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Background. The relationship between antimicrobial use and resistance is complex, making it difficult to understand and predict the impact of antimicrobial policies. Here, we examine trends of antimicrobial pressure and pathogen rates using novel metrics.

Methods. Data were extracted from 2007 through 2016. GEE-negative binomial regression modeled incident (within a year) hospital-onset (HO) pathogen rates, defined as the number of unique positive isolates between hospital day 3 and discharge, offset by patient-days at risk (eliminating the first 2 hospital days from the denominator, etc.). As predictors, we used pathogen-specific AM pressure metrics, summing the selection pressure of each AM regimen, given to a patient in a day, for and against the pathogen by each facility and year (e.g., if a regimen was 70% active by antibiogram then 0.7 was counted as selection against and 0.3 for the pathogen; different regimens would contribute differently). We also adjusted by facility complexity index and pathogen admission prevalence.

Results. All HO-pathogen rates declined significantly after adjustment (raw rates in Table 1, except Bacteroides. Admission prevalence trends were variable (Table 1 and Figure 2). Figure 3 demonstrates the trend of the log ratio of AM pressure for and against pathogens. Significant negative associations with AM pressure against 5 pathogens and for 1 were observed (Table 1).

Conclusion. There was a broad decrease in adjusted hospital pathogen rates. The negative association with selection pressure against pathogens suggests that (a) AM resistance among pathogens is decreasing, (b) it causes a decrease in infection rates, or (c) both. While residual confounding and endogeneity still exist, our findings highlight the possibility that new metrics might better predict AM effects, including potential protective effects of some patterns of AM use. It is also notable that the measured associations were not large enough nor AM pressure trends consistent enough to explain the decreases in HO-pathogen rates. This suggests that other factors not measured in this analysis, including infection prevention, likely played a large role in observed trends. Interpretation of these results should be nuanced; we are not advocating broad-spectrum AM use.

Disclosures. All authors: No reported disclosures.

2091. Use of Telehealth to Expand Antimicrobial Stewardship Capacity Among Critical Access Hospitals in Washington State

Staci Krook, MPH, MSN, RN; Chloe Bryson-Cahn, MD; Marias A. D'Angeli, MD, MPH; Zahra Kassamali, PharmD; Rupali Jain, PharmD; Jeannie D. Chan, PharmD, MPH; Natalia Martinez-Paz, MPA, MA; Paul Pottinger, MD; John B. Lynch, MD; John B. Lynch, MD; Washington State Department of Health, Shoreline, Washington; University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, Washington; UW Medicine, Valley Medical Center, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington; UW Medicine, Harborview Medical Center, Seattle, Washington; University of Washington, Seattle, Washington

Session: 240. Antibiotic Stewardship: Regional Saturday, October 5, 2019: 12:15 PM

Background. Critical access hospitals (CAH), defined as those with 25 or fewer beds and/or located in rural settings, may have difficulty implementing core elements of antimicrobial stewardship (CES) due to limited human resources, expertise, and funding. A 2015 National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) hospital survey found only 26% of CAH reported implementing all 7 CES compared with 50% of larger hospitals across the United States. The University of Washington Tele-Antimicrobial Stewardship Program (UW TASP) was developed through partnership with the University of Washington for hospitals lacking stewardship resources. The state department of health (DOH) provided funding to allow CAH to participate.

Methods. In January 2017, CAH were recruited to join UW TASP and participate in weekly 60 minute audiovisual conference calls led by an interdisciplinary team of infectious diseases physicians, pharmacists and microbiologists. Each session included a 15-minute didactic on stewardship topics followed by a discussion of case studies presented by participating hospitals. UW TASP faculty visited CAH to foster a collegial relationship between teams. Using hospital-reported metrics from the NHSN hospital survey reported in year 2016–2018 for years 2015–2017, we compared CES implementation by CAH participating in UW TASP (TASP CAH) with those not participating (non-TASP CAH) (n = 22).

Results. TASP CAH reported increased implementation of all 7 CES from 29% (2015) to 59% (2016) before joining TASP to 76% (2017) after joining TASP (Figure 1). Non-TASP CAH reported implementation increased from 32% (2015) to 45% (2016).