Primiparous women's knowledge and satisfaction based on their attendance at childbirth preparation classes
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Abstract
Aim: To compare primiparous women's childbirth knowledge and their satisfaction with the childbirth preparation classes between regular and irregular attenders.

Design: A cross-sectional study.

Method: This study was conducted on 136 primiparous pregnant women attending health centres in Tabriz, Iran. Women's knowledge on childbirth and their satisfaction with childbirth preparation classes were measured by using reliable tools via interviews. An independent t test was applied to compare women's knowledge and satisfaction scores between regular and irregular attenders.

Results: The mean score of knowledge was significantly higher among women who were regular attenders compared to irregular attenders (p < .001). Although there were no significant differences in total satisfaction score between the women (p = .342), women with regular attendance reported that childbirth preparation classes reduced their anxiety about labour.

Conclusions: Regular attendance at childbirth preparation classes is associated with higher women's knowledge and lower feelings of anxiety regarding labour and birth.
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INTRODUCTION

Women prefer caesarean birth due to fear, anxiety and lack of knowledge about labour and the pain associated with natural birth (Masoumi et al., 2016; Aksoy et al., 2014). According to World Health Organization (WHO), the ideal rate for caesarean birth is between 10% and 15% (Betran et al., 2016). However, the number of caesarean births worldwide is increasing every year (Aksoy et al., 2014; Betran et al., 2016; Storksen et al., 2015). In Iran, the prevalence of caesarean birth has increased, reaching an estimated rate of 48% in recent years (Azami-Aghdash et al., 2014). Based on a systematic review, the factors influencing high caesarean birth rates in Iran were divided into three categories: (a) socio-demographic factors, (b) obstetric-medical causes and (c) non-obstetric-medical causes. Maternal education, grand multiparity, having a previous caesarean birth and fear of normal vaginal birth were major factors for having a caesarean sections. The most important reasons for women preferring caesarean birth without medical indications were the fear of childbirth and feeling intolerant towards labour pain (Azami-Aghdash et al., 2014).
Currently, international policies reinforce promoting vaginal births through prenatal education. Therefore, different approaches have been used to encourage women to give birth vaginally (Cosans, 2004). For instance, Dick Read advocated education and emotional support for women during childbirth to reduce their fear and break the fear-tension-pain cycle (Dick-Read, 2013). Another approach was Lamaz’s philosophy that emphasized the importance of vaginal childbirth and educating women towards an active birth without unnecessary interventions (Lamaz, 2002).

Some women who give birth for the first time are more stressed about adapting to their new role as a mother and their responsibilities about caring for the baby. These women are more likely to attend childbirth preparation classes (Jakubiec et al., 2014). Childbirth education can be helpful for pregnant women and their families to develop their birth plan (Bailey et al., 2008); make decisions about labour and birth; choose pain management methods; and prepare for breastfeeding and parenting (Gokce Isbir et al., 2016; Simpson et al., 2010; Stoll & Hall, 2012). Childbirth preparation classes also prepare women (Firouzbakht et al., 2013; Pinar et al., 2018) to identify unexpected complications that may contribute to maternal mortalities such as gestational hypertension, postpartum haemorrhage and infection (Malata et al., 2007).

Evidence demonstrated that women’s knowledge on childbirth reduces their anxiety (Hassanzadeh et al., 2019); increases their confidence; and enhances the desire for vaginal birth (Aksoy et al., 2014; Gokce Isbir et al., 2016). In a study of 132 participants, women who attended childbirth classes regularly had more knowledge on childbirth, were confident in their ability to give birth and were involved in the shared decision-making process (Pinar et al., 2018). In another study, women with childbirth education managed labour pain better, used less medications during labour and had less instrumental birth incidences (Firouzbakht et al., 2015; Gluck et al., 2020). Attending childbirth classes was also associated with a positive relationship with the medical staff and more success in breastfeeding (Gao et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2008).

Assessing patients’ satisfaction is one of the common components of healthcare services (Kamil Dhahi et al., 2015). Therefore, women’s satisfaction about childbirth preparation classes is as important as their knowledge. Women’s low satisfaction is associated with delay in seeking medical advice and poor understanding of medical conditions (Wilkin et al., 1992). Although the impact of childbirth education on women’s knowledge has been reported in different studies, women’s satisfaction with childbirth preparation classes has not been systematically examined (Lee & Holroyd, 2009; Lin et al., 2008).

In Iran, childbirth preparation classes are voluntary, free and run in eight 90 min sessions. Trained midwives deliver the classes based on standard content that is set by the Ministry of Health (Table 1) (IR Ministry of Health & Medical Education, 2015).

Despite holding childbirth classes since 2008, we have not found any study that examined the knowledge and satisfaction of women who attended the childbirth preparation classes in Iran. This study was aimed to compare primiparous women’s childbirth knowledge and satisfaction between regular and irregular attenders of childbirth preparation classes.

2 METHODS

This study was part of a mixed method study which was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences (IR.

| Time | Gestational Age (Week) | Contents |
|------|------------------------|----------|
| Session 1 | 20–23 | Introduction about classes and getting to know mothers, anatomy and physiology changes of pregnancy, Personal hygiene, Common discomforts of pregnancy, Practical exercises: stretching exercises, relaxation, massage and breathing techniques* |
| Session 2 | 24–27 | Nutritional needs of pregnant women |
| Session 3 | 28–29 | Foetal growth and development, Mental Health, Husbands Education |
| Session 4 | 30–31 | Warning Signs in pregnancy |
| Session 5 | 32–33 | Planning for childbirth, Analgesia and anaesthesia for labour and birth, Visiting delivery room |
| Session 6 | 34–35 | Stages of childbirth, necessary interventions, different position of childbirth, childbirth videos |
| Session 7 | 36 | Postpartum care, Warning sign, Family planning, Breastfeeding education, Breastfeeding videos |
| Session 8 | 37 | Neonatal care, Warning signs for neonatal, breast feeding |

*Practical exercises are taught and practiced at the end of each session.
Women were grouped based on their attendance of childbirth preparation classes: regular attenders (attending four to eight sessions) and irregular attenders (attending one to three sessions). According to IRI Ministry of Health and Medical Education directive policy, women who attend only 1 to 3 sessions of these classes are not considered trained. Therefore, they were classified as "Irregular attenders" in this study. The inclusion criteria consisted of living in the city of Tabriz, being a primiparous woman with a gestational age of 35–37 weeks. The exclusion criteria were multiparity, multiple gestations, preterm births, non-cephalic presentation, history of depression, having stressful family events and obstetric problems.

The sample size was calculated based on the knowledge variable and using G-Power software. According to the results of a study by Pinar et al. (Pinar et al., 2018), average knowledge score in the control group was 8.85 (m1), assuming a 30% increase in knowledge score due to regular participation in class, the expected average score would have been 11.50 (m2). Therefore, the sample size for each group was calculated based on SD1 and SD2 of 4.58, having two sided alpha of 0.05, with the power of 90%. Sixty-four pregnant women were considered a sufficient sample size for this study. Considering the attrition rate, the final sample size was increased to 68 women in each group (n = 136).

### 2.1 Sampling method

Cluster sampling was used. Tabriz city has 20 health complexes, and each complex covered four to five health centres. One third of healthcare complexes (n = 7) were selected via simple random method from the 20 health complexes, and sampling was performed in 29 health centres. In each centre, eligible primiparous women at 35 to 37 weeks of gestation were invited to participate in the study. The objectives and requirements of the study were explained to all potential participants. If they were willing to participate in the study, written consent was obtained and the study questionnaires were completed through interviews.

### 2.2 Data collection tools

The following questionnaires were used to collect data: socio-demographic and obstetrics characteristics, satisfaction with childbirth preparation classes and knowledge of pregnancy and childbirth. The socio-demographic characteristics questionnaire included the following items: women’s age, the onset of sexual intercourse; education, occupation, body mass index, spouse’s age, education and occupation, spouse’s support and marital satisfaction. Spouse’s support and marital satisfaction were assessed with a subjective item, and responses were based on 5 point Likert scale including (very high, high, moderate, low and very low). Participants could choose one of the options. Obstetrics questionnaire included questions about abortion, infertility and history of unwanted pregnancies; and whether or not there was a preference for the sex of the foetus. The validity of this questionnaire in this study was confirmed by content and face validity.

Satisfaction questionnaire for childbirth preparation classes was first developed by Lee et al. in 2009. This questionnaire included 25 questions in three subscales: (a) structure (date, time, place, length and size of classes), (b) process (the way classes were facilitated including performance of the facilitator, usefulness of the topics and teaching styles) (c) and outcome (the influence of the classes on courage for normal birth, reducing anxiety for labour, and fulfilment of the participants educational needs). Participants determined their level of satisfaction using a 5-point Likert scale, from “not at all satisfied” (score 1) to “very satisfied” (score 5). In the study by Lee et al., the content validity of this questionnaire was 0.88, and the Cronbach alpha level was 0.89 for the whole questionnaire and 0.76, 0.88 and 0.72 for the following subscales: structural, process and outcome, respectively (Lee & Holroyd, 2009). This questionnaire has been evaluated in Iran (Hassanzadeh et al., 2020). Cronbach’s alpha coefficients of the assessed constructs were between 0.83 (the class structure) and 0.92 (the class outcome), and a coefficient of 0.93 was obtained for the whole questionnaire.

The Maternal Knowledge Survey Questionnaire on Pregnancy and Childbirth used in this study was designed by a researcher based on a document that is taught in childbirth preparation classes and includes 20 questions. The scores of this questionnaire ranged from zero to twenty, where each correct answer scored one point and each incorrect or “I don’t know” answer, scored zero points. The validity of this questionnaire was calculated based on expert opinions and by calculating two indicators of CVR (Content Validity Ratio) and CVI (Content Validity Index). The calculated CVR and CVI values were in the range of 0.77 to 1 and 0.88 to 1, respectively. The reliability of the questionnaire was determined as 0.81 by Cronbach’s alpha coefficient.

### 2.3 Statistical analyses

After completing the questionnaires, the data were analysed using SPSS 21 software. The normality of quantitative data was assessed using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Independent t tests, chi-square, chi-square by trend and Fisher’s exact tests were used to assess the homogeneity of the study groups. Independent t test was used to compare knowledge score and women’s satisfaction among study groups, and general linear model (GLM) was used to adjust the socio-demographic characteristics of participants as potential confounding variables. P-values of less than 0.05 were considered significant.
3 | RESULTS

Response rate was 100%, and there were no missing data. There were no statistically significant differences on socio-demographic and obstetric characteristics between the groups (p > .05). In the regularly attending group, majority of women (n = 49, 72.1%) attended eight sessions. In the irregularly attending group, only 44 (64.7%) women participated in 3 sessions, and the remainder attended the childbirth preparation classes once or twice.

The mean (standard deviation) age in the regularly attending group of women was 25.7 (4.7) and 27.0 (5.6) (p = .163) in the irregularly attending group. The other socio-demographic characteristics are shown in Table 2.

The mean (SD) knowledge score was 13.7 (2.4) and 11.0 (4.2) in the group of regular attenders and irregular attenders, respectively. According to the independent t test, the average knowledge score among the regular attenders was significantly higher than the irregular attenders (p < .001) (Table 3). Majority of the women in the irregularly attending group did not provide a correct answer to the questions that were about pain relief or episiotomy. (Table 4).

The mean (SD) knowledge score of overall class satisfaction among women with regular attendance was 100.7 (13.6) compared to 98.5 (9.93) among women with irregular attendance (p = .342). The mean score of satisfaction on class structure (p = .799) and class process (p = .266) was the same between the groups. Only the mean score of satisfaction about the class outcome was significantly higher among women with regular attendance compared to women with irregular attendance (p = .029) (Table 3). Women had higher satisfaction scores for the following subscale items if they were regular attenders: "the usefulness of the topic about breathing exercises and relaxation technique," "the effectiveness of teaching method: didactic teaching," "the ability to fulfill women's educational needs" and "the ability to reduce anxiety for the labour" (Table 5).

After the adjustment of socio-demographic characteristics of participants, the general linear model results showed that the mean score of knowledge (p < .001) was significantly higher in the regularly attending group than the irregularly attending group, but no significant difference was found between the regularly attending and irregularly attending groups in terms of total satisfaction and its subscales (Table 6).

4 | DISCUSSION

This is the first study to our knowledge in Iran to compare women's knowledge and satisfaction with childbirth preparation classes. The main finding of the study is that women who attended the childbirth preparation classes regularly had a higher mean score of knowledge compared to women who did not attend classes regularly. Although there was no significant difference between the mean scores of overall satisfaction between the groups, satisfaction level of women about class outcomes with fulfilling their educational needs and

| TABLE 2 Characteristics of the study participants (n = 136) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Variable        | Irregularly attending mean (SD) | Regularly attending mean (SD) | p-value         |
| Age (years)     | 27.0 (5.6)       | 25.7 (4.7)       | 0.163<sup>a</sup> |
| Spouse's Age    | 32.0 (5.0)       | 30.8 (4.2)       | 0.133<sup>b</sup> |
| Age at the onset of sexual life | 23.9 (5.2)       | 22.8 (4.6)       | 0.212<sup>b</sup> |
| Education       |                 |                 |                 |
| Illiterate      | 1 (1.5)          | 1 (1.5)          | 0.606<sup>b</sup> |
| Elementary      | 1 (1.5)          | 2 (2.9)          |                 |
| Intermediate    | 10 (14.7)        | 8 (11.8)         |                 |
| High school     | 9 (13.3)         | 11 (16.2)        |                 |
| Diploma         | 11 (16.2)        | 18 (26.5)        |                 |
| College         | 36 (52.9)        | 28 (41.2)        |                 |
| Occupation      |                 |                 |                 |
| Housewife       | 52 (76.5)        | 56 (82.3)        | 0.151<sup>b</sup> |
| Employee        | 15 (23.1)        | 8 (11.8)         |                 |
| University student | 1 (1.5)          | 4 (5.9)          |                 |
| Income          |                 |                 |                 |
| Not at all sufficient | 6 (8.8)         | 2 (2.9)          | 0.207<sup>b</sup> |
| Relatively sufficient | 45 (66.2)    | 53 (77.9)        |                 |
| Completely sufficient | 17 (25.0)     | 13 (19.1)        |                 |
| Spouse's education |              |                 |                 |
| Illiterate      | 1 (1.5)          | 0                | 0.752<sup>b</sup> |
| Elementary      | 5 (7.4)          | 2 (2.9)          |                 |
| Intermediate    | 3 (4.4)          | 5 (7.4)          |                 |
| High school     | 4 (5.9)          | 4 (5.9)          |                 |
| Diploma         | 21 (30.9)        | 19 (27.9)        |                 |
| College         | 34 (50.0)        | 38 (55.8)        |                 |
| Spouse's occupation |          |                 |                 |
| Unemployed      | 1 (1.5)          | 2 (2.9)          | 0.453<sup>b</sup> |
| Employed        | 13 (19.1)        | 19 (27.9)        |                 |
| Worker          | 16 (23.5)        | 10 (14.7)        |                 |
| Free job        | 38 (55.8)        | 37 (54.4)        |                 |
| Spouse's support |                 |                 |                 |
| Very high       | 34 (50.0)        | 41 (72.1)        | 0.436<sup>b</sup> |
| High            | 20 (29.4)        | 16 (23.5)        |                 |
| Average         | 14 (20.6)        | 10 (14.7)        |                 |
| Low             | 0                | 0                |                 |
| Very Low        | 0                | 1 (1.5)          |                 |

Marital satisfaction

(Continues)
reducing their anxiety about labour were significantly higher among women who were regular attenders compared irregular attenders.

The results of this study are in alignment with other studies (Lee & Holroyd, 2009; Pinar et al., 2018; Spinelli et al., 2003). For instance, the results of an observational study of 9,004 Italian women showed that childbirth preparation classes increased pregnant women’s knowledge on breastfeeding, contraceptive methods and increased their satisfaction with the childbirth experience compared to women who did not attend any classes (Spinelli et al., 2003). Similarly, in a quasi-experimental study with 132 primiparous women in Turkey, the results demonstrated that women who attended childbirth preparation classes had a higher level of knowledge; responded better to their labour pains; and initiated breastfeeding earlier than the control group. (Pinar et al., 2018). In a study by Lee and Holroyd, pregnant women who attended childbirth preparation classes stated that they learned more about labour, non-pharmacological strategies for pain relief, and breathing and relaxing exercises (Lee & Holroyd, 2009). The outcome measures in the above studies were assessed between the women who attended childbirth classes and who did not attend the classes; however, in our study both groups attended the classes; however, one group attended regularly and the other group attended irregularly.

| Variable                      | Irregularly attending mean (SD) | Regularly attending mean (SD) | p-value |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| Very high                     | 37 (54.4)                       | 49 (60.3)                    | 0.070   |
| High                          | 19 (27.9)                       | 12 (17.6)                    |         |
| Average                       | 12 (17.6)                       | 6 (8.8)                      |         |
| Low                           | 0                               | 1 (1.5)                      |         |
| Sex of the foetus not preferred by the parents | 20 (29.4) | 17 (25.0) | 0.791   |
| Unwanted pregnancy            | 7 (10.3)                        | 9 (13.2)                     | 0.700   |

*Independent samples t test.

Fisher’s exact test.

Chi-square test.

There are a limited number of studies that reported pregnant women’s satisfaction with childbirth preparation classes. In a mixed method study by Lee et al., women who attended childbirth classes, were highly satisfied with their classes (Lee & Holroyd, 2009). In another study, 96.3% of women attending childbirth classes were satisfied with their classes and reported the classes to be very useful. The greatest satisfaction of these women were about the performance of the facilitator, usefulness of relaxation methods, breathing techniques and the overall effect of the classes (Ricchi et al., 2019) which are similar to the findings of our study. In our study, women with regular attendance reported that childbirth preparation classes reduced their anxiety about labour. Considering that fear and anxiety is one of the predictors of traumatic birth (Ghanbari- Homayi et al., 2019), attending childbirth classes at regular bases can improve women’s childbirth experiences.

The lowest level of satisfaction in our study was related to the lack of having a tour of the maternity ward. However, in Lee’s study, the lowest level of satisfaction was related to having evening classes where women felt tired after work and could not pay their full attention to the class (Lee & Holroyd, 2009). The reason for this discrepancy could be related to the differences in the format and set up of the classes. For example, the childbirth classes in Iran are run only in the mornings without a tour, which could be the reason for the dissatisfaction of women towards the teaching method about the labour ward.

Although regular attendance of childbirth preparation classes are very important, perhaps women can also benefit by having an option between eight short sessions or two long sessions where they choose the option that suits them most. In the last decade, providing technology based education has also become very popular (Meedya et al., 2019; Meedya et al., 2020) and can be considered an alternative for face-to-face education during the COVID 19 pandemic. However, many women in Iran who use public health services do not have access to a mobile phone or high-speed internet which makes it less practical for the women who require the education most.

Quality of care in health services can be improved and flourished when women feel empowered, knowledgeable and satisfied through the care they receive (Kamil Dhahi et al., 2015; Meedya et al., 2016). Childbirth preparation classes have been valued by women as a positive process in preparing them for childbirth.
Increasing the knowledge of pregnant women about labour, childbirth and strategies for coping with pain can increase women’s self-efficacy in enduring labour pain (Duncan et al., 2017; Pinar

**TABLE 4** Comparison of Frequencies of correct answers to the knowledge variables in irregularly attending (I-A) and regularly attending (R-A) groups

| Question                                                                 | I-A N (%) | R-A N (%) | p-Value |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Which is natural symptom of pregnancy?                                   | 62(91.2)  | 64(94.2)  | .513    |
| What exercises are safe during pregnancy?                               | 18(26.5)  | 24(35.3)  | .267    |
| Which is not a warning sign during pregnancy?                           | 45(66.2)  | 48(70.6)  | .581    |
| Which is not characteristic of “false” labour pains?                    | 41(60.3)  | 43(63.2)  | .725    |
| What position should the mother be in during labour?                    | 42(61.8)  | 49(72.1)  | .204    |
| What is the best time for dental treatment during pregnancy?            | 45(66.2)  | 45(66.2)  | 1.000   |
| Which is not recommended to ease leg cramps during pregnancy?           | 40(58.8)  | 54(79.4)  | .010    |
| Which is not labour pain relief techniques?                             | 53(77.9)  | 62(92.1)  | .033    |
| What techniques are recommended for labour pain relief?                 | 22(34.2)  | 34(50.0)  | .037    |
| Which is correct about the differences between vaginal childbirth and caesarean section? | 56(82.4)  | 61(89.7)  | .218    |
| What is recommended to mother in early hours after birth?               | 29(42.6)  | 43(63.2)  | .017    |
| How many months should a baby be exclusively breastfed?                 | 53(77.9)  | 61(89.7)  | .063    |
| How long after birth should a mother take iron supplements?             | 42(61.8)  | 47(69.1)  | .369    |
| How long after birth bleeding is considered warning sign and needs a medical attention? | 22(32.4)  | 34(50.0)  | .037    |
| What is recommended to relief pain and rapid repair of episiotomy?       | 11(16.2)  | 30(44.1)  | <.001   |
| How long does postpartum blouse last?                                   | 29(42.6)  | 47(69.1)  | .002    |
| Which is normal signs in newborn?                                       | 35(51.5)  | 50(73.5)  | .008    |
| What are effective in after pain relief?                                | 25(36.8)  | 22(32.4)  | .590    |
| What should be announced to the obstetrician in the first hours after birth? | 41(60.3)  | 52(76.5)  | .043    |
| How long after birth should a mother start using contraception methods? | 41(60.3)  | 62(91.2)  | <.001   |

**TABLE 5** Comparison of mean (SD) of the satisfaction variables in irregularly attending (I-A) and regularly attending (R-A) groups

| Satisfaction variables                                                                 | R-A Mean (SD) | I-A Mean (SD) | p-Value |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| Structure of the class                                                                  |               |               |         |
| 1. Date of the class                                                                    | 4.12 (0.65)   | 4.10 (0.73)   | .902    |
| 2. Time of the class                                                                    | 4.07 (0.79)   | 4.06 (0.79)   | .914    |
| 3. Length of the class                                                                  | 3.51 (1.20)   | 3.57 (1.05)   | .762    |
| 4. Physical environment of the classroom                                               | 3.40 (1.25)   | 3.56 (1.13)   | .433    |
| 5. Size of the class                                                                    | 4.06 (0.79)   | 4.04 (0.76)   | .912    |
| Process of the class                                                                    |               |               |         |
| 6. Performance of the midwife                                                           | 4.32 (0.60)   | 4.26 (0.63)   | .583    |
| 7. Performance of the midwife in physical exercises training                            | 4.34 (0.58)   | 4.24 (0.67)   | .344    |
| 8. Performance of the midwife in pain relief methods training                           | 4.22 (0.75)   | 4.18 (0.69)   | .722    |
| 9. Participation in the class                                                           | 4.18 (0.69)   | 4.00 (0.75)   | .157    |
| 10. Amount of information given                                                         | 4.34 (0.53)   | 4.15 (0.60)   | .053    |
| 11. Usefulness of the topic: labour process                                            | 4.22 (0.64)   | 4.09 (0.61)   | .223    |
| 12. Usefulness of the topic: introduction to labour ward                                | 3.75 (1.15)   | 3.82 (0.84)   | .672    |
| 13. Usefulness of the topic: husband’s role                                            | 3.91 (0.84)   | 3.85 (0.79)   | .676    |
| 14. Usefulness of the topic: preparation for the labour                                  | 4.24 (0.57)   | 4.12 (0.61)   | .250    |
| 15. Usefulness of the topic: breathing and relaxation exercises                         | 4.34 (0.50)   | 4.09 (0.68)   | .017    |
| 16. Usefulness of the topic: pain relief in labour                                     | 4.25 (0.65)   | 4.04 (0.65)   | .069    |
| 17. Effectiveness of teaching method: didactic teaching                                 | 4.34 (0.50)   | 4.12 (0.58)   | .020    |
| 18. Effectiveness of teaching method: demonstration                                    | 4.26 (0.58)   | 4.13 (0.64)   | .213    |
| 19. Effectiveness of teaching method: practice                                         | 4.10 (0.84)   | 4.07 (0.75)   | .832    |
| 20. Effectiveness of teaching method: audiovisual materials                            | 3.34 (1.19)   | 3.19 (1.18)   | .472    |
| 21. Effectiveness of teaching method: tour to labour ward                               | 2.44 (0.85)   | 2.56 (0.79)   | .408    |
et al., 2018). To be able to facilitate full content of the childbirth classes, there is a need for midwives or maternity nurses (Lee & Holroyd, 2009; Ricchi et al., 2019) who have the advanced knowledge, required skills and clinical experience in providing care during antenatal, intrapartum and postpartum periods (Lee & Holroyd, 2009).

4.1 Limitations and strengths

Although the random sampling is a strength in this study, there are some limitations. The main limitation of this study is that the participants consisted of primiparous women from healthcare complexes from Tabriz city only. Therefore, the results cannot be generalized to multiparous women or primiparous pregnant women from other cities. Also, another limitation of this study was its cross-sectional design in which the relationships shown do not exactly indicate a causal relationship.

4.2 Implications of findings

Based on the findings of this study, nurses and midwives can play an important role in providing prenatal education for pregnant women and in encouraging them to attend these classes. They as healthcare providers can provide childbirth education along with prenatal care for pregnant women. They can improve pregnant women’s knowledge of pregnancy and childbirth by teaching them about physiological changes of pregnancy, foetal development, labour and childbirth, warning signs, dealing with common problems during pregnancy, non-pharmacological methods for pain relief in labour. By these trainings, prenatal nurses can reduce pregnant women’s fear and anxiety and increase their self-confidence in enduring labour pains. They answer to pregnant women questions respectfully and guide them to seek professional. Also prenatal nurses can prepare mothers to breastfeed and provide care for their infants with their training. Thus, midwives and nurses can play an important role in promoting vaginal childbirth and also healthy lifestyle for mothers during pregnancy and postpartum periods.

5 CONCLUSION

Regular attendance at childbirth preparation classes is associated with higher women’s knowledge which can assist primiparous women to meet their educational needs, reduce maternal anxiety about childbirth and give the courage for natural labour and birth. Therefore, the integration of childbirth preparation classes is recommended to be part of routine prenatal care where all pregnant women can be encouraged to attend these classes.
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