Small non-coding RNA landscape of extracellular vesicles from a post-traumatic model of equine osteoarthritis
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Extracellular vesicles comprise an as yet inadequately investigated intercellular communication pathway in the field of early osteoarthritis. We hypothesised that the small non-coding RNA expression pattern in synovial fluid and plasma would change during progression of experimental osteoarthritis. In this study, we conducted small RNA sequencing to provide a comprehensive overview of the temporal expression profiles of small non-coding transcripts carried by extracellular vesicles derived from plasma and synovial fluid for the first time in a posttraumatic model of equine osteoarthritis. Additionally, we characterised synovial fluid and plasma-derived extracellular vesicles with respect to quantity, size, and surface markers. The different temporal expressions of seven microRNAs in plasma and synovial fluid-derived extracellular vesicles, eca-miR-451, eca-miR-25, eca-miR-215, eca-miR-92a, eca-miR-let-7c, eca-miR-486-5p, and eca-miR-23a, and four snRNAs, U3, snord15, snord46, and snord58, represent potential biomarkers for early osteoarthritis. Bioinformatics analysis of the differentially expressed microRNAs in synovial fluid highlighted that in early osteoarthritis these related to the inhibition of cell cycle, cell cycle progression, DNA damage and cell proliferation as well as increased cell viability and differentiation of stem cells. Plasma and synovial fluid-derived extracellular vesicle small non-coding signatures have been established for the first time in a temporal model of osteoarthritis. These could serve as novel biomarkers for evaluation of osteoarthritis progression or act as potential therapeutic targets.
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Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a degenerative joint disease characterised by deterioration of articular cartilage and accompanied by changes in the bone and soft tissues of the joint (1), which adversely impact the health of equine athletes. It is a major welfare issue resulting in substantial morbidity and mortality (2). Lameness resulting from OA is a
major cause of poor performance and early retirement (3). Despite the huge welfare importance of OA, our understanding of pathophysiological mechanisms involved is limited (4). OA is characterised by increase in cartilage extracellular matrix (ECM) degradation by proteases and reduction in ECM production (5). We recently identified that differential expression (DE) of small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs) contributes to this imbalance, a key mechanism in OA (6, 7). We require biomarkers to identify early OA before cartilage ECM is irreversibly degraded, and our group has identified small non-coding RNAs (sncRNAs) distinguishing early equine OA synovial fluid (SF) (8). These include microRNAs (miRNAs) and snoRNAs, which are functional RNA molecules that are transcribed from DNA but do not translate into proteins (9).

It is expected that novel therapies can be directed in a personalised manner (10) following disease stratification. This is imperative as OA therapies are currently only symptomatic; principally pain relief in the horse. Extracellular vesicles (EVs) from purified cell types are suggested as novel therapeutics in a number of human diseases including rheumatoid arthritis (11), cardiac disease (12), and neoplasia (13). EVs have the potential to accelerate tissue regeneration and improve tissue functions. In disease treatment, they have been suggested as treatment strategies and delivery systems. Stem cell-derived EVs can recapitulate their parent cells, with advantages of being less immunogenic and capability of crossing biological barriers (14).

We have identified DE sncRNAs in ageing equine and human and OA human cartilage (15, 16), equine OA SF (8), and mouse serum (17, 18). In human OA, others have identified sncRNAs in joint tissues, plasma, and SF as potential therapeutics (19, 20) or possible OA biomarkers (18, 21–24). EVs produced by cells transfer molecules (including sncRNAs) between cells and tissues (25) and are known to be found in serum, SF, articular cartilage, and supernatants of synoviocytes and chondrocytes (26). EV cargo is involved in cross-talk between cells in joint tissues and affects ECM turnover and inflammation (27, 28), representing a crucial step in OA regulation. The role of EVs in OA provides a foundation to create novel disease-modifying treatments (27). Promising results were obtained in therapeutic application of mesenchymal stem cell-derived EVs for cartilage repair and experimental OA (29). Additionally, EVs have a therapeutic potential in human rheumatoid arthritis when administered either into the joint or systemically (27). Whilst EVs can carry various types of cargo, increasing evidence points at miRNAs as considerable mediators for the effects of these vesicles on their target cells (30, 31). Significantly, miRNAs regulate signalling pathways and the immune system related to extracellular matrix synthesis, chondrocyte survival, and proliferation (32, 33).

Animal models of OA enable the reproduction and progression of degenerative damage to be measured in a controlled manner, enabling opportunities to monitor and modulate symptoms and disease progression (34). Although the equine carpal osteochondral fragment model (35, 36) does not encompass all pathophysiological aspects of different OA phenotypes, it allows a controlled system with known time of onset and a singular cause of OA, facilitating temporal OA progression studies. Molecules can be measured temporally to determine their role in early OA. This is particularly imperative as the precise onset of molecular events of early OA following trauma is not completely understood. Furthermore, the size of the equine middle carpal joint permits repeated SF sampling over time, thus limiting use of experimental animals. Thus, the carpal fragment model enables a simultaneous study on equine OA with the ability to apply the findings to understand human OA.

We hypothesise that the EV sncRNA cargo from SF and plasma can be used to identify OA in an early stage before clinical signs and irreversible cartilage degradation. Additionally, by determining changes in sncRNAs in a longitudinal manner, we may be able to further understand the pathogenesis of early OA.

Materials and methods
Horses and study design
The Danish Animal Experiments Inspectorate (permit 2017-15-0201-01314) and local ethical committee of the Large Animal Teaching Hospital of University of Copenhagen approved the experimental protocol. All procedures were undertaken according to EU Directive 2010/63/EU for animal experiments.

Four skeletally mature standard-bred trotters (2.5–7 years old, weighing 397–470 kg) were included in this study. Prior to inclusion, the horses underwent clinical examination, lameness examination including flexion tests, radiographic imaging, haematological and blood-biochemical analysis, and arthrocentesis of both middle carpal joints to ensure that the horses were sound with healthy joints.

OA was surgically induced in the left middle carpal joint, and the right middle carpal joint underwent sham surgery as described previously (36). Plasma and SF were sampled from both middle carpal joints before and following OA induction as described below.

The horses were euthanised on day 71/72 with pentobarbital sodium (140 mg/kg, Euthasol Vet, Dechra Veterinary Products, Uldum, Denmark). Following euthanasia, samples were collected from the joints as detailed below.

Induction of osteoarthritis and exercise
OA induction was undertaken as described by McIlwraith (36) under general anaesthesia. In brief, an osteochondral fragment was created in the third facet of the radial carpal bone...
with an 8-mm curved osteotome, and the fragment was left in the joint partially attached to the parent bone. Sham surgery (arthroscopy alone) was performed on the right middle carpal joint. Two weeks following the OA induction, the horses were made to exercise with 2 min of trot (4.4–5.3 m/s), 2 min of fast trot/gallop (9 m/s), and 2 min of trot (4.4–5.3 m/s) for 5 days/week on a treadmill (37).

SF and plasma sampling

SF samples were obtained from both middle carpal joints prior to (day 0) and 10, 35, 42, 49, 56, and 63 days after the surgery. However, the samples of SF and plasma were taken at additional time points prior to day 10 and on day 70 these had been used in previous studies. Therefore, we used time points where we had sufficient volumes for our experiments. Following sedation with detomidine (0.01 mg/kg, Domosedan Vet; Orion Pharma Animal Health, Copenhagen, Denmark) and butorphanol tartrate (0.01 mg/kg, Dolorex; MSD Animal Health, Copenhagen, Denmark), SF was aspirated aseptically with a 19-gauge, 40-mm needle into ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA) tubes (BD Vacutainer, BD A/S, Albertslund, Denmark). These were inverted 5–10 times, kept on melting ice, and centrifuged at 1,000 g for 20 min at 4°C. Plasma was collected at the same time points following intravenous sampling from an indwelling catheter in the jugular vein on days 0–14 and thereafter by venipuncture. Samples were collected directly into EDTA tubes, kept on melting ice, and centrifuged at 3,000 g for 15 min at 4°C. Biofluids were processed within 1 h and stored at −80°C immediately after centrifugation.

Post-mortem examination

Following euthanasia, the middle carpal joints were opened, and the synovial membrane and articular cartilage were obtained from the intermediate carpal and third carpal bone and placed in neutral buffered 10% formalin. For histology, the samples were processed for haematoxylin and eosin and safranin O (cartilage only) staining. Histological grading of the synovial membrane and cartilage was performed (38).

EV isolation

The SF and plasma collected on days 0, 10, 35, 42, 49, 56, and 63 from OA and control joints were thawed, and SF was subsequently treated with 1 µg/ml hyaluronidase (from bovine testes; Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, United Kingdom), previously processed using a 0.22-µm polyethersulfone philtre (Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany) was passed through the column, followed by 150 µl of SF or plasma. The first five 200 µl that flowed through fractions were discarded, and the following five 200 µl fractions were pooled (isolated EVs).

EV characterisation

Nanoparticle tracking analysis

For all the particles, 100 µl of isolated EVs were characterised by nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA; Nanosight NS300; Malvern Panalytical Ltd., Malvern, United Kingdom) at 25°C to determine particle size and EV concentration (39). Camera level, slider shutter, and slider gain were set at 12, 1,200, and 146, respectively. For each sample, three replicate 60-s videos were recorded and the results were averaged. For analysis, detection threshold was set at 5. Data analysis was performed with the NTA 3.2 software (Malvern Panalytical, Malvern, United Kingdom). A statistical analysis comparing the groups was conducted using mixed effects models with the Dunnett’s and Sidak’s multiple comparisons tests applied to plasma and SF-derived EVs, respectively, using GraphPad Prism v8.0.1. Significant differences between the groups were identified at \( p \leq 0.05 \).

Exoview

SF and plasma EV isolates collected on days 0, 42, and 63 were concentrated using 2 ml Vivaspin concentrator columns (100,000 kDa cutoff) (Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany) by centrifuging at 1,000 g until the final volume was 100 µl and subsequently centrifuged into a column cap at 4,000 g for 2 min. Five µl of each sample was removed and pooled with all corresponding samples of the same group and time point. This single pooled sample from \( n = 4 \) horses was then analysed, with a total of six samples (day 0 control, day 0 OA, day 42 control, day 42 OA, day 63 control, and day 63 OA). ExoView analyses EVs using visible light interference in order to determine EV size, in addition to fluorescence to measure specific immunocaptured proteins. The samples were analysed in technical triplicate/sample using the human ExoViewTetraspanin Kit (NanoView Biosciences, United States). The samples were diluted in a manufacturer-supplied incubation solution and incubated overnight at room temperature on ExoViewTetraspanin Chips. The chips were washed three times in solution A prior to incubation with fluorescent tetraspanin antibodies. Labelling antibodies consisted of anti-CD9 CF488, anti-CD81 CF555, and anti-CD63 CF647 and the M1lgG negative control. The antibodies were
were used to visualise the number of detected particles bound to tetraspanin antibodies (CD9, CD81, and CD63) and normalised to MlgG antibody. Particle diameter and counts were statistically analysed by repeated measures ANOVA in GraphPad Prism v9.0.1 and Excel. Significant differences between the groups were identified at $p \leq 0.05$.

**Small RNA sequencing**

**RNA isolation, library preparation for small RNA-Seq, and sequencing**

Total RNA was extracted from 200 µl of isolated EVs using the miRNeasy Serum/Plasma Advanced Kit (Qiagen, Crawley, United Kingdom) and following the manufacturer’s instructions, including supplementation of an RNA spike-in (Qiagen, Crawley, United Kingdom) and on-column DNase treatment (Qiagen, Crawley, United Kingdom). In addition, glycogen (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, United States) was added to a final concentration of 50 pg/ml prior to adding isopropanol. Because of low RNA yield, which impairs accurate determination of RNA concentrations, a fixed total RNA volume of 2 µl was used for library preparation using a CleanTag kit (TriLink, San Diego, United States). Adapter dilution (1:4) and PCR amplification (26 cycles) were optimised in a pilot experiment using Bioanalyzer DNA1000 (Agilent, Santa Clara, United States) chips as a read out of library size and quantity. The samples were then processed in two batches of 37 samples. Library yield and size range were confirmed for all the samples using Bioanalyzer DNA1000 chips (Agilent, Santa Clara, United States). Equimolar amounts of all the samples were pooled, and size purification was performed using BluePippin (Sage Biosystems, Beverly, United States). To maintain larger RNA fragments, the size range was extended to 130–300 bp, which corresponds to insert sizes between 15 and 180 bp. The purified pool was sequenced on a NovaSeq SP100 flow cell (Illumina, San Diego, United States).

**Small RNA sequencing data processing**

Data were analysed using the miND pipeline (40). The overall quality of the next-generation sequencing data was evaluated automatically and manually with fastQC v0.11.9 (41) and multiQC v1.10 (42). Reads from all passing samples were adapter-trimmed and quality-filtered using cutadapt v3.3 (43) and filtered for a minimum length of 17 nt. Mapping steps were performed with bowtie v1.3.0 (44) and miRDeep2 v2.0.1.2 (45), whereas the reads were mapped first against the genomic reference EquCab.3.0 provided by Ensembl (46), allowing for two mismatches and, subsequently, miRBase v22.1 (47), filtered for miRNAs of *Equus caballus* only, allowing for one mismatch. For a general RNA composition overview, non-miRNA mapped reads were mapped against RNAcentral (48) and then assigned to various RNA species of interest. A statistical analysis of pre-processed next-generation sequencing data was conducted with R v4.0 and the packages pheatmap, pcaMethods v1.82, and genefilter v1.72. A DE analysis with edgeR v3.32 (49) used the quasi-likelihood negative binomial generalised log-linear model functions provided by the package. The independent filtering method of DESeq2 (50) was adapted for use with edgeR to remove low abundant miRNAs and thus optimise false discovery rate (FDR) correction. Significantly, DE sncRNAs were determined at $p < 0.05$.

**Bioinformatics of differentially expressed miRNAs**

Potential biological associations of the DEMiRNAs in EVs derived from plasma or SF were identified using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA; Qiagen, Redwood City, CA, United States) “Core Analysis.” Furthermore, to identify putative miRNA targets, we utilised the MicroRNA Target Philtre module within IPA. We used a conservative filter within IPA of experimentally validated and highly conserved predicted mRNA targets for each miRNA. ToppGene was used for functional enrichment analysis of the miRNA targets (51) with a Bonferroni FDR < 0.05. Biological process gene ontology (GO) terms generated through ToppGene were then summarised, and REVIGO (52) and Cytoscape (53) were used to visualise the network.

**Results**

**Model outcome**

As previously described, the end point synovial membrane scores were significantly increased, with increased cellular infiltration, intimal hyperplasia, subintimal oedema, and increased total final histological score in the OA joints vs. control joints ($p < 0.05$). Additionally, a previous histological evaluation of the third carpal bone cartilage demonstrated a significant increase in chondrocyte necrosis, cluster formation, and focal cell loss scores in OA joints, and a significant increase in final score ($p < 0.05$) (54). Representative examples of gross photographs of a control carpal joint and a carpal joint following OA induction plus representative histological sections are shown in Supplementary material 1.
Synovial fluid and plasma-derived extracellular vesicle size and concentration measured by nanoparticle tracking. (A) Mean size of extracellular vesicles isolated from synovial fluid control (green) and osteoarthritic (red) middle carpal joints prior to surgery (day 0) and on days 35, 42, 49, 56, and 63 following model induction. (B) Mean concentration of isolated extracellular vesicles in the synovial fluid. Synovial fluid samples were measured from all the horses (n = 4) at all time points for control and OA joints. (C) Mean size of extracellular vesicles isolated from the plasma prior to surgery (day 0) and on days 35, 42, 49, 56, and 63 following model induction. (D) Mean concentration of isolated extracellular vesicles in the plasma. Plasma samples from all the horses (n = 4) were measured at all time points. All the analyses were conducted by nanoparticle tracking using Nanosight NS300. Error bars ± 1 standard deviation. *p < 0.05.

EV characterisation

Nanoparticle tracking analysis

The mean and mode particle size for control SF were 219.8 and 180.9 nm, OA SF 221.8 and 195 nm, and plasma 158.4 and 123.3 nm. We did not identify differences for SF-derived EVs in size, size distribution, or concentration between the control and OA joints. However, for plasma-derived EVs, a reduction in the mean EV size was identified on day 49 compared to day 0, and a reduction in D90 was identified on day 63 compared to day 0. No changes in plasma EV concentrations were identified over time (Figure 1 and Supplementary material 2).

Exoview

We analysed the vesicle count, size, and heterogeneity of SF and plasma EVs using Exoview in accordance with MISEV guidelines (55) in order to validate that the particles isolated were EVs. The human ExoView chip assessed CD9, CD63, and CD81 markers. For all the equine samples, there was minimal binding on CD63 capture, suggesting low-sequence homology between human and equine CD63. CD63 data were therefore excluded from further analysis. Particles stained for CD9 and CD81 were more obvious in plasma-derived EVs (Figure 2A) because of the higher concentration of EVs compared to SF (~1.8 times as concentrated in plasma-derived EVs) (Figure 3A). The plasma EVs had mean diameters between 57 and 67 nm (Figures 2B,C), and SF 62–74 nm (Figures 3B,D), both being dependent on the tetraspanin subpopulation. The diameter of the temporal population of plasma-derived EVs changed significantly for both CD9-positive (Figure 2C) and CD81-positive (Figure 2D) EVs following the OA induction. The number of plasma-derived EVs peaked significantly on day 42 for both CD9-positive EVs (Figure 2D) and CD81-positive EVs (Figure 2E) following the OA induction. Interestingly in the SF, there was no difference in vesicle diameter with time or OA for CD9 (Figure 3B). However, there were differences in temporal and disease-related expressions of CD81-captured EVs in the SF (Figure 3C). There were statistical differences in temporal expression of CD9 EVs in the control but not in the OA samples (Figure 3D). For CD9 and CD81 in the control samples only, expression was lowest on day 42 (Figures 3D,E). The expression of CD81-labelled vesicles was significantly different between OA and control on days 0 and 42 in the SF (Figure 3E). From the co-localisation results of CD9 and CD81, there was an apparent drop-off in the proportion of EVs...
FIGURE 2
Visualisation, sizing, and enumeration of plasma-derived EVs using Exoview at selected time points. All the data were adjusted for dilution of the sample onto the chip. Average of three technical replicates was run. Particle number was quantified by the number of particles in a defined area on the antibody capture spot. All bars are means and error bars standard deviation. Each sample represents a pool of all the four horses at prior to induction (day 0) and days 42 and 63 after the induction of OA. (A) Fluorescent image of a representative spot for each sample. (B,C) Sizing of (B) CD9- and (D) CD81-labelled EVs normalised to IgG control. Limit of detection was 50–200 nm. (D,E) Counting of CD9- and CD81-positive particles after probing with fluorescent tetraspanin antibodies. Statistical analysis was conducted in GraphPad Prism 9.0 by t-tests following parametric evaluation (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001).

double positive at the final time point on day 63 in the plasma (Supplementary material 3).

Small RNAseq results

In the SF and plasma-derived EVs, we identified multiple classes of non-coding RNAs including miRNAs, snRNAs, small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs), transfer RNAs (tRNAs), long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), y-RNAs, piwi RNAs (piRNAs), and scaRNAs (scRNA). Supplementary material 4 shows the number of each class of miRNA, snRNA, snRNA, tRNA, lncRNA that was identified in at least 30% of the samples in that group (plasma or synovial fluid-derived EVs).

miRNAs

First, we analysed the changes in plasma-derived EV miRNAs before and after the OA induction by comparing day
Visualisation, sizing, and enumeration of SF-derived EVs from control and osteoarthritic (OA) joints using Exoview at selected time points. All the data were adjusted for dilution of the sample onto the chip. Average of three technical replicates was run. Particle number was quantified by the number of particles in a defined area on the antibody capture spot. All bars are means and error bars standard deviation. Each sample represents a pool of all the four horses prior to induction (day 0) and on days 42 and 63 after induction of OA (A). Fluorescent image of a representative spot for each sample. (B,C) Sizing of (B) CD9- and (C) CD81-labelled EVs normalised to IgG control. Limit of detection was 50–200 nm. (D,E) Counting of (D) CD9- and (E) CD81-positive particles after probing with fluorescent tetraspanin antibodies. Statistical analysis was conducted in GraphPad Prism 9.0 by repeated measures ANOVA (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001).

### TABLE 1. Differentially expressed miRNAs compared to day 0 in plasma-derived EVs.

| Comparison | miRNA         | logFC | p-value |
|------------|---------------|-------|---------|
| d0 vs. d10 | eca-miR-182   | −11.2 | 0.011   |
| d0 vs. d10 | eca-miR-376c  | 4.3   | 0.026   |
| d0 vs. d10 | eca-miR-144   | 5.1   | 0.034   |
| d0 vs. d35 | eca-miR-34a   | 11.4  | 0.003   |
| d0 vs. d35 | eca-miR-215   | 9.7   | 0.005   |
| d0 vs. d35 | eca-let-7g    | −10.3 | 0.027   |
| d0 vs. d35 | eca-miR-107b  | −5.6  | 0.037   |
| d0 vs. d35 | eca-miR-151-5p| −6.1  | 0.047   |
| d0 vs. d42 | eca-miR-24    | −6.5  | 0.034   |
| d0 vs. d49 | eca-miR-23a   | 4.0   | 0.011   |
| d0 vs. d49 | eca-miR-1468  | 9.7   | 0.018   |
| d0 vs. d49 | eca-miR-182   | −9.9  | 0.027   |
| d0 vs. d49 | eca-miR-30c   | −8.3  | 0.029   |
| d0 vs. d49 | eca-miR-92a   | −1.8  | 0.031   |
| d0 vs. d49 | eca-miR-186   | −5.7  | 0.041   |
| d0 vs. d56 | eca-let-7g    | −6.5  | 0.031   |
| d0 vs. d56 | eca-miR-19b   | −5.6  | 0.031   |
| d0 vs. d56 | eca-miR-151-5p| −6.6  | 0.031   |
| d0 vs. d63 | eca-miR-1307  | 8.8   | 0.014   |

D, day; eca, equine; logFC, log fold change.

Following a pairwise statistical analysis of all-time point plasma-derived EVs, we identified 18 DE miRNAs (Table 2A and Figures 4B,C). Five of these were identified in multiple pairwise comparisons (eca-miR-215, eca-miR-34a, eca-let-7c, eca-miR-130a, and eca-miR-146a) (Figure 5). When SF-derived EVs from the control or OA joints were investigated, we identified 31 DE miRNAs (Figures 4D,E and Supplementary material 5). Of these, 20 were altered between OA time points or between control and OA, representing the most likely miRNAs involved in OA pathogenesis (Table 2B and Figure 6). Ten of these were identified in multiple pairwise comparisons (eca-miR-let7c, eca-miR-10b, eca-miR-21, eca-miR-25, eca-miR-26a, eca-miR-451, eca-miR-486-5p, eca-miR-744, eca-miR-8993, and eca-miR-92a; Figure 6). A total of seven miRNAs were DE in the plasma and SF (when differences between control and OA or OA at different time points were accounted for): eca-miR-451, eca-miR-25, eca-miR-215, eca-miR-92a, eca-miR-let-7c, eca-miR-486-5p, and eca-miR-23a. Figure 7 summarises the changing miRNA landscape in longitudinal samples for the plasma (time only; Figure 7A) and SF (time and disease; Figure 7B).

### snoRNAs

Following a pairwise statistical analysis considering the donor effect of plasma-derived EVs, we identified 16 DE snoRNAs (Table 3A and Figures 8A,B). Ten of these were identified in multiple pairwise comparisons (snord102, U3, snord113, snord113/114, snord115, snord66, snord69, snord58,
TABLE 2A Differentially expressed miRNAs following pairwise analysis in plasma-derived EVs.

| Pairwise comparison (day) | miRNA   | logFC | p-value | FDR  |
|---------------------------|---------|-------|---------|------|
| 35 vs. 0                  | eca-miR-215 | 7.0   | 0.023   | 0.656 |
| 35 vs. 0                  | eca-miR-34a | 8.2   | 0.016   | 0.656 |
| 35 vs. 10                 | eca-let-7c | 4.0   | 0.047   | 0.757 |
| 42 vs. 10                 | eca-miR-126-5p | 5.0   | 0.033   | 0.462 |
| 42 vs. 10                 | eca-miR-141 | −9.1  | 0.005   | 0.289 |
| 42 vs. 10                 | eca-miR-144 | −9.7  | 0.017   | 0.462 |
| 42 vs. 10                 | eca-miR-215 | −10.1 | 0.030   | 0.462 |
| 42 vs. 35                 | eca-let-7c | −2.8  | 0.037   | 0.165 |
| 42 vs. 35                 | eca-miR-486-5p | −1.7  | 0.005   | 0.048 |
| 49 vs. 0                  | eca-miR-29a | 3.1   | 0.047   | 0.987 |
| 49 vs. 10                 | eca-miR-451 | −3.9  | 0.019   | 0.960 |
| 49 vs. 35                 | eca-let-7c | −3.9  | 0.005   | 0.050 |
| 49 vs. 35                 | eca-miR-92a | −3.7  | 0.004   | 0.050 |
| 49 vs. 42                 | eca-miR-23a | 5.4   | 0.031   | 0.986 |
| 56 vs. 35                 | eca-miR-215 | −7.3  | 0.034   | 0.531 |
| 56 vs. 35                 | eca-miR-25  | −7.2  | 0.045   | 0.531 |
| 56 vs. 35                 | eca-miR-34a | −8.4  | 0.028   | 0.531 |
| 56 vs. 35                 | eca-miR-99b | −6.1  | 0.036   | 0.531 |
| 56 vs. 42                 | eca-miR-130a| 8.8   | <0.001  | 0.021 |
| 56 vs. 42                 | eca-miR-23b | 5.1   | 0.017   | 0.433 |
| 56 vs. 49                 | eca-miR-130a| 8.6   | 0.006   | 0.315 |
| 63 vs. 35                 | eca-miR-107a| 4.5   | 0.049   | 0.549 |
| 63 vs. 35                 | eca-miR-181b| 6.3   | 0.047   | 0.549 |
| 63 vs. 35                 | eca-miR-215 | −8.5  | 0.013   | 0.549 |
| 63 vs. 35                 | eca-miR-34a | −7.6  | 0.033   | 0.549 |
| 63 vs. 42                 | eca-miR-146a| 10.0  | 0.045   | 0.983 |
| 63 vs. 56                 | eca-miR-146a| 9.6   | 0.041   | 0.818 |

miRNA, microRNA; eca, equine; logFC, log fold change; FDR, false discovery rate.

Bioinformatics analysis of DE miRNAs in plasma or SF

Plasma pairwise comparison

For the plasma-derived EVs, we first looked at the set of 16 DE miRNAs following pairwise comparisons compared to day 0. IPA identified the top diseases and functions with negative activated Z-scores (used to infer the activation states of biological functions based on comparison with a model that assigns random regulation directions; for negative, this is related to prediction of inhibition) as migration (p = 9.14 × 10⁻⁴), cell proliferation (p = 5.32 × 10⁻³), and cell viability (p = 8.7 × 10⁻²; Figure 9A). To investigate the position of the 27 (22 when duplicates were removed) DE miRNA plasma expression networks, we then determined their putative target genes using IPA Target Philtre, which integrates computational algorithms with multiple miRNA databases. We used a threshold of “experimentally validated” or “highly predicted.” This matched the miRNAs to 920 mRNA targets. The mRNA targets were input into the gene ontology tool ToppGene; then, 1,626 biological processes identified were visualised using Revigo and Cytoscape (Figure 9B). The top 100 biological processes were visualised in Treemap (Figure 9B).

SF pairwise comparison

We input for bioinformatics analysis only DE miRNAs in SF pairwise comparisons altered between control ( sham) and OA or between OA samples at different time points. Thus, 27 miRNAs were input into IPA “Core Analysis” (Supplementary material 7). E2F Transcription Factors 1 (p = 7.37 × 10⁻⁷) and 3 (p = 3.68 × 10⁻⁹) and Argonaute RISC Catalytic Component 2 (p = 3.75 × 10⁻¹⁷), an initiator of target mRNA degradation, were significant upstream regulators of eight DE miRNAs with downstream effects on senescence (p = 3.66 × 10⁻⁴), inflammation (p = 2.32 × 10⁻³), apoptosis (p = 7.57 × 10⁻⁴), angiogenesis (p = 1.17 × 10⁻⁵), fibrosis (p = 6.84 × 10⁻¹⁶), gene silencing (p = 1.74 × 10⁻¹⁰), transcription (p = 0.002), and expression of RNA (p = 0.003; Figure 10A). A heatmap derived from IPA identified biological processes based on activation Z-score (Figure 10B). This highlighted that cell cycle was predicted to be inhibited (negative activation Z-score) and contributed to by G1 phase (p = 1.55 × 10⁻⁸, Z-score −3.7; G1/S phase transition (p = 3.52 × 10⁻⁶, Z-score −1.6), interphase (p = 6 × 10⁻⁸, Z-score −1.5), senescence (p = 3.7 × 10⁻⁴, Z-score −0.67), and cell cycle progression (p = 1.5 × 10⁻⁵, Z-score −0.66; Figure 10C and Supplementary material 7). Furthermore, whilst DNA damage (p = 1.32 × 10⁻⁴, Z-score −2) and cell proliferation (p = 9.06 × 10⁻⁷, Z-score −2.2) were also reduced, cell viability (p = 1.6 × 10⁻³, Z-score 0.75) and differentiation of stem cells (p = 2.36 × 10⁻⁴, Z-score 1.03) were predicted to be increased (Figure 10C).

snord62, and snord65). When SF-derived EVs from the control or OA joints were investigated, we identified eight DE snoRNAs (Table 3B and Figures 8C,D). Six of these were identified in multiple pairwise comparisons (U3, snord27, snord15, snord46, snord27, and snord58). There were four DE snoRNAs in the plasma and SF (U3, snord15, snord46, and snord58).

Other non-coding RNAs

Pairwise comparisons for other non-coding RNAs considering the donor effect were conducted on the plasma and SF. In the plasma, we found five tRNAs, eight lncRNAs, and five snRNAs DE (FDR < 0.1). Additionally, in the SF, we identified five tRNAs, two lncRNAs, and four snRNAs DE (FDR < 0.1; Supplementary material 6).
Summary of data following small noncoding RNA sequencing of plasma and synovial fluid-derived EVs. (A) PCA of differentially expressed (DE) miRNAs for plasma-derived EVs. (B) Heatmap of DE miRNAs ($p < 0.05$) in plasma-derived EVs. (C) PCA of miRNAs for synovial fluid-derived EVs (C, control; OA, osteoarthritis). Numbering of samples: the first number is the day of sampling, 0, 10, 35, 42, 49, 56, and 63. The second number is the original sample ID ($n = 4$ horses). (D) Heatmap of DE miRNAs ($p < 0.05$) in synovial fluid-derived EVs. Heatmaps were created using the R pheatmap package. Clustering was conducted with the “average” method and by correlation distancing. Scaling is unit variance scaled expression and based on read counts. Clustering distances are based on Pearson correlation.

**Discussion**

Fundamental studies that contribute to characterisation and understanding of early OA will serve to elucidate disease pathogenesis and identify novel molecules as biomarkers for early detection or that act as therapeutic targets. The demonstration that EVs are a method of cell communication has caused a recent increase in publications focused on EVs (56, 57).

In this study we have, for the first time, studied the sncRNA EV content temporally in OA using an equine model. In our previous study, we have confirmed the development of OA in our equine carpal osteochondral fragment model by histological evaluation. There was significant cartilage degradation and synovial membrane inflammation in OA joints (54).

Similarities in the pathogenesis and clinical signs of OA in humans and horses are leading the expansion of equine translational studies (58, 59), and with the advantages of histological and anatomical similarities to human joints, it is easy to conduct longitudinal sampling of SF and blood in the animal model. However, the use of a horse as a model is limited by high costs and ethical considerations and reduces the number of animals in studies. In our experiment, we had access to samples from four horses and were able to examine, for the first-time in any species, temporal OA changes in EV characteristics and
Differentially expressed miRNAs isolated from plasma-derived extracellular vesicles following small RNA sequencing. Individual panels for 18 miRNAs differentially expressed using pairwise comparisons of all the six time points (days 0, 35, 42, 49, 56, and 63), miR, eca-miR, n = 4 horses. Error bars ± 1 standard deviation. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001.

sncRNA cargo using SF and plasma and spanning seven time points. Whilst our groups have examined the sncRNA cargo in equine SF in early OA (8) and the temporal changes in miRNAs in SF from the same equine osteochondral fragment model (36), here, using less time points, we tried to identify the changing sncRNA landscape in SF or plasma-derived EVs.

It is challenging for most experimental designs to discriminate exosomes from microvesicles in terms of their size, cargo, properties, and origin (60). Consequently, most research represents the characteristics of a heterogenous group of nanovesicles, which are derived from both endosomal multivesicular bodies and plasma membrane budding. In our study, we used both NTA and interferometric images (ExoView) using tetraspanin antibodies to size and count individual EVs. First, we conducted NTA to characterise the EV profile in all the samples of SF and plasma from the model. We then used pooled the samples at selected time points for ExoView to financial constraints. To isolate our EVs, we used carried out exclusion chromatography to separate EVs from proteins and lipids as we had only small volumes of our biological fluids. Others have recommended this isolation method when there are limited volumes of plasma and SF, and downstream analysis includes sncRNA and protein cargo (58, 59). Ultracentrifugation alone of SF-derived EVs increased contamination and aggregation, whilst size exclusion chromatography increased EV enrichment (61).

EVs are involved in multiple functional roles in joint homeostasis [reviewed (62)]. The EV characteristics of size and concentration can be affected by any external stimulus, which significantly alters the formation, release, and uptake of EVs (63). By NTA, we measured overall sizes and
TABLE 2B Differentially expressed miRNAs following pairwise analysis in synovial fluid-derived EVs.

| Pairwise comparison (day) | miRNA      | logFC | p-value | FDR  |
|---------------------------|------------|-------|---------|------|
| Control 0 vs. OA 0        | eca-miR-423-3p | -4.4  | 0.044   | 0.997|
| Control 0 vs. OA 0        | eca-miR-8993 | 8.5   | 0.027   | 0.997|
| Control 10 vs. Control 0  | eca-miR-451 | 1.5   | 0.038   | 0.664|
| Control 10 vs. Control 0  | eca-miR-8993 | -10.4 | 0.015   | 0.664|
| Control 10 vs. OA 10      | eca-miR-25  | 1.4   | 0.025   | 0.952|
| Control 10 vs. OA 10      | eca-miR-451 | 1.5   | 0.022   | 0.952|
| Control 35 vs. Control 0  | eca-miR-126-5p | -8.3  | 0.021   | 0.846|
| Control 35 vs. Control 0  | eca-miR-31  | -7.9  | 0.043   | 0.846|
| Control 35 vs. OA 35      | eca-miR-8993 | -9.4  | 0.046   | 0.846|
| Control 42 vs. OA 42      | eca-miR-10a | 8.0   | 0.045   | 0.917|
| Control 42 vs. OA 42      | eca-miR-21  | 1.4   | 0.001   | 0.034|
| Control 42 vs. OA 42      | eca-miR-215 | 7.1   | 0.037   | 0.313|
| Control 42 vs. OA 42      | eca-miR-25  | 1.8   | 0.004   | 0.050|
| Control 42 vs. OA 42      | eca-miR-92a | 1.4   | 0.003   | 0.050|
| Control 56 vs. Control 0  | eca-let-7a  | 1.6   | 0.037   | 0.173|
| Control 56 vs. Control 0  | eca-let-7c  | 2.0   | 0.000   | 0.001|
| Control 56 vs. OA 63      | eca-miR-103 | -2.8  | 0.035   | 0.173|
| Control 56 vs. OA 63      | eca-miR-107a | -2.8  | 0.034   | 0.173|
| Control 56 vs. Control 0  | eca-miR-10b | 2.0   | 0.000   | 0.001|
| Control 56 vs. Control 0  | eca-miR-16  | -4.1  | 0.004   | 0.045|
| Control 56 vs. Control 0  | eca-miR-199a-3p | 1.8  | 0.001   | 0.020|
| Control 56 vs. Control 0  | eca-miR-199b-3p | 1.8   | 0.001   | 0.020|
| Control 56 vs. Control 0  | eca-miR-19b | -5.5  | 0.011   | 0.085|
| Control 56 vs. Control 0  | eca-miR-25  | -3.4  | 0.034   | 0.173|
| Control 56 vs. Control 0  | eca-miR-26a | 1.3   | 0.006   | 0.049|
| Control 56 vs. Control 0  | eca-miR-30d | 2.3   | 0.004   | 0.046|
| Control 56 vs. Control 0  | eca-miR-99b | 3.3   | 0.030   | 0.173|
| Control 56 vs. OA 56      | eca-let-7c  | 0.5   | 0.022   | 0.074|
| Control 56 vs. OA 56      | eca-miR-486-5p | 0.9  | 0.015   | 0.074|
| Control 56 vs. OA 56      | eca-miR-92a | 1.6   | 0.005   | 0.046|
| Control 63 vs. OA 63      | eca-miR-451 | 1.6   | 0.022   | 0.933|
| Control 63 vs. OA 63      | eca-miR-532-5p | 6.2  | 0.035   | 0.933|
| OA 10 vs. OA 0           | eca-miR-122 | 9.4   | 0.035   | 0.762|
| OA 10 vs. OA 0           | eca-miR-142-5p | 9.1  | 0.019   | 0.762|
| OA 10 vs. OA 0           | eca-miR-21  | 1.4   | 0.027   | 0.762|
| OA 10 vs. OA 0           | eca-miR-23a | 4.2   | 0.007   | 0.588|
| OA 35 vs. OA 0           | eca-miR-486-5p | -1.9  | 0.008   | 0.046|
| OA 56 vs. OA 0           | eca-miR-10b  | 1.0   | 0.046   | 0.991|
| OA 56 vs. OA 0           | eca-miR-26a  | 0.9   | 0.046   | 0.991|
| OA 56 vs. OA 0           | eca-miR-744  | 10.0  | 0.032   | 0.991|
| OA 56 vs. OA 0           | eca-miR-92a  | -1.6  | 0.037   | 0.991|
| OA 63 vs. OA 0           | eca-miR-128  | 7.3   | 0.028   | 0.703|
| OA 63 vs. OA 0           | eca-miR-28-5p | 8.8  | 0.036   | 0.703|
| OA 63 vs. OA 0           | eca-miR-744  | 8.5   | 0.020   | 0.703|
| OA 63 vs. OA 0           | eca-miR-93   | 7.5   | 0.023   | 0.703|

OA, osteoarthritis; miRNA, microRNA; eca, equine; logFC, log fold change; FDR, false discovery rate.
Differentially expressed miRNAs isolated from synovial fluid-derived extracellular vesicles following small RNA sequencing. Comparisons were made between control (C) and osteoarthritis (OA) and between OA time points (days 0, 10, 25, 42, 49, 56, and 63). miR, eca-miR, n = 4 horses. Individual panels for the 20 DE miRNAs altered between OA time points or between control and OA. Error bars ± 1 standard deviation. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ****p < 0.0001.

homology because of proprietary constraints; therefore, some cautions should be used in not over-interpreting the results from antibody characterisation as we do not know the actual cross-reactivity. However, for CD9, CD81, and CD9, protein sequence homology is 92, 95, and 84%, respectively. As we suspected a lack of sequence homology in our preliminary study, we did not analyse the CD63 results further. Because of prohibitive costs, we only ran a subset of the SF and plasma samples on the Exoview platform. In the plasma, there was an apparent change in size following the OA induction. In the SF, no temporal or OA-related change was evident for CD9-positive EVs; however, for CD81-positive EVs, there was. CD81 expression changed
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Diagrams summarising the changing miRNA landscape in longitudinal EV samples following small RNA sequencing, miR, eca-miR. (A) Plasma-derived EV timeline. (B) Synovial fluid-derived EVs for time and diseases. MiRNAs were identified following pairwise comparisons. $p < 0.05$ and * denotes FDR $< 0.05$.

with time in the SF. The differences in tetraspanin expression may indicate that the EVs present in the SF and plasma could have different functional activities, as tetraspanins are important for functionalities of EVs as their function is dependent on their ability to interact with target cells. These, in turn, are determined by surface receptors existing in each EV subtype (71). Tetraspanin complexes are EV surface receptors that would define target cells to bind (72). A study on seminal plasma demonstrated an association of CD63 with CD9 and CD81 in exosomes, indicating a possible synergistic effect of these
and the first to describe SF-derived EV sncRNAs in OA have in order to determine the level of potential platelet-derived EVs. Interestingly, whilst one study on plasma sncRNAs (21, 22) has been a rise in the interest of using EV-RNAs as biomarkers (75). Others have demonstrated that the relative concentrations of miRNAs secreted in EVs differs from those found in the cell, or serum/plasma concentrations of miRNAs (76). Because of the role of EVs in cell communication, we hypothesised that sncRNA changes due to OA may be found in both SF and plasma EVs. SF-derived EVs are likely to come from a number of sources including cartilage, subchondral bone, synovium, and the circulation. We used a non-biassed approach, small RNA sequencing, to remove bias, and whilst most blood (21, 23, 77–79) or SF studies (21, 22) on OA have looked at miRNAs alone, we investigated a number of non-coding RNAs in our samples. To our knowledge, this is only the second study to investigate EVs derived from plasma in OA (24) and the first to describe SF-derived EV sncRNAs in OA.

To reduce contamination with residual platelets, all the plasma samples were centrifuged within 30 min after blood collection at 3,000 × g 15 min as previously recommended (80). Whilst we cannot discriminate contamination by miRs included in platelets in our plasma samples, this methodological step should have reduced it to a minimum. Future work could investigate platelet-derived EV markers such as CD31, CD41, CD42a (81) in order to determine the level of potential platelet contamination, or use flow cytometry and antibodies to remove potential platelet-derived EVs. We used EDTA collection tubes. miR content is different among plasma EVs, serum, and plasma (78). Care should be taken when comparing results not only between plasma and serum in similar studies but also in plasma studies depending on the collection tube used. Serum and citrate plasma contain increased numbers of platelet-derived EVs compared to acid citrate dextrose and EDTA plasma samples in one study (82).

In the plasma, we found 16 different DE miRNAs between day 0 and other time points and 22 DE after all the pairwise comparisons were conducted. We hypothesise that changes in the plasma could, in the initial few weeks, be due to OA and sham joint changes but would during the later stages of the model be due to OA changes alone. Of the miRNAs DE some have been found to change in OA in other studies; has-miR-126, has-miR-29 (24), and has-miR-146a in four human studies (21, 23, 78, 83). Interestingly, whilst one study on plasma found no DE miRNAs in plasma-derived EVs in OA, miR-146a was one of the most highly expressed miRNAs identified (24). In our study, we demonstrated that eca-miR-146a was significantly increased between days 42 and 63 and 56 and 63. Serum has-miR-146a-5p was significantly increased in women with knee OA compared with controls (74), and has-miR-146a has a vital role in maintaining cartilage homeostasis and increases in cartilage in early OA (84, 85). Furthermore, in

### Table 3A Differentially expressed snoRNAs following pairwise analysis in plasma-derived EVs.

| Pairwise comparison (day) | snoRNA | logFC  | p-value | FDR  |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|---------|------|
| 56 vs. 0                  | U3     | −6.3   | <0.001  | <0.001|
| 56 vs. 0                  | snot102| −7.8   | <0.001  | 0.002|
| 56 vs. 0                  | snot46 | 4.6    | 0.01    | 0.003|
| 49 vs. 42                 | snot113-7 | −4.2   | 0.003   | 0.041|
| 56 vs. 49                 | snot15 | −6.7   | 0.032   | 0.097|
| 63 vs. 56                 | snot66 | 7.4    | 0.009   | 0.092|
| 49 vs. 0                  | U3     | −7.0   | 0.006   | 0.082|
| 56 vs. 0                  | snot15 | −7.9   | 0.032   | 0.096|
| 56 vs. 0                  | snot102| −8.2   | 0.048   | 0.096|
| 63 vs. 0                  | snot66 | 9.8    | 0.024   | 0.094|
| 35 vs. 10                 | snot69 | −9.0   | 0.003   | 0.046|
| 42 vs. 10                 | snot113/114 | −3.9   | 0.005   | 0.076|
| 42 vs. 10                 | snot69 | −8.2   | 0.013   | 0.076|
| 42 vs. 10                 | snot58 | −6.9   | 0.015   | 0.076|
| 42 vs. 10                 | snot62 | −7.1   | 0.016   | 0.076|
| 42 vs. 10                 | snot30 | −8.0   | 0.025   | 0.095|
| 63 vs. 10                 | snot69 | −11.0  | 0.002   | 0.026|
| 56 vs. 10                 | snot15 | −7.1   | 0.013   | 0.082|
| 56 vs. 10                 | U3     | −6.8   | 0.027   | 0.092|
| 56 vs. 10                 | snot62 | −7.1   | 0.031   | 0.092|
| 56 vs. 10                 | snot58 | −7.0   | 0.034   | 0.092|
| 56 vs. 10                 | snot74 | −8.0   | 0.039   | 0.092|
| 56 vs. 35                 | snot33/32 | −9.3   | 0.006   | 0.063|
| 63 vs. 35                 | U3     | −8.8   | 0.019   | 0.094|
| 63 vs. 35                 | snot66 | 11.0   | 0.012   | 0.096|
| 63 vs. 35                 | snot97 | 11.0   | 0.012   | 0.096|
| 63 vs. 35                 | snot75 | 10.0   | 0.019   | 0.096|
| 63 vs. 35                 | snot113/114 | 9.3   | 0.039   | 0.096|
| 63 vs. 35                 | snot69 | 9.2    | 0.039   | 0.096|
| 63 vs. 35                 | snot62 | 9.0    | 0.039   | 0.096|
| 63 vs. 35                 | snot65 | 8.0    | 0.039   | 0.096|
| 56 vs. 42                 | U3     | −7.7   | 0.011   | 0.080|
| 56 vs. 42                 | snot65 | −8.4   | 0.028   | 0.099|
| 56 vs. 49                 | snot15 | −7.8   | 0.029   | 0.086|

snoRNA, small nuclear RNA; logFC, log fold change; FDR, false discovery rate.

tetraspanins (73). Simultaneous immunostaining enabled dual immunofluorescent analysis, and therefore co-localisation of CD9 and CD81 was assessed. In the plasma on days 0 and 42, there were similar co-localisation profiles, but the proportion of double-positive EVs was reduced significantly on day 63. This could potentially indicate a switch in tetraspanin phenotype in OA. The biological implications of this switch are unknown. One limitation of our study, which should be noted with respect to Exoview data, is the limited number of samples analysed; therefore, further studies are necessary before hard conclusions can be drawn.
a mouse study, surgically induced OA treated with a miR-146a inhibitor significantly alleviated cartilage destruction by targeting Camk2d and Ppp3r2 (84). Thus, others have identified an increase in miR-146 in human serum in OA, whilst we identified that it was also increased in plasma-derived EVs following OA induction. This seems an across species effect of cartilage degradation in OA, which can then be evident in blood and blood-derived EVs. The increase in plasma EV miR146 could be derived from OA joints. Further studies are necessary to determine if plasma EV-derived miR-146 is an effect of cartilage destruction and could be targeted to treat OA.

In the SF-derived EVs, we identified 31 miRNAs that were DE between the control and OA or temporally in OA. Some have been previously identified as DE in other studies, including eca-let7c, eca-miR-10a, eca-miR-122, eca-miR-215, and eca-miR-23a, in SF derived from horses 28 days after the osteochondral model was commenced in the original study containing a larger cohort of nine horses (54).

Seven miRNAs were DE in the plasma and SF-derived EVs when the data were analysed between different time points; eca-miR-451, eca-miR-25, eca-miR-215, eca-miR-92a, eca-miR-let-7c, eca-miR-486-5p, and eca-miR-23a. Thus, these miRNAs represent the most promising biomarkers for further studies. An ideal biomarker for early equine OA would be sourced from blood rather than SF, but the fact that changes occur in both biofluids suggests that they are associated with OA changes in our model. Interestingly, eca-miR-215, eca-let7-c, and eca-miR-23a were also identified in our study in which we isolated snRNAs from SF. They were altered on day 28 compared to day 0 in the same model and the same horses (54). Eca-miR-23a was also increased in equine early OA SF (8) and increased
TABLE 3B Differently expressed snoRNAs following pairwise analysis in synovial fluid-derived EVs.

| Pairwise comparison (day) | snoRNA | logFC | p-value | FDR |
|--------------------------|--------|-------|---------|-----|
| Control 35 vs. Control 0 | U3     | −0.4  | 0.027   | 0.082 |
| OA 35 vs. OA 0           | snord27| −9.9  | 0.038   | 0.094 |
| OA 35 vs. OA 0           | snord2 | −9.0  | 0.043   | 0.094 |
| OA 35 vs. OA 0           | snord46| −9.5  | 0.043   | 0.094 |
| OA 35 vs. OA 0           | snord15| −9.5  | 0.047   | 0.094 |
| OA 49 vs. OA 0           | snord27| −9.8  | 0.023   | 0.095 |
| OA 49 vs. OA 0           | snord46| −9.4  | 0.036   | 0.095 |
| OA 49 vs. OA 0           | snord21| 9.3   | 0.047   | 0.095 |
| OA 56 vs. OA 0           | snord46| −8.9  | 0.043   | 0.093 |
| OA 56 vs. OA 0           | snord15| −8.8  | 0.048   | 0.093 |
| OA 56 vs. OA 0           | snord27| −9.2  | 0.052   | 0.093 |
| OA 56 vs. OA 0           | U3     | −1.3  | 0.053   | 0.093 |
| Control 56 vs. Control 56| snord46| 8.8   | 0.024   | 0.098 |
| Control 63 vs. Control 0 | snord85| 7.0   | 0.003   | 0.034 |
| OA 10 vs. OA 0           | snord85| 2.3   | 0.019   | 0.097 |
| OA 10 vs. OA 0           | snord46| −3.5  | 0.040   | 0.099 |
| OA 10 vs. OA 0           | snord15| 3.0   | 0.060   | 0.100 |
| Control 49 vs. OA 49     | snord85| 4.4   | 0.009   | 0.094 |
| Control 56 vs. OA 56     | snord15| −2.9  | 0.000   | <0.001 |
| Control 56 vs. OA 56     | snord66| −2.8  | 0.001   | 0.010 |
| Control 63 vs. OA 63     | snord46| −3.7  | 0.003   | 0.043 |

snoRNA, small nucleolar RNA; logFC, log fold change; FDR, false discovery rate.

in late vs. early human OA SF (22). Has-mir-23a contributes to OA progression by directly targeting SMAD3 (86). Generally, our miRNA findings support our other study with this model in which we found that OA disease progression caused early changes in SF miRNA expression patterns on day 28 (24).

We can only compare the plasma-derived EV results with one previous non-temporal study in OA in which no DE miRNAs were identified. This study used 23 OA patients and 23 controls and isolated EVs by precipitation followed by sequencing the samples on a sequencing platform similar to that used in this study (24). These discrepancies may be related to differences in species, sample type, disease stage or severity, methodology, gender differences, and other factors among studies.

EVs are vehicles for exchange of bioactive signalling molecules in cartilage and between joint tissues to promote joint homeostasis and arthritis pathogenesis. SnRNAs in condition-specific EVs may function as a unique set of non-coding RNAs. We know that miRNAs in particular act as regulatory molecules, but that other snoRNAs may also act as components of EVs contributing to OA pathogenesis by mediating cell-cell and tissue-tissue communication in osteoarthritic joints. Understanding the role of plasma-derived EVs in OA pathogenesis needs further studies, which we are currently conducting. In order to understand the potential role of the panel of miRNAs changing in our model, we conducted a pathway analysis of the DE miRNAs. First, we took DE plasma-derived miRNAs following pairwise comparisons compared to day 0. This was to define changes at a pathway level temporally compared to prior to OA induction (day 0). A “Core Analysis” of the miRNAs in IPA described a predicted inhibition of migration, cell proliferation, and cell viability. The carpal osteochondral fragment model specifically simulates a post-traumatic OA phenotype. Therefore, every event from carpal chipping to erosion of cartilage is considered part of the pathogenesis of the disease. This suggests that plasma-derived miRNA EV cargo changing in the model would inhibit cell migration, proliferation, and viability. However, the origin of these EVs cannot be confirmed but, if related to the model, would represent changes occurring in both the OA and sham joint processes including wound healing and repair, bone, cartilage, and synovial damage, and reparative processes of these tissues plus inflammation. In an OA mesenchymal stem cell, cells migrate and proliferate into the damaged cartilage area (87), and inflammatory cells can migrate to the joint (88). By reducing migration, proliferation and viability, the plasma miRNA EV cargo could potentially affect the interplay of various cells in the OA joint.

Next, we took the 22 DE miRNA plasma expression network, used IPA to predict their target genes, and then condensed the list of biological pathways affected by these miRNAs. This identified many terms related to cell response (including would healing and endogenous stimuli), regulation of cellular processes (including cell death, proliferation, apoptosis, migration, and metabolic processes), and cell signalling, secretion, and activation. As the EV-miRNA cargo was from the plasma, it is difficult to distinguish if these effects are due to OA changes alone or arthroscopy of both the OA and sham joints. Despite this, our findings potentially offer an insight into early changes in post-traumatic OA. However, by investigating the potential pathways of DE miRNAs in the SF (which we hypothesise would be more likely to be due to disease induction), we detailed the potential role of this cargo and its effects in early post traumatic OA in the joint. Interestingly, whilst one would expect the Argonaute RISC catalytic component to be an upstream regulator of miRNAs [as the Argonaute family of proteins has a role in RNA interference (89)], E2F Transcription Factors 1 and 3 were also predicted. This has a role in accelerating cell proliferation and promoting inflammation signalling (90), and E2F1 targets may be affected in OA pathogenesis (91).

Additionally, we analysed the expression changes of other snoRNAs. To our knowledge, for the first time in an OA model, we have identified changing plasma and SF-derived EV tRNAs, lncRNAs, and snRNAs. These could be important molecules for understanding and treating OA. For example, Liu et al.
showed that exosomes derived from human mesenchymal stem cells contained lncRNA KLF3-AS1 and promoted chondrocyte proliferation in vitro, whilst in an in vivo collagenase-induced OA model, these exosomes improved cartilage repair (92).

We also investigated the changes in snoRNAs. From our previous study, we have known that snoRNAs have an important role in joint homeostasis (93), mouse joint ageing (17), chondrogenic differentiation (94), cartilage ageing (15), OA (6, 7), and early OA SF (8). Interestingly, in the plasma, we found that 82% of DE snoRNAs in the plasma were also identified in ageing/OA human cartilage study (7), including Snord113/114, snord15, snord30, snord32/33, snord46, snord58, snord62, snord66, snord69, snord74, snord75, and U3. We have also shown snord113 to be DE in ageing mouse joints (17), ageing equine chondrocytes (95), ageing equine cartilage (96); U3 to be DE in ageing mouse serum (17), OA cartilage and chondrocytes (6); snord32/33 to be DE in ageing mouse serum (17) and OA human and equine cartilages where it has a role.
Pathway analysis of differentially expressed (DE) miRNAs in synovial fluid following small RNA sequencing using IPA. (A) Regulatory effect network for E2F1, E2F3, and AGO2 action, senescence, inflammation, apoptosis, angiogenesis, fibrosis, gene silencing, transcription, and expression of RNA via differentially expressed miRNAs. (B) Heatmap sized by the Z-score of biological processes with a scale where blue is inhibited and orange is activated. (C) Significant diseases and functions based on Z-score associated with DE miRNAs. Figures are graphical representations of molecules identified in our data in their respective networks. Red nodes are upregulated and green nodes are downregulated gene expression. Intensity of colour is related to higher fold-change. Legends for the main features in the networks are shown. Biological function is dependent on whether it is predicted to be activated or inhibited.

in oxidative stress (97). For DE snoRNAs the in synovial fluid, 88% of DE snoRNAs in the plasma were also identified in an ageing/OA human cartilage study (7) including snord15, snord2, snord21, snord46, snord58, snord96A, and U3. Furthermore, snord96A was DE in equine SF in early OA (8) and snord58 in ageing human cartilage (unpublished work). Four snoRNAs, U3, snord15, snord46, and snord58, were found to be DE in both plasma and SF-derived EVs and were all altered in pairwise comparisons in OA. Changes in U3 are particularly interesting given our knowledge of its role in OA and impact on protein translational apparatus (6). This snoRNA was reduced in the plasma at multiple time points and in the SF between days 0 and 56. It is essential for rRNA maturation, acting as a spliceosome during ribosome biogenesis and releasing 18S rRNA from the precursor 47S rRNA (98). Impairment of 18S rRNA is suggested to further decrease levels of 5.8S and 28S rRNA in experimental U3 impaired human articular chondrocytes (6), whilst in OA human articular chondrocytes following U3 downregulation, there was a reduction in the expression of chondrogenic genes accompanied by increased levels of chondrocyte hypertrophy genes. OA-related U3 downregulation affects the protein translation capacity of chondrocytes in vitro (6). Additionally, sequences of U3 have been suggested to have miRNA-like abilities, accomplishing effective RNA-silencing in vitro in at least two human cell lines (98). Overall, the changes in snoRNAs in EVs in both the plasma and the SF provide an insight into their role in the pathogenesis of OA, as traditionally OA has been defined as an imbalance between joint anabolism and catabolism. With our increasing evidence that it is an acquired ribosomopathy (93), there is a potential role for EV-derived snoRNAs to contribute to OA pathogenesis via its cell communication role. We are currently studying snRNA cross-talk in joint cells to shed more light on this hypothesis (99). Thus, EV snoRNAs may provide novel molecular opportunities for the development of OA therapeutics.

We realise that there are a number of limitations in the study. The plasma and SF samples used in this study were stored at −80°C for 2 years prior to analysis, and we were limited in the volumes of plasma and SF we had because the samples were used for multiple platforms. Although collection, handling, and storage were the same for all the samples, any inconsistencies in these procedures may alter the levels of sncRNAs. We used spike-ins throughout the sequencing methodology to increase reproducibility, and indeed the number of sncRNAs was similar to those observed by others in plasma-derived EVs (24), suggesting that our results are likely to be representative for sncRNAs in SF and plasma EVs. The age range of the donors was 2.5–7 years old. Therefore, we cannot discount possible age-related changes in the EV characteristics or snRNA expression identified in this study. We have previously described that mouse
serum miR expression in young (8 months) vs. old (18 months) mice changes (18). Our laboratory found no changes in SF-derived EV characteristics or selected miR expression between young (0–5 years) and old (14–21 years) horses (100).

Unfortunately, we were unable to validate our findings in the same samples by qRT-PCR as there was not enough remaining sample for EV extraction.

## Conclusion

Sequencing of temporal samples for snRNAs in an equine model produced unbiased profiling of the circulating and SF-derived EV snRNAome and identified a unique panel of DE snRNAs during initiation and progression of early post-traumatic equine OA. We characterised plasma and SF-derived EVs in equine OA for the first time and demonstrated that differences in tetraspanin expression may indicate that in early OA they could represent changing functionalities of EVs. The seven DE miRNAs in plasma and SF-derived EVs, eca-miR-451, eca-miR-25, eca-miR-215, eca-miR-92a, eca-miR-let-7c, eca-miR-486-5p, and eca-miR-23a, and four snoRNAs, U3, snord15, snord46, and snord58, identified temporally between specific time points represent exciting molecules for future studies.
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