Bioluminescent Antibodies through Photoconjugation of Protein G–Luciferase Fusion Proteins
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ABSTRACT: Bioluminescent antibodies represent attractive detection agents in both bioanalytical assays and imaging. Currently, their preparation relies on genetic fusion of luciferases to antibodies or nonspecific chemical conjugation strategies. Here, we report a generic method to generate well-defined covalent antibody–luciferase conjugates starting from commercially available monoclonal antibodies. Our approach uses fusion proteins consisting of the bright blue light-emitting luciferase NanoLuc (NL) and an Fc-binding protein domain (Gx) that can be photo-cross-linked to the antibody using UV light illumination. Green and red color variants were constructed by tight fusion of the NanoLuc with a green fluorescent acceptor domain and introduction of Cy3, respectively. To increase the already bright NanoLuc emission, tandem fusions were successfully developed in which the Gx domain is fused to two or three copies of the NanoLuc domain. The Gx-NL fusion proteins can be efficiently photo-cross-linked to all human immunoglobulin G (IgG) isotypes and most mammalian IgG’s using 365 nm light, yielding antibodies with either one or two luciferase domains. The bioluminescent antibodies were successfully used in cell immunostaining and bioanalytical assays such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and Western blotting.

INTRODUCTION

Luminescence represents an attractive optical detection method, both in bioanalytical assays and for (in vivo) imaging applications.1,2 Even though the photon output of luminescence is lower than that of fluorescence, luminescence detection is typically orders of magnitude more sensitive because the absence of background fluorescence and scattering provides for a very low background.1 Chemiluminescent detection has found widespread use in immunosassays such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and Western blots, whereas bioluminescence has become an attractive detection method for in vivo optical imaging. The recent development of more efficient and stable luciferases and luciferase substrates has further expanded the application of bioluminescent detection in cell-based screening assays, point-of-care diagnostics, and in vivo imaging.3,4

A key step in the application of bioluminescence in immunosassays and immunostaining is connecting the reporter luciferase to the antibody used for molecular recognition. A classical approach is to use antibody–reporter conjugates such as horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies to detect the presence of a primary antibody. While this approach allows the use of a limited number of antibody–reporter conjugates to detect a large number of primary antibodies, the approach adds an additional incubation and washing step to immunoassays and is not suitable for in vivo imaging applications. Two approaches to generate direct luciferase–antibody conjugates have been used: genetic fusion of the luciferase to an antibody (fragment) and chemical conjugation of luciferases to monoclonal antibodies. Genetic fusion has the advantage of generating homogeneous conjugates with well-defined antibody–luciferase stoichiometry.4–11 However, genetic fusion requires cloning for each new antibody–luciferase conjugate and often involves cumbersome expression optimization and access to mammalian expression systems. A second general approach is to chemically conjugate the luciferase and antibody proteins, either covalently or noncovalently.12–14 While several approaches are available for covalent conjugation to commercially available monoclonal antibodies, these approaches do not allow precise control over the conjugation site, yielding a heterogeneous mixture of luciferase–antibody conjugates with little control over conjugation site and stoichiometry.15 The latter can be improved by fusing a series of chemical linkers to the antibody and subsequently chemically conjugating the luciferase to the linker.
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Figure 1A). This protein G variant was recently developed by
Hui and co-workers, who reported efficient and very specific
cross-linking of the protein domain to the Fc part of antibodies
upon photoactivation with 365 nm light.19 Importantly,
efficient cross-linking was observed for all major human IgG
subclasses and many other mammalian IgG’s. We developed a
series of fusion proteins in which this photo-cross-linkable
protein G is fused to blue, green, and red light-emitting
variants of NanoLuc luciferase (Figure 1B). To further
improve sensitivity, we also report the tandem fusion of multiple
copies of NanoLuc to a single protein G domain.
Following successful conjugation of the protein−luciferase
fusion proteins to a variety of monoclonal antibodies, several
applications of these bioluminescent antibodies are explored,
including cell immunostaining, ELISA, and Western blotting.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Protein Expression, Purification, and Characterization. In recent years, several groups have reported the use of
photo-cross-linkable Fc-binding protein domains as a generic
approach to synthesize antibody conjugates with a well-defined
stoichiometry.19−23 Here, we chose to use a protein G domain
variant developed by Tsourkas and co-workers for LASIC,
light-activated site-specific conjugation of native IgG’s.19 This
small protein domain, which we here refer to as Gx, contains
the photoreactive non-natural amino acid para-benzoylphenylalanine (pBPA) at position 24. Unlike protein-A-derived
photo-cross-linkable domains, which are isotype-specific, Gx
can be efficiently photo-cross-linked to a broad variety of IgG’s,
including all major human subtypes, most mice (IgG2a,2b,2c,3), and some rat (IgG2c) and rabbit (polyclonal)
subclasses. NanoLuc luciferase was chosen because of its small
size, thermodynamic stability, and brightness.1,24 Oxidation of
furimazine by NanoLuc produces bright blue light (460 nm)
that is relatively stable over time and ~100 times more intense
than the commonly used Renilla and Firefly luciferases. In
addition to simply fusing Gx to NanoLuc via a flexible linker,
we also designed fusion proteins in which NanoLuc was tightly
fused to the green fluorescent protein mNeonGreen or the red-
emitting tdTomato fluorescent proteins (Figure 1B).25 In these
determination, the energy of the product’s excited state is
efficiently transferred to the fluorescent domains via bio-
luminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET), providing
green and red light-emitting NanoLuc variants. In an effort to
further increase the bioluminescent intensity, we also
generated tandem fusion proteins containing two and three
NanoLuc domains fused to a single Gx domain. All fusion
proteins contained an N-terminal Strep-tag and a C-terminal
6xHis-tag to allow for straightforward affinity-based purifica-
tion of the full-size proteins.

Expression plasmids encoding the fusion proteins were
cotransformed in E. coli BL21(DE3) with the pEVOL-pBPF
vector containing the tRNA/tRNA synthetase for the
generation of the pBPA non-natural amino acid. All
proteins were efficiently expressed and purified to homogeneity
using a combination of nickel affinity and Strep-Tactin affinity
chromatography (Figure 1C), typically yielding 30 mg of pure
protein per liter of culture. Electrospray ionization quadrupole
time-of-flight (ESI-Q-TOF) analysis confirmed the expected
molecular weight for all fusion proteins showing incorporation
of the pBPA amino acid and full maturation of the fluorescent
proteins (Figure S1). All fusion proteins showed the expected
bioluminescent spectra (Figures 1D and S2). The Gx-mNG-
NL protein shows almost exclusively green emission,
consistent with highly efficient BRET between NanoLuc and mNeonGreen. As reported before, BRET is less efficient for the Gx-tdTom-NL protein, showing residual blue luminescence at 460 nm in addition to the main red peak at 600 nm. When comparing the absolute intensities of the fusion proteins with multiple NanoLuc domains, the intensity of the blue luminescence clearly increased with the number of NanoLuc domains (Figure 1E). The luminescent intensities appear to not be completely proportional to the number of NLs, but it can be challenging to compare absolute luminescent intensities between different proteins because the luminescent intensity is not stable over time.

**Photo-Cross-Linking.** When testing optimal conditions for photo-cross-linking, we noticed that the red fluorescence of the Gx-tdTom-NL protein was slowly bleached upon illumination with the 365 nm light required for photoactivation of the pBPA group, showing almost complete bleaching after 1 h, the time typically used for photoconjugation (Figure S3A). Fortunately, the mNeonGreen protein in Gx-mNG-NL was more stable under these conditions, showing only a 10% decrease after 1 h of illumination with 365 nm light (Figure S3B). To provide an alternative red bioluminescent variant, we decided to introduce Cy3 as a red fluorescent acceptor, after establishing that Cy3 fluorescence was not affected by the illumination conditions used during photo-cross-linking (Figure S3C). To do so, the native cysteine present at position 166 in NanoLuc was mutated to serine, and another cysteine was introduced near the C-terminus of NanoLuc. Conjugation to the native cysteine is known to inactivate the enzymatic activity of NanoLuc, while conjugation at the C-terminus was previously shown to not affect the luciferase activity.26 Incubation of Gx-NL-Cys with a 15-fold molar excess of maleimide-functionalized Cy3 resulted in a labeling efficiency of 93%. The emission spectrum of the resulting Gx-NL-Cy3 protein was similar to that of Gx-tdTom-NL (Figure 1D). The bioluminescent intensity was found to be attenuated, which is partially due to Cys166Ser mutation (Figure S2).28

Optimal conditions for photo-cross-linking were established using cetuximab, a human IgG1-type therapeutic antibody that blocks the epidermal growth factor receptor. Different ratios of Gx-mNG-NL to antibody were irradiated with 365 nm for 15–180 min, while keeping the sample on ice to prevent overheating. Photo-cross-linking efficiency was monitored using nonreducing SDS-PAGE to allow the distinction between non-, once-, and twice-conjugated cetuximab (Figure 2A). The biocoujugation yield depended on both the irradiation time and the amount of Gx-mNG-NL protein used (Figure S4). On the basis of these results, we chose 60 min of irradiation with 8 equiv of protein G–luciferase fusion protein per IgG (four per Fc domain) as our standard condition for subsequent conjugations, as this allowed essentially complete conjugation of antibody with at least one copy of the bioluminescent reporter within a reasonable irradiation time. Similar conjugation efficiencies were observed for all other Gx-NL fusion proteins with cetuximab, including the fusion proteins with multiple NanoLuc domains (Figure 2B).

**Immunotargeting of Cell Surface Receptors.** As a first application, we explored the performance of our bioluminescent antibodies in cellular targeting and quantification. An advantage of the Gx-mNG-NL and Gx-NL-Cy3 protein labels is that they allow for both fluorescent detection by direct excitation of the fluorophore and bioluminescent detection following addition of furimazine substrate. The fluorescent detection allowed us to use fluorescence-assisted cell sorting (FACS) to first explore targeting specificity using two human, IgG1-type therapeutic antibodies, cetuximab and trastuzumab, targeting the EGFR and HER2 cell surface receptors, respectively. Both antibodies were labeled with Gx-NL-Cy3 or Gx-mNG-NL (Figure S5). FACS analysis using the EGFR-overexpressing A431 tumor cell line shows efficient labeling by cetuximab–luciferase conjugates and no/very low labeling by trastuzumab–luciferase (Figure 3A and B). In contrast, efficient binding of bioluminescent trastuzumab was observed for SK-BR-3 cells, a tumor cell line that overexpresses the HER2 receptor, whereas 20-fold lower fluorescent intensity was observed upon incubation of SK-BR-3 cells with bioluminescent cetuximab (Figure S6).

While fluorescent immunostaining of cells can be efficiently done at the single-cell level using FACS, fluorescent detection is much more cumbersome in plate reader-based assays, where cellular quantification and identification are hampered by background fluorescence and light scattering. The ability to use both fluorescence and bioluminescence allowed us to directly compare their performance for cellular quantification in plate reader-based assays. Bioluminescence detection allowed sensitive detection of A431 cells and SK-BR-3 cells over a wide range of cell concentrations using bioluminescent cetuximab and trastuzumab conjugates, respectively (Figure 3C and D). A linear correlation was observed between the number of cells and the bioluminescence intensity for each combination, allowing cell quantification over a broad range between 10 and 10,000 cells (Figure 3C). The higher bioluminescence intensity of cetuximab/A431 vs trastuzumab/SK-BR-3 cells is consistent with the higher labeling efficiency observed in FACS (Figure 3A and B) and probably reflects higher cell surface receptor expression levels. The intensity of green bioluminescence observed in experiments using Gx-mNG-NL-labeled antibodies is ~5-fold higher compared to red bioluminescence using Gx-NL-Cy3-labeled antibodies, which reflects both attenuated luciferase activity and noncomplete BRET in the latter conjugate. Fluorescent detection under otherwise identical conditions was much less
A significant increase in fluorescence is only observed for the A431−cetuximab combination, and only above 5,000 cells per well. (Figure 3D). None of the other combinations showed a significant increase in fluorescence above the background under these conditions, showing that bioluminescence detection is at least 3 orders of magnitude more sensitive than fluorescence. Figure 3E shows that the luminescent signal is bright enough to be easily detected using an ordinary digital camera, making bioluminescent immuno-labeling of cells an attractive, low-cost alternative to fluorescence-based cellular quantification methods such as FACS.

**ELISA.** Classical ELISAs typically use a primary antibody that specifically binds to the molecular target, which is subsequently detected by incubation with a second antibody conjugated to a reporter enzyme such as alkaline phosphatase or horseradish peroxidase (HRP) that binds to the constant part of the first antibody. The ability to directly conjugate almost any monoclonal antibody with a bioluminescent reporter enzyme would simplify this procedure by requiring at least one less binding and washing step and by the ability to directly measure the NanoLuc-catalyzed bioluminescence in real time, whereas many reporter enzymes that use colorimetric detection are end-point assays that require an additional color-development step. An ELISA experiment was performed in which Gx-mNG-NL−cetuximab was used to detect the presence of anti-cetuximab antibodies (Figure 4). Detection of antidrug antibodies (ADAs) is important because the occurrence of ADAs is the main reason that treatment with therapeutic antibodies becomes ineffective in a significant number of patients.27 A 96-well plate was first coated with cetuximab overnight and blocked with a milk solution. Next, various concentrations of anti-cetuximab antibody were allowed to bind. After washing away the nonbound antibodies, the amount of bound anti-cetuximab antibody was determined by incubation with 1.3 nM of Gx-mNG-NL−cetuximab. During photocrosslinking, a 2-fold excess of adapter was used. A 200-fold excess of human IgG’s was added to Gx-mNG-NL−cetuximab to capture any nonconjugated Gx-mNG-NL protein and prevent it from binding to immobilized cetuximab. The use of Gx-mNG-NL−cetuximab allowed us to directly compare the performance of fluorescent and bioluminescent detection in the same assay (Figure 4). Fluorescent detection showed a small dynamic range (50% increase in fluorescence) and allowed detection of anti-cetuximab over a limited concentration range between 500 and 5,000 ng/mL. In contrast, the dynamic range using bioluminescent detection was much larger, showing a 100-fold increase in bioluminescent signal and allowing detection of 10 ng/mL (0.1 nM) of anti-cetuximab. The bioluminescent titration curve could be fitted with a 1:1 binding model, yielding an EC50 of 1.25 ± 0.13 nM.

**Western Blot.** Another important bioanalytical application that uses antibody-based detection is Western blotting. Like ELISA, direct conjugation of the NanoLuc reporter enzyme to
the primary antibody would avoid the need for a second antibody incubation and washing step. As proof of principle, we photoconjugated a mouse Ig2a-type anti-HA antibody using Gx conjugated to either a single NanoLuc domain (Gx-NL) or three copies of the NanoLuc domain (Gx-NL3). E. coli lysate was spiked with different concentrations of a purified 65 kDa 2-HA-tag-labeled protein (Figure 5A) and ran over a 12% SDS-PAGE gel (Figure 5B). Following transfer of the proteins from the gel to a nitrocellulose blot, the presence of HA-labeled protein was detected by incubation with 3.32 nM bioluminescent anti-HA antibody (Figure S7). In Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE gels, the HA-labeled protein can be detected up to a 25-fold dilution (6.5 μg/mL), whereas bioluminescent detection on the Western blot clearly shows the presence of the HA protein at 125-fold lower concentrations (Figure 5C). The increased bioluminescent activity for the Gx-NL3 protein compared to Gx-NL (Figure 5E) also translates into a stronger signal in Western blot detection, making this the protein of choice for this application (Figure 5D).

**CONCLUSION**

The photo-cross-linkable protein G–luciferase proteins reported here provide an easily accessible, efficient, and chemoselective new method for the synthesis of antibody–luciferase conjugates. A particular strength of our approach is that it does not require cloning or recombinant antibody expression and can be directly applied to almost all human and many mammalian monoclonal IgG antibodies. In addition to their application in various bioanalytical assays as demonstrated here, these bioluminescent antibodies also represent attractive reagents for in vivo imaging, in particular when using the red-shifted luciferase. The lower bioluminescence intensity of the red Gx-NL-Cy3 variant could be further improved by optimization of the BRET efficiency and the use of tandem repeats. Finally, the approach reported here could be easily extended to other red-shifted luciferases, including the H-Luc and S-Luc NanoLuc variants developed by the Johnsson group and various other luciferase–luciferin pairs optimized for in vivo imaging.28−30

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**General Reagents.** All chemicals were purchased from Merck unless stated otherwise. Therapeutic antibodies cetuximab (Erbitux, Merck) and trastuzumab (Herceptin, Roche) were obtained via the Catherina Hospital pharmacy in Eindhoven, The Netherlands. The NanoLuc substrate NanoGlo was obtained from Promega. The non-natural amino acid pBPA was purchased from Bachem (4017646). The anticitetuximab antibody (Clone HCA221) was ordered at Bio-Rad. The anti-HA antibody (Clone: SBD1D10) was purchased from Invitrogen.

**Protein Expression and Purification.** All fusion protein constructs were cloned in pET28a vectors, and their sequences were verified using Sanger dyeode sequencing (StarSEQ/BaseClear). The pEVL-pBpF plasmid containing a tRNA/tRNA synthetase pair enabling incorporation of pBPA was a gift from Peter Schultz (Addgene plasmid no. 31190).1 For a detailed description of cloning procedures, please see the Supporting Information. Expression plasmids for the fusion proteins were cotransformed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) cells (Novagen) with the pEVL-pBpF vector and cultured in 0.5 L 2xYT medium (2.5 g of NaCl, 5 g of yeast extract, and 8 g of peptone in 0.5 L of diH2O) supplemented with 50 μg/mL kanamycin and 25 μg/mL chloramphenicol. When the OD600 reached 0.5−0.6, expression was induced using 0.1−1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside, 0.02 w/v% arabinose, and 1 mM para-benzoylphenylalanine (pBPA). After overnight expression at 20 °C, cells were harvested by centrifugation at 10 000g for 10 min. Cells were then lysed using Bugbuster protein-extraction reagent (Novagen) and Benzonase endonuclease (Novagen) and centrifuged at 16 000g for 20−40 min. Protein G–luciferase fusion proteins were purified using Ni-affinity chromatography (Novagen, His-bind resin) and Strept-Tactin XT (IPA) purification according to the manufacturer’s instructions (see the Supporting Information). All proteins were stored frozen at −80 °C in Strep-Elution buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 50 mM Biotin, pH 8.0) until further use. Protein concentration was determined by measuring the absorption at 280 nm using the extinction coefficients listed in the Supporting Information (Table S1). Purity of protein was confirmed with both SDS-PAGE analysis and ESI-Q-TOF (Figure S1).

**Cy3 Labeling of Gx-NL-Cy3.** Gx-NL-Cy3 (50 μM) was incubated with 1 mM tris-(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) for 20 min at room temperature. Next, sulfo-Cy3-maleimide (LumiProbe, no. 21380) was added in a 15X molar excess and incubated overnight at 4 °C. The excess of dye was removed using a PD-10 desalting column (GE healthcare, 17-0851-01), using 2 mL of elution buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). Protein and dye concentration were determined using Nanodrop 3000 at 280 and 552 nm using the extinction coefficients listed in the Supporting Information (Table S1). Purity of protein was confirmed with both SDS-PAGE analysis and ESI-Q-TOF (Figure S1).
100 pM, and NanoGlo (Promega) was added in a 2000x dilution. Full luminescence spectra were recorded with a 5 nm bandwidth and a 1 s integration time.

**Photo-cross-linking.** Antibodies (400 nM) were mixed with the Gx-NL fusion protein (2 or 8 equiv) in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5). Mixtures were illuminated in a 200 μL Eppendorf tube, on ice, for 1 h with 365 nm UV light (Promed UV-lamp, 36 W). After photo-cross-linking, bioluminescent antibodies were stored at 4 °C until use.

**Cell Culturing.** Human A431 carcinoma cells were cultured in RMPI-1640 medium (Gibco, 21875) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, 26140) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco, 15140) at 37 °C, 5% CO₂. The human SK-BR-3 adenocarcinoma cells were cultured in the same medium supplemented with 1 mM sodium pyruvate at 37 °C, 5% CO₂. Cells were detached, the trypsin was neutralized by addition of 4 mL trypsin (Gibco, 25300) for a T75 culture flask for 5 min. Once cells were detached, the trypsin was neutralized by addition of 4 equiv of full medium. Cells were counted on a Neubauer hemocytometer. Next, cells were centrifuged (A431, 10 min at 100g; SK-BR-3, 5 min at 150g) and washed once in PBS+. Cells were resuspended in PBS+ and aliquoted in 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes.

For FACS measurements, a final concentration of 10 nM antibody- conjugate was added to 100 000 cells and incubated for 15 min at 25 °C, 400 rpm. Cells were centrifuged for 5 min at 100g and resuspended in PBS+. All FACS measurements were done using a BD FACS Aria III equipped with a 70 μm nozzle. mNeonGreen was excited by a 488 nm laser and detected through a 530/30 bandpass filter. Cy3 was excited by a 561 nm laser and detected through a 582/15 bandpass filter. For all analyses, doublet cells were excluded by standard doublet discrimination with forward and side scatter area versus height plots. Histograms were created with FlowJo software.

For luminescence measurements, a final concentration of 1 nM antibody-conjugate was added to 100 000 cells and incubated for 15 min at 25 °C, 400 rpm. Cells were centrifuged for 5 min at 100g and resuspended in PBS+. A 4-fold dilution series of the cells was made in a white 384-well plate (Greiner) and black plate (Thermo Nunc LumiNunc). After addition of NanoGlo (4000x dilution) to the white plate, the luminescence was recorded using a digital camera (Sony DSC-RX100, ISO 6400) and a plate reader (Tecan Safire, 250 μs). The fluorescence was recorded from the black plate (Tecan Safire; mNG, 500/10–530/10; Cy3, 550/10–580/10).

**ELISA.** A white or black 96-well plate (Thermo Nunc LumiNunc) was coated with 100 μL of 1 μg/mL cetuximab in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated overnight at 4 °C. The next day, wells were washed 3 times with 250 μL of PBST (PBS + 0.05% Tween-20). Wells were blocked with 240 μL of PBSM (PBST + 2 w/v % skim milk) for 1.5 h at room temperature. After washing 3 times with PBST, the wells were incubated with 100 μL of a dilution series of anti-cetuximab (HCA221, 1.2–10,000 ng/mL in PBSM) for 1 h at room temperature. After washing 3 times, 100 μL of 1.33 nM bioluminescent antibody (Gx-mNG-NL-cetuximab with 2 equiv of Gx-mNG-NL used for photo-cross-linking) preincubated with 266 nM human serum IgG (Sigma, 18640) in PBSM was added and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. After incubation the wells were washed 3 times with PBST and once with luminescence buffer. In the black plate, fluorescence was recorded (Tecan Spark, 488/530 nm). For the white plate, after addition of 100 μL of luminescence buffer with a 4000x dilution of NanoGlo, a picture was taken (Sony DSC-RX100, ISO 6400, 30 s) and the luminescence was recorded with a plate reader (Tecan Spark, 533 nm, 1 s).

**Western Blot.** A β-lactamase-based antibody sensor protein containing two HA-tags (Abs-4) was expressed in E. coli BL21(DE3) and purified as described before.32 A 5-fold dilution series (2.5 μM–0.8 nM) of the protein was made in supernatant of lysed E. coli NovaBlue(DE3) cells (Novagen). Samples were loaded on two 12% SDS-PAGE gels and run for 1 h at 150 V in TGS buffer (25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine, 0.1% SDS, pH 8.3). One gel was stained with Bio-Safe Coomassie stain (Bio-Rad, 1610787), and a picture was taken using the ImageQuant 350 (GE Healthcare). The other gel was used for the Western blot. The proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Whatman, GE) using a standard procedure. After blotting, the membrane was cut in two identical pieces and individually blocked using a 5 w/v % skim milk in PBST (PBS + 0.1% Tween-20) in a 50 μL tube on a tube roller. Next, the anti-HA antibody (IgG2a, Clone SB1D10) with either the Gx-NL or Gx-NL3 photoconjugated antibodies were stored at 4 °C until use. NanoGlo was added in a 1000x dilution in luminescence buffer covering the entire blot (2.5 mL), and a picture was taken in the dark using a digital camera (Sony DSC-RX100, ISO 6400, 30 s).
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