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1. Background

Spinal anaesthesia is an ubiquitous clinical practice, its most feared and common side effect consisting of an abrupt reduction of systemic vascular resistances and a consequent risk of systemic hypotension [1–3]. To prevent this complication, an adequate correction of patients’ volume status is often obtained through a volume repletion before spinal anesthesia in order to reduce post–spinal hypotension rate. After local ethical committee approval of the study (Comitato Etico Cantonale Ref. N. CE2796), we will randomize patients undergoing elective surgery under spinal anesthesia into two parallel groups: in the first the vena cava ultrasound will be used in order to assess adequacy of patients’ volmic status and subsequently guide the administration of crystalloids boluses; in the second passive legs raising test will be used instead of ultrasound for the same purpose.

The hypothesis we want to test is that the using of these two experimental methods before spinal anaesthesia, compared to the standard method (empirical fluid administration) can reduce the impact of systemic hypotension through an adequate titrated volmic repletion, avoiding both hypertension and fluid overload. The final purpose is to ensure that spinal anaesthesia is performed in the safest way possible.

The study will offer a new insight on the possible role of vena cava ultrasound and passive legs raising test as screening tools to prevent hypotension after spinal anesthesia. These tests were already validated in a critical environment, but to the best of our knowledge this is the first time they are applied to an elective surgical population.

Trial registration: The trial was registered on May 2014 on www.clinicaltrial.gov with the number NCT02070276.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
2. Methods

2.1. Design of the study

This trial is conducted in our Anesthesiology Unit beginning on May 2014; Ethical Committee approved the protocol (Comitato Etico Cantonale Ref. N. CE2796) [16–18] and written informed consent is obtained from all subjects during pre-operative anaesthesiologist visit.

Here we report our statistical analysis plan before performing the outcomes assessment. We have conceived the ProCRHYSA trial with the aim of determining whether these two non-invasive methods are effective in guiding titrated fluid repletion in non-critical patients, both to decrease post-procedural significant hypotension rate (primary end-point), and to avoid unnecessary fluid overload in patients undergoing spinal anaesthesia in elective surgical procedures [16] and to compare the time needed to complete the entire anaesthetic procedure (secondary end-point).

Exclusion criteria:
- patients requiring invasive blood pressure monitoring (arterial/ pulmonary catheter, thermo-dilution catheter);
- pre-existing hypotension defined as two measurements of systolic arterial pressure less than 80 mmHg and/or mean arterial pressure less than 60 mmHg;
- patients unable to give informed consent due to language barriers, mental retard or any reduction in own ability to understand or give own informed consent;
- patients in whom it was not possible to perform spinal anaesthesia due to patient’s refusal or technical difficulties in sampling;
- patients with International Normalized Ratio (INR) greater than 1.5 and/or aPTT in therapeutic range (more than 1.5–2 times the patient’s normal values) and/or anti-factor-Xa activity in therapeutic range;
- patients with thrombocytopenia less than 50 G/l;
- parturients and pregnant women;
- adult patients
- elective intervention requiring spinal anaesthesia
- classified according to the American Society of Anesthesiology risk (ASA) as level I, II or III.

Inclusion criteria:

- elective intervention requiring spinal anaesthesia
- adults
- classified according to the American Society of Anesthesiology risk (ASA) as level I, II or III.

2.1.1. Randomization and allocation

Eligible patients are allocated 1:1:1 to one of the three treatment groups by randomization blocks via an electronic software [19]. An investigator not involved in the enrolment handles the randomization-list, in order to guarantee allocation concealment; doctors enrolling patients do not have access to it. Patients are randomised using the method of minimisation incorporating a random element; the minimisation factors are: age (cut off 65 years), ASA status (level III or level I/II intended as “not III”), any assumption of anti-hypertensive therapy (intended as B-blockers or ACE-inhibitors), any psychoactive therapy (SSRI, tricyclic antidepressants, MAO-inhibitors). Patients can only be included and randomised by an authorised member of staff as detailed on the delegation form.

Each participant is followed-up till the end of surgical operation; participation in the study begins when patient enter the operating block (time 0) and ends 30 min after spinal anaesthesia; different study frames are classified as:
- Pre-anaesthetic phase: from time 0 to the execution of spinal anaesthesia
- Anaesthetic phase: from the beginning to the end of the spinal anaesthesia
- Post-anaesthetic phase: from the end of spinal anaesthesia to 30 min after the conclusion of the procedure.

The size of the vessel in its own intra-abdominal portion (approximately 2 cm from the right atrium) through a subcostal view, and on its diameter’s changes with breathing, both in spontaneously breathing and in mechanical ventilated patients (Fig. 1).

Passive leg raising test (PLRT) has been shown to be a highly accurate method in predicting fluid responsiveness in spontaneously breathing critically ill patients [15]. The method is based on the following assumption: active leg elevation, in addition to its effect of venous pool shifting from the lower limbs to the chest, exerts a simultaneous stimulation effect on the iliac-femoral periartrial sympathetic system, causing an orthosympathetic reflex which can increase cardiac output (Fig. 2). Alternatively, passive lower limb test has the advantage of mobilizing lower limb venous blood (estimated 300–500 ml) without activating the orthosympathetic reflex. Thus, the test makes it possible to quantify the clinical response after a bolus of fluids [15].

We report our statistical analysis plan before the trial conclusion. This is an unblinded, randomized, single-center, prospective, three-arm, parallel-group trial of a cohort of consecutive patients undergoing spinal anaesthesia for any elective surgical procedures [16] and to compare the time needed to complete the entire anaesthetic procedure (secondary end-point).

Fig. 1. IVC ultrasound analysis (subcostal view).

Fig. 2. Passive leg Raising Test.
2.2. Data collection

All investigator fill the CRF in during the anaesthetist procedure reporting all demographic data (age, sex, weight) and collected information, date and hour of the procedure, all vital signs (NIBP, heart rate and peripheral arterial saturation), some pre-procedural clinical data (ASA score, anti-hypertensive therapy, procedure timing), post-procedural clinical data (lowest NIBP value, heart rate and oxygen saturation, eventual symptoms of hypotension), need for of amines and total amount of fluid administered (Table 1). These data are subsequently collected in the electronic data base (EDC).

Data management procedures for the trial are developed and overseen by the Ciesse-Sistemi; all data are registered in the EDC system; this system is compliant to the Good Clinical Practice standards. An eCRF using the EDC is programmed by Ciesse-Sistemi in collaboration with the principal investigator and the statistician and hosted on a dedicated secure server within the hospital. The EDC system has full audit trail, data discrepancy functionality, database lock functionality and log reporting. The Ciesse-Sistemi provides training, the essential documentation, and user support to the study centres and remote monitoring; a detailed standard operating procedure regulates data recording, online entry, checking, central backup and storage. A regularly updated coding manual is developed with regard to the study database. Each investigator has a personal username and password for the eCRF.

Baseline data are collected and entered by researchers before the randomisation; to each enrolled patient is assigned a unique trial identification number at the beginning of the assessment process. This number is written on all clinical assessment forms, datasheets and databases used to record participant data. The datasheets are checked for completeness and accuracy at the end of the entire procedure. A hard copy of a record sheet linking patient identity, contact details and trial ID number for all participants is stored in accordance to clinical trial GCP regulations.

Each investigator transcribes data into the eCRF; the Clinical Trial Unit reviews the data and eventual inquiries are addressed to the investigator concerned. At the end of the trial, the principal investigator reviews all the data for each participant and provides electronic sign-off to certify that all data are complete and correct, in order to be approved to undergo statistical analysis.

2.3. Objectives of study

Primary objective:

- To compare rates of arterial hypotension [16] after spinal anaesthesia in patients undergoing inferior vena cava US guided versus PLRT guided volemic optimization. Significant hypotension is defined, according to international guidelines, as a fall in systolic arterial blood pressure of either more than 50 mmHg or more than 25% from the baseline value, an absolute value of systolic pressure less than 80 mmHg, an absolute value of mean pressure of less than 60 mmHg, a reduction in mean arterial pressure of less than 60 mmHg, a reduction in mean arterial blood pressure of more than 30% from the baseline value and/or clinical signs/symptoms of inadequate perfusion [20].

Secondary objectives:

- To compare rates of arterial hypotension after spinal anaesthesia in patients undergoing volemic optimization according to PLRT with patients treated according to the current clinical standards.
• To compare rates of arterial hypotension after spinal anaesthesia in patients undergoing volemic optimization according to vena cava ultrasound with patients treated according to the current clinical standards.

• To carry out subgroup analyses in order to determine the effects of the vena cava ultrasound and PLRT methods on arterial hypotension after spinal anaesthesia according to: age, ASA score, anti-hypertensive and anti-psychotic therapy.

• To assess an eventual difference between the three treatments in the quantity of fluids administered in the pre-anesthetic phase (from time 0 to spinal anaesthesia).

• To assess if there is a difference between the three treatments in the total quantity and the quantity of vasoactive drugs required.

• To compare the time needed to complete the entire anaesthetic procedure into the three groups.

2.4. Ethical issues

The conduction of this study is in accordance with the recommendations for physicians involved in research on human subjects adopted by the 18th World Medical Assembly, Helsinki 1964 (and later revisions). This protocol and related documents have been approved by the National Research Ethics Service Committee London - Dulwich. Local approval is required before recruitment. The Study Coordination Centre requires a written copy of all the documentation before starting to recruit participants into the study. TTE and PLRT are non-invasive tests known risks. During the pre-clinical assessment, investigators explain all study details [18]. When it is not possible to obtain consent for whatsoever reasons, the patient cannot be involved.

2.5. Statistical analyses

2.5.1. Sample size calculation

From previous studies [21] we estimate the incidence of arterial hypotension after spinal anesthesia to be of about 50% in the standard treatment group [22–28]. In order to have a significant difference between each treatment group, with a power of 80% and a significance level of 0.05 (two-tailed z test) we calculated a numerosity of 170 patients per group (G-Power 3.1.5). Based on patients routinely treated in last two previously year, we estimate an accrual rate around 30–35 patients each month (low value 15 patients/month), with the necessity to enroll patient for 2 years. The study flow-chart is provided [21], including total patients screened, number of patients who met inclusion and exclusion criteria and number of patients who were included. To assess randomization success, we tabulate the distribution of baseline variables across the study’s sections, summarizing categorical variables by frequencies and percentage and numerical variables either by mean and standard deviations (±SDs), or by medians and interquartile ranges (IQR).

2.5.2. Adherence effects

For every patient, we check the adherence to the protocol during the study; for each patient who didn’t completely meet the protocol, we wrote on the CRF the reasons. It could be linked to any pre-anesthetic fluid administration different from crystalloid infusion, administration of any sedation different than standard therapy (Fentanyl more than 1 μg/Kg IV or Midazolam more than 0.05 mg/kg IV) both in quantity than in quality (Ketamine, Morphine, etc …), post-anesthetic position different than the supine one or difference in spinal drug administration, both in quantity (different than hyperbaric Bupivacaine 0.5% 10 mg) and in quality (co-administration of any intra-thecal substance). We assessed and report total adherence rate to our protocol in order to analyze data on the intention-to-treat (ITT) and on the per-protocol (PP) population. Primary analysis was carried out on the ITT population, which consisted of all patients enrolled in the study taken in their randomized groups and irrespective of potential non-adherence to the study protocol. Secondary analyses included analysis on the PP population, which consisted of patients who received fluid replacement and all treatments as specified by the protocol; our results of the analyses on the two populations were compared. All hypothesis tests were two-sided and considered significant if p-value < 0.05. Analyses were carried out with the intention to treat if not otherwise specified.

Primary analysis:

• We tested the hypothesis of difference in the proportion of arterial hypotension in subjects allocated to the TTE group and in subjects of the standard treatment group, with the ITT. We carried out a z-test for comparison of the two proportions.

Table 1

| Summary of study assessment | Baseline | Pre-assessment | Pre-anesthesia | Anesthesia | Post-anesthesia | End of trial | Withdraw |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|------------|----------------|--------------|----------|
| Registration/Demographics   | x        |                |                |            |                |              |          |
| Informed consent            | x        |                |                |            |                |              |          |
| Eligibility form            | x        |                |                |            |                |              |          |
| Inclusion criteria          | x        |                |                |            |                |              |          |
| Exclusion criteria          | x        |                |                |            |                |              |          |
| Randomization               | x        |                |                |            |                |              |          |
| Medical history             | x        |                |                |            |                |              |          |
| Concomitant medications     | x        | x              | x              |            |                |              |          |
| Systolic blood pressure     | x        | x              | x              |            |                |              | x        |
| Diastolic blood pressure    | x        | x              |                |            |                |              |          |
| Mean blood pressure         | x        |                | x              |            |                |              |          |
| Heart rate                  | x        |                | x              |            |                |              |          |
| Peripheral saturation       | x        | x              |                |            |                |              |          |
| Type of fluid administered  | x        | x              |                |            |                |              |          |
| Quantity of fluid administered| x      | x              |                |            |                |              |          |
| Time arrival-anaesthesia    | x        |                |                |            |                |              |          |
| Time anesthesia / post-anesthesia |       |                | x              |            |                |              |          |
| Vasoactive drugs administered| x      | x              |                |            |                |              |          |
| Withdraw form               | x        |                | x              |            |                |              |          |
| SAE                         | x        |                | x              |            |                |              |          |
| Adherence status            | x        |                |                |            |                |              |          |
null hypothesis of no difference between the two proportions wasn't accepted if the p-value was \( < 0.05 \).

Secondary analyses:

- We tested the hypothesis of difference in the proportion of arterial hypotension in subjects allocated to the PLRT group and subjects of the standard treatment group, with the ITT analysis and also on the per-protocol population. We carried out z-tests for comparison of proportions.
- A z-test for comparison of two proportions was also applied to test the hypothesis of difference in the proportion of arterial hypotension in subjects allocated to the TTE group and subjects of the standard treatment group, on the per-protocol population.
- We assessed the protective effect of the TTE and of the PLRT methods compared to the standard method on the occurrence of arterial hypotension by means of a univariable logistic regression model. The outcome “arterial hypotension” was binary coded (yes/no). We calculated crude odds ratio and their relative 95% confidence intervals (CI). A multivariable logistic regression model was applied to account for potential confounders which consist of independent variables that were deemed to be unbalanced after randomization process or that are of clinical importance. This multivariable logistic regression model allowed calculating adjusted odds ratio with their relative 95% CI.
- Explorative subgroup analyses was carried out to investigate the effects of the TTE and the PLRT methods on arterial hypotension after spinal anaesthesia according to different subgroups. The subgroups of interest included the following variables: age (cut off 65 years), ASA score (I–II vs III), assumption of B-blockers or ACE-inhibitors (yes vs no), patient positioning after spinal anaesthesia (supine vs lateral decubitus). We carried out multivariable logistic regression models, to calculating the odds ratio adjusted for the same baseline variables as previous models, and their relative 95% CI.
- We tested the hypothesis that there was a difference in the quantity of fluids (ml) administered to the subjects in each groups in the pre-anaesthetic phase. We first checked data distribution; if this was shown to be normal we summarized the total amount of fluids by means and standard deviations (\( \pm \)SDs) for each group; additionally, the comparison between the three groups was carried out by one-way ANOVA (Analysis of variance) and post-doc tests. If data were not normally distributed we summarized the amounts of fluids by median and IQR carrying out the comparison by a Kruskal-Wallis test to assess, with a non-parametric method, the null hypothesis of no difference in the amount of fluids between each groups. The null hypothesis wasn't be accepted if p-value was \( < 0.05 \). If the distribution of data allowed it, we applied multivariable linear regression model to account for potential confounders which consisted of independent variables that were deemed to be unbalanced after randomization process or that were of clinical importance.
- We tested the hypothesis that there was a difference in the total amount of fluids (ml) and in the quantity of administered drugs (\( \mu \)g) as well as in the time needed to complete the entire anaesthetic procedure in the three groups the same way as for the amount of fluids in the pre-anaesthetic phase (see above).

### 2.5.3. Missing data

Missing data due to incomplete CRF or withdrawal from the study were left empty and were not be completed later. Subjects were not be replaced.

### 2.6. Figures and tables

Planned figures include:

- a standard CONSORT flow diagram [29] illustrating patients’ flow through the trial (Fig. 3);

---

**ProCRHYSA trial flow diagram**

---

Fig. 3. ProCRHYSA trial flow diagram.
• a graph showing the mean cumulative fluids received in all three groups;
• a graph showing hypotension rate in all groups and subgroups;

Planned tables include:
• baseline characteristics by treatment group;
• clinical management by treatment group;
• non-compliance with allocated treatment by all three treatment groups;
• primary and secondary outcomes by treatment group;
• serious adverse events until the end of surgical operation;
• results of subgroups and secondary analyses;

3. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge this is the first study to investigate the potential role of non-invasive methods (like TTE and PLRT) to accomplish a titrated and targeted volemic optimization before spinal anesthesia in elective surgical patients in order to prevent hypotension. Post-spinal transient hypotensive episodes can be generally well tolerated by healthy patients, however they may lead to major complications in patients with increased cardiovascular risk. In the common clinical practice, it is usual to administer fluids empirically. An empirical preventive fluid repletion however can represent a risk in patients with impaired cardiac and renal functions, since a volume overload in case of reduced ventricular compliance, can cause pulmonary oedema or congestive heart failure.

Since about ten years both non-invasive and invasive techniques have been developed, with the specific purpose of optimizing fluid status on a more rational basis. These methods are based on the correlation between the levels of mean arterial pressure (MAP), systemic vascular resistance (SVR) and cardiac output (CO), according to the equation: \( \text{MAP} = \text{CO} \times \text{SVR} \). The latter explains why, in case of vasodilation, unless CO is increased, a lowering of SVR will inevitably cause a decrease of MAP. Determinants of blood pressure are: volemic status, vascular resistances (determined by the sympathetic tone) and cardiac output. In case of spinal anaesthesia the reduction in SVR causes relative hypovolemia. For this reason after spinal anaesthesia is often necessary an optimization of patients' volemic status through fluids administration.

The use of different methods to assess fluid responsiveness in order to guide volume repletion avoiding blind fluid challenges has been extensively studied in critical care populations; however these techniques have never been studied in non-critical patients. The hypothesis that investigators want to test is that the use of TTE and PLRT before spinal anaesthesia, compared to an empirical fluid administration can reduce the rate of systemic hypotension through a titrated volemic repletion, avoiding both hypotension and fluid overload. The final purpose is to administer spinal anaesthesia in the safest possible way.

Trial status

The ProCRHYSA trial is still ongoing at the time of submission, since patients recruitment has not been completed yet.
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