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Abstract The increasingly high number of immigrants from South-East Asia with The Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) is an important challenge for the public health sector. Impaired glucose is essential in MetS. The blood glucose concentration is not only governed by diet and physical activity, but also by psychological distress which could contribute to the development of MetS. The aim of this study is to describe health-related quality of life, subjective health complaints (SHC), psychological distress, and coping in Pakistani immigrant women, with and without MetS. As a part of a randomized controlled intervention study in Oslo, Norway, female Pakistani immigrants (n = 198) answered questionnaires regarding health related quality of life, SHC, psychological distress, and coping. Blood variables were determined and a standardized oral glucose tolerance test was performed. The participants had a high score on SHC and psychological distress. About 40% of the participants had MetS, and this group showed significantly lower general health, lower physical function, and more bodily pain, than those without MetS. Those with MetS also had more SHC, depressive symptoms, higher levels of somatisation, and scored significantly lower on the coping strategy of active problem solving. Pakistani immigrant women seem to have a high prevalence of SHC and psychological distress, especially those with MetS.
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Introduction

The aim of the present work was to investigate the occurrence of the metabolic syndrome (MetS) and psychological and health related variables in a group of Pakistani immigrant women living in Oslo, Norway.

Every year more than 700 million people cross national borders [1], and the number of immigrants into developed countries is accelerating. This alteration in the population represents new and important challenges for the public health sector. One such challenge is an increase in Type 2 diabetes (T2D). The prevalence of T2D is high among immigrants [2–6], and especially immigrants from South-Asia are at higher risk of developing T2D [7]. In Norway, in 2005, the prevalence of T2D among immigrants from the Middle East and South Asia was found to be as high as 36% in the age group of 40–59 years, the group of immigrants from Pakistan, have the highest prevalence of T2D [7].

Metabolic disturbances, such as insulin resistance, accompanied by elevated plasma levels of glucose and free fatty acids, disturbances in the serum lipoproteins, elevated blood pressure and central obesity are risk factors for T2D.
This clustering of risk factors is referred to as MetS [8]. The prevalence of MetS is higher among adult immigrants from the South Asian origins than for their Norwegian counterparts [9, 10]. The pattern is similar in Sweden [11].

Ethnicity-related differences in the prevalence of MetS may be attributed to genetic and environmental factors [12]. Conceivably, immigrants that are influenced by a new environment, and adapting to a Western lifestyle, may engage in negative behavioural changes such as inactivity [13] and an unhealthy diet [14]. Immigrants from non-Western countries are over-represented in low socio-economic status (SES) groups in Norway [15]. Low SES is an important risk factor for poor mental health [16, 17], and immigrants from low-income countries have higher odds of experiencing psychological distress due to pre- or post-migration factors [17–19].

Therefore, Pakistani immigrant women living in Norway may have an increased risk for developing MetS, related both to genetics, environment/lifestyle and to psychosocial factors. Indeed, a new life situation may represent serious challenges to their mental and somatic health. Within terms and concepts from the Cognitive Activation Theory of Stress “CATS” [20], their health may also be affected if they fail to develop a positive expectancy to their abilities to face the challenges they are exposed to in their new environment. According to CATS, this may lead to health challenges through two main pathways, a pathophysiological pathway and through behavioural mechanisms. When exposed to threats, demands, or challenges, people have different expectations on how they will be able to handle the situation. The stress response depends on these expectancies. Based on reinforcement contingencies and resources, the individual learns either positive response outcome expectancies (the CATS definition of coping) [20], or that nothing helps (no response outcome expectancy), or that everything goes wrong (negative response outcome expectancy) when faced with new demands. High levels of coping are associated with high social position and low stress values [21].

The pathophysiological pathway is followed when the individual does not develop an expectancy to cope with the challenges she is faced with. Whenever an individual is faced with demands and challenges, a normal and healthy stress response or activation will occur. This activation is the normal arousal response, which influences endocrine, autonomic, and immunological functions. This may be measured within the Hypothalamic Pituitary Adrenal-system. When the stress response is sustained somatic pathology may develop. Relevant for the risk of MetS is the sustained stress activation, which may increase the blood glucose concentration through stimulation of hepatic glycogenolysis. Stress activation may also enhance adipose tissue lipolysis, so as to increase plasma free fatty acids and triglyceride, and decrease the HDL cholesterol concentration [22]. Conceivably, therefore, stress activation may be associated with MetS. It seems that even slight fluctuations in blood sugar may harm endothelial cells, and sustained activity of the sympathetic nervous system have been shown to negatively influence the concentration of serum lipids [23].

The behavioural pathway is complex and with many effects. The lack of trust in ones own coping abilities is supposed to be an important explanatory factor for the individual development of MetS [21]. When an individual has learned that whatever she or he is doing, the probability of success is low, it may be hard to be motivated to participate in healthy behaviour changes and acquire new life styles [21, 24]. Therefore, it is not only lack of education, lack of understanding the Norwegian language, and unfamiliar food supplies that explain the high prevalence of overweight in the immigrant Pakistani women in Norway [25]. Even if we reach out with information on diet and physical activity, there may also be a problem of motivation to invest in behaviour change and new habits. Finally, the lack of positive expectancies associated with lack of coping, and feelings of helplessness and hopelessness, is also associated with a high level of ordinary, SHC, like tiredness, muscle pain, and mood changes [20, 26, 27].

Thus, the diagnosis of T2D, its treatment and its complications are closely related to the level of blood glucose, which increases and decreases as a result of physical activity and food intake, but may also be strongly influenced by psychological stress and coping. Accordingly, psychological stress could affect blood glucose regulation and thereby contribute to the development of MetS [28]. Our hypothesis is therefore that there is a high prevalence of MetS and psychological distress in Pakistani immigrants in Oslo, and that the prevalence might be higher in women with MetS.

Methods
This is a descriptive cross-sectional study where data are collected as part of a randomized controlled trial (The InnvaDiab DE-PLAN study) in Oslo. Baseline data were collected from April 2006 to July 2007.

Participants
One hundred and ninety eight female immigrants born in Pakistan were included in the study, mean age 41.2 years (95% CI 40–42.3). Two hundred and forty five women were invited to the study. Of these, 47 were not included
due to the inclusion/exclusion criteria or lack of interest. None of the participants were aware of having T2D before the intervention started. The inclusion criteria were: women age 25 years or older born in Pakistan living in Norway with Pakistani parents. The exclusion criteria were: a history of T2D for more than 6 months, and the following diagnoses from ICPC-2 (International Classification of Primary Care, ICPC-2) [29]: K29 (Cardiovascular symptoms or complaints), K84 (Heart disease), K99 (Cardiovascular disease), close relatives (daughter, sister, mother, sister-in-law, mother-in-law) included in the project, pregnancy, or not physically able to walk for 1 h. Two women were excluded immediately after baseline; one because she was younger than 25, and one because one of her parents was German.

Recruitment

Recruitment was done in a local community where approximately 40% of the population are immigrants, and where systematic diabetes intervention had never been performed. The participation rate in health trials has often been low among South Asian immigrants [30]. Therefore a multi-strategic recruitment with emphasis on personal contact was used to recruit Pakistani women as suggested by Hussain-Gambles et al. [31]. The recruitment is described in detail in Hjellset et al. [25]. The demographic and social profiles of the population were studied, and representative community members were consulted to provide assistance in the study. The eligibility criteria were set as widely as possible (25).

Data Collection and Measures

The International Diabetes Federation (IDF) definition of the metabolic syndrome of those from South and South-East Asian are; central obesity (defined as waist circumference) ≥80 cm, plus any two of the following four factors: raised triglycerides; ≥1.7 mmol/l, reduced HDL-cholesterol <1.29 mmol/l in females, raised blood pressure; systolic BP ≥130 or diastolic BP ≥85 mmHg, or impaired fasting glycaemia (IFG); fasting plasma glucose ≥5.6 mmol/l [3–5].

All participants went through a comprehensive baseline screening. To determine metabolic syndrome, venous blood samples were drawn from an antecubital vein, and a standardized oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was performed, i.e. 75 g glucose in 200 ml water was ingested and blood glucose was determined at time zero and after 2 h. The OGTT was not performed if the fasting glucose value was 8 mmol/l or higher. In addition weight, height and waist circumference were measured. This is described in detail in Hjellset et al. [25].

Questionnaires

During the 2-h OGTT, trained bicultural and multilingual study personnel filled out all questionnaires during face-to-face interviews. All interviewers had sufficient knowledge of Urdu, Punjabi, Norwegian, and English. The interviews were held in the preferred language of each participant. To ensure that all study personnel asked the question in the same way we regularly had a third person to observe the interviews.

The questionnaires covered a broad range of factors, including demographic variables, physical fitness, health-related quality of life, SHC, psychological distress, and coping.

Health-Related Quality of Life

Health-related quality of life was measured by the generic health status measure SF-36 [29–32]. The SF-36 measures eight of the most commonly used dimensions in health surveys, during the last 4 weeks [32]. The eight multi-item scales include: physical functioning (10 items), role limitations due to physical health (four items), bodily pain (two items), general health (five items), vitality/energy/fatigue (four items), social functioning (two items), role limitations due to emotional problems (three items), and mental health (five items). The SF-36 scales were transformed according to published scoring procedures, into a scale ranging from 0 (poor health) to 100 (good health). The SF-36 questionnaire has been tested and has satisfactory validity and reliability on the ethnic Norwegian population [33, 34], and for Asians immigrants [34], but has not been tested in Pakistani immigrants in Norway.

We included the scale of general health from the SF-36 [35]. This scale was constructed from a combination of the general questions on self-rated health together with questions about whether the participants believe that their health is going to become better or worse.

To assess well-being, a global measure of well-being called “ladder of life” was used [36]. The participants made a mark on a ladder with 10 steps, the highest representing “best possible life” and the lowest “worst possible life”, at the level that they estimated corresponded to the value of their life. This questionnaire is tested for validity and reliability in a global American population [36], but not on Pakistani immigrants.

Subjective Health Complaints

Subjective health complaints (SHC) were measured with 29 items on subjective somatic and psychological complaints experienced during the last 30 days, using the SHC inventory. Severity was scored on a four-point scale, from 0 (no
complaints) to 3 (severe complaints). Five factors are usually reported [37]: musculoskeletal complaints (headache, neck pain, shoulder pain, pain in arms, pain in upper back, low back pain, and leg pain), “pseudoneurological” complaints (extra heartbeats, heat flushes, sleep problems, tiredness, dizziness, anxiety, and sadness/depression), gastrointestinal complaints (heartburn, stomach discomfort, ulcer/non-ulcer dyspepsia, stomach pain, gas discomfort, diarrhoea, and constipation), allergic complaints (asthma, breathing difficulties, eczema, allergies, and chest pain), and flu (cold, flu, and cough). In addition, a total sum-score was calculated. In this study, responses to each complaint were categorized into absent (score 0) or present (score 1–3), to calculate the prevalence of each complaint. The questionnaire has been tested and has satisfactory validity and reliability on the ethnic Norwegian population [37], but has not been tested in Pakistani. There has been studies using SHC in both Maasais [38] and Mangyan (from the Puerto Galera area) [39] that shows great similarity in spite of very different cultures.

Psychological Distress

The Hopkins Symptom Checklist (HSCL), a 25-items questionnaire, was used to register psychological distress as experienced last 14 days [40, 41]. The questionnaire has been tested and has satisfactory validity and reliability on the ethnic Norwegian population [42], but has not been tested in Pakistani or other ethnic populations, although it has been used on Pakistani immigrants in Norway [17]. Lee and co-workers [43] concludes that interrater reliability, and test–retest reliability of HSCL, is good for the host population in Tanzania, even if there could be some modification of the scale in cross-cultural settings. Each item is rated on a 4-point scale from 1 (not bothered) to 4 (extremely bothered). Sub-scores (mean) of depression, anxiety, and somatisation were calculated. In addition a total-score (mean) was calculated. A cut-off point of 1.75 on the total score is usually used to identify patients with severe psychological distress (“psychiatric cases”), and larger than 1.75 on the depression score is labelled as “depressive”. Even if HSCL-25 measure both depression and anxiety, the reliability and validity is found to be better on depression than anxiety [44].

Coping

Coping was measured using the Utrecht Coping List (UCL) [45, 46]. UCL consists of 47 statements regarding how the respondent will react when facing a problem. The questionnaire has satisfactory reliability and validity in a large population based study on ethnic Norwegian [45]. Each statement is scored on 4 point scale, ranging from 1 (never/seldom) to 4 (very often). The score (sum) for seven different coping strategies are usually reported, where ‘active problem solving’ is the strategy related to positive outcome expectancies defined in CATS.

Physical Fitness

Physical fitness was measured by the short version of the “International physical activity questionnaire” (IPAQ) [35]. IPAQ is an instrument for monitoring of physical activity and inactivity. The question “how will you describe your physical fitness?” was used to answer how they describe their physical fitness. The questionnaire has satisfactory reliability and validity for national and regional prevalence studies in fourteen different countries from all over the world from Sweden to Guatemala, in both urban and rural locations [35].

Sample Size

The sample size was calculated on the basis of a presumed 10% reduction on fasting and 2 h glucose, a significance level of 5%, and a power of 80%, a sample size of 82 patients per group was necessary, given an anticipated dropout rate of 15%.

Statistics

All analyzes were carried out with SPSS, version 15.0. Differences between groups were evaluated by independent samples t test and ANOVA, using Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons, and Chi-square tests. The significance level was set to $P < 0.05$, unless otherwise stated.

Ethics Approval

The study was approved by the Norwegian Ethics Committee for Medical Research, Health Region South, and performed according to the Helsinki Declaration. The participants received written and oral information in their mother tongue. All information from the participants was treated strictly confidential, and the participants gave their written consent to the project before the intervention started.

Results

The participants were 25–63 years old (Table 1). They had lived in Norway for approximately 20 years. 23% described their understanding of the Norwegian language as good. Their mean educational level was low, and they lived in households with an average of 5.5 persons (Table 1).

About 41% ($n=81$) of the participants had the complete MetS, and they were approximately 3 years older than those without the syndrome ($P < 0.001$) (Table 1).
There were no group differences with respect to degree of education, persons in the household, number of children and years living in Norway (Table 1). As expected from the definition, those with MetS had higher BMI (with MetS; 31.2 ± 5.0; mean ± SD, without MetS; 28.3 ± 5.5) (P < 0.001), waist circumference (with MetS; 100 ± 12.7, without MetS; 93.1 ± 11.6) (P < 0.001) and blood glucose (with MetS; 6.0 ± 0.9, without Mets; 5.3 ± 1.2) (P < 0.001) than those without MetS (Table 1).

Self Reported Health

About one-third of the participants described their general health as good (Table 2). For all eight factors of health-related quality of life, the participants scored below 50. Especially for physical function (33.9 ± 23.8; mean ± SD), bodily pain (39.0 ± 12.6) and general health (34.4 ± 15.2), the scores were very low. The Pakistani women had relatively higher scores on social functioning, role-emotional, and mental health factors (Table 2).

The participants with MetS had significantly lower general health (with MetS; 31.8 ± 15.5, without Mets; 36.3 ± 14.8) (P = 0.040), lower physical function (with MetS; 29.3 ± 24.3, without Mets; 37.8 ± 22.6) (P = 0.012), and more bodily pain (with MetS; 36.3 ± 12.1, without Mets; 41.2 ± 12.5) (P = 0.007), than those without MetS (Table 2). There were no differences between the groups for the SF-36 factors: role-physical, vitality, social functioning, role-emotional, or mental health (Table 2).

| SF-36                | Total (n = 194) | Without MetS (n = 113)a | With MetS (n = 81) | P-value |
|----------------------|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Physical functioning | 33.9 (23.8)    | 37.8 (22.6)             | 29.3 (24.3)        | 0.012   |
| Role-physical        | 42.0 (16.0)    | 43.6 (15.7)             | 40.2 (16.3)        | 0.148   |
| Bodily pain          | 39.0 (12.6)    | 41.2 (12.5)             | 36.3 (12.1)        | 0.007   |
| General health       | 34.4 (15.2)    | 36.3 (14.8)             | 31.8 (15.5)        | 0.040   |
| Vitality             | 44.7 (11.1)    | 45.5 (11.3)             | 43.8 (10.8)        | 0.287   |
| Social functioning   | 49.9 (12.9)    | 51.0 (12.0)             | 48.1 (14.0)        | 0.126   |
| Role-emotional       | 48.0 (13.4)    | 48.9 (13.1)             | 46.6 (13.8)        | 0.241   |
| Mental health        | 47.2 (14.9)    | 48.0 (15.2)             | 45.9 (14.5)        | 0.343   |

Mean values (SD). P-values for the differences between the group with and without MetS are shown (independent sample t test)

Table 1  Descriptive data for the total group of Pakistani immigrant women, and in participants without and with the metabolic syndrome (MetS)

|                      | Total (n = 198) | Without MetS (n = 113/194) | With MetS (n = 81/194) | P-value |
|----------------------|----------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Age                  | 41.2 (8.1)     | 39.8 (7.5)                | 43.1 (8.6)             | 0.005   |
| Years of education   | 9.1 (4.5)      | 9.5 (4.5)                 | 8.5 (4.5)              | 0.120   |
| Years living in Norway| 19.3 (5.7)  | 17.6 (5.3)                | 20.9 (5.7)             | 0.093   |
| Persons in household | 5.5 (1.8)      | 5.5 (1.6)                 | 5.6 (2.1)              | 0.668   |
| Number of children   | 3.5 (1.5)      | 3.4 (1.4)                 | 3.6 (1.7)              | 0.535   |
| Norwegian language skillsb  | 3.3 (1.2)    | 3.3 (1.2)                 | 3.3 (1.1)              | 0.996   |
| BMI (kg/m²)          | 29.7 (5.4)     | 28.3 (5.5)                | 31.2 (5.0)             | <0.001  |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 96.0 (12.5) | 93.1 (11.6)               | 100.0 (12.7)           | <0.001  |
| Fasting blood glucose (mmol/l) | 5.6 (1.1) | 5.3 (1.2)                | 6.0 (0.9)              | <0.001  |
| 2-h blood glucose (mmol/l) | 8.5 (2.5)   | 7.5 (1.6)                 | 9.7 (3.0)              | <0.000  |
| Physical fitness     | 61.0%          | 67.3%                     | 52.4%                  | 0.036   |

Mean values (SD). P-values for differences between the group with and without MetS are shown (independent sample t test)
a The Metabolic syndrome (MetS): waist circumference ≥ 80 cm, plus any two of the following four factors: serum triglycerides ≥ 1.7 mmol/L, HDL-cholesterol < 1.29 mmol/l, systolic blood pressure ≥ 130 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 85 mmHg, fasting glucose ≥ 5.6 mmol/l [1]
b How participants evaluate their knowledge of the Norwegian language (languish betyr å bli matt/slapp; mener du det, eller språk: language?)
Most participants rated their life as good as measured by the ‘ladder of life’, and there was a general trend for the participants to be optimistic about their future, however, there were no differences between groups (Table 3). More than half of the participants (51.5%, \( n = 102 \)) expected their life to be at level 9 and 10 on the ‘ladder of life’ in 1 year time. However, there was no significant correlation between scoring 9 and 10 on the ‘ladder of life’ and scoring high on the UCL statement question “I tell myself that everything will fix itself at the end”.

Subjective Health Complaints

Almost all participants (97%, \( n = 192 \)) reported to have experienced SHC during the last month, the average was 18 complaints at the same time. Almost 95% reported musculoskeletal complaints, 83% reported some pseudoneurological complaint, and 61% reported gastrointestinal complaints (Table 4). Tiredness, headache, pain in the feet when walking, neck pain, and shoulder pain were the most commonly reported complaints. The MetS group had significantly higher prevalence of shoulder pain, pain in upper back, eczema, extra heartbeats, sleep problems, and depression (Table 4). Particularly for depression, the MetS group showed a very high prevalence (45.8%, \( n = 83 \)), as nearly half of this group reported to have experienced depression during the last month. The MetS group showed a higher total sum-score of SHC (19.9 ± 12.9), than the group without MetS (16.7 ± 12.2).

Psychological Distress

The participants with MetS reported significantly higher levels of somatisation on the Hopkins Symptom Check List (HSCL-25) (Table 5), but there were no significant differences on the depression and anxiety scores between the two groups. A relatively high proportion (37%, \( n = 73 \)) of the participants scored higher than the cut-off points 1.75 for “psychiatric cases” or “severe mental distress”, a tendency of more “psychiatric cases” in the MetS group did not reach statistical significance (Table 5).

There was a significant (\( P < 0.001 \)) correlation between the single item depression on the SHC questionnaire and the HSCL-depression score.

Coping

Palliative reactions and passive avoidance were the two most common coping strategies; however there were no significant differences between the groups for these strategies (Table 6). Also, there were no differences between the groups on social support, depressive reactions, expression of feelings, or comforting thoughts. Participants with MetS scored significantly lower (\( P = 0.005 \)) on active problem solving than participants without MetS (Table 6).

Discussion

In this group of Pakistani immigrant women we found a high prevalence of psychological distress and SHC, and the participants with Mets scored lower on coping strategies and active problem solving.

We observed a higher prevalence of SHC than previously reported among ethnic Norwegian women [26, 36, 37]. The prevalence of depression was high, and was comparable to the prevalence of depression reported in Norwegian chronic low back pain patients [38]. In addition, there was a high level of psychological distress compared to the general Norwegian population [18, 19, 39], and compared to other Pakistani immigrants [18]. Also for health related quality of life, the Pakistani women scored much lower than the general Norwegian population [40], patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis [41], and patients with neuroendocrine tumours [40].

The relatively high level of complaints may be related at least in part to their low social class, as was also reported from other groups of immigrants in Western Countries.
Our sample is characterized by low education (average 9 years) and low integration skills. In spite of having been in Norway for an average of 18 years, their Norwegian language skills were poor. Immigrants in Sweden live under more strained psychosocial conditions and experience a deeper impact of pain than the ethnic Swedish population [27]. The low SES may also relate to the high prevalence of MetS, which has been observed in other low SES groups [16, 42]. MetS is known to be an important risk factor for major chronic diseases among women [43]. Pakistani women with Mets had more SHC, more somatization, more bodily pain, less physical function, worse general health, and more depression compared to women without Mets. An association between MetS and depression has been described by Dunbay et al. [44], Carroll et al. [45] did not find a similar association, but observed a positive association between anxiety disorders and MetS.

The high level of depression and psychological distress in the MetS group may also relate to their high body weight. Obesity is known to be associated with health problems and depression [46, 47]. Several of the women expressed concerns about their weight [48]. The women with MetS scored lower on active problem solving. Some studies have found that active coping is clearly related to positive metabolic control in men and women with T2D [49–51]. Non-Western immigrants have more negative coping strategies than natives [52–54].

### Table 4

| Subjective Health Complaints | Total (n = 198) (%) | Without MetS (n = 113)* (%) | With MetS (n = 81) (%) | P-value |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| **Musculoskeletal complaints** |                    |                            |                        |         |
| Headache                    | 94.4               | 92.0                        | 97.6                   | 0.084   |
| Neck pain                   | 66.5               | 62.2                        | 72.3                   | 0.092   |
| Shoulder pain               | 63.6               | 64.3                        | 62.7                   | 0.466   |
| Pain in arms                | 59.3               | 50.9                        | 70.7                   | 0.004   |
| Pain in upper back          | 41.8               | 34.8                        | 51.2                   | 0.016   |
| Low back pain               | 35.4               | 31.0                        | 41.5                   | 0.087   |
| Leg pain when walking       | 49.5               | 47.3                        | 52.4                   | 0.288   |
| Migraine                    | 64.8               | 60.2                        | 71.1                   | 0.076   |
| **Pseudoneurology**         |                    |                            |                        |         |
| Extra heartbeats            | 82.7               | 80.5                        | 85.5                   | 0.236   |
| Heat flushes                | 27.6               | 21.2                        | 36.1                   | 0.016   |
| Sleep problems              | 13.0               | 8.1                         | 19.5                   | 0.018   |
| Tiredness                   | 32.1               | 27.4                        | 38.6                   | 0.068   |
| Dizziness                   | 70.4               | 69.9                        | 71.1                   | 0.494   |
| Anxiety                     | 37.2               | 38.9                        | 34.9                   | 0.337   |
| Depression                  | 31.8               | 30.4                        | 33.7                   | 0.364   |
| **Gastrointestinal complaints** |                |                            |                        |         |
| Heartburn                   | 61.2               | 53.1                        | 73.2                   | 0.005   |
| Stomach discomfort          | 22.2               | 17.9                        | 28.0                   | 0.066   |
| Stomach pain                | 7.4                | 4.5                         | 11.5                   | 0.063   |
| Ulcer/non-ulcer dyspepsia   | 5.2                | 4.5                         | 6.3                    | 0.399   |
| Stomach pain                | 15.4               | 13.4                        | 18.1                   | 0.243   |
| Gas discomfort              | 33.7               | 29.2                        | 39.8                   | 0.082   |
| Diarrhea                    | 9.2                | 8.0                         | 11.0                   | 0.318   |
| Obstipation                 | 30.9               | 31.0                        | 30.9                   | 0.557   |
| **Allergy**                 |                    |                            |                        |         |
| Asthma                      | 56.1               | 54.0                        | 59.0                   | 0.288   |
| Breathing difficulties      | 11.3               | 11.5                        | 11.1                   | 0.561   |
| Eczema                      | 23.1               | 21.2                        | 25.6                   | 0.293   |
| Allergy                     | 12.8               | 8.9                         | 18.1                   | 0.048   |
| Chest pain                  | 24.7               | 23.0                        | 27.2                   | 0.310   |
| **Cold/Flu**                |                    |                            |                        |         |
| Cold/Flu                    | 49.0               | 46.0                        | 53.0                   | 0.205   |
| Cough                       | 32.7               | 31.9                        | 33.7                   | 0.450   |
| Flu                         | 35.7               | 32.7                        | 39.8                   | 0.194   |

Differences between groups with and without MetS were tested with chi-square tests, P-value given

* The Metabolic syndrome (MetS): waist circumference ≥80 cm, plus any two of the following four factors: serum triglycerides ≥1.7 mmol/l, HDL-cholesterol <1.29 mmol/l, systolic blood pressure ≥130 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥85 mmHg, fasting glucose ≥5.6 mmol/l [1]
distressful coping strategies such as fatalism, resignation, isolation, and intrusion, in immigrants with MetS have been reported earlier [52]. The psychobiological responses and effect on health in individuals depend on the expectancies attached to the coping skills of the individual [20], and at least part of the pathophysiological findings may be related to inefficient coping. Poor language skills, physical inactivity, increased body weight, and a high level of SHC suggest that the Pakistani women have problems with mood and motivation to adjust to life in Norway.

In addition to the general and well-accepted risk factors for T2D, there are other possible factors that may contribute substantially to their risk of developing T2D. This includes the experience of higher demands due to strained psychosocial conditions [27] in combination with less coping resources. Lack of coping may cause ill health thru the general “psychosomatic route” with sustained activation followed by disease and illness. Establishing no or negative response outcome expectancies may also be a hindrance for the engagement in behavioral changes such as physical activity and eating habits [55].

The high body weight, their lack of adaptation to the new life conditions, and the potential pathophysiological effects of a sustained psychophysiological activation are serious risk factors for further complications arising from the MetS condition, such as T2D and cardiovascular diseases. Stress activation is followed by increased serum levels of glucose, free fatty acids and lipoproteins, all of which increase the risk of cardiovascular diseases [22].

The recruitment strategy used in the present work could lead to selection bias. Although the present combination of several strategies to recruit participants produced a sufficient number of participants, we have no way of stating anything about non-participants. Our multi-strategic recruitment method may reduce the selection bias as women were recruited from several different arenas. The quality of the data rests on the assumption that the sample obtained is a representative sample of the target population, and that willingness to participate is unrelated to opinions that are sampled. Additionally, our cross-sectional design does not allow any conclusions regarding causation.

Information bias could be a major issue in this study group, due to the several languages spoken and the need to use interpreters to access the data. To prevent information bias we used several different interpreters, as well as cross-testing of the information given.

**Table 5** Mean values (SD) for Hopkins Symptom CheckList (HSCL) for the total group of Pakistani immigrant women, and in subjects without and with the metabolic syndrome (MetS)

| Hopkins Symptom CheckList (HSCL) | Total (n = 198) | Without MetS (n = 113) | MetS (n = 81) | P-value |
|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------|
| HSCL depression                 | 1.6 (0.6)      | 1.5 (0.6)             | 1.7 (0.6)     | 0.217  |
| HSCL anxiety                    | 1.6 (0.6)      | 1.6 (0.5)             | 1.7 (0.6)     | 0.166  |
| HSCL somatisation               | 1.8 (0.8)      | 1.7 (0.6)             | 1.9 (0.7)     | 0.049  |
| HSCL total                      | 1.7 (0.7)      | 1.6 (0.5)             | 1.7 (0.5)     | 0.099  |
| HSCL score ≥1.75 psychiatric cases (%) | 36.9%         | 31.5%                 | 43.9%         | 0.078  |

Differences between the group with and without MetS were tested with independent sample t test, P-values given.

The Metabolic syndrome (MetS): waist circumference ≥80 cm, plus any two of the following four factors: serum triglycerides ≥1.7 mmol/l, HDL-cholesterol <1.29 mmol/l, systolic blood pressure ≥130 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥85 mmHg, fasting glucose ≥5.6 mmol/l [1]

**Table 6** Mean scores (SD) for different coping strategies as measured by the Utrecht Coping List (UCL) for the total group of Pakistani immigrant women, and in subjects without and with the metabolic syndrome (MetS)

| UCL-CODE                      | Total (n = 198) | Without MetS (n = 113) | With MetS (n = 81) | P-value |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------|
| Active problem solving        | 17.5 (4.5)     | 18.2 (4.2)            | 16.5 (4.2)         | 0.005  |
| Palliative reactions          | 19.1 (4.2)     | 19.4 (4.3)            | 18.6 (4.0)         | 0.195  |
| Passive avoidance             | 18.9 (4.2)     | 19.1 (4.3)            | 18.6 (3.9)         | 0.439  |
| Social support                | 15.2 (3.9)     | 15.6 (3.9)            | 14.7 (3.9)         | 0.125  |
| Depressive reactions          | 13.9 (3.6)     | 13.9 (3.5)            | 13.9 (3.9)         | 0.998  |
| Express feelings              | 6.9 (2.1)      | 7.0 (2.0)             | 6.8 (2.1)          | 0.516  |
| Comforting thoughts           | 14.6 (2.7)     | 14.7 (2.7)            | 14.5 (2.6)         | 0.763  |

Differences between the group with and without MetS were tested with independent sample t test, P-values given.

The Metabolic syndrome (MetS): waist circumference ≥80 cm, plus any two of the following four factors: serum triglycerides ≥1.7 mmol/l, HDL-cholesterol <1.29 mmol/l, systolic blood pressure ≥130 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥85 mmHg, fasting glucose ≥5.6 mmol/l [1]
Questionnaires were not translated into Urdu, Punjabi or English, and communication with the participants was obtained through interpreters, and by having a third person knowing all languages to observe several of the interviews. Nevertheless, the possibility exists that there might have been some bias in the information flow from the investigators to the respondents. To minimize the problem, all interviewers were multilingual, grown up and living in two cultures, and speaking the languages in question very well. Ideally, the women should have had the opportunity to read for themselves, but most of our participants were not sufficiently literate. The confidentiality for the participants might have been a problem. However, the interviewers and the observers were from different areas in Oslo, and from different social classes.

Conclusion

We found a high prevalence of MetS, psychological distress and SHC in a group of Pakistani immigrant women, especially in subjects with MetS. This group should be given special attention when developing public and individual preventive strategies for T2D.

New Contribution to the Literature

The study provides an update of the prevalence of MetS, psychological stress and SHC among female Pakistani immigrants living in Norway, and confirms that psychological distress is associated with MetS.
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