Growth and combined experimental and quantum chemical study of glycyl-L-Valine crystal
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Abstract

Glycyl-L-Valine (GLV) crystals were grown using distilled water as the solvent at room temperature by solution growth technique. Powder X-ray diffraction confirms the crystalline quality of GLV crystal. The molecular structure of GLV crystal was identified by $^{13}$C NMR spectral studies. The nonlinear optical (NLO) behavior of the crystal was found to be ~4.3 times greater than that of potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate. FT-Raman and FT-IR spectra of the GLV were recorded and complete functional group assignment of the determined vibrational bands of GLV have been reported. Density functional theoretical method (DFT) was performed using B3LYP with the 6-311+G (d, p) basis set and the results were compared with the experimental values which confirm the intermolecular interactions responsible for the enhanced NLO activity of the molecule, as evident from NBO and Hirshfeld analyses. The calculated HOMO and LUMO
energies show that charge transfer occurs within the molecule. In addition, the molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) analysis, Mulliken atomic charges of the GLV molecule has been investigated using theoretical calculations.
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1. Introduction

Nonlinear optical (NLO) materials have received abundant attention because of their applications in optoelectronics, such as second harmonic generation (SHG), high data storage optical switching and electro-optic modulation [1]. Recently the analyses of organic nonlinear optical materials have attracted a good attention because of their applications in optoelectronic devices. They have inherent ultra fast response time and large optical susceptibilities as compared with inorganic materials [2, 3]. One of the advantages in working with organic materials is that they allow to have the desired chemical structure and hence the properties for the required nonlinear optical applications [4]. Amino-acid family single crystals have been well-established as really possible second-order NLO materials [5, 6, 7]. Amino acids are fascinating materials for NLO applications as they possess deprotonated carboxylic acid group (COO\(^{-}\)) and protonated amino group (NH\(_{3}^{+}\)). Due to this couple nature, amino acids have physical properties that build them ideal candidates for NLO applications [8]. Amino acids are essential building blocks of a range of commercial, environmental and biological applications, since it is the basic blocks of all proteins [9, 10, 11, 12]. The crystal structure of glycyl-L-Valine (GLV) crystal was reported by C. H. Gorbitz et.al. [13]. Based on these information, steps have been taken to grow glycyl-L-Valine (GLV) single crystal by slow evaporation method. The grown crystal has been subjected to Powder X-ray diffraction analysis, NLO studies, Hirshfeld surface analysis, FT-IR, FT-Raman, \(^{13}\)C NMR spectral analysis, Natural Bond Orbital analysis (NBO), Frontier Molecular Orbital (FMO), Global Chemical Reactivity Descriptors analyses, Mulliken Atomic charge analysis, Molecular electrostatic potential analysis.

2. Experimental

2.1. Growth of GLV single crystals

Single crystals of glycyl-L-Valine were grown by slow evaporation method from aqueous solution. glycyl-L-Valine was dissolved in double distilled water. The prepared solution was stirred well and taken in a beaker and the mouth was closed with the perforated lid in order to control the rate of evaporation and kept in room temperature for crystallization. To grow bulk crystal, saturated solution of GLV for 100ml was prepared and kept for crystallization. A crystal of size 4 mm \(\times\) 4 mm \(\times\) 3mm was obtained in a growth period of 20 days and is shown in Fig. 1.
2.2. Instrumentation

The Powder X-ray diffraction studies have been performed on PW3071/xx Bracket automated X-ray powder diffractometer. The experimental settings employed in reading the pattern were as follows: The tube current was 30 mA; the operating target voltage was 40 kV; The X-ray from Anode material (Cu) target was filtered monochromatic Kα line of wavelength 1.5406 Å was obtained. The X-ray diffraction indicates the crystalline nature of the GLV crystal. The samples were scanned for 2h values from 10° to 80° at a rate of 2° min⁻¹.

The carbon NMR (¹³C) spectral analysis was carried out on the GLV crystal in D₂O using Bruker AC200-NMR Spectrometer.

The FT-IR spectrum of the compound was recorded in Bruker IFS 66V spectrometer in the range of 4000–400 cm⁻¹ using KBr pellet technique. The spectral resolution is ±2 cm⁻¹. The FT-Raman spectrum of GLV is also recorded in the same instrument with FRA 106 Raman module equipped with Nd:YAG laser source operating at 1.064 μm line widths with 200 mW power. The spectra are recorded in the range of 4000–100 cm⁻¹ with scanning speed of 30 cm⁻¹ min⁻¹ of spectral width 2 cm⁻¹.

Kurtz and Perry [14] second harmonic generation (SHG) test was conducted on GLV crystal in order to understand the NLO behavior of the crystal. The powder form of GLV crystal was taken in a micro capillary tube and was illuminated using Spectra Physics Quanta Ray DHS2. Nd:YAG laser using the first harmonics output of 1064 nm with pulse width of 8 ns and repetition rate 10 Hz and its NLO efficiency was measured with potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate (KDP), as a reference material. The input laser energy incident on the powdered sample was chosen to be 3.4 mJ.
3. Theory/Calculation

Density Functional Theory (DFT) with the hybrid functional (B3LYP) with the 6-311+G (d, p) basis set have been employed to calculate optimized bond lengths, bond angles, dihedral angles, atomic charges, vibrational wavenumbers with their IR intensities and Raman scattering activities. All these calculations were performed using Gaussian 09 program [15]. The natural bonding orbitals (NBO) calculations were performed using NBO 5.1 program as implemented in the Gaussian 09 package. The electrophilic and nucleophilic attacks of GLV were presented on molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) and electronic properties have been deduced from HOMO—LUMO analysis.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Powder X-ray diffraction analysis

Comparison between the PXRD patterns of the GLV with the single XRD patterns revealed the exact identities of the grown GLV crystal which can be seen from Fig. 2. The lattice parameters are evaluated for GLV as $a = 5.5238(7)$Å, $b = 26.581(3)$Å and $c = 44.093(5)$Å with space group P2$_1$2$_1$2$_1$. The calculated lattice parameters from the PXRD are in good agreement with the reported values [13].

![Fig. 2. (a) PXRD (b) SCXRD data of the GLV generated (Ref. [13]) using the Mercury 3.0 program.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e01574)
4.2. $^{13}$C NMR spectral analysis of GLV single crystal

In Fig. 3, $^{13}$C-NMR spectrum, GLV exhibits seven signals with respect to seven carbon atoms of different chemical environment. The signals at $\delta = 17.0$ ppm and at $\delta = 18.75$ ppm are attributed to the two methyl groups of glycyl-l-valine respectively. The peak at $\delta = 40.33$ ppm is due to amino group of GLV. The peak at $\delta = 60.99$ ppm for GLV is due to tertiary carbon connected to amino group. The signal (CH) at $\delta = 29.98$ ppm is integrated for one carbon due to presence of carboxylic acid isopropyl carbon. Two peaks with different intensities at $\delta = 178.33$ ppm and at $\delta = 166.55$ ppm respectively were found due to the presence of carbonyl carbons of two COOH groups present in the GLV crystal [14, 15]. The chemical shift values of GLV with assignments are stacked in Table 1.

4.3. Nonlinear optical behavior of GLV single crystal

Powder SHG efficiency of GLV crystal is found to be about $\sim 4.3$ times that of potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate crystal [16].

4.4. Optimized geometry and Hirshfeld surface analyses

The optimized structure of the molecule is shown in Fig. 4 and the geometric parameters are given in Table 2. The zero point vibrational energy of the compound in DFT (B3LYP) with 6-311+G(d,p) is 137.70 kcal/mol. The bond length between C$_3$-C$_4$ and C$_3$-C$_7$ are nearly equal since both C are balanced by N–H and CH$_3$ in the chain. The bond lengths of C$_4$-C$_5$ and C$_4$-C$_6$ are nearly equal due to the balance of CH$_3$ groups in the chain. However the decrease in the experimental values of C$_1$-C$_2$-N$_9$, C$_4$-C$_5$-C$_7$ and C$_2$-N$_9$-C$_3$ bond angles (115.6°, 108.7° and 121.0°) from the DFT values (118.05°, 111.58° and 129.24°) as well as the increase in N$_9$-C$_2$-O$_{10}$, C$_3$-C$_7$-O$_{12}$ and O$_{11}$-C$_7$-O$_{12}$ (123.6°, 117.0° and 125.7°) from DFT values (120.19°, 112.14°...
and 123.07°) clearly suggests a rearrangement in the structure leading to considerable reduction in periodicity during crystallization. It is seen that DFT calculation predicts C7=O11 bond length to be 1.2064 Å, while the corresponding experimental value is 1.254 Å, which gives evidence for the existence of intermolecular N9-H26-O11 and N-H-O bonds involving amino group as well. Further it is evident that the C7-O12 bond length is decreased by 0.103 Å in XRD than the corresponding DFT value. The decrease in C7-O12 and increase in C7=O11 bond length in XRD values affirm the deprotonation of the carboxylate group and the protonation of the amino group. It is observed that C2=O10 bond length is elongated in XRD (1.2306 Å) than the calculated value (1.2186 Å) which reveals the decrease in its double bond character in the amide group as a result of hydrogen bond formation at C=O sites.

In the case of molecular crystals, the intermolecular hydrogen bonding and the packing modes can be depicted using the Hirshfeld surface. Crystal explorer version 3.0 [17] software was used to plot the Hirshfeld surface and finger print plots of GLV single crystal. The Hirshfeld surface analysis gives the graphical explanation for efficient packing to complete with hydrogen bonding as structure-directing process (Fig. 5a,b,c,d). The crystal system is stabilized using N-H...O, C-H...O hydrogen

| Chemical shift (ppm) | Group identification          |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| 17.08& 18.75        | – (CH3)                       |
| 29.98               | – CH= (isopropyl)             |
| 40.33               | – NH2                         |
| 60.99               | – CH2=                        |
| 166.55              | Amide carboxyl                |
| 178.33              | Acid carboxyl                 |

Table 1. The chemicals shift in 13C NMR spectrum of GLV single crystal.

Fig. 4. Molecular Structure of GLV single crystal.
Table 2. Optimized geometric parameters of GLV single crystal.

| Bond Length in Å | Bond Angles in degrees | Dihedral Angles in degrees |
|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Parameter        | XRD [13]               | B3LYP /6-311 + G (d,p)    | Parameter        | XRD [13]               | B3LYP /6-311 + G (d,p)    |
| C1-C2            | 1.505(7)               | 1.532                     | C2-C1-N8         | 109.5(4)               | 111.0                     | H_{26}-N9-C3-H_{15}      | -124.7                    | 167.24                    |
| C1-C8            | 1.480(6)               | 1.466                     | C2-C1-H_{13}     | 109.8                  | 110.2                     | H_{25}-N8-C1-H_{13}      | 129.8                     | -161.63                   |
| C1-H_{13}        | 0.990                  | 1.102                     | C2-C1-H_{14}     | 109.9                  | 104.7                     | H_{34}-N5-C1-H_{14}      | 11.1                      | -39.68                    |
| C1-H_{14}        | 0.989                  | 1.089                     | C1-C2-N9         | 115.6(4)               | 118.1                     | H_{24}-N8-C1-C2         | -109.6                    | -154.24                   |
| C2-N9            | 1.336(6)               | 1.377                     | C1-C2-O_{10}     | 120.9(4)               | 121.8                     | H_{25}-N5-C1-H_{13}      | -109.0                    | -161.63                   |
| C2-H_{10}        | 1.230(6)               | 1.219                     | N_{9}-C_{1}-H_{13}| 109.6                  | 114.4                     | H_{26}-N9-C_{3}-C_{4}    | -7.8                      | 47.70                     |
| C3-C4            | 1.534(6)               | 1.550                     | N_{9}-C_{2}-H_{14}| 109.9                  | 108.6                     | H_{26}-N9-C_{5}-C_{7}    | 116.7                     | -77.64                    |
| C3-N7            | 1.535(7)               | 1.531                     | C1-N_{8}-H_{24}  | 109.5                  | 110.9                     | C_{2}-N_{9}-C_{3}-H_{15} | 55.3                      | -19.52                    |
| C3-C_{9}         | 1.452(6)               | 1.455                     | C1-N_{8}-H_{25}  | 109.5                  | 113.5                     | C_{2}-N_{9}-C_{3}-C_{7}  | -63.2                     | 95.59                     |
| C3-H_{15}        | 1.001                  | 1.091                     | H_{13}-C_{1}-H_{14}| 108.2                 | 107.4                     | C_{2}-N_{9}-C_{3}-C_{7}  | -63.2                     | 95.59                     |
| C4-C_{5}         | 1.518(8)               | 1.534                     | N_{9}-C_{2}-O_{10}| 123.6(5)              | 120.2                     | N_{9}-C_{1}-C_{2}-O_{10} | 149.7(4)                | -75.637                   |
| C4-C_{6}         | 1.518(9)               | 1.535                     | C_{2}-N_{9}-C_{3} | 121.0(4)               | 129.2                     | H_{11}-C_{1}-C_{2}-O_{10} | 89.5                    | -127.42                   |
| C4-H_{16}        | 1.001                  | 1.097                     | C_{2}-N_{9}-H_{26}| 119.4                 | 113.4                     |                          |                          |                          |
| C4-H_{17}        | 0.981                  | 1.091                     | C_{4}-C_{3}-C_{7} | 112.5(4)               | 112.3                     |                          |                          |                          |
| C5-C_{8}         | 0.978                  | 1.095                     | C_{4}-C_{3}-N_{9} | 108.7(4)               | 111.6                     |                          |                          |                          |
| C5-H_{19}        | 0.979                  | 1.093                     | C_{4}-C_{3}-H_{15}| 108.0                 | 108.4                     |                          |                          |                          |
| C6-C_{20}        | 0.981                  | 1.095                     | C_{4}-C_{3}-C_{5} | 110.4(4)               | 110.6                     |                          |                          |                          |
| C6-H_{31}        | 0.978                  | 1.093                     | C_{3}-C_{4}-C_{6} | 112.8(4)               | 111.3                     |                          |                          |                          |
| C6-H_{32}        | 0.980                  | 1.093                     | C_{3}-C_{4}-H_{16}| 107.6                 | 107.0                     |                          |                          |                          |
| C7-O_{11}        | 1.247(6)               | 1.206                     | C_{7}-C_{1}-N_{9} | 111.4(4)               | 110.1                     |                          |                          |                          |
| C7-O_{12}        | 1.254(6)               | 1.350                     | C_{7}-C_{1}-H_{15}| 108.1                 | 105.5                     |                          |                          |                          |
| N_{8}-H_{24}     | 0.910                  | 1.012                     | C_{3}-C_{7}-O_{11}| 117.0(4)               | 124.8                     |                          |                          |                          |
| N_{8}-H_{25}     | 0.911                  | 1.014                     | C_{3}-C_{7}-O_{12}| 117.3(4)              | 112.1                     |                          |                          |                          |
| N_{9}-H_{26}     | 0.880                  | 1.013                     | N_{9}-C_{1}-H_{15}| 108.0                 | 108.7                     |                          |                          |                          |
|                  |                        |                           | N_{9}-C_{1}-H_{15}| 119.4                 | 117.1                     |                          |                          |                          |
|                  |                        |                           | C_{3}-C_{4}-C_{6} | 110.7(5)               | 110.9                     |                          |                          |                          |
|                  |                        |                           | C_{3}-C_{4}-H_{16}| 107.6                 | 108.7                     |                          |                          |                          |
|                  |                        |                           | C_{4}-C_{5}-H_{17}| 109.4                 | 112.0                     |                          |                          |                          |
|                  |                        |                           | C_{4}-C_{5}-H_{18}| 109.4                 | 110.9                     |                          |                          |                          |
|                  |                        |                           | C_{4}-C_{5}-H_{19}| 109.5                 | 110.2                     |                          |                          |                          |
|                  |                        |                           | C_{6}-C_{4}-H_{16}| 107.5                 | 108.2                     |                          |                          |                          |
|                  |                        |                           | C_{4}-C_{6}-H_{20}| 109.4                 | 111.1                     |                          |                          |                          |
|                  |                        |                           | C_{4}-C_{6}-H_{21}| 109.5                 | 112.4                     |                          |                          |                          |
|                  |                        |                           | C_{4}-C_{6}-H_{22}| 109.4                 | 110.1                     |                          |                          |                          |
|                  |                        |                           | H_{17}-C_{5}-H_{18}| 109.5                 | 108.0                     |                          |                          |                          |

(continued on next page)
bonding with $R_2^2(11)$, $R_3^3(12)$ and $R_3^3(9)$ graph set and it is shown in Fig. 5a. Hirshfeld surface analysis revealed the dominance of H...H interactions (55.7% in overall surface) indicating that structure is also stabilized by Van der Walls contacts, and their contribution are consistent with the description of molecular packing. In Fig. 5b. The red spot indicates the weak C—H⋯O interaction and Fig. 5c show the overall two-dimensional fingerprint plots. The Hirshfeld surfaces mapped with

Table 2. (Continued)

| Bond Length in Å | Bond Angles in degrees | Dihedral Angles in degrees |
|------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Parameter        | XRD [13]               | B3LYP/6-311 + G (d,p)     |
| Parameter        | XRD [13]               | B3LYP/6-311 + G (d,p)     |
| Parameter        | XRD [13]               | B3LYP/6-311 + G (d,p)     |

|         |     |     |     |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|
| H17-C5-H19 | 109.5 | 108.0 |
| H18-C5-H19  | 109.5 | 107.6 |
| H20-C6-H21  | 109.4 | 107.9 |
| H20-C6-H22  | 09.5  | 107.7 |
| H21-C6-H22  | 109.6 | 107.4 |
| 011-C7-012  | 125.7(5) | 123.1 |
| H24-N8-H25  | 109.5 | 108.2 |

Fig. 5. (a) Packing fraction of GLV single crystal. (b) Hirshfeld surfaces for GLV single crystal. (c) The breakdown of the fingerprint plot for GLV single crystal. (d) Shaped type Hirshfeld surfaces for GLV single crystal.
the shape index property with the bright-red spot Fig. 5d indicate the C—H⋯O interaction.

4.5. FT-IR and FT-Raman spectral analyses

Experimental spectra of FTIR and FT-Raman are presented in the Figs. 6 and 7 respectively. The observed (FT-IR and FT-Raman), calculated vibrational frequencies and vibrational assignments are given in Table 3.

4.5.1. Methyl group vibrations

The side chain of GLV has two methyl groups attached to the C atom. The CH₃ stretching and deformation vibrations are more or less localized, and offer to good group frequencies. The positions of the C-H stretching vibrations are among the most stable in the spectrum, since the CH₃ group also exhibit C₃v symmetry. In aliphatic compounds, the asymmetric and symmetric CH₃ stretching vibrations are normally observed in the region 2950- 2850 cm⁻¹ [18, 19, 20]. In the present compound, the CH₃ asymmetric stretching mode of vibration appears at 2963 cm⁻¹ and 2958 cm⁻¹ in the FT-IR and FT-Raman spectrum respectively. The strong Raman band at 2872 cm⁻¹ and a medium band in IR at 2877 cm⁻¹ are assigned to methyl symmetric stretching mode.

The asymmetric and symmetric bending vibrations of methyl groups normally appear in the region 1465-1410 cm⁻¹ and 1390-1370 cm⁻¹ respectively. The asymmetric bending mode is observed as a medium and broad band at 1444 cm⁻¹ in IR and as a medium band at 1406 cm⁻¹ in Raman, while the symmetric bending mode is observed as a weak band at 1339 cm⁻¹ in both IR and Raman.
4.5.2. **Amino group vibrations**

The NH$_2$ group in both primary amines and amides is associated with three characteristic infrared absorption bands. Two, with frequencies around 3500 and 3300 cm$^{-1}$ are identified respectively with the antisymmetric and symmetric NH stretching vibrations. In the third, in the range 1590–1650 cm$^{-1}$, the HNH bending mode is considered to predominate [21]. In this present case, the N-H stretching frequencies are observed at 3358 cm$^{-1}$ and 3075 cm$^{-1}$ in the FT-IR are assigned to asymmetric and symmetric vibration respectively. The observed blue shifting of amino stretching wave numbers clearly demonstrates the participation of amino group in intermolecular hydrogen bond formation. The HNH symmetric deformation wave numbers are found at 1566 and 1559 cm$^{-1}$ in the FT-IR and FT-Raman spectrum respectively.

4.5.3. **COOH group vibrations**

Free amino acids also have carboxylate ion (COO$^-$ ion) stretching vibrations, a strong band occurring in the region 1600-1560 cm$^{-1}$ [22, 23]. In FT-IR and FT-Raman, a strong band observed at 1687 cm$^{-1}$ and 1685 cm$^{-1}$ are due to the C=O stretching of the COOH group. The red shifting of this wave number suggests a decrease in its double bond character which establishes the existence of intermolecular N–H…O bonds within the molecule.

4.5.4. **Amide group vibrations**

The C=O band frequency in amides is predominantly sensitive to hydrogen bonding at the C=O group, while the C-N vibration is equally responsive to the state of
Table 3. Detailed assignment of fundamental vibrations of GLV single crystal.

| DFT scaled | IR exp | Raman exp | Assignment |
|------------|--------|-----------|------------|
| 3498       |        |           | O₁₂-H₃₃ stretch |
| 3355       | 3358   | Sbr       | NH₂ asym stretch |
| 3332       | 3257   | Sbr       | N₉-H₂₆ stretch |
| 3275       | 3075   | Sbr       | NH₂ sym stretch |
| 2905       | 2963   | Sbr       | methyl asym stretch |
| 2897       | 2926   | Sbr       | methyl asym stretch |
| 2889       |        |           | C₁-H₁₅ stretch |
| 2886       |        |           | H₂₃-O₁₂-H₂₁ asym stretch |
| 2879       |        |           | CH asym stretch |
| 2873       |        |           | CH asym stretch |
| 2821       | 2877   | Mbr       | 2872 s CH sym stretch |
| 2816       |        |           | CH sym stretch |
| 2810       | 2661   | Mbr       | 2765 w C₄-H₁₆ stretch |
| 2747       | 2116   | W         | 2620 w C₁-H₁₃ stretch |
| 1680       | 1687   | S         | 1685 m C₇-O₁₁ stretch |
| 1630       | 1626   | Vs        | C₂-O₁₀ stretch |
| 1564       | 1566   | Vs        | 1559 w H₂₃-N₉-H₂₄ scissoring |
| 1410       | 1468   | Mbr       | 1446 m methyl group scissoring |
| 1404       | 1444   | Mbr       | 1406 m methyl group asym bend |
| 1399       | 1404   | S         | H₁₃-C₁-H₁₄ scissoring |
| 1391       |        |           | methyl group scissoring |
| 1386       |        |           | methyl group asym bend |
| 1384       |        |           | C₃-N₉-H₂₆ scissoring |
| 1330       | 1339   | W         | 1339 w methyl group sym bend |
| 1314       |        |           | H₁₃-C₁-H₁₄ wag |
| 1310       |        |           | methyl group sym bend |
| 1288       | 1295   | M         | 1296 w N₉-C₃-C₄-C₆ bend |
| 1273       | 1268   | M         | 1271 w H₁₃-C₁-H₁₄ asym bend |
| 1261       |        |           | N₉-C₃-C₄-C₆ bend, H₁₃-C₁-H₁₄ asym bend |
| 1258       |        |           | C₇-O₁₂-H₃₃ sym bend |
| 1236       |        |           | N₉-C₃-C₄-C₆ bendi |
| 1212       | 1163   | W         | 1157 w H₁₃-C₁-N₉-H₂₄ sym bend |
| 1203       |        |           | N₉-C₃-C₄-C₆ sym bend |
| 1117       | 1127   | W         | H₂₃-O₁₂-C₇-C₃ |
| 1108       |        |           | CH bend sym |
| 1097       |        |           | CH bend sym |
| 1080       | 1089   | W         | 1003 w H₁₃-C₁-H₁₄ wag, H₂₄-C₇-C₉ wag |
| 1044       |        |           | CH bend asym |

(continued on next page)
hydrogen bonding at the C=O and N-H sites [24, 25, 26]. The active fundamentals which appear with medium intensity at 932 cm$^{-1}$ in IR and at 939 cm$^{-1}$ in Raman are identified as C-N stretching vibration [27]. It can be inferred that that hydrogen bonding at the carbonyl sites stabilizes the charged resonance structure of the amide.
bond. This results in an increase in the $C_2-N_9$ double bond character as the $C_2=O_{10}$ bond order decreases, thereby the $C_2-N_9$ bond length decreases while the $C_2=O_{10}$ bond lengthens. This charge resonance structure is evident from the natural bond orbital analysis as well, which is responsible for the enhancement of the NLO activity of the molecule.

### 4.6. Natural bond orbital analysis

The natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis provides an efficient method for investigating conjugative interactions in molecular systems. Stabilizing interactions between filled and unoccupied orbitals and destabilizing interactions between filled orbitals can also be obtained from this analysis [28]. The hyperconjugative interaction energy was deduced from the second-order perturbation approach.

$$E(2) = -n_\sigma \frac{\langle \sigma | F | \sigma \rangle^2}{\epsilon_{\sigma} - \epsilon_{\sigma}^*} = -n_\sigma \frac{F_{ij}^2}{\Delta E}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\langle \sigma | F | \sigma \rangle^2$ or $F_{ij}$ is the Fock matrix element between the $i$th and $j$th NBO orbitals, $\epsilon_{\sigma}$ and $\epsilon_{\sigma}^*$ are the energies of $\sigma$ and $\sigma^*$ NBO’s, and $n_\sigma$ is the population of the donor $\sigma$ orbital. The greater the $E(2)$ value, the more intensive is the interaction between electron donors and acceptors, i.e. the greater the extent of conjugation of the system.

| Donor NBO (i) | Occupancy | Acceptor NBO (j) | Occupancy | Hybrid (%p character) | $E(2)^a$ \(kJ/mol\) | $E(j)-E(i)^b$ \(a.u.\) | $F(i,j)^c$ \(a.u\) |
|--------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| LP$_1$(N$_9$) | 1.72008   | \(\pi^*(C_2-O_{10})\) | 0.01253   | $sp^{2.02}$ (66.79)  | 234.3             | 0.29              | 0.115             |
| LP$_2$(O$_{10}$) | 1.86832   | \(\sigma^*(C_1-C_2)\) | 0.06992   | $sp^{2.74}$ (73.21)  | 80.09             | 0.62              | 0.098             |
| LP$_2$(O$_{10}$) | 1.86832   | \(\sigma^*(C_2-N_9)\) | 0.07582   | $sp^{2.15}$ (69.15)  | 104.4             | 0.70              | 0.119             |
| LP$_2$(O$_{11}$) | 1.85233   | \(\sigma^*(C_3-C_7)\) | 0.07834   | $sp^{2.03}$ (75.11)  | 73.58             | 0.63              | 0.096             |
| LP$_2$(O$_{11}$) | 1.85233   | \(\sigma^*(C_2-O_{12})\) | 0.09861   | $sp^{2.68}$ (72.69)  | 140.7             | 0.62              | 0.130             |
| LP$_2$(O$_{12}$) | 1.82053   | \(\pi^*(C_7-O_{11})\) | 0.02195   | $sp^{1.91}$ (65.52)  | 188.4             | 0.35              | 0.113             |

$^a$ $E(2)$ means energy of hyperconjugative interactions; cf. Eq. (1.0).

$^b$ Energy difference between donor and acceptor NBO.

$^c$ $F(i,j)$ is the Fock matrix element between the donor and acceptor NBO.

---
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the lone pair orbital occupancy (1.72008), than the other occupancy (0.01253), and indicates hyperconjugation between N9 and the valine part. The energy contribution of LP2 O11 → σ*(N8−H25) value is 2.43 kJ mol⁻¹. This energy value indicate the presence of a weak intramolecular C−H⋯O hydrogen bonding interaction between the oxygen lone pair and the antibonding orbitals.

The contributions of the stabilization energies for the LP2 O11 → σ*(C3−C7) [73.58 kJ mol⁻¹] and LP2 O11 → σ*(C7−O12) [140.7 kJ mol⁻¹] charge transfers have higher values than the other delocalizations. An important contribution for the molecular stabilization is further given by O12 through the overlap of its sp99.9 lone pair LP2 O12 with the π*(C7−O11) orbital. This is a consequence of the stabilization of the carboxylate ion by resonance where by the negative charge cloud is delocalized between the two electronegative oxygen atoms in the resonance structure, which leads to the de-protonation of the carboxyl group and the subsequent protonation of the amino group. Intermolecular hydrogen bond at the N-H sites further leads to delocalization of charge cloud through C−H⋯O, N-H⋯O and C−H⋯N bonds which act as a channel for intermolecular charge transfer. Such D-π-A systems in crystalline form having dense molecular packing serve as a good candidate for high mobility charge transport diode and field effect transistor [29].

4.7. Frontier molecular orbital (FMO) analysis

The frontier molecular orbitals are very much helpful for studying the electric and optical properties of the organic molecules. The stabilization of the bonding molecular orbital and destabilization of the antibonding can increase when the overlap of two orbitals increases. In the molecular interaction, there are the two important orbitals that interact with each other. One is the highest energy occupied molecular orbital is called HOMO represents the ability to donate an electron. The other one is the lowest energy unoccupied molecular orbital is called LUMO as an electron acceptor. These orbitals are sometimes called the frontier orbitals. The interaction between them is much stable and is called filled empty interaction. The charge densities of the HOMO and LUMO are shown in Fig. 8. The HOMO is mainly localized over the entire molecule except amine and a methyl group and the LUMO is spread over the entire molecule except methyl group. The HOMO-1 is concentrated over the CCN, NH2 and C=O group of the molecule. Molecule with large HOMO–LUMO gap has been shown to be stable and unreactive; those with small gaps are chemically reactive [30, 31, 32, 33, 34]. The HOMO and LUMO energy are -8.7447eV and -3.2005eV in gas phase. The energy difference between HOMO and LUMO orbital is called as energy gap that is a significant stability for structures. The DFT level calculated energy gap is 5.5442eV, show the large energy gap and reflect the zero electrical activity of the molecule.
4.8. Analysis of global chemical reactivity descriptors (GCRD) of GLV single crystal

Global chemical reactivity descriptors are based on the conceptual density functional theory (DFT) and are used to understand the relationship between global chemical reactivity, structure, stability etc. parameters of any molecules. The values of various GCRD parameters such as chemical hardness (\(\eta\)), chemical potential (\(\mu\)), softness (S), electronegativity (\(\chi\)) and electrophilicity index (\(\omega\)) of GLV molecule using HOMO and LUMO energies values using different functional and given in Table 5. An approximation for absolute hardness \(\eta\) was developed [35, 36, 37] as given below:

\[
\eta = \frac{1 - A}{2}
\]  

(2)

where I is the vertical ionization energy and A is vertical electron affinity.

Fig. 8. HOMO, HOMO-1, LUMO and LUMO+1 representation of GLV single crystal.
As per Koopman’s theorem [38] the ionization energy and electron affinity can be specified through HOMO and LUMO orbital energies as:

\[ I = -E_{\text{HOMO}} \]
\[ A = -E_{\text{LUMO}} \]

The calculated values of GCRD such as \( \eta, \mu, S, \chi \) and \( \omega \) for GLV single crystal are also presented in Table 5. The higher energy of HOMO is corresponds to the more reactive molecule in the reactions with electrophiles, while lower LUMO energy is essential for molecular reactions with nucleophiles [39].

Hence, the hardness of any materials is correspond to the gap between the HOMO and LUMO orbitals. If the energy gap of HOMO-LUMO is larger, than molecule would be harder [36].

\[ \eta = \frac{1}{2} (E_{\text{LUMO}} - E_{\text{HOMO}}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The electronic chemical potential of a molecule is calculated by:

\[ \mu = -\left(\frac{I + A}{2}\right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The softness of a molecule is calculated by:

Table 5. Calculated energy values of FMOs, their difference, \( \eta, \mu, S, \chi \) and \( \omega \) by B3LYP using/6-31G* basis set for GLV single crystal.

| Parameters                  | B3LYP |
|-----------------------------|-------|
|                            | a.u   | e.V    |
| \( E_{\text{HOMO}} \)     | -0.3213 | -8.7447 |
| \( E_{\text{HOMO}-1} \)    | 0.3296   | -8.9708 |
| \( E_{\text{LUMO}} \)      | -0.1176  | -3.2005 |
| \( E_{\text{LUMO}-1} \)    | -0.1035  | -2.8185 |
| \( \Delta E_{\text{HOMO-LUMO}} \) | 0.2037   | 5.5442 |
| \( \Delta E_{\text{HOMO-1-LUMO-1}} \) | 0.2260   | 6.1523 |
| Hardness (\( \eta \))       | 0.1018   | 2.7702  |
| Potential (\( \mu \))       | -0.2195  | -5.9730 |
| Softness (\( S \))          | 1.5772   | 42.9187 |
| Electronegativity (\( \chi \)) | 0.2195   | 5.9730  |
| Electrophilic index (\( \omega \)) | 0.2364   | 6.4348  |
\[ s = \frac{I}{2\eta} \]  
(5)

The electronegativity of the molecule is calculated by:
\[ \chi = \left( \frac{I + A}{2} \right) \]  
(6)

The electrophilicity index of the molecule is calculated by:
\[ \omega = \frac{\mu^2}{2\eta} \]  
(7)

### 4.9. Mulliken atomic charge

Mulliken atomic charge calculation has an important role in the application of quantum chemical calculation to molecular system because of atomic charges effect dipole moment, molecular polarizability, electronic structure and more a lot of properties of molecular systems. The calculated Mulliken charge values of GLV are listed in Table 6. The Mulliken charge distribution of the GLV in B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) methods is shown in Fig. 9. The charge distribution of the molecule shows all the hydrogen atoms and C2 are positively charged whereas the other carbon atoms are negative. C3, C7 and O12 display strong electonegativity, the theoretical Mulliken atomic charge of C3, C7 and O12 is -0.0916, -0.1419 and -0.1452 a.u respectively.

### Table 6. Mulliken atomic charge of GLV single crystal.

| Atoms | Charges  | Atoms | Charges  |
|-------|----------|-------|----------|
| C1    | -0.17657 | H14   | 0.17376  |
| C2    | 0.16455  | H15   | 0.17602  |
| C3    | -0.09163 | H16   | 0.16279  |
| C4    | 0.00292  | H17   | 0.16159  |
| C5    | -0.51633 | H18   | 0.14188  |
| C6    | -0.52334 | H19   | 0.14865  |
| C7    | -0.14193 | H20   | 0.15049  |
| N8    | -0.43976 | H21   | 0.15114  |
| N9    | -0.12986 | H22   | 0.14796  |
| O10   | -0.33618 | H23   | 0.27276  |
| O11   | -0.24148 | H24   | 0.2366   |
| O12   | -0.14529 | H25   | 0.23756  |
| H13   | 0.12965  | H26   | 0.28407  |
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4.10. Molecular electrostatic potential (MEP)

To investigate the reactive sites of 1, the molecular electrostatic potential [40] was evaluated using the B3LYP/6-311G (d,p) method. The molecular electrostatic potential, $V(r)$ at a point ‘$r$’ in the space around a molecule (in atomic units) can be expressed as:

$$V(r) = \sum_A \frac{Z_A}{|R_A - r|} - \int \frac{\rho(r') \mathrm{d}r'}{r'}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Where $Z_A$ is the charge on nucleus A, located at $R_A$. $\rho(r')$ is the electronic density function of the molecule and $r'$ is the dummy integration variable. The first and second terms represent the contributions to the potential due to nuclei and electrons,

Fig. 9. Mulliken atomic charge of GLV single crystal.

Fig. 10. Molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) map of GLV single crystal.
respectively. Molecular electrostatic potential, \( V(r) \) is the resultant at each point \( r \), which is the net electrostatic effect produced at the point \( r \) by both the electrons and nuclei of the molecule.

Molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) is an important tool used to predict the reactivity of a wide variety of chemical systems in both electrophilic and nucleophilic reactions [41, 42]. It provides a visual method to understand the relative polarity of the molecule. Fig. 10 shows the MEP surface plot for the molecule computed at B3LYP/6-311G (d,p) level on the optimized geometry of the molecule. The electrostatic potential ranges from -0.0297 a. u. with deepest blue density to +0.0297 a. u.

### Table 7. Theoretically computed energies (a.u.), zero-point vibrational energies (kcal mol\(^{-1}\)), rotational constants (GHz), entropies (cal mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)) and dipole moment (D) for GLV single crystal.

| Parameters                          | DFT/B3LYP/6-311+ +G(d,p) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Total energy                        | -610.564                 |
| Zero-point vibrational energy       | 137.702                  |
| Rotational constants                |                          |
| A                                   | 1.114                    |
| B                                   | 0.689                    |
| C                                   | 0.549                    |
| Entropy                             |                          |
| Total                               | 118.349                  |
| Translational                       | 41.371                   |
| Rotational                          | 31.010                   |
| Vibrational                         | 45.969                   |
| Thermal energy                      |                          |
| Total                               | 146.495                  |
| Translational                       | 0.889                    |
| Rotational                          | 0.889                    |
| Vibrational                         | 144.718                  |
| Heat capacity                       |                          |
| Total                               | 50.332                   |
| Translational                       | 2.981                    |
| Rotational                          | 2.981                    |
| Vibrational                         | 44.370                   |
| Dipole moment                       |                          |
| \( \mu_x \)                         | 4.056                    |
| \( \mu_y \)                         | 0.057                    |
| \( \mu_z \)                         | -1.699                   |
| \( \mu \)                           | 4.398                    |
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which represents the electron deficient regions \( V(r) > 0.0297 \) a. u.) and deepest red density represents the electron rich regions \( V(r) < -0.0297 \) a.u.) in the plot. The maximum values of ESP show that the C7 carbons and hydrogen are acidic, exhibit greater positive potentials \( \sim 18.4942 \) eV. The green area represents the zero electrostatic potential regions. It is seen from Fig. 10 that the region around oxygen atom (O10) linked with carbon through double bond represents the most negative potential region (red) and the region around the hydrogen atom represents the maximum positive charge (blue) in molecule. The predominance of light green region in the MEP surfaces corresponds to a potential halfway between the two extremes red and dark blue color.

The calculated thermo-dynamical parameters are presented in Table 7 such as total energy, zero-point vibrational energy, rotational constants and entropy at room temperature using B3LYP/6-311++G (d, p) basis set. Thermodynamic parameters can explain the stability and reactivity of a molecule. As seen from the table, total energy and total thermal energy of GLV is -610.564 a.u and 146.495 cal mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\) respectively. Additionally, it is found that the total entropy and heat capacity of GLV is 118.349 and 50.332 cal mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\). Furthermore, dipole moment, which is an important value helping to characterize the electronic property of a molecule by atomic charge distribution.

5. Conclusion

Single crystals of organic GLV were grown by slow evaporation technique. Second harmonic generation efficiency of GLV is \( \sim 4.3 \) times greater than that of potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate. DFT based calculations with B3LYP/6.311+G(d,p) basis set reveal the delocalization of electron charge cloud due to stabilization of the carboxylate ion by resonance leading to the de-protonation of the carboxyl group and the subsequent protonation of the amino group. Further delocalization of charge cloud happens through C—H…O, N-H…O and C —H……N bonds due to intermolecular hydrogen bond at the N-H sites which act as a channel for intermolecular charge transfer. The Hirshfeld surface analysis confirms the intermolecular interactions of GLV crystal. Such D-π-A systems in crystalline form having dense molecular packing serve as a good candidate for high mobility charge transport diode and field effect transistor.
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