Introduction

Melon (Cucumis melo L.) is one of the most widely cultivated horticultural crops in the world. Melon first originated in Africa and Southwest Asia (Vaughan and Geissler 2009) and then spread worldwide. In 2013, the global melon production was more than 29 million tons (http://faostat.fao.org). Melon is an attractive model for molecular studies owing to its specific biological properties (Garcia-Mas et al. 2012) such as fruit ripening (Pech et al. 2008, Saladié et al. 2015), sex determination (Boualem et al. 2008, Martin et al. 2009), and carotenoid content (Harel-Beja et al. 2010, Ibdah et al. 2006, Tzuri et al. 2015). The economic importance and research value of melons would promote the completion of the genome sequence of its mitochondria, chloroplast, and nucleus (Garcia-Mas et al. 2012, Rodriguez-Moreno et al. 2011). Both chloroplast and nuclear genomes are often used for molecular phylogenies to identify the diversification and evolutionary history of cultivated melons (Chung et al. 2006, Renner et al. 2007, Sebastian et al. 2010, Tanaka et al. 2013). However, the mitochondrial genome has been underutilized as a source of phylogenetic data on melons, which may be attributed to a generally low rate of nucleotide substitution due to the impact of RNA editing (Govindarajulu et al. 2015), coupled with highly divergent noncoding regions and ubiquitous rearrangements (Rodriguez-Moreno et al. 2011), which could impact its usage for phylogenetic reconstruction (Govindarajulu et al. 2015, Straub et al. 2012). Accumulative evidence supports the analysis of the mitochondrial genome for phylogenetic reconstruction because of the fact that the mitochondrial genome is paternally inherited and transmitted through pollen, like other species of Cucumis (Havey 1997, Havey et al. 1998, Shen et al. 2013, Wei et al. 2011, Zhao et al. 2014). The mitochondrial genome of melon contains more than 2,740 kb of nucleotides, the largest one among the plants of Cucurbitaceae family. In contrast, the chloroplast is maternally inherited, although its genome contains only 156,017 bp of nuclear acids. A comprehensive analysis of their genomes
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could provide a complementary rather than redundant insight into the reticulate evolutionary history of melons.

During the past decades, there have been numerous attempts to identify and evaluate the chloroplast and nuclear genomes as molecular markers to characterize melon biodiversity and to explain phylogenetic relationships using techniques such as random- amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) (Nakata et al. 2005, Tanaka et al. 2007), simple sequence repeats (SSR) (Chung et al. 2006, Gao et al. 2012, Nakata et al. 2005), amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) (Garcia-Mas et al. 2000), and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) (Sanseverino et al. 2015, Tanaka et al. 2013). Recently, various studies utilized nearly complete chloroplast and nuclear genome approach to identify molecular biomarkers for phylogenetic analysis (Govindarajulu et al. 2015, Sabir et al. 2014, Straub et al. 2012) because of a significant decrease in the financial cost for DNA sequencing and the next generation sequencing (NGS) technology. Assessment of genomic SNP is more popular and frequently used among molecular markers for genomic studies (Govindarajulu et al. 2015, Sabir et al. 2014, Straub et al. 2012, Zhang et al. 2012). Straub et al. (2012) and Govindarajulu et al. (2015) implemented a genome-skimming approach to assemble the chloroplast and chloroplast genomes based on reference-guided assembly to find the polymorphic sites of both genomes. Zhang et al. (2012) mapped mate-paired reads to two organellar genomes to identify intra-specific SNPs. Sabir et al. (2014) filtered the reads mapped to both the mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes, and the remaining reads were used for all subsequent genome SNP analysis.

In this study, we sequenced the mitochondrial, chloroplast, and nuclear genomes of seven melon cultivars from different countries and identified all SNPs in order to investigate their genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationships. This study expects to evaluate the effect of DNA transfer among these three genomes on organellar SNP analysis and to investigate whether genetic polymorphism exits in the mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes of melon cultivars. In addition, the study aims to investigate whether organellar SNPs are useful to separate melon cultivars and whether there is a difference in the mitochondrial, chloroplast, and nuclear genomes as molecular biomarkers to identify the phylogenetic relationships. Overall, we expected to utilize these SNPs to identify their organellar phylogenies and to construct the nuclear phylogeny using these SNP markers from the nuclear genome.

### Materials and Methods

#### Sample collection and DNA extraction

Seeds of the seven melon accessions were kindly provided by the College of Horticulture (Northeast Agricultural University, Harbin, China) and selected as representatives of the main groups (Table 1, Fig. 1). Some of these accessions were parental lines for several melon mapping populations, which have been extensively used for constructing genetic maps and mapping agronomical important traits. The genotype of three published melon reference genomes (Garcia-Mas et al. 2012, Rodriguez-Moreno et al. 2011) was also included as a control in our analysis.

About 500 mg of field-collected leaf tissues of each of these seven cultivars were collected and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Genomic DNA was extracted using a modified DNA extraction method, according to a previous study (Allen et al. 2006). To remove RNA contamination, the samples were digested with 10 mg/mL of RNase A (Sigma, ST Louis, MO, USA) at 37°C for 30 min. DNA concentration was measured by an SMA3000 spectrophotometer (Plextech, Shenzhen, China) with an optical density at 260 nm, and these DNA samples were sequenced by Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI; Shenzhen, China) with the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform.

#### Genome sequencing, reads mapping, and SNP analysis

Each DNA sample had approximately 60 million 90-bp paired-end reads, with an average of 19× depths. Seven DNA sequencing libraries with 500-bp fragment length were generated and the raw data were processed in two steps, i.e., adapter sequences in the reads were trimmed and the reads with more than 30% low quality bases (quality value ≤5) were removed. For SNP analysis of the mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes, the remaining DNA sequencing reads from these seven samples were randomly drawn for 0.5× dataset covered of nuclear genome for three times as triplicate repeat subsamples using SEQTK (https://

---

### Table 1. Melon cultivars used in the study

| Cultivar      | Geographic location | Fruit shape | Fruit skin color | Seed length/width/thickness (mm) | 100-seed weight (g) | Seed type |
|---------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Topmark       | America             | Cylindrical | Green            | 11.0/4.9/1.5                     | 3.7                 | L         |
| MR-1          | America             | Oval        | Orange           | 10.3/4.9/2.2                     | 2.5                 | L         |
| M4-7          | China               | Round       | White            | 10.6/4.8/1.8                     | 3.8                 | L         |
| M4-5          | China               | Round       | Yellow           | 9.3/4.0/2.1                      | 2.5                 | L         |
| M1-15         | China               | Cylindrical | White            | 6.2/3.0/1.3                      | 1.2                 | S         |
| M1-7          | China               | Cylindrical | White            | 6.0/3.2/1.1                      | 0.8                 | S         |
| M1-33         | China               | Cylindrical | Green            | 6.8/3.3/1.4                      | 1.5                 | S         |
| DHL92         | Spain               | —           | —                | —                                | —                   | —         |

*Based on the seed length and 100-seed weight, melon is classified into large-seed type (L) (>9.0 mm or ≥2.5 g) and small-seed type (S) (<9.0 mm or <2.5 g) according to the criteria reported by Tanaka et al. (2013).*
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Individual read pools of each melon accession were aligned separately to the melon mitochondrial (JF412792 to JF412800) and chloroplast (NC_015983) reference genomes using BWA v0.7.12-r1039 (Li 2013). All reads that aligned to both chloroplast and mitochondrial genomes were removed. Only filtered reads were further run through SAMTOOLS v1.2 mpileup (Li et al. 2009) and VARSCAN v2 (Koboldt et al. 2012) pipelines to identify SNPs unique to the mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes, respectively. Homogeneous SNPs with a read depth ≥10, mapping quality ≥20, and SNP quality ≥15 were retained and heterogeneous SNPs were filtered. The SNPs of intersection among the three repeat subsamples were used to predict the effects of the variants on genes through SnpEFF v4.2 (Cingolani et al. 2012). For SNP analysis of melon nuclear genome, all remaining clean reads of these seven melon varieties after quality control were directly used to identify SNPs according to the above methods. About 50,000 homozygous SNPs of the nuclear genome were then randomly extracted from the results of SNP identification and used to determine the phylogenetic association among these seven melon cultivars.

Alignment and phylogenetic analyses

The sequence matrix of SNPs from eight nuclear, mitochondrial, and chloroplast genomes (seven from this study and DHL92 from MELONOMICS, Table 1) was aligned using CLUSTALX v2.0.12 (Larkin et al. 2007). The phylogenetic relationship was determined using the neighbor joining (NJ) method with a bootstrapping of 1,000 replications with the MEGA v6 (Tamura et al. 2013). The phylogenetic relationship was also analyzed using the maximum parsimony (MP) method with PAUP* v4.0a147 (Swofford 2002). The phylogenies constructed from the mitochondrial, chloroplast, and nuclear genomes were visually inspected to identify the incongruence in genus relationships.

Results

Mapping of DNA sequence reads to the reference genomes

The number of DNA sequence reads from each sample ranged 58.92–66.60 million; 91.89% of these clean reads were mapped to the nuclear genome with an average coverage of 80.27% (Table 2), while 2,222,222 or 0.5× reads covering the melon nuclear genome were randomly extracted from the total reads of every sample as triplicate repeat subsamples. Mapping of the 0.5× reads to the mitochondrial genome (JF412792 to JF412800) and chloroplast genome (NC_015983) was performed. The average number of the reads mapped to the mitochondrial genome varied between 501,439 and 693,487, depending on the cultivar and leading to 22.56–31.21% of the 0.5× reads, while 221,468–459,552 reads, representing 9.97–20.68% of the 0.5× reads, mapped to the chloroplast genome of the seven cultivars (Table 3). Since 1.41% or 38.6 kb of the 2.74-Mb mitochondrial genome represented chloroplast insertions (Rodriguez-Moreno et al. 2011), the reads that mapped to both genomes were removed and the remaining reads were mapped to the reference chloroplast and mitochondrial genomes to avoid generating false SNPs due to DNA sequences that were transferred from the chloroplast to the mitochondrial genomes. Mapping of the remaining reads after filtering by both the

Fig. 1. Fruits from Topmark (a), MR-1 (b), M4-7 (c), M4-5 (d), M1-7 (e), M1-15 (f) and M1-33 (g). Seeds from mature fruits of the seven melon cultivars (h).
reference genomes resulted in 93.10–96.83% and 64.15–
64.41% breadth coverage with the average depth of 21–
32 bp and 190–400 bp of the mitochondrial and chloroplast
genome, respectively. Thus, in the mitochondrial genome,
this reduced the number of mapped reads to 324,316–
496,945 for the seven cultivars, which represented 14.59–
22.36% of the 0.5× reads before filtering (Table 3). In the
case of the chloroplast genome, the number of reads
mapped was reduced to 116,149–250,503 or 5.23–11.27% of
the 0.5× reads before filtering (Table 4). Filtering out reads
that mapped to both genomes reduced the number of SNPs
detected in both the mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes
and also slightly reduced the read depth and coverage, but
was enough for the identification of SNPs.

**Table 3.** Alignment of DNA sequencing reads to the mitochondrial reference genome (Melon_genome_v3.5.1)

| Cultivar | Total reads | Number of reads mapped | Depth | Coverage |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Topmark | 58,916,472 | 54,939,610 (92.25%) | 19 | 79.93% |
| MR-1 | 66,600,000 | 60,965,640 (91.54%) | 19 | 79.99% |
| M4-7 | 60,514,798 | 54,463,318 (90.00%) | 18 | 80.01% |
| M4-5 | 60,196,095 | 54,477,466 (90.50%) | 19 | 79.96% |
| M1-15 | 61,230,665 | 56,687,350 (92.58%) | 19 | 80.95% |
| M1-7 | 60,142,435 | 55,752,037 (92.70%) | 20 | 80.98% |
| M1-33 | 60,316,345 | 55,883,094 (92.65%) | 21 | 80.12% |
| Average | 61,130,972 | 56,166,930 (91.89%) | 19 | 80.27% |

**Identification of mitochondrial SNPs**

The number of mitochondrial SNPs in each of these seven
melon cultivars compared to the reference genome ranged 207–295, with a total of 658 SNPs (Fig. 2A), while
182 of the 658 SNPs were unique to a single cultivar and resulted from only three melon cultivars (Topmark, M4-5
and M1-15). A high SNP occurred between large-seed and
small-seed melon groups, and the large-seed melons had
more polymorphisms than the small-seed ones. The 658
SNPs were localized at the different loci of the mitochon-
drial genome and a majority of the SNPs were localized in
the intergenic spacer regions and intron; only two nonsynony-
mous substitutions (SJF412792_222328 and SJF412792_
222329) and two synonymous substitutions (SJF412792_
2137706 and SJF412792_2138399) were localized in the
rpsl and atpl gene, respectively (Fig. 3, Supplemental
Table 1).

**Identification of chloroplast SNPs**

The number of chloroplast SNPs in the melon cultivars
ranged 0–60; all chloroplast SNPs were from large-seed
melons while none was observed among the small-seed
melons or the reference chloroplast genome (Fig. 2B).
However, there were only two SNP markers (SNC_015983_
62605 and SNC_015983_85814) unique in Topmark and
M4-5, respectively (Supplemental Table 2, Fig. 2B). Al-
though the number of chloroplast SNPs was much smaller
than that of the mitochondrial genome, a high genetic poly-
orphism was detected between small-seed and large-seed
melons. These 63 chloroplast SNPs were localized in differ-
ent loci of the chloroplast genome and nearly half of them
were in exons, 0 in introns, and 37 in intergenic spaces.
With regard to the genic SNPs, 18 of them resulted in non-
synonymous changes and eight were synonymous substitu-
tions (Fig. 3, Supplemental Table 2).

**Table 4.** Alignment of DNA sequencing reads to the chloroplast reference genome (NC_015983)

| Cultivar | Total reads | Number of reads mapped | Number of 0.5× reads mapped after filtering | Depth after filtering | Coverage after filtering |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Topmark | 58,916,472 | 302,422 ± 405 (13.61 ± 0.02%) | 164,687 ± 110 (7.41 ± 0.01%) | 260 | 64.30 ± 0.02% |
| MR-1 | 66,600,000 | 405,942 ± 484 (18.27 ± 0.02%) | 221,481 ± 542 (9.97 ± 0.02%) | 370 | 64.25 ± 0.10% |
| M4-7 | 60,196,095 | 267,155 ± 362 (12.02 ± 0.02%) | 134,110 ± 304 (6.03 ± 0.01%) | 220 | 64.19 ± 0.10% |
| M4-5 | 60,196,095 | 459,552 ± 409 (20.68 ± 0.02%) | 250,503 ± 227 (11.27 ± 0.01%) | 400 | 64.41 ± 0.10% |
| M1-15 | 61,230,665 | 230,382 ± 196 (10.37 ± 0.01%) | 116,149 ± 175 (5.23 ± 0.01%) | 190 | 64.15 ± 0.04% |
| M1-7 | 60,316,345 | 221,468 ± 246 (9.97 ± 0.01%) | 118,755 ± 395 (5.34 ± 0.02%) | 200 | 64.16 ± 0.06% |
Mitochondrial and chloroplast SNPs to distinguish between the features of the melon cultivars

Unrooted maximum parsimony (MP) trees and neighbor joining (NJ) trees were then independently generated for these mitochondrial and chloroplast SNPs to identify the phylogenetic relationships among these seven melon cultivars and the control DHL92 (Fig. 4). We found that the mitochondrial tree (Fig. 4A) was well resolved and the branch support value for resolved nodes was over 70% bootstrap. The geographic location and three agronomic traits (fruit shape, fruit skin color, and seed type) of these seven melon cultivars were plotted on the phylogenetic tree to determine whether any of these melon characters corresponded to the relationships among these cultivars (Fig. 4A). Our data showed that the mitochondrial tree topology could not be used for identification of the melon features. However, the MP/NJ tree of the chloroplast SNPs was well resolved or supported their defined melon features between phylogenetic tree and the seed traits (Fig. 4B), including seed length, width, thickness, 100-seed weight, and type. The large-seed melons with seed length ≥9 mm and 100-seed weight ≥2.5 g were divided into one clade, while the small-seed melons with seed length <9 mm and 100-seed weight <2.5 g were classified in another clade. Moreover, the seed width and thickness in the large-seed melons (width ≥4.0 mm and thickness ≥1.5 mm) were greater than those in the small-seed melons (width ≤3.3 mm and thickness ≤1.4 mm). However, the bootstrap values of most of the nodes were slightly less than 50%, which could be attributed to the fact that these 63 SNPs were either unique to a single cultivar or shared by all seven cultivars compared to the reference (Supplemental Table 1). The resulting MP/NJ tree topology of the nuclear genome was more resolved and better supported than both the genome-wide organellar phylogenies, in addition to a fine correspondence between the MP/NJ tree topology and seed features (Fig. 4C).

Discussion

In this study, we assessed SNPs from the mitochondrial, chloroplast, and nuclear genomes to identify the phylogenetic associations among these seven melon cultivars after DNA sequencing of their genomes. We found that there were 207–295 mitochondrial SNPs, with a total of 658 SNPs, while there were 0–60 chloroplast SNPs among these seven melon cultivars compared to the reference genome. Of the mitochondrial SNPs, 182 SNPs were unique to a single cultivar and resulted from only three melon cultivars (Topmark, M4-5 and M1-15). Most of these SNPs were localized in the intergenic spacer and intron regions; only two nonsynonymous substitutions (SJF412792_222328 and SJF412792_222329) and two synonymous substitutions
(SJF412792_2137706 and SJF412792_2138399) were localized in the \textit{rps}1 and \textit{atp}1 gene, respectively. In contrast, all the chloroplast SNPs was from the large-seed melons while none was observed among the small-seed melons or the reference chloroplast genome. Near half of these chloroplast SNPs were in the exons or the intergenic spacer region, but not in the intron regions. We found that the mitochondrial tree topology failed to distinguish melon features, whereas the MP/NJ tree of the chloroplast SNPs could define melon features such as seed length, width, thickness, 100-seed weight, and type. The SNPs of the nuclear genome were better than the SNPs of the mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes. Our current data demonstrated that mitochondrial, chloroplast, and nuclear SNPs differ in their usefulness to identify phylogenetic relationships among these seven melon cultivars. Future studies to further investigate the functions of these SNPs with regard to mRNA transcription and protein coding are warranted.

The mitochondria and chloroplast were originally derived from independent living cyanobacteria and proteobacteria, respectively, and incorporated into plant cells during evolution (Zimorski et al. 2014). To date, up to 300 chloroplasts can be found in a single plant cell; chloroplasts have a significant role in photosynthesis (Boffey and Leech 1982). Most previous studies have focused on the nuclear genome, and the plastome and mitochondrial genome are generally considered as DNA “contamination” (Lutz et al. 2011), although a study on such DNA did historically yield some important targets for comparative plant studies (Straub et al. 2012). The transfer of plastid DNA to the mitochondrion is a common phenomenon, with 1–12% of published angiosperm mitochondrial genomes (Mower et al. 2012). In the melon, the mitochondrial genome contains a fraction (38.6 kb, 1.4% of the total DNA sequences) of chloroplast-like DNA sequences (Rodriguez-Moreno et al. 2011), whereas watermelon and squash consist of approximately 6.4% (24 kb) and 2.1% (21 kb) of sequences, respectively (Alverson et al. 2010). Further, 35% (54 kb) of the melon chloroplast genome showed homology to the mitochondrial genome, and 46.5% (1.14 Mb) of the melon mitochondrial genome seems to be of nuclear origin (Rodriguez-Moreno et al. 2011), making the analysis of mitochondrial and chloroplast SNPs difficult. However, our current study indicated that the mitochondrial and chloroplast SNPs are unique and are useful for identification of phylogenetic relationships and melon features, which is consistent with previous studies (Tanaka et al. 2013).

In the past, different melon cultivars have been usually distinguished by their size, color, and the shape of their fruit or seed. Although classification based on these factors does have its merits, this method is not reliable and the melons are often misclassified. Molecular biomarkers have been increasingly used to define or precisely identify cultivars. In our current study, we performed whole genome DNA sequencing of mitochondrial, chloroplast, and nuclear genomes to identify SNPs in order to analyze the phylogenetic relationships among these seven melon cultivars. We revealed the unique SNPs in five of these seven cultivars. To our knowledge, this study is the first to report intra-specific diversification based on the comprehensive comparative...
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Fig. 5. Coverage of chloroplast genome of seven melon accessions after filtering.

analysis of the mitochondrial, chloroplast, and nuclear genomes of *C. melo* L. Previous studies have investigated chloroplast SNPs in melon (Sebastian et al. 2010, Tanaka et al. 2013), one of which showed that the SNPs of five chloroplast and two nuclear ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions could identify the intra-specific diversification of the cytoplasm type within 17 melon accessions (Sebastian et al. 2010). Another study showed that chloroplast SNPs could also separate 60 melon accessions into three subclusters (Ia, Ib, or Ic) (Tanaka et al. 2013), and that the subclusters Ia and Ib mainly consist of small-seed and large-seed melons, respectively, while the subcluster Ic that occurred in both large- and small-seed melons was unique to Africa. The subcluster Ic can be characterized by eight chloroplast SNPs, while subclusters Ia and Ib can be characterized by seven SNPs (Tanaka et al. 2013). In our current study, we revealed that 658 and 63 SNPs in the inter- and intra-genic regions covered approximately 95% and 64% of the mitochondrial and chloroplast genome, respectively. These intercultivar SNPs of the mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes could be used to separate small- and large-seed melon cultivars. The number of SNPs in the mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes is small, facilitating their use. Caspermeyer (2015) and Sanseverino et al. (2015) reported over 4.3 million SNPs in the nuclear genome of the seven melon varieties.

Further, our current data on the SNPs of the chloroplast and nuclear genome are largely consistent with previous studies on melon accession and phylogenetic analysis (Tanaka et al. 2013). Our data on inconsistent phylogenies of these three genomes may provide a different insight into the complex evolutionary history of the melon. This is also the first study to directly compare chloroplast and mitochondrial phylogenetic reconstructions within *C. melo*. Despite the fact that only 64% data (42% of the inverted repeats and 77% of the single-copy regions) on the chloroplast genome was used for chloroplast phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 5), our chloroplast phylogeny was well resolved, with high bootstrap support for major nodes. Our current data also showed that the nuclear phylogeny had compatibilities with both the mitochondrial and chloroplast phylogenies, but these two cytoplasmic phylogenies are not consistent with each other. Our chloroplast phylogeny is consistent with the hypothesis of Tanaka et al. (2013), which showed that the small-seed melon was placed in one clade, while the large-seed melon was included in another clade. In contrast, in the mitochondrial genome phylogeny, melon MR-1 cultivar has been placed into the clade opposite to chloroplast and the nuclear phylogenetic tree. Despite the limited amount of sequence variation in the cytoplasmic genome sequence, the incongruence was remarkable. Incongruence is expected when the mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes exhibit contrasting paternal and maternal modes of inheritance. Such discordance is common in the genus *Cucumis*, where the chloroplast is maternally inherited and the mitochondria are paternally inherited (Havey et al. 1998, Shen et al. 2013, Wei et al. 2011, Zhao et al. 2014). The mitochondrial phylogenetic tree could reflect MR-1 from a small-seed paternal ancestor, while the chloroplast phylogenetic tree could reveal that the large-seed melon had a maternal ancestor of MR-1. As is well known, MR-1 was selected from PI 124111 (Thomas 1986); the 100-seed weight of PI 124111 exceeds 2.5 g. Thus, it is a large-seed type melon (https://npgswb.ars-grin.gov). However, our current data showed that the genome-wide mitochondrial, chloroplast, and nuclear MP/NJ trees were not well consistent with fruit shape, fruit skin color or geographical location, although chloroplast and nuclear MP/NJ trees were consistent with the length, width, and thickness, and type of seed and 100-seed weight. Future studies that further assess SNPs to classify different melons worldwide are warranted.
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