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Abstract
Objective: To compare embryo quality and pregnancy outcome of patients undergoing Intra-Cytoplasmic Sperm Injection (ICSI) via agonist and antagonist protocol at Australian Concept Infertility Medical Center (ACIMC), Karachi, Pakistan. Method: The ACIMC database extracted information of all adult infertile female patients who were recommended treatment of ICSI between 1st June 2015 till 31st August 2017. They were categorized on the basis of treatment by gonadotropin releasing hormone. One group was treated with the agonist (long term) and the other with the antagonist. The data was analyzed on STATA 12, independent T test/ Mann-Whitney U test, chi-square/ fisher exact test, and linear regression were performed where appropriate. Results: Of the 267 patients, 222 received GnRH agonists, while 45 were given GnRH antagonist. Univariable analysis showed an association of good quality embryos with the GnRH antagonist protocol, age, antral follicles, number of eggs fertilized and levels of estradiol, follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) and prolactin (p<0.25). On the multivariable analysis, prolactin levels, number of eggs fertilized and inseminated were associated with good quality embryos. There was a significant relationship between estradiol and no of follicles (p value<0.05). Positive pregnancy outcome correlated with age, follicle count, FSH levels and eggs inseminated(p<0.25). Conclusion: Our results show that treatment type doesn't have an influence on the embryo and pregnancy outcome. However, estradiol levels, prolactin levels, number of follicles and particularly female age are predictors of embryo quality.
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Introduction
The pulsatile secretion of Gonadotropin Releasing Hormone (GnRH) in the natural cycle leads to a single follicle being developed and released. In Controlled Ovarian Stimulation (COS), multiple follicles are produced, which is an integral part of intra-cytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI). In order to regulate ovulation in patients undergoing exogenous stimulation of the ovaries, it is important to keep the luteinizing hormone (LH) at an optimum level to prevent premature luteinization and ovulation. This is made possible by down-regulation of ovaries with exogenous administration of GnRH analogues. These analogues include both agonists and antagonist.

GnRH agonists suppress the release of the gonadotropins follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) and luteinizing hormone (LH) by desensitizing pituitary receptors, a phenomenon called “down-regulation”. This treatment starts either on day 21 of the previous cycle (long protocol) or after the start of the period (short or flare-up protocol). However, both the long and short protocol have been shown to yield similar results. GnRH agonist use has been associated with increased...
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estradiol (E2) levels, improved oocyte yield, and higher fertilization and pregnancy rates. However, this method has the potential to cause ovarian over suppression, leading to decreased follicles and an increased need for gonadotropins.4,5

On the other hand, GnRH antagonists act by blocking the release of pituitary gonadotropins by competitive blockade of GnRH-receptors.6 The extent of receptor blockade depends on the dose of antagonist and is reversible on treatment cessation. Antagonists are administered in the mid-cycle and prevent a premature LH surge or ovarian over-suppression, thus maintaining normal follicular maturation.7 A shorter duration of stimulation, reduced gonadotropin consumption and prevention of ovarian hyper-stimulation are some advantages associated with antagonist treatment.2

Comparison of GnRH protocols shows that while agonists prevent premature follicle luteinization (PL), antagonist treatment may lead to reduced endometrial growth factors, and poorer endometrial receptivity.2 However, evidence regarding pregnancy outcomes in GnRH agonist versus antagonist protocols is inconclusive, with some studies reporting better outcome with agonist treatment and others with antagonist.7,8 Moreover, the impact of antagonist treatment on oocyte maturation and development is also unclear.9

Apart from treatment modalities, certain individual factors also influence the outcomes of ICSI. These include BMI, age, hormone levels, follicular count and semen characteristics.10-14 Age and BMI have shown to interfere with the regular menstrual cycle and hormone regulation in females. High FSH levels have shown an association with increased pregnancy rates.11 These characteristics are important to analyze as they predict positive outcomes early in the treatment cycle.

Therefore, further investigation on this topic is essential to determine the most appropriate treatment protocol for individuals who wish to undergo ICSI. It is also essential to understand factors influencing outcomes to refine treatment modalities. Helping patients achieve a successful pregnancy in the least number of stimulated cycles is a persistent challenge for practitioners. An estimated prediction of results can also avoid the financial and emotional loss of the patients and their families. Thus, the objective of our study was to compare differences in embryo quality and pregnancy outcome in females undergoing treatment with agonist and antagonist protocols.

Materials and Methods

A retrospective study was carried out from June 2015 till August 2017 in Australian Concept Infertility Medical Centre (ACIMC) after ethical approval (2018-0308-335).

‘Patient and public involvement’: It was a retrospective study hence patients were not involved directly. The data of females who received agonist (n= 220) and (n= 45) antagonist protocol was collected on the basis of female age range between 20 and 40 years, who have been recommended treatment of ICSI due to infertility by: male factor (e.g. varicocele, prior surgeries and semen abnormalities), female factor (e.g. polycystic ovaries, uterine fibroids, endometriosis and tubal blockade etc.) or unexplained cause to achieve pregnancy. Females with thyroid dysfunction and abnormal prolactin levels were excluded. Couples with male factors causing infertility were also excluded. Moreover, females who developed Ovarian Hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS) and those whose treatment was discontinued, were also not included in the study. South Asian criteria for BMI i.e. (BMI <23 Kg/m² – normal, 23 – 24.9 Kg/m² – overweight and >25 Kg/m² – obese) was employed to categorize the females.12

The treatment protocol is elaborated in Figure 1 and 2. In both protocols, there was routine monitoring of patients via trans-vaginal sonography (TVS) and hormonal profiling of FSH, LH, estrogen and progesterone levels of patients. ICSI was

![Figure 1: GnRH Agonist Protocol: GnRH agonist (Decapeptyl) 3.75 mg started on the 21st day of the luteal phase of the previous cycle and continued till 2 weeks until the pituitary suppression is confirmed as per the criteria by transvaginal ultrasound. Ovary Stimulation started using rFSH (dose...) with dose adjustment according to the follicular size. Ovulation is induced with beta HCG (dose) followed by oocyte retrieval on Day 14 after 34 – 36 hours.](image)
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performed 3–6 h after oocyte recovery on all morphologically intact eggs in Metaphase II stage in labeled microinjection dish by procedure described by Rehman et al.\textsuperscript{13} Embryos were graded on the basis of cleavage rate and differentiation before embryo replacement and were transferred on the 2\textsuperscript{nd} or 3\textsuperscript{rd} day after collection.\textsuperscript{14}

Result were categorized on the basis of β-hCG concentrations performed 14 days after egg collection and ultrasound confirmation of an intrauterine gestational sac 14 days after results of beta hCG. Non-pregnant group had beta HCG <25 mIU/ml, and pregnant group had beta HCG >25 mIU/ml, an intrauterine gestational sac with cardiac activity confirmed by TVS.

Statistical Analysis

The data was analyzed on STATA 12. Descriptive for quantitative variables were reported as Mean± SD/ Median (IQR) and were assessed by independent T test/ Mann-Whitney U test where appropriate. The qualitative variables were reported as frequency and percentages and were assessed by chi-square/ fisher exact test. To assess relationship of retrieval of good quality embryos with type of treatment and other factors, linear regression was performed and unadjusted and adjusted beta coefficient with 95% CI was reported. To assess the relationship of pregnancy outcome with type of treatment and other factors, Cox regression analysis was performed and unadjusted and adjusted relative risk (RR) with 95% CI was reported. All plausible interactions and confounding were assessed. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Table 1 indicates comparison of the demographics, according to the type of treatment received by females undergoing ICSI. 45 females received antagonist treatment while 222 received agonist. We observed that mean age and BMI of females was not significantly different in both the treatment groups.

Table 2 shows the hormonal levels, number of follicles, eggs and embryo according to the type of treatment received. The median estradiol level was significantly higher among females who were treated with agonist versus antagonist (p < 0.001). However, we did not find any significant difference in FSH, LH, Prolactin, TSH and AMH levels between the two treatment groups. The median number of follicles was significantly higher among females who received agonist treatment as compared to those who received antagonist treatment (p = 0.03). However, we did not find any significant difference in the median number of antral follicles, median no of eggs fertilized and inseminated between the two treatment groups. The median number of poor-quality embryos was significantly higher among females who received agonist treatment versus those who received antagonist treatment (p = 0.04). A higher proportion of females who were treated with antagonist conceived successfully as compared to those who received agonist, however this difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.31).

Table 3 depicts, univariate analysis to determine association of type of treatment and other factors with retrieval of good quality embryos among females undergoing ICSI.
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We observed a significant association quality of embryos with the type of infertility treatment at p value < 0.25. There was a higher expected retrieval of good quality embryos among females treated with agonist as compared to those who were treated with antagonist.

The retrieval of good quality embryos was expected to decrease by 0.14 units with every 1-year increase in age. However, there was no significant association of retrieval of good quality embryos with BMI and semen parameters. We observed that the expected retrieval of good quality embryos was 0.21 units higher with every 1-unit increase in the number of follicles. Similarly, with every 1-unit increase in number of right and left antral follicles the expected retrieval

| Variables         | Type of treatment | P value |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Demographics      |                   |         |
| Age (in years)    | 32.80 ± 0.89      | 32.77±0.353 | 0.97 |
| Height (in cms)   | 154.47±0.872      | 153.84±0.427 | 0.54 |
| Weight (Kgs)      | 71.38±1.860       | 67.76±0.971 | 0.12 |
| BMI (in kg/m²)    | 30.11±0.837       | 28.40±0.400 | 0.08 |
| BMI (in kg/m²)    |                   |         |
| <18 underweight   | 0 (0%)            | 2 (0.9%) | 0.92 |
| 18-22.9 normal weight | 4 (8.9%)  | 24 (11.1%) |
| 23-24.99 overweight | 5 (11.1%)  | 29 (13.4%) |
| ≥25 obese         | 36 (80%)          | 161 (74.5%) |

| Table 1: Demographics of participants according to the type of treatment received |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Variables | Antagonist (n=45) Mean ± SE /n(%) | Agonist (n=222) Mean ± SE/n(%) | P value |
| Demographics |                   |         |
| Age (in years) | 32.80 ± 0.89 | 32.77±0.353 | 0.97 |
| Height (in cms) | 154.47±0.872 | 153.84±0.427 | 0.54 |
| Weight (Kgs) | 71.38±1.860 | 67.76±0.971 | 0.12 |
| BMI (in kg/m²) | 30.11±0.837 | 28.40±0.400 | 0.08 |
| BMI (in kg/m²) |                   |         |
| <18 underweight | 0 (0%) | 2 (0.9%) | 0.92 |
| 18-22.9 normal weight | 4 (8.9%) | 24 (11.1%) |
| 23-24.99 overweight | 5 (11.1%) | 29 (13.4%) |
| ≥25 obese | 36 (80%) | 161 (74.5%) |

| Table 2: Hormonal levels, no of follicles, eggs and embryo according to the type of treatment received by participants |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Variables | Antagonist (n=45) Mean ± SE /Median (IQR) | Agonist (n=222) Mean ± SE /Median (IQR) | P value |
| Hormones |                   |         |
| Estradiol (mIU/ml) | 3600 (2200-5500) | 5500 (3200-8200) | <0.001* |
| FSH (mIU/ml) | 7.36±0.595 | 7.39±0.326 | 0.97 |
| LH (mIU/ml) | 5.00 (3.00-8.00) | 5.21(3.85-8.00) | 0.15 |
| Prolactin (mIU/L) | 19.00(12.00-25.00) | 15.00(10.00-22.00) | 0.09 |
| Follicles and eggs |                   |         |
| No of Follicles | 10.00 (6.00-15.50) | 13.00 (7.00-20.00) | 0.03* |
| No of Eggs Inseminated | 5.00 (3.00-8.00) | 6.00 (3.00-10.00) | 0.24 |
| No of Eggs Fertilized | 5.00 (2.00-7.00) | 5.00 (2.00-9.00) | 0.5 |
| Embryo quality |                   |         |
| No of Poor quality | 1.00 (0.00-3.00) | 2.00 (1.00-4.00) | 0.04* |
| Pregnancy |                   |         |
| Yes | 16 (35.6%) | 62 (27.9%) | 0.31 |
| No | 29 (64.4%) | 160 (72.1%) |

*significant at p value< 0.05 by using Mann-Whitney U test
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Table 3: Univariate analysis to determine association of treatment with good quality of embryos among females undergoing ICSI

| Variables                        | Beta Coefficient (SE) | 95%CI                        |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| **Type of treatment**            |                       |                              |
| Antagonist (ref)                 | 1                     |                              |
| Agonist                          | 0.73 (0.45)           | -0.15, 1.64*                 |
| **Demographics**                 |                       |                              |
| Age years                        | -0.14 (0.03)          | -0.21, -0.08*                |
| **Follicles and eggs**           |                       |                              |
| No of Follicles                  | 0.21 (0.01)           | 0.19, 0.23*                  |
| No of Antral follicles right     | 0.26 (0.03)           | 0.20, 0.33*                  |
| No of Antral follicles left      | 0.27 (0.03)           | 0.20, 0.34*                  |
| No of eggs Fertilized            | 0.49 (0.01)           | 0.45, 0.52*                  |
| No of eggs Inseminated           | 0.43 (0.01)           | 0.40, 0.46*                  |
| **Hormones**                     |                       |                              |
| Estradiol                        | 0.0004 (0.00003)      | 0.0003, 0.0005*              |
| FSH                              | -0.17 (0.03)          | -0.24, -0.10*                |
| LH                               | 0.02 (0.02)           | -0.02, 0.08                  |
| Prolactin                        | 0.007 (0.004)         | -0.001, 0.01*                |

*significant at p value < 0.25 by univariate linear regression analysis; Where FSH: follicle stimulating hormone, LH: Leutinizing hormone, TSH: thyroid stimulating hormone, AMH: anti-mullerian hormone

of good quality embryos was increased by 0.26 and 0.27 units respectively. Moreover, with every 1 unit increase in the number of eggs fertilized and inseminated the retrieval of good quality embryo was expected to increase by 0.49 and 0.43 units respectively. The hormones (estradiol, FSH and prolactin) also had a significant association with retrieval of good quality embryos. With every 1 unit increase in estradiol and prolactin the expected retrieval of good quality embryos was increased by 0.0004 and 0.007 respectively, however, it was decreased by 1 mIU/ml increase in FSH. There was no significant association of LH, TSH and AMH with embryo quality.

Table 4 indicates multivariable analysis to determine association of type of treatment with retrieval of good quality embryos among females undergoing ICSI.

With every 1-unit increase in prolactin levels, the expected good quality embryo retrieval was increased by 0.006 units. Similarly, the retrieval of good quality embryos was expected to increase by 0.25 and 0.13 units with 1-unit increase in no of eggs fertilized and inseminated respectively. There was significant interaction between estradiol and no of follicles.

Table 5 shows univariable analysis to determine association of type of treatment and other factors with pregnancy outcome among females undergoing ICSI. We observed that there was no significant association of type of treatment and pregnancy outcome. However, with every 1-unit increase in age the chance of pregnancy was decreased significantly by 6.6%. Moreover, the chance of pregnancy was increased by 1.035 with every 1-unit increase in the follicle count, by 1.061 times with increase in number of follicles and by 1.055 times with increase in number of eggs inseminated. The likelihood of pregnancy was decreased by 6.85 with every 1 mIU/ml increase in FSH levels. However, the chance of pregnancy was significantly increased by almost 12% with increase in the yield of good quality embryos.

No significant association was evident of semen parameters, BMI, hormones such as AMH, LH, TSH and prolactin with pregnancy outcome.

Table 6 indicates multivariable analysis to determine association of type of treatment and other factors with pregnancy outcome among females undergoing ICSI.

After adjusting for all the covariates, we observed that there was no significant association of type of treatment i.e. antagonist versus agonist with pregnancy outcome. However, the chance of pregnancy was significantly decreased by 5.1% with every 1-year increase in age. The likelihood of pregnancy was increased by 1.048 times (95% CI 1.010-1.088) with every one-unit increase in the number of eggs fertilized.
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Discussion

The comparative effectiveness of GnRH agonist and antagonist treatment protocols for assisted reproductive technology (ART) is still under debate. We conducted this study in order to assess the differences in outcomes of the two protocols, and the factors affecting pregnancy rates and embryo quality. We found that GnRH agonist treatment was associated with
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a significantly higher number of poor-quality embryos. However, we found no other statistically significant differences in either of the two protocols. Embryo outcomes and pregnancy outcomes were affected by estradiol levels, prolactin levels and age.

Our study shows the retrieval of good quality embryos to be greater in the antagonist group, compared to the agonist group. This was seen on univariable analysis, but not on multivariable analysis. Moreover, GnRH agonist treatment was found to be associated with a significantly higher number of poor-quality embryos. Varying results are seen in international literature. More mature oocytes of better quality were observed in the GnRH antagonist treatment groups in several studies.\(^\text{15}\) Conversely, in a prospective randomized controlled trial Olivennes et al. reported oocyte quantity and and embryo quality to decrease with the use of GnRH antagonist.\(^\text{16}\) Difference could be accounted by the use of different regimens of drugs to carry out the treatment. In our study, the GnRH agonist, Decapeptyl was used and administered before rFSH. For GnRH antagonist protocol, Cetrorelix was used after exogenous rFSH administration. De Placido et al. and Olivennes et al. used the same regimen as ours, however, Malmusi et al., used Ganirelix as the antagonist, but the rest of the procedure was the same.\(^\text{5,15,16}\) Schmidt et al., reported Leuprolide use as an agonist compared with Ganirelix as the antagonist, and found no statistical difference in the embryo outcome.\(^\text{17}\) Since GnRH antagonists have a direct effect on the steroid production in the granulosa cells of the follicles, a better quality and more mature embryo could be attributed to this treatment protocol.

There was no association between treatment type and pregnancy outcomes. Studies in other populations have shown a higher pregnancy rate in patients undergoing the antagonist treatment Even in the poor responder’s subgroup of patients, the pregnancy rate is higher in the antagonist group.\(^\text{19}\) In fact, Craft et al., reported higher pregnancy rates in patients undergoing antagonist treatment, who previously underwent the agonist treatment and did not respond.\(^\text{20}\) However, this study also reported greater cancellation rates in the antagonist protocol. According to Kolibianakis et al., however, live birth rate outcomes were not different in the two protocol.\(^\text{21}\)

Basal estradiol and prolactin levels were significantly associated with retrieval of good quality embryos. Studies have shown similar results, where higher prolactin levels have led to better quality embryos being retrieved, along with higher pregnancy rates.\(^\text{22}\) However, some studies have also shown no correlation between prolactin and the success of the treatments.\(^\text{23}\)

Prolactin receptors and its mRNA are present in mature oocytes, and have shown to play a role in oocyte maturation.\(^\text{23}\) Also, prolactin receptors have been found on the endometrium. They increase the endometrium’s receptivity and enhances blastocyst implantation during artificial implantation.\(^\text{22}\)

Furthermore, estradiol levels have been shown to have a positive impact on embryo and pregnancy outcomes in previous studies.\(^\text{24}\) Estradiol has proved to slow the pace of follicular development and hence improve follicular synchronization.\(^\text{24,25}\) This could explain why embryo quality is improved by higher levels of estradiol. In fact, Estradiol levels are used to monitor follicles (along with trans vaginal ultrasound), and help in deciding when to give the hCG trigger for ovulation induction.\(^\text{26}\)

Our results show no association of BMI with either of the treatment protocols, nor with the quality of embryos retrieved or with pregnancy rate. This is very different from most previous literature. Moragianni et al., suggested that patients with BMI higher than 30 kg/m\(^2\) had a 68% lower chance of conceiving.\(^\text{10}\) Studies have even suggested a disruption of the menstrual cycle and therefore negative ICSI outcomes in patients with a higher BMI.\(^\text{26}\) A recent systematic review from the Middlesex University, London shows a decreasing trend of pregnancy and live birth rates in patients with high BMI.\(^\text{26}\)

However, a study by Nicholas et al., revealed that the number of oocytes and quality of embryo was not affected by BMI. Only clinical pregnancy outcomes were lower in patients with a higher BMI.\(^\text{27}\)

Table 6: Multivariable analysis to determine association of type of treatment and other factors with pregnancy outcome among females undergoing ICSI

| Variables            | Relative risk (RR) | 95% CI       | p-value |
|----------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------|
| Type of treatment    |                    |              |         |
| Agonist (ref)        | 0.751              | 0.432-1.308  | 0.312   |
| Age                  | 0.949              | 0.909-0.990  | 0.016*  |
| No of eggs Fertilized| 1.048              | 1.010-1.088  | 0.014*  |

Log likelihood: -421.102; *significant at p value < 0.05 by multivariable cox regression analysis.
The retrieval of good quality embryos and the chance of pregnancy were expected to decrease with increasing age. This is supported by most studies, where maternal age was the determining factor of embryo quality, count and pregnancy outcomes.25 This could be attributed to uterine problems owing to advanced age, a disturbed hormonal environment leading to problems in ovulation, failure of embryo implanting, reduced ovarian reserve and poor oocyte quality.28

To the best of our knowledge, previous studies have focused primarily on the differences between the two GnRH treatment modalities, however, limited studies have explored the association of individual factors with positive pregnancy and embryo outcomes in patients undergoing treatment with GnRH protocols. Our study not only compares the patient characteristics and outcomes in the two treatment protocols, it also comments on the factors associated with positive outcomes.

Given the retrospective nature of this study, some limitations include the lack of randomization to GnRH treatment protocol. However, the patients in the agonist and antagonist treatment groups had similar baseline characteristics.

**Conclusion**

Our study has shown an association between GnRH antagonist treatment and good quality embryos, but without any significant difference in pregnancy outcomes among the two treatment protocols. We recommend that further research be done to investigate the effects of the antagonist protocol on conception. Since, estradiol and prolactin levels have shown to improve embryo quality, further investigation on their affect at the molecular level should be conducted.

**Limitations & Strengths**

- Limitations include the lack of randomization to GnRH treatment protocol.
- Only the long protocol treatment group is included
- However, the patients in the agonist and antagonist treatment groups had similar baseline characteristics.
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