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ABSTRACT

Context. Solar dynamo models of Babcock-Leighton type typically assume the rise of magnetic flux tubes to be instantaneous. The periods of solutions with high magnetic diffusivity are too short, and their active belts do not migrate correctly. Only the low-diffusivity regime with advective meridional flows is usually considered.

Aims. We here discuss these assumptions and apply a time delay in the source term of the azimuthally averaged induction equation.

Methods. We have previously obtained the rise time as a function of rotation and the magnetic field strength at the bottom of the convection zone. These results allowed us to constrain the delay in the mean-field model we used in a parameter study.

Results. We identify an unknown family of solutions. These solutions show self-quenching and exhibit longer periods than their nondelayed counterparts. Additionally, we demonstrate that the nonlinear delay is responsible for the recovery of the equatorward migration of the active belts at high turbulent diffusivities.

Conclusions. By introducing a nonlinear temporal nonlocality (the delay) in a Babcock-Leighton dynamo model, we were able to explain the solar cycle.
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1. Introduction

The magnetic solar cycle is attributed to a dynamo process in which motions of a conductive medium lead to the continuous induction of magnetic fields. These motions may arise from convection, which becomes anisotropic in the presence of rotation and stratification and is approximately described by the α-effect (Krause & Rädler 1980). Alternatively, they may be caused by the rise of magnetic structures to the solar surface, again in the presence of rotation and stratification, which leads to the so-called Babcock-Leighton effect (Leighton 1969). While there has been no derivation of this effect from first principles so far, the synergy with the meridional circulation in the convection zone may lead to a periodic magnetic field that satisfactorily explains the solar cycle.

Babcock-Leighton dynamos have been shown to qualitatively reproduce the solar butterfly diagram only if the turbulent magnetic diffusivity in the bulk of the convection zone is lower than $10^{12} \text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$. This regime is often referred to as the advective regime, since the meridional circulation then determines the cycle period. In the diffusive regime, the cycle period varies with the turbulent magnetic diffusivity and can be reduced to the observed cycle length, but the propagation of the dynamo wave then follows the Parker–Yoshimura rule and is poleward at all latitudes (Yoshimura 1975).

A variety of Babcock-Leighton dynamos has been published in the past 25 years, exemplarily by Choudhuri et al. (1995), Dikpati & Charbonneau (1999), Küker et al. (2001), Chatterjee et al. (2004), Guerrero & de Gouveia Dal Pino (2007), and Sanchez et al. (2014) for kinematic models with stationary flows and dynamo effect, by Nandy & Choudhuri (2001) for a model with toroidal-field loss by buoyancy, and by Kitchatinov & Olemskoy (2011) for a nonlocal α-effect similar to the Babcock-Leighton effect. A nonkinematic simulation showing a solar-like butterfly diagram has been reported by Rempel (2006); here, the turbulent magnetic diffusivity rises from $10^{10} \text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ to $10^{12} \text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ in the convection zone. Beyond the Sun, Jouve et al. (2010a), for example, studied the cycle period dependence of Babcock-Leighton dynamos on the stellar rotation rate. The variability of the solar cycle including grand minima has been addressed with Babcock-Leighton dynamos for example by Karak (2010), who varied the meridional circulation, Olemskoy & Kitchatinov (2013), who varied the strength of their nonlocal source term, and Inceoglu et al. (2017), who varied both the generation of the flows and the Babcock-Leighton effect in a nonkinematic setup. In all these attempts, however, the effect of the toroidal magnetic field in the interior of the convection zone on the poloidal-field generation at the surface is instantaneous. We note that this list of papers on the Babcock-Leighton type dynamo is far from complete.

We here address the problem that the Babcock-Leighton effect is not only nonlocal in space, but also in time. Following the pioneering work by Jouve et al. (2010b), we take a further step toward a fully constrained Babcock-Leighton dynamo. Here we use the results of global numerical simulations of flux-tube rise to improve and even constrain a Babcock-Leighton dynamo model.

The global numerical simulations by Fournier et al. (2017) have shown that the rise time of magnetic flux tubes is independent of the magnetic diffusion. We suggest treating this
independence with a nonlocality in space and time by designing a Babcock-Leighton dynamo model that has a time delay in the source term.

Nonlocalities have been shown to generate long-term variability in the amplitude and in the period of the magnetic cycle for a wide variety of models. A remarkable early attempt has been made by Yoshimura (1978), who used a 2D setup with source terms nonlocal in both space and time, delivering cyclic magnetic fields interrupted by “low-activity” periods that lasted for a few cycles. A sequence of papers on a 0D model, that is, without any spatial dependence but with a time delay, was published by Wilmot-Smith et al. (2006), and Hazra et al. (2014) (including stochastic variations in the Babcock-Leighton effect), and Tripathi et al. (2018), who found sub-critical dynamo action. This indicates what we show here in a 2D spherical shell with realistic solar differential rotation. It is also in line with the result by Rheinhardt & Brandenburg (2012), who studied the memory effect in a turbulent-α dynamo and found a threshold for dynamo excitation that is lower than without a memory effect. Nonlocalities in turbulent-α dynamos have been studied in various other works that we do not review here. Closest to our approach is the work by Jouve et al. (2010b), who made the time delay magnetic-field dependent. However, the authors always found that the time correlations required to obtain solar-like variability were too long to agree with the model assumptions.

Here we study such nonlocal models with time delays depending on the magnetic field strength, where the dependence is derived from the flux-rise simulations by Fournier et al. (2017). Sect. 2 describes the equations we used, and Sect. 4 shows the general results of using a delay in the induction equation. We discuss the implications of the results in Sect. 6.

2. Model and reference setup

We derived our model from Jouve et al. (2008), and like in Jouve et al. (2010b), we introduced a delay into the Babcock-Leighton source term of a mean-field dynamo. We here present the results of a large parameter study of more than 2000 simulations, which are partly constrained through the results of global numerical simulations.

The model we used here is simplified: we assumed a constant turbulent magnetic diffusivity, \( \eta_0 = \text{const} \), in the stellar interior. Since the turbulent diffusivity is a measure of the turbulence intensity, a constant value implies that we can neglect radial turbulent pumping (Rädler 1968). This choice was made to prevent our setup from being polluted with weakly constrained parameters, such as an arbitrary profile of the magnetic diffusivity. Observations of decaying active regions suggest that the turbulent magnetic diffusivity, \( \eta_0 \), at the surface is on the order of \( 10^{12} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \), while the mixing length theory provides an upper limit of \( 10^{14} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \) at the surface and \( 10^{13} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \) at the bottom of the convection zone. The large discrepancy between the various available estimates demonstrates the limit of our current knowledge. Additionally, a constant turbulent magnetic diffusivity has the appreciable side effect that the Reynolds number is small everywhere, allowing coarser grids and shorter computation times, which is adequate for a large parameter study.

Lengths and time were normalized with the stellar radius, \( R_\star \), and the turbulent magnetic diffusion time, \( \tau_{\text{diff}} = R_\star^2 / \eta_0 \). The free choice of the unit of the magnetic flux density \( B \) was made by setting the equipartition value with convective motions \( u_{\text{rms}} \) at \( r = 0.71 \), \( B_{\text{eq}} = u_{\text{rms}} \sqrt{\rho \mu_0 \eta_0} \) to unity, where \( \rho \) and \( \mu_0 \) are the gas density and the permeability constant, respectively (see below). The resulting dimensionless set of equations can be written in spherical coordinates \((r, \theta, \phi)\) as

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t B_\phi &= \left( \nabla^2 - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right) B_\phi - Re \, \sigma \mathbf{u}_p \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{B_\phi}{\sigma} \right) - Re \, (B_\phi \nabla) \cdot \mathbf{u}_p \\
&\quad + C_\Omega \sigma \left[ \nabla \times (\sigma A_\phi \mathbf{e}_\phi) \right] \cdot \nabla(\Omega), \\
\partial_t A_\phi &= \left( \nabla^2 - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right) A_\phi - Re \, \frac{\mathbf{u}_p}{\sigma} \cdot \nabla(\sigma A_\phi) + C_\Sigma S, \\
\end{align*}
\]

with \( B_\phi, \mathbf{u}_p, \sigma, A_\phi, \Omega, \) and \( S \), being the azimuthal magnetic flux density, the meridional circulation profile, the cylindrical distance to the rotation axis, the vector potential of the poloidal magnetic field, the angular velocity, and the source term for the poloidal field, respectively.

This system is controlled by three dimensionless parameters, the Reynolds numbers for the rotation and the meridional flow, \( C_\Omega \) and \( Re \), and the dynamo number \( C_\Sigma \),

\[
Re = \frac{u_0 R_\star}{\eta_0}; \quad C_\Omega = \frac{\Omega_0 R_\star^2}{\eta_0}; \quad C_\Sigma = \frac{S_0 R_\star}{B_{eq} \eta_0},
\]

with \( u_0, \Omega_0, \) and \( S_0 \) being the maximum meridional velocity, angular velocity, and Babcock-Leighton effect, respectively. The profiles of \( \mathbf{u}_p \) and \( \Omega \) are normalized by \( u_0 \) and \( \Omega_0 \), respectively.

The loose constraint on the amplitude and the profile of the meridional circulation gives some freedom for the choice of \( Re \). The original model used a canonical profile aimed at representing the general characteristics of the solar flows. However, the profile remained arbitrary, and the surface shear layer was missing. We suggest to take advantage of the results of Küker & Rüdiger (2011): the authors provide consistent profiles of differential rotation and meridional flows based on the Λ-effect theory (Rüdiger 1989). This theoretical result provides a solar-like profile including a surface shear layer, with a single free coefficient whose value is set to fit the helioseismic observations. The differential rotation together with the meridional circulation profiles and amplitudes are illustrated in Fig. 1.

In Babcock-Leighton dynamos, the source term \( S \) is based on phenomenological arguments. Observations suggest that the reversed polarity of the poloidal field emerging at the surface
leads to a reversal of the large-scale polar magnetic field. The source term $S$ is an attempt to represent the physical processes that generate the poloidal field from the deeply seated toroidal field.

In the traditional frame of the Babcock-Leighton effect, buoyancy transports toroidal magnetic flux to the surface for sufficiently strong magnetic fields. During its rise through the convection zone, a poloidal field can be generated under the action of the Coriolis effect, which then provides the necessary field at the surface for the Babcock-Leighton effect to work. Global simulations were able to show that buoyant magnetic structures locally quench the magnetic turbulent diffusivity, $\eta$, allowing them to remain coherent throughout their rise (Cattaneo & Hughes 1988). Additionally, Fan et al. (1994) showed that the solar meridional flow does not significantly affect the rise of magnetic flux tubes. Therefore, the buoyant transport of magnetic flux is independent of $\eta$ and of the meridional flow, $\mathbf{u}_p$, and exclusively depends on the magnetic pressure, that is, on $B$.

In the model presented here, we treat the transport independence of $\eta$ as a memory effect in the mean-field equations. The source of the poloidal field at the surface is correlated with the toroidal field at the bottom of the convection zone at an earlier time. The considered time correlation, $\tau_{\text{delay}}$, represents the time required by a buoyant magnetic structure to rise from the bottom of the convection zone to the surface.

We write the delayed source term as

$$S(r, \theta, t) = f(r, \theta) \sum_{\tau_i} \left\{ \left( \frac{B_\phi(r_\theta, \theta, t - \tau_i)}{B_{\text{quench}}} \right)^2 \right\}^{-1}$$

for $B_\phi(r_\theta, \theta, t - \tau_i) > B_{\text{threshold}}$, $S = 0$ otherwise. (3)

Here the sum is computed over all magnetic flux tubes that reach the surface at a given time $t$.

On the one hand, tubes with magnetic flux densities higher than $B_{\text{quench}}$ are weakly affected by the Coriolis effect and emerge as untitled active regions (D’Silva & Choudhuri 1993; Jouve et al. 2013; Fournier et al. 2017). Such untitled regions do not provide poloidal magnetic flux to the Babcock-Leighton effect. On the other hand, weak flux tubes may be strongly affected by the turbulent convective motions such that they do not reach the surface. We consider a threshold, $B_{\text{threshold}}$, below which the toroidal field does not participate in the dynamo mechanism. Such a lower limit prevents the dynamo from growing from an arbitrarily low seed field.

Since the place where the source $S$ operates remains unknown, we used the same arbitrary profile as Jouve et al. (2008), where $S$ is nonzero at $r \gtrsim 0.9$ and is maximum at 45° latitude at the surface,

$$f(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \text{erf} \left( \frac{r - 0.9}{0.02} \right) \right] \cos \theta \sin \theta. \quad (4)$$

2.1. Introducing the 3D results into the model

Global simulations have enabled us to constrain the correlation time, $\tau_{\text{delay}}$, the source-term quenching, $B_{\text{quench}}$, and the magnetic field threshold, $B_{\text{threshold}}$. Here $\tau_{\text{delay}}$ represents the rise time of magnetic flux tubes, that is, coherent magnetic structures that may form from the destabilization of a previously amplified magnetic layer (Rempel & Schüssler 2001; Hotta et al. 2012) by the buoyancy instability (Parker 1955; Matthews et al. 1995; Wissink et al. 2000; Fan 2001; Kersalé et al. 2007; Favier et al. 2012). The amplification only depends on the stratification of the solar convection zone and has been found to be $F_{\text{amp}} = 10$ (Rempel & Schüssler 2001; Hotta et al. 2012). As a result, amplified flux tubes can reach up to $15 \times 10^4$ G (i.e., $10 B_{\text{eq}}$).

Fournier et al. (2017) demonstrated that the rise time of magnetic flux tubes follows the relation

$$\tau_{\text{delay}} \propto P_{\text{rot}} \left( \frac{\Gamma_{\psi i}}{\alpha_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (5)$$

where $P_{\text{rot}}$ is the rotation period of the star, and $\Gamma_{\psi i}$ is the ratio between the buoyant force and the Coriolis effect, modified by the magnetic tension. The exponent $\alpha_2$ is a function of the azimuthal mode number with which the magnetic flux tube rises. In the case of the Sun, taking $P_{\text{rot}}$ constant, this relation reduces to

$$\tau_{\text{delay}} \propto \tau_0 \left( \frac{B_\phi}{B_{\text{eq}}} \right)^{\alpha} \, , \quad (6)$$

with $\alpha$ varying between $-0.91$ and $-2.0$ depending on the azimuthal mode. The parameter $\tau_0$ is the rise time for a field in equipartition with the convective velocity, $B_\phi = B_{\text{eq}}$, and is a free parameter. It depends on the rotation period of the star, the depth of its convection zone, and the details of the destabilization process forming the flux tubes as well as on the profiles of the turbulent thermal conductivity, viscosity, and diffusivity.

In Eq. (6) there is no latitudinal dependence. Recalling that this equation describes the reduction of the rise velocity by the tension force, however, we can model it following the latitudinal dependence of the tension force of $1/\sin \theta$,

$$\tau_{\text{delay}} = \frac{\tau_0}{\sin \theta} \left( \frac{B_\phi}{B_{\text{eq}}} \right)^{\alpha} \, . \quad (7)$$

We further define an effective delay $\tau_{\text{eff}}$, which is a very useful parameter. It corresponds to the shortest delay in any given moment, that is,

$$\tau_{\text{eff}} = \tau_0 \left( \frac{B_{\phi}^{\text{max}}}{B_{\text{eq}}} \right)^{\alpha} \, , \quad (8)$$

where $B_{\phi}^{\text{max}}$ is the strongest field strength generated by the $\Omega$-effect at the bottom of the convection zone. The maximum is taken over time and latitude (after saturation).

Rempel (2006) showed that Babcock-Leighton dynamos provide $B_\phi$ up to $3B_{\text{eq}}$. Since the resulting amplified flux tubes are in the buoyancy-dominated regime and therefore weakly participate in the dynamo because the Coriolis effect is not strong enough for significantly tilted active regions (see the appendix), we set $B_{\text{quench}} = 3B_{\text{eq}}$ (Fan et al. 2003). They have shown that amplified flux tubes weaker than $3B_{\text{eq}}$ will not reach the surface as coherent structures. The lower threshold on $B_\phi$ is $B_{\text{threshold}} = 3B_{\text{eq}}/F_{\text{amp}} = 0.3B_{\text{eq}}$. Since magnetic flux tubes of threshold flux density may have rise times on the order of a cycle, we implemented a source term buffer that lasted until $t + 5\tau_{\text{diff}}$ for any moment $t$ in the simulation, in order to prevent any issues with very long delays.

2.2. Behavior of the delay

The dependence of the delay on $B_\phi$ is controlled by $\alpha$. When $\alpha$ is set to zero, the delay is constant. A constantly delayed source term has the same time-profile as a non-delayed source term, but is shifted in time. However, as soon as $\alpha$ becomes
nonzero, the delay becomes time dependent. Weaker flux tubes rise longer than stronger ones. Weak flux tubes may therefore reach the surface at the same time as stronger flux tubes that formed at a later time. The time-dependence of the delay results in an accumulation of the source at the surface at certain times.

We illustrate this behavior in Fig. 2 for four different idealized cases. We consider a single point with a sinusoidally varying toroidal magnetic field,

\[ B_\phi = B_{\phi}^{\text{max}} \sin(\alpha \cdot t) \]

with amplitude \(B_{\phi}^{\text{max}}\) and frequency \(\omega\). The field is shown as blue curves in Fig. 2, while the red curves are the delay computed from \(B_\phi\). The histograms show the resulting source of poloidal field accumulated from \(B_\phi\) in the past. The two upper panels show the case of a weakly nonlinear short- \(\tau_{\text{eff}}\) and a long- \(\tau_{\text{eff}}\), respectively (with \(\alpha = -1\)). The two lower panels illustrate a strongly nonlinear short- and long- \(\tau_{\text{eff}}\) (with \(\alpha = -2\)). In the weakly nonlinear short-\(\tau_{\text{eff}}\) case, the accumulation seen in the diagram is almost negligible and the time-profile of the source looks almost identical to the profile of \(B_\phi\). Only for a longer \(\tau_{\text{eff}}\) does the accumulation become visible. A similar accumulation is found for the nonlinear short-\(\tau_{\text{eff}}\), demonstrating that the non-linearity support the accumulation. In the case of the long-\(\tau_{\text{eff}}\), the deformation of the profile leads to a front.

Clearly, two aspects of the delay lead to accumulation: the length of the effective delay, \(\tau_{\text{eff}}\), controlled by \(\tau_0\) and \(B_{\phi}^{\text{max}}\), and its nonlinearity, controlled by \(\alpha\).

Because of the latitudinal dependence of the toroidal magnetic field, the delay naturally varies with latitude. Like its time dependence, the latitudinal dependence of the delay is a function of \(\alpha\) and \(\tau_0\), but it additionally depends on the sign of the latitudinal gradient of the toroidal field, \(\partial B_\phi / \partial \theta\). Figure 3 shows that if the toroidal field decreases toward the equator, the resulting delayed field, illustrated by the contour plots, migrates equatorward, whereas when the gradient is directed poleward, the dynamo wave propagates poleward because weaker fields rise on a longer timescale and the accumulation is delayed, which sets the direction of propagation with the latitudinal gradient of \(B_\phi\).
more similar to the solar characteristics, and the low latitudes exhibit stronger fields ($\approx$10% of the high-latitude fields), but the dynamo wave propagates purely radially, as predicted by the Parker–Yoshimura rule.

Even if an $\eta_t$ exists for which the nondelayed model gives a solution with an 11-year cycle period, the low-latitude radial fields remain weaker than the polar regions, and the migration becomes radial while moving to the diffusion-dominated regime.

4. Recovering the solar characteristics with the delay model

We modeled the rise time as a temporal nonlocality, the delay, because Fournier et al. (2017) have shown in global simulations of rising magnetic flux tubes that the rise time is independent of the turbulent magnetic diffusion. In Sect. 2.2 we have shown that a time-dependent delay leads to temporal peaks in the source term $S$ of the induction equation near the surface, migrating latitudinally in the direction of decreasing toroidal field. We show below that the solar characteristics can only be recovered in the diffusive regime (model D-DIFF). We present one series of simulations in the advection-dominated regime (D-ADV) and another one in the diffusion-dominated regime (D-DIFF). In both series, $C_3$ and $t_0$ are varied. Since we found that the accumulation is largest in the nonlinear regime, we present two series with $\alpha = -2$. The parameters $Re$ and $C_0$ are both fixed by the differential rotation profile, whose shape is determined by the $\Lambda$-effect and a standard solar model. $B_{\text{quench}}$ and $B_{\text{threshold}}$ are both constrained by the results of Fournier et al. (2017) and $F_{\text{amp}}$ by Hotta et al. (2012). The two remaining parameters are $C_3$ and $t_0$. They are not yet constrained by any global simulation results. We have seen that $t_0$ may determine the variability of $S$, and $C_3$ may determine its amplitude. The parameters are summarized in Table 1.

4.1. Advection-dominated case

In this section we consider the ADV setup in the advection-dominated regime, with $\eta_t = 10^{11}$ cm s$^{-1}$. In the left panel of Fig. 5, we illustrate the maximum of the toroidal magnetic field at the bottom of the convection zone, $B_{cz}^s$, against $C_3$. The nondelayed series with $t_0 = 0$ shows a critical source term amplitude of $C_{30}^s = 3.534$ below which the model produces decaying solutions. It is remarkable that delayed dynamos deliver nondecaying solutions for weaker $C_3$ than $C_{30}^s$. The delay has the surprising effect of reducing the criticality of the dynamo, opening a window to unknown solutions.

These solutions have the particular property of self-saturating at low amplitudes. The nonlinearity of the delay acts as a quenching mechanism, which is appealing since the solutions become independent of the model used for the quenching.

The middle panel of Fig. 5 illustrates the dependence of the relative effective delay ($\tau_{\text{eff}}/P_{\text{cyc}}$) on $t_0$ and $C_3$. In this figure we identify two different regimes: the short-$\tau_{\text{eff}}$ and the long-$\tau_{\text{eff}}$ regime.

In the short-$\tau_{\text{eff}}$ regime, the effective delay is several orders of magnitude shorter than the cycle period and therefore produces solutions that are almost identical to the nondelayed case. Some accumulation is visible at mid-cycle (compare the top panels of Figs. 4 and 6) for strongly nonlinear delays ($\alpha = -2$), but disappears for weaker nonlinearity with $\alpha = -1$. The cycle period is independent of $C_3$, as also shown in Fig. 5.

The second regime we identify is the long-$\tau_{\text{eff}}$ regime, which is characterized by a $\tau_{\text{eff}}$ between $10^{-3}P_{\text{cyc}}$ and $10^{-1}P_{\text{cyc}}$. This
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Fig. 5. D-ADV case. Saturation field strength (left panel), effective delay (middle panel), and cycle period (right panel) as functions of the source term amplitude $C_s$ for four different delays. Each line represents a series of runs for a given delay. The vertical solid line marks the critical $C_{s0}$ for the nondelayed case. The circles identify the solutions shown in Fig. 6.

Table 1. Parameters of the various setups.

| Setup   | $\Omega_0$ ($s^{-1}$) | $u_0$ (m s$^{-1}$) | $\eta_i$ (cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$) | $C_{crit}$ | $Re$ | $C_s$ | $\tau_0$ [\tau_{eff}] | $B_{\text{quench}}$ [\mid B_{eq}] | $B_{\text{threshold}}$ [\mid B_{eq}] | $F_{\text{amp}}$ |
|---------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|------|------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| ADV     | $2.68 \times 10^{-6}$ | 14.37             | $10^{11}$                   | $1.3 \times 10^5$ | 1000 | 3.73 | 0                     | 32                            | 0.1                            | 10.0  |
| DIFF    | $2.68 \times 10^{-6}$ | 14.37             | $10^{12}$                   | $1.3 \times 10^4$ | 100  | 12.5 | 0                     | 32                            | 0.1                            | 10.0  |
| D-ADV   | $2.68 \times 10^{-6}$ | 14.37             | $10^{11}$                   | $1.3 \times 10^5$ | 1000 | Varied | Varied   | 32                            | 0.1                            | 10.0  |
| D-DIFF  | $2.68 \times 10^{-6}$ | 14.37             | $10^{12}$                   | $1.3 \times 10^4$ | 100  | Varied | Varied   | 32                            | 0.1                            | 10.0  |
| SOLAR   | $2.68 \times 10^{-6}$ | 14.45             | $6.7 \times 10^{11}$        | $1.95 \times 10^4$ | 150  | 5.18 | $10^{-3}$            | 32                            | 0.1                            | 10.0  |

domain corresponds to the delay expected in the solar case (between a few days and a few months) and is therefore the regime of interest. The solutions self-saturate, which leads to a strong dependence of the saturation field and of the cycle period on $C_s$. The cycle period is also shown to be an increasing function of $\tau_{eff}$.

It appears that the transient peaks in $S$ at the surface provide a sufficient source to maintain a dynamo that would otherwise decay. Therefore the saturation mechanism is not the quenching of the source term, but the balance between the diffusion and the regular peaks of the accumulated source term $S$. We still do not understand why an increase of the effective delay (lower $C_s$) increases the cycle period.

Jouve et al. (2010b) studied the effect of $\tau_0$ for a given $C_s = C_{crit}$. Here we demonstrate that the delay reduces $C_{crit}$ and identify two regimes. We studied the effect of $\tau_0$ for each regime and extended the analysis of Jouve et al. (2010b).

In Fig. 5, it is remarkable that the effective delay and the cycle period are independent of $\tau_0$. The long-$\tau_{eff}$ regime results from the nonlinearity of the delay, controlled by $\alpha$. Fournier et al. (2017) showed that $\alpha$ is a function of the azimuthal mode with which an unstable flux tube rises. Determining under which condition one or the other mode is preferred will provide solid input to constrain this parameter.

Figure 6 illustrates the radial field at the surface in the long- and short-$\tau_{eff}$ regimes. In both regimes the morphological characteristics of the delayed dynamo resemble the nondelay case: at high latitudes, the strong polar fields are concentrated close to the pole, propagating poleward; at low latitude, the radial fields remain weak, showing an equatorward propagation. Even though the accumulation in $S$ increases the field strength at low latitudes, it remains two orders of magnitude weaker than the polar fields.

Additionally, in the short-$\tau_{eff}$ regime, the cycle period remains exclusively controlled by the meridional circulation, like in the nondelayed solutions, but in the long-$\tau_{eff}$ regime, the cycle period clearly increases, with decreasing $C_s$.

We conclude that the nonlinear delay does not affect the qualitative characteristics of the dynamo, but by increasing the cycle period, renders it a worse quantitative result. We summarize the dynamo characteristics in Table 2.

4.2. Diffusion-dominated case

Estimates of the turbulent magnetic diffusivity from observations and mixing-length-based stellar models suggest a value higher than $10^{12}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$. However, as seen in the lower panel of Fig. 4 for the nondelayed case in the diffusive regime, even though the cycle period of about 8 yr fits the observations better, the low latitudes remain weakly active and the dynamo wave propagates radially. The fact that turbulent magnetic diffusivities lower than $10^{12}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ are required in order to obtain an equatorward migration has been a long-standing issue in Babcock-Leighton dynamos.

In Sect. 2.2 we have shown that for a prescribed sinusoidal magnetic field, the maximum field propagates in the latitudinal direction of the magnetic gradient. Here we discuss this mechanism for the simulated results.

As in the advection-dominated regime, the introduction of the delay reduces the criticality of the dynamo and opens a window for new types of solutions. We could also identify the same regimes, illustrated in Fig. 7. The short-$\tau_{eff}$ regime remains identical to the nondelayed case, and shows the same differences to the solar cycle (upper panel of Fig. 8). In the long-$\tau_{eff}$ regime the overall behavior is similar: the cycle period and the effective
delay are independent of $\tau_0$. The solutions saturate before reaching $B_{\text{quench}}$ (left panel), and the cycle period decreases with $C_S$ (right panel). However, the morphologic characteristics of the dynamo are clearly different. The lower panels of Fig. 8 show that the reduction of criticality allows an accumulation of $B_0$ that reaches $\approx 25%$ of the polar region field strength. Furthermore, the latitudinal distribution of the initial magnetic field (decreasing toward the equator) leads to an equatorward propagation in the low latitudes. The last panel of Fig. 8 shows a solution that quantitatively agrees with the solar characteristics (see Table 2).

In this particular run, $\tau_{\text{eff}} = 3 \times 10^{-3} \, P_{\text{cyc}}$, which is about a few days. Solutions with effective delays of up to a few months show comparable behaviors, however. This shows that the non-locality introduces an additional timescale on the order of days, which is sufficient to obtain accumulation of radial-flux generation at low latitudes and equatorward migration.

### 4.3. Comparison to the solar case

The series we carried out in the diffusion-dominated regime shows a good quantitative agreement with the solar case, but we scanned the constrained parameter space with the remaining free parameters, namely $\eta_\ell$, $\tau_0$, and $C_S$, and selected a simulation that we call SOLAR, which reproduces the solar characteristics. By varying $C_S$ and $\eta_\ell$, we were able to find dynamo solutions whose butterfly diagram quantitatively matches several characteristics of the solar observations. We summarize these aspects in Table 2. We compared the activity cycle period, the propagation of the active belt and the high latitude, the extent of the polar regions, and the activity level of the low latitudes. We recall that the averaged strength of the observed active belts is about an order of magnitude weaker than the polar field strength.

The SOLAR solution is antisymmetric and oscillatory, with a cycle of 11 yr, an extent of the polar regions of $36^\circ$, the amplitude of the low latitudes is a fourth of the amplitudes of the polar regions, and an equatorward propagation of the active belt as well as a poleward propagation of the high-latitude fields. It is also remarkable that the polar reversal occurs at half-cycle of the low latitude. The turbulent magnetic diffusivity required to obtain this solution is $\eta_\ell = 6.7 \times 10^{11} \, \text{cm}^2 \, \text{s}^{-1}$, which is in the transitional regime between the diffusive and the advective regime. The resulting effective delay $\tau_{\text{eff}}$ is on the order of a month ($\approx 33$ days). The toroidal field at the bottom of the convection zone saturates below equipartition with the convective motions, at about $0.15B_{\text{eq}}$.

In Fig. 9 we illustrate various aspects of the dynamo mechanism, showing how the toroidal field profile (a) is distorted into a source term sharply peaked in time (b), building up a front that, added to the diffuse field at the surface, leads to the very characteristics of the butterfly diagram (c). Because of the stiff accumulation, the active latitudes are more strongly localized in latitude and time than the solar butterfly diagram. As Weber et al. (2011) have shown that convective motions introduce stochasticity in the emergence characteristics of active regions, this mechanism could explain the broadening of the real solar activity bands in the butterfly diagram. We illustrate the propagation of the active latitudes in the meridional plane in Fig. 10, where the solid and dashed black contours represent the toroidal field, and the color-coding shows the negative and positive radial field strength, respectively. The slices are taken in the beginning of the activity cycle, at maximum, in the decreasing phase, and at minimum. The toroidal field peaks at high latitudes and propagates almost radially, as predicted by the Parker–Yoshimura rule. The strongest radial field is located at the pole. Close to the surface, where the source term is the strongest, the active latitudes, indicated by the dashed ovals, can be seen to migrate to the equator. These meridional sections demonstrate that the equatorward migration of the active belt does not follow the migration of the dynamo wave, which propagates almost radially, but is caused by the longer delay for weaker toroidal fields closer to the equator.

### 5. Sensitivity of the results to the free parameters

Since some parameters remain weakly or even not constrained, it is important to discuss the reliability of the results of the previous section. The accumulation of the source term $S$ in short-lived peaks as a result of the delay is the key aspect of the model and provides the solar-like characteristics. For a prescribed oscillating magnetic field, the accumulation is controlled by the two parameters $\tau_0$ and $\alpha$ (see Sect. 2.2). Fournier et al. (2017) were able to constrain $\alpha$ in the range of $-0.91$ to $-2$ depending on the unstable azimuthal mode of the rising flux tube. However, one of the limitations of the latter work is the lack of constraint on $\tau_0$. It depends on many details of the formation of magnetic flux tubes and will be clearly challenging to constrain. Fortunately, we were able to demonstrate that the actual solutions in the long-$\tau_{\text{eff}}$ regime are clearly independent of $\tau_0$. As for the dependence on $\alpha$, we have varied $\alpha$ from $-2$ to $-0.1$ in Fig. 10, where solutions could be obtained until $\alpha = -1$, which remains within the above constrained space. For $-1 < \alpha$, the accumulation is not sufficient and the dynamo decays.

Even though we were able to constrain the value of $B_{\text{quench}}$ in the first term in the sum of Eq. (3) based on global numerical simulations, the quadratic quenching we use is quite arbitrary. Because this model possesses the surprising capability of self-quenching through its nonlinear nonlocality, however, the solutions are independent of the chosen quenching model. The level of saturation only depends on the effective delay, $\tau_{\text{eff}}$, which is an outcome of the model and cannot be chosen arbitrarily.

Because we use a lower threshold on the magnetic field, some mode may not grow. The preferred mode of the dynamo...
Fig. 7. D-DIFF case. Saturation field strength (left panel), effective delay (middle panel), and cycle period (right panel) as functions of the source term amplitude $C_S$ for four different delays. Each line represents a series of runs for a given delay. The vertical solid line marks the critical $C_{S0}^{\text{crit}}$ for the nondelayed case. The circles identify solutions shown in Fig. 8.

Table 2. Dynamo characteristics.

| $\eta_t$ (cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$) | 10$^{10}$–10$^{14}$ | 6.7 × 10$^{11}$ | 10$^{11}$ | 10$^{12}$ |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| $P_{\text{cyc}}$ (yr)   | 11 (8–14)         | ≈11             | 30–300            | 6–20            |
| Low-latitude migration direction | Equatorward | Equatorward | Equatorward | Equatorward |
| High-latitude migration direction | Poleward | Poleward | Poleward | Poleward |
| Polar-field cap extent    | 35°              | 36°             | 9°               | 45°             |
| Low latitudes             | Active           | Active          | Low activity      | Active          |

Fig. 8. Radial magnetic field at the surface as a function of time from the diffusion-dominated case with delay (D-DIFF) for $C_S > C_{S0}^{\text{crit}}$ (top panel) and $C_S < C_{S0}^{\text{crit}}$ (bottom panel). The respective parameters are indicated above the plot. Contours represent the 25% and 10% levels of $B_{\text{max}}$ in the short-$T_{\text{eff}}$ case (top panel), and the 25% level in the long-$T_{\text{eff}}$ case (bottom panel).

is determined by the choice of the threshold and the initial condition. Although the threshold is relatively well constrained from the stability analysis of the buoyancy instability (Ferriz-Mas et al. 1994), it prevents the dynamo from growing from an arbitrary low seed field.

As is expected for the diffusion-dominated regime, the cycle period depends on $\eta_t$, but in contrast to the nondelayed dynamos, the delayed model additionally shows a dependence on $C_S$. The cycle period may change by a factor of two over the relevant range of $C_S$. This is remarkable because it might explain how solar-like stars with a comparable rotation period and convective envelope (same $\eta_t$) could show different magnetic cycles, which might be due to a difference in metallicity, for instance. This dependence needs to be carefully addressed because the interpretation of $C_S$ as a physical quantity is not trivial. More global simulations are required to be conclusive on this issue. The nonlocal dynamos seem to be good candidates to address this particular issue, however.

6. Conclusions

Until now, diffusive Babcock-Leighton dynamos were considered to be unable to qualitatively reproduce the solar dynamo. The Parker–Yoshimura rule implies for the internal differential rotation of the Sun that the dynamo wave propagates poleward. We also find that the cycle period is too short and the low-latitude radial fields are too weak.

We here introduced a delay in the source term of the poloidal field. Like in Jouve et al. (2010b), this delay represents the rise time of magnetic flux tubes through the convection zone. In contrast to previous studies, however, we built this model on the results of global numerical simulations of rising magnetic flux tubes in compressible stellar interiors (Fournier et al. 2017). The model consists of a rise time that depends nonlinearly on the magnetic flux density.

We have shown that the nonlinearity of the delay leads to an accumulation of the Babcock-Leighton source term at certain times. When this accumulation becomes sufficiently important, it may prevent the dynamo from decaying, even though the nondelayed model shows no dynamo action. The reduction of the criticality of the dynamo opens a new window to unknown...
solutions. These delayed dynamos have the peculiar property of self-quenching.

We found that the nonlinear delay can provide a mechanism for generating a migration of the surface fields in the direction of weaker internal fields. In case of a stationary toroidal internal field at mid-latitudes, for example, the generated poloidal fields at the surface migrate toward the equator at low latitudes and toward the poles at high latitudes. This is independent of the sign of the internal differential rotation.

The requirement of a low turbulent magnetic diffusivity, $\eta_t$, for Babcock-Leighton dynamos to qualitatively reproduce the solar cycle has been shown to be unnecessary. We demonstrated that our delayed model, with a turbulent magnetic diffusivity of $\eta_t = 6.7 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, agrees well with the solar butterfly diagram, even though the diffusivity is relatively high throughout the entire convection zone.

We note that one proposed solution of the low-diffusivity problem is to use different values for $\eta_t$ for the toroidal and for the poloidal components in the induction equation (Chatterjee et al. 2004). While the diffusivity may well be different in the horizontal and vertical directions, the poloidal field has varying components in both the horizontal and vertical directions, rendering the poloidal-field diffusivity location dependent. We have not tried such a setup, and it may not be necessary given the results we presented.

The model we presented is by design a simplified model. It has allowed us to identify the effect of the delay on the dynamo solutions. However, several ingredients are lacking for a state-of-the-art model (Rempel 2006; Cameron & Schüssler 2015; Pipin 2017). We only solved the induction equation for large-scale fields. We ignored turbulent pumping and back-reaction of the magnetic field on the flow. All these elements will increase the complexity of the model and add more free parameters that need to be constrained.

The large-scale field generation based on the Babcock-Leighton effect has not been derived from first principles. Its validity therefore remains uncertain. In the absence of global simulations addressing the formation of magnetic flux tubes, the current models remain quite arbitrary. Nevertheless, the non-linearities of our solutions are potentially relevant for dynamos other than those of Babcock-Leighton type.

Finally, the relevance of this work for stellar dynamos will be revealed only if this model is proven to reliably reproduce observed dynamo patterns of other solar-like stars.
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Appendix A: Constraining $B_{\text{quench}}$ and $B_{\text{threshold}}$

The quenching field strength is defined such that the buoyant force balances the Coriolis force, resulting in a zero tilt at the surface. Fournier et al. (2017, Sect. 3) showed for the axisymmetric case that

$$\frac{F_{\text{buoy}}}{F_{\text{corio}}} = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1)

with

$$\Gamma_1 = \frac{v_A}{\sigma \Omega}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.2)

Presuming that the ratio of the buoyant force over the Coriolis force is unity for the quenching field strength, we obtain

$$B_{\text{quench}} = \frac{1}{0.7} \frac{\Omega}{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{\rho \mu_0}{\rho}} = 32 \times 10^4 \text{G} = 32 B_{\text{eq}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.3)

with $\Omega = 430 \text{ nHz}$, $\rho = 0.1 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $\sigma = 0.7 \times 2 \pi 7 \times 10^{10} \text{cm}$ and $B_{\text{eq}} = \sqrt{\mu_0 \rho u_{\text{rms}}^2} = 10^4 \text{G}$ with $u_{\text{rms}} \approx 100 \text{ m s}^{-1}$.

The field strength of a magnetic flux tube that reaches the surface needs to be larger than $3B_{\text{eq}}$ (Fan et al. 2003). The threshold field strength is therefore $3B_{\text{eq}}$. 
