Atypical Noncontiguous Multiple Spinal Tuberculosis: A Case Report
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Objective: Spinal tuberculosis-associated symptoms are not so unique as to immediately indicate the proper diagnosis in most cases. Distinguishing spinal tuberculosis (Pott’s disease) from pyogenic spondylitis is often difficult, and lesions metastatic from systemic malignancy are the other major entity from which spinal tuberculosis must be distinguished.

Clinical Presentation: A 27-year-old male patient presented with a history of back pain after a minor trauma 1 month ago. Computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging of the thoracic spine showed multiple osteolytic bone lesions at the bodies of T9, T10 and T11 vertebrae and the spinous processes of T12 and L1. Other noncontiguous osteolytic lesions were noted at S2 body and right sacro-iliac joint.

Intervention: To confirm the pathologic diagnosis, the patient underwent an open biopsy for the T12 and L1 spinous process lesions and a percutaneous transpedicular biopsy on T9, T10, T11 lesions. Frozen biopsy was reported as compatible with chronic granulomatous caseating necrosis without malignant cells. The final diagnosis was an atypical presentation of multiple spinal tuberculosis. The patient received an appropriate enteral anti-tuberculosis therapy and recovered without any complications. Follow-up MRI taken after a year of medical treatment revealed marked resolution of the lesions.

Conclusion: Current research indicates the incidence of multi-level noncontiguous, remote vertebral tuberculosis is 1.1% to 16%. Because tuberculous spondylitis could represent variant and atypical pattern, the disease should be considered in differential diagnosis along with other diseases such as metastatic neoplasm, pyogenic spondylitis, especially when the radiologic studies are revealing multiple spinal lesions.
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INTRODUCTION

Features of spinal tuberculosis (Pott’s disease), an extrapulmonary form of tuberculosis, include destruction of the intervertebral space and collapse of vertebral bodies, leading to progressive kyphosis. The thoracic spine is the most common site of involvement. Spinal tuberculosis used to be an uncommon disease in developed countries, and its associated symptoms are not so unique as to immediately indicate the proper diagnosis in most cases. Distinguishing spinal tuberculosis from pyogenic osteomyelitis, however, is often very difficult and, lesions metastatic from systemic malignancy are the other major entity from which spinal tuberculosis must be distinguished.

Usually, two or more contiguous vertebrae are involved in spinal tuberculosis due to hematogenous spread through one vertebral artery feeding two adjacent vertebrae. Noncontiguous, multiple, remote involvement of tuberculous spondylitis is relatively rare, and most of the reported cases have lesions only in two levels. Current research indicates the incidence of multiple-level noncontiguous vertebral tuberculosis is 1.1% to 16%. We present a case of atypical spinal tuberculosis in a 27-year-old man with noncontiguous multiple spinal lesions.

CASE REPORT

A 27-year-old male patient presented with a 1-month history of pain in his back after a minor trauma 1 month ago. He had no history of systemic disease. There was no visible or palpable deformity but tenderness could be elicited over the spinous processes of the lower thoracic vertebrae. Neurologic examination represented no specific findings. Plain radio-
Fig. 1. Initial axial computed tomography at the level of T11 (A) and 3D reconstruction (B) images of the thoracic vertebrae reveal multiple destructive lesions of the T9, T10 and T11 vertebral bodies and spinous process of T12.

Fig. 2. Initial thoracolumbar spine MRI. Sagittal T2-weighted image (A) shows heterogeneous, mostly hyperintense lesions involving the T9, T10, T11 and S2 vertebral bodies and the spinous processes of T12 and L1. Gadolinium-enhanced axial T1-weighted images demonstrate heterogeneous enhancement of the T11 vertebral body (B) and T12 spinous process (C) as well as the S2 body and the right sacro-iliac joint (D). The disc spaces are relatively preserved.

graphs demonstrated multiple destructive changes in the thoracic spines. Computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the thoracic spine showed multiple osteolytic bone lesions. At the bodies of T9, T10 and T11 vertebrae, osteolytic bone lesions were noted along with the similar lesions at the spinous processes of T12 and L1. Other noncontiguous osteolytic lesions were also noted at S2 body and right sacro-iliac joint on the further MRI study. On enhanced studies, these lesions were well enhanced with heterogeneous pattern (Fig. 1, 2). Chest X-ray and enhanced chest CT studies did not show any parenchymal lung lesion or lympho-adenopathy, and three times of sputum acid fast bacilli stain showed all negative results. Complete blood count, routine biochemistries, urinalysis, liver function tests, thyroid function tests, lipid profiles, and creatine kinase level were all normal. The erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein was normal. Anti-human immune deficiency virus (HIV) antibodies, hepatitis B surface (HBS) antigen, and hepatitis C virus (HCV) antigen were all negative. Venereal disease research laboratory (VDRL), syphilitic serology tests, and serum tumor markers were all within normal ranges. Whole body bone scan showed multiple hot uptakes in the lower thoracic spines, sacrum and sacro-iliac joint on the right (Fig. 3).

To confirm the pathologic tissue diagnosis, the patient underwent an open biopsy for the T12 and L1 spinous process lesions through a midline small skin incision and a percutaneous transpedicular biopsy on T9, T10, T11 lesions under general anesthesia. We found a cheese-like material in the T12 spinous process. Frozen biopsy was reported as compatible with chronic granulomatous caseating necrosis without malignant cells. The specimens were further checked by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test for tuberculosis and reported as positive. The final diagnosis was an atypical presentation of multiple spinal tuberculosis. The patient received an appropriate enteral anti-tuberculous therapy and recovered without
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Fig. 4. Follow-up MRI of the thoracolumbar spine taken a year after the anti-tuberculous therapy. Sagittal T2-weighted image (A) and Gadolinium-enhanced axial T1-weighted images at the levels of T11, T12 and S2 (B, C, D) demonstrate marked resolution of the lesions.

any complications. Follow-up MRI taken after a year of anti-tuberculous therapy revealed marked resolution of the lesions (Fig. 4).

DISCUSSION

Tuberculosis, a disease that once was on the decline and almost eradicated in the first world, has made a dramatic comeback. According to the World Health Organization, tuberculosis is the number one specific infectious cause of death worldwide. It is estimated that one-third of the world’s population is infected with tuberculosis, an estimated 2 billion people. South Africa has the world’s highest reported incidence of tuberculosis at 718/100,000 reaching 1.2% of the population in certain areas.

Extrapulmonary tuberculosis consists of 15-20% of the patients if you include pleural and lymphatic disease, which is most common. Skeletal tuberculosis is 10% of this, of which spinal tuberculosis accounts for approximately 50%. This gives an incidence of between 1 and 2% for osteoarticular tuberculosis and 0.5-1% for spinal tuberculosis. Tuberculosis has a worthy reputation as one of the great mimickers in medicine with a multitude of clinical pictures and variations. This makes the characteristic features of the classic disease problematic, with most texts and articles describing a typical clinical and radiological picture followed by many atypical derivatives. Although tuberculous spondylitis frequently involves multiple adjacent spinal vertebrae, noncontiguous, remote involvement is reported as rare in literature.

A feature most typically distinguishing spinal tuberculosis from pyogenic osteomyelitis is the relative sparing of the intervertebral discs. Mycobacteria lack the proteolytic enzymes found in bacteria that commonly cause pyogenic osteomyelitis, and this may be responsible for the relative sparing of the disc. The avascularity of the discs may prevent them from serving as an initial site of infection, and it has been suggested that disc destruction begins only when the two adjacent vertebral bodies are involved and the disc loses its nutritional support. Pott’s disease can be also distinguished by its characteristic paravertebral abscesses. The extent of these collections may greatly exceed the area of osseous involvement. These abscesses may be located anterior, posterior, lateral, or circumferentially around the vertebral body. The wall of the abscess is characteristically thick and irregularly enhancing on both CT and MR images, and this feature is thought to be diagnostic of tuberculous spondylitis.

Multiple metastatic spinal lesions from systemic malignancy are the major entity from which multiple spinal tuberculosis must be distinguished. Metastases characteristically spare the disc space, as does spinal tuberculosis. When the spinal tuberculosis involves multiple noncontiguous vertebrae, its imaging appearance can be easily mistaken for metastatic malignancy. Factors that should indicate and distinguish spinal tuberculosis from neoplastic disease include the presence of paravertebral abscesses and subligamentous spread. In our case, tuberculosis involved mainly the vertebral bodies not the pedicles, that impressed spinal tuberculosis rather than other malignancy.

In the presenting case of the authors, the pattern of vertebral infiltration was very atypical and variable along the spinal levels. The osteolytic pattern of T9, T10, T11 vertebral bodies could be considered as relatively typical form of spinal tuberculosis. There was, however, no evidence of bony involvement or paravertebral abscess formation in the anterior or middle columns of T12 and L1 vertebrae, and spinous processes were only involved. Especially at the level of T12, spinous process was destroyed near totally but pedicles and vertebral body was intact in the imaging studies. Another atypical pattern of our case was its discontinuity. Thoracic and lumbar vertebrae, sacrum, and iliac bone were involved simultaneously with discontinuity. Noncontiguous spinal tuberculosis is far more common (16.3%) than that reported in literature. There was a trend towards a higher incidence of neurology in the noncontiguous group compared to the single focus.
This trend may not necessarily reflect more severe disease, but instead may be a product of heightened probability due to the increased levels involved and/or the double-crush neural phenomenon. Noncontiguous spinal tuberculosis is not an obvious manifestation of HIV, multi-drug resistant (MDR) tuberculosis or chronicity as in our patient. It is not a “fulminant” type of tuberculosis as suggested by Emel, et al 3).

Some articles reported the role of bone scan in the spinal tuberculosis. Evangelista, et al reported that bone scan can anticipate radiograph by 2 to 5 months and help guidance of the site of biopsy.11 Although skeletal locations mostly involve the dorsolumbar spine, nuclear imaging allows assessment of the whole body. In our case, MRI and whole body bone scan revealed remote noncontiguous lesions, though patient did not complained of any symptoms on sacrum or sacro-iliac area.

Atypical osseous tuberculosis lesions of the spine share several features in common as reported by Naim-Ur-Rahman, et al 11,12). First, atypical vertebrae lesions readily involve the neural arch, sparing the vertebral body and discs (referred to as “posterior Pott”). Second, skip lesions are often present, as defined by the presence of two or more vertebral lesions separated by an uninvolved vertebra. Finally, lesions may manifest as solid tumor-like masses with no evidence of abscess formation, discovered at the time of surgery and indistinguishable from a solid spinal extradural tumor. In the present case, imaging study revealed such a similar pattern of osseous lesions, and involvement of the neural arch of T12 and L1 was first observed on the MRI. Skip lesions were also observed in our patient. Asymptomatic lesions of sacrum and the right sacro-iliac joint were identified as a scintigraphic pattern of multiple, disseminated foci of uptake together with normal uptake of other vertebrae. Finally, scintigraphic heterogeneity of the right sacroiliac lesion could be interpreted as a tumor-like lesion. When symptomatic, tuberculous sacro-iliitis can present with nonspecific complaints of buttock pain, which may also evoke several pathologies, such as psosas abscess, appendicitis, sciatica or pyelonephritis, and some primary bone tumors like chordoma or chondrosarcoma 12).

As our case with neurologically intact condition or with minimal systemic symptoms, most patients demonstrate good outcomes with standard care 8).

CONCLUSION

Tuberculosis is not a rare disease even in the developed countries these days. Metastatic neoplasm, tuberculous spondylitis, and pyogenic spondylitis must be considered in the differential diagnosis of multiple spinal lesions. Because spinal tuberculosis could represent a variant and atypical pattern, it should be kept in mind that appropriate radiologic examinations, such as whole body bone scan or spine MRI, are useful in osseous tuberculosis, especially when the lesions are multiple.
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