Quantum interference tuning of spin-orbit coupling in twisted van der Waals trilayers
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We show that in van der Waals stacks of twisted hexagonal layers the proximity induced Rashba spin-orbit coupling can be affected by quantum interference. We calculate the quantum phase responsible for this effect in graphene–transition metal dichalcogenide bilayers as a function of interlayer twist angle. We show how this quantum phase affects the spin polarization of the graphene bands and discuss its potential effect on spin-to-charge conversion measurements. In twisted trilayers symmetries can be broken as well as restored for certain twist angles. This can be used to deduce the effects of induced spin-orbit coupling on spin-lifetime anisotropy and magnetococonductance measurements.
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Multilayer stacks of two-dimensional (2D) materials, commonly referred to as van der Waals (vdW) heterostructures, have become an important platform for exploring a wide range of exciting phenomena, because they offer electronic tunability and a large parameter space. Initially, bilayer structures served as a platform to study, e.g., the effects of a more potential in graphene/hBN [1–4], the induced spin-orbit coupling (SOC) in graphene/transition metal dichalcogenide (TMDC) heterostructures [5–7], or strong electronic correlations in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene (MATG) [8,9]. A natural next step is to add another layer, which introduces a second twist angle and/or a further layer of different properties and this can enhance the parameter space to tune the properties of the system in several ways. An exciting opportunity is to use MATG and induce SOC into the flat bands by adding a TMDC layer to the stack [10,11]. Further examples include the recent proposal of an engineered topological phase in WSe2/bilayer graphene (BLG)/WSe2 system [12], the external gate tunability of SOC through changing layer polarization in TMDC/BLG structures [13–16], and imprinting double moiré potential on graphene [17–19]. More generally, vdW multilayers can serve as a quantum simulator platform for strongly correlated physics and topological materials [20].

The proximity induced SOC plays a pivotal role in many of the above proposals. A number of recent experimental works based on weak antilocalization (WAL) [5–7,21–25], spin-lifetime anisotropy measurements [26–29], spin-Hall [30–33], and Rashba-Edelstein effect [32,34–36] proved that SOC is strongly enhanced in graphene/TMDC heterostructures, which also motivated theoretical work to understand these measurements [5,37–44]. Recently, Refs. [45–48] have also discussed the interlayer twist angle dependence of the induced SOC in graphene/TMDC. In particular, it has been noted [46,47] that the most general form of the induced Rashba-SOC in twisted graphene/TMDC heterostructures that obeys time reversal $T$ and threefold rotation $C_3$ symmetries can be written as

$$H_R = \frac{\lambda_R}{2} e^{i\frac{\vartheta}{2}} \sigma_z (\tau_x \sigma_x \psi_y - \sigma_y \psi_x) e^{-i\frac{\vartheta}{2} \sigma_z},$$

(1)

where $s_{x,y,z}$ ($\sigma_{x,y,z}$) are Pauli matrices acting in the spin (sublattice) space, and $\tau_x$ is a Pauli matrix acting on the valley degree of freedom of graphene. Both $\vartheta_R$ and $\lambda_R$ are functions of the interlayer twist angle $\vartheta$, which we do not show explicitly in order to ease the notations. $H_R$ differs from the usual Rashba SOC term [49–51] $H_R = \frac{\lambda_R}{2} \tau_z (\sigma_x \psi_y - \sigma_y \psi_x)$ by a rotation of angle $\vartheta_R$ in spin-space. The terms containing $\vartheta_R$ appear because for a general interlayer twist angle the symmetry of the heterostructure is lowered from $C_{3v}$ to $C_3$. Thus, Eq. (1) is valid not only for graphene/TMDC heterostructures, but for a wide range of twisted heterostructures consisting of hexagonal layers, such as heterostructures of graphene with semiconductor [52,53], ferromagnetic [54], and topological insulator [55,56] structures. This general nature of the effect is one of the main motivations for our work. However, the physical significance of this spin-space rotation was not previously appreciated and the relation of $\vartheta_R$ to the interlayer twist angle $\vartheta$ has not been discussed. As we will show, using graphene/TMDC twisted bilayers as an example, $\vartheta_R$ can take finite values and it leads to quantum interference affecting the induced Rashba type SOC in twisted trilayers. While it has been known that $\lambda_R$ is tunable, e.g., by pressure [57] in...
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proximity structures, to our knowledge the possibility that quantum interference can affect its value has not been considered before.

**Twisted bilayers.** In order to obtain the angle $\vartheta_R$, we use the methodology recently developed to calculate the coupling strength $\lambda_R$ for graphene/TMDC bilayers [47]. $\vartheta_R$ is a quantum phase which depends on the interlayer tunneling between the Bloch states of graphene and the TMDC layer and on certain off-diagonal matrix elements of the intrinsic SOC of the TMDC, for details we refer to Ref. [58]. One finds that $\lambda_R$ and $\vartheta_R$ are the absolute value and the phase of the complex Rashba coefficient $\hat{\lambda}_R = \lambda_R e^{i\vartheta_R}$, which is given by the sum of the contributions from pairs of even ($e$) and odd ($o$) bands of the TMDC: $\lambda_R = \sum_q \lambda_{R,e(o)} e^{i(\vartheta_{e(o)} - q)} = \sum_q \lambda_{R,e} e^{i\vartheta_R}$. Here $\lambda_{R,e}$ and $\vartheta_{e(o)}$ are the magnitude and the phase of the contributions of the pairs of bands. In the calculations of $\lambda_{R,e}$ and $\vartheta_{e(o)}$ we have used the tight-binding model of Ref. [59]. For the initial steps of the calculations it is convenient to assume that the graphene layer is rotated with respect to the TMDC layer [47]. In the final steps we change the representation of the Hamiltonian from the coordinate system fixed to the TMDC layer to the system fixed to the graphene layer with a transformation $e^{-i\varpi_z} e^{i\frac{\vartheta}{2}} H_{\text{Gr}} e^{i\varpi_z} e^{-i\frac{\vartheta}{2}}$, see Fig. 1(a). From the explicit form of the transformed Rashba Hamiltonian one finds that the nonzero matrix elements are $\propto \lambda_R e^{i(\vartheta_{\text{Gr}} + \frac{\vartheta}{2})}$, i.e., the sum of the geometric angle $\vartheta$ and the quantum phase $\vartheta_R$ plays an important role.

Regarding our numerical calculations, the first ingredient is the tight-binding (TB) Hamiltonian of Ref. [59] for TMDCs. This TB model itself is derived from density functional theory (DFT) calculations and we use it, among others, to calculate matrix elements of the spin-orbit coupling Hamiltonian and the interlayer tunneling amplitude. The results also depend on (i) the position of the Dirac point of graphene within the band gap $E_g$ of the TMDC, and (ii) on the value of $E_g$. Numerical DFT calculations are known to often underestimate $E_g$ and they seem to give different results from experiments [60,61] for the energy alignment of graphene’s Dirac point with the TMDC bands. We performed our calculations for two parameter sets to assess how sensitive are the results on the choice of these material parameters. Since Ref. [59] does not provide information on the band alignment of graphene and the TMDC layer, we use the DFT calculations of Ref. [37] for this purpose. The second parameter set for $E_g$ and the band alignment is extracted from ARPES measurements [60,61]. Since the coupling between the layers is weak at the Dirac point of graphene, the band alignment should mainly depend on the work function difference between the two materials. Therefore, we assume that it does not depend on the interlayer twist angle. This is in agreement with the recent computational work of Ref. [62].

The results for the $\vartheta_R + \vartheta$ vs $\vartheta$ dependence for two selected TMDCs, MoS$_2$ and WSe$_2$, are shown in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c), respectively. In the case of MoS$_2$, using the DFT parameter set, one can see that $\vartheta_R + \vartheta$ remains in a limited range around $\pi$ as $\vartheta$ varies from 0 to $\pi/3$ [Fig. 1(b)]. However, if parameters extracted from ARPES measurements [60] are used, then $\vartheta_R + \vartheta$ covers the entire range $[0, 2\pi]$. For WSe$_2$ one finds that $\vartheta_R + \vartheta$ covers all of $[0, 2\pi]$ [Fig. 1(c)] and the results obtained from the two parameter sets qualitatively agree. The difference between the results for the two materials can be mainly traced back to the different energy alignment of the Dirac point in the TMDC band gap. One can also note in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c) that for $\vartheta = \pi/6$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$ one
finds $\theta_R + \theta = n\pi$, where $n$ is an integer. We give a detailed discussion of how this result for $\theta_R + \theta$ follows from our theoretical method in Ref. [58], but already note at this point that for $\theta = 1\pi/6$ the vertical mirror planes of the graphene and the TMDC lattice line up and the system, as a whole, has $C_{3v}$ symmetry. In this case the Hamiltonian of the induced Rashba SOC reads $H_R = (-1)^{n+1} 2^{\sigma_3} (\tau_z \sigma_3 - \sigma_z \tau_z)$, hence $H_R$ simplifies to the form used previously in the literature [37,39]. We find that $n$ can be, in general, both odd and even [see Figs. 1(b) and 1(c)], which means that $\lambda_R(\theta)$ can acquire a negative sign as $\theta$ is changed. Interestingly, when $\theta_R + \theta \neq n\pi$, the spin-orbit field $s(\mathbf{q}) = (\hat{x}_R, \hat{y}_R, \hat{z}_R)^T$ is not tangential to the Fermi surface as in the case of usual Rashba SOC [cf. Figs. 1(e)–1(g)]. Instead, one can show that the in-plane component $\langle\hat{z}_R, \hat{y}_R, \hat{z}_R\rangle$ is rotated by an angle $\theta_R$ with respect to the tangential direction. As we will discuss, this might have consequences on the interpretation of experimental results.

Twisted TMDC/graphene/TMDC trilayers. Adding another TMDC layer, as shown in Fig. 1(d), introduces a second interlayer twist angle and the two twist angles $\theta_R^{(b)}$ and $\theta_R^{(t)}$ for the bottom and top TMDC layers allow an even broader control of the induced SOC in graphene.

Since the layers are only weakly coupled, the effective graphene Hamiltonian is $H_{\text{orb}} + H_{\text{soc}}^{(t)} + H_{\text{soc}}^{(b)}$, where $H_{\text{soc}}^{(t)} = H_{\text{Z}}^{(t)} + H_{\text{R}}^{(t)}$ and $H_{\text{soc}}^{(b)} = H_{\text{Z}}^{(b)} + H_{\text{R}}^{(b)}$. Here $H_{\text{Z}}^{(\ell)} = \lambda_{\text{Z}^{(\ell)}} \hat{\tau}_z \hat{\tau}_{\ell}$ is the Hamiltonian of the induced valley Zeeman SOC in the graphene layer. Note that the contributions $H_{\text{R}}^{(b)}$ and $H_{\text{R}}^{(t)}$ have a different sign. As a simple physical explanation, consider the case when the two TMDC layers are perfectly aligned, e.g., $\theta_R^{(b)} = \theta_R^{(t)}$. Then the graphene layer is a horizontal mirror plane of the whole stack, which dictates that the Rashba SOC must vanish. (A more microscopic argument is given in Ref. [58].) One can define the complex Rashba coefficient for the trilayer system (tls) by

$$\zeta_{\text{R}}^{\text{(tls)}} = \zeta_R^{(b)} e^{i(\theta_R^{(b)}+\theta_R^{(t)})} - \zeta_R^{(t)} e^{i(\theta_R^{(b)}+\theta_R^{(t)})},$$

and its magnitude $\lambda_{\text{R}}^{\text{(tls)}} = |\zeta_{\text{R}}^{\text{(tls)}}|$ and phase $\theta_{\text{R}}^{\text{(tls)}} = \text{Arg}(\zeta_{\text{R}}^{\text{(tls)}})$. In terms of these quantities the induced Rashba type SOC can be written as $H_{\text{R}}^{\text{(tls)}} = \zeta_{\text{R}}^{\text{(tls)}} e^{i(\theta_R^{(b)}+\theta_R^{(t)})} (\hat{\tau}_z \hat{\sigma}_3 - \hat{\sigma}_3 \hat{\tau}_z) e^{-i\theta_R^{(b)}}$. The importance of the phase $e^{i(\theta_R^{(b)}+\theta_R^{(t)})}$ discussed for bilayers becomes now more clear: it follows from Eq. (2) that the strength $\lambda_{\text{R}}^{\text{(tls)}}$ of the induced Rashba SOC in trilayer stacks can be affected by quantum interference effects if $\theta_R^{(b)} + \theta_R^{(t)}$ and/or $\theta_R^{(b)} + \theta_R^{(t)}$ are nonzero. This can be interpreted as an interference of the virtual hopping processes to the two TMDC layers that give rise to the induced Rashba SOC. Calculations for the twist angle dependence of $\lambda_{\text{R}}^{\text{(tls)}}$ have already been performed in Refs. [46,47], therefore we do not show these results here, see Ref. [58] for further details. The results of our numerical calculations for $H_{\text{R}}^{\text{(tls)}}$ are summarized in Figs. 2(a)–2(d). First, symmetries that are broken in bilayers can be restored in trilayers for certain $\theta_R^{(b)}$ and $\theta_R^{(t)}$ angles. If $|\theta_R^{(b)} - \theta_R^{(t)}| = 1\pi/3$, where $l$ is an odd integer, the trilayer stack is inversion symmetric. On the other hand, for an even $l$ the stack has a horizontal mirror plane. Therefore the induced Rashba SOC must vanish for any integer $l$, as it can be seen in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). For WSe$_2$ encapsulation [Fig. 2(a)] the maximum of $\zeta_{\text{R}}^{(b)}$ is found for $|\theta_R^{(b)} - \theta_R^{(t)}| = (2l + 1)\pi/6$. The maximum value of $\lambda_{\text{R}}^{\text{(tls)}}$ is around 70% larger than in the graphene/WSe$_2$ case. Thus, double-sided encapsulation can significantly enhance the induced Rashba SOC. Surprisingly, when using MoS$_2$ for double encapsulation [Fig. 2(b)], we find that $\zeta_{\text{R}}^{(b)}$ cannot be enhanced above the value obtained for one sided proximity effect. This can be understood by considering the explicit dependence of $\lambda_{\text{R}}^{(b)}$ and $\theta_R^{(b)}$ on $\theta_R^{(b)}$, see Ref. [58] for details. The origin of the extended regions where $\lambda_{\text{R}}^{(b)}$ is very small is due to the fact that for those twist angles $\lambda_{\text{R}}$ and $\theta_R$ change slowly in both layers and therefore they approximately cancel in Eq. (2). In Figs. 2(c) and 2(d) we show the phase $\theta_{\text{R}}^{(t)}$ of Eq. (2), which determines the winding of the SOC field $s(\mathbf{q})$ in the case of double encapsulation. The apparent diagonal lines correspond to $\theta_{\text{R}}^{(t)} = 0$ where $\theta_{\text{R}}^{(t)}$ is not defined.

For completeness, we also discuss the twist angle dependence of the induced valley Zeeman SOC in TMDC/graphene/TMDC trilayers, see Figs. 2(e) and 2(f). The strength of the valley Zeeman type SOC is simply given by $\lambda_{\text{Z}}^{(\ell)} = \lambda_{\text{Z}}^{(b)}(\theta_R^{(b)}) + \lambda_{\text{Z}}^{(t)}(\theta_R^{(t)})$. If the two TMDC layers are (nearly) aligned, they can double the strength of the induced valley Zeeman SOC. When the whole stack has inversion symmetry, the effect of the two layers cancel and $\lambda_{\text{Z}}^{(\ell)} = 0$. The valley Zeeman SOC also vanishes along the lines $\theta_R^{(b)} + \theta_R^{(t)} = \pi/3$, $\pi$, this is a combined effect of time reversal and threefold rotation symmetry of the TMDC layers. We also

![FIG. 2.](a) and (b) $\lambda_{\text{R}}^{(b)}$ for MoS$_2$ and WSe$_2$ two-sided encapsulation. (c) and (d) The angle $\theta_{\text{R}}^{(b)}$ for MoS$_2$ and WSe$_2$ two-sided encapsulation. (e) and (f) $\lambda_{\text{Z}}^{(b)}$ for MoS$_2$ and WSe$_2$ two-sided encapsulation. We used parameters from DFT band structure calculations, see [63].)
measured an anisotropy of the out-of-plane $\tau$ and $\theta$ in hBN, then the ratio of the spin lifetimes is given by $\frac{\tau_{npn}}{\tau_{WSe2}}$ are nearly aligned. Moiré effects may become important when the TMDC layers are at a low angle. Based on previous work of Refs. [64,65], the situation might be different in TMDC bilayers. For example, the main results of this work.

The calculations shown in Fig. 2, together with Eq. (2), are the main results of this work.

In the preceding discussions of graphene/TMDC and TMDC/graphene/TMDC heterostructures, we have neglected a possible lateral shift of the graphene layer with respect to the TMDCs and moiré effects. Regarding the lateral shift, it does not affect our results [58]. Considering the moiré effects, in graphene/TMDC bilayers they are present only at energy scales larger than 2 eV [58,60], i.e., they are negligible for energies close to the Dirac point of graphene. The situation might be different in TMDC/graphene/TMDC trilayers. Based on previous work of Refs. [64,65] on hBN/graphene/hBN trilayers, one may expect that supermoiré effects may become important when the TMDC layers are nearly aligned: $0 < \langle \theta^{(i)} - \theta^{(b)} \rangle \lesssim 15^\circ$ (see Ref. [58] for further details). The discussion of supermoiré is beyond the scope of the present work.

Experimental predictions. Several recent works [26,28,29] measured an anisotropy of the out-of-plane $\tau_L$ and in-plane $\tau_\parallel$ spin lifetimes in graphene/TMDC heterostructures which can be interpreted as direct proof of induced SOC in graphene. Namely, according to the theoretical calculations of Ref. [39], if there is a strong intervalley scattering in graphene, then the ratio of the spin lifetimes is given by $\frac{\tau_L}{\tau_\parallel} = (\lambda_{12}/\lambda_R)^2$, where $\tau_{12}$ is the intervalley (momentum) scattering time. (For ultraclean samples with SOC comparable to or larger than the disorder-induced quasiparticle broadening, a qualitatively different spin relaxation anisotropy is derived in Ref. [66].) Let us consider a WSe$_2$/graphene/WSe$_2$ heterostructure and assume that $\theta^{(b)}$ is kept fixed while $\theta^{(i)}$ is changed. Note that the ratio $\lambda_{\perp2}/\lambda_{\parallel}$ can be tuned in a wider range in trilayer structures than in bilayers. For example, $\lambda_{\parallel}$ is never zero for graphene/TMDC, whereas one can choose $\theta^{(b)}$ and $\theta^{(i)}$ such that $\lambda^{(b)}/\lambda^{(i)} \neq 0$ and $\lambda^{(b)}/\lambda^{(i)} = 0$, see Figs. 2(a) and 2(e). Using $\tau_L/\tau_\parallel \approx 5$ as in Ref. [39], we plot $\tau_L/\tau_\parallel$ as a function of $\theta^{(i)}$ for $\theta^{(b)} = 0$ and $\theta^{(b)} = 30^\circ$ in Fig. 3(a). When $\theta^{(b)} = 0$ and $\theta^{(i)} \lesssim 15^\circ$, then $\lambda^{(b)}$ becomes small but $\lambda^{(i)}$ is finite, therefore $\tau_L/\tau_\parallel$ strongly increases as a function of $\theta^{(i)}$. This enhancement happens before supermoiré effects might become important for $\theta^{(i)} \lesssim 15^\circ$. In contrast, if $\theta^{(b)} = 30^\circ$, then $\tau_L/\tau_\parallel$ remains finite for all $\theta^{(i)}$ angles. This dramatic difference in the $\theta^{(i)}$ dependence of $\tau_L/\tau_\parallel$ is clearly a consequence of the wider tunability of $\lambda^{(b)}/\lambda^{(i)}$ in trilayers.

One can expect that charge-to-spin conversion measurements should also be affected by the interlayer twist. Let us consider a graphene/TMDC bilayer and for simplicity assume that (i) $\lambda_{\perp2} \ll \lambda_R$ and (ii) a DC electric field is applied along the $\hat{x}$ direction. In steady state the $\hat{y}$ component of the spin density is given by $\langle S_y \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2 q \delta_{S_y(q)} \delta_{f_q}$ where $\delta_{f_q}$ is the deviation of the quasiparticle distribution with respect to equilibrium. Using the semiclassical argument given in Ref. [41], for Fermi energies close to the Dirac point $E_D$ such that $|E_F - E_D| < \lambda_R(\theta)$, i.e., when there is a single Fermi sur-

FIG. 3. (a) Calculated spin lifetime anisotropy in a TMDC/graphene/TMDC heterostructure as a function of $\theta^{(i)}$ for $\theta^{(b)} = 0^\circ$ (blue) and $\theta^{(b)} = 30^\circ$ (green). (b) White color indicates the regions in the $(\theta^{(i)}, \theta^{(b)})$ space where the REE is expected to vanish for a WSe$_2$/graphene/WSe$_2$ trilayer. In the calculations for (a) and (b) we used DFT parameters [63]. (c) Conductance $G$ (in units of $2e^2/h$) through an $n\overline{p}n$ junction as a function of magnetic field $B_z$ and Fermi energy $E_F$ for $\lambda_{\parallel} = 2.6$ meV. (d) Cross section of (c) at fixed $B_z = 0.436$ T. $\lambda_{\perp2} = 0$ meV (blue) and $\lambda_{\parallel} = 2.6$ meV (orange).
face, one finds that \( \langle S_y \rangle \propto \cos(\theta_R + \theta) \). This suggests that the Rashba-Edelstein effect (REE) may vanish when \( \theta_R + \theta = (2l + 1)\pi/2 \) even though \( \lambda_R(\theta) \) is not required to be zero by symmetry for any \( \theta \). \( \langle S_y \rangle \) can also change sign as a function of the interlayer twist angle, because \( \theta_R + \theta \in [0, 2\pi) \), see Figs. 1(b) and 1(c). Regarding the more realistic situation when there are two spin-polarized Fermi surfaces in graphene for \( |E_F - E_\parallel| > \lambda_R \), looking at Fig. 1(g) one can understand that \( \langle S_y \rangle \) can be zero as a function of twist angle in this case as well. Furthermore, in twisted TMDC/graphene/TMDC trilayers, the REE can vanish either because \( \lambda_R^{(tls)} = 0 \) or because \( \theta^{(tls)} = (2l + 1)\pi/2 \), which can be expressed compactly as \( \text{Re}(\lambda_R^{(tls)}) = 0 \). As an example, the region where this condition is fulfilled in the \( \theta^{(tls)} \)-space for WSe\(_2\)/graphene/WSe\(_2\) trilayers is shown in Fig. 3(b).

While the spin-lifetime anisotropy measurements require diffusive samples, in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d) we show an example of how strong SOC can affect ballistic transport properties. Highly transparent \( np \) and \( npn \) junctions in graphene have recently been realized in several experiments [67–71] and Fabry-Perrot type interference measurements [71]. Assume now that a TMDC/graphene/TMDC trilayer is tuned with interlayer twist angles such that the Rashba SOC is switched off and simultaneously the valley Zeeman SOC enhanced. We calculate the conductance [58] through a smooth graphene \( npn \) junction [72] as a function of out-of-plane magnetic field \( B_z \) and Fermi energy \( E_F \) for \( \lambda_{\perp} = 2.6 \text{ meV} \), see Fig. 3(c). One can observe that for \( |B_z| > 0.3 \text{ T} \) the high-conductance ridges are split. This is apparent in Fig. 3(d), where we compare the cases when \( \lambda_{\parallel} = 0 \) (blue) and \( \lambda_{\parallel} = 2.6 \text{ meV} \) (orange) for a fixed magnetic field \( B_z = 0.436 \text{ T} \). Our calculations also indicate that the Rashba type SOC does not have a similar effect on the conductance ridges (not shown). An additional experimental probe of the twist angle dependent SOC may be the measurement of the reflection of electrons at a planar junction, which is briefly discussed in Ref. [58].

**Summary.** We found that the induced Rashba type SOC in twisted hexagonal bilayers can be parametrized by the strength \( \lambda_R \) and a spin-rotation angle \( \theta_R \). This latter can lead to interference effects for \( \lambda_R \) in trilayer heterostructures. We also calculated the valley Zeeman SOC in twisted TMDC/graphene/TMDC trilayers. Finally, we discussed how the interlayer twist angle dependence of the induced SOC can be deduced from spin-lifetime anisotropy, charge-to-spin conversion, and magnetotransport measurements.

In this work we have neglected possible lattice relaxation in vdW heterostructures [73]. An important future direction would be to study its effect on the results presented here.

**Note added.** During the preparation of this manuscript we have become aware of Ref. [62], where the authors discuss the twist angle dependence of the induced SOC in graphene/TMDC structures using DFT calculations.
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