Aminolysis of 1-(1-Hydroxybenzotriazolyl)-2,4-dinitrobenzene and 2-(1-Hydroxybenzotriazolyl)-5-nitropyridine
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ABSTRACT

The reaction 1-(1-hydroxybenzotriazolyl)-2,4-dinitrobenzene 1 and 2-(1-hydroxybenzotriazolyl)-5-nitro-pyridine 2 with amines undergoes amination followed by elimination of the 1-hydroxyl benzotriazolyl anion. The kinetic data for the reaction of 1 and 2 with Mo, CHA and An in MeOH and AN proceeded by uncatalysed mechanism in which the rate limiting step is the leaving group departure, whereas the reaction with Mo in toluene proceeded by uncatalysed mechanism in which the formation of the zwitterionic intermediate is the rate determining step. While the reactions of 1 with CHA and An and the reaction of 2 with CHA in toluene proceeded by SB mechanism in which the rate determining step is the proton transfer process. The reactions of 1 and 2 with Mo in the three solvents and with CHA and An in MeOH and AN is greatly depended on the stability of the zwitterionic intermediate. The effect of ring activation is due to the ground state stabilization and the more efficient delocalization of the negative charge with a nitro group than with a ring-nitrogen in the transition state. The low activation enthalpies $\Delta H^\#$ and the highly negative activation entropies $\Delta S^\#$ are due to the intramolecular hydrogen bonding with the ammonio hydrogen present in the transition state.
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1. Introduction

Nucleophilic aromatic substitution ($S_N Ar$) reaction constitutes an active field of organic chemistry, which is very important from viewpoints of both practical [1-3], computational [4-6] and theory of nucleophilic aromatic substitution reactions [7-10]. Different mechanisms were suggested for unimolecular nucleophilic aromatic substitution [11], aryne mechanism [12], nucleophilic aromatic substitution with rearrangement [13-15], nucleophilic aromatic substitution involving hydrogen substitution and nucleophilic aromatic substitution via addition-elimination process ($S_N Ar$). Kinetic studies involving primary and secondary amines as nucleophiles, in solvents of different polarities, play a central role not only in firmly establishing the two or multi-step nature of the mechanism, but also in answering questions regarding the relative rates of intermediate complex formation and decomposition [16-24]. Possible pathway mechanism for this type of reactions are specific base (SB) [22-26], specific base-general acid (SB-GA) [27], or dimer-mechanism [28,29].

In continuation of our studies in the field of nucleophilic aryl and acyl reactions [30-45], we addressed kinetic studies of the leaving group ability of 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt) in two example: 1-(1-hydroxybenzotriazolyl)-2,4-dinitro-benzene 1 and 2-(1-hydroxy-benzotriazolyl) 5-nitro-pyridine 2. The kinetic studies of 1 and 2 with different amines such as morpholine, cyclohexylamine and aniline in methanol (MeOH), acetonitrile (AN) and toluene (Tol) will be measured. Other parameters such as ring activation, steric effect at the reaction centre, ground state stabilization of substrates 1 and 2 and finally, a plausible mechanism for each substrate with the titled amine and solvent of different polarity will be discussed.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis of the Starting Materials and Reaction Products

1-(1-hydroxybenzotriazolyl)-2,4-dinitrobenzene 1 and 2-(1-hydroxybenzotriazolyl) 5-nitropyridine 2 were read-
ily prepared by the reaction of 1-hydroxy benzotriazole (HOBt) with 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene and 2-chloro-5-nitropyridine, in the presence of 2 equivalents of triethylamine (Et3N), Scheme 1. The structure of compounds 1 and 2 was confirmed by Elemental analysis, IR and NMR spectroscopy (Exp. section).

The reaction of 1 and 2 with cyclohexylamine (CHA), morpholine (Mo) and aniline (An) in methanol (MeOH), acetonitrile (AN) and toluene (Tol) undergoes amination followed by elimination of the 1-hydroxyl benzotriazolyl anion as indicated from the isolated products namely N-cyclohexyl-2,4-dinitroaniline 3 [46], 4-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)morpholine 4 [47], 2,4-dinitro-N-phenylaniline 5 [48], N-cyclohexyl-5-nitropyridin-2-amine 6 [49], 4-(5-nitropyridin-2-yl)morpholine 7 [50] and 5-nitro-N-phenylpyridin-2-amine 8 [51] respectively, Scheme 1. The purity of the products was checked by comparing their melting point with the previously reported ones in the literature.

2.2. Kinetics and the Reaction Mechanism

In general, the reaction of 1 and 2 with Mo, CHA, An in MeOH exhibited lower rates than those in AN and has different kinetic behavior in Tol. Also the order of reactivity of amines towards compounds 1 and 2 followed the order Mo > CHA > An while their pKₐ values are in the order CHA > Mo > An, Tables 1 and 4. This is due to 1) that MeOH molecules reduce the nucleophilicity of the amines by solvation through hydrogen bonding; 2) the formation of less polar transition state which is favored in less polar solvent; 3) the pKₐ values of the amines, which may be responsible for the rate differences; 4) the stability of the zwitterionic or the Meisenheimer intermediates involved in the reaction pathway(s). This stabilization arises from the intramolecular and intermolecular interactions; 5) the difference in nature of the electron-withdrawing power of the substituent in the substrate.

2.2.1. Reactions of (1) with Mo, CHA and An in MeOH, AN and Tol

1) In MeOH and AN

The rate of the reactions of 1 with Mo, CHA and An are followed spectrophotometrically to 90% completion of the products. The condition of measurements ensuring first-order kinetics.

The pseudo-first order rate constants observed (k_{obs}) obeyed Equation (1) with negligible k₀ (~ 0) in MeOH and AN indicate that general base catalysis is absent in the present aminolysis. The apparent second-order rate constants kₐ (l·mol⁻¹·s⁻¹) are summarized in Table 1.

![Scheme 1. Synthesis and reaction of 1 and 2 with cyclohexylamine (CHA), morpholine (Mo) and aniline (An).](image-url)
Table 1. Rate constants\[^a\] for the reactions of 1-(1-hydroxybenzotriazolyl)-2,4-dinitrobenzene 1 with Mo, CHA and An in MeOH, AN and Tol.

| Amine/ T°C | 15°C  | 20°C  | 25°C  | 30°C  | 35°C  | 40°C  | 45°C  | ΔH\[^a\] kcal·mol\(^{-1}\) | -ΔS\[^a\] cal·mol\(^{-1}\)·K\(^{-1}\) |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------|------------------|
| 10\(^3\) k\(_2\) l·mol\(^{-1}\)·s\(^{-1}\)/Methanol |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |                 |                  |
| Mo\[^b\]  | 117.5 | 189.0 | 259.0 | 375.0 | 420.0 | 6.36  | 43.24 |                 |                  |
| CHA\[^b\] | 35.0  | 40.0  | 50.0  | 60.0  | 79.0  | 7.42  | 41.73 |                 |                  |
| An\[^b\]  | 5.3   | 6.5   | 9.0   | 10.3  | 13.0  | 7.63  | 42.94 |                 |                  |
| 10\(^3\) k\(_1\) l·mol\(^{-1}\)·s\(^{-1}\)/Acetonitrile |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |                 |                  |
| Mo        | 332.9 | 432.1 | 519.7 | 588.7 | 637.9 | 5.48  | 42.30 |                 |                  |
| CHA       | 193.8 | 243.2 | 308.4 | 350.2 | 407.1 | 6.38  | 40.41 |                 |                  |
| An        | 19.1  | 26.2  | 30.3  | 33.7  | 43.0  | 6.47  | 44.67 |                 |                  |
| 10\(^3\) k\(_3\) l·mol\(^{-2}\)·s\(^{-2}\)/Toluene\[^c\] |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |                 |                  |
| Mo        | 585.5 | 652.3 | 765.8 | 884.7 | 1080.3| 4.79  | 43.00 |                 |                  |

\[^a\]k\(_2\) = k\(_{obs}\) /[morpholine]. Rate constants were calculated by the least-squares method and the correlation coefficient was 0.99 in most cases; \[^b\]pK\(_a\) values of CHA, Mo and An are 10.567, 8.38 and 4.36 respectively; \[^c\]Reaction of 1 with An in Tol at 50°C shows third-order kinetics with k\(_3\) = 1 \times 10\(^{-4}\) l·mol\(^{-2}\)·s\(^{-1}\).

Scheme 2. The mechanism of the reaction of 1 and 2 with cyclohexylamine (CHA), morpholine (Mo) and aniline (An).

\[k_{obs} = k_1 + k_A\ [\text{Amine}] \ (\text{Amine} = \text{Mo}, \text{CHA}, \text{An}) \ (1)\]

It shows that the rate is inconsistent with a stronger nucleophile as normally observed for a typical nucleophilic aromatic substitution reactions.

The second order rate law points out that the rate determining step is either the formation of the zwitterion intermediate I or the uncatalysed leaving group departure step, Scheme 2. It involves the reaction of 1 with the titled amines Am (Mo, CHA, An) which is proceeding by the attack of the amine on the ipso carbon of the substrate to form the zwitterionic intermediate I, followed by departure of 1-hydroxyl benzotriazolyl anion to give the substitution product.

The differentiation between the two considerations depends greatly on the rate of leaving group departure compared to the rate of the formation of the zwitterionic intermediate I and the pK\(_a\) values of the amine used. This will be achieved by changing the nature of the leaving group \(i.e.\) element-effect like and the use of amines with different pK\(_a\) values.

The present kinetic results shows difference in rates with changing in the nature of the leaving group from OBT to Cl, I, O-N = C(COOEt)\(_2\), O-N = C(CN)COOEt, O-N = C(CN)\(_2\) [36,37,51-53].

This indicates that the rate constants follow the order ON = C(CN)\(_2\) > ON = C(CN)COOEt > OBT > I > Cl. As a result, one can conclude that the departure of the leaving group in the reactions of 1 with Mo, CHA and An in MeOH and AN is the rate-determining step, Scheme 2, unlike most nucleophilic aromatic substitution reactions [1-7].

The rate enhancement for the reactions of the amines with compound 1 follows the order Mo > CHA > An which is not in harmony with the order of pK\(_a\) values of the amines under investigation. Also the small rate constant ratios between two amines compared to the difference between the pK\(_a\) values of the corresponding amines is a further indication that the rate determining step is the departure of the OBT group.
The thermodynamic parameters computed in Table 1 indicate that the reactions in MeOH and AN are not greatly influenced by the nature of the amines. Entropies of activation are negative as expected for bimolecular reactions. The small difference of activation parameters $\Delta H^\#$ and $\Delta S^\#$ for the reaction of 1 with Mo, CHA, An in MeOH and AN may be due to a slight solvent participation in the transition state.

2) In Toluene

The kinetic of the reaction of 1 with Mo, CHA and An were studied at 15°C - 50°C under pseudo-first-order conditions with respect to 1. The second-order rate constants of the reaction at various concentrations of Mo are shown in Table 1. These data show that no significant acceleration in rate constants occurred with the increase in the Mo concentrations. Hence the reaction is not catalyzed by base and it can be suggested that the formation of the zwitterionic intermediate is the rate determining, Scheme 2. This suggestion is consistent with the difference in rate constants as well as the activation parameters for the reaction of 1 with the same amine in MeOH and AN. The rate constants for the reaction of 1 with Mo in Tol at 25°C, 30°C and 35°C are higher than those in MeOH by 4, 3.4, 2.8 times and in AN by 2.3, 2.0, 3.5 times. Also, the enthalpy of activation in Tol ($\Delta H^\# = 20.04 \text{ kJ/mol}$) is smaller than those in MeOH ($\Delta H^\# = 26.59 \text{ kJ/mol}$) and AN ($\Delta H^\# = 22.89 \text{ kJ/mol}$) reflecting higher rate in the former solvent presumably due to the change in the rate determining step in Scheme 2.

On the other hand, the values of the second-order rate constants $k_2$ for the CHA and An increased linearly with amine concentrations, i.e. catalyzed reaction. A plot of $k_2$ (values $k_{\text{obs}}/[\text{amine}]$) versus amine (An or CHA) concentrations showed a straight line with an intercept on the y-axis indistinguishable from zero. This indicates that the contribution of $k_2$, the unanalyzed pathway, is negligible, Scheme 3. In these systems, CHA is more efficient than An in catalyzing the reaction as shown from $k_2$ values, Table 1. The dependence of the reaction on two amine molecules for the reactions of 1 with An in Tol can proceed by a dimer mechanism [28,29], specific base-general acid (SB-GA) [27], or specific base catalysis (SB) [22-26] (Scheme 3). The dimer mechanism is rejected on the ground that the plots of $k_2$ against [amine] for the reactions of 1 with An and CHA do not exhibit a curvilinear response and the plots of quotient $k_2$ [amine] against [amine] did not give straight lines [28,29].

The confirmation that the reaction is subjected to SB-GA mechanism is done by measuring the reaction rates in the presence of anilinium hydrochloride and cyclohexylamine hydrochloride at constant An and CHA concentrations respectively and vice versa. Actually, neither aniline hydrochloride or cyclohexylamine hydrochloride are completely soluble in toluene. This excludes catalysis by SB-GA mechanism, which as previously reported, occurs only in dipolar solvents such as acetonitrile and DMSO [54].

The general base catalysis (SB) is likely to involve rate limiting deprotonation of the formed zwitterionic intermediate I, followed by rapid elimination of the leaving group [55]. Thus by adding or omitting bases in the reaction mixture, we may exert considerable control of the relative rate of the product formation and thus on the overall rate-controlling step, Scheme 3.

The kinetic expression of SB mechanism when the amine acts as both nucleophile and catalyst base can be expressed by Equation (2).

$$k_A = \frac{k_{\text{obs}}[\text{anilinium}][\text{Cyclohexylamine}]}{k_{-1}[\text{amine}]} = K_A k_{\text{anilinium}}[\text{amine}]$$

Scheme 3. The mechanism of the reaction of 1 and 2 with cyclohexylamine (CHA) and aniline (An) in Tol by the specific base catalysis mechanism (SB).
where, $K_1$ is the apparent second-order rate constant. Where,

$$\frac{k_{\text{obs}}}{k_{\text{d}}} = K_1$$

Equation (2) is consistent with the amine catalysis for the reaction of 1 with amine in Tol because a linear correlation between the $k_A$ value and [Am] values passing through the origin or intercept on the y-axis indistinguishable from zero is observed. Accordingly, the proton transfer process is the rate-determining step. This confirmed that the reaction is subjected to general base catalysis as shown in Scheme 3, where the measurements was carried out in the presence of 1,4-diazabicycle-[2,2,2] octane (DABCO). A complete study of the combined influence of amines was then outlined as follow: the reaction of 1 with CHA in Tol was run at a fixed [amine] (in the range 0.0525 mole·dm$^{-3}$) in the presence of varying amounts of [DABCO] (up to 0.1049 mole·dm$^{-3}$). The reactions were also measured at fixed [DABCO] (0.0525 mole·dm$^{-3}$) in presence of varying [amine]. Results are set forth in Table 2. The plot of $k_A$ vs [DABCO] gives straight lines and excellent correlation coefficient. The slopes are also given in the table. The value of $k_{\text{DABCO}}/k_{\text{amine}} \geq 1.86$ in presence of constant [DABCO] and variable [amine], where it is 2.27 in presence of constant [amine] and variable [DABCO].

In addition, values of $K_1k_{\text{DABCO}}$, where $k_{\text{DABCO}}$ represent the $k_A$ rate constants for the reactions of 1 with An DABCO catalyzed route, were obtained from the slopes of the approximately linear plot of the data in Table 3.

It is observed that the rate constant in presence of DABCO is larger by about 5 and 2 times than in its absence, (at constant amine concentration and varying DABCO and at constant DABCO concentration and varying An concentration, respectively). Consequently, the catalysis present for the reaction of 1 with both amines in Tol is general base catalysis.

### 2.2.2. Reaction of 1-(1-Hydroxybenzotriazolyl)-5-nitropyridine 2 with Mo and CHA in MeOH, AN and Tol

All reactions of 2 with CHA and Mo were carried out under pseudo-first-order conditions, with various amine concentrations and obeyed the kinetic law given in Equation (3).

#### Table 2. Kinetics$^{[a]}$ of the reaction of 1 with CHA in the presence of DABCO in toluene at 40°C.

| [Dabco] mol·dm$^{-3}$ | [CHA] mol·dm$^{-3}$ | $10^2$ slope$^{[b]}$ |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|                       | 0.0175  | 0.0350 | 0.05250 | 0.0699 | 0.0874 | 0.1049 |
| 0.00                  | 4.35    | 20.58  | 32.52   | 36.24  | 58.92  | 54.80  |
| 0.0175                |         | 37.00  |         |        |        |        |
| 0.0350                |         | 44.22  |         |        |        |        |
| 0.0525                | 5.07    | 21.11  | 55.00   | 65.12  | 73.77  | 110.58 |
| 0.0699                |         | 61.11  |         |        |        |        |
| 0.0874                |         | 96.19  |         |        |        |        |
| 0.1049                |         | 133.57 |         |        |        |        |
| $10^2$ slope$^{[b]}$  |         | 124.47 |         |        |        |        |

$^{[a]}$10$^2$ $k_A$ l·mole$^{-1}$·s$^{-1}$. $^{[b]}$the correlation coefficient 0.99.

#### Table 3. Kinetics$^{[a]}$ of the reaction of 1 with An in the presence of DABCO in toluene at 50°C.

| [Dabco] mol·dm$^{-3}$ | [An] mol·dm$^{-3}$ | $10^4$ slope$^{[b]}$ |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                       | 0.549  | 1.098 | 1.646 | 2.195 | 2.744 | 3.290 |
| 0.00                  | 0.979  | 1.76  | 2.71  | 4.95  | 7.68  | 10.82 |
| 0.549                 |        | 2.99  |        |        |        |        |
| 1.098                 |        | 3.185 |        |        |        |        |
| 1.646                 | 2.034  | 2.88  | 7.178 | 11.55 | 16.66 | 24.83 |
| 2.195                 |        | 21.65 |        |        |        |        |
| 2.744                 |        | 36.58 |        |        |        |        |
| 3.290                 |        | 48.79 |        |        |        |        |
| $10^4$ slope$^{[b]}$  |         | 45.00 |         |        |        |        |

$^{[a]}$10$^4$ $k_A$ s$^{-1}$·mole$^{-1}$. $^{[b]}$the correlation coefficient 0.99.
The second-order rate constants, $k_A$, summarized in Table 2, were obtained from a straight-line plot of $k_{obs}$ vs. the concentration of the amine. It should be noted that compound 2 was too unreactive for satisfactory measurement for the reactions with An in all solvents even toluene due to the low reactivity of compound 2 and aniline (An). The kinetic data for the reactions of 2 with Mo and CHA in MeOH and AN are compatible with rate limiting nucleophilic attack and also with an intermediate decomposition without amine catalysis. In a similar way as discussed previously for the reaction of 1 with the same amines, the differentiation between the two considerations depends greatly on the same factors. This leads us to suggest that the rate determining step is the expulsion of the leaving group step, Scheme 2. Inspection of the activation parameters, Table 4, shows that the activation enthalpy values are slightly variable and the activation entropy values are mainly responsible for the rate difference for the reactions of 2 with Mo and CHA in MeOH and AN.

The same kinetic behavior and mechanism are observed for the reaction of Mo with compound 2 in Tol. The data show that reaction is not catalyzed by a base and the formation of the zwitterionic intermediate is the rate determining, Scheme 2. This is corroborated with the difference in rate constants as well as the activation parameters for the reaction of 2 with the same amine in MeOH and AN, Table 4.

The kinetic results for the reactions of 2, with CHA in Tol indicate a squared dependence of $k_{obs}$ on amine concentration reflecting the condition $k_{-1} \gg k_{Am}$ so that proton transfer is rate-limiting, Scheme 3. Plots of $k_2$ versus CHA concentration were linear with negligible intercept indicating the uncatalyzed decomposition of the intermediate, the $k_2$ step. The dimer and SB-GA mechanisms are rejected due to the reasons mentioned previously for the reaction of 1 with the same amine.

The suggested SB mechanism, Scheme 3 is supported by measurements of the reaction of 2 in the presence of added 1,4-diazabicyclo[2,2,2]octane (DABCO). A complete study of the combined influence of amines was then outlined: The reactions were run at a fixed [amine] (in the range 0.525 mol·dm$^{-3}$) in the presence of varying amounts of [DABCO] (up to 1.049 mol·dm$^{-3}$). In addition, the reactions were also measured at fixed [DABCO] (0.525 mol·dm$^{-3}$) in the presence of varying [amine]. Results are set forth in Table 5. The plot of $k_2$ vs [DABCO] gives straight lines and excellent correlation coefficient. The value of $k_{DABCO}/k_{amine}$ is $\geq 2.00$ in presence of constant [DABCO] and variable [amine] and it is 5.00 in presence of constant [amine] and variable [DABCO]. Accordingly the kinetic results of the reaction of 2 with CHA is best analyzed in terms of Scheme 3 in which base catalysis is attributed to rate-limiting proton transfer from the zwitterionic intermediate 1 to base, followed by rapid expulsion of $^-OBT$ anion.

### Table 4. Rate constants$^{[b]}$ l·mol$^{-1}·s^{-1}$ for the reactions of 2-(1-hydroxybenzotriazol-yl)5-nitropyridine 2 with Mo and CHA in MeOH, AN and Tol.

| Amine/T°C | 25°C | 30°C | 35°C | 40°C | 45°C | $\Delta H^{\#}$ kcal·mol$^{-1}$ | $-\Delta S^{\#}$ cal·mol$^{-1}·K^{-1}$ |
|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Mo$^{[b]}$ | 4.97 | 7.69 | 10.30 | 12.10 | 13.49 | 8.68                          | 39.82                            |
| CHA$^{[b]}$ | 0.50 | 0.70 | 0.90 | 1.20 | 1.50 | 9.72                          | 41.07                            |
| Mo        | 34.90 | 45.40 | 55.50 | 76.20 | 91.10 | 8.58                          | 36.49                            |
| Mo        | 2.10 | 3.00 | 4.20 | 5.20 | 6.20 | 9.66                          | 38.36                            |
| CHA       | 83.10 | 102.0 | 128.0 | 155.0 | 193.0 | 7.40                          | 43.29                            |
| Mo        | 1.20 | 2.10 | 2.80 | 3.70 | 4.30 | 11.19                         | 34.17                            |

$^{[b]}$ $k_1 = k_{obs}/[morpholine]$. Rate constants were calculated by the least-squares method and the correlation coefficient was 0.99 in most cases; $^{[b]}$$^{[b]}$$^{[b]}$ $pK_a$ values of CHA and Mo are 10.567 and 8.38 respectively.

### Table 5. Kinetics$^{[a]}$ of the reaction of 2 with CHA in the presence of Dabco in toluene at 40°C.

| [Dabco] mol·dm$^{-3}$ | [CHA] mol·dm$^{-3}$ | $10^4$ slope$^{[b]}$ |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| 0.00                  | 0.175               | 2.020                | 4.330               | 10.440              | 0.00                  | 10.790               | 4.300               | 810.00             |
| 0.175                 | 0.350               | 0.525               | 18.530              | 40.190              | 6.023               | 10.210               | 30.210              | 68.720             |
| 0.350                 | 0.525               | 0.699               | 26.240              | 49.580              | 0.874               | 42.300              | 63.00               | 37.00              |
| 0.699                 | 0.874               | 1.049               | 1.049               | 1.049               | 1.049               | 1.049               | 1.049               | 1.049              |

$^{[a]}$ $10^4 k_4$ s$^{-1}$·mole$^{-1}$; $^{[b]}$ the correlation coefficient 0.99.
2.3. Comparison between the Reactions of 1 and 2 with Mo, CHA and An in MeOH, AN and Tol

2.3.1. Relative Activation of a Ring-Nitrogen and 2-Nitro of Substrates 1 and 2
The bulk of the 2-substituent as well as its activating effect clearly have to be considered. The effect of changing the nature of the ring substituent on the rate constants $k_A$ is given in Tables 1 and 4. Kinetic data for the reactions of Mo, CHA and An with 1 and Mo, CHA with 2 in MeOH allow an assumption of the relative activation of a ring-nitrogen and a 2-nitro group expressed in terms of $(k_{2NO2}^{22}/k_{NO2}^3)$ ratio. Values for MeOH/Mo is $33 - 38$; AN/Mo is $\approx 7 - 9$ and Tol/Mo is $8 - 9$. The results show that the activation effects of the ortho substituents is in the order NO2 > ring aza. Hence, in the absence of steric encumbrance [37,56], the activating power of a 2-nitro group is greater than that of a ring-nitrogen in $S_NAr$. The low difference in rates can be attributed to the ground state stabilization [24,57] i.e. the mesomeric effect of the 4-nitro group, Figure 1; (b) the more efficient delocalization of the negative charge with a nitro group than with a ring-nitrogen in the transition state [58].

2.3.2. Effect of Solvent on Kinetic Results and Mechanism
It has long been recognized that the rate of reactions involving the formation of extensively ionic transition state from uncharged molecules are strongly solvent dependent. Methanol is much better than acetonitrile and greater than toluene at solvating charged polarizable species such as the zwitterions. In methanol, hydrogen bond is relatively weak and the intermediates, because they are zwitterionic in character, are extensively solvated. Toluene represents an ideal medium to promote the need for base catalysis for the decomposition of the intermediate. Therefore, aromatic nucleophilic substitution reactions are more prone to base catalysis in aprotic solvents of low relative permittivity than in polar and dipolar solvents [58].

It is observed that the reactions of 1 and 2 with Mo in the three solvents and with CHA and An in MeOH and AN proceeded by uncatalysed nucleophilic aromatic substitution, Tables 1 and 4. Therefore, the rate of the reaction is greatly depended on the effect of solvent on the stability of the zwitterionic intermediate.

Therefore, the increase in stability of the zwitterionic intermediate led to an increase in the rate constants, when the formation of intermediate is the rate limiting step and causes a decrease in rate when the departure of the leaving group is the controlling step. This stabilization arises from the intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the ammonium hydrogen and the ortho-nitro or the aza ring and the extensive solvation of the intermediate through intermolecular hydrogen bond. We do not neglect the solvation of amine.

Actually, the rate constants in MeOH showed lower values than that in AN which in turn showed different kinetic order in Tol; for the reactions of 1 with Mo, CHA and An and for the reactions of 2 with Mo and CHA. Compound 1 with Mo (Tol:AN:MeOH, 4:1:7:1), with CHA (AN:MeOH, 5:1), with An (AN:MeOH, 2-2.9:1); reaction of compound 2 with Mo (Tol:AN:MeOH 16-14:7-6:1) and with CHA (Tol:AN; 4:1).

Our results postulate that 1) methanol molecules extensively solvate the intermediate I and the amine and accordingly weaken the intramolecular hydrogen bonding in the intermediate I; 2) the dipolar solvent AN slightly solvates the amine and timely solvates the intermediate I by intermolecular hydrogen bond; 3) The higher rate constants for the reactions of compounds 1 and 2 with Mo in toluene compared to those in methanol and AN at all temperatures is due to the destabilization of the zwitterion intermediate which overcomes the maximization of intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the ammonium hydrogen and ortho-nitro or aza groups in the intermediate [37,40,41,59]. The higher rate constants of 1 and 2 with Mo and CHA in AN than in MeOH can be explained by the stabilization of the intermediate I by MeOH molecules through intermolecular hydrogen bonding interaction.

The relatively low $\Delta H^\circ$ values and the absence of amine catalysis in MeOH and AN, Table 1, suggest a fast proton transfer, Scheme 2. While the large negative $\Delta S^\circ$ in both solvents perhaps indicates intermolecular (in MeOH, Figure 2) and intra as well as intermolecular hydrogen-bond in AN, Figure 3 (cyclic transition state) and Figure 4 respectively.
2.3.3. The Effect of the Nature of Amines (Mo, CHA, An)

In general, base catalysis is more observed in less polar solvents. Unusually, when amines of the same nature and under the same experimental conditions react by different mechanism, there is considerable difference in their basicities which has been ascribed to an increase in the value of $k_1$ with decreasing basicity of the nucleophile $[57,59,60]$. pK_a values, measured in MeOH, for the conjugate acids of the amines are given in Table 1.

Generally, the rate constants in MeOH showed lower values than that in AN which in turn showed less rate constant values than that in Tol; for the reactions of 1 with Mo, CHA and An; and for the reactions of 2 with Mo and CHA, Table 1 and Table 6. These results indicate that for the uncatalysed reaction, the amine with less pK_a value (Mo) reacts with 1 and 2 faster than the reaction of CHA, that has higher pK_a value, with the same substrates. This is consistent with Scheme 3 in which the departure of the leaving group is the rate determining step.

2.3.4. The Activation Parameters $\Delta S^\#$ and $\Delta H^\#$

It seems that the reactions of (1, 2) with Mo, CHA and An proceed via very similar transition states, Figure 5. This involves much greater charge separation than exists in the reactants. The more polar nature of the transition state (than of the reactants) means that the change from reactants to transition state will be accompanied by considerable loss of freedom of the solvent and consequent decrease in entropy, Tables 1 and 4.

The low activation enthalpies $\Delta H^\#$ and the highly negative activation entropies $\Delta S^\#$, Table 1, are in accordance with the proposed transition state T_2^# for the aza compound 2, whereas compound 1 exhibit T_1^# (hydrogen bond to the o-nitro group). Such hydrogen bonding facilitates the attack of the amine (Mo, CHA, An) to form zwitterionic intermediate I. Actually, the energy of formation of the N-H…..O bond is ~2000 cal and that of N-H…..N is ~1930 cal $[37]$. The expulsion of OBt anion in the rate-determining step is assisted by the intramolecular hydrogen-bonding with the ammonio hydrogen present in T_1^#, which accordingly will lower the $\Delta H^#$ value, whereas the structured and rigid transition state reflects the observed large negative $\Delta S^#$ value.

Table 6. Comparison between the amines: Mo, CHA and An.

| Reaction of 1 with | Mo  | CHA | An  |
|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| MeOH              | 189-420 | 35-60 | 6.5-13.0 |
| Ratio             | 1   | 4.6-5.3 | 29-32   |
| AN                | 332.9-637.9 | 193-407 | 19.1-43.0 |
| Ratio             | 17  | 10  | 1   |

| Reaction of 2 with | Mo  | CHA | An  |
|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| MeOH              | 4.97-13.4 | 0.5-1.50 | unreactive |
| Ratio             | 8.93-9.9  | 1   | unreactive |
| AN                | 34.9-91.1 | 2.10-6.20 | unreactive |
| Ratio             | 14-16 | 1   | unreactive |

Figure 5. Possible transition states for the reaction of 1 and 2 with amines.
3. Conclusions
The kinetic data for the reactions of compounds 1 and 2 with Mo, An and CHA in MeOH and AN proceeded by uncatalysed mechanism in which the rate limiting step is the leaving group departure, whereas their reactions with Mo in toluene proceeded also by uncatalysed process, in which the formation of zwitterionic intermediate is the rate determining step. On the other hand, the reaction of 1 with CHA and An and the reaction of 2 with CHA in Tol proceeded by SB mechanism in which the rate determining step is the proton transfer process.

The rate for the reactions of (1, 2) with Mo, An and CHA depends on 1) the more efficient delocalization of the negative charge with a nitro group than with a ring-nitrogen in the transition state; 2) the stabilization of the formed activated complex by intramolecular hydrogen bonding in AN and Tol and by intermolecular hydrogen bonding in MeOH and AN; 3) the nucleophilicity of Mo, An and CHA.

4. Experimental Section
4.1. Materials
Methanol, Toluene and acetonitrile were obtained from PROLABO, BDH and were used without further purification. Aniline, cyclohexylamine and morpholine were obtained from Aldrich and were distilled before use. Melting points were determined with a Mel-Temp apparatus. Aniline, cyclohexylamine and morpholine were obtained from Aldrich and were distilled before use.

4.2. Synthesis of the Starting Materials
4.2.1. Preparation of 1-Hydroxybenzotriazole: (HOBt)
1-Chloro-2-nitrobenzene (0.675 g, 5 mmol) and (1 mL, 15 mmol) of hydrazine hydrate were dissolved in 30 mL ethanol. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 24 hours, after removing the solvent in vacuum, the residue was dissolved in aqueous Na2CO3 (10%) solution. The solution was washed with ether to remove the starting material and acidified with concentrated HCl to precipitate the product. The product was washed with water and recrystallized from ether [61].

4.2.2. Preparation of 1-(2,4-Dinitrophenoxy)-1H-benzo[d][1,2,3]Triazole 1
1-Chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (1) (2.025 g, 10 mmol) was added to a mixture of 1-hydroxy benzotriazole (1.35 g, 10 mmol) and Et3N (1.4 mL, 10 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (5 mL). Then the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature and then was refluxed on water bath for 2 hours. The crude product was filtered, washed with cold water and recrystallized from ethanol. The purity was checked by TLC (1:4, methanol:chloroform). Yield: 1.5 g (78%); m.p.: 141°C. UV (methanol) λ nm: λmax = 250 nm (c = 22210); UV (toluene) λ nm: λmax = 291 (c = 6080); UV (acetoni trile) λ nm: λmax = 297 (c = 2830) and λ = 236 (c = 16990). IR (KBr): 1535, 1345, (NO2 asym and sym. respectively), 1073 (C-O ether) cm⁻¹. 1H NMR [(CD3Cl)]: δ 6.98 (d, 1H, J = 9.2 Hz, Ar-H), 7.56 (t, 1H, J = 7.7 Hz, Ar-H), 7.60 - 7.67 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 8.16 (d, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz, Ar-H), 8.39 (dd, 1H, J = 9.2, 2.3 Hz, Ar-H), 9.02 (d, 1H, J = 2.3 Hz, Ar-H). C12H7N5O5: Requires: C, 46.07; H, 2.98; N, 22.47%.

4.2.3. Preparation of 1-(5-Nitropyridin-2-yloxy)-1H-Benzo[d][1,2,3]Triazole 2
2-Chloro-5-Nitro pyridine (1.585 g, 10 mmol) was added to a mixture of 1-hydroxy benzotriazole (1.35 g, 10 mmol) and Et3N (1.4 mL, 10 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (5 mL). Then the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature and then was refluxed on water bath for 2 hours. The crude product was filtered, washed with cold water and recrystallized from ethanol. The purity was checked by TLC (2:8 Ethyl acetate: n-Hexane). Yield: 1.2 g, 80%; m.p.: 130°C. UV (methanol) λ nm: λmax = 254.5 nm (c = 18990); UV (toluene) λ nm: λmax = 289.5 (c = 9580); UV (acetoni trile) λ nm: λmax = 284 (c = 6230) and λ = 240 (c = 17190). IR (KBr): 1560 (C=N), 1522, 1359 (NO2 asym and sym. respectively), 1207 (C-O ether) cm⁻¹. 1H NMR [(CDCl3)]: δ 7.26 (d, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz, Py-H4), 7.38 (m, 2H, Ar-HOBt), 7.46 (d, 1H, J = 6.9 Hz, Ar-HOBt), 8.10 (d, 1H, J = 6.9 Hz, Py-H4), 8.65 (t, 1H, J = 6.1 Hz, Ar-HOBt), 8.90 (d, 1H, J = 2.3 Hz, Py-H6). C12H8N2O5: Requires: C, 54.54; H, 4.23; N, 24.49, O, 16.77%. Found: C, 54.78; H, 4.6; N, 24.11, O, 16.37%.

4.3. Synthesis of the Reaction Products
4.3.1. Preparation of N-cyclohexyl-2,4-Dinitroaniline 3
The reaction of 2,4-dinitrochlorobenzene (0.5 g, 2.5 mmol), with cyclohexylamine (1.5 mL, 13 mmol) in absolute methanol (10 mL) was refluxed for five hours. Work up afforded a yellow precipitate which was filtered and
crystallized from benzene-petroleum ether as yellow crystals (0.52 g, 79.42%), m.p. = 212°C - 213°C (Lit [46] m.p. 214.1°C). The purity was checked by TLC (1:9 ethylacetate: n-hexane). UV (methanol) λ nm: λ_{\text{max}} = 346 (ε = 14210) and λ = 212 (ε = 14399); UV (toluene) λ nm: λ_{\text{max}} = 346 (ε = 17690); UV (acetonitrile) λ nm: λ_{\text{max}} = 368 (ε = 14332) and λ = 218 (ε = 14822).

4.3.2. Preparation of 4-(2,4-Dinitrophenyl)morpholine 4
The reaction of 2,4-dinitrochlorobenzene (0.5 g, 2.5 mmol), with morpholine (1.25 mL, 13 mmol) in absolute methanol (10 mL) was refluxed for five hours. Work up afforded a yellow precipitate which was filtered and crystallized from benzene-petroleum ether as yellow crystals (0.6 g, 70%), m.p. = 220°C - 221°C (Lit [47] m.p. 221.9°C). The purity was checked by TLC (1:9 ethylacetate: n-hexane). UV (acetonitrile): λ_{\text{max}} = 375 nm (ε = 14160); UV (methanol): λ_{\text{max}} = 372 nm (ε = 15980); UV (toluene): λ_{\text{max}} = 372 nm (ε = 15170).

4.3.3. Preparation of 2,4-Dinitro-N-phenylaniline 5
The reaction of 2-chloro-5-nitropyridine (0.5 g, 2.0 mmol), with cyclohexylamine (1.5 mL, 13 mmol) in absolute methanol (10 mL) was refluxed for five hours. Work up afforded a dark yellow precipitate which was filtered and crystallized from benzene-petroleum ether as a dark yellow crystals (0.7 g, 71.42%), m.p. = 212°C - 213°C (Lit [50] m.p. 140°C - 142°C). The purity was checked by TLC (1:9 ethylacetate: n-hexane). UV (acetonitrile): λ_{\text{max}} = 375 nm (ε = 24720); UV (methanol): λ_{\text{max}} = 375 nm (ε = 20200); UV (toluene): λ_{\text{max}} = 370 nm (ε = 22380).

4.4. Kinetic Measurements
The kinetics of 1-(1-hydroxybenzotriazolyl)-2,4-dinitrobenezene 1,2-(1-hydroxy-benzotriazolyl) 5-nitropyridine 2 with cyclohexylamine, morpholine and aniline in methanol, acetonitrile and toluene was measured spectro-photometrically using a Shimadzu (UV-160A) spectrophotometer in conjunction with a Shimadzu thermo bath (TB-85). Temperature control (±0.1°C) was attained by circulating water through cell compartments. The kinetic runs were carried out at five temperatures (15°C - 50°C) in the same solvents and the absorbance variation with time were recorded at wave length λ = 370 nm, λ = 346 nm, λ = 370 nm for the product of the reaction depending on the nature of the amine. All reactions were carried out under pseudo-first-order conditions, with various concentrations of amine ranges from 1 to 10^{-3} M and final concentration of substrates 1, 2 (1 × 10^{-4} mol·dm^{-3}) were used. The pseudo-first-order rate constants k_{\text{obs}} were estimated by applying Equation (5).

\[
\log(A_0 - A) = \frac{-k_{\text{obs}}t}{2.303} + \log(A_0 - A_\infty)
\]

where A_0, A, and A_\infty are the values of absorbance at zero time, time t and at the end of the reaction, respectively. The A_\infty for each run was taken as the experimentally determined values and k_{\text{obs}} is the pseudo-first-order rate constant.

Plots of k_{\text{obs}} values verses at least six amine concentrations gave straight lines passing through the origin with slope equal to the second-order rate constants (k_2) for the reaction in acetonitrile, methanol and toluene. Except for 1 and 2 with CHA in toluene and 1 with An in toluene the plots of k_{\text{obs}} versus square amine concentrations gave straight lines passing through the origin with slope equal to the third-order rate constants (k_3). Whereas, plots of k_3 values verses amine concentration gave parabolic curve for the reaction of 1 and 2 with CHA in toluene.
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