Customized Reconstruction of Complex Soft Tissue Defect Around the Knee with Individual Design of Free Perforator Flap
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Abstract

Background Reconstruction of complex soft tissue defect around the knee, particularly in involving with large soft tissue defect or disruption of extensor mechanism, always is a challenging problem. The purpose of this study was to introduce our clinical experience on using individual design of free perforator flap for complex soft-tissue reconstruction around the knee.

Methods Between June 2010 and March 2017, 16 patients underwent the reconstruction of complex soft tissue defect in the knee region with free perforator flap. Various flap designs was performed basing on the location of wound, the require pedicle length, the tissue components that are deficient, the volume of such components and the risk of donor site morbidity.

Results Complex soft tissue defect of the knee was reconstructed with anterior lateral thigh perforator (ALTP) flap in 5 cases, modified ALTP flap in 2 cases, chimeric ALTP flap in 4 cases, dual skin paddles ALTP flap in 2 cases and chimeric thoracodorsal artery perforator (TDAP) flap in 2 cases. Multiple perforator flaps and vascularized fascia lata in combination were performed in one case. All flaps survived postoperative. None vascular congestion was observed. Only one case suffered partial necrosis. Primary closure of donor site was performed for all patients. The mean follow-up time was 16.5 months. Most cases showed satisfactory flap contour and acceptable function outcome.

Conclusions Free perforator flap is a reliable option for repairing complex soft tissue defect in the knee region, especially when local and pedicled flaps are unavailable. Various flap designs allow for more individualized treatment approaches.

Introduction

One-stage reconstruction of complex soft tissue defect in the knee region, particularly in involving with large soft tissue defect or disruption of extensor mechanism, always is a challenging problem for reconstructive surgeons. Previous several strategies have been described in the literature for the reconstruction of soft tissue defect around the knee, including local flap, pedicle flap, muscle flap with skin grafting or musculocutaneous flap. However, local flap and pedicled flap would not adequately to reconstruct a complex soft tissue defect because of its limitation of soft-tissue volume and less flexible design. Some authors reported that complex soft tissue defect around the knee can be resurfaced by using muscle flap with skin grafting, but the muscle flap with skin graft often resulted in bulky appearance, unsatisfactory color match and unstable surface. The musculocutaneous flap also has been widely used for the reconstruction of complex tissue defect in the extremities. However, the problem of donor site morbidity and bulkiness contour of the flap was remaining.

In the era of well-developed microsurgery technology and perforator flap technology, free perforator flaps have become the first choice in treatment of large lower-extremity wounds, where the local flap is unavailable. Free perforator flap gained popular because of its large cutaneous area, less donor site morbidity, aesthetically appearance, adjustable donor-sites, long vascular pedicle and flexible design with adjacent structure. However, there was little knowledge for the reconstruction of complex soft tissue defect of the knee with free perforator flap in the literature.

High energy trauma and soft tissue tumor excisions surgery often causes complex extremity defects, individualized reconstruction of complex tissue defect in the knee region is essential to salvage the extremity and restore its
function. However, harvesting free perforator flap by using a traditional fashion was unable to repair precisely and efficiently. An ideal reconstructive procedure should not only cover soft tissue defect but also restore the function of the knee in a single procedure and reduce the donor site morbidity as well. Therefore, in this study, we presented a case series of complex soft tissue defects reconstruction around the knee using various flap designs which allow for more individualized treatment approaches. To our knowledge, the concept and practice of using individual design of free perforator flap for reconstruction of complex soft tissue defects in the knee regions have not been described in the literature before.

**Patients And Methods**

From June 2010 to March 2017, 16 patients (3 females and 13 males) underwent the reconstruction of complex soft tissue defect in the knee region with free perforator flap. Patient ages ranged from 5 to 64 years (mean, 36.1 years). Of the 16 cases, one had a chronic ulcer, one had post-burn contracture, two had a skin necrosis after total knee arthroplasty, and 12 had post-traumatic injuries. Patient details are shown in Table 1. The study followed the ethical guidelines of the Hospital Ethical Committee of the Xiangya Hospital. Protocol was performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the Helsinki Declaration of 1975 and all subsequent revisions.

**Surgical Technique**

A hand-held Doppler probe was routinely used to preoperatively map the perforators on the donor site. A pinch test was performed on the donor site to evaluate the available width of the flap. After radical debridement, a paper template was prepared according to the shape of the soft-tissue defect. Our surgery team preoperatively assessed and classified the soft tissue defects to provide individual patients with a specific customized reconstruction. Various flap designs were performed for the reconstruction of complex soft tissue of the knee. Flap choice was based on the location of the soft tissue defect, the requirement length of the pedicle, characteristics of the defect, the tissue components that are deficient and the risk of donor site morbidity.

For the reconstruction of simple soft tissue defect in the knee region, a free anterior lateral thigh perforator (ALTP) flap was designed (Supplement materials Fig. 1). However, when the extensor mechanism or joint capsule of the knee was damage, a modified design was performed for the defect reconstruction by preserving a part of fascia lata in the flap which was enabled to resurface the superficial skin defect and simultaneous restore the extensor mechanism or joint capsule of the knee (Fig. 1, Supplement materials video. 1). This procedure could harvest the ALTP flap with a selective size of fascia lata based on characterizes of the soft tissue defect, and provide individual patients with a specific customized reconstruction. When the fascia lata component was required more freedom inserting for repairing the extensor apparatus of knee, free perforator flap combination with a vascularized fascia lata flap could also be performed for the reconstruction of complex soft tissue defect (Fig. 2, 3).

To reconstruct very large soft tissue defect and achieve the primary closure of donor site as well, the dual skin paddles perforator flap was performed (Fig. 2, Supplement materials Fig. 2). A single unified narrow flap was harvested, and then was split into two skin paddles between the perforator vessels. The dual skin paddles were stacked each other side-by-side to effectively enlarge the width of the flap. This approach was enabled to cover greater width of soft tissue defect in the knee region and directly close the wound of donor site without tension as well. When the dual skin paddle perforator flap was designed to repair the greater width soft tissue defect, the major
of principles in the dual skin paddle perforator flap is the conversion of flap length into the desired shape. A part of fascia lata also can be preserved in this flap to repair joint capsule of the knee or restore the extensor function of the knee.

For the reconstruction of large and deeper wound in the knee region, the chimeric perforator flap could be designed to achieve three-dimensional reconstruction of soft tissue defect. The skin paddle was used to cover the surface soft tissue defect, and the muscle paddle was performed to obliterate the dead space (Fig. 4). Each component can be precisely inserted to reconstruct the wound with more degree of freedom. Most importantly, the muscle paddle also could be used to restore the extensor mechanism of knee and cover the explore knee joint at a single stage (Fig. 5, 6, Supplement materials video.2).

**Results**

A total of 17 perforator flaps was successfully harvested in this series of cases. Five cases were repaired with anterior lateral thigh perforator (ALTP) flaps, two cases were repaired with modified ALTP flaps, four cases were repaired with chimeric ALTP flaps, two cases were repaired with dual skin paddles ALTP flaps, and the other two cases were repaired with chimeric thoracodorsal artery perforator (TDAP) flaps. In addition, multiple perforator flaps and vascularized fascia lata flap in combination were performed in one case. The size of the soft tissue defects range from 72 cm$^2$ to 503 cm$^2$ (mean, 196.8 cm$^2$).

The use of recipient vessels varied widely. According on the location of the knee defect and available vascular supply, Seven (43.75%) arterial anastomoses were performed distal to the knee (posterior tibia artery (PTA) and anterior tibia artery (ATA)), eight (50%) around the knee (the superior medial genicular artery (SMGA) and medial sural artery (MSA)), and one (6.25%) proximal to the knee (descending branch of the lateral circumflex femoral artery (LCFA)).

All flaps survived at postoperative. None vascular congestion was observed. Only one case suffered partial necrosis (cases 15). Necrotic tissues were debrided, and the resulting defects were repaired with thickness split skin grafting. Primary closure of donor sites we successfully achieved for all patients. The mean follow-up time was 16.5 months (range 8~35 months). Most cases showed satisfactory contour, and there was no excessive bulk. Those patients could walk normally without any assistance. The knee range of motion was available for 15 (94%) patients except one case underwent a knee fusion procedure. Mean active range of motion was 110.4 degrees (range, 60~130 degrees).

**Disscusion**

Complex soft tissue defect in the knee regions often was caused by multiple previous operation, high energy trauma and large soft tissue tumor excisions, those always resulted in various tissue defects, including bones, skin, and extensor mechanism. Soft-tissue reconstruction in the knee regions requires thin, flexible, large cutaneous area and multiple components. It was commonly considered that the local or pedicled flap was the optimal choice in many cases of soft-tissue reconstruction around the knee.$^{11}$ Recently, Ling et al$^{12}$ recommended the medial sural artery perforator (MSAP) flap as the first choice for soft-tissue defect reconstruction around the knee. However, the local flaps and pedicled flaps were only the optimal choice for repairing a small to medium-sized defects of the knee because of limitation of the volume soft tissue. Descending branch of anterior lateral thigh perforator (dBALTP) also have been reported as a reconstructive solution for soft tissue defects of the knee$^{13}$. But it has never gained
popularity among reconstructive surgeons because of venous congestion and difficult flap dissection in the presence of a variable anatomy of the vascular pedicle. In addition, Limited arc of rotation and reach of those flap are major disadvantages. Those were the impetus to look for an alternative flap.

Previous study showed that free flap would be an ideal choice when local tissue options are unavailable or inadequate, specially, when the vascular web around the knee has been damaged. Free muscle flap such as latissimus dorsi and gracilis muscle flaps has been reported as a reliable alternative approach for reconstruction of the complex tissue defect in the knee region, because of its rich blood supply and large area. Those advantages are specifically indicated more complex soft defects with joint and/or prosthesis exposure. However, problems of donor-site morbidity and bulkiness of flaps remain. In this study, we presented a case series of complex soft tissue defects reconstruction in the knee region by using various flap designs, including free ALTP flap, ALTP flap with partial fascia lata, chimeric ALTP flap, dual skin paddles ALTP flap, chimeric TDAP flap and multiple perforator flaps combination with vascularized fascia lata. To our knowledge, this is the largest series to date reporting microvascular reconstruction of complex soft-tissue defects in the knee region by using the free perforator flap. Our report focuses on the individual flap design for customized reconstruction of complex soft tissue defects in the knee region to minimize the donor site morbidity and gain acceptable knee function recovery, that have rarely been addressed before.

Recently, the flap donor site as limited resources has attracted the attention of reconstructive surgeons. One of the most important goals of modern reconstructive microsurgery is to minimize donor-site morbidity. Reconstructive surgeon has shifted their focus from pure coverage of soft tissue defect to now include the functional donor site issues and aesthetic appearance of the donor site as well. In this context, harvesting a free perforator flap by using a traditional fashion design may be not suitable to reconstruct very large soft tissue defect because of the limitation of soft-tissue amount which will result in a nonaesthetic donor-site skin graft. Recently, dual skin paddles perforator flap was introduced as an ideal approach to reconstruct very large soft tissue defect and maintain the primary closure of donor site. Zhang et al have reported that dual skin paddles perforator flap allows dual skin paddles to be placed side by side and effectively doubling the width of the flap by using a kiss technique. Our previous study also demonstrated that the dual skin paddles ALTP flap was an alternative option to repair extensive soft tissue defect in the foot and ankle. However, to our knowledge, there is no literature which has described the use of the dual skin paddle perforator flap for repairing the complex tissue defect in the knee region. In the present cases, the double skin paddle ALTP flap was successfully used to cover very large soft tissue defect in two cases, and the donor site were achievement of primary closure of donor site.

High energy trauma and soft tissue tumor excisions surgery often causes complex three-dimensional extremity defects, which can be accompanied by large surface soft tissue defect, dead space, disruption joint capsule and/or extensor apparatus of the knee, and are challenging to repair precisely and efficiently. One-stage reconstruction of soft tissue defect and lost extensor mechanism in the knee region could provide a reasonable functional outcome. In the present series, six patients companied with disruption of joint capsular or extensor mechanism of the knee. The ALTP flap with partial fascia lata was performed on two cases to restore the joint capsule of the knee and simultaneous repair soft tissue defect. However, we also found that it is difficultly to use this approach to cover very large soft tissue defect, because the fascia lata was not completely separated from the skin paddle, and not facilitated to precisely inset in the wound. To overcome those disadvantages, vascularized fascia lata flap combination with free perforator flap was designed to cover the large soft tissue defect, restore extensors apparatus of the knee, and achieve the primary closure of donor site as well. In this case, a contralateral double skin paddles
ALTP flap and bilateral superior lateral genicular artery perforator (SLGAP) flap was obtained to cover the soft tissue defect. The vascularized fascia lata flap was harvested to repair the patella tendon. This method provided sufficient soft tissue and double-vascularised layers for the reconstruction of large surface soft tissue defect and restoring the extensor mechanism of the knee at a single stage.

There are several extensor apparatus reconstruction procedure also have been reported in the literature before, such as a gastrocnemius transposition flap\textsuperscript{22}, quadriceps advancement\textsuperscript{23,24} and tendon graft\textsuperscript{25}. However, multiple operative procedures were required for those methods. Recently, chimeric flap has become one of the most popular procedures for reconstruction of three-dimensional defects because of its more degree freedom and flexible design.\textsuperscript{17,26−28} Chimeric MSAP flap have been reported as a valuable option for the reconstruction of composite and three-dimensional knee defects,\textsuperscript{29} However, the problems of donor-site morbidity and inability to repair very large defects at one-stage remain.\textsuperscript{30} According to our experience, both TDAP chimeric flap and ALTP chimeric flap were reliable option for the reconstruction of complex tissue defect in the knee region, those flaps can provide a large skin area and enough muscle volume.\textsuperscript{28}

Selection of appropriate recipient vessels is essential for successful free flap transfer in the knee region. The size, shape, location and depth of soft tissue defect will affect the normal anatomy of the region and will drive the surgeon to different options according to the quality of the available vessels. Park et al\textsuperscript{31} recommended that the medial genicular artery (MGA) was an excellent alternative because of its proximity to the knee and its reliability, versatility and suitable caliber. Hong and koshima\textsuperscript{32} have presented a reliable approach which can use the perforator vessels as a recipient vessel for free flap transfer in the knee region, but the perforators are not always reliable in caliber and location. According to our experience, the vascular near the knee should be considered as the first choice for free flap transfer. If vessels near the recipient site were damage and microsurgical anastomosis must be performed outside of the zone of injury, The LCFA, PTA or ATA may be available to choose. In this present series cases, 43.75% arterial anastomoses were performed distal to the knee (PTA or ATA), 50% around the knee (SMGA or MSA), and 6.25% proximal to the knee (LCFA).

Conclusion

Free perforator flaps transfer plays an importantly role in the reconstruction of the complex soft tissue in the knee regions, when local and pedicled flaps are unavailable. Various flap designs was enabled to be performed basing on the location of the wound, the require pedicle length, wound characteristics, the tissue components that are deficient, the volume of such components, the donor site and the risk of donor site morbidity. Those design provided with more individualized treatment approaches. Despite almost of previous studies have focused on the local flaps and pedicled flaps for the reconstruction of soft tissue defect of the knee, and less frequently applied in the knee regions with free perforator flap, in the era of well-developed microsurgery technology and perforator flap technology, free perforator flap should not be used as the second choice.
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Table
Table 1. The detail information of the patients

| Patients | Age (y)/Sex | Cause of injury  | Type of the flap | Size of the flap (cm) | Restoring knee function or joint capsular | Recipient vessels | Complication | Follow-up (Mo) |
|----------|-------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|
| 1        | 25M         | Traffic injury   | Dual skin paddle ALTP with a part of FL | 15 × 7 and 15 × 7 | FL for joint capsular repairing | MGA             | None         | 18            |
| 2        | 40F         | Traffic injury   | Dual skin paddle ALTP | 16 × 10 and 13 × 8 | None | ATV             | None         | 14            |
| 3        | 52M         | Ulcer with knee infection | Chimeric ALTP | 21 × 9 for skin paddle and 12 × 5 for the muscle paddle | None | ATV             | None         | 18            |
| 4        | 59M         | Traffic injury   | Chimeric ALTP | 24 × 8.5 for skin paddle and 10 × 5 for the muscle paddle | None | PTV             | None         | 9             |
| 5        | 31M         | Traffic injury   | ALTP             | 20 × 10               | None | ATV             | None         | 15            |
| 6        | 27M         | Traffic injury   | ALTP with a part of FL | 29 × 10               | FL for joint capsular repairing | ATV             | None         | 24            |
| 7        | 64M         | Skin Necrosis after TKA | ALTP           | 12 × 6 | None | MSA             | None         | 6             |
| 8        | 26F         | Traffic injury   | ALTP             | 14.9 × 9              | None | ATV             | None         | 10            |
| 9        | 65M         | Skin Necrosis after TKA | Chimeric ALTP | 15 × 7 for skin paddle and 6 × 5 for the muscle paddle | None | MGA             | None         | 12            |
| Patients | Age (y)/Sex | Cause Injury | Type of Flap | Size of Flap (cm) | Restoring Knee Function or Joint Capsular | Recipient vessels | Complication | Follow-up (Mo) |
|----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|
| 10       | 7M          | Traffic Injury | ALTP with a part of FL | 16 × 7          | FL for joint capsule repairing         | MSA              | None         | 18            |
| 11       | 5M          | Scar contracture after burn | ALTP | 14 × 6.5 | None | MSA | None | 24            |
| 12       | 25F         | Traffic Injury | Chimeric TDAP | 25 × 10 for skin paddle and 17 × 4 for the muscle paddle | Function restoring with muscle paddle | MSA | None | 24            |
| 13       | 39M         | Traffic Injury | Chimeric TDAP | 15 × 9 for skin paddle and 6 × 12 for the muscle paddle | None | LCFV | None | 8             |
| 14       | 36M         | Traffic Injury | Chimeric ALTP | 25 × 9 | FL for function restoring | MGA | None | 12            |
| 15       | 45M         | Traffic Injury | Dual skin paddle ALTP and SLGA flap and Vascular FL | 18 × 9 and 9 × 20 for dual skin ALTP and 23 × 7 for SLGA flap and 18 × 7 for Vascular FL | Vascularized FL for function restoring | MSA | Partial Necrosis | 35           |
| 16       | 32M         | Traffic Injury | ALTP | 24 × 8 | None | ATV | None | 18            |

F: Female  M: Male  TKA: total knee arthroplasty  ALTP: anterolateral thigh perforator flap  TDAP: thoracodorsal artery perforator flap  SLGA: superior lateral genicular perforator flap  MGA: medial genicular artery  MSA: medial sural vessel  ATV: anterior tibial vessel  PTV: posterior tibial vessel  LCFV: lateral circumflex femoral vessel  FL: fascia lata