Shear-thickening of a non-colloidal suspension with a viscoelastic matrix
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In this work we study the rheology of a non-colloidal suspension of rigid spherical particles interacting with a viscoelastic matrix. Three-dimensional numerical simulations under shear flow are performed using the smoothed particle hydrodynamics method and compared with experimental data available in the literature using different constant-viscosity elastic Boger fluids. The rheological properties of the Boger matrices are matched in simulation under viscometric flow conditions. Suspension rheology under dilute to semi-concentrated conditions (i.e. up to solid volume fraction $\phi = 0.3$) is explored. It is found that at small Deborah numbers (based on the macroscopic imposed shear rate), relative suspension viscosities $\eta_r$ exhibit a plateau at every concentration investigated. By increasing the Deborah number $\text{De}$ shear-thickening is observed which is related to the extensional-thickening of the underlying viscoelastic matrix. Under dilute conditions ($\phi = 0.05$) numerical results for $\eta_r$ agree quantitatively with experimental data both in the $\text{De}$- and $\phi$-dependencies. Even under dilute conditions, simulations of full many-particle systems with no ‘a priori’ specification of their spatial distribution need to be considered to recover precisely experimental values. By increasing the solid volume fraction towards $\phi = 0.3$, despite the fact that the trend is well captured, the agreement remains qualitative with discrepancies arising in the absolute values of $\eta_r$ obtained from simulations and experiments but also with large deviations existing among different experiments. With regard to the specific mechanism of elastic thickening, the microstructural analysis shows that elastic thickening correlates well with the averaged viscoelastic dissipation function $\langle \theta_{\text{elast}} \rangle$, requiring a scaling as $\langle \theta_{\text{elast}} \rangle \sim \text{De}^\alpha$ with $\alpha \geq 2$ to take place. Locally, despite the fact that regions of large polymer stretching (and viscoelastic dissipation) can occur everywhere in the domain, flow regions uniquely responsible of the elastic thickening are well correlated to areas with significant extensional component.
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The computation of non-colloidal suspension rheology, even when the matrix fluid is Newtonian, is still work in progress - see the recent review by Denn et al. (2018). In the present paper we seek to contribute to the more difficult case where the matrix is viscoelastic.

For the case of Newtonian matrices, pioneering results were found by Sierou & Brady (2002) using the Stokesian Dynamics method, which is difficult to implement for viscoelastic matrices. Following this work, various authors have made useful contributions using different computational techniques; we mention the work of Bertevas et al. (2010); Mari et al. (2014); Gallier et al. (2014); Vázquez-Quesada et al. (2016b); Cheal & Ness (2018). In some cases bimodal sphere distributions were used (Mari et al. (2014); Cheal & Ness (2018)) which needs to be taken into account when comparing with experiments using monosized spheres. In all cases repulsive forces were used to prevent particle overlap.

In simple shear flow these extensive computations show that for large volume fractions interparticle friction becomes important and results depend on the details of how the friction is modelled. The work of Gallier et al. (2014) shows that the suspension viscosity at volume fractions less than 0.3 is independent of interparticle friction, and in the present work we will concentrate on these dilute/semi-concentrated cases in detail. Even for the Newtonian matrices without friction, at a volume fraction of 0.3 there are differences of 5% in the estimates of viscosity from the works cited above. One needs to bear this in mind when comparing computations and experiments.

For the case of viscoelastic suspensions, much less computational work is available. The 2D simulations of Hwang et al. (2004b) using an Oldroyd-B model matrix have been instructive, but for 3D spheres there is the work of Hwang et al. (2004a); D’Avino et al. (2013), Yang et al. (2016); Yang & Shaqfeh (2018) and little else. On the other hand there are a considerable number of experiments using viscoelastic matrices; we mention Zarraga et al. (2001); Scirocco et al. (2005); Pasquino et al. (2008); Dai et al. (2014).

By concentrating on lower volume fractions we minimize the effects of friction; here we wish to demonstrate clearly the effect of viscoelasticity on the viscosity of suspensions without the complication of interparticle friction. The computational technique used here is smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH); this method to study suspensions has already been used successfully by the authors elsewhere (Vázquez-Quesada & Ellero (2016); Vázquez-Quesada et al. (2016b, 2017); Vázquez-Quesada & Ellero (2017)) and will be shown to be accurate. For the matrix we have chosen a discrete viscoelastic model derived in the context of GENERIC (Grmela & Öttinger (1997)). In the specific case of Hookean dumbbells, the model can be interpreted as a specific discrete SPH version of the Oldroyd-B equation with a single relaxation time, which satisfies thermodynamic consistency (Vázquez-Quesada et al. (2009)). The model shows a constant shear viscosity and extensional thickening.

The work will demonstrate improved agreement between computation and experiment for volume fractions \( \leq 0.3 \), in particular multibody simulations are essential- it is not sufficient, at any volume fraction greater than 0.02, to use single sphere computations. From a microstructural point of view, it will also show that elastic thickening is associated with regions of large polymer stresses, but only those occurring in combination to extensional flow components. The present results show that, thanks to the flow complexity (i.e. local extensional components) induced by the presence of the solid particles, the global rheology of the viscoelastic suspension under simple shear can differ qualitatively from the rheology of the underlying liquid matrix.

The structure of the paper is the following one: in Sec. 2 the full viscoelastic models for
the liquid and solid phases are presented. Sec. 3 presents the numerical results of the suspension rheology under dilute up to semi-concentrated conditions and comparison with experimental data is performed. Moreover, a detailed microstructural analysis is presented. Finally, in Sec. 4 the conclusions are reported.

2. Suspension model

2.1. SPH viscoelastic matrix fluid modelling

A coarse-grained fluid-particle model for a polymer fluid originally proposed by Vázquez-Quesada et al. (2009, 2012) and recently validated in the case of suspended spheres (Vázquez-Quesada & Ellero (2017)) is considered. Every fluid particle represents a moving thermodynamic sub-system containing a given number of polymer molecules. The elastic state of the fluid particle is characterized by a configuration tensor \( c \) that describes their underlying molecular elongation and orientation. The specification of very simple physical mechanisms inspired by the dynamics of single polymer molecules allows one, with the help of the GENERIC formalism (General Equation for Non-Equilibrium Reversible-Irreversible Coupling) (Grmela & Öttinger (1997)), to derive the equations of motion for the positions, velocities and conformation tensor associated with a set of fluid particles carrying polymer molecules in suspension which satisfy strictly thermodynamics consistency. For the sake of completeness, in this section we provide a brief overview of the main discrete evolution equations (focusing on the deterministic limit) and discuss their interpretation in the context of constitutive viscoelastic models.

If we consider a set of fluid particles labelled by Latin indices \( i, j = 1, \ldots, N \), in the most general case, the GENERIC-derived ordinary differential equations for the positions, velocities and conformation tensor associated with each fluid particles read

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{r}_i &= v_i \\
m\dot{v}_i &= -\sum_j \left[ \frac{\pi_i}{d_i^2} + \frac{\pi_j}{d_j^2} \right] W'_{ij} e_{ij} + 2(D + 2)\eta_s \sum_j \frac{e_{ij} \cdot v_{ij}}{r_{ij}} W'_{ij} d_i d_j e_{ij} \\
\dot{c}_i &= \left( -\sum_j \frac{1}{d_j} v_{ij} e_{ij} W'_{ij} \right) \cdot c_i + c_i \cdot \left( -\sum_j \frac{1}{d_j} v_{ij} e_{ij} W'_{ij} \right)^T + \frac{2}{\lambda} d_i \sigma_i \cdot c_i \quad (2.1)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( m \) is the mass of each particle, \( D \) is the number of dimensions of the system, \( v_{ij} = v_i - v_j \) are the relative particle velocities, \( W_{ij} = W(r_{ij} = |r_i - r_j|, r_{cut}) \) a normalized smoothing kernel function, \( W'_{ij} = \partial W(r, r_{cut}) / \partial r \bigg|_{r = r_{ij}} \) its derivative and \( e_{ij} = r_{ij} / r_{ij} \) the unit vector joining particle \( i \) and \( j \). The number density on particle \( i \) is evaluated as a standard summation \( d_i = \sum_j W_{ij} \). \( \eta_s \) is the Newtonian matrix viscosity and \( \lambda \) is the polymer relaxation time. In the most general case, the total stress tensor reads

\[
\pi_i = P_i I + 2d_i \sigma_i \cdot c_i \quad (2.1)
\]

where \( P_i \) is the isotropic particle pressure (computed by using an equation of state \( P_i = \rho_i c_s^2 (\rho_i - \rho_0) \) with \( c_s \) being the speed of sound, \( \rho_i = md_i \) and \( \rho_0 \) the local and a reference mass density). This set of Newton’s equations for the particles can be interpreted as a specific Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) (Monaghan (2005); Ellero et al. (2007)) Lagrangian representation of the general momentum conservation with an additional evolution equation for the conformation tensor. In the most general case, the polymeric stress reads

\[
\tau_i = -2d_i \sigma_i \cdot c_i \quad (2.2)
\]
\( \sigma_i = T(\partial S_p(c)/\partial c)_i \) being a tensorial variable thermodynamically conjugated to \( c_i \), where \( T \) is a constant temperature and \( S_p(c) \) is the conformational-dependent entropy function (Vázquez-Quesada et al. (2009)). The previous expressions are of general validity as no assumption is made on the specific force law of the polymer. Due to the generic structure of the above equations, thermodynamic consistency is satisfied at the discrete level. Polymer physics comes into play in this model with a proper definition of \( S_p(c) \). In the specific case of a dilute suspension of non-interacting Hookean dumbbells, the entropy reads (Ottinger (2005))

\[
S_p(c) = k_B \frac{N_p}{2} (\text{tr}[1 - c] + \ln \det c)
\]  

(2.3)

where \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant and \( N_p \) is the total number of dumbbells contained in each fluid particle. In (Vázquez-Quesada et al. (2009)) we have shown that the specific choice (2.3) leads to \( \sigma_i = (c_i^{-1} - 1) \) and a resulting expression for the total stress

\[
\pi_i = P_i + \frac{\eta_p}{\lambda} (c_i - 1)
\]

(2.4)

where the polymeric viscosity is defined as \( \eta_p = N_p d_k B \lambda \). Finally, the last term on the r.h.s. of the evolution equations (2.1) for \( c_i \) reduces to

\[
\frac{2}{\lambda} d_i \sigma_i \cdot c_i = \frac{1}{\lambda} (1 - c_i)
\]

(2.5)

The resulting equations correspond to a very specific SPH discretization of the classical Oldroyd-B constitutive model with a single relaxation time \( \lambda \), which is the one used in this work. Due to the generic structure (Vázquez-Quesada et al. (2009)), this particular set of equations for the particles conserves exactly local and total linear/angular momentum and it is consistent - in its discrete form - with the First and Second Laws of Thermodynamics.

One possible problem of this specific formulation is related to the loss of the positive character of the conformation tensor due to purely numerical errors, which is a general issue in computational rheology (Owens & Phillips (2002)). To remedy it, several stabilization strategies have been considered in the literature, with the log-conformation formulation proposed by Fattal & Kupferman (2004) representing the most popular choice. In this approach a constitutive viscoelastic equation is reformulated in terms of the matrix logarithm of the conformation tensor which replaces possible dangerous exponential variations of the stress with more accurate polynomial interpolation, therefore preserving its positive definiteness. As discussed in detail in (Vázquez-Quesada et al. (2009)), another possibility is to evolve directly its eigenvalues \( \lambda_\alpha \) (not to be confused with the elastic relaxation time \( \lambda \)) and eigenvectors \( u_\alpha \) (\( \alpha = 1, 2, 3 \)) (sub-indexes referring to fluid particles are suppressed to simplify the notation) rather than the tensor components themselves. The evolution of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors can be obtained from the dynamic equation (2.1) by taking the time derivative of the eigenrepresentation of the conformation tensor and left and right multiplying this time derivative with the eigenvectors (see Vázquez-Quesada et al. (2009) for the details). Finally, we obtain

\[
\dot{\lambda}_\alpha = 2\lambda_\alpha \kappa_{\alpha\lambda} + \frac{2}{\lambda} [1 - \lambda_\alpha] + \frac{2}{\lambda N_p}
\]

\[
\dot{u}_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} H_{\alpha\beta} u_\beta
\]

(2.6)
where
\[
\kappa_{\alpha\beta} = u_\alpha \cdot (\nabla v)^T \cdot u_\beta
\]
\[
H_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\beta} \left[ \lambda_\alpha \kappa_{\beta\alpha} + \lambda_\beta \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \right] & \text{if } \lambda_\alpha \neq \lambda_\beta \\
0 & \text{if } \lambda_\alpha = \lambda_\beta
\end{cases}
\]

Once eigenvalues/vectors are evolved, the conformation tensor is directly re-obtained via the dyadic product \( c = \sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha u_\alpha u_\alpha \) which is positive definite, provided that the time integration scheme for Eq.(2.6) enforces the eigenvalues to be numerically positive and the eigenvectors orthonormal. In the current work we have not observed any loss of positive definiteness in the range of flow conditions explored. It is also possible to reconstruct the eigenvector dynamics from a Cayley transformation (see Appendix C in Vázquez-Quesada et al. (2009)) to ensure exact orthogonality and a formally well-defined symmetric and positive definite conformation tensor through \( c = \sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha u_\alpha u_\alpha \). A related approach was also used by Vaithianathan & Collins (2003).

This eigenrepresentation formulation is also useful to incorporate more easily thermal fluctuations on the conformation tensor in a thermodynamically consistent way (i.e. such that they satisfy exactly the Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem), therefore generalizing the deterministic SPH equations (2.1) to a stochastic viscoelastic particle model that operates under Brownian conditions (Smoothed Dissipative Particle Dynamics: Vázquez-Quesada et al. (2009)), i.e. for colloidal suspended particles (Vázquez-Quesada et al. (2012)). Rheology of a colloidal viscoelastic suspension will be the focus of a separate work. In the present work, we restrict ourselves to the deterministic case of a non-colloidal particle system.

Temporal integration of the SPH equations for the matrix fluid is performed with a second-order predictor-corrector scheme (Ellero & Adams (2011)). For the weighting function \( W \), the present work adopts a quintic spline kernel (Morris et al. (1997)) with cutoff radius \( r_{\text{cut}} = 4dx \) (\( dx \) being the mean fluid particle separation) (Ellero & Adams (2011)).

It should be borne in mind that in the derivation of the above mentioned discrete viscoelastic equations, no reference to a target PDEs is considered. The fact that a SPH discretization of an Oldroyd-B equation was finally recovered represents an ‘a posteriori’ proof of the consistency of the coarse-graining approach, as it is the expected result for Hookean dumbbells in suspension. Generalization to more complex polymeric models, such as finitely extensible nonlinear elastic springs is straightforward. In particular, coarse-grained thermodynamic consistent models can be constructed by physical specification of conformation-tensor-dependent entropy of the fluid particles appearing in Eq. (2.3), rather than by brute force discretization of existing continuum constitutive equations. For a more detailed discussion on the formal aspects of the SPH viscoelastic matrix model, its generalization to Brownian conditions and its link to GENERIC the reader is referred to (Vázquez-Quesada et al. (2009)).

2.2. Solid particles: fluid-structure and short-range inter-particle interaction

Fluid-structure interaction with suspended inclusions of arbitrary shapes can be modelled using boundary particles located inside a solid region (Bian et al. (2012)). The no-slip boundary condition at the liquid-solid interface is enforced during each interaction between fluid particle and boundary particle by assigning an artificial velocity to the boundary particle, which satisfies zero interpolation at the interface (Morris et al. (1997)). Finally, once all fluid-boundary forces are defined, a total force \( F_{\alpha}^{\text{sph}} \) and
torque $T^\text{spH}_\alpha$ exerted by the surrounding fluid on a given solid sphere labelled $\alpha = 1, \ldots, N_c$ can be calculated and the corresponding coordinates updated as a rigid-body translation/rotation (Vázquez-Quesada & Ellero (2016, 2017)).

Long-range viscoelastic interactions between suspended solid particles are mediated by the matrix fluid and are accurately described. As discussed in (Bian & Ellero (2014); Vázquez-Quesada & Ellero (2016)), in order to reproduce accurately the short-range hydrodynamic behavior and solid particle incompenetrability, we add viscous lubrication as well as short-range inter-particle repulsion. Normal and tangential lubrication forces acting between close spheres read

$$F_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{lub}, n}(s \leq s^n_c) = f_{\alpha\beta}(s) V_{\alpha\beta} \cdot e_{\alpha\beta}$$
$$F_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{lub}, t}(s \leq s^t_c) = g_{\alpha\beta}(s) V_{\alpha\beta} \cdot (1 - e_{\alpha\beta} e_{\alpha\beta})$$

where $e_{\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\beta}/R_{\alpha\beta}$ is the vector joining the centers of mass of solid particles $\alpha$ and $\beta$, $V_{\alpha\beta}$ is their relative velocity and $s = |R_{\alpha\beta} - (a_\alpha + a_\beta)|$ is the distance in the gap between sphere-sphere surfaces and $a_\alpha$ and $a_\beta$ are the sphere’s radii. Expression for the scalar functions $f_{\alpha\beta}(s)$ and $g_{\alpha\beta}(s)$ are given by (Vázquez-Quesada & Ellero (2016)) and an accurate and stable semi-implicit splitting scheme (Bian & Ellero (2014)) is adopted for their time integration.

Finally, an additional repulsive force acting between solid particles is introduced to prevent artificial particle overlap (Bian & Ellero (2014); Vázquez-Quesada & Ellero (2016))

$$F_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{rep}}(s) = F_{\alpha\beta}^{\tau e} - \tau_s/(1 - e_{\alpha\beta} e_{\alpha\beta})$$

where $\tau^{-1}$ determines the interaction range and $F_{\alpha\beta}^{\tau e}$ its magnitude. In order to model nearly hard-spheres, typically values of $\tau^{-1} = 0.001a$ and $F_{\alpha\beta}^{\tau e} = 2.115$ are adopted (Vázquez-Quesada & Ellero (2016)). The model for solid non-colloidal (i.e. non-Brownian) particles in a viscoelastic matrix has been validated in Ref. (Vázquez-Quesada & Ellero (2017)) where the dynamics of a single and mutually interacting rigid spheres under shear flow and in the presence of confinement has been simulated. Brownian conditions (i.e. colloidal suspended particle) have been also studied in Ref. (Vázquez-Quesada et al. (2012)).

All inter-particle interactions are implemented within the so-called Parallel Particle Mesh library (PPM) (Sbalzarini et al. (2006)), a Fortran 90 software layer between the Message Passing Interface (MPI) and Client Applications for simulations of physical systems using Particle-Mesh methods with optimal scaling performance.

3. Numerical results

3.1. Simulation setup

In this section we consider a suspension of non-Brownian solid spheres of radius $a$ confined between two parallel walls and study its viscometric behavior as a function of the bulk Deborah number $\text{De} = \lambda \dot{\gamma}$, where $\lambda$ is the elastic polymer relaxation time and $\dot{\gamma}$ is the macroscopic shear rate. As in (Vázquez-Quesada & Ellero (2016); Vázquez-Quesada et al. (2016b)), a shear rate is applied to the sample by moving upper and lower planar walls separated by a distance $L_z$ with equal and opposite velocities $\pm V_w$. From $\dot{\gamma}$ and from the component $\sigma_{xz}$ of the shear stress (obtained from the total force $F_x$ exerted by the fluid on the walls), the total suspension viscosity is calculated as

$$\eta = \sigma_{xz}/\dot{\gamma} = F_x/(L_x L_y \dot{\gamma})$$

It should be pointed out that in this work the shear rate $\dot{\gamma} = 2V_w/L_z$ is kept constant in such a way that the dimensionless shear rate is uniquely defined in terms of $\text{De}$. As a consequence, the particle Reynolds number is fixed to $\text{Re}_p = a^2 \dot{\gamma} \rho_0 / \eta_t = 0.00625 \ll 1$ to avoid inertial effects. Here $\eta_t = \eta_s + \eta_p$ is the total matrix viscosity. Particle concentration
is defined as $\phi = 4\pi N_c a^3 / 3V$ where $V = L_x \times L_y \times L_z$ is the total volume of the simulation box and $N_c$ is the total number of suspended solid particles. Results for the relative suspension viscosity $\eta_r = \eta / \eta_t$ and suspension microstructure are shown for different Deborah numbers $De$ and solid volume fractions $\phi$ and compared with existing simulation and experimental data in the next sections.

3.2. Suspension rheology: dilute case

To the best of our knowledge only few works presenting simulation data on the rheology of a three-dimensional dilute suspension of spheres in viscoelastic media are present in the literature. Hwang et al. (2004a) studied a single particle in the cell of a Lees-Edwards system for volume fractions $\phi$ up to 0.27. They used an Oldroyd-B model where the polymer viscosity was 0.5 of the total viscosity and the value of the Deborah number $De$ was 0.5. At $\phi = 0.27$ the relative viscosity was about 1.7 for the Newtonian case and about 1.8 for the viscoelastic case. Whilst these results are slightly above the Einstein result for $\phi = 0.27$ (1.675) they are less than the Batchelor-Green prediction (2.23), and are therefore to be regarded with caution. D’Avino et al. (2013) investigated the rheology of the viscoelastic suspension under small and large amplitude oscillatory shear using a fictitious domain method coupled with a finite element approach for the fluid phase. More recently, suspension rheology of a three-dimensional particulate system under dilute conditions has been simulated by Yang et al. (2016) using the immersed-boundary approach to handle fluid-structure interaction coupled with a finite volume scheme. In both cases a Giesekus model for the viscoelastic matrix has been used. In (Yang et al. (2016)) to reproduce dilute conditions, a single spherical particle has been considered located in the middle of the channel between two planar walls generating a shear flow. Results have been presented at solid volume fractions $\phi = 0.01, 0.05$, tested against box size effects and compared directly with the experimental data of Dai et al. (2014). Deviations however were reported, i.e. a very mild shear-thickening in the total suspension viscosity up to $De \sim 1$, followed by shear-thinning at larger $De$. Very recently Yang & Shaqfeh (2018a, b) have extended their calculations for suspensions using multiple particles ($N = 10$ at $\phi = 0.05$ and $N = 20$ at $\phi = 0.1$), leading to similar results.

In the following we compare first our viscoelastic suspension model with that presented in Ref. (Yang et al. (2016)) with a single sphere at $\phi = 0.05$. In order to compare the numerical rheology with the experimental results, the data of Dai et al. (2014) will be also shown as a reference. In terms of matrix rheology, the Boger liquid studied by Dai was a Newtonian mixture of corn syrup (79.42%), glycerin (19.8%), water (0.75%), with a small amount of polyacrylamide (PPA) (0.03%, $M_v \approx 10^7$). An ideal Boger fluid separates shear-thinning and viscoelastic effects by having a constant viscosity: in the material used by Dai et al. (2014) the viscosity changed by less than 0.5% as the shear rate increased from 3 to 100 s$^{-1}$. Hence we believe that our assumption of a constant-viscosity Oldroyd-B model is a good match to the experimental data. Total viscosity was $\eta_t = 2.08$ Pa-s. In Ref.(Yang et al. (2016)) a fit of the viscometric functions under shear ($\eta_s$ and $N_1$) of the Boger matrix fluid used by Dai with the proposed Giesekus model was considered. The Giesekus-fluid properties were found to match accurately experimental data with $\eta_p / \eta_t = 0.32$, $\alpha = 0.0039$ and $\lambda = 0.09$s, the latter being used to define the experimental Deborah number. In the constant-viscosity Oldroyd-B SPH model considered here, the same value $\eta_p / \eta_t = 0.32$ was used which gives a fit of $\lambda = 0.084$s, very close to that of (Yang et al. (2016)). Regarding the dispersed phase, in the experiments of Dai et al. (2014), 42.3 $\mu$m mean-diameter PMMA spheres were used, with standard deviation of the sizes 0.63 $\mu$m and average roughness about 190 nm.

In the simulation with a single sphere, the radius of the particle is taken as $a = 1$. The
length of the simulation box is \( L_x \times L_y \times L_z = 4.8a \times 3.6a \times 4.8a \), where \( L_z \) is the gap of the channel. When using a single-sphere calculation, this simulation box size gives a solid volume fraction \( \phi = 0.05 \). The flow direction is \( x \). Fluid density is chosen \( \rho = 1 \). The value of \( \eta_s = 5.75 \) and \( \eta_t = 8.46 \). The macroscopic shear rate is taken as \( \dot{\gamma} = 0.051 \).

Finally, the artificial speed of sound is taken as \( c = 42.3 \) which is much larger than the speed of the walls \( V_w = 0.122 \) to avoid liquid compressibility effects.

Figure (1) (left) shows the suspension relative viscosities obtained, compared to experiments. Red line open circles refer to the single-sphere simulation data of Yang et al. (2016) where shear thinning behavior is observed at high shear. This is consistent with the fact that the Giesekus matrix does actually show mild shear-thinning. A quantitative discrepancy (in the order of 10-15\%) is also observed between simulation and experiment (blue pentagons) at low De. Filled circles represent the results of the SPH simulation single-sphere model proposed here. Resolution effects have been ruled out by running simulations at 10 and 15 SPH particles per radius (corresponding to roughly 3298 and 8546 computational particles per solid sphere) The low-De plateau of \( \eta_r \) is recovered in good agreement with the results of Yang et al. (2016). However, in the present constant-viscosity Oldroyd-B model, by contrast, shear thickening is observed for \( \text{De} > 1 \) which is in qualitative agreement with experimental data.

Despite this, the improvement here remains qualitative in that the experimental values of \( \eta_r \) are still significantly under-estimated using the present single-particle simulation approach. Possible reasons for this discrepancy have been discussed by (Yang et al. (2016)) where the lack of proper inter-particle interactions using a single sphere setup was suggested. In fact, Boger liquids do not show particle chaining under shear which cannot motivate “a posteriori” the choice of a single-particle approach where particle alignment is “constrained”by the simulation box periodicity, imposing an artificial lattice-structure to the suspension. However, in their more recent work, (Yang & Shaqfeh (2018b)) have reported, using 10 particles at \( \phi = 0.05 \), essentially the same low shear-rate suspension viscosity as the single-sphere computation in figure (1) (left).

In order to explore this effect, we consider next multiple-particle simulations at the same solid volume fraction \( \phi = 0.05 \). The same parameters are considered as above, whereas the box of size \( L_x \times L_y \times L_z = 16a \times 8a \times 32a \) is now changed to accommodate \( N = 49 \) solid suspended spheres. The wall velocity has been changed to \( V_w = 0.846 \) to preserve the same macroscopic shear rate. Note that the ratio \( L_z/a = 32 \) is comparable
with the value 45 (1mm plates-gap for $\approx 43\mu m$ latex particle diameters) considered in experiments using parallel plate rheometers (Dai et al. 2014). We have checked that rheological results do not depend on the present choice of the simulation box. Resolution of 10 SPH particles per radius is considered, which showed numerical convergence in the single sphere setup. In Fig. 1 simulations results of the multiple-particle simulations (black diamonds) are in excellent agreement with the experimental data, with both mild suspension shear-thickening and exact value of $\eta_t$ correctly reproduced.

Note that, unlike the hydrodynamic shear-thickening at large concentrations reported in Brady & Bossis (1988); Bian & Ellero (2014); Vázquez-Quesada & Ellero (2016) for a suspension with Newtonian matrix, which is determined by the balance of shearing and repulsive forces and controlled by the effective shear rate parameter $\dot{\gamma}^* = \frac{6\eta_0 a^2}{F_0}$, here we keep repulsive forces $F_0$ fixed (in our case $F_0 = 2.115$) leading to a constant $\dot{\gamma}^*$. Therefore the classical mechanism of mild hydrodynamic shear thickening is ruled out. Shear thickening here is uniquely determined by the properties of the viscoelastic matrix.

Microstructural configuration corresponding to $\phi = 0.05$ at two representative Deborah numbers in the viscosity plateau and shear-thickened state (De = 0.5 and De = 2.0) is shown in Figure (1) (right). The gray scale represents the magnitude of the $Tr(c)$ quantifying polymer stretching as well as their directional behavior. It can be seen how, even at such a low solid volume fraction $\phi = 0.05$ regions of large polymer stress connect several particles along the expansion axis in the shearing plane. These high-elongational flow mediate significant viscoelastic inter-particle interactions. At larger De = 2.0 high-stress regions become thinner extending significantly and connecting particles far apart, which leads to increased local dissipation and the observed macroscopic viscoelastic thickening. Very recently, Yang & Shaqfeh (2018a) have reported shear-thickening in a viscoelastic suspension under ultra-dilute conditions ($\phi = 0.0005$). Although mild thickening was obtained only in the particle-induced fluid stress component (the overall suspension viscosity effectively shear-thins), a physical mechanism was proposed to explain the observed behaviour. It was shown that the mild thickening under ultra-dilute conditions is related to extra-stress generated in regions of closed streamlines near the particle surfaces. In those strain-dominated regions, polymers periodically stretch and relax, leading to increased value of polymeric stresses. The same explanation (i.e based on near-particle field modification) was provided also in the non-dilute case (Yang & Shaqfeh (2018b)), i.e. for $\phi \geq 0.05$, ruling out the effect of polymer-stretching on flow thickening in regions far form the particle surfaces. We return to this issue by analyzing in detail the suspension microstructure in Sec. 3.6.

### 3.3. Suspension rheology: semi-dilute case

In this section we explore the semi-dilute case, i.e. solid volume fraction $\phi = 0.1$, using $N = 98$ solid spheres distributed in the same domain as in the previous section. Figure (2) (left) shows the suspension relative viscosities obtained compared to experiments. Previous converged numerical resolution (i.e. 10 SPH particles per radius) is used. Numerical data are compared with experiments of Dai et al. (2014) at the given solid volume fraction as well as with the data of Scirocco et al. (2005) at slightly larger but comparable $\phi = 0.113$. In the latter experiment a high molecular weight polyisobutylene (PIB) (0.1%, $M_v \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-6}$) in low molecular weight polybutene (PB) was considered as a Boger matrix. Relative viscosity data in Fig. (2) have been made dimensionless with their specific Boger fluid viscosity (see BF1 specification in (Scirocco et al. (2005))) where $\eta_t = 49 \text{ Pa}\cdot\text{s}$ and a different relaxation time $\lambda = 0.547$ s has been estimated from $N_1$ data using the same calibration protocol discussed in the previous section.
It can be seen that the present simulation data for $\eta_r$ underestimates Dai et al. (2014) results but are in reasonably good agreement with the experimental data reported by (Scirocco et al. (2005)) at slightly larger solid volume fraction, at least for De < 1. On the other hand, the shear-thickening trend seems to be more in line with Dai et al. (2014) data rather than (Scirocco et al. (2005)) where a milder viscosity increase is reported. It should be remarked that in (Scirocco et al. (2005)) a 2.7 µm PS beads were used as dispersed phase leading to an effective Peclet number considerably smaller than the one considered by Dai et al. (2014). This is important to keep it in mind as “colloidal” effects could start to play a role. In both cases, the critical Deborah number for the onset of the shear-thickening (De_c ≈ 0.7) is reasonably well reproduced. Similarly to the previous case, microstructure related to the shear-thickening is reported in Fig. (2) (right) where regions of structural change are intensified at larger De, also compared to $\phi = 0.05$.

### 3.4. Suspension rheology: concentrated case

In this section we explore the moderately concentrated case $\phi = 0.3$, using $N = 294$ solid spheres distributed in the same domain as in the previous sections. In Fig. 3 (left) the simulation results for $\eta_r$ are compared with experiments by Dai et al. (2014), Scirocco et al. (2005) (slightly smaller $\phi = 0.266$). We have added here also the results of Zarraga et al. (2001) where experimental data were presented only in the concentrated regime ($\phi \geq 0.3$) using a Boger liquid similar to Dai et al. (2014) and a suspension of 43.0±5.7 µm-diameter glass spheres, significantly more polydisperse than Dai (43.0 ± 0.63 µm). As in the previous cases, the polymer relaxation time of the Boger matrix of Zarraga et al. (2001) has been estimated from the reported viscometric functions by assuming the same $\eta_p/\eta_t = 0.32$ ratio, leading in this case to a fitted $\lambda = 0.156$s. Corresponding microstructural changes related to the shear-thickening behavior at $\phi = 0.3$ are shown in Figure 3 (right).

Despite the qualitative agreement for shear-thickening, the quantitative comparison with Dai et al. (2014) becomes poorer, in line with the trend already shown in the semi-dilute case. An excellent agreement, however, is obtained with the results of Zarraga et al. (2001) where a significantly smaller $\eta_r$ (≈30-40% respect to Dai) over the entire range of Deborah number is observed. For the sake of completeness we have reported also the data of Scirocco et al. (2005) (corresponding to their Boger fluid BF1) properly non-dimensionalized. Although the latter corresponds to a smaller concentration (26.6%),
disagreement in $\eta_r$ value seems too large to be justified based on 11.3\% relative decrease in concentration. The reason of this discrepancy among experiments is currently not known. In order to shed some light on this issue, variability in the numerical results will be analyzed in Sec. 3.5.

The previous data shows that the present simulation method gives results that capture very well the trend in the experimental data, despite of the large variability in the latter.

### 3.5. Suspension rheology: variability analysis

As mentioned above, large variability is present among different experiments dealing with apparently similar systems (same Boger matrix + dispersed phase). It is therefore interesting to explore variability in the simulation data too. In this section we analyze in detail the dependence of the rheology results on the initial conditions used for the suspended particles and compare them with available experimental data. As discussed in (Vázquez-Quesada & Ellero (2016); Vázquez-Quesada et al. (2016a)), initial positions are calculated by using a pre-processing Monte-Carlo algorithm which assigns an appropriate potential to every solid particle and therefore drives them to non-overlapping positions. This protocol generates a pseudo-random particle distribution consistent with the specified solid volume fraction.

In the Fig. 4 (left), the relative viscosity in the low-De plateau as a function of the solid volume fraction $\phi$ has been drawn for the simulations and experiments previously mentioned. Three sets of experimental data have been reported: (1) the results of Dai et al. (2014); (2) the results of Zarraga et al. (2001) in the concentrated regime ($\phi \geq 0.3$); (3) the results of Scirocco et al. (2005); and (4) the results of Pasquino et al. (2008) in the dilute regime ($\phi \leq 0.1$). In the latter experiment a nearly constant-viscosity silicon fluid (60000 CST from Dow Corning) was used for the matrix. Solid line represents the corresponding best fit proposed by the authors: $\eta_r = 1 + 2.5\phi + 20.9\phi^2$. Batchelor theory (Batchelor & Green (1972)) for the semi dilute case $\eta_r = 1 + 2.5\phi + 7.6\phi^2$ is also drawn. Good agreement is obtained in the dilute/semi-dilute case where most of the experimental data agree. As discussed in the previous section, for $\phi \geq 0.3$ visible deviations arise between simulation-experiment and among experiments too (note the logarithmic scale used). In D’Avino et al. (2013) it was shown that a variability in the measured viscosity of a viscoelastici suspension could result from different initial
conditions, leading to values which can significantly exceed the Batchelor’s prediction even in the dilute regime. For sake of completeness, here we perform a similar variability analysis at solid volume fraction $\phi = 0.05$. From Fig. 1 (left), it is clear that in the low-De plateau, SPH results of many-particle simulation lead to significantly larger values of $\eta_r$ with respect to the value $\approx 1.14$ predicted by using a single-sphere approach. In Fig. 4 (right) different extracted viscosities are reported for specific initial conditions. Results are compared with Batchelor’s theory (green line), the experimental results of Pasquino et al. (2008) under dilute conditions (red symbols; red line best fit) and the results of Dai et al. (2014) (blue pentagons). We have run an additional simulation with many particles ($N_c = 49$ for $\phi = 0.05$) initially located on a regular cubic lattice. The resulting measured $\eta_r \approx 1.14$ (black circle) is in excellent agreement with that estimated via single-sphere SPH approach, Batchelor’s theory and previous results of Yang et al. (2016). In this case, particle layers slide parallel to each other preventing two particles to get very close. This case is analogous to the single-sphere setup where however, due to the imposition of periodic boundary conditions, the inter-particle distance was fixed by default. The good matching between the two suggests that, provided that no close interparticle interactions occur, Batchelor’s theory is satisfied and in full agreement with single-sphere calculations. Nevertheless, as it can be seen in Fig.1 (right), even at this dilute concentration $\phi = 0.05$, interparticle ‘collisions’ are likely to occur which can alter the measured suspension viscosity. We have reported five different averaged steady-state values of $\eta_r$ (simulations were run up to a total strain $\dot{\gamma} t \approx 35$) corresponding to five different random configurations (points a-e in Fig. 4 - right). In the most general case, collisions dominated by large short-range hydrodynamic interactions occur frequently leading to values of $\eta_r$ significantly larger than for the cubic lattice (and single-sphere) case, and even larger than the experimental data of Pasquino et al. (2008) (red line). This increased viscosity in the results (up to $\approx 5\%$) is sensitive on the initial conditions chosen, in line with earlier 2D numerical studies (Hwang et al. (2004b)) and, more recently, with 3D SAOS simulations of D’Avino et al. (2013). Fig 4 (right) shows that variability in $\eta_r$ (spanned range of averaged values) is also in the order of $4−5\%$. In the dilute case, the fact that a small number of simulated spheres is considered makes the choice of their initial conditions (i.e. on the same streamline for close interparticle interactions or on different streamlines for far-field hydrodynamic interactions) relevant. In the semi-dilute/dense case this effect is less likely to occur as multiple particle-interactions homogenizes quickly.
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3.6. Microstructural analysis

In this section we provide information about microstructural quantities in the suspension under flow, namely inhomogeneous behaviour of the conformation tensor, local dissipation as well as statistics associated to suspended particles. The goal is to link the observed shear-thickening behaviour of the suspension to critical microstructural changes.

3.6.1. Particle positions

In Fig. 5 the probability distribution function (PDF) of the solid particle positions as a function of the position along the confining direction $L_z$ is shown. Statistics have been extracted at $\phi = 0.3$ once the system has achieved the steady state for two different Deborah numbers: $De=0.5$ (left) in the viscous plateau regime and $De=2$ (right) in the viscous thickening regime. As it can be seen, at the specified confinement length $L_z = 32a$, no inhomogeneous distribution (e.g. layering or migration) is observed and the particles remain well-dispersed. Similar results are observed at the other concentrations.

3.6.2. Particle angular velocities

Statistics of the angular velocities of the solid particles for different Deborah numbers are analyzed and shown in Fig.6. In the left plot the PDFs of the angular velocities are
reported for $\phi = 0.05$. In order to remove near-wall effects on particle rotations, both figures are calculated only with the particles in the bulk domain (i.e located at distance $\geq 6R$ from the walls), where results do not change. The distributions are characterized by a lower average values and larger widths as $De$ increases. The decrease of the mean angular velocity with increasing liquid elasticity is a well-known behaviour which has been already reported in a single sphere setup under shear in theory using second-order models (Housiadas & Tanner (2011)), in simulations (D’Avino et al. (2008); Snijkers et al. (2011)) as well as experiments with Boger liquids (Snijkers et al. (2009)). Fig.6 (right) shows the mean angular velocity $\langle \omega \rangle/\dot{\gamma}$ vs $De$ for $\phi = 0.05 – 0.3$. The solid particles rotate in the shearing plane with a rate $\omega$ dependent on the applied shear rate, delivering the classical result $\omega = \dot{\gamma}/2$ in the Newtonian limit ($De \rightarrow 0$) and a reduction of the rotation rate with increasing elasticity. The black line corresponds to the result of (Snijkers et al. (2011)) under dilute conditions and is shown as reference.

From the figure it can be evinced also that an increase of the solid volume fraction $\phi$ reduces the rate of decrease of $\langle \omega \rangle/\dot{\gamma}$ ($De$) ($\phi = 0.3$: pink line) which is probably due to the increase interparticle hydro-elastic interactions interfering destructively in relation to this trend. From Fig.6 (left) note also that the widths of the angular PDFs increase with increasing $De$, suggesting that significant elastic interparticle interactions tend to ‘randomize’ the particle’s spins.

3.6.3. Local polymer stretching

In this section we report statistics on the distribution of the local polymer extension field $Tr[c]$ for different Deborah numbers and solid volume fractions. Fig.7 (top plate) shows 2D-projections of $Tr[c]$ along the shearing plane for $\phi = 0.05, 0.1, 0.3$ and fixed $De=0.5$. As it can be seen, the distribution is highly inhomogeneous showing distinct peaks of the polymer extension localized in the regions between departing particles. The situation becomes much more complex in the concentrated case where highly-irregular polymer stretching filaments connect multiple particles. Note also the regions of high polymer extension connecting two (or more) particles; these must mediate significant elastic interactions already at low solid volume fractions, therefore even the case $\phi = 0.05$-traditionally accepted as a dilute regime in term of the Einstein’s theory for Newtonian suspending liquids- cannot any longer be assumed as such and a significantly larger suspension viscosity is expected. This is consistent with the results reported in Sec. 3.2. In Fig.7 (bottom plate) we analyze the statistics of polymer conformation. In particular the left graph shows the PDF($Tr[c]$) in the dilute case $\phi = 0.05$. At low $De$, the
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Figure 7. Top: 2D-projection of the polymer extension field Tr[c] along the shearing plane for different configurations. Top row: different concentrations \( \phi = 0.05 \) (left), \( \phi = 0.1 \) (middle) and \( \phi = 0.3 \) (right); plots corresponds to fixed De = 0.5 (plateau) in all cases. Middle row: different Deborah numbers De = 0.1 (left), De = 0.5 (middle) and De = 2.0 (right); plots corresponds to fixed \( \phi = 0.05 \) (plateau) in all cases. Bottom graphs: (Left) PDF of Tr[c] for the case \( \phi = 0.05 \). (Right) \( \langle Tr[c] \rangle \) vs De.

distribution is highly-peakd showing little dispersion. The mean values \( \langle Tr[c] \rangle \) as well as the PDF's widths increase with De. Fig.7 (right) shows the \( \langle Tr[c] \rangle \) vs De for \( \phi = 0.05-0.3 \). The case corresponding to the pure elastic liquid (\( \phi = 0 \)) is also shown and compared to the analytical result for the Oldroyd-B model. It is clear that an increase of the solid volume fraction \( \phi \) induces a steeper increase of the mean polymer extension in response to the larger local flow gradients present in the fluid domain.

For the sake of completeness in Fig.7 we report also the typical changes in the local field \( Tr[c] \) as a function of De. Fig.7 (middle plate) shows the effect of De (0.1,0.5,2) by keeping fixed \( \phi = 0.05 \). As suggested in the analysis of the average \( \langle Tr[c] \rangle \), both \( \phi \) and De contribute to increase the local Deborah number and therefore the local polymer stretching. The plots resemble those reported in the 2D calculations of Hwang et al. (2004b) where highly oriented and non-uniform micro-structures corresponding to large polymer extensions were observed and generally connected to the corresponding shear-thickening. However, no quantitative analysis of the microstructure and its influence of
the suspension thickening was carried out. Moreover, the Tr[c] is not necessarily the most appropriate quantity to monitor local dissipation specifically linked to suspension thickening. In fact, as it can be seen in Fig.7 (bottom-right), in a pure Oldroyd-B model (\(\phi = 0\)) the Tr[c] increases as an effect of a simple shear flow too but no enhanced dissipation (i.e. thickening) can take place. In fact, the Oldroyd-B matrix has a constant viscosity \(\eta_s + \eta_p\) under simple shear which is independent of De. The role played by the extensional flow component (rather than the polymer stretching itself) is therefore crucial, being an enhanced local shear flow and related enhanced stretching unable to explain the increased dissipation. In the next section we focus on a different microstructural quantity, i.e. the local viscoelastic dissipation occurring in the fluid, and link it to the overall suspension thickening.

### 3.6.4. Local viscoelastic dissipation

In this section we analyze in detail the statistical properties of the local dissipation for a viscoelastic Oldroyd-B fluid model. The general viscoelastic dissipation function \(\theta_i\) associated to each Lagrangian element of fluid \(i\) (i.e. SPH fluid particle) can be straightforwardly calculated in the generic framework. The general non-isothermal model (Vázquez-Quesada et al. (2009)) predicts an evolution for the entropy function (i.e. \(T S_i = \theta_i/d_i\)) which satisfies the Second Law of Thermodynamics (monotonic temporal increase) at the discrete level and therefore the entropy production is positive definite by construction. \(\theta_i = \theta_i^\text{visc} + \theta_i^\text{elast}\), where \(\theta_i^\text{visc}\) is the standard irreversible viscous heating defined as

\[
\theta_i^\text{visc} = (D + 2)\eta_s \sum_j \frac{-W'_{ij}}{d_j} (v_{ij} \cdot e_{ij})^2 \geq 0 \tag{3.1}
\]

This is a SPH representation of \(\eta_s \nabla v : \nabla v\) which is positive definite in the discrete setting due to the property of the kernel function \(W'_{ij} \leq 0\).

Calculation provided in the Appendix A gives an expression for \(\theta_i^\text{elast}\) - the viscoelastic dissipation function, expressing the dissipation generated by the polymers through their flow response (orientation/stretching)- in terms of the conformation tensor \(c_i\) as

\[
\theta_i^\text{elast} = \frac{\eta_p}{2\lambda^2} \left[ \text{Tr}[c_i] + \text{Tr}[c_i^{-1}] - 6 \right] \tag{3.2}
\]

which is positive definite by construction in virtue of the properties of the conformation tensor. Note that this expression is consistent with the mechanical dissipation given by Wapperom & Hulsen (1998) for several viscoelastic models and show that their results comply with the GENERIC framework.

In the following, the statistics associated to the scalar \(3.2\) are analyzed and a quantitative link to the observed global thickening of the suspension is proposed.

### 3.6.5. Dissipation function statistics and suspension shear-thickening

In the case of a suspension under shear we can define the overall suspension viscosity as \(\eta_{\text{eff}} = T \langle \dot{s} \rangle / \dot{\gamma}_{\text{macro}}^2\), where \(\langle \dot{s} \rangle\) is the global entropy density (i.e. per unit of volume) production averaged over the entire fluid domain, whereas \(\dot{\gamma}_{\text{macro}}\) is the macroscopic externally applied shear rate (Einstein (1906, 1911)). In the case of a Newtonian suspension \(\theta_i = \theta_i^\text{visc}\) and therefore we obtain a corresponding relative suspension viscosity

\[
\eta_{\text{rel}} = \frac{\eta_{\text{eff}}}{\eta_s} = \frac{\langle \theta_i^\text{visc} \rangle}{\eta_s \dot{\gamma}_{\text{macro}}^2} = \frac{\langle \dot{s}^2 \rangle}{\dot{\gamma}_{\text{macro}}^2} \tag{3.3}
\]
Figure 8. Scaling of the purely elastic contribution to the mean dissipation \(\langle \text{Tr}[\mathbf{c}] + \text{Tr}[\mathbf{c}^{-1}] - 6 \rangle/\text{De}^2\) vs the Deborah number \(\text{De} = \lambda \dot{\gamma}_{\text{macro}}\) for \(\phi = 0.05, 0.1, 0.3\). Scaling exponents \(\alpha \geq 2\) are observed at large \(\text{De}\) and \(\phi\).

Since in an inertia-less Stokes fluid the local flow field (and related gradients) is topologically invariant under different applied shear rates, it must result that, for the same microstructure, \(\dot{\gamma}_i = \dot{\gamma}_0(\mathbf{r}_i)\dot{\gamma}_{\text{macro},0}\), where \(\dot{\gamma}_0(\mathbf{r}_i)\) is a given shear rate field corresponding to a reference macroscopic shear rate \(\dot{\gamma}_{\text{macro},0}\). As a result, \(\langle \dot{\gamma}_i^2 \rangle \propto \dot{\gamma}_{\text{macro},0}^2\) and the relative suspension viscosity cannot depend on \(\dot{\gamma}_{\text{macro},0}\), which is a well-known result for Newtonian suspensions at low/moderate solid volume fractions. Note that at large solid volume fractions (not considered here), thickening due to short-range lubrication/contact interparticle interactions can occur also in a Newtonian suspension. Opposite to the Newtonian case, the viscoelastic dissipation is associated to an extra elastic contribution \(\theta_i^{\text{elast}}\). In this case we have that:

\[
\eta_{\text{rel}}^{\text{elast}} = \frac{\eta_p}{\eta_t} \frac{\langle \text{Tr}[\mathbf{c}] + \text{Tr}[\mathbf{c}^{-1}] - 6 \rangle}{2\lambda^2 \dot{\gamma}_{\text{macro}}^2}\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

Note that, when compared to the polymer extension, this quantity contains an additional contribution proportional to \(\text{Tr}[\mathbf{c}^{-1}]\) which causes viscoelastic dissipation too. By focusing on this quantity, we observed that for a pure Oldroyd-B fluid (\(\phi = 0\)) under simple shear \(\dot{\gamma}_{\text{macro},0}\), it leads to: \(\langle \text{Tr}[\mathbf{c}] + \text{Tr}[\mathbf{c}^{-1}] - 6 \rangle = 2\lambda^2 \dot{\gamma}_{\text{macro}}^2 = 2\text{De}^2\) and therefore, as in the previous case, the viscosity cannot depend on \(\dot{\gamma}_{\text{macro},0}\), i.e. no shear-thickening of the suspending matrix, which is consistent with the rheology of an Oldroyd-B fluid. In order to obtain shear-thickening of the suspension (\(\phi \neq 0\)) it is necessary to have local complex flows with extensional components such that \(\langle \text{Tr}[\mathbf{c}] + \text{Tr}[\mathbf{c}^{-1}] - 6 \rangle \sim (\lambda \dot{\gamma}_{\text{macro}}^2)^\alpha\) with \(\alpha > 2\). In Figure (8) the scaling of the purely elastic contribution to the mean dissipation \(\langle \text{Tr}[\mathbf{c}] + \text{Tr}[\mathbf{c}^{-1}] - 6 \rangle/\text{De}^2\) is plotted against the Deborah number \(\text{De} = \lambda \dot{\gamma}_{\text{macro}}\) for \(\phi = 0.05, 0.1, 0.3\). As it can be seen, in the dilute case (\(\phi = 0.05\)) the scaling exponent is nearly everywhere 2, with a very slight deviations occurring only for \(\text{De} > 1\). This is consistent with the fact that only mild shear-thickening is observed under dilute conditions in the range of \(\text{De}\) investigated (see Fig. 1). On the other hand, a clear upward deviation with scaling exponent \(> 2\) is observed at larger \(\phi\) which is linked to the significant suspension thickening observed in Figs. (2) and (3). Since we have now linked the overall suspension shear-thickening to a microstructural
property of the suspending phase (Eq. 3.2), we proceed next exploring visually its distribution under different flow conditions and identify the regions responsible of thickening. To this goal, it is convenient to define a frame-invariant rate-independent parameter which discriminates different flow regions (e.g. shear, extensional etc.). Following Hemingway et al. (2018) we define the dimensionless parameter $Q$ as

$$Q = \frac{\lambda_D^2 - \lambda_R^2}{\lambda_D^2 + \lambda_R^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)$$

where $\lambda_D = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} D : D}$ measures the local rate of deformation in the flow ($D$ is the symmetric velocity-gradient tensor), whereas $\lambda_R = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \Omega : \Omega}$ measures the rate of rotation ($\Omega$ is the anti-symmetric velocity-gradient tensor). For $Q = +1$ the flow is extensional; for $Q = 0$ it is pure shear whereas for $Q = -1$ we have a pure rotation.

Fig. 9 (left) shows the local dissipation function for two different De=0.5 (top) and De=2.0 (bottom). From these plots it can be evinced that areas of local large dissipation (black) are distributed near the particle surfaces but also in the regions between departing particles and can be significantly spatially extended. On the contrary, when looking at
the $Q$-field (right plots), it can be seen that regions of purely elongational flow (black areas: $Q=+1$) are mostly located near the particle surface, whereas far from them simple shear or rotational flow components are dominating. The latter ones, although being associated to significant polymer stretching, contribute to the polymeric viscosity $\eta_p$ but cannot be linked to thickening. In fact, as discussed above, polymer stretching in simple shear (or rotation) cannot produce any viscosity increase in a Oldroyd-B model.

In conclusion, although areas of large dissipation can be distributed everywhere in the domain (depending on the specific particle configuration), the specific thickening in the flow at different $De$ can be associated only with those extensional areas (see right plots; top - $De=0.5$; bottom $De=2$) which are mostly located near the particle surfaces and, depending on the solid volume fraction, in regions between close departing particles. This is in line with the suggestion of Yang & Shaqfeh (2018a) in a suspension under ultra-dilute conditions, where however elastic thickening was associated to periodic polymer stretching occurring in the near-particle regions of closed-streamlines only. Fig.9 shows that at finite concentrations extensional regions potentially occur also between closely separating particles and can contribute to the overall flow thickening of the suspension. In order to better clarify this point, we go back to the single-sphere setting which, although under-predicting the absolute value of the relative suspension viscosity, was able to capture the correct thickening trend of the viscosity increase as a function of $De$ (Fig. 1). Unlike many-particle simulation, the advantage of studying this single-sphere configuration is due to the flow field remaining essentially unchanged for different $De$ and therefore local relative thickening can be assessed in a clearer way under the same flow conditions.

Fig. 10 (left) shows the $Q$-field: as mentioned above extensional areas are located near the front-rear of the particle (consistently with the many-particle configurations shown in Fig.9) and remain approximately the same for different $De$.

Fig. 10 (right) shows the corresponding value of the function $\theta_{\text{elast}}/De_{\text{loc}}^2$, where the local Deborah number is defined as $De_{\text{loc}} = \lambda \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} D : D}$. As shown in Fig. 8, a relative increase of this function is associated with global elastic thickening. From Fig. 10 (right) it can be seen that $\theta_{\text{elast}}/De_{\text{loc}}^2$ for different $De$ is almost constant everywhere (no increasing trend) except for the horizontal areas located between the particles (periodic boundary conditions are applied) where its absolute values increases with $De$, especially for $De \geq 1$. The correlation with areas of large extensional flow (left) is clear, however for complex inter-particle flows under non-dilute conditions no closed trajectories around the particles are necessary to trigger the thickening response.

4. Conclusions

The present paper explores the viscosity in shear flow of dilute to semi-concentrated suspensions of non-Brownian spheres in viscoelastic matrices described by a single-mode Oldroyd-B model. There are two major components of this work: i) the accuracy of the simulation method and ii) the microstructural insight to the phenomenon of elastic thickening under non-dilute conditions. With regard to the simulation method, we note that the SPH system considers both long- and short-range forces; we have done semi-dilute (single sphere) computations at a volume fraction of 0.05, plus multibody computations at the same concentration. At very low Deborah numbers ($De < 0.1$) the behaviour of the Oldroyd-B model is close to Newtonian. In Fig 1 we see that the relative viscosity of the suspension is, from the SPH data using a single-sphere method, about 1.146; Yang et al. (2016), also using a single-sphere method, found 1.124. This is very
close to the Einstein result. The Batchelor-Green (1972) result (order $\phi^2$) is 1.141, close to our single-sphere result. Fig 1 also shows that at $\phi = 0.05$ there is a substantial effect of multibody interactions, and it is unlikely that single-sphere computations are adequate at this concentration. Pasquino et al. (2008) suggested that truly dilute behaviour does not occur above $\phi = 0.02$. This is supported by the Yang analysis at $\phi = 0.01$, where they found the relative viscosity was 1.025, the Einstein value. Our conclusion is that for any suspension with $\phi \geq 0.02$ multibody computations are necessary. Hence we consider the computations presented here to be accurate. It should be noted that some variability in the measured viscosity is present and depends on the initial conditions (in agreement with D’Avino et al. (2013)). This points also to a possible issue in experiments which is related to the specific sample preparation.

Fig 1 also shows the onset of shear thickening at Deborah number of about 1 in agreement with experimental data. From Fig 1 (right) one can see the regions of structural change are intensified as the concentration increases at both $\text{De} = 0.5$ and 2.0. There are clearly large interparticle interactions at all concentrations. The 2D computations of Hwang et al. (2004b) showed similar effects. Turning to Fig 2 ($\phi = 0.1$) one sees an upturn in viscosity for $\text{De} > 0.7$ and there are considerable differences between the various experiments with Boger fluid matrices, both in regard to the relative viscosities and the shear thickening. For the case of $\phi = 0.3$ (Figs 3,4) one sees that all the experimental deviations are intensified. Whilst the general behaviour can be computed, a quantitative comparison between computation and experiments is difficult to perform. It should be noted that for $\phi \geq 0.3$ the effects of particle friction begin to be important (Gallier et al. (2014)) which could also lead to increased viscosity values.

With regard to the specific mechanism of elastic thickening in these complex suspensions, a microstructural analysis shows that elastic thickening correlates well with the averaged viscoelastic dissipation function, requiring a scaling of $\langle \theta_{\text{elast}} \rangle \sim \text{De}^\alpha$ with $\alpha \geq 2$ to take place. Locally, despite the fact that regions of large polymer stretching (and viscoelastic dissipation) can occur everywhere in the domain, flow regions uniquely responsible of the elastic thickening are well correlated to areas with significant extensional component. These occur in the vicinity of the particle surfaces, as pointed out recently by Yang & Shaqfeh (2018a) under ultra-dilute conditions, but also in the extensional regions occurring between closely interacting particles at larger solid volume fractions.
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6. Appendix A: Entropy production in the fluid particle model for the Oldroyd-B fluid

The entropy production in GENERIC is

\[
\dot{S} = \frac{dS}{dt}(x) = \left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} \right|_{\text{irr}} \frac{dx}{dt}
\]  

because the reversible part does not contribute to the entropy production. In this equation, \(x\) characterizes the full state of the system, given by its relevant variables (in the discrete Oldroyd-B model: positions, velocities, conformation tensors and internal energies of all the computational particles: \(x = (r_1, \ldots, r_N, v_1, \ldots, v_N, c_1, \ldots, c_N, E_1, \ldots, E_N)\)), so we have

\[
\dot{S} = \sum_i \left[ \left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial r_i} \right|_{\text{irr}} \frac{dr_i}{dt} + \left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial v_i} \right|_{\text{irr}} \frac{dv_i}{dt} + \left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial E_i} \right|_{\text{irr}} \frac{dE_i}{dt} + \left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial c_{\mu\nu}} \right|_{\text{irr}} \frac{dc_{\mu\nu}}{dt} \right]
\]

(6.2)

where the Greek indices refer to tensorial components and the next contributions have been introduced

\[
\dot{S}_i^\text{visc} = \frac{1}{T_i} \left. \frac{dE_i}{dt} \right|_{\text{irr}}
\]

(6.3)

\[
\dot{S}_i^\text{elast} = \frac{\sigma_{\mu\nu}^{\text{elast}}}{T_i} \left. \frac{dc_{\mu\nu}}{dt} \right|_{\text{irr}}
\]

Sums over repeated Greek indices are implied.

By using the irreversible motion given in Eq. (48) of (Vázquez-Quesada et al. (2009)) we have

\[
\dot{S}_i^\text{visc} = \frac{1}{T_i} (D + 2) \eta_s \sum_j -\frac{W_{ij}'}{d_i d_j} (v_{ij} \cdot e_{ij})^2
\]

(6.4)

\[
\dot{S}_i^\text{elast} = \frac{2}{k_B N_p \tau_i^2 \lambda} \sigma_{\mu\nu}^{\text{elast}} c_{\mu\nu}^{\text{elast}} \sigma_{\nu'}^{\mu}'
\]

The first contribution \(\dot{S}_i^\text{visc}\) describes the dissipation that takes place in the solvent. The second contribution \(\dot{S}_i^\text{elast}\) describes the dissipation due to the relaxation of the polymer conformation. Note that these are local quantities defined per particle.

If colloids are present and an irreversible interaction between colloid and viscoelastic
matrix is used, a further contribution enters that will take into account the dissipation due to this interaction.

For the model

\[ \sigma = \frac{N_p}{2} k_B T \left[ \mathbf{c}^{-1} - 1 \right] \]  

(6.5)

the elastic entropy production is given by

\[ \dot{S}_{\text{elast}}^i = \frac{N_p k_B}{2\lambda} \text{Tr} \left( \left[ \mathbf{c}_i^{-1} - 1 \right] \mathbf{c}_i \left[ \mathbf{c}_i^{-1} - 1 \right] \right) \]

\[ = \frac{N_p k_B}{2\lambda} \left[ \text{Tr} [\mathbf{c}_i] + \text{Tr} [\mathbf{c}_i^{-1}] - 6 \right] \]  

(6.6)

The viscoelastic dissipation function is defined as

\[ \theta_{\text{elast}}^i = d_i T_i \dot{S}_{\text{elast}}^i = \frac{\eta_p}{2\lambda^2} \left[ \text{Tr} [\mathbf{c}_i] + \text{Tr} [\mathbf{c}_i^{-1}] - 6 \right] \]  

(6.7)
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