KEPLER’S OPTICAL SECONDARY ECLIPSE OF HAT-P-7b AND PROBABLE DETECTION OF PLANET-INDUCED STELLAR GRAVITY DARKENING
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ABSTRACT

We present observations spanning 355 orbital phases of HAT-P-7 observed by Kepler from 2009 May to 2011 March (Q1–9). We find a shallower secondary eclipse depth than initially announced, consistent with a low optical albedo and detection of nearly exclusively thermal emission, without a reflected light component. We find an approximately 10 ppm perturbation to the average transit light curve near phase −0.02 that we attribute to a temperature decrease on the surface of the star, phased to the orbit of the planet. This cooler spot is consistent with planet-induced gravity darkening, slightly lagging the sub-planet position due to the finite response time of the stellar atmosphere. The brightness temperature of HAT-P-7b in the Kepler bandpass is $T_B = 2733 \pm 21$ K and the amplitude of the deviation in stellar surface temperature due to gravity darkening is approximately $-0.18$ K. The detection of the spot is not statistically unequivocal due its small amplitude, though additional Kepler observations should be able to verify the astrophysical nature of the anomaly.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Since its launch in 2009, the Kepler Space Telescope has provided unparalleled photometry of more than 160,000 stars, with the primary goal of detecting transiting extrasolar planets. By providing continuous short-cadence photometry across a single field of view, Kepler has succeeded in detecting thousands of new candidate transiting planets (see Borucki et al. 2011 or Batalha et al. 2012 for a recent review), but it has also provided extremely high-precision photometric time series for three extrasolar planets that were known prior to the launch: TrES-2b, HAT-P-11b, and the hottest of the bright Kepler planets, HAT-P-7b. These targets, due to the brightness of their parent stars and their well-constrained orbital parameters, represent an opportunity to search for transit-curve features that are unobservable for any other objects at present, and which can inform us about the characteristics of the planet–star system. In this Letter we report on our analysis of HAT-P-7b, the brighter and hotter of the three objects.

HAT-P-7b was originally discovered by Pál et al. (2008) in the HATNet project. This transiting hot Jupiter with mass $1.7 M_J$ orbits an F6 star with an orbital semimajor axis only four times greater than the radius of its host star. HAT-P-7b is one of the hottest known transiting exoplanets in the Kepler field, and atmospheric models by Madhusudhan & Seager (2010), Spiegel & Burrows (2010), and Christiansen et al. (2010 hereafter denoted C10) suggest strong evidence for thermal inversion.

As a known bright exoplanet target, HAT-P-7 was given high priority by Kepler and has been observed using a one-minute cadence nearly continuously since 2009 May. Using only the first 10 days of observations, Borucki et al. (2009) were able to detect the secondary eclipse at optical wavelengths, finding an eclipse depth of $130 \pm 11$ parts per million (ppm) as well as orbital phase variations. Welsh et al. (2010) determined that these phase variations were dominated by perturbations due to ellipsoidal variations in the shape of the host star caused by the gravity of the planet, resulting in brightening of the system on either side of the secondary eclipse with an amplitude on the order of $\sim 60$ ppm (see Mislis et al. 2012 and Jackson et al. 2012, hereafter J12).

Each of these studies was limited by the amount of data available at the time—the most recent study by J12 analyzed data up through Quarter 2. With the recent release of newly re-calibrated data spanning Q1–Q9, an updated analysis of the full set of transits and eclipses (326 transits and 355 eclipses in all after the selection criteria are applied) is warranted. In this work we focus on analyzing both the variability of the eclipse depths as well as the characteristics of the mean transit and eclipse curves. These measurements allow us to place improved constraints on the presence of cloud variability and planetary albedo, and to search for additional effects of the planet on the host star. In Section 2 we describe our observational data set, in Section 3 we describe our transit fitting methods and analysis methodology, and in Sections 4 and 5 we discuss and summarize our results.

2. OBSERVATIONS

Short-cadence (~60 s) photometry of HAT-P-7 was recorded by Kepler from 2009 May 13 to 2011 June 26 or Quarters 1–9. More than one million photometric measurements produced by the Kepler data analysis pipeline were retrieved. We examined fluxes calculated from both SAP (Simple Aperture Photometry) and PDCSAP (Presearch Data Conditioned Simple Aperture Photometry). The conditioning process, a standard component of the Kepler data pipeline, seeks to remove systematic effects introduced by the spacecraft while retaining interesting astrophysical signals (Jenkins et al. 2010). The results we show here were calculated with the PDCSAP fluxes, since we expect these data to contain less uncorrected systematic effects, and thus to
yield more accurate results. We carried out the analysis with both types of flux and found that the results were consistent with each other, despite the additional corrections imposed on the PDCSAP data set.

Each successive orbital phase of observations of the planet was considered for inclusion in our analysis individually. We rejected orbital phases that contained uncorrected systematic deviations of the mid-transit times from our best-fit ephemeris (see Table 1). We also searched for periodicities in the transit times were then used to determine an improved orbital period (see Table 1). We therefore require a periodic gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot model (circles) and the expectation after removal of the gravity-darkened spot mode

3.3. Stellar Gravity Darkening

The residuals of the composite transit light curve of HAT-P-7b (see Figure 1) show an apparent brightening of the system just prior to mid-transit. After fitting for the transit model, we found \( \chi^2 \approx 720 \). This is greater than the expected value for the 642 photometric measurements with 7 fitting parameters \( \chi^2_{\text{ideal}} = 635 \), giving a \( p \)-value of 1%. Thus the anomaly in the transit light curve is of marginal statistical significance to verify with certainty that it has an astrophysical origin. However, we will hypothesize here that it is real and test candidate astrophysical phenomena that can cause a similar light-curve anomaly.

Transient stellar phenomena such as magnetically driven star spots can be ruled out as the cause of the anomaly, since star spots only persist for timescales much shorter than this set of observations, which spanned two years. To ensure that the anomaly is not a few star-spot crossings that became diluted in the average, we fit model transit light curves to four subsets of the entire observation, and the anomaly was persistent in each subset. We therefore require a periodic stellar dimming associated with the planet’s orbital period. Barnes (2009) suggests that gravity darkening of rapidly rotating stars can perturb transit light curves; however, this effect is only significant for stars that rotate much more rapidly than HAT-P-7, which has \( v \sin i = 3.8 \) km s\(^{-1}\) (Pál et al. 2008) and an expected rotation velocity \( v \sim 15 \) km s\(^{-1}\) (Winn et al. 2009). Furthermore, gravity darkening due to rotation affects the light curve on all times between the second and third contact, whereas the anomaly that we detect is highly localized in the phase angle. One possible explanation for such a localized anomaly is planet-induced gravity darkening, in which the tidal distortion of the star due to the planet causes a decrease in the surface gravity near the sub-planet region, which would result in a

### Table 1

HAT-P-7 System Parameters

| Parameter          | Definition                                      | Kepler Photometry |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| \( P \) (days)     | Period                                          | 2.204737 ± 0.000017 |
| \( T_c \) (BJD TDB)| Epoch                                           | 2454954.357463 ± 0.000005 |
| \( i \) (deg)      | Inclination                                     | 83.1 ± 0.30      |
| \( R_p/R_\ast \)   | Ratio of planetary                             | 0.07759 ± 0.00003 |
| \( \gamma_1 \)     | Limb-darkening, linear                          | 0.3525 ± 0.0066  |
| \( \gamma_2 \)     | Limb-darkening, quadratic                       | 0.168 ± 0.010   |
| \( a/R_\ast \)     | Ratio of semimajor axis                         | 4.1502 ± 0.0039  |
| \( D \) (ppm)      | Secondary eclipse depth                         | 69.1 ± 3.8       |
| \( T_B \) (K)      | Brightness temperature                          | 2733 ± 21        |
| \( \)              | Phase at mid-eclipse                            | 0.500051 ± 0.000006 |

**Notes.** Ephemerides (i.e., \( P \) and \( T_c \)) derived from Levenberg–Marquardt least-squares fits to each transit, and orbital parameters derived by the Levenberg–Marquardt least-squares fit to the composite transit light curve with one minute binning. The uncertainties of the parameters were calculated using the prayer-bead method. The linear and quadratic limb-darkening coefficients \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 \) are defined as in the Mandel & Agol (2002) formalism.
corresponding decrease in stellar surface brightness (J12). Here, the sub-planet point $P$ and the centroid of the spot, gravity-darkened spot on its surface. The transit of the planet across the dark System geometry is depicted above, with the planet represented by Figure 2.

φs

some phase lag, displaced from the sub-planet point on the stellar surface by with its centroid located in the orbital plane of the planet, residuals. The dark spot is assumed to follow a Gaussian intensity profile, as illustrated in Figure 2. We calculate the brightness of the system as the planet transits and the dark spot traverses the surface of the star. The total flux as a function of orbital phase is then compared to a similar model with no dark spot to demonstrate the difference between our model and the expected transit light curve. The difference predicts the amplitude, phase lag, and width ($\sigma_s$) of the anomaly in the transit light-curve residuals.

We fit our spot model to the residuals of the transit light curve (Figure 1) to determine the amplitude and phase lag of the darkened spot, then we convert the amplitude of the anomaly in ppm to kelvins using a blackbody model for the star. The model which minimizes the $\chi^2$ computed between that model and our composite transit light curve is adopted as the “best-fit” model. We described the emission spectrum of HAT-P-7 with that of a blackbody with an effective temperature of $T_\star = 6350$ K, consistent with the $183\pm 3$ K, found by J12.

Using our derived orbital inclination and $a/R_\star$, we find that as the planet transits the spot, the location of the sub-observer point (the projection of the transit onto the star) is 29.6 above the line of sight to the center of the star. If we assume that the path of the center of the spot has the same inclination as the planetary orbit (6.9 from the line of sight to the star), the fact that the planet transits the spot at all means that the spot must have a half-width of $\geq 22.7$ in the direction orthogonal to the plane of the planet’s orbit. We found $\sigma_s$, the 1σ width of the spot deduced from the fit to the transit across the spot in the direction of the orbit of the planet, to be $\sigma_s = 0.032$, or 11.5. Assuming that the dark spot is real, it is evidently more extended in the direction orthogonal to the plane of the planet’s orbit.

3.3. Secondary Eclipse

As mentioned above, several previous studies have measured the mean depth for the secondary eclipse of HAT-P-7b, but these results are all significantly different from each other. Borucki et al. (2009) found an eclipse depth of $130 \pm 11$ ppm, while Welsh et al. (2010), Coughlin & Lopez-Morales (2012), Van Eylen et al. (2012), and J12 found secondary eclipse depths of $85.8 \pm 0.5$ ppm, $75.0^{+9.5}_{-8.5}$ ppm, $71.85 \pm 0.23$ ppm, and $61 \pm 3$ ppm, respectively. Each study used a different number of observations, as well as different methods to compensate for the planetary phase variations and the stellar ellipsoidal variations. With the goal of removing model uncertainties, we masked the eclipse and fit model phase curves to the light curve to account for the significant phase variation effects, Doppler beaming, planetary reflection, and ellipsoidal variation curves (Fuhrer & Mazeh 2011), from phase 0.3 to 0.7. We then divided by this baseline before fitting to the eclipse. We hereafter chose to present results from the PDCSAP fluxes, which are consistent with the results from the SAP fluxes.

We fit a Mandel & Agol (2002) model eclipse light curve to each eclipse individually using Levenberg–Marquardt least-squares. The orbital parameters found by the previous fit to the composite transit light curve (see Figure 1) were used to fix the duration of the eclipse and the mid-eclipse times; uncertainties for each depth measurement were estimated by the prayer-bead method. We then derived the mean eclipse depth by computing a least-squares fit to the eclipse depth over all phases included in our analysis of Quarters 1–9, yielding a depth of $69.1 \pm 3.8$ ppm (see Figure 3). The mid-eclipse time derived from the fit to the composite secondary eclipse light curve occurs virtually exactly at the expected value (phase = 0.5), after taking light travel time across the orbit into account. This value is significantly lower than the eclipse depth found by Borucki et al. (2009), due to both the longer observational baseline and the inclusion of ellipsoidal variations in our model; fitting only the data analyzed by Borucki et al. (2009), we find an eclipse depth of $105 \pm 27$ ppm.

The individual eclipse depths exhibit scatter of 32 ppm over the full data set, assuming a constant expected value. This scatter is higher than can be accounted for using the Kepler-provided uncertainties (designated “SAP_ERR” and “PDCSAP_ERR”). In order to ensure that the scatter we measured does not include intrinsic variability in the eclipse depth, we repeated the analysis to search for an eclipse at phase = 0.3, where no eclipse is expected. Our analysis is consistent with no eclipse at phase = 0.3 with 32 ppm rms scatter, which confirms that the scatter between measurements is indeed non-astrophysical. This complete analysis was repeated using both the SAP and
Theoretical predictions also call for low albedos for hot Jupiters, Kipping & Spiegel (2011) and HD 209458 (Rowe et al. 2008). The model star with temperature $\sim 6350$ K is adopted for HAT-P-7 with surface temperature $T_{\text{eff}} = 6250$ K and surface gravity log $g = 4$ (Pál et al. 2008). We compute the temperature of the photosphere, which we define as the layer of the atmosphere where the optical depth $\tau \sim 2/3$. Our observed response time of $1.06 \times 10^4$ s, measured by the phase lag of the dark spot, is essentially equivalent to the Equation (2) prediction ($\Delta t \sim 1.02 \times 10^4$ s), supporting our hypothesis that the perturbation seen in Figure 1 is due to the time-dependent gravity-darkened response of the stellar atmosphere to the passage of the planet, as predicted by J12.

5. SUMMARY

We have presented new analysis of eight quarters of Kepler photometry of HAT-P-7b. We refine the orbital parameters of the system and a smaller secondary eclipse depth than the earliest published results. These findings are consistent with other analyses of subsets of the observations that we considered. The secondary eclipse of HAT-P-7b indicates a geometric albedo of $\leq 0.04$, qualitatively consistent with the properties of the often compared, highly irradiated hot Jupiter TrES-2b. We find no evidence for variations in the eclipse depth.

The composite transit light curve of HAT-P-7b has a $\sim 10$ ppm anomaly that is consistent with both the amplitude and phase lag of a planet-induced gravity-darkened spot on the stellar surface, though additional transit observations are required to confirm it. The sub-planet region of the star experiences a decrease in surface gravity, which results in a corresponding decrease in temperature and brightness that is observable in the light curve. The ongoing Kepler mission will continue to observe HAT-P-7b, and future analyses of the phase-folded transit light curve will be able to conclusively verify the astrophysical nature of the anomaly.

B.M.M. and A.M.M. acknowledge support from the Goddard Center for Astrobiology and the NASA Astrobiology Institute, with administrative support from the University of Maryland. This Letter includes data collected by the Kepler mission. Funding for the Kepler mission is provided by the NASA Science Mission directorate. Some of the data presented in this Letter were obtained from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST). STScI is operated by the Association of

PDCSAP fluxes and similar measurements were obtained for the eclipse depth and scatter. Scaling the geometric albedo result from C10 with our smaller measurement of the eclipse depth, we find a geometric albedo of $\leq 0.03$. This low optical albedo of HAT-P-7b is consistent with observations of other highly irradiated hot Jupiters, such as TrES-2b with albedo $\sim 0.01$ (see Barclay et al. 2012 and Kipping & Spiegel 2011) and HD 209458 (Rowe et al. 2008). Theoretical predictions also call for low albedos for hot Jupiters, such as the atmospheric models by C10.

To compute the brightness temperature of the planet, a Kurucz model star with temperature $T_s = 6350$ K is adopted for HAT-P-7. If we assume that all of the flux from the atmosphere of the planet is thermal emission, the ratio of the blackbody flux of the planet to the Kurucz flux of the star integrated over the Kepler bandpass gives the secondary eclipse depth. These considerations yield a brightness temperature in the Kepler bandpass of $T_B = 2733 \pm 21$ K, where these uncertainties propagate from the rms scatter of the eclipse depth (see Figure 3).

4. DISCUSSION

To further test the hypothesis that the anomaly is caused by a gravity-darkened spot on the stellar surface, we compare the physical characteristics of the dark spot to our knowledge of stellar atmospheres. The dark spot is offset in phase from the sub-planet point on the stellar surface by phase $\Delta t = -0.059$. In other words, about 3.1 hr elapse at the sub-observer point on the stellar surface between the passing of the planet overhead and the passing of the centroid of the dark spot.

The sound speed in the photosphere sets the limit on how quickly the stellar atmosphere can react to the gravitational perturbation of the passing planet, and thus the minimum elapsed time expected between the passing of the planet and the passing of the dark spot through the sub-observer point is of the order of

$$\Delta t \sim \frac{H}{c_s},$$

(1)

where $H$ is the scale height of the atmosphere and $c_s$ is the sound speed. Using a perfect gas equation of state, we find

$$\Delta t \sim \sqrt{\frac{3k_B T}{5\mu m_H g^2}}.$$

(2)

The temperature ($T$) and surface gravity ($g$) at the photosphere, where the dark spot manifests, can be inferred from simulations of stellar atmospheres to calculate $\Delta t$. Robert Kurucz has calculated the pressure, temperature, density, and sound speed at many depths in simulated stellar atmospheres. We chose the nearest analog in the grids of simulated stars to HAT-P-7 with surface temperature $T_{\text{eff}} = 6250$ K and surface gravity $g = 4$ (Pál et al. 2008). We compute the temperature of the photosphere, which we define as the layer of the atmosphere where the optical depth $\tau \sim 2/3$. Our observed response time of $1.06 \times 10^4$ s, measured by the phase lag of the dark spot, is essentially equivalent to the Equation (2) prediction ($\Delta t \sim 1.02 \times 10^4$ s), supporting our hypothesis that the perturbation seen in Figure 1 is due to the time-dependent gravity-darkened response of the stellar atmosphere to the passage of the planet, as predicted by J12.

5. SUMMARY

We have presented new analysis of eight quarters of Kepler photometry of HAT-P-7b. We refine the orbital parameters of the system and a smaller secondary eclipse depth than the earliest published results. These findings are consistent with other analyses of subsets of the observations that we considered. The secondary eclipse of HAT-P-7b indicates a geometric albedo of $\leq 0.04$, qualitatively consistent with the properties of the often compared, highly irradiated hot Jupiter TrES-2b. We find no evidence for variations in the eclipse depth.

The composite transit light curve of HAT-P-7b has a $\sim 10$ ppm anomaly that is consistent with both the amplitude and phase lag of a planet-induced gravity-darkened spot on the stellar surface, though additional transit observations are required to confirm it. The sub-planet region of the star experiences a decrease in surface gravity, which results in a corresponding decrease in temperature and brightness that is observable in the light curve. The ongoing Kepler mission will continue to observe HAT-P-7b, and future analyses of the phase-folded transit light curve will be able to conclusively verify the astrophysical nature of the anomaly.
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