Cooking Characteristics and Antioxidant Activity of Rice-Barley Mix at Different Cooking Method and Mixing Ratio
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ABSTRACT: This study aimed to compare the phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity of barley at different proportion (0, 5, 10, 15, and 20%), and using different cooking methods. The grains used in this experiment are barley (Hordeum vulgare L. cv. Huinchalssal) and Samkwang rice. The rice-barley mixture was cooked using general and high pressure cooking methods with and without fermented alcohol. The quality characteristics such as water binding capacity, pasting characteristic, water solubility, and swelling power of different proportions of barley were evaluated. The antioxidant characteristic evaluated are total polyphenol, flavonoid contents, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), and 2,2-azinobis(3-ethylbenothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) (ABTS) diammonium salt radical scavenging activities. Results showed that peak (195.0 ∼ 184.0 rapid visco units (RVU)), trough (130.0 ∼ 116.2 RVU), final (252.0 ∼ 221.8 RVU), and setback viscosity (57.0 ∼ 37.5 RVU) decreased correspondingly with the increase in the amount of barley. Water binding capacity (187.31 ∼ 136.01%) and swelling power (162.37 ∼ 127.58%) decreased as amounts of barley increases, however the water solubility (5.35 ∼ 6.89%) increased. Moreover, the total polyphenol and flavonoid, and the DPPH and ABTS radical scavenging activities contents increased as the amounts of barley in the mixture increases. This study generally aims to provide useful information for the manufacturing of processed products.
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INTRODUCTION

Barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) is an essential cereal crop, ranking fifth in the world production, and plays an important role in human nutrition (1). Barley is considered as a nutraceutical grain because it contains bioactive compounds like β-glucan, phenolic compounds, B-complex vitamins, tocotrienols, and tocopherols (2-5). It has higher antioxidant activity as compared to the more widely consumed cereals such as wheat and rice. The risk imposed by the consumption of free radicals and oxidation products towards various forms of cancer and cardiovascular diseases could be lowered by the intake of dietary phenolics (6). Barley contains many phenolic compounds in the free and bound forms; these compounds include benzoic acid and cinnamic acid derivatives, proanthocyanidins, quinines, flavonols, chalcones, flavones, flavanones, and amino phenolic compounds (7,8).

Oxidative stress is manifested by the excessive production of reactive oxygen species and an insufficient or defective antioxidant defense system (9). Meanwhile, oxidative stress causes profound alterations in various biological structures, including cellular membranes, lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids (10). Interest in identifying biomarkers for disease, in which oxidative stress is always involved, is increasingly growing. Oxidative stress is involved in aging and in various diseases, including diabetes mellitus (11), atherosclerosis (12), Alzheimer’s disease (13), Parkinson’s disease (14), and some cancers (15). Therefore, the antioxidant activity of various grains has been intensively studied during recent years. Also, loss of nutritional components, generation of health deteriorating compounds, and non-ecofriendly and economic considerations are major setbacks for the processing industry. Due to these considerations minimally processed foods are gaining importance in day to day life (1). It is well documented that the minimally processed foods have more health benefits (8).

In Korea, barley is consumed in large amounts for mixed rice. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to...
determine the quality and physicochemical characteristics of cooked-rice with different mixing ratio of barley, and identify the appropriate cooking method to increase the palatability and functional component content of cooked-rice with barley. The results of the will be used to determine the optimum mixing ratio of rice and barley. In addition, the effect of fermented alcohol on heat treatment of cereals was investigated in order to improve the functionality of cooked-rice with barley (16).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and reagents
Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, sodium carbonate, gallic acid, sodium nitrite (NaNO₂), aluminum chloride hexahydrate (AlCl₃·6H₂O), (+)-catechin, sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃), 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), 2,2-azinobis(3-ethylbenothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) diammonium salt (ABTS), potassium persulfate, and Trolox were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). High-performance liquid chromatography-grade water, acetoni-

Sample preparation and extraction
Barley cultivars, Hordeum vulgare L. cv. Huinchalssal and rice cultivar, Oryza sativa cv. Samkwang were used in this experiment. The barley cultivars were grown at the National Institute of Crop Science, Rural Development Administration, Wanju, Korea during the 2015 growing season. The white rice was prepared by using rice huler (Model SY88-TH, Ssangyong Ltd., Incheon, Korea) and milling machine (MC-90A, Satake, Hiroshima, Japan). The barley was prepared by using barley milling machine (DK-108, Daedong AgriMachine Co., Ltd., Daegu, Korea). The samples were stored in a refrigerator at 4°C. The raw materials were pulverized using a micro hammer-cutter mill (Type 3, Culatti AG., Zürich, Switzerland) for qualitative analysis.

Analysis of pasting characteristics
The pasting characteristics of rice with different mixing ratio of barley (0, 5, 10, 15, 20, and 100%) were measured according to the methods in Kim et al. (17) by using a rapid viscosity analyzer (Model RVA-3D, Newport Scientific PTY Ltd., Warriewood, Australia). The rice-barley mixtures were pulverized to 60 mesh or more, then weighed into 3 g samples. Each sample was placed in aluminum can container and dispersed in 25 mL of distilled water. It was kept at 50°C for 1 min and then raised from 50°C to 95°C for 3.48 min, and maintained at 95°C for 2.05 min. Thereafter, it was cooled to 50°C for 3.48 min, and viscosity characteristics were measured. The total experiment time is about 13 min. After the experiment, the peak, trough, break down, final, and set back viscosity were measured and compared.

Analysis of water binding capacity, swelling power and water solubility
The water binding capacity of rice with different mixing ratio of barley (0, 5, 10, 15, 20, and 100%) was measured by mixing 1 g of pulverized sample with 40 mL of distilled water and stirring for 1 h (18). The supernatant was removed by centrifugation at 3,000 rpm for 10 min, and then the weight of the precipitated powder was measured. The water binding force was calculated by subtracting the initial sample weight (g) from the weight (g) of the precipitated sample and as a percentage of the initial sample weight (g). The swelling power and water solubility index were measured by dispersing 1 g of the pulverized sample in 30 mL of distilled water and heating it in a constant temperature water bath at 90±1°C for 30 min. After centrifugation at 3,000 rpm for 20 min, the supernatant was dried at 105°C for 12 h and weighed, and then the precipitate was weighed (19).

Determination of palatability characteristics
The palatability characteristics of cooked-rice with different mixing ratio of barley (0, 5, 10, 15, 20, and 100%) were determined using a cooked-rice taste analyzer (SATA1B, Satake) (20). The 10 g of the sample was placed in a measuring dish, covered with a lap surface, subjected to constant pressure for 3 s, and left at room temperature for 2 min. Immediately prior to the measurement, pressure was applied for 1 s with constant force, and then the lap was removed. Its appearance, hardness, viscosity, balance, and palatability were measured three times.

Cooking methods of cooked-rice added barley
The rice-barley mix was prepared by adding 0, 5, 10, 15, and 20% of barley to white rice. The mix was washed three times and soaked in water at 25°C for 30 min and then drained. 120 mL water for cooking was then added. Also, based on research, the functionality of cereals is improved by the addition of fermented alcohol during heat treatment. This study also aims to determine the effect of adding fermented alcohol on the rice-barley mix (16). So instead of adding 120 mL pure water, a mixture of 100 mL of water and 20 mL of fermented alcohol was used.
used as a treatment. This ratio is based on the results of a preliminary study. The mix was cooked using a general rice cooker (CR-0671V, Cuckoo, Seoul, Korea) and high pressure rice cooker (EHS035FW, Cuckoo). After being automatically boiled, and steamed for 15 min, the samples were analyzed.

Determination of phenolic compounds
In order to analyze the phenolic compounds and radical scavenging activity of the cooked-rice with different mixing ratio of barley, the cooked sample was blended with 80% ethanol using a homogenizer (HG-15A, Daihan Scientific Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea). The samples for analysis was extracted through shaking (WiseCube WIS-RL010, Daihan Scientific Co.) at room temperature for 24 h, filtered (Advantec, Toyo Roshi Kaisha, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), and stored at −20°C in a freezer. The total polyphenolic contents of the cooked rice mixed with different ratio of barley were measured using the Folin-Ciocalteu method (21). The standards or extracts (10 μL) were mixed with 200 μL of a sodium carbonate solution (2%, w/v) and 10 μL of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (50%, v/v). The mixtures were incubated for 30 min at room temperature and the contents were measured at 750 nm. The data are expressed as μg of gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per g of sample. For total flavonoid contents, standards or extracts (50 μL) were mixed with 200 μL of water and 15 μL of NaNO₂ (5%, w/v). After 5 min, 30 μL of AlCl₃·6H₂O (10%, w/v) was added and the solutions were incubated for another 6 min. The reaction was terminated by the addition of 1 M NaOH (100 μL) and the absorbance was measured at 510 nm (21). The data were converted to μg catechin equivalents (CE) per g of sample. All extracts were analyzed in triplicate.

Measurement of DPPH and ABTS radical scavenging activities
Scavenging activity of the samples for the DPPH and ABTS radical was measured according to the methods of Woo et al. (21), with some modifications. An 800 μL aliquot of 0.2 mM DPPH methanolic solution was mixed with 200 μL of the sample. The mixture was shaken vigorously and left to stand for 30 min under low light. The absorbance was measured at 515 nm. The ABTS cation radical was generated by adding 7 mM ABTS to 2.45 mM potassium persulfate solution and storing the mixture overnight in the dark at room temperature. The ABTS cation radical solution was diluted with methanol to obtain an absorbance of 1.4−1.5 at 735 nm (molar extinction coefficient, ε=3.6×10⁴ mol⁻¹·cm⁻¹). A diluted ABTS cation radical solution (1 mL) was added to 50 mL of the extract, Trolox standard solution, or distilled water. After 30 min, the absorbance was measured at 735 nm using a spectrophotometer (Multiskan TM GO Microplate Spectrophotometer, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The DPPH radical and ABTS cation radical scavenging activity are expressed in terms of Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity, as milligrams of Trolox equivalents (TE) per 100 g of sample.

Statistical analysis
All data are expressed as the mean±standard deviation (SD). The significance of differences among treatment means was determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan’s multiple range test, using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) with a significance level of 0.05. Relationships between parameters were also investigated by regression analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Pasting characteristics with different mixing ratio of barley
The pasting characteristics according to the addition rate of barley were determined by using a Rapid visco analyzer to determine peak, trough, breakdown, final, and setback viscosities. The results are shown in Table 1. As the barley addition ratio increased, the pasting viscosity tends to decrease except for the breakdown viscosity. The breakdown viscosity is the difference between the peak and trough viscosity. The amylose content has a negative correlation with breakdown viscosity. In addition, it shows a high correlation with heat and shear resistance during processing (22,23). The breakdown viscosity was

| Mixing ratio of barley (%) | Peak viscosity | Trough viscosity | Break down | Final viscosity | Set back |
|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|----------------|---------|
| 0                         | 195.0±1.4a     | 127.9±2.5a      | 67.1±3.9a  | 252.0±2.3a     | 57.0±3.4a|
| 5                         | 195.9±6.0b     | 130.0±2.8b      | 65.9±3.3b  | 252.0±1.0b     | 56.1±1.6b|
| 10                        | 193.4±1.8c     | 128.0±3.9c      | 65.4±3.3c  | 245.0±1.9c     | 51.6±2.2c|
| 15                        | 190.9±1.3c     | 122.9±2.4c      | 66.0±1.4c  | 233.6±3.1c     | 42.7±2.1c|
| 20                        | 184.0±1.9c     | 116.2±1.8c      | 67.7±0.3c  | 221.8±1.7c     | 37.8±0.3c|
| 100                       | 300.2±3.5a     | 148.6±0.4a      | 151.6±3.1a | 199.2±0.3a     | −101.0±3.2a|

Values are expressed as the mean±SD of triplicate determinations.
Means with different letters (a-e) within a column are significantly different at P<0.05 by a Duncan’s multiple range test.
As shown in Table 2, the water binding capacity of the mix tended to decrease with increasing barley proportion (0, 5, 10, 15, 20, and 100%). Water binding capacity indicates the affinity between the sample and water. Its size is known to increase with the number of amorphous portions in the starch particles, which is related to the swelling index of the starch (24). The water binding force as it indicates the degree of binding of water to the samples in the starch particles (25). The swelling power showed a significant decrease from 162.37 to 105.08% while the water solubility index increased from 8.79% to 8.97%. Further, the swelling power and water solubility index are indicators of the amount of swelling in the starch particles. The swelling power and water solubility index are significant factors that affect the swelling tendency of starch (21). The water binding capacity is negatively correlated with peak viscosity (r = -0.768; P < 0.05), breakdown viscosity (r = -0.819; P < 0.01), final viscosity (r = -0.974; P < 0.001), and setback viscosity (r = -0.967; P < 0.001). The breakdown viscosity was positively correlated with peak viscosity (r = 0.911; P < 0.001), final viscosity (r = 0.982; P < 0.001), and setback viscosity (r = 0.984; P < 0.001).

The results of this study show an inverse relationship between the percentages of barley added and the setback viscosity. This suggests that the higher the proportion of barley, the lower the setback viscosity. The value of setback viscosity is obtained by subtracting the peak viscosity from the final viscosity, which reflects the aging tendency of starch. The value of the setback viscosity, which reflects the aging tendency of starch, decreases as the mixing ratio of barley increases. The results of this study show an inverse relationship between the percentages of barley added and the setback viscosity. This suggests that the higher the proportion of barley, the lower the setback viscosity. The value of setback viscosity is obtained by subtracting the peak viscosity from the final viscosity, which reflects the aging tendency of starch. The value of the setback viscosity, which reflects the aging tendency of starch, decreases as the mixing ratio of barley increases.

Table 2. Correlation coefficients among pasting characteristics, water characteristics, and palatability characteristics of barley with different mixing ratio

| Factor | Trough | Break down | Final | Set back | SP | WSI | Appearance | Hardness | Stickiness | Balance | Palatability |
|--------|--------|------------|-------|----------|----|-----|------------|----------|------------|---------|-------------|
| Peak   | 0.928**| 0.993***   | -0.768*| -0.977***| -0.738*| 0.861*| -0.976***  | -0.974***| -0.974***  | -0.767* |
| Trough | 1.000  | 0.878*     | -0.479NS| -0.928*  | -0.447NS| 0.617NS| -0.852*    | -0.910*  | -0.955*    | -0.557NS|
| Break down |       | 1.000     | -0.836*| -0.994***| 0.447NS| 0.617NS| -0.987***  | -0.910*  | -0.955*    | -0.557NS|
| Final  |       |           | 0.883* | -0.994***| 0.996***| 0.983***| 0.855*     | 0.883*   | 0.845*     | 0.905** |
| Set back |       |           |       | 1.000    | 1.000  | -0.972***| 0.836*     | 0.801*   | 0.827*     | 0.920** |
| SP     |       |           |       |          | 0.865* | -0.949**| 0.989***   | 0.983*** | 0.984***   | 0.855* |
| WSI    |       |           |       |          |       | -0.972***| 0.836*     | 0.801*   | 0.827*     | 0.920** |
| Appearance |       |           |       |          |       |          | -0.932**   | -0.949**| -0.925**   | -0.932**|
| Hardness |       |           |       |          |       |          |           | 1.000    | -0.997***  | 0.995***|
| Stickiness |       |           |       |          |       |          |           |         | 1.000      | 0.994***|
| Balance |       |           |       |          |       |          |           |         |            | 1.000    |

Significant at *P<0.05, **P<0.01, and ***P<0.001.
SP, swelling power; WSI, water solubility index.
"Not significant."
tent and lower sugar content than that of white rice (26). On the other hand, the increase in solubility is thought
to be due to the elongation of some amylose or soluble carbohydrates as the barley is swollen by heating and the lipids and fibers collapse (27). The swelling power was negatively correlated with peak viscosity \((r=−0.738; P<0.05)\) and breakdown viscosity \((r=−0.807; P<0.05)\), but has significant positive correlations with final viscosity \((r=0.996; P<0.001)\) and setback viscosity \((r=0.865; P<0.05)\) (Table 2). The water solubility index was positively correlated with peak viscosity \((r=0.861; P<0.05)\) and breakdown viscosity \((r=0.911; P<0.01)\), but has significant negative correlations with final viscosity \((r=−0.983; P<0.001)\), setback viscosity \((r=−0.949; P<0.01)\), and swelling power \((r=−0.972; P<0.001)\).

### Palatability characteristics with different mixing ratio of barley

As shown in Table 4, the appearance, hardness, stickiness, balance, and palatability of plain cooked rice were 4.75, 7.18, 4.36, 4.59, and 45.39, respectively, and was evaluated as excellent. The appearance, hardness, stickiness, balance, and palatability rating of cooked rice-barley mix with 5, 10, 15, and 20% barley were 4.64–4.20, 7.13–7.32, 4.10–3.63, 4.50–3.87, and 43.10–36.90, respectively. The mixes with 5 to 15% barley had similar taste to that of plain rice. Kim and Lee (28) reported that preference for mixed grains with high milling rate was higher due to the lack of soft texture, and a decrease in sensorial properties such as taste, color and texture. However, this study proves that cooked-rice with 10–15% barley will not significantly affect its taste.

The appearance was negatively correlated with peak viscosity \((r=−0.976; P<0.001)\), trough viscosity \((r=0.852; P<0.05)\), breakdown viscosity \((r=−0.987; P<0.01)\) and water solubility index \((r=−0.972; P<0.001)\), but has significant positive correlations with final viscosity \((r=0.855; P<0.05)\), setback viscosity \((r=0.989; P<0.001)\), and swelling power \((r=0.836; P<0.05)\) (Table 2). The hardness was positively correlated with peak viscosity \((r=0.981; P<0.001)\), trough viscosity \((r=0.874; P<0.05)\), breakdown viscosity \((r=0.986; P<0.001)\), and water solubility index \((r=0.909; P<0.01)\), but has significant negative correlations with final viscosity \((r=−0.823; P<0.05)\), setback viscosity \((r=−0.982; P<0.001)\), swelling power \((r=−0.801; P<0.05)\), and appearance \((r=−0.997; P<0.001)\). The stickiness was negatively correlated with peak viscosity \((r=−0.952; P<0.01)\), trough viscosity \((r=−0.810; P<0.05)\), breakdown viscosity \((r=−0.968; P<0.01)\), water solubility index \((r=−0.949; P<0.01)\) and hardness \((r=−0.987; P<0.001)\), but has significant positive correlations with final viscosity \((r=0.880; P<0.05)\), setback viscosity \((r=0.980; P<0.001)\), swelling power \((r=0.869; P<0.05)\), and appearance \((r=0.995; P<0.001)\). The balance was negatively correlated with peak viscosity \((r=−0.974; P<0.001)\), trough viscosity \((r=−0.855; P<0.05)\), breakdown viscosity \((r=−0.982; P<0.001)\), water solubility index \((r=−0.925; P<0.01)\) and hardness \((r=−0.998; P<0.001)\), but has significant positive correlations with final viscosity \((r=0.845; P<0.05)\), setback viscosity \((r=0.984; P<0.001)\), swelling power \((r=0.827; P<0.05)\), appearance \((r=0.999; P<0.001)\), and stickiness \((r=0.994; P<0.001)\). The palatability was negatively correlated with peak viscosity \((r=−0.767; P<0.05)\), breakdown viscosity \((r=−0.809; P<0.05)\), water solubility index \((r=−0.932; P<0.01)\) and hardness \((r=−0.861; P<0.05)\), but has significant positive correlations with final viscosity \((r=...
Phenolic compounds of cooked-rice with different mixing ratio of barley

Phenolic compounds are some of the most effective antioxidative constituents in plant foods such as fruits, vegetables, and grains (29). Therefore, it is important to quantify polyphenolic contents and assess their contribution to antioxidant activity. The total polyphenol contents of the mixture at different barley proportion and the cooking method both showed significant differences as shown in Fig. 1A. The total polyphenol contents of plain rice according to the cooking method treatments ranges from 161.57 to 177.50 μg GAE/g sample. The total polyphenol contents of the mix with 20% barley has an average of 265.35±2.40 and 278.73±4.17 μg GAE/g sample in the general rice cooker and high pressure rice cooker, respectively. Among the antioxidants in cereals, polyphenolic compounds are known to have excellent antioxidant power. This has been reported to be due to the presence of a phenolic ring capable of stabilizing free radicals (30).

The total flavonoid content of mix increased as the proportion of barley increased (Fig. 1B). The total flavonoid content of plain rice was 9.63 to 18.84 μg CE/g sample depending on the cooking method. The total flavonoid contents of rice with 20% barley cooked in plain water were 31.85±1.28 and 30.65±0.59 μg CE/g sample in the general rice cooker and high pressure rice cooker, respectively, while the same mix cooked in 10% fermented alcohol solution were 37.58±1.25 and 38.28±0.79 μg CE/g sample, respectively. Flavonoids are mainly composed of anthocyanidins, flavonols, flavones, catechins, and flavanones. Depending on their structure, specific flavonoids have been reported to have various physiological activities such as antioxidation and antibacterial activities (30). Many antioxidant compounds are present in plant materials, mainly in a covalently bound form with insoluble polymers (31). Therefore, heat treatment might breakdown cell walls and liberate antioxidant compounds from insoluble portions of barley, increasing the pool of bioaccessible antioxidant compounds. The results of this study confirm that the addition of barley increases the antioxidant component of cooked rice. Though the concentration of antioxidants increases in proportion to barley addition, the cooking method should also be taken into consideration since it affects the texture acceptability.

Radical scavenging activity of cooked-rice with different mixing ratio of barley

DPPH radical scavenging activity is a method that is used to measure the electron donating ability of antioxidants by reducing discoloration of dark purple color by reducing antioxidant components such as ascorbic acid, tocopherol, polyhydroxy aromatic compounds, and aromatic amines (32). The stable DPPH radical, which has maximum absorption at 515 nm, is widely used to evaluate the free radical-scavenging activity of hydrogen-donating antioxidants in many plant extracts (33). The DPPH radical scavenging activity of cooked-rice added barley was analyzed by comparing with trolox, which is a standard substance, as shown in Fig. 2A. As a result, it was increased as the addition rate of barley increased. The DPPH radical scavenging activity of plain white rice ranged from 2.97 to 5.19 mg TE/100 g sample, while the activity of the mixture with barley ranged from 3.97 to 12.45 mg TE/100 g sample depending on the cooking method.

ABTS radical scavenging activity was measured according to the method of Kim et al. (34). When ABTS with potassium persulfate is left in the dark place, ABTS⁺, is generated and the ABTS⁺⁻ is cleared by the antioxidant...
component of the extract. Thus, the specific cyan color is discolored and is used as method of measuring the ABTS$^{+}$ scavenging activity of the extract. The ABTS method is widely employed for measuring the relative radical scavenging activity of hydrogen-donating and chain-breaking antioxidants in many plant extracts (35). The ABTS radical scavenging activity of cooked-rice with barley was analyzed by comparing with Trolox, which is a standard substance, as shown in Fig. 2B. Results showed a corresponding increase of ABTS with the proportionate increase in barley. The ABTS radical scavenging activity of cooked plain rice ranges from 14.07 to 15.83 mg TE/100 g sample while the activity of cooked rice-barley mix ranges from 18.68 to 27.70 mg TE/100 g sample depending on the cooking method. The ABTS radical scavenging activity of the rice with 20% barley cooked in plain water were 7.08±0.25 and 27.70±0.52 mg TE/100 g sample using general rice cooker and high pressure rice cooker, respectively. Antioxidant activity hampers lipid oxidation in food and slows down aging due to active radicals in the body, thus playing an important role in inhibiting diseases and aging (36). Recently, a related study on tomato and coffee found that prolonged heat treatment enhances the antioxidant activity of these food items (37). Browning and antioxidant activities in tomato and coffee samples increased as a result of the increase in heating and roasting time. In the last decade, many studies have examined antioxidant activity after heat treatment and showed that heated products exhibit chain breaking and oxygen-scavenging activities (38). Therefore, when the rice-barley mix is cooked using a high pressure rice cooker, it undergoes a high radical scavenging activity. The use of high pressure rice cooker can be considered for industrial application.
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