MRI-guided vacuum-assisted breast biopsy: comparison with stereotactically guided and ultrasound-guided techniques

Thomas Imschweiler · Harald Haueisen · Gert Kampmann · Luzi Rageth · Burkhardt Seifert · Christoph Rageth · Bianka Freiwald · Rahel A. Kubik-Huch

Received: 11 April 2013 / Revised: 26 June 2013 / Accepted: 9 July 2013 / Published online: 27 August 2013 © The Author(s) 2013. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract

Objectives To analyse the development of MRI-guided vacuum-assisted biopsy (VAB) in Switzerland and to compare the procedure with stereotactically guided and ultrasound-guided VAB.

Methods We performed a retrospective analysis of VABs between 2009 and 2011. A total of 9,113 VABs were performed. Of these, 557 were MRI guided.

Results MRI-guided VAB showed the highest growth rate (97 %) of all three procedures. The technical success rates for MRI-guided, stereotactically guided and ultrasound-guided VAB were 98.4 % (548/557), 99.1 % (5,904/5,960) and 99.6 % (2,585/2,596), respectively. There were no significant differences (P = 0.12) between the MRI-guided and the stereotactically guided procedures. The technical success rate for ultrasound-guided VAB was significantly higher than that for MRI-guided VAB (P < 0.001). There were no complications using MRI-guided VAB requiring open surgery. The malignancy diagnosis rate for MRI-guided VAB was similar to that for stereotactically guided VAB (P = 0.35).

Conclusion MRI-guided VAB is a safe and accurate procedure that provides insight into clinical breast findings.

Key points

• Three vacuum-assisted breast biopsy (VAB) procedures were compared.
• Technical success rates were high for all three VAB procedures.
• Medical complications were relatively low using all three VAB procedures.
• The use of MRI-guided vacuum-assisted breast biopsy is growing.
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Introduction

Vacuum-assisted biopsy (VAB) devices came onto the market in the mid-1990s with the goal of eliminating the sampling difficulties associated with core needle biopsy. The larger tissue volume resulting from VAB facilitates removal of microcalcifications and is associated with less frequent under-diagnosis of malignancies [1, 2]. VAB is currently recommended for stereotactic and MRI-guided interventions [3, 4]. Ultrasound-guided VAB is not generally indicated, because the less expensive core biopsy procedure frequently achieves the same objective [5]. Ultrasound-guided VAB might be indicated as a therapeutic procedure for histology proven benign lesions in selected situations.

Stereotactically guided VABs have reduced the repeat-biopsy rate (9 % versus 14.9 %) and the percentage of unusable samples (0 % versus 9.5 %) [6]. VAB also leads to a higher
diagnostic yield and greater accuracy in MRI-guided procedures [4] and permits the retention of the needle in the breast during cylinder collection, resulting in a lower risk of needle dislocation in comparison to core needle biopsy. Another benefit of VAB is the possibility of direct aspiration of blood in the event of bleeding complications.

Several indications for MRI of the breast, including screening the high-risk population, have been recommended by the American College of Radiology (ACR) [7]. Because of the method’s high sensitivity, MRI can detect suspected breast malignancies that usually escape clinical, ultrasound and mammographic detection.

A result of the wide use of MRI in breast diagnostics is an increase in incidental findings. Because of the high sensitivity and lower specificity of breast MRI, it is imperative that these findings be histologically assessed before any surgical intervention [8–10]. MRI-guided VAB is indicated for MRI findings from patients in BI-RADS categories 4 and 5, as well as BI-RADS 3 in individual cases (e.g. for high-risk patients or after breast-conserving treatment) without correlating findings on mammography or ultrasound [11]. Depending on patient selection, the correlation rate of MRI findings with secondlook ultrasound ranges from 23 to 83 % [12–15]. In positive ultrasound correlation of the findings, ultrasound intervention is preferable to MRI-guided intervention because it is simpler, faster and more cost-effective than MRI. However, MRI-guided VAB is a safe, highly accurate method which rarely leads to complications [16].

In Switzerland, as a legal requirement stipulated by the Swiss Federal Office of Public Health and a prerequisite for reimbursement, all data of all minimally invasive breast biopsies (MIBB) have to be entered in a central database.

The purpose of our study was to analyse the development of MRI-guided VAB and to compare the procedure with stereotactically guided and ultrasound-guided VAB in Switzerland. The study also examined the technical success rate, the complication rates and the completeness and usability of histological results of MRI-guided VAB compared with the other two procedures.

Materials and methods

Origin of the data

Data from all 53 MIBB centres in Switzerland were collected by the image-guided MIBB Working Group of the Swiss Society of Senology (SGS) [5], on behalf of the Swiss Federal Office of Public Health (Bundesamt für Gesundheit [BAG]). According to the quality guidelines of the MIBB group, the operator for VAB procedures must be a specialist in radiology, gynaecology, obstetrics or surgery. Before being allowed to operate independently, the operator must complete a workshop with successful interventions on a phantom and five supervised interventions when the method is established at their institute or 20 interventions under supervision when the method is newly introduced at their institution. For maintenance of qualifications, each operator must perform at least 12 interventions per year, with a minimum of 20 interventions per institution per year. Using an 11-G needle or bigger depending of the size of the lesion at least 12 samples should be taken [5].

Adjumed Services AG (Zurich, Switzerland) provides an online questionnaire (www.mibb.ch) that has to be completed by the institutions. Accreditation as an MIBB operator and complete data collection are prerequisites for reimbursement by the health insurance companies. Patients were informed before a vacuum biopsy that the collected data would be made available in anonymised form for further data analysis and gave written informed consent [17]. The identities of patients, surgeons and institutions could not be ascertained. The responsible ethics committee approved this data-sharing plan.

Raw data processing

This study was based on 9,113 records of VABs of the breast with biopsies performed in Switzerland between 2009 and 2011. The full data set contains 9,153 records and will be used in a second study focusing on histopathological B3 lesions. In this study we excluded 40 records that lacked information regarding the biopsy method. All mammographically guided biopsies performed using either a stereotactic table with the patient in a prone position (n = 5,340) or in an “upright” device with the patient in a seated position (n = 564) were included in the stereotactically guided VAB method group. A distinction between these two procedural variations was maintained only for the analysis of complications and the chronological development of the biopsy methods. During the analysis of the indications, complications and histological results, multiple answers were converted as often as possible into single answers.

With regard to multiple answers for histological diagnoses, the degree of malignancy was arranged in descending order and the most degenerate diagnosis was retained.

A positive family history was recorded when a patient’s mother, sister or daughter (1st degree of kinship) had a positive history of breast carcinoma.

If there was no information or unclear information provided for the individually analysed data types, this was interpreted as a missing answer and the data were excluded from the respective analysis.

Implementation of image-guided VAB

There are many reports in the literature relating to the technical implementation of image-guided VAB [2, 18]. Figure 1
shows images of MRI-guided vacuum biopsies from a patient who had negative second-look ultrasound.

Histological evaluation

Tissue sample preparation was carried out according to the quality standards of the Swiss Society of Pathology (Schweizer Gesellschaft für Pathologie (SGPath)) [19].

Statistical methods

Categorical variables of the groups were compared using the chi-squared test. IBM SPSS Statistics version 20 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analyses. Values of $P < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant. The Bonferroni correction was used when single VAB methods were compared. Because MRI-guided VAB was compared with the other two VAB methods (stereotactically guided and ultrasound-guided VAB), a $P$ value of less than 0.025 was considered statistically significant. In the analysis of the complication rates, the three VAB methods (using a stereotactic table, an upright device and ultrasound) were compared to the MRI-guided VAB method ($P < 0.017$ was considered statistically significant). Sensitivity, specificity, negative (NPV) and positive (PPV) predictive values were presented as percentages with 95% Wilson confidence intervals (CI).

Results

VAB developments in Switzerland

Of the 9,113 VABs, 557 (6%) were performed using MRI guidance, 5,391 (59%) using stereotactic guidance (by means of a dedicated stereotactic table), 569 (6%) using a stereotactic upright device and 2,596 (28%) using ultrasound guidance. Developments in the use of VAB techniques are shown in Fig. 2.

VAB technical success rates

Seventy six VABs were unsuccessful because of no histology in 69 (MRI 0.9% [5/557], stereotactically 0.9% [53/5,960], ultrasound 0.4% [11/2,596]) and no collection of biopsy
material was possible in 7 cases (MRI 0.7 % [4/557], stereotactic 0.05 % [3/5,960], ultrasound 0 % [0/2,596]) leading to an overall success rate of 99.2 % (9,037/9,113). The technical success rate for MRI-guided VAB was 98.4 % (548/557), 99.1 % for stereotactically guided VAB (5,904/5,960) and 99.6 % (2,585/2,596) for ultrasound-guided VAB. There was no significant difference (P = 0.12) in the technical success rates between the MRI-guided procedures and the stereotactic procedures. As expected this rate for ultrasound guidance, with its benefits of real-time imaging, was significantly higher than that for MRI (P < 0.001). The 9,037 successfully implemented VABs formed the basis for further analyses.

Family and carcinoma history

A family history of breast cancer was positive in 2,014 (22 %) cases (Table 1). There was no significant difference in positive family history between the MRI-guided and stereotactically guided VAB procedures (P = 0.23). The occurrence of a positive family history was more frequent in patients who underwent
A positive personal history of breast carcinoma was present in a total of 1,227/9,037 (14 %) of the biopsy cases (Table 1). The MRI-guided procedure was used in a significantly higher proportion of these patients than the stereotactically guided \((P<0.001)\) or ultrasound-guided \((P<0.001)\) procedures.

**Indications**

No specific indication was listed in 107/9,037 (1 %) of the cases; this was true in 18/548 of the MRI-guided VAB cases, in 8/5,904 of the stereotactically guided VAB cases and in 81/2,585 of the ultrasound-guided VAB cases.

Seventy nine per cent \((421/530)\) of the findings clarified using MRI-guided VAB were detected by MRI only. The rationale for the intervention was based purely on mammographic findings in 94 % \((5,527/5,896)\) of the stereotactically guided VAB cases and purely on ultrasound findings in 69 % \((1,718/2,504)\) of the ultrasound-guided VAB cases.

**Clip insertion**

In MRI-guided VAB a clip marker was used in 84 % of cases \((460/548)\), in stereotactically guided VAB in 86 % of cases \((5,091/5,904)\) and in ultrasound-guided VAB in 23 % \((603/2,585)\) of cases. There was no significant difference in clip marker usage between the MRI-guided and stereotactically guided VAB procedures, but a significantly higher number of clip markers were used in MRI-guided procedures than in ultrasound-guided procedures \((P<0.001)\).

**Complications**

The complication rate of MRI-guided VAB was compared to VAB using stereotaxis table, upright device and ultrasound.

Complications were divided into medical (haemorrhages with or without open revision and infection) and non-medical (technical complications, missed lesions and lesion inaccessibility). No specific complications were reported in 52/9,037 of cases. Terminated intervention was reported in 19/9,037 cases without stating the medical or technical grounds on which the decision was based.

In total, 8,966 records were available for evaluation of the complication rate, and complications were recorded in 6 % \((511/8,966)\). The distribution of the complications is shown in Table 2.

The overall complication rates for the VAB procedure using a stereotaxis table \((P=0.08)\) or using the upright device \((P=0.02)\) were not significantly different from that for the MRI-guided procedure. The overall complication rate for ultrasound-guided VAB was significantly lower \((P<0.001)\) relative to that for MRI-guided VAB.

There was no case of bleeding requiring surgical intervention by MRI-guided VAB.

**Histological evaluation**

Information on the histological assessment of the vacuum biopsy cylinders was unavailable in 54/9,037 cases. For the entire study population, the material obtained was specified by the pathologist as representative in 97.6 %: samples from MRI-guided, stereotactically guided and ultrasound-guided VABs were representative in 96.6 % \((512/530)\), 97.3 % \((5,724/5,884)\) and 98.5 % \((2,530/2,569)\) of cases, respectively.

Because the histological findings for the VABs were specified as “other” in 175/9,037 (2 %) of cases, a distinction between malignant, “high-risk” or benign histology was impossible and these data were excluded from the malignancy rate analysis.

For the entire study population, VAB revealed malignant lesions in 1,853/8,862 cases (21 %; 37 % invasive carcinoma and 63 % DCIS), benign lesions in 5,524/8,862 cases (62 %) and high-risk lesions in 1,485/8,862 cases (17 %) (Table 3).

The rate of malignancy in stereotactically guided VABs was not significantly different from that for MRI-guided VAB \((P = 0.35)\), but the malignancy rate for the MRI-guided procedure was significantly higher than that for the ultrasound-guided procedure \((P=0.001)\).

**Histology of subsequent surgical excisions**

Of the 8,862 VAB samples with known histological results, a total of 2,434 (27 %) lesions were further clarified by means of subsequent surgery and histological analysis of the tissue samples obtained. No histological data from the surgery were available in 131 cases. Ninety per cent \((1,660/1,853)\) of the malignant lesions, 5 % \((255/5,524)\) of the benign lesions and 35 % \((519/1,485)\) of the high-risk or B3 lesions were surgically excised.

The rates of upgrade to malignant disease after histological analysis of open surgical excision samples for the various image-guided VAB procedures are listed in Table 4. The number of false negatives was 3 %, 1 % and 0.4 % for the MRI-guided, stereotactically guided and ultrasound-guided VAB procedures, respectively. Benign and high-risk lesions

---

**Table 1** Patient carcinoma history

| History  | MRI | Stereotactic | Ultrasound |
|----------|-----|--------------|------------|
| Family   | 26 % (144/548) | 24 % (1,416/5,904) | 18 % (454/2,585) |
| Personal | 41 % (227/548) | 13 % (771/5,904) | 9 % (229/2,585) |
were upgraded at a significantly higher rate after open surgical excision for the MRI-guided procedure than was the case for the stereotactically guided ($P<0.001$) and the ultrasound-guided ($P<0.001$) procedures.

Surgical specimens of the 1,853 malignant lesions no longer revealed malignancy in 115 cases (6 %) post-VAB; full removal of the lesion during VAB was thus assumed. Absence of malignancy in the surgical specimens occurred in 3 % (4/137) of cases after MRI-guided VAB, in 7 % (99/1,408) after stereotactically guided VAB and in 4 % (12/308) after ultrasound-guided VAB.

Sensitivity of VAB

In the calculation of the sensitivity and specificity, as well as the positive and negative predictive values (Table 5), the assumption of complete removal of the lesion during VAB in the event of missing evidence of malignancy in the surgical specimen was not considered.

### Table 3 Malignancy rate by biopsy technique

| Complications | MRI (n = 544) | Stereotactic (n=5,308) | Ultrasound (n=2,570) |
|---------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Overall       | 44 (8 %)      | 328 (6 %)              | 26 (5 %)             |
| Total medical | 38 (7 %)      | 252 (4.7 %)            | 20 (3.7 %)           |
| Haemorrhage without open revision | 38 (7 %) | 245 (4.6 %) | 19 (3.5 %) |
| Haemorrhage with open revision | 0 (0 %) | 5 (0.1 %) | 1 (0.2 %) |
| Infection     | 0 (0 %)       | 2 (0.04 %)             | 0 (0 %)              |
| Total non-medical | 5 (1 %) | 70 (1.3 %) | 4 (0.7 %) |
| Technical complications | 3 (0.6 %) | 33 (0.6 %) | 2 (0.4 %) |
| Lesion missed | 2 (0.4 %)     | 30 (0.6 %)             | 2 (0.4 %)            |
| Inaccessible lesions | 0 (0 %) | 7 (0.1 %) | 0 (0 %) |
| Combined (medical & non-medical) | 1 (0.2 %) | 6 (0.1 %) | 2 (0.2 %) |

### Discussion

To our knowledge, the present study is the largest comparative study involving VABs with MRI, stereotactic and ultrasound guidance to date. We have shown that in Switzerland throughout the study period the number of MRI-guided VABs rose significantly by 97 %, whereas the number of the other two image-guided VAB techniques performed remained mostly constant. The increase in the number of MRI-guided vacuum biopsies is not due to any decrease in ultrasound-guided biopsies. An “MRI only” finding was the indication for MRI-guided biopsy in 79 % of the cases and an “ultrasound only” finding was the indication for 69 % of ultrasound-guided biopsies. The increase in MRI-guided VABs can be explained by the increasing use of MRI in breast diagnostics, and the resultant increasing number of findings requiring clarification.

The technical success rate for MRI-guided VAB was slightly lower than that for the stereotactically guided and ultrasound-guided procedures, most likely because more complex technical requirements and longer intervention periods...
Table 4  Rate of upgrade to malignancy after open surgical excision for the three image-guided VAB procedures based on histological analysis of the VAB samples

| Upgrade rate | VAB procedure | MRI | Stereotactic | Ultrasound |
|--------------|---------------|-----|--------------|------------|
| Total        |               | 28/390 (7 %) | 108/4,414 (2 %) | 12/2,205 (1 %) |
| Benign       |               | 8/283 (3 %)  | 22/3,407 (1 %)  | 8 (0.4 %)   |
| High-risk/B3 |               | 20/107 (19 %) | 86/1,007 (9 %)  | 5/371 (0.2 %) |

are involved in the use of MRI-guided VAB. The technical success rates for image-guided VABs were comparable with or superior to those previously reported [13, 20–29].

There is a lack of significant difference in family histories between the MRI-guided and stereotactically guided procedures. We would have expected a higher percentage of patients with positive family history for the MRI-guided procedure. However, the lack of difference is probably explained by the fact that MRI, although recommended by the ACR guidelines, has not yet been established in Switzerland as the screening method for high-risk population [7].

Because the indication for diagnostic MRI includes screening for contralateral extension in newly diagnosed breast cancer, determination of the spread of the disease or follow-up examinations [7], patients undergoing MRI-guided biopsy have a higher rate of previous breast cancer.

The MRI-guided VAB shows, despite higher technical requirements, a comparable complication rate compared to VAB under stereotactic guidance. The majority of complications of MRI-guided VAB were haemorrhages, none requiring surgical intervention. Therefore it is a safe procedure.

The total complication rate of MRI-guided VAB lies within the published range of complication rates (2–14 %) [16, 23, 26–28, 30].

No significant difference in the rates of diagnosis of malignancy between MRI-guided and stereotactically guided procedures was found. The rate of diagnosis of malignancy using ultrasound-guided VAB was significantly lower because of the lack of distinction between diagnostic and therapeutic ultrasound-guided VABs and the generally lower pretest likelihood of malignancy of the BI-RADS 3 lesions usually selected for ultrasound-guided VAB. The rate of malignancy detection achieved using MRI-guided VAB corresponds with those (22–33 %) previously reported [4, 22, 28, 30–32].

The upgrade rate of histologically benign and high-risk lesions to malignancies for the MRI-guided VAB [31] was significantly higher than that for the stereotactically guided group. This leads to a lower sensitivity and NPV of the MRI-guided VAB in comparison to the stereotactically guided procedure, whereby the MRI-guided VAB shows a higher specificity and PPV. Both the specificity and PPV of MRI-guided VAB have been reported to be 100 % [20, 27]. These parameters had lower values in the current study because although complete removal of the lesion by VAB prior surgical excision might be an explanation in the event of missing proof of malignancy in the final specimen, we did not include this option in the calculation. The sensitivity of MRI-guided VAB has been reported to be 92.3–93 % and the NPV 93–96.7 % [20, 27]. It was not possible to verify whether the biopsied lesion correlated with the excised lesion in all cases. Although in most cases (84 %) of MRI-guided VAB the biopsy site was marked by a clip and we expect that the clip was part of the resected tissue, it is conceivable that the histology of the surgical specimen, e.g. multifocal lesions, did not relate to the same lesion as the histology of the VAB specimen; thus, in this respect the analysis is limited. Therefore, especially in MRI-guided VAB, it is necessary to mark the biopsy site for surgical correlation or follow-up with a clip and, in cases of benign results and mismatch with the imaging findings, to discuss the possibility of a repeat biopsy.

Another limiting factor of our study was that the data were recorded by the individual MIBB centres in Switzerland, making errors in data input conceivable. On the other hand, because of the large number of participating centres, a very large amount of data was available for analysis, providing an advantage over other studies with considerably smaller case numbers.

In summary, MRI-guided VAB is a safe, specific and widely accepted, growing procedure. As a result of a slightly lower sensitivity, in cases with benign histology a re-evaluation and potentially a repeat biopsy should be discussed if findings persist or if there is a mismatch between histology and imaging.

Table 5  Sensitivity by biopsy technique

|            | MRI                  | Stereotactic          | Ultrasound            |
|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Sensitivity| 79.7 % (95 % CI 72.2–85.6 %) | 91.5 % (95 % CI 89.9–93 %) | 95.7 % (95 % CI 92.6–97.5 %) |
| Specificity| 92.2 % (95 % CI 81.5–96.9 %) | 81.9 % (95 % CI 78.4–84.9 %) | 91.7 % (95 % CI 80–95.2 %) |
| PPV        | 96.5 % (95 % CI 91.3–98.6 %) | 92.2 % (95 % CI 90.6–93.6 %) | 95.7 % (95 % CI 92.6–97.5 %) |
| NPV        | 62.7 % (95 % CI 51.4–72.7 %) | 80.5 % (95 % CI 77–83.6 %) | 91.7 % (95 % CI 80–95.2 %) |
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