Diffuse Lyα haloes around Lyα emitters at $z = 3^*$: Do dark matter distributions determine the Lyα spatial extents?
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1 INTRODUCTION

It is believed that gaseous baryons around galaxies (circum-galactic medium or CGM) play an important role in determining the properties of galaxies via gas inflows / outflows across cosmic time (e.g., Kereš et al. 2005; Morii & Umemura 2006; Dekel et al. 2006; Oppenheimer et al. 2010; van de Voort et al. 2011; Fumagalli et al. 2011). Observationally, the CGM have been studied mainly using absorption lines of background QSOs or galaxies (e.g., Steidel et al. 2010; Prochaska et al. 2011; Rudie et al. 2012). An alternative window of the CGM is Lyα emitting halo, which should trace the relatively dense gaseous structure around a galaxy (e.g., Furlanetto et al. 2005; Goerdt et al. 2010; Faucher-Giguère et al. 2010; Zheng et al. 2011; Rosdahl & Blaizot 2011; Dijkstra & Hultman Kramer 2012).

Diffuse, extended Lyα haloes are likely a generic property of high-$z$ galaxies. Based on a deep long-slit spectroscopy of a QSO field, Rauch et al. (2008, R08) detected diffuse Lyα haloes by averaging the spectra of 27 Lyα emitters (LAEs) at $z = 2.6 - 3.8$, extending to a radius of $r \sim 30\,\text{kpc}$ above $10^{-19}\,\text{ergs}\,\text{s}^{-1}\,\text{cm}^{-2}\,\text{arcsec}^{-2}$. Steidel et al. (2011, S11) detected more extended ($r \sim 80\,\text{kpc}$) Lyα haloes above a similar surface brightness limit by composites of the Lyα images of 92 UV-selected galaxies in three protoclusters at $z = 2.3$, 2.8 and 3.1. S11 found that the Lyα haloes can...
be well fitted by an exponential profile and that the slopes of the Lyα haloes depend weakly on the central Lyα equivalent widths.

What determines the Lyα halo structure? The galaxies in S11 are known to reside in galaxy over-dense regions with \( \delta_{\text{gal}} \sim 4 - 7 \) (Steidel et al. 2000, 2003; Erb, Bogosavljević, & Steidel [2011]), while most of R08’s galaxies would reside in blank field. Moreover, the UV continuum luminosities of the S11’s galaxy sample are \( \gtrsim 10 \) times brighter than those of the R08’s galaxies. To fill these gaps, we require observations covering larger dynamic ranges of environment and UV luminosity. Here, we examine the properties of Lyα haloes around LAEs at \( z = 3.1 \) based on our wide-field deep Lyα imaging data.

In this paper, we use AB magnitudes and adopt cosmological parameters, \( \Omega_m = 0.3, \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7 \) and \( h = 0.7 \). In this cosmology, the Universe at \( z = 3.1 \) is 2.0 Gyr old and 1.0\( ^\circ \) corresponds to a physical length of 7.6 kpc at \( z = 3.1 \).

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

We use the same data set as in Matsuda et al. (2011) and Yamada et al. (2012a, Y12). Full detail of the observations and data reduction were described in Y12. Using a narrow-band filter, \( NB497 \) (CW=4977 Å and FWHM=77 Å. Havashino et al. 2004) on Subaru Suprime-Cam (Miyazaki et al. 2002), we observed 12 pointings: Great Observatories Origins Deep Survey-North (GOODS-N), Subaru Deep Field (SDF), three fields in Subaru-XMM Deep Survey (SXDS-C, N, and S) and seven fields around SSA22 (SSA22-Sb-l7). The SSA22 contains the protocluster at \( z = 3.09 \) (Steidel et al. 1998, 2000). For the SSA22 fields, we obtained broad-band \((B+V)\) images in our observing runs. For GOODS-N, we used archival raw \( B \) and \( V \)-band images (Capak et al. 2004). For the SDF and SXDS fields, we used public, reduced \( B \) and \( V \)-band images (Kashikawa et al. 2004; Furusawa et al. 2008).

We reduced the raw data with sdfred (Yagi et al. 2003; Onchi et al. 2004) and IRAF. For photometric calibration, we used the photometric and spectrophotometric standard stars (Oke 1993, Landolt 1992). We corrected the magnitudes using the Galactic extinction map of Schlegel, Finkbeiner, & Davis (1998). We aligned the combined images and smooth with Gaussian kernels to match their seeing to a FWHM of 1.0″ or 1.1″ depending on the original seeing. We made \( BV \) images \([BV = (2B+V)/3]\) for the continuum at the same effective wavelength as \( NB497 \) and made \( NB_e \) (continuum subtracted \( NB497 \)) images for emission-line images. The total survey area after masking low S/N regions and bright stars is 2.4 square degrees and the survey volume is \( 1.8 \times 10^6 \) comoving Mpc\(^3\). The 1-\( \sigma \) surface brightness limits of the \( NB_e \) images are \( 0.7 - 1.2 \times 10^{-18} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{arcsec}^{-2} \).

3 RESULTS

We use a sample of LAEs selected by Y12. The selection criteria are as follows:

(1) \( NB497 < 25.73 \) \((S/N > 6.6)\), and,
(2a) \( BV - NB497 > 1.0 \) \& \( B - V_c > 0.2 \) \((V_c < 26.5)\),
(2b) \( BV - NB497 > 1.3 \) \((V_c > 26.5)\).

or,

\[ (2b) BV - NB497 > 1.3 \] \((V_c > 26.5)\),

where \( V_c \) is the line-corrected \( V \)-band magnitude. The \( B - V_c \) criteria is useful to further prevent the contamination of \([\text{O} \text{ii}]\) emitters at \( z = 0.33 \) although we cannot apply it to the continuum faint sources, \( V_c > 26.5 \). For the robustness of the sample, we also apply an additional constraint that the observed \( BV - NB497 \) colour must be larger than the 4-\( \sigma \) value. The previous spectroscopic observations show that the contamination of the foreground objects, mostly \([\text{O} \text{ii}]\) emitters at \( z = 0.33 \), is at most \( 1 - 3\% \), or negligible for the LAE sample (Matsuda et al. 2003, 2004; Yamada et al. 2012b).

From the total 2161 sample, we exclude 33 LAEs (1.5% of the whole sample) whose positions are within 20″ from the edge of the images. In Figure 1 we show \( BV \) magnitudes and surface densities of the remaining 2128 LAEs. The \( BV \) magnitude represents the rest-frame UV continuum luminosity near 1220 Å. The surface over-density \((\delta_{\text{LAE}} \equiv (\Sigma - \Sigma)/\Sigma)\) is derived by smoothing the LAE sky distribution with a Gaussian kernel with a size of \( \sigma = 1.5' \) (or FWHM\sim 5 h\(^{-1}\) comoving Mpc) as used in Y12, whose scale is close to the median distance between the nearest neighbours of LAEs in the blank fields (SXDS, GOODS-N and SDF). We confirmed that the result does not change significantly if we use slightly different kernel sizes. We divide the LAE sample into four sub-samples based on the LAE surface density or the central \( BV \) magnitude (see Figure 1 and Table 1). We chose the boundaries between the sub-samples as follows.

Figure 1. Distribution of \( BV \) magnitudes and the surface overdensities of 2128 LAEs at \( z = 3.1 \) (red dots) from Yamada et al. (2012a, Y12) and 24 LBGs at \( z = 3.1 \) in the SSA22 protocluster (blue stars) from Steidel et al. (2003). The \( BV \) is the continuum magnitude at the rest-frame wavelength of \( \sim 1220 \) Å. The local over-density of LAEs \((\delta_{\text{LAE}} \equiv (\Sigma - \Sigma)/\Sigma)\) is calculated by smoothing the LAE sky distributions with a Gaussian kernel of \( \sigma = 1.5' \) (or FWHM\sim 5 h\(^{-1}\) comoving Mpc). The dotted lines represent boundaries between sub-samples for composites.
Table 1. Properties of composite sub-samples

| Sample | N | $\delta_{\text{LAE}}$ | $NB^c$ | $BV^b$ | $BV^c$ | $EW^b_0$ | $EW^c_0$ | $C_{NB^c}$ | $r_{NB^c}$ | $C_{BV^c}$ | $r_{BV^c}$ |
|--------|---|------------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|----------|------------|----------|------------|-----------|
| 2.5 $< \delta_{\text{LAE}} < 5.5$ | 130 | 3.5 | 25.50 | 27.17 | 24.26 | 26.73 | 88 | 183 | 0.7 | 20.4 | 0.3 | 10.3 |
| 1.5 $< \delta_{\text{LAE}} < 2.5$ | 273 | 1.9 | 26.94 | 24.49 | 26.47 | 81 | 117 | 1.4 | 13.2 | — | — |
| 0.5 $< \delta_{\text{LAE}} < 1.5$ | 861 | 0.9 | 26.95 | 24.70 | 26.64 | 79 | 112 | 1.4 | 10.7 | — | — |
| $\leq 0.5$ $< \delta_{\text{LAE}} < 0.5$ | 864 | 0.1 | 25.35 | 26.97 | 24.77 | 26.68 | 84 | 109 | 1.5 | 9.1 | — | — |

$^{a}$The LAE (surface) over-densities calculated by smoothing the LAE spatial distributions with a Gaussian kernel of $\sigma=1.5'$ (or FWHM $\sim 5$ h$^{-1}$ comoving Mpc).

$^{b}$The magnitudes (AB) measured with 2" diameter aperture photometry.

$^{c}$The magnitudes (AB) measured with isophotal apertures determined on the $NB^c$ (Ly$\alpha$) images with a threshold of 31.5 ABmag arcsec$^{-2}$ (or $8 \times 10^{-20}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ arcsec$^{-2}$).

$^{d}$The rest-frame Ly$\alpha$ equivalent widths from the isophotal aperture photometry.

$^{e}$Best-fit parameters assuming a surface brightness profile of $S(r) = C_n \exp(-r/r_n)$, where $C_n$ is a constant in units of $10^{-18}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ arcsec$^{-2}$ and $r_n$ is a scale length.

$^{g}$LAE sub-samples are from Steidel et al. (2003).

Figure 2. Composite images of $z = 3.1$ LAE sub-samples and protocluster LBG sample. The upper panels are Ly$\alpha$ images and the lower panels are $BV$ continuum images. All the stacked Ly$\alpha$ images show extended Ly$\alpha$ haloes. The size of the images is $20'' \times 20''$ ($\sim 150 \times 150$ kpc$^2$). The white horizontal bars indicate the angular scale of 100 kpc (physical) at $z = 3.1$. The images are displayed with a logarithmic scale with a range between 26 and 33 ABmag arcsec$^{-2}$.

We first divide the sample into two sub-samples near the peaks of the density or $BV$ magnitude distributions. Then the dynamic ranges of the high density or $BV$ bright samples are much larger than those of the low density or $BV$ faint samples. Therefore we further divide the high density or $BV$ bright samples so that sub-samples have basically a dynamic range of $\Delta BV^b = 1$ or $\Delta \delta_{\text{LAE}} = 1$. However, as the densest or $BV$ brightest samples have too small numbers of sources, we use larger dynamic ranges ($\Delta BV^c = 4$ or $\Delta \delta_{\text{LAE}} = 3$) so that they have enough number of sources. For comparison, we also use a sample of 24 LBGs at $z = 3.06 - 3.13$ (i.e. Ly$\alpha$ redshift range covered by $NB497$) in the SSA22 protocluster from Steidel et al. (2003).

Figure 2 shows composite $NB^c$ (Ly$\alpha$) and $BV$ (Continuum) images of the LAE sub-samples and the protocluster LBG sample. We make the composite images as follows. We cut out $40'' \times 40''$ (or $300 \times 300$ kpc) at $z = 3.1$ images centred at the sources. We then stack these images with median to erase unrelated foreground or background sources near the sources without masking before stacking. Median should also be more robust than average if the samples include rare luminous Ly$\alpha$ blobs (LABs, e.g., Steidel et al. 2000). The 1-$\sigma$ surface brightness limits, estimated from the fluctuations of the outer part of the composite images ($> 10''$ away from the source), reach $\sim 30.5 - 32.5$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$ or $\sim 0.3 - 2 \times 10^{-19}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ arcsec$^{-2}$, depending on the number of stacked sub-samples. These depths are equivalent to those achieved with $\sim 30 - 1000$ nights observation with 8-m class telescopes and is $\gtrsim 10^4$ times fainter than the sky brightness at this wavelength in Mauna Kea (e.g., Kriciunas et al. 1987). All the stacked Ly$\alpha$ images show significant extended Ly$\alpha$ haloes. The sub-samples with brighter UV continuum show extended UV continuum haloes.$^{3}$

$^{3}$ Similar diffuse (and/or clumpy) continuum structure is also seen in giant LABs (e.g., Matsuda et al. 2007; Prescott et al. 2011).
Figure 3. Lyα and continuum surface brightness radial profiles of stacked LAEs and LBGs. Plots (a) and (b) are Lyα profiles of surface density and BV magnitudes divided sub-samples respectively. Plots (c) and (d) are UV continuum profiles of surface density and BV magnitudes divided sub-samples respectively. The grey shades show the range of point spread function (PSF) of the 12 pointings, normalized at the smallest bin of lowest density or faintest UV luminosity sub-samples. All the Lyα haloes are much larger than the PSF.

For continuum, it is difficult to examine the halo profiles due to their faintness except for the sub-samples with brighter UV continuum. The dashed lines are fitted exponential profiles, $S(r) = C_n \exp(-r/r_n)$, where $C_n$ is a constant and $r_n$ is a scale length. In order to avoid effect from the PSF and central bright cores, only bins larger than $r = 3''$ are used for the profile fitting. The error bar shows a 1-σ uncertainty for each bin.

We have confirmed that the results do not change significantly if we use different stacking methods (e.g., 2 or 3-σ clipping average).

The composite Lyα and continuum surface brightness profiles are shown in Figure 3. We also plot the range of the point spread function (PSF) of the 12 pointings, normalized with the data at the smallest radius for lowest LAE surface density or faintest UV luminosity sub-samples. The 1-σ uncertainty for each bin is estimated from dividing the 1-σ surface brightness limit of each composite image with $\sqrt{A}$, where $A$ is an area of a bin annulus in unit of arcsec$^2$ (i.e., assuming Poisson statistics). To quantify the shape of the haloes, we fitted them using an exponential profile, $S(r) = C_n \exp(-r/r_n)$, where $C_n$ is a constant and $r_n$ is a scale length, by following S11. In order to avoid contribu-
4 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

Using samples of 2128 LAEs and 24 protocluster LBGs at \( z = 3.1 \), we examine Ly\( \alpha \) surface brightness profiles as a function of environment or central UV luminosity. Our results show that the Ly\( \alpha \) scale lengths are roughly proportional to the square of the Mpc-scale LAE surface density, \( r \propto (1 + \delta_{\text{LAE}})^2 \propto \Sigma_{\text{LAE}}^2 \) while there is no clear trend with the central BV magnitude. We check that the LAE sample in a peak over-density with \( \delta_{\text{LAE}} = 4.5 - 5.5 \) has a Ly\( \alpha \) scale length of \( r_{\text{Ly}\alpha} = 29.2 \pm 4.8 \) kpc and also follows this trend (orange upside down triangle in the left panel). The Ly\( \alpha \) scale length of the BV brightest \( (BV = 21 - 25 \text{ ABmag}) \) sub-sample seems to have slightly higher value than other BV magnitude divided sub-samples. After excluding 6 sources in \( BV = 21 - 24 \text{ ABmag} \), the LAE sub-sample with \( BV = 24 - 25 \text{ ABmag} \) has a Ly\( \alpha \) scale length of \( r_{\text{Ly}\alpha} = 12.0 \pm 0.7 \) kpc (orange upside down triangle in the right panel), and is consistent with the average Ly\( \alpha \) scale length of the BV-magnitude divided sub-samples. The Ly\( \alpha \) scale length of the protocluster LBGs is close to that of the LAE sub-sample with a similar over-density (left panel) but larger than those of the BV magnitude divided sub-samples (right panel). These results suggest that Ly\( \alpha \) scale length is a strong function of the Mpc-scale LAE surface density rather than the central UV luminosity.

Figure 4. Ly\( \alpha \) scale length as a function of (a) LAE surface density and (b) central UV luminosity based on BV magnitude measured with 2\( \arcsec \) diameter aperture photometry. The symbols are same as in Figure 3. The dashed lines are \( r = 0.6 \times (1 + \delta_{\text{LAE}})^2 + 8.4 \) kpc (left) and \( r = 12.4 \) kpc (right). These trends suggest that the spatial extents of the Ly\( \alpha \) haloes are determined by the surrounding Mpc-scale environment rather than the central UV luminosities. The upside down triangles are not main sub-samples shown in Table 1 but parts of sub-samples for checking these trends (see text). The error bars represent 1-\( \sigma \) uncertainties of the exponential profile fitting shown in Figure 3. The star-formation rate (SFR) is converted from the BV magnitude without dust attenuation correction (Kennicutt 1998).
The apparent profiles of the Lyα haloes should be determined by a combination of gas distribution around galaxies and source(s) of Lyα photons (e.g., R08; S11). Including the Lyα haloes, the rest-frame Lyα equivalent widths of all the density divided LAE sub-samples are larger than EWₗyα ≈ 100 Å expected for star-forming galaxies with continuous star formation lasting ≳ 10⁷ yrs (e.g., S11) and that in the over-dense region almost reaches the maximum value of ≳ 200 Å for very young (< 10⁷ yrs) galaxies (Charlot & Fall 1993). Therefore, it is possible that Lyα photons formed via shock compression by gas outflows (Mori & Umemura 2006, Yamada et al. 2012), or cooling radiation by gravitational gas inflows (Goerdt et al. 2010; Faucher-Giguère et al. 2010; Rosdahl & Blaizot 2011) partly contribute to illuminate the Lyα haloes especially in over-dense environment. However, more than half of the Lyα luminosity from the haloes can be explained by photo-ionisation by ionising photons or scattering of Lyα photons produced from H II regions in and around the central galaxies in any case.

Although the CGM structure could be modified by gas inflow / outflow processes (Mori & Umemura 2006, Goerdt et al. 2010), the overall structure is likely to follow the dark matter distributions (e.g., Yajima et al. 2011, Rudie et al. 2012). From cosmological numerical simulations (Zheng et al. 2011) predicted that Lyα surface brightness profiles are made from a combination of dark matter’s one-halo and two-halo contributions with a transition scale of r ≈ 50 kpc for a ≈ 10¹¹ M⊙ halo. Accounting for this model, our results can be qualitatively interpreted as follows: the observed steeper slope of the Lyα haloes in under-dense regions mainly represent the one-halo term while the flatter slope in over-dense regions is dominated by the two-halo term. In this case, it is not surprising if the Lyα spatial extents are determined by the surrounding Mpc-scale environment (e.g., Abbas & Sheth 2005). This interpretation seems to be supported by the known tight links between individually detected LABs and the surrounding large-scale structure: giant LABs have been preferentially discovered in galaxy over-dense regions on Mpc-scales (e.g., Steidel et al. 2004, 2009, 2011; Prescott et al. 2008; Yang et al. 2010; Erb, Bogosavljević, & Steidel 2011).

This study is the first attempt at investigating Lyα haloes around high-z galaxies in a wide range of environment and central UV luminosity. However, we lack a sample of UV-bright galaxies with deep Lyα imaging in average to moderate galaxy density environment as shown in Figure 1. It would be interesting to test if the Lyα haloes around UV-selected galaxies also show such strong environmental dependence. In addition to Lyα haloes, our data hint extended continuum haloes at least for UV continuum bright LAE sub-samples. Although we focus on only Lyα haloes in this paper, further studies of the UV continuum haloes will be important to investigate H II regions in the CGM. Moreover, future ≳ 30 deg² Lyα imaging survey with Subaru / Hyper Suprime-Cam will provide us with ≳ 10 k LAE sample (per redshift slice) at z = 2 – 7 and enable us to study the redshift evolution of the CGM structure via Lyα haloes.
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