Mahaim pathway tachycardia versus bystander ventricular tachycardia: A distinction without a difference

Michael S. Wu, MD, James E. Ip, MD, FHRS, George Thomas, MD, Steven M. Markowitz, MD, FHRS, Jim W. Cheung, MD, FHRS, Christopher F. Liu, MD, FHRS, Bruce B. Lerman, MD, FHRS

From the Department of Medicine, Division of Cardiology, Cornell University Medical Center, New York Presbyterian Hospital, New York, New York.

Introduction
Decremental accessory pathways (APs) have long been the focus of considerable interest because of their unusual and complex modes of presentation as well as for their proclivity for participating in antidromic reciprocating tachycardia (ART) or to act as passive bystanders in supraventricular tachycardia. Initially described by Mahaim as fibers originating from the atrioventricular (AV) node and inserting into the basal ventricular myocardium, decremental APs, often referred to generically as “Mahaim” pathways, are now classified into at least 3 subtypes: (1) long AV APs that insert into the right bundle branch (atrio fascicular) or anterior right ventricular myocardium, (2) short AV APs that insert into perictricuspid ventricular muscle, and (3) nodoventricular (NV) or nodofascicular (NF) pathways that are linked to the AV node and usually emerge from the slow AV nodal pathway. With some exceptions, NV/NF pathways are right-sided and, when associated with a regular wide complex tachycardia (WCT), may show AV dissociation, since the atria are not integral to the circuit, making AV dissociation a hallmark for differentiating this form of ART from other forms of decremental AP-mediated ART.

We present a case of a patient who had presumed NV-dependent ART with AV dissociation. However, during electrophysiologic evaluation, we demonstrate that the tachycardia originated from an intra-Mahaim pathway focus, highlighting the potential of decremental APs to develop rapid de novo arrhythmias that may masquerade as ART, passive bystanders, or ventricular tachycardia.

Case report
A 41-year-old woman with a history of a right-sided AP ablated in China at age 19 presented to an outside hospital with recurrent palpitations and a regular WCT. The arrhythmia terminated with adenosine (6 mg). One week later, she presented to our hospital with a similar tachycardia. The tachycardia had a left bundle branch block pattern with a left superior axis and a cycle length of 280 ms. In the emergency room, she was given intravenous amiodarone, which terminated tachycardia. An electrocardiogram in sinus rhythm showed no evidence of preexcitation. Her subsequent work-up, including an echocardiogram and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging, was normal.

During electrophysiologic study, baseline AH and HV intervals were 65 ms and 37 ms, respectively. Dual AV nodal pathways were demonstrated. Rapid pacing from the proximal coronary sinus resulted in a QRS morphology that reproduced the patient’s clinical arrhythmia. Retrograde conduction was concentric and adenosine resulted in ventriculoatrial block. During atrial pacing at a cycle length of 370 ms, conduction proceeded initially over the fast AV nodal pathway, resulting in a narrow QRS complex. However, when conduction abruptly switched to the slow AV nodal pathway (AH increased from 105 to 194 ms), the QRS complex showed fusion for 1 beat (Figure 1A). All subsequent beats were fully preexcited as the AH interval further increased and the His bundle potential was displaced into the ventricular electrogram. Incremental atrial pacing resulted in progressive prolongation of the stimulus-delta interval, findings consistent with a decremental AP.

In the absence of preexcitation, right ventricular apex activation preceded tricuspid annulus (TA) ventricular activation (Figure 1B). However, this relationship reversed with the onset of preexcitation, coincident with a shift in conduction from the fast to the slow AV nodal pathway. (Figure 1B). Greater degrees of preexcitation caused progressively earlier TA ventricular activation relative to the right ventricular apex.

Atrial pacing during concurrent infusion of isoproterenol (2 μg/min) consistently induced WCT with AV dissociation.
The QRS morphology of the clinical atrial beats (Figure 2A). Although fusion beats during atrial pacing and during tachycardia (the latter were due to spontaneous conduction block in the NV pathway or slow anterograde wave fronts within the AV node–His-Purkinje system. These data therefore provide incontrovertible evidence that the tachycardia was not due to ART.

Also informative was the differential timing of the response of the Mahaim pathway and tachycardia to adenosine. Immediately following termination of tachycardia with adenosine, AV node conduction prolonged between the first and second sinus beats, although conduction still proceeded over the NV pathway through activation of the slow AV nodal pathway (Figure 3A). During the third sinus beat, conduction blocked in both the Mahaim pathway and AV node; however, by the fourth sinus beat fast AV nodal pathway conduction recovered and the impulse proceeded over the His-Purkinje system, not the Mahaim pathway. Conduction over the Mahaim pathway was therefore linked to conduction over the slow AV nodal pathway. Since tachycardia terminated before conduction block occurred in the slow AV nodal pathway or NV pathway, adenosine’s effects on tachycardia occurred independently of its effects on the AV node, thus eliminating ART involving an NV pathway or AV nodal reentry with bystander conduction as possibilities. An alternative interpretation is that the tachycardia was due to NV-dependent ART and that adenosine terminated tachycardia by blocking conduction in the retrograde limb, ie, retrograde fast AV nodal pathway. However, this alternative scenario is unlikely, since the anterograde slow AV nodal pathway is notably more sensitive to adenosine than the retrograde fast AV nodal pathway. Therefore, in response to adenosine, NV-dependent ART would be expected to terminate in the anterograde limb (slow AV nodal pathway), not the retrograde limb. Accordingly, since persistence of conduction over the slow AV nodal and NV pathways following termination of tachycardia, we deduce that the tachycardia had an intra-Mahaim pathway origin and that termination of tachycardia with adenosine was due solely to its direct effects on the Mahaim pathway.

Activation maps were performed during atrial pacing and tachycardia to identify the earliest site of ventricular activation. Both maps localized the ventricular insertion site to the posteroseptal TA. Ablation at this site during tachycardia terminated the arrhythmia within 2 seconds. Although anterograde dual pathways were present post-ablation, AP conduction was not.

Discussion

Our initial observations, which included the presence of a decremental NV pathway, AV dissociation during WCT, and linkage of the NV pathway to the slow AV nodal pathway, suggested the possibility of NV-mediated ART (which was atypical, since the pathway inserted at the base of the right ventricle) (Figure 1A and B). Also consistent with this diagnosis is that the morphology of the tachycardia was reproduced with atrial pacing and by pacing at the pathway’s ventricular insertion site (Figure 3B). Despite these findings, the presence of fusion beats during tachycardia suggested other potential mechanisms for the patient’s WCT. This includes reentrant ventricular tachycardia originating from ventricular muscle contiguous to the pathway’s insertion site. However, this is an unlikely explanation, since the tachycardia was sensitive to adenosine, a finding that virtually rules out ventricular reentry. Focal triggered activity originating from the ventricular aspect of the TA annulus is another possibility; however, this is improbable, as it would require a circumstance whereby conduction over the patient’s Mahaim pathway exactly replicated the morphology of an unrelated focal tricuspid annular ventricular tachycardia, which also originated at the Mahaim pathway’s precise exit site (Figure 2A).

Another consideration is tachycardia originating from within the Mahaim pathway. Although automaticity is known to originate from Mahaim pathways, these arrhythmias usually occur in response to catecholamine stimulation or ablation, are transient, occur at substantially slower rates than that observed in the present study, are not inducible with programmed stimulation, and transiently slow but fail to terminate in response to adenosine. Therefore,
initiation of tachycardia with programmed stimulation and its termination with adenosine in this study excluded AP automaticity as an etiology (Table 1).13,14

The weight of evidence suggests that the clinical tachycardia is due to adenosine-sensitive triggered activity originating from a focal site within the Mahaim pathway. Supportive of this diagnosis is that adenosine-mediated termination of tachycardia preceded conduction block in both the Mahaim pathway and slow AV nodal pathway, thus dissociating the time course of adenosine’s effects on

Figure 1  A: Preexcitation linked to conduction over the slow atrioventricular pathway. During atrial pacing at a cycle length of 370 ms from the proximal coronary sinus (CSp), a fusion beat (*) occurred coincident with abrupt prolongation of the AH interval (from 105 to 194 ms), which was followed by fully pre-excited complexes. Surface leads I, aVF, and V1 are shown, as well as intracardiac recordings from the distal His bundle (Hisd), CSp, and right ventricular apex (RVA). A = atrial activation; H = His. B: Effect of adenosine on preexcitation. Adenosine (12 mg) caused prolongation of atrioventricular (AV) nodal conduction, which was associated with AV prolongation, shortening of the HV interval, and reversal of relative ventricular activation recorded from the posteroseptal tricuspid annulus (TApS) and RVA. During the first 2 beats, conduction proceeds over the fast AV nodal pathway, the QRS complex is narrow, and the RVA is activated before TApS ventricular excitation. This relationship reverses as conduction switches to the slow AV nodal pathway and preexcitation becomes manifest. ΔV = relative ventricular activation of TA and RVA (ms); positive value indicates that TA ventricular activation precedes RVA; negative value indicates that RVA activation precedes TA activation.
Figure 2  A: Initiation of wide complex tachycardia with atrial pacing. The preexcited beats during atrial pacing (220 ms) have the same morphology as the tachycardia (240 ms). Abbreviations are as previously defined. * fusion beat during atrial pacing resulting from conduction over Mahaim pathway and AV node; ** fusion beat during tachycardia. B: Wide complex tachycardia with AV dissociation. Abbreviations as defined in Figure 1.
Mahaim tachycardia from its effects on Mahaim pathway conduction or slow AV node pathway conduction. Moreover, the presence of fusion beats during tachycardia conclusively eliminates ART as a consideration (Figure 2A). Although this report represents the first example of an intra-Mahaim pathway tachycardia due to triggered activity, we suspect this entity may be more common than is presently appreciated.

This case presents an unusual variation on the spectrum of arrhythmias associated with NV pathways and the criteria for establishing the etiology of WCT in these patients. The presence of AV dissociation during tachycardia indicates that the atrium is not an obligatory component of the reentrant tachycardia circuit and is consistent with ART due to an NV pathway. However, the distinction between this diagnosis and that of focal triggered activity originating from the Mahaim pathway is not readily delineated, given the multiple electrophysiological features they share. As a means for distinguishing among these entities, we propose the criteria outlined in the Table 1.

Finally, we suggest that conceptually, the tachycardia described in this study can be considered synonymous with ventricular tachycardia. Although the focal source of the tachycardia originates from the Mahaim pathway, the arrhythmia does not manifest until it exits from its insulated pathway to the ventricle, which then presents as a tachycardia clinically indistinguishable from ventricular tachycardia. This is an inversion of the usual circumstance of bystander tachycardia involving a Mahaim pathway, where it can be passively activated during supraventricular tachycardia. In

Figure 3  A: Termination of wide complex tachycardia with adenosine. Adenosine’s effects on tachycardia are manifest before its effects on Mahaim pathway conduction or its abolition of conduction in the slow AV nodal pathway. Conduction over the Mahaim pathway via the slow pathway of the AV node is maintained during the first 2 sinus beats (labeled 1 and 2) following tachycardia termination. The third beat blocks in both the AV node and Mahaim pathway before conduction resumes over the fast AV nodal pathway without evidence of preexcitation (fourth beat). Abbreviations as defined in Figure 1. B: The best match during ventricular pace mapping (97%) was recorded from the ventricular insertion site of the Mahaim pathway, in the region of the posteroseptal tricuspid annulus. Note that there is also a near-identical QRS match when comparing the morphology during atrial pacing (from proximal coronary sinus) and the tachycardia morphology.
contrast, in the present case, we demonstrate a circumstance where the Mahaim pathway is the active source of the arrhythmia and the ventricles serve as passive bystanders. Nonetheless, whether the arrhythmia is classified by its site of origin, ie, Mahaim pathway tachycardia, or by its exit (ventricular insertion) site, ie, ventricular tachycardia, is clinically inconsequential, since the arrhythmia’s hemodynamic consequences and ablation target are the same, regardless of designation, making further refinement of the clinical diagnosis akin to a distinction without a difference.

References

1. Gallagher JJ, Smith WM, Kasell JH, Benson DW, Sterba R, Grant AO. Role of Mahaim fibers in cardiac arrhythmias in man. Circulation 1981;61:176–189.
2. Grogin HR, Lee RJ, Kwasman M, Epstein LM, Schamp DJ, Lesh MD, Scheinman MM. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of atrofascicular and nodoventricular Mahaim Tracts. Circulation 1994;90:272–282.
3. Tchou P, Lehmann MH, Iazzieri M, Akhtar M. Atriofascicular connection or a nodoventricular Mahaim fiber? Electrophysiologic elucidation of the pathway and associated reentrant circuit. Circulation 1998;77:837–848.
4. Haissaguerre M, Cauchemez B, Marcus F, Le Metayer P, Lauribe P, Poquet F, Gencel P, Clementy J. Characteristics of the ventricular insertion sites of accessory pathways with anterograde decremental conduction properties. Circulation 1995;91:1077–1085.
5. Sternick EB, Fagundes ML, Cruz FES, Timmermans C, Sosa EA, Rodriguez LM, Gerken LM, Scanavacca MI, Wellens HJJ. Short atioventricular Mahaim fibers: observations on their clinical, electrocardiographic and electrophysiologic profile. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2005;16:127–134.
6. Lee CS, Lai WT, Wu JC, Sheu SH, Wu SN, Belardinelli L. Differential effects of adenosine on antegrade and retrograde fast pathway conduction in atrioventricular nodal reentry. Am Heart J 1997;134:799–806.
7. Donahue JK, Orias D, Berger RD, Tomasselli GF, Lawrence JH, Calkins H. Comparison of adenosine effects on atrioventricular node reentry and atrioventricular reciprocating tachycardias. Clin Cardiol 1998;21:743–745.
8. Lai WT, Lee KT, Wu JC, Tung CP, Voon WC, Sheu SH. Differential effects of adenosine on antegrade fast pathway, antegrade slow pathway, and retrograde fast pathway in atrioventricular nodal reentry. Cardiology 2002;97:147–154.
9. Lerman BB, Ip JE, Shah BK, Thomas G, Liu CF, Ciaccio EJ, Wu AL, Cheung JW, Markowitz SM. Mechanism-specific effect of adenosine on ventricular tachycardia. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2014;25:1350–1358.
10. Ip JE, Liu CF, Thomas G, Cheung JW, Markowitz SM, Lerman BB. Unifying mechanism of sustained idiopathic atrial and ventricular annual tachycardia. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol 2014;7:436–444.
11. Sternick EB, Sosa EA, Timmermans C, Filho FEC, Rodriguez LM, Gerken LM, Scanavacca MI, Fagundes ML, Buono SC, Vranedec MO, Wellens HJJ. Automaticity in Mahaim fibers. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2004;15:735–744.
12. Macle L, Shah DC, Jus P, Haissaguerre M. Accessory pathway automaticity after radiofrequency ablation. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2002;13:285–287.
13. Lerman BB, Belardinelli L, West GA, Berne RM, DiMarco JP. Adenosine-sensitive ventricular tachycardia: evidence suggesting cAMP-mediated triggered activity. Circulation 1986;74:270–280.
14. Lerman BB. Ventricular tachycardia: mechanistic insights derived from adenosine. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol 2015;8:483–491.

Table 1

Wide complex tachycardia associated with a Mahaim pathway

| Initiation with atrial pacing | Fusion during atrial pacing | Adenosine termination | Progressive and fixed fusion during RVP at ≥ 2 CLs | CL dependence of tachycardia on VH interval | PPI-TCL < 30 ms (pacing from ventricular insertion site) | AVNRT with decremental bystander AP* | Mahaim-dependent ART | Intra-Mahaim tachycardia due to triggered activity | Intra-Mahaim tachycardia due to automaticity | Reentrant VT originating contiguous to ventricular insertion of Mahaim pathway* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| + | - | + | + | - | + | + | + | + | + |
| - | + | - | - | - | - | + | + | + | + |

ART = antidromic reciprocating tachycardia; AVNRT = atrioventricular nodal reentrant tachycardia; CL = cycle length; PPI = post-pacing interval; RVP = rapid ventricular pacing; TCL = tachycardia cycle length; VT = ventricular tachycardia.

*Tachycardia associated with but not dependent on Mahaim pathway conduction.
†Transient slowing without termination.