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Abstract

In Japanese, syntactic structure of a sentence is generally represented by the relationship between phrasal units, or bunsetsus in Japanese, based on a dependency grammar. In the same way, the syntactic structure of a sentence in a large, spontaneous, Japanese-speech corpus, the Corpus of Spontaneous Japanese (CSJ), is represented by dependency relationships between bunsetsus. This paper describes the criteria and definitions of dependency relationships between bunsetsus in the CSJ. The dependency structure of the CSJ is investigated, and the difference in the dependency structures of written text and spontaneous speech is discussed in terms of the dependency accuracies obtained by using a corpus-based model. It is shown that the accuracy of automatic dependency-structure analysis can be improved if characteristic phenomena of spontaneous speech — such as self-corrections, basic utterance units in spontaneous speech, and bunsetsus that have no modifiee — are detected and used for dependency-structure analysis.

1. Introduction

The “Spontaneous Speech: Corpus and Processing Technology” project sponsored the construction of a large, spontaneous, Japanese-speech corpus, the Corpus of Spontaneous Japanese (CSJ) (Maekawa et al., 2000). The CSJ — the biggest spontaneous-speech corpus in the world that is open to the public — is a collection of monologues and dialogues, the majority being monologues such as academic presentations. It includes transcriptions of speeches as well as audio recordings. Approximately one tenth of the CSJ has been manually annotated with information about morphemes, sentence boundaries, syntactic structures, discourse structures, and so on. In Japanese sentences, word order is rather free, and subjects or objects are often omitted. In Japanese, therefore, syntactic structure of a sentence is generally represented by the relationship between phrasal units, or bunsetsus in Japanese, based on a dependency grammar, as represented in the Kyoto University text corpus (Kurohashi and Nagao, 1997). In the same way, the syntactic structure of a sentence in the CSJ is represented by dependency relationships between bunsetsus.

This paper describes the dependency structure of the CSJ and discusses the difference in the dependency structures of written text and spontaneous speech.

2. Dependency Structure in the CSJ

In general, a sentence is a necessary standard unit for natural language processing, syntactic analysis in linguistics, and ordinary human-language activities. In dealing with spontaneous speech, however, a sentence is not necessarily appropriate for processing or analysis because spontaneous speech basically contains no periods to mark sentence boundaries. Moreover, it is fundamentally difficult to find obvious sentence boundaries from spontaneous utterances, which usually contain utterance errors, utterance stops, and other characteristic phenomena. It is thus necessary to define and detect some reasonable segmented units for processing as a “sentence” in spontaneous speech. In the CSJ, therefore, “sentences” are defined as “clause units”. The “clause units” are originally defined as basic processing units of spontaneous Japanese speech. They can be obtained by automatically detecting Japanese clause boundaries using a program called CBAP (Maruyama et al., 2004) and manually modifying them (Takanashi et al., 2003). Dependency relationships between bunsetsus are annotated within a “sentence” in the CSJ.

The criteria and definitions of dependency relationships between bunsetsus in the CSJ basically follow those in the Kyoto University text corpus. However, the criteria and definitions in the Kyoto University text corpus do not cover all the linguistic phenomena observed in the CSJ because there are many differences between written text and spontaneous speech. In the CSJ, therefore, we added new criteria and definitions for dependency-structure annotation to those in the Kyoto University text corpus.

In the production of spontaneous speech, speech plans constructed beforehand are sometimes changed during the utterance because of phonological, lexical, syntactic or ordering problems. In particular, long spontaneous monologues impose heavy linearization problems on speakers, such as deciding what to say first and what to say next (Levelt, 1989). This causes various disfluencies such as utterance stops, self-correction, insertions, inversions, and distortions. For these disfluencies characteristic to spon-
taneous speech, dependency relationships are annotated in the following way.

- **Utterance stop**

Utterance stops are basically detected as individual “sentences” in the CSJ, except for the case that there is a dependency relationship between *bunsetsus* across an utterance stop. In that case, the utterance stop is defined to have no modifiee.

*ex)* “卵 (egg)” is an utterance stop, and it has no modifiee.

In this example, the speaker wanted to say “This house, my grandfather eagerly built it by himself.” However, the word “egg” was inserted into the utterance to form “This house is an egg, my grandfather eagerly built it by himself.”

- **Self-correction**

In the CSJ, self-corrections are represented as dependency relationships between *bunsetsus*, and label D is assigned to them. We established new criteria for the annotation of the self-corrections. Although there are various types of self-corrections, all of them were labeled with D, because we focus not on classifying the self-corrections into fine-grained types but on discriminating them from ordinary dependency relationships.

*ex)* “山田 (Yamada)” is corrected as “山田さん (Mr. Yamada)” by the speaker.

This example can be translated as “Yamada, Mr. Yamada said that he had a strong body.”

- **Inserted clauses**

In spontaneous speech, it can be observed that speakers insert clauses in the middle of other clauses. This occurs when speakers change their speech plans while producing utterances, which results in supplements, annotations, or paraphrases of main clauses. In the CSJ, inserted clauses are manually detected and bracketed with (...). Dependency relationships within an inserted clause are closed. And the boundaries of the inserted clause are detected in the process of detecting sentence boundaries.

*ex)* “父から聞いた話なんですかけど (which is a story that I heard from my father)” is an inserted clause.

This example means “This area was a rice field in the old days, which is a story that I heard from my father.”

- **Inversion**

In the CSJ, inversions are represented as dependency relationships going from right to left.

*ex)* “これは (it)” is an inversion.

The canonical word order in Japanese is as follows.

This utterance means “My next goal is, I hope, to win a marathon.” The speaker should have said as follows to keep a natural syntactic structure.

- **Distortion**

Distortions are basically defined to have no modifiee because the change of the speech plans causes a distortion, and the distorted sentence has an unnatural syntactic structure. Distorted sentences are often divided into different sentences when topicalized expressions are included in the distorted sentence.

*ex)* The sentence is distorted after “目標は (goal)”. The speaker should have said as follows to keep a natural syntactic structure.

Self-corrections differ from dependency relationships as well as from coordination and appositives. However, they are represented as dependency relationships between *bunsetsus*, and labels D, P, and A are assigned to self-corrections, coordination, and appositives, respectively.
The definitions of coordination and appositives follow those of the Kyoto University text corpus (Kurohashi and Nagao, 1997). The definition of self-corrections was newly added to them 1.

3. Dependency-structure Annotation

Dependency structures were manually annotated to 199 speeches, which include all standard monologues, called “core”, and a test set in the CSJ. The definition of a bunsetsu followed that defined by the National Institute for Japanese Language (Nishikawa et al., 2004). The annotation tool shown in Figure 1 was used to assist human annotators. In this figure, each line represents a bunsetsu, and each dependency is modified by mouse drag-and-drop. Self-corrections, coordination, and appositives can be annotated with labels D, P, and A by right-clicking the mouse. Initial dependencies were annotated so that every bunsetsu depends on the next. In the first step, two annotators examined each dependency and modified it if it was inappropriate. In the second step, a checker examined all dependencies annotated in the first step. The annotators referred to audio recordings as well as transcriptions during the annotation steps. For the dependency-structure annotation to the remaining parts of the CSJ, initial dependencies can be automatically annotated by using a corpus-based parser (Fujio and Matsumoto, 1998; Haruno et al., 1998; Uchimoto et al., 1999; Uchimoto et al., 2000; Kudo and Matsumoto, 2000; Matsubara et al., 2002; Shitaoka et al., 2004).

4. Dependency-structure Analysis of the CSJ

This section discusses the difference in the dependency structures of written text and that of spontaneous speech in terms of the dependency accuracies obtained by using a corpus-based model. The 199 manually annotated speeches consist of 20,202 sentences, 176,870 bunsetsu, and 457,399 morphemes. The number of types of morphemes is 14,029. The average number of bunsetsu in a sentence and the average number of morphemes in a bunsetsu in the CSJ are the same as those in the Kyoto University text corpus. Although the number of types of morphemes in the CSJ is less than that in the Kyoto University text corpus, the dependency accuracy obtained for the CSJ is much worse than that obtained for the Kyoto University text corpus (Shitaoka et al., 2004) under the condition that the same size of training data was used for training a model.

We investigated the expected improvements obtained by eliminating the effect of characteristic phenomena on spontaneous speech. For training a model and testing it, the same model described in (Shitaoka et al., 2004) was used. We used 168 talks for training and 20 talks for testing. The biggest problem with dependency-structure analysis is that sentence boundaries are ambiguous, and it has been reported that the dependency accuracy is improved by approximately 3% when correct sentence boundaries are given. Other problems are assumed to be self-corrections, inserted clauses, quotations, and bunsetsu that have no modifiee. By giving the correct sentence boundaries, we investigated the accuracies obtained when a model was

---

1The detailed criteria for dependency annotation is downloadable from the web page of the CSJ (CSJ, 2004).

Figure 1: Dependency-structure annotation tool.
trained and tested after eliminating self-corrections, and giving the correct boundaries of inserted clauses and quotations, and eliminating the bunsetsus that have no modifiee. Table 1 lists the accuracies. According to the table, the accuracies were improved by 0.4% by eliminating self-corrections, by 2.3% by giving the correct boundaries of inserted clauses and quotations, and by 0.4% by eliminating the bunsetsus that have no modifiee. The total improvement was thus 3.1%.

|                        | Baseline (After giving correct sentence boundaries) | After eliminating self-corrections | After using clause information |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                        | closed                                           | open                              | closed                      | open                       |
|                        | 88.3% (14,632 / 16,566)                          | 78.3% (12,251 / 15,650)           | 88.6% (14,434 / 16,293)     | 78.7% (12,095 / 15,362)    |
|                        |                                                  |                                   |                             |                            |
|                        |                                                  |                                   | closed                      | open                       |
|                        | 90.2% (14,692 / 16,293)                          | 81.0% (12,444 / 15,362)           | 90.4% (13,346 / 14,771)     | 81.4% (11,427 / 14,033)    |

Table 1: Effect of characteristic phenomena on spontaneous speech.

These results show that the accuracy of automatic dependency analysis can be improved when self-corrections, clause boundaries, and bunsetsus that have no modifiee are detected. We are currently investigating the improvement of dependency analysis obtained by using automatically detected self-corrections, clause boundaries, and bunsetsus that have no modifiee. However, there is still a big difference between dependency accuracies of written text and spontaneous speech; namely, the accuracies obtained for closed- and open-test data extracted from the Kyoto University text corpus were approximately 98% and 89%, respectively, when the same size of training data to that used in the above experiment for spontaneous speech was used. We are also investigating other problems that contribute to the difference between dependency accuracies of written text and spontaneous speech.

5. Conclusion

This paper described the dependency structure of a large, spontaneous, Japanese-speech corpus, Corpus of Spontaneous Japanese (CSJ), and discussed the difference in the dependency structures of written text and spontaneous speech in terms of the dependency accuracies obtained by using a corpus-based model. The biggest problem with dependency-structure analysis of spontaneous speech is that sentence boundaries are ambiguous. Other problems are assumed to be self-corrections, inserted clauses, quotations, and bunsetsus that have no modifiee. We investigated the expected improvements in the accuracy of dependency analysis obtained by eliminating the effect of the characteristic phenomena on spontaneous speech, and found that the accuracy of dependency analysis could be improved if the characteristic phenomena were detected. We are therefore currently investigating the improvement of the accuracy of dependency analysis obtained by using automatically detected self-corrections, clause boundaries, and bunsetsus that have no modifiee. We are also investigating other problems that cause a gap in the dependency-analysis accuracies for written text and spontaneous speech.
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