Prognostic Analysis of Thymoma-Associated Myasthenia Gravis (MG) in Chinese Patients and Its Implication of MG Management: Experiences from a Tertiary Hospital
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Background: Myasthenia gravis (MG) is an autoimmune neuromuscular disorder predominantly mediated by antibodies against the acetylcholine receptor (AChR). Approximately 10–20% of all MG patients experience thymoma (benign tumor arising from thymus tissue), and thymectomy has been the standard of care for thymomatus myasthenia gravis (TMG). However, the clinical outcome of TMG after thymectomy has not been sufficiently studied, especially the long-term prognosis. Therefore, the aim of this study was to analyze the clinical characteristics contributing to the prognostic factors of TMG.

Methods: We reviewed 70 TMG patients in the Xiangya Hospital and classified them into the minimal manifestation (MM) group and No MM group, according to the long-term treatment outcome. MM-or-better status was defined as the goal treatment for TMG patients. We collected and analyzed the demographic data, the WHO classification of thymoma, MG-associated antibody levels, disease severity, treatment-related data as well as clinical outcome at six months. Variables selected by univariate analysis were used in the multivariate logistic regression model to identify the prognostic factors.

Results: The differences in clinical outcome at six months and worst QMGS were significant, while the differences in other factors were insignificant between groups. Clinical outcome at six months (OR=23.5 95% CI 2.4–231.5, P=0.007) and dyspnea before thymectomy (OR=0.2, 95% CI 0.03–0.75, P=0.021) were identified as the prognostic factors of long-term treatment.

Conclusion: Demographic and clinical features were similar in TMG patients treated at our hospital. The early achievement of MM-or-better status may indicate a good outcome in the long term. Dyspnea before thymectomy appears to associate with a poor prognosis.
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Introduction
Myasthenia gravis (MG) is an autoimmune neuromuscular disorder predominantly mediated by antibodies against the acetylcholine receptor (AChR). Approximately 10–20% of MG patients have thymoma and 30% of thymoma patients have a secondary MG. Thymoma originates from thymic epithelial cells within the thymic gland and can be categorized by the World Health Organization (WHO) classification based on histological findings. The WHO classification categorizes thymoma into five types, type A, AB, B1, B2, B3, and C. Thymectomy has been
recommended as the first-line treatment of thymoma. Attenuation in MG symptoms has been reported after surgical resection.\(^6\) Post-operative radiotherapy was once considered necessary for increasing survival rate and preventing local recurrence of thymoma, typically for patients with advanced stages of the disease. However, a few studies demonstrated that adjuvant radiation after complete tumor resection does not reduce recurrent rates nor improve the survival rate of Masaoka stage 2 thymoma.\(^7,8\) Furthermore, irradiation-related exacerbation of MG in TMG patients has been observed. A long duration of MG as well as severe MG before radiotherapy were indicated as the risk factors for these exacerbations.\(^9,10\) In the present study, we aimed to analyze and summarize the demographic and clinical characteristics of our TMG patients to determine the prognostic factors of long-term MG treatment outcomes. The outcome of this study may be helpful for clinical management of TMG patients in the future.

**Methods**

**Patients and Data Collection**

Records from MG patients who were treated at Xiangya Hospital from July 2013 to July 2019 in the Clinical Neurology department were retrospectively reviewed. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Xiangya Hospital and conducted in accordance with the ethical standards put forth in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. The certificate number is 201703107. Due to the retrospective design and lack of study-related interventions, no consent to participate was obtained. This manuscript does not contain patient data.

The inclusion criteria are: (1) confirmed diagnosis of MG and thymoma; (2) follow up at least 12 months after diagnosis; and (3) comprehensive demographic and clinical data. The diagnosis of MG was confirmed through typical clinical manifestations, positive edrophonium-testing, electrophysiological recordings consistent with impaired neuromuscular transmission on repetitive nerve stimulation and/or increased jitter single-fiber electromyography (sfEMG) and positive test for specific autoantibodies, the neurologist would be consulted if necessary. Thymoma was confirmed by post-operative histopathological diagnosis. Patients with post-thymectomy MG, severe cardiovascular diseases, other malignant tumors, and type C thymoma (thymic carcinoma) were excluded. We included a total of 70 TMG patients in this study.

The following demographic data were collected: sex, age at disease onset, age at thymectomy, time between MG onset and thymectomy, disease duration, E-L classification (early-onset MG with onset age ≤ 50 or late-onset MG with onset age > 50). We also included the status of MG-associated antibodies, anti-AChR Ab, anti-muscle-specific tyrosine kinase antibody (anti-MuSK) Ab, anti-ryanodine receptor antibody (anti-RyR Ab), and anti-Titin Ab. In our center, patient serum samples were routinely sent to the DAAN Clinical Laboratory Central in Guangzhou, China to detect antibody levels. Due to the high cost of testing, 41 patient samples were tested in the DAAN central, and some of the patients had their antibody levels tested in other institutions before coming to our center. Thus, we only analyzed the antibody results of patients from the DAAN central. According to the center, antibodies against AChR, RyR, and titin were tested with ELISA, while the anti-MuSK Ab was tested by ELISA before October 2017 and with radioimmunoassay after. The antibodies were regarded as positive if anti-AChR Ab > 0.45 nmol/L, anti-MuSK Ab > 9.5 pmol/L before October 2017 or > 0.05 nmol/L after, anti-RyR Ab > 900 ng/mL, and anti-titin Ab > 187 pg/mL.

Clinical data included: the WHO classification of thymoma, treatment of MG before and after thymectomy, time between onset and treatment of MG, time between surgery and MG treatment, post-operative irradiation as an adjuvant therapy, Osserman’s classification and MG symptoms before and after surgery, worst quantitative myasthenia gravis score (QMGS) after thymectomy, clinical outcome at six months, and treatment outcome by the last visit. In this study, minimal manifestation (MM) status or better was defined as the treatment goal. The myasthenia gravis foundation of America (MGFA) classified post-intervention status (PIS) as complete stable remission (CSR), pharmacologic remission (PR), minimal manifestation (MM), improved (I), unchanged (U), worse (W), exacerbation (E) and died of MG (D of MG).\(^11\) Patients were separated into the “MM group” or “No MM group” according to the treatment outcome at the last visit. Osserman’s classification, MG symptoms, and worst QMGSs were the indexes of MG severity.

**Statistical Analysis**

We compared demographic and therapeutic parameters between groups with Student's t test when the data were normally distributed or the Mann–Whitney test when the data were not normally distributed and Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variable as appropriate.
Univariate analysis was used to select the potential prognostic factors of treatment outcome. The factors with a P-value of <0.05 in the univariate analysis were then used in a multivariate logistic regression model to estimate the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). A P-value of <0.05 was regarded as significant. We also calibrated the model by comparing the predicted and observed risk and calculating the Hosmer–Lemeshow and C statistic.\textsuperscript{12,13}

All continuous data were reported as mean ± SD (standard deviation) or median (range), and categorical variables were expressed as counts and proportions. A two-tailed P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data analysis was carried out using SPSS version 21.0 software (IBM, Armonk, New York).

Results

Demographic Characteristics

A flowchart of patient inclusion is presented in Figure 1. In total, 70 TMG patients with 31 women and 39 men were included (Table 1). Of these, 57 patients reached the long-term treatment goal and 13 failed. The mean age at MG onset was 45.3 ± 9.6 years and the mean age at thymectomy was 45.9 ± 9.4 years. Forty-eight patients (68.6%) were early-onset, while 22 patients (31.4%) were late-onset. The median duration from MG onset to thymectomy was four (1–60) months. The median disease duration was 48 (19–130) months. Differences in sex, age at onset, age at thymectomy, time between MG onset and thymectomy, disease duration, and E-L classification between the groups were insignificant.

Table 1 Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of TMG Patients

| Variables                        | No MM (n=13) | MM (n=57) | Total | P-value |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-------|---------|
| Sex (%) women                    |              |           |       |         |
| Age at onset (years)             | 45.9 ± 7.3   | 45.2 ± 10.1 | 45.3 ± 9.6 | 0.811   |
| Age at thymectomy (years)        | 47.4 ± 6.7   | 45.6 ± 9.9 | 45.9 ± 9.4 | 0.535   |
| Time between onset and thymectomy (months) | 6 (2–60)   | 3 (1–53)  | 4 (1–60) | 0.057   |
| Disease duration (months)        | 49 (19–91)   | 48 (19–130)| 48 (19–130)| 0.803   |
| E-L Classification, N (%)       |              |           |       | 0.52    |
| Early-onset MG                   | 8 (61.5)     | 40 (70.2) | 48 (68.6)|         |
| Late-onset MG, n (%)             | 5 (38.5)     | 17 (29.8) | 22 (31.4)|         |
| Osserman’s Classification Before Thymectomy, N (%) |  | | | 0.237 |
| I                               | 3 (23.1)     | 16 (28.1) | 19 (27.1)|         |
| II/III/IV                       | 4 (30.8)     | 24 (42.1) | 28 (40.0)|         |
| Bulbar symptoms                 | 4 (30.8)     | 16 (28.1) | 20 (28.6)|         |
| MG crisis                       | 2 (15.4)     | 1 (1.8)   | 3 (4.3)  |         |
| MG Symptoms Before Thymectomy   |              |           |       |         |
| Ocular symptoms, N (%)           | 10 (83.3)    | 43 (76.8) | 53 (77.9)|         |
| Facial palsy, N (%)              | 9 (75.0)     | 32 (57.1) | 41 (60.3)| 0.338   |
| Dyspnea, N (%)                   | 6 (50.0)     | 12 (21.4) | 18 (26.5)| 0.068   |
| Bulbar palsy, N (%)              | 3 (25.0)     | 13 (23.2) | 16 (23.5)| 1       |
| Upper limb weakness, N (%)       | 6 (50.0)     | 23 (41.8) | 29 (43.3)| 0.75    |
| Lower limb weakness, N (%)       | 7 (58.3)     | 20 (36.4) | 27 (40.3)| 0.201   |
| Neck weakness, N (%)             | 8 (66.7)     | 31 (56.4) | 39 (58.2)| 0.543   |
| MG Treatment Before Surgery, N (%) |         |           |       |         |
| None                             | 4 (33.3)     | 17 (31.5) | 21 (31.8)|         |
| Pyridostigmine                   | 3 (25.0)     | 12 (22.2) | 15 (22.7)|         |
| GCs or IS                        | 5 (41.7)     | 22 (40.7) | 27 (40.9)|         |
| PE or IVIg                       | 0 (0.0)      | 3 (5.6)   | 3 (4.5)  |         |
| Osserman’s Classification After Thymectomy, N (%) |   | | | 0.11   |
| I                               | 4 (30.8)     | 14 (24.6) | 18 (25.7)|         |
| II/III/IV                       | 1 (7.7)      | 7 (12.8)  | 8 (12.8)|         |
| Bulbar symptoms                 | 6 (46.2)     | 22 (29.8) | 28 (33.3)|         |
| MG crisis                       | 2 (15.4)     | 4 (7.0)   | 6 (8.6)  |         |
| WHO Classification, N (%)        |              |           |       | 0.801   |
| A                                | 1 (7.7)      | 6 (10.5)  | 7 (10.0)|         |
| AB                               | 3 (23.1)     | 10 (17.5) | 13 (18.6)|         |
| B1                               | 1 (7.7)      | 13 (22.8) | 14 (20.0)|         |
| B2                               | 6 (46.2)     | 21 (36.8) | 27 (38.6)|         |

Figure 1 The flowchart of the process of patient inclusion.
Table 1 (Continued).

| Variables | No MM (n=13) | MM (n=57) | Total | P-value |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------|---------|
| B3        | 2 (15.4)    | 7 (12.3)  | 9 (12.9) |         |
| Time between onset and intervention of MG (months) | 7 (1–54) | 3 (1–48) | 3 (1–54) | 0.072 |
| Time Between Surgery and MG Treatment, N (%) |         |           |       | 0.872 |
| Within 1 month | 8 (66.7) | 38 (70.4) | 46 (69.7) |     |
| 1–3 months | 2 (16.7) | 8 (14.8) | 10 (15.2) |     |
| 3–6 months | 1 (8.3) | 6 (11.1) | 7 (10.0) |     |
| Over 6 months | 1 (8.3) | 2 (3.7) | 3 (4.5) |     |
| MG Treatment Before Surgery, N (%) |         |           |       | 1.000 |
| Pyridostigmine | 0 (0.0) | 3 (5.3) | 3 (4.3) |     |
| GCs alone | 10 (76.9) | 38 (66.7) | 48 (68.6) |     |
| GCs + IS | 3 (23.1) | 13 (22.8) | 16 (22.9) |     |
| IS alone | 0 (0.0) | 3 (5.3) | 3 (4.3) |     |
| Postoperative RT N (%) |         |           |       | 0.551 |
| No RT | 5 (38.5) | 28 (49.1) | 33 (47.1) |     |
| RT | 8 (61.5) | 29 (50.9) | 37 (52.9) |     |
| Clinical Outcome at Six Months |         |           |       | 0.008 |
| No MMS-or-better | 12 (92.3) | 24 (43.6) | 36 (52.9) |     |
| MMS-or-better | 1 (7.7) | 31 (56.4) | 32 (47.1) |     |
| Worst QMGS after thymectomy | 14 (7–27) | 10 (0–25) | 10 (0–27) | 0.007 |
| MG Symptoms After Thymectomy |         |           |       |       |
| Ocular symptoms, N (%) | 9 (75.0) | 30 (52.6) | 39 (56.5) | 0.207 |
| Facial palsy, N (%) | 10 (83.3) | 34 (59.6) | 44 (63.8) | 0.188 |
| Dyspnea, N (%) | 7 (53.8) | 21 (37.5) | 28 (41.2) | 0.211 |
| Bulbar palsy, N (%) | 4 (33.3) | 14 (24.6) | 18 (26.1) | 0.497 |
| Upper limb weakness, N (%) | 6 (50.0) | 28 (49.1) | 34 (49.3) | 1.000 |
| Lower limb weakness, N (%) | 7 (58.3) | 23 (33.8) | 30 (44.1) | 0.344 |
| Neck weakness, N (%) | 8 (72.7) | 35 (62.5) | 43 (64.2) | 0.734 |

Notes: Early-onset MG, MG onset age ≤50 years; late-onset MG, MG onset age >50 years. Osserman’s classification, type I, ocular MG; type II/III/IV, generalized MG; bulbar, generalized MG patients but with obvious bulbar symptoms. Abbreviations: MG, myasthenia gravis; GCs, glucocorticoids; IS, immunosuppressant; PE, plasma exchange; IVG, intravenous immune globulin; RT, radiotherapy or radiation therapy; QMGS, quantitative myasthenia gravis score; MM, reach minimal manifestation status or better at the last visit; No MM, fail to achieve minimal manifestation status or better at the last visit.

Clinical Features of the Total TMG Patients

Clinical features are shown in Table 1. The numbers of patients with type A, AB, B1, B2, and B3 thymoma were 7 (10.0%), 13 (18.6%), 14 (20.0%), 27 (38.6%), and 9 (12.9%), respectively. There was no significant difference in the WHO classification of thymoma between the groups. Before thymectomy, 19 patients (27.1%) were Osserman stage I, 20 patients (28.6%) had obvious bulbar symptoms, 3 patients (4.3%) suffered from MG crisis and 28 patients (40.0%) were Osserman stage II–IV. After thymectomy, 18 patients (25.7%) were Osserman stage I, 23 patients (32.9%) belonged to Osserman stage II–IV, 23 (32.9%) presented obvious bulbar symptoms and 6 patients (8.6%) had MG crisis. After thymectomy, MG symptoms (ocular symptoms, facial palsy, dyspnea, bulbar palsy, upper and lower limb weakness, and neck weakness) changed only slightly with the exception of less patients presenting with ocular symptoms after the surgery. However, the worst QMGSs were significantly different between the groups (No MM 14 (7–27) vs MM 10 (0–25), P=0.007).

Before the surgery, 21 patients received no anti-myasthenic treatment, 15 used pyridostigmine, 27 received GCs or other immunosuppressants (IS) like tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), or azathioprine, and 3 patients with severe symptoms accepted plasma exchange (PE) or IVG to attenuate the symptoms and prepare for anti-AChR Ab, and the positive rate was 100%. Antibodies against RyR, MuSK, and titin were tested in 33 patients. Positive anti-MuSK Ab was only found in 1 late-onset female patient with type B3 thymoma. We did not analyze anti-MuSK Ab levels in these patients due to the change of measurement method. Anti-RyR Ab and anti-titin Ab were positive only in two male patients. One was early-onset generalized MG with B1 type thymoma, and the other was early-onset generalized MG with B3 type thymoma. The average titer of the antibodies against AChR, RyR, and titin was 31.5 ± 13.1 (9.3–58.2) nmol/L, 424.7 ± 363.2 (139.7–2123.4) ng/mL, and 115.2 ± 70.4 (37.2–390.6) pg/mL, respectively. The levels of anti-AChR Ab and anti-RyR Ab were higher in the No MM group (anti-AChR Ab 35.8 ± 9.3 (19.4–54.3) nmol/L; anti-RyR Ab 483.1 ± 195.9 (169.44–647.0) ng/mL) than those in the MM group (anti-AChR Ab 30.3 ± 13.9 (9.3–58.2) nmol/L; anti-RyR Ab 411.7 ± 392.4 (139.7–2123.4) ng/mL) even though the differences in the levels of anti-AChR Ab and anti-RyR Ab were insignificant.

MG-Associated Antibody Status

MG-associated antibody levels are shown in Table 2. As mentioned earlier in the methods section, the antibody results of 41 patients were obtained. All patient samples were tested for anti-AChR Ab, and the positive rate was 100%. Antibodies against RyR, MuSK, and titin were tested in 33 patients. Positive anti-MuSK Ab was only found in 1 late-onset female patient with type B3 thymoma. We did not analyze anti-MuSK Ab levels in these patients due to the change of measurement method. Anti-RyR Ab and anti-titin Ab were positive only in two male patients. One was early-onset generalized MG with B1 type thymoma, and the other was early-onset generalized MG with B3 type thymoma. The average titer of the antibodies against AChR, RyR, and titin was 31.5 ± 13.1 (9.3–58.2) nmol/L, 424.7 ± 363.2 (139.7–2123.4) ng/mL, and 115.2 ± 70.4 (37.2–390.6) pg/mL, respectively. The levels of anti-AChR Ab and anti-RyR Ab were higher in the No MM group (anti-AChR Ab 35.8 ± 9.3 (19.4–54.3) nmol/L; anti-RyR Ab 483.1 ± 195.9 (169.44–647.0) ng/mL) than those in the MM group (anti-AChR Ab 30.3 ± 13.9 (9.3–58.2) nmol/L; anti-RyR Ab 411.7 ± 392.4 (139.7–2123.4) ng/mL) even though the differences in the levels of anti-AChR Ab and anti-RyR Ab were insignificant.
Prognostic Factors of TMG

To investigate the prognostic factors for the long-term clinical outcome, time between onset of MG and thymectomy, time between onset and intervention of MG, time between surgery and MG treatment, disease duration, post-operative radiation, post-operative MG treatment, the WHO histological classification of thymoma, severity of MG before and after surgery, clinical outcome at six months, and worst QMGS were first analyzed by univariate analysis. Eligible factors were tested by the multivariate logistic regression model. Worst QMGS after thymectomy, dyspnea before thymectomy, and clinical outcome at six months were entered into the multivariate regression model with a stepwise selection procedure. Hosmer–Lemeshow $\chi^2=0.392$; $p=0.822$; C statistic, 0.819 (95% CI = 0.703–0.936).

Discussion

In this study, we summarized the demographic, MG-associated antibody levels, and clinical characteristics of Chinese TMG patients in our hospital and explored the prognostic factors of the long-term outcome of MG.

Demographic Characteristics

Previous studies have demonstrated that the occurrence of MG is influenced by sex and age: women are affected nearly three times more often than men during early adulthood (aged < 40 years), whereas the incidence is roughly equal during puberty and after 40. After 50 years of age, the incidence is higher in men. In our TMG patients, the mean onset age was approximately 45. Most of the patients were late-onset MG. There were only slightly more males than females; this finding is similar to previous reports. The disease duration and age at thymectomy were close between the groups. Patients in the No MM group had their thymoma removed after a longer duration than those in the MM group.
While this difference was insignificant \((P=0.057)\), early removal of thymoma may still benefit the long-term outcome of MG and the difference may become significant with increased sample size.

**MG-Associated Antibody**

MG-associated antibodies are the most important biomarkers in guiding MG diagnosis and helping to define MG subtypes. Antibodies against acetylcholine receptors can be detected in 70% of all patients with MG.\(^{16}\) Antibodies against titin are mostly detected in patients with TMG or late-onset MG, while anti-RyR Abs are presented in 70% of anti-AChR Ab positive MG (AChR-MG) and in 14% of patients with late-onset AChR-MG.\(^{17,18}\) In our study, anti-AChR Ab was positive in all patients and present at high concentrations. The high level of anti-AChR Ab might be caused by autoreactive T cells that emerge from defective negative selection in the thymoma.\(^{19}\) These helper T cells activate B cells to produce anti-AChR Ab in the periphery.\(^{20}\) The patients with type B1 thymoma had the lowest anti-AChR Ab titer possibly due to the excision of thymoma. In seven out of the eight patients with type B1 thymoma, we tested for antibodies after surgical removal of the thymoma. Downregulated antibody levels were thought to be due to termination of autoreactive T cell production. However, the positive rates of anti-titin Ab and anti-RyR Ab in our TMG patients were much lower than previous studies.\(^{21-23}\) The difference in these antibodies might be caused by the varying methods of measurement. For example, Yamamoto et al tested anti-titin Ab levels with a radioligand assay while anti-titin Ab in our study was measured by ELISA.\(^{23}\) Previous studies also indicated that anti-RyR Ab and anti-titin Ab may positively correlate with the severity of MG.\(^{18,22}\) Nevertheless, we only found a positive correlation between anti-AChR Ab level and worst QMGS \((r=0.511, P=0.015)\). Ethnic heterogeneity may contribute to the difference. A Norwegian study showed that patients with high anti-titin Ab levels had a more severe course of MG, but a study on Japanese patients showed the opposite result when controlled for onset age.\(^{17,23}\) Other factors like medication regimen and the scale of disease severity at the time of serum sample collection could lead to inconsistent results. In this study, our results indicated that MG-associated antibodies were not associated with the prognosis of MG.

**Clinical Manifestations**

The incidence of thymoma in our MG patients was \(B2 > B1 > AB > B3 > A\). Most patients had generalized MG with the Osserman stage II–IV and bulbar symptoms regardless of thymectomy. The patients with type A thymoma mainly complained of pure ocular symptoms, while type B thymoma patients presented weakness in other skeletal muscles. Ocular symptoms were the most common symptoms, but there was an obvious drop in the number of patients with ocular symptoms after thymectomy. We assumed that ocular symptoms might be attenuated after thymectomy. The number of patients with bulbar palsy and dyspnea was similar before thymectomy, while more patients presented dyspnea after thymectomy. The severity of MG could be a risk factor for the clinical outcome of MG.\(^{13}\) Our analysis identified dyspnea before thymectomy as a prognostic factor of the long-term treatment outcome. No other evidence in our study supported that WHO classification of thymoma was associated with the long-term treatment of MG. In this study, we excluded patients with type C thymoma or thymic carcinoma based on its extremely low incidence in MG and its carcinoma resembling cytoarchitecture, which is different from other types of thymoma.\(^{24}\) We believe the bias caused by excluding type C thymoma was limited.

MG symptoms barely changed in most patients after thymectomy, but six patients had myasthenic crisis (MC), a life-threatening medical emergency that requires ventilatory support. The incidence of post-operative MC in our patients was much lower than previous reports.\(^{12,25}\) This may result from the relatively mild symptoms and well-controlled disease severity by the intensive peri-operative management from the multiple disciplinary cooperation. Before surgery, the neurologist in our hospital would diagnose, assess, and stage the TMG patients to offer them a tailored anti-myasthenic treatment. The pre-operative treatment usually includes anti-cholinesterase agents and/or a medium dose of GCs. For patients who had contraindications for GCs, proper IS would be prescribed as an alternative. When the symptoms were severe and needed to be attenuated quickly, PE and IVIg were used.

Our analysis showed that the pre-operative MG treatments in the MM and No MM groups were similar and indicated that the pre-operative therapy of MG may not be associated with the long-term treatment outcome. We also investigated the time between onset and intervention of MG of the two groups. Although the duration between
Our results showed that the rates of achieving the MM-or-better status might be a more suitable goal for MG treatment. Previously, some researchers used CSR as the treatment goal, yet it may not be an appropriate method since less than 10% of MG patients achieve this status. MM-or-better status might be a more suitable goal for MG treatment. Therefore, we applied the MM-or-better status to instruct the modification of clinical management. We used the worst QMGS as an index of maximum severity during the follow-up after thymectomy and our results indicate that the maximum severity of the No MM group was worse than the MM group. However, the drawback is that the worst QMGS does not necessarily reflect the severity at the beginning of the disease. The time-weighed QMGS can offer a comprehensive view of QMGS change through time and should be applied in future studies.

Previously, some researchers used CSR as the treatment goal, yet it may not be an appropriate method since less than 10% of MG patients achieve this status. Therefore, we applied the MM-or-better status and investigated the clinical outcome at six months and the last visit. We found that there were more patients in the No MM group than the MM group failed to reach the treatment goal at six months. The multiple regression analysis also identified the clinical treatment at six months as a protective factor of the long-term treatment outcome. It may suggest that patients who achieved the treatment goal within first six months would be more likely to achieve the long-term treatment goal.

Limitations
It should be noted that this study has several limitations. The most significant is that it is a retrospective study in a single center with the possibility of selection bias. The antibody tests were performed in just a fraction of patients and not on all patients either before or after the surgery or immunosuppressive therapy. There is no appropriate way to compare the change of antibody levels resulting from thymectomy or immunosuppressive treatment. Masaoka staging of thymoma is a predictive factor of thymoma prognosis; however, the staging of thymoma was not provided in the record system in our hospital. In addition, the sample size was relatively small, especially the No MM group, which could increase the risk of overestimating the predictive performance of the model. A cohort study with larger sample size in multiple centers would be required in the future.

Conclusions
The demographic and clinical characteristics were similar between our TMG patients. Early achievement of MM-or-better status may indicate a good treatment outcome in the...
long run. Dyspnea symptoms before thymectomy may be associated with a poor prognosis. Thus, we recommend aggressive anti-myasthenic treatment for TMG patients, especially those with dyspnea symptoms, to reach MM-or better status as soon as possible.
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