Marker-Trait Association Analysis of Seed Traits in Accessions of Common Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) in China
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Seed weight and seed size are the key agronomic traits that determine yield in common bean. To investigate the genetic architecture of four seed traits (100-seed weight, seed length, seed width, and seed height) of common bean in China, marker-trait association analysis of these seed traits was performed in a nationwide population of 395 common bean accessions using 116 polymorphic SSR markers. The four seed traits were evaluated in six trials across three environments. Seed size varied among the environments. Population structure was evaluated based on SSR markers and phaseolin, which divided the accessions into two main subpopulations representing the two known gene pools. Seed weight and seed size had a strong relationship with population clustering. In addition, in a Genome-wide association studies (GWAS), 21 significantly associated markers were identified for the four seed traits with two models, namely, general linear model (GLM) and mixed linear model (MLM). Some markers had pleiotropic effects, i.e., controlled more than one trait. The significant quantitative trait loci identified in this study could be used in marker-assisted breeding to accelerate the genetic improvement of yield in common bean.
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INTRODUCTION

Common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) is a crop of major societal importance with high levels of nutrients and dietary protein (Welch et al., 2000; Kutoš et al., 2003; Krupa, 2008; Montoya et al., 2010). Common bean is grown worldwide, with production exceeding 26 million tons (Tg), and China is a large producer of common bean, with the sixth highest production (1 Tg) in the world (FAO, 2018). The species originated in two centers of diversity and, through parallel domestication events, formed two gene pools: the Mesoamerican and Andean gene pools (Gepts et al., 1986; Gepts and Debouck, 1991; Mamidi et al., 2011, 2013; Bitocchi et al., 2013; Gaut, 2014; Schmutz et al., 2014; Rendón-Anaya et al., 2017). As a result of the domestication process, the two gene pools show differences in agronomic traits, such as seed size, seed storage protein (phaseolin) type, bracteole shape, and growth habit, among others. Among these traits, seed size is the most
obvious differentiator of the two gene pools, with the Mesoamerican gene pool producing medium [25-40 g 100-seed weight (100SW)] and small seeds (<25 g 100SW) and the Andean gene pool producing medium and large seeds (>40 g 100SW) (Singh et al., 1991a,b,c; McClean et al., 2002, 2004; Broughton et al., 2003; Diaz and Blair, 2006). In addition, seed size is related to phaselins type (Koenig et al., 1990). China, thought to be the secondary center of genetic diversity for common bean, was reported to include materials from the two gene pools, with seeds being mainly small to medium in size but occasionally large (Zhang et al., 2008; Bellucci et al., 2014). However, compared with soybean, rice and maize (Wang et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2015, 2018; Sangiorgio et al., 2016), common bean (especially Chinese common bean germplasm) has been the subject of few studies on the genetic control of seed traits such as seed weight and seed size.

Seed weight and seed size are the key agronomic traits that determine yield in crops (Xu et al., 2018). It has been reported that yield-related traits such as seed weight and size are typical quantitative traits controlled by a complex of genes since the Danish plant scientist Wilhelm Johannsen concluded that seed size in self-fertilizing beans is influenced by a genetic effect (Johannsen, 1911; Sax, 1923; Motto et al., 1978; Vallejos and Chase, 1991). With the construction of a linkage map based on various types of molecular markers (Vallejos et al., 1992; Nodari et al., 1993; Freyre et al., 1998; Beebe et al., 2006; Hanai et al., 2010; Galeano et al., 2011, 2012) and the completion of genome-wide sequencing of typical common bean materials from the two gene pools (Schmutz et al., 2014; Vlasova et al., 2016), a large number of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for yield-related traits have been identified (González et al., 2017). To date, a total of approximately 200 QTLs related to seed characteristics have been reported (Nodari et al., 1993; Johnson et al., 1996; Koinange et al., 1996; Freyre et al., 1998; Park et al., 2000; Tar’an et al., 2000; Johnson and Gepts, 2002; Beattie et al., 2003; Guzmán-Maldonado et al., 2003; Blair et al., 2006; Pérez-Vega et al., 2010; Wright and Kelly, 2011; Checa and Blair, 2012; Mukeshima et al., 2014; Yuste-Lisbona et al., 2014; Qi, 2015; Geng et al., 2017). Among these QTLs, more than 100 have been identified for seed weight, seed length (SL), seed width (SWI) and seed height (SH), and these QTLs are distributed on 11 chromosomes of the common bean genome (Supplementary Table S1). It is worth noting that 16 QTLs are related to at least two of these seed traits (Park et al., 2000; Geng et al., 2017). For example, SL and SH appeared to correspond to a QTL for seed weight (Park et al., 2000). In addition, a study also found the “one cause multieffect phenomenon” for seed traits on Chr02, Chr04, Chr06, and Chr07 of common bean (Geng et al., 2017). In addition, seed size QTLs were mapped near the upper end of linkage groups (LGs) 02 and 06, the lower end of LGs 03, 07, 08, and 10, and the center of LGs 06 and 08 (Park et al., 2000; Blair et al., 2006; Pérez-Vega et al., 2010). Therefore, the markers located in the center of LG B8 and near the upper end of LG B6 could be good candidates for assisted selection for traits related to seed size (Pérez-Vega et al., 2010). However, most of the loci for seed weight or size in these studies were identified in a single environment or using a family group for seed phenotype mapping, which may have caused the parental polymorphism level to be low, in which case the accuracy of QTL mapping would have been affected to some extent.

Important goals in the current study were to (1) investigate the diversity and population structure of 395 common bean accessions with wide geographical distributions in China; (2) carry out marker-trait association analysis in six different environments using general linear model (GLM) and mixed linear model (MLM) approaches, associating phenotypic and genotypic data, with the aim of obtaining stable QTLs for seed traits; and (3) obtain significantly associated markers and provide a theoretical reference for marker-assisted selection (MAS) in the breeding of common bean in China.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials

A total of 395 accessions of common bean were evaluated in this study, including 307 accessions collected from the main production areas of China and 84 accessions introduced from abroad, together with four control genotypes from the Andean and Mesoamerican gene pools. The control genotypes were DRK134 and DRK139, the Andean control genotypes, as well as BAT93 and Turrialba 1, the Mesoamerican controls. The accessions were predominantly landraces; however, the study also included a few modern varieties. All of the materials were selected from the National Crop Gene Bank at the Institute of Crop Science, Chinese Academy of Agriculture Sciences, Beijing, China. The list of accessions and their passport information and source of collection are given in the Supplementary Table S2.

Phenotypic Evaluation

Field trials were conducted in Harbin (HRB, 45°50′ N and 126°51′ E), Heilongjiang Province, and in Bijie (BJ, 27°18′ N and 105°18′ E), Guizhou Province, in 2014 and 2016, for a total of six environments (2014_HRB, 2015_HRB, 2016_HRB, 2014_BJ, 2015_BJ, and 2016_BJ). Twenty individual plants of each accession were cultivated in two consecutive rows at both locations, and the plots were 4.0 m in length, with 0.5 m between rows.

Five plants from twenty individual plants of each accession were selected randomly, and their seeds were phenotyped. Four quantitative seed traits, namely, (1) 100SW, determined by using 100 dry seeds per plot; (2) SL, defined as the longest dimension of the seed; (3) SWI, measured as the distance between the 2 lateral sides of the seed; and (4) SH, measured as the longest distance from one side to the other at the hilum (Yuste-Lisbona et al., 2014). 100SW, SL and SH were measured by an SC-G automatic seed testing system (Hangzhou WSeen Detection Technology Co., Ltd., China), with 5 replicates of 20 seeds each, which were harvested from 5 individual plants; then, the average of the 5 replicates was calculated. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out with SPSS 19.0. Pearson correlation coefficients of the traits in each environment were calculated in SAS 9.2 (Cary software, North Carolina SAS Institute Inc., 2004) software.
SSR Marker and Phaseolin Evaluation

To ensure an adequate sample size for DNA extraction, 10 seeds were randomly selected from each accession, germinated and grown in a greenhouse in Beijing. The first trifoliate leaves of 3-week-old seedlings were collected for total genomic DNA extraction using a CTAB extraction method (Afanador et al., 1993). DNA quality was evaluated with 1% agarose gels, and then DNA was diluted to 10 ng/µl for use in PCRs. PCR amplifications were carried out on an A-300 Fast Thermal Cycler using 96-well plates with 10 µL final reaction volumes that included 1.5 µL of genomic DNA, 1.0 µL of each simple sequence repeat (SSR) primer (Supplementary Material) at a concentration of 2 pmol/L, 4.5 µL of PCR 2 × Master Mix (Mg²⁺, dNTP, Taq polymerase; Beijing Qingke Xinye Biotechnology Co., Ltd.) and 3.0 µL of ddH₂O. The amplification conditions were as follows: 5 min at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of 40 s at 95°C, 45 s at 54°C, and 40 s at 72°C and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The amplification products were evaluated with silver-stained 8% polyacrylamide gels with 1 × TBE buffer (89 mM Tris, 89 mM boric acid, and 2 mM EDTA). A total of 116 SSR markers distributed over all 11 chromosomes of *P. vulgaris* were used based on the whole genome sequence (Schmutz et al., 2014; Vlasova et al., 2016).

Phaseolin was extracted from 10 dry seeds of each accession, removing the testa and embryo manually from cotyledons before grinding, following the method of Igrejas et al. (2009). The treated seeds were ground into a fine powder in liquid nitrogen. The dry powder (0.1 g) was weighed and placed into 2 mL centrifuge tubes. A total of 1,000 µL of sample extract (1% (w/v) NaCl, 0.4% (w/v) Tris-base, 0.2% (w/v) Tris-HCl, pH of 8.5) and 10 µL of 5% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol were added for protein extraction. Samples (3.5 µL) were subjected to one-dimensional sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) following the method of Ma and Bliss (1978) modified by Igrejas et al. (2009). Electrophoresis was carried out in a 1-mm-thick, 6.38% stacking gel under 40 mA at loading; thereafter, a 12% gel at 75 mA was used until the separation was complete, requiring approximately 5–7 h. The gels were stained with Coomassie brilliant blue R-250. Phaseolin patterns were evaluated with the following standard panel of 14 phaseolin types: S, Sb, Sd, B, M₁₃, C, CA, T, PA, To, Ko, CH, H, and H₁.

Population Diversity and Structure Analyses

PowerMarker v.3.25 was used to evaluate the number of alleles, gene diversity and polymorphism information content (PIC)
Association Study

Association analysis between SSR markers and various seed traits was conducted using TASSEL 2.1 (Bradbury et al., 2007). First, a GLM incorporating population genetic structure was fit, with a Q matrix derived from structure analysis as a covariate (GLM + Q). Second, an MLM incorporating a finer-scale relative kinship matrix (K) and population genetic structure (Q) was fit to perform the association analysis (MLM + Q + K), which had more statistical power than the model including only “Q.” Relatedness was determined by calculating the kinship coefficient matrix (K) in TASSEL. Meanwhile, the heritability of seed traits in six environments were calculated by MLM model using the same software program.

RESULTS

Genetic Variability

First, we evaluated the genetic diversity of the common bean accessions with phaseolin markers. Among these accessions, a total of 11 phaseolin patterns were identified: S, Sb, Sd, B, C, CA, CH, H, PA, T, and To (Supplementary Figure 1). The most frequent pattern was Sb (30.9%), followed by T (21.3%), which were the predominant types in the Mesoamerican and Andean gene pools, respectively. Among these eleven types of phaseolin, the S, Sb, Sd, and B types were Mesoamerican types, while C, CA, CH, H, PA, T, and To were Andean types. Therefore, this variation in protein bands could help distinguish the origins of the accessions. The results indicated that 58.2% of all the accessions belonged to the Mesoamerican gene pool while 41.8% belonged to the Andean gene pool.

Then, we explored the polymorphisms of the same accessions based on SSR markers. A total of 116 SSR markers revealed 917 different alleles, with an average number of alleles per locus of 7.9. The number of alleles identified for each SSR marker varied from 2 (CBS69, CBS170, CBS200, CBS306, P9S39, and CBS369) to 27 (CBS88). The gene diversity of individual SSR markers varied...
FIGURE 3 | Seed size phenotypes in a common bean population. (A–D) 100-seed weight (100SW) of the population: (A) 100SW of all accessions, (B) 100SW of the Mesoamerican subgroup, (C) 100SW of the admixed lines, and (D) 100SW of the Andean subgroup. (E–H) Seed length (SL) of the population: (E) SL of all accessions, (F) SL of the Mesoamerican subgroup, (G) SL of the admixed lines, and (H) SL of the Andean subgroup. (I–M) Seed width (SW) of the population: (I) SW of all accessions, (J) SW of the Mesoamerican subgroup, (K) SW of the admixed lines, and (M) SW of the Andean subgroup. (N–P) Seed height (SH) of the population: (N) SH of all accessions, (L) SH of the Mesoamerican subgroup, (O) SH of the admixed lines, and (P) SH of the Andean subgroup. Means were used to assess the differences between environments, and the values are reported as means ± SD. Asterisk indicates outliers.

from 0.0051 (CBS200) to 0.9098 (CBS206), with an average of 0.5936 per locus.

Population Structure
Population structure is an important covariate used in association analysis to account for differentiation among panel groups and to avoid or minimize type-I errors. It is also important to study population structure in the context of genetic diversity and breeding to examine the genetic composition and relatedness of the individuals within the group. In this article, four methods were used to estimate the number of subgroups. First, PCA based on 116 SSR markers and phaseolin type was performed. Clear subpopulation structure was observed among the individuals, and the two resulting subpopulations corresponded to the Mesoamerican gene pool (M) and the Andean gene pool (And) (Figure 1A). The percentages of genetic diversity explained by the two coordinates of the PCA were 22.7% (PC1) and 4.8% (PC2). Phaseolin types and SSR markers were able to identify the source of the accessions from the two gene pools with almost consistent results. Second, the STRUCTURE software results indicated that the value of Evanno’s $\Delta K$ showed an obvious spike at $K = 2$. This result suggested that the population could be divided into two subgroups, with a few admixed individuals between these two subgroups (Figure 1A). According to the location of the control genotypes in the group, 54.43% of the accessions were assigned to the Mesoamerican gene pool (Q1
values above 0.75), 5.57% were considered admixed between the two subgroups (Q values from 0.25 to 0.75), and 40.00% were assigned to the Andean gene pool (Q2 values above 0.75) (Figure 1B). Third, a NJ dendrogram based on Nei’s (1972) genetic distance also grouped the 395 accessions into two clusters (Figure 1C). Finally, in the three-dimensional principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) based on SSR markers showing the spatial distribution of the 395 accessions, each dimension explained 34.6% (Dim1), 15.7% (Dim2), or 5.7% (Dim3) of the variation (Figure 1D). The three dimensions together explained 56.0% of the total variation present in the data set. Overall, the consistent results from PCA, STRUCTURE analysis, the NJ tree and PCoA confirmed that there were two subpopulations of Chinese common bean germplasm.

In addition to population structure, the kinship (K) matrix is another important factor for association analysis. The frequency distribution values for relative kinship revealed that the genetic relatedness ranged from 0 to 0.98 (Figure 2), and the average pairwise relative kinship coefficient was 0.323. Pairwise relative kinship values from 0.1 to 0.2 accounted for 29.9% of all kinship coefficients. In addition, kinship values from 0 to 0.5 accounted for more than 80% of all pairwise kinship coefficients. Only 16.957% of the pairwise relative kinship coefficients were greater than 0.5, and only 0.15% of the kinship values were above 0.8. This result suggested that the majority of the 395 accessions were genetically diverse in this study.

### Phenotypic Variability in Seed Traits

Differences in growth environments and years affected the weight and size of common bean seeds. Hundred seed weight, SL, SWI and SH were evaluated in six environments, namely, 2014_HRB, 2014_BJ, 2015_HRB, 2015_BJ, 2016_HRB, and 2016_BJ. Each trait had a high degree of variation (Figure 3), especially SWI (Figure 3I-M).

There were significant differences among the environments (Table 1). For the four traits, the differences between years in the same location were smaller than the differences between locations, and there was almost no difference in the same location, such as between 2015_BJ and 2016_BJ or 2015_HRB and 2016_HRB. However, differences between locations were significant. In addition, the heritability of these four traits in each year and environment was analyzed, and the heritability were in the range of 50–70%, so it showed that the environment has a relatively high level of phenotypic plasticity in seed traits. These findings indicated that the environment had a strong impact on traits and the necessity of multipoint phenotypic investigations.

Furthermore, ANOVA was carried out in different subgroups in the same environment (Table 2). Mesoamerican, admixed and

---

**Table 1: ANOVA analysis of trait in different environments.**

| Traits | Environment | Mean ± SD | Mesoamerican | Admixture | Andean | Heritability (%) |
|--------|-------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------|------------------|
| 100SW (g) | 2014_HRB | 34.51 ± 13.30Bb | 26.12 ± 8.99Cbc | 39.40 ± 12.92Aa | 45.24 ± 9.89Bb | 61.29 |
|         | 2014_BJ | 31.46 ± 13.04Cc | 22.82 ± 6.95De | 31.67 ± 9.74Ab | 43.25 ± 10.34Bb | 53.65 |
|         | 2015_HRB | 31.35 ± 11.83Cc | 24.45 ± 9.02Dd | 36.10 ± 12.18Aa | 40.09 ± 8.67Cc | 59.93 |
|         | 2015_BJ | 37.51 ± 14.08Aa | 28.00 ± 7.77Ab | 40.44 ± 13.17Aa | 50.05 ± 10.43Aa | 54.88 |
|         | 2016_HRB | 30.25 ± 10.14Cc | 24.95 ± 7.38Cc | 33.62 ± 11.54Aa | 36.99 ± 8.95Dd | 52.08 |
|         | 2016_BJ | 37.8 ± 14.29Aa | 28.57 ± 8.40Aa | 40.86 ± 13.76Aa | 49.93 ± 11.19Aa | 54.82 |
| SL (mm) | 2014_HRB | 12.3 ± 2.65Bb | 10.84 ± 2.26Cbc | 13.30 ± 1.94Aab | 14.13 ± 1.86Bb | 59.03 |
|         | 2014_BJ | 12.24 ± 2.77Bb | 10.67 ± 2.19Bcc | 12.93 ± 2.21Aab | 14.29 ± 2.10ABab | 58.72 |
|         | 2015_HRB | 11.68 ± 2.42Cc | 10.38 ± 2.17Ccd | 12.89 ± 2.08Aab | 13.27 ± 1.65Cc | 66.56 |
|         | 2015_BJ | 12.57 ± 2.56ABab | 11.12 ± 2.06ABab | 13.58 ± 2.01Aa | 14.41 ± 1.92ABab | 65.12 |
|         | 2016_HRB | 11.47 ± 2.03Cc | 10.58 ± 1.92Ccd | 12.32 ± 1.90Ab | 12.56 ± 1.56De | 64.31 |
|         | 2016_BJ | 12.83 ± 2.55Aa | 11.38 ± 2.09Aa | 13.68 ± 2.24Aa | 14.69 ± 1.79Aa | 68.27 |
| SWI (mm) | 2014_HRB | 5.61 ± 0.86ABa | 5.19 ± 0.55Ab | 5.66 ± 0.93ABa | 6.17 ± 0.87Aa | 61.08 |
|         | 2014_BJ | 5.21 ± 0.77Cc | 4.84 ± 0.51Bc | 5.01 ± 0.76Bb | 5.75 ± 0.76Bb | 49.75 |
|         | 2015_HRB | 5.28 ± 0.79Cc | 4.91 ± 0.61Bc | 5.35 ± 0.73ABab | 5.77 ± 0.76Bb | 56.63 |
|         | 2015_BJ | 5.73 ± 0.80Aa | 5.29 ± 0.51Aa | 5.73 ± 0.86Aa | 6.33 ± 0.74Aa | 55.30 |
|         | 2016_HRB | 5.53 ± 0.77Bb | 5.26 ± 0.56Aab | 5.45 ± 0.93ABab | 5.91 ± 0.83Bb | 59.28 |
|         | 2016_BJ | 5.73 ± 0.85Aa | 5.31 ± 0.53Aa | 5.69 ± 0.89Aa | 6.31 ± 0.86Aa | 62.74 |
| SH (mm) | 2014_HRB | 7.06 ± 1.04Bb | 6.61 ± 0.88Bb | 7.48 ± 1.36Aa | 7.62 ± 0.89Bb | 24.98 |
|         | 2014_BJ | 6.87 ± 1.08Cc | 6.37 ± 0.85Cc | 7.08 ± 1.51Aa | 7.51 ± 0.92Ccd | 53.13 |
|         | 2015_HRB | 6.80 ± 1.02Cc | 6.34 ± 0.86Cc | 7.39 ± 1.42Aa | 7.33 ± 0.84Ccd | 59.06 |
|         | 2015_BJ | 7.19 ± 1.03Bb | 6.71 ± 0.82Bb | 7.75 ± 1.54Aa | 7.76 ± 0.87Bb | 58.21 |
|         | 2016_HRB | 6.87 ± 0.96Cc | 6.63 ± 0.88Bb | 7.43 ± 1.49Aa | 7.11 ± 0.87De | 59.36 |
|         | 2016_BJ | 7.41 ± 1.07Aa | 6.91 ± 0.81Aa | 7.87 ± 1.66Aa | 8.04 ± 0.91Aa | 57.34 |
Andean groups were assembled based on the grouping results from STRUCTURE. Among these three subgroups, the Andean group always produced large seeds, while the Mesoamerican group produced small seeds and the admixed group produced medium seeds. These three subgroups showed significant differences, especially the Mesoamerican and Andean subgroups. Further exploring the correlation among the traits, we found that 100SW, SL, SWI, and SH were all significantly positively correlated with each other in all six environments (Table 3). Thus, these four seed traits were closely related to each other.  

**Marker-Trait Association Analysis of Seed Traits**

Association analysis Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) was conducted using SSR markers and the phaseolin locus (Phs) with six phenotypic data sets and two models, namely, GLM + Q and MLM + Q + K. A QTL for Phs was identified in LG 07 that spanned a region reported to code for phaseolin seed protein (Nodari et al., 1992; Koimangae et al., 1996; Park et al., 2000). Phs has been demonstrated to be significantly associated with 100SW, SWI, and SL (Blair et al., 2009). We were interested in confirming the associations identified in previous studies of QTLs for these traits. All the results met the strict threshold of 4.3 × 10^{-4} and had a significance level of 0.05 after Bonferroni correction for both models.

Regarding 100SW, a total of 60 significant SSR markers were detected using the GLM + Q model (Figure 4). Among these markers, 23 were detected in all six environments (Supplementary Table S4). In contrast, only four significant SSR markers were detected with the MLM + Q + K model (Figure 4 and Supplementary Table S5), and these four markers were detected in only a single environment.

Similarly, 61 significant SSR markers were associated with SL in the GLM + Q model (Figure 4), and 44 markers were detected in all six environments (Supplementary Table S4). However, only four significant markers were detected with the MLM + Q + K model, and all were located on Chr05 (Supplementary Table S5). The markers CBS162 and CBS178...
were detected simultaneously in two environments with the MLM + Q + K model.

Similarly, 54 significant markers were associated with SWI based on the GLM + Q model, and 12 significant markers were detected in all six environments (Supplementary Table S4). In addition, under the MLM + Q + K model, 10 significant markers were detected (Supplementary Table S5). Among the 10 markers, CBS149 and CBS345 were simultaneously detected in three environments.

For SH, 59 significant markers were detected with the GLM + Q model, and 31 significant markers were detected in all six environments (Supplementary Table S4). However, only four significant markers were detected with the MLM + Q + K model (Supplementary Table S5), and these four markers were detected in a single environment.

In summary, a total of 20 significant SSR markers were detected for four seed traits with both models (Figure 5). Some markers were simultaneously significantly associated with multiple traits, such as the marker CBS162, which was significantly associated with 100SW and SL; CBS381, which was significantly associated with 100SW and SH; and CBS149, which was significantly associated with SWI and SH. This result once again proved that there were correlations among seed traits or that the traits may have overlapping genetic control regions. Moreover, the *Phs* was found to be associated with SWI based on the two models used in our study (Supplementary Table S6).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we evaluated the genetic diversity of common bean accessions with phaseolin and SSR markers, and phaseolin diversity revealed that the genetic diversity of Chinese common bean was higher than that in other countries or areas (Rodriño et al., 2001, 2006; Blair et al., 2003; Ocampo et al., 2005; Negahi et al., 2014). We even detected several Chinese landraces with phaseolin types such as CH, To, and H, which suggested that the landraces of China have rich variation. Many studies have shown a correlation between phaseolin type and seed weight, seed size, low soil pH, growth habit, precocity and antiparasitic traits (Hartana and Bliss, 1984; Gepts and Bliss, 1986; Koenig et al., 1990; Johnson et al., 1996; Escribano et al., 1998). Therefore, it is very important to identify the phaseolin type of China's preserved common bean germplasm, which will facilitate yield and insect resistance breeding.

Regarding population structure, Phaseolin and SSR markers from the present study both reveal Chinese common bean germplasms containing two gene pools materials, and the main group of Chinese accessions were of Mesoamerican origin, with fewer of Andean origin. This is different from European countries, where Andean genotypes were more prevalent than Mesoamerican (Raggi et al., 2013; Maras et al., 2015; Caroviste-Stanko et al., 2017; Pipan and Megliè, 2019). This different distribution of two gene pools genotypes in countries might be related to the time of germplasm introduction, adaptation abilities of germplasm, ecological types, the political regulation within these countries in the past, and consumer preferences. Additionally, distance-based analyses cluster analysis based on microsatellite markers in congruence with the results of phaseolin type analysis up to 88.6% in this study. These results showed that Phaseolin and SSR markers have sufficient power to distinguish between the Mesoamerican and Andean germplasms, and clear about the background of Chinese germplasm. The old and elite common bean landraces of China also has a diverse genetic background based on SSR markers (Supplementary Figure 2). Therefore, it is important to deeply explore the
FIGURE 5 | Markers significantly associated with seed traits (100-seed weight (100SW), seed length (SL), seed width (SWI), and seed height (SH)) that were detected with both the GLM + Q model and the MLM + Q + K model. Red markers were significantly associated with a single seed trait, while green markers were significantly associated with multiple seed traits.

excellent germplasm resources of Chinese common bean and to tap the potential of Chinese common bean to increase yield and improve quality.

In the association analysis, the phaseolin marker Phs was significantly associated with SWI (Supplementary Table S6), which agreed with the result from a previous seed size association study (Blair et al., 2006). For the significant SSR markers, we selected a suitable linkage disequilibrium (LD) decay distance of approximately 100 Kb as the confidence interval for screening target trait candidate genes (Rossi et al., 2009). The marker P7S191, which was significantly associated with 100SW, was located near the QTL SW7′ in a previous study (Geng et al., 2017). We also identified significantly associated markers on Chr03 and Chr10 that a previous study reported as QTLs for 100SW (Nodari et al., 1993; Johnson et al., 1996; Freyre et al., 1998; Park et al., 2000; Guzmán-Maldonado et al., 2003; Blair et al., 2006). The confidence intervals of the two SSR markers CBS178 and CBS179 on Pv05, which were significant for SL, were (24014961, 24215231) and (29909932, 30110144), respectively. Both of these markers were included in the interval of a QTL (20.89ñ36.47 Mb on Pv05) previously reported for SL based on linkage analysis (Geng et al., 2017). These results are more helpful for confirming QTLs location related to seed traits. Nevertheless, the candidate QTLs for seed traits require further verification with improved accuracy such as SNP analysis due to the limitations of this study. Apart from these, we also found the phenomenon of marker one cause multiple effects among seed traits. There have been similar reports in previous studies on seed size of common bean (Blair et al., 2009; Geng et al., 2017). For example, Blair et al. (2009) reported the marker BM183 significantly associated with seed weight, width and length also in both gene pools accessions. For this pleiotropism, how to balance the effect of the seed traits is essential to improve the yield. It is proposed that the ratio between the length, width and height of seed be correlated with genetic markers to obtain a trade-off between the two traits (Geng et al., 2017). Inspired by this, we can further obtain high yields by associated the ratios of seed length/width, length/height, width/height and length × width/height, length × height/width with SSR marker to balance each seed trait.

CONCLUSION

This study provides a comprehensive picture of genetic diversity and structure of Chinese common bean accessions. Out of 395
accessions, 54.43% were of Mesoamerican origin, 40.00% of Andean, while 5.57% of accessions represented putative hybrids between gene pools. For the most part, the classification of common bean accessions according to phaseolin type analysis was in congruence with the results of distance-based analyses of SSR marker. Based on the population structure, 20 significant SSR markers and 1 significant phaseolin marker were associated with the 4 seed traits by GLM and MLM in this study. Association data of seed traits can be used for common bean breeding, especially in terms of its adaptation to different environments. Thus, by combining and genomic selection, we can effectively select the effective QTL alleles for seed weight and size in breeding populations. The results of this study provide a valuable resource to dissect the role of candidate QTL locus regions of the genome governing seed weight/size in common bean.

**DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this manuscript will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation, to any qualified researcher.

**REFERENCES**

Afandor, L. K., Haley, S. D., and Kelly, J. D. (1993). Adoption of a “mini prep” DNA extraction method for RAPD marker analysis in common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). *Annu. Rep. Bean Improv. Coop.* 36, 10–11.

Beattie, A. D., Larsen, J., Michaels, T. E., and Pauls, K. P. (2003). Mapping quantitative trait loci for a common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) ideotype. *Genome* 46, 411–422. doi: 10.1139/g03-015

Beebe, S. E., Rojas-Pierce, M., Yan, X., Blair, M. W., Pedraza, F., Munoz, F., et al. (2006). Quantitative trait loci for root architecture traits correlated with phosphorus acquisition in common bean. *Crop Sci.* 46, 413–423. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2005.0226

Bellucci, E., Nanni, E., Biagetti, E., Bitocchi, E., Giardini, A., Rau, D., et al. (2014). “Common bean origin, evolution and spread from America,” in *Phaseolus: A New World Gift to mankind Why Common Beans are so Common?,* ed. D. Carovia-Stanko, K., Liber, Z., Vidak, M., Barešiæ, A., Grdiša, M., Lazareviæ, B., Geng, Q. H., Wang, L. F., Wu, J., and Wang, S. M. (2017). QTL mapping for seed size and shape in common bean. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8:604. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00604

Broughton, W. J., Hernandez, G., Blair, M., Beebe, S., Gepts, P., and Vanderleyden, J. (2003). Beans (Phaseolus spp.)—model food legumes. *Plant Soil* 252, 55–128. doi: 10.1023/A:1024146710611

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

**FUNDING**

This work was supported by grants from the National Key R&D Program of China (2018YFD1000700/2018YFD1000704), the Ministry of Agriculture of China [the earmarked fund for the China Agriculture Research System (CARS-08)], the Agricultural Science and Technology Innovation Program of CAAS, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (Y2020GH114-2).

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene.2020.00698/full#supplementary-material

Carovia-Stanko, K., Liber, Z., Vidak, M., Barešiæ, A., Grdiša, M., Lazareviæ, B., et al. (2017). Genetic diversity of croatian common bean landraces. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8:604. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00604

Checa, O. E., and Blair, M. W. (2012). Inheritance of yield-related traits in climbing beans (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). *Crop Sci.* 52, 1998–2013. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2011.07.0368

Díaz, L. M., and Blair, M. W. (2006). Race structure within the Mesoamerican gene pool of common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) as determined by microsatellite markers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 114, 143–154. doi: 10.1007/s00122-006-0417-9

Earl, D. A., and vonHoldt, B. M. (2012). STRUCTURE HARVESTER: a website and program for visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. *Conser. Genet. Resour.* 4, 359–361. doi: 10.1007/s12686-011-95487

Escribano, M. R., Santalla, M., Casquero, P. A., and De Ron, A. M. (1998). Patterns of genetic diversity in landraces of common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) from Galicia. *Plant Breed.* 117, 49–56. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.01447.x

Falush, D., Stephens, M., and Pritchard, J. K. (2007). Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data: dominant markers and null alleles. *Mol. Ecol.* 16, 2611–2620. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x

Fulush, D., Stephens, M., and Pritchard, J. K. (2007). Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data: dominant markers and null alleles. *Mol. Ecol.* 16, 2611–2620. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x

Gaut, B. S. (2014). The complex domestication history of the common bean. *Nat. Genet.* 46, 663–664. doi: 10.1038/ng.3107

Geng, Q. H., Wang, L. F., Wu, J., and Wang, S. M. (2017). QTL mapping for seed size and shape in common bean. *Acta Agron. Sin.* 43, 1149–1160.
McClean, P. E., Lee, R. K., Otto, C., Gepts, P., and Bassett, M. J. (2002). Molecular and phenotypic mapping of genes controlling seed coat pattern and color in common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). J. Hered. 93, 148–152. doi: 10.1093/jhered/93.2.148

Monroya, C. A., Lallès, J. P., Beebe, S., and Leterme, P. (2010). Phaseolin diversity as a possible strategy to improve the nutritional value of common beans (Phaseolus vulgaris). Food Res. Int. 43, 443–449. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2009.09.040

Motto, M., Soressi, G. P., and Salamini, F. (1978). Seed size inheritance in a cross between wild and cultivated common beans (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). Genetica 49, 31–36. doi: 10.1007/BF00187811

Mukeshimana, G., Butare, L., Cregan, P. B., Blair, M. W., and Kelly, J. D. (2014). Quantitative trait loci associated with drought tolerance in common bean. Crop Sci. 54, 923–938. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2013.06.0427

Negahi, A. Z. A. M., Bihamta, M. R., and Negahi, Z. A. H. R. A. (2014). Diversity in Iranian and exotic common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) using seed storage protein (Phaseolin). Agric. Commun. 2, 34–40.

Nei, M. (1972). Genetic distance between populations. Am. Nat. 106, 283–292. doi: 10.1086/282771

Nodari, R. O., and Koinange, E. M. K., Kelly, J. D., and Gepts, P. (1992). Towards an integrated linkage map of common bean. Theor. Appl. Gene. 84, 186–192. doi: 10.1007/BF00223999

Nodari, R. O., Tsai, S. M., Gilbertson, R. L., and Gepts, P. (1993). Towards an integrated linkage map of common bean 2. Development of an RFLP-based linkage map. Theor. Appl. Gene. 85, 513–520. doi: 10.1007/BF00229907

Nogués-Bravo, M., Martín, J. P., Sánchez-Yéamo, M. D., Ortiz, J. M., and Toro, O. (2005). Tracing the origin of Spanish common bean cultivars using biochemical and molecular markers. Genet. Resour. Crop Evol. 52, 33–40. doi: 10.1007/s11032-005-1931-3

Park, S. O., Coyne, D. P., Jung, G., Skroch, P. W., Arnaud-Santana, E., Steadman, J. R., et al. (2000). Mapping of QTL for seed size and shape traits in common bean. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 125, 466–475. doi: 10.21273/JASHS.125.4.466

Peñ mez-Vega, E., Paéeda, A., Rodríguez-Suárez, C., Campa, A., Giráez, R., and Ferreira, J. J. (2010). Mapping of QTLs for morpho-agronomic and seed quality traits in a RIL population of common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). Theor. Appl. Gene. 120, 1367–1380. doi: 10.1007/s00122-010-1261-5

Pipan, B., and Megliè, V. (2019). Diversification and genetic structure of the western-to-eastern progression of European Phaseolus vulgaris L. germplasm. BMC Plant Biol. 19:442. doi: 10.1186/s12870-019-2051-0

Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M., Rosenberg, N. A., and Donnelly, P. (2000). Association mapping in structured populations. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 67, 170–181. doi: 10.1086/302939

Qi, Y. (2015). Characterization of a Putative Yield-Related Gene in Common Bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). Ph.D. dissertation, The University of Guelph, Canada.

Raggi, L., Tiranti, B., and Negri, V. (2013). Italian common bean landraces: diversity and population structure. Genet. Resour. Crop Evol. 60, 1515–1530. doi: 10.1007/s10722-012-9939-y

Rendón-Anaya, M., Montero-Vargas, J. M., Saburido-Álvarez, S., Vlasova, A., Capella-Gutierrez, S., Ordaz-Ortiz, J. J., et al. (2017). Genomic history of the origin and domestication of common bean unveils its closest sister species. Genome Biol. 18:60. doi: 10.1186/s13059-017-1190-6

Rodío, A. P., Santalla, M., González, A. M., De Ron, A. M., and Singh, S. P. (2010). Mapping of QTLs for seed size and shape traits in a RIL population of common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). Theor. Appl. Genet. 120, 1367–1380. doi: 10.1007/s00122-010-1261-5

Rodío, A. P., Santalla, M., Montero, I., Casquero, P. A., and De Ron, A. M. (2001). Diversity of common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) germplasm from Portugal. Genet. Resour. Crop Evol. 48, 409–417.

Rossi, M., Bitocchi, E., Bellucci, E., Nanni, L., Rau, D., Attene, G., et al. (2009). Linkage disequilibrium and population structure in wild and domesticated populations of Phaseolus vulgaris L. Evol. Appl. 2, 504–522. doi: 10.1111/j.1752-4759.2009.00882.x

Sanjgiorgio, S., Carabelli, L., Gabotti, D., Manzotti, P. S., Persico, M., Consonni, G., et al. (2016). A mutational approach for the detection of genetic factors affecting...
seed size in maize. *Plant Reprod.* 29, 301–310. doi: 10.1007/s00497-016-0294-6

Sax, K. (1923). The association of size differences with seed-coat pattern and pigmentation in *Phaseolus vulgaris*. *Genetics* 8, 552–560. doi: 10.1007/BF02930888

Schmutz, J., McClean, P. E., Mamidi, S., Wu, G. A., Cannon, S. B., Grimwood, J., et al. (2014). A reference genome for common bean and genome-wide analysis of dual domestications. *Nat. Genet.* 46, 707–713. doi: 10.1038/ng.3008

Sax, K. (1923). The association of size differences with seed-coat pattern and pigmentation in *Phaseolus vulgaris*. *Genetics* 8, 552–560. doi: 10.1007/BF02930888

Schmutz, J., McClean, P. E., Mamidi, S., Wu, G. A., Cannon, S. B., Grimwood, J., et al. (2014). A reference genome for common bean and genome-wide analysis of dual domestications. *Nat. Genet.* 46, 707–713. doi: 10.1038/ng.3008

Singh, S. P., Gepts, P., and Debouck, D. G. (1991a). Races of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*, Fabaceae). *Econ. Bot* 45, 379–396. doi: 10.1007/BF02887079

Singh, S. P., Gutierrez, J. A., Molina, A., Urrea, C., and Gepts, P. (1991b). Genetic diversity in cultivated common bean. II. Marker-based analysis of morphological and agronomic traits. *Crop Sci.* 31, 23–29. doi: 10.2135/cropsci1991.0011183X003100010005x

Singh, S. P., Nodari, R., and Gepts, P. (1991c). Genetic diversity in cultivated common bean: I. Allozymes. *Crop Sci.* 31, 19–23. doi: 10.2135/cropsci1991.0011183X003100010004x

Tar’an, B., Michaels, T. E., and Pauls, K. P. (2000). Genetic mapping of agronomic traits in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Annu. Rep. Bean Improv. Coop.* 43, 60–61.

Vallejos, C. E., and Chase, C. D. (1991). Linkage between isozyme markers and a locus affecting seed size in *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. *Theor. Appl. Gene* 81, 413–419. doi: 10.1007/BF00228685

Vallejos, C. E., Sakiyama, N. S., and Chase, C. D. (1992). A molecular marker-based linkage map of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. *Genetics* 131, 733–740. doi: 10.1002/elps.1150130187

Vlasova, A., Capella-Gutiérrez, S., Rendon-Anaya, M., Hernández-Oñate, M., Minoche, A. E., Erb, I., et al. (2016). Genome and transcriptome analysis of the Mesoamerican common bean and the role of gene duplications in establishing tissue and temporal specialization of genes. *Genome Biol.* 17, 1–18. doi: 10.1186/s13059-016-0883-6

Wang, X., Li, Y., Zhang, H., Sun, G., Zhang, W., and Qiu, L. (2015). Evolution and association analysis of GmCYP78A10 gene with seed size/weight and pod number in soybean. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 42, 489–496. doi: 10.1007/s11033-014-3792-3

Welch, R. M., House, W. A., Beebe, S., and Cheng, Z. (2000). Genetic selection for enhanced bioavailable levels of iron in bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) seeds. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 48, 3576–3580. doi: 10.1021/jf0000981

Wright, E. M., and Kelly, J. D. (2011). Mapping QTL for seed yield and canning quality following processing of black bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Euphytica* 179, 471–484. doi: 10.1007/s10681-011-0369-2

Xu, C., Liu, Y., Li, Y., Xu, X., Xu, C., Li, X., et al. (2015). Differential expression of GSS5 regulates grain size in rice. *J. Exp. Bot.* 66, 2611–2623. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv058

Xu, R., Duan, P., Yu, H., Zhou, Z., Zhang, B., Wang, R., et al. (2018). Control of grain size and weight by the OsMKKK10-OsMKK4-OsMAPK6 signaling pathway in rice. *Mol. Plant* 11, 860–873. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2018.04.004

Yuste-Lisbona, F. J., González, A. M., Capel, C., García-Alcázar, M., Capel, J., De Ron, A. M., et al. (2014). Genetic analysis of single-locus and epistatic QTLs for seed traits in an adapted × nuña RIL population of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Theor. Appl. Gene* 127, 897–912. doi: 10.1007/s00122-014-2265-3

Zhang, X., Blair, M. W., and Wang, S. (2008). Genetic diversity of Chinese common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) landraces assessed with simple sequence repeat markers. *Theor. Appl. Gene* 117, 629–640. doi: 10.1007/s00122-008-0807-2

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Lei, Wang, Wang and Wu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.