Estimation of primary radiation output for wide-beam computed tomography scanner
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Abstract

Purpose: To estimate in-air primary radiation output in a wide-beam multidetector computed tomography (CT) scanner.

Materials and methods: A 6-cm ionization chamber was placed free-in-air at the isocenter, and two sheets of lead (1-mm thickness) were placed on the bottom of the gantry cover, forming apertures of 40–80 mm in increments of 8 mm. The air-kerma rate profiles were measured with and without the apertures (Kw-A, Kw(o-A)) for 4.8 s at tube potentials of 80, 100, 120, and 135 kVp, tube current of 50 mA, and rotation time of 0.4 s. The nominal beam width was varied from 40 to 160 mm in increments of 40 mm.

Upon completion of data acquisition, the Kw/0-A were plotted as a function of the measured beam width, and the extrapolated dose rates (K0/0-A) at zero beam width were calculated by second-order least-squares estimation. Similarly, the Kw-A were plotted as a function of the radiation field (measured beam width × aperture size at the isocenter), and the extrapolated dose rates (K0/0-A) were compared with the K0/0-A.

Results: The means and standard errors of the Kw/0-A with 40-, 80-, 120-, and 160-mm nominal beam widths at 120 kVp were 10.94 ± 0.01, 11.13 ± 0.01, 11.22 ± 0.01, and 11.31 ± 0.01 mGy/s, respectively, and the K0/0-A was reduced to 10.67 ± 0.02 mGy/s. The K0/0-A of 40-, 80-, 120-, and 160-mm beam widths were reduced to 10.6 ± 0.1, 10.6 ± 0.2, 10.5 ± 0.1, and 10.6 ± 0.1 mGy/s and were not significantly different from the K0/0-A.

Conclusions: A method for describing the in-air primary radiation output in a wide-beam CT scanner was proposed that provides a means to characterize the scatter-to-primary ratio of the CT scanner.
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1  |  INTRODUCTION

Measurement of radiation output is an important part of quality assurance (QA) for computed tomography (CT) scanners, and the CT dose index (CTDI) has been utilized for over three decades. With recent advances in technology, the beam width of some CT scanners has been increased to 160 mm. The use of conventional CTDI100...
for 160-mm wide x-ray beam is now less valid because (a) the beam width exceeds (is wider than) the length of the pencil chamber, (b) the use of just one CTDI phantom is not long enough in z-axis to adequately provide scatter tails from CTDI phantom, and (c) the CTDI_{vol} is not conceptually appropriate for stationary cone beam CT or for perfusion studies or CT fluoroscopy. Additionally, the higher gantry rotation speed also decreases the accuracy of CTDI_{100} measurement.4

One of the solutions for the evaluation of radiation output in a wide-beam CT scanner is to use a farmer-type ionization chamber and measure the air-kerma rate free-in-air (K_{air}) at the isocenter without CTDI phantom.2,5 Because these measurements are carried out with little scattered radiation, they are utilized not only for the determination of K_{air}, but also for characterization of the half-value layer (HVL)6,7 and the bow tie filter profile.8 However, since K_{air} increases as a function of the beam width while the tube potential and tube current remain constant, it must still include the scattered radiation.9

Although the reduction in scattered radiation is an important factor for measurement of K_{air}, to the best of our knowledge, there have been no published studies extracting the scattered radiation from the measured K_{air}. The ionization chamber placed at the isocenter simultaneously detects the primary and the scattered radiation. The scattered radiation increases as a function of the beam width, while the primary radiation remains constant. Conversely, it can be hypothesized that the contribution of scattered radiation could be removed through extrapolation of K_{air} at the zero beam width (K_{0}).10

Then, the K_{0} at any beam width would be independent of the beam width and would represent the primary radiation output of the CT system.

## 2 | METHODS

### 2.A | Measurement of x-ray beam width

The x-ray beam width is necessary to extrapolate K_{0} in this study. It can be determined with conventional film, Gafchromic film, or computed radiography (CR) photostimulable phosphor plate.11 Previous work has shown that the CR system is promising for application to beam width measurement because of its accuracy and widespread availability in diagnostic radiology.12

#### 2.A.1 | Relationship between CR pixel value and K_{air}

An Aquilion ONE VISION Edition CT scanner (Canon Medical Systems, Nasu, Japan) and an FCR PROTECT CS CR system (Fujifilm Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) were employed for these experiments. A CR cassette (24 cm x 30 cm) in conjunction with a photostimulable phosphor plate (Imaging Plate Cassette Type CC and IP ST-VI; Fujifilm Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was also utilized in this study. Because CT scanning conventionally provides a higher radiation dose to the photostimulable phosphor plate, an appropriate exposure technique is required to avoid saturation of the CR pixel value.13 The relation between the CR pixel value and the radiation dose was then investigated.

The CR plate was placed at the isocenter on the patient table with the lead side down. The radiation exposure was taken under axial scanning (tube potential 80 kVp, tube current between 10 and 40 mA at 5-ma intervals, rotation time 0.275 s, nominal beam width 80 mm, small focus, and medium bow tie filter). Upon completion of the radiation exposures, the CR plate was processed with a fixed mode with a latitude of 4 and sensitivity of 5 using the AVE4.0 test menu in the CR system to avoid any manipulation of raw data.12 The CR pixel values at the beam center were measured using ImageJ (National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) and plotted as a function of the tube current.

After the relation between the CR pixel value and the tube current was verified for the CR system, the relation between K_{air} and tube current was investigated. A 6-cc ionization chamber (10X6-6, Radcal, Monrovia, CA, USA) calibrated for RQR-5 beam quality was suspended free-in-air at the isocenter of the CT system, and K_{air} was measured under the exact same scanning protocol. Upon completion of these data acquisitions, K_{air} was superimposed on the graph of the CR pixel value at the beam center as a function of the tube current. After applying a logarithmic transformation of K_{air}, the coefficient of determination (R^2) for log-linear relationship between CR pixel value and K_{air} was calculated below the saturation level.

#### 2.A.2 | Measurement of radiation profile

After linearity between the CR pixel value and K_{air} had been verified for the CR system, the nominal beam widths of 40, 80, 120, and 160 mm were evaluated. The CR plate was placed at the isocenter on the patient table with the lead side down, and the exposures were taken under axial scanning (tube potential 80 kVp, tube current 10 and 20 mA, rotation time 0.275 s, and bow tie filter medium). The two-exposure technique was utilized for the determination of full width at half maximum (FWHM), which represents the beam width.14 The first exposure (20 mA) was taken for determination of the maximum CR pixel value at the beam center. The second exposure (10 mA) was one-half of the first exposure and was performed for determination of the half-maximum exposure level in the first profile. Finally, the FWHM was measured as the distance of the half-maximum CR values in the first profile.

### 2.B | Estimation of beam width independent in-air radiation output

A 6-cc ionization chamber (10X6-6, Radcal, Monrovia, CA, USA) calibrated for RQR-9 beam quality was employed in this study. The sensitive volume is 38 mm x 25 mm, and the sampling rate is 10 kHz. It was suspended free-in-air at the isocenter of the CT system (see Fig. 1), and two sheets of lead (160 mm x 160 mm x 1 mm) were placed perpendicularly to the scan beam width on the bottom of the gantry cover. The XYZ coordinate system was used to express the position relative to the scanner, the horizontal x-axis, and the vertical
y-axis. The rotation axis was coincident with the z-axis. The lead sheets were used to create an aperture between 40 and 80 mm (in increments of 8 mm) in the x-direction. The lead sheets can be seen in Fig. 1. The beam width along the z-axis was preset at the control console. The ionization chamber was connected to a laptop computer via the Accu-Gold electrometer (Radcal, Monrovia, CA, USA). Accu-Gold2 software captured the signal and displayed the radiation waveform for analysis. The \( K_{w/o-A} \) profiles were measured under CINE mode (without table translation) for 4.8 s at tube potentials 80, 100, 120, and 135 kVp, tube current 50 mA, rotation time 0.4 s, and bowtie filter medium. The nominal beam width was varied from 40 to 160 mm in increments of 40 mm. Then, the displayed CTDIvol was calculated from the geometrical data (focus isocenter between 7 and 5 o'clock). The lead sheets were used to create an aperture between 40 and 80 mm (in increments of 40 mm) in the x-direction. The rotation axis was coincident with the z-axis. The lead sheets were placed on the bottom of the gantry to form apertures (40, 48, 56, 64, 72, and 80 mm). The apertures were set to collimate the radiation beam along the x-axis.

Upon completion of data acquisition, the \( K_{w/o-A} \) were plotted as a function of the measured beam width, and second-order least-squares estimation was applied to calculate the extrapolated dose rates (\( K_{0-w/o-A} \)) at zero beam width. We also calculated the scatter-to-primary ratio (SPR) given as a percentage (%), which is defined as

\[
SPR = \frac{K_{w/o-A} - K_{0-w/o-A}}{K_{0-w/o-A}} \times 100
\]

Similarly, the \( K_{w/A} \) were also plotted as a function of the radiation field at the isocenter, which was calculated as measured beam width \( \times \) aperture size at the isocenter. Then, the aperture size at the isocenter was calculated from the geometrical data (focus isocenter distance of 600 mm\(^2\) and bore diameter of 780 mm). Finally, the extrapolated dose rates (\( K_{0-w/o-A} \)) were compared with the \( K_{0-w/o-A} \).

### 2.C | Statistical analysis

Tukey’s multiple comparison test was used to evaluate the statistical differences among the \( K_{0-w/o-A} \) and the \( K_{0-w/A} \) measured in the CT system. \( P \)-values of 0.05 or less were considered to indicate statistically significant differences. All statistical analyses were carried out using the R software package for Windows version 3.5.0 (R Core Team (2018). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).\(^{16}\)

### 3 | RESULTS

#### 3.A | Measurement of x-ray beam width

Figure 2 shows the CR pixel values as a function of \( K_{w/o-A} \) or tube current. It shows a log-linear relationship between the CR pixel value and \( K_{w/o-A} \) for CT exposure parameters less than or equal to 30 mA (8.25 mAs) at 80 kVp, with log-linear \( R^2 \) of 0.997. Therefore, the two-exposure technique (10 and 20 mA) is valid for determination of the FWHM.

Figure 3 shows an example of 160-mm beam width measurement. The half-maximum CR pixel value in the first exposure (20 mA) is the maximum CR pixel value in the second exposure (10 mA). The FWHMs determined with the double-exposure method.
at the 40-, 80-, 120-, and 160-mm nominal beam widths were 45.6, 85.5, 127.8, and 170.7 mm, respectively.

3.B Estimation of beam width independent in-air radiation output

Figure 4 shows the dose rate profile at 120 kVp with 40-mm aperture (x-axis) and 160-mm beam width (z-axis). A rectangular area is magnified to show the $K_{W/o-A}$ and $K_{W/A}$, respectively (see the inset). There are 12 $K_{W/A}$ peaks for 4.8 s, and the data in the fourth to eighth rotations were employed to calculate the means and the standard errors of the $K_{W/o-A}$ and $K_{W/A}$.

4 DISCUSSION

We measured the $K_{0-W-A}$ and $K_{0-W/0-A}$ to verify that the $K_0$ at any beam width is independent of the beam width in a wide-beam CT scanner. As shown in Tables 1 and 2, the $K_{0-W/o-A}$ and $K_{0-W-A}$ at 40-, 80-, 120-, and 160-mm beam widths were not significantly different. From the above examinations, our new technique showed that (a) $K_0$ indicates the primary radiation output of the CT system, which is independent of the beam width; (b) it can reduce scatter contamination, which affects the accuracy of $K_0$ measurement; and (c) the $K_{0-W/o-A}$ is straightforward compared with the $K_{0-W-A}$. Additionally, because the unit of the $K_{0-W/o-A}$ and $K_{0-W-A}$ is mGy/s, $K_0$ is...
independent of the gantry rotation time, which affects the accuracy of CTDI_{100}^{4}.

Beam width measurement is necessary to extrapolate the \( K_{w/A} \) and \( K_{Dw/A} \), and we employed the double-exposure technique, the accuracy of which is within the CR system pixel spacing (0.1 mm).14 The CR system is accurate for determination of the beam width; however, performing the measurement is tedious and time-consuming. Some authors have reported radiation dose profiles measured by a small-cavity ionization chamber or a liquid ionization chamber.2,9 Unlike image analysis, such as the CR system, these chambers allow simultaneous measurement of both \( K_{w/A} \) and the radiation dose profile to obtain the beam width.

The SPR increased as a function of tube potential and beam width, as shown in Table 1. One reason for the increase may be the

![Figure 5](image-url) \( K_{w/A} \) at 80, 100, 120, and 135 kVp as a function of measured beam width. These \( K_{w/A} \) were reduced with decrease in the beam width. \( K_{w/A} \), air-kerma rate without aperture.

![Figure 6](image-url) \( K_{w/A} \) for 40-, 80-, 120-, and 160-nominal beam widths at 120 kVp as a function of the radiation field at the isocenter. These \( K_{w/A} \) were reduced with a decrease in the radiation field, and almost the same \( K_{Dw/A} \) were also extrapolated. These values did not significantly differ between beam widths and were comparable to the \( K_{Dw/A} \) of 10.67 ± 0.02 mGy/s (no significant differences). \( K_{w/A} \), air-kerma rate with aperture; \( K_{Dw/A} \), air-kerma rate with aperture extrapolated at the zero radiation field at the isocenter; \( K_{Dw/A} \), air-kerma rate without aperture extrapolated at the zero beam width.

| Tube potential (kVp) | Nominal/measured beam width (mm) | CTDI_{vol} head/body (mGy/rotation) | \( K_{w/A} \) (mGy/s/50 mA) | \( K_{Dw/A} \) (mGy/s/50 mA) | SPR (%) |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| 80                  | 40/45.6                         | 1.3/0.6                             | 4.32 ± 0.01              | 4.23 ± 0.02              | 2.2 ± 0.5 |
|                     | 80/85.5                         | 1.2/0.5                             | 4.39 ± 0.01              | 4.3 ± 0.4               | 3.7 ± 0.5 |
|                     | 120/127.8                       | 1.2/0.5                             | 4.41 ± 0.01              | 4.4 ± 0.5               | 4.3 ± 0.4 |
|                     | 160/170.7                       | 1.3/0.6                             | 4.41 ± 0.01              | 4.4 ± 0.5               | 4.2 ± 0.5 |
| 100                 | 40/45.6                         | 2.5/1.1                             | 7.35 ± 0.01              | 7.20 ± 0.02             | 2.2 ± 0.3 |
|                     | 80/85.5                         | 2.2/1.0                             | 7.47 ± 0.01              | 7.4 ± 0.4               | 3.7 ± 0.4 |
|                     | 120/127.8                       | 2.3/1.1                             | 7.51 ± 0.02              | 7.5 ± 0.5               | 4.2 ± 0.5 |
|                     | 160/170.7                       | 2.4/1.2                             | 7.54 ± 0.02              | 7.5 ± 0.5               | 4.7 ± 0.5 |
| 120                 | 40/45.6                         | 3.9/1.8                             | 10.94 ± 0.01             | 10.67 ± 0.02            | 2.3 ± 0.2 |
|                     | 80/85.5                         | 3.5/1.6                             | 11.13 ± 0.01             | 11.1 ± 0.01             | 4.1 ± 0.3 |
|                     | 120/127.8                       | 3.5/1.7                             | 11.22 ± 0.01             | 11.2 ± 0.01             | 4.8 ± 0.3 |
|                     | 160/170.7                       | 3.8/2.0                             | 11.31 ± 0.01             | 11.3 ± 0.01             | 5.5 ± 0.2 |
| 135                 | 40/45.6                         | 5.1/2.3                             | 14.00 ± 0.01             | 13.60 ± 0.03            | 2.7 ± 0.2 |
|                     | 80/85.5                         | 4.6/2.1                             | 14.18 ± 0.01             | 14.2 ± 0.01             | 4.0 ± 0.2 |
|                     | 120/127.8                       | 4.7/2.3                             | 14.43 ± 0.01             | 14.5 ± 0.01             | 5.6 ± 0.3 |
|                     | 160/170.7                       | 5.0/2.6                             | 14.46 ± 0.01             | 14.5 ± 0.01             | 5.8 ± 0.2 |

Data are given as means and standard errors. Note that CTDI_{vol} is displayed under scanning at tube current of 50 mA and rotation time of 0.4 s. CTDI_{vol}, volume computed tomography dose index; \( K_{w/A} \), air-kerma rate without aperture; \( K_{Dw/A} \), air-kerma rate without aperture extrapolated at the zero beam width; SPR, scatter-primary ratio.
| Tube potential (kVp) | Nominal/measured beam width (mm) | \( K_{w-A} \) (mGy/s/50 mA) | \( K_{0-w-A} \) (mGy/s/50 mA) | Statistically significant difference |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                     |                                  | Aperture size (mm)             |                               |                                   |
|                     | 40                               | 48                            | 56                            | 64                               | 72                               | 80                               | 40/45.6                          | 80/85.5                          | 120/127.8                        | 160/170.7                        |
| 80                  |                                  | 4.25 ± 0.01                   | 4.27 ± 0.01                   | 4.30 ± 0.01                      | 4.31 ± 0.01                      | 4.31 ± 0.01                      | 4.33 ± 0.01                      | 4.1 ± 0.1                        | N.S.                             | N.S.                             |
|                     | 80/85.5                          | 4.47 ± 0.01                   | 4.47 ± 0.01                   | 4.48 ± 0.01                      | 4.47 ± 0.01                      | 4.47 ± 0.01                      | 4.46 ± 0.01                      | 4.2 ± 0.1                        | N.S.                             | N.S.                             |
|                     | 120/127.8                        | 4.50 ± 0.01                   | 4.51 ± 0.02                   | 4.54 ± 0.01                      | 4.51 ± 0.01                      | 4.53 ± 0.01                      | 4.53 ± 0.01                      | 4.1 ± 0.1                        | N.S.                             | N.S.                             |
|                     | 160/170.7                        | 4.45 ± 0.01                   | 4.46 ± 0.01                   | 4.44 ± 0.01                      | 4.49 ± 0.01                      | 4.50 ± 0.01                      | 4.50 ± 0.01                      | 4.2 ± 0.1                        | N.S.                             | N.S.                             |
| 100                 |                                  | 7.33 ± 0.01                   | 7.36 ± 0.01                   | 7.37 ± 0.02                      | 7.38 ± 0.01                      | 7.41 ± 0.01                      | 7.38 ± 0.01                      | 7.1 ± 0.1                        | N.S.                             | N.S.                             |
|                     | 80/85.5                          | 7.49 ± 0.01                   | 7.59 ± 0.01                   | 7.61 ± 0.01                      | 7.60 ± 0.02                      | 7.63 ± 0.01                      | 7.63 ± 0.01                      | 6.9 ± 0.1                        | N.S.                             | N.S.                             |
|                     | 120/127.8                        | 7.52 ± 0.01                   | 7.55 ± 0.01                   | 7.64 ± 0.01                      | 7.65 ± 0.01                      | 7.67 ± 0.01                      | 7.67 ± 0.01                      | 7.2 ± 0.1                        | N.S.                             | N.S.                             |
|                     | 160/170.7                        | 7.61 ± 0.01                   | 7.63 ± 0.02                   | 7.63 ± 0.02                      | 7.68 ± 0.01                      | 7.72 ± 0.01                      | 7.72 ± 0.01                      | 7.0 ± 0.1                        | N.S.                             | N.S.                             |
| 120                 |                                  | 10.89 ± 0.03                  | 10.95 ± 0.02                  | 10.97 ± 0.01                     | 10.99 ± 0.02                     | 11.00 ± 0.01                     | 11.00 ± 0.01                     | 10.6 ± 0.1                        | N.S.                             | N.S.                             |
|                     | 80/85.5                          | 11.24 ± 0.01                  | 11.27 ± 0.02                  | 11.28 ± 0.01                     | 11.31 ± 0.01                     | 11.32 ± 0.01                     | 11.32 ± 0.01                     | 10.6 ± 0.2                        | N.S.                             | N.S.                             |
|                     | 120/127.8                        | 11.30 ± 0.02                  | 11.36 ± 0.01                  | 11.35 ± 0.01                     | 11.41 ± 0.01                     | 11.39 ± 0.01                     | 11.39 ± 0.01                     | 10.5 ± 0.1                        | N.S.                             | N.S.                             |
|                     | 160/170.7                        | 11.31 ± 0.02                  | 11.32 ± 0.02                  | 11.37 ± 0.01                     | 11.38 ± 0.01                     | 11.35 ± 0.01                     | 11.35 ± 0.01                     | 10.6 ± 0.1                        | N.S.                             | N.S.                             |
| 135                 |                                  | 14.06 ± 0.01                  | 14.12 ± 0.02                  | 14.14 ± 0.02                     | 14.18 ± 0.03                     | 14.12 ± 0.01                     | 14.17 ± 0.01                     | 13.7 ± 0.2                        | N.S.                             | N.S.                             |
|                     | 80/85.5                          | 14.28 ± 0.02                  | 14.30 ± 0.01                  | 14.29 ± 0.01                     | 14.33 ± 0.02                     | 14.32 ± 0.02                     | 14.32 ± 0.02                     | 13.7 ± 0.1                        | N.S.                             | N.S.                             |
|                     | 120/127.8                        | 14.41 ± 0.02                  | 14.46 ± 0.01                  | 14.53 ± 0.02                     | 14.54 ± 0.02                     | 14.54 ± 0.02                     | 14.54 ± 0.02                     | 13.6 ± 0.1                        | N.S.                             | N.S.                             |
|                     | 160/170.7                        | 14.56 ± 0.03                  | 14.56 ± 0.02                  | 14.60 ± 0.01                     | 14.63 ± 0.01                     | 14.63 ± 0.01                     | 14.63 ± 0.01                     | 13.6 ± 0.1                        | N.S.                             | N.S.                             |

Data are given as means and standard errors. Statistically significant differences were computed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test among \( K_{0-w/o-A} \) and \( K_{0-w-A} \). \( K_{w-A} \), air-kerma rate with aperture; \( K_{0-w-A} \), air-kerma rate with aperture extrapolated at the zero radiation field at the isocenter; \( K_{0-w/o-A} \), air-kerma rate without aperture extrapolated at the zero beam width; N.S., not significant.
probability of Compton scattering in the energy range of diagnostic radiology. The scattered radiation generated in the x-ray tube assembly increases with opening of the collimator. In addition, backscattered radiation from the imaging detector assembly contributes as the beam width is increased. We found that up to 5.8% of the scattered radiation is included in the measurement of $K_{\text{air}}$ for the wide-beam CT scanner. These results are considered to have a minor impact on the estimation of $K_{\text{air}}$ with 80 mm beam width or less. However, because the measurement uncertainties are increased as a function of the beam width and tube potential, medical physicists should take the SPR into account for the accurate $K_{\text{air}}$ measurement with 160 mm beam width at tube potentials of 120 and 135 kVp. Because the photon energy of the scattered radiation is lower than that of primary radiation, theoretically, the average incident energy should take the SPR into account for the accurate dose measurement of both the primary and scattered contributions separately. Our proposed dose index, $K_{\text{air}}$ for beam widths less than 40 mm could be measured.

Bujila et al. used a 0.002-cc liquid ionization chamber to characterize the dose profile along the $z$-axis.9 Because the length of the chamber was 0.35 mm, the $K_{0.002\text{-cc}}$ and $K_{0.002\text{-cc}}$ for beam widths less than 40 mm could be measured.

De Denaro et al. reported the radiation dose profile free-in-air along the $z$-axis measured by Gafchromic film and pointed out the obvious dose gradient (heel effect) along the $z$-axis.5 Because the $K_{0.002\text{-cc}}$ and $K_{0.002\text{-cc}}$ indicate only the primary radiation output detected by the ionization chamber, the dose gradient along the $z$-axis is not accommodated.

Finally, three different bow tie filters can be selected in the CT system. Because the $K_{0.002\text{-cc}}$ and $K_{0.002\text{-cc}}$ indicate the primary radiation output at the isocenter, these indices cannot characterize the peripheral radiation output. At this point, measurement of bow tie profiles using a real-time dosimeter would be a pragmatic approach.8

5 | CONCLUSION

A new beam width independent in-air radiation output dosimetry in a modern CT system was introduced. Our new method can (a) measure the primary radiation output of the CT system, which is independent of the beam width; and (b) reduce scatter contamination, which affects the accuracy of $K_{\text{air}}$ measurement. The new method requires neither the conventional 100-mm-long ionization chamber nor the CTDI PMMA phantoms, but only requires the farmer-type ionization chamber. Our proposed dose index, $K_{0.002\text{-cc}}$, has the potential of providing information about the primary component of CT irradiations which cannot be acquired using other conventional dose metrics.
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