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Abstract: This paper gives an overview of some recent full-scale demonstrations of morphing devices capable of providing innovative capabilities to general systems in changing shape and improving performance significantly during operations. In aeronautics, large progress has been observed over the last few years, meaning that this technology is rapidly transitioning from laboratory scale to high TRL demonstrators. The most advanced concepts already proved to withstand loads with minimal deformation while having the capability to change their geometry to attain additional benefits with respect to their original mission. In the same way, robotics has become one of the most prominent technological trends of the current century. The rapid increase in their use and development has significantly changed our society by gradually replacing a large share of human jobs. Such an evolution is also rapidly accelerating, as technological advances in automation, engineering, artificial intelligence, and machine learning converge. Since both domains involve the integration of actuators, sensors and controllers and face integrity challenges in harsh environments, they may be seen somehow related and probably share a common future. In this article, the authors propose an original view of a possible future scenario that is likely to consider a unique development path for research on adaptive structures and robotics.
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1. RECENT DEVELOPMENTS OF ADAPTIVE STRUCTURES FOR AERONAUTICAL APPLICATIONS

Recently, a certain attention of the Aerospace Engineering world has moved towards smart or adaptive structures; in particular, towards systems able to bear loads while deforming their shape or changing their geometrical configuration (morphing). Many issues must still be faced and completely overcome in order to practically implement such a kind of architectures, as illustrated in some representative textbooks [1-3]. The main of them, however, stands just in the foreword: creating structures that shall withstand external forces with minimal deformation while being able to change their outline a contradictory characteristic that is sometimes referred to as “the paradox of smart (or adaptive) structures”. Indeed, this kind of aspect is not brand new. General aircraft have special devices for maneuver (as flaps and slats) or cruise control (as ailerons), which make possible to “change the wing geometry” to face different needs at different speeds and environmental conditions (ascent, descent, turn and so on). Either, some movable devices are already implemented to alleviate gust effects. Among many other aspects that would require specific attention, what is new in the proposed layouts is the perfect integration of active components (actuator and sensor systems) and adaptive skeleton (deformable structure), sometimes shrouded by a highly-deformable skin. The result is a wing that can change its shape without giving any evidence of the internal apparatus that allows this capacity.

At the time being, the most advanced studies confined the movement to essential features. For instance, some devices proposed three degrees of freedom schemes along the chord, usually composed with increasing complexity along the span to enable articulated camber curvature, almost resembling a wide and complex finger or even almost a continuous hand (kinematic systems). It is possible to find in literature more complex elaborations of that concept, enabling translation and further combined movements, but the basic layout remains. Something different can be found in the compliant systems, where embedded kinematics aims at deforming a continuous elastic structure, namely generating a larger number of degrees of freedom. However, even in that case, the implemented architecture produces limited supplementary mobility. Representative examples of those architectures are reported in Figure 1. They refer respectively to an adaptive trailing edge, designed and realized by a team led by the University of Napoli within the Clean Sky 1 European Program, [4], and a compliant leading edge, designed and realized by a team led by the DLR within the SARISTU project, [5].

Within these boundaries, results are yet incredibly interesting. Projects like the already cited SARISTU in
Europe, [2, 5, 6], or Adaptive Compliant Trailing Edge in USA, [7], proposed full-size wings integrated with multiple actuation systems and distributed sensor networks. In detail, SARISTU presented a 5.5 m span wing equipped with an adaptive leading edge (the forepart of the wing), an adaptive trailing edge (the aft part) and a winglet (the wing tip), capable of giving the basic structure incredible shape variations. It was tested in Wind Tunnel up to M 0.3 (around 370 km/h at sea level), after having been sized for M 0.75 (a common velocity for an airline airplane), Figure 2.

Figure 2: SARISTU Morphing Wing demonstrator installed in the T-104 wind tunnel facility at TsAGI (RUS), [5].

In the NASA-AFRL Adaptive Compliant Trailing Edge project, a flying prototype of an adaptive flap built by Flexsys was fully integrated in the wing-body, attaining something like 45-deg deflection without any discontinuity in the camber curvature (in other words, a continuous deformation was achieved). A variable camber wing was realized by deforming the trailing edge by means of electrical servomotors inside the flap to increase aircraft aerodynamic performance and perform load control and alleviation functions. A flight test campaign was carried out to demonstrate the airworthiness of the developed structural concept, conceived to replace the conventional Fowler flap on a NASA subsonic research aircraft testbed derived from a Gulfstream G-III, Figure 3, [8].

Currently, morphing wing devices are being developed in the framework of the ongoing research Airgreen 2, [9], a European Clean Sky 2 (CS2) project aiming at developing selected innovative technologies for the next-generation regional aircraft. Such models are planned to undergo both large wind tunnel and flight test campaigns in 2022–2023. In that case, a morphing winglet and an adaptive high-lift system, consisting in turn of a morphing droop nose and a morphing flap, are being developed by CIRA, the Politecnico of Milano, and the University of Naples, respectively. The first one incorporates a dedicated actuation mechanism driven by linear electromechanical actuators to adaptively control two independent finger-like adaptive surfaces enabling load control and alleviation functions, [10]. The second one proved to significantly increase the high lift performance of the natural laminar flow wing equipped with such deformable components, [11]. In detail, the morphing flap system is an evolution of what was realized in the previous years, [4]. Herein the movement is enabled by inner moveable articulations, shown in Figure 4, consisting of rigid blocks that are allowed to rotate in relation to each other to change the wing camber. The optimization process of such a
complex device involves parametric kinematic analyses considering leverage components’ number, shape, and position as functions of the mechanical advantage to be achieved by the overall mechanism, [12].

2. ROBOTIC SYSTEMS

Robotics may be seen somehow related to adaptive structures. In that case, the problem is approached from “another perspective”. Rigorously, a robot is any machine that serves heavy work, replacing the need of human strength. A washing machine, a simple pallet truck are examples of robots. In the collective imaginary, however, the word robot has been more and more associated with something that tries to replicate the behavior and operation of a human, while the other systems are indicated with the generic term of machines, more brutally and perhaps efficiently. Thus,
when a robot is introduced, it is often a mechanical system that imitates the characteristics of a man or a woman, to some extent. More in general, a robot is also associated to something that replicates a living creature as a dog, a cat, or even an insect. Biomimetic robots have then completely assimilated the meaning of the word robot, almost for antonomasia. The step forward that this technology has moved in the recent years are impressive. There do exist robot able to play soccer, [13], to shoot with extreme precision, [14], to bring delicate substances from a place to another, [15], and even to operate autonomously, almost or completely. That latter aspect is, however, part of other subjects and is herein left apart. A certain focus of the research on robots, in a sense now described, is associated to the need of moving in perfect equilibrium, avoiding falls or even uncertain steps, or to the capability of handling things with extreme care, without hurting or damaging them, and so on. In other words, the emphasis of the research on robots as “mechanical systems” is “to be precise” in the most extensive sense of that statement.

Generally, it is possible to state that there is no special focus on the force that shall be exerted to resist external loads, or applied to an external object. Indeed, the study effort is spent to control it at the minimum as in the case of manipulating eggs or delicate surgery tools, [16]. The robot structure itself is not thought as something that can be deformable: it can be described as a set of rigid parts (multibody system). If increasing weight had not a direct impact on the actuators’ power, and then on their size, it would be also correct to assert that weight is not an issue for that kind of machines. Among many outstanding projects that can be found in literature, some are reported as good examples of what stated until now. Supported by the European Research Council (ERC), the SOFTHANDS team did succeed in creating a hand robot that matched the ability to move and to apply different levels of strength, [17]. HoloBuilder, a software house specialized in products for supporting civil constructor operations, and Boston Dynamics, a robotics firm, released a dog-like robot (SPOT) to catch regular comprehensive overviews of ongoing activities, and to monitor and report real-time variations on the scheduled path, [18]. Potentialities of that same robot system have also been experimented by the Massachusetts State Police.

3. DISCUSSION AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Standing that background, and hazarding a futuristic vision along the route tracked by many movies on the matter, it is easy to imagine how the developments of adaptive structures and robotics could meet at some point. Realization of robots able to change their shape as a function of their mission, strong enough to resist significant loads while being able to handle things with extreme precision, sufficiently accurate to substitute human hands operation in microscopic surgery, reliable enough to carry on human activities in planetary exploration, is something that is perfectly imaginable at this point of the research activities and their next evolutions, Figure 5, [19, 20].

There is no need to have some particular capability or sensitiveness for looking towards the times ahead. Maybe romantic perspectives, presented by movies like Transformers, [21], or Terminator [22], will never come to reality, but the essence of the prospected mental picture is exactly that. Making a further step ahead or aside, it can also be envisaged how robotic parts could

![Figure 5: Robot for planetary exploration (left), [19], Image Credit: NASA/JPL/Cornell University; Flying/walking robot concept (right), [20], Image credit: Pixabay.](image-url)
be integrated into a human body to help people with disabilities in overcoming their difficulties or handicaps, even partially. Exoskeletons as the ones proposed by NASA, Figure 6, [23], are the first examples of this further possibility that brilliantly avoid facing problems related to the direct incorporation of mechanical systems on a person (cyborg). Some of those are classical mechanic issues, as for instance, the need to ensure a perfect fitting with the bones while controlling tensions and strains transmitted to the coupling interface. Others regard extremely complex biomedical aspects as the connection to sensitive terminals and information pathways (nerves) to the machine and vice-versa, in the way shown in Star Wars Episode V, [24]. If someone should express concerns about the real possibility that cyborgs will be present in the far future, it could be answered that many of us are perhaps already those ones, [25, 26].

Today, people are so combined with their connection means (PC, tablet, smartphones, and so on) that these latter may be already considered parts of their body. And if the issue should be those devices are not physically linked, the obvious answer that in turn is a rhetoric question, would be “for how long?”. Wearing a chip under the skin is definitely possible (our dogs already have it), and implementing voice commands is a diffused technology. Studies are ongoing for catching the brainwaves and using them to drive easy tasks of certain apparatuses, [27]. A kind of mind transmission via electromagnetic waves (enhanced phone calls) is not that far... It maybe these latter items move this speech too away from the original intentions, having started dealing with cybernetics, either. Coming back to the aforesaid perspectives, robotics, mechatronics, and adaptive structures science seem to be converging in the short-medium term, with some expected and many unexpected consequences on biomedicals, bioengineering, and biotechnology.
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