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Background

When a happy six-year-old boy comes to your clinic with complaints of pain in his right hip after activity and his parents say their son has limping, which important disease should not be missed? The answer is the Legg-Calvé-Perthes disease (1CPD). This pediatric orthopaedic condition corresponds to an avascular necrosis of the proximal capital femoral epiphysis (1). Arthur T. Legg, Jacques Calvé, and Georg Perthes were the first to describe the disease in 1910 (2) and after 110 years, causes of the disease are still unclear. Furthermore, since a lot of issues and controversies remain, it is essential for any orthopedic surgeon to have a correct understanding of the natural history, as well as the diagnostic and prognostic classifications to decide whether the patient requires referrals and management by an experienced team.

In this article, we tried aim to address the most important points and issues that any orthopedic surgeon should know about the Perthes disease.

When Must Perthes Disease Be Suspected?

The incidence varies widely across populations and ethnicities, but the global incidence is estimated to be between 0.4 and 29 per 100,000 children under the age of 15 years. The typical age at presentation ranges from 4 to 8 years (average 6.5 years) (3). Usually at the age of 4 to 7, the first symptoms appear. Boys are four times more likely to be affected than girls, so about 80% of those affected are boys (4).

Both hip joints are affected simultaneously or sequentially in some children. Studies have reported 8 to 24% of bilateral hip involvement (5).

Clinical Manifestation

Limping associated with hip or knee pain and limited hip range of motion (ROM) are common manifestations. Hip synovitis after activity, resulting in joint effusion, is the main cause of the symptoms. However, it should be noted that some children may sometimes have no symptoms, but some kind of intermittent pain or limping is frequently found during the past few months (6).

Depending on the nature of the disease, physical examination might be perfectly normal at the time of outpatient clinical visit; therefore, in any case of limping and occasional hip pain history, pelvic X-ray should be considered (Figure 1).

Natural History and Prognosis

A cascade of events involving the disruption of the femoral head blood supply and epiphysial necrosis occurs before the necrotic bone is resorbed by osteoclasts, followed by epiphysial collapse and fragmentation. Over time, epiphysial healing occurs, which takes about 2 years. Due to hypertrophy of synovium and surrounding tissues and muscle spasm, extrusion of the femoral head occurs (7).
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Figure 1, (A) A 6 year-old boy with complaint of right hip pain with a normal hip range of motion (ROM) in examination. According to his age, gender and history of occasional limping, anteroposterior (AP) pelvic radiograph was requested. (B)
Age at onset, stage of disease, condition of epiphysial femoral head and extent of involvement, and the extrusion of the femoral head are the most important criteria considered to determine the prognosis of the disease and outcomes. The disease onset after the age of six, female gender, obesity, and hip joint stiffness, especially limited abduction, are associated with poor results (8, 9).

**Disease Stages**

Radiographic Waldenström classification (10) (Table 1) describes natural radiographic course of the disease and has been improved over time (modified Elizabethtown classification) (Figure 2)(1).

| Stages                        | Description                          |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1                             | Initial stage/increased head density |
| 2                             | Fragmentation stage                  |
| 3                             | Healing or reossification period      |
| 4                             | Definite period (healed or remodeling)|

**Radiography**

The diagnosis, classification, and prognosis were based on pelvic X-ray findings. Usual assessment was made on the anteroposterior (AP), frog-leg, and abduction radiographs. According to the Waldenström classification (10), in the early stages of the disease: widening of the medial hip joint space, “finger nail hit” sign (Figure 3), reduction in epiphyseal size with condensation and opacity are seen on radiographs (Figure 4-A).

As the disease progresses through the remodeling period, more or less deformities of the proximal femur occur (coxa vara, coxa magna, coxa breva, femoral neck widening), in addition to the acetabular changes with time (retroversion) (11).

**Long-term Outcomes**

The usually proposed question was what is the probability of early hip osteoarthritis? Stulberg classification (Table 2) predicts the long-term outcome judged by the risk of long-term hip osteoarthritis at skeletal maturity, with no, mild-to-moderate, and severe osteoarthritis in respectively group I/II, III/IV, and group V patients before the age of 50 years old (14).
Table 2. Stulberg classification

| Class | Condition of the femoral head and joint congruency | Outcome |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------|---------|
| I & II | Spherical congruity                              | Osteoarthritis is not developed. |
| III & IV | Aspherical congruity                            | Mild-to-moderate osteoarthritis in adulthood |
| V     | Aspherical incongruity                           | Severe osteoarthritis before the age of 50 years old |

In summary, our patient was a six-year-old boy that was presented for occasional limping with normal physical examination of the hip. The early X-ray images (Figure 4-A) showed unilateral (right hip) Perthes disease at its initial stage (increased density). 6 months later (Figure 4-B), the patient was in the fragmentation stage (Figure 2a).

Based on the above, the following decision-making and management algorithm was established (Figure 6).

![Decision-making and management algorithm](http://jost.tums.ac.ir)

Figure 6. Legg-Calvé-Perthes disease: Tips to be carefully observed and answered

ROM: Range of motion

### Treatment Guidelines

The aim of the treatment was to adapt the content (the head) to the container (acetabulum) for the best possible configuration at the end of the treatment (healing period) in order to guide the epiphysis reconstruction and avoid/delay early hip osteoarthritis, with the containment theory being either conservative or surgical.

All of the findings in the evaluations mentioned above help us make the best treatment decisions, which included: age at onset, stage of disease, amount of femoral head extrusion, extent of femoral head involvement, and examination of affected hip.

#### Surgical or Non-surgical Treatment?

Based on literature outcomes and our own experience, the results of different treatments at our center were obtained according to the following algorithm of treatment decisions (Figure 7).

In non-surgical treatment, abduction braces are used and mostly recommended under the age of 5. However, their efficiency to rest without weight-bearing has not been demonstrated yet. Nonetheless, an abduction brace does follow the containment theory (9, 11, 12, 14).

Surgical treatments are based on different procedures, either at the femur and/or at the pelvis (Salter osteotomy, triple osteotomy, Bernese osteotomy) and depend on surgeon’s preference and experience, since there is no definitive evidence for one procedure against others (15). In our center, proximal femur varus osteotomies are preferred as containment surgery.

#### Management at Initial and Fragmentation Stages

For patients under 6 years old, the prognosis is good, and the results of both surgical and conservative treatments are similar. Therefore, in the absence of femoral head extrusion, observation is recommended. Even in cases of extrusion, conservative treatment with brace might still be sufficient (16).

Between the ages of 6 and 8, if there is no extrusion and the femoral head involvement is less than 50%, conservative treatment and monitoring should be provided. Otherwise (extrusion, involvement > 50%), containment surgery should be performed.

Between the ages of 8 and 12, surgery should be considered due to the lack of remodeling potential (14, 17, 18). After the age of 12, reorientation acetabular osteotomies might be considered, like in adult avascular necrosis (19).

#### Management during the Re-ossification Stage

At this stage, if limitation in hip ROM, especially hinge abduction is present, hip arthrography should be performed. If irreducible “hinge abduction” is confirmed in the arthrogram regardless of other factors, surgical treatment including proximal femur valgus osteotomy with or without acetabular procedure is recommended.

On the other hand, in cases of femoral head extrusion or more than 50% involvement, if there is reducible hinge abduction or no limitation in the hip ROM, containment surgery is recommended (19).

#### Management during the Healing Period

Management depends on the shape of the femoral head and the acetabulum, as well as the patients’ complaints.

If the patient has no complaints, regular monitoring should be performed once a year until the skeletal maturity.

In case of painful hip or restrictions of movement and activities, appropriate evaluations should be taken to identify the type of pathology and appropriate treatment. Otherwise, no action is needed in asymptomatic patients.

The following (Table 3) are the recommended procedures according to the Perthes’ disease symptoms and characteristics (20, 21).

| Pathology | Surgical treatment |
|-----------|--------------------|
| Coxa brevis with a Trendelenburg gait | Trochanteric advancement with or without lengthening the femoral neck |
| Femoroacetabular impingement | Repairing the labral pathology and/or correcting impingement |
| Established degenerative joint disease/ articular surface is severely damaged | Total hip replacement |

### Summary and Review of the Case

In children with hip pain and sudden limping, in addition to differentials such as septic arthritis and slipped capital femoral epiphysis, it is important to consider perthes disease as well. Therefore, accurate
clinical examinations and comprehensive interpretation of pelvic radiography are helpful.

The sooner we can diagnose Perthes disease, the easier it will be for us to prevent the femoral head deformities, which is the ultimate goal of treatment.

We may not play a role in the age of onset of the disease, but our management and treatment will certainly prevent early hip osteoarthritis.

Thus, about the case mentioned in this study, for the six-year-old boy patient with normal ROM of hip, the fragmentation stage of Waldenström’s classification, and type 2a of modified Elizabethtown classification on the involved side, the femoral head was extruded.

According to the pelvic radiographs, age, and proposed algorithm, the containment surgery with a proximal femur varus osteotomy was performed (Figure 8).
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