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1. Introduction

One of the most prominent tools in complex analysis is Cauchy’s Residue Theorem. To state the classical version of this theorem (see, e.g., [1] or [2, Theorem 1, p. 75]) we briefly recall the following notions: A chain is a finite formal linear combination,

\[ \Gamma = \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} m_{\ell} \gamma_{\ell}, \quad m_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1) \]

of continuous curves \( \gamma_{\ell} : [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \). A cycle \( C \) is a chain, where every point \( a \in \mathbb{C} \) is counted with the corresponding multiplicity \( m_{\ell} \), as often as it appears as a starting point of the curve \( \gamma_{\ell} \) as it is an endpoint. A cycle \( C \) is null-homologous in \( D \subset \mathbb{C} \), if its winding number for all points in \( \mathbb{C} \setminus D \) vanishes. Equivalently, \( C \) is null-homologous in \( D \), if it can be written as a linear combination of closed curves which are contractible in \( D \). Then the residue theorem can be expressed as follows:

**Theorem 1 (Classical Residue Theorem).** Let \( U \subset \mathbb{C} \) be an open set and let \( S \subset U \) be a set without accumulation points in \( U \) such that \( f : U \setminus S \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) is holomorphic. Furthermore, let \( C \) be a null-homologous cycle in \( U \setminus S \). Then there holds

\[ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C} f(z) \, dz = \sum_{s \in S} n_{s}(C) \text{res}_{s} f(z), \quad (2) \]

where \( n_{s}(C) \) denotes the winding number of \( C \) with respect to \( s \).

Henrici considers in [3, Theorem 4.8f] a version of the residue theorem where \( C \) is the boundary of a semicircle in the upper half-plane with diameter \( [-R,R] \) and where \( f \) is allowed to have poles on \( (-R,R) \) which involve odd powers only. The result is basically a version of the classical formula (2), but with winding number \( 1/2 \) for the singularities on the real axis and where the integral on the left-hand side of (2) is interpreted as a Cauchy principal value. Another very recent version of the residue theorem, where poles of order 1 on the piecewise \( C^{1} \) boundary curve \( \gamma \) of an open set are allowed, is discussed in [4, Theorem 1]. There, if a pole is sitting on a corner of \( \gamma \), the winding number is replaced by the angle formed by \( \gamma \) in this point, divided by \( 2\pi \). In [5] a version of the residue theorem for functions \( f \) with finitely many poles is presented where singularities in points \( z_{0} \) on a closed curve \( \Gamma \) are allowed provided \( |f(z)| = O(|z - z_{0}|^{\mu}) \) near \( z_{0} \), with \( -1 < \mu \leq 0 \). Further versions of generalizations of the residue theorem are described in [6, 7]; there, versions for unbounded multiply connected regions of the second class are applied to
higher-order singular integrals and transcendental singular integrals.

In the present article, we introduce a generalized, non-integer winding number for piecewise $C^1$ cycles $C$ and a general version of the residue theorem which covers all cases of singularities on $C$. We will assume throughout the article that all curves are continuous. In particular, a piecewise $C^1$ curve is a continuous curve which is piecewise $C^1$. Recall that a closed piecewise $C^1$ immersion $\Lambda : [a, b] \rightarrow C$ is a closed continuous curve such that there is a partition $a = a_0 < a_1 < \ldots < a_n = b$ such that $\Lambda|_{[a_0, a_i]}$ is of class $C^1$ and such that $\dot{\Lambda}|_{[a_0, a_i]} \neq 0$ for all $k = 0, \ldots, n - 1$. If $\Lambda|_{[a_0, a_i]}$ is furthermore a Lipschitz function for all $k = 0, \ldots, n - 1$, then $\Lambda$ is called a closed piecewise $C^1,1$ immersion.

2. A Generalized Winding Number

The aim of this section is to generalize the winding number to piecewise $C^1$ cycles with respect to points sitting on the cycle itself.

The usual standard situation is the following: The winding number of a closed piecewise $C^1$ curve $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow C \setminus \{0\}$ around $z = 0$ is given by

$$n_0(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{dz}{z} \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3)$$

See, for example, [2, p. 70] or [8, p. 75]. More generally, one can replace the curve $\gamma$ by a piecewise $C^1$ cycle $C = \sum_{\ell=1}^k m_\ell \gamma_\ell$. An integral over the cycle is then

$$\oint_C f(z) \, dz = \sum_{\ell=1}^k m_\ell \oint_{\gamma_\ell} f(z) \, dz. \quad (4)$$

In order to make sense of the winding number also for points on the curve, we use the Cauchy Principal Value:

**Definition 2.** The winding number of a piecewise $C^1$ cycle $C : [a, b] \rightarrow C$ with respect to $z_0 \in C$ is

$$n_{z_0}(C) := \text{PV} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{dz}{z - z_0} = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|<\varepsilon} \frac{dz}{z - z_0}. \quad (5)$$

It is not a priori clear whether this limit exists and what its geometric meaning is. So, we start by looking at the following model case: Using the Cauchy principal value we can easily compute the winding number with respect to $z = 0$ of the model sector-curve $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3$, where

$$\gamma_1 : [0, r] \rightarrow C, \quad t \mapsto t, \quad \gamma_1'(t) = 1$$

$$\gamma_2 : [0, \alpha] \rightarrow C, \quad t \mapsto re^{i\alpha}, \quad \gamma_2'(t) = rie^{i\alpha} \quad (6)$$

$$\gamma_3 : [0, r] \rightarrow C, \quad t \mapsto (r - t)e^{i\alpha}, \quad \gamma_3'(t) = -e^{i\alpha}$$

**Figure 1:** The model sector-curve $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3$.

Consider now a closed piecewise $C^1$ immersion $\Gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow C$ starting and ending in $0$ but such that $\Gamma(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \neq a, b$ and such that the (positively oriented) angle between $\lim_{t \rightarrow a} \Gamma(t)$ and $-\lim_{t \rightarrow a} \Gamma(t)$ equals $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi]$. By a suitable rotation we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\lim_{t \rightarrow a} \Gamma(t)$ is a positive real number (see Figure 2). We assume that $\Gamma$ is homotopic to a model sector-curve $\gamma$ with the same angle $\alpha$ in the following sense: There is a continuous function $H : [a, b] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow C$ such that

$$H(t, 0) = \Gamma(t) \quad \forall t \in [a, b],$$

$$H(t, 1) = \gamma(t) \quad \forall t \in [a, b],$$

$$0 = H(a, s) = H(b, s) \quad \forall s \in [0, 1],$$

$$H(t, s) \neq 0 \quad \forall t \in (a, b), \quad \forall s \in (0, 1]. \quad (9)$$

Then we claim that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{|z|<\varepsilon} \frac{dz}{z} = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{|z|>\varepsilon} \frac{dz}{z}. \quad (10)$$

**Figure 2:** Winding number with respect to the origin sitting on the curve $\Gamma$. 

for $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi]$ (see Figure 1). Since

$$\text{PV} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z} = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left( \int_{e^\alpha}^0 \frac{r e^{i\alpha}}{t} \, dt + \int_0^{\alpha} r e^{i\alpha} \, dt + \int_{\alpha}^{\varepsilon} \frac{-e^{i\alpha}}{(r - t) e^{i\alpha}} \, dt \right) \quad (7)$$

we obtain

$$n_0(\gamma) = \text{PV} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z} = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \quad (8)$$

with a meaningful geometrical interpretation.
Finally, if Λ has more than one zero, we obtain in the same way the following proposition:

**Proposition 3.** Let Λ : [a, b] → C be a closed piecewise $C^1$ immersion and $z_0 \in C$. Then there exist at most finitely many points $x_\ell$, such that $\Lambda(x_\ell) = z_0$. Consider a decomposition $\Lambda = \Lambda + \Gamma_1 + \ldots + \Gamma_n$, where Λ coincides with Λ outside of small neighborhoods of the points $x_\ell$ and avoids the point $z_0$ by driving around it on small circular arcs in clockwise direction. The closed curves $\Gamma_\ell$ are homotopic in the sense of (9) to a model sector-curve with oriented angle $\alpha_\ell$ between $\lim_{t \rightarrow x_\ell} \Lambda(t)$ and $-\lim_{t \rightarrow x_\ell} \Lambda(t)$ (see Figure 5). Then, the winding number of Λ with respect to $z_0$ is

$$
n_n(\Lambda) = \text{PV} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Lambda} \frac{dz}{z - z_0} = n_0(\overline{\Lambda}) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \alpha_\ell.
$$

**Proof.** First we show that, for only finitely many points $x_\ell$, we have $\Lambda(x_\ell) = z_0$. It suffices to consider a $C^1$ curve $\Lambda : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ parametrized by arc length, and $z_0 = (0,0)$. Assume by contradiction that Λ has infinitely many zeros $x_\ell$. Then there is a subsequence, again denoted by $x_\ell$, which converges to a point $x \in [a, b]$, and we may assume that $x_\ell$ is increasing. Then, by Rolle’s Theorem, since $\Lambda_\ell(x_\ell) = 0$, there are points $u_\ell, v_\ell \in (x_\ell, x_{\ell+1})$ such that $\Lambda_\ell'(u_\ell) = \Lambda_\ell'(v_\ell) = 0$. But then, $\Lambda_\ell'(u_\ell) = \Lambda_\ell'(v_\ell) = 1$. Hence, $\Lambda_\ell'$ cannot be continuous.

For the rest of the proof, observe that $\overline{\Lambda}$ avoids the point $z_0$. Thus, we have

$$
n_n(\Lambda) = \text{PV} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Lambda} \frac{dz}{z - z_0} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\overline{\Lambda}} \frac{dz}{z - z_0} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_\ell}{2\pi i}
$$

where we have used (14) in the last step. This completes the proof. 

Proposition 3 generalizes immediately from curves to cycles. Definition 2 of a generalized winding number turns out to be useful as it allows to generalize the residue theorem (see Theorem 8 below). But before we turn our attention to this subject, let us briefly reformulate the formula (5) for the generalized winding number as an integral in the real plane. Interestingly, while the winding number as a complex integral requires an interpretation as a principal value, the real counterpart turns out to have a bounded integrand.

If $\Lambda = x + iy : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a closed piecewise $C^1$ curve, then $dz/z$ decomposes as

$$
\frac{dz}{z} = \frac{x dx + y dy}{x^2 + y^2} + i \frac{y dx - x dy}{x^2 + y^2}.
$$

The considerations above imply that if $\Lambda$ is a closed piecewise $C^1$ immersion, then
PV $\oint_{\Lambda} \frac{x \, dx + y \, dy}{x^2 + y^2} = 0.$ \hspace{1cm} (19)

Hence only the imaginary part of $dz/z$ is relevant for computing $n_0(\Lambda)$. We have the following proposition regarding its regularity:

**Proposition 4.** Let $\Lambda = x + iy : [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}$ be a closed piecewise $C^{1,1}$ immersion. Then

$$n_0(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{x(t) \dot{y}(t) - y(t) \dot{x}(t)}{x(t)^2 + y(t)^2} \, dt$$ \hspace{1cm} (20)

and the corresponding integrand is bounded. If $\Lambda$ is $C^2$ in a neighbourhood of a point $\bar{t} \in (a, b)$ with $\Lambda(\bar{t}) = 0$, then

$$\lim_{t \to \bar{t}} \frac{x(t) \dot{y}(t) - y(t) \dot{x}(t)}{x(t)^2 + y(t)^2} = \frac{1}{2} k_\Lambda(\bar{t}) \left| \dot{\Lambda}(\bar{t}) \right|,$$ \hspace{1cm} (21)

where

$$k_\Lambda(\bar{t}) = \frac{\dot{x}(\bar{t}) \dot{y}(\bar{t}) - \dot{y}(\bar{t}) \dot{x}(\bar{t})}{(\dot{x}(\bar{t})^2 + \dot{y}(\bar{t})^2)^{3/2}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (22)

is the signed curvature of $\Lambda$ in $\bar{t}$.

**Proof.** Let $\Lambda$ be a closed piecewise $C^{1,1}$ immersion. If $\Lambda$ avoids the origin, then the integrand is obviously bounded. On the other hand, as in the proof of Proposition 3, it follows that $\Lambda$ can have at most finitely many zeros, say $a < t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_n < b$. It suffices to concentrate on one of the zeros $t_\ell$ of $\Lambda$ and to simplify the notation we assume $\bar{t} = 0$ for the rest of the proof. We first show that the integrand is bounded provided $\Lambda$ is $C^{1,1}$ in a neighbourhood $U = (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ of 0. In this case, $\dot{x}$ and $\dot{y}$ are Lipschitz functions on $U$ and

$$|x(t) \dot{y}(t) - y(t) \dot{x}(t)|$$

$$= \left| \int_{0}^{t} \dot{x}(s) \, ds \dot{y}(t) - \int_{0}^{t} \dot{y}(s) \, ds \dot{x}(t) \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{t} \left| (\dot{x}(s) - \dot{x}(t)) \dot{y}(t) + \dot{x}(t) (\dot{y}(t) - \dot{y}(s)) \right| \, ds$$ \hspace{1cm} (23)

$$\leq C \int_{0}^{t} (|s-t| |\dot{y}(t)| + |\dot{x}(t)| |s-t|) \, ds = O(t^2).$$

On the other hand

$$x(t)^2 + y(t)^2 = (t \dot{x}(0) + o(t))^2 + (t \dot{y}(0) + o(t))^2$$

$$= t^2 (\dot{x}(0)^2 + \dot{y}(0)^2) + o(t^2).$$ \hspace{1cm} (24)
Together, (23) and (24) imply that the integrand in Proposition 4 is bounded in $U$.

If $\Lambda$ is only $C_{1,1}$ on $U^{-} = (-\varepsilon, 0]$ and $U^{+} = [0, \varepsilon)$, the claim follows in the same way by considering the unilateral intervals left and right of the zero.

Now we assume that $\Lambda$ is $C_{2}$ in a neighbourhood $U = (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ of the zero $\hat{t} = 0$. It remains to show that the limit

$$\lim_{t \to \hat{t}} \frac{x(t) \dot{y}(t) - y(t) \dot{x}(t)}{x(t)^2 + y(t)^2}$$

has the geometrical interpretation stated in the proposition. In fact, if $\Lambda(0) = 0$ we find

$$x(t) \dot{y}(t) = \left(t \dot{x}(0) + \frac{t^2}{2} \ddot{x}(0) + o(t^2)\right) \left(y(0) + t \dot{y}(0) + o(t)\right)$$

$$= t \dot{x}(0) \dot{y}(0) + t^2 \left(\dot{x}(0) \ddot{y}(0) + \frac{1}{2} \dddot{x}(0) \dot{y}(0)\right) + o(t^2)$$

and hence

$$x(t) \dot{y}(t) - \dot{x}(t) y(t) = t^2 \left(\dot{x}(0) \ddot{y}(0) - \dot{y}(0) \dddot{x}(0)\right) + o(t^2)$$

$$= \frac{t^2}{2} \left(\dot{x}(0) \ddot{y}(0) - \dot{y}(0) \dddot{x}(0)\right) + o(t^2).$$

On the other hand

$$x^2(t) + y^2(t) = \left(t \dot{x}(0) + \frac{t^2}{2} \ddot{x}(0) + o(t^2)\right)^2$$

$$+ \left(t \dot{y}(0) + \frac{t^2}{2} \ddot{y}(0) + o(t^2)\right)^2$$

$$= t^2 \left(\dot{x}(0)^2 + \dot{y}(0)^2\right)$$

$$+ t^3 \left(\dot{x}(0) \dddot{x}(0) + \dot{y}(0) \dddot{y}(0)\right) + o(t^3).$$

From (27) and (28) we deduce

$$\lim_{t \to \hat{t}} \frac{x(t) \dot{y}(t) - y(t) \dot{x}(t)}{x(t)^2 + y(t)^2} = \frac{1}{2} k_{\Lambda}(\hat{t}) \left|\dot{\Lambda}(\hat{t})\right|.$$

This completes the proof.

It is worth noticing that Proposition 4 is more than just a technical remark. We will see in Section 3.1 an application of the observation that the imaginary part of the integrand is bounded.

The geometrical meaning of the winding number can be used to characterize the topological phases in one-dimensional chiral non-Hermitian systems. Chiral symmetry ensures that the winding numbers of Hermitian systems are integers, but non-Hermitian systems can take half integer values: see [9] for the corresponding physical interpretation of Proposition 4.

Example 5. Consider the curve $\Lambda : [0, 2\pi] \to \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\Lambda(t) = \cos(t) + i \sin(2t)$$

which passes through the origin at $t = \pi$ (see Figure 6). According to Proposition 4,

$$n_0(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{x(t) \dot{y}(t) - y(t) \dot{x}(t)}{x(t)^2 + y(t)^2} dt = \frac{3}{2}$$

and the corresponding integrand is continuous.

3. A Generalized Residue Theorem

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open neighborhood of zero and let $f$ be a holomorphic function on $U \setminus \{0\}$. Then there exists a Laurent series which represents $f$ in a punctured neighborhood $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < R\}$ of zero:

$$f(z) = \sum_{a=-1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{-a} z^{-a}}{g(z)} + a_0 z + \ldots$$

For a closed piecewise $C^1$ curve $\gamma$ with $|\gamma| < R$, we have

$$\text{PV} \oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \text{PV} \oint_{\gamma} \left(g(z) + h(z)\right) dz$$

by the Cauchy integral theorem, provided the principal value exists. If $f$ has only a pole of first order in 0, then the discussion in Section 2 shows that the principal value indeed exists. The general case however is more delicate: let us first
consider a model sector curve \( y \) with angle \( \alpha \in [0, 2\pi] \), and let \( n > 1 \). Then we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{PV} & \left\{ \frac{dz}{z^n} \right\} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left( \int_{\Gamma \setminus \epsilon} \frac{dr}{r^n} + \int_{0}^{\alpha} r e^{i \epsilon} dr \right) \\
& \quad \quad - \int_{0}^{\epsilon} \frac{e^{i \epsilon} \frac{r^n e^{-i \epsilon}}{r^n} dr}{(r-n-1)} \\
& \quad \quad + \frac{e^{\alpha(n-1)i} - 1}{r^n (n-1)} + \frac{r^n e^{\alpha n (n-1)}}{r^n (n-1)} e^{-\alpha(n-1)i})}
\end{align*}
\]

(34)

On an intuitive level it is clear that an angle condition decides whether the limit exists or not: Indeed, in order to compensate the purely real values on \( y \) (see Figure 1), the integral along \( y \) cannot have a nonreal singular part. Hence, the principal value in (34) exists (and is actually 0) if and only if

\[
\alpha = \frac{2k\pi}{n-1}
\]

(35)

for some \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \). Stated differently, if \( \alpha = (p/q)\pi \) for some \( p, q \in \mathbb{N}, q \neq 0 \), then

\[
\text{PV} \left\{ \frac{dz}{z^n} \right\} = 0
\]

(36)

if \( n = 2kq/p + 1 \) for an integer \( k \geq 0 \); otherwise the principal value (36) is infinite. Therefore we obtain the following:

**Lemma 6.** Let \( \alpha = (p/q)\pi \) for some \( p, q \in \mathbb{N}, q \neq 0 \). If the Laurent series of \( f \) only contains terms \( a_k z^k \) for indices of the form \( n = 2kq/p + 1 \) for integers \( k \geq 0 \) and if \( y \) is a model sector-curve with angle \( \alpha \) and radius smaller than the radius of convergence of the Laurent series, then there holds

\[
\text{PV} \left\{ \frac{dz}{z^n} \right\} = n_0(y) \text{ res}_0 f(z).
\]

(37)

Proof. If \( f \) has a pole in 0, then (37) follows directly from (36). If 0 is an essential singularity of \( f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k z^k \), we observe that the Laurent series \( f_n(z) = \sum_{k=-n}^{\infty} a_k z^k \) converges locally uniformly to \( f(z) \). Then we have for \( \varepsilon > 0 \)

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z| = \varepsilon} f(z) dz = n_0(y) \text{ res}_0 f(z).
\]

(38)

Now, for \( \delta > 0 \), we choose \( \varepsilon > 0 \) small enough, such that the absolute value of the second term \( I \) on the right is smaller than \( \delta \). Note that by (34) this choice does not depend on \( n \). Then we can choose \( \varepsilon \), depending on \( \varepsilon \), large enough such that the absolute value of the first term \( \delta \) is also smaller than \( \delta \), and the claim follows.

For a more general curve than a model sector curve, we need the following definition:

**Definition 7.** Let \( \Gamma : (a, b) \to \mathbb{C} \) be a piecewise \( C^1 \) curve and \( \Gamma(x_1) = z_1 \). Let \( t^+ \) and \( t^- \) be the tangents in \( z_1 \) in the direction \( \lim_{x \to x_1^+} \Gamma(x) \) and \( \lim_{x \to x_1^-} \Gamma(x) \), respectively. We say that \( \Gamma \) is flat of order \( n \) in \( x_1 \), if

\[
\left| \Gamma(x) - P^+ (\Gamma(x)) \right| = o \left| (\Gamma(x) - z_1)^n \right| \quad \text{for } x \to x_1^+,
\]

\[
\left| \Gamma(x) - P^- (\Gamma(x)) \right| = o \left| (\Gamma(x) - z_1)^n \right| \quad \text{for } x \to x_1^-.
\]

(39)

where \( P^+ \) and \( P^- \) denote the orthogonal projection to \( t^+ \) and \( t^- \), respectively (see Figure 7).

Notice that a piecewise \( C^1 \) curve is always flat of order 1 in all of its points.

Now, let us consider a closed piecewise \( C^1 \) immersion \( \Gamma : [a, b] \to \mathbb{C} \) starting and ending in 0 but such that \( \Gamma(t) \neq 0 \) for all \( t \neq a, b \) and such that the (positively oriented) angle between \( \lim_{x \to a} \Gamma(t) \) and \( -\lim_{x \to a} \Gamma(t) \) equals \( \alpha \in [0, 2\pi] \). We assume that, after a suitable rotation, \( \lim_{x \to 0} \Gamma(t) \) is a positive real number and that \( \Gamma \) is homotopic in the sense of (9) to a model sector-curve \( y \) with the same angle \( \alpha \). Moreover, we assume that \( \Gamma \) is flat of order \( n \) in 0. Then, as in Section 2 (see Figure 3), we have for \( n > 1 \)

\[
\left| \int_{|z| = \varepsilon} \frac{dz}{z^n} - \int_{|z| = \varepsilon} \frac{dz}{z^n} \right| = \left| \int_{|z| = \varepsilon} \frac{dz}{z^n} - \int_{|z| = \varepsilon} \frac{dz}{z^n} \right|
\]

(40)

for \( \varepsilon < 0 \).
Hence, we only have a finite principal value if \( \alpha = (p/q)\pi \) for some \( p, q \in \mathbb{N}, q \neq 0 \), and

\[
PV \oint_C \frac{dz}{z^n} = 0 \quad \text{(41)}
\]

if \( n = 2kp/p + 1 \) for an integer \( k \geq 0 \); otherwise the principal value is infinite. This leads to the main theorem:

**Theorem 8.** Let \( U \subset \mathbb{C} \) be an open set, and let \( S = \{z_1, z_2, \ldots \} \subset U \) be a set without accumulation points in \( U \) such that \( f : U \setminus S \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) is holomorphic. Moreover, let \( C \) be a null-homologous immersed piecewise \( C^1 \) cycle in \( U \) such that \( C \) only contains singularities of \( f \) which are poles of order 1. Then

\[
PV \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C f(z) \, dz = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} n_{z_\ell} (C) \, \text{res}_{z_\ell} f(z) . \quad \text{(42)}
\]

The formula remains correct for poles of higher order on \( C \) if the following two conditions hold:

(A) If \( z_0 \) is a pole on \( C \) of order \( n \), then \( C \) is flat of order \( n \) near \( z_0 \), or, if \( z_0 \) is an essential singularity, \( C \) coincides near \( z_0 \) with the tangents \( t' \) and \( t \) in \( z_0 \).

(B) If \( z_0 \) is a singularity of \( f \) on \( C \) and \( \alpha \) is the angle between the tangents \( t' \) and \( t' \) in \( z_0 \), then \( \alpha = (p/q)\pi \), \( p, q \in \mathbb{N}, q \neq 0 \), and the Laurent series of \( f \) in \( z_0 \) contains only terms \( a_n/(z - z_0)^n \) with \( a_n \neq 0 \) for indices of the form \( n = 2kq/p + 1, k \geq 0 \) an integer.

**Proof.** Let \( C = \sum_{\ell=1}^k m_{\ell} \gamma_\ell \) with \( m_\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \) and where \( \gamma_\ell : [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) are closed piecewise \( C^1 \) immersions. Then, there are at most finitely many points \( x_{\ell,j}, \ldots, x_{\ell,k} \) such that \( \gamma_\ell(x_{\ell,j}) = z_\ell \in S \). For each \( \ell \) consider a decomposition \( \gamma_\ell = \gamma_\ell + \Gamma_{\ell,1} + \ldots + \Gamma_{\ell,k} \), where \( \gamma_\ell \) coincides with \( \gamma_\ell \) outside of small neighborhoods of the points \( x_{\ell,j} \) and avoids the singularity at \( \gamma_\ell(x_{\ell,j}) \) by driving around it on small circular arcs in clockwise direction. The closed curves \( \Gamma_{\ell,j} \) are homotopic in the sense of (9) to a model sector-curve with oriented angle \( \alpha_{\ell,j} \) between the tangents \( \lim_{\lambda \to \gamma_\ell} \gamma_\ell \) and \( -\lim_{\lambda \to x_{\ell,j}} \gamma_\ell \). The circular arcs are chosen small enough such that no singularity lies in the interior of the sectors whose boundaries are the curves \( \Gamma_{\ell,j} \) and that such sectors are contained in \( U \). Observe that the cycle \( \tilde{C} = \sum_{\ell=1}^k m_{\ell} \tilde{\gamma}_\ell \) avoids the singularities of \( f \) and is null-homologous in \( U \). Hence, in the sequel we may apply the classical residue theorem to \( \tilde{C} \).

Now, suppose that the two conditions (A) and (B) hold. This covers in particular the case when only poles of first order lie on \( C \). Then, we have, by the classical residue theorem applied with \( \tilde{C} \), by Lemma 6, and (41)

\[
P V \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\tilde{C}} f(z) \, dz
= PV \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C f(z) \, dz
+ \sum_{\ell=1}^k m_{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{k_{\ell}} PV \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma_{\ell,j}} f(z) \, dz
\]

\[
= \sum_{z \in S} n_z (\tilde{C}) \, \text{res}_z f(z)
+ \sum_{\ell=1}^k m_{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{k_{\ell}} n_{z_{\ell,j}} (\Gamma_{\ell,j}) \, \text{res}_{z_{\ell,j}} f(z) . \quad \text{(43)}
\]

The first sum in (43) runs over

(I) the singularities which are not lying on \( C \), with winding number \( \neq 0 \),

(II) the singularities on \( C \).

Thus, the summands in (I) appear exactly also in the sum in (42) since for singularities \( z \) not on \( C \) we have \( n_z (C) = n_z (\tilde{C}) \). The summands in (II) coming from a singularity \( z_{\ell,j} \) on \( C \) together with the corresponding terms in the double sum in (43) give

\[
\left( n_{z_{\ell,j}} (C) + \sum_{\ell=1}^k m_{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{k_{\ell}} n_{z_{\ell,j}} (\Gamma_{\ell,j}) \right) \, \text{res}_{z_{\ell,j}} f(z)
\]

\[
= n_{z_{\ell,j}} (C) \, \text{res}_{z_{\ell,j}} f(z) \quad \text{(44)}
\]

and we are done.

As corollaries of Theorem 8 we obtain the residue theorems [4, Theorem 1] and [3, Theorem 4.8f].

3.1. Application. In [4], the version of the residue theorem is used to calculate principal values of integrals. At first sight it seems that this is the only advantage of Theorem 8 over the classical residue theorem. After all, poles on the curve \( y \) necessarily mean that one is forced to consider principal values. However, Proposition 4 shows that it is possible to use Theorem 8 to compute integrals with bounded integrand.

**Example 9.** We want to compute the integral

\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(t) \sinh(t)}{\cos(t) + \cosh(t)} \, dt . \quad \text{(45)}
\]

The current computer algebra systems give up on this integral after giving it some thought. To determine the integral we interpret the integrand as follows:

\[
\frac{\sin(t) \sinh(t)}{\cos(t) + \cosh(t)} = \text{im} \left( \gamma_3(t) \right) \gamma_3(t) \quad \text{(46)}
\]

for

\[
f(z) = -\frac{\cos(z/2)}{z \cosh(z/2)} \quad \text{(47)}
\]

and \( y = y_1 + y_2 - y_3 \), where

\[
y_1 : [0, r] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad t \mapsto -t - it,
\]

\[
y_2 : \left[ -\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4} \right] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad t \mapsto \sqrt{2} e^t,
\]

\[
y_3 : [0, r] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad t \mapsto t + it . \quad \text{(48)}
\]
Note that $f$ has a pole of order 1 in $z = 0$ on $\gamma$ with residue $-1$. The winding number of $\gamma$ with respect to $z = 0$ is $1/4$. By Theorem 8 we get

$$\text{im} \int_{\gamma} f(z) \, dz = \text{im} \left( \int_{\gamma_1} f(z) \, dz + \int_{\gamma_2} f(z) \, dz - \int_{\gamma_1} f(z) \, dz \right) = \text{im} \left( \frac{1}{4} \cdot (-1) \cdot 2\pi i \right) = -\frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (49)$$

The integral along $\gamma_2$ converges to 0 as $r$ tends to infinity, and

$$\text{im} \int_{\gamma_1} f(z) \, dz = -\text{im} \int_{\gamma_1} f(z) \, dz = - \int_0^r \frac{\sin(t) \sinh(t)}{\cos(t) + \cosh(t)} \, dt. \quad (50)$$

Hence, we find the value of this improper integral:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(t) \sinh(t)}{\cos(t) + \cosh(t)} \, dt = \frac{\pi}{4}. \quad (51)$$

### 3.2. Connection to the Sokhotskii-Plemelj Theorem

In this section, we want to briefly show that the above-mentioned version of the residue theorem in [4, Theorem 1] can be obtained as a corollary of the Sokhotskii-Plemelj Theorem.

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open set, let $S = \{z_1, \ldots \} \subset U$ be a set without accumulation points, and let $f : U \setminus S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function. Let furthermore $D$ be a bounded domain with piecewise $C^1$-boundary $C$, consisting of finitely many components, such that $D \subset U$. As usual, we assume that $C$ is oriented such that $D$ lies always on the left with respect to the direction of the parametrization. If $f$ only has first order poles on $C$, we may use a decomposition

$$f = g + \sum_{k=1}^m f_k, \quad (52)$$

where $f_k$ is holomorphic away from a single first order pole $z_k \in C$ and $g$ has only singularities in $z_{m+1}, z_{m+2}, \ldots \notin C$. Then $\varphi_k(z) = f_k(z)(z - z_k)$ is holomorphic. Let

$$F_k(z) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_C \frac{\varphi_k(\xi)}{\xi - z} \, d\xi. \quad (53)$$

By Cauchy's integral formula we have $F_k(z) = \varphi_k(z)$ provided $z \in D$. Furthermore

$$F_k^+(z_k) = \lim_{D \ni z \rightarrow z_k} F_k(z) = \lim_{D \ni z \rightarrow z_k} \varphi_k(z) \quad (54)$$

and

$$F_k^-(z_k) = \text{PV} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{\varphi_k(\xi)}{\xi - z_k} \, d\xi = \text{PV} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C f_k(\xi) \, d\xi. \quad (55)$$

According to the Sokhotskii-Plemelj formula (see [10, p. 385, (3)]) or [11, Chapter 3] we find

$$F_k^+(z_k) = F_k^-(z_k) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_k}{2\pi}\right) \varphi_k(z_k), \quad (56)$$

where $\alpha_k$ is the interior angle of $C$ in $z_k$ and hence

$$\text{res}_{z_k} f(z) = \text{PV} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C f_k(\xi) \, d\xi + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_k}{2\pi}\right) \text{res}_{z_k} f(z) \quad (57)$$

and after rearranging

$$\text{PV} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C f_k(\xi) \, d\xi = \frac{\alpha_k}{2\pi} \text{res}_{z_k} f(z). \quad (58)$$

Therefore we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{PV} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C f(\xi) \, d\xi &= \text{PV} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \left( g(\xi) + \sum_{k=1}^m f_k(\xi) \right) d\xi \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m \text{res}_{z_k} f(z) + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\alpha_k}{2\pi} \text{res}_{z_k} f(z) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m n_k(C) \text{res}_{z_k} f(z).
\end{aligned} \quad (59)$$
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