Urea Application Rate for Crop Straw Decomposition in Temperate China
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1.Introduction

Returning straw to the field has become the most important straw utilization method in China. It is considered as one of the sustainable techniques that stimulate good soil health [2]. In addition, it is encouraged and publicized as an economic approach and provides a good source of nutrients to the soil [3]. Returning an amount of straw to the field can improve the soil physical and chemical properties and reduce the soil bulk density and the degree of soil compaction. It also increases the organic matter content of the soil by increasing the level of total nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and other nutrients. At present, returning straw directly to the field is the leading method of comprehensive utilization of straw in China. The final assessment results of the comprehensive utilization of straw in the 12th Five-Year Plan of China [4] showed that the fertilizer utilization of straw reached 390 million tons in 2015, of which about 375 million tons were returned directly to the field, accounting for 41.7% of the collectable resources of straw. However, the proportion of straw returned to the field directly in developed countries has reached about two-thirds [5]. This implies there is enormous potential in returning straw to the field in China.
In the process of returning straw to the field, the suitable C:N ratio is (24–35):1. The C:N of major crops such as wheat, rice, corn, cotton, and oilseed rape is as high as (55–85):1. Therefore, it is often required to apply a certain amount of nitrogen (N) fertilizer to promote the rapid decomposition of straw and avoid soil "nitrogen starvation" [6].

According to different regions and the characteristics of different returning crop materials and the quantity returned to the field, a reasonable application of nitrogen fertilizer should be considered. In Asia, urea accounts for 75% of the total N fertilizer which makes it the leading N fertilizer [7]. To guarantee sustainability, it is important to retain an adequate amount of fertilizer (NPK) and ensure that its distribution in soils is balanced [8]. According to Quan et al. [9] to accomplish sustainable nitrogen supply and crop productivity and prevent soil degradation, there is the requisite to improve the management of nitrogen to prevent deficient or excessive soil nitrogen levels in China. Quantifying the right amount of nitrogen fertilizer is of immense significance to improve the quality of straw returning to the field, and the efficiency of nitrogen fertilizer utilization to reduce environmental hazards. At present, there are problems such as high dosage of nitrogen, low efficiency rate, and high level of pollution in agricultural production in China [10]. Although the yield per unit area of the crop is slightly lower than that in some developed countries, the application intensity of nitrogen fertilizer is twice as high as that in developed countries [11]. From 1980s to 2000s, farmers normally overapplied N fertilizer, which triggered acidification of Chinese farmlands with a decrease in 0.5 unit pH of the topsoil. Applying high quantities of nitrogen fertilizer implies a low nitrogen use efficiency and negative impact on the environment [12]. Hence, optimum application of N fertilizer in terms of right time and rate helps to increase crop productivity and reduce leaching [13].

In this regard, researchers have conducted studies on the correlation between nitrogen fertilizer application and crop yield, soil water and fertility conditions, and the appropriate amount of nitrogen to be applied under different tillage conditions [14, 15]. A large number of field experiments have shown that a quadratic parabola relationship exists between crop yield and the quantity of nitrogen applied. This implies crop yield increases gradually with the increase in the rate of N applied, but the amount of nitrogen applied should be efficient. If nitrogen application exceeds the right amount, there will be a decrease in crop yield [15]. Studies by Henriksen and Breland [16] indicated that, when the available nitrogen is greater than 1.2% of the dry weight of wheat straw, it inhibits soil microbial growth. According to McSwiney and Robertson [17], for the same soil and crop type, if the amount of N fertilizer applied is less or close to the demand of crop nitrogen fertilizer, nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions will be lower. On the other hand, if nitrogen fertilizer application exceeds crop demand, N₂O emissions will be higher. In China, most research on appropriate nitrogen application concentrated on wheat or corn planting experiments under the conditions of corn straw returning to the field in one-year double cropping or one-year single cropping. A few experiments were conducted on the nitrogen application rate of rice planting under the condition of returning wheat straw to the field [15, 18, 19]. In response to the situation of different crop straw returning to the field, a large number of site-specific experiments on nitrogen fertilizer application were conducted in China. However, the research on nitrogen fertilizer application during straw returning to the field, especially from the perspective of meeting the basic requirements of microbial decomposition for nitrogen, is relatively underdeveloped. Specifically, the application rate of nitrogen fertilizer during straw returning to the field is the incremental application rate of nitrogen fertilizer based on the conventional application rate. Quantifying the right amount of nitrogen fertilizer for crops is an essential aspect in agriculture [20]. There is the need to consider major regions in the national estimation of nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) [9] and other crops. Therefore, it is important to promote the application of N fertilizer and straw returning to advance efficient utilization of resources as an environmental protection strategy [21]. Based on the primary demand for nitrogen in microbial decomposition during straw returning to the field, this research seeks to develop a formula for calculating the total application rate of nitrogen fertilizer during 100% straw returning to the field with different yields per unit area and according to yield per unit area of the crop. This paper develops a urea application rate enquiry table for 100% straw returning to the field with different yields per unit area of three major grain crops (wheat, rice, and corn) and a urea application amount enquiry table for 100% straw returning to the field for the three major grain crops in different regions and provinces (municipalities and autonomous regions; Hong Kong, Macao, and Taiwan are not included within the scope of this paper) of China. The aim of this research was to study the appropriate amount of nitrogen fertilizer applied when returning the three major crop straws (wheat, rice, and corn) to the field in different areas under different yield levels based on the basic demand of nitrogen for microbial decomposition of straw. In the end, this paper put forward considerations and suggestions on the nitrogen fertilizer application for straw returning to the field, acting as a reference for different regions of China.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Calculation Method of Fertilizer Application for 100% Straw Returning to the Field. Based on the primary demand for nitrogen in microbial decomposition, the formula for calculating the amount of nitrogen fertilizer to be applied during 100% straw returning to the field of different crops is

\[ y = \frac{S_G \times P \times N_S \times (S_{C:N} - M_{C:N})}{N_F \times M_{C:N}} \]  

where \( y \) is the nitrogen fertilizer application rate during straw returning to the field in kilogram per hectare (kg/ha), \( S_G \) is the straw/grain ratio, \( P \) is the yield per unit area of the crop (kg/ha), \( N_S \) is the nitrogen content of the straw (%), \( N_F \) is the nitrogen content of nitrogen fertilizer (%), \( S_{C:N} \) is the straw C:N ratio, and \( M_{C:N} \) is the C:N ratio of materials.
suitable for microbial decomposition. The straw/grain ratio \( (S_C) \) is shown in Table 1, the nitrogen content of nitrogen fertilizer \( (N_p) \) is shown in Table 2, and the C:N ratio of materials suitable for microbial decomposition \( (M_{CN}) \) is shown in Tables 2 and 3.

In the case of corn straw returning to the field with urea application, the straw/grain ratio of corn \( (S_C) \) is 1.1, the nitrogen content of corn straw \( (N_p) \) is 0.75% (Table 2), and the nitrogen content of urea \( (N_u) \) is 46.67%. In addition, the carbon-nitrogen ratio of corn straw \( (S_{CN}) \) is 53:1 (Table 1) and material C:N ratio \( (M_{CN}) \) suitable for microbial decomposition is 30:1, with the assumption that the yield per unit area of corn \( (P) \) is 6000 kg/ha which is the average national corn yield per mu (1 mu = 0.0667 hectare) from 2015 to 2017. The total urea application rate during corn straw returning to the field is shown in

\[
y = \frac{1.1 \times 6000 \times 0.75 \times (53 - 30)}{46.67 \times 30} \approx 81.3 \text{ (kg/ha)}. \tag{2}
\]

Equation (1) was used to calculate the amount of nitrogen fertilizer application rate during 100% straw returning to the field of different crops and three major grain crops (wheat, rice, and corn). We took 35:1, 30:1, and 25:1 as the C:N adjustment targets, based on the parameter values (Tables 1 and 2). We calculated the urea application rate for 100% straw returning to the field with different yields per unit area of three major grain crops and the urea application rate for 100% straw returning to the field in different regions and provinces (municipalities and autonomous regions) of China. The 2015–2017 national crop yield data were used [25–27]. The national average wheat yield was about 5424 kg per hectare with a remarkable amplitude of variation between 2250 and 7500 kg. For 5250 kg as the median value and 375 kg as the grade differential with floating ±10 grades, the national wheat yield per unit area was divided into 21 grades. For early rice yield, the national average per hectare was about 5851 kg with a remarkable amplitude of variation between 5250 and 6750 kg. For 6000 kg as the median value and 375 kg as the grade differential with floating ±6 grades, the national early rice yield per unit area was divided into 13 grades.

The national average middle-late rice yield per hectare was about 5850 kg, with a remarkable amplitude of variation between 5250 and 9000 kg. For 7125 kg as the median value and 375 kg as the grade differential with floating ±7 grades, the national middle-late rice yield per unit area was divided into 15 grades. For corn yield, the national average per hectare was about 5993 kg with a remarkable amplitude of variation between 4500 and 7500 kg. We took 6000 kg as the median value and 375 kg as the grade differential with floating ±8 grades, and the national corn yield per unit area was divided into 17 grades. In addition, we calculated the amount of urea applied for 100% wheat straw, early rice straw, middle-late rice straw, and corn straw returning to the field in different regions and provinces in China, and the average regional values were calculated according to the average yield per unit area.

2.2. Straw/Grain Ratio and Straw C:N of Major Crops. The straw of wheat, rice, corn, millet, sorghum, cotton, oilseed rape, sunflower, tobacco, and others has high C:N, mostly around (60–70):1 (Tables 2 and 3). Wheat straw has the highest C:N of 87:1, whereas corn straw and sorghum straw have lower C:N of 53:1 and 55:1, respectively [23]. The application of nitrogen fertilizers such as urea, ammonium bicarbonate, and diammonium phosphate ((NH4)2HPO4) is required when all the crop straws are returned to the field. The C:N rate in most straws of cucurbits and vegetables, tuber crops, and sugar-yielding crops is below 35:1 [24] (Table 3).

2.3. Suitable C:N for Microbial Decomposition of Straw. Carbon and nitrogen are essential nutrients for the microbial decomposition of straw [28]. High C:N (excess carbon and deficient nitrogen) affects microbial metabolism and growth and undermines reproduction which negatively affects straw decomposition [29]. If the C:N value is higher than 35:1, the microorganisms need to undergo multiple life cycles to oxidize the excess carbon until a suitable C:N value is reached for their metabolism [28]. If the C:N is too low (less than 20:1), there is less carbon available for consumption and excess nitrogen nutrients will cause nitrogen volatilization and reduce soil fertilizer efficiency. On average, 1 part of nitrogen is generally required for every 30 parts of carbon the microorganisms utilize [30]. So, the C:N should be adjusted at 30:1, which is best suited for microbial decomposition of straw.

3. Results

3.1. Urea Application Rate for 100% Wheat Straw Returning to the Field. The urea application rate enquiry table for 100% wheat straw returning to the field with different yields per unit area has been calculated and prepared in grades (shown in Table 4). Table 4 shows that according to the C:N adjustment targets of 35:1, 30:1, and 25:1 under the national actual average yield per unit area of wheat, the urea application rate is about 120 kg/ha, 150 kg/ha, and 195 kg/ha, respectively, for 100% wheat straw returning to the field. The urea application rate at about 150 kg/ha can generally meet the nitrogen demand for microbial decomposition of 100% wheat straw returning to the field in China.

According to the C:N adjustment targets of 35:1, 30:1, and 25:1 (Table 5), the urea application rates for 100% wheat straw returning to the field in four major regions in China are 135–230 kg/ha, 110–190 kg/ha, 85–145 kg/ha, and 95–165 kg/ha in Huang-Huai-Hai region, Middle-Lower Yangtze region, Loess plateau region of China, and Northwest arid region of China, respectively. The regions of China are shown in Figure 1.

3.2. Amount of Urea Application for 100% Early Rice Straw Returning to the Field. Table 6 shows that according to the C:N adjustment targets of 35:1, 30:1, and 25:1 under the national actual average yield per unit area of early rice, the urea application rate is about 52.5 kg/ha, 75 kg/ha, and 97.5 kg/ha, respectively, for 100% early rice straw returning to the field. The
urea application rate at about 75 kg/ha can generally meet the nitrogen demand for microbial decomposition of 100% early rice straw returning to the field in China.

There are 10 early rice planting provinces in China, categorized into two regions. One is the early rice planting region in the Middle-Lower Yangtze region, which includes 5 provinces of Zhejiang, Anhui, Jiangxi, Hubei, and Hunan. The other is the early rice planting region in South China, which includes 5 provinces, namely, Fujian, Guangdong, Guangxi, Hainan, and Yunnan (as the only early rice growing region in Southwest China, Yunnan province is encompassed in the early rice area in South China). According to the C:N adjustment targets of 35:1, 30:1, and 25:1 (Table 7), the urea application rate for 100% early rice straw returning to the field is 52.5–98.5 kg/ha in both early rice production regions in China, namely, Middle-Lower Yangtze region and South China.

Table 1: Ratio of straw to grain.

| Types of straw   | Ratio of straw to grain |
|------------------|-------------------------|
| (1) Rice         | 0.95                    |
| Early rice       | 0.68                    |
| Middle-late rice | 1.00                    |
| (2) Wheat        | 1.30                    |
| (3) Corn         | 1.10                    |
| (4) Millet       | 1.40                    |
| (5) Sorghum      | 1.60                    |
| (6) Soybean      | 1.60                    |
| (7) Potato       | 0.96                    |
| (8) Sweet potato | 0.63                    |
| (9) Peanut       | 1.50                    |
| (10) Rape        | 2.70                    |
| (11) Sesame      | 2.80                    |
| (12) Flax        | 2.00                    |
| (13) Sunflower   | 2.80                    |
| (14) Cotton      | 5.00                    |
| (15) Jute and kenaf | 1.90                |
| (16) Ramie       | 6.50                    |
| (17) Jute        | 3.00                    |
| (18) Flax        | 1.10                    |
| (19) Sugarcane   | 0.10                    |
| (20) Sugar beet  | 0.10                    |
| (21) Tobacco     | 1.60                    |
| (22) Melon and vegetables | 0.10          |

Data source: Bi [22].

Table 2: C:N of straw of major crops.

| Types of straw   | Water content (%) | Carbon content (%) | Nitrogen content (%) | C:N   |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------|
| Dry wheat straw  | 18                | 46                 | 0.53                 | 87:1  |
| Dry rice straw   | 17                | 42                 | 0.68                 | 67:1  |
| Dry corn stover  | 20                | 40                 | 0.75                 | 53:1  |
| Soybean stalks   | —                 | 41                 | 1.30                 | 32:1  |
| Peanut seedling  | —                 | 11                 | 0.59                 | 19:1  |

Data source: MOA [23].

Table 3: C:N of straw of some grain and cash crops.

| Types of straw   | C:N   |
|------------------|-------|
| Millet straw     | 62:1  |
| Sorghum straw    | 55:1  |
| Cotton stalk     | 70:1  |
| Rape stem        | 68:1  |
| Sugarcane tip    | 35:1  |
| Fruit and vegetable residues | 35:1 |
| Sugar beet stem  | 20:1  |
| Sunflower stalk  | 65:1  |
| Tobacco stem     | 61:1  |
| Potato vine      | 28:1  |
| Sweet potato vine| 25:1  |
| Melon vine       | 20:1  |
| Waste of kale and leafy greens | 15:1 |

Data source: Zuo [24].

Table 4: Enquiry table for the urea application rate of wheat straw returning to the field with different yields per unit area.

| Yield per unit area of wheat | Urea dosages of different C:N adjustment targets |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                              | 25:1  | 30:1  | 35:1  |
| 1500                         | 54.90 | 42.15 | 32.85 |
| 1875                         | 68.70 | 52.65 | 41.10 |
| 2250                         | 82.35 | 63.15 | 49.35 |
| 2625                         | 96.15 | 73.65 | 57.60 |
| 3000                         | 109.80| 84.15 | 65.85 |
| 3375                         | 123.60| 94.65 | 74.10 |
| 3750                         | 137.25| 105.15| 82.20 |
| 4125                         | 151.05| 115.65| 90.45 |
| 4500                         | 164.70| 126.30| 98.70 |
| 4875                         | 178.50| 136.80| 106.95| 32.85 |
| 5250                         | 192.15| 147.30| 115.20| 41.10 |
| 5625                         | 205.95| 157.80| 123.45| 49.35 |
| 6000                         | 219.75| 168.30| 131.55| 57.60 |
| 6375                         | 233.40| 178.80| 139.80| 55.80 |
| 6750                         | 247.20| 189.30| 148.05| 65.85 |
| 7125                         | 260.85| 199.80| 156.30| 53.1  |
| 7500                         | 274.65| 210.45| 164.55| 41.10 |
| 7875                         | 288.30| 220.95| 172.80| 49.35 |
| 8250                         | 302.10| 231.45| 180.90| 57.60 |
| 8625                         | 315.75| 241.95| 189.15| 55.80 |
| 9000                         | 329.55| 252.45| 197.40| 63.75 |

Unit: kg/ha.
Table 5: Enquiry table for urea applied to wheat straw returning to the field in all regions of China and provinces (cities, autonomous regions).

| Regions | Average wheat yield per unit from 2015 to 2017 | Urea dosages of different C:N adjustment targets |
|---------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|         |                                             | 25:1  | 30:1  | 35:1  |
| Liaoning | 4215                                        | 154.40 | 118.20 | 92.39 |
| Jilin    | 4286                                        | 156.73 | 120.26 | 94.04 |
| Heilongjiang | 3519                                    | 128.78 | 98.68  | 77.12 |
| Average  | 3553                                        | 130.02 | 99.64  | 77.86 |
| Beijing  | 5375                                        | 196.83 | 150.74 | 117.86|
| Tianjin  | 5567                                        | 203.77 | 156.21 | 122.14|
| Hebei    | 6240                                        | 228.45 | 175.05 | 136.80|
| Northeast China |                                 |       |       |       |
| Shandong | 6135                                        | 224.55 | 172.05 | 134.55|
| Henan    | 6426                                        | 235.27 | 180.32 | 140.98|
| Average  | 6285                                        | 230.10 | 176.25 | 137.85|
| Shanghai | 4241                                        | 155.30 | 119.02 | 93.03 |
| Jiangsu  | 5286                                        | 193.47 | 148.25 | 115.90|
| Zhejiang | 3777                                        | 138.24 | 105.99 | 82.86 |
| Huang-Huai-Hai region of China |                                 |       |       |       |
| Anhui    | 5744                                        | 210.26 | 161.07 | 125.98|
| Jiangxi  | 2117                                        | 77.52  | 59.34  | 46.37 |
| Hubei    | 3804                                        | 139.28 | 106.63 | 83.42 |
| Hunan    | 3230                                        | 118.28 | 90.62  | 70.88 |
| Average  | 5176                                        | 189.49 | 145.23 | 113.57|
| Fujian   | 3200                                        | 117.13 | 89.79  | 70.21 |
| Guangdong| 3043                                        | 111.38 | 85.29  | 66.71 |
| South China |                                         |       |       |       |
| Hainan   | -                                           | 76.86  | 58.83  | 45.97 |
| Average  | 2099                                        | 120.10 | 91.96  | 71.94 |
| Chongqing| 3281                                        | 139.79 | 107.02 | 83.73 |
| Sichuan  | 3818                                        | 149.09 | 114.22 | 98.28 |
| Guizhou  | 2521                                        | 92.29  | 70.68  | 55.22 |
| Yunnan   | 2104                                        | 77.10  | 59.06  | 46.14 |
| Average  | 3179                                        | 116.41 | 89.10  | 69.70 |
| Shaanxi  | 4073                                        | 149.09 | 114.22 | 98.28 |
| Shaanxi  | 4185                                        | 153.15 | 117.45 | 91.80 |
| Loess plateau region of China |                                 |       |       |       |
| Gansu    | 3522                                        | 128.89 | 98.77  | 77.18 |
| Average  | 3940                                        | 144.27 | 110.56 | 86.44 |
| Inner Mongolia |                                 | 2821 | 103.24 | 79.08  | 61.82 |
| Northwest arid region of China |                                 |       |       |       |
| Ningxia  | 3180                                        | 116.40 | 89.25  | 69.75 |
| Xinjiang | 5563                                        | 203.63 | 156.09 | 122.06|
| Average  | 4525                                        | 165.67 | 126.97 | 99.28 |
| Tibet    | 6108                                        | 223.56 | 171.35 | 134.05|
| Qinghai  | 3818                                        | 139.79 | 107.02 | 83.73 |
| Average  | 4445                                        | 162.68 | 124.67 | 97.43 |

Unit: kg/ha.

3.3. *Urea Application Rate for 100% Middle-Late Straw Returning to the Field.* Table 8 shows that according to the C:N adjustment targets of 35:1, 30:1, and 25:1 under the national actual average yield per unit area of middle-late rice, the urea application rate is about 97.5 kg/ha, 127.5 kg/ha, and 172.5 kg/ha, respectively, for 100% middle-late rice straw returning to the field. From the results, the urea application rate at about 120–135 kg/ha can generally meet the nitrogen demand for microbial decomposition of 100% middle-late rice straw returning to the field in China.

According to the C:N adjustment targets of 35:1, 30:1, and 25:1 (Table 9), the urea application rate for 100% middle-late rice straw returning to the field is 95–180 kg/ha, 100–185 kg/ha, 95–175 kg/ha, and 75–140 kg/ha, respectively, in four major middle-late rice production regions in China, including Middle-Lower Yangtze region, Northeast China, Southwest China, and South China.

3.4. *Urea Application Rate for 100% Corn Straw Returning to the Field.* The results in Table 10 show that according to the C:N adjustment targets of 35:1, 30:1, and 25:1 under the national actual average yield per unit area of corn, the urea application rate is about 52.5 kg/ha, 82.5 kg/ha, and
120 kg/ha, respectively, for 100% corn straw returning to the field. The urea application rate at about 75–90 kg/ha can generally meet the nitrogen demand for microbial decomposition of 100% corn straw returning to the field in China.

In China, corn is widely planted, but more than 80% of the planting areas are mainly located in Northeast China, Huang-Huai-Hai region, Northwest arid region of China, and Southwest China. Table 11 shows that according to the C:N adjustment targets of 35:1, 30:1, and 25:1, the urea application rate for returning 100% corn straw to the field is 60–135 kg/ha, 50–115 kg/ha, 60–135 kg/ha, and 45–105 kg/ha, respectively, in the abovementioned four regions.

4. Discussion

4.1. Application Rate of Nitrogen Fertilizers. The results indicate that adjustment targets of C:N ratios are 35:1, 30:1, and 25:1; however, the suitable C:N ratio adjustment target for 100% crop straw returning per unit area is 30:1. Based on the C:N ratio of 30:1, the suitable rate of urea to apply for 100% wheat straw returning to the field is 150 kg/ha. For early rice, 75 kg/ha of urea is required, while middle-late rice straw requires 120–135 kg/ha of urea. The urea application rate suitable for microbial decomposition for corn straw was 75–90 kg/ha. This indicates that, in returning 100% crop straw to the field, the rate of urea application to meet microbial decomposition varies from the type of crop straw and the season of planting (in the case of early and middle-late rice straw). Application of urea or other forms of N fertilizer is one of the effective ways of increasing crop productivity [31]. When large quantities of straws are returned to the soil, it promotes the crops’ intake of N fertilizer [32]. Sustaining a reasonable quantity of N content in soils significantly improves crop productivity [9]. In addition, different methods of
straw incorporation have been researched with the application of inorganic fertilizers. For instance, ammonium bicarbonate (NH$_4$HCO$_3$) contains 17% of nitrogen, whereas diammonium phosphate ((NH$_4$)$_2$HPO$_4$) contains 15–18% of nitrogen. The product specifications for diammonium phosphate fertilizers are 64% (18% of N + 46% of P$_2$O$_5$), 62% (17% of N + 45% of P$_2$O$_5$), and 57% (15% of N + 42% of P$_2$O$_5$). If ammonium bicarbonate or diammonium phosphate is used instead of urea, the application rate can be 2.5–3.0 times higher than that of urea under pure N content. In the past, NH$_4$HCO$_3$ was the leading N fertilizer that dominated the Chinese market, but from 1997 till date, urea has gradually replaced NH$_4$HCO$_3$ [33].

If nitrogen fertilizer or compound fertilizer is applied as the base fertilizer, the application rate of nitrogen fertilizer can be increased appropriately or high-nitrogen compound fertilizer can be used instead of common compound fertilizer to complete the application of nitrogen fertilizer for straw returning to the field. Liao et al. [34] confirmed that when N fertilizer is added to the soil, the organic matter content of the soil increases. Nitrogen fertilizer is affected by organic matter and pH level of the soil. In addition, climatic conditions and soil characteristics might indirectly affect the nitrogen use efficiency at the regional levels [9]. The continuous application of nitrogen fertilization can have positive or negative effects on the soil depending on how it is applied. When N fertilizers are applied at the right time and rate, they enhance NUE in the soil and minimize environmental pollutants in the long term [35]. This can have a positive influence on the physical and chemical properties of the soil.

### 4.2. Nitrogen Application and Tillage

Returning straw to the field is categorized into direct and indirect straw returning methods. Direct returning of straw is normally associated with soil tillage [36]. The indirect method of straw returning is labour- and cost-intensive; hence, the direct application is mostly preferred [1]. Straw is returned to the field either by mulching, leaving tall straws on the field, and crushing mechanically with backward pressure return [37, 38]. To meet global food security, there is the need to focus on returning straw to the field based on factors such as the right amount of N fertilizer, type of soil tillage, and the type of the cropping system [39].

The enquiry table for the rate of urea application for 100% straw returning to the field of the three major grain crops is mainly applicable to straw returning to the field by plough burying or rotary tillage incorporation. The technical key points of nitrogen fertilizer application for the two methods of straw returning to the field are to apply nitrogen fertilizer at the proper time and ensure the nitrogen fertilizer and the mechanically crushed straw mix thoroughly. When
straws are ploughed into the soil, it hastens decomposition and organic carbon accumulation [1].

When returning straw to the field through ploughing and incorporating it into the soil, it is preeminent to mechanically crush the straw once or twice and then the moldboard plough or the reversible plough, pulled by a high-powered tractor, rolls and buries the straw into the bottom of the tillage layer along with the nitrogen fertilizer to be applied. For straw returning to the field by rotary tillage incorporation, the nitrogen fertilizer can be mixed with the straw during secondary straw crushing or rotary tillage soil preparation.

For straw returning to the field by no-tillage mulching, the straw just covers the soil surface, and in the process of microbial decomposition of straw, it can absorb an amount of nitrogen from rainwater and the atmosphere. The microbial decomposition of surface straw is not in conflict with nitrogen absorption in the root zone of the crop. Therefore, for straw returning to the field by no-tillage mulching, the amount of urea or ammonium bicarbonate and diammonium phosphate corresponding to pure N content can be applied according to one-third to half of the amount in the enquiry table of urea application rate for 100% straw returning to the field. Wheat straw no-tillage mulching with corn direct seeding has become the most important method of straw returning to the field by no-tillage mulching in China, and the annual implementation area in China is up to more than 8 × 10^6 ha. At present, for wheat straw no-tillage

---

**Table 9: Enquiry table for urea applied to middle-late rice straw returning to field in all regions of China and provinces (cities, autonomous regions).**

| Regions                        | Average middle-late rice yield per unit from 2015 to 2017 | Urea dosages of different C: N adjustment targets |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|                                |                                                          | 25:1    | 30:1    | 35:1    |
| Northeast China                |                                                          | 210.30  | 154.39  | 114.37  |
| Liaoning                       | 8589                                                     | 203.97  | 149.64  | 110.92  |
| Jilin                          | 8330                                                     | 172.88  | 126.85  | 94.01   |
| Heilongjiang                   | 7062                                                     | 182.20  | 133.72  | 99.20   |
| Across                         | 6000                                                     | 146.85  | 107.85  | 79.95   |
| Tianjin                        | 8019                                                     | 196.35  | 144.04  | 106.87  |
| Hebei                          | 6614                                                     | 161.83  | 118.78  | 88.04   |
| Huang-Huai-Hai region of China |                                                          | 202.07  | 148.33  | 110.04  |
| Shandong                       | 8257                                                     | 198.15  | 145.39  | 107.77  |
| Henan                          | 8094                                                     | 153.90  | 112.95  | 83.70   |
| Average                        | 7973                                                     | 209.63  | 153.85  | 114.11  |
| Shanghai                       | 8468                                                     | 164.10  | 120.45  | 89.25   |
| Jiangsu                        | 8465                                                     | 177.70  | 133.65  | 96.72   |
| Zhejiang                       | 7321                                                     | 151.77  | 119.39  | 82.57   |
| Anhui                          | 6392                                                     | 156.50  | 114.94  | 85.08   |
| Jiangxi                        | 6285                                                     | 153.90  | 112.95  | 83.70   |
| Hubei                          | 8562                                                     | 209.63  | 153.85  | 114.11  |
| Hunan                          | 6705                                                     | 164.10  | 120.45  | 89.25   |
| Average                        | 7258                                                     | 177.70  | 133.65  | 96.72   |
| Fujian                         | 6200                                                     | 151.77  | 119.39  | 82.57   |
| Guangdong                      | 5615                                                     | 137.37  | 100.89  | 74.77   |
| South China                    |                                                          | 136.75  | 100.27  | 74.45   |
| Guangxi                        | 5584                                                     | 112.33  | 82.48   | 61.19   |
| Hainan                         | 4589                                                     | 138.77  | 101.87  | 75.61   |
| Average                        | 5671                                                     | 180.48  | 132.51  | 98.18   |
| Chongqing                      | 7375                                                     | 191.68  | 140.68  | 104.24  |
| Sichuan                        | 7829                                                     | 154.85  | 113.63  | 84.25   |
| Southwestern Yangtze region of China |                                                          | 145.85  | 106.99  | 79.38   |
| Jiangxi                        | 7086                                                     | 137.37  | 100.89  | 74.77   |
| Hubei                          | 6818                                                     | 183.40  | 134.90  | 99.94   |
| Hunan                          | 6705                                                     | 164.10  | 120.45  | 89.25   |
| Average                        | 7258                                                     | 177.70  | 133.65  | 96.72   |
| Sichuan                        | 7829                                                     | 154.85  | 113.63  | 84.25   |
| Loess plateau region of China  |                                                          | 183.40  | 134.90  | 99.94   |
| Gansu                          | 6894                                                     | 164.75  | 120.98  | 89.65   |
| Average                        | 7503                                                     | 137.37  | 100.89  | 74.77   |
| Inner Mongolia                 | 6731                                                     | 154.85  | 113.63  | 84.25   |
| Northwest arid region of China |                                                          | 183.40  | 134.90  | 99.94   |
| Ningxia                        | 8356                                                     | 204.47  | 150.17  | 111.31  |
| Xinjiang                       | 9079                                                     | 222.25  | 163.12  | 120.95  |
| Average                        | 7903                                                     | 193.45  | 142.01  | 105.27  |
| Tibet                          | 5556                                                     | 136.05  | 99.86   | 74.03   |
| Average                        | 5556                                                     | 136.05  | 99.86   | 74.03   |

Unit: kg/ha.
returning to the field with different yields per unit area. 95–165 kg/ha of urea can be applied in Huang-Huai-Hai, 135–230 kg/ha, 110–190 kg/ha, 85–145 kg/ha, and 60–135 kg/ha, and 45–105 kg/ha in four major regions, namely, Northeast China, Huang-Huai-Hai, Northwest arid, and Southwest China, respectively. Similarly, the rate of urea application for corn straw is 60–135 kg/ha, 50–115 kg/ha, 60–135 kg/ha, and 45–105 kg/ha in four major regions, namely, Northeast China, Huang-Huai-Hai, Northwest arid, and Southwest China, respectively. The findings confirm the need to apply an accurate amount of urea when returning 100% of a specific crop straw to the field to aid microbial decomposition. Hence, the application rate of urea is vital and depends on the geographical location, soil, and climatic conditions along with the type of crop straw applied. An important factor that affects the decomposition of straw is the C:N ratio [42]. 0.8 g of nitrogen is required for every 100 g of straw decomposed by soil microorganisms, and a 25–30:1 C:N ratio is required for this decomposition [43]. For the areas where the nitrogen fertilizer is intensively overlapped, the nitrogen fertilizer application for 100% straw returning to the field can be carried out according to the C:N adjustment target as 35:1. In fields where N, P, and K nutrients are not well balanced and nitrogen fertilizer is relatively deficient, the nitrogen fertilizer application for 100% straw returning to the field can be carried out according to the C:N adjustment target as 25:1. According to Moreno-Cornejo et al. [44], a C:N ratio of 25:1 influences the decomposition of straw that helps to release nitrogen. In addition, Zou et al. [45] reiterated that, after straw was returned to the field, the right amount of nitrogen fertilizer with a C:N ratio of 25:1 was added. The C:N ratio of straw was high during the first stage of decomposition but as decomposition progresses, the C:N ratio decreases gradually. In this regard, there is the need for an accurate application of nitrogen at the early stages of straw returning. When the correct quantity of nitrogen fertilizer is applied, the nitrogen content of the soil can increase and minimize the C:N ratio of the soil. The quantity of nitrogen fertilizer can be reduced in areas where straw decomposition agent is applied, but the amount of nitrogen fertilizer should not be completely omitted because of the application of the straw decomposition agent. When straw is returned to the field in combination with N fertilizer, the use of straw decomposition agents increases microorganisms reaction in the soil [1]. The application of the right amount of N fertilizer together with straw returning is an effective strategy to enrich soils and promote agricultural productivity.

### 4.4. Crop Cultivation Characteristics and Straw Returning to the Field

The C:N of crop straws such as that of cucurbits and vegetables, tuber crops, and sugar-yielding crops is closer to the C:N suitable for microbial decomposition of straw, and the straw of these crops is suitable for returning directly to the field. Direct returning of straw to the field enhances the proper development of the soil structure, accelerates the organic matter content of the soil, and serves...

| Yield per unit area of corn | Urea dosages of different C:N adjustment targets |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                            | 25:1   | 30:1   | 35:1   |
| 3000                       | 59.40  | 40.65  | 27.30  |
| 3375                       | 66.75  | 45.75  | 30.75  |
| 3750                       | 74.25  | 50.85  | 34.05  |
| 4125                       | 81.60  | 55.95  | 37.50  |
| 4500                       | 89.10  | 61.05  | 40.95  |
| 4875                       | 96.45  | 66.00  | 44.25  |
| 5250                       | 103.95 | 71.10  | 47.70  |
| 5625                       | 111.30 | 76.20  | 51.15  |
| 6000                       | 118.80 | 81.30  | 54.60  |
| 6375                       | 126.15 | 86.40  | 57.90  |
| 6750                       | 133.65 | 91.50  | 61.35  |
| 7125                       | 141.00 | 96.60  | 64.80  |
| 7500                       | 148.50 | 101.70 | 68.25  |
| 7875                       | 155.85 | 106.80 | 71.55  |
| 8250                       | 163.35 | 111.75 | 75.00  |
| 8625                       | 170.70 | 116.85 | 78.45  |
| 9000                       | 178.20 | 121.95 | 81.75  |

*Unit: kg/ha.*
as a good source of soil carbon, nitrogen, and energy as well as a good medium for soil microbial activities [46, 47]. There is a high infestation level in crops straw of potatoes, cucumbers, and vegetables planted in structures and the crops planted in open fields such as cabbage, vegetables, garlic, onions, pepper, ginger, and others. This may often cause severe continuous cropping barriers due to high plants and soilborne pests and disease infestation. Therefore, it is not recommended to return these straws directly to the field. These straws can be used for composting before returning them to the field, and burying straws in ditches is one way of getting straws decomposed. The ditch-buried straw method is the appropriate technique of returning straw to the field in the context of sustainable agricultural production [1]. Technologies such as biogas fermentation (digestate) can also be considered before returning to the field or can be used in making fodder and base material for mushroom cultivation.

### 5. Conclusions

This research formulated the nitrogen fertilizer application rate based on the C:N in crop straw and the amount of N needed for microbial decomposition. Under the adjustable C:N targets, the urea application rate for returning 100% of wheat straw, early rice straw, middle-late rice straw, and corn

| Regions                           | Average corn yield per unit from 2015 to 2017 | Urea dosages of different C:N adjustment targets |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|                                   |                                             | 25:1     | 30:1     | 35:1     |
| Liaoning                          | 6334                                        | 125.48   | 85.85    | 57.64    |
| Jilin                             | 7654                                        | 151.58   | 103.70   | 69.64    |
| Heilongjiang                      | 6128                                        | 121.36   | 83.01    | 55.74    |
| Average                           | 6657                                        | 131.79   | 90.26    | 60.57    |
| Beijing                           | 6574                                        | 130.13   | 89.10    | 59.75    |
| Tianjin                           | 5433                                        | 107.61   | 73.69    | 49.38    |
| Hebei                             | 5470                                        | 108.35   | 74.18    | 49.75    |
| Huang-Huai-Hai region of China    |                                             | 90.26    | 61.77    | 41.38    |
| Shanxi                            | 6523                                        | 129.11   | 88.47    | 59.23    |
| Henan                             | 5401                                        | 106.97   | 73.16    | 49.07    |
| Average                           | 5799                                        | 114.78   | 78.52    | 52.75    |
| Shanghai                          | 6635                                        | 131.35   | 89.92    | 60.35    |
| Jiangsu                           | 5568                                        | 110.17   | 75.53    | 50.60    |
| Zhejiang                          | 4437                                        | 87.84    | 60.16    | 40.31    |
| Anhui                             | 5367                                        | 106.29   | 72.71    | 48.72    |
| Jiangxi                           | 4281                                        | 84.72    | 57.98    | 38.90    |
| Hubei                             | 4592                                        | 90.94    | 62.22    | 41.73    |
| Hunan                             | 5421                                        | 107.37   | 73.43    | 49.25    |
| Average                           | 5170                                        | 102.35   | 70.13    | 47.05    |
| Fujian                            | 4201                                        | 83.12    | 56.86    | 38.26    |
| Guangdong                         | 4434                                        | 87.78    | 60.07    | 40.30    |
| Guizhou                           | 4346                                        | 86.02    | 58.95    | 39.55    |
| Yunnan                            | 5039                                        | 99.73    | 68.24    | 45.74    |
| Average                           | 5167                                        | 102.29   | 70.09    | 47.03    |
| Shaanxi                           | 5349                                        | 105.93   | 72.42    | 48.68    |
| Shaanxi                           | 4689                                        | 92.88    | 63.57    | 42.68    |
| Gansu                             | 5610                                        | 111.00   | 76.05    | 51.00    |
| Average                           | 5215                                        | 103.25   | 70.73    | 47.25    |
| Ningxia                           | 6667                                        | 131.99   | 90.39    | 60.66    |
| Xining                            | 7189                                        | 142.30   | 97.39    | 65.37    |
| Average                           | 7457                                        | 147.64   | 101.13   | 67.82    |
| Qinghai                           | 6859                                        | 135.83   | 93.00    | 62.30    |
| Tibet                             | 4685                                        | 92.78    | 63.48    | 42.58    |
| Average                           | 6324                                        | 125.14   | 85.76    | 57.42    |

Unit: kg/ha.
straw to the field to meet the nitrogen demand for microbial decomposition was 150 kg/ha, 75 kg/ha, 120–135 kg/ha, and 75–90 kg/ha, respectively. The application rate for ammonium bicarbonate or diammonium phosphate was adjusted to 2.5–3 times higher than that of urea application rate. The urea application rate for returning 100% of straw to the field in different regions and provinces in China for wheat straw was 135–230 kg/ha, 110–190 kg/ha, 85–145 kg/ha, and 95–165 kg/ha in Huang-Huai-Hai region, Middle-Lower Yangtze region, Loess plateau region, and Northwest arid region, respectively. Early rice straw required 52.5–98.5 kg/ha of urea in Middle-Lower Yangtze region and South China. In Middle-Lower Yangtze, Northeast China, Southwest China, and South China regions, the urea application rate for middle-late rice straw was 95–180 kg/ha, 100–185 kg/ha, 95–175 kg/ha, and 75–140 kg/ha, respectively. The corn straw urea application rate in Northeast China, Huang-Huai-Hai, Northwest arid region, and Southwest China was 60–135 kg/ha, 50–115 kg/ha, 60–135 kg/ha, and 45–105 kg/ha, respectively. The amount of N fertilizer should be adjusted based on the methods of straw returning to the field, nitrogen application level, and climatic conditions of the regions. This substantial N input for stimulating straw decomposition may favor N losses with nitrate leaching and nitrous oxides emissions and hold a potential for soil N eutrophication in the long term if the level is not carefully adjusted to the N requirement of the subsequent crops and changes in soil organic matter levels.
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