The nucleolus stress response is coupled to an ATR-Chk1–mediated G2 arrest
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ABSTRACT
We report experiments on the connection between nucleolar stress and cell cycle progression, using HeLa cells engineered with the fluorescent ubiquitinylation-based cell cycle indicator. Nucleolar stress elicited by brief exposure of cells to a low concentration of actinomycin D that selectively inhibits rRNA synthesis had no effect on traverse of G1 or S, but stalled cells in very late interphase. Additional experiments revealed that a switch occurs during a specific temporal window during nucleolar stress and that the subsequent cell cycle arrest is not triggered simply by the stress-induced decline in the synthesis of rRNA or by a ribosome starvation phenomenon. Further experiments revealed that this nucleolus stress-induced cell cycle arrest involves the action of a G2 checkpoint mediated by the ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3-related protein (ATR)–checkpoint kinase 1 (Chk1) pathway. Based on analysis of the cell cycle stages at which this nucleolar stress effect is put into action, to become manifest later, our results demonstrate a feedforward mechanism that leads to G2 arrest and identify ATR and Chk1 as molecular agents of the requisite checkpoint.

INTRODUCTION
After the cytological recognition of the nucleolus in the mid-1800s, another century passed before a function of this nuclear domain was defined: the synthesis of rRNA and the assembly of nascent ribosomes (Pederson, 2011). Before that breakthrough in the mid-1960s, however, a number of cell biologists had presciently speculated that the nucleolus had something to do with progression of cells through interphase. One embodiment of this hypothesis was a study on ultraviolet light ablation of one of the two nucleoli in grasshopper neuroblasts, which resulted in a delay of progression into mitosis (Gaulden and Perry, 1958). More recently, other clues to a link between the nucleolus and the cell cycle have emerged, including the presence of growth factors in nucleoli (Pederson, 1998a), the observation of numerous cell cycle–related proteins in proteomics studies of purified nucleoli (Andersen et al., 2002; Scherl et al., 2002), and the discovery of nucleostemin, a nucleolus-localized protein that drives the cell cycle by attenuating the tumor suppressor p53 (Tsai and McKay, 2002; Ma and Pederson, 2008b). Although at first blush it could be imagined that these links between the nucleolus and the cell cycle might simply reflect a need for new ribosomes to advance progression through interphase, a number of considerations suggest that the situation is not that simple.

Beyond an earlier interest (Pederson, 1998a,b), we have been drawn to this issue more recently by our work with the aforementioned nucleostemin (Ma and Pederson, 2007, 2008a), and so we undertook a study in which we sought to investigate whether nucleolar homeostasis, aside from ongoing ribosome production, is related to cell cycle progression. We used an induced nucleolar stress, and our results indicate that some yet-to-be-defined normal nucleolar function (but not ribosome production) is critical for the ability of cells to progress through G2.

RESULTS
Nucleolar stress induces late interphase arrest
The fluorescent ubiquitinylation-based cell cycle indicator (Fucci) system was developed to visualize cell cycle progression by labeling G1-phase cells red, G1/S-transition cells yellow, and S/G2/M-phase...
reduce rRNA synthesis. A 25-min exposure of HeLa cells to actinomycin at 0.04 μg/ml, the concentration we used, leads to a 85% reduction in rRNA synthesis, as determined by a subsequent 10-min pulse label (Penman et al., 1968). Figure 2 confirms this specifically for the HeLa-Fucci cells we used, where it can be seen that nucleolar RNA synthesis, measured by a click chemistry–based 5-ethynyluridine–labeling method (Jao and Salic, 2008), is virtually undetectable following a 30-min or 2-h exposure of cells to actinomycin (Figure 2A). However, when we looked 20 h later at RNA synthesis in cells that had been subjected to actinomycin for 30 min or 2 h, we had a surprise. As shown in Figure 2B, 20 h after a 30-min exposure to the inhibitor, nucleolar RNA synthesis had returned to the same levels as seen in untreated cells. This result was not unanticipated, as actinomycin does not bind DNA covalently, and thus a recovery of rRNA synthesis would be expected as actinomycin dissipates from the rDNA over the subsequent 20 h of culturing the cells in inhibitor-free medium. However, the striking result in Figure 2B is that in cells that were treated for 2 h, the level of nucleolar RNA synthesis 20 h later was still very depressed. Thus it is clear that at some point between a 30- and 120-min duration of nucleolar stress, a switch occurs as regards the ability of cells to resume normal rRNA synthesis levels.

Yet when we looked at the steady-state level of 28S rRNA in these cells, neither the brief (30 min) nor the longer (2 or 4 h) duration of nucleolar stress had any effect on the cells' content of ribosomes (Figure 3A). This is expected because ribosomes are very stable in growing mammalian cells (e.g., Kolodny, 1975). However, as can be seen in Figure 3B, after a 30-min exposure to actinomycin, the level of cell cycle progress in response to nucleolar stress. Under our culture conditions, HeLa-Fucci cells displayed a G1 period of 11–12 h and a combined S-phase and G2 period of 8–9 h (Supplemental Figure S1). We treated HeLa-Fucci cells for 4 h with a concentration of actinomycin D, 0.04 μg/ml, that was previously established to selectively inhibit mammalian cell rRNA synthesis (Perry, 1962; Penman et al., 1968) and induce internal repositioning of nucleolar components (Schöfer et al., 1996; Dousset et al., 2000). The cells were then shifted to inhibitor-free medium, and their cell cycle positions were assayed 20 h later. As shown in Figure 1 (left), cells accumulated in S, G2, and M phases during the 20 h after a 4-h treatment with actinomycin. Flow cytometry revealed that green cells constituted 79.5% of the population 20 h after actinomycin treatment, compared with a green fraction of 22.1% in untreated cells. Simultaneous flow cytometry of 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) staining revealed that the majority of green cells had a 4C or near-4C DNA content and thus were in very late S, G2, or M. This is evident in Figure 1 (far right), where the distributions of DNA contents among red (G1), yellow (the onset of S phase), and green (S/G2/M phase) cells are overlaid.

**A switch occurs during nucleolar stress**

We reasoned that if the late S/G2/M phase arrest of actinomycin-treated cells were simply a consequence of inhibiting rRNA synthesis, it should be evident after even <4 h of inhibitor treatment, since it is known that only very brief exposures are required to substantially reduce rRNA synthesis. A 25-min exposure of HeLa cells to actinomycin at 0.04 μg/ml, the concentration we used, leads to a 85% reduction in rRNA synthesis, as determined by a subsequent 10-min pulse label (Penman et al., 1968). Figure 2 confirms this specifically for the HeLa-Fucci cells we used, where it can be seen that nucleolar RNA synthesis, measured by a click chemistry–based 5-ethynyluridine–labeling method (Jao and Salic, 2008), is virtually undetectable following a 30-min or 2-h exposure of cells to actinomycin (Figure 2A). However, when we looked 20 h later at RNA synthesis in cells that had been subjected to actinomycin for 30 min or 2 h, we had a surprise. As shown in Figure 2B, 20 h after a 30-min exposure to the inhibitor, nucleolar RNA synthesis had returned to the same levels as seen in untreated cells. This result was not unanticipated, as actinomycin does not bind DNA covalently, and thus a recovery of rRNA synthesis would be expected as actinomycin dissipates from the rDNA over the subsequent 20 h of culturing the cells in inhibitor-free medium. However, the striking result in Figure 2B is that in cells that were treated for 2 h, the level of nucleolar RNA synthesis 20 h later was still very depressed. Thus it is clear that at some point between a 30- and 120-min duration of nucleolar stress, a switch occurs as regards the ability of cells to resume normal rRNA synthesis levels. Yet when we looked at the steady-state level of 28S rRNA in these cells, neither the brief (30 min) nor the longer (2 or 4 h) duration of nucleolar stress had any effect on the cells' content of ribosomes (Figure 3A). This is expected because ribosomes are very stable in growing mammalian cells (e.g., Kolodny, 1975). However, as can be seen in Figure 3B, after a 30-min exposure to actinomycin, the level...
treatments leads to a significant negative effect of the cells’ content of ribosomes (measured as total cell 28S rRNA). Furthermore, we found that protein synthesis was occurring at normal levels 20 h after a 2- or 4-h treatment with actinomycin D (Supplemental Figure S2). So, whatever the molecular basis of the cell cycle effect, it cannot be plausibly related to an impairment of translational capacity.

From these results we suspected that the situation might be more complex (and thus interesting) than initially contemplated. So we next used various durations of actinomycin treatment (0.5, 2, and 4 h), followed by culturing of cells in inhibitor-free medium for 20 h to assess cell cycle progression (Figure 4). After a 0.5-h treatment there was only a slight increase in the percentage of late S, G2, and M cells, 27.2%, as compared with 19.1% in untreated cells. Thus a brief but virtually complete inhibition of rRNA transcription 20 h earlier did not trigger a subsequent late S/G2/M-phase arrest. In contrast, when cells were treated for 2 or 4 h, the conditions of nucleolar stress from which we had established that cells cannot resume normal rRNA synthesis, 72.5 and 79.4% of the cells, respectively, became arrested (Figure 4, top; 2 and 4 h). The arrest of these cells in late S, G2, or M is further supported by the cytophotometry of DAPI-stained cells done in parallel (Figure 4, bottom; 2 and 4 h).

We next tracked individual cells to precisely observe the foregoing effects in situations in which the cell cycle position of a
G1 and into S. Moreover, the S-phase cells treated for 2 or 4 h failed to reach mitosis in even 24 h (Figure 5B, bottom two rows), in keeping with the late S/G2/M-phase arrest demonstrated in the whole population analysis (Figures 1 and 4).

**Nucleolar stress–induced cell cycle arrest involves the ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3-related protein–checkpoint kinase 1 pathway**

The cell cycle arrest we observed after actinomycin treatment most plausibly involves a G2 checkpoint. Although the drug intercalates, rather than breaks, DNA, we needed to consider the possibility that a DNA-damage response was being induced. As shown in Figure 6, there was no elevation of DNA damage 20 h after a 30- or 120-min treatment with actinomycin, based on immunostaining for phospho- 

**FIGURE 4:** Cell cycle arrest is more pronounced after 2–4 h of nucleolar stress. Cells were exposed to actinomycin for 30 min or 2 or 4 h, and the same multicolor FACS analyses of red, yellow, green, and DAPI-stained (blue) cells were conducted as in Figure 1.

given cell at the time if treatment can be known, due to the Fucci staging colors. Figure 5A shows a series of single-cell tracking observations of cells that were in mitosis at the time of actinomycin treatment. Compared with an untreated mitotic cell (top), cells treated with actinomycin for 0.5, 2, or 4 h (the treatment commencing in mitosis in all cases) were able in all three cases to exit mitosis and progress through G1 and S with unperturbed kinetics (Figure 5A, bottom three rows), meaning that the synthesis of new ribosomes during the first 2 or 4 h of G1 (before placing the cells in inhibitor-free medium) is not required for G1 traverse and progression into S. However, the cells that were treated with actinomycin commencing at mitosis displayed a prolonged S period and G2 phase, as can be seen by that fact that even by 24 h these cells had not yet reached mitosis (Figure 5A, bottom three rows; compare with the arrival in mitosis at 20 h in the case of the untreated cell tracked in the top row). Figure 5B shows a similar set of single-cell tracking observations but in which the cells were at the onset of S phase at the time actinomycin treatment began. As shown in the top row of Figure 4B, it took 8 h for an untreated S-phase cell to reach mitosis, and from the known cell cycle parameters of these cells (Supplemental Figure S1) it can be deduced that this cell was in early S at 0 h in the tracking images. In contrast, S-phase cells treated with actinomycin for 0.5 h displayed a delay in reaching mitosis (see images with asterisk in second row of Figure 5B), but once this delayed mitosis was completed, the daughter cells progressed into G1 and into S. Moreover, the S-phase cells treated for 2 or 4 h failed to reach mitosis in even 24 h (Figure 5B, bottom two rows), in keeping with the late S/G2/M-phase arrest demonstrated in the whole population analysis (Figures 1 and 4).
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As shown in Figure 7A (middle right column), UCN-01 had no effect on cell cycle progression in cells not subjected to nucleolar stress. However, UCN-01 treatment abrogated the nucleolar stress–induced cell cycle arrest (Figure 7A, rightmost). Flow cytometry (Figure 7B) confirmed the abrogation of this effect (second column, bottom). This result suggested that ATM and/or ATR are involved in the nucleolar stress–induced arrest, and, if so, this would define the arrest as occurring at specifically G2 as opposed to very late S or in mitosis. To further clarify this, we used UCN-01, a specific inhibitor of the ATR target checkpoint kinase 1 (Chk1; Busby et al., 2000). As shown in Figure 7A (middle right column), UCN-01 had no effect on cell cycle progression in cells not subjected to nucleolar stress. However, UCN-01 treatment abrogated the nucleolar stress–induced cell cycle arrest (Figure 7A, rightmost). Flow cytometry (Figure 7B) confirmed the abrogation of the

**FIGURE 5:** Single-cell tracking. The progression of selected cells was tracked after various times of actinomycin treatment. (A) Release of the inhibitor was at mitosis. (B) Release of inhibitor was at the G1/S transition. Scale bars, 10 μm.
cell cycle arrest by caffeine. Compared to 25.5% untreated cells with a 4C DNA content, 71.4% of the actinomycin-treated cells had a 4C DNA content (bottom), compatible with the accumulation of green cells in the mCherry versus Venus fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) plots (top). No appreciable effect of caffeine can be seen in both the Fucci dual-color FACS plot (top) and in the DAPI plot (bottom). In contrast, in the cells that underwent actinomycin-induced nucleolar stress in the presence of caffeine, the cell cycle distribution of cells (right) was very similar to that observed in unstressed cells both in the Fucci dual-color FACS and the DAPI plots. Figure 7C shows the results of combined nucleolar stress and caffeine or UCN-01 treatments in cells imaged at various times. The now-familiar progressive accumulation of green cells after actinomycin treatment alone (second row) contrasts with the control-like patterns observed in the caffeine- or UCN-01–treated cells. Based on the known mode of action of Chk1 (Wilsker et al., 2008), its level of phosphorylation should correlate with the conditions that elicit G2 arrest. As shown in Figure 8, phosphorylation of Chk1 was elevated 20 h after a 2 h (second row, middle) or 4 h (second row, middle) actinomycin treatment, whereas no elevation was seen 20 h after a 30-min treatment (second row, middle left). Thus the results in Figures 7 and 8 define the cell cycle arrest as lying within G2 and reveal ATR and Chk1 as molecular elements in this regulatory circuit.

DISCUSSION

The most significant step in the modern era of the nucleolus was the perception that this nuclear domain does more than build ribosomes. This idea was born in a speculative synthesis (Pederson, 1998b) but soon got traction from numerous quarters, including the discovery that the nucleolus is the site of assembly of the signal recognition particle (Jacobson and Pederson, 1998; Ciuflo and Brown, 2000; Politz and Pederson, 2000; Politz et al., 2000, 2002; Grosshans et al., 2001; Sommerville et al., 2005) and the findings that purified nucleoli harbor many proteins unrelated to ribosome biosynthesis but that have roles in cell cycle progression (Andersen et al., 2002; Pederson, 2002; Scherl et al., 2002). A prime example of this comes from work in budding (Shou et al., 1999; Visintin et al., 1999) and fission (Trautmann et al., 2001) yeast showing that Cdc14 phosphatase or Cdc14-like phosphatase triggers mitotic exit by release from the nucleolus (reviewed by Amon, 2008). Increasing cases of nucleolar protein:cell cycle connections also have been seen in mammalian cells (Pederson and Tsai, 2009). The investigation reported here adds to the evidence that the nucleolus monitors cell cycle progression and that it does so outside of its role in ribosome synthesis. The notion that an interference with the non–ribosome production functions of the nucleolus could affect the cell cycle was previously raised in the context of a human disease, Diamond–Blackfan anemia. This is a neonatal-pediatric bone marrow deficiency caused by mutations in certain ribosomal proteins. A plausible case can be made that the pathogeneic trigger is an effect of these mutations on nucleolar homeostasis rather than the production of functionally impaired ribosomes per se (Pederson, 2007).

There are two caveats in this study. The G2 arrest we defined leads eventually to apoptosis (data not shown), so it is not likely to be a situation that would be tolerated in an intact organism unless the cues for apoptosis were overridden in certain cells or tissues. G2-arrested cells normally exist in many healthy mammalian tissues and are activated to enter mitosis promptly after a stimulus (Pederson and Gelfant, 1970). We of course cannot readily extrapolate the present finding with a cultured human cell line to how nucleolar stress might affect the cell cycle in an organism. The second caveat is that the mode of nucleolar stress we chose—the selective inhibition of rRNA synthesis by a low concentration of actinomycin—presumes that the rRNA genes are the only target. The selectivity of actinomycin for these genes at such low concentrations is due to their very high (70%) G+C content and the preference of actinomycin to intercalate at G–C base pairs. However, there could be other sites in the genome with high concentrations of G–C pairs, and we cannot rule out that the observed cell cycle effects might reflect transcriptional inhibition of these putative regions.

---

### Figure 6

Actinomycin D treatment does not lead to DNA damage. Cells were treated with either actinomycin D or doxorubicin for the various times indicated. Phospho-H2AX was detected by immunofluorescence 20 h after treatment. Images were captured along with Fucci colors. Scale bar, 50 μm.
were completely unknown. We now know, in hindsight, that the concentrations used in almost all of those early studies were ones that inhibited all three RNA polymerases. The key discovery (Perry, 1962) that a much lower concentration of the drug than had been used before selectively inhibits rRNA synthesis was a significant advance for the study of mammalian cell RNA biosynthesis. The use of low actinomycin to induce a nucleolar stress response, as in this study, has brought new insight into the control of the cell cycle. One might ponder the extent to which the rare and paradoxical successes of this drug in cancer chemotherapy (e.g., it is the front-line standard for Wilms’ tumor) could have a nucleolar stress response as an underlying factor at the patient drug dosing used. Recently this notion has received some support from the development of a small-molecule inhibitor of RNA polymerase I transcription that displays a significant cytostatic selectivity for human B-cell lymphoma and leukemia cells lines relative to normal lymphocytes (Bywater et al., 2012).

Although we implicated ATR-Chk1 in the G2 arrest induced by low actinomycin, it is obvious that we have not defined the entire pathway or interactome of this circuit. There may be many other players in the overall regulatory link, among which may be one or more of the many cell cycle regulatory proteins that are known to constantly shuttle between the nucleolus and extranucleolar sites in the nucleus (Pederson and Tsai, 2009; Pederson, 2011). The fact that there is a major switch in the execution of this G2 arrest pathway depending on the duration of nucleolar stress points to the existence of unknown events that occur during the stress response that, either by the schedule of their execution or by the accumulated sum of their effects, reach forward to have an effect many hours later, in G2. The study reported here thus reveals an important link between nucleolar stress and cell cycle progression but also opens many questions for future investigation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture and induction of nucleolar stress

HeLa-Fucci cells (Sakaue-Sawano et al., 2008) were cultured at 37°C in DMEM (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). For induction of nucleolar stress, cells were exposed to actinomycin D (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) for various times. In the experiments to examine the signaling pathway responsible for the induced cell cycle arrest, cells were exposed to the ATM/ATR inhibitor caffeine at 2 mM or the Chk1 inhibitor UCN-01 at 200 nM (both inhibitors purchased from Sigma-Aldrich). For single-cell tracking studies, cells were grown on Lab-Tek two-well coverglasses in 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid–buffered DMEM (21063; Life Technologies) containing 10% FBS, penicillin (100 U/ml), and streptomycin (100 μg/ml) and then overlaid with mineral oil. The microscope stage incubation chamber was maintained at 37°C as described previously (Jacobson and Pederson, 1997). Phase-contrast and fluorescence microscopy was performed with a Leica DM-IRB inverted microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped a halogen lamp, a 10-position filter wheel (Semrock, Rochester, NY), a charge-coupled device camera (Photometrics, Tucson, AZ), and MetaMorph acquisition software (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA).

Flow cytometry

Cells were trypsinized and centrifuged at 200 g for 5 min, washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and then fixed with 4% formaldehyde in PBS for 10 min at room temperature, followed by one wash with PBS and permeabilization in 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min at room temperature and then another wash with PBS.
fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBS, rinsed once with PBS, and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min. To image the sites of 5-EU incorporation, the coverslips were incubated for 30 min at room temperature in a reaction cocktail (Invitrogen) containing Pacific blue azide and the components for a copper (I)-catalyzed cycloaddition of the ethynyl groups with the azide dye (Jao and Salic, 2008). The cells were then washed twice with PBS and imaged. The images were scaled the same using MetaMorph acquisition software. For the detection of protein synthesis cells were treated with actinomycin for various times and then incubated for 1 h with 1homopropargylglycine (HPG) at 100 μM (Beatty and Tirrel, 2008). The cells were rinsed with PBS, fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBS, rinsed once with PBS, and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min. To image the sites of HPG incorporation, the coverslips were processed and imaged as described for detection of 5-EU incorporation.

Immunofluorescence

Cells grown on coverslips were fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBS containing DAPI at 2 μg/ml (Sigma-Aldrich) and incubated for 5 min. Fluorescence-activated cell sorting was performed in the University of Massachusetts Medical School FACS Core Facility with SLR II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) using FACSDiva software (BD Biosciences). mVenus was excited by a 488-nm laser line, and its emission was collected using a 530/30 bandpass filter; mCherry was excited by a 561-nm laser line, and its emission was collected using a 610/20 bandpass filter; Pacific blue (the violet fluorescent tag on the reaction products in the RNA synthesis assay using 5-ethynyluridine [5-EU] and click chemistry) was excited by a 405-nm laser line and its emission collected using a 450/50 bandpass filter. FACS measurements of DAPI fluorescence were done using the same 405-nm excitation and 450/50 filtered emission as for Pacific blue. FACS data were analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star, Ashland, OR). Sorting of cells for green fluorescence was done with a FACSaria II instrument (BD Biosciences).

Real-time quantitative PCR

A reverse transcription primer for 28S rRNA and pre-rRNA (5′-AGTT-TACCACCCGCTTGG-3′) was combined with total cell RNA, and first-strand cDNAs were synthesized using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). The resulting cDNAs were used as templates for real-time quantitative PCR with primer sets for either 28S rRNA (forward and reverse primers 5′-AGTAACGGCGAGTGAACAGG-3′ and 5′-GCCTCGATCAGAAGGACTTG-3′, respectively) or pre-rRNA (forward and reverse primers 5′-TCTCTCTCCGGTCGGCTCT-3′ and 5′-TCTGTCTGGTCTGCGCTCT-3′, respectively), using the StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA) and the QuantTect SYBR Green PCR kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA).

Imaging newly synthesized RNA or protein by click chemistry

To detect RNA synthesis, cells were treated with actinomycin for various times and then, either immediately or 20 h later, were incubated for 1 h with 5-EU at 500 μM. The cells were rinsed with PBS, fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBS, rinsed once with PBS, and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min. To image the sites of 5-EU incorporation, the coverslips were incubated for 30 min at room temperature in a reaction cocktail (Invitrogen) containing Pacific blue azide and the components for a copper (I)-catalyzed cycloaddition of the ethynyl groups with the azide dye (Jao and Salic, 2008). The cells were then washed twice with PBS and imaged. The images were scaled the same using MetaMorph acquisition software. For the detection of protein synthesis cells were treated with actinomycin for various times and then incubated for 1 h with 1-homopropargylglycine (HPG) at 100 μM (Beatty and Tirrel, 2008). The cells were rinsed with PBS, fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBS, rinsed once with PBS, and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min. To image the sites of HPG incorporation, the coverslips were processed and imaged as described for detection of 5-EU incorporation.
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