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Abstract

The SELEX experiment (E781) at Fermilab is 3-stage magnetic spectrometer for the high statistics study of charm hadroproduction out to large $x_F$ using 600 GeV $\Sigma^-$, p and $\pi$ beams. The main features of the spectrometer are:

- high precision silicon vertex system
- broad-coverage particle identification with TRD and RICH
- 3-stage lead glass photon detector

Preliminary results on differences in hadroproduction characteristics of charm mesons and $\Lambda^+$ for $x_F \geq 0.3$ will be reported. For baryon beams there is a striking asymmetry in the production of baryons compared to antibaryons. Leading particle effects for all incident hadrons will be discussed.
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1 Introduction

Understanding charm hadroproduction at fixed-target energies has been a difficult theoretical problem because of the complexities of renormalization scale, of parton scale, and of hadronization corrections. The recent review by Frixione, Mangano, Nason, and Ridolfi summarizes the theoretical situation, using data through 1996. [1] More recent data from Fermilab E791 (500 GeV $\pi^-$ beam) greatly improves the statistical precision on charm meson production by pions, but E791 has not yet reported absolute cross sections or compared yields between charm species. In this first report of the SELEX hadroproduction results,
we compare our pion results at 580 GeV with those from E791 as well as comparing SELEX pion data with our proton data at 550 GeV and Σ data at 620 GeV mean momenta. All SELEX data were taken in the same spectrometer with the same trigger. We limit this report to data having $x_F \geq 0.3$, where the spectrometer acceptance is essentially constant with $x_F$ for all final states.

2 The Experiment

SELEX used the Fermilab Hyperon beam in negative polarity to make a mixed beam of $\Sigma$ and $\pi$ in roughly equal numbers. In positive polarity, protons comprised 92% of the particles, with $\pi^+$ making up the balance. The beam was run at 0 mrad production. The experiment aimed especially at understanding charm production in the forward hemisphere and was built to have good mass and vertex resolution for charm momenta from 100-500 GeV/c. The spectrometer is shown in Figure 1.

Interactions occurred in a primary target stack of 5 foils: 2 Cu and 3 C. Total target thickness was 5% of $A_{int}$ for protons. Each foil was spaced by 1.5 cm from its neighbors. Decays occurring inside the volume of a target were rejected in this analysis. Interactions were selected by a scintillator trigger. The charm trigger was very loose, requiring only $\geq 4$ charged tracks in a forward $10^\circ$ cone and $\geq 2$ hits in a hodoscope after the second analyzing magnet. We triggered on about $1/3$ of all inelastic interactions.

A major innovation in E781 was the use of online selection criteria to identify reconstructable events. This experiment uses a RICH counter to identify $p$, $K$, or $\pi$ after the second analyzing magnet. A computational filter used only these RICH-identifiable tracks to make a full vertex reconstruction in the vertex silicon and downstream PWCs. It selected events that had evidence for a secondary vertex. This reduced the data size (and offline computation time) by a factor of nearly 8 at a cost of about a factor of 2 in charm written to tape, as normalized from a study of unfiltered $K^0_s$ and $\Lambda^0$ decays. Most of the charm loss came from selection cuts that are independent of charm species or kinematic variables. No bias is expected from the filter. Filter operation depends on stable track reconstruction and detector alignment. These features were monitored online and were extremely stable throughout the run.

3 Charm Selection

All data reported here result from a preliminary pass through the data, using a production code optimized for speed but not efficiency. Final yields will be factors of 2-3 times higher than these preliminary results. However, our simulations indicate that the inefficiency does not affect the kinematic features of the results for $x_F \geq 0.3$. For all final states, the charm selection required that the
primary vertex lie within the target region and that the secondary vertex occur before the start of the VX silicon. At our high energy, this latter cut removed a number of $D^\pm$ events which can be recovered later.

In this analysis secondary vertices were reconstructed when the vertex $\chi^2$ for the ensemble of tracks was inconsistent with a single primary vertex. All combinations of tracks were investigated, and every secondary vertex candidate was tested against a reconstruction table that listed acceptable particle identification tags for a charm candidate, track selection criteria necessary (RICH identification for a proton, for example), and any other selections, e.g., minimum significance cut for primary/secondary vertex separation. Selected events were written to output files and the essential reconstruction features for each identified secondary vertex were saved in a PAW-like output structure for quick pass-II analysis. All data shown here come from analysis using this reduced output.

3.1 System performance for charm

Vertex resolution is a critical factor in charm experiments. The primary and secondary longitudinal vertex resolution for all data in a typical run of the experiment are shown in Figure 2. The lower plot shows the primary vertex distribution overlaid on rectangles that represent the physical placement of the 5 targets. The average relativistic transformation factor from lab time to proper time for charm states in these data is 100. This spatial resolution corresponds to about a 20 fs proper time resolution for lifetime studies.

Another important factor in charm studies at large $x_F$ is having good charm mass resolution at all momenta. Figure 3 shows that the measured width of the $D^0 \rightarrow K^- + \pi^+$ is about 10 MeV for all $x_F$. Finally, we depend on the RICH to give correct identification of K and p decay prongs. Figure 4 shows the $\pi/K$ separation in interaction data for 100 GeV/c tracks, a typical momentum for prongs from our charm states. The RICH gives $\pi/K$ separation up to 165 GeV/c (2$\sigma$ confidence level).[2]

4 Overall Charm Features at Large $x_F$

Previous high-statistics charm production results from pions [3] and protons [4] have emphasized central production, although both NA32 and E791 have presented results for $x_F \geq 0.5$. SELEX and E769 are the only high energy experiments reporting results from three different beam particles with identical systematics. The important features of the SELEX data can be seen at a glance in Figure 5 for the charged states $D^{\pm}, \Lambda_c^+, \Lambda_c^-$ produced respectively by $\Sigma^-$, $\pi^-$, and proton beams. The pion data show comparable particle and antiparticle yields both for charm mesons and for charm baryons, as reported by NA32 at lower energy. [3] It remains a surprising feature of hadroproduction
that one finds significant antibaryon production from pions even at $x_F \geq 0.5$. The source of the antiquark pair which combines with the charmed antiquark has been the subject of considerable theoretical speculation. The pion provides a valence quark which can contribute in some models. No present model gives an adequate description. There is good agreement for the $D^\pm$ production asymmetry integrated over $x_F \geq 0.3$ between these preliminary results and the E791 results cited in reference [3]. E791 has not published $\Lambda_c^+$ asymmetry results. Their observations are consistent with these shown here.[5]

The relative efficiencies for each beam particle are almost the same in this $x_F$ region, so that one can quote the ratio of the cross sections even though we have not yet determined absolute yields. The normalization between different incident hadrons depends on the number of incident beam particles for each data sample and on the total inelastic cross section for each beam particle. We use 34 mb for the proton inelastic cross section, 27 mb for $\Sigma^-$, and 22 mb for $\pi^-$. To compare yields for different beam particles. For these data the relative strengths for producing charmed meson/antimeson and baryon/antibaryon are given in Table 1. No errors are included in this preliminary analysis.

| Relative Charged Particle Yields | p | $\pi$ | $\Sigma$ |
|---------------------------------|---|------|--------|
| $\Lambda_c^-\bar{\Lambda}_c^+$ | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| $\Lambda_c^+\bar{\Lambda}_c^+$ | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| $D^+\bar{D}^-$ | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| $D^-\bar{D}^+$ | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.4 |

Table 1: Relative Charged Particle Yields for $x_F \geq 0.3$ versus beam type

Perhaps the most surprising result from this table is the observation that protons are a very effective charm producer, at least at large $x_F$. The baryon/meson ratio is better for protons than for pions. For the states listed here, the $\Sigma^-$ beam has lower yields than the others. We have not yet compiled the yields for the $c$-$s$-$q$ baryons, where we expect the $\Sigma^-$ beam relative yields will increase.

The previous table gave the relative efficacy of each beam particle for producing a charm state at large $x_F$. It does not compare relative yields of the different charm states for the same beam. As can be seen from Figure 5, there are strong asymmetries. These are tabulated in Table 2. Again, errors are omitted at this stage of analysis.

| Yield Ratio | p | $\pi$ | $\Sigma$ |
|-------------|---|------|--------|
| $\Lambda_c^-/\Lambda_c^+$ | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| $D^-/D^+$ | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 |

Table 2: Charmed Particle Antiparticle Ratios for $x_F \geq 0.3$ versus beam type
Table 2 shows for both baryon beams there are striking differences in production asymmetries for charm baryons compared to the pion beam. For charm mesons, that is not the case. Baryon beams, which have no valence antiquarks, show strong suppression of antibaryon production. The baryon/meson ratio for the baryon beams is similar to that for pions, but the antibaryon/antimeson ratio is dramatically lower for baryon beams. This feature was not observed by NA27 in 400 GeV pp collisions. They reported comparable baryon/antibaryon production but had only a few events, all in the central region. No other proton data exist for charm baryons. The WA89 results for charm baryon production by $\Sigma^-$ are consistent with our findings. [6]

The $D^-$ and $\Lambda^+_c$ are leading hadrons in the sense that all 3 beam hadrons may contribute at least one valence quark to the final state. The large difference in the asymmetry between the meson case (largely symmetric) and the baryon case for baryon beams (very asymmetric) is a new issue for charm hadroproduction analysis, which has assumed that there is a universal baryon/meson fraction for all incident hadrons. [1]

5 Summary

The SELEX experiment complements previous charm hadroproduction experiments by exploring different regions of production phase space and by using different beams. The early results already show some noteworthy new features of charm production. Further studies of different states and details of single- and double-differential charm production distributions are underway and will be reported at meetings in the fall.

Further analysis will extend the $x_F$ coverage down to about 0.1, to enhance overlap with other experiments and to increase statistics. Also, other charm baryon states are being analyzed and results will be reported later.
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Figure 4: RICH K and $\pi$ Response at 100 GeV/c
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