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Abstract

In this paper, we propose a deep convolutional neural network-based acoustic word embedding system on code-switching query by example spoken term detection. Different from previous configurations, we combine audio data in two languages for training instead of only using one single language. We transform the acoustic features of keyword templates and searching content to fixed-dimensional vectors and calculate the distances between keyword segments and searching content segments obtained in a sliding manner. An auxiliary variability-invariant loss is also applied to training data within the same word but different speakers. This strategy is used to prevent the extractor from encoding undesired speaker- or accent-related information into the acoustic word embeddings. Experimental results show that our proposed system produces promising searching results in the code-switching test scenario. With the increased number of templates and the employment of variability-invariant loss, the searching performance is further enhanced.
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1. Introduction

Spoken term detection (STD) \cite{1,2} is a technique to detect specific words in streaming audio or audio files. With the development of Internet media and smart devices, the demand for searching keywords in audio signal and voice control increased rapidly.

Query by example (QbE) is a special case of the STD problem, whose task is to find out the occurrences of a keyword given its audio samples. A typical solution for this task is applying Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) \cite{3} or its variants on frame-level features extracted from keyword templates and searching content \cite{4}. Both supervised \cite{5,6} and unsupervised \cite{7,8} methods are explored to extract frame-level features by many researchers. Unsupervised features contain traditional acoustic features like filter-bank energy (Fbank) and Mel-Frequency Cepstrum Coefficients (MFCC) \cite{9}, as well as features obtained from unsupervised models like GMM by computing the posterior probabilities of the components \cite{8}. Supervised frame-level features include phonetic features extracted by a neural network like language-independent Bottleneck feature (BNF) and phone posterior probabilities \cite{10,13}. DTW and its variants, such as segmental DTW \cite{13} and subsequence DTW \cite{9,11,12}, are then employed to find out the most matching feature sequences in the audio content with templates.

In recent years, there has been increased interest in applying acoustic word embedding (AWE) methods to QbE-STD tasks \cite{13,14,15,16}. Acoustic word embeddings are segment-level features extracted from the penultimate or final layer of word discriminate neural network. The network projects the features of audio segments to a fixed-dimensional vector space. Researchers have explored different network structures in this task, including convolutional neural networks (CNNs) \cite{14} and recurrent neural networks (RNNs) \cite{13,15}, which show superior performance to traditional methods. After training, embeddings of the same keyword have smaller distances with each other, and embeddings of the different keywords have more considerable distances. Then, a sliding analysis window \cite{17} is taken to detect the occurrences of keywords.

Most of the AWE systems focus on the single language scenario, which means that only one language is spoken in the audio. However, speakers may switch between several languages in real-life situations. Code-switching is a practice of alternating between two or more languages in the context of a single conversation, and it is a common phenomenon in many areas of the world, especially in second language education. However, to our knowledge, most studies focusing on the code-switching scenario are automatic speech recognition (ASR) tasks oriented and fewer studies on the QbE-STD task. This motivates us to explore the QbE-STD task from the code-switching testing data point of view.

In this paper, we propose a multi-language deep acoustic word embedding system with multiple templates. Besides the word discrimination loss, an auxiliary variability-invariant loss is also proposed to make the system generalize better on searching content and keywords spoken by different speakers. The word discrimination loss learns to encode word embeddings with labeled word audio, and the variability-variant loss aims to further decrease the distance between embeddings of the same keyword spoken by different speakers with or without accents. The similar method has also been used in fields such as speaker recognition \cite{13}, speech recognition \cite{19} and far-field speaker recognition \cite{20}. Our method selects audio data in English and Chinese for our training instead of using training data from a single language in other configurations. With data in two languages, We train a deep convolutional neural network for audio word discrimination. The trained model is used to extract embeddings for both keyword audio and searching content, and a sliding window accompanied by cosine distance computation is applied to detect the keywords. We also utilize the averaged template to reduce the within keyword variabilities. Recently, this idea is also applied in the QbE-STD system \cite{21}.
2. Baseline systems

Generally speaking, there are two main steps in the traditional query by example (QbE) system, feature extraction, and template matching. In our baseline system, we utilize the DNN based phone posterior probabilities (PPP) as feature together with subsequence dynamic time warping (subsequence-DTW) algorithm for template matching.

2.1. DNN phone posterior probabilities

DNN based acoustic modeling is usually applied in automatic speech recognition (ASR) task and achieves state-of-the-art performance. In our study, we employ an advanced acoustic modeling method based on the time delay neural network (TDNN) to obtain the phone posterior probabilities (PPP) as the baseline system. To make the baseline system suitable in a code-switching scenario, we separately train a Chinese acoustic model and an English acoustic model for phonetic feature extraction using the Kaldi toolkit [22]. The final PPP feature sequences can be fetched from the output layer of the trained TDNN acoustic model.

2.2. Template matching

The matching algorithm and fusion method used in the baseline system will be introduced in this section.

a) Subsequence-DTW. Traditional DTW [3] requires the start and the end of two sequences must be strictly aligned. Instead, in our cases, we employ subsequence-DTW (S-DTW) [11, 12] which allows us to find a subsequence within the search content that most optimally fits the spoken query.

b) Fusion method. In this work, we employ multiple templates strategy in both baseline system and our proposed system with different fusion methods. Averaged template is widely used to cope with the variability caused by extraneous factors like noise and different speakers in the QbE-STD task. In the baseline system, A DTW-based fusion scheme is applied to obtain a more representative example [23]. Specifically, in the first step, we randomly choose one from the prepared templates as the main template. And secondly, we apply the DTW algorithm to align each of the rest templates with the main template to get a warping path. Thirdly, we can calculate the overall average of all prepared templates for each aligned point with the warping paths for each keyword and obtain a final template representing the keyword.

3. Acoustic Word Embedding

3.1. Network structure

Our network is a combination of a convolutional neural network structure, a global average pooling layer, and a fully-connected layer in sequence. Log filter-bank energies (FBank) are used as the input acoustic feature sequences. The CNN structure works as a local pattern extractor that maps the input feature sequences into a compressed high-level abstract tensor block with temporal order. We set up our deep CNN based on the popular residual neural network (ResNet) [24]. The corresponding parameters are described in Table 1.

By forwarding the feature sequences through the deep CNN structure, the acoustic features can be transformed into a three-dimensional feature map, which still has one dimension related to time. Then the following global average pooling layer (GAP) acts as an aggregator over the entire sequence by computing the global mean feature values over the time and frequency axes. The output representation is then fed into the following fully-connected (FC) layer. Each unit in the output layer is labeled with an individual word in training data. Cross-entropy loss and auxiliary variability-invariant loss are employed to optimize the system, and the final acoustic embedding can be fetched from the output of the GAP layer. The whole procedure of AWE system for the QbE-STD task is depicted in Figure 1.

In addition to the traditional one softmax layer, we also employ block softmax layer, which has proved effective in multilingual BNF extraction [10, 4]. The major difference of our study is that we employ block softmax on segment-level input targeted with words instead of frame-level input targeted with phonemes. The main idea of block softmax is to lead language-dependent information into the feature map by dividing the output.

| Layer | Output size | Downsample | Channels | Blocks |
|-------|-------------|------------|----------|--------|
| Conv1 | $16 \times \frac{2}{3}$ | False | 64 | - |
| Res1  | $16 \times \frac{2}{3}$ | False | 64 | 3 |
| Res2  | $8 \times \frac{2}{3}$ | True | 128 | 4 |
| Res3  | $4 \times \frac{2}{3}$ | True | 256 | 6 |
| Res4  | $2 \times \frac{2}{3}$ | True | 512 | 3 |
| GAP   | N/A         | N/A        | N/A      | N/A   |
| Output| number of words | N/A | N/A | N/A |
3.2 Variability-invariant Loss

Recently, variability-invariant loss has been employed in speaker recognition [13], speech recognition [10] and far-field speaker recognition [20]. For QbE-STD tasks, the person who speaks search content and keyword are usually different. Ideally, the embeddings of the same keyword spoken by different speakers should be identical to each other. However, the extractor usually encodes speaker-related information as a part of the word representation. To make it concentrate more on word discrimination, we use the variability-invariant loss for each word during the training phase. For each instance \( I_{w,p_1} \) with word label \( w \) and speaker label \( p_1 \) forwarded through the network, we randomly choose another instance \( I_{w,p_2} \) with the same word label but different speaker label in the training set. The word embeddings \( e_{w,p_1}, e_{w,p_2} \in \mathbb{R}^d \) encoded by the extractor \( E \) are

\[
e_{w,p_1} = E(I_{w,p_1}), \quad e_{w,p_2} = E(I_{w,p_2}),
\]

where \( d \) denotes the dimension of the word embeddings. The loss function is used to calculate the distance between \( e_{w,p_1} \) and \( e_{w,p_2} \). In this paper, we investigate mean square error (MSE) regression loss as loss function

\[
I_{MSE}(e_{w,p_1}, e_{w,p_2}) = \frac{1}{d} \| e_{w,p_1} - e_{w,p_2} \|_2^2,
\]

which calculates the average square difference between two embeddings of the same word spoken by different speakers. \( \| \cdot \|_2 \) denotes the \( L_2 \) norm. The variability-invariant loss and the word discrimination loss, which is typically a cross-entropy loss, jointly train the acoustic word embedding network and make the system achieve more robustness. The total loss function \( L_t \) can be represented as

\[
L_t = \lambda CE(y_{w,p_1}, \hat{y}_{w,p_1}) + \lambda CE(y_{w,p_2}, \hat{y}_{w,p_2}) + \alpha I_{MSE}(e_{w,p_1}, e_{w,p_2}).
\]

\( \hat{y} \) denotes the logit output and \( y \) represents the ground truth value. The hyper-parameters \( \alpha \) of the network are fixed according to the results of our pre-experiment.

3.3. Template matching

We employ cosine distance computation with a sliding window as our template matching scheme.

a) Sliding window. We apply a fixed size sliding window over the time axis of the search content to convert an utterance into a segment sequence denoted as \( y_1, y_2, y_3, \ldots, y_T \). Each segment is fed into the trained deep CNN, and we get a sequence of acoustic word embedding \( f(y_i) = (f(y_1), f(y_2), \ldots, f(y_T)) \) derived from the output of GAP layer. Then, we pad or clip the keyword audio to make sure the length of segments equal to the size of the sliding window. Next, the input segment \( x \) is transformed into the embedding \( f(x) \) with deep CNN. So far, we can compute the cost between a segment sequence of the search content and a spoken query \( x \) as follows:

\[
Cost(x, y) = \min \left(1 - \frac{f(x) \cdot \hat{f}(y_i)}{\|f(x)\|_2 \|\hat{f}(y_i)\|_2}, i = 1, \ldots, T. \right.
\]

b) Simple moving average. After the computation of cosine distance with the sliding window, a time-dependent score sequence is generated for each utterance to be searched. To reduce the influence of random fluctuation of the scores, we further employ simple moving average (SMA) to smooth the sequence. In SMA, output scores are calculated by taking the sum of recent scores and then dividing that by the number of involving frames for each point.

c) Multi-template. We also employ the multi-template strategy in our original QbE system for the same reason stated in the baseline system but with a different fusion strategy. Compared with the input features with arbitrary length in the baseline system, features extracted by the AWE system are fixed-dimensional, which allows us to directly calculate a fused embedding by computing the average values over all multiple templates.

4. Experiments

4.1. Experiment setup

In the PPP + S-DTW baseline system, we trained three acoustic models with the following training set: a) Chinese data, b) English data as the first language (L1), and c) mixed English data of L1 and L2 (second language). The Chinese acoustic model (a) is trained with MFCC-pitch features from the AISHELL-2 Chinese dataset [24]. English acoustic model (b) is trained with MFCC features from Librispeech dataset [25]. English L2 and L1 mixed model (c) is trained with MFCC features from the Librispeech dataset (L1 for English) and MDT-ASR-A004 dataset [1](L2 for English). The PPP features are extracted from the output of TDNN acoustic models implemented with Kaldi nn3 scripts [22].

As for our proposed AWE system, we adopt 220k spoken word tokens for English and Chinese. Audio of 1459 English word types and 1956 Chinese word types are aligned from the Librispeech English (L1) dataset, MDT-ASR-A004 English (L2) dataset, and AISHELL-2 dataset. For evaluation, we select keyword audio templates from English (L1) dataset TIMIT [27], English (L2) dataset MDT-ASR-A004 and Chinese dataset THCHS30 [28]. 86 Chinese keywords and 52 English keywords, with five to ten templates for each word, are used. The word types chosen from L1 and L2 English datasets are the same. As the L2 English keywords for evaluation and training come from the same dataset, we specially split the dataset so that no audio spoken by one person appears both in training and testing set. And we utilize 1191 utterances of code-switching database from Datatang AI Dataset where our chosen keywords appear as the testing data. In this dataset, the speaker may alternate language from Chinese to English in some words while speaking. Our task is to detect the occurrences of both Chinese and English keywords in these code-switching audio utterances.

\[1\]https://www.magicdataitech.com/goods/3309.html

\[2\]https://www.datatang.com
We employ the 64-dimensional Fbank energies as input acoustic features for our AWE system. The neural network model is trained with categorical cross-entropy and variability-invariant loss as loss function and optimized by Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) with Nesterov momentum 0.9. The learning rate is first initialized as 0.1 and reduces when the loss stops decreasing. We train the model for 80 epochs, and after training, we extract embeddings for segments of keyword audio and search content from the penultimate layer of the network. The size of the sliding window is 0.8 seconds, which covers the length of most keywords.

In our experiments, following previous researches [17], we use MAP, P@5, P@N as our evaluation metrics. MAP (Mean Average Precision) refers to the mean of average precision for each keyword in search content. P@5 (Precision at 5) is the precision of the top 5 utterances retrieved by the system and P@N (Precision at N) is the precision of top N utterances, where N means the number of target keywords in search content.

### 4.2. PPP + S-DTW systems

As Table 2 shows, system (a) and system (b) are trained with Chinese and English (L1) datasets separately, and we can see that they produce better results on their own language while lower scores on the other language. And system (a) with Chinese templates achieves the best results among all methods, which means that the PPP + S-DTW system is suitable in a single language QbE-STD task.

From the results of (b), we can observe that the scores on both L1 and L2 English keywords are lower than expectations comparing with those Chinese words. The possible explanation is that the English audio words are spoken by Chinese speakers and have different kinds of accents. Besides, the audio context of the English words is still in Chinese, which may affect the searching performance of English words. To further investigate this phenomenon, in system (c), we train an English DNN model with both L1 English and L2 English audio data to reduce the influence of accent. The results of (c) are better than (b) on both L1 and L2 English keywords, which reveals that multi-condition training can reduce the gap of audio words caused by accent. Besides, the result on Chinese keywords achieved by system (c) is better than (b), which might be because L2 English (spoken by Chinese) words contribute to Chinese word representation.

To achieve better overall results on both Chinese and English words, in the system (d), we concatenate the PPP extracted with system (a) and (c) on the feature level. The result shows that the performance of concatenated features is more balanced than the PPP of a single language.

### 4.3. AWE systems with different softmax functions

From the view of system (e) and (f), we can see that our proposed systems produce competitive results over the baseline PPP + S-DTW systems on all types of keywords except result on Chinese keywords achieved by system (a). The results on L1 English words of the proposed AWE systems are much better than other systems, which proves that our proposed method has the potential to overcome the mismatch caused by accent between keyword templates and searching content to some extent. Also, system (e) and (f) get good performance on L2 English keywords. Our proposed system is suitable for the code-switching scenario, while we should also find that on Chinese words, the performance gap between the AWE system and PPP + S-DTW system (b) still exists. The PPP + S-DTW system is still robust on a single language searching task.

### 4.4. AWE systems with variability-invariant loss

In this work, the hyper-parameter of the variability-invariant loss is fixed at 0.8, according to our preliminary experimental results. Table 2 also shows the effectiveness of the usage of variability-invariant loss. We can see that system (g) has a considerably better result on English (both L1 and L2) keywords than Chinese keywords. The loss is employed on instances with the same word label but different speaker labels. In the English scenario, it minimizes the difference within a word between not only speakers but also accents.

### 5. Conclusions

In this paper, we propose an AWE QbE-STD system based on a deep convolutional neural network. We utilize training data of two languages to train deep neural networks with both one softmax and block softmax layer. Acoustic word embeddings are extracted from the penultimate layer of the network, and cosine distances are computed between embeddings of keyword audio segments and search content segments with sliding windows. Experimental results show that our proposed AWE system with one softmax or block softmax layer generates competitive results over the baseline PPP + S-DTW systems. Variability-invariant loss is employed to decrease the influence caused by speaker-related information, and the experiment result shows the effectiveness of this method.

### 6. Acknowledgements

This research is funded in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (61773413), Key Research and Development Program of Jiangsu Province (BE2019054), Six talent peaks project in Jiangsu Province (JY-074), Science and Technology Program of Guangzhou, China (202007030011, 201903010040).
