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We introduce a new theoretical model of simple fluid, whose interactions, defined in terms of the Voronoi cells of the configurations, are local and many-body. The resulting system is studied both theoretically and numerically. We show that the fluid, though sharing the global features of other models of fluids with soft interactions, has several unusual characteristics, which are investigated and discussed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In the realm of liquid physics, the glass transition remains for the theoretist a fascinating challenge. Despite decades of efforts and a diversity of approaches, no unifying picture has yet emerged, which would be capable of describing successfully the link between structure and dynamics, interactions and slowing down, etc. . . , in the whole temperature range and all dynamical regimes covered by the glass transition \[1\,3\].

In addition to the dramatic slowing down of the dynamics over a modest temperature range, the glass transition is characterized by some general features, dynamic heterogeneity, activation, enhanced sensitivity to the potential energy landscape on cooling, etc. . . which are found generally in any model of glass-forming liquid. Some generic properties common to all fluids or models of fluid considered so far generate qualitatively the same phenomenology, with only quantitative differences one is still unable to account for. A possible route of research consists in considering models of fluid quite different from the existing ones, and see to what extent the usual glass transition scenario is or is not modified by the modifications introduced in the interactions. For instance, all models considered so far have a (hard or soft) core repulsion associated with a well-defined characteristic length, and usually interact \textit{via} pair potentials.

To investigate the sensitivity of the glass transition phenomenology to these particulars, we present in this paper a new model of glass-forming fluid, whose interactions are defined geometrically in terms of the Laguerre-Voronoi tessellations of the configurations. This model, which is the binary version of the so-called Voronoi liquid presented elsewhere \[4\], is characterized by interactions that are intrinsically many-body, and by the absence of a proper hare core repulsion.

The (monodisperse) Voronoi liquid \[4\] has shown convincingly that this class of fluids, though perfectly thermodynamically stable \textit{bona fide} fluids, is able to display strongly unusual traits, for instance a large mesoscopic range where the sound attenuation behaves anomalously. It has been shown in \[5\] that this regime does not violate the existing body of knowledge on liquid physics \[4\], but that the atypical definition of the Voronoi fluid induces atypical numerical values of the basic structural and thermodynamic observables, allowing the fluid to visit some “regions” of the parameter space which are never probed by more widespread models, and thus to reveal this anomalous regime.

As a result, the atypicality of the fluid has been successfully used to probe the liquid state and get from it a better understanding of the relation between structure and dynamics. To probe similarly the supercooled regime, the Voronoi liquid is not adapted, since it crystallizes into a bcc crystal at low temperatures. This motivated the design of a binary version of it, which is presented in this paper. As, to our knowledge, the model is new, this paper is intended to be an introductory paper, focussing on the definition of the interactions and its mathematical properties, and on the structural properties of an equimolar mixture.

The paper is organized as follows: In section II the model is introduced. The next section III details general results on thermodynamical observables: Equation of state, dependence of the mean energy on $T$ and polydispersity, chemical potentials and their relation with the radial distribution functions (rdf). We show also there that the unmixing of such a binary fluid is always prevented. We consider finally the structural properties of the fluid in section IV and show that both the partial rdfs and structure factors have particular splitting properties, reminiscent of additive mixtures of pair potential systems. Several appendices give details on how the various properties announced in the main body of the paper can be derived.

II. THE POLYDISPERSE VORONOI FLUID

The definition of the polydisperse Voronoi fluid begins with $N$ point particles, whose positions are denoted by $r_i$ and velocity by $v_i$, ($1 \leq i \leq N$), evolving in a volume of dimension 3 with periodic boundary conditions (the generalization to a dimension $d \neq 3$ is straightforward). To each particle is associated a positive scalar, denoted by $R_i$ and termed “natural radius”, which plays a role in the definition of interactions. We will see that this natural radius however does not define a proper \textit{excluded} volume.

To each configuration of the $N$ particles (weighed by their radius) one can associate a so-called Voronoi-Laguerre (or \textit{radical}) tessellation: first, one defines a (pseudo) distance between the particle $i$ (located at $I$) and a point $M$ by the power of $M$ with respect to the sphere of center $I$ and radius $R_i$, namely $IM^2 - R_i^2$. The geometrical interpretation of this distance is easy if $M$ is outside the sphere: in that case, the power is $AM^2$, where $A$ is the intersection point of the sphere and its tangent plane containing $M$ (see Fig. left). If $M$ is inside the sphere, the power is negative, and its absolute value is the square of the radius of a circle drawn on the sphere with center $M$.

The cell of volume $v_i$ of the particle $i$ in the Voronoi-Laguerre tessellation is defined as the points of geometrical space whose power with respect to the particle $i$ are smaller than the power with respect to any other particle of the configuration. This corresponds to the definition of cells in the usual Voronoi tessellation with the only difference that the power of points with respect to the weighed particles replaces the usual distance to the particles. It is to note that the Voronoi-Laguerre tessellations of particles having all the same radius (a \textit{“monodisperse”} Voronoi fluid) reduce to
the mean squared radius of the particles (the term \( \propto \rho_{ij} R_{ij}^2 \)).

Upon inspection of the formula (A4) and by noticing that for a plane normal in \( B \) with respect to \( R \) consequence for the stability of mixtures. This will have an interesting line for an ordinary Voronoi tessellation).

Note that the dividing plane is shifted, in accordance with intuition, toward the smaller particle. One has \( M_{ij} \) with respect to \( I J \).

The simulations were done using the LAMMPS code \( \cite{7} \), modified to compute the polarisations with the Voro++ library \( \cite{8} \). We considered systems with \( N = 1000 \) (sometimes \( N = 8000 \)) particles in cubic boxes with periodic boundary conditions. The systems were thermalized using a Nosé-Hoover thermostat, which samples the canonical

**FIG. 1.** Left : How a dividing plane is defined in the Voronoi-Laguerre tessellation. Two neighbouring particles \( i \) and \( j \), located at \( I \) and \( J \), and having natural radii \( R_i \) and \( R_j \), respectively, have Voronoi-Laguerre cells which share a common facet located in a plane normal in \( B \) to \( IJ \) (vertical bold line). The powers of \( B \) (or any point of the plane) with respect to \( i \) and \( j \) are equal. Note that the dividing plane is shifted, in accordance with intuition, toward the smaller particle. One has \( IB = \rho_{ij} IJ \) with \( \rho_{ij} = \frac{1}{4}(1 + (R_i^2 - R_j^2)/IJ^2) \). The figure shows also the distance \( AM \) which represents the square root of the power of a point \( M \) with respect to \( I \). Right : Typical sketch of a 2D Voronoi-Laguerre tessellation. Dividing planes of neighbouring particles are unchanged with respect to the ordinary Voronoi, but shift toward the smallest particles otherwise (dashed blue : dividing line for an ordinary Voronoi tessellation).
(N, V, T) ensemble. The temperatures considered range from T = 0.85 to T = 2.00, an interval which probes the moderately supercooled regime for our system (based on preliminary measurements, one expects a dynamical Arrhenius regime for T ∈ [1, 2], an onset temperature around T = 1, and a critical temperature of mode-coupling theory Tc ≃ 0.8 (based on the disappearance of the negative directions associated to the neighbouring saddles in the phase space).

The parameter ξ, which alone determines the degree of polydispersity, must be chosen with care. Actually, too large values of ξ (or too large temperatures for a given ξ) would lead to physically unsound situations: Equation (A4) shows that for two neighbouring particles i and j with different radii and r_{ij}^2/\nu^{2/3} < ξ, the smallest particle is actually outside its Voronoi cell, if any: Its volume could even vanish if all its neighbours were large and close by [9]. We are interested in supercooled systems, where steric hindrance dominates the relaxation phenomena. As a result, we chose ξ < 1 and moderate temperatures, so that the particles will always reside within their Voronoi cell, and the natural radii will de facto play the role of characteristic lengths of the effective soft repulsive core (this latter point is however rather subtle and indirect, since the cell boundary of two adjacent particles with the same radius is independent of that radius — see paragraph $[\text{IV A}]$. In the opposite limit of vanishing values of ξ, we would recover the monodisperse Voronoi fluid. Therefore, too small values of ξ (or, equivalently, too low densities) lessen the effect of the polydispersity and have to be avoided to suppress crystallisation. In other words, Voronoi particles must be “in contact”, but not too much. This point can be checked on the mixed radial distribution function g_{12}(r) (see section $[\text{IV A}]$, which has to be zero for distances r < |R_1^2 - R_2^2|^{1/2}, and at the same time must have a first structural peak at a distance r_{12}^2 not too large with respect to |R_1^2 - R_2^2|^{1/2}. Our choice, ξ = 0.14 and ε = 1, corresponds to |R_1^2 - R_2^2|^{1/2} = 0.375 and r_{12} = 1.12 and fulfills this double requirement.

III. GENERAL RESULTS ON THERMODYNAMICS

A. Scaling properties and state equation

In [4], we showed that the monodisperse (ξ = 0) Voronoi fluid has unusual scaling properties, namely that the excess free energy (with respect to the ideal gas) has the scaling form $F_e(T, V, N) = N T \phi \left[ x = T / (\gamma v^{5/3}) \right]$, where T stands for $k_B T$. A similar relation also holds for the binary Voronoi fluid, namely

$$F_e(T, V, N, R_1^2 - R_2^2) = N T \phi (x, \xi, \alpha_1)$$

where $x = T / (\gamma v^{5/3})$, $\xi = (R_1^2 - R_2^2)/v^{2/3}$ and $\alpha_1 = N_1/N$ (excess means in excess with respect to the ideal mixing of ideal gases). On deriving with respect to T, one gets the excess entropy $S_e = -N [\phi + x (\partial \phi / \partial x)]_{\xi, \alpha_1}$. The relation $F_e = U_e - T S_e$ yields the excess internal energy, which is also the mean potential energy :

$$U_e \equiv \langle E_p \rangle = - N T x \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right)_{\xi, \alpha_1},$$

a relation one had already for the monodisperse fluid [4]. Whereas a direct proportionality exists for the monodisperse fluid between $U_e = \langle E_p \rangle$ and the excess pressure $P_e = - (\partial F_e) / (\partial V) = P - T / v$, this is no longer the case for the present binary fluid. One has instead (see Appendix $[\text{B1}]$)

$$P_e v = - \frac{5}{3} \frac{\langle E_p \rangle}{\gamma v} + 2 \frac{T}{3} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \right)_{x, \alpha_1} = - \frac{5}{3} \frac{\langle E_p^{(m)} \rangle}{\gamma v} - \frac{\langle E_p^{(a)} \rangle}{\gamma v},$$

where $E_p^{(m)}$ (the main part) and $E_p^{(a)}$ (the additional part, so named because it is zero in the monodisperse limit) are defined by

$$E_p^{(m)} \equiv \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_i \int_{v_i}^1 d^3 r \ r^2$$

$$E_p^{(a)} \equiv E_p - E_p^{(m)} = \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_i v_i (R_i^2 - R_i^2)$$

The temperature dependence of both components (per particle) is plotted in Fig. 2 (notice that $-\langle E_p^{(a)} \rangle$ is plotted to deal only with positive ordinates). The main part $E^{(m)}$ deserves its name, since one can observe that it comprises
in amplitude. The inset of fig. 2 shows the scaled distribution function of the local energy \( \sigma \), the second moment, namely a Gaussian distribution (without discernible skewness in fact) for all temperatures, stressing the direct impact of the radii, do not matter anymore [4], and \( E_p^{(a)} \) should go to zero. This paradoxical trend remains however modest in amplitude. The inset of fig. 2 shows the scaled distribution function of the local energy \( e_i \), shifted and scaled by the second moment, namely \( \sigma e P(e_i = e) \) as a function of \( (e - \langle e_i \rangle) / \sigma e \) with \( \sigma e = \sqrt{\langle e_i^2 \rangle - \langle e_i \rangle^2} \). This distribution is almost insensitive to the temperature, and quite well described by a Gaussian (despite a small positive skewness). The typical values of \( \sigma e \) are \( \sim 6 \) times larger than the standard deviation of the total potential energy divided by \( \sqrt{N} \), indicating a marked anticorrelation of neighbouring particles. The local energy distribution is closely linked to that of the individual Voronoi volumes \( v_i \), formerly investigated in [11] for a bead-spring polymer model. In contrast with the findings of [11] (where log-normal distributions were found for several models of fluids), the Voronoi volumes of the individual particles (large and small particles considered separately) are in our system extremely well described by a Gaussian distribution (without discernible skewness in fact) for all temperatures, stressing the direct impact of the nature of interactions on the statistical properties of the Voronoi related features, and the originality of the Voronoi fluid in this respect.

An interesting property of the energy is its dependence on the polydispersity parameter, cf. (13):

\[
\left( \frac{\partial E_p}{\partial \xi} \right)_{r,V,N,\alpha_1} = \frac{1}{\xi} E_p^{(a)} = \frac{\gamma v^{2/3}}{2} (V_{\alpha_1} - V_1)
\]

(8)

where the derivative is taken keeping the positions of the particles fixed. From this equation, we can deduce an explicit expression for the second derivative:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2 E_p}{\partial \xi^2} \right)_{r,V,N,\alpha_1} = -\frac{\gamma v^{4/3}}{4} \sum_{(i,j)} \frac{S_{ij}}{r_{ij}}
\]

(9)

where in the last expression, the primed sum must be restricted to neighbouring pairs of particles \((i,j)\) such that \( R_i = R_1 \) and \( R_j = R_2 \). One sees from the last two equations that for each configuration \( E_p(\xi) \) is a concave function,
whose Legendre transform \( \{ G(\lambda) = E_p(\xi) - \lambda \xi ; \lambda = \partial E_p/\partial \xi \} \) is precisely \( E^{(m)}_p \). This interpretation shows that \( \xi \) is an intensive parameter conjugated to (a linear function of) \( V_1 \). The concavity of \( E_p(\xi) \) has an important consequence: By the relation \( \partial^2 F_e/\partial \xi^2 = (\partial^2 E_p/\partial \xi^2) - \beta(\delta(\partial E_p/\partial \xi)^2) \) (with \( \delta A \equiv A - \langle A \rangle \)), one has that \( F_e(\xi) \) is also concave. The fact that \( \partial^2 F_e/\partial \xi^2 = \langle \partial E_p/\partial \xi \rangle \) is zero for \( \xi = 0 \) implies that \( F_e \) is a decreasing function of \( \xi \) for \( \xi > 0 \). As a corollary of this property, one has always \( \langle V_1 \rangle \geq V_{\alpha_1} ; \) The individual volumes of the particles with the larger natural radius are on average larger than those of the smaller [12].

The expressions [8] and [9] are useful to investigate the polydisperse states for small deviations from monodisperse equilibria, using a small \( \xi \) expansion. We will for instance use them in section IV A to understand the regular splitting of the different radial distribution functions at moderate polydispersity. As regards the thermodynamics, the excess free energy (and all derived thermodynamic quantities) are expanded up to the second order in \( \xi \):

\[
\frac{F_e(\xi)}{N} = \frac{F_e(\xi = 0)}{N} - \frac{\gamma v^{4/3} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \xi^2}{8} \left( \langle \ell \rangle_0 + \frac{2}{T} \langle \Delta v^2 \rangle_0 \right) + o(\xi^2) \tag{10}
\]

\[
\ell \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j/(i,j)} \frac{S_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \tag{11}
\]

\[
\Delta v^2 \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_i [v_i^2 - \langle v_i \rangle^2] \tag{12}
\]

where \( \langle . . . \rangle_0 \) is a canonical average over the monodisperse system \( (\xi = 0) \), and \( \delta X \equiv X - \langle X \rangle \).

FIG. 3. Blue circles : Mean potential energy per particle as a function of \( \xi^2 \). The temperature is \( T = 2 \). The black line is the best linear fit with slope -242. Green triangles : \( (E_p - \frac{2}{3} E^{(a)}_p) \) as a function of \( \xi^2 \) for \( T = 2 \).

The expansion [10] is interesting in several ways. First, it shows that the polydispersity has only a modest impact on the average energy for low values of \( \xi \). In fig. 3 we plotted \( \langle E_p \rangle/N \) against \( \xi^2 \) (blue circles), together with a linear fit. The quality of the fit is striking until values of \( \xi \) as high as 0.4. Second, in this limit, the dependence with respect to the composition is explicitly given by the factor \( \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \) multiplying \( \xi^2 \), and shows a symmetry under an identity exchange between particles of different types (due to the fact that this exchange is exactly equivalent to make \( \xi \rightarrow -\xi \)). Third, [10] shows that the free energy dependence on polydispersity is quantitatively determined by two terms, coming from the second derivative of \( E_p \) with respect to \( \xi \) and fluctuations of the first derivative (term \( \propto \langle \Delta v^2 \rangle \)). Note that the limit \( T \rightarrow 0 \) is pathological within this formula, since it predicts a \( T^{-2} \) negative divergence of the excess entropy. However, at the lowest temperature tested \( (T = 0.85) \), the two terms are of the same order of magnitude \( \langle \ell \rangle = 4.8 \) and \( \frac{2}{T} \langle \Delta v^2 \rangle = 2.6 \).

A final comment concerns the triangles of fig. 3. It shows that, at the temperature \( T = 2.0 \), \( (E_p - E^{(a)}_p)/2 \) is almost independent of \( \xi \) in the range considered. Clearly, this is not an exact result, but it can be understood if one assumes \( \partial^2 E_p/\partial \xi^2 \) (cf. [10]) almost independent of \( \xi \) for the typical configurations (actually this quantity is a second order
polynomial in $\xi$. We checked numerically that this assumption is correct, by directly monitoring the $\xi$ dependence of $V_1$ of representative configurations of the fluid. The constancy of $C_v$ with respect to $\xi$ yields immediately that of $(E_p - E_p^{(a)}/2)$.

**B. Strong mixing**

An interesting corollary of the formula (3) is that the liquid will remain mixed whatever its composition. This property comes from the observation that a demixed polydisperse Voronoi fluid is, apart from the surface boundary between the two phases (and hence negligible at the thermodynamic limit), fully equivalent to the monodisperse fluid! This is because the Voronoi cell of a particle with a given radius, surrounded by identical particles, is independent of the value of that radius. As a result, one has at the thermodynamic limit, assuming $R_1 > R_2$:

$$F_e^{(\text{mixed})} - F_e^{(\text{unmixed})} = F_e(\xi) - F_e(\xi = 0) < 0$$

(13)

as shown in the preceding paragraph. As a result, the excess free energy of the unmixed state is always greater than that of the mixed state, and therefore cannot compensate the unmixing entropy cost which would be present in the ideal term.

Very close to the monodisperse state, the relation equivalent to (13) is

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_e}{\partial \xi^2} \bigg|_{\xi = 0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left( \frac{\partial E_p}{\partial \xi} \right) = -\frac{N \gamma v^{1/3} \alpha_1 \alpha_2}{4} \left( \langle \ell \rangle_0 + \frac{\gamma}{T} (\Delta v^2)_{0} \right) < 0$$

(14)

**C. Chemical potentials**

A third derivative of the free energy gives access to the chemical potential: the excess chemical potential of species 1 is given by

$$\mu_{e,1} = g_e + T \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \alpha_1} \right)_{x,\xi}$$

$$\alpha_2 = \mu_{e,2} + T \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \alpha_2} \right)_{x,\xi}$$

(15)

where $g_e = T \phi + P_e v$ is the Gibbs enthalpy per particle. As for the monodisperse system [4], a kind of “zero-separation theorem” applies here, namely a configuration with $N_1 - 1$ particles of type 1 can be obtained by superimposing two such particles on top of each other. The specificity of the present case comes from the three possibilities one has for this “Gedankenexperiment”: one can superimpose two type 1 particles, two type 2 particles, or a type 1 particle on a type 2 one. From the first two possibilities, one gets the relation [4]

$$\mu_j = k_B T \ln g_{jj}(r = 0) \text{ for } j \in \{1, 2\}$$

(16)

where $g_{jj}(r)$ is the radial distribution function of particles $j$ only. Recalling that we assume $R_1 > R_2$, the third option yields $\mu_2 = k_B T \ln g_{12}(r = 0)$. This asymmetry between the two types of particles comes from the fact that when superposing two different particles with different Voronoi-Laguerre radii, the tessellation is entirely given by the particle with the largest radius, the other one having an “empty” Voronoi cell. Unfortunately, these relations are of little use at low temperature where an effective repulsion between the particles prevents them from coming close to each other, and makes the vicinity of $r = 0$ statistically irrelevant for the $g_{ij}(r)$.

**D. Constant volume heat capacity and isothermal compressibility**

In terms of the scaling function $\phi$ (eq. (3)), one has from [21] for the excess constant volume heat capacity $C_v^e$:

$$C_v^e/N = -\partial_x [x^2 \partial_x \phi]$$

(17)

As the energy has the additive decomposition $E_p = E_p^{(m)} + E_p^{(a)}$, one can write $C_v = C_v^{(m)} + C_v^{(a)}$, but this decomposition loses track of the deep connection which holds between the excess heat capacity and energy fluctuations: Firstly $C_v^{(a)}$ is negative, secondly $C_v^{(m)}$ is not proportional to $\langle \delta E_p^{(m)}/2 \rangle$. The correct relations linking these partial capacities and fluctuations become $T^2 C_v^{(x)} = \langle [\delta E_p^{(x)}/2] \rangle + \langle \delta E_p^{(x)} \delta E_p^{(a)} \rangle$ (with $x \in \{a, m\}$).
In fig. 4 are plotted the two components $C_v^{(m)}$ and $C_v^{(a)}$ of the heat capacity as a function of $T$. Due to the fact that $E_p(T)$ is proportional to the (small) polydispersity parameter $\xi$, the “additional” contribution $C_v^{(a)}$ gives only a minor negative correction to the overall positive result. Both curves show a marked crossover between a high temperature regime and decreasing $T$ and excess part $-T\chi$ for the excess specific heat, where $\langle E_{IS} \rangle$ is the mean value of the IS energy at temperature $T$. This theoretical argument leads to the prediction

$$C_v = \frac{\partial \langle E_{IS} \rangle}{\partial T} + \frac{3N}{2} \frac{\langle E_{IS} \rangle}{T}$$

for the excess specific heat, where $\langle E_{IS} \rangle$ is the mean value of the IS energy at temperature $T$. In many cases [13], the configurational entropy is well described in the low $T$ regime by a quadratic formula, which implies that $\langle E_{IS} \rangle$ is linear in $1/T$: $\langle E_{IS} \rangle = C^i - N\sigma^2/T$. This would imply thus $C_v/N = \sigma^2/T^2 + 3/2$. This hypothesis is tested in the inset of fig. 4. Clearly, the agreement is not good. We postpone an analysis of this problem to a future publication, but preliminary results indicate that for our system, the configurational entropy is very satisfactorily described by a quadratic function (with $\sigma^2 \simeq 1$), whereas anharmonic terms seem to remain quite important even at low temperature.

Let us now consider the isothermal compressibility $\chi_T = -V^{-1}(\partial V/\partial P)_T$, or, more conveniently, the (zero-frequency) bulk modulus $\chi_T^{-1}$. Contrary to the compressibility, the bulk modulus splits into an ideal part $T/v$ and excess part $-V(\partial P_v/\partial V)_T$. For the binary Voronoi liquid, this part can be expressed as

$$\langle \chi_T^{-1} \rangle^{(c)} = -\frac{2}{3}P_v - \left(\frac{5}{3}\right)^2 \frac{TC^{(c)}}{V} + \frac{\gamma}{3}(R_1^2 - R_2^2) \left[ \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial V} \right]_{N,T} - \alpha_1 - \frac{5T}{3V} \left( \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial T} \right)_{N,V}$$

Quantitatively, the three terms of the right hand side of the last equation are quite different in the low temperature regime we are interested in. The last term is $O(\xi^2)$, strictly zero for the monodisperse fluid, therefore negligible in our system with a rather small polydispersity. The second term is quantitatively $\simeq -9$ for $T = 0.85$. The first term is $\simeq 150$, and thus is responsible for a low compressibility $\langle \chi_T T/v \rangle \simeq 6 \cdot 10^{-3}$ of the Voronoi fluid. This value for $\chi_T T/v$ is typically more than three times smaller than that of the simple fluids close to the triple point [14, 15].
E. Stress tensor

The stress tensor of this model could be a priori a complicated observable, due to the fact that the forces are not pairwise. In such cases, a systematic procedure [16] has been devised to get a bona fide microscopic stress tensor. This procedure would be rather involved for our model, but fortunately, a direct recognition of the stress tensor is possible.

To begin with, the very definition of the forces, namely vectors proportional to the local geometrical polarizations, together with the polyhedral nature of the Voronoi cells, allow a natural decomposition into a sum of pseudo-pair forces directed along the vectors joining two neighbouring particles. Indeed, a variant of the Green-Ostrogradski (GO) theorem yields

\[ \mathbf{F}_i = \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\Omega_i} d^3 \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega_i} d^2 \mathbf{S} \cdot [r^2 - R_i^2 + R_j^2] = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{S_{ij}} d^2 \mathbf{S} \cdot [r^2 - R_i^2 + R_j^2] \]

This decomposition of the total force \( \mathbf{F}_i \) as a sum of pair terms \( \mathbf{F}_{j \rightarrow i} \) verifies the properties expected from such a decomposition: one has \( \mathbf{F}_{j \rightarrow i} \) parallel to \( \mathbf{r}_{ij} \) and \( \mathbf{F}_{i \rightarrow j} = -\mathbf{F}_{j \rightarrow i} \) by a simple verification (the term proportional to \( R^2 - R_i^2 \), absent in the monodisperse system [3], is required here to ensure the latter antisymmetry). In other words, this pair force decomposition complies with the so-called strong third Newton law (the law of action-reaction with individual particles, even at very short distances. For a small polydispersity \( \xi \), one expects this property to hold as well. We can then envision the Voronoi liquid as being a fluid constantly under tension (thus with a strong negative pressure), the cavitation being prevented by an effective infinite surface tension (the work associated with the creation of an empty bubble of radius \( R \) is \( \gamma R^3 \), and that bubble is never mechanically equilibrated).

With the decomposition (20), it is tempting to define the (hydrodynamic) \( zz \) component of the excess stress tensor by

\[ \sigma_{zz}^e = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} \tilde{z}_{ij} \mathbf{F}_{i \rightarrow j, z} \]

where \( \tilde{z}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{e}_z - L_z [\mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{e}_z] / L_z \) is the \( z \) component of the vector \( \mathbf{r}_{ij} \) compliant with the minimum image convention ([\( z \)] holds here for the integer nearest to \( z \)), and \( \mathbf{F}_{i \rightarrow j, z} = \mathbf{F}_{i \rightarrow j} \cdot \mathbf{e}_z \). We showed in a preceding work [5] that the decomposition of the force to a sum of partial forces obeying the strong third Newton law does not guarantee that (21) is a correct expression for the \( zz \) component of the stress tensor. Fortunately, this is however true here, as shown in Appendix [12].

F. Hessian of the potential energy function

When the temperature of a glass-forming liquid is lowered to the mode-coupling temperature \( T_c \) or less, the relaxation dynamics becomes primarily activated, with the system oscillating for substantial period of times around a minimum of potential energy. This has been shown for instance in [17, 18], where the typical saddles close to which the system is located in course of time lose their negative directions for \( T < T_c \). As a result, the Hessian of the potential energy is an important observable to determine in this context. Fortunately, this is quite easy for the Voronoi fluid, see formula (B19). As a result, if \( \mathbf{r}_i^0 \) are the positions of a critical point of \( E_p(\{ \mathbf{r}_i \}_{i \in \{1, N\}}) \) (that is, an equilibrium point, stable or unstable (saddle)), we can write, for small displacements \( \delta \mathbf{r}_i \) from the critical point (we define also \( \delta \mathbf{r}_{ij} = \delta \mathbf{r}_j - \delta \mathbf{r}_i \))

\[ E_p(\mathbf{r}_i^0 + \delta \mathbf{r}_i) - E_p(\mathbf{r}_i^0) = -\frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \int_{S_{ij}} dS(\delta \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{r})(\delta \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{r}') \]

\[ = \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{i < j} S_{ij} \rho_{ij} \frac{\partial \phi}{r_{ij}} (\delta \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij})^2 - \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \int_{S_{ij}} dS(\delta \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{r}_j)^2 \]

where \( r_{ij} \) is the component of the vector \( \mathbf{r} \) spanning the facet \( S_{ij} \), parallel to that facet, and \( \mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r}_{ji} + \mathbf{r} \). From this formula, it is clear that the displacement of neighbouring pairs parallel to their axis enhances systematically the
energy, whereas those parallel to their common face decreases the energy. For a system with interactions described by a pair potential \( \phi(r) \), a similar formula exists:

\[
E_{\rho}(r_i^0 + \delta r_i) - E_{\rho}(r_i^0) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i<j} \phi''(r_{ij}) (\delta r_{ij})^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i<j} \frac{\phi'(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} (\delta r_{ij})^2
\]  

(24)

with \( \delta r_{ij} \) (resp. \( \delta r_{ij} \)) is the component of \( \delta r_{ij} \) parallel (resp. normal) to \( r_{ij} \). The terms in the right hand side of this expression are successively positive and negative for a repulsive potential. Therefore the structuration of the response of the potential energy of the Voronoi fluid near an equilibrium point is not very different from that of a repulsive pair potential: the elongational component of \( r_{ij} \) is associated with a positive increment in energy, the shearing component to a negative one. Beyond the expressions which are obviously different, one can notice that regarding the perpendicular (shearing) component, the pair potential is only sensitive to the modulus of that component, whereas the Voronoi fluid is also sensitive to its direction, ultimately a consequence of the multibody nature of the potential.

Finally, a last comment concerns the quantitative balance between the two terms of the right hand sides of (24) and (24). If \( \phi(r) \propto r^{-n} \), the first term of (24) is typically \( n + 1 \) times larger than the second, provided \( \delta r_{ij} \parallel \sim \delta r_{ij} \perp \). For the Voronoi liquid, a rough estimation using the arguments given at the end of Appendix (B2) shows that the first term is roughly 16 times larger than the second (with the same proviso as before). Compared to the pair potential case, it would correspond to a stiff pair potential fluid with \( \phi(r) \sim r^{-15} \) and an overlapping density. This is in line with the very low compressibility observed.

IV. STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES

A. Radial distribution functions

The simplest characterization of the microscopic structure is provided by the radial distribution function (rdf) \( g(r) = \langle v/N \rangle \sum_{i \neq j} \delta(r - r_{ij}) \rangle \), which can be split into \( g(r) = \alpha_1^2 g_{11}(r) + (1 - \alpha_1)^2 g_{22}(r) + 2 \alpha_1 (1 - \alpha_1) g_{12}(r) \) with \( g_{ab}(r) = V/(N_a N_b) \sum_{i \neq j} \delta(r - r_{ij}) \rangle \) where the primed sum is restricted to particles \( i \) being of type \( a \) and particles \( j \) being of type \( b \). This defines the partial rdf \( g_{ab}(r) \), which are plotted in fig. 5 for the temperature \( T = 0.85 \). In the inset, the total \( g(r) \) is shown for the temperatures \( T = 0.85, 1.00, 2.00 \). The inset shows a usual temperature dependence of the \( g(r) \), that is, a progressive structuration of \( g(r) \) on cooling, with a growth of the first peak and a small shoulder at the second peak, characteristic of the dense random packing [19]. The partial rdfs are slightly

![FIG. 5. Radial distribution functions of the Voronoi fluid. Main: for \( T = 0.85 \) and \( N = 8000 \), \( g_{11}(r) \) (solid black), \( g_{22}(r) \) = \( g_{21}(r) \) (dashed purple) and \( g_{22}(r) \) (dash-dotted light blue). Inset: \( g(r) \) for temperatures \( T = 0.85 \) (solid blue), \( T = 1.00 \) (dashed green) and \( T = 2.00 \) (dash-dotted red).]
shifted with respect to each other, which reflects both the slight degree of polydispersity, and the high degree of mixing. Generally speaking, the salient features of the rdfs are more pronounced in the partial rdf than in the total one, because the average nature of the \( g(r) \) and the dephasing causes a smoothing of their features: One sees for instance that the first peak’s height is higher for the three partial rdfs than for \( g(r) \), and the shoulder of the second peak is also more pronounced in each partial rdf.

The analysis of the shifts of the \( g_{ab}(r) \) with respect to each other illustrates the collective nature of the thermodynamic equilibrium: We have already stressed the fact that the “direct” interaction of two neighbouring identical particles, that is, roughly speaking, the position of the dividing facet common to their Voronoi cells, is insensitive to the natural radius of these particles. As a result, the fact that two neighbouring large particles are typically farther apart than two neighbouring small particles (see the relative positions of the first peaks of \( g_{11}(r) \) (large particles) and \( g_{22}(r) \) (small particles) in fig. 3) results from an overall balance of influences over the whole cell: If one considers for instance a large particle, the neighbouring small particles locally enlarge the Voronoi cell with respect to what it would be in an environment of large particles only. The pressure equilibration thus displaces the mean position of the large particle within its cell with respect to a neighbourhood of large particles, away from the large particles, closer to the small ones. A corresponding argument explains the contraction of the typical neighbouring small particles. As a result of this pressure balance, it is worth noting that the loci of the maxima of \( g \) are related to the total structure factor by $(0.371)^2$, not far from the $a$ priori value $\xi = (0.375)^2$. These effective diameters are apparently additive, in the sense that the maximum position of \( g_{12} \) is equal to the average of the positions of the maxima of \( g_{11} \) and \( g_{22} \) (within 2%). We prove this result in Appendix C, in the limit of small polydispersities ($\xi \ll 1$). It is shown there also that it is not a consequence of the special ratio $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1/2$ considered in this work, and that this property would hold true whatever the value of $\alpha_1$. Moreover, this “equidistribution” of the first maximum of the $g_{ab}$ extends actually to all extrema, and one can even show that this remarkable property holds also for the extrema of the structure factors $S_{ab}(k)$.

B. Structure factors

The partial static structure factors (SFs) $S_{aa}(k) = N_a^{-1} \langle \rho_a(-k)\rho_a(k) \rangle$ and $S_{ab} = S_{ba} = (N_a N_b)^{-1/2} \langle \rho_a(-k)\rho_b(k) \rangle$, where $\rho_a = \sum_{j/R_i=R_a} \exp(ik \cdot r_j)$ is the Fourier component of the density field for the particle of type $a$ ($a = 1, 2$), are related to the total structure factor by $S(k) = \alpha_1 S_{11}(k) + (1 - \alpha_1) S_{22}(k) + 2\sqrt{\alpha_1(1 - \alpha_1)} S_{12}(k)$. In fig. 4 are shown the SFs for $T = 0.85$. Interestingly, whereas the rdfs $g_{11}$ and $g_{22}$ seem approximately related to each other by

![FIG. 6. Static structure factors of the Voronoi fluid. Main: For $T = 0.85$ and $N = 8000$, $S_{11}(k)$ (solid black), $S_{22}(k)$ (dash-dotted light blue), $S(k)$ (thin solid ultramarine). The red circles show the “random Ansatz” $S_{11}(k) = \alpha_1 S_{\text{mono}}(k) + (1 - \alpha_1)$ (see text for details). Inset: $S_{12}(k)$ for $T = 0.85$ (solid purple), and the random Ansatz $S_{12}^\alpha(k) = \sqrt{\alpha_1(1 - \alpha_1)} S_{\text{mono}}(k) - 1$.](image-url)
an approximate shift of their axes, the SFs $S_{11}$ and $S_{22}$, which convey the same overall information, are well different. More precisely, if the large particles have a partial SF reminiscent of a monodisperse compressible fluid (with however a atypically smooth crossover between microscopic and macroscopic length scales), the small ones have a partial SF somewhat different, with a first peak closely associated to a dip, and a secondary mild maximum at $\sim k_0/2$ (where $k_0$ is the abscissa of the first peak of $S(k)$). If it is difficult to ascribe precisely these differences to a well-defined physical origin, it is however allowed to say that the organization of the large particles is less perturbed by the polydispersity, in the following sense: If, invoking the weak polydispersity, one assumes that the substructure of the large particles can be envisioned as a substructure obtained from the cold monodisperse Voronoi fluid [3] having a structure factor $S_{\text{mono}}(k)$ (we took the structure factor for the coldest temperature of the metastable liquid, corresponding here to $T = 1$), by picking at random $N_{\alpha 1}$ particles within each configuration, one would have for $S_{aa}(k)$ the “random Ansatz” $S_{aa}(k) = a_\alpha S_{\text{mono}}(k) + 1 - a_\alpha$, and $S_{12}(k) = \sqrt{\alpha_1(1 - \alpha_1)S_{\text{mono}}(k) - 1}$ for $S_{12}(k)$.

The random Ansatz $S_{11}^N$ and $S_{22}^N$ are shown as red circles in fig. [1] One can see that the Ansatz $S_{12}^N(k)$ is rather good, indicating that as far as cross correlations are considered, the picture of a random distribution of $\alpha_1 N$ large particles among the total population of particles is sensible.

As regards $S_{11}^N(k)$, it can be equally compared to the real curves for $S_{11}(k)$ and $S_{22}(k)$, since in our equimolar mixture $S_{11}(k) = S_{22}(k)$. If one considers first the wavenumbers around or larger than the first structural peak, one sees that $S_{11}(k)$ and $S_{11}(k)$ are semi-quantitatively similar (a shift of the minima and maxima can be observed, it corresponds to the already mentioned extension of the typical distances between type 1 particles), and certainly closer to each other than $S_{22}(k)$ and $S_{22}(k)$. It is difficult to go beyond this qualitative discussion, which however shows that the distribution of the large particles is less perturbed by the polydispersity than the small particles.

The intermediate-to-small $k$ domain is different: Clearly, the “random Ansatz” is incorrect for both small and large particles. This shows that the repartition of large particles beyond the first coordination shell is not that of a random mixing. This can be traced back to the $g(r)$. The rdf of the monodisperse fluid at the coldest temperature $T = 1$ is very close to the total one of the binary fluid for $T = 0.85$, in particular the monodisperse $g(r)$ does not show the pronounced shoulder of the second peak of the partial rdfs, which evidence this additional, beyond-the-first-shell ordering.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have presented a new model of polydisperse fluid, based on a generalization of the so-called Voronoi-Laguerre tessellation of the configuration, an “intrinsic radius” associated to each particle modifying the tessellation and making the fluid effectively binary. We have presented a structural study of a 50:50 mixture of binary particles characterized by a polydispersity parameter $\xi = \sqrt{R_1^2 - R_2^2}/v^{2/3} = 0.14$, in the moderately low temperature range $T \in [0.85, 2.0]$ (a preliminary study indicates that the mode-coupling temperature of this model is around $T_c \sim 0.80$). The thermodynamics of this model has been studied via the equation of state, which shows a strong negative excess pressure. We recovered this result by finding an explicit expression for the microscopic stress tensor, which makes it clear that the fluid is constantly under tension (notice that no gas phase can coexist with the liquid [4]). By studying the temperature and $\xi$ dependences of the mean potential energy in the $NVT$ ensemble, we have been able to show rigorously that the convexity properties of the excess free energy with respect to $\xi$ imply a thermodynamic impossibility for the binary Voronoi fluid to phase separate. As regards the second order thermodynamic coefficients, the computation of the excess heat capacity at constant volume has a particularly high value $C_v^\text{ex} \sim 3-4$ that might be related to an unusually large value of the variance of the configurational entropy at low temperature [20]. Furthermore, the compressibility is low, of order $10^{-2} - 10^{-3}(v/T)$, the excess bulk modulus being mainly $\sim -P_c$. We finally studied the microscopic structural observables, namely the partial radial distribution functions and structure factors, to show the short-range liquid structure of the binary Voronoi liquid, with a unique additivity property at low values of $\xi$.

In future work, we will study the dynamics of this model, with a particular emphasis on the glass transition scenario. We have shown in [21] that the dynamical properties of the Voronoi liquid could be quite different from that of ordinary liquids. It is interesting to see to what extent deviations with respect to the “usual” behaviour could also show up in the binary Voronoi liquid. For instance, the mode-coupling theory, in its usual presentation, does not a priori preclude fluids with many-body interactions from its scope. On the other hand, Zaccarelli et al. [21] have shown that the MCT can be recovered from systematic Gaussian approximations on the Newtonian equations for the dynamics of density modes, provided the interactions are pairwise additive. As a result, testing the predictive efficiency of the MCT in a system with many-body interactions is an interesting point, since it could help narrowing the validity conditions of this theory. Another point which this paper raises is related to the quite high excess heat capacity. This feature of the model points to a large value of the variance $\sigma^2$ of the configurational entropy [20], a quantity which may be related
to the dynamics through the Adams-Gibbs picture. A model where $\sigma^2$ would show a marked contrast with respect to the usual models would be interesting in a comparative study.

**Appendix A: Computation of the forces**

In this Appendix, we show how the formula (2) is derived from the definition of the potential energy (1). First, one defines the local energy

$$e_i = \int_{v_i} d^3r (r^2 - R_i^2 + R^2)$$

which can be recast

$$e_i = \int d\Omega L_i(n)^2 \left( \frac{1}{5} L_i(n)^2 + \frac{1}{3} (R^2 - R_i^2) \right)$$

where $d\Omega$ is an elementary solid angle around a unit vector $n$ and $L_i(n)$ is the distance from $i$ to the boundary of its Voronoi cell in the direction $n$ [22, 23].

A facet of the Voronoi-Laguerre tessellation shared by cells $i$ and $j$ is located by definition at a distance $\rho_{ij} r_{ij}$ (resp. $\rho_{ji} r_{ji}$) from particle $i$ (resp. $j$), and normal to $r_{ij}$.

These considerations assume that $\rho_{ij} > 0$ and $\rho_{ji} > 0$. This is no longer true if the distance of particles with different radii is $r_{ij}^2 \leq R_i^2 - R_j^2$. The limiting case ($r_{ij}^2 = R_i^2 - R_j^2$) is shown in Fig. [7]. This leads to complications in the structure of the Voronoi cells (for instance, particles can be outside their own cell, or have no cell at all), and weakens considerably our interpretation of the natural radii as excluded volumes. As a result, we will consider only such situations where temperature and density are not too high, such that for all neighbouring particles $i$ and $j$, we always have $|R_i^2 - R_j^2| < r_{ij}^2$.

If $i \neq j$, one has

$$\nabla_i e_j = \int d\Omega L_j(n)^2 \left( L_j(n)^2 + R^2 - R_j^2 \right) \nabla_i L_j(n)$$

This is zero if cells $i$ and $j$ do not have a common boundary, otherwise we have

$$L_j(n)^{-1} \nabla_i L_j(n) = - \frac{r_{ij} \rho_{ij}}{\rho_{ji} r_{ij}^2} - \frac{r_{ij} \rho_{ji}}{\rho_{ji} r_{ij}^2}$$
where $r_{ij}$ is the component of $L_j(n)n$ parallel to the dividing facet $S_{ij}$ between cells $i$ and $j$. One gets the following formula:

$$\nabla \epsilon_{ij} = -\frac{1}{r_{ij}} \int_{S_{ij}} dS(r_{ij} \rho_{ij} + r_{ij})(r_{ij}^2 \rho_{ij}^2 + r_{ij}^2 + R^2 - R_i^2)$$

(A7)

Using the global translation invariance, one can write $-\nabla_i E_p = -\frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} [\nabla_i \epsilon_{ij} - \nabla_j \epsilon_{ji}]$. From $r_{ij}^2 \rho_{ij}^2 - R_i^2 = r_{ij}^2 \rho_{ij}^2 - R_i^2$, one sees that the components proportional to $r_{ij}$ vanish, and we can write

$$-\nabla_i E_p = \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} r_{ij} \int_{S_{ij}} dS \left( r_{ij}^2 \rho_{ij}^2 + r_{ij}^2 + R^2 - \rho_{ij} R_i^2 - \rho_{ij} R_i^2 \right)$$

(A8)

$$= \frac{\gamma}{2} \oint dS \left( r_{ij}^2 + r_{ij}^2 + R^2 - R_i^2 \right)$$

(A9)

The integral involving $R^2 - R_i^2$ yields zero, and one recognizes that the remaining is the surface integral of the function $r^2$, whence $F_i = \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{V_i} d^3 r \nabla (r^2) = \gamma \int_{V_i} d^3 r = \gamma \tau_i$, i.e. the force is proportional to the cell polarization. In particular, the total polarization $\sum_i \tau_i$ is zero. It is worth noting that this property is intimately related to the Laguerre-Voronoi generalization of the Voronoi tessellation, and that any other generalized tessellation with natural radii would fail to conserve the total polarization.

**Appendix B: Pressure and stress tensor**

1. **Equation of state**

For $P_v V = -5U_e/3 + (2NT\xi/3)(\partial \phi/\partial \xi)$, we give here a microscopic formula allowing a numerical computation of the excess pressure. It is convenient to define respectively the “main” $E_p^{(m)}$ and “additional” $E_p^{(a)} = E_p - E_p^{(m)}$ parts (with some arbitrariness in the denominator) of the potential by

$$E_p^{(m)} = \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_i \int_{V_i} d^3 r \ r^2$$

(B1)

$$E_p^{(a)} = \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_i \int_{V_i} d^3 r \ (R^2 - R_i^2) = \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_i \nu_i (R^2 - R_i^2)$$

(B2)

We have using standard arguments:

$$\frac{NT}{\xi} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \right)_{T,V,N,N_1} \equiv \left\langle \left( \frac{\partial E_p}{\partial \xi} \right) \right\rangle$$

(B3)

where the last derivative assumes fixed positions of particles in the formula (1). A computation along lines similar to that of the preceding Appendix gives

$$\left( \frac{\partial E_p}{\partial \xi} \right)_r = E_p^{(a)}/\xi = \frac{\gamma \nu_i^{2/3}/2}{2} (V_2 \alpha_1 - V_1 \alpha_2)$$

(B4)

where $V_\alpha = \sum_{i \in R_\alpha} \nu_i$ and we recall that $\alpha_2 = 1 - \alpha_1 = N_2/N$. As a result, from (5), we have for the average potential energy and pressure the following relations:

$$\langle E_p \rangle = \langle E_p^{(m)} \rangle + \langle E_p^{(a)} \rangle$$

(B5)

$$P_v = T - \frac{5}{3} \frac{\langle E_p^{(m)} \rangle}{N} - \frac{\langle E_p^{(a)} \rangle}{N}$$

(B6)

2. **Stress tensor, bulk and shear moduli**

We show here the (nontrivial) fact that the stress tensor is given by the classical relation (21) with (20) as the pair decomposition. Actually, for an arbitrary potential energy under periodic boundary conditions, the correct expression
FIG. 8. Variations of the bulk \((K_{\infty}, \text{ blue circles})\) and shear \((G_{\infty}, \text{ green triangles})\) moduli, normalized by the ideal gas shear modulus \(G_{\infty}^0 = T/v\), with temperature. Dashed line : corresponding quantities for the monodisperse Voronoi fluid.

for the \(zz\) component of the stress tensor is \[ \sigma_{zz}^e = -L_z \frac{\partial e_p}{\partial L_z} - \sum_i z_i \frac{\partial e_p}{\partial z_i} \] (B7)

Using the translation invariance, the right hand side of this expression is readily transformed into \(- \sum_{i, j \neq i} z_{ij}(\partial e_i/\partial z_{ij})\) where the tilde stands for the minimum image convention and \(e_i = \frac{1}{2} \int_{v_i} d^3 r (r^2 - R_i^2 + R^2)\) is the “local” energy, which is an implicit function of the \(\{r_{ik}\}_{k \neq i}\). From (A2), one gets readily (we omit the tilde over distance \(s\), but the minimum image convention is implied everywhere)

\[ \frac{\partial e_i}{\partial z_{ij}} = -\frac{\gamma}{2r_{ij}} \int_{S_{ij}} dS [r^2 + R^2 - R_i^2] z' \] (B8)

where \(r\) (resp. \(r'\)) is a running vector, starting from particle \(i\) (resp. \(j\)) and spanning the dividing surface \(S_{ij}\) between cells of \(i\) and \(j\) (if these cells are not contiguous, the derivative is obviously zero). Notice that \(r \neq r'\) if \(R_i \neq R_j\). We have subsequently :

\[ - \sum_{i, j \neq i} z_{ij} \frac{\partial e_i}{\partial z_{ij}} = \frac{\gamma}{4} \sum_{i, j \neq i} \frac{z_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \int dS \left\{ [r^2 + R^2 - R_i^2] z' - [r'^2 + R^2 - R_j^2] z \right\} \] (B9)

\[ = \frac{\gamma}{4} \sum_{i, j \neq i} \frac{z_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \int dS \left\{ [\rho_{ij} r_{ij}^2 + r_{ij}^2 + R^2 - R_i^2] (\rho_{ij} z_{ij} + z_j) - [\rho_{ij} r_{ij}^2 + r_{ij}^2 + R^2 + R_i^2] (\rho_{ij} z_{ij} + z_j) \right\} \] (B10)

\[ = -\frac{\gamma}{4} \sum_{i, j \neq i} \frac{z_{ij}^2}{r_{ij}} \int dS \left\{ r_{ij}^2 \rho_{ij} + r_{ij}^2 + R^2 - R_i^2 \rho_{ij} - R_i^2 \rho_{ij} \right\} \] (B11)

\[ = -\frac{\gamma}{4} \sum_{i, j \neq i} \frac{z_{ij}^2}{r_{ij}} \int dS \left\{ r_{ij}^2 - R_i^2 + R^2 \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j \neq i} z_{ij} F_{j \rightarrow i, z} \] (B12)

where \(r_{ij} = r - \rho_{ij} r_{ij} = r' - \rho_{ij} r_{ij}\) is the component of both \(r\) and \(r'\) parallel to the facet \(S_{ij}\). A quite remarkable and useful explicit expression for \(\sigma_{zz}^e\) can be obtained: If, in (B8), \(z'\) is replaced by \(z_{ij} + z\), one gets, using also (B12), that

\[ \sigma_{zz}^e = -\frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_i \int dS \cdot e_z [r^2 + R^2 - R_i^2] (r \cdot e_z) \] (B13)
The infinite-frequency bulk modulus is defined by \( K_\infty = \chi_T^{-1} + (9VT)^{-1}(\delta[\sigma_{xx} + \sigma_{yy} + \sigma_{zz}]^2) \), where \( \chi_T \) is the isothermal compressibility. We have from (13) (using the GO theorem):

\[
\sigma^a_{xx} + \sigma^a_{yy} + \sigma^a_{zz} = -\frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_i \int_\Omega d^3r \frac{3r^2}{2} + 3(R^2 - R_i^2) = -5E_p^{(m)} - 3E_p^{(a)}
\]  

(B14)

This result is checked by taking the average of the both sides. We recover the already known relation \( 3P_e v = -5(E_p^{(m)}) - 3(E_p^{(a)}) \), where \( P_e \) is the excess pressure (see [16]). Taking into account the kinetic part of the stress leads to

\[
K_\infty - \chi_T^{-1} = \frac{2T}{3v} + \frac{1}{VT} \left( \frac{5}{3} E_p^{(m)} + E_p^{(a)} \right)^2
\]  

(B15)

If one considers an infinitesimal triaxial compression of the fluid, one gets a formula for \( \chi_T^{-1} \) which compared to the preceding expression, yields the exact relation,

\[
K_\infty = \frac{5T}{3v} + \frac{10}{9V}(E_p^{(m)})
\]  

(B16)

In a fluid, the thermal equilibrium is isotropic. As a result, one can show [6] that \( (\delta\sigma_{zz}^2) = VT[K_\infty - \chi_T^{-1} + \frac{1}{3}G_\infty] \), where the shear modulus \( G_\infty = (VT)^{-1}(\sigma_{yy}^2) \) is associated to the nondiagonal element fluctuations of the shear stress. As shown in [7], a non-fluctuating expression for \( G_\infty \) can be written for a large system, which is

\[
G_\infty = T/v + \frac{1}{2V} \left( \sum_{i,j\neq i} \frac{\partial F_{xx}}{\partial x_i} y^{2}_{ij} \right)
\]  

(B17)

On using again the isotropy properties of the liquid, we get a generalized Cauchy relation [22], valid whichever the interactions between particles, provided they are short-ranged [5] :

\[
\frac{5}{3} G_\infty + \frac{1}{2} K_\infty = \frac{5T}{2v} + \frac{1}{6V} \left( \sum_{i,j} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial F_{xx}}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial F_{yy}}{\partial y_i} + \frac{\partial F_{zz}}{\partial z_i} \right) \right)
\]  

(B18)

This relation gives the well-known one [22] \( \frac{5}{3} G_\infty = K_\infty - 2(P - T/v) \) if pair interactions are considered. If not, it is the most isotropic expression linking \( G_\infty \) and \( K_\infty \) and valid under any circumstances within a fluid.

We now use this expression to give an explicit expression, not involving a variance of stress components, for \( G_\infty \) for our binary Voronoi fluid. The Hessian matrix element \( \partial^2 E_p / (\partial r_{\alpha} \partial r_{\beta}) \) can be simply calculated along lines very similar to those in Appendix A. The result is either 0 if \( i \) and \( j \) are not neighbours, otherwise

\[
\frac{\partial F_{i,\beta}}{\partial r_{j,\alpha}} = -\frac{\gamma}{r_{ij}} \int S_{ij} dS \frac{r_i r'_\beta r'_\alpha}{r_{ij}}
\]  

(B19)

where, as before, \( r \) (resp. \( r' \)) is a vector starting from \( i \) (resp. \( j \)) and spanning the dividing surface \( S_{ij} \); These vectors are related by \( r' = r_j + r \). Notice that \( \partial F_{i,\beta} / \partial r_{j,\alpha} \) is not required here, but could be obtained from the translation invariance : \( \partial F_{i,\beta} / \partial r_{j,\alpha} = -\sum_{j \neq i} \partial F_{i,\beta} / \partial r_{j,\alpha} \). From (B18), we get \( S_{ij} \) is the area of the facet common to the cells \( i \) and \( j \), if it exists, or zero otherwise.

\[
G_\infty = T/v - \frac{4}{3V}(E_p^{(m)}) + \frac{\gamma}{20V} \left( \sum_{i < j} \left[ 1 + \frac{(R_i^2 - R_j^2)^2}{r_{ij}} \right] S_{ij} r_{ij}^2 \right)
\]  

(B20)

This result shows that \( G_\infty \), when expressed as an expression not involving a variance of stress, comes as a difference between two positive terms. It is interesting to note that within this expression, the positivity of \( G_\infty - T/v \) is far from obvious. One can also give another form to \( G_\infty \) by splitting the running vectors \( r \) going from \( i \) to the facets into \( r = r_{||} r_{ij} + r_{\parallel} \) where \( r_{||} \) is the component parallel to the facet:

\[
G_\infty = \frac{T}{v} + \frac{\gamma}{60} \left( \sum_{i < j} r_{ij} \left( S_{ij} r_{ij}^2 - 3S_{ij} r_{ij}^{-2}(R_i^2 - R_j^2)^2 - 8 \int_{S_{ij}} dS \frac{r_{ij}^2}{r_{ij}} \right) \right)
\]  

(B21)
We see that $G_{\infty} - T/v$ is the sum of one positive term involving globally the distance $r_{ij}$ and the surface of the facet, corrected by two negative terms, one involving explicitly the polydispersity ($\propto \xi^2$ which is quite small if the polydispersity factor $\xi$ is small), the other accounting for geometrical details of the facets. Very roughly, the positivity of $G_{\infty}$ (for small polydispersity) can be understood by the fact that one has typically $\int_{S_{ij}} dS r_{ij}^2 \sim \frac{1}{2} S_{ij} r_0^2$, where $r_0$ is the typical radius of a facet. Therefore the positivity of $G_{\infty} - T/v$ is roughly checked if $r_{ij} > 2r_0$. A typical cell has a radius $\sim r_{ij} / 2$ and $\sim 12 - 14$ faces, therefore the typical aperture of the solid angle under which a face is seen is $\theta_0$ such that $1 - \cos(\theta_0) \sim 1/7$, thus $\theta_0 \sim \pi/6$. As a result $r_0 \sim \sin(\theta_0) r_{ij} / 2 \sim r_{ij} / 4$, thus the condition $r_{ij} > 2r_0$ is amply fulfilled for nearly spherical Voronoi cells. But this quick argument must not hide the fact that the positivity of $G_{\infty} - T/v$ is always guaranteed by (B17), whatever the polydispersity and the temperature.

Appendix C: Evolution of the rdf maxima with polydispersity

Let us term $r_{ab}^*$ ($a, b \in \{1, 2\}$) the location of the first maximum of $g_{ab}(r)$ defined in section IV A. When $\xi \to 0$, the monodisperse fluid is recovered and $r_{ab}^* = r_0^*$ is independent of $a$ and $b$. For small but nonzero $\xi$, one writes thus $r_{ab}^* = r_0^* + \delta r_{ab}^*$, and the purpose of this section is to compute and to compare the $\delta r_{ab}^*$ in this limit. The discussion is not limited to the particular case $\alpha_1 = 1/2$ considered otherwise in this work.

The definition of $r_{ab}^*$ allows to write, at the lowest order:

$$g'_{ab}(r_{ab}^*) = 0 \Rightarrow \delta r_{ab}^* = -\frac{\delta g'_{ab}(r_0^*)}{g''_0(r_0^*)}$$ \hspace{1cm} (C1)

where $g_0(r)$ is the rdf of the monodisperse system and $\delta g_{ab} = g_{ab} - g_0$, i.e. the variation of $g_{ab}$ when the polydispersity parameter is switched from 0 to $\xi$. From the microscopic definition of $g_{ab}(r)$ and the formula (B) $\partial E_p / \partial \xi = \frac{\gamma v^{2/3}}{2} (V \alpha_1 - V_1)$, one gets

$$\delta g_{ab}(r) = \frac{\beta \gamma v^{2/3} V \xi}{2} \langle \delta(r - r_{ij})[V_1 - (V_1)_0] \rangle_0$$ \hspace{1cm} (C2)

with the important precision that $i$ (resp. $j$) is a particle of type $a$ (resp. $b$). The subscript “0” stands for a canonical average with the monodisperse energy $\xi = 0$. This formula is puzzling at first sight, since a reference to type “1” or type “2” is made within an average over monodisperse configurations where the particles have so to speak lost
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Actually, one could even go one step further, since it can be shown that the facets \( S_{ij} \) are second order polynomials of \( \xi \). The expressions become however involved.
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