On the work distribution for the adiabatic compression of a dilute classical gas
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We consider the adiabatic and quasi-static compression of a dilute classical gas, confined in a piston and initially equilibrated with a heat bath. We find that the work performed during this process is described statistically by a gamma distribution. We use this result to show that the model satisfies the non-equilibrium work and fluctuation theorems, but not the fluctuation-dissipation relation. We discuss the rare but dominant realizations that contribute most to the exponential average of the work, and relate our results to potentially universal work distributions.
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When a system is driven away an initial state of thermal equilibrium by a mechanical perturbation, the statistical distribution of work for that process exhibits universal properties. In particular, the exponential average of the nonequilibrium work is related to an equilibrium free energy difference [1, 2],

$$\beta \Delta F = -\ln \langle e^{-\beta W} \rangle = -\ln \int dW \rho(W) e^{-\beta W}. \quad (1)$$

Furthermore, the work distribution for such a process, and the corresponding reversed process, are related by the following work fluctuation theorem [3, 4]:

$$\frac{\rho_F(+W)}{\rho_R(-W)} = e^{\beta(W-\Delta F)} \quad (2)$$

Here, $W$ is the work performed during a given realization of the process; $\beta$ is the inverse temperature of a thermal environment with which the system is initially equilibrated; $\Delta F$ is the free energy difference between two equilibrium states, both at temperature $\beta^{-1}$, corresponding to the initial and final values of the external work parameter; $\rho$ is the work probability distribution; and the subscripts ‘$F$’ and ‘$R$’ distinguish conjugate forward and reverse processes, where necessary. (See Refs. [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] for more details and Ref. [6] for an overview of related entropy fluctuation relations.) If we subject the system to a cyclic process then $\Delta F = 0$ and Eq. (1) reduces to a result derived by Bochkov and Kuzovlev [8, 9].

The discovery of these relations makes it interesting to find model systems for which the work distributions can be computed analytically [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19]. Exact results have recently been derived for the example of a piston moving at arbitrary speed against an ideal gas [12, 14, 15]. Here we consider the somewhat different case of the quasi-static compression or expansion of a dilute (but not ideal) classical gas. This model was suggested in email correspondence to one of us (C.J.) by Prof. Seth Putterman, and has also appeared in this setting in a preprint by Prof. Jaeyoung Sung [20].

Using elementary statistical mechanics, we derive a non-trivial but tractable expression for the work distribution $\rho(W)$, Eq. (11) and use this to verify and illustrate Eqs. (1) and (2).

Let us define the model more precisely. Consider the system shown in figure 1, a dilute classical gas confined in a piston. We assume that quantum effects are negligible, that the particles do not have any important internal structure, and that they rarely collide with one another. Specifically, the mean free path between particle-particle collisions is finite (unlike in Refs. [13, 14, 15]), but much greater than the characteristic distance between nearby particles. Initially, the piston is held fixed and the gas is brought to thermal equilibrium with an external, infinite heat bath. The bath is then removed, preventing the further exchange of heat across the walls of the container. The piston is then very slowly forced inward, performing work as it compresses the gas to a new volume. In the corresponding reverse process we start with the gas at thermal equilibrium with the final volume of the forward process and then we adiabatically expand the gas back to the initial volume.

It is useful to define a reference process, during which the gas remains in contact with the reservoir, and thus at constant temperature, as it is compressed reversibly; $\Delta F$ in Eqs. (1, 2) is the free energy change during this reference process. By contrast, during the adiabatic compression described above, there is a steady rise in the kinetic temperature of the gas. Thus, although the gas continually

FIG. 1: A gas confined to a cylinder with a controllable piston.
Arguments to verify Eq. 1. In three spatial dimensions, states defined by the reference process.

Each distribution obeys the nonequilibrium work theorem (1) and each compression-expansion pair are related by the work fluctuation theorem (2). A direct consequence of the latter, illustrated in the figure, is that the corresponding forward and negative reverse work distributions cross at $W = \Delta F$ \(^\text{[2]}\).

self-equilibrates due to particle-particle collisions, it is driven away from the isothermal sequence of equilibrium states defined by the reference process.

As a first pass at this model, let us use simple arguments to verify Eq. 1. In three spatial dimensions, the average equilibrium internal energy of a dilute gas of $N$ identical particles is $\langle E \rangle = 3N/2\beta$, and the entropy is given by the Sackur-Tetrode equation, $S/N = \ln(V/NA^3) + 5/2$. Here $V$ is the volume of the box, and $\Lambda = \sqrt{\hbar^2/2\pi m}$ is the thermal de Broglie wavelength ($h$ is Planck’s constant and $m$ is the particle mass). The free energy $F = E - \beta^{-1}S$ is then

$$F(\beta, V) = -\frac{N}{\beta} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{V}{N} \right) + \frac{3}{2} \ln \left( \frac{2\pi m}{\hbar^2} \right) + 1 \right], \quad (3)$$

which satisfies the scaling law (for any $\sigma > 0$):

$$\sigma F(\sigma \beta, V) = F(\beta, \sigma^{-3/2} V). \quad (4)$$

When such a gas is prepared in thermal equilibrium, as described above, its energy $E$ can be viewed as a random variable sampled from the canonical distribution,

$$P(E; \beta, V) = \frac{1}{Z(\beta, V)} g(E; V) e^{-\beta E}, \quad (5)$$

where $g(E)$ is the density of states and $Z = e^{-\beta F}$ is the partition function. Since the pressure of a dilute gas is $p = 2E/3V$, and its energy during an adiabatic process changes by increments $dE = -pdV$, it follows that the product $VE^{3/2}$ is conserved as we slowly change the volume from $V_0$ to $V_1$ \(^\text{[2]}\). The final energy is thus $E_1 = (V_0/V_1)^{2/3}E_0$, and the work performed is

$$W = E_1 - E_0 = \alpha E_0, \quad \alpha = \left( \frac{V_0}{V_1} \right)^{2/d} - 1, \quad (6)$$

where $d = 3$. Note that $\alpha$ (and therefore $W$), is positive for compression and negative for expansion, and that $-1 < \alpha < \infty$. For expansion the negative work is bounded by the initial kinetic energy.

Defining $\alpha \equiv \alpha + 1 = (V_0/V_1)^{2/d}$, we get

$$-\ln(e^{-\beta W}) = -\ln \int dE_0 \frac{P(E_0; \beta, V_0)}{Z(\beta, V_0)} e^{-\beta W(E_0)} = -\ln \int dE_0 \frac{F(\beta, V_0)}{Z(\beta, V_0)} e^{-\beta W(E_0)} = \ln \frac{Z(q \beta, V_0)}{Z(\beta, V_0)} = q \beta F(q \beta, V_0) - \beta F(\beta, V_0).$$

But $qF(q \beta, V_0) = F(\beta, V_1)$ \(^\text{[2]}\), hence the right side is simply $\beta \Delta F$, as predicted by Eq. 1.

Although the above analysis is simple, it has its drawbacks. Eq. 1 is a large-$N$ approximation, not an exact result. Moreover, we have invoked macroscopic, thermodynamic arguments in deriving Eq. 1. Such arguments are valid when the aim is to describe the typical behavior of a system, but become suspect in the present context, since the average $\langle \exp(-\beta W) \rangle$ is often dominated by realizations during which the system behaves very atypically. Finally, to verify Eq. 2 we must solve for $\rho(W)$, which requires obtaining the density of states, $g(E)$.

The density of states is the derivative of the function $\Phi(E)$, defined as the number of energy states with energy less than $E$. For a dilute gas in the classical limit,

$$\Phi(E; V) = \frac{1}{h^{2\pi}} \frac{V^N}{N!} \frac{(2\pi mE)^k}{k!} \frac{e^{-\beta E}}{\Gamma(k)}, \quad (7)$$

FIG. 2: The work probability density, $\rho(W)$, given by Eq. 1. The solid lines are the work of compression and the dashed lines are the negative work of expansion. Note that the magnitude of the work is greater for compression than expansion. Each distribution obeys the nonequilibrium work theorem (1) and each compression-expansion pair are related by the work fluctuation theorem (2). A direct consequence of the latter, illustrated in the figure, is that the corresponding forward and negative reverse work distributions cross at $W = \Delta F$ \(^\text{[2]}\).
where $k = dN/2$, and $\Gamma(k)$ is the gamma function. On the right side of Eq. 7, the first factor accounts for the quantum graininess of phase space, the middle factor $V^N/N!$ counts the number of arrangements of $N$ identical particles in a volume $V$, and the last factor is the volume of a $dN$-dimensional hypersphere of radius $\sqrt{2mE}$ in momentum space [21]. Hence

$$g(E; V) = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial E} = \frac{1}{h^2k} \frac{V^N}{N!} \cdot \frac{(2\pi m)^k}{\Gamma(k)} \cdot E^{k-1}. \quad (8)$$

The partition function $Z = \int dE g e^{-\beta E}$ and free energy $F$ now follow by direct integration:

$$F(\beta, V) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln Z(\beta, V) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left[ \frac{V^N}{N!} \left( \frac{2\pi m}{\beta h^2} \right)^k \right]$$  

(We recover Eq. 3 with the approximation $\ln N! \sim N \ln N - N$.) Eqs. 3, 8 and 9 together give us

$$P(E) = \frac{\beta}{\Gamma(k)} (\beta E)^{k-1} e^{-\beta E}. \quad (10)$$

We now solve for $W$ by invoking the quasi-static invariance of $\Phi(E; V)$ [22, 23]. As discussed in similar contexts in Refs. 2, 14, 24, 27, since the gas continually self-equilibrates, the value of $\Phi$ remains constant during the process. From Eq. 7 we get $\Phi \propto (V E^{d/2})^N$, therefore $E_1 = (V_0/V_1)^{2/N} E_0$, which again leads to Eq. 7 only now for arbitrary $d > 1$. Thus, using Eq. 10 we get

$$\rho(W) = \int dE_0 P(E_0) \delta(W - \alpha E_0)$$

$$= \frac{\beta}{|\alpha| \Gamma(k)} \frac{\beta W}{\alpha} \left( \frac{\beta W}{\alpha} \right)^{k-1} e^{-\beta W/\alpha} \theta(\alpha W), \quad (11)$$

where the unit step function $\theta$ guarantees that $W$ has the same sign as $\alpha$. We see that $\rho(W)$ for adiabatic compression (positive $\alpha$), and $\rho(-W)$ for adiabatic expansion (negative $\alpha$), are gamma distributions with shape parameter $k = dN/2$ and scale $s = |\alpha|/\beta$. These distributions are illustrated in Fig. 2. Note that the work distribution depends on the ratio of the initial and final volumes and not on the absolute volume.

Our result for $\rho(W)$ allows us to verify the fluctuation theorem, Eq. 2. Let $\alpha_R = (V_0/V_1)^{2\beta/d} - 1$ and $\alpha_R = (V_1/V_0)^{2\beta/d} - 1$ denote the values of $\alpha$ for the forward ($V_0 \to V_1$) and reverse ($V_1 \to V_0$) processes. Note that $-\alpha_R/\alpha_F = (V_1/V_0)^{2\beta/d}$, and $\alpha_F^\infty + \alpha_R^\infty = -1$. Combining these identities with Eq. 11, we obtain

$$\frac{\rho_F(W)}{\rho_R(-W)} = \left[ \frac{\alpha_R}{\alpha_F} \right]^{k-1} \exp \left[ -\beta W(\alpha_F^\infty + \alpha_R^\infty) \right]$$

$$= \left( \frac{V_1}{V_0} \right)^N e^{\beta W} = e^{\beta(W-\Delta F)},$$

where

$$\Delta F = F(\beta, V_1) - F(\beta, V_0) = \frac{N}{\beta} \ln \frac{V_0}{V_1} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{dN}{2} \ln(1+\alpha), \quad (12)$$

by Eqs. 6, 9. This confirms Eq. 2.

The validity of Eq. 11 follows immediately from Eq. 2, though it can also be verified by the direct evaluation of $\int \rho(W) e^{-\beta W} dW$. An alternative approach is to use a cumulant expansion [11]:

$$\ln \langle e^{-\beta W} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-\beta)^j \omega_j,$$  

(13)

where $\omega_j$ is the $j$’th cumulant of $\rho(W)$. Using standard properties of the gamma distribution [26], we get

$$\omega_j = \frac{dN}{2} \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^j (j-1)! \quad (14)$$

hence

$$-\ln \langle e^{-\beta W} \rangle = -\frac{dN}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\alpha)^j}{j} = \frac{dN}{2} \ln(1+\alpha), \quad (15)$$

again confirming Eq. 11 for this model (see Eq. 12).

Truncating this expansion after two terms yields

$$\Delta F \approx (W) - \frac{\beta}{2} \sigma_W^2 = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{dN}{2} (\alpha - \alpha^2), \quad (16)$$

where $(W) = \omega_1$ and $\sigma_W^2 = \omega_2$ are the mean and variance of $\rho(W)$. Eq. 16 is just the fluctuation-dissipation relation of linear response theory. Naively, we might expect this to be an excellent approximation for our model, for either of two reasons. First, we have assumed a quasi-static process, apparently keeping the system in the near-equilibrium regime where linear response theory ought to apply. Second, for $N \gg 1$, the central limit theorem suggests a Gaussian distribution of work values, and for a Gaussian only the first two cumulants are non-zero. However, Eq. 12 reveals that the truncated expansion Eq. 16 is valid only when $|\alpha| \ll 1$, that is, when $V_1 \approx V_0$. Why does the system not respond linearly for larger $|\alpha|$?

First, Eq. 16 is valid for small excursions away from the reversible, isothermal reference process described earlier. In our adiabatic process, however, the kinetic temperature of the gas changes substantially, hence the system strays far from the reference path, unless $V_1 \approx V_0$ (from Eq. 11 and $E_1 = \alpha E_0$ it follows that the system ends with a canonical distribution of energies at temperature $(V_0/V_1)^{2/d\beta-1}$. Second, while $\rho(W)$ is nearly Gaussian in the region around its mean, the average of $\exp(-\beta W)$ is dominated by work values deep in the lower tail of the distribution, where the central limit theorem does not apply. Thus we cannot invoke the central limit theorem to throw out the higher ($j > 2$) cumulants; indeed, the relative sizes of the cumulants are independent of $N$. 


Our results also illustrate the Clausius inequality,

\[
\langle W \rangle = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{dN}{2} \alpha \geq \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{dN}{2} \ln(1 + \alpha) = \Delta F,
\]

(17)
since \(\alpha \geq \ln(1 + \alpha)\) for all real \(\alpha\).

Assuming many particles, the initial energy of the gas is almost always very near to the equilibrium average energy, \(\bar{E} = \frac{dN}{2\beta}\), implying that the work performed during a typical realization is \(W^{\text{typ}} \approx \alpha \bar{E}\). [As a consistency check, Eq. 11 verifies that the peak of \(\rho(W)\) occurs at \(\alpha \bar{E} + \mathcal{O}(N^0)\).] However, the average of \(\exp(-\beta W)\) is dominated not by these typical realizations, but rather by those for which the work falls near the peak of \(\rho(W)\) \(\exp(-\beta W)\) \[12\] \[27\]. From Eq. 11 we find that this peak occurs at \(W_{\text{dom}} \approx \alpha \bar{E}/q\), where \(q = (V_0/V_1)^{2/d}\). Using Eq. 4 we conclude that the dominant realizations are characterized by energies

\[
E_{0,\text{dom}} \approx \frac{1}{q} \bar{E}, \quad E_{1,\text{dom}} \approx \bar{E}.
\]

(18)

These are realizations during which the system begins with a very atypical energy \((\bar{E}/q)\), but ends in a microstate that is characteristic of thermal equilibrium at temperature \(\beta^{-1}\). This is a generic feature associated with the convergence of \(\exp(-\beta W)\) \[27\].

As a practical matter, it is often desirable to fit experimental data to an appropriate probability distribution. Where the work is smooth and unimodal the gamma distribution may be a reasonable parametric choice, since it explicitly arises in this physical example, it obeys the appropriate symmetries, and it can model the skew typically exhibited by work densities. Indeed in a recent experiment, it was found that the work density could be adequately fit to a Pearson type III distribution, which approximates the generalized gamma distribution. Consequently, the results of this paper are compatible with the universal distribution hypothesis.
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