The Genomes of Four Meyerozyma caribbica Isolates and Novel Insights into the Meyerozyma guilliermondii Species Complex
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ABSTRACT Yeasts of the Meyerozyma guilliermondii species complex are widespread in nature and can be isolated from a variety of sources, from the environment to arthropods to hospital patients. To date, the species complex comprises the thoroughly studied and versatile M. guilliermondii, the hard to distinguish M. caribbica, and Candida carpophila. Here we report the whole genome sequencing and de novo assembly of four M. caribbica isolates, identified with the most recent molecular techniques, derived from four Diptera species. The four novel assemblies present reduced fragmentation and comparable metrics (genome size, gene content) to the available genomes belonging to the species complex. We performed a phylogenomic analysis comprising all known members of the species complex, to investigate evolutionary relationships within this clade. Our results show a compact phylogenetic structure for the complex and indicate the presence of a sizable core set of genes. Furthermore, M. caribbica, despite a broad literature on the difficulties of discerning it from M. guilliermondii, seems to be more closely related to C. carpophila. Finally, we believe that there is evidence for considering these four genomes to be the first published for the species M. caribbica.

Raw reads and assembled contigs have been made public to further the study of these organisms.

KEYWORDS Meyerozyma caribbica Genome Report Drosophila suzukii Culex quinquefasciatus Anopheles stephensi Aedes aegypti

Meyerozyma caribbica (anamorph Candida fermentati) and Meyerozyma guilliermondii (anamorph Candida guilliermondii) are two closely related yeast species belonging to the M. guilliermondii species complex (Bai et al. 2000; Vaughan-Martini et al. 2005). M. guilliermondii has been object of several studies, with a broad bibliography describing its multiple interesting properties and applications (Papon et al. 2013), and is extensively used in biotechnology in a variety of tasks. M. guilliermondii is employed in riboflavin production (Tanner et al. 1945) and the bioconversion of xylitol into xylitol (Zou et al. 2010), and is a promising source of enzymes (Gong et al. 2007) and biofuel (Wang et al. 2012).

Moreover, it is considered a killer yeast, having a broad range of antimicrobial activity against bacteria (Zhao et al. 2010), fungi (Coda et al. 2013), and even protozoa (Dantán-González et al. 2015). This has led to its use as a biocontrol agent in the agriculture and food industry (Wisniewski et al. 1991; Hashem and Abo-Elyour 2011). Another killer yeast species, Wickerhamomyces anomalus, has been suggested as a possible candidate for integrated vector control (Ricci et al. 2011; Martin et al. 2016); interestingly, yeasts of the M. guilliermondii clade possess a similar antimicrobial activity and can be found in insect hosts as well, opening up the possibility of envisioning similar approaches.

Although C. guilliermondii, the anamorph of M. guilliermondii, is considered safe and classified as a biosafety level 1 organism, it has been described as an occasional opportunistic pathogen in immunocompromised patients (Pfaller et al. 2006). It is estimated to be the sixth most frequent nosocomial yeast (Pfaller et al. 2006), causing >11% of all episodes of systemic candidiasis (Girmenia et al. 2006).

The Meyerozyma species complex belongs to the Saccharomycotina CTG clade, a group of yeasts which has been thoroughly studied in the last 40 yr, however its fine phylogenetic structure remains unclear. Taxonomy has been traditionally ruled by phenotypic (e.g., morphologic and metabolic) features, making it a challenging task in yeasts due
to the paucity of discriminative morphological characters. More recently, molecular features (e.g., single nucleotide polymorphisms in a single gene or sets of genes) have been widely adopted by taxonomists in general, and this shift clarified a number of phylogenetic relationships in all Kingdoms of life, including Fungi (Kurtzman 1994). Yeast taxonomy, however, remains a complicated matter, with multiple synonyms for each species and an exception to the “one species one name” rule concerning yeasts in different sexual stages (teleomorph/anamorph) (Taylor 2011).

Specifically, M. guilliermondii, formerly known as Pichia guilliermondii, has recently been placed into its own genus (Kurtzman and Suzuki 2010) and is thought to form a species complex with close relatives M. caribbica and Candida carpophila (no known teleomorph) (Vaughan-Martini et al. 2005). Furthermore, given its emerging pathogen status, it is important to be able to correctly identify yeasts belonging to this species complex, particularly M. guilliermondii and M. caribbica, the latter of which is less frequent and does not seem to present antibiotic resistance (Pfaller et al. 2006). Since a morphological identification within the complex is impossible, several molecular protocols using microsatellites, internal transcribed spacer (ITS) polymorphisms, and ITS restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) fingerprinting, have been developed (Romi et al. 2014; Merseguel et al. 2015; Wrent et al. 2016).

We isolated four yeast strains from the gut of four different Diptera species, namely Drosophila suzukii, Culex quinquefasciatus, Anopheles stephensi, and Aedes aegypti. First, we carefully identified them at the species level with RFLP fingerprinting of the ribosomal ITS, one of the most effective molecular protocols for the task (Romi et al. 2014). We then performed whole genome sequencing and de novo assembled the reads. The resulting genomes were employed for phylogenomic and comparative genomic analyses.

The objective of this study was twofold: first, to examine the genomes of arthropod-associated yeasts, and second, to draw a comprehensive phylogenetic picture of the M. guilliermondii species complex exploiting whole genome data. To do so, we integrated our dataset with the reference genomes of the three species of the complex and one close relative, Clavispora lusitaniae, completing it with an already published genome of Phlebotomus-associated M. guilliermondii (E. Martin I. Varotto Boccazzi, L. De Marco, G. Bongiorno, M. Montagna, unpublished results).

Here we describe these four novel genomes in the context of the M. guilliermondii species complex, make them available to the public, and discuss the phylogenetic implications of our results.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Yeast isolation and characterization**

All arthropod samples employed in this study derive from insect colonies maintained at the University of Camerino and at the University of Torino. Diptera were maintained in cages at standard conditions of temperature, humidity, and photoperiod, as previously reported (Ricci et al. 2011; Vacchini et al. 2017).

The yeast strains derived from the three mosquito species (isolates clone C2, clone 8, and clone 1, respectively, from C. quinquefasciatus, A. stephensi, and A. aegypti), were isolated following a published protocol (Bozic et al. 2017). Briefly, homogenized mosquitoes guts were preincubated in YPD medium (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 2% glucose, 2% agar) and suspended in saline solution before plating on selective media 6.5% Sabouraud (Sabouraud powder prepared by the manufacturer, BD Sabouraud Dextrose Agar) with rifampicin 40 μg/ml. Isolate AF2.6.P.231 was obtained from an adult individual of D. suzukii. After surface sterilization by washing once with ethanol and twice with deionized water, serial dilutions of the insect homogenate were plated on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA). Once growth was visible, a colony was purified three times on solid PDA and then conserved at −80°C. For identification, DNA was extracted from the isolate using boiling lysis (Marasco et al. 2012).

Genomic DNA was extracted from individual yeast samples grown in YPD medium, using JetFlex Genomic DNA Purification Kits (Genomed, Löhne, Germany). Quantity and quality of the recovered DNAs were checked by spectrophotometer and stored at −20°C. After incubation for 48 hr at 28°C, yeast colonies were subjected to PCR to amplify a polymorphic fragment of the 18S rRNA gene using oligos yeast-F1 and yeast-R1 (Ricci et al. 2011), or a region comprising the 5.8 rRNA gene and the two sideward regions, ITS1 and ITS2, using primers ITS1F and ITS4 (Manter and Vivanco 2005). Furthermore, given its emerging pathogen status, it is important to be able to correctly identify yeasts belonging to this species complex, particularly M. guilliermondii and M. caribbica, the latter of which is less frequent and does not seem to present antibiotic resistance (Pfaller et al. 2006).

Specifically, M. guilliermondii, formerly known as Pichia guilliermondii, has recently been placed into its own genus (Kurtzman and Suzuki 2010) and is thought to form a species complex with close relatives M. caribbica and Candida carpophila (no known teleomorph) (Vaughan-Martini et al. 2005). Furthermore, given its emerging pathogen status, it is important to be able to correctly identify yeasts belonging to this species complex, particularly M. guilliermondii and M. caribbica, the latter of which is less frequent and does not seem to present antibiotic resistance (Pfaller et al. 2006). Since a morphological identification within the complex is impossible, several molecular protocols using microsatellites, internal transcribed spacer (ITS) polymorphisms, and ITS restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) fingerprinting, have been developed (Romi et al. 2014; Merseguel et al. 2015; Wrent et al. 2016).

We isolated four yeast strains from the gut of four different Diptera species, namely Drosophila suzukii, Culex quinquefasciatus, Anopheles stephensi, and Aedes aegypti. First, we carefully identified them at the species level with RFLP fingerprinting of the ribosomal ITS, one of the most effective molecular protocols for the task (Romi et al. 2014). We then performed whole genome sequencing and de novo assembled the reads. The resulting genomes were employed for phylogenomic and comparative genomic analyses.

The objective of this study was twofold: first, to examine the genomes of arthropod-associated yeasts, and second, to draw a comprehensive phylogenetic picture of the M. guilliermondii species complex exploiting whole genome data. To do so, we integrated our dataset with the reference genomes of the three species of the complex and one close relative, Clavispora lusitaniae, completing it with an already published genome of Phlebotomus-associated M. guilliermondii (E. Martin I. Varotto Boccazzi, L. De Marco, G. Bongiorno, M. Montagna, unpublished results).

Here we describe these four novel genomes in the context of the M. guilliermondii species complex, make them available to the public, and discuss the phylogenetic implications of our results.

**Table 1 Assembly and annotation statistics of the four novel genomes (marked with an asterisk) and of the published genomes used for comparative analysis; contigs shorter than 1 kbp were discarded**

| Species          | Isolate          | Genome Size, bp | Contigs | Genes | Genes with COG |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|-------|----------------|
| M. guilliermondii | ATCC6260         | 10,609,954      | 9       | 5401  | 3312           |
| M. caribbica     | MG20N            | 10,609,282      | 9       | 5390  | 3305           |
| C. carpophila    | JCM9396          | 10,242,926      | 10      | 5296  | 3219           |
| C. lusitaniae    | ATCC42720        | 12,114,892      | 9       | 5111  | 3029           |
| M. guilliermondii | P. perniciosus   | 10,642,597      | 31      | 5487  | 3362           |
| M. caribbica     | D. melanogaster  | 10,387,257      | 43      | 5367  | 3242           |
| M. carpophila    | C. quinquefasciatus | 10,553,449 | 51      | 5453  | 3301           |
| M. carpophila    | A. stephensi     | 11,040,470      | 144     | 5774  | 3481           |
| M. caribbica     | A. aegypti       | 10,347,015      | 48      | 5359  | 3237           |

**Sequencing, assembly, and annotation**

Total DNA was sequenced by an external company (Mr. DNA, Shallowater, TX) in 1 run of 2×150 paired-end reads on a HiSeq-2500 platform (Illumina). Reads are available in the European Nucleotide Archives (ENA; https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena) under the accession numbers ERXX126952–5.
Quality of the raw reads was assessed for each sample using FastQC (Andrews 2010). De novo assembly was performed using SPAdes version 3.8.2 (Bankevich et al. 2012) employing different k-mer lengths (21, 33, 55, 77, 99, 111), setting the --cov cutoff parameter to auto, and using the --careful option. The assembled contigs of each genome are available at ENA under accession numbers GCA_900231965, GCA_900231995, GCA_900232055, and GCA_900232065 for \textit{C. quinquefasciatus}, \textit{A. stephensi}, \textit{D. suzukii}, and \textit{A. aegypti}, respectively. All genomes analyzed in this study, and in newly sequenced and reference ones, were annotated using the following procedure. First, gene calling was performed using GeneMark-ES Suite version 4.32 (Terence et al. 2008) with the parameter --min_contig set to 10,000 and using the --fungus option. Then, Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COGs) (Tatusov et al. 2000) were assigned to the obtained translated genes by the COGnitor software (Tatusov et al. 2000).

Genomic analysis

The genomes of members of the \textit{M. guilliermondii} species complex and of \textit{C. lusitaniae} were retrieved from NCBI with the following GeneBank assembly accessions: \textit{M. guilliermondii} (GCA_000149425.1 and GCA_000174995.1), \textit{M. caribbica} (GCA_000755205.1), \textit{C. carpophila} (GCA_001599235.1), and \textit{C. lusitaniae} (GCA_000003835.1).

Orthogroups were inferred with Orthofinder 1.1.4 (Emms and Kelly 2015) from the predicted sets of proteins. Single-copy orthogroups (SCO) were then selected, defined as orthogroups with exactly one protein in all samples, with a custom Python script. Each SCO was aligned using MUSCLE 3.8.31 (Edgar 2004), and we consecutively tested each multiple sequence alignment (MSA) for recombination using the software PhiPack (Bruen and Bruen 2005). An SCO group was considered as not having signs of recombination if it passed all three tests run by PhiPack. Then, nonrecombining SCO MSAs were polished with Gblocks 0.91b (Castresana 2000) and concatenated with a custom Python script. Finally, the concatenated alignment was used as input for RAxML version 8.2.8 (Stamatakis 2014) under the PROTCAT approximation, using the LG substitution matrix and 100 bootstrap replicates. A comparative genomic approach was designed, integrating the functional and phylogenetic data obtained. Phylogenetic clades were analyzed for COG content using in-house Python scripts.

Data availability

Reads are available in the ENA (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena) under the accession numbers ERX2126952–5. The assembled contigs of each genome are available at ENA under accession numbers GCA_900231965, GCA_900231995, GCA_900232055, and GCA_900232065.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yeast isolation and characterization

Yeast isolates were obtained from four insect species and characterized at the species complex level using PCR and Sanger sequencing. 18S fragments sequenced for the three yeasts isolated from mosquitoes were identical and presented 99% sequence identity with an 18S gene belonging to \textit{M. guilliermondii}, GenBank accession number KX258468.1. For the yeast isolated from \textit{D. suzukii}, a fragment of the ITS was sequenced, showing 100% sequence identity with sequence KU216711.1 of \textit{M. guilliermondii}. These results allowed us to identify the isolates at the species level; however, in order to discriminate between \textit{M. guilliermondii} and \textit{M. caribbica}, a specific RFLP protocol (Romi et al. 2014) was performed, which clearly showed that all novel isolates exhibit the restriction fragment pattern typical of \textit{M. caribbica}. The same RFLP protocol was performed on the yeast derived from \textit{P. perniciosus} (characterized in E. Martin I. Varotto Boccazzi, L. De Marco, G. Bongiorno, M. Montagna, unpublished results), confirming its identification as \textit{M. guilliermondii}.

Assembly and annotation

Raw reads were high quality for each of the samples analyzed. The four novel draft genomes present a reduced amount of fragmentation (from 43 to 144 contigs longer than 1000 bp) and are sized coherently compared to the reference genomes of the \textit{Meyerozyma} genus (Table 1). It has to be noted that, although the two reference genomes were sequenced with a

Figure 1 Maximum likelihood tree. Resulting clades are highlighted: \textit{M. guilliermondii} (blue), \textit{M. caribbica} (orange), \textit{C. carpophila} (green), and \textit{C. lusitaniae} (gray).

Figure 2 Venn diagram representing COG content in the clades resulting from the phylogenomic analysis: \textit{M. guilliermondii} (blue), \textit{M. caribbica} (orange), \textit{C. carpophila} (green), and \textit{C. lusitaniae} (gray).
combination of long and short reads, we only employed a paired-end short reads library, thus obtaining a larger amount of contigs. Nevertheless, GeneMark-ES, a self-training gene calling algorithm, predicted a comparable amount of genes (from 5111 to 5774) for all genomes analyzed (Table 1), including the reference genomes. Additionally, COGnitor assigned a similar number of COGs (from 3288 to 3598) to a similar number of unique genes (from 3029 to 3312) for all sets of predicted proteins (Table 1).

Genomic analysis

All analyzed genomes show high similarity for what concerns the inferred orthologous. Almost the total amount of proteins (98.7%) were assigned to an orthologous group; moreover, out of a total of 5371 orthologous, 5142 had at least one protein in each genome of the *M. guilliermondii* species complex (all genomes analyzed except the outgroup *C. lusitaniae*), whereas 4050 had all species represented, indicating the presence of a strong core set of genes.

We retrieved 3408 SCOs, which were then aligned with MUSCLE and tested for recombination with PhilPack. We retained 2147 nonrecombining SCOs and processed their MSAs with Gblocks. The polished MSAs were concatenated obtaining an 870,212 bp long alignment. We used this final MSA as input for RAxML, obtaining a phylogenetic tree with 100% bootstrap support for all branches (Figure 1). Four clear clades can be seen in the tree: (1) *C. lusitaniae* as the outermost single species clade, (2) the reference genomes of *M. guilliermondii* and *M. caribbica* clustering together with the *M. guilliermondii* genome isolated from *P. perniciosus* in a clade with reduced branch lengths, (3) *C. carpophila* as a single-species clade, and (4) all novel *M. caribbica* genomes clustering together in a clade with reduced branch lengths, closer to the *C. carpophila* clade than to the reference *M. guilliermondii/M. caribbica* clade.

This is the first phylogenetic study attempting to describe the *M. guilliermondii* species complex employing whole genome sequencing data. The genome of *M. guilliermondii* has already been included in a phylogenomic analysis in a study which, among other things, clarifies its position inside the CTG clade (Butler et al. 2009). In this work we expand the genomic dataset of the *M. guilliermondii* species complex, including genomes of *M. caribbica* and *C. carpophila*. Literature on these two species is scarce compared to *M. guilliermondii* and past phylogenetic inferences were based on single or few genes and did not include all members of the species complex, leaving doubts about fine characterization (Kurtzman and Suzuki 2010; Kurtzman and Robnett 2013). Considering these facts, it would have been presumptuous to make assumptions on the phylogenetic structure of the complex.

Our phylogenetic tree has one glaring issue: the position of the reference genome of *M. caribbica* (Figure 1). At first sight, its closeness to the genome of *M. guilliermondii* would not be suspicious since they share the genus name and they are notoriously difficult to differentiate, even with traditional molecular markers. The aforementioned difficulty to discern the two species, in our opinion, is the key to solve this phylogenetic enigma, considering two facts: (1) the reference genome of *M. caribbica* is the sister group to the genome of *M. guilliermondii* isolated from *P. perniciosus*, and (2) our genomes of *M. caribbica* isolated from arthropods form a distinct clade, closer to *C. carpophila*. If we accept the identification as *M. caribbica* for the isolate previously sequenced (Kim et al. 2015), it would seem that two distinct *M. caribbica* exist: one is phylogenetically indistinguishable from *M. guilliermondii* whereas the other forms a distinct clade, sister group to *C. carpophila*. We think that the most likely and parsimonious explanation is that the reference genome of *M. caribbica* has been misidentified and actually belongs to *M. guilliermondii*.

As a follow-up to the phylogeny, the COG content of the four described clades was compared (Figure 2). In total, 1093 COGs are shared between the four clades, constituting the vast majority of COGs assigned to each clade. This result confirms the evolutionary similarity of all analyzed genomes and a probable corresponding functional closeness. The outgroup, *C. lusitaniae*, holds the most unique COGs at 145 followed by the *M. caribbica* clade (128); the *M. guilliermondii* clade has a comparable number of unique COGs (107) whereas *C. carpophila* presents with the least (57). We think that these results confirm the phylogenetic distance between the *M. caribbica* clade and *M. guilliermondii* clade observed in the phylogenetic tree.

Conclusions

Yeasts of the *M. guilliermondii* species complex are relevant for multiple reasons. They are widely used in industry due to their useful properties, have recently emerged as nosocomial pathogens and, due to their properties, they can be envisioned as potential tools for the control of arthropod-borne diseases. Our study provides a useful genomic resource and a detailed phylogenomic analysis of this species complex, providing novel insights into the evolutionary history of these yeasts. Additionally, we provide four novel genomes belonging to *M. caribbica*, arguably the first of this species.
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