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ADVERTISEMENTS
Experimental cross-polarization detection of coupling far-field light to highly confined plasmonic gap modes via nanoantennas
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We demonstrate the coupling of far-field light to highly confined plasmonic gap modes via connected nanoantennas. The excitation of plasmonic gap modes is shown to depend on the polarization, position, and wavelength of the incident beam. Far-field measurements performed in crossed polarization allow for the detection of extremely weak signals re-emitted from gap waveguides and can increase the signal-to-noise ratio dramatically. © 2011 American Institute of Physics

Surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs) are highly confined electromagnetic waves on metal–dielectric interfaces which couple to collective oscillations of the electron gas. Various plasmonic waveguide modes arise from the coupling of SPPs at the interfaces of metals and dielectrics.1–6 Bozhevolnyi et al.7 reported a channel waveguide mode with a width of 1.1 μm and a propagation length of 100 μm. However, most of the experimentally realized plasmonic modes1–3 have confinements in the micrometer range and do not reach deeply subwavelength dimensions. Thus they do not have obvious advantages compared to conventional dielectric waveguides. In contrast, a true deeply subwavelength gap waveguide geometry is the first step toward optical wireless communication analogous to the ubiquitous loading of radio frequency. In this paper, we experimentally demonstrate the excitation of a gap mode enhanced by a nanoantenna and investigate its spatial and spectral dependence on the antenna by cross-polarization detection (see Fig. 1). We prove that optical antennas can potentially be used for selective excitation of highly confined, plasmonic waveguide modes in further integrated plasmonic chips.

For the experiments a 100-nm-thick silver layer with a surface roughness of around 1 nm was deposited on a glass substrate using a magnetron sputtering machine. A chromium layer with a thickness of 1–2 nm was used as a wetting layer to improve the quality of the silver layer. Afterwards 80–100-nm-wide grooves were structured into the silver layer using a focused ion beam (FIB) milling system. A scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of a cross section of the waveguide and the corresponding numerically calculated mode profile (via finite-element method, FEM) of the electric field are given in Fig. 2(a). Figure 2(b) shows an SEM image of the measured structure containing a bent-gap waveguide with a receiving antenna. Figure 2(c) shows the same structure but includes an additional transmitting antenna at the other end of the bent waveguide. The gap width is 80 nm. The optimized total length of the antenna is designed for a resonance at around λ=1.5 μm.

The configuration of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1. For excitation, a linearly polarized Gaussian beam (polarization parallel to the antenna) was focused onto the
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substrate surface. Due to strong back reflection of the incident beam, it is hard to investigate the field in the waveguide unless its direction of polarization is turned so that it becomes visible in the cross-polarized direction. Since the polarization of gap modes is always perpendicular to the tangent of the waveguide, the polarization of the electric fields at the waveguide end can be turned by 90° via a waveguide bend. Thus, the dominant part of the back reflection of the incident beam was filtered out whereas the scattered light from the very end of the waveguide was detected. For comparison, Fig. 2(d) shows the reflection image of the sample surface recorded by a camera without a crossed polarizer in front of the camera. In Figs. 2(e) and 2(f) two cases with a crossed polarizer in front of the camera are shown. The large bright spot in Fig. 2(d) is caused by the reflection of the focused beam by the nanoantenna. On the upper-left side of the reflected beam, there is only a tiny weak spot with strong background noise. However, for crossed-polarizer detection, a small but clear spot can be observed [see spots inside the white-dashed circles in Figs. 2(e) and 2(f)]. This demonstrates that the focused optical beam is coupled to the highly confined mode of the plasmonic waveguide via the resonant receiving antenna. Apparently, cross-polarization detection increases the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) dramatically. In addition, this proves that we have high transmission through bends with micrometer-sized radii. The emission intensity with a second transmitting antenna [see Figs. 2(c) and 2(f)] is enhanced by at least a factor of 2 compared to the case with just an open end [see Figs. 2(b) and 2(e)]. The observed scattering at the end of the waveguide weakens and shifts as expected if the sample is moved relative to the optical beam. For an excitation with an incident polarization perpendicular to the long axis of the antenna, at a nonresonant wavelength without a receiving antenna (reflection images not presented here), no light is observed at the end of the waveguide.

Figure 3 shows scans measured in transmission and reflection at the resonant wavelength of 1.5 μm in (a) and (b) and at a nonresonant wavelength of 1 μm in (c) and (d). For each scan, the incident polarization was parallel to the antenna. No polarizer was situated in front of the detecting photo diode. Each scanning pixel value corresponds to the normalized transmission and reflection measured for a certain position of the focused beam relative to the sample. The sample was scanned through the beam using a defined step size. Transmission and reflection intensities were measured for every position. All presented scans were normalized to the same level. The transmission for resonant excitation of the antenna is lower [yellow color in Fig. 3(a)] than the value at the nonresonant wavelength [red color in Fig. 3(c)]. In the same situation, the reflection does not vary much [see Figs. 3(b) and 3(d)]. This already hints that light is coupled into the waveguide for resonant excitation. We also measured the transmission and reflection spectra of the antenna for incident wavelengths ranging from 1 to 1.7 μm (see Fig. 4). The transmission drops by about 10% close to λ=1.5 μm but the reflection stays almost constant for all measured wavelengths. A quite intuitive explanation suggests that, at resonance, energy is coupled to the waveguide mode and results in a reduced transmission but leaves the reflection almost unaffected. For comparison, we simulated the coupling efficiency using the COMSOL MULTIPHYSICS commercial FEM.
In order to get a continuous spectrum, the transient analysis module was used. An excitation port which generated an ultrashort electric pulse (spectral width 1.8 \( \mu \text{m} \) and central wavelength 1.54 \( \mu \text{m} \)) was set at the end of the waveguide far away from the antenna. The detection port with an area of \( 1.5 \times 1.5 \mu m^2 \) \((40 \times 40 \text{ grid})\) was placed 200 nm away from the antenna in air. The powerflow at each point of the detection port in the frequency domain was calculated from the Fourier transform of the electric and magnetic fields. The powerflow was then integrated over the detection port area of 1.5\(^2\) \( \mu m^2 \). Inset: SEM image of one measured sample. The radius of the bent part (R) is 3 \( \mu m \). The length of the straight part (L) varies from 1 to 4 \( \mu m \).

Since we were able to control the waveguide excitation, we also determined the decay length of gap modes with a width of 80 nm at \( \lambda = 1.5 \mu m \). As shown in Fig. 5, the radius of the bent part (R) is fixed to 3 \( \mu m \), and the length of the straight part (L) varies from 1 to 4 \( \mu m \). The emission from the waveguide end was used for detection. The light emitted by the waveguide end was extracted and normalized to the power of the optical beam focused on the pure glass substrate. The coupling efficiency of the antenna on each measured sample was maximized by adjusting the position of the nanoantenna relative to the focused beam using a piezostage. By fitting an exponential decay function to the derived data, the decay length was found to be \( 2.1 \pm 0.8 \mu m \). The rather low value of the decay length might be due to the fact that the fabricated waveguide width of 80 nm is expected to be near cutoff. Also the losses of sputtered silver layers seem to be much higher than the values for silver\(^{12}\) used in the simulation. Another reason for the low decay length might be that the FIB structuring process introduces roughness on the sidewalls of the gap, which results in additional scattering losses.

In summary, the excitation and detection of plasmonic gap modes has been demonstrated. By using a crossed-polarizer detection scheme that requires bent waveguides, the SNR was dramatically improved. The excitation of gap modes was shown to be sensitive to the wavelength and position of the excitation beam. The measured sum of the coupling efficiency and antenna absorption reached up to 20% compared to the simulated optimum coupling efficiency of 15%.
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