Chaperonin-Containing TCP1 Subunit 5 Protects Against the Effect of Mer Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Knockdown in Retinal Pigment Epithelial Cells by Interacting With Filamentous Actin and Activating the LIM-Kinase 1/Cofilin Pathway
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Retinitis pigmentosa (RP), characterized by the gradual loss of rod and cone photoreceptors that eventually leads to blindness, is the most common inherited retinal disorder, affecting more than 2.5 million people worldwide. However, the underlying pathogenesis of RP remains unclear and there is no effective cure for RP. Mutations in the Mer receptor tyrosine kinase (MERTK) gene induce the phagocytic dysfunction of retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) cells, leading to RP. Studies have indicated that filamentous actin (F-actin)—which is regulated by chaperonin-containing TCP1 subunit 5 (CCT5)—plays a vital role in phagocytosis in RPE cells. However, whether CCT5/F-actin signaling is involved in MERTK-associated RP remains largely unknown. In the present study, we specifically knocked down MERTK and CCT5 through siRNA transfection and examined the expression of CCT5 and F-actin in human primary RPE (HsRPE) cells. We found that MERTK downregulation inhibited cell proliferation, migration, and phagocytic function; significantly decreased the expression of F-actin; and disrupted the regular arrangement of F-actin. Importantly, our findings firstly indicate that CCT5 interacts with F-actin and is inhibited by MERTK siRNA in HsRPE cells. Upregulating CCT5 using CCT5-specific lentiviral vectors (CCT5-Le) rescued the cell proliferation, migration, and phagocytic function of HsRPE cells under the MERTK knockdown condition by increasing the expression of F-actin and restoring its regular arrangement via the LIMK1/cofilin, but not the SSH1/cofilin, pathway. In conclusion, CCT5 protects against the effect of MERTK knockdown in HsRPE cells and demonstrates the potential for effective treatment of MERTK-associated RP.
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INTRODUCTION

Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) is the most common inherited retinal disease that affected tens of millions of people worldwide and is caused by a series of gene mutations that eventually lead to progressive retinal degeneration (1). As the most common inherited retinal disease, RP affects more than 2.5 million people worldwide (2, 3). However, the pathogenesis of RP remains unclear, and there is currently no effective treatment (4). Studies have shown that the Mer receptor tyrosine kinase (MERTK) gene mutation can lead to RP in humans (5). In the Royal College of Surgeons (RCS) rat, which is a typical animal model of human autosomal recessive inherited RP, the disease was found to be caused by the Merkt gene mutation (6). The MERTK gene encodes the Mer receptor tyrosine kinase, which belongs to the TAM receptor kinase family and participates in the phagocytic process (5). Retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) can constantly phagocytose the shed photoreceptor outer segment (POS), which plays a vital role in maintaining retinal homeostasis, as POS renewal is essential for visual function (7). The phagocytic dysfunction of RPE is an important aspect of the pathogenesis of RP (8). According to previous studies, a mutation in the MERTK gene leads to the phagocytic dysfunction of RPE cells, which is responsible for MERTK-associated RP (5). However, the mechanism underlying the MERTK gene mutation leading to phagocytic dysfunction remains largely unknown.

The process of POS phagocytosis is based on the rigorous control of the distribution and expression of the actin cytoskeleton (9). Actin, as a major component of the actin cytoskeleton, plays a vital role in the POS phagocytosis process. A previous study showed alphavbeta5 binding POS which is the first step of the POS phagocytosis process is required actin (10). However, nearly decades after the phenomenon was first described, much remains unknown regarding the role of the actin cytoskeleton in this process. Recent studies have emphasized that the rearrangement of RPE cytoskeletal filamentous actin (F-actin) is essential for POS internalization (11). The formation of phagocytic cups via the early recruitment of F-actin lays the foundation for phagocytosis, which means any factor that obstructs the expression of F-actin or disrupts the arrangement of F-actin will lead to phagocytic dysfunction, as the phagocytic cup formed by the orderly aggregation of F-actin combined with POS is the key to phagocytosis initiation (12). Previous studies demonstrated that the F-actin arrangement is abnormal in RPE cells with low phagocytic activity (13). Recent studies also indicated that phagocytes formed by the recruitment of F-actin and the binding of POS must be combined with MERTK (14). In other words, the MERTK gene mutation may impair the recruitment of F-actin. However, the involvement of abnormal epithelial F-actin cytoskeleton in MERTK-associated RP remains largely unknown.

The TCP-1 cyclic complex, also known as the chaperonin-containing TCP-1 (CCT), is composed of eight parallel subunits (CCT1–8) (15–17). Assisted by ATP binding and hydrolysis, the main function of CCT is to help refold misfolded or unfolded proteins. Between 5% and 10% of the proteins in mammalian cells interact with CCT (18). In S. cerevisiae, CCT deficiency mutations lead to death, demonstrating the importance of CCT function (19). Actin is one of the main substrates of CCT; however, the exact mechanism by which CCT promotes actin folding is not yet understood (20, 21). A recent study found that CCT was required for efficient actin myofilament assembly (22) and that CCT5-specific ATP binding was required for efficient actin folding (23). Additionally, CCT5 controls lysosome biogenesis via the actin cytoskeleton (24). The actin/CCT5 pathway is implicated in multiple diseases—including hereditary sensory neuropathies (23), legionella pneumophila infection (25), muscle atrophy (26), and Alzheimer’s disease (24)—suggesting that CCT5 is associated with various cellular physiological processes in different tissues. Nonetheless, whether the F-actin/CCT5 pathway plays a vital role in MERTK-associated RP remains to be elucidated. Therefore, the present study explored the relationship between CCT5, F-actin, and RP, and the potential molecular mechanism underlying this disease.

RESULTS

Mer Receptor Tyrosine Kinase siRNA Inhibited Cell Proliferation and Induced Morphological Changes and Phagocytic Dysfunction in Human Primary RPE Cells

On the 7 days after the HsRPE cells were extracted and cultured in DMEM medium, we observed cultured cells with an inverted phase-contrast microscope, we found each cell contains abundant brownish-yellow pigments which is the characterization of the HsRPE cell. This result suggests that we’re extracting the HsRPE cells, not other nerve cells, fibroblasts, blood vessel cells, etc (Figure 1A). To further verify that the cells we extracted were HsRPE cells, we stained the cultured cells with RPE65, an RPE cell-specific protein. The result showed every cultured HsRPE cell. This result suggests that we’re extracting the HsRPE cells, not other nerve cells, fibroblasts, blood vessel cells, etc (Figure 1A). To further verify that the cells we extracted were HsRPE cells, we stained the cultured cells with RPE65, an RPE cell-specific protein. The result showed every cultured HsRPE cell. This result suggests that we’re extracting the HsRPE cells, not other nerve cells, fibroblasts, blood vessel cells, etc (Figure 1A).

Abbreviations: CCK8 Cell Counting Kit-8, CCT chaperonin-containing TCP-1, CCT5 chaperonin-containing TCP1 subunit 5, CCT5-Le CCT5-specific lentiviral vectors, Co-IP co-immunoprecipitation, F-actin filamentous actin, HsRPE human primary RPE, LIMK1 LIM-kinase 1, MERTK Mer receptor tyrosine kinase, NC negative control, OD optical density, p-cofilin Phosphorylated cofilin, POS photoreceptor outer segment, RCS Royal College of Surgeons, RP retinitis pigmentosa, RPE retinal pigment epithelium, RT-PCR quantitative real-time PCR, SSH1 slingshot 1, TESK1 testicular protein kinase 1.
MERTK siRNA inhibited cell proliferation and induced morphological changes and phagocytic dysfunction in HsRPE cells. (A) The primary cultured HsRPE cells were observed with an inverted microscope at different magnifications (4X, 10X, and 20X). Scale bars: white bar 100 µm; red bars 10 µm. (B) The HsRPE cells were identified by immunofluorescence staining with RPE65 antibody. Scale bars: 50 µm. (C) HsRPE cells were treated with NC, MERTK siRNA1, or MERTK siRNA2 (20 nM) for 24 h, and the expression of MERTK was analyzed by RT-PCR. (D) HsRPE cells were treated with NC, MERTK siRNA1, or MERTK siRNA2 (20 nM) for 48 h, and MERTK expression was determined by Western blotting. (E) HsRPE cells were treated with NC or MERTK siRNA2 (MERTK siRNA) for 48 h, and cell proliferation was analyzed by CCK8. (F) HsRPE cells were treated the same as in (E), and cell morphology was observed with an inverted microscope. (G) HsRPE cells were treated the same as in (E), and wound-healing assays were performed to assess the wound-healing capabilities of HsRPE cells. (H) HsRPE cells were treated the same as in (E), and Transwell assays were performed to evaluate the migration activity of HsRPE cells (purple). (I) HsRPE cells were treated the same as in (E), and their phagocytic ability was examined using phagocytosis assays. The red dots represent the particles and the green dye represents the MERTK protein. Scale bars: 5 µm. **P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001.
exhibited suppressed cell proliferation, as the optical density (OD) value of the NC siRNA group was higher than that of the MERTK siRNA group (Figure 1E). We then observed the changes in cell morphology using an inverted microscope and found that the cellular morphologies of the HsRPE cells were very different between the NC siRNA group and the MERTK siRNA group. The cells of the NC siRNA group had a typical polygonal appearance; however, the MERTK siRNA-transfected cells became elongated in shape (Figure 1F). Wound-healing assays and Transwell migration assays were performed to assess the wound-healing ability and migration of HsRPE cells. The results demonstrated that the number of migrated HsRPE cells and their migration distance was significantly decreased in the MERTK siRNA group compared with the NC siRNA group (Figures 1G,H). We performed the phagocytosis assay combined with the immunofluorescence assay to evaluate the effect of MERTK siRNA on the phagocytic function of HsRPE cells. For the phagocytosis assay, Nile red-labeled particles and MERTK immunofluorescent staining were used. In the NC siRNA group, the expression of MerTK (green) is significantly higher than MERTK siRNA group, and massive red particles were phagocytized into the HsRPE cells, while the number of red particles that were phagocytized into the HsRPE cells was significantly decreased in the MERTK siRNA group (Figure 1I). These results indicate that MERTK-specific siRNA inhibited cell proliferation and induced morphological changes and phagocytic dysfunction in HsRPE cells.

Mer Receptor Tyrosine Kinase siRNA Downregulated the Expression of Chaperonin-Containing TCP1 Subunit 5, Which Interacted and Co-localized With Filamentous Actin in Human Primary RPE Cells

Filamentous actin plays a vital role in phagocytosis. To determine whether F-actin was involved in MERTK-associated RP, we detected F-actin by quantitative real-time PCR (RT-PCR) and Western blot assays separately. Both the RT-PCR and Western blot assays demonstrated that MERTK siRNA significantly inhibited F-actin at both the mRNA and protein levels, as compared with the NC group (Figures 2A,B). Furthermore, to detect the distribution and arrangement of F-actin, we performed the immunofluorescence analysis. The results indicated that F-actin demonstrated a highly regular radial distribution of filamentous order in the NC group. However, in the MERTK siRNA group, the F-actin clumped together in a disorderly arrangement around the nucleus (Figure 2C). CCT5 plays an important role in actin regulation; therefore, to determine whether CCT5 was involved in the regulation of F-actin in MERTK-associated RP, CCT5 expression at the mRNA and protein levels was assessed. The RT-PCR results indicated that the downregulation of CCT5 significantly suppressed the expression of CCT5 at the mRNA level, compared with that in the NC group, in HsRPE cells (Figure 2D). In line with the mRNA-level results, the Western blotting results demonstrated that CCT5 expression was significantly suppressed by MERTK siRNA in HsRPE cells (Figure 2E).

To analyze whether CCT5 and F-actin directly interacted, we performed immunolocalization and co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) assays. Immunofluorescence analysis demonstrated that CCT5 and F-actin co-localized in the cytoplasm of HsRPE cells (Figure 2F), and Co-IP analysis demonstrated that CCT5 proteins could directly bind with F-actin proteins, but could not directly bind with MERTK proteins in HsRPE cells (Figures 2G,H). These results indicate that CCT5 interacts and co-localizes with F-actin but not MERTK and that MERTK siRNA can decrease F-actin expression via the regulation of CCT5 expression in HsRPE cells.

Upregulation of Chaperonin-Containing TCP1 Subunit 5 Expression Recovered the Morphology and Migration Function Destroyed by Mer Receptor Tyrosine Kinase siRNA in Human Primary RPE Cells

For a better insight into the involvement of CCT5 in RP, CCT5-specific siRNA and lentiviral vectors were used to downregulate and upregulate CCT5 expression separately. We tested two siRNAs (CCT5 siRNA1 and CCT5 siRNA2) and selected CCT5 siRNA2, showing the best downregulation efficiency, for the subsequent experiments (Figure 3A). After the CCT5 siRNA was transferred into HsRPE cells, the expression of CCT5 was significantly downregulated. On the other hand, treatment with CCT5-specific lentiviral vectors (CCT5-Le) significantly upregulated the expression of CCT5, compared with that in the MERTK siRNA group, at both the mRNA and protein levels (Figures 3B,C). CCK8 assays were used to detect the viability of HsRPE cells. Compared with the MERTK siRNA group, the MERTK siRNA + CCT5 siRNA group demonstrated significant further suppression of cell proliferation, as the optical density (OD) value of the MERTK siRNA + CCT5 siRNA group was lower than that of the MERTK siRNA groups. However, the viability of HsRPE cells in the MERTK siRNA + CCT5-Le group was significantly higher than that seen in the MERTK siRNA group (Figure 3D). These results demonstrate that the upregulation of CCT5 expression enhanced the viability of HsRPE cells, which was inhibited by MERTK siRNA. Next, we observed changes in cell morphology using an inverted microscope. In the MERTK siRNA + CCT5 siRNA group, the shape of the HsRPE cells became more elongated than in the MERTK siRNA group. However, in the MERTK siRNA + CCT5-Le group, the shape of the HsRPE cells became typically polygonal, as in the NC group (Figure 3E). These results indicate that upregulating CCT5 expression restored the morphological dysfunction induced by MERTK siRNA. Wound-healing and Transwell-migration assays demonstrated that the migration distance and the number of migrated HsRPE cells were significantly suppressed in the MERTK siRNA group compared with the control group and that CCT5 siRNA further suppressed these parameters. However,
FIGURE 2 | MERTK siRNA downregulated the expression of CCT5, which interacted and co-localized with F-actin in HsRPE cells. (A) HsRPE cells were treated with NC or MERTK siRNA for 24 h, and the expression of F-actin was analyzed by RT-PCR. (B) HsRPE cells were treated with NC or MERTK siRNA for 48 h, and the expression of F-actin was analyzed by Western blotting. (C) HsRPE cells were treated the same as in (B), and the distribution and organization of F-actin (green) were detected by immunofluorescence analysis. Scale bars: 10 µm. (D) HsRPE cells were treated the same as in (A), and CCT5 expression was analyzed by RT-PCR. (E) HsRPE cells were treated the same as in (B), and CCT5 expression was analyzed by Western blotting. (F) The co-localization (yellow) of F-actin (red) and CCT5 (green) in HsRPE cells was assessed by immunolocalization analysis. Scale bars: 10 µm. (G) The binding between F-actin and CCT5 in HsRPE cells was verified by co-immunoprecipitation. (H) The binding between MERTK and CCT5 in HsRPE cells was verified by co-immunoprecipitation. **P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001.

CCT5-Le significantly increased the migration distance and the number of migrated HsRPE cells, which were suppressed by MERTK siRNA (Figures 3F,G). These results indicate that upregulation of CCT5 expression restored the morphology and migration function, which were disrupted in HsRPE cells with MERTK siRNA.
FIGURE 3 | Upregulation of CCT5 expression recovered the morphology and migration function disrupted by MERTK siRNA in HsRPE cells. (A) HsRPE cells were treated with NC, CCT5 siRNA1, or CCT5 siRNA2 (20 nM) for 24 h, and CCT5 expression was analyzed by RT-PCR. (B) HsRPE cells were treated with or without CCT5 siRNA or CCT5-specific lentiviral vectors (CCT5-Le) after exposure to NC or MERTK siRNA for 24 h, and the expression of CCT5 was analyzed by RT-PCR. (C) HsRPE cells were treated with or without CCT5 siRNA or CCT5-specific lentiviral vectors (CCT5-Le) after exposure to NC or MERTK siRNA for 48 h, and CCT5 expression was analyzed by Western blotting. (D) HsRPE cells were treated the same as in (C), and cell proliferation was determined by CCK8 assay. (E) HsRPE cells were treated the same as in (C), and morphological changes were observed using an inverted microscope. Scale bars: 100 µm. (F) HsRPE cells were treated the same as in (C), and wound-healing assays were performed to assess the wound-healing capabilities of HsRPE cells. Scale bars: 200 µm. (G) HsRPE cells were treated the same as in (C), and Transwell assays were performed to evaluate the migration activity of HsRPE cells (purple). Scale bars: 100 µm. # P > 0.05; * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001.
Upregulating Chaperonin-Containing TCP1 Subunit 5 Expression Rescued the Phagocytic Function Disrupted by Mer Receptor Tyrosine Kinase siRNA by Restoring the Expression and Organization of Filamentous Actin in Human Primary RPE Cells

To investigate the function of CCT5-Le in the phagocytic process of HsRPE cells, we performed the phagocytosis assay combined with the immunofluorescence assay. The MERTK siRNA group exhibited a significantly decreased expression of CCT5 (green) and a significantly decreased quantity of red particles that were phagocytized into the HsRPE cells, as compared with the NC siRNA group. In the MERTK siRNA + CCT5 siRNA group, the expression of CCT5 was further decreased and the number of red particles phagocytized into HsRPE cells was further reduced compared with that in the MERTK siRNA group. By contrast, the MERTK siRNA + CCT5-Le group exhibited a significantly increased CCT5 expression and quantity of red particles phagocytized into HsRPE cells compared with the MERTK siRNA group (Figure 4A). To investigate whether CCT5-Le rescued the phagocytic function via the regulation of F-actin, the expression of F-actin was then examined. Our results indicate that MERTK siRNA significantly decreased the expression of F-actin at both the mRNA and protein levels, as compared with that in the NC group. In the MERTK siRNA + CCT5 siRNA group, the expression of F-actin was decreased further compared with that in the MERTK siRNA group. However, in the MERTK siRNA + CCT5-Le group, F-actin expression was significantly increased, compared with that in the MERTK siRNA and MERTK siRNA + CCT5 siRNA groups (Figures 4B,C). Furthermore, to detect whether CCT5-Le restored the distribution and arrangement of F-actin, we performed the immunofluorescence analysis. In the MERTK siRNA + CCT5 siRNA group, the distribution and arrangement of F-actin became more irregular than that in the MERTK siRNA group. However, in the MERTK siRNA + CCT5-Le group, the distribution and arrangement of F-actin were recovered, as seen in the NC group (Figure 4D). These results indicate that the upregulation of CCT5 expression rescued the phagocytic function, which was disrupted by MERTK siRNA, by restoring the expression and organization of F-actin in HsRPE cells.

Chaperonin-Containing TCP1 Subunit 5 Rescued Human Primary RPE Cells With Mer Receptor Tyrosine Kinase-Associated Retinitis Pigmentosa via the LIM-Kinase 1/Cofilin, but Not the SSH1/Cofilin, Pathway

Phosphorylated cofilin (p-cofilin) and cofilin are essential regulators of F-actin dynamics, regulating the polymerization and depolymerization of F-actin in the processes of phagocytosis and migration. To determine whether cofilin and p-cofilin were involved in CCT5-Le’s rescue of the phagocytosis and migration function of HsRPE cells with MERTK-associated RP, we next determined their levels of expression. Notably, we found that the expression of both cofilin and p-cofilin was suppressed in the MERTK siRNA group compared with that in the NC group. In the MERTK siRNA + CCT5 siRNA group, the expression of both cofilin and p-cofilin was decreased further when compared with that in the MERTK siRNA group. However, in the MERTK siRNA + CCT5-Le group, the expression of both cofilin and p-cofilin was increased compared with that in the MERTK siRNA and MERTK siRNA + CCT5 siRNA groups (Figure 5A). Cofilin is inactivated via phosphorylation by LIM-kinase 1 (LIMK1) and testicular protein kinase 1 (TESK1) and reactivated via dephosphorylation by slingshot 1 (SSH1). Therefore, to investigate whether LIMK1, TESK1, and SSH1 regulated the activity of cofilin in the process of CCT5-Le’s rescue of the phagocytosis and migration function of HsRPE cells under MERTK-associated RP, we detected the expression of LIMK1, TESK1, and SSH1. The results indicate that the expression of LIMK1, TESK1, and SSH1 was decreased in the MERTK siRNA group, compared with that in the NC group. However, in the MERTK siRNA + CCT5 siRNA group, only the expression of LIMK1 and TESK1, and not SSH1, was further decreased compared with that in the MERTK siRNA group. In the MERTK siRNA + CCT5-Le group, the expression of LIMK1 and TESK1 was increased, but the expression of SSH1 again demonstrated no significant change compared with that in the MERTK siRNA and MERTK siRNA + CCT5 siRNA groups (Figure 5B). These results indicate that CCT5-Le rescued the phagocytosis and migration function which were destroyed by MERTK siRNA via the LIMK1/cofilin, but not the SSH1/cofilin, pathway.

DISCUSSION

Retinitis pigmentosa is caused by multiple molecular interactions, of which the phagocytic dysfunction induced by a MERTK mutation in RPE cells is one of the most vital (1). As a cytoskeleton actin protein, F-actin plays an important role in cellular motility and phagocytosis (27). CCT5 acts as a chaperone protein and plays a vital role in maintaining the normal function and structure of actin proteins (28). Recently, numerous studies have demonstrated the role that F-actin plays in the phagocytosis of RPE cells (29). However, to date, studies have failed to determine whether CCT5 is associated with F-actin regulation or whether it plays an essential role in the progression of RP. Therefore, in this study, we focused on the role of CCT5’s regulation of F-actin in the pathogenesis of RP and the molecular mechanism of such.

We found that downregulating MERTK inhibited cell proliferation, migration, and phagocytic function. Additionally, knocking down MERTK significantly inhibited F-actin’s expression and disrupted its regular arrangement. Importantly, our study revealed, for the first time, that CCT5 interacts with F-actin and that CCT5 expression is inhibited by MERTK siRNA in HsRPE cells. Upregulating CCT5 rescued the cell proliferation, migration, and phagocytic function which were destroyed by MERTK siRNA via an increase in the expression of F-actin, as
CCT5 Protects Against MERTK siRNA

Phagocytosis in RPE cells is a highly conserved, complex process that has evolved to counter the constant shedding of POS (30). To maintain the healthy photoreceptor–RPE interface of the retina, RPE cells constantly phagocytize the POS; however, we discovered that CCT5 promoted the remodeling of F-actin’s arrangement via the LIMK1/cofilin, but not the SSH1/cofilin, pathway (Figure 6).

As well as the remodeling of its regular arrangement. Moreover, we discovered that CCT5 promoted the remodeling of F-actin in HisRPE cells. (A) HisRPE cells were treated with or without CCT5 siRNA or CCT5-Le after exposure to NC or MERTK siRNA for 24 h, and the phagocytic ability of HisRPE cells was examined by phagocytosis assay. The red dots represent the particles and the green dye represents the CCT5 protein. Scale bars: 5 µm. (B) HisRPE cells were treated with or without CCT5 siRNA or CCT5-Le after exposure to NC or MERTK siRNA for 24 h, and F-actin expression was analyzed by RT-PCR. (C) HisRPE cells were treated the same as in (A), and F-actin expression was analyzed by Western blotting. (D) HisRPE cells were treated the same as in (A), and the distribution and organization of F-actin (green) were detected by immunofluorescence analysis. Scale bars: 10 µm. # P > 0.05; * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001.

FIGURE 4 | CCT5 rescued the phagocytic function disrupted by MERTK siRNA by restoring the expression and organization of F-actin in HisRPE cells. (A) HisRPE cells were treated with or without CCT5 siRNA or CCT5-Le after exposure to NC or MERTK siRNA for 48 h, and the phagocytic ability of HisRPE cells was examined by phagocytosis assay. The red dots represent the particles and the green dye represents the CCT5 protein. Scale bars: 5 µm. (B) HisRPE cells were treated with or without CCT5 siRNA or CCT5-Le after exposure to NC or MERTK siRNA for 24 h, and F-actin expression was analyzed by RT-PCR. (C) HisRPE cells were treated the same as in (A), and F-actin expression was analyzed by Western blotting. (D) HisRPE cells were treated the same as in (A), and the distribution and organization of F-actin (green) were detected by immunofluorescence analysis. Scale bars: 10 µm. # P > 0.05; * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001.
the mutation of MERTK results in phagocytic dysfunction in RPE cells, leading to RP. However, which part of the phagocytic process is disrupted in RPE cells with the MERTK mutation remains to be elucidated. Ensheathment is required for POS fragmentation before internalization. The MERTK ligands Gas6 and Protein S contribute to the initiation of POS...
ensheathed by RPE (31). Abnormalities in the ensheathment, fragmentation, and internalization process in POS phagocytosis were found to occur in MERTK-mutated RPE while restoring MERTK expression in the RPE cells of RP patients reversed these abnormalities (32). The ensheathment, fragmentation, and internalization process require an appropriate F-actin distribution and arrangement (33). Therefore, the appropriate expression and correct spatial organization of F-actin filaments are essential for normal proliferation, migration, and phagocytic function (34–36). A recent study reported that, due to the lack of Mertk, the RPE cells of RCS rats could not aggregate F-actin and bind POS, even in the presence of protein S (14). Additionally, a previous study revealed that hypophagocytic RPE cells contain F-actin stress fibers but lack adjacent lateral circumferential F-actin (13). Together, these studies indicate that F-actin is involved in MERTK-associated RP. In line with these findings, our MERTK-associated RP model in HsRPE cells demonstrated that knocking down MERTK significantly inhibited the expression of F-actin and disrupted its regular arrangement. Moreover, we found that downregulating MERTK expression inhibited proliferation, migration, and phagocytic function.

Filamentous actin is regulated by various actin-binding proteins (37). Cofilin is a ubiquitous actin-binding protein that binds to F-actin only in its dephosphorylated state. When dephosphorylated cofilin binds to actin, it depolymerizes F-actin; however, phosphorylation at Ser 3 inactivates cofilin which then separates from, and thereby repolymerizes, F-actin (38). The recombination of the actin cytoskeleton via polymerization and depolymerization of F-actin by cofilin is indispensable for various cellular activities, such as phagocytosis, cytokinesis, and cell migration (39). The balance between F-actin and cofilin is vital for preserving the normal physiological function of cells. Once this balance is disturbed, many diseases can result—including Alzheimer’s disease (40, 41), mitotic disorder (42), cancers (43), optic nerve injury (44), migration disorder (35), immune dysfunction (45), and more. However, whether cofilin takes part in MERTK-associated RP retains a veil of mystery. First, we revealed that knocking down MERTK significantly inhibited the expression of both cofilin and p-cofilin in HsRPE cells. These results are consistent with the abnormal expression and arrangement of F-actin in our MERTK-associated RP model in HsRPE cells. The phosphorylation of cofilin is controlled by the LIMK1/TESK1 pathway (46–48). LIMK1 and TESK1 are, therefore, two key components of the signal transduction pathways that link extracellular stimulation to changes in cytoskeletal structure (49, 50). It was reported that the N-terminal kinase of TESK1 may be a putative candidate accounting for LIMK-independent cofilin phosphorylation, as it shows approximately 50% amino-acid identity with LIMK (51, 52). On the other hand, cofilin is activated by dephosphorylation by the phosphatase SSH1. SSH1’s activity is strongly increased by its binding to F-actin (53). According to previous studies, the overexpression of LIMK1 and TESK1 in cultured cells results in the accumulation of F-actin, and the overexpression of SSH1 leads to the depolymerization of F-actin (49, 50, 53). However, no currently available research indicates a regulatory relationship between LIMK1, TESK1, SSH1, cofilin, and F-actin in RP. In our study, we revealed that the expression of LIMK1, TESK1, and SSH1 was inhibited after MERTK expression was downregulated. These results explain why knocking down MERTK significantly inhibited the expression of both cofilin and p-cofilin in HsRPE cells.
As a chaperonin, CCT5 assists in the folding of the unfolding or misfolded proteins, and actin is reported to be a natural substrate for CCT5 (21). It is reported that the effect of CCT5 on the z-disk of sarcomere cells is required for the efficient assembly of actin filaments, and CCT5-specific ATP binding is required for the efficient folding of actin in vivo. In addition, the mutant A-actin subtype that causes linear myopathy in patients acquires its pathogenic conformation through this function of CCT5 (22). Julie et al. found that CCT depletion did not affect actin-polypeptide synthesis but led to a decrease in natural actin levels and a disturbance of actin-based cell motility (54). McCormack et al. found that actin appeared to fold in association with chaperonin and that actin site II—located at the apex of actin subdomain 4—was the main binding site for CCT binding (55). Llorca et al. produced a three-dimensional reconstruction of the CCT and α-actin complex, which demonstrated that α-actin interacts with the apical domain of one of the two CCT subunits. Furthermore, they found that actin’s binding to CCT is subunit-specific and geometrically dependent (21). CCT5’s interactions in HsRPE cells with the MERTK mutation have not yet been reported. In our study, we discovered that CCT5 interacted with F-actin and that CCT5’s expression was inhibited by MERTK siRNA in HsRPE cells. To verify that F-actin was regulated by CCT5, we downregulated and upregulated CCT5 separately in HsRPE cells. We found that upregulating the expression of CCT5 using CCT5-Le increased the expression of F-actin and remodeled its distribution and arrangement in our MERTK-associated RP model in HsRPE cells. When the expression of CCT5 was decreased using CCT5 siRNA, the effect was exactly opposite to that of CCT5-Le. In addition to its effect on actin, CCT5 has been reported to be connected to multiple cellular processes including proliferation, migration, and apoptosis via its relation with numerous proteins such as cyclin D1, polymerase basic protein, cell-division cycle protein 20, and P53 (56–59). In our study, we found that CCT5 regulated the expression of LIMK1 and TESK1 but not SSH1. Moreover, upregulating CCT5 rescued the cell proliferation, migration, and phagocytic function of HsRPE cells under MERTK-associated RP. These results indicate that CCT5 protects against MERTK-associated RP in RPE cells through interactions with F-actin and the activation of the LIMK1/cofilin pathway.

However, there are several limitations to our study. First, we only performed in vitro experiments in an RPE cell model established using MERTK siRNA. In vivo experiments should be performed in RCS rats to verify the role of CCT5 in MERTK-associated RP. Many questions remain to be answered in RCS rats, including whether the expression of CCT5 is abnormal in the RPE cells of RCS rats and whether regulating the expression of CCT5 can rescue the RP. Second, although CCT consists of eight subunits, we only detected the function of CCT5 in HsRPE cells. Thus, whether other paralogous subunits are involved in RP requires further exploration. Third, we found that the expression of cofilin, p-cofilin, LIMK1, and TESK1 was upregulated by CCT5-Le, but how CCT5 increases their expression—whether by increasing their transcription or by decreasing their degradation—remains to be determined. Although LIMK1 and TESK1 control the phosphorylation of cofilin, no studies have demonstrated that they control the expression of cofilin. Therefore, whether CCT5 directly increases total cofilin expression or indirectly increases cofilin levels via other signals requires further study. We will attempt to find answers to these questions in future research.

In summary, despite the abovementioned limitations, our results demonstrate, for the first time, that the cell proliferation, migration, and phagocytic function of RPE cells are inhibited by MERTK-associated RP due to the abnormal expression of F-actin and disruption of its regular arrangement. Importantly, our findings reveal that CCT5 interacts with F-actin and regulates its expression and arrangement. Upregulating CCT5 protects against the effect of MERTK knockdown in RPE cells through its interactions with F-actin and activation of the LIMK1/cofilin pathway. These findings provide a new perspective for research into the mechanisms underlying MERTK-associated RP and provide a new direction for future studies of the molecular mechanisms of RP pathogenesis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell Culture**

Human donor eyes were obtained from the Guangdong Eye Bank in accordance with the 2013 Declaration of Helsinki. Written consent was obtained from the donor or the donor’s family for the eye to be used in medical research. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Zhongshan Eye Center, Sun Yat-sen University. The human primary RPE (HsRPE) cells were separated from human donor eyes as previously described (60). All the HsRPE cells were cultured in DMEM containing 4.5 g/L glucose, which was supplemented with 1% penicillin/streptomycin and 10% FBS, in a humidified incubator with a 5% CO2 atmosphere at 37°C.

**siRNA Transfections**

The siRNAs for MERTK and CCT5 were designed and synthesized by RiboBio Inc. (Guangzhou, China). The sequences of the MERTK siRNA and CCT5 siRNA are listed in Table 1. Transient transfections of the siRNAs for human MERTK (20 nM) and CCT5 (20 nM) were conducted using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen, New York, NY, United States), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Morphological changes in the cells were investigated using an inverted microscope (Carle Zeiss Axio Observer 7, Oberkohlen, Baden-wurttemberg, Germany), 48 h after siRNA transfection.

| Gene   | Sequences (5’-3’)       |
|--------|-------------------------|
| MERTK  | GATGAAGCCCTCGAGACTAA    |
| MERTK  | GGTGCACCTGCTCAATCA      |
| CCT5   | GGAAGAGCGGTGACTTGA      |
| CCT5   | GGATAAGGCTCCGTGGTGA     |
| NC     | TTCTCGAACGTGTCACGT      |

**TABLE 1 | List of siRNA sequences.**
**TABLE 2** | Sequences of PCR primers.

| Gene   | Forward sequence (5′-3′)                                      | Reverse sequence (5′-3′)                                      |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| MERTK  | GGAATAATGCTACGCGGGAGA                                       | TTCCGAACGTGCGGAAAACCAT                                       |
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4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) for 10 min. Finally, the number of microspheres was quantified by measuring the total fluorescence present using a confocal microscope (Carle ZEISS Imager Z2, Oberkochen, Germany).

Immunofluorescence
The immunofluorescence assays were performed as previously described in Feng et al. (64). HsRPE cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min, followed by blocking with 5% BSA at room temperature for 1 h. The cell samples were incubated with RPE65 (Abcam, ab235950), F-actin (Abcam, ab130935), CCT5 (Proteintech, 11603-1-AP), and MERTK (Cell Signaling Technology, 4319S) antibodies. Cell samples were then incubated with secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. Subsequently, the nuclei of the cells were stained using DAPI for 7 min. All the images were taken using a confocal microscope (Carle ZEISS LSM 980, Oberkochen, Germany).

Co-immunoprecipitation
The total protein of the HsRPE cell samples was extracted using cell lysis buffer, leaving 100 µL of cell lysate as the input group. Two 1.5 EP tubes were filled with 400 µL of HsRPE cell lysis solution, and 100 µL of RIPA lysis buffer was added to each. One tube was incubated under rotation with 5 µg of anti-CCT5 (Proteintech, 11603-1-AP), while the other tube was incubated under rotation with 5 µg of rabbit anti-IgG (Cell Signaling Technology, 2729) at 4°C overnight. Fifty microliters of Protein A/G Magnetic Beads (Bimake Ferromagnetic Bead, B23202) were added to two additional 1.5 mL EP tubes. The magnetic beads were washed twice with 1 mL of precooled PBS and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 1 min. Next, the magnetic beads were rotated and blocked with 3% BSA at 4°C for 30 min, followed by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 1 min, absorbing the blocking solution, adding the antibody-protein complexes into the magnetic beads, and incubating under rotation at room temperature for 1 h. After incubation, the magnetic beads were washed with washing buffer. After the centrifugation of the proteins at 12,000 rpm and 4°C for 1 min, the supernatant was discarded carefully. Next, the proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto PVDF membranes. The membranes were probed with anti-F-actin (Abcam, ab130935) and anti-CCT5 (Proteintech, 11603-1-AP), and their corresponding secondary antibodies. Specific antibody binding was visualized using chemiluminescent fluid (Millipore, WBKLS0500).

Transfection and Expression of Chaperonin-Containing TCP1 Subunit 5-Le
Lentiviral vectors were used to upregulate the expression of CCT5. The recombined plasmid, contained pLV-CMV.hCCT5.EF1.CopGFP-T2A-Puro.Wpre, was used to produce the lentivirus. The 293 T cells were then transfected with the lentivirus. The 293 T cells were then cultured in 6-well plates and incubated until their confluence was about 30%, and 50 µL of virus was added (titer: 2 × 10^8 TU/mL). After another 48 h of culture, the expression of the target gene in the lentivirus was observed by measuring the green fluorescent protein (GFP), and the mRNA and protein levels were detected. The sequences of the lentiviral vectors of CCT5 are listed in Table 3.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 26.0. An unpaired t-test and one-way ANOVA were used to analyze and compare the differences between groups. The data are expressed as the means ± standard deviations (SD) of at least three independent trials. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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