Waste Glass Reuse in Foamed Alkali-Activated Binders Production: Technical and Environmental Assessment
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Waste glass is a type of construction and demolition waste, which carries significant environmental burdens and can be recycled. In this study, a foamed alkali-activated glass (FAAG) via the use of milled waste glass was synthesized in low-temperature (i.e., 80°C). The influence of foaming agent (i.e., aluminum powder) content on the foaming process of samples was investigated and optimized in view of their performance. The optimum mix formulation, which is FAAG with 10% aluminum powder was then selected, and followed by the measurement of the water-resistance and absorption, impact resistance and thermal conductivity of the prepared FAAG. X-ray diffraction was also involved to identify the phase formation within the samples. Finally, the environmental impacts related to the preparation of 1 kg FAAG were obtained. Results shows that a type of more sustainable FAAG compared with the commercial product was successfully developed in low-temperature, revealing the low thermal conductivity of ∼0.13 W/(mK) with a density of ∼0.59 g/cm³ and compressive strength of ∼5.52 MPa, which is 97% higher than the commercial product. Meanwhile, in addition to a foaming agent, aluminum powder is also acting as a key component in the geopolymerization of samples, facilitating the formation of aluminosilicate that provides strength.
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INTRODUCTION

In the European Union, construction and demolition waste (CDW) is becoming a serious issue, accounting for around 25–30% of all waste generated. CDW can be categorized into several groups such as concrete, bricks, wood or glass. Among them, in 2018, 27 metric million tons of glass is produced and only 21% of them are recycled (Statista, 2018). At present, CDW including waste glass was mainly disposed and treated via the procedures of landfilling or incineration, and (Zaman, 2010) reported that landfilling presented significantly lower environmental burdens than incineration due to fewer emissions produced and no direct heat required. In order to increase add-value of CDW and potentiate the circular economy, the reuse of secondary resources is highly recommended by European Commission targets (European Commission, 2020). For instance, 67% of waste glass was recycled in United Kingdom (Lebullenger and Mear, 2019). However, it is also indicated that owing to a large land requirement and difficulty in monitoring emissions in a long time, there are more
restrictions in terms of landfilling of waste in the developed countries (Zaman, 2010). Therefore, the reuse of waste glass in the area of construction provides an alternative solution to its disposal. Currently, a simple option of waste glass under exploration is in the replacement of virgin aggregates in concrete (Lu et al., 2019; Xiao et al., 2020). Besides, the use of waste glass treatment in the construction sector is also investigated. For instance, it can be used in the preparation of alkali-activated binders or geopolymers.

Alkali-activated binders or geopolymers have been widely studied due to their potential applications which can be environmental friendly (Ma et al., 2018) and can replace Portland cement (PC) in several niche applications (Panda et al., 2019; Panda et al., 2020), and waste glass has also been utilized for their preparation. For instance, waste glass is capable of acting as an alkaline activator, which was proved to be effective for the hydration of the precursors (Torres-Carrasco and Puertas, 2015; Tchakouté et al., 2016). At the same time, waste glass can also be regarded as a precursor, however, its reactive alumina content is relatively low [i.e., 0–5% (Metro)], which inhibits its geopolymerization. Therefore, some additives containing alumina should be added such as calcium aluminum cement, which resulted in the formation of sodium-aluminosilicate (N-A-S-H) gel as well as a densified microstructure, contributing to the improvement of the compressive strength of samples (Luhar et al., 2019). At the same time, (Vafaei and Allahverdi, 2017), also synthesized geopolymers via the usage of waste glass and calcium aluminate cement, and a more cross-linked network was formed within the samples with higher compressive strength revealed. In addition to calcium aluminate cement, waste glass is also widely mixed with metakaolin to produce geopolymers. For instance, Si et al. (2020) demonstrated that the incorporation of waste glass into the metakaolin-based geopolymer samples led to the formation of a denser gel structure with a refinement of pore structure. Besides, the shrinkage of geopolymers, which is a serious issue in hardened samples (Yang et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2018), also reduced due to the refinement of their pore structure so that a reduction in the moisture loss rate was achieved within the samples (Si et al., 2020). Also, Bai et al. (2019) developed waste glass-based cellular geopolymers with the use of a stabilizing agent, which revealed thermal conductivity of ~0.21 W/mK and compression strength of ~7.3 MPa.

In the meantime, some precursors such as tungsten mining waste are also short of a silica source during the geopolymerization, therefore, Kastiukas and Zhou (2017) reported that the incorporation of waste glass into alkali-activated tungsten mining waste was capable of providing an additional silica source, leading to the formation of (C, N)–A–S–H phases within the samples as well as a boost of their mechanical strength. Further, accompanied by an increment of strength, it was also revealed that the addition of glass cullet and powder into alkali-activated binders resulted in an improvement of their workability and fire-resistance (Lu and Poon, 2018) with low thermal conductivity presented (Xuan et al., 2019).

Foamed geopolymers or alkali-activated binders, which is a recent innovation, present the benefits of foamed concrete and geopolymers, and they are capable of reducing the environmental impacts of construction materials and offering new alternative materials for thermal insulation (Gu et al., 2020). Due to the excellent thermal insulation, foamed geopolymers can be prepared through a physical or a chemical foaming process. The physical foaming route consists of a mixture of geopolymers and precast foam prepared by a foaming agent, which would then produce a large number of pores inside the paste after setting, whereas the chemical one mainly mixes chemical foaming agent such as H₂O₂ and aluminum powders, followed by the production of H₂ and O₂ that introduced pores within the paste, as shown in Eqs. 1 and 2.

\[
\begin{align*}
2\text{Al} + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{NaOH} &\rightarrow 2\text{NaAl(OH)}_4 + 3\text{H}_2 \uparrow \\
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 &\rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 \uparrow
\end{align*}
\]

Unlike foamed geopolymer, there are also numerous studies investigating the performance of foamed glass as it has already been used as a thermal insulation material for the buildings, however, its preparation is an energy-intensive process where high temperature (i.e., 700–800°C) is required and emits CO₂, which contributes to the global climate change. During the preparation of foamed glass, the raw glass is first ground and mixed with the carbon and Mn₃O₄ (i.e., foaming agent), and the mixture is then heated in high temperature with some protective atmosphere introduced such as non-oxidizing gases (e.g., Ar or N₂). During the heating process, CO₂ is produced to generate some pores within the glass, as shown in Eq. 3. Finally, it is necessary to cool down the newly produced foamed glass (König et al., 2019; Østergaard et al., 2019). Therefore, a foamed geopolymer based on the use of glass may be a better option than a foamed glass in terms the economic and environmental concerns.

\[
\text{C} + 3\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4 \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + 3\text{MnO}
\]
assessments of FAAG preparation, where the environmental burdens related to the landfilling or incineration of waste glass were also taken into account, and the outcomes were then compared with a commercial aerated product.

**MIX DESIGN, MATERIALS, AND METHODS**

**Raw Materials and Mix Design**

The raw materials used to produce the FAAG in this study consisted of waste glass (received from the local municipality of Covilhã, Portugal), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 98%) (Fisher Scientific, Germany), sodium silicate (Na$_2$SiO$_3$) (Solvay SA, Portugal), and aluminum powder (Sigma Aldrich, United Kingdom). The 10 M sodium hydroxide solution, prepared by dissolving sodium hydroxide pellets in de-ionized water, was combined with the sodium silicate solution consisting of a SiO$_2$/Na$_2$O ratio of 3.23 (8.60 wt% Na$_2$O, 27.79 wt% SiO$_2$, 63.19 wt% H$_2$O, and 0.4 wt% Al$_2$O$_3$), to form the alkali activator. The wavelength dispersive X-ray fluorescence was used to obtain the chemical composition of the waste glass (Na$_2$O:12.4%; MgO:1.8%; Al$_2$O$_3$:2.1%; SiO$_2$: 68.7%; SO$_3$: 0.3%; K$_2$O: 0.8%; Fe$_2$O$_3$: 1.5%; CaO: 10.0%). Figure 1 shows the waste glass as received and after milling, respectively.

The waste glass was milled at 500 rpm for 20 min using a planetary ball milling machine (Retsch, PM 100, Germany) to achieve a powder consistency. The particle size distribution curve is illustrated in Figure 2. The activator solution was added to the precursor (i.e., waste glass) and blended using a bench-top mixer at 300 rpm for 5 min, forming the paste. Immediately after, the aluminum powder was added to the paste and followed by a further 30 sec of mixing. The paste was cast into polystyrene molds with the dimensions of 40 mm × 40 mm × 160 mm allowing for the foaming reaction between aluminum powder, water, and NaOH to take place, as expressed in Eq. 1 already.

The freshly foamed samples were sealed and placed in a temperature and humidity controlled environmental chamber at 80°C and 75% relative humidity for 24 h, followed by 20°C and 75% relative humidity until the specific age (i.e., 7, 14, and 28 days) of testing. The Na$_2$SiO$_3$/NaOH, waste glass-to-activator and water-to-waste glass ratios were fixed at 3, 4.5, and 0.12 separately. Three levels of aluminum powder (i.e., 10, 20, and 30%) were investigated, and its optimal content was selected based on the mechanical performance and density. The mix design of FAAG samples in this study is shown in Table 1. The samples were then prepared, and the measurements of their water-resistance and absorption, impact resistance, thermal conductivity, and environmental impacts were performed later.

**Experimental Work**

In the experimental work, the mechanical properties, density, water resistance, water absorption, impact resistance, and thermal conductivity of samples were tested. The use of X-ray diffraction (XRD) facilitates the understanding of phases within the samples. The details are shown as follows.

**Mechanical Properties**

The compressive and three-point bending of each sample were tested after 7, 14, and 28 days as specified by EN196-1 (2016) using a 25 kN universal testing machine (Instron 5960, United Kingdom) at a constant loading rate of 3 kN/min. The dimensions of samples are 40 mm × 40 mm × 160 mm during the mechanical strength test. The compressive and three-point bending values were the average ones obtained from three samples.
Density
The true density of the FAAG in triplicates was determined with a helium pycnometer (AccuPyc 1,340, Micromeritics, United Kingdom) in line with ASTM (2017). The device adopted some approaches of gas displacement and the relationship between the volume and the pressure relationship is known as Boyle’s Law. The pycnometer is identified as a type of density measuring device, however, they are merely measuring volume actually, and density is obtained via a ratio of mass to volume; and the mass is also measured through the device by weighing.

Water Resistance
Six samples at the curing age of 14 days were dried for 24 h at a constant temperature of 80°C, weighed and then placed in a dry chamber for cooling without moisture absorption. The prisms were subsequently placed inside a container filled with de-ionized water and left at 20°C and 75% relative humidity to cure for a further 7 or 14 days. The mechanical performances of samples were determined, along with the strength retention coefficient as defined by Eq. 4 (Deng, 2003):

\[ W_n = \frac{R_{cn}}{R_c} \]  

Where \( W_n \) is strength retention coefficient, in which \( n \) is the days of sample immersing water; \( R_{cn} \) is the strength of the wet samples after immersion in water for \( n \) days; \( R_c \) is the strength of the dry samples cured for different days (e.g., 7 and 14 days).

Water Absorption
To determine the water absorption of the FAAG, three similar prisms were oven-dried at a temperature of 80°C for 24 h, and the dry weight was recorded as the initial weight. The samples were then immersed in water for 48 h, after which the saturated surface dry weight was recorded as the final weight. The calculation is shown below, according to ASTM (2012) in Eq. 5:

\[ W\% = \left( \frac{M_{\text{saturated}} - M_{\text{dry}}}{M_{\text{dry}}} \right) \times 100\% \]  

Impact Resistance
The impact resistance of FAAG was determined using the Charpy testing method, in line with ASTM (2018). The Charpy testing method is based on the energy measurement expressed in energy (Joule) required to breaking the samples, shown in Figure 3. Since the maximum potential energy of the pendulum is known can be expressed as a function of the mass of the hammer and fall height,
the energy absorbed can be calculated via the breakage of the samples (CEAST, 2008).

**Thermal Conductivity**

The thermal conductivity was measured with a thermal conductivity meter (Fox 200, TA Instruments, United States). The steady-state heat flux through the rectangular block sample was measured for a temperature gradient of 10°C between the upper and the lower face of the sample. Three identical 150 mm × 150 mm × 10 mm samples for each sample were measured for a more accurate result of thermal conductivity. Before measurement, the samples were left 24 h at 80°C and placed for 30 min in a dry chamber for cooling without moisture absorption.

**X-ray Diffraction**

To identify the newly formed phases in FAAG, a Bruker X-ray diffractometer (D8 Advance, Germany) was used with an automatic slit, monochromated Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5405 Å), 15°–50° 2θ range, with steps of 0.02°/2θ and 0.5 sec/step. The raw material (i.e., waste glass) and the crushed FAAG samples after compression test with different amounts of the foaming agent were ground and sieved, and the powder was stored in ethanol for 3 days to stop hydration and dried prior to testing. Peaks were identified using the software DIFFRACT.SUITE (Bruker, Germany).

**Environmental Assessment**

SimaPro 8, as a software tool is used for life cycle assessment in this study. This section introduces the goal and system boundary, inventories, transportation, and impact assessment during the life cycle assessment (from cradle to gate) of the FAAG preparation as follows:

**Goal and System Boundary**

The goal of this part was to perform a life cycle assessment for the preparation of 1 kg FAAG, where the damage categories, CO₂ emissions and energy consumptions associated with its preparation were investigated, and the outcomes were compared with the equivalent amount of aerated commercial products (sand/gravel, quicklime, anhydrite, cement, and aluminium powder). Meanwhile, the impacts of waste glass disposal were avoided from the outcomes related to FAAG preparation during the assessment, and a similar idea was also widely reported in a number of environmental assessments (Zhao et al., 2009; He et al., 2019). Figure 4 displays the system boundary of environmental assessment due to the preparation of 1 kg FAAG considering impacts of waste glass disposal and 1 kg commercial aerated product.

**Inventories**

Since the glass used in this study was regarded as pure municipal waste, any inputs and outputs associated with its production can be neglected. The inventories of the aerated commercial product were obtained from the database Ecoinvent (Version 2.0). To produce 1 kg of FAAG, the inputs and outputs related to the production of the rest of the raw materials, that is, NaOH, Na₂SiO₃, and aluminum powder, as well as electricity and transportation, were gained from the database Ecoinvent and ETH-ESU. To produce 1 kg of FAAG, 1 kg of waste glass, 119.6 g of water, 5.6 g of aluminum powder, 55.4 g of NaOH, and 166.4 g of Na₂SiO₃. The electricity is calculated to be 0.25 kWh, which is calculated through the power (i.e., 750 w) * time (i.e., 20 min) used in the milling of waste glass.
Transportation

Only the transportation of waste glass is considered, and the distance is assumed to be 2,000 km from Covilhã, Portugal to London, United Kingdom, and the travel distances of the rest raw materials, i.e., NaOH, Na$_2$SiO$_3$, and aluminum powder from a local factory or distributor to London, United Kingdom were assumed to be 650, 2,000, and 180 km, respectively. In view of the landfilling or incineration of waste glass, the travel distance of waste glass from Covilhã, Portugal to the nearest landfilling or incineration site was assumed to be 300 km. The means of transportation concerning all the materials were assumed to be by lorry.

Impact Assessment

The damage categories, including damage to health, ecosystem quality, and resources, were obtained by the Eco-indicator 99 approach. Furthermore, instead of a single score, a mixing triangle was used to tackle the weighting issues when making the decisions in terms of the selection of FAAG or the commercial aerated products, and the determination of points was obtained when they cross the boundaries of the triangle, and the indifference lines were drawn later (Hofstetter et al., 1999). The determined points calculated on the corresponding triangle sides were acquired via the calculation of Eqs 6–8.

$$WR = \frac{D_{HH}(A)/T_{HH} - D_{HH}(B)/T_{HH}}{D_R(B)/T_R} - \frac{D_R(A)/T_R + D_{HH}(A)/T_{HH} - D_{HH}(B)/T_{HH}}{D_R(B)/T_R}$$

$$W_{HH} = \frac{D_R(B)/T_R - D_R(A)/T_R}{D_R(B)/T_R} - \frac{D_R(A)/T_R + D_{HH}(A)/T_{HH} - D_{HH}(B)/T_{HH}}{D_R(B)/T_R}$$

$$W_{EQ} = \frac{D_R(B)/T_R - D_R(A)/T_R}{D_R(B)/T_R} - \frac{D_R(A)/T_R + D_{EQ}(A)/T_{EQ} - D_{EQ}(B)/T_{EQ}}{D_R(B)/T_R}$$

$D_R(A), D_{EQ}(A), D_{HH}(A), D_R(B), D_{EQ}(B),$ and $D_{HH}(B)$ represent the damages of product A (i.e., FAAG) and B (i.e., the commercial aerated product) in terms of resources (R), ecosystem quality (EQ), and human health (HH), separately. The overall damage to health (HH), ecosystem quality (EQ), and human health (HH), separately. The overall damage categories, including damage to health, ecosystem, and resources, were obtained by the Eco-indicator 99 approach.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Selection of Foamed Alkali-Activated Glass Formulation

This section, facilitating the selection of FAAG formulation. Figure 5 presents the pore structures of selected samples, indicating the excellent foaming performance of FAAG, which consists of a closed network of pores, regular in shape and distribution depending on the content of aluminum powder. Figure 6 shows the density of FAAG reduced with the growth of aluminum powder content after 7 days of curing. The density of FAAG with 30% aluminum powder (i.e., 0.48 g/cm$^3$) is 17.8% lower than the counterparts with 10% (i.e., 0.59 g/cm$^3$). The latter is related to a higher amount of H$_2$ gas formed within this mixture, presenting a more porous structure, as seen in Eq. 1.

As expected, Figure 6 also illustrates that FAAG with decreasing density led to inferior mechanical performance including compressive and flexural strength, and both compressive and flexural strength of FAAG with 30% aluminum powder is only 1/3 than the group with 10%. It is to be noted that when the amount of aluminum powder increased from 10 to 20%, there is nearly no decline in terms of the strength, and a possible explanation could be related to the instability of foams formed within the samples, which caused by the foam bubbles and a sudden reduction in vertical thickness of the system, as indicated by the large error bars in Figure 6, and Hajimohammadi et al. (2018) also reported that the foamed geopolymers via the chemical approach were not as controllable as the physical foamed ones. However, a growth of aluminum powder from 20 to 30% is accompanied by a great reduction in the strength and density due to the porous structure formed resulting from H$_2$ generation. Besides, the difference of irregularity of pore morphology and interconnections in samples containing 30% may also account for its great decline in the mechanical performance.

Albeit it presents the lowest density, FAAG with 30% aluminum powder was not considered due to its relatively low strength and a high amount of aluminum powder used, that is, a higher overall cost in terms of the sample preparation. The aluminum powder content in later FAAG preparation was fixed to 10% based on its similar mechanical performance with FAAG containing 20% of foaming agent, as well as cost-effectiveness via reducing the amount of foaming agent introduced. At the same time, the density of FAAG with 10% of foaming agent (i.e., 0.59 g/cm$^3$) was not sacrificed compared with the group with 20% (i.e., 0.57 g/cm$^3$), which is highly favored for the thermal insulation.

Performance of Selected Foamed Alkali-Activated Glass Samples

In this section, the results of mechanical performance, water resistance, water absorption, impact resistance, and thermal conductivity of the prepared FAAG were elaborated from Mechanical Properties to Thermal Conductivity.

Mechanical Properties

Mechanical performance is shown in Figure 7. To overcome the issue that the samples have a curved surface which was caused by the irregular foaming expansion of the system, the surface of each specimen was sanded down to achieve a straight and flat surface; allowing the force to be exerted evenly across the samples. Even
though the error bars are still large in this figure, the figure still shows that the prepared FAAG reached a promising compressive and flexural strength of ≥5 MPa and ≥3 MPa, respectively after 28 days (Figure 7) due to the continuous hydration, showing high potential in not only insulation applications but also in lightweight secondary structural elements. Meanwhile, even considering the lower limit of the 28-days value in Figure 7, the compressive strength of the prepared FAAG can still be allocated to MU 5.0 grade (i.e., ≥5 MPa) from the Chinese standard (GB/T29062-2012, 2012).

Water Resistance and Absorption
The permeability of concrete is related with water absorption, which controls several concrete durability issues such as carbonation or chloride migration (De Schutter and Audenaert, 2004), whereas Brady et al. (2001) also reported that the permeability of foamed concrete has strongly relied on its porosity, and the size distribution as well as interconnectivity among pores. In practice, the water absorption of foamed products is of great significance since if this value is high when the foamed products are in storage or
service, they would absorb moisture and become moldy in a humid environment, which would deteriorate their thermal insulation and mechanical performance. Therefore, the water absorption and resistance of FAAG were tested as an approach to assess its porosity (mainly capillary pores) and durability.

Figure 8 illustrates the relationship between the strength retention coefficients with the water absorption of prepared FAAG after 7 and 14 days of curing. The figure displays that the strength of FAAG decreases with the growth of water absorption. In the meantime, with the increase of immersion time, there is also a reduction in the strength retention coefficients of FAAG. Both compressive and flexural strength retention coefficients decreased to around 50% after 14 days of water exposure in contrast with non-submerged FAAG, and this could be ascribed to the fact that the additional water absorption further increases the formation of capillary pores in FAAG, leading to inferior mechanical performance during testing. Nambiar and Ramamurthy (2006, 2007) also reported that several factors control the water ingression into foamed concrete such as the pore distribution and tortuosity instead of the overall porosity, and the entrapped air failed to contribute to the transportation of water. Indeed, from Selection of FAAG Formulation, it seems that a large number of pores formed due to the entrapped H₂ in FAAG are disconnected, however, Wong et al. (2011) also demonstrated that there are interconnections between entrained voids facilitated by the capillary pores within the pore structure, thus offering a continuous path for the water ingression facilitated by the entrapped air voids within FAAG. Meanwhile, the air void-paste interface acts as an interfacial transition zone (ITZ) as well, which also promotes the movement of water, thus explaining its high water absorption of FAAG in this study (i.e., 7 days: 27.6%; 14 days: 34.4%).

The Chinese standard (GB/T29062-2012, 2012) reported that the 24-h water absorption of foamed products should be ≤15 g/cm³; Compressive Strength: ≥5 MPa) of FAAG was selected based on the previous findings, and when the density grade of FAAG is B11, its thermal conductivity should be ≤0.32 W/(mK) stipulated by the Chinese standard (GB/T29062-2012, 2012), thus only one thermal conductivity (i.e., the prepared FAAG) was measured in this section, and the rest two groups were not investigated. The thermal conductivity of FAAG obtained is ~0.13 W/(mK), which meets the Chinese specification.

**Impact Resistance**

The Charpy testing method is used to investigate the impact resistance of FAAG. In this study, the impact energy of the FAAG was tested after 14, 21, and 28 days of curing age, as shown in Figure 9. The figure shows that the growth of curing age also led to an improvement of impact energy in the prepared FAAG. For instance, the impact energy of FAAG after 28 days of curing shows a 76.8% increment compared with the counterparts cured for 14 days. In geopolymers, aluminosilicate gels are the major phases that provide mechanical and bonding strength (Provis and Van Deventer, 2009). As expected, the impact resistance of FAAG is inferior in comparison with other ceramic-like and cementitious materials, which could be possibly related to the presence of ITZ between air voids and geopolymer paste, as discussed before. A large number of ITZ layers reduced the hardness of samples, leading to their lower energy absorption capacity and inferior impact resistance (Mohammadhosseini et al., 2017). The brittle nature of FAAG was also confirmed by the spalling of samples during the experiment.
Phase Transformation of Foamed Alkali-Activated Glass Samples

The phase transformation of FAAG is identified by XRD. Figure 10 shows that the respective XRD patterns exhibited no reflections attributable to any identifiable crystalline phases, but only an amorphous hump is identified. The amorphous hump in the waste glass could be related to the presence of silica, but the hump was shifted toward (27°–28°) 2-theta in FAAG, suggesting that some new gel phases formed during the activation of the FAAG, which could be assigned to the presence of aluminosilicate. It is reported that the geopolymerization needs individual alumina and silicate species, resulting the dissolution of silicon- and aluminum-containing sources materials at a high pH. Therefore, in view of this, aluminum powder is not only working as a foaming agent to produce a porous structure, but also involved in the process of geopolymerization within FAAG, facilitating the formation of amorphous aluminosilicate and contributing to the strength development of FAAG, which will be investigated in the future.

A Comparison Between the Foamed Alkali-Activated Glass and Other Existing Foamed Products in the Literature

Figure 11 shows a comparison between the FAAG and other existing foamed products in the literature. The figure clearly indicates that the prepared FAAG in this study is capable of achieving either lower thermal conductivity or higher compressive strength than other existing foamed products. For instance, the FAAG developed in this study outperformed the cement-gypsum binders (Samson et al., 2017), the contaminated dredged river sediment foamed concrete (Yang et al., 2020) and the magnesium phosphate cement-based foamed concrete (Li et al., 2019) in terms of the strength (Figure 11). At the same time, the FAAG also presents slightly higher thermal conductivity but greater strength than some foamed products such as black dust-based autoclaved aerated concrete (Maneewan et al., 2019) and fly-ash based foamed geopolymer (Feng et al., 2015). The figure also shows that in terms of the compressive strength and thermal conductivity, the only foamed product that was comparable with the FAAG developed in this study is fly-ash based foamed geopolymer (Abdollahnejad et al., 2015).

Compared with the foamed glass, the production of FAAG eliminated the high sintering temperature used and the CO₂ emission during the foaming process. Meanwhile, the foamed glass selected from the literature presented much lower density [i.e., 0.15 g/cm³ (Dragoescu et al., 2018); 0.12 g/cm³ (König et al., 2019); 0.12 g/cm³ (König et al., 2016); 0.44 g/cm³ (Dragoescu et al., 2018)] than that of the FAAG (i.e., 0.59 g/cm³), which led to their better thermal insulation performance [thermal conductivity: 0.024–0.051 W/(mK)], as well as inferior strength.
(i.e., 0.55–2.7 MPa) compared with the values of the FAAG developed in this study [i.e., 0.13 W/(mK) and 5.52 MPa], as shown in Figure 11. At the same time, the geopolymerization process within the FAAG also results in its better mechanical performance than that of the foamed glass.

Given the findings above, the simple comparison indicates that in this study, the synthesized FAAG in low-temperature revealed good mechanical performance, and the product developed is capable of competing with or outperform other existing foamed products for thermal insulation, providing a feasible solution to the upcycling of waste glass in a large scale.

Environmental Assessment of the Selected Foamed Alkali-Activated Glass Preparation

Figure 12 presents the CO₂ emissions and energy consumptions related to the preparation of 1 kg FAAG and 1 kg commercial aerated products, where the environmental burdens associated with waste glass disposal were also considered. The figure clearly
shows that the preparation of FAAG emits 45.5% less CO₂ than the commercial aerated products chosen from the database, which could be attributed to the involvement of PC in the manufacturing of commercial ones, as the production of PC leads to a large amount of greenhouse gas emission due to the decomposition of limestone in the kiln (Ruan and Unluer, 2016; Ruan and Unluer, 2017). In the meantime, since around 74.2% of total raw materials in FAAG preparation are a kind of pure waste, its neglected inventory also contributes to a reduced CO₂ emission in FAAG preparation. However, the preparation of the commercial aerated products had an advantage over FAAG in terms of the energy consumption (Figure 12), which could be attributed to the incorporation of alkali activator (i.e., NaOH and Na₂SiO₃) in FAAG preparation, albeit its content is low. It is known that the production of these alkali involves the technique of electrolysis, which is an energy-intensive process and leads to greater energy demand, and similar findings were also reported in our previous study (Kastuikas et al., 2020).

In addition, Figure 12 also presented that if the environmental impacts of waste glass disposal via land filling or incineration were avoided in the outcomes of FAAG, its CO₂ emission and energy consumption could be further reduced. Besides, a larger decline rate in terms of the two indicators was achieved in FAAG containing waste glass that was supposed to be incinerated if not used in geopolymers preparation. A possible explanation could be related to the negligible emissions from waste glass landfill, as indicated by the database, and this process only consists of exchanges to process-specific burdens (i.e., energy and land use), and infrastructure, whereas with respect to the waste glass incineration, waste-specific air, and water emissions from incineration as well as auxiliary material consumption for flue gas cleaning were taken into account, which is also presented in the database. Further, the process of waste glass incineration also consumed much electricity for the heating of materials. Hence, waste glass disposal through incineration led to a 2.1% larger quantity of CO₂ emission and 2.9% greater energy demand than through landfiling, accounting for the variations in Figure 12.

The mixing triangle was adopted to present a comparison between the damage categories of 1 kg FAAG and 1 kg commercial aerated products, in which the environmental impacts of waste glass disposal were also considered, as shown in Figure 13. The figure shows that when the impacts of waste glass land filling or incineration were subtracted from the outcomes of FAAG preparation, the preparation of FAAG outperformed the commercial aerated products in reducing damages to human health, ecosystem quality, and resources, indicating its greater sustainability.

![FIGURE 12](image12.png)
**FIGURE 12** | CO₂ emissions and energy consumptions related to the preparation of 1 kg foamed alkali-activated glass (FAAG) considering impacts of waste glass proposal and 1 kg commercial aerated products.

![FIGURE 13](image13.png)
**FIGURE 13** | A comparison between damage categories of preparation of 1 kg foamed alkali-activated glass (FAAG) considering impacts of waste glass (A) landfiling; (B) incineration and 1 kg commercial aerated products on a mixing triangle.
Therefore, given the above findings, FAAG can be regarded as a more sustainable construction product than the commercial one for thermal insulation.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Following the work from Kastiukas et al. (2020), indicated that in the United Kingdom, due to the shutdown of the electricity plants and the upgrade of equipment in the steel industry, there will be a shortage of fly ash and ground-granulated blast-furnace slag soon, which are widely used as precursors in the preparation of geopolymers previously. Therefore, the waste glass would provide an alternative precursor for the preparation of samples, especially the foamed products. Some specific conclusions were drawn as follows:

1. A low-temperature (i.e., 80°C) upcycling of waste glass is feasible to produce foamed glass, and a good formulation of FAAG was developed and prepared (i.e., the thermal conductivity of \( \sim 0.13 \text{ W/(mK)} \); Density of \( \sim 0.59 \text{ g/cm}^3 \); Compressive strength of \( \sim 5.52 \text{ MPa} \)). In addition to a foaming agent, aluminum powder is also working as a key component in the geopolymerization of waste glass, and the produced re-silicate within the samples led to the strength development of samples, indicating that milled waste glass with aluminum powder can be used to synthesize foamed product for thermal insulation.

2. The weak water and impact resistance of FAAG are related to their porous structure. Although the water absorption of FAAG is high (i.e., 7 days: 27.6%; 14 days: 34.4%), the value is still thought to be within the scope of the requirement stipulated by the Chinese standard.

3. In the area of thermal insulation, the FAAG developed in this study outperformed the commercial aerated products in reducing the CO\(_2\) emissions and damages to human health, ecosystem quality and resources, indicating its greater sustainability than the commercial one.

Overall, this study endeavored to demonstrate mechanical performance, thermal conductivity, and environmental impacts. However, in practice, the durability of foamed products is of great significance, albeit their thermal conductivity and strength are also crucial, and the freeze-thaw, the wetting-drying cyclic test and the fire-resistance test will be the focus in our next-step. Moreover, the large variations of some values (e.g., compressive strength) obtained in this study are associated with the instability of the foaming process via a chemical approach, and this issue needs to be addressed in the future before the application of this foamed product.
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