Lack of Detection of Bt Sugarcane Cry1Ab and NptII DNA and Proteins in Sugarcane Processing Products Including Raw Sugar
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Brazil is the largest sugarcane producer and the main sugar exporter in the world. The industrial processes applied by Brazilian mills are very efficient in producing highly purified sugar and ethanol. Literature presents evidence of lack of DNA/protein in these products, regardless of the nature of sugarcane used as raw material. Recently CTNBio, the Brazilian biosafety authority, has approved the first biotechnology-derived sugarcane variety for cultivation, event CTC175-A, which expresses the Cry1Ab protein to control the sugarcane borer (Diatraea saccharalis). The event also expresses neomycin-phosphotransferase type II (NptII) protein used as selectable marker during the transformation process. Because of the high purity of sugar and ethanol produced from genetically modified sugarcane, these end-products should potentially be classified as “pure substances, chemically defined,” by Brazilian Biosafety Law No. 11.105. If this classification is to be adopted, these substances are not considered as “GMO derivatives” and fall out of the scope of Law No. 11.105. In order to assess sugar composition and quality, we evaluate Cry1Ab and NptII expression in several sugarcane tissues and in several fractions from laboratory-scale processing of event CTC175-A for the presence of these heterologous proteins as well as for the presence of traces of recombinant DNA. The results of these studies show that CTC175-A presents high expression of Cry1Ab in leaves and barely detectable expression of heterologous proteins in stalks. We also evaluated the presence of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase protein and DNA in the fractions of the industrial processing of conventional Brazilian sugarcane cultivars. Results from both laboratory and industrial processing were concordant, demonstrating that DNA and protein are not detected in the clarified juice and downstream processed fractions, including ethanol and raw sugar, indicating that protein and DNA are removed and/or degraded during processing. In conclusion, the processing of conventional sugarcane and CTC175-A Bt event results in downstream products with no detectable concentrations of heterologous DNA or new protein. These results help in the classification of sugar and ethanol derived from CTC175-A event as pure, chemically defined substances in Brazil and may relieve regulatory burdens in countries that import Brazilian sugar.
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INTRODUCTION

Brazil is the largest sugarcane producer and sugar exporter in the world. With an estimated planted area of 9.1 million ha and a total annual yield of 694.54 million tons of sugarcane, Brazil produces an estimated 39.8 million tons of sugar almost entirely devoted to use as a food ingredient. Ethanol fuel production for the domestic and international markets is also an important use of Brazilian sugarcane, representing half of total annual sugarcane yield. Agricultural biotechnology has been used widely in Brazil for almost 20 years in crops such as soybeans, maize, and cotton and recently the Brazilian biosafety authority CTNBio has approved the first biotechnology-derived sugarcane variety for cultivation.

Sugarcane yield is negatively impacted by pests and diseases typically seen in tropical cultivation conditions. A major insect pest impacting Brazilian sugarcane produce is the sugarcane borer (Diatraea saccharalis). Infestation by this pest has been shown to reduce shoots, tillers, and plant weight, increase lodging, produce drying of young spindle leaves, and allow infections by opportunistic microorganisms, including bacteria and fungi. Yield losses in excess of 10% and a negative impact on sugar quality (increased levels of secondary metabolites such as dextrans and poor color characteristics) are common as a result of borer infestation (Precetti and Téran, 1983; Precetti et al., 1988; Botelho and Macedo, 2002). Centro de Tecnologia Canavieira (CTC), one of the major suppliers of adapted sugarcane germplasms in Brazil, has developed event CTB141175/01-A (abbreviated here as CTC175-A), which expresses the Cry1Ab protein in leaf tissue to control the sugarcane borer. The event also expresses the neomycin-phosphotransferase type II (NptII) protein used as selectable marker during transformation process. The food/feed and environmental safety of event CTC175-A was extensively evaluated by CTNBio, the Brazilian regulatory authority. Vegetative “seed cane” propagation has begun in controlled field conditions leading to commercial sugar production in Brazil in the 2020 timeframe.

Sugarcane juice is extracted from sugarcane stalks which are pressed to release the primary juice (tandem mill); alternatively, shredded cane is extensively rinsed and percolated with recycling ~80°C water to obtain the primary juice (diffuser mill). The residual fibrous material (Bagasse) is typically dried and used as boiler fuel and the surplus is burned to produce electric energy sold to the public grid. In the second phase, primary juice is filtered and clarified by heating at 105°C for 3 h in the presence of lime (calcium hydroxide) and/or a flocculent to precipitate plant macromolecules (protein, DNA, fiber, etc.). The resulting heavy precipitate, called “mud,” forms which is separated from the juice in the clarifier, and then filtered to produce filter cake which is removed. The resulting clarified juice (14–20°Brix) is concentrated by vacuum evaporation, at an initial temperature of approximately 110°C which then is decreased to 85–90°C, with concomitant increase of vacuum. This evaporation step finishes when syrup of around 65°Brix is produced (Hugot, 1969; Bruijn, 1998). This syrup is concentrated, at 70°C, in a vacuum evaporative crystallizer to produce raw sugar. The first round of sugar crystallization is performed in around 2–3 h but the process can be repeated several times until no more sucrose crystallizes (Hugot, 1969; Bruijn, 1998). The residual liquid called molasses is mixed with sugarcane juice and yeast and fermented to produce ethanol. After recovery of the ethanol the residual fermentation solids are removed by centrifugation to yield vinasse which is typically used as fertilizer. The next process is the refining of the raw sugar to refined sugar which is the final food ingredient (Figure 1).

Therefore, the processes of extraction, raw sugar production, and refining involves multiple steps involving conditions known to denature, precipitate, and eliminate DNA and protein macromolecules found in low concentrations in sugarcane stalks (Cheavegatti-Gianotto et al., 2011; Cullis et al., 2014). As a result, OECD states that sugar is a very purified substance as raw sugar is typically 97–98% sucrose, whereas refined sugar purity is about 99.93% sucrose. The remaining impurities in refined sugar are water, inverted or reducing sugars (glucose and fructose), ash, colored components, and other organic non-sugar compounds (OECD, 2011).

Unlike other sugarcane producer countries, in Brazil, molasses is almost entirely used for biofuel production, and Brazilian mills do not produce alcoholic beverages, known as “rum,” from this residue. A Brazilian sugarcane spirit, known as cachaca or aguardente, is produced directly from fresh fermented sugarcane juice, in industrial or artisanal facilities which are distinct from sugarcane mills devoted to sugar and ethanol production. In those facilities, after being extracted, the juice is fermented by yeasts to produce the “wine.” This wine is then boiled in copper stills giving rise to vapors that are then condensed by cooling producing a liquid with high alcohol content (38–54%GL). The liquid obtained in the initial distillation phase is discarded due to the presence of compounds that are more volatile than ethanol. The last fraction of distillation is also discarded due the presence of low volatile substances. In practice, only the middle fraction of distillation, representing 75–85%, is used for consumption. After distillation, this fraction is filtered and consumed directly or after aged in wood barrels. The vast majority of this cachaca production is devoted to domestic market. The steps of boiling and distilling required for cachaca production are likely to remove traces of protein and DNA from the final product.
Due to extremely harsh conditions of sugarcane processing and the resulting purity of those substances, sugar and ethanol produced from all sugarcane, including genetically modified sugarcane, should potentially be classified as "pure substances, chemically defined," by Brazilian Biosafety Law No. 11.105. One of the requirements for this classification is that the substance should not have the GMO itself, neither heterologous protein/DNA in its final composition. If this classification is to be adopted, these substances are not considered as "GMO derivatives" according to Brazilian Biosafety Law. Additionally, this information is important for importer countries to evaluate the food safety of sugar derived from CTC175-A event. The rationale behind the food risk assessment is that the absence, or presence at extremely low levels of heterologous protein in the article of commerce (sugar) would lead to extremely high consumption safety margins due to none/very low exposure to the heterologous protein. By the scientific point of view, this information, in conjunction with the well established safety of Cry1Ab and NptII proteins, should lessen the safety concerns of using sugar derived from CTC175-A as a food ingredient (Kennedy et al., in this issue).

Several experiments, described here, were conducted on conventional sugarcane or on event CTC175-A in Brazil. Specifically, studies evaluated the original expression levels of Cry1Ab and NptII in CTC175-A tissues, and the fate of total protein, Cry1Ab and NptII protein and DNA during processing of event CTC175-A sugarcane. Other studies on conventional sugarcane examined the effects of processing on ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO), DNA, and protein. Results indicate that CTC175-A expresses heterologous proteins in very low levels at the sugarcane juice, the raw material for sugar and ethanol production, and that sugarcane processing degrades/removes protein and DNA leading to the production of sugar and ethanol in which these substances are not identified by conventional detection techniques.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sugarcane Event CTC175-A Expression Cassettes and Newly Expressed Proteins**

Event CTC175-A sugarcane was obtained using biolistic plant transformation, by inserting a DNA fragment containing the expression cassettes for the cry1Ab and nptII genes into sugarcane variety CTC20, a commercially grown conventional variety cultivated in the Center-South region of Brazil. The DNA fragment used in transformation contains the expression cassettes of the cry1Ab gene, which encodes a 648-amino acid Bacillus thuringiensis protein, and the nptII gene, which encodes 263 amino acid type II neomycin phosphotransferase (Figure 2).

Cry1Ab is a well-studied insecticidal protein, which confers resistance to certain lepidopteran pests including the sugarcane borer (D. saccharalis), while NptII is used as a selectable marker used in the transformation process that confers resistance to aminoglycoside-type antibiotics such as neomycin. The expression of the cry1Ab and nptII genes is regulated by the promoters of the corn Pepcarboxylase gene (PEPC) and the ubiquitin gene of the corn (ubi-1), respectively. Both genes utilize the nopaline synthase terminator (NOS), from Agrobacterium tumefaciens.
The *cry1Ab* gene present in event CTC175-A corresponds to a synthetic and truncated DNA sequence (Koziel et al., 1992). This sequence had its nucleotides synthetically optimized using preferred codons to enhance expression in corn. The *nptII* gene is derived from the Tn5 transposon of *Escherichia coli* (Fraley et al., 1983).

### Sugarcane Field Agronomic Management

In order to comply with Normative Resolution No. 05 from CTNBio (Comissão Técnica Nacional de Biossegurança—Brazilian Technical Biosafety Commission) which requires evaluation of environmental, food, and feed biosafety; and to analyze its phenotypic performance, the event CTC175-A was planted in six locations representative of the crop area of the progenitor cultivar CTC20 in Brazilian Center-South (Paranavai—Paraná State, Uberlândia—Minas Gerais State, Montividiu—Goiás State; Conchal, Piracicaba, and Jaboticabal— São Paulo State), in the season 2014/2015.

In each location, standard agronomic practices for sugarcane cultivation (soil preparation, fertilization, pest management) were applied evenly throughout the experiment. Treatments (event CTC175-A and the conventional CTC20) were allocated within each block, forming the plots or experimental units. Each plot was represented by four rows of 10 m spaced by 1.5 m adding up an area of 6.0 m × 10.0 m. The experiments were arranged in a randomized complete block-design with 4 replications. In order to assess Cry1Ab and NptII levels at a time representative of harvest and processing to sugar, tissue samples were collected 365 days after planting in field experiments. All experimental fields were conducted under the official CTNBio approvals obtained through compliance with Normative Resolution No. 06.

### Evaluation of Cry1Ab and NptII Expressions in CTC175-A Tissues

In order to evaluate expression levels of proteins Cry1Ab and NptII in CTC175-A event, samples of leaves, stalks, and roots were collected in all replicates from all site experiments and immediately frozen on dry ice until laboratory evaluation. Samples were processed by grinding on dry ice to a fine powder. Protein extractions were performed on representative aliquots of the processed samples. ELISA methodology was used to quantify the proteins in sample extracts.

Cry1Ab protein was extracted from the sugarcane plant tissue samples using the tissue extraction protocol and quantitative assay protocol that follows. An aliquot of each tissue sample was weighed (approximately 45–55 mg for leaf tissue and 190–210 mg for root and internode tissue) into a 2.0 mL tube. Four stainless steel beads were added to each tube. An appropriate volume of ELISA extraction buffer was added to each sample. Tissues were pulverized in a Geno Grinder 2010 for approximately 2.5 min at a frequency of 290 g. Samples were incubated at 4–8°C for approximately 15 min. Extracts were spun down at ≥12,350 g for 10 min at 4°C in a microcentrifuge. Approximately 1.0 mL of the supernatant was collected and placed in a fresh 2.0 mL centrifuge tube. Supernatant from the sample extraction was diluted in deionized water to fall within the range of the standard curve. Remaining supernatants were then frozen at ~20°C. The presence of the Cry1Ab protein was detected using a validated ELISA (EnviroLogix Qualiplate Cry1Ab ELISA kit).

Neomycin-phosphotransferase type II protein was extracted from the sugarcane plant tissue samples using the tissue extraction protocol and quantitative assay protocol that follows. An aliquot of each tissue sample was weighed (approximately 45–55 mg for leaf tissue and 190–210 mg for root and internode tissue) into a 2.0 mL tube. Four stainless steel beads were added to each tube. An appropriate volume of ELISA extraction buffer was added to each sample. 1.5 mL of 1× PEB (supplied with kit) was added. Tissues were pulverized in a Geno Grinder 2010 for approximately 2.5 min at a frequency of 290 g. Samples were incubated at 4–8°C for approximately 15 min. Extracts were spun down at 12,350 g for 10 min at 4°C in a microcentrifuge. Approximately 1.0 mL of the supernatant was collected and placed in a fresh 2.0 mL centrifuge tube. Supernatant from the sample extraction was diluted in 1× PEB to fall within the range of the standard curve. Remaining supernatants were then frozen at ~20°C. The presence of the NptII protein was detected using a validated ELISA assay (Agdia NptII ELISA Kit).

Control sample extracts were analyzed concurrently to confirm the absence of plant-matrix effects in ELISA. For each ELISA, a standard curve was generated with known amounts of the corresponding reference protein. Cry1Ab protein standard calibrators at 100, 75, 50, 25, 12.5, 6.25, 3.13, and 0 ng/mL were prepared in deionized water. NptII protein standard calibrators at 20, 15, 10, 5, 2.5, 1.25, 0.625, and 0 ng/mL were prepared in PBST. Calibrators were prepared fresh each day from a working stock solution. The mean absorbance for each sample extract was plotted against the appropriate standard curve to obtain the amount of protein as nanograms per milliliter (ng/mL) of extract. The concentrations were converted to represent the amount of protein as micrograms per gram (μg/g) of tissue by the following formula:

\[
\text{(ng/mL) × (dilution factor) × (volume of buffer [mL])/(amount of tissue [g]) × 1,000.}
\]

The predetermined extraction efficiencies were used to adjust the transgenic protein concentrations to the estimated total
concentration in the corresponding tissue sample by the following formula:

amount of protein measured from a single extraction (µg/g) / extraction efficiency (%)..

All calculations, including mean and SD, were performed with Microsoft Excel® 2007 spreadsheet software. All decimal places associated with the concentrations determined for each replicate sample were used in calculation of the mean, where were then rounded to two decimal places for reporting consistency.

**CTC175-A and CTC20-Derived Sugar and Ethanol Production at Laboratory Scale to Evaluate DNA and Protein Loss**

In order to comply with Brazilian Biosafety Normatives for regulated genetically modified plant material, one batch of sugar from CTC175-A and one batch of sugar from CTC20 were produced. Mature stalks of CTC175-A event sugarcane and the parental conventional variety CTC20 were collected from all plots of the experiment planted at Piracicaba/SP at 365 days after planting and processed into raw sugar and ethanol using laboratory scale methods (Novello, 2015; Merheb, 2014; Merheb et al., 2016) that mimic the industrial processes used by Brazilian mills. Harvested stalks were immediately transported to the laboratory for sugarcane juice extraction and subsequent processing to collect process fractions including raw sugar.

Approximately 56.0 L of sugarcane juice was extracted from 90 stalks of each variety by shredding and pressing in the laboratory. Leaf, fiber, and sugarcane juice samples were collected from each variety for DNA, protein, and sugar quality analyses. The stalk quality of sugarcane varieties was evaluated by analyses of fiber, starch, brix, dextran, RSs, total RSs, pH, polarization, and purity (Table 1). These characteristics are factors that have a direct impact on the quality of the final products and the yields of the processes (Santos et al., 2012).

The sugarcane juices of CTC175-A and CTC20 were heated to 70°C with constant stirring, immediately after reaching 70°C, the juice was neutralized (pH 7) by adding lime. Following neutralization, juice was further heated to 98–100°C for approximately 2 min, and then transferred to a vessel, containing approximately 3 ppm of anionic polymer flocculant (Flonex 9076)/liter of juice and processed into raw sugar and ethanol using laboratory scale methods (Novello, 2015; Merheb, 2014; Merheb et al., 2016) that mimic the industrial processes used by Brazilian mills. Harvested stalks were immediately transported to the laboratory for sugarcane juice extraction and subsequent processing to collect process fractions including raw sugar.

| Characteristic | Methodology | Reference |
|---------------|-------------|-----------|
| Starch | Starch—determination in raw sugar | ICUMSA Method GS 1-16 (2009) |
| Ash | The determination of conductivity ash in raw sugar, brown sugar, juice, syrup, and molasses | ICUMSA – Método GS 1/3/4/7/8-13 (1994) |
| Color | Determination of solution color of raw sugars brown sugars and colored syrups at pH 7.0 | ICUMSA – Method GS 9/1/2/3-8 (2011c) |
| Dextran | The determination of dextran in raw sugar by a modified alcohol haze method | ICUMSA Method GS 1/2/9-15 (2011b) |
| Filterability | Método BR-SM-PR-103 | Supplemental Methodology S1 |
| Acid Floc | Método BR-SM-PR-420 | Supplemental Methodology S1 |
| Alcohol Floc | Método BR-SM-PR-271 | Supplemental Methodology S1 |
| RS | Method 32—reducing sugars—determination in raw sugar by the Lane and Eynon method | The Laboratory Manual for Australian Sugar Mills (2001) |
| Polarization | The determination of the polarization of raw sugar by polarimetry | ICUMSA GS 1/2/3/9-1 (2011a) |
| Turbidity | Methods of analysis—formazin turbidity standards | ASBC (1976) |
| Sugars | High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) | Sluiter et al. (2006) |

Mass of sugar crystals was centrifuged using a laboratory basket centrifuge (Metalúrgica Sueg Ltda), with a capacity of 1.0 kg of crystal sugar per batch (Merheb, 2014; Merheb et al., 2016). In these experiments, approximately 1.0 kg of sugar was produced per crystallization. Following centrifugation, sugar crystals were air-dried for approximately 12 h (Merheb et al., 2016).

Vinasse and Flegma (diluted ethanol) were obtained from the juice in a single cycle batch fermentation performed in triplicate using must composed of sugarcane juice with approximately 160 g/L TRS and 100 g/L fresh PE-2 industrial yeast in a final fermentation volume of 500 mL. Fermentation was performed in an Erlenmeyer flask placed in a shaker (Innova 44, New Brunswick Scientific) at 0.805 g and 32°C for 8h. Simple distillation was performed to separate flegma resulting from fermentation from the vinasse, using a distiller (Tecnal Redutec TE-086 alcohol microdistiller). The wine was heated to 90–100°C for 5 min for flegma evaporation and condensation. Vinasse was the distillation residue. For ethanol production, it is necessary to use a distillation column to purify the flegma into ethanol.

All sugar production and sugar analysis were performed in laboratories certified with CQB (Certificado de Qualidade em Biossegurança—Biosafety Quality Certification) granted by CTNBio according to Normative Resolution No. 01. All personnel working in these activities were trained according the requirements of Normative Resolution No. 02 for contained activities with genetically modified plant material.
Compositional Analysis of Sugar Produced in Laboratory

Raw sugars and other common parameters were analyzed at CTC’s laboratories certified with CQB to comply with Brazilian biosafety requirements. The quality of the raw sugar produced from either event CTC175-A or CTC20 conventional was assessed for sugar quality parameters: starch, ash, color, dextran, filterability, acid floc, alcohol floc, RSs, polarization, turbidity, and sugars (sucrose, glucose, fructose). The analytical methodologies used to classify sugar according to Brazilian market are presented in Table 1 and are relevant for sugar classification and placement to specific markets in Brazil (Oliveira et al., 2007a,b).

DNA Detection in Laboratory Sugar Fractions

To evaluate the fate of DNA and proteins at the different laboratory processing stages, samples were collected during the processing of sugarcane to raw sugar and ethanol. Both solid samples (leaf, bagasse, and sugar) and liquid samples (primary juice, clarified juice, sludge, syrup, molasses, bagasse, and vinasse), were collected from both cultivars (CTC175-A event and CTC20 isolate). The DNA extraction protocol used was based on Aljanabi et al. (1999) with modifications. Solid samples were ground in liquid N2 and 5.0 mL of samples were added to 4.0 mL of homogenization buffer (200 mM Tris–HCl, 50 mM EDTA, 2.2 M NaCl, 2% CTAB, 0.06% Na2SO3, pH 8.0). A detergent solution (2.0 mL of 5% N-lauryl-sarcosine, 2.0 mL of 10% PVP, 2.0 mL of 20% CTAB) was added to the homogenized samples and mixed by inversion for 2–3 min, then incubated for 60 min at 20°C, 1 h), centrifuged (1,520 g), and then incubated (20°C, 1 h), centrifuged (1,520 g, 5 min, 4°C), and the formed pellets were washed twice with 10.0 mL of 70% ethanol. The pellets were dried at room temperature and dissolved in 200 µL of sterile ultrapure water. All DNA samples were quantified in a NanoDrop™ 8000 spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher™). In addition to the total DNA quantification results, all samples from both cultivars (CTC20 and CTC175-A), were also evaluated for the presence of heterologous DNA representing cry1ab (GenBank Accession No. AY326434.1) and nptII (GenBank Accession No. U00004) genes and the endogenous ubi1 gene (GenBank Accession No. CA179923.1) genetic elements by TaqMan multiplex analysis (Table 2).

The TaqMan® Multiplex Assay protocol for DNA detection was performed as follows: the reactions were performed in multiplex form to amplify simultaneously one of the two combinations: cry1Ab/ubi1 or nptII/ubi1. PCR was performed in a 96-well optical plate (“MicroAmp® Fast Optical 96-Well Reaction Plate™”, Life Technologies). The performance of the assays in each processing sample type (e.g., primary juice, bagasse, etc.) was assessed by adding known quantities of the specific DNA for cry1ab, nptII, and ubi1 to processing fractions produced from the CTC20 isolate. All samples were normalized for final DNA concentration of 10 ng/µL and 40 ng were used for each PCR. For samples with DNA concentrations below limit of detection (LOD), 4 µL of DNA solution was used. For positive control samples, two known concentrations of each target gene were used (0.5 and 0.05 ng of DNA).

Reactions were assembled using samples added to a mixture of the following reaction components: 1x Taqman® II Mix Universal Buffer UNG (Applied Biosystems™); forward and reverse primers, each at 500 nM; and probes at a final concentration of 200 nM for the multiplex assay cry1Ab/ubi1; forward and reverse primer, each at 300 nM concentration; probes at a final concentration of 200 nM for the multiplex assay nptII/ubi1; and water in sufficient quantity to make up a final volume of 20 µL. Plates were sealed with optical adhesive film for real-time PCR MicroAmp® (Applied Biosystems™) and PCR was performed in a thermocycler 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems™) using the following amplification parameters: 2 min at 50°C and 10 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C and 60 s at 60°C. The primers and probes sequences used are detailed in Table 2.

The PCR amplification curve was examined to determine the presence (+) or absence (−) of DNA by comparing with the respective amplification curve of the positive control. The control DNA concentrations (0.5 and 0.05 ng), were chosen because they represent reliable detection limits of the methodology. Samples that had amplification (Cq) values higher than the positive control at the lowest concentration of DNA (0.05 ng), were considered as non-specific amplifications (<LOD).

Detection of Total Proteins in Laboratory Production Fractions

For the extraction and quantification of total proteins, an aliquot (500 µL) of samples taken from the laboratory production of sugar (i.e., leaf, bagasse, juice, filter cake, clarified juice, syrup, molasses, sugar, phlegm, and vinasse) was mixed with 750 µL of protein extraction buffer (0.01 M phosphate buffered saline: 0.138 M NaCl; 0.0027 M KCl, 0.05% TWEEN® 20, pH 7.4), homogenized and centrifuged (10 min, 7,690 g). After centrifugation, 600 µL of supernatant was transferred to new tubes and the

---

**Table 2** | Primers and probes sequences used to identify exogenous (cry1Ab and nptII) and endogenous (ubi1) genes present in CTC175-A by qPCR.

| Target      | PCR product (pb) | Primer (5′ → 3′) | Primer (5′ → 3′) | Probe               |
|-------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| cry1ab      | 102              | GTGGACACGGCTGTGGGAGAGAT | GAAGCCACTGGGGAGAACATG | CTCGAGAAACACCAAC    |
| nptII       | 103              | GCTCACCCTGGGTGTGGTGGT | AOCTTCCTCACAAAAGG | CTTTGGAGGTTGACACTC  |
| ubi1        | 63               | ACCATTACCCTGGAGGTTGAGA | GTCTGGATATCTTGGCCTTTCA | CTTGAGACCCATGAGA    |
samples were analyzed for total protein concentration using the Bradford method. A seven-point standard curve with concentrations ranging from 125 to 2,000 mg/mL of bovine serum albumin was produced.

A 96-well flat-bottomed plate was assembled using 10 µL of buffer (null control), 10 µL of each standard (in duplicate) and 10 µL of each sample studied. Bradford solution (200 µL) was added (Bio-Rad Protein Assay Dye Reagent Concentrate™) to each well in a 1:4 ratio (dye:water). The plate incubated on the bench for 5 min and was read on a M2-SpectraMax spectrophotometer ( Molecular Devices™).

Detection of Cry1Ab and NptII Proteins in Laboratory-Processed Samples

All samples were analyzed for Cry1Ab protein presence using the “QualiPlate™ ELISA Kit for Cry1Ab/Cry1Ac” (ENVIROLOGIX™) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Data were generated using the SpectraMax-M2 Spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices™). A known amount of Cry1Ab protein (~ 0.50 ng) was used to spike a portion of the samples obtained during the production of sugar and ethanol from CTC20-derived samples to obtain an expected final concentration of approximately 3.0 ng/mL of Cry1Ab protein for each sample. A serial dilution curve of the Cry1Ab protein was positioned adjacent to investigated samples in the plate to determine the LOD of the assay. The dilution curve ranged from 50 ng to 3.125 ng/mL of protein.

Collection of Conventional Sugar Samples From Brazilian Sugarcane

Samples from the industrial processing of conventional sugarcane were collected at two different types of industrial sugarcane mills in Brazil: a tandem roller type (“mill F”) and a diffuser type (“mill C”). Nine sample types were collected from CTC20 hybrid cultivar SP-80-3280 (GenBank: AE0909947.2) was used to design primers for PCR assays (Saccharum hybrid cultivar SP-80-3280 chloroplast, complete genome: 119082-120512). Primers were designed to specifically amplify fragments of different sizes. The sequences of the primers and the expected fragment sizes are given in Table 3.

A dilution curve was prepared using total DNA from sugarcane leaves. Decreasing concentrations ranged from 50 to 0.0125 ng. Reactions were prepared in a final volume of 25 µL using the following reaction components: 1X DreamTag Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Scientific), 0.2 µM of each primer, and 5 µL of genomic DNA of known concentrations, to have five different points of dilution curve for each tested pair of primers (50, 25, 12.5, 0.125, and 0.0125 ng).

PCRs were performed on the ProFlex™ thermal cycler (Applied Biosystem), according to the following step-cycle program: initial denaturation step at 92°C for 2 min; 30 cycles consisting of denaturation at 92°C for 30 s, annealing at 60°C for 40 s (primers combinations 1, 2, and 3) or annealing at 50°C (primers combinations 4 and 5), and extension at 72°C for 60 s; final extension step at 72°C for 7 min. After the amplification, PCR products were electrophoresed on 2% agarose gels in 1x TBE solution, stained with 0.4 µg/mL ethidium bromide, visualized under ultraviolet light (UV), and registered with transilluminator and software L.PIX Loccus Biotechnology.

Reactions were performed in 25 µL final volume with variable amounts of template. For fractions from which DNA was quantifiable, serial dilutions were prepared; seven points (10, 5, 0.5, 0.25, 0.025, 0.0025, and 0.00125 ng) were amplified in each PCR. When DNA concentrations from fractions were below the LOD (< LOD), an arbitrary volume of sample was added to the amplification reactions. Thus, for raw sugar and flegma from the tandem roller mill samples and, raw sugar, flegma, and clarified juice samples from diffuser mill 5, 2.5, 1.25, and 0.5 µL of template were used. DNA from leaf was used as positive control (2.5 ng). In parallel, an aliquot of the same matrix of each sample was spiked with DNA from sugarcane leaves. Again, a serial dilution was done to have an input of approximately 2, 1, 0.1, 0.01, and 0.001 ng of DNA in each reaction. For raw sugar and flegma from both types of mills and for clarified juice from diffuser mill, the dilution curve consisted of 12.5, 6, 3, and 1 pg were used. Reaction components and the cycling program followed as described previously, using 28 amplification cycles. PCR products were electrophoresed on 2% agarose gels.

Total Protein Detection in Processing Fractions Obtained From Brazilian Sugarcane Processing Mills

After freezing, 6.0 mL of each sample was aliquoted in six tubes (1.0 mL each) and lyophilized for 6 days at −60°C with exception

| Combinations | Primer name | Sequence 5′ → 3′ | bp |
|--------------|-------------|------------------|----|
| 1            | ScRcrbL_TqM.F, ScRcrbL_R.1 | CGGCTTACACGGTATCCAACTGTT, CGGTTCCGGTTGCCGTGT | 246 |
| 2            | ScRcrbL_TqM.F, ScRcrbL_R.2 | CGGCTTACACGGTATCCAACTGTT, TGCTCCGGTGATGGAGGAAGAG | 437 |
| 3            | ScRcrbL_F, ScRcrbL_R.2 | CGGCGGATCGAACACCCGAGGATAC, TGCTCCGGTGATGGAGGAAGAG | 809 |
of flegma. Samples from leaves and bagasse were further ground to a homogeneous powder and protein extraction was performed as described by Cullis et al. (2014) with minor modifications. Lyophilized samples from individual tubes combined into a 15-mL polypropylene tube and prepared as solutions, where former solid samples (leaves, bagasse, and filter cake) were dissolved at a 3% (w/v) in water. Similarly, primary juice, clarified juice, syrup, molasses, raw sugar, and vinasse were dissolved in a 10% (w/v) in water. Flegma was prepared as a solution 20% (v/v) in water. This procedure was done in duplicate, with half of the mill’s fractions (controls) spiked with 1,000 ng of total protein, previously extracted from sugarcane leaves and quantified using microplate “Micro BCA protein assay” (ThermoFisher). In the case of raw sugar and flegma, aliquots were spiked with 10 µg of total protein. All solutions were adjusted to 1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) + 10 mM dithiothreitol + 10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5 + 0.5 mM PMSF (SDS extraction buffer) and placed at 65°C for 60 min with occasional mixing by inversion. Tubes were centrifuged (6,500 g) for 15 min at room temperature. To 2 mL of the supernatant, 3 mL of 1% sodium deoxycholate were added followed by 1.25 mL of 50% trichloroacetic acid. After mixing and incubating on ice for 15 min, the tubes were centrifuged at 6,500 g for 15 min at 4°C, supernatant was discarded, and the pellet drained for 5 min. Pellets were then washed with 1.5 mL of acetone by vigorous mixing for 15 s followed by incubation at 25°C for 15 min with occasional mixing. Samples were placed on ice for 10 min, centrifuged, the supernatants removed, and the tubes drained at room temperature. Next, 1.5 mL of 85% acetone was added with mixing, and the tubes were centrifuged, drained, and dried at 37°C for 15 min. The precipitate was dissolved in 0.5 mL of 0.5% SDS + 10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5 at 65°C for 20 min, with occasional mixing. Assuming 100% of recovery, the concentration of total proteins in spiked samples per microliter of resuspended extract, should be 2 ng/µL. Protein content was determined in both, original mill fractions and spiked mill fractions (controls), using the microplate Micro BCA protein assay (ThermoFisher) as recommended by the manufacturer. SDS-PAGE was used to check extracted protein quality of each sample. About 2–20 µg of total protein were diluted in sample buffer (2× Laemmlli Buffer, Biorad, USA) and denatured at 100°C for 5 min. Proteins were separated under denaturing conditions on a 4–20% polyacrylamide gel (Mini-PROTEAN TGX, Biorad, USA) ready to use. At the end, protein gels were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue (EZBlue, Sigma, USA).

**RuBisCO Protein Detection**

ELISA was performed according the manufacturer’s recommendations (“Plant RuBisCO ELISA Kit”—Catalog # MBS705973—MyBioSource). The detection range described in the kit’s protocol is 3.12–800.0 µg/mL. Thus, using the standard sample solution supplied with the commercial kit a standard curve was prepared with five points of known RuBisCO concentration (µg/mL). The protein sample eluent (0.5% SDS + 10 mM Tris–HCl) serves as the zero standard and the curve blank. The total protein samples and the buffer used to elute the protein after extraction were diluted to 1/2, 1/5, 1/10, and 1/100. The mean absorbance of each buffer dilutions was used as a blank for each sample dilution. The concentration read from the standard curve was multiplied by the dilution factor. The optical density of each well was determined using a microplate reader (SpectraMax, Molecular Devices, USA) set to 450 nm with wavelength correction of 540 and 570 nm.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Expression of Cry1Ab and NptII on Tissues of CTC175-A Events**

The construct used to obtain CTC175-A event was designed to express Cry1Ab preferentially in leaves, where the sugarcane borer lays its eggs and starts its development. The promoter used to drive Cry1Ab expression, PEPC, is known to confer preferential expression in photosynthesizing tissues (Harrison et al., 2011). Therefore, as expected, the highest concentrations of Cry1Ab were found in leaf tissue in all evaluated sites; much lower levels were found in roots and stalks [below the limit of quantification (LOQ) of ≤235 ng/g FW tissue] (Table 4).

In Table 4, Cry1Ab expression values in leaves were expressed as mean of four repeats, with their respective SE and SDs, for each site. As for root and stalk tissues, expression of Cry1Ab is much lower and some repeats data were below of LOQ of ELISA assay. When at least one repeat had measurement above LOQ, data of Cry1Ab expression for those repeats above LOQ were reported directly without any statistical analysis. It was only possible to detect Cry1Ab expression in stalks, the raw material for sugar and ethanol production, for only one repeat of each site, Conchal (310 ng/g FW tissue) and Paranavaí (370 ng/g FW tissue).

The expression of NptII on event CTC175-A was solely required for selecting transformed events during the transformation process. Table 4 shows the concentration of Cry1Ab protein in tissues of CTC175-A event evaluated via ELISA (n = 4).

| Site         | Tissue | Cry1Ab (µg/g FW) | SD  | SE  |
|--------------|--------|-----------------|-----|-----|
| Jaboticabal  | Stalk  | <LOQ            | –   | –   |
|              | Leaf   | 64.01           | 9.59| 4.8 |
|              | Root   | 0.40/0.55/0.58  | –   | –   |
| Montividiu   | Stalk  | <LOQ            | –   | –   |
|              | Leaf   | 40.7            | 10.5| 5.2 |
|              | Root   | 0.81/0.83/0.87  | –   | –   |
| Piracicaba   | Stalk  | <LOQ            | –   | –   |
|              | Leaf   | 64.9            | 11  | 5.5 |
|              | Root   | <LOQ            | –   | –   |
| Conchal      | Stalk  | 0.31b           | –   | –   |
|              | Leaf   | 63.4            | 6.7 | 3.4 |
|              | Root   | 0.67/0.70b      | –   | –   |
| Uberlândia   | Stalk  | <LOQ            | –   | –   |
|              | Leaf   | 67.9            | 20.6| 10.3|
|              | Root   | <LOQ            | –   | –   |
| Paranavaí    | Stalk  | 0.37b           | –   | –   |
|              | Leaf   | 29.6            | 3.1 | 1.6 |
|              | Root   | 0.84b           | –   | –   |

*LOQ: Limit of quantification for leaf, stalk, and root tissues: LOQ ≤ 0.235 µg/g.

|                  |        |
|------------------|--------|
|                  | Three repeats above LOQ. |
|                  | One repeat above LOQ. |
|                  | Two repeats above LOQ. |
process. The Ubi promoter driving expression of the nptII gene in the transformation cassette is known to be expressed in rapidly dividing tissues (Christensen et al., 1992). Therefore, results show low levels of NptII expression in leaves 0.07–0.16 µg/g FW and even lower expression in roots ranging from below the LOQ (<34 ng/g FW) to 70 ng/g FW. NptII expression was at or below detectable levels in sugarcane stalks (<34 ng/g FW) (Table 5).

At Table 5, as for Cry1Ab, NptII expression values in leaves were expressed as mean of four repeats, with their respective SEs and SDs, for each site. As for root and stalk tissues, expression of NptII is much lower and some repeats data were below LOQ. When at least one repeat had measurement above LOQ, it was decided to report data of NptII expression for those repeats above LOQ, without any statistical analysis.

These results indicate that sugarcane stalks, which are the raw material for sugar and ethanol production, presents originally low levels of heterologous protein expression. This is not surprising due to the nature of promoters used to drive gene expressions and the fact that sugarcane naturally presents negligible protein levels in stalks (OECD, 2011). In fact, the search for promoters that ensures high protein expression levels in sugarcane stalks is still a scientific challenge (Damaj et al., 2010).

### Composition of Sugar Obtained From the Laboratory Processing

The quality of the harvested sugarcane for industrial processing is an important consideration for processing mills because stalk quality directly affects the sugar and ethanol production potential (Garcia, 2012; Santos et al., 2012; Santos and Borem, 2013). One batch of sugarcane juice for CTC175-A event and one for CTC20 cultivar were prepared, therefore, the values presented at Tables 6 and 7 should be evaluated as single measures and not as estimates of quality parameters of juices and sugars produced from CTC175-A and CTC20. Despite this, the results of quality parameters of both juices were within the recommended range for these sugarcane analytes (Table 6), confirming that the raw material used for the laboratory production for sugar and ethanol in this study was acceptable. This procedure is commonly used by Brazilian mills to evaluate and to pay according to the content of sucrose (Pol% juice) in sugarcane (Brujin, 1998). The other parameters were evaluated for key sugarcane processing steps. Overall, juices produced in laboratory scale resembled juice ordinarily processed in Brazilian mills.

In Brazil, it is the usual practice to employ COPERSUCAR specifications to classify sugars for different industrial applications. According to the physicochemical parameters evaluated (Table 7), sugar produced from both the event CTC175-A and CTC20 conventional were classified as “Type 3C” according to the classifying parameters: conductometric ashes ≤ 0.1%, color ICUMSA ≤ 400 and sugar content (Pol Z) above 99.5%, published by COPERSUCAR (2015). The high quality of Type 3C sugar produced, which technically can be labeled as “white sugar,” is not surprising even though the sugar production method employed here was the typical method for production “raw sugar.” This higher grade result can also be obtained in real world sugar production when the quality of starting sugarcane juice is high (Santos et al., 2012).

### Tables 6 and 7 should be evaluated as single measures and not as estimates of quality parameters of juices and sugars produced from CTC175-A and CTC20. Despite this, the results of quality parameters of both juices were within the recommended range for these sugarcane analytes (Table 6), confirming that the raw material used for the laboratory production for sugar and ethanol in this study was acceptable. This procedure is commonly used by Brazilian mills to evaluate and to pay according to the content of sucrose (Pol% juice) in sugarcane (Brujin, 1998). The other parameters were evaluated for key sugarcane processing steps. Overall, juices produced in laboratory scale resembled juice ordinarily processed in Brazilian mills.

In Brazil, it is the usual practice to employ COPERSUCAR specifications to classify sugars for different industrial applications. According to the physicochemical parameters evaluated (Table 7), sugar produced from both the event CTC175-A and CTC20 conventional were classified as “Type 3C” according to the classifying parameters: conductometric ashes ≤ 0.1%, color ICUMSA ≤ 400 and sugar content (Pol Z) above 99.5%, published by COPERSUCAR (2015). The high quality of Type 3C sugar produced, which technically can be labeled as “white sugar,” is not surprising even though the sugar production method employed here was the typical method for production “raw sugar.” This higher grade result can also be obtained in real world sugar production when the quality of starting sugarcane juice is high (Santos et al., 2012).

### Tables 6 and 7 should be evaluated as single measures and not as estimates of quality parameters of juices and sugars produced from CTC175-A and CTC20. Despite this, the results of quality parameters of both juices were within the recommended range for these sugarcane analytes (Table 6), confirming that the raw material used for the laboratory production for sugar and ethanol in this study was acceptable. This procedure is commonly used by Brazilian mills to evaluate and to pay according to the content of sucrose (Pol% juice) in sugarcane (Brujin, 1998). The other parameters were evaluated for key sugarcane processing steps. Overall, juices produced in laboratory scale resembled juice ordinarily processed in Brazilian mills.

In Brazil, it is the usual practice to employ COPERSUCAR specifications to classify sugars for different industrial applications. According to the physicochemical parameters evaluated (Table 7), sugar produced from both the event CTC175-A and CTC20 conventional were classified as “Type 3C” according to the classifying parameters: conductometric ashes ≤ 0.1%, color ICUMSA ≤ 400 and sugar content (Pol Z) above 99.5%, published by COPERSUCAR (2015). The high quality of Type 3C sugar produced, which technically can be labeled as “white sugar,” is not surprising even though the sugar production method employed here was the typical method for production “raw sugar.” This higher grade result can also be obtained in real world sugar production when the quality of starting sugarcane juice is high (Santos et al., 2012).

### Tables 6 and 7 should be evaluated as single measures and not as estimates of quality parameters of juices and sugars produced from CTC175-A and CTC20. Despite this, the results of quality parameters of both juices were within the recommended range for these sugarcane analytes (Table 6), confirming that the raw material used for the laboratory production for sugar and ethanol in this study was acceptable. This procedure is commonly used by Brazilian mills to evaluate and to pay according to the content of sucrose (Pol% juice) in sugarcane (Brujin, 1998). The other parameters were evaluated for key sugarcane processing steps. Overall, juices produced in laboratory scale resembled juice ordinarily processed in Brazilian mills.

In Brazil, it is the usual practice to employ COPERSUCAR specifications to classify sugars for different industrial applications. According to the physicochemical parameters evaluated (Table 7), sugar produced from both the event CTC175-A and CTC20 conventional were classified as “Type 3C” according to the classifying parameters: conductometric ashes ≤ 0.1%, color ICUMSA ≤ 400 and sugar content (Pol Z) above 99.5%, published by COPERSUCAR (2015). The high quality of Type 3C sugar produced, which technically can be labeled as “white sugar,” is not surprising even though the sugar production method employed here was the typical method for production “raw sugar.” This higher grade result can also be obtained in real world sugar production when the quality of starting sugarcane juice is high (Santos et al., 2012).
Detection of cry1Ab and nptII DNA Sequences of Fractions of Laboratory Processing of CTC175-A

The results of detection of gene sequences of DNA (cry1Ab, nptII, and endogenous ubi) in samples from the laboratory fractions of sugarcane processing showed the presence of endogenous DNA (ubi gene) in leaf, bagasse, primary juice, and in the precipitated fraction of clarification process filter cake, both from the laboratory processing of event CTC175-A and CTC20 (Tables 8 and 9). The molasses fractions from CTC20 but not from CTC175-A event also showed the presence of the ubi gene (Tables 8 and 9). The vinasse sample showed detection of ubi gene at levels equivalent to presence of a DNA concentration below 0.05 ng (positive control) (Table 8) and for the juice processed from CTC175-A (Table 9). Flegma also showed detection of ubi gene at levels equivalent to presence of a DNA concentration below 0.05 ng (positive control) (Table 9).

The results for amplification of cry1Ab gene from CTC175-A event were completely concordant with the results of ubi amplification in CTC175-A and CTC20, revealing positive amplifications for the less processed fractions (leaf, bagasse, and primary juice) and the precipitated residue filter cake (Table 8). Additionally, vinasse from CTC175-A also showed detection of cry1Ab gene at levels equivalent to presence of a DNA concentration below 0.05 ng, as for ubi gene from CTC175-A and CTC20. All samples spiked with 0.05 ng of DNA from cry1Ab gene showed expected amplifications, ensuring the absence of matrix negative influence on DNA amplifications, at least at the level of quantification of this assay. These results clearly show that the cry1Ab DNA was degraded and/or removed in the juice clarification step, and subsequent downstream fractions, including raw sugar, did not contain detectable levels of cry1Ab gene DNA.

The results of detection of nptII gene are similar to those for cry1Ab detection (Table 9). There was DNA detection in all processing samples spiked with appropriate amount to detect 0.05 ng of nptII DNA (positive controls) showing that the gene, if present could be amplified and detected in each fraction. Samples obtained from byproducts of CTC20 processing detected DNA presence only for the ubi gene in unprocessed samples (leaves) or less processed (bagasse, juice, and filter cake), except for molasses which also showed amplification. The flegma sample showed detection equivalent to a DNA concentration below 0.05 ng (Table 9). Samples from of event CTC175-A event showed DNA presence for both the genes (ubi and nptII) in samples without processing (leaf) or minimal processing (bagasse, juice, and filter cake) as described for detecting the endogenous gene in the CTC20 cultivar (Table 9).

These results obtained by TaqMan assay are consistent with the findings of Cullis et al. (2014) who also found a dramatic reduction in total sugarcane DNA quantity upon production of the clarified juice, the common starting material for production of raw sugar and ethanol production. No heterologous DNA was detected by PCR amplification in the final products raw sugar or flegma (the starting material for ethanol production).

Detection of Total Proteins in Fractions of Laboratory Production of Sugar and Ethanol

Protein quantification methodology was effective in detecting measurable amounts of protein (above LOD) for samples of leaves, bagasse, and primary juice from event CTC175-A and the CTC20 conventional (Table 10) collected in the laboratory-scale preparation of sugar and ethanol. The values presented at Table 10 should be evaluated as single measures and not as estimates of total protein content in fractions of processing of CTC175-A and CTC20 because there was only one preparation for each material. It was not possible to detect measurable total proteins in samples after juice clarification for both, CTC175-A

TABLE 8 | Results of gene amplification of ubi (endogenous gene) and cry1Ab in samples collected during the sugarcane laboratory processing to produce sugar and ethanol from CTC20 and CTC175-A.

|          | CTC20 + 0.05 ng DNA (cry1ab) | CTC20 | CTC175-A |
|----------|-------------------------------|-------|----------|
| ubi      | +                             | +     | +        |
| cry1ab   | +                             | +     | +        |
| Leaf     | +                             | +     | +        |
| Bagasse  | +                             | +     | +        |
| Primary juice | +                  | +     | +        |
| Filter cake | +                        | +     | +        |
| Clarified juice | +                  | +     | +        |
| Syrup    | +                             | +     | +        |
| Molasses | +                             | +     | +        |
| Sugar    | +                             | +     | +        |
| Flegma   | +                             | +     | +        |
| Vinasse  | +                             | +     | +        |
| Evaporation water | +                       | +     | +        |
| Condensation water | +                    | +     | +        |

Samples obtained from cultivar CTC20 were intentionally spiked with 0.5 and 0.05 ng of DNA from CTC175-A event. +: presence; −: absence; <LOD: the amplification curve shows cq later than the same sample at a concentration of 0.05 ng of total DNA per reaction.

TABLE 9 | Results of gene amplification of ubi (endogenous gene) and nptII in samples collected during the sugarcane laboratory processing to produce sugar and ethanol from CTC20 and CTC175-A.

|          | CTC20 + 0.05 ng DNA (nptII) | CTC20 | CTC175-A |
|----------|-------------------------------|-------|----------|
| ubi      | +                             | +     | +        |
| nptII    | +                             | +     | +        |
| Leaf     | +                             | +     | +        |
| Bagasse  | +                             | +     | +        |
| Primary juice | +                  | +     | +        |
| Filter cake | +                        | +     | +        |
| Clarified juice | +                  | +     | +        |
| Syrup    | +                             | +     | +        |
| Molasses | +                             | +     | +        |
| Sugar    | +                             | +     | +        |
| Flegma   | +                             | +     | +        |
| Vinasse  | +                             | +     | +        |
| Evaporation water | +                       | +     | +        |
| Condensation water | +                    | +     | +        |

Samples obtained from cultivar CTC20 were intentionally spiked with 0.5 and 0.05 ng of DNA from CTC175-A event. +: presence; −: absence; <LOD: the amplification curve shows cq later than the same sample at a concentration of 0.05 ng of total DNA per reaction.
and CTC20, indicating this process leads to either protein degradation or precipitation.

**Detection of Cry1Ab in Raw Sugar Produced in Laboratory Scale**

Cry1Ab protein was detected in leaves, bagasse, and primary juice produced in laboratory from event CTC175-A sugarcane. The remaining samples that have undergone various chemical and/or heat treatments during the manufacturing process of obtaining sugar and alcohol, as well as the final processed products (sugar and flegma) showed no detectable Cry1Ab protein (Table 11). As expected, samples from CTC20 cultivar did not contain detectable Cry1Ab protein whereas samples from CTC20 spiked with Cry1Ab protein showed detection of protein in all cases, demonstrating lack of matrix interference with the detection assay. Molasses and vinasse samples were reported as <LOD because, although there was antibody reaction for these samples, the OD reading was below the lowest point of the dilution curve (3.125 ng).

**Detection of DNA, Total Proteins, and RuBisCo in Fractions of Industrial Processing**

The SDS-PAGE evaluation from samples from diffuser mill revealed a protein smear in all samples (Figure S1A in Supplementary Material). It was possible to detect smeared proteins in samples of leaves, primary juice and filter cake. It was not possible to detect total proteins from clarified juice and downstream samples (syrup, molasses, and raw sugar) (Figure S1A in Supplementary Material). It was possible to detect smeared proteins in samples (syrup, molasses, and raw sugar) (Figure S1A in Supplementary Material). It was not possible to detect proteins from clarified juice and downstream samples.

The evaluation of total DNA from samples from the Brazilian mill processing fractions revealed that the quantity of extracted total DNA ranged from 173 ng/µL in bagasse to 1.36 ng/µL in clarified juice from samples derived from the tandem roller mill (Table 12). Raw sugar and flegma were below the LOD of Qubit® Quantitation Assay Kit (0.2 ng). Samples from the diffuser mill presented as much as 16.6 ng of DNA per µL of bagasse to approximately 1 ng/µL in the molasses fraction. Samples from raw sugar, flegma, clarified juice, and syrup were below of LOD of Qubit® reagents (Table 12). Samples from sugarcane leaves yielded as much as 880 ng/µL of DNA.
The values presented at Table 12 should be evaluated as single point estimates of DNA content in fractions from tandem roller and diffuser Brazilian mills. Overall, samples from both mills showed a trend of decreasing DNA concentration throughout the processing steps. The final products of processing (raw sugar and flegma) did not present DNA above the LOD of this assay for both types of mills (Table 12).

Primer combinations 1 and 2 (Table 3) were used to obtain results of RuBisCo DNA detection with samples from both types of mills. The results from diffuser mill showed RuBisCo DNA detection for all samples except clarified juice, raw sugar, and flegma. The positive controls (i.e., spiked samples) yielded amplification down to the 0.01 ng level for all samples except vinasse, which could only be observed at the 0.1 ng level. Spiked samples of clarified sugar, raw sugar and flegma supported amplification at 3 pg of DNA. The results from tandem roller mill fractions were similar with those found from diffuser mill samples and indicates that RuBisCo DNA can be detected in all processing fractions except raw sugar and flegma (Table 13). The positive controls (i.e., spiked samples) yielded amplification down to the 0.01 ng level for all samples except vinasse, which could only be observed at the 0.1 ng level. Spiked samples of raw sugar and flegma supported amplification at 3 pg of DNA. Therefore, these results are concordant in not identifying RuBisCo DNA in raw sugar and flegma.

The values obtained for Total protein and RuBisCo quantification in processing fractions of tandem roller and diffuser Brazilian mills should be evaluated as single point estimates. In samples collected from mills, BCA protein quantification demonstrated that most of protein content present at sugarcane juice is eliminated in the precipitated filter cake (Table 14) resulting in a protein content in clarified juice at least two orders of magnitude lower than in primary juice. The final protein content in raw sugar and in flegma is minimal (Table 14).

Results of RuBisCo quantification were concordant with evaluation of BCA total protein quantification. Figure 3 shows the results for ELISA assay for RuBisCo concentrations in samples.

| Table 14 | Total protein quantification using BCA in fraction collected from two types of mills. |
|---|---|
| **Sample** | **Diffuser mill total protein (µg/mL)** | **Tandem roller mill total protein (µg/mL)** |
| Leaf | 1.500 | |
| Bagasse | 133 | 267 |
| Primary juice | 1.319 | 1.237 |
| Filter cake | 623 | 933 |
| Clarified juice | 13 | 54 |
| Syrup | 8 | 24 |
| Molasse | 88 | 418 |
| Raw sugar | 4 | 10 |
| Flegma | 1 | 9 |
| Vinasse | 581 | 284 |

**Table 14** Total protein quantification using BCA in fraction collected from two types of mills.

| **Sample** | **Diffuser mill total protein (µg/mL)** | **Tandem roller mill total protein (µg/mL)** |
|---|---|---|
| Leaf | 1.500 | |
| Bagasse | 133 | 267 |
| Primary juice | 1.319 | 1.237 |
| Filter cake | 623 | 933 |
| Clarified juice | 13 | 54 |
| Syrup | 8 | 24 |
| Molasse | 88 | 418 |
| Raw sugar | 4 | 10 |
| Flegma | 1 | 9 |
| Vinasse | 581 | 284 |

Single batch results (n = 1).
from fractions obtained from commercial tandem and diffuser mills processing plants. Leaf and bagasse are far above the range of quantification (3.12–800 µg/mL), therefore are not accurate estimates. RuBisCO protein was also detected in samples of primary juice, filter cake and vinasse. In the case of primary juice, it was not possible to quantify RuBisCO in samples from diffuser mill. Samples of vinasse from tandem roller mill were not analyzed. As expected, the concentrations of RuBisCO were below the LOQ in samples of raw sugar produced from both type of mills. In samples of raw sugar spiked with 10 µg of RuBisCO protein before extraction, ELISA was sensitive enough to detect as little as 0.05 or 0.06 µg/mL of RuBisCO, confirming that the protein could have been detected at those levels if it was present in raw sugar.

CONCLUSION

The results presented in this study demonstrates that event CTC175-A presents very low expression of Cry1Ab and nptII proteins in stalks, the raw material for sugar and ethanol production. This result is in agreement with the design of the DNA cassette used to obtain this event, that was constructed to drive high levels of Cry1Ab in leaves. Besides, several assays of fractions of laboratory processing strongly suggests that total DNA, total protein, heterologous DNA and Cry1Ab protein are degraded during processing, leading to concentrations that are not easily detected by commonly used methodology employed to evaluate the presence of GMOs or GMOs derivative in food/feed. Three lines of evidence clearly establish that raw sugar does not contain detectable levels of either the inserted heterologous DNA or expressed proteins. First, published studies of total DNA and protein loss during stalk processing to refined sugar showed levels of <1 pg total DNA/g refined sugar and ~1 µg total protein/g refined sugar (Cullis et al., 2014). Given these extremely low detection levels for total DNA and protein, it is expected that the small quantities of heterologous DNA and newly expressed protein would also be non-detectable. Second, studies presented herein (Tables 11–14; Figure 3), tracked the concentrations of total protein and RuBisCO protein during stalk processing to refined sugar in two types of commercial processing plants in Brazil. RuBisCO is the single most abundant stalk protein (up to 30% of total plant protein) with very high DNA copy number. These studies confirmed the results of Cullis et al. (2014) that the extent of protein loss during processing is at least three to four orders of magnitude (2–5 mg of total protein per gram of cane preextraction and 0.75–1.875 µg total protein in raw sugar derived from 1 g of cane). Finally, and most importantly, studies with new event CTC175-A sugarcane stalks, clarified juice, molasses, and raw sugar showed no detectable levels of Cry1Ab protein (by ELISA, <235 ng/g FW tissue) in stalks or processed fractions. Similarly, no heterologous DNA was detected in clarified juice and downstream products including raw sugar. These results are in agreement with the results of other studies that investigated the degradation of specific DNA fragments inserted into genetically modified sugarcane (NptII) or glyphosate-resistant sugar beet (CP4 EPSPS) that reported the complete elimination of the inserted DNA during processing to refined sugar (Klein et al., 1998; Oguchi et al., 2009; Joyce et al., 2013).

In conclusion, results reported here demonstrate lack of detectable protein and DNA from CTC175-A at reasonable levels of sensitivity in processing fractions of sugarcane, including raw sugar, and are in alignment with previous studies reported in Cullis et al. (2014) and Joyce et al. (2013) on sugarcane. Detectability and quantification of these analytes (proteins in particular) are directly relevant to the globally accepted comprehensive safety assessment strategy on biotechnology-derived crops. Quantification forms the underpinning for the exposure component of the risk-based safety assessment; low/no exposure to the heterologous proteins expressed in CTC175-A in conjunction with the extensively reviewed hazard assessment data on those proteins showing no measurable toxicity to humans, animals, or the environment, support the safety conclusions on CTC175-A. Currently, there are no regulations specific to sugarcane related to DNA or protein detection; this work seeks to establish viable parameters to determine levels of exposure to potential toxicants. It is though publications such as this that government and industry standards can be derived and justified.
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FIGURE S1 | SDS-PAGE gel of protein in fractions of Brazilian mills. M, molecular 838 Marker; BG, bagasse; PJ, primary Juice; FC, Filter Cake; CJ, Clarified juice; SY, syrup; MO, 839 molasses; X, empty lane; L, leaf; RW, Raw sugar. Number following letters indicates spiking of 840 correspondent amount (in µg) of total protein before protein extraction.

TABLE S1 | Supplemental methodology.
REFERENCES

Aljanabi, S. M., Forget, L., and Dookun, A. (1999). An improved and rapid protocol for the isolation of poly saccharide- and polyphenol-free sugarcane DNA. Plant Mol. Biol. Rep. 17, 1–8. doi:10.1016/S0167-7999(95)00066-6

ASBC. (1976). Methods of Analysis. Method Beer 26. St. Paul, MN, USA: American Society of Brewing Chemists. Available at: http://methods.asbcnet.org/summaries/beer-26.aspx (accessed August 11, 2016).

Botelho, P. S. M., and Macedo, N. (2002). “Cotesia flavipes para o controle de”.

Brokensha, M. A. (1998). “Chapter 5 – reception, storage and washing,” in Sugar Technology Beet and Cane Sugar Manufacture, eds P. W. van der Poel, H. Schiweck, and T. Schwartz (Berlin: Verlag Dr. Albert Vartens KG).

Brujin, J. (1998). “Chapter 12 – crystallization,” in Sugar Technology Beet and Cane Sugar Manufacture, eds P. W. van der Poel, H. Schiweck, and T. Schwartz (Berlin: Verlag Dr. Albert Vartens KG).

Cheavegatti-Gianotto, A., de Abreu, H. M., Arruda, P., Bespalhok Filho, J. C., Garcia, W. T., and Fraley, R. T., Rogers, S. G., Horsch, R. B., Sanders, P. R., Flick, J. S., Adams, S. P., Harrison, M. D., Geijskes, J., Coleman, H. D., Shand, K., Kinkema, M., and Palupe, A. (2009). Accumulation of recombinant cellobiohydrolase and endoglucanase in Raw Sugar, Grown Sugar, Juice, Syrup, and Molasses – Official. Berlin, Germany: Verlag Dr. Albert Bartens KG. Available at: http://www.icumsa.org/index.php?id=120

Christensen, H. A., Sharrock, A. R., and Quail, H. (1992). Maize polyubiquitin genes: structure, thermal perturbation of expression and transcript splicing, and promoter activity following transfer to protoplasts by electroporation. Plant Mol. Biol. 18, 675–689. doi:10.1007/BF00020910

Cullis, C., Contento, A. L., and Schell, M. A. (2014). DNA and protein analysis throughout the industrial process of refining sugar cane. Int. J. Agric. Food Res. 3, 1–15.

Damaí, M. B., Kumpatla, S. P., Emani, C., Beremand, P. D., Reddy, A. S., Rathore, K. S., et al. (2010). Sugarcane DIRIGENT and O-methyltransferase promoters confer stem-regulated gene expression in diverse monocots. Planta 231, 1439–1458. doi:10.1007/s00425-010-1138-5

Fraley, R. T., Rogers, S. G., Horsch, R. B., Sanders, P. R., Flick, J. S., Adams, S. P., et al. (1983). Expression of bacterial genes in plant cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A 80, 4803–4807. doi:10.1073/pnas.80.15.4803

Garcia, W. T. (2012). Curso gerencial de tecnologia sucoenergética – Controle de Qualidade.

Harrison, M. D., Geijskes, J., Coleman, H. D., Shand, K., Kinkema, M., and Palupe, A. (2011). Accumulation of recombinant cellobiohydrolase and endoglucanase in the leaves of mature transgenic sugar cane. J. Plant Biotechnol. 9, 884–896. doi:10.1111/j.1467-7652.2011.00597.x

Hugot, E. (1969). Manual da engenharia açucareira, Vol. II. São Paulo: Mestre Jou.

ICUMSA – Methods Book. Method GS 9/1/23/3-9. (2011a). The Determination of the Polarisation of Raw Sugar by Polarimetry – Official. Berlin, Germany: Verlag Dr. Albert Bartens KG. Available at: http://www.icumsa.org/index.php?id=120

ICUMSA – Methods Book – Method GS 9/1/23/3-8. (2011c). The Determination of Sugar Solution Colour at pH 7.0 by the MOPS Buffer Method Official. Berlin, Germany: Verlag Dr. Albert Bartens KG. Available at: http://www.icumsa.org/index.php?id=120

ICUMSA – Methods Book - Method GS 1-16. (2009). The Determination of Starch in Raw Sugar by a Modified the BSES Method. Berlin, Germany: Verlag Dr. Albert Bartens KG. Available at: http://www.icumsa.org/index.php?id=120

ICUMSA – Método GS 1/3/4/7/8-13. (1994). The Determination of Conductivity Ash in Raw Sugar. Grown Sugar, Juice, Syrup, and Molasses – Official. Berlin, Germany: Verlag Dr. Albert Bartens KG. Available at: http://www.icumsa.org/index.php?id=120

Joyce, P. A., Dinh, S.-Q., Burns, E. M., and O’Shea, M. G. (2013). Sugar from genetically modified sugarcane: tracking transgenes, transgene products and compositional analysis. Proc. Int. Soc. Sugar Cane Technol. 28, 1–9.

Klein, J., Altenbuchner, J., and Mattes, R. (1998). Nucleic acid and protein elimination during the sugar manufacturing process of conventional and transgenic sugar beets. J. Biotechnol. 60, 145–153. doi:10.1016/S0168-1656(98)00066-6

Koziel, M. G., Desai, N. M., Lewis, K. S., Kramer, U., Warren, G. W., Evolva, S. V., et al. (1992). Method to Produce a Sequence Coding for Protein Corn, Optimized Process for Corn Plants Protection and Method for Production of a Protein Insecticidal Bacillus thuringiensis (BT).

Merheb, G. A. (2014). Influência da contaminação combinada de dextrana e amido na cristalização do açúcar. PhD Thesis, UFS, Car. São Carlos. Available at: https://repositorio.ufscar.br/handle/ufscar/3961 (accessed August 11, 2016).

Merheb, G. A., Oliveira, N., Giulietti, M., and Bernardo, A. (2016). Combined effect of starch and dextran in sucrose crystallization. Sugar Industry 141, 697–704.

Novello, A. P. (2015). Seleção de leveduras para fermentação com alta pressão osmótica usando processo de fermentação extrativa. Piracicaba: Esalq. PhD Thesis, Esalq, Piracicaba. Available at: 10.11606/t.2015.113.093379

Oguchi, T., Onishi, M., Chikagawa, T., Kodama, T., Suzuki, E., Kasahara, M., et al. (2009). Investigation of DNA in residual sugar from sugar beet (Beta vulgaris L.). Shokuhin Eiseigaku Zasshi 50, 41–46. doi:10.3358/okokusai.50.41

Oliveira, A. D., Spoto, M. H. F., Canniatti-Brazaca, S. G., Sousa, C. D., and Gallo, C. R. (2007a). Efeitos do processamento térmico e da radiação gama na conservação de caldo de cana puro e adicionado de suco de frutas. Ciência e Tecnologia de Alimentos 27, 863–873. doi:10.1590/S0101-206120070004000029

Oliveira, D. T., Esquiovetto, M. M. S., and Silva Junior, J. F. (2007b). Impacto dos itens da especificação do açúcar na indústria alimentícia. Ciência e Tecnologia de Alimentos 27, 99–102. doi:10.1590/S0101-20612007000500018

Precetti, A. C. M., and Térán, F. O. (1983). “Gorgulhos da cana-de-açúcar, Sphenophorus levius Laurie, 1978, e Metamasius hemipterus (L., 1765) (Côl., Curculionidae),” in Reunião Técnica Agronômica: Pragas da Cana-de-Açúcar, Vol. 1 (Anais, Piracicaba: Copersucar), 32–37.

Precetti, A. C. M., Térán, F. O., and Sanchez, A. G. (1988). Alterações nas características tecnológicas de algumas variedades de cana-de-açúcar, devidas ao dano da broca Diatraea saccharalis. Boletim Técnico Copersucar 40, 3–8.

Santos, F., and Borém, A. (2013). Cana-de-açúcar: do plantio à colheita. Viçosa: UFV, 257.

Santos, F., Borém, A., and Caldas, C. (2012). Cana-de-açúcar, Bioenergia, Açúcar e Etanol, Tecnologias e Perspectivas, 2nd Edn. Viçosa: UFV, 627.

Sluiter, A., Hames, B., Ruiz, R., Scarlata, C., Sluiter, J., and Templeton, D. (2006). Determination of Sugars, Byproducts, and Degradation Products in Liquid Fraction Process Samples. Golden: National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 11. The Laboratory Manual for Australian Sugar Mills (2001). Method 32 – Reducing Sugars – Determination in Raw Sugar by the Lane and Eynon Method. Available from: https://sugarresearch.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/Milling-laboratory-manual.pdf

Conflict of Interest Statement: AG, AG, DO, GM, MS, TF, and WO are employees of CTC, which is developing products related to the research being reported. RL was a consultant to CTC on biosafety studies of products related to the research being reported.

The reviewer RW and handling editor declared their shared affiliation.

Copyright © 2018 Cheavegatti-Gianotto, Gentile, Oldenburgo, Merheb, Sereno, Lirette, Ferreira and Oliveira. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.