Frequency distribution of genes encoding aminoglycoside modifying enzymes in uropathogenic E. coli isolated from Iranian hospital
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Abstract

Background: Escherichia coli is considered as the most common cause of urinary tract infection (UTI) and acquired multiple resistances to a wide range of antibiotics such as aminoglycosides. Enzymatic alteration of aminoglycosides (AMEs) by aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes is the main mechanism of resistance to these antibiotics in E. coli. The aim of this study was detection and investigation of frequency of genes encoding aminoglycoside modifying enzymes (aac(3)-IIa and ant(2′′)-Ia) in UPEC isolated from hospitalized patients in teaching hospital of Tehran, Iran.

Findings: A total of 276 UPEC were obtained from urine samples in a hospital from Tehran. Antibiotic susceptibility to aminoglycosides was determined by disk diffusion method according CLSI guidelines in UPEC isolates. MICs of target antibiotics were determined by agar dilution method. All isolates were screened for the presence of the AMEs genes using the PCR. The results of disk diffusion showed 21%, 24.6%, 23.18%, 3.62% and 6.15% of isolates were resistant to Gentamicin, Tobramycin, Kanamycin, Amikacin and Netilmicin respectively. The agar dilution’s results (MICs) were high, 66.19% for Gentamicin. The aac (3)-IIa and ant(2′′)-Ia genes were detected in (78.87%) and 47.88% of isolates respectively.

Conclusions: This study shows the high frequency of genes encoding (AMEs) aac(3)-IIa and ant(2′′)-Ia genes and their relationship between different aminoglycoside resistance phenotypes.
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Background

The aminoglycosides are potent bactericidal agents that inhibit bacterial protein synthesis by binding to the 30S ribosomal subunit. They are often used in combination with either a β-lactam or a glycopeptide, especially in the treatment of Escherichia coli UTI, as these drugs act synergically [1,2]. The application may be limited by the appearance of resistant strains in treatment. Various mechanisms are playing a role in the development of aminoglycoside resistance but the presence of aminoglycoside modifying enzymes is the most clinical and epidemiological importance [3,4]. These enzymes are divided into three classes: aminoglycoside acetyltransferases (AACs), aminoglycoside phosphotransferases (APHs) and aminoglycoside nucleotidyltransferases (ANTs) [5].

Urinary tract infection is one of the most common human infections, especially in young women and frequently influenced by sex and age and 20-30% of young women experienced this infection [6,7]. Due to the importance of the resistance to aminoglycosides and the role of ant(2)-Ia, aac(3)II-a genes in mechanism, the main purpose of this study is the detection of resistance genes ant(2′′)-Ia, aac(3) II-a in clinical isolates of aminoglycoside resistant E. coli isolated from urine of hospitalized patients in teaching hospital of Tehran, Iran, to know the prevalence and...
frequency of distribution of genes encoding aminoglycoside in Iranian population.

Materials and methods
Sample collection
A total of 276 clinical isolates of *E. coli* from urine specimens were randomly collected from Tehran Heart center. All isolates were then identified as *E. coli* using conventional microbiological tests. Informed written consent was obtained from the patients and the study was approved by the institutional ethics committee of Department of Bacteriology, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran. Pure stock cultures of all isolates were stored frozen at ~80°C in tryptic soy broth, containing 15% glycerol.

Antibiotic susceptibility testing
Antimicrobial susceptibility test for different *E. coli* isolates was performed against Gentamicin (10 μg), Tobramycin (10 μg), Kanamycin (30 μg), Amikacin (30 μg) and Nethelmicin 30 μg, (Mast, UK) by disc diffusion method. Sizes were interpreted using standard recommendations of CLSI [8]. As the Gentamicin is the most applicable antibiotic to treat the infections due to gram positive and gram negative bacteria in Iranian patients, thus, Gentamicin MIC values were detected and the results were interpreted according to the CLSI guidelines.

DNA extraction and Polymerase Chain Reaction
Total DNAs were extracted from bacteria isolates using the extraction kit (Bioneer, korea). The DNA was then extracted following the manufacturer’s instructions and electrophoresed on 0.8% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide and visualized by UV-transillumination and gel documentation (Biometra Germani). Two sets of specific oligonucleotide primers for (aac(3)-IIa and ant (2′′)-Ia) genes were used as listed in Table 1 (designated by primer 3 software). The PCR mixture was prepared in a final volume of 25 μl. The amplification mixture consisted of template DNA (2 μl), 0.1 μM of the respective primers, 2.5 μl of a 10-fold concentrate PCR buffer, 200 μM of deoxynucleotide triphosphates, 2.5 μM MgCl2, and 1.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Cinna Gene). A thermocycler (Mastercycler gradient; Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) was programmed with the following parameters: after an initial denaturation for 5 min at 95°C, 30 cycles of amplification were performed with denaturation at 95.8°C for 1 min, annealing at 62°C for 1 min, and DNA extension at 72°C for 1 min, followed by a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. Then, 5 to 10 μl of the PCR products was analyzed by electrophoresis on 0.8-1% (w/v) TAE agarose gel (Fermentas UAB, Vilnius, Lithuania) containing 0.5 μl/μl of ethidium bromide. Stained amplicons were then viewed on a UV transilluminator at 260 nm, (BioDoc- Analyse; Biometra, Goettingen, Germany). *klebsiella pneumoniae* 23823 [possessing (aac(3)-Ia+)] and *E. coli* 85085 [possessing (ant(2′′)-Ia+)] by Statens Serum Institute of Denmark served as positive controls and *E. coli* ATCC 25922 as negative control (Table 1).

Findings
Antimicrobial susceptibility
Antibiotic resistance analysis showed among 276 *E. coli* isolated from clinical specimens, simultaneous resistance to gentamicin, nethelmicin and kanamicin in 39% of isolates was the most common antibiotic resistance pattern among isolates under study (Table 2). The range of MIC for 5% Gentamicin was from 1 μg/ml to 512 μg/ml. MIC ≥ 64 were detected in more than 35% of isolates. Some isolates showed MIC of >512μg/ml. All antibiotic sensitivity/resistance of *E. coli* strains isolated from the urine clinical specimens are shown in Figure 1.

Amplification and screening of genes encoding AME by PCR
All isolates were screened for the presence of genes encoding the two AMEs, enzymes (AAC(3)-Ia and ANT(2′′)-Ia). Amplified DNA fragments of two different sizes (700 and 740 bp) were subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis and snapped by gel picture (Figures 2

| Table 1 Primer sequences for aminoglycoside resistance genes detection |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----|
| Primers | Sequence (5′-3′) | Length |
| Fragment (700 bp) | TCCGAACCTTGACCGAAC | 19 |
| ant(2′′)-Ia fw | GCAAGACCTCAACCTTTCC | 20 |
| Fragment (740 bp) | CGGAAGGCAATAACGGAG | 18 |
| aac(3)-Ia rev | TCGAACCAGGTAGCACTGAG | 19 |

| Table 2 Antibiotic resistance patterns (%) of *E. coli* isolates |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----|
| Number of antibiotics resistant and showing pattern | Number of strains |
| High resistance | 3 (4.22%) |
| Intermediate resistance | 17 (23.94%) |
| Low resistance | 28 (39.43%) |
| Very low resistance | 19 (26.76%) |
| No resistance | 4 (5.63%) |

GM, gentamicin; AK, amikacin; N, netilmicin; TN, tobramycin; K, kanamycin.
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and 3). Based on PCR results, the prevalence of aac(3)-IIa gene and ant(2")-Ia gene were 47.88% and 78.87% respectively. 32.39% of isolates only harbored the aac(3)-IIa and 7.04% ant(2")-Ia. In addition, our results demonstrated the relationship between AME genes and different aminoglycoside resistance phenotypes (Table 3).

Discussion
Besides the side effects and increasing resistance, aminoglycosides play an important role in curing bacterial infections. Modification of aminoglycosides by aminoglycosides modifying enzymes is the common resistance mechanism against aminoglycosides in *E. coli* as these enzymes are not capable of binding to ribosomes of the cell [9,10]. Resistance against Gentamicin, Kanamycin, Cizomycin and Tobramycin is mediated by ANT(2")-Ia enzyme which is coded by ant(2")-Ia gene in *E. coli* and also simultaneous resistance to Gentamicin and Tobramycin, mediated by AAC(3)-IIa enzyme which is coded by aac(3)-IIa gene [11].
In this study the prevalence of ant(2")-Ia,aac(3)-Ila resistance genes in 71 aminoglycosides resistant E.coli isolates among 276 UTI isolates was determined by PCR. It is implied that 24.63% of isolates were resistant to tobramycin and the resistance rate against other 130 antibiotics were as following: Kanamycin 23.18%, Gentamicin 21.01%, Netilmicin 6.15% and Amikasin 3.62%. In 1999 Van hoof R and his colleagues reported that among 897 blood 132 isolates of Entrobacteriacea, 5.9% of isolates were resistance against Gentamycin, whereas 7.7% of isolates were resistant against Tobramycin, 7.5% against netilmicin and 8.2% against Amikacin [11,12]. In 2006 Kong and 2010 Lang Hoo and colleagues reported: 44 clinical isolates of E.coli, the resistance rate against aminoglycosides were: Amikasin 18.18%, Gentamicin 56.82% and Tobramycin 63.36% and among 249 clinical isolates of E.coli 83.83% were resistant to Gentamicin respectively [13,14].

The respective studies suggested an increasing resistance against aminoglycosides but the contradiction in results is due to different geographical areas and various numbers of different isolates. PCR results showed that 78.87% of isolates contained aac(3)-Ila resistance gene. In 2004, Minard showed that 17% of animal and 33% of human isolates contained the aac(3)-Ila resistance gene, aph(3)-Ia was detected in 6.97% and 4% of human isolates of Kanamycin resistant. Also, E.coli in 8% of animal isolates and 7.04% of human isolates of neomycin resistant while ant(2")-Ia gene was not detected in this study [7]. Jacobson et al. [15] studied 120 isolates of E. coli for occurrence of amino glycoside modifying enzymes namely ant(2")-Ia, aac(3)-Ila and aac(3)-IV and also subjected the isolates to MIC for Gentamicin by dilution method. The E.coli isolates having aac(3)-Ila gene had high MIC’s, 32–512 mgs/ltr suggesting that, there is a correlation between MIC and specific ame production, but still not cleared [14]. Jacobson et al. also studied 76 isolates of Gentamicin resistant E. coli which are 63.15% and contained aac(3)-Ila gene, although, ant(2")-Ia gene was not screened in this study [15]. In an epidemiological study in 2010 it was concluded that aac(3)-Ila (aaC2) gene was present in 84.1% of human isolates and 75.5% of animal isolates, while it was the common gene among the studied isolates [16].

Therefore, our results shows high frequency prevalence of aac(3)-Ila and ant(2")-Ia genes, which were 47.88% and 78.87% respectively and also, demonstrated the relationship between AME genes and different aminoglycoside resistance phenotypes. According to the reviewed studies the prevalence of the respective genes has been increasing over time in various geographical patterns, which needs regular attention and determination.

### Conclusions

In conclusion, our data show high frequency distribution of aac(3)-Ila and ant(2")-Ia genes and their relationship between AME genes and different aminoglycoside resistance phenotypes. Further experiments will be needed to clarify the exact mechanisms and functions of these genes to controlled high prevalence of urinary tract infections caused by EPEC strains, increasing resistance against antibiotics in order to select the best medicine to avoid this confrontation.
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