Preparation of Monoclinic Pyrrhotite by Thermal Decomposition of Jarosite Residues and Its Heavy Metal Removal Performance
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Abstract: Jarosite residues produced by zinc hydrometallurgical processing are hazardous solid wastes. In this study, monoclinic pyrrhotite (M-Po) was prepared by the pyrolysis of jarosite residues in H₂S atmosphere. The influence of gas speed, reaction temperature, and time was considered. The mineral phase, microstructure, and elemental valence of the solids before and after pyrolysis were analyzed using X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy, and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, respectively. The performances of the prepared M-Po on the removal of Zn and Pb from aqueous solution were evaluated. The results show M-Po to be the sole product at the reaction temperatures of 550 to 575 °C. Most of the M-Po particles are at the nanometer scale and display xenomorphic morphology. The phase evolution process during pyrolysis is suggested as jarosite → hematite/magnetite → pyrite → pyrite+M-Po → M-Po+hexagonal pyrrhotite (H-Po) → H-Po. The formation rate, crystallinity, and surface microtexture of M-Po are controlled by reaction temperature and time. Incomplete sulfidation may produce coarse particles with core–shell (where the core is oxide and the shell is sulfide) and triple-layer (where the core is sulfate, the interlayer is oxide, and the shell is sulfide) structures. M-Po produced at 575 °C exhibits an excellent heavy metal removal ability, which has adsorption capacities of 25 mg/g for Zn and 100 mg/g for Pb at 25 °C and pH ranges from 5 to 6. This study indicates that high-temperature sulfidation is a novel and efficient method for the treatment and utilization of jarosite residues.
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1. Introduction

Jarosite residues containing mainly iron sulfates and jarosite (Na, K)Fe₃(SO₄)₂(OH)₆) are byproducts of the traditional hydrometallurgy industry [1–3]. In addition to Fe and S, jarosite residues also contain considerable trace elements, such as As, Cd, Cu, Pb, Zn, In, Co, Ge, and Ag, which are originally involved in the raw zinc ores [4,5]. Under natural conditions, these heavy metals are easily leached from jarosite residues and dispersed into rivers, soils, and other environments, threatening the health of wildlife. Therefore, in many countries, jarosite residues are required to be stored in specific installations for safety [6,7]. Though effective, this temporary method has its shortcomings. It not only induces a series of potential environmental problems but also occupies massive land resources. Thus, some comprehensive utilization proposals that aim to reuse jarosite and recover key metals are provided.

Typical efforts to treat jarosite residue include developing clean hydrometallurgical technologies [8,9], recovering valuable metals [10,11], and preparing special materials as additives [12,13]. In the building industry, jarosite residues are added into building bricks.
[14,15], subgrade filler [16,17], and cement as substitutes of fine aggregate [18,19]. Although these applications simultaneously treat waste and avoid environmental pollution derived from cement production, they cannot resolve the problem of heavy metal leaching [20,21]. Some new and improved technologies, such as thermal treatment-leaching [22,23], reduction-magnetic separation [24], sulfidation-flotation [25], acid decomposition-leaching [26], and sulphuric acid roasting-leaching [1], were developed to extract heavy metals. However, these technologies could be uneconomic in the case of low valuable metal contents in jarosite residues. Recently, some researchers have made great efforts to prepare functional materials from jarosite residues, such as cathode materials [27], photocatalysis [28], and adsorbents [29,30]. However, jarosite residues and the modified materials are often used in waste gas and heavy metal-bearing wastewater treatments.

Thermal treatment is a popular method for the modification of jarosite residues. It has been proven that natural natrojarosite (NaFe(SO₄)₂(OH)₆) can transform to hematite at 500–550 °C under atmospheric conditions [31,32]. Wang et al. [33] suggested that hematite shows excellent desulfurization performance and can transform to pyrrhotite at temperatures above 600 °C and then in an H₂S atmosphere. As for pyrrhotite, previous studies have shown that pyrrhotite prepared by natural pyrite has good application potential in the treatment of heavy metal-polluted water [34,35]. Studies have also shown that monoclinc pyrrhotite (M-Po) exhibits more excellent adsorption performance than hexagonal pyrrhotite (H-Po) on account of more abundant pores on the surface and more vacancy points in the crystalline structure for M-Po nanoparticles [36,37]. Thus, these studies inspired us to consider that jarosite residues could be favorable raw materials for the preparation of M-Po, which can be used for removing heavy metals from aqueous water.

This work attempted to (1) prepare M-Po by using jarosite residues and H₂S-containing industrial waste gas as raw materials and (2) evaluate the performance of M-Po in Zn and Pb removal from aqueous water. The objectives of this study were to (1) clarify mineral evolution during thermal decomposition of jarosite residue in H₂S atmosphere, (2) explore the optimal technological conditions of preparing M-Po from jarosite residues, and (3) evaluate the heavy metal removal abilities of M-Po. This work is of great significance in treating jarosite residues, industrial waste gases, and wastewater synchronously.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Sample Setting
Fresh jarosite residues produced by zinc hydrometallurgy were collected from the Jiuhua Smelting Plant (Chizhou City, Anhui Province, China). The sample was crushed through a 200 mesh sieve with a sieve size of 0.075 mm, and a pale yellow solid powder with a particle size of about 19 μm was obtained. The chemical compositions of the jarosite residues were determined by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectroscopy. The results showed that jarosite residues contain (wt%) mainly of Fe (43.33) and S (33.2), and less of Zn (2.27), Si (1.61), Na (0.61), Ca (0.61), Mn (0.20), Pb (0.14), and Cu (0.11). The X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis showed that jarosite residues were composed mainly of natrojarosite (PDF: 36-0425), franklinite (FeZn₆O₁₂, PDF: 22-1012), and gunningite (ZnSO₄, PDF: 08-0491) (Figure 1).
2.2. Reaction Apparatus and Experiments

Sulfidation experiments were conducted in a tube furnace where the reactor is a quartz tube (6 mm inner diameter) containing a quartz cotton supporting layer (Figure 2). Before heating, the 0.2 g pretreated sample was placed on the supporting layer and the tube was placed in the constant temperature zone of the tube furnace. The reaction apparatus was then heated in a nitrogen atmosphere at a heating rate of 5 °C/min. When the anticipated temperature was achieved, the temperature was maintained constant, and the atmosphere was switched from N2 to mixed gas (3% H2S and 97% N2), which was controlled by a mass flow controller (D07-19BM, China). During the experiment, the outlet gas was collected to determine the H2S concentration. A gas-phase molecular absorption spectrometer (GMA-3366, China) was used for H2S measurement. The residual gas was exhausted by multistage absorption with a 5% NaOH. Sulfidation parameters, including temperature, time, and gas speed were investigated. Under a sulfidation time of 1.5 h and a gas speed of 40 mL/min, the temperatures were set to 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 525, 550, 575, and 600 °C. Under sulfidation temperatures of 525–600 °C and a gas speed of 40 mL/L, the times were set to 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, and 2 h. Under sulfidation, a temperature of 575 °C and a time of 1.5 h, gas speeds were set to 10, 20, 30, and 40 mL/min.

The enrichment performances of Zn and Pb by sulfidation products were studied. Experiments were conducted in 100 mL polyethylene vessels, where each vessel contained 50 mL Zn solution and 0.01 g sulfidation product, and the same solid-to-liquid ratio for the Pb solution. The initial Zn and Pb concentrations were set to 2, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 100, and 200 mg/L, and the experimental temperature was set to 25 °C. The ionic strength (NaCl for Zn solution and NaNO3 for Pb solution) and pH were set to 0.01 M and 5, respectively. Experimental vessels were shaken continuously at a constant temperature in the shaker for 24 h. After the reaction, the solution was extracted, centrifuged, and filtrated to obtain the supernatant, which was then analyzed by a flame atomic absorption spectrometry (WYS2200, China) to obtain the residual concentration of Zn or Pb. Each experiment was repeated three times. The removal efficiency (Re) of Zn and Pb was estimated as:

\[
Re = \left(\frac{[C_0 - C_e]}{C_0}\right) \times 100
\]

where \(C_0\) and \(C_e\) (mg/L) are the initial and equilibrium concentrations of Zn and Pb, respectively.
2.3. Analytical Methods

The chemical compositions of the raw jarosite residues were determined by an XRF spectrometer (XRF-1800, Shimadzu, Japan). The mineral species for jarosite residues before and after sulfidation were identified by a DS-2700 (Haoyuan, Dandong, China) XRD. The analysis conditions were: Cu-Kα, 40 kV, 30 mA, and scanning rate 2°/min. The valence states of S in samples before and after sulfidation were determined by an ESCALAB 250Xi (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) XPS. The morphological characteristics of the minerals in samples before and after sulfidation were observed by a ZEISS Gemini 500 scanning electron microscope (SEM) (ZEISS, Thornwood, NY, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Determination of Optimal Sulfidation Conditions

3.1.1. Effect of Reaction Temperature

Figure 3a shows that temperature is an important parameter on the decomposition and sulfidation performance of jarosite residues. Diffraction peaks corresponding to natrojarosite were present for experiments at temperatures of <300 °C. Diffraction peaks corresponding to pyrite were present for experiments at 300–500 °C. At 525 °C, both pyrite and pyrrhotite were present. For experiments at temperatures of >525 °C, only pyrrhotite is present. Peaks in Figure 3a that are assigned to lattice planes of (203) and (206) of M-Po are enlarged in Figure 3c, respectively. Both peaks show an obvious left shift with increasing temperature, indicating the transformation of M-Po to H-Po. XRD results show that the lowest transformation temperature of natrojarosite to iron sulfide is in the range of 200–300 °C. These results are in accordance with H2S data (C/C0) shown in Figure 3d. For 100 and 200 °C experiments, the C/C0 values increased to a stable state rapidly, indicating no H2S consumption and natrojarosite transformation under both temperature conditions. For the 300 °C experiment, the C/C0 value increased steadily during the whole sulfidation process, indicating the sustained transformation of natrojarosite to iron sulfide. As the temperatures increased, the breakthrough times gradually decreased, shortening from about 3 h for the 300 °C experiment to less than 1 h for the 600 °C experiment. It is noticeable that even if the reaction had reached equilibrium, the concentration of H2S in the outlet was still slightly lower than that in the intake. This could be due to the splitting of
H₂S molecules at high temperatures [38]. Based on the relationships between phases and temperature, it can be roughly thought that the transformation route is natrojarosite→pyrite→M-Po→H-Po.
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Figure 3. (a) XRD patterns of sulfidation products of jarosite residues under various temperatures (sulfidation conditions: 200–600 °C, 1.5 h, 40 mL/L, 3% H₂S, and 97% N₂: balance gas); (b,c) partially enlarged areas of (a), where (b) corresponds to the lattice plane of (203) and (c) to the lattice plane of (206) of M-Po; (d) the breakthrough curves of H₂S (C and C₀ are H₂S concentrations in effluent and initial gases, respectively).

3.1.2. Effect of Reaction Time

The above results show that pure pyrrhotite appears in a narrow temperature range (550–600 °C). Thus, the temperatures of experiments that were set to investigate the effect of reaction time on sulfidation were 525, 550, 575, and 600 °C. From Figure 4a, it is observed that sulfidation time is not the controlling factor for phase assemblage. However, a detailed investigation shows that in the 600 °C experiment, peaks are at 43.7° (2θ), which indicates the presence of H-Po increase in intensity with increasing sulfidation time (Figure 4b). This may indicate that at 600 °C, the phase transformation is time-constrained. M-Po to H-Po transformation is a solid atomic rearrangement process; thus, it could be slow when the temperature is not high enough. One effective method to restrain the formation of H-Po is to reduce sulfidation time. However, it is not easy to determine the contents of M-Po and H-Po, as all the peaks are weak. It is noticed that in addition to H-Po, magnetite is present in the 600 °C and 0.5 h experiment (Figure 4a). This may indicate a special transformation model, where electrons transfer into natrojarosite before S, and
thus, the inner part of a natiojarosite particle is transformed to magnetite instead of iron sulfide.

Under the other three temperature conditions, no H-Po is observed, even for 2 h experiments. For 550 and 575 °C experiments, a tendency of reaction time-induced increase and decrease in peak intensities is observed (Figure 4b). The increase in peak strength probably indicates the increase in the crystallinity of M-Po, while the decrease in peak strength may be attributed to the sintering of M-Po. It is suggested that the optimal conditions for preparing M-Po are 550–575 °C, and 1.0–1.5 h.

![Figure 4. (a) XRD patterns of sulfidation products obtained under various temperatures and times (sulfidation conditions: 525–600 °C, 0.5–2 h, 40 mL/L, 3% H2S and 97% Ni; balance gas); (b) partially enlarged areas (20°: 43.2–44.6°) of (a).](image)

3.1.3. Effect of Gas Speed

Gas speed is an important parameter in this study, as too-low gas speed may decrease sulfidation efficiency, while too-high gas speed may add more burden to gas treatment. Figure 5a shows the gas speed dependencies of sulfidation products. It can be seen that in addition to pyrrhotite, hematite (α-Fe2O3) and milasaite (FeSO4) are also present in experiments with a gas speed of 10 mL/min. Monophase M-Po is observed in experiments with a gas speed of 20 mL/min or more. Additionally, gas speed may have a positive effect on mineral crystallinity, as the peak intensities of pyrrhotite increase with increasing gas speed. Considering that lower crystalline M-Po may have a higher surface area (33.78 m²/g) and active sites, it is suggested that the optimal gas speed is 20 mL/min.
3.2. XPS

XPS was used to investigate the chemical states of S in jarosite residues and the sulfidation product. The results show that S mainly exists as sulfates in raw jarosite residues (Figure 6a). Combined with chemical composition data, S with binding energies of 168.9 and 170.0 eV are attributed to ferrous sulfate (possibly FeSO₄) and ferric sulfate (jarosite, Fe₂(SO₄)₃), respectively. The ratio of ferrous and ferric sulfates was estimated by the peak area, and the value is about 1.8. The XPS result of the sulfidation product shows that S is in −2, −1, 0, +4, and +6 valences, which are attributed to pyrrhotite, pyrite, elemental sulfur, sulfite, and sulfate, respectively (Figure 6b) [39–41]. The peaks for transitional S and sulfate are weak, indicating that their contents are low. From S state analysis, it can be deduced that the transformation of jarosite residues to pyrrhotite is a multistage process, where S is reduced step by step. Mineral assemblage in the sulfidation product is determined by many factors, including temperature, sulfidation time, gas speed, and sulfur supply. Combined with XRD patterns of transformation products obtained under various sulfidation conditions, the following sulfidation reaction route of jarosite residues can be speculated by XPS results:

\[
\text{NaFe} \left( \text{SO}_4 \right)_{2} (\text{OH}) \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Fe}_2 \left( \text{SO}_4 \right) \rightarrow \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 / \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \rightarrow \text{FeS}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}_{1-X} \text{S}.
\]

**Figure 5.** (a) XRD patterns of sulfidation products obtained under different gas speeds; (b) partially enlarged areas (20: 43.2–44.6°) of (a) (sulfidation conditions: 575 °C, 1.5 h, 3% H₂S and 97% N₂ balance gas).

**Figure 6.** XPS spectra of S 2p for jarosite residues before (a) and after (b) sulfidation reaction (sulfidation conditions: 575 °C, 1.5 h, 20 mL/min, 3% H₂S and 97% N₂ balance gas).
3.3. Mineral Morphology

SEM micrographs show that euhedral crystals are the dominant natrojarosite forms in the raw jarosite residues (Figure 7a). A single euhedral natrojarosite crystal occurs as a plate shape with an average size of 2–10 μm. A higher magnification SEM image shows some aggregated attachments on the surface of natrojarosite particles (Figure 7b). Energy dispersive spectroscopy measurement shows that they are composed of Si, Zn, O, and S, which indicates that Zn is mainly involved in nanosized sulfate mineral rather than in natrojarosite. For the sulfidation product at 500 °C (Figure 8a), it is observed that plate-shaped euhedral natrojarosite crystals are replaced by nanosized particles. Additionally, some coarse particles are presented. Elemental mapping analysis indicated that the coarse particles are composed mainly of Fe and O, while the fine particles are composed mainly of Fe and S (Figure 8b–d). In association with XRD results, the coarse particles are considered to be iron oxides (hematite or magnetite), and the fine particles are pyrite. From the interfacial relationship that iron oxides are surrounded by pyrite, it is suggested that the sulfidation process can be divided into two stages: in the first stage, natrojarosite is transformed into iron oxides; in the second stage, H₂S reacts with iron oxides to form pyrite.

The sulfidation product at 575 °C shows an obvious decrease in coarse particles and an increase in fine particles (Figure 8e). Unlike the granular shape that is observed for coarse particles in 500 °C pyrolyzed products, the coarse particles in the 575 °C pyrolyzed product are sheet-like. Elemental mapping analysis (not shown in Figure 8) indicated that they are iron oxides. The fine particles have a similar shape to those in 500 °C pyrolyzed product. However, the XRD result (Figure 3a) and the elemental mapping analysis (not shown in Figure 8) show that they are pyrrhotite instead of pyrite. In a higher magnification (Figure 8f), it is observed that the nanosized pyrrhotite particle is in irregular forms. The coexistence of iron oxides and pyrrhotite indicated that in addition to pyrite [42,43], iron oxides can also be the precursor of pyrrhotite. Hematite and magnetite, which are derived from dehydration and desulphurization of jarosite, react directly with H₂S to form pyrrhotite.

![Figure 7](image.png)

**Figure 7.** (a) SEM images of raw jarosite residues; (b) A higher magnification SEM image of raw jarosite residues and the EDS for elements within the white box.
3.4. Reaction Mechanism

The XRD results show that natrojarosite is the dominant Fe source of sulfidation product. M-pyrrhotite is the target phase of sulfidation reaction. Based on systematic studies of mineralogy, sulfur states, and mineral morphology, the reaction process from natrojarosite to pyrrhotite in the H₂S atmosphere can be described by Equations (2)–(8), and by the model shown in Figure 9.

\[
\begin{align*}
2\text{NaFe}_3\text{(SO}_4\text{)}_2\text{(OH)}_6 & \rightarrow 2\text{NaFe}_2\text{(SO}_4\text{)}_2 + 2\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} \\
2\text{NaFe}\text{(SO}_4\text{)}_2 & \rightarrow \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{SO}_3 + \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \\
3\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{S} & \rightarrow 2\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{S} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{H}_2\text{S} & \rightarrow \text{Fe}_1\text{−}_x\text{S} + \text{FeS}_2 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + 3\text{H}_2\text{S} & \rightarrow \text{FeS}_2 + 2\text{Fe}_1\text{−}_x\text{S} (\text{M-Po}) + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \\
(1−x)\text{FeS}_2 & \rightarrow \text{Fe}_1\text{−}_x\text{S} + \text{S} \\
\text{Fe}_1\text{−}_x\text{S} (\text{M-Po}) & \rightarrow \text{Fe}_1\text{−}_x\text{S} (\text{H-Po})
\end{align*}
\]
At 200–300 °C, dehydration is the main reaction, where natrojarosite is thermally transformed into NaFe(SO$_4$)$_2$ and hematite (Equations (2) and (3)) [44]. The release of SO$_3$ can lead to the formation of a pore structure, which could promote the water treatment effect of the sulfidation product. At a temperature of ≥300 °C and under H$_2$S condition, the newly formed hematite is transformed into magnetite, pyrite, and probably pyrrhotite (Equations (4) and (5)) [45]. Magnetite can be further transformed into pyrite and pyrrhotite (Equation (6)). In this stage, the reaction temperature and gas speed of H$_2$S constrain the reaction rates and the species of iron oxides. For coarse particles, a special structure with iron oxides core and iron sulfides rim can be easily formed. However, it is difficult to identify iron oxides, or even iron sulfate, as they are encapsulated by iron sulfides and their contents are low.

As the reaction temperature increases to 550 °C, abundant M-Po begins to form. Pyrite and M-Po coexist in the sulfidation product (Figure 3b). M-Po may be formed through the sulfidation of hematite (Equation (6)), magnetite (Equations (5) and (6)), and the decomposition of pyrite (Equation (7)). At above 525 °C, pyrite to M-Po transformation could be the main process. At reaction temperature ≥600 °C, partial M-Po is transformed to H-Po. Based on the analysis of the mineral evolution pathway, it was found that sulfidation of jarosite residues at 300–500 °C can remove H$_2$S, which thus is a favorable technology to simultaneously treat jarosite residues and H$_2$S-contained smokes. Moreover, sulfidation of jarosite residues at 550–600 °C can prepare magnetic nanometer material (M-Po), which could be useful in water treatment. As a byproduct of sulfidation reaction, SO$_3$ could be precipitated by a Ca solution to form gypsum.

3.5. Removal Efficiencies of Zn and Pb by Sulfidation Product

Figure 10 shows that under experimental conditions (0.2 g/L, 25°C, 6 h, Ph = 5), the sulfidation product has an excellent removal ability for Zn and Pb. The adsorption capacities for Zn and Pb are 25 and 100 mg/g, respectively. The adsorption capacity of other adsorbents for the removal of Zn and Pb from aqueous phase is given in Tables 1 and 2 for comparison. According to XRD and SEM analyses (Figures 3 and 8), M-Po is not only the dominant phase in the sulfidation product but also shows a very small particle size. Thus, there is no doubt that Zn and Pb are removed by M-Po. Zn and Pb are two elements that are often involved in jarosite residues. Thus, M-Po-dominant sulfidation product could play crucial roles in metallurgy; on the one hand, heavy metals can be retained and enriched in M-Po during sulfidation, while on the other hand, M-Po can be used to enrich and recover valuable metals from waste gases and waters. From an environmental point of view, the M-Po-dominant sulfidation product is a potential water treatment material that can be used for eliminating heavy metals.
Table 1. Comparison of Zn removal with different adsorbents reported in the literature.

| Adsorbent                              | Adsorption capacities of Zn (mg/g) | Reference |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Modified Carbon Nanofibers             | 1.05                              | [46]      |
| γ-Al₂O₃                                | 7.60                              | [47]      |
| Hematite nanoparticles                 | 8.56                              | [48]      |
| Activated carbon                       | 22.03                             | [49]      |
| Pyrrhotite prepared by jarosite residues | 25.00                             | This study|

Table 2. Comparison of Pb removal with different adsorbents reported in the literature.

| Adsorbent                              | Adsorption Capacities of Pb (mg/g) | Reference |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Composite material: polystyrene/alumina/carbon | 10.64                             | [50]      |
| γ-Al₂O₃                                | 13.11                             | [47]      |
| Zeolite                                | 39.10                             | [51]      |
| Z-nZVI composite                       | 96.20                             | [51]      |
| Pyrrhotite prepared by jarosite residues | 100                               | This study|

Figure 10. Removal efficiencies of Zn and Pb from aqueous solution by sulfidation product (reaction conditions: solid-to-liquid ratio 0.2 g/L, 25 °C, 6 h).

4. Conclusions

The jarosite residues as an industrial byproduct in refining and hydrometallurgical processes can be converted from H₂S-contained industrial waste gas to a functional material with high-performance heavy metal removal. The phase evolution route of jarosite residues during sulfidation can be written as: jarosite → hematite/magnetite → pyrite → pyrite+monoclinic pyrrhotite → monoclinic pyrrhotite+hexagonal pyrrhotite → hexagonal pyrrhotite. The M-Po-dominant sulfidation product is formed mainly at 550–575 °C. The M-Po-dominant sulfidation product is a high-performance material for removing Zn and Pb and probably other heavy metals from an aqueous solution. Thermal decomposition of jarosite residues in the H₂S atmosphere has significance both in metallurgy and environmental engineering. In metallurgy, M-Po-dominant sulfidation products can enrich heavy metals both from jarosite residues and aqueous solutions. The enriched heavy metals can thus be recovered through the appropriate method. In environmental engineering, the M-Po-dominant sulfidation product is a potential material for treating heavy metal-polluted gases and waters.
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