Fair MAC protocol for IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs with hidden node problem
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In IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs, hidden nodes can disrupt the backoff algorithm of other nodes that are located outside the physical carrier sensing range of hidden nodes. The fairness problem between the nodes that are vulnerable and not vulnerable to the hidden node problem is dealt with in this paper. We propose an efficient fair MAC protocol to resolve the fairness problem.
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1 Introduction

To satisfy the rapidly growing demand of wireless data delivery, advanced wireless LAN systems, such as IEEE 802.11 n and 802.11 ac, have been developed and deployed in hotspot environment [1,2]. The interference from moving objects and humans significantly degrades the transmission signal of nodes [3]. The hidden node problem and the fairness problem between the nodes that are vulnerable and not vulnerable to the hidden node problem are worsened by the interference from moving objects and humans. In the literature, the fairness problem that is caused by the hidden node problem has been addressed [4,5]. In [4], the authors developed a mathematical model to capture the effect of the hidden node problem on the MAC throughput and the fairness among nodes. A new PHY protocol and power control mechanism was proposed to eliminate the hidden node problem in [5]. However, the dynamic nature of the hidden node problem has not been considered to develop a MAC protocol for resolving the fairness problem in infrastructure IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs [4,5].

In this paper, to resolve the fairness problem that is caused by the hidden node problem, we propose an efficient fair MAC protocol that adjusts contention windows of the DCF (Distributed Coordination Function) protocol according to the dynamic nature of the hidden node problem. In the proposed MAC protocol, to capture the dynamic nature of the hidden node problem, AP (Access Point) collects the physical carrier sensing information among nodes and the information of whether each node is active (that is, has data to transmit) or not during CFPs (Contention-Free Periods) by polling each node and receiving the response frames. AP piggybacks on the polling frames two-bit information of whether or not each recipient of the polling frames is vulnerable to the interference from active hidden nodes, and whether or not each recipient is a hidden node. AP broadcasts beacon frames that contain new contention windows for the backoff algorithm of the nodes that are not vulnerable to the hidden node problem. The fairness problem can be resolved by dynamically increasing contention windows for the nodes that are not vulnerable to the hidden node problem, and having other nodes use legacy contention windows.

2 Proposed fair MAC protocol

According to the standard of IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs, CFPs and CPs (Contention Periods) are alternated with each other [6]. AP polls nodes to grant transmission opportunities according to the PCF (Point Coordination Function) protocol. While AP polls node $i$ and node $i$ responds with data frame or null frame (if node $i$ has no data to transmit) during CFPs, other nodes can obtain the MAC address of node $i$ by the reception of the polling frame, and determine whether or not the response transmission signal of node $i$ can be heard by the physical carrier sensing. Therefore, during the course of APs polling and each nodes responding, each node $i$ can maintain the set $S_i$ of the MAC addresses of other nodes the transmission signal of which can be heard by the physical carrier sensing. We implicitly assume that the carrier sensing information is symmetric, that is, if node $i$ can hear node $j$, then node $j$ can hear node $i$. AP can efficiently collect the physical sensing information $S_i^{8217 s}$ among nodes by having each node $i$ piggyback the change of $S_i$ on the response frames. A similar method was employed for APs collecting the physical carrier sensing information among nodes in [7]. Furthermore, AP can detect that node $i$ is active (or inactive) if node $i$ transmits the response data frame.
The transmission rates of RTS frame of nodes $i$ and $j$ can be estimated by the previous transmission rates of RTS frames of nodes $i$ and $j$. If the previous transmission rates of RTS frames are not available to AP, $T_i$ and $T_j$ can be estimated as the rates in basic rate set that are closest to the previous transmission rates of the response frames of nodes $i$ and $j$ during CFPs. Assuming the independent interference of $|H_i|$ hidden nodes to the backoff algorithm of node $i$, the probability that the backoff algorithm of node $i$ is interfered by any hidden node can be obtained by

$$p_i = 1 - \prod_{j \in H_i} (1 - p_{i,j}). \quad (3)$$

Due to the hidden node problem, the contention window of node $i$ is, on the average, extended to

$$CW_{\text{new}} = (1 - p_i)CW + p_i(CW + T_i) = CW + p_iT_i. \quad (4)$$

AP broadcasts beacon frames that contain the positive integer closest to the average of $CWs$ of the nodes that are vulnerable to the hidden node problem for each backoff stage. New contention windows are used for the backoff algorithm of the nodes that are not vulnerable to the hidden node problem. The nodes that are vulnerable to the hidden node problem use legacy contention windows for their backoff algorithm.

### 3 Simulation results

We assume that in a circular service area, $n = 100, 200, 8230, 500$ of IEEE 802.11 n nodes continuously attempt to transmit data frames to AP, and 20% of nodes are located in boundary area and are hidden nodes. Due to the interference of moving objects and humans, each node that is located in boundary area suffers from the hidden node problem, and is vulnerable to the interference from 5% of other boundary nodes.

We experimented three MAC transmission methods during CPs, which are the DCF protocol without the reservation scheme based on RTS and CTS frames, the DCF protocol with the use of the reservation scheme for all uplink data transmissions and the fair MAC protocol proposed in the previous section of this paper. The size of user payloads that are included in data frames is fixed to 5,000 bits. To see the maximized performances of three MAC transmission methods under the hidden node problem, data transmissions are assumed to fail only when two or more transmissions collide. For each case of three MAC transmission methods and $n = 100, 200, 8230, 500$, simulation was conducted during $2 \times 10^8$ time slots. The values of PHY parameters that are assumed for simulation experiment are shown in Tab. 1. The values of PHY parameters were also used in [3].

### Table 1. Values of PHY parameters

| Parameters            | Values   |
|-----------------------|----------|
| Transmission rate     | 6.5 Mbps |
| RTS, CTS and ACK      | 6 Mbps   |
| SIFS                  | 16 $\mu$s |
| PIFS                  | 25 $\mu$s |
| DIFS                  | 34 $\mu$s |
| Time slot             | 9 $\mu$s  |
| Max. backoff stage    | 7        |
| PHY header trans. length | 20 $\mu$s |
We compare overall average throughputs per node of three transmission methods for each $n$ in Fig. 1 where the DCF protocol with the reservation scheme and the proposed MAC protocol are shown to have far better overall throughputs than the DCF protocol without the reservation scheme. The DCF protocol with the reservation scheme and the proposed MAC protocol have very similar overall throughputs. In Fig. 2, for the DCF protocol with the reservation scheme and the proposed MAC protocol, we present relative difference between average throughputs per nodes that are vulnerable and not vulnerable to the hidden node problem with respect to overall throughputs per nodes. From Fig. 2, compared with the DCF protocol with the reservation scheme, our proposed fair MAC protocol is shown to reduce relative difference between the throughputs of nodes that are vulnerable and not vulnerable to the hidden node problem. Our proposed MAC protocol reduces, on the average, about 22% of relative difference compared with the DCF protocol with the reservation scheme.

4 Conclusions

We proposed a new fair MAC protocol for IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs to resolve the fairness problem between nodes that are vulnerable and not vulnerable to the hidden node problem. To provide fair access to wireless medium for nodes, we dynamically adjust contention windows for the backoff algorithm of nodes that are not vulnerable to the hidden node problem having other nodes use legacy contention windows. Simulation results show that our proposed MAC protocol significantly improves the fairness among nodes compared with the DCF protocol with the reservation scheme.
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