In-silico protein-ligand docking studies against the estrogen protein of breast cancer using pharmacophore based virtual screening approaches
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1. Introduction

Cancer is a chronic abnormal cell disorder or a lethal disease demonstrated by immortality and unrestricted cell division. Breast cancer cells may be invasive, aggressive and metastatic and generally spread into various organs. Breast cancer affects the function of normal mammary epithelial cells and is highly heterogenous in nature. Breast cancer is one of the leading causes of disease among female individuals throughout the world [Jemal et al., 2011]. About 25% of breast cancer patients were reported from developing countries [Park et al., 2005]. Breast cancer is one of the common types of cancer contributing more than 27% in total cancer patients in Indian population [Torre et al., 2015]. In the year 2012 alone more than 144,937 breast cancer cases have been reported with 48.45% mortality. The incidence of breast cancer cases increased dramatically in patients at the age group of 50–64 [Nandakumar, 2001]. In cities, one breast cancer case was reported in every 22 women and in the rural area this concentration slashed to 1 in 60 women. However breast cancer cases have also been reported among male individuals rarely. In United States, more than 1500 new cases are reported every year [Giordano et al., 2002]. In western countries, this cancer is one of the second most leading causes of death worldwide. In Asian countries, more than 60% breast cancer cases...
are diagnosed as estrogen receptor alpha positive (ER$\alpha$) cancers. In normal mammary gland development, ERs play a significant role in breast cancer development, however, ER expression specificity mediates the proliferation of estrogen-induced cells in an autocrine mode of action in ER$\alpha$ breast cancer cell lines in vitro [Tan et al., 2009]. Breast cancer diagnostic methods with the maximum positive predicted value (88%) with estrogen are progestosterone hormone receptor and mammaglobin in a stepwise manner [Maria et al., 2012].

The hyper production of estrogen is one of the leading causes for the development of breast cancer. It was reported that estrogen receptor is a receptor of nuclear and effectively activated by binding of 17$\beta$- estradiol ligand and also described as estrogen. Naturally human population contains ER$\alpha$ and ER$\beta$ estrogen receptors. Of these two receptors, ER$\alpha$ is mainly expressed in the mammary gland and uterus. In women, estrogen receptor plays a critical role in apoptosis, inflammation, homeostasis, differentiation, metabolism, maturation and proliferation in breast cancer [Bai and Gust, 2009]. The receptor, ER$\alpha$ is well known to take part immune surveillance, resistance to apoptosis, metastasis and cell growth [Jiang et al., 2006]. The hyper activity of estrogen hormone may potentially lead to the multiplication of the ER$\alpha$ in the mammalian cells which lead to maintenance and growth of types of breast cancers, and also holds various molecular targets for the investigation of cancer drug.

In recent years, hormonal treatment, chemotherapy, radiotherapy form a various combination which must be highly organized for every individual therapy. Approximately, 60% of the pre-menopausal women and about 75% of the post-menopausal women have suffered by estrogen-dependent breast cancer, and ER$\alpha$ activity was effectively inhibited by cancer therapy [Peng et al., 2009]. In the case of breast cancer, endocrine therapy is widely recommended for metastatic/recurrent breast cancer or the beginning stage. Presently, the available treatment for breast-based breast cancer contains fulvestrant - a selective estrogen receptor down regulator and selective estrogen receptor modulators that induce degradation and destabilization of ER, letrozole as aromatase inhibitors which generally reduce the production of estrogen in peripheral cells and in the tumour by the activity of aromatase. Although there are many anticancer drugs and potential inhibitors against various targets, effectively increase in resistance coupled with various side effects suggests that there is an urgent need for novel cancer therapy.

The presence of ER$\alpha$ receptor shows that virtual screening (VS) may be used as an effective tool to identify and screen the potential compounds from various natural sources. Many VS methods containing negative image-based screening, molecular docking, and general pharmacophore hypothesis have been used for the identification of ligands against ER$\alpha$ receptor [Niinivehmasa et al., 2016]. About 50% of patients diagnosed for cancer for both progestosterone and ER$\alpha$ receptor respond to various treatments, including, tamoxifen, that is mainly designed to disrupt the function of ER$\alpha$. ER-coregulatory proteins are very tightly regulated protein under natural conditions with absence of expression was diagnosed in cancer [Suganya et al., 2014]. The estrogen receptor has the ability to bind with DNA. In human, alpha and beta were estrogen receptor was reported and many studies focused on these receptors [McDonnell et al., 2015]. Estrogen is an important steroid hormone, which controls differentiation, improvement and function of the specific organ. The Hellenic mechanism of ERs shows binding of estrogen to its receptor in the nucleus. ERs bind specifically with Estrogen Receptor Elements (EREs) and EREs are mainly represented in the promoters of specific target genes [Nilsson et al., 2001]. EREs bind with ERs effectively stimulates conformational changes in the ligand binding domain of the receptors.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Protein structure preparation

In this study, the structure of the Estrogen receptor protein (PDB ID – 3ERT) was retrieved from the protein Data Bank, RCSB. The structure of PDB has various missing information on specific connectivity, along with the bond orders and formal changes. The structure of protein is imported from PDB into Maestro in the protein preparation wizard. The protein preparation wizard provides the display hydrogen polar only option. This option represents to shows only the polar hydrogen atoms.

2.2. Pre-processing and analyzing the structures

This pre-processing is significant for further structure preparation actions, such as generating heteroamorous states, H-bond assignment and also the level of minimization. In protein preparation wizard, the pre-processing step consists of several options. They are assigning bond orders, add hydrogen, delete water molecules and fill loops.

2.3. Optimization of the hydrogen bond assignment

This Assignment is an automated optimization, in which the optimization runs on all H-bonds (with or without the inclusion of water molecules) and an interactive optimization in which various clusters of hydrogen-bonded species can be effectively optimized. Reducing the structure has been acted specifically to refine the structure, with a restrained reduction. The RMSD value of the atom was specifically minimized in Angstrom. Also, the partial atomic charges were computed effectively with the use of optimized potentials for Liquid Simulations_2005 (OPLS_2005) force field.

2.4. Ligand structure preparation

Ligand structure preparation (LigPrep) is a strong collection of tools was specially designed to make high quality, all atom 3D structures for large numbers of drug-like molecules, starting with two dimensional (2D) or three Dimensional (3D) structures is SDF (Structures Data File) or Maestro format. The tautomer's and ionization states study can be highly helpful to generate various ranges of ionization states that are populated with a selected pH range. The Generated possible states are significantly populated in the specified particular pH range. Tautomeration and the analogous nitrogen and sulfur tautomeration and DNA base and histidine tautomeration.

2.5. Setting ionization states

The ionization states are generated by removing or adding the protons from the ligand. Ionization states study can be highly helpful to generate various ranges of ionization states that are populated with a selected pH range. The Generated possible states are significantly populated in the specified particular pH range. Tautomeration was generated for the input ligand. LigPrep perform KetoEnoltautomeration and the analogous nitrogen and sulfur tautomeration and DNA base and histidine tautomeration.

2.6. Setting stereoisomer options

Setting stereoisomer has three steps i.e. 1 Retain specific chirality (it retrieve the information about the chirality from the input structures files and retain these chirality for the entire calcula-
2.7. Receptor grid generation

The grid box can be generally generated by utilizing the receptor grid generation. Docking of ligand cannot be performed before the grid generation step. Receptor grid generation required a prepared protein structure with an appropriate bond order and formal charges. The receptor grid generation has four tabs, which are utilized to generate a grid. They are Receptor, Site, Constraints and Rotatable groups.

2.8. Receptor

It explains the part of the system in the workspace is considered as the receptor. The ligand can be identified either as an entry or a molecule in the workspace. The marked green color should be displayed after the ligand (or site) molecule is selectively picked in the workspace. Van der waals radius scaling of 14 non-polar atoms, which reduces the penalties for closely contacts, and it can be utilized to model the receptor and the legand. The partial charge cut off default value is 0.25 and the scaling factor default value is 0.30. The per atom scale factors consists of three options. The first choice does not utilize per atom scale factors. The second choice is read from the input structure file option will acted to read the charge and radius scaling factors from the input file for the receptor and the third one is specified for selected residues define to specify scaling factors for choosing residues. The Van der waals radius scale factor and also the charge scale factor default values is 1.0.

2.9. Site

This is defined as the set of site points on a specific grid that are contact by very small gaps in the solvent-exposed regions and describes where in the scoring grids are located and how to prepare from the structure in the workspace. The enclosing box or outer box is defined as the grid themselves are evaluated within the particular space. Ligand atoms contained within the box.

2.10. Constraints

To generate the receptor grid Glide constraints was used. The constraints have three sub tabs, one is positional, another one is H-bond or Metal and the last one is Hydrophobic. Constraints settings may be useful to hold display of the most of the receptor, leaving only the residues with a short distance of the ligand visible.

2.11. Rotatable groups

Rotatable groups of Glide used to adopt different orientation of hydroxyl groups with different ligands. The rotatable hydroxyl groups should be treated flexibly in the receptor grid generation.

2.12. GLIDE

GLIDE (Grid-based Ligand Docking with Energetic) is one of the Schrödinger suites, which is used for the docking analysis. GLIDE searches for favourable interaction regions between the ligands and protein. It uses a hierarchically serial of filters to search for particular locations of the ligand in the active-site of the protein molecule. For each core conformation has orientations and search of possible locations is carried out over the active-site of the protein of interest. GLIDE XP is designed for using only on good ligand poses. XP mode has docked only the top-scoring ligands. GLIDE docking can be done by using Protein structure preparation, Receptor Grid generation, Ligand structure preparation, and Ligand Docking.

2.13. Structure based virtual screening

Virtual screening is an effective tool for identifying active compounds or lead molecules has combined with the pipeline of drug research in various pharmacological companies. These screening studies at the active sites were carried out using Schrodinger suite, Glide and virtual screening work flow docking program.

GLIDE offers accuracy and speed from high-throughput virtual screening of numbers of compounds to exact binding mode predictions, giving good results in every level. This screening method is used to screen various compounds against a single target. The protocol also includes, preparation of ligand using LigPrep. High Throughput Virtual Screening (HTVS) docking is highly useful for the screening of number of ligands. In HTVS, advanced settings are not available. Standard-precision (SP) docking is widely useful to screen ligands with unknown quality in very large numbers. Docking by Extra-Precision (XP) docking method and score analysis were useful and shows distinct procedure which generally takes more time to run than SP docking.

Ligands were taken from database such as UNPD, NPACT, FDA and SANDB. In the input tab, the ligands source were specified and ensured that all ligands are identified. Filtering tab is use do filter the ligands and to run Qikprop. The virtual screening protocol includes, pre-filtering by Lipinski's Rule of 5, removing ligands and pre-filtering using a custom filter.

2.14. Ligand based pharmacophore mapping of estrogen receptor

Pharmacophore modelling consists of two features, virtual screening of ligand database and pharmacophore features generation. Phase is useful for activity prediction, structure alignment, pharmacophore perception and searching of 3D database. Phase is useful for for lead expansion, lead optimization and SAR development. The core of the UNPD, NPACT, FDA and SANDB were useful allowing logical SAR development, medicinal chemistry and optimization of Hit-to-Lead. These four databases offer various ranges of chemistry services and products designed in the field of drug discovery. Experimentally proved Estrogen Receptor were drawn and saved in .sdf format. Drawn compounds was loaded to develop Pharmacophore Model panel from file and the ligand geometries were cleaned, followed by the generation of ligand conformers. The ligand was identified from the pharmacophore sites using the create sites option, on the second tab of the Develop Pharmacophore Model panel. Once the pharmacophore site creation completed, it takes the user to next step of find common pharmacophore process. The common pharmacophore hypothesis was identified by selecting the find option. Maximum common hypothesis was analyzed and score was analyzed for the ligand, which shows the common pharmacophore characters and it was further analyzed before being subjected to database ligand against the UNPD, NPACT, FDA and SANDB database through HTVS (High Throughput Virtual Screening) mode.

2.15. Binding free energy calculation

Prime/MM-GBSA was utilized to analyze free binding energy between the receptor and the set of ligands. The free energies were calculated using the optimized potential for liquid simulation (OPLS-AA) and GB/SA method.

\[ \Delta G_{\text{bind}} = \Delta E_{\text{MM}} + \Delta G_{\text{emb}} + \Delta G_{\text{SA}} \]

Where \( \Delta E_{\text{MM}} \) shows the reduced energy variations between the sum of the energies of the protein and ligand and the complex.
structure of protein–ligand. $\Delta G_{\text{gbsa}}$ indicates the variation in the GBSA solvation energy of the complex structure of ligand–protein and sum of the salvation energies for the ligand and protein. $\Delta G_{\text{sa}}$ represents the variation in the energies for the surface area of protein–ligand and the sum of the surface area of the ligand and protein.

2.16. DFT calculation

Density functional theory (DFT) was applied to the most active compound as well as top screened compounds obtained from virtual screening studies. DFT mainly calculates the features namely, LUMO, HOMO and electron density to predict the molecular properties and biological activities of the lead molecule. Hybrid DFT is useful for obtaining detailed geometric features of the molecule.

Jaguar v8.7 module was used to calculate various molecular electrostatic properties namely, Unoccupied Molecular Orbital (LUMO) energy, Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital (HOMO), dipole moment, and MESP. The energy of the electrostatic potential of the compounds of interest was evaluated and negative and regions in the compounds were described by colour difference.

![Three dimensional structure of prepared Estrogen protein (PDB ID: 3ERT).](image)

**Fig. 1.** Three dimensional structure of prepared Estrogen protein (PDB ID: 3ERT).

### Table 1

| S. No | Compound ID  | Glide XP score (kcal/mol) | Glide energy (kcal/mol) | MM-GBSA (kcal/mol) | Interaction Residues                                      |
|------|--------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| 1    | SANDB_11243993 | $-14.253$                 | $-47.537$               | $-64.232$          | THR_347, GLU_353, ARG_394                                 |
| 2    | SANDB_11336474 | $-12.925$                 | $-48.619$               | $-57.102$          | THR_347, GLU_353, ARG_394                                 |
| 3    | SANDB_9841162  | $-11.833$                 | $-37.264$               | $-48.684$          | GLU_353, THR_347                                         |
| 4    | NPACT_01454   | $-13.852$                 | $-45.98$                | $-64.36$           | ARG_394, LEU_387, GLU_353                                |
| 5    | NPACT_01463   | $-13.116$                 | $-43.029$               | $-65.012$          | THR_347, GLY_420                                         |
| 6    | UNPD_481      | $-8.65$                   | $-35.75$                | $-72.133$          | GLU_353, THR_347, ARG_394, GLY_420                      |
| 7    | UNPD_179      | $-8.35$                   | $-59.396$               | $-63.34$           | GLU_353, THR_347, ARG_394                               |

3. Result

3.1. Protein preparation of estrogen protein

Estrogen receptor protein crystal structure was accessed from Protein Data Bank (ID: 3ERT) and it was designed using Schrodinger module. Hydrogen atom was assigned to the protein heavy atoms and optimized. Water molecules and cofactors were removed. Protein was also effectively minimized using OPLS-3 force field with atoms attached in the backbone (Fig. 1).

3.2. Virtual screening of estrogen protein

In this study, the active site was formed based on the ligand that was available in the structure of estrogen. From the active site, the residues such as, LEU_387, ARG_394, GLU_353 and THR_347 were determined (Table 1 and Fig. 2). From the results obtained it is shown that NPACT search of analyzed compound showed good binding efficiency on Estrogen hormone with docking score varied from $-14.248$ kcal/mol to $-13.925$ kcal/mol along with SANDB compounds has showed docking score between $-13.892$ kcal/mol and $-13.257$ kcal/mol. UNPD database leads has showed scores ranges from $-8.892$ kcal/mol to $-7.257$ kcal/mol.

3.3. Pharmacophore model

Four point pharmacophore model AHRR with one hydrophobic (H2), one acceptor (A1), and two aromatic rings (R4, R6) was developed using set compounds. The four point pharmacophore models are shown in Fig. 3. The top five ligands selected based on docking score are the diversity in structure and it is shown in Fig. 4. The best five ligands were superimposed on pharmacophore model to show the site points of the pharmacophore are matching with functional groups which will highlight the electronic features playing an important role in the interaction of receptor ligand. The displaying Pharmacophore model of most of active compound is shown in Table 2.

3.4. Pharmacophore based virtual screening

Virtual screening was helpful to screen potential compound of interest from inactive molecules. The fitness of molecules with pharmacophore model was measured by fitness score and the map helped to locate the important structural characters required for 1LDG protein inhibition. Therefore, the leads with high fitness score were identified for DFT and molecular dynamics studies. Pharmacophore development observations were carried out to identify the drug of interest. Also phase based screening was carried out against various libraries and based on the hits active compounds were detected. The obtained hits were subjected to high throughput virtual screening to find potential lead molecules. The high throughput virtual screening (HTVS) was done using Glide and rest of the 10% of top scored ligands were analyzed to Glide SP (Standard Precision) dock. Glide was used to analyze all the ligands. The docking score for legands along with the residues...
Fig. 2. Two dimensional interaction diagrams of the top hit compounds identified in structure based virtual screening.
forming interaction with the receptor is shown in Tables 3 and 4.

Among the analyzed ligands, the score of the ligand IBS2016 was 9.825 k/cal.

3.5. DFT analysis

The properties related to donating and accepting electron of all the 3 screened compounds were analysed. Also, high energy gap between HOMO and LUMO produce unfavourable state of electron transition and decreases the reactivity with protein. In the current study, low energy gap (HOMO-LUMO) between the 3 screened compounds shows that all the compounds are more reactive towards proteins (Table 3).

3.6. Binding free energy

Binding free energy was calculated based on docking complex analysis using Prime/MM–GBSA technique. PRIME MM/GBSA solvation energy (∆Gbind) of compounds 11243993, NPACT01463, NPACT01454 ranged −74.651, −54.312, and −62.743 kcal/mol (Table 2). In our study, binding energy was obtained and this binding energy was similar with the results of Glide XP.

3.7. ADME properties predictions

ADME properties of target compound were analyzed. The processes such as, absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion were the important process in the development of drugs. In this study, eight compounds were analyzed using various databases to check pharmaceutical relevant properties and drug likeliness. Schrodinger software suite was used to analyze the ADME properties using Lipinski’s rule of five.

3.8. Molecular dynamics analysis

3.8.1. Analysis of structure flexibility

The root-mean square deviation (RMSD) of backbone atoms was evaluated and also conformation drift of the protein was analyzed. At the initial 5000 ps, stimulation raise was observed because of severe relaxation of the model in the water environment. In the overall experiment, RMSD level did not vary significantly and the average stimulation period was <0.03 nm for about 20 ns. These analysis revealed that the identified compounds showed high stability in 3ERT protein. Also structural flexibility was analyzed. The protein structure was maintained in stable form and the size was about 1.8 nm. The Rg of the backbone of the protein is described in Fig. 5.

4. Discussion

In this investigation, the in silico analysis was carried out to analyze docking against the Estrogen protein of Breast Cancer utilizing virtual screening methods. Furthermore, considering various databases were attempted to use estrogen utilizing pharmacopore in curing breast cancer. Pharmacophore modeling and 3ERT protein were taken out utilizing PHASE module of the Schrödinger suite implemented in molecular modeling package, Maestro (Maestro 9.3.5, 2012) [Protein preparation wizard, Epik version 2.2]. PHASE recognize the spatial alignment of various functional groups that are general and necessary for potential biological activity of the lead molecules [Dixon et al., 2006]. The structure of the lead molecules were made by using LigPrep and the structure was made. Pharmacophore property of the drug molecule is a key in the process of drug discovery. The molecular structure is very important in pharmacological and biological functions. The quality of pharmacophore is evaluated by different methods. RSMD method

Table 2

| S.no | Name of Compound | Fitness |
|------|-----------------|--------|
| 1    | 11243993        | 1.787  |
| 2    | 11336474        | 1.822  |
| 3    | NPACT01463      | 2.018  |
| 4    | NPACT01454      | 1.867  |
| 5    | 9841162         | 2.005  |

Table 3

| Compound       | HOMO (eV) | LUMO (eV) | Solv.Energy (Kcal/mol) |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|
| 11243993       | −0.21     | 0         | −24.78                 |
| NPACT01454     | −0.21     | 0         | −20.09                 |
| NPACT01463     | −0.2      | 0         | −17.09                 |

Table 4

| Compound       | qPlogPw  | Shuman oral absorption | Rule of five | Donor H | Acceptor H |
|----------------|----------|------------------------|--------------|---------|------------|
| 11243993       | 13.195   | 74.104                 | 0            | 5       | 4.7        |
| 11336474       | 11.377   | 89.908                 | 2            | 2       | 2.2        |
| NPACT01463     | 10.273   | 88.665                 | 0            | 4       | 4.7        |
| NPACT01454     | 11.106   | 86.32                  | 0            | 5       | 5.3        |
| 9841162        | 15.563   | 62.888                 | 1            | 3       | 3.9        |

Fig. 3. Diagram displaying Pharmacophore model of most of active compound.

Fig. 4. Mapping of most active from the data on designed pharmacophore model showing the matching site points of ligand structure and pharmacophore.
is more frequent in determining the quality of pharmacophore [Shubina et al., 2015]. Also, vector score and volume of the score were useful for the determination of Van der Waals interaction of the molecule [Gaulton et al., 2012]. Identification of active principles based on pharmacophore process shows information about various interactions. In the case of ligand-based pharmacophore identification there is the lack of receptor structure [Krovat, and Langer, 2003]. Alignment based on pharmacophore stress to locate all unique groups together while in natural the highly complex receptor exists [Verma et al., 2010]. Generally, the ligands interacted with the receptor and adjust their geometries. Hence, analyzing the structure of the receptor is useful to identify the interactions between receptor ligand [Sastry et al., 2010]. In this study, molecular docking was performed and the top scores were analyzed. Molecular docking is useful to the identification of suitable site of receptor protein. The interaction energy of ligand – receptor was obtained after docking the ligands with active site (3ERT). Molecular docking is useful to the identification of suitable site of receptor protein. The interaction energy of ligand – receptor was obtained after docking the ligands with active site (3ERT).

VS or virtual screening method is based on computational analysis widely used in the field of drug research. This method is useful to identify the structure, drug target and the analysis of protein receptor complex. The main focus of the study is to screen the drug of target using VS. VS revealed anticancer activity of various natural products and conformational changes of ER – α over expressed on most of the protein [Marquette, and Nabell, 2012]. Analysis of active conformation of ER-α was performed as the target protein for VS. Two factors were considered to screen the new chemically and clinically ER positive anticancer drug molecule [Samanta et al., 2012]. The first approach is based on chemical modification of available chemical substances, which lead to novel molecule with potential therapeutic property also retaining initial action of drug. The approach is to study various pharmacological pathways and analyzing various molecular mechanisms, signaling pathway such as, phosphatase and aromatase [Bouras et al., 2002]. Novel drug is always welcome to overcome the existing antimicrobial drugs in new directions. Drug of interest targeting ER membrane alpha and beta nuclear transcription factors would be interesting methods to develop lead molecules [Angelis et al., 2005; Cao et al., 2013].

5. Conclusion

In this analysis, 3ERT was targeted utilizing rational approach accorded with in silico predictive capacity for inhibiting the development of cancer cells. The investigation harnessed the potential of pharmacophore modeling in exploring the molecules with strong binding affinity and biologically relevant interactions. Docking studies were acted in the wake of signifying the screened compounds over the recorded compounds as well as data set utilized to build pharmacophore sample. Prime MMGBSA scores were also well correlated with the Glide docking scores. Molecular dynamics simulation is acted to verify the constancy of the protein complex structures. The RMSD, RMSF and hydrogen bond interaction outcome denotes that the complex is highly constant through the simulation period.
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