Molecular Determinants of the Sensory and Motor Neuron-derived Factor Insertion into Plasma Membrane*
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The sensory and motor neuron-derived factor (SMDF) is a type III neuregulin that regulates development and proliferation of Schwann cells. Although SMDF has been shown to be a type II protein, the molecular determinants of membrane biogenesis, insertion, and topology remain elusive. Here we used heterologous expression of a yellow fluorescent protein-SMDF fusion protein along with a stepwise deletion strategy to show that the apolar/uncharged segment (Ile76-Val100) acts as an internal, uncleaved membrane insertion signal that defines the topology of the protein. Unexpectedly, removal of the transmembrane segment (TM) did not eliminate completely membrane association of C-terminal fragments. TM-deleted fusion proteins, bearing the amino acid segment (Ser283-Glu296) located downstream to the epidermal growth factor-like motif, strongly interacted with plasma membrane fractions. However, synthetic peptides patterned after this segment did not insert into artificial lipid vesicles, suggesting that membrane interaction of the SMDF C terminus may be the result of a post-translational modification. Subcellular localization studies demonstrated that the 40-kDa form, but not the 83-kDa form, of SMDF was segregated into lipid rafts. Deletion of the N-terminal TM did not affect the interaction of the protein with these lipid microdomains. In contrast, association with membrane rafts was abolished completely by truncation of the protein C terminus. Collectively, these findings are consistent with a topological model for SMDF in which the protein associates with the plasma membrane through both the TM and the C-terminal end domains resembling the topology of other type III neuregulins. The TM defines its characteristic type II membrane topology, whereas the C terminus is a newly recognized anchoring motif that determines its compartmentalization into lipid rafts. The differential localization of the 40- and 83-kDa forms of the neuregulin into rafts and non-raft domains implies a central role in the protein biological activity.

Neuregulin-1 gene (NRG-1) products comprise a group of cell-cell signaling proteins that act as ligands for the same family of ErbB receptor tyrosine kinases (1). Members of the neuregulin family are expressed in several tissues including nervous system and heart, where they are implicated in diverse cellular processes, such as cell proliferation, differentiation, and survival (2).

The NRG-1 family comprises at least 14 different isoforms, each containing an EGF-like motif that is essential for receptor recognition. NGR-1 isoforms have been classified according to the structure of their N-terminal region. Thus, type I and type II isoforms (which include acetylcholine receptor-inducing activity and glial growth factor II) contain an Ig-like domain, whereas type III presents a cysteine-rich domain (2). In addition, most of the family members are membrane proteins that suffer a proteolytic cleavage to relieve a signaling domain essential for their functional activity (6).

Among the NRG-1 family, the type III subfamily plays a role in the signaling that coordinates the interaction of peripheral nervous system with Schwann cells and muscles (3). The first type III NRG reported was SMDF, a neuregulin highly expressed in motor neurons and dorsal root ganglion neurons (4). At variance with other type III NRGs, SMDF has a beta3 EGF-like motif and is characterized by the absence of a second TM segment downstream of the EGF-like domain. Amino acid sequence and hydropathy analysis does not reveal the presence of a true TM segment (4). There is, however, an apolar/uncharged stretch of amino acids at the N-terminal domain that might act as a TM segment (4, 5). Indeed, SMDF has been proposed to be a group II membrane protein with a single TM segment that locates the N terminus to the cytosol, and exposes the EGF-like motif to the extracellular milieu (5). The mitogenic activity of SMDF on neighboring cells may require the proteolytic release of the EGF-like motif, although the intact protein may also serve as a membrane-attached signal (5, 6). In support of this notion, it has been reported that some members of the NRG-1 family are segregated into lipid rafts, membrane microdomains considered platforms for the selective delivery of proteins to specialized locations in neurons and epithelial cells (7).

In this paper, we study the molecular determinants of SMDF topology. In addition, we investigate whether SMDF biogenesis involves compartmentalization in lipid rafts. The experimental approach uses an YFP-SMDF fusion protein that had the fluorescent protein fused to the N terminus of the NRG. We show that the apolar/uncharged region located at the N-terminal domain of the protein acts as an uncleaved, internal membrane insertion signal sequence, and report that the protein is addi-
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tionally anchored to the plasma membrane through its C terminus, probably by an acylation-like post-translational modification. We also report that SMDF partitions into lipid rafts. Interestingly, only the 40 kDa form of SMDF was detected in rafts domains. Removal of the TM did not alter partitioning of the protein into membrane rafts. In contrast, segregation into these lipid microdomains was prevented by deletion of the C-terminal anchoring site. Taken together, our findings are consistent with a membrane topology model for this protein which resembles that proposed for other members of the type III NRG-1 gene subfamily, namely the protein is anchored to the cell membrane through two sites.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Materials**—Human dorsal root ganglion (Normal-NCI CGAP PNS1) cDNA library, primers, Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, fetal bovine serum, antibiotics, Optiprep, and pcDNA3.1 were obtained from Invitrogen. Pfu turbo DNA polymerase and BL21 codon plus Escherichia coli strain were from Stratagene. Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG and anti-mouse IgG secondary antibodies, monoclonal anti-phosphotyrosine clone PT-66, and phosphatidylinositol-gated anti-rabbit IgG were from Santa Cruz. Biotinylated anti-rabbit IgG was from Vector Laboratories. ECL Plus was from Amersham Biosciences.

**Amplification and Cloning of SMDF-encoding cDNA**—SMDF-encoding cDNA was amplified from a human DRG library by PCR using Pfu turbo DNA polymerase and the EcoRI restriction site containing primers 5’SMDF: CCT TGG AAT TCG ACC ATT TAT and 3’SMDF: GAT TTA GGA ATT GGA GCA GC. The amplified fragment was gel purified, EcoRI digested, and cloned into the pcDNA 3.1(+) plasmid. Direct and inverse oriented sequences were selected by restriction analysis and verified by automatic sequencing. To clone SMDF into the pEYFP-C1 plasmid, PCR amplification was performed using as template pcDNA-SMDF with the 5’-EcoRI restriction site containing primer 5’SMDF: CAG GCT GAA TTC TGG AGA AGT CCA to and the 3’-SalI restriction site containing primer 3’SMDF: GAT GCA GAA AGT CGA CAC C. The amplified product was digested with EcoRI and SalI and cloned directionally into the pEYFP-C1 plasmid. For the cloning in pEX-4T1 a similar procedure was used, except the 5’-EcoRI restriction site containing primer 5’SMDF: CAG GCT GAA TTC TGG AGA AGT CCA to and the 3’-SalI restriction site containing primer 3’SMDF: GAT GCA GAA AGT CGA CAC C.

**Production of Deletions**—To obtain the pEYFP-SMDFΔ108–296 construct, pEYFP-SMDF was truncated at the BglII site. A similar strategy, but using the BglI site, was used to produce pEYFP-SMDFΔ1–64 and pEYFP-SMDFΔ65–296. All other deletions were obtained by one-step inverse PCR with the proofreading Pfu turbo DNA polymerase and two restriction digestions. Briefly, externally oriented primers with PAC1 unique restriction sites were used to amplify the whole construct except the region to be deleted. PCR products were digested (in the amplification buffer) with 20 units of DpnI for 2 h at 37 °C to remove the methylated plasmid templates, subsequently purified, and digested with PAC1. Purified digestions were re-ligated, transformed in DH5α, and selected for kanamycin resistance. More than 95% of the colonies contained the designed deletions as verified by restriction digestion and automatic sequencing.

**Cell Lines, Culture, and Transfections**—CO-7 cultures were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum. Cells were plated on 2-cm² wells at 250,000 cells/well. 20 h later, cells were cooled on ice, washed with PBS, and scraped off in buffer C containing 10 mM GSH in 5 mM dithiothreitol, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. The diluted suspension was added to the wells of a 6-well plate containing 100 μl of Dulbecco’s modified Eagles medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum. Isolation of plasma membranes

**Isolation of Plasma Membrane Fractions from Transfected Cells**— Plasma membranes were essentially prepared as described by Schroeer and Carey (5) with minor modifications. Briefly, transfected cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and 1 ml of buffer A (2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 20 mM HEPES pH 7.4). Cell lysates were centrifuged at 6,000 × g for 10 min to prepare low speed pellet (Pi, containing the plasma membranes) and supernatants (S), which contain the soluble proteins and the remaining membranes. Low speed pellets (Pi) were washed in buffer B (buffer A + 1 M NaCl), incubated for 15 min at 4 °C, centrifuged, and washed with buffer A for desalting. In some experiments, pellets were washed with 50 mM Na₂CO₃, pH 12. Final pellets (P) and supernatants (S), prepared from equivalent amounts of cells, were mixed with β-mercaptoethanol containing SDS sample buffer, heated, and separated by SDS-PAGE. Proteins were electrophoresed onto nitrocellulose membranes, blocked with 10% milk in TBS containing 0.1% Tween 20, incubated with the anti-HRGβ3 polyclonal antibody in blocking buffer (1:2,000) for 1 h. Membranes were washed with TBS-Tween (0.3%), incubated with the horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG, and developed with the ECL Plus system.

**Tyrosine Phosphorylation Assay**—SMDF-induced tyrosine phosphorylation of ErbB receptors was carried out as described by Ho et al. (4).

**In Vivo Immunocytochemistry and Confocal Microscopy**—Cells were seeded on poly-l-lysine-coated coverslips at 50–200 × 10⁶ cells/well and transfected as indicated previously. The medium was removed, and cells were washed three times for 5 min using PBS with 10% fetal bovine serum (PBS/FBS). After warming to 37 °C, cells were incubated with anti-HRGβ3 (1:200) in PBS/FBS at room temperature for 20 min, washed with PBS/FBS three times for 8 min, and incubated with biotinylated anti-rabbit IgG (1:200) in PBS/FBS at room temperature for 20 min. Washes were repeated, and cells were incubated with streptavidin Alexa 546 (1:200) in PBS/FBS, washed in the same conditions, and prepared for in vivo fluorescence microscopy in a Zeiss Axioskop microscope using a 20 × objective. For confocal microscopy studies, cells were transfected, washed with PBS, and observed in vivo with a confocal microscope Olympus Fluoview 300 using a 478 nm argon laser.

**Purification of the Recombinant Neuregulin SMDF from E. coli**—SMDF-encoding cDNA was cloned in pGEX-4T-1 and transformed in the BL21 codon plus strain. Bacterial cells were grown at 28 °C until reaching 0.6–0.8 A (600 nm). Thereafter, cells were induced with isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside at 0.3 mM for 4 h and pelleted. The pellet was resuspended in PBS and 5 mM dithiothreitol and sonicated. Triton-X100 was added to reach 1% and centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 10 min. GST-SMDF was purified from the supernatant with GSH-agarose beads. After extensive washing, GST-SMDF was eluted from the beads with 50 mM GSH in 5 mM dithiothreitol, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. Protein concentration was calculated with the method of Bradford (8).

**Triton X-100 Flotation Experiments**—Analysis of detergent-insoluble complexes in flotation gradients was performed following standard protocols (7, 12, 13). Briefly, about 2.5 × 10⁶ transiently transfected cells were fixed on ice, washed with PBS, and scraped off in buffer C containing 10 mM EDTA, 10 mM NaCl, 1 μM of TTX, 150 μM of HRP, 150 μM of GSH, 5 mM of dithiothreitol, 1 mM of propyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside at a final concentration of 1 mM in terms of lipid phosphorus. Triton-X100, 20 mM Hepes pH 7.4, passed 10 times through a 29-gauge needle, extracted at 4 °C for 30 min, and brought to 35% Optiprep. One ml of the extract was sequentially overloaded with 8 ml of 30% Optiprep in 0.5× buffer C and 400 μl of buffer C in an SW41 tube. After centrifugation (175,000 × g for 4 °C for 4 h), 10-lm fractions were collected from the top to the bottom of the gradient; 200 μl of each fraction was precipitated with trichloroacetic acid, pH-neutralized, and analyzed by immunoblotting using the anti-HRGβ3 and anti-GFP antibodies.

**Differential Scanning Calorimetry**—For differential scanning calorimetry large multilamellar vesicles made from synthetic dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine (DMPC) or DMPC plus cholesterol at 10% molar percentage were used. Dried lipids films obtained from chloroform solutions were suspended in 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM Hepes pH 7.4 to give a final concentration of 1 mM in terms of lipid phosphorus. The resuspended lipids were kept for 90 min above their phase transition temperatures and vortexed. The resulting liposomes were stored overnight at 4 °C to assure a complete hydration of the sample prior to the differential scanning calorimetry measurements. Thermograms were recorded on a high resolution Microcal MC-2 differential scanning microcalorimeter, equipped with a 2-D digital laser light scattering detection, as described (14). Lipid dispersions containing the peptides at different molar ratios, and the corresponding buffer in the reference cell were thermally equilibrated in the microcalorimeter, at −10 °C for 45 min, before heat was applied. Differences in the heat capacity between the sample and the reference cell were obtained by raising the temperature at a constant rate of 45 °C/h. Temperature transitions and en-
thalpies were calculated by fitting the observed transitions to a single van’t Hoff component.

RESULTS

YFP-SMDF Fusion Protein Mimics the Biological Properties of SMDF—To gain insights into SMDF membrane insertion, topology, and localization, we designed a YFP-SMDF fusion protein by cloning the YFP at the N terminus of the neuregulin. For this purpose, the SMDF cDNA was amplified from a human DRG cDNA library and cloned into the pEYFP-C1 vector. The subcellular location and functional activity of both SMDF and YFP-SMDF were compared upon their transient heterologous expression in COS-7 cells. Immunocytochemical studies using the antibody anti-HRGβ3, raised against the C terminus of the neuregulin, show that both SMDF and YFP-SMDF were highly expressed in the cell surface, as depicted by the labeling of nonpermeabilized COS-7 cells (Fig. 1A, a and e). A pattern of green fluorescence was present in YFP-SMDF- and YFP-transfected cells (Fig. 1A, b and d). As expected, anti-HRG-β3 immunoreactivity was absent in YFP-expressing cells (Fig. 1A, c and f). These results suggest that YFP-SMDF is highly expressed in COS-7 cells and that a fraction of this protein is located in the plasma membrane, with the ectodomain exposed on the cell surface.

SMDF is released into the extracellular medium, presumably by proteolytic processing (4, 5). Membrane release of neuregulins is an important step for their functional activity because it may be required for the efficient interaction with their receptors in target cells (1, 2). Hence, we investigated whether the YFP-SMDF fusion protein was properly processed and released to the extracellular milieu. Immunoblot analysis of culture media from SMDF- and YFP-SMDF-transfected cells disclosed a diffuse, faint band of ~50 kDa which was recognized by the anti-HRGβ3 antibody (Fig. 1B, lanes 2 and 3). Notice that the molecular mass of this band is smaller than the major, 83-kDa SMDF immunoreactive form observed in cellular lysates (Fig. 1B, lane 1). Because the antibody recognized the C terminus, these observations indicate that the 50 kDa band corresponds to the C-terminal ectodomain of the neuregulin which contains the EGF-like motif. Thus, the presence of the YFP protein at the N terminus did not affect the proteolytic processing of the neuregulin.

We next evaluated the functional activity of both SMDF and
YFP-SMDF. For this purpose, we used the epithelial cell line MCF-7, which expresses ErbB receptors (4, 15). Functional activity of the neuregulin was determined as the extent of ErbB tyrosine phosphorylation induced by conditioned medium obtained from COS-7 cells cultures expressing either SMDF or YFP-SMDF. As illustrated in Fig. 1C, both types of conditioned medium stimulated the tyrosine phosphorylation of a 185-kDa protein in MCF-7 cells. This band corresponds to the ErbB 2 tyrosine autophosphorylation induced by conditioned media from cells transfected with pEYFP-C1 or YFP-SMDF. For this purpose, we used the epithelial cell line YFP-SMDF. The extent of receptor phosphorylation decreased in conditioned medium stimulated by conditioned medium from cells transfected with pEYFP-C1 or YFP-SMDF. YFP-SMDF was found in the supernatant fraction (Fig. 1C, P1 and S1), whereas YFP-SMDF was encountered mainly in the pelleted membrane fraction (Fig. 1C, P2 and S2), consistent with its location in the cell surface. The Δ1-108-296 truncated protein was also largely associated with low speed pelleted fractions (Fig. 1C, P3 and S3). In contrast, deletion mutant Δ65-296 was only detected in the supernatant fraction (Fig. 1C, P4 and S4), similar to free YFP. Unexpectedly, Δ101-296, which lacks the TM segment, was found primarily in the plasma membrane-enriched fractions (Fig. 1C, P5 and S5), suggesting the presence in this protein of a plasma membrane anchoring domain. Taken together, these findings demonstrate that the internal hydrophobic sequence is an uncleaved, membrane insertion signal sequence and suggest the existence of an additional, previously unrecognized membrane anchoring site in the ectodomain of SMDF.

The C-terminal Sequence of SMDF Behaves as a Membrane-anchoring Domain—Amino acid sequence and hydropathy analysis of the protein domain downstream of the TM segment did not reveal the presence of additional hydrophobic stretches that may explain the ability of C-terminal fragments to associate with membrane fractions. Accordingly, to identify this protein domain we designed additional YFP-SMDF truncated proteins that explore the role of the C-terminal domain (Fig. 2). Deletion mutants were expressed in COS-7 cells, and their subcellular location was investigated with biochemical and immunological methods. As illustrated in Fig. 5, deletion up to Ala 326 at the end of the EGF-like motif did not eliminate completely membrane interaction of the truncated proteins, as evidenced by the significant presence of the C-terminal fragments Δ5-142, Δ5-214, and Δ5-282 in the plasma membrane-enriched fractions (P1, S1, P2, S2, P3, and S3). This observation suggests that the C-terminal stretch (Ser 283-Glu 296) is able to anchor a significant portion of the YFP to cellular membranes. In support of this notion, the double truncated fusion protein Δ1-101/Δ274-296, where the TM and the C termius have been deleted, was not found in the membrane fractions (Fig. 5, P4 and S4). For Δ5-282, all other truncated proteins containing the segment Ser 283-Glu 296 (Fig. 2) were detected mainly in the pellet fraction. It is interesting to note that immunocytochemical studies on intact cells using the anti-HRGRβ3 antibody did not show cell surface expression of the C-terminal fragments (data not shown), indicating an intracellular location of the SMDF ectodomain. This distribution is consistent with confocal fluorescence images, showing a widespread cellular distribution pattern (Fig. 3c). Collectively, these observations imply that the C termius of SMDF also functions as a membrane anchoring domain and indicate that the group II topology of the protein is determined by the TM located in the N termius of the protein.

The C-terminal Segment Does Not Insert into Lipid Bilayers—The amino acid composition and sequence of the segment Ser 283-Glu 296 (SFYSTSTPFLSLPE) is rather polar, containing
few hydrophobic residues. To evaluate whether this sequence was able to associate with membranes, we studied the interaction of the synthetic peptides WSFYSTSTPFLSLPE and SFYSTSTPWLSLPE with artificial lipid vesicles. Tryptophan residues were included in the sequence to provide intrinsic fluorescence properties to these amino acid sequences. Fluorescence intensity and anisotropy measurements show that both peptides interact marginally with lipid vesicles composed of phosphatidylcholine or phosphatidylcholine/cholesterol (data not shown). Similarly, the thermotropic properties of lipid vesicles made of DMPC and DMPC/cholesterol were not changed significantly by the presence of the synthetic peptides (Fig. 6). Thus, peptides patterned after the SMDF C-terminal domain do not interact with lipid vesicles. This finding suggests that the newly identified membrane anchoring property of this protein domain may be the result of a post-translational modification.

**SMDF and YFP-SMDF Are Localized in Lipid Rafts**—Members of the neuregulin family have been reported to associate with membrane microdomains rich in cholesterol and sphingomyelin which are insoluble to the detergent Triton X-100, referred to as lipid rafts (7). Association with lipid rafts is an important mechanism of compartmentalization of signaling proteins, especially in neurons (9, 11). Thus, we wondered whether SMDF and YFP-SMDF segregate into these membrane domains. A well known property of proteins inserted in lipid rafts is their insolubility in 1% Triton X-100 at 4 °C and partition in the low density fractions of flotation gradients (10, 18). As depicted in Fig. 7, a significant immunoreactive band of ~40 kDa was detected with the anti-HGRβ3 antibody in the upper fraction of the Optiprep gradient (Fig. 7A). This protein band corresponds to the fast migrating band of SMDF seen in cell lysates (Fig. 1B, lane 1) (5). SMDF immunoreactivity was not observed in intermediate fractions, but it appeared in the bottom fractions. In these fractions, two distinct bands of ~40 and ~83 kDa were detected. Interestingly, the 83-kDa form of the neuregulin was not found in the low density fraction, i.e. it was present only in non-rafts domains. Similar results were obtained with YFP-SMDF fusion protein (Fig 7B). An anti-HRGβ3 immunoreactive band of ~70 kDa was detected in the upper gradient fractions. The high density fractions, however, exhibited the presence of two distinct bands of ~70 and ~140 kDa, respectively. As for SMDF, the high molecular mass band did not partition into the lipid rafts (Fig 7B). Collectively, these findings demonstrate that SMDF is compartmentalized into these membrane domains. It may be possible that two distinct SMDF forms may correspond to monomeric and dimeric species of the protein. However, it was reported that the 83-kDa form was insensitive to chaotropic and reducing agents (5), suggesting a potential post-translational modification of the neuregulin.

**YFP Fusion Proteins Containing the C-terminal Sequence of SMDF Are Segregated into Lipid Rafts**—To learn which of the SMDF membrane anchoring domains is responsible for the segregation of the protein into lipid rafts, we investigated the interaction of the truncated fusion proteins Δ5–282 containing the C terminus, and the Δ108–296 having the N-terminal TM segment with these membrane microdomains. As depicted in Fig. 7C, removal of TM domain did not prevent the association of the truncated fusion protein Δ5–282 with lipid rafts (note that a protein band of ~32 kDa is present in the low density fraction in lane 1). In contrast, we were unable to detect
the C-terminal truncated fusion protein Δ108–296, as well as YFP, in these membrane microdomains (Fig. 7, D and E). These findings demonstrate that the C-terminal domain is responsible for the segregation of SMDF into membrane rafts.

**DISCUSSION**

SMDF is a TM neuregulin expressed on the plasma membrane with the active, C-terminal, EGF-like domain oriented to the extracellular space, thus showing a group II membrane protein topology. This membrane arrangement appears to be the result of its unique domain organization among the NRG-1 gene family (5). Instead of a cleavable signal peptide and a clear hydrophobic segment, SMDF contains an uncharged/apolar stretch of amino acids at the N terminus which could serve as an internal, uncleaved signal for membrane association (4).

Indeed, our results provide experimental support to this notion, as evidenced by the absence of membrane insertion of truncated SMDF forms that lack this protein segment and the C-terminal domain. Unexpectedly, TM-deleted SMDF species that contain the C terminus of the protein were tightly associated with cellular membranes. These truncated forms were detected in low speed pellets enriched in plasma membrane fractions and were resistant to 1 M NaCl and pH 12 extraction. Furthermore, the stepwise deletion analysis identified the C-terminal segment after the EGF-like domain as the anchoring site because removal of this amino acid stretch along with the TM gave rise to non-plasma membrane SMDF species. Thus, our findings are consistent with the existence of two membrane interacting sites on the SMDF neuregulin: a previously proposed N-terminal apolar region comprising Ile^{76}-Val^{100}, and a newly recognized C-terminal site encompassing Ser^{282}-Glu^{296}.

Our observations uncover the molecular determinants of the type II topology characteristic of this protein. Immunocytochemical analysis using the anti-HGRαβ antibody demonstrates that deletion of the TM resulted in a change in the topology of the protein from Nint/Cout to Nint/Cint. In marked contrast, truncation of the newly identified C-terminal region did not alter the topological orientation of the protein. Therefore, the TM domain acts as an internal membrane insertion signal that determines the protein group II membrane topology, whereas the C terminus serves as an additional membrane anchoring domain. These findings call for a revision of the currently accepted topological model of SMDF. The new model substantiates the notion that SMDF has two membrane interacting domains, one defined by the TM in the N terminus and the other determined by the anchor of the C terminus (Fig. 8). This membrane organization is similar to that exhibited by other members of the neuregulin family such as type I β1a and type III β1a (6), thus suggesting a conserved membrane topology. Furthermore, our results imply that the type I neuregulin glial growth factor II, which lacks a TM but displays a C-terminal segment akin to that of SMDF, may also be anchored to cell membranes through its biogenesis.

Because the amino acid sequence of the C terminus of SMDF is highly polar (SFYSTSTPFSLPE), it is unlikely that this motif integrates into the plasma membrane. Consistent with this notion, synthetic peptides patterned after the C-terminal domain failed to insert into lipid vesicles. Accordingly, a central
question arises: How does the C terminus of the protein associate with membranes? A plausible mechanism is to consider that the protein motif suffers a post-translational modification such as glycosylphosphatidylinositol acylation (22). However, there is no recognizable GPI anchoring motif in the SMDF amino acid sequence. In addition, treatment of membrane fractions containing TM-truncated SMDF proteins with phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C, an enzyme that removes GPI anchors, did not abolish membrane association (data not shown). Thus, alternative post-translational mechanisms should be considered. For example, the C terminus of SMDF might be acylated with cholesterol, an unusual protein modification that plays a key role in hedgehog signaling in Drosophila (23, 24). These proteins associate with lipids in part because of a cholesterol modification at their C-terminal ends which occurs during their maturation. This sort of lipid modification has not been described for any other proteins, and therefore consensus sequences have not been established yet (23). The segregation of the SMDF C terminus into lipid microdomains rich in cholesterol is compatible with this hypothesis. Alternatively, SMDF association to lipid rafts may be mediated by \(O\)-glycosylation of one of the potential \(O\)-linked sites present in such glycosylphosphatidylinositol acylation (22).
its C terminus. In support of this notion, it has been described that O-glycosylation may play a role in sorting of proteins through association with lipid rafts (17). Clearly, further experimental work is necessary to define the post-translational modification of SMDF C-terminal end that is responsible of membrane insertion.

Recent evidence has shown that type I β1a and type III β1a NRGs are segregated into specialized membrane microdomains rich in sphingomyelin and cholesterol (7). Indeed, lipid rafts play a central role in axonal sorting of membrane proteins in neurons (9). Accordingly, membrane compartmentalization of SMDF may have important functional consequences. For instance, SMDF could be located in the axon where it may have an action on Schwann cell proliferation, as well as in mature neuromuscular junctions where it could promote reinnervation of muscle fibers by motor neurons after nerve damage (4).

Thus, we first questioned whether SMDF is also inserted in rafts microdomains and second, what was the role of each membrane interacting site in the protein. SMDF wild type, as well as YFP-SMDF fusion protein were resistant to 1% Triton X-100 extraction, suggesting their interaction with lipid rafts. This tenet was demonstrated unequivocally by Optiprep floating gradients that showed that a significant fraction of SMDF forms in the low density fractions, a hallmark of protein association with membrane rafts and not with cytoskeleton or other subcellular structures (19–21). It is interesting to note that only the 40-kDa form of SMDF wild type was found located in lipid rafts. The higher molecular mass form of the protein, however, was not detected in these lipid microdomains. Similarly, the 70-kDa form of YFP-SMDF, but not the 140-kDa form, was segregated into membrane rafts. Noteworthy, this observation is in agreement with previous reports that found the 83 kDa band in the Triton X-100-soluble fraction (5). The distinct membrane compartmentalization of the two SMDF forms may be a regulatory strategy of protein biogenesis. In support of this notion, the 83-kDa form was found to be accessible to biotin labeling and trypsin proteolysis in nonpermeabilized cells, suggesting that the 83-kDa form is the main cell surface protein, whereas the 40-kDa form would be located primarily in intracellular membranes (5). Hence, segregation into rafts would be necessary for the correct post-translational modification and/or assembly, as well as for sorting and trafficking of SMDF. Alternatively, raft association may play a role in NRG signaling. For example, in neurons it has been found that raft proteins are located primarily in axons, whereas non-raft proteins are targeted to soma and dendrites (9). Interestingly, heterodimer ErbB-2 with ErbB-3 is the active receptor in proliferation of Schwann cells that involve neuronal axons (1). Because the ErbB-2 receptor does not have an EGF binding domain, it is tempting to speculate that the 40-kDa form of SMDF could act as a ligand of the ErbB-2/ErbB-3 heterodimer. In contrast, the 83-kDa form of SMDF could interact with the two binding sites present in the homodimeric ErbB3/ErbB3 receptors. Hence, membrane compartmentalization between raft and non-rafts could define distinct biological activities for axonal and somatodendritic SMDF. Future data should decipher the precise biological role of the proposed polarized distribution of SMDF and other neuregulin that also segregate into membrane rafts.

Analysis of the structural requirements that target SMDF to lipid rafts revealed that the C-terminal anchoring domain was a critical determinant for raft association. Indeed, deletion of the N-terminal domain including the TM segment did not alter the partitioning of the neuregulin into lipid raft fractions. In contrast, truncation of the C terminus of the protein completely abolished its segregation into the specialized membrane microdomains. In agreement with these findings, it was reported that although the C-terminal fragment of type III β1a is partially localized in lipid rafts, little of the N-terminal fragment is found in these membrane microdomains (7). Therefore, the membrane-anchoring segment downstream of the EGF-like motif determines the association with lipid rafts.

In summary we have demonstrated that the type III β3 neuregulin is associated with cellular membranes through its N-terminal and C-terminal domains, thus providing a revised membrane model for this protein. The N-terminal TM segment defines the group II membrane topology of the protein, whereas the C terminus determines the segregation of the protein into lipid rafts.
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