Abstract

Introduction: Symptomatic adjacent segment disease after anterior cervical decompression and fusion has been well described, but there have been few reports of symptomatic adjacent segment disease after cervical laminoplasty.

Case report: The authors report on a 69-year-old female gradually developed gait disturbance due to C7 anterolisthesis and ligamentum flavum thickening with an onset 11 years after conventional C3-7 laminoplasty. The patient underwent laminectomy from C6 to T1 and was able to return to ambulation. However, she experienced further deterioration in her ambulatory status four years after the second surgery, due to further anterior slippage of C7. Finally, she underwent posterior decompression and fusion from C5 to T3. The patient was able to return to ambulation with the assistance of a cane despite some level of spasticity.

Conclusion: Compression myelopathy may occur as a late effect adjacent segment disease that produces a deteriorated condition after conventional cervical laminoplasty. Anterolisthesis with thickened ligamentum flavum at the cervico-thoracic junction needs to be fused and instrumented.

Abbreviations

ROM: Range of Motion

Introduction

Cervical laminoplasty was first reported by Hirabayashi in 1983 [1]. This method has become the gold standard for cervical myelopathy surgical interventions, particularly when there is multilevel involvement. Good long term surgical outcomes have been reported with this procedure [2-6].

However, anterior cervical fusion is still a widely used procedure for cases having a lesion at one or two levels.

Several studies compared the surgical outcomes and complications for these two procedures [7-15]. There appeared to be no difference in clinical outcome, but a de-novo lesion has been reported as an adjacent segment disease with long-term follow up after anterior fusion.

Recently we experienced a rare case of cervico-thoracic spondylolisthesis with hypertrophy of the ligamentum flavum as an adjacent segment disease 11 years after conventional C3-C7 laminoplasty. The purpose of this paper is to describe the details of this rare case and review the literature.

Case Presentation

A 69-year-old woman was admitted to our department due to gradual onset of difficulty in walking. She had undergone a spinous process-splitting laminoplasty from C3 to C7 11 years ago. The surgical results were uneventful, and she had been free from the preoperative symptoms for ten years.

She presented with a twelve month history of bilateral lower extremity numbness and muscle weakness. Though the symptoms were getting worse, she had not previously had a medical examination. She was subsequently transferred to the emergency room due to lower extremity paresis.

She was not able to stand up or ambulate at the time of admission. Physical examination revealed significant motor weakness in both lower extremities. Sensory disturbance was observed on her trunk and both lower extremities.

Plain X-ray of the cervical spine three years after laminoplasty showed facet joint osteoarthritis at C7-T1 that was not observed at
the previous surgery (Figure 1). ROM of the cervical spine measured by the tangential line of the posterior vertebral body between C2 and C7 decreased from 43 degrees before laminoplasty to 22 degrees 11 years after the original laminoplasty. Magnetic resonance images demonstrated spinal cord compression at C7-T1 with anterior slippage of C7 and ligamentumflavum hypertrophy that was not observed one month after laminoplasty (Figure 2).

The operative treatment was performed due to the deterioration in neurological findings. The hydroxyapatite spacers on the spinous process at C6 and C7 were removed, and laminectomy from C6 to T1 was performed. Intraoperative findings revealed that the yellow ligament was thickened between C7 and T1, which adhered to and compressed the dural sac. Histological examination showed marked fibrous and degenerative change of this ligament (Figure 3).

Her numbness improved immediately after the surgery and lower extremity muscle strength gradually increased. She was discharged 40 days after surgery and was able to ambulate independently at the time of discharge. Plain radiography one year after laminectomy revealed that the C7 slippage had progressed slightly, but did not increase in the flexed position when compared with the extended position. Magnetic resonance imaging demonstrated adequate decompression of the spinal cord at the C7-T1 level (Figure 4).

Four years after laminectomy, her ambulatory status declined after a fall. Her neurological function deteriorated and she again became wheelchair dependent. Magnetic resonance images revealed progression of the C7 anterior slippage and cord compression was apparent at C7-T1. CT imaging revealed a 60% anterior slippage of C7 on T1. The patient underwent decompression between C6 and T1 with an instrumented fusion from C5 to T3 using lateral mass screws and pedicle screws (Figure 5). The patient had gradual improvement in neurological function after surgery and was able to ambulate with a walker.

The patient continues to ambulate with a walker despite some residual spasticity at six months after the latest surgical intervention. Magnetic resonance images demonstrated adequate decompression of the spinal cord at C7-T1, and computed tomography revealed fusion of the C7-T1 vertebra (Figure 6).

**Discussion**

Adjacent segment disease is well described after anterior cervical decompression and fusion. Hilbrand et al. [16] reported the rate of symptomatic adjacent disc disease was 2.9% per patient per year. Survivor analysis predicted that 25% of all patients would develop adjacent segment degeneration in a series of 374 patients treated with anterior cervical fusion. Katuura et al. [17] found that 50% of patients treated with anterior cervical fusion developed adjacent-segment disease and 19% required reoperation. Ishihara et al. [18] reported the development of symptomatic adjacent-segment disease in 19 of 112 patients (19%). These adjacent segment disease states appear to be caused by the loss of motion in the fused segment.

However, cervical laminoplasty has been indicated when the patient has a narrow spinal canal or multilevel compressive lesions. The cervical laminoplasty is a motion preservation procedure. Therefore, no focal concentration of the mechanical stress would occur after this surgical procedure.

However, several articles reported loss of segmental motion after...
laminoplasty [19-24]. Wada et al. [7] reported more than 30% ROM reduction after cervical laminoplasty. This may be the result of laminar elevation or unintended fusions caused by drilling of bone around facet joints. Iizuka et al. [19] reported postoperative interlaminar bony fusion occurred in 53% of patients after laminoplasty, reducing postoperative cervical spine sagittal ROM. Therefore, adjacent segment diseases can develop gradually in the areas surrounding the laminoplasty.

There have been a few published reports of adjacent segment disease after cervical laminoplasty [25-27]. Takagi et al. [25] reported a case of symptomatic T1-2 ligamentum flavum hypertrophy and abrupt onset of disc herniation with an onset 11 years after a successful
C3-C7 laminoplasty. T1-2 laminectomy with disc fragment excision was performed at that level. They concluded that mechanical stresses had directly affected the T1-2 intervertebral disc after laminoplasty and caused disc herniation. Wang et al. [25] described a case of symptomatic disc degeneration and spinal cord compression at T1-2 level after C3-7 laminoplasty with partial laminectomies at C2 and T1. In that case, laminectomy with posterior instrumentation and fusion between C5 and T3 was performed.

The cervical spine flexion range of motion decreased from 43 to 22 degrees after the first laminoplasty in the current case. Therefore, long-term mechanical stress at the cervico-thoracic junction from the stiffened cervical spine articulating with the generally less mobile thoracic spine may cause the degeneration at that level. The thickened yellow ligament with C7 anterior slippage seemed to be the main component compressing the spinal cord. Therefore, careful observation is necessary for long-term follow up of cases with conventional cervical laminoplasty. There is no established surgical treatment for the cervico-thoracic spondylolisthesis. Few reports studied the progression of anterolisthesis after multilevel cervical laminectomy. Some studies reported no significant difference between the pre-and postoperative measurements of anteroposterior mobility after multilevel laminectomies [28-30]. However, Kurz [31] suggested that the presence of preoperative spondylolisthesis was indicative of segmental instability making fusion mandatory. Guigui [32] reported that preoperative spondylolisthesis with hypermobility in the sagittal plane must be fused and instrumented.

In the current case hypermobility at the cervico-thoracic junction, C7 anterior slip and hyper trophy of ligamentum flavum caused the compression myelopathy 11 years after the index surgery. The thickening of the ligamentum flavum and the anterolisthesis indicate that some component of instability existed. Therefore, laminectomy without fusion and instrumentation increased the postoperative destabilization.

Her ambulation status was improved after laminectomy the first year, but unfortunately, progression of the C7 anterolisthesis and spinal cord compression recurred four years later. Anterolisthesis with a hypertrophic ligamentum flavum at the cervico-thoracic junction needs to be fused and instrumented.

Conclusion

Adjacent segment disease is one of the complications after cervical laminoplasty. Therefore careful long-term observation for an adjacent segment lesion is necessary after conventional cervical laminoplasty. Preoperative anterolisthesis with thickened ligamentum flavum at the cervico-thoracic junction needs to be fused and instrumented.
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