Frequency of anti-Leptospira spp. antibodies in dogs and wild small mammals from rural properties and conservation units in southern Brazil
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ABSTRACT
Leptospirosis is a disease of worldwide distribution that affects man and several animal species. Domestic and wild animals can behave as reservoirs of the agent. The aim of this study was to evaluate the frequency of anti-Leptospira spp. antibodies in dogs and wild small mammals from rural properties and conservation units of three municipalities of Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil. Sera were collected from 192 domestic dogs and 132 small mammals, namely rodents of the Cricetidae and Caviidae families and marsupials of the Didelphidae family. The study used Microscopic Agglutination Test (MAT) against 23 serovars of Leptospira spp. Overall, 9.90% (19/192) dogs, and 1.51% (2/132) small mammals were seropositive. Leptospira interrogans serovar Australis was the most frequent serovar in dogs and antibodies against L. interrogans serovar Pomona were detected in a dog and a small mammal belonging to the same municipality. We conclude that in the regions studied the frequency of seropositivity in dogs is low, and leptospires do not seem to be circulating in small mammals, yet, further research is necessary to assess the real role that these animals may have for leptospirosis in the studied areas. This is the first serological survey in small mammals, including wild rodents, in the area that encompasses conservation units of great importance to Rio Grande do Sul state.

1. Introduction
Leptospirosis is a worldwide distributed zoonosis present in all continents except Antarctica [1]. However, occurrence of leptospirosis is most common in the tropics, especially in South America and Asia [3,12,20]. The disease is found in almost all mammals and affects a wide range of species including companion animals and humans that become infected by direct contact with urine of the carrier mammals or indirect contact with contaminated soil and water [3]. The infections can arise from environmental exposure to contaminated freshwater environments or watered soils where pathogenic Leptospira spp. are able to survive for prolonged periods [38]. Synanthropic rats and dogs are often implicated as sources of leptospirosis and can carry Leptospira spp. strains of high pathogenicity to humans. However, other animals can also participate as reservoirs in the epidemiological chain of the disease [2].

Clinical leptospirosis is not always present in dogs that can asymptomatically shed leptospires in the urine, which presents a risk of exposure to humans [25,36]. Even when animals are symptomatic, clinical diagnosis is not conclusive since clinical signs of leptospirosis, although characteristic, are not pathognomonic [8]. Wild mammals may play a key role in the epidemiology of leptospirosis, as some are able to carry the bacteria over long distances, favoring the spread of the agent. Leptospira spp. has been isolated from a
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variety of small mammals belonging to different families [15,21,22,26,28,40].

Rio Grande do Sul State is concerned for the environmental preservation of its area, possessing several important conservation units. Some of these units are in regions composed of Atlantic rainforest and Pampa biomes. In Brazil, this last biome is exclusive to Rio Grande do Sul, occupying 63% of the state's and 2.07% of the country's territory. It contains its own flora and fauna and great biodiversity, not yet completely described [6].

The role of different animals as hosts is likely regionally specific [2]. Not only anthropogenic activities and environmental conditions can influence Leptospira spp. serovars circulating from animals to humans, but there is also influence of the reservoir species present [3]. Considering this, the aim of this study was to evaluate the frequency of anti-Leptospira spp. antibodies in dogs and wild small mammals from rural properties and conservation units of three municipalities of Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study area

This study was performed in three municipalities: Barra do Quaraí, Cerro Largo and Derrubadas in the state of Rio Grande do Sul, southern Brazil (Fig. 1). Samples were collected in rural properties and conservation units: Parque Estadual do Espinilho (Barra do Quaraí) and Parque Estadual do Turvo (Derrubadas). Characteristics of these areas confer direct contact between humans and animals such as the anthropic action present in the Pampa biome in Barra do Quaraí, and the tourism importance of the Atlantic rainforest area in Derrubadas. Cerro Largo is a transition area between the two biomes, Pampa and Atlantic rainforest.

For the present study, samples were collected during three field campaigns (July to October 2013 and January 2014), as part of another study on the ecology of ticks and tick-borne disease in Rio Grande do Sul [17]. This study was previously approved by the Chico Mendes Institute for biodiversity (ICMBio Permit No. 38502-1) and the Animal Care and Use Committee of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine of University of São Paulo, Brazil (protocol 2908/2013).

2.2. Blood sample collection

Dogs over 4 months of age of both sexes, purebred or cross-breed, were evaluated and showed no sign of acute disease. Dogs were taken spontaneously by owners and their blood was collected from the cephalic vein. The wild small mammals were captured using a total of 80 live-traps (75 Sherman and five Tomahawk) baited with bacon, banana, apple and peanut butter installed for five consecutive nights during each field campaign. Additionally, two pitfalls station traps in Cerro Largo and three in Derrubadas and Barra do Quaraí with five buckets of 42.5 cm diameter and 60 cm height in each station, connected by a plastic fence (of at least 30 m long and 50 cm high) [39] were installed for the same period. Trapped animals were then anesthetized with ketamine and xylazine and blood samples were collected for serological analysis from all the trapped animals. Later, they were identified according to morphologic characteristics [5,23].

The sera were separated by centrifugation (8000g, 10 min). A total of 324 sera were analyzed: 192 of dogs and 132 of small mammals (Table 1). The small mammal species captured were rodents of the Cricetidae and Caviidae families and marsupials of the Didelphidae family.

2.3. Serology

The Microscopic Agglutination Test (MAT) was performed using 23 live antigens. Leptospira borgpetersenii serovars: Castellonis, Javanica, Tarassovi, Whitcombi; Leptospira interrogans serovars: Australis, Autumnalis, Bataviae, Bratislava, Canicola, Copenhageni, Hardjo (Hardjoprajitno), Hebdomadis, Pomona, Pomona (strain GR6), Pyrogenes, Icterohaemorrhagiae, Sentot; Leptospira kirschneri serovars:...
Butembo, Cynopteri, Grippotyphosa; *Leptospira* noguchii serovar: Panama and *Leptospira santarosai* serovars: Guaricura, Shermani.

The antigens were prepared from reference strains maintained at the Laboratório de Zoonoses Bacterianas of the Universidade de São Paulo; serial passaged in enriched EMJH medium (Difco®), and incubated for seven days at 28°C. For the screening of seroreactive animals, 1:100 dilution was used. Reactive samples were then examined with increasing dilutions from 1:100 to 1:3200, considering the highest positive dilution to be the titer of the serum [8]. The serum was considered reactive when at least 50% of agglutination occurred at magnification of 100 times under dark-field microscope.

### 2.4. Statistical analysis

Demographic and serology data were entered in an Excel spreadsheet. The seroprevalence of leptospirosis infection was calculated by dividing the number of seroreactive animals by the total number of animals according to each species. The seroprevalence and their 95% confidence intervals were estimated using the EpiTools (http://epitools.ausvet.com.au).

#### 3. Results

Dog seroprevalence was 9.90% (19/192; CI95% 6.43–13.94), with titers ranging from 100 to 3200, with the highest titer (3200) for the serovar Australis (Table 2). Among the wild small mammals, the seroprevalence in *Akodon montensis* was 2.47% (2/81; CI95% 0.68–8.56), with low titers of serovar Pomona (200) and Grippotyphosa (100). The two reagent animals were rodents from the *Akodon montensis* species and Cricetidae family, both belonging the municipality to Derrubadas. A dog in the municipality to Derrubadas was also positive to Pomona.

#### 4. Discussion

The hosts globally implied with adaptation of serovars Hardjo, Pomona, Icterohaemorrhagiae, Canicola, Grippotyphosa are cattle and sheep, swine, synanthropic rodents, canines, wild rodents and marsupials respectively [3,7,41]. Serovars are adapted to the hosts that favor their persistence in the environment. Those hosts are called reservoir hosts depending on the region studied, which can change over time [24]. Considering what is known regarding the epidemiology of leptospirosis in Brazil, in the present study, the dog and wild rodent (*Akodon montensis*) positive to Pomona in Derrubadas municipality are incidental hosts since this serovar is mainly associated with pigs, bovines and also opossums [3,29]. There is less information regarding the other reactive serovars in this study, yet the three most probable serovars in dogs put these in brackets may also be incidental in this species. While Cynopteri uses to bats as maintaining hosts [3], Australis and Butembo are found in wild animals, such as rodents [24,29]. Australis is specifically associated with a reservoir member of the Cricetidae family, *Nectomys squamipes* [29]. In contrast, the rodent reagent to Grippotyphosa was not an incidental host, since this serovar is related to wild mammals as reservoir hosts [24]. Nevertheless, before host–serovar relationships are established it should be noted that the frequency of seropositive animals in this study was low comparing to other serological surveys performed in wild animals and dogs in the country. In Pantanal biome, in Mato Grosso do Sul, a serosurvey performed in pampas deer (*Ozotoceros bezoarticus*) and found 24% (4/17) of seropositivity [19], a posterior study in the dry period showed only 5.9% (3/51) seropositivity in this species [42]. The characteristic of this biome, with flooded areas, may favor the survival and transmission of the pathogen. In the same biome and municipality, Girio et al. [11] found 6.67 (4/60) of seropositivity in different wild animal species. Vieira et al. [43] studied small mammals in Mato Grosso do Sul and found 23.28% (34/146) of positivity. Still in the same biome, but in Mato Grosso, the exposure of wild carnivores (42.7%), domestic dogs (17.5%), and horses (74.1%) was also high [15].

In other regions of the country wild animals and dogs also showed higher occurrence than in the present study, such as dogs studied in the state of Paraíba [4] with 21.4% (61/285) seropositivity, Rio Grande do Norte with 6.8% (25/365) [9], Paraná with 11% per dog-trimester [27], and Pará with 17.15% (47/274) [31]. In two semi-arid regions of Brazil the seropositivity was 5.6% (10/180) in dog and 3.9% (6/152) in wild mammals [34]. Moreover wild canids and wild rats in the municipality of Minas Gerais presented 35% (14/40) and 30.3% (13/43) seropositivity respectively. In Rio Grande do Sul there were also high seropositivity of 27.3% (6/22) in slaughterhouse capybaras [37], 38.75% in bovines (527/1360) [14] and 90.36% (553/612) in equines [35]. Dogs in the rural area of the municipality of Pelotas showed seropositivity (with a cut off 1:50) at even lower frequency than in this study, of 2.66% (13/489) [16]. Previous studies in the urban area had shown higher reactivity of 28.85% [10] and 25.38% [18]. This difference in the presence of anti- *Leptospira* spp. antibodies might be explained by the higher acidity of the soil in rural areas and lower population of reservoirs [16]. In addition, from 13 rats studied in a center of conservation of wild animals in São Paulo [30], all presented seropositivity. To our knowledge this is the first-time wild rodents are surveyed with the MAT for leptospirosis in the studied area, that encompasses conservation areas of great importance to Rio Grande do Sul.

Finally, the antigen collection used for the Microscopic Agglutination Test (MAT) has autochthonous strains of leptospires isolated in Brazil, which improved the diagnosis [33], however most successful isolations occur in frequently studied species such as bovines, dogs and other domestic species. There is a possibility that the small wild mammals studied could host unusual or new serovars other than Grippotyphosa.

We can conclude that *Leptospira* spp. does not seem to be circulating in wild animals in the three regions studied and the frequency of seropositive *Leptospira* spp. in dogs was low.
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**Table 1**

Number of analyzed samples of the animals by municipalities used in the study.

| Species                  | Barra do Quaraí | Cerro Largo | Derrubadas | Total |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|-------|
| Akodon azarae            | 16              | –           | –          | 16    |
| Akodon montensis         | –               | 17          | 64         | 81    |
| Brucetapetoniouls iheringi| –               | –           | 2          | 2     |
| Cavia aperea             | 1               | –           | –          | 1     |
| Cryptomus guayubae       | –               | –           | 1          | 1     |
| Didelphis aileventris    | –               | 3           | –          | 3     |
| Didelphis aurita         | –               | –           | 7          | 7     |
| Euryoryzomys rusatus     | –               | –           | 1          | 1     |
| Oligoryzomys nigripes    | 5               | 1           | 3          | 9     |
| Oxymercapus jukes        | –               | –           | 6          | 6     |
| Sooretamys angouya       | –               | 1           | 3          | 4     |
| Thaptomys nigra          | –               | –           | 1          | 1     |
| Canis familiaris         | 32              | 127         | 33         | 192   |
| Total                    | 54              | 149         | 121        | 324   |
Table 2
Titers found for the serovars of Leptospira spp.

| Dog   | City         | MAT results |
|-------|--------------|-------------|
|       | Aus | Aut | Bra | But | Can | Cyn | Cop | Ict | Gri | Har | Pan | Pom |
| 1     |     | 100 | 100 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |
| 2     |     | 100 | 100 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |
| 3     |     | 100 | 200 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |
| 4     |     | 100 | 400 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |
| 5     |     | 100 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |
| 6     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |
| 7     |     | 100 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |
| 8     | CL  | 3200|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |
| 9     | CL  | 3200|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |
| 10    | CL  |     |     | 100 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |
| 11    |     |     | 400 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |
| 12    |     |     | 100 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |
| 13    |     |     | 400 | 400 |     |     |     |     |     |     | 100 |    |
| 14    | CL  | 200 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |
| 15    | CL  | 200 | 100 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |
| 16    | CL  | 100 | 100 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |
| 17    | CL  | 100 | 100 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |
| 18    | CL  | 400 | 100 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |
| 19    |     | 100 | 100 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |

City: BQ: Barra do Quaraí; CL: Cerro Largo; DB: Derrubadas. Serovar: Aus: Australis; Aut: Autumnalis; Bra: Bratislava; But: Butenroth; Can: Canicola; Cyn: Cynopteri; Cop: Copenhageni; Ict: Icterohaemorrhagiae; Gri: Grippotyphosa; Har: Hardjo; Pan: Panama; Pom: Pomerana.
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