Tinnitus is defined as the perception of sound in the ears or within the head without any acoustic stimulus. It is a heterogeneous feeling and therefore when differentiating tinnitus, it is necessary to define it as pulsatile (synchronized with the heart rhythm) or non-pulsatile, subjective or objective, intermittent or continuous, and unilateral or bilateral [1, 2]. The objective type is more associated with vascular and muscle dysfunction. Myoclonus of the middle ear or the palatal muscles is a cause of objective tinnitus [1, 3]. The sound may be pulsatile or rhythmic. If it is synchronized with the heartbeat, there is a high likelihood that it is vascular in origin. Most patients have subjective tinnitus and the frequency at which it is seen increases with age. Although often seen together with hearing loss, it can also be seen without symptoms of hearing loss. While 17% of the general population have been reported to be affected, this rate can rise to 33% in the geriatric population [4, 5]. Tinnitus is seen at equal rates in males and females [6]. The relationship between tinnitus and cochlear pathology has not yet been fully clarified. It is not clear whether or not there is an association with cochlear pathology, in-
creased or decreased cochlear nerve activity or a central pathology. Microscopic biological changes in tissues can reflect tissue heterogeneity. Entropy is an imaging method of texture analysis which is used to characterize tissue heterogeneity by calculating the tissue intensity and distribution of irregularity within an area or volume. Thus, it is a parameter showing an irregularity in the tissue. This method has been successfully applied in the classification of pathological tissues in the liver, thyroid, chest, kidneys, prostate, heart, brain, and lungs [7, 8]. Analysis of tissue appearance with entropy is not just a visual evaluation but is an objective data evaluation calculated statistically. Distribution characteristics of tissue have recently started to attract much attention and to be used as a prognostic biomarker [9-11].

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patient Population**

This retrospective study included 22 patients who presented at the ENT department with the complaint of tinnitus which had been ongoing for more than 1 year. The continuous tinnitus was considered homogeneous. A control group was formed of 16 healthy ears in the same patient group with 28 ears with tinnitus. Tinnitus was determined as unilateral in 16 patients and bilateral in 6 patients. The medical history of participants was taken from the archives and included cardiovascular disease and risk factors, medications used, exercise, smoking, and caffeine, or alcohol consumption. No patient had a history of neurologic disease, tumors in the internal acoustic canal or cerebellopontine angle, or temporal bone trauma. Patients were excluded if they had undergone ear surgery or if the tinnitus was related to acoustic schwannoma, meningioma, hearing loss, otosclerosis, acoustic trauma, Meniere’s disease, or other reasons. Moreover, subject not including pregnancy or any decision for pregnancy, psychiatric disorders or its history (according to psychiatrist verification).

Detailed ear, nose and throat, neurological and audiométric examinations were applied to all patients. Audiometric tests were made in a double-walled sound room using an Interacoustics AC40 Pure Tone Audiometer with evaluation of pure sound in the range of 125Hz and 8000 Hz, and the results were recorded. Patients with pure sound <mean 25dB were included in the study. High-frequency hearing loss group (4000 Hz ≤) was included in the study group. To discount intracranial and ear pathologies, the hospital archives were examined for the temporal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) records. Ethical approval of the study was obtained from the ethics committee of our institution.

**MRI Examination Protocol**

The MRI examinations were made with a 1.5T GE Optima MR360 device (GE, Milwaukee, USA). The region of interest (ROI) was defined as a cochlear basal turn section area on T2-weighted 3D FIESTA slices.

**Image Evaluation and Analysis**

The ROI was evaluated with MATLAB version 2009b program (MATrix LABoratory, Mathworks Inc., Natick, USA). Calculations were made of the parameters of gray level intensity, standard deviation of histogram, entropy, uniformity, skewness, and kurtosis values with Size L% (mean low values from the area remaining below standard deviation) and size M% (mean area remaining below standard deviation).

**Statistical Analysis**

We performed all statistical analyses using SPSS statistics 22 (IBM, Armonk/NY, USA). In our cohort, the Mann–Whitney U-test and Pearson correlation analysis were performed. P<0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

A total of 44 ears were examined in the study, comprising 9 female and 13 male patients. The mean age was found as 49.44±13.16 (range=29–68) in the study group. Although there was no statistically significant difference in the other parameters, entropy was seen to be at a higher value and lower distribution in the control group (p<0.01) (Fig. 1). The appearance of the tinnitus group showed broader distribution as lower mean values. The kurtosis in the tinnitus group was statistically significant greater (p<0.001) (Fig. 2). This created a statistically significant difference in the Size L% and Size M% parameters (p<0.01, p<0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

Despite great advances recorded in medicine, tinnitus remains a scientific and clinical enigma. It is very commonly
seen and can cause a deterioration in the quality of life of the patient. It has still not been determined whether tinnitus originates peripherally, centrally or from several locations. When it is in one ear, and there is a sensorineural hearing loss in the same ear, there is increased possibility of peripheral origin.

Tinnitus is not a disease, but a symptom, but there are no objective tests to determine this state. The majority of studies on this subject have been made without evidence. Etiological causes include external ear canal diseases, diseases involving the tympanic membrane, middle ear diseases, vascular lesions, all kinds of cochlear pathologies that cause sensorineural hearing loss, retrocochlear pathologies, and psychiatric diseases. In patients with idiopathic subjective tinnitus, the cause is generally multifactorial or may emerge associated with peripheral nerve hyperactivity (cochlear and 8th cranial nerve) or impairment of function in this cycle [12-14].

There are many theories on the subject of the cochlear or retrocochlear origin of tinnitus. These include abnormal perilymph movement, chorda tympani hypersensitivity, increased activity in the cochlear hairy cells, static breaks in the cilia, a cut in the connection of the hair cells in the tectorial membrane, abnormal connections of the hair cells in the tectorial membrane, and reduced or increased blood flow in the auditory system. In some studies, it has been shown that a potential source of tinnitus could be a frequency-specific dysfunction in the auditory cells or abnormal exacerbations of the acoustic nerve cells [15-17]. Thus, any dysfunction in these cells responsible for hearing, or reduction in the inhibition input in the central auditory system or associated excessive stimulation are reasons associated with these theories. This leads to the occurrence of tinnitus. According to this theory, the basic organ responsible is cochlear pathology. Dysfunction occurring in the cochlea triggers the cycle of tinnitus. Nevertheless, despite several studies conducted on this subject, dysfunction of the cells responsible for hearing has still not been established as the cause.

House and Brackmann [18] stated that tinnitus develops associated with a weakening of the ring pattern in the tonotopic alignment related to the deteriorated cochlear structure as it was shown that tinnitus was not eliminated with the cutting of the auditory nerve, but continued. That study supported that the emergence of tinnitus is related to causes other than cochlear. However, when neural synchronization is considered, the exacerbation of the neuron pattern which emerges in the primary auditory cortex related to pathology in the cochlea does not support this situation [1, 19, 20]. In a study of ears with tinnitus, severe damage was determined in the cochlea compared to those with normal hearing [21]. In the current study, a significant difference was determined in the cochlear fluid distribution in ears with tinnitus compared with healthy ears. According to this result, the fluid character changed related to infection of the fluid in the cochlea, a change in the ion balance or various other reasons and this was thought to have caused an abnormal
function change in the hairy cells of this abnormal fluid. Several audiological conditions, especially hearing loss, are a risk factor for tinnitus. Although otological anomalies may be the source of initial tinnitus, this is more likely to continue due to subsequent neural changes in the central auditory system. Despite experimental studies showing this result, there are several unexplained questions [1, 22, 23]. Various studies have included an MRI evaluation of the cortical auditory region, but there are few studies related to the imaging method applied to the cochlea [14, 24]. The current study consisted of patients with tinnitus and a control group of healthy ears and a difference in the cochlea of both ears with greater distribution in the ears with pathological tinnitus was determined with MRI histogram analysis. However, it could be said that if direct cochlea MRI slices had been taken rather than temporal MRI slices, the quality of the study would have been greater. As permitted gray level values were restricted to one pixel that could be accepted in the histogram of the texture parameters, a higher pixel count of the region to be examined would provide results increasing the quality of the research.

Pure-tone audiometry should certainly be applied to these patients, and if it is thought to be necessary, a tympanogram should be added. If there are neurological findings in asymmetric tinnitus, MRI and/or Computed tomography should be requested to discount anatomic variations and tumoral formations. In the current study, texture analysis evaluation was applied as described by Ganeshan [9, 25, 26]. As one of the standard imaging methods on MRI, the entropy values were calculated which showed the potential value of the cochlear tissue analysis. In various previous studies, entropy has been used to determine the malignancy potential of tissue, to calculate tumor survival, as a guide in radiotherapy treatment, in the radiological diagnosis of otosclerosis, and the use of these studies has started to increase [9, 27-30]. In the current study, the histogram evaluation to determine changes in the cochlea in patients with idiopathic tinnitus was considered to be useful.

Conclusion
The etiology of tinnitus and pathophysiology and clinical characteristics reveal different management techniques in the treatment of tinnitus in the patient population. An effective classification system which could be applied related to the pathophysiological mechanism underlying the individual tinnitus symptoms would be an important step towards personalized rehabilitation. Therefore, there is a need for practical measurement techniques that could make this differentiation in clinics. With the entropy measurement technique applied in this study, objective data could be obtained by developing existing techniques and could be considered to be a contributory step to diagnosis and treatment.
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