Instability of spatial patterns and its ambiguous impact on species diversity
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Biodiverse ecosystems comprise complex interactions of a large number of individuals and species leading to rich spatio-temporal community structures [1]. Much efforts in theoretical and biological physics are currently devoted to qualitative and quantitative understanding of basic mechanisms that maintain their diversity. Hereby, within exemplary models, the formation of dynamic spatial patterns has been identified as a key promoter [2, 3, 4, 5]. In particular, crucial influence of dynamic spatial patterns has been identified as a key promoter [2, 3, 4, 5]. In particular, crucial influence of basic mechanisms that maintain their diversity. Hereby, within exemplary models, the formation of dynamic spatial patterns has been identified as a key promoter [2, 3, 4, 5]. In particular, crucial influence of basic mechanisms that maintain their diversity. Hereby, within exemplary models, the formation of dynamic spatial patterns has been identified as a key promoter [2, 3, 4, 5]. In particular, crucial influence of basic mechanisms that maintain their diversity. Hereby, within exemplary models, the formation of dynamic spatial patterns has been identified as a key promoter [2, 3, 4, 5]. In particular, crucial influence of basic mechanisms that maintain their diversity. Hereby, within exemplary models, the formation of dynamic spatial patterns has been identified as a key promoter [2, 3, 4, 5]. In particular, crucial influence of basic mechanisms that maintain their diversity. Hereby, within exemplary models, the formation of dynamic spatial patterns has been identified as a key promoter [2, 3, 4, 5]. In particular, crucial influence of basic mechanisms that maintain their diversity. Hereby, within exemplary models, the formation of dynamic spatial patterns has been identified as a key promoter [2, 3, 4, 5]. In particular, crucial influence of basic mechanisms that maintain their diversity. Hereby, within exemplary models, the formation of dynamic spatial patterns has been identified as a key promoter [2, 3, 4, 5]. In particular, crucial influence of basic mechanisms that maintain their diversity. Hereby, within exemplary models, the formation of dynamic spatial patterns has been identified as a key promoter [2, 3, 4, 5]. In particular, crucial influence of basic mechanisms that maintain their diversity. Hereby, within exemplary models, the formation of dynamic spatial patterns has been identified as a key promoter [2, 3, 4, 5]. In particular, crucial influence of basic mechanisms that maintain their diversity. Hereby, within exemplary models, the formation of dynamic spatial patterns has been identified as a key promoter [2, 3, 4, 5]. In particular, crucial influence of basic mechanisms that maintain their diversity. Hereby, within exemplary models, the formation of dynamic spatial patterns has been identified as a key promoter [2, 3, 4, 5]. In particular, crucial influence of basic mechanisms that maintain their diversity. Hereby, within exemplary models, the formation of dynamic spatial patterns has been identified as a key promoter [2, 3, 4, 5]. In particular, crucial influence of basic mechanisms that maintain their diversity. Hereby, within exemplary models, the formation of dynamic spatial patterns has been identified as a key promoter [2, 3, 4, 5]. In particular, crucial influence of basic mechanisms that maintain their diversity. Hereby, within exemplary models, the formation of dynamic spatial patterns has been identified as a key promoter [2, 3, 4, 5].

Here, from theoretical studies, we show that cyclic competition of species can lead to highly non-trivial spatial patterns as well as counterintuitive effects on biodiversity. Investigating cyclic dynamics near a (degenerate) bifurcation point, we find that pattern formation is only weak and mainly influenced by stochastic effects. Namely, in a prototypical model where three species compete cyclically, and the deterministic rate equations (RE) for the densities’ time evolution predict weakly unstable coexistence (near or at neutral stability), we demonstrate the generic formation of convectively instable spiral waves. The instability of the latter appears as a “blurring” of the spirals and is the stronger the closer the RE operate near the bifurcation point, i.e. near the parameter point where the RE predict neutral stability. Consequently, patterns take shape only weakly and allow for major influence of stochasticity. This effect is most pronounced at the bifurcation point; there, noise remains as the only source of pattern formation. Furthermore, at the bifurcation point, we uncover a counterintuitive destabilizing effect of patterns on the stability of coexistence. Similar to what has been found in other studies, see Refs. [5, 6, 9, 10, 11] and references therein, for low individuals’ mobility, the size of the emerging spatial structures lies much below the size of the ecosystem and allows for stable coexistence of all species. However, we show that a mobility exceeding a first critical value leads to rapid extinction of species with only one surviving. This scenario is connected to weakly formed patterns that span over the whole system, revealing the antagonistic character of such large patterns on biodiversity. Only when mobility lies above a second threshold, a third, effectively well-mixed regime emerges. There, patterns and spatial correlations do not form and the fate of species diversity is determined by the character of the species competitions alone.

We design a spatial and stochastic model of cyclically competing populations in the following way. Individuals of three species A, B, and C populate a square lattice, such that each site is occupied by one individual or left empty. Each agent can interact with its four nearest neighbors by either exchanging positions at a rate $\epsilon$ (individuals are mobile), or by cyclic competition. The latter interactions are described in the language of chemical reactions; generically, we consider:

\[
AB \xrightarrow{\mu} AA, \quad AB \xrightarrow{\gamma} A\emptyset, \quad A\emptyset \xrightarrow{\mu} AA,
\]

\[
BC \xrightarrow{\mu} BB, \quad BC \xrightarrow{\gamma} B\emptyset, \quad B\emptyset \xrightarrow{\mu} BB,
\]

\[
CA \xrightarrow{\mu} CC, \quad CA \xrightarrow{\gamma} C\emptyset, \quad C\emptyset \xrightarrow{\mu} CC.
\]

Reactions (1) describe consumption of an individual by another of a predominant species, and immediate reproduction of the latter. Cyclic dominance appears as A consumes B and reproduces, while B preys on C and
The RE encode the deterministic behavior of these reactions in the well-mixed scenario. Dependent on the type of reactions, they can yield stable, unstable, or neutrally stable diversity. For the above defined model, with \( \bar{x} = (a, b, c) \) denoting the densities of the three distinct species and \( \rho = a + b + c \) the total density, the RE read,

\[
\partial_t x_i = x_i \left[ \mu(1 - \rho) + x_{i+1} - (1 + \gamma)x_{i+2} \right] \equiv A_i .
\] (4)

Hereby, the indices are understood as modulo 3. These equations have been analyzed by May and Leonard \[12\] and also appear in theoretical descriptions of the Kuppers-Lortz instability \[13, 14\]. Generically, Eqs. (4) possess an unstable internal fixed point as well as heteroclinic orbits, where the system cycles between states with nearly only one species, leading to rapid extinction of all but one species when stochastic effects are included. A degenerate bifurcation emerges at \( \mu = \gamma = 0 \), i.e. when only the reactions (1) are present. In this situation, neutrally stable orbits surround an internal fixed point, being neutrally stable as well \[12, 13\]. Finite-size fluctuations invalidate the neutral stability and induce extinction of two species after a characteristic time \( T \) which is proportional to the system size \( N \) \[15\]. In the following, we investigate the system’s behavior in the vicinity of this bifurcation point; for illustration, we consider equal selection and reproduction rates \( \gamma = \mu \).

In the spatial model, mobility of individuals, stemming from random local exchanges, leads to their diffusion on the lattice with a diffusion constant \( D = 2eN^{-1} \) \[16, 17\]. Employing a continuum limit of large systems, \( N \to \infty \) with diffusivity \( D \) kept fixed, the stochastic spatial system becomes describable by stochastic partial differential equations (SPDE), see References \[17\]; they read

\[
\partial_t x_i = D \nabla^2 x_i + A_i + \sum_j C_{ij} \xi_j .
\] (5)

In these equations, a term \( D \nabla^2 \) describes individuals’ diffusion on the lattice; it reveals that the size of possible spatial structures is proportional to \( \sqrt{D} \) \[17\]. The nonlinear terms \( A_i \) coincide with those of the RE (4) and take interactions into account. Noise terms, stemming from a system-size expansion \[18, 19\] are added which scale as the square root of \( N \). In Eqs. (5), \( \xi_i \) denote uncorrelated gaussian noise, and correlations are accounted for by \( CC^T \). The matrix \( C \) is thereby not uniquely determined. While \( CC^T \) is symmetric, \( C \) appears, in general, asymmetric without physical significance; we choose

\[
C = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{6} N^2} \begin{pmatrix}
2\sqrt{\frac{7}{3} + 2/7} & -\sqrt{3 + 2/3} & -\sqrt{3 + 2/3} \\
-\sqrt{3 + 2/3} & 0 & -\sqrt{3 + 2/3} \\
-\sqrt{3 + 2/3} & -\sqrt{3 + 2/3} & 2\sqrt{\frac{7}{3} + 2/7}
\end{pmatrix} .
\] (6)

We have numerically solved the SPDE (5) using open software from the XmdS project \[20\]. Snapshots of the resulting steady states, for low diffusivity and rates \( \gamma \) approaching the bifurcation point \( \gamma = 0 \), are shown in Fig. 1 All three species coexist in a stable manner, through the formation of spatial patterns. Large values of \( \gamma \) (see \( \gamma = 5 \) in Fig. (a)) yield a dominant contribution of the selection and reproduction events (2), and entangled rotating spirals form, similar to the structures reported in Refs. [14, 15]. At lower values, these spirals appear blurred, while their wavelength increases. The blurring intensifies upon lowering \( \gamma \); at the bifurcation point (\( \gamma = 0 \)) patterns take shape only extremely weakly and appear to be of predominantly stochastic nature.

These observations can be analytically understood by employing a complex Ginzburg-Landau equation (CGLE). Although the RE (4) operate in a three-dimensional phase space, spanned by the densities \( a, b, \) and \( c \), trajectories quickly relax to a two-dimensional invariant manifold \[17\]. On the latter, expanding the RE to third order around the unstable reactive fixed point (and ignoring higher nonlinearities) results in the normal form of the Hopf bifurcation \[17\]. The corresponding SPDE, upon ignoring noise, may be cast into the form of a CGLE, where a complex variable \( z \) encodes the densities’ deviations from the internal fixed point, see Refs. \[17\]:

\[
\partial_t z = D \Delta z + (c_1 - i \omega) z - c_2 (1 - i c_0) |z|^2 z .
\] (7)
The coefficients \( \omega, c_1, c_2, \) and \( c_3 \) are rational functions of the parameter \( \gamma \) and given by \( \omega = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \sqrt{3} (\sqrt{2} + 2) \right), c_1 = \frac{1}{\gamma}, c_2 = \sqrt{3} (\sqrt{2} + 2) \), and \( c_3 = \sqrt{3} (\sqrt{2} + 2) \).

As main characteristics, near the bifurcation point, i.e. \( \gamma \ll 1 \), they asymptotically behave as \( \omega \approx \text{const.}, c_1 \sim c_2 \sim \gamma, \) and \( c_3 \sim 1/\gamma \). The vanishing of \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) at the bifurcation point reflects the neutral stability of the fixed point and the surrounding closed orbits.

A CGLE is accurate in the vicinity of a supercritical Hopf-bifurcation. In our case, Eq. (1) is only approximate: the RE (7) do not exhibit a Hopf bifurcation, but a degenerate bifurcation where heteroclinic orbits turn into a family of nested, neutrally stable orbits. In the following, we show that the CGLE (7) nevertheless provides a reliable description of the system. However, ignoring higher nonlinearities induces certain quantitative deviations from numerical findings, as discussed below.

Rotating spiral waves constitute a generic solution to the CGLE, and the spreading velocities, frequencies, and wavelengths can be calculated analytically. As an example, the wavelength follows as

\[
\lambda = 2 \pi c_3 \sqrt{D/c_1} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1 + c_3^2} \right)^{-1}.
\]

Comparing these analytic values to numerical ones, we have found that the former exceed the latter by a factor of 1.55, which we attribute to higher (ignored) nonlinearities. Reducing the analytical result by this constant factor yields an excellent agreement, see Fig. 2.

The CGLE (7) predicts an Eckhaus instability. Namely, the spirals are only stable against longitudinal long-wave perturbations if the Eckhaus criterion \( 1 + 2 \frac{c_3^2}{1 - c_3^2} > 0 \) is fulfilled, with the rescaled wavevector \( Q = 2 \pi \sqrt{D/c_1}/\lambda \), see Ref. [21]. In our case, this condition translates into \( \gamma > \gamma_E \), with the value \( \gamma_E \approx 1.43 \).

Above \( \gamma_E \), the spirals are absolutely stable, while for values of \( \gamma \) below \( \gamma_E \), they exhibit convective instabilities: a localized perturbation grows but travels away. The instabilities result in the blurring seen in Fig. 1 and originate in the weak instability of the RE’s internal fixed point. Numerically, the value of \( \gamma_E \) may be determined by analyzing the influence of perturbations, as we describe in the Supplementary Material [22]. A value \( \gamma_E \approx 2 \) is found, which exceeds the analytical one at a factor 1.4. As for the wavelength, we attribute this deviation to the fact that the CGLE (7) is only a (third-order) approximation to the full nonlinear terms appearing in the SPDE (5).

Upon approaching the bifurcation point, i.e. when \( \gamma \to 0 \), the spirals’ wavelength, as predicted by the CGLE (7), diverges: To leading order in \( 1/\gamma \), we calculate \( \lambda_{\text{asymp.}} = 4 \sqrt{2/D/\gamma} \), such that \( \lambda_{\text{asymp.}} \to \infty \) when \( \gamma \to 0 \). However, in this limit, the blurring due to the convective instability dominates over the instability of the RE (which indeed vanishes at the bifurcation point), such that the spiral waves are no longer relevant.

Computation of spatial correlation functions [25] and the resulting correlation length \( l_{\text{corr}} \), where spatial correlation functions \( \psi(r) \equiv \langle \phi(r) \phi(0) \rangle \) are computed, shows that, for \( \gamma < 0.001 \), \( l_{\text{corr}} \) is no longer proportional to the (diverging) wavelength, but appears to approach a constant value \( l_{\text{corr}} \sqrt{D} \), see Fig. 2. The spatial structures emerging at the bifurcation point are extremely weak, c.f. Fig. 1(e), and should be caused by fluctuations alone, as the RE do not yield an instability there. Determining the value \( l_{\text{corr}} \) requires understanding of these fluctuation-driven patterns, and may be the subject of future studies.

For high mobilities, the system becomes effectively well-mixed, and solely the stability of the RE’s internal
fixed point determines whether species diversity will be maintained or not [22]. In contrast, when mobility lies below a threshold value, spatial patterns can form and help to enable stable species diversity [3]. In the following, we show that, at the bifurcation, patterns can have even different, highly non-trivial impact on biodiversity.

Fluctuations unavoidably lead to ultimate extinction of species [3]. However, transient coexistence can last very long. For this reason, we have proposed a scheme to differentiate stable from unstable diversity which is based on time-scales. In brief, neutral stability leads to a mean extinction time \( T \) which is proportional to the system size \( N \) [15, 24]. We therefore consider the asymptotic limit \( N \to \infty \), and define a situation where \( T/N \to \infty \) as stable diversity (extinction takes very long), while \( T/N \to 0 \) corresponds to unstable diversity (extinction is fast).

We have applied this concept to determine the influence of mobility on diversity’s stability at the bifurcation point \( \gamma = 0 \). From stochastic simulations of the reactions [11] with nearest-neighbor exchanges on lattices of increasing size \( N \), we have computed the extinction probability \( P_{\text{ext}} \) that, starting at a random initial state with equal densities of \( A, B, \) and \( C \), two species have gone extinct after a waiting time \( t = N \). Results are shown in Fig. 2, where three different mobility regimes emerge. For low mobilities (around \( D = 0.001 \)), \( P_{\text{ext}} \) approaches \( 0 \) for increasing \( N \), implying \( T/N \to \infty \). In this regime, coexistence is therefore stable, as has previously been observed for vanishing mobility, see [11] and Refs. therein. For intermediate mobilities around \( D = 0.007 \), the opposite behavior emerges: \( P_{\text{ext}} \) tends to \( 1 \), such that \( T/N \to 0 \) and coexistence is unstable. High mobilities, around \( D = 0.5 \), yield an asymptotic value of \( P_{\text{ext}} \) of about \( 0.53 \), independent of \( N \), and thus neutral stability [3] (the precise value of \( P_{\text{ext}} \) depends on the choice of \( t = N \)). Critical mobility values separate these three regimes. Namely, with increasing \( N \), the transition from the stable to the unstable regime becomes sharp at a value \( D_c(1) \approx 3.5 \times 10^{-3} \). The unstable regime verges on the neutrally stable one around \( D_c(2) \approx 0.2 \), where \( P_{\text{ext}} \) reaches 0.53. Our data do not reveal whether a sharp transition or a crossover results when \( N \to \infty \).

In summary, we have quantitatively analyzed the emergence of blurred (convectively unstable) spiral waves near a bifurcation point of cyclic dynamics. This bifurcation point is characterized by neutrally stable, cycling orbits predicted by the corresponding RE [11]. There, spatial structures are predominantly determined by noise. These patterns have ambiguous impact on maintaining the coexistence of the interacting species. Low individuals’ mobility, corresponding to small patterns, promotes diversity, as has already been observed in previous studies [3, 6, 11]. However, medium values of mobility, inducing relatively large patterns, lead to rapid species extinction. Only for high mobilities, spatial patterns have no influence, and, being at the bifurcation point, neutrally stable coexistence emerges. Further investigations of the destabilizing influence of spatial patterns at medium mobilities are required for a general understanding of the effects of spatial degrees of freedom on the coexistence of mobile individuals. Also, such studies will shed further light on the role of noise that becomes a major player in pattern formation at bifurcation points.
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Supplementary Material

Numerical determination of the onset of convective instability

In this Supplementary Material, we describe further how the convective instability takes shape in the system’s reactive steady state, and how one can therefrom infer numerically the value $\gamma_E$, i.e. the boundary between stable and convectively unstable spiral waves.

We have already seen that convective instability manifests in a blurring of the spirals. However, at the onset of convective instability, the blurring is extremely weak, and cannot be detected from analyzing snapshots. Moreover, further inside the region of convective instability, where the blurring is stronger, it can hardly be quantified using, e.g., correlation functions. For these reasons, the blurring seems not to be a good candidate for a quantification of the onset of convective instability.

A better suited measure is obtained by investigating the effects of convective instability on large spiral waves. To obtain the latter, we solve the SPDE (5) with very low noise, but starting from an initial state which is spatially inhomogeneous. The latter inhomogeneities serve as “seeds” for the developing spiral waves and determine the position and numbers of their vortices [17]. In our numerical solutions, we have started from initial densities $a(r, t=0) = a^* + 1/100 \cos(2\pi r_1 r_2)$, $b(r, t=0) = b^*$, $c(r, t=0) = c^*$. Hereby, $a^*, b^*, c^*$ denote the densities at the reactive fixed point of the RE (4).

![FIG. 4: Snapshots of the reactive steady state for different values of the rate $\gamma$, and a diffusivity of $D = 10^{-6} \times \gamma$. We have solved the deterministic PDE, meaning Eqs. (5) in the absence of noise, with initial spatial inhomogeneities: $a(r, t=0) = a^* + 1/100 \cos(2\pi r_1 r_2)$, $b(r, t=0) = b^*$, $c(r, t=0) = c^*$. From left to right, the value of $\gamma$ decreases, approaching the Eckhaus instability. At the same time, the spiral density rises.](image)

Deep in the regime of stable spiral waves, i.e. for $\gamma \gg \gamma_E$, few large spirals emerge from these initial inhomogeneities, see Fig. 4 (a). For decreasing values of $\gamma$, approaching the convective instability, more and more vortices appear, see Fig. 4 (b),(c). Apparently, upon approaching the onset of convective instability, the large spiral waves become increasingly unstable against perturbations and noise, such that a larger number of smaller spirals appears. The density of spiral vortices increases, upon saturating at a constant value of about 0.3 for $\gamma \leq 2$. Interestingly, the same spiral density also emerges when the SPDE (5) are solved starting without initial inhomogeneities, such that noise perturbs the system initially and leads to the emergence of entangled spiral waves. Consequently, at the onset of the convective instability (as well as in the regime of convective instability), upon starting from initial spatial inhomogeneities or employing noise as an internal source of inhomogeneities, the system reaches statistically equivalent states. This is not true within the regime of stable spirals: There, initially imposed spatial inhomogeneities can lead to very different vortex densities than emerge when fluctuations determine the formation of entangled spirals.

Different vortex densities manifest in differences in the spatial correlation functions. The equal-time autocorrelation $g_{aa}(r)$ of species $A$ at distance $r$ shows damped oscillations, and may be approximated by

$$g_{aa}(r) \approx a \exp(-r/b)J_0(r/c),$$

with constants $a, b, c$. Hereby, the Bessel function $J_0$ describes oscillations, at a length proportional to $b$, which relate to the wavelength of the spirals. The exponential damping $\exp(-r/b)$ stems from the entangled spiral waves. The typical length $b$, at which the oscillations decay, reveals information about the vortex density: $b$ is proportional to the mean distance between spiral vortices, and therefore proportional to the inverse square root of the vortex density.
FIG. 5: The decay length $b$ of the oscillations of the correlation functions versus the rate $\gamma$. We show results from solving the SPDE (5) both starting from spatial inhomogeneities (red squares) as well as from initially homogeneous states (black circles). In the regime of stable spirals, the decay length is larger for the solution from initial inhomogeneities, corresponding to larger spirals. Approaching the Eckhaus instability, the decay length approaches the values of the initially homogeneous system. As a remark, similar to the wavelength, $b$ is asymptotically (for $\gamma \gg \gamma_E$ as well as for $\gamma \ll \gamma_E$) proportional to $\gamma^{-1/2}$. The data have been obtained by numerical solution of the SPDE (5) with diffusivity $D = 10^{-5} \times \gamma$.

From numerical simulations, obtained from initial inhomogeneities, we have determined the value of $b$ for different rates $\gamma$. As expected, for large values of $\gamma$, $\gamma \gg \gamma_E$, i.e. in the regime of stable spirals, $b$ is large, corresponding to a small vortex density arising from the small density of initially imposed spatial inhomogeneities. Decreasing $\gamma$, the value of $b$ decreases, and for $\gamma \leq \gamma_E \approx 2$ reaches the same value as arises when stochastic effects induce spiral waves.