Point-of-care ultrasound in pre-bronchoscopy assessment of acute dyspnea: A case of concurrent massive pulmonary embolism and deep vein thrombosis
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CASE REPORT

A 64-year-old Malay lady, non-smoker with comorbid hypertension and dyslipidemia, presented to our bronchoscopy suite with breathlessness and painless swelling of the left lower limb for 2 days. She was scheduled for elective outpatient bronchoscopy as part of emergent workup for lung malignancy. A month prior, she had been admitted under the Orthopedic unit with paraplegia due to spinal compression from metastatic disease. Further history revealed chronic cough and chest radiograph revealed a left hilar opacity. Computed tomography of the thorax revealed a 6.4 × 5.6 cm left hilar mass, enlarged left supraclavicular lymph node and metastatic lesions in the spine, ribs, and liver consistent with a radiological staging of T3 N3 M1c.
(Stage IVB). She refused spinal operative intervention, opting instead for excision biopsy of an enlarged cervical lymph node. Histopathological examination of lymph node biopsy was inconclusive. She was then referred to our unit for bronchoscopic biopsy of the left hilar mass.

On further history, she denied hemoptysis, wheezing, chest pain, fever or worsening of her baseline chronic cough. She was hypotensive with a blood pressure of 88/58 mmHg, hypoxic with oxygen saturation of 93% under room air and had a respiratory rate of 34 breaths per minute. Her heart rate was 97 beats/min, and she was apyrexial. Cardiovascular examination revealed a raised jugular venous pressure at 6 cm above the sternal angle and a pansystolic murmur at the lower left sternal edge loudest on inspiration, suggestive of raised right heart pressure. Electrocardiogram revealed right axis deviation and T wave inversion in leads III, V1, V2 and V3. Lower limb examination revealed a non-tender left lower limb swelling. There were no crepitations or rhonchi on respiratory examination. Nasal prong oxygen was immediately applied, with subsequent arterial blood gas showing pH 7.484, pO2 101.7 mmHg, pCO2 25.5 mmHg, HCO3 18.8 and SaO2 of 98.3. Unfortunately, her blood pressure was unresponsive to fluid boluses and noradrenaline infusion was commenced.

Lower limb POCUS revealed a non-compressible left femoral vein with an intraluminal hyperechoic lesion with absence of Doppler signal within (Figure 1A). Lung POCUS was unremarkable, demonstrating A-profile bilaterally. Cardiac POCUS revealed a dilated right ventricle, flattening of the septal wall, and a ‘D’-shaped left ventricle (Figure 2A). Pulsed wave Doppler placed at the right ventricular outflow tract was suggestive of increased pre-capillary pulmonary artery pressure (Figure 2B). Tricuspid regurgitation (TR), tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) of 1.8 mm (abnormality threshold <17 mm), and TR maximal pressure gradient (TR max PG) of 42.5 mmHg was demonstrated.

The patient’s PESI score of 114 points (class IV) indicated high risk of mortality from pulmonary embolism. CTPA was delayed due to the patient’s labile blood pressure. Hence, the decision was made to administer thrombolysis prior to CTPA. 70 mg of intravenous alteplase were administered, followed by intravenous heparin infusion. After the patient’s blood pressure improved, CTPA was done 30 min post-thrombolysis, revealing extensive bilateral pulmonary embolism (Figure 1B). The patient was admitted to the medical high dependency ward for close observation. She made significant improvement for the first 2 days but developed STEMI on the third day and eventually succumbed.

DISCUSSION

Lung malignancy is associated with one of the highest venous thromboembolism risks compared to other malignancies, carrying a pooled incidence rate of 45 per 1000-person years.\(^4\) 70% of lung malignancy is metastatic at the time of diagnosis of PE.\(^5\) Our patient was at increased risk of venous thromboembolism due to immobilization and metastatic lung malignancy.\(^6\)

This case highlights the time-efficient nature of POCUS in diagnosing DVT and PE. In our patient, POCUS

---

**FIGURE 1** (A) Lower limb POCUS confirmed left lower limb DVT with direct visualization of a thrombus in the left femoral vein. (B) Representative slice of the patient’s CTPA (axial view) confirmed a large thrombus in the right main pulmonary artery

**FIGURE 2** (A) Bedside cardiac POCUS showed flattening of the septal wall with D-shaped left ventricle and dilated right ventricle in parasternal short axis view (RV = right ventricle, LV = left ventricle). (B) PW Doppler sampled proximal to the pulmonary valve demonstrated raised pre-capillary pulmonary artery pressure, as evidenced by mid-systolic notching (red arrows) and pulmonary regurgitation jet (yellow arrows)
examination was initiated approximately 10 min after initial history taking and examination. Collectively, lung, cardiac and lower limb POCUS took approximately 15 min to complete. This was followed by a brief discussion lasting 5 min, which culminated in a final decision to administer thrombotic therapy.

In clinical practice, duplex ultrasonography from thigh to ankle is the diagnostic modality of choice. A positive finding on lower limb POCUS would raise suspicion of PE in a dyspneic patient like ours, as the majority of PE originates from DVT. In the critically ill patient, POCUS can act as a surrogate for ‘formal’ ultrasound. POCUS DVT examination has been extensively validated in various clinical settings.

Combining conventional Wells score with lung and lower limb POCUS leads to increased predictive performance in diagnosing PE. Pulmonary angiography was the historical gold standard in diagnosing PE, but CTPA is the current method of choice. However, CTPA may be delayed due to the need for clinical stabilization, as was the case in our patient. In a hemodynamically unstable patient with suspected PE, specific echocardiography features such as the 60/60 sign, McConnell sign, or right heart thrombi justify emergency reperfusion treatment for PE if immediate CTPA is not feasible.

Less commonly, lung ultrasonography (LUS) can diagnose pulmonary embolism with a sensitivity of 74%, specificity of 95% and 95% positive predictive value. Ultrasound findings include characteristic triangular or rounded pleural-based lesions, however, other causes such as pneumonia, atelectasis and so on must be ruled out as well.

The British Thoracic Society guidelines recommend a thorough assessment of fitness for bronchoscopy based on baseline oxygen saturation, lung function, comorbidities, sedation and procedural sampling. Bronchoscopy itself may lead to hypoxaemia, increased cardiac workload and arrhythmias. There are no clear recommendations on the degree of hypoxaemia which precludes bronchoscopy, hence bronchoscopists often decide on a case-to-case basis. Our patient’s hemodynamic instability and respiratory distress clearly excluded her as a candidate for bronchoscopy, however, POCUS examination added additional value by securing a rapid diagnosis of venous thromboembolism.

CONCLUSION

Multi-system POCUS proved effective in diagnosing DVT and PE in our patient. Clinicians are reminded of its powerful applicability in assessing acute dyspnoea in a day-case or outpatient setting.
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