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Abstract

Background: Analysis of morphological variation in archipelagos has been essential to understand the evolution of terrestrial vertebrates. In particular, these natural scenarios allow to assess morphological changes experienced by insular fauna compared to their mainland counterparts. In mammals, morphological changes of insular forms have been observed in size and shape of body and cranial traits. The southern Patagonia of Chile represents a unique scenario to analyze morphological variation in two of the most widely distributed small rodents along western southern South America: Abrothrix olivacea and Oligoryzomys longicaudatus.

Methods: We applied linear and three-dimensional geometric morphometric tools to analyze the variation in cranial morphology of A. olivacea (N = 80) and O. longicaudatus (N = 49). Sampled localities were distributed in the mainland and islands of the Fuegian archipelago in Chilean Patagonia. Standard multivariate methods, as Principal Components Analysis, and Logistic Regression models were carried out to evaluate differences in size and shape of crania.

Results: We detected higher levels of morphological variation in the crania of A. olivacea if compared to O. longicaudatus. The variation was associated to cranial size instead of shape. We observed significant differences between insular and mainland individuals in A. olivacea, being the cranium size of this species significantly bigger on islands. Indeed, specimens of A. olivacea from “Isla Wellington”, exhibited the higher increment in cranium size compared to any other mainland and insular site sampled for this species. In contrast, insular and mainland forms of O. longicaudatus did not show significant differences in cranial morphology.

Conclusions: We suggest that the patterns of morphological variation observed in these two small rodents along Patagonia, could be explained in terms of the historical biogeography of the region, and the different ecological features of the studied species.
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Background

Analysis of morphological variation in archipelagos has been essential to understand the evolution of terrestrial vertebrates [1]. These natural scenarios allow to assess morphological changes experienced by insular fauna compared to their mainland counterparts [2, 3]. Commonly, insular faunas are considered “disharmonic” since much of the mainland fauna is absent. Hence, insular forms often develop adaptations to new conditions (new ecological niches), due to the absence of competitors and predators, thus a “relaxing” of some selective constraints that were present on the mainland [4, 5]. For example, insular mammals tend to display morphological differences in body size, as well as skeleton, dental and cranial features in comparison to their mainland conspecics [6, 7]. There are examples where large mammals evolved into miniature forms on islands, whereas small continental mammals (mice for example) evolved into large insular forms. This phenomenon has been called the “Island Rule” [8–11]. Indeed, Foster [9] showed that predominantly rodents (if compared to marsupials, insectivores, lagomorphs and other mammals) tended to be larger on islands than on the mainland [8, 9], and that body sizes increased with the area of the island [12–14].

Also, further studies have demonstrated that size tend to increase with island isolation (the farther the island, the bigger the size) for six species of North American small mammals [15, 16]. However, not only size change is involved, but shape is also another important component of the insular morphological variation [17]. For example, hypsodonty in herbivores and changes on the teeth shape have been observed on insular mice [6, 18]. In the mouse opossum microbiotheriid marsupial Dromiciops gliroides a skull shape variation has been observed in the rostrum, being more compressed in the Chiloé Island than in the continental area of Chile [19]. According to the latter authors, this fact could be attributable to a different diet in the island with respect to the continent.

In southern Chile, a rise in the sea level following the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) fragmented the southern Patagonian region, thus generating the fjords and the Fuegian archipelago [20, 21]. The geomorphology of the Chilean coast from 44 to 56° S, which is characterized by fjords and small islands, represents a direct inheritance of last glaciation events [21]. About 20,000 years ago, most of the Andean cordillera between 44 to 56° S was covered by ice reaching the Pacific Ocean flank. The sea invaded the resultant topography of the deep glacial erosion as soon as the ice receded towards the end of the glaciation period about 13,000 years [21]. In this way, an entangled geomorphology characterized by a “network” of fjords and several small and medium sized islands was originated. Hence, the geological composition of the southern Patagonian of Chile is deeply linked to the Fuegian archipelago because their separation from the continent by the Magellan Strait, and many other marine channels and fjords [20, 22].

In Chilean southern Patagonia, there are very few phylogenetic/phylogeographic studies that show the differentiation processes along Fuegian archipelago and the continent. Rodriguez-Serrano et al. [23] when analyzing samples from Wellington Island (47°–50° S) and the continental Southern Ice Fields (same latitude), proposed that the sigmodontine rodent Akodon markhami (Pine, 1973), a supposed endemic taxon to Patagonia, was an island subspecies of Abrothrix olivacea. This study, based on mitochondrial DNA sequences (Cytochrome b and d-loop sequences), concluded that markhami was a member of the Abrotrichini as a geographic race. On the other hand, Belmar-Lucero et al. [24] assessed the phylogeography of the long-tailed sigmodontine mouse Oligoryzomys longicaudatus magellanicus from Patagonian islands such as Navarino, Tierra del Fuego and Riesco, located between 52 and 54°S. These authors found no differences when comparing genetic data (i.e., karyotypes and mtDNA sequences) between the former islands and continental populations of southern Patagonia (i.e., Magallanes National Reserve, Fuerte Bulnes, Puerto de Hambre). Further, the latter findings were corroborated in an additional phylogeographic study that considered the complete distributational range of O. longicaudatus including Patagonian islands [25].

Lessa et al. [26] when comparing the phylogeographic pattern of 14 sigmodontine species within the Patagonian-Fuegian (Tierra del Fuego) region, found phylogeographic breaks in only four species (Eunomys chinchilloides, Abrothrix hirta, Geoxus valdivianus and Abrothrix olivacea). Interestingly, only A. olivacea, showed a break between mainland Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego island [26]. These authors suggested that the separation of A. olivacea clades may be explained by the geographic barrier of the Magellan Strait, whereas the other species showed phylogeographic breaks within the continent. On the other hand, Palma and Rodríguez-Serrano [27] described a new species of Oligoryzomys in Harrison and Capitán Aracena islands (56° S), located 150 km south of Punta Arenas, southern Patagonia of Chile, across Cabo Froward. This proposition was based on chromosome, morphology and a mtDNA phylogeny that clearly differentiated these insular specimens compared to mainland populations of O. longicaudatus. The new form has a 2n = 54 chromosomes instead of 2n = 56 of the mainland forms, and it is the sister species to O. longicaudatus in a mitochondrial based phylogeny. The diversification of the new form may represent a peripheral isolate of O. longicaudatus, that differentiated in isolation due to the erosive effect of Pleistocene glaciers, particularly during LGM [20, 22].
The former antecedents suggest the southern Patagonia of Chile as a highly dynamic biogeographic scenario. In this ecoregion inhabits two of the most widely distributed small sigmodontine rodents along the west of southern South America: *Oligoryzomys longicaudatus* and *Abrothrix olivacea*. The long-tailed mouse *O. longicaudatus* is distributed from about 27° S (Atacama region) southward to Patagonia. In that range, only three geographic races or subspecies are recognized [25]. This rodent characterizes by having a high vagility and home range that fluctuates between 320 to 4800 m² (data for the Temperate Forests [28]). The other species, *Abrothrix olivacea*, the olive field mouse, has also an extensive geographic distribution, encompassing from southern Peru southward to the Patagonia of Chile and Argentina. However, in that range at least seven geographic races are recognized of this rodent [29]. The higher geographic structure of *A. olivacea* would be consequence of its lower vagility and home range (730 to 2530 m [30]) when compared with *O. longicaudatus*. Despite both species are co-distributed along most of their geographic ranges, they use different habitat types; *O. longicaudatus* inhabit mostly in forest and bushy areas, whereas *A. olivacea* is found across bushy and grassland environments [28].

In the Patagonia, *A. olivacea* and *O. longicaudatus* are widely distributed on islands of different size of the Magallanic region, and in the mainland of southern Patagonia of Argentina and Chile [23, 25]. Island distance from the continent could be an important component to consider, since most of the islands in the Fuegian archipelago are very close to the continent (i.e., 3.7 km between the closest portion between Tierra del Fuego island and the continent). On the other hand, island size is another relevant feature of this landscape. For example, Tierra del Fuego is the biggest island of the Fuegian archipelago with 48,000 km², whereas Isla Riesco, in the same archipelago, has an area of 5000 km². Thus, the particular geomorphology of southern Patagonia of Chile, represents a unique scenario to assess the morphological differentiation of these two rodent species along the mainland and islands of the Fuegian archipelago.

The aim of this study was to analyze the cranial morphological variation of the sigmodontine rodents *A. olivacea* and *O. longicaudatus* in Chilean southern Patagonia. To achieve our goal, we used two independent morphometric approaches: linear and geometric morphometric (three-dimensional) methods. Because the differences in the vagility and adaptive local response of both species, we expect to find higher levels of morphological differentiation along the Patagonian range in *A. olivacea* with respect to *O. longicaudatus*. Additionally, we expect to find evidence of an eventual cranial size increment of insular individuals (the Island Rule) compared to mainland conspecifics, as it has been observed in other small rodents distributed in archipelago-mainland systems [31].

**Methods**

**Study area and samples**

Study sites were located along southern Patagonia of Chile encompassing mainland and insular locations (Fig. 1). A total of 129 adult mice (i.e., with the molars fully erupted and variable tooth wear [32]), were analyzed in this study: *A. olivacea* *N*= 80 and *O. longicaudatus* *N*= 49 (Table 1). A total of 111 crania were evaluated by linear and three-dimensional geometric morphometric methods simultaneously. Other samples were analyzed by only one of these two approaches. For example, samples from Isla Capitán Aracena and Isla Navarino were assessed just by a geometric or a linear approach, respectively (See details in Additional file 1, Appendix A). Individuals were captured with Sherman traps placed over the ground and baited with oat and vanilla. Fieldwork protocols followed the standard bioethical and biosafety guidelines outlined by the American Society of Mammologists (ASM [33]), and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC [34]), respectively. All of the new rodent captures were deposited at “Colección de Flora y Fauna Profesor Patricio Sánchez Reyes”, (SSUC) Departamento de Ecología, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. The captures were conducted under the Chilean Government authorization: Servicio Agrícola y Ganadero (SAG) and Corporación Nacional Forestal (CONAF), and the approval of the Bioethics Committee of Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. We also included three specimens of *O. longicaudatus* from Isla Navarino analyzed in the Collection of Mammals of the American Museum of Natural History (AMNH), New York, USA. Differences due to sexual dimorphism in the crania of *A. olivacea* and *O. longicaudatus* were discarded in our dataset after performing a Discriminant Function Analysis using MorphoJ v. 1.06d [35], based on geometric morphometric data (Discriminant function “Sex” Female/Male, see details in Additional file 1, Appendix B).

**Linear morphometric analysis**

A total of 124 crania were analyzed in the linear morphometric approach (*A. olivacea* *N*= 75; *O. longicaudatus* *N*= 49). We registered 22 standard linear cranial measurements for each species with a digital caliper (0.01 ± mm precision), following to Gallardo and Palma [36]: condylobasal length, basilar length, basal length, length of the diastema, length of the incisive foramen, palatal length, length of the maxillary tooth row, palatilar...
Table 1: Sample size and localities assessed in this study for *A. olivacea* and *O. longicaudatus* in the Chilean southern Patagonia. All localities are grouped by precedence, i.e., mainland or island.

| Locality                  | A. olivacea Sample size | O. longicaudatus Sample size |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Mainland                  |                         |                              |
| RN Magallanes             | –                       | 1                            |
| Puerto de Hambre          | 6                       | 2                            |
| Fuerte Bulnes             | 6                       | 11                           |
| PN Pali Aike              | 16                      | –                            |
| PN Torres del Paine       | 6                       | 14                           |
| Total mainland            | 34                      | 28                           |
| Insular                   |                         |                              |
| Isla Wellington           | 7                       | 7                            |
| Isla Riesco               | 12                      | 6                            |
| PN Kawésqar               | –                       | 2                            |
| Isla Capitán Aracena      | 2                       | –                            |
| Porvenir (Isla Tierra del Fuego) | 13                    | 2                            |
| Karukinka (Isla Tierra del Fuego) | 12                   | 1                            |
| Isla Navarino             | –                       | 3                            |
| Total insular             | 46                      | 21                           |
| Total sample size         | 80                      | 49                           |
length, postpalatal length, greatest length of skull, breadth of braincase, zygomatic breadth, mastoid breadth, condylonal length, condylomolar length, palatal width, length of the tympanic bulla, width of the tympanic bulla, least interorbital breadth, nasal length, nasal width, and rostral breadth. Intraspecific morphological variation along insular and mainland samples of each species was analyzed by performing a Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Later, we used the first principal component (PC1) as a vector of the cranial size as suggested by Breno et al. [37] (details of eigenvalues and eigenvectors in Additional file 2), to test the probability that the bigger cranial sizes were associated to “island” (Category 1) or “mainland” localities (Category 0), performing a logistic regression model (LRM) implemented in the software Past 3.26 [38].

Three-dimensional geometric morphometric analyses
Crnia of *A. olivaea* (*N* = 73) and *O. longicaudatus* (*N* = 43) were analyzed by geometric morphometric methods based on three-dimensional (3D) landmark coordinates. Due to landmark configuration contain size and shape information, both of these components can be assessed independently [39]. We built 3D models of crania using a Nextengine 3D laser scanner and the software Scantudio v.2.0.0 following Valladares-Gómez et al. [19]. A total of 21 landmarks were digitized on each cranium by the same observer (AV-G) in the software Landmark v.3.0 [40]. Each 3D model was digitized two times and an average landmark’s configuration was obtained for a single cranium in MorphoJ v.1.06d [35]. The landmarks used in this study corresponded to a subset of the landmarks studied by Martínez et al. [32] for other sigmodontine rodents. See details of landmark’s anatomical location and description in Fig. 2 and Table 2. We discarded the measurement error of digitization after the comparison of the two set of digitized landmarks by applying a Procrustes Analysis of Variance (Procrustes ANOVA), as it was described in Klingenberg et al. [41]

![Fig. 2 Anatomical location of the 21 landmarks used in this study for three-dimensional geometric morphometric analyses](image)

**Fig. 2** Anatomical location of the 21 landmarks used in this study for three-dimensional geometric morphometric analyses
On the other hand, our analyses were performed on the symmetric component of the shape variation as recommended by Savriama and Klingenberg [42]. We obtained shape variables of landmark’s configuration by performing a Procrustes superimposition that eliminated differences due to translation, scaling and rotation [35]. Procrustes coordinates matrices and their covariance matrices were obtained and analyzed applying standard multivariate methods implemented in the software MorphoJ v.1.06d [35]. We assessed the shape variation of crania of both species by performing a PCA. In order to evaluate an eventual insular cranial size increase, we obtained the cranial centroid size of insular and mainland specimens. Centroid

### Table 2 Anatomical description of the landmarks used for three-dimensional geometric morphometric analyses. Anatomical description followed to Martínez et al. [32]

| Landmark | Anatomical description |
|----------|------------------------|
| 1        | Rostralmost point of the nasal bones |
| 2        | Intersection of the nasofrontal suture in the midline |
| 3        | Intersection of the coronal and sagittal sutures |
| 4        | Intersection of the sagittal and parietal-interparietal sutures |
| 5        | Caudal end of the curvature of the occipital bone |
| 6 and 7  | Rostralmost point of the rostral palatine fissure, left and right |
| 8 and 9  | Caudalmost point of the palatine fissure, left and right |
| 10       | Caudalmost point of the suture between palatine bones and the rostral border of the mesopterygoid fossa |
| 11       | Rostralmost point of the foramen magnum |
| 12 and 13| Rostralmost point of the molar row, left and right |
| 14 and 15| Caudalmost point of the molar row, left and right |
| 16 and 17| Lateralmost point of the foramen magnum, left and right |
| 18 and 19| Rostralmost point of the zygomatic arch, left and right |
| 20 and 21| Caudalmost point of the zygomatic arch, left and right |

(see Additional file 1, Table S1).
size is calculated as the square root of the sum of squared distances of each landmark to the center of the configuration [43]. Later, a Procrustes ANOVA was performed to test the differences in the centroid size between insular and mainland individuals of each species in MorphiJ v.1.06d [35].

Results
Linear morphometric analysis
The PCA plot for *A. olivacea* is presented in Fig. 3. PC1 and PC2 explained the 68.53 and 5.15% of the total variance, respectively. Analysis of the PC1, that showed the largest proportion of variance, was associated to the morphological differences between insular and mainland specimens. Samples from mainland sites grouped mainly along the negative values of this component. However, insular individuals were more spread out throughout PC1 axis. The two populations from Tierra el Fuego island (Porvenir and Karukinka) were distributed along PC1 (negative and positive region) overlapping with mainland samples, whereas, samples from Isla Riesco and Isla Wellington were mostly present at the positive side of PC1 axis. Interestingly, samples from Isla Wellington occupied the most extreme distribution along positive values of PC1. The logistic regression model indicated that cranial size is significantly associated with the probability of inhabiting island (Category 1) (Slope = 0.144, $SE = 0.067$, $G = 5.254$, $P = 0.0218$; Intercept = 0.253, $SE = 0.23531$; Log-likelihood = $-51.618$). The probability of inhabiting an island increased with the increment of cranial size (Slope = 0.144). Positive values of PC1 (i.e., bigger cranial size) were always associated to islands, with probabilities higher than 50%.

The PCA plot for *O. longicaudatus* is shown in Fig. 4. PC1 and PC2 explained the 57.90 and 9.76% of the total variance, respectively. Compared to *A. olivacea*, samples of *O. longicaudatus* did not show an evident pattern of morphological differentiation along the mayor axis of variation or PC1 (see boxplots of linear size variation in Appendix C, Additional file 1). A subtle separation was detected along PC2 (9.76% explained variance), where samples from insular localities (mainly Isla Wellington, Parque Nacional Kawésqar and Isla Navarino) were displayed along positive values of PC2. The logistic regression model indicated that cranial size was not associated with the probability of inhabiting island (Category 1).
(Slope = −0.036, SE = 0.082, G = 0.195, P = 0.658; Intercept = −0.289, SE = 0.289; Log-likelihood = −33.365).

Three-dimensional geometric morphometric analysis

After PCA was performed, a total of 31 Principal Components (PC) were obtained. PC1 and PC2 explained the 30.63 and 14.93% of the total variance, respectively. The remaining PCs represented low percentage of variance, therefore, they were not considered in the analysis. PC1 and PC2 are displayed in Fig. 5. With respect to the cranial shape variation, PCA showed that within each species, insular and mainland samples were highly overlapped along PCs. Thus, we did not observe any pattern of cranial shape variation along Fuegian archipelago. On the other hand, the results of size variation of the geometric morphometric and linear measurements were similar. Samples from Isla Wellington exhibited bigger cranial traits, as it can be observed at PC1 values distribution (Fig. 6). Specimens from Isla Riesco and Isla Capitán Aracena were also distributed along positive PC1 scores, but partially overlapped with mainland populations. This result was obtained with respect to the mainland specimens of *A. olivacea* that grouped to lower values of cranial size. In particular, samples from Isla Wellington were displayed along the most extreme range of the centroid size axis, indicating that these insular specimens presented the bigger size of crania compared to overall sampled localities for this species. Such differential distribution of Isla Wellington population described for *A. olivacea*, was not observed in *O. longicaudatus*. Indeed, insular and mainland samples for the latter species resulted highly overlapped along centroid size axis. In fact, statistical differences were obtained when we compared the cranial size of insular and mainland specimens for *A. olivacea* (d.f. = 1.71; F = 7.51; P = 0.0078). However, for *O. longicaudatus* such differences were not significant (d.f. = 1.41; F = 0.56; P = 0.4597). See comparative boxplots of centroid size variation in Appendix C, Additional file 1.

**Discussion**

As we former predicted, *A. olivacea* showed higher levels of morphological variation in comparison with *O. longicaudatus*, along the southern Patagonia of Chile. Moreover, such variation was associated to cranial size differences. Our morphometric analyses showed that in *A. olivacea*, individuals from Isla Wellington are of bigger cranial size if compared to their conspecifics of any other sampled locality along Patagonia. Additionally, we also observed a slight tendency to a bigger cranial size for specimens from Isla Riesco. In fact, the probability of inhabiting an island increased with higher values of cranial size in *A. olivacea*. Instead, the latter pattern was not observed in *Oligoryzomys longicaudatus*. Actually, this species did not exhibit significant differences in cranial size between insular and mainland individuals, although a very subtle variation of specimens from Wellington, Capitán Aracena and Navarino islands was observed. Thus, our data suggest that geographic isolation could be a relevant feature behind the cranial morphological differentiation of *A. olivacea* in the Fuegian archipelago. Moreover, such differences seem to vary according to classical evolutionary trends described for mammals (i.e., the Island Rule [4, 11]). However, further

![Fig. 5 Principal Component Analysis of the geometric morphometric approach along the Principal Components 1 and 2 (PC). Both species are displayed along the axes. PN = Parque Nacional, RN = Reserva Nacional, circles = mainland localities, triangles = insular localities](image-url)
studies should be carried out to test exhaustively the prediction of the Island Rule in this sigmodontine rodent.

How can we explain the differences in the pattern of morphological variation for the two rodents studied in Patagonian localities? We suggest that a synergistic effect of the entangled geomorphologic scenario of Patagonia, the historical glacial processes that affected the region, and the idiosyncratic dispersal capability (vagility) of each species, might be among the major reasons. Successive events of connection and isolation between Tierra del Fuego island, the southern tip of continental South America, and several islands surrounding these land masses (e.g. Harrison and Navarino) were produced during Pleistocene period [21]. Product of ice sheets and sea level dropping (up to 100 m), potential geographic scenarios for the passage or dispersal of biota through glacial bridges between mainland and islands could have occurred in the Magallanic region. Thus, small mammal populations may have been in geographic contact through insular and mainland territories in Patagonia, with low possibilities of local differentiation due to isolation, especially for *O. longicaudatus* due to its greater dispersal capacity compared to *A. olivacea* [24, 44].

On the other hand, Lomolino [4] exhibited a marked positive curvilinear correlation between area of the island and body size, and a negative correlation between body size and distance from the island (the longer the distance, the smaller the body size). In our results, we found a subtle increase in cranial size for specimens of *A. olivacea* from Isla Riesco, which is very close to the mainland, separated from the continent by narrow channels and sines (i.e., the Otway Sinus). Specimens from Tierra del Fuego island, however, did not show an evident pattern of cranial size differentiation with respect to the mainland. As Lomolino [45] suggested, body size of individuals inhabiting large islands, seems to vary as if they were at the continent. This would be the case for populations from Tierra del Fuego. In fact, the latter island is very close to the mainland. In fact, the narrowest portion between Tierra del Fuego and the mainland (Primera Angostura) is about 3.7 kms, and with a surface of 48,000 km² represent the biggest island of the Fuegian archipelago. Meanwhile, the Wellington and Riesco islands, with their 5500 and 5000 km² respectively, constitute the second and the third largest islands sampled in this study, being almost 10 times smaller than Tierra del Fuego. Hence, the geographic proximity of Tierra del Fuego to the mainland, as well as its large geographic extension, could resemble a small continental area. Therefore, we should not expect major differences in cranial size for the small mammals inhabiting this area. In fact, specimens from Tierra del Fuego resulted about the same size with respect to those of the continent in our study. Regarding *Oligoryzomys* from Navarino Island, we just found a subtle increase in cranial size. This island located below Tierra del Fuego, is separated from the latter through the Beagle Channel by less than 50 kms, and it constitutes the smallest island (2473 km²) sampled in this study. Furthermore, we just analyzed only three specimens of *Oligoryzomys* from Navarino, so probably we were not obtaining the real variation present on this island.

Our data supported the tendency of *Abrothrix olivacea* to increase its cranial size on islands. This fact was particularly recognizable for individuals from Isla Wellington. Some studies evaluating changes in size and morphology in different orders of insular mammals, have concluded that these evolve at a faster rate than their mainland congeners, and by a greater rate when they occur in smaller islands [46] (although see [47]). The latter scenario could explain the increment of cranial size in *A. olivacea* from Wellington island, since as it was mentioned above, that insular area is almost 10 times smaller than Tierra del Fuego. In addition, this phenomenon could be also related to the strong
selection pressure faced for the island populations of *A. olivacea* in Wellington, due to the longer history of isolation across the last two glacial periods (~0.2 Mya [23]). This is besides Isla Wellington is very close to the continent and surrounded by other islands. Furthermore, Isla Wellington is located next to the Southern Icefields (a remnant of the LGM), an area that was severely glaciated during the LGM of the Pleistocene. However, the presence of glacial refuges in Isla Wellington according to palynology and fossil record of insects, was later corroborated for *Abrothrix olivacea* and the deer *Hippocamelus bisulcus* [23, 48, 49]. On the other hand, no species of carnivores have been recorded on this island [50]. The only known predators of this system are some raptors occasionally sighted by local people (Park Rangers of Bernardo O’Higgins National Park, personal communication to ER-S). Thereby, the strong cranial size differentiation of *A. olivacea* (as noticed in our linear and geometric morphometric analyses) may have its origins in the absence of predators, and long periods of isolation to monopolize local resources. In fact, in the light of most recent molecular evidence, the geographic forms of *A. olivacea* from Isla Wellington, are recognized as the subspecies *Abrothrix olivacea markhami* [23], proposal that we would be supporting with our morphometric data.

The slight increase of cranial size that we observed in *O. longicaudatus* from Isla Wellington, agreed with previous studies that have shown a subtle mass increment for this mouse on Patagonian islands [51], but such small variation has not been associated with the occurrence of an insular race of *O. longicaudatus*. However, in the Harrison and Capitan Aracena islands of Patagonian fjords (54° S) a new species of *Oligoryzomys* has been recently described, *Oligoryzomys yatesi* [27]) based on morphology, chromosomes and molecular sequence data. The relevant point here is that some male specimens of *O. yatesi* where about double the size if compared to its sister species *O. longicaudatus* from mainland Magallanes region. Two of us (REP & ER-S) captured nine specimens from Harrison Island (the type locality for this new species), an island that is half the size of the smallest islands sampled in this study.

Interestingly, our morphological data did not recognize the occurrence of the other two subspecies of *A. olivacea* in Patagonia, *A. o. canescens* and *A. o. xanthorhina*, as it was proposed by Rodriguez-Serrano [52]. The first subspecies would be mostly restricted to the Brunswick Peninsula and mainland Magallanes: Torres del Paine, Magallanes National Reserve, Fuerte Bulnes and other nearby localities sampled in this study, whereas, *A. o. xanthorhina* would be restricted to islands such as Tierra del Fuego and Riesco [52]. Nevertheless, ongoing phylogeographic studies for Patagonian populations of *A. olivacea* are recovering two major clades in the area: one restricted to islands and the other for the mainland, which would correspond to both subspecies *canescens* and *xanthorhina*, respectively (unpublished data). These results agreed with the finding of two allopatric clades between Tierra del Fuego and Patagonian mainland populations evaluated with molecular data by Lessa et al. [26] and Abud [53]. The incongruence between morphological and molecular variation could be explained because the former usually responds to similar selective pressures along distributional gradients. This might not be reflecting the intrinsic genetic variation due to, for example, the biogeographical processes that affected the Patagonia ecoregion [54]. By contrast, *Oligoryzomys longicaudatus* that also characterizes for having a wide distributional range (27–54° S), it does not show a strong structured population pattern along its geographic distribution, and in Patagonia a single subspecies is recognized, *O. l. magellanicus* [25]. Furthermore, underway phylogeographic studies in the area are not showing any structure for the latter taxon either in the mainland or the islands of Fuegian archipelago.

Overall, our study highlights that the morphological changes experienced by small rodent taxa in the Patagonia could be explained partially in terms of classical biogeographic trends (i.e., island size and distance or ecological pressure release, the Island Rule). However, factors such as the glacial history of Patagonia and the distinct dispersal abilities of *A. olivacea* and *O. longicaudatus* could be considered important subjacent causes. Therefore, it is possible that the higher levels of morphological variation observed in the crania of *A. olivacea*, compared to *O. longicaudatus*, could be a tendency of the former species to rapidly adapt to local conditions. Moreover, *A. olivacea* displays strong population structure by its lower vagility, scenario that could be reinforced under insular conditions (i.e., *A. o. markhami* from Wellington island). We hope to stimulate future researches that extend our geographic sampling along mainland and islands of the Fuegian archipelago to test the findings of this study. In this line, our linear and 3D-geometric morphometric data are valuable for a better comprehension of evolutionary tendencies of small mammals in the remote Patagonian region, in terms of the taxonomy of both species, and for eventual management programs.

**Conclusions**

The crania of *A. olivacea* showed higher levels of morphological variation compared to *O. longicaudatus* along the mainland and islands of the Fuegian archipelago. Morphological differences were observed in cranial size instead of shape. An increase of cranial size of insular forms was detected in *A. olivacea*, but not in *O.
longicaudatus. Moreover, our morphometric analyses consistently showed that for \textit{A. olivacea}, individuals from Isla Wellington were of bigger cranial size than any other sampled locality of this species in Patagonia. We suggest that patterns of morphological variation observed in this study could be explained in term of historical biogeography, such as the glacial dynamic in Patagonia, and the differences in ecological features of the two studied species.
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