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Abstract

This paper studies the growth rate of reconnection instabilities in thin current sheets in the presence of both resistivity and viscosity. In a previous paper, Pucci & Velli, it was argued that at sufficiently high Lundquist number $S$ it is impossible to form current sheets with aspect ratios $L/a$ that scale as $L/a \sim S^\alpha$ with $\alpha > 1/3$ because the growth rate of the tearing mode would then diverge in the ideal limit $S \rightarrow \infty$. Here we extend their analysis to include the effects of viscosity, always present in numerical simulations along with resistivity, and which may play a role in the solar corona and other astrophysical environments. A finite Prandtl number allows current sheets to reach larger aspect ratios before becoming rapidly unstable in pileup-type regimes. Scalings with Lundquist and Prandtl numbers are discussed, as well as the transition to kinetic reconnection.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Current sheets are generically unstable to resistive reconnecting instabilities, the archetype of which is the tearing mode (Furth et al. 1963). In the tearing mode instability the fastest-growing perturbations have wavelengths along the current sheet that are much greater than the thickness of the sheet itself, identified with the shear scale length of the equilibrium magnetic field. Magnetic islands develop in a small region around the neutral line (or, more generally, for a current sheet with a nonvanishing axial field, on surfaces where the wave vector is such that $k \cdot B_0 = 0$) and grow on timescales intermediate between the diffusion timescale of the equilibrium and the Alfvén timescale as measured on the current sheet thickness.

Though the tearing mode has been studied extensively over the past decades, its role in triggering fast magnetic energy release and current sheet disruption has attracted recent research focusing on the stability of thin current sheets, starting from the Sweet–Parker steady-state reconnecting configuration (which is found to be unstable to the plasmoid instability; - Loureiro et al. 2007, 2012), and on the role of kinetic effects in speeding up reconnection compared to the slow growth rates originally found in Furth et al. (1963).

It was recently suggested in Pucci & Velli (2014) that the use of the Sweet–Parker current sheet as a potential initial configuration leading to fast instability was misleading in the limit of very large Lundquist numbers, because of the fast plasmoid instability, whose growth rate diverges as the Lundquist number, based on the macroscopic scale, tends to infinity. In that paper it was shown that a current sheet with a limiting aspect ratio much smaller than that of the Sweet–Parker sheet, scaling as $S^{1/3}$ ($S$ being the Lundquist number), separates slowly growing resistively reconnecting sheets from those exhibiting fast plasmoid instabilities, and that this provides the proper convergence properties to ideal MHD, which is a singular limit of the resistive MHD equations. In Pucci & Velli (2014) the tearing mode instability is studied for a family of current sheets with aspect ratios scaling as $L/a = S^\alpha$. Interestingly, if $\alpha < 1/2$, the Sweet–Parker value, static equilibria may be constructed that do not diffuse, while flows in the Sweet–Parker model are required, as the current sheet would otherwise diffuse on an ideal timescale based on the macroscopic current sheet length. Pucci & Velli (2014) find that as $\alpha \rightarrow 1/3$ from below, the growth rate becomes independent of $S$ itself, reaching a value of order unity. As such, that aspect ratio provides a physical upper limit to current sheet aspect ratios that may form naturally in plasmas. Otherwise, at large $S$, the instability timescale would become faster than the time required to set up the equilibrium in the first place.

The linear study of reconnection instabilities as described above is intended as a useful schematization to inspect the local evolution of quasi-singular current layers that, in fact, naturally form when embedded in a far richer and dynamical context such as, for example, in the field line tangling of the Parker nanoflare model of coronal heating (Rappazzo & Parker 2013), or the propagation of waves around X-points or along separatrix surfaces in 3D fields, e.g., at the boundaries of closed and open fields in the so-called streamer and pseudo-streamer configurations in the solar corona.

Therefore, the question arises as to which other effects limit the aspect ratios of current sheets that can be formed before they disrupt on ideal timescales. Also, given the extremely large aspect ratios of “ideally” reconnecting current sheets, microscopic processes, such as two-fluid and kinetic effects, might be fundamental in the initial stages of the fast current disruption (Cassak et al. 2005; Cassak & Drake 2013).

In this paper we focus on the effects of viscosity in determining limiting values for current sheet dimensions, i.e., in determining at which aspect ratios the growth rate of reconnection instabilities reaches ideal values—assuming a scaling of the aspect ratio with the macroscopic Lundquist and Prandtl numbers. In the magnetized limit $\omega_{ci(e)}\tau_{ci(e)} \gg 1$, $\omega_{ci(e)}$ and $\tau_{ci(e)}$ being the ion (electron) Larmor frequency and collision time, respectively, there are two different viscous transport coefficients of the plasma, along the direction parallel...
and perpendicular to the mean magnetic field (Braginskii 1965). Indicating with $\nu$ and $\nu_\parallel$ perpendicular and parallel (ion) kinematic viscosity, respectively, and with $\eta$ the parallel magnetic diffusivity, the Prandtl numbers are given by

$$ P \equiv \frac{\nu}{\eta} = 0.3 \frac{4\pi n kT_i}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{m_i}{m_e} \right)^{3/2} \approx \beta \left( \frac{m_i}{m_e} \right), \quad (1) $$

$$ P_\parallel \equiv \frac{\nu_\parallel}{\eta} = 0.96 \frac{4\pi n e^2 \tau_e kT_i \eta}{c^2} \approx 5 \times 10^{-2} \frac{\tau_i^4}{n}, \quad (2) $$

where $\beta$ is the ratio of ion thermal pressure to magnetic pressure, $n$ is the number density, and $T_i \approx T$ are the ion and electron temperatures, respectively. As a consequence, in many astrophysical environments the Prandtl number may range from small values to values of order 1 or larger (Dobrowolny et al. 1983; Schekochihin et al. 2005): In Table 1 we list for reference some examples of magnetized plasmas that can be found in space and their order-of-magnitude parameters. It is therefore of interest to study viscous effects on the stability of thin current sheets over a broad range of Prandtl numbers.

We will begin in Section 2 by introducing the basic set of equations describing the tearing mode and by clarifying the notation used throughout the paper. Sections 3 and 4 follow with a detailed description of the “classic” visco-resistive tearing instability (i.e., current sheets of a given thickness), providing a unified framework summarizing previous results scattered in the literature and setting the stage for the subsequent focus on the scalings of growth rates and singularly thick current sheets with Lundquist and Prandtl (or magnetic Reynolds) numbers for arbitrary aspect ratios. The latter are discussed in Section 5, where we also consider the possible consequences of the onset of reconnection at high Prandtl numbers. Conclusions are given in Section 6.

### 2. MODEL EQUATIONS

We consider the visco-resistive tearing instability of a current sheet within the framework of incompressible MHD. In general, viscous forces in a magnetized plasma are described by the divergence of a stress tensor that involves both the parallel and perpendicular viscous coefficients $\nu$ and $\nu_\parallel$ (Braginskii 1965). Moreover, the complete stress tensor contains also nondissipative finite Larmor radius terms, sometimes called gyroviscosity terms, proportional to $nT_i/\nu_\parallel$ (Braginskii 1965; Cerri et al. 2013). We will neglect them here, with the reminder that in future generalizations to kinetic reconnection regimes gyroviscosity must be taken into account.

We schematize the current sheet with a sheared magnetic field $B_0$ in slab geometry. $B_0 = \hat{y} B_0 \tan(\kappa/a)$, where $a$ is its width, and we assume a uniform mass density $\rho_0$. The gradient of the magnetic pressure may be balanced either by a pressure gradient or by an inhomogeneous component of the guide field, along the $z$-axis, which may vary in such a way as to maintain a force-free configuration.

The resulting set of incompressible MHD equations for a 2D geometry in the limit of strong guide field is

$$ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla u = - \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \left( p + \frac{B^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}, \quad (3a) $$

$$ \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (u \times B) + \eta \nabla^2 \mathbf{B}, \quad (3b) $$

where $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are the plasma velocity and magnetic field, respectively. In the opposite limit of weak guide field we get a nonisotropic contribution of viscosity:

$$ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla u = - \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \left( p + \frac{B_0^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} $$

$$ + \frac{\nu}{\eta} \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \frac{\nu}{\eta} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}}{\partial x^2} + 4 \nu \left( \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}_x}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}_y}{\partial y^2} \right) \hat{x} $$

$$ + 2 \nu \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}_x}{\partial y^2} + 4 \nu \left( \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}_x}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}_y}{\partial x^2} \right) \hat{y}, \quad (4a) $$

$$ \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (u \times B) + \eta \nabla^2 \mathbf{B}. \quad (4b) $$

Hereafter we will limit our analysis mainly to the effects of perpendicular kinematic viscosity $\nu$. Therefore, unless specified, we will consider Equations (3), which are a valid approximation both in the RMHD ordering with strong guide magnetic field and in the case of a weak guide field, since the transverse gradient is dominant in the reconnection layer. We will discuss in Section 4 some of the effects of large parallel viscosity and in which limit the set of Equations (3) may approximate Equations (4).

We introduce in the equations to be discussed below a macroscopic length scale $L$ (Pucci & Velli 2014), which represents the relevant spatial scale of the system, e.g., the length of the sheet, with respect to which we define the three timescales of the system: the ideal Alfvén ($\tau_a$), the diffusive ($\tau_\nu$), and the resistive ($\tau_\eta$) timescales,

$$ \tau_a = \frac{L}{v_\parallel}, \quad \tau_\nu = \frac{L v_\parallel}{\nu} \equiv R, \quad \tau_\eta = \frac{L v_\parallel}{\eta} \equiv S, \quad (5) $$

where $v_\parallel = B_0/\sqrt{\mu_0}$ is the Alfvén speed. Following the usual notation, we have introduced the Lundquist number $S$, and we labeled the kinematic Reynolds number (defined using the Alfvén velocity) with $R$. 

---

**Table 1**

| Plasma Environment          | n     | $T_i$ | $\mu$   | $\eta$   |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|---------|---------|
| Solar corona                | $10^9$| $10^6$| $10^{-2}$| $10^9$  |
| Solar flares                | $10^{10}$| $10^3$–$10^7$| $(20–100)\mu$| $3–50$| $10^{16}–10^{18}$  |
| ISM (ionized)              | $5$   | $(35–23)\times10^4$| $(1–10)\mu$| $10–100$| $10^{11}–10^{20}$  |
| Intracluster medium         | $10^{-3}$| $10^8$| $(0.1–1)\mu$| $10^2$–$10^3$| $10^{39}$  |
For the sake of clarity, we will refer throughout the text to the “classic” tearing instability when timescales are measured with respect to the shear length \( a \), which is achieved by setting \( L = a \).

By assuming that small perturbations are functions of the form \( f(x/a) \exp(iky + \gamma t) \), \( k \) and \( \gamma \) being the wave vector and growth rate of a given mode, respectively, linearization of the parent system of equations around the prescribed equilibrium leads to two coupled equations for the (normalized) velocity and magnetic field perturbations \( \tilde{u} \) and \( \tilde{b} \):

\[
\frac{\gamma_\tau a^2}{L^2} \left( \tilde{u}'' - k^2 \tilde{u} \right) = -\frac{k}{\rho_0} \tilde{B}_0 \left( \tilde{b}'' - k^2 \tilde{b} \right) - \tilde{b} \tilde{B}_0^n
+ R^{-1} \left( \tilde{u}^N - k^2 \tilde{u}^N \right)
- k^2 \left( \tilde{u}'' - k^2 \tilde{u}'' \right),
\]

\[
\gamma_\tau \tilde{b} = \tilde{u} \tilde{k} \tilde{B}_0 + S^{-1/2} \frac{L^2}{a} \left( \tilde{b}'' - k^2 \tilde{b}'' \right).
\]

In the equations above, a prime denotes differentiation with respect to the normalized variable \( x/a \), and \( \tilde{k} = k/a \). Magnetic fields are normalized to \( \tilde{B}_0 \), thus \( \tilde{B}_0 = B_0/B_0 \) and \( \tilde{b} = b/L \), and the normalized velocity is \( \tilde{u} = bu/L(\nu/a) \).

There are a number of previous studies analyzing the effect of viscosity on “classic” tearing modes. While exact solutions to Equations (6)–(7) could not be found, approximated solutions in the constant-\( \psi \) and non-constant-\( \psi \) (resistive internal kink) regimes (Porcelli 1987; Grasso et al. 2008; Militello et al. 2011) showed that even a moderate value of the viscosity has negligible effects. In particular, viscosity becomes more important for increasing wave vectors, toward marginal stability (\( \gamma = 0 \)); as is intuitive, viscosity, on the one hand, tends to slow down the instability with respect to the inviscid case, and, on the other hand, it prevents the reconnnective layer \( \delta \) from shrinking indefinitely as marginal stability is approached, \( \Delta \to 0 \) (or, for our equilibrium, \( ka \to 1 \); Bondeson & Sobel 1984; Grasso et al. 2008; Militello et al. 2011). Porcelli (1987) provides the most interesting and relevant results concerning visco-resistive tearing. He shows that the growth rate scales as \( \gamma_\tau \sim S^{-5/6}R^{-1/6} \) in the constant-\( \psi \) regime and \( \gamma_\tau \sim S^{-2/3}R^{-1/3} \) in the non-constant-\( \psi \) one, whereas the inner reconnnective layer scales as \( \delta \sim (SR)^{1/6} \) in both regimes. In addition, both analytical and numerical calculations confirmed that viscosity removes the singularity at \( \gamma = 0 \) and allows for the existence of nonsingular marginal modes at finite values of \( \Delta \) (i.e., \( ka < 1 \)).

In the next section we carry out a more comprehensive analysis in parameter space, and we consider asymptotic scalings with \( S \) and \( R \).

3. Viscous-Resistive Tearing Mode

In this section we describe our main numerical results on the “classic” visco-resistive tearing instability, where, following the historical approach, the timescales \( \tau_\nu \), \( \tau_\eta \), and \( \tau_\eta \) are defined via the shear length \( a \).

Equations (6)–(7) have been integrated numerically with an adaptive finite difference scheme, based on Newton iteration, which was designed by Lentini and Pereira in the 1970s to solve two-point boundary value problems for systems of ordinary differential equations (Lentini & Pereyra 1974). The maximum absolute error on the solution is specified and the boundary layer structure of the solution is solved by increasing the mesh points in that region. This method has become a standard numerical technique supplementing the asymptotic analysis for linear plasma stability problems (see, e.g., Velli & Hood 1974; Malara & Velli 1996, and references therein).

We integrated the eigenmode equations for a given wavevector \( k \) by imposing at the boundaries to the left and to the right of the magnetic neutral line, where both viscosity and resistivity can be neglected, the outer layer solution of the tearing mode, which goes to zero for \( x \to \infty \) (Velli & Hood 1974). Our results are summarized in Figures 1–6 below. We recover the known analytical results, and we extend and complete the numerical analysis to a wider range of parameters. The Prandtl number \( P = S/R \) is allowed to vary from high values, \( P \gg 1 \), all the way down to \( P \ll 1 \), by changing either the Lundquist number \( S \) at fixed Reynolds number \( R \) or, vice versa, by varying \( R \) at fixed \( S \). The dependence on \( S \) and \( P \), or \( S \) and \( R \), of the growth rate of the fastest-growing mode \( \gamma_\nu \)
and of the correspondent wave vector $k_m$ is also described in detail. Our focus on the fastest-growing mode stems from the idea that once a current sheet becomes increasingly thin, one expects the fastest-growing mode to dominate the evolution of the instability.

In Figure 1 we show an example of the dispersion relation in the range $0.001 \leq \hat{k} \leq 1$ for $S = 10^6$ and different Reynolds numbers, which correspond to Prandtl numbers $10^{-2} \leq P \leq 10^4$. The inviscid case, shown as a dotted line, is recovered asymptotically for $P \to 0$. It can be seen that a small, but finite, viscosity affects modes with relatively large wave vectors, about $\hat{k} \lesssim 1$: the growth rate is reduced, and, as will be discussed below, there exists a critical wave vector $k_c$ above which the equilibrium is stable ($\gamma < 0$). On the contrary, modes with smaller wave vectors, $\hat{k} \ll 1$, deviate from their asymptotic values, defined at $P = 0$, for higher Prandtl numbers, as can be seen by comparing curves with $P \leq 1$ versus those with $P > 1$. In the top panel of Figure 2, the growth rate for two chosen values of the wave vector is plotted as a function of $R$ (lower abscissa) and $P$ (upper abscissa), and in the bottom panel, we show the growth rate, for the same modes, as a function of $S$ and $P$. The two modes have wave vectors $k = 0.5$ and $\hat{k} = 0.005$, which lie above and below the fastest-growing mode, respectively. Roughly speaking, the former corresponds to the constant-$\psi$ regime and the latter to the non-constant-$\psi$ regime. In both cases, the growth rate increases for decreasing viscosity, eventually becoming independent from viscosity itself, as can be seen from the plateau that forms at $P \lesssim 1$. It is clearly seen now that the mode with larger wave vector reaches the plateau for smaller values of viscosity ($P \ll 1$). Before the plateau, the scaling valid in the constant-$\psi$ approximation $\gamma_{\psi} \sim P^{-1/6}$ (Porcelli 1987; Ofman et al. 1991) is recovered at intermediate values of viscosity $10^{-2} \lesssim P \lesssim 10^2$ for $\hat{k} = 0.5$, while the scaling $\gamma_{\psi} \sim P^{-1/3}$ is obtained in the non-constant-$\psi$ regime, $\hat{k} = 0.005$ (Porcelli 1987). Similarly, the bottom panel shows that for $P \gg 1$ the growth rate scales as $\gamma_{\psi} \sim S^{-5/6}$ for $\hat{k} = 0.5$ and $\gamma_{\psi} \sim S^{-2/3}$ for $\hat{k} = 0.005$ (black dashed lines). For smaller Prandtl numbers, in an interval spanning from about $P > 10^{-2}$ to $P < 10^{-1} - 1$, the growth rate follows the two known scalings for the constant-$\psi$ and non-constant-$\psi$ regimes—provided that $S$ is large enough, plotted for reference in green and violet dashed lines, respectively.

With the intent of inferring the scalings of the fastest-growing mode with Lundquist and Prandtl numbers $S$ and $P$ (or with the Reynolds number $R$, we plot in Figures 3 and 4 the maximum growth rate $\gamma_m$ and respective wave vector $k_m$, versus $P$ (left panels) and versus both $S$ and $P$ (right panels). In the left panels we spanned from Reynolds $R < S$ ($P > 1$) to $R > S$ ($P < 1$) for fixed $S$. Similarly, in the right panels we chose three different values of $R$ and spanned from $S < R$ to $S > R$. In the right panels we show in the lower abscissa the Lundquist number and in the upper abscissa, for reference, the Prandtl number. By inspection of numerical results displayed in Figure 3, we found an expression that represents the maximum growth rate in the asymptotic limit $S \gg 1$:}

$$\gamma_m = \left[\frac{f(P)}{P + f(P)}\right] \gamma_{\psi}, \quad (8)$$

where $f(P) \to 2$ for $P \ll 1$ and $f(P) \to P^{3/4}$ for $P \gg 1$. $\gamma_{\psi} \propto S^{-1/2}$ is the maximum growth rate in an inviscid plasma, which is recovered by Equation (8) in the limit $P \ll 1$. In the opposite limit $P \gg 1$, which is of major interest to us, Equation (8) tends, in agreement with Loureiro et al. (2013), to

$$\gamma_m \sim S^{-1/2} P^{-1/4} = S^{-3/4} R^{1/4}. \quad (9)$$

We used Equation (8) to fit the numerical points in Figure 3, as represented by the superposed colored lines. In the left panel, we solved the inviscid equations to determine the growth rate $\gamma$, so as to find the exact asymptotic value reached by $\gamma_m$ when approaching the inviscid limit $P \ll 1$, which is achieved in practice at $P \approx 0.1$. In the right panels, instead, the scaling $\gamma_m = c S^{-1/2}$ has been used, and we chose an arbitrary constant to best fit the numerical points, which approaches the value $c = 0.62$ for increasing values of $R$. The dashed black lines are reported for reference and represent the scalings valid for $P \ll 1$ and $P \gg 1$. It can be observed that the fit is increasingly accurate for higher values of the Lundquist number.

---

$^5$ The expression $f(P) = P + P^{3/4} + P^{1/2} + 2$ fits the numerical solution to a very good approximation.
A similar expression for the wave vector $k_m$ could not be found. Nevertheless, we inferred the scaling $\mu = k_a S^{-1/4}$ for $P < 1$, while the inviscid scaling $\mu = k_a S^{1/4}$ is recovered for $P > 1$. Black dashed lines are displayed to show the two scalings. Observe that the wave vector $k_m(R)$ has a minimum, as represented in the left panel. This can be seen also by inspection of Figure 1, by following the wave vector of the fastest-growing mode, which decreases with decreasing viscosity up to a minimum value and increases again (from the red to the magenta line).

Finally, as discussed in the previous section, viscosity allows for the existence of a marginally stable mode with $\gamma = 0$. The critical wave vector $k_c$ separating modes with $k > k_c$ that are stable from those with $k < k_c$ that are unstable is plotted in Figure 5 as a function of $R$ for different values of $S$. In the limit $R \to \infty$, the marginal mode tends asymptotically to $k_c a \to 1$, in agreement with the stability threshold condition for the inviscid tearing mode of a Harris current sheet. As can be seen, while $k_c$ decreases for decreasing $R$ (increasing viscosity), as is intuitive, on the contrary, for fixed $R$, the range of unstable modes becomes larger for increasing $S$ (decreasing resistivity). Though the stabilization is weak, since for high Lundquist numbers $k_c$ is above $k_c a \approx 0.9$, it is interesting to remark that the marginal mode actually corresponds to a configuration of stationary magnetic islands. This means that, at least in the linear approximation, the perturbed magnetic field $B_0 + b$ provides, in turn, an equilibrium where the current sheet is reconnecting.

The width of the reconnective layer $\delta/a$ at high Prandtl numbers as a function of $P$ as a function of $P$ as a function of $P$ as a function of $P$ as a function of $P$ as a function of $P$ as a function of $P$ as a function of $P$ as a function of $P$ as a function of $P$ is plotted in Figure 6, fitted by the blue dashed lines. Points fitted with blue dashed lines correspond to the fastest-growing mode, and those fitted with red dashed lines correspond to the marginal mode.

5. EFFECTS OF PARALLEL VISCOSITY

We discuss here the effects of large parallel Prandtl numbers $P_\parallel$ on the classic tearing mode instability. With obvious...
notation, linearization of Equations (4) leads to
\[
\gamma a \frac{a^2}{L^2} (\hat{u}'' - \hat{k}^2 \hat{u}) = -\frac{k}{\rho_0} \left( \hat{B}_0 (\hat{b}'' - \hat{k}^2 \hat{b}) - \hat{b} \hat{B}_0'' \right)
+ 4R^{-1} \hat{u} IV - 3R^{-1} \hat{k}^2 \hat{u}'',
\]
(10)
\[
\gamma \hat{a} \hat{b} = \hat{a} \hat{k} \hat{B}_0 + S^{-1} \frac{L^2}{a^2} (\hat{b}'' - \hat{k}^2 \hat{b}).
\]
(11)

In Equation (10) we have retained the higher-order derivative (of fourth order) and the term proportional to parallel viscosity. We therefore have neglected terms of order of \(k^2 \delta^2\) or higher with respect to \(\hat{u}\), since the velocity gradient scales as \(\sim \delta^{-1}\) in the inner layer, and \(k^2 \delta^2 \ll 1\). Parallel viscosity instead introduces a correction of order of \((R/R_0)^{-1} k^2 \delta^2\) with respect to the perpendicular viscous one. This term should be retained, as typically \(R/R_0 \gg 1\) in high-temperature plasmas. For instance, in the solar corona \(P \approx 0.01\) and \(P_1 \approx 10^9\), and thus \(R/R_0 \approx 10^{11}\).

Nevertheless, it is possible to estimate a limit for which parallel viscosity effects are negligible: the fastest-growing mode in the inviscid case has both \(k \sim S^{-1/4}\) and \(\delta \sim S^{-1/4}\) (Loureiro et al. 2013), so that \((R/R_0)^{-1} k^2 \delta^2 \ll 1\) if \(R/R_0 \ll S\). Such a condition is quite satisfied for realistic Lundquist numbers \(S \approx 10^{12} - 10^{14}\).

Some effects of parallel viscous terms are shown in Figure 7. Here we plot dispersion relations obtained from Equations (10)–(11) for \(S = 10^6\) and negligible perpendicular viscosity (\(P = 10^{-1}\)), and we compare the growth rates in the case of zero parallel viscosity, \(P_1 = 0\), with those having a large parallel viscosity, \(P_1 = 10^6\) (corresponding to \(R/R_0 = 10^8\)). As can be seen, parallel viscous effects are stronger at large wave vectors and negligible near the fastest-growing mode and below.

5. DISCUSSION: COLLAPSING CURRENT SHEETS AT HIGH PRANDTL NUMBERS

In Section 2 we described the main properties of the classic visco-resistive tearing instability. We come now to the question of what role viscosity might play in natural systems where current sheets are the outcome of dynamical processes leading to the formation of thin layers. Following Pucci & Velli (2014), we therefore study what happens when the current sheet thickness \(a\) is allowed to vary. In this case the relevant unit to define a clock to measure the rapidity of energy release due to reconnection is a macroscopic length \(L\), which we associate with the length of the sheet. In this way, the aspect ratio \(L/a\) is introduced in Equations (6)–(7) as a parameter to quantify the contraction of the equilibrium magnetic field.

Before showing numerical results for unstable modes at arbitrary \(L/a\), some considerations are worthwhile. We found in the previous section, where we set \(a = L\), that the fastest-growing mode has a growth rate that tends to \(\gamma_m \sim S^{-1/2} p^{-1/4}\) for both \(P \gg 1\) and \(S \gg 1\). Along the same lines as in Pucci & Velli (2014), one can redefine timescales by normalizing them with \(L\) (see also Equation (5)). Likewise, we find that for large Prandtl numbers the maximum growth rate scales as
\[
\gamma_m \sim S^{-1/2} p^{-1/4} (a/L)^{-3/2},
\]
(12)
where the constant of proportionality approaches 0.62, provided that both \(R \gg 1\) and \(S \gg 1\). In the opposite limit, \(P \ll 1\), we recover the known results of the inviscid case \(\gamma_m \sim S^{-1/2} (a/L)^{-3/2}\). Similarly, again for \(P \gg 1\), the wave vector scales as \(k_m a \sim S^{-1/8} p^{-1/8} (a/L)^{-1/4}\). The reconnective layer of the fastest-growing mode scales as \(\delta/a \sim (SR)^{-1/8} (a/L)^{-1/4}\), and that of the marginal mode scales as \(\delta/a \sim (SR)^{-1/6} (a/L)^{-1/3}\).

Since the maximum growth rate increases for increasing aspect ratio, as shown in Equation (12), one can define the critical aspect ratio of the current sheet \(L_\star/a\) as the one that is unstable on timescales of order of the Alfvén timescale, thus \(L_\star/a = S^{1/3} p^{1/6}\). In Figure 8 we plot the dispersion relation for a current sheet with the critical aspect ratio at realistic Lundquist numbers \(S = 10^{12}\) (solid lines) and \(S = 10^{14}\) (triangles) and large Prandtl numbers. According to the scalings of \(\gamma_m \sim \alpha\), and \(k_m \alpha\) reported above, the dispersion relation does not depend on \(S\) (we recall that \(P = SR\)), so that curves corresponding to different \(S\) superpose exactly, provided that the same Reynolds number is considered. Notice that, for \(S \gg 1\) and \(R \gg 1\), the same maximum growth rate \(\gamma_m \sim 0.62\) is approached.

In Figure 9 we show the maximum growth rate versus \(a/L\) for \(S = 10^{12}\) at different Prandtl numbers. Almost all the curves

Figure 7. Dispersion relations obtained from Equations (10)–(11) for \(S = 10^6\), \(P = 10^{-1}\), \(P_1 = 10^6\) (red), and \(P_1 = 0\) (black).

Figure 8. Dispersion relation for \(a/L = S^{-1/2} p^{-1/4}\) at \(S = 10^{12}\) (solid lines) and \(S = 10^{14}\) (triangles) at large Prandtl numbers.
have a slope equal to $-3/2$, with the exception of those points at very large $P$ and large aspect ratio. This is because the scalings we have inferred are valid as long as a separation of scales between the width of the equilibrium $a$ and the internal reconnective layer $\delta$ is allowed. These constraints cease to be valid when both $a/L \ll 1$ and $P \gg 1$. For the sake of completeness, we show also in light blue circles the growth rates obtained from Equations (10)–(11) with parameters relevant to the solar corona and solar flares, $P \approx 0.01$ and $P_0 \approx 10^2$. Growth rates at values of $P \gg 1$ are instead appropriate for the solar wind and the interstellar and intracluster medium (see Table 1).

As shown in Figure 9, and as can be seen by inspection of Equation (12), ideal growth rates can now be reached for much larger aspect ratios than in the inviscid case ($P = 0$ in the plot), since large viscosity inhibits the growth of the instability. In addition, while in the inviscid case it has been shown that the Sweet–Parker current sheet may not be created naturally, as it turns out that it is much thinner than the critical width of the tearing instability ($a/L_{op} = S^{-1/2} \ll S^{-1/3}$), now there exists a range of Prandtl numbers for which the viscous Sweet–Parker current sheet width, $a/L_{op} = S^{-1/2}(1 + P)^{1/4}$ (Park et al. 1984; Biskamp 1993), is smaller than, or equal to, the critical width of the visco-tearing instability. To show this point, we represent with asterisks in the plot the maximum growth rate of current sheets having the same inverse aspect ratio of the viscous Sweet–Parker current sheet, $a/L_{sp} \approx S^{-1/2}P^{1/4}$. In particular, for high Prandtl numbers, the critical aspect ratio equals the aspect ratio of the viscous Sweet–Parker current sheet when $S^{-1/3}P^{-1/6} = S^{-1/2}P^{1/4}$, i.e., for $P = S^{2/3}$. As a consequence, one may expect that for $P \lesssim S^{2/3}$ tearing instability is disruptive on current sheets thinner than the Sweet–Parker one. The latter, in turn, may be set as a quasi-stable configuration.

### 6. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have analyzed how viscosity influences the tearing mode instability of thin current sheets by spanning from perpendicular Prandtl numbers $P \gg 1$ all the way down to $P \ll 1$. We have also shown that large values of parallel Prandtl $P_0$ do not affect growth rates greatly, while the growth of the instability is slowed down if $P \gg 1$.

We have generalized the paper of Pucci & Velli (2014) to show that the asymptotic scaling of the aspect ratio with the Lundquist and (perpendicular) Prandtl numbers leading to ideal growth rates is $L/a = S^{1/3}P^{1/6}$ for $P \gg 1$. Large viscosity inhibits the growth of the instability so as to allow for the formation of quasi-stable current sheets thinner with respect to the inviscid case. This may be important in two respects.

On the one hand, we have shown that the viscous Sweet–Parker quasi-stationary reconnecting configuration is stable for Prandtl numbers $P \gtrsim S^{2/3}$, for instance, if $S = 10^2$, then for $P \gtrsim 6 \times 10^4$ (see Figure 9). As a consequence, viscous stabilization may be important in the solar wind, where reconnection exhausts reminiscent of the Sweet–Parker or Petschek-like configuration are observed in regions of relatively large Prandtl numbers $P \approx 3$–50 (Gosling et al. 2005; Phan et al. 2009). Larger values of $P$, of order of $P \approx 10^3$–$10^4$, are relevant to the very diluted and hot intracluster medium, where viscosity may inhibit reconnection during the dynamo process for magnetic field amplification in galaxy clusters and in protogalaxies (Malyshkin & Kulsrud 2002; Schekochihin et al. 2005; Lazarian & Brunetti 2011).

On the other hand, as the stabilizing effect of viscosity allows for the formation of very strong magnetic shears, viscous effects may possibly lead to a smooth transition to kinetic regimes, once the critical width approaches the ion skin depth or the ion Larmor radius. We are currently working on generalizing the above scalings to kinetic regimes.
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