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Quick change: post-transcriptional regulation in Pseudomonas
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One sentence summary: Pseudomonas species have evolved dynamic and intricate regulatory networks to quickly fine-tune gene expression in response to environmental stimuli.
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ABSTRACT

Pseudomonas species have evolved dynamic and intricate regulatory networks to fine-tune gene expression, with complex regulation occurring at every stage in the processing of genetic information. This approach enables Pseudomonas to generate precise individual responses to the environment in order to improve their fitness and resource economy. The weak correlations we observe between RNA and protein abundance highlight the significant regulatory contribution of a series of intersecting post-transcriptional pathways, influencing mRNA stability, translational activity and ribosome function, to Pseudomonas environmental responses. This review examines our current understanding of three major post-transcriptional regulatory systems in Pseudomonas spp.; Gac/Rsm, Hfq and RimK, and presents an overview of new research frontiers, emerging genome-wide methodologies, and their potential for the study of global regulatory responses in Pseudomonas.
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POST-TRANSCRIPTIONAL REGULATORY MECHANISMS

One of the most well-understood pathways responsible for integrating external stimuli into post-transcriptional control in Pseudomonas is the Gac/Rsm signalling pathway (Coggan and Wolfgang 2012). Gac/Rsm is a widespread system that controls biofilm formation, virulence, motility and external stress responses in many different bacterial species (Brencic and Lory 2009; Chambers and Sauer 2013), and represents a major determinant of the switch between chronic and acute lifestyles in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. While many of the core network components and their functions in the signalling cascade have been described in detail (Brencic et al. 2009; Goodman et al. 2009) (Fig. 1), in recent years Gac/Rsm has also been shown to regulate several downstream signalling pathways including transcriptional regulators, quorum sensing and the second messenger cyclic-di-GMP (Brencic and Lory 2009; Chambers and Sauer 2013), markedly increasing the complexity of the system.

At the heart of the Gac/Rsm pathway are the small RNA molecules RsmY and RsmZ. The abundance of these sRNAs ultimately dictates the output of the Gac/Rsm system, and as such their transcription is subject to tight and complex regulation by the Gac/S two-component signalling system. GacS is a transmembrane histidine protein kinase (HPK), and activates its cognate response regulator GacA by phosphotransfer (Goodman et al. 2009). Upon phosphorylation, GacA promotes transcription of RsmY/Z (Brencic et al. 2009), which contain multiple GGA trinucleotides in exposed stem-loops of their predicted secondary
Figure 1. The Gac/Rsm regulatory network in *P. aeruginosa*. An integrated response from multiple membrane-bound histidine kinases controls the activity of the response regulator GacA, which in turn controls expression of the RsmZ/Y sRNAs. These sRNA molecules inhibit the translational regulatory proteins RsmA and RsmE (red and green circles), leading to altered translation of their target mRNAs. Other proteins that influence Gac/Rsm function include the phosphotransfer protein HptB and the Lon protease complex.

structures (Schubert et al. 2007; Lapouge et al. 2013). RsmA and the related protein RsmE (Reimmann et al. 2005) are small (7 kDa) proteins that specifically recognise and bind to conserved GGA sequences in the 5′ leader regions of target mRNAs. RsmA/RsmE binding affects mRNA stability, and/or prevents interactions between the 30S ribosomal subunit and the ribosomal binding site, thus inhibiting translation initiation (Heurlier et al. 2004; Reimmann et al. 2005). RsmA/E activity is in turn inhibited by RsmY/Z, which titrate RsmA/E away from the 5′ mRNA leader sequences in their target mRNAs (Heurlier et al. 2004) (Fig. 1). The relationship between *Pseudomonas fluorescens* RsmE and RsmZ has recently been defined at the molecular level, with RsmE protein dimers assembling sequentially onto the RsmZ sRNA within a narrow affinity range (100–200 nM $K_d$ in *P. fluorescens*), and showing positive binding cooperativity (Duss et al. 2014). The GacAS system is itself controlled by three additional HPK hybrid proteins: RetS, PA1611 and LadS (Ventre et al. 2006; Kong et al. 2013) (Fig. 1). These HPKs are present in most pseudomonads, although the regulatory network can vary between individual species (Chatterjee et al. 2003; Wei et al. 2013). In *P. aeruginosa*, RetS functions as an antagonist of GacS, and suppresses RsmZ/Y levels (Goodman et al. 2004). However, rather than operating via a conventional HPK phosphotransfer mechanism, RetS binds to and inhibits GacS, blocking its autophosphorylation and preventing the downstream phosphorylation of GacA (Goodman et al. 2009). Conversely, PA1611 interacts directly with RetS in *P. aeruginosa*, thus enabling the activation of GacS (Kong et al. 2013; Bhagirath et al. 2017). LadS positively controls *rsmY/Z* expression through a phosphorelay resulting in phosphotransfer to the Histidine phosphotransfer (HPT) domain of GacS (Chambonier et al. 2016). In *P. aeruginosa*, although interestingly not in other tested *Pseudomonas* species, LadS activation occurs following calcium binding to its periplasmic DISMED2 domain, which activates its kinase activity (Broder, Jaeger and Jenal 2016) (Fig. 1).

Several additional signalling proteins, sRNAs and other pathways are implicated in the control of Gac/Rsm (Chambers and Sauer 2013). For example, BswR, an XRE-type transcriptional regulator in *P. aeruginosa*, controls *rsmZ* transcription (Wang et al. 2014). The histidine phosphotransfer protein HptB indirectly controls *rsmY* expression under planktonic growth conditions. HptB is the phosphorylation target of four HPKs, including RetS, PA1611, PA1976 and SagS (Lin et al. 2006; Hsu et al. 2008). SagS also controls the Biofilm Initiation two-component system BfiSR, a key regulator of the initial stages of biofilm formation, and itself a repressor of *rsmZ* expression (Petrova and Sauer 2011). In addition to RsmY/RsmZ, other small RNAs can also influence RsmA/E function. In *P. aeruginosa*, the sRNA RsmW specifically binds to RsmA in vitro, restoring biofilm production and reducing swarming in an *rsmYZ* mutant. RsmW expression is elevated in late stationary versus logarithmic growth, and at higher temperatures...
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Figure 2. The Rim and Hfq regulatory networks in Pseudomonas spp. The RimK glutamate ligase sequentially adds glutamate residues to the C-terminus of ribosomal protein S6 (RpsF). RimK activity is tightly controlled through direct interaction with the second messenger cyclic-di-GMP (red circles). RimB and the cyclic-di-GMP phosphodiesterase RimA. RpsF glutamation affects ribosome function, which leads to altered Hfq abundance via an as-yet unidentified mechanism. Hfq is a pleiotropic regulator of mRNA/sRNA stability, mRNA translation and gene transcription. These processes are mediated through a diverse series of Hfq-RNA/DNA interactions.
2016) and P. aeruginosa (Sonnleitner et al. 2006), respectively, and implicate Hfq in the control of pathways including acetoin and metabolism, ABC and MFS transporters, quorum sensing, and siderophore and phenazine production. These global analytical methods promise to greatly increase our mechanistic understanding of post-transcriptional regulation by the well-studied Gac/Rsm and Hfq pathways, and are discussed in more detail in the final section of this review.

**NOVEL MECHANISMS OF TRANSLATIONAL REGULATION**

In addition to these well-studied pathways for post-transcriptional control, entirely new regulatory mechanisms are still being discovered. The specific alteration of ribosome function by post-translational modification of its associated proteins represents a significant, and to date largely unexplored, regulatory process (Little et al. 2016). Fifty-seven proteins have been identified in the bacterial ribosome, many of which are essential, and 34 of which are universally conserved (Bubunenko, Baker and Court 2007). Intriguingly, multiple ribosomal proteins are subject to post-translational regulation by acetylation, methylation, myristylation, and the removal or addition of C-terminal amino acid residues. While the purpose of such modifications is in most cases still unknown (Nesterchuk, Sergiev and Dontsova 2011), their existence strongly suggests that aspects of ribosomal behaviour may be subject to dynamic regulation through a process of ribosomal specialisation. It is tempting to posit that changes to the ribosome will result in corresponding changes to the cellular proteome as a consequence of altered ribosome–mRNA recognition, changes to translational efficiency, or other post-transcriptional mechanisms. Until relatively recently this has been difficult to test, as technological limitations coupled with a lack of searchable peptide sequence databases have rendered quantitative characterisation of cellular proteomes difficult, if not impossible. Advances in liquid chromatography-coupled mass analysis, sample labelling methods (Unwin 2010), and a critical mass of genome sequence data have revolutionised the field of proteomics. A recent study by our laboratory (Little et al. 2016) has exploited these advances to probe the consequences of a particular ribosomal modification, revealing unexpectedly large and specific alterations in the cellular proteome.

In this work, we examined the effects of post-translational modification of the ribosomal protein RpsF. RpsF is located in the central domain of the 30S ribosomal subunit, where it interacts with both the ribosomal RNA and the protein S18 (Agalarov et al. 2000). RpsF is modified by RimK, a member of the ATP-dependent ATP-Grasp superfamily, by the addition of glutamate residues at its C-terminus (Kang et al. 1989). This modification is associated with profound effects on the structure and function of the *Pseudomonas* ribosome. Quantitative Liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS) analysis of labelled peptides revealed that rimK deletion leads to significantly lower abundance of multiple ribosomal proteins, alongside increased stress response, amino acid transport and metal iron-scavenging pathways. No significant alterations were detected in the levels of rRNA, or the mRNAs of differentially translated proteins in the rimK mutant, suggesting that RpsF modification specifically changes ribosome function in some way, and this leads to altered proteome composition.

In the mutalistic plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria *P. fluorescens*, the rimK-encoding operon is highly upregulated during early stage colonisation of the rhizosphere, suggesting an important role for RimK function in this period (Little et al. 2016). This transcriptional regulation is reinforced by the tight control exerted on RimK protein activity, both transcriptionally and through interactions with the other components of the Rim operon (RimA, RimB) and the signalling molecule cyclic-di-GMP. RimA/B and cyclic-di-GMP interact directly with the RimK enzyme and substantially influence its ATPase and glutamate ligase activities, although the mechanistic details of the signalling network are currently poorly defined (Fig. 2) (Little et al. 2016). In any event, modification of RpsF correlates with a post-transcriptional output favouring a motile, virulent state. This fits with the observed increase in rimK expression seen during the early stages of plant root colonisation, when cells need to rapidly colonise the spatial environment of the rhizosphere. Conversely, lack of RpsF modification is associated with protein changes that prioritise long-term rhizosphere adaptation, such as surface attachment, resource acquisition and stress resistance. In addition to controlling phenotypes associated with colonisation and metabolic adaptation, RimK also plays an important role in the virulence of both human and plant pathogenic pseudomonads (Little et al. 2016).

A number of unanswered questions remain relating to the regulation and mechanism of action of the Rim pathway. Firstly, we do not yet fully understand how exactly RimK is controlled. How does the external environment influence RimK activity? What is the role of the widespread signalling molecule cyclic-di-GMP in RimK regulation? Related to this, how does control of RimK link into the wider network of post-transcriptional regulation in *Pseudomonas*? RsmA has a complex regulatory relationship with cyclic-di-GMP, both controlling its metabolism (Chambers and Sauer 2013) and subject to cyclic-di-GMP regulation itself (Moscoso et al. 2014). This raises the possibility that RsmA and RimK may form part of a single, integrated pathway under the ultimate control of cyclic-di-GMP. A second major research area concerns the mechanistic function of RimK. How does RimK ribosomal modification lead to altered proteome composition? Is this a consequence of altered translation, or mRNA recognition by the modified ribosomes, or possibly a combination of both? Many of the proteomic changes producing *ArimK* phenotypes could be rationalised by the observed reduction in levels of the RNA-binding post-transcriptional regulator Hfq (Little et al. 2016). Thus, it is important to determine the extent to which Rim tunes the proteome by controlling Hfq levels, and exactly how this control takes place.

The determination of RimK function highlights an intriguing new mechanism for post-transcriptional control that links changes in ribosome function, and hence proteome composition, to the dynamic, controlled modification of ribosomal proteins (Little et al. 2016). In turn, this finding raises major implications for studies of other ribosomal modifications, several of which may also represent novel post-translational regulatory systems. If this turns out to be the case, it will further transform our understanding of post-transcriptional regulation in bacteria. In the final section of this review, we will discuss some of the emerging genome-wide methodologies that are allowing researchers to examine new aspects of post-transcriptional regulation in bacteria, and may give us answers to the outstanding questions raised above.

**EMERGING GENOME-WIDE METHODOLOGIES FOR INVESTIGATING TRANSLATIONAL REGULATION**

While advances in quantitative proteomics enabled us to examine the impact of RimK on the *Pseudomonas* proteome, the
development of additional, novel technologies are expanding our ability to probe other important mechanisms of translational regulation to a finer resolution than has previously been possible (Fig. 3).

Translational regulation of gene expression is a ribonucleoprotein-driven process, which involves both non-coding RNAs and RNA binding proteins (RBPs). A large complement of non-coding RNAs affect gene expression by employing multiple distinct regulatory mechanisms, at the level of translation initiation by modulating ribosome recruitment, and/or at the level of transcript abundance by modulating transcript degradation (Barquist and Vogel 2015). Deciphering the sRNA–target interactome is an essential step toward understanding the roles of sRNA in the cellular network. However, computational identification of sRNA targets can be challenging. sRNA–mRNA hybridisation is frequently influenced by sRNA secondary structure, and base-paired regions between RNAs are generally short and can include multiple discontinuous stretches of sequence (Wang et al. 2015). To identify the regulatory targets of RyhB, one of the best-studied sRNAs in Escherichia coli, at the genome level Wang et al. established ribosome-profiling experiments (Ribo-seq) in bacteria (Fig. 3). Ribo-seq is a state-of-the-art technology that enables comprehensive and quantitative measurements of translation. Like many recent high-throughput techniques, it adapts an established technology to take advantage of the massively parallel measurements afforded by modern short-read sequencing. In the case of Ribo-seq, ribosomes bound to actively translated mRNAs are purified from cell lysates. Following digestion of the unprotected RNA fraction, the protected, ribosome-bound RNA is reverse transcribed to cDNA and sequenced. By identifying the precise positions of ribosomes on the transcript, ribosomal profiling experiments have unveiled key insights into the composition and regulation of the expressed proteome (Ingolia 2016). Ribo-seq is a powerful approach for the experimental identification of sRNA targets, and can reveal sRNA regulation both at the level of mRNA stability and at the translational level. However, while Ribo-seq can identify target mRNAs, it cannot reveal precise sites of sRNA:target hybridisation. Moving forward, sRNA target prediction algorithms could be combined with Ribo-seq datasets to facilitate guided target site identification, where predictions are focused on a subset of mRNAs rather than the whole transcriptome.

Many bacterial sRNAs are at least partially dependent on RBPs, such as the previously introduced RNA chaperone Hfq, for their function (Van Assche et al. 2015). Approaches combining in vivo crosslinking and RNA deep sequencing have been increasingly used to globally map the cellular RNA ligands and binding sites of RBPs in vivo (Holmqvist et al. 2016). Recent approaches include a UV crosslinking step, which offers several advantages over traditional co-immunoprecipitation (Zhang and Darnell 2011). These large-scale methods provide a global view of the RNA molecules bound to individual RBPs, although specific sRNA–target pairs can only be indirectly deduced by additional, sequence-dependent predictive schemes. To overcome this limitation, Melamed and colleagues (Melamed et al. 2016) developed a broadly applicable methodology termed RIL-seq (RNA interaction by ligation and sequencing, Fig. 3). RIL-seq incorporates an additional RNA ligation step into the workflow of a conventional RNA pull-down experiment to create sRNA-mRNA chimeric fragments, followed by advanced computational analysis of the resulting cDNA library to identify interacting RNA pairs from the dataset of protein interaction partners. Applied to the in vivo transcriptome-wide identification of interactions involving Hfq-associated sRNA, this technique enabled the discovery of dynamic changes in the Hfq-mediated sRNA interactome with changing cellular conditions (Melamed et al. 2016).

Integral features of individual mRNAs can also influence translation efficiency, and in many cases are directly involved in altering gene expression in response to changing cellular conditions or environmental stimuli (Meyer 2017). Specific motifs in the 5′ untranslated region (UTR) of certain mRNAs can regulate gene expression in response to temperature, metals and small metabolite ligands. Such structures, known as riboswitches regulate metabolism and virulence by altering mRNA secondary structure to block ribosome access or induce early transcription termination (Fang et al. 2016). In addition to this role, riboswitches are also involved in the regulation of non-coding RNA expression, representing a novel mechanism of signal integration in bacteria. In both cases, high-throughput point mutagenesis has enabled the identification of functional post-transcriptional regulatory elements. This method uses fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) to categorise cells containing a mutant library based on the gene of interest fused to green fluorescent protein (GFP). This enables researchers to associate all possible mutations (including

---

**Table 1: Translational Control Technologies**

| Technology             | Protocol                                                                 | Applications                                                                 |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ribo-seq               | Crosslink RNA to ribosomes, purify and degrade unprotected RNA. Reverse transcribe ribosome-protected RNA to cDNA and sequence. | Profiling sRNA effects on translation<br>Deciphering the sRNA-target interactome (alongside computational analyses) |
| High Throughput Point Mutagenesis | FACS sort cells containing library of target gene mutants fused to GFP. Amplify and sequence interesting targets. | Determine the effect of sRNA variants on protein expression<br>Examination of mRNA riboswitches |
| RIP-seq                | Immunoprecipitate target protein crosslinked to RNA interaction partners. Degradate unbound RNA. Reverse transcribe protected RNA to cDNA and sequence. | Capturing the sRNA-protein interaction network |
| RIL-seq                | As RIP-seq but with additional RNA ligation and computational analysis steps. | Identifying protein-mediated sRNA-mRNA interactions |

**Figure 3.** Emerging genome-wide methodologies. Overview of the new technologies developed to study mechanisms of translational regulation to a finer resolution. The subject, methodology and range of applications for each technique are summarised in each case.
CONCLUDING REMARKS

Despite the insights we have gained to date, the list of unresolved questions within the field of *Pseudomonas* post-transcriptional regulation remains very long. Many more RNA regulators are likely to be discovered, alongside novel regulatory mechanisms and refinements of existing pathways. Recent advancements in high throughput sequencing and bioinformatics, combined with novel approaches including quantitative proteomics, Ribo-seq, RIL-seq and various other omics techniques (Schulmeyer and Yahr 2017) present significant opportunities to discover and define exciting new mechanisms of post-transcriptional control.
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