No overall change in the rate of weight gain after switching to an integrase-inhibitor in virologically suppressed adults with HIV
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Objective: Excessive weight gain has been reported with integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs). We evaluated weight changes in virologically suppressed adults with HIV who switched from non-INSTI regimens to raltegravir (RAL)-containing or dolutegravir (DTG)-containing antiretroviral therapy.

Design: Retrospective single-centre cohort.

Methods: Adults who switched to RAL or DTG before or between January 2015 and October 2017 were identified. Virologically suppressed, treatment-experienced (≥2 years) individuals, at least 6 months on INSTI, with weight measurements 2 years or less pre and postswitch were included. Our analysis used a random effects model with linear slope pre and post-INStI with adjustment for age, sex, ethnicity, preswitch-regimen (protease inhibitor vs. nonprotease inhibitor), and RAL vs. DTG use.

Results: A total of 378 individuals, 81.2% male, 70.1% white ethnicity, median age of 49 years, median of four weight measurements per participant, and median weight and BMI at switch of 76.6 kg and 25.3 kg/m², respectively, were included. Weight increased by an average of 0.63 kg/year (95% confidence interval 0.17–1.09) preswitch with no overall change in rate of weight gain postswitch [þ0.05 kg/year (−0.61–0.71), P = 0.88]. In our adjusted model, a transition from minimal weight change to weight gain postswitch was isolated to older individuals though this lacked statistical significance [e.g., þ1.59 kg/year (−0.26–3.45) if aged 65 years]. Our findings did not differ by sex, ethnicity, preswitch regimen, or RAL vs. DTG. Similar results were seen for BMI and after adjusting for fixed nucleoside/nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitor backbone.

Conclusion: We found no clear evidence of an overall increase in rate of weight gain following switch to INSTI in virologically suppressed individuals.

Keywords: antiretroviral therapy, drug switch, integrase inhibitors, weight gain

aCentre for Clinical Research in Infection and Sexual Health, Institute for Global Health, University College London, bCentral and North West London NHS Foundation Trust, Mortimer Market Centre, cInstitute of Clinical Trials and Methodology, University College London, dChelsea and Westminster Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK, and eKirby Institute, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.

Correspondence to James E. Burns, MBBS, MSc, Centre for Clinical Research in Infection and Sexual Health, Institute for Global Health, University College London, Mortimer Market Centre, Capper Street, London WC1E 6JB, UK.

E-mail: james.burns@ucl.ac.uk

Received: 21 June 2019; revised: 20 August 2019; accepted: 22 August 2019.

DOI:10.1097/QAD.0000000000002379

ISSN 0269-9370 Copyright © 2019 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial License 4.0 (CCBY-NC), where it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and buildup the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal.
Introduction

Integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTI) are recommended as part of antiretroviral treatment (ART) in multiple guidelines [1–4]. Raltegravir (RAL) and dolutegravir (DTG) are widely prescribed in the UK because of their favourable efficacy, lipid, and drug interaction profiles, and tolerability [5–9]. However, there are concerns about excessive weight gain, though findings are inconsistent and uncertainty persists around whether there is a specific effect attributable to INSTIs.

Some observational cohorts showed weight gain (average ≈3 kg over 48–78 weeks) after switch to INSTI [10,11]. In contrast, recent switch studies showed a majority experiencing no weight gain [12], small gains in weight (0.2 kg/year) [13], or BMI changes less than 2 kg/m² postswitch [14]. In NEAT-022, participants on protease-inhibitor-based ART were randomized to immediate-switch or deferred-switch to DTG. Both groups experienced weight gain though this, was less than 1.25 kg over 96 weeks [15].

We performed a retrospective analysis on an adult, virologically suppressed HIV cohort in London, UK, to assess the impact on weight of switching to a DTG-containing or RAL-containing regimen.

Methods

Data extraction from clinic records identified adults with HIV who received DTG or RAL between 1 January 2015 and 1 October 2017. The inclusion criteria were: any ART combination for at least 2 years pre-INSTI, virologically suppressed (<50 copies/ml) during the 2 years preswitch; nonsustained viral load ‘blips’ of >50, <200 copies/ml were allowed, switch to DTG/RAL during or before the period above, on DTG/RAL for at least 6 months, at least one weight measurement before or at switch, and at least one weight measurement postswitch. Weight/height measurements were performed in HIV outpatient clinics with standard operating procedures that include regular device calibration and were included up to 2 years pre and postswitch. Weights and viral loads within 1 year of ART initiation, individuals with prior elvitegravir (EVG) exposure, and weights recorded after postswitch viral failures (viral load >200 copies/ml) were excluded. In cases of multiple RAL and/or DTG regimens only data pertaining to the first were included.

Statistical analysis

Weight was analysed using a random effects model with linear slope before and after switch to INSTI. A threshold of weight gain (e.g. 10% gain) was not chosen due to heterogeneity in the timings of weight measurements. Person-level correlated random effect terms were included for intercept and pre and postswitch slopes. The model was defined in terms of averages for weight at switch, annual rate of weight gain preswitch, and change in rate of weight gain postswitch. This was repeated in a separate model for BMI. Each model component had parameters estimated to evaluate associations with age, sex, ethnicity (Black African or other nonwhite/unknown vs. white), and pre and postswitch regimens (protease-inhibitor vs. nonprotease-inhibitor and DTG vs. RAL, respectively). Associations between age at switch and INSTI with each weight or BMI trajectory characteristic were modelled on a continuous scale using natural cubic splines with knots at 29, 45, 52, and 70 years (2.5th, 33rd, 66th, and 97.5th centiles). Marginal P values were calculated for each component of the models using overall F tests for sets of spline parameters. Models were fitted using the nlme package [16] in R [17].

Results

The data extraction yielded 1228 ART-experienced individuals who switched to DTG or RAL. We excluded those with: no recorded weights (n = 134), insufficient recorded weights (n = 375), less than 2 years pre-INSTITI ART use (n = 45), prior EVG exposure (n = 6), switched from nonprotease-inhibitor to protease-inhibitor regimen less than 2 years pre-INSTITI (n = 3), or documented adherence issues, treatment breaks, or less than 6 months on INSTI (n = 211). 76 did not meet the virological suppression criteria. The final sample for analysis was 378 participants, with 1726 weight observations between 2012 and 2018, a median of 4 [interquartile range (IQR) 3–6] weights per participant, an average of 9.5 years (IQR 5.8–14.1) from ART initiation to INSTI, and an average of 1.26 years (IQR 0.76–1.68) between switch date and last included weight.

The cohort was predominantly white men (n = 251, 66.4%); median age at switch was 49 years (IQR 43–55), 248 (65.6%) received RAL vs. 130 (34.4%) DTG, and median weight and BMI at switch was 76.6 kg (IQR 70.1–84.4) and 25.3 kg/m² (IQR 23.1–27.7), respectively (refer to Table, Supplementary Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B539, which demonstrates cohort demographics). A mixed effects model unadjusted for demographic or treatment characteristics showed a postswitch weight gain of 0.68 kg/year [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.33–1.00]; however, this was near-identical to weight gain preswitch (0.63 kg/year, 95% CI 0.17–1.09), with no evidence of a substantial change in the rate of weight gain postswitch (+0.05 kg/year, 95% CI −0.61 to 0.71, P = 0.88).

Average weights and BMIs by age at switch for the adjusted models are shown in Fig. 1a and e, respectively.
We estimated individuals aged less than 50 years had an average preswitch rate of weight gain of more than 0.5 kg/year, whereas those aged more than 60 years predominantly had static weights or a weight loss of less than 1 kg/year (Fig. 1b, \( P = 0.09; \) spline vs. no preswitch weight gain). Overall, we did not find strong evidence for any postswitch change in the rate of weight gain. We did observe some suggestion of an increased rate of weight gain postswitch in older individuals [e.g. \( +1.59 \text{ kg/year} (95\% \text{ CI} = 0.26--3.45) \) if aged 65 years] though this failed to achieve statistical significance (Fig. 1d, \( P = 0.35, \) spline vs. no postswitch change). There were no changes between pre and postswitch rates of weight gain when analysed by sex, ethnicity, and pre or postswitch regimen (protease-inhibitor vs. nonprotease-inhibitor and DTG vs. RAL, respectively) (Table 1). There was substantial variation in the subject-specific rates of pre and postswitch weight gain [SD values (95% CI) for the random effect terms of 2.86 (2.43--3.36) and 2.21 (1.88--2.59) kg/year, respectively].

The analysis using BMI gave similar results (Fig. 1e–h, Table 1). Although there appears to be an indication of a postswitch increase in rate of change of BMI in those aged more than 65 years based on the pointwise 95% CI (Fig. 1h), the overall relationship between age and postswitch change was nonsignificant (\( P = 0.20, \) spline vs. no postswitch change).
Table 1. Associations between demographic/treatment characteristics and weight/BMI trajectories.

|                           | Weight or BMI at switch | Preswitch rate of weight or BMI gain (per year) | Postswitch change in rate of weight or BMI gain (per year) |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
|                           | n (%)                   | ΔEst. (95% CI)                                 | P value                                                   | ΔEst. (95% CI) | P value | ΔEst. (95% CI) | P value |
| Sex                       |                         |                                               |                                                          |               |         |               |         |
| Men                       | 307 (81.2)              | 0 [Reference]                                | 0.01                                                     | 0.14 (–1.60–1.32) | 0.85 | 0 [Reference] | 0.90 |
| Women                     | 71 (18.8)               | –6.16 (–10.35 to –1.98)                      | <0.01                                                   | 0.14 (–2.33–2.02) | 0.90 |
| Ethnicity                 |                         |                                               |                                                          |               |         |               |         |
| White                     | 265 (70.1)              | 0 [Reference]                                | 0.01                                                     | 0.23 (–1.74–1.27) | 0.76 | 0 [Reference] | 0.47 |
| Black African             | 67 (17.7)               | 5.04 (0.67–9.41)                             | 0.01                                                    | 0.53 (–2.06–1.00) | 0.50 | 0.82 (–1.38–3.03) | 0.47 |
| Other nonwhite            | 46 (12.2)               | 3.92 (–0.22–8.07)                            | 0.07                                                    | 1.34 (–0.88–3.56) | 0.24 |
| Preswitch                 |                         |                                               |                                                          |               |         |               |         |
| Non-PI                    | 185 (48.9)              | 0 [Reference]                                | 0.01                                                    | 0.15 (–1.12–0.82) | 0.76 | 0.15 (–1.24–1.54) | 0.83 |
| PI                        | 193 (51.1)              | 0.80 (–1.86–1.46)                            | 0.56                                                    | 0.37 (–0.67–1.41) | 0.49 | –0.67 (–2.14–0.61) | 0.38 |
| Postswitch                |                         |                                               |                                                          |               |         |               |         |
| DTG                       | 248 (65.6)              | 0 [Reference]                                | 0.01                                                    | 0.37 (–0.67–1.41) | 0.49 | –0.67 (–2.14–0.61) | 0.38 |
| RAL                       | 130 (34.4)              | 0.46 (–2.35–1.27)                            | 0.75                                                    | 0.53 (–1.36–0.37) | 0.49 | –0.67 (–2.14–0.61) | 0.38 |
| BMI results (kg/m²)       |                         |                                               |                                                          |               |         |               |         |
| Sex                       |                         |                                               |                                                          |               |         |               |         |
| Men                       | 304 (81.7)              | 0 [Reference]                                | 0.01                                                    | 0.26 (–0.20–0.72) | 0.27 | –0.37 (–1.06–0.32) | 0.29 |
| Women                     | 68 (18.3)               | 2.26 (0.93–3.58)                             | <0.01                                                  | 0.67 (0.11–1.24) | 0.26 | 0.28 (0.11–0.45) | 0.26 |
| Ethnicity                 |                         |                                               |                                                          |               |         |               |         |
| White                     | 262 (70.4)              | 0 [Reference]                                | 0.01                                                    | 0.16 (–0.63–0.31) | 0.51 | 0.40 (–0.30–1.09) | 0.26 |
| Black African             | 65 (17.5)               | 2.13 (0.75–3.51)                             | <0.01                                                  | 0.39 (–0.39–0.57) | 0.71 | 0.13 (–0.57–0.84) | 0.71 |
| Other nonwhite            | 45 (12.5)               | 1.59 (0.28–2.90)                             | 0.02                                                    | 0.50 (0.39–0.61) | 0.71 | 0.13 (–0.57–0.84) | 0.71 |
| Preswitch                 |                         |                                               |                                                          |               |         |               |         |
| Non-PI                    | 182 (48.9)              | 0 [Reference]                                | 0.01                                                    | 0.29 (–0.39–0.10) | 0.15 | 0.15 (–0.50–0.39) | 0.80 |
| PI                        | 190 (51.1)              | 0.54 (–0.30–1.38)                            | 0.21                                                    | 0.37 (–0.67–0.37) | 0.78 | –0.11 (–0.37–0.36) | 0.66 |
| Postswitch                |                         |                                               |                                                          |               |         |               |         |
| RAL                       | 244 (65.6)              | 0 [Reference]                                | 0.01                                                    | 0.37 (–0.67–0.37) | 0.49 | –0.67 (–2.14–0.61) | 0.38 |
| DTG                       | 128 (34.4)              | –0.02 (–0.91–0.87)                           | 0.97                                                    | 0.50 (0.39–0.61) | 0.78 | –0.11 (–0.37–0.36) | 0.66 |

We also considered depression (n = 113, 29.9%), high cholesterol/lipids (n = 57, 15.1%), and renal impairment (n = 37, 9.8%) as predictive factors in our models. High cholesterol/lipids was only associated with higher weight at switch [+4.1 kg (95% CI 0.6–7.7), P value = 0.02]. No associations were seen between depression or renal impairment and weight change. We were unable to consider other comorbidities due to low numbers. CD4⁺ cell count was not included in our models due to missing values, however, 96% (n = 238/247) had more than 300 cells/µl [median 640 (IQR 500–790) cells/µl] pre-switch. A separate model adjusting for fixed nucleoside/nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitor backbone pre and post switch again observed no overall significant change in rate of weight gain (refer to text/figure/table, Supplementary Digital Content 2, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B539).

**Discussion**

We did not find an overall increase in rate of weight or BMI gain following switch to DTG or RAL. This contrasts with some previous studies [11–14], though our CIs do not exclude a rate increase of less than 1 kg/year. We observed no difference between RAL and DTG, again contrasting reports of greater weight gain in patients switching to DTG, although the differences were small (<1 kg/year) [13,14]. The observed increase in rate of weight gain for those more than 55 years did not reach statistical significance, although our study was underpowered to detect changes within this age group. Of note, many of our cohort were overweight at baseline and their preswitch trajectory of gradual weight gain continued post-switch. Even small annual increases in weight, when considered cumulatively, could result in cardiovascular and/or metabolic consequences.

A major limitation of our study is the amount of missing weight data. Weights/BMI may be recorded inconsistently in routine clinical care. The British HIV Association guidelines only recommend weight/BMI measurement after initial HIV assessment if evaluating cardiovascular or bone fracture risk, if weight change is a symptom, and annually for those with chronic kidney disease or an increased risk of/established cardiovascular disease [18]. Increased weight monitoring in response to
patient reporting could create an upward bias in estimates of rate of weight gain. Similarly, we focused on long-term weight gain by excluding individuals on DTG/RAL less than 6 months which may have removed those who experienced very rapid gains. The predominance of white men in our cohort limits generalizing our findings to women and Black African/other nonwhite individuals who may be more susceptible to weight gain [12–14,19,20].

We found no association between weight change and depression, which has been reported previously [12]. Low rates of comorbidities such as type 2 diabetes (T2DM) prohibited us from exploring other potential predictive factors. This may be due to under-recording of comorbidities. We lacked data on concurrent medications because they are largely prescribed in primary care and HIV clinic records may be inaccurate. We were also unable to assess lifestyle factors which could impact weight changes.

Small weight gains have been associated with tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) vs. tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) in the DISCOVER preexposure prophylaxis trial (+1.1 kg) [21] and a German cohort [22]. However, larger weight gains (mean increase 6.4 kg over 48 weeks) have been reported recently in naïve patients on TAF+DTG-containing regimens compared with TDF+DTG and TDF+efavirenz-600mg in the ADVANCE trial [20]. This suggests TAF may be implicated in the increased weight gain. Due to low use of TAF (n = 11), we were unable to evaluate any possible association. Observations of weight gain with other INSTIs are also mixed, that is, EVG [23,24], bictegravir [25,26], and cabotegravir [27].

Assessing factors influencing weight gain remains challenging, evidenced by the high level of subject-specific variation in rates of weight gain. It is difficult to differentiate potential INSTI effects on weight from weight gain seen in patients due to successful/well tolerated ART, environmental factors, and comorbidities also affecting individuals not living with HIV. National Health Service 2017 data shows that 64% (63–66) of UK adults are overweight (BMI 25–30 kg/m²) or obese (BMI > 30 kg/m²), a 3% increase since 2016 [28]. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development forecasts up to 35% of UK adults being obese by 2030 [29]. In addition, the proportion of overweight/obese UK adults is highest in men aged 45–74 years (78%) and women aged 65–74 years (73%) [28]. Weight gain postswitch in those more than 55 years may reflect this general trend.

The lack of consensus around whether observed weight gains can be attributed to INSTIs, or to general environmental/lifestyle factors, highlights the importance of reliably monitoring weight in people living with HIV (PLWH). The nature of weight gain in terms of fat vs. lean mass may also be important and, as demonstrated in ADVANCE, may differ by sex [20]. Ongoing weight gain in virologically suppressed PLWH, especially central weight gain and its sequelae – including T2DM, increased cardiovascular risk, and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease are research priorities, as are strategies to maintain healthy BMIs for this population. The discordance amongst studies highlights a need to remain critical of whether weight gain observed with INSTIs is truly a widespread class effect warranting action, or whether lifestyle and population factors may have greater influence and thus should be given precedence.
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