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Abstract

Let \((M, g)\) be a four dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with boundary and \((N, h)\) be a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary. We show the existence of a unique, global weak solution of the heat flow of extrinsic biharmonic maps from \(M\) to \(N\) under the Dirichlet boundary condition, which is regular with the exception of at most finitely many time slices. We also discuss the behavior of solution near the singular times. As an immediate application, we prove the existence of a smooth extrinsic biharmonic map from \(M\) to \(N\) under any Dirichlet boundary condition.

1 Introduction

Let \((M, g)\) be a Riemannian manifold with or without boundary and \((N, h)\) a Riemannian manifold without boundary and isometrically embedded in \(\mathbb{R}^L\). For a nonnegative integer \(l\) and \(1 \leq p < \infty\), the Sobolev space \(W^{l,p}(M, N)\) and Hölder space \(C^{l+\alpha}(0 < \alpha < 1)\) are defined by:

\[
W^{l,p}(M, N) := \left\{ u \in W^{l,p}(M, \mathbb{R}^L) \mid u(x) \in N \text{ for a.e. } x \in M \right\},
\]

\[
C^{l+\alpha}(M, N) := \left\{ u \in C^{l,\alpha}(M, \mathbb{R}^L) \mid u(x) \in N \forall x \in M \right\}.
\]

On \(W^{2,2}(M, N)\), there are two natural second order energy functionals defined by

\[
F_2(u) = \int_M |\Delta u|^2 dv_g, \quad E_2(u) = \int_M |\tau(u)|^2 dv_g,
\]

where \(\Delta\) is the Laplace-Beltrami operator of \((M, g)\),

\[
\tau(u) = \Delta u + A(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u)
\]

is the tension field of \(u\), and \(A(\cdot)(\cdot, \cdot)\) is the second fundamental form of \((N, h)\) in \(\mathbb{R}^L\).

A map is called an extrinsic (or intrinsic, resp.) biharmonic map if \(u\) is a critical point of \(F_2\) (or \(E_2\), resp.). The Euler-Lagrange equation for \(F_2\) is (cf. \[3\] [11] [15] [24] [25] [26])

\[
\Delta^2 u = - \sum_{i=n+1}^{L} \left( \Delta(\nabla u, (\nu_i \circ u)\nabla u) + \nabla \cdot (\Delta u, (\nu_i \circ u)\nabla u) + (\nabla \Delta u, (\nu_i \circ u)\nabla u)\right) \nu_i \circ u
\]

\[
:= -f(u), \tag{1.1}
\]
where $\{v_i\}_{i=n+1}^d$ is a smooth local orthonormal frame field of the normal space of $N$. It is easy to see that

$$|f(u)| \leq C(|\nabla^3 u| |\nabla u| + |\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla u|^4). \quad (1.2)$$

Regularity issues for extrinsic biharmonic maps in dimensions $\geq 4$ were first studied by Chang etc. in [3], and for intrinsic biharmonic maps in dimension 4 by Ku [8] and alternative proofs by Wang [23] and Strzelecki [22] when the target manifold are the standard spheres $S^n$. Wang extended the regularity result by [3] on biharmonic maps for general targets manifolds $N$ in [24, 25], where he used a Coulomb gauge frame and Riesz potentials or Lorentz space estimates to prove that every weakly biharmonic map from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to $N$ is smooth and every stationary biharmonic map from $\mathbb{R}^m (m \geq 5)$ to $N$ satisfies $\dim S \leq m - 4$, i.e., the Hausdorff dimension of singular set is at most $m - 4$. Wang’s partial regularity result was reproved by Lamm and Rivière [12] and Struwe [21] extending the lower order gauge theory technique developed in [16, 17]. See also Scheven [18] for partial regularity result for minimizing extrinsic biharmonic maps and Breiner and Lamm [2] for recent development and references therein.

The negative gradient flow for extrinsic biharmonic maps from a closed manifold (compact without boundary) was first studied by Lamm [10], where he proved the long time existence of global smooth solution when either the dimension of $M$ is at most 3 or under a small initial energy condition in dimension 4. In general, a finite time singularity may develop in dimension 4 [3, 13]. Motivated by the heat flow of harmonic maps from surfaces by Struwe [20], it is natural to consider whether an extrinsic biharmonic map heat flow in dimension 4 has a global weak solution, which is regular outside at most finite many singularities. In this direction Gastel [5] and Wang [26] independently established a global weak solution for extrinsic biharmonic map heat flow in dimension 4, which is singular at most at finite time slices, but the problem of at most finite many singularities remains open (cf. Remark 1.2 of [26]).

In this paper we will study the extrinsic biharmonic map heat flow from a 4-dimensional compact manifold with boundary, i.e., we consider a solution $u \in C^{4+\alpha}(M \times (0,T), N)$ of

$$\partial_t u + \Delta^2 u = -f(u) \quad (1.3)$$

$$u(\cdot,0) = u_0, \quad (1.4)$$

$$u|_{\partial M} = g, \quad (1.5)$$

$$\partial_n u|_{\partial M} = h, \quad (1.6)$$

where $u_0 \in W^{2,2}(M,N)$, $g \in C^{4+\alpha}(\partial M, N)$, and $h \in C^{3+\alpha}(\partial M, T_N N)$.

For $x_0 \in \overline{M}$, let $B_R^M(x_0)$ denote the closed geodesic ball in $\overline{M}$ with center $x_0$ and radius $R > 0$, and set

$$E(u(t); B_R^M(x_0)) := \int_{B_R^M(x_0)} |\nabla^2 u(t)|^2 dx + \left( \int_{B_R^M(x_0)} |\nabla u(t)|^4 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

for $0 < R < \frac{1}{4} \text{inj}_M$, here $\text{inj}_M$ denotes the injectivity radius of $M$.

The main result of this work is:

**Theorem 1.1.** For $\dim M = 4$, given any maps $u_0 \in W^{2,2}(M,N), g \in C^{4+\alpha}(\partial M, N)$, and $h \in C^{3+\alpha}(\partial M, T_N N)$, there exists a unique global weak solution $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, W^{2,2}(M,N))$ of (1.3)–(1.6), with $u_t \in L^2(M \times \mathbb{R}_+, N)$, satisfying:

1. For any $0 < T < \infty$,

$$2\int_0^T \int_M |u_t|^2 dv_g dt + F_2(u(T)) \leq F_2(u_0), \quad (1.7)$$

2. \(\int_0^\infty \int_{\partial M} |\nabla u|^2 \nu_g dt + F_2(u(T)) \leq F_2(u_0), \quad (1.8)\)
and $F_2(u(\cdot, t))$ is monotonically non-increasing with respect to $t \geq 0$.

(2) There exist a positive integer $K$ depending only on $u_0, g, h, M, N$, and $0 < T_1 < \cdots < T_K \leq \infty$, which is characterized by the condition

$$\limsup_{t \uparrow T_k} \max_{x \in \overline{M}} E(u(t); B^M_R(x)) > \epsilon_1 \quad \text{for all} \quad R > 0,$$

where $\epsilon_1 > 0$ is the constant given by Theorem 3.6 below, such that $u \in C^{4+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\text{loc}}(M \times (\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^K \{T_k\}), N)$.

(3) For each $k \in \{1, \cdots, K\}$, there exist sequences $t_i^k \uparrow T_k, x_i^k \to x_k^0 \in \overline{M}$, and $r_i^k \to 0$ such that

(i) if $x_k^0 \in M$, there exists a non-constant biharmonic map $\omega^k \in C^\infty \cap W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4, N)$ such that

$$u_i^k(x) = u(x_i^k + r_i^k x, t_i) \to \omega^k \text{ in } C^{4}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^4).$$

(ii) if $x_k^0 \in \partial M$ and if $\limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{\text{dist}(x_i^k, \partial M)}{r_i^k} \to \infty$, then statement (i) holds. If there exists $0 \leq a < +\infty$ such that $\limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{\text{dist}(x_i^k, \partial M)}{r_i^k} = a$, then there exists a non-constant biharmonic map $\omega^k \in C^\infty \cap W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^4, N)$, with $\omega = \text{constant}, \partial_\omega \omega = 0$ on $\partial \mathbb{R}^4_a$, such that

$$u_i^k(x) = u(x_i^k + r_i^k x, t_i) \to \omega^k \text{ in } C^{4}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^4_a),$$

where $\mathbb{R}^4_a := \{(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid x^4 \geq -a\}$ and $\mathbb{R}^4_a^+ := \{(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid x^4 > -a\}$.

As an application of the heat flow of biharmonic maps, we obtain the following existence result.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $u$ be the global solution of (1.3)-(1.6) obtained by Theorem 1.1. Then there exists $t_i \uparrow \infty$ such that $u(\cdot, t_i)$ converges weakly in $W^{2,2}(M)$ to a biharmonic map $u_\infty \in C^{4+\alpha}(\overline{M}, N)$ with boundary data $u_\infty|_{\partial M} = g$ and $\partial_t u_\infty|_{\partial M} = h$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we prove a small energy regularity result for biharmonic maps, a main tool in our a priori estimates, and as a corollary, we obtain a gap theorem for biharmonic map under the Dirichlet boundary condition. At the end of this section, we prove several interpolation inequalities which will be used frequently in the subsequent sections. In section 3, we give a priori estimates for the heat flow and the uniform local $W^{4,2}$ estimates in time under the assumption of small energy on a ball. In section 4, we prove the main theorems, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

Throughout this paper, the letter $C$ denotes a positive constant that depends only on $M, N, u_0, g$, whose values may vary from lines to lines. If it depends on some other quantity, then we will point it out. For example, $C(R)$ is a positive constant depends on $R$.

**Additional Notations.** For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ and $0 \leq s < t \leq \infty$, denote $\Omega^s = \Omega \times [s, t], M^s = M \times [s, t], \text{and } M^T = M \times [0, T]$. Also denote the standard Sobolev and Hölder spaces by $W^{m,n}_p(M^T)$ and $C^{m+\alpha, n+\beta}(M^T)$.

We denote $B_R$ (or $B_R(0)$) as the standard ball in $\mathbb{R}^4$ with radius $R$ and center 0. Denote $x' = (x^1, x^2, x^3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$B^+_R := \{(x', x^4) \mid |x'|^2 + |x^4|^2 \leq R^2, x^4 \geq 0\} \quad \text{and } \partial^0 B^+_R := \{(x', x^4) \mid |x'|^2 + |x^4|^2 \leq R^2, x^4 = 0\}.$$
where $f$ is defined in \([1,1]\). Then there exists a constant $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that if $E(u;B_1) \leq \varepsilon_1$, then
\[
\|u - \bar{u}\|_{W^{4,p}(B_{1/2})} \leq C(p,N) \left( \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2(B_1)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4(B_1)} + \|\tau_2(u)\|_{L^p(B_1)} \right),
\]
where $\bar{u} = \frac{1}{|B_1|} \int_{B_1} u \, dx$ is the mean value of $u$ over the unit ball.

(ii) If $u \in W^{4,p}(B_1^+), p > 1$, is an approximated biharmonic map with tension field $\tau_2(u) \in L^p(B_1^+)$ and the Dirichlet boundary value
\[
u|_{\partial^0 B_1^+} = g \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}|_{\partial^0 B_1^+} = h,
\]
where $g \in C^4(\partial^0 B_1^+), h \in C^3(\partial^0 B_1^+)$ and $\bar{n}$ is the outward unit normal vector of $\partial^0 B_1^+$. Then there exists a constant $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that if $E(u;B_1^+) \leq \varepsilon_1$, then
\[
\|u - \bar{u}\|_{W^{4,p}(B_{1/2}^+)} \leq C(p,N) \left( \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2(B_1^+)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4(B_1^+)} + \|\tau_2(u)\|_{L^p(B_1^+)} + \|g\|_{W^{4,2}(\partial^0 B_1^+)} + \|h\|_{W^{3,2}(\partial^0 B_1^+)} \right),
\]
where $\bar{u} := \frac{1}{|\partial^0 B_{1/2}^+|} \int_{\partial^0 B_{1/2}^+} u$ is the mean value of $u$ over the boundary $\partial^0 B_1^+$.

Proof. Here we use the idea of [14] to give the proof of boundary estimate stated in (ii), and leave the interior estimate in (i) for interested readers since it is similar to (ii) and easier to obtain.

For convenience, assume $\bar{u} = 0$. Since $u$ satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation:
\[
\Delta^2 u = \nabla^4 u + \nabla^2 u + \nabla^2 u + \nabla u + \nabla u + \nabla u + \tau_2(u).
\]
Here $\#$ denotes some 'product' for which we are only interested in the properties such as
\[
|a\#b| \leq C|a||b|.
\]
For $0 < \sigma < 1$ and $\sigma' = \frac{1 + \sigma}{1 - \sigma}$, let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B_{\sigma})$ be a cut-off function, satisfying $\varphi \equiv 1$ in $B^+_\sigma$ and $|\nabla^j \varphi| \leq \frac{4}{(1 - \sigma)^j}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Direct computations show that

\[
\Delta^2(\varphi u) = \Delta(\varphi \Delta u + 2\nabla u \nabla \varphi + u \Delta \varphi) = \varphi \Delta^2 u + 4\nabla \Delta u \nabla \varphi + 2\Delta u \Delta \varphi + 4\nabla^2 u \nabla^2 \varphi + 4\nabla u \nabla \Delta \varphi + u \Delta^2 \varphi
\]

\[
= (\nabla^3 u \# \nabla u + \nabla^2 u \# \nabla^2 u + \nabla^2 u \# \nabla u + \nabla u \# \nabla \nabla u + \Delta u \nabla \nabla u + \tau_2(u)) \varphi
\]

\[
+ \nabla^3 u \# \nabla \varphi + \nabla^2 u \# \nabla^2 \varphi + \nabla u \# \nabla^3 \varphi + u \nabla^4 \varphi
\]

\[
= (\nabla^3 (\varphi u) \# \nabla u + \nabla^2 (\varphi u) \# \nabla^2 u + \nabla^2 u \# \nabla (\varphi u) + \nabla u \# \nabla \nabla u \nabla (\varphi u))
\]

\[
+ \nabla^3 u \# \nabla \varphi + \nabla^2 u \# \nabla^2 \varphi + \nabla u \# \nabla^3 \varphi + u \nabla^4 \varphi + \nabla^2 \nabla \# \nabla \varphi + \nabla^2 \nabla \# \nabla \varphi + \nabla u \# \nabla \varphi + \nabla^2 \nabla \# \nabla \varphi + \nabla \nabla \# \nabla \varphi + \varphi \tau_2(u).
\]

Assume first that $1 < p < \frac{4}{3}$. Observe that

\[
\varphi u = \varphi g, \quad \frac{\partial(\varphi u)}{\partial n} = \varphi h + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} g \quad \text{on} \quad \partial^0 B^+_1.
\]

By the standard $L^p$ theory (cf. [7]), we have

\[
\|\nabla^4 (\varphi u)\|_{L^p(B^+_1)} \leq \sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq 1} (1 - \sigma)^j \|\nabla^j u\|_{L^p(B^+_1)}
\]

\[
+ C \left( \left( \left( \frac{1}{1 - \sigma} \right)^2 \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^p(B^+_1)} + \frac{1}{(1 - \sigma)^2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^p(B^+_1)} + \frac{1}{(1 - \sigma)^3} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B^+_1)} \right) \right)
\]

\[
+ \|\nabla u\# \nabla \nabla u\|_{L^p(B^+_1)} + \|\varphi \tau_2(u)\|_{L^p(B^+_1)}
\]

\[
+ \left\| \frac{\varphi}{\partial n} \right\|_{W^{3,p}(\partial^0 B^+_1)} + \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} \right\|_{W^{3,p}(\partial^0 B^+_1)} g \}
\]

By the Sobolev embedding, if $\epsilon_1$ is chosen to be sufficiently small, then we get

\[
\|\nabla^4 (\varphi u)\|_{L^p(B^+_1)} \leq \sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq 1} \left( \left( \left( \frac{1}{1 - \sigma} \right)^2 \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^p(B^+_1)} + \frac{1}{(1 - \sigma)^2} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^p(B^+_1)} + \frac{1}{(1 - \sigma)^3} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B^+_1)} \right) \right)
\]

\[
+ \left\| \frac{\varphi}{\partial n} \right\|_{W^{3,p}(\partial^0 B^+_1)} + \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} \right\|_{W^{3,p}(\partial^0 B^+_1)} g \}
\]

Setting

\[
\Psi_j(p) = \sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq 1} (1 - \sigma)^j \|\nabla^j u\|_{L^p(B^+_1)},
\]
and noticing that $1 - \sigma = 2(1 - \sigma')$, $1 < p < \frac{4}{3}$, we have

$$
\Psi_4(p)
\leq C \left( \sum_{j=0}^{3} \Psi_j(p) + \|\nabla^2 u \# \nabla u\|_{L^p(B^+_1)} + \|\nabla u \# \nabla u\|_{L^p(B^+_1)} \\
+ \|\nabla u \# \nabla u\|_{L^p(B^+_1)} + \|\varphi \tau_2(u)\|_{L^p(B^+_1)} \\
+ \sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq 1} (1 - \sigma)^4 [\|\varphi g\|_{W^{4,p}(\partial^\sigma B^+_1)} + \|\varphi h\|_{W^{3,p}(\partial^\sigma B^+_1)} + \|\frac{\partial^2}{\partial n} g\|_{W^{3,p}(\partial^\sigma B^+_1)}] \right)
\leq C \left( \sum_{j=1}^{3} \Psi_j(p) + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2(B^+_1)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4(B^+_1)} + \|\tau_2(u)\|_{L^p(B^+_1)} \\
+ \|g\|_{W^{4,p}(\partial^\sigma B^+_1)} + \|h\|_{W^{3,p}(\partial^\sigma B^+_1)} \right).
$$

Using the interpolation inequality (see [14])

$$
\Psi_j(p) \leq \epsilon^{-j} \Psi_4(p) + C \epsilon^{-j} \Psi_0(p), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad \epsilon > 0,
$$

we get, by choosing sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$,

$$
\Psi_4(p) \leq C \left( \Psi_0(p) + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2(B^+_1)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4(B^+_1)} + \|\tau_2(u)\|_{L^p(B^+_1)} \\
+ \|g\|_{W^{4,2}(\partial^\sigma B^+_1)} + \|h\|_{W^{3,2}(\partial^\sigma B^+_1)} \right)
\leq C \left( \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2(B^+_1)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4(B^+_1)} + \|\tau_2(u)\|_{L^p(B^+_1)} \\
+ \|g\|_{W^{4,2}(\partial^\sigma B^+_1)} + \|h\|_{W^{3,2}(\partial^\sigma B^+_1)} \right),
$$

(2.1)

where we have used the Poincaré inequality in the last step.

If $p \geq \frac{4}{3}$, we start by applying (2.1) with $p = \frac{16}{13}$ so that

$$
\|u\|_{W^{4,\frac{16}{13}}(B^+_{\frac{7}{13}})} \leq C \left( \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2(B^+_1)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4(B^+_1)} + \|\tau_2(u)\|_{L^p(B^+_1)} \\
+ \|g\|_{W^{4,2}(\partial^\sigma B^+_1)} + \|h\|_{W^{3,2}(\partial^\sigma B^+_1)} \right).
$$

This, combined with the Sobolev embedding theorem, implies that

$$
\|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^\frac{16}{9}(B^+_{\frac{7}{13}})} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^\frac{16}{9}(B^+_{\frac{7}{13}})} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^{16}(B^+_{\frac{7}{13}})} \\
\leq C \left( \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2(B^+_1)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^4(B^+_1)} + \|\tau_2(u)\|_{L^p(B^+_1)} + \|g\|_{W^{4,2}(\partial^\sigma B^+_1)} + \|h\|_{W^{3,2}(\partial^\sigma B^+_1)} \right).
$$

With this estimate, we can bound the $L^{\min\{\frac{2}{3}, p\}}$-norm of the right hand side of the Euler-Lagrange equation of $u$. The interior $L^p$-estimate together (2.1) show that $u$ is bounded in $W^{4,\min\{\frac{2}{3}, p\}}(B^+_{\frac{3}{4}})$. The lemma can be finally proved by applying the standard bootstrapping method. \qed

As a direct corollary of the above theorem, we can get the following gap theorem.

**Theorem 2.2** (Gap-phenomena). Suppose either $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, N)$ is a biharmonic map or $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4_+, N)$ is a biharmonic map with the Dirichlet boundary condition:

$$
u\mid_{\partial \mathbb{R}^4_+} = \text{constant} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\mid_{\partial \mathbb{R}^4_+} = 0.
$$
Then there exists a universal constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that if either
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |\Delta u|^2 \, dx \leq \epsilon_0^2 \quad \text{or} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^4} |\Delta u|^2 \, dx \leq \epsilon_0^2, \]
then $u$ is a constant map.

Proof. For simplicity, we only prove the upper half space case, since the proof of $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, N)$ is similar. By Poincaré’s inequality and integration by parts, we have that for any $R > 0$, it holds
\[ \frac{1}{4R^2} \int_{B_{2R}^+} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \leq C \int_{B_{2R}^+} |\nabla^2 u|^2 \, dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^4} |\nabla^2 u|^2 \, dx = C \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^4} |\Delta u|^2 \, dx \leq C \epsilon_0^2. \]

Hence, by the standard elliptic estimates and Sobolev’s embedding, we have
\[ \int_{B_R^+} |\nabla^2 u|^2 \, dx + \left( \int_{B_R^+} |\nabla u|^4 \, dx \right) \frac{1}{2} \leq C \left[ \int_{B_{2R}^+} |\Delta u|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{B_{2R}^+} |\nabla^2 u|^2 \, dx \right] \leq C \epsilon_0^2. \]

Choosing $\epsilon_0 << \epsilon_1$ and applying both Theorem 2.1 and the Sobolev embedding, we have that for any $R > 0$, there holds
\[ R \|
abla u\|_{L^\infty(B_R^+)} \leq C (\|
abla^2 u\|_{L^2(B_{2R}^+)} + \|
abla u\|_{L^4(B_{2R}^+)}) \leq C. \]
Sending $R$ to infinity yields that $u$ is a constant map. \qed

In the following we will prove several interpolation type inequalities, which will be used through the remaining sections.

Lemma 2.3. For any $u \in W^{4,2}(M, N)$, we have
\[ \int_{B_R^M} |\nabla^3 u|^2 \, dx \leq CR^2 \int_{B_R^M} |\nabla^4 u|^2 \, dx + \frac{C}{R^2} \int_{B_R^M} |\nabla^2 u|^2 \, dx, \tag{2.2} \]
\[ \left( \int_{B_R^M} |\nabla^3 u|^4 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \left( \int_{B_R^M} |\nabla^4 u|^2 \, dx + \frac{C}{R^4} \int_{B_R^M} |\nabla^2 u|^2 \, dx \right), \tag{2.3} \]
\[ \int_{B_R^M} |\nabla^2 u|^4 \, dx \leq C \int_{B_R^M} |\nabla^2 u|^2 \, dx \left( \int_{B_R^M} |\nabla^4 u|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{R^4} \int_{B_R^M} |\nabla^2 u|^2 \, dx \right), \tag{2.4} \]
\[ \int_{B_R^M} |\nabla u|^8 \, dx \leq C \int_{B_R^M} |\nabla^4 u|^4 \, dx \int_{B_R^M} |\nabla^2 u|^2 \, dx \left( \int_{B_R^M} |\nabla^4 u|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{R^4} \int_{B_R^M} |\nabla^2 u|^2 \, dx \right) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{R^4} \int_{B_R^M} |\nabla u|^4 \, dx \]. \tag{2.5} \]

Proof. (2.2) is a standard interpolation inequality (cf. [6], page 173). By the Sobolev embedding $W^{1,2} \hookrightarrow L^4$ on $B_R^M$ we get
\[ \left( \int_{B_R^M} |\nabla^3 u|^4 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \left( \int_{B_R^M} |\nabla^4 u|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{B_R^M} |\nabla^3 u|^2 \, dx \right), \]
Lemma 3.1. Let $F$ be monotonicity of the energy $F_2(u)$ and small energy regularity theorem of parabolic case, which will be needed in the next section for the existence result.

From now on, we will use $\eta$ as a smooth cut off function satisfying the following properties:

$$
\eta \in C^\infty(M), \ 0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \ \eta \equiv 1 \text{ on } B_R^M(x_0), \ \eta \equiv 0 \text{ on } M \setminus B_{2R}^M(x_0),
$$

$$
\|\nabla^j \eta\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{C}{R^j} \quad (j = 1, 2),
$$

(3.1)

where $x_0 \in M$ and $0 < R < \frac{1}{4}\text{inj}_M$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $u \in V(M^T)$ be a solution of (1.3)-(1.6). Then for all $t \in [0, T)$, we have

$$
F_2(u(t)) + 2 \int_{M^t} |\partial_t u|^2 \, dv_g dt = F_2(u_0),
$$

(3.2)

$$
\int_M |
abla^2 u|^2 \, dv_g + \left( \int_M |\nabla u|^4 \, dv_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(F_2(u_0) + \|g\|^2_{L^{2,2}(M,N)}).
$$

(3.3)

Moreover, $F_2(u(t))$ is absolutely continuous in $[0, T)$ and monotonically non-increasing.
Proof. Multiplying the equation (1.3) by $\partial_t u$ and integrating by parts, we have

$$0 = \int_{M^t} |\partial_t u|^2 \, dv_g dt + \int_{M^t} \Delta^2 u \partial_t u \, dv_g dt$$

$$= \int_{M^t} |\partial_t u|^2 \, dv_g dt + \int_{M^t} \Delta u \partial_t \Delta u \, dv_g dt + \int_0^t \int_{\partial M} \partial_t \Delta u \partial_t u - \int_0^t \int_{\partial M} \Delta u \partial_t \partial_t u$$

$$= \int_{M^t} |\partial_t u|^2 \, dv_g dt + \int_{M^t} \partial_t \left( \frac{1}{2} |\Delta u|^2 \right) \, dv_g dt,$$

where we used $\partial_t u|_{\partial M} = \partial_t \partial_t u|_{\partial M} = 0$. Hence (3.2) follows immediately. Moreover, it is easy to see $F_2(u(t))$ is absolutely continuous in $[0, T]$ and monotonically non-increasing.

For (3.3), we first use the $L^2$-estimate for the Laplace operator $\Delta$ to get

$$\int_M |\nabla^2 u|^2 \, dv_g \leq C (F_2(u(t)) + \|g\|^2_{W^{2,2}(M,N)}) \leq C (F_2(u_0) + \|g\|^2_{W^{2,2}(M,N)}).$$

Then, by Sobolev’s inequality we have

$$\int_M |\nabla (u - g)|^2 \, dv_g \leq C \int_M |\nabla^2 (u - g)|^2 \, dv_g,$$

and hence

$$\int_M |\nabla u|^2 \, dv_g \leq C (\int_M |\nabla^2 u|^2 \, dv_g + \|g\|^2_{W^{2,2}(M,N)}).$$

Observe that (3.3) is a consequence of the following Sobolev inequality

$$(\int_M |\nabla u|^4 \, dv_g)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C (\int_M |\nabla^2 u|^2 \, dv_g + \int_M |\nabla u|^2 \, dv_g).$$

This completes the proof. □

With the help of Theorem 2.1 we have

**Lemma 3.2.** There exists $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that if $u \in V(M^T)$ is a solution of (1.3) - (1.6) satisfying $E(u(t); B^M_{2R}(x_0)) \leq \epsilon_1$ for some $R > 0$, then we have

$$\int_{B^M_{R^k}(x_0)} |\nabla^4 u|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{B^M_{R^k}(x_0)} |\nabla^3 u|^2 \, dx \leq C \int_{B^M_{2R^k}(x_0)} |\partial_t u|^2 \, dx + \frac{C}{R^4}. \quad (3.4)$$

**Proof.** Since $u$ satisfies (1.3), we have that (i) if $B^M_{2R^k}(x_0) \cap \partial M = \emptyset$, then by taking $\tau_2(u) = \partial_t u$ in Theorem 2.1 (i) and applying a standard scaling argument, we have

$$\int_{B^M_{R^k}(x_0)} |\nabla^4 u|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{B^M_{R^k}(x_0)} |\nabla^3 u|^2 \, dx \leq C \int_{B^M_{2R^k}(x_0)} |\partial_t u|^2 \, dx + \frac{CE(u(t); B^M_{2R^k}(x_0))}{R^4}$$

$$\leq C \int_{B^M_{2R^k}(x_0)} |\partial_t u|^2 \, dx + \frac{C}{R^4},$$

where we used the scaling argument, we have

$$\int_{B^M_{R^k}(x_0)} |\nabla^4 u|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{B^M_{R^k}(x_0)} |\nabla^3 u|^2 \, dx \leq C \int_{B^M_{2R^k}(x_0)} |\partial_t u|^2 \, dx + \frac{C}{R^4},$$

$$\leq C \int_{B^M_{2R^k}(x_0)} |\partial_t u|^2 \, dx + \frac{C}{R^4},$$
Then we have for all \( M \) and then we can apply both \( \partial^0 B_{2R}^M(x_0) = \partial B_{2R}^M(x_0) \cap \partial M \). Hence the conclusion of the lemma follows.

From Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we can easily obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let \( u \in V(M^T) \) be a solution of \((1.3) - (1.6)\). Assume that there exists \( R > 0 \) such that

\[
\sup_{0 \leq t < T} E(u(t); B_{2R}^M(x_0)) \leq \epsilon_1.
\]

Then we have for all \( t \in [0, T) \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{(B_{2R}^M(x_0))^t} |\nabla^3 u|^2 dx &\leq C + \frac{ct}{R^2}, \\
\int_{(B_{2R}^M(x_0))^t} |\nabla^4 u|^2 dx &\leq C + \frac{ct}{R}. 
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** Integrating \((3.4)\) from 0 to \( t \) and applying Lemma 3.1 yields \((3.5)\) and \((3.6)\).

In the next step we derive an \( L^2 \)-estimate for \( \partial_t u \), which in turn yields an \( L^2 \)-estimate for \( \nabla^4 u \), and then we can apply both \( L^2 \) and Schauder estimates to achieve the desired \( C^4 \) estimates.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let \( u \in V(M^T) \cap_{\sigma > 0} C^4(M^T_\sigma; N) \) be a solution of \((1.3) - (1.6)\). Assume that there exists \( R > 0 \) such that

\[
\sup_{0 \leq t < T} E(u(t); B_{4R}^M(x_0)) \leq \epsilon_1.
\]

Then there exists \( 0 < \delta < \min\{T, CR^4\} \) such that for all \( s, t \in (0, T) \) with \( s < t \) and \( |t - s| < \delta \), we have

\[
\sup_{s \leq t' \leq t} \int_M \eta^4 |\partial_t u(\cdot, t')|^2 dx \leq C \int_M \eta^4 |\partial_t u(\cdot, s)|^2 dx + \frac{C}{R^4},
\]

where \( \eta \) is a cut off function, with support in \( B_{2R}(x_0) \), defined as in \((3.1)\).

**Proof.** Differentiating equation \((1.3)\) with respect to \( t \), multiplying the resulting equation with \( \eta^4 \partial_t u \), and integrating over \( M \) and applying integration by parts, we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{M^T_\sigma} \eta^4 |\partial_t u|^2 &+ \int_{M^T_\sigma} \eta^4 |\Delta \partial_t u|^2 + 2 \int_{M^T_\sigma} \nabla \eta^4 \nabla \partial_t u \Delta \partial_t u + \int_{M^T_\sigma} \Delta \eta^4 \partial_t u \Delta \partial_t u \\
&\leq C \int_{M^T_\sigma} \eta^4 (|\nabla^4 u| |\nabla u| |\partial_t u|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|^2 |\partial_t u|^2 + |\nabla u|^4 |\partial_t u|^2) \\
&:= I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
\end{align*}
\]

\[(3.8)\]
Therefore we obtain

\[ \sup_{s \leq t' \leq t} \int_M \eta^4 |\partial_t u(\cdot, t')|^2 = \int_M \eta^4 |\partial_t u(\cdot, t)|^2. \]

Without loss of generality, we may assume that

\[ \|\partial_t u\|_{L^4(M)} \leq C \eta \|\eta \|_{L^2(M)} \leq \left( \int_M |\nabla \eta|^2 |\partial_t u|^2 + \eta^4 |\nabla \partial_t u|^2 \right) \left( \int_M |\nabla^4 u|^2 + \frac{1}{R^2} |\nabla^3 u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

Let’s first estimate \( I_1 \). With the help of Hölder’s inequality and the Sobolev embedding \( W^{1,2}(M) \hookrightarrow L^4(M) \), we get

\[ I_1 \leq C \frac{1}{1} \int_s^t \left( \int_{B_{2R}^M(x_0)} |\nabla u|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_M \eta^8 |\partial_t u|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B_{2R}^M(x_0)} |\nabla \Delta u|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

\[ \leq C \frac{1}{1} \int_s^t \left( \int_M \eta^4 |\partial_t u|^2 + |\nabla \eta|^2 \eta^2 |\partial_t u|^2 + \eta^4 |\nabla \partial_t u|^2 \right) \left[ \int_{B_{2R}^M(x_0)} |\nabla^4 u|^2 + \frac{1}{R^2} |\nabla^3 u|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

Since \( \partial_t u|_{\partial M} = 0 \), by integration by part we get

\[ \int_M \eta^4 |\nabla \partial_t u|^2 = - \int_M \Delta \partial_t u \partial_t u \eta^4 + 4 \nabla \partial_t u \partial_t u (\eta^3 \nabla \eta) \]

\[ \leq \int_M |\Delta \partial_t u \partial_t u \eta^4| + \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_M \eta^4 |\nabla \partial_t u|^2 \right) \int_M \eta^2 |\nabla \eta|^2 |\partial_t u|^2. \]

Thus we have

\[ \int_M \eta^4 |\nabla \partial_t u|^2 \leq C \int_M |\Delta \partial_t u \partial_t u \eta^4| + C \int_M \eta^2 |\nabla \eta|^2 |\partial_t u|^2. \]

Therefore we obtain

\[ I_1 \leq C \frac{1}{1} \int_s^t \left( \int_M |\Delta \partial_t u \partial_t u \eta^4| + \eta^4 |\partial_t u|^2 + |\nabla \eta|^2 \eta^2 |\partial_t u|^2 \right) \left( \int_{B_{2R}^M} |\nabla^4 u|^2 + \frac{1}{R^2} |\nabla^3 u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

\[ \leq C \frac{1}{1} \int_s^t \left( \int_M \eta^4 |\Delta \partial_t u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_M \eta^4 |\partial_t u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_M \eta^4 |\partial_t u|^2 + \int_M |\nabla \eta|^2 \eta^2 |\partial_t u|^2 \right) \]

\[ \times \left( \int_{B_{2R}^M} |\nabla^4 u|^2 + \frac{1}{R^2} |\nabla^3 u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

\[ \leq C \frac{1}{1} \int_s^t \left( \int_M \eta^4 |\Delta \partial_t u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_M \eta^4 |\partial_t u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_M \eta^4 |\partial_t u|^2 \right) \]

\[ + \left( \int_M \eta^4 |\partial_t u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_M |\nabla \eta|^2 \eta^2 |\partial_t u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B_{2R}^M} |\nabla^4 u|^2 + \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{B_{2R}^M} |\nabla^3 u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

\[ \leq C \frac{1}{1} \left( \sup_{s \leq t' \leq t} \int_M \eta^4 |\partial_t u(\cdot, t')|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_M \eta^4 |\Delta \partial_t u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_M \eta^4 |\partial_t u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

\[ + \frac{C \delta}{R^4} \frac{1}{2} \]

\[ \leq C \frac{1}{1} \left( \int_M \eta^4 |\partial_t u(\cdot, t)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_M \eta^4 |\Delta \partial_t u|^2 + C. \quad (3.9) \]

Similarly,

\[ I_2 = \int_{M^2} \eta^4 |\nabla^2 u|^2 |\partial_t u|^2 \leq \int_s^t \left( \int_M \eta^8 |\partial_t u|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B_{2R}^M(x_0)} |\nabla^2 u|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \]
By (2.4), we get
\[
\left(\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\nabla^2 u|^4\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\left(\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\nabla^2 u|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{R^4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\epsilon_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + \frac{1}{R^4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

Then, by the same argument as in the estimates of $I_1$, we get
\[
I_2 \leq C\epsilon_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{M} \eta^4 |\partial_t u(\cdot, t)|^2 + \int_{M_1^t} \eta^4 |\Delta \partial_t u|^2 + C\right). \tag{3.10}
\]

For $I_3$, we have
\[
I_3 = \int_t^s \int_M \eta^4 |\partial_t u|^2 |\nabla u|^4 \leq \int_t^s \left(\int_M \eta^8 |\partial_t u|^4\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{B_{2R}} |\nabla u|^8\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

By (2.5), we get
\[
\left(\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\nabla u|^8\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\left(\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\nabla u|^4\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{R^4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

Then, by the same arguments as in the estimates of $I_1, I_2$, we obtain
\[
I_3 \leq C\epsilon_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{M} \eta^4 |\partial_t u(\cdot, t)|^2 + \int_{M_1^t} \eta^4 |\Delta \partial_t u|^2 + C\right). \tag{3.11}
\]

Combining inequalities (3.8)-(3.11) yields
\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_{M_1} \eta^4 |\partial_t u|^2 + \int_{M_1} \eta^4 |\Delta \partial_t u|^2 + 2 \int_{M_1} \nabla \eta^4 \nabla \partial_t u \Delta \partial_t u + \int_{M_1} \Delta \eta^4 \partial_t u \Delta \partial_t u
\leq C\epsilon_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{M} \eta^4 |\partial_t u(\cdot, t)|^2 + \int_{M_1^t} \eta^4 |\Delta \partial_t u|^2 + C\right). \tag{3.12}
\]

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have
\[
2\int_{M_1} \nabla \eta^4 \nabla \partial_t u \Delta \partial_t u \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{M_1} \eta^4 |\Delta \partial_t u|^2 + 64 \int_{M_1} \eta^2 |\nabla \eta|^2 |\nabla \partial_t u|^2
\]
and
\[
\int_{M_1} \Delta \eta^4 \partial_t u \Delta \partial_t u = \int_{M_1} (4\eta^3 \Delta \eta + 12\eta^2 |\nabla \eta|^2) \partial_t u \Delta \partial_t u
\geq -\frac{1}{4} \int_{M_1} \eta^4 |\Delta \partial_t u|^2 - \frac{C}{R^4} \int_{M_1} |\partial_t u|^2
\geq -\frac{1}{4} \int_{M_1} \eta^4 |\Delta \partial_t u|^2 - \frac{C}{R^4}. \tag{3.13}
\]

Furthermore, by integration by parts and noting that $\partial_t u |_{\partial M} = 0$, we have
\[
64 \int_{M_1} \eta^2 |\nabla \eta|^2 |\nabla \partial_t u|^2 = -64 \int_{M_1} \nabla (\eta^2 |\nabla \eta|^2) \nabla \partial_t u \partial_t u - 64 \int_{M_1} \eta^2 |\nabla \eta|^2 \Delta \partial_t u \partial_t u
\leq \int_{M_1} \eta^2 |\nabla \eta|^2 |\nabla \partial_t u|^2 + \frac{1}{8} \int_{M_1} \eta^4 |\Delta \partial_t u|^2 + \frac{C}{R^4} \int_{M_1} |\partial_t u|^2.
\]
Therefore we get
\[
2 \int_{M_t} \nabla \eta^4 \nabla \partial_t u \Delta \partial_t u \geq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{M_t} \eta^4 |\Delta \partial_t u|^2 - \frac{C}{R^4}. \quad (3.14)
\]
Combining inequalities (3.12), (3.14) and (3.13) and choosing \( \epsilon_1 \) sufficiently small, we can finally achieve (3.7).

By Lemma 3.5, we have
\[
\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_0)} |\nabla^4 u|^2(\cdot, t') dx \leq C \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_0)} |\partial_t u|^2(\cdot, t') dx + \frac{C}{R^4}. \quad (3.15)
\]

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 we have, for all \( t' \in [\frac{3\delta}{4}, T) \)
\[
\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_0)} |\nabla^4 u|^2(\cdot, t') dx \leq C \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_0)} |\partial_t u|^2(\cdot, t') dx + \frac{C}{R^4}. \quad (3.16)
\]

Let \( \eta \) be a cut off function as in Lemma 3.4. Without loss of generality, we assume that
\[
\int_M \eta^4 |\partial_t u(\cdot, s)|^2 dx = \inf_{t' - \frac{\delta}{4} \leq s' \leq t' - \frac{\delta}{2}} \int_M \eta^4 |\partial_t u(\cdot, s')|^2.
\]
Then Lemma 3.4 implies
\[
\sup_{t' - \frac{\delta}{4} \leq t \leq t'} \int_M \eta^4 |\partial_t u(\cdot, t)|^2 \leq C \int_M \eta^4 |\partial_t u(\cdot, s)|^2 + \frac{C}{R^4},
\]
\[
= C \inf_{t' - \frac{\delta}{4} \leq s' \leq t' - \frac{\delta}{2}} \int_M \eta^4 |\partial_t u(\cdot, s')|^2 + \frac{C}{R^4}
\]
\[
\leq \frac{C}{\delta} \int_{t' - \frac{\delta}{4}}^{t' - \frac{\delta}{2}} \int_M |\partial_t u|^2 + \frac{C}{R^4} \leq C \left( \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{1}{R^4} \right).
\]
Therefore we have
\[
\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_0)} |\partial_t u|^2(\cdot, t') dx \leq C \left( \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{1}{R^4} \right). \quad (3.17)
\]
This completes the proof.

By Lemma 3.5 we have
Theorem 3.6. There exists $\epsilon_1 > 0$, depending only on $M, N, u_0, g$, such that for $0 < T < \infty$, if $u$ is a smooth solution of (1.3)-(1.6) satisfying
\begin{equation}
\sup_{0 < t \leq T} \int_{B_{R/4}(x_0)} |\nabla^2 u(\cdot, t)|^2 \, dx + \left( \int_{B_{R/4}(x_0)} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^4 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \epsilon_1,
\end{equation}
for some $R < \frac{1}{2}\diam_M$ and $x_0 \in M$, then we have
\begin{equation}
\max_{\frac{T}{2} \leq t \leq T} \|u\|_{C^k(B_{R/4}(x_0))} \leq C \left( k, R^{-1}, T, \|\nabla^2 u_0\|_{L^2(M)}, \|g\|_{C^k(\partial M)}, \|h\|_{C^{k-1}(\partial M)} \right).
\end{equation}

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.5 that $u$ is uniformly bounded in $W^{4,2}(B_{R/4}(x_0))$ for $\frac{T}{2} \leq t \leq T$. It follows that $u_t + \Delta^2 u \in L^p(B_{R/4}(x_0) \times [\frac{T}{2}, T])$ for any $1 < p < \infty$. Therefore by the standard parabolic $L^p$-theory and Schauder estimate, we can get the desired estimate. \quad \Box

To prove our main theorem, we need to establish a lower bound estimate of the time interval for the existence of a smooth solution of (1.3)-(1.6). First we have

Lemma 3.7. Let $u \in V(M^T)$ be a solution of (1.3)-(1.6). Assume that there exists $0 < R < 1$ such that
\begin{equation}
\sup_{0 \leq t < T} E(u(t); B_{R^2}(x_0)) \leq \epsilon_1.
\end{equation}
Then we have for all $t \in [0, T)$
\begin{equation}
E(u(t); B_{R^2}(x_0)) \leq CE(u(0); B_{R^2}(x_0)) + \frac{Ct}{R^4} + \frac{C\sqrt{t}}{R^2} + CR^2.
\end{equation}

Proof. Multiplying (1.3) by $ \eta^4 \partial_t u$ and integrating by parts, we get
\begin{align*}
\int_{M^t} \eta^4 |\partial_t u|^2 \, dx \, dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{M^t} \eta^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\Delta u|^2 \, dx \, dt \\
= \int_{M^t} \Delta u \Delta t \eta^4 \partial_t u \, dx \, dt + 2 \int_{M^t} \Delta u \nabla \eta^4 \nabla \partial_t u \, dx \, dt \\
= - \int_{M^t} \Delta u \Delta t \eta^4 \partial_t u \, dx \, dt - 2 \int_{M^t} \Delta u \nabla \eta^4 \partial_t u \, dx \, dt \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{M^t} \eta^4 |\partial_t u|^2 \, dx \, dt + \frac{C}{R^4} \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)^t} |\Delta u|^2 \, dx \, dt + \frac{C}{R^2} \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)^t} |\nabla^3 u|^2 \, dx \, dt \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{M^t} \eta^4 |\partial_t u|^2 \, dx \, dt + \frac{C}{R^4} \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)^t} |\Delta u|^2 \, dx \, dt + Ce \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)^t} |\nabla^4 u|^2 \, dx \, dt \\
+ \frac{C}{\epsilon R^4} \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)^t} |\nabla^2 u|^2 \, dx \, dt \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{M^t} \eta^4 |\partial_t u|^2 \, dx \, dt + \frac{Ct}{R^4} + \frac{C\sqrt{t}}{R^2} + C \epsilon \frac{R^2}{R^4}
\end{align*}

by taking $\epsilon = \frac{\sqrt{t}}{R^2}$.

Then we have
\begin{equation}
\int_{M^t} \eta^4 |\partial_t u|^2 \, dx \, dt + \int_{M^t} \eta^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\Delta u|^2 \, dx \, dt \leq \frac{Ct}{R^4} + \frac{C\sqrt{t}}{R^2}.
\end{equation}
Thus we obtain
\[
\int_{B_R^M(x_0)} |\Delta u|^2(t) \, dx \leq \int_{B_R^M(x_0)} |\Delta u|^2(0) \, dx + \frac{Ct}{R^4} + \frac{C\sqrt{t}}{R^2}. \tag{3.22}
\]

Observe that
\[
\partial_t \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 \right) = \langle \nabla u, \nabla \partial_t u \rangle = \langle \nabla u, \partial_t u \rangle - \langle \Delta u, \partial_t u \rangle.
\]

Multiplying this equality by $\eta^4$, integrating it over $M$, and applying integration by parts and $\eta^4$, we obtain
\[
\int_{M'} \partial_t (\frac{1}{2} \eta^4 |\nabla u|^2) \, dx \, dt = \int_{M'} \eta^4 \langle \nabla u, \partial_t u \rangle \, dx \, dt - \int_{M'} \eta^4 (\Delta u, \partial_t u) \, dx \, dt
\]
\[
= - \int_{M'} \nabla \eta^4 \langle \nabla u, \partial_t u \rangle \, dx \, dt - \int_{M'} \eta^4 (\Delta u, \partial_t u) \, dx \, dt
\]
\[
\leq \frac{R^2}{4} \int_{M'} \eta^4 |\partial_t u|^2 \, dx \, dt + \frac{Ct}{R^2} \int_{M'} \eta^4 |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \, dt + \frac{Ct}{R^2} \int_{M'} \eta^4 |\Delta u|^2 \, dx \, dt
\]
\[
\leq \frac{R^2}{4} \int_{M'} \eta^4 |\partial_t u|^2 \, dx \, dt + \frac{Ct}{R^2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} |\nabla u|^4 \, dx \, dt + \frac{Ct}{R^2}
\]
\[
\leq \frac{R^2}{4} \int_{M'} \eta^4 |\partial_t u|^2 \, dx \, dt + \frac{Ct}{R^2}
\]
\[
\leq \frac{R^2}{4} \int_{B_R^M(x_0)} |\Delta u|^2(0) \, dx + C\sqrt{t} + \frac{Ct}{R^2}.
\]

Thus,
\[
\int_{B_R^M(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2(t) \, dx \leq \int_{B_R^M(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2(0) \, dx + \frac{R^2}{4} \int_{B_R^M(x_0)} |\Delta u|^2(0) \, dx + C\sqrt{t} + \frac{Ct}{R^2}. \tag{3.23}
\]

Let $q \in C^{2+\alpha}(M, \mathbb{R}^N)$ be a harmonic function, satisfying
\[
\begin{aligned}
\Delta q &= 0 \quad \text{in } M, \\
q &= g \quad \text{on } \partial M.
\end{aligned}
\]

Then we have
\[
\|q\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} \leq C(M)\|g\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)},
\]

and hence
\[
\int_{B_R^M(x_0)} |\nabla^2 (u - q)|^2 \, dx + \left( \int_{B_R^M(x_0)} |\nabla (u - q)|^4 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]
\[
\leq C \int_{B_{2R}^M(x_0)} |\Delta (u - q)|^2 \, dx + \frac{C}{R^2} \int_{B_{2R}^M(x_0)} |\nabla (u - q)|^2 \, dx
\]
\[
\leq C \int_{B_{2R}^M(x_0)} |\Delta u|^2 \, dx + \frac{C}{R^2} \int_{B_{2R}^M(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + \frac{Ct}{R^4} + \frac{C\sqrt{t}}{R^2} + \frac{C}{R^2} \int_{B_{2R}^M(x_0)} |\nabla q|^2 \, dx
\]
\[
\leq C \int_{B_{2R}^M(x_0)} |\Delta u|^2 \, dx + C \int_{B_{2R}^M(x_0)} |\nabla u|^4 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{Ct}{R^4} + \frac{C\sqrt{t}}{R^2} + CR^2.
\]
This implies
\[
\int_{B^M_R(x_0)} |\nabla^2 u|^2(t) \, dx + (\int_{B^M_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^4(t) \, dx)^{1/2}
\leq C(\int_{B^M_R(x_0)} |\nabla^2 (u - g)|^2(t) \, dx + \int_{B^M_R(x_0)} |\nabla^2 q|^2(t) \, dx)
\]
\[+ C((\int_{B^M_R(x_0)} |\nabla (u - g)|^4(t) \, dx)^{1/2} + (\int_{B^M_R(x_0)} |\nabla q|^4 \, dx)^{1/2})
\leq C \int_{B^M_{2R}(x_0)} |\Delta u|^2(0) \, dx + C(\int_{B^M_{2R}(x_0)} |\nabla u|^4(0) \, dx)^{1/2} + \frac{Ct}{R^4} + \frac{C\sqrt{t}}{R^2} + CR^2,
\]
which implies (3.20). This completes the proof. \qed

According to Lemma 5.7, we have

**Lemma 3.8.** There exists \(0 < \epsilon_2 \ll \epsilon_1 < \frac{\text{inj}}{2}\) such that if \(u_0 \in C^\infty(M, N), g \in C^\infty(\partial M, N),\) and \(h \in C^\infty(\partial M, T_3 N)\) satisfies

\[
\sup_{x \in M} \left( \int_{B^M_{2R}(x)} |\nabla^2 u_0|^2 \, dx + (\int_{B^M_{2R}(x)} |\nabla u_0|^4 \, dx)^{1/2} \right) \leq \epsilon_2^2,
\]

for some \(R \in (0, \epsilon_2)\). Then there exists \(T_1 \geq O(R^4 \epsilon_2^4)\) and a unique solution \(u \in C^\infty(M \times [0, T_1], N)\) to (1.3)-(1.6).

**Proof.** Let \(T_1 > 0\) be the maximum time interval such that there exists a smooth solution \(u \in C^\infty(M \times [0, T_1], N)\) of (1.3)-(1.6). Let \(T'_1 > 0\) be the maximum time such that

\[
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T'_1} \sup_{x \in M} E(u(t); B^M_R(x)) \leq \epsilon_1.
\]

By Theorem 3.6 we know \(T_1 \geq T'_1\). By Lemma 5.7, we get

\[
\epsilon_1 = E(u(T'_1); B^M_R(x)) \leq CE(u(0); B^M_{2R}(x)) + \frac{CT'_1}{R^4} + \frac{C\sqrt{T'_1}}{R^2} + CR^2
\]
\[\leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2} + \frac{CT'_1}{R^4} + \frac{C\sqrt{T'_1}}{R^2}
\]
\[\leq \frac{3\epsilon_1}{4} + \frac{CT'_1}{\epsilon_1 R^4}.
\]

This implies \(T_1 \geq T'_1 \geq O(R^4 \epsilon_1^2)\). \qed

### 4 Existence results and behavior of solutions near singularities

In this section, we show the existence of the global weak solution of the extrinsic biharmonic map flow, which is regular with the exception of at most finitely many time slices. We also study the behavior of the solution near its singularities. Moreover, we get the existence of biharmonic maps with a fixed Dirichlet boundary data. Both Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 will be proved in this section.
Proof of Theorem 1.1

Step 1. From [19] and [1] we see that there exists a sequence of maps \( \phi_i \in \mathcal{C}^{4+\alpha}(M, N) \) such that \( \phi_i = g, \partial_{\nu}\phi_i = h \) on \( \partial M \), and
\[
\phi_i \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{strongly in } W^{2,2}(M, N).
\]

Step 2. The short-time existence. Since \( \phi_i \rightarrow u_0 \) in \( W^{2,2}(M, N) \), there exists a \( R \in (0, \frac{\infty}{2}) \) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
\sup_l \sup_{x \in M} \left( \int_{B_{2R}(x)} |\nabla^2 \phi_i|^2 \, dx + \int_{B_{2R}(x)} |\nabla \phi_i|^4 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \epsilon_2,
\end{align*}
\]
where \( \epsilon_2 \) is given in Lemma 3.8. By the short-time existence theory in [9], there exist \( T_l > 0 \) and \( u_l \in \mathcal{C}^{4+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M \times [0, T_l], N) \) which solves (1.3) with the boundary-initial data \((g, h)\). Then Lemma 3.8 implies that \( T_l \geq O(R^4\epsilon^2_l) \) and Theorem 3.6 implies that we have uniformly \( \mathcal{C}^{4+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \) estimates of \( u_l \) in \( M \times (0, O(R^4\epsilon^2_l)) \). Hence we may assume that \( u_l \) converges to \( u \) weakly in \( W^{2,2}(M, N) \), strongly in \( W^{1,2}(M, N) \) and in \( \mathcal{C}^{4+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M \times [\rho, O(R^4\epsilon^2_l)], N) \) for any \( \rho > 0 \). It is clear that \( u \in \mathcal{C}^{4+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M \times (0, O(R^4\epsilon^2_l)), N) \) is a classical solution of (1.3). The short-time existence theory guarantees the existence of a solution to (1.3) using \( u(O(R^4\epsilon^2_l)) \) as the new initial data so that the solution can be continued to a larger time interval. Assume that \( T_1 \) is the maximum time interval such that \( u \in \mathcal{C}^{4+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M \times (0, T_1), N) \) solves (1.3)-(1.6). Repeating this argument, the solution can be continued until the first time of energy concentration exceeds \( \epsilon_1 \), that is, the condition
\[
\lim_{r \downarrow 0} \limsup_{t \uparrow T_1} E(u(t), B_r(x)) > \epsilon_1
\]
reaches. Set
\[
S(T_1) := \left\{ (x, T_1) \mid x \in M, \limsup_{r \downarrow 0} \limsup_{t \uparrow T_1} E(u(t), B_r(x)) > \epsilon_1 \right\},
\]
which is called as the singularity set of \( u \) at time \( T_1 \). It is an open question if \( S(T_1) \) is a finite set.

Step 3. Behavior of the solution \( u \) near its first singular time \( T_1 \). By the standard blowup argument, there exist sequences \( t_i \uparrow T_1, x_i \rightarrow x_0 \in \overline{M} \), and \( r_i \rightarrow 0 \) such that
\[
E(u(t_i), B_{r_i}^M(x_i)) = \sup_{(x, t) \in M \times [T_1-\delta, t_i]} E(u(t), B_r^M(x)) = \frac{\epsilon_1}{C_0},
\]
where \( C_0 \) is a positive constant to be determined later. Assume that \( B_{2r_i}(x_0) \) is covered by \( m \) balls of radius \( r_i \) contained in \( \overline{M} \) and let \( C_0 = m \), then we see that
\[
\sup_{T_1-\delta \leq t < T_1} E(u(t); B_{2r_i}^M(x_0)) \leq \epsilon_1.
\]
By Lemma 3.7 for any \( T_1 - \delta^2 \leq s \leq t_i \), we have
\[
E(u(t_i); B_{r_i}^M(x_0)) \leq CE(u(s); B_{2r_i}^M(x_0)) + C\frac{t_i^4 - s}{(r_i^4)^4} + C\frac{\sqrt{t_i^4 - s}}{(r_i^4)^2} + C(r_i^4)^2.
\]
Set \( T = \frac{\epsilon_i^2}{16C^2C_0} \). Then we have
\[
E(u(s); B_{2r_i}^M(x_0)) \geq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2CC_0}
\]
for any \( s \in [t_i - T(r_i^4)^4, t_i] \), when \( i \) is sufficiently large.

Case 1. \( \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{dist}(x_i, \partial M)}{r_i} \rightarrow \infty \). By passing to a subsequence, we may assume \( \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{dist}(x_i, \partial M)}{r_i} \rightarrow \infty \). Assume \( t_i - \frac{\delta^2}{4} > T_1 - \delta^2 \) and define
\[
B_i := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^4 | x_i + r_i^4 x \in B_{\delta}^M(x_0) \},
\]
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and

\[ v_i(x, t) : = u(x_i^1 + r_i^1 x, t_i^1 + (r_i^1)^4 t), \quad \forall \ x \in B_i, \quad -\frac{\delta^2}{4(r_i^1)^4} \leq t \leq 0. \]

It is easy to see that \( B_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4 \) as \( i \rightarrow \infty \). Then \( v_i \) satisfies

\[ \partial_t v_i + \Delta^2 v_i = -f(v_i), \tag{4.5} \]

along with the boundary condition

\[
\begin{aligned}
& v_i(x, t) = g(x_i^1 + r_i^1 x), \quad \text{if} \quad x_i^1 + r_i^1 x \in \partial M; \\
& \partial_\nu v_i(x, t) = r_i^1 h(x_i^1 + r_i^1 x), \quad \text{if} \quad x_i^1 + r_i^1 x \in \partial M.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.6}
\]

By Lemma 3.1, we have

\[ \int_{-T}^0 \int_{B_i} |\partial_t v_i|^2 \, dx \, dt \leq \int_{t_i^1/(r_i^1)^4}^{t_i^1} \int_M |\partial_t u|^2 \, dv \, dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad i \rightarrow \infty, \tag{4.7} \]

and

\[ \sup_{\frac{\delta^2}{4(r_i^1)^4} \leq t \leq 0} E(v_i, B_i) \leq \sup_{T_1-\delta^2 \leq t \leq T_1} E(u) \leq C. \tag{4.8} \]

By (4.3), we can see that

\[ \sup_{-T \leq t \leq 0} \sup_{x \in B_i} E(v_i, B_1(x) \cap B_i) \leq \sup_{(x, t) \in M \times [T_1-\delta^2, t_i^1]} E(u(t), B_1(x)) = \frac{\epsilon_1}{2C_0}. \]

Hence, for any \( x \in \mathbb{R}^4 \), when \( i \) is sufficiently large, we have

\[ \sup_{-T \leq t \leq 0} E(v_i, B_1(x)) \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2C_0}. \tag{4.9} \]

Combining (4.9) with Theorem 3.6, we have

\[ \sup_{-\frac{T}{2} \leq t \leq 0} \|v_i(\cdot, t)\|_{C^{4+\alpha}(B_{1/2}(x))} \leq C, \tag{4.10} \]

which yields

\[ \sup_{-\frac{T}{2} \leq t \leq 0} \|v_i(\cdot, t)\|_{C^{4+\alpha}(B_R)} \leq C(R), \quad \forall \ R > 0. \tag{4.11} \]

From (4.7) and (4.11), we can find \( \sigma_i \in [-\frac{T}{2}, 0] \) such that as \( i \rightarrow \infty \), there holds

\[ \int_{B_i} |\partial_t v_i|^2(x, \sigma_i) \, dx \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.12} \]

and

\[ \|v_i(\cdot, \sigma_i)\|_{C^{4+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^4)} \leq C. \tag{4.13} \]

Therefore, there exists a subsequence of \( v_i(\cdot, \sigma_i) \) and a limit map \( v \in C^4(\mathbb{R}^4, N) \) such that

\[ v_i(\cdot, \sigma_i) \rightarrow v \quad \text{in} \quad C^4(B_R), \quad \forall \ R > 0. \tag{4.14} \]
Setting $t = \sigma_i$ in the equation (4.15) and letting $i \to \infty$, it is easy to see that $v$ is a biharmonic map with
\[
\frac{\epsilon_1}{2CC_0} \leq E(v; \mathbb{R}^4) \leq C,
\]
where the above inequality follows from (4.14) and (4.18). Taking $t_1^i + (r_1^i)^4 \sigma_i$ as the new time sequence, then we get that
\[
u_i(x) = v_i(x, \sigma_i) = u(x_1^i + r_1^i x, t_1^i + (r_1^i)^4 \sigma_i)
\]
is the desired sequence in the theorem.

**Case 2.** $\limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{\text{dist}(x_1^i, \partial M)}{r_1^i} < \infty$. After taking a subsequence, we may assume $\frac{\text{dist}(x_1^i, \partial M)}{r_1^i} \to a$ as $i \to \infty$. Then
\[
B_i \to \mathbb{R}^4_a := \{(x', x^4)| x^4 \geq a \},
\]
where $x' := (x^1, x^2, x^3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Noting that for any $x \in \{ x^4 = -a \}$, $x_1^i + r_1^i x \to x_0$. Moreover,
\[
\begin{cases}
  v_i(x, t) = g(x_1^i + r_1^i x), & \text{if } x_1^i + r_1^i x \in \partial M; \\
  \partial_\nu v_i(x, t) = r_1^i h(x_1^i + r_1^i x), & \text{if } x_1^i + r_1^i x \in \partial M.
\end{cases}
\]
(4.15)
By Theorem 3.6 and (4.3), for any $B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^4_a$, $R > 0$, we have
\[
\sup_{-\frac{T}{2} \leq t \leq 0} \| v_i(\cdot, t) \|_{C^{4, a}(B_R(0) \cap B_i)} \leq C.
\]
(4.16)
Using a similar argument as in **Case 1**, we can obtain $v \in C^4(\mathbb{R}^4_a, N)$ satisfying
\[
\frac{\epsilon_1}{2CC_0} \leq E(v; \mathbb{R}^4_a) \leq C,
\]
(4.17)
and a sequence $\sigma_i \in [-\frac{T}{2}, 0]$ such that as $i \to \infty$, there hold
\[
\| v_i(\cdot, \sigma_i) - v \|_{C^{4}(B_R \cap B_R(0))} \to 0,
\]
(4.18)
for any $R > 0$. Moreover, $v$ is a biharmonic map with the boundary condition
\[
\begin{cases}
  v(x) = g(x_0), & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}^4_a; \\
  \partial_\nu v(x) = 0, & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}^4_a.
\end{cases}
\]
(4.19)

**Step 4.** Global existence of weak solutions. Let $(x_0, T_1) \in S(T_1)$ be as in Step 3. Then we claim
\[
\lim_{\tau \to 0} \limsup_{t \uparrow T_1} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\Delta u(\cdot, t)|^2 \, dx \geq \epsilon_0^2.
\]
(4.20)
In fact, by (4.12), (4.18) and Theorem 2.2, we have
\[
\epsilon_0^2 \leq \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{B_R(0)} |\Delta v|^2 \, dx = \lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{B_{R^i}(0)} |\Delta v_i|^2(\cdot, \sigma_i) \, dx \\
= \lim_{R \to \infty} \lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{B_{R^i}(x_i)} |\Delta u|^2(\cdot, T_1 + r_i^4 \sigma_i) \, dx \\
\leq \lim_{\tau \to 0} \limsup_{t \uparrow T_1} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\Delta u(\cdot, t)|^2 \, dx.
\]
Next, we claim that there is a unique weak limit \( u(\cdot, T_1) \in W^{2,2}(M, N) \) such that
\[
\lim_{t \uparrow T_1} u(\cdot, t) = u(\cdot, T_1) \text{ weakly in } W^{2,2}(M, N).
\]
In fact, by Lemma 3.1 for any sequence \( t_i \to T_1 \), there exists a subsequence (also denoted by \( t_i \)) such that \( u(\cdot, t_i) \to u(\cdot, T_1) \) weakly in \( W^{2,2}(M) \) as \( i \to \infty \). So, we just need to show the weak limit \( u(\cdot, T_1) \) is independent of the choice of the time sequences. Let \( s_i \to T_1 \) be another time sequence and the corresponding weak limit \( \hat{u}(\cdot, T_1) \). Note that
\[
\int_M |u(\cdot, T_1) - \hat{u}(\cdot, T_1)|^2 \, dx = \int_M \langle u(\cdot, T_1) - \hat{u}(\cdot, T_1), u(\cdot, T_1) - u(\cdot, t_i) \rangle \, dx + \int_M \langle u(\cdot, T_1) - \hat{u}(\cdot, T_1), u(\cdot, t_i) - u(\cdot, s_i) \rangle \, dx + \int_M \langle u(\cdot, T_1) - \hat{u}(\cdot, T_1), u(\cdot, s_i) - \hat{u}(\cdot, T_1) \rangle \, dx.
\] (4.21)
Since
\[
\int_M |u(\cdot, t_i) - u(\cdot, s_i)|^2 \, dx = \int_M \left| \int_{s_i}^{t_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \, dt \right|^2 \, dx \leq |s_i - t_i| \int_{M_{t_i}} |\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}|^2 \, dx \, dt,
\]
\[
\int_{M_{T_1}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}^2 \, dx \, dt \leq C \quad \text{(see Lemma 3.1)},
\]
u(\cdot, t_i) \to u(\cdot, T_1), \ u(\cdot, s_i) \to \hat{u}(\cdot, T_1) \text{ weakly in } W^{2,2}(M) \) by sending \( i \to \infty \) in (4.21), we obtain
\[
\int_M |u(\cdot, T_1) - \hat{u}(\cdot, T_1)|^2 \, dx = 0.
\]
Thus \( u(\cdot, T_1) = \hat{u}(\cdot, T_1) \). It is easy to see that
\[
\int_M |\Delta u(\cdot, T_1)|^2 \, dx \leq \int_M |\Delta u_0|^2 \, dx - \epsilon_0^2.
\]
Now we use \( u(\cdot, T_1) \) as the initial condition and \((g, h)\) as the boundary condition to extend the above solution beyond \( T_1 \) to obtain a weak solution \( u : M \times (0, T_2) \to N \) for some \( T_2 > T_1 \) by piecing together the solutions at \( T_1 \). Then we see that \( u \in C^{4+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\text{loc}}(M \times ((0, T_2) \setminus \{T_1\}), N) \). Iterating this process, we obtain a global solution defined on \( M \times [0, \infty) \). Let \( \{T_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \) be all the possible singular times. Then we have
\[
\int_M |\Delta u(\cdot, T_K)|^2 \, dx \leq \liminf_{t_i \uparrow T_K} \int_M |\Delta u(\cdot, t_i)|^2 \, dx - \epsilon_0^2
\]
\[
\leq \int_M |\Delta u_0|^2 \, dx - Ke_0^2,
\]
which implies
\[
K \leq \frac{\int_M |\Delta u_0|^2 \, dx}{\epsilon_0^2}.
\]
Hence there are at most finitely many singular time slices.
Step 5. Uniqueness. The only thing left to be proven is uniqueness and we only need to prove uniqueness of the short time solution constructed above and the full uniqueness follows by iteration. Let $u, v : [0, t_0) \to N$ be two constructed smooth (for $t > 0$) solutions and set $w := u - v$. Then

$$\partial_t w + \Delta^2 w = f(u) - f(v) = \nabla^3 u \# \nabla u + \nabla^2 u \# \nabla^2 u + \nabla^2 u \# \nabla u + \nabla u \# \nabla u \# \nabla u - (\nabla^3 v \# \nabla v + \nabla^2 v \# \nabla^2 v + \nabla^2 v \# \nabla v + \nabla v \# \nabla v \# \nabla v).$$

Multiply this equation with $w$ and integrate over $(0, s) \times M$. By partial integration ($w = \partial_t w = 0$ on $\partial M$ for any $t \in (0, s)$), we can get rid of derivatives of order $> 2$ (cf. [5]). Simplifying terms by using Young’s inequality we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_M |w(s)|^2 + \int_M |\Delta w|^2 \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{M^s} |w|^2 (|\nabla^k u| + |\nabla^k v|)^\frac{2}{k} + C \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{M^s} |\nabla w|^2 (|\nabla^k u| + |\nabla^k v|)^\frac{2}{k} + C \sum_{l=0}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{2-l} \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w| |\nabla^l w| (|\nabla^k u| + |\nabla^k v|)^{\frac{2-l}{k}}$$

$$:= I_4 + I_5 + I_6.$$

(4.22)

To make it more clear how the above inequality is obtained, let us give the details of the estimates of the highest order term of $(f(u) - f(v))w$ (we denote it by $\varphi(u) \cdot \nabla^3 u \cdot \nabla w$) as follows.

$$\int_{M^s} (\varphi(u) \cdot \nabla^3 u \cdot \nabla v - \varphi(v) \cdot \nabla^3 v \cdot \nabla v)w$$

$$= \int_{M^s} [(\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)) \cdot \nabla^3 u \cdot \nabla u + \varphi(v) \cdot \nabla^3 w \cdot \nabla u + \varphi(v) \cdot \nabla^3 u \cdot \nabla w]w$$

$$= \int_{M^s} \nabla^2 u \# \nabla w \# \nabla u \# w + \nabla^2 u \# \nabla^2 u \# \nabla w^2 + \nabla^2 w \# \nabla u \# \nabla v \# w + \nabla^2 w \# \nabla^2 u \# w$$

$$+ \nabla^2 w \# \nabla u \# \nabla w + \nabla^2 v \# \nabla v \# \nabla w \# w + \nabla^2 w \# \nabla^2 w \# w + \nabla^2 v \# \nabla w \# \nabla w$$

$$\leq I_4 + I_5 + I_6,$$

where

$$\varphi(u) \cdot \nabla^3 u \cdot \nabla u := \varphi_{ijkl}^A(u) \cdot \nabla^3_{ij} u^A \cdot \nabla_l u^B$$

and the last inequality follows from Young’s inequality and following property

$$|\nabla^k \varphi| \leq C(N) \quad \text{and} \quad |\varphi(u) - \varphi(v)| \leq C(N)(u - v).$$

In the following, let’s estimate the right hand side of (4.22). By Hölder’s inequality, the Sobolev
Thus by (4.23) and (4.24) we have

\[
\int_{M^s} |w|^2 ((\nabla u) + |\nabla v|)^4 \leq (\int_{M^s} |w|^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\int_{M^s} |\nabla u|^8 + |\nabla v|^8)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
\leq C(\int_{M^s} |\nabla u|^8 + |\nabla v|^8)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\int_{0}^{s} (\int_{M} |\nabla w|^2 + |w|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\]

\[
\leq C(\int_{M^s} |\nabla u|^8 + |\nabla v|^8)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\int_{0}^{s} \int_{M} |w|^2 \int_{M} |\nabla w|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
\leq C(\int_{M^s} |\nabla u|^8 + |\nabla v|^8)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sup_{t \in (0, s)} \int_{M} |w(t)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
\leq C(\int_{M^s} |\nabla u|^8 + |\nabla v|^8)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sup_{t \in (0, s)} \int_{M} |w(t)|^2 + \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2), \quad (4.23)
\]

and similarly we have

\[
\int_{M^s} |w|^2 (|\nabla^2 u| + |\nabla^2 v|)^2
\]

\[
\leq C(\int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 u|^4 + |\nabla^2 v|^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sup_{t \in (0, s)} \int_{M} |w(t)|^2 + \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2). \quad (4.24)
\]

Now let’s estimate \( I_5 \) term by term,

\[
\int_{M^s} |\nabla w|^2 |\nabla u|^2 = - \int_{M^s} w \Delta w |\nabla u|^2 - \int_{M^s} w \nabla w \nabla u \cdot \nabla^2 u
\]

\[
\leq \epsilon \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2 + C(\epsilon) \int_{M^s} w^2 |\nabla u|^4 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{M^s} |\nabla w|^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \int_{M^s} w^2 |\nabla^2 u|^2.
\]

Therefore we get

\[
\int_{M^s} |\nabla w|^2 |\nabla u|^2 \leq \epsilon \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2 + C(\epsilon) \int_{M^s} w^2 |\nabla u|^4 + \int_{M^s} w^2 |\nabla^2 u|^2.
\]

Thus by (4.23) and (4.24), we have

\[
\int_{M^s} |\nabla w|^2 (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2)
\]

\[
\leq \epsilon \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2 + C(\epsilon)(\int_{M^s} |\nabla u|^8 + |\nabla v|^8)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (\int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 u|^4 + |\nabla^2 v|^4)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
\times (\sup_{t \in (0, s)} \int_{M} |w(t)|^2 + \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2).
\]
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In addition,
\[
\int_{M^s} |\nabla w|^2 |\nabla^2 u| = - \int_{M^s} w \Delta w |\nabla^2 u| - \int_{M^s} w \nabla w \cdot \nabla |\nabla^2 u| \\
\leq \epsilon \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2 + C(\epsilon) \int_{M^s} w^2 |\nabla^2 u|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{M^s} \nabla w^2 \cdot \nabla |\nabla^2 u| \\
= \epsilon \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2 + C(\epsilon) \int_{M^s} w^2 |\nabla^2 u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{M^s} w^2 \Delta |\nabla^2 u| \\
\leq \epsilon \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2 + C(\epsilon) \int_{M^s} w^2 |\nabla^2 u|^2 + C(\int_{M^s} w^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\int_{M^s} |\nabla^4 u|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq \epsilon \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2 + C(\epsilon)(\int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 u|^4 + |\nabla^2 v|^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sup_{t \in (0, s)} M^t |w(t)|^2 + \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2) \\
+ C(\int_{M^s} |\nabla^4 u|^2 + |\nabla^4 v|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sup_{t \in (0, s)} M^t |w(t)|^2 + \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2) .
\]

Thus we get
\[
\int_{M^s} |\nabla w|^2 (|\nabla^2 u| + |\nabla^2 v|) \\
\leq \epsilon \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2 + C(\epsilon)(\int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 u|^8 + |\nabla^2 v|^8)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sup_{t \in (0, s)} M^t |w(t)|^2 + \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2) \\
+ C(\epsilon)(\int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 u|^4 + |\nabla^2 v|^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sup_{t \in (0, s)} M^t |w(t)|^2 + \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2) \\
+ C(\int_{M^s} |\nabla^4 u|^2 + |\nabla^4 v|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sup_{t \in (0, s)} M^t |w(t)|^2 + \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2) .
\]

In summation of the above estimates we have
\[
I_5 \leq \epsilon \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2 + C(\epsilon)(I_4 + I_5) .
\]
We are left to estimate the last summation \( I_6 \) in (4.22). Note that by Young’s inequality
\[
I_6 \leq \epsilon \int_{M_0^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2 + C(\epsilon)(I_4 + I_5) .
\]
Therefore from inequalities (4.22)-(4.26) we obtain
\[
\int_{M^s} |w(s)|^2 + \int_{M^s} |\Delta w(s)|^2 \\
\leq \epsilon \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2 + C(\epsilon)(\int_{M^s} |\nabla u|^8 + |\nabla v|^8)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sup_{t \in (0, s)} M^t |w(t)|^2 + \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2) \\
+ C(\epsilon)(\int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 u|^4 + |\nabla^2 v|^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sup_{t \in (0, s)} M^t |w(t)|^2 + \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2) \\
+ C(\epsilon)(\int_{M^s} |\nabla^4 u|^2 + |\nabla^4 v|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sup_{t \in (0, s)} M^t |w(t)|^2 + \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2) .
\]

23
By the standard elliptic estimate, noting that $w = 0$ on $\partial M$, we have $\int_M |\nabla^2 w|^2 \leq C \int_M |\Delta w|^2$. Choosing $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2C}$, we obtain

$$\int_M |w(s)|^2 + \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2 \leq C(\int_{M^s} |\nabla u|^8 + |\nabla v|^8)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sup_{t \in (0,s)} \int_M |w(t)|^2 + \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2 \right)$$

$$+ C(\int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 u|^4 + |\nabla^2 v|^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sup_{t \in (0,s)} \int_M |w(t)|^2 + \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2 \right)$$

$$+ C(\int_{M^s} |\nabla^4 u|^2 + |\nabla^4 v|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sup_{t \in (0,s)} \int_M |w(t)|^2 + \int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 w|^2 \right). \quad (4.28)$$

Hence for solutions $u, v \in V(M^T)$ by the interpolation inequalities of Lemma 2.3, we see that we can choose $s$ small enough such that $C(\int_{M^s} |\nabla u|^8 + |\nabla v|^8)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $C(\int_{M^s} |\nabla^2 u|^4 + |\nabla^2 v|^4)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and $C(\int_{M^s} |\nabla^4 u|^2 + |\nabla^4 v|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are all smaller than $\frac{1}{4}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,s]} \int_M |w(t)|^2 = \int_M |w(s)|^2.$$ 

Hence we obtain that $\sup_{t \in [0,s]} \int_M |w(t)|^2 = 0$, i.e., $u \equiv v$ on $[0, s)$. \qed

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** By Theorem 1.1, we see that there exists a time sequence $\{t_i\}$, $t_i \to +\infty$ as $i \to +\infty$, such that $\frac{\partial u(t_i, \cdot)}{\partial t} \to 0$ in $L^2(M, N)$ and $u(\cdot, t_i)$ converges weakly in $W^{2,2}(M, N)$ to a map $u_\infty \in W^{2,2}(M, N)$ with Dirichlet boundary data $u = g$ and $\partial_n u = h$ on $\partial M$, where $g \in C^{4+\alpha}(\partial M, N)$ and $h \in C^{3+\alpha}(\partial M, T_g N)$. Denote $u(t_i) = u_i$ and $g_i = -\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial n}$, then $\Delta^2 u_i + f(u_i) = g_i$. Note that $g_i \to 0$ in $L^2(M, N)$ and hence in $(W^{2,2}(M, N))^*$, by the weak compactness theorem of Zheng [27], we see that $u_\infty \in W^{2,2}(M, N)$ is a biharmonic map. Then we see that $u \in C^{4+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M, N)$ by the interior regularity theorem of Wang [24] and boundary regularity theorem of Lamm and Wang [13]. \qed
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