A Bibliometric Analysis on Nonpoint Source Pollution: Current Status, Development, and Future
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Abstract: Nonpoint source pollution (NPS) has become the leading factor of global water quality problems, attracting great attention from governments and researchers in various countries. Based on this situation, understanding the current research status of NPS can help guide future research. However, most of the current reviews only describe the research status of some specific aspects but fail to quantify the research hotspots and development trends on the whole, which limits the overall understanding of NPS. In this paper, bibliometrics was used to study the current status, hotspots, and frontiers of NPS research during 1991–2015, and the future research development was predicted. Over the past 15 years, there has been a remarkable growth trend in publication output, and the participation of countries/territories has also increased. Journal of Environmental Quality, Journal of Hydrology, and Total Environmental Science were the top three journals. Sharpley AN and Arnold JG from the USA were the most productive authors with the best quality articles. The major author clusters and research regions are located in North America and Europe, followed by East Asia. The United States dominates this research field, with the largest number of independent and collaborative articles. Chinese authors gained more attention through international cooperation. Keyword analysis confirmed that water quality and nutrients were the main concerns of NPS pollution research, which mainly involved a number of research topics, such as pollutant emission reduction research and the evaluation and simulation of pollutants’ migration and their transformation under different situations, while pesticides were less of a concern, which suggests that the abuse of pesticides has come under control. Meanwhile, SWAT was the dominating model in the last decade partly because it satisfied the growing needs of watershed-scale management.
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1. Introduction

Water, essential for human existence and development, is a non-renewable resource [1]. The deterioration of water quality is not only one of the most serious environmental problems in the world but also one of the major social and economic issues [2]. As we well know, after the point sources have been effectively controlled, nonpoint source pollution (NPS) mainly caused by agricultural activities (such as livestock and poultry breeding, field irrigation, excessive use of pesticides and fertilizers, etc.) becomes the single most important source threatening the utilization of water resources [3–5]. NPS pollutants may remain active in the environment for a relatively long period and could cause chronic effects on aquatic ecosystems as well as human health [3,6]. International research on
agricultural NPS began with statistics and analysis of observational data and proposed corresponding policies and agricultural management measures.

With the development of hydrological models and crop growth models, researchers used these models to simulate the agricultural nonpoint source process, discussed the pollution load under different management methods, found the key source areas of NPS, and discussed related control measures [7,8]. Therefore, NPS research is of great importance to prevent and reduce NPS risk and to improve the capacities of controlling pollution. Despite tremendous explorations and practice, governments and scholars still have not found a suitable solution to address the NPS issue worldwide. To solve this problem, scholars began to adopt interdisciplinary research methods, especially the introduction of GIS spatial analysis technology. Its powerful spatial analysis, spatial positioning, and other functions are conducive to the exploration of the spatial and temporal differences of agricultural NPS risk and to carrying out the prevention and control of agricultural NPS [9]. Therefore, it is particularly important to refine and analyze the existing literature related to NPS, including summarizing the main research content of the literature and research difficulties and predicting future research directions.

Bibliometrics theory believes that the amount of scientific literature and scientific knowledge usually has a synchronous growth trend, and the growth law also has similarities. The changes in the number and structure of scientific and technological literature and the laws of mutual citation reflect the development characteristics of the corresponding scientific and technological fields [10]. Bibliometrics is an effective tool that applies mathematics and statistics to literature and other communication media [11,12]. Scientific literature objectively records the development of each discipline or knowledge field. An analysis of the development trend of disciplines based on bibliometrics enables one to systematically summarize the research status and hot issues in this field and evaluate the scientific research capabilities and output of research institutions. This is of great significance to grasp the discipline development trend, track the latest progress, select innovative topics, and improve the efficiency of scientific research [13–15]. At present, relying on large-scale analytical databases and bibliometric analysis methods, scholars have carried out bibliometric research in many fields and achieved certain scientific achievements [11,14–18]. However, only a few comprehensive bibliometric studies have been performed so far on the global NPS research literature. Zhang et al. [19] mainly studied and analyzed international nonpoint source trends in the number of publication outputs, subject categories and major journals, geographic and institutional distribution of publications, and keyword analysis during the period 1975–2010. They choose “Non point source*” or “Nonpoint source*” (including “non point source”, “nonpoint source”, “non-point source”, “non-point sources”, “nonpoint source”, “nonpoint sources”, and “nonpoint-source”, etc.) as a search term to search for all publications that contained these words in the title, abstract, and keywords. However, they might have omitted some articles such as those using “diffuse pollut*” as a noun phrase which can represent nonpoint source pollution.

In this study, CiteSpace III and HistCite12.3 software were used to analyze the current research status, research hotspots, and research frontiers of NPS from multiple perspectives, so as to provide references and suggestions for future NPS research.

2. Materials and Methods

We built our bibliometric database using publications on NPS from the Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE) and Social Sciences Citation Index (SSCI), which are regarded as the most frequently used and comprehensive literature databases for bibliometric studies [20]. An accurate search query is the first and most important step in the retrieval process. Liu [21] considered that omission or misuse of non-alphabetic characters in a search filter may lead to inaccurate results and wrong conclusions. Therefore, we employed nearly all phrases representing NPS (“non point source*”, “nonpoint source*”, “nonpoint pollut*”, “non point pollut*”, “diffuse pollut*”, “diffuse source*”, “surface source *pollut*”, etc.) as search terms to obtain all articles that contain these words in titles, abstracts, or keywords.
from 1991 to 2015. The data was retrieved on 13 April 2016. The downloaded content includes “full records and cited references”, and the data format was “plain text” format, which was then imported into CiteSpace III and HistCite 12.3 software for analysis [22]. Then, we subsequently combined all the records and deleted duplicated records.

Collaboration type was determined by the address of each author, where “single-country” was assigned for papers with authors from only one country or institute, and “Internationally collaborated” were assigned for papers with authors from more than one country [23].

Pathon, Google Earth, and ArcGIS software were used to analyze the geographical distribution of the authors. First, authors’ affiliations were extracted through CiteSpace III, and the number of articles in each country/region were calculated. Second, the authors’ location coordinates were obtained by using Python-based Geoprocessing Library (GeCopy). Third, their reverse geocoding was performed using the Google Maps API. Finally, ArcGIS software was used to draw the global geographic distribution zoning based on the author’s location.

The total citation score (TC) on Web of Science includes all the cited records in WoS database. However, not all citations are related to the target study. Some articles are cited in non-target research; we call these non-target citations. Total local citation score (TLCS) is the number of times a paper is cited by other papers in the local collection. Total global citation score (TGCS) is the number of times a paper is included in the collection cited in WoS [24]. In this study, local citation score (LCS) refers to the index that one paper was cited in the NPS database. LCS removed the non-NPS research citations, which we did not need, and only showed the cited papers within the “NPS Database”. In this study, TGCS and TLCS were acquired by using HistCite12.3 software. Additionally, we gathered the 5-year impact factors of journals and subject categories in our bibliographic database from the 2015 ISI Journal Citation Report (JCR).

If the frequency of a term has significantly increased in a short time, we can infer that this term represents a developing trend. How to detect the mutation of the term in a short time is an important task for us to carry out for the trend analysis in the field of science. The burst detection algorithm can be used for the detection of the sudden increase of scientific interest, whereby theme mutations are analyzed quantitatively and qualitatively. The burst detection algorithm is proposed by Kleinberg in 2003 [25]. To obtain a more intuitive representation of the evolution of NPS research, we use CiteSpace III software first to extract noun phrases from the downloaded data records, identifying bursts of emerging terms.

All publications were analyzed as follows: (a) Document types and publishing languages; (b) Research output indicators; (c) Subject categories and major journals; (d) Pro-ductive countries and internationally collaborated; (e) Geographic distribution dynamics of institute publication activity; (f) Analysis of keywords and hot issues.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Document Types and Publishing Languages

We analyzed the distribution of document types recognized by WoS and found 16 document types in 6337 NPS-related publications. The largest number of articles was 6009, accounting for 94.80% of the total number of published articles. Proceeding papers (774; 12.2%) and reviews (208; 3.3%) also occurred in a significant portion of the publications accessed. Other less significant document types included meeting abstracts (45), editorial material (36), news items (17), book chapter (12), corrections (6), notes (5), discussions (4), correction addition (2), reprints (2), letters (1), book review (1), database review (1), and bibliography (1).

As journal articles often have absolute predominance in all document types, articles are usually chosen for analysis in bibliometric research using the Web of Science database [26]. In this study, journal articles were the central issue, and all other types of publications were discarded for further analysis. As for the publishing language, 5936 journal articles
were written in English, followed by French (26), German (20), Portuguese (15), Spanish (4), Polish (4), Lithuanian (1), Croatian (1), Danish (1), and Chinese (1), which were minor publication languages in NPS Pollution research. In conclusion, English is the leading scientific language, and most ISI-indexed journals were published in English.

3.2. Research Output Indicators

The publications devoted to NPS during 1991–2015 are shown in Table 1. It was observed that the annual number of articles, authors per paper, cited references, and average citations per article increased considerably. The number of total articles (TA) increased nearly sevenfold from 66 in 1991 to 429 in 2015. The average number of references (NR/TA) increased from 19.3 in 1991 to 48.8 in 2015, suggesting that the knowledge base of NPS research is constantly expanding. Another indicator of substantial development in this field was the collaboration index, which was defined as the average number of signatories on a single paper [27]. In this study, the average authors per article (NA/TA) grew from 2.4 in 1991 to 4.0 in 2015, indicating that studying NPS is a more collaborative field of research. In addition, the average number of citations per article (TC/TA) during the study period reached 23.6, indicating that this field has received high attention. Overall, these indicators of research output have shown steady growth, and collaboration in the field of NPS research has also been strengthened.

Table 1. Scientific outputs on NPS from 1991 to 2015.

| Year | TA  | NA  | NA/TA | NR   | NR/TA | TC   | TC/TA |
|------|-----|-----|-------|------|-------|------|-------|
| 1991 | 66  | 156 | 2.4   | 1276 | 19.3  | 1112 | 16.8  |
| 1992 | 66  | 165 | 2.5   | 1451 | 22.0  | 1485 | 22.5  |
| 1993 | 135 | 325 | 2.4   | 2885 | 21.4  | 3619 | 26.8  |
| 1994 | 106 | 268 | 2.5   | 3157 | 29.8  | 3827 | 36.1  |
| 1995 | 112 | 256 | 2.3   | 2625 | 23.4  | 2745 | 24.5  |
| 1996 | 141 | 388 | 2.8   | 3947 | 28.0  | 4775 | 33.9  |
| 1997 | 128 | 418 | 3.3   | 4258 | 33.3  | 5604 | 43.8  |
| 1998 | 175 | 520 | 3.0   | 5427 | 31.0  | 8910 | 50.9  |
| 1999 | 173 | 465 | 2.7   | 4736 | 27.4  | 5006 | 28.9  |
| 2000 | 149 | 509 | 3.4   | 4793 | 32.2  | 5493 | 36.9  |
| 2001 | 203 | 599 | 3.0   | 6460 | 31.8  | 6927 | 34.1  |
| 2002 | 227 | 699 | 3.1   | 7573 | 33.4  | 7171 | 31.6  |
| 2003 | 251 | 915 | 3.6   | 8140 | 32.4  | 7894 | 31.5  |
| 2004 | 237 | 746 | 3.1   | 7699 | 32.5  | 5786 | 24.4  |
| 2005 | 300 | 1013| 3.4   | 10,188| 34.0  | 7734 | 25.8  |
| 2006 | 288 | 1055| 3.7   | 10,198| 35.4  | 6696 | 23.3  |
| 2007 | 306 | 1067| 3.5   | 11,277| 36.9  | 6573 | 21.5  |
| 2008 | 324 | 1115| 3.4   | 11,505| 35.5  | 5706 | 17.6  |
| 2009 | 316 | 1137| 3.6   | 12,763| 40.4  | 5312 | 16.8  |
| 2010 | 361 | 1325| 3.7   | 15,470| 42.9  | 5297 | 14.7  |
| 2011 | 368 | 1431| 3.9   | 15,986| 43.4  | 4075 | 11.1  |
| 2012 | 369 | 1356| 3.7   | 15,811| 42.8  | 3012 | 8.2   |
| 2013 | 365 | 1406| 3.9   | 16,911| 46.3  | 1807 | 5.0   |
| 2014 | 414 | 1734| 4.2   | 19,249| 46.5  | 1278 | 3.1   |
| 2015 | 429 | 1728| 4.0   | 20,926| 48.8  | 330  | 0.8   |
| Average | 240.40 | 831.84 | 3.235 | 8988.4 | 34.03 | 4727 | 23.61 |
| Total | 6009 | 20,796 | -     | 224,711| -     | 118,174 | -     |

TA: total articles; NA: number of authors; NR: the number of references; TC: total citations received for published articles; NA/TA: average authors per article; NR/TA: average references per article; TC/TA: average citations per article.

3.3. Web of Science Categories and Major Journals

NPS-related research covered 138 Web of Science subject categories which were published in the Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE) and Social Sciences Citation Index (SSCI). The number of subject categories increased from 22 in 1991 to 42 in 2000, and to 57 in
2015, reaching a peak of 61 in 2014. The six most productive categories of NPS research are shown in Figure 1. Environmental sciences (3081; 51.3%) and water resources (2237; 37.2%) were far ahead of the other subject categories. The other four subject categories were engineering environmental (1359; 22.6%), geosciences multidisciplinary (883; 14.7%), ecology (490; 8.2%), and engineering civil (467; 7.8%). During the study period, NPS research has increased in the categories of geosciences multidisciplinary, especially environmental sciences and water resources, while it has fluctuated slightly in engineering environmental, ecology, and engineering civil.

Figure 1. The growth trends of the top 6 Web of Science subject categories.

The number of papers published in the field of environmental science and water resources has always taken the leading position and maintained a strong momentum of development, which also confirmed that nonpoint source pollution is actually a problem of water environment pollution.

A total of 6009 articles have been published in a wide range of 778 journals in 138 subject categories inWoS. This manifested the breadth of publication distribution as well as the extensive interest in NPS research from various perspectives. In terms of the most relevant journals researching in the NPS field, Table 2 showed the top 10 most productive journals with the total number of articles, total local citation score (TLCS), total global citation score (TGCS), average citation frequency of an article in the local collection, 5-year impact factors (IF), and h-indexes of individual journals. The results showed that a total of 1856 NPS research papers were published in 10 core journals, accounting for 31% of the total published articles, which means these journals are most interested in research related to NPS, and researchers of this field also believe that these journals are the most effective channels for communicating research results in the field of NPS. In terms of the number of articles covered, the Journal of Water Science and Technology ranked first, followed by the Journal of the American Water Resources Association, and Science of the Total Environment. Journal of Environmental Quality, Journal of Hydrology, and Science of the Total Environment were on the front-ranking in ALCS, GCS, and IF-5 which indicated the highest strengths, showing their superiority and great academic influence in NPS research. In addition, Environmental Science and Technology ranked first in IF-5 and H-index but ranked 8th in ALCS, suggesting that the journal’s academic influence is very high but not widely in NPS.
Table 2. Top 10 most productive journals (1991–2015).

| Journal                                                   | TA    | TLCS   | TGCS   | ALCS(R) | IF-5   | H_i   |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|--------|-------|
| Water Science and Technology                             | 435   | 891    | 4092   | 4.05(9) | 1.20   | 30    |
| Journal of the American Water Resources Association       | 318   | 1333   | 7339   | 4.19(5) | 2.23   | 37    |
| Science of the Total Environment                          | 197   | 817    | 5371   | 4.15(6) | 4.32   | 39    |
| Journal of Environmental Quality                         | 175   | 1060   | 7704   | 6.06(1) | 2.92   | 44    |
| Journal of Hydrology                                      | 148   | 792    | 4321   | 5.35(2) | 3.88   | 40    |
| Environmental Monitoring and Assessment                   | 143   | 164    | 1340   | 11.5(10)| 1.92   | 18    |
| Environmental Science and Technology                      | 126   | 367    | 5994   | 2.91(8) | 6.40   | 45    |
| Journal of Soil and Water Conservation                    | 121   | 571    | 2425   | 4.72(3) | 2.35   | 26    |
| Water Research                                            | 97    | 449    | 3474   | 4.63(4) | 6.77   | 35    |
| Journal of Environmental Management                       | 96    | 392    | 2136   | 4.08(7) | 4.05   | 28    |

TA: total articles; TLCS: total local citation score; TGCS: total global citation score; ALCS(R): rank of average citation frequency of an article in the local collection; IF-5: average impact factor of the past five years; H_i: high citations index.

3.4. Author Performance

We conducted an author productivity analysis, and the 20 most productive authors are listed in Table 3. These authors appeared to be research pioneers in fields related to NPS. Srinivasan R from the United States Department of Agricultural Research Service (USDA ARS) contributed the most articles (37), followed by Shen ZY from Beijing Normal University (34), Arnold JG from USDA ARS (31), and Sharpley AN from University Arkansas (30). The number of articles they published as the first author or the corresponding author (FCA) was also counted. The FCA of Shen ZY ranked first (26), and Kim LH from Korea University ranked second, indicating that they are both prolific writers.

Table 3. The top 20 productive authors in NPS.

| Author/Institute                     | TA    | FCA(R) | TC(R)  | CPP(R) | TC_FCA(R) | CPP_FCA(R) | Hi     | Hm(R) | In 5-Year Window |
|--------------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|------------|--------|-------|-----------------|
|                                       |       |        |        |        |           |            |        |       | TC(R) | CPP(R) | TC_FCA(R) | CPP_FCA(R) |
| Srinivasan R/USDA ARS                | 37    | 4(18)  | 3352(3)| 90.6(3)| 57(11)    | 6.9(18)    | 17     | 17.005(6)| 90.6(3)| 0      | 0        | 0          |
| Shen ZY/Beijing Normal Univ          | 34    | 36(14) | 459(12)| 118.5(2)| 0(1)     | 64.7(14)   | 31     | 30.005(2)| 118.5(2)| 113(7)  | 8.57(4)  | 8.57(4)   |
| Arnold JG/USDA ARS                   | 31    | 3(14)  | 4359(1)| 151.3(1)| 792(2)   | 10.9(10)   | 26     | 26.005(1)| 151.3(1)| 20(10)  | 1.5(9)   | 1.5(9)    |
| Sharples AN/Arkansas Univ            | 30    | 27     | 519(12)| 19.2(14)| 39(13)   | 8.9(15)    | 14     | 14.028(9)| 19.2(14)| 223(1)  | 8.62(3)  | 8.62(3)   |
| Mostaghimi S/Virginia Tech Univ      | 27    | 8(9)   | 3672(2)| 118.5(2)| 0(1)     | 64.7(1)    | 20     | 20.005(2)| 118.5(2)| 113(2)  | 28.3(1)  | 28.3(1)   |
| Huang GH/Regina Univ                 | 26    | 13(4)  | 385(17)| 13.7(18)| 369(5)   | 10.9(10)   | 13     | 13.028(9)| 13.7(18)| 223(1)  | 8.62(3)  | 8.62(3)   |
| Steenhuis TS/Cornell Univ            | 25    | 9(7)   | 756(8) | 30.2(9) | 63(10)   | 10.5(12)   | 17     | 17.005(1)| 30.2(9) | 113(2)  | 28.3(1)  | 28.3(1)   |
| Sharpley AN/Arkansas Univ            | 25    | 22(2)  | 367(16)| 14.7(16)| 343(7)   | 13.7(7)    | 8      | 8.022(19)| 14.7(16)| 29(7)   | 1.3(10)  | 1.3(10)   |
| Kim LH/Kongju National Univ          | 23    | 6(16)  | 1260(4)| 40.9(7) | 94(17)   | 11.3(17)   | 10     | 10.028(17)| 40.9(7) | 59(17)  | 4.3(5)   | 4.3(5)    |
| Jarvie HP/Ctr Ecol and Hydrol Univ   | 22    | 8(9)   | 912(6) | 41.5(16)| 510(3)   | 15.7(6)    | 10     | 10.032(16)| 41.5(16)| 59(17)  | 4.3(5)   | 4.3(5)    |
| Walter MT/Cornell Univ               | 22    | 8(9)   | 655(10)| 28.5(10)| 28(14)   | 9.9(13)    | 13     | 13.0198(11)| 28.5(10)| 59(17)  | 4.3(5)   | 4.3(5)    |
| Chen L/Beijing Normal Univ           | 23    | 8(8)   | 1620(7)| 70(20)  | 22(20)   | 1.0(20)    | 10     | 10.018(20)| 70(20) | 59(17)  | 4.3(5)   | 4.3(5)    |
| Kleinman P/Univ                      | 22    | 7(13)  | 981(5) | 40.9(7) | 94(17)   | 11.3(17)   | 10     | 10.028(17)| 40.9(7) | 59(17)  | 4.3(5)   | 4.3(5)    |
| Heathwaite AL/Lancaster Univ         | 22    | 5(17)  | 668(9) | 33.4(8) | 394(4)   | 19.7(4)    | 13     | 13.0195(12)| 33.4(8) | 59(17)  | 4.3(5)   | 4.3(5)    |

TA: total articles; FCA(R): rank of the first author or the corresponding author; CPP(R): rank of citation per publication; TC_FCA(R): rank of total FCA citations; CPP_FCA(R): rank of citation per FCA publication; Hi: high citations index; Hm(R): a Modified Hi.

In addition to article productivity (NA and FCA), the academic impacts of the authors were also shown with four indices: total citations (TC), citation per publication (CPP), Hi (an impact factor developed by Hirsch in 2005 that incorporates both quantity of publications and quality citations of a researcher’s scientific outputs), and Hm (a modified Hi). Considering that our H-index statistical results are often the same rank, we use Hm to...
optimize results. In fact, once the $H_i$ of scholars in the same discipline is the same, scholars’ academic impacts will be unable to sort. To overcome this defect of the $H_i$, we revised $H_i$. The $H_m$ is represented as shown below:

$$H_m = H_i + H_i/TC$$

Here, $H_i$ is the Hirsch’s index, and TC is the total citations. The greater the difference between $H_m$ and $H_i$, the smaller the influence of the scholars in the field; the smaller the difference, the greater the influence.

Generally, the 20 authors were from three regions: North America, Europe, and Asia. North America and Europe accounted for 10 and 6 of the top 20, respectively. Furthermore, 6 out of the 10 authors in North America and 4 out of the 6 authors in Europe had a very high academic impact index, ranking top 10 in TC, CPP, or $H_m$ (only Walter MT ranked 11 in $H_m$). Besides North America and Europe, China also had three authors on the list. Two of them were ranked top 10 in FCA but not in the top 10 in the academic impact index (TC, CPP, and $H_m$). This showed that North America and Europe have taken the lead in NPS over the past 25 years.

Considering the fact that $TC_{FCA}$ (total FCA citations) has more far-reaching academic effects than TC, we also use $TC_{FCA}$ and $FCA_{CPP}$ (citation per FCA publication) to evaluate the authors’ academic impact. Arnold JG ranked first in $TC_{FCA}$ and $FCA_{CPP}$, and Sharpley AN ranked second in $TC_{FCA}$ and $FCA_{CPP}$, which indicated that Sharpley AN and Arnold JG had more high-quality articles in NPS in the past 25 years. Jarvie HP from the Centre for Ecology and Hydrology had only 22 articles but a high H-index of 18. Considering that older articles are likely to have higher citations, we used 5 years as a fixed analysis window. We find Sharpley AN ranked 3 in TC and 1 in CPP but ranked 10 in $TC_{FCA}$ and 9 in $FCA_{CPP}$. Meanwhile, Shen ZY ranked 10 in TC and 18 in CPP but ranked 1 in $TC_{FCA}$ and 4 in $FCA_{CPP}$. This shows that in the last five years, Sharpley AN’s team still has a high influence; his personal influence, however, significantly decreased, and Shen ZY’s team has gained increasing academic and personal influence.

3.5. Productive Countries and Internationally Collaborated

Table 4 shows the top 20 countries and regions in the world that produced the most articles on NPS from 1991 to 2015. The USA and China are the two most productive countries, with 2832 articles and 666 articles, respectively. However, in single-country publishing, the USA and Britain have 2436 publications and 264 publications, respectively, with the highest citation frequency of 61,158 publications and 6681 publications, respectively. China has 519 single-country publications, but the TC is only 4935. Articles by authors from the USA and UK are more cited than articles by Chinese authors. It can be inferred that the academic level of Chinese authors in research on NPS is not as high as their American and UK counterparts. Chinese researchers should work harder to improve the quality of the literature. The Netherlands and Sweden have the highest citations per paper, which suggests that the articles published by authors from these two countries are of outstanding academic value.

In internationally collaborated research, the USA and China still produce the most articles, and the USA and China have the highest total citations, which confirms that Chinese authors gained higher attention through international cooperation. In terms of the proportion of international collaborative research in the total research, Sweden, the Netherlands, Denmark, and New Zealand (86.61%, 53.33%, 49.33% and 45.65%, respectively) were the most active. Authors from these countries seem to be more interested in international research, possibly because they have a good tradition of international cooperation in scientific research. It is necessary to point out that the Netherlands has both the highest single-country AV-TC and internationally collaborated AV-TC, which means that the authors of this country have a high scientific level.
Table 4. The top 20 countries in the world that publish the most articles on NPS.

| Country       | TA    | SP   | TC     | Av-TC | SP(%) | CP   | TC   | AV-TC | CP(%) |
|---------------|-------|------|--------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|
| USA           | 2832  | 2436 | 61,158 | 25.11 | 86.02 | 396  | 6250 | 15.78 | 13.98 |
| China         | 666   | 519  | 4935   | 9.51  | 77.93 | 147  | 2017 | 13.72 | 22.07 |
| UK            | 495   | 264  | 6681   | 25.31 | 66.84 | 131  | 1715 | 13.09 | 33.16 |
| Canada        | 301   | 184  | 2287   | 12.43 | 61.13 | 117  | 1227 | 10.67 | 39.25 |
| Germany       | 293   | 178  | 2986   | 16.78 | 60.75 | 115  | 1227 | 10.67 | 39.25 |
| France        | 210   | 136  | 2212   | 13.72 | 64.76 | 74   | 995  | 13.45 | 35.24 |
| South Korea   | 198   | 159  | 880    | 5.53  | 80.30 | 39   | 262  | 6.72  | 19.70 |
| Australia     | 175   | 113  | 2210   | 19.56 | 64.57 | 62   | 507  | 8.18  | 35.43 |
| Japan         | 174   | 130  | 1738   | 13.37 | 74.71 | 44   | 707  | 16.07 | 25.29 |
| Italy         | 147   | 98   | 2743   | 27.99 | 66.67 | 49   | 460  | 9.39  | 33.33 |
| Spain         | 143   | 88   | 1822   | 20.70 | 61.54 | 55   | 477  | 8.67  | 38.46 |
| Netherlands   | 135   | 63   | 2413   | 38.30 | 46.67 | 72   | 2020 | 28.06 | 53.33 |
| Sweden        | 112   | 15   | 563    | 37.53 | 13.39 | 97   | 897  | 9.25  | 86.61 |
| Scotland      | 103   | 61   | 757    | 12.41 | 59.22 | 42   | 265  | 6.31  | 40.78 |
| India         | 97    | 78   | 1222   | 15.67 | 80.41 | 19   | 117  | 6.16  | 19.59 |
| New Zealand   | 92    | 50   | 750    | 15.00 | 54.35 | 42   | 564  | 13.43 | 45.65 |
| Greece        | 84    | 62   | 1125   | 18.15 | 73.81 | 22   | 204  | 9.27  | 26.19 |
| Brazil        | 80    | 64   | 561    | 8.77  | 80.00 | 16   | 49   | 3.06  | 20.00 |
| Taiwan        | 78    | 64   | 578    | 9.03  | 82.05 | 14   | 205  | 14.64 | 17.95 |
| Denmark       | 75    | 38   | 270    | 33.42 | 50.67 | 37   | 614  | 16.59 | 49.33 |

TA: total articles; SP: single-country publication; TC: total citations; AV-TC: the average number of citations; SP(%) percentage of single-country publication; CP: internationally collaborated publication; CP(%) percentage of internationally collaborated publication.

3.6. Geographic Distribution Dynamics of Institute Publication Activity

There were 14,886 authors in 6009 articles, and author affiliations were extracted to calculate the number of articles for each country/region. We produced a map (Figure 2) to show how global NPS research output has changed over the past 25 years.

![Figure 2. Global geographical distribution of authors based on institution location during (a) 1991 to 1997; (b) 1998 to 2003; (c) 2004 to 2009; and (d) 2010 to 2015. Color shades represent the number of articles, and the intensity of spots represents geographic distribution of authors. LA: Location of authors.](image)
From 1991 to 1997, the research on NPS was mainly concentrated in North America and Western Europe, and the United States was the only research hotspot. During this period, only 754 articles were published globally and just 45 were published in Asia. From 1998 to 2003, research in the field expanded to most continents in the world. However, in Asia, Oceania, Africa, and South America, there are still few institutions involved, and their publishing activities are generally low. From 2004 to 2009, the geographical expansion of NPS research was at its peak, with more institutions participating in the research. There were three hotspots in Asia: Beijing, Nanjing, and Seoul. Furthermore, the total number of articles increased from 951 in 1998–2003 to 1178 in 2004–2009. From 2010 to 2015, the number of participating institutions, and consequently the total number of articles published, increased rapidly, reaching 2306.

The major spatial clusters of authors were located in North America, Western Europe, and East Asia. There are relatively few authors from Africa, South America, Eastern Europe, West Asia, Central Asia, and Southeast Asia. The USA-based authors were mostly located on the east coast. The clusters of Western European authors were mainly distributed in the UK, Germany, and Italy, whereas the clusters of East Asian authors were mainly positioned in Eastern China and South Korea. The distribution of authors and countries increased significantly in the last 5 years of our study period. These authors also spread from developed to developing countries and from coastal to inland regions. Different from the scattered distribution of European and American research institutions, the geographical distribution of Asian research institutions is more concentrated, with seven participating institutions in Seoul and more than ten in Beijing. The research hotspots in the United States revealed the high activity of the USDA Agricultural Research Service (USDA ARS). Fort Collins, Colorado, was the hot spot for the first ten years of the study period, partly because it is the location of four research institutes of the USDA ARS that studied NPS pollution. Some other research hotspots (Madison, Wisconsin; Temple, Texas; Ames, Iowa; and University Park, Pennsylvania) also have one or two USDA ARS research institutes.

3.7. Analysis of Keywords and Hot Issues

Statistical analysis of author keywords can be used to identify the research direction and interest of researchers and to discover trends and frontiers in scientific research [26,28]. In this study, there are 1157 without any keywords, and there are 11,325 author keywords in the remaining 4852 articles. A total of 8713 (76.9%) author keywords only appeared in one article, 1203 (10.6%) appeared two articles, and 457 (4%) appeared in more than three articles. A majority of less frequently used keywords were associated with a lack of close correlation with NPS and a lack of continuity in the research. The number of keywords used more than 10 times was only 284 (2.5%), and these keywords were used in mainstream NPS-related research, which indicates that the mainstream research on NPS focused on a small area.

Table 5 shows the 30 most frequently used author keywords along with their rankings and percentages, which were calculated and ranked using 6-year intervals (except for the first period, which is a 7-year interval) to minimize year-to-year fluctuations. “nonpoint source pollution” and “Water quality” were the most frequently used keywords in NPS pollution articles during the study period, which shows that nonpoint source pollution has a great impact on water quality [5]. Apart from “nonpoint source pollution” and “Water quality”, “Phosphorus” and “Nitrogen” were the most frequently used words, confirming that agricultural nonpoint sources have become the main cause of global water quality deterioration [29]. As we all know, due to the increase in the use of phosphorus and nitrogen fertilizers and the drastic changes in land use patterns, the phosphorus and nitrogen pollution in receiving water bodies around the world has increased dramatically. [30–33]. Among the top 30 author keywords, “runoff”, “sediments”, “land use”, “Nutrient(s)”, “Watershed/River basin”, “Eutrophication”, and “agriculture” and were ranked 6th, 8th, 12th, 14th, 15th, 16th, and 25th, respectively.
### Table 5. Thirty most frequent keywords in NPS articles.

| Keywords | TP | 91–97 R(%) | 98–03 R(%) | 04–09 R(%) | 10–15 R(%) |
|----------|----|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| nonpoint source pollution | 2111(1) | 1(30.5) | 1(35) | 1(34) | 1(37.6) |
| Water quality | 1157(2) | 2(14.2) | 2(19.6) | 2(20.0) | 2(20.2) |
| Phosphorus | 782(3) | 5(8.0) | 4(11.4) | 3(13.5) | 3(15.1) |
| Nitrogen | 755(4) | 4(8.2) | 3(11.9) | 4(13.0) | 4(14.0) |
| Model | 710(5) | 3(8.4) | 6(9.9) | 5(12.7) | 5(13.22) |
| Runoff↑ | 641(6) | 11(4.4) | 5(10.7) | 7(11.3) | 6(12.9) |
| Pollution | 639(7) | 9(5.4) | 6(9.9) | 6(11.6) | 7(11.9) |
| Sediments | 585(8) | 6(6.4) | 9(8.2) | 8(9.0) | 9(11.3) |
| Management† | 515(9) | 18(3.2) | 16(5.4) | 9(10.2) | 8(11.6) |
| Soils | 490(10) | 10(5.0) | 12(6.7) | 10(8.2) | 11(9.5) |
| Quality | 454(11) | 24(2.5) | 10(7.0) | 11(8.6) | 12(8.8) |
| Land use | 434(12) | 28(1.9) | 22(4.4) | 12(8.5) | 10(9.7) |
| Water | 427(13) | 8(5.6) | 8(8.4) | 13(7.4) | 20(6.7) |
| Nutrient(s) | 418(14) | 12(3.8) | 13(6.2) | 14(7.2) | 14(8.2) |
| Watershed /River basin↑ | 400(15) | 20(2.9) | 18(5.3) | 16(6.3) | 13(8.7) |
| Eutrophication↓ | 364(16) | 15(3.5) | 11(6.9) | 18(6.0) | 21(6.5) |
| River | 347(17) | 21(2.8) | 14(5.9) | 20(5.4) | 17(7.0) |
| Transport | 341(18) | 15(3.5) | 18(5.3) | 15(6.6) | 23(5.9) |
| Catchment | 318(19) | 110(0.5) | 32(2.7) | 19(5.8) | 16(7.7) |
| Nitrate | 314(20) | 13(3.7) | 16(5.4) | 16(6.3) | 27(4.8) |
| Groundwater | 302(21) | 6(6.4) | 15(5.6) | 25(4.1) | 28(4.5) |
| Systems | 298(22) | 8(5.6) | 27(3.2) | 21(5.1) | 18(6.9) |
| Surface waters | 271(23) | 24(2.5) | 24(3.8) | 24(4.9) | 24(5.3) |
| Impact | 263(24) | 43(1.2) | 30(2.8) | 27(3.7) | 19(6.8) |
| Agriculture | 262(25) | 17(3.3) | 20(5.0) | 21(5.1) | 30(3.8) |
| SWAT↑ | 261(26) | 261(0.1) | 180(0.6) | 26(3.8) | 15(8.1) |
| Streams↑ | 255(27) | 50(0.9) | 25(3.7) | 21(5.1) | 25(5.0) |
| Pesticides↓ | 186(28) | 14(3.6) | 21(4.8) | 36(2.5) | 40(2.5) |
| Basins↑ | 179(29) | 110(0.5) | 41(1.8) | 29(3.3) | 27(4.1) |
| watershed management | 168(30) | 28(1.9) | 23(4.3) | 28(3.4) | 56(1.8) |

NA: number of articles; R(%): rank and its percentage in different periods. Arrows indicate an increasing (upward arrow) or decreasing (downward arrow) trend in frequency and rank.

Soil erosion is the main source of nonpoint source pollution, and rainfall and land use change are important factors influencing soil erosion [26,33]. Global climate and land use changes may severely affect soil erosion, leading to nonpoint source pollution, which in turn affects the water quality and safety of rivers and lakes [30].

Model is another hot keyword in NPS-related research. Many models can be used to simulate and evaluate NPS at a small watershed scale under complex agricultural management measures. To understand and evaluate the processes of pollution generation, transport, and transformation, modelling is undoubtedly a study tool which can simplify the complex natural process method. SWAT was the most commonly used model over the last 18 years. The rank of “swat” increased continuously from 180th in 1998–2003 to 26th in 2004–2009 and to 14th in 2010–2015. However, the frequency of pesticides as a keyword decreased from 1991 to 2015. This implies that agricultural abuse of pesticides has been brought under control.

Compared with 1991–1997, the rank of “water”, “groundwater”, “nitrate”, and “pesticide” was greatly improved throughout 1991–1997, which may be attributed to the implementation of the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP). EQIP was established in the “Federal Agriculture Promotion and Reform Act” of 1996. The primary goal of the project is to provide financial and technical support for producers to achieve the dual goals of improving agricultural production and environmental quality [34].

The keywords related to watershed-scale (including “watershed /River basin”, “River”, “catchment”, “Streams”, “Basins”, and “watershed management”) were consistent common keywords in recent years. This phenomenon implies that researchers in NPS pollution...
modeling have focused more on watershed-scale modeling than field-scale modeling in recent years [35].

In general, nonpoint source pollution mainly involves research topics such as the study of pollutant reduction and the assessment and simulation of the migration and transformation of pollutants under different conditions.

3.8. Research Trends

Table 6 shows the top 20 appearing terms in NPS research from 1991 to 2015. These high burst strength terms show high potential to guide future research and have a fundamental influence on future development. Among them, “China” had the highest burst strength (33.6163), followed by “SWAT” (16.708), and the lowest burst term is “galaxy” (5.1373). According to the character, frequency, and duration, the research status is divided into two types: “fading research frontier” and “the new research frontier”. In this paper, the frontier of fading research refers to the research topic reflected by the keywords, that is, the literature shows a trend of decline year by year.

### Table 6. The top 20 keywords with strong citation bursts.

| Keywords                        | Strength  | TA  | 91–97 | 98–03 | 04–09 | 10–15 | Begin | End  |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| China                           | 33.6163   | 141 | 0     | 0     | 0     | 141   | 2012  | 2015 |
| Swat                            | 16.708    | 188 | 0     | 0     | 0     | 188   | 2010  | 2015 |
| groundwater                     | 13.33     | 115 | 46    | 69    | 0     | 0     | 1995  | 1998 |
| herbicide                       | 13.0964   | 45  | 20    | 25    | 0     | 0     | 1991  | 2002 |
| climate change                  | 12.4529   | 80  | 0     | 0     | 0     | 80    | 2012  | 2015 |
| geographic information system   | 11.8818   | 109 | 38    | 71    | 0     | 0     | 1992  | 2000 |
| impact                          | 10.5447   | 156 | 0     | 0     | 0     | 156   | 2012  | 2013 |
| atrazine                        | 10.269    | 56  | 20    | 17    | 6     | 13    | 1991  | 2001 |
| erosion                         | 9.7417    | 60  | 25    | 35    | 0     | 0     | 1992  | 1999 |
| watershed management            | 8.9929    | 111 | 0     | 51    | 60    | 0     | 2001  | 2004 |
| pesticide                       | 8.9333    | 127 | 25    | 57    | 45    | 0     | 1996  | 2004 |
| discriminant analysis           | 8.6377    | 27  | 0     | 14    | 13    | 0     | 2002  | 2007 |
| Midwestern united states        | 7.7492    | 16  | 12    | 4     | 0     | 0     | 1994  | 2001 |
| lake                            | 7.4057    | 59  | 16    | 43    | 0     | 0     | 1997  | 2001 |
| area                            | 6.9597    | 72  | 0     | 0     | 0     | 72    | 2012  | 2015 |
| corn                            | 6.2069    | 16  | 10    | 6     | 0     | 0     | 1991  | 2001 |
| nonpoint source                 | 5.9653    | 49  | 49    | 0     | 0     | 0     | 1993  | 1994 |
| movement                        | 5.8849    | 13  | 9     | 4     | 0     | 0     | 1992  | 1999 |
| AGNP                            | 5.5197    | 32  | 7     | 19    | 6     | 0     | 1997  | 2006 |
| galaxy                          | 5.1373    | 12  | 8     | 4     | 0     | 0     | 1993  | 1998 |

**NA:** number of articles.

The fading research frontier has 15 high-frequency highlighted keywords, such as “Groundwater”, “Herbicide”, “Geographic information system”, “atrazine”, “erosion”, “watershed management”, “pesticide”, “discriminant analysis”, “Midwestern united states”, “lake”, “corn”, “nonpoint source”, “movement”, “AGNP”, and “Galaxy”. Scholars are less concerned with these keywords, which have become fade-type research frontiers to NPS. The latest research frontier refers to those keywords which had a climbing trend in the literature year by year. In this paper, six keywords have shown significantly high burst strengths in the past 5 years, such as “china”, “swat”, “climate change”, “impact”, and “area”. This will be the subject of emerging research in the field. China will be a research hot spot in NPS in the future. The SWAT model not only can simulate NPS load but also can identify NPS key areas and establish different management scenarios to evaluate the effectiveness of different optimal management practices. Therefore, SWAT has become a hot topic in NPS research in recent years.
Combined with the evolution of nouns, this paper summarizes the current situation and hotspots of NPS research in the past 25 years through co-citation analysis (as shown in Figure 3). According to the time order, Figure 3 shows the 31 references with strong citation bursts. From 2009 to 2015, researchers focused on the NPS model, especially the SWAT model [36–39]. GASSMAN PW dissected the strengths and weaknesses of the SWAT model and recommended research needs for SWAT. BORAH DK reviewed the applicability of eleven watershed-scale hydrologic and nonpoint source pollution models. MORIASI DN applied comprehensive guidance to watershed model evaluation in terms of the accuracy of simulated data compared to measured flow and constituent values. SANTHI C evaluated the long-term impact of the implementation of water quality management plans (WQMPs) on NPS at the farm level and the watershed level using a modeling approach. SHEN ZY adopted the first-order error analysis (FOEA) method to analyze the effect of parameter uncertainty on SWAT model outputs under three types of land use, namely plantation, forest, and grassland.

4. Conclusions

A systematic review of NPS pollution research from 1991 to 2015 based on bibliometric analysis revealed the worldwide research performance and the temporal evolutions of hot issues, which can be summarized as follows: (1) Journal of Environmental Quality, Journal of Hydrology, and Total Environmental Science have a huge academic influence in NPS research. (2) The main study area is distributed in North America and Europe with strong scientific research capabilities, followed by East Asia. The United States was the most active and
influential country in NPS, possibly because the USDA ARS played a critical role. (3) In internationally collaborated research, the USA and China produced the most articles, and Chinese authors gained higher attention through international cooperation. (4) Water quality and nutrients were consistently the main concern of NPS pollution, while pesticides were less of a concern, suggesting that the agricultural abuse of pesticides has been brought under control. SWAT was the dominating model in the last decade partly because it satisfied the growing needs of watershed-scale management.

Overall, bibliometrics can identify research trends, hotspots, and frontiers of NPS, which will provide help for future research. The research may shed light on the comprehensive and systematic model of NPS research and help us better understand the progress in this field.
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