Effects of Type and Intensity of Voluntary Contractions With Different Recovery Periods on Anaerobic Power and Maximum Torque in Young Trained Girls
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Abstract

Background: Implementing the post-activation potentiation phenomenon before a competition in the warm-up section may be better than performing the usual warm-up.

Objectives: Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine the effects of type and intensity of muscle contraction with different recovery periods on anaerobic power and peak torque in young trained girls.

Methods: Twelve female subjects (age: 22 ± 2.95 years; height: 165.42 ± 5.35 cm; weight: 57.33 ± 9.15 kg) who had at least two years of continuous training experience were randomly selected. The subjects performed the contraction protocols (isometric (3 × 70/7s), concentric (3 × 90/4), and eccentric (3 × 110/5)) or control conditions in eight sessions with at least 48 hours intervals between the sessions. In this study, the countermovement jump test and Biodex isokinetic device (60°.S-1) model (ISO-1) were used for measuring anaerobic power and maximum peak torque, respectively. Two-way repeated-measures ANOVA and one-way independent ANOVA were used for analyzing the data (P < 0.05).

Results: The between-group comparisons showed that the highest anaerobic power and peak torque values in immediate and three-minute recovery periods were seen in the concentric protocol, and the highest values in seven-minute recovery periods were seen in the eccentric protocol (P < 0.05). These variables were also significant in concentric and eccentric contractions in within-group comparisons (P < 0.05).

Conclusions: Eccentric contraction, similar to other contractions, can produce PAP. It seems that eccentric contractions due to a higher intensity of contractions can maintain more consistency of PAP than do other contractions.
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1. Background

Warm-up, as a key element of a training session or competition, is regularly used by athletes to avoid injuries and achieve high performance. The warm-up consists of a general section and a specific section (1).

The existence of proprietary movements relevant to the type of the main activity in the content of the warm-up program will prepare the body to perform basic movements well, having the greatest impact on improving one’s performance. It well prepares the body to perform basic movements, and it alone can have the greatest impact on improving one’s performance (2). Implementing the phenomenon of Post-Activation Potentiation (PAP) in the warm-up section may be better than the usual warm-up methods and could improve the performance of explosive sports activities (3). PAP is caused by a voluntary contraction that is usually executed at or near-maximum intensity, which is defined as the increase in force/torque after an optimum contraction (3).

Several factors have been proposed that may be responsible for the discrepancy between PAP values, including optimum contraction volume and intensity, post-contraction recovery period, type of contraction, type of activity after contraction, and subject characteristics (4). On the other hand, the findings regarding the type and severity of voluntary contractions during the specific warm-up period are less consistent with those of eccentric contractions (5). Koch et al. (2003) found no difference in long jump distance after a specific warm-up involving the execution of a back squat motion equal to 40 or 80% of 1RM (5). Also, Rixon et al. (2007) reported that vertical jump decreased after executing back squat sets by 90% of 1RM in women.
In addition, Rixson et al. (2007) compared the effect of static and dynamic voluntary contraction and suggested that isometric contraction has more effects on subsequent explosive performance than isotonic contraction (6). In another study, Bogdanis et al. (2014) compared vertical jump performance after both isometric and eccentric contractions and concluded that eccentric contraction was more effective in enhancing subsequent explosive performance (4). Tsolakis et al. (2011) also compared the effect of both isometric and plyometric contractions on subsequent explosive performance and reported that plyometric contraction had no effect on explosive performance and that isometric contraction resulted in a decrease in peak power (7).

The interval between stimulation and evaluation should be appropriate for the recovery of fatigue-induced waste products and measuring muscle strength because the PAP state disappears about 15 minutes after stimulation. Comyns et al. (2006) reported that it takes four minutes to obtain the PAP effect (8). Immediately after the contraction, Gilbert et al. (2001) reported a decrease in the amount of isometric force development, but after recovery (4.5 to 12.5 minutes), the rate of force development increased significantly (9). French et al. (2003) did not use the recovery period but observed a significant increase in performance immediately after three sets of three-second isometric contraction (10). Mangos et al. (2006) observed no change in vertical jump performance 3 min after squat back with 90% 1RM load (11). Immediately after three sets of 10 seconds of isometric contraction, Bahm et al. (2004) did not observe any change in peak force, but after a 10 to 15 min recovery period, peak force decreased (12). Among available studies, only the study by Bogdanis et al. (2014) used eccentric contraction at 70% 1RM intensity and in various recovery periods. Contradictory findings have been obtained that indicate the appropriate interval between stimulation and evaluation depends strongly on the type of stimulation. Therefore, zero, three, and seven minutes of recovery periods were used in this study (4).

2. Objectives

According to limited research on the effect of eccentric contraction at intensities above 100% 1RMax, as well as various recovery courses afterward, we investigated the possible mechanisms of this method and compared it with other training methods to determine whether this training method can be used as a substitute for other commonly used methods and determine the mechanisms underlying this effect. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine the effects of type and intensity of muscle contraction with different recovery periods on anaerobic power and peak torque in young trained girls.

3. Methods

The population of the study was 20 active girls. The inclusion criteria included having at least two years of continuous exercise activity and at least three to four training sessions per week, as well as attending all the training sessions (11). The subjects who did not meet these conditions or did not participate in the practice or measurement of variables during the research process or those who were injured were excluded from the research. Thus, according to the research conditions, 12 subjects were selected randomly as the sample (Table 1). Subjects gave their written and oral consent. Subjects were also monitored for injuries that affected their performance (11). All the experimental procedures performed in this study were approved by the Ethics Committee of Razi University.

| Variables               | Mean ± SD       |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Age (years)             | 22 ± 2.95       |
| Height (cm)             | 165.42 ± 5.35   |
| Weight (kg)             | 57.33 ± 9.35    |
| Body Mass Index (kg/m²) | 21.7 ± 1.23     |
| Body fat (%)            | 14.75 ± 2.48    |

3.1. Training Protocol

To reduce the learning effects, all subjects in this study performed six times of maximal torque test and Sargent vertical jump test. Each session was performed separately with a recovery time of 48 hours. On the first day, only the maximum torque test (the first and second times) was performed. In the following days (with 48 hours intervals), the first pretest of maximum torque test (the third time) was performed, followed by the corresponding contraction protocol, including either isometric contraction (3×70/75), concentric contraction (3×90/4), or eccentric contraction (3×110/5), or control conditions. Immediately after, a maximum torque test (the fourth time) was performed, which was repeated after recovery periods of three minutes (the fifth time) and seven minutes (the sixth time). This process was run for all the research variables (anaerobic power and maximum torque) with equal intervals, respectively. To perform control conditions, subjects only performed the pretest and posttest on different days and did not perform contraction protocols. All subjects also randomly performed all the contraction protocols between the third and fourth sessions. Besides, all
of them performed a standard warm-up protocol before performing the third session. This protocol involved the warm-up in all groups, including five minutes of running with a heart rate of 120 to 140 beats per minute, approximately equivalent to 30-40% of maximum oxygen consumption. Then, a third measurement was performed immediately, which included a Sargent vertical jump test to measure anaerobic power and a knee-extension test with the isokinetic gymnex dynamometer to measure maximum torque. Immediately after the pretest (the third time), the subjects performed the contraction protocols and then performed the posttest (the fourth, fifth, and sixth times) (1, 11-16).

3.2. Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics, such as mean and standard deviation, were used to analyze the data. Two-way ANOVA with repeated measures was used to determine within-group and between-group differences. The Tukey post hoc test was applied when the differences were significant. The confidence interval of $\alpha < 0.05$ was considered at all stages of the test.

4. Results

The results of data analysis showed that there was a significant change in anaerobic power in all the groups after the contraction protocols were performed compared to the pretest; the anaerobic power increased in most contractions ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 1). In the isometric contraction protocol, this difference was not significant immediately after the application of the protocol ($P > 0.05$) (Table 2). The results of between-group comparisons showed that the concentric contraction group (at three minutes of recovery) and the isometric and eccentric contraction groups (at seven minutes of recovery) after performing the contraction protocols were significantly different from the control group ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 1). On the other hand, the results of the analysis of data on maximum torque performance showed that there was a significant change in within-group comparisons compared to the pretest in all contraction groups, except for the isometric contraction group, at seven minutes of recovery posttest ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 2). The results of between-group comparisons also showed that immediately and at three minutes of recovery, there were significant differences between all the contraction groups with the control group ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 2). Also, the results of between-group comparisons showed that at the recovery time of seven minutes, except for the isometric contraction group, all other contraction groups were significantly different from the control group ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 2). The results are summarized in Table 2.

5. Discussion

The most important findings of the study were that the best results in vertical jump performance in the immediate, three-minute posttest were related to the concentric contraction protocol, but the best result in the vertical jump at seven-minute posttest was seen in the eccentric contraction protocol. Also, the results of the analysis of variance with repeated measures on anaerobic power performance using the vertical jump test showed that the differences between the time effect and the time and group effect (group $\times$ time) were significant ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 1). The results of comparing the time effects showed that isometric, concentric, and eccentric contractions significantly altered anaerobic power performance at the posttest, except for the immediate posttest in isometric contraction (Table 2). The results also showed that the highest changes in the vertical jump test were observed at concentric contractions and at recovery times of immediate and three-minute posttest (Table 2). The results of the seven-minute posttest also showed that the highest level of changes was observed at eccentric contraction (Figure 1).

The results of this study indicated that isometric, concentric, and eccentric contractions with short-term recovery periods improved athletic anaerobic power performance. The results are consistent with the results by Gourgolis et al. (2003) and Bogdanis et al. (2014) (4, 17). However, most previous studies suggest that in adult male trained subjects, maximal squat performance would produce the greatest improvement in vertical jump performance, which can be concluded that any contraction that stimulates muscle fibers can further improve subsequent function and ultimately benefit more from the PAP phenomenon. It should be noted, however, that there was no significant difference between the present study and those mentioned studies at the time of recovery following the contraction protocol and the severity of contractions. Also, the results of this study are inconsistent with the results by Tsolakis et al. (2011), Bogdanis et al. (2014) (the result of concentric contraction), and Petisco et al. (2019) (the result of the recovery period of seven-minute posttest) (4, 7, 18). The reasons for the inconsistency of the present study with the mentioned studies may be the differences in subjects’ characteristics (gender, mature or elite, etc.), the type and intensity of the contraction applied, or the duration of recovery time.

On the other hand, the results of the analysis of variance with repeated measures on maximum torque performance by the knee extension test showed a significant difference in the time effect and the interaction of time and group effect (group $\times$ time) ($P < 0.05$). The result of the one-way analysis of variance showed a significant differ-
Figure 1. Anaerobic power changes in experimental and control groups. *Significant difference with pretest of each group (P < 0.05). †Significant difference with the control group (P < 0.05).

Table 2. Results of Research Variables after Applying Different Contraction Protocols

| Contractions | Anaerobic Power (Watts) | Maximum Torque [Nm] |
|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
|              | Pretest | Immediately | 3 min  | 7 min | Pretest | Immediately | 3 min  | 7 min |
| Isometric    | 731 ± 146 | 721 ± 132 | 752 ± 144<sup>a</sup> | 762 ± 148<sup>b,c</sup> | 87.5 ± 9.1 | 89 ± 11.8<sup>b,d</sup> | 88.4 ± 11.6<sup>b,d</sup> | 86.5 ± 8 |
| Concentric   | 766 ± 160 | 761 ± 160<sup>b</sup> | 776 ± 160<sup>a,b</sup> | 756 ± 156<sup>b</sup> | 88 ± 4.6 | 98.7 ± 4.9<sup>b,d</sup> | 100.4 ± 5.6<sup>b,d</sup> | 98 ± 5.2<sup>b,d</sup> |
| Eccentric    | 735 ± 151 | 753 ± 139<sup>b</sup> | 761 ± 131<sup>b</sup> | 768 ± 135<sup>a,b,c</sup> | 88 ± 8.6 | 95 ± 5ab | 96 ± 4.6<sup>b,d</sup> | 99 ± 4.4<sup>b,d</sup> |
| Control      | 731 ± 162 | 730 ± 153<sup>†</sup> | 728 ± 150<sup>†</sup> | 738 ± 143<sup>†</sup> | 89 ± 6.5 | 88 ± 5.9<sup>†</sup> | 87 ± 8.7<sup>†</sup> | 89 ± 9.3<sup>†</sup> |

<sup>a</sup>Significant difference with pretest.
<sup>b</sup>Increase.
<sup>c</sup>Significant difference with the control group due to interaction.
<sup>d</sup>Significant difference with the control group.

ence between all contraction protocols and control conditions in all recovery periods (P < 0.05) (Table 2). The result of the Bonferroni post hoc test showed no significant difference between isometric contraction in the seven-minute posttest with the control conditions (P > 0.05) (Figure 2). The results also showed that at recovery times of immediate and three-minute posttest, most changes were observed in concentric contractions, but at the seven-minute posttest, most changes were observed in eccentric contraction (Table 2).

The results of this study indicate that isometric, concentric, and eccentric contractions with short-term recovery periods improved maximal torque performance. The results of this study are in line with the results by Buadry and Duchateau (2007) and Lima et al. (2014) regarding the effect of isometric and concentric contractions (14, 19).
However, no research was found to contradict our findings. Among the reasons that can be suggested for increasing both anaerobic power performance and maximum torque performance during immediate and three-minute recovery periods in isometric, concentric, and eccentric contractions are the advantages of the PAP warming method, which involves the use of a motor unit, phosphorylation of the regulatory light chain, and the change in the angle of force (10, 14, 20, 21). Warming-up with the PAP method can increase the force output while the amount of motor unit with sub-maximal contractions is continuously decreasing; therefore, the PAP method acts by reducing the threshold required to reactivate motor units (e.g., decreased transmitter error and/or increased phase after hyperpolarizing motor neurons) (22). In addition, the results obtained from eccentric contraction data can be attributed to the high intensity of the selected contraction because the best functional response can be observed during longer recovery (seven minutes) (22).

Overall, in the light of the findings of this study, it seems that isometric, concentric, and eccentric contractions with short intervals are helpful for pre-explosive recovery. Considering the specificity of the subsequent activity, it can be more efficient than warming alone. The eccentric contraction, due to the use of higher intensity, makes this phenomenon more effective in recovery periods, especially after three minutes. Therefore, it is proposed to use eccentric contractions with an intensity of more than 100% in the warm-up period to benefit more from the PAP phenomenon.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors of this article appreciate the cooperation of the subjects of this research who patiently helped the researcher in the research process.

**Footnotes**

**Authors’ Contribution:** All authors equally contributed to the writing and revision of this paper.
Conflict of Interests: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval: This research was approved by the Ethics Committee of Razi University. Subjects gave their written and oral consent.

Funding/Support: This study was supported in part by a grant provided by the Department of Sports Physiology, Faculty of Sport Science, Razi University, and by a teaching and research scholarship from the Department of Sports Physiology (Dr. Monazzami).

References

1. Sotiropoulos K, Smilios I, Christou M, Barzouka K, Spaias A, Douda H, et al. Effects of warm-up on vertical jump performance and muscle electrical activity using half-squats at low and moderate intensity. J Sports Sci Med. 2010;9(2):326-31. [PubMed: 24149703]. [PubMed Central: PMC3797272].

2. Bergmann J, Kramer A, Gruber M. Repetitive hops induce postactivation potentiation in triceps surae as well as an increase in the jump height of subsequent maximal drop jumps. Plos One. 2011;6(10). e77705. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0077705. [PubMed: 24147061]. [PubMed Central: PMC3795754].

3. Tillin NA, Bishop D. Factors modulating post-activation potentiation and its effect on performance of subsequent explosive activities. Sports Med. 2009;39(2):147-66. doi: 10.2165/00007256-200939020-00004. [PubMed: 19203135].

4. Bogdanis GC, Tsoukos A, Veligekas P, Tsolakis C, Terzis G. Effects of muscle action type with equal impulse of conditioning activity on postactivation potentiation. J Strength Cond Res. 2014;28(9):2521-8. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e3183092029. [PubMed: 24584048].

5. Koch AJ, O’Bryant HS, Stone ME, Sanborn K, Proulx C, Hruby J, et al. Effect of warm-up on the standing broad jump in trained and untrained men and women. J Strength Cond Res. 2003;17(4):710-4. doi: 10.1519/18445.1. [PubMed: 12741875].

6. Rixon KP, Lamont HS, Bember MG. Influence of type of muscle contraction on height and distance of jump. J Strength Cond Res. 2007;21(2):595-600. doi: 10.1519/R-18855.1. [PubMed: 17309308].

7. Tsolakis C, Bogdanis GC, Nikolau A, Zacharogiannis E. Influence of type of muscle contraction and gender on performance of upper and lower limb explosive performance in elite fencers. J Sports Sci Med. 2011;10(3):577-83. [PubMed: 24150636]. [PubMed Central: PMC379877].

8. Comyns TM, Harrison AJ, Hennessy JK, Jensen RL. The optimal complex training rest interval for athletes from anaerobic sports. J Strength Cond Res. 2005;20(3):471-6. doi: 10.1519/18445.1. [PubMed: 16937957].

9. Gilbert G, Lees A. Changes in the force development characteristics of muscle following repeated maximum force and power exercise. Ergonomics. 2005;48(13-14):1576-84. doi: 10.1080/0014013050001863. [PubMed: 16338721].

10. French DN, Kraemer WJ, Cooke CB. Changes in dynamic exercise performance following a sequence of preconditioning isometric muscle actions. J Strength Cond Res. 2003;17(4):678-85. doi: 10.1519/18445.1. [PubMed: 12741875].

11. Mangus BC, Takahashi M, Mercer JA, Holcomb WR, McWhorter JW, Sanchez R. Investigation of vertical jump performance after completing heavy squat exercises. J Strength Cond Res. 2006;20(3):597-600. doi: 10.1519/18445.1. [PubMed: 16937957].

12. Behn DG, Button DC, Barbour G, Butt JC, Young WB. Conflicting effects of fatigue and potentiation on voluntary force. J Strength Cond Res. 2004;18(2):365-72. doi: 10.1519/18445.1. [PubMed: 15149999].

13. Witmer CA, Davis SE, Moir GL. The acute effects of back squats on vertical jump performance in men and women. J Sports Sci Med. 2010;9(2):206-13. [PubMed: 24149687]. [PubMed Central: PMC379744].

14. Baudry S, Duchateau J. Postactivation potentiation in a human muscle: effect on the load-velocity relation of tetanic and voluntary shortening contractions. J Physiol (Paris). 2005;99(3):282-92. doi: 10.1016/j.jphysparis.2004.08.005. [PubMed: 15742222].

15. Zakas A, Doganis G, Galazoulas C, Vamvakoudis E. Effect of Acute Static Stretching Duration on Isokinetic Peak Torque in Pubescent Soccer Players. Pediatric Exercise Science. 2006;28(2):252-61. doi: 10.1033/pts.252.

16. Chaouachi A, Castagna C, Chitra M, Bruggelli M, Turki O, Galy O, et al. Effect of warm-ups involving static or dynamic stretching on agility, sprinting, and jumping performance in trained individuals. J Strength Cond Res. 2010;24(8):2001-11. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181aaeb81. [PubMed: 19855310].

17. Gourgoulis V, Aggeloussis N, Kaisimatis P, Pavromatis G, Garas A. Effect of a submaximal half-squats warm-up program on vertical jumping/ vertical jumping. J Strength Cond Res. 2003;17(2):224-30. doi: 10.1519/18445.1. [PubMed: 12741875].

18. Petisco C, Ramirez-Campillo R, Hernandez D, Gonzalez-Skok O, Nakamura FY, Sanchez-Sanchez J. Post-activation Potentiation: Effects of Different Conditioning Intensities on Measures of Physical Fitness in Male Young Professional Soccer Players. Front Psychol. 2019;10:1167. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01167. [PubMed: 32144704]. [PubMed Central: PMC6563413].

19. Lima LC, Oliveira FB, Oliveira TP, Assumpcao Cde O, Greco CC, Cardozo AC, et al. Postactivation potentiation biases maximal isometric strength assessment. Biomed Res Int. 2014;2014:126961. doi: 10.1515/2014-126961. [PubMed: 25131957]. [PubMed Central: PMC4123488].

20. de Oliveira J, Harley Crisp A, Reis Barbosa CG, de Souza e Silva A, Julio Baganha R, Verlengia R. Title: Effect of Postactivation Potentiation on Short Sprint Performance: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Asian Journal of Sports Medicine. 2017;In Press (In Press). doi: 10.5822/ajsm14566.

21. Szczesna D, Zhao J, Cassani D, Gherardi A, Massi S, Borocchi A. Effect of low-intensity warm-up on horizontal jump performance and core actions. J Strength Cond Res. 2009;23(10):2248-56. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181aeb81. [PubMed: 19855310].

22. Blazevich AJ, Babault N. Post-activation Potentiation Versus Post-activation Performance Enhancement in Humans: Historical Perspective, Underlying Mechanisms, and Current Issues. Front Physiol. 2019;10:1359. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2019.01359. [PubMed: 31736781]. [PubMed Central: PMC6838751].