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Abstract

Background: Lack of awareness and poor adherence to dietary, lifestyle modifications, and medications among patients with diabetes result in poor health outcomes. Aim: This study aimed to understand patients’ perceptions about barriers to self-management of diabetes. Materials and Methods: This was a descriptive qualitative study among patients with diabetes attending a rural health center. Five focus group discussions were conducted with 8 to 11 participants using a topic guide. Results: Many were unaware of target levels and complications of diabetes. Some aspects of the dietary information were found to be quite confusing. Physical constraints prevented many from going for outdoor walking. They have not received any information on foot care. Conclusions: Understanding the barriers for self-care will help health care workers manage diabetes better.
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Introduction

Urbanization and lifestyle changes are occurring rapidly in India, which has resulted in rising prevalence of diabetes in urban and rural areas. In another 20 years, nearly one-fifth of the world’s diabetic population is expected to live in India.1 The National Urban Diabetes Survey (NUDS), a population-based study conducted in six major cities covering all regions of India reported that the age standardized prevalence of type 2 diabetes was 12.1% and in Chennai, a city in southern India, the prevalence was 13.5%.2 But according to INDIAB, a national study conducted to determine prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes in India, the prevalence of diabetes in rural Tamil Nadu was 7.8%.3

As the prevalence of diabetes rises, it is more important to focus on maintaining target blood glucose levels and the prevention of complications among those affected. The patient plays an important role in diabetes management. The patient has to follow self-care practices to achieve target blood glucose levels and prevent poor health outcomes. These self-care practices include regular physical activity, appropriate dietary practices, foot care practice, self-monitoring of blood glucose, and compliance with the treatment regimen.4 Self-care activities, such as increasing physical activity and maintaining healthy nutrition, can slow disease progression.5,6 Compliance to treatment guidelines helps the patient achieve target glucose level and reduces risk of diabetic complications and mortality.7,8 However, diabetes self-care measures demand a high level of motivation and consistent efforts from the patients.

Previous research works have reported poor compliance to self-care practices among patients with diabetes. In a study done in Dehradun, Uttarakhand, in north India in 2010 among patients attending a government hospital, drug compliance to antidiabetic medications was only 16.7%.9 In a study done in a medical college hospital in Andhra Pradesh, a neighboring state of Tamil Nadu, an area similar to the current study setting in 2010, patients who had higher knowledge scores about diabetes were more regularly involved in self-care practices and achieved better glycemic control.
control compared with those with lower knowledge scores. A cross-sectional study in Canada among persons living with chronic diseases concluded that lifestyle behavior change was prevalent among those who received a health professional advice on self-management in the past 12 months. Studies that evaluate perceived barriers to self-care practices of diabetic patients will bring such issues to light. Few studies have focused on this issue, but they are done in Western populations. Studies done in India measured self-care practices of diabetic patients but have not focused on barriers to practices. To understand in depth the patients’ perceptions on barriers to self-care practices, qualitative research designs will be more helpful rather than quantitative methods. So this qualitative study was taken up to determine perceptions of patients with diabetes about barriers to self-management of diabetes in a rural area.

**Methodology**

This was a descriptive qualitative study using focus group discussions (FGD) for data collection. Study subjects were recruited from the diabetes outpatient clinic of the Rural Health and Training Centre (RHTC), attached to a medical college hospital in Chennai located 15 km away and where 1200 diabetic patients are registered and are on regular follow-up once in 2 weeks. The thematic design of the study is given in Figure 1.

Study participants were patients with diabetes, men and women >40 years, and on treatment for at least 6 months.

**Data Collection**

Five FGDs with 8 to 11 participants. A topic guide (Table 1) consisting of a series of open-ended questions covering various aspects of self-care was used by the principal investigator to conduct the session.

FGDs were moderated by the principal investigator and the co-investigator was the note taker. Both were trained in qualitative research methods. Each comment, quote, and observation recorded from the FGDs were coded, grouped themes were extracted representing the main messages conveyed.

**Ethical Considerations**

Written informed consent was obtained from every participant. The study protocol was approved by Sri Ramachandra Institutional Ethics Committee (Ref: IEC-NI/15/Feb/45/13)

**Results**

A total of 50 diabetic patients participated in the FGDs. Mean age of study participants was 58 years with SD of 10.5 years. Nearly 65% of them were living with spouse and other family members and 35% of them were living alone. Nearly 30% of them were illiterate, 27% of them were currently employed, and mean duration of their diabetes status was 4.5 years. The major barriers to self-care mentioned by the diabetic subjects are given as themes in Table 2.

**Dietary Modifications**

Most patients made dietary restrictions like restricted intake of sweets, nonvegetarian foods, roots, tubers and certain fruits, cut down sugar in tea/coffee following the doctor’s advice. Majority of men had even stopped or moderated alcohol and tobacco use. While restriction was possible with certain items, increasing the number of fruit or vegetable servings was difficult for them due to reasons such as cost and partaking by more family members.

Several of them reported that doctors gave inconsistent advices with regard to intake of rice, porridge, use of millets, restricted use or avoiding tubers and roots and certain fruits like banana, and found this quite confusing.

Many were unable to restrict the quantity of foods. They feared that they will feel hungry or tired after few hours. Also, they were unable to follow divided meals as taking 3 meals a day was their culture. “Will it look nice—eating every now and then?” It was difficult to prepare for one person as the other family members preferred rice-based diet. A man quoted “Roti is to be eaten with gravy, who will prepare for me all this regularly?” One woman said, “Who likes to eat that dry roti everyday?” Millet (ragi, bajra, jowar) porridge or pancakes are more culturally acceptable for them and the whole family partakes them.

Most men were dependent on their wife or daughter-in-law for food and so their cooperation/food preferences played an important role in their compliance to dietary modifications.

**Physical Activity**

All participants had positive attitude toward exercise programs. Majority of the participants had regular exercise schedule, mostly in the form of brisk walking 30 to 60 minutes a day. Lesser proportion of the participants, mostly women, did not have any exercise regimen. The important barrier perceived by them was their health issues particularly knee joint/leg pain and fear of giddiness/fall. Some expressed their concern of fall—“If I fall who is there for me to support?”

For some, their occupation demands active physical labor, so they do not have separate exercise schedule. One woman said, “I do household work. I don’t need to go for walking.” Another said “I climb stairs 8-9 times a day. So I do not walk.” Few women perceived lack of time was the constraint as they have more household chores.
Research design

- Objective – Understanding the barriers to self-management of Diabetic patients by Focus Group Discussions
- A topic guide (table 1) was developed that had a series of open-ended questions about the various aspects of diabetes self-care
- Ethics approval was obtained from Institutional Ethics Committee

Study participants

- Diabetic patients both men and women aged > 40 years of age - registered at RHTC and currently receiving Diabetes care for > 6 months and are vocalising to an extent – identified and included
- Five FGDs were conducted with 8-11 participants in each group.
- Homogeneity of the groups was ensured by having 2 FGDs each among male and female patients. One more FGD was conducted among patients with poor glycemic control to further enquire about the perceived barriers.
- Location - The FGDs were conducted in the class room at RHTC, which was away from the OPD and was silent, well-lit and comfortable for the participants.

Data collection

- The participants were seated comfortably in a circular pattern so that each one can see the rest.
- Many of them were already familiar as they regularly visit the centre on alternate Fridays to receive free Diabetes care and medications.
- Participants were explained about the purpose of the study.
- They were informed that the sessions would last for 50 minutes to 1 hour and that their discussions would be audiotaped.
- A written informed consent was obtained from the participants.
- Participants were requested to complete a short demographic and diabetes history questionnaire before the FGD.
- The do’s and don'ts of the FGD was clearly explained to them.
- As the session happened, the moderator guided the discussion and the note-taker noted down the non-verbal cues.
- The FGD concluded with a brief health education session and clarification of the participants’ doubts regarding the disease and the self-care practices.

Analysis

- The audio was heard the same day by both the investigators stopping and repeating as and when required.
- Each comment, quote and observation recorded from the FGDs were coded and then grouped based on those with similar content.
- From these groupings, themes were extracted and coded based on the different aspects of the self-care which represented the main messages conveyed by the focus group data.

Figure 1. Thematic design of the study.
Almost all of them reported burning sensation in the feet. The symptoms worsen when they walk barefoot and so they always use footwear when they go out. Few said that doctors have advised them to wear socks. No other information on foot care has been given to them by healthcare professionals. Wearing footwear within the homes is not culturally appropriate in this population.

Table 1. Focus group discussion guide used to facilitate discussion in focus groups.

A. Dietary modifications
1. What do you think about role of diabetic diet in controlling your blood sugar level?
2. Have you received information from your health care provider about the importance of adhering to dietary modifications?
   Are those information clear? Have you satisfied with the information provided by your doctor?
3. What food items make a difference in your blood sugar control?
   Probe
   What foods increase your sugar levels? What foods decrease your sugar levels?
   What dietary modifications are suggested by your doctor? (Regularity in taking meals, skipping meals, avoiding fat-rich foods in diet, avoiding sugar-rich foods in diet, and intake of raw vegetables and fruits in diet.)
4. Are you able to adhere to dietary modifications? If yes, elaborate the motivating/supporting factors or if no, elaborate on the factors responsible for nonadherence.

B. Physical activity
1. What do you think about the importance of being physically active to keep your sugar levels under control?
2. What advice is given by your doctor to modify your physical activity?
3. Are you able to participate in at least 30 minutes of physical activity at least 3 times a week (total minutes of continuous activity, including walking) or low-level exercise (such as walking) on a regular basis?
4. Are you able to participate in a specific exercise session (such as swimming, walking, biking) other than what you do around the house or as part of your work?
5. If no, what factors prevent you from increasing your physical activity?

C. Foot care
1. Have you received information about foot care from your doctor?
2. What were the information given?
   (Daily examination of feet, daily washing feet with soap and water, cleaning and drying space between the toes, daily examination of footwear, soaking the feet, Wearing footwear inside the house, preference for treating a corn/callus on feet, checking temperature of water before applying on feet, and wearing socks with footwear)
3. Are you able to follow the foot care measures?
4. If yes, what do you think are the motivating or supportive factors? (monetary, family, awareness about complications, etc)
5. If no, what do you think are the factors responsible for nonadherence to foot care measures?

D. Drug compliance
1. What do you think about the importance of adhering to diabetes medications?
2. Have you received information from your health care provider about the importance of adhering to diabetes medications?
   Are those information clear? Have you satisfied with the information provided by your doctor?
3. Do you regularly take your prescribed diabetes medications?
4. If yes, what do you think are the motivating or supportive factors? (monetary, family, awareness about complications, etc)
5. If no, what do you think are the factors responsible for nonadherence to diabetes medications?

E. Complications
1. Many say diabetes may lead to complications. Have you come across any such complications?
2. Have you been informed of such complications by the physicians?
3. Have you ever been examined for complications by the physician?
4. Usually doctors here refer those with complications to the main hospital. What is it with you?

F. Target blood levels
1. Do you know your fasting and postprandial blood sugar levels?
2. Have you set a target level for yourself?
3. Has your doctor informed you about target glucose levels?
4. Do you use glucometer or uristicks at home for monitoring glucose control?
5. What difficulties you face when checking your blood sugar at home?

Foot Care Measures
Almost all of them reported burning sensation in the feet. The symptoms worsen when they walk barefoot and so they always use footwear when they go out. Few said that doctor has advised them to wear socks. No other information on foot care has been given to them by health care professionals. Wearing footwear within the homes is not culturally appropriate in this population.

Drug Compliance
Majority of them regularly take medicines. Some forget to take drugs at least once per week often due to increased household work. One widower mentioned, “My wife used to remind me when she was alive. Now, how can I expect that from my son or daughter-in-law?”

Some do not take drugs during fasting and reported no effect or development of symptoms on skipping of drugs.
Few skip the medicines when they go out of town. “Those medications bought in drugstores in those places won’t suit me.”

Complications

Almost all of them agreed that they were not given information on diabetic complications. Very few were only examined for complications and referred. But they are reluctant to go to medical college hospital for screening unless they have got the complications. Others quoted reasons like, “See how crowded the OP is? Where is the time for the doctor to do thorough check-up? I too need to go back soon and cook for my grandchild and so can’t wait.”

Target Blood Levels and Self-Monitoring

Most patients lacked awareness on normal and target blood values. They said, “Doctors say if my sugar levels are high or normal and modify medicines accordingly. But what level should I achieve, none has told.” Charts depicting target values in native language are kept just outside the lab at RHTC, yet people reported not having observed them. Self-monitoring of sugar levels using glucometer was not in practice among the study participants. Most of them were treated with oral hypoglycemic agents and a small proportion with insulin and so use of this device is not routinely prescribed by the treating physicians at the center. Almost all checked their sugar levels once in 3 months at RHTC.

Discussion

FGDs conducted among diabetic patients registered with RHTC of a medical college hospital in Chennai revealed barriers for their self-care practices as culturally inappropriate dietary advice from health care providers, physical constraints, and fear to regularly practice an exercise schedule, poor family support to adhere to dietary modifications and medications, lack of information on foot care measures, target glucose levels, and diabetic complications.

A cross-sectional study in rural and urban slum areas in Gujarat showed similar findings namely, more males were adherent to physical activity than females, 63% could restrict sweets and fats but only 19% reported taking at least one fruit/vegetable serving most of the days. Simmons, in his article, has quoted barriers to self-management such as low diabetes knowledge, lack of family support, increased family demands, negative perception of time, and so on, which are similar to that identified in our study.

In south India, the staple diet is rice and diet advice is given on wheat-based diet (rotis) by the health care providers with the intention to decrease the quantity. People find

### Table 2. Themes Extracted on Barriers to Self-Care by Patients With Diabetes.

| Aspects of Self-Care | Themes | Response by Participants |
|----------------------|--------|-------------------------|
| Diet                 | Restriction and divided meals | Inability to restrict quantity |
|                      |         | Fear of hunger at odd hours |
|                      |         | Feasibility of divided meals |
|                      | Addition of vegetable and fruit serving | Cost, partaking by more family members |
|                      | Food choices | Food preference of self and family |
|                      |         | Family help in preparation |
|                      |         | Cultural acceptability |
|                      | Education | Nonreceipt on certain aspects |
|                      |         | Confusing |
| Physical activity    | Gender | Women |
|                      | Health issues | Leg pain, joint pain |
|                      |         | Giddiness, fall |
|                      | Fear | Physically demanding work |
|                      | Occupation | Busy with household chores |
|                      | Lack of time | |
| Foot care            | Poor physician-patient interaction, cultural gap | Nonreceipt, low cultural acceptability of advices |
| Drug compliance      | Forget | Very hectic schedule of work |
|                      | Dependence | Family support lacking |
|                      | Misconceptions | Culture-fasting practices |
|                      | Physician’s time-spending | Travel—outside medicines don’t suit |
|                      | Reluctance, preoccupation, low in priority | Nonreceipt of information |
| Complications        | Lack of interest, poor goal setting by physician and patient | Nonreceipt of screening/follow-up services |
|                      | Not prescribed by doctor | Nonreceipt of information |
| Target blood levels  | Monitoring device—not in vogue |
this culturally unsuitable for them. But they have preference to include millets mainly ragi, bajra, and so on, in their diet. It is better for health care providers to be familiar with local cultural practices and identify individual preferences and difficulties before giving advices on diet.

Physical constrains and fear are the barriers for majority of the diabetic women in following a regular outdoor walking. A qualitative study from Baghdad has reported similar reasons such as busy with household chores, physical restraints as barriers for regular exercises. Studies have proven that even simple feasible exercise programs are effective in improving glycemic control such as post meal walking for 15 minutes. A recent study in Chennai proved that mild physical activity of 5 minutes walking/hour every day and compliance to medications are effective in glycemic control. These can be promoted among diabetes patients who have limitations in mobility and who cannot walk for sustained period of time.

Although the Indian Council of Medical Research has guidelines on various aspects of foot care among patients with diabetes, hardly any are aware of these. The main barrier identified for noncompliance to foot care measures is inadequate information received from health care providers. A study in central India in a similar rural training hospital reports that 63% of the patients have not undergone foot examination or received education regarding foot complications and prediabetes or foot care by their treating physicians. Lack of information from health care providers was the barrier for foot care measures, target blood levels, screening for complications. Other qualitative studies also reported similar finding that majority of participants were not aware of target blood glucose levels. Providing them information proactively through small group education programs will go a long way in promoting healthy lifestyle practices and drug compliance. This would promote early health seeking, better reporting of complications and bring down the cost of care for both the patients and the provider.

Conclusion

The barriers to self-management identified in this qualitative study are amenable to intervention that could improve health outcomes. Health education on lifestyle modifications must be tailor made taking into consideration their family and social background.
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