Modelling of Impulsional pH Variations Using ChemFET-Based Microdevices: Application to Hydrogen Peroxide Detection
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Abstract: This work presents the modelling of impulsional pH variations in microvolume related to water-based electrolysis and hydrogen peroxide electrochemical oxidation using an Electrochemical Field Effect Transistor (ElecFET) microdevice. This ElecFET device consists of a pH-Chemical FET (pH-ChemFET) with an integrated microelectrode around the dielectric gate area in order to trigger electrochemical reactions. Combining oxidation/reduction reactions on the microelectrode, water self-ionization and diffusion properties of associated chemical species, the model shows that the sensor response depends on the main influential parameters such as: (i) polarization parameters on the microelectrode, i.e., voltage ($V_p$) and time ($t_p$); (ii) distance between the gate sensitive area and the microelectrode ($d$); and (iii) hydrogen peroxide concentration ([H$_2$O$_2$]). The model developed can predict the ElecFET response behaviour and creates new opportunities for H$_2$O$_2$-based enzymatic detection of biomolecules.
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1. Introduction

Hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) is an important chemical species and its determination is of great interest for food processing, industrial, clinical and biochemical applications. In the past years, many methods for H$_2$O$_2$ detection were published in the literature. These different techniques involve
spectrophotometry [1], chemiluminescence [2], titration [3] and fluorescence [4]. Nevertheless, they present considerable drawbacks, can be expensive and lack appropriate simplicity when used in specific applications. Electrochemistry is also a very interesting method for the detection of H₂O₂, leading to the development of associated sensors in liquid [5–8] and gaseous phases [9–11]. Indeed, amperometric or potentiometric electrochemical detection principles are advantageous over other methods due to their high sensitivity, fast response, low cost, simple instrumentation, compatibility with microtechnologies and related potentialities for miniaturization. The amperometric technique monitors redox phenomena in a conductive liquid/solid interface and has led to the development of electrochemical electrodes and cells [12,13] while the potentiometric one involves the detection of charges trapped at an insulating liquid/solid interface and has been responsible for the development of ion sensitive electrodes (ISE) and chemical field effect transistors (ChemFET) [13,14]. Both detection/transduction principles induced successful alternatives for the liquid phase analysis due to in spite of different advantages/drawbacks in terms of (bio)chemical species detection, technological integration and data treatment. As a result, each of them was largely confined to specific applications according to their characteristics. Finally, the combination of amperometric and potentiometric techniques has also been very promising in terms of detection in liquid phase [15–19]. Such combination is associated with the functional integration of an electrochemical microelectrode and a pH-ChemFET on a single chip, leading to the realization of a pH-ChemFET based coulometric sensor-actuator system [15] also known as electrochemical field effect transistor (ElecFET) [19].

In parallel with the technological development of pH-ChemFET based microdevices, modelling investigations were also conducted. Based on the site-binding theory [20,21], such studies led to the development of complete behavioural models using SPICE [22], MATHEMATICA [23] or VHDL-AMS [24] softwares. From a general point of view, these different models focus on the study of electrolyte/insulator/semiconductor capacitive structures while taking into account the FET electrical behaviour due to well-known current-voltages equations [24,25]. Beyond the pH measurement, it is necessary to consider other phenomena occurring in liquid phase such as diffusion, migration, acid/basic chemical reactions, enzymatic biochemical reactions, ... This was performed for EnFET microsensors [26,27] as well as for pH-ChemFET-based coulometric sensor-actuator system [28,29]. Nevertheless, such modelling efforts have to be carried out in order to completely understand the ElecFET detection/transduction principles.

In this paper, we report modelling of ElecFET microdevices in the frame of water electrolysis and hydrogen peroxide oxidation using the MATLAB™ software, studying main influential parameters (polarization voltage and time as well as integration level) while dealing with impulsional pH variations in microvolume and H₂O₂ detection.

2. Experimental Section

The ElecFET microdevice was developed through functional integration of a metallic microelectrode with a pH-sensitive chemical field effect transistor (pH-ChemFET) on a silicon chip [19]. Thus, by combining at the microscale amperometric production of water-based ions in solution and pH potentiometric detection, unusual electrochemical detection properties were evidenced [15–19] and should be modelled accordingly.
Since the pH-ChemFET theoretical behaviour is well known [14,24,25], its modelling was considered globally by taking into account the threshold voltage variations with pH (see Section 2.3). Nevertheless, in order to completely understand the ElecFET detection/transduction principles, its technological processes and the associated planar structure should be described (Figure 1) [19,30]. At first, N-channel, field effect transistors (FET) were fabricated on silicon wafers using a standard P-well technology. Thus, heavily-doped, N⁺-type source (S) and drain (D) regions (thickness: ~2 μm) were fabricated on a P-type silicon substrate to form the FET channel (length L_G: ~5 μm). Then, the pH-ChemFET gate was created. Typically, a 50 nm-thin silicon oxide SiO₂ layer was thermally grown on the silicon substrate in order to obtain optimal gate dielectric properties and a 50 nm-thin Si₃N₄ layer was then deposited to provide pH detection properties. Finally, the metallic microelectrode was integrated on the device, next to the SiO₂/Si₃N₄ pH-ChemFET sensitive gate (standard distances: 30–300 μm). Platinum was chosen since it is an excellent catalyst for hydrogen peroxide oxidation [5,6,31]. Practically, platinum metallization (thickness: ~200 nm) was used with a tantalum underlayer (thickness: ~50 nm) to assure adhesion on Si₃N₄ surface. Overall, platinum microelectrode (area: ~0.3 mm²) and pH-ChemFET (sensitive gate area: ~4 × 10⁻³ mm²) were placed sufficiently close to one another in order to easily perform liquid phase analysis while keeping an adequate distance to avoid electrical interferences.

Concerning the operating principle, a typical electrical configuration was used [19]. On one hand, the pH-ChemFET electrical bias was achieved through a constant drain-source voltage V_DS and drain-source current I_DS. Gate-source voltage V_GS was measured due to a reference electrode biasing the electrolyte to the mass (V_G = V_RE = V_{electrolyte} = 0). On the other hand, a suitable electrical polarization (voltage V_p, time t_P) was applied on the integrated platinum microelectrode and a standard platinum counter-electrode in the solution was used to complete the set-up (V_{CE} ≈ V_{electrolyte} = 0).

**Figure 1.** Cross-section of the ElecFET device describing the one-dimensional model in radial coordinate (scales are not respected for easiness of presentation).
The ElecFET modelling takes into account the different chemical and physical phenomena occurring in the environment around the ElecFET microdevice: electrochemical production/consumption of water-based acid/basic species (hydronium $\text{H}_3\text{O}^+$ and hydroxide $\text{OH}^-$ ions) due to redox phenomena on the integrated microelectrode, water self-ionization and diffusion phenomena in water medium. We assumed that (i) the transport by electromigration of $\text{H}_3\text{O}^+$ and $\text{OH}^-$ ions is negligible and (ii) any similar phenomenon is induced by other ions in the electrolyte. Similarly, transport by convection was neglected. As a result, modelling procedure is described as follows:

- Study of the electrochemical production of water-based ions ($\text{H}_3\text{O}^+$ and $\text{OH}^-$) on the integrated microelectrode,
- Study of the influence of water self-ionization,
- Study of diffusion phenomena in liquid phase, determination of water-based ion concentration profiles and pH distribution around the pH-ChemFET sensitive gate,
- Final determination of the pH-ChemFET potentiometric response (by considering only the typical "Nernst law" equation).

### 2.1. Modelling of the Electrochemical Production of Water-Based Ions

The ElecFET detection principles were first applied to water electrolysis phenomena triggered by an electrical polarization (voltage $V_p$, time $t_p$) on the integrated microelectrode:

- $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ oxidation ($V_p > E_{0+}$): $6 \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow \text{O}_2 + 4 \text{H}_3\text{O}^+ + 4 \text{e}^-$
- $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ reduction ($V_p < E_{0-}$): $4 \text{H}_2\text{O} + 4\text{e}^- \longrightarrow 2 \text{H}_2 + 4 \text{OH}^-$

where $E_{0+}$ and $E_{0-}$ are equilibrium potentials of oxidation and reduction reactions for water electrolysis respectively ($E_{0+} \approx 1.2 \text{ V}$ and $E_{0-} \approx -0.8 \text{ V}$ [32]).

The choice of a constant voltage to bias the integrated microelectrode was not compulsory in the frame of the ElecFET modelling: a constant current bias could have also been used. It was done in order to fit with ElecFET experimental characterisations previously performed [19]. Thus, according to laws of electrochemistry applied to a standard redox reaction ($\text{ox} + n\text{e}^- \leftrightarrow \text{red}$), the current $I$ on the electrode is related to the electrode potential $V$ through the following equation [32]:

$$I = nFS \left[ k_{\text{ox[red]}} \exp \left( \frac{\alpha nF}{RT} V \right) - k_{\text{red[ox]}} \exp \left( \frac{\beta nF}{RT} V \right) \right]$$

(1)

where $F$ is the Faraday constant ($F = 96,485 \text{ C/mol}$), $S$ is the electrode surface, $k_{\text{ox}}$ and $k_{\text{red}}$ are the standard rate constants of oxidation and reduction respectively, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the anodic and cathodic transfert coefficients respectively ($\alpha + \beta = 1$), $R$ is the ideal gas constant ($R = 8.32 \text{ J/(K·mol)}$) and $T$ is the absolute temperature (K).

If the electrode potential $V$ is higher than the oxidation potential $E_{0+}$, the reduction current can be neglected and Equation (1) becomes:

$$I = nFS k_{\text{ox[red]}} \exp \left( \frac{\alpha nF}{RT} V \right) = nFS k_{+} \exp \left( \frac{\alpha nF}{RT} (V - E_{+}) \right)$$

$$k_+ = k_{\text{ox}} \exp \left( \frac{\alpha nF}{RT} E_{+} \right)$$

(2)
Thus, in the case of monovalent ions, considering an elementary volume (surface $S$, thickness $dr$), the current $I$ is given by:

$$I = eNSdr \frac{d[ox]}{dt}$$

(3)

where $N$ is the Avogadro number ($N = 6.02 \times 10^{23}$).

From Equations (2) and (3), temporal variations of the oxidant species concentration is finally given by:

$$\frac{d[ox]}{dt} = n \frac{k_+}{dr} [\text{red}] \exp \left( \frac{\alpha nF}{RT} (V - E_+) \right)$$

(4)

In the same way, if the electrode potential $V$ is lower than the reduction potential $E_-$, the temporal variations of the reducer species concentration is given by:

$$\frac{d[red]}{dt} = n \frac{k_-}{dr} [\text{red}] \exp \left( \frac{\alpha nF}{RT} (E_- - V) \right)$$

(5)

By applying Equations (4) and (5) to water oxidation and reduction respectively while considering that $\alpha = \beta = 0.5$ [32], the temporal variations of $H_3O^+$ and $OH^-$ ion concentrations at the microelectrode surface ($r = 0$, cf. Figure 1) are finally given by:

$$V_P > E_0^+$$

$$\frac{d[H_3O^+]}{dt} = 4 \frac{k_0^+}{dr} \exp \left( \frac{2F}{RT} (V_P - E_0^+) \right)$$

(6)

$$V_P < E_0^+$$

$$\frac{d[OH^-]}{dt} = 4 \frac{k_0^-}{dr} \exp \left( \frac{2F}{RT} (E_0^- - V_P) \right)$$

(7)

where $k_0^+$ and $k_0^-$ are standard rate constants of oxidation and reduction respectively (estimated respectively at $3 \times 10^{-9}$ m/s and $10^{-7}$ m/s using the Tafel experimental method [32], results not shown), $F$ is the Faraday constant ($F = 96,485$ C/mol), $R$ is the ideal gas constant ($R = 8.32$ J/(K·mol)) and $T$ is the absolute temperature (K).

In presence of hydrogen peroxide in solution (concentration $[H_2O_2]$), the associated oxidation reaction should also be taken into account on the integrated microelectrode:

$H_2O_2$ oxidation ($V_P > E_{1+}$): $H_2O_2 + 2 H_2O \rightarrow O_2 + 2 H_3O^+ + 2 e$

where $E_{1+}$ is the equilibrium potential for the $H_2O_2$ oxidation ($E_{1+} \approx 0.3$ V for $pH = 7$ [32]).

As previously stated, according to Equation (4), temporal variations of $[H_3O^+]$ concentration at the microelectrode surface ($r = 0$, cf. Figure 1) are given by:

$$V_P > E_{1+}$$

$$\frac{d[H_3O^+]}{dt} = 2[H_2O_2] \frac{k_1^+}{dr} \exp \left( \frac{F}{RT} (V_P - E_{1+}) \right)$$

(8)

where $k_1^+$ is the standard rate constant for the $H_2O_2$ oxidation (estimated experimentally at $5 \times 10^{-8}$ m/s from the current $I_0$ obtained for $V_P = E_{1+}$ in agreement with the Tafel method [32], Figure 2),
F is the Faraday constant (F = 96,485 C/mol), R is the ideal gas constant (R = 8.32 J/(K·mol)) and T is the absolute temperature (K).

In our model, these equations were finally used to determine the electrochemical production of hydronium or hydroxide ions on the integrated microelectrode and its impact on the associated concentrations \([\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]\) and \([\text{OH}^-]\).

**Figure 2.** Definition of the standard rate constant \(k_{1+}\) for the \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) oxidation using the Tafel method (\(S = 0.3 \text{ mm}^2, [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] = 200 \text{ mM}\)).

![Graph showing I vs. \((V_p - E_{1/2})\) with \([\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] = 200 \text{ mM}, S = 0.3 \text{ mm}^2\).]

\[I_c = 5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ A and } k_c = 5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m/s}\]

**(2.2. Influence of Water Self-Ionization)**

In parallel, since the electrical polarization on the integrated microelectrode is responsible for the mass production of \(\text{H}_3\text{O}^+\) or \(\text{OH}^-\) ion, water is locally set out of equilibrium from a chemical point of view. According to water self-ionization (2 \(\text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{H}_3\text{O}^+ + \text{OH}^-\)), the kinetics of the \([\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]\) and \([\text{OH}^-]\) concentrations are identical:

\[
\frac{d[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]}{dt} = \frac{d[\text{OH}^-]}{dt} \tag{9}
\]

Introducing a constant parameter \(c\) that depends of the initial difference between the \([\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]\) and \([\text{OH}^-]\) concentrations, the mathematical integration of Equation (9) gives:

\[
[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+] = [\text{OH}^-] + c \quad \leftrightarrow \quad c = [\text{H}_3\text{O}^+] - [\text{OH}^-] \tag{10}
\]

Thus, considering that the return to equilibrium is associated with a steady-state regime [29], the final concentrations of hydronium and hydroxide ions \([\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]_f\) and \([\text{OH}^-]_f\) are characterized by the following system (where \(K_w\) is the ionic product of water \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\)):

\[
\begin{align*}
[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]_f[\text{OH}^-]_f &= K_w \\
[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]_f &= [\text{OH}^-]_f + c \quad \leftrightarrow \quad c = [\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]_f - [\text{OH}^-]_f
\end{align*}
\tag{11}
\]

Solving this equation system yields:
\[
\frac{[H_3O^+]_f^2 - c[H_3O^+]_f - K_w}{2} = 0 \tag{12}
\]

Considering the positive root of Equation (12), the \([H_3O^+]_f\) and \([OH^-]_f\) values are finally given by:
\[
[H_3O^+]_f = \frac{c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4K_w}}{2} \tag{13}
\]
\[
[OH^-]_f = \frac{\sqrt{c^2 + 4K_w} - c}{2} \tag{14}
\]

Finally, the global variations of the \([H_3O^+]\) and \([OH^-]\) concentrations related to the water self-ionization reaction are expressed by:
\[
\Delta[H_3O^+] = \Delta[OH^-] = \frac{\sqrt{([H_3O^+] - [OH^-])^2 + 4K_w - [H_3O^+] - [OH^-]}}{2} \tag{15}
\]

Thus, in the developed model, any variations of \([H_3O^+]\) and \([OH^-]\) concentrations were counterbalanced according to Equation (10) in order to take into account water self-ionization phenomena in solution.

It should be mentioned that the water self-ionization modelling was considered only in the case of pure water and therefore without considering any buffer properties. Such assumption is required to understand ElecFET detection/transduction principles. In practice, each solution of interest will have to be separately studied. Nevertheless, as demonstrated for urea-EnFET in the frame of haemodialysis [26,27,33], buffer effects will influence the ElecFET detection properties but will not drastically limit its operating principle.

2.3. Modelling of Diffusion Phenomena in Watery Phase

The diffusion model was adapted from a previous one developed for the EnFET-based microsensors and based on a finite element model implemented in MATLAB™ software [26,27]. It is associated with Fick’s diffusion equation, assuming a one-dimensional model in spherical coordinates (Figure 1). The variable parameter is therefore the radius of the sphere \(r\):
\[
\frac{\partial C(r,t)}{\partial t} = D \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial C(r,t)}{\partial r} \right) + G \tag{16}
\]

where \(C(r,t)\) describes the concentration of \(H_3O^+\) or \(OH^-\) ion for the studied case, \(D\) is the associated diffusion coefficient and \(G\) represents the chemical species generation according to the associated electrochemical reaction.

As a result, for the different studied cases, Equation (11) gives:
\[
H_2O \text{ oxidation (} V_p > E_0^+\text{):} \quad \frac{\partial [H_3O^+](r,t)}{\partial t} = D_{H_3O^+} \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial [H_3O^+](r,t)}{\partial r} \right) + G_+ \tag{17}
\]
\[
H_2O \text{ reduction (} V_p < E_0^-\text{):} \quad \frac{\partial [OH^-](r,t)}{\partial t} = D_{OH^-} \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial [OH^-](r,t)}{\partial r} \right) + G_- \tag{18}
\]
In order to solve diffusion equations, the following initial and boundaries conditions were chosen:

\[
[H_3O^+]_{r=0} = 10^{-pH_0} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad [OH^-]_{r=0} = K_w 10^{+pH_0}
\]

Thus, initial conditions assume spatially uniform concentrations of water-based ions and boundary conditions assume that no flux goes through the physical barrier of the sensor surface (\(r = 0\)) or far from it (\(r = r_{\text{max}} = 3\, \text{cm}\)). By solving the mass transport equations system (Equations (17), (18) and/or (19)) using MATLAB™ software, the different ion concentration distributions \([H_3O^+]_{r,t}\) and \([OH^-]_{r,t}\) were defined and the pH(r,t) function was deduced consequently.

### 2.4. Modelling of the pH-ChemFET Electrical Behaviour

Finally, concerning the pH-ChemFET electrical behaviour, modelling of the drain-source current \(I_{DS}\) as a function of gate-source and drain-source voltages \(V_{GS}\) and \(V_{DS}\), pH and any other interfering parameters was not performed. Indeed, since the pH-ChemFET device is well known at a theoretical level and was thoroughly studied in previous works [14,24,25], it was decided to take only into account its global detection properties associated with the Nernst's law. Thus, since the channel length \(L_G\) (typical values around 5 \(\mu\)m [30]) is shorter than the distance \(d\) between the integrated microelectrode and the pH-ChemFET gate sensitive area (Figure 1), the pH-ChemFET threshold voltage \(V_T\) variation was estimated in a very simple way according to the following equation:

\[
\delta V_T(t) = s_0 (pH(d,t) - pH_0)
\]

where \(s_0\) is the pH-ChemFET sensitivity and \(d\) is the distance between the integrated microelectrode and the pH-ChemFET gate sensitive area (Figure 1).

### 3. Results and Discussion

Hereinafter, the ElecFET model is studied using the following parameters:

- \(T = 300\, \text{K}\) and \(s_0 = 60\, \text{mV/pH}\)
- \(K_w = 10^{-14}\, (\text{mol/L})^2\) and \(pH_0 = 7\)
- \(D_{H_3O^+} = 9.3 \times 10^{-5}\, \text{cm}^2/\text{s}\) and \(D_{OH^-} = 5.3 \times 10^{-5}\, \text{cm}^2/\text{s}\)
- \(S = 0.3\, \text{mm}^2\) and \(d = 30\, \mu\text{m}\) (if not indicated)

#### 3.1. Modelling of Water Electrolysis Phenomena

The first study concerned modelling of a positive bias on the integrated microelectrode (polarization voltage \(V_p = 1.23\, \text{V}\) and polarization time \(t_p = 5\, \text{s}\)). In this case, water oxidation occurs on the integrated microelectrode, triggering the local production of \(H_3O^+\) ions since \(V_p\) is higher than the water oxidation equilibrium potential \(E_{0+}\). Figure 3 show the temporal and spatial variations of
hydronium ($\text{H}_3\text{O}^+$) and hydroxide ($\text{OH}^-$) ion concentrations. As expected, the $[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$ concentration (respectively, the $[\text{OH}^-]$ concentration) increases (respectively, decreases) very quickly by more than four decades (from $10^{-7}$ mol/L to $7 \times 10^{-4}$ mol/L) and gradually takes its initial value ($10^{-7}$ mol/L) as soon as the electrical bias on the integrated microelectrode is turned off (Figure 3a,c). Furthermore, it is obvious that these variations occur at millimetric distance (Figure 3b,d). This demonstrates that localized impulsional pH variations can be effectively obtained near the integrated microelectrode surface and can be detected at the adjacent pH-sensitive surface.

**Figure 3.** (a,c) Temporal ($r = d = 30 \ \mu\text{m}$) and (b,d) spatial variations ($t = 12 \ \text{s}$) of the $[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]$ and $[\text{OH}^-]$ concentrations for a positive bias on the integrated microelectrode ($V_P = 1.23 \ \text{V}$ and $t_P = 5 \ \text{s}$).

In the case of negative bias (polarization voltage $V_P = -0.85 \ \text{V}$ and polarization time $t_P = 5 \ \text{s}$), water reduction is taking place on the integrated microelectrode, triggering the local production of $\text{OH}^-$ ions since the $V_P$ is lower than the water reduction equilibrium potential $E_0$. Figure 4 illustrate respectively the temporal and spatial evolutions of the $\text{OH}^-$ ion concentration. An $[\text{OH}^-]$ increase (from $10^{-7}$ mol/L to $4 \times 10^{-5}$ mol/L) is noticed, followed by a return to equilibrium ($10^{-7}$ mol/L) when the electrical bias is turned off. Of course, the $\text{H}_3\text{O}^+$ ion concentration follows opposite variations in agreement with the water acid/basic equilibrium (figures not shown). Impulsional pH variations are therefore evidenced at the millimetric scale, confirming finally that varied localized phenomena can be effectively obtained according to the polarization sign.
Subsequently, we have studied the influences of the main parameters by focusing on the pH-ChemFET threshold voltage variations $\delta V_T$. Figure 5 shows the associated temporal variations for different polarization voltages applied on the integrated microelectrode ($V_p = 1.21, 1.23, 1.25, 1.27$ and $1.29$ V) and a given polarization time $t_P = 5$ s.

**Figure 4.** (a) Temporal ($r = d = 30$ µm) and (b) spatial variations ($t = 12$ s) of the $[\text{OH}^-]$ concentration for a negative bias on the integrated microelectrode ($V_p = -0.85$ V and $t_P = 5$ s).

**Figure 5.** Temporal variations of the pH-ChemFET threshold voltage for different polarization voltages on the integrated microelectrode ($V_p = 1.21, 1.23, 1.25, 1.27$ and $1.29$ V) and a given polarization time ($t_P = 5$ s).

As expected, the polarization voltage increase is responsible for a local pH decrease and consequently a pH-ChemFET threshold voltage decrease. This behaviour corroborates the Butler-Volmer theory adapted to water oxidation (Equation (1)). Finally, since $\text{H}_3\text{O}^+$ ion production kinetics is an exponential function of $V_p$, an increase in polarization voltage induces a quasi-linear decrease of the minimum voltage.
Then, the influence of polarization time $t_P$ was studied. Figure 6 illustrates the temporal variations of pH and associated pH-ChemFET threshold voltage for different polarization times ($t_P = 0.2, 1, 7, 15$ and $20$ s) while keeping constant the polarization voltage on the integrated microelectrode ($V_P = 1.23$ V). In agreement with the electrochemical theory (Equation (1)), the polarization time increase is responsible for a local pH decrease and therefore a pH-ChemFET threshold voltage decrease. Nevertheless, pH variations are lower and tend to reach saturation.

**Figure 6.** Temporal variations of the pH-ChemFET threshold voltage for a given polarization voltage on the integrated microelectrode ($V_P = 1.23$ V) and different polarization times ($t_P = 0.2, 1, 7, 15$ and $20$ s).

Since diffusion phenomena control the ElecFET detection principles, spatial configuration should influence detection properties. To demonstrate such assumption, Figure 7 shows temporal variations of pH-ChemFET threshold voltage for different distances between the integrated microelectrode and the pH-sensitive gate ($d = 30, 90, 150$ and $210$ µm) and a given polarization step ($V_P = 1.23$ V and $t_P = 5$ s).

**Figure 7.** Temporal variations of the pH-ChemFET threshold voltage for different distances between the integrated microelectrode and the pH-sensitive gate ($d = 30, 90, 150$ and $210$ µm) and a given polarization step ($V_P = 1.23$ V and $t_P = 5$ s).
pH-ChemFET gate sensitive area (d = 30, 90, 150 and 210 μm) and for a given microelectrode polarization (V_P = 1.23 V, t_P = 5 s). It appears that the distance diminution is responsible for a local pH and therefore a pH-ChemFET threshold voltage decrease. This demonstrates that the ElecFET detection principles are effectively dependent on the spatial integration of both microelectrode and pH-ChemFET microdevices. For the highest integration levels (d << 50 μm), very important localized impulsional pH variations are obtained for a given microelectrode polarization step (V_P, t_P). On the contrary, for the lowest integration levels (d >> 200 μm), phenomena are strongly attenuated, leading to low temporal pH wave and, consequently low ElecFET response. Since such pH variations were found to occur at millimetric distance (cf. Figure 3b,d), ElecFET detection potentialities is no longer possible when d parameter is higher than 1,000 μm, i.e., when the functional integration between the microelectrode and the pH-ChemFET device is ineffective.

3.2. Modelling of Hydrogen Peroxide Oxidation Phenomena

Since hydrogen peroxide detection is of great interest and H_2O_2 was successfully studied using an ElecFET-based microdevice [17,19], our model was studied by taking into account the H_2O_2 oxidation on the integrated microelectrode. In this case, according to the hydrogen peroxide oxidation reaction, a positive bias on the integrated microelectrode is responsible for the electrochemical production of H_3O^+ ions and therefore a local pH decrease in solution (cf. Section 2.1). Since H_2O and H_2O_2 molecules have similar electrochemical behaviours, impulsional pH variations localized at the microscale (typical dimension less than 1 mm) were also obtained in H_2O_2-rich solutions as soon as an appropriate polarization step (V_P, t_P) was applied (result not shown). In this case, phenomena occur at lower voltage according to the associated equilibrium potential E_{1+} value (E_{1+} ≈ 0.3 V for pH = 7 [32]). This is clearly evidenced on Figure 8 illustrating temporal variations of pH-ChemFET threshold voltage for different polarization voltages (V_P = 0.32, 0.35, 0.38, 0.42 and 0.45 V) and different polarization times (t_P = 1, 3, 5, 10 and 20 s), considering an H_2O_2 concentration of 45 mM. In this case, local impulsional pH variations are not so obvious. Indeed, during the polarization duration (t_P = 30 s), hydrogen peroxide oxidation is limited by the H_2O_2 diffusion phenomena towards the microelectrode surface. As a result, pH variations are no longer impulsional and higher polarization durations are required to reach a steady-state regime depending on the polarization voltage V_P (as well as on the [H_2O_2] concentration, see hereafter). Then, as previously stated, since the H_2O_2 oxidation reaction is controlled by the Butler-Volmer theory (Equation (3)), the polarization voltage V_P increase is responsible for a quasi-linear threshold voltage decrease while the polarization time t_P increase is associated to saturation phenomena.

Finally, in the case of hydrogen peroxide detection, the Butler-Volmer theory emphasizes on the influence of the [H_2O_2] concentration on H_3O^+ ion production kinetics (Equation (3)). In order to study this influence, Figure 9 shows temporal variations of the pH-ChemFET threshold voltage for different H_2O_2 concentrations ([H_2O_2] = 1, 10, 30, 60, 100 and 150 mM) and a given polarization step (V_P = 0.35 V and t_P = 30 s). As expected, it is obvious that the hydrogen peroxide concentration increase is responsible for a local pH decrease and therefore a pH-ChemFET threshold voltage decrease.
**Figure 8.** Temporal variations of the pH-ChemFET threshold voltage for different polarization steps, (a) $V_p = 0.32, 0.35, 0.38, 0.42$ and $0.45$ V, (b) $t_p = 1, 3, 5, 10$ and $20$ s, and for a given H$_2$O$_2$ concentration ($[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] = 45$ mM).

**Figure 9.** Temporal variations of the pH-ChemFET threshold voltage for different H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations ($[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] = 1, 10, 30, 60, 100$ and $150$ mM) and a given polarization step ($V_p = 0.35$ V and $t_p = 30$ s).

In order to quantify the pH variation, its minimal value and more precisely the associated minimal threshold voltage value have been studied according to [H$_2$O$_2$] concentration for two integration levels, *i.e.*, for two distances ($d = 30$ and $210 \ \mu$m) between the integrated microelectrode and the pH-ChemFET gate sensitive area (Figure 10 respectively). Simulation results exhibit linear variations for several concentration decades (sensitivity $\approx 60$ mV/decade). Such Nernstian sensitivity is related to linear variations of the [H$_3$O$^+$] concentration with the [H$_2$O$_2$] concentration at the microelectrode surface (*cf.* Equation (3)). This result demonstrates that the pH-ElecFET microdevice can be effectively used for the potentiometric detection of hydrogen peroxide. Furthermore, by increasing the polarization voltage $V_p$ and/or the integration level, H$_2$O$_2$ detection range and detection limit can be improved.
4. Conclusions

Using MATLAB™ software, we have investigated the modelling of the ElecFET microdevice in the case of water electrolysis and hydrogen peroxide oxidation, taking into account chemical and electrochemical and acid/basic reactions in water phase as well as diffusion phenomena of water-based ions. In the first case, results show that the ElecFET phenomena depend strongly on the polarization parameters on the microelectrode (voltage $V_P$ and time $t_P$) as well as on dimensional characteristics related to the ElecFET functional integration (distance between the microelectrode and the pH-ChemFET gate sensitive gate area $d$). On one hand, according to the polarization sign, oxidation and reduction reactions of water are responsible for impulsional pH variations localized at the microscale (typical dimension: 1 mm and less). On the other hand, amplified phenomena are obtained when the polarization conditions and/or the integration level are increased.

In the second case, the ElecFET microdevice was shown to be compatible with hydrogen peroxide detection while using an indirect measurement technique based on the pH-related $H_2O_2$ oxidation products. Amplification phenomena were shown to have no influence on the detection sensitivity and Nernstian responses were finally evidenced for several concentration decades. Nevertheless, detection range variations and improved detection limits were still possible by increasing polarization conditions and/or integration level.

It should be mentioned that the ElecFET modelling was performed for solutions based on pure water and therefore without considering any buffer properties and/or any interfering pH variations. This choice was required to ElecFET detection/transduction principles, but it prevents us from comparing fully modelling and experimental results. As far as real samples are concerned, buffer properties will have to be considered to determine pH distributions in the ElecFET environment. Thus, the modelling will have to be adapted to each different buffer solution of interest. This can induce contradictory phenomena for the ElecFET behaviour. On one side, buffer properties can impede in some extents local pH changes, deteriorating detection properties in terms of sensitivity and/or
detection range. On the other side, by impeding pH variations in solution, they can improve detection performances in terms of selectivity (especially to pH).

Nevertheless, the ElecFET microdevice is finally very promising in terms of applications, and modelling enables a complete comprehension of its detection principle based on a combination of amperometry and potentiometry. It allows a combination of the pH-ChemFET-metry technique and redox phenomena. Further studies will be focused on the modelling of the detection of H$_2$O$_2$-related biomolecules such as glucose as well as lactate, urate and/or glutamate ions by taking into account associated enzymatic reactions in buffered solution.

**Author Contributions**

The work presented was carried out by all co-authors. Jerome Launay and Pierre Temple-Boyer defined the research topic. Abdou Karim Diallo, Lyes Djeghlaf and Jerome Launay developed the ElecFET technology. Abdou Karim Diallo and Pierre Temple-Boyer worked on the ElecFET theoretic calculations and associated simulations, interpreted the results and wrote the paper. All co-authors read, reviewed and approved the manuscript.

**Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Shai, X.-S.; Hou, Q.-X.; Luo, Q.; Zhu, J.-Y. Rapid determination of hydrogen peroxide in the wood pulp bleaching streams by a dual-wavelength spectroscopic method. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2004**, *507*, 281–284.
2. Tahirovic, A.; Copra, A.; Omanovic-Miklicanin, E.; Kalcher, K. A chemiluminescence sensor for the determination of hydrogen peroxide. *Talanta* **2007**, *72*, 1378–1385.
3. Kiassen, N.V.; Marchington, D.; McGowan, H.C.E. H$_2$O$_2$ Determination by the I$_3^−$ method and by KMnO$_4$ titration. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1994**, *66*, 2921–2925.
4. Abbas, M.E.; Luo, W.; Zhu, L.; Zou, J.; Tang, H. Fluorometric determination of hydrogen peroxide in milk by using a Fenton reaction system. *Food Chem.* **2010**, *120*, 327–331.
5. Evans, S.A.G.; Elliot, J.M.; Andrews, L.M.; Bartlett, P.N.; Doyle, P.J. Detection of hydrogen peroxide at mesoporous platinum microelectrodes. *Anal. Chem.* **2002**, *74*, 1322–1326.
6. Karyakin, A.A.; Kuritsyna, E.A.; Karyakina, E.E.; Sukhanov, V.L. Diffusion controlled analytical performances of hydrogen peroxide sensors: Towards the sensor with the largest dynamic range. *Electrochim. Acta* **2009**, *54*, 5048–5052.
7. Chen, W.; Cai, S.; Ren, Q.Q.; Wen, W.; Zhao, Y.D. Recent advances in electrochemical sensing for hydrogen peroxide: A review. *Analyst* **2012**, *137*, 49–58.
8. Kurowska, E.; Brzozka, A.; Jarosz, M.; Sulka, G.D.; Jaskula, M. Silver nanowire array for sensitive and rapid detection of H$_2$O$_2$. *Electrochim. Acta* **2013**, *104*, 439–447.
9. Kulis, J. Flow-through amperometric sensor for hydrogen peroxide monitoring in gaseous media. *Sens. Actuators* **1992**, *9*, 143–147.
10. Benedet, J.; Lu, D.; Cizek, K.; La Belle, J.; Wang, J. Amperometric sensing of hydrogen peroxide vapor for security screening. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2009**, *395*, 371–376.

11. Wiedemair, J.; van Dorp, H.; Olthuis, W.; van der Berg, A. Developing an amperometric of hydrogen peroxide sensor for an exhaled breath analysis system. *Electrophoresis* **2012**, *33*, 3181–3186.

12. Feeney, R.; Kounaves, P. Microfabricated microelectrodes arrays: Developments, advances and applications in environmental analysis. *Electroanalysis* **2000**, *12*, 677–684.

13. Laschi, S.; Mascini, M. Planar electrochemical sensors for biomedical applications. *Med. Eng. Phys.* **2006**, *28*, 934–943.

14. Bergveld, P. Thirty years of ISFETOLOGY: What happened in the past 30 years and what may happen in the next 30 years. *Sens. Actuator B* **2003**, *88*, 1–20.

15. Van der Schott, B.; Bergveld, P. An-ISFET-based microlitre titrator: Integration of a chemical sensor-actuator system. *Sens. Actuator* **1985**, *8*, 11–22.

16. Sohn, B.-K.; Kim, C.-S. A new pH-ISFET based dissolved oxygen sensor by employing electrolysis of oxygen. *Sens. Actuator B* **1996**, *34*, 435–440.

17. Seo, H.-I.; Kim, C.-S.; Sohn, B.-K.; Yeow, T.; Son, M.-T.; Haskard, M. ISFET glucose sensor based on a new principle using the electrolysis of hydrogen peroxide. *Sens. Actuator B* **1997**, *40*, 1–5.

18. Sohn, B.-K.; Cho, B.-W.; Kim, C.-S.; Kwon, D.-H. ISFET glucose and sucrose sensors by using platinum electrode and photo cross-linkable polymers. *Sens. Actuator B* **1997**, *41*, 7–11.

19. Diallo, A.K.; Djeghlaf, L.; Mazenq, L.; Launay, J.; Sant, W.; Temple-Boyer, P. Development of pH-based ElecFET biosensors for lactate ion detection. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2013**, *40*, 291–296.

20. Bousse, L.; De Rooij, N.F.; Bergveld, P. Operation of chemically-sensitive filed effect sensors as a function of the insulator-electrolyte interface. *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **1983**, *30*, 1263–1270.

21. Bousse, L.; De Rooij, N.F.; Bergveld, P. The influence of counter ion adsorption on the $\psi_0$/pH characteristics of insulator surface. *Surface Sci.* **1983**, *135*, 479–496.

22. Martinoia, S.; Massobrio, G. A behavioural macromodel of the ISFET in SPICE. *Sens. Actuator B* **2000**, *62*, 182–189.

23. Kühnhold, R.; Ryssel, H. Modeling the pH response of silicon nitride ISFET devices. *Sens. Actuator B* **2000**, *68*, 307–312.

24. Janicki, M.; Daniel, M.; Szermer, M.; Napieralski, A. Ion sensitive field effect transistor modelling for multidomain simulation purposes. *Microelectronics Journal.* **2004**, *35*, 831-840.

25. Martinoia, S.; Massobrio, G.; Lorenzelli, L. Modeling ISFET microsensors and ISFET-based microsystems: A review. *Sens. Actuator B* **2005**, *105*, 14–27.

26. Temple-Boyer, P.; Le Gal, J.; Pourciel-Gouzy, M.L.; Sant, W.; Martinez, A. Modelling of EnFETs for the creatinine detection. *Sens. Actuator B* **2006**, *118*, 47–52.

27. Temple-Boyer, P.; Benyahia, A.; Sant, W.; Pourciel-Gouzy, M.L.; Launay, J.; Martinez, A. Modelling of urea-EnFETs for haemodialysis applications. *Sens. Actuator B* **2008**, *131*, 525–532.

28. Olthuis, W.; Luo, J.; Van der Schott, B.H.; Bergveld, P.; Bos, M.; Van der Linden, W.E. Modelling of non-steady-state concentration profiles at ISFET-based coulometric sensor-actuator systems. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1990**, *229*, 71–81.
29. Luo, J.; Olthuis, W.; van der Schott, B.H.; Bergveld, P.; Bos, M.; van der Linden, W.E. Modelling of the migration effect occurring at an ISFET-based coulometric sensor-actuator system. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1990**, *237*, 71–81.

30. Temple-Boyer, P.; Launay, J.; Humenyuk, I.; Do Conto, T.; Martinez, A.; Bériet, C.; Grisel, A. Study of front-side connected chemical field effect transistor for water analysis. *Microelectron. Reliab.* **2004**, *44*, 443–447.

31. Talauliker, P.M.; Price, D.A.; Burmeister, J.J.; Nagari, S.; Quintero, J.E.; Pomerleau, F.; Huettl, P.; Hastings, J.T.; Gerhardt, G.A. Ceramic-based microelectrode arrays: Recording surface characteristics and topographical analysis. *J. Neurosci. Methods* **2011**, *198*, 222–229.

32. Bard, A.J.; Faulkner, L.R. *Electrochemical Methods: Fundamental and Applications*, 2nd ed.; John Wiley & Sons Inc.: New York, NY, USA, 2001.

33. Sant, W.; Temple-Boyer, P.; Chanié, E.; Launay, J.; Martinez, A. On-line monitoring of urea using enzymatic field effect transistors. *Sens. Actuator B* **2011**, *160*, 59–64.

© 2014 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).