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Two water environment adaptation models enhance motor behavior and improve the success of the lactate minimum test in swimming rats
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Abstract — Aims: This study was designed to investigate the effects of 14 water environment adaptation days on motor behavior and physiological condition of swimming rats. Methods: Sixty male Wistar rats were divided into four groups—baseline (Bl) and control (Co) groups—which did not perform the water environment adaptation; and sub (SubAnT) and supra anaerobic threshold (SupraAnT) groups, which performed 14 water environment adaptation days with sub or supra anaerobic threshold progressive loads (from the tenth day), respectively. The climbing-swimming prevalence (i.e. motor behavior) was analyzed during the water environment adaptation days. Lactate minimum test (LMT) parameters and muscular/hepatic glycogen content in addition to serum creatine kinase were also measured. Results: Animals from SubAnT and SupraAnT groups presented a lower climbing-swimming pattern throughout the extent of the experiment (p=0.000), especially after the 5th session. These results were achieved without an improvement in the LMT results or glycogen/creatine kinase. In addition, improvements of 26.6% and 25% for the LMT success rate (i.e. LMT reliability) were obtained only for SubAnT and SupraAnT animals. Conclusion: Overall, we demonstrated that a water environment adaptation period is necessary for lowering the climbing-swimming pattern without physiological improvement.
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Introduction

Although rodents have a recognized, innate swimming ability, studies have demonstrated that luminosity, water temperature and the time of day may influence swimming performance in these animals. Furthermore, a discussion about the water environment adaptation was already initiated, but its relevance remains speculative and untested. Moreover, a myriad of lengths of water environment adaptation periods is evidenced in literature, which impairs a solid discussion of this issue. In addition to the above-mentioned factors, can water environment adaptation affect a rodent’s swimming performance, especially in terms of motor behavior (i.e. swimming pattern) and physiological conditions (i.e. aerobic and anaerobic capacities)? If so, what procedures should be done before the main experiment to properly adapt the rats to the water environment?

In our view, there are three main aims during the water environment adaptation period. First, studies have discussed how stressful factors can influence physiological responses to exercise. Stress can affect brain and cognitive functions, in addition to impairing motor learning process. Authors have pointed that one response to this stressful condition is a distinct swimming pattern, such as climbing. Considered as a deliberate attempt to escape from the swimming tank, rats may over-spend energy sources during this swimming pattern, which in turn may be reflected in the physiological condition during the extent of the experiment. Therefore, the water environment adaptation period must be considered to avoid non-desired swimming patterns, such as climbing. Second, the adaptation period cannot provide positive improvements in the physiological condition (i.e. aerobic and anaerobic capacities). If these improvements were achieved, then the main experiment could be biased and also provide dubious results because animals will already have submitted to stimuli that could confound the training effects. Lastly, the water environment adaptation should improve the success rate of physiological evaluations like the lactate minimum test (LMT), which has been used to determine aerobic and anaerobic parameters.

This study was designed to investigate the effects of 14 water environment adaptation days on motor behavior and the physiological condition of swimming rats. To investigate different swimming adaption protocols, animals were submitted to sub and supra anaerobic threshold intensities during this period. Intensities above and below the anaerobic threshold were chosen since its use is common in experiments involving swimming rats. The main hypothesis of this study is that groups submitted to sub and supra anaerobic threshold intensities will provide lower climbing-swimming throughout the adaptation period, without improvements on physiological parameters (aerobic and anaerobic capacities, glycogen content and serum creatine kinase). The secondary hypotheses are: a) animals from both groups will complete more incremental stages
in the LMT, performing a continuous swimming pattern; and b) since it is hypothesized that a lower climbing pattern will be performed throughout the experiment, a higher lactate minimum test success rate will be achieved after the water environment adaptation period in both groups.

**Methods**

**Animals**

Sixty male Wistar rats (*Rattus norvegicus albinos*) weighing 360.8±2.5 g at the start (77 days) and 405.6±3.2 g at the end of experiment (90 days) were used and obtained from the State University of Campinas central vivarium. Animals were housed in collective polysulfone cages (5 rats/cage, 5331 cm³/rat, 49 cm in length, 34 cm in width, and 16 cm in height). The laboratory room was maintained with a controlled temperature (23 ± 1 °C), relative humidity (45–55%), and noise (< 80 decibels) in a photoperiod of 12 h of light and 12 h of darkness. The same group of rats was kept together since weaning (21-days-old) until the end of the experiment. Free access to water and food (Labina-Purina®) were allowed for the extent of the experiment. All experiments were conducted according to The National Institutes of Health’s Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. This study was approved by the institutional ethics committee (CEUA-UNICAMP, protocol number: 3113-1).

**Experimental groups**

Animals were randomly allocated in four groups: a baseline group (Bl: n=12), in which no intervention was implemented, since the rats were only euthanized prior to initiation of the study; a control group (Co: n=16) in which rats only performed the LMT before and after the extent of the experiment; a sub anaerobic threshold group (Subₐ₀: n=16) in which rats performed the LMT before and after the extent of the experiment but also experienced 14 days of water environment adaptation with a sub anaerobic threshold progressive load (in terms of volume) from the tenth day; and a supra anaerobic threshold group (Supraₐ₀: n=16) in which rats were submitted to procedures similar to those of the Subₐ₀ group but with a supra anaerobic threshold progressive load from the tenth day. The experimental period lasted 14 days, and rats were kept under these interventions from 77 to 90 days old. The climbing-swimming pattern was analyzed during the adaptation period for Subₐ₀ and Supraₐ₀ groups. Animals from the Co, Subₐ₀ and Supraₐ₀ groups were euthanized immediately after the latter LMT, and gluteus maximus, gastrocnemius (white and red portion), soleus and liver glycogen content in addition to blood creatine kinase concentration were analyzed immediately and 24 h after euthanasia.

**Water environment adaptation protocols**

Animals from the Subₐ₀ and Supraₐ₀ groups were adapted to water swimming for 14 days at the same time (from 6:00 to 8:00 a.m.). Water temperature was kept at 31±1 °C according to previous recommendations. The first nine water environment adaptation days were similar for both groups. During these days animals progressively adapted to water swimming. From the tenth day, animals were adapted with loads below (Subₐ₀) and above (Supraₐ₀) the anaerobic threshold (Table 1). The intensity was based on the animal’s body mass and weights were attached by elastics on the animals’ thorax. For deep water environment adaptation sessions, a cylindrical tank was used (30 cm diameter x 120 cm depth).

| Session | Subₐ₀ group | Supraₐ₀ group |
|---------|-------------|---------------|
| 1°      | Shallow water (3cm), 15 min without load | Shallow water (3cm), 15 min without load |
| 2°      | Shallow water (3cm), 15 min without load | Shallow water (3cm), 15 min without load |
| 3°      | Shallow water (3cm), 15 min without load | Deep water (80cm), 2 min without load |
| 4°      | Deep water (80cm), 2 min without load | Deep water (80cm), 4 min without load |
| 5°      | Deep water (80cm), 4 min without load | Deep water (80cm), 6 min without load |
| 6°      | Deep water (80cm), 6 min without load | Deep water (80cm), 8 min without load |
| 7°      | Deep water (80cm), 8 min without load | Deep water (80cm), 10 min without load |
| 8°      | Deep water (80cm), 10 min without load | Deep water (80cm), 5 min with 3% of BM |
| 9°      | Deep water (80cm), 5 min with 3% of BM | Deep water (80cm), 3 min with 5% of BM + 5 min without load |
| 10°     | Deep water (80cm), 7 min with 3% of BM | Deep water (80cm), 3 min with 5% of BM + 5 min without load |
| 11°     | Deep water (80cm), 9 min with 3% of BM | Deep water (80cm), 1 min with 9% of BM + 5 min without load |
| 12°     | Deep water (80cm), 11 min with 3% of BM | Deep water (80cm), 1 min with 11% of BM + 5 min without load |
| 13°     | Deep water (80cm), 13 min with 3% of BM | Deep water (80cm), 30 s with 13% of BM + 5 min without load |
| 14°     | Deep water (80cm), 15 min with 3% of BM | Deep water (80cm), 30 s with 15% of BM + 5 min without load |

BM – Animal’s body mass.
Swimming pattern analysis

The climbing-swimming pattern was analyzed throughout the water environment adaptation period. Footage was made by a Full HD camera (Sony HDR-P J200 30x Optic Zoom) positioned at 80° on the cylindrical tank surface. The climbing pattern is a known swimming pattern of rodents\(^{23}\) that occurs mainly in the first minutes of the swimming exercise\(^{24}\); therefore, it was considered during the first three minutes of each adaptation day. The videos were individually analyzed by the researchers, and the time (seconds) in which rats performed the climbing-swimming pattern was recorded. This analysis was performed from the 4th adaptation session, in which animals were submitted to the first deep water (80 cm) contact. Considered as an escape behavior of naive animals\(^{23}\), this pattern is similar to continuous swimming, except that the rat’s forepaws and forelimbs break the water’s surface rhythmically\(^{25}\).

Lactate minimum test (LMT)

The lactate minimum test suggested for humans\(^{26}\) and later refined for swimming rats\(^{17}\) was applied. This test consists of three phases: i) hyperlactatemia induction; ii) passive recovery; and iii) incremental exercise test. Two brief high-intensity bouts at 13% of the body mass were conducted for hyperlactatemia induction. The first bout lasted 30 s, and after 30 s of passive recovery, animals were submitted to the second bout, in which they swam until exhaustion. The time to exhaustion (Te) from the latter bout was considered as an anaerobic parameter\(^{20}\). After the hyperlactatemia induction, animals stayed in 9 min of passive recovery to allow lactate extrusion from myocytes to the blood stream (phase 2). A blood sample (25 μl) was collected from the tail at the ninth minute and placed in microtubes (1.5 ml) with 400 μl of trichloroacetic acid. Right after the passive recovery, rats began the incremental test of 5-min stages (4, 4.5, 5, 5.5 and 6% of body mass) with 0.5% workload increment during the first five stages (i.e. 6%); from the sixth stage and beyond, a 1% increment was imposed until the animal’s exhaustion. Blood samples (25 μl) were collected at the end of the stages. Considering that animals initiated the incremental test with high blood lactate concentrations, a blood lactate clearance is expected during the first incremental stages, and further a blood lactate increase in the latter stages. The “U” shaped curve between blood lactate concentration (y-axis) and intensities (x-axis) allows the zero gradient determination from a 2\(^{nd}\) order polynomial fit\(^{17}\). This parameter is coined as the lactate minimum intensity (LMT\(_{min}\)), and considered as an aerobic parameter. The LMT\(_{min}\) reflects the intensity in which the rate between blood lactate appearance and disappearance in the bloodstream are balanced (i.e. anaerobic threshold)\(^{26}\). The total time (TT) performed during the incremental test was also analyzed. Successful test rate (SR) criteria were considered as the “U” shape form presence and the polynomial fit R\(^2\) ≥0.80.

Euthanasia

Animals were anesthetized (intraperitoneal injection) with 40 mg/kg sodium thiopental, and after the loss of foot and cornea reflexes, muscles (gluteus maximus, gastrocnemius and soleus) were surgically collected in vivo and immediately frozen at -20 °C. Subsequently, upper lobe liver samples were collected from the abdominal cavity and also frozen at -20 °C.

Glycogen content and blood creatine kinase/lactate analysis

Gluteus maximus, gastrocnemius (red and white portion) and soleus fractions of 200–250 mg and 100 mg of liver tissue were weighed right after euthanasia. Samples were digested in 30% potassium hydroxide (KOH). Sodium sulfate (Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\)) was added, and the glycogen precipitate was purified using 70% ethanol. Phenol (C\(_6\)H\(_5\)OH, 10 μl) and sulfuric acid (H\(_2\)SO\(_4\), 2 ml) were used with the colorimetric method, and glycogen content of the tissues was analyzed by the absorbance at a 490 nm wavelength\(^{27}\).

To determine the creatine kinase (CK-NAC) concentration in blood, 5 μl of serum was mixed with a reagent kit: imidazole (100 mmol/L), creatine kinase (30 mmol/L), ADP (2 mmol/L), glucose (20 mmol/L), NADP (2 mmol/L), hexokinase (2.500 U/L), glucose 6P (2.000 U/L), Mg (10 mmol/L) and AMP (5 mmol/L). The CK-NAC absorbance (U/L=Δ absorbance/min x 3.333) was read at a 340 nm wavelength in the first, second, and third minutes after the reagent inclusion and sample were mixed.

The blood lactate concentration was analysed enzymatically\(^{28}\). Samples were shaken in a magnetic stirrer and centrifuged (3000 rpm), and 50 μl of supernatant was mixed with 250 μl of reagent for 1 h. Samples were read at 340 nm wavelength and expressed in mM.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using a statistical software package (Statistic 7.0, Statsoft, Tulsa, USA). Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Data normality and homogeneity were confirmed by Shapiro-Wilk’s test and Levene’s test, respectively. A comparison of LMT parameters and glycogen/CK content and the climbing-swimming pattern throughout the extent of the experiment was proceeded by two-way ANOVA (Te, LMT\(_{min}\), [Lac] at LMT\(_{min}\), R\(^2\), TT, glycogen content or serum CK – dependent; moment and group – factorials). The two-way ANOVA for repeated measures was used for a comparison of the climbing-swimming pattern prevalence during the extent of the experiment (climbing-swimming pattern prevalence – dependent; water adaptation sessions and group – factorials). A Scheffé post hoc test was used in every analysis. The factorial parameters in both analyses were considered as effect interaction. Confidence intervals (CI–95%)\(^{29}\) were calculated for SD with α=0.05 (σ/√n). Statistical significance was set at P<0.05.

Results

According to Figure 1, animals submitted to the water environment adaptation protocols presented a lower climbing-swimming pattern throughout the extent of the experiment, especially after the
5th session. Our results indicate that the lowering of the climbing-swimming pattern occurred regardless of the water environment adaptation protocol, since differences were only found for the session effect (p=0.000) (group – p=0.542; interaction – p=0.976).

Table 2. Time to exhaustion (Te), lactate minimum intensity (LMT_{int}), blood lactate concentration at lactate minimum intensity ([Lac] at LMT_{int}), R² from the 2nd order polynomial fit and success rate (SR) before and after the extent of the experiment for control (Co), sub anaerobic threshold (SubAnT) and supra anaerobic threshold (SupraAnT) groups.

|                    | Co        | SubAnT    | SupraAnT |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| **Before**         |           |           |           |
| Te (s)             | 58.5±11.1 | 72.2±13.6 | 62.5±14.4 |
| CI (α=0.05)        | 8.2 - 17.1| 10.0 - 21.0| 10.6 - 22.2 |
| LMT_{int} (%)      | 4.7±0.8   | 4.9±0.4   | 4.4±0.8   |
| CI (α=0.05)        | 0.5 - 1.2 | 0.3 - 0.7 | 0.5 - 1.2 |
| [Lac] at LMT_{int} (mM)| 7.5±1.7 | 7.7±0.8 | 7.1±1.5 |
| CI (α=0.05)        | 1.2 - 2.6 | 0.5 - 1.2 | 1.6 - 3.4 |
| R²                 | 0.69±0.45 | 0.92±0.12 | 0.99±0.01 |
| CI (α=0.05)        | 0.33 - 0.70 | 0.09 - 0.19 | 0.01 - 0.02 |
| SR (%)             | 12.5      | 66.6      | 50.0      |

CI – Upper and lower confidence limits for SD.

The LMT results are shown in Table 2. No interactions were found considering the Te (p= 0.361), LMT_{int} (p=0.847), [Lac] at LMT_{int} (p=0.560) and R² (p=0.665). While the SR was unaltered for the Co group after the experiment, improvements of 26.6% and 25% were obtained after the adaptation period for the SubAnT and SupraAnT groups, respectively. Animals submitted to the swimming adaptation protocols also presented higher TT when compared to the Co group (interaction – p=0.013; Co after x SubAnT after – p=0.000; Co after x SupraAnT after – p=0.014) (Figure 2).

Regarding the glycogen content, significant differences were only found in gluteus maximus (Figure 3a) (Co immediately x Bl – p=0.026; Co immediately x SupraAnT 24 h) and liver (Figure 3e) (SubAnT immediately x SupraAnT 24 h – p=0.028; SupraAnT immediately x SupraAnT 24 h – p=0.034). No differences were obtained for the serum CK (Figure 3f).

Discussion

Accepting our main hypothesis, rats submitted to 14 days of water environment adaptation with sub and supra threshold intensities presented a lowering climbing-swimming prevalence without physiological adaptations. Secondly, animals from both groups (i.e. SubAnT and SupraAnT) performed more incremental stages in the lactate minimum test, resulting in an increased lactate minimum success rate after the water environment adaptation period.
Figure 3. Muscle/hepatic glycogen content and serum creatine kinase (CK) comparison between baseline (Bl), control (Co), sub anaerobic threshold (SubAnT) and supra anaerobic threshold (SupraAnT) groups.

#Indicate difference from Co group; *Indicate difference from SupraAnT after-24hrs.
Although reports have shown that luminosity, time of day and water temperature have an effect on swimming rats\(^2,3,4,5\), we presented novel data regarding the water environment adaptation period influencing the climbing-swimming pattern prevalence. The fact that studies have not been concerned with the proper water environment adaptation raises questions about its importance on experimental procedures involving swimming rats. Why do rodents that are not adapted to the swimming exercise present a high prevalence of a climbing pattern? Is this swimming pattern related to the learning process and stressful factors? Dawson and Horvath\(^1\) suggest that the climbing-swimming pattern is a reflection of a stress condition resulting from swimming inexperience of rodents. Furthermore, literature has demonstrated that stress modulates brain and cognitive functions\(^8\), as well as a decline in the learning process via corticosteroid effects on the hippocampus\(^31\). Therefore, since the stress condition of inexperienced swimming rats is evidenced by the prevalence of the climbing-swimming pattern, our suggestion is that water environment adaptation period is relevant for decreasing stress, improving the swimming learning process, and therefore, reducing the climbing pattern.

Despite the lowering climbing-swimming pattern relevance’s, how does the decreased prevalence influence the LMT success rate? This relationship can be attributed to some factors. The lower stressful responses presented during the swimming exercise (i.e. lower climbing-swimming prevalence) was important for a rodent not to spend unnecessary energy\(^13,14\). As a consequence, more incremental stages could be performed, which are directly related with the LMT success rate. Studies showed the zero gradient from the 2nd polynomial fit is more reliable when more incremental stages are performed\(^12,13\). Our evidence strengthens these statements, since low TT (Figure 2) along with the unaltered success rate (12.5 %) (Table 2) were present in the Co group after the adaptation period. Once the success rate is important in terms of the LMT parameters’ estimation reliability (e.g. LMT\(_{\text{int}}\) and Te), the lower climbing-swimming prevalence directly impacts the LMT. This is supported by improvements of 26.6% and 25% in the LMT success rate presented by animals from the Sub\(_{\text{anT}}\) and Supra\(_{\text{anT}}\) along with the decreased climbing-swimming prevalence (Figure 1).

One important and undesired factor throughout the water environment adaptation period is physiological condition improvements. As previously mentioned, whether these improvements were achieved, then training effects (for instance) can be confounded by the stimuli to which animals were already exposed. It is a fact that the swimming rats could be altered the physiological parameters according to the specific stimuli imposed. Conversely, our evidence demonstrated that the different aerobic (Sub\(_{\text{anT}}\)) and anaerobic (Supra\(_{\text{anT}}\)) stimuli did not improve the rat’s physiological condition in a specific manner. This statement is supported by the unaltered aerobic (LMT\(_{\text{int}}\) and [Lac] at LMT\(_{\text{int}}\)) and anaerobic (Te) parameters in both groups after the water environment adaptation period.

Along with the unaltered aerobic and anaerobic parameters, no improvements were achieved regarding the muscular/hepatic glycogen content and serum CK. The lower glycogen content in gluteus maximus and liver immediately after the LMT (Figure 3a and 3e) can be attributed to the significant participation of these muscles during the test. The gluteus maximus is excessively required for swimming rats\(^34\), and its necessity for providing energy without oxygen may result in lower content immediately after the LMT. As the liver regulates the serum glucose levels, it is possible to suggest that the LMT required glucose from this organ to supply muscles involved in the swimming exercise, especially during the hyperlactatemia induction and the latter incremental stages. On the other hand, these differences were only found in acute manner. No chronic super-compensation of glycogen content resulted from the water environment adaptation period, since baseline results were not different from glycogen content after 24 h of the LMT.

All of these results lead us to ask our main question again. Is the water environment adaptation period really necessary? Our results demonstrated that along with the decreased prevalence of the climbing pattern, rodents submitted to the swimming adaption also performed more stages in the lactate minimum test, resulting in a high success rate; furthermore, all of these improvements took place without physiological adaptations. Our data contribute to the knowledge regarding what must be considered to avoid dubious results from experiments involving swimming rats. Subsequent studies are encouraged to use the water environment adaptation protocols proposed in this paper, since demonstrated its importance. In addition, we suggest that future studies may chose the water environment adaptation protocol based on the characteristics of the main experiment. For instance, training interventions composed of low intensity and volume can use the Sub\(_{\text{anT}}\) protocol from the main experiment, since rats will be already exposed to similar stimuli.

The improvements that were similar in both groups reinforce the application of individualized intensities based on the anaerobic threshold during the water environment adaptation period. Future investigations can compare the motor behavior and physiological condition of rodents submitted to individualized and non-individualized intensities during the water environment adaptation period. Lastly, since the Figure 1 demonstrated that the prevalence of the climbing-swimming pattern decreased mainly over the first five days, subsequent studies can investigate whether fewer water environment adaptation days provide the same results as the period analyzed in the present study. This perspective may save time for studies that will use or evaluate swimming rats.

**Conclusion**

We provided novel data regarding the effects of 14 water environment adaptation days on motor behavior and the physiological condition of swimming rats. Our results demonstrated that the application of individualized intensities during the adaptation period were effective in decreasing the prevalence of climbing-swimming without physiological improvements.
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