Does heart rate variability correlate with long-term prognosis in myocardial infarction patients treated by early revascularization?

Leonida Compostella, Nenad Lakusic, Caterina Compostella, Li Van Stella Truong, Sabino Iliceto, Fabio Bellotto

AIM
To assess the prevalence of depressed heart rate variability (HRV) after an acute myocardial infarction (MI), and to evaluate its prognostic significance in the present era of immediate reperfusion.

METHODS
Time-domain HRV (obtained from 24-h Holter recordings) was assessed in 326 patients (63.5 ± 12.1 years old; 80% males), two weeks after a complicated MI treated by early reperfusion: 208 ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) patients (in which reperfusion was...
HRV parameters recorded in the subacute phase of the disease, both in STEMI and in NSTEMI patients. These results support lack of prognostic significance of traditional HRV parameters when immediate coronary reperfusion is utilised.
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**Core tip:** Depressed heart rate variability (HRV) is usually considered a negative long-term prognostic factor after an acute myocardial infarction (MI). Anyway, most of the supporting research was conducted before the era of immediate reperfusion by percutaneous coronary intervention. In our study, in MI patients treated by early reperfusion abnormal values of HRV are present in a low percentage of cases. Low HRV does not correlate with long term-prognosis, both in ST-elevation and non-ST-elevation MI patients. Abnormal HRV seems to have lost prognostic significance in the present era of primary percutaneous revascularization.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The first clinical evidence that one measure of heart rate variability (HRV), the standard deviation of all normal RR intervals (SDNN), was a powerful predictor of cardiac mortality after an acute myocardial infarction (AMI) was given by the wide longitudinal study by Kleiger et al[1] in 1987. Since then, marked abnormalities of various parameters of HRV, indicating profound derangement of the cardiac autonomic system, have been often described after AMI and have been confirmed to be reliable predictors of poor long-term prognosis[2,3]. The large multicentre ATRAMI study conducted in 1998 demonstrated that 15% of AMI patients observed during the first 4 week of the acute event, presented a SDNN < 70 ms, and that among the group with depressed SDNN long-term mortality was 5 times higher than in the patients with better preserved HRV parameters[4]. These results confirmed the findings of previous studies, such as the GISSI-2 study that used similar evaluation parameters[5]. Other studies used various and different methods to assess HRV (time and frequency domain
measures, discriminant analysis, fractal and other non-linear HRV analysis, with both short-term and long-term evaluations) and observed AMI patients at variable periods of time after the index event; they reported a wide range of prevalence of reduced HRV parameters (ranging from 7% to 34%)\(^6,7\), and different incidence of long-term mortality (ranging from 0% to 45%)\(^8-10\). Despite this, the cited studies mainly confirmed that abnormal HRV holds a negative predictive value on both short and long-term prognosis, with HRV parameters holding high specificity, but poor sensitivity\(^11\).

A limitation of the reported studies is that the majority of them did not provide data of how patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) behave separately from non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) patients. Furthermore, the majority of studies on HRV in patients with a recent AMI have been conducted in an era prior to that of immediate reperfusion by percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). Among 21 papers analyzed in the recent review by Brateanu et al\(^10\), patients had been treated by primary PCI only in 5 studies and in widely variable percentages (ranging from 18% up to 95% of cases)\(^6,12\), so, little information is currently available on prevalence and prognostic significance of depressed HRV in present day AMI patients.

Aims of this study were to assess the prevalence of severely decreased HRV in patients during the subacute phase of a STEMI treated by primary PCI, and to evaluate if HRV maintains a prognostic value in the current era of immediate percutaneous reperfusion, comparing results with those of NSTEMI cases.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Design of the study**

We retrospectively reviewed the clinical files of 326 consecutive patients which were part of a larger study on the effects of cardiac rehabilitation (CR) after AMI. All had suffered a complicated AMI (208 STEMI, 118 NSTEMI) and had been admitted to our CR unit for a period of residential, exercise-based rehabilitation, a median of 13.5 d (95%CI of the mean 15.2-17.3) after the index event. All patients had undergone coronary angiography on initial admission to the Intensive Coronary Care Unit, within 24 h from beginning of AMI symptoms: 194 (94%) STEMI underwent successful PCI of the culprit coronary artery within 6 h of AMI symptoms; 42 (36%) NSTEMI patients received further elective percutaneous revascularization during the initial stay in the Cardiology Department. Overall, at the time of transferral to the CR unit, 121 (58%) STEMI patients and 54 (46%) NSTEMI patients had a complete revascularization, while 87 STEMI and 64 NSTEMI patients were still incompletely revascularized.

Patients were selected for referral to our CR program if they suffered a complicated AMI (cardiogenic shock or pulmonary edema, episode of cardiac arrest, complex ventricular arrhythmias), or if they had incomplete revascularization (because of unfavorable coronary anatomy or technical failure)\(^13\). Low risk patients were referred as out-patients to a CR program in a different centre and excluded from this study.

Echo- or cardiac MRI- documented intracavitary thrombosis, extreme thinning or intra-myocardial bleeding and/or suspected rupture of the ventricular wall were other exclusion criteria from referral to the CR program.

The following clinical variables were recorded for each patient: Age, gender, body mass index, cardiovascular risk factors, site of infarction, culprit coronary artery vessel, number of diseased coronary artery vessels (defined as presence of diameter stenosis > 50%), history of previous PCI or coronary or valvular surgery, presence of ancillary diseases (renal failure, thyroid dysfunction, known diabetes or abnormal glucose metabolism, pulmonary diseases, history or presence of neoplastic diseases, carotid and peripheral vascular disease) and previous and concurrent drug therapy. During their hospitalisation in CR, all patients without previous diagnosis of diabetes underwent an oral glucose tolerance test to identify subclinical abnormal glucose metabolism. Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was measured before discharge by 2-D echocardiography, following the Simpson method.

**Holter monitoring**

On the day of admittance to CR, all patients underwent 24-h ECG Holter recording, using 3-channel digital recorders (Lifecard CF, Del Mar Reynolds, Irvine, CA, United States), monitoring chest leads CM5, CM3 and modified aVF. Recordings were analyzed using a commercial Holter device system (Del Mar-Reynolds Impresario Holter Analysis System, vers. 2.8.0024; Time-domain HRV Analyzer, vers. 1.0.8.4, CENTUM and Del Mar Reynolds Medical Inc., Irvine, CA, United States; sampling rate of 128 Hz).

After cleansing of arrhythmias and artefacts, the usual time domain HRV variables were assessed including: Standard deviation of all normal-to-normal (NN) intervals (SDNN), standard deviation of all 5-min mean NN intervals (SDANN), root mean square of successive differences (RMS5SD), and mean of the standard deviations of all RR intervals of all 5-min segments in the 24 h (SDNN-i). For the purposes of
this study, the main variable that was considered in the correlations with other clinical parameters was the SDNN, as it is usually considered a measure of total variance in heart rate; it is also the variable most widely used in previous studies\(^{[10]}\) and more strongly associated with mortality compared to other variables\(^{[1]}\). SDNN parameters were analyzed for the entire 24 h period; analysis of day and night hours was also done separately. "Day" was defined as the time period between 06:00 and 22:59 and "night" as the period between 23:00 and 05:59.

Patients with atrial fibrillation, or rhythm disturbances that could interfere with accurate HRV analysis (e.g., frequent ectopic beats, rhythm induced by pacemaker) were excluded from the study, as were patients with inadequate/inaccurate recordings.

End points and follow-up
The primary outcome measure was the occurrence of cardiac death; the secondary end-point was occurrence of major clinical events (MCE), defined as death (all-cause mortality, cardiac mortality) or readmission for a new AMI, new revascularization, episodes of heart failure or stroke. At the time of follow-up, the clinical status of the patients was assessed by telephonic interviews, performed either by a doctor or a trained team nurse. In case of clinical events, detailed information was obtained from the patient or his/her relatives. Outcomes were analyzed by intention to treat.

Statistical analysis
Continuous variables were expressed as a mean ± standard deviation (SD) and compared using an unpaired t test; otherwise, variables were expressed with median and interquartile range (IQR) and compared using a Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test. Categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages and were compared between groups by a \(\chi^2\) test. The relationships between continuous variables were evaluated by Pearson’s correlation coefficient. A Cox regression multivariate analysis was also performed to determine the influence of different factors on HRV parameters, including in the multivariable model only variables with a \(P\) value ≤ 0.1 at univariate analysis. HRV variables were initially analyzed as continuous variables; subsequently, HRV variables that showed a significant association with other factors at multivariate analysis were dichotomized and analyzed according to the lowest quartile value. Kaplan-Meier estimates of the distribution of times from baseline to death were computed, and Mantel-Cox Log-Rank analysis was performed to compare the survival curves between the groups. All reported probability values are two-tailed and the significance level was set at 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 18 software package (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, United States).

Statement
During CR hospitalization, all participants had been fully informed on the procedures they were undergoing; a written consent was obtained from all patients before performance of the medical procedures. The routine diagnostic examinations and follow-up protocol for CR were applied; no special tests or treatments were performed. The research was conducted in accordance with the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki. This study is part of a larger follow-up study on patients admitted to CR; approval of the Provincial Ethics Committee (Provincial Health Directorate, Belluno, Italy) was obtained for the main research.

RESULTS

General findings and HRV parameters
Main patients’ characteristics are presented in Table 1, together with the medical therapy prescribed at the time of discharge from hospital. Patients with NSTEMI were older than those with STEMI, and presented more often history of hypertension, previous MI and coronary revascularization procedures, and clinical signs of metabolic syndrome. Patients with NSTEMI had greater number of critical coronary stenoses, revascularization was more often incomplete, and such patients presented more often with symptoms of heart failure on initial admission to the coronary care unit.

In the same Table 1, time-domain HRV parameters are also reported. In spite of the above described clinical differences, all main HRV parameters did not show significant differences between STEMI and NSTEMI patients, except for mean heart rate that was lower in NSTEMI cases.

In a total of 52 patients (16% of the whole group; 16% of STEMI and 15% of NSTEMI; \(\chi^2 = 0.067, P = 0.796\)), SDNN was < 70 ms, and in 13 (4% of the whole group; STEMI 5.3%, NSTEMI 1.7%); \(\chi^2 = 2.539, P = 0.111\) it was < 50 ms. When subdivided into 4 quartiles according to the value of SDNN, the 81 patients in the lowest quartile presented a mean SDNN of 63.7 ± 11.8 ms (vs a mean of 119.4 ± 35.0 ms of the other quartiles; \(P < 0.001\)). Patients with STEMI or NSTEMI were equally distributed between quartiles of SDNN (\(\chi^2 = 1.536, P = 0.674\)).

On average, SDNN was higher during night hours than during day-time (\(P < 0.001\)), although in 109 patients the day-night variation was insignificant or negative; patients with STEMI or NSTEMI behaved in the same way as regards day vs night SDNN.

Female patients presented on average lower values of SDNN than male patients (97.1 ± 42.2 ms vs 107.9 ± 38.1 ms; \(P = 0.046\)), and in 24 out of 65 cases they presented SDNN values in the lower quartile (females 37% vs males 17%; \(\chi^2 = 6.723, P = 0.010\)).

SDNN values in the lower quartile were present more frequently in patients older than 65 years (\(\chi^2 = 4.478, P = 0.034\)), as well as in patients with known diabetes (\(\chi^2 = 10.859, P = 0.001\)) but not in patients with abnormal glucose metabolism detected during the rehabilitation period (\(\chi^2 = 0.762, P = 0.383\)). Patients
### Table 1  Main data of patients, reported for the whole group and for patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction and non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction

|                         | All patients (n = 326) | STEMI (n = 208) | NSTEMI (n = 118) | P<sup>1</sup> | P<sup>2</sup> |
|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Age, yr                  | 63.5 ± 12.1            | 61.3 ± 12.5     | 67.4 ± 10.4      | < 0.001     | 0.197       |
| Male, n (%)              | 261 (80)               | 171 (82)       | 90 (76)          |             |             |
| History and cardiovascular risk factors |                        |                 |                  |             |             |
| Known diabetes, n (%)    | 82 (25)                | 45 (22)        | 37 (31)          | 0.055       |             |
| Abnormal glucose metabolism, n (%) | 106 (32)             | 68 (33)        | 38 (32)          | 0.733       |             |
| Hypertension, n (%)      | 238 (73)               | 134 (64)       | 104 (88)         | < 0.001     |             |
| Smoking habit, n (%)     | 106 (32)               | 81 (39)        | 25 (21)          | 0.001       |             |
| Family history, n (%)    | 179 (55)               | 111 (53)       | 68 (58)          | 0.367       |             |
| Previous CABG, n (%)     | 26 (8)                 | 7 (3)          | 19 (16)          | < 0.001     |             |
| Previous PCI, n (%)      | 43 (13)                | 13 (6)         | 30 (25)          | < 0.001     |             |
| Previous AML, n (%)      | 60 (18)                | 21 (10)        | 39 (33)          | < 0.001     |             |
| Previous stroke, n (%)   | 11 (3)                 | 5 (2)          | 6 (5)            | 0.193       |             |
| Total cholesterol (under treatment), mg/dL | 124.3 ± 26.0          | 123.4 ± 26.3   | 125.8 ± 25.5     | 0.424       |             |
| Metabolic syndrome, n (%)| 204 (62)               | 124 (60)       | 80 (68)          | 0.011       |             |
| BMI                      | 27.2 ± 4.3             | 26.9 ± 3.7     | 27.9 ± 5.2       | 0.090       |             |
| AML characteristics      |                        |                 |                  |             |             |
| Anterior, n (%)          | 171 (52)               | 138 (66)       | 33 (28)          | < 0.001     |             |
| Inferior, n (%)          | 86 (26)                | 66 (32)        | 20 (17)          | 0.003       |             |
| Other, n (%)             | 69 (21)                | 4 (2)          | 65 (55)          | < 0.001     |             |
| Coronary vessels with critical lesions, n | 2.05 ± 0.85           | 1.94 ± 0.84    | 2.25 ± 0.85      | 0.002       |             |
| 1-vessel disease, n (%)  | 105 (32)               | 75 (36)        | 30 (26)          | 0.014       |             |
| 2-vessels disease, n (%) | 97 (30)                | 68 (33)        | 29 (25)          |             |             |
| 3-vessels disease, n (%) | 124 (38)               | 66 (31)        | 58 (49)          |             |             |
| Coronary arteries treated by PCI, n | 1.40 ± 0.82           | 1.34 ± 0.72    | 1.20 ± 0.97      | 0.141       |             |
| Incomplete revascularization, n (%) | 151 (46)          | 87 (42)        | 64 (54)          | 0.031       |             |
| Left ventricle ejection fraction, % | 47.2 ± 10.3           | 47.8 ± 9.2     | 46.4 ± 12.0      | 0.222       |             |
| Patients with LVEF < 40%, n (%) | 85 (26)               | 43 (21)        | 41 (35)          | 0.006       |             |
| Patient with heart failure at initial admission, n (%) | 37 (11)               | 15 (7)         | 22 (19)          | 0.002       |             |
| Time before Holter, d     | 16.2 ± 9.6             | 15.6 ± 9.5     | 17.4 ± 9.8       | 0.117       |             |
| Therapy at time of discharge from hospital (number of cases, %) |                        |                 |                  |             |             |
| Aspirin                  | 314 (96)               | 202 (97)       | 112 (95)         | 0.469       |             |
| Clopidogrel              | 302 (93)               | 192 (92)       | 110 (93)         | 0.458       |             |
| Warfarin                 | 38 (12)                | 22 (11)        | 16 (14)          | 0.399       |             |
| ß-blocker                | 209 (89)               | 189 (91)       | 108 (86)         | 0.198       |             |
| Ca-antagonist            | 38 (12)                | 18 (9)         | 20 (17)          | 0.022       |             |
| ACE-inhibitor            | 264 (81)               | 180 (86)       | 84 (71)          | 0.001       |             |
| AT-Il-antagonist         | 43 (13)                | 16 (8)         | 27 (23)          | < 0.001     |             |
| Statin                   | 314 (96)               | 201 (97)       | 113 (96)         | 0.893       |             |
| Diureticis               | 140 (43)               | 75 (36)        | 65 (55)          | 0.001       |             |
| HRV parameters           |                        |                 |                  |             |             |
| Mean heart rate, bpm     | 68.1 ± 10.0            | 69.1 ± 10.1    | 66.2 ± 9.7       | 0.016       |             |
| pNN50                    | 10.1 ± 12.0            | 9.5 ± 10.5     | 11.1 ± 14.3      | 0.245       |             |
| Triangular Index         | 18.6 ± 29.9            | 19.6 ± 44.4    | 17.0 ± 26.6      | 0.567       |             |
| SDNN, ms                 | 105.7 ± 39.1           | 105.7 ± 39.2   | 105.7 ± 39.2     | 0.990       |             |
| SDNN day, ms             | 95.8 ± 35.4            | 95.2 ± 33.9    | 96.8 ± 37.9      | 0.688       |             |
| SDNN night, ms           | 103.5 ± 41.0           | 102.8 ± 41.6   | 104.8 ± 40.1     | 0.671       |             |
| RMSSD, ms                | 45.0 ± 37.7            | 42.2 ± 30.6    | 49.9 ± 47.4      | 0.079       |             |
| SDANN, ms                | 92.1 ± 33.1            | 93.5 ± 35.1    | 89.7 ± 29.4      | 0.331       |             |
| SDANN-t, ms              | 41.4 ± 22.5            | 40.7 ± 18.9    | 42.6 ± 27.7      | 0.444       |             |

<sup>1</sup>Level of significance from unpaired t tests for STEMI and NSTEMI patients; <sup>2</sup>Level of significance from χ² tests for STEMI and NSTEMI patients. ms: Milliseconds; STEMI: ST-elevation myocardial infarction; NSTEMI: Non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction; CABG: Coronary artery by-pass graft; PCI: Percutaneous coronary intervention; AMI: Acute myocardial infarction; BMI: Body mass index; LVEF: Left ventricle ejection fraction; ACE: Angiotensin converting enzyme; AT-II: Angiotensin II receptor type 2; HRV: Heart rate variability; SDNN: Standard deviation of all normal-to-normal intervals; RMSSD: Root mean square of successive differences between normal-to-normal intervals.

with known diabetes presented also absence of the day/night variation of SDNN (SDNN day: 85.2 ± 32.2 ms in diabetic patients vs 99.5 ± 35.7 ms in non-diabetics, P = 0.002; SDNN night: 85.3 ± 31.0 ms in diabetic patients vs 109.8 ± 42.2 ms in non-diabetic patients, P < 0.001; SDNN day vs night: P = 0.975 in diabetic vs P < 0.001 in non-diabetic patients).

Patients with history of previous MI, or previous CABG or previous PCI were equally distributed among quartiles of SDNN (respectively: χ² = 0.017, P = 0.999; χ² = 1.306, P = 0.728; χ² = 1.729, P = 0.631).

No correlation was found between number of diseased coronary arteries and quartiles of SDNN (whole group: r = -0.044, P = 0.428; STEMI: r = 0.001, P = 0.985;
NSTEMI: $\rho = -0.120$, $P = 0.199$). Patients with complete or incomplete coronary revascularization did not differ as regards distribution among quartile of SDNN ($\chi^2 = 0.059$, $P = 0.807$).

Similarly, no correlation was found between quartile of SDNN and successful vs unsuccessful primary PCI (whole group: $\chi^2 = 0.158$, $P = 0.691$; STEMI: $\chi^2 = 0.031$, $P = 0.861$; NSTEMI: $\chi^2 = 0.684$, $P = 0.408$). Overall, patients with unsuccessful primary PCI presented markedly reduced variation of SDNN values between day and night (SDNN day 94.7 ± 39.3 ms; SDNN night 102.9 ± 37.9 ms; $P = 0.092$); by the contrary, such variations persisted in patients with successful primary PCI (SDNN day 96.2 ± 34.6 ms; SDNN night 103.9 ± 41.5 ms; $P < 0.001$).

In the whole group of patients, a linear correlation was found between LVEF and the values of some HRV parameters (SDNN: $\rho = 0.168$, $P = 0.002$; SDANN: $\rho = 0.225$, $P < 0.001$), but not with other HRV parameters such as RMSSD, SDNN Index, Triangular Index and pNN50. Patients with lower LVEF (< 40%) presented more often values of SDNN in the lowest quartile ($\chi^2 = 12.668$; $P < 0.001$). Mean heart rate during the 24 h of Holter recording was lower in patients with higher LVEF ($\rho = -0.310$, $P < 0.001$).

The 37 patients that presented symptoms of heart failure during the acute phase of AMI showed SDNN values in the lower quartile more often than the remaining patients ($\chi^2 = 7.884$; $P = 0.005$), with SDNN < 70 ms in 32% of cases (vs 14% of the patients without initial symptoms of heart failure; $\chi^2 = 8.457$; $P = 0.004$).

At multivariate Cox regression analysis, a significant correlation with the lowest quartile of SDNN was maintained only by female sex, history of diabetes mellitus and LVEF < 40% (respectively: $\beta = -0.143$, $P = 0.008$; $\beta = 0.146$, $P = 0.008$; $\beta = -0.179$, $P = 0.001$).

Clinical features and outcome

Ten (3.1%) patients were lost to follow up, which occurred a median of 25.0 mo after the index event (95%CI of the mean: 23.3-28.0).

Of the 316 patients which could be interviewed, MCE occurred in 56 (17.2%) of which 20 deaths (6.3%; 14 cardiac deaths), 9 cases of new non fatal AMI (3.0%), 5 patients with stroke (1.6%) and 17 cases of successful elective revascularization (5.4%; 4 CABG, 13 elective PCI); 21 (6.6%) patients had one or more hospital readmissions for heart failure.

No significant difference in overall incidence of MCE at follow-up was evident between cases with STEMI vs NSTEMI ($\chi^2 = 2.166$, $P = 0.141$), although all-cause mortality was higher among NSTEMI patients (14% vs 2%); $\chi^2 = 17.863$, $P < 0.001$) as well as cardiac mortality (10% vs 1.5%; $\chi^2 = 11.471$, $P = 0.001$). Only after one and a half year of follow-up, the Kaplan-Meier MCE-free survival curves begin to diverge, with NSTEMI patients presenting worse outcomes (Mantel-Cox Log-Rank: $\chi^2 = 5.525$, $P = 0.019$; Figure 1A).

Patients with a 3-vessel disease and those who received an incomplete revascularization had a greater incidence of MCE during the period of follow-up (respectively: $\chi^2 = 14.369$, $P = 0.006$; and $\chi^2 = 6.987$, $P = 0.008$). A significant correlation was evident between all-cause deaths or cardiovascular deaths and number of diseased vessels (respectively: $\chi^2 = 19.218$, $P = 0.001$; and $\chi^2 = 13.077$, $P = 0.011$). Incomplete revascularization showed a correlation with all-cause deaths ($\chi^2 = 4.732$, $P = 0.030$) but not with cardiac deaths ($\chi^2 = 1.859$, $P = 0.173$) at follow-up.

The 234 patients that maintained a better preserved myocardial function, as suggested by LVEF > 40%, had lower number of MCE (32) and cardiovascular deaths (3) in the follow-up, than patients with more compromised LVEF, that suffered 24 MCEs and 11 cardiovascular deaths among 82 patients (for MCE: $\chi^2 = 10.126$, $P = 0.001$, and OR = 0.383, 95%CI: 0.209-0.701; for cardiovascular deaths: $\chi^2 = 21.110$, $P < 0.001$, and OR = 0.084, 95%CI: 0.023-0.309).

At multivariate Cox regression analysis, the variables that showed predictive value for MCE were presence of a three-vessel disease ($\beta = 0.062$, $P = 0.013$), elective PCI ($\beta = 0.250$, $P = 0.021$), known diabetes mellitus ($\beta = 0.124$, $P = 0.013$) and LVEF < 40% ($\beta = -0.114$, $P = 0.017$), while no significant correlation was found with age, sex, number of vessels treated by PCI ($\beta = -0.032$, $P = 0.229$), history of incomplete revascularization, STEMI vs NSTEMI ($\beta = -0.002$, $P = 0.970$), site of infarction or presence of signs of heart failure during initial admission.

In Figure 1B, the Kaplan-Meier events-free survival curves are presented for patients stratified according to LVEF ≤ 40% vs LVEF > 40%; the Mantel-Cox Log-Rank demonstrated statistically significant differences between the curves ($\chi^2 = 10.896$, $P = 0.001$).

HRV and outcome

Even in the subgroup in the lower quartile of SDNN, no difference was found in incidence of MCE (14/76 cases) in comparison with other subgroups (42/240 cases), ($\chi^2 = 0.034$, $P = 0.855$); this finding was similar for STEMI and NSTEMI patients (respectively: 10 MCE among 52 STEMI patients with lower quartile of SDNN vs 21/150 of the other quartiles, $\chi^2 = 0.813$, $P = 0.367$; and 4 MCE among 24 NSTEMI patients with lower quartile of SDNN vs 21/90 of the other quartiles, $\chi^2 = 0.492$, $P = 0.483$).

Patients with negative day-night variations of SDNN presented long-term events similar to patients with positive SDNN day-night variations ($\chi^2 = 2.107$, $P = 0.147$).

The Kaplan-Meier MCE-free survival curves were similar between the group of patients with SDNN in the lowest quartile vs the patients of the other SDNN quartiles (Log-Rank $\chi^2 = 0.376$, $P = 0.540$; Figure 2A), with no difference for STEMI (Log-Rank $\chi^2 = 1.801$, $P =$...
with SDNN in the lowest quartile and other quartiles of SDNN (Mantel-Cox log rank, respectively: $\chi^2 = 2.207, P = 0.137$; and $\chi^2 = 0.399, P = 0.527$). After separating STEMI from NSTEMI patients, different survival curves have been observed only for all-cause mortality (Figure 3), but not for cardiac mortality: STEMI patients with SDNN in the lowest quartile presented 3 out of 4 all-cause deaths (Mantel-Cox log rank $\chi^2 = 6.591, P = 0.010$) and 2 out of 3 cardiac deaths (Log-Rank $\chi^2 = 3.685, P = 0.055$), while among NSTEMI patients no correlation was found between quartile of SDNN and recurrence of MI during the follow-up period ($\chi^2 = 0.489, P = 0.484$).

As regards to death for all causes, 7 out of 20 deaths occurred among patients with lowest quartile of SDNN ($\chi^2 = 1.401, P = 0.236$); analyzing the whole group of AMI patients, the Kaplan-Meier survival curves for all-cause mortality (Figure 2B) and for cardiac deaths did not evidence significant differences between patients with SDNN in the lowest quartile and other quartiles of SDNN (Mantel-Cox log rank, respectively: $\chi^2 = 2.072, P = 0.137$; and $\chi^2 = 0.399, P = 0.527$). After separating STEMI from NSTEMI patients, different survival curves have been observed only for all-cause mortality (Figure 3), but not for cardiac mortality: STEMI patients with SDNN in the lowest quartile presented 3 out of 4 all-cause deaths (Mantel-Cox log rank $\chi^2 = 6.591, P = 0.010$) and 2 out of 3 cardiac deaths (Log-Rank $\chi^2 = 3.685, P = 0.055$), while among NSTEMI patients no correlation was found between quartile of SDNN and recurrence of MI during the follow-up period ($\chi^2 = 0.489, P = 0.484$).

As regards to death for all causes, 7 out of 20 deaths occurred among patients with lowest quartile of SDNN ($\chi^2 = 1.401, P = 0.236$); analyzing the whole group of AMI patients, the Kaplan-Meier survival curves for all-cause mortality (Figure 2B) and for cardiac deaths did not evidence significant differences between patients with SDNN in the lowest quartile and other quartiles of SDNN (Mantel-Cox log rank, respectively: $\chi^2 = 2.072, P = 0.137$; and $\chi^2 = 0.399, P = 0.527$). After separating STEMI from NSTEMI patients, different survival curves have been observed only for all-cause mortality (Figure 3), but not for cardiac mortality: STEMI patients with SDNN in the lowest quartile presented 3 out of 4 all-cause deaths (Mantel-Cox log rank $\chi^2 = 6.591, P = 0.010$) and 2 out of 3 cardiac deaths (Log-Rank $\chi^2 = 3.685, P = 0.055$), while among NSTEMI patients no correlation was found between quartile of SDNN and recurrence of MI during the follow-up period ($\chi^2 = 0.489, P = 0.484$).
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All-cause mortality according to HRV (SDNN) quartiles

Figure 3 Kaplan-Meier all-cause mortality curves for ST-elevation myocardial infarction patients (A) and non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction patients (B) divided between cases with lowest quartile of standard deviation of all normal-to-normal intervals vs cases in the other standard deviation of all-normal-to-normal intervals quartiles. HRV: Heart rate variability; SDNN: Standard deviation of all normal-to-normal intervals; STEMI: ST-elevation myocardial infarction; NSTEMI: Non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction.

Difference in the survival curves was observed between patients with SDNN in the lowest quartile vs the other quartiles (all-cause mortality: Log-Rank \( \chi^2 = 0.195, P = 0.659 \); cardiac deaths: Log rank \( \chi^2 = 0.040, P = 0.842 \)).

Analysis of the long-term outcomes of patients with low values of RMSSD gave similar results as those described for low SDNN values: Kaplan-Meier events free survival did not differ between patients with RSDNN in lowest quartile vs the other quartiles, both for incidence of MCE \( \chi^2 = 0.849, P = 0.357 \) and all-cause or cardiac mortality (respectively: \( \chi^2 = 0.060, P = 0.806 \); and \( \chi^2 = 0.245, P = 0.621 \)).

Patients lost to follow-up

Patients’ main clinical parameters (age, sex, time from STEMI to CR, site of infarction, number of diseased vessels, fasting glucose, HbA1c, haemoglobin level at admission, LVEF) and HRV values (SDNN, RMSSD, SDNNi, SDANN) have been compared between the 10 cases lost to follow-up and the 314 patients that completed the study. Patients lost to follow-up presented more elevated average values of HbA1c (7.2% ± 2.0% vs 6.4% ± 1.1%; \( P = 0.022 \)) but not of fasting glucose (109.5 ± 37.9 mg/dL vs 95.2 ± 22.5 mg/dL; \( P = 0.085 \)) at admission. All the other clinical and HRV parameters were not significantly different in comparison to followed-up patients.

DISCUSSION

In studied patients with STEMI, treatment by primary PCI did not demonstrate a clear effect in reduction of the prevalence of marked depression of HRV in comparison to what was reported in studies performed in the pre-primary-PCI era: The prevalence of 16% of STEMI patients with SDNN < 70 ms at 2 wk from the acute event is the same as that recorded in the GISSI-2 and the ATRAMI studies, in which patients had been treated conservatively or by thrombolysis. \[4,5\]. It is however much lower than that reported by Wilinski et al \[6\] in patients treated by primary PCI (21% in patients < 65 years old and 34% in those ≥ 65 years old), as well as in other smaller dimension studies in which patients had also been treated by primary PCI\[10,16\]. When considering the subgroup of patients with even more depressed HRV (using the cut-off of SDNN < 50 ms, as in the pivotal study by Kleiger et al\[17\]), the prevalence of this abnormal parameter was lower in our STEMI patients (5% in our study vs 15% in Kleiger’s study), being somehow similar to that observed also by Erdogan et al\[6\] (7%) in patients treated by immediate revascularization. A number of other studies investigating HRV in the post-acute phase of MI have been conducted in the last 15 years. In such studies the percentage of patients treated by primary PCI varied between 18% and 70%, so that their results about the effects of early revascularization on HRV parameters are not easily comparable between them and with our ones\[11,12,17-19\].

Even if the percentage of patients with markedly depressed SDNN was not clearly reduced by the immediate reperfusion strategy, the overall derangement of HRV parameters in our cases was limited, in spite of our study population being constituted by patients that suffered a complicated AMI: On average, mean HRV values were only slightly lower than those reported in literature for healthy persons of the same age group\[20\]. In fact, in previous studies, it has been observed that...
autonomic function is better preserved in patients treated by primary PCI, in comparison to patients who receive fibrinolysis or are treated conservatively[21]. It must also be added that all our patients were under treatment with ACE-inhibitors and beta-blockers, drugs that may impact on HRV in post-infarction patients[22–25].

Patients with NSTEMI did not show significant differences in the analyzed HRV parameters in comparison to STEMI patients, even though they presented various factors that may have lead to more depressed HRV (older age, greater prevalence of previous AMI, multiple risk factors, heart failure complicating the initial phase of AMI, often a 3-vessel disease and incomplete revascularization). To the best of our knowledge, before the present study no information was available in the literature regarding HRV in NSTEMI patients, when considered separately.

In 13 follow-up studies conducted between 1987 and 1999 where HRV was analyzed in AMI patients not treated with immediate PCI reperfusion, the reported incidence of long-term mortality ranged widely between 3.4% and 45% of study cases; from the data provided in the papers mortality can be estimated on average to be around 10%[14,5,26–34]. Almost all these studies included both STEMI and NSTEMI patients. More recently, only STEMI patients have been analysed, following treatment by primary PCI: Their long-term mortality rate was reported to be substantially lower than in the pre-primary-PCI era, being possible to calculate it on average around 5% of cases[6,24,26].

In spite of our patients having experienced various kinds of major complications during the initial phase of MI, in STEMI cases both the overall mortality and cardiac mortality at long-term follow-up were rather low, and significantly lower than the long-term mortality of NSTEMI patients. The timely reperfusion strategy, with consequent reduction of infarct size and salvage of more heart muscle, as well as the multiple pharmacological therapy used, may have contributed to the better long-term survival of our STEMI patients[25]; the period of intensive and comprehensive exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation followed by our patients may also have contributed to their better prognosis[36].

Low values of SDNN (lowest quartile) recorded at two weeks from the index event did not possess a predictive value for cardiac mortality in our STEMI patients, or in NSTEMI patients, or in the group of MI patients considered as a whole. In the pivotal study by Kleiger et al[11], the finding of a markedly depressed SDNN was a predictor of long-term mortality more than 5 times higher than in patients with preserved SDNN; identical results have been confirmed in the ATRAMI study[21], and substantially similar outcomes have also been reported in other studies performed in the pre-primary-PCI era[26,29,30], as well as in studies that included low percentage of PCI-treated patients[27].

Among primary-PCI treated patients, Erdogan et al[8] found that SDNN was lower in non-survivors than in survivors after a mean follow-up of 4.3 ± 3 years, but this HRV parameter predicted only 1 in 24 cardiac deaths, indicating that the predictive value of a depressed SDNN is low. Our results indicate that the limited derangement of HRV parameters and the low long-term mortality recorded among our patients do not allow to identify if a markedly depressed HRV (as estimated by low SDNN) could still be considered an indicator of poor survival in patients treated by primary PCI.

In the attempt to identify possible differences in secondary outcomes linked to different levels of HRV derangement among AMI patients treated by primary PCI, we studied the long-term incidence of Major Clinical Events, which is a composite parameter that has recently been used in other studies[29,16]. Other than mortality (cardiovascular and all-cause deaths), it includes also non-fatal events, such as new AMI, new coronary revascularization, episodes of heart failure, episodes of stroke.

Amongst our cases, patients with more depressed SDNN did not show any significant difference of MCE-free outcomes in comparison to patients with preserved parameters, after a median follow-up period of 25 mo (a period that is in range with most of the previous studies)[10]. This is quite a different finding in comparison to other recent small scale studies, that confirmed that abnormal HRV retains some (although low) negative predictive value on long-term prognosis also in primary-PCI treated AMI patients[8,10].

Almost all our patients had been submitted to revascularization of the coronary culprit lesion during the initial phases of their AMI, and a substantial percentage of them had also received revascularization of other critically stenosed coronary arteries, before transferral to CR and recording of 24-h Holter. These facts, together with the pharmacological treatment with beta-blockers and ACE-inhibitors or AT-II-antagonists in use at the time of Holter recording, could have reduced both the autonomic derangement during the subacute phase of the MI and the risk of adverse events in the long-term follow-up.

Limitations of the study
Criteria of exclusion from this study included presence of atrial fibrillation or flutter, a rhythm induced by the pacemaker, or inadequate Holter recordings. Although such patients may have had significant autonomic dysfunction, HRV could not be measured in them. Consequently it is not known if a depressed HRV could have had any long-term impact on their prognosis.

The degrees of autonomic derangement presented by our patients, that may have been conditioned by the kind of complications suffered during the initial phase of their disease, may possibly not be generalized to the other complicated or uncomplicated STEMI patients.

HRV was performed only in time domain; no analysis was available in the frequency domain. However, it is
already known that time-domain HRV indices measured over a 24-h period are well correlated with frequency domain indices in coronary artery disease patients\textsuperscript{24}. Among time-domain parameters, SDNN was identified as having the same high predictive value as the frequency-domain LF amplitude in post-AMI patients\textsuperscript{16}

### Conclusions

In conclusion, in our group of patients with a recent complicated MI, abnormal autonomic parameters (evidenced by low HRV) have been found with a prevalence that was similar for STEMI and NSTEMI cases, and substantially unchanged in comparison to what reported in the pre-primary-PCI era.

Long-term outcomes in PCI-treated STEMI patients were more favorable than in old cohorts of patients. They did not correlate with the level of depression of HRV parameters recorded in the subacute phase of the disease, both in STEMI and in NSTEMI patients. Traditional HRV parameters seem to have lost their prognostic significance in the present era of immediate coronary reperfusion.

### Peer-review

The authors analysed the potential prognosis of HRV in patients treated with primary PCI.
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