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Abstract

Background Surgery for clavicular shaft fractures is becoming more common but incisional and chest wall numbness reportedly occurs in 10% to 29% of patients. This may be the result of iatrogenic injury to the supraclavicular nerve branches.

Questions/purposes We determined if there was a predictable branching pattern of the supraclavicular nerve at the anterior clavicular border and determined the distances to these nerves from clavicular landmarks.

Methods We performed an anatomic dissection along the anterior border of the long axis of the clavicle in 37 cadavers. The branches of the supraclavicular nerve were identified at the anterosuperior clavicular border and the distances from these nerves to palpable bony landmarks were measured.

Results Ninety-seven percent of specimens had a medial and a lateral branch of the supraclavicular nerve. Nearly half (49%) possessed an additional intermediate branch. No branch was found within 2.7 cm of the sternoclavicular joint or within 1.9 cm of the acromioclavicular joint. Between these two positions, there was wide variability in nerve branch location.

Conclusions There were two or three branches of the supraclavicular nerve crossing the clavicle 97% of the time and a wide variability of the location of these branches outside the safe zones.

Clinical Relevance There are safe zones within 2.7 cm of the sternoclavicular joint and 1.9 cm of the acromioclavicular joint. Between these safe zones, the location of the nerve branches is variable and the surgeon must use meticulous dissection if he or she wishes to prevent transection.

Introduction

Historically, clavicular shaft fractures are treated without surgery with nonunion rates reported as low as 0.1% [14, 19]. However, some surgeons suggest young adults with displaced clavicular shaft fractures or displaced distal clavicular fractures may have better function from restoration of natural anatomy [2, 13, 15]. The indications for open surgery are more clear when there is a painful nonunion or malunion [1, 3, 4, 6, 12, 16, 23]. Whether performed acutely or on a delayed basis, the surgical approach along the long axis of the clavicle carries a risk of proximal chest wall or peri-incisional numbness that could be from supraclavicular nerve injury. The rate of incisional and proximal chest wall numbness ranges from 10% to 29% after operative fixation of the clavicle [2, 20, 21].

The supraclavicular nerve is a superficial sensory nerve originating from the C3 and C4 nerve roots of the superficial cervical plexus. This nerve arborizes proximal to the clavicle and provides sensation over the clavicle, anteromedial shoulder, and proximal chest [5, 8]. The branching pattern and distances to the nerves from anatomic landmarks at the level of the clavicle are unknown. If the
precise location of the traversing nerve branches were known, preservation of these structures could possibly prevent postoperative morbidity.

We asked whether the terminal branches of the supraclavicular nerve would course over the clavicle in a predictable pattern and quantified distances of each supraclavicular nerve branch with respect to clinically appreciable clavicular landmarks.

Materials and Methods

We obtained 37 shoulders from adult fresh or embalmed cadavers without a history of surgical or mechanical trauma to the thorax or shoulder girdle. All specimens were free of gross deformity and surgical incisions. Once all measurements had been made, the specimens were confirmed to be skeletally mature by verification of a closed clavicular physis. All dissections were performed under the supervision of the senior author (BY). An 18-gauge needle was localized at the anterior border of the sternoclavicular (SC) and acromioclavicular (AC) joints. The clavicle length was defined as the distance between these two points. We obtained all measurements three separate times using digital calipers with a tolerance to one-hundredth of a millimeter and then averaged these three values to one-hundredth of a millimeter for the final value. We noticed no anatomic differences between the embalmed and fresh specimens.

Anatomic dissection at the clavicle was made using a transverse incision through skin at the anterosuperior clavicular border. This incision was the full length of the clavicle in line with the surgical approach as described by Kabak et al. [11]. Then meticulous soft tissue dissection identified the terminal branches of the supraclavicular nerve where they traversed the anterosuperior border of the clavicle (Fig. 1). The distances from the SC and AC joints to each crossing nerve were measured similarly to one-hundredth of a millimeter three separate times under direct supervision of the senior author (BY). The average of the three measurements was calculated to one-hundredth of a millimeter and was used as the final value for our calculations. After measurements, the presumed nerve was divided and confirmed by the presence of nerve fascicles.

We analyzed the data by dividing the branching pattern into two groups and one anomalous specimen with only one crossing nerve branch. Group 1 consisted of two nerve branches that were found medially and laterally (Fig. 1). Group 2 consisted of three nerve branches, the same medial and lateral branches as seen in Group 1 with an additional intermediate branch found between the medial and lateral branches.

The average distances from the SC and AC joints to the nerve branches were calculated with two standard deviations (SDs) to determine the 95% confidence interval (CI) of locating the particular branch within a given distance from two bony landmarks. This was calculated with the actual gross distance measured and as a percentage of each clavicle’s length rounded to one-hundredth of a percent. We used Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Inc, Redmond, WA, USA) to calculate these values.

Results

The supraclavicular nerve branched over the clavicle in a predictable pattern. Ninety-seven percent of specimens (36 of 37) had medial and lateral branches. An additional 49% of specimens (18 of 37) had an intermediate branch. One specimen had only a medial branch (2.7% of specimens). Group 1 had medial and lateral nerve branches (49% of specimens). Group 2 had medial, intermediate, and lateral nerve branches (49% of specimens). We did not observe any other variants such as intraosseous nerves.

Although there was a predictable pattern of two or three nerves crossing the clavicle in 97% of specimens, we observed considerable variability in the distance to these nerves from clinically appreciable landmarks. There was a safe zone where no medial nerve was found within 2.7 cm of the sternoclavicular joint and no lateral nerve was found within 1.9 cm of the acromioclavicular joint. In Group 1 (two nerves), the distance from the sternoclavicular joint to the medial branch within 2 SDs was 33.0 mm to 63.4 mm and 63.5 mm to 121.9 mm to the lateral branch (Table 1). There was a 95% probability of finding the branches within these distances and only a 0.1-mm interval between these zones. As a percentage relative to each clavicle length, the distance from the SC joint to the medial branch within 2 SDs was 21.7% to 43.1% the length of the clavicle. The SC joint to the lateral branch was 44.7% to 79.5% the length of the clavicle (Table 2). For Group 2 (three nerves), the distance to the medial branch from the SC joint within 2 SDs was 20.3 mm to 60.3 mm for the medial nerve, 33.1 mm to 104.3 mm for the intermediate nerve, and
There was 95% probability of finding the branches within these distances and an overlap of the zones where the branches cross the clavicle. As a percentage relative to each clavicle length, the distance from the SC joint to the medial branch within 2 SDs was between 16.1% and 37.7% the length of the clavicle. The distance from the SC joint to the intermediate branch was between 26.9% and 64.3% the length of the clavicle. The distance to the lateral branch was between 47.5% and 89.3% the length of the clavicle (Table 4). A graphic representation of the 95% confidence interval of each nerve for Groups 1 and 2 shows the distribution of the nerve branches (Fig. 2).

Discussion

Although clavicle fractures have been treated without surgery with union rates as low as 0.1% [14], evidence suggests a subset of patients may benefit functionally from restoration of the natural anatomy [2, 13, 15]. The rate of incisional and proximal chest wall numbness has been reported from 10% to 29% after operative treatment of clavicle fractures [2, 20, 21]. The supraclavicular nerve is a superficial sensory nerve that crosses the clavicle and provides sensation over the clavicle, anteromedial shoulder, and proximal chest [5, 8]. In theory, injury to these nerve branches could be reduced by knowledge of their precise location. We asked whether the terminal branches of the supraclavicular nerve would course over the clavicle in a predictable pattern and quantified distances of each supraclavicular nerve branch with respect to clinically appreciable clavicular landmarks.

Limitations to the study should be addressed. First, although the dissection was as careful as possible, the native location of the supraclavicular nerve may have been altered during the dissection process. The slight difference in distance to the nerve branches, however, is unlikely to change the overall conclusions of this study. Second, it is possible, although unlikely, that some nerve branches were inadvertently transected and overlooked during dissection. We made every attempt to record the location of each supraclavicular nerve branch in its anatomic position. Because we found a predictable branching pattern of two or three nerves in 97% of specimens, this is unlikely to have influenced the results. Third, a large transverse incision that we used was longer than that used for operative stabilization of the clavicle. Therefore, it is unlikely that all

| Table 1. Nerve branch distance to SC or AC joint for Group 1 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Clavicle length                | SC to medial branch             | SC to lateral branch            | AC to medial branch             | AC to lateral branch            |
| 149.2 mm*                      | 48.2 mm*                        | 92.7 mm*                        | 97.4 mm*                        | 59.7 mm*                        |
| ± 20.6 mm†                     | ± 15.2 mm†                      | ± 29.2 mm†                      | ± 35.6 mm†                      | ± 43.4 mm†                      |

* = average; † = two SDs; SC = sternoclavicular; AC = acromioclavicular.

| Table 2. Nerve branch distance as a percentage of total clavicle length for Group 1 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| SC to medial branch             | SC to lateral branch            | AC to medial branch             | AC to lateral branch            |
| 32.4%*                         | 62.1%*                          | 65.0%*                          | 40.1%*                          |
| ± 10.7%†                       | ± 17.4%†                        | ± 18.2%†                        | ± 30.1%†                        |

* = average; † = two SDs; SC = sternoclavicular; AC = acromioclavicular.

62.6 mm to 142.0 mm for the lateral nerve (Table 3). There was 95% probability of finding the branches within these distances and an overlap of the zones where the branches cross the clavicle. As a percentage relative to each clavicle length, the distance from the SC joint to the medial branch within 2 SDs was between 16.1% and 37.7% the length of the clavicle. The distance from the SC joint to the intermediate branch was between 26.9% and 64.3% the length of the clavicle. The distance to the lateral branch was between 47.5% and 89.3% the length of the clavicle (Table 4). A graphic representation of the 95% confidence interval of each nerve for Groups 1 and 2 shows the distribution of the nerve branches (Fig. 2).

Table 3. Nerve branch distance to SC or AC joint for Group 2

| Clavicle length                | SC to medial branch             | SC to intermediate branch       | SC to lateral branch            | AC to medial branch             | AC to intermediate branch       | AC to lateral branch            |
| 149.8 mm*                      | 40.3 mm*                        | 68.7 mm*                        | 102.3 mm*                       | 95.8 mm*                        | 83.9 mm*                        | 66.1 mm*                        |
| ± 29.2 mm†                     | ± 20.0 mm†                      | ± 35.6 mm†                      | ± 39.7 mm†                      | ± 61.6 mm†                      | ± 23.9 mm†                      | ± 67.4 mm†                      |

* = average; † = two SDs; SC = sternoclavicular; AC = acromioclavicular.

Table 4. Nerve branch distance as a percentage of total clavicle length for Group 2

| SC to medial branch             | SC to intermediate branch       | SC to lateral branch            | AC to medial branch             | AC to intermediate branch       | AC to lateral branch            |
| 26.9%*                         | 45.6%*                          | 68.4%*                          | 63.8%*                          | 56.6%*                          | 44.8%*                          |
| ± 10.8%†                       | ± 18.7%†                        | ± 20.9%†                        | ± 38.6%†                        | ± 18.6%†                        | ± 46.8%†                        |

* = average; † = two SDs; SC = sternoclavicular; AC = acromioclavicular.
branches will be encountered in a typical surgical case. Fourth, the anatomy could be distorted in the setting of a fracture. This will not change the branching pattern but could change the distances to the nerves. Finally, the clinical impact of injury to a particular nerve branch has not been elucidated. Logic would suggest nerve injury would result in paresthesias in a specific distribution. Yet, injury to one branch may not yield clinical numbness because another branch may provide redundant innervation. This warrants further study.

We found that terminal branches of the supraclavicular nerve cross the clavicle in a predictable pattern. Ninety-seven percent of specimens had medial and lateral branches with 49% containing an additional intermediate branch. Hovelacque originally described the medial, intermediate, and lateral branches of the superficial cervical plexus [9]. However, he did not describe the different branching patterns in relation to the clavicle.

We defined the distances to each supraclavicular nerve branch with respect to clinically appreciable landmarks. It was our hope to find predictable clinically relevant safe zones between branches of the supraclavicular nerve during a surgical approach to the clavicle. The distances to the branches of the supraclavicular nerve from bony landmarks fall within a wide range over the middle of the clavicle. The medial branch appeared to cross at the clavicle’s medial third. The lateral branch showed a trend to cross at the lateral third of the clavicle. When present, the intermediate branch displayed wide variability. In addition to the wide variability in precise location of the branches, there have been multiple anatomic variations described. Gelberman et al. first described supraclavicular nerve entrapment syndrome in a patient in whom the nerve passed through an osseous tunnel in the clavicle [7]. Since then, the osseous tunnel has been confirmed and multiple other anatomic variations have been implicated as a possible cause of this syndrome [10, 17, 18, 22]. There was no zone where one nerve branch was exclusively independent of another adjacent branch. There was never a medial branch within 2.7 cm of the sternoclavicular joint or a lateral branch within 1.9 cm of the acromioclavicular joint.

There appears to be a pattern in which the supraclavicular nerve branches cross the clavicle with the majority (97%) of specimens possessing a medial and a lateral
branch. The intermediate branch is variable and present 49% of the time. The precise location of each branch at the clavicle is variable and there is no clinically relevant predictable safe zone between the medial and lateral branches. There are small safe zones medially and laterally with no medial branches found within 2.7 cm of the sternoclavicular joint and no lateral branches found within 1.9 cm of the acromioclavicular joint. Between these safe zones the surgeon must use meticulous dissection if he or she wishes to prevent transection.
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