Sagardighi Ash Pond: A New Water Bird Habitat in Murshidabad district, West Bengal, India.
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Abstract

Flyash is a waste product generated from coal based thermal power plants. Dumping the ash in nearby wastelands is most preferred disposal method. Literature review has shown that despite the toxic nature of flyash, these ash ponds sites harbour significant avian diversity. Avifauna is an important indicator to evaluate different habitats both qualitatively and quantitatively. The Ash Pond of Sagardighi Thermal Power Station, Murshidabad is situated at 24°37'88" N and 88°09'11" E, 36 msl. It is a large water body of average 20m deep. It is rain fed and also fed by water of Sagardighi Thermal Power Plant. This investigation was carried out during November, 2018 to March, 2020. Assessment of the avian diversity in the Sarardighi Ash pond in Murshidabad revealed that of the 42 species of aquatic birds found in this area, 16 are migratory species, 3 are local migrant and 23 species are residential in nature. The checklist of these birds, along with their IUCN status has been prepared. This paper provides an overview of status of wetland birds in Sagardighi Ash Pond, Murshidabad.
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Introduction

Wetlands are unique, productive ecosystems where terrestrial and aquatic habitats meet. Presence or absence of birds indicates ecological conditions of wetlands. It is the link between food web and nutrient cycle because most birds are able to quickly respond to any change in the habitat and climatic condition (Lee and Rotenberry, 2005, Reinkensmeyer et al., 2007).

Wetland is a complex natural system that harbors a wide variety of flora and fauna, all of great economic, aesthetic and scientific importance (Zedler and Kercher, 2005; Ramsar, 2007). Wetlands are a critical part of our natural environment. They protect our shores from wave action, reduce the impacts of floods, absorb pollutants and improve water quality. They provide habitat for animals and plants and many contain a wide diversity of life, supporting plants and animals. Wetlands provide numerous ecological goods and services but are under tremendous stress due to rapid urbanization, industrialization and agricultural intensification (De et al., 2018).

Wetland generally includes swamps, marshes, bogs and similar areas. India, with its varying topography and climatic regimes, supports diverse and unique wetland habitats (Prasad et al., 2002). Wetlands are common and an integral feature of the landscape of West Bengal. Wetlands are those areas inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support and that under normal circumstances,
do support a prevalence of vegetation typically adopted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands are not wasteland at all they are valuable natural wonderlands that keep the environment in a balance state. But sadly these wetlands are treated as wasteland and waste is deposited there.

**Ash Ponds**

Flyash is a waste product generated from coal based thermal power plants. Dumping the ash in nearby wastelands is most preferred disposal method adopted by the agencies. In India, such depositions are often transformed in to varying sizes of ponds that are known as ash ponds. Ash pond is a common available disposal facility for thermal power plants. The pond ash is subjected to weathering and the ions present in ash migrate to the soil and subsequently to the ground water over a period of time. Studies have been conducted to assess the effect of pond ash on ground water quality (Suresh et al, 1998). It has been reported that Pond ash contributes to two major environmental problems: generation of respirable particulate matter (a major air pollutant) and pollution of soil and water due to leaching of heavy metals (Ghosh and Goel, 2014). It has been reported that despite the toxic nature of flyash, these ash ponds sites harbour significant avian diversity (Koparde et al, 2012).

**Avifauna**

The avian world has always been a fascination to the human world. Mythological documents hold a number of examples of birds being worshiped as gods with magical powers by the ancient civilizations. Even today winged wonders continue to be the subject of our astonishment primarily because of their ability to fly, their ability to build extraordinarily intricate nests, and of course, the brilliant colour of their plumage – features that no human being can replicate. Taxonomically birds are categorized in “Orders” “Families” and “Genera” and “species”. But overall they are divided into two groups: Passeriformes (or Passerines) and Non Passeriformes (non passerines). At least 60% of all bird species are Passeriformes or song birds, their distinguishing characteristics being their specialized leg structure, vocal structure and brain-wiring which allows them to produce complex songs. The non-passerine comprises 28 out of 29 orders of birds in the world. Throughout the world approximately 11,000 species are found. India is having 1301 species. West Bengal has 57.69% of the total avian fauna (750 species).

**Identification of avifauna**

Birds are great indicators of our environment and watching birds and their behaviors helps us to understand our nature better. Avifauna are important for the ecosystem as they play various roles as scavenger, pollinators, seeds dispersal agent and predators of insect pest and an important indicator to evaluate different habitats both qualitatively and quantitatively. Identification of bird is generally based on combination of various characteristics. The bird anatomy includes the plumage colour, overall colour, head shape, beak shape, feet structure, the habitat character, season of occurrence, feeding behavior, flying behavior, display behavior and flaking are also important characters. Unfortunately global diversity of birds is decreasing due to anthropogenic activities and climate changes. IUCN Red List of endangered birds has already recognized 1226 bird species as threatened globally and India with 88 threatened bird species. There are many nomenclatures used by different research groups. In this investigation Manakadan and Pittie’s Standardized common and scientific names of birds of Indian subcontinent was followed (Manakadan and Pittie, 2001). According to Bird Life International (2001), the wetland of this area lies in Biome - 11 (Indo-Malayan tropical dry zone). Thirteen big fresh water wetlands, out of 23 (>100 hectare) in West Bengal, are present in different blocks of the district Murshidabad. In Bengal the large or small, permanent or seasonally waterlogged marshes are popularly known as “beel”. The wetlands of this region are generally palustrine (floodplains, seasonal waterlogged, marsh), lacustrine (Lakes) and riverine types. All these wetlands are directly or indirectly connected with the different rivers like Ganga, Babla, Jalangi, Bhairab etc.

Wetlands are one of the most threatened habitats of the world. Wetlands in India, as
elsewhere are increasingly facing several anthropogenic pressures (Prasad et al., 2002). The rapidly expanding human population; large scale changes in land use/land cover; burgeoning development projects and improper use of watersheds have all caused a substantial decline of wetland resources of the country. Significant losses have resulted from its conversion threats from industrial, agricultural and various urban developments. These have led to hydrological perturbations, pollution and their effects. Unsustainable levels of grazing and fishing activities have also resulted in degradation of wetlands. The current loss rates in India can lead to serious consequences, where 74% of the human population is rural and many of these people are resource dependent.

Healthy wetlands are essential in India for sustainable food production and potable water availability for humans and livestock. They are also necessary for the continued existence of India’s diverse populations of wildlife and plant species; a large number of endemic species are wetland dependent. Most problems pertaining to India’s wetlands are related to human population. Many species of fishes, amphibians, reptiles, birds and mammals depend on the wetland habitat for breeding, foraging and for their shelter supported by the diverse plant species. One of the best known functions of wetlands is to provide habitat for birds which use wetlands for breeding, nesting and rearing young ones, besides using them as a source of drinking water, for feeding, resting, shelter and social interaction.

Importance of Wetland Birds:

Wetland in India which provides a unique habitat to aquatic flora and fauna as well as numerous birds includes migratory species. Of the 310 species of wetland birds found in India (Kumar et al., 2005) almost half of these are migratory and visit India from cold areas of different part of China, Russia, central Asia, Tibet and from across the entire range of the Himalaya (Kumar and Gupta. 2009, 2013). Wetlands are complex and productive ecosystems (Maltby, E.R. 1986) that occupy about six percent of the Earth’s land surface (Maltby and Turner. 1983). Wetlands are known as “biological supermarkets” because of the extensive food chains and rich biodiversity they support, providing unique habitats for a wide range of flora and fauna (Mitsch and Gosselink, 2000). Wetlands are important habitats for birds, which use them for feeding, roosting, nesting and rearing young (Weller, 1999). Water birds are an important component of almost of the wetland ecosystem as they occupy several trophic levels in the Food Web of wetland ecosystem (Stewart, 2001). Water birds are only the most prominent groups that attract public to wetlands, but also are good bio-indicators and useful models for studying a variety of environmental problems (Urfe et al, 2005).

Wetlands are facing tremendous anthropogenic pressure, which can greatly influence the population structure of the bird community. In the last century, over 50% of wetlands in the world have been lost, and the remaining wetlands have been degraded to different degrees because of the adverse anthropogenic activities (Stewart, 2001). The loss of wetland through human interferences has lead to a decline in several water bird populations in West Bengal (Maltby, 1986). There are numerous wetlands for birds across West Bengal, only in some water birds have been systematically surveyed and documented. The wetlands in Murshidabad district, West Bengal, have long been known to support rich diversity of water birds. The actual water bird population needs to be surveyed and documented.

Background information of Birds of Murshidabad district:

Murshidabad district contain 43 very large wetlands. Of these 30 wetlands are frequently used for organized fisheries. 13 wetlands are wild in nature till date. Literature review has revealed that data on water birds of Murshidabad is available for only 4 (four) wetlands of Murshidabad. These literatures are only describing avifauna of four wetlands viz.

1. Motijheel (De et al., 2016);
2. Ahiran (Chattoraj et al, 2016; Ambiya et al., 2015; Mistry and Mukherjee, 2015).
3. Patan (Dey, 2019)
4. Bisnupur Beel (Bhattacharya and Sarkar, 2017).
Bhattacharya and Sarkar (2017) described a detailed account of flora and fauna of a fishery known as Bisnupur Beel, an Ox-Bow adjacent to Berhampore. Author has been working on the wetlands of Murshidabad which has resulted in some findings (Dey, 2019). A comparative account of the impact of the urban development on plant and wetland dependent bird population along the two arms of an ox-bow lake, Motijheel in Murshidabad district was investigated by author and co workers ((De et al., 2016). The vertebrate Biodiversity (including avifauna) in and around Ahiran: An important Wetland in Murshidabad, West Bengal, India was studied by author and co workers (Ambiya et al., 2015). However in these studies the bird count was not investigated. Bird photographs were also rare. So, there was an urgent need for actual bird census in Murshidabad district. The status of water birds in the Sagardighi Ash Pond has not been documented at all. The present study conducted an assessment to address the existing information gap.

Murshidabad district
Murshidabad is a district of West Bengal in eastern India (Fig. 1). Situated on the left bank of the river Ganges, the district is very fertile. Covering an area of 5,341 km² (2,062 sq mi) and having a population 5.863m (according to 2001 census) it is a densely populated district and the ninth most populous in India (out of 640). It borders Malda district to the north, Jharkhand's Sahebganj district and Pakur district to the north-west, Birbhum to the west, Bardhaman to the south-west and Nadia district due south. The international border with Bangladesh's Rajshahi division is on the east. The district comprises two distinct regions separated by the Bhagirathi River. To the west lies the Rarh, a high, undulating continuation of the Chota Nagpur plateau. The eastern portion, the Bagri, is a fertile, low-lying alluvial tract, part of the Ganges Delta. The district is drained by the Bhagirathi and Jalangi rivers and their tributaries (Fig. 2). Bhagirathi is a branch of the Ganges, and flows southwards from Farakka barrage where it originates from the Ganges. It flows southwards through the district and divides it into more or less equal halves.

Study Area:
The Sagardighi Thermal Power Station is a 1,600-megawatt (MW) coal-fired power station in Murshidabad, West Bengal, India. The plant is located at Manigram Village, 13 kilometres north of Sagardighi town in Murshidabad District. The power plant is operated by the West Bengal Power Development Corporation Limited. The Ash Pond is located near the Thermal Power Plant. The project site is
The approximate latitude and longitude of the project site are as follows:

Fig. 3. Google Earth image of the Sagardighi Thermal Power Plant, Murshidabad.

Fig. 4. Google Earth image of the Ash Pond of the Sagardighi Thermal Power Plant, Murshidabad.
encompassed by villages Manigram, Chhamugram, Karaia, Thakurpara on the south; Bhumhar, Khasittor, Ek rakhi on the west; Dhalo, Bagpara, Santoshpur on the north and Harirampur, Chandparam, Dogachhi on the east. Bandel-Barharwa broad gauge line of Eastern Railway grazes past the western fringe of the plot. River Bhagirathi is within 5 km east of the project site. The unit generates fly ash. There is a high demand for fly ash from the cement plants nearby. Some of the fly ash from the station is lifted by various consumers. The balance ash is disposed into the existing Sagardighi Ash Pond near the Thermal Plant.

The Sagardighi Ash Pond is a large water body of average 20m deep. It is rainfed and also fed by water of Sagardighi Thermal Power Plant.

**Physiography of the study sites:**

|  | Approximate Latitude | Approximate longitude |
|---|---|---|
| Sagardighi Ash Pond | 24° 22' 06”N | 88° 05' 05”E |
|  | 24° 23' 08”N | 88° 05' 25”E |
|  | 24° 22' 38”N | 88° 06' 05”E |
|  | 24° 22' 20”N | 88° 05' 28”E |

**Material and Methods**

1. The birds were counted by the method described in Bird Census Technique (Bibby et al., 2000).
2. Birds were observed by using Olympus Binocular and photographs were taken by using SONY DSC HX 300V and NIKON DSLR camera.
3. Birds were identified from photograph by using the book “Hand Book of Indian Wetland Birds and their Conservation” which is a Zoological Survey of India publication (Kumar et al., 2005).
4. For counting of birds, each wetland was divided into 8 hypothetical grids. In each grid birds were counted by two people using counter. The counting time of birds were morning 8 AM to 10 AM.
5. Entire wetland was covered during counting.
6. Each site was visited for a minimum three times to maximum eight times. Different days around the same time. Birds were counted in a particular date, as and when migratory birds appear.
7. The period of Study was 2018 (November) to 2020 (March).
8. Manakadan and Pittie’s Standardized common and scientific names of birds of Indian subcontinent was followed.
9. Species were classified as:
   a. Resident (R): The bird species were classified as Resident when found in all suitable habitats throughout the year.
   b. Migrant (M): When the bird species is found only during a particular season.
   c. Local migrant (LM): When the bird taxa is resident in West Bengal but found in the study site during a particular season.
10. The identified bird species were categorized based on the IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature) Red List criteria to provide information on species conservation status and indicate the importance of conserving the study wetland habitats for bird conservation (IUCN 2017).

**Results and Discussion**

42 (Forty two) taxa of water birds have been identified from Sagardighi Ash Pond, Murshidabad. Of these 23 (Twenty three) taxa were resident (R) water birds of Sagardighi Ash Pond. 3 taxa were local migrants. The rest 16 (Sixteen) taxa were migrant (M) water birds of Sagardighi Ash Pond. The checklist with residential status as well as the IUCN status is given as Table 1.
Table 1. Checklist of water birds of Sagardighi Ash Pond with IUCN status

| Family wise Species | Common name | Residential status –  
| a) Migrant (M)  
b) local migrant (LM)  
c) Resident (R) | Conservation status following IUCN 2015 |
|---------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| ANSERIFORMES:  
Anatidae | Lesser Whistling-duck  | R  | LC |
| Dendrocygna javanica (Horsfield, 1821) |  |  |
| Anser anser (Linnaeus, 1758) | Greylag Goose  | M  | LC |
| Tadorna ferruginea (Pallas, 1764) | Ruddy Shelduck  | M  | LC |
| Netta rufina (Pallas, 1764) | Red-crested Pochard  | M  | LC |
| Aythya nyroca (Güldenstädt, 1770) | Ferruginous Duck  | M  | NT |
| Aythya fuligula (Linnaeus, 1758) | Tufted Duck  | M  | LC |
| Mareca strepera strepera (Linnaeus, 1758) | Gadwall  | M  | LC |
| Anas platyrhynchos platyrhynchos (Linnaeus, 1758) | Mallard  | M  | LC |
| Anas acuta Linnaeus, 1758 | Northern Pintail  | M  | LC |
| PODICIPEDIFORMES:  
Podicipedidae |  |  |
| Tachybaptus ruficollis (Pallas, 1764) | Little Grebe  | R  | LC |
| Podiceps cristatus (Linnaeus, 1758) | Great Crested Grebe  | M  | LC |
| Podiceps nigricollis or andinus (= Podiceps nigricollis) Brehm, CL, 1831 | Black-necked Grebe  | M  | LC |
| GRUIFORMES:  
Rallidae |  |  |
| Amaurornis [phoenicus or olivacea] (= Amaurornis phoenicus) (Pennant, 1769) | White-breasted Water hen  | R  | LC |
| Podilymbus cristatus (Linnaeus, 1758) | Purple Swamp hen  | R  | LC |
| Gallinula [chloropus or galeata] (= Gallinula chloropus) (Linnaeus, 1758) | Common Moorhen  | R  | LC |
| Fulica atra Linnaeus, 1758 | Common Coot  | M  | LC |
| CICONIIFORMES:  
Ciconiidae |  |  |
| Anastomus oscitans (Boddaert, 1783) | Asian Openbill  | R  | LC |
| Ciconia episcopus [episcopus or neglecta] (= Ciconia episcopus episcopus) (Boddaert, 1783) | Asian Woollyneck  | R  | VU |
| PELECANIFORMES:  
Ardeidae |  |  |
| Ixobrychus sinensis (Gmelin, 1789) | Yellow Bittern  | R  | LC |
| Nycticorax nycticorax (Linnaeus, 1758) | Black-crowned Night-heron  | R  | LC |
| Ardea grayii (Sykes, 1832) | Indian Pond-heron  | R  | LC |
| Bubulcus ibis or coromandus] (= Bubulcus ibis) (Linnaeus, 1758) | Cattle Egret  | R  | LC |
| Ardea cinerea Linnaeus, 1758 | Grey Heron  | R  | LC |
| Ardea purpurea [purpurea or bournel] (= Ardea purpurea purpurea) Linnaeus, 1766 | Purple Heron  | R  | LC |
| Egretta garzetta (Linnaeus, 1766) | Little Egret  | R  | LC |
| SULIFORMES:  
Phalacrocoracidae |  |  |
| Microcarbo niger (Vieillot, 1817) | Little Cormorant  | R  | LC |
| CHARADRIIFORMES:  
Charadriidae |  |  |
| Charadrius dubius dubius Scopoli, 1786 | Little Ringed Plover  | M  | LC |
Vanellus indicus (Boddaert, 1783)  Red-wattled Lapwing  M  LC

**CHARADRIIFORMES:**
**Jacanidae**
Hydrophasianus chirurgus (Scopoli, 1786)  Pheasant-tailed Jacana  R  LC
Metopidius indicus (Latham, 1790)  Bronze-winged Jacana  R  LC

**CHARADRIIFORMES:**
**Scolopacidae**
Tringa nebularia nebularia (Gunnerus, 1767)  Common Greenshank  LM  LC

**CHARADRIIFORMES:**
**Glaeolidae**
Glaeoia lactea Temminck, 1820  Little Pratincole  M  LC

**CHARADRIIFORMES:**
**Laridae**
Chroicocephalus ridibundus (Linnaeus, 1766)  Black-headed Gull  M  LC

**ACCIPITRIFORMES:**
**Pandionidae**
Pandion [haliaeetus or cristatus] (= Pandion haliaeetus) (Linnaeus, 1758)  Osprey  LM  LC

**ACCIPITRIFORMES:**
**Acipitrídae**
Circus spilonotus Kaup, 1847  Western Marsh-harrier  LM  LC
Haliastur indus (Boddaert, 1783)  Brahminy Kite  R  LC
Milvus [migrans or aegyptius] (= Milvus migrans) (Boddaert, 1783)  Black Kite  R  LC

**CORACIIFORMES:**
**Alcedinidae**
Alcedo atthis (Linnaeus, 1758)  Common Kingfisher  R  LC
Ceryle rudis (Linnaeus, 1758)  Pied Kingfisher  R  LC
Pelargopsis capensis (Linnaeus, 1766)  Stork-billed Kingfisher  R  LC
Halcyon smyrnensis (Linnaeus, 1758)  White-breasted Kingfisher  R  LC

IUCN Status: LC: Least Concern, NT: Near Threatened, VU: Vulnerable

---

**Discussion**

Literature review has revealed that Fly ash ponds associated with Nashik Thermal Power Station harboured significant avian diversity of 128 species (Koparde et al, 2012). Fly Ash can be used by plants as a source of nutrient (Jala and Goyal, 2006).

In this investigation it was found that 38.1% of the avifauna was migrant birds and 7.1% were local migrant birds. Around 54.8% of the total avifauna was resident birds (Table 2). It would be interesting to study changes in the migrant population in the future, The IUCN status of these migrant birds would be an interesting area of study. In this study the Migrant (M) Ferruginous Duck, *Aythya nyroca* (Güldenstädt, 1770) was found to be Near Threatened (NT). Another migrant the Asian Woollyneck, *Ciconia episcopus* [episcopus or neglecta] (= *Ciconia episcopus episcopus*) (Boddaert, 1783) was found to be Vulnerable (VU). The rest 40 water birds were found to have the Least Concern (LC) status.
of IUCN. Some photographs of the birds are given in Fig 6-13.

Fig. 6 Wooly Necked Stork

Fig. 7. Rudy Shell Duck

Fig. 8. Lesser Whistling Duck

Fig. 9. Cotton Pygmy Goose

Fig. 10. Eurasian Coot

Fig. 11. Purple Heron

Fig. 12. Red Crested Poachard
Conclusion:
Among these 42 species 16 are migratory species, 3 are local migrant and 23 species are residential in nature. Sagardighi Ash Pond appears to be a new Water Bird Habitat in Murshidabad district. More studies need to be undertaken in future to find any association of the toxic nature of fly ash in the ash pond and avian diversity. It would be interesting to note if the number of migrant birds increase over the course of time. Correlation with the aquatic vegetation which provide nesting sites for the birds and avian diversity would be another potential area of research.
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