Transport Rankings of Non-Steroidal Antiinflammatory Drugs across Blood-Brain Barrier In Vitro Models
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Abstract

The aim of this work was to conduct a comprehensive study about the transport properties of NSAIDs across the blood-brain barrier (BBB) in vitro. Transport studies with celecoxib, diclofenac, ibuprofen, meloxicam, piroxicam and tenoxicam were accomplished across Transwell models based on cell line PBMEC/C1-2, ECV304 or primary rat brain endothelial cells. Single as well as group substance studies were carried out. In group studies substance group compositions, transport medium and serum content were varied, transport inhibitors verapamil and probenecid were added. Resulted permeability coefficients were compared and normalized to internal standards diazepam and carboxyfluorescein. Transport rankings of NSAIDs across each model were obtained. Single substance studies showed similar rankings as corresponding group studies across PBMEC/C1-2 or ECV304 cell layers. Serum content, glioma conditioned medium and inhibitors probenecid and verapamil influenced resulted permeability significantly. Basic differences of transport properties of the investigated NSAIDs were similar comparing all three in vitro BBB models. Different substance combinations in the group studies and addition of probenecid and verapamil suggested that transporter proteins are involved in the transport of every tested NSAID. Results especially underlined the importance of same experimental conditions (transport medium, serum content, species origin, cell line) for proper data comparison.

Introduction

The blood-brain barrier (BBB) maintains the homeostasis between blood circulation and the central nervous system (CNS). It consists of brain microvascular endothelial cells with distinct different features in comparison to the peripheral endothelium. Major brain endothelium specific properties are the lack of fenestrae, reduced endocytosis and restricted paracellular transport [1]. The barrier functionality comprises a physical, a transporter and a metabolic component. Physical tightness of the barrier is determined by tight junction proteins such as occludin, claudin-3 or claudin-5 which seal the paracellular gaps and consequently restrict the permeation of hydrophilic compounds. Transcellular migration could be regulated by influx as well as efflux transporter proteins. Lipophilic substances could permeate by passive diffusion across the cell membranes or by being shuttled via transporter proteins. Hydrophilic molecules such as glucose need transporters such as glut1 to overcome the BBB and reach the CNS. In addition to defend against pathogens such as viruses or bacteria the BBB can also recognize substances and actively efflux them back into the bloodstream. ATP-binding cassette (ABC)-transporters such as ABCB1 (P-gp), ABCG2 (Bcrp) or ABCCs (multidrug resistance related proteins = MRPs) play a major role in these protection mechanisms. As third component a huge array of enzymes can metabolize substances and prevent their CNS entrance by molecular conversion and/or conjugation. Barrier functionality is regulated by the microenvironment of the capillary endothelium. The terms neuro/glavascular unit describe that astrocytes, pericytes and neurons can interact and modify endothelial functional properties. In addition, shear stress by the bloodstream applied onto endothelial cells was shown to tighten the barrier in vitro [2–4].

Alterations of BBB functionality during several diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson disease, multiple sclerosis, stroke, traumatic brain injury and many more have been observed [5–9]. Inflammation is an important component in disease progression of some of these diseases which could be treated by administration of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) [10]. For example, application of ibuprofen was shown to reduce the risk to suffer from Alzheimer’s disease [11]. NSAIDs block activity of cyclooxygenases (COX) with different COX1/COX2 inhibition profiles and subsequently reduce the production of prostaglandins, prostacyclines and thromboxane A2. In general, NSAIDs reduce fever and pain, stop inflammatory processes and could be used for antiaggregation. In addition to side effects in the periphery such as ulcers, erosion in digestive tract, nausea, gastritis, bleeding, diarrhoea or constipation, several central side effects like dizziness, headaches and drowsiness, depressions, hearing and visual impairment, tinnitus, etc. are known [12–14]. CNS side effects imply BBB permeability of NSAIDs as prerequisite to reach their
place of action. In humans as well as in several animal models it was proved that NSAIDs can cross the BBB [15–20]. Nonetheless, no comprehensive, systematic study about the permeability of NSAIDs and their classification with regard to their permeability ranking exist. Consequently, the aim of this study was to investigate the transport of several NSAIDs across the BBB in vitro. Transport of NSAIDs with different COX1/COX2 inhibition profiles (preferentially COX1-inhibition: ibuprofen, piroxicam, tenoxicam; preferentially COX2-inhibition: meloxicam, diclofenac; COX2-inhibition: celecoxib) was studied in three different BBB in vitro models which differ in species origin and barrier properties. Beginning with single substance studies, group studies including several NSAIDs and internal standards within one study should further elucidate the influence of different experimental conditions (serum content, astrocyte factors, group composition, addition of efflux transporter inhibitors verapamil and probenecid) and provided a general view about the transport rankings of the investigated NSAIDs.

**Material and Methods**

**Material**

Celecoxib, diclofenac, lornoxicam and diazepam were a kind gift of Dr. Maierhofer (AGES, PharmMed, Austria), whereas ibuprofen (11892, SigmaAldrich, Austria), meloxicam (M3935, SigmaAldrich, Austria), piroxicam (P6564, SigmaAldrich, Austria), tenoxicam (T0909, SigmaAldrich, Austria), carboxyfluorescein (21877, Fluka, Switzerland), probenecid (P8761, SigmaAldrich, Austria) and verapamil (94837, Fluka, Switzerland) were purchased from commercial sources. Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium (IMDM), Ham reg. nutrient mixture F12 (Ham’sF-12), newborn calf serum (NCS), l-glutamine and penicillin/streptomycin, and probenecid) and provided a general view about the transport rankings of the investigated NSAIDs.

**Material and Methods**

**Material**

Celecoxib, diclofenac, lornoxicam and diazepam were a kind gift of Dr. Maierhofer (AGES, PharmMed, Austria), whereas ibuprofen (11892, SigmaAldrich, Austria), meloxicam (M3935, SigmaAldrich, Austria), piroxicam (P6564, SigmaAldrich, Austria), tenoxicam (T0909, SigmaAldrich, Austria), carboxyfluorescein (21877, Fluka, Switzerland), probenecid (P8761, SigmaAldrich, Austria) and verapamil (94837, Fluka, Switzerland) were purchased from commercial sources. Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium (IMDM), Ham reg. nutrient mixture F12 (Ham’sF-12), newborn calf serum (NCS), l-glutamine and penicillin/streptomycin, were obtained from Invitrogen Life Technologies (GibcoTM, Carlsbad, CA). Heparin and collagen solution (predominantly collagen I, 150703) were purchased from MP Biomedicals (Irvine, CA). Amphotericin B, transferrin, 8-aminopropyl-1,3,6-trisulfonate (APTS) and gelatine were from SigmaAldrich (Austria), whereas dextran (av. MW 6000) was from Fluka (Switzerland). Fibronectin was obtained from BD Biosciences (Bedford, MA) as well as Transwell inserts (FalconTM) and six-well plates (FalconTM). Basal endothelial and astrocyte media and components for primary rat endothelial cells and astrocytes were provided from Biopredic Int. (France). Inorganic salts and all other reagents were of analytical grade.

**Cell culture**

Porcine cell line PBMEC/C1-2 was a kind gift from Teifel and Friedl, which was established and characterized by them [21]. Human ECV304 cells were purchased from the European Collection of Cell Cultures (ECACC, Wilshtire, UK), rat glioma cell line C6 was obtained from the German Cancer Research Center Heidelberg (DKFZ, Heidelberg, Germany). Cell culture conditions were previously reported [22–27]. In brief, C6 cells were cultured in gelatin-coated 175 cm² tissue flasks (Greiner BioOne GmbH, Germany) with so-called C6 medium, which consisted of a 1:1 mixture of IMDM and Ham’s F-12, 7.5% (v/v) NCS, 7 mM L-glutamine, 5 mg/mL transferrin, 0.5 µg/mL heparin, 100 µg/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, and 0.25 mg/mL amphotericin B. Supernatants of C6 cultures were collected every other day and termed glial-conditioned medium (GCM). PBMEC/C1-2 (passages 151–174) cells were grown in 25 cm² gelatin-coated tissue culture flasks with so-called PBMEC medium (50% C6 medium, 50% GCM). PBMEC medium was sterile filtered before usage. Primary RBMECs (END105) isolated from 5 weeks old male wistar rats and rat glial cells (AST105) derived from newborn pups were obtained from Biopredic Int. (France) and were cultured according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Rat astrocytes were grown in gelatin-coated 25 cm² tissue flasks in the medium provided by Biopredic Int. at passages 1–8. RBMECs were defrozen and seeded directly onto 12-well Transwell inserts. All cells were cultured in a humidified 5% CO2/96% humidity at 37°C and subcultivated by trypsinization every 3 to 4 days.

**Transport studies**

6-well Transwell® inserts (1 µm pore size, PVDF) were coated with collagen and fibronectin for PBMEC/C1-2 and with collagen only for ECV304 cells. For permeation experiments cells were seeded at a density of 8*10⁴ cells/cm² and grown to confluency on membrane filter inserts. Culture medium was changed every day (PBMEC/C1-2) and every other day (ECV304) up to the transport studies. For enhanced attachment of PBMEC/C1-2 cells PBMEC medium was supplemented with 1 mg/mL fibronectin. Cell monolayers of PBMEC/C1-2 were used for transport studies on day 3 and layers of ECV304 cells on day 14 after seeding. In case of RBMEC, cells were seeded at a density of 8*10⁴ cells/cm² onto 12-well Transwell® inserts (1 µm pore size, PVDF), which were coated with attachment cell factors 1:10 diluted CF1 and 1:100 diluted CF2 (175 µL/insert AFC0001003; 150 µL/insert AFC0002004) for 1 hours each at 37°C (Biopredic Int., France), in endothelial BBB culture medium (100 mL, MIL121) supplemented with FCS (5 mL, SER037) and additives 1-8 (400 µL MIL045, 100 µL MIL046, 100 µL MIL047, 100 µL MIL048, 40 µL MIL049, 500 µL MIL050004, 50 µL MIL051004, 100 µL MIL052003). Inserts were put in 12-wells containing primary rat glial cells, which had been seeded at a density of 3*10⁵ cells/cm² in glial BBB culture medium (100 mL, MIL043) supplemented with FCS (5 mL, SER036), human AB serum (1 mL, SER035), penicillin/streptomycin 100X (1 mL, PEN016) and additive for glial cell medium (100 µL, MIL044) one day before. Every other day total endothelial medium, but only half of the glial medium was changed until TEER was over 100 Ohm·cm² and co-culture was ready for conduction of the transport study. Transport studies were carried out by transferring inserts at given time points into new wells filled with prewarmed and fresh transport medium as previously published in detail [23]. In case of RBMEC, the basolateral wells were filled with conditioned medium of primary rat glial cells to exclude possible uptake of drugs by glial cells during transport studies. TEER measurement was performed with a Millipore Millicell Electrical Resistance System (ERS, Millipore, Vienna, Austria) after changing the medium and temperature equilibration at room temperature for at least 30 min. To calculate TEER values measured electrical resistances of inserts without cells were subtracted from values with cells and multiplied by the surface area of the inserts (6-well: 4.2 cm², 12-well: 0.9 cm²) according to Neuhaus et al. (2006) [22].

Experimental solutions were prepared ‘ad hoc’ from stock solutions. It always contained 100 µM of the respective NSAIDs and 1% DMSO in total. Internal standards were also added (100 µM diazepam, 5 µM carboxyfluorescein (CF) ). All solutions were prepared under sterile conditions. At the end of transport studies, the supernatant of the inserts was removed and analyzed to estimate substance recovery rates. Residual applied stock solution and samples were collected and stored until fluorescence or HPLC analysis at 4°C. Labelling and production of APTS-dextran for the RBMEC study was accomplished according to protocols published recently [22].
Fluorescence measurements

Fluorescence of carboxyfluorescein was determined by using a microplate reader (polarstar galaxy, BMG Labtech, Offenburg, Germany) at an excitation wavelength of 485 nm and an emission filter at 520 nm. Triplicates per sample were measured and blank medium values were substracted. Fluorescence of total APTS-dextran was measured as described above, separation and fluorescence measurement of single APTS-dextran fractions by capillary electrophoresis were carried out as previously published [22].

Reverse-Phase High-Performance Liquid Chromatography of NSAIDs

For quantification of NSAIDs a reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) system from Shimadzu was used (DG-20A5/prominence Degasser, SIL – 20AC/prominence Auto Sampler, CTO – 20AC/prominence Column Oven, SPD – 20A/prominence UV detector, CMB – 20A/prominence Communications Bus Module). Separation of compounds was carried out using Lichrospher columns from Merck KGaA (Germany, RP-18, 250×4 mm, 5 μm pore size) with LichroCART 4-4 precolumns or Zorbax SB-C8 norrowbore columns (820975-906, 30×2.1 mm, 5 μm pore size, Inula, Austria) with a 2.1×12.5 mm pre-column packed with the same material (821125-915, Inula, Austria). Before analysis proteins of 6 mL of the solutions were centrifuged across prewashed (2 mL) 10 kDa, Sartorius) at 2500 g for 5 (PBS and 0% serum), 10 (7.5% PBS, 10 minutes, 2500 g) Centrisart ultra-filters (molecular cut-off 6.4 kDa; Sartorius) or 15 (50% and 100% serum) minutes to obtain at least one third to one half of the totally applied volume. NSAID concentrations of stock solutions, retentates and filtrates were analyzed by HPLC similar as described above using an Oligo-RP clarity HPLC-column (5 μm pore size, 250×4.6 mm, Phenomenex) at a flow rate of 1 mL/min with a 70:30 eluent mixture of CH3CN:potassium phosphate buffer (10 mM, pH = 2.5). Carboxyfluorescein was measured by the microplate reader method as described above. Unbound substance concentrations in the filtrates were related to stock solutions to determine the serum binding ([100%-unbound fraction [%]]. Blank experiments (PBS, 0% serum experiments) were included in the calculation of serum binding values to consider systematic procedure errors and binding of the substances to the ultrafiltration membrane. Experiments under each condition were repeated for three times.

RT-qPCR

RT-qPCR of RBMEC lysates was accomplished according to the protocol published previously [24]. Following primer pairs obtained from Metabion were used: ICAM-1 forward: TTCAAGGAATTGTCTCAGGCTAGG, ICAM-1 reverse: TGTTTTGCTGCTTCAGGTCAG, VCAM-1 forward: ACAACAGGTCATCACTGAGTCC, VCAM-1 reverse: AGCGGTTCACAGG, PECA-1 forward: GCTACTGGTTCATCACCGAAC, PECA-1 reverse: AGCATGTTTGGACTGTGTTGGATGG, Claudin-3 forward: CTTTCCTTGGACTGTGTTGGATGG, Claudin-3 reverse: CGGTGTGTTAGTGTGTATGG, Claudin-5 forward: GAGCAGGACCAAGATCT, Claudin-5 reverse: CAGGGGATGTTTGTTTGTGCTCTCCAGGGTCAG, Claudin-12 forward: CTGGCAGTCTGCTGAC, Claudin-12 reverse: GTCACTGCTCCCGTCATCC, Occludin forward: TTGTAATAAGGTCAAGGGCTCCTG, Occludin reverse: TCTGTTCCTCTGCGCTCTTC, ZO-1 forward: GAGCCAGCAGGCTGAGTTGAATG, ZO-1 reverse: AGCATGTTTGGGCTTCTC, ACTB forward: ATGGCCGATTAGGCTTTC, ACTB reverse: ACTTGTGTTGCAAGCTAGGTC, GAPDH forward: TTTACGGCCACAGTCAAGG, GAPDH reverse: CTCAGCAGGACGATC.
Statistical analysis

Statistical significances between the groups, which differed in the substance compositions, were calculated by an one-way ANOVA. For the comparison of groups with same substance compositions under different experimental transport conditions a two-way ANOVA was applied followed by an all pairwise multiple comparison procedure (Holm-Sidak method) with an overall significance level of 0.05. Data are presented as means ± SD (n = 3). ANOVAs and Spearman’s ranking order correlation coefficients were calculated by means of the software SigmaStat 3.5.

Results

Three different models were used to study the transport of several NSAIDs (celecoxib, diclofenac, ibuprofen, meloxicam, piroxicam and tenoxicam) across the blood-brain barrier in vitro. The models based either on porcine cell line PBMEC/C1-2, on human cell line ECV304 or on a co-culture system consisting of primary rat brain microvascular endothelial cells (RBMEC) and astrocytes (AST). After single transport studies of each investigated NSAID across PBMEC/C1-2 and ECV304 cells, comprehensive group transport studies under different conditions were accomplished in order to study the influence of serum presence, glioma conditioned medium and addition of transporter inhibitors verapamil and probenecid. At last a group study across the RBMEC model was conducted in order to assess the transport ranking of the NSAIDs across of a model which is based on primary brain endothelial cells.

Single transport studies across PBMEC/C1-2 and ECV304 layers

First, transport studies with each NSAID (celecoxib, diclofenac, ibuprofen, meloxicam, piroxicam and tenoxicam) alone were accomplished across PBMEC/C1-2 or ECV304 cell layers. Diazepam was added as internal standard for the trancellular route, whereas carboxyfluorescein was supplemented as internal standard for the paracellular route. Figure 1 shows the time courses of the transport studies of piroxicam and carboxyfluorescein across PBMEC/C1-2 (Figure 1A) and ECV304 (Figure 1B) cell layers. To compare these two cell line based models to the model based on RBMECs, the same transport experiment across RBMEC was additionally presented (Figure 1C). The linear transport of carboxyfluorescein confirmed that the cell layers of all three models remained stable during the entire transport experiment. Furthermore, the curves exemplified that the cells exhibited a significant barrier for the transport of investigated substances in comparison to the inserts without cells (blanks). However, different permeabilities for each substance across the inserts without cells pointed out the need of blank studies for each compound in order to be able to calculate the permeability coefficients across the cell layers only. As expected, the slopes of the permeability time courses of piroxicam were between the slopes of the trancellular standard diazepam and the paracellular standard carboxyfluorescein. Total cleared volume values across RBMEC layers were lower in comparison to PBMEC/C1-2 and ECV304 because RBMEC studies were conducted with 12-well inserts, whereas PBMEC/C1-2 and ECV304 experiments were carried out with 6-well inserts. However, comparison of the frames of the cleared volume cell curves between diazepam and carboxyfluorescein revealed the smallest differences after 240 min for PBMEC/C1-2 (1.5-fold) followed by ECV304 (3.5-fold) and RBMEC (7-fold) reflecting the paracellular tightness of the applied layers. Results of all single studies across PBMEC/C1-2 and ECV304 layers were summarized in Table 1. Permeability coefficients (PEcell) of diazepam across PBMEC/C1-2 layers were in average between 32.29 and 58.93 µm/min, whereas of carboxyfluorescein between 16.67 and 19.66 µm/min. On the contrary, permeability coefficients of diazepam across ECV304 were between 19.93 and 39.95 µm/min, whereas of carboxyfluorescein between 3.89 and 5.67 µm/min. These data corresponded well with previous TEER and APTS-dextran data [23] confirming that ECV304 layers were significantly tighter than PBMEC/C1-2 layers and provide a broader window to distinguish between the permeabilities of single drugs. In order to account for cell layer’s variabilities permeability coefficients of NSAIDs were normalized with the corresponding permeability coefficient of diazepam. The resulting ratios to diazepam were used to rank the permeability of the single NSAIDs. Piroxicam was then the fastest substance across PBMEC/C1-2 layers followed by tenoxicam, ibuprofen, meloxicam, celecoxib and diclofenac. In case of the transport studies across ECV304 layers, piroxicam was also the fastest followed by ibuprofen, tenoxicam, celecoxib, meloxicam and diclofenac. Comparison of the rankings across PBMEC/C1-2 and ECV304 layers resulted in a high and significant Spearman’s ranking correlation coefficient of 0.929 (p<0.05).

Group transport studies across PBMEC/C1-2 and ECV304 layers

Comparison to single studies. No adverse effects onto the integrity of the barrier properties of PBMEC/1-2 as well as ECV304 layers were observed, although the number (and according applied concentration) of NSAIDs was increased from 1 (100 µM) to maximum 6 (600 µM) NSAIDs during the group studies. This was indicated by stable permeability values and linear curve progressions of the internal standard for the paracellular route carboxyfluorescein (tables 1 and 2). For example, permeability coefficients PEcell for carboxyfluorescein were 16.67–19.66 µm/min in PBMEC/C1-2 single studies in comparison to 18.67±1.56 in the group study with all NSAIDs, and 3.89–5.33 µm/min in ECV304 single studies in comparison to 5.51±0.49 µm/min in the group study with all NSAIDs. Normalizing the permeability coefficients of the group study to diazepam yielded to following ranking across PBMEC/C1-2 layers: piroxicam, diazepam, ibuprofen, tenoxicam, meloxicam, diclofenac and celecoxib, where pairs piroxicam and diazepam, and tenoxicam and meloxicam penetrated almost equally fast. In case of ECV304 layers, diazepam was the fastest followed by piroxicam, ibuprofen, meloxicam, tenoxicam, diclofenac and celecoxib. Comparison of the rankings of the single and the group studies revealed a high Spearman’s rank order correlation coefficient of 0.893 (p<0.05) for PBMEC/C1-2 layers and of 0.821 (p<0.05) for ECV304 layers indicating that the group studies results showed similar permeability rankings. Minor deviations in the rankings may occur due to drug-drug interactions. Interestingly, comparison of the rankings of the group studies across PBMEC/C1-2 and ECV304 layers also revealed a high Spearman’s rank order correlation coefficient of 0.929 (p<0.05). These data indicated that high correlations in the rankings existed between the single and the corresponding group study, but also between the two different cell lines. Based on these results, it was decided to accomplish further group studies in order to investigate the influence of serum, glioma derived factors, the presence of carboxyfluorescein and meloxicam or of active transporter inhibitors probenecid and verapamil on the permeability properties of the studied NSAIDs.
Influence of serum. In order to test the influence of the presence of serum, group studies were conducted with NSAIDs piroxicam, ibuprofen, meloxicam, tenoxicam and diclofenac in serum containing and in serum-free transport medium across PBMEC/C1-2 and ECV304 layers. Celecoxib was excluded in this set-up due to analytical reasons. Because of the exclusion of celecoxib, a group study in serum-containing medium without celecoxib was accomplished as a control study for the corresponding serum-free group study. Comparison of group studies accomplished in serum-containing medium with and without celecoxib revealed significant differences and underlined possible drug-drug interactions of celecoxib with the transport of the other applied compounds (table 2). In case of PBMEC/C1-2 layers, analyzing the normalized data permeability for meloxicam decreased from $0.57 \pm 0.04$-fold to $0.38 \pm 0.03$-fold, whereas permeability for tenoxicam ($0.58 \pm 0.01$ to $0.67 \pm 0.00$) and carboxyfluorescein ($0.46 \pm 0.04$ to $0.59 \pm 0.04$) increased significantly (fig.2). On the contrary, exclusion of celecoxib during the study across ECV304 layers increased only the permeability of ibuprofen ($0.48 \pm 0.05$ to $0.60 \pm 0.03$) significantly. These different effects by celecoxib exclusion were reflected in a non-significant Spearman’s rank order correlation coefficient of $0.5$ ($p = 0.217$) comparing the changed ranking of the group studies across PBMEC/C1-2 and ECV304 without celecoxib.

Absence of serum resulted in increased absolute permeability coefficients for almost all investigated substances in both BBB models (table 2, except piroxicam across PBMEC/C1-2). In comparison to the group study without celecoxib, normalized permeability of piroxicam ($1.01 \pm 0.09$ to $0.68 \pm 0.06$) decreased significantly, whereas ibuprofen ($0.51 \pm 0.13$ to $0.89 \pm 0.06$), diclofenac ($0.42 \pm 0.07$ to $0.82 \pm 0.03$) and meloxicam ($0.38 \pm 0.03$ to $0.65 \pm 0.03$) migrated faster across the PBMEC/C1-2 layers (fig.2). In case of cell line ECV304, piroxicam ($0.72 \pm 0.02$ to $0.62 \pm 0.03$) and tenoxicam ($0.41 \pm 0.04$ to $0.31 \pm 0.04$) penetrated significantly slower, but ibuprofen ($0.60 \pm 0.04$ to $0.77 \pm 0.03$), diclofenac ($0.38 \pm 0.01$ to $0.66 \pm 0.04$) and carboxyfluorescein ($0.20 \pm 0.03$ to $0.26 \pm 0.02$) got also significantly faster when excluding serum in the experimental set-up (fig.3). Comparing the rankings only one significant correlation was obtained between results of the study without celecoxib and the test in the serum-free medium. Interestingly, the absolutely same permeability ranking was found for the studies without serum across PBMEC/C1-2 and ECV304 layers (Spearman’s ranking order correlation coefficient $= 1$). In both cases, diazepam was the fastest substance followed by ibuprofen, diclofenac, piroxicam, meloxicam, tenoxicam and carboxyfluorescein.

In order to elucidate the role of serum binding of the tested substances during these transport studies, serum binding was measured by an ultrafiltration method. Results in the serum-containing transport medium ($7.5\%$ serum) showed that meloxicam ($20.43\%$), diclofenac ($32.61\%$) and ibuprofen ($42.75\%$) exhibited the highest serum binding values which was concordant to their increased permeability ranking in the studies conducted with serum-free medium (table 3).

Influence of glioma conditioned medium. It was reported in several previous studies that glioma derived factors can alter the properties of BBB in vitro models significantly [28–30]. This was also shown for cell lines PBMEC/C1-2 and
Considering normalized data, piroxicam (1.01±0.09 to 0.76±0.13) permeated slower and ibuprofen (0.51±0.13 to 0.75±0.03) as well as meloxicam (0.38±0.03 to 0.62±0.02) migrated faster across BBB/C1-2 layers [fig.2]. In case of ECV304 cells, after normalization to diazepam all NSAIDs (piroxicam, ibuprofen, meloxicam, tenoxicam and diclofenac) but also the paracellular marker carboxyfluorescein penetrated signif-

### Table 1. Single transport studies of NSAIDs across PBMEC/C1-2 and ECV304.

| Substance       | PSblank | PSall | PEcell | Ratio to Diazepam* |
|-----------------|---------|-------|--------|--------------------|
| **PBM/C1-2**    |         |       |        |                    |
| Piroxicam study |         |       |        |                    |
| Piroxicam       | 8.69±0.72 | 4.63±0.36 | 9.91±1.60 | 11.02±0.87 | 23.82±3.81 | 0.55±0.05 |
| Diazepam        | 8.94±0.72 | 5.99±0.35 | 18.15±3.21 | 14.27±0.84 | 43.82±7.64 |
| CF              | 9.42±0.94 | 4.01±0.09 | 7.00±0.28  | 9.56±0.22  | 16.67±0.67 |
| Ibuprofen study |         |       |        |                    |
| Ibuprofen       | 6.72±0.12 | 3.45±0.15 | 7.10±0.65  | 8.22±0.36  | 16.95±1.54 | 0.46±0.01 |
| Diazepam        | 9.25±0.49 | 5.76±0.18 | 15.27±1.27 | 13.71±0.43 | 36.47±3.03 |
| CF              | 11.69±0.30 | 4.47±0.08 | 7.24±0.21  | 10.65±0.19 | 17.25±0.49 |
| Meloxicam study |         |       |        |                    |
| Meloxicam       | 8.03±1.21 | 3.15±0.10 | 5.18±0.28  | 7.50±0.25  | 12.34±0.68 | 0.41±0.13 |
| Diazepam        | 7.28±0.77 | 4.66±0.56 | 12.96±4.28 | 11.10±1.33 | 32.29±10.20 |
| CF              | 10.09±1.15 | 4.17±0.07 | 7.12±0.19  | 9.94±0.16  | 16.95±0.46 |
| Piroxicam study |         |       |        |                    |
| Piroxicam       | 8.32±0.36 | 3.21±0.07 | 5.15±0.19  | 7.65±0.18  | 12.27±0.46 | 0.42±0.01 |
| Diazepam        | 10.13±0.43 | 5.57±0.02 | 12.39±0.11 | 13.26±0.05 | 29.49±0.27 |
| CF              | 10.24±0.30 | 1.60±0.06 | 1.90±0.09  | 3.81±0.15  | 4.52±0.20 |
| Ibuprofen study |         |       |        |                    |
| Ibuprofen       | 5.54±0.71 | 2.02±0.02 | 3.19±0.05  | 4.82±0.05  | 7.60±0.13  | 0.31±0.02 |
| Diazepam        | 8.21±0.29 | 4.60±0.13 | 11.43±0.68 | 10.94±0.32 | 24.86±1.63 |
| CF              | 10.30±1.45 | 1.54±0.11 | 1.81±0.15  | 3.67±0.26  | 4.32±0.36 |
| Meloxicam study |         |       |        |                    |
| Meloxicam       | 5.80±0.26 | 1.77±0.13 | 2.56±0.27  | 4.23±0.32  | 6.10±0.65  | 0.19±0.02 |
| Diazepam        | 7.49±0.45 | 4.81±0.14 | 13.40±1.14 | 11.44±0.34 | 32.00±2.71 |
| CF              | 9.70±0.66 | 1.61±0.004 | 1.92±0.01 | 3.82±0.01  | 4.58±0.01 |
| Tenoxicam study |         |       |        |                    |
| Tenoxicam       | 7.12±0.50 | 2.16±0.02 | 3.11±0.04  | 5.15±0.05  | 7.40±0.10  | 0.28±0.01 |
| Diazepam        | 8.92±0.72 | 4.97±0.08 | 11.21±0.41 | 11.83±0.19 | 26.71±0.98 |
| CF              | 11.58±0.67 | 1.58±0.08 | 1.83±0.11  | 3.77±0.19  | 4.36±0.26 |
| Diclofenac study|         |       |        |                    |
| Diclofenac      | 4.08±0.41 | 1.50±0.08 | 2.38±0.19  | 3.58±0.18  | 5.67±0.46  | 0.14±0.03 |
| Diazepam        | 6.61±1.01 | 4.66±0.15 | 16.67±1.88 | 11.09±0.36 | 39.95±4.48 |
| CF              | 8.14±0.30 | 1.36±0.19 | 1.63±0.28  | 3.23±0.46  | 3.89±0.67 |
| Celecoxib study |         |       |        |                    |
| Celecoxib       | 5.10±0.06 | 1.39±0.17 | 1.91±0.32  | 3.31±0.41  | 4.57±0.76  | 0.23±0.02 |
| Diazepam        | 10.20±1.48 | 4.58±0.34 | 8.32±1.12  | 10.91±0.80 | 19.93±2.67 |
| CF              | 11.45±0.89 | 1.88±0.08 | 2.25±0.11  | 4.47±0.18  | 5.35±0.26 |

*Ratio to Diazepam is calculated by average PEcell data of the investigated NSAID and the corresponding diazepam value, CF = carboxyfluorescein.

Summary of permeability data of single transport studies with NSAIDs piroxicam, ibuprofen, meloxicam, tenoxicam, diclofenac and celecoxib across PBM/C1-2 as well as ECV304 cell layers. In each transport study one NSAID was applied together with the two permeability markers diazepam and carboxyfluorescein at the same time (n = 3, data are presented as means ± SD).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086806.t001

ECV304 [23,31]. All transport studies until now were accomplished in basal C6 medium. Consequently, we were interested whether the usage of glioma conditioned medium (of this basal C6 medium) may influence the permeability of the NSAIDs investigated. Comparison of the transport studies without celecoxib and the studies in glioma conditioned medium resulted in significant differences of the permeability behaviour of the tested drugs.
Transport studies across PBMEC/C1-2 layers

A  Composition and transport medium dependency

B  Influence of transporter inhibitors

Figure 2. Rankings of the group transport studies with NSAIDs across PBMEC/C1-2 layers. Permeability coefficient of each substance was normalized to the corresponding permeability coefficient of internal standard diazepam of the same experiment. A): Variant substance compositions results of the group study with all investigated substances (diazepam, piroxicam, ibuprofen, meloxicam, tenoxicam, diclofenac, celecoxib, carboxyfluorescein = CF) were compared to the study without celecoxib (w/o CC), without celecoxib accomplished in serum-free C6 medium (serum-free), without celecoxib accomplished in PBMEC-Fib medium (GCM = glioma conditioned medium) and without celecoxib and carboxyfluorescein (w/o CF). B): Different transport study conditions - results of the group study with all investigated substances (diazepam, piroxicam, ibuprofen, meloxicam, tenoxicam, diclofenac, celecoxib, carboxyfluorescein = CF) were compared to the study without meloxicam (w/o MEL), without meloxicam and with probenecid (with Probenecid) and without meloxicam and with verapamil (with Verapamil). To calculate the statistical significances between the groups, which differed in the substance compositions, a one-way ANOVA was used, to compare the groups with same substance compositions under different experimental transport conditions (in A: w/o CC, serum-free medium, GCM; in B: w/o MEL, with Probenecid, with Verapamil) a two-way ANOVA was accomplished followed by an all pairwise multiple comparison procedure (Holm-Sidak method) with an overall significance level of 0.05. Statistical significance (p < 0.05) for each substance is indicated in the figure by * (all vs. w/o CC, all vs. w/o MEL), by # (w/o CC vs. serum-free or GCM; w/o MEL vs. with Probenecid or with Verapamil), by $ (serum-free vs. GCM; with Probenecid vs. with Verapamil) or by $ (w/o CC vs. w/o CF). Data are presented as means ± SD (n = 3).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086806.g002
### Table 2. Group transport studies of NSAIDs across PBMEC/C1-2 and ECV304.

| Substance          | PE<sub>cell</sub> [µm/min] | PE<sub>cell</sub> [µm/min] | PE<sub>cell</sub> [µm/min] | PE<sub>cell</sub> [µm/min] | PE<sub>cell</sub> [µm/min] | PE<sub>cell</sub> [µm/min] | PE<sub>cell</sub> [µm/min] | PE<sub>cell</sub> [µm/min] | PE<sub>cell</sub> [µm/min] |
|--------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                    | serum-free medium with Celecoxib | glioma conditioned medium with Celecoxib | without Celecoxib medium without CF with Probenecid with Verapamil |
| Diazepam           | 40.04 ± 2.99                 | 24.91 ± 2.57                 | 36.65 ± 2.71                 | 39.18 ± 2.32                 | 33.96 ± 3.53                 | 52.96 ± 5.83                 | 39.12 ± 4.26                 | 58.03 ± 5.10                 |
| Piroxicam          | 41.98 ± 3.89                 | 25.23 ± 5.10                 | 24.96 ± 2.08                 | 29.86 ± 5.84                 | 30.27 ± 2.61                 | 38.50 ± 3.96                 | 30.26 ± 5.85                 | 40.07 ± 8.39                 |
| Ibuprofen          | 25.85 ± 2.66                 | 12.46 ± 1.95                 | 32.74 ± 1.59                 | 29.33 ± 2.45                 | 24.70 ± 2.68                 | 27.43 ± 3.06                 | 32.51 ± 5.51                 | 42.14 ± 2.11                 |
| Meloxicam          | 22.87 ± 2.64                 | 9.39 ± 0.33                  | 23.71 ± 1.20                 | 24.32 ± 1.18                 | 19.97 ± 2.72                 | n.a.*                        | n.a.*                        | n.a.*                        |
| Tenoxicam          | 23.10 ± 1.18                 | 16.69 ± 1.74                 | 21.67 ± 0.92                 | 25.87 ± 9.41                 | 20.74 ± 1.52                 | 26.81 ± 1.88                 | 25.32 ± 1.36                 | 29.18 ± 0.65                 |
| Diclofenac         | 19.50 ± 1.59                 | 10.25 ± 0.80                 | 30.20 ± 2.45                 | 19.82 ± 1.45                 | 17.22 ± 1.63                 | 20.46 ± 1.91                 | 25.80 ± 2.77                 | 32.33 ± 1.65                 |
| Celecoxib          | 13.26 ± 1.07                 | n.a.*                        | n.a.*                        | n.a.*                        | n.a.*                        | 15.47 ± 1.02                 | 16.65 ± 2.20                 | 21.06 ± 0.91                 |
| CF*                | 18.67 ± 1.56                 | 16.02 ± 1.80                 | 17.67 ± 0.70                 | 18.01 ± 1.38                 | n.a.*                        | 20.60 ± 1.52                 | 17.41 ± 0.41                 | 24.60 ± 1.00                 |
| TEER (Ohm·cm²)     | 57.40 ± 2.42                 | 60.20 ± 4.20                 | 56.00 ± 2.42                 | 57.40 ± 2.42                 | 54.60 ± 4.20                 | 56.00 ± 2.42                 | 67.20 ± 4.22                 | 58.80 ± 4.85                 |

*CF = carboxyfluorescein, n.a. = not added due to experimental or analytical reasons.

Summary of permeability data of group transport studies with NSAIDs piroxicam, ibuprofen, meloxicam, tenoxicam, diclofenac and celecoxib across PBMEC/C1-2 as well as ECV304 cell layers. In each transport study the two permeability markers diazepam and carboxyfluorescein were applied at the same time. The permeability of different group compositions (all, without celecoxib, without celecoxib and carboxyfluorescein, without meloxicam, in different transport media (all, serum-free medium, glioma-conditioned medium) and the added transporter inhibitors (without meloxicam with probenecid, without meloxicam with verapamil) was measured (n = 3, data are presented as means ± SD).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086806.t002
Transport studies across ECV304 layers
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Figure 3. Rankings of the group transport studies with NSAIDs across ECV304 layers. Permeability coefficient of each substance was normalized to the corresponding permeability coefficient of internal standard diazepam of the same experiment. A): Variant substance compositions - results of the group study with all investigated substances (diazepam, piroxicam, ibuprofen, meloxicam, tenoxicam, diclofenac, celecoxib, carboxyfluorescein = CF) were compared to the study without celecoxib (w/o CC), without celecoxib accomplished in serum-free C6 medium (serum-free), without celecoxib accomplished in PBMEC-Fib medium (GCM = glioma conditioned medium) and without celecoxib and carboxyfluorescein (w/o CF). B): Different transport study conditions - results of the group study with all investigated substances (diazepam, piroxicam, ibuprofen, meloxicam, tenoxicam, diclofenac, celecoxib, carboxyfluorescein = CF) were compared to the study without meloxicam (w/o MEL), without meloxicam and with probenecid (with Probenecid) and without meloxicam and with verapamil (with Verapamil). To calculate the statistical significances between the groups, which differed in the substance compositions, a one-way ANOVA was used, to compare the groups with same substance compositions under different experimental transport conditions (in A: w/o CC, serum-free medium, GCM; in B: w/o MEL, with Probenecid, with Verapamil) a two-way ANOVA was accomplished followed by an all pairwise multiple comparison procedure (Holm-Sidak method) with an overall significance level of 0.05. Statistical significance (p < 0.05) for each substance is indicated in the figure by * (all vs. w/o CC, all vs. w/o MEL), by # (w/o CC vs. serum-free or GCM; w/o MEL vs. with Probenecid or with Verapamil) or by $ (serum-free vs. GCM; with Probenecid vs. with Verapamil). Data are presented as means ± SD (n = 3).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086806.g003
The comparison of normalized data of group studies without meloxicam vs. with verapamil showed significant increases of the permeability of ibuprofen (0.52 ± 0.01 to 0.73 ± 0.05) and diclofenac (0.89 ± 0.00 to 0.56 ± 0.02) across PBMEC/C1-2, but no significant change across ECV304 layers. However, in this case a significant Spearman’s ranking order correlation coefficient was obtained for all group studies (PBMEC/C1-2 without meloxicam vs. without meloxicam, but with verapamil: 0.857, p < 0.05; ECV304 without meloxicam vs. without meloxicam, but with verapamil: 0.929, p < 0.05; PBMEC/C1-2 without meloxicam, but with verapamil vs. ECV304 without meloxicam, but with verapamil: 0.786, p < 0.05).

**Group transport study across primary RBMEC layers**

Tightness characterization of cell layers used in BBB in vitro models is essential for the interpretation of transport study results. The tightness of a cell layer can be described by TEER or the permeability of a paracellular marker. The tightness properties of the BBB in vitro model consisting of the RBMEC/AST co-culture have not been characterized until now. Consequently, the RBMEC cell layers and their tightness properties had to be investigated prior to drug transport studies. This was necessary in order to be able to estimate the role of the passive, paracellular transport route for NSAIDs across RBMEC cell layers in comparison to PBMEC/C1-2 and ECV304 layers. The results were summarized in figure 4. Light microscopic as well as scanning electron microscopic (SEM) images confirmed the spindle-like morphology of the RBMEC layers. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) proved that RBMECs grew as monolayers under the established conditions (fig 4A). At the mRNA level, presence and the amount of major BBB tight junction proteins occludin, ZO-1, claudin-3, claudin-5 and claudin-12 was quantified by RT-qPCR. In addition to tight junctional proteins, expression of endothelial markers (adhesion molecules) PECAM-1, VCAM, ICAM-1 and CD44 was assessed and confirmed (fig 4B). Furthermore, the presence and correct localisation of PECAM-1, ZO-1, occludin, claudin-3 and claudin-5 were also confirmed by immunofluorescence microscopy at the protein level (fig 4C). In order to describe functional tightness, transport studies with the paracellular marker APTS-dextran were accomplished. Figure 4D shows on the left side a typical pattern of the APTS-dextran ladder after a transport study, which confirmed that the fractions with increasing glucose units permeated slower than those with fewer glucose units indicating a molecular size dependent paracellular permeability. On the right side a summary of APTS-dextran permeability coefficients is given. Comparison of the data pointed out that RBMEC layers were approximately 2.5-fold tighter than ECV304 and 10-fold tighter than PBMEC/C1-2 layers underlining the suitability of RBMEC layers for drug transport studies concerning the tightness of the layers.

After validation of RBMEC layers a transport group study with NSAIDs piroxicam, ibuprofen, meloxicam, tenoxicam and diclofenac was accomplished and resulted in following permeability ranking: diazepam was the fastest followed by ibuprofen and piroxicam (which were equally fast), diclofenac, meloxicam and tenoxicam (table 4). This ranking was compared with the rankings of the transport studies across PBMEC/C1-2 and ECV304 layers in glioma conditioned medium, because the RBMEC study was...
Figure 4. Characterization of the BBB model based on primary rat brain microvascular endothelial cells (RBMEC) and astrocytes. RBMECs grow in endothelial cell typical spindle-like morphology proven by light and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Transmission electron microscopic (TEM) images confirmed that RBMEC grow as a monolayer. The enlarged part of the image shows two RBMECs connected to each other directly over a pore of the Transwell insert membrane (A). mRNA expressions of tight junction proteins ZO-1, occludin, claudin-3, claudin-5 and claudin-12, and of adhesion molecules PECAM-1, VCAM, ICAM-1 and CD44. All data were related to endogenous control GAPDH which was set to

**Relative mRNA expression of markers**

| Control genes | RBMECs | SEM |
|---------------|--------|-----|
| beta-Actin    | 662.70 | 1000.03 |
| GAPDH         | 58.27  | 40.5 |

| Tight junctional proteins | 247.01 | 19.26 | 0.56 | 2.63 | 0.40 |
|---------------------------|--------|-------|------|------|------|
| ZO-1          |        |       |      |      |      |
| Occludin       |        |       |      |      |      |
| Claudin-3      |        |       |      |      |      |
| Claudin-5      |        |       |      |      |      |
| Claudin-12     |        |       |      |      |      |

| Adhesion molecules | 1126.29 | 122.01 | 5.72 | 0.72 |
|-------------------|---------|--------|------|------|
| PECAM-1           |         |        |      |      |
| VCAM              |         |        |      |      |
| ICAM-1            |         |        |      |      |
| CD44              |         |        |      |      |

**Immunofluorescence microscopy of markers**

**Permeation of the APTS-dextran ladder**

**APTS-Dextran permeability pattern**

| Cell line                  | PE_{at} [µm/min] | Total APTS-dextran |
|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| PBMEC/Cl-2*                | 7.261 ± 0.31    |                    |
| ECV304*                    | 1.849 ± 0.177   |                    |
| RBMEC/AST                  | 0.692 ± 0.005   |                    |

*data from Neulaus et al., 2008.

Figure 4. Characterization of the BBB model based on primary rat brain microvascular endothelial cells (RBMEC) and astrocytes. RBMECs grow in endothelial cell typical spindle-like morphology proven by light and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Transmission electron microscopic (TEM) images confirmed that RBMEC grow as a monolayer. The enlarged part of the image shows two RBMECs connected to each other directly over a pore of the Transwell insert membrane (A), mRNA expressions of tight junction proteins ZO-1, occludin, claudin-3, claudin-5 and claudin-12, and of adhesion molecules PECAM-1, VCAM, ICAM-1 and CD44. All data were related to endogenous control GAPDH which was set to
conducted in astrocyte conditioned medium. However, no significant Spearman’s ranking order correlation was found between the RBMEC and either the PBMEC/C1-2 or the ECV304 model (correlation coefficient = 0.715, p = 0.136 in both cases).

**Discussion**

The transport of NSAIDs across BBB in vitro models was investigated in the presented work. Two major aspects of this study should be emphasized. On the one hand no systematic study about the permeability of several NSAIDs across the blood-brain barrier (BBB) in vitro is available, although it is known that many NSAIDs are able to penetrate into the CNS in vivo [15–20]. Furthermore, NSAIDs could act beneficially in diseases such as Alzheimers disease, epilepsy or traumatic brain injury, for which alterations of BBB functionality were shown [5,9,11,18,32]. Consequently, found BBB permeability ranking orders of NSAIDs in this work may help to estimate the role of BBB permeability with regard to their CNS effects. On the other hand rankings were assessed using different study conditions. Especially changes of ranking orders of studies with different experimental media underlined the importance of the used experimental medium. In order to give a comprehensive overview three different in vitro BBB models derived from three different species (porcine, human, rat) with distinct different tightness properties were applied to study the transport of several NSAIDs (celecoxib, diclofenac, ibuprofen, meloxicam, piroxicam and tenoxicam).

Single substance studies with both cell lines revealed a transport ranking which showed a high correlation to corresponding group studies. As previously reported, normalization to internal standard diazepam can be used to account for cell layer’s variabilities, which is especially valuable for studies with cell layers of moderate tightness [23,27,33]. Minor deviations in the rankings between single and group studies might be explained by drug-drug interactions. Interesting, diclofenac outran celecoxib in the group studies in both cell line models. Celecoxib was reported to have the potential to block abcg2 (bcrp) functionality and thus might increase permeability of abcg2 substrate diclofenac. Furthermore, celecoxib could inhibit abcc4 (mrp4), to which meloxicam can bind [34–38]. This may support that meloxicam got ahead of celecoxib in the ECV304 group study in comparison to corresponding single study. In summary, these facts could explain the minor changes in the rankings between single and group studies. Rare data of NSAID permeability across other cell models are available. In comparison to one study with Caco-2 cells, ranking across the BBB models (piroxicam –ibuprofen – meloxicam – diclofenac) was distinctly different to the ranking across Caco-2 cell layers (piroxicam – diclofenac – meloxicam – ibuprofen) [39]. While drug-drug interactions probably occur, group studies – also termed as cocktail studies – have proved to be of high value for drug screenings [33,40–42]. Considering deviations of permeability coefficients of transcellular marker diazepam one major advantage of group studies is to obtain permeability rankings of drugs which migrated across the same cell layers. Therefore, it was decided to conduct further studies as group studies to investigate the influence of varying experimental medium, group compositions and addition of transporter inhibitors (verapamil, probenecid).

In general, significant concordance was obtained between group study rankings obtained from the BBB in vitro models based on PBMEC/C1-2 and on ECV304 cells. Lack of serum in experimental medium revealed same rankings across both models. Notably, with ibuprofen and diclofenac both substances containing carboxylic acid groups migrated significantly faster in both models. In this context, Parepally et al. (2006) reported a distinctly decreased BBB permeability of ibuprofen with increasing amount of plasma proteins [15]. Furthermore, they showed a saturated transport profile for ibuprofen in studies without plasma proteins suggesting involvement of an active transport system for ibuprofen across the BBB. Our studies without serum confirmed these data pointing to the important role of serum during drug transport studies due to different drug-serum binding and consequent lowered free drug concentrations. This was supported by our measured serum binding values especially for ibuprofen, diclofenac and meloxicam. In this case, significantly reduced amounts of unbound drugs in the transport medium containing 7.5% serum probably led to decreased permeability rates and minor positions.

**Table 4.** Group transport study of NSAIDs across RBMEC.

| substance | PEblank | PEcell | Ratio to Diazepam* |
|-----------|---------|--------|-------------------|
| [μL/min]  | [μL/min]| [μm/min] |
| **RBMEC** |         |         |                   |
| Group study |         |         |                   |
| **Diazepam** | 1.93±0.13 | 3.77±0.16 | 41.92±1.75 | 100±0.00 |
| **Piroxicam** | 1.94±0.12 | 2.82±0.17 | 31.39±1.94 | 0.76±0.04 |
| **Ibuprofen** | 1.71±0.15 | 1.18±0.05 | 31.99±4.28 | 0.76±0.09 |
| **Meloxicam** | 1.86±0.12 | 1.73±0.09 | 19.27±0.99 | 0.47±0.02 |
| **Diclofenac** | 1.74±0.10 | 1.18±0.05 | 13.12±0.59 | 0.32±0.01 |
| **Tenoxicam** | 1.65±0.13 | 2.39±0.27 | 26.73±2.97 | 0.64±0.06 |

*Ratio to Diazepam is calculated by average PEcell data of the investigated NSAID and the corresponding diazepam value.

Summary of permeability data of the group study with NSAIDs piroxicam, ibuprofen, meloxicam, tenoxicam and diclofenac across the RBMEC cell layers (n = 3, data are presented as means ± SD).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086806.t004
in the permeability rankings within the investigated groups. However, it should not be forgotten that serum itself could also influence directly cell layer’s properties and consequently also drug transport processes.

Studies with GCM highlighted the influence of astrocyte derived factors on transport studies across BBB models. Interestingly, changes in transport rankings in comparison to group studies in C6 medium without celecoxib led to the same transport rankings in both models. This finding seems to be very important considering the experimental set-up of transport studies and the role of astrocytes for barrier properties of BBB in vitro models. Many research groups are using simplified transport buffer systems mainly to ease drug analysis or achieve improved data comparability. Our data imply distinct changes of barrier properties for drug transport depending on the experimental transport buffer. Even preincubation of 30–60 minutes and subsequent four hours lasting experiments were enough to result in significant differences of permeability coefficients. Considering our data and the importance of astrocyte derived soluble factors for improved barrier tightness in BBB models [28–30], it could be recommended to accomplish studies in growth medium as long as the experimental and analytical set-up allows it.

Although carboxyfluorescein had been widely used as a paracellular marker molecule, it had also been reported being a substrate for mrp and organic anion transporter (oat) [23,43–45]. Consequently, we have carried out group transport studies excluding carboxyfluorescein. Notably, only permeability of ibuprofen, which was reported to inhibit oat and mrp activity [38,46], was increased across PBMEC/C1-2 layers. Hence, it could be supposed that interaction of carboxyfluorescein and ibuprofen at mrps or oats was responsible for this effect. In order to estimate the role of active transporters during these group transport studies general transporter inhibitors probencid and verapamil were added. Probencid had been published as a well-known blocker of mrp1/2 (abc1 and abc2) and oats, whereas verapamil had been used as competitive inhibitor of P-gp (abc1) [46–48]. Addition of probencid increased normalized permeability of ibuprofen, tenoxicam, diclofenac and celecoxib in the PBMEC/C1-2 model, whereas decreased piroxicam and tenoxicam migration in the ECV304 model suggesting very complex interactions. It was reported that ibuprofen and diclofenac inhibited mrp2, mrp4, oat1 and oat3, celecoxib blocked mrp1 and mrp4 activity and piroxicam acted as mrp2 and mrp4 inhibitor [34,37,38,46]. Thus, it could be assumed that interactions at mrps and oats might lead to these changes. Addition of verapamil increased only the normalized permeability of ibuprofen and diclofenac in the PBMEC/C1-2 model, although it was shown that celecoxib can decrease P-gp activity just as diclofenac and ibuprofen [34,49,50]. In summary, it was shown that permeability of every investigated NSAID was altered by addition either of transport blockers, changed transport medium or altered substance composition.

At last a NSAID group study across a BBB model based on coculture of primary rat endothelial cells with astrocytes was carried out. In comparison to the PBMEC/C1-2 and the ECV304 model, the RBMEC/AST model exhibited significantly higher paracellular tightness reflected in low APTS-dextran permeability and high TEER values. In addition to the high tightness, RBMEC/AST were chosen in order to include a model derived from a rodent species, in particular from rat, since pig (PBMEC/C1-2) and human (ECV304) are genetically very cognate [51]. Characterization of the model proved monolayer structure, major tightness properties and endothelial marker expression confirming suitability of this model as BBB model. In general, similar tendencies were shown as compared to transport results obtained with PBMEC/C1-2 and ECV304 models. For example, ibuprofen and piroxicam were the fastest after diazepam. However, moderate differences were found. In particular ibuprofen overran piroxicam, and diclofenac overran meloxicam and tenoxicam, which in the end led to no significant ranking correlation between the primary rat RBMEC/AST model and the porcine PBMEC/C1-2 and human ECV304 model. In this context, it should be considered that species specific properties might be responsible for these differences.

**Conclusion**

NSAIDs permeated across used BBB in vitro models well confirming in vivo data about BBB permeability and CNS side effects of NSAIDs. High correlations were found between transport rankings of NSAIDs across both PBMEC/C1-2 and ECV304 cell layers. Group transport studies revealed similar results as single substance studies yielding in high correlation coefficients which confirmed usefulness of the application of group studies. Varying transport medium underlined the impact of the experimental transport buffer on the results and led to the recommendation to use astrocyte conditioned medium for transport studies. Altered compositions of studied NSAID groups and addition of transporter protein inhibitors showed that their transport were regulated by drug-drug interactions, presumably at the site of drug transporter proteins. In conclusion, the presented work provides a comprehensive overview of transport rankings of NSAIDs across three BBB in vitro models and highlighted the influence of the experimental conditions on these transport rankings.
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