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Öz: Amaç: Araştırmanın amacı İstanbul’da özel ve devlet üniversitelerinde spor bilimleri fakültesinde okuyan öğrencilerinin, toplam wellness ve wellness alt boyutlarını ölçmek, okul değişkenine göre wellness farklılıklarını belirlemektir. Genç nüfusun yoğun olduğu Türkiye’de üniversite öğrencilerinin wellness ile ilgili farkındalığı artırmak, sağlıklı yaşam bilincini kazandırmak ve konu ile ilgili literatürde katkı sağlamak çalışmanın önemini göstermektedir.

Yöntem: Araştırmada kullanılan wellness ölçeği Corbin ve arkadaşları tarafından geliştirilmiş ve Türkçe uyarlaması Odabaş tarafından yapılmıştır. Öğrenme düzeyi (4) ve sosyal destek (3) gibi alt ölçeklerin dört (4, 3, 2, 1) ve beş (5) puan arasında değerlendirildiği dörtlü likert tipi bir ölçek olarak kullanılmıştır. Verilerin değerlendirilmesinde SPSS programı kullanılmıştır. Verilerin analizinde; alt ölçek puanlarının cinsiyet ve özel-devlet üniversitesi yönünden karşılaştırılması için dependent t testi ve tanımlayıcı istatistikler kullanılmıştır.

Bulgular: Araştırma evrenini oluşturulan wellness ölçeği Corbin ve arkadaşları tarafından geliştirilmiştir. Ölçek dörtlü likert tipidir ve 5 alt alt boyut (dalgıç, fiziksel, zihinsel, sosyal, ruhsal wellness) vardır. Verilerin değerlendirilmesinde spss 21 programı kullanılmıştır. Verilerin analizinde; alt ölçek puanlarının cinsiyet ve özel-devlet üniversitesi yönünden karşılaştırılmasında in- dependent t testi ve tanımlayıcı istatistikler kullanılmıştır. Ara- şaçma araştırma sonucunda toplam wellness ve wellness alt boyutlarda cinsiyet ve üniversite değişkenine göre istatistiksel açıdan anlamlı farklılığı gözlemlemiştir. Araştırma sonucunda toplam wellness ve wellness alt boyutlarda cinsiyet ve üniversite değişkenine göre istatistiksel açıdan anlamlı farklılığa rastlanmamıştır. Sonuç: Araştırmanın sonucunda, Türkiye’de özel ve özel üniversitelerin spor bilimleri fakültesinde okuyan öğrencilerin wellness düzeyini ölçmek ve wellness alt boyutlarının cinsiyet ve üniversite değişkenine göre istatistiksel açıdan anlamlı farklılığı rastlanmamıştır. Araştırmacılar, bu sonucun önemini vurgulamış ve araştırma sonuçlarını uygulamaya yönlendirmiştir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Wellness, Üniversite Öğrencisi, Fiziksel Wellness

Abstract: Aim: The aim of the study is measuring the dimensions of total wellness sub dimensions of wellness and determining differences according to college variables. Turkey’s population is young. In such a country, to raise awareness of wellness, to gain awareness of healthy life and to contribute to the literature related to the subject is important.

Method: Wellness Scale (WS) developed by Corbin et al. Wellness Scale (WS) Odabaş made Turkish adaptation. The wellness scale used in the study is a quaternary likert type and has 5 sub-dimensions (emotional, physical, mental, social, spiritual wellness). Each dimension consists of 3 questions. SPSS program was used to evaluate the data. In the analysis of data; independent t-test was used to compare gender and private-state university subscale scores. Findings: The research population is composed of the sports science students in Istanbul. As a sample, a state and a private university were examined. In total 555 (379 private universities, 176 state universities) volunteers participated in the study with a mean age of 22.5 ± 2.47, body weight 71.86 ± 12.26, height, 177.19 ± 8.54. As a result of the analyses there were no statistically significant differences in total wellness and wellness subscales according to gender and university variables.

Conclusion: Private and State university sport science students who study in Istanbul, have high level of wellness. In addition, it was concluded that the physical wellness subscale scores of these students were higher than the other sub-dimensions. It can be thought that this result is related to the physical activity training of the students.
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INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, most of the serious health problems consist of the lifestyle people follow and are described as lifestyle diseases. In the USA and other developed countries, nearly half of the deaths at early ages are caused by problems related to lifestyle (O’Donnell, 2001: 49).

According to the statistics of World Health Organization (2013), the general causes of early deaths are heart attack, blood pressure, diabetes and obesity which are caused by lifestyle in our country. These factors show that half of the early age deaths are caused by lifestyle. One positive side of this situation is that by raising awareness of being healthy and protecting health and with changes in lifestyle, people will have the chance of living more and a healthy old age. In other words, if people learn more about a healthy life and risks, they can live a healthier and longer life. As is seen, one’s health and general well-being and lifestyle are highly correlated (Doğan and Yıldırım, 2006: 49).

Wellness can also be explained as a lifelong process in which people feel good physically, socially and psychologically at a certain point in life. It involves controlling and minimising all the risk factors that cause illness and negative thoughts (Anspaugh et al., 2003; Wiley, 2014: 11-36). Wellness is also defined as a way of life orientated toward optimal health and wellbeing in which body, mind, and spirit are integrated by an individual to live more fully within the human and natural community (Myers et al, 2000: 165-173). Whereas Adams, Bezner and Steinhardt (1997: 430-436) defined wellness as a way of life people maintaining their physical, spiritual, physiological, social, emotional and intellectual existence consistently and in a balanced way and forming a lifestyle in which these facts can have a healthy improvement.

Myers et al (2000: 165-173) defined people having high values in spirituality, sense of worth, sense of control, realistic beliefs, emotional awareness and coping, gender identity, self-care, sense of humour, nutrition, exercise, stress management, job satisfaction, hobbies, friendship, love and problem solving and creativity skills in the Wheel of Wellness are those who have high wellness ratings. They tried to define wellness by using those values that strengthen health and make alterations in lifestyle in that way (Doğan and Yıldırım, 2006: 49).
as base. In other words, they examined the situations that are necessary to make someone happy in those headings.

University students during their university education, where they are getting ready for adulthood and professional life, are expected to be people who can cope with their problems, participate actively, have good communication skills, be aware of factors that protect inherent and environmental physiological health and use those in their objective. It is important that they should be educated as an undaunted person who makes satisfactory relationships in his/her job and family life and takes pleasure in life and has hope concerning the future maintaining wellness both according to himself/herself and community (Gurgan, 2014: 19-35). The lifestyles people follow do not necessarily have to be their destiny. Necessary information to help individuals select a healthy lifestyle to increase their wellness can be provided with the help of suitable education programmes that will increase awareness (Doğan and Yıldırım, 2006:49). The university period, where habits and behaviour patterns for the rest of our life are formed is an important period in which people can gain awareness of a healthy life.

Guiding university students to form their life styles by giving them healthy living awareness will ensure that they will become healthier in their upcoming lives and will reduce their risks of catching chronic diseases. Most of the university students who are trying to maintain their active social lives during exams are not taking care of their personal health and wellness until they suffer from an inconvenience. Most of the university students should take the necessary steps in order to maintain a healthy life by continuing the physical activity they were doing during their studentship and by gaining awareness of being healthy (Higgins, 2009: 766-777).

Certain models were developed to determine the wellness of an individual with the aim of changing life styles of people and improving their wellness. In this study emotional, mental, physical, social and spiritual wellness of students were observed and Wellness self-perceptions scale was used in the study. In a developing country like Turkey where the young population is high, it is important to understand how the youth feel during their university period, to raise awareness and to form healthy life awareness. There are fewer studies about wellness for university youth in Turkey. That’s why this study focuses on the wellness levels of public and private university students in the schools of physical education and sports.

Students of the schools of Physical Education and Sports in Turkey can specialise in three or four different fields of profession. These
students are expected to have high wellness levels since sports sciences have a multidisciplinary structure. In this study it is expected to contribute to the Turkish and world literature by comparing the differences between the wellness levels of the students who study in the departments of sports management, training education and recreation education.

**AİM**

The aim of this study was to evaluate and compare the total wellness levels and emotional, physical, intellectual, social and spiritual wellness levels of the students who study in different departments of the Schools of Physical Education and Sports in public and private universities.

**MATERIAL and METHOD**

**The place where the survey took place and the participants:**

The study was conducted as a descriptive and analytical one in order to determine students wellness levels. The research population is composed of the sports science students in Istanbul. As a sample, a state and a private university were examined. This survey was carried out by means of the participation of 555 volunteer students (412 men, 143 women) studying in the schools of physical education and sports in one public and two private Universities in Istanbul.

**Research Material**

To assess the wellness perception of the participants, “Wellness self-perceptions” scale and personal information form was used. “Wellness self-perceptions” scale is a Likert type scale consisting of 4 strongly agree, 3 agree, 2 disagree, 1 strongly disagree.

**Method**

In the study “personal information form” was used to obtain the personal information and Wellness self-perceptions scale was used to examine the wellness perception of the participants.

Wellness self-perception scale: It is a scale formed of 15 questions with 5 main headings, 5 subscales and it is expected to be answered in 1 to 4 Likert type scale. Sub subscales are; emotional wellness, intellectual wellness, physical wellness, social wellness and spiritual wellness. Higher scores indicate a more positive self-rating in wellness behaviours.
Wellness dimension scores | Comprehensive wellness scores
--- | ---
High Level Wellness 10-12, | High Level Wellness 50-60,
Good Wellness 8-9, | Good Wellness 40-49
Marginal Wellness 6-7, | Marginal Wellness 30-39,
Low Level Wellness Below 6, | Low Level Wellness Below 30.

Wellness Scale (WS) developed by Corbin et al. Wellness Scale (WS) Odabaş made Turkish adaptation. After the EFA, Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin was found 0.86; and Cronbach Alpha internal consistency of total scale was found 0.86.

Statistical Methods
Statistical analyses were conducted using the Statistical Package for Social sciences (SPSS) 21. Student T test was used to evaluate the differences of the wellness levels between the two universities.

General quality of the research:
Since the relationship between two different groups and more than two variables was investigated, relational screening model has been used.

RESEARCH RESCRICTIONS
The fact that the study was conducted with students studying at the private and public universities in Istanbul constitutes a limitation in terms of the generalization of the results.

RESEARCH HYPOTHESES
There is no difference in the wellness levels of the students of the sports sciences faculty who are studying in private and public universities. There is no difference in the total and sub-dimensions of the wellness of the male and female students at the faculty of sports sciences in private and public universities.
RESULTS

Table 1. The Evaluation of the Total Wellness and Wellness Sub Factors

|                          | University name | N   | X       | SS      | T     | P     |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----|---------|---------|-------|-------|
| **Total Wellness point** | Haliç University| 379 | 50,4248 | 6,23665 | .495  | .621  |
|                          | İstanbul University | 176 | 50,1477 | 5,91471 | .505  | .614  |
| **Emotional Wellness**   | Haliç University | 379 | 9,4327  | 1,65155 | 1,416 | .157  |
|                          | İstanbul University | 176 | 9,2216  | 1,59707 | 1,434 | .153  |
| **Intellectual Wellness**| Haliç University | 379 | 9,8918  | 1,53665 | .039  | .969  |
|                          | İstanbul University | 176 | 9,8864  | 1,49232 | .040  | .968  |
| **Physical Wellness**    | Haliç University | 379 | 10,8470 | 2,12389 | -.687 | .492  |
|                          | İstanbul University | 176 | 10,9886 | 2,52980 | -.645 | .520  |
| **Social Wellness**      | Haliç University | 379 | 10,3588 | 1,74058 | .079  | .937  |
|                          | İstanbul University | 176 | 10,3466 | 1,61751 | .081  | .935  |
| **Spiritual Wellness**   | Haliç University | 379 | 9,8945  | 1,63928 | 1,258 | .209  |
|                          | İstanbul University | 176 | 9,7045  | 1,68800 | 1,245 | .214  |

Mean scores of the wellness test applied to the both two universities are shown in table 1. It has been seen that general wellness dimensions of the students of the two universities were higher (50-60) according to the values of the table 1 and the evaluation scale of the test. Also it has been seen that physical and social wellness scores were high besides emotional, spiritual and intellectual wellness dimensions were good. Table 1. It has been found that all wellness scores did not change and they were similar (p< 0.05 two tailed). (Table 1)
Table 2. Comparing the Wellness Means of Female and Male Students

|                          | Sex | N   | X    | SS  | T     | P     |
|--------------------------|-----|-----|------|-----|-------|-------|
| Total Wellness Points    | Female | 143 | 50,3944 | 6,28062 | 1,148 | 0,882 |
|                          | Male  | 412 | 50,3058 | 6,09125 | 1,146 | 0,884 |
| Emotional Wellness       | Female | 143 | 9,2113 | 1,64955 | -1,310 | 0,191 |
|                          | Male  | 412 | 9,4199 | 1,63177 | -1,303 | 0,194 |
| Social wellness          | Female | 143 | 9,9155 | 1,54136 | 0,265 | 0,791 |
|                          | Male  | 412 | 9,8762 | 1,51448 | 0,263 | 0,793 |
| Intellectual Wellness    | Female | 143 | 11,0352 | 2,76352 | 0,877 | 0,381 |
|                          | Male  | 412 | 10,8422 | 2,06155 | 0,762 | 0,447 |
| Physical Wellness        | Female | 143 | 10,3732 | 1,74474 | 0,172 | 0,863 |
|                          | Male  | 412 | 10,3447 | 1,68798 | 0,170 | 0,865 |
| Spiritual wellness       | Female | 143 | 9,8592 | 1,77689 | 0,225 | 0,822 |
|                          | Male  | 412 | 9,8228 | 1,61506 | 0,215 | 0,830 |

According to the results of the two sample t-test used to determine if there were differences between the wellness points of the female and male students of the two universities, it has been found that there were no differences between the wellness score means of the female and male students (p>0,05 two tailed). (Table 2)

**DISCUSSION**

Wellness is a concept that consists of social, emotional, mental, spiritual and physical dimensions that help people contribute to their society and improve the quality of their lives. In other words wellness is a theoretic dimension of explaining how a person feels.

In this study, wellness levels of Sport Sciences Students, who are studying at private or state universities in Istanbul, and other wellness components, are compared. In the study 5 parameters are being evaluated related to wellness. All wellness scores are evaluated separately.

According to the study, both university students’ total wellness scores are high level and there is no meaningful difference between private or state university students. In addition, it was concluded that the physical wellness subscale scores of these students were higher than the other sub-dimensions.

In a study by Agopyan (2015: 145-156) on university students studying in physical edu-
cation and sports colleges, it is thought that students regularly exercise sports and affect their physical health. The education of the students participating in our research is directly related to physical activity. The results of the study are similar to the results of the study of Agopyan (2015: 145-156)). In a study conducted on students studying at the Catholic Art School, a health-related parameter of nutrition, and exercise, were reported to be lower than sense of worth (LaFauntaine J et all 2012: 60-65). It supports the idea that there is a relationship between students’ wellness levels and education that they recieve.

In line with these results, it is expected that the physical wellness levels of the students of the faculty of sports sciences are high. Roscoe (2009: 216-226.) shows in his study that if a person’s physical level is high, that person is doing daily activities easier and confronts with medical problems lesser. Regular and adequate activities of individuals will increase their physical well-being. The increase in physical wellness will increase total wellness because physical wellness is an important component of total wellness (Corbin et all, 2009; Tremblay et al, 2014; 113-125, Corbin et all, 2014; 3327–3359 Stella et al., 2014: 3327-3359). Gaining physical wellness awareness for healthier societies will be easier to do through sports science faculty students.

In the study it has been found that there is no difference between female and male students’ physical wellness levels. In addition, Agopyan’s (2015: 145-156)) study shows that there is no difference in terms of gender. It can be considered that the reason why there is no difference in the wellness dimensions of male and female students is both groups have the same education. Both gender have same education. This sameness results developed physical wellness in a similar vein.

In our study, it was seen that the social wellness scores of the students studying in both universities were high. In our study, no differences were found in gender-specific comparisons between female and male students. Abdulhayoglu and colleagues (2012 :268-272) in their work in table tennis athletes reported that women and men athletes have high social wellness scores. Similar to our study, it was concluded that social wellness dimensions are high and there is no difference according to gender. In the study conducted by Bilge et al. (2010: 37-53) on university students’ well-being, there was a difference between female and male participants and the social wellness of women was higher.

There was no significant difference in emotional wellness in the study in the students of the faculty of sports sciences. In addition, there was no significant difference between male and female groups. However, although
there was no statistically significant difference, the lowest score of the wellness sub-dimensions was in emotional wellness in both groups. LaFauntaine et al. (2012: 60-65) in the study of catholic liberal arts colleges of students in the “love” and “sense of worth” subtitles were found higher. It can be said that the emotional aspects of their education has positive effect.

In our study on university students, both university students generally had a good level of intellectual wellness. There was no significant difference when examined by gender.

As a result of the study, it was concluded that the spiritual wellness levels of the students were high and did not differ according to the gender variable. Because spiritual wellness in general affects all well-being, experts recommend that university students focus on life in a more mobile and more optimistic way with spiritual wellness programs (Troy, 2010; 165-173 Johson : 2007; 6-11. Said, 2006: 168-175). It is thought that students who can control and shape their wellness health can lead their academic and personal lives positively (Patterson and Kline 2008; 49, Horton and Snyder 2009: 215-233).

**CONCLUSION**

As a result of the study, it was found that the wellness levels of private and public university sports sciences faculty students were high. In addition, the physical well-being sub-dimension of the students was higher than the other wellness sub-dimensions. According to other studies, physical wellness is higher than others because of the students’ departments. The lowest wellness points are emotional wellness. As a result, there was no difference in the wellness scores between male and female students.
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