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Abstract

We characterised morphologically and molecularly *Diplostomum phoxini* (Faust, 1918) based on cercarial isolates from the snail *Ampullaceaena balthica* (L.) (Gastropoda: Lymnaeidae) and metacercariae from the Eurasian minnow, *Phoxinus phoxinus* (L.) (Cypriniformes: Leuciscidae), and provided molecular evidence for the identification of the snail intermediate host. Phylogenetic analyses based on the cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit 1 (*cox1*) gene depicted 44 molecularly characterised species and genetically distinct lineages of *Diplostomum*, and resulted in: (i) a re-identification/re-classification of 98 isolates plus *D. baeri* sampled in North America; (ii) re-definition of the composition of the *D. baeri* species complex which now includes nine molecularly characterised species/lineages; (iii) re-definition of the composition of the *D. mergi* species complex which now includes seven molecularly characterised species/lineages; and (iv) an updated nomenclature for the molecularly characterised species-level lineages of *Diplostomum*.

Introduction

The application of molecular tools for characterisation and phylogenetic analyses has greatly advanced our understanding of the diversity, taxonomy, systematics and phylogeny of virtually all major groups of parasitic worms. Molecular data have become a ‘must-have’ characteristic not only in species discovery and delineation but also in large-scale biodiversity inventories, and ecological and evolutionary research. This is especially true for the trematode subclass Digenea, parasitic flatworms representing a remarkable example of the diversity of complex life-cycles among the Metazoa (Minelli and Fusco, 2010), which involve alternation of generations and a diversity of phenotypes in the sequential hosts in the life-cycle.

The digenean genus *Diplostomum* von Nordmann, 1832 (Digeneidae) has received increased attention in recent years. Intensive sampling and molecular analyses predominantly of the larval stages of *Diplostomum* spp. from their intermediate hosts, freshwater lymnaeid snails and fishes, have resulted in delineation of more than 40 species/species-level lineages (Locke et al., 2010a, 2010b, 2015, 2020; Georgieva et al., 2013; Blasco-Costa et al., 2014; Faltýnková et al., 2014; Pérez-del-Olmo et al., 2014; Selbach et al., 2015; Kudlai et al., 2017; Soldánová et al., 2017; Gordy and Hannington, 2019; Hoogendoorn et al., 2020; Lebedeva et al., 2021). Complete mitochondrial genomes have been characterised for four species, *Diplostomum spathaceum* (Rudolphi, 1819) and *D. pseudospathaceum* Niewiadomska, 1984 (see Brabec et al., 2015), *D. ardeae* Dubois, 1969 (see Locke et al., 2020) and *Diplostomum baeri* Dubois, 1937 (see Landeryou et al., 2020).

However, the number of named molecularly characterised species remains low because of the difficulties in gathering adult worms from their definitive hosts (fish-eating birds) and the virtual lack of taxonomic expertise in identification of the larval stages. These include *D. spathaceum* (Rudolphi, 1819) (type-species), *D. ardeae* Dubois, 1969, *D. baeri* sensu Galazzo et al. (2002), *D. luronensi* (La Rue, 1927), *D. indistinctum* (Guberlet, 1922), *D. lunasiachi* (Locke, Drago, Núñez, Rangel e Souza & Takemoto, 2020), *D. pseudospathaceum* Niewiadomska, 1984 and *D. parviventosum* Dubois, 1932. Phylogenetic analyses have depicted two species complexes among the prevailing unnamed species-level lineages. The *D. mergi* complex comprises one named species and three species-level lineages (*D. parviventosum*; *D. mergi* Lineages 2 and 3 of Georgieva et al. (2013); and *D. mergi* Lineage 4 of Selbach et al. (2015)), and the *D. baeri* complex sensu Blasco-Costa et al. (2014) comprises one named species and seven species-level lineages (*D. baeri* sensu Galazzo et al. (2002),...
Diplostomum sp. Lineages 3–5 of Blasco-Costa et al. (2014), Diplostomum sp. 2 of Moszczyńska et al. (2009), and Diplostomum spp. 5–7 of Locke et al. (2010a)). Of these, seven lineages have been sequenced and morphologically characterised in Europe based on larval isolates (Blasco-Costa et al., 2014; Faltyňková et al., 2014; Selbach et al., 2015; Lebedeva et al., 2021).

In a study of larval digenean communities in the snail host Ampullaceaena balthica (L.) (Gastropoda: Lymnaeidae) in the River Ruhr drainage, we collected a number of cercarial isolates which at first glance resembled morphologically the known cercariae of the D. baeri species complex. However, sequencing of the cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 (cox1) mitochondrial gene indicated high similarity with two isolates of Diplostomum phoxini (Faust, 1919) collected in Norway (Soldánová et al., 2017). Therefore, we sampled the specific second intermediate host of this species, Phoxinus phoxinus (L.) (Cypriniformes: Leuciscidae), and sequenced the metacercariae recovered from the brain of the fish. Here, we provide molecular and morphological characterisation of D. phoxini, one of the few species of Diplostomum exhibiting strict host specificity to the second intermediate host (A. balthica). Phylogenetic analyses revealed changes in the composition of the D. baeri and D. mergi species complexes, and resulted in a re-classification of a large number of sequenced isolates. Finally, we compare the prevalence of D. phoxini and the molecularly characterised species/lineages of the D. baeri complex in the lentic and lotic aquatic habitats of Europe.

Materials and methods
Sample collection and examination
A total of 1999 Ampullaceaena balthica (Gastropoda: Lymnaeidae; formerly often reported as Radix balthica) were collected and examined for trematode infections during spring (May), summer (June, July, August), autumn (September, October, November) and winter (December) in 2016 and 2017 and from May to September in 2019. Snails were collected at three sampling sites at the River Ruhr at Neheim (North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany): B0 (51°26′31.5″N, 7°58′35.8″E); B1 (51°26′25.9″N, 7°57′50.9″E) and B2 (51°26′53.2″N, 7°57′09.5″E). All snails were collected by hand and using a strainer from stones, driftwood and macrophytes, or picked directly from the sediment in shallow, slow-moving parts of the river. In the laboratory, snails were measured (shell width and height) and placed separately in containers with filtered river water under a light source to stimulate the emergence of cercariae. All containers were checked under light microscope for three consecutive days for the presence of cercariae in the water column. On the fourth day, all snails were dissected and examined for the presence of prepatent infections (sporocysts) (as described, e.g. in Selbach et al., 2015; Schwelm et al., 2018). Additionally, 15 specimens of the Eurasian minnow Phoxinus phoxinus (L.) were sampled via electrofishing at one site of the River Ruhr at Arnsberg (B4) (51°24′04.3″N, 8°04′01.1″E) in June 2019. In the laboratory, all fish were identified using Kottelat and Freyhof (2007), dissected and investigated for the presence of metacercariae in the brain.

Cercariae and metacercariae were fixed in molecular grade ethanol for DNA isolation and sequencing, and in 4% formaldehyde solution for morphological analyses (scanning electron microscopy, SEM). Cercariae studied by SEM were cleaned and sputter-coated with gold and examined and photographed with a scanning electron microscope (Hitachi 4100 FE Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) at 20 kV at the Central Service for Experimental Research (SCSIE), University of Valencia, Spain. Foot tissue from snails was fixed in molecular grade ethanol for molecular identification.

Morphological data
Trematode larval stages were identified live using light microscopy (Olympus BX51, Tokyo, Japan). Cercariae and metacercariae were identified to the species level based on the morphological descriptions of Arry and Buttnar (1954), Rees (1957) and Dönges (1969a, 1969b). Series of detailed light microscopy photographs of cercariae and metacercariae of D. phoxini were taken with a digital camera (Olympus UC30, Tokyo, Japan) attached to the light microscope and all visible features were recorded. Descriptions of the cercariae are based on examination of live material and digital photomicrographs from both, light microscopy and SEM. Measurements were taken with the program ImageJ 1.47v (available from https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/download.html) and are given in micrometres as the range followed by the mean in parentheses. The following abbreviations were used in the description of the cercaria: AOW, anterior organ width; BL, body length; FL, furca length; TSL, tail stem length; VSW, ventral sucker width.

Molecular data
Total genomic DNA (gDNA) was isolated from ethanol-fixed snail tissue, pooled samples of 10–15 cercariae or single metacercariae by placing the samples in 200 µL of a 5% suspension of deionised water and Chelex®, containing 0.1 mg mL⁻¹ proteinase K, followed by incubation at 56°C for 3 h, boiling at 90°C for 8 min, and centrifugation at 14 000 x g for 10 min. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification was carried out using 2× MyFi Mix (Meridian Bioscience, Cincinnati, USA), 8 pmol of each primer and c.50 ng of gDNA in a total volume of 20 µL. Partial fragments of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 (cox1) gene, the nuclear 28S rRNA gene and the complete ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 gene cluster (ITS2 only for A. balthica) were sequenced for the snail host and larval stages of D. phoxini using the primers and cycling conditions listed in Online Resource Table S1.

PCR amplicons were purified using QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen Ltd, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instructions. PCR fragments were sequenced directly with ABI BigDye chemistry (ABI Perkin-Elmer, UK), alcohol-p precipitated and run on an ABI Prism 3730XL DNA analyser using the primers listed in Online Resource Table S1.

Newly generated and published sequences were aligned with MAFFT v.7 (Kuraku et al., 2013; Katoh et al., 2019). The cox1 sequences were aligned with reference to the amino acid translation, using the euchinoderm and flatworm mitochondrial code (translation table 9; Telford et al., 2000) for parasite isolates and the invertebrate mitochondrial code (translation table 5) for snail host isolates; the alignments contained no insertions or deletions. Molecular identification/delimitation of the snail and parasite samples was achieved using neighbour-joining (NJ) analyses of Kimura 2-parameter distances for the cox1 alignments conducted with MEGA v.7 (Kumar et al., 2016); nodal support was estimated using 1000 bootstrap replications. Genetic distances (uncorrected p-distance) were calculated with MEGA v.7. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) plot was generated with Primer v.6 software (Anderson et al., 2008) to visualize the raw pairwise distances between species/lineages of the D. baeri species complex. Unique haplotypes were identified with DnaSP (Rozas et al., 2003) against the recently published sequences for D. phoxini by Lebedeva et al. (2021).
Species relationships within Diplostomum were assessed using Bayesian inference (BI) analysis of coxl data. Prior to analysis, the best-fitting model of nucleotide substitution (HKY + F + I) was estimated based on the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) using MrModelTest v. 2.1.4 (Darriba et al., 2012). BI analysis was carried out with MrBayes v. 3.2.7 (Ronquist et al., 2012) on the CIPRES Science Gateway v.3.3 (Miller et al., 2010) using Markov chain Monte Carlo searches on two simultaneous runs of four chains for 107 generations, sampling trees every 103 generations. The ‘burn-in’ determined by stationarity of lnL assessed with Tracer v.1.5 (http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer) was set for the first 25% of the trees sampled, and a consensus topology and nodal support estimated as posterior probability values (Huelsenbeck et al., 2001) were calculated from the remaining trees. Phylogenetic trees were visualised and finalised in FigTree v. 1.4.4 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

**Results**

**Prevalence of Diplostomum phoxini in the intermediate hosts**

A total of 1599 Ampullaceaean balthica representing 41 distinct individual samples (i.e. collected at a given place and date, as per the definition of Bush et al., 1997) were collected in the River Ruhr at Neheim from May to December during 2016, 2017 and 2019, and examined for prepatent and patent infections with Diplostomum spp. Infections with *D. phoxini* were detected from July to November (prevalence range: 3.3–13.6%, see Online Resource Table S2 for details) with the largest number of infected snails and greatest prevalence being recorded in September (five samples). Although large sample numbers were examined in May (12.v – 22.v; *n* = 255) and June (7.vi – 25.vi; *n* = 250), no snails infected with *D. phoxini* were found (see Online Resource Table S2).

All dissected *P. phoxinus* (*n* = 15) were infected with large numbers of metacercariae of *D. phoxini* (*n* > 100) located in the optical lobes of the brain.

**Molecular identification of the snail host**

Representative coxl sequences (591–610 nt; *n* = 2), 28S (1048 nt; *n* = 1) and ITS2 (402 nt; *n* = 1) sequences were generated for the snail host *A. balthica*. The new coxl sequences differed at two nucleotide (nt) positions (0.03%). The new 28S rDNA sequence was identical with a sequence for *A. balthica* originally identified as *R. ovata* (EF417136; see Sonnenberg et al., 2007) and differed at a single-nucleotide position from an isolate originating from Russia (MH168039; Aksenova et al., 2018). ITS2 sequence comparisons revealed differences at 0–3 nt positions between the present isolate and the data for *A. balthica* available on GenBank; the new ITS2 sequence was identical with sequences for a total of 55 isolates of *A. balthica* originating from Belgium, Iceland, Norway and the UK.

Molecular identification of the snail host was further carried out on a coxl alignment corresponding to the clade representing the subfamily Amphipepilinae Pini, 1877 in Aksenova et al. (2018) (36 species; 43 sequences; 636 nt) using Markov chain Monte Carlo searches on two simultaneous runs of four chains for 107 generations, sampling trees every 107 generations. The ‘burn-in’ determined by stationarity of lnL assessed with Tracer v.1.5 (http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer) was set for the first 25% of the trees sampled, and a consensus topology and nodal support estimated as posterior probability values (Huelsenbeck et al., 2001) were calculated from the remaining trees. Phylogenetic trees were visualised and finalised in FigTree v. 1.4.4 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

**Molecular characterization of Diplostomum phoxini**

Partial coxl sequences (349–407 nt) of *D. phoxini* were generated for a total of nine isolates (seven cercarial and two metacercarial), representing eight haplotypes (Table 1). Genetic divergence between seven cercarial and one metacercarial isolate and the two isolates of *D. phoxini* sequenced from Norway (see Soldánová et al., 2017) ranged between 0% and 1.2% (0–5 nt difference), whereas one newly sequenced metacercarial isolate (MZ615639) exhibited considerable divergence (2.2–3.1%, 9–12 nt difference) in the comparisons with the remaining isolates of *D. phoxini* from River Ruhr. A comparison with the recently published sequences for *D. phoxini* from *P. phoxinus* in Finland and Russia (Lebedeva et al., 2021) revealed an overall range for genetic divergence of 0–1.3%, excluding the most divergent haplotype sequenced from River Ruhr (MZ615639) and one most divergent haplotype sequenced from River Varzuga, Russia (MT982208: 3.5–4.8%; the upper limit represents the divergence between these two most divergent haplotypes). A total of 15 haplotypes were identified among the isolates sampled in Europe, including six novel haplotypes from the present material, six haplotypes

---

**Table 1. Summary data for isolates of Diplostomum phoxini and Ampullaceaana balthica from the River Ruhr at Neheim (Germany) used for generation of the new coxl, ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 and 28S rDNA (domains D1–D3) sequences**

| Species | Site | Isolate | Life-cycle stage | GenBank ID (coxl/ITS1-5.8S-ITS2/28S) |
|---------|------|---------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| *D. phoxini* ex *A. balthica* | B1 | DphoAbal1 | C | MZ615631 |
| | B1 | DphoAbal2 | C | MZ615632 |
| | B1 | DphoAbal3 | C | MZ615633 |
| | B0 | DphoAbal4 | C | MZ615634/MZ616379* |
| | B0 | DphoAbal5 | C | MZ615635 |
| | B2 | DphoAbal6 | C | MZ615636 |
| | B2 | DphoAbal7 | C | MZ615637 |
| *D. phoxini* ex *P. phoxinus* | B4 | DphoPpho1 | M | MZ615638/MZ616381/MZ616380 |
| | B4 | DphoPpho2 | M | MZ615639/MZ616382* |
| *A. balthica* | B1 | AbalRuhr1 | A | MZ615629/MZ616378/MZ616383 |
| | B0 | AbalRuhr1 | A | MZ615630 |

Abbreviations: A, adult; C, cercaria; M, metacercaria.

*28S.
*ITS1-5.8S-ITS2.
*ITS2 only.
from the material of Lebedeva et al. (2021) and one haplotype (KY513185) reported from Norway by Soldánová et al. (2017). One haplotype (MZ615634) was shared with an isolate ex *P. phoxinus* from Lake Ovre Heimdalsvatnet, Norway (KY513186; Soldánová et al., 2017) and an isolate ex *P. phoxinus* from River Uksa, Russia (MT982204; Lebedeva et al., 2021) and one haplotype was represented by two cercarial isolates from the River Ruhr (MZ615631 and MZ615632). Genetic divergence between *D. phoxini* and the species of the *D. baeri* complex ranged between 6.9% and 12.3% (Table 2).

Additionally, two partial 28S (1214–1223 nt) and two complete ITS1–5.8S–ITS2 (1046–1049 nt) rDNA sequences were generated for representative cercarial and metacercarial isolates of *D. phoxini* (Table 1). The newly generated 28S sequences were identical and differed at six positions from the published sequence for *D. phoxini* by Olson et al. (2003).

**Morphological characterisation of Diplostomum phoxini**

**Description of the cercaria**

[Based on 40 live specimens; (Figs 1–3; Table 3 and Online Resource Table S3)] Body elongate-oval, 138–154 × 37–50, shorter than tail stem (TSL/BL = 1.34–1.62). Anterior organ elongate-oval, 52–59 × 27–31. Ventral sucker subspherical, with small undulating membrane (Figs 2 and 3), just post-equatorial, 30–34 × 30–33; width exceeding width of anterior organ (AOW/VSW = 0.84–0.94). Mouth opening ventro-subterminal; prepharynx long, narrower in anterior organ; pharynx round to elongate-oval, muscular, 11–14 × 12–16, followed by short oesophagus bifurcating anterior to ventral sucker; intestinal caeca well developed, terminating almost at posterior extremity of body. Penetration gland-cells two pairs with fine granular content, similar in size, posterior to ventral sucker, overlap caeca, posterior pair not reaching extremities of caeca; ducts open antero-laterally to mouth, two on either side. Anlagen of reproductive organs a compact mass of small cells just anterior to excretory vesicle. Tail stem 212–222 long, 29–37 wide at base, nearly as long as furcae, 212–239 long, 13–24 wide at base (TSL/FL = 0.95–1.06), with six pairs of caudal bodies with slightly irregular margins along excretory duct. Furcae 212–239 long, 13–24 wide at base, without fin-folds. Excretory vesicle small, V-shaped, with round stem; caudal excretory duct passes through tail stem; excretory pores at mid-length of furcae.

**Body armature:** Pre-oral spines arranged in a single median group of 10 spines in two rows, anterior row comprised of four spines; two central larger than the lateral; lateral groups of pre-oral spines lacking. Post-oral spines more robust than spines on body, in seven alternate rows encircling body to about mid-level of anterior organ; spines in first two rows much larger than remaining spines, all of similar size. Wide zone of smaller, less dense, irregularly dispersed spines present posterior to post-oral spines. Transverse rows of spines 8, extending to about mid-level of ventral sucker ventrally. Rows 1–5 complete ventrally; rows 6–8 incomplete ventrally, only row 1 complete dorsally. Two ventro-lateral non-confluent fields of smaller spines present in posterior body third. Ventral sucker armed with two rows of spines (c. 40 spines per row). Tail stem and furcae armed with minute spines; spines along tail stem in two ventral and two dorsal bands with two medio-lateral bands consisting of small, irregularly dispersed scale-like spines. Spines on furcae in one medial band laterally, consisting of 1–3 scale-like spines, size and density of spines decreasing distally.

---

**Table 2.** Percent interspecific genetic divergence (p-distance model) for *D. phoxini* compared with the species/lineages of the *D. baeri* species complex based on all cox1 sequences available on GenBank (retrieved on 29 June 2021)

| Species/Lineage | n  | Divergence (%) |
|-----------------|----|----------------|
| *D. adamisi* (syn. *D. baeri* sensu Galazzo et al., 2002) | 979 | 8.7–11.6 |
| Diplostomum sp. 5 of Locke et al. (2010a) | 11 | 8.8–9.5 |
| Diplostomum sp. 6 of Locke et al. (2010a) | 44 | 8.3–10.0 |
| Diplostomum sp. 7 of Locke et al. (2010a) | 176 | 7.5–9.6 |
| Diplostomum sp. Lineage 3 of Blasco-Costa et al. (2014) | 451 | 9.1–12.3 |
| Diplostomum sp. Lineage 4 of Blasco-Costa et al. (2014) | 473 | 8.7–11.3 |
| Diplostomum sp. Lineage 5 of Blasco-Costa et al. (2014) | 286 | 6.9–9.2 |
| Diplostomum sp. of Lebedeva et al. (2021) | 144 | 9.1–11.1 |

**Abbreviation:** n, number of pairwise comparisons.
Resting position: Tail stem straight, body slightly bent ventrally.

Description of the metacercaria
[Based on 20 live specimens from the optical lobes of the brain of Phoxinus phoxinus; Fig. 4; Online Resource Table S4] Body elongate-oval, 326–411 (358), with maximum width just anterior to ventral sucker, 145–227 (186). Oral sucker subterminal, sub-spherical, 38–56 × 36–50 (49 × 44). Pseudosuckers two, contractile, small-sized, 28–42 × 20–40 (34 × 30). Prepharynx indistinct; pharynx muscular, elongate-oval, 18–39 × 12–22 (31 × 18); oesophagus very short, bifurcates close posterior to pharynx; intestinal caeca narrow, encroach holdfast organ and terminate blindly at mid-level of excretory vesicle. Ventral sucker sub spherical, 34–48 × 43–54 (43 × 47), similar in size to oral sucker or slightly larger [VSW/OSW = 1.0–1.1 (1.1)], at mid-body length or slightly posterior. Holdfast organ massive, 47–95 × 78–102 (71 × 91), bi-partite with median slit, transversely oval, contiguous with ventral sucker and excretory vesicle. Excretory vesicle large, conspicuous, V-shaped; reserve excretory system of diplostomid type; excretory concretions predominantly large, 345–579 (454) in number, grouped into two lateral and one median fields. Hindbody short, 24–53 (42).

Remarks
The present detailed descriptions expand the known range of variation of the metrical features and provide morphological detail that will facilitate the morphological identification of the larval stages of *D. phoxini*. The cercaria of *D. phoxini* resembles

![Cercaria of Diplostomum phoxini ex Ampullaceaena balthica](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182021001372)
the known cercariae of the *D. baeri* species complex in the lack of the lateral group of pre-oral spines and the resting position with a straight tail stem but differs in the following species-specific features: (i) BL < TSL ≥ FL; (ii) VSW > AOW; (iii) eight transverse rows of spines on the body; (iv) two rows of spines on the ventral sucker; (v) penetration gland-cells not covering ends of caeca (Table 3). Although the metrical data exhibit overlapping ranges for some features, the cercaria of *D. phoxini* can be distinguished from the cercaria of both *D. baeri sensu* lineages are greater (Online Resource Table S4; see also Faltýnková et al., 1994) and *Diplostomum* sp. Lineage 4 of Blasco-Costa et al. (2014) in having on average smaller body, shorter tail and furcae, a narrower apical organ; the tail of *D. phoxini* is also much longer than the body (Faltýnková et al., 2014). Comparisons with the metacercariae measured by Lebedeva et al. (2021) revealed an overall agreement for the metrical data except for the somewhat smaller body dimensions and the greater number of excretory concretions (Online Resource Table S4). Both differences are due to the fact that Lebedeva et al. (2021) examined fixed material; this may have led to misinterpretations of excretory concretions.

Parasitism in a specific second intermediate host (*P. phoxinus*) can also be used to distinguish *D. phoxini* from *Diplostomum* sp. Lineage 4 of Blasco-Costa et al. (2014), the only species of the *D. baeri* complex with a European distribution which was also recorded in the brain of *Gasterosteus aculeatus*.

**Phylogenetic analyses**

The newly generated cox1 sequences were analysed together with all published sequences for *Diplostomum* spp. (1203 sequences; 407 nt). The neighbour-joining analysis depicted 44 species/species-level lineages of *Diplostomum* with typically maximum or very high support (see Table 4 and Online Resource Fig. S2); these included seven taxa represented by singletons: *Diplostomum* sp. 5 and *Diplostomum* sp. 8 of Locke et al. (2010a); *Diplostomum* sp. 11 of Locke et al. (2015);

**Table 3. Comparative data for the cercariae of *Diplostomum phoxini* and species of the *D. baeri* species complex**

| Species/Feature | *D. phoxini* | *D. baeri* Dubois, 1937 | *Diplostomum* sp. Lineage 4 of Blasco-Costa et al. (2014) |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Source          | Present study | Aoy and Bultner (1954) | Rees (1957) | Donges (1969a) | Niewiadomska and Kiseliené (1994) | Faltýnková et al. (2014) |
| Relation BL-TSL-FL | BL < TSL = FL | BL < TSL = FL | BL < TSL = FL | BL < TSL = FL | BL < TSL < FL | BL < TSL = FL |
| Relation VSW/ AOW | VSW > AOW | VSW > AOW | VSW > AOW | VSW > AOW | VSW = AOW | VSW < AOW |
| No. of pre-oral spines in the median group | 10 | – | 5 | – | 7–11 | 8 |
| No. of pre-oral spines in each lateral group | Absent | – | Absent | – | Absent | Absent |
| No. of post-oral rows of spines | 7 | – | 7 | – | 7–9 | 5–6 |
| No. of transverse rows of spines on body | 8 (rows 1–5 complete ventrally, rows 6–8 incomplete ventrally; only row 1 complete dorsally) | – | 8 (rows 1–5 complete ventrally, rows 6–8 incomplete ventrally; only rows 1–2 complete dorsally) | 8 | 10 | 9 |
| No. of spine rows on ventral sucker | 2 | – | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| No. of spines on ventral sucker | 40 per row (c.80 in total) | 28 | 36 per row (c.72 in total) | 48 per row (c.96 in total) | 90–130 | 38 per row (c.120 in total) |
| Penetration gland-cells | Large, do not cover ends of caeca | Small, do not cover ends of caeca | Large, do not cover ends of caeca | – | Large, cover ends of caeca | Large, cover ends of caeca |
| Spines on tail stem | Present | – | – | – | Absent | Present |
| Spines on furcae | Present | – | – | – | Absent | Present |
| Resting position | Tail stem straight | – | Tail stem straight | Tail stem straight | Tail stem straight | Tail stem straight |

---
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Diplostomum sp. A of Gordy and Hanington (2019), Diplostomum spp. A, B and C of Kudlai et al. (2017).

The sequences for D. phoxini clustered in a strongly supported reciprocally monophyletic lineage associated with three lineages of the D. baeri species complex sensu Blasco-Costa et al. (2014): Diplostomum spp. 5 and 6 of Locke et al. (2010a), and Diplostomum sp. Lineage 5 of Blasco-Costa et al. (2014). The sequences for the second lineage of Diplostomum sp. from the brain of P. phoxinus discovered in Mongolia by Lebedeva et al. (2021) also formed a strongly supported monophyletic clade (Online Resource Fig. S2).

Bayesian inference phylogenetic reconstruction for representatives of the genus Diplostomum (currently comprising 44 species/species-level lineages, see Table 4) depicted a composition of the D. baeri species complex similar to that in Blasco-Costa et al. (2014) (Fig. 5). The only differences are the addition of D. phoxini and Diplostomum sp. of Lebedeva et al. (2021) and the exclusion of Diplostomum sp. 2 of Moszczyńska et al. (2009). There was support for a close association with the D. baeri complex for 5 lineages: Diplostomum spp. 2, 12, 18 and 19 of Locke et al. (2015) forming a cluster, albeit with poor support, plus the singleton ex Rana pipiens (Diplostomum sp. 11). Within the D. baeri species complex, there was a strongly supported sister-group relationship between: (i) Diplostomum sp. Lineage 5 of Blasco-Costa et al. (2014) and Diplostomum sp. 6 of Locke et al. (2010a); and (ii) D. baeri sensu Galazzo et al. (2002) and Diplostomum sp. 5 of Locke et al. (2010a).

The present phylogenetic hypothesis for Diplostomum spp. depicted two additional well supported clusters: a group of 10 lens-infecting species/lineages containing the type-species of the genus, D. spathaceum; and the species/lineages of the D. mergi species complex sensu Selbach et al. (2015) which also included one additional lineage from Japan (see Komatsu et al., 2019) and two singletons from River Danube in Slovakia (see Kudlai et al., 2017) (Fig. 5). The relationships of the remaining species/lineages remained unresolved.

By means of raw pairwise interspecific divergence, the species/lineages of the D. baeri species complex appear well differentiated except for the two loose groups indicated by ellipses in the NMDS plot (Fig. 6) comprising D. phoxini and Diplostomum sp. Lineage 5 of Blasco-Costa et al. (2014) + Diplostomum sp. 6 of Locke et al. (2010a) (range for the latter two lineages: 3.5–5.5%) (see ranges for D. phoxini in Table 2). Importantly, comparisons of the isolates of D. baeri sensu Galazzo et al. (2002) sampled in North America with the species/lineages of the D. baeri species complex revealed levels of genetic divergence (7.7–15.3%, see Table 5 for details) within the range reported for distinct species/lineages of Diplostomum (4.2–16.4%, see Georgieva et al., 2013; 4.3–14.7%, see Selbach et al., 2015). The intraspecific divergence for these isolates was low (0–1.3%; based on 3872 pairwise comparisons).

Re-classification and an updated nomenclature for Diplostomum spp.

The present phylogenetic analyses helped update the nomenclature of a total of 144 sequenced isolates of Diplostomum spp. (see Table 4 for a summary and Online Resource Table S5 for a detailed list of all isolates; these are also indicated in the tree in Online Resource Fig. S2). Of these, we updated the species/lineage definitions with links to the GenBank accession numbers for 46 isolates (indicated in blue in Online Resource Fig. S2 and Table S5) and re-classified 98 isolates (indicated in red in Online Resource Fig. S2 and Table S5) assigned to 11 lineages as follows:

(i) D. adamsi (syn. D. baeri sensu Galazzo et al., 2002): reported as “Diplostomum baeri LIN2” by Gordy and Hanington (2019) and annotated on GenBank as “Diplostomum baeri complex sp. LIN2” and “Diplostomum aff. baeri LIN2”;
(ii) D. mergi Lineage 4 of Selbach et al. (2015): annotated as D. mergi on GenBank by Dang (unpublished sequence); this lineage is also not correctly annotated by Selbach et al. (2015);
(iii) D. spathaceum: reported and annotated on GenBank as D. paracaudum by Behrmann-Godel (2013); this isolate has been re-classified by Georgieva et al. (2013);
(iv) Diplostomum sp. 3 of Moszczyńska et al. (2009): reported and annotated on GenBank as D. baeri by Ubels et al. (2018);
(v) Diplostomum sp. 4 of Moszczyńska et al. (2009): reported and annotated on GenBank as D. baeri by Ubels et al. (2018);
(vi) Diplostomum sp. 13 of Locke et al. (2015): reported and annotated on GenBank as “Diplostomum sp. C” by Gordy and Hanington (2019);
(vii) Diplostomum sp. 18 of Locke et al. (2015): reported and annotated on GenBank as “Diplostomum sp. B” by Gordy and Hanington (2019);
(viii) Diplostomum sp. Lineage 3 of Blasco-Costa et al. (2014): reported as D. baeri by Landeryou et al. (2020);
(ix) Diplostomum sp. Lineage 4 of Blasco-Costa et al. (2014): reported and annotated on GenBank as D. baeri by Behrmann-Godel (2013) (assigned to the “perch” lineage or “D. baeri’”) by Georgieva et al., 2013; reported as “D. baeri 2” and annotated on GenBank as D. baeri by Rahn et al. (2016);
(x) Diplostomum sp. Lineage 6 of Blasco-Costa et al. (2014): reported as “D. Lineage 6” but annotated on GenBank as Diplostomum sp. 6 by Rahn et al. (2016); and
(xi) Diplostomum sp. Clade Q: reported and annotated on GenBank as D. mergi by Behrmann-Godel (2013); these isolates have been re-classified by Georgieva et al. (2013).

Discussion

Diplostomum phoxini is a well-delimited species which differs from all described species of Diplostomum in the morphology of the adult stage, the strict host specificity to the second intermediate hosts (P. phoxinus) and the specific location in the fish brain. Nevertheless, in light of the expansive development of molecular studies on Diplostomum spp. and the current uncertainty in linking sequence data from larval stages to named species of this genus, it is desirable to describe the sequenced forms and thus anchor the molecular data to morphological reference (see e.g. Blasco-Costa et al., 2014; Faltýnková et al., 2014;
| Species/Lineage | Reference | Distribution | Re-identified isolates [Reference] | Isolates with updated nomenclature [Reference] |
|-----------------|-----------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| D. ardeae Dubois, 1969 | Locke et al. (2015, 2020) | Canada, Puerto Rico |  |  |
| D. adamsi (syn. D. baeri sensu Galazzo et al., 2002) | Moszczynska et al. (2009); Locke et al. (2010a, 2010b, 2015); Ubels et al. (2018) | Canada, USA | Diplostomum baeri complex sp. LIN2 (isolates MGC1740, MGC1624, MGC1861, MGC2242) ex Ladislavella elodes (Canada) (MH368850-MH368853) | Gordy and Hanington, 2019 |
| D. baeri sensu Galazzo et al., 2002 | Moszczynska et al. (2009); Locke et al. (2009, 2010a, 2010b, 2015); Ubels et al. (2018) | Canada, USA | Diplostomum baeri aff. LIN2 (isolate MGC190) ex Ladislavella elodes (Canada) (KT831353) | Gordy and Hanington, 2019 |
| D. huronense (La Rue, 1927) | Moszczynska et al. (2009); Locke et al. (2010a, 2010b, 2015) | Canada |  |  |
| D. indistinctum (Guberlet, 1922) | Moszczynska et al. (2009); Locke et al. (2010a, 2010b, 2015; Gordy et al. (2016) | Canada |  |  |
| D. lunaschiae Locke, Drago, Niñez, Rangel e Souza & Takemoto, 2020 | Locke et al. (2020) | Argentina, Brazil |  |  |
| D. mergi Lineage 2 of Georgieva et al. (2013) | Georgieva et al. (2013); Selbach et al. (2015); Kudlai et al. (2017); Locke et al. (2015) | China, Germany, Slovakia, Hungary | D. mergi ex Radix auricularia (Germany) (JX986874-JX986876) | Georgieva et al., 2013 |
| D. mergi Lineage 3 of Georgieva et al. (2013) | Georgieva et al. (2013); Selbach et al. (2015) | Germany | D. mergi ex Salmo trutta and Gobio gobio (Germany) (JX986877-JX986885) | Georgieva et al., 2013 |
| D. mergi Lineage 4 of Selbach et al. (2015) | Selbach et al. (2015) | China, Germany | D. mergi (China) (KY271543) | Dang (unpublished) |
| D. parviventosum Dubois, 1932f | Selbach et al. (2015) | Germany | D. mergi (isolate RAH20) ex Radix auricularia (Germany) (KR149528) | Selbach et al., 2015 |
| D. phoxini (Faust, 1919) | Soldánová et al. (2017); Lebedeva et al. (2021), present study | Finland, Germany, Norway, Russia | D. mergi (isolate RAH1) ex Radix auricularia (Germany) (JQ968873) | Georgieva et al., 2013 |
| D. pseudospathaceum Niewiadomska, 1984 | Georgieva et al. (2013); Behrmann-Godel (2013); Pérez-del-Olmo et al. (2014); Locke et al. (2015); Kudlai et al. (2017); Enabulele et al. (2018) | Czech Republic, Germany, Hungary, Poland, Romania, Slovakia, Spain, UK |  |  |
| D. spathaceum (Rudolphi, 1819) | Georgieva et al. (2013); Blasco-Costa et al. (2014); Pérez-del-Olmo et al. (2014); Locke et al. (2015); Kudlai et al. (2017); Dang et al. (unpublished) | China, Croatia, Czech Republic, Germany, Hungary Iceland, Iraq, Italy, Poland, Romania, Slovakia, Spain | D. poracaudum (isolate RA155) ex Radix auricularia (Germany) (JQ639176) | Behrmann-Godel, 2013 |

(Continued)
| Species/Lineage | Reference | Distribution | Re-identified isolates [Reference] | Isolates with updated nomenclature [Reference] |
|----------------|-----------|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| *Diplostomum* sp. 1 of Moszczynska et al. (2009) | Moszczynska et al. (2009); Locke et al. (2010a, 2010b, 2015); Rudko et al. (2018); Gordy and Hanington (2019); Ubels et al. (2018) | Canada, USA |  |  |
| *Diplostomum* sp. 2 of Moszczynska et al. (2009) | Moszczynska et al. (2009); Locke et al. 2010a, 2010b | Canada, USA |  |  |
| *Diplostomum* sp. 3 of Moszczynska et al. (2009) | Moszczynska et al. (2009); Locke et al. (2010a, 2010b, 2015); Gordy and Hanington (2019); Ubels et al. (2018) | Canada, USA |  | *D. baeri* (isolate 49D-R2) ex *Perca flavescens* on GenBank but the host is given as *Luxilus cornutus* in Fig. S1 (USA) (MF142178) (Ubels et al., 2018) |
| *Diplostomum* sp. 4 of Moszczynska et al. (2009) | Moszczynska et al. (2009); Locke et al. (2010a, 2010b), Gordy and Hanington (2019); Rudko et al. (2018); Ubels et al. (2018) | Canada, USA |  | *D. baeri* (isolate 55D-T1) ex *Luxilus cornutus* on GenBank but the host is given as *Perca flavescens* in Fig. S1 (USA) (MF142161) (Ubels et al., 2018) |
| *Diplostomum* sp. 5 of Locke et al. (2010a) | Locke et al. (2010a) | Canada |  |  |
| *Diplostomum* sp. 6 of Locke et al. (2010a) | Locke et al. (2010a, 2015) | Canada |  |  |
| *Diplostomum* sp. 7 of Locke et al. (2010a) | Locke et al. (2010a, 2015) | Canada |  |  |
| *Diplostomum* sp. 8 of Locke et al. (2010a) | Locke et al. (2010a) | Canada |  |  |
| *Diplostomum* sp. 9 of Locke et al. (2010a) | Locke et al. (2010a, 2015) | Canada |  |  |
| *Diplostomum* sp. 10 of Locke et al. (2015) | Locke et al. (2015) | Canada |  | *Diplostomidae gen. SL sp. 1* (isolate Di.BR.Rp.2.1) ex *Rana pipiens* (Canada) (HM064650) (Locke et al., 2010b) |
| *Diplostomum* sp. 11 of Locke et al. (2015) | Locke et al. (2015) | Canada |  |  |
| *Diplostomum* sp. 12 of Locke et al. (2015) | Locke et al. (2015) | Canada, USA |  |  |
| *Diplostomum* sp. 13 of Locke et al. (2015) | Locke et al. (2015) | Canada, USA | *Diplostomum* sp. C MAG-2016 ex *Ladislavella elodes* (Canada) (KT831360, KT831378, KT831382) (Gordy and Hanington, 2019) |  |
| *Diplostomum* sp. 14 of Locke et al. (2015) | Locke et al. (2015); Hoogendoorn et al. (2020) | China, Iraq, South Africa |  | *Diplostomum* sp. C MAG-2019 ex *Ladislavella elodes and Planorbella trivolvis* (Canada) (MH368933-MH368941) (Gordy and Hanington, 2019) |
| *Diplostomum* sp. 15 of Locke et al. (2015) | Locke et al. (2015) | China |  |  |
| *Diplostomum* sp. 16 of Locke et al. (2015) | Locke et al. (2015); Hoogendoorn et al. (2020) | Iraq, South Africa |  |  |
| *Diplostomum* sp. 17 of Locke et al. (2015) | Locke et al. (2015) | Canada |  |  |
| *Diplostomum* sp. 18 of Locke et al. (2015) | Locke et al. (2015) | Canada | *Diplostomum* sp. B MAG-2019 (isolate MGC2308) ex *Ladislavella elodes* (Canada) (MH368932) (Gordy and Hanington, 2019) |  |
| *Diplostomum* sp. 19 of Locke et al. (2015) | Locke et al. (2015) | Canada, USA |  | *Diplostomum* sp. BOLD ACK9826 ex *Osmerus mordax* (Canada) (KMS38089) (Van Steenkiste et al., 2015) |

(Continued)
Table 4. (Continued.)

| Species/Lineage | Reference | Distribution | Re-identified isolates [Reference] | Isolates with updated nomenclature [Reference] |
|-----------------|------------|--------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| *Diplostomum* sp. Lineage 2 of *Blasco-Costa et al.* (2014)⁴⁺ | Blasco-Costa et al. (2014); Faltýnková et al. (2014) | Iceland | | |
| *Diplostomum* sp. Lineage 3 of *Blasco-Costa et al.* (2014)⁴⁺ | Blasco-Costa et al. (2014); Faltýnková et al. (2014); Soldánová et al. (2017) | Germany, Iceland, Norway | D. baeri (isolates LF1-LF10) ex *Salmo trutta* (UK) (MT311204-MT311213) [Landeryou et al., 2020] | D. baeri ex *Salmo trutta* and *Gobio gobio* (Germany) (J9086859-J9086972) [Georgieva et al., 2013]⁴ |
| *Diplostomum* sp. Lineage 4 of *Blasco-Costa et al.* (2014)⁴⁺ | Blasco-Costa et al. (2014); Faltýnková et al. (2014); Locke et al. (2015); Kuhn et al. (2015); Soldánová et al. (2017) | Germany, Iceland, Norway, Romania | D. baeri ex *Percio fluviatilis* (Germany) (JQ639180-JQ639195) [Behrmann-Godel, 2013]³ | |
| *Diplostomum* sp. Lineage 5 of *Blasco-Costa et al.* (2014)⁴⁺ | Blasco-Costa et al. (2014); Faltýnková et al. (2014); Locke et al. (2015); Soldánová et al. (2017) | Iceland, Norway | Diplostomum sp. 6 AKR-2016 ex *G. aculeatus* ([isolates Diplolin6ICEGa02-Diplolin6ICEGa05; Diplolin6UistGa01-Diplolin6UistGa35] (Iceland, UK) (KX037902-KX037915; KX140051-KX140055) and *P. pungitius* ([isolates Diplolin6UistPp01-Diplolin6UistPp03] (UK) (KX037878-KX037880) [Rahn et al., 2016] | |
| *Diplostomum* sp. A of Gordy and Hanington (2019) | Gordy and Hanington (2019) | Canada | | |
| *Diplostomum* sp. A of Kudlai et al. (2017) | Kudlai et al. (2017) | Slovakia | | |
| *Diplostomum* sp. B of Kudlai et al. (2017) | Kudlai et al. (2017) | Slovakia | | |
| *Diplostomum* sp. C of Kudlai et al. (2017) | Kudlai et al. (2017) | Slovakia | | |
| *Diplostomum* sp. of Chibwana et al. (2013) | Chibwana et al. (2013); Hoogendoorn et al. (2020) | Nigeria, South Africa | | |
| *Diplostomum* sp. (Japan) of Komatsu et al. (2019) | Komatsu et al. (2019) | Japan | | |
| *Diplostomum* sp. Clade Q | Georgieva et al. (2013); Pérez-del-Olmo et al. (2014); Selbach et al. (2015); Locke et al. (2015) | Germany, Spain | *Diplostomum mergi* (RR43, RR45, RA97) ex *Rutilus rutilus* and *Radix auricularia* (Germany) (JQ639177-JQ639179) [Behrmann-Godel, 2013] | |
| *Diplostomum* sp. of Lebedeva et al. (2021) | Lebedeva et al. (2021) | Mongolia | | |

⁴*D. mergi* Lineage 2 ("D. mergi" 2 and "Clade 3-2") in Georgieva et al. (2013) ⁵*D. mergi* Lineage 2 in Selbach et al. (2015) and Kudlai et al. (2017) ⁶*D. mergi* Lineage 3 ("D. mergi" 3 and "Clade 3-3") in Georgieva et al. (2013) ⁷*D. mergi* Lineage 3 in Selbach et al. (2015) ⁸*D. mergi* Lineage 4 in Selbach et al. (2015) ⁹Member of the *D. mergi* species complex, indicated as *D. mergi* Lineage 1 in Georgieva et al. (2013) ¹⁰*D. mergi* Lineage 1 ("D. mergi" 1" and "Clade 3-1") in Georgieva et al. (2013) ¹¹Lineages discovered in Iceland and characterised molecularly and morphologically by Blasco-Costa et al. (2014) and Faltýnková et al. (2014), respectively ¹²*D. baeri* Line 1 ("D. baeri 1," "Clade 4-1" or "trout lineage") in Georgieva et al. (2013) ¹³*D. baeri* Line 2 ("D. baeri 2," "Clade 4-2" or "perch lineage") in Georgieva et al. (2013) ¹⁴Pérez-del-Olmo et al., 2014; Selbach et al., 2015. Our study thus anchors the molecular data to detailed descriptions of the larval stages and provides molecular evidence for the identification of the first intermediate host, *A. balthica*. Furthermore, the phylogenetic analyses resulted in: (i) a re-identification/re-classification of 98 isolates including *D. baeri sensu* Galazzo et al. (2002); (ii)
re-definition of the composition of the *D. baei* species complex which now includes nine molecularly characterised species/lineages, i.e. *D. adamsii* (syn. *D. baei sensu* Galasso et al., 2002), *D. phoxini*, *Diplostomum* sp. Lineages 3–5 of Blasco-Costa et al. (2014), *Diplostomum* spp. 5–7 of Locke et al. (2015), and *Diplostomum* sp. of Lebedeva et al. (2021); (iii) re-definition of the composition of the *D. mergi* species complex which now includes seven molecularly characterised species/lineages, i.e. *D. parviventosum, D. mergi* Lineages 2 and 3 of Georgieva et al. (2013), *Diplostomum mergi* Lineage 4 of Selbach et al. (2015), *Diplostomum* spp. A and B of Kudlai et al. (2017), and *Diplostomum* sp. of Komatsu et al. (2019); and (iv) an updated nomenclature for the molecularly characterised species-level lineages of *Diplostomum*.

**Prevalence and life-cycle of *Diplostomum phoxini***

The summarised data for the prevalence of the molecularly characterised species/lineages of *Diplostomum* in the snail intermediate hosts in Europe (Table 6) indicate that prevalence is generally low when estimated from pooled samples (0.7–4.7%), whereas the prevalence estimated from distinct individual samples (i.e. as per the definition of Bush et al., 1997) ranges between 1.0 and 13.6% but is typically greater than 3.0%. The prevalence of *D. phoxini* in *A. balthica* studied in the River Ruhr fell within the latter range but with values greater than 3.0% in all distinct samples and a maximum prevalence of 13.6% recorded to date for *Diplostomum* spp. in Europe (Table 6; see also Online Resource Table S2 for details).

Although most of the populations of *Diplostomum* spp. originate from lentic aquatic habitats (lakes, reservoirs, ponds) it is worth noting that both studies with prevalence estimated for multiple distinct samples originating from lotic waterbodies (River Ruhr in Germany, present study; River Veude in France, see Arvy and Buttner, 1954) revealed a high prevalence range of *D. phoxini* in both *A. balthica* and *A. auricularia*. This is in contrast with the expectation that flow conditions in the aquatic habitat affect digenean dispersal (Radke et al., 1961) with lentic habitats guaranteeing accelerated transmission rates (Soldánová and Kostadinova, 2011).

The data from our longitudinal study of the prevalence in *A. balthica* indicate that significant and consistent foci of infection with *D. phoxini* exist in the River Ruhr. This is further strengthened by the narrow transmission window estimated for *D. phoxini* in the riverine habitats studied. In Germany, the life span of *A. balthica* is estimated as one year, with copulation and egg-laying occurring in March (Glöer, 2002). We assume that in the River Ruhr juveniles hatch in April and the first patent infections in the new generation develop during June. This is supported by the fact that although large samples of snails were examined at all sites in May and June, the first patent infections with *D. phoxini* were registered as early as July. Our data thus indicate a transmission window of six months (June to November) with infection restart in each new snail generation.

Metacercariae of *D. phoxini* can survive in a minnow brain for up to five years and are accumulated by their fish hosts (Dönges, 1969b). This explains the maximum prevalence of 100% and high abundance of *D. phoxini* recorded in *P. phoxinus* host as reported previously (e.g. Arvy and Buttner, 1954; Rees, 1955, 1957). The longevity and accumulation of metacercariae in fish counteract the narrow transmission window for the larval stages and ensure the existence of a reservoir for maintenance of the infection with
this species in the River Ruhr. However, the definitive host of *D. phoxini* is still poorly known since the only natural infection has been reported in *Mergus merganser* L. (the host of *D. pelmatoides* (Dubois, 1932), a synonym of *D. phoxini*). The distribution of this bird species in Germany is generally confined to the wintering areas around the coasts of the Baltic Sea (between October—November and March—April) and there is an isolated declining breeding population in Bavaria (Keller, 2009).

Recent observations, however, suggest an increase in the number of breeding pairs of *M. merganser* along the River Ruhr, that might contribute to the infection foci in *A. balthica* along the river.

Shigin (1986, 1993) reported the existence of intense and persistent foci of infection with *D. phoxini* in fish populations of waterbodies where *Mergus* spp. are practically lacking. Studies on the life-cycle indicate that *D. phoxini* is not highly specific to its definitive host as adult flukes containing eggs and mature sperm have been obtained from both avian and mammalian hosts. Adults of *D. phoxini* have been raised experimentally in ducklings of *Anas platyrhynchos* (see Arvy and Buttner, 1954; Dönges, 1969a, 1969b; Erasmus, 1969), *Cairina moschata domestica* (see Arvy and Buttner, 1954), *L. argentatus* (see Berrie, 1960) and laboratory mice (Berrie, 1960; Shigin, 1986, 1993), but not in *L. ridibundus* (see Dönges, 1969a); a very rapid rate of development in ducklings (3–5 days post-infection) has been observed (Rees, 1955; Berrie, 1960; Dönges, 1969a, 1969b; Erasmus, 1969). This rapid rate of adult development and compatibility with anatid and mammalian hosts, in association with a possibility of parasite-induced changes in fish behaviour and mortality at high intensity of infection levels, tend to support the hypothesis that purely facultative ichthyophages such as aquatic rodents (Shigin, 1986, 1993) and/or *A. platyrhynchos* (see Miroshnichenko and Štenko, 1983) may also act as definitive hosts of *D. phoxini*.

### Diplostomum baeri species complex

Including in the phylogenetic analysis *D. phoxini* and the additional 26 species-level lineages molecularly characterised during 2015–2021 resulted in a change of the composition of the *D. baeri* species complex with the inclusion of *D. phoxini* and *Diplostomum* sp. of Lebedeva et al. (2021) and the exclusion of *Diplostomum* sp. 2 of Moszczyńska et al. (2009) which was associated with three North American lineages (*Diplostomum* spp. 12, 18 and 19 of Locke et al., 2015) sequenced recently by Locke et al. (2015).

Metacercariae of all species/lineages of the *D. baeri* species complex represent non-lens-dwelling forms recovered from the eye vitreous humour and retina and the brain of the fish hosts. The microhabitat within the fish outside the lens utilised by the metacercariae of *Diplostomum* sp. is an important species characteristic (Shigin, 1986) and defining the exact location of the

---

**Table 5.** Percent interspecific genetic divergence (p-distance model) for *D. adamsi* (syn. *D. baeri sensu* Galazzo et al., 2002) sampled in North America compared with the species/lineages of the *D. baeri* species complex based on all cox1 sequences available on GenBank (retrieved on 29 June 2021)

| Species/Lineage | n   | Divergence (%) |
|-----------------|-----|----------------|
| *D. phoxini*    | 1602| 8.7–12.1       |
| *Diplostomum* sp. 5 of Locke et al. (2010a) | 89  | 7.7–9.2        |
| *Diplostomum* sp. 6 of Locke et al. (2010a) | 356 | 9.8–13.5       |
| *Diplostomum* sp. 7 of Locke et al. (2010a) | 1424| 9.0–12.0       |
| *Diplostomum* sp. Lineage 3 of Blasco-Costa et al. (2014) | 3649| 10.3–14.5      |
| *Diplostomum* sp. Lineage 4 of Blasco-Costa et al. (2014) | 891 | 11.2–15.3      |
| *Diplostomum* sp. Lineage 5 of Blasco-Costa et al. (2014) | 1780| 9.3–13.1       |
| *Diplostomum* sp. of Lebedeva et al. (2021) | 712 | 10.2–12.9      |

**Abbreviation:** n, number of pairwise comparisons.
metacercariae can facilitate identification/differentiation as illustrated by Blasco-Costa et al. (2014) who sequenced and differentiated morphologically two species-level lineages (Diplostomum sp. Lineage 3 from the vitreous humour of the eye and Diplostomum sp. Lineage 4 from the eye retina) in the salmonids Salmo trutta L. and Diplostomum spp. 5 (2015) applied the division of (2002); clarification of the metacercarial microhabitat in fish is required based on examination of unfrozen material: D. baeri sensu Galazzo et al. (2002); Diplostomum sp. 2 of Moszczynska et al. (2009); and Diplostomum spp. 5–9 of Locke et al. (2010a). Locke et al. (2015) applied the division of "lens" vs "non-lens (eye)" for these species and for five additional lineages (Diplostomum spp. 12, 13, 17–19). Overall, there is conflicting information for the localisation of the metacercariae between the two large inventories of Locke et al. (2010a) and Locke et al. (2015) and between the text and the supplementary data of Locke et al. (2015) for 12 isolates of one lineage (Diplostomum sp. 2 of Moszczynska et al. (2009)) and nine isolates of six lineages, respectively (highlighted in red in Online Resource Table S5). Regarding the lineages of the D. baeri species complex, conflicting information for isolate microhabitats in the fish hosts has been provided for one isolate (KR271039) of D. baeri sensu Galazzo et al. (2002) and five isolates of Diplostomum sp. 7 of Locke et al. (2010a) (KR271398, KR271399, KR271402, KR271404, KR271407) (see Online Resource Table S5; Locke et al., 2015).

What is D. baeri sensu Galazzo et al. (2002)?

In the Guide to the Parasites of Fishes of Canada, Gibson (1996) provided a key for the metacercariae of seven species of Diplostomum, including three non-lens-dwelling forms: D. scuderi (Olivier, 1941) Dubois, 1966 (syn. Diplostomum baeri esculentum Hoffmann & Hundley, 1957) from the brain or retina of gasterosteids; D. baeri bucculentum Dubois & Rausch, 1948 from the retina or vitreous humour of the eye of salmonids; and D. adamsi Lester & Huizinga, 1977 (from the retina of Perca flavescens (Mitchill).

Galazzo et al. (2002) developed experimentally adults in Larus delawarensis fed metacercariae from the "vitreous humour" of P. flavescens collected in the St Lawrence River near Montreal, Canada. These authors found a substantial differentiation (3.8%,

Table 6. Comparative data for the prevalence of D. phoxini and molecularly characterised species/lineages of Diplostomum spp. in intermediate snail hosts examined in Europe

| Species | Host | Prevalence (%) | Locality | Source |
|---------|------|----------------|----------|--------|
| D. phoxini | Ampullaceaena balthica | 3.3–13.6 | River Ruhr, Germany | Present study |
| Radix auricularia (as Lymnaea auricularia) | | 4.0–5.0 | River Vede, France | Arvy and Buttner (1954) |
| Peregrina peregra (as Lymnaea pereger) | | 3.9 | Lake Fron Goch, UK | Rees (1957) |
| Ampullaceaena balthica (as Lymnaea peregra ovata) | | 0.9b | River Nagold, Germany | Dönges (1969a, 1969b) |
| Peregrina peregra (as Lymnaea peregra) | | 3.4 | Lake Fron Goch, UK | Bibby and Rees (1971) |
| Diplostomum sp. Lineage 2 | Ampullaceaena balthica (as Radix peregra) | 0.7b | Lake Raudavatn, Iceland | Faltýnková et al. (2014) |
| Diplostomum sp. Lineage 4 | Ampullaceaena balthica (as Radix peregra) | 2.8b | Lake Nordic House, Iceland | Faltýnková et al. (2014) |
| Diplostomum sp. Lineage 6 | Ampullaceaena balthica (as Radix peregra) | 4.7b | Lake Nordic House, Iceland | Faltýnková et al. (2014) |
| D. parviventosum | Radix auricularia | 3.1–7.1 | Hengsteysee, Germany | Selbach et al. (2015) |
| | Ampullaceaena lagotis (as Radix lagotis) | 0.4–1.5 | Most Lake, Czech Republic | Vyhlídalová and Soldánová (2020) |
| D. mergi Lineage 2 | Radix auricularia | 2.1–6.7 | Hengsteysee, Germany | Selbach et al. (2015) |
| D. mergi Lineage 2 | Radix auricularia | 2.2–10.7 | Sorpetalsperre, Germany | Selbach et al. (2015) |
| D. mergi Lineage 3 | Radix auricularia | 1.0–3.1 | Hengsteysee, Germany | Selbach et al. (2015) |
| D. mergi Lineage 4 | Radix auricularia | 1.0 | Hengsteysee, Germany | Selbach et al. (2015) |
| D. mergi species complex | Ampullaceaena lagotis (as Radix lagotis) | 0.4–9.6b | Most Lake, Czech Republic | Vyhlídalová and Soldánová (2020) |
| D. spathaceum | Radix auricularia | 2.1–4.1 | Hengsteysee, Germany | Selbach et al. (2015) |
| | Ampullaceaena lagotis (as Radix lagotis) | 3.0–4.2 | Most Lake, Czech Republic | Vyhlídalová and Soldánová (2020) |
| Diplostomum sp. Clade Q | Radix auricularia | 3.6 | Hengsteysee, Germany | Selbach et al. (2015) |

*a Overall prevalence for pooled samples taken during 1959–1965.
*b Data from pooled samples.
+c Water reservoirs of the River Ruhr catchment area in North Rhine-Westphalia.
+d Pooled data for all lineages of the D. mergi species complex.

It is important to note that the supplementary data of Locke (17) one lineage (Diplostomum sp. Lineage 6 from the retina) in the gasterosteid (Diplostomum sp. Lineage 3 from the vitreous humour of the eye and vitreous humour of the eye and confirmed by Blasco-Costa et al. (2014) as illustrated by Blasco-Costa et al. (2014) who sequenced and differentiated morphologically two species-level lineages (Diplostomum sp. Lineage 3 from the vitreous humour of the eye and Diplostomum sp. Lineage 4 from the eye retina) in the salmonids Salmo trutta L. and Diplostomum spp. 5 (2015) applied the division of (2002); clarification of the metacercarial microhabitat in fish is required based on examination of unfrozen material: D. baeri sensu Galazzo et al. (2002); Diplostomum sp. 2 of Moszczynska et al. (2009); and Diplostomum spp. 5–9 of Locke et al. (2010a). Locke et al. (2015) applied the division of "lens" vs "non-lens (eye)" for these species and for five additional lineages (Diplostomum spp. 12, 13, 17–19). Overall, there is conflicting information for the localisation of the metacercariae between the two large inventories of Locke et al. (2010a) and Locke et al. (2015) and between the text and the supplementary data of Locke et al. (2015) for 12 isolates of one lineage (Diplostomum sp. 2 of Moszczynska et al. (2009)) and nine isolates of six lineages, respectively (highlighted in red in Online Resource Table S5). Regarding the lineages of the D. baeri species complex, conflicting information for isolate microhabitats in the fish hosts has been provided for one isolate (KR271039) of D. baeri sensu Galazzo et al. (2002) and five isolates of Diplostomum sp. 7 of Locke et al. (2010a) (KR271398, KR271399, KR271402, KR271404, KR271407) (see Online Resource Table S5; Locke et al., 2015).

What is D. baeri sensu Galazzo et al. (2002)?

In the Guide to the Parasites of Fishes of Canada, Gibson (1996) provided a key for the metacercariae of seven species of Diplostomum, including three non-lens-dwelling forms: D. scuderi (Olivier, 1941) Dubois, 1966 (syn. Diplostomum baeri esculentum Hoffmann & Hundley, 1957) from the brain or retina of gasterosteids; D. baeri bucculentum Dubois & Rausch, 1948 from the retina or vitreous humour of the eye of salmonids; and D. adamsi Lester & Huizinga, 1977 (from the retina of Perca flavescens (Mitchill).

Galazzo et al. (2002) developed experimentally adults in Larus delawarensis fed metacercariae from the "vitreous humour" of P. flavescens collected in the St Lawrence River near Montreal, Canada. These authors found a substantial differentiation (3.8%,
23 nt positions) in the ITS1 rDNA region between the specimens sequenced in North America and Europe and concluded that the two forms are not conspecific. However, Galazzo et al. (2002) used the name *D. baeri* for their experimentally developed adults. Locke et al. (2010a) generated cox1 sequences “from archived DNA of three voucherered adult specimens” studied by Galazzo et al. (2002) and from eight additional adult specimens and 64 metacercariae from the “vitreous humour” of *P. flavescens* collected in Canada. These authors also used the name *D. baeri* based on the sequence matching with the adults identified by Galazzo et al. (2002).

All recent molecular phylogenies indicate that the North American lineage named as *D. baeri* by Galazzo et al. (2002) and Locke et al. (2010a, 2010b, 2015) and the species-level lineages of the *D. baeri* complex are genetically distinct (Georgieva et al., 2013; Blasco-Costa et al., 2014; Fahlénkóva et al., 2014; Selbach et al., 2015; Soldánová et al., 2017) and the present analyses strongly support this (Figs 5, 6; Table 4; Online Resource Fig. S2). Therefore, there is no justification for perpetuating use of this name for the North American lineage from *P. flavescens* and *Larus* spp. Compared with the original description of *D. baeri* based on specimens from Europe, the experimentally obtained material measured and illustrated by Galazzo et al. (2002) differs in having: a much larger body with a longer and narrower forebody and a substantially longer and narrower hindbody; an oral sucker much larger than pharynx (mean OSW/PHW = 1.5 vs oral sucker slightly larger than pharynx; OSW/PHW = 0.96–1.26) that is also equal to ventral sucker (mean VSW/OSW = 1.01 vs oral sucker slightly smaller than ventral sucker in *D. baeri*). Additionally, the anterior margins of the vitelline fields reach to the level of ventral sucker in the material described by Galazzo et al. (2002) whereas they extend anteriorly to ventral sucker up to mid-distance between pharynx and ventral sucker in *D. baeri* (see Dubois, 1970).

Unfortunately, Galazzo et al. (2002) did not compare their material with the description of *D. adamsi*, the only species with metacercariae known to develop in *P. flavescens* in Canada and North America in general (see Gibson, 1996; Zelmér and Arai, 1998). The life-cycle of *D. adamsi* was completed experimentally by Lester and Huizenga (1977) using *Lymnaea stagnalis* (L.) and *Ladisilavella elodes* (Say) as the first intermediate hosts, *P. flavescens* as the only susceptible host out of five fish species tested, and *Larus argentatus* Pontoppidan as the experimental definitive host. In addition to the detailed descriptions of the life-cycle stages of *D. adamsi*, these authors provided histological and scanning electron microscopy evidence for the microhabitat of the metacercariae in *P. flavescens*, i.e. “in the peripheral retina, in a cavity between the photoreceptor cells and the pigmented epithelium”.

The solution for the confusion with the identification of *D. baeri sensu* Galazzo et al. (2002) comes from the detailed historical study of Ubels et al. (2018) clearly showing that infection with *D. baeri sensu* Galazzo et al. (2002) is confined to tissues associated with the eye-retina (choroidal vasculature) of *P. flavescens*; these authors also generated sequence data for the metacercariae from the retinal tissues of *P. flavescens*. As shown in Online Resource Fig. S2, these sequences clustered with the sequences from the same fish host and *Larus* spp. in the studies of Galazzo et al. (2002), Moszczyńska et al. (2009) and Locke et al. (2010a, 2010b, 2015). All of the above considerations clearly suggest that the metacercariae originating from *P. flavescens* and sequenced by these authors and by Ubels et al. (2018) represent the retinal form of *D. adamsi*. The reclassification of the sequences labelled as “Diplostomum baeri complex sp. LIN2” and “Diplostomum aff. baeri LIN2” by Gordy and Hanington (2019) (see above) provides molecular evidence that, in agreement with the original description of *D. adamsi*, the snail *L. elodes* acts as the first intermediate host of this species. The introduction of *D. adamsi* as the only plausible identification for the lineage *D. baeri sensu* Galazzo et al. (2002) sequenced by Galazzo et al. (2002), Locke et al. (2010a, 2015) and Gordy and Hanington (2019) does not require changing the name of the *D. baeri* species complex as there is a number of lineages within it awaiting taxonomic scrutiny.

**Re-classification and an updated nomenclature for *Diplostomum* spp.**

Based on the present phylogenetic analyses, an updated nomenclature was applied and a large number of isolates of *Diplostomum* spp. published before 29 June 2021 was re-identified/re-classified (Table 4, Fig. 5, Online Resource Table S5, Fig. S2). The present re-classification revealed new linkages between life-cycle stages for three species/lineages, i.e. *D. adamsi*, *Diplostomum* sp. 13 of Locke et al. (2015) and *Diplostomum* sp. 18 of Locke et al. (2015). Cercarial isolates of these forms were sequenced from *L. elodes* in Canada by Gordy and Hanington (2019) (see Table 4 and Online Resource Table S5).

Here, we would like to highlight two cases with relevance to the data for the *D. baeri* complex discussed above. Landeryou et al. (2020) used cox1 and ITS sequences to identify the metacercariae from the vitreous humour of *Salmo trutta* collected in Scotland and used for characterisation of the mitochondrial genome of a species they believed to be *D. baeri*. However, these authors selected for their analysis cox1 sequences for just two lineages of the *D. baeri* species complex, i.e. *D. baeri sensu* Galazzo et al. (2002) from North America and *Diplostomum* sp. Lineage 3 of Blasco-Costa et al. (2014) (the “trout clade” of the *D. baeri* species complex sensu Georgieva et al., 2013). Although the cox1 sequences of Landeryou et al. (2020) clearly fell within the clad of *Diplostomum* sp. Lineage 3 of Blasco-Costa et al. (2014) (the “trout clade” of Georgieva et al., 2013), they named the species as “*D. baeri*”. Our analysis revealed that the material sequenced by Landeryou et al. (2020) in fact belongs to and should be referred to as *Diplostomum* sp. Lineage 3 of Blasco-Costa et al. (2014) (Table 4, Online Resource Table S5 and Fig. S2).

Ubels et al. (2018) reported as *D. baeri* two sequences from metacercariae ex *P. flavescens* and *Luxilus cornutus* (Mitchill) collected in Douglas Lake, Michigan, USA. However, there is a conflict with host annotation in their paper and the Supplementary Fig. S1 provided by these authors (see Table 4). Whichever the host, our analysis clearly showed that the sequence MF142178 belongs to *Diplostomum* sp. 3 of Moszczyńska et al. (2009) and the sequence MF142161 belongs to *Diplostomum* sp. 4 of Moszczyńska et al. (2009).

The nomenclature of the genetic lineages of *Diplostomum* is in a state of flux since scientific names for 35 species-level lineages of *Diplostomum* have not yet been suggested. Identification to the species level via linking the genetic and morphological data for these lineages will be a long process and some lineages will remain unidentified for indefinite time. Locke et al. (2015) highlighted the problems associated with name discrepancies in the publications vs GenBank annotations for the expanding number of molecularly delineated species-level lineages within the Diplostomidae. Whilst we agree with their criticisms, we should like to highlight that the publication should be the leading source for the identification and host/microhabitat data for the newly sequenced isolates and the precise linking to GenBank sequences (and their annotations) should be part of the publication. In an ideal world with a centralised system for registering the lineage number sequence, the numbering system would be effective (as
suggested by Locke et al., 2015) but this is not the case; the same applies to a lettering system, e.g. there are pairs of lineages currently labelled as A, B and C (see Kudlai et al., 2017; Gordy and Hanington, 2019).

Lineage 'names' (labels) are not species binomens and thus no compliance with the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature is required. However, it would be wise to follow Code’s principles of homonymy and priority of publication to ensure that the 'name' of each genetic lineage is unique and distinct, and that the oldest available 'name' is used for already characterised lineages. The uniqueness is ensured by consistently using the 'name' in association with the reference of the first publication, e.g. Diplostomum sp. 1 of Mosczynska et al. (2009), Diplostomum sp. A of Gordy and Hanington (2019), Diplostomum sp. A of Kudlai et al. (2017), Diplostomum sp. Lineage 2 of Blasco-Costa et al. (2014) or simply Diplostomum sp. of Chibwana et al. (2013) (see Table 4 for all updated lineage labels).

The updated data on the nomenclature and distribution for molecularly characterised species/lineages of Diplostomum based on our global analysis provided in Table 4 indicate that, in spite of the accumulation of sequences from recent studies, current distribution of Diplostomum spp. is the result of uneven sampling effort and suggest our knowledge of the species and genetic diversity in this group is still rudimentary in Africa, Asia and South America. Thus, nearly half of the molecularly characterised species/lineages (21%; 48%) have only been recorded in North America. Of these, 12 (57%) taxa, including four singletons, have only been recorded in Canada. Nearly a third of the species/lineages (12 taxa, 27%, including 3 singletons) have only been recorded in Europe and there are fewer molecular records from Asia (eight taxa, including three also found in Europe: D. spathaceum; D. mergi Lineage 2 of Georgieva et al. (2013); D. mergi Lineage 4 of Selbach et al. (2015)) and Africa (three taxa, including two also found in Asia: Diplostomum spp. 14 and 16 of Locke et al. (2015)). Just one species has been characterised molecularly in South America.

Finally, the present updated synopsis of Diplostomum species/lineages highlights an important caveat for enhancing the knowledge of the diversity of Diplostomum spp. in fish hosts, i.e. the virtual lack of sequences for metacercariae of salmonid and gasterosteid hosts from North America. Currently, only four sequences are available from these host groups, three sequences from metacercariae in salmonids, two for Diplostomum sp. 7 and one for Diplostomum sp. 9, and a single sequence for Diplostomum sp. 13 of Locke et al. (2015) (possibly D. scudderii (Olivier, 1941)) from G. aculeatus. We predict that, similar to the current situation in Europe, focused sampling of gasterosteids and salmonids with a careful identification of the location of the non-lens-dwelling metacercariae will reveal a number of additional species/lineages of the D. baeri complex in North America. Furthermore, precise identification of the microhabitat in salmonid hosts anchored to novel morphological and sequence data may help assess the status of D. baeri bucculentum Dubois & Rausch, 1948 and distinguish it from the retinal form reported from salmonoids in Canada (see Gibson, 1996) and from the European lineages molecularly and morphologically characterised by Blasco-Costa et al. (2014) and described by Faltyňková et al. (2014). Sequencing of metacercariae from salmonids and gasteroestids will also provide additional data for testing the hypothesis for North America being an ancestral area for the D. baeri species complex (Blasco-Costa et al., 2014) and shed light on the evolution of this group.

**Supplementary material.** The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182021001372
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