Abstract: Background Reverse shoulder arthroplasty (RSA) is a valuable solution for patients with shoulder pain or injury primarily due to a rotator cuff tear or secondary to traumatic events. Nevertheless, several complications are known to appear, with the most frequent being scapular notching (SN) on the inferior and posterior scapular neck. Controversial data exist about the clinical relevance of SN. Since further consequences are still not clearly understood, we aimed to provide more clarity on which factors, especially external rotation (ER), contribute to the appearance and progress of notching. Methods Constant Score (CS), Subjective Shoulder Value (SSV), flexion, abduction, and ER were evaluated retrospectively in 153 shoulders of 147 patients (mean age 79±7.7 years; 62% women) who underwent RSA between 2005 and 2010. Anteroposterior radiographs were evaluated before and 1, 2, 3, and 5 years after RSA for SN according to the Sirveaux classification. The evaluation was performed by two independent surgeons. Spearman’s coefficient and t-test were used. Results CS, SSV, flexion, and abduction increased significantly 1 year after RSA compared to before (all p < 0.0001). No improvement was shown for ER between the same timepoints. Between 2 and 5 years of follow-up, only flexion decreased by 5° (p = 0.02) while CS, SSV, abduction, and ER remained constant. After RSA, notching increases over time. There was no association between SN and CS, SSV, flexion, abduction or ER at any of the measured timepoints. Higher flexion correlated with higher abduction after RSA at every follow-up (1 year r = 0.88, 2 years r = 0.89, 3 years r = 0.86, 5 years r = 0.86). The interrater correlation test showed a strong correlation (r = 0.7). Conclusion We verified the functional benefits of RSA for patients. Additionally, our findings show that despite radiographic progression of notching and unchanged limited ER, the postoperative improvements in CS, SSV, flexion, and abduction are preserved over 5 years.
Abduktion nach RSA in jedem Follow-up (1 Jahr: $r = 0,88$; 2 Jahre: $r = 0,89$; 3 Jahre: $r = 0,86$; 5 Jahre: $r = 0,86$). Die Interrater-Reliabilität zeigte eine starke Korrelation ($r = 0,7$). Schlussfolgerung Die Autoren konnten den funktionellen Nutzen der RSA für die Patienten nachweisen. Darüber hinaus zeigen die vorliegenden Ergebnisse, dass trotz des fortschreitenden radiologischen Trends des SN und unverändert eingeschränkter AR postoperativ Verbesserungen in CS, SSV, Flexion und Abduktion über 5 Jahre erhalten bleiben.
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Abstract

Background: Reverse shoulder arthroplasty (RSA) is a valuable solution for patients with shoulder pain or injury primarily due to a rotator cuff tear or secondary to traumatic events. Nevertheless, several complications are known to appear, with the most frequent being scapular notching (SN) on the inferior and posterior scapular neck. Controversial data exist about the clinical relevance of SN. Since further consequences are still not clearly understood, we aimed to provide more clarity on which factors, especially external rotation (ER), contribute to the appearance and progress of notching.

Methods: Constant Score (CS), Subjective Shoulder Value (SSV), flexion, abduction, and ER were evaluated retrospectively in 153 shoulders of 147 patients (mean age 79±7.7 years; 62% women) who underwent RSA between 2005 and 2010. Anteroposterior radiographs were evaluated before and 1, 2, 3, and 5 years after RSA for SN according to the Sirveaux classification. The evaluation was performed by two independent surgeons. Spearman’s coefficient and t-test were used.

Results: CS, SSV, flexion, and abduction increased significantly 1 year after RSA compared to before (all p < 0.0001). No improvement was shown for ER between the same timepoints. Between 2 and 5 years of follow-up, only flexion decreased by 5° (p = 0.02) while CS, SSV, abduction, and ER remained constant. After RSA, notching increases over time. There was no association between SN and CS, SSV, flexion, abduction or ER at any of the measured timepoints. Higher flexion correlated with higher abduction after RSA at every follow-up (1 year r = 0.88, 2 years r = 0.89, 3 years r = 0.86, 5 years r = 0.86). The interrater correlation test showed a strong correlation (r = 0.7).

Conclusion: We verified the functional benefits of RSA for patients. Additionally, our findings show that despite radiographic progression of notching and unchanged limited ER, the postoperative improvements in CS, SSV, flexion, and abduction are preserved over 5 years.
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Introduction

With the Grammont type of reverse prosthesis that was introduced in the late 1980s, a new biomechanical concept was established by lowering and medializing the center of rotation [6, 17]. Therefore, a greater lever arm results in the deltoid muscle leading to an improved shoulder function, especially its efficacy for abduction and to a lesser extent also forward elevation [10, 24]. Further biomechanical advantages of the Grammont type reverse shoulder arthroplasty (RSA) are a larger ball that offers more stability and a greater range of motion (ROM) and the neckless placement of the center of rotation on the glenoid surface, thereby reducing the shear forces at the point of fixation [6]. Shoulder function and autonomy of daily activities are improved after RSA [7]. Some of these adaptations, compared to the previous constrained implant models (TSA), are able to partially compensate the deficiency in the superior rotator cuff muscles. Nevertheless, several authors have reported that clinically internal and external rotation (ER) do not necessarily improve after RSA despite preservation of the infraspinatus and subscapularis muscle tendon unit [6, 9]. Explanations for this are that the ROM is restrained as a consequence of the limited lateral offset of the prosthesis and that the lever arm of the remaining rotator cuff is reduced by medializing and lowering the center of rotation. Additionally, the potential damage of the suprascapular nerve with the posterior screw during surgery may affect active external rotation following RSA [6].

A specific radiographic sign associated with RSA implantation is called “scapular notching.” Scapular notching describes a glenoid erosion that usually appears on the inferior scapular pillar and was classified by Sirveaux–Nérot et al. [33, 38] into four different stages:

- Grade 0 shows no defect
- Grade 1 defect corresponds to an erosion within the inferior scapular pillar
- Grade 2 defect erosion reaches the inferior border of the lower screw
- Grade 3 defect extends further than the lower screw

Grade 4 defects are considered when the notching progresses to contact with the bottom of the baseplate.

Several factors seem to be relevant in predicting inferior notching, the most common of which are: the anatomy of the scapular neck, the base plate orientation, the surgical approach, the patient’s rotator cuff condition before and after surgery and degenerative disorders. One of the most important risk factors for this impingement of the humeral polyethylene cup seems to be the position of the base plate [18, 30, 37]. Lower positioning shows less notching incidence [42] while the absence of the neck from the glenosphere [6] in combination with superior tilt should be avoided causing more likely an underlap of the humeral cup [25, 29]. An additional risk factor is a large scapular neck angle which was shown by Simovitch ([37]: 9° in shoulders without and 31° for shoulders with inferior notching). The same author reported that a large peg-glenoid rim distance also influences inferior notching negatively (20.1 mm without and 24.7 mm with notching). An anterosuperior surgical approach showed higher notching incidence compared to a deltopectoral approach [28].

The goal of the present study was to analyze the parameters of ROM, especially ER, before and after RSA along with the incidence and progression of scapular notching. We hypothesize that a poor shoulder function, especially a decreased ER, is more likely to correlate with a higher degree of notching.

Patients and methods

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

For this retrospective study, patients with implantation of an Anatomical Shoulder Inverse System (Zimmer Inc., Warsaw, IN, USA) and ages between 18 and 99 years were included between 2005 and 2010 from our institutional database. The time period was selected on the basis of the implant that was mostly used at that time. All patients who had surgery before the RSA (except soft tissue intervention such as subacromial debridement or repair of rotator cuff tear), primary or secondary tendon transfer (e.g., latissimus dorsi transfer), incomplete clinical or radiological data, and rejection of informed consent were excluded.

Surgical procedure

All surgeries were performed or supervised by two experienced shoulder surgeons. The deltopectoral approach was mainly used, in rare cases the superolateral approach was chosen. An Anatomical Shoulder Inverse (Zimmer Biomet, Warsaw, IN, USA) was implanted in all patients with a neck angle of 155°. Glenospheres with 36 and 42 mm were used. The surgical procedure is described in detail elsewhere [16]. Repair of the subscapularis muscle and tendon was performed routinely unless anatomical circumstances (e.g., muscle atrophy) did not allow it.

Postoperative care

Postoperative rehabilitation started on the day after surgery with certain limitations as follows: active assisted elevation in the case of physical complaints, no internal rotation against resistance, ER maximally up to 0°, and activation of the deltoid muscle for 6 weeks. After 6 weeks, patients were allowed to increase ROM gradually according to their symptoms. Physiotherapy was prescribed for a duration of 6 weeks, followed by strengthening. An arm sling was given facultatively.

Clinical and radiological assessment

The Constant Score (CS), Subjective Shoulder Value (SSV), flexion, abduction, and ER were clinically assessed before surgery and at every follow-up 1, 2, 3, and 5 years postoperatively after RSA and analyzed retrospectively.

Radiographs in anteroposterior and axillary view were taken according to a standardized internal protocol before surgery and at every follow-up. The Sirveaux–Nérot classification was used to grade SN (grade 0: signs of notching absent—grade 4: notching up to the inferior screw and glenoid peg; [33, 38]) using the anteroposterior and axillary view. Preoperatively, on the anteroposterior radiograph we measured the acromio-
Table 1  Baseline characteristics

| Characteristics                  | N (%)          |
|----------------------------------|----------------|
| Patients/shoulders               | 147/153        |
| Age (mean, SD)                   | 79, 7.7        |
| Gender                           |                |
| Women                            | 91 (62)        |
| Men                              | 56 (38)        |
| Setting                          |                |
| Elective                         | 150 (98)       |
| Emergency                        | 3 (2)          |
| Diagnosis                        |                |
| Cuff tear arthropathy            | 110 (72)       |
| Osteoarthritis                   | 4 (3)          |
| Cuff deficiency/posttraumatic in-| 39 (25)        |
|jury                              |                |
| Side                             |                |
| Dominant side                    | 114 (75)       |
| Contralateral side               | 38 (24)        |
| Unknown                          | 1 (<1)         |
| Surgical approach                |                |
| Deltopectoral                     | 138 (90)       |
| Superolateral                     | 13 (7)         |
| Other                            | 2 (3)          |
| Glenosphere size                 |                |
| 36 mm                            | 107 (70)       |
| 42 mm                            | 45 (29)        |
| Unknown                          | 1 (<1)         |
| SD standard deviation            |                |

humeral distance ([AHD], in millimeters; [21]) and assessed Hamada stage (stage 1, AHD > 6 mm—stage 5, AHD < 7 mm with osteonecrosis of humeral head; [19]). Retrospectively, anteverision of the glenoid scapular angle was analyzed from CT scans according to Friedmann (measured in degrees; [14]) in addition to the glenoid type classification after Walch (grade A1/A2: centered humeral head, concentric wear, no subluxation of the humeral head with minor/major erosion—grade C: > 25° retroversion, dysplastic or biconcave glenoid, posterior translation of the humeral head; [41]). Radiologic readout was performed by two independent orthopedic surgeons over a period of 3 months. The PACS-Software Merlin (Phönix-PACS GmbH, Freiburg in Breisgau, Germany) was used to visualize radiographs.

Table 2  Follow-up documentation and drop-outs

| Preoperatively | 1 y | 2 y | 3 y | 5 y |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Total, N (%)   | 147 (100) | 130 (88) | 116 (79) | 103 (70) | 85 (58) |
| Decease        | – | 7 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Conversion to hemiprosthesis | – | 1 | 2 | 2 | – |
| Poor general condition, frailty | – | 3 | 5 | 5 | 12 |
| Othera         | – | 119 | 105 | 95 | 71 |
| y years        |                |
| aEmigration, follow-up with other doctor/institution, missed appointments or not within regular time, unknown |

Table 3 Preoperative radiological parameters

| Radiological parameter | Mean (SD) | N (%) |
|------------------------|-----------|-------|
| AHD                    | 5.4 (3.6) | –     |
| Hamada                 |           |       |
| Grade 1                | –         | 50 (33) |
| Grade 2                | –         | 26 (17) |
| Grade 3                | –         | 21 (14) |
| Grade 4 a/b            | –         | 29 (19) |
| Grade 5                | –         | 27 (17) |
| Walch classification   |           |       |
| A1                     | –         | 93 (61) |
| A2                     | –         | 46 (30) |
| B1                     | –         | 9 (6) |
| B2                     | –         | 2 (1) |
| C                      | –         | 3 (2) |
| Ante-/retroversion     | 6.7 (6.0) | 72 (47) |
| Retroversion           | 5.4 (5.0) | 81 (53) |

AHD, Hamada and Walch classification were obtained from conventional radiographs; Ante- or retroversion form CT scans

AHD acromiohumeral distance. SD standard deviation

Statistical analysis

Pearson’s correlation coefficient was applied to test for correlation between the radiological readouts, and Spearman’s correlation coefficient was used to determine correlations between parameters and notching grading. Spearman’s correlation coefficients range from –1.0 to 1.0, indicating perfect inverse and direct correlation, respectively, while values from 0.70 to 0.89 were interpreted as strong and 0.90–1.00 as very strong correlation [35]. The two-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank test was used for the comparisons between the follow-up time points. To rule out measurement error, minimal detectable change (MDC) was assessed based on the 95% confidence interval [40]. The level of significance was set at p < 0.05. Analysis was conducted in R (R Core Team, 2017, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Results

In total, 153 shoulders of 147 patients were included in the study (Table 1). There were 38% men (58 shoulders) and 62% women (95 shoulders) with an average age of 79 years (SD ± 7.7). Overall, 110 patients were diagnosed with cuff tear arthropathy, four with osteoarthritis and 39 with cuff deficiency or posttraumatic injury. Three patients had surgery due to an emergency, all others were planned in advance for RSA. The surgical approach chosen was deltopectoral in 90.3 and superolateral in 7.3% of cases. The remaining 2.4% approaches were anterosuperior and made using the already existing scar. A total of 70.3% of patients received a gleno-
### Table 4
Clinical parameters preoperatively and at follow-up

| Metric                  | Preop | 1y    | 2y    | 3y    | 5y    |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Constant Score [points] | 28.4  | 5.2   | 61.1  | 61.2  | 61.1  |
| Subjective Shoulder Value [%] | 28.4  | 69.9  | 74.3  | 77.4  | 74.3  |
| Flexion [°]             | 66.5  | 121.8 | 127.0 | 119.3 | 123.0 |
| Abduction [°]           | 63.0  | 114.4 | 119.9 | 119.3 | 123.0 |
| External Rotation [°]   | 25.6  | 24.8  | 27.5  | 30.0  | 27.9  |

| Year(s) | Preop vs. 1y | 2y vs. 5y |
|---------|--------------|-----------|
| Mean    | 30.8         | 2.2       | -0.2    | 3.1    | 0.4     |
| SD      | 2.8          | 4.2       | 6.2     | 8.2    | 4.1     |

SD: standard deviation, y: year(s), preop: preoperatively, Δ: difference, MDC: minimal detectable change (95% confidence interval)

### Table 5
Radiological parameter for scapula notching at all follow-ups

| Grade | N (Number of Patients) | Year(s) |
|-------|------------------------|---------|
| 0     | 33                     | 1       |
| 1     | 65                     | 2       |
| 2     | 31                     | 3       |
| 3     | 18                     | 5       |
| 4     | 1                      |         |

For the 1-year follow-up we registered 130 patients, for the 2-year follow-up 103 patients, for the 3-year follow-up 85 patients, and for the 5-year follow-up there were 85 patients.

Preoperative SN showed a strong correlation with \( r = 0.70 (p < 0.001) \).}

For the 1-year follow-up we registered 130 patients, for the 2-year follow-up 103 patients, for the 3-year follow-up 85 patients, and for the 5-year follow-up there were 85 patients. Reasons for absence were surgical revisions (e.g., fracture), emigration, bad general condition, missed consultation (reason not documented), or death (Z table). Preoperative SN showed a strong correlation with \( r = 0.70 (p < 0.001) \).

Preoperative SN showed a strong correlation with \( r = 0.70 (p < 0.001) \).
from trauma showed no difference in SN compared to patients with no trauma.

After RSA, notching showed an increasing tendency with higher grades over time in the mean, without statistical significance (mean Sirveaux 1 year = 2.20, mean 2 years = 2.45, mean 3 years = 2.70, mean 5 years = 2.74, Table 5 and Fig. 2). While absent notching (grade 0) showed a clear decreasing tendency from 33 to 11 cases during the follow-ups over 5 years, the incidence of the most severe notching grade 4 increased from one to 10 cases (Table 5). Despite this radiographic tendency of notching toward higher grades, no correlation was found at any follow-up time point with any of the clinical parameters of flexion, abduction, or ER (Fig. 3).

Higher flexion correlated strongly with higher abduction after RSA in every follow-up (1 year $r = 0.88$, 2 years $r = 0.89$, 3 years $r = 0.86$, 5 years $r = 0.86$). Equivalently, CS showed strong a correlation with abduction and flexion over 5 years (all $r > 0.72$, data not shown).

Discussion

In this retrospective study of 147 patients 72% suffered from a cuff tear arthropathy along with pain and impaired function resulting in therapeutic RSA. We showed that 1 year after RSA the parameters SSV, CS, flexion, and abduction all increased significantly and remained constantly high over all follow-ups, whereas ER did not improve nor decrease. Improved CS and SSV was summarized in the review by Petrillo et al. with very similar pre- and postoperative values and a total increase for CS ($\Delta + 31$ points) and SSV ($\Delta + 54\%$; [32]).

Our results confirm findings in the literature with the congruent reported average postoperative flexion of 124° after RSA from studies [26]. The slight decrease of $-3.5°$ that we measured at 5 years compared to 2 years after surgery was inter-
Interpreted as measuring error since an MDC of 7° was not reached [40]. Improvement in flexion from before surgery ranged, depending on the study, from 43 to 106° [26] encompassing our increase in motion after 5 years of 57°. The reason for this wider variation can be explained by the different follow-up time points and number of patients as well as by interrater reliability in measurement methods [12]. In active flexion the glenohumeral and scapulothoracic joint are involved which makes it difficult to separate a potential compensatory mechanism by the latter when measuring the flexion during physical examination [26], suggesting the lower values are more strictly related to the improved glenohumeral function. The results reported by Maier et al. are also in agreement with our findings, without a change in ER after RSA. However, other groups have found significant improvement in ER. Compared to our results, Petrillo et al. showed an improvement in ER after RSA of up to 27.7° in their review (408 shoulders in total) with the underlying diagnosis of either cuff tear arthropathy or irreparable massive rotator cuff tears. This might be explained by the much lower preoperative mean of 17.1° (compared to our pre- and postoperative mean of 25.6 and 27.9°, respectively; [32]). This highlights the fact that our study cohort already had a relatively acceptable extent in ER before surgery. Knowing the established method of a latissimus dorsi transfer to improve ER after RSA due to an atrophied teres minor muscle [2, 5, 15, 37], Berglund et al. compared a group with transfer against one without transfer finding no change in ER after surgery [1]. Another group examined 608 patients before and after RSA dividing patients into three groups according to their preoperative range of ER (stiff with a mean of –4°, weak with a mean of 16°, and normal with a mean of 44°), showing a significantly higher improvement for patients with a stiff range compared to those with a weak range (comparable to our cohort) and normal range after surgery [8]. This finding raises the issue that patients with a stiff range might suffer from an additional restriction (postoperative stiffness) that causes only temporary impairment.

When comparing traumatic versus non-traumatic cases, it is not surprising that the latter have a higher Hamada score, lower AHD and lower SSV since we know that these patients already suffer from chronic osteoarthritis and cuff tear arthropathy [19, 21]. We believe that patients who have a clear trauma history have better ER postoperatively since they usually have not suffered from chronic degenerative changes of muscles and the joint, presenting with a more robust preoperative anatomical condition with higher potential for compensation.

We found a trend of notching showing an increasing tendency over 5 years after RSA from 2.20 up to 2.74. Overall, 33 patients did not show any radiological sign after 1 year whereas after 5 years only 11 patients had no evidence of notching (with a decreased follow-up cohort due to the drop-outs). The reported incidence of notching varies widely from 4 to 96% [13]. The debate of whether SN is clinically
relevant and related to poorer outcome such as impaired ROM or higher incidence of complications [27, 36] or whether it is simply a radiological finding without a harmful effect [6, 25, 39, 42] is still ongoing. In 2019 Simovitch et al. summarized in a retrospective study of 324 patients with a 5-year follow-up that patients without notching have a significantly increased function in abduction, flexion, ER, and the CS compared to those with notching [36]. It should be mentioned here that notching grades 1–4 were pooled for a binary analysis and therefore higher power. Further, the authors discovered that patients with notching had a higher complication rate. Several factors have been discussed to influence the progress, e.g., implant type, surgical technique with inferior tilting [11], or bony increased offset [4], BMI, glenosphere size, or daily activity [11, 22, 30, 36]. We found no correlation at any follow-up time point between notching trend and any of the functional parameters of flexion, abduction, or ER (Fig. 3). Based on biomechanical and clinical studies, several groups stated that primary ER in adduction contributes to the progress of inferior notching [22, 23, 31]. This leads to the conclusion that a lack of sufficient improvement in ER might be protective for SN. However, our preoperative values of ER were higher than in other patient groups before RSA [32].

Overall, 75% of our older patient cohort were affected on their dominant side, revealing how important improvement in function for basic daily activity and therefore life quality can be. For future studies, the essential requirements for everyday living should be taken into account.

In summary, RSA is an established and important therapy option in older patients with cuff tear arthropathy, deficiency, and osteoarthritis suffering from pain and constrained range of motion. Significant functional benefits can be achieved with RSA but several complications can occur, the most frequent being scapular notching of the inferior and posterior scapular neck.

Despite radiographic progression of notching over time, however, no negative clinical association of external rotation and other parameters including abduction, flexion, Constant Score, and Subjective Shoulder Value was observed after 5 years of follow-up.

**Practical conclusion**

- Reverse shoulder arthroplasty (RSA) is an established therapy option in older patients with cuff tear arthropathy, deficiency, and osteoarthritis suffering from pain and constrained range of motion. Significant functional benefits can be achieved with RSA but several complications can occur, the most frequent being scapular notching of the inferior and posterior scapular neck.

- Despite radiographic progression of notching over time, however, no negative clinical association of external rotation and other parameters including abduction, flexion, Constant Score, and Subjective Shoulder Value was observed after 5 years of follow-up.
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