An electrically driven single-atom “flip-flop” qubit
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The spins of atoms and atom-like systems are among the most coherent objects in which to store quantum information. However, the need to address them using oscillating magnetic fields hinders their integration with quantum electronic devices. Here, we circumvent this hurdle by operating a single-atom “flip-flop” qubit in silicon, where quantum information is encoded in the electron-nuclear states of a phosphorus donor. The qubit is controlled using local electric fields at microwave frequencies, produced within a metal-oxide-semiconductor device. The electrical drive is mediated by the modulation of the electron-nuclear hyperfine coupling, a method that can be extended to many other atomic and molecular systems and to the hyperpolarization of nuclear spin ensembles. These results pave the way to the construction of solid-state quantum processors where dense arrays of atoms can be controlled using only local electric fields.

INTRODUCTION

A century ago, understanding the electronic structure and optical spectra of atoms was one of the first successes of the emerging theory of quantum mechanics. Today, atoms and atom-like systems constitute the backbone of coherent quantum technologies (1), providing well-defined states to encode quantum information, act as quantum sensors, or interface between light and matter. Their spin degree of freedom (2) is most often used in quantum information processing because of its long coherence time, which can stretch to hours for atomic nuclei (3, 4).

Moving from proof-of-principle demonstrations to functional quantum processors requires strategies to engineer multiqubit interactions and to integrate atom-based spin qubits with control and interfacing electronics (5). There, the necessity to apply oscillating magnetic fields to control the atom’s spin poses challenging engineering problems. Magnetic fields oscillating at radio frequency (rf; for nuclear spins) or microwaves (MWs; for electron spins) cannot be localized or shielded at the nanoscale, and their delivery is accompanied by large power dissipation, difficult to reconcile with the cryogenic operation of most quantum devices. Therefore, many spin-based quantum processors based on “artificial atoms” (quantum dots) rely instead on electric fields for qubit control, through a technique called electric dipole spin resonance (EDSR) (6, 7).

The longer coherence time of natural atoms and atom-like systems is accompanied by a reduced sensitivity to electric fields, making EDSR more challenging. EDSR was demonstrated in ensembles of color centers in silicon carbide (8) for electron spins and ensembles of donors in silicon for nuclear spins (9). At the single-atom level, coherent electrical control was demonstrated in scanning tunneling microscope experiments (10), single-atom molecular magnets (11), and high-spin donor nuclei (12). Still lacking is a method to perform EDSR with single atoms, at MW frequencies, in a platform that enables easy integration with control electronics and large-scale manufacturing.

Here, we report the coherent electrical control of a spin qubit formed by the antiparallel states of the electron and nuclear spins of a single 31P atom in silicon, thus called the “flip-flop” qubit (13). The MW electric field produced by a local gate electrode induces coherent quantum transitions between the flip-flop states via the modulation of the electron-nuclear hyperfine interaction A, which depends on the precise shape of the electron charge distribution. Local control of A with baseband electrical pulses was already suggested in the seminal Kane proposal (14) as a way to select a specific qubit to be operated within a global rf magnetic field (15). Here, instead, we control the qubit directly with local MW electric signals.

The definition and operation of the flip-flop qubit can be understood on the basis of the spin Hamiltonian of the 31P donor system. In the absence of time-dependent fields, and expressed in frequency units, it reads

$$\hat{H} = \gamma_e B_0 \hat{S}_z - \gamma_n B_0 I_z + A \hat{S} \cdot \hat{I}$$

(1)

where $\hat{S} = (\hat{S}_x, \hat{S}_y, \hat{S}_z)$ and $\hat{I} = (I_x, I_y, I_z)$ are the vector spin operators for the electron and nucleus, respectively. The first and second terms represent the electron and nuclear Zeeman energies created by a magnetic field $B_0$, whose direction defines the z axis in the spin Hamiltonian. The electron and nuclear gyromagnetic ratios are $\gamma_e \approx 27.97$ GHz/T and $\gamma_n \approx 17.25$ MHz/T, respectively. For simplicity, we define $\gamma_e$ and $\gamma_n$ as both positive and account for their sign in the Hamiltonian definition. The third term describes the Fermi contact hyperfine interaction, which is proportional to the overlap of the electron wave function with the nucleus. For a 31P donor in bulk silicon, $A = 117.53$ MHz. Electric fields (15) and/or lattice strain (16) can distort the electron wave function and modify the value of A.

In the presence of a static magnetic field strong enough to ensure that $\gamma_e B_0 \gg A$, the eigenstates of this two-spin system are approximately the tensor product of electron-nuclear spin states: $|\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow, \uparrow\rangle$. We use here $B_0 \approx 1$ T, whereby $\gamma_e B_0 \approx 28$ GHz $\gg A = 114.1$ MHz. The energy level diagram thus takes the simple form shown in Fig. 1A.
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The standard way to control the electron-nuclear spin states of the $^{31}$P donor is to apply an oscillating magnetic field of the form $B_1 \cos(2\pi f t)$ with $B_1 \perp B_0$. The resulting spin Hamiltonian term $(\gamma_s S_z - \gamma_n I_z)B_1 \cos(2\pi f t)$ has nonzero matrix elements between electron-nuclear states where the spin angular momentum changes by $\hbar$ ($\hbar$ is the reduced Planck constant). These are thus the first-order magnetically allowed spin transitions. As shown in Fig. 1A, they can be divided into two groups: electron spin resonance (ESR) (17), where the electron spin state changes and the nuclear spin remains fixed (ESR1, $|↓↓\rangle \leftrightarrow |↑↑\rangle$) at frequency $\nu_{e1} = \gamma_s B_0 - A/2$, and ESR2, $|↓↑\rangle \leftrightarrow |↑↓\rangle$ at frequency $\nu_{e2} = \gamma_s B_0 + A/2)$, and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) (18), where the nuclear spin state changes and the electron spin remains fixed (NMR1, $|↓↓\rangle \leftrightarrow |↑↑\rangle$ at frequency $\nu_{n1} = A/2 + \gamma_n B_0$, and NMR2, $|↓↑\rangle \leftrightarrow |↑↓\rangle$ at frequency $\nu_{n2} = A/2 - \gamma_n B_0$). In our setup, the oscillating magnetic field $B_1$ is delivered by an on-chip broadband MW antenna (see Fig. 1C), terminated by a short circuit (19) to maximize the magnetic component of the field produced at its tip. ESR driving signals in the ~30 GHz range and NMR signals in the ~30 to 70 MHz range are combined at room temperature and delivered to the donor by the same antenna (see Fig. 1C and Materials and Methods for more details).

The key aspect of our work consists in recognizing that, in the electron-nuclear Hamiltonian in Eq. 1, the hyperfine term couples directly the $|↓↓\rangle$ and $|↑↑\rangle$ states. Written explicitly

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_s B_0 + A/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_n B_0 - A/2 & A & 0 \\ 0 & A & -\gamma_s B_0 - A/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\gamma_s B_0 + A/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(2)

where $\gamma_s = \gamma_n = \gamma_0$, and the columns of the matrix are ordered as the $|↓↓\rangle$, $|↑↓\rangle$, $|↑↑\rangle$, and $|↓↑\rangle$ states. Because the matrix is block diagonal, we can define a truncated two-dimensional subspace, i.e., a qubit, with basis states $|↓\rangle$, $|↑\rangle$ as

$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_s B_0 - A/2 & A \\ A & -\gamma_s B_0 - A/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(3)

where $\hat{\sigma}_z$ and $\hat{\sigma}_x$ are Pauli matrices and $\mathbf{I}$ is the identity matrix. We call flip-flop qubit the two-level system described by the above Hamiltonian. Its Bloch sphere is depicted in Fig. 1B. The longitudinal Hamiltonian term is set by the sum of the electron and nuclear Zeeman energies, $\gamma_s B_0 \hat{\sigma}_z$. The basis states are coupled by the term $A \hat{\sigma}_x$. In other words, within the flip-flop qubit subspace, the hyperfine interaction acts in the same way a transversal magnetic field would act on a simple spin-1/2 qubit. Therefore, modulating $A$ at the frequency corresponding to the flip-flop states’ energy difference induces coherent spin transitions between the $|↓\rangle$, $|↑\rangle$ states. Crucially, the modulation of $A$ is obtained by applying electric instead of magnetic fields, because it requires a local distortion of the electron wave function at the donor.

To operate the flip-flop qubit, we fabricated a device that, in addition to the short-circuited MW antenna used for NMR and ESR, contains a second on-chip transmission line, labeled fast donor (FD) gate, which is terminated by an open circuit to maximize the electric component of the field at its tip (Fig. 1C). By applying an oscillating voltage to the FD gate, the resulting electric field $E_{\text{ac}} \cos(2\pi f_{\text{dc}} t)$ at the donor location modulates $A$ via the hyperfine Stark effect. Microscopically, the electric field distorts the electron wave function and time-dependently alters its overlap with the $^{31}\text{P}$ nucleus, on which $A$ depends. Distorting the electron wave function from the spherically symmetric 1s orbital ground state results in the formation of an electric dipole (13), because the electron charge distribution is laterally displaced with respect to the positive nuclear charge. The resulting transitions between the flip-flop states thus constitute a form of EDSR.

The resonance frequency is simply $\epsilon_{\text{ff}} = \sqrt{(\gamma_s B_0)^2 + A(E_{\text{ac}})^2}$, where $E_{\text{dc}}$ is the static electric field at the donor location. The flip-flop Rabi frequency $f_{\text{Rabi}}^\text{ff}$ depends on the electric polarizability of the electron wave function, which is reflected in the Stark shift of the hyperfine coupling $\partial A(E)/\partial E$, yielding $f_{\text{Rabi}}^\text{ff} = \partial A(E)/20E|E_{\text{ac}}|$, where the factor 2 accounts for the rotating wave approximation. For a donor in bulk silicon, however, $\partial A(E)/
The flip-flop resonance is found by preparing the electron in |↑⟩, applying an MW burst to the FD gate (Fig. 1C, left, brown), i.e., the high-frequency transmission line designed to deliver an electric field and drive EDSR transitions, and measuring the nuclear spin state. Here, the nuclear state is measured by applying an adiabatic frequency sweep (22) of the MW drive on the magnetic antenna around the ESR1 resonance (adiabatic transitions are labeled with the prefix “a,” e.g., aESR1 in this case), followed by electron spin readout. The ESR1 resonance is conditional on the nuclear spin being |ψ⟩. Therefore, the electron readout after the conditional electron spin rotation represents a projective readout of the nuclear state: observing an electron |↑⟩ projects the nucleus on |↑⟩, whereas observing |↓⟩ projects the nucleus to |ψ⟩ (18). We repeat the above cycle 20 times and extract the probability $P_{\text{flip}}$ that the nucleus is flipped as a result of the EDSR drive, as a function of its frequency. A high probability $P_{\text{flip}}$ of the nuclear state changing from one shot to the next indicates that the flip-flop resonance is being efficiently driven. Note, however, that once the system is excited to the |↑⟩ state, the electron readout via spin-dependent tunneling results in the |↑⟩ electron being replaced by a |↓⟩ one, which brings the electron-nuclear system to the |↓⟩ state, outside of the flip-flop qubit subspace. To prevent this, before each EDSR shot, we apply an aESR1 pulse, which returns the system to |↑⟩ if it is in the |↓⟩ state (see Materials and Methods) and leaves it unchanged (in the |↑⟩ state) otherwise. We find a high nuclear spin flip probability at $f_{\text{ESR}} = 28.0966$ GHz (Fig. 2A), in agreement with the EDSR (flip-flop) transition frequency predicted from the values of $A$, $\gamma_{e}$, and $B_0$, measured independently.

Coherent control of the flip-flop qubit

To demonstrate coherent electrical control of the flip-flop transition, we first perform an EDSR Rabi experiment, consisting of the pulse sequence shown in Fig. 2B. As before, an aESR1 prepulse is used to ensure that the electron-nuclear system remains within the flip-flop subspace after every shot. Clear Rabi oscillations are observed by plotting the nuclear flip probability $P_{\text{flip}}$ as a function of the duration of the electrical EDSR pulse (Fig. 2B). The nuclear spin readout is repeated 20 times for every pulse duration, and the electron spin is left in the |↑⟩ state after each shot.

Because the electron spin is itself read out as part of the nuclear readout process, we have the additional possibility of measuring the state of both spins and verifying the electron-nuclear flip-flop dynamics. Unlike the previous experiments, where we only measured the probability for the nucleus to flip without requiring it to start in a specific orientation, we now need to start each sequence with the deterministic initialization of the flip-flop ground state |↓⟩. We achieve this by an electron-nuclear double-resonance (ENDOR) sequence comprising an aESR2 pulse, followed by an nNMR1 pulse and a subsequent electron readout (see Figs. 1A and 2C and Materials and Methods for more details).

Once initialized, we apply a resonant EDSR tone, which drives the transition from the |↑⟩ to the |↓⟩ state, and first read out the electron spin (21). Subsequently, we reload an electron |↑⟩ onto the donor and perform the nuclear spin readout (18) as described earlier (see Fig. 1A and pulse sequence in Fig. 2C). The sequential readout of the electron and the nuclear states is made possible by the quantum nondemolition (QND) nature of the nuclear spin readout (18). By repeating this sequence more than 20 times, we determine the electron $P(\uparrow)$ and nuclear $P(\downarrow)$ spin-up proportions for each
EDSR pulse duration. Figure 2C shows the antiparallel coherent drive of both electron and nuclear spins.

By detuning the drive frequency of the EDSR pulse around the resonance, we map out the Rabi chevron pattern of the nuclear spin (see Fig. 2D). Here, we again initialize the system in the flip-flop ground state $|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ using the ENDOR pulse sequence.

**Coherence times and gate fidelities**

Having demonstrated the coherent operation and readout of the flip-flop qubit, we proceed to measure its key performance metrics for quantum information processing, i.e., relaxation, coherence, and gate fidelities. From bulk experiments on $^{31}$P donors, the relaxation process within the flip-flop qubit subspace ($|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \rightarrow |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$) is known to be extremely slow, $T_{1ff} \approx 5$ hours (23), but it is not obvious that it would remain unchanged in a nanoscale device subject to strong electric fields. Because the electron spin relaxation time ($|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \rightarrow |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$) is $T_{1e} = 6.45(39)$ s in this device (here and in the rest of the manuscript, uncertainties are given as SDs), measuring $T_{1ff}$ requires saturating the ESR1 transition while monitoring the decay of the system from the $|\downarrow\rangle$ subspace via the flip-flop transition. We adopted the pumping scheme depicted in Fig. 3A: Starting from the $|\downarrow\rangle$ state, the donor is placed in a superposition state $a|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle + b|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$, with $|a|^2 \approx |b|^2 \approx 0.5$, using a slow frequency sweep (labeled $1/e_{3}$ESR1) calibrated to yield a 50% probability of inverting the electron spin (see section S1 for details) (22). After this, we apply aESR1 inversion pulses every 5 s to counteract the $T_{1e}$ process and measure the nuclear state at the end. The probability of finding the nucleus in the initially prepared $|\downarrow\rangle$ state slowly decays with time as a consequence of the $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \rightarrow |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ flip-flop relaxation process. Fitting the decay, we obtain $T_{1ff} = 173(12)$ s, much longer than $T_{1e}$. We also independently verified that, without repopulating the $\uparrow\downarrow$ state, the rate of nuclear spin flip $|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \rightarrow |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ is immeasurably slow, $T_{1n} \gg 500$ s.

To investigate the coherence of the flip-flop qubit, we performed an on-resonance Ramsey experiment, where we initialized the system in the flip-flop ground state $|\downarrow\rangle$ using the ENDOR sequence and apply two consecutive EDSR $\pi/2$-pulses separated by a varying time delay (see Fig. 3B). We obtained a pure dephasing time $T_{2ff}^* = 4.09(88)$ μs, which is nearly a factor of 4 lower than $T_{2e} = 14.6(9)$ μs for the donor-bound electron (Fig. 3C).

A Hahn echo experiment is performed by applying a $\pi$-pulse halfway through the free evolution time, which decouples the qubit from slow noise and extends the coherence time to $T_{2ff}^* = 184(24)$ μs (Fig. 3B). This value is approximately half the echo decay time that we measured on the electron, $T_{2e}^* = 336(10)$ μs (Fig. 3C).
The microscopic origin of the flip-flop decoherence mechanisms, and their relation to the electron spin decoherence, is still under investigation. A key observation is that the EDSR pulses induce a transient shift of the resonance frequencies of up to 80 kHz, chiefly by affecting the electron gyromagnetic ratio (see section S2 for details). The pulse-induced resonance shift (PIRS) depends on the power and duration of the pulse in a nontrivial way and decays slowly after the pulse is turned off. Similar effects were reported earlier in the literature (24–27) and attributed to heating, rectification effects, or excitation of charge traps, but remain poorly understood.

Another key decoherence mechanism is the presence of residual $^{29}$Si nuclear spins in the substrate. Despite using an isotopically enriched $^{28}$Si material with 730 parts per million (ppm) residual $^{29}$Si concentration, we found the flip-flop and ESR resonances to be split into six well-resolved clusters of frequencies, indicating at least three $^{29}$Si nuclei coupled to the electron by $\sim 100$...
kHz (see section S3 for details). These nuclei flip as often as once per minute.

We measured the average one-qubit gate fidelities of the flip-flop qubit using the well-established methods of gate set tomography (GST) (28) and randomized benchmarking (RB) (29). In both cases, the effect of $^{29}$Si nuclear spin flips is mitigated by sandwiching each gate sequence between spectrum scans to monitor the instantaneous resonance frequency and only accept the measurement if the frequency remains constant (see section S4 for details). Despite this precaution, the GST analysis reveals a strong deviation from a Markovian model, possibly due to the effect of PIRS. Therefore, the GST one-qubit average fidelities $\mathcal{F}_{1Q} = 97.5$ to 98.5% are additionally verified by RB.

RB determines the average gate fidelity by applying to the qubit a random sequence of Clifford gates with varying length $m$. The sequence always starts by initializing the qubit in the $|\downarrow\rangle$ state, using the ENDOR sequence described before. In our compilation, the Clifford gates are composed of $\approx 2.233$ native gates $E(x, y, \pm X_{x/2}, \pm Y_{x/2})$ on average. The last Clifford operation in each sequence is chosen such that the final state ideally returns to $|\downarrow\rangle$. The final state of the flip-flop qubit is measured by monitoring the probability $P_{\uparrow}$ of finding the nuclear spin in the $|\uparrow\rangle$ state. In the presence of gate errors, $P_{\uparrow}$ decays as a function of sequence length $m$, and the average gate fidelity is determined from the decay rate (see Fig. 3C and section S4 for further details). We find an average Clifford gate fidelity $\mathcal{F}_C = 96.4(5)\%$, which corresponds to an average native gate fidelity $\mathcal{F}_{1Q} = 98.4(2)\%$ (Fig. 3D). Comparing gate times (1 to 6 $\mu$s) to the coherence time of $T_{m} = 4.09(88)$ $\mu$s, we conclude that the gate fidelities are limited by decoherence effects, which is further confirmed by GST (see section S4 for details).

**Calibration and verification of the electrical drive**

We proceed to investigate the physical origin of the electric drive of the flip-flop qubit by comparing Rabi frequencies $f_{Rabi}^{ff}$ to the hyperfine Stark shift $\partial A(E)/\partial E$. If the driving mechanism is the electrical modulation of the hyperfine coupling, the two should be linked by the simple relation $f_{Rabi}^{ff} = |\partial A(E)/(2\partial E)|E_{ac}$. Figure 4A shows a linear dependence of the flip-flop Rabi frequency on the peak-to-peak voltage applied to the electrical antenna, indicating that we are within the rotating wave approximation. For the highest MW driving power (22 dBm at the source, corresponding to 8 $V_{pp}$ peak-to-peak voltage amplitude), we reach a flip-flop Rabi frequency $f_{Rabi}^{ff} = 118.5(25)$ kHz, which is a factor of 5 higher than the fastest single-nucleus Rabi frequency reported in the literature (18).

It is in general difficult to estimate the precise value of the oscillating voltage at the tip of the electrical antenna, due to the strongly frequency-dependent losses of the transmission line between the MW source and the device. Here, however, we can correlate $f_{Rabi}^{ff}$ with the dc Stark shift of the hyperfine coupling, which can be measured independently. We apply a dc voltage shift $\Delta V_{FD}$ to the FD gate (the same used for EDSR) and measure the hyperfine Stark shift $\Delta A(\Delta V_{FD})$ through the shift of the NMR1 resonance, $f_{SNR1} = A/2 + y_{n}/B_{n}$ (Fig. 4B). A linear fit to the data yields $\partial A(\partial V_{FD}) = 512(26)$ kHz/V. We have performed a capacitance-based triangulation of the donor position (see section S5 for details) and found that it is located next to one of the side confining planes (Fig. 4C). Therefore, a positive voltage on the tip of the FD gate may bring the electron laterally closer to the nucleus (15) and lead to the positive slope, instead of pulling the electron away from the donor nucleus (13) and reducing $A$. Using the experimentally determined slopes $\partial A^{\text{Rabi}}/\partial V_{FD}$ and $\partial A/\partial V_{FD}$ from Fig. 4 (A and B) and the formula for the EDSR Rabi frequency, we determine that the total line attenuation between MW source and FD gate at 28 GHz is $18.1(5)$ dB. This value is in good agreement with an independent measurement, 19.4(5) dB, obtained by comparing the effect of a 100-Hz square pulse and the 28-GHz MW pulse on the broadening of the SET Coulomb peaks (see section S6 for details). The slight discrepancy can be explained by the different capacitive couplings of the FD gate to the donor-bound electron and to the SET. This experiment thus confirms that the flip-flop qubit is driven by the electrical modulation of the hyperfine coupling.

The triangulation of the donor location further allows for an estimation of the peak electric fields at the donor site during the electric drive (see Fig. 4D). We find that applying $V_{MW} = 8$ $V_{pp}$ to the FD gate (which yields $f_{Rabi}^{ff} = 118$ kHz) produces oscillating electric fields $E_{ac}$ of order 5 MV/m in the active region of the device, with $E_{ac} \approx 3.5$ MV/m at the most likely donor location. This means that the flip-flop transition in the donor used in this experiment can be electrically driven at a rate of $\approx 30$ kHz for an $E_{ac} = 1$ MV/m oscillating electric field.

**Extension to spin ensembles: Nuclear hyperpolarization**

Accessing the flip-flop transition opens interesting possibilities for experiments on ensembles of coupled electron-nuclear spin systems. It is generally very challenging to directly detect the induction signal from very dilute nuclear spins, like in weakly doped Si crystals, unless one uses nuclear hyperpolarization. The hyperpolarization of ensembles of $^{31}$P nuclear spins has been achieved by magnetically (30) or optically exciting the donor-bound electrons (31–33), to the point where direct inductive detection of the NMR signal becomes possible (34). However, the microscopic processes that underpin such phenomena are complicated and often slow, unless other fast-relaxing electron spins are involved (35).

Our experiments on a single $^{31}$P atom allow us to predict that the flip-flop transition could be used to efficiently hyperpolarize spin ensembles, via a scheme similar to the “solid effect” (30) but where the $|\downarrow\rangle \rightarrow |\uparrow\rangle$ transition is not forbidden when driven electrically. A high population of the $|\downarrow\rangle$ state can be obtained by first transferring the population of $|\downarrow\rangle$ to $|\uparrow\rangle$ via an adiabatic EDSR flip-flop transition and then relaxing the electron spin from $|\uparrow\rangle$ to $|\downarrow\rangle$ through above-bandgap optical excitation, which quickly resets the electron polarization to its thermal equilibrium (36, 37).

Bulk donors only have a quadratic Stark shift of the hyperfine coupling, $A(E) = \eta E^2$ (20). This hyperpolarization method would thus require driving the EDSR transition at half the flip-flop resonance frequency (38), posing technical problems if one wished to further manipulate the electron spin at the same time. To circumvent the issue, we have designed a rectangular three-dimensional (3D) cavity that is capable of delivering strong electric and magnetic fields while allowing for an electrical dc bias to be applied to the sample (see section S7 for details). A suspended copper block concentrates the TE01 cavity mode to the space between the block and cavity walls. The sample is mounted in a region with both strong electric and magnetic fields, $E_{ac} \approx 0.2$ MV/m and $B_{ac} \approx 1$ mT at 50 W input power, respectively. By dc biasing the copper block, one...
can induce the linear hyperfine Stark effect that enables EDSR drive directly at the flip-flop resonance frequency, as in the present single-atom experiment. The predicted value of $E_{ac}$ in the cavity, combined with the experimental values of $f_{Rabi}$, would allow driving the $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \rightarrow |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$ transition in submillisecond times. A resonator bandwidth of $\approx 60$ MHz at a magnetic field of 0.35 T (MW X-band, $f \approx 10$ GHz), i.e., a quality factor $Q \approx 150$, would allow simultaneously addressing the flip-flop transition for dynamic nuclear polarization and the ESR transition for readout to verify the hyperpolarization.

DISCUSSION

Future experiments will focus on operating the flip-flop qubit in the regime of large electric dipole, where the wave function of the electron is equally shared between the donor and an interface quantum dot (13). This regime is predicted to yield fast one-qubit (30 ns for a $\pi/2$ rotation) and two-qubit (40 ns for a $\sqrt{\text{iSWAP}}$) operations with fidelities well above 99% under realistic noise conditions, with further improvements possible using optimal control schemes (39). The present setup did not allow reaching the large-dipole regime because of the presence of many other donors randomly implanted in the device: The large gate voltage swing necessary to move the electron away from the donor under study would unsettle the charge state of nearby donors (see section S8 for details). The recent demonstration of deterministic single-ion implantation with 99.85% confidence (40) will eliminate this problem in future devices.

In the present experiment, an on-chip antenna to deliver oscillating magnetic fields remains necessary to perform NMR control and ensure that the system is prepared in the flip-flop subspace. In the future, replacing the $^{31}\text{P}$ donor with one of the heavier group V donors ($^{75}\text{As}$, $^{121}\text{Sb}$, $^{123}\text{Sb}$, or $^{209}\text{Bi}$) would provide a nuclear spin with $I > 1/2$. The presence of an electric quadrupole moment in $I > 1/2$ nuclei enables direct control of the nuclear spin through the recently demonstrated method of nuclear electric resonance (NER) (12). The flip-flop transition presented here adds another mechanism for electrical control of donor spins. The combination of electron-nuclear flip-flop transitions and NER will permit the control of the whole Hilbert space of all group V donors other than $^{31}\text{P}$ using solely electric fields. The flip-flop drive can also be used to implement geometric two-qubit logic gates for nuclear spins, which have

---

**Fig. 4. Electrical drive via hyperfine modulation.** (A) Linear dependence of the flip-flop Rabi frequencies on the voltage at the output of the MW source. (B) Stark shift of the hyperfine coupling produced by a dc voltage applied to the FD gate, as extracted from the shift of the NMR1 resonance frequency. An independent calibration of the line attenuation at MW confirms that the flip-flop qubit is driven by dynamic modulation of the hyperfine coupling. (C) Triangulation of the most probable location of the donor under study, obtained through COMSOL finite-element models informed by the capacitive coupling between the donor and each electrostatic gate (see section S5). The contours indicate the 1σ and 2σ confidence regions. (D) Amplitude of the MW electric field $E_{ac}$ around the donor location, estimated using the same COMSOL model as above, assuming a voltage on the FD gate $V_{FD} = 1 V_{pp}$. We find $E_{ac} \approx 3.5$ MV/m at the most likely donor location.
recently shown to yield universal quantum logic with fidelities above 99% (41). The results shown here already illustrate the broad applicability of the flip-flop qubit idea, even to atoms and atom-like systems that do not permit the creation of a large electric dipole or do not have anisotropic hyperfine couplings. For example, all-epitaxial donor devices fabricated with scanning probe lithography (42) do not allow the formation of interface quantum dots, but their flip-flop states could be electrically controlled using the methods shown here. Electrical control of the flip-flop transition has already been used to hyperpolarize the nuclear spins of individual Cu atoms on a surface using a scanning tunneling microscope (43) and may be extended to hyperpolarize ensembles of nuclear spins using a specially designed 3D cavity as discussed here. Atoms (44) and atom-like defects (45) in SiC have strong electrical tunability of their electronic states, which may be exploited for flip-flop transitions in the presence of hyperfine-coupled nuclei. Molecular systems could permit even more tailored electrical responses (46).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Device fabrication**

The device under investigation is fabricated on an isotopically enriched $^{28}$Si wafer. We fabricate metal-oxide nanostructures in the proximity to the implantation area of the $^{31}$P donors (Fig. 5, red dashed square) to manipulate and read out the donor spin qubit, similar to (17, 18, 21). A SET (Fig. 5, cyan) is used to read out the spin states of the donor-bound electron (21) or the nucleus (18). The device structure also comprises a broadband on-chip MW magnetic antenna (Fig. 5, right, brown) to drive the spins via standard nuclear NMR and ESR techniques. Local gates are added to tune the tunnel coupling between the donor and the SET [“SET rate” gate (SR), red] and the coupling between the donor and interface quantum dot by laterally shifting the electron wave function [“right side” (RS) and “left side” (LS) gates, purple] (13). The "FD" gate overlapping the implantation area is used as an electrical antenna (Fig. 5, left, brown) to apply an electrical MW drive tone.

The fabrication procedure of the donor qubit device follows the recipe outlined in (17, 41). Here, we provide a short summary containing important implantation parameters and dimensions of the nanostructures. The natural silicon wafer that is used in this work contains a 900-nm-thick isotopically enriched $^{28}$Si epitaxial layer (residual $^{29}$Si concentration of 730 ppm) on top of a lightly p-doped natural Si handle wafer. Using optical lithography and thermal diffusion of phosphorus (boron), n$^+$ (p) regions are defined on the sample. The n$^+$-type regions serve as electron reservoirs for the qubit device and are connected to aluminum ohmic contacts. The p-doped regions are added to prevent leakage between ohmic contacts. Using wet thermal oxidation, a 200-nm SiO$_2$ field oxide is grown on top of the substrate. The active device region is defined by etching a 30 μm−by−60 μm area in the center of the field oxide using HF (hydrofluoric) acid that is subsequently covered by an 8-nm high-quality SiO$_2$ gate oxide in a dry thermal oxidation step. We define a 100 nm−by−90 nm window (Fig. 5, red dashed square) in a 200-nm-thick poly(methyl methacrylate) resist using electron-beam lithography (EBL), through which the $^{31}$P$^+$ ions are implanted at a 7° angle from the substrate norm. For this sample, we use an implantation energy of 10 keV and a fluence of $1.4 \times 10^{12}$ atoms/cm$^2$. According to Monte Carlo SRIM (Stopping and Range of Ions in Matter) (47) simulations, approximately 40 donors are implanted within the window region $\approx 3.5$ to 10.1 nm deep below the SiO$_2$/Si interface. To activate the donors and repair the implantation damage, we use a rapid thermal anneal at 1000°C for 5 s. To avoid potential leakage through the thin SiO$_2$ layer, we deposit an additional 3-nm Al$_2$O$_3$ layer via atomic layer deposition. The qubit device itself is defined in four EBL steps each including a thermal deposition of aluminum gates (with increasing thickness of 25, 25, 50, and 80 nm). After each deposition, the sample is exposed to a pure 100-mtorr oxygen gas for 3 min to oxidize an insulating Al$_2$O$_3$ layer between the gates. We connect all gate electrodes from all layers electrically to avoid electrostatic discharge damage (the shorts are broken after wire bonding using a diamond scriber). Last, we anneal the qubit devices at 400°C in a forming gas ($95\%$ N$_2$/5$\%$ H$_2$) atmosphere for 15 min to passivate interface traps and repair EBL damage.

**Measurement setup**

The sample is wire-bonded to a gold-plated printed circuit board inside a copper enclosure. The enclosure is mounted onto a cold finger attached to the mixing chamber of a Bluefors BF-LD400 dilution refrigerator and is cooled down to $\approx 17$ mK. The sample is placed in the center of a superconducting magnet, operated in persistent mode at a magnetic field between $B_{0}=0.9$ to 1 T. The field is applied along the short-circuit termination of the magnetic (ESR and NMR) antenna, parallel to the surface of the chip and to the [110] Si crystal direction. A schematic of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 5. Static dc voltages from battery-powered Stanford Research Systems (SRS) SIM928 voltage sources are used to bias the metallic gate electrodes via homemade resistive voltage dividers at room temperature. The SET top gate (TG), left barrier (LB), right barrier (RB), and right side (RS) gates are connected via second-order low-pass RC filters with a 20-Hz cutoff. Gates used for loading/unloading the donor, i.e., the plunger (PL), LS, SR, and FD gates, are filtered by a seventh-order low-pass LC (inductance-capacitance) filter with a 80-MHz cutoff frequency. All filters are thermally anchored at the mixing chamber stage of the dilution refrigerator. Baseband pulses from a LeCroy ArbStudio 1104 arbitrary waveform generator (AWG) are added via room temperature resistive voltage combiners to FD and SR. The ESR MW signals are generated by an Agilent E8257D 50 GHz analog source, and we use an Agilent N5182B 6-GHz vector source to create rf signals for NMR control. Using a Marki Microwave DPX-1721 diplexer at room temperature, both high-frequency signals are routed to the magnetic antenna via a semirigid coaxial cable. A 10-dB attenuator is used for thermal anchoring at the 4 K stage.

The MW signal for EDSR control is generated by a Keysight E8267D 44-GHz vector source. For single-sideband IQ modulation, rf pulses from the LeCroy ArbStudio 1104 AWG are fed to the in-phase (I) and quadrature-phase (Q) ports of the vector source. The high-frequency signal is attenuated by 10 dB at the 4 K stage and combined to the baseband control pulses at the mixing chamber using a Marki Microwave DPX-1721 diplexer. The combined signal is routed to the FD gate (electric/EDSR antenna).

The SET current is amplified using a room temperature Femto DLP-200 transimpedance amplifier ($10^7$ VA$^{-1}$ gain, 50-KHz bandwidth) and an SRS SIM910 JFET amplifier ($10^6$ VV$^{-1}$ gain).
The amplified signal is filtered using an SRS SIM965 analog 50-kHz low-pass Bessel filter and digitized by an AlazarTech AT9440 PCI card. The above instruments are triggered by a SpinCore PulseBlasterESR-Pro. Software control of the measurement hardware and the generation of pulse sequences is done in Python using the QCoDeS (48) and SilQ (49) framework.

Electron spin readout and initialization
The spin of the donor-bound electron is read out using energy-dependent tunneling into a cold electron reservoir. Because of the large electron Zeeman splitting in our experiment, this translates into a measurement of the $S_z$ spin eigenstates, $|\downarrow\rangle$ and $|\uparrow\rangle$. The method is a modified version of the well-known Elzerman readout scheme (50, 51). The modification consists of using the island of the SET charge sensor as the cold charge reservoir that discriminates the spin eigenstates (21, 51), rather than having separate charge sensors and charge reservoir.

The white dotted line in Fig. 6A highlights a donor charge transition. To perform electron spin readout and initialization, we tune the system into the so-called “read” spot (Fig. 6A, red dot), where the electrochemical potentials of the SET island $\mu_{\text{SET}}$ and the $^{31}$P donor $\mu_P = (\mu_\uparrow + \mu_\downarrow)/2$ are aligned, i.e., $\mu_{\text{SET}} = \mu_P$.

In a static magnetic field $B_0$ ($\approx 1$ T), the Zeeman interaction splits the electrochemical potential of the donor into two energy levels, $\mu_\uparrow$ and $\mu_\downarrow$, for the two electron spin $|\downarrow\rangle$ and $|\uparrow\rangle$ states, respectively. In this case, $\mu_\uparrow > \mu_{\text{SET}} > \mu_\downarrow$, and only the electron in the $|\uparrow\rangle$ state is energetically allowed to tunnel from the donor to the SET, as there are no available states below $\mu_{\text{SET}}$ at the SET island (see Fig. 6B). During this tunnel event (Fig. 6B, left, 1), the Coulomb blockade regime is lifted, and we detect an increase in the SET current (Fig. 6B, right). Because $\mu_\downarrow$ is the only level at the donor below $\mu_{\text{SET}}$, only an electron in the $|\downarrow\rangle$ state can tunnel back from the SET to the donor (Fig. 6B, left, 2). In this case, the SET returns to the blockade regime and $I_{\text{SET}} = 0$ again (Fig. 6B, right). The obtained current spike, which we call a “blip,” is then used as a spin-readout signal. It tells us that the electron at the donor was in the $|\uparrow\rangle$ state and is now initialized in the $|\downarrow\rangle$ state. If we do not detect any blips, it means the electron at the donor is in the $|\downarrow\rangle$ state and does not tunnel anywhere (Fig. 6C). Therefore,
this spin-dependent tunneling of the electron between the donor and the SET provides a single-shot readout and initialization of the electron spin into the $|\uparrow\rangle$ state (21).

The electron spin-up proportion $P(\uparrow)$ is then calculated by averaging over multiple repetitions. Further details of donor electron spin readout and initialization can be found in (21, 51, 52).

**Nuclear spin readout**

The donor-bound electron can be used as an ancilla qubit to read out the state of the nuclear spin via QND measurement with fidelities exceeding 99.99% (see later section for more details).

The hyperfine interaction between the electron and the nucleus results in two electron resonance frequencies $f_{\text{ESR1}} = \gamma_e B_0 - \frac{A}{2}$ and $f_{\text{ESR2}} = \gamma_e B_0 + \frac{A}{2}$, depending on the nuclear spin being $|\downarrow\rangle$ or $|\uparrow\rangle$ (18). Starting from a $|\downarrow\rangle$ electron, an adiabatic ESR inversion pulse (22) at either ESR frequency results in a $|\uparrow\rangle$ electron if the nuclear spin was in the state corresponding to ESR frequency being probed. In other words, the ESR inversion constitutes a controlled-X logic operation on the electron, conditional on the state of the nucleus. We perform the electron inversion using an adiabatic frequency sweep across the resonance (22) to be insensitive to small changes in the instantaneous resonance frequency.

Reading out the electron spin after an inversion pulse determines the nuclear state in a single shot (we call this readout a “shot”). The fidelity of this readout process is the product of the single-shot electron readout fidelity and the fidelity of inverting the electron via an adiabatic pulse.

Because $\gamma_e B_0 \gg A$, the electron-nuclear hyperfine coupling is well approximated by $A S I_z$, meaning that the interaction commutes with the Hamiltonian of the nuclear spin. This is the quintessential requirement of a QND measurement (18, 53). In practice, it means that the nuclear spin will be found again in the same eigenstate after the first shot. We can thus repeat it multiple times, i.e., perform multiple measurement shots, to improve the nuclear readout fidelity. We calculate the electron spin-up proportion over all shots and determine the nuclear state by comparing the spin-up proportion to a threshold value (typically around 0.4 to 0.5).

For nuclear spin qubit manipulations that do not depend on the initial state (e.g., Rabi drive), measuring the nuclear spin flip probability instead of the actual spin state is sufficient. For this, we read out the nuclear state $N_{\text{samples}}$ times (typically $N_{\text{samples}} \geq 20$) and calculate how many times the nuclear spin flipped ($N_{\text{flip}}$) in two consecutive measurements. The flip probability is then determined as $P_{\text{flip}} = N_{\text{flip}} / (N_{\text{samples}} - 1)$.

**Nuclear spin initialization**

For benchmarking and quantum logic experiments, we need to initialize the flip-flop qubit into the $|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ state. We have seen that reading out the electron state also initializes it into the $|\downarrow\rangle$ state. To deterministically initialize the nucleus into the $|\downarrow\rangle$ state, and hence the flip-flop qubit into the $|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ state, we make use of an ENDOR sequence. The ENDOR sequence comprises an aESR2 pulse, an aNMR1 pulse, and an electron readout (see Fig. 7A).

We use adiabatic pulses that sweep around the actual resonance frequency and adjust the frequency range such that the pulses are insensitive to frequency deviations. On the one hand, if the system is in the $|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ state, the aESR2 pulse is off-resonant and the aNMR1 pulse flips the nuclear spin to the $|\uparrow\rangle$ state (Fig. 7B, left). If, on the other hand, we already start in the $|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ state, the aESR2 pulse inverts the electron spin and the aNMR1 pulse is off-resonant (Fig. 7B, right). After reading out and initializing the electron to the $|\downarrow\rangle$ state, the flip-flop qubit is initialized into the $|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ ground state.

To quantify the nuclear spin-up probability $P(\uparrow)$, we perform nuclear readout and determine the nuclear spin state by comparing the electron spin-up proportion (usually $\geq 20$ shots) to a threshold value. By averaging over $N_{\text{samples}} \geq 20$ repetitions, we derive $P(\uparrow)$.

The fidelity of the ENDOR sequence is mostly limited by the errors of electron spin initialization in the $|\downarrow\rangle$ state because the fidelity of ESR/NMR inversion pulses is typically $>98\%$. To measure these errors, we apply the ENDOR sequence and determine the nuclear state by measuring the electron spin-up proportion.

---

Fig. 6. Electron spin-dependent tunneling. (A) SET current as a function of two gate voltages. The pattern of Coulomb peaks (green) is broken (white dotted line) in the presence of a donor charge transition. The read position for the electron spin is indicated by a red dot and corresponds to the point where the donor electrochemical potential is equal to that of the SET island. (B and C) Schematic depiction of the electron spin-dependent tunneling between the donor and the SET island (left) with the corresponding SET current traces (right). The $^{31}\text{P}$ donor is tunnel-coupled to the SET island with a potential barrier between them shown in cyan. The Fermi-Dirac distribution for the density of the occupied states at the SET is shown in green.
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Fig. 7. Nuclear spin initialization fidelity. |↑⟩ (A) ENDOR sequence containing consecutive aESR2 and aNMR1 pulses. The sequence starts and ends in the read phase to initialize the electron into the |↓⟩ state. (B) Energy level diagrams for the 31P donor show nuclear spin initialization into the |↑⟩ state when we apply the ENDOR sequence in (A). With the electron initialized in the |↓⟩ state in the read phase, we consider two cases of initial nuclear states before the ENDOR sequence: |↑⟩ (left diagram) and |↓⟩ (right diagram). (C) The histogram shows the final nuclear state after an ENDOR initialization sequence followed by nuclear spin readout with 25 shots. The nuclear spin is randomized after the 25 shots to test the ENDOR sequence again. We assign to |↑⟩ the instances where the probability $P_r$ of finding the electron in the |↑⟩ state is above 0.4. Samples where $P_r < 0.4$ threshold correspond to the nuclear spin |↓⟩ state, i.e., to the error of the ENDOR initialization. The histogram yields an ENDOR initialization fidelity of $F = 90.88(55)\%$. The nuclear readout fidelity can be inferred by fitting the histogram peaks and calculating their overlap, yielding $F_{\text{read}} > 99.99\%$.

$P(\uparrow)$. We repeat this experiment 2500 times and apply multiple adiabatic NMR1 pulses after every 25 measurements to scramble the nuclear spin state. We determine the ENDOR fidelity to be $F = 90.88(55)\%$ by calculating how many times we end up in the desired $|\uparrow\rangle$ state. The confidence interval shown in brackets represents the SD, which is calculated by dividing 2500 experiments into 100 independent measurements (25 experiments each) of the nuclear spin-up state probability $P(\uparrow)$. The histogram of nuclear readouts is shown in Fig. 7C. The measured fidelity is in good agreement with the off-resonant nuclear spin-up probability of $P(\uparrow) \approx 0.1$ that we see in EDSR spectrum scans.
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