Crystal Structure of the *Nephila clavipes* Major Ampullate Spidroin 1A N-terminal Domain Reveals Plasticity at the Dimer Interface
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Spider dragline silk is a naturally occurring polymer harboring unique physical and biochemical properties that make it an ideal biomaterial. Artificial silk production requires an understanding of the in vivo mechanisms spiders use to convert soluble proteins, called spidroins, into insoluble fibers. Controlled dimerization of the spidroin N-terminal domain (NTD) is crucial to this process. Here, we report the crystal structure of the *Nephila clavipes* major ampullate spidroin NTD dimer. Comparison of our *N. clavipes* NTD structure with previously determined *Euprosthenops australis* NTD structures reveals subtle conformational alterations that lead to differences in how the subunits are arranged at the dimer interface. We observe a subset of contacts that are specific to each ortholog, as well as a substantial increase in asymmetry in the interactions observed at the *N. clavipes* NTD dimer interface. These asymmetric interactions include novel intermolecular salt bridges that provide new insights into the mechanism of NTD dimerization. We also observe a unique intramolecular “handshake” interaction between two conserved acidic residues that our data suggest adds an additional layer of complexity to the pH-sensitive relay mechanism for NTD dimerization. The results of a panel of tryptophan fluorescence dimerization assays probing the importance of these interactions support our structural observations. Based on our findings, we propose that conformational selectivity and plasticity at the NTD dimer interface play a role in the pH-dependent transition of the NTD from monomer to stably associated dimer as the spidroins progress through the silk extrusion duct.

Spider silk is a naturally occurring polymer that has high elasticity, high tensile strength, and a biodegradable nature (1), which make it an ideal biomaterial for applications such as skin graft scaffolds (2), neuron regeneration (3), and cartilage repair (4). Dragline silk, one of the strongest types of silk, is produced in the major ampullate gland and is used as the web frame and lifeline for the spider (5). The proteins that form the core of dragline silk are termed major ampullate spidroins (MaSp), and they have three main domains: a long, flexible, highly repetitive central domain known as the repeat region flanked by smaller, globular, non-repetitive N-terminal and C-terminal domains (NTD and CTD, respectively) (6–8). As silk is being spun, the spidroins progress down a narrowing duct and experience a progressive decrease in pH and salt concentration that promotes a monomer to dimer transition of the NTD (9). This controlled oligomerization process serves to prevent precocious aggregation in the ampullate gland and also to promote fiber formation in the spinning duct (7).

Studies aimed at elucidating the structural basis for pH- and salt-dependent NTD dimerization have resulted in snapshots of the *Euprosthenops australis* NTD in both a monomeric (10) and dimeric (11) state, using NMR spectroscopy and x-ray crystallography, respectively. Based on these studies, a model for the monomer to dimer transition of the *E. australis* NTD (henceforth *Ea*NTD) that depends on a pH-sensitive relay has been proposed. As the spidroins progress through the spinning duct, the decrease in salt concentration promotes intermolecular electrostatic interactions that align the monomer subunits into a weakly associated dimer. In particular, long-range electrostatic interactions between Lys65 and Asp40 have been shown to be crucial to the dimerization process. A concomitant decrease in pH to ~6.5 results in protonation of two acidic residues (Glu79 and Glu119) (12), which promotes structural conversions within the NTD subunits that are also required to form this weakly associated dimer. As the pH decreases to ~5.7 near the end of the spinning duct, protonation of a third acidic residue (Glu84) facilitates formation of the fully stable dimer (13). Notably, all of the key interactions at the dimer interface exhibit a high degree of symmetry, meaning that equivalent residues of each subunit engage in the same sets of contacts (11).

A more complete understanding of the molecular mechanisms governing pH-dependent dimerization of the NTD during silk fiber formation in vivo could facilitate artificial production of spider silk for use as a biomaterial. Further, silks...
produced from different spider species vary significantly in physical properties such as flexibility and tensile strength (6). Although currently unknown, the molecular basis underlying these differing properties could prove useful for generation of silks optimized for different applications. To gain insights into these processes, we determined the crystal structure of the *Nephila clavipes* NTD (henceforth NcNTD) to 2.02 Å resolution. Surprisingly, despite having high sequence identity, our structural analysis revealed a distinct asymmetry at the NcNTD dimer interface relative to its *E. australis* ortholog. Among these asymmetric interactions are novel intramolecular salt bridges at opposite ends of the dimer (Asp39-Lys65 and Asp40-Lys65) that provide new insights into the crucial role these residues play in NTD dimer formation. Our structure also reveals a unique intramolecular “handshake” interaction between Asp17 and Asp53, which contributes to the asymmetry seen in our structure and potentially adds an additional layer of complexity to the pH-sensitive relay mechanism for NTD dimerization proposed by Knight and colleagues (11, 13). We investigated the role these key salt bridge and handshake interactions play in dimer formation using a tryptophan fluorescence assay, and the results support our structural observations. Based on our findings, we propose that plasticity at the NTD dimer interface plays a role in the pH-dependent transition of the NTD from a loosely to stably associated dimer as the spidroin progresses through the spider’s silk extrusion duct.

Results and Discussion

**Overall Structure of the *N. clavipes* Major Ampullate Spidroin NTD**—The sequence identity and overall domain organization of spidroins are highly conserved across spider species, as is the property of pH-dependent dimerization of the NTD as the protein progresses through the spinning duct (1, 7). Despite these many similarities, the major ampullate silks from various spider species display different physical qualities. For example, *E. australis* dragline silk displays high tensile strength, whereas *Nephila edulis* dragline silk is much more flexible (6). To further elucidate the biochemical mechanisms that mediate NTD dimerization and their potential impact on silk properties, we determined the crystal structure of the *N. clavipes* MaSp1A NTD to 2.02 Å resolution (Table 1) using the structure of the *E. australis* MaSp1 NTD (Protein Data Bank (PDB): 3LR2) (11) as the search model for molecular replacement. The model was refined to working and free R-values of 16.4 and 20.5%, respectively (Table 1).

Similar to the *EaNTD* (10, 11, 14), the NcNTD adopts a five-helix bundle structure, and the two NTD molecules in the crystallographic asymmetric unit form a homodimer in which helices 2, 3, and 5 are involved in all of the contacts across the dimer interface (Fig. 1A). The total buried surface area at the interface of the NcNTD dimer is 2363 Å², which is comparable with the 2800 Å² buried at the *EaNTD* dimer interface. Also similar to the *EaNTD* structure, there are clusters of basic (Lys54, Arg57, Lys60, Lys64, Lys65) and acidic (Asp36, Asp39, Asp40, Glu79, Asp91) residues at opposite ends of each monomer subunit (Fig. 1B). These charged areas create a dipole moment within each subunit, and the subunits are arranged antiparallel to each other to accommodate the charged poles. The residues responsible for this non-uniform charge arrangement are highly conserved among spidroin NTDs (11) (Fig. 1F).

In addition to chains A and B, which form the canonical dimer described above, the NcNTD structure also contains chain Z, which consists of the three amino acids (Ser-Tyr-Gly) that correspond to residues 136–138 of the *N. clavipes* NTD. Although it is unclear as to which chain these residues belong due to varying degrees of disorder at the C termini of the NTD subunits, it appears to derive from a symmetry-related NTD molecule in the crystal (Fig. 1C). The ordering of this Ser-Tyr-Gly tripeptide and the contacts it engages in are most likely an artifact of crystallization.

Although the NcNTD and *EaNTD* structures display general similarities, our structural analysis has revealed key differences that provide new mechanistic insights into pH-dependent NTD dimer formation. Although the subunits of the *EaNTD* dimer are nearly identical (Fig. 1D, rmsd = 0.505 Å), superposition of the two subunits of the NcNTD dimer (Fig. 1D, rmsd = 0.914 Å) reveals that the arrangements of the helices comprising the five-helix bundles are distinct from each other. Specifically, helices 2, 3, and 5 are oriented differently from helices 1 and 4, which behave as a rigid body (Fig. 1D). Because helices 2, 3, and 5 are involved in all of the intermolecular contacts in the NTD dimer, this topological difference alters how the subunits are associated relative to each other at the dimer interface (Fig. 1E) and results in a novel network of intermolecular contacts (supplemental Table S1). The details of the differences in subunit topology and the novel contacts that result will be discussed in

### TABLE 1

| Crystallographic data | N. clavipes MaSp1A NTD |
|-----------------------|------------------------|
| **Source**            | APS 22 ID              |
| **Wavelength (Å)**    | 1.00                   |
| **Resolution limits (Å)** | 58.5–2.02 (2.07–2.02) |
| **Space group**       | P3 21                  |
| **Unit cell (Å)**     | a, b, c                |
| **Unit cell (Å)**     | 67.5, 67.5, 90.4       |
| **No. of reflections**| 17,418 (12,661)        |
| **Completeness (%)**  | 98.23 (94.32)          |
| **Mean I/σ(I)**       | 21.73 (5.84)           |
| **Rmerge**            | 0.081 (0.60)           |
| **CCcrys**            | 99.9 (93.5)            |

**Refinement statistics**

| Resolution Limits (Å)         | 35.74–2.02 (2.09–2.02) |
| **No. of reflections** (work/free) | 15,808/12,661 |
| **Completeness (%)**          | 98.22 (94.6)          |
| **Cutoff Criteria I/σ(I)**    | 0                     |
| **Protein/water atoms**       | 1844/120              |
| **Rfree**                      | 0.164 (0.169)         |
| **Rmerge** (10% of data)       | 0.205 (0.233)         |
| **Bonds (Å)/Angles (°)**      | 0.004/0.724           |
| **B-factors: protein/water (Å²)** | 36.7/40.5 |
| **Ramachandran plot statistics (%)** | 100 |
| **Preferred regions**         | 100                   |
| **Outlier regions**           | 0                     |
| **MolProbity score**          | 0.77–100th percentile |

| **PDB ID** | 5IZ2 |

R <sub>c</sub> = <sup>r</sup> <sub>merge</sub> = <sup>r</sup> <sub>free</sub> = <sup>r</sup> <sub>merge</sub>/<sup>r</sup> <sub>free</sub> = <sup>r</sup> <sub>merge</sub>/<sup>r</sup> <sub>merge</sub> (where <i>F</i><sub>obs</sub> and <i>F</i><sub>c</sub> are observed and calculated structure factors, respectively.)
greater detail below. This is not the first instance where altered helix topology has been observed in the MaSp NTD. The crystal structure for the monomeric $^{E_{a}}$NTD A72R mutant (PDB: 4FBS) (10) shows distinct topological differences when compared with the subunits of the wild-type $^{E_{a}}$NTD dimer (see Fig. 3A), and structural rearrangement of the helices comprising the five-helix bundle has been shown to be involved in the monomer to dimer transition (10). These findings, together with the fact that there are few crystal contacts around the dimer interface in the $^{N_{c}}$NTD structure, suggest that the differences in helix topology and the resulting intermolecular contacts are not due to crystal packing. The differences we observe in our structure have important implications for our understanding of the mechanisms that control NTD dimerization and will be discussed in greater detail below.

Distinct Asymmetry among Key Salt Bridge and Handshake Interactions—Among the residues that previous structural studies on $^{E_{a}}$NTD have identified as being particularly important for pH-dependent NTD dimerization are Asp$^{40}$, Lys$^{65}$, and Glu$^{84}$ (11, 13). In the $^{E_{a}}$NTD crystal structure, the side chains of
Asp\textsuperscript{40} and Glu\textsuperscript{84} are in very close proximity to each other and form an intramolecular handshake interaction (11) that stabilizes the otherwise unfavorable clustering of two negatively charged residues (15). Although Asp\textsuperscript{40} and Lys\textsuperscript{65} do not engage in short-range intermolecular contacts (<3.5 Å), the side chains are within ~4 – 4.3 Å, which permits long-range intermolecular electrostatic interactions across the dimer interface. Importantly, the contacts in which Asp\textsuperscript{40}, Lys\textsuperscript{65}, and Glu\textsuperscript{84} engage are highly symmetrical, meaning that the equivalent residues on both subunits engage in equivalent intra- and intermolecular contacts (Fig. 2C). Mutation of each of these residues leads to a decrease in dimer formation, confirming their involvement in dimerization (12, 13, 16).

As a result of the altered subunit topology at the interface observed in the NcNTD structure, the interactions that Asp\textsuperscript{40}, Lys\textsuperscript{65}, and Glu\textsuperscript{84} are involved in are surprisingly different from those of the EaNTD. On one side of the dimer, which we refer to as the “front” side for clarity, Asp\textsuperscript{40} and Glu\textsuperscript{84} engage in the intramolecular handshake interaction as observed in the E. australis structure (Fig. 2A, top row, left). However, rather than only engaging in long-range electrostatic interactions, Lys\textsuperscript{65} engages in a short-range (2.6 Å) intermolecular salt bridge with Asp\textsuperscript{39}. On the other side of the dimer, which we refer to as the “back” side for clarity, rather than engage in the intramolecular handshake interaction with Glu\textsuperscript{84} (which is disordered in this subunit), Asp\textsuperscript{40} engages in a short-range (3.1 Å) intermolecular salt bridge with Lys\textsuperscript{65} (Fig. 2A, top row, right). Asp\textsuperscript{39} is not involved in contacts on the back side of the dimer. The electron density maps for all of the residues involved in these contacts were of excellent quality (Fig. 2A, bottom row).

These contacts differ from the EaNTD crystal structure due to both their asymmetric nature and the involvement of Asp\textsuperscript{39}. The role of Asp\textsuperscript{39} in NTD dimerization was not initially investigated, likely due to the fact that its side chain is 3.5–5 Å away from any basic side chain atoms on the adjacent subunit in the EaNTD crystal structure and is not within hydrogen-bonding distance in the majority of NMR conformers reported for the NTD dimer. However, a recent study investigating the effects of systematic mutation of each of the eight acidic residues in the EaNTD on dimerization revealed that a D39N substitution almost completely abolishes dimer formation (16). Thus, our structure provides a molecular basis for the critical role of Asp\textsuperscript{39} in NTD dimerization as it clearly demonstrates that this residue engages in short-range intermolecular contacts to Lys\textsuperscript{65} across the dimer interface (Fig. 2A).

The nature of the contacts that take place between Asp\textsuperscript{39}, Asp\textsuperscript{40}, and Lys\textsuperscript{65} in the NcNTD and EaNTD structures is reflective of the topology of the subunits at the dimer interfaces. In a highly symmetric dimer as observed in the EaNTD structure, Asp\textsuperscript{39} and Asp\textsuperscript{40} of both subunits engage in equivalent contacts at both ends of the dimer interface (Fig. 2C). The asymmetric nature of the NcNTD dimer results in a 4.4 and 4.5 Å translation of Asp\textsuperscript{39} and Asp\textsuperscript{40} with respect to Lys\textsuperscript{65} on the adjacent subunit, which allows formation of the Asp\textsuperscript{39}–Lys\textsuperscript{65} salt bridge at one end of the dimer and the Asp\textsuperscript{40}–Lys\textsuperscript{65} salt bridge at the other (Fig. 2B). Despite these differences in subunit topology, the asymmetric Asp\textsuperscript{39}–Lys\textsuperscript{65} and Asp\textsuperscript{40}–Lys\textsuperscript{65} salt bridges in the NcNTD perform a similar structural role as the symmetric long-range electrostatic interactions between these residues in the EaNTD, which is to facilitate proper subunit alignment in the dimer.

Consecutive conserved acidic residues at positions 39 and 40 allow for variability in the contacts that take place to Lys\textsuperscript{65} in the context of the moderately differing dimer topologies observed in the NcNTD and EaNTD structures. This provides a mechanism for plasticity in the intermolecular contacts at the dimer interface that could be relevant during the transition from loosely to stably associated dimer. The fact that mutation of Asp\textsuperscript{39} abolishes NTD dimerization is consistent with the idea that structural plasticity plays a role in dimerization, as Asp\textsuperscript{39} engages in a key short-range salt bridge in our NcNTD structure but not in the more symmetric EaNTD structure (13, 16).

A Novel Asp\textsuperscript{17}–Asp\textsuperscript{53} Intramolecular Handshake Interaction in the N. clavipes NTD—During our structural analysis, we observed a novel intramolecular handshake interaction that...
Comparison of key intra- and intermolecular interactions in the NcNTD and EaNTD structures. A, top, front and back views of the NcNTD dimer reveals differences in the pattern of key salt bridge and handshake interactions taking place between equivalent residues on the two dimer subunits with subunit A colored cyan and subunit B colored orange. Bottom, 2.02 Å 2F<sub>c</sub> − F<sub>c</sub> electron density maps (contoured at 1.5 σ) for key salt bridge and handshake interactions are shown in the same orientation as the top panel. B, top, to illustrate the asymmetry of the NcNTD dimer interface, two copies of the NTD dimer were overlaid by superimposing the rigid body of subunit A of one dimer with the rigid body of subunit B of the other. The subunits superimpose well with an rmsd of 0.525 Å, and for clarity, only one copy is shown as a surface representation with the side chain of Lys<sup>65</sup> shown as sticks. The other subunits of the dimers are shown as ribbons with residues involved in key intra- and intermolecular contacts shown as sticks. For a highly symmetric dimer there would be two sets of equivalent interactions. Bottom, graphic illustrating key intra- and intermolecular interactions at the NcNTD dimer interface. C, top, two copies of the EaNTD dimer (PDB: 3LR2) were superimposed and are shown as described in panel B. The EaNTD dimer is more symmetric when compared with the NcNTD dimer, and this is reflected by two sets of equivalent intra- and intermolecular interactions taking place between the subunits. Bottom, graphic illustrating key intra- and intermolecular interactions at the EaNTD dimer interface.
Plasticity at the \textit{N. clavipes} Spidroin NTD Dimer Interface

Altered Arrangement of NTD Dimer Subunits Results in Unique Intermolecular Contacts—Although the residues involved in the asymmetric salt bridge and handshake interactions described above play a well defined role in dimer formation, there are a number of less-studied residues at the NcNTD dimer interface that engage in novel asymmetric contacts (supplemental Table S1). The majority of the novel contacts observed in our structure result from the distinct arrangement of the three helices (H2, H3, and H5) involved in all of the intermolecular contacts at the dimer interface (Fig. 1D), rather than differences in protein sequence. This distinct helix arrangement results in a significant increase in asymmetric contacts (supplemental Table S2) at the NcNTD dimer interface (60%; 30 of 50 total contacts) when compared with the EaNTD (39%; 20 of 52 total contacts).

To illustrate the differences in how the interfacial helices are arranged at the dimer interface, we calculated the angles at which helices 2, 3, and 5 cross each other in the NcNTD and EaNTD structures. This analysis shows that the angles at which helices 2, 3, and 5 cross their counterparts in the \textit{N. clavipes} and \textit{E. australis} NTD structures differs by 11.5, 6.4, and 5.0°, respectively (Fig. 4, A and B, middle panels). As a result of the altered helix topologies, the percentage of asymmetric contacts involving residues on helices 2 and 3, which account for most of the interactions at the interface, increases from 32% (12 of 37) for \textit{EaNTD} to 62.5% for \textit{NcNTD} (25 of 40). Likewise, the altered positioning of H5 increases asymmetric contacts engaged in by residues on this helix from 56% (10 of 18) in the \textit{EaNTD} to 64% (9 of 14) in the \textit{NcNTD} (supplemental Table S2).

The topologically distinct manner in which the interfacial helices of the NcNTD and EaNTD are related to each other at the dimer interface results in novel networks of intermolecular contacts.
FIGURE 4. Differences in the topology of the subunits at the NTD dimer interface results in unique intermolecular contacts. **A**, top, in the left (NclNTD) and right (EaNTD) panels, one NTD subunit of each dimer is shown as a semitransparent gray surface, and the other is shown as ribbons for the H2/H3 dimer interface. Side chains of residues that engage in novel contacts resulting from the altered interfacial helix topologies are shown as sticks, and the surface is colored as in the center panel. Bottom, to allow for comparison of contacts at the opposite side of the NTD dimer interface, the structures were rotated 180° about the y axis and are presented in the same manner as in the top row. **Middle**, to illustrate the differences in how the interfacial helices are arranged at the dimer interface, we calculated the angles at which H2/H3 cross each other in the NclNTD and EaNTD structures, which are shown in the same orientation as ribbons. Angles were calculated using the program Chimera. **B**, unique intermolecular contacts at the H5 dimer interface of the NclNTD ([left]) and EaNTD ([right]) are presented in the same manner as in (A). (middle) The angle at which H5.A crosses H5.B in each NTD structure is shown.
contacts. Notably, residues T47.B, K54.B, and M55.B\(^3\) on H2B of the NcNTD are significantly more buried at the dimer interface (Fig. 4, top row, left panel) than the corresponding residues of the EaNTD (Fig. 4A, top row, right panel). On one side of the NcNTD, T47.B and M55.B on H2B engage in a unique network of van der Waals contacts to D40.A, T43.A, I48.A, A51.A, and L69.A on helices 2 and 3 of the adjacent subunit. K54.B of H2B also engages in a unique hydrogen bond to T43.A and electrostatic interaction with D46.A on H2A. Almost all of these contacts rely on the distinct way in which H2 and H3 sit across from each other at the NcNTD dimer interface in order for the residues to be properly positioned for intermolecular interactions. The equivalent residues on the opposite side of the NcNTD dimer interface also engage in specific, albeit distinct sets of contacts as a result of the altered topology of the interfacial helices and further highlight the increased asymmetry of the NcNTD intermolecular contacts when compared with those of the EaNTD. In the NcNTD, T47.A, K54.A, and M55.A are significantly less buried than their counterparts in subunit B, especially K54.A, which does not engage in any intermolecular contacts (Fig. 4A, bottom row, left panel). By comparison, S47.B, S54.B, and L55.B of the EaNTD form three intermolecular contacts combined (Fig. 4A, bottom row, right panel), mirroring their counterparts in subunit A.

With respect to H5, a network of unique H5-H3 and H5-H5 interactions further highlights the role that altered interfacial helix topology plays in determining the plastic nature of the NTD dimer interface. Notably, M126.A and F127.A from H5 of the NcNTD (Fig. 4B, top row, left panel) are significantly more buried at the dimer interface relative to the corresponding EaNTD residues (Fig. 4B, top row, right panel). Specifically, in the NcNTD structure, F127.A inserts into a hydrophobic pocket formed by the side chains of M71.B, S75.B, E119.B, and I120.B on helices 3 and 5, and M126.A sits on a hydrophobic patch formed by S122.B, L123.B, and M126.B on H5. Interestingly, the hydrophobic pocket into which F127.A inserts in the NcNTD structure is not present in the EaNTD structure due to the pocket being filled intramolecularly by the side chain of M126.A. As a result, if the interfacial helices of the EaNTD dimer interface adopted a topology similar to the NcNTD, Phe\(^{127}\) would engage in severe steric clashes with residues across the dimer interface. Similar to the above observations for the H2 and H3 interactions, the H5 interactions also display distinct differences in symmetry between the NTD orthologs. In the NcNTD, M126.B and F127.B engage in significantly fewer intermolecular contacts than their counterparts in subunit A (Fig. 4B, bottom row, left panel), whereas the intermolecular contacts involving Met\(^{126}\) and Phe\(^{127}\) in the EaNTD are completely symmetric (Fig. 4B, bottom row, right panel).

Overall, the NcNTD contains clusters of contacts across the dimer interface not seen in the EaNTD, including unique H2-H2 contacts, H2-H3 contacts, and H5-H5 contacts (Fig. 5A). Among the group of aforementioned H2-H2 contacts, the intermolecular interactions between residues 47 and 51, which are almost completely buried at the dimer interface of the two NTD orthologs, are of particular interest. In the NcNTD, Thr\(^{47}\) engages in symmetric van der Waals contacts with Ala\(^{51}\) (Fig. 5B), whereas in the EaNTD, Ser\(^{47}\) and Ser\(^{51}\) both adopt alternative conformations in the crystal structure that facilitate Ser\(^{51}\)–Ser\(^{51}\) or Ser\(^{51}\)–Ser\(^{47}\) hydrogen bonds (supplemental Table S1). Thr\(^{47}\) and Ala\(^{51}\) are both strictly conserved in most MaSp1 orthologs (Fig. 1F) with E. australis being an exception. The angle at which H2A crosses H2B in the NcNTD increases the distance between the distal atoms of the Thr\(^{47}\) and Ala\(^{51}\) side chains by about 1.5 Å relative to the EaNTD, and this subtle shift creates space that is required to accommodate the larger Thr\(^{47}\) side chain (Fig. 5B). Due to the closer proximity of residues 47 and 51 in the EaNTD structure, the β branched Thr\(^{47}\) side chain present in most other MaSp1 orthologs could not be accommodated in the NcNTD, but in the EaNTD, Gln\(^{47}\) and Asn\(^{51}\) are almost completely buried at the dimer interface of the two NTD orthologs, are of particular interest. In the NcNTD, Thr\(^{47}\) engages in symmetric van der Waals contacts with Ala\(^{51}\) (Fig. 5B), whereas in the EaNTD, Ser\(^{47}\) and Ser\(^{51}\) both adopt alternative conformations in the crystal structure that facilitate Ser\(^{51}\)–Ser\(^{51}\) or Ser\(^{51}\)–Ser\(^{47}\) hydrogen bonds (supplemental Table S1).

Interestingly, the intermolecular contacts for the highly conserved acidic residues Glu\(^{79}\), Glu\(^{84}\), and Glu\(^{119}\) are more asymmetric in the NcNTD (3 of 6) than in the EaNTD (1 of 6) (Fig. 5C). These residues have been shown to be critical to the pH-sensitive relay mechanism in the EaNTD dimerization process due to their involvement in the formation of the weakly associated dimer (13). Thus, the differences in symmetry observed for the contacts these residues are involved in may play a mechanistic role in pH-dependent dimerization.

Novel Intra- and Intermolecular Interactions Are Involved in NTD Dimerization—To investigate the role that residues involved in the novel asymmetric intra- and intermolecular interactions observed in the NcNTD structure play in pH-dependent NTD dimerization, we made use of a tryptophan fluorescence assay that was developed and has routinely been used by groups studying spidroin NTDs (7, 11, 13, 17). Similar to the EaNTD, the NcNTD contains a single conserved tryptophan near the N terminus (Trp\(^{10}\)) (Fig. 1F). During transition of the NTD from monomer to dimer, Trp\(^{10}\) undergoes a conformational change that increases its solvent exposure and results in quenching of its intrinsic fluorescence emission (7, 10, 13). To probe the pH-dependent dimerization of the wild-type and mutant NcNTD, we excited the proteins at 280 nm and recorded their emission spectra between 300–400 nm from a pH range of 7.4 to 5.4. The ratio between the fluorescence intensity at 321–338 nm at each pH step represents the ratio of the NcNTD to EaNTD form (13). Thus, the differences in symmetry observed for the contacts these residues are involved in may play a mechanistic role in pH-dependent NTD dimerization.

The tryptophan fluorescence data for mutations of residues in the asymmetric NcNTD and EaNTD structures.

---

\(^3\) Throughout the text, in designations such as T47.B, K54.B, and so forth, A or B indicates the subunit of the residue.
bridges observed in our structure confirms the critical role of these residues in pH-dependent NTD dimerization. Similar to results seen for the EaNTD (13), disruption of the Asp40-Lys65 salt bridge via the point mutants D40A, D40K, and K65E results in an apparent decrease in dimer stability (Fig. 6A), with the D40K mutation completely abolishing dimer formation in both NTD orthologs. As mentioned above, Asp39 does not engage in short-range intermolecular contacts in the EaNTD crystal structure and the majority of NMR conformers. This is likely the reason that the critical role that Asp39 plays in NTD dimerization was not discovered until a recent study in which all of the acidic residues in the EaNTD were indiscriminately mutated (16). The results of our tryptophan fluorescence studies probing D39A and D39KNcNTD mutations confirm the importance of Asp39 in pH-dependent NTD dimerization, and our crystal structure provides a molecular basis for the role that Asp39 plays in this process due to its short-range intermolecular salt bridge to Lys65. Together, our data indicate that Asp39, Asp40, and Lys65 are essential to the NcNTD dimerization process, although we cannot distinguish between a role for the short-range asymmetric salt bridges observed in the NcNTD structure versus the symmetric long-range electrostatic interactions observed in the EaNTD structure.

The asymmetric Asp40-Glu84 intramolecular handshake interaction had not been previously investigated in the NcNTD. Thus, we generated an E84A mutant designed to completely disrupt the handshake and an E84Q mutant designed to mimic the protonated state of glutamate that is posited to be involved in dimer stabilization as the spidroin progresses through the spinning duct. Indeed, our results show that the E84A substitution destabilizes dimer formation, underscoring the importance of the handshake in this process (Fig. 6B). The E84Q mutant is also slightly dimer-destabilizing, which is consistent with the results of the corresponding single point mutation in EaNTD. Kronqvist et al. (13) showed that the stabilizing effects that protonation of Glu84 has on NTD dimerization must be preceded by protonation of Glu79 and Glu119. Thus, altering the charge of this individual residue does not significantly affect the monomer-dimer equilibrium. Together, our data are consistent with the idea that NcNTD residues Glu79, Glu84, and Glu119...
are part of a pH relay system similar to that previously observed in the \( \text{E}_{\text{a}} \text{NTD} \) (Fig. 5C) (13).

The most surprising results involve the mutations designed to affect the novel intramolecular Asp\(^{17} \)-Asp\(^{53} \) handshake interaction observed in our \( \text{NcNTD} \) structure (D17A, D17N, D53A, and D53N), as shown in Fig. 6C. D17N, D53A, and D53N did not significantly affect the dimer stability when compared with WT, whereas D17A facilitated dimer formation at a higher pH when compared with WT. These data imply that affecting the charge at residue 17 has a significant effect on dimer stability, but the mechanisms that explain this effect are unclear. It is a formal possibility that protonation of either Asp\(^{17} \) or Asp\(^{53} \) must be accompanied by the protonation of other acidic residues, similar to the mechanism for Glu\(^{84} \) protonation described above, and this will be the focus of future investigations.

Conclusions—In this study, we have reported the 2.02 Å crystal structure of the \( \text{N. clavipes} \) MaSp1 NTD dimer. Notably, the topology of the NTD subunits at the dimer interface of our structure is distinct from that observed in the previously reported crystal and NMR structures of the \( \text{E. australis} \) NTD (11, 13). Although there is an overlapping network of conserved interactions at the dimer interface of both orthologs, this topological difference results in a subset of contacts that are specific to each ortholog, as well as substantially more asymmetry in the interactions observed at the \( \text{NcNTD} \) dimer interface.

Our structural and biochemical findings underscore the importance of residues Asp\(^{39} \), Asp\(^{40} \), and Lys\(^{65} \) to pH-dependent NTD dimerization. The presence of consecutive acidic residues that are fully conserved at positions 39 and 40 of NTDs allows for plasticity in the interactions these residues engage in depending on precisely how the subunits are arranged at the dimer interface. This results in asymmetric Asp\(^{39} \)-Lys\(^{65} \) and Asp\(^{40} \)-Lys\(^{65} \) salt bridges in our structure versus symmetric long-range electrostatic interactions between these residues in the \( \text{E}_{\text{a}} \text{NTD} \). These sets of contacts play structurally equivalent roles in aligning the subunits to each other. We also identify a novel intramolecular handshake between conserved acidic residues at positions 17 and 53 that we show plays an important role in the pH-dependent dimerization of the \( \text{NcNTD} \). Our data suggest that these residues may participate in the pH relay mechanism established by Kronqvist et al. (13), although further studies will be required to test this possibility.

It has been established that a rearrangement of the five-helix bundle of the NTD subunit is necessary for NTD dimer formation (10), and a “conformational selection” model (18) for NTD dimerization has been proposed in which the five-helix bundle of NTD subunits populates many conformations that are in dynamic equilibrium. Dimerization occurs when an NTD subunit selects a partner with the complementary binding interface from an ensemble of conformers. Our structure is the first to show two significantly different subunit topologies in one dimer, and the plasticity seen in the \( \text{NcNTD} \) dimer interface could be a contributing factor to the conformational selection process that regulates the monomer to dimer transition and/or the transition from loosely to stably associated dimer. Thus, the \( \text{NcNTD} \) structure presented here and the previously published MaSp NTD structures (10, 11, 13) could be on pathway snapshots of the NTD during the pH-dependent dimerization process that occurs as the spidroins progress through the spinning duct.

Finally, our study reveals new insights on the molecular evolution of different types of spider silk. Although our work and the work of others (11, 13, 17) have highlighted subtle differences in the pH relay system and structural plasticity at the dimer interface of major and minor ampullate spidroin NTDs across various species, the overall mechanism of pH and salt-dependent NTD dimerization is likely to be highly conserved. In contrast, tubuliform spidroins, which are a more evolutionarily ancient spidroin used for egg case construction in virtually all spiders, lack many of the key residues involved in the pH relay system that has been studied in \( \text{E}_{\text{a}} \text{NTD} \) and \( \text{NcNTD} \), including Asp\(^{17} \), Asp\(^{39} \), Asp\(^{53} \), and Glu\(^{119} \) (19). Although major and minor ampullate spidroins must be spun in a very rapid manner by spiders for web construction and their lifeline on a daily basis, tubuliform spidroins are only used a few times in a female spider’s lifetime to create a protective casing around eggs. We speculate that the poor conservation of these key
acidic residues in tubuliform spidroin NTDs may reflect a lack of evolutionary pressure to very rapidly spin this type of silk, whereas the presence of the pH relay mechanism has evolved to make this possible for major and minor ampullate spidroins. Further, the fact that acidic residue Asp79, which has been shown to be essential for NTD dimerization in major ampullate spidroins (16), is not conserved in tubuliform spidroins suggests that there may be additional differences in the mechanisms of NTD dimerization across different spidroin types, although this would require additional experiments to confirm.

Experimental Procedures

Protein Expression and Purification—All proteins in this study were expressed and purified using a slight variation of a previously published protocol (7). Briefly, the proteins were expressed by transforming the expression plasmids into BL21-DE3 (Stratagene) cells via heat-shock transformation. Large-scale cultures were grown in an incubated shaker at 37 °C to an A600 of 0.8. Protein overexpression was induced with 1 mM iso-propyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside, and the cultures were shaken an additional 18 h at 18 °C. Cells were harvested via heat-shock transformation. Large-scale cultures were grown in an incubated shaker at 37 °C to an A600 of 0.8. Protein overexpression was induced with 1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside, and the cultures were shaken an additional 18 h at 18 °C. Cells were harvested via centrifugation, and the pellets were re-suspended in buffer containing 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, with 350 mM NaCl. The cells were lysed by sonication and purified using a GSTrap 4B column or GSTrap agarose gel bed (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). Affinity-tagged protein was eluted using a buffer containing 15 mM glutathione in 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA. The samples were then buffer-exchanged to the same buffer used for re-suspension of the pellets. The GST tag was cleaved by incubating the purified fusion protein with PreScission Protease (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) at a ratio of 1:1000 (w/w) overnight at 4 °C. After GST removal, 10 vector-derived residues (GPLGSPGPG) remained on the N-terminal end of the mature protein. The samples were then subjected to gel filtration (HiLoad 26/600 Superdex 75 pg, Amersham Biosciences) and ion exchange (MonoQ 10/100 GL, Amersham Biosciences) to separate the NcNTD from the GST tag. The purity of each purified sample was assessed by SDS-PAGE using either a 15% or 17% acrylamide gel stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue. The pure NTD was then concentrated and/or buffer-exchanged as needed. All point mutations were introduced using PCR-based mutagenesis and expressed and purified using the same protocol as for wild type.

X-ray Crystallography—Wild-type NcNTD was purified as described and concentrated to a final concentration of 10.1 mg/ml in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, and 50 mM NaCl. The Index (Hampton Research) and JCSG Core I, II, III, and IV (Qiagen) commercial screens were used for sparse-matrix screening in 96-well Greiner microplates (400-nl sitting drop vapor diffusion format) to identify conditions suitable for crystal growth. The Crystal Gryphon robot (Art Robbins Instruments) was used to set these trays. Diffraction quality crystals were grown by manually mixing 1.0 μl of protein with 1.0 μl of well solution (0.1 M Bis-Tris, pH 6.5, 29% w/v PEG 3350) by hanging drop vapor diffusion at 18 °C. Crystals formed within 1–2 days and were harvested by flash-freezing in liquid nitrogen in mother liquor plus 10% ethylene glycol as a cryo-protectant. The protein crystallized in the P321 space group with unit cell dimensions a = 67.48, b = 67.48, and c = 90.35. There was one copy of the NTD dimer in the asymmetric unit, and the crystal had an estimated solvent content of 38.0%. Diffraction data sets were collected on the Southeast Regional Collaborative Access Team (SER-CAT) 22-ID beamline at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory. All data were indexed, integrated, and scaled using HKL2000 (20).

Structure Determination and Refinement—A data set was collected to a resolution of 2.02 Å for the N. clavipes major ampullate spidroin NTD. The Rmerge values for the two highest resolution shells of this data set were 46 and 60%, respectively. We included the highest resolution shell due to its high I/σ (5.6) and CC(1/2) (93.5) (where CC(1/2) is correlation coefficient) and based on improved electron density map quality when compared with alternatively processed data with lower resolution cut-offs. The structure of the E. australis major ampullate spidroin NTD (PDB: 3LR2) (11) was used as the model for molecular replacement using the PHASER software (21). The model was refined to Rcryst/Rfree values of 0.164/0.205 by iterative refinement using PHENIX (22) and COOT (23) software. The final N. clavipes MaSp1A NTD model contains residues 6–82 and 87–128 in subunit A and residues 6–131 in subunit B. Chain Z contains residues 136–138, which appear to derive from subunit A of a symmetry-related molecule (Fig. 1C). The refined structure also contained 123 water molecules. The detailed data collection and refinement statistics are listed in Table 1. Molecular graphics representations were generated using PYMOL (24) and the CCP4mg program of the CCP4 6.4.0 software suite (25).

Tryptophan Fluorescence—Stock buffer solutions were made using a 20 mM MES/20 mM HEPES buffer system to cover the pH range of 5.4–7.4 in 0.2 pH increments. Working buffers were made to contain 154 mM sodium chloride to reflect physiological conditions (26). Each mutant was expressed and purified as described and was buffer-exchanged to a stock solution containing only 50 mM NaCl and then concentrated to 2 mM protein. Five μl of concentrated protein was mixed with 995 μl of each working buffer, so that the final protein concentration was 10 μM. The resulting 1-ml sample was left at room temperature for 30 min to allow the protein to equilibrate. The pH-adjusted samples were transferred to a 1×1-cm quartz cuvette and placed in the spectrophotometer (QuantaMaster 300, Photon Technology International). The samples were excited at 280 nm using a 1-nm bandwidth, and emission spectra were recorded in 1-nm steps between 300 and 400 nm using a 1-nm bandwidth (protocol was adopted from Kronqvist et al. (13)). The ratio between the signals at 321–338 nm, which reflects the ratio between monomeric and dimeric NTD, was calculated for each pH step to compare all mutants across the measured pH range. As a control, the buffer for each pH step was excited without protein added to ensure that the measured signals for the protein samples were not artifacts of the buffer components.
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