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ABSTRACT
We present scaling relations between stellar-mass ($M_*$) and the size of galaxies at $0.3 < z < 3$ for half- ($R_{50}$) and 90 percent-light ($R_{90}$) radii, using a deep K-band selected catalogue taken with the Subaru Telescope and MOIRCS in the GOODS-North region. The logarithmic slope $R \propto M_*^{-0.1\pm0.2}$ is independent of redshift in a wide mass range of $M_* \sim 10^8 - 10^{11}$ $M_\odot$, irrespective of galaxy populations (star-forming, quiescent). The offset change is $\lesssim 50$ percent. Provided that optical light in the rest frame traces the stellar mass of galaxies, the universal relation demonstrates that the stellar mass was built up in galaxies over their cosmic histories in a similar manner on average irrelevant to galaxy mass. The small offset in each stellar mass bin from the universal relation shows weak size evolution at a given mass. There is a moderate increase of 30–50 percent for $R_{50}$ and $R_{90}$ for less massive galaxies ($M_* < 10^{10}$ $M_\odot$) from $z \sim 3$ to $z \sim 1$, while the sizes remains unchanged or slightly decrease towards $z \sim 0.3$. For massive galaxies ($M_* \gtrsim 10^{11}$ $M_\odot$), the evolution is $\sim 70 - 80$% increase in $R_{90}$ from $z \sim 3$ to $z \sim 0.3$, though that in $R_{50}$ is weaker. The evolution of compactness factor, $R_{50}/R_{90}$, which becomes smaller at lower redshift, is suggestive of minor merging effect in the outer envelope of massive galaxies.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The scale size of galaxies is one of the fundamental parameters to elucidate the history of galaxy formation and evolution. The change of size and stellar-mass relations over cosmic time would pose strong constraints on models of galaxy evolution. The observational relations between galaxy size and stellar mass have been studied in the local universe, based on the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (Shen et al. 2003; Bernardi et al. 2011). Using rest-frame optical bands, which presumably trace the distribution of stellar mass in galaxies, many studies have investigated galaxy sizes at higher redshift as a function of stellar mass for massive galaxies ($M_* \gtrsim 10^{10}$ $M_\odot$). For example, the relations for galaxies at $0.2 < z < 1$ were studied for late-type galaxies (Barden et al. 2005) and for early-type galaxies (McIntosh et al. 2005; Trujillo, Ferreras, & de la Rosa 2011). Damjanov et al. (2009) and Mancini et al. (2010) gave size-mass relations of massive galaxies ($M_* > 10^{10.5}$ $M_\odot$) at $z \sim 1.5$. Williams et al. (2010) studied the relation with large samples of galaxies at $z \lesssim 2$. For higher redshifts to $z \sim 3$, size-mass relations have been obtained for galaxies with $M_* \gtrsim 10^{10}$ $M_\odot$ (e.g., Franx et al. 2008; Nagy et al. 2011; Cassata et al. 2011).

Many studies have corroborated that massive galaxies at high redshifts were much smaller than local galaxies with comparable mass (e.g., Daddi et al. 2005; Trujillo et al. 2006, 2007; Toft et al. 2007; Zirm et al. 2007; Cimatti et al. 2008; Buitrago et al. 2008; van Dokkum et al. 2008, 2009, 2010; Akiyama et al. 2008; Damjanov et al. 2009; Carrasco et al. 2010; Cassata et al. 2010; Szomoru et al. 2010; van der Wel 2011; Cassata et al. 2011). At a fixed stellar mass, spheroidal galaxies were significantly more compact at high redshift and evolved with rapid increase of the effective radius by a factor $\sim 4$ or even larger from $z \sim 2$ (e.g., Buitrago et al. 2008; Carrasco et al. 2010) and by a factor $\sim 2$ from $z \sim 1$ (e.g., van der Wel et al. 2008; Trujillo et al. 2011). The finding of compact massive galaxies with a high stellar velocity dispersion also supports their existence (van Dokkum 2009; van de Sande 2011). It contrasts with the absence of such compact massive galaxies in the local universe, though several candidates have been found at $z \sim 0.5$ (Stockton et al. 2010) and in the local universe (Trujillo et al. 2009; Valentini et al. 2010). The findings demonstrate that massive galaxies have increased their size dramatically since $z \sim 3$ in a different manner from the evolution of less massive galaxies.

However, other studies have reached contradictory conclusions. There is significant disagreement between the results of different studies. Barden et al. (2005) found weak or no evolution in the relation between stellar mass and effective disc size for galaxies with $M_* > 10^{10}$ $M_\odot$ since $z \sim 1$. For early-type galaxies at...
z ∼ 1, McIntosh et al. (2005) showed that luminosity-size and stellar mass size relations evolve in a manner that is consistent with a completely passive evolution of the red early-type galaxy population. It is also shown that not all high-redshift early-type galaxies were compact and underwent dramatic size evolution (e.g., Toft et al. 2007; Zirm et al. 2007; Saracco et al. 2009, 2011; Mancini et al. 2010; Stott et al. 2011). From the study of surface brightness in rest-frame V and z bands at z ≲ 3, Ichikawa et al. (2010) gave another evidence for no conspicuous evolution in galaxy sizes.

As many previous studies show, the size evolution of galaxies are still controversial. Any systematic errors in the observation or analyses could bias results towards such a significant evolution (e.g., Mancini et al. 2010; Hopkins et al. 2009b; Bouwens et al. 2004). The origin of the discrepancy could be ascribed to redshift effects that more distant galaxies look more compact due to the difficulty of measuring envelopes at low surface density. The light from the outer portion of high-redshift galaxies is apt to be hidden in noise for low S/N observations. As the consequence, effective radii and total luminosity (or stellar mass) would be underestimated. On the other hand, very deep observations (e.g., Szomoru et al. 2010; Cassata et al. 2010; Law et al. 2012) or stacking methods to enhance the faint envelope of galaxies (e.g., Zirm et al. 2007; van Dokkum et al. 2008, 2010; van der Wel et al. 2008) have claimed that it is not the case.

How significantly have the sizes of less-massive normal galaxies evolved from the early universe to the current epoch? In this context, we look into the evolution of stellar-mass and size scaling relations on the basis of half- and 90 percent-light encircles, focusing on less massive galaxies (M∗ < 10^{11} M_{⊙}) at 0.3 < z < 3, using a deep K-selected galaxy catalogue. We infer that the outer radius is more influenced by merging effect than star formation or central activity. In §2, we describe the catalogue we used, which is among the deepest in the K band to date. The depth is crucial for studying galaxies of low-surface brightness or galaxies which are dimmed due to the cosmological expansion at high redshift to measure the radius at faint outskirts of galaxies. The data analysis and the result for the size and stellar-mass relation are detailed in §3 and §4. The results are discussed in §5. Ichikawa et al. (2010) studied the evolution of surface brightness of galaxies at z ≲ 3 in rest-frame V and z bands with the same data as the present study. We will discuss the consistency of the present result with their study.

Throughout this paper, we assume Ω_m = 0.3, Ω_{Λ} = 0.7, and H_0 = 70 km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}. We use the AB magnitude system (Oke & Gunn 1983; Fukugita et al. 1996).

2 DATA

We use the K-band selected catalogue of the MOIRCS Deep Survey (MODS) in the GOODS–North region (Kajisawa et al. 2009, hereafter K09; Kajisawa et al. 2011, K11), which are based on our imaging observations in JHK_s bands with MOIRCS (Suzuki et al. 2008) and archived data. Four MOIRCS pointings cover 70 percent of the GOODS–North region (103 arcmin^2, hereafter referred as ‘wide’ field). One of the four pointings, which includes HDF-N (Williams et al. 1996), is the ultra-deep field of MODS (28 arcmin^2, ‘deep’ field). As the accuracy of background subtraction was highly demanded for the study of faint end of galaxies, the background was scrutinized and carefully subtracted (see K11 for the details). The surface brightness limit was extensively examined in K11. The typical 1σ surface brightness fluctuations in one arcsec diameter were found to be ∼27 mag arcsec^{-2} and ∼27.5 mag arcsec^{-2} in K band for the wide and deep fields respectively. These are 1 ∼ 2 mag deeper than those of previous studies in K band. The depth is crucial for the study of low surface brightness features at the outskirts of galaxies because of the strong dependence of cosmological dimming of surface brightness on redshift.

For the total magnitude m_K in K band, we use MAG_AUTO obtained by SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). The 85 ∼ 90 percent completeness of the catalogue is m_K ∼ 25 in the wide field and ∼26 mag in the deep field. We exclude fainter galaxies from the present analysis. The FWHMs of the final stacked images are 0.46 arcsec for the deep image and 0.53–0.60 arcsec for the wide image. The numbers of galaxies are 3555 and 6063, respectively.

To obtain the stellar mass of MODS samples, K09 performed SED fitting of the multiband photometry (UBVizJHK, 3.6 μm, 4.5 μm, and 5.8 μm) with population synthesis models. We adopt the results with GALAXEV templates (Bruzual & Charlot 2003) and the Salpeter initial mass function (see K09 for more details). The stellar masses (M_*) are obtained from the best-fit stellar mass-to-luminosity ratio in K band and scaled with the K-band flux. A detailed comparison between different mass estimators is given in K09. In the present analysis, the near-infrared data (3.6μm) of Spitzer/IRAC are available for most of the sample galaxies (96 percent), so that SED fitting is reasonably reliable for the photometry at rest-V (λ_{eff} = 0.55μm) out to redshift z ∼ 3. We assume that the size of the stellar system in galaxies is represented by the size measured on K-band images, which are the rest-frame optical to near-infrared wavelengths at z ≲ 3. The difference of the sizes between optical and infrared light is discussed in §4.

In the present catalogue, 209 galaxies are identified as X-ray sources. Among them, 61 sources are massive galaxies emitting hard X-rays with M_∗ > 10^{10.5} M_{⊙} at 2 < z < 4 (Yamada et al. 2009). One would expect galaxies with AGN emission to have smaller half-light radius. Considering possible effects on the size and mass estimates, we discard X-ray sources in what follows.

3 ANALYSES

3.1 Galaxy sizes

As the definition of galaxy size, most previous studies have used the scale length (r_e), obtained by fitting the Sérsic profile (Sérsic 1968) to galaxy images. The accuracy of the scale length strongly depends on image quality and depth of observations. For bright galaxies, reliable galaxy sizes can be measured from ground-based data (Trujillo et al. 2006; Franx et al. 2008; Williams et al. 2010). On the other hand, it is crucial to take an accurate measure of the profile for small objects near the resolution limit. In fact, Konishi et al. (2011) applied two dimensional fitting for the present sample to obtain Sérsic indexes with a single component for a morphological study of MODS galaxies. However, they found that reliable fitting was successful only for comparatively massive galaxies (M_∗ > 10^{10} M_{⊙}) at z ∼ 1 and very bright galaxies (K < 22.5) at higher redshift. The resolution of FWHM = 0.5 ∼ 0.6 arcsec like the present sample would not allow reliable fitting with Sérsic profile to fainter galaxies. Sérsic parameters are sometimes degenerated between n and r_e, which depends on the surface-brightness limit of the images (e.g., Mancini et al. 2010; Stott et al. 2011), so that the reliable fitting would be difficult for faint galaxies. Moreover high-redshift galaxies exhibit a wide range of disturbed morphologies (e.g., Kajisawa & Yamada 2001). Therefore, the fitting for less massive galaxies at high redshift could also be strongly influenced.
by their more amorphous features in the shape. In addition, we must take into account that we are observing a mix of galaxy morphologies.

As such, we define the half-light radius ($r_{50}$) as the radius of a circular aperture, which encircles half the $K$-band light emitted from galaxies. As we here focus not just on massive (or bright) galaxies, but also on less massive galaxies up to $z \sim 3$, we prefer $r_{50}$ to $r_e$ for the study of the structural parameters. If a galaxy profile extends to infinity, $r_e$ encloses half the flux in the Sérsic profile. However, as galaxies are supposed to have an edge at several times the scale length, $r_e$ does not always mean half-light radius. Therefore, in general, $r_{50}$ is smaller than $r_e$. In the same way, we define 90 percent-light radius $r_{90}$. The 90-percent radius will give us more information at outer region of galaxies, where the size would be more influenced by merging effect. $r_{50}$ and $r_{90}$ are obtained by SExtractor with PHOTFLUXFRAC=0.5 and 0.9.

Figure 1 shows $r_{50}$ versus $m_K$ for all objects in MODS. MODS catalogue lists many spectroscopically confirmed stars, which are also plotted in Fig. 1. As few spectroscopic data were available for stars fainter than $m_K \sim 23.5$ in the present region, we examined the reliability of $r_{50}$ using artificial stars. The artefacts were convolved with a Moffat point spread function ($\beta = 3$ and FWHM=0.5 arcsec in the deep field and 0.6 arcsec in the wide field), and then buried in images as noisy as those of the deep and wide fields. SExtractor was applied to the artefacts in the same manner as for the MODS catalogue. The results are plotted in Fig. 1. The location of stars gives a clear boundary of unresolved galaxies. We define galaxies smaller than $r_{50} = 0.41$ in the wide field $r_{50} = 0.30$ in the deep field as unresolved galaxies.

### 3.2 Monte Carlo study for the sizes with mock galaxies

We first study the reliability of the galaxy size. Seeing and background noise strongly affect the observed $r_{50}$ and $r_{90}$, especially for faint or small galaxies. To examine the effect on the size estimate, we generated mock galaxies with a 1/4-law or exponential profile extending to 3 times scale length. The images are then convolved with a Moffat point spread function ($\beta = 3$ and FWHM=0.5 arcsec in the deep field and 0.6 arcsec in the wide field), and buried in the simulated noise image. The galaxies were randomly generated with various magnitudes and effective radii or scale lengths, and analyzed with SExtractor in the same manner as for the MODS galaxies. The results are shown in Fig. 2. Seeing and noise seriously change the observed radii specifically for galaxies with a 1/4-law profile. The observed $r_{50}$ is significantly smaller than the intrinsic values in general. The effect is stronger for fainter 1/4-law galaxies, whereas the effect is much smaller for exponential galaxies. The apparent smaller size than the intrinsic size would be due to the fact that the faint and large envelope of 1/4-law galaxies is hidden in background noise. We should be aware of this effect if the observation is not deep enough for early-type galaxies (see also Hopkins et al. 2009b; Mancini et al. 2010). The effect will be discussed in more detail in the following section. It is noted that Williams et al. (2010) claimed no systematic effects on $r_e$ for $m_K \lesssim 24$, using the shallower MODS images.

The radii, $r_{50}$ and $r_{90}$, for small galaxies are affected by smearing due to seeing effect and the effect depends on galaxy morphology and size. Therefore, we obtained Eq. 1 to correct the size effect by fitting the deviation of the size as shown in Fig. 2 (dash line). However, it is hard to examine the shapes of the present small or high-$z$ galaxies, so that we do not correct the effect which depends on morphology.

$$r_{\text{intrinsic}} = \sqrt{r_{\text{observed}}^2 - (\Delta r)^2}. \quad (1)$$

We adopt $\Delta r = 0.25$ and 0.35 arcsec for $r_{50}$ and $r_{90}$, respectively.

The size errors for mock galaxies are depicted in Fig. 3. The size errors for mock galaxies are depicted in Fig. 3 as a function of observed magnitude. We should take into account that much smaller values are obtained for the size of 1/4-law galaxies. Figure 3 is the difference between intrinsic and observed magnitudes. At the faint limit, observed magnitudes are fainter by 1 $\sim$ 1.5 mag for 1/4-law galaxies, while the effect is much smaller for exponential galaxies. These results suggest that shallower observations tend to more underestimate size and flux (therefore, stellar mass). We discuss later the influence on the results due to the systematic errors.

### 3.3 Size and stellar mass relations

Using photometric (or spectroscopic, if available) redshift data, $r_{50}$ and $r_{90}$ in arcsec are converted to the physical size in kpc ($R_{50}$ and $R_{90}$). The photo-z accuracy of the present sample is reasonably good, $\delta z/(1+z) = -0.011 \times (\sigma = 0.078)$ (K11), so that we expect no serious influence on our result due to the photo-z error. We will confirm this later using galaxies with spectroscopic redshifts. The results for $R_{50}$ and $R_{90}$ are shown in Figs. 5 and 6 as a function of the stellar mass of galaxies for different redshift bins. The unresolved galaxies are displayed in another figure (Fig. 7) for reference. The results of effective radius ($r_e$) for local galaxies by Shen et al. (2003) are also shown in Fig. 5 for comparison. Size-mass relations for star-forming galaxies are consistent with that of late-type galaxies by Shen et al. (2003), although our definition of half-light radius is different from that of Shen et al. (2003). It should be noted that it would be difficult to discuss the consistency for massive early-type galaxies because of the small number of such galaxies in our sample.

Since our image quality is not high enough for classifying the galaxies into morphological classes at high redshifts, we divided the samples into quiescent and star-forming galaxy groups using a two-color diagnostic plot of rest-frame $U-V$ and $V-J$ colors (Williams et al. 2009). The adopted selection criteria for quiescent galaxies are as follows:

$$(U - V) > 0.88(V - J) + c, \quad (2)$$

where $U-V$ and $V-J$ in the rest frame were obtained with the SED model fit to galaxies. The offset, $c$, is 0.69, 0.59, and 0.49 for $0.0 < z < 0.5$, $0.5 < z < 1.0$, and $1.0 < z < 2.5$, respectively. For $z > 2.5$ galaxies, we applied the offset for $1 < z < 2.5$. Therefore the selection would be less reliable (see Williams et al. 2009), though the number of such galaxies is very small. Additional criteria of $U-V > 1.3$ and $V-J < 1.6$ are required for quiescent galaxies at all redshifts to prevent contamination from unobscured and dusty star-forming galaxies, respectively.

### 3.4 Stellar-mass surface density

The small differences in the slope and offset of the regression lines in different redshift bins in Figs. 5 and 6 would imply a universal relation between the stellar mass and size of galaxies, irrelevant to redshift. In this context, we plot the stellar-mass surface density (SXML), which is defined as $\mu_s = \log(M_s/\pi R^2)$, in a single redshift bin as a function of stellar mass for all galaxies at $0.25 < z < 3$. The figures are essentially equivalent to the size-mass distribution. However, we can easily identify
very compact or low-surface brightness galaxies in the figures, if they exist. For example, galaxies as compact (or diffuse) as 3 times smaller (larger) in size than the average should be located at $\sim 1.0$ dex above (below) the average. Such low surface brightness galaxies can be recognized at $M_* < 10^{10}$ $M_\odot$ in Fig. 10.

In contrast, massive and compact galaxies are rare in the present sample. It is noted that most of massive galaxies with $M_* > 5 \times 10^{10}$ $M_\odot$ should be resolved in all redshift bins of the deep field. We conjecture that very compact galaxies coalesce in the unresolved galaxies in Fig. 7.

4 RESULTS

4.1 Linear regression of size-stellar mass relation

Since the strong correlations of $R_{50}$ and $R_{90}$ with $M_*$ in Figs. 5 and 6 are suggested, we obtain the least square fit between the size and mass of the galaxies with a linear regression,

$$\log R = a_r (\log M_* - M) + \log R_{M}^r,$$

where $r$ is 50 for half light radius or 90 for 90% light radius and $R_{M}^r$ is the radius at $M_* = 10^{10}$ $M_\odot$. $M$ is 10 for all and star-forming galaxies, while $M = 11$ for quiescent galaxies because the quiescent galaxies with $M_* \lesssim 10^{10}$ $M_\odot$ were not observed at $z > 1.5$ and their $a_r$ is statistically less robust due to the small numbers of the sample in narrow mass ranges at high redshifts. We note that quiescent galaxies are located on average below the regression lines in all redshift bins, though the offset is smaller for $R_{90}$ than for $R_{50}$. The fact suggests that quiescent galaxies are more compact than star-forming galaxies at a give mass. The best-fit slopes ($a_{50}$ and $a_{90}$) and offsets ($R_{50}^M$ and $R_{90}^M$) of the regression analysis with mean errors for all, quiescent galaxies (QSG), and star-galaxies (SFG) are summarized in Table 1. The dispersion, $\sigma$, of the linear fit is listed in the sixth and last columns. As the exclusion of unresolved sources could biases the results towards larger radii, we obtained in Table 2 the least-squares fit with the unresolved galaxies in Fig. 7.

We depict the evolution of the slope and offset as a function of redshift in Fig. 8. While the slope for the quiescent galaxies are a little steeper than those for star-forming galaxies, the figure indicates that the slopes remain within $\sim 0.1 - 0.2$ and offsets do
Figure 2. (a) Half-light ($r_{50}$) and (b) 90 percent-light ($r_{90}$) radii of mock galaxies embedded in the noise images. The abscissa is the intrinsic values and the ordinate is those observed with SExtractor. Triangles (blue) and circles (red) indicate the model galaxies with exponential and $1/4$-law profiles, respectively. Open symbols are the sources ($m_K \leq 25$) in the noise image of the wide field, while filled ones are those ($m_K \leq 26$) in the deep field. The sample galaxies larger than $r_{50} = 0.41$ arcsec for the wide field and $r_{50} = 0.3$ arcsec for the deep field are plotted above horizontal dot lines in (a). The dashed curves show the effect of point spread function $\Delta r = 0.25$ and 0.35 arcsec for $r_{50}$ and $r_{90}$, respectively.

Figure 3. Ratio of observed size to intrinsic for (a) $r_{50}$ and (b) $r_{90}$ as a function of the observed magnitude for mock galaxies. The symbols are the same as in Fig. 2.

not significantly change from $z \sim 3$ to $z \sim 0.3$, irrespective of the sample selection except the quiescent population at $z > 2$ where the statistical error is very large.

For SMSD in Figs. 9 and 10, we define the regression as

$$\mu_* = a_{\mu} \log(M_* - 10) + \mu^{10},$$

(4)

where $\mu^{10}$ is SMSD at $M_* = 10^{10} M_\odot$. The results are shown in Table 3.

4.2 Error estimate

The errors in stellar mass mainly originated from SED fitting and photometric errors of the observations. In the course of $\chi^2$ fitting to obtain the best SED model with various parameters (e.g., star-formation time scale, photometric redshift for galaxies with no spectroscopic redshift available, age, extinction, and metallicity), the probability distributions of stellar mass can be calculated, where the photometry and photometric-redshift errors are included. The error for the observed SMSD is dominated by the error for the
stellar mass. See more details for the error estimate in K09 and Ichikawa et al. (2010).

The size and magnitude errors in accordance with galaxy magnitude have been examined using mock galaxies (Figs. 3 and 4). If all galaxies have a shape of 1/4-law, the error would be significant. Recalling the size error due to the morphology strongly depends on magnitude, we examine the effect by confining the sample to bright galaxies, where the systematic error becomes smaller. We selected the samples with the magnitude limits, $m_K = 24$ (23), 25(24), 26(25) for the deep (wide) fields, then obtained the regression lines again. The results are compared in Table 3. It should be noted that the section does not change the result.

One would be concerned about the reliance on photometric redshift estimates for high redshift galaxies (e.g., Mosleh et al. 2011). In order to examine the error, we selected only the galaxies with spectroscopic redshift available and compared the result with the photo-z sample. Although the spectroscopic samples are limited to lower redshift, the result does not change our conclusion (Table 3).

Due to possible color gradients in galaxies, it would be best to use images of the same rest-frame band with comparable $S/N$ for measuring the size of galaxies at all redshifts. Nevertheless, we used the radius of galaxies measured on $K$-band image, because it is deepest among the images we used and because it is the rest-frame optical or longer band at $z \lesssim 3$. If the central region of galaxies are younger (bluer) than the outer region, the mass-weighted radius could be larger than the luminosity-weighted radius. If it is older (redder), the result would be vice versa. Star forming galaxies sometimes show strong morphological variation between observed wavelengths. Bond, Gawiser & Koekemoer (2011) reported that this was not generically accompanied by a large difference in half-light radius. Barden et al. (2005) measured the disk scale lengths of local galaxies in various bands. The average size is about 10 percent larger in $V$ band than in $K$ band. On the other hand, MacArthur & Courteau (2003) showed the contrary result that the distribution of disc scale lengths was a decreasing trend with increasing wavelengths (see also Cassata et al. 2010 for early-type galaxies at $z \sim 2$).

To investigate the effect of the color gradient, we compare the 90 present-light radius of galaxies measured on the nearest rest-frame $V$ band for each redshift bin. The sizes of the present samples were obtained on ACS $I$, $z$, and MOIRCS $J$, $H$ bands and compared with that of $K$ band in Fig. 11. We used ACS images binned to 0.117 arcsec per pixel, keeping the original image resolution. Fig. 11 demonstrates that the sizes of ACS in $I$ and $z$ bands are systematically $\sim 25$ percent smaller than that in $K$ band.

The convolution of the ACS $I$-band image with PSF and seeing enhances low surface brightness details. If the ACS images are convolved with a gaussian (FWHM=2 pixel, 0.234 arcsec), the difference is decreased to $\sim 15$ percent. As the convolution enhances the galaxy edge of low surface brightness, it tends to give a larger galaxy size (see also fig. 7 of Mancini et al. 2010). It should be noted that the $J$ and $H$ images gives larger image size for smaller galaxies. The size strongly depends on the depth and the seeing size.

### 4.3 Size evolution

Although we have found the universal relation between $M_*$ and SMSD (or size), which does not depend strongly on redshift, we
Figure 5. Physical half-light radius \( (R_{50}) \), corrected for PSF effects (Eq.1), as a function of the stellar mass \( (M_*) \) of the sample galaxies. The galaxies are classified into quiescent (red) and star-forming galaxies (blue) (see text) and the fields (wide and deep) as noted in the bottom left frame. Solid line is the linear regression obtained for all samples in each redshift bin. Red dash-dot and blue dot lines are the regressions for quiescent and star-forming galaxies, respectively. Black dashed and dash-dot lines in the \( 0.25 < z < 0.5 \) bin are the results of effective radius \( (r_e) \) for local late- and early-type galaxies (Shen et al. 2003), respectively. The horizontal dot lines are the size limits of the present observation for the wide (upper) and deep (lower) fields at the lower redshift boundary for each redshift bin.

have good reason to expect offsets from the relation for some galaxy populations (e.g., massive compact galaxies) in certain mass and redshift ranges. The regression analysis could be more weighted on the numerous less massive populations. Therefore, it is likely that there are small (but not highly significant) offsets between galaxy populations. The small offsets would account for the size evolution of such populations. Using the \( M_* - R \) relation obtained for the galaxies at \( 0.25 < z < 0.5 \) (Tables 1 and 2) as a reference, we examined the deviation of the median size of galaxies in the mass and redshift bins from the reference. The evolution of the massive \( (M_* > 10^{10.5} \text{M}_\odot) \) quiescent galaxies, defined in a \( U - V \) and \( V - J \) diagram (Williams et al. 2010), is also obtained. (We use median values to avoid unreasonable contributions from outliers with large deviation.) We show the results in Fig. 12 where unresolved galaxies are included. In addition, the median values of \( R_{50}/R_{90} \), which represent a sort of compactness of galaxies, are depicted in the figure to see the evolution of the compactness as a function of redshift. We note that the result with resolved galaxies are in good agreement with that with unresolved galaxies.

5 DISCUSSION

Using the deepest \( K \)-band image, we obtained scaling relations between stellar-mass \( (M_*) \) and size (or equivalently SMSD) as a function of redshift for galaxies at \( 0.3 < z < 3 \) in a wide mass range of \( M_* \sim 10^8 - 10^{11} \text{M}_\odot \). We defined the radii encircling half- \( (R_{50}) \) and 90 percent-light \( (R_{90}) \) in a circular aper-
Figure 6. Same as Fig. 5 but for 90 percent-light radius ($R_{90}$).

The weak growth of the galaxy size, irrelevant to galaxy mass, is in disagreement with the scenario that more massive galaxies rapidly changed their sizes. If massive high-$z$ galaxies are several times smaller than local galaxies with comparable mass, they should be located well above the stellar mass vs. SMSD relations. Several candidates of such compact galaxies with $M_\ast > 10^{11} M_\odot$ possibly coalesce as unresolved galaxies in Figs. 9 and 10. However, such galaxies are found to be few. Our finding is in contrast with the previous results for quiescent early-type massive galaxies (e.g., Zirm et al. 2007; Williams et al. 2010). With regard to SMSD, the average SMSDs in $R_{50}$ for our sample galaxies with $M_\ast > 10^{10} M_\odot$ are found not to evolve with redshift ($\log \mu_{R_{50}} = 8.53 - 8.70$). The average SMSD for all galaxies at $0.25 < z < 3.0$ is $8.60 \pm 0.01$, which is very consistent with the result, $8.53 \pm 0.03$, for disk galaxies with $M_\ast > 10^{10}$ obtained by Barden et al (2005), though our sample could be a mixture of disk and elliptical galaxies.
A universal stellar-mass and size relation

Figure 7. Same as Fig. 5, but for unresolved galaxies. The same regression lines for all sample in each redshift bin as in Fig. 5 are shown for reference. The dotted lines are the size limits for the wide (upper) and deep (lower) fields at the upper redshift boundary for each redshift bin.

ies. However, due to small volume of the present study, the results could be subject to statistical uncertainties.

The weak size evolution presented in the present study is reconciled with the surface brightness (SB) evolution. Ichikawa et al. (2010) presented a universal linear correlation between the stellar mass and SB in rest-frame $V$ and $z$ bands for galaxies at $0.3 < z < 3$, using the same sample as the present study. The correlation has a nearly constant slope, independent of redshift and color of galaxies in the rest-$z$ frame. In contrast, SB shows a strong dependence on redshift for a given stellar mass. It evolves as $(1 + z)^{-0.5}$. The increase in the luminosity with redshift is estimated by using the expected luminosity evolution from a single burst at $z = 4$ for massive galaxies ($M_* > 10^{10}$) and constant star formation for blue samples ($U - V < 0$) for less massive galaxies. The redshift dependence of SB evolution is well explained by the pure luminosity evolution of galaxies out to $z \sim 3$ without the need of the size evolution, which supports the results for young early-type galaxies (e.g., Saracco et al. 2011) and for disk galaxies at $z \lesssim 1$ (Barden et al. 2005).

Nevertheless, there are some populations having a small offset from the universal scaling relation. We see more or less evolution in the size and compactness for such populations as a function of redshift in Fig. 12. The figure suggests a moderate increase of 30–50 percent for $R_{50}$ and $R_{90}$ for less massive galaxies ($M_* < 10^{10} M_\odot$) from $z \sim 3$ to $z \sim 1$, while the sizes remains unchanged or slightly decrease towards $z \sim 0.3$. For massive galaxies ($M_* \gtrsim 10^{11} M_\odot$), the evolution is $\sim 70$–80 percent in $R_{90}$ from $z \sim 3$ to $z \sim 0.3$, though that in $R_{50}$ is weaker, $R_{90}$ evolved as $\propto (1 + z)^{-0.5}$. It is noted that Trujillo et al. (2006), using the ground-based $K$-band data comparable with our depth, but in a smaller region (6.3 arcmin$^2$), concluded that there was no evidence for significant evolution for the size-mass relation and that there was small increase (29 percent) since $z \sim 2.5$ for the most massive bin $M_* > 4 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$. In our sample, the average $R_{50}$ for the most massive bin of $M_* = 10^{11}$–$10^{12} M_\odot$ is larger
by ~ 20 – 30 percent at z ~ 0.3 than at z ~ 2.5, which is in good agreement with the result of Trujillo et al. (2006), whereas it is much weaker than those of other studies for galaxies of similar mass (e.g., Franx et al. 2008; Buitrago et al. 2008; van der Wel et al. 2009; Williams et al. 2010). Using new deep WFC3 data, Cassata et al. (2011) and Law et al. (2012) have given new evidences for high redshifts. It would be worth noting that the galaxies in the outer envelopes than those at high redshifts.

The ratio, \( R_{90}/R_{50} \), in Fig. 12 would give a clue to understanding the evolution of the compactness of galaxies. While middle and low mass galaxies shows no evolution in the compactness, the galaxies in the most massive bin are more compact at higher redshift. Recalling weaker evolution in \( R_{90} \) than in \( R_{50} \), we infer that the compactness evolution is ascribed mainly to the expansion of the outer rim of massive galaxies. In other words, at a given mass, massive galaxies in the local universe are more influenced by mergers or star formation at the outer envelopes than those at high redshifts. It would be worth noting that the galaxies in the massive bin of the deep field are complete in sampling over the present redshift range and their \( R_{50} \) and \( R_{90} \) are well resolved in the present PSF. However, the present observation samples a comparatively small volume and therefore, the results could be subject to statistical uncertainties for massive galaxies of low number density due to field variances.

The size evolution is often used to advocate the merging processes in the hierarchical paradigm of galaxy formation and evolution. Minor dry merger is a plausible mechanism for weak size evolution (e.g., Guo & White 2008; Bezanson et al. 2009; Naab, Johansson, & Ostriker 2009; Hopkins et al. 2010). In that size evolution is stronger in massive galaxies, our findings are qualitatively in agreement with previous studies based on simulations (e.g., Boylan-Kolchin, Ma, & Quataert 2006; Hopkins et al. 2009a). Naab et al. (2009) showed that minor mergers or the accretion of relatively low-mass satellites may be the main driver for the late evolution of sizes of massive early-type galaxies. Somerville et al. (2008) showed based on a CDM model of disc formation with \( M_\odot > 10^{10} \) that the average size of discs at fixed stellar mass was about 50 percent larger than that at \( z \sim 3 \). The predicted evolution in the mean size at a fixed stellar mass since \( z \sim 1 \) is about 15 ~ 20 percent, which is comparable with our observations.

The newly accreted small galaxies preferentially populate the outer region of massive galaxies. The small size growth of the present result is plausibly accounted for by minor mergers or the accretion of relatively low-mass satellites (e.g., Naab et al. 2009; Hopkins et al. 2009a). Naab et al. (2009) and Fan et al. (2010) showed the fractional variation of the gravitational radius of the main galaxy after \( N \) minor-merger events with \( R \propto M_\odot^{1/n} \) as

\[
\frac{r_f}{r_i} = \left( \frac{1 + \eta}{1 + \eta^{2 - n}} \right)^N,
\]

where \( r_f \) and \( r_i \) are final and initial galaxy sizes, \( \eta \) is the fractional ratio of merging galaxy to the main galaxy. It takes ~1 (~3) minor-
merger events with $\alpha = 0.15$ to increase the radius by $\sim 20\sim 70$ percent for massive galaxies since $z \sim 3$, provided that the merger mass ratio is 1:10. It would not be unreasonable that massive galaxies experienced such a small number of minor merging since $z \sim 3$ (e.g., Bundy et al. 2009; López-Sanjuan et al. 2011).

Deep observations will be important in constraining the exact amount (or lack thereof) and distribution of merging galaxies, and how galaxies built up with redshift. In this context, our findings demonstrate that minor mergers in massive system built up an envelope of lower surface density materials. Deeper imaging observations in a wider filed with high spatial resolution and consistent analyses from the local universe to high redshift will give constraints on the compactness and the amount of low-surface brightness material at the outer envelope as a function of redshift to improve our understanding of galaxy formation.
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