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A B S T R A C T

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 responsible for COVID-19 remains a persistent threat to mankind, especially for the immunocompromised and elderly for which the vaccine may have limited effectiveness. Entry of SARS-CoV-2 requires a high affinity interaction of the viral spike protein with the cellular receptor angiotensin-converting enzyme 2. Novel mutations on the spike protein correlate with the high transmissibility of new variants of SARS-CoV-2, highlighting the need for small molecule inhibitors of virus entry into target cells. We report the identification of such inhibitors through a robust high-throughput screen testing 15,000 small molecules from unique libraries. Several leads were validated in a suite of mechanistic assays, including whole cell SARS-CoV-2 infectivity assays. The main lead compound, calpeptin, was further characterized using SARS-CoV-1 and the novel SARS-CoV-2 variant entry assays, SARS-CoV-2 proteasome assays and molecular docking. This study reveals calpeptin as a potent and specific inhibitor of SARS-CoV-2 and some variants.

Introduction

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the pathogen responsible for the COVID-19 disease which reached pandemic designation in early 2020. Even with the release of FDA-approved vaccines in early 2021, the pandemic remains the number one worldwide public health threat with massive negative social and economic impacts. Much of the world still faces widespread spikes in confirmed cases, hospitalizations, demands on critical care, and mortality [1,2]. The highly infectious delta variant has become the predominant strain in the United States. People that are recalcitrant to vaccination are taking the brunt of infections but, breakthrough cases are occurring more frequently. Infection rates vary by location, but in almost all areas, episodes of disease reemergence have been observed. People with underlying medical conditions, especially elderly that are immunosuppressed, have been heavily impacted. In fact, adults over 65 years of age represent 80% of hospitalizations with a 23-fold higher risk of death.

Common symptoms include high fever, cough, fatigue, breathing difficulties, and loss of taste and smell. Severe cases also exhibit clinical manifestations such as pneumonia, acute respiratory distress syndrome and clotting disorders [1,2]. The disease spread is primarily via micro droplet dispersion, typically by inhalation, and thus measures that reduce or eliminate exposures to infected people are highly recommended. These include regular wearing of masks, social distancing, avoiding groups of people other than the close family unit, self-isolation, and avoidance of indoor spaces with substandard ventilation [1,2].

Massive worldwide efforts towards the identification of novel treatments against SARS-CoV-2 are underway. Development of safe drugs with novel small molecules is laborious and time consuming; hence, many groups including our own have been investigating the repurposing of well-established drugs. For instance, the antiviral drug Remdesivir, a nucleotide analog with a broad-spectrum antiviral activity was used early into the pandemic in several countries despite its modest benefits and the burden of its intravenous administration. Similarly, the antimalarial drug chloroquine or its derivative, hydroxychloroquine, was...
tested and had mixed results and multiple safety concerns prompting its emergency use authorization to be revoked by the FDA [1,2]. Fortunately, at least three vaccines have been approved by the FDA, also for emergency use, which are currently being distributed broadly bringing hope to an end of the pandemic. However, with respect to vaccination, significant hurdles remain. Length of protection conferred upon vaccination isn’t fully understood, and in some cases when multiple doses are required, the spacing between vaccine doses that will confer the best immune response is still not clearly established. In addition, vaccine hesitancy is still a major concern, which is aggravated by the scarcity of vaccine doses in certain locations across the world. The appearance of novel highly infectious variants with mutations in the spike protein may also diminish the efficacy of the vaccine [1,2], reinforcing the need for additional improved therapeutics.

Two SARS-CoV-2 entry pathways have been described and are well understood. This is in part due to the close similarity of SARS-CoV-2 to the SARS-CoV-1, the causative agent for a global outbreak in 2002-2003 [3]. Both entry pathways, being cell surface and endosomal, require the sequential function of two subunits, S1 and S2 of the spike protein (S), localized on the viral lipid membrane. The S1 subunit, via its receptor binding domain (RBD), binds a functional angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor on the target cells [1,2]. Next, the S2 subunit facilitates the fusion of the cell and viral membranes, via conformational changes followed by proteolytic activation at the S1/S2 boundary and dissociation of S1. The cleavage is performed either by the cell surface protease, transmembrane serine protease 2 (TMPRSS2) or the lysosomal protease, Cathepsin L (Fig. 1A), according to the pathway taken. It is, however, unclear whether SARS-CoV-2 uses an additional co-receptor, but evidence is mounting in that direction. In sum, inhibitors that block either the interaction of the viral S1 RBD with cellular receptors or the fusion mediated by S2 domain should inhibit entry of the virus.

Based on this hypothesis, we undertook a High Throughput Screening (HTS) campaign using a comprehensive library of drugs that may be repurposed to seek potential therapeutics against SARS-CoV-2 infection, focusing specifically on the disruption of the entry process. We screened the ReFRAME library of 13,136 small molecules that have reached clinical development or have undergone preclinical profiling, which increases our chance of identifying leads with well-established safety profiles and rapid progression to clinic. We also screened a collection of ~1,500 molecules of the Cathespin L and Targetmol libraries, selected for their computational docking scores for interacting at the ACE2-RBD interface and drug-likeness. Furthermore, we screened a collection termed the Pathogen Box, consisting of ~400 compounds with known or suspected antiviral activity [1,2]. We utilized a tiered approach: first screening the libraries against a Moloney Murine Leukemia virus (MLV) reporter luciferase virus pseudotyped with the SARS-CoV-2 S protein (SARS2-S), second the hits were confirmed in this same system, and third two counterscreens were performed with one using the Vesicular stomatitis virus G (VSV-G) envelope virus to assess specificity and the other to gage cytotoxicity. A series of potent and unique hits blocking virus entry emerged and which were validated in multiple mechanistic based assays. The lead compound, calpeptin, was found to be a potent and specific inhibitor of SARS-CoV-2 including highly virulent variants.

Materials and methods

Data reporting

The number of samples tested was completely unbiased and all compounds were formulated in a randomized manner using an acoustic dispenser for analysis in the primary HTS campaigns. For the ReFRAME library, all structural information was withheld until primary hits had been validated. All other downstream experiments were not randomized nor blinded as structures were known and hits were chosen using statistical parameters described below.

Plasmids

The plasmids for expression of the S or ACE2 proteins were created with fragments synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT, Coralville, IA, USA). Fragment ligation was performed using In-Fusion® HD Cloning Kit (Takara Bio USA) according to manufacturer’s instructions. The expression plasmids including variant mutations were made by site-directed mutagenesis.

Cell line

HEK293T cells (human embryonic kidney; ATCC CRL-3216, VA, USA) were maintained in growth medium composed of Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Life Technologies) supplemented with 2 mM Glutamine (Life Technologies), 1% non-essential amino acids (Life Technologies), 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin (Life Technologies) and 10% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MI, USA) at 37 °C in 5% CO2. The HEK293T cell line expressing human ACE2 was created by transduction with VSV-G protein-pseudotyped MLV containing pQCXIP-myc-ACE2-c9, as previously described [4]. Briefly, HEK293T cells were co-transfected with three plasmids, pMLV-gag-pol, pCAGGS-VSV-G and pQCXIP-myc-ACE2-c9 and the medium was replaced the next day. The supernatant containing the pseudotyped virus was harvested 72 h post-transfection and clarified by passing through 0.45 μm filter. The parental HEK293T cells were transduced with this virus and the HEK293T-ACE2 cell line was selected and maintained with medium containing puromycin (Sigma). ACE2 expression was confirmed by immunofluorescence staining using mouse monoclonal antibody against c-Myc antibody 9E10 (Thermo Fisher) and Goat-anti-mouse FITC (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.). The HEK293T-ACE2 stable cells were maintained in growth medium, which contains 10% FBS (Sigma), 1% Penicillin-streptomycin (Sigma) and 5 μg/mL puromycin (Sigma) in DMEM (Corning).

An HEK293T cell line expressing human ACE2 and TMPRSS2 (HEK293T-ACE2-TMPRSS2) and Vero CCL81 cells were kindly provided by Dr. Choe (Scripps Research Florida, USA). They were maintained in growth media composed of DMEM (Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% FBS, 1/100 L-Glutamine: Penicillin: Streptomycin (Gemi-niBio). HEK293T-ACE2-TMPRSS2 cells were kept under selection with 1.3 μg/mL of puromycin (Sigma).

Vero E6 cells (ATCC CRL-1586) were obtained from ATCC and cultured in DMEM (HyClone) with 10% FBS. Vero E6 cells enriched in ACE2 were selected by FACS with antibody against human ACE2. Vero E6 cells were stained with Goat anti-Human Phycocerythrin-conjugated ACE2 Polyclonal Antibody (R&D Systems) for 30 min at 4 °C in dark. Cells were then washed with HBSS and suspended in Pre-Sort buffer (BD Biosciences). ACE2+ and ACE2- were gated based on the Goat IgG Isotype Control (R&D Systems) and sorted on BD FACSaria™ III. The ACE2 high and low enriched cell populations were then cultured once and then used for the experiment.

Pseudotyped virus production and titration

MLVs pseudotyped with various envelope proteins were generated as previously described [5]. Briefly, HEK293T cells were co-transfected with three plasmids, pMLV-gag-pol, pQC-Fluc and pCAGGS-SARS2-SFmut-cflag or pCAGGS-VSV-G using PEI 40 K (Polysciences, Inc.), and the medium was refreshed 6 h later. The supernatant containing the pseudotyped virus was harvested 72 h post-transfection and clarified through a 0.45 μm filter. Clarified viral stocks were supplemented with 10 mM HEPES and stored at ~80 °C for long-term storage. Pseudotyped viruses were titrated using TCID50 method. Briefly, HEK293T-ACE2 cells were seeded in 96-well plates at 50–60% confluency upon observation the following day. Then, 50 μL of media was removed from each well and replaced with 50 μL of the serially diluted pseudotyped virus or media. The plate was centrifuged at 4 °C, 3000 g for 30 mins (spinoculation)
Fig. 1. High-throughput of ReFRAME, Pathogen Box, TargetMol and Cathepsin L drug libraries for SARS-CoV-2 antiviral compounds. A. Schematic of the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2. RBD: receptor binding domain. B. Schematic of the High-throughput assay. Compounds were pre-spotted in 1536-well plates. Next, 2000 HEK293T-ACE2 cells were added to each well and pre-incubated with each compound for 1 h, followed by infection with MLV reporter luciferase virus pseudotyped with the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (SARS2-S) or VSV-G protein (VSV-G). Luciferase was measured 48 h later. C. Summary of the ReFRAME library results. Conc.: concentration. D. Distribution of Z-Score for primary screens of each library. Scatter plot of $Z'$ Score for all samples tested from the ReFrame library ($N = 1$; circle) and other libraries ($N = 3$; Cathepsin L: square; Pathogen Box: cross; TargetMol: filled circle). Total of 16,320 samples. Positive controls: orange; Negative control: cyan; Hit compounds: red; non-hit compounds: black. E. Summary of the 3 other libraries results. F. ReFrame library screening against different targets: SARS2-S, 3CLpro and PLpro. Venn diagram analysis of comparison between hits from SARS2-S entry, 3CLpro and PLpro assay against ReFrame library results. There are 419 compounds that are SARS2-entry specific potential inhibitors. G. Robustness in terms of $Z'$ score of each screen for each library.
and incubated for 2 h at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. Virus containing media was then aspirated and 100 μL of fresh media with 1 μg/mL puromycin was added to the wells. The plate was further incubated for 48 h at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. Then, 100 μL/well of OneGlo (Promega) was added and luminescent signal was read. The average and 3 standard deviations of the signal level for media only wells were calculated and used as a cutoff. TCID₉₀ was calculated using Reed & Muench Calculator [6].

SARS2-S entry assay for HTS

Comounds were pre-spotted into 1536 well plates (Greiner BioOne part 789,173-F) at either 5 nL for 10 mM stocks of compounds at CAL-IBR for the ReFRAME library or 20 nL for either 1 mM or 2.5 mM stocks of compounds for the Cathepsin L, Pathogen Box or TargetMol library, at Scripps Molecular Screening Center [7,8]. The HEK293T-ACE2 cells were seeded at 2000 cells/well, using an Aurora FRD (Aurora Discovery), at 2.5 μL/well. Plates were incubated for 1 h at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. Pseudotyped virus was then dispensed at 2.5 μL/well, at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.1 for primary and confirmation assays and 0.5 for titration assays. After the 48 h incubation period at 37 °C and 5% CO₂, plates were removed from the incubator and allowed to equilibrate at room temperature for 15 mins. OneGlo (Promega) Luciferase reagent was then added at 5 μL/well and incubated for 10 mins at room temperature. The luminescence was subsequently measured using a ViewLux (PerkinElmer) for 5 s. The process is summarized in Fig. 1B. High control wells contained HEK293T-ACE2 cells + Media + vehicle (DMSO), and the low control and data wells had HEK293T-ACE2 cells + SARS2-S + test compound or vehicle, respectively.

Counterscreen assays for HTS

The first counterscreen assay followed the same protocol as the SARS2-S entry assay but instead uses VSV-G pseudotyped virus, to identify non-specific entry inhibitors. In addition, and in parallel, the cytotoxicity of selected compounds was also tested during the campaign using CellTiter-Glo (Promega). The controls for the VSV-G assay followed the exact logic of the primary assay while the toxicity assay incorporated no cells (high control) vs cells treated with vehicle only (low control) as controls.

Screening libraries

ReFRAME Library - CALIBR, a division of Scripps Research, has partnered with the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation to form an integrated platform of drug candidates. At the time of this effort the ReFRAME contained 13,136 purchased or resynthesized FDA-approved/registered drugs (~40%), as well as investigational new drugs currently or previously in any phase of clinical development (~60%).

Pathogen Box Library - This library is comprised of 400 diverse, drug-like molecules active against neglected diseases of interest. It was provided by the Medicines for Malaria Venture.

TargetMol Library - TargetMol performed a CADD in silico docking study using the Swiss-Model Homology Modelling process to generate reliable protein models or 3D protein structures of the RBD of S protein and ACE2. Based on these protein structures they identified 462 top ranked molecules by molecular docking and virtual screening against 15,376 compound structures.

Cathepsin L Library - As part of the Molecular Libraries Probe Center Network, we had previously screened the target Cathepsin L1 and had identified 1482 active molecules (see PubChem AID 1906) from a total of 302,755 small molecules in the NIH repository. We then surveyed our Scripps Drug Discovery Library of greater than 665 K small molecules to identify ~ 450 compounds that overlapped with a Tanimoto score >80% identity with these inhibitors. These compounds were cherry-picked and registered into source plate for screening.

Screening data acquisition, normalization, representation, and analysis for HTS

Data files were uploaded into the Scripps institutional HTS database (Symyx Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) for plate QC and hit identification. Activity for each well was normalized on a per-plate basis using the following equation:

\[
\% \text{activation} = 100 \times \left( \frac{\text{Test well} - \text{Median Low Control}}{\text{Median High Control} - \text{Median Low Control}} \right) 
\]

where “High Control” represents wells containing HEK293T-ACE2 cells + assay Media + vehicle (DMSO) wells, while “Low Control” represents wells containing HEK293T-ACE2 cells + SARS2-S + vehicle and finally the “Data Wells” contain HEK293T-ACE2 cells + SARS2-S + test compounds. The Z’ and SB were calculated using the High Control and Low Control wells. A Z’ value greater than 0.5 was required for a plate to be considered acceptable [9]. Z-score was also calculated to show the distribution of the well-to-well results by using following equation:

\[
Z = \frac{\text{Score} - \text{Mean}}{\text{Standard deviation}} 
\]

A positive Z-score indicates the raw score is higher than average [10].

Follow-up assays

HEK293T-ACE2 cells, HEK293T-ACE2-TMPRSS2 cells or Vero CCL81 cells were seeded at 1×10⁴ cells/well in a 96-well plate. The next day, compounds (or DMSO control) were added to cells at multiple concentrations, in presence of pseudotyped viruses. This was done separately using SARS2-S, different mutants of SARS2-S, VSV-G and finally with pseudotyped virus containing the S protein from SARS-CoV-1 “SARS1-S”. The MOI of each virus was chosen based on an equivalent level of luciferase production. After a 48 h incubation at 37 °C and 5% CO₂, plates were removed from the incubator and allowed to equilibrate to room temperature for 15 mins. Bright-Glo (Promega) Luciferase reagent was then added at 100 μL/well. After a 2 min incubation period at room temperature, luminescence was measured using a PerkinElmer plate reader. Cytotoxicity was performed using the same cells but without virus and monitored using CellTiter-Glo according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Time-of-drug addition assay

Time-of-drug addition assay was performed in Vero CCL81 cells. Cells were plated at 1×10⁴ cells/well in a 96-well plate and infected with SARS2-S. Compounds SR-914 (10 μM) and E64D (20 μM) were added to the wells at different time points post-infection. The infection proceeded for 48 h. Bright-Glo (Promega) Luciferase reagent was used to quantify viral replication.

ACE2 and TMPRSS2 mRNA expression

Total RNA was extracted using the RNA extraction kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturers instruction. Contaminating DNA was removed using Turbo-DNase kit (Ambion). cDNA was synthesized using Sensisfast (Bioline) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Real time qPCR was performed with an aliquot of cDNA as template, using Sensisfast SYBR green (Bioline) in a 20 μL reaction according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The same validated primer sequences of GAPDH, ACE2 and TMPRSS2 were implemented.

Live virus inhibition assays

The cytopathic effect (CPE) assay was determined using Vero E6 cells expressing different levels of ACE2 cells and seeded at 12,000 cells/well
in 96-well plates. The next day, compounds diluted in cell culture media were added. After 2 h of incubation, SARS-CoV-2 (USA-WA1/2020 isolate; BEI resource) diluted at 600 pfu/15 μL was added to each well. After 72 h incubation period, cell viability (protection from virus-induced CPE) was measured with CellTiter-Glo. Cytotoxicity was similarly measured, with culture media replacing the virus.

A virus titer reduction assay was performed as followed. Twelve-well plates with 100,000 Vero E6 cells grown overnight were infected with virus at a MOI of 0.05 diluted in the cell culture medium. Virus and cells were incuabted together at 37 °C for one hour to allow for sufficient adsorption. The unabsorbed virus was washed away with 1 mL of PBS once, and the wells were replenished with cell culture media with compound or DMSO (0.25% vol/vol, final). After 24 h, supernatant and cells were harvested for further analysis. The progeny viruses in the supernatants were enumerated using the plaque assay with A549 as described below. Vero E6 cells grown to confluence in 24-well plates were infected with 167 μL of serially diluted virus samples for one hour at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. Wells were washed with 1XPBS and replenished with virus infection medium and 0.8% Avicel (DuPoint). Three days after infection, viral infection centers were visualized with crystal violet staining (0.2% crystal violet, 4% paraformaldehyde and 10% ethanol).

**Luciferase complementation assays with 3CLpro and PLpro**

The PLpro assay was run per the published methods [11]. The 3CLpro assay followed the exact same procedure albeit utilized the 3CLpro vector.

**Molecular modeling**

The crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 RBD bound with ACE2 (PDB ID: 6M0J) was imported to Schrodinger Maestro. The ACE2 receptor component of the crystal structure was removed and the BPP preprocessed at a pH of 7.4 +/- 0.2, using the protein preparation wizard within Schrodinger Maestro. A restrained minimization was performed utilizing the OPLS3e forcefield with heavy atoms converging to 0.30 Å. A receptor grid for docking experiments was generated with the receptor grid generation workflow by centering the grid on the relevant RBD residues that have been noted to be critical for stable interaction with ACE2 (K417, G446, Y449, Y453, L455, F456, A475, F486, N487, Y489, Q493, G496, Q498, T500, N501, G502, Y505). Using the virtual screening workflow, the ligands to be docked were settled, prepared at a pH of 7.4 +/- 0.2, and then docked with the XP (high precision) docking protocol.

To construct the United Kingdom (UK) and the South African (SA) SARS-CoV-2 RBD mutants, the appropriate point mutations were introduced to the previous structure (K417N, E484K, N501Y) and similar protocol to the wild type was performed. As for the D614G SARS-CoV-2 RBD mutant, the crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 D614G 3 RBD down S Protein Trimer, without the P986-P987 stabilizing mutations, (PDB ID: 7KDK), was imported to Schrodinger Maestro. The 7KDK crystal structure was lacking loops and sidechains in the RBD that were added by using the Prime workflow of Schrodinger Maestro prior docking. The D614G and N501Y RBD mutant models were also derived from the 7KDK structure.

**Statistical analysis**

P values were calculated using one-way or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a Tukey’s or Dunnett’s post hoc test. P values of < 0.05 or < 0.01 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism software (San Diego, CA, USA).

**Results**

ReFRAME library Screening. The HTS campaign was initiated by primary screening against the ReFRAME library of 13,136 compounds at a single concentration of 10 μM, in singlicate (Fig. 1C). Raw assay data was imported into Scripps’ corporate database to facilitate application of compound heredity to test wells and for statistical analysis. The primary data was then used to calculate a Z-score value, which showed a very reasonable distribution demonstrating the reliability of the assay (Fig. 1D). The assay performance was robust with an average Z’ of 0.65 ± 0.064 and an average S:B of 146.0 ± 10.0 (n = 12 plates, Fig. 1G).

As part of all HTS campaigns, we interleave multiple plates with 1280 wells each treated with vehicle only (DMSO plates) to ensure false positive and negative hit rates are negligible as well as to facilitate hit cutoff determination. Using data obtained from these plates three values were calculated: (1) the average value for all DMSO wells; (2) the SD value for the same set of data wells in expression 1; and the sum of (1) and (3) times of (2) was used as cutoff. Any compound that exhibited greater percent activation than the cutoff parameter was declared active. Using these criteria, the hit-cutoff was determined to be 55.7% activation, which yielded 990 compounds that exceeded that value (“hit,” Fig. 1C).

To select compounds specifically targeting SARS2-S entry, we compared the activity of the 990 hits against other COVID-19 directed screens completed at Scripps. These screens were done using the same libraries and were tested against SARS-CoV-2 3CL protease (3CLpro) and SARS-CoV-2 papain-like protease (PLpro) [11]. The chymotrypsin-like 3CLpro cleaves the polypeptide at 11 distinct sites, while the PLpro cleaves the polypeptide at 3 sites and cleaves ubiquitin and ISG15 form target proteins for innate immune evasion [12]. Fig. 1F shows the Venn diagram analysis of hits from SARS2-S entry, 3CLpro and PLpro. The analysis identified 419 compounds specific to SARS2-S entry. A confirmation screen was then run with these selected compounds, in triplicate, at 10 μM (Fig. 1C). The SARS2-S entry confirmation assay yielded an average Z’ of 0.64 ± 0.025 and a S:B of 158.3 ± 2.5 (Fig. 1G). Using a hit cutoff of 43.4% inhibition derived from the average activity and 3 times the standard deviation of all DMSO treated (vehicle) wells, we confirmed the activity of 306 hits (73.0% hit rate; Fig. 1C). In parallel, we assessed the cytotoxicity of the compounds with a live dead readout incorporating CellTiter-Glo detection reagent. This assay yielded an average Z’ of 0.96 ± 0.01 and a S:B of 141.9 ± 1.7 (Fig. 1G). 227 hits were selected (54.2%) using a cutoff of 12.0% inhibition; and upon merging entry and cytotoxicity data, 108 compounds were found to inhibit SARS2-S entry without being overtly cytotoxic (Fig. 1C). Next, these selected compounds were titrated using 10-point dose-response titrations (3-fold dilutions), in triplicate. The SARS2-S entry titration assay performance was consistent with an average Z’ of 0.60 ± 0.035 and a S:B of 157.0 ± 9.5. (Fig. 1G). Of the 108 compounds tested, 74 compounds demonstrated nominal potency (IC₅₀ < 10 μM) in the SARS2-S entry assay and were considered active. The cytotoxicity counterscreen identified 6 compounds with a CC₅₀ < 10 μM, and the VSV-G counterscreen identified 42 compounds with an IC₅₀ < 10 μM (Fig. 1C). At the conclusion of the HTS phase, we found 6 small molecules of interest from the ReFRAME collection with a therapeutic index (TI=CC₅₀/IC₅₀) higher than 15 (Table S1). However, upon further inspection, we learned that these 6 ReFRAME compounds including their analogs had recently been identified by other groups when tested in whole virus CPE assays [7,13,14], which confirmed the robustness of our assay but diminished our interest in pursuing these compounds further. As such, we focused our attention on the hits identified from the other collections.

TargetMol, Pathogen Box and Cathepsin L libraries screening. The aforementioned method was also used to screen a total of ~2K compounds from the TargetMol, Pathogen Box and Cathepsin L libraries (Fig. 1E and G). In this instance, compounds were tested at a single concentration of 4 μM in triplicate. The assay performance was excellent (TargetMol: Z’ of 0.63 ± 0.08 and a S:B of 150.06 ± 7.76; Pathogen Box: Z’ of 0.62 ± 0.05 and a S:B of 134.73 ± 6.17; Cathepsin L: Z’ of 0.70 ± 0.05 and a S:B
Table 1
Summary of the selected Cathepsin L, Pathogen box and TargetMol compounds in this study. Activity of the selected compounds against the different MLV pseudotyped viruses in HEK293-ACE2 cells and their respective cytotoxicity. Values for SARS2-S, VSV-G and toxicity are mean ± SEM of 2–4 independent experiments. TI: therapeutic index. * n = 1.

| SR | Drug | Structure | SARS2-S (IC50: μM) | VSV-G (IC50: μM) | Toxicity (IC50: μM) | TI | VSV-G / SARS-2 |
|----|------|-----------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|----|----------------|
| 914| Calpeptin | ![Calpeptin](image) | 10.7 ± 1.4 | > 20.0 ± 0.0 | > 20.0 ± 0.0 | > 1612.9 | > 1612.9 |
| 372| Calpain inhibitor I | ![Calpain inhibitor I](image) | 4.0 ± 1.3 | 7.9 ± 1.2 | 8.6 ± 1.3 | 795.7 | 645.2 |
| 452| UNC0638 | ![UNC0638](image) | 92.9 ± 4.6 | 0.9 ± 0.2 | 1.9 ± 0.2 | 20.5 | 9.7 |
| 510| DC661 | ![DC661](image) | 1.4 ± 0.8 | 0.2 ± 0.0 | 1.2 ± 0.3 | 857.1 | 142.9 |
| 700| Ataxin | ![Ataxin](image) | 565.0 ± 25.0 | > 20.0 ± 0.0 | > 20 ± 0.0 | > 35.4 | > 35.4 |
| 499| MMV688417 | ![MMV688417](image) | 472.6 | 5.4 | 15.2 | 32.2 | 11.4 |
| 603| ChemDiv K980-0084 | ![ChemDiv K980-0084](image) | 489.5 ± 13.4 | 5.3 ± 1.1 | 36.5 ± 5.1 | 74.7 | 10.6 |
| 542| MMV676382 | ![MMV676382](image) | 372.5 ± 84.2 | 4.4 ± 0.1 | 13.8 ± 2.1 | 37.0 | 11.8 |
| 728| Molport-002-022-080 | ![Molport-002-022-080](image) | 406.5 ± 67.2 | > 100.0 ± 0.0 | > 100.0 ± 0.0 | 246.0 | 246.0 |
| 580| _ | ![_](image) | 147.8 ± 9.5 | 3.6 ± 0.9 | 3.7 ± 0.2 | 25.0 | 24.4 |
| 687| Cruzain-IN-1 | ![Cruzain-IN-1](image) | 281.8 ± 154.8 | 33.9 ± 4.6 | > 100.0 ± 0.0 | 354.9 | 120.3 |

Out of 140.64 ± 5.45) and a cutoff of 54.2% inhibition, similarly derived, yielded 91 hits from the TargetMol library, 15 from the Pathogen Box and 5 hits from the Cathepsin L library (Fig. 1E). From a total of 111 hits, all but two compounds were available for titration assays. As with the ReFRAME library, all 109 compounds from these libraries were tested in 10-point dose-response titrations (3-fold dilutions) in triplicate. All compounds had IC50 values < 10 μM in this assay. The cytotoxicity counter-screen identified 61 active compounds, while the VSV-G counter-screen titration assay identified 90 compounds with an IC50 < 10 μM (Fig. 1E).

Out of the 91 hits, 11 showed a TI higher than 20 and a least 10-fold higher sensitivity to SARS2-S as compared to VSV-G (Table 1). Interestingly, SR-914, SR-372 and SR728 had a TI and specificity toward SARS2-S higher than achieved by any ReFRAME hit. As compared to the best ReFRAME hit, SR-806 (VBY-825), SR-914 and SR-372 showed 7.1 and 3.5-fold higher potency, and a specificity higher than 7.1 and 3-fold, respectively (Table 1 and S1).

Enrichment of inhibitors toward known targets (Fig. 2A). Analysis of the known targets of the hit compounds from all libraries revealed that 40% of the small molecules identified had previously been shown to target cysteine proteases that are known to be involved in SARS-CoV-2 entry [15,16,17,18,19]. Another 7% of the selected hits target Lanosterol 14 alpha-demethylase, which is involved in sterol biosynthesis and glucosylceramide synthase, which is important for glucosylceramide expression. Sterol and glucosylceramide are components of lipid rafts, which influence entry for a variety of viruses [20]. In particular, cholesterol has been proposed to be important in SARS-CoV-2 lipid dependent entry [21]. The implication of glucosylceramide in SARS-CoV-2 entry was also previously suggested, using multiple glucosylceramide synthase in-
Fig. 2. Targets of the selected compounds and SARS-CoV-2 wild type infection. A. Description of the targets of the different hits from all the studied libraries. B. Antiviral activity of the 2 best hits in the SARS-CoV-2-induced CPE assay. Vero E6 cells treated with test compounds for two hours were infected with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 0.05, then incubated for three days in the presence of compound. Cell viability (protection from virus-induced CPE) was measured with CellTiter-Glo. C and D. Antiviral effect was measured with a subset of Vero E6 cells expressing a low (C) and high (D) level of ACE2. E. Cytotoxicity of selected compounds in Vero E6 cells. Cytotoxicity was tested in the same conditions with cell culture media instead of the virus. F. Virus yield reduction activity of selected compounds. Vero E6 cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 0.05 were cultured in the presence of test compound (5 μM) and the supernatant was harvested after 24 and 48 h of incubation. The Progeny virus was enumerated with a plaque assay using an Avicel overlay in fresh Vero E6 cells. \( N = 3 \) experiments were performed for infectivity assays and \( n = 2 \) for the cytotoxicity assays. \(* * * P < 0.0001, \) Two-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test against DMSO control.
hbitors against SARS-CoV-2. The depletion of the glucosylceramide from the cell membrane has however not yet been investigated.

Inhibitors of calcium dependent protein kinase were also among the hits we identified. Coronavirus are reported to use calcium ions during entry into host cells [22,23], and experimental depletion of calcium seems to reduce viral entry [23]. It has been reported that SARS-CoV-1 S protein stimulates cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) expression via both calcium-dependent and calcium-independent protein kinase C pathways [24]. This subsequently results in activation of inflammatory pathways, eventually leading to adult respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) in some individuals [25]. Individuals with COVID-19 experience marked increase in COX metabolites associated with bronchoconstriction and recruitment and activation of inflammatory cells and platelets [26]. The use of COX-2 inhibitors early on in the disease has been recommended [27], suggesting a new role of SARS-CoV-2 S protein in inflammation, not previously described.

Finally, we also identified small molecules targeting the Palmitoyl-protein thioesterase 1, an autophagy modulator and the target of chloroquine derivatives [28], along with a few molecules that target unknown proteins for viral entry, namely tyrosyl-DNA phosphodiesterase 1, ataxin, and beta-Lysine methyl transferase G9a/GPL. These may merit further investigation.

**Lead activity against wild type SARS-CoV-2**

Compounds with a TI greater than 100 (SR-914, SR-372, SR-510, SR-728 and SR-687) were further investigated for their ability to inhibit live SARS-CoV-2 replication by assessing their *in vitro* CPE. We infected Vero E6 cells with the original SARS-CoV-2 isolate, USA-WA1/2020, in the presence of increasing concentrations of compounds or DMSO control (Table S2, Fig 2B), while monitoring compounds cytotoxicity in parallel (Table S2, Fig 2E). SR-914 (calpeptin) and SR-372 (calpain inhibitor 1) showed a TI > 10, with SR-914 having a similar potency as the nucleoside analog Remdesivir [29,30,31] (Fig 2B). SR-510, SR-728 and SR-687 were inactive against wild type SARS-CoV-2 (Table S2).

Similarly, Camostat mesylate, a previously reported SARS-CoV-2 fusion entry inhibitor, did not show any activity. Next, we tested SR-914 and SR-372 in Vero E6 that presented either low (23%) or high (88%) levels of surface of ACE2 receptor (Fig S2A). This experiment revealed that the differential ACE2 expression levels did not substantially impact compound efficacy. In Vero cells with either low or high ACE2 expression, SR-914 showed an EC50 = 0.174 and 0.163 μM and SR-372 EC50 = 0.232 and 0.225 μM, respectively. Remdesivir displayed a similar EC50 in cells with high ACE2 expression, while Camostat mesylate was again inactive (Fig 2C and D). The activity of the best performing compound, SR-914, was further confirmed in plaque assays of wild type SARS-CoV-2 infection of Vero E6 cells at 24 and 48 h post-treatment (Fig 2F). A similar shift of the potency of both SR-914 and SR-372, as well as the Cathepsin inhibitor control, E64d, was observed using SARS-CoV-2 entry assay in Vero CCL81 cells, which express lower levels of ACE2 receptor as compared with HEK293T-ACE2 cells (Table 1 and S1, Fig S1 and S2B). Altogether, these results suggest a specific inhibitory mechanism of SR-914 and SR-372, dependent on ACE2-mediated entry.

**SR-914 characterization.** Since SR-914 and SR-372 are analogs (Table 1) and SR-914 showed improved TI, we emphasized studies with SR-914.

Activity of SR-914 against the cell surface entry pathway. As SR-914 significantly inhibited the endosomal pathway, we verified its activity against the second SARS-CoV-2 reported entry pathway, the cell surface entry pathway, where the S protein is activated by TMPRSS2 at (or close to) the cell surface, resulting in fusion of the viral and plasma cell membranes [32,33]. We used HEK293T stably expressing ACE2 and TMPRSS2 cells (Fig 3A, S2B) in SARS2-S and VSVG-G entry assays. SR-914 inhibited SARS2-S with an IC50 of 10.93 ± 0.78 μM and VSVG-G with an IC50 > 20 μM. These results are similar to the activity of the compound in HEK293T-ACE2 cells devoid of TMPRSS2 (Table 1, Fig S2B), suggesting the ability of SR-914 to inhibit SARS2-S entry independently of TMPRSS2, and likely the ability of the compounds to inhibit both reported entry pathways for SARS-CoV-2.

**Mechanism of action of SR-914.** To further confirm the stage of SARS2-S entry targeted by SR-914, we conducted time-of-drug addition experiments, using Vero CCL81 cells. Compounds were either incubated with cells during the virus entry process (entry), post-entry (post-entry) or during the entire infection period (full-time), and luciferase expression was quantified 48 h later (Fig 3B). As anticipated, total inhibition was observed when SR-914 was present during the “full-time” condition. Interestingly, SR-914 blocked equally well during both entry and post-entry stages (Fig 3C). In the case of the “entry” condition, compound was only present during the first hour prior to removal of excess virus by washing. In the “post-entry” condition, compound was only present after the washing step, where the virus had already permeated the cell membrane. Interestingly, VBY-825, which is a Cathepsin inhibitor from the ReFRAME library, previously shown to be an entry inhibitor, presented a similar pattern as SR-914 [34]. However, E64d showed a better potency at the entry than post-entry (Fig 3C). This result suggests a dual mechanism of action for SR-914 at the entry and post-entry steps.

**Specificity of SR-914.** SR-914 is a cysteine protease inhibitor (calpain I and II, Cathepsin L and K). To verify its specificity toward the entry of SARS-2, we tested its activity against the SARS-CoV-2 non-structural proteases 3CLpro (MPRO) and PLpro in a cell-based luciferase complementation reporter assay, which incorporates the unique peptide cleavage site specific for each of the proteases (Fig 3D). In this sense, inhibitors of these assays would diminish the luminescence response generated upon implementation of the firefly luciferase substrate. SR-914 (calpeptin) showed very little activity against 3CLpro and PLpro when tested at 4μM in these cell-based assays (Fig 3E). The study reported in Cell Research that demonstrated on target biochemical assay activity showed only moderate activity of calpeptin against the main viral protease (MPRO) (IC50 = 10.38 μM) [35]. This weak activity in the biochemical assay in combination with the exceptional activity in our entry assays and in vitro infectivity assays suggests calpeptin possibly has inhibitory activity against multiple targets which supports a dual mechanism of action that has been hypothesized by us and others [50].

**Activity of SR-914 against viruses pseudotyped with the S protein from SARS-CoV-1 and highly virulent emerging strains of SARS-CoV-2.** The breadth of SR-914 activity was first investigated in entry assays in HEK293T-ACE2 cells of MLV reporter viruses pseudotyped with the S proteins of SARS-1 (Fig 4A). SR-914 showed potent activity against SARS1-S protein with an IC50 of 77.18 ± 4.69 nM. However, it was found to be ∼8 fold less potent than it is against SARS2-S (Fig 4A and Table 1). This lower activity may be derived from the fact that only 68% similarity is shared between the two S proteins [36,37], suggesting specificity of SR-914 to the SARS-CoV-2 entry step.

New SARS-CoV-2 variants have been emerging worldwide (Fig 4B and C). The United Kingdom (UK) variant, known as B.1.1.7, has large number of variations but noteworthy is the N501Y-D614G mutation located in the S protein, which has been associated with increased risk of transmissibility [38]. The South Africa (SA) variant, B.1.351 also has these 2 substitutions and additional mutations at residues K417N and E484K that weaken the neutralization by current vaccines [38]. Residues 417, 484 and 501 are located in the crucial 438-506 region of the RBD involved in the binding of the S protein with the ACE2 receptor [39]. Although the mutation 614 is far from this region, it was shown to affect their interaction [40]. All these variants have now been detected in numerous countries, including the United States. The D614G mutation variants overtook the wildtype SARS-CoV-2 over the year of 2020 but importantly in 2021 an increased number of populations are affected by N501Y-D614G variants (Fig 4C). Delta, or B.1.617.2, is now circulating in 98% of the countries worldwide and is considerably more transmissible (∼2 fold) than the first Wuhan strain. It has ∼10 mutations in the S glycoprotein, with the four of them associated to higher virulence (L452R, T478K, D614G and P681R [41]). These may support
the increase in vaccine breakthrough recently observed with this variant. In fact, the WHO currently regards the Delta variant as the fittest and fastest variant so far. Notably, at the time that most of the work associated with this manuscript was done, the delta variant didn’t exist, again alluding to the expediency with which more infectious and virulent variants arise.

We thus investigated the activity of SR-914 in entry assays in HEK293T-ACE2 cells infected with pseudotyped viruses expressing the S protein mutated in residues D614G, N501Y-D614G or presenting the mutations from UK and SA variants (Fig. 4D and E). Pseudotyped viruses were used at the same TCIID50.

In the absence of calpeptin, all construct presenting inclusive of the mutation D614G showed a significant increase of luciferase expression, when used at equivalent MOI, compared to Wild Type (WT, Fig. 4D). This increased activity related to the mutation D614G was previously shown by Choe’s group [40]. Interestingly, the combination of this mutation with N501Y further amplified the luciferase signal and confirms the importance of this mutation in the increased spread of the virus (Fig. 4D). To our knowledge this has never been demonstrated in vitro. Only a recent computational analysis of mutational strains [42] described N501Y as a more prone to disease compared to D614G. The mutation of D614G for secondary structure prediction shows no changes in secondary structure, while remaining in the coil region, whereas the mutation N501Y changes from coil structure to extended strand. This results in a higher affinity to human ACE2 protein compared to D614G based on this docking study. Remarkably, N501Y-D614G mutations present in UK and SA strains did not induce the same increase of activity (Fig. 4D), suggesting that the other mutations of both strains may have counteract the activity of the double mutations.

Treatment with calpeptin (SR-914) reduces the infectivity of all the mutants, in the nanomolar range, with marginally different potency. Calpeptin inhibits the constructs in the following order:

\[
\text{WT (3.78 nM)} > \text{SA (6.58 nM)} > \text{N501Y-D614G (8.98 nM)} > \text{D614G (11.52 nM)} > \text{UK (34.74 nM)}
\]
As we mentioned earlier, we hypothesized that calpeptin may have a dual mechanism of action that inhibit virus entry and post entry steps. To visualize how calpeptin inhibits entry steps, we performed molecular docking of the compound SR-914 to wildtype RBD in the context of the ACE2 receptor (Fig. S3). Accordingly, in silico ligand docking studies revealed that calpeptin binds to the WT RBD (PDB ID: 6MOJ), with the highest affinity (−4.38 kcal/mol), by forming two hydrogen bonds with S494, a single hydrogen bond with Y453, a π-π stacking interaction with Y505, and a p-cation interaction with R403 (Fig. S3D). These residues are all critical to forming the intermolecular interaction with the ACE2 receptor [39], and therefore viral entry. As expected, an inactive analog of calpeptin showed poor binding to WT RBD (−3.46 kcal/mol, Fig S5A). Similar to the cell-based assay results, calpeptin has the lowest affinity for RBD-UK (derived from PDB ID: 6MOJ, −3.68 kcal/mol, Fig. S4C). This lower affinity likely stems from the N501Y mutation within the RBD, which results in calpeptin binding in an alternate orientation that is less conducive to forming intermolecular bonds. When docked to the RBD-UK, calpeptin forms three intermolecular interactions compared to the five intermolecular interactions formed with WT RBD. The D614G, N501Y-D614G and SA mutants had intermediate affinities for calpeptin, docking at −4.04, −4.35 and −4.29 kcal/mol, respectively (Fig. S4A, B, D). The SA variant contains the K417N, E484K and N501Y mutations. The K417N and E484K mutations are outside of the immediate binding site of calpeptin; however, calpeptin forms a hydrogen bond with Y501 of the SA variant spike protein. The ability of calpeptin to form a hydrogen bond with Y501 of the SA variant but not Y501 of the UK variant is likely the result of structural rearrangements that alter the shape of the binding cleft and can be attributed to the two additional mutations present in the SA variant that are distal from the calpeptin binding cleft. calpeptin docked to the D614G and N501Y-D614G RBD mutants in a similar orientation to that of the WT RBD. The N501Y-D614G RBD showed weaker affinity for calpeptin relative to the D614G
mutant through in vitro cell-based assay but the in silico ligand docking GlideScore is similar to WT. Consequently, the double mutant is the only outlier in the linear correlation plot (Fig. 5SB). Both mutants form hydrogen bonds with S494 and G496, though the introduction of the N501Y mutation, which allows the formation of a hydrogen bond and an additional \( \pi-\pi \) stacking interaction bond between calpeptin and the Y501 residue. Additionally, the introduction of the N501Y mutation created an additional \( \pi-\pi \) stacking bond between calpeptin and Y505, likely as a result of a conformational perturbation originating from the Y501 mutation.

Mutant RBD models derived from PDB ID: 7KDK contained some missing residues within the RBD that were assigned from the protein sequence using Prime in Schrodinger Maestro. Notably, the missing residues in the conformations are not derived from a crystal structure, and hence the models may stray from the actual structure of the mutant spike proteins. However, plotting the GlideScores obtained through in silico docking against the logarithmic IC\(_{50}\) of the ligand obtained in cell-based assays resulted in a strong linear correlation with a R\(^2\) of 0.878, validating the models created.

In summary, in this study, calpeptin showed inhibitory activity specifically to SARS-2 entry as well as post-entry step. This strongly suggests calpeptin may a dual mechanism. For SARS entry inhibition, we hypothesized a direct binding between the RBD and calpeptin that affects the S-A2E2 interaction. In support of this hypothesis, we point to the results of the time of drug addition experiments, the difference in activity of calpeptin against the various mutants of SARS2-S or SARS1-S, and the molecular modeling data. It is also quite plausible that calpeptin inhibits SARS entry via inhibition of the host cell dependent pathway involving Cathepsin L1. Calpain inhibitors such as calpeptin are cell permeable protease inhibitors which have also been shown to inhibit MPRO, albeit very weakly in the double digit micromolar range [35,43]. Thus, its potent activity against the novel variants is a welcome feature, given that these variants are rapidly overtaking the original, rapidly spreading, and escaping from current vaccines. For instance, J&J’s vaccine only inhibits the SA strain by 57% [44,45].

Discussion

Our robust and reliable HTS campaign of ~15,000 clinically relevant compounds targeting SARS-CoV-2 entry identified SR-914 “calpeptin”, which is a reversible semi-synthetic peptidomimetic aldehyde inhibitor of calpain I, calpain II, Cathepsin L and K [46,47] and now proven as a potent SARS-CoV-2 inhibitor in vitro. In particular, calpeptin inhibits USA-WA1/2020 isolate with a higher potency than the FDA approved drug Remdesivir in Vero E6 cells enriched in ACE2 receptors, and as shown through a dual temporal activity, allowing the inhibition of both SARS-CoV-2 entry pathways. Calpeptin has broad and specific activity against the novel highly virulent variants and SARS-CoV-1. We hypothesized calpeptin acts partially by binding to the RBD and preventing the binding to the ACE2 receptor, but may also prevent shedding at the entry step, as calpeptin was previously shown to block the shedding of the prion proteins [48]. Additionally, calpeptin may block S protein triggering calpain release from endoplasmic reticulum stress resulting in SARS-CoV-2 replication at the post-entry steps. This activity of the S protein was previously described for SARS-CoV-1 S protein [49]. The inhibition of SARS2-S by an inhibitor of a calcium dependent protein kinase pathway, SR-629 (Fig. 2A), reinforces this hypothesis and provides SARS-CoV-2 S protein with a novel function. However, we do not exclude its anti-Cathepsin L activity at the post-entry step. Additionally, calpeptin showed moderate inhibitory activity against MPRO [35] and this activity has been shown in further studies to bind covalently with SARS-CoV-2 MPRO [50]. This could be due in part to post-entry inhibition of calpeptin.

Importantly, calpeptin potently blocked, in mice, aberrant calpain activities that display similar diagnoses to coronaviruses. In particular, calpeptin inhibited calpain inducing lung related diseases such as inflammatory pulmonary, pulmonary fibrosis, ventilator-induced dysfunction of the diaphragm, asthma and anti-lung tumor [51–56]. It was also shown to be neuroprotective [57–60] and to preserve the myocardial structure and function [61]. Crucially, calpeptin was used in mice for about one month without any toxicity [57,59]. These multiple and ubiquitous activities of calpeptin could be very helpful in the severe state of the disease, where several organs are affected, and unfortunately poorly targeted by the FDA approved therapeutics. The use of calpain inhibitors are likely safe since several selective calpains inhibitors are currently in clinical trials for various diseases [43,62,63,64,65] and the compounds against SARS-CoV-2 are intended for use for acute disease control; where short-term toxicity and promiscuity may be better tolerated.

Taken together, our study demonstrates the success of a large-scale drug repurposing effort, confirming the outcomes of others with respect to hit-to-lead efficacy. We identified calpeptin as a novel lead that appears to be a potent inhibitor of the early events in SARS-CoV-2 entry and confirmed its broad activity against whole virus and pseudotyped virus containing novel alarming coronavirus variants. Our future efforts will be focused on determining the precise mechanism of action, testing its activity in human tissues, and performing structure-activity relationship along with using it as a component of inhibitor cocktails for increased potency.
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