Electrospun nanofibrous membrane of porous fluorine-containing triptycene-based polyimides for oil/water separation†
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A novel nanofibrous membrane for oil/water separation is reported. Electrospinning was employed to fabricate the nanofibrous membrane from a porous polymer of fluorine-containing triptycene-based polyimide (FTPI), which was synthesized and characterized by NMR, FT-IR and TGA. With porous properties and highly hydrophobic features, the membrane of FTPI showed a large water contact angle (WCA) (121°), high flux and great separation efficiency for oil/water mixtures. We believe that the fluorine-containing nanofibrous membranes may be considered as promising candidates for oil/water separation.

Introduction

Oil/water separation has attracted considerable attention because of the increasingly serious nature of environmental pollution caused by oily industrial wastewater and oil spills. So far, great efforts have been devoted to developing highly efficient separation materials and straightforward methods.1 For examples, some excellent adsorption materials have been developed successfully, such as kapok fiber,2,3 polypropylene,4,5 activated carbon,6 polyurethane,7 carbon nanomaterials,8–11 etc., which have been employed to separate or collect oil from water selectively and effectively. For these materials, however, it is very difficult to remove the absorbed oils because of the confined absorption capacity and the compressing process, which will even result in secondary pollution during the post-treatment process as well as a waste of both the oils and the adsorption materials. To solve those intractable problems, membrane separation technology provides a promising strategy.

Membrane technology12–16 provides an energy-efficient method for oil/water separation because they do not need thermal generation, a phase change, or active moving parts in their operation. Among the methods for fabrication of membranes, electrospinning17–21 is a versatile technique, which can easily create continuous fibers with diameters ranging from micrometers to nanometers. Compared with conventional polymeric and ceramic membranes, electrospun membranes can more overcome the flux limitations because of their advantages of higher porosity, lower base weight, larger effective surface area, and continuously interconnected pores. Recently, some electrospun membranes have been developed successfully for oil/water separation from polymeric/inorganic silica hybrid systems.21,22 Polymers of intrinsic microporosity (PIMs),23–25 the only one solution processible porous organic polymer, have not been applied widely in the field of electrospun nanofibrous membrane for oil/water separation yet, although they have a lot of advantages, such as high surface area, low mass density, easy functionality and high stability.

Triptycene and its derivatives have attractive applications in supramolecular chemistry26–29 and microporous polymers30–31 because of their 3D rigid structures. More and more triptycene based PIMs34–36 are being reported in gases separation, but not in oil/water separation. It is generally accepted that fluoroochemicals provide a new approach to fabricate the superhydrophobic materials.23,37–38 Fluoro-containing triptycene-based polyimide (FTPI), one kind of PIMs, have not been reported in fields of electrospun nanofibrous membrane for oil/water separation, although aromatic polyimides39–41 have been recognized as a great potential materials in fields of porous materials with the features of low density, thermal stability, high glass transition temperature, chemical resistance and good mechanical properties. Herein, we report the fabrication of high-hydrophobic electrospun nanofibrous membrane based on FTPI (Scheme 1) for oil/water separation with high flux and great separation efficiency.

Experimental

Materials and methods

2,6-Diaminotriptycene was synthesized according to ref. 42, 4,4’-[hexafluoroisopropylidene] diphthalic anhydride (6FDA) was purchased from TCI (Shanghai) Development Co. Ltd.
Electrospinning of polyimide nanofibrous membrane

Typically, FTPI was dissolved in N,N-dimethylformamide to form a polymer solution at a concentration of 60% (w/v). The polymer solution was loaded into a syringe with a 22-Gauge spinneret (inner diameter: 0.41 mm). A grounded aluminum foil was used as a collector, which was 16 cm below the spinneret. The voltage between the spinneret and the collector was maintained at 14 kV. The feeding rate was controlled by a syringe pump at a constant rate of 0.5 mL h\(^{-1}\). This procedure carried out for 1 h. The electrospun membranes were then dried under vacuum at 40 °C for 12 h.

Oil/water separation

To assess the oil/water separation performance of the nanofibrous membrane, we employed the flux (\(F\)) and separation efficiency as the key parameters. The experiments of flux and separation efficiency were carried out by four kinds oils, and the separation efficiency (\(\eta\)) was calculated following the work of Ma et al.\(^{43}\)

\[
\eta = \frac{V_1}{V_0} \times 100
\]

where \(V_1\) and \(V_0\) are the volume of water recovered after separation and before separation process, respectively.

Results and discussion

FTPI was synthesized according to Swager’s strategy.\(^{42}\) Typically, dianinotriptycene and 6FDA were dissolved in NMP and gave the poly(amic acid), the chemical dehydrating agents (pyridine and acetic anhydride) were added subsequently to fabricated FTPI in yield of 88.6%. The structure of FTPI was characterized by \(^1\)H NMR, FT-IR, TGA and GPC. The \(^1\)H NMR spectrum (Fig. S1, ESI\(^\dagger\)) showed a singlet signal in 5.53 ppm, corresponding to the bridgehead protons of triptycene moieties. Moreover, there are not any proton signals for the carboxylic acid in the spectrum, suggesting complete imidization. The FT-IR spectrum of FTPI exhibited typical vibration features for a polyimide, as shown in Fig. 1a and b, the symmetric and asymmetric stretching bands of the imide carbonyl were observed at 1726 and 1786 cm\(^{-1}\) for FTPI, respectively. Moreover, the absorption of the C–N–C group could be detected at 1373 cm\(^{-1}\). These results provided evidence for the formation of the five-membered imide rings.

Just like other polyimides, the thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) (Fig. S2, ESI\(^\dagger\)) suggested that FTPI was stable up to 500 °C. The gel permeation chromatography (GPC) data displayed that the molecular weight (\(M_w\)) were 41 kDa with polydispersity index (PDI) of 1.17 for FTPI. Solution viscosity measurements by Ubbelohde type viscometer in NMP (0.38 dL g\(^{-1}\)) reveal extremely low inherent viscosities, which is similar to other triptycene-containing polyimides.\(^{42,44}\) The porous properties of FTPI were studied by nitrogen sorption analysis at 77 K. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) (Fig. S3, ESI\(^\dagger\)) surface area is 202 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) (the Langmuir surface area is 280 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)) and the total volume is 0.204 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\). The nitrogen sorption isotherm
for FTPI is shown in Fig. 1c, a steep nitrogen gas uptake at low relative pressure ($P/P_0 < 0.001$) indicates a large amount of microporous structure. The hysteresis was associated with the irreversible uptake of gas molecules in the pores (or through pore entrances), which was probably attributed to network swelling. The pore size distribution calculated using nonlocal density functional theory (NLDFT) method (Fig. 1d) also confirmed the presence of primary micropore and a spot of meso- and macropore.

With good porous properties, FTPI was dissolved in DMF and used to prepared nanofibrous membranes by electrospinning. When the concentration of electrospun solution was lower than 60%, beaded fibers were formed (Fig. S4, ESI†). If it was higher than 60%, the spinneret was tended to be blocked due to the high viscosity. So the concentration of electrospin solution was chosen to be 60%. At this condition, uniform fibers with a diameter of 707 (±131) nm were achieved as shown in Fig. 2a and b, and the distribution diagram of fiber diameters was shown in ESI (Fig. S5†). SEM images also showed that the pores of the membrane consisted by the nanofibers were with a size of several micrometers. The surface of the membrane was smooth (Fig. S6, ESI†) and its thickness was about 140 μm calculated from the SEM image of the cross-section (Fig. S7, ESI†). Stress–strain behaviour of FTPI nanofibrous membrane was tested and the tensile strength of 0.56 MPa may be owed to the low molecular weight of FTPI (Fig. S8, ESI†).

The surface wettability of the FTPI nanofibrous membrane has been characterized by the water contact angle (WCA) measurement. Fig. 2c displays the high-hydrophobic for FTPI membrane with WCA of 121°. Compared with the WCA ($<80°$) for other fluorine-free polyimides membranes, the higher WCA of FTPI membrane may be owe to the abundant fluorine element.

With high-hydrophobic property, the FTPI membrane was carried out for oil/water separation. As shown in Fig. 3a and b, the mixture of oil/water was poured onto the nanofibrous membrane, which was fixed between two glass tubes and placed a tilt angle of 20°. It was observed clearly that the n-hexane will float above water, because of lower density. Therefore, tilting slightly made sure that n-hexane could contact with the nanofibrous membrane. We have controlled the volume of water to keep organic phase contacting with nanofibrous membrane. The mixture of n-hexane (20 mL, dyed by Sudan III) and water (10 mL, dyed by methylene blue) was poured into the upper glass tube. The obvious results were that oil phase permeates through membrane by the driving force of gravity while water still stayed on the upper glass tube (see more details in the ESI video†). As presented in Fig. 3c, the separation efficiency of membrane for different oils is about 99%. The reuse ability of FTPI nanofibrous membrane was further examined in the successive separation of n-hexane/water mixture. As shown in Fig. 3d, the high separation efficiency can be kept after 10 successive runs. Furthermore, we added two different electrospinning duration, 0.5 h and 4.0 h, with the same electrospinning condition. According to the experiment results, membranes with different thickness all displayed great separation efficiency (ESI, Fig. S9†). These results suggest the FTPI nanofibrous membrane has excellent separation efficiency for the oil/water mixture.

To test the flux, four kinds of organic solvents (30 mL) were permeated through membranes with different electrospinning
through the membrane, \( V \) is the volume of organic solvents which pass through the membrane, \( A \) (m\(^2\)) and \( \Delta t \) (h) are the area of the membrane and the filtration time, respectively. The flux values of membrane decreased for petroleum ether, \( n \)-hexane, ethyl acetate and kerosene, respectively. Those results are consistent with the inversely proportional relationship between flux and kinetic viscosity. It is worth noting that the flux values are significantly higher than the (typical) flux through commercial filtration membranes (20–200 L m\(^-2\) h\(^{-1}\)).

**Conclusion**

In summary, we have synthesized a triptycene based fluorinated polyimide and confirmed its structure by NMR, FT-IR and TGA. Moreover, the nanofibrous membranes were fabricated from FTPI by electrospinning. With the high-hydrophobic feature, the membrane of FTPI showed large WCAs, high flux and great separation efficiency. We believe that the fluorne-contained nanofibrous membrane may be considered as a promising candidate for oil/water separation.
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