PBW DEFORMATIONS OF SKEW POLYNOMIAL RINGS
AND THEIR GROUP EXTENSIONS

PIYUSH SHROFF AND SARAH WITHERSPOON

Abstract. We examine PBW deformations of finite group extensions of skew polynomial rings, in particular the quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebras defined by the first author. We give a homological interpretation, in terms of Gerstenhaber brackets, of the necessary and sufficient conditions on parameter functions to define a quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebra, thus clarifying the conditions. In case the acting group is trivial, we determine conditions under which such a PBW deformation is a generalized enveloping algebra of a color Lie algebra; our PBW deformations include these algebras as a special case.

1. Introduction

Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt (PBW) deformations of skew polynomial rings were studied by Berger [2] and include important classes of examples such as the generalized enveloping algebras of color Lie algebras. PBW deformations of group extensions of (skew) polynomial rings include many other algebras such as rational Cherednik algebras and their generalizations studied by a number of mathematicians (see, e.g., [3, 4, 5, 10, 15]). The first author [20] gave necessary and sufficient conditions on parameter functions to define such PBW deformations in this general context. In this paper we clarify these conditions by connecting them to homological information contained in the Gerstenhaber algebra structure of Hochschild cohomology. We show explicitly how color Lie algebras are related to these PBW deformations.

We begin with the skew polynomial ring (or quantum symmetric algebra),

$$S_q(V) := \mathbb{k}(v_1, \ldots, v_n \mid v_i v_j = q_{ij} v_j v_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq n),$$

where $\mathbb{k}$ is a field of characteristic 0, $V$ is a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{k}$ with basis $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ and $q := (q_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is a tuple of nonzero scalars for which $q_{ii} = 1$ and $q_{ji} = q_{ij}^{-1}$ for all $i, j$. Let $G$ be a finite group acting linearly on $V$ in such a way that there is an induced action on $S_q(V)$ by algebra automorphisms. For example, if $G$ acts diagonally on the chosen basis of $V$, this will be the case. There are other possible actions as well; see, for example, [4, 9] for actions leading to interesting deformations. We denote the action of $G$ by left superscript, that is, $g v$ is the element of $V$ that results from the action of $g \in G$ on $v \in V$. We may form the corresponding skew group algebra: In general for any algebra $S$ with action of $G$ by automorphisms, the skew group algebra $S \rtimes G$ is $S \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} G$ as a left $S$-module, and has the following multiplicative structure. Write $S \rtimes G = \bigoplus_{g \in G} S_g$, where $S_g = S \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k} g$, and for each $s \in S$ and $g \in G$, denote by $s \# g$ the element $s \otimes g$ in this $g$-component $S_g$. Multiplication on $S \rtimes G$ is determined by

$$(r \# g)(s \# h) := r(9s) \# gh$$
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for all \( r, s \in S \) and \( g, h \in G \). Then \( S \times G \) is a graded algebra, where elements of \( V \) have degree 1 and elements of \( G \) have degree 0.

Let \( \kappa : V \times V \to (k \oplus V) \otimes_k kG \) be a bilinear map for which \( \kappa(v_i, v_j) = -q_{ij} \kappa(v_j, v_i) \) for all \( 1 \leq i, j \leq n \). Let \( T(V) \) denote the tensor algebra on \( V \) over \( k \), in which we suppress tensor symbols denoting multiplication. Identify the target space of \( \kappa \) with the subspace of \( T(V) \times G \) consisting of all elements of degree less than or equal to 1. Define

\[
\mathcal{H}_{q, \kappa} := (T(V) \times G)/(v_i v_j - q_{ij} v_j v_i - \kappa(v_i, v_j) \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n),
\]

a quotient of the skew group algebra \( T(V) \times G \) by the ideal generated by all elements of the form \( v_i v_j - q_{ij} v_j v_i - \kappa(v_i, v_j) \). Note that \( \mathcal{H}_{q, \kappa} \) is a filtered algebra. We call \( \mathcal{H}_{q, \kappa} \) a quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebra if it is a PBW deformation of \( S_q(V) \times G \), that is, if its associated graded algebra is isomorphic to \( S_q(V) \times G \). Equivalently, the set \( \{ v_1^{m_1} v_2^{m_2} \cdots v_n^{m_n} # g \mid m_i \geq 0, g \in G \} \) is a \( k \)-basis for \( \mathcal{H}_{q, \kappa} \).

Quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebras include as special cases many algebras of interest, from rational Cherednik algebras and generalizations (see [4] [5] [7] [14] [15]), to generalized enveloping algebras of color Lie algebras and quantum Lie algebras in case \( G \) is the trivial group (see [8] [13] [14] [23]). Our analysis in this paper of the necessary and sufficient conditions on the parameter function \( \kappa \) to define a quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebra applies to all of these algebras as special cases.

**Organization.** This paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we first recall from [20] the necessary and sufficient conditions (called “PBW conditions”) for \( \mathcal{H}_{q, \kappa} \) to be a quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebra, and show that these are all the PBW deformations of \( S_q(V) \times G \) in which the action of \( G \) on \( V \) is preserved. In Section 3, we give a precise relationship between color Lie algebras and quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebras. In Section 4, we interpret the PBW conditions in terms of Gerstenhaber brackets on Hochschild cohomology.

Throughout this paper, \( k \) denotes a field of characteristic 0, and tensor products and exterior powers are taken over \( k \).

### 2. Necessary and sufficient conditions

We decompose \( \kappa \) into its constant and linear parts: \( \kappa = \kappa^C + \kappa^L \) where \( \kappa^C : V \times V \to kG \) and \( \kappa^L : V \times V \to V \otimes kG \). For each \( g \in G \), let \( \kappa_g : V \times V \to k \oplus V \) be the function determined by the equation

\[
\kappa(v, w) = \sum_{g \in G} \kappa_g(v, w) # g,
\]

where \( \kappa_g \) also decomposes into its constant and linear parts, i.e., \( \kappa_g = \kappa_g^C + \kappa_g^L \) where \( \kappa_g^C : V \times V \to k \) and \( \kappa_g^L : V \times V \to V \). We recall the following theorem from [20] which gives necessary and sufficient conditions for \( \mathcal{H}_{q, \kappa} \) to be a quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebra, that is to be a PBW deformation of \( S_q(V) \times G \).

We will need some notation to state the conditions. For each \( g \in G \) and basis vector \( v_j \in V \), write \( g_{ij} \in k \) for the scalars given by

\[
g_{ij} v_j = \sum_{i=1}^n g_{ij}^i v_i.
\]

The quantum \((i, j, k, l)\)-minor determinant of \( g \) is

\[
det_{ijkl}(g) := g_{ij}^l g_k^j - q_{ij} g_{il}^l g_k^j.
\]

The following theorem is a simultaneous generalization of main results in [9] [16].
Theorem 2.1. [20, Theorem 2.2] The algebra $\mathcal{H}_{q,\kappa}$, defined in [14], is a quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebra if and only if the following conditions hold:

1. For all $g, h \in G$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq n$,
\[ \kappa_g^C(v_j, v_i) = \sum_{k<l} \det_{ijkl}(h) \kappa_{gh^{-1}}^C(v_i, v_k) \quad \text{and} \quad h(\kappa_g^L(v_j, v_i)) = \sum_{k<l} \det_{ijkl}(h) \kappa_{gh^{-1}}^L(v_i, v_k). \]

   For all distinct $i, j, k$ and for all $g \in G$,
\[ q_{ij} q_{ki} v_i \kappa_g^L(v_j, v_k) - \kappa_g^L(v_k, v_j)^g v_i - q_{kj} v_j \kappa_g^L(v_j, v_i) + q_{ij} q_{kj} \kappa_g^L(v_j, v_i)^g v_k = 0, \]

2. For all $x \in G$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq n$,
\[ \sum_{h \in G} \left\{ q_{ij} q_{ik} \kappa_{gh^{-1}}^L(v_j, v_k, h v_i) - \kappa_{gh^{-1}}^L(v_i, \kappa_h^L(v_j, v_k)) + q_{ik} q_{jk} \kappa_{gh^{-1}}^L(v_k, \kappa_h^L(v_j, v_k), h v_j) \right\} = 2 \left\{ \kappa_g(v_j, v_k)(v_i - q_{ij} q_{ik}^g v_i) + \kappa_g(v_k, v_i)(q_{ij} q_{ik} v_j - q_{ik} q_{jk}^g v_j) + \kappa_g(v_i, v_j)(q_{ik} q_{jk} v_k - q v_k) \right\}, \]

3. For all $x \in G$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq n$,
\[ \sum_{h \in G} \left\{ q_{ij} q_{ik} \kappa_{gh^{-1}}^C(v_j, v_k, h v_i) - \kappa_{gh^{-1}}^C(v_i, \kappa_h^L(v_j, v_k)) + q_{ik} q_{jk} \kappa_{gh^{-1}}^C(v_k, \kappa_h^L(v_j, v_k), h v_j) \right\} = 0. \]

We note that condition (1) above is equivalent to $G$-invariance of $\kappa$, that is,
\[ h \kappa(v, w) = \kappa(h v, h w) \]
for all $h \in G$ and $v, w \in V$.

Example 2.2. By modifying Example 5.5 of [12], we obtain a quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebra for which some $q_{ij} \neq 1$ and $\kappa^L \neq 0$: Let $G$ be a cyclic group of order 3 generated by $g$. Let $q$ be a primitive third root of 1 in $\mathbb{C}$. Let $V = \mathbb{C}^3$ with basis $v_1, v_2, v_3$ and $q_{21} = q$, $q_{31} = q$, $q_{13} = q$. Take the following diagonal action of $G$ on $V$ with respect to this basis:
\[ g v_1 = q v_1, \quad g v_2 = q^2 v_2, \quad g v_3 = v_3. \]

Let
\[ \kappa(v_2, v_1) = v_3, \quad \kappa(v_3, v_2) = 0, \quad \kappa(v_1, v_3) = 0. \]

We check the conditions of Theorem 2.1: Condition (1) is $G$-invariance, and we may check that indeed $\kappa(g v_2, g v_1) = q^3 \kappa(v_2, v_1) = v_3 = q \kappa(v_2, v_1)$, and similarly for other triples consisting of one group element and two basis vectors. Condition (2) holds:
\[ q_{13} q_{23} v_3 \kappa_1^L(v_2, v_1) - \kappa_1^L(v_2, v_1) v_3 + 0 + 0 = q q^{-1} v_3 v_3 - v_3 v_3 = 0. \]

Finally, Conditions (3) and (4) hold as all terms are equal to 0. The resulting quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebra is
\[ \mathcal{H}_{q,\kappa} = (T(V) \rtimes G)/(v_2 v_1 - q v_1 v_2 - v_3, \quad v_3 v_2 - q v_2 v_3, \quad v_1 v_3 - q v_3 v_1). \]
Example 2.3. Another example has trivial group \((G = 1)\): Let \(V = k^3\) with basis \(v_1, v_2, v_3\) and \(q_{ij} = -1\) whenever \(i \neq j\). Let

\[
\kappa(v_2, v_1) = v_1, \quad \kappa(v_3, v_2) = v_3, \quad \kappa(v_1, v_3) = 0.
\]

One may check that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold, and consequently

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\kappa, \kappa} = T(V)/(v_2v_1 + v_1v_2 - v_1, v_3v_2 + v_2v_3 - v_3, v_1v_3 + v_3v_1)
\]

is a quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebra.

Now consider any PBW deformation \(U\) of \(S_q(V) \rtimes G\) in which the action of \(G\) on \(V\) is preserved, that is, the relations \(gv = gvg (g \in G, v \in V)\) hold in \(U\). Then \(U\) is a \(Z\)-filtered algebra for which \(\text{gr} U \cong S_q(V) \rtimes G\). We will show next that \(U \cong \mathcal{H}_{\kappa, \kappa}\) for some \(\kappa\).

**Theorem 2.4.** Let \(U\) be a PBW deformation of \(S_q(V) \rtimes G\) in which the action of \(G\) on \(V\) is preserved. Then \(U \cong \mathcal{H}_{\kappa, \kappa}\), a quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebra as defined in [14], for some \(\kappa\).

**Proof.** Let \(F\) denote the filtration on \(U\). Since the associated graded algebra of \(U\) is \(S_q(V) \rtimes G\), we may identify \(F_i U\) with \((V \otimes kG) \oplus kG\). By hypothesis, \(v_iv_j - q_{ij}v_jv_i \in F_1 U\) for each \(i, j\), and we set this element equal to \(\kappa^L(v_i, v_j) + \kappa^C(v_i, v_j)\), where \(\kappa^L(v_i, v_j) \in V \otimes kG\) and \(\kappa^C(v_i, v_j) \in kG\), thus defining \(\kappa^L\) and \(\kappa^C\) on pairs of basis elements. Extend bilinearly to \(V \times V\), and set \(\kappa = \kappa^L + \kappa^C\). By definition, \(\kappa(v_i, v_j) = -q_{ij}\kappa(v_j, v_i)\). We will show that \(U\) is isomorphic to \(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa, \kappa}\). Let \(\sigma : T(V) \rtimes G \to U\) be the algebra homomorphism determined by \(\sigma(v_i # 1) = v_i\) and \(\sigma(1 # g) = g\) for all \(v_i, g\). There is indeed such a (uniquely determined) algebra homomorphism since the action of \(G\) on \(V\) is preserved in \(U\) by hypothesis. By its definition, \(\sigma\) is surjective, since \(U\) is generated by the \(v_i, g\). We will show that the kernel of \(\sigma\) is precisely the ideal generated by all elements of the form \(v_iv_j - q_{ij}v_jv_i - \kappa(v_i, v_j)\). Let \(I\) be this ideal. Then \(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa, \kappa} = T(V) \rtimes G/I\) by definition of \(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa, \kappa}\). By the definition of \(\kappa\), the kernel of \(\sigma\) contains \(I\), and so \(\sigma\) factors through \(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa, \kappa}\), inducing a surjective homomorphism \(\overline{\sigma} : \mathcal{H}_{\kappa, \kappa} \to U\). Now in each degree, \(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa, \kappa}\) and \(U\) have the same dimension, as each has associated graded algebra \(S_q(V) \rtimes G\). This forces \(\overline{\sigma}\) to be injective as well.

### 3. Color Lie algebras

We first recall the definition of a color Lie algebra and of its generalized enveloping algebras. For more details, see, for example, Petit and Van Oystaeyen [14].

Let \(A\) be an abelian group and let \(\varepsilon : A \times A \to k^\times\) be an antisymmetric bicharacter, where \(k^\times\) is the group of units in \(k\), that is,

\[
\varepsilon(a, b)\varepsilon(b, a) = 1,
\]

\[
\varepsilon(a, bc) = \varepsilon(a, b)\varepsilon(a, c),
\]

\[
\varepsilon(ab, c) = \varepsilon(a, c)\varepsilon(b, c),
\]

for all \(a, b, c \in A\).

An \((A, \varepsilon)\)-**color Lie algebra** is an \(A\)-graded vector space \(L = \bigoplus_{a \in A} L_a\) equipped with a bilinear bracket \([- , -]\) for which

\[
[L_a, L_b] \subseteq L_{ab},
\]

\[
[x, y] = -\varepsilon(|x|, |y|)[y, x],
\]

\[
\varepsilon(|z|, |x|)[x, y] + \varepsilon(|x|, |y|)[y, z] + \varepsilon(|y|, |z|)[z, x] = 0,
\]

whenever \(a, b \in A\), and \(x, y, z \in L\) are homogeneous elements (any element \(x \in L_a\) is called homogeneous of degree \(a\), and we write \(|x| = a\)).
Now let $\mathcal{L}$ be a color Lie algebra and let $\omega : \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{k}$ for which
\begin{equation}
\varepsilon([z, [x, y]])\omega(x, y, z) + \varepsilon([x, [y, z]])\omega(y, [z, x]) + \varepsilon([y, [z, x]])\omega(z, [x, y]) = 0
\end{equation}
whenever $x, y, z \in \mathcal{L}$ are homogeneous elements. That is, $\omega$ is a graded 2-cocycle. The **generalized enveloping algebra** of $\mathcal{L}$ associated with $\omega$ is
\[
U_\omega(\mathcal{L}) := T(\mathcal{L})/(v_i v_j - \varepsilon(|v_i|, |v_j|)v_j v_i - [v_i, v_j] - \omega(v_i, v_j)),
\]
where $v_i, v_j \in \mathcal{L}$ range over a basis of homogeneous elements.

If $\mathcal{L}$ is a Lie algebra, that is if $\varepsilon$ takes only the value 1, the generalized enveloping algebras are precisely the Sridharan enveloping algebras [19].

The next theorem describes a relationship between generalized enveloping algebras $U_\omega(\mathcal{L})$ and quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebras $H_{q, \kappa}$. Part (b) largely follows from Petit and Van Oystaeyen’s work on generalized enveloping algebras of Lie color algebras. We will use Theorem 2.1 to prove part (a); alternatively Berger’s quantum PBW Theorem [2] may be used. We will need some notation: Letting $H_{q, \kappa}$ be a quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebra in which $G = 1$, scalars $C_{ij}^{kl} \in \mathbb{k}$ are defined by
\[
\kappa^L(v_i, v_j) = \sum_l C_{ij}^{kl} v_l.
\]

**Theorem 3.8.** (a) Let $U = H_{q, \kappa}$ be a quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebra with $G = 1$. Assume that for each triple $i, j, l$ of indices ($i \neq j$), if $C_{ijl}^{kl} \neq 0$, then $q_m q_{jm} = q_{lm}$ for all $m$, and that the left and right sides of the equation in Theorem 2.1(3) are each equal to 0 for all group elements and triples of vectors. Then $U \cong U_\omega(\mathcal{L})$, a generalized enveloping algebra for some color Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ and graded 2-cocycle $\omega$.

(b) Let $U = U_\omega(\mathcal{L})$ be a generalized enveloping algebra of a color Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$. Then $U \cong H_{q, \kappa}$, a quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebra as defined in [11], for some $q, \kappa$ and $G = 1$. Moreover, for each triple of $i, j, l$ indices ($i \neq j$), if $C_{ijl}^{kl} \neq 0$, then $q_m q_{jm} = q_{lm}$ for all $m$, and the left and right sides of the equation in Theorem 2.1(3) are each equal to 0 for all group elements and triples of vectors.

**Proof.** (a) Let $U = H_{q, \kappa}$ be a quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebra as defined in [11], with $G = 1$, under the stated assumptions. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}^n$, a free abelian group on a choice of generators $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ (where $n$ is the dimension of the vector space $V$). Let
\[
\varepsilon(a_i, a_j) := q_{ij}
\]
for each $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then $\varepsilon(a_i, a_j)\varepsilon(a_j, a_i) = q_{ij} q_{ji} = 1$ for all $i, j$, that is, (3.1) holds for the generators of $A$. Since $A$ is a free abelian group, we may extend $\varepsilon$ uniquely to an antisymmetric bicharacter on all of $A$ via the relations (3.2), (3.3). Set $\mathcal{L} = V$. We will show that $\mathcal{L}$ is a color Lie algebra with respect to a quotient group of $A$.

Let
\[
[v_i, v_j] := \kappa^L(v_i, v_j).
\]
Then the condition $[x, y] = -\varepsilon(|x|, |y|)[y, x]$ holds for all homogeneous $x, y \in \mathcal{L}$, as a result of the condition $\kappa(v_i, v_j) = -q_{ij} \kappa(v_j, v_i)$. Note that by the hypothesis on $\kappa$, (3.6) holds as a consequence of Theorem 2.1(3): The left side of (3) in Theorem 2.1 is assumed equal to 0, and this condition may be rewritten (with $G = 1, g = 1, h = 1$) as
\[
q_{ij} q_{ik} ([v_j, v_k], v_i) - [v_i, [v_j, v_k]] + q_{ik} q_{jk} ([v_k, v_i], v_j) - q_{ij} q_{ik} ([v_j, v_k], v_i) + [[v_i, v_j], v_k] - q_{ik} q_{jk} [v_k, [v_i, v_j]] = 0.
\]
We wish to rewrite half of these terms in order to compare with (3.6). By hypothesis, if $C_i^{ij} \neq 0$ for some $i, j, l$, then $q_{ik} = q_{ik}q_{jk}$ for all $k$, so
\[
[[v_i, v_j], v_k] = \sum_{l=1}^{n} C_i^{ij}v_l v_k
\]
\[
= -\sum_{l=1}^{n} C_i^{ij}q_{lk}v_k v_l
\]
\[
= -\sum_{l=1}^{n} C_i^{ij}q_{ik}q_{jk}v_k v_l
\]
\[
= -q_{ik}q_{jk}[v_k, \sum_{l=1}^{n} C_i^{ij}v_l] = -q_{ik}q_{jk}[v_k, [v_i, v_j]].
\]
Similarly we have $[[v_k, v_i], v_j] = -q_{ij}q_{ki}[v_j, [v_k, v_i]]$ and $[[v_j, v_k], v_i] = -q_{ji}q_{ki}[v_j, [v_k, v_i]]$. Substituting into the earlier equation, it now becomes
\[
[v_i, [v_j, v_k]] + q_{ij}q_{ki}[v_j, [v_k, v_i]] + q_{ik}q_{jk}[v_k, [v_i, v_j]] = 0.
\]
Multiplying by $q_{ki}$, we obtain (3.6).

We will need to pass next to a quotient of $A$ to obtain the required relation between the bracket and a grading on $L$: Let
\[
N = \text{rad}(\varepsilon) = \{a \in A \mid \varepsilon(a, b) = 1 \text{ for all } b \in A\}.
\]
Let $\overline{A} = A/N$ and $L_{\overline{\pi}} := \text{Span}_k\{v_i\}$ for each $i$, where $\overline{\pi}_i := a_i + N$, and $L_{\overline{\pi}} := 0$ for all other elements $\overline{\pi}$ of $\overline{A}$. It only remains to show that
\[
[L_{\overline{\pi}}, L_{\overline{\rho}}] \subseteq L_{\overline{\sigma}}
\]
for all $a, b \in A$. By hypothesis, if $C_i^{ij} \neq 0$ in the expression $[v_i, v_j] = \sum_i C_i^{ij}v_i$, then $q_{im}q_{jm} = q_{lm}$ for all $m$. This implies that
\[
1 = q_{im}q_{jm}q_{tm}^{-1} = \varepsilon(a_i, a_m)\varepsilon(a_j, a_m)\varepsilon(a_i^{-1}, a_m) = \varepsilon(a_ia_ja_i^{-1}, a_m).
\]
It follows that $a_i a_j a_i^{-1} \in N$, so $\overline{a_i a_j} = \overline{a_i}$. Thus $[L_{\overline{\pi}}, L_{\overline{\rho}}] \subseteq L_{\overline{\sigma}}$ for all $a, b \in A$, implying that $L$ is a color Lie algebra.

Now, for all $i, j$, let
\[
\omega(v_i, v_j) := \kappa^C(v_i, v_j).
\]
Then (3.7) is a consequence of Theorem 2.1(4) by a similar computation to that above for (3.6). Hence $U \cong U_{\omega}(L)$, a generalized enveloping algebra of the color Lie algebra $L$.

(b) Let $U = U_{\omega}(L)$ be a generalized enveloping algebra of a color Lie algebra $L$. Let $V = L$. Choose a basis $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ of $V$ consisting of homogeneous elements and for each $i, j$, let
\[
q_{ij} = \varepsilon(|v_i|, |v_j|).
\]
Let $G = 1$. Set $\kappa^L(v_i, v_j) := [v_i, v_j]$ and $\kappa^C(v_i, v_j) := \omega(v_i, v_j)$. By [14] Theorem 3.1, the associated graded algebra of $U$ is $S_q(V)$. So the conditions of Theorem 2.1 must hold, and $H_{q, \kappa}$ is a quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebra. By their definitions, $H_{q, \kappa} = U_{\omega}(L)$.

One may check that (3.4) implies that if $C_i^{ij} \neq 0$, then $q_{im}q_{jm} = q_{lm}$ for all $m$ (similarly to computations in the proof of part (a)). From this and (3.6) it now follows that the left side of the equation in Theorem 2.1(3) is equal to 0 (similarly to computations in the proof of part (a)), and therefore the right side is also 0. \qed
Remark 3.9. The hypothesis on the scalars $C_{i,j}^l$ in Theorem 3.8(a) is not as restrictive as it appears. If we assume that $\kappa$ is a Hochschild 2-cocycle written in the canonical form given in [11 Theorem 4.1], this condition holds automatically as a consequence of the relations defining the space $C_1$ there (see [11 (12)]).

4. Homological conditions

We first recall the definition of Hochschild cohomology and some resolutions that we will need. For more details, see, e.g., [6].

Let $R$ be an algebra over $k$, and let $M$ be an $R$-bimodule. Identify $M$ with a (left) $R^e$-module, where $R^e = R \otimes R^{op}$; here, $R^{op}$ denotes the algebra $R$ with the opposite multiplication. The **Hochschild cohomology** of $R$ is defined with coefficients in $M$ is

$$\text{HH}^*(R, M) := \text{Ext}^*_R(R, M),$$

where $R$ is itself considered to be an $R^e$-module under left and right multiplication.

Let $R = S \rtimes G$, where $S$ is a $k$-algebra with an action of a group $G$ by automorphisms. Since the characteristic of $k$ is 0, we have

$$\text{HH}^*(S \rtimes G) \cong \text{HH}^*(S, S \rtimes G)^G,$$

where the superscript $G$ denotes invariants under the induced action of $G$ (see, e.g., [21]). As a graded vector space,

$$\text{HH}^*(S, S \rtimes G) = \text{Ext}^*_S(S, S \rtimes G) \cong \bigoplus_{g \in G} \text{Ext}^*_S(S, S_g),$$

where, as before, $S_g$ denotes the $g$-component $S \otimes kg$.

Letting $S = S_q(V)$, each summand above can be explicitly determined using the following free $S^e$-resolution of $S = S_q(V)$, called its **Koszul resolution** (see [22 Proposition 4.1(c)]):

(4.1) \[ \cdots \rightarrow S^e \otimes \wedge^2(V) \xrightarrow{d_2} S^e \otimes \wedge^1(V) \xrightarrow{d_1} S^e \xrightarrow{\text{mult}} S \rightarrow 0, \]

with differentials for $1 \leq p \leq n$:

$$d_p(1 \otimes 1 \otimes v_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{j_p}) = \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{i+1} \left[ \left( \prod_{s=1}^i q_{j_s, j_t} \right) v_{j_i} \otimes 1 - \left( \prod_{s=i}^p q_{j_s, j_t} \right) \otimes v_{j_i} \right] \otimes v_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{j_p},$$

whenever $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_p \leq n$. Applying $\text{Hom}_{S^e}(\cdot, S_g)$, dropping the term $\text{Hom}_{S^e}(S, S_g)$, and identifying $\text{Hom}_{S^e}(S^e \otimes \wedge^p(V), S_g)$ with $\text{Hom}_k(\wedge^p(V), S_g)$, we obtain

(4.2) \[ 0 \rightarrow S_g \xrightarrow{d_1} S_g \otimes \wedge^1(V^*) \xrightarrow{d_2} S_g \otimes \wedge^2(V^*) \rightarrow \cdots, \]

where $V^*$ denotes the vector space dual to $V$. Thus the space of cochains is

$$C^* = \bigoplus_{g \in G} C^*_g,$$

for each degree $p$ and $g \in G$. For convenience in notation, we define

$$v_j \wedge v_i := -q_{ji}v_i \wedge v_j$$

whenever $i < j$ (in contrast to the standard exterior product).

We view the function $\kappa$, in the definition [11] of quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebra, as an element of $C^2$ by setting $\kappa(v_i \wedge v_j) = \kappa(v_i, v_j)$ for all $i, j$.

The **bar resolution** of any $k$-algebra $R$ is:

(4.3) \[ \cdots \xrightarrow{d_3} R \otimes R \xrightarrow{d_2} R \xrightarrow{d_1} R \xrightarrow{\text{mult}} R \rightarrow 0 \]
where $\delta_m(r_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes r_{m+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (-1)^i r_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes r_ir_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes r_{m+1}$ for all $r_0, \ldots, r_{m+1} \in R$, and the action of $R^e$ is by multiplication on the leftmost and rightmost factors.

From [22] (see also [11]), maps $\phi_p : S^e \otimes \bigwedge^p(V) \to S^e \otimes (p+2)$ defining an embedding from the Koszul resolution to the bar resolution of $S = S_q(V)$ are given by

$$\phi_p(1 \otimes 1 \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_p}) = \sum_{\pi \in S_p} (\text{sgn } \pi) q_{\pi}^{j_1 \cdots j_p} \otimes v_{j_{\pi(1)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{\pi(p)}} \otimes 1$$

where the scalars $q_{\pi}^{j_1 \cdots j_p}$ are determined by the equation $q_{\pi}^{j_1 \cdots j_p} v_{j_{\pi(1)}} \cdots v_{j_{\pi(p)}} = v_{j_1} \cdots v_{j_p}$. We wish to use maps $\psi : S^e \otimes (p+2) \to S^e \otimes \bigwedge^p(V)$ defining a chain map from the bar resolution to the Koszul resolution. For our purposes, we need only define these maps for particular arguments in low degrees: Let $\psi_0$ be the identity map, and $\psi_1(1 \otimes v_i \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes v_i$. One checks directly that $\psi_0 \delta_1$ and $d_1 \psi_1$ take the same values on elements of the form $1 \otimes v_i \otimes 1$. We define

$$\psi_1(1 \otimes v_i v_j \otimes 1) = \frac{1}{2}(q_{ij} \otimes v_i + v_i \otimes 1) \otimes v_j + \frac{1}{2}(q_{ij} v_j \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v_j) \otimes v_i$$

for all $i, j$. (This is a different, more symmetric, choice than that made in [12], and it will better suit our purposes.) Again we may check that $\psi_0 \delta_1$ takes the same values as $d_1 \psi_1$ on elements of the form $1 \otimes v_i v_j \otimes 1$. The map $\psi_1$ may be extended to elements of degrees higher than 2 in $S_q(V)^{\otimes 3}$, but we will not need these further values, and they will not affect our calculations in the next step. Our choices allow us to define

$$\psi_2(1 \otimes v_i v_j \otimes 1) = \frac{1}{2} 1 \otimes v_i \wedge v_j$$

whenever $i \neq j$, and we may check that $\psi_1 \delta_2$ and $d_2 \psi_2$ take the same values on elements of the form $1 \otimes v_i v_j \otimes 1$. (We may take $\psi_2(1 \otimes v_i v_i \otimes 1) = 0$.) As a consequence,

$$\psi_2(v_i \otimes v_j - q_{ij} v_j \otimes v_i) = v_i \wedge v_j$$

for $i < j$ (here we have dropped extra tensor factors of 1), and thus $\psi_2 \phi_2$ is the identity map on input of this form, as is $\psi_1 \phi_1$ on the input considered above.

If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are elements of $\text{Hom}_{R^e}(R^{\otimes 4}, R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R^{\otimes 2}, R)$, for any algebra $R$, then their circle product $\alpha \circ \beta \in \text{Hom}_R(R^{\otimes 3}, R)$ is defined by

$$\alpha \circ \beta(r_1 \otimes r_2 \otimes r_3) := \alpha(\beta(r_1 \otimes r_2) \otimes r_3) - \alpha(r_1 \otimes \beta(r_2 \otimes r_3))$$

for all $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in R$. The Gerstenhaber bracket in degree 2 is then

$$[\alpha, \beta] := \alpha \circ \beta + \beta \circ \alpha.$$

In our setting, $R = S_q(V) \rtimes G$, whose Hochschild cohomology we identify with the $G$-invariant subalgebra of $\text{HH}(S, S \rtimes G)$. We may use either the bar resolution or the Koszul resolution of $S = S_q(V)$ to gain information about this Hochschild cohomology. If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are given as cocycles on the Koszul resolution (4.1) instead of on the bar resolution (4.3), we apply the chain map $\psi$ to convert $\alpha$ and $\beta$ to functions on the bar resolution, compute the Gerstenhaber bracket of these functions, and then apply $\phi$ to convert back to a function on the Koszul resolution. Thus in this case,

$$[\alpha, \beta] := \phi^*(\psi^*(\alpha) \circ \psi^*(\beta) + \psi^*(\beta) \circ \psi^*(\alpha)).$$

Of course, the images of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ may involve elements in $S \rtimes G \setminus S$, in which case we employ a standard technique to manage the group elements that appear in such a computation:

**Lemma 4.5.** Let $\mu : S_q(V) \otimes S_q(V) \to S_q(V) \rtimes G$ be a Hochschild 2-cocycle representing an element of $\text{HH}^2(S_q(V), S_q \rtimes G)$. Then $\mu$ may be extended to a Hochschild 2-cocycle for $S_q(V) \rtimes G$ by defining

$$\mu(r \# g, s \# h) = \mu(r, g) s h$$

for all $r, s, g, h \in S_q(V)$. The resulting extension $\mu$ is a Hochschild 2-cocycle.
for all \( r, s \in S_q(V) \) and \( g, h \in G \).

**Proof.** This is standard; see, e.g., [16 Lemma 6.2]. Since the characteristic of \( k \) is 0, a bimodule resolution for \( S_q(V) \times G \) is given by tensoring (over \( k \)) a bimodule resolution for \( S_q(V) \) with \( kG \) on one side (say the right). The action of \( G \) on the left is taken to be the semidirect product action. \( \Box \)

We are now ready to express the PBW conditions of Theorem 2.1 in terms of the Gerstenhaber algebra structure of Hochschild cohomology. The following theorem is similar to [16, Theorem 7.2]. It gives necessary and sufficient conditions for \( \kappa^L \) and \( \kappa^C \) to define a quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebra, in terms of their Gerstenhaber brackets.

**Theorem 4.6.** The algebra \( \mathcal{H}_{q,\kappa} \), defined in (1.1), is a quantum Drinfeld orbifold algebra if and only if the following conditions hold:

- \( \kappa \) is \( G \)-invariant.
- \( \kappa^L \) is a cocycle, that is \( d^*\kappa^L = 0 \).
- \( [\kappa^L, \kappa^L] = 2d^*\kappa^C \) as cochains.
- \( [\kappa^C, \kappa^L] = 0 \) as cochains.

**Proof.** We will show that conditions (1)–(4) of Theorem 2.1 are equivalent to the four conditions stated in the theorem, respectively.

We have already discussed the equivalence of the \( G \)-invariance condition with Theorem 2.1(1). Next note that \( d^*\kappa^L = \kappa^L \circ d = 0 \) exactly when \( \kappa^L \circ d_3(v_i \wedge v_j \wedge v_k) = 0 \) for all \( i, j, k \), where

\[
d_3(v_i \wedge v_j \wedge v_k) = (v_i \otimes 1 - q_{ij}q_{ik} \otimes v_i) \otimes v_j \wedge v_k - (q_{ij}v_j \otimes 1 - q_{jk} \otimes v_j) \otimes v_i \wedge v_k \\
+ (q_{ik}q_{jk}v_k \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes v_k) \otimes v_i \wedge v_j.
\]

In other words, \( d^*\kappa^L = 0 \) when

\[
v_i \kappa^L(v_j, v_k) - q_{ij}q_{ik} \kappa^L(v_j, v_k)v_i - q_{ij}v_j \kappa^L(v_i, v_k) \\
+ q_{jk} \kappa^L(v_i, v_k)v_j + q_{ik}q_{jk}v_k \kappa^L(v_i, v_j) - \kappa^L(v_i, v_j)v_k = 0
\]

for all \( i < j < k \). Multiply by \( q_{ij}q_{ki}q_{kj} \) to obtain

\[
q_{ij}q_{ki}q_{kj}v_i \kappa^L(v_j, v_k) - q_{ij}q_{ki}q_{kj} \kappa^L(v_j, v_k)v_i - q_{ij}q_{ki}q_{kj}v_j \kappa^L(v_i, v_k) \\
+ q_{ij}q_{ki}q_{kj} \kappa^L(v_i, v_k)v_j + q_{ij}q_{ki}q_{kj}q_{ij} \kappa^L(v_i, v_j) - q_{ij}q_{ki}q_{kj} \kappa^L(v_i, v_j)v_k = 0.
\]

Using the relation \( \kappa^L(v_i, v_m) = -q_{lm} \kappa^L(v_m, v_l) \), we may rewrite the equation as

\[
-q_{ij}q_{ki}v_i \kappa^L(v_k, v_j) + \kappa^L(v_k, v_j)v_i - q_{kj}q_{ki}v_j \kappa^L(v_i, v_k) \\
+ q_{ij}q_{ki} \kappa^L(v_i, v_k)v_j - v_k \kappa^L(v_j, v_i) + q_{ij}q_{ki}q_{kj} \kappa^L(v_j, v_i)v_k = 0.
\]

This is precisely Theorem 2.1(2), once we substitute \( \kappa^L(-, -) = \sum_{g \in G} \kappa^L_g(-, -) \# g \), move group elements to the right, and apply the relation \( \kappa^L(v_i, v_k) = -q_{ik} \kappa^L(v_k, v_i) \).

Identify \( \text{Hom}_{R^e}(R^{e(\mathcal{P}+2)}, -) \) with \( \text{Hom}_k(R^{e\mathcal{P}}, -) \) and \( \text{Hom}_{S^e}(S^e \otimes \Lambda^p(V), -) \) with \( \text{Hom}_k(\Lambda^p(V), -) \). If \( \alpha, \beta \in \text{Hom}_{S^e}(S^e \otimes \Lambda^2(V), S \times G) \), then by definition,

\[
(\alpha \circ \beta)(v_i \wedge v_j \wedge v_k) = (\psi^*(\alpha) \circ \psi^*(\beta))\phi(v_i \wedge v_j \wedge v_k).
\]
Applying (4.4), we thus have
\[(\alpha \circ \beta)(v_i \wedge v_j \wedge v_k)\]
\[= (\psi^*(\alpha) \circ \psi^*(\beta))(v_i \otimes v_j \otimes v_k - q_{ij}v_j \otimes v_i \otimes v_k - q_{jk}v_j \otimes v_k \otimes v_j - q_{ik}q_{jk}v_k \otimes v_j \otimes v_i + q_{ij}q_{jk}v_j \otimes v_k \otimes v_i + q_{ik}q_{jk}v_k \otimes v_i \otimes v_j)\]
\[= \psi^*(\alpha)(\beta(v_i \otimes v_j) \otimes v_k - v_i \otimes \beta(v_j \otimes v_k)) - q_{ij}\psi^*(\alpha)(\beta(v_j \otimes v_i) \otimes v_k - v_j \otimes \beta(v_i \otimes v_k))\]
\[-q_{jk}\psi^*(\alpha)(\beta(v_i \otimes v_k) \otimes v_j - v_i \otimes \beta(v_k \otimes v_j)) - q_{ik}q_{jk}\psi^*(\alpha)(\beta(v_k \otimes v_j) \otimes v_i - v_k \otimes \beta(v_j \otimes v_i)) + q_{ij}q_{jk}\psi^*(\alpha)(\beta(v_j \otimes v_k) \otimes v_i - v_j \otimes \beta(v_k \otimes v_i)) + q_{ik}q_{jk}\psi^*(\alpha)(\beta(v_k \otimes v_i) \otimes v_j - v_k \otimes \beta(v_i \otimes v_j))\]
\[= \psi^*(\alpha)(\beta(v_i \otimes v_j) \otimes v_k - q_{ij}\beta(v_i \otimes v_j) \otimes v_k) + \psi^*(\alpha)(q_{ij}q_{jk}\beta(v_i \otimes v_k) \otimes v_i) - q_{ik}q_{jk}\beta(v_k \otimes v_j) \otimes v_i\]
\[+ q_{ij}q_{jk}\psi^*(\alpha)(\beta(v_i \otimes v_j) \otimes v_k) - q_{ij}\beta(v_i \otimes v_j) \otimes v_k - q_{ik}q_{jk}\beta(v_k \otimes v_j) \otimes v_i\]
\[-q_{ij}q_{jk}\psi^*(\alpha)(\beta(v_i \otimes v_j) \otimes v_k) - q_{ij}q_{jk}\psi^*(\alpha)(\beta(v_k \otimes v_i) \otimes v_j - q_{ik}q_{jk}v_k \otimes \beta(v_j \otimes v_i))\]
\[= \psi^*(\alpha)(\beta(v_i \wedge v_j) \otimes v_k) + q_{ij}q_{jk}\psi^*(\alpha)(\beta(v_i \otimes v_k) \otimes v_i) + q_{ik}q_{jk}\psi^*(\alpha)(\beta(v_k \otimes v_i) \otimes v_j)\]
\[+ q_{ij}q_{jk}\psi^*(\alpha)(\beta(v_i \otimes v_j) \otimes v_k) - q_{ij}\beta(v_i \otimes v_j) \otimes v_k - q_{ik}q_{jk}\beta(v_k \otimes v_j) \otimes v_i\]
\[-q_{ij}q_{jk}\psi^*(\alpha)(\beta(v_k \otimes v_i) \otimes v_j) - q_{ij}q_{jk}\psi^*(\alpha)(\beta(v_i \otimes v_k) \otimes v_j - q_{ik}q_{jk}v_k \otimes \beta(v_j \otimes v_i))\]
\[= \psi^*(\alpha)(\beta(v_i \wedge v_j) \otimes v_k) - q_{ij}q_{jk}v_k \otimes \beta(v_i \wedge v_j)\]
\[+ q_{ij}q_{jk}v_k \otimes \beta(v_k \wedge v_i) - q_{ij}q_{jk}v_k \otimes \beta(v_j \wedge v_k)\]
\[+ q_{ij}q_{jk}\psi^*(\alpha)(\beta(v_i \otimes v_k) \otimes v_j - q_{ij}q_{jk}v_j \otimes \beta(v_k \otimes v_i))\]
\[+ q_{ik}q_{jk}\psi^*(\alpha)(\beta(v_k \otimes v_i) \otimes v_j - q_{ij}q_{jk}v_j \otimes \beta(v_k \otimes v_i))\].

Now assume that \(\kappa^L\) is a \(G\)-invariant cocycle (in \(C^2\)) representing an element of \(\mathrm{HH}^G(A, A \times G)\). In the above formula, we will get the left side of Theorem 2.1(3) for each \(g \in G\):
\[
\frac{1}{2}[\kappa^L, \kappa^L](v_i \wedge v_j \wedge v_k) = \kappa^L \circ \kappa^L)(v_i \wedge v_j \wedge v_k)
\[= \psi^*(\kappa^L)(\kappa^L(v_i, v_j) \otimes v_k - q_{ik}q_{jk}v_k \otimes \kappa^L(v_i, v_j))\]
\[+ q_{ij}q_{jk}\psi^*(\kappa^L)(\kappa^L(v_j, v_k) \otimes v_i - q_{ij}q_{ik}v_i \otimes \kappa^L(v_j, v_k))\]
\[+ q_{ik}q_{jk}\psi^*(\kappa^L)(\kappa^L(v_k, v_i) \otimes v_j - q_{ik}q_{jk}v_j \otimes \kappa^L(v_k, v_i))\]
\[= \psi^*(\kappa^L)(\sum_{h \in G} \kappa^L_h(v_i, v_j) \otimes h v_k \# h - q_{ik}q_{jk}v_k \otimes \sum_{h \in G} \kappa^L_h(v_i, v_j) \# h)\]
\[+ q_{ij}q_{jk}\psi^*(\kappa^L)(\sum_{h \in G} \kappa^L_h(v_j, v_k) \otimes h v_i \# h - q_{ij}q_{ik}v_i \otimes \sum_{h \in G} \kappa^L_h(v_j, v_k) \# h)\]
\[+ q_{ik}q_{jk}\psi^*(\kappa^L)(\sum_{h \in G} \kappa^L_h(v_k, v_i) \otimes h v_j \# h - q_{ij}q_{kj}v_j \otimes \sum_{h \in G} \kappa^L_h(v_k, v_i) \# h)\]
\[= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h \in G} \left( \kappa^L_h(v_i, v_j) \# h v_k - q_{ik}q_{jk} \kappa^L(v_k, \kappa^L_h(v_i, v_j)) \right)\]
\[+ q_{ij}q_{ik}\kappa^L(v_j, v_k) \# h v_i - \kappa^L(v_i, \kappa^L_h(v_j, v_k))\]
\[+ q_{ik}q_{kj}\kappa^L(v_k, v_i) \# h v_j - q_{ij}q_{kj} \kappa^L(v_j, \kappa^L_h(v_k, v_i)) \# h.\]

Indeed, this agrees with half of the left side of Theorem 2.1(3), after rewriting \(\kappa^L\) as a sum, over \(g \in G\), of \(\kappa^L_{gh^{-1}}\) (for each \(h\)), and then considering separately each expression involving a fixed \(g = (gh^{-1})h\).
A similar calculation yields $2d^*\kappa^C$ equal to the right side of Theorem 2.1(3). Hence, Theorem 2.1(3) is equivalent to $[\kappa^L,\kappa^L] = 2d^*\kappa^C$.

By again comparing coefficients of fixed $g \in G$, we see that Theorem 2.1(4) is equivalent to $[\kappa^C,\kappa^L] = 0$. \hfill \Box
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