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The aim of the present paper is to consider a fully elastic beam equation with left-end fixed and right-end simply supported, i.e.,

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^4}{dt^4} u(t) &= f(t, u(t), u'(t), u''(t), u'''(t)), \quad t \in [0,1], \\
u(0) &= u'(0) = u''(0) = u'''(1) = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( f \colon [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a continuous function. By applying Leray–Schauder fixed point theorem of the completely continuous operator, the existence and uniqueness of solutions are obtained under the conditions that the nonlinear function satisfies the linear growth and superlinear growth. For the case of superlinear growth, a Nagumo-type condition is introduced to limit that \( f(t, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \) is quadratical growth on \( x_3 \) at most.

1. Introduction

This paper focuses on the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the boundary value problems (BVP) of the fourth-order ordinary differential equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^4}{dt^4} u(t) &= f(t, u(t), u'(t), u''(t), u'''(t)), \quad t \in [0,1], \\
u(0) &= u'(0) = u''(0) = u'''(1) = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( f \colon [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is continuous and involves all derivatives below the fourth order of unknown functions. The problem simulates the deformation of an elastic beam with the left-end fixed and the right simply supported.

The boundary value problems for the nonlinear fourth-order differential equations are the mathematical models used to characterize the deflection of elastic beams under external forces. Elastic beams are one of the most basic structures in architecture and engineering, and some different boundary conditions are derived due to the diversity of stress states on its two ends.

In the past few years, owing to its actual mathematical model and wide application background, the research on nonlinear fourth-order two-point BVP has been very active. Its solvability has attracted the close attention of many scholars, and some profound results have been obtained through various nonlinear analysis methods and techniques (see [1–22] and its references).

There are many results on the solvability for the special cases of BVP (1) whose nonlinear term \( f \) is independent of the third-order derivative term of \( u \) (see [2, 8, 10, 15]). However, only few articles have studied the existence of solutions for BVP (1). It is worth noting that, in [12], Yao proved the existence of the solutions for BVP (1) by calculating the maximum value of Green function and its partial derivatives as well as constructing height functions of \( f \) on bounded sets. In addition, the research on its solvability under some excellent growth conditions, especially the superlinear growth conditions, is even more rare.

In the mechanical analysis of beams, the physical meaning of the derivatives \( u'(t) \), \( u''(t) \), \( u'''(t) \), and \( u^{(4)}(t) \) of \( u(t) \) are slope, bending moment, shear force, and load density, respectively (see [1, 2, 17–19]). The existence of slope, bending moment, shear force, and load density is undoubtedly very beneficial to the complete stress analysis of beams. Nevertheless, the dependence of \( f \) on the third derivative \( u''' \) increases the difficulty for our study, but this is also a fundamental difference from the previous problems. In recent years, the research on the solvability of the elastic
beam equation that \( f \) involves all lower-order derivatives of
deformation function \( u \) has become a hot topic (see [5, 6, 11–22]). For example, the elastic beam equation whose
both ends are simply supported (see [14, 16, 20]):

\[
\left\{
\begin{array}{l}
u^{(4)}(t) = f(t, u(t), u'(t), u''(t), u'''(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1], \\
u(0) = u''(0) = u(1) = u''(1) = 0,
\end{array}
\right.
\]

(2)

and one end is simply supported, and the other end is sliding
clamped (see [5, 11, 22]):

\[
\left\{
\begin{array}{l}
u^{(4)}(t) = f(t, u(t), u'(t), u''(t), u'''(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1], \\
u(0) = u''(0) = u'(1) = u'''(1) = 0,
\end{array}
\right.
\]

(3)

and one end is fixed, and the other end is free (see
[13, 17–19, 21]):

\[
\left\{
\begin{array}{l}
u^{(4)}(t) = f(t, u(t), u'(t), u''(t), u'''(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1], \\
u(0) = u'(0) = u''(1) = u'''(1) = 0.
\end{array}
\right.
\]

(4)

The solvability of equations (2)–(4) has been studied by
various nonlinear functional methods, including fixed point
index theory in cone, fixed point theorem, lower and upper
solutions’ method, topological degree theory, and variational
method. In particular, in [16], Li and Liang researched the
existence and uniqueness of solutions for BVP (2) under the
condition that \( f(t, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \) is linear growth; in [19], by
supplementing the Nagumo-type condition to limit the
growth of \( f \) on \( x_3 \), Li and Chen obtained the existence and
uniqueness of solutions for BVP (4) under the condition that
\( f \) satisfies one-side superlinear growth.

Inspired by the literature listed above, in the present
article, we discuss the existence and uniqueness of solutions
for BVP (1) under the linear growth and one-side superlinear
growth condition. The results of existence and uniqueness
under the linear growth are presented in Section 3, and the
existence results under the one-side superlinear growth are
presented in Section 4. It should be noted that, in this paper,
the estimation of the maximum value of Green function and
its partial derivatives is no longer needed. In addition, with
the help of efficient norm estimation and Leray–Schauder
fixed point theorem of completely continuous operator, our
discussion is carried out in the whole workspace without the
restrictions of boundedness of \( u \) and its derivatives. Therefore, our conclusions greatly improve and generalize
the case of the bounded domain in the existing literature,
which is new and significant. In order to prove the con-
cclusion, we introduce some necessary properties of the
solutions for the corresponding linear equation in the fol-
lowing section.

2. Preliminaries

Let \( I = [0, 1] \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). We introduce the following common spaces on \( I \):

(i) \( C(I) \) be the continuous function space with the
norm \( \|u\|_{C} = \max_{t \in I} |u(t)| \)

(ii) \( L^2(I) \) denotes the Hilbert space formed by inner
product \( (u, v) = \int_{0}^{1} u(t)v(t)\,dt \) of all Lebesgue
square integrable functions on \( I \), and its inner
product norm is \( \|u\|_{L^2} = \left( \int_{0}^{1} |u(t)|^2\,dt \right)^{1/2} \)

(iii) \( C^n(I) \) be the \( n \)-order continuous differentiable
function space with the norm \( \|u\|_{C^n} = \max \{ \|u\|_{C}, \|u'\|_{C}, \ldots, \|u^{(n)}\|_{C} \} \)

(iv) \( H^n(I) \) be the Sobolev space constituted by norm
\( \|u\|_{n} = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n} \|u^{(i)}\|_2 \right)^{1/2} \), \( u \in H^n(I) \) shows that
\( u \in C^{n-1}(I) \), \( u^{(n-1)}(t) \) is absolutely continuous on \( I \),
and \( u^{(n)} \in L^2(I) \)

Firstly, we consider the following linear boundary value problem (LBVP) corresponding to BVP (1):

\[
\left\{
\begin{array}{l}
u^{(4)}(t) = h(t), \quad t \in I, \\
u(0) = u'(0) = u(1) = u''(1) = 0.
\end{array}
\right.
\]

(5)

Lemma 1. For \( h \in L^2(I) \), LBVP (5) exists a unique solution
\( u = Sh \in H^4(I) \), and the solution operator
\( S: L^2(I) \rightarrow H^4(I) \) is a bounded linear operator. Furthermore,
\( S: L^2(I) \rightarrow H^3(I) \) is completely continuous.

Proof. For each \( h \in L^2(I) \), one can easily test that

\[
u(t) = \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s)h(s)\,ds \equiv Sh(t) \in H^4(I), \quad t \in I,
\]

(6)
is a solution of LBVP (5), where

\[
G(t, s) = \frac{1}{12} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
t^2(1-s)[3(1-t) - (1-s)^2(3-t)], & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\
3s^2(1-t)[3(1-s) - (1-t)^2(3-s)], & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\
s^2(t)[3(1-t) - (1-s)^2(3-t)], & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\
t^2(s)(3-t)(3-2t) < 1.
\end{array} \right.
\]

(7)
Therefore, by applying the contraction mapping principle to the solution operator $S$ and combining with (6), it is easy to prove that $S$ has only one fixed point on $L^2(I)$, that is, $u$ shown in (6) is the unique solution of LBVP (5). When $h \in C(I)$, $u(t) = Sh \in C^4(I)$ is a unique classical solution of LBVP (5). It is clear that $G(t, s)$ is continuous; then, according to (6), $S: L^2(I) \rightarrow H^4(I)$ is a bounded linear operator.

Furthermore, $S: L^2(I) \rightarrow H^4(I)$ is obviously completely continuous since the Sobolev embedding $H^4(I) \rightarrow C^3(I)$ is compact and the embedding $C^3(I) \rightarrow H^3(I)$ is continuous.

The proof is finished.

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} G(t, s) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} (1 - s)[1 - 3t - (1 - t)(1 - s)^2], & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\ s^2(1 - t)(s - 3), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^3} G(t, s) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} (1 - s)(s^2 - 2s - 2), & 0 \leq t < s \leq 1, \\ s^2(3 - s), & 0 \leq s < t \leq 1. \end{cases}
\]

Then, we can verify that, for given $h \in C(I)$,

\[
u''(0) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (1 - s)(1 - (1 - s)^2)h(s)ds,
\]

\[
u''(0) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (1 - s)(s - 3)h(s)ds.
\]

Since for every $s \in I$,

\[
(1 - s)(1 - (1 - s)^2) \geq 0,
\]

\[
(1 - s)(s - 3) \leq 0,
\]

and thus, $u''(0) \leq 0$.

(b) By (10), we can check that, for given $h \in C(I)$,

\[
u''(1) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 s^2(3 - s)h(s)ds.
\]

Since for every $s \in I$,

\[
s^2(3 - s) \geq 0,
\]

and hence, combining with (11) and (12), $u''(0)u''(1) \leq 0$.

(c) Considering the boundary condition of BVP (5) and using the Hölder inequality, we can obtain that, for every $t \in I$,

\[
\text{Lemma 2. The unique solution } u \text{ of LBVP (5) has the following properties:}
\]

(a) $u''(0)u''(0) \leq 0$

(b) $u''(0)u''(1) \leq 0$

(c) $\|u^{(i)}\|_2 \leq (1/\sqrt{2})\|u^{(i+1)}\|_2$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, and 3

\[
\text{Proof}
\]

(a) With a simple calculation, we get

\[
|u(t)| = \left| \int_0^t u'(s)ds \right| \leq \left( \int_0^t \left| u'(s) \right|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left( \int_0^t 1 ds \right)^{1/2} \\
\leq t^{1/2}\|u'\|_2.
\]

and then,

\[
\|u\|_2 = \left( \int_0^1 \left| u(t) \right|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq \left( \int_0^1 \left| u'(s) \right|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|u'\|_2.
\]

In the same way, we have

\[
\|u'(t)\|_2 \leq t^{1/2}\|u''\|_2, \\
\|u''(t)\|_2 \leq (1 - t)^{1/2}\|u''\|_2.
\]

Therefore,

\[
\|u'\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|u''\|_2, \\
\|u''\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|u'''\|_2.
\]
According to the conclusion of (b) and the continuity of \( u''(t) \), there exists \( t_0 \in [0, 1] \) such that \( u''(t_0) = 0 \). Thus,

\[
\begin{align*}
    u''(t) &= \begin{cases} 
    t & t \in [t_0, 1], \\
    -t & t \in [0, t_0]. 
    \end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]  

(19)

We can find out in just the same way that

\[
\left\| u'' \right\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\| u^{(4)} \right\|_2. 
\]  

(20)

Those show that (c) is valid.

At this point, the proof is finished.

Finally, we introduce the famous Leray–Schsuder fixed point theorem, which will be used to establish our main theorems.

\[ \square \]

Lemma 3 (see [7]). Let \( X \) be a Banach space, and \( T: X \to X \) is a completely continuous operator. If the solution set of the homotopy family equation

\[ x = \lambda Tx, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1, \]  

(21)

is bounded in \( X \), then there exists a fixed point of \( T \) in \( X \).

3. Solvability under Linear Growth

Theorem 1. Suppose that \( f \in C(I \times \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R}) \) satisfies the following:

(H1) there exist constants \( \bar{a} > 0 \) and \( a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 \geq 0 \) with \( (a_0/4) + (a_1/2\sqrt{2}) + (a_2/2) + (a_3/\sqrt{2}) < 1 \) such that, for every \( (t, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in I \times \mathbb{R}^4 \),

\[
\left| f \left( t, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 \right) \right| \leq a_0 |x_0| + a_1 |x_1| + a_2 |x_2| + a_3 |x_3| + \bar{a}.
\]  

(22)

Then, BVP (1) has at least one solution.

Proof. Define operator \( F: H^3(I) \to L^2(I) \) by

\[
F(u)(t) = f \left( t, u(t), u'(t), u''(t), u'''(t) \right), \quad t \in I, 
\]  

(23)

and then, \( F \) is continuous and maps bounded sets of \( H^3(I) \) into bounded sets of \( L^2(I) \). According to the definition of \( S \) defined in Lemma 1, the solution of BVP (1) is equivalent to the fixed point of the composition operator:

\[ T = S \circ F. \]  

(24)

By Lemma 1, \( T: H^3(I) \to H^3(I) \) is completely continuous. Now, we apply Lemma 3 to verify that \( T \) has a fixed point.

Consider the homotopy family equation

\[ u = \lambda Tu, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1. \]  

(25)

Let \( u \in H^3(I) \) be the solution of equation (25) corresponding to \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \); then, \( u = \lambda Tu = \lambda S(F(u)) = S(\lambda F(u)) \) denotes \( h = \lambda F(u) \), and then, \( u = Sh \in H^3(I) \) is the unique solution of LBVP (5), so it satisfies

\[
\begin{align*}
    u^{(4)}(t) &= \lambda f \left( t, u(t), u'(t), u''(t), u'''(t) \right), \quad t \in I, \\
    u(0) = u'(0) = u(1) = u''(1) = 0.
    \end{align*}
\]  

(26)

Taking \( \| \cdot \|_2 \) norm for both ends of BVP (26), together with (H1) and Lemma 2 (c), we can obtain

\[
\| u^{(4)} \|_2 = \lambda \| F(u) \|_2 \leq a_0 \| u \|_2 + a_1 \| u' \|_2 + a_2 \| u'' \|_2 + a_3 \| u''' \|_2 + \bar{a}
\]  

\[
\leq \left( \frac{a_0}{4} + \frac{a_1}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{a_2}{2} + \frac{a_3}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \| u^{(4)} \|_2 + \bar{a}.
\]  

(27)

Hence,

\[
\| u^{(4)} \|_2 \leq \frac{\bar{a}}{1 - \left( \frac{(a_0/4) + (a_1/2\sqrt{2}) + (a_2/2) + (a_3/\sqrt{2})}{} \right)} = C_0.
\]  

(28)

Consequently, combining with Lemma 2 (c),

\[
\| u \|_{L^2} \leq \sqrt{\| u \|_2^2 + \| u' \|_2^2 + \| u'' \|_2^2 + \| u''' \|_2^2}
\]  

\[
\leq \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \| u^{(4)} \|_2
\]  

\[
< \| u^{(4)} \|_2 \leq C_0.
\]  

(29)

That is, the solutions’ set of equation (25) is bounded in \( H^3(I) \). Then, in accordance with Lemma 3, there exists \( u_0 \in H^3(I) \) is the fixed point of \( T \), which is the solution of BVP (1).

The proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

Next, we establish the uniqueness result for BVP (1).

\[ \square \]

Theorem 2. Suppose that \( f \in C(I \times \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R}) \) satisfies the following:

(H2) there exist constants \( a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 \geq 0 \) with \( (a_0/4) + (a_1/2\sqrt{2}) + (a_2/2) + (a_3/\sqrt{2}) < 1 \) such that, for every \( t \in I \) and \( x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 0, 1, 2, \) and 3,

\[
\left| f \left( t, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 \right) - f \left( t, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3 \right) \right| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{3} a_i |x_i - y_i|.
\]  

(30)

Then, BVP (1) has a unique solution.

Proof. Let \( \bar{a} = \max_{t \in I} |f(t, 0, 0, 0, 0)| + 1 \). Then, from (H2), it can be clearly deduced that (H1) is valid. Therefore, by Theorem 1, BVP (1) has at least one solution. Now, we just need to prove the uniqueness.

Let \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \) be the solutions of BVP (1), then \( u_1 = S(F(u_1)), u_2 = S(F(u_2)) \). Denote \( u = u_2 - u_1 = S \left( F(u_2) - F(u_1) \right) \), thus \( u \) is the unique solution of LBVP (5) for \( h = F(u_2) - F(u_1) \). According to (H2) and Lemma 2 (c),
In fact, for any \( a \), the partial derivatives \( f_{t,x_1}, f_{x_2}, \) and \( f_{x_3} \) of \( f(t,x_0,x_1,x_2,x_3) \) on \( x_0, x_1, x_2, \) and \( x_3 \) exist and satisfy

\[(H3) \text{ there exist constants } a_0, a_1, a_2, \text{ and } a_3 \geq 0 \text{ with } (a_0/4) + (a_1/\sqrt{2}) + (a_2/2) + (a_3/\sqrt{2}) < 1 \text{ such that, for every } (t,x_0,x_1,x_2,x_3) \in I \times \mathbb{R}^4,\]

\[
|f_{x_3}(t,x_0,x_1,x_2,x_3)| \leq a_3, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \text{ and } 3. \tag{32}
\]

Then, BVP (1) has a unique solution.

**Example 1.** Consider the fully nonlinear BVP

\[
u(t) = 2u^{(1/3)}(t) + t^2 (u'(t))^{(1/3)} + \sin t (u''(t))^{(1/3)} + e^{-t}u'''(t) + e^t, \quad t \in I, \tag{33}
\]

growth of \( f \) on \( x_3 \) and an important Lemma for the Nagumo-type condition, \((H^*)\) for any \( M > 0 \), there is a function \( H_M(\rho) \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+) \) with

\[
\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\rho}{H_M(\rho)} d\rho = +\infty, \tag{38}
\]

such that, for every \( (t,x_0,x_1,x_2,x_3) \in I \times [-M,M]^4 \times \mathbb{R},\]

\[
|f(t,x_0,x_1,x_2,x_3)| \leq H_M(|x_3|). \tag{39}
\]

**Lemma 4.** Suppose that \( f \in C(I \times \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R}) \) satisfies \((H^*)\). If there exists \( M > 0 \) such that the solution \( u \) of BVP (1) satisfies \[\|u\|_{C^3} \leq M, \text{ then there exists } M_1 = M_1(M) > 0, \text{ such that } \|u\|_{C} \leq M_1.\]

**Proof.** Let \( M > 0 \), then by (38), there is a constant \( M_1 > 0 \) satisfying

\[
\int_0^{M_1} \frac{\rho}{H_M(\rho)} d\rho > 2M. \tag{40}
\]

Set \( u \) be a solution of BVP (1) that satisfies \[\|u\|_{C^3} \leq M; \text{ now, we check } \|u''\|_{C^3} \leq M_1. \text{ From Lemma 2 (b), it follows that there exists } t_0 \in I, \text{ such that } u''(t_0) = 0. \text{ Suppose } \|u''\|_{C^3} \neq 0, \text{ that is, } \|u''\|_{C^3} > 0, \text{ then there exists } t_1 \in I \text{ such that } \|u''\|_{C^3} = \max_{t \in I} |u''(t)| = |u''(t_1)| > 0. \tag{41}
\]

Therefore, \( t_0 \neq t_1 \). Thus, there are four cases:

(1) \( u''(t_1) > 0 \) and \( t_0 < t_1 \)
(2) \( u''(t_1) > 0 \) and \( t_0 > t_1 \)
(3) \( u''(t_1) < 0 \) and \( t_0 < t_1 \)
(4) \( u''(t_1) < 0 \) and \( t_0 > t_1 \)
(4) \( u''(t_1) < 0 \) and \( t_0 > t_1 \)

For case 1, let (see Figure 1)

\[ t_2 = \sup \{ t \in [t_0, t_1] | u''(t) = 0 \} \tag{42} \]

and according to the definition of supremum and the continuity of \( u''(t) \), we have \( t_0 \leq t_2 < t_1 \leq 1 \) and

\[ u''(t_2) = 0, u''(t) > 0 \text{ and } t \in (t_2, t_1]. \tag{43} \]

Since

\[ |u(t)|, |u'(t)|, |u''(t)| \leq \|u\|_{C^2} \leq M, \tag{44} \]

hence, by BVP (1) and (39),

\[ u^{(4)}(t) = f(t, u(t), u'(t), u''(t), u'''(t)) \leq H_M(u''(t)), \quad t \in (t_2, t_1]. \tag{45} \]

Then,

\[ \frac{u^{(4)}(t)u''(t)}{H_M(u''(t))} \leq u''(t), \quad t \in (t_2, t_1]. \tag{46} \]

Taking integral operation on \( (t_2, t_1] \) for both sides of the inequality, and making variable substitution \( \rho = u''(t) \) on the left. Then,

\[ \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{\rho d\rho}{H_M(u''(t))} \leq u'(t_1) - u'(t_2) \leq 2\|u''\|_{C^2} \leq 2\|u\|_{C^2} \leq 2M. \tag{47} \]

Thereby,

\[ \int_0^{\|u''\|_{C^2}} \frac{\rho d\rho}{H_M(\rho)} + C_0 \leq 2M. \tag{48} \]

Then, based on (40),

\[ \|u''\|_{C^2} \leq M_1. \tag{49} \]

Similarly, we can discuss cases 2° – 4°, and the conclusions are the same.

Theorem 3. Suppose that \( f \in C(I \times \mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}) \) satisfies the following:

\( (H^*) \) and \( (H4) \) there exist constants \( \bar{b} > 0 \) and \( b_0, b_1, b_2, \) and \( b_3 \geq 0 \) with \( (b_0/8) + (b_1/4) + (b_2/2) + b_3 < 1 \) such that, for every \( (t, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in I \times \mathbb{R}^4, \)

\[ -f(t, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \leq b_0 x_0^2 + b_1 x_1^2 + b_2 x_2^2 + b_3 x_3^2 + \bar{b}. \tag{50} \]

Then, BVP (1) has at least one solution.

Proof. Define operator \( F : C^3(I) \rightarrow C(I) \) by (23). According to Lemma 1, it is evident that \( S : C(I) \rightarrow C^3(I) \) is a completely continuous linear operator. Hence, \( T = S \circ F : C^3(I) \rightarrow C^3(I) \) is completely continuous, and the solution of BVP (1) is equivalent to the fixed point of operator \( T \).

Consider homotopy family equation (25), and let \( u \in C^3(I) \) be the solution of equation (25) corresponding to \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \), denote \( h = \lambda F(u) \), then \( u = Sh \in C^4(I) \) is the unique solution of LBVP (5), so it satisfies (26). Multiplying both sides of equation (26) by \( -u''(t) \) and combining with \( (H4) \), one can obtain

\[ -u^{(4)}(t)u''(t) = -\lambda f(t, u(t), u'(t), u''(t), u'''(t))u''(t) \]

\[ \leq \lambda \left( b_0 u^2(t) + b_1 (u'(t))^2 + b_2 (u''(t))^2 + b_3 (u'''(t))^2 + \bar{b} \right) \]

\[ \leq b_0 u^2(t) + b_1 (u'(t))^2 + b_2 (u''(t))^2 + b_3 (u'''(t))^2 + \bar{b}. \tag{51} \]
Integrating both sides of the above inequality on $I$, together with Lemma 2 (c),

\[
\left\| u'' \right\|_2^2 + u'' (0)u'' (0) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{3} b_i \int_{0}^{1} (u^{(i)} (t))^2 dt + \tilde{b}
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=0}^{3} b_i \left\| u^{(i)} \right\|_2^2 + \tilde{b} \leq \left( \frac{b_0}{8} + \frac{b_1}{4} + \frac{b_2}{2} + b_3 \right) \left\| u'' \right\|_2^2 + \tilde{b}.
\] (52)

By Lemma 2 (a), $\tilde{b} - u'' (0)u'' (0) > 0$; combining with (52) and (H4),

\[
\left\| u'' \right\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\tilde{b} - u'' (0)u'' (0)}{1 - \left( (b_0/8) + (b_1/4) + (b_2/2) + b_3 \right)} := M_0.
\] (53)

Accordingly, by Lemma 2 (c),

\[
\left\| u \right\|_{12} = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{3} \left\| u^{(i)} \right\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left( \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + 1 \right)^{1/2} \left\| u'' \right\|_2 \leq \sqrt{M_0^{(1/2)}}.
\] (54)

On the basis of the boundedness of Sobolev embedding $H^3(I) \rightarrow C^2(I)$,

\[
\left\| u \right\|_{C^2} \leq c \left\| u \right\|_{3,2} \leq \sqrt{2} c M_0^{(1/2)} := M,
\] (55)

where $c$ is the embedding constant of $H^3(I) \rightarrow C^2(I)$ because

\[
|\lambda f(t,x_0,x_1,x_2,x_3)| \leq |f(t,x_0,x_1,x_2,x_3)| \leq H_M \left[ u'' (t) \right].
\] (56)

Hence, it can be deduced from Lemma 4 that there exists $M_1 = M_1 (M) > 0$, such that $\left\| u'' \right\|_{C_1} \leq M_1$. Combining with (55), we can infer that

\[
\left\| u \right\|_{C^2} = \max \left\{ \left\| u \right\|_{C_1}, \left\| u'' \right\|_{C_1} \right\} \leq \max \{ M, M_1 \} = M_2.
\] (57)

It shows that the solution set of equation (25) is bounded in $C^3(I)$. Then, by Lemma 3, there is a fixed point of $T$ in $C^3(I)$, which is a solution of BVP (1).

**Remark 2.** Theorem 3 guarantees the existence of the solutions for BVP (1) under the condition that $f(t,x_0,x_1,x_2,x_3)$ satisfies superlinear growth on $x_0,x_1,x_2$, and $x_3$. $(H^*)$ is a well-known Nagumo-type condition, which allows $H_M (\rho)$ to quadratical growth on $\rho$ at most, and it is used to restrict the growth of $f$ on $x_3$.

If $f_{x_0}, f_{x_1}, f_{x_2},$ and $f_{x_3}$ exist, then we can obtain the following existence result without the superlinear growth condition by the differential mean value theorem.

**Corollary 2.** Suppose that $f \in C(I \times \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies $(H^*)$. If the partial derivatives $f_{x_0}, f_{x_1}, f_{x_2},$ and $f_{x_3}$ of $f(t,x_0,x_1,x_2,x_3)$ on $x_0,x_1,x_2,$ and $x_3$ exist and satisfy

\[
(H^*) \text{ there exist constants } b_0, b_1, b_2, \text{ and } b_3 \geq 0 \text{ with } (b_0/8) + (b_1/4) + (b_2/2) + b_3 < 1 \text{ such that, for every } (t,x_0,x_1,x_2,x_3) \in I \times \mathbb{R}^4,
\]

\[
\frac{f_{x_0}^2}{4b_0} + \frac{f_{x_1}^2}{4b_1} + \frac{f_{x_2}^2}{4b_2} \leq 0.
\] (58)

Then, BVP (1) has at least one solution.

**Proof.** Let $C_0 = \max_{x \in I} \{ f(t,0,0,0,0) + 1 \}$; then, for every $(t,x_0,x_1,x_2,x_3) \in I \times \mathbb{R}^4$,

\[
-f(t,x_0,x_1,x_2,x_3)x_2 = -[f(t,x_0,x_1,x_2,x_3) - f(t,0,0,0,0)] x_2 - f(t,0,0,0,0)x_2
\]

\[
= -f_{x_0} (t,y_0,y_1,y_2,y_3)x_2x_0 - f_{x_1} (t,y_0,y_1,y_2,y_3)x_1x_2
\]

\[
- f_{x_2} (t,y_0,y_1,y_2,y_3)x_2^2 - f_{x_3} (t,y_0,y_1,y_2,y_3)x_2x_3 - f(t,0,0,0,0)x_2.
\] (59)
where \( y_0 = \partial x_0, y_1 = \partial x_1, y_2 = \partial x_2, \) and \( y_3 = \partial x_3, \theta \in (0, 1). \) Since

\[
-f_{x_i}(t, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3)x_i x_2 \leq \frac{f^2_{x_i}(t, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3)x_i^2}{4b_i} + b_i x_i^2 \quad (i = 0, 1, 3); \\
-f(t, 0, 0, 0, 0)x_2 \leq C_0 |x_2| \leq b_2 x_2 + \frac{C^2_0}{4b_2}.
\]

Therefore, we can deduce that

\[
-f(t, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)x_2 \leq \left( \frac{f^2_{x_0} + f^2_{x_1} - f_{x_2} + f^2_{x_3}}{4b_0} \right)x_2^2 + b_0 x_0^2 + b_1 x_1^2 + b_2 x_2^3 + b_3 x_3^3 + \frac{C^2_0}{4b_2}.
\]

Combining with \((H5)\), we can see

\[
\begin{cases}
 u^{(4)}(t) = u(t) + t^2 u'(t) + u''(t) + \frac{1}{2} u''(t) u^{n/2}(t) + \sqrt{2} \epsilon^{-t}, & t \in I, \\
 u(0) = u'(0) = u(1) = u''(1) = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Denote

\[
f(t, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_0 + t^2 x_1 + x_2^3 + \frac{1}{2} x_2 x_3^2 + \sqrt{2} \epsilon^{-t}.
\]

It is obvious that \( f \) is quadratical growth on \( x_i \), so \((H^*)\) is valid. In addition, for every \((t, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in I \times \mathbb{R}^4, \)

\[
-f(t, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)x_2 = -x_0 x_2 - t^2 x_1 x_2 - x_2^4 - \frac{1}{2} x_2 x_3^2 - \sqrt{2} \epsilon^{-t} x_2
\]

\[
\leq |x_0||x_2| + |x_1||x_3^2| + \sqrt{2} x_2
\]

\[
= 2|x_0| \cdot \frac{|x_2|}{2} + 2|x_1| \cdot \frac{|x_3^2|}{2} + \frac{|x_2|}{\sqrt{2}}
\]

\[
\leq x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 1.
\]

Set \( b_0 = b_1 = b_2 = 1, b_3 = 0, \) then \((b_0/8) + (b_1/4) + (b_2/2) + b_3 = (7/8) < 1, \) and

\[
-f(t, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)x_2 \leq b_0 x_0^2 + b_1 x_1^2 + b_2 x_2^3 + b_3 x_3^3 + b_i,
\]
which implies that $f$ satisfies the condition $(H4)$, and then, by Theorem 3, BVP (63) has at least one solution.
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