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ABSTRACT: The RORγt nuclear receptor (NR) is of critical importance for the differentiation and proliferation of T helper 17 (Th17) cells and their production of the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-17a. Dysregulation of RORγt has been linked to various autoimmune diseases, and small molecule inhibition of RORγt is therefore an attractive strategy to treat these diseases. RORγt is a unique NR in that it contains both a canonical, orthosteric and a second, allosteric ligand binding site in its ligand binding domain (LBD). Hence, dual targeting of both binding pockets constitutes an attractive alternative molecular entry for pharmacological modulation. Here, we report a chemical biology approach to develop a bitopic ligand for the RORγt NR, enabling concomitant engagement of both binding pockets. Three candidate bitopic ligands, Bit-L15, Bit-L9, and Bit-L4, comprising an orthosteric and allosteric RORγt pharmacophore linked via a polyethylene glycol (PEG) linker, were designed, synthesized, and evaluated to examine the influence of linker length on the RORγt binding mode. Bit-L15 and Bit-L9 show convincing evidence of concomitant engagement of both RORγt binding pockets, while the shorter Bit-L4 does not show this evidence, as was anticipated during the ligand design. As the most potent bitopic RORγt ligand, Bit-L15, antagonizes RORγt function in a potent manner in both a biochemical and cellular context. Furthermore, Bit-L15 displays an increased selectivity for RORγt over RORα and PPARγ compared to the purely orthosteric and allosteric parent compounds. Combined, these results highlight potential advantages of bitopic NR modulation over monovalent targeting strategies.

1. INTRODUCTION

The retinoic acid receptor-related orphan receptor γt (RORγt) is an NR that plays an important regulatory role in the immune system.1–3 RORγt expression is limited to the lymphoid system, where it is essential for the differentiation of naïve CD4+ T cells into Th17 cells and the production of the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-17a.1–3 Elevated IL-17a levels are highly associated with the pathogenesis of autoimmune diseases, including multiple sclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis, and psoriasis.4–7 Disrupting the Th17/IL-17a pathway could therefore potentially be an effective strategy for the treatment of these diseases.3 The clinical successes of FDA-approved monoclonal antibodies targeting IL-17a or Th17 cell development have already validated the potential of Th17 pathway inhibition as a successful therapeutic strategy.5 However, inhibition of RORγt with small molecules might be an attractive alternative strategy to decrease IL-17 production in the treatment of these autoimmune diseases, which has been the focus of many research efforts over the past decades, resulting in the development of several synthetic RORγt inverse agonists.9–14

Typically, NR ligands bind to a highly conserved hydrophobic binding pocket, termed orthosteric site, located within the ligand binding domain (LBD) of RORγt.15 RORγt features some level of background transcriptional activity because Helix 12 (H12/AF-2) is already positioned in a conformation that enables coactivator recruitment in the apo form.15 Regardless, RORγt is responsive to ligand binding with cholesterol (Figure 1) and its derivatives acting as agonists for RORγt,16 stabilizing H12 in an active conformation, resulting in an increased recruitment of coactivators. Conversely, inverse agonist binding destabilizes the active conformation of H12, disrupting the coactivator binding groove and thus decreasing the transcriptional activity. Recently, a novel class of RORγt inverse agonists has been identified, typified by MRL-871, which bind to a topographically distinct, allosteric site of the RORγt LBD, formed by helices 3, 4, 11, and reoriented H12 (Figure 1).17–20 The interactions in this allosteric pocket are predominantly hydrophobic, in addition to the hydrogen bonds between the carboxylic acid moiety of MRL-871 and the backbone hydrogen atoms of Ala497 and Phe498 as well as the side chain of residue Gln329.17 These allosteric ligands
decrease the transcriptional activity of RORγt by repositioning H12 in a conformation incompatible with coactivator binding and thus directly affect the activity of RORγt. Interestingly, these ligands show a high potency (low nM IC50 values) and potentially possess beneficial properties over orthosteric ligands. Therefore, such allosteric ligands are of high relevance in drug discovery.

Recent studies with orthosteric and allosteric ligands have demonstrated the capability of RORγt to bind both types of ligands simultaneously, even in a cooperative fashion. These insights have inspired us to develop ligands that comprise a covalently linked orthosteric and allosteric pharmacophore to enable simultaneous targeting of both sites, also known as bitopic ligands.

Bitopic ligands were pioneered for G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). Recently, the field of bitopic ligands has expanded to other protein classes including kinases, e.g., mTor and PKCa, and a merged bitopic ligand for the nuclear receptor PPARγ. These chemical biology studies have demonstrated that a dual targeting strategy is associated with several advantages over monovalent targeting strategies, including an increased affinity or selectivity, a bias in signaling pathway activation, and reduced therapeutic resistance.

Here, we describe the design, synthesis, and biochemical evaluation of three candidate bitopic ligands that comprise a covalently linked orthosteric and allosteric pharmacophore for RORγt (Figure 1), as the first linked bitopic ligands for NRs. Biochemical evaluation reveals that both Bit-L15 and Bit-L9 (linking both pharmacophores via a biamine linker with 15 and 9 PEG units) show bitopic RORγt binding characteristics, while Bit-L4 (containing a short linker with 4 PEG units) does not show these characteristics, as anticipated by design. Most promisingly, Bit-L15 has a significantly increased overall efficacy compared to its monovalent counterparts in both a biochemical and cellular context, approaching the activity of MRL-871. In addition, Bit-L15 displays increased selectivity for RORγt over RORα and PPARγ compared to a cholesterol derivative and MRL-871, respectively. Combined, this study shows that bitopic modulation of RORγt might enable advantageous properties over classic monovalent NR targeting strategies, providing a framework for future studies investigating bitopic NR modulation.
2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1. Design of the Bitopic RORγt Ligands. The first step in the design of a bitopic RORγt ligand was the identification of a suitable pharmacophore pair that could be used for linkage. Because of the concomitant binding observed for the orthosteric agonist cholesterol and allosteric inverse agonist MRL-871 to RORγt, these two pharmacophores were chosen for the bitopic ligand design. The crystal structure of RORγt with cholesterol and MRL-871 (PDB: 6T4I)24 (Figure 1) was used to devise a suitable strategy to link both ligands. The most promising linking strategy, in terms of space and retaining the key pharmacophore interactions with the LBD, was envisioned to be the coupling of the acyclic alkyl chain of cholesterol to the indazole core of MRL-871, yielding a bitopic ligand with the general structure shown in Figure 1.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Bitopic Ligands 

A.

B. (A) Retrosynthesis of the designed bitopic ligands, via two amide coupling reactions between the carboxylic acid functionalities of cholenic acid and MRL-COOH and a biamine PEG linker (n = 4/9/15). (B) Synthesis of bitopic ligands Bit-L4 (10a), Bit-L9 (10b), and Bit-L15 (10c) and monovalent ligands Chol-L4 (7a), Chol-L9 (7b), Chol-L15 (7c) and MRL-L4 (12a), MRL-L9 (12b), and MRL-L15 (12c).
Previous structure activity relationship (SAR) studies around MRL-871 have shown that modifications at the C-6 position of the indazole scaffold are tolerated, because this part of the molecule protrudes into an open channel in the cocrystal structure (Supporting Figure 1A). In previous studies, the C-6 position of MRL-871 was functionalized with a carboxylic acid moiety (MRL-COOH, Scheme 1A), and various PEG linkers were attached to this handle via amide coupling chemistry (Supporting Figure 1B). These modifications resulted in an affinity decrease of up to 30-fold relative to MRL-871, but the derivatives were still able to bind to the LBD of RORγt with IC₅₀ values of 250 nM or lower. Therefore, MRL-COOH (Scheme 1A) was used as entry for the attachment of a linker to the allosteric pharmacophore.

To keep the linking pathway between both sites as short as possible, the ideal position for linker attachment to the orthosteric pharmacophore is the alky tail of cholesterol (Figure 1), which is problematic due to the lack of a reactive handle at this position. Recently, Kallen and colleagues have published the crystal structure of cholenic acid (Scheme 1A), extended at its carboxylic acid position (Supporting Figure 1D). Their work demonstrates that extended derivatives of cholenic acid maintain the ability to bind RORγt, and it highlights that the receptor is highly flexible in the H11 region (Supporting Figure 1C). Although the extension induces a protein conformation that is incompatible with allosteric pocket formation due to displacement of H11, a less bulky and less rigid extension of cholenic acid is expected to disturb the agonistic protein conformation to a lesser extent, enabling the formation of the allosteric pocket. Therefore, cholenic acid was selected as entry for the attachment of a linker to the orthosteric pharmacophore.

The carboxylic acid moieties of MRL-COOH and cholenic acid allow the connection of both pharmacophores with a diamine linker via amide coupling chemistry to yield the desired bitopic ligands (Scheme 1A, Figure 1). In order to avoid nonspecific protein binding and to maintain flexibility and solubility, a polyethylene glycol (PEG) linker was used. This type of linker is expected to maintain a high degree of conformational freedom upon bitopic binding to RORγt, which is beneficial from an entropic perspective. Additionally, PEG linkers have also been applied successfully in other bitopic ligands. The distance between cholenic acid and the MRL-871 derivative, following the linker path illustrated in Figure 1, was estimated via in silico measurements in the crystal structure to be 27.4 Å (Supporting Figure 2). A linker consisting of 9 PEG units (Bit-L9) (MM2 minimized maximum nitrogen to nitrogen distance of the linker is 35.2 Å) was hypothesized to be just of adequate length to enable engagement with both sites. To verify this hypothesized mode, a linker consisting of 4 PEG units (Bit-L4), which is too short to span the distance around the protein, was also investigated (MM2 minimized maximum nitrogen to nitrogen distance of the linker is 17.9 Å), as well as a ligand with a longer linker of 15 PEG units (Bit-L15) (MM2 minimized maximum nitrogen to nitrogen distance of the linker is 56.9 Å).

2.2. Synthesis of the Ligands. The synthesis of the bitopic ligands was established via two amide coupling reactions with the three building blocks (Scheme 1): (1) the orthosteric ligand cholenic acid, (2) the diamine PEG linker (both commercially available), and (3) the allosteric ligand MRL-COOH (Scheme 1A). In order to prevent chemo-selectivity issues during the synthesis, tert-butylxycarbonyl (t-Boc) monoprotected biamine PEG linkers were used, and the MRL-871 derivative was synthesized containing a tert-Butyl protected benzoic acid moiety.

The protected MRL-COOH derivative 5 was synthesized as described in literature with an overall yield of 39% (Supporting Scheme 1). The three bitopic ligands (10a, 10b, 10c) were synthesized via two amide coupling reactions, to couple the linker to both pharmacophores (Scheme 1B). While two strategies were tested for pharmacophore attachment (the orthosteric pharmacophore coupled first to the linker, followed by the allosteric pharmacophore, or vice versa), the strategy shown in Scheme 1B was deemed optimal; this is because a greater ease of purification resulted in overall higher yields.

First, the t-Boc monoprotected biamine PEG linkers were coupled to cholenic acid 6 via an amide coupling with DIPEA as base and HATU as coupling reagent, as described by Kallen et al. The monovalent cholenic acid derivatives 7a (Chol-L4), 7b (Chol-L9), and 7c (Chol-L15) were obtained in high yields. Subsequently, the linker was deprotected in a mixture of DCM:trifluoroacetic acid (TFA):water, resulting in the TFA-ester of the compounds (esterified at the alcohol moiety of cholenic acid). These were refluxed in methanol to hydrolyze the TFA ester to isolate compounds 8a, 8b, and 8c in quantitative yields. Subsequently, these compounds were coupled to 5 via an amide coupling, resulting in the successful synthesis of 9a, 9b, and 9c. The suboptimal yields at this stage are believed to be due to the formation of two unidentified side products, suspected to be related to compound 5. After deprotection of the tert-Butyl protected acid of the MRL-871 pharmacophore in quantitative yields, the desired bitopic ligands 10a, 10b, and 10c were obtained, termed Bit-L4, Bit-L9, and Bit-L15.

In addition to the bitopic ligands, their monovalent counterparts were also synthesized to be used as a reference in biochemical evaluation. The monovalent orthosteric derivatives 7a, 7b, and 7c were already obtained in the synthesis route toward the bitopic ligands (Scheme 1B). The monovalent allosteric derivatives 12a, 12b, and 12c were synthesized from the MRL-871 derivative 5 (Scheme 1B) via a similar amide coupling and deprotection strategy as described for the other ligands.

2.3. Biochemical Evaluation of the Binding Mode of the Bitopic Ligands. Various types of time-resolved FRET (TR-FRET) binding assays were used to investigate the potency and binding mode of the bitopic ligands and monovalent counterparts. The cofactor recruitment TR-FRET assays are based on fluorescence emission occurring upon the FRET pairing of a d2-labeled cofactor with a terbium cryptate-labeled RORγt LBD (Figure 2E). In an orthogonal TR-FRET AlexaFluor-MRL recruitment assay (Figure 2F), an AlexaFluor647-labeled MRL-871 probe is used (Supporting Figure 3) instead of the d2-labeled cofactor, enabling direct probing of allosteric site binding.

2.3.1. Binding Characteristics of Both Orthosteric and Allosteric Pharmacophores Are Retained. The binding behavior of the monovalent counterparts MRL-L15 and Chol-L15 (Scheme 1B, Figure 2G) was evaluated in a TR-FRET cofactor recruitment assay, to ensure that the attachment of a linker to either pharmacophore is not detrimental to ligand binding (Supporting Figure 4A,B). The allosteric monovalent counterpart MRL-L15 shows a dose-dependent inverse agonistic behavior with an IC₅₀ value of 0.26 ± 0.02 μM (Supporting Figure 4B), which is in the same range as...
MRL-871-based probes containing a carboxamide modification at this position. In the presence of cholesterol, no decrease in inhibitory potency of MRL-L15 was observed, indicating an allosteric mode of binding. (Supporting Figure 2.)
4B). In fact, even an increase in potency was observed for MRL-L15 in the presence of cholesterol, indicating a cooperative behavior between both binding sites as has been observed previously (Supporting Figure 4B). In contrast to the orthosteric agonist cholesterol, the extended Chol-L15 derivative is not compatible with coactivator recruitment and is thus an inverse agonist with an IC_{50} value of 0.54 ± 0.08 μM (Supporting Figure 4A), similar to the previously described extended cholenic acid derivative. Chol-L15 shows increasing IC_{50} values in the presence of cholesterol, indicating competition between the two ligands, verifying an orthosteric mode of binding (Supporting Figure 4A).

Investigation of the binding mode of the bitopic ligands was performed via four different TR-FRET assay formats, each probing a different aspect of binding (Figure 2A–D). Three different binding modes can be considered: 1) a true bitopic mode of binding, concomitantly occupying both the orthosteric and allosteric site of the protein, 2) a flip-flop mode of binding, switching between a purely allosteric or purely orthosteric mode of binding (because both pockets cannot be occupied simultaneously), and 3) a mode of binding in which one bitopic ligand binds orthostERICally and a second bitopic ligand binds allostERICally, termed 2:1 binding (ligand:protein stoichiometry). Although a true bitopic and a flip-flop mode of binding can be difficult to distinguish experimentally, the latter is not expected in this case, because this mode is only worthwhile to consider in the case of small size pharmacophores with low binding affinities, instead of voluminous high affinity ligands that have a fixed binding topography, as is the case here. Based on their design, a true bitopic binding mode is expected for Bit-L9 and Bit-L15, while a monovalent or 2:1 mode of binding is expected for Bit-L4 (with the latter being less likely due to aforementioned reasons).

2.3.2. Linking Both Pharmacophores Increases Potency of Bit-L15 for RORγt Compared to Monovalent Counterparts. The three bitopic ligands and their monovalent counterparts were examined in a TR-FRET coactivator recruitment assay to investigate the effect of the different linkages of both pharmacophores on the inhibition of coactivator recruitment (Figure 2A). The results for the PEG-15 ligands demonstrate that the monovalent counterparts MRL-L15 and Chol-L15 show IC_{50} values of 0.42 ± 0.05 and 0.67 ± 0.11 μM, respectively, whereas the bitopic ligand Bit-L15 shows a significantly higher potency with an IC_{50} value of 0.0059 ± 0.0007 μM, comparable to the highly potent allosteric ligand MRL-871 (Figure 2A). These results demonstrate that the linkage of the allosteric MRL-871 and the orthosteric cholenic acid pharmacophore via a PEG-15 linker is beneficial for the overall potency of the ligand. For the second bitopic ligand with a PEG-9 linker (Bit-L9), a similar behavior was observed, although the overall potency of this bitopic ligand was slightly lower than that for Bit-L15 (Supporting Figure 5A). In stark contrast, the bitopic ligand Bit-L4 with the shorter PEG-4 linker does not show a significant increase in potency relative to the monovalent counterparts. Instead, it shows an IC_{50} value comparable to MRL-L4 (the highest affinity monovalent counterpart) (Supporting Figure 5B), providing evidence that the bitopic binding mode cannot be established with this short linker length.

In order to further validate these results, the TR-FRET coactivator recruitment assay was performed with a modified RORγt LBD in which the orthosteric site was blocked via ligation of a chemical probe to a native cysteine residue (Cys320) in the orthosteric ligand binding pocket (Supporting Figure 6A). This probe prevents orthosteric ligands from binding to RORγt, while the allosteric binding site remains accessible for binding of allosteric ligands. As expected, when the orthosteric site is not available for binding, Bit-L15 and Bit-L9 show IC_{50} values in the same ballpark as their monovalent allosteric counterparts MRL-L15 and MRL-L9 (Supporting Figure 6B,C). In general, the absolute IC_{50} values are lower than in the regular coactivator recruitment assay, due to cooperativity between the covalent orthosteric probe and the allosteric binding ligands. In contrast, Bit-L4 shows a lower potency than that of its monovalent allosteric counterpart MRL-L4 (Supporting Figure 6D). This lower potency is presumably due to unfavorable interactions or steric clashes between the protein and the unbound orthosteric cholenic acid moiety upon binding of Bit-L4 to the allosteric site (caused by the shorter linker), decreasing the allosteric site affinity relative to MRL-L4. Combined, these results demonstrate that the increase in overall potency of Bit-L15 and Bit-L9 relative to MRL-L15 and MRL-L9 in the coactivator recruitment assay with the native RORγt LBD is due to concomitant engagement of both sites.

2.3.3. Bit-L15 Competes with Cholesterol for Orthosteric Site Binding. In order to probe the importance of the orthosteric site in binding of the bitopic ligands in more detail, the TR-FRET coactivator recruitment assay was performed in the absence and presence of the orthosteric ligand cholesterol (CHL) (Figure 2B). The results in Figure 2B show that, in the absence of cholesterol, Bit-L15 exhibits a dose-dependent inverse agonistic character, in agreement with the previous assay. However, when the same titration is performed in the presence of a fixed concentration of cholesterol, the IC_{50} values decrease (shift of the curves to the right) with increasing cholesterol concentration (Figure 2B). This shift in IC_{50} values demonstrates a competitive character between Bit-L15 and cholesterol, indicating once more that orthosteric binding is involved in the mode of action of Bit-L15. A similar increase in IC_{50} values is observed for Bit-L9 and Bit-L4 (Supporting Figure 7A,B), confirming that these bitopic ligands feature an orthosteric component in their binding mode as well.

Upon closer examination, a trend can be observed between the linker length of the bitopic ligands and the degree of competition with cholesterol. As can be seen in Supporting Figure 7C, the bitopic ligands with a longer linker length show a higher fold decrease in potency in the presence of cholesterol compared to ligands with a shorter linker length, indicating that a bitopic ligand with a longer linker becomes relatively more susceptible to competition with cholesterol. This suggests that Bit-L15 gains more of its overall potency from the orthosteric site compared to Bit-L9 and Bit-L4. The low sensitivity of Bit-L4 to cholesterol competition is evidence that Bit-L4 binds mainly via the allosteric pocket.

2.3.4. Bit-L15 Shows Increased Competition with an Allosteric Probe Compared to the Monovalent MRL-L15. The orthogonal TR-FRET AlexaFluor-MRL recruitment assay (Figure 2F) was used to investigate the allosteric binding behavior of the bitopic ligands (Figure 2C). MRL-L15 demonstrates a clear dose−response curve, displacing the AlexaFluor-MRL-871 probe with an IC_{50} value of 0.21 ± 0.02 μM. In contrast, Chol-L15 shows the typical behavior for an orthosteric ligand with an IC_{50} value >10 μM. Bit-L15 displaces the allosteric probe with an IC_{50} value of 0.047 ±
Figure 3. (A) IL-17a mRNA expression in EL4 cells treated with ligands MRL-871, MRL-L15, Bit-L15 (10 μM, 24 h), or DMSO. The level of IL-17a expression was normalized to that of GAPDH expression. All data are expressed as the mean ± s.d. (standard deviation) (n = 3). The relative gene expression was calculated by the 2^-ΔΔCt (Livak) method using the DMSO control as calibrator. Statistical analysis was performed using a one-way analysis of variance compared against the DMSO control following Dunnett post hoc test. **p < 0.001 and ***p < 0.0001. (B) Dose–response curves of TR-FRET assays by titration of MRL-L15, Chol-L15, and Chol-α-SO₄ to RORγt, including an overview of the IC₅₀ values (the last 2 data points for Bit-L15 and last data point for MRL-L15 are not shown because of solubility issues at high concentrations). (C) Dose–response curves of TR-FRET assays by titration of MRL-871, MRL-L15, Chol-L15, and Bit-L15 to PPARγ, including an overview of the IC₅₀ values.

0.008 μM, confirming allosteric site binding. Relative to MRL-L15, the potency is increased 4.5-fold, which can be explained by an enhanced local concentration of the allosteric component due to concomitant binding of Bit-L15 to the orthosteric site (tethering effect), again validating the bitopic binding mode of Bit-L15.

Bit-L9 and its monovalent counterparts show a comparable behavior to Bit-L15 (Supporting Figure 8A), with Bit-L9 featuring a 2.7-fold increase in potency compared to the monovalent MRL-L9 (IC₅₀ = 0.058 ± 0.007 vs 0.16 ± 0.02 μM, respectively). For Bit-L4, allosteric site binding is observed as well, however without a tethering effect. In contrast to Bit-L15 and Bit-L9, Bit-L4 is approximately 8-fold less potent than its monovalent allosteric counterpart MRL-L14 (Supporting Figure 8B). This demonstrates that the coupling of the orthosteric pharmacophore to MRL-L4 weakens the affinity for the allosteric site, presumably due to a steric clash as discussed in the cofactor recruitment assay and is in agreement with the hypothesis that Bit-L4 cannot bind both sites simultaneously. Combined, Bit-L15 and Bit-L9 demonstrate an increased potency relative to their allosteric monovalent counterparts, ascribed to a tethering effect from the orthosteric binding pharmacophore.

2.3.5. Bit-L15 Exhibits Increased Potency Relative to Coincubated Monovalent Counterparts. With the binding to both the orthosteric and allosteric site confirmed, the affinity of the bitopic ligands was compared to their simultaneously incubated monovalent counterparts in a TR-FRET cofactor recruitment assay (Figure 2D) to probe the presence of a multivalent effect (i.e., an increased affinity compared to equimolar amounts of coincubated unlinked counterparts).36

As shown in Figure 2D, Bit-L15 has a higher overall affinity in the cofactor recruitment assay compared to equimolar amounts of coincubated monovalent counterparts (different combinations of coincubated monovalent counterparts were examined). Depending on the combination of coincubated ligands, a 23- to 63-fold higher affinity can be observed for Bit-L15. This multivalent effect provides convincing evidence for a true bitopic binding mode to the RORγt LBD.36 A potential 2:1 binding mode can be excluded based on these results, because in this binding scenario, Bit-L15 would have been equally potent as the coincubated monovalent ligands with linker.

Similarly, Bit-L9 also shows a multivalent effect (Supporting Figure 9A), although with a slightly lower magnitude (5- to 11-fold increase in potency compared to the coincubated ligands). In contrast, the potency of Bit-L4 is similar to the coincubated monovalent counterparts and therefore lacks a multivalent effect, in agreement with the hypothesis that this ligand cannot bind both pockets simultaneously due to inadequate linker length (Supporting Figure 9B). The results of Bit-L4 suggest a 2:1 binding stoichiometry, with one Bit-L4 ligand binding orthostERICally and another one binding allosterically.

2.4. Bit-L15 Inhibits IL-17a Expression in EL4 Cells. RORγt is the master transcription factor in Th17 cell differentiation and promotes IL-17a production. Therefore, the cellular activity of Bit-L15 was determined by measuring the reduction of IL-17a mRNA expression levels by quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR), to provide a first indication on the efficacy of Bit-L15 in a cellular context. The inhibition of IL-17a mRNA expression was measured in EL4 cells, a murine lymphoblast cell line that constitutively expresses RORγt. The EL4 cells were treated with 10 μM MRL-871, MRL-L15, and Bit-L15 for 24 h before the mRNA levels were measured (Figure 3A). Both MRL-871 and Bit-L15 are active and potent in a cellular context. MRL-871 significantly reduced IL-17a mRNA expression 21-fold, in line with previous reports.25 Bit-L15 led to a significant decrease in IL-17a expression as well (9-fold), demonstrating the desired effect not only in a direct biochemical assay but also in a cellular context despite its nondruglike chemical structure (high molecular weight, long linker, and hydrophobic cholesteric acid moiety). In contrast, MRL-L15 shows only a minor reduction of IL-17a mRNA expression (1.3-fold) compared to
Bit-L15, which shows that the coupling of the cholesterol pharmacophore to the allosteric pharmacophore results in a significantly higher response than for the monovalent allosteric counterpart alone. The results are in agreement with the results from the TR-FRET coactivator recruitment assays, where MRL-871 and Bit-L15 show a similar overall affinity for RORγt, while MRL-L15 is less potent (IC₅₀ value of 0.0059 μM for Bit-L15 vs 0.42 μM for MRL-L15, Figure 2A). However, the increase in cellular efficacy of Bit-L15 relative to MRL-L15 might also be caused by active cellular uptake facilitated by the attachment of the cholesterol pharmacophore to MRL-871.43

2.5. Bit-L15 is Selective for RORγt over RORα and PPARγ. In addition to an increased overall potency, the second major feature of a bitopic ligand over its monovalent orthosteric and allosteric ligands is an increased selectivity for its target by concomitant engagement of two sites.58,41 Cholesterol and its derivatives are known to not only bind to RORγt but also to have cross-reactivity toward RORα with high affinities.4 In order to investigate the cross-reactivity of Bit-L15 on RORα, a TR-FRET coactivator recruitment assay was performed. Whereas sulfated cholesterol (Chol-SO₄) shows a clear activity toward RORα with an IC₅₀ value of 0.19 ± 0.05 μM, Bit-L15 was more than 20 times less active in recruiting coactivator (IC₅₀ value of 4.0 ± 1.5 μM) (Figure 3B). These results show that Bit-L15 exhibits some off-target activity on RORα but with a significant decrease in potency compared to that of sulfated cholesterol.

Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that MRL-871 and its derivatives possess off-target effects against the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPARγ).17,20 In order to probe the cross-reactivity of the bitopic ligands on PPARγ, a similar TR-FRET assay was performed with Bit-L15 (Figure 3C). In agreement with literature,17,25 MRL-871 shows an IC₅₀ value of 8.5 ± 0.5 μM (vs 0.0074 ± 0.0009 μM for RORγt), whereas Bit-L15 shows an IC₅₀ value >100 μM (vs 0.0059 ± 0.0007 μM for RORγt), demonstrating that Bit-L15 is considerably more selective for RORγt than MRL-871. Additionally, a competition experiment was performed with the ligands and a known PPARγ ligand (tesaglitazar).45 The data shows that the competition between MRL-871 and tesaglitazar is considerably higher than between Bit-L15 and tesaglitazar (respectively, 84 vs 1.3 times maximal increase in IC₅₀ values), again indicating a higher selectivity of Bit-L15 for PPARγ compared to MRL-871 (Supporting Figure 10). These data clearly demonstrate how bitopic targeting can enhance the selectivity for a target.

3. CONCLUSION

The recent discovery of the simultaneous binding of an orthosteric and allosteric ligand to the LBD of RORγt inspired the design of bitopic RORγt ligands that, in theory, could concomitantly occupy both the orthosteric and allosteric site of the protein. Compared to monovalent targeting strategies, successful bitopic targeting of various classes of proteins has been associated with advantages including an increased overall affinity or a higher selectivity profile. Bitopic targeting of RORγt might therefore yield desirable molecular pharmacological properties. We report the design, synthesis, and biochemical and cellular evaluation of three candidate bitopic ligands Bit-L15, Bit-L9, and Bit-L4, connecting an orthosteric and allosteric RORγt pharmacophore via a PEG linker that varies in linker length from four to 15 PEG units.

A combination of TR-FRET assays was performed to probe different aspects of the mode of binding for all three bitopic ligands. A strong dependence of the overall affinity on linker length was observed, with both Bit-L15 and Bit-L9 showing an increase in potency relative to their individual monovalent counterparts, while Bit-L4 showed a comparable potency to that of the allosteric monovalent counterpart. Bit-L15 was the most potent of the bitopic ligands, matching the low nanomolar affinity of the allosteric inverse agonist MRL-871. Several follow-up assays confirmed that Bit-L15 and Bit-L9 bind both in the orthosteric and allosteric site simultaneously. Comparison of the bitopic compounds with equimolar amounts of coincubated monovalent counterparts demonstrated that, for Bit-L15 and Bit-L9, a more than additive effect of both monovalent counterparts exists. For Bit-L4, such a multivalent effect was, as expected, not observed. Combined, the TR-FRET data for Bit-L15 reveal a bitopic binding mode and a multivalent character, illustrated by its higher potency compared to the (coincubated) monovalent pharmacophores. Bit-L9 also binds bitopically, but with a lower overall potency and less multivalent character. This could be related to an entropic penalty caused by tension in the shorter linker, upon bitopic binding. Bit-L4 lacks the typical characteristics of bitopic binding and most probably binds via a 2:1 binding mode.

The most potent bitopic compound, Bit-L15, was also evaluated in a cellular setting to explore functional efficacy. Indeed, Bit-L15 showed a clear reduction of IL-17a levels, approaching the activity of MRL-871. Finally, the selectivity of Bit-L15 was investigated in TR-FRET assays with PPARγ and RORα. Bit-L15 was found to be more than 20 times less active for RORα than a sulfated cholesterol derivative and displays hardly any activity for PPARγ (IC₅₀ > 100 μM) compared to MRL-871, clearly demonstrating the enhancement of selectivity for RORγt via a dual targeting strategy.

In conclusion, we have rationally designed three candidate bitopic RORγt ligands, Bit-L15, Bit-L9, and Bit-L4. Biochemical evaluation via various TR-FRET assays provides strong evidence that Bit-L15 and Bit-L9 bind RORγt in a bitopic manner, with Bit-L15 showing the most promising characteristics. Furthermore, bitopic targeting results in an increased target selectivity while retaining overall efficacy in both a biochemical and cellular context. Bitopic NR modulation thus positions itself as a highly promising alternative to monovalent strategies, as chemical biology tool compounds, or maybe even toward alternative NR targeting strategies. Future studies focusing on the drug likeness of the bitopic RORγt modulators (e.g., pharmacokinetic properties, cytotoxicity studies, and elaboration on the selectivity profile) are required to determine the relevance of these ligands beyond a chemical biology point of view.
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