Hysterectomy in a male? A rare case report
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1. Introduction

Persistent Mullerian duct syndrome (PMDS) was first described by Nilson in 1939.1 Subsequently, approximately 150 cases have been reported in the literature.2

PMDS is a rare form of male pseudo-hermaphroditism characterized by the presence of Mullerian duct structures in an otherwise phenotypically, as well as genotypically, normal man.3 It is characterized by the persistence of the uterus, fallopian tubes and upper vagina in otherwise normally virilized boys. Despite the normal male genotype (46 XY) and the subsequent normal development of fetal testes, Mullerian structures do not regress either due to absence of Mullerian Inhibiting Substance (MIS) or lack of response to it. The persistence of a large uterus-like paramesonephric duct in a man is in itself clinically unusual, but when it forms a part of the contents of a hernial sac, it must be considered a rarity.4

We report the case of a 70 year old man with unilateral cryptorchidism on the right side and left obstructed inguinal hernia containing uterus and fallopian tube (that is, hernia uteri inguinalis; type I male form of PMDS) coincidently detected during an operation for an obstructed left inguinal hernia.

2. Case report

A 70 year old man presented to our hospital with a painful left-sided inguinal swelling of one day duration. The patient gave history of asymptomatic left inguinal swelling from past 20 years and absence of the right testis since birth.

The patient was phenotypically male with normal secondary sexual characters. He had been married for fifty years and was having 4 children, the youngest one being 38 year old female. General physical examination revealed a man of sub-average built with well developed secondary sexual characters. His urethra and penis were fully developed with a poorly developed right hemi-scrotum and no palpable right testis in the scrotum or inguinal canal. The left hemiscrotum was well developed and left testis was palpable in scrotum.

There was a non-reducible, tender swelling measuring approximately 10 × 8 cm in the left inguinal region with absent cough impulse. Baseline investigations were normal. Patient was prepared for surgery after obtaining a written consent. Exploration of the inguinal canal revealed an indirect inguinal hernia containing a globular structure resembling uterus, fallopian tubes with an
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atrophic right testis embedded in the broad ligament and attached to pelvis with a thick fibrous band [Figs. 1 and 2]. Total excision of the uterus with fallopian tubes and atrophic right testis was performed and the operation was completed with left inguinal hernioplasty [Figs. 3 and 4]. Our patient had an uneventful post-operative period. Grossly, the specimens removed were identified as a uterus with patent endometrial and endocervical linings and two fallopian tubes. The right testis measuring 2 × 1 × 1 cm, was atrophic and embedded in the right broad ligament. The specimen was sent for histopathological examination which revealed uterine muscular tissue with its cavity lined by endometrial tissue and congested fallopian tubes. No ovarian tissue was seen. Sections from right testes showed atrophic seminiferous tubules, Sertoli cells and Leydig cells. No evidence of malignancy was seen in tissue samples from testicle. Post-operative karyotype analyses of the patient revealed 46, XY.

3. Discussion

Male pseudo-hermaphroditism is a condition in which the gonads are testes but the internal genitalia are not completely virilized. It is possible for pseudo-hermaphroditism to be undetected until puberty.6 PMDS is a rare form of internal male pseudo-hermaphroditism in which Mullerian duct derivatives are seen in men. It was first described by Nilson in 1939.1 Subsequently, approximately 150 cases have been reported. A familial association has been found in some cases.2 The exact cause of PMDS is not known, however it is thought to result from a defect of the synthesis or release of MIF, or from defects in the MIF receptor. Defects in the MIF gene lead to the persistence of a uterus and fallopian tube in males. It is likely that remnant Mullerian structures lead to cryptorchidism by hindering the normal testicular descent mechanism.6

Patients with PMDS usually have normal development of external genitalia and secondary sexual characteristics.3 The typical patient with PMDS has unilateral or bilateral cryptorchidism and is assigned to the male sex at birth without hesitation, as they have normal male genotypes and phenotypes.2 Two anatomic variants of PMDS have been described: male and female. The male form is encountered in 80–90% of cases, characterized by unilateral cryptorchidism with contralateral inguinal hernia, and can be one of the two types: the first type is hernia uteri inguinalis, which is characterized by one descended testis and herniation of the ipsilateral corner of uterus and fallopian tube into the inguinal canal. The second type is crossed testicular ectopia, which is characterized by herniation of both testes and the entire uterus with both fallopian tubes.5
Clinically, the persistence of a uterus and fallopian tubes leads to either cryptorchidism or inguinal hernia depending on whether or not Mullerian derivatives can be mobilized during testicular descent.\(^3\) If the uterus and fallopian tube are mobile, they may descend into the inguinal canal during testicular descent. However, if the Mullerian structures are relatively immobile testicular descent may be impeded.\(^5\)\(^7\)\(^8\)

PMDS is usually coincidently detected during surgical operation, as in our patient’s case. However pre-operative ultrasonography, computerized tomography and MRI allow possible pre-operative diagnosis.\(^3\) Management of PMDS is controversial. An element of deciding on intervention is largely based on reducing risk of malignancy, while maintaining maximum reproductive function. In PMDS patients with undescended testes, the rate of testicular cancer is about 12% which is comparable to the rate seen in undescended testes not associated with PMDS.\(^5\)\(^7\)\(^8\)

Several authors have asserted that the Mullerian structures should not be removed as there is minimal risk associated with their retention, and excision of the Mullerian structures risks damaging primary blood supply to the PMDS testis via the internal spermatic and deferential arteries.\(^9\) However most recent reports have demonstrated rare malignancies including adenocarcinomas of the Mullerian duct associated with retained Mullerian structures.\(^10\)\(^11\)

4. Conclusion

PMDS is a rare form of male pseudo-hermaphroditism characterized by the presence of Mullerian duct structures in an otherwise phenotypically, as well as genotypically, normal man.

Since patients are phenotypically male, the diagnosis is usually not suspected until surgery is performed for cryptorchidism or hernia repair. Hernia uteri inguinalis is type I of the male form of PMDS, characterized by one descended testis and the herniation of the ipsilateral corner of the uterus and fallopian tube into the inguinal canal. In order to prevent further complications such as infertility and malignant change, the surgeon should be aware of PMDS while dealing with malignant patients who present with unilateral or bilateral cryptorchidism.

In summary, in cases of unilateral or bilateral cryptorchidism associated with hernia, as in our patient’s case, the possibility of PMDS should be kept in mind.
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