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Abstract

The corporate social responsibility is essential depute in all organization. So all organizations think about how to achieved that their organization goals in this way one of the aspects as CSR. In this studies the effect of CSR on Profitability. This study tested by relation and impact supported on correlation and regression analysis. The data used were draw from 20 Bank finance and insurance companies in Sri Lanka. Panel data analysed by Bank finance and insurance companies CSR reports were analysed for the period of 2012 - 2016. The effect show that Corporate social responsibility reporting of the bank finance and insurance companies accrued during that time period. The results of the study also explained that there is a significant impact on profitability of the companies. This study was conducted in a underdeveloped country with various environment, economic and social aspects as compared to developed countries.
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1. Introduction

In a different country different researchers appear to have various explanation as to what CSR is. Few of researchers said that CSR is an superior instrument to industry the firm and should therefore be led by activity (Lantos, 2001) or be used to enhance the company’s brand (Kurucz et al., 2009).

CSR which is later enclosed in an abstract model called the memorial of CSR (Carroll, 1991). The point of time mentioned the Carrol CSR is made profit and obey the activities.

CSR had to embrace a brimful range of activities of business and community. Some time, CSR explanation considers obey the law regarding of profit making. Organizations should not be only evaluator on economic activities, but also on ethical, legal and discretionary activities. According to Werther and Chandler, (2011) the social responsibilities of business should encompass the evaluation of all four faces that society has of organization any time.

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) value different assessment and evaluation their future financial performance. So expert understand CSR as an authority cost, due to the generality of an agency logic, they make pessimistic present for firms with high CSR valuation. The most analyst to focus on stakeholders and evaluation of weakness and increasing of good recommendation of results. Expert issue more positive present for firms with high CSR valuation.

2. Research Problem

Corporate social responsibility reporting is more important to every organizations. Few industry have already answered, either by publishing an isolated report attentiveness their interpersonal state, or by giving some data in their yearly study or on their network position. Based on that different countries argued and developed in their regulation and policy. In Sri Lanka, is adopted very low or no concept and with no prospect to follow global standards, firm in these countries lean not to support to corporate social responsibility (CSR) reports.

CSR its profitability its extended term attainment. The CSR and profitability explained different components in different organizations. In this study is to find the impact of CSR on profitability bank finance and insurance companies in Sri Lanka. The examination has shown that on that point is an ambient contact between CSR and firm’s long run profitability. Reported to it, the inquiry question is as follows.

Is there any impact of CSR on profitability of bank finance and insurance companies in Sri Lanka?

3. Literature Review

Gray, Kouhy and Lavers (1995) explained that disclosure of social and environmental factors significantly increased from the 1980s to the 1990s. Corporate Social Reporting (CSR) has been formulated to pass the long-standing model of commercial enterprise coverage which importance a company’s economical business stipulation.
Kalisch (2001), disclosed that different CSR in international tour companies in the UK, In
direct contrast, idea like property business or accountable tourism, which incorporate the same
value as CSR. Which content a tactful choice to collective tourism products without the
volition to be an moderately bankable source of financial gain or a correspondent competititory
benefit Monshausen & Fuchs, (2010) and Butler (1999).

Friedman (1970) explained social obligation of business is to change its profits and
organization’s obligation to appraisal gainful in the power of its workers is part of the overall
CSR concept. Business accord on association, such as the improvement of artificial and social
resources, of doing concern and effect into the idea of sustainability (Crane & Matten, 2007).

Elkington; as cited in Crane et al., (2007) explained that sustainability improvement refers to
the long-term maintenance of systems according to social, economic and environmental
circumstance. It explains the condition of industries not only add efficient value to their
commerce interest, but also social and economic value.

Turker (2009) said that CSR, environmental, internal employment, ethical and legal formed
From each one feature covers a bound of support, contribution, piece of work life arrangement
and situation questions.

Porter and Kramer (2002) said that CSR as a shape of which can be combined with economic
benefits to provide a form of matched benefit. Godfrey and Hatch (2006) implied that cultural
accountable activity and the wider conception of CSR have no lucid affiliation.

CSR definitions across the decades. One original 1950s definition suggests CSR refers to
businessmen in society by Carroll (1999). Wood (1991) defined corporate social execution, a
choice subject of CSR, as generalization of social control, policies curriculum and the relation
outcomes. It seems both stakeholder association and CSR system in regards to the activity of
social announcement and policies; these are related subject of CSR covered by the earlier
explanation.

Albinger and Freeman (2000) revealed that employee force is essential along with happiness of
employees in regards to organisational activities and diversity as a starring quality for
prospective worker.

Kim et al. (2010) concluded that employee-company determination of worker perceived
internal to view their provision, the more accessory the outer viewed the system, the more the
employee known with it.

4. Objective of the Study

In this study one of the main objective is to investigate the impact of CSR on profitability of
listed Bank finance and insurance companies during the period of 2012 to 2016.

The secondary objectives are

- To find out the relationship of CSR and Return on Asset.
- To measure the relationship of CSR and Return on Equity.
5. Methodology

This study is related with secondary data collection. The researcher collects data from the annual reports of selected companies listed in CSE for the period between 2012 and 2016. The information relating to CSR and FP are collected for the intent of this study. The sources of data include the yearly publications of the CSE, the annual reports and websites of the CSE and the companies. The analysis was done by using the following techniques. Such as Co-efficient of Correlation and regression analysis for the purpose of statistical analyse made by using the Eviews -8 statics software package.

Profitability of the companies is dependent upon the management efficiency. It is represented as follows;

\[ P = f \text{ (CSR)} \]

Which shows profitability is the function of management efficiency.

Where;

\[ P = \text{Profitability} \]

\[ \text{CSR} = \text{Corporate social responsibility} \]

Here, profitability is measured with the help of two ratios namely Return on Asset, Return on Equity. CSR is measured through index. Therefore, the regression models will be formulated in the following manner;

\[ \text{ROA} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 A1 + \beta_2 A2 + \beta_3 A3 + e \text { – model} \quad (i) \]

\[ \text{ROE} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 A1 + \beta_2 A2 + \beta_3 A3 + e \text { – model} \quad (ii) \]

Where;

\[ A1 = \text{Economic} \]
\[ A2= \text{Environmental} \]
\[ A3= \text{Social} \]
\[ \text{ROA} = \text{Return on Assets} \]
\[ \text{ROE} = \text{Return on Equity} \]

6. Hypotheses

H1: There is an impact of CSR on Return on Equity of Listed companies in Sri Lanka.

H2: There is an impact of CSR on Return on Assets of Listed companies in Sri Lanka.

H3: There is relationship between CSR on Profitability of Listed companies in Sri Lanka.
7. Presentation and Analysis of Data

7.1 Data Analysis

The process of data analysis consists of understand the relationship between variables such as independent and dependent. The data analysis is important concept for the studies because in this process, the researcher can find out the statistical evidence for prove this study. The following analyses have been done.

7.2 Correlation Matrix

The correlation matrix is used to find out the relationship between the independent and dependent variable as per this study relationship between CSR and profitability.

Table 1. Correlation matrix for CSR and profitability

|       | ROE   | ROA    | ECO     | ENVIR  | SOCIAL |
|-------|-------|--------|---------|--------|--------|
| ROE   | 1.000 |        |         |        |        |
| ROA   | .846  | 1.000  |         |        |        |
| ECO   | 0.145 | 0.245  | 1.000   |        |        |
| ENVIR | 0.354 | 0.084  | 0.054   | 1.000  |        |
| SOCIAL| 0.432 | 0.323  | 0.745   | 0.765  | 1.000  |

The Table 1 shows the correlation matrix between CSR and profitability. This represents the positive association between the economic environment and social on Return on Asset (ROA) and Return on Equity (ROE). But there is no significant relationship at CSR variables on ROA.

7.3 Regression Analysis

The least square regression analysis has been used in this study for the purpose of find out the impact of CSR on profitability.

Table 2. Regression analysis of CSR on return on equity

| Var     | Co eff | Std. Error | T-Sta | P- val |
|---------|--------|------------|-------|--------|
| C       | -3.432 | 16.124     | -0.205| 0.654  |
| ECO     | 9.345  | 15.897     | 0.547 | 0.074  |
| ENVIRON | 13.435 | 17.456     | 0.743 | 0.044  |
| SOCIAL  | 10.234 | 20.478     | 0.457 | 0.037  |

R²: 0.7846 Adj R²: 0.7645
F-sta: 2.687 Pro (F-sta): 0.000

Source: Survey data
The above table shows the statistical significance impact between the independent variable and dependent variable. The above regression model shows that the Economic, environment social turnover have no significant impact return on Equity of selected bank, finance and insurance companies at level of 5% significance.

Likewise, the adjusted $R^2$ is viewing that the above mentioned independent variable such as Economic, environment and social have impact on ROE by .7645. Which mean 76.45% of the change in the dependent variable can be explained by this model. The 23.55% change in the dependent variable remains unexplained by the independent variable of this study. The F-statistics is significant because the corresponding P value shows the 0.0000 % which is less than 5%.

Table 3. Regression analysis of CSR on return on assets

| Var    | Co eff | Std. Error | T-Sta  | P- val |
|--------|--------|------------|--------|--------|
| C      | 10.432 | 13.675     | 0.654  | 0.456  |
| ECO    | -3.546 | 11.123     | -0.564 | 0.089  |
| ENVIRON| 5.678  | 14.763     | 0.435  | 0.078  |
| SOCAL  | -6.769 | 17.452     | -0.432 | 0.057  |

According this analysis the statistical significance impact between the CSR variable and financial performance variable. The above regression model shows that CSR variable have not significant impact return on Assets at 5% of significant level.

Also, the adjusted $R^2$ is showing that CSR and financial performance have impact on ROA by .8432. Which mean 84.32% of the change in the dependent variable can be explained by this model. The 15.68% fluctuation in the dependent variable remains unexplained by the independent variable of this study. The F-statistics is significant because the corresponding P value shows the 0.0000 which is less than 5%.

The outcome shows a supportive association of CSR and ROA and ROE, which suggest association between CSR activities and profitability of the Companies. These results support the results of Kanwal, et.al (2013) and therefore thirst hypotheses were accepted. This result contradicts the results of Uwalomwa (2011) and Branco and Rodrigues (2008) supports the findings of Kedia and Kuntz (1981) who found a negative correlation between firm CSR and ROA. Regression results convey that significant impact CSR activities on ROE but not ROA. Therefore two hypotheses were partially accepted.

8. Conclusion

CSR in underdeveloped countries like Sri Lanka presented herein message readers a conceptual and practical implication of the scheme. For director seeking an executable answer to extend their organization’s finance in CSR, the article can work as a able handbook to the many aspects knowing actuation in CSR. For someone concerned in the subject of CSR exercise and expected models, the article present them to a rich field for approaching research.
Research in improvement of plan of human action to implement CSR models will be extremely useful in the coming modification of CSR in Sri Lanka.
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