Molecular Diagnosis of Tuberculosis
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Tuberculosis (TB) is one of the leading causes of adult death in the Asia-Pacific Region, including Indonesia. As an infectious disease caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB), TB remains a major public health issue especially in developing nations due to the lack of adequate diagnostic testing facilities. Diagnosis of TB has entered an era of molecular detection that provides faster and more cost-effective methods to diagnose and confirm drug resistance in TB cases, meanwhile, diagnosis by conventional culture systems requires several weeks. New advances in the molecular detection of TB, including the faster and simpler nucleic acid amplification test (NAAT) and whole-genome sequencing (WGS), have resulted in a shorter time for diagnosis and, therefore, faster TB treatments. In this review, we explored the current findings on molecular diagnosis of TB and drug-resistant TB to see how this advancement could be integrated into public health systems in order to control TB.
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INTRODUCTION

In the Global Tuberculosis Report 2014 published by the World Health Organization (WHO), among the 249,866,000 Indonesian people, it was estimated that the epidemiological burden of tuberculosis (TB) per 100,000 populations was: 272 of prevalence (range 138-450); 183 of incidence (range 164-207); and 64 of mortality (range 14-37).1 Recently, a genotyping study of Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB) was performed in Indonesia to reveal the TB molecular epidemiology and showed a high genetic diversity that varied by geographical aspects and found that the Beijing strain was the predominant strain in Indonesia.2

Fast and accurate detection of the pathogen and its drug susceptibility patterns is crucial for treatment initiation and disease control.3 New techniques are available to optimize the culture medium including optimization of the culture system; early growth detection; strict control of oxygen tension; and the utilization of matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) for identification.4 Advancements in molecular methods for MTB detection has shortened the time to diagnosis to a few days, whereas diagnosis by conventional culture systems needs several weeks.5,6 The majority of molecular tests have been aimed at the detection of MTB specific nucleic acids, both in DNA and RNA, by using amplification techniques such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and detection of genes mutation that are related with the resistance to anti-TB drugs by sequencing or nucleic acid hybridization.7 Moreover, the WHO has announced the need for diagnostic options that are a sputum-based replacement test for smear microscopy, a non-sputum-based biomarker test that is resource-adjusted at facilities below microscopy laboratories, a simple initial test for first-contact care providers as a rule-out test, and a fast drug sensitivity test at the microscopy laboratory level.8 In middle- and high-income countries, development continued with innovations in microscopy (for example, light emitting diode [LED] microscopes), MTB culture systems (for example, rapid automated liquid culture sys-
Systems, like the Becton Dickinson MGIT 960), nucleic acid amplification systems line probe assays and automated systems, such as the Cepheid Xpert® MTB/RIF system (Cepheid, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA, USA).\(^9\) In the next section, we will discuss the role of the nucleic acid amplification test (NAAT) and whole-genome sequencing (WGS) in the diagnosis of TB, specimens transport medium technology, mycobacterial load detection assays, serological diagnosis of active TB, and molecular diagnostic of multi-drug resistant TB (MDR-TB).

NUCLEIC ACID AMPLIFICATION TEST (NAAT)

Because the conventional bacteriological diagnosis of TB has several limitations, the NAAT has emerged as a potential alternative.\(^10\) The NAAT systems, with rapid turn-around times, facilitate testing and treatment initiation in the same visit and, therefore, loss to follow-up cases can be reduced.\(^11\) Most NAAT assays detect the mycobacterial insertion element IS6110 for the identification of the MTB complex organisms.\(^12\) NAAT detects MTB ribosomal RNA or DNA directly from sputum specimens, both the acid-fast bacilli (AFB) smear-positive and AFB smear-negative.\(^13\) The NAAT showed very high sensitivity in sputum smear-positive patients and around 61 to 76% sensitivity in patients with smear-negative sputum.\(^11\) Currently, the NAAT that is endorsed by the WHO is the Xpert/RIF MTB assay.\(^14\) The other two NAATs, the Amplified Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Direct (MTD) Test (Gen-Probe, Inc),\(^15-17\) and Amplicor Mycobacterium tuberculosis Test (Roche Molecular Systems, Inc),\(^18-20\) have also been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for testing respiratory AFB smear-positive specimens.\(^21\) Other commercial NAATs are also available, including the loop-mediated isothermal amplification-based MTB detection system,\(^22-24\) the cross-priming amplification-based TB diagnostic system,\(^25,26\) and the Genedrive® Mycobacterium tuberculosis iD®.\(^27\)

In multi-bacillary diseases with a high mycobacterial load, a positive AFB smear with a positive NAAT would indicate active tuberculosis whereas a positive AFB smear with a negative NAAT in the absence of inhibitors would indicate nontuberculous mycobacterial (NTM) disease.\(^28\) If the culture was positive in the above case, the physician could consider the patient as a bacteriologically confirmed case of TB.\(^1\) A NAAT could determine whether AFB smear-positive patients had TB or not.\(^29\) Moreover, if the NAAT result is positive but the AFB smear result is negative, the decision to begin anti-TB treatment would rely on the clinical judgment while awaiting culture results.\(^30\) According to Centers for Disease Control (CDC), if the sputum is smear-negative and the NAAT also negative, an additional specimen should be tested with NAAT.\(^31\) However, if the culture results detected MTB bacteria growth, then the patient could also be classified as bacteriologically confirmed for having pulmonary TB. Finally, if the AFB smear, the NAAT, and the MTB culture showed negative results, the physician could classify the patients as a clinically diagnosed case of TB or consider another diagnosis. Fig. 1 described the algorithm of the NAAT in the diagnosis of TB that is in line with the recommendation of both the WHO and the CDC.

In locations where the rate of cultures positive for TB is low, it might be more efficient to limit the NAAT to cases with positive smear results; on the other hand, in locations where TB cases are high, a NAAT should be used in cases with negative smear results.\(^32\) A meta-analysis that included 125 studies showed that a commercial NAAT alone should not replace conventional tests for diagnosing pulmonary TB.\(^33\)

XPERT MTB/RIF ASSAY

The Xpert MTB/RIF assay is a nucleic acid amplification-based test using a cartridge based on the GeneXpert Instrument System.\(^34\) The basis of the Xpert MTB/RIF as-
say is a real-time PCR that can be used to detect DNA sequences specific to the MTB in sputum samples.\textsuperscript{35} A single Xpert MTB/RIF test directly from sputum can detect 99\% of smear-positive patients and more than 80\% of smear-negative cases.\textsuperscript{36} According to the WHO in 2013, a Xpert MTB/RIF assay could be used for: an add-on test following microscopic TB examination; a replacement examination for AFB smear microscopy; detection of MTB in both AFB smear-positive and smear-negative culture-positive cases; detection of MTB in pleural in pleural fluid; detection of MTB in lymph node in samples from biopsy or fine-needle aspiration; detection of MTB in gastric fluid; detection of MTB in samples of cerebrospinal fluid; and detection of MTB in tissue samples.\textsuperscript{14} In 2014, the WHO stated that the Xpert MTB/RIF assay could be used as the initial diagnostic test in all subjects suspected on having pulmonary TB.\textsuperscript{37}

The Xpert MTB/RIF assay detects rifampicin resistance by PCR amplification of the 81-bp fragment of the MTB \textit{rpoB} gene and subsequent probing of this region for rifampicin resistant-associated mutations and the results can be obtained within 2 hours.\textsuperscript{38,39} The WHO recommends subjects who are at high risk of MDR-TB should always have their sputum checked using the Xpert MTB/RIF test.\textsuperscript{40} The Xpert MTB/RIF can be used as an initial test and as an add-on test after a negative AFB smear microscopy result.\textsuperscript{41} The use of Xpert MTB/RIF test has shortened the median time to treatment for AFB smear-negative TB from 56 days (range 39-81 days) to 5 days (range 2-8 days).\textsuperscript{42} However, Xpert MTB is more expensive than conventional sputum microscopy.\textsuperscript{43} Nevertheless, in one analysis, if a rapid sputum-based test unit cost is of US$ 2-4, it would be lower to a similar cost of the conventional sputum smear microscopy.\textsuperscript{44} In order to facilitate access, the Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics (FIND) has negotiated significant price reductions.\textsuperscript{45} Nevertheless, implementation of Xpert MTB/RIF would not be able to improve the control of drug-sensitive TB without improvements to the health system, especially as to reducing the initial loss to follow-up and reducing the time to treatment initiation.\textsuperscript{46}

**WHOLE-GENOME SEQUENCING (WGS)**

Microbial genomics has allow us to investigate the organisms genetic markers that may impact treatment and infection prognosis.\textsuperscript{47} Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) is becoming an affordable and accessible method that can identify microevolution within MTB lineages as they are transmitted between hosts.\textsuperscript{36} Fig. 2 describes the workflow of WGS from specimen input until clinical diagnostic report. There are two classes of sequencers that exist: the first generation sequencer and the second generation (widely known as the next-generation sequencer [NGS]). The first generation sequencer is relatively slow, but has a high throughput and low cost (approximately $65 per bacterial genome). The second generation has a lower throughput, higher cost (approximately $150 per genome in the case of the Illumina\textsuperscript{38} MiSeq) and is able to sequence multiple genomes in less than a day.\textsuperscript{49} Table 1 describes the principles of the first generation sequencer and the next-generation sequencer.\textsuperscript{50-53}

The WGS can detect various types of mutations better than the Xpert MTB assay. Moreover, WGS could avoid false positives when a polymorphism in the rifampicin-re-
TABLE 1. Principles of first generation and next generation sequencer

| Principles and features | First generation sequencer | Next-generation sequencer |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| This first generation of DNA sequencers are essentially automated electrophoresis systems that detect the migration of labelled DNA fragments. | Rapid generation of data by sequencing massive amounts of DNA in parallel using diverse methodologies. |
| Capable of genotyping of genetic markers where only the length of a DNA fragment(s) needs to be determined. | Constitute various strategies that rely on a combination of template preparation, sequencing and imaging, and genome alignment and assembly methods. |

Sputum samples processing at a central diagnostic laboratory requires an efficient and safe system that is not compromised by potential prolonged transport times. Generally, a prolongation of sample storage results in reduced positivity of the target. Therefore, transport medium technology plays an important role in the chain of TB diagnosis. The transport medium system consists of an MTB inactivation step and a DNA stabilization step with the goal to preserve the DNA quality and, at the same time, obtain high DNA output. Some of the known transport technologies are the PrimeStoreⓇ-Molecular Transport Medium (PS-MTM) and cetylpyridinium chloride (CPC). PS-MTM inactivates the MTB within the specimens and stabilizes both the DNA/RNA at an ambient temperature for further molecular processing and analysis. As for the Xpert MTB assay, incubation of sputum with a sample reagent (SR) could maintain the sputum quality up to 72 h without a further decrease in MTB detection.

MYCOBACTERIAL LOAD DETECTION ASSAY

Quantification of the bacillary load has an important prognostic role in TB patients. There are several assays that can be used to quantify mycobacterial load, such as the BD ProbeTec system, and AdvanSure TB/NTM real-time PCR kit. Lee and colleagues evaluated the quantitative capability of the AdvanSure TB/NTM real-time PCR kit (LG Life Science, Korea) to determine the cycle threshold (Ct) for the mycobacterial burden. A cut-off Ct value of < 33.2 best-predicted stain positivity, with a sensitivity of 95.0% and a specificity of 32.0%. These findings suggest the potential use of AdvanSure TB/NTM real-time PCR kits for quantitatively determining mycobacterial burden. AdvanSure TB/NTM real-time PCR could be used to detect Mycobacterium and distinguish whether it is MTB or the NTM because it used the IS6110 primer for the detection of MTB complex and rpoB gene specific primer and probe for the detection of NTM. However, this assay does not determine if there are viable Mycobacterium organisms that truly exist in the specimen.

SEROLOGICAL DIAGNOSIS OF ACTIVE TB

Serological tests for TB are widely used in developing countries. In one study conducted in Indonesia, Senosaputra and colleagues found that plasma levels of anti-α-crystallin (ACR), anti-lipoarabinomannan, anti-trehalose 6,6’-dimycolate, and anti-tubercular-glycolipid antigen antibodies were higher in active TB patients compared to those in the latent TB infection (LTBI) and control subjects. In another study, diverse IgG antibody responsiveness was demonstrated against five lipid antigens: cord factor (trehalose 6,6’-dimycolate) (TDM-T), monosacetyl phosphatidylinositol dimannoside (Ac-PIM2), trehalose monomycolate isolated from Mycobacterium bovis Bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) (TMM-T), trehalose monomycolate (TMM-M), and GPL-core from Mycobacterium avium complex (MAC). However, a systematic review of the diagnostic accuracy of serological tests suggest that these tests are inaccurate and imprecise for both pulmonary and extra-pulmonary TB. The serological assay of TB is limited in determining whether a person has had a previous TB infection, therefore it cannot inform whether the person has an active TB infection. Moreover, the WHO strongly recommends that serological commercial tests should not be used for the diagnosis of clinical pulmonary and extra-pulmonary TB.
**Molecular Diagnostic of Multidrug Resistance Tuberculosis**

The increasing incidence of drug-resistant, MDR (resistant to at least rifampicin and isoniazid), and extensively drug-resistant (XDR) (additionally resistant to a fluoroquinolone and kanamycin/amikacin/capreomycin) strains of MTB have been implicated as a major threat to TB control.\(^{77}\) Detection of drug resistance to both first- and second-line anti-TB drugs is a key component of TB control programs.\(^{78}\) Knowledge of the full drug susceptibility profile would enable customized treatment to increase efficacy and avoid exposure to ineffective toxic drugs.\(^{79}\) Conventional phenotypic DST requires a minimum of 2 weeks: one week for the initial detection of microbial growth and another week to assess critical concentrations of first-line anti-TB drugs.\(^{80}\) Obviously, there is an urgent demand for new, rapid, and accurate DST methods.\(^{81}\) A large, multinational field trial, to compare the performance of the Line Probe Assay (LPA), Pyrosequencing\(^{®}\) (PSQ), and Microscopic Observation of Drug Susceptibility (MODS) and compared to the BACTEC MGIT960 as reference standard to detect MDR/XDR-TB directly from patient sputum samples was performed, and the results indicated that mean time-to-result was 1.1 days for LPA and PSQ, 14.3 days for MODS, and 24.7 days for MGIT.\(^{82}\)

LPAs are available in several methods, such as the AID LPA (AID Diagnostika, Germany),\(^{83}\) the Hain LPA (Hain Lifescience, Germany),\(^{84}\) the INNO LPA (Innogenetics, Belgium),\(^{85}\) the GenoType MTBDR\(_{plus}\),\(^{86,87}\) and the GenoType MTBDRsl.\(^{88}\) The INNO LPA and GenoType MTBDR\(_{plus}\) have been assessed and validated by the WHO in their Expert Group Report.\(^{89}\) The AID LPA was designed to detect the most prevalent mutations that confer resistance to rifampin, isoniazid, streptomycin, capreomycin, amikacin, ethambutol, and fluoroquinolones.\(^{90}\) Meanwhile, a comparison study suggested that MTBDR\(_{plus}\) LPA was superior compared to Xpert MTB/RIF in the detection of rifampin-monoresistant MTB in terms of sensitivity.\(^{91}\) Table 2 summarizes the molecular diagnostic of mono-resistant and MDR-TB detection.\(^{77,92-103}\) Based on the list, different methods could explore different resistance-associated mutations, therefore variation of methods allows us to detect various resistances.

The PSQ method consists of seven PSQ assays for the mutations detection of the genes or promoter regions, which are commonly responsible for resistance to the first-line and second line drugs.\(^{84,104}\) In one study, the correlations between the PSQ results and the phenotypic DST results were 98.7% for rifampin, 94.3% for isoniazid, 99.2% for capreomycin, 99.2% for amikacin, 96.4% for kanamycin, and 97.6% for fluoroquinolones (levofloxacin, ofloxacin, or moxifloxacin).\(^{105}\)

**Table 2. Molecular diagnostic of mono-resistant and MDR-TB detection**

| Methods | Purpose | Reference |
|---------|---------|-----------|
| High-resolution melting curve analysis | Rifampicin resistance | 92 |
| Sloppy molecular beacon melting temperature coding | Rifampicin resistance | 93 |
| Nitrate reductase assay | Rifampicin and isoniazid resistance | 94-96 |
| Colorimetric redox-indicator methods | Rifampicin and isoniazid resistance | 97, 98 |
| PCR-single-strand conformational polymorphism (SSCP) method | Rifampicin resistance | 77 |
| Multi-PCR-SSCP method | Rifampicin and isoniazid resistance | 99 |
| VerePLEX Biosystem | Rifampicin and isoniazid resistance | 100 |
| Mycobacterial IDentification and Drug Resistance Screen (MID-DRS) assay | Rifampicin, isoniazid, and pyrazinamide resistance | 101 |
| Multiplex allele-specific PCR assay (MAS-PCR) | Rifampicin resistance | 102 |
| Direct DNA sequencing analysis | Rifampicin, isoniazid, pyrazinamide, and ethambutol | 103 |

**CONCLUSIONS**

More rapid and appropriate TB detection and treatment
leads to reduced hospitalization and complications, as well as avoiding unnecessary treatment and isolation of false-positive cases.\textsuperscript{113} The molecular diagnostic testing for active pulmonary TB is promising for the future and should be encouraged in a way that could improve population health.\textsuperscript{114} Consideration is given to the requirements of future diagnostic tests and how these should be evaluated for their diagnostic accuracy and operational feasibility, and ultimately their clinical impact.\textsuperscript{115}

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

None declared.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2014. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014.
2. Lisdawati V, Puspandari N, Rif'atî L, Soekarno T, M M, K S, et al. Molecular epidemiology study of Mycobacterium tuberculosis and its susceptibility to anti-tuberculosis drugs in Indonesia. BMC Infect Dis 2015;15:366.
3. Engström A. Fighting an old disease with modern tools: characteristics and molecular detection methods of drug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Infect Dis (Lond) 2016;48:1-17.
4. Ghodbane R, Raoult D, Drancourt M. Dramatic reduction of culture time of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Sci Rep 2014;4:4236.
5. Cho WH, Won EJ, Choi HJ, Kee SJ, Shin JH, Ryang DW, et al. Comparison of AdvanSure TB/NTM PCR and COBAS TaqMan MTB PCR for detection of mycobacterium tuberculosis complex in routine clinical practice. Ann Lab Med 2015;35:356-61.
6. Huggett JF, McHugh TD, Zumla A. Tuberculosis: amplification-based clinical diagnostic techniques. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2003;35:1407-12.
7. Balasingham SV, Davidsen T, Szpinda I, Frye SA, Tønjum T. Molecular diagnostics in tuberculosis: basis and implications for therapy. Mol Diagn Ther 2009;13:137-51.
8. Denkinger CM, Kik SV, Cirillo DM, Casenghi M, Shinnick T, Weyer K, et al. Defining the needs for next generation assays for tuberculosis. Infect Dis 2015;211 Suppl 2:29:38.
9. Drobniewski F, Nikolayevskyy V, Maxeiner H, Balabanova Y, Casali N, Kontsevaya I, et al. Rapid diagnostics of tuberculosis and drug resistance in the industrialized world: clinical and public health benefits and barriers to implementation. BMC Med 2013;11:190.
10. Qin L, Zheng B, Fan C, Cai J, Liu Z, Wang J, et al. Identification and evaluation of a new nucleic acid amplification test target for specific detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Clin Chem Lab Med 2010;48:1501-5.
11. Niemi A, Boyle DS. Nucleic acid testing for tuberculosis at the point-of-care in high-burden countries. Expert Rev Mol Diagn 2012;12:687-701.
12. Tiwari S, Nataraj G, Kanade S, Mehta P. Diagnosis of pediatric pulmonary tuberculosis with special reference to polymerase chain reaction based nucleic acid amplification test. Int J Mycobacteriol 2015;4:48-53.
13. Sia IG, Wieland ML. Current concepts in the management of tuberculosis. Mayo Clin Proc 2011;86:348-61.
14. WHO Global TB Programme. Automated real-time nucleic acid amplification technology for rapid and simultaneous detection of tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance: xpert MTB/RIF assay for the diagnosis of pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB in adults and children. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013.
15. Coll P, Garrigó M, Moreno C, Martí N. Routine use of Gen-Probe Amplified Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Direct (MTD) test for detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis with smear-positive and smear-negative specimens. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2003;7:886-91.
16. Kunduracuoglu A, Karasu I, Biçmen C, Ozsöz A, Erbaycu AE. Comparison of the performances of MTD-Gene-Probe® test, BACTEC 960TM system and Löwenstein-Jensen culture methods in the diagnosis of smear-negative tuberculosis cases. Mikrobiyol Bul 2013;47:417-31.
17. Papaventasis D, Ioannidis P, Karabela S, Nikolao S, Syridou G, Marinou I, et al. Impact of the Gen-Probe Amplified MTD® Test on tuberculosis diagnosis in children. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2012;16:384-90.
18. Carpentier E, Drouillard B, Dailloux M, Moinard D, Vallee E, Dutilh B, et al. Diagnosis of tuberculosis by Amplicor Mycobacterium tuberculosis test: a multicenter study. J Clin Microbiol 1995;33:3106-10.
19. Bergmann JS, Woods GL. Clinical evaluation of the Roche AMPLICOR PCR Mycobacterium tuberculosis test for detection of M. tuberculosis in respiratory specimens. J Clin Microbiol 1996;34:1083-5.
20. Devalois A, Legrand E, Rastogi N. Evaluation of Amplicor MTB test as adjunct to smears and culture for direct detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in the French Caribbean. J Clin Microbiol 1996;34:1065-8.
21. Woods GL. Molecular methods in the detection and identification of mycobacterial infections. Arch Pathol Lab Med 1999;123:1002-6.
22. Iwamoto T, Sonobe T, Hayashi K. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification for direct detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex, M. avium, and M. intracellulare in sputum samples. J Clin Microbiol 2003;41:2616-22.
23. Neonakis IK, Spandidas DA, Petinaki E. Use of loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA for the rapid detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in clinical specimens. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2011;30:937-42.
24. Mitarai S, Okumura M, Toyota E, Yoshiyama T, Aono A, Sejimo A, et al. Evaluation of a simple loop-mediated isothermal amplification test kit for the diagnosis of tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2011;15:1211-7.
25. Fang R, Li X, Hu L, You Q, Li J, Wu J, et al. Cross-priming amplification for rapid detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in spumon specimens. J Clin Microbiol 2009;47:845-7.
26. Ou X, Song Y, Zhao B, Li Q, Xia H, Zhou Y, et al. A multicenter study of cross-priming amplification for tuberculosis diagnosis at peripheral level in China. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 2014;94:428-33.
27. Castan P, de Pablo A, Fernández-Romero N, Rubio JM, Cobb BD, Mingorance J, et al. Point-of-care system for detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis and rifampin resistance in spumon.
samples. J Clin Microbiol 2014;52:502-7.
28. Cheng VC, Yew WW, Yuen KY. Molecular diagnostics in tuberculosis. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2005;24:711-20.
29. Laraque F, Grigg A, Slopen M, Munns SJ. Performance of nucleic acid amplification tests for diagnosis of tuberculosis in a large urban setting. Clin Infect Dis 2009;49:46-54.
30. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Updated guidelines for the use of nucleic acid amplification tests in the diagnosis of tuberculosis. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2009;58:7-10.
31. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Update: Nucleic acid amplification tests for tuberculosis. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2000;49:593-4.
32. Dylewski J. Nucleic Acid amplification testing for the diagnosis of tuberculosis: not for all. Clin Infect Dis 2009;49:1456-7; author reply 1457.
33. Ling DI, Flores LL, Riley LW, Pai M. Commercial nucleic-acid amplification tests for diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis in respiratory specimens: meta-analysis and meta-regression. PLoS One 2008;3:e1536.
34. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Availability of an assay for detecting Mycobacterium tuberculosis, including rifampin-resistant strains, and considerations for its use - United States, 2013. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2013;62:821-7.
35. Friedrich SO, Rachow A, Saathoff E, Singh K, Mangu CD, Dawson R, et al. Assessment of the sensitivity and specificity of Xpert MTB/RIF assay as an early sputum biomarker of response to tuberculosis treatment. Lancet Respir Med 2013;1:462-70.
36. World Health Organization. Automated real-time nucleic acid amplification technology for rapid and simultaneous detection of tuberculosis and rifampin resistance: Xpert MTB/RIF system. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2011.
37. WHO Global TB Programme. Xpert MTB/RIF implementation manual: technical and operational 'how-to': practical considerations. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014.
38. Zeka AN, Tasbakan S, Cavusoglu C. Evaluation of the GeneXpert MTB/RIF assay for rapid diagnosis of tuberculosis and detection of rifampin resistance in pulmonary and extrapulmonary specimens. J Clin Microbiol 2011;49:4138-41.
39. Boehme CC, Nabeta P, Hillemann D, Nicol MP, Shenai S, Krapp F, et al. Rapid molecular detection of tuberculosis and rifampin resistance. N Engl J Med 2010;363:1005-15.
40. World Health Organization. Systematic screening for active tuberculosis: principles and recommendations. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013.
41. Steingart KR, Schiller I, Horne DJ, Pai M, Boehme CC, Dowdell K. Xpert® MTB/RIF assay for pulmonary tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013;(1):CD009593.
42. Boehme CC, Nicol MP, Nabeta P, Michael JS, Gotuzzo E, Tahiri R, et al. Feasibility, diagnostic accuracy, and effectiveness of decentralised use of the Xpert MTB/RIF test for diagnosis of tuberculosis and multidrug resistance: a multicentre implementation study. Lancet 2011;377:1495-505.
43. Steingart KR, Sohn H, Schiller I, Kloda LA, Boehme CC, Pai M, et al. Xpert® MTB/RIF assay for pulmonary tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance detected by Cepheid Xpert

MTB/RIF assay. J Clin Microbiol 2015;53:1752-3.
61. Takiff HE, Feo O. Clinical value of whole-genome sequencing of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Lancet Infect Dis 2015;15:1077-90.
62. Hassan MR, Tan R, Al-Rawahi GN, Thomas E, Tilley P. Short-term stability of pathogen-specific nucleic acid targets in clinical samples. J Clin Microbiol 2012;50:4147-50.
63. Omar SV, Peters RP, Ismail NA, Dreyer AW, Said HM, Gwala T, et al. Laboratory evaluation of a specimen transport medium for downstream molecular processing of sputum samples to detect Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Microbiol Methods 2015;117:57-63.
64. Daum LT, Peters RP, Fourie PB, Jonkman K, Worthy SA, Rodriguez JD, et al. Molecular detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis from sputum transported in PrimeStore® from rural settings. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2015;19:552-7.
65. Das D, Dwibedi B, Kar SK. Transportation of sputum samples in cetylpyridinium chloride for drug resistance studies from remote areas of Odisha, India. J Infect Dev Ctries 2014;8:1059-62.
66. Banada PP, Sivasubramanoki SM, Blakemore E, Boehme C, Perkins MD, Fennelly K, et al. Containment of bioaerosol infection risk by the Xpert MTB/RIF assay and its applicability to point-of-care settings. J Clin Microbiol 2010;48:3551-7.
67. Alnimr AM, Hassan MI. Potential of two nucleic acid amplification assays for quantifying mycobacterial load in respiratory and non-respiratory specimens: a prospective study. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2014;78:237-41.
68. Wang JY, Lee LN, Lai HC, Hsu HL, Jan IS, Yu CJ, et al. Performance assessment of the Capilia TB assay and the BD ProbeTec ET system for rapid culture confirmation of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2007;59:395-9.
69. Kim YJ, Park MY, Kim SY, Cho SA, Hwang SH, Kim HH, et al. Evaluation of the performances of AdvanSure TB/NTM real time PCR kit for detection of mycobacteria in respiratory specimens. Korean J Lab Med 2008;28:34-8.
70. Lee H, Park KG, Lee G, Park YG, Park YJ. Assessment of the quantitative ability of AdvanSure TB/NTM real-time PCR in respiratory specimens by comparison with phenotypic methods. Ann Lab Med 2014;34:51-5.
71. Choe W, Kim E, Park SY, Chae JD. Performance evaluation of anyplex plus MTB/NTM and AdvanSure TB/NTM for the detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis and nontuberculous mycobacteria. Ann Clin Microbiol 2015;18:44-51.
72. Steingart KR, Flores LL, Dendukuri N, Schiller I, Laal S, Ramsay A, et al. Commercial serological tests for the diagnosis of active pulmonary and extrapulmonary tuberculosis: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Med 2011;8:e1001062.
73. Senoputra MA, Shiratori B, Hasibuan GN, Thomas E, Tilley P. Short-term stability of pathogen-specific nucleic acid targets in clinical samples. J Clin Microbiol 2012;50:4147-50.
74. Fujita Y, Doi T, Maekura R, Ito M, Yano I. Differences in serological responses to specific glycopeptidolipid-core and common lipid antigens in patients with pulmonary disease due to Mycobacterium tuberculosis and Mycobacterium avium complex. J Med Microbiol 2006;55:189-99.
75. Steingart KR, Ramsay A, Dowdy DW, Pai M. Serological tests for the diagnosis of active tuberculosis: relevance for India. Indian J Med Res 2012;135:695-702.
76. World Health Organization. Tuberculosis serodiagnostic tests policy statement 2011. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2013.
77. Ahmad S, Mokaddas E. Current status and future trends in the diagnosis and treatment of drug-susceptible and multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. J Infect Public Health 2014;7:75-91.
78. Lee SH, Choi HB, Yu SY, Chang UJ, Kim CK, Kim HJ. Detection of first-line anti-tuberculosis drug resistance mutations by allele-specific primer extension on a microsphere-based platform. Ann Lab Med 2015;35:487-93.
79. Coll F, McNerney R, Preston MD, Guerra-Assunção JA, Warny A, Hill-Cawthorne G, et al. Rapid determination of anti-tuberculosis drug resistance from whole-genome sequences. Genome Med 2015;7:51.
80. Outhred AC, Jelfs P, Suliman B, Hill-Cawthorne GA, Crawford AB, Marais BJ, et al. Added value of whole-genome sequencing for management of highly drug-resistant TB. J Antimicrob Chemother 2015;70:1198-202.
81. Xu HB, Jiang RH, Sha W, Li L, Xiao HP. PCR-single-strand conformational polymorphism method for rapid detection of rifampin-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Microbiol 2010;48:3635-40.
82. Catanzaro A, Rodwell TC, Catanzaro DG, Garfein RS, Jackson RL, Seifert M, et al. Performance comparison of three rapid tests for the diagnosis of drug-resistant tuberculosis. PLoS One 2015;10:e0136881.
83. Molina-Moya B, Lacoma A, Prat C, Diaz J, Dudnyk A, Haba L, et al. AID TB resistance line probe assay for rapid detection of resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis in clinical samples. J Infect 2015;70:400-8.
84. Li Q, Dong HY, Pang Y, Xia H, Ou XC, Zhang ZY, et al. Multicenter evaluation of the molecular line probe assay for multidrug resistant mycobacterium tuberculosis detection in China. Biomed Environ Sci 2015;28:464-7.
85. Viveiros M, Leandro C, Rodrigues L, Almeida J, Bettencourt R, Couto I, et al. Direct application of the INNO-LiPA RifTB line-probe assay for rapid identification of Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex strains and detection of rifampin resistance in smear-negative respiratory specimens from an area of high incidence of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43:4880-4.
86. Yadav RN, Singh BK, Sharma SK, Sharma R, Soneja M, Sreenivas V, et al. Comparative evaluation of GenoType MTBDRplus line probe assay with solid culture method in early diagnosis of multidrug resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) at a tertiary care centre in India. PLoS One 2013;8:e72036.
87. Raizada N, Sachdeva KS, Chauhan DS, Malhotra B, Reddy K, Dave PV, et al. A multi-site validation in India of the line probe assay for the rapid diagnosis of multi-drug resistant tuberculosis directly from sputum specimens. PLoS One 2014;9:e88626.
88. Kiet VS, Lan NT, An DD, Dung NH, Hoa DV, van Vinh Chau N, et al. Evaluation of the MTBDRat test for detection of second-line-drug resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Clin Microbiol 2013;51:2781-7.
89. Rodriguez JD, et al. Laboratory evaluation of a specimen transport medium for downstream molecular processing of sputum samples to detect Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Clin Microbiol 2015;117:57-63.
Molecular line probe assays for rapid screening of patients at risk of multi-drug resistant tuberculosis. In: World Health Organization Policy Statement. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2008.

Ritter C, Lucke K, Sirgel FA, Warren RW, van Helden PD, Böttger EC, et al. Evaluation of the AID TB resistance line probe assay for rapid detection of genetic alterations associated with drug resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains. J Clin Microbiol 2014;52:940-6.

Cabibbe AM, Miotto P, Moure R, Alcaide F, Feuerriegel S, Pozzi G, et al. Lab-on-chip-based platform for fast molecular diagnosis of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. J Clin Microbiol 2015;53:3876-80.

Pérez-Osorio AC, Boyle DS, Ingham ZK, Ostash A, Gautom RR, Colombel C, et al. Rapid identification of mycobacteria and drug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis by use of a single multiplex PCR and DNA sequencing. J Clin Microbiol 2012;50:326-36.

Chia BS, Lanzas F, Rifat D, Herrera A, Kim EY, Sailer C, et al. Use of multiplex allele-specific polymerase chain reaction (MAS-PCR) to detect multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in Panama. PLoS One 2012;7:e40456.

Choi JH, Lee KW, Kang HR, Hwang YI, Jang S, Kim DG, et al. Clinical efficacy of direct DNA sequencing analysis on sputum specimens for early detection of drug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis in a clinical setting. Chest 2010;137:393-400.

Engström A, Jurén P. Detection of Drug-Resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Methods Mol Biol 2015;1315:349-82.

Lacoma A, Molina-Moya B, Prat C, Fimkina E, Diaz J, Dudynck A, et al. Pyrosequencing for rapid detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis second-line drugs and ethambutol resistance. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2015;83:263-9.

Lin SY, Rodwell TC, Victor TC, Rider EC, Pham L, Catanzaro A, et al. Pyrosequencing for rapid detection of extensively drug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis in clinical isolates and clinical specimens. J Clin Microbiol 2014;52:475-82.

Theron G, Peter J, Richardson M, Barnard M, Donegan S, Warren R, et al. The diagnostic accuracy of the GenoType(R) MTBDRsl assay for the detection of resistance to second-line anti-tuberculosis drugs. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014;(10):CD010705.

Barnard M, Warren R, Gey Van Pittius N, van Helden P, Bosman M, Streicher E, et al. GenoType MTBDRsl line probe assay shortens time to diagnosis of extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis in a high-throughput diagnostic laboratory. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2012;186:1298-305.

Lacoma A, García-Sierra N, Prat C, Maldonado J, Ruiz-Manzano J, Haba L, et al. GenoType MTBDRsl for molecular detection of second-line-drug and ethambutol resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains and clinical samples. J Clin Microbiol 2012;50:30-6.

Brossier F, Veziris N, Aubry A, Jarlier V, Sougakoff W. Detection by GenoType MTBDRsl test of complex mechanisms of resistance to second-line drugs and ethambutol in multidrug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex isolates. J Clin Microbiol 2010;48:1683-9.

Feng Y, Liu S, Wang Q, Wang L, Tang S, Wang J, et al. Rapid diagnosis of drug resistance to fluoroquinolones, amikacin, capreomycin, kanamycin and ethambutol using genotype MTBDRsl assay: a meta-analysis. PLoS One 2013;8:e55292.

Mahendradhata Y, Probhandari A, Wijdanarko B, Rione P, Mustikawati D, Tiemersma EW, et al. Embedding operational research into national disease control programme: lessons from 10 years of experience in Indonesia. Glob Health Action 2014;7:25412.

Kaul KL. Molecular detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis: impact on patient care. Clin Chem 2001;47:1553-8.

Dowdy DW, Houben R, Cohen T, Pai M, Cobelens F, Vassall A, et al. Impact and cost-effectiveness of current and future tuberculosis diagnostics: the contribution of modelling. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2014;18:1012-8.

Lawn SD. Advances in diagnostic assays for tuberculosis. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2015;5:a017806.