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Abstract
The current study investigates whether metal deposition onto an existing nanorod can be carried out using an ionic liquid, and the effect this has on catalytic performance. Platinum, gold, and silver nanoparticles were deposited onto CdSe@CdS (core@shell) nanorods from metal salts in an ionic liquid (1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide) without additional surfactants or reducing agents. Photocatalytic dye degradation experiments showed that catalysts with platinum particles deposited using the ionic liquid out-performed similar materials synthesized using organic solvents and ligands. We concluded that metal particles can be deposited onto well-defined semiconductor nanorods using ionic liquids and metal salts without the need for additional reagents, and the deposited particles did not cause significant aggregation even when these materials were taken into organic media. It is possible that a broad range of metal/semiconductor heterostructured particles can be prepared using the methods reported here.

Introduction
Core@shell semiconductor nanorods with attached noble metal particles have been widely studied as photocatalysts, and any improvement on the synthesis of these materials has potential to impact a number of applications [1-4]. Deposition of metal nanoparticles onto the nanorod surface competes with homogeneous nucleation of the metal nanoparticles, with the size and number of metal nanoparticles attached to each nanorod controlled by lattice matching of the materials and synthesis conditions [5]. Well-defined nanorod substrates are synthesized using organic ligands to direct crystal growth [6], and these surface-bound ligands often play an important role in charge transfer at the particle/solvent interface [7-11]. However, these stabilizing ligands also insulate the underlying nanoparticle surface which complicates using these materials in device applications where the particles are coated onto a substrate [12]. A key feature of these well-defined nanorod systems is control of particle size and uniformity at small length scales; nanorods having at least two dimensions smaller than 10 nm are commonly reported with small deviations in the distribution of nanorod lengths.
Another widely investigated approach to nanoparticle synthesis uses ionic liquids as solvents for the synthesis of nanoparticles without the need for additional stabilizing surfactants [13-17]. The low surface tension of ionic liquids causes high nucleation rates and allows for the synthesis of small nanoparticles with minimal Ostwald ripening [17]. Further, many ionic liquids consist of a large anion with diffuse negative charge; this lack of strongly binding anionic ligands increases the surface availability of nanoparticles synthesized in ionic liquids. These so-called “naked nanoparticles” are stabilized in ionic liquids both electrostatically and sterically through reversible interactions with the ionic liquid solvent [13], and do not suffer from performance limitations associated with strongly-bound organic ligands. In principle, it should be possible to prepare metal/semicontuctor nanoparticle catalysts on sub-10 nm length scales using ionic liquids and benefit from increased performance due to the absence of strongly bound surface ligands. A literature search for using ionic liquids in deposition of metal particles onto semiconductor nanorod substrates afforded many references involving deposition of nanoparticles onto graphene and carbon nanotubes [18-24]. CdSe nanorods with average diameters below 10 nm have been synthesized by Rao et al. in ionic liquids using triethylamine oxide and ethylenediamine for particle formation and stabilization [25]. However, to our knowledge, no one has explored metal deposition onto these nanorods and there are no examples using ionic liquids for metal deposition onto chalcogenide nanorods with uniform lengths and diameters smaller than 10 nm. This is important for the field of chalcogenide nanomaterials where 1D (nanorod) and 0D (quantum dot) materials are interfaced with metals on sub-10 nm length scales to facilitate charge transfer and photocatalysis [26].

Herein, we demonstrate that an ionic liquid may be used to deposit nanoscopic noble metal particles onto a well-defined semiconductor nanorod substrate with diameters less than 10 nm. We found that photodeposition of platinum onto CdSe@CdS (core@shell) nanorods proceeded readily from Pt(acac)$_2$ in the ionic liquid 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide ([bmim][Tf$_2$N]) without needing to add any additional reagents. We also deposited platinum nanorod cocatalysts onto CdSe@CdS nanorods using a traditional organic system (toluene/triethylamine) as shown in Scheme 1, and the two catalysts prepared in different solvents had remarkably similar morphologies as determined by analysis of TEM images. Inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) analysis of the elemental ratios in the samples confirmed the similarity of these materials, and we compared photocatalytic performance of these two samples for degradation of methylene blue. This hybrid approach using traditional organic ligands for the synthesis of well-defined nanorods followed by [bmim][Tf$_2$N] for metal deposition was found to be applicable to other noble metals in addition to platinum. Gold and silver nanoparticles were also deposited onto CdSe@CdS nanorods without the need for additional surfactants or reducing agents.

Results and Discussion

CdSe quantum dots and CdSe@CdS nanorods were synthesized using cadmium oxide, octadecylphosphonic acid, hexylphosphonic acid, triethylphosphine, and trietylphosphine oxide based on methods reported by Manna et al. and expanded upon by Pyun et al. [27,28]. Our CdSe quantum dots had an average diameter of 2.4 nm as determined using the correlation of particle diameter with the wavelength of the low energy absorbance peak ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 509 \text{ nm}$) [29]. The CdSe@CdS nanorods had an average length of $50 \pm 10 \text{ nm}$ and an average diameter of $4 \pm 1 \text{ nm}$ as determined by TEM imaging, with a sample size of at least 100 particles in each case.

The obtained nanorods are capped with tightly bound phosphonic acid ligands bearing long hydrophobic alkyl chains. Rather than exchanging these ligands to allow these nanorods to be dispersed in polar media, platinum nanoparticles were deposited onto these CdSe@CdS nanorods in hydrophobic media based on the photochemical process reported by Alivisatos and coworkers [30], with the liquid component consisting of either toluene/triethylamine or [bmim][Tf$_2$N] (Scheme 1). We chose [bmim][Tf$_2$N] as the ionic liquid due to its facile synthesis and the hydrophobicity imparted by the fluorinated anion. For metal deposition in organic media (tol-NR, Figure 1a), CdSe@CdS nanorods were dispersed in toluene containing triethylamine and Pt(acac)$_2$ followed by irradiation using a xenon arc lamp. For metal deposition using the ionic liquid, (IL-NR, Figure 1b), CdSe@CdS nanorods were dispersed in [bmim][Tf$_2$N] containing Pt(acac)$_2$ without addition of triethylamine as a sacrificial reducing agent, followed by irradiation using a xenon arc lamp. Nanorod powders were difficult to disperse directly in [bmim][Tf$_2$N], so the nanorods were dispersed in a small amount of chloroform prior to mixing with the ionic liquid. Complete evaporation of the chloroform...
then afforded well-dispersed nanorods in the ionic liquid. The mass of the nanorod powder, Pt(acac)$_2$, and the ionic liquid were each measured prior to dispersing the nanorods in chloroform and combining with the ionic liquid; complete removal of the chloroform was confirmed by taking the mass of the nanorod dispersion in [bmim][Tf$_2$N] after evaporation of the chloroform under reduced pressure.

Platinum deposition under both solvent conditions initially afforded a mixture of platinum-decorated nanorods and additional platinum nanoparticles that were not attached to CdSe@CdS nanorods. For the platinum deposition conducted in toluene, the unattached platinum particles were removed by several dissolution and precipitation cycles using toluene and ethanol. For the platinum deposition conducted in [bmim][Tf$_2$N], the platinum decorated nanorods were extracted into toluene from the ionic liquid prior to purification by centrifugation. The final pellets obtained were dispersed in toluene for storage and subsequent experiments regardless of the solvent used for the platinum deposition reaction. TEM images of both products are shown in Figure 1.

Although we observed that triethylamine was necessary for deposition of platinum particles onto CdSe@CdS nanorods dispersed in toluene, we found that platinum deposition onto the same nanorods occurred readily in [bmim][Tf$_2$N] without needing to add a sacrificial reducing agent. The chemical species oxidized during platinum reduction and deposition onto these nanorods in [bmim][Tf$_2$N] is not known at this time, though others have observed similar results. CoPt nanoparticles were previously formed in [bmim][Tf$_2$N] using Pt(acac)$_2$ and an ammonium bromide [31], and a mechanism involving the acetylacetonate ligands was suggested in a subsequent review article [15]. Additionally, a carbene mechanism involving the imidazolium cation could also contribute to the reduction mechanism [32], as proposed for Pd nanoparticles formed sonochemically from Pd(OAc)$_2$ in imidazolium ionic liquids containing acetate ligands [33]. Au(III) also slowly reduces to Au(0) in imidazolium ionic liquids, and this process can be facilitated by addition of cellulose to the reaction mixture as a mild reducing agent [34]. Thus, there is precedent for using pure imidazolium ionic liquids to facilitate reduction of metal salts to zero valent metal particles.

The heterostructured nanorods we obtained from the ionic liquid and the particles obtained from toluene/triethylamine had remarkably similar morphologies as determined by TEM imaging (Figure 1). Quantification of the TEM images confirmed that both samples had similarly sized platinum nanoparticles and a similar number of platinum particles per nanorod (Table 1, a minimum of 100 particles were counted/ sized in each case). Using this information, we estimated comparable platinum to cadmium molar ratios based on the size of the CdS and Pt particles obtained from TEM images assuming bulk density for the cadmium sulfide and platinum particles. The concentration of cadmium and platinum determined using induc-

---

**Table 1:** Comparison of sample composition determined by TEM and ICP-AES.

| Sample name | Platinum particle diameter (nm) | Platinum particles per nanorod | Cd/Pt molar ratio (estimated) | Cd/Pt molar ratio (from ICP-AES) | Weight % Pt per inorganic particle |
|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| tol-NR      | $2.2 \pm 0.1$                  | $2.4 \pm 0.6$                 | $16.10$                     | $11.13$                         | $10.8$                          |
| IL-NR       | $2.3 \pm 0.2$                  | $2.4 \pm 0.5$                 | $16.02$                     | $11.65$                         | $10.4$                          |
Figure 2: Comparison of photocatalytic activity for tol-NR and IL-NR. a) Dye degradation experiments conducted in chloroform. b) Dye degradation experiments conducted in [bmim][Tf$_2$N]. The control in both cases shows dye degradation without a catalyst present. All data points have error bars representing the standard deviation of three trials per data point.
Figure 3: TEM images of metal-decorated CdSe@CdS nanorods prepared using [bmim][Tf₂N] and metal precursor. a) Au-decorated nanorods obtained from AuCl₃. b) Ag-decorated nanorods obtained from AgNO₃.
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