A Prospective Observational Study on Diabetic Induced Complications and their Prone towards Surgery
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ABSTRACT

Background: This study was mainly aimed to find out the diabetic complications that had been lead to surgery. The Diabetes mellitus describes a metabolic disorder of multiple aetiology characterized by chronic hyperglycaemia with disturbances of carbohydrate, fat and protein metabolism resulting from defects in insulin secretion, insulin action, or both. The effects of diabetes mellitus include long-term damage, dysfunction and failure of various organs.

Materials and Methods: A prospective observational study was conducted in the Santhiram college & general hospital for 6 months (December 2020 – May 2021) to assess the macro vascular and micro vascular complications of diabetes mellitus and prone to surgery of diabetic complications by using case sheets, prescriptions in tertiary care teaching hospital.

Results: A total of 150 patients are included in this study. In patients with diabetic complications we have observed: Diabetic ulcer with cellulitis in 19, gangrene in 19, peripheral vascular disease in 6.
INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is a chronic disease with a group of metabolic disorders characterized by high sugar levels in blood (hyperglycemia). It is caused due to deficiency of insulin or resistance to insulin or both. Insulin is secreted by pancreatic β-cells to regulate blood sugar levels [1]. According to recent diabetes atlas, the global prevalence of diabetes is estimated at 415 million (8.8%), which is predicted to rise to 642 million in next 25 years. In India, there are about 69.2 million people with diabetes and are expected to cross 123.5 million by 2040 [2]. Moreover, worldwide approximately 193 million diabetics remain undiagnosed predisposing them to the development of several long-term complications of untreated chronic hyperglycemia [3] etiology of Type2DM is constitutional insulin resistance with relative insulin deficiency. The main factors that contribute to the development of insulin resistance (T2DM) include Age, Obesity, Physical inactivity, Smoking and alcohol, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease, Mutations in the insulin gene and Insulin receptors. The symptoms diabetic includes Excessive thirst, frequently urination, extreme hunger, weight loss, Fatigue, polyuria, Dry mouth and skin [4].The diagnosis of patients with diabetes or pre diabetes some test are needed to performed like oral glucose tolerance testing, fasting blood sugar, Random blood sugar, HbA1c testing etc. HbA1c test is much better than the FGP test for determination risk of cardiovascular disease and death from any cause [4].

The micro complications mainly include: Diabetic neuropathy, nephropathy, and retinopathy. Macro vascular complications include: Coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, peripheral vascular disease [5]. When compared to people without diabetes, diabetics have a 30-fold higher risk of lower-extremity amputation owing to infection. Diabetic foot infections that aren't treated well result in lower-extremity amputation in about 10% of patients [4]. Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is a condition in which the retina of the eye is damaged as a result of long-term diabetes. In most nations, diabetic retinopathy is the leading cause of blindness. It's frequent in both type 1 (40%) and type 2 diabetes (20%). The most common cause of visual impairment in people with T2DM is fovea involvement due to edema and hard exudates or ischemia [6]. The main risk factors for DR include hyperglycemia and diabetes for a longer period of time. Hypertension, hyperlipidemia, pregnancy, and microalbuminuria are all risk factors. Symptoms of diabetic retinopathy manifest only after damage to the eyes has occurred, and include blurred vision and gradual vision loss, floaters, shadows or missing portions of vision, and difficulty seeing at night. The most significant and prevalent complication of diabetes is related to blood vessels [7].

1.1 Peripheral Vascular Disease of Lower Limb Extrmities: Diabetic Foot Ulcer (DFU)

The most dangerous complication of Diabetes Mellitus is Diabetic Foot Ulcer (DFU). DFU is a kind of diabetes that affects about one-quarter of diabetic people. During the course of the disease, DFU causes 14 to 24 percent of lower extremity amputations. DFU caused 80,000 amputations per year in the United States. Peripheral neuropathy, ischemia, and neuro-ischemia all contribute to the development of DFU. Because of the lack of protective feeling and coordination of the foot muscles caused by neuropathy, mechanical stresses during ambulation are increased. Ischemia is caused by a lack of oxygen in the lower leg, and it can also ...
result in a wound. DFU is caused by a combination of ischemia and neuropathy, which deteriorates the skin integrity of the patient. DFU is a type of DM complication that can be treated. Diet, activity, and therapy adjustments can all have an impact on DFU recovery. DFU will heal 60–80% of the time. However, 10–15 percent will stay germinate, and 5–24 percent will require amputation within 6–18 months more than half of DFU were able to manage the sign of healing with adequate care [8].

1.2 Cellulitis

Cellulitis is the most common bacterial infection of the skin and subcutaneous tissue which is characterised by an inflammatory condition like erythema, swelling, fever, redness of the skin, blisters warmth, pain, tenderness and dimpling of the skin [9,10]. Cellulitis is mainly occurs when the wounded areas like breaks, cuts, cracks, ulceration, bite wounds are exposed to the streptococci and staphylococcus aureus bacteria. The severity of Non diabetic foot ulcer graded according to the CREST guidelines for cellulitis. Cellulitis usually disappears with appropriate antibiotic treatment if the practitioner correctly diagnoses and treats it quickly [11].

1.3 Aim

To study diabetic induced complications and prone towards surgery.

1.4 Objectives

- To analyse micro and macro vascular complications.
- To find out the causes of diabetic induced surgery based on severity of patients.
- To analyse the parameters to overcome the complications and as well as surgery.

1.5 Parameters Analysed

Main parameters in the study includes –

- Laboratory values of RBS, FBS, PPBS
- Laboratory values of HbA1c
- Disease condition of the patient
- Surgical data
- Outcome satisfaction of the patient

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Study Design

It is a Prospective observational study.

2.2 Sample procedure

The study sample consists of patient with diabetic complications which includes patients with, cellulitis, gangrene, non healing diabetic foot ulcers, myocardial infarction, coronary artery disease with angiogram procedure, retinopathy from general medicine cardiology, ophthalmology inpatient department of selected tertiary care hospital.

2.3 Study Period

6 months (December 2020 – May 2021)

2.4 Study Site

Santhiram General Hospital, Nandyal, which is 1000 bedded Tertiary care teaching Hospital with multi specialisations.

2.5 Study Target population

All patients from Inpatient units of General medicine, surgery Departments of SRMC&GH

2.6 Sample Size

150

2.7 Study materials/Source of data

- Case sheets
- Discharge medication charts

2.8 Study Criteria

2.8.1 Inclusion criteria

- Patients with informed consent form
- Patients with age group >20years.
- Patient with DM
- Patients with complaint of lack of wound healing, infections
- Patient with diabetic complication

2.8.2 Exclusion criteria

- Participants unwilling to join the study
- Women with pregnancy

2.9 Method of Data Collection

This prospective observational study was carried out after obtaining the permission of institutional
ethical committee Santhiram medical college and general hospital, Nandyala A.P, India, with proposal number 150. All patients according to the study criteria, admitted in the Cardiology, General surgery, ophthalmology, between December to May were included in the study.

Proforma was used for collecting data which includes patient demographics, past medical history, family and surgical history, co-morbidities, diagnosis and present medications prescribed for each patient. The data was obtained by direct patient interview and from patient case profiles. Total 150 cases were collected from wards, according to study criteria. All the prescriptions which contain different laboratory values concerning blood sugar and drugs were collected during the study period to analyze the information. And the satisfaction of the patient after surgery was measured by 5-point likert scale which contains excellent, very good, good, average and poor.

2.10 statistical Analysis

The data was subjected to descriptive and inference statistics using Graphpad Prism 5. Data subjected to mean, standard deviation, standard error and percentages. According to the ordinal data kruskal wallis test was used to obtain p-value and also Dunn’s multiple comparison test was performed between groups. P-value < 0.05 considered as statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

Rural Population(77%) were more effected with diabetic complication when compared to urban Population(23%).

Department wise: Among the 150 patients of our study the general surgery department patients are 90 members cardiology department patients 35 members and ophthalmalogy departments 25 members.

NOTE: Due to improper cleaning and the hygienic conditions of the lower limbs and foot the most of the people facing lower limbs extremity problems and the irregular maintenance of the diet and the medications the more number of patients are admitting in the general surgery ward.

Among the 150 patients of our study the alcoholics were 36 members, smokers were 48 members, and both (alcoholic and smokers) were 20 members and the females were excluded.

Among the 150 patients of our study the family history of diabetic was high compare to the hypertension and comorbid condition that was hypertension & diabetic

Among the 150 patients of our study the widely used medications were metformin and H.Actropid of 25%.

Table 1. Socio-demographic details of patients

| 1) Socio-demographic details | No. of patients |
|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Gender N (%)                 |                 |
| Males                        | 84(56%)         |
| Females                      | 66(44%)         |
| 2) Area wise population of cases |                 |
| Urban                        | 35(23%)         |
| Rural                        | 115(77%)        |
| 3) Department wise distribution |               |
| General surgery              | 90              |
| Cardiology                   | 35              |
| Ophthalmology                | 25              |
| 4) Social history of patient |                 |
| Alcoholic                    | 36              |
| Smoker                       | 48              |
| Both                         | 20              |
| 5) Based Family history      |                 |
| Diabetic                      | 87(58%)         |
| Hypertension                  | 42(28%)         |
| Diabetic and Hypertension    | 21(14%)         |
| 6) Medication history        |                 |
| H.Actropid                    | 35(23%)         |
| Glycomet-gp1                  | 32(21%)         |
| Metformin                     | 37(25%)         |
| Metformin and H.Actropid      | 46(25%)         |
Table 2. Age wise gender distribution

| Age   | Female | %  | Male  | %  | Total % |
|-------|--------|----|-------|----|---------|
| 31-40 | 2      | 3  | 0     | 0  | 1.33    |
| 41-50 | 13     | 20 | 13    | 15 | 17.33   |
| 51-60 | 26     | 39 | 35    | 43 | 40.6    |
| 61-70 | 17     | 26 | 21    | 25 | 25.33   |
| 71-80 | 8      | 12 | 13    | 15 | 14      |
| 81-90 | 0      |    | 2     | 2  | 1.33    |
| Total | 66     | 100| 84    | 100| 100%    |

Note: As no people diagnosed with the diabetic prone to surgery below 30 years

Table 3. Self maintenance

| Indication | Diet Maintenance | Physical Activity |
|------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Yes        | 36               | 30                |
| No         | 114              | 120               |
| Total      | 150              | 150               |

Note: Due to the lack of self maintenance like diabetic diet and physical activity the more population facing a diabetic complications

Table 4. Laboratory parameters

| Range  | RBS | FBSM | PPBS |
|--------|-----|------|------|
|        | M   | F    | %    | M   | F    | %    |
| 100-140| 2   | 2    | 3    | 25  | 16   | 27   |
| 141-180| 26  | 22   | 32   | 30  | 25   | 37   |
| 181-230| 20  | 14   | 23   | 22  | 10   | 21.33|
| 231-270| 2   | 3    | 4    | 7   | 7    | 11   |
| 271-310| 6   | 6    | 8    | 3   | 2    | 3    |
| 311-350| 8   | 3    | 8    | 2   | 2    | 3    |
| 350    | 10  | 7    | 10   | 0   | 0    | 0    |
| - Above| 84  | 66   | 100  | 84  | 66   | 100  |

Note: RBS = Random blood sugar, FBS = fasting blood sugar measurement, PPBS = Post prandial blood sugar

The Table describes that among the 150 patients of our study the highest RBS value ranges from 181-230 (36%) and the lowest RBS value ranges from 100-140 (2.66%). Among the 150 patients of our study the highest FBS value ranges from 141-180 (36.66%) and the lowest FBS value ranges from 311-350 (2.66%). And among the 150 patients of our study the highest PPBS value ranges from 141-180 (34.66%) and the lowest PPBS value ranges from 311-350 (4.66%).

The Table describes that among the 150 patients of our study the highest HBA1c value greater than 6.5 were 72 patients and less than 6.5 were 18 patients found.

The Table describes that among the 150 patients of our study the 50% population outcome was excellent because of proper maintaince of diet, medication, regular followups of hospital.

After performing non-parametric Kruskal wallis test for ordinal data the obtained p-value was 0.0653. That means it was not statistically significant. Why because the value > 0.05 slightly. Even though it was not statistically significant, but it was significant clinically. The values were explain the data was clinically significant.
Table 5. HbA1c laboratory parameter

| Lab Parameter of HbA1c | No. of Patients |
|-----------------------|-----------------|
| lesser than 6.5       | 18              |
| greater than 6.5      | 72              |
| Total                 | 90              |

HbA1c = Hemoglobin A1c

Table 6. Diagnosis of the patients

| Diagnosis                        | Female | Male | Total | Percentage% |
|----------------------------------|--------|------|-------|-------------|
| Diabetic ulcer with cellulitis   | 9      | 10   | 19    | 12.6        |
| Peripheral vascular disease      | 4      | 2    | 6     | 4           |
| Gangrene                         | 6      | 13   | 19    | 12.6        |
| Gangrene with cellulitis         | 7      | 4    | 11    | 7.33        |
| Non-healing diabetic foot ulcer  | 15     | 20   | 35    | 23.3        |
| CAD, NSTemi                      | 10     | 14   | 24    | 16          |
| Diabetic retinopathy             | 10     | 15   | 25    | 16.6        |
| Myocardial infarction            | 5      | 6    | 11    | 7.33        |
| Total                            | 66     | 84   | 150   | 100%        |

Table 7. Surgical procedure performed to the patient due to complication

| Surgical Procedure | No. of Patients | Percentage% |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Debridement        | 45              | 30          |
| Amputation         | 20              | 13          |
| Skin grafting      | 25              | 17          |
| Angioplasty        | 35              | 23          |
| Lasix surgery      | 25              | 17          |
| Total              | 150             | 100         |

Debridement > Angioplasty > Skin grafting = Lasix surgery > Amputation

Table 8. Surgical data

| Prone to single Surgery | Prone to Re -Surgery | First Aid For Post Surgery |
|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| 110                     | 40                   | 150                        |
Fig. 2. Diagnosis of the patients

Table 9. Distribution of patients based on antibiotics prescribing

| Drugs                                | No. of Patients | %   |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Ceftriaxone (moncef)                  | 33              | 22  |
| Cefoperazone + Salbactum (zostum)     | 48              | 32  |
| Ofloxacin + Ornidazole ( oflox-OZ)   | 27              | 18  |
| Pipercillin + Tazobactum (piptaz)     | 22              | 15  |
| Amikacin                              | 8               | 5   |
| Linizolid                              | 12              | 8   |
| Total                                 | 150             | 100 |

Note: Due to lack of caring the wound, irregular followups and medications the 40% patients are undergoing re-surgical producer

Fig. 3. Outcome of the patient after surgery
Table 10. Outcome of the patient after surgery

| Outcome        | General surgery | Cardiology | Ophthalmology | No. of Patients | %  |
|----------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|-----------------|----|
| Excellent      | 23              | 32         | 20            | 75              | 50 |
| Very good      | 25              | 3          | 3             | 31              | 20.6 |
| Good           | 23              | 0          | 1             | 24              | 16 |
| Average        | 19              | 0          | 1             | 20              | 13.3 |
| Poor           | 0               | 0          | 0             | 0               | 0  |
| Total          | 90              | 35         | 25            | 150             | 100 |

Note: The antibiotics are prescribed based on culture sensitivity test

Table 11. Descriptive statistics

| Order          | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Average | Poor |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|------|---------|------|
| Number of values | 3         | 3         | 3    | 3       | 3    |
| Minimum        | 20.0000   | 3.00000   | 0.0  | 0.0     | 0.0  |
| 25% Percentile | 20.0000   | 3.00000   | 0.0  | 0.0     | 0.0  |
| Median         | 23.0000   | 3.00000   | 1.0000 | 1.00000 | 0.0  |
| 75% Percentile | 32.0000   | 25.0000   | 23.0000 | 19.0000 | 0.0  |
| Maximum        | 32.0000   | 25.0000   | 23.0000 | 19.0000 | 0.0  |
| Mean           | 25.0000   | 10.3333   | 8.0000 | 6.66667 | 0.0  |
| Std. Deviation | 6.24500   | 12.7017   | 13.0000 |10.6927  | 0.0  |
| Std. Error     | 3.60555   | 7.33333   | 7.50555 |6.17342  | 0.0  |
| Lower 95% CI   | 9.48663   | -21.2193  | -24.2937 | -19.8953 | 0.0  |
| Upper 95% CI   | 40.5134   | 41.8860   | 40.2937 | 33.2286 | 0.0  |

Std. = Standard
CI = Confidence Interval

Fig. 4. Outcome of the patient after surgery mean with SEM
Table 12. Inference statistics

| Kruskal-Wallis test | P value |
|---------------------|---------|
| Exact or approximate P value? | Gaussian Approximation |
| Do the medians vary signif. (P < 0.05) | No |
| Number of groups | 5 |
| Kruskal-Wallis statistic | 8.83799 |
| Dunn’s Multiple Comparison Test | Difference in rank sum |
| Excellent vs Very Good | 2.50000 | No |
| Excellent vs Good | 5.50000 | No |
| Excellent vs Average | 6.33333 | No |
| Excellent vs Poor | 9.83333 | No |
| Very Good vs Good | 3.00000 | No |
| Very Good vs Average | 3.83333 | No |
| Very Good vs Poor | 7.33333 | No |
| Good vs Average | 0.83333 | No |
| Good vs Poor | 4.33333 | No |
| Average vs Poor | 3.50000 | No |

P-Value: < 0.05 = Considered as Significant

4. DISCUSSION

Among 150 patients of our study patients suffering from diabetic complication were observed more in the age group of 51-60 years (females- 39% and males -43%) and less observed at age group of 31-40 years and 81-90 years (females 3% and males-0) and .Males were more affected when compare to females.

Among the 150 patients of our study the diet maintenance was followed only by 36 members and not followed by 114 members, and the physical activity was followed only by 30 members and not followed by 120 members. So due to lack of proper diet maintenance and physical activity most of the participants suffering from to diabetic complications that we observed in our study.

The Laboratory values of RBS, FBSM, PPBS were monitored and with this observed thing was that 25% patients are not taken care about their health condition. The highest number of patients was existed in the range of 141-180 and 181 – 230. Even though after taking hypoglycemic agents patient remained with abnormality in lab parameters. However the value above 200 also have chance to get complications if the blood sugar persisted for long time. In the same way the other laboratory parameter for knowing blood sugar status was HbA1c. In this laboratory value approximately half of the patients that was 72 patients have HbA1c value greater than 6.5, this indicates chances for getting more complications in the patients; further may leads to surgery as well according the condition of the patient. Based on HbA1c value we observed that most of the patients suffering hyperglycemia from more than 3 months.

The diabetic patients need to care of themselves otherwise it leads to diabetic complications. In severe conditions physician could suggest for surgery. The patients outcome in this study after surgery was expressed highly as excellent, very good, good minorly on average. The values obtained are clinically significant, but statistically not significant because P-value was 0.0653.

From the study we observed disease conditions of the patient were diabetic ulcers, peripheral vascular disease, gangrene, gangrene with cellulitis, non healing diabetic foot ulcers and diabetic retinopathy. Among the 150 patients of our study based on diagnosis non healing diabetic foot ulcer of male and female (23.3%) was found to high When compared to other diabetic complication mainly non healing diabetic ulcer was developed because of the uncontrolled blood sugar levels and improper self maintenane. surgical procedure was performed based on diabetic complication. In that most of the patients undergone for debridement when compare to other surgical procedures because of most of the cases are non healing diabetic foot ulcer and cellulitis where the surgical procedure performed was Debridement (removing of necrotised are dead tissue).

Total 150 members of our study all 150 members have undergone to surgery. Among them 110
members have undergone single surgery, 40 members under gone resurgery. Due to lack of caring the wound, irregular followups and medications the 40% patients are undergoing re- surgerical producer.

Among the 150 patients of our study Zostum (cefoperazone + salbactum) was the most commonly prescribed antibiotic that we observed in our study when compared to other antibiotics. Diabetic patients prescribed antibiotics based on culture sensitivity test.

Among the 150 patients of our study we observed the 50% population outcome was excellent, 31% population outcome was very good, 24% population outcome was good and the remaining 20% population outcome was average. Due to the irregular followups and medication and lack of care the 13% population were at average outcome.

Outcome satisfaction of the patient were also estimated bt using 5 – point likert scale. All the patients who were undergone to various surgical procedures expressed their satisfaction. In total of 150 patients 75 patients were expressed their outcome as excellent, 31 as very good, 24 as good, 20 as average and zero for the poor respectively. These indicates the data was clinically significant.

5. CONCLUSION

In our study we conclude that out of 150 cases Male patients are more prone to diabetic complications than females. The surgical data also explains the need of controlling of blood sugar levels. All the patients in our study undergone for different surgical procedures. Those were debriment, amputation, skin grafting, angioplasty and lasix surgery. These data also suggests to patients to control blood sugar levels.

All the health care professionals in this regard conducted counselling and explained about the diabetes disease, controlling of the blood sugar levels and its importance. Diabetes is a world wide health care problem, in view of this concern all the health care professionals like physicians, pharmacists and nurses should involved to minimize the incidence and prevalence of diabetes by conducting the continuing health education and other health programmes. Ultimately this may help in minimizing the number of cases in across the world.
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