Cost-effectiveness analysis of devices for closure of patent ductus arteriosus

Sir,

The paper by El-Saiedi et al. is greatly appreciated for performing cost-effectiveness analysis of different devices for occlusion of patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) in children. Including “regular” PDA occlude (ADO I) in a similar study would be interesting. It is generally considered cheaper. Aortic protrusion with ADO I is less than with ADO II. When effectiveness alone is considered, ADO I was found to be the device of choice for PDA >3 mm with good success; although, it was before the advent of ADO II. Comparison of ADO I, II and ADO II additional sizes showed that ADO I was convenient for medium- and large-sized PDA.
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