**Trichinella spiralis**: nurse cell formation with emphasis on analogy to muscle cell repair
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**Abstract**

*Trichinella* infection results in formation of a capsule in infected muscles. The capsule is a residence of the parasite which is composed of the nurse cell and fibrous wall. The process of nurse cell formation is complex and includes infected muscle cell response (de-differentiation, cell cycle re-entry and arrest) and satellite cell responses (activation, proliferation and differentiation). Some events that occur during the nurse cell formation are analogous to those occurring during muscle cell regeneration/repair. This article reviews capsule formation with emphasis on this analogy.

**Introduction**

Parasites alter physiology and/or morphology of hosts in order to survive in a new environment. It is remarkable how some parasites make a new architecture in the host tissue, by morphological remodeling. *Trichinella spiralis* is a typical example. Parasites build their own home in the infected muscles. The home is a capsule which is composed of a collagenous wall and cellular components. The wall provides some protection to the parasite and the cellular component that takes care of the parasites in terms of metabolism. Because of its function, the name "nurse cell" has been given to the cellular components. Both the wall and nurse cell are of host, not parasite origin. Some parasitologists prefer the term nurse cell complex or capsule rather than the term cyst, because the term cyst is used for cells of parasite origin.

The capsule is prominent in the infected muscle; even an untrained pathologist will not overlook it during microscopic examination. The question that first comes to mind is how does *Trichinella* alter host cells and construct such unique place for living? Does *Trichinella* have some unknown specific tools?

This has been an enigma in spite of extensive studies. As early as 1966, Maier and Zaiman commented on the similarities between some of the changes which occur during nurse cell formation and those in muscle cell regeneration [1]. Steward and Read [2] presented a detailed comparison of ultrastructural and biochemical changes that occur during the two processes mentioned above and found them to be remarkably similar. They introduced the hypothesis that process of regeneration plays a significant role in the initial development of nurse cell. A series of recent studies provide more evidence to strengthen their ideas that *Trichinella* utilizes a repairing process of muscles cells to construct the capsule. In other words, after injury induced by parasite invasion, muscle cells start going...
through the process of repair, just like after any trauma. *Trichinella* borrows only the initial part of this repair process to construct its own home.

Despommier [3] has already elegantly reviewed the process of capsule formation with emphasis on nurse cell formation. The present review article deals with the whole process of capsule formation but puts more emphasis on the analogy between nurse cell formation and muscle cell repair.

The analogy between nurse cell formation and muscle cell repair

There are many similarities between the processes of nurse cell formation after *Trichinella* infection and regeneration of muscle cells after injury.

A skeletal muscle cell is susceptible to injury by direct trauma or indirect causes such as neurological dysfunction or innate genetic defects. Due to its remarkable ability of regeneration, an injured muscle cell initiates a finely orchestrated set of cellular responses, resulting in the regeneration of a well-innervated, fully vascularized and contractile muscle apparatus. The process of regeneration includes four stages, as reviewed by Wozniak et al. [4]: 1) satellite cell activation; 2) satellite cell proliferation; 3) differentiation and fusion; and 4) self-renewal of satellite cell.

Invasion by *Trichinella* new born larvae also causes muscle cell damage, which initiates the activation of satellite cells undergoing proliferation and re-differentiation [5,6]. In this case, the muscle cell affected by *Trichinella* infection initiates de-differentiation, cell cycle re-entry and arrest [7-11].

During this process, many events are similar in both nurse cell formation and muscle regeneration, for example, increase in the amount of sarcoplasmic matrix, the size and number of nuclei which migrate to the center of muscle fiber from the periphery, the size of affected myofibers, the number of mitochondria, DNA and RNA content, and increase in free ribosomes and intense proliferation of rough endoplasmic reticulum and smooth sarcoplasmic reticulum [2].

Muscle development and regeneration: an overview

A brief review on muscle genesis and regeneration process will provide basic information for understanding of the nurse cell formation process.

Muscle genesis

Skeletal muscles are derived from mesodermal precursor cells which originate from the somites. During embryonic development, mesodermal precursor cells are specific to myogenic lineage (known as myoblasts). Proliferating myoblasts withdraw from the cell cycle and terminally differentiate to myocytes. Finally, mononucleated myocytes specifically fuse to each other to form a multinucleated syncytium, which eventually matures into muscle fibers [12]. During the course of muscle development, a distinct subpopulation of myoblasts fails to differentiate, but remains associated with the surface of the developing myofiber as quiescent muscle satellite cells [13-15].

Muscle repair

The early events following muscle injury are muscle cell necrosis and accumulation of inflammatory cells within the damaged site, which is a process of degeneration. The activated mononuclear cells release factors that provide chemotactic signals to other inflammatory cells [16-18]. Neutrophils are first to come, followed by macrophages which phagocytose cellular debris and affect other aspects of muscle regeneration by activating myogenic cells [19-21].

Following muscle degeneration, the repair process of muscle is activated. The activation and proliferation of satellite cells are important events necessary for muscle regeneration. The proliferation of satellite cells provides a sufficient source of new myonuclei for muscle repair. Satellite cells differentiate and fuse to each other or with existing damaged fibers for repair to form new myofibers [4,22]. The fundamental morphological characteristics are that newly formed myofibers have small caliber with centrally located myonuclei (Fig 1).

Capsule formation

Capsule formation (also known as cystogenesis) has been extensively studied by many authors. It involves complex steps and events which take place over a 20 day period from the time of initial larval invasion to the completion of the nurse cell [3].

Infection causes profound changes in host muscle cells, some of which are, in the beginning, similar with those involved in muscle regeneration. After new born larva invasion, dissolution and complete loss of myofibrillar organization occur [23]. A septum is formed to segregate the affected area (basophilic cytoplasm) from the intact area of the same muscle cell [5]. Infection causes the activation, proliferation and differentiation of satellite cells, which develop into eosinophilic cytoplasm [5,6].

Recent molecular biological studies have shown that many genes and signaling pathways are mobilized in nurse cell formation, for example, mitochondrial pathway mediated and death receptor pathway mediated apoptosis signaling, TGF-β signaling pathway, as well as the genes related to cell differentiation, proliferation, cell cycle con-
Muscle cell regeneration: A: Normal muscle cell with myonuclei and satellite cells; B: Damaged muscle cell. Muscle injury causes inflammatory response and mononucleated cells are mobilized; C: Necrosis occurs in the damaged site. Macrophages invade the damaged tissue for cleaning up cellular debris. Satellite cells are activated; D: Activated satellite cells proliferate, differentiate and fuse to each other or with existing damaged muscle fibers; E: The regenerated new muscle cell in smaller caliber with centrally-located myonuclei and renewed satellite cells. The figure is modified from the textbook of MYOLOGY by Engel and Franzini-Armstrong. Nurse cell formation: F: Invasion of *Trichinella* larva causes dissolution and complete loss of myofibrillar organization; G: Satellite cells are activated. Basophilic transformation occurs in the infected muscle cell. A septum is formed to limit damaged area; H: Activated satellite cells proliferate, differentiate and fuse to each other or with the infected muscle cell, which provides eosinophilic cytoplasm. The infected muscle cell dedifferentiates, reenters cell cycle and arrests at G2/M. There are many hypertrophy nuclei; I and J: The eosinophilic cytoplasm (which is provided by satellite cells) increases in volume and the basophilic cytoplasm (which originates from infected muscle cell) decreases in volume; K: The mature nurse cell is formed. The cytoplasm of nurse cell is eosinophilic.
Trichinella damage is light, the muscle cell may undergo apoptosis or macrophages through the phagocytosis process. When the sive that cell can not be repaired, which is known as necrosis. The muscle cell will disintegrate if the damage is so extensive that it is reviewed in detail in comparison with muscle cell regeneration.

**Dynamic changes in infected muscle cell cytoplasm**

The muscle cell will disintegrate if the damage is so extensive that cell can not be repaired, which is known as necrosis. This dead area is removed by scavenger cells such as macrophages through the phagocytosis process. When the damage is light, the muscle cell may undergo apoptosis or recover from the damage by repairing itself. In the case of *Trichinella* infection, the invasion itself does not seem to cause severe damage to the muscle cell. As such, the infected muscle cell does not undergo necrosis but it undergoes apoptosis instead. In the following paragraph, recent progress about the fate of infected and damaged muscle cells is discussed, because such information seems to be indispensable to better understand the process of nurse cell formation.

First of all, during the process of nurse cell formation, the existence of two kinds of cytoplasm within the nurse cell should be recognized, basophilic and eosinophilic cytoplasm [5]. Basophilic cytoplasm is formed by the transformation of the infected muscle cell after newborn-larva invasion (“basophilic transformation”) [31,32]. The eosinophilic cytoplasm is derived from satellite cells and joins the nurse cell (This is discussed below). In the beginning of nurse cell formation, the basophilic cytoplasm is dominant, and as the nurse cell formation proceeds, the basophilic cytoplasm decreases in size and the eosinophilic cytoplasm increases in size with the ratio changing in a reciprocal manner. Consequently, the basophilic cytoplasm disappears from the nurse cell (Fig 1).

As for the basophilic cytoplasm, morphological and molecular biological data are available. The initial changes include disintegration of sarcomeres, lysis of myofilaments, increases in the amounts of rough and smooth endoplasmic reticulum, and hypertrophy of nuclei [31,32]. Morphological signs are identified as apoptosis [5,24]. There are irregular shaped nuclei with scattered and dense heterochromatin in basophilic cytoplasm. The mitochondria swelled and disappeared in the early phase of infection, and were replaced by new mitochondria that were smaller in size than those in normal muscle cells and had a hyper-density matrix, which was in good agreement with features of mitochondrial pyknosis in apoptosis [33,34]. TUNEL assay indicated that there was DNA fragmentation in some of the enlarged nuclei [27].

More light on the mechanisms of apoptosis in the basophilic cytoplasm has been thrown by molecular experiments, which showed that many apoptosis-related...
genes were involved (Fig 2 and Table 1). There are two principal pathways for apoptosis initiation, the mitochondrial pathway and the death receptor pathway [35]. The up-regulated expressions of mitochondrial pathway mediated apoptosis factors (Bcl-2 associated protein X: BAX, Apoptotic protease activating factor 1: Apaf-1 and caspase 9) and death receptor pathway mediated apoptosis factors (tumor necrosis factor-alpha: TNF-α, TNF receptor 1, TNF receptor-associated death-domain: TRADD, caspase 8 and caspase 3) were observed in the basophilic cytoplasm of infected muscle cells, suggesting that both signaling pathways are activated in the cytoplasm (Fig 2) [24-27].

The fate of basophilic cytoplasm is thus clear; it disappears through the process of apoptosis in spite of the up-regulation of the genes for anti-apoptosis (TNF receptor associated factor 2: TRAF2, and receptor interactive protein: RIP). In fact, acid phosphatase activity was found to be high in basophilic cytoplasm, suggesting the presence of destructive processes [36]. On the other hand, the eosinophilic cytoplasm seems to tell a different story. This cytoplasm seems to be metabolically active, engaging in some metabolic transportation, because alkaline phosphatase activity, not acid phosphatase activity, was detected in the eosinophilic cytoplasm [36].

The eosinophilic cytoplasm is also exposed to stress from the parasite, and apoptosis genes are up-regulated. Interestingly, however, anti-apoptosis genes are also up-regulated [24-26]. Thus, the eosinophilic cytoplasm is characterized by co-existence of apoptotic and anti-apoptotic mechanisms and retains its activity as a result of balance between apoptosis and anti-apoptosis.

cDNA microarray analysis indicated that some other genes may be involved in the apoptosis occurred in infected muscle cells, for example, Bcl6, clusterin (CLU), Bcl2-interacting killer-like (Biklk), programmed cell death protein 11 (Pdcd11), proline dehydrogenase 1 (Prodh1) and Prodh2 [11]. These genes function in inducing apoptosis or prevent apoptosis, and are related with cell growth and survival [37-46]. The up-regulated expressions of these genes suggest that they engage in the apoptosis and anti-apoptosis in infected muscle cell through different mechanisms.

**Satellite cell activation, proliferation and differentiation**

Each myofiber is surrounded by a single sheet (basal lamina). Within this sheet, there is another cell, the satellite cell. As mentioned in the previous paragraph, satellite cells are myoblasts which differentiate to a new muscle cell when the muscle is injured. Muscle damage triggers such activation and proliferation of satellite cells. Thus, the satellite cells can continuously supply the new muscle

| Gene Name | Description | Expression change |
|-----------|-------------|-------------------|
| tumor necrosis factor receptor 1 (TNFR1) | TNF-mediated apoptosis | ↑↑ |
| proline dehydrogenase (oxidase) 2 (Prodh2) | mitochondria-mediated apoptosis | ↑ |
| Bcl2-interacting killer-like (Biklk) | Bcl family protein; induction of apoptosis | ↑ |
| B-cell leukemia/lymphoma 6 (Bcl6) | apoptosis; caspase activation | ↑ |
| programmed cell death protein 11 (Pdcd11) | hydrolase activity; apoptosis | ↑ |
| clusterin (CLU) | anti- or proapoptotic activity | ↑ |
| nuclear protein 1 (Nupr1) | induction of apoptosis; response to stress | ↑ NC b |
| p53 | apoptosis, DNA repair, cell cycle arrest | ↑ |
| p21 | apoptosis, cell cycle arrest | ↑ |
| MDM2 | apoptosis, negative regulator of p53 | ↑ |
| Bcl-2 associated protein X (BAX) | mitochondria-mediated apoptosis | ↑ |
| Apoptotic protease activating factor 1 (Apaf1) | mitochondria-mediated apoptosis | ↑ |
| Caspase 9 | mitochondria-mediated apoptosis | ↑ |
| protein kinase B (PKB) | promote cell survival and prevent apoptosis | ↑ |
| tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF) | cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis | ↑ UR c |
| TNFR1-associated via death domain (TRADD) | adaptor of TNFR1 mediated apoptosis | ↑ UR |
| Caspase 8 | apoptosis | ↑ UR |
| Caspase 3 | apoptosis | ↑ UR |
| TNF receptor-associated factor 2 (Tra2) | mediator of anti-apoptotic in TNFR1 signal | ↑ UR |
| Receptor interactive protein (RIP) | mediator of anti-apoptotic in TNFR1 signal | ↑ UR |

a: Ts: *Trichinella spiralis*; Tp: *T. pseudospiralis*
b: NC: no change
c: UR: no result
cells even if the muscle is damaged. Some of these events are common with the myopathy provoked by *Trichinella* infection.

1. **Satellite cell in muscle regeneration**

Activation of muscle satellite cells appears to be an important step in the ability of muscle to regenerate. In the course of muscle regeneration, satellite cells first exit their normal quiescent state to start proliferating. After several rounds of proliferation, a majority of the satellite cells differentiate and fuse to form new myofibers or to repair damaged ones [22,47]. The process of satellite cell activation and differentiation during muscle regeneration is reminiscent of embryonic muscle development. In particular, the critical roles of the myogenic regulatory factors (MRFs: MyoD, myogenin, Myf5 and MRF4) and paired box genes (Pax 3 and Pax 7) are observed in both processes [48-50].

At the molecular level, activation of satellite cells is characterized by the rapid up-regulation of two MRFs, Myf5 and MyoD. Following muscle injury, MyoD and Myf5 up-regulation appears early, and the activation of expression has been observed in various *in vivo* models for muscle regeneration and in various types of muscle [15,51-55]. MRF4 likely plays a role in maturation of regenerated myofibers. After the satellite cell proliferation phase, myogenin and MRF4 are up-regulated in cells beginning their terminal differentiation program. This is followed by the activation of cell cycle arrest protein p21 (cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A) and permanent exit from the cell cycle. The differentiation program is then completed with the activation of muscle specific proteins, such as MGC, and fusion to damaged muscle cells [56-59].

2. **Satellite cell in nurse cell formation**

Activation and proliferation of satellite cells occur in *Trichinella* infected muscles. A linear alignment of satellite cell nuclei is observed in the periphery of infected cells along their long axis of myofibers [5]. Myogenic regulatory factors (MyoD and myogenin) were over-expressed in infected muscle tissue of both *Trichinella* spiralis and *T. pseudospiralis* [64]. Numb, Mfng and Dtx1, the regulators of the Notch signal pathway [64-67], were up-regulated in both *T. spiralis* and *T. pseudospiralis* infected muscle tissues, suggesting that this signaling pathway is likely to be involved in the activation and differentiation of satellite cells or infected muscle cells.

Multiple mechanisms may involve in the regulation of differentiation initialed by *Trichinella* infection. One of those is the Notch signal pathway. Notch signaling plays an important role in tissue morphogenesis both during development and after postnatal regeneration of skeletal muscle [64]. Numb, Mfng and Dtx1, the regulators of the Notch signaling pathway [64-67], were up-regulated in both *T. spiralis* and *T. pseudospiralis* infected muscle tissues, suggesting that this signaling pathway is likely to be involved in the activation and differentiation of satellite cells or infected muscle cells.

The factor MEF2 is involved in the activation of muscle-specific gene expression, and acts in concert with MRFs in muscle cell differentiation [12,68]. The factor NFAT plays a role in regulation of MRFs expression in satellite cells [69].

The factor MRF4 behaves differently. During muscle cell regeneration, MRF4 plays a role in the maturation of regenerated myofiber [58,68]. After trauma there is an up-regulation of MRF4 after initiating a terminal differentiation program. In *Trichinella* infection, no expression change of MRF4 was observed during the nurse cell formation [6]. This difference may reflect the fact that the satellite cell cannot be "matured" as a new muscle cell, but instead de-differentiates to the nurse cell.

*Roles of insulin-like growth factor (IGF) in satellite cell activation and differentiation*

The IGF I signaling pathway in muscle biology has been an interesting issue as a result of the fact that IGF I induces both proliferation and differentiation via the type I receptor [70]. As a key factor, IGF-I involves proliferation and differentiation of satellite cells during muscle regeneration [71-73]. There is over-expression of IGFs, for example, IGF I, IGF I receptor, IGF binding protein 2 (IGFBP2), Pax7 and desmin are specifically expressed in quiescent and activated muscle satellite cells and have been used as a molecular marker of muscle satellite cell [60,61]. The over-expression of Pax7 and desmin indicate that the satellite cells in infected muscle were activated and in proliferating.

M-cadherin, a marker of satellite cells and expressed at the cell surface of proliferating satellite cells, is highly expressed during prenatal development in myogenic cells of somatic origin, in myoblasts forming small muscle bundles in developing limb bud, in myoblasts, and in regenerating skeletal muscle [62,63]. An over-expression of M-cadherin was observed in *T. pseudospiralis*, but not in *T. spiralis*, thus suggesting the differential expression may play a role in the pathology induced by *T. pseudospiralis* by regulating the satellite cells of infected muscle cells.
IGFBP4 and IGFBP5 [9,11], in *Trichinella* infected muscle tissue, which suggests that these factors likely play an important role in nurse cell formation.

The binding of IGF-I to the IGF-I receptor induces phosphorylation of the receptor, which then mainly function at 3 different levels.

First, IGF-I has been shown to activate myoblast proliferation through the mitogen activated protein kinase (MAP kinase) signaling pathway, which activates cell cycle progression markers, such as cyclin D, cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (CDK4), c-fos, c-jun [74-76]. It was found that in *Trichinella* infected muscle, expression of IGF-I, IGF-I receptor, IGFBPs, MAP kinase kinase, cyclin D2, cyclin D3, CDK4 and c-jun were up-regulated [9,11], suggesting that IGF-I likely plays role in the proliferation of satellite cells and cell cycle reentry of infected muscle cell during nurse cell formation through MAP kinase signaling (Fig 3).

Secondly, IGF induces differentiation of myoblast via the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3-K) pathway, which activates Akt and subsequently modulates expression of terminal muscle differentiation markers, such as p21, MyoD, myogenin and MEF2 [74,75,77]. In *Trichinella* infection, expression of Akt, MyoD, myogenin and p21 was greatly increased during 13–28 dpi [6,24-26]. Immunostaining indicated that increased expression of Akt is limited in the eosinophilic cytoplasm which originates from satellite cells [24], and MyoD is limited in the satellite cells of infected muscle cells [6]. The cDNA Microarray analysis showed that the expression of MEF2 was up-regulated in the *T. spiralis* infected muscle tissues [9,11]. Therefore, through the PI3-K-Akt signaling pathway, IGF-I is likely to play a role in the differentiation of activated satellite cell after *Trichinella* infection (Fig 3).

Thirdly, through the PI3-K-Akt signaling pathway, IGF-I also has an effect on cell survival by inhibiting proapoptotic proteins of Bcl-2 family (Bax and Bad), and by inducing anti-apoptotic proteins of Bcl-2 family (Bcl-X) [78,79]. In *Trichinella* infection, there was an increased expression of Bax protein in the basophilic cytoplasm of infected muscle cell at an early stage of infection (18 dpi), but the expression decreased to an undetectable level at a late

### Table 2: Expression change of the genes related to muscle development, myogenesis and regeneration after *Trichinella* infection

| Gene Name Description | Expression change |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| MyoD skeletal muscle development and differentiation &↑ &↑ |
| myogenin skeletal muscle development and differentiation &↑ &↑ |
| galectin 3 skeletal muscle development &↑ |
| Casitas B-lineage lymphoma (CBL) suppressing transformation; muscle degeneration &↑ |
| manic fringe homolog (Drosophila) (Mfng) promoting differentiation by repression of Notch signaling &↑ |
| eyes absent 2 homolog (Drosophila) (Eya2) muscle development; myogenesis &↑ |
| ski proto-oncogene; (c-ski) cell differentiation and transformation &↑ |
| insulin-like growth factor binding protein 4 (Igfbp4) skeletal muscle development &↑ |
| galectin 1 myoblast differentiation and fusion; myotube growth &↑ |
| dickkopf homolog 4 (Dkk4) limb development &↑ |
| bone morphogenetic protein 4 (Bmp4) skeletal development; angiogenesis &↑ |
| T-box 15 (Tbx15) limb development of limb &↑ |
| pre B-cell leukemia transcription factor 1 (Ptx1) embryonic development and differentiation; &↑ |
| numb gene homolog (Drosophila) (Numb) cell proliferation and differentiation in muscle development &↑ |
| paired box gene 7 (Pax7) development; organogenesis; cell differentiation &↑ |
| myocyte enhancer factor 2C (MEF2C) regulation of transcription; myogenic differentiation &↑ |
| nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT) transcriptional activator activity; cytokine production &↑ |
| deltex 1 homolog (Drosophila) (Dtx1) myogenesis, muscle development and proliferation &↑ |
| desmin cytoskeleton organization; muscle contraction &↑ |
| homeobox, mast-like 1 (Mx1) organ morphogenesis; skeletal development &↑ |
| myeloid leukemia factor 1 (MLF1) cell differentiation; development; hemopoiesis &↓ &↓ |
| chordin-like 2 (Chrdl2) skeletal development &↑ NC |
| paired box gene 3 (Pax3) cell migration and proliferation; muscle development &↑ NC |
| Transforming growth factor 2 controls proliferation, differentiation and transformation &↑ |
| smad 2 Transducer of TGF signal pathway, cell proliferation and differentiation &↑ NR |
| smad 4 Transducer of TGF signal pathway, cell proliferation and differentiation &↑ NR |

a: Ts: *Trichinella spiralis*; Tp: *T. pseudospiralis*
b: NC: no change

c: UR: no result
The phenomenon of cell cycle arrest may be unique to the nurse cell formation because no comparative phenomena were reported in muscle genesis or muscle repair processes, so far as the present authors are aware.

Factors for cell cycle reentry and arrest
Following invasion of new born larvae, the infected muscle cell withdraws from the G0 cell cycle and re-enters the cell cycle [7]. The enlarged nuclei possess an approximate 4N complement of DNA. The increased DNA synthesis is completed by 5 dpi, and then is suspended throughout the course of infection, which indicated that cell cycle is arrested at G2/M. The molecular mechanism of cell cycle arrest during nurse cell formation. The expression changes of several other cell cycle-related genes were also observed in *Trichinella* infection, for example, CLU and G0S2. The expression of CLU was up-regulated, while the expression of G0S2 was down-regulated [11]. It is known that, both genes play roles in regulating the cell cycle. An over-expression of CLU resulted in an increased accumulation of cells at the G0/G1 phases of the cell cycles, accompanied by slow down of cell cycle progression and a reduction of DNA synthesis [92]. High level of CLU causes cell cycle arrest [93,94]. G0S2 is transiently induced upon re-entry of cells into the G1 phase of the cell cycle [95,96]. Therefore, UCL and G0S2 may be involved in the arrest of infected muscle cells.

As shown in Table 3, expression change of many cell cycle-related factors was observed in *Trichinella* infected muscle tissue, for example, retinoblastoma (Rb), CDK4, cyclin C, cyclin B2, cyclin D2 and cyclin D3, CLU, GO/G1 switch gene 2 (G0S2), inhibitor of DNA binding 2 (Id2), myeloblastosis oncogene (Myb), and N-myc downstream regulated gene 2 (NdrG2) [9,11]. These factors have already been elaborated by other authors. For example, different cyclins bind specifically to different CDKs to form distinct complexes at specific phases of the cell cycle and thereby drive the cell from one stage of the cycle to another [80,81]. Upon stimulation, D-type cyclins assemble CDK4 and CDK6 to form complexes, which facilitate cells to exit from the G0 phase and re-enter the cell cycle of G1 cell cycle phase [82-84]. Therefore, increased expression of cyclin D2, cyclin D3 and CDK4 is probably involved in the cell cycle reentry after infection.

On the other hand, up-regulated expression of retinoblastoma (Rb), p21, p27 (cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1B) and p57 (cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1C) may be responsible for the cell cycle arrest of infected muscle cell [9,26]. These kinds of factors are known to play an important role in the growth arrest of differentiating cells, because they specifically inhibit CDKs, which leads to the withdrawal of cells from the cycle and differentiation [85-87].
2. Involvement of TGF-β signaling pathway in cell cycle arrest

One of the important signaling pathways involved in cell cycle arrest is the TGF-β (transforming growth factor) signaling pathway. TGF-β is a ubiquitous cytokine that regulates cell differentiation, proliferation, apoptosis and morphogenesis [97]. Through a series of Smad proteins (Smad 2, Smad 3 and Smad 4), the TGF-β signaling pathway causes cells to cease proliferation and to down-regulate the genes which promote cell cycle progression through the S phase, leading to the arrest of the cell cycle (Fig 4).

Recent studies indicated that the expression of the TGF-β signaling pathway factor genes (TGF-β, Smad2 and Smad4) and c-ski, the repressor of the signal pathway, were up-regulated in Trichinella infected muscle cells [9-11]. The analysis of expression kinetics showed that the expression of these genes increased at 13 dpi, reached a peak at 23 dpi and then decreased, which is corresponding to the process of nurse cell development. Immunohistochemical analysis indicated that in the early stages of infection, the increased expression of the c-Ski protein was limited to the eosinophilic cytoplasm, while at a later stage of infection the c-Ski protein was limited to the enlarged nuclei in the basophilic cytoplasm, rather than the eosinophilic cytoplasm [10]. These findings provide evidence that the TGF-β signaling pathway is involved in the cell cycle arrest and transformation of infected muscle cells.

De-differentiation of infected muscle cell and origin of hypertrophy nuclei

The invasion of new born larvae induces the de-differentiation of infected muscle cell, with features of loss of muscle cell characteristics, change in muscle gene expression and up-regulated expression of cell differentiation related genes (such as MyoD, myogenin, MEF2, Pbx1, Numb, Pax7, Msx and NFAT) in infected muscle tissues [7,9,98-100]. Upon stimulation of larva invasion, infected muscle cells withdraw from the G0 cell cycle and re-enter the cell cycle.

It is commonly thought that newly regenerated fibers are produced by the fusion of activated satellite cells during muscle regeneration. Studies, however, indicated that terminally differentiated myotubes can de-differentiate and Mxs genes can be one of the factors to contribute to this process [101-105]. The early event in the de-differentiation of the infected muscle cell may follow the mechanism of de-differentiation in muscle cell regeneration, which was characterized by similar phenomena, for example, the losing of myofibrillar structure, enlarged nuclei and cell cycle re-entry [7,28,98,106-108]. The up-regulated expression of Mxs1 and Mxs2 in infected muscle tissue supports the proposal that de-differentiation of infected muscle provides hypertrophic nuclei [9,11].

cDNA microarray analysis showed that some other genes may be involved in the de-differentiation of infected mus-
In skeletal muscle determination, differentiation and regeneration, committed myogenic cells and play a role in expression myogenic markers, increases the terminal differentiation of non-committed myogenic cell within the dermis to cle cells, for example, galectin 1 and galectin 3, Nanog [11]. The expression of galectin 1 and galectin 3 were up-regulated in Trichinella infection. Both genes induce a non-committed myogenic cell within the dermis to expression myogenic markers, increases the terminal differentiation of committed myogenic cells and play a role in skeletal muscle determination, differentiation and regeneration [109-111], suggesting their potential involvement in the de-differentiation of infected muscle cells.

There are as many as 100 hypertrophic nuclei that are located in the central part of basophilic cytoplasm. The origin of the hypertrophic nuclei was suggested to be from myonuclei, not from satellite cells [7,8]. Recent findings, however, have demonstrated the presence of multipotent stem cells in various adult tissues. Adult stem cells isolated from various tissues appear to differentiate into multiple lineages depending on environmental cues [112-116]. Adult muscle-derived stem cells have been shown to differentiate into muscle cell in vitro and to contribute to muscle regeneration in vivo [105,109,117]. These reports suggest that the muscle derived-stem cells should be further examined as an additional source of the hypertrophic nuclei in infected muscle cell.

As a response of muscle cells to the damage by Trichinella larva, de-differentiation occurs. However, the process of muscle cell de-differentiation is not followed by the same process as in muscle cell regeneration after trauma, but results in creating the environment for larva to develop, grow and survive. Trichinella larvae grow within the muscle cell at astonishing speed, increasing its volume by about 40% per day [23]. Therefore, this kind development and growth require high consumption of nutrients. The metabolism of protein, glucose and fat in the nurse cell is about 40% per day [23]. Therefore, this kind development and growth require high consumption of nutrients. The metabolism of protein, glucose and fat in the nurse cell is increased during nurse cell formation [118]. Larvae utilize the de-differentiation of muscle cell to create a suitable environment to nurse it.

**Collagen capsule**
A capsule wall is a prominent non-cellular structure and, as such, one may think it is unique only to Trichinella infection, and not shared by the normal muscle cell. An ultrastructural study, however, showed the capsule wall as a sort of simple thickening of the basal lamina that normal muscle cells have. In normal muscles, cellular components, muscle cells and their associated myoblasts (satellite cell) are wrapped together with a single non-cellular sheet, the basal lamina.

The capsule wall has two layers; the inner and outer. The latter is produced by the nurse cell and the former is produced by fibroblasts around the capsule. The spatial relationship among the non-cellular structure and cellular components remains the same before and after capsule formation.

**Parasites utilize cell-biological-systems of hosts to establish parasitism**
In this review, the analogy between the processes of nurse cell formation and muscle cell repair has been empha-
sized. At least the earliest events mobilizing satellite cells seem to be common, but the fate of the proliferated myoblast cell is different. In the former case, the satellite cell differentiates to the muscle cell, but it mis-differentiates to the nurse cell in the latter case. The idea that comes to mind is that Trichinella, in order to make its own home, basically utilizes the cell-biological-system of the host which is equipped for the purpose of muscle cell repairing. Since the satellite cell is a progenitor cell located within the capsule wall, a new cell can be continuously supplied from the myoblast, even if the present nurse cell dies. This explains why the nurse cell looks intact and active for years in spite of intracellular parasitism. Thus Trichinella can take advantage of the host for its own survival.

How the parasite takes advantage of the host cell biological system to build its home is an interesting issue for parasitologists. Despommier [3] proposed "parakines" as messengers to carry out the communication between parasite and host cells by molecular cross-talking in order to provide life-long coexistence. It was hypothesized that the parakines direct specific cellular behavior by effecting signaling pathways, as cytokines are doing in mammalian host cell.

Thus far, many efforts have been made to identify and characterize the parakines, some of which have provided indirect evidence in support of the hypothesis. Early studies indicated that Trichinella antigenic epitopes were detected in the hypertrophy nuclei of infected muscle cell [119,120]. Some nuclear antigens (for example, 79, 86 and 97 kDa proteins) which react with monoclonal antibody to Trichinella excretory-secretory (ES) products have been identified and characterized, and their potential effects in regulating nuclear function of the host cell have been studied [121-123]. Vassilatis et al. [100] cloned a specific 43 kDa glycoprotein of muscle larva ES which belongs to the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) DNA-binding protein family. The bHLH family includes myogenic regulatory factors, suggesting that the 43 kDa ES protein may play a role in the differentiation of host cells. Mak and Ko [124] found a novel DNA-binding protein from ES products, which may function in host genomic reprogramming. Nagano et al. [125-127] cloned and characterized several ES proteins, including serine proteinase, serine proteinase inhibitor and Rcd1 (Required cell differentiation 1) – like protein which may involve in host muscle cell differentiation. Tan et al. [128] and Wu et al. [129] reported that Trichinella produces macrophage migration inhibitor (MIF), a cytokine which may protect the parasite from host immune attack.

Though many proteins of Trichinella ES products have been cloned and characterized, their precise effects on each step of nurse cell formation (activation, proliferation and re-differentiation of satellite cell, de-differentiation of infected muscle cell) is still unclear. Some of the ES proteins of Trichinella are stage specific. Most of the investigated proteins are those produced by late stage of larvae (for example, over 30 days). More attention should be paid to ES products from other Trichinella stages. Jasmer and Neary [8] reported that full stichocyte development is not required for host cell cycle re-entry, suggesting that the products of parasitism genes responding to reprogramming host genetic transcription are produced at a very early stage of infection. The proteins shed by the parasite at an early stage of infection seem to be more relevant for determining the mechanism of nurse cell formation.

Conclusion
The process of nurse cell formation is complex. Many aspects of it are still unknown. The response of infected muscle cell at early stage is quite similar to that occurring in myogenesis and muscle regeneration, including the activation, proliferation and differentiation of satellite cell, and cell cycle re-entry. Many genes that play important roles in muscle myogenesis and regeneration are up-regulated and have been proposed as candidate ones involved in nurse cell formation. Some of these genes have been confirmed to be responding to the process of nurse cell development. At the late stage of nurse cell formation, development of infected muscle goes along with the demands of the larva: arrest of cell cycle, the change of basophilic and eosinophilic cytoplasm, involvement of apoptosis and anti-apoptosis and finally transforming into nurse cell. It could be proposed that the process at the beginning is a response of host cells to larval invasion, while the process at a later stage it is reforming or restructuring of host cell processes by larva. Therefore, the present review gives an outline of nurse cell formation, especially on the molecular mechanisms involved.
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