MED12 and HMGA2 mutations: Two independent genetic events in uterine leiomyoma and leiomyosarcoma
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Abstract

Recent identification of somatic MED12 mutations in most uterine leiomyomas brings a new venue for the study of the tumorigenesis of leiomyomas. We are particularly interested in the correlation of MED12 and HMGA2 gene products in leiomyomas and leiomyosarcomas with and without MED12 mutations. To address these issues, in this study we examined MED12 mutations in a large cohort of usual type leiomyomas (178 cases) and uterine leiomyosarcomas (32 cases). We found that 74.7% (133/178) of leiomyomas had MED12 mutations, which was consistent with several independent studies. In contrast, only 9.7% (3/32) of leiomyosarcomas harbored MED12 mutations. Expression analysis by Western blot and immunohistochemistry revealed that those leiomyomas with complex MED12 mutations had significantly lower protein products than matched myometrium. Interestingly, most leiomyosarcomas without MED12 mutations also had very low levels of MED12 expression in comparison to the matched myometrium. These findings suggest a potential functional role of MED12 in both benign and malignant uterine smooth muscle tumors. When we further examined HMGA2 expression in all leiomyomas and leiomyosarcomas, we found HMGA2 overexpression was exclusively present in those leiomyomas with no MED12 mutation, accounting for 10.1% (18/178) of total leiomyomas and 40% (18/45) of non-MED12 mutant leiomyomas. Twenty-five% (8/32) of leiomyosarcomas had HMGA2 overexpression and
no MED12 mutations were found in HMGA2 positive leiomyosarcoma. These findings strongly suggest that MED12 mutations and HMGA2 overexpression are independent genetic events that occur in leiomyomas, and they may act differently in the tumorigenesis of uterine leiomyomas.
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INTRODUCTION

The causes of uterine leiomyomas have been investigated for many years. Although some genetic mutations have been identified in a small fraction of leiomyomas, some of them have been confirmed to be primary genetic events specifically of germ line fumarate hydratase (FH) mutations. It was not until recently that Mäkinen et al. identified a high rate of somatic gene mutations in the mediator complex subunit 12 (MED12) gene based on a whole exome sequencing analysis. This group further examined a total of 207 leiomyomas and nearly 70% of them had MED12 mutations. Immediately following this discovery, two additional large cohort mutation analyses in human uterine leiomyomas were conducted and had similar findings. Current studies reveal that MED12 mutations/variants in leiomyomas 1) occur with high frequency (up to 70% of tumors harbor MED12 mutations); 2) are site specific (71% of mutations are in codon 44, exon 2 of MED12); and 3) are heterozygous with no mutation detected in normal myometrium.

In a study of 1,862 tumor samples of mesenchymal and epithelial neoplasms, MED12 mutations/variants are specific to uterine leiomyomas and are rarely found in epithelial neoplasms. Since uterine smooth muscle tumors consist of a group of heterogeneous tumors including different histological variants, the role of MED12 mutations in different types of uterine smooth muscle tumors is interesting but remains largely unknown. Examination of MED12 mutations in different uterine smooth muscle tumors may help to disclose the relationship between usual type leiomyomas and other histologic variants or their malignant counterpart. Leiomyosarcomas are traditionally considered to arise de novo, however, recent studies suggest that some leiomyosarcomas may arise from existing leiomyomas. The latter hypothesis is attractive, but there still lacks direct molecular support. Findings of MED12 mutations in 2–20% of uterine leiomyosarcoma suggest that at least some leiomyosarcoma share the same sequence of MED12 mutations.

About one third of uterine leiomyomas have no MED12 mutations, and we want to study the role of HMGA2 in leiomyomas without MED12 mutations. We also want to know whether MED12 mutations can be used as a biomarker for differential diagnosis. To address these issues, we first examined the spectrum of MED12 mutations in a large cohort of benign and malignant uterine smooth muscle tumors. Then, we compared the correlation of gene mutations with the protein expression and further analyzed the patterns between MED12 mutations and HMGA2 expression in all of the tumors. Our results support that MED12 mutations are common in benign but much less common in malignant uterine smooth muscle tumors. In particular, we have demonstrated that genetic mutations of MED12 and
HMGA2 do not overlap in any given leiomyoma, therefore, our findings strongly suggest the presence of two independent genetic pathways in the tumorigenesis of uterine leiomyomas.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Case selections

The use of human tissue specimens was approved by the Institutional Review Board for Human Research at Northwestern University, Chicago, IL. Fresh frozen and/or formalin fixed and paraffin embedded tumor and myometrial tissues were obtained from female patients (range: 22–56 years) undergoing hysterectomies or myomectomies at the Prentice Women’s Hospital in Chicago IL. Prior to surgery, written informed consent and demographic/endocrine information (e.g., race, age, day of menstrual cycle, parity, whether oral contraception is being taken) was obtained. A total of 178 cases with histologic diagnosis of usual type leiomyoma, and 32 cases with uterine leiomyosarcoma were selected for the study. The diagnoses of leiomyosarcomas were based on the Bell criteria18 and clinical presentation. Patients’ clinical biodemography and pathological features were summarized in Table 1.

Immunofluorescence and confocal image

Immunofluorescence was performed as previously described19. Briefly, human myometrium and leiomyoma tissues were fixed in modified Davidson’s solution (Electron Microscopy Science, Hatfield, PA), embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at 5 µm. Anti-MED12 (1:200, Proteintech Europe, Manchester, UK), αSMA (1:50, Abcam, Cambridge, MA) were used as primary antibodies. Alexa Fluro 488 goat anti-mouse and Alexa Fluro 594 goat anti-rabbit (Molecular Probes/Invitrogen) were used as secondary antibodies. For the counter staining, sections were incubated with 150 ng/ml DAPI (4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride) (Sigma-Aldrich) for 5 min at room temperature. Confocal images were obtained with an Olympus Fluoview FV10i Confocal Microscope system (Olympus America Inc., Center Valley, PA).

Tissue microarray and Immunohistochemistry

Tissue cores were collected from tumors and myometrial controls of each case for tissue microarray and represented in duplicate. Antibodies used for this study included HMGA2 (1:500, BioChem Inc., CA) and Anti-MED12 (1:200, Proteintech Europe, Manchester, UK). Tissue microarrays were sectioned 4 m in thickness. After deparaffinization and antigen retrieval, all immunohistochemical staining was performed on a Ventana Nexus automated system (Tucson, Arizona). In brief, endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 3% hydrogen peroxide. Primary antibodies were detected using standard biotinylated anti-mouse or anti-rabbit secondary antibodies. Semiquantitative immunointensity was scored as 0 (negative), 1 (weak), 2 (moderate) and 3 (strong) and percentage was scored as 0 (0%), 1 (<10%), 2 (11–50%), 3 (51–80%) and 4 (>80%). Immunoreactivity for MED12 was scored for intensity only as it is diffusely expressed in all positive cases. The majority of HMGA2 positive cases showed strong and diffuse immunoreactivity for HMGA2 and only those cases with weak or faint immunoreactivity (intensity score 1) for HMGA2 showed a patchy staining pattern. Therefore, a combined intensity and percentage of immunoreactivity for
HMGA2 were used. Moderate to high immunoreactivity (≥2) for HMGA2 in >50% of nuclei was read as HMGA2 positive.

**Western Blotting**

Freshly frozen myometrium and leiomyoma tissues were homogenized at 4°C in a protein lysis buffer. Total cellular proteins from cultured cell samples were prepared with modified radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer, as described previously. Protein concentrations were determined with the BCA protein assay kit (Pierce Protein Research Products, Rockford, IL). The cell lysates (40 µg of total protein per sample) were separated on 8% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide (SDS-PAGE) gels. After electrophoresis, the proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA). The blotted membranes were blocked for 1 hour in Tris-buffered saline with 5% nonfat milk at room temperature and subsequently incubated with primary antibodies against the proteins of interest. The rabbit anti-human MED12 was obtained from Bethyl Laboratory (Montgomery, TX). After incubation with the primary antibody, the PVDF membranes were washed and incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (goat anti-rabbit, Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ). Immune complexes were detected on the membranes with a chemiluminescent reagent (GE Healthcare Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ). An anti-β-actin monoclonal antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, MA) and an anti-α-smooth muscle actin (Abcam, Cambridge, MA) or anti-GAPDH (Cell signaling technology, Danvers, MA) were used as a loading control. Net intensities for the protein bands of interest were determined using Adobe Photoshop. Band intensities were then normalized to β-actin intensities and compared for differences between experimental and control conditions.

**DNA extraction from fresh frozen and formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue**

We used the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit and the protocols for fresh frozen and formalin fixed tissues. For formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue, 6–8 10 µm tissue sections were collected into 1.5 ml Ependoff tubes. Paraffin was dissolved in xylene and removed. Tissue samples were then lysed under denaturing conditions with a proteinase K digestion at 56 °C for 1 hour followed by incubation at 90°C to reverse formalin crosslinking. DNA was purified by column purification with a filter membrane and stored in −20°C before use.

**PCR and Sequencing**

For PCR amplification, 50 ng of genomic DNA was used. Primers used for the amplification of MED12 exon 2 and flanking exon-intron junction sequence were 5’- GCC CTT TCA CCT TGT TCC TT-3’ (forward) and 5’- TGT CCC TAT AAG TCT TCC CAA CC-3’ (reverse). PCR products were purified by ExoSAP-IT reagent (Affymetrix, Inc.) following the manufacturer’s instruction. DNA sequencing of the purified DNA products was performed by GENEWIZ, Inc (South Plainfield, NJ) and Genomic Core Facility at Northwestern University using Applied Biosystems BigDye version 3.1. The reactions were then run on Applied Biosystem's 3730xl DNA Analyzer. Mutations/variations were analyzed by DNASTAR Lasergene 9 software for analysis.
Statistical analysis

Gene expression levels by immunohistochemistry were summarized by medians and ranges for the entire patient population and separated by tumors and matched myometrium and histology and clinical groups. Differences between tumors and matched myometrium and among histology and clinical groups were assessed using Wilcoxon rank-sum tests. Associations among the gene expression levels were assessed via Spearman correlation. P values less than 0.05 (p<0.05) were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

MED12 mutations in usual type leiomyomas and leiomyosarcomas

We collected 178 uterine leiomyomas and all usual type reviewed and confirmed by histology examination. Tumor size ranged from 3 to 21 cm. Patients’ age ranged from 22 to 56 years with a median age of 42 years old (Table 1). Among them, 64 were black women, 52 white women, 13 Hispanic and 4 Asian women (Table 2).

Of all 178 leiomyomas examined, 74.7 % (133/178) of uterine leiomyomas had MED12 mutations. Among them, 93.9 % (125/133) of mutations were confined to exon 2 and the remaining 6.1 % in intron 1. For those leiomyomas with MED12 mutations, the point mutations were found in 87.9 % (117/133) of cases and complex MED12 mutations in 12.1 % (16/133). Overall, point mutations spread to 12 single nucleotide sites, and the most common point mutations were found in two nucleotide sites of c130 and c131, accounting for 72.9 % (97/133) of MED12 mutations (Figure 1, Table 1). Leiomyomas with MED12 mutations seemed to be evenly distributed among different races, and no racial difference was noted (Table 1). This is consistent with another recent study.9 No significant associations of MED12 mutations with tumor size and patients’ age were seen.

A total of 32 uterine leiomyosarcomas were included in this study. Patients’ age ranged from 47 to 86 years old and the tumor size of 2.3–18.6 cm (Table 1). Fifty-nine % of them were stage I and the rest of the cases were II—IV. Only three cases presented with MED12 mutations accounting for 9.4 % of the tumor population (3/32). Of three cases with MED12 mutations, one had a deletion of 39 nucleotides, corresponding to 13 amino acids shorter, one had a frame shift likely resulting in no protein product, and one had a point mutation of G to A change at a position of 131 (Figure 2). Findings suggest that MED12 mutations in uterine leiomyosarcomas are very low.

Expression analysis of wild type and mutant MED12 in leiomyomas and leiomyosarcomas

The correlation of MED12 mutations and its gene product in leiomyoma remains unclear. Studying MED12 gene products will not only help us to understand the function of this gene, but will also provide a potential biomarker for clinical application as well as for differential diagnosis. To this end, we examined MED12 gene products by Western blot in the selected leiomyomas with wild type, simple and complex MED12 mutations. Simple mutations were defined as point mutations involving a single nucleotide replacement, while complex MED12 mutations referred to those changes of insertion, deletion, and shifting of the coding sequence (Figure 1). We found that leiomyomas with a complex MED12
mutation seemed to have very low or a complete loss of its gene product, and those tumors with simple MED12 mutations showed slightly reduced protein expression (Figure 3). These findings were further supported by immunofluorescent stain of MED12. With the aid of internal counterstains of DAPI and smooth muscle actin, loss of MED12 expression in leiomyomas with complex MED12 mutations was evident in comparison to its matched myometrial tissue section (Figure 3).

To explore the potential application of immunohistochemistry for MED12 expression in formalin fixed and paraffin embedded tissue, we examined MED12 expression by immunohistochemistry (see Materials and Methods) in all 178 leiomyomas and matched myometrium in a tissue microarray. Since immunoreactivity for MED12 seemed to be diffusely distributed in cytoplasm with some nuclear localization, we scored immunointensity by semiquantitative scales of negative, weak, moderate, and strong expression (Figure 4). Consistent with Western blot results, we found that leiomyomas with complex MED12 mutations had significant lower immunoreactivity for MED12 (p<0.01) than in myometrium. Notably, no significant difference of MED12 expression between myometrium and leiomyomas of wild type and simple MED12 mutations was found.

As reported, only three leiomyosarcomas had MED12 mutations. However, most leiomyosarcomas without the MED12 mutations showed significantly lower or were absent of immunoreactivity for MED12 (Figure 4).

**HMGA2 expression in leiomyomas and leiomyosarcoma with and without MED12 mutations**

Twenty six % of leiomyomas that had no MED12 mutations. HMGA2 (high mobility group A2) overexpression induced by non-random chromosomal translocation t(12;14) was found in less than 10% of leiomyomas. To investigate whether HMGA2 overexpression correlates with MED12 mutations, we examined and compared the expression pattern of HMGA2 with MED12 mutations by immunohistochemistry in all 178 leiomyomas and 32 leiomyosarcomas. We found a total of 18 leiomyomas and 8 leiomyosarcomas were strongly immunoreactive for HMGA2, accounting for 10.1 % and 25.0 % of cases, respectively. Surprisingly, HMGA2 overexpression was exclusively found in those leiomyomas without a MED12 mutation (Figure 5). To confirm this finding, we repeated the HMGA2 stain in a different lab using a new vial of HMGA2 antibody of BioCheck, and the same results were found. Consistent with the finding in leiomyomas, leiomyosarcomas with HMGA2 overexpression did not harbor the MED12 mutation either. Our result was further supported by a study of 38 leiomyomas, in which the MED12 mutation and HMGA2 overexpression were identified in completely separate tumor populations.

**DISCUSSION**

Uterine leiomyoma is a major public health problem. Millions of reproductive aged women suffer from these tumors and there are roughly 200,000 hysterectomies for symptomatic leiomyomas performed annually in the United States causing substantial medical costs. Uterine leiomyomas are genetically heterogamous. Some tumors harbor non-random chromosomal translocations t(12;14) for HMGA2 overexpression, and rare
cases have germline gene mutations in Fumarate Hydratase (FH)\(^{28}\) and \(COL4A5/A6\)\(^{29}\). Recent identification of \(MED12\) mutations in most uterine leiomyomas provides a new and promising candidate gene for understanding of the cause and tumorigenesis of leiomyomas. In particular, \(MED12\) mutations seem to be mostly confined to uterine leiomyomas.\(^{13,17}\) Although it is still too early to say \(MED12\) mutations are the direct causal factor for the development of most leiomyomas, these clinically significant findings will accelerate medical research to characterize the functional role of \(MED12\) in the disease. Eventually, this will benefit the clinical application.

Based on several large cohorts and this study, the mutation rate of \(MED12\) in uterine leiomyomas varies with a range from 50% to 70% of tumor population. \(MED12\) mutations in uterine leiomyomas were mostly identified in exon 2 and rarely in intron 1-exon 2 junction.\(^7,8\) All mutations were heterozygous in genomic DNA, and all of the transcripts were derived solely from the mutant \(MED12\) alleles.\(^9,10\) Therefore, in this study, we examined \(MED12\) gene mutations covering the exon 2 and intron 1-exon 2 junction. We found that 75% of tumors harbor \(MED12\) mutation (Figure 1).

Notably, at least a quarter of leiomyomas have no detected \(MED12\) mutations. \(HMGA2\) overexpression is the second most common genetic alteration in leiomyomas, identified in 7.5–10% of leiomyomas due to t(12;14) translocation. In two independent studies with a total of 118 leiomyomas with cytogenetic information\(^9,22\), no \(MED12\) mutations in tumors with \(HMGA2\) overexpression were found. To further investigate the relationship of \(MED12\) mutations and \(HMGA2\) overexpression, we examined \(HMGA2\) expression in all 178 leiomyomas and 32 leiomyosarcomas by immunohistochemistry. As illustrated in Figure 5, \(HMGA2\) overexpression was exclusively found in those tumors without \(MED12\) mutations. The current study is the largest sample size for the analysis of the \(MED12\) mutations and \(HMGA2\) expression. Combining these three studies into nearly 300 cases strongly suggests there are two mutually exclusive, independent pathways of \(MED12\) and \(HMGA2\) and they are likely playing different roles in the tumorigenesis of uterine leiomyoma.

Furthermore, although there is a low rate of \(MED12\) mutations in uterine leiomyosarcomas, these two genetic mutations were independent. \(HMGA2\) is an oncogene, and its oncogenic properties have been well characterized in many benign and malignant neoplasms. A recent study further defined that \(HMGA2\)-mediated tumorigenesis in leiomyomas is mainly through a regulation of the cell cycle checkpoint in the G1/S phase\(^21\). In the current study, we found that \(HMGA2\) overexpression accounts for 45% of \(MED12\) negative tumors. The findings suggest that some other genetic mutations, such as \(HMGA1, FH, COL4A5/A6\) and as yet to be characterized genetic factors may be responsible for the remaining leiomyomas without \(HMGA2\) and \(MED12\) mutations.

As mentioned above, \(MED12\) mutations are the major genetic changes in benign leiomyoma, and the mutations are much less common in the malignant counterpart, leiomyosarcoma. Furthermore, \(MED12\) protein expression in leiomyomas with \(MED12\) mutations has only been examined by Western blot analysis in one study,\(^{16}\) and no immunohistochemistry analysis for \(MED12\) has been reported. To assess the value of immunohistochemistry for \(MED12\) expression in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues,
we compared and correlated the immunointensity of MED12 in tumors with and without MED12 mutations. Although Western blot analysis can detect the reduced MED12 protein in both simple (point) and complex mutations of the MED12 gene (Figure 3), the immunohistochemical stain can only detect the reduced immunoreactivity for MED12 in tumors with the complex MED12 mutations (Figure 4). More studies are needed to further optimize the immunohistochemistry stain of this important gene marker for its potential clinical application.

MED12 mutations in leiomyosarcoma have drawn great attention recently and several studies have shown that MED12 mutations in leiomyosarcoma ranged from 3% to 20%. In this study, we found that the rate of MED12 mutations was nearly 10% in uterine leiomyosarcomas. When we combined all of the studies of leiomyosarcomas reported in the literature with our cases, a total of 174 leiomyosarcomas, 14 tumors had MED12 mutations, accounting for an 8.1% mutation rate. Therefore, MED12 mutations in leiomyosarcoma are far lower than those in leiomyomas. Interestingly, two of three MED12 mutations in our series are either large deletion or frame-shift, which are rarely seen in leiomyomas (Figure 2). Nevertheless, 9.4% of leiomyosarcomas share a genetic mutations of MED12 with leiomyomas, suggesting that a small fraction of leiomyosarcomas may progress from existing leiomyomas. This may warrant further study.

In summary, our current study of benign and malignant uterine smooth muscle tumors concludes that: 1) MED12 mutations are common in usual type leiomyomas; 2) leiomyomas with HMGA2 overexpression do not share or harbor MED12 mutations; 3) complex MED12 mutations lead to loss or reduced MED12 protein product; and 4) leiomyosarcomas have a very low rate of MED12 mutations, suggesting that most leiomyosarcomas have independent tumorigenic pathways from their benign counterpart.
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Figure 1.  
*MED12* mutation analysis in uterine leiomyomas. A. The photomicrograph illustrates the histology of usual type leiomyoma. B. Sequencing chromatographs of 12 types of *MED12* mutations identified in usual type leiomyomas. Red arrows indicate the mutation sites. C. Genomic analysis of the types and distribution of *MED12* mutations in exon2 and intron1. The point mutations were listed in the above sequences, and each dot represents a case with mutation. The complex mutations were listed below the sequence with thin bars illustrating the actual length of deletions.
Figure 2.
Three leiomyosarcomas with MED12 mutations. A–C illustrate the high power histology of three leiomyosarcomas (upper) and their corresponding sequencing chromatographs for MED12 mutations (lower). Red arrows indicate the mutation sites. Case two (B) is a leiomyosarcoma of stage IV disease.
Figure 3.
Reduced MED12 protein levels in uterine leiomyomas with complex MED12 mutations. A. Confocal microscopic images illustrate an example of immunofluorescent staining for MED12 (red), smooth muscle actin (green) and DAPI (blue) in leiomyoma with a complex MED12 mutation (with a partial MED12 Exon 2 deletion) and its matched myometrium. In myometrium, the expression pattern and distribution are punctuated with high intensity staining enriched in perinuclear localization. In contrast, the expression pattern for leiomyoma is diffused in the cytoplasm and has lesser staining intensity. Scale bars = 50 µm.
Higher power image of MED12 staining in leiomyoma and myometrium are shown in the insert (Scale bar = 25 µm). B. The expression of MED12 protein was detected by Western blot analysis in leiomyomas with complex MED12 mutations (Pt81LM and Pt151LM for Exon 2 deletion on right) and leiomyomas with simple MED12 point mutations (Pt137LM and Pt173LM for c.131G>A on left). MED12 expression in the matched myometrium (MM) was shown next to tumors. Anti-α-smooth muscle actin, Anti-Actin, and anti-GAPDH were used as protein loading controls.
Figure 4.
Immunohistochemical analysis of MED12 expression in formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded leiomyomas and leiomyosarcomas. A. Photomicrographs illustrate examples of immunointensity of MED12 in tumor myometrium (MM), leiomyomas (ULM) and leiomyosarcoma (LMS). wt: wild type MED12; point: point/single nucleotide mutation of MED12; complex: complex mutations of MED12. B. Statistical analysis of immunointensity for MED12 in tumor myometrium (MM), leiomyomas (ULM) and leiomyosarcoma (LMS). MED12 mutation types and number of cases were listed below the histobars. **: p<0.01.
Figure 5.
HMGA2 overexpression in uterine leiomyomas. A. Photomicrographs illustrate examples of strong and diffuse immunoreactivity for HMGA2 in uterine leiomyomas. High grade serous ovarian carcinoma was used as the positive control (left upper). B and C. Dot plot analysis of distribution of MED12 mutations and HMGA2 overexpression in 178 cases of uterine leiomyomas. 74% of leiomyomas have MED12 mutations and lack of HMGA2 overexpression; 10% of leiomyomas have wild type MED12 and HMGA2 overexpression; and remainder 16% of leiomyomas have wild type MED12 and lack of HMGA2 overexpression.
Table 1

General information of 210 uterine smooth muscle tumors in this study

| Title                     | Scale and range |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
|                           | Leiomyosarcoma   | Usual leiomyoma |
| No. Cases                 | 32              | 178             |
| Age                       | 47–86           | 22–56           |
| Mean ±SD                  | 60 ± 2          | 43 ± 6          |
| Median                    | 57              | 43              |
| Tumor size (cm) Range     | 2.3–18.6        | 7–21            |
| Mean ±SD                  | 8.2 ±0.9        | 11.9 ± 0.8      |
| Median                    | 6.9             | 11.3            |
Table 2

MED12 mutation analysis in different types of uterine smooth muscle tumors

| Tumor types          | MED12 mutations | No. positive | No. negative | % of mutant cases |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|
| Leiomyosarcomas      |                 | 3            | 29           | 9.4%             |
| Usual leiomyomas     |                 | 134          | 43           | 75.7%            |
| Black women          |                 | 64           | 17           | 79.0%            |
| White women          |                 | 53           | 21           | 71.6%            |
| Hispanic women       |                 | 13           | 3            | 81.3%            |
| Asian women          |                 | 4            | 2            | 66.7%            |