Free-Fermionic Topological Quantum Sensors
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Second order quantum phase transitions, with well-known features such as long-range entanglement, symmetry breaking, and gap closing, exhibit quantum enhancement for sensing at criticality. However, it is unclear which of these features are responsible for this enhancement. To address this issue, we investigate phase transitions in free-fermionic topological systems that exhibit neither symmetry-breaking nor long-range entanglement. We analytically demonstrate that quantum enhanced sensing is possible using topological edge states near the phase boundary. Remarkably, such enhancement also endures for ground states of such models that are accessible in solid state experiments. We illustrate the results with 1D Su-Schrieffer-Heeger chain and a 2D Chern insulator which are both experimentally accessible. While neither symmetry-breaking nor long-range entanglement are essential, gap closing remains as the major candidate for the ultimate source of quantum enhanced sensing. In addition, we also provide a fixed and simple measurement strategy that achieves near-optimal precision for sensing using generic edge states irrespective of the parameter value. This paves the way for development of topological quantum sensors which are expected to also be robust against local perturbations.
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Introduction.—The sensitivity of quantum systems to the variation of their environment makes them excellent sensors [1]. The uncertainty of measuring an unknown parameter $\lambda$, quantified by standard deviation $\delta \lambda$ is bounded by Cramér-Rao inequality $\delta \lambda \geq 1/\sqrt{MF}$, where $M$ is the number of trials and $F$ is the Fisher information [2]. In a classical setup, the Fisher information scales linearly with the sensor size (known as standard limit). However, quantum features, such as superposition, may enhance the resource efficiency of a quantum sensor such that the Fisher information scales quadratically with system size (known as the Heisenberg limit) [2], or even faster (super-Heisenberg limit) [3–8]. There are at least two major approaches for achieving quantum enhanced sensing: (i) exploiting Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ)-type entangled states [9–14] for estimating the angle of a unitary rotation [3]; and (ii) utilizing quantum criticality for directly estimating the Hamiltonian parameters [15–24]. In the former, the interaction between the particles in the quantum sensor degrades the sensing quality [24–27]. Also, because of extreme vulnerability of GHZ states to decoherence and particle loss, it is difficult to be scaled up [28]. In the latter, however, the interaction between the constituents of the quantum sensor is crucial and the system is more robust against decoherence. Originally the criticality-enhanced quantum sensing has been introduced for the ground state of many-body systems undergoing a second order quantum phase transition [15–24]. In such symmetry breaking transitions, the ground state reveals long-range correlations which lead to the scaling of $F \sim V^{2/\nu}$, where $V$ is the system size (volume), $D$ is the dimension, and $\nu$ is the critical exponent with which the correlation length diverges near the criticality [3]. Recently, quantum enhanced sensing has also been observed in integrable Floquet systems [7,29] along the line that the Floquet gap vanishes. An important open question is what feature of a phase transition, e.g., symmetry breaking, long-range correlations, or vanishing gap, is truly responsible for obtaining quantum enhanced sensing.

To answer this, one needs to investigate the scaling of Fisher information in different types of quantum phase transitions, e.g., those not of the symmetry-breaking kind. Phase transitions in symmetry-protected topological (SPT) phases of noninteracting fermions [30,31] are ideal candidates for this investigation. These topological phase transitions (TPT) are fundamentally different from the symmetry-breaking ones in at least three aspects [32]. First, a fermionic SPT phase transition manifests in the form of robust edge or surface states protected against symmetry-preserving local perturbations [33,34]. Second, they are not detected by a local order parameter, but rather by an integer-valued nonlocal quantity called a topological invariant [32]. Third, unlike the symmetry-breaking phase transitions, the fermionic SPT phases at TPT are short-range entangled [35]. These differences between second-order quantum phase transitions and fermionic SPT phase...
transitions motivate our investigation of quantum enhanced sensitivity in the latter. In fact, sensing based on non-Hermitian systems [36] including topological systems [37–39] and TPTs for rotation angle estimation [40–46] (like GHZ state-based metrology) have been already proposed. Nonetheless, the sensing capability of free-fermionic TPTs for estimating Hamiltonian parameters is yet to be explored. Fermionic SPT phases have been realized with solid-state systems [47,48] and simulated platforms [49,50]. Therefore, finding quantum enhanced precision in such systems is a key step forward for developing topological quantum sensors.

In this Letter, we analytically address the quantum sensing capability of free-fermionic topological systems. We have two main findings. First, from a practical perspective, we show that these systems indeed reveal quantum enhanced sensitivity and thus are legitimate candidates for developing topological quantum sensors naturally robust against local perturbations. Second, from a fundamental perspective, we highlight the importance of gap closing, as opposed to symmetry-breaking or long-range entanglement, for quantum enhanced sensing.

**Ultimate precision limit.**—To infer an unknown parameter $\lambda$, encoded in a quantum state $\rho_\lambda$, one has to perform measurement on the system and then feed the outcomes into an estimator algorithm. For a basic introduction to single parameter estimation, we refer to Supplemental Material (SM) [51]. For a given measurement setup, described by a set of projective operators $\{\Pi_n\}$, every outcome appears with the probability $p_n(\lambda) = \text{Tr}[\rho_\lambda \Pi_n]$. In this case, all the information is encoded in a classical probability distribution and thus the Cramér-Rao bound is determined by classical Fisher information (CFI), defined as $F^C = \sum_k p_n \left(\partial_\lambda \log p_n\right)^2$. One can maximize the CFI for all possible measurement setups to obtain quantum Fisher information (QFI) as the ultimate precision bound. The QFI can be computed as $F^Q = \text{Tr}[(\partial_{\lambda}^2 \rho_\lambda)^2]$ where $\partial_{\lambda}$ is the symmetric logarithmic derivative (SLD) operator defined as $\partial_{\lambda} = (\partial_{\lambda} \rho_\lambda + \partial_{\lambda}^\dagger \rho_\lambda)/2$. For pure states $\rho_\lambda = |\psi_\lambda\rangle \langle \psi_\lambda|$, SLD operator simplifies to $\partial_{\lambda} = 2\partial_{\lambda} \rho_\lambda$, and $F^Q = 4(|\langle \partial_{\lambda} \psi_\lambda | \partial_{\lambda} \psi_\lambda \rangle|^2 - |\langle \partial_{\lambda} \psi_\lambda | \psi_\lambda \rangle|^2)^2$ [2]. It is worth emphasizing that the optimal measurement setup that achieves the ultimate precision bound is not unique, although one solution is always given by the eigenvectors of the SLD operator.

**Free-fermionic SPT model.**—Free-fermionic SPT phases host energy excitations localized on the boundary known as edge or surface states. The existence of these states is guaranteed by the nontrivial topology of the filled band wave functions [33]. These states are studied using tight-binding models [52–55] (see SM [51] for more details). We first analyze the QFI of the edge states in 1D systems and later generalize our results to higher dimensions. Consider a 1D lattice with sites labeled by $j (j \in [L])$, where $[L] = \{0, 1, \ldots, L - 1\}$. Suppose there are $d$ internal degrees of freedom associated to each lattice site. The single-particle Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is then spanned by orthonormal basis states $\{|j, m\rangle: j \in [L], m \in [d]\}$, and can be tensor factorized as $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{H}_L \otimes \mathcal{H}_L$ [52,53]. The single-particle Hamiltonian of a number-conserving, noninteracting fermionic system with uniform coupling and open boundary conditions (OBC) can be expressed as

$$H = \sum_{j \in [L]} |j\rangle \langle j| \otimes h_0(\lambda) + \sum_{j < j' \in [L]} \left[ |j\rangle \langle j'| \otimes h_{j-j'}(\lambda) + \text{H.c.} \right],$$

(1)

where each $h_{j-j'}$ is a $d \times d$ matrix whose entries are complex amplitudes of hopping between lattice sites separated by distance $j' - j$ possibly accompanied by a change in internal state [52,53], and these entries depend on the parameter $\lambda$ that is being estimated. Hamiltonians of the form in Eq. (1) are routinely used for investigation of edge states in 1D free-fermionic topological systems. Our analysis can be generalized to number nonconserving systems including Kitaev chain [56], as Bogoliubov–de Gennes–Hamiltonian of such systems has the same structure as in Eq. (1).

**Edge states in 1D.**—The zero-energy edge states (topologically protected or accidental) localized on the $j = 0$ edge are described (or well approximated) by $|\psi_{\text{edge}}\rangle = |\phi_z\rangle |u\rangle$, where

$$|\phi_z\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |z|^2 \sum_{j \in [L]} |z|^2 |j\rangle}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad |z| < 1$$

(2)

parametrized by $z$ accounts for the spatial part of exponentially decaying nature of $|\psi_{\text{edge}}\rangle$, and $|u\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_L$ is an internal state vector [52–54]. Both $z$ and $|u\rangle$ depend on $\lambda$ in general.

We now derive the scaling of QFI for $|\psi_{\text{edge}}\rangle$, assuming $\text{arg}(z)$ is independent of $\lambda$, and leave the general case for SM [51]. Our results are stated using $O$, $\Omega$, and $\Theta$ asymptotic notations, to denote upper, lower, and tight bounds on the scaling, respectively [57]. The QFI for $|\psi_{\text{edge}}\rangle$ with respect to $\lambda$ can be expressed as $F_{|\psi_{\text{edge}}\rangle}(\lambda) = F_{|\phi_z\rangle}(\lambda) + F_{|u\rangle}(\lambda)$. For $L \gg 1$, both $z$ and $|u\rangle$ approach a fixed value that does not depend on $L$ [52,53]. However, the state $|\phi_z\rangle$ depends on $L$ due to the normalization. Therefore, the scaling of QFI comes from the scaling of $F_{|\phi_z\rangle}(\lambda) = 4(|\langle \partial_{\lambda} \phi_z | \partial_{\lambda} \phi_z \rangle|^2 - |\langle \partial_{\lambda} \phi_z | \phi_z \rangle|^2)^2$. For $z$ independent of $\lambda$, $\langle \partial_{\lambda} \phi_z | \phi_z \rangle = 0$, and simple algebra reveals

$$F_{|\phi_z\rangle}(\lambda) = \frac{4(|\partial_{\lambda} z|^2)^2 \left(1 + |z|^4 - |z|^2 L^2 - 2|z|^2 L^2 (1 - |z|^2)^2 \right)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2 (1 - |z|^2 L^2)^2}.$$

(3)

Away from TPT, $|z| < 1$ yields $\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} F_{|\phi_z\rangle}(\lambda) = 4(|\partial_{\lambda} z|^2)^2 (1 - z^2)^{-2}$, so that $F_{|\psi_{\text{edge}}\rangle}(\lambda) \in \Theta(1)$. As edge states are localized single particle excitations, we do not expect $L$-dependent scaling away from TPT. In contrast, at TPT, the
zero-energy edge states undergo delocalization, so that $|z| \to 1$ as $\lambda$ approaches the transition point $\lambda_c$ [52,53]. Consequently, by calculating the limit of Eq. (3) as $\lambda \to \lambda_c$, we get
\[
\lim_{\lambda \to \lambda_c} F(\phi_\lambda)(\lambda) = \frac{(\partial_z z)^2 (L^2 - 1)}{3} \Rightarrow F(\mathcal{W}_{\text{edge}})(\lambda_c) \in \Theta(L^2),
\]
(4)

independent of the model Hamiltonian. The same result holds for complex $z$, as we show in the SM [51]. This quadratic scaling of the QFI of edge states at the phase transition is a remarkable observation showing the power of free-fermionic topological systems for achieving quantum enhanced sensitivity. This is in fact the first main result of our work.

**Edge states in higher dimensions.**—We now investigate the scaling of the QFI of the edge states of $D$-dimensional systems in which periodic boundary conditions (PBC) are enforced along $D - 1$ directions, and OBC along the remaining direction. For ease of explanation, consider a 2D square lattice with the orthonormal basis states $\{ |j_1, j_2, m\rangle : j_1 \in [L_1], j_2 \in [L_2], m \in [\ell] \}$ [55]. A Hamiltonian with PBC along both the spatial directions can be expressed as $H_{\text{PBC}} = \bigoplus_k H_k$ with $k$ in the 2D Brillouin zone and $H_k$ the Bloch Hamiltonian. If OBC is enforced along the first spatial direction, then $k$ is no longer a good quantum number. However, $k_\parallel$ (component of $k$ along the periodic direction) remains a good quantum number, and therefore the total Hamiltonian can be expressed as $H_{\text{OBC}} = \bigoplus_{k_\parallel} H_{k_\parallel}$, with $H_{k_\parallel}$ denoting the Hamiltonian of a virtual 1D wire labeled by $k_\parallel$ [55]. Each $H_{k_\parallel}$ has a structure similar to that of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1). An edge state $|\psi_{\text{edge}}\rangle$ at a fixed $k_\parallel$ is well approximated by $|\psi_{\text{edge}}\rangle = |k_\parallel\rangle |\phi_{k_\parallel}\rangle |\psi(z, k_\parallel)\rangle$, where $|\phi_{k_\parallel}\rangle$ is given in Eq. (2) with the replacement $L \to L_2$, and $|k_\parallel\rangle = (1/\sqrt{L_1}) \sum_{j_1 \in [L_1]} e^{i k_\parallel j_1} |j_1\rangle$ with $k_\parallel \in [-\pi, \pi]$. For an edge state at a fixed value of $k_\parallel$, we have $\partial_z |k_\parallel\rangle = 0$, and therefore $F(\mathcal{W}_{\text{edge}})(\lambda) = F(\phi_{k_\parallel})(\lambda) + \text{constant} \in \Theta(L^2)$ at TPT as in the 1D case. Interestingly, for $L_1 = L_2 = L$, we have $F(\mathcal{W}_{\text{edge}})(\lambda) \in \Theta(V^2)$ where $V = L^2$ is the total system size (area). In $D$ dimensions, lattice sites are indexed by $\{j_1, \ldots, j_D\}$, and PBC are enforced along the first $D - 1$ directions. Similar analysis as above yields $F(\mathcal{W}_{\text{edge}})(\lambda) \in \Theta(V^{2/D}$) at TPT, similar to the behavior of QFI at second order phase transitions [3]. This establishes the scaling of QFI of edge states in any spatial dimension.

**Optimal measurement basis for edge states.**—While QFI determines the ultimate precision bound, its saturation in the Cramér-Rao inequality relies on the choice of an optimal measurement basis. For the case where $\arg(z)$ is independent of $\lambda$, the position measurement in the basis
\[
B = \{ |j\rangle \otimes 1_d, j \in [L] \}
\]
(5)
is sufficient to saturate the Cramér-Rao bound for QFI $F(\phi_z)(\lambda)$ for every $\lambda$. We note that for the generic QFI expression $F(\mathcal{W}_{\text{edge}})(\lambda) = F(\phi_z)(\lambda) + F(u)(\lambda)$, only the first term contributes to scaling. The second term $F(u)$, coming from the intrinsically, does not depend on lattice size and approaches a fixed value for $L \gg 1$. Consequently, the measurement of $F(\mathcal{W}_{\text{edge}})$ performed in this basis yields optimal precision up to a length-independent constant. The proof, obtained by showing that QFI for $|\phi_{k_\parallel}\rangle$ equals the CFI in this basis, is detailed in the SM [51]. Physically, this entails measuring the location of the particle in the lattice. We emphasize that this measurement basis, independent of parameter values and obviously localized on sites, is in sharp contrast to many proposals of quantum many-body sensors, where Heisenberg scaling is achievable in theory, but only through highly nonlocal, complicated, and parameter-sensitive measurement bases. Even dropping the assumption of $\arg(z)$ being independent of $\lambda$, we show in the SM [51] that this measurement basis still yields quadratic scaling of QFI, which lends generality to quantum-enhanced sensitivity.

At this point, we note that the localization feature of the edge states is not necessary for quadratic scaling of QFI at TPT. For example, as we later show numerically, quadratic scaling is observed for the bulk states at the top of the lower energy band as well as bottom of the upper energy band as we approach TPT from the trivial phase. However, for such states, the measurement basis in Eq. (5) may not be optimal.

**Example for 1D.**—As a concrete example we consider the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) Hamiltonian [58]
\[
\hat{H}_{\text{SSH}} = - \sum_{j \in [L]} \left( J_1 \hat{b}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j + J_2 \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{b}_j + \text{H.c.} \right),
\]
(6)
where $J_1$ and $J_2$ are the exchange couplings of the two internal states (denoted by fermionic operators $\hat{a}_j$ and $\hat{b}_j$ at site $j$) at a single site and between adjacent sites, respectively. This Hamiltonian is of the same form as in Eq. (1), with only nonzero matrices $h_0 = -J_1 \sigma_x$, and $h_1 = -J_2 (\sigma_x - i \sigma_y)/2$, with $\sigma_x, \sigma_y$ being Pauli matrices. This model exhibits TPT at $J_1 = J_2$ protected by sublattice symmetry, and has been realized in both solid state [58] and optical lattice [59] experiments. For simplicity, we shall assume that $\hat{b}_{L-1}$ is isolated from other sites in the SSH chain [60], and $\lambda = J_1/J_2$ is a real parameter which has to be estimated. For $\lambda < \lambda_c = 1$, the normalized edge state solution is given by [61] $|\psi_{\text{edge}}\rangle = |\phi_{z = -2}\rangle |u\rangle$, where $|\phi_{z}\rangle$ is given by Eq. (2) and $|u\rangle = |10\rangle$. We obtain the same scaling relation for QFI as in the general case. To verify this numerically, one can use a fit function $a L^b + c$ to the QFI of $|\psi_{\text{edge}}\rangle$ for each value of $\lambda$ and extract the exponent $b$. For the trivial regime ($\lambda > \lambda_c$), the edge state smoothly deforms into the bulk state at the top of the lower energy band, which we use to calculate the QFI scaling. As displayed in Fig. 1(a), scaling of QFI changes from quadratic ($b = 2$) to constant ($b = 0$), as one moves away from TPT. Note that $z$
and the phase boundaries are given by PBC, and later numerically validate similar results for Pj which are relevant for solid state experiments. We first scaling nature for the fermionic many-body ground states, As before, the quadratic scaling is shown to be approached by

\[ \frac{\lambda - \lambda_c}{\Delta} \approx \frac{F_{\text{GS}}}{2} \]

where \( H_{\text{Ch}} = B \cdot \sigma \) is the Bloch Hamiltonian with \( B = [2t_1 \cos k_x, 2t_1 \cos k_y, m_z + 2t_2(\sin k_x + \sin k_y)] \) and \( \sigma \) the vector of Pauli matrices. Here \( \uparrow, \downarrow \) denote spin-1/2 up and down states, and \( m_z, t_1, t_2 \) are lattice parameters. We will consider \( \lambda = m_z/t_2 \) as the parameter to be estimated. The eigenvectors form two bands that touch at phase transition at the Dirac points \( (k_x, k_y) = \pm(\pi/2, \pi/2) \) for nonzero \( \lambda \), and the phase boundaries are given by \( \lambda_c = \pm 4 \) [64]. We impose PBC along the x direction (\( k_l = k_l \)), and decompose the Hamiltonian as \( H_{\text{Ch}} = B \cdot \sigma \) where \( H_{k_l} \) describes a virtual 1D wire Hamiltonian of the form in Eq. (1) with \( h_0(k_x) = 2t_1 \cos k_x \sigma_x + (m_z + 2t_2 \sin k_x) \sigma_z \), \( h_1(k_x) = t_1 \sigma_y - it_2 \sigma_z \). The QFI of the edge state at \( k_x = \pi/2 \) localized near \( j = 0 \), displayed in Fig. 1(b), shows quadratic scaling at TPT and constant scaling away from it. As before, the quadratic scaling is shown to be approached from the trivial phase as well for the corresponding bulk state.

**QFI of many-body ground state.**—We now look at the scaling nature for the fermionic many-body ground states, which are relevant for solid state experiments. We first derive a formula for the QFI of a general many-body state \( |\Psi\rangle \) of \( N \) fermions occupying single-particle states denoted by \( |\psi_1\rangle, \ldots, |\psi_N\rangle \). The antisymmetrized wave function for this state is given by the Slater determinant formula \([65]\)

\[ |\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \text{sgn}(\sigma) |\psi_{\sigma_1}\rangle \cdots |\psi_{\sigma_N}\rangle \]

where \( S_N \) is the symmetric group. The QFI of this state, with \( P = \sum_{i=1}^N |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i| \) as projector on the occupied states, simplifies to (see SM [51])

\[ F_{\Psi} = 4 \sum_{i=1}^N \langle \partial_\psi | \partial_{\partial_\psi} \rangle - G|\partial_\psi \rangle, \]

where \( G \) is the operator norm. Furthermore, \( ||\partial_\psi \rangle \leq \sup ||\partial_\psi H_{\uparrow}|| \leq ||\partial_\psi H_{\uparrow}||^2/\Delta E^2 \), hence \( \langle \partial_\psi | \partial_{\partial_\psi} \rangle \leq ||\partial_\psi \rangle \). This proves that QFI of \( |\Psi\rangle \) scales at

\[ F_{\text{GS}}^{\text{PBC}} = 4 \sum_k (\langle \partial_\psi | \partial_{\partial_\psi} \rangle - \langle \partial_\psi | \partial_{\partial_\psi} \rangle^2) \leq \sum_k F_{\Psi} \]

Many-body QFI at TPT.—We now show how \( \Omega(L^2) \) scaling of \( F_{\text{GS}}^{\text{PBC}} \) emerges at TPT in a simplistic model of band-gap inversion in 1D systems [32]. Consider a two-band Hamiltonian that can be approximated as \( H_0 = a \sigma_x + (\lambda - \lambda_c) \sigma_z \) near the Dirac point \( k = 0 \), with \( a \) a Hamiltonian parameter independent of \( \lambda \) and \( L \). The two energy bands touch at \( k = 0 \) at TPT (i.e., \( \lambda = \lambda_c \)). Major contribution to QFI is expected from the states near the Dirac point. In fact, as shown in SM [51], it is enough to consider only the two lowest \( k \) states to establish a \( \Theta(L^2) \) scaling for QFI. This, combined with Eq. (9), rules out subquadratic scaling of \( F_{\text{GS}}^{\text{PBC}} \), so that \( F_{\text{GS}}^{\text{PBC}} \in \Omega(L^2) \).

To explicitly see this scaling behavior at TPT we look at our prototypical examples of 1D SSH chain and 2D Chern insulator mentioned before. In the first case (see SM [51])

\[ F_{\text{SSH}}^{\text{PBC}}(\lambda_c) = \frac{L^2 - 3L + 2}{12}, \]

which clearly shows the \( \Theta(L^2) \) scaling for large \( L \). Moreover, the Fock basis is an optimal measurement basis, as the ground state of SSH Hamiltonian has real coefficients in that basis [61]. Such a measurement can be performed by measuring the number operator \( \hat{\psi}_{j,m} \hat{\psi}_{j,m}^\dagger \) for each fermionic mode.

For the Chern insulator on a \( L \times L \) lattice, QFI at TPT is [51]

\[ F_{\text{Ch}}^{\text{PBC}}(\lambda_c) = \sum_{k \neq \pi/2, \pi/2} \frac{B_x^2 + B_y^2}{4(B_x^2 + B_y^2 + B_z^2)^2}, \]

As we show later, this sum also shows \( \Omega(L^2) \) dependence.

**Many-body QFI away from TPT.**—To see the scaling of \( F_{\text{GS}}^{\text{PBC}} \) away from TPT, we prove that \( F_{\Psi} \) is bounded by a constant independent of \( N \). Therefore, \( F_{\text{GS}}^{\text{PBC}} \in \Omega(N) \), by Eq. (9). We first observe that \( F_{\Psi} \leq 4 \langle \partial_\psi | \partial_{\partial_\psi} \rangle \). First-order perturbation theory yields \( \langle \partial_\psi | \partial_{\partial_\psi} \rangle = \frac{(\epsilon_{1,k} - \epsilon_{0,k})^2}{\epsilon_{1,k}^2} \), where \( \epsilon_{1,k} \) is the higher band wave function, and \( \epsilon_{0,k} \) is the lower (higher) band energy eigenvalues. Now we can bound \( F_{\Psi} \) by \( 4 \langle \partial_\psi | \partial_{\partial_\psi} \rangle \leq 4 \langle \partial_\psi H_{\uparrow} | \partial_{\partial_\psi} \rangle^2/\Delta E^2 \), where \( \Delta E \) is the band gap, and \( \langle \partial_\psi | \partial_{\partial_\psi} \rangle \leq 4 \langle \partial_\psi H_{\uparrow} | \partial_{\partial_\psi} \rangle^2/\Delta E^2 \). This proves that QFI of \( |\Psi\rangle \) scales at
most linearly with the system size $[O(N) = O(V)]$ away from TPT. This is in stark contrast with the constant scaling of QFI for the edge states. Linear scaling away from TPT can be explicitly proved for SSH model in the continuum limit $L \to \infty$ (see SM [51]), as

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{F^{\text{SSH}}_{\text{PBC}}(\lambda)}{L} = \begin{cases} 1/2(1 - \lambda^2) & \text{if } \lambda < 1 \\ 1/2(\lambda^4 - \lambda^2) & \text{if } \lambda > 1 . \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

We further provide numerical confirmations by repeating the fitting procedure as before. The scaling exponents versus $\lambda$ are shown in Figs. 2(a)–2(b) for the SSH and Chern insulator model respectively. Expectedly, the $\Omega(L^2)$ scaling at TPT and $O(L^0)$ scaling far enough away in the topological phase are independent of the boundary conditions. We observe qualitatively similar scaling behavior in the trivial phase as well. For the Chern insulator, true OBC are numerically intractable beyond small system sizes, hence we use strip geometry, which leads to the small discrepancies with the PBC results.

**Experimental realization.**—All the ingredients for our proposals are already present in cold atom experiments in optical lattices. As edge states are single-particle states they have been observed with both fermions [66] and bosons [67] in quantum Hall systems on optical lattices using standard imaging techniques for synthetic dimensions by populating the edge states without populating the bulk. For SSH chain, proposals for edge state preparation are also in place [68,69]. Position basis for optimal measurement can be accessed using quantum gas microscopy [70]. To access the fermionic many-body state filling up the entire lower band one can bank on the successful experiments on 1D SOC lattice systems [71–73]. For 2D cases, the fermionic lattice Hamiltonians are yet to be realized but SOC has been observed in trapped gases [74,75].

**Conclusion.**—Through analytical investigation, we show that one can achieve precision beyond the standard limit at the transition point of free-fermionic topological models. This paves the way for development of topological quantum sensors, which are expected to be robust against local perturbations. Our edge-state based schemes allow achieving Heisenberg-limited sensing via a simple position measurement, thus avoiding the necessity of complicated highly entangled optimal measurements that hitherto seemed necessary to build quantum many-body sensors. From a fundamental point of view, our analysis indicates that gap closing, rather than long-range entanglement and spontaneous symmetry breaking, is essential for obtaining quantum enhanced precision. This observation is consistent with recent discovery of quantum enhanced sensitivity at Floquet gap closing [7,29] in periodically driven systems.
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