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Abstract | Positive-strand RNA viruses are important human, animal and plant pathogens that are defined by their single-stranded positive-sense RNA genomes. In recent years, it has become increasingly evident that interactions that occur between distantly positioned RNA sequences within these genomes can mediate important viral activities. These long-range intragenomic RNA–RNA interactions involve direct nucleotide base pairing and can span distances of thousands of nucleotides. In this Review, we discuss recent insights into the structure and function of these intriguing genomic features and highlight their diverse roles in the gene expression and genome replication of positive-strand RNA viruses.
sgmRNAs are relocated to 5′ poor ribosome access (not shown). The transcription of smaller viral sgmRNAs enables their reading frame at a defined point in the mRNA, resulting in the addition of an alternative carboxy-terminal extension.

**Figure 1 | Fundamental steps in positive-strand RNA virus replication.** A linear representation of a generic positive-strand RNA viral genome is shown with the encoded proteins represented by cylinders. The viral protein that is encoded at the 5′ end, p1 (for example, the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp)), is translated directly from the genome. RdRp synthesizes a full-length complementary negative-strand RNA using the genome as a template, which is subsequently used for the synthesis of progeny genomes. Certain viral genomes also function as templates for the transcription of subgenomic mRNAs (sgmRNAs), which is a process that is also mediated by the viral RdRp and that involves a negative-strand intermediate. The ORFs of additional viral genes that are located downstream of the first ORF are generally not efficiently translated, owing to their 3′ CITEs function by binding to the ribosome-recruiting eIF4F complex and its requirement for efficient ribosome recruitment to the 5′-proximal start codon.

3′ CITE-dependent translation is more complicated in pea enation mosaic virus (PEMV; Umbravirus)31, PEMV contains two different types of 3′ CITE: a panicum mosaic virus-like translational enhancer (PTE), which is located in its 3′ UTR and binds to eIF4E16–17, and a kissing-loop T-shaped structure (kl-TSS), which is positioned immediately upstream of the PTE and binds directly to 60S ribosome subunits32(Fig. 2b). Accordingly, both direct and indirect modes of ribosome recruitment probably occur in PEMV. However, unlike the PTEs that have been identified in other viruses29, the PTE in PEMV does not interact with the 5′ end of the viral genome16. Instead, the adjacent kl-TSS engages in a long-distance interaction with a 5′-proximal hairpin, thereby uniting the terminal regions11 (Fig. 2b). The specific contribution of each of the 3′ CITEs to the PEMV translational process remains to be fully determined; however, it is clear that the kl-TSS-mediated long-range interaction could be beneficial to the activity of both of the 3′ CITEs by repositioning them close to the site of translation initiation.

IRES-mediated translation. IRESs are structured RNA elements that recruit ribosomes — either directly or with the assistance of cellular proteins — to the vicinity of a start codon14. Certain viral IRESs are modulated by
regions that are far downstream of translation initiation sites. For example, the 5′-uncapped but 3′-polyadenylated genome of the picornavirus foot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV) contains a 5′ IRES that is positively regulated by interactions with the genomic 3′ UTR. In vitro, this 3′ UTR participates in two long-range interactions with the 5′ UTR — one with the IRES and the other with a 5′-terminal S-region that is involved in genome replication. Although the specific sequences involved were not identified, the interaction of the 3′ UTR with the IRES was found to be independent of its interaction with the S-region, and the two interactions could not form simultaneously. Therefore, the detected contacts could potentially modulate both translation and genome replication.

Negative regulators of viral IRES activity have also been identified. The genome of the pestivirus classical swine fever virus (CSFV) lacks both a 5′ cap and a 3′ poly(A) tail, and the 3′ UTR inhibits the translational activity of the IRES in the 5′ UTR. The negative regulatory sequence that affected IRES activity was mapped to a 3′-terminal RNA hairpin that ends with CGGCC-OH. This terminal sequence was also found to be complementary to a sequence that is located in the ribosome-binding region of the IRES. This terminal sequence also contributed to complementarity with the S-region of the IRES, which suggests that CGGCC–IRES base-pairing interaction inhibits ribosome recruitment.

Regulation in hepatitis C virus (HCV) is more complex and involves a network of RNA–RNA interactions. The HCV genome does not contain a 5′ cap or a 3′ poly(A) tail but instead has an IRES that binds directly to the 40S ribosomal subunit. IRES activity is downregulated by a long-range RNA–RNA interaction that occurs between the apical loop of helix IIId in the IRES and a bulge in an essential 3′-proximal cis-acting replication element in the coding region of the non-structural protein 5B (NS5B), which is known as SBSL3.2. Since the two interactions are long-range RNA–RNA interactions, they can mediate genome replication by interacting with a nearby upstream sequence located around nucleotide 9110. The two interactions are equally probable in a thermodynamic context, shifting the conformational equilibrium between the two interactions could regulate viral translation and genome replication.

Intriguingly, some uncapped and non-polyadenylated virus genomes use both a 5′ IRES and a 3′ CITE; for example, the plant nepovirus blackcurrant reversion virus (order Picornavirales) uses a hybrid 5′ IRES–3′ CITE-mediated translation mechanism and also requires a long-distance interaction between the 5′ and 3′ genomic ends for optimal translation. Although the details of the individual or combined functions of the 5′ IRES and 3′ CITE remain to be determined, it was suggested...
that this terminal interaction might help to facilitate the re-recruitment of terminated ribosomes\(^5\). Indeed, this potential function in ribosome recycling is also applicable to some of the above examples in which 5’–3’ interactions increase translational efficiency.

**Translational recoding.** Recoding via stop codon readthrough or ribosomal frameshifting leads to the production of carboxy-terminally extended proteins. In certain viruses, functional long-range base-pairing interactions were found to be required for both of these types of recoding events\(^{45–50}\), and the involvement of such interactions is particularly prevalent in positive-strand RNA plant viruses\(^12\).

The most common form of ribosomal frameshifting involves a small proportion of elongating ribosomes moving backwards one base and then resuming translation in the new –1 reading frame\(^5\). This process is facilitated by a ‘slippery’ heptanucleotide sequence at the frameshift site and a stimulatory RNA structure that is located a few nucleotides downstream\(^1\) (Fig. 3a). In addition, in the plant viruses BYDV and red clover necrotic mosaic virus (RCNMV; genus Dianthovirus), the efficient –1 frameshifting that produces their viral RdRps requires base pairing between their proximal stimulatory RNA structures and complementary sequences that are located ~4,000 and ~2,500 nucleotides downstream, respectively\(^57,54\). In BYDV, a bulge in the stimulatory RNA structure next to the frameshift site interacts with the terminal stem–loop of an RNA hairpin near to the 3’ end of the genome (Fig. 3a), and a similar interaction occurs in RCNMV\(^54\). In addition to mediating

Figure 2 | Translation initiation regulated by long-distance interactions. a,b | 3’ cap-independent translational enhancer (3’ CITE)-mediated translation. a | General 3’ CITE model showing the long-range interaction (indicated by the double-headed arrow) that positions the eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4F (eIF4F)-bound 3’ CITE close to the 5’ end of the genome, where it enables eIF4F-mediated recruitment of the 40S ribosomal subunit to the 5’ end to initiate translation. Interacting sequences are shown in green. b | Dual 3’ CITE model. Pea enation mosaic virus (PEMV) contains two different types of 3’ CITE: a panicum mosaic virus-like translational enhancer (PTE), which is located in the 3’ UTR and binds to eIF4F, and a kissing-loop T-shaped structure (kl-TSS), which is positioned immediately upstream and binds directly to the 60S ribosomal subunit and mediates long-distance RNA–RNA base pairing with a 5’-proximal hairpin. c–e | Internal ribosome entry site (IRES)-mediated translation. c | The foot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV) IRES is stimulated by the 3’ UTR, which engages in long-range contacts with two regions in the 5’ UTR: the IRES and a region that has been shown to be involved in genome replication, which is known as the S-region. The specific sequences that are involved in this interaction have not been identified and the interaction with the S-region may modulate genome replication. d | The 3’-terminal hexamer CCACC in classical swine fever virus (CSFV) is a negative modulator of IRES-mediated translation and may confer its inhibition by pairing with a ribosome-binding region in the IRES, thus blocking ribosome binding. e | Hepatitis C virus (HCV) IRES activity is negatively regulated by an interaction between helix IIId of the IRES and a bulge in the structure 5BSL3.2, which is located in the coding region of non-structural protein 5B (NS5B). The same bulge in 5BSL3.2 also interacts with a genomic sequence around position 9110 of the genome, and the terminal loop of 5BSL3.2 can pair with the 3’ SL2 element located in the 3’ UTR, which may modulate genome replication. These interactions may coordinate viral translation and genome replication.
frameshifting, the interaction is also proposed to assist in the coordination of translation and negative-strand synthesis, which are directionally opposed processes for an RNA genome.67,68.

The interactions between the stimulatory RNA structures and the 3′-proximal RNA hairpins in BYDV and RCNMV are presumed to occur intramolecularly; however, there has been a recent report of a −1 ribosomal frameshifting event that is enhanced by an intermolecular genomic interaction.49. In the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), such an interaction involves a palindromic loop sequence in a local pseudoknot that is positioned just downstream of the frameshift site. The palindromic loop sequences in two SARS-CoV genomes form a kissing-loop structure that increases frameshifting efficiency in vitro. Mutations that disrupted the base pairing abolished dimerization, reduced frameshifting and inhibited the accumulation of viral RNA in infected cells.46. The disruption also affected the ratio of genomic RNA to sgRNA levels and growth kinetics, which suggests that this intramolecular interaction has a genuine regulatory role in the viral life cycle.47.

Another common viral recoding strategy is stop codon readthrough, whereby, instead of ribosome termination, the stop codon is decoded as a sense codon. Translation then proceeds in the original reading frame, which results in an extended protein that is produced at a low frequency. As with frameshifting, the efficiency of codon readthrough is typically influenced by RNA sequences and structures that immediately surround the stop codon.12.

In the plant tombusvirus CIRV, stop codon readthrough generates the viral RdRp, and this process requires a long-distance interaction between an RNA structure that is immediately downstream of the readthrough site (which is known as the proximal readthrough element (PRTE)) and a sequence in the 3′ UTR (which is known as the 3′-proximal distal readthrough element (DRTE))46 (FIG. 3b). The DRTE is associated with one of two mutually exclusive stem–loop structures, SL-T and SL-2, of which SL-2 is essential for genome replication.49. Formation of SL-T positions the complementary 3′ sequence in its terminal loop, which facilitates the establishment of the long-distance interaction that improves translational readthrough and simultaneously inhibits genome replication by precluding the formation of SL-2. Conversely, the SL-2-containing conformation promotes genome replication and impedes readthrough (FIG. 3b). On the basis of these observations, SL-T and SL-2 were proposed to function as an RNA switch that assists in the coordination of translation and replication.49.

**Viral genome replication**

The replication of positive-strand RNA virus genomes occurs via the synthesis of a complementary negative-strand RNA, which is subsequently used as a template for the production of progeny positive-strand RNA genomes (FIG. 1). This process is catalysed by a virally encoded RdRp and is assisted by viral and host proteins. The initiation of negative-strand synthesis involves the RdRp accessing the 3′ terminus of a genome, and RNA sequences and structures that facilitate this are usually located near to the 3′ end. However, there is compelling evidence that RNA elements that are considerably distal to 3′ ends can also influence the efficiency of complementary strand production.50,51.

**Flavivirus genome replication.** Several members of the genus Flavivirus, including dengue virus (DENV), West Nile virus (WNV) and yellow fever virus (YFV), require genome circularization, which is mediated by base-pairing interactions between sequences in their genomic termini, for replication.52,53–61. For DENV, three different complementary sequences are involved in these interactions; these sequences are known as the cyclization elements and the 3′-proximal distal readthrough element (DRTE))46 (FIG. 3b). The DRTE is associated with one of two mutually exclusive stem–loop structures, SL-T and SL-2, of which SL-2 is essential for genome replication.49. Formation of SL-T positions the complementary 3′ sequence in its terminal loop, which facilitates the establishment of the long-distance interaction that improves translational readthrough and simultaneously inhibits genome replication by precluding the formation of SL-2. Conversely, the SL-2-containing conformation promotes genome replication and impedes readthrough (FIG. 3b). On the basis of these observations, SL-T and SL-2 were proposed to function as an RNA switch that assists in the coordination of translation and replication.49.

**Viral genome replication**

The replication of positive-strand RNA virus genomes occurs via the synthesis of a complementary negative-strand RNA, which is subsequently used as a template for the production of progeny positive-strand RNA genomes (FIG. 1). This process is catalysed by a virally encoded RdRp and is assisted by viral and host proteins. The initiation of negative-strand synthesis involves the RdRp accessing the 3′ terminus of a genome, and RNA sequences and structures that facilitate this are usually located near to the 3′ end. However, there is compelling evidence that RNA elements that are considerably distal to 3′ ends can also influence the efficiency of complementary strand production.50,51.

**Flavivirus genome replication.** Several members of the genus Flavivirus, including dengue virus (DENV), West Nile virus (WNV) and yellow fever virus (YFV), require genome circularization, which is mediated by base-pairing interactions between sequences in their genomic termini, for replication.52,53–61. For DENV, three different complementary sequences are involved in these interactions; these sequences are known as the cyclization elements and the

---

Figure 3 | Translational recoding facilitated by long-distance interactions.

a Linear representation of the barley yellow dwarf virus (BYDV) RNA genome, which shows coding regions as cylinders. p39 and p60 correspond to proteins of 39 kDa and 60 kDa, respectively, which are encoded in two separate ORFs in different reading frames. p39 is produced when no ribosome frameshifting occurs. However, when a −1 frameshift occurs near the very 3′ end of the p39 ORF, ribosomes are shifted into the p60 reading frame and translate the p60 ORF as a carboxy-terminal extension of p39. The resulting frameshifted product is the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp), which is approximately 99 kDa. Frameshifting is stimulated by a long-range interaction (double-headed arrow) between a bulge in an RNA structure that is near to the frameshift site and the terminal loop of a 3′-proximal stem–loop. Interacting sequences are shown in green.

b Linear representation of the carnation Italian ringspot virus (CIRV) RNA genome, which shows readthrough translation of its RdRp. Ribosomes that initiate at the 5′ end of the genome normally terminate at the stop codon at the end of the p36 ORF, producing a protein of 36 kDa. Translational readthrough of the p36 stop codon produces a C-terminally extended readthrough protein of 95 kDa (p95), which is the viral RdRp. Readthrough requires base pairing between the proximal readthrough element (PRTE) that is located near to the stop codon and the 3′-proximal distal readthrough element (DRTE). The DRTE 3′ sequence is associated with one of two mutually exclusive RNA conformations. The SL-T conformation facilitates readthrough and prevents genome replication, whereas the alternative conformation, which contains SL-2, promotes replication and inhibits readthrough. These two conformations thus represent a type of RNA switch that probably coordinates translation and replication.
sequence, the upstream of AUG region (UAR) and the downstream of AUG region (DAR) (FIG. 4a). The resulting RNA circularization has been observed directly by atomic force microscopy (AFM) in the absence of proteins, which shows that circularization can be entirely RNA-based46 (FIG. 4a). In addition, structural analysis by chemical probing also supports protein-independent interactions between the 5' and 3' termini of the genome42. However, although circularization can occur autonomously, protein factors might assist in the process, as both the flavivirus core protein and NS3 helicase have been shown to mediate 5'- and 3'-end base pairing of genomic RNA in vitro43,44. The observed circularization is required for flavivirus genome replication because RdRp binds to an RNA stem–loop in the 5'UTR, which positions RdRp ~11 kb upstream of the 3' terminus where negative-strand synthesis initiates45,46. The long-distance RNA–RNA interaction between the genomic termini thus repose the RdRp to the 3' end, where it can commence initiation46 (FIG. 4a).

DENV genome circularization can be modulated by localized regulatory elements, such as a conserved RNA pseudoknot that is located adjacent to the cyclization sequence in the 5' UTR47. Moreover, it was recently shown that the DENV genome requires a specific balance between circularized and linear (that is, non-circularized) conformations48. Parts of the 3' UAR and 3' DAR sequences can fold into a small local RNA hairpin in the 3' UTR, which is known as sHP, and the formation of sHP inhibits the interaction with 5'-proximal partner sequences48 (FIG. 4a). Virus replication was found to be sensitive to mutations that altered the natural balance between local sHP formation and long-range pairing, which indicates that a defined ratio between circularized and linear conformations is necessary for viability49. The regulatory function of sHP might be even more complex, as only base pairing of sHP is important for replication in mammalian cells, whereas, unexpectedly, both base pairing and sequence identity are important in mosquito cells49.

Although the requirement for genome circularization in flavivirus replication is now generally accepted, it should be noted that an alternative model has recently been proposed, whereby the 5' and 3' complementary sequences would function in trans and generate dimers and/or oligomers of flavivirus genomes50. The formation of such concatamers would presumably be concentration-dependent and could have regulatory effects that differ from those of circularized monomers50. Although such alternative pairing scenarios are theoretically feasible, their existence and possible biological relevance remain to be investigated.
**TGEV genome**

- The upper panel shows a linear representation of the transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV) RNA genome with different encoded viral proteins, 1A, 1B, S, 3a, 3b, E, M, N and 7.
- The relative positions of long-range interactions that are involved in the transcription of the subgenomic mRNA (sgmRNA) encoding the viral nucleocapsid protein (sgmRNA-N) are indicated (double-headed arrows; complementary B-motif–B-motif (cBM–BM) and distal element–proximal element (DE–PE)). Transcription of sgmRNA-N involves discontinuous synthesis (dashed arrow) of a negative-strand RNA that contains sequences from the body (purple) region, which is located at the 3′ end of the genome, and leader (orange) region, which is located at the 5′ end of the genome. The RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) repriming step is guided by the transcription-regulating sequences (TRS: red), and the negative strand that is generated is used as a template for sgmRNA-N transcription. In the lower panel, a simplified RNA secondary structure shows the two interactions, cBM–BM and DE–PE (green), bringing theTRS-N and TRS-leader (TRS-L) into close proximity to mediate RdRp repriming.

**TBSV genome**

- The discontinuous RNA template is then used for sgmRNA-N production when the 3′ terminus of the genome contains an RNA element known as RIV, which is essential for genome replication. RII and RIV are united by a long-range base-pairing interaction that occurs between an upstream linker sequence, which is 3′-proximal to RII, and a partner downstream linker sequence, which is near to the 3′ terminus. In addition to facilitating 3′ end access to the RdRp, the association between these linker sequences generates a bipartite RII–RIV RNA platform that is necessary for the assembly of the replicase complex, which is composed of viral and host proteins. As RII-like internal replication elements are also present in other viruses of the Tombusviridae family, it is probable that other members of this family might also require similar long-range intragenomic interactions for genome replication.

**Viral sgmRNA transcription**

- Many positive-strand RNA viruses are polycistronic, which means that they encode multiple viral proteins within a single genome segment. ORFs that are located downstream are usually not efficiently translated, owing to poor ribosome access. Thus, to enable the robust expression of these proteins, these viruses transcribe smaller viral sgmRNAs in which the downstream ORFs are relocated to 5′-proximal positions, which thereby enables efficient ribosome access. The mechanism that is involved in the generation of sgmRNAs depends on the virus, and some viruses that use discontinuous template synthesis or premature termination mechanisms require long-range RNA–RNA interactions.

**Coronavirus sgmRNA transcription**

- Coronavirus genomes are extraordinarily large — they can be up to ~32 kb in length — and expression of their 3′-proximal genes depends on sgmRNA transcription. These sgmRNAs consist of a common 5′-terminal region (known as the leader) that is fused to a variable 3′-terminal segment (known as the body). They are transcribed from complementary negative-strand templates, which are generated by a discontinuous template synthesis mechanism. During negative-strand synthesis, RdRp dissociates from the positive-strand genome at specified locations for each sgmRNA (which defines the body segment) and then reprimes on the template within the 5′ UTR (where it copies the common leader sequence). The positions of RdRp release and repriming are guided by transcription-regulating sequences (TRSs). The discontinuous RNA template is then used to transcribe corresponding sgmRNAs, which contain a common 5′ leader that is connected to different 3′-terminal body sections that encode the different viral ORFs. In transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV), two long-distance interactions control the transcription of the sgmRNA that encodes the nucleocapsid protein.
Roles and regulation of long-range interactions

As described in the above sections, long-range interactions have diverse functions. These include the relocation of bound proteins to a distal genomic location (for example, repositioning 3′ CITE-bound factors near to 5′ termini or repositioning 5′-proximally bound RdRps near to 3′ termini), the generation of a bipartite RNA platform for the assembly of protein complexes (for example, the RII–RIV RNA platform that is used for tombusvirus replicase complex assembly), the colocalization of two RNA elements that require proximity for function (for example, TRS elements involved in RdRp repriming in coronavirus transcription) and the formation of RNA structures that directly regulate a viral process (for example, the double-stranded attenuation signals that direct the premature termination of RdRps during sgRNA transcription). In some cases, the need for a long-range interaction is clear, such as in relocating proteins; however, in other cases, the requirement is less obvious. For example, the attenuation signals that are involved in sgRNA transcription in tombusviruses can be functionally replaced by local RNA hairpins, which indicates that the long-distance interactions are not essential. Interestingly, some viruses that are related to tombusviruses (for example, carmoviruses and necroviruses) use local, rather than long-range, transcription–attenuation signals. Thus, the differences that are observed could simply reflect the random nature of the emergence of local versus long-range interactions during virus evolution. Nevertheless, long-range interactions may provide yet-to-be discovered regulatory advantages that could be mediated via genome-level RNA rearrangement.

Long-distance base-pairing interactions can be regulated by several mechanisms. The most basic strategy is to modulate the stability of the base-paired region by altering the composition and/or number of nucleotides that are involved. The presence of competing RNA structures provides an additional mechanism, which is exemplified by the RNA switches that regulate the interactions that are required for translation and/or replication in HCV, replication in DENV and readthrough in CIRV (as described in the previous sections). Furthermore, long-range interactions might also be regulated by proteins that could facilitate or prevent the formation of an interaction and/or destabilize or stabilize an interaction. In line with such concepts, the flavivirus core protein and NS3 helicase have been shown to facilitate circularization in vitro, and it seems probable that proteins also modulate some of the interactions in other systems. Interactions can also be mediated by several different contacts, as has been reported for flavivirus circularization, and this suggests that cooperative effects and different regulatory mechanisms for individual contacts could also have a role.

Intramolecular interactions are not generally predicted to be influenced by the local genome concentration; however, as crowding agents have been shown to increase the folding of small RNAs in vitro, it is possible that high concentrations of viral RNAs in vivo could also

(sgRNA-N)\textsuperscript{77-79} (Fig. 5a). One of these interactions spans almost 26 kb, which is the longest that has been reported so far; it forms between complementary sequences known as the B-motif (BM) and the complementary BM (cBM), which are located upstream of the TRS for sgRNA-N (TRS-N) and downstream of the leader TRS (TRS-L), respectively\textsuperscript{79}. This interaction, in combination with a shorter-range interaction between a distal element and a proximal element (DE–PE), brings the two TRS elements into close proximity, which promotes efficient RdRp transfer\textsuperscript{27,79}.

Tombusvirus sgRNA transcription. An alternative mechanism for the production of sgRNAs involves the premature termination of the viral RdRp during negative-strand synthesis of the genome. This results in the generation of a 3′-truncated negative-strand that is then used as a template for the synthesis of positive-strand sgRNAs\textsuperscript{80,81} (Fig. 5b). Premature termination is facilitated by an RNA attenuation signal in the positive-strand genome, which is formed by a base-paired RNA segment that is located just upstream of the sgRNA start site. This signal functions as a physical ‘roadblock’ that induces polymerase termination. Notably, the attenuation signals that promote the transcription of sgRNA1 and sgRNA2 in TBSV are formed by long-range interactions that involve activator and receptor sequences, which are known as AS1–RS1 and AS2–RS2, respectively\textsuperscript{82,83} (Fig. 5b). AS1–RS1 spans ~1.1 kb, whereas AS2–RS2 spans ~2.2 kb and requires an auxiliary ~1.0 kb long-range interaction between a distal element and a core element (DE–CE)\textsuperscript{82,83}. Interestingly, the identity of the nucleotides that form the attenuation signals is not important\textsuperscript{83}; however, the stability of the base-paired segments was found to be important\textsuperscript{84}.

Nodavirus and dianthovirus sgRNA transcription. sgRNA transcription in the bisegmented insect nodavirus flock house virus (FHV) is also likely to occur via a premature termination mechanism. A sequence that is located in the central region of its larger genome segment interacts with two different downstream sequences, one of which is located more than 1.4 kb away and is positioned directly in front of the sgRNA transcription start site\textsuperscript{85}. The double-stranded RNA structure that forms ahead of the initiation site is probably functionally comparable to the attenuation signals in TBSV.

It has also been proposed that another bisegmented virus — the dianthovirus RCNMV — uses a premature termination mechanism for transcription\textsuperscript{86}. However, for RCNMV, the attenuation signal forms in \textit{trans} between two complementary sequences that are located separately in the RNA1 and RNA2 genome segments. During infections, the increase in the concentrations of the two genome segments promotes the formation of this bimolecular interaction, which, in turn, activates sgRNA transcription. Accordingly, it was proposed that this interaction provides a concentration-dependent mechanism to temporally synchronize the transcription of the capsid protein–encoding sgRNA with the accumulation of the two genomic segments that are co-packaged\textsuperscript{86}.

Replicase complex
A membrane-associated complex that consists of the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase and viral or host proteins; it mediates the replication of viral RNA genomes and the transcription of viral subgenomic mRNAs.

FIG. 5a
A membrane-associated complex that consists of the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase and viral or host proteins; it mediates the replication of viral RNA genomes and the transcription of viral subgenomic mRNAs.

FIG. 5b
A membrane-associated complex that consists of the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase and viral or host proteins; it mediates the replication of viral RNA genomes and the transcription of viral subgenomic mRNAs.
The RNA secondary structure models for satellite tobacco mosaic virus (STMV; see the figure, part a) and tomato bushy stunt virus (TBSV; see the figure, part b) were generated by incorporating SHAPE (selective 2’-hydroxyl acylation analysed by primer extension)-reactivity data into the ‘RNAstructure’ program. The global organization of the ~1.1 kb STMV RNA genome includes three domains that are formed by long-range base-pairing interactions\(^9\). Consistent with this structural model, a fraction of the STMV genomes that were examined by atomic force microscopy (AFM) were found to adopt three-branch structures\(^9\) (see the figure, part a). Although a second study that examined the STMV structure by SHAPE predicted different terminal configurations\(^5\), the long-range interactions that define the large central domain were consistent in both models\(^5,9\). The role of these long-range interactions in the STMV reproductive cycle remains to be determined; however, it was suggested that the helices that form these and other interactions could be important for genome packaging by interacting with capsid proteins within assembled particles\(^9,5\).

SHAPE-based structural analysis was also used to study the larger ~4.8 kb TBSV RNA genome, which contains six known functional long-range interactions: 3’ cap-independent translational enhancer (3’ CITE)–5’ UTR, proximal readthrough element–distal readthrough element (PRTE–DRTE), upstream linker–downstream linker (UL–DL), activator sequence 1–receptor sequence 1 (AS1–RS1), AS2–RS2 and distal element–core element (DE–CE)\(^7\). Interestingly, only two (AS1–RS1 and DE–CE) of these six known interactions (Table 1) were predicted to form in the proposed genome structure. Although the complementary segments that were involved in the other four interactions were not paired, the global organization of the genome brings these partner sequences into proximity, which suggests that the existing framework could allow for the formation of these additional interactions without the need for large-scale rearrangements\(^5\). The TBSV genome structure also revealed the presence of differently sized domains (small domain (sD), blue; medium domain (mD), orange; and large domain (lD), green), and the large domains (which are 500–2,000 nucleotides long) correspond to different coding regions. These domains emanate from a central hub that is formed by long-range base-pairing interactions, and AFM images of the TBSV genome showed that it had compact floret-like shapes that were consistent with a multidomain structure\(^2\) (see the figure, part b). This structural model for the TBSV genome is one of several different possible conformations and provides a reference structure with which those that have been deduced under alternative conditions can be compared. Part a of the figure is adapted, with permission, from Archer, E. J. et al. Nature Reviews Microbiology 5, 3182–3190 © 2013 American Chemical Society. Part b of the figure is adapted, with permission, from Ref. 95 © 2013 Wu et al.

**Whole-genome context of long-range interactions**

Although we are beginning to understand the function and regulation of long-range interactions, it remains unclear how they are able to function in the complex context of viral RNA genomes that have multiple functions. Some interactions involve overlapping sequences and are therefore mutually exclusive, whereas others promote processes that are opposed either physically (such as translation and replication) or temporally (such as replication and encapsidation). Accordingly, proper coordination of these interactions must be essential for their function. This regulation would be particularly relevant for viruses that have multiple long-range interactions, influence the formation or stabilization of intramolecular long-range interactions. Alternatively, if some of the proposed cis-interactions do actually occur in trans, as has been suggested\(^8\), then genome abundance would clearly be an additional mode of control (as has been proposed for sgmRNA transcription in RCNMV\(^9\) and for frameshifting in SARS-CoV\(^9\)). However, a dependence on cis-only interactions could be advantageous, as it could provide a form of quality control for genetic completeness to viruses that use intragenomic interactions by selecting for viral genomes that maintain the interacting sequences and presumably intact intervening sequences\(^4,5\).
such as tombusviruses, which have at least six different functional long-distance interactions, all of which span distances of 1 kb or more (Table 1). In such cases, the global structure of the viral genome must have features that enable it to form each of the different interactions at the correct time. Thus, genomes must be dynamic and able to adopt alternative conformations, which would be influenced by the intrinsic features of the RNA and its environmental context. Indeed, the structure of the viral genome is likely to be distinct during different steps of the viral life cycle — for example, when the genome is encapsidated, has just been released from its capsid, is being translated, is being replicated or transcribed or is undergoing packaging. Other events, such as co-replicative 5’-to-3’ folding of the genome during its synthesis, would also influence initial and ultimate structures and the ability to form different long-range interactions. The factors that govern structural transitions at the genomic level are therefore of great interest.

The study of diverse genome states under different in vitro and in vivo conditions will assist in gaining an understanding of the complex coordination of alternative conformations. Ideally, long-range interactions should be studied in their natural genomic contexts, and a logical first step would be to obtain information about the secondary structure of viral RNA genomes. These studies are now possible, owing to technical advances, such as high-throughput SHAPE structural mapping (selective 2’-hydroxyl acylation analysed by primer extension structural mapping) [9,10]. This chemical probing method provides information about nucleotide flexibility at each position in an RNA, which positively correlates with the likelihood that a residue is single-stranded in the structure. The SHAPE reactivity data can be incorporated into a thermodynamic-based RNA secondary structure-predicting program as a pseudo-energy parameter to improve model prediction [10,22]. Using this approach, the global organization of the 1,058-nucleotide-long satellite tobacco mosaic virus (STMV) [9,10] RNA genome and the 4,778-nucleotide-long TBSV RNA genome [23] have been predicted, and the results have provided insights into the genomic contexts of long-range interactions (Box 1).

Conclusions and perspectives

In this Review, we have discussed examples that highlight the important and varied roles of long-range RNA–RNA interactions in fundamental viral processes, including the translation of viral proteins, the replication of the viral genome and the transcription of sgRNAs. It is clear that substantially different types of positive-strand RNA viruses use this distinctive regulatory strategy. These viruses have evolved to integrate long-range interactions within their genomes in a manner that provides them with mechanisms to regulate a diverse array of viral functions. Indeed, it is quite remarkable that these relatively simple interactive structural features are able to carry out such a broad range of structure-based functions. Importantly, these interactions provide the viruses with a unique opportunity for regulation that is linked to genome structure; this, in turn, may provide benefits in addition to those of local RNA elements. Many important advances have been made in understanding the structure and function of long-range interactions. Unfortunately, not all interactions are amenable to the available investigative methodologies. For example, interactions that are transient or that require special conditions in order to form may be difficult to detect biochemically and interactions that have unknown, functionally relevant, alternative base-pair partners may be intractable to genetic techniques, such as compensatory mutational analyses. Regardless of the challenges, the interactions that are supported by both biophysical and genetic evidence should be viewed as having increased credibility. For the future, additional research and technological advances are needed to expand on existing findings and to clarify open questions; for example, it will be crucial to determine the detailed structures of different interactions and to establish how these structures influence activity. Other important challenges will be to identify the dynamics and energetic barriers of transitions and folding pathways. In addition, future research is needed to determine the regulatory advantage of long-range interactions, how these interactions are integrated and coordinated within viral genomes and whether any of these interactions occur in trans. Moreover, it will be crucial to investigate the possible involvement of viral and/or host proteins in regulating interactions and how the cellular environment affects interactions. An increased understanding of long-range interactions will also help to determine whether such interactions are plausible targets for antiviral therapies. Finally, another area for future consideration extends beyond viral contexts to cellular messages: how common are functional long-range RNA–RNA interactions in cellular mRNA biogenesis and function? A limited number of recent reports suggest that these structures can indeed participate in the regulation of pre-mRNA splicing as well as in the control of eukaryotic and bacterial mRNA translation. Consequently, the phenomenon that is observed in viruses may foreshadow a similar prevalence and diversity of functional long-range RNA–RNA interactions in cellular mRNAs.
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