End-point behavior of the pion distribution amplitude
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Abstract

We discuss the end-point behavior of the pion distribution amplitude (DA) and calculate its slope using QCD sum rules with nonlocal condensates. This is done in terms of the standard derivative and also with the help of an “integral derivative”, recently obtained by us. Our approach favors a value of the slope of the order (or less) of the asymptotic DA and is in clear disagreement with flat-type pion DAs.

1 Introduction

The main technique to analyze hard exclusive processes within QCD, is provided by the factorization of the underlying dynamics into a hard and a soft part. The hard part forms the partonic amplitude of the subprocess at a large value of the momentum transfer and is amenable to QCD perturbation theory. The soft part depends on the distribution amplitude of the hadron(s) and contains the dynamics at typical hadronic scales; it has, therefore, to be determined by nonperturbative methods or be extracted from experimental data. The collinear factorization applied to the transition form factor (FF) of two far off-shell photons to a pion leads to the convolution of these two parts, which, at the leading order of twist two, reads ($\bar{x} \equiv 1 - x$)

$$F^{\gamma^* \gamma \pi}(Q^2, q^2) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} f_\pi \int_0^1 \frac{1}{Q^2 x + q^2 x} \varphi_\pi(x) \, dx + \mathcal{O}(1/Q^4)$$

modulo twist-four terms, ignored here. The main ingredient of the above equation is the pion DA $\varphi_\pi(x)$ which encodes all unknown binding effects of the pion state. At the considered level of twist two, it is defined by the following universal matrix element $[\Pi]$

$$\langle 0 | \bar{d}(z) \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 [z, 0] u(0) | \pi(P) \rangle \big|_{z^2 = 0} = i f_\pi P^\mu \int_0^1 e^{ix(z \cdot P)} \varphi_\pi^{(t=2)}(x, \mu_0^2) \, dx ,$$

where $x$ is the longitudinal momentum fraction carried by the valence quark ($\bar{x}$ for the antiquark) in the pion and the path-ordered exponential, i.e., the light-like gauge link,

$$[z, 0] = \mathcal{P} \exp \left[ -ig \int_0^z t^a A_\mu^a(y) \, dy^\mu \right] ,$$
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ensures gauge invariance. It is useful to expand the pion DA in terms of the Gegenbauer harmonics \( x \bar{x} C_n^{3/2}(2x-1) \) which provide the eigenfunctions of the one-loop Efremov-Radyushkin-Brodsky-Lepage (ERBL for short) evolution equation [2,3]. One finds for \( \varphi^{(t=2)} \) at the typical hadronic scale \( \mu_0^2 \):

\[
\varphi^{(t=2)}(x; \mu_0^2) = \varphi^{\text{Asy}}(x) \left[ 1 + a_2(\mu_0^2) C_2^{3/2}(2x-1) + a_4(\mu_0^2) C_4^{3/2}(2x-1) + \ldots \right],
\]

in which the asymptotic (abbreviated by Asy) pion DA appears: \( \varphi^{\text{Asy}}(x) = 6x\bar{x} \). By virtue of the leptonic decay \( \pi \to \mu^+\nu \mu \), one obtains the normalization \( \int_0^1 \varphi^{(t=2)}(x, \mu_0^2) \, dx = 1 \), which fixes \( a_0 = 1 \).

While a process involving two photons with large virtualities is theoretically preferable, because one can safely apply QCD perturbation theory, experimentally, the asymmetric kinematic with one of the photons being quasi real is more accessible. Indeed, such measurements have been carried out by several collaborations, namely, the CELLO [4], the CLEO [5], and, most recently, the BaBar Collaboration [6]. Taking the limit \( q^2 \to 0 \) in convolution (1), one finds that the FF for the \( \gamma^*\gamma \to \pi^0 \) transition is actually given by the inverse moment of the pion DA

\[
\langle x^{-1} \rangle_{\pi} = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{x} \varphi_{\pi}(x) \, dx.
\]

Therefore, this quantity is one of the key elements of the pion-photon transition FF. Because this form factor has such a simple structure within QCD, it has attracted over the years the attention of many theorists (see, e.g., [7–11] and references cited therein).

However, the most recent measurement of this observable by the BaBar Collaboration [6] has provided controversial results, because, unexpectedly, the high-energy data points above 10 GeV\(^2\) grow with \( Q^2 \) — see Fig. 1. At moderate values of the momentum transfer, up to 9 GeV\(^2\) (see the left panel of Fig. 1), the new high-precision BaBar data agree well with the previous CLEO data [5]. From the second column of Table 1 and the left panel of Fig. 1, we may conclude that all data up to 9 GeV\(^2\) can be best described by pion DAs that have their end-points strongly suppressed [11,14]. A characteristic example of such a DA is provided by the Bakulev-Mikhailov-Stefanis (BMS) model [10], which has been derived from QCD sum rules (SR)s with nonlocal condensates (NLC)s, originally developed in [15]. In contrast, the
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\caption{Predictions for the photon-to-pion transition FF calculated in next-to-leading order (NLO) light-cone sum rules using a Breit-Wigner model for the meson resonances. The pion is parameterized in terms of the following DAs: Asy — dashed-dotted line, BMS “bunch” [10] — shaded (green) strip, CZ model [12] — upper long-dashed (red) line, flat-top model [13] — short-dashed (blue) line. Experimental data are shown on both panels using the following notations: BaBar data [6] — (red) boxes with error bars, CELLO data [4] — (black) diamonds with error bars, CLEO data [5] — (violet) triangles with error bars. The horizontal solid line denotes the asymptotic QCD prediction \( \sqrt{2f_\pi} \). The left panel provides a zoom-in view into the low and intermediate range of \( Q^2 \), whereas the right panel shows the results for the whole interval of momenta probed by the BaBar experiment.}
\end{figure}
high-$Q^2$ BaBar data (see the right panel of Fig. 1) show, as already mentioned, an unexpected growth with $Q^2$ which cannot be understood on the basis of the collinear factorization and calls (see the fifth column of Table 1) for pion DAs that have instead their end-points strongly enhanced [13, 16]. This intriguing behavior has triggered the use of flat-type pion DAs and provided the main motivation for our analysis in [17], on which we report here. The result obtained by Radyushkin [13] with the flat-top model ($\bar{\varphi}_\pi(x) = 1$) is shown in Fig. 1 as a dashed (blue) line in comparison with the BMS bunch (green strip) [10] and the CZ pion DA model (long-dashed line in red color) [12]. As we see from this figure, the high-$Q^2$ BaBar data are rather well-described in Radyushkin's approach [13]. A recent independent analysis [18] comes to the conclusion that a flat pion DA, when used in a fully consistent way, yields to predictions for the pion’s electromagnetic and transition form factors which are in striking disagreement with experiment.

Table 1: Deviations of theoretical predictions for the quantity $Q^2 F^{\gamma^*\gamma\pi}(Q^2)$ in terms of $\chi^2 \equiv \chi^2/\text{ndf}$ (ndf = number of degrees of freedom) for several pion model DAs: Asy, BMS model, and CZ model (more details in [11]). Predictions based on the flat-top model DA [13], discussed in [13], are also included. The second column shows the results for the combined sets of the CLEO [5] and the CELLO [4] data above 1 GeV$^2$. The third column compares model predictions with all data in the interval $[1, 9]$ GeV$^2$, while the fourth column takes into account only the BaBar data above 9 GeV$^2$. The last two columns show the values of the inverse moment and the standard derivative of selected pion DAs at the origin.

| DA Model | CLEO&CELLO | All data $Q^2 < 9$ GeV$^2$ | BaBar $Q^2 > 9$ GeV$^2$ | $\langle x^{-1} \rangle_\pi$ | $\varphi'_\pi(0)$ |
|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| Asy       | 2.7         | 6.35                        | 18.9                        | 3                        | 6                |
| BMS [10]  | 0.56        | 0.86                        | 11.7                        | 3.15                     | 1.12             |
| CZ [12]   | 5.9         | 33.9                        | 7.9                         | 4.5                      | 26               |
| DA [13]   | 4.15        | 4.15                        | 1.0                         | —                        | —                |

In our recent investigation [17], we revisited the QCD SR approach of [10] focusing our attention on the behavior of the pion DA in the end-point region $x \sim 0$ with the aim to understand the fine structure of the pion DA in this region vs the ansatz for the quark-virtuality distribution in the nonperturbative QCD vacuum. As we shall explain in Sec. 3, QCD SRs were mainly developed with the purpose to study the integral characteristics of the pion DA. To overcome this restriction, we constructed in [17] an operator for integral derivatives of the pion DA. The results obtained this way supplement those found with SRs which employ the standard derivative of the pion DA.

Our presentation will concentrate on the following issues, organized in sections. In Sec. 2 we discuss the QCD SR approach with NLCs and focus on the peculiarities of the pion DA in the end-point region $x \sim 0$. In Sec. 3 we define and study the integral derivative of the pion DA, the purpose being to overcome the restrictions inherent in QCD SRs which were created in order to probe the integral characteristics of the pion DA. In Sec. 4 we also study the SRs for the standard derivative of the pion DA at the origin and complete our presentation by drawing our conclusions in Sec. 5.

2 Slope of the pion DA and the nonperturbative QCD vacuum

As already mentioned in the Introduction, the fine details of the pion DA in the region around the origin $x \sim 0$ are of crucial importance. To illustrate the differences in the end-point behavior of existing model DAs, we show the corresponding profiles in Fig. 2 (left panel). We depict four models: BMS [10] model — solid line; CZ [12] — dashed-dotted (blue) line; flat-top DA given by Eq. (18) — short-dashed (red) line; dotted line — asymptotic DA. Using the values of the
derivative of the pion DA, collected in the last column of Table \ref{table:pion_DAs} we can classify the pion DA models according to their end-point behavior: end-point suppressed (Asymptotic and BMS) and end-point enhanced (CZ and flat-top \cite{18}). A zoomed-in view of the end-point characteristics of the above DAs is displayed in the right panel of Fig. \ref{fig:pion_DA_comparision}.

![Figure 2: Comparison of selected pion DA models. The left panel shows their shapes, while the right panel shows a magnified view of the endpoint region $x \sim 0$. Solid line — central line of the BMS bunch \cite{10}; dashed-dotted (blue) line — CZ model \cite{12}; short-dashed (red) line — flat-top DA from Eq. \ref{eq:flat_top_DA} with $\alpha = 0.1$; dotted line — asymptotic DA. All DAs are normalized at the same scale $\mu^2_0 \approx 1$ GeV$^2$.]

Relying upon the QCD SR approach with NLCs, developed in \cite{10}, we direct our attention to the end-point behavior of the pion DA. This will allow us to obtain predictions for the slope of the pion DA in the end-point region with smaller errors than those obtained from the BMS bunch \cite{10} in this region \cite{17}. Recall that the basic idea underlying the NLC parametrization of the QCD vacuum, is that it has a domain structure, parameterized in terms of condensates, the latter possessing a certain correlation length by virtue of which the vacuum quarks acquire a non-zero average virtuality $\langle k_q^2 \rangle$ (see, for instance, \cite{19}). To analyze the nonlocality of the vacuum condensate, it is useful to parameterize the lowest scalar condensate in terms of $\langle \bar{q}(0)[0, z]q(z) \rangle \equiv M_S(z^2)$. This quantity can be related to the vacuum distribution function $f_S(\alpha)$ via \cite{10}

$$M_S(z^2) = \langle \bar{q}(0) \rangle \int_0^\infty f_S(\alpha) e^{\alpha z^2/4} d\alpha , \quad (6)$$

where $\alpha$ is the vacuum-quark virtuality. Assuming that the vacuum quarks have a fixed virtuality $\lambda_q^2$, one has

$$f_S(\alpha) = \delta(\alpha - \lambda_q^2/2) , \quad (7)$$

leading for the scalar-quark condensate \cite{15} to the Gaussian model

$$M_S(z^2) = \langle \bar{q}(0)q(0) \rangle e^{-|z^2|\lambda_q^2/8} . \quad (8)$$

The parameter $\lambda_q^2$ here represents the typical quark momentum in the QCD vacuum and it can be given the following definition

$$2\langle k_q^2 \rangle = \frac{\langle \bar{q}(0)\nabla^2 q(0) \rangle}{\langle \bar{q}(0)q(0) \rangle} \equiv \lambda_q^2 . \quad (9)$$

In our study \cite{17}, presented here, we use the value $\lambda_q^2 = 0.4$ GeV$^2$, which is supported by several analyses, though values within the interval $[0.35 \div 0.45]$ GeV$^2$ are still acceptable (see \cite{10,20,21} and references cited therein).

\textsuperscript{1}In this work we use the “fixed-point” gauge $z^\mu A_\mu = 0$, so that $[0, z] = 1$. 
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The QCD SRs with nonlocal condensates for the pion DA write [10]

$$f_π^2 \varphi_π(x) + f_{A_1}^2 \varphi_{A_1}(x) e^{-m_{A_1}^2/M^2} + \int_{s_0}^{\infty} \rho_{pert}(x) e^{-s/M^2} ds = \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho_{pert}(x) e^{-s/M^2} ds$$

$$+ \Delta \Phi_G(x, M^2) + [\Delta \Phi_S(x, M^2) + \Delta \Phi_V(x, M^2) + \Delta \Phi_T(x, M^2)]_Q,$$  \[(10)\]

where $\varphi_{A_1}$ is the $A_1$-meson DA and $f_π$ and $f_{A_1}$ are, respectively, the decay constants of the pion and the $A_1$-meson. The nonperturbative input in the theoretical part of the SR (right side) are the gluon-condensate term $\Delta \Phi_G(x, M^2)$ and the quark-condensate contribution [...]$_Q$. This latter contribution contains the vector-condensate term (V), the mixed quark-gluon condensate term (T), and the scalar condensate term (S). The explicit expressions for the perturbative and the nonperturbative contributions to the NLO spectral density $\rho^{(NLO)}(x)$ can be found in [10,17]. Remarkably, the first radiative correction in the spectral density in the end-point region is of order (LO) approximation well as the nonperturbative parts. For this reason, we resort in our analysis [17] to the leading-order (LO) approximation $\rho_{pert}(x) = 3x \bar{x}/2\pi^2$. In fact, in order to include radiative corrections into the spectral density (when analyzing the end-point region), one would be obliged to resum all radiative corrections — a formidable task for the future.

Among the nonperturbative terms, the scalar-quark condensate provides the largest smooth contribution at the origin $x \sim 0$, notably,

$$\Delta \Phi_S(x, M^2) = 18A_S(\Phi'x + \Phi''x^2 + O(x^3))$$ \[(11)\]

with the coefficients $A_S = \frac{8\pi\alpha_S}{81} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$.

$$\Phi' \approx \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f_S(\alpha)}{\alpha^2} d\alpha = \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} z^2 M_S(z^2) dz^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi'' \approx \Phi'M^2 \int_{0}^{1/2} f_S(M^2t) \frac{t}{1-t} dt.$$ \[(12)\]

Inspection of the expression for the first coefficient $\Phi'$ reveals that the end-point behavior of the pion DA is directly related to the behavior of the scalar-quark condensate at large/moderate distances.

On the other hand, all nonperturbative terms in the local condensate model ($\lambda_q^2 = 0$) are concentrated exactly at the endpoints $(\Delta \Phi_S^{loc}(x) \sim \delta(x))$, as shown in Fig. 3 in terms of the scalar-condensate contribution. In contrast, the nonlocal condensate leads to an end-point-suppressed nonperturbative contribution.

In using the SR [10] in order to study the end-point behavior of the pion DA, we have to find an appropriate characteristic that is capable of describing the slope of the pion DA at the origin. Because the nonperturbative terms are strongly concentrated at the endpoints (see Fig. 3), viz., $\Delta \Phi_V \sim x \delta'(\Delta - x)$, $\Delta \Phi_G \sim \delta(\Delta - x)$, ... with $\Delta = \lambda_q^2/(2M^2)$, the best way to take into account all these contributions is to investigate the integral characteristics of the pion DA. For this reason we invented in [17] the “integral derivative”, which will occupy us in the next section.

If we apply the Gaussian model [3], then only the four-quark condensate $\Delta \Phi_S \sim x \theta(\Delta - x)$ contributes in the end-point region — see Fig. 3 — without leading to singularities. But, if we assume a behavior of the various condensates differing from the delta-ansatz model, and use, for instance, for the scalar-quark condensate a smooth model like [21] (which implies a decay at large distances not slower than the exponential decay), then the other nonperturbative terms (V), (G), and (T) contribute only small amounts in the small-$x$ region. Therefore, these terms can be neglected if one is only interested in deriving the simplest characteristic, i.e., the derivative of the pion DA at the origin $\varphi_π'(0)$, considered in Sec. 4.
Figure 3: Comparison of the leading nonperturbative contribution in the local ($\Delta \Phi^\text{loc}_S(x)$) and the nonlocal ($\Delta \Phi^\text{NLC}_S(x)$) condensate models along with the perturbative contribution ($\rho_{\text{pert}}(x)$).

3 “Integral” sum rules

The key features of the applied SRs are collected in Table 2. Because the QCD SRs were developed with the aim to study the integral characteristics of the pion DA, most approaches appeal to the moments

$$\langle \xi^N \rangle_\pi \equiv \int_0^1 (2x - 1)^N \varphi_\pi(x) \, dx,$$

where $\xi \equiv 2x - 1$. Once these moments are known, they can be used in order to reverse engineer the pion DA, with a precision depending upon the influence of the magnitude of the discarded higher-order moments. The zero-order moment leads to a SR for the decay constant, studied long ago by Shifman, Vainshtein and Zakharov [22]. The second-order term of the Gegenbauer expansion was determined by Chernyak and Zhitnitsky [12] from the standard SRs with local condensates ($\lambda_2^2 = 0$). Still higher-order coefficients were computed [10] using QCD SRs with NLCs. It was shown there that one can de facto resort to the first two Gegenbauer coefficients $a_2$ and $a_4$ because the values of $a_i$ with $i = 6, 8, 10$ were calculated and found to be negligible. On that basis, the authors of [10] obtained a bunch of two-parameter dependent pion DAs, among them also the BMS model, mentioned earlier, and an independent SR for the inverse moment [5]. The inverse moment itself is a rather good, though not sufficient (see for arguments [23]), indicator for the end-point behavior of the pion DA, as we can see from the fifth column of Table 1.

| Approach | Characteristics | Accuracy | Condensate | Result |
|----------|-----------------|----------|------------|--------|
| SVZ [22] | $\langle \xi^0 \rangle$ | LO       | local      | $f_\pi$ |
| CZ [12]  | $\langle \xi^{2N} \rangle$, $N = 0, 1$ | LO       | local      | $f_\pi, a_2$ |
| BMS [10] | $\langle x^{-1} \rangle$, $\langle \xi^{2N} \rangle$, $N = 0, 1, \ldots, 5$ | NLO      | nonlocal   | $f_\pi, a_2, a_4, \langle x^{-1} \rangle$ |
| Here [17] | $\varphi'_\pi(0), [D^{(\nu)} \varphi_\pi](x)$ | LO       | nonlocal   | $\varphi'_\pi(0), [D^{(\nu)} \varphi_\pi](x)$ |

In our work [17] we probed the endpoint region of the pion DA in another way which employs an averaged “integral” derivative. As we explained in Sec. 2 the usefulness of these derivatives follows from the fact that they can be applied to QCD SRs which may even contain singular terms. With the help of the averaging procedure, one can take into account all these contributions. To expose the usefulness of this procedure, let us construct the following sequence...
of average derivatives obeying the condition \( \varphi(0) = 0 \): standard derivative, finite-difference derivative, and generalized inverse moment given by

\[
[D^{(0)}\varphi](x) = \varphi'(x), \quad [D^{(1)}\varphi](x) = \varphi(x)/x, \quad \text{and} \quad [D^{(2)}\varphi](x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \frac{\varphi(y)}{y} dy \tag{14}
\]

respectively. The integral derivatives \( D^{(\nu)} \), generalizing this sequence, were defined in [17] by means of the following expression:

\[
[D^{(\nu)}\varphi](x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \varphi(y)f(y, \nu - 2, x) dy, \quad f(y, \nu, x) = \frac{\theta(x - y)}{\Gamma(\nu + 1) y} \left( \ln \frac{x}{y} \right)^\nu \quad \text{at} \quad \varphi(0) = 0. \tag{15}
\]

When \( \nu = 2 \) and \( x = 1 \), the integral derivative coincides with the inverse moment of the pion DA: \( [D^{(2)}\varphi](1) = (x^{-1})_\pi \). Assuming that the Taylor expansion of \( \varphi(x) \) at \( x = 0 \) exists, one finds from (15)

\[
[D^{(\nu)}\varphi](x) = \varphi'(0) + \varphi''(0) \frac{x}{2^2\nu-1} + O \left( \frac{x^2}{3^{\nu-1}} \varphi^{(3)}(0) \right), \tag{16}
\]

which is valid for any real \( \nu \), as we explained in detail in [17]. From the above equation, one can see that the defined operator \( D^{(\nu)} \) reproduces at small \( x \) and/or large \( \nu \) the derivative of \( \varphi(x) \) at the origin \( x = 0 \).

By applying the operator \( [D^{(\nu+2)}] \) on both sides of the QCD SR given by (10), we obtain a new SR for \( [D^{(\nu+2)}\varphi_\pi](x) \), viz.,

\[
f_\pi^2 [D^{(\nu)}\varphi_\pi](x) + f_{A_1}^2 e^{-m_{A_1}/M^2} [D^{(\nu)}\varphi_{A_1}](x) + \int_{s_0}^{\infty} [D^{(\nu)}\rho_{\text{pert}}](x) e^{-s/M^2} ds
\]

\[
= \int_0^{\infty} [D^{(\nu)}\rho_{\text{pert}}](x) e^{-s/M^2} ds + [D^{(\nu)}\Delta\Phi_G](x, M^2) + [D^{(\nu)}\Delta\Phi_V](x, M^2)
\]

\[
+ [D^{(\nu)}\Delta\Phi_T](x, M^2) + [D^{(\nu)}\Delta\Phi_S](x, M^2). \tag{17}
\]

The detailed analysis of this SR can be found in [17]. Here we only discuss the nonperturbative terms depicted in Fig. 4. The dominant contribution to the integral derivative \( [D^{(\nu)}\varphi_\pi](0.5) \) of the pion DA stems from the scalar-quark condensate (S), while the vector-condensate (V), the mixed quark-gluon condensate (T), and the gluon-condensate (GG) contributions are comparatively less important. It turns out that the image of the operator \( D^{(\nu)} \) for \( \nu \geq 6 \) is numerically very close to the result obtained with the differentiation method (see next section) — for any \( x \).

For large \( \nu \), the (S)-term dominates and is close to the value obtained by the standard derivative illustrated in Fig. 4 by the horizontal line, while all other condensate terms disappear. Thus, the integral SR [17] becomes close to the differential one to be considered in the next section. For this reason, we analyze the constructed SR [17] for \( \nu \in [2, 6] \) and \( x > 0.4 \) and present the results in Fig. 5 in terms of a solid line that is inside the light gray strip bounded by the short-dashed lines. For the sake of comparison, the predictions for the asymptotic DA (dashed-dotted line) and the BMS DA bunch — obtained in the NLC SR analysis of Ref. [10] — (shaded band limited by long-dashed lines) are also shown. From this figure we see that our SR estimates for \( [D^{(\nu)}\varphi_{\pi}^{SR}](x) \) agree fairly well with the BMS model — see also Table 3. This table shows estimates for the third-order integral derivative of the pion DA for \( x = 0.5 \), using (i) the sum rule given by Eq. (17) and (ii) the pion DA models we discussed above; in addition, results pertaining to flat-type DAs are also included.
Figure 4: Mutual comparison of the nonperturbative contributions (k=S, V, T, and GG) to the SR (17) for the integral derivatives $[D^{(ν)}ϕ_{π}](x)$ of the pion DA and with the value $18A_{S}\Phi/\pi f_{π}^{2} = 72A_{S}\pi^{-2}\lambda_{q}^{-4}$ (horizontal solid line) of the scalar-quark contribution to the SR (19) for the standard derivative $ϕ_{π}(0)$. The scalar-quark condensate term (k=S) is shown as a dashed (blue) line. The other terms are the vector-quark condensate term (k=V) — dashed-dotted (green) line, the mixed quark-gluon condensate term (k=T) — dashed-dotted-dotted (red) line, and the gluon-condensate term (k=GG) — dotted (black) line.

First, we compare the QCD SR result, obtained from (17), with what one finds with the flat-type DA models. Consider first the flat-top DA model defined by

$$\varphi_{flat}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(2(\alpha + 1))}{\Gamma^{2}(\alpha + 1)} x^{\alpha}(1 - x)^{\alpha}. \quad (18)$$

This model was invented in [13] and attempts to describe the BaBar data via a logarithmic behavior with $Q^{2}$. For the value $\alpha = 0.1$, one finds $[D^{(3)}ϕ_{flat}](0.5) = 227$, which is much larger and far outside the range of values extracted from our SR (17).

As a second option, we consider a particular flat-type pion DA which is provided by the AdS/QCD correspondence in the holographic approach — see, for instance, Refs. [24–26]. In that case one has $\alpha = 0.5$ yielding $[D^{(3)}ϕ_{hol}](0.5) = 14$.

On the other hand, the CZ model — being also endpoint-enhanced — yields third-order integral derivatives which are incompatible with the values derived from our SR (17) (cf. Table 3). Note that a similar statement also applies to the pion DA proposed in [27], which employs a Brodsky-Huang-Lepage ansatz for the $k_{⊥}$-dependence of the pion wave function — see Table 3.

The main message from this table is that the SR for the integral derivative of the pion DA is
fulfilled by the BMS bunch, whereas flat-type DAs and the CZ model DA have no overlap with the estimated range of values. By contrast, the pion DA model proposed in [27] — though it provides a similarly large integral derivative like the CZ DA — has a usual derivative at the origin which is zero due to the strong exponential suppression of this DA in the small vicinity of the origin. Finally, the model DA defined by Eq. (18) has no derivative at the origin while the integral derivative is well-defined. As we see from these examples, the integral derivative allows one to compare a broader range of the pion DA values in the end-point region than the standard one.

Table 3: Results for the third-order integral derivative at the value \( x = 0.5 \) and such for the standard derivative of the pion DA, using different SR approaches (first three rows) and pion DA models (six last rows).

| Approach/Model                        | “Integral derivative” \([D^{(3)}\varphi_\pi]|(0.5)\) | Derivative \(\varphi'_\pi(0)\) |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1 Integral SR [17]                     | 4.7 ± 0.5                                    | 5.5 ± 1.5                       |
| 2 Differential SR [19]                 | —                                           | 5.3 ± 0.5                       |
| 3 SR [19] with smooth NLC [21]         | —                                           | 7.0 ± 0.7                       |
| 4 BMS bunch [10]                       | 5.7 ± 1.0                                    | 1.7 ± 5.3                       |
| 5 Asymptotic DA                        | 5.25                                         | 6                               |
| 6 CZ DA [28]                           | 15.1                                         | 26.2                            |
| 7 DA from [27]                         | 14                                           | 0                               |
| 8 AdS/QCD DA [24]                      | 14                                           | \(\gg 6\)                      |
| 9 flat-top DA (Eq. [18], \(\alpha = 0.1\)) | 227                                         | \(\gg 6\)                      |

It is worth mentioning that the usual derivative \(\varphi'_\pi(0)\) of the pion DA encapsulates the key characteristics of the pion DA at small \(x\). This quantity can be extracted from \([D^{(\nu)}\varphi^{SR}_\pi](x)\), which can be derived from the SR [17] employing different values of \(\nu\) and \(x\). The results are shown in Fig. 5. To determine \(\varphi'_\pi(0)\) one can first use two terms of the Taylor expansion [19] and then subtract the second derivative for which the asymptotic value \(\varphi''_\pi(0) = -12(6)\) is taken. That yields the estimate \(\varphi'_\pi(0) = 5.5 \pm 1.5\) for any \(0.4 < x < 2\) and \(2 \leq \nu \leq 6\).

4 Differential sum rules

Another way to study the behavior of the pion DA in the small-\(x\) region is provided by the differentiation of the SR [10], which yields

\[
f^{2}_x \varphi'_\pi(0, M^2) = \frac{3}{2\pi^2} M^2 \left(1 - e^{-s_0/M^2}\right) + 18 A_5 \Phi' - f^{2}_{A_1} \varphi'_{A_1}(0) e^{-m_{A_1}^2/M^2}.
\]

We shall evaluate this SR for the threshold value \(s_0 = 2.61 \text{ GeV}^2\), recalling that we are employing a LO expression for the spectral density. As it was shown in our recent work [17], only the four-quark condensate survives and gives a contribution to the SR defined by Eq. [12]. From this equation we see that the nonperturbative contribution to the SR is mainly due to the scalar-quark condensate at large/moderate distances \(z^2 \gtrsim 4/(\langle k^2_2\rangle)\). Employing the delta-ansatz \(f_S(\alpha) = \delta(\alpha - \lambda_0^2/2)\) the nonperturbative contribution to SR [19] reduces to the following simple expression

\[
\Phi' = \Phi'_{\text{delta}} = \frac{4}{\lambda^4}.
\]
Having fixed the ingredients of the SR, we are now able to consider the implications of using the smooth model for the quark-virtuality distribution in the differential SR. Despite the usefulness of the Gaussian model, we have to take into account the possibility that the scalar-quark condensate may behave differently at asymptotically large distances. Indeed, there are indications from heavy-quark effective theory \cite{20} that it could decay exponentially. Note that in order to ensure the existence of the vacuum matrix element \( \langle \bar{q}(D^2)Nq \rangle \), the quark-virtuality distribution \( f_S(\alpha) \) should decrease faster than any power \( 1/\alpha^{n+1} \) as \( \alpha \to \infty \) \cite{15}. Following this reasoning, a two-tier model for \( f_S \) was proposed in \cite{21,30} which has a smooth dependence on the quark virtuality \( \alpha \). Hence, one has

\[
f_S(\alpha; \Lambda, n, \sigma) = \frac{(\sigma/\Lambda)^n}{2K_n(2\Lambda\sigma)} \alpha^{-n-1}e^{-\Lambda^2/\alpha - \alpha\sigma^2},
\]

where \( K_n(z) \) is the modified Bessel function. This so-called “smooth model”, depends on two parameters \( \Lambda \) and \( \sigma \) which serve to take into account the long- and the short-distance behavior of the nonlocal condensates. For large distances \( |z| = \sqrt{-z^2} \), this model leads to the asymptotic form of the scalar quark NLC

\[
M_S(z^2) \xrightarrow{|z| \to \infty} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle |z|^{-2(n+1)/2}e^{-\Lambda|z|} \frac{2^{2(n-1)/2} \sqrt{\pi} \sigma^n}{\sqrt{\Lambda} K_n(2\Lambda\sigma)}.
\]

Let us now consider briefly this model — referring for technical details to \cite{21,30} — and set \( n = 1 \), whereas the second parameter \( \Lambda = 0.45 \text{ GeV} \) can be taken from the QCD SRs for the heavy-light meson transition in heavy quark effective theory \cite{29,31}. The two parameters \( n \) and \( \Lambda \) are responsible for the large- \( z \) behavior of the scalar-quark condensate, cf. Eq. \( (22) \). The third parameter \( \sigma^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^{-2} \) is defined in terms of the parameters \( n, \Lambda, \) and \( \lambda_q^2 \) via the following equation

\[
\int_0^\infty \alpha f_S(\alpha; \Lambda, n, \sigma) d\alpha = \frac{\Lambda K_{n+1}(2\Lambda\sigma)}{\sigma K_n(2\Lambda\sigma)} = \frac{\lambda_q^2}{2},
\]

which we are going to evaluate for the value of the nonlocality parameter \( \lambda_q^2 = 0.4 \text{ GeV}^2 \). The main effect of using a smooth model for the quark-virtuality distribution in comparison to the Gaussian form, \( f_S(\alpha) = \delta(\alpha - \lambda_q^2/2) \), is the increase of the nonperturbative contribution to the SR, induced this way, entailing the relation

\[
\Phi'_{\text{smooth}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{f_S(\alpha; \Lambda, n, \sigma)}{\alpha^2} d\alpha = \frac{\sigma^2}{\lambda_q^2} K_{n-2}(2\Lambda\sigma) \frac{K_n(2\Lambda\sigma)}{K_n(2\Lambda\sigma)} > \Phi'_{\text{delta}}.
\]

We studied the SR \( (19) \) for this model adopting the following values of its parameters: \( f_S(\alpha; \Lambda = 0.45 \text{ GeV}, n = 1, \sigma^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^{-2}) \). It turns out that the average value of the derivative \( \varphi'_{\pi}(0, M^2) \) in the fiducial Borel interval is \( \varphi'_{\pi}(0) = 7.0(7) \), meaning that the nonperturbative contribution \( \Phi'_{\text{smooth}} \), obtained from the smooth model, is approximately two times larger than the analogous contribution \( \Phi'_{\text{delta}} \) for the delta ansatz \( \Phi'_{\text{smooth}} \approx 2.3 \Phi'_{\text{delta}} \). Appealing to the relation \( (12) \), it seems reasonable to conclude that choosing a model for the condensate that has a slower decay at large distances (small \( n \) or \( \Lambda \)), may induce an increase of the nonperturbative contribution to the SR \( (10) \) and, hence, entail an increase of the value \( \varphi'_{\pi}(0) \) as well. The option of having a condensate model with a faster decay at large distances (large \( n \) or \( \Lambda \)), leads to a decrease of the nonperturbative contribution to the SR \( (10) \) and therefore to a decrease of the value \( \varphi'_{\pi}(0) \). To facilitate the comparison of these two distinct possibilities for the scalar-quark condensate, we give in the last column of Table \( 3 \) the values of the (usual) pion DA derivative at \( x \approx 0 \), using various SR approaches (first three rows) and selected pion DA models (last six rows).
5 Conclusions

In the present work we proposed a direct way to access the end-point characteristics of the pion DA in the QCD SR approach with nonlocal condensates. To characterize the slope of the pion DA at the origin, we introduced \(17\) a suitable operator for integral derivatives that allows us to describe a broader range of the pion DA in the end-point region than the standard derivative. Moreover this operator provides the possibility to include all condensate terms of the QCD SRs with nonlocal condensates.

Our results have been presented in the second and the third column of Table \(3\) for the range of values of the integral and the standard derivatives of the pion DA, respectively. The same table contains also the values of the derivatives of some characteristic pion DA models, viz., the BMS, the CZ, the asymptotic, and the flat-top DA given by Eq. \(18\) with \(\alpha = 0.1\). The dependence of the integral derivative on its parameters \(x\) and \(\nu\) is displayed in Fig. \(5\).

From Table \(3\) we conclude that the differential \(19\) and the integral \(17\) SRs agree rather well with each other. The integral and the standard derivatives of the pion DA, based on these new SRs, give smaller values than the asymptotic one and overlapping with the range of values determined with the BMS bunch of pion DAs \(10\), while there is no agreement with the CZ DA and the considered flat-top model.

It is worth remarking that, employing the integral and the differential QCD SR \(10\)— which employ the minimal Gaussian model for the nonlocal condensates — cannot be satisfied by flat-type pion distribution amplitudes. Using a physically motivated exponential decay model by means of expression \(21\), leads to a higher value of the slope of the pion DA at the origin \(\varphi'_\pi(0)\), though it is still much smaller than the corresponding value of the flat-type pion distribution amplitudes.

Some final comments: It turns out that the nonperturbative content in the differential SR for \(\varphi'_\pi(0)\) is mainly due to the scalar-quark condensate, a feature valid also for the slope obtained with the integral SR. To be specific, the scalar-quark condensate term is proportional to the second inverse moment \(12\) of the distribution \(f_S(\alpha)\) of the vacuum-quark virtuality and is determined by the behavior of the quark condensate at large/moderate distances of the vacuum quarks. By virtue of \(12\), we may conclude that, adopting a model for the scalar-quark condensate that has a slower decay at large distances, entails an increase of the nonperturbative contribution to the SRs \(17\) and \(19\), so that also the value of the pion DA slope defined via the integral and the standard derivatives increases.

The presented analysis shows that it is difficult to reconcile QCD sum rules, and related techniques and features of the QCD nonperturbative vacuum in terms of nonlocal condensates, with flat-type pion DAs.
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