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ABSTRACT

We present time-resolved spectroscopic and polarimetric observations of the AM Her system EU Cnc. EU Cnc is located near the core of the old open cluster Messier 67; new proper motion measurements indicate that EU Cnc is indeed a member of the star cluster, and this system therefore is useful to constrain the formation and evolution of magnetic cataclysmic variables. The spectra exhibit two-component emission features with independent radial velocity variations as well as time-variable cyclotron emission indicating a magnetic field strength of 41 MG. The period of the radial velocity and cyclotron hump variations are consistent with the previously known photometric period, and the spectroscopic flux variations are consistent in amplitude with previous photometric amplitude measurements. The secondary star is also detected in the spectrum. We also present polarimetric imaging measurements of EU Cnc that show a clear detection of polarization, and the degree of polarization drops below our detection threshold at phases when the cyclotron emission features are fading or not evident. The combined data are all consistent with the interpretation that EU Cnc is a low-state polar in the cluster Messier 67. The mass function of the system gives an estimate of the accretor mass of $M_{\text{WD}} \geq 0.68 M_\odot$ with $M_{\text{WD}} \approx 0.83 M_\odot$ for an average inclination. We are thus able to place a lower limit on the progenitor mass of the accreting white dwarf of $\geq 1.43 M_\odot$.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Cataclysmic variables (CVs) are interacting binary systems in which a white dwarf (WD) is accreting material from a low-mass companion star. If the WD has a sufficiently strong magnetic field, the formation of an accretion disk is inhibited, and material accretes directly onto one or more magnetic poles of the WD. These binaries are known as AM Her systems or polars, after the high fraction of polarized light detected in the systems.

The identification of CVs in star clusters provides interesting constraints on the formation and evolution of the interacting system. As all members of a cluster are coeval, the total age of the system is known, as is the system’s distance and metallicity. Further, if the mass and effective temperature of the WD can be determined, then a limit on the WD’s progenitor mass can be derived via the same methods used to construct the initial–final mass relation (e.g., Williams et al. 2009). Because the WD is likely re-heated to some extent by the ongoing accretion (Townsley & Gänsicke 2009), the constraint on the progenitor mass would be strictly a lower limit.

CVs are quite common in globular clusters (e.g., Margon et al. 1981; Grindlay et al. 1995). The globular CV population tends to be centrally concentrated (e.g., Grindlay et al. 1995, 2001). This result is likely explained by the formation of tight binaries by stellar encounters in globular cluster cores (e.g., Podsiadlowski et al. 2002; Pooley et al. 2003). As such, globular cluster CVs are excellent tracers of a globular’s dynamic history, but these CVs may not shed much light on the formation and evolution of CVs in the galactic field where stellar encounters are rare.

Open star clusters may therefore be a more useful laboratory for studying CV evolution. The stellar densities are far lower than in globular clusters, even in the cluster core, and dynamical simulations suggest that CV formation is not enhanced by the stellar encounters that do occur (Shara & Hurley 2002), though a small fraction of open cluster CVs may still be formed by stellar exchanges (Shara & Hurley 2006). Open clusters also span a wide range of ages, metallicities, and stellar masses, raising the potential to study how these parameters impact CV formation and evolution more precisely than possible from studies of field CVs.

Unfortunately, the number of CVs in open clusters is small, and none are well studied. In the ancient, dense, metal-rich open cluster NGC 6791, two spectroscopically confirmed CVs are known (Kaluzny et al. 1997; Mochejska et al. 2003); de Marchi et al. (2007) identify a suspected third cluster CV based on photometric properties and conclude that all three CVs are likely cluster members. One CV, EU Cnc, is known in the open cluster M67 and described in detail below. These four objects are the only confirmed cluster member CVs, and due to the large distances of the clusters ($m - M)_V = 13.4$ for NGC 6791 and $(m - M)_V = 9.97$ for M67), spectroscopic studies of these CVs with the same precision and the same techniques (such as...
Other candidate open cluster CVs have been suggested: Mocchieska et al. (2004, 2006) identify a CV in the field of the ∼2–3 Gyr old open cluster NGC 2158, though it may lie foreground to the cluster. One CV is identified photometrically in the field of the 3.5 Gyr old cluster NGC 6253, but no membership information is available (de Marchi et al. 2010). The rich open cluster M37 (age ∼550 Myr) has two CV candidates identified photometrically by Hartman et al. (2008). Finally, an X-ray source in the field of the cluster NGC 6819 (age ∼2–2.4 Gyr) has properties consistent with CVs, but its true nature and cluster membership are unconfirmed (Gosnell et al. 2012). The Hyades contains at least one pre-CV, V471 Tau (e.g., Vauclair 1972), but no actively accreting systems.

1.1. EU Cancri

EU Cnc was detected as variable object in the field of the old open star cluster Messier 67 by Gilliland et al. (1991), who identified it as a likely AM Her system based on the similarities of its light curve to that of VV Pup. They determined that EU Cnc has a photometric period of 2.091 ± 0.002 hr with variations through a CuSO4 (U band) filter of 0.6 mag. Belloni et al. (1993) detected an X-ray source coincident with the optically variable source; its very soft X-ray hardness ratio is typical for AM Her systems in the ROSAT bands. The AM Her nature of EU Cnc was confirmed by Pasquini et al. (1994), who obtained three 75 minute optical spectra of the source. These spectra exhibit cyclotron humps and radial-velocity variable emission lines of H, He i, He ii, and Fe ii. Under the assumption that EU Cnc is in the star cluster, Pasquini et al. (1994) conclude that the absolute optical magnitude and X-ray luminosity of EU Cnc are typical for low-state AM Her systems.

Subsequent X-ray studies by Belloni et al. (1998) using ROSAT detected 100% modulation of the soft X-ray flux with a period equal to the optical period, indicative of accretion onto a single magnetic pole. Chandra observations by van den Berg et al. (2004) detected high X-ray emission from EU Cnc, again typical for AM Her systems and likely due to shocks in the accretion flow.

More recent time-series photometry from Nair et al. (2005) again detected high-amplitude optical modulation, with V-magnitudes varying from 21.6 to 20.3 mag at the same 2.09 hr period of Gilliland et al. (1991). The variation amplitude was about 30% larger than in 1991, with that difference likely due to different filters used in the two studies, V containing a large cyclotron modulation.

Based on the body of work on EU Cnc, Nair et al. (2005) point out an interesting conundrum. The high-amplitude optical variability is typical for AM Her systems in a high accretion state, while the X-ray luminosity of EU Cnc does not correlate with the accretion rate. Instead, the X-ray luminosity of EU Cnc is typical of AM Her systems in a low accretion state.

In this paper, we present time-resolved spectroscopy of EU Cnc obtained serendipitously with the 10 m Keck telescope, as well as the first polarimetric measurements of this system. After discussing the observed phenomenology, we revisit the issue of EU Cnc’s cluster membership. We will show that the optical variability is almost entirely due to cyclotron emission changing throughout the orbit and that the optical spectrum is typical of a low accretion state polar. We detect the secondary star in the spectrum and, along with the other evidence, we show that EU Cnc is a member of the M67 open cluster.

2. TIME-RESOLVED SPECTROSCOPY

2.1. Observations and Data Reduction

We targeted EU Cnc serendipitously as part of a program to obtain high signal-to-noise spectroscopy of WDs in Messier 67. We obtained observations on UT 2007 January 19 with the low-resolution imaging spectrometer (LRIS) on the Keck I telescope (Oke et al. 1995; Steidel et al. 2004). We obtained simultaneous spectra with both blue and red sides of the spectrograph through a multiobject slit mask with slitlet widths of 1′′. On the blue side, we used the 400 lines mm−1 grism blazed at 3400 Å for a resulting spectral resolution of ∼7 Å FWHM. On the red side of the spectrograph, we used the D560 dichroic and the 600 grooves mm−1 grating blazed at 7500 Å, for a resulting spectral resolution of 4.8 Å FWHM. The total spectral coverage ranged from 7400 Å blueward to the UV atmospheric cutoff.

The weather was nearly photometric through the entire observation, and seeing was moderate at 0′′9 FWHM. Seven exposures, each of 20 minute integration, were taken over a ∼2.5 hr period, with two short breaks for mask re-alignment. During the final exposure, the flux dropped dramatically for most stars, likely indicating that the mask was slightly misaligned.

These observations were taken prior to the installation of the LRIS atmospheric dispersion corrector, and due to constraints in slit mask design, the slitlets were oriented nearly perpendicular to the parallactic angle. We attempted to minimize the effects of atmospheric dispersion by using a blue filter in the guider camera, but diminution of the UV light is severe at higher airmasses.

We reduced the data using the onedspec and twodspec packages in IRAF. Overscan regions were used to determine and remove the amplifier bias. Flat-fielding on the blue side data was accomplished using a piecewise-smooth response function as described in Williams et al. (2009) to eliminate ringing due to a sharp inflection point in the flat field at ∼4200 Å. Cosmic rays were removed from each two-dimensional spectrum using the L.A. Cosmic Laplacian cosmic ray rejection routine (van Dokkum 2001). Wavelength solutions on the blue side were derived from Hg, Cd, and Zn arclamp spectra; on the red side, Ne and Ar arclamp spectra were used. These calibrations were obtained prior to the final mask realignment.

Relative flux calibration was obtained using 1″ wide long-slit spectroscopy of the spectrophotometric standards G191-B2B and G138-31 taken at parallactic angle near the start of the night. No attempt was made to obtain absolute spectrophotometric calibrations.

2.2. Spectral Phenomenology

The time-series spectra are shown in Figure 1. Qualitatively, the spectra appear fairly typical for AM Her-type systems in a low accretion state, similar to those observed for FU Aqr (Glenn et al. 1994). Cyclotron humps are visible and variable in strength. Emission lines of H and He are observed and have at least two components, a narrow (unresolved) component and a broad component. The emission lines have variable radial velocities, and the radial velocities of the two line components are not in phase. This type of emission line component behavior is often seen in polars, for example VV Pup (Mason et al. 2008) whereby the narrow line component phases with the motion of the secondary star (Mason et al. 2008; Howell et al. 2008). The time scales for both the cyclotron hump variations and emission line variations appear to be consistent with the known photometric period, though additional time-series spectra would not provide additional information.
be required to prove that these are identical. We now quantify these phenomena.

We estimate the strength of the magnetic field using the spacing of the cyclotron humps in the optical spectra. The locations of the peaks are estimated by fitting a 12th order polynomial to the continuum, excluding the obvious emission lines. We use Equation (54) from Wickramasinghe & Ferrario (2000) to get a magnetic field strength of $B \approx 41$ MG. We do not see Zeeman split hydrogen absorption lines in the spectrum corresponding to this magnetic field strength, but later we will see that they are likely filled in by the red continuum contribution of the secondary star.

### 2.2.1. Radial Velocity

Because these data were not obtained with the goal of obtaining precision radial velocities, and because the flexure in the spectrograph was significant over the course of the observations, it was not possible to obtain absolute radial velocities. Attempts to use night sky emission lines as velocity zero points failed due to the lack of night sky lines in data from the blue arm of the spectrograph, where the majority of the CV’s emission lines were located.

Instead, relative radial velocities were obtained as follows. First, the continuum was fit with a high-order polynomial and removed from each spectrum. The radial velocity of the narrow component of the emission lines was determined by autocorrelation of each spectrum with that of an observation with an exposure midpoint close to zero phase. This determination involved some iterative bootstrapping with the radial velocity fitting described further below. The resulting radial velocities (relative to the observations closest to zero phase) are given in Table 1 and shown in Figure 2. The radial velocities at $\phi \approx 0.59$ are likely measuring different motion than the other points, as the narrow component of the emission lines disappears during these observations.

As a cross-check, we used the same method to determine the radial velocity of a G-type star targeted in a neighboring slitlet. The radial velocity of this star should be constant over the set of observations, and although a slight positive velocity offset may exist, it is of low amplitude compared with the variations observed in the CV.
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Table 1

| Obs. Midpt (MJD) | Phase | Spectrograph Arm | \(v_{\text{EU Cnc, rel}}\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(\sigma(v_{\text{EU Cnc, rel}})\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(v_{\text{rel}}\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(\sigma(v_{\text{rel}})\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) |
|------------------|-------|------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 54119.51236      | 0.682 | Red              | 384.9                           | 13.8                            | 27.8            | 5.2             |
| 54119.52732      | 0.854 | Red              | 183.0                           | 23.2                            | 9.4             | 20.8            |
| 54119.54231      | 0.026 | Red              | 0.0                             | 0.0                             | 0.0             | 0.0             |
| 54119.56151      | 0.246 | Red              | −288.7                         | 27.6                            | 1.5             | 46.9            |
| 54119.57651      | 0.418 | Red              | −187.5                         | 28.1                            | 31.5            | 42.4            |
| 54119.59146      | 0.590 | Red              | 201.4                          | 25.6                            | 23.8            | 44.3            |
| 54119.61542      | 0.865 | Red              | 179.7                          | 23.5                            | −5.9            | 71.1            |
| 54119.51239      | 0.682 | Blue             | 458.5                          | 17.9                            | 36.3            | 11.8            |
| 54119.52689      | 0.849 | Blue             | 248.3                          | 27.5                            | 29.3            | 18.8            |
| 54119.54145      | 0.016 | Blue             | 0.0                            | 0.0                             | 0.0             | 0.0             |
| 54119.56153      | 0.246 | Blue             | −297.7                         | 30.5                            | 9.7             | 19.9            |
| 54119.57613      | 0.414 | Blue             | −158.2                         | 24.9                            | 22.9            | 21.2            |
| 54119.59067      | 0.581 | Blue             | 285.7                          | 33.6                            | 10.5            | 22.7            |
| 54119.61545      | 0.865 | Blue             | 223.2                          | 35.2                            | 22.6            | 25.0            |

Notes. Phase \(\phi = 0\) indicates the negative zero crossing of the narrow-line component of the emission lines; velocities are relative to the exposure closest to \(\phi = 0\).

Figure 2. Radial velocity curve for EU Cnc (top panel) and a G-type star in a neighboring slit (bottom panel). Filled circles (blue in the online journal) indicate relative radial velocities from emission lines on the blue arm of the spectra; stars (red in the online journal) indicate data from the red arm of the spectrograph. The solid curve (magenta in the online journal) is the best-fitting sine wave.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

The phase and amplitude of the radial velocity variations were determined by fitting a function of the form

\[
v_{\text{rel}} = K \sin(2\pi(\phi - \phi_0 + 0.5)) + v_0
\]

to the radial velocity data. The period was fixed to the known optical period of 2.091 hr, and the phase shift \(\phi_0\), amplitude \(K\), and the relative velocity zero point \(v_0\) were allowed to vary. The best-fit values are \(K = 340 \pm 20\) km s\(^{-1}\) and \(v_0 = +43 \pm 37\) km s\(^{-1}\). We emphasize that this velocity zero point is a velocity relative to the spectra used as our zero velocity, which were selected since they are the closest data to a phase of zero. Based on the detailed observation of narrow line components in the polars VV Pup (Mason et al. 2008) and EF Eri (Howell et al. 2008), mapping to the motion of the secondary star, the typical orbital phase 0.0 used for CVs would occur near phase 0.5 as shown in Figures 1 and 2. However, we do not have sufficient data here (e.g., a velocity curve of the photosphere of the secondary star) to state this fact with absolute certainty. As these velocities are not absolute radial velocities, they cannot be used for cluster membership determination or rejection.

Qualitatively, the sine curve is not a superb fit to the data, especially for phases between 0.5 and 1. We note that, at these phases, the narrow component of the lines is relatively weak and the broad component strong. In fact, at phase \(\phi = 0.59\), the narrow component of the lines is not visible. These velocity measurements are likely non-Keplerian streaming motion. However, the excellent fit for the points with phases between 0 and 0.5, where the emission lines are dominated by the narrow component, suggests that our fit amplitude and phase shift are not unreasonable.

### 2.2.2. Photometric Variability

As mentioned above, absolute spectrophotometry was not a goal of our observations; slit losses as a function of wavelength could be significant and time-variable due to both seeing and atmospheric dispersion effects. Even so, it would be instructive to compare photometry derived from our spectroscopy with previous photometric monitoring of this system. We therefore calculate broadband photometry by folding our (relative) flux-calibrated spectra through filter response functions. Due to the significant loss of UV light in the later exposures, we restrict our analysis to the \(g\)-band.

In order to correct for slit losses and variable atmospheric absorption, we perform the same calculation for a K-type star targeted in a different slitlet on the same mask (note that this is not the same star used for radial velocity comparisons in Section 2.2.1). The star is located at \(\alpha(J2000) = 8^h51^m35^s46, \delta(J2000) = 11^\circ50'19''1\), and, in our photometry (K. A. Williams et al., in preparation), has \(g = 20.324 \pm 0.032\). This star also appears in Sloan Digital Sky Survey Data Release 7, with point-spread function magnitude \(g = 20.263 \pm 0.038\). Due to the
higher precision of our data, we adopt our photometry for this star.

For each exposure, the calibrated spectra of both EU Cnc and the comparison star are folded through the $g$-band filter response function using the Synphot synthetic photometry package in STSDAS. All magnitudes are calculated as AB magnitudes. Zero-point offsets in $g$ are calculated from the comparison star; these offsets are then applied to the calculated magnitudes for EU Cnc. The results are included in Table 2. The errors in this photometry are uncertain. The random errors due to photon shot noise in the source and sky are small, ≤0.003 mag. However, systematic errors such as differential slit losses likely dominate the photometric uncertainty. The magnitude of the error in absolute photometry is at least 0.03 mag (the error in the broadband photometry of the comparison star).

We compare our derived photometry with the time-series photometry of Nair et al. (2005). These published data were taken in $V$; we converted these magnitudes to $g$ using the Population I transformation equations in Table 4 of Jordi et al. (2006) and the $B - V = 0.41$ color of EU Cnc from Gilliland et al. (1991). As these transformations are for single stars, and as the color of EU Cnc is likely changing as a function of phase, we emphasize that these transformations are meant to be illustrative only. Since the ephemeris of EU Cnc is not sufficiently well-determined to allow us to phase the data precisely, we added an arbitrary phase shift to the published photometry.

The results of this comparison are shown in Figure 3. With the exception of our observation at $\phi = 0.865$, our corrected spectrophotometry and the published time-series photometry agree very well in both shape and amplitude.

### 2.3. Time-series Polarimetry

Circular polarimetry of EU Cnc was obtained with the SPOL spectropolarimeter (Schmidt et al. 1992) on the 2.3 m Bok Telescope at Steward Observatory in 2007 December. Circular polarimetry of EU Cnc was obtained with the SPOL spectropolarimeter (Schmidt et al. 1992) on the 2.3 m Bok Telescope at Steward Observatory in 2007 December.

The observations were taken in the imaging mode of the instrument using a Hoya HA30 + Y48 filter combination, giving a broad bandpass of $\approx4800–7000$ Å. Image acquisition and data reduction follow those described in Smith et al. (2002), but modified as appropriate for circular polarimetry. A $\lambda/4$ wave plate is used to convert incident circular polarization to linear and the Wollaston prism separates the light into two orthogonally polarized beams that are focused onto a CCD. Two separate reads of the CCD are made with the wave plate rotated through four positions to determine the circular Stokes $V$ parameter. Two consecutive 10 minute exposures (150 s per wave plate position) were obtained on each of two nights. The sky was clear during the observations, but no attempt at absolute calibration was made because of the non-standard filter bandpass used. Photometric information was extracted using a circular aperture of radius 6′.

The observing log and resulting circular polarization measurements ($V/I = \nu$) are given in Table 3. Also given are the background subtracted counts and the phase of the midpoint of each observation relative to first exposure on the first night assuming a photometric period of 2.091 hr. There is a significant detection of polarization in the first exposure, with $\nu = -12.7\% \pm 1.8\%$. The degree of polarization and the total flux both drop significantly in the second exposure ($\nu = -6.3\% \pm 2.3\%$). The third exposure, taken two nights later, is at nearly the same phase as the second exposure. The total flux is slightly lower and there is no significant detection of polarization ($\nu = -2.8 \pm 2.6$); the final exposure is the faintest of the four and has no significant polarization.

As these observations were taken 11 months after the spectroscopy, and as the ephemeris of EU Cnc is not sufficiently well known, the spectroscopic phase of these observations cannot be calculated—the accumulated uncertainty in phase given

---

**Table 2**

| Midpoint Obs. (HJD) | $g_\ast$, mean$^a$ (mag) | $g_{\text{EU Cnc}, \text{mean}}$ (mag) | $g_{\text{EU Cnc, corr}}$ (mag) |
|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 2454120.101789      | 20.563                   | 20.806                        | 20.567                        |
| 2454120.03239       | 20.676                   | 21.601                        | 21.249                        |
| 2454120.04695       | 20.480                   | 21.672                        | 21.516                        |
| 2454120.06703       | 20.703                   | 21.266                        | 20.887                        |
| 2454120.08163       | 20.614                   | 20.440                        | 20.150                        |
| 2454120.09617       | 20.467                   | 20.429                        | 20.286                        |
| 2454120.12095       | 21.147                   | 21.706                        | 20.883                        |

Notes.  
$^a$ Measured flux folded through $g$ filter response.  
$^b$ Instrumental magnitude of the neighboring K star.  
$^c$ EU Cnc photometry corrected to standard system.

---

**Table 3**

| Observation Date (UT) | Obs. Time (UT) | Relative Phase$^a$ | Counts (ADU) | $V/I$ (%) | $\sigma(V/I)$ (%) |
|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------|-------------------|
| 2007 Dec 14           | 10:28          | ...              | 74147        | -12.7     | 1.8               |
| 2007 Dec 14           | 10:39          | 0.08             | 55485        | -6.3      | 2.3               |
| 2007 Dec 16           | 08:41          | 0.10             | 48303        | -2.8      | 2.6               |
| 2007 Dec 16           | 08:52          | 0.19             | 30698        | 4.6       | 4.0               |

Note. $^a$ Phase relative to first polarimetric observation.

---

**Figure 3.** The light curve for EU Cnc. Large filled circles are the spectrophotometry data from this work; error bars are not included but are likely at least 0.03 mag. Filled triangles with error bars (red in the online journal) are data from Nair et al. (2005), shifted in phase by an arbitrary offset. Stars (blue in the online journal) are spectropolarimetric data from this work. The light curves are qualitatively nearly identical between these data sets, suggesting little change in the state of the polar between early 2004 and late 2007. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
the 0.002 hr uncertainty in the photometric period of Gilliland et al. (1991) is ≈7.5 cycles. However, from the total counts in the polarimetry measurements, we know that these observations were taken on the declining portion of the light curve. We therefore calculate relative magnitudes from the total intensity measurements in Table 3 and add an arbitrary magnitude zero point and phase shift to place these points in the folded light curve of Figure 3.

From this exercise, we see that the rate of decline in the observed intensity agrees with that observed in the time-series photometry of Nair et al. (2005) and in our spectrophotometry, indicating that the spectroscopic phase of the first polarimetric observations was φ ≈ 0.5 to 0.65. Comparison with the timeseries spectra in Figure 1 shows that this corresponds to a phase when the cyclotron humps are dominant in the spectrum; these humps then vanish by a phase φ = 0.85. This is consistent with the strong degree of polarization observed in the first exposure, and the weaker/insignificant polarization in the other polarimetry exposures.

In summary, we detect significant polarization from EU Cnc at a phase likely corresponding to strong spectroscopic cyclotron emission features, and the degree of polarization decreased below our detection threshold at phases when the cyclotron features were fading or not evident in the spectrum. This proves that the features are indeed cyclotron emission. From a semantic point of view, this detection of significant polarization also confirms that we can use the moniker “polar” to refer to EU Cnc.

3. DISCUSSION

3.1. Cluster Membership

EU Cnc is projected ≈1.7′ away from the cluster center defined by Montgomery et al. (1993, 0.4 pc at the cluster distance), well within the observed core radius of ≈4.5′ (e.g., Tinsley & King 1976; Bonatto & Bica 2003). However, this proximity alone is not sufficient evidence of cluster membership.

Bellini et al. (2010) present proper-motion data for WDs in M67, precise to V ≈ 26, obtained from multiple-epoch imaging from the Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope and the Large Binocular Telescope. Figure 4 shows the vector-point diagram of objects within 20′ from the cluster center (right panel). The circle indicates the proper motion membership cut adopted by Bellini et al. (2010); the error bars show the displacement uncertainty for EU Cnc, solidly inside the membership circle. In addition, the proper-motion selected color–magnitude diagram (left panel) shows that EU Cnc lies on the WD cooling sequence of M67.

Astrometry performed on EU Cnc and three encircling brighter known member stars, using the POSS I and II plates as well as a deep, 0.′4 seeing image obtained by us at the Kitt Peak WIYN telescope in 2007, show that the polar’s location relative to the other three stars changes by 0.′3 ± 0.′5 over the 60 year interval. This is a similar uncertainty to that for each of the brighter stars relative to each other. We therefore feel confident that EU Cnc is a member of the M67 star cluster.

3.2. A Low-state Polar in M67

Nair et al. (2005) suggest that the luminosity of EU Cnc is lower than other high state polars and fully consistent with the luminosity of low-state polars in globular clusters; the distances to field magnetic CVs are too uncertain to allow for such a precise comparison. The spectra obtained in this study, as well as those prior, show the clear indications of a low state polar: a steep Balmer decrement (compared to a flat decrement and Balmer jump in emission in high states) and the separable narrow and broad emission line components. Warner (1999) examine the high–low state range of polars and notes that the magnitude difference is typically near 3–4 for a 2 hr polar. Additionally, low state polars have absolute magnitudes near 11–12 in V compared with 8–9 in V when in a high state. If EU Cnc were in a high state with its observed apparent V magnitude, it would need to reside at a distance of near 3100 pc. However, in its low state and V ∼ 21, we find it to be at a distance of approximately 850 pc or the same distance as M67 (Sarajedini et al. 2009).

Additionally, we detect the secondary star in the optical spectrum of EU Cnc (see the late phase red spectra in Figures 1 and 5) by noting the TiO absorption bands chopping into the spectrum at the characteristic locations near 6800 Å and...
7100 Å. The shape and relative amplitude of the TiO humps are a good match to a M5V star which is also the expected spectral type of the secondary star in EU Cnc (see below). Noting the dilution of the TiO bands compared to a single M5V star, we determine that the secondary star contributes 20%–25% of the continuum redward of 6000 Å. This estimate suggests that the M5V secondary star will have an apparent magnitude near 22.5 and, for its $M_V = 12.6$, yields a distance to EU Cnc of 832 pc, again the same as M67. The M star continuum is also the likely cause of filling in the Zeeman split Hα absorption components.

3.3. The Masses of the White Dwarf Accretor and Its Progenitor Star

An advantage of finding a magnetic CV in an open star cluster is that numerous constraints can be placed on the progenitor system. As EU Cnc is a member of Messier 67, its initial metallicity and total evolutionary age are identical to the cluster’s characteristics. With some reasonable assumptions, we can go one step further to constrain the WD’s mass and cooling age, which we can then use to constrain the WD progenitor’s mass. We describe these steps in detail below, but note that this methodology has been used in numerous open cluster and field star studies to determine WD progenitor masses (e.g., Liebert et al. 2005; Kalirai et al. 2008; Catalán et al. 2008; Williams et al. 2009; Dobbie et al. 2012).

WD masses are often determined through model atmosphere fits of the WD spectrum, but absorption lines from the WD photosphere are not convincingly evident in our data, being filled in and misshaped by the emission. However, we note that Liebert & Stockman (1985) and Mason et al. (2008) determined that the “center of mass” for the narrow emission lines originates between $L_1$ and the center of mass of the donor, that is they approximate the center of mass of the secondary star. We therefore assume that the narrow component of the emission lines originates from the center of mass of the secondary star and use our velocity amplitude to determine the mass function of the system and to estimate the mass of the WD, $M_{WD}$.

The mass function $f(M)$ of the system is

$$f(M) = \frac{(M_{WD} \sin i)^3}{(M_{WD} + M_2)^2} = \frac{P K^2 (1 - e^2)^{3/2}}{2 \pi G},$$

where $M_2$ is the mass of the donor star. Assuming a circular orbit ($e = 0$) with a period $P = 2.091$ hr and the velocity amplitude $K = 340$ km s$^{-1}$, from Equation (1) we find $f(M) = 0.356 M_\odot$.

Since we do not see eclipses, we can constrain the inclination to be $\leq 74^\circ$ (e.g., Warner 1995). If we assume $M_{WD} \gg M_2$, we therefore find that $M_{WD} \geq 0.40 M_\odot$. If instead we assume an average value of $(\sin^3 i) = 0.679$ (e.g., Trimble 1974), then we find $M_{WD} = 0.52 M_\odot$.

However, the mass of the donor star is not negligible. Howell et al. (2001) present detailed evolution models for the secondary stars in CVs and note that for those systems with orbital periods below the period gap (<2.5 hr), the secondary stars follow the normal main-sequence mass–radius relationship. We therefore expect the mass of the secondary star in EU Cnc to be $M_2 = 0.21 M_\odot$ with a radius of $R_2 = 0.22 R_\odot$. These values are roughly those of an M5V star. Adopting this mass for the secondary star, we find $M_{WD} \gtrsim 0.68 M_\odot$ (since $i \lesssim 74^\circ$) and $M_{WD} = 0.83 M_\odot$ for $(\sin^3 i) = 0.679$, a value consistent with the mean masses of WDs in post-common-envelope binaries (e.g., Zorotovic et al. 2011).

We note that these masses assume that our velocity amplitude is correct. As noted in Section 2.2.1, our value of $K$ assumes that our velocity amplitude is resolving the narrow component of the emission lines and that the narrow lines trace the center of mass of the secondary star. Higher spectral resolutions would be necessary to test the first assumption, and so we do not have good constraints on the errors or our confidence limits on the WD mass. However, the WD mass estimates are similar to or higher than the spectroscopic masses of young member WDs in M67 ($M = 0.5–0.6 M_\odot$; K. A. Williams et al., in preparation). Further, through a lucky coincidence, our ignorance of the WD mass does not significantly impact estimates of the WD’s progenitor mass. We therefore proceed (perhaps quixotically) to constrain the WD’s progenitor mass.

Figure 5. Red spectrum from phase 0.865 in Figure 1 along with a scaled M5V spectrum taken from the Jacoby Atlas (star 57; Jacoby et al. 1984). The M5V star is scaled 1.4 mag fainter than the stellar continuum, approximating the level of contribution is provides to the red end of the spectrum of EU Cnc.
To constrain the progenitor mass, we use the methodology of Williams et al. (2009). To summarize, we use the effective temperature and mass of the WD to determine its cooling age (the elapsed time since the WD emerged from the asymptotic giant branch (AGB) progenitor star). This cooling age is subtracted from the cluster age to get the progenitor star’s nuclear lifetime; stellar evolutionary models are then used to infer the progenitor star mass.

The WD mass estimates are sufficiently high to conclude that the WD has a carbon–oxygen core, indicating that the common envelope phase for the progenitor system did not occur before helium ignition in the WD progenitor. Since the AGB phase of stellar evolution is relatively short compared to the WD cooling times and progenitor nuclear lifetimes we estimate for this star, we assume that any effect of the common envelope phase on the calculation of the progenitor nuclear lifetime is minimal.

In particular, proper motion studies strongly indicate that this star lead to conclusions that are consistent with the system’s membership in M67. EU Cnc is one of only four CVs confirmed to reside in open clusters and the only confirmed magnetic CV in an open cluster. More detailed photometric, polarimetric, and spectroscopic observations on large telescopes will be required to refine the system parameters and model the system with state-of-the-art analyses. However, models of magnetic CV formation and evolution are not usually attempted and never well constrained; further study of EU Cnc will greatly aid in these endeavors.
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