Homozygosity has long been associated with rare, often devastating, Mendelian disorders\(^1\), and Darwin was one of the first to recognize that inbreeding reduces evolutionary fitness\(^2\). However, the effect of the more distant parental relatedness that is common in modern human populations is less well understood. Genomic data now allow us to investigate the effects of homozygosity on traits of public health importance by observing contiguous homozygous segments (runs of homozygosity), which are inferred to be homozygous along their complete length. Given the low levels of genome-wide homozygosity prevalent in most human populations, information is required on very large numbers of people to provide sufficient power\(^3\). Here we use runs of homozygosity to study 16 health-related quantitative traits in 354,224 individuals from 102 cohorts, and find statistically significant associations between summed runs of homozygosity and four complex traits: height, forced expiratory volume in one second, general cognitive ability and educational attainment (\(P < 1 \times 10^{-300}, 2.1 \times 10^{-6}, 2.5 \times 10^{-10}\) and \(1.8 \times 10^{-10}\), respectively). In each case, increased homozygosity was associated with decreased trait value, equivalent to the offspring of first cousins being 1.2 cm shorter and having 10 months’ less education. Similar effect sizes were found across four continental groups and populations with different degrees of genome-wide homozygosity, providing evidence that homozygosity, rather than confounding, directly contributes to phenotypic variance. Contrary to earlier reports in substantially smaller samples\(^4\), no evidence was seen of an influence of genome-wide homozygosity on blood pressure and low density lipoprotein cholesterol, or ten other cardio-metabolic traits. Since directional dominance is predicted for traits under directional evolutionary selection\(^5\), this study provides evidence that increased stature and cognitive function have been positively selected in human evolution, whereas many important risk factors for late-onset complex diseases may not have been.

Inbreeding influences complex traits through increases in homozygosity and corresponding reductions in heterozygosity, most likely resulting from the action of deleterious (partially) recessive mutations\(^6\). For polygenic traits, a systematic association with genome-wide homozygosity is not expected when dominant alleles at some loci increase the trait value while others decrease it. Rather, dominance must be biased in one direction on average over all causai loci, for instance to decrease the trait. Such directional dominance is expected to arise in evolutionary fitness-related traits due to directional selection\(^8\). Studies of genome-wide homozygosity thus have the potential to reveal the non-additive allelic architecture of a trait and its evolutionary history. Historically, inbreeding has been measured using pedigrees\(^9\). However, such techniques cannot account for the stochastic nature of inheritance, nor are they practical for the capture of the distant parental relatedness present in most modern-day populations.

High-density genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array data can now be used to assess genome-wide homozygosity directly, using genomic runs of homozygosity (ROH). Such runs are inferred to be homozygous-by-descent and are common in human populations\(^10\). Summed ROH (SROH) is the sum of the length of these ROH, in megabases of DNA. \(F_{\text{ROH}}\) is the ratio of SROH to the total length of the genome. Like pedigree-based \(F\) (with which it is highly correlated\(^7\)), \(F_{\text{ROH}}\) estimates the probability of being homozygous at any site in the genome. \(F_{\text{ROH}}\) has been shown to vary widely within and between populations\(^12\) and is a powerful method of detecting genome-wide homozygosity effects\(^13\).

We found marked differences by geography and demographic history in both the population mean SROH and the relationship between SROH and NROH (the numbers of separate runs of homozygosity) (Fig. 1). As observed previously\(^5\), isolated populations have a higher burden of ROH, whereas African heritage populations have the least homozygosity.

We studied \(F_{\text{ROH}}\), defined as the effect of \(F_{\text{ROH}}\) on 16 complex traits of biomedical importance (Fig. 2). For height, FEV1 (forced expiratory volume in one second, a measure of lung function), educational attainment, and \(g\) (a measure of general cognitive ability derived from scores on several diverse cognitive tests), we found the effect sizes were greater than two intra-sex standard deviations, with \(P\) values all less than \(10^{-7}\). Thus the associations could not plausibly be explained by chance alone (Table 1; see Extended Data Figs 1–4 for Forest plots of individual traits; Supplementary Table 1 for s.d.). To ensure that the results were not driven by a few outliers, we repeated the analysis excluding extreme sub-cohort trait results. In all cases the effect sizes and their significance remained similar or increased (see Supplementary Table 2 for comparisons with and without outliers). After exclusion of outliers, these effect sizes translate into a reduction of 1.2 cm in height and 137 ml in FEV1 for the offspring of first cousins, and into a decrease of 0.3 s.d. in \(g\) and 10 months’ less educational attainment.

We performed a number of analyses to exclude confounding. While SROH is wholly a genetic effect, its inheritance is entirely non-additive. Therefore, unlike in genome-wide association, an association with population genetic structure or co-segregation of additive genome-wide polygenic effects and SROH (as opposed to SNPs in a genome-wide association study) are not expected as a matter of course, except in the case of siblings. However, confounding could still theoretically arise, as discussed below. We therefore assessed this by conducting stratified and covariate analyses. We found effects of similar magnitude and in the same direction for all four traits across isolated and non-isolated European, Finnish, African, Hispanic, East Asian and South and Central Asian populations (Extended Data Fig. 5a and Supplementary Table 3). We further tested whether the effect sizes were similar when cohorts were split into more and less homozygous groups. The effect sizes were very similar, even though the degree of homozygosity (and variation in homozygosity) varied 3–10-fold between the two strata (depending on which cohorts contributed to the trait; Extended Data Fig. 5b). This suggests a broadly linear relationship with SROH. In general, confidence intervals overlap for stratified estimates, suggesting that differences arose due to sampling variance. Larger confidence intervals for some estimates reflect the lower power of some strata, in turn reflecting the sample size and degree of homozygosity of those strata (for example, the wider confidence intervals for estimates of educational attainment \(\beta_{\text{ED}}\) for Finnish and African strata). Finally, we fitted educational attainment
as a proxy for potential confounding by socio-economic status; this covariate was available in sufficient (47) cohorts to maintain power. The estimated effect sizes for height, FEV1 and g all reduced (17%, 18% and 35%, respectively, Extended Data Fig. 5c), but this might have been expected given the known covariance between these three traits and educational attainment, and the association we found between educational attainment and the number of runs of homozygosity (NROH) is shown by sub-cohort. Populations differ by an order of magnitude in their mean burden of ROH. There are clear differences by continent and population type both in the mean SROH, and the relationship between SROH and NROH. S.C. Asian, South and Central Asian; E. Asian, East Asian; Eur. Isolate, European isolates. The ten most homozygous cohorts are labelled: AMISH, Old Order Amish from Lancaster County, Pennsylvania; HUTT, Schmiedeleut Hutterites from South Dakota; NSPHS, northern Swedish population health study, 06 and 09 suffixes are different sampling years from different counties in northern Sweden; OGP, Ogliastra genetic park, Sardinia, Italy; Talana is a particular village in the region; FVG, Friuli-Venezia-Giulia genetic park, Italy, omni and 370 suffixes refer to subsets genotyped with the Illumina OmniX and 370CNV arrays; HELIC, Hellenic isolates, Greece, from Pomak villages in Thrace, and CLHNS, Cebu Longitudinal Health and Nutrition Survey in the Philippines.

Despite the observed 17–35% reductions in estimated effect sizes for \( F_{\text{ROH}} \) on height, FEV1 and \( g \), when fitting educational attainment as a covariate, the persistence of an effect suggests that most of the signals we observe are genetic. The consistency of effects with and without fitting relatedness and in particular in populations with very different degrees of homozygosity, all appear inconsistent with confounding as a result of environmental or additive genetic effects. As does the broad similarity in effect sizes across continents, although the relatively smaller numbers of cohorts of non-European descent meant we had limited power to detect intercontinental differences in effect sizes.

It is also interesting to consider the potential influence of assortative mating, which is commonly observed for human stature, cognition and education. The phenotypic extremes could be more genetically similar to each other and hence the offspring more homozygous, even if the highly polygenic trait architectures reduce this effect. However, at least in its simplest balanced form, the increase in genetic similarity would be equal at both ends of the phenotypic distribution, leading to no linear association between such genetic similarity and the trait; both tall and short people would be more homozygous. Furthermore, humans also mate assortatively on the basis of body mass index, for which we see no effect. A more complex possibility, a form of reverse causality, could arise when subjects from one trait extreme (for example, people with high educational attainment) are on average more geographically mobile, and thus have less homozygous offspring, with those offspring in turn inheriting the trait extreme concerned. However, at the other extreme, people with high educational attainment are on average more geographically mobile, and thus have less homozygous offspring, with those offspring in turn inheriting the trait extreme concerned. It does not readily explain the constancy of our results under different models, especially the similarity in \( \beta_{\text{F}_{\text{ROH}}} \) for either more or less homozygous populations. Moreover, we observe similar effects in multiple single-village cohorts, and the Amish and Hutterites, where there is no geographic structure and/or no sampling of immigrants, hence such confounding by differential migration cannot occur.
Our estimate for the effect of homozygosity in height is consistent with previous work: genomic4 and pedigree6 studies have shown genome-wide homozygosity effects on stature with similar effect sizes (a 0.01 increase in $F$ decreases height by 0.037 s.d. versus 0.029 s.d. in the present study). We speculate that homozygosity is acting on a shared endophenotype of torso size which we detect in the height and FEV1 traits. The fact that the FEV1:FVC (forced vital capacity) ratio is not associated with ROH points to the effect acting on lung/chest size rather than airway calibre. The cognition effects cannot be wholly generated by height as an intermediate cause, given the greater proportion of variance explained for cognition, although we note that the correlation between height and cognition has been estimated as 0.16 (standard error, s.e. = 0.01), and the genetic correlation (the correlation in additive genetic values) as 0.28 (s.e. = 0.09; ref. 17).

Height is the canonical human complex trait, highly heritable and polygenic, with 697 genome-wide significant variants in 423 loci explaining 20% of the heritability and all common variants predicted to explain 60% of the heritability48. Most of the genetic architecture appears to be additive in nature, however ROH analysis reveals a distinct directional dominance component.

Our genomic confirmation of directional dominance for g and discovery of genome-wide homozygosity effects on educational attainment in a wide range of human populations adds to our knowledge of the genetic underpinnings of cognitive differences, which are currently thought to be largely due to additive genetic effects49. Our findings go beyond earlier pedigree-based analyses of recent consanguinity to demonstrate that the observed effect of genome-wide homozygosity is not a result of confounding and influences demographically diverse populations across the globe. The estimated effect size is consistent with pedigree data (a 0.01 increase in $F$ decreases $g$ by 0.046 s.d. in our analysis and 0.029–0.048 s.d. in pedigree-based studies)50. It is germane to note that one extreme of cognitive function, early onset cognitive impairment, is strongly influenced by deleterious recessive loci51, so we can speculate that an accumulation of recessive variants of weaker effect may influence normal variation in cognitive function. Although increasing migration and panmixia have generated a secular trend in decreasing homozygosity52, the Flynn effect, wherein succeeding generations perform better on cognitive tests than their predecessors53, this cannot be explained by our findings, because the intergenerational change in cognitive scores is much larger than the differences in homozygosity would predict. Likewise, the genome-wide homozygosity effect on height cannot explain a significant proportion of the observed intergenerational increases54.

Inbreeding depression, which arises from the effect of genome-wide homozygosity, is ubiquitous in plants and is seen for numerous fitness-related traits in animals55, but we observed no effect for the 12 other mainly cardio-metabolic traits in which variation is strongly related to age. This suggests that previous reports in ecological studies or substantially smaller studies using pedigrees or relatively small numbers of genetic markers may have been false positives56,57. The lack of directional dominance on these traits does not, however, rule out a recessive component, as recessive variants acting in different directions will cancel out. Dominance variance is predicted to be greater for late-onset fitness traits58, so the lack of genome-wide homozygosity effects in the cardio-metabolic traits may be due to lack of directional dominance. ROH analyses within specific genomic regions are warranted to map recessive effects even when there is no genome-wide directional dominance. Such recessive effects have been observed for a subset of cardiovascular risk factors59 and expression traits60.

We have demonstrated the existence of directional dominance on four complex traits (stature, lung function, cognitive ability and educational attainment), while showing any effect on another 12 health-related traits is at least almost an order of magnitude smaller, non-linear or non-existent. This directional dominance implies that size and cognition (like schizophrenia protective alleles61) have been positively selected in human history – or at least that some variants increasing these traits contribute to fitness. However, the lack of any evidence for an association between many late-onset cardiovascular disease risk factors and ROH is perhaps surprising and suggests testing directly for an association between ROH and disease outcome. The magnitude of genome-wide homozygosity effects is relatively small in all cases, thus Darwin’s supposition62 of “any evil [of inbreeding] being very small” is substantiated.

### Online Content
Methods, along with any additional Extended Data display items and Source Data, are available in the online version of the paper; references unique to these sections appear only in the online paper.

Received 1 February; accepted 28 May 2015.
Published online 1 July 2015.

1. Garrod, A. The incidence of alkaptonuria: a study of chemical individuality. *Lancet* **160**, 1616–1620 (1902).
2. Darwin, C. *The Variation of Animals and Plants Under Domestication* (Appleton, 1868).
3. McQuillan, R. et al. Runs of homozygosity in European populations. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **83**, 359–372 (2008).
4. McQuillan, R. et al. Evidence of inbreeding depression on human height. *PLoS Genet.* **8**, e1002655 (2012).
5. Rudan, I. et al. Inbreeding and the genetic complexity of human hypertension. *Genetics* **163**, 1011–1021 (2003).
6. Campbell, H. et al. Effects of genome-wide heterozygosity on a range of biomedically relevant human quantitative traits. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **16**, 233–241 (2007).
7. Charlesworth, D. & Willis, J. H. The genetics of inbreeding depression. *Nature Rev. Genet.* **10**, 783–796 (2009).
8. Wright, S. Evolution and the Genetics of Populations, Vol. 3: Experimental Results and Evolutionary Deductions (University of Chicago Press, 1977).
9. Wright, S. Coefficients of inbreeding and relationships. *Am. Nat.* **56**, 330–339 (1922).
10. Brzozan, K. W. & Weber, J. L. Long homozygous chromosomal segments in reference families from the Centre d’Etude du Polymorphisme Humain. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **65**, 1493–1500 (1999).
Supplementary Information is available in the online version of the paper.
**METHODS**

**Outline.** Our aim was to look for an association between a genetic effect (SROH) and 16 complex traits. Our approach followed best practice genome-wide association meta-analysis (GWAMA) protocols, where applicable, except we had only one genetic effect to test.

Cohorts were invited to join based on known previous participation in GWAMA and willingness to participate. 159 sub-cohorts were created from 102 population-based or case-control genetic studies, by separating different genotyping arrays, cases and controls or ethnic sub-groups to ensure each sub-cohort was homogeneous. Within each of the 159 sub-cohorts we measured the association between SROH and trait using the following model. Where a sub-cohort had been ascertained on the basis of a disease status associated with a particular trait, that sub-cohort was excluded from the corresponding trait analysis.

Phenotype was regressed on genetic effect and known relevant covariates within each cohort, under the model specified in equation (1). The estimated genetic effect of SROH was then meta-analysed using inverse variance meta-analysis.

\[ Y = \mu + b_1 \text{SROH} + b_2 \text{age} + b_3 \text{sex} + b_4 \text{PC1} + b_5 \text{PC2} + b_6 \text{PC3} + e \]  
(1)

Where \( Y \) is the vector of trait values, \( \mu \) the intercept, the effect of SROH and \( b_2 - b_6 \) the effect of covariates. PC1–PC3, the post quality control within-cohort principal components of the cohort’s relationship matrix and \( e \) the residual. Relationship matrices were determined genomically by each cohort using genome-wide array data. In addition, any other cohort-specific covariates known to be associated with the trait, including further principal components, and any trait-specific covariates and stratifications, such as medication and smoking status, were fitted as specified below.

SROH was the sum of ROH called, with a length of at least 1.5 Mb using PLINK31.

As is routine in GWAMA, for family-based studies only, we also fitted an additional term to account for additive genetic values and relatedness, using GRM and hglm33, as specified in equation (2).

\[ Y = \mu + b_1 \text{SROH} + b_2 \text{age} + b_3 \text{sex} + b_4 \text{PC1} + b_5 \text{PC2} + b_6 \text{PC3} + Za + Zb \]  
(2)

Where \( a \) is the additive genetic value of each individual. Var(\( a \)) is assumed to be proportionate to the genomic relationship matrix (GRM) (a pedigree relationship matrix was used in the Framingham Heart Study). \( Z \) is the identity matrix.

We then meta-analysed the regression coefficients (\( b \)) of traits on SROH for the 159 sub-cohorts.

**Cohort recruitment.** Data from 102 independent genetic epidemiology studies of adults were included. All subjects gave written informed consent and studies were approved by the relevant research ethics committees. Homogeneous sub-cohorts were created for analysis on the basis of ethnicity, genotyping array or other factors. Where a cohort had multiple ethnicities, sub-cohorts for each separate ethnicity were created and analysed separately. In all cases individuals of European, African, South or Central Asian, East Asian and Hispanic heritage were included. In some cases sub-categories, such as Ashkenazi Jews, were also distinguished. Ethnic outliers were excluded, as were the second of any monozygotic twins and pregnant subjects. Continental ancestry of cohorts participating in each trait study is presented in Extended Data Table 1. Cohort genotyping and summary information are shown in Supplementary Table 6, with age, sex, and trait homogeneity summary statistics given in Supplementary Tables 9, 10 and 11. For case-control and trait-extreme studies, patients or extreme-only sub-cohorts were analysed separately to controls. Where case status was associated with the trait under analysis the sub-cohort was excluded from that study (see below).

Subjects within a sub-cohort were genotyped using the same SNP array, or, where the same two arrays were used (for example, Illumina OmniExpress and IlluminaOmni1), the intersection of SNPs on both arrays, provided the intersection exceeded 250,000 SNPs. Where a study used two different genotyping arrays, separate sub-cohorts were created for each array, and analysis was done separately.

Paediatric cohorts were not included.

**Genotyping.** All subjects were genotyped using high-density genome-wide (>250,000 SNP) arrays, from Illumina, Affymetrix or Perlegen. Custom arrays were not included. Each study’s usual array-specific genotype quality control standards for genome-wide association were used and are shown in Supplementary Table 6. Only autosomal data were analysed.

**Phenotyping.** We studied 16 quantitative traits which are widely available and represent different domains related to health, morbidity and mortality: height, body mass index (BMI), waisthip ratio (WHR), diastolic and systolic blood pressure (DBP, SBP), fasting plasma glucose (FPG), fasting insulin (FI), haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), total cholesterol, HDL and LDL cholesterol levels, triglycerides, forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1), ratio of FEV1 to forced vital capacity (FVC), general cognitive ability (g) and years of educational attainment. Phenotypic quality control was performed locally to assess the accuracy and distribution of phenotypes and covariates. Further covariates were included when the relevant genome-wide association study consortium also included them. The trait categories were anthropometry, blood pressure, glycaemic traits, classical lipids, lung function, cognitive function and educational attainment, following models in the GIANT44, ICBP35, MAGIC34, CHARGE34, Spirometa38 and SSGAC39 consortia. The model for FEV1 did not include height as a covariate. Effect sizes for FEV1 therefore include size effects that also underpin height.

Studies assembled files containing study traits and the following covariates: sex, age, first three principal components of ancestry, lipid-lowering medication, ever-smoker status, anti-hypertensive medication, diabetes status and year of birth. Educational attainment was defined in accordance with the ISCED 1997 classification (UNESCO), leading to seven categories of educational attainment that are internationally comparable30. LDL values estimated using Friedewald’s equation were accepted. Cohorts without fasting samples did not participate in the LDL-cholesterol, triglycerides, fasting insulin or fasting plasma glucose analyses. Cohorts with semi-fasting samples fitted a categorical or quantitative fasting time variable as a covariate. Subjects with less than 4 h fasting were not included.

Where subjects were ascertained, for example, on the basis of hypertension, that sub-cohort was excluded from analysis of traits associated with the disorder, for example blood pressure. The traits excluded from meta-analysis are as follows: ascertainment on type 2 diabetes, thus fasting insulin, HbA1c and fasting plasma glucose excluded; ascertainment on hypertension, thus blood pressures excluded; ascertainment on venous thrombosis or coronary artery disease, thus blood lipids excluded; ascertainment on obesity or the metabolic syndrome, thus blood lipids, body mass index, waist-hip ratio, fasting insulin and fasting plasma glucose excluded.

Somewhat unusually for a large consortium meta-analysis, the majority of the analysis after initial genotype and phenotype quality control was performed by a pipeline of standardised R and shell scripts, to ensure uniformity and reduce the risk of errors and ambiguities (available at https://www.nki.ed.ac.uk/display/ROHgen/Analysis+Plan+production+release+3.0). The pipeline was used for all stages from this point onwards.

**Calling runs of homozygosity.** SNPs with more than 3% missingness across individuals or with a minor allele frequency less than 5% were removed. ROH were defined as runs of at least 50 consecutive homozygous SNPs spanning at least 1,500 kb, with less than a 1,000 kb gap between adjacent ROH and a density of SNP coverage within the ROH of no more than 50 kb/SNP, with one heterozygote and five no calls allowed per window, and were called using PLINK31, with the following settings: homozyg-window-snp 50; homozyg-snp 50; homozyg-ib 1500; homozyg-gap 1000; homozyg-density 50; homozyg-window-missing 5; homozyg-window-het 1. The same criteria were used by McQuillan et al.25, except SNP density has been relaxed to avoid regions of sparser coverage (still including 50 SNPs) being missed. The sum of runs of homozygosity (SROH) was then calculated. \( F_{\text{ROH}} \) was calculated as \( \text{SROH} \times (3 \times 10^{3}) \) reflecting the length of the autosomal genome. Copy number variants (CNV) are known to influence cognition24; however, prior calling of CNV and ROH in one of our cohorts reduced the phenotype meta-analysis to only 0.3%25, making it implausible that deletions called as ROH influence our findings.

**ROH called from different genotyping arrays.** We show that SROH called with these parameters is relatively insensitive to the density and type of array used (Extended Data Fig. 7). We used 2.5 million SNPs available for 851 HapMap and 1000 Genomes Project41 samples from multiple continents to investigate the effect of array when using our ROH-calling parameters in PLINK. The data set included samples of African, European, admixed American, South and East Asian heritage. By subsampling SNPs from the 2.5 million we created array data for the commonly used Illumina CNV370 and OmniExpress beadchips and the 1000 Genomes Project (Extended Data Table 7 for details of the SNP numbers). The correlation in SROH using different arrays on the same individuals was 0.93–0.94 for all pairwise chip comparisons.

**Trait association with SROH.** The association between trait and SROH was calculated using a linear model in accordance with equation (1). Additional covariates were fitted for some analyses (shown below) or for some cohorts where analysts were aware of study specific effects (for example, study centre). For BMI, WHR, FEV1, FEV1/FVC and g, trait residuals were calculated for the model excluding SROH, these residuals were then rank-normalized and the effect of SROH on these rank-normalized residuals estimated. Triglycerides and fasting insulin were ln-transformed. Additional covariates were as follows: age was included as a covariate for all traits apart from height and g. BMI was included as a covariate for WHR, SBP, DBP, FPG, FI and HbA1c. Year of birth was included as a covariate for educational attainment and ever-smoking for FEV1 and FEV1/FVC. Where a subject was known to be taking lipid-lowering medication, total
cholesterol was adjusted by dividing by 0.8. Similarly, where a subject was known to be taking anti-hypertensive medication, SBP and DBP measurements were increased by 15 and 10 mm Hg, respectively.

Where the cohort was known to have significant kinship, genetic relatedness was also fitted, using the mixed model, in accordance with equation (2). The polygenic model was fitted in GenABEL using the fixed covariates and the genomic relationship matrix \( R \). GRAMMAR+ (GR+) (ref. 42) residuals were then fitted to SROH as well as the full mixed model being fitted simultaneously, using GenABEL’s hierarchical generalized linear model (HGLM) function33. Populations with kinship thus potentially had three estimates of \( \beta_{\text{FH}_{\text{ROH}}} \); using fixed effects only, and using the mixed model approaches, (GR+ and HGLM) for SROH.

To investigate potential confounding, where available, educational attainment was added as an ordinal covariate and all models rerun, giving revised estimates of \( \beta_{\text{FH}_{\text{ROH}}} \). This is potentially an over adjustment for \( g \) due to the phenotypic and genetic correlations with educational attainment44. However it must be recognized that educational attainment does not capture all potential environmental confounding.

Cohort phenotypic means and standard deviations were checked visually for inter-cohort consistency, with apparent outliers then being corrected (for example, due to units or incorrectly specified missing values), explained (for example, due to different population characteristics) or excluded. Individual sub-cohort trait means and standard deviations are tabulated in Supplementary Table 9 and age and gender information is in Supplementary Table 10.

**Meta-analysis.** As is routine in genome-wide association meta-analyses, analysis was performed within homogeneous sub-populations and only meta-analysis of the estimated (within-population) effect sizes was used to combine results between populations, avoiding any confounding effects of inter-population differences in trait or genetic effect distributions. Inverse-variance meta-analysis of all subcohorts’ effect estimates was performed using Rmeta, on a fixed-effect basis (Supplementary Table 5 compares random effects meta-analysis). In the principal analyses, for cohorts with relatedness, HGLM estimates of \( \beta_{\text{FH}_{\text{ROH}}} \) were preferred; however, where HGLM had failed to converge, results using GRAMMAR+ were included. These results were combined with those for unrelated cohorts on a fixed-model-only basis. Result outliers were defined as individuals in both cohort by trait results, which failed the hypothesis, cohort (\( \beta_{\text{FH}_{\text{ROH}}} \)) = pre-quality-control meta-analysis (\( \beta_{\text{FH}_{\text{ROH}}} \)), with a t-test statistic >3. Analyses were performed with and without outliers for \( \beta_{\text{FH}_{\text{ROH}}} \) in phenotypic units and in intra-sex phenotypic standard deviations (Supplementary Table 8). The principal results we present are for \( F_{\text{ROH}} \) with outliers included for the hypothesis tests (which turns out to be more conservative), but with outliers excluded when estimating \( \beta_{\text{FH}_{\text{ROH}}} \) (ref. 44). Meta-analysis was performed using inverse variance meta-analysis-in the R package Rmeta, with \( \beta_{\text{FH}_{\text{ROH}}} \) taken as a fixed effect and alternatively as a random effect. The principal results are on a fixed-effects basis, with Supplementary Table 5 showing comparison with the random- effects analysis.

Meta-analyses were re-run for various subsets, according to geographic and demographic features of the cohorts. Cohorts were divided into more homozygous and less homozygous strata with the boundary being set so each within-stratum meta-analysis had equal statistical power.

**Data reporting.** Randomization and blind allocation were not applicable to this study.
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Extended Data Figure 1 | Forest plot for cognitive ability (g). Individual sub-cohort estimates of effect size and the 95% confidence interval are plotted. Sub-cohorts are ordered from top to bottom according to their weight in the meta-analysis, so larger or more homozygous cohorts appear towards the top. The scale of $\beta_{\text{ROH}}$ is in intra-sex standard deviations. The meta-analytical estimate is displayed at the bottom. Sub-cohort names follow the conventions detailed in Supplementary Table 6 and the Supplementary Table 11 legend. Sample sizes, effect sizes and P values for association are given in Table 1. This trait was rank-transformed.
Extended Data Figure 2 | Forest plot for educational attainment. Individual sub-cohort estimates of effect size and the 95% confidence interval are plotted. Sub-cohorts are ordered from top to bottom according to their weight in the meta-analysis, so larger or more homozygous cohorts appear towards the top. The scale of $b_{FROH}$ is in intra-sex standard deviations. The meta-analytical estimate is displayed at the bottom. Sub-cohort names follow the conventions detailed in Supplementary Table 6 and the Supplementary Table 11 legend. Sample sizes, effect sizes and $P$ values for association are given in Table 1.
Extended Data Figure 3 | Forest plot for height. Individual sub-cohort estimates of effect size and the 95% confidence interval are plotted. Sub-cohorts are ordered from top to bottom according to their weight in the meta-analysis, so larger or more homozygous cohorts appear towards the top. The scale of $\beta_{\text{ROH}}$ is in intra-sex standard deviations. The meta-analytical estimate is displayed at the bottom. Sub-cohort names follow the conventions detailed in Supplementary Table 6 and the Supplementary Table 11 legend. Sample sizes, effect sizes and $P$ values for association are given in Table 1.
Extended Data Figure 4 | Forest plot for forced expiratory lung volume in one second. Individual sub-cohort estimates of effect size and the 95% confidence interval are plotted. Sub-cohorts are ordered from top to bottom according to their weight in the meta-analysis, so larger or more homozygous cohorts appear towards the top. The scale of $\beta_{\text{FEO}}$ is in intra-sex standard deviations. The meta-analytical estimate is displayed at the bottom. Sub-cohort names follow the conventions detailed in Supplementary Table 6 and the Supplementary Table 11 legend. Sample sizes, effect sizes and $P$ values for association are given in Table 1. This trait was rank-transformed.
Extended Data Figure 5 | Signals of directional dominance are robust to stratification by geography or demographic history or inclusion of educational attainment as covariate. a, Cohorts are divided by continental biogeographic ancestry (African (15 sub-cohorts), East Asian (5), South and Central Asian (SC Asian; 10), Hispanic (3)), with Europeans being divided into Finns (13), other European isolates (self-declared, 23), and (non-isolated) Europeans (90). Meta-analysis was carried out for all subsets with 2,000 or more samples available. Sample numbers are as follows: cognitive g, Eur isolate, 6,638; European, 44,153; educational attainment, African, 4,811; Eur isolate, 8,032; European, 55,549; Finland 9,068; height, African, 21,500; E Asian, 30,011; Eur isolate, 23,116; European, 228,813; Finland, 30,427; Hispanic, 5,469, SC Asian, 13,523; FEV1, African, 6,604, Eur isolate, 4,837, European, 49,223, Finland, 2,340. β_FROH is consistent across geography and in both isolates and more cosmopolitan populations. b, Cohorts were divided into high and low ROH strata of equal power and meta-analysis repeated – the effects are consistent across strata for all four traits. The mean SROH for the high and low strata, respectively, are 13.4 and 4.3 Mb for cognitive g; 28.1 and 5.1 Mb for educational attainment; 31.9 and 10.8 Mb for height; and 41.4 and 4.5 Mb for FEV1. c, To assess the potential for socio-economic confounding, where available, educational attainment was included in the regression model (edu) and compared to a model without educational attainment (none) in the same subset of cohorts. The signals reduce slightly when the education covariate is included; the analysis is not possible for educational attainment as a trait. For cognitive g, numbers of subjects are 36,847 and 36,023; for height, 131,614 and 120,945; and for FEV1, 15,717 and 15,425, for edu and none, respectively. The numbers differ because of missing individual educational data within cohorts. Plus signs indicate that the phenotype was rank-transformed. Trait units are intra-sex standard deviations and the genomic measure is unpruned SROH. Subset estimates of effect size for FROH and the 95% confidence are plotted.
Extended Data Figure 6 | Signals of directional dominance are robust to model choice. Meta-analytical estimates of effect size and standard errors are plotted for various models. Fixed, no mixed modelling was used; gr res, GRAMMAR+ residuals were fitted; hglm, full hierarchical generalized linear mixed model was used. Plus signs indicate that the phenotype was rank-transformed. 15,355 subjects were used for cognitive g, 36,060 for educational attainment, 89,112 for height and 15,262 for FEV1.
Extended Data Figure 7 | Correlation in SROH for different genotyping arrays using HapMap populations. a–c, x and y axes show SROH from 0–30 Mb. ill370, Illumina CNV370; aff6, Affymetrix6; ilomni, Illumina OmniExpress. The graphs are shown for the specific PLINK call parameters used. d, Sample numbers per continent are presented in a bar chart. AFR, African; AMR, mixed American; ASN, East Asian; EUR, European; SAN, South Asian. Only samples with SROH below 30 Mb are plotted, to be conservative to the effect of outliers, which have very strongly correlated estimates of SROH ($r = 0.96–0.97$ for comparisons including such very homozygous individuals). In these plots, the correlation between SROH called by the two arrays, $r = 0.93–0.94$. 
Extended Data Table 1 | Continental ancestry of cohorts participating in each trait study.

| Trait                  | African | East Asian | European | Hispanic | S/C Asian | All     |
|------------------------|---------|------------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|
| BMI                    | 2168/9  | 2900/9     | 27940/117| 7836/3   | 13464/10 | 35139/85/150 |
| Cognitive g            | 1535/1  | NA/NA      | 49559/22 | -        | -         | 51098/23 |
| Diastolic BP           | 1707/4  | 24200/5    | 204742/85| 7284/3   | 12876/9  | 266176/114 |
| Education Attained     | 4811/4  | NA/NA      | 79576/42 | -        | 338/1     | 84725/47 |
| Fasting Insulin        | 6895/8  | 1603/1     | 72006/49 | -        | 6303/5    | 8680/63 |
| FEV1                   | 6604/5  | 617/1      | 58089/27 | 825/1    | -         | 66135/34 |
| FEV1/FVC               | 6565/5  | 616/1      | 57888/27 | 822/1    | -         | 65091/34 |
| FP Glucose             | 8942/9  | 1615/1     | 122368/74| 1938/1   | 6921/5    | 141784/90 |
| HbA1c                  | 6629/4  | 694/1      | 92732/31 | 4038/2   | 7509/4    | 111602/42 |
| HDL Cholesterol        | 15099/13| 10478/5    | 215621/92| 4426/3   | 12508/9   | 258132/122 |
| Height                 | 20300/14| 30011/5    | 281369/114| 5469/2  | 13523/10  | 350672/145 |
| LDL Cholesterol        | 13375/11| 2503/2     | 172245/77| 4340/3   | 11186/8   | 203649/101 |
| Systolic BP            | 17023/12| 24424/5    | 205253/85| 7225/3   | 12859/9   | 266784/114 |
| Total Cholesterol      | 15130/13| 20187/5    | 209421/91| 4491/3   | 11674/8   | 260903/120 |
| Triglycerides          | 13866/12| 2542/2     | 181526/84| 2745/2   | 10688/7   | 211387/107 |
| Waist-hip ratio        | 8182/7  | 2549/2     | 171753/73| 1446/1   | 12598/9   | 196528/92 |

The first number in each cell is the number of participants with that continental ancestry. The second number is the number of sub-cohorts. S/C Asian, South and Central Asian.