Genome Characteristics Reveal the Biocontrol Potential of Actinobacteria Isolated From Sugarcane Rhizosphere
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To understand the beneficial interaction of sugarcane rhizosphere actinobacteria in promoting plant growth and managing plant diseases, this study investigated the potential role of sugarcane rhizospheric actinobacteria in promoting plant growth and antagonizing plant pathogens. We isolated 58 actinobacteria from the sugarcane rhizosphere, conducted plant growth-promoting (PGP) characteristics research, and tested the pathogenic fungi in vitro. Results showed that BTU6 (Streptomyces griseorubiginosus), the most representative strain, regulates plant defense enzyme activity and significantly enhances sugarcane smut resistance by regulating stress resistance-related enzyme (substances (POD, PAL, PPO, TP) in sugarcane) activity in sugarcane. The genomic evaluation indicated that BTU6 has the ability to biosynthesize chitinase, β-1,3-glucanase, and various secondary metabolites and plays an essential role in the growth of sugarcane plants under biotic stress. Potential mechanisms of the strain in improving the disease resistance of sugarcane plants and its potential in biodegrading exogenous chemicals were also revealed. This study showed the importance of sugarcane rhizosphere actinobacteria in microbial ecology and plant growth promotion.
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INTRODUCTION

The plant rhizosphere is a reservoir of microbial interactions that regulate plant activities. Plant roots exudates provide nutrients and energy substances to microbes (Fan et al., 2001; Bertin et al., 2003), and plant defense against pathogens in the rhizosphere by secreting antibiotics, inducing systemic resistance, or by direct antagonism against the pathogen for nutrient and space (Bakker et al., 2003); the roots also produce auxin (IAA), siderophores, dissolved inorganic phosphorus, which promote nutrient absorption and plant growth (Burdman et al., 2000).
Microorganisms are known to regulate plant gene expression, metabolism, growth and provide protection against multiple stress-causing factors (Ortiz Castro et al., 2009). Actinobacteria, which are gram-positive bacteria with high G + C DNA content that constitute one of the largest bacterial phyla, secrete several extracellular metabolites and antibiotics used as plant growth promoters (Strap, 2011). After biocontrol bacteria induce the host plant, it produces plant defense enzymes and disease-related proteins; lignin and phenol induction also directly improves the host plant, it produces plant defense enzymes and disease-related promoters (Strap, 2011). After biocontrol bacteria induce the extracellular metabolites and antibiotics used as plant growth promoters, actinomycetes cells, can control several soil-borne diseases such as Rhizoctonia spp., Pythium spp., Fusarium spp., Phytophthora spp. (Kortemaa et al., 1997; Hansen et al., 2010; Aggarwal et al., 2016), and Actinovate (containing 1% Streptomyces lydicus WYEC 108) and Streptomyces griseoviridis K61 have also been registered as biopesticides in the United States and France, respectively, and used in horticultural crops to manage soil-borne diseases, including Fusarium wilt and Rhizoctonia root rot (Yuan and Crawford, 1995; Minuto et al., 2006). In addition, Streptomyces secrete several antimicrobial compounds such as chitinase, glucanase, cellulase, organic acids, steroidal compounds, vitamins, and enzyme inhibitors (Mahadevan and Crawford, 1997; Palaniyandi et al., 2013). These metabolites play an important role in plant diseases management and plant growth promotion (Olanrewaju and Babalola, 2019).

Plant diseases cause up to 25% of annual crop yield losses (Prashar et al., 2013). Plant growers well accept Microbe-based biological control technology in developed countries due to its low cost, environmental friendliness, and chemical-free residue. In recent years, microbes have been accepted as alternate sources for the biological control of plant diseases and plant growth promotion (Montesinos et al., 2002; Islam et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2018). Microbial biocontrol agents inhibit pathogens by different mechanisms, including antibiotics secretion, competition for food and space, direct parasitism, induced resistance of plant, and growth promotion of plant in stressed conditions (Van Wees et al., 2008; Kannan and Sureendrar, 2009; de Jesus Sousa and Olivares, 2016; Viane et al., 2016). However, the role of sugarcane rhizosphere actinomycetes in plant growth management and plant growth promotion is not well documented. In our previous studies, the actinobacterial genome has provided new insights on microorganisms that can improve drought resistance in plants (Wang et al., 2018). This study aimed to characterize actinomycetes in the sugarcane rhizosphere and their growth-promoting activity in order to provide new references for microorganisms that can antagonize plant pathogens and improve plant health.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample Collection and Bacterial Isolation
Rhizosphere soil samples were collected from experiment fields of Sugarcane Research Institute of Guangxi University, Nanning (Guangxi, China). The rhizosphere soil sampling was performed according to the procedure of Gobran and Clegg (1996). The sugarcane rhizosphere soil samples were collected from the root surface (0.5–5 mm) for the study. We used a modified method of Shahidi Bonjar et al. (2005) to isolate the actinomycetes. Briefly, 1 g of rhizosphere soil sample was added into a centrifuge tube containing 9 mL sterile water and incubated in a shaker at 100 rpm for 30 min. The suspension was subjected to gradient dilution and 200 μL of the diluted soil suspension was added to Gauze medium (Solarbio Biotech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China), containing 20 μg mL⁻¹ nalidixic acid, and cultured at 30°C until colony appeared. Pure colonies were separately transferred into the Gauze agar plate. The purified strains were stored in 20% glycerol at −80°C. Streptomyces chartreusis WZS021 (Wang et al., 2016, 2018) was used as a reference strain for phylogenetic analysis and PGP characteristics.

Plant Growth-Promoting Characteristics
To assess the production of indole acetic acid (IAA), 5 mL Luria broth was modified 0.5 mg mL⁻¹ tryptophan, and the test strain was inoculated and incubated for 3 days on an incubator shaker at 180 rpm on 30°C. After incubation, the broth was centrifuged and to 1 mL of supernatant, 50 μL of 10 mmol L⁻¹ orthophosphoric acid was added followed by the addition of 2 mL of Salkowski’s reagent for color development at 25°C incubation for 30 min in the dark; the optical density was taken at 530 nm. The different concentrations of IAA standard solution were used for the standard curve to quantify the IAA production (Gordon and Weber, 1951). For the siderophore test, the active strain was streaked onto the chrome azurol S (CAS) plate (Alexander and Zuberer, 1991) and incubated at 30°C. After 5 days, the presence or absence of an orange-yellow halo around the colonies on the medium was observed. The phosphate solubilizing ability of the strain was determined by inoculating the active strain in a phosphate dissolving medium (Hopebio Biological, Qingdao, China) and incubating for 5 days at 30°C; the halo area around the colony indicated that the bacteria had the ability to dissolve phosphorus. ACC deaminase activity was determined by measuring the production of α-ketobutyrate and ammonia produced by ACC cleavage according to (Penrose and Glick, 2003).

DNA Extraction of Actinomycetes and Amplification of 16S rRNA Sequences
Bacterial genomic DNA extraction kit (CW BIO Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) was used to isolate genomic DNA, and DNA purity and quantity were monitored using a NanoDrop One spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington,
PCR amplification of the 16S rRNA and secondary metabolites synthase genes were carried out using the isolated genomic DNA of actinomycetes. The PCR system and reaction conditions are shown in Supplementary Tables 1, 2. The amplified PCR product was purified using the SanPrep column DNA gel recovery kit (Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) and Sanger sequencing was performed in Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The sequence reads in Fasta format were aligned in the NCBI database and accession numbers were obtained (Table 1). NCBI GenBank database using a BLASTn program. Identification to the species level was determined as maximum homology (C97%) to a type strain sequence in the GenBank. Evolutionary trees for the data sets were inferred by the neighbor-joining method of Saitou and Nei (1987) by using the neighbor-joining program by MEGA 6.0 software (Tamura et al., 2013) to construct the phylogenetic tree of actinomycetes.

Detection of Antifungal Activity of Actinomycetes

To test the antagonism of actinomycetes, nine economically important plant pathogens were used in the dual culture assay (Supplementary Table 3). A 5 mm disc of full-grown pathogenic fungi was inoculated in the center of the potato dextrose agar plate, and an active actinomycetes strain was streaked 30 mm away from the disc of the pathogenic fungi. In each experiment, three replicates were performed, and pathogenic fungi without active strains were treated as controls. All plates were incubated at 28°C for 7 days, and mycelial growth and zone of inhibition were calculated as compared to control.

Greenhouse-Based Biocontrol Assay Against the Smut Pathogen

The experiment was carried out in the sugarcane greenhouse located in the Guangxi University (Nanning, China). The indoor temperature was 24–32°C. The characteristics of the soil were as follows: pH, 6.76; organic matter, 21.42 g·kg⁻¹; total nitrogen, 0.94 g·kg⁻¹; total phosphorus, 2.73 g·kg⁻¹; total potassium, 8.02 g·kg⁻¹; alkaline nitrogen, 108 mg·kg⁻¹; available phosphorus, 96 mg·kg⁻¹; and available potassium, 79 mg·kg⁻¹. We used three different treatments in this experiment: T1 (no inoculation, only water), T2 (inoculation of smut pathogen only), T3 (inoculation of smut pathogen and BTU6 as biocontrol agent). Thirty sugarcane plants were used per treatment and the experiment was repeated three times.

Colonization of BTU6 in the Roots of Sugarcane

Sugarcane seedlings were maintained in plastic pots (20 cm in diameter, 18 cm in depth) filled with sterilized sand, which was moistened with sterile Hoagland nutrient solution, and kept in the greenhouse (28/22°C, 16/8 h light/dark cycle). BTU6 (10 mL, ∼10⁶ cfu·mL⁻¹) was inoculated into a pot, and root samples were collected on day 14. After overnight fixation with 2.5% glutaraldehyde, the sample was dehydrated in a gradient series of acetone (30, 50, 70, 80, 90, and 100%: incubation of 20 min with each concentration of acetone). After drying with hexamethyldisilazane, the sample was coated with gold using an ion sputtering equipment (EM ACE200; Leica Microsystems, Wetlzer, Germany) and observed under a scanning electron microscope (SU8020; Hitachi High-Tech Instruments, Tokyo, Japan).

Genome Sequencing of BTU6, Assembly, and Annotation

Cellular genome extraction is described with reference to the manufacturer's protocol of bacterial genomic DNA extraction kit (CW BIO Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). The purity of extracted DNA was assayed with a NanoDrop One spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, United States). The BTU6 genome was sequenced by Oxford Nanopore PromethION sequencing platform. Sequencing was carried out at the Biomarker Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). After obtaining raw sequencing data, low-quality readings were filtered by SMRT 2.3.0 (Berlin et al., 2015; Koren and Phillippy, 2015) and the filtered sub-reads were assembled using Canu v1.5 software (Koren et al., 2017). Pilon software (Walker et al., 2014) was used to further correct the assembled genome, and a contig with higher accuracy and no gap was obtained.

We analyzed the Genome function using Gene Ontology (GO) (Ashburner et al., 2000), Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (Kanehisa et al., 2004, 2006), Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COG) (Tatusov et al., 2003), Non-Redundant Protein Database databases (NR) (Li et al., 2002), Transporter Classification Database (TCDB) (Saier et al., 2014), Swiss-Prot (Bairoch and Apweiler, 2000), and TrEMBL (Magrane and Consortium, 2011). We analyzed and predicted the secondary metabolite synthetase genes of strain BTU6 using antiSMASH (Blin et al., 2019). The respected genomic sequence data was submitted to GenBank under the BioProject PRJNA549819 (accession number CP041168). Using the predicted genomic information, the application software Circos (Krzywinski et al., 2009) was used to draw a genomic circle map.

Statistical Analysis

All data were analyzed using SPSS version 26 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, United States). One-way analysis of variance and Duncan’s multiple range test were used to determine differences.
| Isolates   | Type strain match (% similarity) | No. of nucleotides | Accession number | PGP traits | aIAA | bACC | Siderophore | P-solubilization | N-free medium growth |
|------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------|------|------|-------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| TU2        | Nocardoides lutues KCTC 9575T   | 1,416              | MH497623         | –           | 0.28 | +    | –           | –                 | +                   |
| TU3        | Streptomyces cinereoruber NBRC 15396T | 1,429          | MH482864         | –           | –    | +    | –           | –                 | –                   |
| TU4        | Streptomyces gramineus JR043T   | 1,442              | MH482865         | 21.89       | 0.06 | –    | –           | –                 | –                   |
| TU5        | Streptomyces recifensis NBRC 12813T | 1,426          | MH482866         | –           | 0.26 | –    | –           | –                 | –                   |
| TU6        | Streptomyces lannensis TA406T   | 1,424              | MH482867         | 27.55       | –    | –    | –           | –                 | –                   |
| TU7        | Streptomyces gramineus NBRC 13375T | 1,424          | MH482868         | –           | –    | –    | –           | –                 | –                   |
| TU8        | Streptomyces filipinensis NBRC 12860T | 1,426          | MH482869         | 25.83       | –    | –    | –           | –                 | –                   |
| TU10       | Streptomyces bambusae T110T     | 1,426              | MH482870         | –           | –    | –    | +           | –                 | +                   |
| TU11       | Streptomyces cyaenei NRRL B02296T | 1,427           | MH482871         | 49.06       | –    | –    | +           | –                 | +                   |
| TU12       | Streptomyces shaanxiensis CCNWHQ 0031T | 1,426          | MH482872         | 43.75       | –    | –    | –           | –                 | –                   |
| TU13       | Streptomyces neopeptinius KNF 2047T | 1,422           | MH482873         | 75.55       | 0.51 | –    | –           | –                 | –                   |
| TU14       | Streptomyces filipinensis NBRC 12860T | 1,423          | MH482874         | –           | –    | –    | –           | –                 | –                   |
| TU15       | Streptomyces pseudovenezuelae DSM 40212T | 1,430          | MH482875         | 48.01       | 0.01 | –    | –           | –                 | –                   |
| TU16       | Streptomyces griseorubiginosus DSM 40469T | 1,429          | MH482876         | 58.67       | 0.55 | –    | –           | –                 | –                   |
| TU17       | Streptomyces griseoluteus NBRC 13375T | 1,427           | MH482877         | –           | –    | –    | –           | –                 | –                   |
| TU19       | Streptomyces lannensis TA406T   | 1,431              | MH482878         | –           | –    | –    | –           | –                 | +                   |
| TU20       | Amycolatopsis xylanica CPCC 202699T | 1,414           | MH497624         | 36.02       | –    | –    | –           | –                 | +                   |
| TU21       | Streptomyces griseoluteus NBRC 13375T | 1,408           | MH482879         | 29.06       | –    | –    | –           | –                 | –                   |
| TU22       | Streptomyces recifensis NBRC 12813T | 1,425           | MH482880         | –           | –    | –    | –           | –                 | +                   |
| TU23       | Streptomyces lannensis TA406T   | 1,424              | MH482881         | –           | –    | –    | –           | –                 | +                   |
| TU32       | Streptomyces pratensis ch24T    | 1,421              | MH482882         | –           | –    | –    | –           | –                 | +                   |
| TU33       | Streptomyces neopeptinius KNF 2047T | 1,424           | MH482883         | 40.71       | 0.52 | –    | –           | –                 | –                   |
| BTU1       | Streptomyces shaanxiensis CCNWHQ 0031T | 1,423           | MH482884         | 56.52       | 0.24 | –    | –           | –                 | +                   |
| BTU2       | Streptomyces neopeptinius KNF 2047T | 1,425           | MH482885         | 60.96       | 0.07 | –    | –           | –                 | +                   |
| BTU3       | Streptomyces gramineus JR043T   | 1,423              | MH482886         | –           | –    | –    | –           | –                 | –                   |
| BTU4       | Streptomyces griseoluteus NBRC 13375T | 1,423           | MH482887         | 43.25       | –    | –    | –           | –                 | –                   |
| BTU5       | Streptomyces recifensis NBRC 12813T | 1,426           | MH482888         | –           | –    | –    | –           | –                 | –                   |
| BTU6       | Streptomyces griseorubiginosus DSM 40469T | 1,428           | MH482889         | 50.87       | 0.06 | –    | –           | –                 | +                   |
| BTU8       | Streptomyces amritsarensis MTCC 11845T | 1,428            | MH482890        | 84.85       | –    | –    | –           | –                 | +                   |

(Continued)
| Isolates | Type strain match (% similarity) | No. of nucleotides | Accession number | PGP traits |
|----------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------|
|          |                                 |                     |                 |            |
| BTU9     | Streptomyces pseudovenezuelae DSM 40212<sup>T</sup> (99.16) | 1,423 | MH482891 | 88.65<sup>a</sup> | 1.47<sup>b</sup> | – | – | – |
| BTU10    | Streptomyces canus DSM 40017<sup>T</sup> (99.95) | 1,426 | MH482892 | 56.99<sup>e</sup> | 0.13<sup>c</sup> | – | – | – |
| BTU11    | Streptomyces pseudovenezuelae DSM 40212<sup>T</sup> (99.96) | 1,426 | MH482893 | 79.46<sup>b</sup> | 0.18<sup>b</sup> | – | – | – |
| BTU12    | Streptomyces neopeptinius KNF 2047<sup>T</sup> (99.93) | 1,428 | MH482894 | 54.30<sup>c</sup> | 0.07<sup>c</sup> | – | – | + |
| BTU13    | Streptomyces amritsarensis MTCC 11845<sup>T</sup> (99.30) | 1,424 | MH482895 | – | – | + | – | – |
| BTU14    | Streptomyces neopeptinius KNF 2047<sup>T</sup> (99.00) | 1,427 | MH482896 | – | 0.26<sup>d</sup> | – | + | – |
| BTU16    | Streptomyces cyaneus NRRL B02296<sup>T</sup> (98.94) | 1,421 | MH482897 | 59.89<sup>c</sup> | 1.56<sup>c</sup> | – | – | – |
| BTU17    | Streptomyces pseudovenezuelae DSM 40212<sup>T</sup> (98.88) | 1,426 | MH482898 | 87.47<sup>a</sup> | 0.08<sup>c</sup> | – | – | – |
| BTU18    | Streptomyces shaanxiensis CCNWHQ 0031<sup>T</sup> (98.91) | 1,425 | MH482899 | 51.16<sup>b</sup> | 0.08<sup>b</sup> | + | – | + |
| BTU19    | Streptomyces pratensis ch24<sup>T</sup> (100) | 1,424 | MH482900 | – | 0.76<sup>c</sup> | – | – | + |
| BTU20    | Streptomyces neopeptinius KNF 2047<sup>T</sup> (98.64) | 1,424 | MH482901 | 56.52<sup>e</sup> | 0.16<sup>d</sup> | – | + | + |
| BTU21    | Streptomyces gramineus JR043<sup>T</sup> (98.13) | 1,429 | MH482902 | – | 0.30<sup>d</sup> | – | – | – |
| BTU22    | Leifsonia soli TG-S248<sup>T</sup> (98.64) | 1,425 | MH497612 | – | – | – | – | – |
| GEN1     | Streptomyces gramineus JR043<sup>T</sup> (97.99) | 1,422 | MH482903 | – | – | – | – | – |
| GEN2     | Streptomyces violaceorubidus LMG 2031<sup>T</sup> (98.31) | 1,423 | MH482904 | 20.36<sup>e</sup> | – | – | – | – |
| GEN5     | Streptomyces violaceorubidus LMG 2031<sup>T</sup> (99.15) | 1,420 | MH482905 | 28.76<sup>k</sup> | – | – | – | + |
| GEN7     | Streptomyces violaceorubidus LMG 2031<sup>T</sup> (99.79) | 1,404 | MH482906 | 21.89<sup>e</sup> | – | – | – | – |
| GEN8     | Streptomyces violaceorubidus LMG 2031<sup>T</sup> (99.15) | 1,417 | MH482907 | 35.20<sup>j</sup> | – | – | – | – |
| GEN15    | Streptomyces violaceorubidus LMG 2031<sup>T</sup> (99.29) | 1,419 | MH482908 | – | – | – | – | + |
| WZS021   | Streptomyces chartreusis NBRC 12753<sup>T</sup> (99.63) | 1,484 | KX775948 | 30.50<sup>e</sup> | 3.03<sup>d</sup> | + | – | + |
| WZS023   | Leucobacter aridicollis CIP 108388<sup>T</sup> (99.37) | 1,427 | MH497608 | 26.22<sup>d</sup> | – | + | + | – |
| WZS027   | Streptomyces chartreusis NBRC 12753<sup>T</sup> (99.86) | 1,403 | MH482909 | – | – | – | + | – |
| WZS028   | Streptomyces pratensis ch24<sup>T</sup> (99.78) | 1,425 | MH482910 | – | – | – | + | – |
| WZS030   | Streptomyces pratensis ch24<sup>T</sup> (99.81) | 1,429 | MH482911 | – | – | – | – | – |
| WZS031   | Streptomyces pratensis ch24<sup>T</sup> (99.93) | 1,424 | MH482912 | – | – | – | + | – |
| WZS035   | Nocardioides lutues KCTC 9675<sup>T</sup> (100) | 1,368 | MH497610 | 21.32<sup>m</sup> | – | – | – | – |
| WZS050   | Streptomyces pratensis ch24<sup>T</sup> (100) | 1,430 | MH482913 | – | – | – | + | – |
| WZS051   | Streptomyces pratensis ch24<sup>T</sup> (99.89) | 1,428 | MH482914 | – | 2.05<sup>d</sup> | + | – | – |
| WZS221   | Brevibacterium epidermidis NBRC 14811<sup>T</sup> (98.73) | 1,424 | MH559626 | 29.87<sup>n</sup> | – | – | – | + |

+: positive; −: negative. <sup>a</sup>mg mL<sup>−1</sup>, SE: 2.07, DMRT (p = 0.05): 1.87, CV (%): 4.4; <sup>b</sup>µmol α-ketobutyrate mg<sup>−1</sup> protein h<sup>−1</sup>, SE: 0.09, DMRT (p = 0.05): 0.04, CV (%): 16.5. Different small letters as superscript represent significant difference at 95% confidence intervals based on DMRT test.
between samples, with statistically significant differences set at a 5% level.

RESULTS

Identification of Rhizosphere Actinomycetes

We isolated a total of 58 actinobacteria from the sugarcane rhizosphere; of these, 52 strains were identified as *Streptomyces* (89%), and the remaining 6 non-*Streptomyces* actinomycetes strains were identified as *Leucobacter* (2%), *Nocardioides* (3%), *Leifsonia* (2%), *Amycolatopsis* (2%), and *Brevibacterium* (2%). Phylogenetic relationships among the isolates are shown in Figure 1.

PGPR Characteristics of Actinomycetes

Among all isolates, 55% of strains had the ability to secrete IAA, with BTU9 showing the maximum IAA production of 88.65 mg mL$^{-1}$. Moreover, 40% of active strains were able to secrete ACC deaminase in the range 0.01–3.03 $\mu$mol $\alpha$-ketobutyrate mg$^{-1}$ protein h$^{-1}$. The siderophores assay results indicated that 22% of strains had iron chelation ability. Among all strains, 21% of active strains had the ability to dissolve inorganic phosphorus (Table 1).

Detection of Actinomycetes Activity Against Pathogenic Fungi in \textit{in vitro}

The antagonism assays revealed that 72% actinomycetes showed antagonism against *Botrytis cinerea*, followed by 59% strains that antagonized against brown spot disease-causing *Alternaria brassicicola*, and 60% against the rice sheath blight-causing *Rhizoctonia solani* and banana wilt causing *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. Cubense. Among all strains, 37 actinomycetes antagonized more than four pathogenic fungi and only 2 strains showed antifungal activity against all tested pathogenic fungi (Figure 2A and Supplementary Table 3). However, some strains, including BTU6, BTU8, BTU13, and WZS031, had strong antagonistic effects on the *Sporisorium scitamineum*, and based on potential antagonistic ability, we used strain BTU6 for the greenhouse experiment.

PCR Detection of Secondary Metabolite Synthase Genes

The secondary metabolite synthase gene detection test showed that the highest number (83%) of strains had PKS II gene, followed by PKS I gene (62%); the least number of strains (45%) had the CYP gene. Interestingly, 17 strains had five secondary metabolite synthase genes were commonly detected, and three secondary metabolite synthetase genes were widely detected in 51 strains (Figure 2B and Supplementary Table 4).

Greenhouse Based Biocontrol Assay Against the Smut Pathogen

We assessed plant defense enzyme activity and disease-related substances, to examine the vital effect of BTU6 inoculation on plant antagonism against the sugarcane smut disease. The difference in POD, PPO, and PAL activity of the three treatments reached significant levels, with activity patterns in the respected treatments recorded as follows: T3 > T2 > T1. Compared with T1, The TP content in T2 and T3 increased by 54.5 and 121.2%, respectively. There was no significant difference in lignin
in the leaves of inoculated and uninoculated plants (Table 2). The fresh weights of T2 and T3 were significantly higher than that of T1 (increased by 18.0 and 20.1%, respectively), but no significant difference in plant height was observed. Moreover, the presence of BTU6 enhanced the resistance of sugarcane to smut (Supplementary Figure 1). The smut infection rate of T3 was 12% higher than that of T1, but 10% lower than that of T2. The sterile sand inoculation test in the greenhouse also showed that BTU6 can colonize the root surface and root hair area of sugarcane (Figure 3).

Genomic Traits and Genes Associated With Antagonistic Diseases
After sequencing, the complete genome sequence of *Streptomyces griseorubiginosus* BTU6 was obtained. The genome contained
TABLE 2 | Comparison of defensive enzyme activities, active substances and susceptibility rates of plant disease resistance in different treatments in greenhouse experiments.

| Treatment | POD (U·g⁻¹) | PPO (U·g⁻¹) | PAL (U·g⁻¹) | TP (mg·g⁻¹) | Lignin (mg·g⁻¹) | Infection rate (%) | Fresh weight (g) | Plant height (m) |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|           | Shoot       | Root        | Shoot       | Root        |                |                   |                 |                 |
| T1        | 90.1ᵃ       | 78.2ᵇ       | 26.9ᶜ       | 3.3ᵈ       | 153ᵃ           | 5.6ᵃ             | 154.9ᵇ         | 12.2ᵇ           | 0.62ᵃ           |
| T2        | 105.9ᵇ      | 101.4ᵇ      | 57.4ᵇ       | 5.1ᵇ       | 161.5ᵃ         | 45.6ᵇ            | 174.4ᵃ         | 22.7ᵃ           | 0.67ᵇ           |
| T3        | 138.6ᵃ      | 131.6ᵃ      | 67.4ᵃ       | 7.3ᵃ       | 157.9ᵇ         | 78.9ᵇ            | 182.1ᵇ         | 18.6ᵇ           | 0.63ᵃ           |
| SE        | 7.1ᵃ        | 8.1ᵃ        | 6.1ᵃ        | 0.5ᵇ      | 4.32           | 10.6ᵇ           | 4.23           | 1.69            | 0.01            |
| LSD        | 5.5ᵃ       | 7.1ᵃ        | 1.7ᵃ        | 0.2ᵇ      | 11.7⁰           | 3.5¹             | 3.49           | 2.0             | 0.02            |
| CV (%)    | 6.6            | 7.8            | 12.1              | 11.0        | 2.7             | 24.6             | 2.9            | 9.4             | 1.8             |

T1 (no inoculation-only water), T2 (inoculation of smut pathogen only), T3 (inoculation of smut pathogen and actinomycetes BTU6 as biocontrol agent). Different small letters as superscript represent significant difference at 95% confidence intervals based on DMRT test.

DISCUSSION

Streptomycetes are one of the most important actinobacteria genus associated with the plant rhizosphere and endosphere. The phylogenetic tree analysis showed that all Streptomycetes were in the same clade, and other actinobacterial strains such as TU20, WZS035, and TU2, separated out in another clade. Strains WZS023 and BTU22 displayed a close relationship with each other.

Berg et al. (2006) reported that 35% of microorganisms isolated from the rhizosphere have the effect of inhibiting the growth of phytopathogenic microorganisms, while for results of microbial isolation by Fürnkranz et al. (2009), about two-thirds of the alternate microorganisms promoted plant growth. Hamdali et al. (2008) isolated 8 strains for dissolving inorganic phosphorus from mineral-containing phosphate soils in Morocco. The PGP properties screening results showed the strains with the highest IAA capacity were found (32, 55%), followed by the strains with ACC deaminase activity (23, 40%), and 89% of the strains had at least one kind of PGP ability. Strains indicating that sugarcane rhizosphere actinobacteria have the ability to promote plant growth.

Moreover, previous studies have discussed the secondary metabolite production of Streptomycetes. Strobel (2003) reported that Streptomycetes NRRL30562 strain produced four peptide antibiotics, Munumbicins A, B, C, and D, that could inhibit a variety of phytopathogenic bacteria, molds, and malaria parasites. Clermont et al. (2010) also revealed that geldanamycin produced by Streptomycetes melanoporofaciens EF-76 had antagonistic effects on a variety of gram-positive bacteria and fungi. However, Yuan et al. (2014) confirmed that the PCR technology was a rapid method for detecting the secondary metabolite genes. In the present study, we also used PCR method to detect PKS I, PKS II, NRPS, phzE, dTGd, Halo, CYP genes. Interestingly, 83% strains had the PKS II gene (Supplementary Table 4) and 59% of strains had the dTGd gene. These results aligned with previous studies that reported on the important role of dTGd gene in the activity, toxicity, and solubility of natural products (Kirschning et al., 1997; Poulsen et al., 2000). D-glucose undergoes a series of complex actions, resulting in a structurally diverse 6-deoxyhexose, therefore, a very large family of 6-deoxyhexoses (Chen et al., 2011). From the highly conserved nature of the dTGd gene, the potential for the synthesis of 6-deoxyhexose by the Streptomycetes strain was determined (Yu et al., 2004). Results showed that the CYP gene encoded a key enzyme in the biosynthesis of polyene antibiotics, cytochrome...
FIGURE 3 | *Streptomyces griseorubiginosus* BTU6 colonizes the root surface (B) and hair area (C) of sugarcane and forms a mycelial cluster. (A) Represents a control sugarcane plant without BTU6 inoculation. Scale bar = 20 µm.

P450 hydroxylase (Lee et al., 2006). The halogenase gene in the molecule plays an important role in the biological activity of the entire compound. FADH$_2$-dependent halogenase is the most important halogenase in the secondary metabolic halide biosynthesis pathway (Van Pee and Patallo, 2006), and the biosynthesis of many antibiotics is based mainly on FADH$_2$-dependent halogenase (Van Pee, 2001). However, some strains have antibacterial activity, but no secondary metabolite genes have been detected; also, selected primers for amplifying metabolite genes have not been suitable for all actinobacteria due to differences in genotypes, although some of these genotypes could still be used as a basic understanding of biosynthetic genes. In the present study, the *PKS II* gene was detected in 83% of the strains, indicating that most of the sugarcane rhizosphere actinobacteria have the potential to synthesize type II polyketides. Even the smallest strain with the *CYP* gene was almost half the number of tested strains (26, 45%). Together with the PCR test of bioactive substance synthase, we also conducted an antagonistic test *in vitro*. All actinobacteria antagonized at least one pathogenic fungus, demonstrating the antagonistic properties of the actinobacteria.

Based on the *in vitro* results, we selected strain BTU6 for the sugarcane smut disease management experiment in the greenhouse, and results indicated that strain BTU6 increased plant disease resistance by regulating plant defense enzymes. Results on plant defense enzymes such as POD, PAL, and PPO in the BTU6 inoculated with smut pathogen showed that the activity levels were significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) than without BTU6, indicating that BTU6 inoculation enhanced the plant defense response against the smut pathogen. Ehret et al. (2010) also reported plant defense enzymes associated with disease resistance, of which POD, PAL, and PPO were essential enzymes involved in the plant protection process against the pathogen infection; these enzymes are often used as an important indicator of plant defense response (Lambais and Mehdy, 1995). Intermediates of PAL synthesis, such as phenolic substances and lignin are important antimicrobial substances in plants (Pellegrini et al., 1994). POD functions in strengthening plant cell walls, resisting the invasion of pathogens, and as a protective enzyme for scavenging reactive oxygen species in cells (Ray et al., 1998). PPO oxidizes phenolic substances into highly toxic terpenoids, thereby killing invading pathogens (Volpin et al., 1995). Lignin and its analogs are produced in large quantities when pathogens invade plants or are mechanically damaged (Vance et al., 1980; Hammerschmidt and Kuč, 1982; Nicholson and Hammerschmidt, 1992; Boudet et al., 1995). Lignified cell walls enhance the resistance of herbaceous and woody plants against pathogens (Hammerschmidt and Kuč, 1982; Southerton and Deverall, 1990; Dushnicky et al., 1998). Total phenolic content is also closely related to the disease resistance of plants. The total phenolic content of the cowpea varieties resistant to a mosaic disease was higher than the susceptible genotype (Shilpashree et al., 2013). Similarly, the content of phenolic substances in highly resistant red rot varieties was significantly higher than that in susceptible varieties. The change in total phenolic content could be used as a basis for assessing the degree of disease resistance of sugarcane (Beato et al., 1970).

In this study, the total phenolic content of the three treatments was significantly different, indicating that phenolic substances also played a role in improving sugarcane smut resistance. Ippolito et al. (2000) found that *Aureobasidium pullulans* can significantly increase the activity of chitinase and β-1,3 glucanases in apple fruit, and degrade the fungal cell wall to inhibit fungal growth. In addition, Luo et al. (2012) found that the antagonistic yeast (*Pichia membranefaciens*) can induce the increase in POD activity in citrus fruits, and promote the formation of phenols and flavonoids, thereby improving the disease resistance of citrus. Zhao et al. (2008) studied the biocontrol effect of *Pichia guilliermondii* on tomato root rot (*Rhizopus nigricans*) and found that *Pichia guilliermondii* could induce the increase of
SOD, CAT and POD activities in tomato fruit. This study also demonstrated that antagonistic bacteria could induce defense-related enzymes of sugarcane.

To explore the characteristics and commonalities between BTU6 and other Streptomyces strains, the BGCs of 17 Streptomyces strains were predicted and compared. Overall, different types of unknown gene clusters were identified in Streptomyces. PKS- and NRPS-related BGCs were the most prevalent; these two types of BGCs synthesize active substances of macrolides non-ribosomal peptides, respectively (Theobald et al., 2019). These observed differences between Streptomyces may be related to their complex living environment, thus
representing adaptation events that they underwent. Moreover, these results confirmed the abundance of Streptomyces-derived naturally active substances in the sugarcane rhizosphere, which may be helpful for the development of new antibiotics. In particular, all Streptomyces were found to contain ectoine and siderophore BGCs. In the long-term evolutionary process, most microorganisms have developed an osmotic pressure mechanism that accumulates compatible solutes in the cytoplasm to counteract the changing external environment. Among them, ectoine is an important compatible solute (Fenizia et al., 2020), which enables Streptomyces to better adapt to the complex and changeable environment. Siderophores affect plant health by promoting plant nutrient absorption, improving plant resistance, and inhibiting the growth of pathogenic microbes (Verbon et al., 2017). In the present study, many heterozygous gene clusters were also identified in Streptomyces, thereby indicating that these bacteria exhibit a high degree of horizontal gene transfer in the long-term evolutionary process, which has essential ecological functions. Atratumycin is a cyclodepsipeptide that actively targets Mycobacterium tuberculosis. It is generally isolated from deep-sea Streptomyces, but it has also been reported in terrestrial Streptomyces (Sun et al., 2019). Considering the unique BGCs observed, BTU6 strains exhibit remarkable potential for application in the development of antimicrobial agents. Taken together, the common BGCs of Streptomyces, as well as the unique clusters identified, can explain the broad-spectrum antagonistic effect of BTU6 on common pathogens, mainly smut.

In vivo and in vitro experiments showed that BTU6 has the ability to enhance plant growth and also can improve plant defense against pathogens. These results motivated us to identify the genomic information to understand the plant growth promotion and stress regulation mechanisms. Interestingly, 34 secondary metabolic gene clusters in the genome of BTU6 concluded our results regarding the biocontrol potential. Several past studies have described the importance of chitinase to break down the chitinolytic cell wall of pathogens (Alexopoulos et al., 1996; Baharlouei et al., 2010; Chater et al., 2010; Shen et al., 2016). Polyketide compounds are one of the most diverse natural products of function and structure, with biological activities, including antibacterial, antiviral, antitumor, anti-pathogenic, anti-tuberculosis and immunosuppression (Miyanaga, 2017). NRPS can be used as an antibiotic, immunosuppressant, lipid-lowering and antifungal, and is widely used in medicine and agriculture (Misiek and Hoffmeister, 2007; Maansson et al., 2016). The metabolites of Streptomyces isolated from the soil also contain large amounts of terpenes (Wang C. et al., 2013; Wang Z. et al., 2013). Terpenoids not only have an antibacterial effect (Gallucci et al., 2009), but also have a strong killing effect on root-knot nematodes (Ntalli et al., 2011). Eisenman and Casadevall (2012) reported that melanin has anti-ultraviolet radiation and scavenging free radicals in organisms and can improve the ability of organisms to survive and compete. Lanthipeptide is a large class of cyclic peptide compounds containing thioether bonds (Cooper et al., 2010; Knerr and van der Donk, 2012) and has strong antibacterial activity against Gram-positive bacteria (Delves-Broughton et al., 1996). In this study, the defense enzyme activity of BTU6-treated sugarcane plants was different from that of untreated control plants and had a direct or indirect relationship with the expression of corresponding genes. Streptomyces is an essential class of biocontrol bacteria in agricultural production. Traditional experimental analysis and identification methods have limitations in analyzing Streptomyces active substances and cannot fully exploit their antibacterial potential; however, bioinformatics tools make up for these shortcomings. Bioinformatics provides an in-depth understanding of the nature and function of organisms from the perspective of the genome, and provides new approaches for microbial research (Bentley et al., 2002; Udwaray et al., 2007; Kersten et al., 2011; Ziemert et al., 2012).

Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) have the characteristics of persistence, semi-volatility, easy bioaccumulation, and high toxicity, which bring great harm to human health and the environment (Lohmann et al., 2007; Lapworth et al., 2012; Tran et al., 2013). The microbial degradation method has received extensive attention due to its mild reaction conditions, thorough degradation, low operating costs, and no secondary pollution (Shokrollahzadeh et al., 2008; Hassan and Sorial, 2009; Rene et al., 2010). The genomic information generated from this study demonstrated the potential of BTU6 to biodegrade persistent organic pollutants. For example, hexachlorocyclohexanes (HCH) is the most common type of persistent organic pollutant. It was also the organic synthetic pesticide discovered and applied. Due to its excellent insecticidal effect, it is widely used in agriculture (Quintero et al., 2008). Benzoates, styrene, and caprolactam pose greater risks to environmental organisms (Marczynski et al., 2000; Dahlhoff et al., 2001; Willis and Ling, 2003). Bisphenol A (BPA) is often used as a compound to manufacture plastics, epoxies, and other materials. It is also an endocrine disruptor that interferes with the synthesis and metabolism of hormones and is associated with multiple pathologies in the human reproductive system (Howdeshell et al., 1999; Maffini et al., 2006; Diamanti-Kandarakis et al., 2009). Dioxins are often associated with waste incineration, which are carcinogenic, teratogenic, mutagenic, and are included in the first batch of Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants list (Everaert and Baeyens, 2002; Wang et al., 2012). The natural and synthetic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons are dispersed throughout the world with the flow of the atmosphere. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons enter the vegetation from the atmosphere and eventually lead to enrichment in the food chain, which is the leading organic pollutant affecting human health (Blumer, 1976; Morehead et al., 1986). Atrazine was once considered one of the most difficult to degrade herbicides, posing a threat to aquatic ecosystems and human drinking water sources (Storrs and Kiesecker, 2004; Fan and Song, 2014). In addition, glycine oxidase in microorganisms degrades glyphosate to amine methylphosphonic acid (AM-PA) and glyoxylic acid to reduce glyphosate residues (Nishiya and Imanaka, 1998). BTU6 could degrade a variety of exogenous chemicals, especially those that are difficult to degrade by artificial methods, which provides a new solution to ecological protection.
CONCLUSION

The sugarcane rhizosphere is rich in actinobacteria that promote plant growth, and most have the potential to antagonize plant pathogens. *Streptomyces griseorubiginosus* BTU6 enhances the resistance of plants to smut by regulating the stress resistance related enzyme activity and substances (POD, PAL, PPO, TP) in sugarcane. Bacterial genomic information also provides evidence that BTU6 produces a variety of secondary metabolites that antagonize fungi, further elucidating the molecular mechanisms of bacterial-plant interactions. In addition, the genome showed that BTU6 has the potential for biodegrading of a variety of exogenous chemicals, which can provide new insights into green solutions to various environmental options.
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