Prognosis and New Predictors of Early Left-Ventricular Thrombus Following ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction
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Objective: In the current era of primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PPCI), the prognosis of the left ventricular thrombus (LVT) is not well assessed.

Methods: We performed a retrospective, single-center study of 1305 consecutive ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) patients treated with PPCI. During a mean period of 27 months of follow-up, the major adverse cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events (MACCE) were recorded.

Results: The incidence of LVT (n = 47) was 3.60%. The independent risk factors of LVT included anterior STEMI, left ventricular (LV) aneurysm, reduced LV ejection fraction (LVEF), dilated LV end-diastolic dimension (LVEDD), and delayed door-to-balloon time (DTBT). During follow-up, LVT was an independent risk factor for MACCE [hazard ratio (HR)=3.46; 95% confidence interval (CI) = 2.23–3.38; P < 0.01]. Patients with LVT were more likely to have the following complications: heart failure (P < 0.001), embolic events (P = 0.034), and all-cause mortality (P = 0.020). Notably, the regression of LVT was not independently associated with those three adverse events (P > 0.05).

Conclusion: In the era of PPCI, the presence of early LVT following STEMI was associated with adverse events. Furthermore, the prognosis of patients with LVT did not improve even if the LVT regressed. LVT was likely a generalized indicator of impaired cardiac performance, rather than the cause. This indicated that prophylactic therapy and identifying individuals with a high risk of developing LVT were of substantial importance.
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Introduction

The left ventricular thrombus (LVT) is a feared complication for its risks of embolization to peripheral arteries and vital organs after acute myocardial infarction.1,2 Comparing ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) patients with LVT to those without LVT, the rate of embolic events in patients with LVT was four-fold higher, and the rate of long-term mortality was two-fold higher.3 In the current era of primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PPCI), the formation of LVT subsequent to STEMI remains relatively common. The estimated incidence is up to 15% despite advances in reperfusion and timely anti-thrombotic therapy.4–6 Regardless of STEMI treatments, risk factors for LVT that have been identified are an anterior STEMI, large infarct area, and reduction in systolic function.7–9 Given the high-risk and increasing burden of LVT, data of which we are aware regarding their prediction to predict its occurrence.
occurrence remains a clinical challenge. Furthermore, data on the prognosis of LVT-related events are not well assessed in the era of PPCI. Consequently, we conducted a retrospective study to evaluate the risk factors and prognosis of LVT in patients admitted for STEMI and treated with PPCI in the Provincial Chest-Pain Center.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Population and Design**

Consecutive STEMI patients (N = 1363), who underwent PPCI from January 2017 to September 2019 at the China-Japan Union Hospital were screened in our study. The STEMI was defined in accordance with the new World Health Organization (WHO) criteria as revised in 2000: a rise in cardiac troponin levels accompanied by clinical symptoms of myocardial ischemia, and pathological Q waves and/or changes in the electrocardiogram (ECG) (new or presumed-new ST segment elevation at the J-point in least two or more consecutive leads, with ST segment elevation within the cut-off of ≥0.2 mV in leads V1, V2, or V3; and ≥0.1 mV in other leads). In total, 58 patients were excluded by the following criteria: combination with previous stress cardiomyopathy (n = 13), myocarditis (n = 11), dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM; n = 8), and patients who died before the first echocardiogram (n = 26). The remaining 1305 patients enrolled in our study were classified as patients with LVT (LVT group, n = 47) and without LVT (control group, n = 1258) (Figure 1). Written informed consent was obtained from the patients prior to enrolment. The trial was approved by the Institutional Review Board of The China-Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University (Approval No. 2019012804).

A retrospective analysis was performed using the agency’s electronic records and database. Hematological parameters were collected from the antecubital vein before the patient received any fibrinolytic therapy. Management of vascular lesions were performed during PCI at the operator’s discretion. PCI results were interpreted by two

---

**Figure 1** Study flow chart.

**Abbreviations:** STEMI, ST-elevation myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; LVT, left ventricular thrombus.
experienced senior operators. Two-dimensional echocardiography is generally performed within 72 h of admission by experienced sonographers. If the diagnosis of LVT is suspectable, patients will perform a mandatory second assessment, including two-dimensional echocardiography or cardiac magnetic resonance before discharge. In accordance with the recommendation by the American Echocardiography Association, the Simpson method was used to evaluate the left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF). LVT was diagnosed as a protruding or independently active mass in the left ventricular cavity throughout systole and diastole that was different from the notochord structure, papillary muscle, trabecula, technical artifact, or tangent view of the left ventricular wall. Treatment of all patients with LVT was left to the discretion of the attending physician based on the patient’s clinical status.

Follow-Up
Clinical outcomes were assessed through clinic visits or telephone visits. The major adverse cardiovascular and cerebrovascular event (MACCE) was defined as the first occurrence of any component of the composite outcome of all-cause death, heart failure, non-fatal myocardial infarction, revascularization, stroke, or systemic thromboembolism during a mean period of 27 months of follow-up. Stroke was diagnosed based on neurological examinations and MRI scans. The secondary outcome was LVT regression and the incidence of bleeding events, including clinically relevant bleeding events and major bleeding events. The standard of bleeding was defined by the Bleeding Academic Research Consortium.

Statistical Analysis
Continuous variables were presented as “mean ± standard deviation” or “median [quartile]” (ie, “M [Q1, Q3]”), and compared using the Student’s t test or rank-sum test. Categorical variables were presented as counts and percentages and compared using the Rao-Scott chi-squared test. Multivariate, logistic regression was performed to identify the independent risk factor of early occurrence of LVT, adjusted for sex, age, and the covariates that were statistically significant in univariate analysis [Diagnosis, left ventricular (LV) aneurysm, fibrinogen, delayed door-to-balloon time (DTBT), LV ejection fraction (LVEF), dilated LV end-diastolic dimension (LVEDD)]. For the primary endpoint, the association of LVT with time-to-MACCE was estimated by Kaplan-Meier curves. The association between LVT and MACCE was examined using the Cox proportional-hazard, regression model, adjusted for age, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus status. R version 3.6.3 (University of Auckland, Oakland, New Zealand) was used to perform the statistical analyses. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

Results
Clinical Characteristics Between the Two Groups
A total of 1305 STEMI patients were included in this retrospective, single-center study. LVT was diagnosed before hospital discharge in 47 of the 1305 STEMI patients (3.60%). Baseline characteristics are listed in Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences between the LVT and the control groups regarding age, sex, smoking history, or concomitant diseases (such as hypertension and diabetes). Patients who were admitted to the hospital within 12 h after experiencing chest pain were less likely to develop LVT. The average DTBT of the LVT group was statistically significantly longer than that of the control group.

The results from hematological parameters obtained upon admission were similar between the two groups, except for the level of fibrinogen and creatinine, which was significantly higher in the LVT group (P < 0.05). The echocardiography findings demonstrated that more patients in the LVT group had signs of lower LVEF, LV aneurysm, and dilated LVEDD; all were significantly different between the two groups (P < 0.05). Moreover, coronary angiography showed that the anterior descending artery was the chief culprit of all patients in LVT group.

Predictors of LVT
To further identify the risk factors related to LVT, a multivariate, logistic, regression analysis was performed (Table 2). After adjusting for sex and age, the independent risk factors of LVT after STEMI were an anterior STEMI [odds ratio (OR): 35.72, 95% confidence interval (CI): 7.26–647.22, P = 0.001], LV aneurysm (OR: 41.33, 95% CI: 10.25–247.31, P < 0.001), lower LVEF (OR: 0.95, 95% CI: 0.91–0.98, P = 0.005), delayed DTBT per 20 (OR: 1.18, 95% CI: 1.04–1.35, P = 0.011), and dilated LVEDD (OR: 1.08, 95% CI: 1.02–1.15, P = 0.010).
Table 1 Baseline Demographics

|                      | LVT Group (n=47) | Control Group (n=1258) | P value |
|----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------|
| **Baseline clinical characteristics** |                  |                        |         |
| Age (years)          | 60.0±11.9       | 61.3±11.8              | 0.951   |
| Male sex, n (%)      | 34(72.3)        | 924(73.4)              | 0.866   |
| Smoker, n (%)        | 25(53.2)        | 701(55.7)              | 0.732   |
| HTN, n (%)           | 16(34)          | 556(44.2)              | 0.168   |
| DM, n (%)            | 10(21.3)        | 235(18.8)              | 0.889   |
| Chest pain onset duration<12h | 22(46.8) | 298(23.7)              | 0.557   |
| Killip≥2             | 17(36.2)        | 858(68.2)              | <0.001  |
| **Blood examinations on admission** |                  |                        |         |
| WBC (10^9/L)         | 11.1(8.2, 13.6) | 10.1(8.2,12.3)         | 0.143   |
| MPV (fl)             | 9.6(9.1,10.7)   | 9.5(8.9,10.3)          | 0.362   |
| Platelets (10^9/L)   | 241.0(164.0,304.0) | 216.0(181.0,256.0)   | 0.113   |
| Neutrophil (10^9/L)  | 7.0(4.77,10.09) | 7.4(5.61,9.97)         | 0.342   |
| Lymphocyte (10^9/L)  | 1.4(1.1,2.3)    | 1.6(1.1,2.3)           | 0.773   |
| PLR                  | 140.2(100.0,208.7) | 133.2(94.0,190.3)     | 0.590   |
| NLR                  | 3.7(2.7,6.8)    | 4.6(2.6,8.1)           | 0.355   |
| Fibrinogen(mg/dl)    | 4.1(3.2,5.6)    | 3.3(2.8,3.9)           | <0.001  |
| Creatinine (mg/dL)   | 90.3(71.2,101.3) | 76.3(65.0,86.1)        | 0.001   |
| Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dl) | 6.1(4.8,7.6) | 5.9(4.8,6.8)          | 0.280   |
| **Echocardiography** |                  |                        |         |
| LVEDD (mm)           | 51.2(48.1,56.4) | 47.8(44.1,53.1)        | <0.001  |
| LVEF, %, (means ± SD)| 41.4±12.3      | 57.4±10.8              | <0.001  |
| LV aneurysm n (%)    | 15(31.9)        | 4(0.3)                 | <0.001  |
| **Culprit Artery**   |                  |                        |         |
| LM                   | 2(4.3)          | 17(1.4)                | 0.103   |
| LAD                  | 47(100)         | 454(36.1)              | <0.001  |
| LCX                  | 1(2.1)          | 184(14.6)              | 0.016   |
| RCA                  | 1(2.1)          | 613(48.7)              | 0.18    |
| **Procedural characteristics** |                  |                        |         |
| DTBT (min) (means ± SD) | 84(50.0,106.5) | 53(20.0,76.7)          | 0.001   |
| Pre-PCI TIMI flowgrade≥1 | 47(100)      | 91(72.7)               | <0.001  |
| Post-PCI TIMI flowgrade≥1 | 0(0)         | 2(0.2)                 | 0.784   |
| **Medical therapy at discharge** |                |                        |         |
| Dual antiplatelet therapy | 47(100)     | 1250(99.4)             | 0.583   |
| Oral anticoagulant   | 45(95.7)        | 23(1.83)               | <0.001  |
| ACEI                 | 47(100)         | 1158(92.1)             | 0.044   |
| Beta blockers        | 47(100)         | 1221(97.1)             | 0.603   |
| Statins              | 47(100)         | 1242(98.7)             | 0.085   |

Note: The chest pain onset duration referred to the period of the occurrence of acute myocardial infarction to admission.

Abbreviations: HTN, hypertension; DM, diabetes mellitus; WBC, white blood cell count; MPV, mean platelet volume; NLR, neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio; PLR, platelet/lymphocyte ratio; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic dimension; LV aneurysm; left ventricular aneurysm; LM, left main; LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery; LCX, left circumflex; RCA, right coronary artery; DTBT, Door-to-balloon time.

Clinical Outcomes

Among the 1305 patients without LVT, the follow-up data for 39 patients were incomplete, and these patients were excluded from this study. During a mean period of 27 months of follow-up, the primary endpoint of MACCE was significantly higher in the LVT group vs the control group (46.8%
Heart failure (29.8% vs 9.1% P < 0.001), embolic events (6.4% vs 1.9% P = 0.034), and all-cause mortality (12.8% vs 5% P = 0.020) were significantly increased in the LVT group. There were no significant differences in other components of MACCE in the LVT group vs the control group (P > 0.05 for all). There was no significant difference in the incidence of bleeding events between the two groups (P = 0.195). After adjusting for the relevant factors (age, hypertension, and diabetes) in the multivariate Cox proportional hazard model (Table 4), LVT remained a significant, independent predictor of MACCE (HR = 3.46; 95% CI 2.23–3.38; P < 0.001).

**Discussion**

This study provided new data and insights into the contemporary incidence, prediction, and prognosis of LVT in patients with STEMI in PPCI practice. Based on the results of this study, we have made three essential findings: (1) Studies have consistently demonstrated that the independent risk factors of LVT were anterior STEMI, lower LVEF, LV aneurysm, and T

| Variable | Unadjusted | Adjusted |
|----------|------------|----------|
|          | OR [95% CI] | P value  | OR [95% CI] | P value  |
| Age      | 0.99 [0.97–1.02] | 0.464 | 0.98 [0.95–1.01] | 0.172 |
| Sex (female) | 1.06 [0.53–1.98] | 0.866 | 2.26 [0.91–5.5] | 0.073 |
| LVD      | 83.75 [18.23–1486.07] | <0.001 | 35.72 [7.26–647.22] | 0.001 |
| LV aneurysm | 146.95 [50.21–538.34] | <0.001 | 41.33 [10.25–247.31] | <0.001 |
| Fibrinogen | 1.56 [1.29–1.88] | <0.001 | 1.22 [0.93–1.58] | 0.130 |
| LVEF     | 0.89 [0.87–0.92] | <0.001 | 0.95 [0.91–0.98] | 0.005 |
| LVEDD    | 1.16 [1.11–1.21] | <0.001 | 1.08 [1.02–1.15] | 0.010 |
| DtoB time (per 20) | 1.32 [1.20–1.44] | <0.001 | 1.18 [1.04–1.35] | 0.011 |
| Creatinine (mg/dL) | 1.01 [0.99–1.01] | 0.370 | 1.00 [1.00–1.01] | 0.549 |

*Abbreviations:* LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery; LV, aneurysm; left ventricular aneurysm; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic dimension; DTBT, Door-to-balloon time.

As shown in Table 5, total LVT regression occurred in 33 patients (70.21%) within a median time of 14 months (interquartile range: 6 to 25 months). Heart failure occurred in 24.24% and 42.86% of LVT-regression and LVT-persistent patients, respectively. All-cause mortality occurred in 9.10% and 21.43% of LVT-regression and LVT-persistent patients, respectively. Reduced stroke was observed in patients with LVT regression (6.10% vs 14.28%). However, no statistically significant differences were observed between patients with LVT regression and patients with persistent LVT for these adverse events (heart failure, embolic events, and all-cause mortality; P > 0.05 for all parameters).

**Discussion**

This study provided new data and insights into the contemporary incidence, prediction, and prognosis of LVT in patients with STEMI in PPCI practice. Based on the results of this study, we have made three essential findings: (1) Studies have consistently demonstrated that the independent risk factors of LVT were anterior STEMI, lower LVEF, LV aneurysm, and

| Table 3 Univariate Logistic Regression for MACCE |
|-----------------------------------------------|
|                                | All (n=1266) | LVT Group (n=47) | Control Group (n=1219) | Chi-Square | P value  |
| MACCE                           | 251 (19.8)  | 23 (48.9)         | 228 (18.7)            | 26.022     | <0.001   |

| Individual 1-Year Outcomes |
|---------------------------|
| Heart failure             | 129 (10.2)  | 14 (29.8)         | 115 (9.4)            | 20.486     | <0.001   |
| Reinfarction              | 16 (1.3)    | 1 (2.1)           | 15 (1.2)             | 0.292      | 0.589    |
| Revascularization         | 28 (2.2)    | 0                 | 28 (2.3)             | 1.104      | 0.293    |
| Stroke                    | 30 (2.4)    | 4 (6.4)           | 26 (2.1)             | 7.957      | 0.005    |
| All-cause mortality       | 68 (5.4)    | 6 (12.8)          | 62 (5.1)             | 5.251      | 0.022    |
| Bleeding event            | 40 (3.2)    | 3 (6.4)           | 37 (3.0)             | 1.658      | 0.198    |

*Abbreviation:* MACCE, major adverse cardiovascular and cerebrovascular event.
dilated LVEDD. Furthermore, our study showed that a shorter DTBT significantly reduced the incidence of LVT. (2) The presence of LVT was independently associated with an increased incidence of MACCE over a mean period of 27 months of follow-up. Notably, the regression of LVT was not independently associated with adverse events.

Incidence and Predictors of LVT in the Current Era

The incidence of LVT following STEMI was 3.60% in our study, which was consistent with previous studies.\(^6,17,18\)

Table 4 Multivariate Analysis of Predictors of MACCE in Patients with LVT During a Mean Period of 27 Months

|           | HR   | L95  | U95  | P value   |
|-----------|------|------|------|-----------|
| LVT       | 3.46 | 2.23 | 5.38 | 3.42E-08  |
| Age       | 1.03 | 1.02 | 1.04 | 1.83E-06  |
| DM        | 0.86 | 0.62 | 1.19 | 0.367389  |
| HTN       | 1.39 | 1.08 | 1.79 | 0.009856  |

Abbreviations: LVT, left ventricular thrombus; HTN, hypertension; DM, diabetes mellitus.

Table 5 The Relationship Between LVT Resolution and the Adverse Outcomes

|                      | LVT Resolution | P value |
|----------------------|----------------|---------|
| All-cause mortality  | Yes (n=33)     | No (n=14) | 0.767  |
|                      | 4(12.12)       | 2(14.28) |        |
| Stroke               | 2(6.06)        | 1(7.14)  | 0.840  |
| Heart failure        | 8(24.24)       | 6(42.86) | 0.146  |

There is growing evidence that the principal risk factors closely associated with LVT after STEMI are both the presence of anterior-territory STEMI and LV dysfunction.\(^{18−20}\) In our study, we also found that the location of the vessel infarct in the left anterior descending (LAD) was the single most important independent predictor of LVT after STEMI. Patients with anterior STEMI had a 10-fold increased risk of LVT formation. Furthermore, LVEF was the strongest independent predictor of LVT formation, which was significantly lower in patients with LVT. Dilated LVEDD and an aneurysm appeared to be...
more common in patients with LVT. LV remodeling and stasis of blood might play a positive role in LVT formation. This finding has frequently been described in previous studies.\textsuperscript{4,21,22} In addition, though many studies have demonstrated that shorter DTBTs are associated with a promising prognosis in STEMI patients,\textsuperscript{23,24} the value of DTBT in LVT formation following STEMI has been controversial.\textsuperscript{25–27} In our study, patients with delayed DTBT had a significantly higher probability of demonstrating LVT, emphasizing the importance of shorter DTBT. It is a well-known information that kidney injury predisposes to thrombus formation. Notably, previous studies confirmed that acute kidney injury (AKI) had a predictive value both in in-hospital outcomes and long-term outcomes of patients with STEMI, suggesting that creatinine and blood urea nitrogen might be helpful in patients at higher clinical risk. We also found that the level of creatinine in the LVT group was significantly higher. Unfortunately, the multivariate analysis is not statistically significant, which needs to be confirmed by prospective studies. In addition, a total of 19 STEMI patients were treated with IABP in our study.\textsuperscript{28,29} Consistent with prior study, the prognosis remained poor despite IABP support in patients with STEMI. We found IABP support therapy had no relationship with the formation of LVT.\textsuperscript{30}

**Clinical Outcome of LVT**

In our study, we found that LVT was an independent risk factor for MACCE over a mean period of 27 months of follow-up, after adjusting for principal confounders. Increased risk of heart failure, embolic events, and all-cause mortality were variables that also reached statistically significant differences between the two groups. Heart failure is the principal adverse event in patients with LVT. It is well established that heart failure is a strong predictor of LVT in STEMI patients.\textsuperscript{31,32} Inversely, LVT might be an important trigger of heart failure. In the pre-thrombolytic period, LVT was associated with a 5.5-fold increase in embolic events.\textsuperscript{33} Chen et al concluded that the relative risk of embolic complications in patients with LVT after acute myocardial infarction (AMI) increased nearly 4-fold, regardless of follow-up time and detection tools.\textsuperscript{3} Consistent with prior studies, a 3.37-fold higher risk of an embolic event was observed in patients with LVT in our study. A retrospective study of 128 LVT patients reported that all-cause mortality occurred in 13% of the patients within a 1-year follow-up.\textsuperscript{22} Another study followed-up the patients who underwent PCI for STEMI for 1-year, and they detected a mortality rate of 10%.\textsuperscript{10} Likewise, in our study, we reported a mortality rate of 12.8% in patients with LVT, and found that mortality was statistically significantly different between the LVT group and non-LVT group. These findings highlight the worst prognosis associated with LVT.

During the follow-up of the patients with LVT, we did not note any significant differences in the rates of adverse events (heart failure, embolic events, or all-cause mortality) between patients with and without LVT regression. Several studies before the PCI era tried to determine the association between the presence of LVT and embolic events.\textsuperscript{33,34} Surprisingly, these studies did not demonstrate a convincing relationship. Lemaître et al, in a study of 105 heart failure (HF) patients with LVT, reported a lower risk of long-term consequences (death <3%) and the potential for the patients to experience reverse remodeling. Notably, however, the patients with LVT had a higher incidence of embolic events within 30 d.\textsuperscript{35} Consistent with our findings, Lemaître et al demonstrated that the presence of LVT did not seem to be associated with mortality or preclude reverse remodeling. In addition, Lattuca et al recently performed a retrospective study of 159 LVT patients who were screened from ordinary patients.\textsuperscript{36} Similar to our results, they found that the presence of LVT presented a high risk of clinical complications and LVT regression was not associated with heart failure or embolic events. The only difference between our results and theirs was that they reported that LVT regression was associated with reduced mortality. Variations in study subjects and treatment factors (DTBT, antithrombotic combinations, and treatment duration) might have contributed to the differing results. Consequently, our results emphasized that patients with LVT after STEMI were likely a generalized indicator of impaired cardiac performance, rather than the cause.

Some limitations of the current study should be considered. First, we based the diagnosis of LVT mainly on echocardiography, which might have less sensitivity and specificity than other imaging methods, such as CMR and left ventriculography. However, CMR and left ventriculography are not suitable as the basic screening tests in STEMI patients. Furthermore, we used CMR and left ventriculography to confirm the diagnosis of LVT obtained by routine echocardiography in cases when diagnostic confidence was low. Second, a different therapy strategy might affect the clinical outcome. However, several recent studies have shown that there is no statistical difference in rates of MACCE or LVT regression.
between novel oral anticoagulants (DOACs) and vitamin 
K antagonist therapeutic strategies.36–38

Conclusion
In conclusion, our study indicated that LVT was not 
uncommon in patients with STEMI treated with PPCI. 
Anterior STEMI, LV dysfunction, LV aneurysm, delayed 
DTBT, and increased LVEDD were independent risk 
factors of LVT. Furthermore, LVT was an independent pre-
dictor of MACCE over a mean period of 27 months of 
follow-up. Importantly, we showed that LVT was likely 
a generalized indicator of impaired cardiac performance; 
patients with LVT had a particularly high risk of subse-
quent cardiovascular events after STEMI. This further 
indicated that prophylactic therapy and identifying individ-
uals at high risk are of substantial importance.
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