Rigorous criterion for characterizing correlated multiphoton emissions
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Abstract: Strong correlation of photons, particularly in the single-photon regime, has recently been exploited for various applications in quantum information processing. Existing correlation measurements, however, do not fully characterize multi-photon correlation in a relevant context and may pose limitations in practical situations. We propose a conceptually rigorous, but easy-to-implement, criterion for detecting correlated multi-photon emission out of a quantum optical system, drawn from the context of wavefunction collapse. We illustrate the robustness of our approach against experimental limitations by considering an anharmonic optical system.
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1. Introduction

Strong correlation of photons at the few quanta level can make possible a variety of nonlinear optical devices useful for quantum information processing, such as single-photon transistors or switching devices \cite{12} and the generation of single photons on demand \cite{3,4}. Furthermore, the photon-photon correlation mediated by the emitters can also be employed to simulate quantum many-body systems in a controllable way. For example, the effective on-site repulsion between photons can be exploited to study quantum phase transitions such as the Mott-superfluid transition \cite{5,6,7,8} and the fermionization of bosons \cite{9}. These applications are closely related to a specific correlation effect, namely a single-photon blockade effect \cite{2,3,10,11} — Once a system is excited by one photon, the absorption of next photons is blocked, e.g., due to the anharmonic energy level structure of the system.

Recently, interest in the correlation effect has also been extended to multi-photon level in the context of multi-photon gateway, where a random (Poissonian) stream of photons can be converted into a bunch of temporally correlated $n$ photons. In particular, Kubanek et al. demonstrated the operation of two-photon gateway to some extent using an optical cavity QED system \cite{12}. In view of all these efforts, it seems very crucial to have a theoretical framework that can appropriately characterize multi-photon correlations \cite{13,14,15,16}, e.g., $n$-photon blockade effect, and desirably that can be efficiently tested in experiment.

Conventionally, correlation of photons is measured by the $n$th-order coherence functions introduced by Glauber \cite{17}, $g^{(n)}(0) = \langle a^n a^\dagger \rangle / \langle a^\dagger a \rangle^n$, where $a$ ($a^\dagger$) is the annihilation (creation) operator of an optical field. However, it is noted in the recent experiments of cavity QED \cite{2,3,12} that $g^{(2)}(0)$ is not effective to resolve the correlated two photon emission due to a huge bunching at the atom-cavity bare resonance overshadowing the two-photon resonance. Furthermore, as $n$ goes beyond two, $g^{(n)}(0)$ or its simple variants \cite{12} contain more peaks at $k = 1, \cdots, n-1$ photon resonances, irrelevant to genuine $n$-photon correlation, as to be shown below.
Instead one may take \( n \)-photon excitation peaks in \( \langle a^\dagger n a^n \rangle \) spectrum itself, e.g. in [12,13,14,15,16], as a confirming evidence of \( n \)-photon correlations. Rigorously speaking, however, the multi-photon resonant excitation peaks spectroscopically identified only uncover the energy-level structure of the system. Whether each peak in the bare coincidence \( \langle a^\dagger n a^n \rangle \) indicates relevant photon correlation must be checked very carefully. For example, the three-photon coincidence tends to increase in the spectrum without any correlation if the system possesses two-photon correlation since an uncorrelated emission added to a correlated pair may register another three-photon coincidence. Therefore, we need to consider a stricter physical context for characterizing correlated emission of \( n \)-photons. Although there have been several studies on higher-order photon statistics in view of nonclassicality [18,19], none of them carries a clear interpretation as multiphoton correlation.

Here we propose a conceptually rigorous, but easy-to-implement, criterion for measuring correlated multi-photon emissions out of a quantum optical system. The criterion is derived by considering wavefunction collapse related to sequential photo-detections [20] and has the following merits. (i) It only detects highly-correlated \( n \)-photon emissions with no classical analogue, and (ii) can be tested by usual photon coincidence detections (no conditional measurement) in a detector-efficiency insensitive form, and therefore, experimentally favorable. We also introduce a quantitative measure of \( n \)-photon correlation based on this criterion, which is quite robust in addressing multi-photon correlations against experimental imperfections. This is a practical merit of our approach made possible by the well-established correlation context. We illustrate the power of our method in an optical cavity QED system, where genuine \( n \)-photon correlated emissions can be efficiently verified in accordance with its anharmonic energy levels.

2. The criterion

In order to envision a generic, though not exhaustive, scenario where multiphoton correlations may arise, let us compare two systems, one with harmonic and the other with anharmonic level structure [Fig.1 (a)]. When a harmonic system with level spacing \( \hbar \omega_0 \) is excited by an external driving on resonance \( (\omega_L = \omega_0) \), all energy levels are equally accessible. On the other hand, for an anharmonic system, if the external field is \( n \)-photon resonant with the \( n \)th level, other levels than \( n \)th would not be substantially addressed by the external field. As a result, the system could be excited to contain only \( n \) correlated quanta and further excitation would be prohibited—\( n \)-quanta (photon) blockade effect. We will apply a similar line of reasoning to emission, rather than excitation, process. Specifically, we construct a criterion to detect ‘pure’ \( n \)-photon correlated emission by incorporating two distinct features, (i) surge or rapid emission of photons up to \( n \) quanta and (ii) blockade beyond \( n \), which can be applied to any quantum optical systems, not necessarily anharmonic ones.

2.1. Photon surge

Generally, the photo-detection rate \( \mathcal{R} \) is proportional to the intensity of the optical field under consideration, \( \mathcal{R} \propto \langle \hat{\mathcal{E}}_- \hat{\mathcal{E}}_+ \rangle \), where the operators \( \hat{\mathcal{E}}_\pm \) correspond to the positive- and the negative-frequency part of the field. Let us assume that a quantum system can be described by a pure steady state \( |\Psi\rangle_s \) for simplicity, but our argument applies equally well to mixed states. If it has emitted \( n-1 \) quanta, the wavefunction is collapsed to \( |\Psi^{(n-1)}\rangle = \hat{\mathcal{E}}_+^{n-1} |\Psi\rangle_s \) conditioned on these emissions. The detection rate for the succeeding \( n \)th photon is then given by

\[
\mathcal{R}_n = \frac{\langle \Psi^{(n-1)} | \hat{\mathcal{E}}_- \hat{\mathcal{E}}_+ | \Psi^{(n-1)} \rangle}{\langle \Psi^{(n-1)} | \Psi^{(n-1)} \rangle} = \frac{\langle \hat{\mathcal{E}}_+^{n} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_+^{n} \rangle}{\langle \hat{\mathcal{E}}_+^{n-1} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_+^{n-1} \rangle} \tag{1}
\]
after the normalization of the conditional state \(|\Psi^{(n-1)}_c\rangle\). Specifically, if the emission out of the system is a bunch of highly correlated \(n\)-photons, the second photon will be emitted right after the first photon and the third photon after the second, and so on. This idea can be used to construct our criterion as follows.

The “bare” rate for the first emission is simply given by the intensity, \(\mathcal{A}_1 = \langle \hat{\delta}_- \hat{\delta}_+ \rangle\), which only characterizes the signal strength and has little to do with correlation. For \(n\)-photon correlation \((n > 1)\), once a photon is emitted, however, the next emission will immediately follow, thus the conditional rate \(\mathcal{A}_2\) must be large enough. In particular, we require \(\mathcal{A}_2\) to be larger than \(\mathcal{A}_1\), i.e. \(R_{2,1} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{A}_2}{\mathcal{A}_1} = \frac{\langle \hat{\delta}_-^2 \hat{\delta}_+^2 \rangle}{\langle \hat{\delta}_- \hat{\delta}_+ \rangle^2} > 1\), which is nothing but the bunching condition in the Glauber \(g^{(2)}\) function. Extending the requirement to next emissions sequentially, we derive a set of surge conditions

\[
R_{k,k-1} = \frac{\mathcal{A}_k}{\mathcal{A}_{k-1}} = \frac{\langle \hat{\delta}_-^k \hat{\delta}_+^k \rangle}{\langle \hat{\delta}_-^{k-1} \hat{\delta}_+^{k-1} \rangle^2} > 1, \quad (k = 2, \ldots, n),
\]

which must be satisfied for each \(k = 2, \ldots, n\).

### 2.2. Photon blockade

However, the satisfaction of Eq. (2) for all \(k = 2, \ldots, n\) is not sufficient to ensure \(n\)-photon correlation, and importantly, one must also look at the next occurrences carefully. After the detection of \(n\) photons, the succeeding emissions must be suppressed, which can be expressed as

\[
R_{k,k-1} < 1, \quad (k = n + 1, \ldots).
\]

The fulfillment of all the surge and the blockade conditions in Eqs. (2) and (3) respectively constitutes our criterion for \(n\)-photon correlated emission. Note that the condition (3) coincides with the special case of the higher-order antibunching criteria introduced in [18] for the non-classicality of photon statistics, rather than the correlation effect.

In our criterion, it is crucial to use the conditional rates \(\mathcal{A}_k\), rather than the bare rates \(\langle \hat{\delta}_-^k \hat{\delta}_+^k \rangle\), as the former takes into account the correlation between adjacent emissions in a stronger sense. However, the resulting criterion does not require any conditional measurements. Instead, the quantities \(R_{k,k-1}\) in Eqs. (2) and (3) simply involve various photon-coincidence rates and we particularly note that the numerator and the denominator are in the same order of the field strength. It is thus given in an experimentally desirable form, that is, insensitive to the quantum efficiency of photodetectors.

### 2.3. Measure of multi-photon correlation

The conditions in Eqs. (2) and (3) may be used to define a quantitative measure \(M_n\) of \(n\)-photon correlation as

\[
M_n = \prod_{k=2}^{n} \max\{R_{k,k-1} - 1, 0\} \prod_{k=n+1}^{N_n} \max\{R_{k,k-1}^{-1} - 1, 0\},
\]

where \(N_n\) is a truncated excitation number to be taken appropriate to a given situation. \(M_n\) quantifies the strength of the \(n\)-photon correlation by measuring the deviation of \(R_{k,k-1}\) from unity in the surge and the blockade conditions of Eqs. (2) and (3), respectively, and returns a nonzero value only when all those conditions are satisfied. To experimentally obtain \(M_n\) for a given system, one first measures the bare \(k\)-photon coincidence rates \(\langle \hat{\delta}_-^k \hat{\delta}_+^k \rangle\) for all \(k = 1, \ldots, N_n\). Then, each conditional rate \(R_{k,k-1}\) defined by Eq. (2) is evaluated and plugged into Eq. (4) to determine the value of \(M_n\).
2.4. Remarks

(a) Conventionally, multi-photon correlations have been discussed in terms of the Glauber coherence functions

\[ g^{(n)} = \frac{\langle \hat{b}_-^n(x_1) \hat{b}_-^n(x_2) \cdots \hat{b}_-^n(x_n) \hat{b}_+^n(x_2) \cdots \hat{b}_+^n(x_1) \rangle}{\langle \hat{b}_-^n(x_1) \hat{b}_+^n(x_1) \rangle \langle \hat{b}_-^n(x_2) \hat{b}_+^n(x_2) \rangle \cdots \langle \hat{b}_-^n(x_n) \hat{b}_+^n(x_n) \rangle} \]

\((x_i)\) a general space-time point [17]. The context of correlation in \(g^{(n)}\) is, however, rather limited and we particularly note that \(g^{(n)}\) compares the \(n\)-photon coincidence rate (numerator) only with the single-photon counting rates (denominator). Large (small) value of \(g^{(n)}\) characterizes a bunching (antibunching) effect with no strict \(n\)-photon correlation that can emerge even in a classical scattering system, e.g. \(g^{(n)} = n!\) for a thermal light (Hanbury-Brown–Twiss effect [21] [22]). Another example of \(g^{(2)} \gg 1\) with no rigorous two-photon correlation will be shown below in Sec.3.

(b) It is, therefore, interesting to ask whether our criteria of \(n\)-photon correlation can be fulfilled by a classical source. It turns out that, as mentioned in Sec.2.2, the blockade condition in Eq. (3) is related to the nonclassicality of light fields [18] [19]. Let us consider the single-mode case in which the field amplitude \(\hat{b}_+\) (\(\hat{b}_-\)) may be replaced by the annihilation (creation) operator \(a\) (\(a^\dagger\)). Then, as a special case of Ref. [18], one can show that, for a classical source represented by a positive-definite Glauber-P function, \(P(\alpha) \geq 0\), a Cauchy-Schwarz inequality follows as

\[ \langle a^{i_k} a^\dagger \rangle \langle a^{i_k-2} a^{i_k-2} \rangle = \int d^2 \alpha |\alpha|^{2k} P(\alpha) \int d^2 \alpha |\alpha|^{2k-4} P(\alpha) \geq \left( \int d^2 \alpha |\alpha|^{2k-2} P(\alpha) \right)^2 = \langle a^{i_k-1} a^{i_k-1} \rangle^2. \]  

(5)

The violation of the above inequality, which is nothing but the blockade condition \(R_{k,k-1} < 1\) in Eq. (3), is thus a clear signature of nonclassicality. So our criteria of multiphoton correlation can be fulfilled only by nonclassical sources. We emphasize that, in the so called multiphoton antibunching criteria in [18] [19], the focus was made on how to reveal nonclassicality of the field by a mathematical approach based on the positive Glauber-P function, thus lacking a clear interpretation as multiphoton correlation.

3. Application: Cavity QED system

3.1. Model

To illustrate our criterion, we consider a cavity QED system—one of the well known anharmonic systems that can be implemented in various experimental platforms [2] [3] [4] [14]. A qubit (two-state atom, quantum dot, etc.) is coupled to a single mode field driven by a classical field. For simplicity we investigate the on-resonance case, \(\omega_A = \omega_c \equiv \omega_0\), where \(\omega_0\) is the qubit transition frequency and \(\omega_c\) the cavity resonance frequency. The qubit-cavity system at coupling strength \(g\) is then described by the Hamiltonian

\[ H = \hbar \omega_0 \left( a^\dagger a + \frac{\sigma_z}{2} \right) + i \hbar g (a^\dagger \sigma_- - a \sigma_+), \]  

(6)

where \(\sigma_+\) and \(\sigma_-\) are the Pauli pseudospin operators. The composite system has the ground state \(|0, g\rangle\) with the energy \(E_0 = 0\) and the polaritonic excited states \(|\Psi_n^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}} (|n, g\rangle \pm |n-1, e\rangle)\) with \(E_{n,\pm} = n\hbar \omega_0 \pm \hbar g \sqrt{n}\) \((n = 1, 2, \ldots)\) [See Fig.1 (b)]. Therefore, when the system is driven by an external field at frequency \(\omega_c\), \(n\)-photon resonant absorption may occur [25] at

\[ n\hbar \omega_c = E_{n,\pm} = n\hbar \omega_0 \pm \hbar g \sqrt{n}. \]  

(7)
Fig. 1. (a) Energy-level diagram for (i) harmonic and (ii) anharmonic system. (b) Energy level structure for cavity QED system. (c) Multiphoton coincidence rates $\langle a^{\dagger}n a_n \rangle$ as a function of $\delta/g$ for $2\kappa/g = \gamma/g = 0.01$ with $\delta'/\kappa = 0.1$. The dotted vertical lines represent the locations of the multiphoton resonances, $\delta = \pm g/\sqrt{n}$ throughout Figs. 1-3.

In practical situations, the qubit and the cavity field may interact with Markovian environments, which causes dissipation and decoherence to the system. The global evolution is then governed by the master equation

$$\dot{\rho} = \frac{1}{i\hbar}[H_I, \rho] + \gamma(\sigma^- \rho \sigma^+ - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_+ \sigma_- - \frac{i}{2} \sigma_+ \rho \sigma_-) + \kappa(2a^\dagger a^\dagger - a^\dagger a^\dagger - a^\dagger a)$$

with the driving strength $E$, the detuning $\delta$, and the interaction Hamiltonian $H_I = \hbar \delta (a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_z) + i\hbar g (a^\dagger \sigma_- - a \sigma_+) + i\hbar \delta' (a^\dagger - a)$, where $\gamma (2\kappa)$ is the qubit (cavity) decay rate and the interaction Hamiltonian for the cavity QED system.

By measuring the cavity transmission as the driving frequency $\omega_L$ scanned, one may identify the energy-level structure of the cavity QED system. In Fig. 1(c), we plot the bare $n$-photon coincidence rate, $\langle a^{\dagger}n a_n \rangle$ as a function of the normalized detuning $\delta/g$. In the weak-excitation limit, these rates are related to the $n$-excitation probability $P_n$ as $\langle a^{\dagger}n a_n \rangle \approx n!P_n$. We see that more resonant peaks are spectroscopically observed at $\delta = \pm g/\sqrt{n}$ as the order $n$ is increased in a very strong-coupling regime, $\gamma/g = 2\kappa/g = 0.01$. It is important to note that not all the peaks in $n$th order coincidence rate are relevant to $n$-photon correlation (e.g., the peak at $\delta = 0$ in the two-photon coincidence), so the bare coincidence rates may not be used as such to address genuine multiphoton correlation. To overcome this difficulty, for instance, one may try to classify those peaks with a prior knowledge on the excitation paths [16]. However, in realistic situations, the peaks become less resolved as the coupling strength is reduced (not shown). More importantly, these resonant peaks give information only on the level structure of excitation and have a weak connection to correlated emissions.

3.2. Correlation measures

Instead, if one measures the Glauber coherence function $g^{(n)}$ of the output, the result may characterize the correlation of emitted photons to some extent, but not in a full rigorous sense. In particular, $g^{(n)}(0) = \langle a^{\dagger^n}a^n \rangle / \langle a^\dagger a \rangle^n$ in Fig. 2(a) shows a large bunching at zero detuning $\delta = 0$, which has nothing to do with genuine $n$-photon correlation as we will clearly show below. Close inspection of photon statistics reveals that the system does exhibit some non-classical behavior at $\delta = 0$, e.g. the oscillation of conditional detection rate $R_k$ which peaks at even number of $k$, but it is not a rigorous $n$-photon correlation at any level $n$ in view of our criterion. To get rid of this “cumbersome” resonance effect observed at $\delta = 0$ that may
overwhelm the other resonance peaks, Kubanek et al. introduced the differential correlation function, $C^{(2)}(0) = \langle a^\dagger a^2 \rangle - \langle a^\dagger a \rangle^2$, that measures the absolute occurrence of two-photon excitation with respect to the single-photon excitation [12]. The context in this correlation function, however, is insufficient just like $g^{(2)}(0)$ in general, although it was instrumental to identify the second resonant peak in [12]. Furthermore, a generalization to $n$-photon level, $C^{(n)}(0) = \langle a^\dagger a^n \rangle - \langle a^\dagger a \rangle^n$ for $n \geq 3$, becomes hardly effective in identifying the higher-order peaks by the broadening effect in the realistic regime [Fig. 2 (a)-(c)].

In contrast, our criterion not only detects correlated emission in a well-defined context, but also provides a practical tool to identify the multi-photon resonance structure of a system in realistic situations. In Figs. 2(d), 2(e), and 2(f), we plot the quantitative measure $M_n$ of Eq. (4) for $n = 2, 3, \text{ and } 4$ along with various rates $R_{k,k-1}$ which are ingredients for constructing the corresponding $M_n$. Remarkably, $M_n$ yields a positive value only in the spectral vicinity of the resonant peaks $\delta \approx \pm g / \sqrt{n}$. The “spurious” peak at $\delta = 0$ disappears by our criterion, which rigorously confirms that this seeming “resonance” indeed does not represent pure $n$-photon correlated emission.
Fig. 3. Comparison between $g^{(n)}(0)$ (black dotted curve) and our measure $M_n$ (red solid curve) for (a) $n = 2$ and (b) $n = 3$, with the truncation numbers (a) $N_{tr} = 4$ and (b) $N_{tr} = 5$, respectively. The driving intensity is rather high, $\varepsilon/\kappa = 1$, with the coupling condition $2\kappa/g = \gamma/g = 0.1$.

3.3. Large driving field

As we increase the pump strength to obtain more substantial signal, the coincidence spectrum usually becomes difficult to resolve due to the saturation of the system. Our method is, however, still useful for moderately strong pumping owing to the rigorous context established in it. To demonstrate this merit, we have considered the case of a large driving field $\varepsilon/\kappa = 1$ in Fig. 3 together with the realistic coupling $\gamma/g = 2\kappa/g = 0.1$. Due to the intensity-dependent broadening effect, the Glauber function $g^{(n)}(0)$ no longer shows noticeable marks of resonance, except for the peak at $\delta = 0$ overwhelming the entire shape in the spectrum. On the other hand, our measure $M_n$ identifies a clear signature of multi-photon correlations under the same condition. This capability would allow one to increase the pump strength to some extent, and thereby easing the difficulty of having to measure higher-order coincidence than $n$ [i.e., blockade conditions in Eq. 3] to identify $n$-photon correlation in our method. Furthermore, we have also checked that other possible broadening effects, e.g. atomic motion in the cavity, do not degrade the capability of our criterion for characterizing multiphoton correlations. We attribute this robustness against experimental imperfections to the rigorous context established with the measure $M_n$. 
4. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have devised an easy-to-implement criterion for detecting correlated multi-photon emission, imposing surge and blockade requirements in photoemission processes. A quantitative measure $M_n$ has been derived from the correlation context between successive photon emissions in the framework of wavefunction collapse. Our criterion applies to any quantum optical systems, including the ones with anharmonic structure (cavity QED systems, multi-level atoms, etc.).

We have illustrated our method can efficiently detect multi-photon correlations at the resonant peaks of the cavity QED system in contrast to the existing correlation functions. Note that the anharmonic spectrum which scales as $\sqrt{n}$ is a clear signature of quantum nature of light field \cite{24,25}, and it thus has been of considerable interest for long but experimentally verified only recently \cite{13,14,15}. In the optical cavity-QED system \cite{3,4,12,13}, it becomes harder to directly observe this anharmonicity in higher-orders due to less strong coupling than in the microwave circuit QED system, but our method remarkably makes it possible to clearly pick up the $\sqrt{n}$-dependence despite experimental limitations. We anticipate that our conceptually rigorous approach can also be useful in addressing correlation effects in other quantum systems beyond optics.
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