Review Article

Schistosoma Tegument Proteins in Vaccine and Diagnosis Development: An Update
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The development of a vaccine against schistosomiasis and also the availability of a more sensitive diagnosis test are important tools to help chemotherapy in controlling disease transmission. Bioinformatics tools, together with the access to parasite genome, published recently, should help generate new knowledge on parasite biology and search for new vaccines or therapeutic targets and antigens to be used in the disease diagnosis. Parasite surface proteins, especially those expressed in schistosomula tegument, represent interesting targets to be used in vaccine formulations and in the diagnosis of early infections, since the tegument represents the interface between host and parasite and its molecules are responsible for essential functions to parasite survival. In this paper we will present the advances in the development of vaccines and diagnosis tests achieved with the use of the information from schistosome genome focused on parasite tegument as a source for antigens.

1. Introduction

Schistosomiasis is still a significant public health problem in tropical countries despite the existence of effective drugs against the parasite [1]. Chemotherapy as a strategy for disease control has proved ineffective in controlling transmission [1] therefore, the development of a vaccine against the disease and also a more sensitive diagnosis test is necessary to assist chemotherapy in control programs [1, 2].

In this context, the recent availability of schistosome genomes information represents an important toll to be used in the discovery of new targets for vaccine and diagnosis. Schistosoma mansoni genome, published in 2009 [3] described 11.809 genes while Schistosoma japonicum genome [4] has been described to be composed of 13.469 genes. Their assemblies were generated by conventional capillary sequencing resulting in 19.022 scaffolds (S. mansoni) and 25.048 scaffolds (S. japonicum). More recently an improved version of the S. mansoni genome was published [5], utilizing a combination of traditional Sanger capillary sequencing and deep-coverage Illumina sequencing that refined gene prediction resulting in a reduction in the number of predicted genes from 11.809 to 10.852. Illumina-based technology was also used in Schistosoma haematobium genome sequencing, which described 13.073 genes [6].

Simultaneously to genome publication, an important tool to access and analyze parasite genome has been developed, the SchistoDB (http://www.schistodb.net/) database [7]. The SchistoDB enables access to information on the parasite genome even to those researchers not specialized in computer language. The current 3.0 database version provides access to the latest draft of S. mansoni genome sequence and annotation and also to S. japonicum and S. haematobium genome annotation.

The bioinformatics tools, together with the availability to access parasite genome, should have helped the knowledge of parasite biology and the search for new vaccines, therapeutic targets, and antigens to be used in the disease diagnosis. In
this paper we will present the advances in the development of vaccines and diagnostics tests achieved with the use of the information from schistosome genome, focus will be given to the parasite tegument as a source for antigens.

2. Host-Parasite Relationship: Role for the Parasite Tegument

Highly adapted to parasitic life, schistosomes can live for years or decades even in a hostile environment as the circulatory system from vertebrate host where the parasite has an intimate contact with circulating elements of the immune system [8].

In this successful host-parasite relationship, the host immune system plays an important role in both parasite development and elimination. CD4+ cells, hormones, and cytokines as TNF-α, TGF-β, and IL-7 produced by the host, seem to assist the parasite development [9–15]. While CD4+ cells, B cells, IFN-γ, and TNF-α has been described to be involved in parasite elimination in the irradiated cercariae vaccine model [16–18].

Moreover, the highly adapted relationship between schistosomes and the mammalian definitive host also involves the effective mechanisms for evading the immune response that they provoke. In this context, the parasite tegument plays an important role [19, 20]. After penetration, the parasite surface undergoes a profound change that allows parasite adaptation into the host internal microenvironment where the parasite switches from its immune-sensitive to an immune-refractory state [21]. In cercariae, the surface is characterized by a single bilayer membrane covered by a dense glicocalyx. During penetration, the glicocalyx is lost and the membrane transforms into a double bilayer membrane [22]. Evading mechanisms as antigenic mimicry, membrane turnover, production of immunomodulatory molecules and modulation of surface antigens expression also takes place in the parasite surface and contributes to schistosome survival [23, 24].

Trying to eliminate the parasite, host immune system targets the antigens in parasite surface. Studies in mice have shown that the developmental stage most susceptible to the host immune system attack is the schistosoma stage. Very early after infection, schistosomula are susceptible to cellular and humoral immunity, however, in the course of parasite development the susceptibility is rapidly lost [25, 26]. The resistance to host immune response acquired by parasites can be in part explained by surface changes independently of host antigens adsorption [27–29]. In addition, El Ridi and colleagues [30], demonstrated that lung-stage schistosomulum protect themselves from the host immune system by confining antigenic molecules in lipid-rich sites of surface membrane. In contrast, McLaren, in 1989 [31], demonstrated that both skin and lung schistosomula phases are targets of the immune system in the radiation-attenuated vaccine model which trigger an inflammatory reaction around the larvae inhibiting their migration.

Since schistosomula is the major target of the host immune system attack and its tegument represents the interface between parasite and host, also performing vital functions that ensure parasite survival [32], the study of its structure and how it interacts with the host immune system can provide important information about disease control, especially those related to the search for new drugs and vaccine development. We have recently demonstrated that the schistosomula tegument from *S. mansoni* (Smteg) is recognized by TLR4 in dendritic cells (DC) leading to DC activation and production of proinflammatory cytokines as IL-12 and TNF-α [33]. In contrast to this inflammatory profile, Smteg also induce IL-10 production by DC in a TLR (Toll like receptors) 2, 3, 4, and 9 independent manner (unpublished data) once again demonstrating that schistosomula tegument can both activate or modulate host immune system.

3. The Tegument as Antigen Source for Vaccine Development

Most of the studies that aimed to identify membrane proteins in parasite tegument were performed in adult worms [34–36]. Although schistosomula is the major target for host immunity, its tegument proteins have still not been characterized, mainly due to the difficulty in obtaining sufficient quantities of material for such protein studies [37]. Indeed protective antigens are found in *S. mansoni* schistosomula tegument (Smteg) since mice immunization with Smteg formulated with Freunds’ adjuvant [38] or Alum + CPG-ODN (unpublished data) is able to reduce significantly worm burden and egg elimination with the feces. The characterization of these protective antigens is being performed using immune-proteomics analysis and genome databases to identify candidates to be used in a vaccine formulation against schistosomiasis. Other “omics” technologies are also being used to identify schistosoma proteins, mainly those expressed in schistosomula. In this context, two studies, using cDNA microarrays technologies assessed the most relevant transcriptional changes in the schistosomula development phase. These studies demonstrated that tetraspanin, Sm22.6, Sm29, Sm200 and phosphadiesterase are membrane proteins are highly expressed during schistosomula phase [39, 40]. Furthermore, the studies that used gene silencing through RNAi technique could clarify the importance of some proteins, such as cathepsins [41, 42] and tetraspanins [43] for parasite development and survival. The same membrane protein was identified in adult worm tegument preparations using Mass spectrometry (MS-)–based proteomics [33, 34] together with genome, transcriptome and genetic maps information [3, 44–46]. Recently a proteomic analysis demonstrated that Sm29 and Sm200 are linked to parasite surface membrane through a GPI-anchor [47] while the most abundant protein in adult worm tegument, among the investigated molecules, are aquaporin, dysferlin, TSP-2, and ATP diphosphohydrolase [48]. Among this expressive catalogue of protein expressed in the schistosome tegument, some of them have been evaluated as vaccine antigen in immunization protocols in mice. The Table 1 summarizes the results observed in these preclinical trials using tegument proteins.

Sm29 was identified by Cardoso and coworkers using in silico analysis to identify in *S. mansoni* transcriptome putative expressed proteins localized in the parasite tegument [49].
Table 1: Schistosome tegument protein evaluated as vaccine candidates in preclinical studies.

| Protein          | Vaccine type                  | Protection level | Egg reduction          | Bioinformatic tool used in antigen selection | References |
|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------|
| Sm 21.7          | Recombinant protein           | 41%–70%          | ND                     | ND                                          | [63]       |
| Sm 21.7          | DNA vaccine                   | 41.5%            | 62% (liver)            | ND                                          | [64]       |
| Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase | DNA vaccine               | 44%–60%          | ND                     | ND                                          | [65]       |
| Sm TSP2          | Recombinant protein           | 57%              | 64% (liver) 65% (feces)| InterProScan, SignalIP 3.0, Signal IP Neural, NetNGlyc 1.0, BLAST, WolfpSORT, SOSUI, Compute pI/Mw tool, | [57, 83]  |
| Sm29             | Recombinant protein           | 51%              | 60% (intestine)        | BLAST                                       | [49, 50]  |
| ECL (200 kDa protein) | DNA vaccine              | 38.1%            | ND                     | ND                                          | [61]       |
| Sm 22.6          | Recombinant protein           | 34.5%            | ND                     | BLAST                                       | [53]       |
| Sm TSP 1         | Recombinant protein           | 34%              | 52% (liver) 69% (intestine)| BLAST                                       | [57, 83]  |

ND: not determined.

Sm29 recombinant form induces a Th1 profile in mice associated with a reduction of 51% in worm burden when used in vaccine formulation [50]. The tegumental protein, Sm22.6 and its homologue in *S. japonicum* (Sj22.6), are involved in resistance to reinfection in endemic areas [51, 52]. Immunization of mice with recombinant 22.6 formulated with Freund adjuvant resulted in 34.5% reduction on worm burden [53] while Sm22.6 formulated with alum failed to induce protection against schistosomiasis but induced a regulatory response able to modulate allergic asthma in mice [54, 55].

Tetraspanins (TSP) 1 and 2 were identified in a cDNA library from *S. mansoni* based on their membrane-targeting signal [56]. Immunization of mice with TSP1 recombinant protein resulted in a reduction of 57% in worm burden and reduction in the number of eggs in liver (64%) and intestine (65%), TSP2 recombinant protein was less effective in reducing worm burden (34%) but had similar effects in reducing the number of eggs trapped in the liver (52%) and intestine (69%) [57]. The TSP-2 homologue in *S. japonicum* has also been evaluated in murine immunization however no protection was observed [58].

ECL or Sm200 is a GPI-anchored protein in the *S. mansoni* tegument that has also been associated with praziquantel efficacy, since antibodies against this protein can restore drug efficacy in B cells depleted mice [59, 60]. Murine DNA vaccination with the gene encoding Sm200 elicited 38.1% protection while immunization of mice with enzymatically cleaved GPI-anchored proteins from the *S. mansoni* tegument, in which Sm200 represent the most abundant protein result in 43% reduction in adult worm burden [61, 62]. Sm21.7 was tested as antigen in a recombinant vaccine [63] and DNA vaccine [64]. Immunization of mice with recombinant Sm21.7 resulted in a decrease of 41%–70% in worm burden while DNA vaccination resulted in of 41.5% worm burden reduction [63, 64].

The schistosome antioxidant enzymes (Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase-SOD, glutathione-S-peroxidase-GPX) are developmentally regulated. The lowest level of gene expression and enzyme-specific activity was found in the larval stages while the highest level of gene expression was observed in adult worms [65–68]. This suggests that antioxidant enzymes are important in immune evasion by adult schistosome parasites [67]. Also RNAi assays demonstrated that knocking down the antioxidants enzymes GPX and GST result in dramatic decreases in sporocysts survival indicating that these enzymes are capable of enhancing parasite survival in an oxidative environment [69]. Mice immunized with the antioxidant enzyme Cu-Zn superoxide dismutase in a DNA vaccine strategy resulted in 44–60% reduction in worm burden [65].

### 4. Antigens to Be Used in Schistosomiasis Diagnostic Test

Currently, all available techniques for the diagnosis of schistosomiasis are characterized by having some limitations, especially when it becomes necessary to detect infection in a large number of patients with low parasite load [70]. One of the initial difficulties in the development of a test for the diagnosis of schistosomiasis is the choice of an appropriate antigen. There are several factors that influence this choice: easily of production, high stability in sample storage, immunogenicity, specificity, and ability to be incorporated to low costs test platforms [71].

In this context, the availability of the complete genome sequences in combination with other technologies such as bioinformatics and proteomics, provides important tolls to seek for an ideal candidate to compose an efficient immunodiagnostic test. With this in mind, our group have recently designed an *in silico* strategy based in the principles of reverse vaccinology, and using a rational criteria to mine candidates in parasite genome to be used in the immunodiagnosis of schistosomiasis [72]. Six antigens were selected based on the evidence of gene expression at different phases of the parasite
Table 2: Schistosoma mansoni protein selected by genome mining to be used in serological diagnosis for schistosomiasis.

| Protein | SchistoDB number | Annotation | Number of amino acid | Base pairs | Predicted molecular weight | Predicted isoelectric point | Predicted location       |
|---------|-----------------|------------|----------------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Sm200   | Smp_017730      | 200-kDa GPI-anchored surface glycoprotein | 1656 | 4971 | 186.5 kDa | 4.97 | Tegument surface membranes |
| Sm12.8  | Smp_034420.1    | Expressed protein | 117  | 354  | 12.8 kDa  | 6.88 | Extracellular              |
| Sm43.5  | Smp_042910      | Expressed protein | 382  | 1149 | 43.5 kDa  | 8.43 | Extracellular              |
| Sm127.9 | Smp_171300      | Hypothetical protein | 1143 | 3432 | 127.9 kDa | 6.63 | Extracellular              |
| Sm18.9  | Smp_184440      | Cytochrome oxidase subunit, putative | 171  | 516  | 18.9 kDa  | 9.30 | Extracellular              |
| Sm16.5  | Smp_184550      | Cytochrome oxidase subunit, putative | 146  | 441  | 16.5 kDa  | 9.14 | Extracellular              |

Adapted of Carvalho et al., 2011 [72].

life cycle in the definitive host, accessibility to host immune system (exposed proteins), low similarity with human and other helminthic proteins, and presence of predicted B cells epitopes (Table 2) [72]. Although our in silico analysis led to identification of six candidates, this strategy has not been yet experimentally validated.

Other groups have also used bioinformatics analysis to select target sequence from S. japonicum genome to be used for the detection of parasite DNA in blood samples. A 230-bp sequence from the highly repetitive retrotransposon SjR2 was identified and it was demonstrated that PCR test to detect SjR2 is highly sensitive and specific for detection S. japonicum infection in the sera of infected rabbits and patients [73]. More recently the same group performed a comparative study to determine the best target to be used in a molecular diagnosis test for schistosomiasis japonicum in 29 retrotransposons identified by bioinformatics analysis. A 303-bp sequence had the highest sensitivity and specificity for the detection of S. japonicum DNA in serum samples [74].

Proteomics analysis has also been used in the identification of candidates to the immunodiagnosis of schistosomiasis. Western Blot with sera from S. japonicum infected rabbit in a two-dimensional gel loaded with adult worm preparation identified 10 spots that were demonstrated by LC/MS-MS to correspond to four different proteins: SjLAP (Leucine aminopeptidases), SjFBPA (fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase), SjGST (Glutathione-S-transferase) and Sj22.6 [75]. Recombinant SjLAP and SjFBPA were tested in ELISA assay and presented high efficacy for the diagnosis of S. japonicum infection, with 96.7% specificity for both proteins and 98.1% or 87.8% sensitivity to detect acute and chronically infected individuals, respectively, when SjLAP was used as antigen or a sensitivity of 100% (acute) and 84.7% (chronic infection) when SjFBPA was used as antigen [75].

5. Other Membrane Proteins Candidates to Be Used in Vaccine Formulation and Diagnosis Tests

Aquaporins are small integral membrane proteins involved in the selective transportation of water and other solutes through plasma membranes of mammals, plants and lower organisms [76]. This protein was described to be abundant in schistosome tegument and due to its physiological function and abundance represent an interesting target to vaccines and diagnosis tests [48]. Characterization of the S. japonicum aquaporin-3 using bioinformatics tools demonstrated that this 32.9 kDa transmembrane protein has predicted B cells epitopes with the most likely epitopes present in the N-terminal portion of the protein, located outside the membrane [77]. Other abundant protein in schistosoma tegument is dysferlin, based on analogy with homologues from other organisms, this protein seems to be involved in membrane repair and/or vesicle fusion in tegument surface [34].

ATP-diphosphohydrolases are enzymes involved in ADP and ATP hydrolysis that has been related to host immune system evasion, since this enzyme could hydrolyze the ATP produced in response to parasite induced stress in the endothelio thus modulating the DAMP (danger associated molecular pattern)-mediated inflammatory signaling [78, 79]. In schistosomes two different proteins have been described SmATPDase 1 and SmATPDase2 with approximately 63 and 55 kDa [80, 81]. SmATPDase 1 is located in the border of the tegument while SmATPDase2 is located in internal structure of the tegument syncytiun and can be secreted [81]. The immunogenicity of the synthetic peptide (r175–190) from SmATPDase2 has been demonstrated in Balb-c mice, however the protection induced by this epitope has not been evaluated [82].

Although most tegument protein listed in this paper has been identified in adult worm tegument, an in silico analysis performed in SchistoDB (http://www.schistodb.net/) demonstrates that some of them are also expressed in the schistosomula stage as demonstrated in Figure 1 reinforcing their potential to be used in a vaccine formulation or in the early diagnosis of schistosome infection.

6. Conclusion

So far the genome, transcriptome, and proteome information provided many targets to be tested in schistosomiasis vaccine and diagnosis and also new knowledge about schistosome biology. However approximately 40% of the schistosome genome is composed of hypothetical proteins with unknown function that represents interesting targets to be
tested and characterized. An increase in the knowledge about parasite biology, pathogenesis, and host-parasite relationship can be expected for the next years.
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