Observations reveal that strong solar flares and coronal mass ejections tend to occur in complex active regions characterized by δ-sunspots, spot rotation, sheared polarity inversion lines (PILs), and magnetic flux ropes. Here we report on the first modeling of spontaneous δ-spot generation as a result of flux emergence from the turbulent convection zone. Utilizing state-of-the-art radiative magnetohydrodynamics code R2D2, we simulate the emergence of a force-free flux tube in the convection zone that stretches down to −140 Mm. Elevated by large-scale convective upflows, the tube appears on the photosphere as two emerging δ-spots. The opposite polarities collide against each other due to the subsurface connectivity, and they develop into a pair of closely packed δ-spots. The Lorentz force drives the spot rotation and a strong counter-streaming flow of 10 km s\(^{-1}\) at the PIL in δ-spots, which, in tandem with local convection, strengthens the horizontal field to 4 kG and builds up a highly sheared PIL. In the atmosphere above the PIL, a flux rope structure is created. All these processes follow the multi-buoyant segment theory of the δ-spot formation, and they occur as a natural consequence of interaction between magnetic flux and turbulent convection, suggesting that the generation of δ-spots and the resultant flare eruptions may be a stochastically determined process.
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1. Introduction

Solar flares and coronal mass ejections are the plasma process through which magnetic energy is rapidly converted to heat, kinetic energy, and accelerated high-energy particles (Shibata & Magara 2011). It is known that major flares emanate from complex active regions (Toriumi & Wang 2019). For instance, statistical studies revealed that the δ-sunspots, in which umbral of opposite polarities are in close enough vicinity of each other that they share a common penumbra, are prone to the strongest flares (Künzel 1960; Sammis et al. 2000; Toriumi et al. 2017). Other key features include spot rotation (Brown et al. 2003; Yan et al. 2008); shear flows along the polarity inversion line (PIL; Harvey & Harvey 1976; Krall et al. 1982); PIL with strong magnetic field, \(B_z\) gradient, and shear (Hagyard et al. 1984; Schrijver 2007); magnetic channel (Zirin & Wang 1993; Wang et al. 2008); and magnetic flux rope (Gibson et al. 2006).

A major difficulty faced while understanding the formation of flare-productive active regions through emergence from the convection zone is that we cannot investigate the subsurface magnetic field from direct optical observations. Therefore, a number of magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) flux emergence simulations have been conducted in the past decades.

One of the suggested scenarios for δ-spot formation is the multi-segment buoyant model, where a subsurface magnetic flux rises at two locations and appears on the surface as a pair of emerging bipoles. Within this quadrupolar region, the inner polarities of opposite signs collide against each other to form a δ-structure with a sheared PIL in between. This scenario was advocated by Toriumi et al. (2014), who modeled the emergence of a single horizontal tube that is initially made buoyant at two segments. They found that the confinement of the opposite polarities on the surface occurs because the two emerging sections are connected by a dipped field beneath the surface. This situation was followed by Fang & Fan (2015), while Oi (2017) and Syntelis et al. (2019) modeled flare eruptions from the quadrupolar system. Other scenarios include the emergence of a kink-unstable flux tube (Fan et al. 1998; Takasao et al. 2015; Knizhnik et al. 2018) and the collision of two emerging tubes (Murray & Hood 2007; Jouve et al. 2018; Cheung et al. 2019).

Although these simulations succeeded in reproducing some key aspects of flaring regions, many were performed in highly idealized or controlled situations. For instance, the convection zone is mimicked as a plane-parallel atmosphere without including convective flows; the tube’s emergence is triggered by artificially reducing the density from the tube; or the emerging flux is kinematically advected into the domain through the boundary. However, in reality, the spot formation occurs as a natural consequence of the interaction between magnetic flux and background convection, which has been difficult for modelers to accommodate.

In this Letter, we report on the first spontaneous δ-spot formation that follows the multi-buoyant segment scenario as a result of flux emergence from the turbulent convection zone. Utilizing the newly developed radiative MHD code, which solves thermal convection of various scales from 100 Mm sized cells to surface granules, we are now able to overcome the above issues and address the effect of turbulence on emerging flux, the δ-spot formation, and the magnetic properties.

2. Numerical Setup

The numerical simulation was performed with the radiative MHD code R2D2, which stands for the Radiation and RSST
(reduced speed of sound technique) for Deep Dynamics (see Hotta et al. 2019 for details). In brief, this code solves the MHD equations with taking into account the radiative energy transfer and adopts RSST (Rempel 2005; Hotta et al. 2012, 2015; Iijima et al. 2019) to deal with the fast sound speed and mitigate the Courant–Friedricks–Lewy condition. We adopt the gray approximation for the radiative transfer with the Rosseland mean opacity.

The Cartesian box spans 98.3 Mm (x) × 98.3 Mm (y) × 139.9 Mm (z), resolved by a 1024 × 1024 × 256 grid. The horizontal (x and y) grid spacing is 96 km (uniform), while the vertical (z) spacing increases from 48 km around the top boundary to 2950 km around the bottom boundary. The top boundary is located 700 km above the average τ = 1 surface. The bottom boundary is thus located at −139.2 Mm (i.e., 0.8 R⊙), which is deep enough compared to the thickness of the convection zone (∼200 Mm). Therefore, we can self-consistently solve large-scale convection and surface granulation at the same time.

We assume periodic boundaries for both horizontal directions. The top boundary is open for upflows and closed for downflows, while the density and entropy perturbation from the initial state are free there. The bottom is open for the convection zone. The top boundary is open for upflows and adopts RSST equations with taking into account the radiative energy transfer and the cooling layer and then for the initial state, and the perturbation from the average is fixed to the initial background density. This quantity indicates the field strength averaged in the y-direction and normalized by the square root of the local initial density and thus possesses the dimension of velocity.

At t = 0 hr, a strong upflow starts to elevate the flux tube around x = 0 Mm (i.e., x = 98.3 Mm because of the periodic side boundaries) and creates an Ω-shaped loop, which develops an emerging bipole P1-N1 on the surface (see panels for 12.0 hr). Between P1 and N1, a number of small-scale magnetic elements are scattered and exhibit a net-like structure. Through merging and cancellation, they develop into mature sunspots with penumbrae. These behaviors resemble previous observations and models of flux emergence (e.g., Strous et al. 1996; Fan et al. 2003; Pariat et al. 2004; Cheung et al. 2010).

Meanwhile, in the convection zone, another rising section appears around x = 40 Mm (see the Vz map for 12.0 hr) and produces the secondary Ω-loop, which eventually appears as the bipole P2-N2 at the domain center between N1 and P1 (see panels for 32.0 hr). The spot area—measured as the total pixels with I/I⊙ < 0.9 in the smoothed intensity map—and the total unsigned flux attain their peak values of 8.0 × 1017 Mx, equivalent to 260 MSH (millions of the solar hemisphere), and 2.5 × 1022 Mx, respectively, at 40 hr.

Because the original horizontal tube was elevated at two segments, the legs of the two Ω-loops approach each other to form the spot pairs N1-P2 and N2-P1. As time progresses, each pair collides and eventually shares a common penumbra, building up a δ-spot (see panels for 42.3 hr). Within each δ-spot, elongated dark convection cells are trapped between the umbras of opposite polarities (δ-spot light bridge). In the accompanying animation, the spots show vigorous rotational motions in the counterclockwise direction, and the spots are connected below the photosphere by U-loops that are stretched down to about −40 Mm by strong downdrafts.

3.2. Sunspot Rotation

In order to examine the driving mechanism of the observed spot rotations, we focus our attention on N1 in Figure 2. The velocity vectors averaged over 8.3 hr in panel (a) demonstrate that the rotation is not the apparent effect but the actual plasma motion. One may find that the convection cells in the penumbra
and light bridge are stretched in the direction of the spot rotation. Panel (b) shows the temporal evolution of the spot structure along the circular slit around N1, which clearly shows an apparent counterclockwise motion with the angular velocity up to 15° hr⁻¹.

The azimuthal component of the temporally averaged plasma velocity along the slit is plotted in panel (c). The velocity ranges from almost 0 km s⁻¹ in the umbral regions to more than 5 km s⁻¹ in the penumbra and the light bridge. Overplotted are the azimuthal components of the Lorentz force ((∇ × B) × B/(4π)) and gas pressure gradient (−∇p) along the slit. In most of the regions, the Lorentz force dominates the pressure gradient, indicating that the main driving force of the spot rotation is the Lorentz force.
The above result is akin to those of the previous flux emergence simulations and is explained in the following manner (e.g., Longcope & Welsch 2000; Fan 2009; Sturrock et al. 2015). When an isolated flux tube with a left-handed twist emerges into the low-density atmosphere, it drastically expands and the field lines are therefore bent in a manner that the Lorentz force acts in the direction opposite to the original twist, i.e., counterclockwise. The observed counterclockwise spot rotations are a consequence of this Lorentz force, and the flux tube injects its helicity into the atmosphere through this process.

3.3. Magnetic Properties of the $\delta$-spot PIL

Figure 3 reveals the detailed magnetic and velocity structures of the PIL in the $\delta$-spot N2-P1. As in panel (a), the PIL shows an alternating pattern of elongated positive and negative polarities (magnetic channel). Panel (b) demonstrates that a strong counter-streaming flow of up to 10 km s$^{-1}$ occurs between the two rotating umbrae. The flow runs along the PIL and the horizontal field vectors are aligned with the flow vectors in most parts, constituting a highly sheared PIL.

Cross-sectional profiles in panels (c)–(h) reveal that the $\tau = 1$ surface is elevated in the PIL by a few 100 km. The vertical magnetic field inverts its sign across the PIL with a steep gradient of about 1 kG Mm$^{-1}$ on average. The horizontal magnetic field is strongly sheared and intensified by the horizontal flows, with the field strength being up to about 4 kG. One may find that the strong $B_z$ concentrations in the magnetic channel structure appear at the locations of steep velocity shear.

As shown above, the velocity shear of the counter-streaming flow, driven by the Lorentz force acting on each spot umbra (Section 3.2), intensifies the magnetic shear at the PIL. In the previous ideal $\delta$-spot model by Toriumi & Takasao (2017) that lacks thermal convection, the horizontal field at the PIL was in fact intensified, but only up to an equipartition field strength of about 1 kG. In the present model, on the contrary, the vigorous convection continues around the PIL ($\delta$-spot light bridge), which further strengthens the field to 4 kG.

The magnetic structure above the surface is presented in Figure 4. Above the PIL, the field lines are low-lying and highly sheared (yellow to red), which is covered by the less-sheared field lines that extend from the umbrae on both sides (aqua to blue). The overall field configuration takes the form of a twisted flux rope, which is often observed in the corona in the pre-eruption phase. The sheared field at the flux rope core is due to the advection by the sheared flows, whereas the overlying arcade is more potential because the umbral cores rotate less strongly (see Figures 2(a) and 3(b)).

4. Discussion

In this Letter, we reported on the spontaneous generation of $\delta$-spots, which are known to be flare-productive, by performing a realistic flux emergence simulation. Thanks to the deep enough computation domain realized by the state-of-the-art R2D2 code, we can assess the effects of radiative transfer and thermal convection on emerging flux. Although some physical quantities may not be directly compared with observations because of the numerical assumptions, the general properties revealed in this study lead to a better understanding of the genesis of flare-productive regions.

The initial force-free flux tube was pushed up by large-scale upflows at two separate portions as a pair of emerging bipoles. In the photosphere, umbrae of opposite polarities collided with each other and formed a pair of strongly packed $\delta$-spots because their legs were connected by deeply anchored U-loops. This result points to the possibility that the multi-buoyant segment scenario occurs on the actual Sun.

5. Needless to say, it is because we applied periodic side boundaries that we observed two $\delta$-spots.
One interesting hypothesis we can derive from our results is that the \( \delta \)-spot formation, and therefore the resultant \( \delta \)-flares eruptions, might be a probabilistically determined process depending on where a magnetic flux is located on the turbulent background. In fact, in some of the test cases where we only changed the location of the initial flux tube in the same background convection, we found that the tube appears as a single emerging bipole and never produces \( \delta \)-spots (H. Hotta & H. Iijima 2019, in preparation).
Another point to be discussed is the effect of deep convection structures. Helioseismology points out that convective patterns in deep layers. However, since the choice of the bottom boundary depth and thus the result may not differ much as far as we keep the domain that is deep enough to harbor supergranulation.

Each polarity of the generated δ-spots showed a strong rotation for hours, which was driven by the Lorentz force via unwinding of the tube’s twist. The observed angular speed of 15° hr⁻¹ is somewhat larger than the reported values (e.g., Min & Chae 2009). The rotation speed may depend on the tube’s initial twist, but the potential-field top boundary may allow for the rapid helicity release as well (Cheung et al. 2010).

The collision of two rotating spots of opposite polarity generated a counter-streaming flow at the PIL, which greatly enhanced the magnetic shear and horizontal field. The observed field strength of 4 kG was due to the velocity shear as well as the light bridge convection. A magnetic channel, some of which is suggested to be a flare-triggering field (e.g., Kusano et al. 2012), was created by the strong velocity shear, implying that small-scale local convection may play a crucial role in evoking flare eruptions (Toriumi et al. 2013).

Magnetocconvective property of a δ-spot light bridge is in many ways similar to that of a regular light bridge that separates the umbrae of the same polarity. Comparing the cross-sectional profiles in Figure 3 of this Letter and Figure 4 of Toriumi et al. (2015), one may find that in the regular bridge, the horizontal flow is dominant and the elevated iso-τ surfaces show a cusp structure that is sandwiched by the canopy field fanning out from the adjacent umbrae, whereas in the δ-spot bridge, a counter-streaming flow is confined by the low-lying sheared field that connects the neighboring umbrae.

The objective of this Letter is to reveal how the turbulent solar convection allows for the spontaneous formation of δ-spots, sheared PILs, magnetic channels, and twisted flux ropes, all of which are the key ingredients of flare-productive active regions. In forthcoming papers, we intend to perform detailed analyses on the generation of sunspots, strong PIL horizontal fields, and coronal response to the δ-spot formation.

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for improving the manuscript. The results were obtained using the K computer at the RIKEN (proposal Nos. hp190070, hp180215, hp180042, and ra000008). This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP15H05814 (PI: K. Ichimoto) and JP18H04436 (PI: H. Hotta), by the NINS program for cross-disciplinary study (grant Nos. O1321802 and 01311904) on Turbulence, Transport, and Heating Dynamics in Laboratory and Astrophysical Plasmas: “SoLaBo-X,” and by MEXT as Exploratory Challenge on Post-K Computer (Elucidation of the Birth of Exoplanets [Second Earth] and the Environmental Variations of Planets in the Solar System).

Facility: K computer.

Software: R2D2 (Hotta et al. 2019).

ORCID iDs
Shin Toriumi https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1276-2403
Hideyuki Hotta https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6312-7944

References
Brown, D. S., Nightingale, R. W., Alexander, D., et al. 2003, SoPh, 216, 79
Cheung, M. C. M., Rempel, M., Chintzoglou, G., et al. 2019, NatAs, 3, 160
Cheung, M. C. M., Rempel, M., Title, A. M., & Schüssler, M. 2010, ApJ, 720, 233
Fan, Y. 2009, ApJ, 697, 1529
Fan, Y., Abbett, W. P., & Fisher, G. H. 2003, ApJ, 582, 1206
Fan, Y., Zweibel, E. G., Linton, M. G., & Fisher, G. H. 1998, ApJL, 505, L59
Fang, F., & Fan, Y. 2015, ApJ, 806, 79
Gibson, S. E., Fan, Y., Török, T., & Kliem, B. 2006, SSRv, 124, 131
Hagyard, M. J., Smith, J. B. J., Teuber, D., & West, E. A. 1984, SoPh, 91, 115
Hanasoge, S. M., Duvall, T. L., & Sreenivasan, K. R. 2012, PNAS, 109, 11928
Harvey, K. L., & Harvey, J. W. 1976, SoPh, 47, 233
Hotta, H., Iijima, H., & Kusano, K. 2019, SciA, 5, 2307
Hotta, H., Rempel, M., & Yokoyama, T. 2015, ApJ, 798, 51
Hotta, H., Rempel, M., Yokoyama, T., Iida, Y., & Fan, Y. 2012, A&A, 539, A30
Iijima, H., Hotta, H., & Imada, S. 2019, A&A, 622, A157

The Astrophysical Journal Letters, 886:L21 (7pp), 2019 November 20
Toriumi & Hotta
