Follistatin is a metastasis suppressor in a mouse model of HER2-positive breast cancer

Darcie D. Seachrist¹, Steven T. Sizemore¹,⁵, Emhonta Johnson¹,⁶, Fadi W. Abdul-Karim², Kristen L. Weber Bonk¹ and Ruth A. Keri¹,³,⁴*

Abstract

Background: Follistatin (FST) is an intrinsic inhibitor of activin, a member of the transforming growth factor-β superfamily of ligands. The prognostic value of FST and its family members, the follistatin-like (FSTL) proteins, have been studied in various cancers. However, these studies, as well as limited functional analyses of the FSTL proteins, have yielded conflicting results on the role of these proteins in disease progression. Furthermore, very few have been focused on FST itself. We assessed whether FST may be a suppressor of tumorigenesis and/or metastatic progression in breast cancer.

Methods: Using publicly available gene expression data, we examined the expression patterns of FST and INHBA, a subunit of activin, in normal and cancerous breast tissue and the prognostic value of FST in breast cancer metastases, recurrence-free survival, and overall survival. The functional effects of activin and FST on in vitro proliferation, migration, and invasion of breast cancer cells were also examined. FST overexpression in an autochthonous mouse model of breast cancer was then used to assess the in vivo impact of FST on metastatic progression.

Results: Examination of multiple breast cancer datasets revealed that FST expression is reduced in breast cancers compared with normal tissue and that low FST expression predicts increased metastasis and reduced overall survival. FST expression was also reduced in a mouse model of HER2/Neu-induced metastatic breast cancer. We found that FST blocks activin-induced breast epithelial cell migration in vitro, suggesting that its loss may promote breast cancer aggressiveness. To directly determine if FST restoration could inhibit metastatic progression, we transgenically expressed FST in the HER2/Neu model. Although FST had no impact on tumor initiation or growth, it completely blocked the formation of lung metastases.

Conclusions: These data indicate that FST is a bona fide metastasis suppressor in this mouse model and support future efforts to develop an FST mimetic to suppress metastatic progression.
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Background

Preventing metastatic progression is essential for extending the survival of patients with cancer, but the factors that control this process are not well understood. Indeed, there are relatively few proteins that have been identified as true metastasis suppressors (i.e., factors that block metastatic progression without impacting primary tumor growth). Such suppressors may function to inhibit intrinsic enhancers of metastatic progression. Activin, a member of the transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) family, is a promigratory factor that is expressed in wounded tissues and promotes keratinocyte migration into the injured site [1]. Activin can also increase the in vitro migratory and invasive capacity of several epithelial cancer cell lines [2, 3]. These results suggest that activin may promote metastatic progression of cancer, but this supposition has not been fully assessed. This is in part due to the complexity of the activin protein family, which shares subunits with another class of proteins known as inhibins, as well as a lack of specificity of small-molecule inhibitors of the activin receptor. Supporting a prometastatic role for activin in breast cancer, elevated serum activin can predict the presence of bone metastases in patients [4]. Interconversion of differentiated breast cancer cells into stem cells also requires activin/nodal signaling [5], and one of the most predictive genes within a core metastasis-associated expression signature of multiple cancers is INHBA, which encodes an activin and inhibin subunit [6].

An endogenous and selective mechanism for inhibiting activin signaling is secretion of follistatin (FST), a protein that binds activin dimers with high affinity [7, 8]. Many tissues express both FST and activin, allowing FST to finely tune activin signaling [9]. Given the ability of FST to block activin signaling, it should prevent any prometastatic activities of activin. However, published studies suggesting a role for FST in cancer progression have been focused primarily on its prognostic value, and conflicting results have been reported [10]. Elevated serum FST correlates with the presence of bone metastases and increased PSA levels in patients with prostate cancer and has been proposed to be a therapeutic target in this disease [11, 12]. Similarly, increased FST expression is prognostic for poor overall survival in patients with ovarian, liver, and lung cancer [10]. In contrast, overexpression of FST in non-small cell lung cancer cells suppressed lung colonization following tail vein injection in immunocompromised mice [13]. The role of FST specifically in breast cancer progression is equally unclear. On the basis of immunohistochemistry (IHC), FST expression did not correlate with disease stage or recurrence in small cohorts of patients with breast cancer [14, 15]. Overexpression of FST inhibited growth of subcutaneous mammary carcinoma xenografts, but the impact of FST expression on metastatic progression has not yet been assessed [16]. The prognostic value of members of the FST family, the follistatin-like (FSTL) proteins, has also been studied, but, like FST, their role in tumorigenesis and metastasis is unresolved [17–20].

By examining intrinsic changes that occur in a mouse model of HER2/Neu (human epidermal growth factor receptor 2, receptor tyrosine protein kinase erbB-2, proto-oncogene Neu)-induced metastatic breast cancer, we previously reported that activin receptor-like kinase 4 and phosphorylated Smad2 are present within tumors, whereas tumors had lost expression of transforming growth factor-β-receptor 1 (TGF-βR1) [21]. Hence, Smad2 signaling could be activated in mammary cancers in the absence of TGF-β signaling, and this was likely due to the presence of activin. Given the potential impact of activin on cancer progression, as well as the ability of FST to block the binding of activin to its receptor, we postulated that FST may act as a metastasis suppressor. In this study, we show that expression of the activin subunit INHBA is elevated in multiple cohorts of breast cancers, whereas FST is suppressed. We also report that FST suppresses activin-induced migration of human breast cancer cells. Most important, enforced expression of FST in the mouse mammary gland completely blocks metastases in a model of HER2/Neu-positive breast cancer without having any impact on primary tumor latency or growth. These studies reveal that FST is an intrinsic inhibitor of metastatic progression.

Methods

Datasets
Gene expression data were retrieved from the online Oncomine platform (http://www.oncomine.com/). Statistical comparisons of INHBA and FST expression in normal breast versus breast cancer in The Cancer Genome Atlas [22] dataset or in normal breast stroma versus breast cancer-associated stroma in the Finak et al. dataset [23] were performed by Student’s t test. For statistical analysis of the prognostic value of FST expression in recurrence to bone or brain/lung in the Bos et al. dataset [24], the respective patient cohort was stratified into high (upper 10th percentile) and low (remaining 90th percentile) groups. A detailed description of the Kaplan-Meier analyses is provided in Additional file 1.

Transgenic mice
FST-overexpressing mice were generated as previously described [25], and founders were bred with FVB/N males (The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, USA) to expand the lines. Neu/FST bitransgenic mice were obtained by crossing FST-overexpressing females with homozygous FVB-transgenic (Tg) (mouse mammary tumor virus [MMTV]-Erbb2) NK1Mul/J [26] males
(HER2/Neu) obtained from The Jackson Laboratory. Age-matched littermates were used for comparisons in all studies. Mice were palpated weekly for tumor formation, and, once detected, tumors were measured with callipers. Tumor volumes were calculated as previously described [21]. Mice were housed in microisolator cages under pathogen-free conditions with a 12-h/12-h light/dark cycle and were provided food and water ad libitum. All animal studies were approved by the Case Western Reserve University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Cell culture
MCF10A, MCF7, T47D, BT474, SKBR3, MDA-MB-231, and MDA-MB-468 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) and lines that were maintained for more than three years were authenticated by short tandem repeat profiling (Barbara Davis Center Molecular Biology Service Center, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA). MCF10A-Neu cells were previously described [27]. Cells were cultured according to ATCC instructions and maintained in 5% CO₂ at 37 °C in a humidified incubator.

Histology and immunohistochemistry
Tissues were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and processed as previously described [21]. For FST IHC, goat α-FST (AF669; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), or immunoglobulin G as a negative control, was applied at 2 μg/ml overnight at 4 °C. To determine the relative number of metastases/emboli present in the lungs per mouse, sections of lung were collected every 100 μm and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The number of metastases or emboli per section was quantified by a blinded pathologist.

Western blotting
Cells were lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer, diluted in Laemmli buffer, run on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel, and transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. Blots were incubated in the appropriate secondary antibody tagged with HRP. Bound antibody was detected by chemiluminescence (SignalFire ECL reagent; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA).

RNA analyses
RNA was isolated using Invitrogen TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and DNase (Ambion DNA-free kit; Life Technologies). Complementary DNA was generated using SuperScript II reverse transcriptase and random hexamers (Life Technologies) as per the manufacturer’s protocol. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) was performed on a StepOne-Plus Real-Time PCR system using TaqMan gene expression assays (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) for mouse Fst (Mm00514982_m1) or human FST (Hs00246256_m1) and normalized to Gapdh (Hs00427620_m1), respectively.

Migration and invasion assays
Cells were plated and treated with vehicle, and then treated with previously reported doses of recombinant human activin A (100 ng/ml; R&D Systems) and/or recombinant human FST (400 ng/ml; R&D Systems) for 48 h in serum-free media [28–30]. Cells were plated for migration in modified Boyden chambers (Costar Transwell Permeable Supports, catalogue number 3422, Corning Life Sciences, Tewksbury, MA, USA) or invasion in Transwell inserts coated with Matrigel (Corning BioCoat Matrigel Invasion Chamber, catalogue number 354480; Corning Life Sciences). The upper chamber contained the treatment in serum-free media, and the bottom chamber contained treatment in complete media. Cells were allowed to migrate for 16–24 h. Filters were stained with Diff-Quick. The number of migrated cells per filter was calculated by averaging the number of cells per ×10 magnification field in five independent fields. Three independent experiments were performed in duplicate.

Proliferation/viability assays
Cells were plated and treated with vehicle, recombinant human activin A (100 ng/ml; R&D Systems), and/or recombinant human FST (400 ng/ml; R&D Systems) for 72 h in serum-free media. Cell number was quantified using the CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Statistical analyses
Data are expressed as the mean ± SD. Statistical analyses were performed using one-way analysis of variance followed by Student’s t test, a log-rank test, or Fisher’s exact test where indicated. A minimum p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
To determine if activin may have a role in breast cancer, we examined several publicly available gene expression datasets and found that the gene encoding the activin A subunit, INHBA, is upregulated in the majority of breast tumors compared with normal breast tissue in three independent datasets (Fig. 1a and Additional file 2: Figure S1a). Moreover, expression of FST, the endogenous inhibitor of activin, was downregulated in breast cancer tissue as well as surrounding stroma compared with normal breast tissue (Fig. 1b and c and Additional file 2: Figure S1b). Together, these
data suggest that maximal activin signaling occurs in the breast tumor microenvironment. The suppression of \(FST\) was mirrored in breast cancer cell lines compared with immortalized, nontransformed human mammary epithelial cell lines (MCF10A and MCF12A) and was independent of molecular subtype or receptor status (Fig. 1d and Additional file 3: Figure S2a), suggesting that suppression of \(FST\) expression may be an integral step in breast tumorigenesis, regardless of the oncogenic driver. Supporting this possibility, overexpression of the proto-oncogene HER2/c-Neu in nontransformed MCF10A cells [27] also suppressed \(FST\) expression (Additional file 3: Figure S2b), indicating that the nascent activation of an oncogenic pathway is sufficient to suppress \(FST\) expression.

To assess the impact of \(FST\) on activin-induced migration of breast epithelial cells, we treated MCF10A and 4T1 cells (a mouse mammary cancer cell line) with activin A in the presence or absence of recombinant \(FST\). Activin stimulated the migration of these cells, and \(FST\) suppressed this induction (Fig. 2a and b), without altering cell number (Additional file 4: Figure S3a). Previous studies have shown reduced proliferation of breast cancer cell lines upon activin treatment or FSTL3 silencing in vitro. However, estrogen receptor-expressing cell lines were used in these studies [28, 31, 32], and it has been reported that activin and estrogen have opposing effects on proliferation [33]. Thus, the ability of activin to modulate proliferation of mammary epithelial cells may be context-dependent. In addition to migration, \(FST\) also suppressed activin-induced invasion of MCF10A cells overexpressing HER2/Neu (Additional file 4: Figure S3b), further indicating that \(FST\) suppression may be necessary during metastatic progression. Supporting this possibility, a meta-analysis of 2878 patients with breast cancer (all subtypes) revealed that sustained \(FST\) messenger RNA (mRNA) expression correlates with prolonged recurrence-free survival compared with patients whose tumors had low \(FST\) expression (Fig. 2c). The impact on survival was similar to that observed with increased estrogen receptor-1 (\(ESR1\)) expression, a marker of more differentiated cancers.
FST expression also correlates with increased overall survival and reduced metastasis in four independent datasets and is particularly prognostic of reduced recurrence in brain and lung but not in bone (Fig. 2d and Additional file 6: Figure S5). The association between FST and recurrence was independent of breast cancer subtype (Additional file 7: Figure S6), suggesting that it may be a global repressor of breast cancer progression. Of note, genes encoding the related proteins, FSTL1, FSTL2, and FSTL3, which can also bind and inhibit activin signaling, were also prognostic of recurrence-free survival, although FSTL2 had very modest prognostic ability (Additional file 8: Figure S7).

As in human breast cancer, we found that FST was profoundly repressed in mammary tumors collected from transgenic mice expressing the Her2/Neu proto-oncogene in the mammary epithelia (MMTV-Neu) (Fig. 3a and b), as was Fstl3 (Additional file 9: Figure S8a) [34]. Thus, mice expressing Her2/Neu in the mammary gland provide an ideal model to determine if FST loss is necessary for metastatic progression of cancer by restoring expression of FST in mammary tumors. We generated transgenic mice that selectively overexpress FST in the mammary epithelium using the MMTV-long terminal repeat promoter [25, 35]. Founder female mice and subsequent female offspring were viable and fertile. They also displayed a greater than 200-fold elevation in Fst expression in their mammary glands (Additional file 9: Figure S8b and c), but no overt differences were detected in mammary ductal outgrowth of female MMTV-Fst progeny (Additional file 9: Figure S8e).

As in human breast cancer, we found that FST was profoundly repressed in mammary tumors collected from transgenic mice expressing the Her2/Neu proto-oncogene in the mammary epithelia (MMTV-Neu) (Fig. 3a and b), as was Fstl3 (Additional file 9: Figure S8a) [34]. Thus, mice expressing Her2/Neu in the mammary gland provide an ideal model to determine if FST loss is necessary for metastatic progression of cancer by restoring expression of FST in mammary tumors. We generated transgenic mice that selectively overexpress FST in the mammary epithelium using the MMTV-long terminal repeat promoter [25, 35]. Founder female mice and subsequent female offspring were viable and fertile. They also displayed a greater than 200-fold elevation in Fst expression in their mammary glands (Additional file 9: Figure S8b and c), but no overt differences were detected in mammary ductal outgrowth of female MMTV-Fst progeny (Additional file 9: Figure S8e). Fst expression was also modestly elevated in the uterus and lungs of adult transgenic females compared with wild-type female littermates, with no increase of Fst occurring in the liver (Additional file 9: Figure S8d). FST-overexpressing mice were then crossed with MMTV-Neu (HER2/Neu) mice that express a constitutively active form of the HER 2/Neu receptor [26] to generate single (Neu) and bitransgenic (Neu/FST) littermates (Additional file 9: Figure S8f). The resultant females were palpated weekly for tumor formation, and FST overexpression in the tumor epithelium was confirmed by qPCR and IHC (Fig. 3c and d). Restoring FST expression in HER 2/Neu tumors had no impact on tumor latency or tumor volume (Fig. 4a and b, respectively). Neu/Fst bitransgenic tumors also showed no overt differences in morphology compared with single transgenic Neu tumors, despite abundant FST protein expression throughout the tumor epithelium (Fig. 3d). In contrast to the lack of effect of the FST transgene on tumor initiation, a major impact on metastatic progression was observed. Whereas 72% and 40% of Her2/Neu single transgenic littermates displayed multiple lung metastases and lymphovascular...
emboli, respectively, no metastases were evident in Neu/FST bitransgenic mouse lungs (Fig. 4c and d). The sedatives indicate that FST is a potent and bona fide metastasis suppressor in this model of breast cancer.

Discussion
This is the first report demonstrating that FST expression is reduced in breast cancers compared with normal breast tissue and that elevated FST mRNA is associated with delayed recurrence and survival in patients with breast cancer. We evaluated FST expression in breast cancer versus normal breast in three independent breast cancer datasets totaling more than 2000 breast cancer samples and over 200 samples of normal breast. Previous analyses of FST expression at the protein level have not demonstrated differential expression between normal breast and breast cancer in small patient cohorts [15, 32]. The researchers in these studies evaluated a small population of tumors (n = 22 invasive cancers in the largest cohort) and used tumor-adjacent tissue as the “normal breast” comparator. Several studies have shown that tumor-adjacent tissue is poised for malignancy with differential expression patterns being observed between normal tissue and cancer in both human and mouse [21, 36, 37]. This may be particularly true when evaluating secreted factors such as activin and FST that have pleiotropic effects on tissues. It is also possible that there is discordance between FST mRNA and protein expression in breast cancers. To address this, large-scale analyses using more quantitative measures such as reverse phase protein array or western blotting combined with outcome data are necessary to determine if FST protein expression in tumors is an accurate prognosticator of recurrence and survival.

Data reported herein directly show that sustained expression of FST prevents metastatic progression in a mouse model of breast cancer. This adds FST to the short list of metastasis suppressor genes, such as breast cancer metastasis suppressor-1 (BRMS1), cadherin-1 (CDH1), and nucleoside diphosphate kinase 1 (NM23), that inhibit metastasis formation without impacting primary tumor growth [38]. Whereas we found that FST expression had no impact on tumor growth in the MMTV-Neu model, a previous report indicated that ectopic expression of FST decreased growth of R30C breast cancer xenografts compared with those overexpressing activin [16]. These conflicting results likely reflect the use of divergent model systems. The R30C study involved the subcutaneous injection of a modestly characterized breast cancer cell line (R30C) into severe combined immunodeficiency mice. These cells lack an estrogen receptor, and it is unknown if they express HER2/Neu [39]. In contrast, we used a credentialed and autochthonous model of HER2/Neu-positive breast
cancer in which the FST transgene was expressed during the transformation/progression process and likely impacted the mammary microenvironment. Furthermore, the transgenic model used in our studies has an intact immune system. It is well established that the immunoreactivity can enhance tumor growth and metastatic disease [40, 41], and we expect that any immunomodulatory impact of FST/activin should be observed in this model. Thus, the differences observed when examining the impact of FST on tumor growth may be reflective of the different approaches used. More important, in our study, we specifically examined the impact of FST expression on metastatic progression, whereas Krneta et al., focused on the role of FST and activin in primary tumor angiogenesis and growth without a specific examination of metastatic impact.

The ability of FST to prevent metastatic progression, combined with the propensity for FST to bind and inhibit activin signaling, suggests that activin secreted from the microenvironment may be a key driver of metastatic progression in breast cancer, similarly to TGF-β. In support of this possibility, the activin receptor colocalizes with phosphorylated Smad2 at the leading edge of HER2/Neu mouse tumors [21]. However, FST can also bind and inhibit myostatin, growth/differentiation factor-9 (GDF-9), and several bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) family members, albeit with lower affinity [42, 43]. Although there is little evidence that myostatin or GDF-9 is expressed in breast cancer, inhibition of the BMP receptor, activin receptor-like kinase 2 (ALK2), can reduce metastatic spread of mammary tumors in mice. Treatment of MMTV-polyoma virus middle T antigen mice with the selective ALK2 antagonist DMH1 reduced lung metastases but did not eliminate them altogether [44]. This contrasts with FST expression, where we observed a complete loss of metastases in the HER2/Neu model. Furthermore, DMH1-treated tumors displayed decreased fibrosis, lymphatic vessels, and macrophage infiltration, whereas expression of FST in the MMTV-Neu model did not alter the histomorphology of tumors, including the extent of desmoplasia, macrophage infiltration, or vascular density (data not shown), suggesting distinct mechanisms of action. Moreover, low BMP mRNA levels predict poorer breast cancer prognoses (data not shown), which conflicts with the proposed pro-metastatic role of BMPs in the mouse model. In contrast
to BMPs, elevated expression of the activin subunit INHBA predicts worse outcomes in patients with breast cancer and is consistent with our observation that FST prevents metastasis. As a whole, these data reveal that FST suppresses metastatic progression and suggest that this effect is due primarily to suppression of activin rather than to major effects on BMP signaling.

Several studies suggest that elevated serum activin is associated with tumor progression and prognosis. However, the potential for using serum activin as a marker of breast cancer metastasis is not clear. Elevated serum activin is found in patients with breast cancer with bone metastases, but it is not associated with metastasis to the lymph nodes [45, 46]. Since activin was first isolated from ovarian follicular fluid, the number of tissues that have been reported to produce activin has grown exponentially, complicating its use as a serum biomarker [47]. Indeed, elevated activin is found not only in the serum of patients with cancer but also in patients with septicemia, Graves’ disease, and heart failure [48–50], suggesting that activin may be an indicator of systemic inflammation. This is unsurprising because activin plays a role in the wound response, and immune cells produce inflammation. This is unsurprising because activin plays a role in the wound response, and immune cells produce inflammation. This is unsurprising because activin plays a role in the wound response, and immune cells produce inflammation.

Conclusions

The data we report demonstrate that FST is a potent and bona fide metastasis suppressor in a model of breast cancer and suggest that approaches aimed at restoring FST levels, mimicking FST activity, or blocking its target, activin, should be examined as a potential avenue for extending metastasis-free survival of patients with breast cancer.
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**Additional file 8**: Figure S7. Kaplan-Meier plots demonstrating that FSTL1, FSTL2, and FSTL3 expression also predict recurrence-free survival in a cohort of over 2800 patients with breast cancer. Patients are stratified in high- and low-expressing groups for each gene using optimal cutoffs in the KM Plotter data analysis tool [58]. (PPTX 185 kb)

**Additional file 9**: Figure S8. FST overexpression in mouse mammary epithelia. a Follistatin-like 3 (Fst) is downregulated in HER 2/Neu-injected mouse mammary tumors compared with normal mammary glands. Fstβ expression in mammary glands and HER 2/Neu tumors was determined using data from a published microarray study of these tumors (*p < 0.001) [21]. b and c Fst is overexpressed in mammary epithelia of founder female mice and Fst-overexpressing founder offspring (in mixed FVB/N background) as determined by quantitative RT-PCR. d Fst expression in uterus, lung, and liver of 10- to 12-month-old MMTV-fst female single transgenic mice (n = 3) and wild-type littermates (n = 2). e Representative whole mounts of adult mammary glands from female Fst-overexpressing founder offspring and littermate wild-type mice in the C57BL/6jFVB background. f Schematic of MMTV-Fst transgene and breeding paradigm used to obtain FST/Neu bitransgenic mice with restored FST expression. (PPTX 712 kb)
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