Quantitative Evaluation of Aerosol Generation from Non-contact Tonometry and its Correlation with Tear Film Characteristics
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Ophthalmologists are inevitably exposed to tears and ocular discharge during ophthalmologic examinations and are at high risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection. To understand the role of aerosols in disease transmission, we adopted a prospective cross-sectional study design and investigated the count and size distribution of aerosols generated by a non-contact tonometer and its correlation with individual tear film characteristics.

Methods: This study constituted two parts. The study population included outpatients who underwent an intraocular pressure examination in an intraocular pressure examination room (Part I) and 20 participants who underwent an intraocular pressure examination in a laboratory (Part II). The following main outcomes were measured: aerosol counts at 0, 50, 100, 150, and 200 cm from the non-contact tonometer (Part I); aerosol counts after each participant underwent non-contact tonometry, and lipid layer thickness score and tear film break-up time (Part II).

Results: The aerosol count decreased with increasing distance from the tonometer. The aerosol count at 0 cm had the highest value compared to that at other distances. For aerosols of diameters 0.25–0.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) and 0.5–1.0 \( \mu \text{m} \), the count decreased at 50 cm and remained stable at further distances. For aerosols of diameters 1.0–2.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) and \( \geq 2.5 \mu \text{m} \), the count dropped progressively at all five distances. The aerosol count from each tonometer correlated positively with the lipid layer thickness score \((r = 0.490, P = 0.028)\), whereas the aerosol count correlated negatively with the tear film break-up time \((r = -0.675, P = 0.001)\).

Conclusions: Aerosols tended to coagulate during diffusion. A 50-cm distance from the tonometer could confer safety from aerosols with \( < 1.0-\mu \text{m} \) diameter. Aerosols generated during non-contact tonometry could contain a lipid layer component. Moreover, tear film stability could affect aerosol generation. Protective eyewear is recommended for reducing infection...
risk from aerosols. Individual tear film characteristics should be considered during non-contact tonometry.
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### Key Summary Points

| Why carry out this study? | Aerosols generated during ophthalmology procedures, such as non-contact tonometry, may contaminate the human conjunctival epithelium |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| What was the hypothesis of the study? | SARS-CoV-2 has the potential to be transmitted via aerosols posing a risk for ophthalmologists |
| What was learned from the study? | A 50 cm distance from the tonometer may confer safety from aerosols with < 1.0-μm diameter |
|                           | Patients with aqueous-deficient dry eyes will tend to generate more aerosols, and the use of protective eyewear in clinical settings for both doctors and patients is recommended |

### INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is spreading worldwide, and the causative pathogen has been identified as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [1, 2]. A study has found that despite respiratory protection, ophthalmologists still possess a high risk for infection [3]. During the earlier period of the COVID-19 outbreak, Cheng et al. [4] proposed that SARS-CoV-2 could contaminate the human conjunctival epithelium, leading to respiratory infection. Sergio et al. [5] reported that conjunctivitis could be the only presenting sign or symptom of COVID-19, and Marinho et al. [6] described retinal findings in such patients. However, the mechanisms underlying the risk of infection to ophthalmologists remain unclear.

SARS-CoV-2 has the potential to be transmitted via aerosols; Liu et al. [7] detected SARS-CoV-2 RNA in aerosols in different areas of two Wuhan hospitals during the COVID-19 outbreak. Recently, it has been shown that aerosols are generated during ophthalmology procedures such as non-contact tonometry, and endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy and with the use of lasers, electrical cutting, and coagulation systems during surgery [8–11]. Furthermore, several studies [12–14] have provided evidence that aerosol transmission is an important route of disease communication, thereby providing significant insight in understanding the transmission and control of SARS-CoV-2.

The non-contact tonometer, widely used in ophthalmology clinics, works by utilizing a brief pulse of pressurized air. However, Britt et al. [15] reported that the eyes reveal some degree of tear film dehiscence and micro-aerosol formation in association with non-contact tonometry, and the possibility of viruses being present in these droplets is concerning. Previous studies [16–18] have found evidence of viruses (such as human immunodeficiency virus, Epstein-Barr virus, and measles virus) in tears. Recently, the presence of SARS-CoV-2 in ocular samples (tears and conjunctival swabs) has also been reported [19]. The presence of the SARS-
CoV-2 receptor in the eye could explain the viral tropism in the ocular tissue [20–22]. Bearing in mind the possibility of viruses (particularly SARS-CoV-2) in aerosols from ocular samples, the generation of aerosols from non-contact tonometry should be considered as an important risk factor for ophthalmology work environment.

Adverse effects of aerosols on human health can be correlated with their size distribution, as the particle size of aerosols affects their dynamics and deposition [23]. Nevertheless, there are few reports on the size distribution of aerosols using non-contact tonometry. It is speculated that aerosols are generated from the tear film dehiscence [15]. Many viruses, including SARS-CoV-2, are covered with a lipid bilayer envelope, which could affect the survival and invasion pathways of viruses [24–27]. The lipid layer is a part of the tear film, and we hypothesized that the lipid droplets in this layer could affect the colonization and release of viruses. Hence, we considered it necessary to investigate the relationship between aerosol generation and lipid layer thickness. In addition, the stability of the tear film could also contribute to tear film dehiscence from non-contact tonometry; therefore, in this study, we also focused on the tear film break-up time (TBUT), which is one of the indexes used to evaluate tear film stability.

In this study, our objective was to investigate the count and size distribution of aerosols from non-contact tonometry and explore the impact of tear film characteristics on aerosol generation. This work highlights the urgent need to clarify the relationship between aerosol generation and ophthalmology procedures and guide the formulation of clinical protection principles to prevent the further spread of COVID-19.

METHODS

The study protocol adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved for measurement and data analysis by the Ethics Committee of Tianjin Eye Hospital, Tianjin, China (no. 202031). Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

This prospective study comprised two parts. Part I was conducted in the intraocular pressure (IOP) examination room. Patients aged 18–65 years underwent non-contact tonometry. The exclusion criterion was the presence of active inflammatory ocular diseases, defined as any ocular disease that was not suitable for non-contact tonometry, such as ocular diseases caused by bacteria or viruses (e.g., conjunctivitis and keratitis). A portable optical particle size spectrometer (11-A; GRIMM, Ainting, Germany) provided an instantaneous count of aerosols every 6 s. The aerosols were divided into four segments according to their diameters. The aerosol diameters for segments A, B, C, and D were 0.25 μm ≤ x < 0.5 μm, 0.5 μm ≤ x < 1.0 μm, 1.0 μm ≤ x < 2.5 μm, and 2.5 μm ≤ x, respectively. The total aerosol count was also recorded. The count of aerosols was evaluated at 0 cm, 50 cm, 100 cm, 150 cm, and 200 cm from the non-contact tonometer. In each examination, the spectrometer was allowed to sit for at least 10 min until the reading was stable, and then the number of aerosols was recorded for 30 min. The examinations were conducted at each site for 3 consecutive days, and average values were both calculated and defined as the count of aerosols at each site. The ambient temperature, relative humidity, space volume, and visitor flow rate of the IOP examination room were 26.13 °C, 56.05%, 27.07 m³, and 22 persons/30 min, respectively.

Part II was conducted in a laboratory setting after standard laminar flow purification. The purpose of purification was to eliminate the influence of aerosols in the natural air as much as possible, allowing for an easier investigation of changes in the aerosol count for each measurement. The baseline aerosol value was 264 without non-contact tonometry.

Twenty participants aged 18–65 years underwent non-contact tonometry, and the average aerosol count at 0 cm from the non-contact tonometer was recorded during each examination using a portable optical particle size spectrometer. Patients with active inflammatory ocular diseases, defined as any ocular disease that was not suitable for non-contact tonometry, such as ocular diseases caused by
bacteria or viruses (e.g., conjunctivitis and keratitis), were excluded. Each participant entered the laboratory wearing a clean surgical gown. The lipid layer thickness and TBUT were evaluated for each participant.

All examinations were performed by the same technician. The lipid layer thickness score was evaluated noninvasively using a LipiView® II ocular surface interferometer (Johnson & Johnson, New Brunswick, NJ, USA). The participant was asked to blink naturally and look into the camera; a 20 s video of the interference pattern of the tear film was then recorded. The illumination area for specular reflection detection is located 1 mm above the tear meniscus, slightly below the inferior margin of the pupil, and is limited to approximately 2.5 mm vertically and 5.0 mm horizontally. The lipid layer thickness score was quantified according to the dominant colors of the interference pattern in the area of specular reflection during the examination period. Stability and reliability were indicated as index C-factors. Data with a C-factor of > 80% qualified for inclusion in the study. This procedure has been described previously in detail [28, 29].

In the TBUT examination, 1 mg fluorescein sodium ophthalmic strips (Liaoning Meizilin Pharmaceutical Corp., Liaoning, China) were moistened and placed on the lateral third of the lower eyelid, and the tear film was stained. The interval between the last complete blink and the appearance of the first dry spots on the cornea was evaluated three times, and the average value was calculated.

All data were collated and calculated using Microsoft Excel 2007 (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA, USA), and statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (version 23.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). A Spearman correlation analysis was used to determine the correlation between the count of aerosols generated from non-contact tonometry and tear film-related parameters. Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

**RESULTS**

A high-speed camera was used to capture the moment after the non-contact tonometry. Figure 1 illustrates the stained aerosol particles generated around the eye immediately after tonometry; the tonometry also simulated the dispersion of aerosol particles in the surrounding environment and increased the risk of virus transmission.

**Part I: Count and Size Distribution of Aerosols from Non-contact Tonometry**

The count and size distribution of aerosols at different distances from the non-contact tonometer were investigated.

**Total Count of Aerosols at Different Distances**
The total count of aerosols decreased gradually as the distance from the tonometer increased. The total count of aerosols was 121,689, 102,537, 102,486, 104,837, and 100,860 at 0 cm, 50 cm, 100 cm, 150 cm, and 200 cm from the non-contact tonometer, respectively.

**Size Distribution of Aerosols at Different Distances**

**Count of Aerosols in Four Diameter Segments at Different Distances** Figure 2 illustrates the count of aerosols for four diameter segments at different distances from the non-contact

---

**Fig. 1** Aerosol particle generation after tonometry. Stained aerosol particles generated around the eye immediately after tonometry dispersing into the surrounding environment.
tonometer. Table 1 shows the percentage reduction in the aerosol count between every two adjacent distances. For any segment, the count of aerosols at 0 cm (closest to the eyes) demonstrated the highest value. However, for segments A and B (Fig. 2a, b), the count decreases at 50 cm and remains relatively stable at further distances. For aerosols with larger sizes (segments C and D), the count decreases continuously with an increase in distance.

**Table 1** Percentage reduction in the aerosol count between two adjacent distances

| Distance     | Segment A (%) | Segment B (%) | Segment C (%) | Segment D (%) |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 0–50 cm      | 15.52         | 22.33         | 16.81         | 23.12         |
| 50–100 cm    | -0.13         | 4.71          | 12.71         | 17.62         |
| 100–150 cm   | -2.33         | -2.29         | 9.72          | 5.40          |
| 150–200 cm   | 3.75          | 5.44          | 2.40          | 8.66          |

The aerosol diameters for segments A, B, C, and D are 0.25 μm ≤ x < 0.5 μm, 0.5 μm ≤ x < 1.0 μm, 1.0 μm ≤ x < 2.5 μm, and 2.5 μm ≤ x, respectively.

Fig. 2 Aerosol counts for four diameter segments at different distances from the non-contact tonometer. **a–d** Aerosol counts for segments A, B, C, and D, respectively. More aerosols are detected closer to the non-contact tonometer. For aerosols with smaller sizes (segments A and B), the count decreases at 50 cm and remains relatively stable at further distances. For aerosols with larger sizes (segments C and D), the count decreases continuously with an increase in distance.

% Percentage of the Aerosols for Four Segments in the Total Count As shown in Fig. 3, the percentages of aerosols for the four segments in the total count were compared among the five distances. For segment A, the percentage of
aerosols increased gradually from 96.64 to 97.14% as the distance from the tonometer increased, whereas an opposite trend was observed for segments B, C, and D. This indicates that the aerosols were composed differently at different distances.

Part II: Effects of Characteristics of the Tear Film on Aerosol Generation from Non-contact Tonometry

The correlation between the count of aerosols generated from non-contact tonometry and two tear film-related parameters (lipid layer thickness score and TBUT) was analyzed. The demographic data of the 20 participants are shown in Table 2.

**Correlation Between the Count of Aerosols and Lipid Layer Thickness Score**

The average binocular lipid layer thickness score was calculated and used to represent the thickness of the lipid layer. Figure 4a shows the statistically significant positive correlations between the count of aerosols generated from each non-contact tonometry and the lipid layer thickness score ($r = 0.549, P = 0.012$ for the count of segment A; $r = 0.490, P = 0.028$ for the total aerosol count). Thus, participants who demonstrated higher lipid layer thickness scores tended to generate more aerosols from non-contact tonometry.

**Correlation Between the Count of Aerosols and Tear Film Break-up Time**

The average value of binocular TBUT was calculated and used as a parameter representing the stability of the tear film. As shown in Fig. 4b, statistically significant negative correlations were observed between the count of aerosols generated from each non-contact tonometry and TBUT values ($r = -0.672$, $P = 0.001$).

**Table 2** Demographic characteristics of 20 participants

| Sex, n (%) | Male 9 (45%) | Female 11 (55%) |
|---|---|---|
| Age (years) | 24.4 ± 1.9 |
| Intraocular pressure (mmHg) | 15.6 ± 1.8 |

---

Fig. 3 Percentage of aerosols for the four segments at different distances from the non-contact tonometer. The percentage of aerosols in segment A gradually increases as the distance increases, whereas an opposite trend is observed for segments B, C, and D.
P = 0.001 for the count of segment A; r = −0.675, P = 0.001 for the total aerosol count). Thus, participants who demonstrated a shorter TBUT tended to generate more aerosols from non-contact tonometry. The stability of the tear film was a factor that affected the generation of aerosols.

DISCUSSION

Due to the proximity required for ophthalmic examinations and the inevitable exposure to tears and ocular discharge, ophthalmologists are at high risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection [30]. Aerosols are generated during examinations based on air pulses. We conducted this study to identify ways of minimizing the risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission during ophthalmic examinations.

In this study, the count and size distribution of aerosols around a non-contact tonometer were investigated in an IOP examination room. We also observed correlations between the aerosol count generated from non-contact tonometry and the characteristics of the tear film (lipid layer thickness score and TBUT).

The total aerosol counts gradually decreased as the distance from the tonometer increased. To ensure rigor in the experimental data, we created the following restrictions: first, all participants wore masks during the examination to reduce the impact of breathing on the aerosol count. Second, only one participant was allowed to enter the examination room for each measurement, which minimized the impact of the participants’ motion. Our study confirmed that there is indeed aerosol generation from non-contact tonometry and that deposition occurs as the distance increases [15, 31].

The size distribution of the aerosols was as follows: the count of aerosols with small sizes (segments A and B) decreased at 50 cm and remained relatively stable at further distances, whereas the count of aerosols with large sizes (segments C and D) showed an approximate linear decline with distance. The development of dynamic models of aerosols generated from non-contact tonometry requires further investigation using biological and physical methods.
Nevertheless, we can speculate on the particle characteristics of these aerosols. Both small and large aerosols were generated using non-contact tonometry because the aerosol count was higher than that of the natural air. Aerosols tend to coagulate during diffusion [32]. For aerosols with diameters $< 1 \mu m$, coagulation mainly occurred within 50 cm. It is difficult for a coagulated aerosol to diffuse. When the diameter of a coagulated aerosol is large, it will deposit on the ground or the surface of the object and therefore cannot be detected [31]. However, aerosols with large diameters ($> 1 \mu m$) coagulated and deposited progressively with increasing distance. Studies have shown that the diameter of aerosol particles could affect their deposition in the respiratory tract [33–35]. Considering the possibility that aerosol particles carry viruses, further experiments are needed to determine whether virus-carrying aerosol particles of different sizes have different abilities to bind to receptors on the conjunctiva. Therefore, these findings suggest that distance control could be an important factor in avoiding infection by viruses through aerosols. We speculated that 50 cm from the tonometer could be the relatively safe distance for aerosols with diameters $< 1 \mu m$. For larger aerosols, further experimental evidence is required to determine the relatively safe distance.

Additionally, the percentage of aerosols in different segments could indicate their composition at different distances. In this study, we believe that the aerosols at a distant site were more similar to those of natural air. The percentage of aerosols with smaller sizes at 0 cm was lower than that at 200 cm, whereas the percentage of aerosols with larger sizes at 0 cm was higher than that at 200 cm. We aim to conduct further experiments, such as scanning electron microscopy and high-throughput sequencing, to explore the biological composition of aerosols generated from non-contact tonometry.

We found that participants with a higher lipid layer thickness score and shorter TBUT tended to generate more aerosols from non-contact tonometry. The tear film is composed of a mucous layer, a watery layer, and a lipid layer. The lipid layer score is related to the meibomian gland function and correlates with dry eye symptoms [29, 36, 37]. Participants with a thicker lipid layer could be more likely to generate aerosols. Hence, we speculated that aerosols generated from non-contact tonometry contained a component of the lipid layer. Once a virus is attached to the carrier in the lipid layer, it may be possible to transfer it to the aerosols. Conversely, a shorter TBUT indicated lower stability of the tear film. It may be easier to generate aerosols when the tear film is less stable. Therefore, we hypothesize that patients with aqueous-deficient dry eye tend to generate more aerosols compared with other types of dry eye disease because they demonstrate relatively normal lipid layer thickness, while the tear film is less stable [38].

There were several limitations to the current study. First, due to the limited size of the IOP examination room, we only selected five distance sites to the tonometer for the aerosol count evaluation. Further finer site distances could provide additional information on the relatively safe distance. Second, the composition of aerosol particles generated during non-contact tonometry is still unclear. Further experiments using scanning electron microscopy and high-throughput sequencing are required. Third, further experiments are needed to determine whether high IOP affects the results.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We conducted this study in the context of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. Aerosols generated from non-contact tonometry tend to coagulate during diffusion. For aerosols with diameters $< 1 \mu m$, 50 cm to the tonometer could be a relatively safe distance. Distance control could be helpful in the prevention of infection. In addition, aerosols generated from non-contact tonometry could contain a component of the lipid layer, and the stability of the tear film may also affect aerosol generation. Patients with aqueous-deficient dry eye tend to generate more aerosols than those with other types of dry eye disease do. This study highlights the importance of using protective
eyewear in the clinical setting for both doctors and patients and paying attention to certain eye conditions (such as dry eye disease) during daily practice.
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