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A partial wave analysis (PWA) of the of the $\eta\pi^0$ system (where $\eta \to \gamma\gamma$) produced in the charge exchange reaction $\pi^- p \to \eta\pi^0 n$ at an incident momentum of 18 GeV/$c$ is presented as a function of $\eta\pi^0$ invariant mass, $m_{\eta\pi^0}$, and momentum transfer squared, $t_{\pi^- \to \eta\pi}$, from the incident $\pi^-$ to the outgoing $\eta\pi^0$ system. $S$, $P$ and $D$ waves were included in the PWA. The $a_0(980)$ and $a_2(1320)$ states are clearly observed in the overall $\eta\pi^0$ effective mass distribution as well as in the amplitudes associated with $S$ wave and $D$ waves respectively after partial wave decomposition. The observed distributions in moments (averages of spherical harmonics) were compared to the results from the PWA and the two are consistent. The distribution in $t_{\pi^- \to \eta\pi}$ for individual $D$ waves associated with natural and unnatural parity exchange in the $t$-channel are consistent with Regge phenomenology. Of particular interest in this study is the $P$ wave since this leads to an exotic $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$ for the $\eta\pi$ system. A $P$ wave is present in the data, however attempts to describe the mass dependence of the amplitude and phase motion with respect to the $D$ wave as a Breit-Wigner resonance are problematic. This has implications regarding the existence of a reported exotic $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$ meson decaying into $\eta\pi^0$ with a mass near 1.4 GeV/$c^2$.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The observation nearly four decades ago that mesons are grouped in nonets, each characterized by unique values of $J^{PC} = \text{spin} (J), \text{parity} (P)$ and charge conjugation ($C$) quantum numbers – led to the development of the quark model. Within this picture, mesons are bound states of a quark ($q$) and antiquark ($\bar{q}$). The three light-quark flavors (up, down and strange) suffice to explain the spectroscopy of most – but not all – of the lighter-mass mesons (i.e. below 3 GeV/$c^2$) that do not explicitly carry heavy flavors (charm or beauty). Early observations yielded only those $J^{PC}$ quantum numbers consistent with a fermion-antifermion bound state. The $J^{PC}$ quantum numbers of a $qq$ system with total quark spin, $\vec{S}$, and relative angular momentum, $\vec{L}$, are determined as follows: $J = \vec{L} + \vec{S}$, $P = (-1)^{L+1}$ and $C = (-1)^{L+S}$. Thus $J^{PC}$ quantum numbers such as $0^{--}$, $0^{++}$, $1^{-+}$ and $2^{++}$ are not allowed and are called exotic in this context.

Our understanding of how quarks form mesons has evolved within quantum chromodynamics (QCD) and we now expect a richer spectrum of mesons that takes into account not only the quark degrees of freedom but also the gluonic degrees of freedom. Gluonic mesons with no quarks (glueballs) are expected. These are bound states of gluons and since the quantum numbers of low-lying glueballs are not necessarily exotic, they should manifest themselves as extraneous states that cannot be accommodated within $qq$ nonets. Indeed there is evidence for a glueball state $\Pi^0$ based on overpopulation of the scalar nonet but identification is complicated since the observed states can be a mixture of a glueball and $\bar{q}q$. Excitations of the gluonic field binding the quarks can also give rise to so-called hybrid mesons that can be viewed as bound states of a quark, anti-quark and valence gluon ($q\bar{q}g$). An alternative picture of hybrid mesons, one supported by lattice QCD, is one in which a gluonic flux tube forms between the quark and anti-quark and the excitations of this flux tube lead to so-called hybrid mesons $\Theta$. Conventional $\bar{q}q$ mesons arise when the flux tube is in its ground state. Some hybrid mesons can have a unique signature, exotic $J^{PC}$, and therefore the spectroscopy of exotic hybrid mesons is not complicated by possible mixing with conventional $\bar{q}q$ states. According to the flux-tube model $\Theta$ and lattice gauge calculations $\Theta$, one expects the lightest $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$ exotic hybrid to have a mass of about 1.9 GeV/$c^2$. In contrast, calculations based on the
A. Experimental situation

After about two decades of experimental searches there have been reports of experimental observations of states with \( J^{PC} = 1^{-+} \) by the Brookhaven E852 collaboration in \( \pi^- p \) interactions at 18 GeV/c. One of these has a mass of \( (1593 \pm 8_{-27}^{+29}) \) MeV/c\(^2\) and width of \( (168 \pm 20_{-15}^{+16}) \) MeV/c\(^2\) and decays into \( p^0 \pi^- \) \cite{4,5,6} and another has a similar mass, \( (1597 \pm 10_{-19}^{+13}) \) MeV/c\(^2\), but a larger width, \( (340 \pm 40^{+65}_{-50}) \) MeV/c\(^2\), and decays into \( \eta \pi^- \) \cite{3}. The E852 collaboration also reported observation of another \( J^{PC} = 1^{-+} \) state with mass \( (1370 \pm 10_{-100}^{+59}) \) MeV/c\(^2\) and a width of \( (385 \pm 40^{+65}_{-105}) \) MeV/c\(^2\) decaying into \( \eta \pi^- \) \cite{10,11}. If an \( \eta \pi \) system is in a \( P \) wave, the resulting \( J^{PC} \) quantum number combination is exotic \( (1^{-+}) \). Critical to the identification of this state is not only showing the presence of a \( P \) wave, but also that the resulting line shape is consistent with a Breit-Wigner and that the phase motion of the \( P \), as determined by its interference with the dominant \( D \) wave cannot be due solely to the \( a_2^+ \) (1320) resonance. Soon after the E852 report, the Crystal Barrel Collaboration reported an exotic \( J^{PC} = 1^{-+} \) state produced in \( \bar{p} n \) interactions at 18 GeV/c with a mass of \( (1370 \pm 10_{-100}^{+59}) \) MeV/c\(^2\) and a width of \( (385 \pm 40^{+65}_{-105}) \) MeV/c\(^2\). A later analysis of \( \bar{p} n \to \eta \pi^0 \eta \) supported this evidence for an exotic state \cite{12}.

The first claim of an exotic meson decaying into \( \eta \pi^0 \) with a mass of 1400 MeV/c\(^2\) was made by the GAMS collaboration in the reaction \( \pi^- p \to \eta \pi^0 p \) \cite{14} but a later analysis by the group \cite{15} led to ambiguous results. The VES collaboration also presented evidence for a \( P \)-wave contribution in \( \eta \pi \) \cite{16} and at KEK a claim was made for an exotic \( \eta \pi \) state \cite{15} as well but with a mass and width close to that of the \( a_2(1320) \) and leakage from the dominant \( D \) wave could not be excluded.

Indeed, in all the observations, the \( \eta \pi \) \( P \) wave enhancements have cross sections that are substantially smaller than the dominant \( a_2(1320) \) so this leakage, usually due to an imperfect understanding of experimental acceptance, is a source of concern. For example, an observed enhancement in the \( P \) wave in the \( \rho \pi \) system at low mass was reported in references \cite{2,8} as being due to leakage. Apart from these experimental issues, the interpretation of the nature of low-mass \( \eta \pi \) \( P \) wave amplitude and phase motion should be guided by the principle of parsimony – less exotic interpretations must also be considered.

This work presents a partial wave analysis (PWA) of the \( \eta \pi^0 \) system produced in \( \pi^- p \) interactions at an incident momentum of 18 GeV/c using the E852 apparatus. In this analysis both the \( \eta \) and \( \pi^0 \) are detected through their 2\( \gamma \) decays. The starting point uses data corresponding to the reaction \( \pi^- p \to 4\gamma n \). A subset of these data correspond to \( \pi^- p \to \pi^0 \pi^0 n \) and the partial wave analysis of the \( \pi^0 \pi^0 \) system has been reported on in an earlier publication \cite{18}. Here we present the PWA of data from \( \pi^- p \to \eta \pi^0 n \).

In contrast to the \( \eta \pi^- \) system, charge-conjugation \( C \) is a good quantum number for the neutral \( \eta \pi^0 \) system. In the E852 experiment the \( \eta \pi^- \) and \( \eta \pi^0 \) systems have different systematics. The latter relies on measurements from an electromagnetic detector alone while the former also requires information from charged particle tracking in the forward direction. Moreover, as will be discussed below, two resonances dominate the \( \pi^- p \to \eta \pi^0 n \) reaction; the scalar \( (S \) wave) \( a_0(980) \) and the tensor \( (D \) wave) \( a_2(1320) \) providing benchmarks for the PWA. In contrast, only the \( a_2(1320) \) is dominant in the \( \pi^- p \to \eta \pi^- p \) reaction.

II. EXPERIMENTAL OVERVIEW

The E852 apparatus \cite{19} was built around and included the Multi-Particle Spectrometer (MPS) at BNL. The data used for the analysis reported in this paper were collected in 1994 and 1995 using a nearly pure \( (>95\%) \) beam of negative pions of momentum 18.3 GeV/c. A 30-cm-long liquid hydrogen target was surrounded by a cylindrical drift chamber \cite{20} and an array of thallium-doped CsI crystals \cite{21} arranged in a barrel, all located inside the MPS dipole magnet. Drift chambers were used to track charged particles downstream of the target. Two proportional wire chambers (PWC’s), downstream of the target, were used in requiring specific charged particle multiplicities in the event trigger. A 3000-element lead glass detector (LGD) \cite{22} measured the energies and posi-
tions of photons in the forward direction. The dimensions of the LGD matched the downstream aperture of the MPS magnet. Photons missing the LGD were detected by the CsI array or by a lead/scintillator sandwich array (DEA) downstream of the target and arranged around an aperture to allow for the passage of charged particles.

The first level trigger required that the unscattered or elastically scattered beam not enter an arrangement of two small beam-veto scintillation counters located in front of the LGD. The next level of trigger required that there be no signal in the DEA and no charged particles recorded in the cylindrical drift chamber surrounding the target or in the PWC’s (an all-neutral trigger). In the 1994 run all layers of the cylindrical drift chamber were used in the trigger requirement whereas in the 1995 run, only the outer layer was used. A common off-line analysis criterion required no hits in the cylindrical drift chamber. The final trigger requirement was a minimum deposition of electromagnetic energy in the LGD corresponding to 12 GeV.

The LGD is central to this analysis. The LGD was initially calibrated by moving each module into a monoenergetic electron beam. Further calibration was performed by adjusting the calibration constant for each module until the width of the π0 and η peaks in the γγ effective mass distribution was minimized. The calibration constants were also used for a trigger processor that did a digital calculation of energy deposited in the LGD and the effective mass of photons striking the LGD. A laser-based monitoring system allowed for tracking the gains of individual modules.

Studies were made of various algorithms for finding clusters of energies deposited by photons, including issues of photon-to-photon separation and position finding resolution. These are also described in reference [22].

III. EVENT RECONSTRUCTION AND DATA SELECTION

Experiment E852 took data in 1994 and 1995 with an approximate seven-fold increase in statistics collected in 1995 compared to 1994.

The combined data sets taken in 1994 and 1995 contain approximately 70 million all-neutral triggered events. Of these events, approximately 13 million were found to have four photons in the LGD. Figure 1 shows a scatterplot of one di-photon effective mass against the other di-photon combination in the event. There are clear indications of the presence of π0π0 and ηπ0 events in the sample. The single clustering at \( m_{\gamma\gamma} = m_{\gamma\gamma} = 0.135 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \) is dominated by π0π0 events and the two clusterings at \( m_{\gamma\gamma} = 0.135 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \), \( m_{\gamma\gamma} = 0.540 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \) are dominated by ηπ0 events.

Studies were carried out comparing the 1994 and 1995 data sets for both π0π0 and ηπ0 events. In each case distributions in the decay angles of the π0π0 (or ηπ0) system were studied in mass bins of 40 MeV/c^2 and in four bins of \( t \), where \( t \) is the square of the momentum transferred from the beam π− to the outgoing 4γ system. A Kolmogorov test measured the probability that the two distributions (1994 and 1995 data) in each case were consistent with having been drawn from the same parent distribution. The conclusion of this study is that the 1994 and 1995 data sets are statistically indistinguishable.

Events consistent with the production of two π0's dominate the sample. The partial wave analysis of the π0π0 events is presented in an unpublished thesis of J. Gunter and in a previous paper [18]. The identification of events from the reaction \( \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0n \) is described in the unpublished thesis of R. Lindenbusch [22].

The sample of 45,000 \( \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0n \) events was selected from the 13 million four photon events by imposing various analysis criteria. It was required that no charged particles were registered in the MPS drift chambers or the cylindrical drift chamber surrounding the liquid hydrogen target. This cut was performed at the pre-reconstruction level and was based on total hit multiplicity. Any event with a photon within 8 cm of the center of the beam hole or the outer edge of the LGD was removed.

Monte Carlo studies were carried out to determine the \( \chi^2 \) criteria needed to select ηπ0 events and eliminate backgrounds from other processes, especially from π0π0 events. Events corresponding to π0π0, ηπ0, ηη, and ηπ0 were generated and passed through detector simulation, reconstruction, kinematic fitting and other event selection software. The \( \chi^2 \) returned from kinematic fitting to the \( \pi p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0n \) reaction hypothesis was required to be
less than 7.8 (95% C.L. for a three-constraint fit). The two-constraint \( \chi^2 \) for the \( \pi^0\pi^0 \) hypothesis (no requirement that the missing mass be consistent with the neutron mass) was required to be greater than 100. It was found that this latter cut eliminated true \( \pi^0\pi^0 \) events that have a poor fit to the \( \pi^+p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0n \) hypothesis. A further demand was that none of the other final state hypotheses considered \( (\eta\eta, \eta\pi^0n) \) had a better \( \chi^2 \) than that used to select the \( \eta\pi^0n \) hypothesis.

The final criterion was that the CsI detector registered less than 20 MeV, a cut which eliminated events with low-energy \( \pi^0 \)s. Background studies \[24\] estimated the non-\( \eta \) background in the \( \pi^+p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0n \). The signal-to-noise ratio varies from about 5.1 : 1 in the \( \eta\pi^0 \) mass region 1.2 to 1.5 GeV/c\(^2\) to 2.5 : 1 in the \( \eta\pi^0 \) mass regions below this range and above this range up to 1.8 GeV/c\(^2\).

The \( \eta\pi^0 \) effective mass distribution is shown in figure 2(a). The \( a_0(980) \) and \( a_2(1320) \) states, one a scalar and the other a tensor, are clearly observed. The presence of both states is important for this analysis since it provides a basis, as will be discussed below, for selecting the physical solutions from among mathematically ambiguous solutions in doing the partial wave analysis. This distribution in \( \eta\pi^0 \) effective mass is not corrected for the acceptance of the apparatus but the PWA procedure does take into account the effects of experimental acceptance.

The distribution in \( t_{\pi^- \rightarrow \eta\pi} \) is shown in figure 2(b). Figure 3 shows the four-photon effective mass distribution for events with a di-photon mass combination consistent with a \( \pi^0 \) and the other di-photon mass either below or above the \( \eta \) mass region for a portion of the total four-photon sample. The resulting \( \gamma\gamma\pi^0 \) spectra do not show the enhancements at the \( a_0(980) \) and \( a_2(1320) \) observed in the \( \eta\pi^0 \) spectrum.

A possible source of background in the \( \eta\pi^0 \) in the \( a_0(980) \) mass region are events corresponding to production of the final state \( \eta\pi^0\pi^0n \) and in particular that subset corresponding to \( f_1(1285)n \) where the \( f_1 \) decays to \( a_0\pi^0 \). There events can enter the sample under study if one of the \( \pi^0 \)'s escapes detection. From a Monte Carlo study of this sample \[24\] based on a measurement of \( f_1(1285) \) production in this experiment \[16\] it is estimated that at most 10% of the events in the \( a_0(980) \) region are due to this background process.

The acceptance in \( \eta\pi^0 \) effective mass and \( t_{\pi^- \rightarrow \eta\pi} \) was estimated by generating Monte Carlo events corresponding to the reaction \( \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0n \). The acceptance functions as a function of \( \eta\pi^0 \) effective mass are shown in figure 4. The acceptance in \( t_{\pi^- \rightarrow \eta\pi} \) increases for \( -t \) near zero. This comes about because of the all-neutral requirement in the trigger. The recoil neutron produced in the reaction can interact and cause a signal in the CsI detector thereby vetoing true \( \pi^0\pi^0n \) events but for small values of \(|t|\) the neutron cannot escape the LH\(_2\) target and the event will not be vetoed.
Fits were performed for three bins in $t$ and an event is fully specified by $(m_\eta, \eta)$ of sequential decays leading to the final state under consideration since the three-body final state follows from a quasi-isobar model needed for more complex final states, for example, two body interaction. This is in contrast to the Gottfried-Jackson formalism used in this analysis is model independent wave amplitudes and phase differences between various partial waves. The decay angles $(|\theta, \phi|)$ for $0.14 < |t| < 0.31$ (GeV/c$^2$)$^2$, and high-$|t|$ for $|t| > 0.31$ (GeV/c$^2$)$^2$. For each $t$ bin the PWA fits were performed in 0.04 GeV/c$^2$-wide $\eta \pi^0$ mass bins.

Events corresponding to the reaction $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 n$ were processed through PWA software that extracts partial wave amplitudes and phase differences between various partial waves. This procedure takes into account information about the acceptance of the apparatus. The PWA formalism used in this analysis is model independent since the three-body final state follows from a quasi-two body interaction. This is in contrast to the isobar model needed for more complex final states, for example, in which one makes specific assumptions about a series of sequential decays leading to the final state under consideration.

The decay angular distributions are described by the relationship between the magnetic quantum number $L$ and associated magnetic quantum number $M$ and natural parity exchange obtains if parity and spin are related as $P = (−1)^f$ (e.g. $\rho$ meson exchange) and the exchange is unnatural if $P = (−1)^{f+1}$ (e.g. $\pi$ meson exchange).

This basis, the reflectivity basis, is one that does not possess the mixed symmetries of the D-functions or spherical harmonics under rotations about the $y$ axis. For $M > 0$ the linear combination with positive reflectivity, corresponding to natural parity exchange, is:

$$+ D_{M0}(\phi, \theta, 0) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [D_{M0}^L(\phi, \theta, 0) - (-1)^M D_{-M0}^L(\phi, \theta, 0)]$$

and the linear combination with negative reflectivity, corresponding to unnatural parity exchange, is:

$$- D_{M0}(\phi, \theta, 0) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [D_{M0}^L(\phi, \theta, 0) + (-1)^M D_{-M0}^L(\phi, \theta, 0)]$$

For $M = 0$ the reflectivity is negative and:

$$- D_{00}^L(\phi, \theta, 0) \equiv D_{00}^L(\phi, \theta, 0)$$

The distribution of events in $m_{\eta\pi}$, $t$, $\theta$ and $\phi$ is given by

$$I(m_{\eta\pi}, t, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{LM} A_L^M(m_{\eta\pi}, t) \frac{1}{2} |D_{M0}^L(\theta, \phi, 0)|^2$$

where the $A_{LM}$ are the production amplitudes and we refer to the various waves as $S_0 \equiv A_{00}^L$, $P_1 \equiv A_{11}^L$, $P_2 \equiv A_{21}^L$, $D_+ \equiv A_{22}^L$, $D_- \equiv A_{12}^L$, and $D_0 \equiv A_{02}^L$.

In this analysis we considered $L = 0, 1, 2$ (the nomenclature is $S$, $P$ and $D$ respectively). Waves are further characterized by a subscript $0$ if $M = 0$ and $+ \text{ or } -$ if $|M| > 0$. As noted in [23], amplitudes with $|M| > 1$ are not expected to be important for the production of mesons in $\pi p$ quasi-two-body processes. This assumption is borne out by PWA fits to these data indicating little

![FIG. 5: Acceptance in Gottfried-Jackson angles for the $\eta \pi^0$ effective mass region from 1.3 GeV/c$^2$ to 1.4 GeV/c$^2$ for: (a) $\cos(\theta_{GJ})$, (b) $\phi_{GJ}$.](image)
A. Ambiguities and finding the physical solution

There are multiple discrete sets of partial wave amplitudes that can give rise to exactly the same angular distribution. It can be shown that for the production of two pseudoscalars with only S, P, and D waves there are up to eight ambiguous solutions. For a given bin in \( m_{\eta\pi} \) these multiple solutions can be found by randomly seeding the fit (using sets of different initial values for the amplitudes) and doing multiple fits.

It is also possible to find the ambiguous solutions analytically, starting with one of the solutions found from the fitting procedure using the method of Barrelet zeroes. In this analysis the ambiguous solutions found from multiple randomly seeded fits were found to be consistent with the ambiguous solutions found analytically.

As an example, all ambiguous solutions are shown for the \( S_0 \) and \( D_+ \) waves for the full range in \( t \) in figure 6. The presence of the dominant \( a_2(1320) \) in the \( D \) wave leads to a clustering of solutions about the expected Breit-Wigner lineshape. The solutions for the \( S \) wave are more widely distributed.

When possible, mass-bin to mass-bin continuity along with other independent criteria must be used to select the physical solutions among the ambiguous solutions. In this analysis the presence of the well-established \( a_0(980) \) and \( a_2(1320) \) states, as observed in the \( \eta\pi \) mass distribution, provides a means by which to select the physical solution. For each \( m_{\eta\pi} \) mass bin the solution selected as physical is one where the \( S_0 \) and \( D_+ \) amplitudes and the phase difference, \( \Delta\Phi(D_0 - S_0) \), all as a function of \( \eta\pi \) mass, are simultaneously most consistent with two interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes with masses and widths for the \( a_0(980) \) and \( a_2(1320) \) as listed in the Review of Particle Properties. For example, referring to figure 6, the \( S_0 \) amplitude of the physical solution in the 1.0 \( \text{GeV}/c^2 \) region should be large and its corresponding \( D_+ \) amplitude small and in the 1.3 \( \text{GeV}/c^2 \) mass region the \( D_+ \) amplitude should be large and the \( S_0 \) amplitude should be small. It was found that the requirement that the \( S_0 \) and \( D_+ \) solutions simultaneously lie close to the expected line shapes leaves a few ambiguities that are removed when the criterion of proximity to the expected value of \( \Delta\Phi(D_0 - S_0) \) is imposed. We note that although the \( a_2(1320) \) is dominant in the \( D_+ \) wave, the criterion for selection involved the phase difference between the \( D_0 \) and \( S_0 \) waves – the \( D_+ \) and \( S_0 \) waves do not interfere. In summary, these criteria were sufficient to uniquely select the physical solution.

In the discussion below that follows the description of fits to experimentally observed moments distributions, we discuss additional quantitative measures for discarding other ambiguous solutions as well as the effect of their inclusion on the stability of the final results. In addition, as discussed below, the \( t \)-dependences of the selected \( D \)-wave solutions are well-described by Regge phenomenology as is the ratio \( (D_0 - D_-)/D_+ \), giving us confidence in the selection procedure.

In figure 6 the selected physical solution in each of the low-\(|t|\), medium-\(|t|\) and high-\(|t|\) regions is shown as a function of \( \eta\pi^0 \) mass for the \( S_0 \)-wave, the \( D_+ \) wave and the \( \Delta\Phi(S_0 - D_0) \) phase difference. Also shown are the corresponding line shapes and phase differences assuming two interfering Breit-Wigner forms for the \( a_0(980) \) and \( a_2(1320) \) – the basis for the criteria used to select the physical solutions. We note that the intensity and phase motion of the \( S_0 \)-wave is also consistent with the presence of both the \( a_0(980) \) and the \( a_2(1450) \). The \( a_0(1450) \) resonance parameters have been extracted from a coupled channel analysis of final states produced in \( pp \) annihilations. This may account for the excess of events in the 1.4 \( \text{GeV}/c^2 \) mass region for the \( S_0 \)-wave intensity. However because of the large statistical errors in this region we have not been able to unambiguously extract the \( a_0(1450) \) resonance parameters. We will return to this issue in Sections VI and VII.

V. LEAKAGE STUDIES

Incomplete or incorrect understanding of the experimental acceptance can lead to serious systematic effects in this type of analysis. In particular, it is possible that part of a large, dominant partial wave (for example \( D_+ \)) could appear as a spurious signal in a different partial wave. We refer to this phenomenon as leakage and study...
A Monte Carlo sample of pure $a_2(1320)$ events was generated including both $D_0$ and $D_+$ waves. This Monte Carlo sample included the effects of experimental acceptance. This sample was analyzed in two ways, in one case our best estimate of the acceptance was used, in the second no correction for the acceptance was made.

The analysis using the acceptance correction should produce as output only $D_0$ and $D_+$ waves with all others consistent with zero. This was found to be the case and the resulting $S_0$ and $P_+$ solutions are compared to the $D_+$ intensity in figure 7(a). A similar result was obtained for all other waves. We conclude that there are no pathological defects in our method since we were able to reproduce correct output from known input.

The second analysis provides an upper limit as to the contribution of leakage in this analysis. The acceptance is known to be significantly different than 100% and a function of the kinematic variables, see, for example, figure 7(a). Approximating the acceptance as equal to 100% independent of the kinematic variables simulates a situation where our understanding of the acceptance is as incorrect as possible, thus providing a limit on the effect of leakage.

It was found that ignoring the acceptance does generate spurious signals in other partial waves. Results for the $P_+$ and $S_0$ partial waves are shown in figure 7(b). Crucial to the interpretation of this spurious $P_+$ partial wave is the fact that even when ignoring corrections for the acceptance, the $\Delta \Phi(P_+ - D_+)$ phase difference is independent of mass. As we will show below, the observed

\[ \Delta \Phi(P_+ - D_+) \] phase difference is a strong function of $\eta \pi^0$ mass.

## VI. Experimental Moments

To check the results of PWA fitting, moments of the spherical harmonics were calculated for each bin in $m_{\eta \pi^0}$ for each of the three $t$ regions. These moments are labelled as $H(LM)$ and are defined as

\[ H(LM) = \int I(\Omega) D_{LM}^* \Omega(\phi, \theta, \phi_0) d\Omega. \]  

(5)

Since

\[ H(00) = \int I(\Omega) d\Omega \]  

(6)

$H(00)/(4\pi)$ is just the number of events. Moments are directly measured observables and therefore not subject to ambiguities. Given a set of amplitudes, the expected values of the moments can be calculated. If the partial wave expansion is limited to $S, P$ and $D$ waves with $|M| \leq 1$ there are 12 non-zero moments and the following relations hold:

\[ H(00) = |S_0|^2 + |P_0|^2 + |P_-|^2 + |D_0|^2 + |D_-|^2 + |P_+|^2 + |D_+|^2 \]  

(7)

\[ H(10) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} Re\{S_0 P_+^*\} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{15}} Re\{P_0 D_0^*\} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} Re\{P_- D_+\} \]  

(8)
for the other moments. Agreement is excellent and similar results were obtained using the selected PWA solutions computed directly from data with those calculated from the above expressions. Not lead to a unique solution. In terms of the non-ambiguous observable moments does not involve terms linear in the \( P \) or \( H \). We note that the \( H(00),(b) H(10), (c) H(20), \) and \( (d) H(30) \). The relation between moments and PWA amplitudes and phase differences is given in the text.

### A. Fitting the moments

The moments as function of \( \eta^0 \) mass were fitted using the above equations and assuming Breit-Wigner resonance line shapes for the \( a_0(980) \), the \( a_2(1320) \) and a possible \( P \)-wave resonance. These line shapes specify the form of the mass dependence of the amplitudes and phases (interference terms) in the above equations.

A relativistic Breit-Wigner form was used:

\[
A_{LM}(m) = \frac{A_{LM}B_L((qR)^2)}{m^2 - m_r^2 + im_r \Gamma_L(m)}
\]

where \( q = \lambda(m, m_\pi, m_\eta) \) is the breakup momentum of mass \( m \). \( A_{LM} \) is a complex, mass-independent normalization, and \( m_r \) is mass of the resonance. The energy dependent width is given by

\[
\Gamma_L(m) = \Gamma_r \left( \frac{q_r}{q} \right) \left( \frac{m_r}{m} \right) \left( \frac{B_L((qR)^2)}{B_L((q_rR)^2)} \right)^2
\]

with \( q_r = \lambda(m_r, m_\pi, m_\eta) \) and the barrier factors \( B_L(x) \) given by \( B_0(x) = 1, B_1(x) = \sqrt{x/(1+x)}, B_2(x) = \sqrt{x^2/(x-3)^2 + 9x} \), where the \( R \) parameterizes the range of the interaction. In the cross section, phase space introduces a factor of \( q \) which multiplies the intensity.
TABLE I: The mass and width for the a_{0}(980), a_{2}(1320) and a P-wave resonance obtained from a simultaneous fit to the distributions in each of 12 moments. Details are given in the text. For fit (I) the P-wave mass and width are allowed to float. For fit (II) the P-wave parameters are fixed at the values reported in reference [11]. The \chi^2 per degree of freedom, \chi^2_{\nu} is also given for the two fits.

| Parameter | low-| | medium-| | high-|
|-----------|----| | ----| | ----|
| M_{a_{0}(I)} | 0.987 ± 0.002 | 0.980 ± 0.005 | 1.005 ± 0.01 |
| M_{a_{0}(II)} | 0.987 ± 0.002 | 0.981 ± 0.007 | 0.990 ± 0.006 |
| \Gamma_{a_{0}(I)} | 0.094 ± 0.011 | 0.246 ± 0.031 | 0.37 ± 0.050 |
| \Gamma_{a_{0}(II)} | 0.094 ± 0.010 | 0.21 ± 0.04 | 0.31 ± 0.04 |
| M_{a_{2}(I)} | 1.318 ± 0.003 | 1.329 ± 0.002 | 1.332 ± 0.003 |
| M_{a_{2}(II)} | 1.318 ± 0.003 | 1.329 ± 0.002 | 1.335 ± 0.003 |
| \Gamma_{a_{2}(I)} | 0.174 ± 0.0093 | 0.155 ± 0.0067 | 0.172 ± 0.0092 |
| \Gamma_{a_{2}(II)} | 0.141 ± 0.0009 | 0.147 ± 0.0007 | 0.174 ± 0.0009 |
| M_{X}(I) | 1.386 ± 0.032 | 9.99 ± 8.77 | 3.47 ± 0.70 |
| M_{X}(II) | 1.37 | 1.37 | 1.37 |
| \Gamma_{X}(I) | 0.363 ± 0.081 | 0.11 ± 0.31 | 0.10 ± 0.27 |
| \Gamma_{X}(II) | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.37 |
| \chi^2_{\nu}(I) | 1.55 | 1.40 | 1.43 |
| \chi^2_{\nu}(II) | 1.54 | 1.70 | 2.00 |

function given in equation [41]. Finally the S-wave contribution was modified by adding an incoherent background parametrized as a linear function in m_{\eta\pi^0}.

There are 21 parameters allowed to vary in the simultaneous fits to the distributions of the 12 moments as a function of \eta\pi^0 mass. The S, P and D-wave Breit-Wigners are each characterized by a mass and width for a total of six parameters. Each of the seven waves is characterized by a complex number but the overall phase for each reflectivity is fixed accounting for 12 more parameters. Finally the slope and intercept of the background and the R-parameter account for the final three parameters. The values of these parameters resulting from the fits are shown in table I. We have also attempted to include a second S_{0}-wave resonance to account for a possible a_{0}(1450) in the data. The moment fits were, however, not able to constrain the a_{0}(1450) resonance parameters. We therefore removed the a_{0}(1450) from the moments fits. This has no effect on the results and interpretation of the P-wave fits.

The outcome of the fitting is shown for all moments for the three ranges of \nu described above in figures 10 through 21. The moments shown are corrected for acceptance using the procedure described in reference [42]. The solid curves are the result of fits in which all of the 21 parameters described above were allowed to float. The dashed curves are the results of fits where the P-wave Breit-Wigner mass was fixed at 1.37 GeV/c^2 and the width was fixed at 0.37 GeV/c^2. These are the parameters reported for the P-wave exotic in the \eta\pi^- channel in reference [11].

In the low-\nu region, the S_{0} wave is prominent at m_{\eta\pi^0} \sim 1 GeV/c^2 and agrees well with the expected contribution to the \eta\pi^0 spectrum from the decay of the a_{0}(980) meson. The S_{0}-wave is produced without flipping helicities at the meson vertex and therefore it is dominant at low-\nu and decreases with increasing \nu. The structure at m_{\eta\pi^0} \sim 1 GeV/c^2 in the H(10) and H(11) moments (figures 11 and 12 respectively) reflects the interference between the S and P waves and it is noticeable only at low-\nu where the S-wave production is the strongest. The D_{+} wave dominates the spectrum at m_{\eta\pi^0} \sim 1.3 GeV/c^2.
FIG. 11: The observed $H(10)$ moment as a function of $\eta\pi^0$ effective mass for different ranges of momentum-transfer-squared, $|t_{\eta\pi^0\pi}|$: (a) low $|t|$, (b) medium $|t|$, and (c) high $|t|$. The curves are results of fits described in the text. 

$$H(10) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \text{Re}\{S_0 P_0^*\} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{15}} \text{Re}\{P_0 D_0^*\} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \text{Re}\{P_+ D_-^*\} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \text{Re}\{P_- D_+^*\}$$

and since it is produced with a meson helicity flip it is suppressed at low-$t$ and it is the largest in the medium-$t$ region. In all three $t$-regions, however it is well described by a Breit-Wigner shape corresponding to the $a_2(1320)$ meson. As the $D_+$ production increases (to medium and large $t$-regions), the structure in the moment $H(10)$ (figure 11) moves to the $a_2$ region and a similar structure in the moment $H(30)$ (figure 16) appears. Similarly, the interference of the negative reflectivity $P$-waves with the negative reflectivity $D$-waves is reflected in the moments $H(11)$ and $H(31)$ (figures 12 and 17 respectively) at $m_{\eta\pi^0} \sim 1.3 \text{ GeV}/c^2$.

The fit corresponding to the fixed $P$-wave parameters (dashed curve) is significantly worse in $H(10)$ (figure 11) – a moment linear in the $P$-wave and somewhat worse or no better in the other moments that are linear in the $P$-wave – $H(11)$ (figure 12), $H(30)$ (figure 16) and $H(31)$ (figure 17). In addition to the $H(00)$ moment distribution, the other moments – $H(20)$, $H(22)$, $H(40)$ and $H(42)$ – are primarily sensitive to the presence of the $a_2$ meson, and therefore are not very sensitive to treatment of $P$-waves in the fit. The fit with the $P$-wave parameters fixed gives a significantly worse $\chi^2$ per degree of freedom ($\chi^2$ from 1.70 to 2.00) as compared to the case when the $P$-wave parameters are allowed to float ($\chi^2$ from 1.30 to 1.50).
FIG. 13: The observed $H(20)$ moment as a function of $\eta\pi^0$ effective mass for different ranges of momentum-transfer-squared, $|t_{\eta\pi^0}|$: (a) low $|t|$, (b) medium $|t|$, and (c) high $|t|$. The curves are results of fits described in the text. $H(20) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \text{Re}\{S_0 D_0^*\} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} |P_0|^2 - \frac{\sqrt{7}}{2} |P_+|^2 + \frac{\sqrt{7}}{2} |D_0|^2 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{7}} |D_+|^2$

FIG. 14: The observed $H(21)$ moment as a function of $\eta\pi^0$ effective mass for different ranges of momentum-transfer-squared, $|t_{\eta\pi^0}|$: (a) low $|t|$, (b) medium $|t|$, and (c) high $|t|$. The curves are results of fits described in the text. $H(21) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \text{Re}\{S_0 D_0^*\} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \text{Re}\{P_0 P_0^*\} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \text{Re}\{D_0 D_0^*\}$

from 1.37 to 1.43). In the later case, however, it was found that no single set of consistent parameters could be found for the $P$ waves across the three $t$-ranges. In the low-$t$ range the $P$-wave with mass of 1.39 GeV/c$^2$ and width of 0.36 GeV/c$^2$ was found, which is the only solution consistent with what was reported for the $\eta\pi^-$ channel [11]. However, even in this $t$-range other solutions could also be found with masses ranging from 1 to 5 GeV/c$^2$. For example, a solution for a $P$-wave with a mass of 0.91 GeV/c$^2$ and a width of 1.05 GeV/c$^2$ had a $\chi^2_o$ of 1.57. This is because the interference with the $D$-waves is weak at low-$t$ and the $S$-wave is too narrow to constrain the $P$ away from the $m_{\eta\pi^0} \sim 1.3$ GeV/c$^2$ region. The medium-$t$ to large-$t$ range should be the best for constraining the $P$ waves. Here the $D$ waves are dominant and some $S$-wave is still present. In this $t$-range, however, acceptable solutions (with comparable $\chi^2_o$) different from the one listed in table [1] could not be found.

The non-vanishing moments $H(10)$ (figure [11]), $H(11)$ (figure [12]), $H(30)$ (figure [19]), $H(31)$ (figure [17]) and $H(32)$ (figure [18]) clearly indicate a presence of a $P$-wave in the $\eta\pi^0$ system. The large values of mass and width found indicate that the Breit-Wigner parameterization for these waves is most likely inadequate.
FIG. 15: The observed $H(22)$ moment as a function of $\eta\pi^0$ effective mass for different ranges of momentum-transfer-squared, $|t_{\pi^-\eta\pi}|$: (a) low $|t|$, (b) medium $|t|$, and (c) high $|t|$. The curves are results of fits described in the text.

$H(22) = \frac{1}{5} \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} |P_-|^2 - \frac{1}{5} \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} |P_+|^2 + \frac{1}{5} \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} |D_-|^2 - \frac{1}{5} \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} |D_+|^2$

FIG. 16: The observed $H(30)$ moment as a function of $\eta\pi^0$ effective mass for different ranges of momentum-transfer-squared, $|t_{\pi^-\eta\pi}|$: (a) low $|t|$, (b) medium $|t|$, and (c) high $|t|$. The curves are results of fits described in the text.

$H(30) = \frac{6}{7} \sqrt{\frac{5}{5}} Re\{P_0 D_0^*\} - \frac{6}{7} \sqrt{\frac{5}{5}} Re\{P_- D_-^*\} - \frac{6}{7} \sqrt{\frac{5}{5}} Re\{P_+ D_+^*\}$

VII. MASS AND $t$ DEPENDENCE OF PARTIAL WAVES

A. PWA solutions and fits to the moments

In figures 22 through 24 various partial waves and phase differences are shown as a function of $\eta\pi^0$ mass for the low-$|t|$, medium-$|t|$ and high-$|t|$ ranges. In particular we show the mass dependence of the $S_0$ and $D_0$ amplitudes and the $\Delta \Phi(S_0 - D_0)$ phase difference. Also shown is the mass dependence of the $P_-$ and $D_+$ amplitudes and the $\Delta \Phi(P_+ - D_+)$ phase difference. The filled data points indicate the selected (physical) solutions. The additional points indicated by open circles and dashed error bars are those ambiguous solutions that satisfy conditions described below.

The curves are the result of the fits to the moments where the $P$-wave Breit-Wigner parameters are allowed to float. We refer the reader to similar plots shown in figure 7 for the $S_0$ and $D_+$ amplitudes and the $\Delta \Phi(S_0 - D_0)$ phase difference along the the curves used to select the solutions.

The results of the fits to the moments (recalling that the moments are unambiguous) were used to study the possible inclusion of other ambiguous solutions not selected as the physical solutions. We compute a $\chi^2$ that
FIG. 17: The observed $H(31)$ moment as a function of $\eta\pi^0$ effective mass for different ranges of momentum-transfer-squared, $|t_{\eta\pi^0\to\eta\pi}|$: (a) low $|t|$, (b) medium $|t|$, and (c) high $|t|$. The curves are results of fits described in the text. $H(31) = \frac{4}{7} \sqrt{3} Re\{P_0 D_0^*\} + \frac{6}{7} \sqrt{5} Re\{P_0 D_0^*\}$

measures the deviation of other ambiguous solutions from the partial wave solutions obtained from the moments fits. This requirement was imposed separately for the $S_0$ and $D_+$-waves and the $\Delta\Phi(S_0 - D_0)$ phase difference. In some cases several ambiguous solutions were found to have $\chi^2$ similar to the selected solutions and these are included in figures figures 22 through 24 as the open circles with dashed error bars. The mass-dependent fits involving only the $P_+$ and $D_+$ amplitudes and the $\Delta\Phi(P_+ - D_+)$ – as described below – phase difference were insensitive to the inclusion of these solutions.

The fits shown in figures 22 through 24 describe the $D_0$ and $D_+$ in all three $|t|$ regions. The values obtained for the mass and width of the $a_2(1320)$ as shown in table II are consistent with nominal values for this well understood state. The $S_0$ is well described in the low-$|t|$ region and the mass and width shown in table II for the $a_0(980)$ agree with published values. As $|t|$ increases the production of the $a_0(980)$ decreases and the description of the $S_0$ wave in figure 24 for the high-$|t|$ range is problematic. In this region the $S_0$ wave includes mostly structureless background naturally subsumed into the $S_0$ wave. Requiring that the $S_0$ wave be described by a Breit-wigner wave yields a width that is wide and driven by the phase motion of the $a_2(1320)$ via the $\Delta\Phi(S_0 - D_0)$ phase difference. As noted above, the moment fits did not con-

FIG. 18: The observed $H(32)$ moment as a function of $\eta\pi^0$ effective mass for different ranges of momentum-transfer-squared, $|t_{\eta\pi^0\to\eta\pi}|$: (a) low $|t|$, (b) medium $|t|$, and (c) high $|t|$. The curves are results of fits described in the text. $H(32) = \frac{2}{7} \sqrt{3} Re\{P_0 D_0^*\} - \frac{2}{7} \sqrt{5} Re\{P_0 D_0^*\}$
strain the parameters of a possible second scalar resonance, the \(a_0(1450)\). In the mass-dependent amplitude and phase difference fits, the inclusion of a second scalar resonance in the low-\(t\) region yields \(M = 1.34 \pm 0.06\) GeV and \(\Gamma = 0.41 \pm 0.09\) GeV for the mass and width of the second \(a_0\) resonance, while in the medium-\(t\) region we obtain \(M = 1.44 \pm 0.03\) GeV and \(\Gamma = 0.25 \pm 0.06\) GeV, respectively. No stable fit was found for high-\(t\) data.

The moments fits poorly describe the \(P_+\) waves in all three \(|t|\) regions and the \(P_+\) amplitude peaks in the vicinity of the dominant \(D_+\) wave strongly suggesting leakage. We also note that the \(P_+\) wave has an additional lower mass peak in the vicinity of the \(a_0(980)\) in the low-\(|t|\) region (see figures 22 and 23 where production of the \(a_0(980)\) is strong – again suggesting leakage. Our Monte Carlo studies indicate that leakage of dominant waves can lead to false peaks in other amplitudes but it is unlikely to cause false phase motion. Indeed this \(P_+\) wave enhancement at about the mass of the \(a_0(980)\) follows closely the behavior of the \(S\) wave in its dependence on both mass and momentum transfer squared. Furthermore as shown in figure 22 and especially in figure 23 the additional solutions allowed by the selection criteria (those indicated by open circles) do not alter the \(S\) wave shape but reduce the amplitude of the \(P_+\) wave in the region of \(\sim 1\) GeV/c^2. Furthermore, the fits to the moments

FIG. 19: The observed \(H(40)\) moment as a function of \(\eta\pi^0\) effective mass for different ranges of momentum-transfer-squared, \(|t_{\eta\pi} - \eta\pi_+|\): (a) low \(|t|\), (b) medium \(|t|\), and (c) high \(|t|\). The curves are results of fits described in the text. \(H(40) = \frac{27}{5} |D_0|^2 - \frac{4}{27} |D_-|^2 - \frac{4}{27} |D_+|^2\)

FIG. 20: The observed \(H(41)\) moment as a function of \(\eta\pi^0\) effective mass for different ranges of momentum-transfer-squared, \(|t_{\eta\pi} - \eta\pi_+|\): (a) low \(|t|\), (b) medium \(|t|\), and (c) high \(|t|\). The curves are results of fits described in the text. \(H(41) = \frac{2}{5} \sqrt{3} \text{Re}\{D_0 D_+^*\}\)
FIG. 21: The observed $H(42)$ moment as a function of $\eta\pi^0$ effective mass for different ranges of momentum-transfer-squared, $|t|$: (a) low $|t|$, (b) medium $|t|$, and (c) high $|t|$. The curves are results of fits described in the text. 

$H(42) = \sqrt{10}|D_{-}|^2 - \sqrt{10}|D_{+}|^2$

Wigner distributions corresponding to the resulting fits are shown in figure 25 for the $D_{+}$, $D_{0}$, $D_{-}$ waves and the two $M=2$ waves of opposite reflectivities (the two $M=2$ curves are indistinguishable in the plot). This supports our original assumption.

The systematics of varying all possible fit assumptions was studied for the $D_{+}$-wave for the high-$|t|$ region and the results are shown in the plot of figure 26. We chose to study this high-$|t|$ region since the description of the $D_{+}$ wave amplitude is poorest for this region (see figure 24). The curve is the result of a of the overall fit to the moments. The shaded region corresponds to the variations in the fit by letting the Breit-Wigner parameters for all the other waves vary in all possible combinations – that is, being fixed at nominal values or allowed to float. The $D_{+}$ wave is within the systematic errors.

B. Systematics and the fitting procedure

The assumption was made earlier that waves with $|M| > 1$ were not important. In order to test this assumption the additional $|M| = 2$ $D$ waves, one for each reflectivity, were included in the fits for all the moments including the $H(43)$ and $H(44)$ moments. The Breit-Wigner distributions corresponding to the resulting fits are shown in figure 22 for the $D_{0}$, $D_{+}$, $D_{-}$ waves and the two $M=2$ waves of opposite reflectivities (the two $M=2$ curves are indistinguishable in the plot). This supports our original assumption.

The systematics of varying all possible fit assumptions was studied for the $D_{+}$-wave for the high-$|t|$ region and the results are shown in the plot of figure 26. We chose to study this high-$|t|$ region since the description of the $D_{+}$ wave amplitude is poorest for this region (see figure 24). The curve is the result of a of the overall fit to the moments. The shaded region corresponds to the variations in the fit by letting the Breit-Wigner parameters for all the other waves vary in all possible combinations – that is, being fixed at nominal values or allowed to float. The $D_{+}$ wave is within the systematic errors.

C. Mass-dependent fits

The dependence of the measured $D_{+}$ and $P_{+}$ amplitudes and the $\Delta \Phi(P_{+} - D_{+})$ phase difference as a function of $\eta\pi^0$ mass was fitted to two interfering Breit-Wigner line shapes following the method of reference [11]. Fits carried out separately for the three ranges in $t$ are presented in the plots of figure 27 and the fit parameters
are listed in table II. In figure 28 the P-wave parameters obtained from the mass dependent fits are compared to those reported in references 11, 12, 13. The P-wave mass obtained for the high-|t| range is consistent with that reported in the ηπ− channel but the width observed here is significantly higher. The values obtained for the mass in the low-t and high-t ranges are lower than for the ηπ− channel. The conclusion is that no consistent set of resonant P-wave parameters can describe the data while the resonant parameters obtained for the a2(1320) are consistent for various ranges in ηπ0 mass and |t| and consistent with well-established parameters from earlier experiments.

D. Description of the t dependence of the D-waves

Figure 29 shows the dependence of the D+, D0 and D− waves as a function of tπ−→ηπ. Since the a2(1320) is dominant in these waves and the production of these waves is associated with exchanges well known from Regge phenomenology, a comparison of data with this known phenomenology is a check on the methodology. Indeed the t dependence is well described.

The D+ wave is produced via a natural t-channel charge exchange which is dominated by the ρ trajectory...
FIG. 26: The $D_+$ wave as a function of $\eta\pi^0$ effective mass for the high-$|t|$ range. The curve is the result of an overall fit to the moments, including a $D$-wave resonance, as described in the text. The shaded area is an estimate of the systematic errors derived from a variation of all combinations of the Breit-Wigner parameters for the $S$, $P$ and $D$ amplitudes – as described in the text.

FIG. 27: PWA solution for the (top) $P_+$ (middle) $D_+$ waves and (bottom) $\Delta\Phi(P_+ - D_+)$ phase difference for low-\(|t|\), medium-\(|t|\) and high-\(|t|\) as a function of $\eta\pi^0$ effective mass. The curves are the result of a mass-dependent fit assuming Breit-Wigner resonance forms for the $P_+$ and $D_+$ waves.

FIG. 28: Comparison of $P$-wave mass and widths among various studies. A mass dependent fit to the $D_+$ and $P_+$ amplitudes and $\Delta\Phi(P_+ - D_+)$ phase difference, assuming Breit-Wigner line shapes was carried out for the E852 data for $\eta\pi$ - this study - and $\eta\pi^0$. Results are also compared to the Crystal Barrel results.

TABLE II: The masses and widths obtained from a simultaneous fit to $D_+$ intensity, the $P_+$ intensity and the $\Delta\Phi(P_+ - D_+)$ phase difference. For these fits both waves are parametrized as Breit-Wigner resonances. In addition to the fits for the three ranges of $t$ we also include the fit for the full $t$ range to compare with the results of reference [11].

\[
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text{all } |t| & \text{low-}|t| & \text{medium-}|t| & \text{high-}|t| \\
M_{a_2} & 1.326 & 1.316 & 1.329 & 1.326 \\
\pm & 0.0023 & 0.0049 & 0.0029 & 0.0036 \\
\Gamma_{a_2} & 0.169 & 0.127 & 0.154 & 0.166 \\
\pm & 0.0069 & 0.014 & 0.0082 & 0.001 \\
M_X & 1.272 & 1.301 & 1.268 & 1.356 \\
\pm & 0.017 & 0.014 & 0.023 & 0.021 \\
\Gamma_X & 0.66 & 0.19 & 0.67 & 0.629 \\
\pm & 0.048 & 0.032 & 0.087 & 0.064 \\
\chi^2/\nu & 3.23 & 2.13 & 1.51 & 1.60 \\
\end{array}
\]

and is parametrized by

\[
D^{++}_+ = i \sqrt{-\frac{t}{4m_N^2}} \left(1 - \frac{t}{m_R^2}\right)^2 e^{b_R t} \times \\
\Gamma(1 - \alpha_R(t)) \sin(\pi \alpha_R(t)/2) \quad (21)
\]

\[
D^{+-}_+ = r \sqrt{-\frac{t}{4m_N^2}} D^{++}_+. \quad (22)
\]

Here the $D^{+-}_+$ and $D^{++}_+$ are the nucleon helicity flip and non-flip amplitudes respectively, with $r = 7$ in equation (22) according to reference [29], and

\[
|D_+|^2 = |D^{++}_+|^2 + |D^{+-}_+|^2. \quad (23)
\]

The parameters of the $\rho$ trajectory are given by $\alpha_R(t) = 0.5 + 0.9t [\text{GeV}^2]$, $b_R = 3.25 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ [30]. The fit of the $t$-distribution given by Eq. (22) to the measured $t$-distribution involves one parameter – the overall normalization and is shown in figure [29]. Some discrepancy is seen at large $t$, in particular the characteristic dip of the $\rho$ exchange at $t = m_R^2$ is not seen. This indicates that there is some absorption required for the $a_2$. The $D^0$ wave is produced via unnatural exchange
E. Ratio of $D$-waves

In a paper discussing the PWA of the $\eta \pi$ system produced in peripheral $pp$ interactions $^{31}$, Sadovsky uses a criterion for selecting the physical solution among the ambiguous solutions. The test involves a measurement of the ratio $r_S = (D_0 - D_-)/D_+$. According to Regge phenomenology this ratio should fall like $\alpha/p_\pi$ where $\alpha$ is determined from other experiments. At $p_\pi = 18$ GeV/c this ratio is expected to be $r_S = 0.80$. Integrating the distributions in figure 22 over all $t$ we obtain $r_S = 0.72 \pm 0.12$.

FIG. 29: Dependence of $D_+$ (circles), $D_0$ (triangles) and $D_-$ (diamonds) waves on $|t'|$ or $|t_{\pi-\eta\pi}| - |t_{\min}|$. The curves are the results of fits to forms for these distributions expected from Regge phenomenology.

and is expected to be dominated by the $b_1$ in the nucleon helicity flip amplitude and the tensor, $Z$-trajectory, $(J^{PC} = 2^{-} 1)$ in the non-flip amplitude. These can parametrized by, $^{29}$

$$D_0^\pm = i \sqrt{-t'} \left(1 - \frac{t}{m_N^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{b_1 t} \times e^{-i\pi\alphaZ(t)/2} \times \frac{(1 - \alphaZ(t))}{\Gamma(-\alphaZ(t))} \sin(\pi\alphaZ(t)/2) \times$$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alphaZ(t))} \cos(\pi\alphaZ(t)/2).$$

with the parameters of the two trajectories given by $\alpha_{b_1} = -0.37 + 0.9 t$, [GeV$^2$], $\alpha_Z = 0.9 t$, [GeV$^2$], and $b_{b_1} = b_Z = b_e = 4.51$ GeV$^{-2}$. $^{30}$

The $D_-$-wave is produced by unnatural parity exchange and its $t$ dependence is given by $D_-(t) = \sqrt{-t'}/4m_N^2 D_0(t)$. The fit to the measured $D_0$ wave intensity has two parameters – the normalization of the nucleon helicity flip and non-flip amplitudes – and is also shown in figure 22.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

A partial wave and moment (averages of spherical harmonics) analysis of data collected in experiment E852 from the reaction $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 n$ (where $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$) as a function of $\eta \pi^0$ effective mass and momentum-transfer-squared was performed. The presence of two well-established $a_0(980)$ and $a_2(1320)$ resonances allows for the imposition of criteria for selecting the physical solution among the mathematically ambiguous solutions. The physical solution is consistent with the presence of two interfering Breit-Wigner resonances for these states. The distribution in 12 acceptance-corrected moments, $H(L, M)$, calculated directly from the data are consistent with moments calculated from the PWA solutions.

Every term in the moments with odd $L$ (5 of the 12) is linear in the $P$ wave and the observed distributions are non-zero clearing indicating that the data demand the presence of a $P$ wave. To allow for the inclusion of a possible resonance with exotic quantum numbers, the moments are thus fit with the assumption of three interfering Breit-Wigner resonances for the $S$-wave and $D$-wave and $P$-wave.

The data suggest weak production of the $a_0(1450)$. The $a_0(1450)$ was established by the Crystal Barrel collaboration in $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$ and $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta$ $^{28}$ with production ratios for $a_0(1450) : a_0(980) : a_2(1320)$ equal to 4 : 6 : 33 and 11 : 14 : 25 respectively. These are qualitatively consistent with our results. The dominant $D_+$, $D_0$ and $D_-$-waves are consistent with production of the $a_2(1320)$ and fits to the their line shapes are consistent with the resonance parameters of this well-established tensor resonance. Moreover, the observed $t$-dependence of the individual $D$ waves are well described by Regge phenomenology as is their ratio. Finally the $a_0$ and $a_2$ in the data are well described as Breit-Wigner resonances produced via a $t$-channel exchange mechanisms regardless the treatment of the $P$-wave, and/or incoherent background.

While the Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $S$ wave and $D$ wave is physically well motivated and confirmed by the data, the resonance representation of the $P$-wave is problematic. The data unambiguously indicated that the phase of the $P$-wave increases as the function of the $\eta \pi^0$ mass which corresponds to an attractive interaction in the $\eta \pi^0$ $P$-wave channel. However, the data do not require the phase of the $P$-wave to increase over $90^\circ$ nor is there compelling evidence for the intensity of the $P$-wave to have a resonance shape in the mass range studied, i.e from threshold to 1.8 GeV.

Mass-dependent fits, restricted to the $P_+$ and $D_+$ amplitudes and $\Delta \Phi(P_+ - D_+)$ phase difference, following the method of reference $^{11}$ reporting an exotic $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$ meson decaying into $\eta \pi^-$, yield results inconsistent with those of reference $^{11}$ and among the three $t$ ranges in this analysis. The discrepancy in the resonance parameters found by fitting the natural waves alone is, however, smaller than the one found by fitting the full data sample.
(moments).

However, by restricting the study to only $P_+$, $D_+$ waves and the phase, $\Delta \Phi(P_+ - D_+)$, important additional information from the remaining data is ignored. For example, an exotic $P$ wave resonance should have the same resonance parameters in all three, $P_- P_0$ and $P_+\text{-waves}$. These wave represent different spin projections of the resonance and the data should discriminate between their production strengths.

Furthermore, we have found that the intensity of the weak waves, i.e. $P$ and $S$-wave above the $a_0$ region are more affected by leakage from the strong, $D$ waves due to the $a_2$ than are the phase differences. Thus, since the mass-dependent fit to the natural waves alone, is strongly constrained by the intensity distribution of the $P$-wave, it tends to follow the $a_2$ line shape, and force the fit to mimic a $P$-wave resonance with mass near the $a_2$ mass.

In a separate paper we will present an alternative description of the mass dependent $P$-wave amplitude and phase that does not require the existence of an exotic meson but is consistent with $\eta \pi$ re-scattering.
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