Water-Pipe Smoking and Albuminuria: New Dog with Old Tricks
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Abstract

Water-pipe (WP) smoking is on rise worldwide for the past few years, particularly among younger individuals. Growing evidence indicates that WP smoking is as harmful as cigarette smoking. To date, most of the research has focused on acute health effects of WP smoking, and evidence remains limited when it comes to chronic health effects in relation to long-term WP smoking. Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine the association between WP smoking and albuminuria in apparently healthy individuals. This analysis was conducted on data of a population-based cross-sectional study—the Urban Rural Chronic Diseases Study (URCDS). The study sample was recruited from three sites in Pakistan. Trained nurses carried out individual interviews and obtained the information on demographics, lifestyle factors, and past and current medical history. Measurements of complete blood count, lipid profile, fasting glucose level, and 24-hour albuminuria were also made by using blood and urine samples. Albumin excretion was classified into three categories using standard cut-offs: normal excretion, high-normal excretion and microalbuminuria. Multiple logistic regression models were used to examine the relationship between WP smoking and albuminuria. The final analysis included data from 1,626 health individuals, of which 829 (51.0%) were males and 797 (49.0%) females. Of 1,626 individuals, 267 (16.4%) were current WP smokers and 1,359 (83.6%) were non-WP smokers. WP smoking was significantly associated with high-normal albuminuria (OR = 2.33, 95% CI 1.68-3.22, p-value <0.001) and microalbuminuria (OR = 1.75, 95% CI 1.18-2.58, p-value 0.005) after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, social class, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus. WP smoking was significantly associated with high-normal albuminuria and microalbuminuria when analysis was stratified on hypertension and diabetes mellitus categories. WP smoking has a strong association with albuminuria in apparently healthy individuals. More research is warranted to evaluate the temporality of this association between WP smoking and albuminuria.
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Introduction

Water-pipe (WP) also named as shisha, narghile, hookah and arghile is an ancient device which has had a re-birth in recent years [1,2]. A perforated aluminium foil is used in a typical WP which splits the burning charcoal from tobacco. This charcoal and tobacco is connected to a water bowl through a pipe. The air is drawn through the mouthpiece of a hose when a smoker inhale it and this results in burning of both charcoal and tobacco, while the smoke bubbles through the water in this inhaling process [1,2]. There is a misconception that the course of smoke over the water makes it “filtered” and its potential harmful effects on human health are reduced if not completely removed by this so-called “filtering” process [3,4]. There are many similarities between traditional and modern WPs in terms of its configuration as well as the contents used in these devices. Perhaps the only difference between the old and modern WPs is that the charcoal and tobacco is more refined in the later while they were in raw form in the old WPs.

WP smoking trend has dramatically increased in recent years especially among younger individuals [2,5]. Some variations in prevalence of WP smoking are also reported in different regions of the world such as: Arab Americans (12%-15%), Arabic Gulf region (9%-16%), Estonia (21%), and Lebanon (25%) [2,5]. The highest prevalence was reported among University students of Syria (25.5%) [6] and it also remained fairly high (24.5%) among younger individuals (18-24 years of age) in the United States (US) [7]. Pakistan has also seen a rise in WP smoking in last few years with approximately 33% of student being current smokers and nearly half of the University students have tried it once. [8].

In recent years, harmful effects of WP smoking have been reported with particular attention to respiratory and cardiovascular effects [9], although the evidence is limited to acute harmful properties of WP smoking on cardio-respiratory health [9,10]. A recent population-based study suggested that WP smokers had double risk of having metabolic syndrome compared to non-users with more pronounced effects among women [11]. The harmful
effects of WP smoking are mainly attributed to toxicants in the smoke which are similar toxicants as in the cigarettes [1,12]. Interestingly, some even suggested that the CO content in WP smoke is three to ten times higher than in cigarette smoke [1,13,14]. Furthermore, WP smokers showed significantly higher blood nicotine levels than cigarette smokers [15].

Urinary albumin excretion is a very sensitive marker of renal injury and its role has also been identified in risk of cardiovascular diseases among healthy as well as diabetic individuals [16,17]. Cigarette smoking has been previously linked with albuminuria and abnormal renal function in humans [10]. Moreover, smoking less than and greater than 20 cigarettes per day shown increased risk of microalbuminuria (Relative risk 1.92, 95% CI 1.54-2.39) and (Relative risk 2.15, 95% CI 1.52-3.03) respectively, among healthy as well as diabetic individuals [19,20].

Given that growing evidence now indicates that WP smoking is as harmful as cigarette smoking, its impact on other aspects of health need to be investigated. To date, most of the research has focused on acute health effects of WP smoking, and the scientific evidence on chronic conditions (cardiovascular and renal) and its association with long-term WP smoking remains scarce. If the toxicants in WP smoke are fairly similar to those of cigarette smoke, there may be a relationship between WP smoking and abnormal renal functions as cigarette smoking has well known adverse effects on kidneys. Therefore, the aim of this analysis was to determine the association between WP smoking and albuminuria in seemingly healthy individuals.

Methods

Cohort selection and study participants
This study was conducted using the data of the Urban Rural Chronic Diseases Study (URCDS) - a cross-sectional study conducted in urban and rural settings of Pakistan to examine the development and prognosis of chronic diseases. The details of this study design and characteristics of the study sample have been explained elsewhere [11]. In brief, the study sample was drawn from the northeast of Punjab province in Faisalabad city (an urban centre) and a village (a rural centre) nearly 35 kilometres away from the centre of the city. Faisalabad is third biggest city of Pakistan with a population of approximately six million when this cross-sectional survey was conducted. Three hospitals (two urban and a rural) were involved in data collection process i.e. Afra General Hospital, Haider Medicare Hospital and New Lahore Hospital.

To increase the participation rate of community in this baseline survey, potential participants were contacted using a variety of different approaches. Participants were requested to participate in this study by personalised letters using the regional voter list as a sampling frame which have individuals older than 18 years but we only sampled from those who were 30 years or above at the time of sampling. Simple random sampling was carried out through Stata software using voter list as sampling frame. Furthermore, announcements in community gatherings, study information dissemination through local key informants, political leaders and religious heads. Healthy adults of age 30 to 75 years living in the vicinity of above mentioned hospitals were eligible to participate in the study. Three clinics were especially set-up in hospitals and all participants who visited during the period of 1st January 2006 to 31st June 2009 were included in this study. Both males and females, employed or un-employed as well as retired from their jobs were eligible to be included in the study. Individuals accompanying patients for their routine health check-ups were also approached and included in the study, if consented.

Sample size estimation

The sample size for this study was estimated to detect a 2% difference in prevalence of albuminuria (assuming 20% prevalence of albuminuria among WP smokers) between groups. The significance level was at 0.05 and power of the study at 80% using two sided comparisons. Both X² using the Yates’ continuity correction and Fisher Exact Test were used to compute the sample size. A total of 1355 individuals were required to be included in the study to conduct this survey with an allocation ratio of 1.

Data analysis

We used STATA software version 11 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) for data analysis. The study sample was categorized into two groups such as “WP smokers” and “non-WP smokers” by the following variables: baseline characteristics were analyzed using Chi-square test for categorical variables and t-test for continuous variables.
smokers”. Current water-pipe smokers were defined as those who regularly smoked WP at least once a week in the previous year. Non-WP smoker group included those who were never WP smokers as well as who quit smoking (ex-WP smokers). Those who quitted WP smoking at least twelve months before the beginning of this study were defined as Ex-smokers those who quitted less than a year’s time were considered as smokers. Age was categorized into 10 year age bands except the highest age group which was a 15 years age band due to smaller number of individuals in that group.

For the estimation of raised blood pressure and glucose level, new variables were created using the IDF specific criteria. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure of at least 140 mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure of at least 90 mm Hg, or history of use of antihypertensive drugs. Diabetes mellitus was defined as a fasting plasma glucose level of >126 mg/dL, a random plasma glucose level of >180 mg/dL or greater, or the use of antidiabetic medication. Therefore, wherever hypertension or diabetes mellitus is mentioned in this manuscript, it reflects increased level or previously known condition, or both.

Independent sample t-test was used to compare continuous variables between two groups while chi-square test was used for comparison between categorical variables. We used multiple logistic regressions to examine the association between WP smoking and high-normal albuminuria as well as microalbuminuria. Separate multivariable logistic regression models were run to explore the association between WP smoking and high albuminuria and microalbuminuria after adjusting for age, sex, BMI, social class, hypertension and diabetes mellitus. A stratified analysis was also carried out to estimate the effect of WP smoking on albuminuria based on hypertension and diabetes mellitus categories.

A total of 2700 individuals were included in this study however there were some information missing i.e. blood pressure data (n = 75), self-reported diabetes mellitus and blood glucose (n = 47), height and weight (n = 24), social class and triglycerides level (n = 79). Those who had missing information for any of these were excluded from the final analysis. Furthermore, those who were cigarette smokers (n = 128) or combine users of cigarette and WP (n = 443) were also excluded from the present analysis. The data on albumin excretion was also not available for 406 individuals, so they were also excluded from this analysis.

Results

Data from 1,626 healthy individuals were used for final analysis which included 829 (51.0%) males and 797 (49.0%) females. Of 1,626 individuals, 267 (16.4%) were current WP smokers and 1,359 (83.6%) were non-WP smokers. Number of ex-WP smokers was fairly small (n = 13), we performed a sub-analysis by excluding these ex-WP smokers but overall association between WP and albuminuria remained unchanged so they were included in the non-WP smoking category. There was no significant difference in duration of WP smoking among three groups of albumin excretion (p-value 0.20), while the overall mean duration of WP smoking was 14.9 ± 10.8 years. Out of the total 1,626, 1011 (62.3%) had albumin excretion within normal range, 340 (20.9%) had high-normal albumin excretion and 273 (16.8%) had microalbuminuria. No statistically significant differences of age at baseline examination, sex, BMI and social class were observed between three groups of albumin excretion. Furthermore diabetics, hypertensives and current WP smokers were significantly more likely to have microalbuminuria compared with non-diabetics, normotensives and non-WP smokers, respectively. Table 1, shows the demographic and lifestyle characteristics of study sample.

Water-pipe smoking and high-normal albuminuria

The frequency of high-normal albuminuria was significantly higher among WP smokers compared with non-WP smokers. Univariable analysis showed that WP smokers were 2.4 times more likely to have high-normal albuminuria compared with non-WP smokers (OR = 2.40, 95% CI 1.75-3.28, p-value <0.001). Furthermore on univariable analysis, individuals who were, older (p-value <0.001), females (p-value 0.002), obese (p-value 0.001), had diabetes mellitus (p-value 0.001) and hypertension (p-value 0.002) were significantly more likely to have high-normal albuminuria compared with youngers, males, desirable weight individuals, non-diabetics and normotensives respectively (table 2). Conversely, manual workers were 30% less likely to have high-normal albuminuria compared with professionals (OR = 0.61, 95% CI 0.43-0.87, p-value 0.001). On multivariable analysis, WP smoking was significantly associated with high-normal albuminuria (OR = 2.33, 95% CI 1.68-3.22, p-value <0.001) after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, social class, hypertension and diabetes mellitus (table 2).

Water-pipe smoking and microalbuminuria

The frequency of microalbuminuria was significantly higher among WP smokers compared to non-WP smokers (p-value 0.001). Univariable analysis showed that WP smokers were 2.3 times more likely to have high microalbuminuria compared with non-WP smokers (OR = 2.27, 95% CI 1.62-3.19, p-value <0.001). Furthermore on univariable analysis, older individuals (p-value <0.001), females (p-value 0.002), obese individuals (p-value 0.001), individuals with diabetes mellitus (p-value 0.001) and individuals with hypertension (p-value 0.002) were significantly more likely to have high microalbuminuria compared to younger, male, desirable weight, non-diabetes and normotensive individuals respectively (table 3). Conversely, manual workers were 64% less likely to have high-normal albuminuria compared with professionals (OR = 0.36, 95% CI 0.25-0.53, p-value 0.001). On multivariable analysis, WP smoking was significantly associated with high microalbuminuria (OR = 1.75, 95% CI 1.18-2.58, p-value 0.005) after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, social class, hypertension and diabetes mellitus (table 3).

Water-pipe smoking and albuminuria in relation to hypertension and diabetes mellitus

A stratified analysis based on hypertension and diabetes mellitus status of individuals was also carried out to understand the relationship between WP smoking and albuminuria. WP smokers were two times more likely to have high-normal albuminuria compared with non-WP smokers regardless of hypertension status. However, WP smokers who had diabetes mellitus were 3.5 times more likely to have high-normal albuminuria compared to non-WP smokers; a slightly higher odds ratio compared to non-diabetics (figure 1A). The effect of WP smoking on microalbuminuria among diabetic and hypertensive individuals was higher when these effects were compared to non-diabetic and normotensive individuals (figure 1B).

Discussion

This is the first population-based study providing some insights in to the effect of WP smoking on renal function of apparently healthy population. This study indicates a harmful relationship between WP smoking and albuminuria. The observed positive association between WP smoking and albuminuria was independent of age at baseline, sex, BMI, social class, hypertension and diabetes mellitus. The association between WP smoking and
albuminuria changed a little when analysis was stratified on presence or absence of hypertension and diabetes mellitus.

Many previous studies have investigated the adverse influence of cigarette smoking on renal function but there is no previous evidence of detrimental effects of WP smoking on renal function. It is well established that the urinary albumin level is a sensitive marker of glomerular injury [19] and the fact that the cigarette smoking is associated with albuminuria indicates a direct or indirect relationship of cigarette smoking in initiation and progression of kidney damage [21]. The finding of this study that WP smoking is related to declining renal function is biologically plausible. Given that the WP smoke contains similar toxicants as cigarettes, kidney damage may have been a result of WP smoking through similar mechanisms as suggested for cigarette smoking. Several mechanisms have been proposed through which cigarette smoking can lead to renal injury.

The increase in glomerular filtration rate (GFR) caused by cigarette smoking may have some role in initiation and development of renal injury and chronic renal diseases [22,23]. The increase in GFR due to cigarette smoking may possibly be a response to nicotine content of smoke which leads to increased excretion of sodium, chloride and urine flow [24,25]. This observation also indicates that the release of catecholamine from adrenal medulla may have been responsible for nicotine action on the kidney. Another possible mechanism by which nicotine can alter GFR is the altered cervical parasympathetic tone may cause increase in vasopressin release which ultimately leads to increase in GFR [26,27]. There is also a possibility that the increase in GFR may be a response to increased blood pressure secondary to smoking nicotine products. Other renal functions such as altered proximal tubular function, increased excretion of N-acetyl-f3-glucosaminidase and impairment of organic cation transport are also a consequence of cigarette smoking, which are particularly related with the amount of tobacco smoked over time. [28].

The findings of this study could be a result of some biases inherent in epidemiological studies. There is a chance of some biases in the table below:

**Table 1.** Baseline characteristics of study participants by albumin excretion.

| Albumin excretion | Normal (n = 1011) | High-normal (n = 340) | Microalbuminuria (n = 274) | P-value* |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Albuminuria (<15 mg/L) | - | 15-30 mg/L | >30-300 mg/L |
| Age at screening (continuous) | 47.97±11.9 | 53.33±13.0 | 57.18±12.2 | <0.001 |
| Age at screening (years) | - | - | - |
| 30-39 | 295 (76.2) | 61 (15.8) | 31 (8.0) | <0.001 |
| 40-49 | 307 (71.9) | 75 (17.6) | 45 (10.5) |
| 50-59 | 196 (57.1) | 80 (23.3) | 67 (19.6) |
| 60-75 | 213 (45.6) | 124 (26.6) | 130 (27.8) |
| Sex | - | - | - |
| Male | 569 (68.7) | 159 (19.2) | 100 (12.1) | <0.001 |
| Female | 442 (55.4) | 181 (22.8) | 173 (21.8) |
| Social class | - | - | - |
| Professional | 129 (50.6) | 63 (24.7) | 63 (24.7) | <0.001 |
| Non-manual workers | 384 (60.6) | 128 (20.2) | 122 (19.2) |
| Manual workers | 498 (67.7) | 149 (20.3) | 88 (12.0) |
| Body mass index (continuous) | - | - | - |
| Desirable < 23 | 258 (72.7) | 62 (17.4) | 35 (9.9) | <0.001 |
| Overweight ≥23-27.5 | 500 (66.5) | 155 (20.6) | 97 (12.9) |
| Obese ≥27.5 | 253 (48.9) | 123 (23.8) | 141 (27.3) |
| Blood pressure | - | - | - |
| Normotensive | 855 (69.6) | 236 (19.2) | 137 (11.2) | <0.001 |
| Hypertensive | 156 (39.4) | 104 (26.3) | 136 (34.3) |
| Diabetes mellitus | - | - | - |
| No | 886 (66.7) | 266 (20.0) | 176 (13.3) | <0.001 |
| Yes | 125 (42.2) | 74 (25.0) | 97 (32.8) |
| Waterpipe smoking | - | - | - |
| Non smokers | 891 (65.7) | 25 (18.9) | 209 (15.4) | <0.001 |
| Current smokers | 120 (44.9) | 83 (31.1) | 64 (24.0) |

P-value was calculated using the chi-squared test. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085652.t001
selection bias which could be due to exclusion of those individuals who used to smoke both cigarettes and WP. The main reason for excluding these individuals was the inability to understand the true effect of WP smoke on albuminuria because of simultaneous cigarette smoking. Moreover, significant differences were observed in age at baseline examination, sex, BMI, social class, hypertension and diabetes mellitus of three study arms i.e. normal albumin excretion, high-normal albumin excretion and microalbuminuria. Differential distribution of these factors may have confounded the observed association between WP smoking and albuminuria, however, multivariable adjustment did not alter the findings of this study. Furthermore, stratified analyses were also carried out based on all these baseline factors but the relationship between WP smoking and albuminuria remained consistent (data not shown).

Diabetes mellitus and hypertension are independently associated with albuminuria so the stratified analyses were also carried out based on these variables. The overall associations between WP smoking and albuminuria, however, multivariable adjustment did not alter the findings of this study. Furthermore, stratified analyses were also carried out based on all these baseline factors but the relationship between WP smoking and albuminuria remained consistent (data not shown).

Table 2. Relationship between water-pipe smoking and high-normal albumin excretion.

|                      | Univariable analysis | Multivariable analysis |
|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
|                      | Odds Ratio (95% CI)  | p value                | Odds Ratio (95% CI)  | p value    |
| **Waterpipe smoking**|                      |                        |                        |            |
| Non smokers          | 1                    |                        | 1                      |            |
| Current smokers      | 2.40 (1.75, 3.28)    | <0.001                 | 2.33 (1.68, 3.22)     | <0.001     |
| Age at screening (years) |                  |                        |                        |            |
| 30-39                | 1                    |                        | 1                      |            |
| 40-49                | 1.18 (0.81, 1.72)    | 0.38                   | 1.09 (0.75, 1.60)     | 0.65       |
| 50-59                | 1.97 (1.35, 2.88)    | <0.001                 | 1.66 (1.12, 2.47)     | 0.01       |
| 60-75                | 2.82 (1.98, 4.01)    | <0.001                 | 2.08 (1.40, 3.09)     | <0.001     |
| **Sex**              |                      |                        |                        |            |
| Male                 | 1                    |                        | 1                      |            |
| Female               | 1.47 (1.45, 1.88)    | 0.002                  | 1.53 (1.18, 1.98)     | <0.001     |
| **Social class**     |                      |                        |                        |            |
| Professional         | 1                    |                        | 1                      |            |
| Non-manual workers   | 0.68 (0.48, 0.98)    | 0.04                   | 0.96 (0.61, 1.50)     | 0.84       |
| Manual workers       | 0.61 (0.43, 0.87)    | 0.006                  | 1.00 (0.60, 1.65)     | 0.23       |
| **Body mass index (kg/m²)** |                  |                        |                        |            |
| Desirable < 23       | 1                    |                        | 1                      |            |
| Overweight >23-27.5  | 1.29 (0.93, 1.80)    | 0.13                   | 0.90 (0.64, 1.29)     | 0.57       |
| Obese >27.5          | 2.02 (1.42, 2.87)    | <0.001                 | 1.28 (0.82, 2.02)     | 0.28       |
| **Blood pressure *  |                      |                        |                        |            |
| Normotensive         | 1                    |                        | 1                      |            |
| Hypertensive         | 2.42 (1.81, 3.21)    | <0.001                 | 1.65 (1.19, 2.28)     | 0.002      |
| **Diabetes mellitus *|                      |                        |                        |            |
| No                   | 1                    |                        | 1                      |            |
| Yes                  | 1.97 (1.43, 2.71)    | <0.001                 | 1.19 (0.83, 1.71)     | 0.34       |

All estimates were adjusted for age at screening, sex, BMI, social class blood pressure and diabetes mellitus. * indicate increased level of specific measure or a previously diagnosed condition.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085652.t002

This was the first population-based study with large sample size, which assessed the relationship between WP smoking and albuminuria. Generally, the adverse health effects of WP smoking are not very well understood yet and most of the health conditions are examined in association with WP smoking. Furthermore, the quality of evidence is fairly low which has been suggested by a review [29]. There are some limitations of this study as well which need to be accounted when drawing any conclusions from present analysis. Firstly, the study sample was drawn from one region of Pakistan which mainly represents only one ethnic group (which is approximately 60% of national population) living in this country.
so the findings of the present study may not be generalizable to other regions and ethnicities living in Pakistan. Secondly, selection of study sample was based on different types of invitations to increase the participation rate which may have led to some selection bias. However, our previous analysis has showed that the distribution of many risk factors i.e. obesity, hypertension and diabetes mellitus, is comparable with published studies from this region [11] so any effect is unlikely due to differential distribution of background risk factors in the study sample. Lastly, in this part of the country, traditional WPs are commonly used and these were mainly smoked by the study participants. There can be some argument that the raw charcoal and raw tobacco may not be similar in terms of smoke toxicants produced by the modern fashionable WPs. However, some evidence suggests that flavouring of tobacco and charcoal did not cut down the potential adverse effects of WPs [30–32].

Public health implications

WP smoking has markedly increased globally in recent years. Given the injurious effects of WP smoking on renal function observed in this study, WP smoking may significantly increase the burden of renal diseases in future, however, confirmation of a causal relationship between WP smoking and renal damage is required before initiating wider public health actions. Further prospective studies including younger individuals and using different varieties of tobacco in WPs may be useful in understanding the relationship of WP smoking in development of renal and other chronic diseases. Health education and awareness programs specific to target groups are the only interventions which are critical to eliminate the false beliefs of WP harmlessness. Although, the target groups for such interventions can be broad but particular attention to younger age individuals will be important to reduce the future burden of diseases associated with WP smoking.

Conclusion

This study observed a significant independent positive relationship between WP smoking and albuminuria among middle and old aged individuals. Future research using prospective cohort and including younger age individuals would be useful to understand the mechanism and temporal association between WP smoking and albuminuria.

### Table 3. Relationship between water-pipe smoking and microalbuminuria.

|                          | Univariable analysis | Multivariable analysis$\dagger$ |
|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
|                          | Odds Ratio (95% CI)  | p value                         | Odds Ratio (95% CI)  | p value |
| **Water-pipe smoking**   |                      |                                 |                      |         |
| Non smokers              | 1                    |                                 | 1                    |         |
| Current smokers          | 2.27 (1.62, 3.19)    | <0.001                          | 1.75 (1.18, 2.58)    | 0.005   |
| **Age at screening (years)** |                      |                                 |                      |         |
| 30-39                    | 1                    |                                 | 1                    |         |
| 40-49                    | 1.39 (0.86, 2.26)    | 0.18                            | 1.07 (0.64, 1.77)    | 0.36    |
| 50-59                    | 3.25 (2.05, 5.17)    | <0.001                          | 1.86 (1.12, 3.08)    | 0.02    |
| 60-75                    | 5.81 (3.78, 8.92)    | <0.001                          | 2.64 (1.63, 4.30)    | <0.001  |
| **Sex**                  |                      |                                 |                      |         |
| Male                     | 1                    |                                 | 1                    |         |
| Female                   | 2.23 (1.69, 2.93)    | <0.001                          | 2.22 (1.63, 3.01)    | <0.001  |
| **Social class**         |                      |                                 |                      |         |
| Professional             | 1                    |                                 | 1                    |         |
| Non-manual workers       | 0.65 (0.45, 0.94)    | 0.02                            | 1.09 (0.69, 1.73)    | 0.36    |
| Manual workers           | 0.36 (0.25, 0.53)    | <0.001                          | 0.93 (0.54, 1.60)    | 0.78    |
| **Body mass index (kg/m²)** |                      |                                 |                      |         |
| Desirable < 23           | 1                    |                                 | 1                    |         |
| Overweight >23-27.5      | 1.43 (0.94, 2.16)    | 0.09                            | 0.75 (0.48, 1.18)    | 0.22    |
| Obese > 27.5            | 4.11 (2.73, 6.18)    | <0.001                          | 1.75 (1.04, 2.95)    | 0.34    |
| **Blood pressure**       |                      |                                 |                      |         |
| Normotensive             | 1                    |                                 | 1                    |         |
| Hypertensive             | 5.44 (4.06, 7.29)    | <0.001                          | 2.91 (2.07, 4.09)    | <0.001  |
| **Diabetes mellitus**    |                      |                                 |                      |         |
| No                       | 1                    |                                 | 1                    |         |
| Yes                      | 3.91 (2.86, 5.33)    | <0.001                          | 1.63 (1.22, 2.35)    | 0.01    |

All estimates were adjusted for age at screening, sex, BMI, social class blood pressure and diabetes mellitus. * indicate increased level of specific measure or a previously diagnosed condition.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085652.t003
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