I. INTRODUCTION

The tremendous interests toward two dimensional (2D) materials was initiated by the graphene exceptions. Other famous 2D materials include Xenes (X=Si, Ge, Sn, P, B, and so on) and transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) which has shown outstanding physical features to design advanced nanodevices. Particularly, the electronic bands of graphene yield Dirac cones in the vicinity of Fermi level, resulting in high carrier mobilities and other exceptional physical/chemical features. However, semimetallic form of graphene has limited functionality in the semiconductor technology. In view of this, the effort of searching stable free-standing atomic layers of semiconducting materials continuing to look for a new category of 2D material class.

Very recently, bismuth-based 2D materials have attracted remarkable interest due to their unique properties. Bi shows very interesting features highly appealing for energy related applications. In fact, the electronic features of Bi-based nanomembranes can be easily modified via introduction of distinct anions and cations into the layered structure and the band gap can be tuned from 0.3 eV (near infrared absorption range) to 3.6 eV (ultraviolet absorption range). Moreover, Bi-based nanosheets belong to the anisotropic p and s-p hybridization which induces remarkably dispersed electronic structure. Feng et al. summarized reports on the different strategies for using as the highly efficient visible-light photocatalysts by modifying electronic band structure with anisotropic p and s-p hybridization states. They showed that highly dispersed electronic structure of Bi-layered components not only decreases the effective mass of photoexcited charge carriers and consequently enhance mobility, but also improve the charge separation and transmission efficiency in photocexcitation process, highly desirable for the employment in solar cells, thermoelectric and optoelectronic energy conversion devices. Thirdly, Bi-layered materials allow foreign ions to intercalate and to form multicomponent stable compounds without significant structural deformation due to their stable skeleton structure and a large interlayer space.

The another family members of 2D materials are binary compounds of a group IV element (Si,Ge,Sn) and group-III monochalcogenides with a representative chemical formula of MX (M=B, Ga, Al, In and X=O, S, Se, Te) which have honeycomb lattice and that effectively consists of covalently bonded atomic planes are held together by van der Waals interactions as well as in TMDs have been extensively studied for decades due to their outstanding properties, such as remarkably high carrier mobility, p-type electronic response, sombrero-shape valence band edges, etc. To date, various MX systems such as InSe, GaS, GaSe, and GaTe have been experimentally realized and theoretical studies have reported a stable class of single-layer group IV monochalcogenides (YX, Y= Si, Ge, Sn and X= S, Se, and Te) that are semiconductors with wide band gaps. Due to above reason, we have selected silicon (Si), germanium (Ge), and tin (Sn) as chalcogen. It should be noted that anode materials based on the on Si, Ge, Sn show outstandingly high specific storage capacities of 4200, 1625, and 994 mAh g$^{-1}$, respectively, very appealing for the design of more efficient rechargeable batteries.

Motivated with the recent realization of 2D MX single-
layers and their novel properties, we here study the vibrational, mechanical, electronic and thermal transport properties of XBi (X= Si, Ge, and Sn) single-layers by utilizing first principles for the first time. Our results improve the understanding on the importance of the chemical composition and structural configuration of XBi (X= Si, Ge, and Sn) nanosheets and may hopefully guide experimental studies.

II. METHOD

We conducted density-functional theory (DFT) simulations using the projector augmented wave (PAW) and generalized gradient approximation (GGA) proposed by the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) form\textsuperscript{35,36} employing Vienna \textit{ab-initio} Simulation Package (VASP). The vDW dispersion correction was applied via the DFT-D\textsuperscript{2} method of Grimme.\textsuperscript{39} Spin-orbit-coupling (SOC) was taken into account for the electronic-band structure calculations. A kinetic energy cut-off of 600 eV was considered in DFT calculations for the plane-waves. The hybrid functionals (HSE\textsuperscript{06})\textsuperscript{41} with (SOC) were also taken into account as called HSE+SOC. The Brillouin zone sampled by using a Γ-centered 16×16×1 k-point mesh for the unit cell. The geometries were optimized until the energy difference between two following steps were less than 10^{-5} eV, and maximum force on atoms was smaller than 10^{-3} eV Å\textsuperscript{-1}. A ∼20 Å vacuum was also applied along the sheet’s normal direction to avoid the inaccuracies due to the interactions with monolayer images. The charge transfers are evaluated by the decomposition of charge density on the basis of Bader charge method.\textsuperscript{42} The vibrational properties and the phonon dispersion relations were acquired via the small-displacement method using the PHONOPY code.\textsuperscript{43} Ab-initio molecular dynamics (AIMD) simulations were also carried out to examine the thermal stability of XBi single-layers by using 4×4×1 super cell at room temperature (300 K) with total simulation time of 6 ps with 2 fs time steps.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Structure and Stability

The atomic structure of XBi single-layers consists of four three-coordinated X (Si, Ge and Sn) and four-fold coordinated Bi atoms in a hexagonal unit cell containing four atoms, as shown in Fig. 1(a). In the single-layer structure 2-X layers are sandwiched between Bi-layers in the Bi-X-X-Bi order. The optimized lattice constants, \(a = b\), are calculated to be 4.09, 4.15, and 4.35 Å, for SiBi, GeBi, and SnBi, respectively, which are slightly larger than those of Ga-monochalcogenides (3.58 and 3.75 Å for single-layers of GaS and GaSe structures, respectively). The bond lengths of \(d_1\) (X-Bi) are found to be 2.69 Å (Si-Bi), 2.74 Å (Ge-Bi) and 2.90 Å (Sn-Bi), while the \(d_2\) (X-X) are slightly smaller (2.31 Å (Si-Si), 2.43 Å (Ge-Ge) and 2.80 Å (Sn-Sn)). The charge density difference of XBi (X=Si, Ge, Sn) single-layers is shown in Fig. 1(a) in the same panel, in which yellow and blue color coding represent the charge depletion and accumulation, respectively. It is clear that Bi atoms are charged negatively and surrounded by X (Si, Ge and Sn) atoms that are positively charged, which reveal the charge transfer from X atoms to the connecting Bi atom. The difference charge density (\(\Delta \rho\)) is defined as:

\[
\Delta \rho = \rho_{XBi} - \rho_X - \rho_{Bi}
\]  

where \(\rho_{XBi}, \rho_X\) and \(\rho_{Bi}\) represents the charge densities of the XBi and isolated atoms, respectively. Notice that each Bi atom gains about 0.04, 0.17 and 0.20 e from the adjacent Si, Ge and Sn atom in the SiBi, GeBi and SnBi single-layers, respectively. The charge redistribution is due to the different electro-negativities of 1.9 (Si), 2 (Ge), 1.96 (Sn) and 2.02 (Bi). The structural and electronic
Figure 2. (Color online) AIMD simulation of (a) SiBi, (b) GeBi and (c) SnBi single-layers. The snap shut of optimized structures are indicated in the inset.

parameters of the XBi (X=Si, Ge, Sn) single-layers are listed in Table I. In order to calculate the cohesive energy $E_{coh}$, the used expression as follows:

$$E_{coh} = \frac{2E_X - 2E_{Bi} - E_{tot}}{n_{tot}}$$  (2)

where $E_X$ and $E_{Bi}$ represent the energies of isolated single X (Si, Ge and Sn) and Bi atoms, $n_{tot}$ is the total number of unit cell, respectively; $E_{tot}$ represents the total energy of the XBi single-layer. The cohesive energy of SiBi, GeBi and SnBi, are found to be 4.65, 4.32 and 4.06 eV/atom, respectively. As a matter of fact, the more negative values for cohesive energies confirm the more stability and thus the stability is higher for the lattices with a lighter X atom. We further analyze the formation energy of the predicted structures using the formula below:

$$E_{for} = E\text{bulk}(XBi)/layer - E_X^{bulk} - E_{Bi}^{bulk}$$  (3)

where $E\text{bulk}(XBi)$, $E_X^{bulk}$, and $E_{Bi}^{bulk}$ represent the total energies of bulk form of XBi structure and that of the individual atoms. The calculated formation energies are 0.35, 0.54, and 0.59 eV for GeBi, SiBi, and SnBi single-layers, respectively. The positive formation energies indicate the chemical instability of the predicted structures as if they are formed from the bulk XBi structures. In contrast, these results suggest the formation single-layer XBi from the isolated X and Bi atoms.

The dynamical stability of XBi monolayers is investigated by calculating their phonon band dispersions through the whole BZ which are presented in Figs. 1(b). Apparently, phonon branches are free from any imaginary frequencies indicating the dynamical stability of the structures. Similar to the case of single-layer InSe, which has the same symmetry and crystal structure with those of XBi, XBi single-layers exhibit three acoustic and nine optical phonon branches. Among nine of the optical branches, three of them are found to be non-degenerate out-of-plane vibrational modes while the remaining six are three different doubly-degenerate phonon modes. The calculated Raman spectra reveal that each single-layer structure exhibits three prominent Raman active modes which are described as follows: The highest frequency Raman active phonon mode has out-of-plane vibrational character. This mode is assigned to the opposite out-of-plane vibration of X-layers and Bi-layers, respectively. The frequencies of the mode are calculated to be 207, 291, and 496 cm$^{-1}$ for single-layers SnBi, GeBi, and SiBi, respectively. In addition, the most prominent Raman active phonon mode is found to exhibit in-plane vibrational behavior. In this doubly-degenerate phonon mode, the X and Bi atoms vibrate out-of-phase in the in-plane directions that can be interpreted as the shear-like motion of X and Bi layers. The frequencies are found to be 146, 186, and 283 cm$^{-1}$ for SnBi, GeBi, and SiBi, respectively. Moreover, each structure exhibits also an out-of-plane Raman active phonon mode which has relatively smaller intensity and frequency. The mode is assigned to the out-of-plane breathing-like vibration of top and bottom X-Bi sublayers. Its frequency is found to be 71, 82, and 89 cm$^{-1}$ for SnBi, GeBi, and SiBi, respectively.

In addition, the thermal stability are examined by performing ab-initio molecular dynamics (AIMD) simula-

Figure 3. Energy landscapes for the (a) SiBi, (b) GeBi and (c) SnBi single-layers.
Table I. The calculated values for the optimized XBi single-layers: lattice constants \(a\), bond distances (the bond length between X-Bi atoms \(d_{X-Bi}\) and X-X atoms \(d_{X-X}\), where X=Si, Ge and Sn), bond angles between Bi-X-Bi atoms \(\theta_1\) and Bi-X-X \(\theta_2\), cohesive energy, charge differences (according to Bader analysis), electronic states \((ES)\) are specified as metal (M) semiconductor (SC) and band gap energy \((PBE / PBE+SOC / HSE+SOC)\)

|       | \(a\)   | \(d_{X-Bi}\) | \(d_{X-X}\) | \(\Delta z\) | \(\theta_1\) | \(\theta_2\) | \(E_{coh}\) | \(\Delta Q\) | \(ES\)     | \(E_g\)   |
|-------|---------|---------------|--------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| SiBi  | 4.09    | 2.69          | 2.31         | 4.89        | 98.94      | 118.63     | 4.65        | 0.04        | SC (0.71/0.25/0.65) |           |
| GeBi  | 4.15    | 2.74          | 2.43         | 5.08        | 98.65      | 118.86     | 4.32        | 0.17        | M (0.38/0/0)             |           |
| SnBi  | 4.35    | 2.90          | 2.80         | 5.71        | 97.03      | 120.11     | 4.06        | 0.20        | M (0.30/0/0)             |           |

Figure 4. (a) Intensity map of XBi (X=Si, Ge, Sn) single-layers with corresponding electronic band structure which overlayed by green line and (b) orbital resolved band structure with HSE+SOC of XBi single-layers.

tions using NVT ensemble with fixed particle number, volume and temperature. For the AIMD simulations, 32-atom supercell is used for each single-layer with a \(k\)-mesh of \(4 \times 4 \times 1\). The dynamical investigations are started with the optimized structure of XBi single-layers at 0 K and discussed in Structure ans stabiliy of XBi single-layers section. We have further extended our calculations to the thermal stability at room temperature. During the simulations, temperature is kept at 300 K, the fluctuations of total energy and evolutions of XBi atomic structures during the simulations are shown in Figs. 2(a-c). The time step was set to 2 fs and, to reach a total simulation time of 6 ps, 1000 steps were realized three times. Due to the large size of the cell, all the calculations were performed with each 2 ps. The structure snapshots are taken at the end of the each simulation in every 2 ps. As can be seen in Fig. 2, none of the single-layers undergo structural reconstruction even around 300 K indicating the thermal stability of each single-layer. The variation of total energy per atom is 1 eV which is in the acceptable range similar to many studies in the literature. In addition, as the X atoms changes from Si to Sn, the single-layer structure displays in-plane buckling with increasing temperature due to different X-Bi and Bi-Bi bond formations. It can be concluded that XBi single-layers exhibit thermal stability around the room temperature.

Another analysis to test the stability of the predicted material is the investigation of the mechanical properties. For this purpose, we firstly change the unitcells of the XBi structures to the rectangular cell and applied sequential uniaxial strains. The applied maximum strain ratio is \(\pm 2\%\) of the relevant lattice parameter of the rectangular cells. Obtained energies versus lattice parameters (energy landscape) are given in Figs. 3(a-c). To calculate the in-plane stiffness \(C_{x,y}\) we used \(C_{x,y}=(1/A)\partial^2E_T/\partial c_{x,y}^2\) and to find Poisson’s ratio we used \(\nu_{x,y}=\epsilon_y/\epsilon_x\) equa-
In order to estimate the thermoelectric properties of the XBi single-layers, the semiclassical Boltzmann transport theory (BTT) within the constant relaxation time approximation and the rigid band approach as implemented in the BoltzTraP2 code was used. By using this package and based on the electronic structure, the Seebeck coefficient \( S \), electrical conductivity \( \sigma \) and power factor \( S^2\sigma \) are all calculated. Obtained results are given with respect to relaxation time \( \tau \), and it depends on the material properties, however, in this study the relaxation time is fixed to 10 fs \( (\tau = 10^{14}s) \) as taken by many studies. As seen in Fig. 5, we calculated \( S \), \( \sigma \) and \( S^2\sigma \) for various temperatures from 250K to 500K for every 50K steps using the BoltzTraP2 code. It should be noted, only we give the maximum value of the Seebeck coefficient and corresponding \( S^2\sigma \) value for the selected temperatures. For bare XBi single-layers, we obtained a high Seebeck coefficient and the calculated largest \( S \) value is for SnBi single-layer for all considered temperatures while the smallest \( S \) value is for SiBi single-layer. With the increasing of the temperature from 250K to 500K the \( S \) values of the XBi single-layers decrease more than half of the initial values. Electrical conductivity of XBi single-layers start to increase after 400K and the \( \sigma \) of SiBi suddenly get a high value after 450K. The efficiency of thermoelectric materials is given by the dimensionless figure of merit\( ZT = S^2\sigma T/\kappa \), where \( T \) is the absolute temperature and \( \kappa \) is the thermal conductivity, which is the sum of contributions from electron \( (\kappa_e) \) and lattice \( (\kappa_l) \) parts. High \( ZT \) can obtain by a high power factor and a low \( \kappa \) value. For this purpose we calculated the power factor of the XBi structures. As can be seen in the right part of the Fig. 5 curve of the \( S^2\sigma \) is similar to the \( \sigma \) curve due to dominant increasing of the \( \sigma \). We note that the calculated \( \kappa_e \) values are very low as needed for high \( ZT \). Notably, in order to find an exact value for the \( ZT \), the lattice thermal conductivity should be determined by the behavior of phonon transport in the XBi single-layers. Moreover, in terms of the electronic features, in the case of dopings, either \( p^- \) or \( n^- \) type, semiconducting nature of single-layer SiBi will be affected in terms of the Fermi energy level. It can be expected that the doping amount either increase or decrease the thermoelectric power up to certain value of doping amount. Therefore, the effect doping on the thermoelectric properties can also be taken into account.

Scattering region between the acoustic and optical phonon branches is important to determine the lattice thermal conductivity, large scattering region will lower the heat flux, and thus result in lower contribution of the thermal conductivity from phonons. As can be seen from the phonon structures of the XBi single-layers (see Fig. 1(b)), frequency of the optical modes reduce while going from SiBi to SnBi and lowest optical branches of the XBi structures get closer to the highest acoustic branches which results in the narrower scattering region.
The largest scattering region of the SnBi may give the lowest $\kappa_l$ value and it has relatively high $ZT$ value than other XBi single-layers.

D. Substrate Effect

As it is known, in order to synthesize a single-layer structure, a suitable substrate is of importance. There are two most important restrictions which should be considered. First one is the lattice mismatch ratio between the substrate and the material to be synthesized, second is the interaction between the two materials that should be very weak as vdW binding to avoid the structural reconstructions on the single-layer structure. By considering these two condition we try to find suitable substrates for XBi single-layers. For this purpose we focused on the bulk materials which have similar atomic structure with XBi materials. The calculated lattice parameters are $a=b=4.09$ Å and $c=16.67$ Å for bulk GaTe, $a=b=4.01$ Å and $c=16.92$ Å for bulk InSe and $a=b=4.25$ Å and $c=17.69$ Å for bulk InTe. If we cut the bulk materials from the (001) plane, the lattice mismatch ratio between the unitcells of the bulk and XBi materials becomes less than 2% which is acceptable ratio to growth XBi on these selected bulk materials. According to the lattice mismatch, GeBi placed on (001)GaTe, SiBi placed on both of (001)GaTe and (001)InSe and SnBi placed on (001)InTe substrates. Each XBi single-layers placed initially 3Å above on the selected substrates and to avoid the computational cost three layers are selected for the substrates and all atoms released to geometric optimization. To determine the favorable stacking orientation we considered two stacking types as illustrated in Fig. 6. The optimized structures revealed that AB stacking type is energetically approximately 0.20 eV more favorable than AA stacking order for the all structures. The calculated inter-layer energy between XBi and bulk materials for AB stacking types are -0.400 eV for GeBi@GaTe, -0.351 eV for SiBi@GaTe and -0.289 eV for SiBi@InSe and -0.409 for SnBi@InTe. These inter-layer energies indicate that the interaction between XBi and substrates can consider as vdW bonding, these results are verified by the Bader charge analysis, calculated charge transfer between the layers are in the range of 0.04-0.07 e$^-$.

At the end of the optimization the normal distance in z-axis ($d$) between XBi and substrates are in the range of 3.10-3.40 Å. These results show that obtained properties for XBi single-layers will not effect much from these selected substrates due to physisorption of XBi single-layers and almost no net charge transfer between the XBi and substrates. In addition, the single-layer on top of the substrates are relaxed at 400 K for 4 ps with $ab$-initio molecular dynamics simulations and it is shown that the single-layers do not go under structural deformations. So, based on these results we can conclude that our predictive results of free-standing XBi outlined in the previous sections can be useful for experimentalists who attempts to synthesize these materials.
was shown that either with their small lattice mismatch, and InSe, and InTe are shown to be potential substrates for experimental realizations of single-layer XBi structures. Overall, it was shown that single-layer XBi structures can be alternative, stable 2D single-layers with their varying electronic and thermoelectric properties.
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