Geometry of Remeltings and Efficiency of the Surface Remelting Process Applied to Cobalt Alloy Castings

M. Mróz*, W. Orłowicz, M. Tupaj

Department of Casting and Welding, Technical University of Rzeszów,
ul. Wincentego Pola 2, 35-959 Rzeszów, Poland
*Corresponding author. E-mail address: mfmroz@prz.edu.pl

Received 28.02.2013; accepted in revised form 08.05.2013

Abstract

The paper summarises results of measurements of remelting area geometry, thermal efficiency and melting efficiency characterising the surface remelting process applied to castings of MAR-M509 cobalt alloy. The remelting process was carried out with the use of GTAW (Gas Tungsten Arc Welding) method in protective atmosphere of helium, at the electric current intensity in the range from 100 A to 300 A, and the electric arc scanning velocity \( v_s \), in the range from 200 mm/min to 800 mm/min. The effect of current intensity and electric arc scanning velocity on geometrical parameters of remeltings, thermal efficiency, and melting efficiency characterising the remelting process has been determined.
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1. Introduction

In the last ten or fifteen years, intensive development in the area of heat resistant and creep resistant materials can be observed. This is true particularly with the reference to the aircraft industry where range of application for such materials is the widest [1, 2].

Expansion of aviation as a means of public transport and haulage of goods, is a result of a large number of scientific studies concerning establishment of an optimum chemical composition, best manufacturing practices, and methods allowing to improve service properties of cobalt alloy castings [3, 4].

One of the promising methods allowing to improve service properties of castings consists in shaping the fine-grained structure of their surface layer. To this end, the casting surface remelting technique can be used. Using for this purpose such high-energy heat sources as laser beam, electron beam, or electric arc plasma stream, allows to remelt the surface of a casting that is followed by rapid solidification. As a result, a refinement of microstructure occurs and improvement of service properties of the castings [5–9].
Surface improvement of castings with the use of concentrated heat stream requires knowledge on the amount of heat intercepted by a casting in the course of being heated up as the parameter has a decisive effect on the remelting area geometry, solidification rate, and microstructure.

The objective of the study was to determine the effect of technological parameters characterising the surface remelting process carried out with the use of GTAW method on geometry of remeltings, thermal efficiency and melting efficiency when applied to MAR-M509 cobalt alloy castings.

2. Material and experimental conditions

Plate castings with dimensions of 200 mm × 50 mm × 16 mm were prepared for the tests. Melts were carried out in the Balzers vacuum furnace. The moulds were prepared with the use of the investment casting method. Chemical composition of the MAR-M-509 alloy included: 0.57% C, 0.001% S, 0.13% Si, 0.04% Mn, 10.31% Ni, 23.10% Cr, 7.10% W, 0.17% Ti, 0.18% Fe, 3.78% Ta, 0.34% Zr, 0.01% B, rest Co.

Plate castings of MAR-M509 alloy were surface-remelted by mean of GTAW method with the use of FALTIG 315 AC/DC welding machine. Electric current intensities \( I = 100, 150, 200, 250, \) and \( 300 \) A were used. The used electric arc scanning velocities were \( v_s = 200, 400, 600, \) and 800 mm/min. Remeltings were carried out in the atmosphere of helium. Tungsten electrode with diameter \( \varnothing = 3.0 \) mm was used, at the electric arc length of 3.0 mm.

Evaluation of the quantity of heat intercepted by the casting specimen was performed with the use of a set-up for calorimetric tests [10].

Thermal efficiency and melting efficiency

Thermal efficiency of the GTAW process \( \eta \) was calculated from the formula:

\[
\eta = \frac{Q_h}{U \cdot I \cdot t}
\]

where \( Q_h \) is the amount of heat intercepted by the heated material determined experimentally with the use of calorimeter (J), \( U \) — electric arc voltage (V), \( I \) — electric current intensity (S), \( t \) — electric arc scanning time (s).

The melting efficiency \( \eta_m \) was calculated from the following formula:

\[
\eta_m = \frac{V_w \cdot Q_H}{Q_h}
\]

where \( V_w \) is the remelting volume (mm³); \( Q_H \) — amount of heat required to heat up a unit volume of the alloy from temperature \( T_0 \) to a temperature \( T_f \) and melt it (J/mm³); \( Q_h \) — the specific heat of fusion (J/g), \( c_p \) — specific heat (J/gK), and \( \rho \) — alloy density (g/cm³).

Geometry of remeltings

Geometry of the obtained surface remeltings was examined on a cross-section perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of remeltings (Fig. 1). Specimens were cut on the metallographic cut-off machine Labotom 3 of Struers brand using Supra TRD 15 cut-off wheel at the linear velocity of the wheel edge displacement of 37.2 m/s. The wheel was advanced with velocity of about 10 mm/min, at several intervals. In the course of cutting-off the specimens, the wheel was intensively cooled with water. Specimen surfaces selected for observations were prepared with abrasive papers with grit size grades of 150, 500, and finally 1000 at the polishing pad rotational velocity of 150 rpm. In the course of specimen preparation, abrasive papers were wetted with a water stream.

\[
Q_H = \rho (Q_i + \int_{T_0}^{T_f} c_p dT)
\]

where \( V_w \) is the remelting volume (mm³); \( Q_H \) — amount of heat required to heat up a unit volume of the alloy from temperature \( T_0 \) to a temperature \( T_f \) and melt it (J/mm³); \( Q_i \) — the specific heat of fusion (J/g), \( c_p \) — specific heat (J/gK), and \( \rho \) — alloy density (g/cm³).

3. Conclusions

Based on the obtained test results it was found that with increasing electric current intensity and decreasing electric arc scanning velocity, both width and depth of surface remeltings increase. The largest width \( W = 17.8 \) mm and
depth $h = 3.2$ mm was obtained at the electric current intensity $I = 300$ A and scanning velocity $v_S = 200$ mm/min. The smallest width $w = 3.5$ mm and depth $h = 0.7$ mm of remelting was obtained for the electric current intensity $I = 100$ A and scanning velocity $v_S = 800$ mm/min.

Table 1.
Results of measurements of remelting geometry (width and depth) and calculations of thermal efficiency and melting efficiency characterising the process of surface remelting process applied to castings of MAR-M509 cobalt alloy

| No. | Current intensity $I$ (A) | Scanning velocity $v_S$ (mm/min) | Voltage $U$ (V) | Remelting geometry width $w$ (mm) | depth $h$ (mm) | thermal efficiency $\eta$ | Efficiency of fusion $\eta_m$ |
|-----|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1   | 100                       | 18.0                             | 6.0            | 1.2                              | 0.48          | 0.02                     |                            |
| 2   | 150                       | 18.5                             | 8.2            | 1.8                              | 0.42          | 0.03                     |                            |
| 3   | 200                       | 19.0                             | 10.6           | 2.3                              | 0.38          | 0.04                     |                            |
| 4   | 250                       | 19.5                             | 13.4           | 2.7                              | 0.36          | 0.04                     |                            |
| 5   | 300                       | 20.0                             | 17.8           | 3.2                              | 0.34          | 0.05                     |                            |
| 6   | 100                       | 18.0                             | 4.6            | 1.1                              | 0.37          | 0.04                     |                            |
| 7   | 150                       | 18.5                             | 6.3            | 1.6                              | 0.32          | 0.06                     |                            |
| 8   | 200                       | 19.0                             | 8.3            | 2.1                              | 0.29          | 0.08                     |                            |
| 9   | 250                       | 19.5                             | 10.3           | 2.5                              | 0.27          | 0.11                     |                            |
| 10  | 300                       | 20.0                             | 13.2           | 3.0                              | 0.26          | 0.12                     |                            |
| 11  | 100                       | 18.0                             | 3.9            | 0.9                              | 0.31          | 0.08                     |                            |
| 12  | 150                       | 18.5                             | 5.7            | 1.4                              | 0.25          | 0.11                     |                            |
| 13  | 200                       | 19.0                             | 7.1            | 1.9                              | 0.23          | 0.15                     |                            |
| 14  | 250                       | 19.5                             | 9.4            | 2.3                              | 0.21          | 0.19                     |                            |
| 15  | 300                       | 20.0                             | 11.4           | 2.8                              | 0.19          | 0.23                     |                            |
| 16  | 100                       | 18.0                             | 3.5            | 0.7                              | 0.24          | 0.12                     |                            |
| 17  | 150                       | 18.5                             | 4.9            | 1.0                              | 0.19          | 0.18                     |                            |
| 18  | 200                       | 19.0                             | 6.2            | 1.5                              | 0.17          | 0.25                     |                            |
| 19  | 250                       | 19.5                             | 7.5            | 1.9                              | 0.16          | 0.33                     |                            |
| 20  | 300                       | 20.0                             | 8.5            | 2.3                              | 0.15          | 0.41                     |                            |

In the adopted range of the GTAW process parameters, the remelting width is more sensitive to the current intensity changes than to variation of the electric arc scanning velocity.

Any change in technological parameters characterising the surface remelting technique applied to MAR-M509 alloy castings results in significant differences in thermal efficiency and melting efficiency of the process. Higher current intensities and lower electric arc scanning velocities result in increased amount of heat generated in the electric arc. Accordingly, the amount of heat absorbed by the warmed-up casting also increases. The rate of increase of the heat amount intercepted by the casting related to increase of the current intensity is lower than the respective rate of increase of heat generated in electric arc. The effect is a reduction of the thermal efficiency.

The increase of the current intensity and the electric arc scanning velocity results in increased melting efficiency. Higher current intensity means higher energy of the electric energy, and higher scanning speed shortens duration of the remelting process and therefore thermal losses related to heating the specimen up to temperature just below the melting temperature are less.

The obtained results allowed to determine relationships between the thermal efficiency, melting efficiency, and geometrical parameters of remeltings on one hand and technological parameters of the remelting process on the other.

The relationship between the thermal efficiency on one hand and the current intensity and the electric arc scanning speed on the other is described by formula:

$$
\eta = 0.0006 \cdot I - 0.0004 \cdot v_S + 0.57
$$

Statistical parameters of the equation: $R = 0.98; R^2 = 0.96; F = 242.1; \Delta \eta = 0.018; \alpha = 0.05$.

The relationship between the melting efficiency on one hand and the current intensity and the electric arc scanning speed on the other is described by formula:

$$
\eta_m = 0.0007 \cdot I + 0.0004 \cdot v_S - 0.19
$$

Statistical parameters of the equation: $R = 0.92; R^2 = 0.86; F = 53.5; \Delta \eta_m = 0.041; \alpha = 0.05$.

The relationship between the remelting width on one hand and the current intensity and the electric arc scanning speed on the other is described by formula:
\[ w = 0.04 \cdot I - 0.008 \cdot v_s + 4.28 \]  
(5)

Statistical parameters of the equation: \( R = 0.96; \ R^2 = 0.92; \)
\( F = 103.1; \Delta w = 1.05 \text{ mm}; \alpha = 0.05. \)

The relationship between the remelting depth on one hand and the current intensity and the electric arc scanning speed on the other is described by formula:

\[ h = 0.009 \cdot I - 0.0013 \cdot v_s + 0.69 \]  
(6)

Statistical parameters of the equation: \( R = 0.99; \ R^2 = 0.98; \)
\( F = 730.4; \Delta h = 0.08; \alpha = 0.05. \)

The obtained formulas, characterised with high values of statistic coefficients, can be used effectively in industrial practice for assessment of thermal efficiency and efficiency of fusion in the surface remelting process applied to castings of MAR-M509 alloy and geometry of the obtained remelting patterns based on technological parameters of the surface remelting process carried out by means of the GTAW method.

Acknowledgements

The study was financed from funds of the contract No. U-8635/DS

References

[1] Seybold, A. U. (1968). Contribution on the study of Hot-Corrosion. Transactions of the Metallurgical Society of AIME. 242, 1955 – 1961.

[2] Sims, Ch. T. (1972). Cobalt base alloys. Monografia. The Superalloys, J. Willey and Sons, New York, London, Sydney, Toronto, 145-17.

[3] Dedekind, M.O. & Harris, L.E. (1996). Evaluation of premature failure of a gas turbine component. International Journal Pres. Ves. & Piping. 66, 59-76.

[4] Eliaz, N., Shemesh, G., Latanision, R.M. (2002). Hot corrosion in gas turbine components. Engineering Failure Analysis. 9, 31-43.

[5] Orłowicz, A., Trytek, A. (2005). Use of the GTAW method for surface hardening of cast iron. Welding International. 19(5), 341-348.

[6] Orłowicz, A., Trytek, A., Shevelya, V. (2008). Powierzchnio wprzezczmienie czuguna elektrudugowej plazmy. Oficyna Wydawnicza Politechniki Rzeszowskiej. Kiev -Khielnicki.

[7] Szajnar, J., Wróbel, P. & Wróbel, T. (2008). Application of welding technology TIG to cast iron repair. Archives of Foundry Engineering. 8(1), 317-320.

[8] Szykowny, T. & Ciechacki, K. (2006). Struktura i twardość warstw przetopionych metodą GTAW na żeliwie sferydialnym. Archiwum Odlewnictwa. 6(2), 195-200.

[9] Dudek, A. & Balaga, Z. (2009). Residual stress state in titanium alloy remelted using GTAW method. Archives of Foundry Engineering. 9(2), 193-196.

[10] Orłowicz, W., Mróz, M., Betlej, J., Płoszaj, F., Tupaj, M., Trytek, A. (2012). Kalorymetryczny pomiar cieplny w procesach spajania. Patent nr 211283.