Myths about Insulin Resistance: Tribute to Gerald Reaven
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Gerald Reaven was often called the “father of insulin resistance.” On the 1-year anniversary of his death in 2018, we challenge three myths associated with insulin resistance: metformin improves insulin resistance; measurement of waist circumference predicts insulin resistance better than body mass index; and insulin resistance causes weight gain. In this review, we highlight Reaven’s relevant research that helped to dispel these myths associated with insulin resistance.
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INTRODUCTION

Gerald Reaven was an active emeritus professor of Medicine at Stanford University until his death at the age of 89 on February 12, 2018 (Fig. 1). He is widely credited with establishing the importance of insulin resistance in human disease, especially type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and cardiovascular disease [1]. He was a rigorous physician scientist who often challenged medical dogma. Here we discuss three common medical myths related to insulin resistance and how Reaven’s research helped to dispel them.

MYTH: METFORMIN IMPROVES INSULIN RESISTANCE

Metformin is a widely-prescribed drug [2] and generally recommended as the first-line treatment for T2DM [3]. Metformin is a biguanide (formed via fusion of two guanidine molecules), and its glucose-lowering properties have been appreciated since the 1920s [4]. Less potent than other biguanides, like phenformin and buformin, metformin initially received less clinical attention. When other biguanides were withdrawn from the market due to increased risk of lactic acidosis in the 1970s, metformin was spared but mostly rejected. Although available in Europe since the 1950s, metformin did not receive drug approval in the
United States (US) until 1994. Reaven is credited as a key individual who engaged with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration to design clinical trials, discuss data and to consider clinical use of metformin in the USA [4].

Jean Sterne is credited for suggesting the name “glucophage” (meaning glucose eater) for metformin [4]. Although the glucose-lowering effects of metformin have been known for some time and validated in large clinical trials such as the UK Prospective Diabetes Study [5], the mechanism of action of metformin continues to be actively debated and investigated. The pervasive view for mechanism of action is that metformin improves insulin sensitivity [6,7]. Here, we should define the term “insulin sensitivity” which is often used to describe peripheral insulin sensitivity or insulin-mediated glucose uptake (IMGU) at the level of the muscle. IMGU can be quantified by the hyperinsulinemic clamp [8] or the insulin suppression test [9]. Natali and Ferrannini [10] reviewed studies using the hyperinsulinemic clamp technique and found no significant effect of metformin treatment on IMGU compared to placebo in individuals with T2DM. Metformin treatment also did not improve IMGU as measured by the insulin suppression test in individuals with prediabetes selected to have impaired glucose tolerance [11].

Treatment with metformin can variably reduce insulin concentrations [12,13], and this finding has led to misconceptions about metformin’s mechanism of action. When both glucose and insulin concentrations fall in response to an experimental manipulation, it is often assumed that these parallel changes reflect an improvement in IMGU. If metformin treatment does not improve IMGU, what then is the mechanism of metformin?

Metformin treatment is generally believed to decrease hepatic glucose production [6,10,14]; however, Abbasi et al. [15,16] showed no effect of metformin treatment on endogenous glucose production in individuals with T2DM. Instead, Abbasi et al. [15,16] showed that metformin treatment decreases free fatty acid (FFA) concentrations at basal insulin concentrations and increases metabolic clearance rate of glucose. They hypothesized that metformin suppresses lipolysis during fasting and thus enhances glucose clearance at basal insulin concentrations.

In order to appreciate this physiology, it is important to remember that insulin inhibits lipolysis in adipose tissue at lower insulin concentrations than are needed to stimulate glucose uptake in muscle. Using graded insulin-infusion studies, Swislocki et al. [17] demonstrated that FFA concentrations are suppressed at half maximal levels when insulin concentrations are raised from 6 to 20 µU/mL. On the other hand, IMGU in muscle is stimulated when insulin concentrations reach >50 µU/mL [8,18]. FFA concentrations are almost maximally suppressed when insulin concentrations are raised to this level [17]. Thus, Swislocki et al. [17] elegantly demonstrated that evaluation of insulin action at the level of the adipose tissue versus muscle needs to be studied at different insulin concentrations.

To evaluate the effect of metformin on lipolysis, Reaven infused a low dose of insulin at 5 mU/m²/min, as well as somatostatin to inhibit endogenous insulin and glucagon secretion. For comparison, the insulin infusion rates are greater than 20 mU/m²/min during the hyperinsulinemic clamp [8] and insulin suppression test [9] to measure IMGU. Using this low-dose insulin infusion protocol, Abbasi et al. [15,16] found that FFA concentrations are lower and glucose clearance is higher after metformin treatment. They concluded that metformin suppresses lipolysis, leading to lower ambient FFA concentrations, and improves glucose clearance. Thus, metformin improves basal, but not insulin-stimulated glucose disposal. Table 1 shows a summary of proposed mechanisms of action for metformin.

The molecular mechanisms governing effects of metformin on lipolysis and glucose clearance still require clarification. Metformin may inhibit lipolysis [19,20] by stimulating 5’ AMP-activated kinase (AMPK) [21]. However, whether this fully accounts for the observed reduction in FFA after metformin treatment remains unclear [22].

**MYTH: MEASUREMENT OF WAIST CIRCUMFERENCE PREDICTS INSULIN RESISTANCE BETTER THAN BODY MASS INDEX**

Given the well-established fact that weight gain increases risk for insulin resistance and associated cardiometabolic diseases, many investigators have focused on identifying the ideal mea-
sure of obesity (e.g., waist circumference [WC] vs. body mass index [BMI]). Reaven had always emphasized that most measures of obesity provide similar associations with insulin resistance. In fact, he argued that the central question should not be how to assess degrees of obesity but “how to best identify the subset of those individuals who are at increased risk of developing many adverse clinical syndromes because of excess adiposity [23].”

The notion that abdominal obesity initiates cardiometabolic abnormalities is widely held [24], and WC is codified as part of the metabolic syndrome criteria [25]. Other criteria for the metabolic syndrome include cutpoints for fasting glucose, triglyceride and HDL-C concentration and blood pressure [26], all of which represent cardiometabolic abnormalities that arise from insulin resistance. In contrast, WC is a measure of adiposity that may increase risk for insulin resistance but it does not result from nor does it assure the presence of insulin resistance.

Most investigators attribute the concept of the metabolic syndrome to Reaven [1] and his description of “syndrome X”—a cluster of metabolic abnormalities (hyperinsulinemia, glucose intolerance, dyslipidemia, and hypertension) associated with insulin resistance. Reaven [27], however, did not believe in the utility of the metabolic syndrome criteria, and felt the inclusion of a measure of adiposity was unnecessary and the choice of WC over BMI arbitrary [28].

Indeed, Farin et al. [29], Abbasi et al. [30], and Sung et al. [31] showed that the relationship between BMI and WC are similarly related in both men and women. BMI and WC are highly correlated with one another with an $r$ value >0.8 [29-31]. Moreover, the relationship between BMI and WC are similarly related to quantitative measures of IMGU (peripheral insulin resistance) in both men and women [29]. Fig. 2 shows the relationship between BMI and WC in 751 individuals (440 women, 311 men) and the relationship between BMI and WC with insulin resistance (quantified by measuring the steady-state plasma glucose [SSPG], during the insulin suppression test). Again the $r$ value between BMI and WC in both women ($r=0.84$) and men ($r=0.86$) are high, and the relationships between both measures of adiposity with insulin resistance are similar.

Given lower BMI thresholds for Asians, there has also been a greater emphasis on identifying abdominal obesity as a surrogate measure for insulin resistance in these populations [26]. Reaven however showed that BMI and WC were similarly related to cardiometabolic risk in South Koreans [31] and South Indians [30,32].

As BMI and WC are crude measures of obesity, many have

![Fig. 2. Relationship among waist circumference, body mass index (BMI), and insulin resistance. (A) BMI and waist circumference are highly correlated with each other in both women (open circle, $n=440$) and men (solid triangle, $n=311$). Insulin resistance as quantified by measuring the steady-state plasma glucose (SSPG) during the insulin suppression test is positively and similarly associated with both (B) BMI and (C) waist circumference. All Pearson’s $r$ values were significant ($P<0.001$).](image-url)
argued that the volume of certain fat depots, especially visceral fat, associate more closely with cardiometabolic risk [33]. However, most measures of fat (visceral, subcutaneous, and total fat) correlate highly with one another and with measures of insulin resistance [28]. Thus, Reaven [28] argued that the “untoward effect of excess adiposity on insulin resistance, associated CVD risk factors and adverse clinical outcomes seems to be quite comparable, whether overall obesity or abdominal obesity is used as the index of adiposity.”

**MYTH: INSULIN RESISTANCE CAUSES WEIGHT GAIN**

Any measure of obesity is positively associated with insulin resistance, and weight gain likely increases insulin resistance. A popular myth has emerged that argues for the idea that insulin resistance enhances weight gain and/or prevents weight loss. This is the premise for bestselling weight-loss books such as “The obesity code” by Fung [34].

Insulin-resistant individuals compensate for a decrease in insulin action with an increase in plasma insulin concentration [35]. Since insulin stimulates lipogenesis [36], some have contended that the hyperinsulinemic state—which is necessary to compensate for insulin resistance—may hinder weight loss. Zavaroni et al. [37], however, disproved this hypothesis and showed that the degree of insulin response during an oral glucose tolerance test does not predict weight gain over 14 years of follow-up. In this study, adults with a baseline mean age of 40 years were stratified into quartiles based on their 2-hour insulin concentration after a 75-g oral glucose challenge. Insulin concentrations ranged from 18 µIU/mL in the lowest quartile to 106 µIU/mL in the highest. Although there was nearly a 6-fold difference in insulin concentrations, and presumably similar range of insulin resistance, weight gain was similar among the quartiles over 14 years of follow-up.

McLaughlin et al. [38] also showed that baseline differences in insulin resistance measured by the insulin suppression test did not predict degree of weight loss in response to a hypocaloric diet. In another study, obese women (BMI 30 to 36 kg/m²) who were characterized as either insulin sensitive or insulin resistant based on the insulin suppression test lost the same degree of weight in response to a hypocaloric diet and sibutramine (an older weight-loss medication which has subsequently been discontinued) over a 4-month period [39]. Insulin-resistant women lost 8.6 kg and insulin-sensitive women 7.9 kg. Insulin-resistant women also significantly improved their degree of insulin resistance by 34%, suggesting that IMGU can be modified by weight loss.

Recently, Gardner et al. [40] showed that overweight/obese individuals lost the same amount of weight on low-fat and low-carbohydrate diets, regardless of their plasma insulin concentrations (measured at 30 minutes during the 75-g oral glucose tolerance test). When individuals were stratified by tertiles of plasma insulin concentrations, the degree of weight loss did not vary across the tertiles, further supporting the idea that the degree of hyperinsulinemia does not change the ability of individuals to lose weight. Furthermore, the type of diet (low-fat vs. low-carbohydrate) or diet genotype (which suggested greater response to either low-fat or low-carbohydrate diets, or neither) also did not change the ability of individuals to lose weight. In conclusion, overweight/obese insulin-resistant individuals can lose weight to the same degree as insulin-sensitive individuals.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This review challenges three common myths associated with insulin resistance with work by Reaven. To those who knew him, Reaven was an inspiration, and he will be remembered for his scientific rigor, productivity, and lifelong fight for truth and discovery. His work remains invaluable and relevant even today.
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