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**ABSTRACT**

Astroblastomas are uncommon neuroepithelial tumors of uncertain origin. These occur predominantly in the cerebral hemisphere of young adults and children. They form only 0.45–2.8% of all neuroglial tumors. They can be easily misdiagnosed as they are rarely encountered in clinical practice and share common radiological and histopathologic appearance with other glial neoplasms. A 12-year-old female presented to us with progressive headache and diplopia. Her neurological examination showed right 6th nerve paresis with papilledema. Brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed well-demarcated, peripherally enhancing solid cystic mass of 6 cm in diameter in the right parietal lobe with mass effect. She underwent gross total resection of the lesion through right parietal craniotomy. The histopathologic diagnosis was suggestive of low-grade astroblastoma. The patient had no evidence of recurrence of tumor without adjuvant radiotherapy during the last 14 months of follow-up. Supratentorial astroblastomas are very rare tumors. Complete excision without radiotherapy is sufficient in low-grade variants.
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**Introduction**

Astroblastomas are uncommon neuroepithelial tumors of uncertain origin. These occur predominantly in the cerebral hemisphere of young adults and children. Incidence of astroblastoma is only 0.45–2.8% of all neuroglial tumors. They can be easily misdiagnosed as they are rarely encountered in clinical practice and share common radiological and histopathologic appearances with other glial neoplasms. We report a rare case of astroblastoma. The clinical presentation, pathology, differential diagnosis, and treatment of this rare disorder are discussed.

**Case Report**

A 12-year-old, otherwise healthy girl presented to us with progressive headache with diplopia since 3 months. The neurological examination showed right 6th nerve paresis with papilledema. Brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed a well-demarcated mass of 6 cm in diameter in the right parietal lobe with mass effect in the form of effacement of adjacent cortical sulci, compression of frontal and occipital horn of lateral ventricle, and midline shift [Figures 1 and 2]. After an injection of gadolinium, the tumor showed a peripheral enhancement [Figure 3]. The above images were reported as pilocytic astrocytoma.

The patient underwent gross total resection of the lesion through right parietal craniotomy [Figure 4]. The tumor was rubbery, vascular, and well demarcated from the surrounding brain. Postoperative period was uneventful and neurological examination did not reveal any additional deficits. The histopathologic diagnosis was low-grade astroblastoma. Microscopically, the tumor showed papillary architecture and pseudorosettes around the central hyalinized thickened blood vessel throughout the tumor [Figures 5 and 6]. Individual cells were polygonal to spindled, showing moderate eosinophilic cytoplasm and eccentrically placed nuclei. The nucleus was round with coarse chromatin. Mitotic figures were rarely observed. Blood vessels were mostly of capillaries without smooth muscle layers. There was no glial fibrillarity in the fibrovascular stalk. The macrophages were frequently infiltrated in fibrovascular stalks. Immunohistochemical stains revealed Glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) and Epithelial membrane antigen (EMA) positivity. In the absence of any feature suggestive of high-grade lesion, i.e. high cellularity, anaplastic nuclear
features, elevated mitotic indices, vascular proliferation, and necrosis, the patient was advised regular follow-up without adjuvant radiotherapy. The patient had no recurrence of the tumor until the last follow-up done 14 months after the surgery.

**Discussion**

Approximately 40 cases of astroblastoma have been reported in the literature since Bailey and Bucy reported the condition
for the first time in 1930. Bailey and Bucy believed that astroblastoma originated from astroblasts, an intermediate stage between glioblasts and astrocytes.\[9\]

These are rare glial tumors usually located in the cerebral hemisphere. However, tumor invasion has also been reported into corpus callosum, cerebellum, brain stem, and optic nerve.\[4,5\] Clinical signs and symptoms depend on the location and size of the neoplasm, with headache and seizures being the most frequently encountered symptoms. Astroblastomas are mostly seen in children and young adults like in this case, but congenital cases have also been reported rarely.\[4\]

Bell et al. reported the largest imaging series with 12 cases of astroblastomas. As per their report, astroblastomas are almost exclusively seen supratentorially and are peripheral in location with both solid and cystic components.\[6\] Our case showed typical solid cystic lesion with rim enhancement without calcification. Based on imaging, the differential diagnoses for astroblastomas include high-grade astrocytoma, pilocytic astrocytoma, oligodendroglioma, primitive neuroectodermal tumor, ependymoma, and atypical rhabdoid tumor. Unlike in high-grade tumors, perilesional edema is usually less in astroblastomas including high-grade variants.

Astroblastomas are defined histologically by the presence of perivascular pseudosettes and prominent perivascular hyalinization.\[7\] They may resemble astrocytic tumors, ependymomas, and non-neuroepithelial tumors due to their astroblastic components. Lack of fibrillarity is an essential feature in distinguishing astroblastomas from other glial neoplasms. Immunohistochemically, astroblastomas are immunoreactive for GFAP, S-100 protein, and vimentin. The majority display a focal cytoplasmic immunoreactivity for EMA.

Astroblastomas along with gliomatosis cerebri and polar spongioblastoma are included in neuroepithelial tumors of uncertain origin and are grade 4 tumors as per 2007 contrary to grade 1 in WHO classification of brain tumors. Bonnin et al. reported two distinct histological types: A low-grade type with better differentiated pattern and favorable postoperative prognosis and a high-grade type showing more anaplastic microscopic features with short postoperative survival. High-grade lesions show focal or multifocal regions of high cellularity, anaplastic nuclear features, elevated mitotic indices, vascular proliferation, and necrosis with pseudopalisading.\[8\]

Our case was considered in low-grade group as it had well orderly growth pattern with no evidence of necrosis and a high mitotic activity. Although malignant astroblastomas may show infiltration of brain parenchyma, most of them are noninfiltrating.\[8\]

Natural history of astroblastoma seems to place it in between astrocytoma and glioblastoma.\[9\] Total resection is the best way of treating an astroblastoma.\[9\] Regular follow-up is required even in low-grade variants due to unpredictable behavior. Adjuvant therapy is recommended for high-grade and recurrent cases.\[10\] Favorable prognosis is almost invariably associated with well-circumscribed tumors which permit total resection of tumor in all grades. In a series of 23 patients reported by Bonnin and Rubinstein, patients with high-grade astroblastomas who did not receive postoperative radiotherapy had a shorter survival time.\[3\] Caroli et al. reported a high-grade astroblastoma with a 5-year survival without recurrence after total resection, radiation therapy, and temozolomide usage.\[11\] Our case was a low-grade astroblastoma, so we advised regular follow-up without adjuvant radiation. No recurrence was noted till the last follow-up of 14 months.

**Conclusion**

Supratentorial astroblastomas are very rare. The radiological features of these rare lesions can be heterogeneous. Complete excision without radiotherapy is sufficient in low-grade variants. High-grade and recurrent tumors require adjuvant radiotherapy.
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