Assessment of Hematological Bio Markers during Vaccination and Challenge of *Brucella melitensis* in Goats

**Abstract**

*Brucella melitensis* affects both animals and humans and causes severe economic losses mainly due to abortions, stillbirths and reproductive disorders in animals besides being potentially hazardous to the animal handlers. The losses can be prevented and controlled through the use of Rev1 vaccine. The protective ability of Rev1 against locally prevalent virulent strain and its ability to induce adaptive immune response involve cellular interactions depending upon pathophysiological changes and induction of immune response. Thus to assess the changes in haematological parameters like number and types of circulating cells in blood, pure bred *Brucella* free native Jamunapari adult goats were vaccinated with Rev1 and challenged 28th day post vaccination with locally prevalent virulent *Brucella melitensis* biovar3 Indian isolate. The blood samples were collected from healthy, vaccinated and challenged goats at different intervals and subjected to assess fourteen different haematological parameters till 90th day post challenge. The application of Rev1 vaccine in pure bred Jamunapari goats did not adversely influenced majority of blood parameters. Moreover, the percentage of lymphocytes, granulocytes and monocytes in total white blood cell count during vaccination with live Rev1 vaccine does not have any conclusive assessment. Challenge with live virulent culture increased granulocytes initially but later on lymphocytes number increased significantly. The number of monocytes, the cells mainly involved in brucella clearance from infected cells increased initially after challenge but overall cell percentage remained almost unaltered. Out of fourteen blood parameters under study, it is only the total count of lymphocytes and granulocytes that can be used as haematological biomarkers to draw some inference regarding the status of infection in vaccinated animals.
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**Introduction**

*Brucellosis*, particularly due to *Brucella melitensis* is a well established world wide zoonotic disease. It affects both animals and humans and causes severe economic losses worldwide including India [1,2]. These economic losses are mainly due to abortions, stillbirths and reproductive disorders in animals besides being potentially hazardous to the animal handlers. Infected parturitions (normal birth or abortion) and infected males play an important role in the spread of infection in herds [2]. The 13.4% of kid’s loss have been reported owing to abortions and stillbirth in semi-intensive managed goat herds in India [3]. The control of *B. melitensis* infection is necessary to reduce livestock economic losses as well as to avoid zoonotic transfer. Thus human losses in the form of lost man days and medical consequences in human can be prevented and controlled through the use of vaccines [1]. Presently available and well established vaccine Rev1, a live *B. melitensis* vaccine, is the most widely used vaccine in control programmes against brucellosis in small ruminants all over the world [4]. However, Rev1 vaccine shows a considerable degree of virulence and induces abortions when the first vaccine dose is administered during pregnancy. The full dose vaccine also leads to release of *Brucella* in milk that can be the source of human transmission [4,5]. Due to these constraints Rev1 has not been accepted in India for prevention/ control or eradication of brucellosis in sheep and goats. Moreover, protective ability against locally prevalent virulent strain and its ability to induce adaptive immune response in native breed are always under question as protective efficacy of Rev1 vaccine greatly depends on the *Brucella* virulence and challenge dose presented to host species. The genetic makeup of host also affects the effectiveness and protective ability of vaccine [6,7]. All these interactions involve cellular interactions depending upon pathophysiological changes and induction of immune response. These can be well reflected by the changes in number and types of cells in blood and other blood parameters. These parameters can be easily analyzed. Thus considering all the existing issues and to address the need of hour in light of Indian scenario, the present study was designed to assess the impact of vaccination with live Rev1 vaccine and challenge of local virulent strain *Brucella melitensis* biovar 3 IND1 on various hematological bio markers in pure bred Jamunapari goats.

**Material and Methods**

**Approval of IAEC**

Before the start of study, proposal was submitted to Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC) of CIRG, Makhdoom. After the approval of respective IAEC, study was conducted in animals as per the guidelines of CPCSEA.
Experimental goats

Pure bred apparently healthy non pregnant Brucella free Jamunapari adult female goats aged between 2-3 years without any history of abortions were selected from Jamunapari Goat shed, ICAR-Central Research Institute on Goats (CIRG), Makhdoom, Farha, Mathura (India). Selected goats were tested twice at the interval of 28 days for Brucella serum antibodies by RBPT; STAT; indirect ELISA and PCR based genus specific amplification from discharges and serum. Three goats found negative twice at the interval of 28 days in RBPT, STAT, indirect ELISA and PCR based genus specific amplification were selected and separated for the study.

Place of research work: The study was performed at ICAR-Central Institute for Research on Goats (CIRG), Makhdoom, Farha, Mathura, India. All the selected goats were shifted and maintained in challenge shed of Division of Animal Health, CIRG, Makhdoom, Farha, Mathura (India). All the goats were reared as per the guide lines of CPCSEA with good management practices (GMP) and were provided feed and water ad libitum.

Vaccine: Rev.1 vaccine consisting of Elberg 101 strain was used in study. The Vaccine was procured from Indian Immunological Limited (IIL), Hyderabad.

Challenge strain: Virulent bacterial strain, isolated from stomach content of aborted fetus of Brucella melitensis biovars 3 infected goat was confirmed and submitted to VTCC (Accession no. VTCCBA228) was used to challenge vaccinated animals. The full genome sequence of the organism has been submitted to NCBI, Data Bank and has been assigned the name of Brucella melitensis biovar 3IND1 (Bmb3IND1).

Vaccination and challenge protocol:

The pure bred apparently healthy non pregnant Brucella free Jamunapari adult female goats (n=3) were vaccinated with standard Rev.1 (IIL, Hyderabad) vaccine as per the manufacturer recommendations. The vaccinated animals were challenged on 28th day post vaccination with live virulent B. melitensis biovar 3 IND1 cultures (106 CFU) through subcutaneous route and monitored for till sacrificed on 90th day of challenge.

Collection of blood samples: The blood was collected before the start of study and during the complete study period on 14th, 28th day of vaccination and then 14th, 28th, 60th and 90th day post challenge. EDTA coated vacutainers (BD Biosciences) were used for the collection of whole blood. The collected samples were immediately transported to laboratory for the analysis of haematological biomarkers.

Haematology of whole blood samples: All the blood samples collected in EDTA coated sterile vacutainers in goats were subjected to haematological examination with auto analyzer to assess the effect of vaccine on 14 blood parameters including white blood cell (WBC) count, blood lymphocyte, monocytes, granulocytes count and percentage, blood erythrocytes (RBC) count, mean corpuscular volume (MCV), haematocrit (HCT), mean corpuscular haemoglobin (MCH), mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (MCHC), Hb and THR profiles.

Statistical analysis: Various parameters were expressed as mean ± SE. Mean of parameters at different time intervals after vaccination and challenge were compared using ANOVA [8]. A value of P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Whole blood samples collected from experimental goats during study were subjected to assess various blood haematological parameters with the help of auto analyzer within an hour of collection of blood sample. A total 14 parameters were recorded (Table 1), (Figures 1-12). White blood cell counts reduced significantly in vaccinated animals after vaccination and remained maintained up to 14th after challenge. Then it increased slightly on 28th day in comparison to initial decrease and maintained up to 90th day post challenge. There was no significant difference between post vaccination and post challenge level of white blood cells count (Table 1), (Figure 1). In white blood cells the percentage of lymphocytes significantly increased after vaccination and continues to increase up to 28th day of vaccination. Challenge drastically reduced the lymphocyte percentage 14th day post challenge that recovered to reach at the normal level on 28th day post challenge but continue to increase up to 60th day post challenge making it significantly higher to normal values and finally it comes back to normal values on 90th day post challenge. The lymphocyte percentage at 28th day post challenge was significantly lower to the values on the same day post vaccination (Table 1), (Figure 2). The blood monocytes percentage profile showed significant decline after Rev.1 vaccination. It slightly recovered back after challenge but never reached to its normal values even after 90th day post challenge. There was no significant difference between post vaccination and post challenge vales of monocytes percentages (Table 1), (Figure 3). Granulocytes are suggestive of bacterial infection and vaccination significantly reduced granulocytes percentage up to 28th day post vaccination. The application of virulent challenge strain significantly increased the percentage of granulocytes 14th day post challenge in comparison to healthy animals and vaccinated animals. These values were restored thereafter and reached to normal values on 90th day post challenge (Table 1), (Figure 4). The counting of lymphocyte decreased significantly 14th day post vaccination and almost recovered back to 28th day post vaccination. The challenge with virulent strain again significantly reduced the lymphocyte count in comparison to healthy as well as vaccinated animal. These reduced values showed the trend of increase on 90th day post challenge but the value was significantly lower to healthy animals (Table 1), (Figure 5). Similarly, blood monocytes count significantly reduced 14th day post vaccination and recovered on 28th day post vaccination. The challenge further significantly reduced monocytes in circulation with trend of recovery 28th day post challenge. However, the number of circulating monocytes was significantly lower to the number after vaccination (Table 1), (Figure 6). As expected the total granulocytes count increased significantly after vaccination and challenge. The trend of increase also followed similar trend in both the conditions and even after 90th day of post challenge level was higher in comparison to healthy animals (Table 1), (Figure 7). On vaccination blood RBC count remained almost unaltered showing non-significant difference on vaccination and challenge (Table 1), (Figure 8). Likewise the values of MCV, Hct and MCH parameters also showed the trend of non significant variation with marginal changes (Table 1), (Figure 9-11). MCHC values increased slightly after vaccination. The reverse trend was observed in challenged animals with
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reducing values till 60th day of challenge and finally ended with recovery trend on 90th day post challenge (Table 1), (Figure 12). Blood Hb showed almost similar profile in all vaccinated as well as challenged animals and remained almost stable during whole period of study (Table 1). However, challenge slightly reduced the values up to 60th day post challenge. The THR profile of vaccinated and challenged animals showed slight decrease after challenges and recovered 60th day post challenge (Table 1). The blood MPV profile was almost maintained after vaccination but challenged animals showed increasing trend till 90th day post challenge. The values differed non significantly to vaccinated and healthy goats (Table 1).

Table 1: Effect of vaccination and challenge on Goat Haematology profile of purebred Jamunapari goats.

| Parameters | Post Vaccination Schedule | Post Challenge Schedule |
|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
|            | 0 day | 14th day | 28th day | 14th day | 28th day | 60th day | 90th day |
| WBC (in millions) | 27.13±1.73 | 21.95*±2.44 | 17.78*±5.32 | 17.07*±2.72 | 19.32*±5.27 | 21.14*±2.62 | 19.02*±3.39 |
| Lymphocytes (%) | 33.07±8.03 | 39.33*±0.56 | 42.77*±1.78 | 22.13*±3.72 | 31.20*±5.25 | 40.20*±0.53 | 32.40±0.59 |
| Monocytes (%) | 3.10±0.17 | 2.37*±0.58 | 2.33*±0.97 | 2.50*±0.71 | 2.67*±0.65 | 2.60*±0.93 | 2.63*±0.91 |
| Granulocytes (%) | 63.83±8.11 | 59.30±2.04 | 54.90*±2.31 | 75.37*±4.40 | 66.13±5.61 | 56.20*±0.75 | 64.97±1.30 |
| Lymphocytes (m/mm3) | 11.94±1.49 | 8.40*±0.41 | 10.00±1.42 | 6.53*±1.80 | 6.12*±0.41 | 6.60*±0.52 | 7.35*±0.84 |
| Monocytes (m/mm3) | 0.75±0.18 | 0.52*±0.15 | 0.64±0.13 | 0.45*±0.18 | 0.59*±0.10 | 0.55±0.20 | 0.63±0.07 |
| Granulocytes (m/mm3) | 11.44±0.30 | 14.03*±1.18 | 15.94*±0.96 | 13.09±1.07 | 14.35*±4.01 | 15.99*±2.26 | 13.52±2.26 |
| RBC (m/mm3) | 9.47±0.54 | 9.26±0.61 | 9.21±0.60 | 8.75±0.89 | 8.97±1.00 | 10.26±1.01 | 9.33±1.28 |
| MCV (fl) | 13.50±0.40 | 13.47±0.44 | 10.40*±3.03 | 13.93±0.68 | 14.17±0.87 | 14.30±0.93 | 14.01±1.05 |
| Hct (%) | 12.67±0.38 | 12.37±0.54 | 12.63±1.08 | 12.13±1.38 | 12.63±1.45 | 14.57±1.58 | 13.47±1.86 |
| MCH (pg) | 5.27±0.03 | 5.47±0.12 | 5.43±0.03 | 5.10±0.25 | 4.93±0.22 | 5.00±0.20 | 5.00±0.20 |
| MCHC (g/dl) | 39.90±1.01 | 41.10±1.19 | 40.23±1.44 | 37.20±0.53 | 35.27±0.83 | 35.63±1.20 | 36.10±1.13 |
| Hb (g/dl) | 5.07±0.26 | 5.10±0.36 | 5.07±0.35 | 4.53±0.58 | 4.47±0.57 | 5.20±0.60 | 4.73±0.74 |
| THR (m/mm3) | 278.00±48.39 | 278.67±51.85 | 275.33±68.56 | 249.67±61.17 | 244.33±69.94 | 259.67±87.19 | 241.67±80.43 |

Figure 1: White blood cells (WBC) profile.
*significantly different (p<0.05) in comparison to normal values.

Figure 2: Lymphocyte percentage profile.
*significantly different (p<0.05) in comparison to normal values.

Figure 3: Monocytes percentage profile.
*significantly different (p<0.05) in comparison to normal values.

Figure 4: Granulocytes percentage profile.
*significantly different (p<0.05) in comparison to normal values.
#significantly different (p<0.05) in comparison to respective post vaccination and post challenge values.
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Figure 5: Lymphocytes profile. *significantly different (p<0.05) in comparison to normal values. #significantly different (p<0.05) in comparison to respective post vaccination and post challenge values.

Figure 6: Monocytes profile. *significantly different (p<0.05) in comparison to normal values. #significantly different (p<0.05) in comparison to respective post vaccination and post challenge values.

Figure 7: Granulocytes profile. *significantly different (p<0.05) in comparison to normal values.

Figure 8: Blood RBC profile.

Figure 9: Blood MCV profile. *significantly different (p<0.05) in comparison to normal values.

Figure 10: Blood Haematocrit profile.

Figure 11: Blood MCH profile.

Figure 12: Blood MCHC profile. *significantly different (p<0.05) in comparison to normal values.
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Discussion

The protective efficacy of a vaccine greatly depends on the Brucella virulence and challenge dose. In this regard, based on a substantial data dedicated to standardize the mouse model for vaccine testing, a standardized protocol for controlling the quality of B. melitensis Rev.1 vaccines has been accepted by the World Organization for Animal Health [9]. The vaccine efficacy is generally analyzed 2 weeks after challenge, when the differences between the reference-vaccinated and unvaccinated controls have reached at maximum [7]. Considering these facts, goats were challenged 28th day post vaccination and simplified profiles showed nonsignificant variation in healthy, vaccinated and challenged goats. Thus these parameters of no use in protection as well as challenge studies performed in Pure bred indigenous Jamunapari Goats. The percentage of lymphocytes and granulocytes in circulation are of prime importance. The neutrophils are probably the first immune associated cells in the host defense to encounter Brucella. Rapid phagocytosis of virulent and attenuated Brucella strains by neutrophils occurs only after opsonization with normal serum [12], suggesting that opsonization is a prerequisite for phagocytosis. However, the survival of Brucella in neutrophils during early infection has been observed [13], suggesting that the transportation of Brucella to lymphoid tissues can be mediated by neutrophils [14]. Moreover, monocytic membrane-bound thrombomodulin (TM), a component in CD14/TLR4/MD-2 complex participates in the LPS- and Gram-negative bacteria-induced inflammatory response [15]. The inhibition of bacterial dissemination with a deficiency of myeloid TM may be caused by the early increase in neutrophil infiltration. Later on it enters into macrophages and requires cellular immune response for the clearance of Brucella [15]. For this clearance, the main role is played by lymphocytes so their number in circulation also play crucial role [16]. Appreciable granulocytes in the haematological profile are suggestive of bacterial infection. Both vaccine and challenge strain being live, increase in granulocyte number and percentage immediately after vaccination and challenge in particularly on 14th day were suggestive of good interaction of brucella in circulation and play crucial role in humoral immune response. This increased granulocyte count is expected to transport Brucella to lymphoid tissues [14], and thus with the internalization of Brucella organism, cytotoxic T cells become activated increasing proliferation of lymphocyte and finally leading to cell mediated immune response. The higher values of granulocyte in challenged animals indicated more virulence of organisms. The lymphocyte/granulocyte proportions in blood vary according to animal species and breed and may range in between 80/15 % to 90/9 % on Brucella infection [7]. It has been suggested that a phenotype may be related to Brucella clearance due to the regulatory action which neutrophils can display over macrophages [17]. The increase of lymphocytes percentage in total white blood cell count is suggestive of enhanced cellular response after vaccination which is a requisite to avoid its colonization and thus, to overcome Brucella infection [7]. In brucellosis, APCs such as monocytes play major roles as sentinels for first line alerts or as mediators that shape the adaptive immune response [18]. For clearance of brucella number of monocytes increased in circulation but overall percentage in total cell count remained almost un altered as clearance involved the engulfment and clearance of infected lymphocytes from circulation as well as from tissues. The challenge with virulent culture showed initial rise in bacterial count in circulation attracting granulocytes as first line of defense but internalization of organism insisted increase in lymphocyte count.

Conclusion

The present study revealed that out of commonly used fourteen hematological parameters only few revealed its significant role in protection as well as challenge studies performed in Pure bred indigenous Jamunapari Goats. The percentage of lymphocytes and granulocytes in circulation with live Rev.1 vaccine does not have any conclusive assessment. It is only the count that can be used to draw any inference. The blood level of granulocytes, monocytes and lymphocytes in number can only be correlated with the impact of vaccine as well as challenge with virulent strain, thus can be used as hematological biomarkers.
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