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The substitution of Mn in the III-V diluted magnetic semiconductors leads to a strong electron scattering on impurities. Besides the features induced in the valence band by the hybridization with the Mn d–states, also the conduction band is affected by the absence of the Mn s–states at its edge. Also the high concentration of compensating donors modifies the band structure. This is shown on the absorption coefficient $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$ of GaP doped with Mn and Se. The absorption evaluated by \textit{ab initio} density functional calculations starts with a smooth tail and does not show the structure typical for III-V materials. We analyze these features and the role of the donors on model systems using the tight–binding coherent potential approach.

PACS numbers: 71.15.Ap, 71.20.Nr, 71.55.Eq, 75.50.Pp

I. INTRODUCTION

The properties of the diluted magnetic semiconductors (DMS), such as II-VI and III-V materials doped with Mn, are mostly determined by the presence of open d-shells of Mn. The d-electrons form the local magnetic moments, and the hybridization of the d-states with the band states leads to strong magnetooptical and magnetoelectric phenomena \cite{1,2}. In addition, the magnetic polarization of the band states of the host semiconductor results in kinetic exchange interaction between the moments.

The change of the crystal potential due to the Mn substitution has also an important direct effect on the band states. In the simplest description, the substitution is represented by replacing the atomic s- and p-levels $E_s$ and $E_p$ of the host atom by the atomic levels of the impurity. In traditional II-VI DMS with Mn, the differences in $E_s$ are of order of 1 - 2 eV \cite{3}. This, with respect to the typical band structure of the II-VI semiconductors, represents a perturbation of an intermediate strength \cite{4}, which can not be treated within the virtual crystal approximation (VCA).

In the III-V semiconductors, however, the atomic levels of Mn differ from the atomic levels
of the group-III cations even more. The change of $E_s$ in GaAs and GaP doped with Mn is approximately 4 eV and also the difference of the p-levels is remarkable. Strong impurity potential, comparable to the bandwidth, is expected to cause a strong reconstruction of the electronic structure of the mixed crystal. This prediction of the tight-binding theory has been recently confirmed also by our recent calculations.

We expect a particularly strong effect of the Mn substitution in the lowest conduction bands, because it is mostly composed of the cationic s–states. This means that the impurity scattering should have a pronounced implication on the near-edge absorption due to the violation of the momentum conservation and the appearance of the non-direct transitions from the valence to the conduction band.

The situation is, however, more complex. It is well established that Mn doped III-V DMS reveal an almost complete compensation. This means that the concentration of either intentional donors or native compensating defects increases proportionally to the concentration of Mn. These donors represent an additional source of the disorder scattering.

We present here the first ab-initio study of the interband absorption in the III-V DMS. It is combined with tight-binding CPA calculations suitable for the interpretation of the disorder-induced features in the electronic structure of the dilute mixed crystals.

We concentrate on the interband absorption. That is why we consider only the limiting case of a complete compensation, where the IR transition inside the valence band are absent.

II. ABSORPTION COEFFICIENT

Although the main interest is paid to (Ga,Mn)As, we applied our density functional study to (Ga,Mn)P with a wider bandgap that is less sensitive to narrowing of the band gap due to the local density approximation. We take Se$_P$ as the compensating donor. The mixed crystal is represented by a periodic system with a large unit cell (LUC) containing 16 atoms. One molecular unit (Ga–P) of the LUC is replaced by a close Mn–Se pair. The electronic structure and the imaginary part of the dielectric function, $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$, were calculated by the full-potential linearized augmented-plane-waves (FPLAPW) method. The spin–orbit coupling was not included in our spin–polarized calculations. The generalized gradient approximation to the exchange–correlation potential was used. The results are summarized in Figs. 1 and 2.
Fig. 1 shows the spin-polarized total density of states (DOS) of the Ga$_7$MnP$_7$Se crystal in the low-temperature, ferromagnetic state altogether with the DOS of pure GaP. The bands of Ga$_7$MnP$_7$Se are strongly magnetically polarized due to high concentration (12.5 at. %) of magnetic impurities. The spin splitting of the valence band (1.2 eV) corresponds to the exchange constant $J_{pd} \approx 2$ eV. The bandgaps for both majority and minority spin electrons, 0.57 eV and 1.29 eV, respectively, are smaller than the bandgap obtained for the pure GaP (1.67 eV). In contrast to (Ga,Mn)As [6], the present material with a small overlap (0.16 eV) of both bandgaps is a semiconductor. The width of the common bandgap is expected to increase for lower Mn doping.

Although the uppermost part of the majority spin DOS at the top of the valence band can be viewed as an impurity band formed by the acceptor states, no gap states are created due to Se impurities. They act as donors with zero activation energy. The presence of Se has no important effect, neither on the distribution of the Mn d-states (see Fig. 1) nor on the total DOS around the bandgap. It means that most of the changes in the absorption should be attributed to Mn. The Mn s-states contribute mostly to the broad maximum of the conduction band DOS around 4.5 eV and they are almost completely absent at the edge of the conduction band, so that its bottom is locally eroded around Mn. Also the empty
Mn d-states substantially modify the nature of the bottom of the conduction band for the minority-spin electrons.

In Fig. 2 we compare the calculated $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$ for Ga$_7$MnP$_7$Se with the results for the GaP crystal from near-edge to the UV range. The absorption edge of pure GaP is followed by a steep increase of the absorption at 2.85 eV ($E_1$ transition) and by a main sharp peak at 4.4 eV ($E_2$ transition).

The change due to the Mn (and Se) doping is remarkable. The onset of the absorption is shifted to lower energies by $\approx 1.1$ eV. Instead of well developed absorption edge, a smooth increase of the absorption reminds an Urbach tail. The interband absorption does not show any structure typical for the zinc-blende semiconductors, only a broadened $E_2$ peak survives. The $E_1$ transition, more sensitive to the arrangement of the bands along the Λ line in the Brillouin zone, disappears completely and the absorption gradually increases between 1.5 eV and 4 eV eV.

Figure 2: Absorption coefficient, $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$, for Ga$_7$MnP$_7$Se (full line) compared to $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$ for pure GaP crystal (dotted line)
III. SPECTRAL DENSITY

To describe the DMS with lower impurity concentrations we use the coherent potential description (CPA) [11] of the electronic structure of the DMS. The coherent potential method, resulting in configurationally averaged quantities, can also easily handle the room-temperature, magnetically disordered phase of the DMS. The averaging over all random distributions of the impurities restores the full translational symmetry of the lattice, so that the wavevector $k$ can be used as a quantum number. The spectral density $A(k, E)$, i.e. the DOS decomposed into contributions from the various points of the Brillouin zone, represents a detailed information about the dispersion of the electron states.

We apply a tight-binding version of the CPA [12] to GaAs co-doped with 4 at. % of Mn and 4 at. % of Se. The parametrization of the tight-binding Hamiltonian provides a correct band gap for the pure GaAs crystal [13], as well as appropriate exchange splitting of the Mn d–states. As shown in Fig. 3 for minority spin electrons, the influence of the dopants on the spectral density is strong. Similar results were obtained for the majority spin electrons and
also for the paramagnetic phase of Ga_{0.92}Mn_{0.04}Se_{0.04}.

The linewidth of the Bloch states in the highest valence band and in the lowest conduction band is, in all cases, approximately 0.5 eV. The linewidth of the corresponding excitations, in particular of the E₁ transition is \( \approx 1.0 \) eV, large enough to smear out the E₁ peak in \( \varepsilon_2(\omega) \). However, it is important to note that the effect of the impurity broadening depends on the orbital composition of the bands and on the position in the Brillouin zone, as seen in Fig. 3. The narrow peaks of \( A(k, E) \) indicate those parts of the bands where the effect of the impurities is rather weak.

IV. COMPARISON WITH OTHER DONORS

The almost complete compensation \(^8\) in the III-V DMS with Mn means that the total concentration of donors, either intentional or native defects, is almost equal to the concentration of Mn, i.e. a few atomic percent. In such case, we have mixed rather than doped semiconductors and the modification of their band structure due to the donors must be considered. We compare the electronic structure of GaAs with 4 at. % of Mn and with a corresponding concentration of the compensating donors. We consider donors in both anion and cation sublattices (Se, Sn), and As antisite defects.

The most favorite donors are the As antisite defects. They are double donors and create an impurity band deep in the bandgap, centered at 0.8 eV above the valence band. Also the co-doping with tin results in an impurity band around 1.7 eV, which is, however, resonant with the bottom of the conduction band. Both impurities give an inhomogeneous broadening (\( \approx 0.7 \) eV) of the conduction–band states.

Finally, the intentional compensation with Se, considered in Sections 2 a 3, can be viewed as an alloying of the III-V materials with MnSe, without formation of the impurity states.

V. SUMMARY

The substitution of Mn in the GaAs crystal is connected with a scattering of electrons on the dopants. It results in broadening of the spectral lines and in smearing out the electron spectra. This is particularly seen in the calculated absorption coefficient. We found that the absorption edge of the compensated (Ga,Mn)P co-doped with Se is shifted by \( \approx 1.0 \) eV
with respect to pure GaP crystal. The absorption is smooth, without any structure typical
to the E\textsubscript{1} transitions in the III-V materials.

Three aspects of the electron scattering on impurities can be distinguished: (i) Hybridization
of the host states with the Mn d–states, acting locally on the p–states of As atoms
bonded to Mn. This effect is particularly important in the upper part of the valence band.
(ii) Impurity potential acting on the cationic s– and- p–states that modifies mostly the con-
duction band states. (iii) Scattering on compensating donors that are present in real III-V
materials in a concentration comparable to the concentration of Mn.

While (i) and (ii) are inherent to the Mn impurity, (iii) may be optimized by the choice of
the compensating donor. We found that the effect of Se is weak in comparison to the influence
of Mn. The modification of the band states by both As antisite defects and substitutional
Sn is much stronger. These two impurities also form impurity bands in (Ga,Mn)As while
Se does not.

Assuming that the concentration of Mn determines the total number of the donors we
deduce that the presence of the intentional donors can reduce the number of native antisite
defects. If it is so, the co-doping of the III-V DMS with Se–like impurities will be quite
important.
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