Underhydration Is Associated with Obesity, Chronic Diseases, and Death Within 3 to 6 Years in the U.S. Population Aged 51–70 Years
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Abstract: Nationally representative data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) indicate that over 65% of adults aged 51–70 years in the U.S. do not meet hydration criteria. They have hyponatremia (serum sodium < 135 mmol/L) and/or underhydration (serum sodium >145 mmol/L, spot urine volume <50 mL, and/or spot urine osmolality ≥500 mmol/kg). To explore potential public health implications of not meeting hydration criteria, data from the NHANES 2009–2012 and National Center for Health Statistics Linked Mortality Files for fasting adults aged 51–70 years (sample n = 1200) were used to determine if hyponatremia and/or underhydration were cross-sectionally associated with chronic health conditions and/or longitudinally associated with chronic disease mortality. Underhydration accounted for 97% of the population group not meeting hydration criteria. In weighted multivariable adjusted Poisson models, underhydration was significantly associated with increased prevalence of obesity, high waist circumference, insulin resistance, diabetes, low HDL, hypertension, and metabolic syndrome. Over 3–6 years of follow-up, 33 chronic disease deaths occurred in the sample, representing an estimated 1,084,144 deaths in the U.S. Alongside chronic health conditions, underhydration was a risk factor for an estimated 863,305 deaths. Independent of the chronic health conditions evaluated, underhydration was a risk factor for 128,107 deaths. In weighted multivariable Cox models, underhydration was associated with 4.21 times greater chronic disease mortality (95% CI: 1.29–13.78, p = 0.019). Zero chronic disease deaths were observed for people who met the hydration criteria and did not already have a chronic condition in 2009–2012. Further work should consider effects of underhydration on population health.
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1. Introduction

Data from the 2009–2012 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) indicate that the majority of adults ages 51–70 years in the U.S. do not meet hydration criteria [1]. An estimated 72.1% (68.4%–75.5%) of men and 65.9% (61.0%–70.6%) of women who are free of acute illness have a serum sodium below 135 mmol/L, a serum sodium of 145 mmol/L or higher, a spot urine volume less than 50 mL, or a urine osmolality of 500 mmol/kg or higher. Individuals who do not meet these hydration criteria have hyponatremia and/or a condition described as “underhydration” by Kavouras [2], which is characterized by elevated vasopressin and renal conservation of water despite normal plasma osmolality. The 2009–2012 NHANES analysis [1] has
implications for national water intake recommendations, if hyponatremia and/or underhydration signal risk for poor health outcomes. Given controversy over hydration criteria [3] and no population-representative estimates of health benefit associated with euhydration as defined by Stookey [1], this analysis extends work on the same 2009–2012 NHANES study population to describe the prevalence of chronic health conditions and risk of mortality associated with not meeting the same hydration criteria.

1.1. Hydration Classification

The public health significance of not meeting the hydration criteria specified by Stookey [1] is uncertain, because it is possible to define hydration relative to various standards and index hydration using multiple different measures, either alone or in combination. Hydration may be defined relative to requirements for various aspects of metabolism, such as sodium balance, protein turnover, and hormone release and action, requirements for various aspects of physiological homeostasis, such as maintenance of blood volume, blood pressure, and thermoregulation; requirements for various aspects of physical function or performance, such as urine concentration, endurance, and cognition; and/or myriad other requirements for health. Hydration measures, such as serum sodium, blood urea nitrogen creatinine ratio, anti-diuretic hormone, hematocrit, heart rate, urine concentration, sweat rate, skin turgor, and others, have been developed to reflect one or more of the above aspects of metabolism, physiology, function, or health [4–6]. No one gold standard of hydration has been identified [7,8]. Available hydration indices vary in sensitivity and specificity to a range of mild to severe, acute to chronic, fluid disorders, including hyper-, iso-, and hypovolemic dehydration. The hydration indices vary in terms of prevalence under conditions of daily life [9]. Assessment of one or more hydration measures may be appropriate to address any given public health question.

1.2. Cross-Sectional Association between Hydration Classification and Chronic Health Conditions

The 2009–2012 NHANES data suggest that the high prevalence of not meeting the hydration criteria is associated with risk of chronic disease. Compared with the prevalence estimated for the total population of non-acute illness men and women ages 51–70 years (noted above), the prevalence estimated for the sub-group without chronic disease risk factors is over 15 percentage points lower (52.4% (40.1%–64.4%) for males, 49.0% (34.3%–63.9%) for females) [1]. The chronic disease risk factors considered in the analysis are underweight, overweight or obesity, hyperglycemia, insulin resistance, hypertriglyceridemia, low high-density lipoprotein (HDL), and chronic kidney disease.

Individuals with chronic disease are more likely to have hyponatremia than individuals without chronic disease. NHANES data from 1999–2004 indicate that, in U.S. adults, hyponatremia is significantly more common among those with hypertension, diabetes, coronary artery disease, stroke, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cancer, and psychiatric disorders, and less common in those with no comorbidities. Controlling for demographics, smoking, comorbidities, and insurance, hyponatremia more than doubles the risk of death within 3 to 6 years [10].

Individuals with chronic disease are also at increased risk of underhydration. At a given point in time, conditions of the metabolic syndrome predispose to higher serum sodium, low urine volume, and/or concentrated urine. In cross-sectional data, obese individuals are significantly more likely to have a serum sodium above 140 mmol/L and an increased extracellular relative to intracellular (ECF/ICF) fluid ratio than normal weight individuals [11]. Insulin resistance causes extracellular glucose to become osmotically effective, favoring osmotic cell shrinkage, vasopressin release, and vasopressin action on the kidney to reduce urine volume and concentrate urine [12–14]. Impaired insulin-stimulated glucose metabolism and salt-sensitive hypertension occur with renal sodium retention [15–17]. Plasma copeptin, a biomarker of osmotic shrinkage of cells and vasopressin release [18], is cross-sectionally associated with diabetes and insulin resistance [19]. Higher systolic blood pressure is cross-sectionally associated with higher serum sodium [20–24]. Across studies, individuals with metabolic syndrome have significantly higher levels of sodium compared to healthy controls [25].
Cross sectional associations are not informative about the temporality of association. Although chronic health conditions may increase risk of underhydration, the reverse is also possible. Underhydration status may determine chronic health conditions. Prospectively, hypernatremia, low urine volume, and/or concentrated urine predict the risk of new onset or worsening metabolic dysregulation. In controlled experiments, increasing the serum sodium over 145 mmol/L of healthy adults via fluid restriction or hypertonic saline infusion decreases insulin sensitivity, increases hyperglycemia, and alters lipid metabolism [26–28]. At the cell level, experiments show that extracellular hypertonicity causes cell shrinkage, which upregulates proteolysis and glycogenolysis [29]. The free amino acids and glucose end-products, in turn, trigger insulin release. Extracellular hypertonicity, furthermore, causes insulin resistance by counteracting cell swelling, which is required for the effect of insulin on metabolism, such as proteolysis [30]. Sustained over time, extracellular hypertonicity causes cells to alter gene transcription to support cellular accumulation of organic osmolytes [31]. Over the longer term, higher serum sodium predicts many age-related degenerative diseases [32].

1.3. Longitudinal Association between Hydration Classification and Mortality

Longitudinal, population-representative data are consistent with a negative feedback system of not meeting hydration criteria and metabolic dysregulation that magnifies risk of incident disease. As the number of metabolic syndrome components increases, the body sodium level increases [25]. In people with hyperglycemia, independent of the level of plasma glucose, a unit increase in serum sodium increases the relative odds of incident diabetes by 26% [33]. In people with diabetes, independent of fasting glucose and hemoglobin A1c, plasma copeptin significantly interacts with diabetes to predict 33% greater risk of coronary artery disease, 62% greater risk of heart failure, and 32% greater risk of death from diabetes [34]. Longitudinal data indicate significantly greater mortality when serum sodium is outside the normal range or plasma copeptin or urine osmolality is elevated [10,19,35–45].

The ultimate goal of this analysis was to facilitate interpretation of recent results from the 2009–2012 NHANES [1]. To align with that analysis, the specific aims of this analysis were to determine if “not meeting hydration criteria”, as defined in that analysis [1], was (1) cross-sectionally associated with chronic health conditions in 2009–2012 and (2) longitudinally associated with death within 3–6 years. The cross-sectional analysis checked for potential intercorrelation or effect mediation between hydration classification and chronic health conditions in 2009–2012. The survival analysis specified the joint effect of baseline hydration and chronic health condition as main exposure.

Given the working hypothesis that hyponatremia and/or underhydration exacerbate metabolic dysregulation characteristics of metabolic syndrome, this analysis also reports cross-sectional associations between underhydration and chronic health conditions. Cross-sectional associations between hyponatremia and chronic health conditions have already been described for the NHANES population [10].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design

Data from the 2009–2010 and 2011–2012 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) were pooled for cross-sectional analysis of prevalent chronic health conditions in 2009–2012 and prospective analysis of mortality risk from 2009–2012 through December 31, 2015. NHANES collects information about demographic, behavioral, and biological risk factors via home interview, physical examination, dietary assessment, and laboratory tests. For the prospective analysis, NHANES data were linked to death records from the National Death Index using National Center for Health Statistics Linked Mortality Files [46].

2.2. Study Population
NHANES selects a stratified, multistage probability sample which is representative of the civilian non-institutionalized U.S. population [47]. The present study population was selected to match a study population previously described [1], which included non-acutely ill men and women aged 51–70 years who had valid information about water intake, body weight, and serum sodium. The present study population excluded individuals with extreme serum creatinine (< 0.5 or >1.5 mg/dL; n = 75) or albuminuria (urine albumin/creatinine ratio ≥30 mg/g creatinine; n = 424), for whom hydration status might be misclassified. The study population excluded 1335 individuals who were not 8 h fasted at the time of the 2009–2012 examination, as fasting laboratory test results were used to classify study participants with respect to chronic conditions. Participants missing information about chronic disease conditions (n = 41) and vital status through 2015 (n = 2) were excluded. The analysis sample included 1200 individuals aged 51–70 years.

The NHANES 2009–2010 and 2011–2012 protocols were reviewed and approved by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) Institutional Review Board (IRB) / Ethics Review Board (ERB) (https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/irba98.htm). All study participants provided informed consent. The study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki for human subject involved medical research.

2.3. Measures

2.3.1. Hydration

A fasting blood and spot urine sample were collected and processed at the mobile examination center (MEC) following protocol described in detail elsewhere [1,48,49]. Serum sodium was determined as part of a DxC800 serum biochemistry profile [50,51]. Urine osmolality was determined by freezing point depression osmometer (Osmette II, Model 5005 Automatic Osmometer, Precision Systems Inc, Natick, MA, USA) [52].

Fasting serum sodium, spot urine volume, and spot urine osmolality were used to classify study participants according to previously defined hydration criteria [1,53,54], which are consistent with zero electrolyte free water clearance [55], proposed cutoffs for urine osmolality [56,57], and possible chronic health benefits [58–60]. For urine osmolality, the cutoff of 500 mmol/kg was selected because of its sensitivity to cell swelling, suppressed vasopressin release, and urine dilution. Due to the tight relationship between cell shrinkage and vasopressin release [61], higher urine osmolality cutoffs distinguish cell shrinkage and urine concentration.

Participants who met all criteria were identified as euhydrated. Participants who did not meet any one of the criteria were classified as not meeting the hydration criteria. Participants who were unable to void, a sign of vasopressin activation and action, were classified with participants with urine volume below 50 mL, as not meeting the hydration criteria. Participants that did not meet criteria and did not have hyponatremia were classified as underhydrated.

2.3.2. Chronic Conditions

Measured body weight, height, and waist circumference as well as CDC cutoffs (body mass index (BMI) ≥30; waist > 40 inches for men, waist > 35 inches for women) were used to classify the weight status of participants [62].

Consistent with previous NHANES analyses [1,63], fasting insulin determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), fasting glucose determined by enzymatic method, and the Homeostatic Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR) equation (with ≥2.5 cutoff) were used to classify participants with respect to insulin resistance. Fasting glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) were classified as elevated following American Diabetes Association cutoffs (glucose ≥ 126 mg/dL; HbA1c ≥ 6.5%) [64]. HbA1c was determined by a laboratory affiliated with the National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program using a Tosoh A1C G7 system [64,65]. Participants who had an elevated fasting glucose, elevated HbA1c or an oral glucose tolerance test result at or above 200 mg/dL, or who reported ever being told that they had diabetes were classified as having diabetes.
Consistent with the previous NHANES analysis [1], fasting triglycerides above 150 mg/dL were considered elevated [53,66,67]. Fasting HDL cholesterol below 40 mg/dL for men and below 50 mg/dL for women were considered low [53,66,67].

Participants were classified as having hypertension on the basis of blood pressure measurements taken at the MEC visit and American Heart Association cutoffs (systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥ 120, diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥ 80) [68] or ever diagnosis of high blood pressure [69].

National Cholesterol Education Program, Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP/ATPIII) criteria were used to classify participants with respect to metabolic syndrome (three or more of the following conditions: high waist circumference, elevated triglycerides, blood pressure ≥130/ ≥ 85 mmHg, low HDL (< 40 mg/dL for men and <50 mg/dL for women), and fasting glucose (≥ 110 mg/dL) [70]. Participants with none of the above conditions were identified (BMI, waist circumference, HOMA-IR, fasting glucose, HbA1c and fasting triglyceride below cutoffs, no diabetes, no hypertension and no metabolic syndrome).

2.3.3. Joint Exposure

Given potential for a feedback system between hydration and metabolic dysregulation, participants were divided into six groups defined by euhydration, hyponatremia, or underhydration and whether or not they had any of the chronic health conditions (yes/no) at baseline. Participants with underhydration AND any of the chronic conditions at baseline were considered further progressed along the feedback system of metabolic dysregulation than participants with underhydration OR any of the chronic conditions at baseline. The latter groups, in turn, were considered further progressed along the feedback system than participants who were euhydrated and did not have any of the chronic conditions in 2009–2012.

2.3.4. Mortality

Survival time was expressed as the number of months elapsed between the MEC examination in 2009–2012 and December 31, 2015. Vital status was expressed as deceased or assumed alive through December 31, 2015. The underlying cause of death was classified as heart disease (I00-I09, I11, I13, I20-I51), malignant neoplasm (C00-C97), or all other causes, on the basis of the 10th International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10) codes. Deaths with hypertension and/or diabetes listed as a contributing cause were flagged. Deaths with heart disease, malignant neoplasms, hypertension, and/or diabetes listed as an underlying cause or multiple cause were grouped.

2.3.5. Covariates

Age in years, sex, and height measured at the MEC (as proxy for body size) were considered as determinants of individual water intake requirements [71]. Socio-economic covariates included self-reported race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic black, Hispanic, white and other/multi-racial), education (less than high school diploma, high school or General Educational Development (GED), college or postgraduate), and poverty (percent of Federal Poverty Level (FPL)). Season of the MEC examination (winter or spring, summer or fall) was considered as proxy for ambient temperature and environmental determinant of both water intake and water intake requirements. The total length of food fast was measured as the number of hours between the last food or drink consumed, other than water, and the venipuncture.

Behavioral covariates included self-reported prescription medication use in the past month [72,73], lifetime smoking experience [74,75], physical activity in the past 7 days, and dietary intake in the 24 h before the morning blood and urine sample collection.

To index physical activity in the past 7 days, a trained interviewer administered a Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) using the computer-assisted personal interviewing (CAPI) system [76,77]. Participants were asked to self-report how much time they spent walking, biking, or doing moderate or vigorous activities at work and/or for recreation on each day in the previous week.
The time estimates were converted to metabolic equivalents (MET) minutes per week, assuming a MET score of 4.0 for moderate physical activity and MET score of 8.0 for vigorous activities.

The previous day total intakes of energy, carbohydrate, alcohol, and solute load were estimated from an in-person 24 h dietary recall, administered by a trained interviewer using the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Automated Multiple Pass software and portion size reference guides [78,79]. Interviewers probed for snacks and drinks between meals and food preparation details, including addition of salt and sugar during cooking or at the table. Total carbohydrate intake was expressed as a continuous variable relative to total energy intake. Alcohol was expressed in categorical terms, as no drinks, 1–2 drinks, or 3 or more drinks per day. Total daily intakes of protein, sodium, and potassium were combined to index dietary solute load: (gm protein x 5.8) + mEq (Na + K). The calculated index underestimates the total solute load, because solute, such as chloride, were not available in the dataset.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using Stata/SE 15.1 statistical software (StataCorp LP; College Station, TX, USA), pooled weights for the 2009–2010 and 2011–2012 NHANES fasting subsamples (1/2*wtsaf2yr) [1,80], and a p-value <0.05 for statistical significance.

2.4.1. Descriptive Analysis

The bivariate relationship between serum sodium and urine osmolality in the study sample was described by scatterplot. The estimated population-representative distributions of serum sodium, urine osmolality, and urine volume in the study population were described using weighted geometric means and 95% confidence intervals. The estimated population-representative prevalence of euhydration and not meeting the hydration criteria in the study population were described using weighted proportion and 95% confidence intervals.

The study population was described in terms of risk factors that might confound effects of hydration on chronic disease risk, namely, socio-economic, environmental, and behavioral determinants of water intake requirements and water intake. The weighted proportion of the study population with each risk factor was estimated by hydration classification. Unadjusted weighted Poisson models with robust error variances tested for association between each risk factor and hydration classification.

2.4.2. Cross-Sectional Relationship between Chronic Disease and Hydration Classification

Assuming that chronic health conditions may increase risk of not meeting the hydration classification and, conversely, that not meeting the hydration classification may increase risk of having a chronic condition, effects in both directions were estimated.

The weighted prevalence of not meeting the hydration criteria was estimated, stratified by chronic health condition. Unadjusted and multi-variable adjusted weighted Poisson models with robust error variances were used to test if the prevalence ratio of not meeting the hydration criteria in 2009–2012 varied by health condition in 2009–2012. A first multivariable model (Model 2) controlled for age, sex, height, race–ethnicity, education, poverty level, physical activity, total energy intake, total carbohydrate intake, alcoholic drinks consumed, dietary solute load, past or current cigarette smoking, prescription medication use, season of MEC measurement, and length of fast prior to venipuncture. A second multivariable model (Model 3) added control for the other chronic conditions (obesity, insulin resistance, diabetes, dyslipidemia, and hypertension) to check if metabolic dysregulation related to concurrent chronic conditions might mediate or explain the association. To explore for effects specific to underhydration, a set of models with the same specification were fit to test if the prevalence ratio of underhydration varied by chronic health condition.

The weighted prevalence of each chronic condition was next estimated, stratified by hydration classification. Unadjusted and multi-variable adjusted weighted Poisson models with robust error
variances were used to test if the prevalence ratio of having each chronic condition in 2009–2012 varied by hydration classification in 2009–2012 (not meeting the hydration criteria vs. euhydration as well as underhydration vs. euhydration). Multivariable models adjusted for covariates, as described above.

2.4.3. Longitudinal Effect of Hydration Classification on Death

The weighted number of deaths occurring to the study population within 3 to 6 years of the MEC examination was estimated, stratified by hydration classification in 2009–2012, health condition in 2009–2012, and all-cause or chronic disease-associated death. Health condition was defined as any or none of the following health conditions: obesity, high waist circumference, insulin resistance, diabetes, elevated glucose, HgA1c or triglycerides, low HDL, hypertension, or metabolic syndrome. All-cause and chronic disease-associated death rates were estimated with jackknife confidence intervals. The stset command available in stata was used for survival analyses with a time-on-study scale [81] and failure defined as ‘all-cause death’ or ‘chronic-disease associated death’. Kaplan–Meier survival curves were graphed by hydration classification as well as by the joint exposure of hydration classification and chronic health condition at baseline.

Weighted Cox proportional hazards models were used to test for differences in the hazard rate by hydration classification. All multivariable models controlled for all covariates described above for Model 2. The aim of this descriptive analysis was to determine if euhydration, as defined previously [1], signals significantly lower mortality or not. The aim was not to estimate an independent causal effect of hydration, as some confounding and time-varying variables were not available in NHANES (see Figure 1). A first set of unadjusted and multivariable-adjusted models estimated the mortality risk associated with euhydration vs. not meeting hydration criteria [1]. A second set of models estimated the mortality risk associated with euhydration vs. underhydration, more specifically.

Figure 1. Directed acyclic graph (DAG) representing the hypothesized joint effect of hydration classification and chronic health condition on death. The yellow circle highlights the joint exposure. The blue circles represent the outcome. The pink and grey circles represent covariates that were observed and unobserved by National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), respectively. The DAG assumes that the hydration classification is a function of water intake.
requirements, water intake, and health condition. Many unobserved covariates and interrelationships between covariates are not shown. Figure 1 was generated using DAGgity.net v2.3.

If hydration and chronic health condition(s) interact in a negative feedback system, then effects of health condition(s) on mortality would be expected to be worse if hydration criteria are not met. To check for this possibility, unadjusted and multivariable adjusted weighted Cox proportional hazards models tested for greater risk of mortality associated with not meeting the hydration criteria among individuals with one or more chronic health conditions in 2009–2012.

2.4.4. Sensitivity Analyses

The hydration classification exposure selected for this analysis was defined to account for U-shaped hydration effects and distinguish euhydration from hyponatremia as well as underhydration. To illustrate need to account for U-shaped hydration effects, Poisson models, specified as described above, tested for a significant U-shaped relationship between serum osmolality and mortality. Serum osmolality was calculated from serum chemistry results as $1.86 \times (Na^+ + K^+) + 1.15 \times \text{glucose} + \text{urea} + 14$ [82]. The models included a main effect for serum osmolality and serum osmolality*serum osmolality interaction term. The sample for this sensitivity analysis included adults aged 71–80 years.

The specific aims of this paper were focused on the 51–70-year-old age group to align with the previous analysis [1] as well as account for potential effect modification by older age related to factors such as decreased urine concentrating ability and/or increased risk of isotonic hypovolemia with age [1]. Unadjusted and multivariable-adjusted weighted models tested whether age group (71–80 vs. 51–70 years) modified the effect of underhydration on chronic disease mortality. The sample for this sensitivity analysis included adults aged 71–80 years.

Beyond accounting for U-shape, the hydration classification exposure selected for this analysis was assumed to reflect biologically meaningful variability that serum osmolality masks. Serum osmolality may be normal at the expense of vasopressin-mediated conservation of body water. Vasopressin-mediated pathways are associated with increased chronic disease mortality [19,34,37]. To check this working assumption, additional multivariable adjusted weighted Poisson models tested for an effect of underhydration on chronic disease mortality for persons aged 51–70 years with a calculated serum osmolality in the normal range, between 285 and 295 mmol/kg [82].

Finally, to consider if underhydration effects on chronic disease mortality might conceivably be attributable to vasopressin-mediated conservation of body water, as opposed to underlying diabetes by itself (elevated urine osmolality due to glycosuria), a last set of unadjusted and multivariable weighted adjusted Poisson models tested for a difference in the hazard between low urine volume and euhydration for individuals with urine osmolality below 500 mmol/kg.

3. Results

3.1. Sample Characteristics

Figure 2 illustrates the distribution of serum sodium and urine osmolality for the study sample. Serum sodium ranged from 129 to 145 mmol/L, with a mean (standard error (SE)) of 139 (0.1) mmol/l. Urine osmolality ranged from 66 to 1180 mmol/kg, with a mean (SE) of 537 (11) mmol/kg. Urine volume ranged from 1 to 466 mL, with a mean (SE) of 77 (3) mL.
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Figure 2. Distribution of serum sodium and urine osmolality in the study sample.

Of 1200 study participants, 881 did not meet one or more hydration criteria. A total of 22 participants were hyponatremic, 10 of which had a urine osmolality below 500 mmol/kg. A total of 859 participants were classified as underhydrated, including 322 participants with zero urine or a urine volume below 50 mL. Urine osmolality was 500 mmol/kg or higher for 796 participants, including 537 participants with urine volume of 50 mL or more.

Applying sample weights, an estimated 71.4% (67.0%–75.4%) of fasting U.S. adults aged 51–70 years in 2009–2012 did not meet one or more hydration criteria. Among those who did not meet the hydration criteria, 2.7% (1.3%–5.5%) had hyponatremia and 97.3% (94.5%–98.7%) were underhydrated. An estimated 69.4% (65.5%–73.1%) of the study population was underhydrated.

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population in 2009–2012.

|                        | Euhydration (n = 319) | Did Not Meet Hydration Criteria (n = 881) | p-Value |
|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|
|                        | n                    | Weighted % (95% CI)                    | Weighted % (95% CI) |         |
| All                    | -                    | 28.6 (24.6–33.0)                       | 71.4 (67.0–75.4)   | -       |
| Age, years             | 51–60                | 29.3 (24.5–34.7)                       | 70.7 (65.3–75.5)   | Reference |
|                        | 61–70                | 27.5 (21.7–34.3)                       | 72.5 (65.7–78.3)   | 0.629   |
| Sex                    | Female               | 31.3 (25.7–37.4)                       | 68.7 (62.6–74.3)   | 0.112   |
|                        | Male                 | 25.5 (20.9–30.9)                       | 74.5 (69.1–79.1)   | Reference |
| Height, cm             | <167                 | 31.2 (25.8–37.2)                       | 68.8 (62.8–74.2)   | Reference |
|                        | ≥167                 | 26.4 (21.4–32.2)                       | 73.6 (67.8–78.6)   | 0.179   |
| Race/ethnicity         | Black                | 21.2 (16.6–26.6)                       | 78.8 (73.4–83.4)   | 0.011   |
|                        | Hispanic             | 22.2 (17.8–27.3)                       | 77.8 (72.7–82.2)   | 0.011   |
|                        | Other                | 45.1 (31.5–59.4)                       | 54.9 (40.6–68.5)   | Reference |
|                        | White                | 29.3 (24.2–35.0)                       | 70.7 (65.0–75.8)   | 0.052   |
| Education              | <High school         | 24.0 (17.3–32.3)                       | 76.0 (67.2–82.7)   | 0.098   |
|                        | High school          | 27.9 (22.8–33.5)                       | 72.1 (66.5–77.2)   | 0.327   |
|                        | College              | 31.9 (25.3–39.4)                       | 68.1 (60.6–74.7)   | Reference |
| Poverty, % of FPL      | Unknown              | 33.1 (20.3–49.0)                       | 66.9 (51.0–79.7)   | 0.724   |
|                        | <100                 | 31.3 (22.9–41.1)                       | 68.7 (58.9–77.1)   | 0.819   |
|                        | 100–199              | 28.8 (22.7–35.7)                       | 71.2 (64.3–77.3)   | 0.788   |
|                        | 200–399              | 23.6 (17.7–30.6)                       | 76.4 (69.4–82.3)   | 0.216   |
|                        | 400+                 | 30.5 (24.1–37.7)                       | 69.5 (62.3–75.9)   | Reference |
| Physical activity, MET min/w | <1000               | 30.4 (25.7–35.6)                       | 69.6 (64.4–74.3)   | Reference |
|                        | 1000–4999            | 27.3 (21.0–34.7)                       | 72.7 (65.3–79.0)   | 0.399   |
|                        | ≥5000                | 26.1 (19.7–33.6)                       | 73.9 (66.4–80.3)   | 0.258   |
| Cigarette smoking      | Never                | 30.1 (24.9–35.8)                       | 69.9 (64.2–75.1)   | Reference |
|                        | Past                 | 20.5 (15.5–26.5)                       | 79.5 (73.5–84.5)   | 0.006   |
|                        | Current              | 42.8 (33.3–52.9)                       | 57.2 (47.1–66.7)   | 0.020   |
| Alcohol, drinks/day    | 0                    | 27.7 (23.1–32.9)                       | 72.3 (67.1–76.9)   | Reference |
|                        | 1–2                  | 33.7 (28.1–39.8)                       | 66.3 (60.2–71.9)   | 0.101   |
|                        | Over 2               | 26.5 (16.9–38.9)                       | 73.5 (61.1–83.1)   | 0.818   |
3.4.1. After further adjustment for all other chronic conditions (Model 3), the prevalence of euhydration specifically. In unadjusted prevalence of not meeting one or more hydration criteria, stratified by chronic condition. As above, Table 2A describes the number of NHANES participant and weighted proportion of the study population who did not meet one or more hydration criteria, stratified by chronic condition. The table aligns with groups defined in the prior NHANES analysis [1]. Independent of the covariates controlled in Model 2, the prevalence of not meeting hydration criteria was significantly higher among individuals with obesity, high waist circumference, insulin resistance, low HDL, and metabolic syndrome than among individuals without these conditions. Except for the relationship between obesity and not meeting hydration criteria, the observed associations were explained away by control for other chronic conditions (Model 3).

Whereas Table 3A describes the prevalence of not meeting one or more hydration criteria, Table 3B focuses on the prevalence of underhydration, more specifically, excluding hyponatremia. The same pattern of results was observed for underhydration. Independent of the covariates controlled in Model 2, the prevalence of underhydration was significantly higher among individuals with obesity, high waist circumference, insulin resistance, low HDL, and metabolic syndrome than among individuals without these conditions.

3.3. Prevalence of Chronic Health Condition by Hydration Classification

Table 3A,B describes the number of NHANES participants and weighted prevalence of each chronic health condition, stratified by hydration classification. As above, Table 3A describes associations for not meeting one or more of the hydration criteria, whereas Table 3B focuses on underhydration specifically. In unadjusted weighted Poisson models, obesity, high waist circumference, insulin resistance, diabetes, low HDL, hypertension, and metabolic syndrome were significantly more prevalent among individuals who did not meet hydration criteria. The proportion of people without any chronic condition was two times greater for people who were euhydrated. The relationships remained statistically significant after adjustment for all covariates in Model 2. The multivariable-adjusted prevalence ratio for each condition (Model 2) was reduced by more than 10% after further adjustment for all other chronic conditions (Model 3).

3.4. Mortality Associated with Hyponatremia or Underhydration vs. Euhydration

3.4.1. All-Cause Mortality
Table 4 summarizes the number of deaths that occurred within 3 to 6 years of the 2009–2012 MEC examination. A total of 52 deaths in the 2009–2012 NHANES study sample represented 1,724,051 deaths to persons ages 51–70 years, nationally. The observed deaths were concentrated among persons who were underhydrated in 2009–2012. Figure 3 (a) illustrates the difference in survival for people who were hyponatremic or underhydrated vs. euhydrated. The unadjusted all-cause death rate was over two times higher for people who did not meet the hydration criteria in 2009–2012 (weighted hazard ratio (HR): 2.37, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.02–5.50, \( p = 0.044 \)), either because of hyponatremia (weighted HR: 2.32, 95% CI: 0.32–16.89, \( p = 0.396 \)) or underhydration (weighted HR: 2.37, 95% CI: 1.03–5.49, \( p = 0.044 \)). The estimated increase in all-cause mortality associated with not meeting hydration criteria remained over two times higher after adjustment for covariates (adjusted weighted HR: 2.32, 95% CI: 0.96–5.63, \( p = 0.062 \)). The estimated increase in all-cause mortality associated with underhydration, more specifically, also remained over two times higher (adjusted weighted HR: 2.33, 95% CI: 0.97–5.60, \( p = 0.059 \)).
Table 2. (A) Prevalence ratio for not meeting the hydration criteria associated with chronic health conditions for fasted U.S. adults aged 51–70 years.

| Chronic Condition | Had Chronic Health Condition | NHANES Participants Who Did Not Meet Criteria | U.S. Adults Aged 51–70 Years Who Did Not Meet Criteria | Prevalence Ratio for Not Meeting the Hydration Criteria |
|-------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
|                   | n                            | Weighted % (95% CI)                           | Weighted PR (95% CI) p                            | Weighted PR (95% CI) p | Weighted PR (95% CI) p | p     |
| Obesity           | No                           | 488                                          | 63.8 (57.1–70.1)                                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0 |
|                   | Yes                          | 393                                          | 83.0 (77.5–87.3)                                 | 1.30 (1.14–1.48)    | 0.000               | 1.23 (1.10–1.38)    | 0.001  | 1.18 (1.05–1.34)    | 0.009 |
| High waist circumference | No                          | 294                                          | 60.2 (52.7–67.2)                                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0 |
|                   | Yes                          | 587                                          | 76.8 (72.1–80.9)                                 | 1.28 (1.13–1.44)    | 0.000               | 1.23 (1.08–1.41)    | 0.003  | 1.09 (0.95–1.25)    | 0.200 |
| Insulin resistance | No                           | 716                                          | 68.9 (64.3–73.1)                                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0 |
|                   | Yes                          | 165                                          | 86.9 (78.0–92.5)                                 | 1.26 (1.14–1.40)    | 0.000               | 1.20 (1.09–1.32)    | 0.000  | 1.08 (0.97–1.20)    | 0.160 |
| Diabetes          | No                           | 641                                          | 69.5 (65.1–73.6)                                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0 |
|                   | Yes                          | 240                                          | 78.9 (70.4–85.4)                                 | 1.14 (1.03–1.25)    | 0.012               | 1.09 (0.99–1.21)    | 0.092  | 1.01 (0.90–1.12)    | 0.905 |
| Elevated glucose  | No                           | 742                                          | 70.1 (66.0–74.0)                                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0 |
|                   | Yes                          | 139                                          | 80.8 (69.0–88.8)                                 | 1.15 (1.03–1.29)    | 0.015               | 1.07 (0.93–1.23)    | 0.311  | 0.99 (0.86–1.15)    | 0.944 |
| Elevated HbA1c    | No                           | 745                                          | 70.3 (65.9–74.3)                                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0 |
|                   | Yes                          | 136                                          | 79.5 (67.6–87.7)                                 | 1.13 (0.99–1.29)    | 0.062               | 1.07 (0.93–1.24)    | 0.340  | 0.97 (0.83–1.13)    | 0.655 |
| Elevated TG or low HDL | No                          | 541                                          | 68.9 (63.2–74.0)                                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0 |
|                   | Yes                          | 340                                          | 75.3 (70.0–79.9)                                 | 1.09 (1.00–1.20)    | 0.058               | 1.10 (1.01–1.20)    | 0.038  | 1.03 (0.93–1.14)    | 0.526 |
| Elevated TG       | No                           | 643                                          | 70.5 (65.4–75.1)                                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0 |
|                   | Yes                          | 238                                          | 73.7 (67.1–79.9)                                 | 1.05 (0.95–1.15)    | 0.353               | 1.06 (0.97–1.16)    | 0.224  | 1.00 (0.91–1.09)    | 0.932 |
| Low HDL           | No                           | 654                                          | 68.8 (63.5–73.7)                                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0 |
|                   | Yes                          | 227                                          | 79.2 (71.7–85.1)                                 | 1.15 (1.02–1.29)    | 0.021               | 1.15 (1.01–1.31)    | 0.033  | 1.09 (0.95–1.26)    | 0.204 |
| Hypertension      | No                           | 199                                          | 63.7 (54.7–71.8)                                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0 |
|                   | Yes                          | 682                                          | 74.2 (69.6–78.4)                                 | 1.17 (1.01–1.34)    | 0.031               | 1.13 (0.98–1.29)    | 0.089  | 1.07 (0.94–1.22)    | 0.271 |
| Metabolic syndrome| No                           | 593                                          | 67.7 (62.5–72.6)                                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0 |
|                   | Yes                          | 288                                          | 80.4 (73.4–85.9)                                 | 1.19 (1.07–1.32)    | 0.002               | 1.15 (1.05–1.27)    | 0.006  | -                  | -     |
| None of the above | No                           | 806                                          | 73.4 (68.9–77.5)                                 | 1.0                 | 1.0                 | 1.0 |
|                   | Yes                          | 75                                           | 55.8 (45.4–65.6)                                 | 0.76 (0.64–0.90)    | 0.003               | 0.80 (0.66–0.96)    | 0.021  | -                  | -     |

The table reports data from the 2009–2012 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). With survey weights applied, estimates are representative of fasted U.S. adults aged 51–70 years in 2009–2012. Participants were classified as meeting the hydration criteria if they had a serum sodium greater than or equal to 135 and less than 145 mmol/L, a urine volume greater than or equal to 50 mL, and a urine osmolality below <500 mmol/kg. The prevalence ratio for not meeting the hydration criteria was estimated using Poisson models with robust error variances and survey weights applied. Model 1 did not adjust for covariates. Model 2 controlled for age, sex, height, race/ethnicity, education, poverty level, physical activity, total energy intake, total carbohydrate intake, alcoholic drinks consumed, dietary solute load, past or current cigarette smoking, prescription medication use, season of mobile examination center (MEC) measurement and length of fast. Model 3 added control for all other chronic health conditions in the table.
The table reports data from the 2009–2012 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). With survey weights applied, estimates are representative of fasting U.S. adults aged 51–70 years in 2009–2012. Participants were classified as underhydrated if they had a serum sodium greater than or equal to 145 mmol/L, a urine volume less than 50 mL, or a urine osmolality greater than or equal to 500 mmol/kg. The prevalence ratio for underhydration was estimated using Poisson models with robust error variances and survey weights applied. Model 1 did not adjust for covariates. Model 2 controlled for age, sex, height, race/ethnicity, education, poverty level, physical activity, total energy intake, total carbohydrate intake, alcoholic drinks consumed, dietary solute load, past or current cigarette smoking, prescription medication use, season of MEC measurement, and length of fast. Model 3 added control for all other chronic health conditions in the table.

### Table 2. (B) Prevalence ratio for underhydration associated with chronic health conditions for fasted U.S. adults ages 51–70 years.

| Chronic Condition | Had Chronic Health Condition | NHANES Participants Who Were Fasted Underhydrated | U.S. Adults Aged 51–70 Years Who Were Fasted Underhydrated | Prevalence Ratio for Underhydration vs. Euhydration |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|                   |                             | Unadjusted Weighted % (95% CI) | Unadjusted Weighted PR (95% CI) | p | Multivariable Adjusted Weighted PR (95% CI) | p |
| Obesity           | No                          | 713                                 | 62.6 (56.1–68.7)                  | 1.0 | 0.91 | 0.000 | 1.0 |
|                   | Yes                         | 487                                 | 79.9 (74.3–84.5)                  | 1.30 (1.14–1.49) | 0.000 | 1.24 (1.10–1.39) | 0.001 | 1.19 (1.05–1.35) | 0.007 |
|                   |                             | 439                                 | 58.8 (51.6–65.5)                  | 1.0 | -       | -       | -       | -       | -       |
|                   |                             | 761                                 | 74.6 (70.2–78.5)                  | 1.28 (1.14–1.44) | 0.000 | 1.24 (1.08–1.42) | 0.003 | 1.09 (0.96–1.25) | 0.181 |
|                   |                             | 1003                                | 67.5 (63.0–71.7)                  | 1.26 (1.14–1.39) | 0.000 | 1.19 (1.09–1.31) | 0.000 | 1.07 (0.96–1.19) | 0.196 |
|                   |                             | 197                                 | 81.4 (73.7–87.3)                  | 1.0 | -       | -       | -       | -       | -       |
|                   |                             | 892                                 | 68.1 (63.8–72.2)                  | 1.0 | -       | -       | -       | -       | -       |
|                   |                             | 308                                 | 74.6 (67.5–80.5)                  | 1.13 (1.02–1.24) | 0.016 | 1.09 (0.98–1.20) | 0.118 | 1.01 (0.90–1.13) | 0.906 |
|                   |                             | 1030                                | 68.7 (64.6–72.6)                  | 1.0 | -       | -       | -       | -       | -       |
|                   |                             | 170                                 | 74.7 (64.0–83.1)                  | 1.14 (1.02–1.28) | 0.025 | 1.06 (0.92–1.22) | 0.398 | 0.99 (0.85–1.16) | 0.917 |
|                   |                             | 1028                                | 69.0 (64.7–73.0)                  | 1.0 | -       | -       | -       | -       | -       |
|                   |                             | 172                                 | 72.6 (61.0–81.7)                  | 1.11 (0.97–1.27) | 0.110 | 1.06 (0.91–1.23) | 0.463 | 0.96 (0.81–1.13) | 0.605 |
|                   |                             | 744                                 | 67.6 (62.1–72.7)                  | 1.0 | -       | -       | -       | -       | -       |
|                   |                             | 456                                 | 72.3 (67.7–76.5)                  | 1.09 (0.99–1.19) | 0.073 | 1.10 (1.01–1.20) | 0.039 | 1.04 (0.93–1.15) | 0.486 |
|                   |                             | 883                                 | 69.3 (64.3–73.9)                  | 1.0 | -       | -       | -       | -       | -       |
|                   |                             | 317                                 | 69.8 (64.5–74.6)                  | 1.04 (0.94–1.14) | 0.460 | 1.05 (0.97–1.15) | 0.237 | 1.00 (0.91–1.09) | 0.970 |
|                   |                             | 898                                 | 66.8 (61.8–71.5)                  | 1.0 | -       | -       | -       | -       | -       |
|                   |                             | 302                                 | 77.5 (70.8–83.0)                  | 1.16 (1.03–1.30) | 0.018 | 1.15 (1.01–1.32) | 0.034 | 1.10 (0.95–1.27) | 0.192 |
|                   |                             | 289                                 | 63.4 (54.4–71.6)                  | 1.0 | -       | -       | -       | -       | -       |
|                   |                             | 911                                 | 71.7 (67.4–75.6)                  | 1.16 (1.00–1.33) | 0.044 | 1.12 (0.97–1.29) | 0.125 | 1.06 (0.93–1.21) | 0.338 |
|                   |                             | 836                                 | 66.7 (61.4–71.5)                  | 1.0 | -       | -       | -       | -       | -       |
|                   |                             | 364                                 | 76.3 (69.4–82.1)                  | 1.18 (1.06–1.31) | 0.003 | 1.15 (1.04–1.28) | 0.008 | -       | -       |
|                   |                             | 1084                                | 71.2 (67.3–74.8)                  | 1.0 | -       | -       | -       | -       | -       |
|                   |                             | 116                                 | 55.4 (45.1–65.3)                  | 0.76 (0.64–0.91) | 0.000 | 0.81 (0.67–0.97) | 0.027 | -       | -       |
Table 3. (A) Prevalence ratio for selected chronic health conditions associated with not meeting the hydration criteria for fasted U.S. adults aged 51–70 years.

| Chronic Condition | Met Hydration Criteria | NHANES Participants with Condition | U.S. Adults Aged 51–70 Years with Condition | Prevalence Ratio for Having the Chronic Health Condition Unadjusted Model 1 | Multivariable Adjusted Model 2 | Model 3 |
|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
|                   | n                      | Weighted % (95% CI) | Weighted PR (95% CI) | p | Weighted PR (95% CI) | p | Weighted PR (95% CI) | p |
| Obesity           | No                     | 393                  | 45.9 (39.8–52.2) | 1.95 (1.38-2.77) | 0.000 | 1.72 (1.26-2.37) | 0.001 | 1.50 (1.11-2.03) | 0.010 |
|                   | Yes                    | 94                   | 23.5 (17.3–31.1) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| High waist circumference | No            | 587                  | 72.6 (67.4–77.1) | 1.33 (1.16–1.52) | 0.000 | 1.25 (1.08–1.44) | 0.004 | 1.09 (0.95–1.25) | 0.200 |
|                   | Yes                    | 174                  | 54.7 (46.9–62.3) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Insulin resistance | No                     | 165                  | 16.9 (12.8–22.0) | 2.66 (1.47–4.83) | 0.002 | 2.29 (1.33–3.97) | 0.004 | 1.55 (1.02–2.36) | 0.042 |
|                   | Yes                    | 32                   | 6.4 (3.5–11.2)  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Diabetes          | No                     | 240                  | 22.1 (17.9–27.0) | 1.50 (1.04–2.15) | 0.030 | 1.31 (0.91–1.88) | 0.146 | 1.07 (0.73–1.55) | 0.731 |
|                   | Yes                    | 68                   | 14.8 (10.5–20.3) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Elevated glucose  | No                     | 139                  | 13.2 (9.9–17.4)  | 1.68 (0.99–2.87) | 0.055 | 1.32 (0.74–2.36) | 0.328 | 1.06 (0.56–1.98) | 0.859 |
|                   | Yes                    | 31                   | 7.9 (4.7–12.9)  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Elevated HgA1c    | No                     | 136                  | 13.0 (9.9–16.9)  | 1.55 (0.90–2.68) | 0.113 | 1.34 (0.72–2.50) | 0.342 | 0.96 (0.51–1.79) | 0.886 |
|                   | Yes                    | 36                   | 8.4 (5.4–12.8)  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Elevated TG or low HDL | No              | 340                  | 40.7 (35.9–45.7) | 1.22 (0.99–1.51) | 0.065 | 1.25 (1.01–1.55) | 0.040 | 1.06 (0.84–1.34) | 0.590 |
|                   | Yes                    | 116                  | 33.3 (26.9–40.5) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Elevated TG       | No                     | 238                  | 28.9 (24.3–34.0) | 1.12 (0.87–1.50) | 0.365 | 1.16 (0.91–1.48) | 0.214 | 0.98 (0.77–1.25) | 0.856 |
|                   | Yes                    | 79                   | 25.7 (20.6–31.5) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Low HDL           | No                     | 227                  | 27.2 (23.2–31.5) | 1.52 (1.03–2.26) | 0.036 | 1.53 (1.00–2.32) | 0.049 | 1.27 (0.82–1.98) | 0.279 |
|                   | Yes                    | 75                   | 17.9 (12.3–25.2) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Hypertension      | No                     | 682                  | 75.9 (70.9–80.2) | 1.16 (1.02–1.31) | 0.026 | 1.12 (0.99–1.28) | 0.080 | 1.07 (0.96–1.21) | 0.224 |
|                   | Yes                    | 229                  | 65.7 (57.7–72.8) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Metabolic syndrome| No                     | 288                  | 32.4 (27.9–37.3) | 1.64 (1.16–2.31) | 0.006 | 1.55 (1.10–2.17) | 0.013 | 1.17 (0.92–1.50) | 0.199 |
|                   | Yes                    | 76                   | 19.8 (14.1–27.0) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| None of the above | No                     | 75                   | 8.9 (6.4–12.3)  | 0.52 (0.37–0.73) | 0.000 | 0.61 (0.38–0.96) | 0.035 | - | - |
|                   | Yes                    | 41                   | 17.6 (12.5–24.3) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |

The table reports data from the 2009–2012 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). With survey weights applied, estimates are representative of fasting U.S. adults aged 51–70 years in 2009–2012. Participants were classified as meeting the hydration criteria if they had a serum sodium greater than or equal to 135 and less than 145 mmol/L, a urine volume greater than or equal to 50 mL, and a urine osmolality below <500 mmol/kg. The prevalence ratio for each chronic health condition was estimated using Poisson models with robust error variances and survey weights applied. Model 1 did not adjust for covariates. Model 2 controlled for age, sex, height, race/ethnicity, education, poverty level, physical activity, total energy intake, total carbohydrate intake, alcoholic drinks consumed, dietary solute load, past or current cigarette smoking, prescription medication use, season of MEC measurement, and length of fast. Model 3 added control for all other chronic conditions in the table.
Table 3. (B) Prevalence ratio for selected chronic health conditions associated with underhydration for fasted U.S. adults aged 51–70 years.

| Chronic Condition       | Under-Hydrated | NHANES Participants with Condition | US Adults Aged 51–70 Years with Condition | Prevalence Ratio for Having the Chronic Health Condition
|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
|                         | n              | Weighted % (95% CI)               | Weighted PR (95% CI)                      | Unadjusted Multivariable Adjusted
|                         |                | P                                 | Weighted PR (95% CI)                      | Model 1    | Model 2    | Model 3    |
| Obesity                 | No             | 94                                | 26.0 (19.2–34.1)                         | 1.93 (1.36–2.74)                             | 0.001      | 1.71 (1.25–2.35) | 0.002      | 1.50 (1.11–2.04) | 0.010      |
|                         | Yes            | 384                              | 45.5 (39.4–51.7)                         | 1.0                                          |            | 1.0        |            | 1.0        |            |
| High waist circumference| No             | 174                              | 54.7 (46.9–62.3)                         | 1.32 (1.15–1.52)                             | 0.000      | 1.24 (1.07–1.44) | 0.005      | 1.09 (0.95–1.25) | 0.193      |
|                         | Yes            | 573                              | 72.4 (67.3–77.1)                         | 1.0                                          |            | 1.0        |            | 1.0        |            |
| Insulin resistance      | No             | 32                               | 6.4 (3.5–11.2)                           | 2.56 (1.45–4.53)                             | 0.002      | 2.21 (1.31–3.75) | 0.004      | 1.54 (1.02–2.32) | 0.041      |
|                         | Yes            | 158                              | 16.3 (13.0–20.3)                         | 1.0                                          |            | 1.0        |            | 1.0        |            |
| Diabetes                | No             | 68                               | 14.8 (10.5–20.3)                         | 1.46 (1.03–2.06)                             | 0.035      | 1.27 (0.88–1.82) | 0.187      | 1.05 (0.72–1.54) | 0.776      |
|                         | Yes            | 231                              | 21.5 (18.1–25.4)                         | 1.0                                          |            | 1.0        |            | 1.0        |            |
| Elevated glucose        | No             | 31                               | 7.9 (4.7–12.9)                           | 1.60 (0.96–2.66)                             | 0.068      | 1.26 (0.71–2.22) | 0.416      | 1.03 (0.55–1.93) | 0.915      |
|                         | Yes            | 133                              | 12.6 (10.0–15.7)                         | 1.0                                          |            | 1.0        |            | 1.0        |            |
| Elevated HgA1c          | No             | 36                               | 8.4 (5.4–12.8)                           | 1.46 (0.85–2.49)                             | 0.163      | 1.25 (0.67–2.33) | 0.465      | 0.93 (0.49–1.75) | 0.813      |
|                         | Yes            | 129                              | 12.2 (9.9–15.0)                          | 1.0                                          |            | 1.0        |            | 1.0        |            |
| Elevated TG or low HDL  | No             | 116                              | 33.3 (26.9–40.5)                         | 1.21 (0.98–1.49)                             | 0.078      | 1.24 (1.00–1.53) | 0.049      | 1.06 (0.84–1.34) | 0.612      |
|                         | Yes            | 329                              | 40.2 (35.7–44.9)                         | 1.0                                          |            | 1.0        |            | 1.0        |            |
| Elevated TG             | No             | 79                               | 25.7 (20.6–31.5)                         | 1.09 (0.85–1.40)                             | 0.466      | 1.13 (0.90–1.43) | 0.278      | 0.97 (0.76–1.23) | 0.771      |
|                         | Yes            | 229                              | 28.1 (24.0–32.6)                         | 1.0                                          |            | 1.0        |            | 1.0        |            |
| Low HDL                 | No             | 75                               | 17.9 (12.3–25.2)                         | 1.53 (1.04–2.26)                             | 0.033      | 1.53 (1.01–2.33) | 0.046      | 1.28 (0.83–1.99) | 0.258      |
|                         | Yes            | 221                              | 27.4 (23.6–31.5)                         | 1.0                                          |            | 1.0        |            | 1.0        |            |
| Hypertension            | No             | 229                              | 65.7 (57.7–72.8)                         | 1.15 (1.01–1.30)                             | 0.037      | 1.11 (0.98–1.27) | 0.109      | 1.07 (0.95–1.20) | 0.261      |
|                         | Yes            | 663                              | 75.3 (69.9–80.0)                         | 1.0                                          |            | 1.0        |            | 1.0        |            |
| Metabolic syndrome      | No             | 76                               | 19.8 (14.1–27.0)                         | 1.60 (1.14–2.26)                             | 0.008      | 1.51 (1.07–2.13) | 0.021      | 1.17 (0.91–1.50) | 0.209      |
|                         | Yes            | 277                              | 31.7 (27.1–36.6)                         | 1.0                                          |            | 1.0        |            | 1.0        |            |
| None of the above       | No             | 41                               | 9.1 (6.5–12.6)                           | 0.52 (0.37–0.73)                             | 0.000      | 0.61 (0.38–0.96) | 0.034      | -          | -          |
|                         | Yes            | 74                               | 17.6 (12.5–24.3)                         | 1.0                                          |            | 1.0        |            | -          | -          |

The table reports data from the 2009–2012 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). With survey weights applied, estimates are representative of fasting U.S. adults aged 51–70 years in 2009–2012. Participants were classified as underhydrated if they had a serum sodium greater than or equal to 145 mmol/L, a urine volume less than 50 mL, or a urine osmolality greater than or equal to 500 mmol/kg. The prevalence ratio for each chronic health condition was estimated using Poisson models with robust error variances and survey weights applied. Model 1 did not adjust for covariates. Model 2 controlled for age, sex, height, race/ethnicity, education, poverty level, physical activity, total energy intake, total carbohydrate intake, alcoholic drinks consumed, dietary solute load, past or current cigarette smoking, prescription medication use, season of MEC measurement, and length of fast. Model 3 added control for all other chronic conditions in the table.
Table 4. Number of deaths in 3 to 6 years to U.S. adults aged 51–70 years by hydration classification and chronic health condition.

| Hydration Classification in 2009–2012 | Chronic Health Condition in 2009–2012 | Number of Deaths | Incidence Rate for U.S. Adults |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
|                                      |                                        | NHANES Participants | U.S. Adults |Deaths per 1000 Person-Years|                                            |
|                                      |                                        | Number | Weighted estimate | Weighted estimate | All-Cause | Chronic Disease | All-Cause | Chronic Disease | All-Cause | Chronic Disease |
| All                                  | All                                    | 52     | 1,724,051         | 1,084,144       | 3.1 (1.6–6.9) | 1.1 (0.4–3.9) |
| Euhydrated                           | All                                    | 11     | 255,230           | 92,733          | 6.6 (0.5–34.6) | -               |
| Did not meet criteria                | 41                                      | 28     | 1,468,821         | 991,411         | 7.3 (5.0–11.1) | 4.9 (3.2–8.2) |
| Hyponatremic                         | 2                                       | 0      | 32,259            | -               | -               | -               |
| Underhydrated                        | 39                                      | 28     | 1,436,562         | 991,411         | 7.3 (5.0–11.2) | 5.1 (3.2–8.4) |
| Euhydrated                           | One or more                            | 10     | 198,365           | 92,733          | 2.9 (1.5–6.3) | 1.4 (0.5–4.7) |
| Did not meet criteria                | 37                                      | 25     | 1,328,598         | 863,305         | 7.3 (4.9–11.3) | 4.7 (2.9–8.1) |
| Hyponatremic                         | 2                                       | 0      | 32,259            | -               | 6.8 (0.6–349.4) | -               |
| Underhydrated                        | 35                                      | 25     | 1,296,338         | 863,305         | 7.3 (4.9–11.4) | 4.9 (3.0–8.3) |
| Euhydrated                           | None                                    | 1      | 56,865            | -               | -               | -               |
| Did not meet criteria                | 4                                       | 3      | 140,223           | 128,107         | 7.9 (2.0–52.7) | 7.2 (1.6–64.5) |
| Hyponatremic                         | 0                                       | 0      | -                 | -               | -               | -               |
| Underhydrated                        | 4                                       | 3      | 140,223           | 128,107         | 7.9 (2.0–52.9) | 7.2 (1.6–64.8) |

National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) participants were followed through December 31, 2015 for all-cause and chronic disease-associated mortality. With survey weights applied, estimates are representative of fasting U.S. adults aged 51–70 years in 2009–2012. Euhydrated: participants had a serum sodium of 135–144 mmol/L, a urine volume greater than or equal to 50 mL, and a urine osmolality below 500 mmol/kg. Did not meet hydration criteria: participants who did not meet one or more criteria for euhydration were classified as not meeting hydration criteria. Hyponatremic: participants had a serum sodium below 135 mmol/L. Underhydrated: participants had a serum sodium of 145 mmol/L or higher, a urine volume below 50 mL, or a urine osmolality of 500 mmol/kg or higher. One or more: participants were classified as having one or more chronic health conditions if they had obesity, high waist circumference, insulin resistance, diabetes, elevated glucose, elevated HgA1c, elevated triglycerides, low HDL, hypertension, or metabolic syndrome in 2009–2012. None: participants were classified as having none of the chronic health conditions if they did not have any of the listed conditions in 2009–2012. The number of deaths and death rates with jackknife confidence intervals were estimated with NHANES survey weights applied.
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mL, or urine osmolality below 500 mmol/kg; underhydration: serum sodium 145 mmol/L or higher, urine volume below 50 mL, or urine osmolality 500 mmol/kg or higher.

3.4.2. Chronic Diseasee Mortality

An estimated 1,084,144 deaths in the study population were attributed to or associated with heart disease, malignant neoplasms, hypertension, and/or diabetes. The unadjusted risk of chronic disease-associated death was about four times higher for underhydrated vs. euhydrated people in 2009–2012 (unadjusted weighted HR: 4.44, 95% CI: 1.57–12.54, \( p = 0.006 \)). After adjustment for covariates, underhydration remained significantly associated with chronic disease-associated death (adjusted weighted HR: 4.21, 95% CI: 1.29–13.78, \( p = 0.019 \)). Figure 3 (b) illustrates the difference in survival.

3.4.3. Sensitivity Analyses

For adults aged 51–80 years, a U-shaped relationship was observed between serum osmolality and all-cause as well as chronic disease mortality. For the 51–70-year-old age group, a U-shaped relationship was observed between serum osmolality and all-cause, but not chronic disease mortality (see Appendix A1). The tails of the serum osmolality distribution, below 285 mmol/kg and above 300 mmol/kg, were associated with the increased risk of chronic disease mortality (see Appendix A2).

The effect of underhydration on chronic disease associated mortality was significantly modified by age group (adjusted weighted HR (SE) for the interaction term: 0.23 (0.17), \( p\)-value = 0.048).

For adults aged 51–70 years with a serum osmolality between 285–295 mmol/kg, underhydration was associated with significantly increased risk of chronic disease mortality (unadjusted weighted HR: 3.52, 95% CI: 1.12–11.10, \( p = 0.032 \); adjusted weighted HR: 3.45, 95% CI: 0.94–12.64, \( p = 0.061 \)).

Focusing on underhydrated individuals with urine osmolality below 500 mmol/kg, the unadjusted and multivariable-adjusted relative hazards for chronic disease mortality associated with low urine volume vs. euhydration were as follows: unadjusted weighted HR: 7.86, 95% CI: 1.14–54.38, \( p = 0.037 \); adjusted weighted HR: 13.32, 95% CI: 1.01–176.25, \( p = 0.049 \).

3.5. Mortality Associated with the Joint Effect of Hydration Classification and Chronic Health Condition

Across the different chronic health conditions in 2009–2012, deaths were concentrated among those who were underhydrated. Health condition-specific data were not reported because of small numbers. There were fewer than 10 chronic disease-associated deaths in the euhydrated group.
Table 4 summarizes the number of deaths that occurred by presence or absence of chronic health condition in 2009–2012. Figure 4 describes survival by the joint exposure of hydration classification and chronic health condition in 2009–2012.

Among those with one or more chronic health conditions in 2009–2012, compared to euhydration, not meeting hydration criteria was associated with significantly greater risk of all-cause mortality within 3 to 6 years (unadjusted weighted HR: 2.51, 95% CI: 1.14–5.51, p = 0.024; adjusted weighted HR: 2.50, 95% CI: 1.07–5.85, p = 0.036). Compared to euhydration, underhydration was associated with significantly greater all-cause mortality (unadjusted weighted HR: 2.51, 95% CI: 1.13–5.54, p = 0.025; adjusted weighted HR: 2.47, 95% CI: 1.06–5.71, p = 0.036).

Among those with one or more chronic health conditions in 2009–2012, the risk of death attributed to chronic disease was over three times greater for people who were underhydrated vs. euhydration (unadjusted weighted HR: 3.51, 95% CI: 1.17–10.53, p = 0.027; adjusted weighted HR: 3.08, 95% CI: 0.92–10.32, p = 0.067).

It was not possible to estimate the effect of underhydration on mortality for participants with none of the chronic conditions at baseline, due to the small number of deaths in this group. No chronic disease-associated deaths were observed during the follow-up period for people who did not have any of the chronic conditions and were, also, euhydration in 2009–2012. Compared with people who did have one or more chronic condition, but were classified as euhydration, in 2009–2012, people who were not identified as having any chronic condition at baseline but were underhydrated were significantly more likely to die (unadjusted weighted HR: 5.41, 95% CI: 1.32–22.15, p = 0.020; adjusted weighted HR: 8.43, 95% CI: 1.15–61.75, p = 0.037).

4. Discussion

The results of this study suggest that over 95% of U.S. adults aged 51–70 years who do not meet hydration criteria are underhydrated. The results further suggest that underhydration signals a statistically significant health risk. Underhydration, that is, serum sodium above the 135–144 mmol/L...
range, spot urine volume less than 50 mL, or urine osmolality of 500 mmol/kg or higher, was cross-sectionally associated with increased prevalence of multiple chronic health conditions. Underhydration was longitudinally associated with increased risk of all-cause and chronic disease associated mortality within 3–6 years.

4.1. Hydration Classification

Researchers debate which biomarker to use for epidemiologic analysis, dietary recommendations, and public health policy. Various hydration biomarkers have been developed to index a variety of body fluid disorders [7,83,84]. Any one biomarker may not be optimally sensitive to all types of body fluid disorders [9]. This analysis explored the public health significance of not meeting hydration criteria specified in a previous NHANES analysis [1], testing for health effects of hyponatremia and/or underhydration, conditions that would be flagged by the hydration criteria.

Researchers agree that serum osmolality and its main component, serum sodium, are sensitive biomarkers of total body water deficit. With every 2% body weight loss via sweat during exercise, plasma osmolality increases about 5 mmol/kg [85]. Researchers further agree that serum osmolality and sodium have limited variability under conditions of daily life due to tight homeostatic regulation [55,86]. Researchers disagree, however, about relying on a biomarker that has limited variability under conditions of daily life to index hydration in people who are not hospitalized or not performing extreme exercise [86]. By itself, a normal serum osmolality or sodium can mask variability in metabolic and/or physiologic compensation. It does not discriminate individuals who have normal serum osmolality and/or normal serum sodium, thanks to urine concentration, from individuals who have normal values with no compensation required. Beyond the potential for unmeasured heterogeneity due to homeostatic compensation, use of serum osmolality or serum sodium to index hydration is complicated by the narrow 10 mmol/L normal range, variation in the set-point for homeostasis, and U-shaped relationship with health outcomes. A one-unit difference in serum osmolality or sodium may be clinically insignificant or only reflect measurement error. Cutoffs for defining the optimal normal range for these biomarkers may differ within and between individuals because the homeostatic set-point for serum osmolality or sodium can vary [61,87]. As hypo- and hypernatremia are both associated with adverse health outcomes, including death [10,88], use of continuous serum osmolality and serum sodium in epidemiologic analysis inappropriately masks U-shaped effects. Indeed, continuous serum osmolality is not linearly associated with mortality in NHANES data [89].

Researchers agree that spot urine osmolality is sensitive to acute and mild changes in fluid intake in the short-term [55,86], but disagree about using this biomarker to index ‘usual’ status in epidemiologic hydration studies. Despite disagreement, spot urine osmolality at a given time of day may be relatively stable among free-living individuals, as free-living individuals have stable within-person 24 h urine volume and osmolality, as well as intransigent fluid intake patterns [90]. Community-dwelling individuals who report lower fluid intake have elevated antidiuretic hormone (arginine vasopressin, AVP) and higher urine osmolality [57]. In non-acuteill adults, following a sustained increase in total daily water intake (i.e., a change in the ‘usual’ level of water intake), spot urine osmolality decreases for all urine collections across the day, including the morning fasting collection [90,91]. The hydration classification used in this analysis was selected to match that defined for a previous NHANES analysis [1]. The hydration classification represents a compromise between only using serum osmolality or serum sodium and only using urine osmolality. It also represents an alignment of epidemiological research on free-living non-acuteill populations with clinical differential diagnosis of fluid disorders in hospitalized patients [92].

4.2. Cross-Sectional Association between Hydration Classification and Chronic Health Conditions

The relationship between hydration classification and chronic health condition was described from two points of view, with hydration classification treated as either the dependent or independent variable. One or more chronic health condition was cross-sectionally associated with not meeting the hydration criteria and underhydration, more specifically. Conversely, not meeting hydration criteria
and underhydration were respectively associated with chronic health conditions. Although a statistically significant association in both directions is anticipated when a statistically significant association is observed in one direction, the study provides weighted estimates of the proportion exposed and magnitudes of association for each direction of effect for U.S. adults aged 51–70 years. Population-representative estimates of each effect may be useful for future meta-analysis, causal inference do-calculus, program planning, or policy making.

Independent of covariates, obesity, high waist circumference, and insulin resistance each respectively increased the prevalence of not meeting the hydration criteria by 20%. Low HDL cholesterol increased the prevalence of not meeting the hydration criteria by 15%. The prevalence ratio for not meeting the hydration criteria was 15% higher for individuals with metabolic syndrome compared to individuals without metabolic syndrome. The results suggest need or opportunity for clinicians to systematically assess hydration for individuals with conditions of the metabolic syndrome, and chronic disease researchers to consider hydration as a potential confounder and/or effect modifier for chronic disease morbidity and mortality. The National Academy of Medicine report recognizes that illness increases water requirements [71]. Further research is needed to determine what level of water intake reduces the risk of underhydration for individuals with metabolic syndrome.

With hydration classification treated as the independent variable, the results suggest that not meeting the hydration criteria and underhydration, more specifically, have public health significance. Not meeting the hydration criteria and underhydration were associated with significantly increased prevalence of obesity, insulin resistance, diabetes, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and metabolic syndrome. Independent of age, sex, race/ethnicity, height, education, poverty level, physical activity, dietary solute load, total energy intake, carbohydrate intake, past or current cigarette smoking, prescription medication use, season, and length of fast, the proportion of people without any of the aforementioned conditions was 39% lower for individuals who did not meet hydration criteria compared to individuals who did meet the hydration criteria.

4.3. Potential for Endogenous Effects of Hydration and Chronic Disease

The results of this study were consistent with a potential negative feedback mechanism. Excepting the association between obesity and hydration classification, control for all other chronic health conditions explained away each observed association, signaling that the relationship between each health condition and hydration could conceivably be mediated by metabolic dysregulation due to other chronic conditions. Alternatively, each association might be confounded by one or more other health condition(s). If metabolic dysregulation mediates the relationship between each health condition and hydration, then metabolic dysregulation and the health conditions that are upstream to the metabolic dysregulation should not be controlled in multivariable analysis [93], but rather treated as a joint exposure. If, on the other hand, one or more health conditions confounds the association, explaining the relationship by a pathway that does not involve the index health condition, then stratified analyses would be needed to test for each association among those with no other condition. Longitudinal data with carefully selected study populations are needed to determine if each chronic health condition prospectively predicts underhydration.

The finding that obesity remained cross-sectionally associated with hydration classification after control for all other health conditions might suggest that this relationship preceded associations between underhydration and the other health conditions assessed in this analysis. Obesity might be a cause or consequence of underhydration. Large body size increases water intake requirements and likelihood of inadequate water intake. On the other hand, lower absolute and relative intake of drinking water have been observed to reduce energy expenditure, reduce fat oxidation, and induce insulin resistance, which contribute to positive fat balance [94]. Obesity reflects a sustained period of positive fat balance, due primarily to reduced oxidation of fat consumed [95,96]. The residual association that remained after control for other health conditions might also reflect measurement or misclassification error related to the method and cutoffs used to assess each health condition. Individuals with insulin resistance detectable by a HOMA-IR value of 2.5 may be more likely to
already be obese than individuals with a milder HOMA-IR of 1.5. Longitudinal data and carefully defined health conditions would be required to determine the order of associations.

4.4. Potential Causal Mechanisms Linking Hydration with Metabolic Syndrome

Underhydration, that is, having a serum sodium above the normal range, low urine volume and/or concentrated urine, implies osmotic stress on cells. Osmotic stress on cells is a plausible causal factor for the metabolic syndrome, which is characterized by multiple simultaneous health conditions, as osmotic cell shrinkage acts as a metabolic switch that simultaneously alters multiple biochemical pathways, cellular processes, and physiological systems [29].

Longitudinal data suggest parallel relationships between various correlates of osmotic stress on cells and risk of the metabolic syndrome. Behavioral determinants of hyperosmotic stress on cells, such as salt intake, lower absolute water intake, and intake of hypertonic beverages such as sugar-sweetened beverages instead of drinking water [97–101]; blood biomarker measures of hyperosmotic stress on cells, such as serum hypernatremia, hypertonicity, or hyperosmolality [26,32,33,38,88,102]; intracellular measures of biochemical response to hyperosmotic cell shrinkage such as upregulation of the serum- and glucocorticoid-inducible kinase 1 (SGK1) [103,104]; and physiologic measures of response to hyperosmotic cell shrinkage, such as increases in plasma copeptin [18,19,105–108], are independently associated with incident metabolic dysregulation and/or chronic disease risk.

The parallel results suggest that osmotic stress on cells or some correlate(s) of osmotic stress on cells may be causally related to metabolic dysregulation and chronic disease risk. Any one or more measure might conceivably be the necessary and sufficient etiologic factor(s) for metabolic syndrome. Given that osmotic cell shrinkage simultaneously impacts multiple biochemical pathways, multiple cellular mechanisms may mediate effects of suboptimal fluid intake on pathophysiology and life span. Additional experimental effort is needed to unravel the molecular pathophysiology of the relationship between not meeting hydration criteria, underhydration, and chronic health conditions. The success of disease prevention and treatment efforts depends on identification of the necessary and sufficient causal factor(s).

4.5. Longitudinal Association between Hydration Classification and Mortality

The above paragraphs consider the potential for endogenous, interactive effects of hydration and health conditions on disease progression, as well as the potential for health conditions to simply confound effects of hydration on disease progression. If the underlying mechanism(s) involve(s) feedback of endogenous effects, then an individual’s stage in the feedback cycle at baseline would be an appropriate exposure for longitudinal mortality analysis. The effect to be estimated would be the joint effect of the hydration classification and chronic condition on mortality risk. If, on the other hand, baseline chronic health condition confounds the effect of hydration on disease progression, then the longitudinal effect to estimate would be the effect of hydration among individuals without chronic health conditions at baseline.

In the present analysis, the combination of one or more chronic health conditions in 2009–2012 and underhydration in 2009–2012 was associated with significantly greater all-cause and chronic disease mortality compared to the combination of one or more chronic conditions and euhydration in 2009–2012. The data are consistent with sub-optimal hydration exacerbating metabolic dysregulation and accelerating disease progression. It was not possible to estimate the effect of underhydration on mortality among those without a chronic health condition at baseline, as only five deaths occurred in this group. Zero chronic disease associated deaths were observed for people who did not have any of the chronic conditions and, also, met the hydration criteria in 2009–2012.

The results of the present NHANES analysis are consistent with the results of Mohan et al. [10] and inconsistent with those reported by Kant and Graubard [89]. Mohan et al. [10] report significantly greater mortality associated with hypernatremia vs. normonatremia in NHANES participants followed from 1999–2004 through December 31, 2006. They also report significantly greater mortality associated with hyponatremia vs. normonatremia. The U-shaped relationship between serum sodium and mortality may explain why Kant and Graubard [89] observed no linear association
between continuous serum osmolality and all-cause mortality with up to 13 years of follow-up. The present sensitivity analyses confirmed a significant U-shaped relationship between serum osmolality and mortality in the 2009–2012 NHANES data (see Appendix). Null effects of individual hydration parameters on mortality may also reflect the fact that no single hydration measure isolates optimal hydration for non-acutely ill individuals. Normal serum osmolality may mask heterogeneity due to homeostatic compensation. Low urine osmolality may mask hyponatremia. The present sensitivity analyses confirmed significant variability in mortality associated with underhydration (low urine volume or urine osmolality above 500 mmol/kg) for individuals with a serum osmolality in the normal range, between 285–295 mmol/kg.

4.6. Confounding

Effects of hydration on the metabolic syndrome and chronic disease risk may be confounded by determinants of water requirements, determinants of water intake, and determinants of water handling in the body. Time invariant and time-varying environmental, socio-economic, behavioral, psychological, and biological factors may determine an individual’s water requirements and/or water intake at a given point in time. Environmental factors may include ambient temperature; altitude; the availability, accessibility, and quality or palatability of water; and place-based conditions, such as school or workplace policy (e.g., vending machine rules, recess or toilet breaks). Socio-economic factors may include cultural norms or ethnicity, education, and poverty. Behavioral factors include physical activity, solute intake, sleep, smoking, alcohol intake, and medication use. Psychological factors include stress and pain. Biological factors include age, sex, body size, race, metabolic adaptation (e.g., to habitual caffeine intake or heat stress), renal concentrating/diluting capacity, disease related factors (e.g., insult accumulation/wear and tear on organ systems, glycosuria), and genetic factors.

The present cross-sectional analysis was able to control for some environmental, socio-economic, behavioral, and genetic factors, but data were not available to also control for psychological factors. Stress and pain may confound the observed associations because stress and pain can trigger vasopressin and cortisol release [109,110], which stimulate urine concentration. At the same time, stress and pain induce metabolic shifts that favor the flight or fight response, a profile of metabolic dysregulation that is characteristic of the metabolic syndrome. In addition to better control for environmental and psychological factors, future prospective studies of hydration effects on chronic disease risk should address time-varying factors.

Variables which might confound the observed cross-sectional associations between hydration and chronic health conditions may also confound the observed longitudinal relationships between hydration and mortality. In the present study, longitudinal models predicting death within 3–6 years controlled for the same covariates addressed in the cross-sectional analysis. On the basis of the hypothesized causal mechanism illustrated in Figure 1, the analysis did not control for all possible confounding variables.

The present study observed that spot urine volume below 50 mL was associated with significantly increased mortality risk. This finding, considered with the association between serum hypernatremia and increased mortality reported by Mohan et al. [10], suggests that the observed association between underhydration and increased mortality is not solely attributable to urine osmolality of 500 mmol/kg or more due to uncontrolled diabetes-related glycosuria. Urine glucose data were not available to rule out a confounding by glycosuria. Future work to confirm and characterize an independent effect of underhydration on mortality should consider potential confounding by glycosuria.

Acknowledging that observational data might be informative regarding causal effects, even when confounding variables are unmeasured [93], the present analysis reported weighted probabilities of having health conditions and estimated number of deaths, in addition to relative hazard ratios, in case readers wish to apply front door or do-calculus techniques to estimate the probability of death caused by underhydration. Front door adjustment may not be applicable to the present estimation problem, however, if the unmeasured confounders (e.g., environmental factors,
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defined relative to optimal ml/kg TWI or PWI cutoffs, on chronic disease incidence and progression. The analysis defined hydration in terms of biomarkers, which are sensitive to hypertonic dehydration but may be relatively insensitive to isotonic dehydration and/or hypohydration for persons with deficits in urine concentrating ability. The hydration criteria may have limited interpretation for individuals with advanced chronic disease. Serum sodium and urine osmolality are influenced by chronic health conditions. Hyperglycemia can distort serum sodium downwards. Urine glucose may distort urine osmolality upwards. Medications prescribed for chronic disease, such as diuretics, may disassociate serum sodium and urine osmolality from dietary intake. Renal urine concentration and/or urine dilution capacity may influence urine osmolality. The present sensitivity analyses confirmed that older age significantly modifies the effect of underhydration on chronic disease mortality.

4.8. Future Directions

In addition to the question of whether or not underhydration truly causes an increased risk of chronic disease and mortality, the present results raise questions about what type and amount of water intake could reduce said risk. In the preceding NHANES analysis, the prevalence of not meeting hydration criteria was significantly higher for non-acute ill men and women aged 51–70 years with daily total water intake (TWI) below 45 mL/kg or plain drinking water intake (PWI) below 20 mL/kg [1]. The discussion of that paper calls for careful sensitivity and specificity analyses to identify the optimal ml/kg TWI and PWI for each population sub-group and condition. Group- and condition-specific randomized trials are needed to test effects of different levels of water intake, defined relative to optimal ml/kg TWI or PWI cutoffs, on chronic disease incidence and progression.

5. Conclusions

The present study extends inference regarding the reported high prevalence of not meeting hydration criteria among U.S. adults aged 51–70 years [1]. The study provides nationally representative estimates of association between not meeting the hydration criteria and the prevalence of chronic health conditions. Despite the sample size limitations, the analysis reveals a statistically significant decrease in survival, within 3 to 6 years, for adults aged 51–70 years with a pre-existing chronic health condition who did not meet the hydration criteria, and were underhydrated, more specifically. The results draw attention to the public health impact of not meeting hydration criteria.
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Appendix A

Appendix A1. Sensitivity analysis checking for a U-shaped relationship between serum osmolality and all-cause and chronic disease mortality in NHANES 2009–2012 participants aged 51–80 years.

| Age       | Number of Participants | Control for Covariates | Main Effect | Squared Term |
|-----------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------------|
|           | Years                  | Total                  | Deaths      | Weighted HR (SE) | p-Value | Weighted HR (SE) | p-Value |
| All-cause mortality | 51–70 | 1200 | 52 | Unadjusted       | 0.02 (0.03) | 0.015 | 1.01 (0.003) | 0.016 |
|           | Adjusted               | 0.02 (0.03) | 0.020 | 1.01 (0.003) | 0.020 |
|           | 51–80 | 1621 | 109 | Unadjusted      | 0.01 (0.008) | 0.000 | 1.01 (0.001) | 0.000 |
|           | Adjusted               | 0.07 (0.05) | 0.003 | 1.00 (0.001) | 0.003 |
| Chronic disease mortality | 51–70 | 1200 | 33 | Unadjusted       | 0.03 (0.07) | 0.170 | 1.01 (0.004) | 0.163 |
|           | Adjusted               | 0.03 (0.11) | 0.303 | 1.01 (0.01) | 0.291 |
|           | 51–80 | 1621 | 63  | Unadjusted      | 0.01 (0.01) | 0.000 | 1.01 (0.002) | 0.000 |
|           | Adjusted               | 0.04 (0.03) | 0.000 | 1.01 (0.001) | 0.000 |

National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). With survey weights applied, the estimated hazard ratios (HR) are representative of fasting U.S. adults aged 51–70 years in 2009–2012. Weighted Poisson models testing for a U-shaped effect of serum osmolality and mortality included a main effect term for serum osmolality and an interaction term (serum osmolality squared). Serum osmolality was calculated as $1.86 \times (\text{Na}^+ + \text{K}^+) + 1.15 \times \text{glucose + urea} + 14$ [110]. The multivariable adjusted weighted models controlled for age in years, sex, height, race/ethnicity, poverty level, education level, physical activity level, total energy intake, dietary solute load, percent of total dietary energy from carbohydrate, number of alcoholic drinks, smoking, medication use, season, and hours of fast before measurement.
Appendix A2. Sensitivity analysis checking what range in the serum osmolality distribution contributed to the U-shaped relationship with chronic disease mortality for NHANES 2009–2012 participants aged 51–80 years.

| Serum Osmolality | NHANES Participants | Chronic Disease Deaths | U.S. Adults Aged 51–70 Years | Hazard Ratio |
|------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| mmol/kg          | n                   | n                      | Weighted % (95% CI)         | Unadjusted Weighted HR (95% CI) | p     | Adjusted Weighted HR (95% CI) | p     |
| <285 57          | 2                   | 4.9 (3.6–6.7)          | 0.99 (0.23–4.31)            | 0.985        | 0.96 (0.19–4.94)             | 0.961 |
| 285–294 944      | 30                  | 60.2 (57.3–63.1)       | 1.0                         | 1.0          | 1.20 (0.71–2.05)             | 0.488 |
| >= 295 557       | 31                  | 34.9 (31.4–38.6)       | 1.48 (0.83–2.63)            | 0.177        | 1.74 (0.33–9.10)             | 0.501 |
| <280 12          | 1                   | 1.0 (0.5–1.7)          | 2.01 (0.27–14.95)           | 0.483        | 1.74 (0.33–9.10)             | 0.501 |
| 280–299 1460      | 52                  | 94.2 (92.7–95.4)       | 1.0                         | 1.0          | 2.00 (1.01–3.96)             | 0.048 |
| >= 300 86        | 10                  | 4.9 (3.8–6.4)          | 2.53 (1.21–5.29)            | 0.015        | 2.00 (1.01–3.96)             | 0.048 |

National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). With survey weights applied, estimates are representative of fasting U.S. adults aged 51–80 years in 2009–2012. Poisson models estimated the hazard ratio. The multivariable adjusted models controlled for age in years, sex, height, race/ethnicity, poverty level, education level, physical activity level, total energy intake, dietary solute load, percent of total dietary energy from carbohydrate, number of alcoholic drinks, smoking, medication use, season, and hours of fast before measurement.

References
1. Stookey, J.D. Analysis of 2009–2012 nutrition health and examination survey (NHANES) data to estimate the median water intake associated with meeting hydration criteria for individuals aged 12–80 in the US population. *Nutrients* 2019, 11, E657, doi:10.3390/nu11030657.
2. Kavouras, S.A. Hydration, dehydration, underhydration, optimal hydration: Are we barking up the wrong tree? *Eur. J. Nutr.* 2019, 58, 471–473, doi:10.1007/s00394-018-01889-z.
3. Armstrong, L.E.; Johnson, E.C. Water intake, water balance, and the elusive daily water requirement. *Nutrients* 2018, 10, E1928, doi:10.3390/nu10121928.
4. Kavouras, S.A. Assessing hydration status. *Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. Metab. Care* 2002, 5, 519–524, doi:10.1097/00006116-200209000-00010.
5. Shirreffs, S.M. Markers of hydration status. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2003, 57, S6–S9, doi:10.1038/sj.ejcn.1601895.
6. Muñoz, C.X.; Johnson, E.C.; Demartini, J.K.; Huggins, R.A.; Mckenzie, A.L.; Casa, D.J.; Maresh, C.M.; Armstrong, L.E. Assessment of hydration biomarkers including salivary osmolarity during passive and active dehydration. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2013, 67, 1257–1263, doi:10.1038/ejcn.2013.193.
7. Armstrong, L.E. Assessing Hydration Status: The Elusive Gold Standard. *J. Am. Coll. Nutr.* 2007, 26, 575S–584S, doi:10.1080/07315724.2007.1071661.
8. Armstrong, L.E.; Johnson, E.C.; Mckenzie, A.L.; Muñoz, C.X. An empirical method to determine inadequacy of dietary water. *Nutrition* 2016, 32, 79–82, doi:10.1016/j.nut.2015.07.013.
9. Stookey, J.D.; Pieper, C.F.; Cohen, H.J. Is the prevalence of dehydration among community-dwelling older adults really low? Informing current debate over the fluid recommendation for adults aged 70+ years. *Public Health Nutr.* 2005, 8, 1275–1285, doi:10.1079/phn2005829.
10. Mohan, S.; Gu, S.; Parikh, A.; Radhakrishnan, J. Prevalence of hyponatremia and association with mortality: Results from NHANES. *Am. J. Med.* 2013, 126, 1127–1137, doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2013.07.021.
11. Stookey, J.D.; Barclay, D.; Arief, A.; Popkin, B.M. The altered fluid distribution in obesity may reflect plasma hypertonicity. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2007, 61, 190–199, doi:10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602521.
12. Star, R.A. Hyperosmolar states. *Am. J. Med. Sci.* 1990, 300, 402–412.
Nutrients 2020, 12, 905

13. Feig, P.U.; McCurdy, D.K. The hypertonic state. N. Engl. J. Med. 1977, 297, 1444–1454, doi:10.1056/NEJM197712292972608.

14. Vokes, T.P.; Aycinena, P.R.; Robertson, G.L. Effect of insulin on osmoregulation of vasopressin. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 1987, 15, E538–E548.

15. Yatabe, M.S.; Yatabe, J.; Yoneda, M. Salt sensitivity is associated with insulin resistance, sympathetic overactivity, and decreased suppression of circulating renin activity in lean patients with essential hypertension. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 2010, 92, 77–82, doi:10.3945/ajcn.2010.30173.

16. Endre, T.; Mattiasson, I.; Berglund, G.; Hulthén, U.L. Insulin and renal sodium retention in hypertension-prone men. Hypertension 1994, 23, 313–319, doi:10.1161/01.HYP.23.3.313.

17. Rocchini, A.P.; Katch, V.; Kveselis, D.; Moorehead, C.; Martin, M.; Lampman, R.; Gregory, M. Insulin and renal sodium retention in obese adolescents. Hypertension 1989, 14, 367–374, doi:10.1161/01.HYP.14.4.367.

18. Tasevskia, I.; Enhörning, S.; Christensson, A.; Persson, M.; Nilsson, P.M.; Melander, O. Increased levels of copeptin, a surrogate marker of arginine vasopressin, are associated with an increased risk of chronic kidney disease in a general population. Am. J. Nephrol. 2016, 44, 22–28, doi:10.1159/000447522.

19. Enhörning, S.; Wang, T.J.; Nilsson, P.M.; Almgren, P.; Hedblad, B.; Berglund, G.; Struck, J.; Morgenthaler, N.G.; Bergmann, A.; Lindholm, E.; et al. Plasma copeptin and the risk of diabetes mellitus. Circulation 2010, 121, 2102–2108, doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.909663.

20. de Wardener, H.E.; He, F.J.; MacGregor, G.A. Plasma sodium and hypertension. Kidney Int. 2004, 66, 2454–2466, doi:10.1111/j.1523-1755.2004.06618.x.

21. Han, S.W.; Tilea, A.; Gillespie, B.W.; Finkelstein, F.O.; Kiser, M.A.; Eisele, G.; Kotanko, P.; Levin, N.; Saran, R. Serum sodium levels and patient outcomes in an ambulatory clinic-based chronic kidney disease cohort. Am. J. Nephrol. 2015, 41, 200–209, doi:10.1159/000381193.

22. Nowak, K.L.; Chonchol, M.; Jovanovich, A.; You, Z.; Bates, J.; Foy, C.; Glasser, S.; Killeen, A.A.; Kostis, J.; Rodriguez, C.J.; et al. Serum Sodium and Pulse Pressure in SPRINT. Am. J. Hypertens. 2019, 32, 649–656, doi:10.1093/ahj/hpz055.

23. Cole, N.I.; Suckling, R.J.; Swift, P.A.; He, F.J.; MacGregor, G.A.; Hinton, W.; van Vlymen, J.; Hayward, N.; Jones, S.; de Lusignan, S. The association between serum sodium concentration, hypertension and primary cardiovascular events: A retrospective cohort study. J. Hum. Hypertens. 2019, 33, 69–77, doi:10.1038/s41371-018-0115-5.

24. Chmielewski, J.; Khalsa, D.D.; Carmody, J.B. Hyperosmolality, High Blood Pressure, and Decreased Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate in US Adolescents, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1999–2012. Nephron 2019, 142, 216–226, doi:10.1159/000497780.

25. Soltani, S.; Kolahdouz Mohammadi, R.; Shab-Bidar, S.; Vafa, M.; Salehi-Abargouei, A. Sodium status and the metabolic syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 2019, 59, 196–206, doi:10.1080/10408398.2017.1363710.

26. Jansen, L.T.; Suh, H.; Adams, J.D.; Sprong, C.A.; Seal, A.D.; Scott, D.M.; Butts, C.L.; Melander, O.; Kirkland, T.W.; Vanhaecke, T.; et al. Osmotic stimulation of vasopressin acutely impairs glucose regulation: A counterbalanced, crossover trial. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 2019, 110, 1344–1352, doi:10.1093/ajcn/nqz226.

27. Keller, U.; Szinzai, G.; Bilz, S.; Bermeik, K. Effects of changes in hydration on protein, glucose and lipid metabolism in man: Impact on health. Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 2003, 57, 569–574, doi:10.1038/sj.ejcn.1601904.

28. Bermeik, K.; Ninnis, R.; Häussinger, D.; Keller, U. Effects of hyper- and hypoosmolality on whole body protein and glucose kinetics in humans. Am. J. Physiol. 1999, 276, E188–E195.

29. Lang, F.; Busch, G.L.; Ritter, M.; Lkl, H.V.; Waldegger, S.; Gulbins, E.; Ussinger, D.H. Functional Significance of Cell Volume Regulatory Mechanisms. Physiol. Rev. 1998, 78, 247–306.

30. Lang, F. Effect of Cell Hydration on Metabolism. Nestlé Nutr. Inst. Workshop Ser. 2011, 69, 115–130.

31. Burg, M.B.; Ferraris, J.D. Intracellular organic osmolytes: Function and regulation. J. Biol. Chem. 2008, 283, 7309–7313, doi:10.1074/jbc.R700042200.

32. Allen, M.D.; Springer, D.A.; Burg, M.B.; Boehm, M.; Dmitrieva, N.I. Suboptimal hydration remodels metabolism, promotes degenerative diseases, and shortens life. JCI Insight 2019, 4, 1–17, doi:10.1172/jci.insight.130949.

33. Stooke, J.D.; Pieper, C.F.; Cohen, H.J. Hypertonic Hyperglycemia Progresses to Diabetes Faster Than Normotonic Hyperglycemia. Eur. J. Endocrinol. 2004, 19, 935–944.

34. Enhörning, S.; Hedblad, B.; Nilsson, P.M.; Engström, G.; Melander, O. Copeptin is an independent predictor of diabetic heart disease and death. Am. Heart J. 2015, 169, 549–556, doi:10.1016/j.ahj.2014.11.020.
35. Girardeau, Y.; Jannot, A.S.; Chatellier, G.; Saint-Jean, O. Association between borderline dysnatremia and mortality insight into a new data mining approach. BMC Med. Inf. Decis. Mak. 2017, 17, 1–10, doi:10.1186/s12911-017-0549-7.

36. Darmon, M.; Diconne, E.; Souweine, B.; Ruckly, S.; Adrie, C.; Azoulay, E.; Clec’h, C.; Garrouste-Orgeas, M.; Schwebel, C.; Goldgran-Toledano, D.; et al. Prognostic consequences of borderline dysnatremia: Pay attention to minimal serum sodium change. Crit. Care 2013, 17, R12, doi:10.1186/cc11937.

37. Yan, J.J.; Lu, Y.; Kuai, Z.P.; Yong, Y.H. Predictive value of plasma copeptin level for the risk and mortality of heart failure: A meta-analysis. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 2017, 21, 1815–1825, doi:10.1111/jcmm.13102.

38. Stookey, J.D.; Purser, J.L.; Pieper, C.F.; Cohen, H.J. Plasma hypertonicity: Another marker of frailty? J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 2004, 52, 1313–1320, doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52361.x.

39. Bhalia, A.; Sankaralingam, S.; Dundas, R.; Swaminathan, R.; Wolfe, C.D.A.; Rudd, A.G. Influence of raised plasma osmolality on clinical outcome after acute stroke. Stroke 2000, 31, 2043–2048.

40. Matsue, Y.; Yoshioka, K.; Suzuki, M.; Torii, S.; Yamaguchi, S.; Fukamizu, S.; Ono, Y.; Fujii, H.; Kitai, T.; Nishioka, T.; et al. Prognostic importance of sodium level trajectory in acute heart failure. Heart Vessels 2017, 32, 1498–1503, doi:10.1007/s00380-017-1020-5.

41. Benzon, H.A.; Bobrowski, A.; Suresh, S.; Wasson, N.R.; Cheon, E.C. Impact of preoperative hyponatraemia on paediatric perioperative mortality. Br. J. Anaesth. 2019, 123, 618–626, doi:10.1016/j.bja.2019.07.024.

42. Gao, X.P.; Zheng, C.F.; Liao, M.Q.; He, H.; Liu, Y.H.; Jing, C.X.; Zeng, F.F.; Chen, Q.S. Admission serum sodium and potassium levels predict survival among critically ill patients with acute kidney injury: A cohort study. BMC Nephrol. 2019, 20, 1–10, doi:10.1186/s12882-019-1505-9.

43. He, X.; Liu, C.; Chen, Y.; He, J.; Dong, Y. Risk of Cardiovascular Mortality Associated With Serum Sodium and Chloride in the General Population. Can. J. Cardiol. 2018, 34, 999–1003, doi:10.1016/j.cjca.2018.03.013.

44. Chen, C.M.; Lee, M.; Yang, Y.H.; Huang, S.S.; Lin, C.H. Association between Clinical and Laboratory Markers and 5-year Mortality among Patients with Stroke. Sci. Rep. 2019, 9, 1–10, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-47975-y.

45. Hu, J.; Wang, Y.; Geng, X.; Chen, R.; Zhang, P.; Lin, J.; Teng, J.; Zhang, X.; Ding, X. Dysnatremia is an independent indicator of mortality in hospitalized patients. Med. Sci. Monit. 2017, 23, 2408–2425, doi:10.12659/MSM.902032.

46. National Center for Health Statistics Linked Mortality Files. In National Death Index; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2020. Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/national-linkage/mortality.htm (accessed on 10 December 2019).

47. National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2013. Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/index.htm (accessed on 10 December 2019).

48. National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) Laboratory Procedures Manual; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2011. Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/nhanes_11_12/ (accessed on 10 December 2019).

49. National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2009–2010 Data Documentation, Codebook, and Frequencies; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2011. Available online: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/2009-2010/UCFLOW (accessed on 10 December 2019).

50. National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2009–2010 Data Documentation, Codebook, and Frequencies. In Standard Biochemistry Profile (BIOPRO_F) Data File; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2011. Available online: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/2009-2010/BIOPRO_F.htm (accessed on 12 January 2019).

51. National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2011–2012 Data Documentation, Codebook, and Frequencies Standard Biochemistry Profile (BIOPRO_G) Data File; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2013. Available online: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/2011-2012/BIOPRO_G.htm (accessed on 12 January 2019).

52. National Center for Health Statistics. Laboratory Procedure Manual, Urine Osmolality; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2010. Available online: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/2009-2010/labmethods/UCOSMO_F_met.pdf (accessed on 10 December 2019).
53. Spasovski, G.; Vanholder, R.; Allolio, B.; Annane, D.; Ball, S.; Bichet, D.; Decaux, G.; Fenske, W.; Hoorn, E.; Ichai, C.; et al. Clinical practice guideline on diagnosis and treatment of hyponatraemia. *Intensive Care Med.* 2014, 40, 320–331, doi:10.1007/s00134-014-3210-2.

54. Wilczynski, C.; Staros, E.B. Urine Osmolality. MedScape. 2014. Available online: https://emedicine.medscape.com/article/2088250-overview (accessed on 10 December 2019).

55. Cheuvront, S.N.; Kenevick, R.W. Am I Drinking Enough? Yes, No, and Maybe. *J. Am. Coll. Nutr.* 2016, 35, 185–192, doi:10.1080/07315724.2015.1067872.

56. EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies (NDA). Scientific Opinion on Dietary reference values for water. *EFSA J.* 2010, 8, 1459, doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1459.

57. Perrier, E.T.; Buendia-Jimenez, I.; Vecchio, M.; Armstrong, L.E.; Tack, I.; Klein, A. Twenty-four-hour urine osmolality as a physiological index of adequate water intake. *Dis. Markers* 2015, 2015, doi:10.1155/2015/231063.

58. Parretti, H.M.; Aveyard, P.; Blannin, A.; Clifford, S.J.; Coleman, S.J.; Roalfe, A.; Daley, A.J. Efficacy of water preloading before main meals as a strategy for weight loss in primary care patients with obesity: RCT. *Obesity* 2015, 23, 1785–1791, doi:10.1002/oby.21167.

59. Stookey, J.D.; Constant, F.; Popkin, B.M.; Gardner, C.D. Drinking water is associated with weight loss in overweight dieting women independent of diet and activity. *Obesity* 2008, 16, 2481–2488, doi:10.1038/oby.2008.409.

60. Stookey, J.D.; Del Toro, R.; Hamer, J.; Medina, A.; Higa, A.; Ng, V.; TinajeroDeck, L.; Juarez, L. Qualitative and/or quantitative drinking water recommendations for pediatric obesity treatment. *J. Obes. Weight Loss Ther.* 2014, 4, 232, doi:10.4172/2165-7904.1000232.

61. Robertson, G.L.; Shelton, R.L.; Athar, S. The osmoregulation of vasopressin. *Kidney Int.* 1976, 10, 25–37, doi:10.1038/ki.1976.76.

62. Division of Nutrition Physical Activity and Obesity. *Assessing Your Weight;* Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2015. Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/healthyweight/assessing/index.html (accessed on 10 December 2019).

63. Maki, K.C.; Fulgoni, V.L.; Keast, D.R.; Park, K.M.; Rubin, M.R. Vitamin D intake and status are associated with lower prevalence of metabolic syndrome in US adults: An analysis of NHANES 2003–2006. *Metab. Syndr. Relat. Disord.* 2012, 10, 363–372, doi:10.1089/met.2012.0020.

64. American Diabetes Association (ADA). 2. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2016, 39, S13–S22, doi:10.2337/dc16-5005.

65. National Center for Health Statistics. *National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2011–2012 Data Documentation, Codebook, and Frequencies; Glyc hemoglobin (GHB_G),* Data File: GHB_G.xp; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2013. Available online: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/2011-2012/GHB_G.htm (accessed on 10 December 2019).

66. Jellinger, P.S. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists/American College of Endocrinology Management of Dyslipidemia and Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Diabetes Spectr.* 2018, 31, 234–245, doi:10.2337/ds18-0009.

67. National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI). Integrated Guidelines for Cardiovascular Health and Risk Reduction in Children and Adolescents: Summary Report; NHLBI: Bethesda, MD, USA, 2012. Available online: https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/node/80308 (accessed on 10 December 2019).

68. American Heart Association. Life’s Simple 7. Available online: https://playbook.heart.org/lifes-simple-7/ (accessed on 10 December 2019).

69. National Center for Health Statistics. *National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2009–2010 Data Documentation, Codebook, and Frequencies; Blood Pressure Questionnaire; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2011.* Available online: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/2011-2012/questionnaires/bpq.pdf (accessed on 10 December 2019).

70. National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI). ATP III Guidelines At-A-Glance Quick Desk Reference. In *National Cholesterol Education Program;* NHLBI: Bethesda, MD, USA, 2011. Available online: https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/files/docs/guidelines/ataglance.pdf, doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2005.02.046 (accessed on 10 December 2019).

71. Institute of Medicine. Dietary Reference Intakes for water, potassium, sodium, chloride, and sulfate. Washington, D.C., *National Academies Press.* 2005, 73–185.
72. National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2011–2012 Data Documentation, Codebook, and Frequencies; Prescription Medications (RXQ_RX_G) Data File: RXQ_RX_G.xpt; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2014. Available online: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/2011-2012/RXQ_RX_G.htm (accessed on 10 December 2019).

73. National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; Module 3: Weighting; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2018. Available online: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/tutorials/module3.aspx (accessed on 10 December 2019).

74. National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2009–2010 Data Documentation, Codebook, and Frequencies. Smoking—Cigarette Use (SMQ_F); Data File: SMQ_F.xpt; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2011. Available online: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/Nchs/NHANES/2009-2010/SMQ_F.htm#SMQ040 (accessed on 10 December 2019).

75. National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2011–2012 Data Documentation, Codebook, and Frequencies Smoking—Cigarette Use (SMQ_G); Data File: SMQ_G.xpt; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2013. Available online: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/2011-2012/SMQ_G.htm (accessed on 10 December 2019).

76. National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2009–2010 Data Documentation, Codebook, and Frequencies; Physical Activity (PAQ_F) Data File: PAQ_F.xpt; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2011. Available online: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/Nchs/NHANES/2009-2010/PAQ_F.htm (accessed on 10 December 2019).

77. National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2011–2012 Data Documentation, Codebook, and Frequencies; Physical Activity (PAQ_G) Data File: PAQ_G.xpt; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2013. Available online: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/Nchs/NHANES/2011-2012/PAQ_G.htm (accessed on 10 December 2019).

78. National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2011–2012 Data Documentation, Codebook, and Frequencies; Dietary Interview Component; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2009. Available online: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/2009-2010/questionnaires/dietaryrecall_f.pdf (accessed on 10 December 2019).

79. National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2011–2012 Data Documentation, Codebook, and Frequencies; Dietary Interview Component; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2011. Available online: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/2011-2012/questionnaires/dietary_post_recall_qs_2011.pdf (accessed on 10 December 2019).

80. Lindquist, K. How Can I Estimate Relative Risk Using Glm for Common Outcomes in Cohort Studies; Stata FAQ; UCLA Institute for Digital Research and Education: Los Angeles, CA, USA, 2008. Available online: https://stats.idre.ucla.edu/stata/faq/how-can-i-estimate-relative-risk-using-glm-for-common-outcomes-in-cohort-studies/ (accessed on 10 December 2019).

81. Chalise, P.; Chicken, E.; McGee, D. Time Scales in Epidemiological Analysis: An Empirical Comparison. Available online: https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1502/1502.02534.pdf (accessed on 10 December 2019).

82. Hooper, L.; Abdelhamid, A.; Ali, A.; Bunn, D.K.; Jennings, A.; John, W.G.; Kerry, S.; Linder, G.; Piortmueller, C.A.; Sjostrand, F.; et al. Diagnostic accuracy of calculated serum osmolarity to predict dehydration in older people: Adding value to pathology laboratory reports. BMJ Open 2015, 5, e008846, doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008846.

83. Cheuvront, S.N.; Keneffick, R.W.; Charkoudian, N.; Sawka, M.N. Physiologic basis for understanding quantitative dehydration assessment. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 2013, 97, 455–462, doi:10.3945/ajcn.113.066788.

84. Hays, S.M.; Aylward, L.L.; Blount, B.C. Variation in urinary flow rates according to demographic characteristics and body mass index in NHANES: Potential confounding of associations between health outcomes and urinary biomarker concentrations. Environ. Health Perspect. 2015, 123, 293–300, doi:10.1289/ehp.1408944.

85. Montain, S.J.; Coyle, E.F. Influence of graded dehydration on hyperthermia and cardiovascular drift during exercise. J. Appl. Physiol. 1992, 73, 1340–1350, doi:10.1152/jappl.1992.73.4.1340.

86. Perrier, E.T.; Armstrong, L.E.; Daoudon, M.; Kavouras, S.; Lafontan, M.; Lang, F.; Péronnet, F.; Stookey, J.D.; Tack, J.; Klein, A. From state to process: Defining hydration. Obes. Facts 2014, 7, 6–12, doi:10.1159/000360611.

87. Zerbe, R.L.; Miller, J.Z.; Robertson, G.L. The reproducibility and heritability of individual differences in osmoregulatory function in normal human subjects. J. Lab. Clin. Med. 1991, 117, 51–59.
88. Wannamethee, S.G.; Shaper, A.G.; Lennon, L.; Papacosta, O.; Whincup, P. Mild hyponatremia, hypernatremia and incident cardiovascular disease and mortality in older men: A population-based cohort study. *Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis.* 2016, 26, 12–19.

89. Kant, A.K.; Graubard, B.I. A prospective study of water intake and subsequent risk of all-cause mortality in a national cohort. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2017, 105, 212–220, doi:10.3945/ajcn.116.143826.

90. Perrier, E.; Demazières, A.; Girard, N.; Pross, N.; Osbild, D.; Metzger, D.; Guelinckx, I.; Klein, A. Circadian variation and responsiveness of hydration biomarkers to changes in daily water intake. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 2013, 113, 2143–2151, doi:10.1007/s00421-013-2649-0.

91. Stookey, J.D.; Klein, A.; Hamer, J.; Chi, C.; Higa, A.; Ng, V.; Arief, A.; Kuyers, F.A.; Larkin, S.; Perrier, E.; et al. RBC deformability and amino acid concentrations after hypo-osmotic challenge may reflect chronic cell hydration status in healthy young men. *Physiol. Rep.* 2013, 1, e00117.

92. Braun, M.M.; Barstow, C.H.; Pyzocha, N.J. Diagnosis and management of sodium disorders: Hyponatremia and Hypernatremia. *Am. Fam. Physician* 2015, 91, 299–307.

93. Pearl, J.; Mackenzie, D. *The Book of Why: The New Science of Cause and Effect*; Hachette Book Group: New York, NY, USA, 2018.

94. Stookey, J.D. Negative, null and beneficial effects of drinking water on energy intake, energy expenditure, fat oxidation and weight change in randomized trials: A qualitative review. *Nutrients* 2016, 8, E19, doi:10.3390/nu8010019.

95. Hellerstein, M.K. No common energy currency: De novo lipogenesis as the road less traveled. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2001, 74, 707–708, doi:10.1093/ajcn/74.6.707.

96. McDevitt, R.M.; Bott, S.J.; Harding, M.; Coward, A.W.; Bluck, L.J.; Prentice, A.M. De novo lipogenesis during controlled overfeeding with su-crose or glucose in lean and obese women. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2001, 74, 737–746.

97. Cook, N.R.; Appel, L.J.; Whelton, P.K. Sodium Intake and All-Cause Mortality over 20 Years in the Trials of Hypertension Prevention. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2016, 68, 1609–1617.

98. Enhorning, S.; Brunkwall, L.; Tasevska, I.; Ericson, U.; Persson Tholin, J.; Persson, M.; Lemetais, G.; Vanhaecke, T.; Dolci, A.; Perrier, E.T.; et al. Water Supplementation Reduces Copeptin and Plasma Glucose in Adults With High Copeptin: The H2O Metabolism Pilot Study. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2019, 104, 1917–1925, doi:10.1210/jc.2018-02195.

99. Roussel, R.; Fezeu, L.; Bouby, N.; Balkau, B.; Lantieri, O.; Alhenc-Gelas, F.; Marre, M.; Bankir, L. Low water intake and risk for new-onset hyperglycemia. *Diabetes Care* 2011, 34, 2551–2554, doi:10.2337/dc11-0652.

100. Hernández-Cordero, S.; Barquera, S.; Rodríguez-Méndez, F.; Alhenc-Gelas, F.; Marre, M.; Bankir, L. Low water intake and risk for new-onset hyperglycemia. *J. Nutr.* 2014, 144, 1742–1752.

101. Chan, J.; Blyth, F.G.; Lee, J.W.; Fraser, G.E. Water, other fluids, and fatal coronary heart disease: The Adventist Health Study. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 2002, 155, 827–833.

102. Kimura, T.; Nakamura, N.; Nakamura, Y.; Nakamura, N.; Fukui, M. Sodium chloride difference and metabolic syndrome: A population based large scale cohort study. *Intern. Med.* 2016, 55, 3085–3090.

103. Lang, F.; Rohwedder, S.; Lemetais, G.; Melander, O. Two Liters a Day Keep the Doctor Away? Considerations on the Pathophysiology of Suboptimal Fluid Intake in the Common Population. *Kidney Blood Press Res.* 2017, 42, 483–494, doi:10.1159/000479640.

104. Lang, F.; Böhmer, C.; Palmda, M.; Seebohm, G.; Strutz-Seebohm, N.; Vollen, V. (Patho)physiological significance of the serum- and glucocorticoid- inducible kinase isoforms. *Physiol. Rev.* 2006, 86, 1151–1178, doi:10.1152/physrev.00050.2005.

105. Enhörning, S.; Struck, J.; Wirth, E.; Hedblad, B.; Morgensthaler, N.G.; Melander, O. Plasma copeptin, a unifying factor behind the metabolic syndrome. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2011, 96, 1065–1072, doi:10.1210/jc.2010-2981.

106. Melander, O. Vasopressin, from regulator to disease predictor for diabetes and cardiometabolic risk. *Ann. Nutr. Metab.* 2016, 68, 24–28, doi:10.1159/000446201.

107. Pikka, M.; Melander, O.; Bengtsson Boström, K. Association between copeptin and declining glomerular filtration rate in people with newly diagnosed diabetes. The Skaraborg Diabetes Register. *J. Diabetes Complicat.* 2015, 29, 1062–1065, doi:10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2015.07.006.
108. Belting, M.; Almgren, P.; Manjer, J.; Hedblad, B.; Struck, J.; Wang, T.J.; Bergmann, A.; Melander, O. Vasoactive peptides with angiogenesis-regulating activity predict cancer risk in males. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev. 2012, 21, 513–522, doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-11-0840.

109. Siegenthaler, J.; Walti, C.; Urwyler, S.A.; Schuetz, P.; Christ-Crain, M. Copeptin concentrations during psychological stress: The PsyCo study. Eur. J. Endocrinol. 2014, 171, 737–742, doi:10.1530/EJE-14-0405.

110. Urwyler, S.A.; Schuetz, P.; Sailer, C.; Christ-Crain, M. Copeptin as a stress marker prior and after a written examination--the CoEXAM study. Stress 2015, 18, 134–137, doi:10.3109/10253890.2014.993966.

© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).