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A B S T R A C T

Background: Several factors contribute to accidents in small-scale construction projects (SSCPs). The present study aimed to assess the influential factors in SSCP accidents and introduce a model to predict their frequency.

Methods: In total, 38 SSCP s were within the scope of this investigation. The safety index of accident frequency rate (AFR) causing 452 injury construction accidents during 12 years (2007-2018) was analyzed and modeled. Data analysis was performed based on feature selection using Pearson’s $\chi^2$ coefficient and SPSS modeler, as well as the artificial neural networks (ANNs) in MATLAB software.

Results: Mean AFR was estimated at $26.32 \pm 14.83$, and the results of both approaches revealed that individual factors, organizational factors, training factors, and risk management-related factors could predict the AFR involved in SSCP s.

Conclusion: The findings of this research could be reliably applied in the decision-making regarding safety and health construction issues. Furthermore, Pearson’s correlation-coefficient and ANN modeling are considered to be reliable tools for accident modeling in SSCP s.

1. Introduction

The assessments performed in small-scale construction projects (SSCP s) are associated with significant challenges in terms of safety and health performance problems, which is mainly due to the multidimensionality of safety and health (S&H) issues, which often yield unreliable outcomes [1,2]. In the past two decades, more than 26,000 individuals employed in construction companies have been reported to die in the United States, which accounts for an average of five deaths per day [3].

In addition, reports from the European Union have revealed that 30 million working days are lost due to construction accidents [4]. Although only 12% of Iranian workers are active in the SSCP s, statistics uncover the high rate of the accidents faced by this population [5, 6].

Therefore, special attention should be paid to the recognition of the most important influential factors in such accidents in an attempt to find/model the correlations between accidents, as well as the contributing factors in this regard.
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Accident analysis and modeling is primarily aimed at determining the main factors that control accidents [7]. Accident frequency rate (AFR) is a basic index to quantitatively analyze occupational accidents [8].

Identification of AFR-associated factors is of utmost importance in analyzing construction accidents and proffering the optimal strategies for the reduction and prevention of accidents [9].

Researchers have proposed various models to determine and analyze the factors involved in accidents and possibly predict construction accidents [10-13]. For instance, Mitropoulos et al. developed a model for the analysis of accidents, predicting the correlations between accidents, and identifying the influential factors in construction accidents [14].

Artificial neural networks (ANNs) are among intelligent stochastic approaches, which are considered to be practical tools for the prediction of accidents. In a study in this regard, ANN methodology was reliably applied in the modeling and prediction of the contributing factors to accidents [15].

According to the literature, data is unclear regarding the correlation between the influential and predictive factors involved in AFR, as well as the use of findings in the preventive and strategic plans proposed to reduce construction accidents. The present study aimed to determine the AFR-related factors involved in SSCPs and use them to construct an intelligent model based on ANN for the prediction of AFR. With the complementary use of Pearson’s $\chi^2$ coefficient and SPSS, it was attempted to propose a practical solution for the safety and health problems in SSCPs.

2. Materials and Methods

This analytical study was conducted on 38 Iranian SSCPs recorded during 2007-2018. The projects with ≤35 workers were considered as small-scale construction projects.

2.1. Data Collection

Data were collected from the local documents of the SSCPs. In total, more than 500 documents on accidents, which were registered within 12 years, were selected for the modeling and statistical analyses. After the meticulous screening of the documents, incomplete data were eliminated. Finally, data of 452 accidents that caused injuries in the workers were selected for further investigation.

2.2. Determination of Factors

The collected data were classified into two categories of independent and dependent factors.

2.2.1. Independent Factors

The following data categories were defined as the independent factors in this study: Individual factors (IFs), including age, work experience, and education level of the injured workers;

Organizational factors (OFs), such as the type of job, type of activity, and average number of workers;

Training factors (TFs), including pre-employment, periodic, and post-accident factors, personal protective equipment (PPE), housekeeping, duration of training, and training contents;

Risk management factors (RMFs), such as the establishment of risk management systems, incident investigation, hazard identification studies (HAZID), periodic risk assessments, risk control, S&H reporting systems, tool box meetings (TBMs), housekeeping, and S&H audit and inspection.

2.2.2. Dependent Factors

AFR was used as the dependent factor and considered as the output layer of the developed ANN. AFR is an index used for the quantitative analysis of occupational accidents, which has been applied in several investigations to quantify the S&H performance [8]. In this study, AFR was calculated using equation 1, as follows [16]:

$$AFR = \frac{\text{Total number of accidents} \times 200000}{\text{Total working hours}}$$

(1)

2.3. Feature Selection

Actual problems involve a large number of explanatory variables, which govern one or more outputs. Therefore, substantial time and effort should be dedicated to finding new tools to manage large volumes of data, which might be associated with various challenges, such as the curse of dimensionality. In order to overcome such limitations, the algorithms of feature selection were used in our analysis so as to identify the most influential characteristics in this regard [17]. In this study, feature selection was performed using the IBM SPSS Modeler 14.2 as one of the most potent data mining software. Since the entry included a wide variety of data (e.g., continuous, nominal, flag, and ordinal data), Pearson’s $\chi^2$ coefficient was used to assess their differences. In addition, the significant cutoff point for feature selection was considered to be 0.95 [18].

2.4. Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs)

ANNs are computational tools that are capable of solving numerous problems in various fields. They are a network of artificial neurons and a system based on the biological neural network, which emulates the behavior of the system. The high complexity of natural neurons is summarized in a computational mathematical model assigned to artificial neurons [19]. ANN uses the artificial neurons with a combination of capabilities for the sensing of the process behavior as inspired by the pattern that is based upon experimental data.

It has been well documented that neural networks are capable of data classification, prediction, approximation, and clustering. As intelligent tools, ANNs are widely applied in predicting the behavior of various systems through modeling the medical diagnostics, sales forecasting, control over industrial activities, and research on customers, data validation, and risk management. Particularly, ANNs have been occasionally applied in the modeling and prediction of the incidence and severity of complex accidents in industries in order to determine the influential factors [15]. Multilayer perceptron (MLP) has numerous merits in the modeling and prediction of the events that are based on ANN models. The MLP network receives the inputs, analyses them with the aid of one or more hidden layers, and
correlates them with the outputs. As a result, the MLP network learns to emulate the correlation between the input and output variables through adjusting the weights and biases in an iterative manner until reaching the permissible error. Back-propagation is considered to be the most commonly used algorithm to obtain parameters, which propagates the inputs by taking forward step in the network and calculating the error in a backward manner iteratively [19, 20].

Design of the neural network architecture in an ANN structure strongly affects the accuracy of the modeling. Normally, the MLP structure consists of an input layer, an output layer, and one or two intermediate of hidden layers. Accordingly, various structures could be achieved depending on the number and arrangement of the hidden layers. In this regard, Linoff and Berry defined some instructions so as to find the optimal MLP structure. According to the literature, neural networks have been developed for the prediction of accidents, and the optimal structure of the neural networks was attained to meet the objectives of the current research. It was concluded that one or two hidden layers, neurons 1-3 times (∗3) of the input neurons could be sufficient to rely on this modeling.

Accordingly, the proposed structures were implemented using the MATLAB software [21]. Moreover, various models that were obtained in the study were compared in order to attain the optimal model based on the MSE criterion, as follows:

\[
MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2
\]  

(2)

Where MSE is the mean square error, \(y_i\) shows the network output of the \(i^{th}\) data, \(\hat{y}_i\) is the desired output of the \(i^{th}\) data, and \(n\) represents the number of the dataset.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Descriptive Statistics

The results of the statistical studies are presented in table 1. The mean AFR value was calculated to be 26.32 ± 14.83, and the age and work experience of the injured workers were estimated at 29.88 ± 7.70 and 4.98 ± 3.97 years, respectively. More than 70% of the injured individuals were construction workers, and 25% were technicians. Meanwhile, 51.4% of the injured workers had pre-employment S&H training, 24.1% gained periodic S&H training, and 19.4% passed S&H training after an accident.

The proportion of the risk management factors (RMFs), including HAZID, periodic risk assessment, and S&H control measures, was determined to be 18.1%, 18.5%, and 11.3%, respectively.

#### 3.2. Feature Selection

Feature selection was performed using Pearson’s \(\chi^2\) coefficient, indicating that IFs (age, work experience, and education level), OFs (activity type and average number of workers), TFs (duration, content, and periodic training), and RMFs (HAZID, periodic risk assessment, risk control, and housekeeping) were the most important contributing factors to accidents. Additionally, these factors were qualified to the ANN in order to analyze and predict the influential factors in AFR (Table 2).

| Table 1: Descriptive Results of Influential Factors in Construction Accidents |
| --- |
| **Factors** | **Values** |
| Age (Years) (M ± SD) | 29.88 ± 7.70 |
| Work Experience (M ± SD) | 4.98 ± 3.97 |
| Education | Sub Diploma 162 (35.8%) |
| | Diploma 203 (44.8%) |
| | Academic 88 (19.4%) |
| **Organizational Factors (OFs)** |  |
| Average of workers (M ± SD) | 95.50 ± 77.63 |
| Type of Job | Construction Workers 337 (74.4%) |
| | Technicians 104 (23.0%) |
| | Drivers 12 (2.6%) |
| Activity Type | Construction Work 312 (68.9%) |
| | Mechanical 31 (6.8%) |
| | Installation 102 (22.5%) |
| S&H training factors (TFs) |  |
| Pre-employment training | 233 (51.4%) |
| Periodic Training | 109 (24.1%) |
| After Accident Training | 88 (19.4%) |
| PPE Training | 95 (21.0%) |
| Housekeeping Training | 15 (3.3%) |
| Duration of Training | 131 (28.9%) |
| Content of Training | 70 (15.5%) |
| Risk Management Factors (RMFs) |  |
| Establishment of risk management system | 92 (20.3%) |
| Incident/accident investigation | 83 (18.4%) |
| HAZID | 82 (18.1%) |
| Periodic risk assessment | 94 (18.5%) |
| Risk control measures | 51 (11.3%) |
| S&H reporting system | 48 (10.6%) |
| Toolbox meeting | 52 (11.5%) |
| Housekeeping | 29 (6.4%) |
| S&H Checklist | 262 (57.8%) |
| S&H audit and inspection | 41 (9.1%) |

| Table 2: Results of Feature Selection to Determine Influential Factors in Construction Accidents |
| --- |
| **Selected factors** | **Value & importance rate** |
| Risk control | 0.998 |
| Education | 0.997 |
| HAZID | 0.996 |
| Age | 0.995 |
| Job Experience | 0.989 |
| Housekeeping | 0.987 |
| Periodic risk assessment | 0.982 |
| Content of training | 0.975 |
| Duration of training | 0.972 |
| Activity type | 0.966 |
| Periodic training | 0.963 |
| Average of workers | 0.955 |

#### 3.3. ANN Modelling

Based on the applied method in the design of the neural network structures, 1,331 different structures of the neural networks were achieved.

For 1st hidden layer: 1 ➔ 3×number of input factors ➔ 36 networks

For 2nd hidden layer: 0 ➔ 3×number of input factors ➔ 37 networks

Total networks: 36 × 37 = 1,331 networks

Following that, the networks were compared based on the mean square error (MSE) criterion, and the screening of 1,331 simulated networks resulted in the selection of five optimum neural networks (Table 3).
According to the findings, the lowest MSE was measured to be 0.0049, corresponding to the optimal neural network that enabled the prediction of the nonlinear behavior of the system (Figure 1-4). Based on the outcomes of the ANN model (Table 2; Figure 1), the factors were properly predicted by the developed ANN model, which was fed by the outputs of feature selection.

Figure 2 shows the MSE values and number of the iterations (epochs) in training, indicating that the designed ANN could appropriately mimic the AFR value as learned from the developed model. Figure 3 depicts the histogram of error between the actual AFR values and predicted values based on the ANN model. Accordingly, the absolute minimum error value was 0.02 from one point to another, where a slight absolute error value could be detected as well. Therefore, the histogram analysis demonstrated that the samples experiencing the least error in the network were trained properly.

As is shown in figure 4, the regression value (R), which was defined as the measure of the correlations between the actual outputs of AFR and ANN predictions, was 0.8843; the obtained value was reasonable considering the diversities in the factors and their variation intervals. These findings confirmed the reliability and significance of the developed ANN in predicting the AFR value by receiving the mentioned input variables.

### Table 3: MSE Statistical Parameters

| Opt. Network | Test MSE | Training MSE | The number of neurons of the 1st Hidden Layer | The number of neurons of the 2nd Hidden Layer |
|--------------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 1            | 0.0049   | 0.0094       | 7                                             | 3                                             |
| 2            | 0.0067   | 0.0095       | 11                                            | 10                                            |
| 3            | 0.0069   | 0.0101       | 19                                            | 12                                            |
| 4            | 0.0073   | 0.0099       | 21                                            | 24                                            |
| 5            | 0.0080   | 0.0085       | 17                                            | 31                                            |
According to the results of feature selection and ANN model, 12 out of 23 studied factors were the optimal predictors of AFR in SSCPs. In general, the modeling process encompasses several factors, such as IFs, OFs, S&H TFs, and RMFs.

Previous investigations have indicated that construction accidents could be influenced by several factors [10,22]. In the present study, the statistical analysis and stochastic modeling revealed that construction accidents could be properly estimated with relatively high degrees of reliability and perception in terms of the contributing factors. Such insights could help develop predictive algorithms and intelligent models for the prediction of construction accidents in the future. Due to the multifaceted complexities associated with the recognition of all the aspects of modern constructive industries, the analysis of construction accidents using common analytical methods for accidents remains a matter of debate [22,23].

As such, some studies have denoted that the application of ANN modeling could be a promising strategy for the prediction of accidents in construction fields and determining the most significant influential factors in such accidents [15,24,25].

According to the results of the present study, IFs and OFs could be considered as direct, mediating influential factors in various S&H problems, such as construction accidents [12,22,26]. Furthermore, the ANN model revealed that the age, work experience, and education level of the injured workers as the IFs and average number of workers and activity type as the OFs could predict AFR in SSCPs.

Workplace hazards are of crucial importance, and various strategies have been implemented to prevent or minimize the probability of accidents. S&H training plays a key role in decreasing the rate of accidents, and inadequate training has been reported to be a major cause of accidents [11,25,27]. The findings of the current research demonstrated that some TFs could contribute to construction accidents, such as periodic training and duration and the contents of training.

SSCPs are associated with S&H risks [13,28], and the results of ANN modeling in the present study indicated that some RMFs could also predict AFR, including HAZID, periodic risk assessment, development and implementation of risk control measures, and housekeeping. Moreover, some researchers have reported that the most important influential factors in SSCP accidents are the weaknesses of the S&H risk management systems, such as the deficiencies in HAZID, risk assessment, and risk control [22,29,30]. Some of the limitations of this analytical study were the small number of the considered accidents-related factors in the model and geographical sprawl of the SSCPs. It seems that the development and implementation of a comprehensive study based on large data banks on accidents and the related factors is necessary in order to generalize the model to more cases and achieve more reliable and comprehensive data.

4. Conclusion

According to the results, the ANN model revealed that stochastic modeling is an effective and reliable tool for the analysis and prediction of accidents in SSCPs. Furthermore, the findings of the research confirmed that the ANN model of AFR provided a valid solution to the problem of occupational accident analysis. It is believed that this methodology could be applied in the preventive measures in this regard. The reinterpretation of the findings also confirmed that AFR could be predicted with 88.43% precision. Therefore, it could be inferred that the modeling of the AFR based on ANN enables the defining and developing of new patterns to promote the optimum performance of S&H structures in SSCPs.

On the other hand, the obtained results suggested that changes in the AFR do not necessarily occur due to a single factor, but they may occur when two or more factors are simultaneously manipulated. As such, preventive measures should be focused on minimizing the limitations of the current procedure in S&H management systems; therefore, a comprehensive investigation is required to enhance the training process in terms of the individual and organizational factors.
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