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Objectives: to stratify the risk for obstructive sleep apnea in patients with acute myocardial infarction, treated at a public, tertiary, teaching hospital of the state of São Paulo, Brazil, and to identify related sociodemographic and clinical factors. Method: cross-sectional analytical study with 113 patients (mean age 59.57 years, 70.8% male). A specific questionnaire was used for the sociodemographic and clinical characterization and the Berlin Questionnaire for the stratification of the risk of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. Results: the prevalence of high risk was 60.2% and the outcome of clinical worsening during hospitalization was more frequent among these patients. The factors related to high risk were body mass index over 30 kg/m2, arterial hypertension and waist circumference indicative of cardiovascular risk, while older age (60 years and over) constituted a protective factor. Conclusion: considering the high prevalence of obstructive sleep apnea and its relation to clinical worsening, it is suggested that nurses should monitor, in their clinical practice, people at high risk for this syndrome, guiding control measures of modifiable factors and aiming to prevent the associated complications, including worsening of cardiovascular diseases.
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Introduction

Sleep associated disorders, such as the Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome (OSAS), have been recognized as cardiovascular risk factors\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^4\). Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome consists of multiple episodes of upper airway obstruction that occur throughout a night's sleep. These episodes are followed by reduction in oxygen saturation and multiple awakenings at night, resulting in the chronic impairment of sleep\(^5\). The prevalence of OSAS recently found in residents of São Paulo was 32.8%, with predicting events being the age between 60 and 80 years, obesity and male\(^6\). International studies have shown that the prevalence of OSAS ranged from 34% to 79% in patients hospitalized with Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI), assessed by standardized instruments and polysomnography (PSG)\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^9\). Although these patients met criteria consistent with clinical suspicion of OSAS, this had not been diagnosed prior to the hospitalization\(^8\)\(^,\)\(^10\). In another study, this disorder constituted an aggravating factor during the hospitalization\(^11\).

The diagnosis of suspected OSAS is performed through the anamnesis and clinical examination, and confirmed by PSG, which is still difficult to access with restricted indication in Brazil, due to the complexity of its performance and its high cost\(^12\). The clinical evaluation may therefore prove to be a useful screening tool for people at high risk of OSAS. The clinical evaluation to identify subjects at risk for OSAS should include the Body Mass Index (BMI), as obesity can aggravate this disorder\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^13\), as well as the measurement of the neck circumference, which also relates to the severity of OSAS\(^14\) and the increase of which has been associated with cardiovascular risk\(^15\). The presence of Excessive Daytime Sleepiness (EDS)\(^8\) should also be evaluated, as this constitutes one of the more frequent symptoms of OSAS. There are also specific instruments for the screening of OSAS which identify risk factors for this disorder. These constitute useful tools that can be added to the routine health evaluation.

This study was developed considering that the sum of risk factors greatly increases the cardiovascular risk and that it is for nurses, in their work routine, to identify as many of these factors as possible to intervene early and prevent diseases. The aim of this study was to stratify the risk for OSAS in patients with AMI, attended in a public tertiary teaching hospital of the state of São Paulo, Brazil, and to identify the sociodemographic and clinical factors related to high risk for this disorder.

Method

This was an observational, analytical and cross-sectional study, conducted from October 2013 to March 2014. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medical Sciences of the State University of Campinas - UNICAMP (CAAE: 09731112.4.0000.5404). The subjects that met the selection criteria signed an Informed Consent Form (ICF), prepared in accordance with the requirements of Resolution 466/2012, of the National Health Council.

The estimated sample size was 121 patients, among whom 15% were expected to present worsening of the clinical progression \((n=18)\). This calculation was performed for a broader study entitled "Sleep, daytime sleepiness and risk of obstructive sleep apnea in patients with acute myocardial infarction", from which this work was taken. The methodology proposed for the sample size calculation for an unpaired t-test was used, with reference to a similar study, which compared the scores obtained from the sleep quality evaluation instrument for two subject groups, divided according to their clinical evolution (improvement or worsening)\(^16\); in the sample calculation a significance level of 5% and power of 80% were also considered. The final study sample \((n=113)\) corresponds to 93.4% of the total calculated. The study included 113 patients hospitalized with a clinical diagnosis of ST segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) or non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI), aged 18 years or over and hospitalization in the study locations (coronary care unit or cardiology ward of a university hospital in the state of São Paulo) within 72 hours of admission to the hospital. The exclusion criteria adopted were: previous hospitalization with discharge date less than 30 days previously and poor clinical progression within the first 72 hours, which could impair the performance of the interview and the responses to the instruments.

Data were collected through interviews using a semi-structured instrument, developed and submitted to face validation. The sociodemographic variables investigated
were: gender (male and female), age (up to 60 years, 60 years or over), marital status (married or stable union, single, widowed, separated), schooling (in complete years), family income (in minimum wages), current employment status (active or inactive). The clinical variables of interest were BMI calculated from reported weight and height (greater than 30 kg/m², equal to or less than 30 kg/m²), smoking habit (non smoker, smoker or ex-smoker), alcohol consumption (cardiovascular risk present when the amount of ingested ethanol exceeded 30 mg/dL daily for men and 15mg/dL for women, or not), practice of physical activity (yes or no), waist circumference (cardiovascular risk present when the value waist circumference was greater than 94cm for men and 80cm for women), neck circumference (NC) (cardiovascular risk present when the NC was greater than 43 cm for men and 38 cm for women), hypertension (yes or no), hypercholesterolemia (yes or no), diabetes mellitus (yes or no), type (STEMI or NSTEMI) and previous AMI (yes or no).

Patients were monitored until the end of the hospitalization regarding their clinical progression, which was categorized as improved or worsened. Events considered as clinical worsening were: reinfarction, angina, stroke or cardiovascular death. The stratification of the risk of OSAS was evaluated using the Berlin Questionnaire (BQ) which includes 10 items, divided into three categories: presence and frequency of snoring behavior, daytime sleepiness or fatigue, history of hypertension and/or obesity. Categories 1 and 2 are considered positive when the score is equal to or greater than 2 points. Category 3 is positive if the response to item 10 (presence of hypertension) is yes or the BMI is greater than 30 kg/m². The result are stratified as high risk for OSAS when two or more categories are positive and low-risk when one or no category is positive.

Data were entered into an electronic spreadsheet (Excel®), transferred and analyzed using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS), version 9.2, software, with the assistance of a statistician of the institution. Descriptive and inferential statistics were used. The association between the risk categories for OSAS and the clinical progression was evaluated using Fisher’s exact test. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis, with the stratification of risk for OSAS being the dependent variable (low or high), was employed to identify the sociodemographic and clinical factors related to the risk of OSAS. The stepwise variable selection method was used and the significance level was 5% (p≤.05).

Results

The study included 113 patients, of whom 68 (60.2%) were classified at high risk for OSAS. Categories 1 (presence of snoring and apneas) and 3 (hypertension and/or obesity) showed higher proportions of positive responses (64.6% and 80.5%, respectively) than category 2 (daytime sleepiness, 23.9%). The patients presented a mean age of 59.7 (standard deviation 12.3) years, a mean of 5.7 (standard deviation 4.4) years of formal study and a mean family income of 3.7 (standard deviation 3.2) times the minimum wage. The mean BMI was 26.9 (standard deviation 4.6) kg/m², the mean waist circumference was 97.5 (standard deviation 11.4) cm for the men and 94.0 (standard deviation 12.7) cm for the women, and the mean neck circumference was 40.4 (standard deviation 3.3) cm for the men and 35.9 (standard deviation 3.5) cm for the women. Table 1 presents the sociodemographic and clinical variables distributed according to the stratification of risk for OSAS.

The risk for OSAS was significantly associated with the worsening of the clinical progression. It was found that among the 14 patients with worsened progression, 85.7% were classified as high risk for OSAS, while among the 99 patients with clinical improvement a high risk for OSAS was present in 56.6% (p=.043 by Fisher’s exact test).

The factors related to high risk for OSAS, identified through univariate logistic regression analysis, were: BMI greater than 30 kg/m², hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, previous AMI, risk waist circumference and risk neck circumference. In the multivariate logistic regression analysis (Table 2), the independent risk factors related to high risk for OSAS were: hypertension (16.62 times greater chance of presenting high risk for OSA), elevated BMI (6.92 times greater chance) and risk waist circumference (6.00 times greater chance). The age group 60 years or more was shown to be a protective factor for high risk of OSAS (73% less chance), in the multivariate analysis (Table 2).
| Sociodemographic and clinical variables* | Stratification of risk for OSAS† | Total |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|
|                                       | n (45) | %     | n (68) | %     | n (113) | %    |
| Gender                                 |        |       |        |       |         |      |
| Male                                   | 33     | 41.2  | 47     | 58.8  | 80      | 100.0|
| Female                                 | 12     | 36.4  | 21     | 63.6  | 33      | 100.0|
| Age group                              |        |       |        |       |         |      |
| Less than 60 years                     | 19     | 33.3  | 38     | 66.7  | 57      | 100.0|
| 60 years or over                       | 26     | 46.4  | 30     | 53.6  | 56      | 100.0|
| Marital status                         |        |       |        |       |         |      |
| Married                                | 31     | 36.1  | 55     | 63.9  | 86      | 100.0|
| Single                                 | 4      | 50.0  | 4      | 50.0  | 8       | 100.0|
| Widowed                                | 4      | 50.0  | 4      | 50.0  | 8       | 100.0|
| Separated                              | 6      | 54.5  | 5      | 45.5  | 11      | 100.0|
| Work situation                         |        |       |        |       |         |      |
| Active                                 | 30     | 44.1  | 38     | 55.9  | 68      | 100.0|
| Inactive                               | 15     | 33.3  | 30     | 66.7  | 45      | 100.0|
| Body mass index                        |        |       |        |       |         |      |
| Less than or equal to 30kg/m²          | 43     | 49.4  | 44     | 50.6  | 87      | 100.0|
| More than 30 kg/m²                     | 2      | 7.7   | 24     | 92.3  | 26      | 100.0|
| Smoking habit                          |        |       |        |       |         |      |
| Non-smoker                             | 12     | 46.1  | 14     | 53.9  | 26      | 100.0|
| Smoker                                 | 17     | 38.6  | 27     | 61.4  | 44      | 100.0|
| Ex-smoker                              | 16     | 37.2  | 27     | 62.8  | 43      | 100.0|
| Risk consumption of alcohol            |        |       |        |       |         |      |
| Yes                                    | 7      | 35.0  | 13     | 65.0  | 20      | 100.0|
| No                                     | 38     | 40.9  | 55     | 59.1  | 93      | 100.0|
| Arterial hypertension                  |        |       |        |       |         |      |
| Yes                                    | 26     | 29.9  | 61     | 70.1  | 87      | 100.0|
| No                                     | 19     | 73.1  | 7      | 26.9  | 26      | 100.0|
| Diabetes mellitus                      |        |       |        |       |         |      |
| Yes                                    | 12     | 30.0  | 28     | 70.0  | 40      | 100.0|
| No                                     | 33     | 45.2  | 40     | 54.8  | 73      | 100.0|
| Hypercholesterolemia                   |        |       |        |       |         |      |
| Yes                                    | 13     | 27.1  | 35     | 72.9  | 48      | 100.0|
| No                                     | 32     | 49.2  | 33     | 50.8  | 65      | 100.0|
| Practice of physical activity          |        |       |        |       |         |      |
| Yes                                    | 8      | 42.1  | 11     | 57.9  | 19      | 100.0|
| No                                     | 37     | 39.4  | 57     | 60.6  | 94      | 100.0|
| Risk waist circumference               |        |       |        |       |         |      |
| Yes                                    | 15     | 22.7  | 51     | 77.3  | 66      | 100.0|
| No                                     | 30     | 63.8  | 17     | 36.2  | 47      | 100.0|
| Risk neck circumference                |        |       |        |       |         |      |
| Yes                                    | 3      | 11.5  | 23     | 88.5  | 26      | 100.0|
| No                                     | 42     | 48.3  | 45     | 51.7  | 87      | 100.0|
| Type                                   |        |       |        |       |         |      |
| NSTEMI‡                                 | 19     | 35.8  | 34     | 64.2  | 53      | 100.0|
| STEMI§                                 | 26     | 43.3  | 34     | 56.7  | 60      | 100.0|
| Previous acute myocardial infarction   |        |       |        |       |         |      |
| Yes                                    | 8      | 25.0  | 24     | 75.0  | 32      | 100.0|
| No                                     | 37     | 45.7  | 44     | 54.3  | 81      | 100.0|

*n=113
†Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome
‡Non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction
§ST segment elevation myocardial infarction
Table 2 - Factors related to high risk for obstructive sleep apnea syndrome in patients with acute myocardial infarction

| Sociodemographic and clinical variables | Crude OR* | IC 95%† | p  | Adjusted OR‡ | CI 95%† | p  |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|---------|----|--------------|---------|----|
| Gender                                 |           |         |    |              |         |    |
| Male                                   | 1.00 (ref)|         |    |              |         |    |
| Female                                 | 1.23      | 0.53; 2.84 | .629 |              |         |    |
| Age group                              |           |         |    |              |         |    |
| Less than 60 years                     | 1.00 (ref)|         |    | 1.00 (ref)   |         |    |
| More than or equal to 60 years         | 0.58      | 0.27; 1.24 | .156 | 0.27         | 0.09; 0.81 | .019 |
| Marital status                         |           |         |    |              |         |    |
| Married                                | 1.00 (ref)|         |    |              |         |    |
| Single                                 | 0.56      | 0.13; 2.41 | .540 |              |         |    |
| Widower                                | 0.56      | 0.13; 2.41 |    |              |         |    |
| Separated                              | 0.47      | 0.13; 1.67 |    |              |         |    |
| Work situation                         |           |         |    |              |         |    |
| Active                                 | -         | -       | -  |              |         |    |
| Inactive                               | -         | -       | -  |              |         |    |
| Body mass index                        |           |         |    |              |         |    |
| Less than or equal to 30kg/m²          | 1.00 (ref)|         |    | 1.00 (ref)   |         |    |
| More than 30 kg/m²                     | 11.73     | 2.61; 52.68 | .001 | 6.92         | 1.19; 40.11 | .031 |
| Smoking habit                          |           |         |    |              |         |    |
| Non-smoker                             | 1.00 (ref)|         |    |              |         |    |
| Smoker                                 | 1.36      | 0.51; 3.63 | .748 |              |         |    |
| Ex-smoker                              | 1.45      | 0.54; 3.89 |    |              |         |    |
| Risk consumption of alcohol            |           |         |    |              |         |    |
| Yes                                    | 1.28      | 0.47; 3.51 | .627 |              |         |    |
| No                                     | 1.00 (ref)|         |    |              |         |    |
| Arterial hypertension                  |           |         |    |              |         |    |
| Yes                                    | 6.37      | 2.39; 16.97 | .0001 | 16.62        | 4.04; 68.37 | .0001 |
| No                                     | 1.00 (ref)|         |    | 1.00 (ref)   |         |    |
| Diabetes mellitus                      |           |         |    |              |         |    |
| Yes                                    | 1.93      | 0.85; 4.36 | .116 |              |         |    |
| No                                     | 1.00 (ref)|         |    |              |         |    |
| Hypercholesterolemia                   |           |         |    |              |         |    |
| Yes                                    | 2.61      | 1.17; 5.82 | .018 |              |         |    |
| No                                     | 1.00 (ref)|         |    |              |         |    |
| Practice of physical activity          |           |         |    |              |         |    |
| Yes                                    | 0.89      | 0.33; 2.43 | .823 |              |         |    |
| No                                     | 1.00 (ref)|         |    |              |         |    |
| Risk waist circumference               |           |         |    |              |         |    |
| Yes                                    | 6.00      | 2.62; 13.73 | .0001 | 6.00         | 2.08; 17.32 | .001 |
| No                                     | 1.00 (ref)|         |    | 1.00 (ref)   |         |    |
| Risk neck circumference                |           |         |    |              |         |    |
| Yes                                    | 7.16      | 2.00; 25.60 | .002 |              |         |    |
| No                                     | 1.00 (ref)|         |    |              |         |    |
| Type                                   |           |         |    |              |         |    |
| NSTEMI§                                | 1.37      | 0.64; 2.92 | .417 |              |         |    |
| STEMI||                                | 1.00 (ref)|         |    |              |         |    |
| Previous AMI¶                          |           |         |    |              |         |    |
| Yes                                    | 2.52      | 1.01; 6.28 | .046 |              |         |    |
| No                                     | 1.00 (ref)|         |    |              |         |    |

*Crude odds ratio (odds ratio, according to the univariate logistic regression analysis)
†Confidence interval
‡Adjusted odds ratio (odds ratio, according to the univariate logistic regression analysis)
§Non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction
||ST segment elevation myocardial infarction
¶Acute myocardial infarction
Discussion

In this study, 60.2% of the patients presented a high risk for OSAS according to the BQ. These results highlight the importance of nurses identifying this risk in their clinical practice, mainly considering the association with worse clinical progression of the AMI, as verified in this study. The need is emphasized for training of nurses for the recognition of the factors associated with the risk for OSAS, as well as for the management of screening instruments for people at high risk, their interpretation and the ways to refer cases of high suspicion for more conclusive tests. A study that aimed to determine the knowledge of nurses, to identify and assess the risk of adult OSAS, showed that after an educational program, these professionals were better prepared for this purpose\(^{(21)}\).

Some authors have shown that approximately 35% of CVD patients have OSAS\(^{(20)}\) and among AMI patients the prevalence is higher, 34.2% to 69%\(^{(4,7-8)}\), data similar to those found in this study. Other authors studying the adult population, reported 3% to 7% prevalence of OSAS, with some factors such as age, male gender, obesity, family history, menopause, craniofacial abnormalities, smoking and high alcohol consumption implying increased risk\(^{(22)}\). It is understood that the large difference could be explained due to the present study investigating a clinical population, in which all patients presented CVD, which culminated in an AMI.

It should be noted that, in the majority of studies, the occurrence of OSAS is evaluated objectively using PSG, although, there are results that highlight the reliability of the subjective measurement obtained through the BQ. In one study carried out with 158 patients hospitalized due to their first episode of AMI, the authors showed 54 (equivalent to 34.2%) subjects with high suspicion of OSAS, using the BQ. Of these, 53 patients were referred to PSG and the diagnosis was positive in 48, or 90.6% of those suspected\(^{(4)}\). The association with clinical worsening observed in this study corroborates data from two other national studies, in which patients hospitalized with acute coronary syndrome and high risk for OSAS presented a worse clinical progression throughout the hospitalization compared to patients with low risk\(^{(11,23)}\). The high risk for OSAS therefore appears to be a factor for worsening of the condition of patients hospitalized with AMI.

Four of the 15 variables examined in this study were independently associated with a high risk for OSAS: hypertension, BMI greater than 30 kg/m\(^2\), risk waist circumference, and aged 60 years or more, with the latter, unlike the others, shown as a protective factor. The association with the first two was expected, since the presence of hypertension and BMI greater than 30 kg/m\(^2\) are positive criteria in one of the three BQ categories. It should be noted that some of the variables identified have been highlighted in the literature as causes and others as consequences of the presence of OSAS. There are study results that contribute to support the assertion that OSAS is implicated in the occurrence of CVD, and that various risk factors are shared between the two conditions, such as older age and obesity, especially abdominal obesity\(^{(7,22,24)}\). Conversely, hypertension has been consistently highlighted as a consequence of OSAS, and is considered one of the most important risk factors for CVD\(^{(1,22)}\).

The subjects aged 60 years or over presented less chance of high risk for OSAS compared to those under the age of 60, which contradicts the results found in the literature\(^{(6,22,25)}\). It can be proposed that the patients aged 60 and over were not able to accurately estimate the data relating to their own snoring and daytime sleepiness, and that their responses underestimated this information. On the other hand, it was observed that the patients of the higher age group presented BMIs significantly lower in relation to the younger age group, which may have contributed for these results.

In this study the patients with hypertension presented almost 17 times greater chance of high risk for OSAS compared to the patients without hypertension. Although it is not possible to identify causal relationships in a cross-sectional study, it is worth considering that the hypertension in these subjects was a consequence of the OSAS. In another study, conducted with patients affected by AMI, hypertension was found in 92.6% of the subjects identified at high risk for OSAS through the BQ\(^{(8)}\).

As observed in this study, other recent studies confirm the relationship between anthropometric measurements of waist circumference, neck circumference and BMI, and the high risk for OSAS or even a confirmed diagnosis of this disorder\(^{(7,15)}\). The patients with waist circumference above the cardiovascular risk index presented six times greater chance of high risk for
OSAS than those who presented the measure below this value. Another study, involving 120 patients with AMI, showed a 5.7 times greater chances of presenting OSAS among the individuals with this risk factor\(^{(7)}\). Increased waist circumference is indicative of abdominal obesity, which is also a risk factor for CVD.

This study found a significant relationship between BMI greater than 30kg/m\(^2\), which indicates obesity, and high risk for OSAS. Other authors also reported the same relationship in patients with AMI, in agreement with the data presented here\(^{(4,7-8)}\). In addition to the higher prevalence of OSAS among obese people, its severity is greater in these subjects, as demonstrated in a study, with 112 patients, which compared the characteristics of OSAS in obese and non-obese subjects. The authors found that obese patients presented a mean of 28.42 episodes per hour of sleep disruption and a mean \(\text{O}_2\) saturation of 89.68% in one night evaluated through PSG, while the non-obese subjects showed 17.84 interruptions per hour of sleep and a mean \(\text{O}_2\) saturation of 94.59%\(^{(13)}\). The episodes of hypoxemia and sleep fragmentation that characterize OSAS, are proposed as possible mechanisms underlying the complex association between the syndrome and CVD. Such events lead to endothelial dysfunction, oxidative stress, systemic inflammation, coagulation-fibrinolysis imbalance and increased sympathetic activity, among other pathophysiological events, predisposing to CVD\(^{(3)}\). These results suggest that obese people should be monitored and evaluated more frequently for the risk of OSAS.

Other factors were significantly related to OSAS in the univariate analysis, however, did not remain in the final model: hypercholesterolemia, increased neck circumference and previous AMI. Hypercholesterolemia was associated, in the univariate analysis, to an almost three times higher chance of risk for OSAS in the subjects studied. As well as hypertension, hypercholesterolemia is a known risk factor for CVD and may be considered a consequence of OSAS, by contributing to trigger the previously mentioned pathophysiological events responsible for inflammation and endothelial dysfunction\(^{(11)}\). Authors, however, suggest the need to better clarify the interaction between dyslipidemia and OSAS\(^{(1)}\). A seven times greater chance of high risk for OSA among individuals whose neck circumference indicated cardiovascular risk, compared to those in which this measure was less than the risk index was found in the univariate analysis. The presence of a strong correlation between waist circumference and neck circumference, in this study, may have contributed to the maintenance of only one of the factors in the final model. In another study with AMI patients there was no statistical significance between risk neck circumference and the presence of OSAS\(^{(7)}\). Other authors, however, found an association between OSAS severity and neck circumference, regardless of visceral fat\(^{(14)}\), and a study, with 4,201 participants aged between 20 and 85 years, showed that neck circumference was independently associated with cardiometabolic risk factors\(^{(15)}\). These data suggest the importance of obtaining this measurement when evaluating the risk for OSAS and the risk for CVD.

The main limitations of this study should be noted. The cross-sectional design prevented the evaluation of the influence of the variables over time; the risk for OSAS was assessed through self-reports, and there was no validation by objective measures, such as PSG; and the sample size was slightly lower than estimated in the sample calculation, which may have compromised the statistical significance of the findings.

**Conclusion**

The evaluation of 113 patients during hospitalization due to AMI, allowed the conclusion that there was an increased prevalence of high risk for OSA (60.2%) in these people. The factors related to high risk for OSAS were BMI greater than 30kg/m\(^2\), arterial hypertension and waist circumference indicative of cardiovascular risk. Being aged 60 years or over proved to be a protective factor. The evolution to clinical worsening was more frequent in patients with high risk for OSAS. Various aspects contribute to alert health professionals, especially nurses, to the importance of identifying in their clinical practice patients at risk for OSAS. The prevention of future injuries can be obtained through multidisciplinary team strategies that aim to control the syndrome or its deleterious effects. It is the responsibility of the nurse to track the subjects at high risk, adopt control measures of the related factors, and monitor the results of the instituted therapeutics.
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