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1. Introduction and Outline of the Paper

In 1963, Murray Gerstenhaber invented a composition calculus in the Hochschild complex of an associative algebra. The theory proposed in was announced to hold also in an abstract setting, i.e., for abstract comp systems. In this paper, the first steps of the Gerstenhaber theory (see also for recent expositions and elaborations) are presented in an abstract comp system setting. In particular, as in the Hochschild complex, a graded Lie algebra and a pre-coboundary operator can be associated to every comp system. A derivation deviation of the pre-coboundary operator over the total composition is calculated in two ways. The short way, however modified (simplified) in this paper, can be adapted from with some effort, but the long one (via auxiliary variables) is essentially new and can be seen as an example and elaboration of the auxiliary variables method proposed by Gerstenhaber in the early days of the comp calculus. We cover all the main aspects of from the modern abstract point of view, except for the proof of Theorem 5 therein, because this needs quite a specific (auxiliary variables) technique, explained concisely in section 9.2.

As a variation of previous terms, we shall introduce notions of a composition algebra and a \( \bowtie \)-algebra. It turns out that right translations in the composition algebra are (right) derivations of the \( \bowtie \)-algebra. As may be anticipated from the Gerstenhaber theory of endomorphism comp systems, left translations in the composition algebra are not derivations of the \( \bowtie \)-algebra. The corresponding derivation deviation coincides up to sign with the derivation deviation of the pre-coboundary operator over Gerstenhaber’s ternary braces (cf. Theorem 9.2 of our paper with Theorem 5 of ).

2. Pre-operad (composition system)

Let \( K \) be a unital commutative associative ring, and let \( C^n \) (\( n \in \mathbb{N} \)) be unital \( K \)-modules. For homogeneous \( f \in C^n \), we refer to \( n \) as the degree of \( f \) and write \( (-1)^f := (-1)^n \). Also, it is convenient to use the shifted (desuspended) degree \( |f| := n - 1 \). Throughout this paper, we assume that \( \otimes := \otimes_K \).
2.1. Definition (cf. [3, 4, 5, 2]). A linear (right) pre-operad (composition system) with coefficients in $K$ is a sequence $C := \{C^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of unital $K$-modules (an $\mathbb{N}$-graded $K$-module), such that the following conditions hold.

1. For $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$ there exist partial compositions
   \[ \circ_i \in \text{Hom} (C^m \otimes C^n, C^{m+n-1}), \quad |\circ_i| = 0. \]

2. For all $h \otimes f \otimes g \in C^h \otimes C^f \otimes C^g$, the composition relations hold,
   \[ (h \circ_i f) \circ_j g = \begin{cases} (-1)^{|f||g|} (h \circ_j g) \circ_{i+|g|} f, & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq i - 1 \\ h \circ_i (f \circ_{j-i} g), & \text{if } i \leq j \leq i + |f|. \end{cases} \]

3. There exists a unit $I \in C^1$ such that
   \[ I \circ_0 f = f = f \circ_i I, \quad 0 \leq i \leq |f|. \]

2.2. Remark. A pre-operad is also called a comp(osition) algebra or asymmetric operad or non-symmetric operad or non-$\Sigma$ operad. The concept of (symmetric) operad was formalized by Peter May [18] as a tool for the theory of iterated loop spaces. Recent studies and applications can be found in [12].

Above we modified the Gerstenhaber comp algebra defining relations [2, 3] by introducing the sign $(-1)^{|f||g|}$ in the defining relations of the pre-operad. The modification enables us to keep track of (control) sign changes more effectively. One should also note that (up to sign) our $\circ_i$ is Gerstenhaber’s $\circ_{i+1}$ from [3, 5]; we use the original (non-shifted) convention from [3, 4].

2.3. Graded operads [13, 10]. The above definition makes sense also for internally graded $C^n$ (i.e. bigraded $C$), where $\circ_i$ are of internal degree zero, $|\circ_i| = 0$ and the signs are adjusted as usual. In this case, $|f|$ means the internal degree of $f$, the convention $|f| = f - 1$ is abandoned and $\circ_{i+|g|} := \circ_{i+|g|-1}$. Such a pre-operad is called graded. The theory of differential graded operads was recently developed in [13, 15, 16, 17].

2.4. Endomorphism pre-operad [2, 4, 5]. Let $A$ be a unital $K$-module and $\mathcal{E}^n_A := \text{End}^n_A := \text{Hom} (A^\otimes n, A)$. Define the partial compositions for $f \otimes g \in \mathcal{E}^f_A \otimes \mathcal{E}^g_A$ as
   \[ f \circ_i g := (-1)^{|i|g|} f \circ (\text{id}_A^\otimes i \otimes g \otimes \text{id}_A^\otimes (|f|-i)), \quad 0 \leq i \leq |f|. \]
Then $\mathcal{E}_A := \{\mathcal{E}_A^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a pre-operad (with the unit $\text{id}_A \in \mathcal{E}_A^1$) called the endomorphism pre-operad of $A$. A few examples (without the sign factor) can be found in \cite{4,5} as well. We use the original indexing of \cite{3,4} for the defining formulae.

2.5. **Associahedra.** A geometrical example of a pre-operad is provided by the Stasheff associahedra, which was first constructed in \cite{21}. Quite a surprising realization of the associahedra as truncated simplices was discovered and studied recently in \cite{20,24,14}. Unfortunately, the example is too sophisticated to expose in the present paper and we leave a reader alone with the above references.

2.6. **Representations.** It is widely accepted that abstract groups and algebras can be faithfully represented by linear transformations. In the theory of operads, endomorphism operads play the same role with respect to abstract ones. Following this, one can expect that operads can be faithfully represented by endomorphism operads. Representation means that there exists an operad map $\Psi \in \text{Hom}(C, \text{End}_A)$ of degree zero, such that

$$\Psi(f \circ_i g) = (\Psi f) \circ_i (\Psi g), \quad i = 1, \ldots, |\Psi f| = |f|.$$ 

The resulting triple $(C, A, \Psi)$ is called an algebra over the operad $C$ or $C$-algebra in short. In view of this, much of the Gerstenhaber theory for endomorphism pre-operads is expected to hold for abstract ones as well.

2.7. **Proposition.** Let $C$ be a pre-operad. Then for all $h \otimes f \otimes g \in C^h \otimes C^f \otimes C^g$, the following composition relations hold:

$$(h \circ_i f) \circ_j g = (-1)^{|f||g|} (h \circ_{j-|f|} g) \circ_i f, \quad \text{if } i + f \leq j \leq |h| + |f|.$$ 

2.8. **Scope of a pre-operad.** The scope of $(h \circ_i f) \circ_j g$ is given by

$$0 \leq i \leq |h|, \quad 0 \leq j \leq |f| + |h|.$$ 

It follows from the defining relations of a pre-operad that the scope is a disjoint union of

$$B := \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} | 1 \leq i \leq |h|; 0 \leq j \leq i - 1\},$$

$$A := \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} | 0 \leq i \leq |h|; i \leq j \leq i + |f|\},$$

$$G := \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} | 0 \leq i \leq |h| - 1; i + f \leq j \leq |f| + |h|\}.$$
Note that the triangles $B$ and $G$ are symmetrically situated with respect to the parallelogram $A$ in the scope $BAG$. The (recommended and impressive) picture is left for a reader as an exercise.

2.9. Recapitulation. The defining relations of a pre-operad can be easily rewritten as follows:

\[
(h \circ_i f) \circ_j g = \begin{cases} 
(-1)^{|f||g|} (h \circ_j g) \circ_{i+|g|} f, & \text{if } (i, j) \in B \\
h \circ_i (f \circ_{j-i} g), & \text{if } (i, j) \in A \\
(-1)^{|f||g|} (h \circ_{j-[f|} g) \circ_i f, & \text{if } (i, j) \in G,
\end{cases}
\]

where we have included Proposition 2.7 as well. The first ($B$) and third ($G$) parts of the relations turn out to be equivalent.

3. Cup

3.1. Definition 3.2. In a pre-operad $C$, let $\mu \in C^2$. Define $\bowtie := \bowtie_\mu : C^f \otimes C^g \to C^{f+g}$ by

\[
\mu \bowtie f := (-1)^f (\mu \circ_0 f) \circ_f g,
\]

The pair $\text{Cup} := \{C, \bowtie\}$ is called a $\bowtie$-algebra of $C$.

3.2. Example. For the endomorphism pre-operad (section 2.4) $E_A$, one has

\[
f \bowtie g = (-1)^f g \mu \circ (f \otimes g), \quad \mu \otimes f \otimes g \in E_A^2 \otimes E_A^f \otimes E_A^g.
\]

3.3. Proposition. In a pre-operad $C$, one has

\[
\mu \circ_0 f = (-1)^f f \bowtie I, \quad \mu \circ_1 f = -I \bowtie f, \quad f \bowtie g = -(1)^{|f||g|} (\mu \circ_1 g) \circ_0 f.
\]

Proof. We have

\[
(-1)^f f \bowtie I = (-1)^{f+f} (\mu \circ_0 f) \circ_f I = \mu \circ_0 f, \quad -I \bowtie f = (\mu \circ_0 I) \circ_1 f = \mu \circ_1 f.
\]

Also, calculate

\[
f \bowtie g = (-1)^f (\mu \circ_0 f) \circ_f g = (-1)^{|f||g|+f} (\mu \circ_{f-[f|} g) \circ_0 f = (-1)^{|f||g|+|f|+1} (\mu \circ_1 g) \circ_0 f = -(1)^{|f||g|} (\mu \circ_1 g) \circ_0 f,
\]

which was required as well. \qed
3.4. **Lemma.** In a pre-operad $C$, the following composition relations hold:

$$(f \sim g) \circ_j h = \begin{cases} (-1)^{|\mu|} (f \circ_j h) \sim g, & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq |f| \\ f \sim (g \circ_{j-f} h), & \text{if } f \leq j \leq |g| + f. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Calculate, by using the defining relations of a pre-operad:

$$(f \sim g) \circ_j h = (-1)^f [(\mu \circ_0 f) \circ_f g] \circ_j h = \begin{cases} (-1)^f + |g| \mu \circ_f (g \circ_{j-f} h), & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq |f| \\ (-1)^f + |g| \mu \circ_f (g \circ_{j-f} h), & \text{if } f \leq j \leq |g| + f \end{cases}$$

which is the required formula. 

4. **Total composition and the Gerstenhaber identity**

4.1. **Definition.** In a pre-operad $C$, the total composition $\bullet: C^f \otimes C^g \to C^{f+g-1}$ is defined by

$$f \bullet g := \sum_{i=0}^{|f|} f \circ_i g, \quad |\bullet| = 0, \quad f \otimes g \in C^f \otimes C^g.$$ The pair $\text{Com} C := \{C, \bullet\}$ is called a composition algebra of $C$.

4.2. **Theorem.** In a pre-operad $C$, one has

$$(f \sim g) \bullet h = f \sim (g \bullet h) + (-1)^{|\mu|} g (f \bullet h) \sim g.$$  

**Proof.** Use Lemma 3.4. Note that $|f \sim g| = f + g - 1$ and calculate,

$$(f \sim g) \bullet h = \sum_{i=0}^{f+g-1} (f \sim g) \circ_i h = \sum_{i=0}^{f-1} (f \sim g) \circ_i h + \sum_{i=f}^{f+g-1} (f \sim g) \circ_i h$$

$$= (-1)^{|\mu|} \sum_{i=0}^{|f|} (f \circ_i h) \sim g + \sum_{i=f}^{f+g-1} f \sim (g \circ_{i-f} h)$$

$$= (-1)^{|\mu|} (f \bullet h) \sim g + \sum_{i'=0}^{|g|} f \sim (g \circ_{i'} h)$$
\[ = (-1)^{|h||g|}(f \circ h - g + f \circ (g \circ h)), \]

which is the required formula. \qed

**Remark.** This theorem tells us that right translations in Com\(C\) are (right) derivations of the \(\sim\)-algebra. It may be anticipated from Theorem 5 of [3] that the left translations in Com\(C\) are not derivations of the \(\sim\)-algebra (see section 4).

4.3. **Associator.** Now, recall section 2.8 and note that

\[
(h \circ f) \circ g = \sum_{i=0}^{|h|} \sum_{j=0}^{|f|+|h|} (h \circ_i f) \circ_j g = \left( \sum_{(i,j) \in B} + \sum_{(i,j) \in A} + \sum_{(i,j) \in G} \right) (h \circ_i f) \circ_j g.
\]

We can rearrange this double sum as follows. First note that

\[
\sum_{(i,j) \in A} (h \circ_i f) \circ_j g = \sum_{i=0}^{|h|} \sum_{j=0}^{|f|} h \circ_i (f \circ_{j-i} g) = \sum_{i=0}^{|h|} \sum_{j=0}^{|f|} h \circ_i (f \circ_j' g) = h \circ (f \circ g).
\]

So, an **associator** is at hand,

\[
(h, f, g) := (h \circ f) \circ g - h \circ (f \circ g) = \left( \sum_{(i,j) \in B} + \sum_{(i,j) \in G} \right) (h \circ_i f) \circ_j g.
\]

4.4. **Gerstenhaber braces** [3, 2]. The Gerstenhaber ternary braces \{·, ·, ·\} are defined as a double sum over the triangle \(G\) by

\[
\{h, f, g\} := \sum_{(i,j) \in G} (h \circ_i f) \circ_j g, \quad |\{·, ·, ·\}| = 0, \quad h \otimes f \otimes g \in C^h \otimes C^f \otimes C^g.
\]

4.5. **Getzler identity** [7]. In a pre-operad \(C\), one has

\[
(h, f, g) = \{h, f, g\} + (-1)^{|f||g|}\{h, g, f\}, \quad h \otimes f \otimes g \in C^h \otimes C^f \otimes C^g.
\]

**Proof.** First note that

\[
\{h, f, g\} = \sum_{i=0}^{|h|-1} \sum_{j=0}^{|f|+|h|} (h \circ_i f) \circ_j g = \sum_{j=0}^{|f|+|h|} \sum_{i=0}^{|h|-1-j} (h \circ_i f) \circ_j g.
\]

By transposing the arguments, we have

\[
\{h, g, f\} = \sum_{j=0}^{|g|+|h|} \sum_{i=0}^{|h|-|f|-|g|} (h \circ_i g) \circ_j f = \sum_{j=0}^{|g|+|h|} \sum_{i=0}^{|h|-|g|} (h \circ_i g) \circ_j f \circ_{i-1} g.
\]

Now introduce the new summation indices \(i'\) and \(j'\) by

\[1 \leq i' := j - |g| \leq |h|, \quad 0 \leq j' := i \leq i' - 1.\]
Then we have
\[
\{h, g, f\} = (-1)^{|f||g|} \sum_{i'=1}^{h} \sum_{j'=0}^{i'-1} (h \circ_{i'} f) \circ_{j'} g = (-1)^{|f||g|} \sum_{(i, j) \in B} (h \circ_{i} f) \circ_{j} g,
\]
which proves the required formula. \(\square\)

4.6. **Gerstenhaber identity** \[3\]. In a pre-operad \(C\), one has
\[
(h, f, g) = (-1)^{|f||g|}(h, g, f), \quad h \otimes f \otimes g \in C^h \otimes C^f \otimes C^g.
\]

**Proof.** Use the Getzler identity 4.5. \(\square\)

4.7. **Remark.** Among others, we should like to call attention particularly to 4.6 and call it the Gerstenhaber identity. This identity, first (form of) found in \[3\], is responsible for the Jacobi identity in Com\(^{-}C\) (defined below). In Gerstenhaber’s original terms from \[3\], it should be called pre-Jacobi. We had quite the same motivation for Getzler’s identity 4.5, thus it might be called a pre-Gerstenhaber or pre-pre-Jacobi identity.

4.8. **Cup and braces.** In a pre-operad \(C\), one has
\[
f \triangleright g = (-1)^{|f|} \{\mu, f, g\}, \quad \mu \otimes f \otimes g \in C^2 \otimes C^f \otimes C^g.
\]

**Proof.** Evidently,
\[
\{\mu, f, g\} = \sum_{i=0}^{(|\mu|-1)|f|+|\mu|} \sum_{j=i+f} (\mu \circ_{i} f) \circ_{j} g = \sum_{j=f} (\mu \circ_{0} f) \circ_{j} g = (\mu \circ_{0} f) \circ_{f} g = (-1)^{|f|} f \triangleright g,
\]
which is the required formula. \(\square\)

5. **Total composition and a graded Lie algebra**

5.1. **Commutator and Jacobian.** The commutator \([\cdot, \cdot]\) and Jacobian \(J\) are defined in Com\(C\) in the conventional way by
\[
[f, g] := f \bullet g - (-1)^{|f||g|} g \bullet f = -(1)^{|f||g|} [g, f],
\]
\[
J(f \otimes g \otimes h) := (-1)^{|f||h||[f, g], h|} + (-1)^{|g||f||[g, h], f|} + (-1)^{|h||g||[h, f], g|}.
\]

We denote the corresponding commutator algebra of \(C\) as Com\(^{-}C\) := \(\{C, [\cdot, \cdot]\}\).

**Remark.** The sign \(\triangleright\) stems from the definition of the commutator \([\cdot, \cdot]\). Quite a convenient notation is \([f, g]_{\pm} := f \bullet g \pm (-1)^{|f||g|} g \bullet f\). We do not need \([\cdot, \cdot]_{+}\) in this paper, and so \([\cdot, \cdot]_{-} := [\cdot, \cdot]\).
5.2. **Theorem** [3, 5, 2]. Com$^-$C is a graded Lie algebra.

*Proof.* Indeed, in Com$^-$C the following identity holds:

\[
J(f \otimes g \otimes h) = (-1)^{|f||h|}((f, g, h) - (-1)^{|g||h|}(f, h, g)) \\
+ (-1)^{|g||f|}((g, h, f) - (-1)^{|h||f|}(g, f, h)) + (-1)^{|h||g|}((h, f, g) - (-1)^{|f||g|}(h, g, f)),
\]

so the Gerstenhaber identity implies the graded Jacobi identity: $J = 0$.  

In addition [19], one can easily check that

1. $[f, f] = 0$, if $|f|$ is even,
2. $[[f, f], f] = 0$, if $|f|$ is odd.

The first item is evident from the definition of $[,]$. For the convenience of reader, let us check the second one. If $|f|$ is odd, then

\[
[[f, f], f] = [f \bullet f - (-1)^{|f||f|}f \bullet f, f] = 2[f \bullet f, f] \\
= 2((f \bullet f) \bullet f - (-1)^{|f||f|}f \bullet (f \bullet f)) \\
= 2(f, f, f) = 2\{f, f, f\} + 2(-1)^{|f||f|}\{f, f, f\} = 0,
\]

where the Getzler identity was used inside the last row.

**Remark.** The Jacobi identity implies that $3[[f, f], f]] = 0$ for odd $|f|$ and hence the restriction char $K \neq 3$ is imposed [19] as a rule. But via the Getzler identity one can avoid this unpleasant restriction.

5.3. **Remark.** This important theorem has been discussed several times. Stasheff [22, 23] has proved this theorem for endomorphism pre-operads in homological terms, by using coderivations of a tensor coalgebra. Getzler [7], Getzler and Jones [8] have proved this theorem for graded endomorphism pre-operads. Markl and Schnider [15, 16] have proved this theorem for abstract graded pre-operads.

6. **Cup and a pre-coboundary operator**

6.1. **Definition.** In a pre-operad $C$, define a pre-coboundary operator $\delta_\mu$ by

\[
-\delta_\mu f := [f, \mu] := \text{ad}^\text{Right}_\mu f = f \bullet \mu - (-1)^{|f|}\mu \bullet f, \quad \mu \otimes f \in C^2 \otimes C^f.
\]
6.2. **Example.** In the Gerstenhaber theory \([3]\), \(C\) is an *endomorphism* pre-operad and \(\delta_\mu\) is the Hochschild *coboundary operator* with the property \(\delta^2_\mu = 0\), the latter is due to the *associativity* \(\mu \bullet \mu = 0\) (see also section 6.4).

6.3. **Proposition.** In a pre-operad \(C\), one has
\[
-\delta_\mu f = f - I + f \bullet \mu + (-1)^{|f|} I - f, \quad \mu \otimes f \in C^2 \otimes C^f.
\]

6.4. **Proposition.** In a pre-operad \(C\), one has \(\delta^2_\mu = -\delta_\mu \mu\).

**Proof.** For \(f \in C^f\) calculate
\[
\delta^2_\mu f = \delta_\mu \delta_\mu f = [[f, \mu], \mu] = [f \bullet \mu - (-1)^{|f|} \mu \bullet f, \mu]
\]
\[
= (f \bullet \mu) \bullet \mu - (-1)^{|f|} (\mu \bullet f) \bullet \mu - (-1)^{|f|+1} \mu \bullet (f \bullet \mu) - \mu \bullet (\mu \bullet f)
\]
\[
= f \bullet (\mu \bullet \mu) + (f, \mu, \mu) - (-1)^{|f|} (\mu, f, \mu) - (\mu, \mu, f) + (\mu, \mu, f).
\]

Now note that Getzler’s identity \([4.5]\) implies \((f, \mu, \mu) = 0\) and the Gerstenhaber identity \([4.6]\) implies \((\mu, \mu, f) = (-1)^{|f|} (\mu, f, \mu)\). So, superfluous terms cancel out and we obtain
\[
\delta^2_\mu f = f \bullet (\mu \bullet \mu) - (\mu \bullet \mu) \bullet f = [f, \mu \bullet \mu] = \text{ad}_{\mu \bullet \mu} f = -\delta_\mu \mu f,
\]
which proves the required formula. \(\square\)

**Remark.** The standard proof of this theorem goes via the Jacobi identity. One has
\[
2\delta^2_\mu = [\delta_\mu, \delta_\mu] = [\text{ad}_\mu, \text{ad}_\mu] = \text{ad}_{[\mu, \mu]} = 2\text{ad}_{\mu \bullet \mu} = -2\delta_\mu \mu,
\]
which means that \(\text{char } K \neq 2\) is needed as a rule. By using the Getzler and Gerstenhaber identities one can avoid this restriction as well.

7. **Derivation deviation of \(\delta_\mu\) over total composition**

7.1. **Definition.** The *derivation deviation* of \(\delta_\mu\) over \(\bullet\) is defined by
\[
\text{dev}_\bullet \delta_\mu(f \otimes g) := \delta_\mu(f \bullet g) - f \bullet \delta_\mu g - (-1)^{|g|} \delta_\mu f \bullet g.
\]
7.2. **Theorem** \[3\]: In a pre-operad $C$, one has

$$(-1)^{|g|} \text{dev} \cdot \delta_{\mu}(f \otimes g) = f \sim g - (-1)^{|f|} g \sim f, \quad \mu \otimes f \otimes g \in C^2 \otimes C^f \otimes C^g.$$  

**Proof.** First use the definitions of $\delta_{\mu}$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]$:

$$\text{dev} \cdot \delta_{\mu}(f \otimes g) := \delta_{\mu}(f \bullet g) - f \bullet \delta_{\mu} g - (-1)^{|g|} \delta_{\mu} f \bullet g$$

$$= - [f \bullet g, \mu] + f \bullet [g, \mu] + (-1)^{|g|} [f, \mu] \bullet g$$

$$= - (f \bullet g) \bullet \mu + (-1)^{|f|+|g|} \mu \bullet (f \bullet g) + f \bullet (g \bullet \mu)$$

$$- (-1)^{|g|} f \bullet (\mu \bullet g) + (-1)^{|g|} (f \bullet \mu) \bullet g - (-1)^{|g|+|f|} (\mu \bullet f) \bullet g$$

$$= - (f, g, \mu) - (-1)^{|f|+|g|} (\mu, f, g) + (-1)^{|g|} (f, \mu, g).$$

Now, note that $(f, g, \mu) = (-1)^{|g|} (f, \mu, g)$ and use Getzler’s identity \[4.7\] with Proposition \[4.8\]. So it follows that

$$\text{dev} \cdot \delta_{\mu}(f \otimes g) = -(-1)^{|f|+|g|} (\mu, f, g)$$

$$= -(-1)^{|f|+|g|} \{ \mu, f, g \} - (-1)^{|f|+|g|+|f|} \{ \mu, g, f \}$$

$$= -(-1)^{|f|+|g|+f} f \sim g - (-1)^{|f|+|g|+|f|+|g|} g \sim f$$

$$= (-1)^{|g|} [f \sim g - (-1)^{|f|} g \sim f],$$

which is the required formula. \(\square\)

7.3. **Remark.** An alternative proof (Gertshenbaber’s method) of Theorem 7.2 is presented in the next section, read also sections 9.2 and 8.5 for motivations.

8. **Revival of the Gerstenhaber method (second proof of Theorem 7.2)**

In this, quite a didactic section, we should like to illustrate in detail the essence of Gerstenhaber’s method \[3\] when calculating *derivation deviations* of the pre-coboundary operator.

8.1. **Auxiliary variables.** In a pre-operad $C$, for $f \otimes g \in C^f \otimes C^g$ define (cf. \[3\])

$$\lambda_{i+1} := -(-1)^{|f|+|g|} I \sim (f \circ_i g) - (-1)^{|g|} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (f \circ_j \mu) \circ_{i+1} g + (-1)^{|g|} f \circ_i (I \sim g),$$
\[ \lambda_{i+1}^f := f \circ_i (g \sim I) - (-1)^{|g|} \sum_{j=i+1}^{|f|} (f \circ_j \mu) \circ_i g - (f \circ_i g) \sim I, \quad 0 \leq i \leq |f|. \]

8.2. Lemma. In a pre-operad \( C \), one has

\[ \delta_\mu(f \circ_i g) - f \circ_i \delta_\mu g = \lambda_{i+1} + \lambda'_{i+1}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq |f|. \]

Proof. See Appendix A. \( \square \)

8.3. Lemma. In a pre-operad \( C \), one has

\[ (-1)^{|g|} \delta_\mu f \circ_i g = \lambda_i + \lambda'_{i+1}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq f, \]

by definition for \( \lambda_0 \) and \( \lambda'_{f+1} \).

Proof. See Appendix B. \( \square \)

8.4. Gerstenhaber’s method (via a proof of Theorem 7.2). By using Lemma 8.2 and Lemma 8.3, we have

\[
\text{dev}_{\delta_\mu}(f \otimes g) = \sum_{i=0}^{|f|} \left[ \delta_\mu (f \circ_i g) - f \circ_i \delta_\mu g \right] - (-1)^{|g|} \sum_{i=0}^{|f|} \delta_\mu f \circ_i g \\
= \sum_{i=0}^{|f|} \left( \lambda_{i+1} + \lambda'_{i+1} \right) - \sum_{i=0}^{|f|} \left( \lambda_i + \lambda'_{i+1} \right) \\
= \sum_{i=0}^{|f|} \lambda_{i+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{|f|} \lambda_i + \sum_{i=0}^{|f|} \lambda'_{i+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{|f|} \lambda'_{i+1} = -[\lambda_0 - \lambda'_{f+1}] \\
= \lambda_f + \lambda'_1 - (-1)^{|g|} (\delta_\mu f \circ_0 g + \delta_\mu f \circ_f g).
\]

Calculate

\[
\lambda_f + \lambda'_1 = -(-1)^{|f|+|g|} I \sim (f \circ_{|f|} g) - (-1)^{|g|} \sum_{j=0}^{|f|-1} (f \circ_j \mu) \circ_f g \\
- (-1)^{|g|} (f \circ_{|f|} \mu) \circ_f g + (-1)^{|g|} (f \circ_{|f|} \mu) \circ_f g \\
+ (-1)^{|g|} f \circ_{|f|} (I \sim g) + f \circ_0 (g \sim I) \\
- (-1)^{|g|} \sum_{j=1}^{|f|} (f \circ_j \mu) \circ_0 g - (-1)^{|g|} (f \circ_0 \mu) \circ_0 g \\
+ (-1)^{|g|} (f \circ_0 \mu) \circ_0 g - (f \circ_0 g) \sim I \\
= -(-1)^{|f|+|g|} I \sim (f \circ_{|f|} g) - (-1)^{|g|} (f \circ \mu) \circ_f g + (-1)^{|g|} (f \circ_{|f|} \mu) \circ_f g \\
+ (-1)^{|g|} f \circ_{|f|} (I \sim g) + f \circ_0 (g \sim I) - (-1)^{|g|} (f \circ \mu) \circ_0 g
\]
By substituting this into dev, many terms cancel out and we have

\[ (-1)^{|\delta|}(f \circ_0 \mu) \circ_0 g - (f \circ_0 g) \sim I \]

\[ = (-1)^{|\delta|} f \circ_0 I \sim (f \circ_0 I) \circ_0 g - (f \circ_0 g) \sim I \]

Now, use composition relations to note that

\[ (-1)^{|\delta|} f \circ_0 I \sim (f \circ_0 I) \circ_0 g - (f \circ_0 g) \sim I \]

So, many terms cancel out and we have

\[ \lambda_f + \lambda_{f+1} = (-1)^{|\delta|} f \sim g - (-1)^{|\delta|} f \sim g + (-1)^{|\delta|} \delta f \circ_0 g \]

By substituting this into dev, \[\delta_{\mu}(f \otimes g)\], we obtain the required formula.

8.5. Remark. At this point, it may be asked that why does one need such a lengthy proof, if a much shorter one is at hand from section 7.2. An idea of the above proof can be stated in a few words as follows.

Observation. The r. h. s. of formula 7.2 is the sum of the boundary terms \( \lambda_0 \) and \( \lambda_{f+1} \).

Lemmas 8.2 and 8.3 with the auxiliary variables \( \lambda_i \) and \( \lambda'_i \) serve only as a remedy for a time. The essential point, discovered by Gerstenhaber, is that the above auxiliary variables method can be adapted (generalized) also for a considerably more complicated situation described concisely in the next section, where any shorter proof (like the proof presented in the section 7.2) is not known.
9. Discussion: Derivation deviation over ternary braces

9.1. Definition. The derivation deviation of $\delta := \delta_\mu$ over $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$ is defined by

$$\text{dev}_{\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}}(h \otimes f \otimes g) := \delta \{h, f, g\} - \{h, f, \delta g\} - (-1)^{|g|} \{h, \delta f, g\} - (-1)^{|g|+|f|} \{\delta h, f, g\}.$$  

9.2. Theorem (cf. [3, 26]). In a pre-operad $C$, one has

$$(-1)^{|g|} \text{dev}_{\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}}(h \otimes f \otimes g) = (h \bullet f) \circ g + (-1)^{|h|} f \circ (h \bullet g) - h \bullet (f \circ g).$$

Remark instead of proof. The detailed proof of this theorem will be exposed in [11]. As in the above example of the method, the proof can be performed via auxiliary variables. Originally, Gerstenhaber’s proof of the Theorem 5 in [3] was presented in the endomorphism pre-operad terms. It was announced in [4, 5, 2] that the Theorem also holds in an abstract setting, i.e. for abstract pre-operads. However, the adaptation of Gerstenhaber’s original proof for an abstract pre-operad is not trivial. In short, an idea of the proof is as follows.

**Observation in advance.** The r. h. s. of formula 9.2 turns out to be a sum of the boundary terms of the (suitably defined) auxiliary variables. The sum is over the boundary of a truncated triangle enveloping the Gerstenhaber triangle $G$.

In this sense, the simplicial structure of the defining $\circ_i$ relations turns up. Recall, that already in the early days of comp calculus, $\circ$ and $\bullet$ were recognized as Steenrod operations [25].

9.3. Theorem (cf. [3, 26]). In a pre-operad $C$, one has

$$(-1)^{|g|} \text{dev}_{\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}}(h \otimes f \otimes g) = [h, f] \circ g + (-1)^{|h|} f \circ [h, g] - [h, f \circ g].$$

*Proof. Combine the previous Theorem 9.2 with Theorem 4.2.*

Remark. A well known first form of this theorem, found by Gerstenhaber [3] for the Hochschild complex, can be seen as a starting point of the modern *mechanical mathematics* based nowadays on the pioneering concept of (homotopy [3, 26]) Gerstenhaber algebra.
APPENDIX A

Proof of Lemma 8.2. First note that
\[ \delta_\mu(f \circ_i g) = -(-1)^{|f|+|g|} I \sim (f \circ_i g) - \sum_{j=0}^{|f|+|g|} (f \circ_i g) \circ_j \mu - (f \circ_i g) \sim I. \]

By substituting here
\[ (f \circ_i g) \circ_j \mu = \begin{cases} (-1)^{|g|} (f \circ_j \mu) \circ_{i+1} g, & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq i - 1 \\ f \circ_i (g \circ_{j-i} \mu), & \text{if } i \leq j \leq i + |g| \\ (-1)^{|g|} (f \circ_{j-|g|} \mu) \circ_i g, & \text{if } i + g \leq j \leq |g| + |f| \end{cases} \]
we have
\[ \delta_\mu(f \circ_i g) = -(-1)^{|f|+|g|} I \sim (f \circ_i g) - (-1)^{|g|} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (f \circ_j \mu) \circ_{i+1} g \\
- \sum_{j=i}^{|g|} g \circ_i (g \circ_{j-i} \mu) - (-1)^{|g|} \sum_{j=i+g}^{|g|+|f|} (f \circ_{j-|g|} \mu) \circ_i g - (f \circ_i g) \sim I. \]

Now, note that
\[ \sum_{j=i}^{|g|} g \circ_i (g \circ_{j-i} \mu) = \sum_{j=0}^{|g|} f \circ_i (g \circ_j \mu) \]
and use
\[ \sum_{j=0}^{|g|} g \circ_j \mu = g \cdot \mu = -(-1)^{|g|} I \sim g - \delta_\mu g - g \sim I. \]

Then we obtain
\[ \delta_\mu(f \circ_i g) = -(-1)^{|f|+|g|} I \sim (f \circ_i g) - (-1)^{|g|} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (f \circ_j \mu) \circ_{i+1} g \\
+ (-1)^{|g|} f \circ_i (I \sim g) + f \circ_i \delta_\mu g + f \circ_i (g \sim I) \\
- (-1)^{|g|} \sum_{j=i}^{|f|} (f \circ_j \mu) \circ_i g - (f \circ_i g) \sim I \\
= + \lambda_{i+1} + f \circ_i \delta_\mu g + \lambda'_{i+1}, \]
which proves the required formula.

\[ \square \]

APPENDIX B

Proof of Lemma 8.3. First note that
\[ \lambda_i + \lambda'_{i+1} = -(-1)^{|f|+|g|} I \sim (f \circ_{i-1} g) - (-1)^{|g|} \sum_{j=0}^{i-2} (f \circ_j \mu) \circ_i g \\
+ (-1)^{|g|} f \circ_{i-1} (I \sim g) + f \circ_i (g \sim I). \]
\[ -(-1)^{|g|} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\lfloor f \rfloor} (f \circ_j \mu) \circ_i g - (f \circ_i g) \sim I. \]

We must compare it term by term with
\[
\delta \mu f \circ_i g = - \left( (-1)^{|f|} I \sim f - \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor f \rfloor} (f \circ_j \mu) \circ_i g - (f \sim I) \circ_i g \right)
\]
\[ = -(-1)^{|f|} (I \sim f) \circ_i g - \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor f \rfloor} (f \circ_j \mu) \circ_i g - (f \sim I) \circ_i g \]
\[ = -(-1)^{|f|} (I \sim f) \circ_i g - \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor f \rfloor} (f \circ_j \mu) \circ_i g - (f \circ_{i-1} \mu) \circ_i g \]
\[ = - (f \circ_i \mu) \circ_i g - \sum_{j=i+1}^{\lfloor f \rfloor} (f \circ_j \mu) \circ_i g - (f \sim I) \circ_i g. \]

Now, use composition relations to note that
\[
(I \sim f) \circ_i g = I \sim (f \circ_{i-1} g),
\]
\[(f \circ_{i-1} \mu) \circ_i g = f \circ_{i-1} (\mu \circ_1 g) = -f \circ_{i-1} (I \sim g),\]
\[(f \circ_i \mu) \circ_i g = f \circ_i (\mu \circ_0 g) = (-1)^g f \circ_i (g \sim I),\]
\[(f \sim I) \circ_i g = (-1)^{|g|} (f \circ_i g) \sim I, \]
which proves the required formula. \qed
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