Development of Asphalt Pavement Temperature Models for Oman
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Abstract: Asphalt pavements form an integral part of any transportation system. The structural capacity of the hot mix asphalt concrete layers depends on many factors including its temperature. Moreover, temperature can be a major contributor to several types of distresses. Therefore, temperature is a significant factor that affects the performance and life span of a pavement. The Sultanate of Oman's road network expanded at a phenomenal pace from approximately 10 km of paved roads in 1970 to 9,673 km in 2001. With the recent SHRP and LTTP research findings, it was necessary to investigate the applicability of the models developed from these research studies to Oman's environmental conditions and more generally to the Arabian Gulf climate. This paper presents the research undertaken to develop models to predict high and low asphalt pavement temperatures in Oman. A pavement monitoring station was set-up at the Sultan Qaboos University (SQU) campus to monitor air, pavement temperatures and solar radiation. Data were collected for 445 days. Daily minimum and maximum temperatures were recorded. A regression analysis was used to develop the low pavement temperature model. A stepwise regression was used to develop high temperature models using air temperature, solar radiation, and duration of solar radiation as independent variables. The developed models were compared with the SHRP and LTTP models. The SHRP and LTTP models were found to be more conservative than the developed models, which are more suitable for predicting pavement temperatures in Oman, and more generally in the Gulf region.
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1. Introduction

Asphalt pavements form an integral part of any transportation system. A significant factor that affects the performance and life span of a pavement is the influence of temperature. Temperature can contribute to certain common types of asphalt pavement distresses such as permanent deformation or rutting (typically associated with high temperature environments), bleeding, and thermal cracking (associated with low temperature environments).

The Strategic Highway Research Program (SHRP) established the Long Term Pavement Monitoring Program (LTPP) program in 1987 to support a broad range of pavement performance analyses leading to improved engineering tools to design, construct, and manage pavements (Diefenderfer, et al. 2002).

The Seasonal Monitoring Program (SMP) was established as an element of LTPP in 1991 to measure and evaluate the effects of temperature and moisture variations on pavement performance and validate the available models (Mohesi, 1998; Diefenderfer, et al. 2002).

From the initial SHRP testing and SMP data, several pavement temperature models were developed to assist in the proper selection of the asphalt binder performance grade (Mohesi, 1998; Mohesi and Symons, 1998a; Mohesi and Symons, 1998b; Lukanen, 1998; Diefenderfer, et al. 2002). Solaimanian and Kennedy (1993) proposed an analytical model based on the theory of heat and energy transfer. Shao et al. (1997) also developed a procedure based on heat transfer theory to estimate pavement temperatures. Regression models based on other sets of data were developed (Bosscher et al. 1998; Marshall et al. 2001). A simulation model was developed to calculate temperatures during summer conditions based on the heat transfer models developed by Solaimanian and Kennedy (Hermansson, 2000 and 2001; Diefenderfer, et al. 2002).

Al-Abdul Wahhab et al. (1994) conducted a study in two regions in Saudi Arabia to manually measure pavement temperatures in different pavement sections. The study concluded that the extreme pavement temperatures in arid environment ranged between 3 and 72°C, while in coastal areas, the temperature ranged between 4 and 65°C. In another study, Al-Abdul Wahhab et al. (1997) recommended five performance graded binder zones for the whole Gulf area. The study also proposed modification of the currently used binders to suite the proposed grades.

The Sultanate of Oman as well as the Gulf area, in general, possesses a different environment from that of the North America. The applicability of the recent SHRP and LTPP developments in the US to Oman's or the Arabian Gulf's environmental conditions needed to be evaluated. This paper presents the research undertaken to develop models to predict high and low asphalt pavement temperatures in Oman. A pavement monitoring station was established at the Sultan Qaboos University (SQU) campus to monitor air, pavement temperatures, and solar radiation. Data was collected for 445 days. Daily minimum and maximum temperatures were recorded. A regression analysis was used to develop the low pavement temperature model. A stepwise regression was used to develop high temperature models using air temperature, solar radiation, and duration of solar radiation as independent variables. The instrumentation used is described and collected data are presented. The developed models were compared with the SHRP and LTPP models.
2. Pavement Temperature Monitoring Station

A monitoring station was set-up to collect data on air temperature, solar radiation and pavement temperatures at various depths. Sensors were connected to a CR10x Campbell Scientific datalogger housed in a protection enclosure. The enclosure was mounted on an instrumentation tower. The datalogger was operated by a battery. The following section describes the installation and sensor locations.

2.1. Installation of Station

A test area 7x8 m was selected for the station installation inside a newly built parking lot at the Sultan Qaboos University campus. The pavement cross section was modified from the original design in the area of the test section to be composed of 135 mm of asphalt concrete (AC) wearing course on top of 165 mm of unbound base course on top of compacted subgrade soil.

After the construction of the pavement was completed, the installation of the sensors was initiated. The procedure started with the excavation of a 500 mm by 500 mm by 480 mm deep pit. A trench 200 mm wide by 480 mm deep was also excavated from the pit to where the tower was installed. A fence was erected around the tower area to protect the equipment from damage.

A 50 mm PVC pipe was laid in the trench to protect the sensor cables extending from the pit to the tower location. All sensors were inserted into the PVC pipe and led to the excavation pit providing adequate slack so as to allow for comfortable installation of the probes at the desired locations.

Two sets of thermistors referred to as center and right, were installed in the center and right sides of the pit. The right set consisted of eight sensors covering the full depth of the excavated pit. The center set had four sensors that covered only the depth of the AC layer to serve as a duplicate for the first set. At the targeted sensor locations, a mark was made at the appropriate side of the pit. An electrically powered horizontal and 90° angle hammer drill was used to drill holes approximately 280 mm deep into the side of the excavated pit. The 90° angle was used to accommodate the hammer drill and drilling bit inside the limited pit space.

The process was repeated for each sensor with the exception of those located at the surface (at 0 mm depth). Holes were cleared of loose debris before sensors were inserted. Sensors were then inserted all the way into the drilled holes and sealed in place using a silicon sealant to prevent concrete or moisture infiltration when backfilling the pit. Figure 1 shows the sensors inserted in the drilled holes at the desired depths.

For surface temperature sensors, a grinder was used to cut through the pavement surface such that the probe could be flush with the pavement surface. The probe was positioned in place and a cold sand asphalt mix was used to seal around it. All actual depths of pavement sensors were measured and recorded. Figure 2 shows a schematic representation of the installation pit showing the layer thicknesses and the actual depth of the sensors.

After adjusting the extra cable lengths, the pit and trench were filled with the subgrade and base material. Compaction of the material was performed manually. Portland cement concrete was used to backfill the pit up to the surface level instead of asphalt concrete due to the ease of backfilling with concrete in addition to the fear of damaging the sensor cables from the hot mix. It was felt that using the concrete instead of asphalt concrete will not affect the readings from the probes, since the sensing tip of the probe (60 mm long) was buried inside the asphalt concrete side of the pit at least 220 mm away from the interface between the existing pavement and poured concrete.

Finally, the tower was erected and the datalogger solar radiation sensor and air temperature thermistor were mounted on the tower. The sensors were connected to the datalogger. Figure 3 shows an overview of the test area.
Figure 2. Pavement layers and thermistor locations

Figure 3. General view of experimental test area
A program was written to operate the CR10x datalogger based on the available sensors configuration. The reading from the solar radiation sensor is taken every minute, and the average value is stored every 15 minutes. All other sensors are read every 15 minutes. Data are stored on the datalogger and downloaded to a computer via a storage module.

3. Data Analysis

The temperature data collected were presented to show the different variability patterns between air and pavement temperatures. Pavement temperature models were established using regression analysis on the collected daily maximum and minimum temperatures. The data used to
develop the models were collected in the period from 23rd of December 2002 to 6th of April 2004, with a total of 445 days.

3.1. Temperature Data

Figures 4 and 5 show the variation of air and pavement temperatures at different depths with the time of day. Fig. 4 shows data obtained for two consecutive days during the cold season; namely the 24th and 25th of December, 2002, while Fig. 5 shows this variation for two consecutive days during the hot season; namely the 2nd and 3rd of May, 2003.

It can be seen that thermistors placed at 290 mm depth or more (located at the boundary of the subbase and subgrade layers) are less sensitive to changes in the air temperature than sensors located from the surface down to 210 mm deep. The surface layer is the layer most sensitive to temperature fluctuations. There is a noticeable time lag in the heating cycle between the maximum air temperature and pavement temperature. In other words, it takes more time to heat the pavement than the air. The time lag increases as pavement depth increases. Time lag is also noticed between the minimum air temperature and pavement temperatures in the cooling cycle. The pavement surface cools quicker than other deeper layers.

Figures 4 and 5 also indicate that the maximum or minimum pavement temperatures occur some time after the occurrence of maximum or minimum air temperature, respectively. The minimum pavement temperature is recorded at the surface but is generally warmer than minimum air temperature. This agrees with the findings reported in the literature.

Figures 6 and 7 show the same data only for the air,
surface and 20 mm sensors, in addition to the solar radiation. Fig. 4 illustrates some fluctuation in temperatures detected by the air temperature sensor and the sensors placed at the surface and 20 mm depth. This is more clearly illustrated in Fig. 6 where the readings obtained for solar radiation as well as the readings from the surface and 20 mm sensors are plotted. This effect is not seen in hotter conditions (Fig. 7). This can be explained by the cloudy weather conditions encountered in winter that shade the sun’s rays, as shown by the fluctuation in solar radiation readings presented in Fig. 6.

It can also be seen that the temperature of the pavement at greater depths is warmer than those placed nearer to the surface at night time. This pattern is maintained until the minimum air temperature is reached, and the pattern is reversed with the surface layers becoming warmer and gain energy from the sun rays during the day while the deeper layers become cooler.

3.2. Development of Low Temperature Model

The low pavement temperature model was established using air temperature as an independent variable and pavement surface temperature as the dependant variable. The lowest air temperature in any single day was identified for the entire period during which data were collected and the corresponding daily minimum pavement temperature at the surface was obtained. A regression analysis was performed using Minitab statistical software to develop the model (Neter et al., 1990; MINITAB, 2000). The model was developed using 445 data points.

\[ T_{surf} = -1.437 + 1.121 \times T_{air} \]  

where, \( T_{surf} \) = minimum pavement temperature, °C and \( T_{air} \) = minimum air temperature, °C.

This relationship with the collected data is illustrated in Figure 8. The model achieved a Goodness-of-Fit (R²) of 0.968 and a standard error of estimate (SEE) of 1.11 °C. Table 1 shows the ANOVA results for the model. It is to be noted that the lowest pavement temperatures recorded in Oman are well above the low pavement temperatures typically encountered in the US. This is due to the Gulf area climate which does not have subfreezing temperatures.

3.3. Development of High Pavement Temperature Models

The highest air temperature and the highest pavement temperature at a depth of 20 mm below the surface for each day were identified. A linear regression was performed using the highest air temperature as the independent variable and the highest 20 mm pavement temperature as the dependent variable. Using 445 days of collected data, the following equation was obtained:

\[ \text{Table 1. ANOVA table for low temperature model*} \]

|                | df | SS     | MS   | F        |
|----------------|----|--------|------|----------|
| Regression     | 1  | 16155  | 16155| 13150.93 |
| Residual       | 443| 544    |      | 1        |
| Total          | 444| 16699  |      |          |

|                | Coefficients | Std. Error | t-Stat | P-Value |
|----------------|--------------|------------|--------|---------|
| Intercept      | -1.4373      | 0.239      | -6.02  | 0.000   |
| Variable       | 1.1208       | 0.010      | 114.68 | 0.000   |

*df = degree of freedom; SS = sum of squares; MS = mean sum of squares, F = F statistic, Std.Error = standard error or standard deviation of the coefficient’s distribution
T_{20mm} = 3.160 + 1.319 \ T_{air} \quad (2)

where, \( T_{20mm} \) = pavement temperature at 20 mm depth, °C and \( T_{air} \) = maximum air temperature, °C.

Figure 9 shows the data collected as well as the regression equation obtained. The equation achieved an \( R^2 \) of 0.847 and an SEE of 3.18°C. Table 2 shows the ANOVA results for the model.

Since high pavement temperature is affected by factors other than air temperature, other parameters were considered with the aim of improving \( R^2 \) of the model. Three variables were considered as independent variables: air temperature (\( T_{air} \)), cumulative solar radiation from dawn to the time at which the maximum air temperature occurred (Solar), and the duration over which solar radiation is accumulated (\( t_{rad} \)). The pavement temperature at 20 mm depth was taken as the dependant variable. In order to obtain the best model, a stepwise regression was performed. The accumulated solar radiation was considered as this is the energy that the pavement absorbs and contributes to the highest pavement temperature. The duration to the maximum pavement temperature would have been more appropriate to consider, however, the identification of the maximum pavement temperature would not be possible at other weather stations where no pavement sensors would be available.

The addition and removal of variables were allowed in the stepwise regression procedure. The Partial F-test was used to verify for the entry and removal of variables from the model. The stepwise regression resulted in one additional model. Thus, a second model was developed using pavement temperature as the dependent variable, and air temperature and cumulative solar radiation as the independent variables. The developed equation was as follows:

\[ T_{20mm} = 2.713 + 1.281 \ T_{air} + 0.00053 \ Solar \quad (3) \]

where, Solar = cumulative solar radiation from dawn to the time of occurrence of maximum air temperature in W.h/m². \( R^2 \) increased and SEE decreased slightly compared to the first model (Eq. 2) to 0.854 and 3.12°C, respectively.

| df  | SS   | MS   | F   |
|-----|------|------|-----|
| Regression | 1    | 24512 | 24512 | 2416.44 |
| Residual   | 435  | 4413 | 10   |
| Total      | 436  | 28925 |      |

| Coefficients | Std. Error | t-Stat | P-Value |
|--------------|------------|--------|---------|
| Intercept    | 3.160      | 3.48   | 0.001   |
| Variable     | 1.319      | 49.16  | 0.000   |

* Same notations as in Table 1
Table 3 shows the results of the stepwise regression. The analysis used the same level of significance (0.05) to add or remove variables. Using the partial F-test, if the P value is less than 0.05, then there is enough evidence that the variable is not zero at 0.05 level of significance and the variable should be kept. Otherwise, the variable should be removed. The table shows that the P value is less than 0.05 in both models. The third parameter ($t_{rad}$) was removed from the model as the P value was more than 0.05. A correlation between the cumulative solar radiation and the duration ($t_{rad}$) variables was found to be 0.839 as expressed by the Pearson product moment correlation coefficient. This shows a strong correlation between the two variables, and supports the removal of the ($t_{rad}$) variable.

The use of the developed relationships depends on the availability of data. Equation 2 can be used if only air temperature measurements are available. The more accurate model Eq. 3 can be used if solar radiation measurements are available. It should be noted that solar radiation may be estimated using the relationship described in details by Diefenderfer et al. (2002) which estimates solar radiation using the geographical latitude of any given location and day of the year.

4. Comparison of Developed Models with SHRP and LTTP Models

4.1. Low Temperature Model

Figure 10 shows a comparison between the developed low temperature model and SHRP and LTTP low temperature prediction models for pavement surface temperature. The collected field data are also shown in Fig. 10. The SHRP and LTTP low temperature models are given by the following relationships, respectively Mohseni, (1998):

$$T_{surf} = 0.859T_{air} + 1.7 \quad (4)$$
$$T_{surf} = 1.56 + 0.72T_{air} - 0.004Lat^2 + 6.26\log_{10} (25) \quad (5)$$
where, $T_{surf}$ = pavement surface temperature, $T_{air}$ = air temperature, and Lat=latitude. The latitude was substituted with the Seeb International Airport (Muscat) latitude, which is 23° 35' 00".

Figure 10 clearly shows that the predicted SHRP and LTPP low pavement temperature is lower than both the measured values and the low pavement temperature predicted by the developed model. Both models tend to be closer to both the collected data and the developed model at temperatures less than 15°C. This indicates that the developed model is more suitable for Oman's climate and that SHRP and LTPP models would be more conservative in the Performance Grade (PG) binder selection.

### 4.2. High Pavement Temperature Models

Figure 11 shows a graphical comparison between the developed high pavement temperature prediction model, SHRP and LTPP models. The collected data are also presented. SHRP and LTPP models are given by the following relationships, respectively Mohesni, (1998):

\[
T_{20mm} = (T_{air} - 0.00618\text{Lat}^2 + 0.2289\text{Lat} + 42.4) 
\begin{align*}
\quad &\quad \quad \quad 0.9545 - 17.78 \\
\end{align*}
\]  
\[
T_{20mm} = 54.32 + 0.78T_{air} - 0.0025\text{Lat}^2 
\begin{align*}
\quad &\quad \quad \quad - 15.14\log_{10}(45) \\
\end{align*}
\]  

where, $T_{20mm}$ = pavement temperature at 20 mm depth, $T_{air}$ = air temperature, and Lat=latitude. The latitude was replaced with Muscat latitude.

Figure 11 illustrates that the predicted SHRP and LTPP high pavement temperature at 20 mm are higher than both the measured values and the high pavement temperature predicted by the developed model. Therefore, the developed model is more representative of Oman's climatic conditions. SHRP and LTPP models would be expected to result in a more conservative selection of the PG binder.

### 5. Conclusions

The following conclusions can be drawn from the analysis of the data collected in this research:

1. A pavement temperature monitoring station was set-up on Sultan Qaboos University campus. The collected data indicated the expected trends for cycles of heating and cooling of the pavement layers.
2. A low pavement temperature model was developed for Oman based on air temperature as an independent variable. The model achieved an $R^2$ of 0.968.
3. The low temperature model, when compared with SHRP and LTPP, showed that the two later models provide lower estimate of pavement temperature compared with the developed model. SHRP and LTPP models are more conservative in the selection of Performance Grade (PG) binders, and the developed model would be more suitable for Oman's climate.
4. Two high pavement temperature models were developed, taking into account air temperature and cumulative solar radiation from dawn to the time of maximum air temperature as independent variables to predict the high pavement temperature at 20 mm depth. A step-wise regression analysis was used to develop the models. The models achieved $R^2$ values of 0.847 and 0.854 with the addition of the air and solar radiation variables, consecutively. The partial F-test indicated that the duration of solar radiation up to the maximum air temperature variable for the three variables model should be removed at 0.05 level of significance.
5. The comparison of high pavement temperature model using only air temperature as an independent variable with SHRP and LTPP models indicated that the latter models would estimate higher pavement temperatures.
for Oman. Therefore, these models would be conservative in the selection of Performance Grade (PG) binders for Oman compared with the developed model.

6. The use of the high pavement temperature model would depend on the availability of data. If solar radiation measurements are available or can be estimated, then the two variable model can be used.
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