain this problem, take our special function \( f(\gamma) = 6\gamma^2 - 10\gamma + 4 \) and this gives \( G(\gamma) = 6\gamma - 4 \). It is enough to consider the system

\[
\xi_p = 1 - \sum_{q=2}^{p-1} \frac{G(q/p)}{p} \xi_q = 1 - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{q=2}^{p-1} (6q/p - 4) \xi_q.
\]

Suppose we want to prove by induction that \( |\xi_r| \leq Ar^{-\alpha} \) for some \( \alpha \geq 0 \) and \( A > 0 \). Then at step \( p \) we will get

\[
|\xi_p| \leq \frac{1}{p} \sum_{q=2}^{p-1} |6q/p - 4| \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{-\alpha}.
\]

As \( p \to \infty \), clearly

\[
C_p \to A \int_0^1 |6\gamma - 4| \gamma^{-\alpha}d\gamma \geq A \int_0^1 |6\gamma - 4| d\gamma > A.
\]

In other words we will not be able to justify the inductive hypothesis for \( p \) sufficiently large. For general function \( \tilde{f} \) one can show easily that the integral of \( G \) over \([0, 1]\) is \(-1\) (assuming the integral of \( \tilde{f} \) is 2). This implies that \( \int_0^1 |G(\gamma)| d\gamma \geq 1 \) and therefore this "loss of control of constants" problem is generic.

To solve this problem, we will first prove in section 4 a stability theorem concerning the linear system (2.2). And instead of inducting on \( \xi_p \), we

\footnote{Even if \( G(\gamma) \leq 0 \) and \( \int_0^1 G = -1 \), due to the nonlinear corrections \( h_p \) in (2.2), we still have "loss of control of constants".}
shall induct on $h_p$. The stability theorem in section 4 gives us bounds on $\xi_p$ by using the (inductively assumed) bounds on $h_p$. Since $h_{p+1}$ is bounded by quadratic functions of all $\xi_q$, $q \leq p$, the bounds on $\xi_q$ then produce a strong decay estimate on $h_{p+1}$ (see lemma 5.1). By using a slightly weaker induction hypothesis on $h_p$ (relative to the strong decay estimate), we can justify our inductive bound at step $p+1$ at the sacrifice of assuming $p$ to be sufficiently large. We are able to close our argument because of the genuine nonlinear nature of $h_p$.

3. The estimates of $Q_p - Q_{p-1}$

In this section we give the technical estimates of $Q_p - Q_{p-1}$. By definition of $Q_p$, we have

$$Q_p - Q_{p-1} = \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-2} \tilde{f}(\gamma) \cdot \left( \prod_{p_1 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} - \prod_{p_1 < q < p-1} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-3} (\tilde{f}(\gamma) - \tilde{f}(\gamma')) \cdot \left( \prod_{p_1 < q < p-1} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} - 1 \right)$$

$$= (I) + (II).$$

We shall show that in the main order of magnitude, we have

$$(I) \approx \frac{\xi_{p-1}}{p-1},$$

and

$$(II) \approx -\frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{q=2}^{p-1} \xi_q G(q/p).$$

Throughout this section we make the following ansatz on $\xi_q$, $q < p$:

**Assumption 3.1.** Let $A_1, A_2, A_3$ be positive constants. Let $N_0 \geq \max\{3, A_1\}$ be a positive integer, and $p > N_0$ such that:

1. $|\xi_q| \leq A_1$, for any $N_0 \leq q < p$.
2. $|\xi_q| \leq A_3$, for any $1 \leq q \leq N_0$.
3. $\prod_{p_1 < q \leq N_0} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} \leq A_2$, for any $1 \leq p_1 < N_0$.

Denote $G(\gamma) = \int_0^1 \tilde{f}'(\gamma t) t^2 dt$, we have the following main lemma.

**Lemma 3.2** (Main lemma). Let assumption 3.1 hold. Then there exists a constant $C = C \left( \|\tilde{f}\|_\infty, \|\tilde{f}'\|_\infty, \|\tilde{f}''\|_\infty, A_1, A_2, A_3, N_0 \right)$, such that

$$\left| \frac{p(Q_p - Q_{p-1})}{p-1} - \frac{p-1}{p} \xi_{p-1} + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{q=2}^{p-1} G(q/p) \xi_q \right| \leq C \log \frac{p}{p}.$$
Proof. Recall that \( Q_p - Q_{p-1} = (I) + (II) \) and we will estimate (I) and (II) separately. First note that \( \int_0^1 \tilde{f}(\gamma) \gamma d\gamma = 1 \). Using this fact we have

\[
(I) = \sum_{p_1 = 1}^{p-2} \tilde{f}(\gamma) \left( \prod_{p_1 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} - 1 \right) \cdot \left( 1 - \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_{p-1}}{(p-1)^3} \right)^{-p_1} \right)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{p_1 = 1}^{p-2} \tilde{f}(\gamma) \left( 1 - \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_{p-1}}{(p-1)^3} \right)^{-p_1} - \frac{p_1 \xi_{p-1}}{(p-1)^3} \right)
\]

\[
+ \left( \sum_{p_1 = 1}^{p-2} \tilde{f}(\gamma) \frac{p_1}{(p-1)^2} - \int_0^1 \tilde{f}(\gamma) \gamma d\gamma \right) \frac{\xi_{p-1}}{p-1} + \frac{\xi_{p-1}}{p-1}
\]

\[= e_p^{(1)} + e_p^{(2)} + e_p^{(3)} + \frac{\xi_{p-1}}{p-1}.\]

Estimate of \( e_p^{(1)} \): clearly

\[|e_p^{(1)}| \leq \left\| \tilde{f} \right\|_\infty \sum_{p_1 = 1}^{p-2} \prod_{p_1 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} - 1 \cdot \frac{p_1 A_1}{(p-1)^3},\]

\[\leq \left\| \tilde{f} \right\|_\infty \sum_{N_0 \leq p_1 \leq p-2} \frac{A_1}{p_1} \cdot \frac{p_1 A_1}{(p-1)^3} + \left\| \tilde{f} \right\|_\infty \sum_{1 \leq p_1 < N_0} \left( 1 + A_2(1 + \frac{A_1}{N_0}) \right) \cdot \frac{p_1 A_1}{(p-1)^3},\]

\[\leq \left\| \tilde{f} \right\|_\infty \left( \frac{A_1^2}{(p-1)^2} + \frac{(1 + A_2(1 + \frac{A_1}{N_0})) A_1 N_0^2}{2(p-1)^3} \right).\]

Estimate of \( e_p^{(2)} \): first it is rather easy to show that

\[\left| 1 - \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_{p-1}}{(p-1)^3} \right)^{-p_1} \right| \leq \frac{p_1 A_1}{(p-1)^3}.
\]

\[\left| \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_{p-1}}{(p-1)^3} \right)^{p_1} - 1 - \frac{p_1 \xi_{p-1}}{(p-1)^3} \right| \leq \frac{3p_1^2 A_1^2}{2(p-1)^6},\]

and also

\[\left| \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_{p-1}}{(p-1)^3} \right)^{-p_1} - 1 + \frac{p_1 \xi_{p-1}}{(p-1)^3} \right| \leq \frac{3p_1^2 A_1^2}{2(p-1)^6}.\]
Now it follows easily that
\[
\left| e_p^{(2)} \right| \leq \left\| \tilde{f} \right\|_\infty \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-2} \frac{3p_1^2 A_1^2}{2(p-1)^6} \\
\leq \left\| \tilde{f} \right\|_\infty \frac{A_1^2}{2(p-1)^3}.
\]

**Estimate of** $e_p^{(3)}$: This estimate actually does not require assumption 3.1. The only assumption needed here is that $p \geq 4$. Although this is a standard estimate, for the purpose of explicit control of constants, we give the full details here. Clearly
\[
\left| \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-2} \tilde{f}(\gamma) - \frac{p_1}{p-1} \frac{1}{p-1} \int_{p-1}^1 \tilde{f}(\gamma) d\gamma \right|
\leq \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-2} \int_{p-1}^{p_1} \left| \tilde{f} \left( \frac{p_1}{p} \right) \cdot \frac{p_1}{p-1} - \tilde{f}(\gamma) \right| d\gamma + \int_{p-1}^1 \left| \tilde{f}(\gamma) \right| d\gamma
\leq \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-2} \int_{p-1}^{p_1} \left\| \tilde{f}' \right\|_\infty \left| \gamma - \frac{p_1}{p} \frac{1}{p-1} \right| d\gamma + \left\| \tilde{f} \right\|_\infty \cdot \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)^2} + \frac{1}{p-1} \left\| \tilde{f} \right\|_\infty
\leq \frac{1}{4(p-1)} \left\| \tilde{f} \right\|_\infty + \frac{3}{2(p-1)} \left\| \tilde{f} \right\|_\infty.
\]

The estimates of (II) are similar. Write
\[
(II) = \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-3} \left( \tilde{f}(\gamma) - \tilde{f}(\gamma') + \tilde{f}'(\gamma) \cdot \frac{\gamma}{p-1} \right) \left( \prod_{p_1 < q < p-1} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} - 1 \right)
+ \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-3} \left( -\tilde{f}'(\gamma) \cdot \frac{\gamma}{p-1} \right) \left( \prod_{p_1 < q < p-1} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} - 1 + \frac{p_1}{p-1} \sum_{p_1 < q < p} \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)
+ \sum_{p_1=1}^{p-3} \left( -\tilde{f}'(\gamma) \cdot \frac{\gamma}{p-1} \right) \left( p_1 \sum_{p_1 < q < p} \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right) + \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{q=2}^{p-2} \xi_q G(q/p)
- \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{q=2}^{p-2} \xi_q G(q/p)
= e_p^{(4)} + e_p^{(5)} + e_p^{(6)} - \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{q=2}^{p-2} \xi_q G(q/p)
\]

**Estimate of** $e_p^{(4)}$ and $e_p^{(5)}$: by Taylor expansion on $\tilde{f}$ we have
\[
\left| \tilde{f}(\gamma) - \tilde{f}(\gamma') + \tilde{f}'(\gamma) \cdot \frac{\gamma}{p-1} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \tilde{f}'' \right\|_\infty \cdot \frac{\gamma^2}{(p-1)^2}.
\]

\[
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Then by lemma 6.5 in the appendix,
\[
\left| e_p^{(4)} \right| \leq \| f' \|_\infty \left( \frac{A_1}{4p^2} + \frac{N_0^3}{6(p-1)^2p^2} \left( 1 + A_2(1 + \frac{A_1}{N_0}) \right) \right).
\]
Similarly the estimate of \( e_p^{(5)} \) follows from lemma 6.7 in the appendix:
\[
\left| e_p^{(5)} \right| \leq \| f' \|_\infty \left( \frac{A_2^2 \log(p-3)}{4p(p-1)} + \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{A_2}{N_0^2} + \frac{(3A_2 + 1)A_1 + 3A_3}{6} \right) \right).
\]

**Estimate of \( e_p^{(6)} \):** First note that
\[
\left| \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{1 \leq p_1 < q} \tilde{f}'(\gamma)^2 - \int_0^p \tilde{f}'(\gamma)^2 d\gamma \right|
\leq \sum_{p_1=1}^{q-1} \left( \| f' \|_\infty \frac{p_1^2}{p^3(p-1)} + \left( \| f'' \|_\infty + 2 \left( \| f' \|_\infty \frac{p_1}{p^3} \right) \right) \right) \frac{q^2}{p^2}.
\]

Then
\[
\frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{1 \leq p_1 < q} \frac{p_1 \xi_q}{q^3}
= \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{q=2}^{p-2} \xi_q \sum_{1 \leq p_1 < q} \frac{p^3}{q^3} \frac{1}{p-1} \tilde{f}'(\gamma) \cdot \gamma^2
\]

Now it follows easily that
\[
\left| e_p^{(6)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{q=2}^{p-2} \xi_q \left( \| f' \|_\infty \left( \frac{1}{3p} + \frac{2}{q} \right) + \| f'' \|_\infty \frac{1}{2q} \right).
\]

The lemma is proved. \( \square \)

### 4. Behavior of Solutions to the Linear System

In this section we shall study the linear system defined by
\[
\xi_p = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{q=2}^{p-1} G(q/p) \xi_q + h_p.
\]
As was already mentioned in section 2, this linear system is obtained from the nonlinear relation \( h_p \). We shall now free ourselves from the constraint that \( h_p \) is a quadratic function of all \( \xi_q, q \leq p \). Instead we assume here that \( h_p \) is known. Under some conditions on the function \( G \) and the sequence \( h_p \), we show that \( \xi_p \approx \text{const} \cdot p^\sigma \), where \( \sigma = \sigma(G) \) is to be defined later in this section. We begin with a simple lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( N \geq 1 \). Assume \( \{C_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}, \{\alpha_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}, \{\sigma_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq N} \) are complex numbers such that:

1. \( \alpha_i \neq \alpha_j, \sigma_i \neq \sigma_j, \text{ if } i \neq j. \)
2. \( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{C_i}{\sigma_i + \alpha_i + 1} = 1, \forall 1 \leq k \leq N. \)
3. \( \text{Re}(\sigma_k) \leq 0, \forall 1 \leq k \leq N. \)

Suppose \( M_p \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}, p \geq 2 \) is a sequence of matrices defined by

\[
M_p^{(k,j)} = \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{\alpha_{k+1}} \delta_{kj} + C_j \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{\alpha_{j+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{p}.
\]

Then there exists a constant \( K > 0 \) which depends only on \( \alpha_i, \sigma_i, C_i \), such that

\[
\left\| M_p M_{p-1} \cdots M_{q_0+1} M_{q_0} \right\|_2 \leq K,
\]

for any \( q_0 \geq 2, p \geq 3 \), where \( \| \cdot \|_2 \) denotes the matrix spectral norm.

**Proof.** We have

\[
M_p^{(k,j)} = \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha_k + 1}{p} \right) \delta_{kj} + \frac{C_j}{p} + O\left( \frac{1}{p^2} \right)
= \delta_{kj} + \frac{C_j - (\alpha_k + 1)\delta_{kj}}{p} + O\left( \frac{1}{p^2} \right).
\]

Consider the matrix \( \tilde{M} \) defined by

\[
\tilde{M}^{(k,j)} = C_j - (\alpha_k + 1)\delta_{kj}.
\]

It is not difficult to show that the equation for eigenvalues of \( \tilde{M} \) \( \det(\tilde{M} - \lambda I) = 0 \) is equivalent to:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{C_i}{\lambda + \alpha_i + 1} = 1.
\]

By our assumption \( \tilde{M} \) has \( N \) distinct eigenvalues \( \sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_N \). Let \( D \) be the diagonal matrix such that \( D_{ii} = \sigma_i \). It follows easily that there exist \( S, S^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N} \), such that

\[
M_p = S \left( I + \frac{1}{p} D + O\left( \frac{1}{p^2} \right) \right) S^{-1}.
\]
Since $\max_{1 \leq k \leq N} \text{Re}(\sigma_k) \leq 0$, we have
\[
\left\| I + \frac{1}{p} D + O \left( \frac{1}{p^2} \right) \right\|_2 \leq 1 + \frac{C_1}{p^2},
\]
where $C_1$ is a constant independent of $p$. Now the theorem follows by using the submultiplicative property of the matrix spectral norm. \hfill \Box

In what follows, we shall assume that $G(\cdot)$ is a finite sum of (generalized) monomials, i.e.
\begin{equation}
G(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_i \gamma^{\alpha_i},
\end{equation}
where $\alpha_i, C_i$ are complex numbers, in particular, note that $\alpha_i$ need not be integers. Here for $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ and $\alpha = a + bi$, $\gamma^\alpha$ is simply defined as $\exp\{ (a+bi) \log \gamma \}$ where $\log \gamma$ is real-valued. The main assumption on $G$ is the following:
Assume that the equation in $\lambda$
\begin{equation}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_i \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_i + 1}}{\lambda + \alpha_i + 1} = 1,
\end{equation}
has $N$ distinct roots in $\mathbb{C}$.
Denote the $N$ distinct roots by $\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_N$, and define
\begin{equation}
\sigma(G) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \text{Re}(\sigma_i).
\end{equation}
$\sigma$ will be called the characteristic exponent of $G$. We prove the following theorem concerning a linear recurrent system generated by $G$:

**Theorem 4.2.** Assume that $G$ satisfies (4.1) and (4.2). Consider the linear recurrent system defined by:
\[
\xi_p = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{q=2}^{p-1} G(q/p) \xi_q, \quad p \geq 3,
\]
with $\xi_2$ as a parameter. Then there exists a constant $C$ depending only on $G$ such that
\begin{equation}
|\xi_p| \leq C \cdot p^\sigma \cdot |\xi_2|, \quad \forall p > 1,
\end{equation}
where the characteristic exponent $\sigma = \sigma(G)$ is defined in (4.3).

**Proof.** We begin with a simple observation. Suppose $\text{Re}(\sigma_{i_0}) = \sigma(G)$ and consider
\[
\tilde{G}(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_i \gamma^{\alpha_i - \sigma_{i_0}}.
\]
Then $\tilde{G}(\gamma)$ also satisfies the assumption with $\tilde{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i - \sigma_i$. Also $\sigma(\tilde{G}) = 0$, $\tilde{\xi}_p = p^{-\sigma_0} \xi_p$, where $\tilde{\xi}_p$ is generated by $\tilde{G}$. With this simple observation, it suffices for us to prove the theorem assuming $\sigma(G) = 0$.

Assume this is the case and define the moments of $\xi_p$ by:

$$B_p^{(k)} = \sum_{q=2}^{p} \xi_q q^{\alpha_k},$$

where $\alpha_k$ is defined in the definition of $G$ (see (4.1)). Then obviously we have

$$\xi_p = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{C_k}{p^{\alpha_k+1}} B_p^{(k)}.$$  \hfill (4.5)

The recurrent formula for $B_p^{(k)}$ follows easily:

$$B_p^{(k)} = B_p^{(k)} + p^{\alpha_k} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{C_j}{p^{\alpha_j+1}} B_p^{(j)}.$$  

Now if our bound on $\xi_p$ (4.4) is correct, then heuristically $B_p^{(k)}$ grows as $p^{\text{Re}(\alpha_k)+1}$. This motivates us to define the scaled variables

$$\tilde{B}_p^{(k)} = p^{-\alpha_k-1} B_p^{(k)}.$$  

For $\tilde{B}_p^{(k)}$ we have the recurrent relation:

$$\tilde{B}_p^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} M_p^{(k,j)} \tilde{B}_p^{(j)} - 1,$$

where the matrix $M_p^{(k,j)}$ is given by:

$$M_p^{(k,j)} = \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{\alpha_k+1} \delta_{kj} + C_j \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{\alpha_j+1} \cdot \frac{1}{p}.$$  

Note that the matrix $M_p$ is the same as in lemma 4.1. Now denote the vector $\tilde{B}_p = (\tilde{B}_p^{(1)}, \ldots, \tilde{B}_p^{(N)})^T$. Then we have

$$\|\tilde{B}_p\|_2 = \|M_p M_p^{-1} \cdots M_3 M_2 \tilde{B}_1\|_2 \leq \|M_p M_p^{-1} \cdots M_3 M_2\|_2 \|\tilde{B}_1\|_2.$$  

By lemma 4.1 we have for some constant $C$ depending only on $G$ such that

$$\|M_p M_p^{-1} \cdots M_3 M_2\|_2 \leq C.$$  

This immediately implies that $\tilde{B}_p$ is uniformly bounded for all $p > 1$. The desired bound on $\xi_p$ then follows by using (4.5). Our theorem is proved. □
The next lemma can be regarded as an inhomogeneous version of theorem 4.2. It states that the solution to the linear recurrent system generated by $G$ is stable under sufficiently small perturbations.

**Lemma 4.3.** Assume $G$ satisfies (4.1) and (4.2), with the characteristic exponent $\sigma = \sigma(G)$ defined in (4.3). Consider the linear recurrent system defined by:

$$\xi_p = h_p + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{q=2}^{p-1} G(q/p) \xi_q, \quad p \geq 3,$$

where $\xi_2$ is a parameter, and assume that for some positive constant $C_1$ and $\varepsilon$, the sequence $h_p$ satisfies

$$|h_p| \leq C_1 \cdot p^{-\varepsilon + \sigma}, \quad \forall \ p \geq 2.$$

Then there exists a constant $K$ independent of $p$, such that

$$|\xi_p| \leq KC_1 \cdot p^\sigma \cdot |\xi_2|, \quad \forall \ p \geq 2.$$

**Proof.** Without loss of generality assume $\sigma(G) = 0$ (see the beginning of the proof of theorem 4.2). Define $B_p^{(k)}$, $B_p^{(k)}$, $\tilde{B}_p$ and $M_{p(j)}^{(k)}$ as in the proof of theorem 4.2. Clearly then

$$\xi_p = h_p + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^{N} C_k \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{\alpha_k+1} \tilde{B}_p^{(k)}.$$

Denote $g_p = p^{-1} h_p (1, \cdots, 1)^T$. Then for $\tilde{B}_p$ we have

$$\tilde{B}_p = M_p \tilde{B}_{p-1} + g_p$$

$$= M_p(M_{p-1} \tilde{B}_{p-2} + g_{p-1}) + g_p = \cdots$$

$$= \sum_{p_1=3}^{p} (M_p M_{p-1} \cdots M_{p_1+1}) g_{p_1} + (M_p M_{p-1} \cdots M_3) \tilde{B}_2.$$ 

By our assumption on $h_{p_1}$, we have

$$\|g_{p_1}\|_2 \leq \frac{\sqrt{NC_1}}{p_1^{1+\varepsilon}}, \quad \forall \ 3 \leq p_1 \leq p.$$

By lemma 4.1 we have for some constant $\tilde{K} > 0$,

$$\left\|M_p M_{p-1} \cdots M_{p_1+1}\right\|_2 \leq \tilde{K}, \forall \ p_1, p \geq 2.$$

It follows easily that

$$\left\|\tilde{B}_p\right\|_2 \leq \tilde{K} \sum_{p_1=3}^{p} \frac{\sqrt{NC_1}}{p_1^{1+\varepsilon}} + \tilde{K}^2 \leq KC_1,$$

where $C_2$ is a constant independent of $p$. Now use (4.7) to conclude the proof of the lemma. The lemma is proved. \qed
5. Estimate of the nonlinear term and proof of the main theorem

In this section we shall estimate the nonlinear term $N_p$. We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** Assume $0 < \sigma < 1$. Let $(\xi_q)_{q \geq 2}$ be a sequence of numbers such that

$$\left| \prod_{p_1 < q < N_0} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} \right| \leq C_1, \quad \forall \ 1 \leq p_1 < N_0.$$  

and

$$|\xi_q| \leq C_2 q^{-\sigma}, \quad \forall \ N_0 < q < p,$$

where $N_0 \geq \max\{C_2^{-1/\sigma}, (4C_2)^{1/\sigma}\}$. Let $p \geq 2N_0$ and $N_p$ be defined by

$$N_p = \sum_{p_1+p_2=p} f(\gamma) \left( \prod_{p_1 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} - 1 \right) \cdot \left( \prod_{p_2 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_2} - 1 \right).$$

Then we have

$$|N_p| \leq \left\| f^2 \right\|_\infty \left( \frac{(1 + C_1 + C_2)2N_0^2C_2}{(p - N_0)^{2+\sigma}} + \frac{4C_2^2C_\sigma}{p^{1+2\sigma}} \right),$$

where

$$C_\sigma = \int_0^1 \gamma^{-\sigma} (1 - \gamma)^{-\sigma} d\gamma.$$  

**Proof.** First since we have $N_0 \geq \max\{C_2^{-1/\sigma}, (4C_2)^{1/\sigma}\}$, therefore for $r \geq N_0,$

$$\left| \prod_{r < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^r - 1 \right| \leq \frac{2C_2}{2+\sigma} \cdot \left( 1 - \left( \frac{r}{2} \right)^{2+\sigma} \right).$$

For $1 \leq r < N_0$, clearly

$$\left| \prod_{r < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^r - 1 \right| \leq 1 + C_1 + \frac{2C_1C_2}{(2+\sigma)N_0^{1+\sigma}}.$$  

For $p - N_0 < p_2 < p$, we get

$$\left| \prod_{p_2 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_2} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{2N_0C_2}{p_2^{2+\sigma}}.$$
Now we have
\[
|N_p| \leq \left\| f \right\|_{\infty} \int \left( \sum_{p_1 < N_0} \left| \prod_{p_1 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} - 1 \right| \cdot \prod_{p_2 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_2} - 1 \right) + \\
+ \sum_{N_0 \leq p_1 < \pi} \left( \prod_{p_1 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} - 1 \right) \cdot \prod_{p_2 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_2} - 1 \right) \right)
\]
\[
\leq \left\| f \right\|_{\infty} \left( \sum_{p_1 < N_0} \left( 1 + C_1 + \frac{2C_1C_2}{(2 + \sigma)N_0^{1+\sigma}} \right) \cdot \frac{2N_0C_2}{p_2^{2+\sigma}} + \\
+ \sum_{N_0 \leq p_1 < \pi} \left( \frac{2C_2}{2 + \sigma} \right)^2 \frac{1}{p_1^{1+\sigma}p_2^{1+\sigma}} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{p_1}{p} \right)^{2+\sigma} \right) \cdot \left( 1 - \left( \frac{p_2}{p} \right)^{2+\sigma} \right) \right) \right)
\]
\[
\leq \left\| f \right\|_{\infty} \left( \frac{(1 + C_1 + C_2)2N_0^2C_2}{(p - N_0)^{2+\sigma}} + \frac{4C_2^2C_\sigma}{p^{1+2\sigma}} \right).
\]

\[\square\]

**Proof of the main theorem.** We begin by observing that \(\alpha_f = 1 - \sigma(G)\).

By assumption \(\operatorname{1.1}\) we have \(0 < -\sigma(G) < 1\). Our inductive assumption is that for \(r < p\), we have
\[
\xi_r = h_r + \frac{1}{r} \sum_{q=2}^{r-1} G(q/r)\xi_q,
\]
and for some positive \(\varepsilon\), \(0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4} \min\{1 + \sigma(G), -\sigma(G)\}\),
\[
|h_r| \leq C_4 r^{\sigma(G) - \varepsilon}.
\]

We shall assume that the inductive assumption is justified for some \(p_0\) sufficiently large. Now assume \(p \geq p_0\). Lemma \(\operatorname{1.3}\) gives us
\[
|\xi_r| \leq K \cdot C_4 r^{\sigma(G)}, \quad \forall r \leq p - 1.
\]

At step \(p\), define
\[
\hat{\xi}_p = p^2 \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_p}{p^3} \right)^{-p} - 1.
\]

Then we have (see section 2)
\[
\hat{\xi}_p = p(R_p^{(1)} - R_p^{(1)}) - \left( p(Q_p - Q_{p-1}) - \frac{p}{p-1} \hat{\xi}_{p-1} \right) - p(N_p - N_{p-1}).
\]

By lemma \(\operatorname{3.2}\)
\[
\left| p(Q_p - Q_{p-1}) - \frac{p}{p-1} \hat{\xi}_{p-1} + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{q=2}^{p-1} G(q/p)\xi_q \right| \leq \frac{C_{\varepsilon_1}}{p^{1+\varepsilon_1}},
\]
where $C_{\varepsilon_1}$ depends only on $\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon_1$, $\alpha$, $C_4$, $K$ and the function $f$. The parameter $0 < \varepsilon_1 < 1$ can be taken arbitrarily small (but $C_{\varepsilon_1}$ will be large). Take $\varepsilon_1 < 1 + \sigma(G) - 2\varepsilon$, then by lemma 5.1 we get

$$\left| \hat{\xi}_p - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{q=2}^{p-1} G(q/p) \xi_q \right| \leq \frac{C_{\varepsilon_1}}{p^{1-\varepsilon_1}} + p |N_p(\xi_2, \xi_3, \cdots, \xi_{p-1})| + p |N_p(\xi_2, \xi_3, \cdots, \xi_{p-1})| + \frac{B}{p} \leq \frac{C_{\varepsilon_1}}{p^{1-\varepsilon_1}} + D_2 p^{2\sigma(G)} + \frac{B}{p} \leq C_5 p^{\sigma(G) - 2\varepsilon},$$

where $D_2$, $B$ and $C_5$ are constants. Now use lemma 4.3 again to have that

$$\left| \hat{\xi}_p \right| \leq K \cdot C_5 p^{\sigma(G)}.$$

Then by lemma 5.2, we have

$$|h_p| \leq \left| \xi_p - \hat{\xi}_p \right| + \left| \hat{\xi}_p - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{q=2}^{p-1} G(q/p) \xi_q \right| \leq \frac{C_6}{p^2} + C_5 p^{\sigma(G) - 2\varepsilon} \leq C_4 p^{\sigma(G) - \varepsilon},$$

where the last inequality follows if we take $p_0$ to be sufficiently large. We have proved the inductive hypothesis for all $p$. Now the desired uniform bounds on $\xi_p$ follows again from the stability lemma 4.3. The main theorem is proved. □

Remark 5.2. A technical assumption is used in the proof of the main theorem. Namely, we need to justify our inductive assumption up to $p = p_0$. This step is mainly done with the help of numerics. In the special case $f(\gamma) = 6\gamma^2 - 10\gamma + 4$, a good estimate of $p_0$ is about $p_0 \approx 100$. This is enough for our purposes.

6. APPENDIX

This appendix is devoted to the elementary estimates needed in previous sections. We note that because of the technical assumption in section 5, we need to get explicit control of constants. For this reason we gather all the needed explicit estimates in this appendix.

Lemma 6.1. The following easy elementary inequalities are used:

- Suppose $x > -1$, then
  $$\log(1 + x) \leq x.$$
• Suppose $M > N \geq 1$, then for any $\alpha > 0$
\[
\sum_{q=N+1}^{M} \frac{1}{q^{\alpha+1}} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{N^\alpha} - \frac{1}{M^\alpha} \right).
\]

• If $0 \leq x \leq 1$, then
\[
e^x \leq 1 + x.
\]

• If $-\frac{1}{4} \leq x \leq 0$, then
\[
e^{2x} \leq 1 + x.
\]

equivalently we have
\[
\log(1 + x) \geq 2x.
\]

• If $|x| \leq \frac{1}{4}$, then
\[
e^x \leq 1 + x + x^2.
\]

• If $|x| \leq \frac{1}{4}$, then
\[
\log(1 + x) \geq x - x^2.
\]

**Lemma 6.2.** Let $D_1 > 0$, $p_0$ is an integer and $p_0 \geq \max\{2\sqrt{D_1}, 7\}$. Suppose $|x| \leq D_1$, Then
\[
|p^3 \left( \left( 1 + \frac{x}{p^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} - 1 \right) + x| \leq \frac{5x^2}{4p^2} \leq \frac{5D_1^2}{4p^2}, \quad \forall \ p \geq p_0.
\]

**Proof.** One side is trivial:
\[
p^3 \left( \left( 1 + \frac{x}{p^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} - 1 \right) + x
\]
\[
\geq p^3 \left( \exp \left( -\frac{x}{p^2} \right) - 1 \right) + x \geq 0.
\]

For the other side,
\[
p^3 \left( \left( 1 + \frac{x}{p^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} - 1 \right) + x
\]
\[
\leq p^3 \left( \exp \left( -\frac{x}{p^3} + \frac{x^2}{p^5} \right) - 1 \right) + x
\]
\[
\leq p^3 \left( -\frac{x}{p^3} + \frac{x^2}{p^5} + \left( \frac{x}{p^3} - \frac{x^2}{p^5} \right)^2 \right) + x
\]
\[
\leq \frac{5x^2}{4p^2}.
\]

\[\square\]
Lemma 6.3. Assume that $|\xi_q| \leq A$, for any $q \geq N_0$, where $N_0 \geq \max\{1, A\}$.

Then we have

$$\left| \prod_{p_1 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{A}{p_1},$$

for any $p_1 \geq N_0$.

Proof. We have by assumption $\frac{|\xi_q|}{q^3} \leq \frac{1}{4}$, therefore

$$\prod_{p_1 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} \leq \exp \left\{ \sum_{q=p_1+1}^{p-1} p_1 \log \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right) \right\} \leq \exp \left\{ \sum_{q=p_1+1}^{p-1} p_1 \frac{A}{q^3} \right\} \leq \exp \left\{ \frac{A}{2p_1} \right\} \leq 1 + \frac{A}{p_1}.$$

Similarly,

$$\prod_{p_1 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} \geq \exp \left\{ \sum_{q=p_1+1}^{p-1} p_1 \log \left( 1 - \frac{A}{q^3} \right) \right\} \geq \exp \left\{ \sum_{q=p_1+1}^{p-1} p_1 \left( -\frac{2A}{q^3} \right) \right\} \geq \exp \left\{ -\frac{A}{p_1} \right\} \geq 1 - \frac{A}{p_1}.$$

Lemma 6.4.

$$\sum_{N_0 \leq p_1 \leq p-3} \frac{\gamma^2}{(p-1)^2} \left| \prod_{p_1 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{A}{2p^2}.$$
Proof. we have
\[
\sum_{N_0 \leq p_1 \leq p-3} \frac{\gamma^2}{(p-1)^2} \left| \prod_{p_1 \leq q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} - 1 \right| \\
\leq \sum_{N_0 \leq p_1 \leq p-3} \frac{p_1^2}{(p-1)^2 p^2} \frac{A}{p_1} \\
\leq \frac{A}{2p^2}.
\]

\[\square\]

Lemma 6.5. Assume that \(|\xi_q| \leq A_1\), for any \(q \geq N_0\), where \(N_0 \geq \max\{1, A_1\}\). Also assume that for some constant \(A_2 > 0\),
\[
\prod_{p_1 < q \leq N_0} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} \leq A_2, \quad \forall 1 \leq p_1 \leq N_0.
\]
Then we have
\[
\sum_{1 \leq p_1 \leq p-3} \frac{\gamma^2}{(p-1)^2} \left| \prod_{p_1 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{A_1}{2p^2} + \frac{N_0^3}{3(p-1)^2 p^2} \left( 1 + A_2(1 + \frac{A_1}{N_0}) \right).
\]

Proof. We have
\[
\sum_{p_1 < N_0} \frac{\gamma^2}{(p-1)^2} \left| \prod_{p_1 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} - 1 \right| \\
\leq \sum_{p_1 < N_0} \frac{p_1^2}{(p-1)^2 p^2} \left( A_2 \prod_{N_0 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{A_1}{q^3} \right)^{N_0} + 1 \right) \\
\leq \sum_{p_1 < N_0} \frac{p_1^2}{(p-1)^2 p^2} \left( 1 + A_2(1 + \frac{A_1}{N_0}) \right) \\
\leq \frac{N_0^3}{3(p-1)^2 p^2} \left( 1 + A_2(1 + \frac{A_1}{N_0}) \right).
\]

\[\square\]

Lemma 6.6. Assume that \(|\xi_q| \leq A\), for any \(q \geq N_0\), where \(N_0 \geq \max\{1, A\}\). Then we have
\[
\left| \prod_{p_1 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} - \left( 1 + p_1 \sum_{p_1 < q < p} \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right) \right| \leq \frac{A^2}{4p_1^2},
\]
for any \(p_1 \geq N_0\).
Proof. First we have

\[ \left| \sum_{p_1 < q < p} \frac{p_1 \xi_q}{q^3} \right| \leq \sum_{p_1 < q < p} \frac{p_1 A}{q^3} \leq \frac{A}{2p_1} \leq \frac{1}{2}. \]

Therefore

\[ \prod_{p_1 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} = \exp \left\{ p_1 \sum_{p_1 < q < p} \log \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right) \right\} \]
\[ \leq \exp \left\{ \sum_{p_1 < q < p} \frac{p_1 \xi_q}{q^3} \right\} \]
\[ \leq 1 + \sum_{p_1 < q < p} \frac{p_1 \xi_q}{q^3} + \frac{A^2}{4p_1^4}. \]

Similarly

\[ \prod_{p_1 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} - \left( 1 + p_1 \sum_{p_1 < q < p} \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right) \]
\[ \geq \exp \left\{ p_1 \sum_{p_1 < q < p} \left( \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} - \frac{\xi_q^2}{q^6} \right) \right\} - \left( 1 + p_1 \sum_{p_1 < q < p} \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right) \]
\[ \geq \frac{3}{2} \left( e^{-p_1 \sum_{p_1 < q < p} \frac{A^2}{q^3}} - 1 \right) \]
\[ \geq \frac{3}{2} \left( e^{-\frac{A^2}{5p_1^4}} - 1 \right) \]
\[ \geq - \frac{3A^2}{10p_1^4} \geq - \frac{A^2}{4p_1^4}. \]

\[ \square \]

Lemma 6.7. Assume the following:

1. \(|\xi_q| \leq A_1\), for any \(q \geq N_0\), where \(N_0 \geq \max\{1, A_1\}\).
2. \(|\xi_q| \leq A_3\) for any \(1 \leq q \leq N_0\).
3. For some constant \(A_2 > 0\),

\[ \prod_{p_1 < q \leq N_0} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} \leq A_2, \quad \forall \ 1 \leq p_1 \leq N_0. \]
Then we have

\[
\sum_{1 \leq p_1 \leq p-3} \frac{\gamma}{p-1} \left| \prod_{p_1 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} - \left( 1 + p_1 \sum_{p_1 < q < p} \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right) \right| \leq \frac{A_1^2 \log(p-3)}{4p(p-1)} + \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \left( \frac{1 + A_2}{2} \frac{N_0^2}{N_0} + \frac{(3A_2 + 1)A_1 + 3A_3}{6} \right).
\]

Proof. We have

\[
\sum_{N_0 \leq p_1 \leq p-3} \frac{\gamma}{p-1} \left| \prod_{p_1 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} - \left( 1 + p_1 \sum_{p_1 < q < p} \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right) \right| \leq \sum_{N_0 \leq p_1 \leq p-3} \frac{p_1}{p(p-1)} \frac{A_1^2}{4p_1^2} \leq \frac{A_1^2 \log(p-3)}{4p(p-1)}.
\]

Also

\[
\left| p_1 \sum_{p_1 < q < p} \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right| \leq p_1 \sum_{p_1 < q < N_0} \frac{A_3}{q^3} + p_1 \sum_{N_0 < q < p} \frac{A_1}{q^3} \leq \frac{A_3}{2p_1} + \frac{p_1 A_1}{2N_0^2}.
\]

This gives us

\[
\sum_{1 \leq p_1 < N_0} \frac{\gamma}{p-1} \left| \prod_{p_1 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} - \left( 1 + p_1 \sum_{p_1 < q < p} \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \sum_{1 \leq p_1 < N_0} p_1 \left( \left| \prod_{p_1 < q < p} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right)^{p_1} - 1 \right| + \left| p_1 \sum_{p_1 < q < p} \frac{\xi_q}{q^3} \right| \right) \leq \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \sum_{1 \leq p_1 < N_0} p_1 \left( 1 + A_2 \frac{A_1}{N_0} + \frac{A_3}{2p_1} + \frac{p_1 A_1}{2N_0^2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \left( \frac{1 + A_2}{2} \frac{N_0^2}{N_0} + \frac{(3A_2 + 1)A_1 + 3A_3}{6} \right).
\]

□
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