Jet launching theory and the vertical structure of a magnetically-dominated thin accretion disc
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ABSTRACT

The presence of an imposed external magnetic field may drastically influence the structure of thin accretion discs. The magnetic field energy is here assumed to be in balance with the thermal energy of the accretion flow. The vertical magnetic field, its toroidal component $B_{tor}$ at the disc surface (due to different rotation rates between disc and its magnetosphere), the turbulent magnetic Prandtl number and the viscosity-alpha are the key parameters of our model. Inside the corotation radius for rather small $B_{tor}$ the resulting inclination angle $i$ of the magnetic field lines to the disc surface normal can exceed the critical value $30^\circ$ (required to launch cold jets) even for small magnetic Prandtl numbers of order unity. The self-consistent consideration of both magnetic field and accretion flow demonstrates a weak dependence of the inclination (“dragging”) angle on the magnetic Prandtl number for given surface density but a strong dependence on the toroidal field component at the disc surface.

A magnetic disc is thicker than a nonmagnetic one for typical parameter values. The accretion rate can be strongly amplified by large $B_{tor}$ and small magnetic Prandtl number. On the other hand, for given accretion rate the magnetised disc is less massive than the standard-alpha disc. The surface values of the toroidal magnetic fields which are necessary to induce considerably high values for the inclination angle are much smaller than expected and are of order $10^{-3}$ of the imposed vertical field. As the innermost part of the disc produces the largest $B_{tor}$, the largest radial inclination can be expected also there. The idea is therefore supported that the cold jets are launched only in the central disc area.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Accretion flows is assumed to occur in a variety of astrophysical objects. The structure of magnetic accretion discs is the problem of major importance, for example, for understanding of the origin of astrophysical jets. If the inclination, $i$, of the field lines from the vertical exceeds $30^\circ$, the plasma can be accelerated when spiralling along the field line (Blandford & Payne 1982; Lynden-Bell 1996; Campbell 1997; Krasnopolsky, Li & Blandford 1999). Accretion disc-driven magnetocentrifugal winds have been widely used to model the astrophysical jets (e.g. Ustyugova et al. 1999, Ouyed & Podriţ 1999, Krasnopolsky, Li & Blandford 1999).

The present study is motivated by a series of papers dealing with the interaction of accretion discs with external magnetic fields (Livio & Pringle 1992; Lubow, Papaloizou & Pringle 1994; Bardou & Heyvaerts 1996; Reyes-Ruiz & Stepinski 1996; Ogilvie 1997; Ogilvie & Livio 1998; Campbell 1998; Campbell & Heptinstall 1998a, 1998b; Brandenburg & Campbell 1998). In the presence of a vertical magnetic field, the vertical gradient of angular velocity generates the toroidal field due to the stretching effect. This field may generally influence the vertical and radial structure of a disc and alter the angular momentum transport. If the magnetic field is sufficiently strong it even will influence the rotation law (Ogilvie 1997; Ogilvie & Livio 1998).

Our previous paper (Shalybkov & Rüdiger 2000, hereafter paper I) considered the self-consistent steady-state structure of the polytropic accretion disc in the presence of a vertical magnetic field. In the present paper we will refuse from simplified polytropic equation of state and solve the full system of MHD equations for the vertical accretion disc structure. So better comparisons with the theory of the vertical structure of accretion discs without magnetic field are
possible. As known, such computations, for given viscosity-
alpha and given opacity law, yield the accretion rate $\dot{M}$ for
any possible column density $\Sigma$. The same is done here for
an accretion disc threaded by a magnetic field with a given
vertical component $B_{\text{vert}}$ and a given toroidal component
$B_{\text{tor}}$ which, of course, have opposite signs if we are within
the corotation radius*. Such a configuration can only exist
for a certain accretion rate and with a certain distribution of
a radial magnetic field component $B_R$. The value of the
latter taken at the surface defines the inclination angle $i$ known
from the jet theory. Along this way the well-known dragging
problem – to find the radial inclination $i$ – has been unified
with the theory of the vertical structure of accretion discs.

There is an estimate for the toroidal component $B_{\text{tor}}$ due
to the different rotation of halo and disc. If a disc halo
with a high conductivity exists then the surface value of $B_\phi$
will become large. After Campbell (1992) the shear between
the rigidly rotating halo and the accretion disc induces a
toroidal magnetic field of

$$
B_{\text{tor}} = -\gamma \frac{R \Omega_{\text{Kep}} - \Omega_{\text{float}}}{R \Omega_{\text{Kep}}} B_{\text{vert}}
$$

(1)

with $\Omega_{\text{float}}$ as the stellar rotation rate. The numerical integra-
tion of the induction equation for a uniform and a dipolar
field and a plasma halo (with conductivity of 10 times of
the disc conductivity) confirms this result with $\gamma \approx 1$ (Elst-
ner & Rüdiger 2000). The toroidal surface field changes its
sign at the corotation radius where $\Omega_{\text{Kep}}$ equals the stellar
rotation rate $\Omega_\ast$. The magnetic torque results as negative
inside the corotation radius and positive outside the corota-
tion radius. For a disc embedded in vacuum, of course, the
$\gamma$ in (1) vanishes. We consider the $\gamma$ as representing the
unknown halo conductivity. We shall show here that we only
need very small $\gamma$ in order to produce inclination angles $i$
of the interesting value of $30^\circ$ and more – independent of the
the magnetic Prandtl number $P_m$. We also can take from
(1) and from the simulations by Elstner & Rüdiger that the ratio

$$
\beta = \frac{B_{\text{tor}}}{B_{\text{vert}}}
$$

(2)

– which is negative (positive) inside (outside) the corotation
radius grows (by absolute value) inwards. This fact will
play an important role in the philosophy of the presented
research.

2 BASIC EQUATIONS

Consider the structure of an axisymmetric disc in a steady-
state regime; $R$, $\phi$, and $z$ are the cylindrical coordinates.
The resulting kinetic and magnetic equations are given in
paper I as the Eqs. (1),...(13) there. Here we have only to add
the energy equation. All generated energy is assumed to be
transfered from the disc by radiation neglecting convection,
and the disc is optically thick. Then the energy equation is

$$
\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( RF_R \right) + \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial z} = \rho \nu \left[ \frac{\partial u_R}{\partial R} \right]^2 + 2 \left( \frac{\partial u_R}{\partial R} \right)^2 + 2 \left( \frac{u_R}{R} \right)^2 + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( Ru_R \right) \right)^2 + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( \frac{\partial B_R}{\partial R} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial R} \right)^2, \quad (3)
$$

where the energy flux components are

$$
F_R = -\frac{16 \sigma T^3}{3 k \rho} \frac{\partial T}{\partial R}, \quad F_z = -\frac{16 \sigma T^3}{3 k \rho} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}
$$

(4)

with $\sigma$ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, $T$ is the temperature and $\kappa$ the Roseland mean opacity.

The equations must be supplemented by relations speci-
fying the gravitational potential, the equation of state, the
opacity, the viscosity, and the magnetic diffusivity. We neg-
lect the self-gravitation of the disc so that

$$
\psi = -\frac{GM_\ast}{(R^2 + z^2)^{1/2}},
$$

(5)

where $G$ is the gravity constant and $M_\ast$ is the mass of the
central object. We adopt here the ideal-gas equation of state
$P = \rho T/\mu$ ($R$ is the molar gas constant and $\mu$ is the mean
molecular mass) and the opacity may fulfill a power law,
$\kappa = k_0 \rho^{1+\eta}$, with the constant quantities $k_0$, $\gamma$ and $\delta$. This includes the cases of Thomson scattering opacity ($k_0 \approx 0.4$,$\gamma = \delta = 0$) and Kramers opacity ($k_0 \approx 6.6 \cdot 10^{22}$, $\gamma = 1$, $\delta = -3.5$). A Shakura-Sunyaev parameterisation is used for the turbulent viscosity,

$$
\nu_T = \alpha_{\text{SS}} \frac{P}{\Omega}, \quad \nu_T = \frac{\nu}{\Omega}
$$

(6)

where $\alpha_{\text{SS}}$ is a constant (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973). For
the magnetic diffusivity we assume that the magnetic Prandtl
number $P_m = \nu_T / \eta T$ is constant.

Following Regev (1983) and Kluzniak & Kita (2000)
we scale all quantities by their correspondent characteristic
values. This will make the equations dimensionless and al-
ows to compare the relative significances of each term. The
radial distances are scaled by some characteristic radius, $\bar{R}$,
and vertical distances by a typical vertical height of the disc,$\bar{H}$. We represent the angular velocity in units of the Kep-
lerian velocity at the characteristic radius, $\bar{\Omega} = GM_\ast / \bar{R}^2$.
Using these three characteristic quantities all others can be
defined. The typical sound speed is $\bar{c}_s = \bar{H} \bar{\Omega}$, the typical
viscosity and magnetic diffusivity $\nu = \bar{c}_s \bar{H}$, the typical
temperature is $\bar{T} = \mu / \bar{R} \bar{c}_s$ the typical pressure $P = \bar{\rho} \bar{c}_s^2$,
the typical energy flux is $\bar{F} = \bar{\rho} \bar{c}_s^2$, and the typical magnetic
field is $\bar{B} = (\mu_0 \bar{\rho})^{1/2} \bar{c}_s$. The last three quantities use typical
density, $\bar{\rho}$, which transforms the equation for the vertical
energy flux to the dimensionless form, i.e.

$$
\bar{\rho} = \frac{16 \sigma \bar{T}^3}{3 \bar{\rho} \bar{H}} \left( \bar{\rho} - 2 \delta \bar{\rho} \bar{T} \bar{c}_s^{16-2\delta} \left( \frac{\mu}{\bar{R}} \right)^{4-\delta} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (7)
$$

Note that the typical magnetic field defined above $\bar{B}$ gives
$\bar{V}_A = B / (\mu_0 \bar{\rho})^{1/2} \equiv \bar{c}_s$, where $\bar{V}_A$ is the typical Alfvén
velocity. The last relation defines the magnetic field energy as
in balance with the accretion flow energy. The dimension-
less equation of state takes the simple form $P = \rho \bar{T}$, where
the same symbols as before are used for the dimensionless quantities.

Let us define the parameter $\epsilon$

$$\epsilon = \tilde{H}/\tilde{R} = V_{\lambda}/\tilde{\Omega} \tilde{R}. $$

(8)

As in paper I we consider a geometrically thin disc ($\epsilon \ll 1$) and expand all variables in power of $\epsilon$, i.e.

$$A(R, z) = A_0(R, z) + \epsilon A_1(R, z) + \ldots. $$

Using the same symbols for the normalized quantities, the full system at the leading order of $\epsilon$ takes the form

$$u_{R_0} B^{\text{vert}} + \eta_{T_0} \frac{\partial B_{R_0}}{\partial z} = 0, $$

(9)

$$RB^{\text{vert}} \frac{\partial \Omega_1}{\partial z} + R B_{R_0} \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial R} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \eta_{T_0} \frac{\partial B_{R_0}}{\partial z} \right) = 0, $$

(10)

$$-2\rho_0 \Omega_0 \Omega_1 R = B^{\text{vert}} \frac{\partial B_{R_0}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \rho_0 \nu T_0 R \frac{\partial \Omega_1}{\partial z} \right), $$

(11)

$$\frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial z} + \rho_0 \frac{z}{R^3} + B_{R_0} \frac{\partial B_{R_0}}{\partial z} + B_{R_0} \frac{\partial B_{R_0}}{\partial z} = 0, $$

(13)

$$\frac{\partial F_0}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right] +$$

$$\eta_{T_0} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial B_{R_0}}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right], $$

(14)

and

$$F_0 = -\frac{T_0^{3-\delta}}{\rho_0^{1+\delta}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}, $$

(15)

where $B_{R_0} \equiv B^{\text{vert}}$ and $\Omega_0 = R^{-3/2}$ is the normalized Keplerian velocity. Equations (1).....(3) are identical with those of paper I. Note that after (1) and (3) all energy is assumed to be transported in the vertical direction.

The system (1).....(3) depends on $R$ only as parameter and we can use the real radius $R$ as scaling constant $R$, the real disc half-thickness $H$ as scaling constant $H$ and the real Keplerian angular velocity $\Omega_{Kep} = \sqrt{GM_*/R^3}$ as scaling constant $\tilde{\Omega}$. The system takes thus the final form

$$u_R B^{\text{vert}} + \frac{\alpha_{SS}}{P_m} \frac{\partial B_R}{\partial z} = 0, $$

(16)

$$B^{\text{vert}} \frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial z} = \frac{3}{2} B_R + \frac{\alpha_{SS}}{P_m} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( T \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial z} \right) = 0, $$

(17)

$$-2 \frac{P}{T} u_R = B^{\text{vert}} \frac{\partial B_R}{\partial z} + \frac{\alpha_{SS}}{P_m} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( P \frac{\partial u_R}{\partial z} \right), $$

(18)

$$0.5 \frac{P}{T} u_R = B^{\text{vert}} \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial z} + \frac{\alpha_{SS}}{P_m} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( P \frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial z} \right), $$

(19)

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} + \frac{P}{T} + B_\phi \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial z} + B_R \frac{\partial B_R}{\partial z} = 0, $$

(20)

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} = \alpha_{SS} \frac{P}{P_m} \left[ \frac{9}{4} \left( \frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial u_R}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right] +$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha_{SS}}{P_m} \frac{T}{\rho_0} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial z} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial B_R}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right], $$

(21)

and

$$F = -\frac{T^{3-\delta+\gamma}}{P^{1+\gamma}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}, $$

(22)

if also the suffixes 0 and 1 are dropped. These relations form a nonlinear set of differential equations for $P, T, F, u_R, u_\phi, B_R, B_\phi$ with $\alpha_{SS}, P_m, \text{and } B^{\text{vert}}$ as parameters. There are also two implicit parameters, i.e. $R$ and the central mass $M_*$, Solving the equations for given $R$ and $M_*$ the vertical structure for given $\alpha_{SS}, P_m \text{ and } B^{\text{vert}}$ is found with 10 reasonable boundary conditions.

For discs symmetric with respect to the midplane ($z = 0$) the physical quantities such as $\Omega, u_R, T$ are even functions of $z$ while $B_R, B_\phi, F$ are odd functions of $z$. Such symmetries provide the boundary conditions at the midplane ($z = 0$) as

$$B_R = B_\phi = \partial u_R/\partial z = \partial u_\phi/\partial z = F = 0. $$

(23)

At the disc surface, $z = 1$, it no external pressure is allowed. The simplest boundary condition for the temperature, $T(1) = 0$, is used. It reflects the fact that for optically thick disc the surface temperature must be much smaller than the temperature at the disc midplane. Then the first of the above equations immediately gives $u_R(1) = 0$ for $T(1) = 0$. We additionally fix the toroidal and vertical magnetic field at the surface as the last two boundary conditions.

It means that the angle $\beta$ is fixed and also the accretion rate (see (26) and (55)). Hence, the boundary conditions at $z = 1$ are

$$P = T = u_R = 0, B_\phi = B^{\text{tor}}, B_\phi = B^{\text{vert}}, $$

(24)

where $B^{\text{tor}} \text{ and } B^{\text{vert}}$ are free constants. As usual in the theory of the vertical structure of accretion discs, the accretion rate (or the column density $\Sigma$) remain the only free parameter.

3 PHYSICAL PARAMETERS

It is useful to connect our normalized quantities with the real physical values. The real physical parameters of the problem are the distance to the central object, $R$, its mass $M_*$, the accretion rate $\dot{M}$ and the inclination angle $\iota$ of the magnetic field lines to the rotation axis. Note that we can choose any of the accretion rate, the surface density and the disc half-thickness as the free parameter of the problem. All these quantities are connected with each other (see below). Accretion rate is more convenient as a parameter from the physical point of view, but the disc half-thickness is more natural for numerical calculations.

The accretion rate $\dot{M}$ and the surface density $\Sigma$ as functions of half-thickness $H$ are

$$\dot{M} = 4\pi R^2 \tilde{\rho} \tilde{c}_s H \dot{M}, $$

(25)

where the $\tilde{\rho}$ is given by (24) and the normalized accretion rate $\dot{M}$ is

$$\dot{M} = -\int_0^1 u_{R_0} \rho_0 dz, $$

(26)

and

$$\Sigma = 2\tilde{\rho} H \tilde{c}_s. $$

(27)
where the normalized surface density is
\[ \hat{\Sigma} = \int_0^1 \rho_0 dz. \] (28)

The disc thickness and the surface density as functions of \( M \) are
\[ H = \left( \frac{3k_0}{16\sigma} \left( \frac{R}{\mu} \right)^{4-\delta} \Omega_{Kep}^{2\delta-7-\gamma} \left( \frac{M}{4\pi M} \right)^{\gamma+2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-2+\delta}} \] (29)
where the \( \epsilon \) after (3) is
\[ \epsilon = \left( R^{2\delta-10-\gamma} \frac{3k_0}{16\sigma} \left( \frac{R}{\mu} \right)^{4-\delta} \Omega_{Kep}^{2\delta-7-\gamma} \left( \frac{M}{4\pi M} \right)^{\gamma+2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-2+\delta}} \] (30)
and the surface density
\[ \Sigma = 2\Sigma \left( \frac{16\sigma}{3k_0} \left( \frac{\mu}{R} \right)^{4-\delta} \right)^2 \Omega_{Kep}^{4\delta-2\gamma-5} \left( \frac{M}{4\pi M} \right)^{6-2\delta+3\gamma} \] (31).

Half-thickness and accretion rate as functions of \( \Sigma \) are
\[ H = \left( \frac{3k_0}{16\sigma} \left( \frac{R}{\mu} \right)^{4-\delta} \Omega_{Kep}^{2\delta-5} \left( \frac{\Sigma}{2\Sigma} \right)^{2+\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma+2}} \] (32)
and
\[ \hat{M} = 4\pi R^2 \epsilon \Omega_{Kep} \frac{\Sigma}{2\Sigma} \] (33)
with
\[ \epsilon = \frac{1}{R} \left( \frac{3k_0}{16\sigma} \left( \frac{\Sigma}{2\Sigma} \right)^{2+\gamma} \left( \frac{R}{\mu} \right)^{4-\delta} \Omega_{Kep}^{2\delta-5} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma+2}}. \] (34)

Integrating Eq. (14) over \( z \) one finds
\[ \int_0^1 \rho_0 R dz = 2B_{\text{vert}} B_{\text{tor}}, \] (35)
so that (25) turns into
\[ \hat{M} = -8\pi R \tilde{\rho} H B_{\text{vert}} B_{\text{tor}}. \] (36)

The accretion is thus provided by the surface toroidal magnetic field in our model in strong contrast to the standard-alpha disc theory. According to the latter, the surface density \( \Sigma_0 \) of the disc is \( \Sigma_0 = M/3\pi v \) with \( v = 2\alpha_S c_s H_0 \) and \( c_s = 2\Omega H_0 \Omega_{Kep} \), where \( M \) and \( H_0 \) are the accretion rate and the disc half-thickness without a magnetic field.† The \( \Sigma_0 \) and \( H_0 \) as functions of \( M_* \), \( R \) and \( M \) are
\[ H_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{9}{32\pi(3\pi \alpha_{SS})^{1+\gamma}} \frac{\kappa_0}{\sigma} M^{2\gamma} \Omega_{Kep}^{2\delta-7-\gamma} \left( \frac{R}{\mu} \right)^{4-\delta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-2+\delta}} \] (37)
\[ \Sigma_0 = \frac{1}{3\pi} \left( \frac{32\pi(3\pi \alpha_{SS})}{9} \frac{\sigma}{\kappa_0} \right)^2 \alpha_{SS}^{2\delta-8-\gamma} M^{6-2\delta+3\gamma} \Omega_{Kep}^{4\delta-2\gamma} \] (38)

We are here interested to know the magnetic influence on the accretion rate, the disc height and the surface density. We shall consider two ratios for each quantities, for given accretion rate \( \dot{M} \) or for given surface density, resp.. Nevertheless, all these characteristics are related to each other, and consideration of one ratio is sufficient. For given accretion rate, it results
\[ \frac{H}{H_0} = \left( \frac{2\pi(3\pi \alpha_{SS})^{1+\gamma}}{3 \left( \frac{\epsilon}{4\pi M} \right)^{\gamma+2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-2+\delta}} \] (39)
and for given surface density
\[ \frac{\Sigma}{\Sigma_0} = \frac{2\Sigma \left( \frac{9}{4\pi^2(3\pi)^2\gamma+2} \alpha_{SS}^{8-2\delta+\gamma} \left( \frac{\epsilon}{4\pi M} \right)^{6-2\delta+\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-2+\delta}} \} \] (40)

Our calculations will reveal the latter quantity always exceeding unity so that for given column density \( \Sigma \) the related accretion rate \( \dot{M} \) is higher for magnetised disc; and for given accretion rate the related column density is smaller than without magnetic field.

The Mach number of the radial flow is
\[ \text{Ma} = \frac{u R}{c_s} = \left( \frac{3}{5} \right)^{1/2} \frac{u R}{T^{1/2}} \] (42)
where we have used the ideal gas sound speed \( c_s^2 = 5/3 \rho / \rho \). The inclination angle \( i \) of the radial magnetic field component at the surface \( B_{\text{tor}} \) to the rotation axis is
\[ \tan i = \frac{B_{\text{tor}}}{B_{\text{vert}}} \] (43)
Its determination for prescribed accretion rate is the central point of the present paper, the often formulated dragging problem corresponds to the theory of the vertical structure of accretion discs in external magnetic fields.

Below we shall use the constants \( R = 10^{10} \text{cm}, M_* = 1M_\odot, \mu = 0.6, k_0 = 6.6 \cdot 10^{22}, \gamma = 1, \delta = -7/2 \). The Kepler velocity is \( \Omega_{Kep} = 1.2 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \). The normalisation constants with \( \epsilon = 0.01 \) as a typical value are \( \tilde{\rho} = 2.8 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ g/cm}^3 \), \( c_s = 1.1 \cdot 10^6 \text{ cm/s}, T = 8.7 \cdot 10^5 \text{ K}, \tilde{\eta} = \tilde{\eta} = 1.1 \cdot 10^{14} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}, F = 3.7 \cdot 10^{10} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) and the unit for the magnetic field is \( B = 590 \text{ G} \).

## 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The systems of ordinary differential equations (16)…(22) with boundary conditions (23) and (24) are solved by a relaxation method (see Press et al. 1992). The dependent variables are \( P, T, F, u_R, u_{\theta}, B_{\text{tor}} \) and \( B_{\text{tor}} \). The parameters are \( \alpha_{SS}, P_m, M_*, B_{\text{vert}} \) and \( B_{\text{tor}} \). Only Kramers opacity is used.

† We shall always neglect the factor \( 1 - (R_*/R)^{1/2} \) with \( R_* \) as the central object radius.

‡ It can be easily calculated according to (30) or (34) and models values specified above and in Table 1.
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Figure 1. The vertical disc structure for $\alpha_{SS}=0.1$, $Pm=1$ and $B_{\text{vert}}=1$. The $\beta$ is $-4 \cdot 10^{-4}$ (dot-dashed), $-2.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$ (short-dashed), $-8.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ (dotted), $-1.9 \cdot 10^{-2}$ (solid), $-3.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$ (dot-dot-dotted), $-5.1 \cdot 10^{-2}$ (long-dashed). The values of $\hat{\dot{M}}$, $\hat{\Sigma}$ and $i$ for each curve are given in Table 1.

The calculation demonstrated that for a fixed $B_{\text{tor}}$ one always can adjust $B_{Rs}$ to fulfil the condition (35). On the other hand, for fixed $B_{Rs}$ there is some critical value of the $\alpha_{SS}$ for given $Pm$, $B_{\text{vert}}$ and $B_{\text{tor}}$ fixed by (35). It was possible to find the solution only for $\alpha_{SS} < \alpha_{cr}$. The $\alpha_{cr} \approx 0.4$ for $Pm = 1$, $B_{\text{vert}} = 1$ and $B_{Rs} = 1$. Due to the nonlinear character of the system the reasons for this numerical problem are difficult to understand. Nevertheless, the real physical interest requires calculations with $\alpha_{SS} < 1$. We have restricted ourselves to calculations for $\alpha_{SS} \leq 0.1$ only.

The vertical disc structure is illustrated in Figs. 1 and 2. We performed the calculations for vertical disc structure as function of $Pm$ and $B_{\text{tor}}$ for one of three parameters ($\dot{M}$, $\Sigma$ and $i$) fixed. Two values of viscosity-alpha, i.e. $\alpha_{SS} = 0.01$ and $\alpha_{SS}=0.1$, are used always. The qualitative behaviour of the solutions is very similar for both cases. The profiles in Figs. 1 and 2 are labelled by the toroidal inclination $\beta$. We could them also label either with the nondimensional accretion rate $\hat{\dot{M}}$ or the nondimensional surface density $\hat{\Sigma}$ according to Table 1.

Almost the entire variation of the disc variables happens for $0 < z < 0.5$ hence always we have ‘atmospheres’ for $z > 0.5$. The atmosphere becomes thinner for increasing values of the vertical field. The flow is subsonic ($Ma < 1$) and the applied surface toroidal magnetic field is much smaller in comparison with $B_{\text{vert}}$ ($|\beta| \leq 0.1$) for all calculated cases. The density and the temperature weakly depend on the turbulence parameters $\alpha_{SS}$ and $Pm$ for large $\alpha_{SS}$. The accretion flow at the disc midplane can be comparable with the sound velocity. However, due to the weak dependence of the temperature on $\alpha_{SS}$, the Mach number behaves almost linear with $\alpha_{SS}$ and therefore $Ma \ll 1$ for $\alpha_{SS} < 1$.

4.1 Accretion rate and surface density

The accretion rate for magnetic discs drastically increases in comparison with nonmagnetic discs (see Figs. 3 and 4). Nevertheless, the accretion rate for magnetic discs can be even smaller than for nonmagnetic discs for large $Pm$ and
Table 1. The model parameters (Pm= 1, $B^\text{vert} = 1$)

| $\alpha_{SS}$ | $\beta$ | $i$ | $\dot{M}$ | $\dot{\Sigma}$ |
|---------------|---------|-----|----------|--------------|
| 0.1           | -4.0 $\cdot 10^{-4}$ | 14$^\circ$ | 8.0 $\cdot 10^{-4}$ | 0.062 |
| 0.1           | -2.6 $\cdot 10^{-3}$ | 27$^\circ$ | 5.2 $\cdot 10^{-4}$ | 0.13 |
| 0.1           | -8.2 $\cdot 10^{-3}$ | 37$^\circ$ | 0.016 | 0.19 |
| 0.1           | -1.9 $\cdot 10^{-2}$ | 45$^\circ$ | 0.037 | 0.25 |
| 0.1           | -3.5 $\cdot 10^{-2}$ | 51$^\circ$ | 0.070 | 0.31 |
| 0.1           | -5.9 $\cdot 10^{-2}$ | 56$^\circ$ | 0.12 | 0.37 |

| 0.01          | -4.7 $\cdot 10^{-3}$ | 14$^\circ$ | 9.3 $\cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.090 |
| 0.01          | -2.8 $\cdot 10^{-3}$ | 27$^\circ$ | 5.6 $\cdot 10^{-4}$ | 0.17 |
| 0.01          | -8.5 $\cdot 10^{-4}$ | 37$^\circ$ | 1.7 $\cdot 10^{-4}$ | 0.25 |
| 0.01          | -1.9 $\cdot 10^{-3}$ | 45$^\circ$ | 3.8 $\cdot 10^{-4}$ | 0.31 |
| 0.01          | -3.6 $\cdot 10^{-3}$ | 51$^\circ$ | 7.1 $\cdot 10^{-4}$ | 0.37 |
| 0.01          | -6.0 $\cdot 10^{-3}$ | 56$^\circ$ | 1.2 $\cdot 10^{-2}$ | 0.41 |

Figure 3. The magnetic-disc accretion rates (normalized with accretion rates without magnetic fields) as a function of a) the vertical field $B^\text{vert}$ for $\alpha_{SS}$=0.01, $\beta = -2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ (solid) and $\Sigma = 1.4$ g/cm$^2$ (dotted); b) the inclination angle $i$ for $B^\text{vert} = 1$, $\alpha_{SS}$=0.1 (solid), $\alpha_{SS}$=0.01 (dotted). $\Psi$ is defined by (41). Pm=1

Figure 4. The accretion rate as a function of the surface density $\Sigma$ for $\alpha_{SS}$ = 0.1 (left) and $\alpha_{SS}$ = 0.01 (right). The numbers are given in Table 1. Pm=1, $B^\text{vert} = 1$

Due to the direct relation between accretion rate and surface density (Fig. 4) the dimensionless surface density dependence on parameters is the same as for the dimensionless accretion rate. The surface density for magnetic discs is usually smaller than for nonmagnetic discs for the same accretion rate. The magnetic disc radial velocity is greater than nonmagnetic disc radial velocity (the accretion rate is greater in magnetic disc). The surface density does not depend on $\alpha_{SS}$ because the toroidal magnetic field is always small and we can neglect its influence on the pressure.

4.2 Disc thickness

In Fig. 5 the thickness for magnetic discs is shown in comparison to the nonmagnetic case. The magnetic disc is thicker than the nonmagnetic one for all calculated models. Nevertheless, this fact is only a rule with exceptions. Note that magnetic discs can be thinner than nonmagnetic discs for both large $B^\text{vert}$ and Pm. However, we should care the radial flow Mach number. The radial flow can become supersonic for $H < H_0$ for large enough $\alpha_{SS}$. This problem completely disappears for small $\alpha_{SS}$. The disc thickness decreases with increasing radial magnetic field and vertical magnetic field due to magnetic stresses (see Fig. 5). It is interesting to note that the accretion rate is getting larger for thinner disc. The disc thickness weakly depends on Pm as well as $\alpha_{SS}$.
4.3 Inclination angle $i$

We find that for rather low $\beta$ the inclination angle $i$ can be larger than the critical value of $30^\circ$ (Blandford & Payne 1982) for jet launching even for $Pm$ of order unity. This result is in accordance with our calculation for polytropic magnetic disc (paper I). Moreover, for given surface density the inclination angle hardly depends on $Pm$ (Fig. 4(b)) also in accordance with the results of paper I. This means that the radial velocity is proportional to $1/Pm$ in this case. Nevertheless, the inclination angle depends strongly on $Pm$ for given accretion rate. The inclination angle increases for decreasing $\alpha_{SS}$ for fixed accretion rate and decreases for fixed surface density. Such a behaviour is the result of the behaviours of accretion rate and surface density with changing $\alpha_{SS}$.

According to (32) and (33), the accretion rate is connected with $\beta$. So, for given $B_{\text{vert}}^2$ there is a direct relation between the $\beta$ and the resulting inclination angle $i$. Table 1 presents the numbers. Our model yields high accretion rates and high inclination angles already for rather small $\beta$. The larger the $\beta$ is the higher the $i$. According to (2), the $\beta$ increased inwards. We can conclude that the Blandford-Payne condition is fulfilled most easily for the inner part of the accretion disc.

5 CONCLUSION

The vertical structure of accretion discs with an imposed vertical magnetic field has been considered. The magnetic field energy is supposed as to be in balance with the accretion flow energy. The angular momentum transport is fully provided by the magnetic field, the Reynolds stress does here not play any role.

The turbulent viscosity might only be important for the energy balance of the disc but the calculations demonstrated also the dominance of the Joule heating. The magnetic field is, therefore, the essential feature of the model and the equations do not change to standard $\alpha$-disc equations in the small magnetic field limit. Stehle & Spruit (2001) results is also confirmed the existence of magnetic field induced accretion. The results actually demonstrate the close relation between magnetic field dragging and the vertical structure of thin accretion disc. The interaction of the magnetic field with the disc can drastically change the disc structure as well as the configuration of the magnetic field. The angular velocity differs from the Keplerian one. This difference is, however, relatively small because the magnetic energy is small compared with the gravitational energy in our model. The radial velocity, however, can increase drastically becoming comparable to the sound speed for some models. This can lead to a strong amplification of the accretion rate for a given column density (Fig. 5).

The accretion rate is connected directly with the surface toroidal magnetic field in our model. Nevertheless, even small toroidal magnetic field at the surface ($\beta \ll 1$) is enough to increase the accretion rate drastically. The accretion rate can be amplified drastically (large $i$ and small $Pm$), so suppressed (small $i$ and large $Pm$).

The magnetic disc is basically thicker than the nonmagnetic one for typical parameters values. Nevertheless, we cannot exclude that the magnetic disc can become thinner than a nonmagnetic one for some parameters (e.g. large $B_{\text{vert}}^2$).

However, the most surprising results of our calculations concern the inclination angle $i$ of the magnetic field lines to the rotation axis. We found that i) already rather small toroidal field component $B_{\text{tor}}^2$ can produce inclinations exceeding the critical value of $30^\circ$ and ii) this effect is almost independent of the magnetic Prandtl number for given surface density Fig. 5.

In previous studies (Lubow, Papaloizou & Pringle 1994; Reyes-Ruiz & Stepinski 1996) large radial inclinations could only be obtained for $Pm \geq 100$. After our results it also holds for $Pm=1$. The difference is due to the fact that the previous studies neglect the magnetic field influence on disc structure and used radial velocity from standard accretion disc theory.

For a given accretion rate, $M$, the larger $\beta$ lead to the larger $i$ and to the smaller $\Sigma$ (Fig. 5). As after (1) the larger (negative) $\beta$ exist in the innermost accretion disc region, we have there the smaller column density and the stronger radial inclination of the field lines. The jet launching should thus be concentrated to the inner region of an accretion disc.

Only Kramers opacity was used in this paper but it might be very interesting to apply other opacity laws to reformulate the stability problem of the structure of accretion discs.

Acknowledgements: D.S. thanks for the kind financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and Russian foundation for basic research (grant RFBR-DFG 00-02-04011). G.R. acknowledges the kind hospitality of the HAO during the work on this paper.

REFERENCES

Bardou A., Heyvaerts J., 1996, A&A, 307, 1009
Blandford R.D., Payne D.G., 1982, MNRAS, 199, 883
Brandenburg A., Campbell C.G., 1998, MNRAS, 298, 223

Figure 6. The inclination angle $i$ of magnetic field lines to the rotation axis for $B_{\text{vert}}^2=1$. LEFT: toroidal field fixed ($\beta = -9 \cdot 10^{-4}$). RIGHT: column density fixed $\Sigma = 1.4$ g/cm$^2$.
Campbell C.G., 1997, Magnetohydrodynamics in binary stars. Kluwer, Dordrecht
Campbell C.G., 1998, MNRAS, 301, 754
Campbell C.G., Heptinstall P.M., 1998a, MNRAS, 299, 31
Campbell C.G., Heptinstall P.M., 1998b, MNRAS, 301, 558
Elstner D., Rüdiger G., 2000, A&A, 358, 612
Krasnopolsky R., Li Z.-Y., Blandford R., 1999, ApJ, 526, 631
Kluzniak W., Kita D., 2000, astro-ph/0006264.
Livio M., Pringle J.E., 1992, MNRAS, 259, 23
Lubow S.H., Papaloizou J.C.B., Pringle J.E., 1994, MNRAS, 267, 235
Lynden-Bell D., 1996, MNRAS, 279, 389
Ogilvie G.I., 1997, MNRAS, 288, 63
Ogilvie G.I., Livio M., 1998, ApJ, 499, 329
Ouyed R., Pudritz R.E., 1999, MNRAS, 309, 233.
Regev O., 1983, A&A, 126, 146.
Reyes-Ruiz M., Stepinski T.F., 1996, ApJ, 459, 653
Press W.H., Teukolski S.A., Vetterling W.T., Flannery B.P., 1992, Numerical recipes in C. The art of scientific computing. Cambridge Univ. Press.
Shakura N.I., Sunyaev R.A., 1973, A&A, 24, 337
Shalybkov D., Rüdiger G., 2000, MNRAS, 315, 762 (Paper I)
Stehle R., Spruit H., 2001, astro-ph 0103409
Ustyugova G.L., Koldoba A.V., Romanova M.M., Chechetkin V.M., Lovelace R.V.E., 1999, ApJ, 516, 221