The Value of Electrophoresis and Chemical Detection in the Diagnosis of Hypertensive Nephropathy

Xin Yang
Jun Fan
Yingzhi Wu
Jie Lin
Chongling Qian
Jing Li
Department of Laboratory Medicine, Kongjiang Hospital, Shanghai, 200093, People's Republic of China

Objective: To investigate the clinical value of sodium dodecyl sulfate–agarose gel electrophoresis (SDS-AGE) of urinary proteins combined with several biochemical indices in the detection of hypertensive nephropathy.

Material and Methods: In this study, 210 patients with hypertensive nephropathy were recruited as the kidney disease group, 100 patients with simple hypertension were recruited as the hypertension group, and another 100 healthy participants were recruited as the control group. We conducted SDS-AGE of urinary proteins and urinary microalbumin (mAlb), β₂-microglobulin (β₂-MG), retinol-binding protein (RBP), serum cystatin C (CysC), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and creatinine tests at the same time.

Results: The results showed that the urinary mAlb levels in the hypertension group and hypertensive nephropathy group were higher than in the control group (P<0.05). The biochemical index detection results showed that β₂-MG, RBP, serum CysC, BUN, and creatinine were higher in the hypertensive nephropathy group than in the control group and hypertension group (P<0.05). The total positive rates of urinary protein SDS-AGE and urinary mAlb, urinary β₂-MG, blood CysC, blood RBP, BUN, and creatinine in 210 patients with essential hypertension nephropathy were 100.0%, 98.6%, 32.8%, 98.6%, 21.0%, 2.0%, and 20%, respectively. The total positive rate of SDS-AGE urinary protein was higher than that of the other six biochemical indices.

Conclusion: SDS-AGE of urinary proteins, combined with urinary mAlb, β₂-MG, serum CysC, RBP, BUN, and creatinine, could improve the detection sensitivity, which would be helpful for the early and accurate diagnosis of kidney damage.
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Introduction
Hypertension is a disease that often causes complications in the kidneys.¹,² Commonly used clinical biochemical detection methods for kidney disease include urinary microalbumin (mAlb), β₂-microglobulin (β₂-MG), serum retinol-binding protein (RBP), cystatin C (CysC), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and creatinine.³–⁵ However, these biochemical detection methods are susceptible to certain factors outside the kidneys, their sensitivity is low, and there are limitations with these methods.⁶,⁷

Non-concentrated sodium dodecyl sulfate–agarose gel electrophoresis (SDS-AGE) of urinary protein can separate the proteins of each component in the urine...
according to molecular weight, and can distinguish between physiological and pathological (glomerular, tubular, mixed) urinary protein types, with high sensitivity and specificity, as well as providing the location of kidney damage for the clinical diagnosis of hypertensive nephropathy.8

The value of SDS-AGE for hypertensive nephropathy remains unknown. We hypothesized that the combination of SDS-AGE and biochemical indices would have great clinical value in the detection of hypertensive nephropathy. Therefore, we conducted this study to investigate the clinical value of SDS-AGE combined with several biochemical indicators in the diagnosis of patients with hypertensive nephropathy.

Materials and Methods

General Information

From March 2015 to December 2017, patients with hypertension in Kongjiang Hospital of Yangpu District, Shanghai, were recruited. This study was approved by the ethics committee of Kongjiang Hospital of Yangpu District, Shanghai (KJ-2020-KY-19). All participants had signed informed consent before entering this study. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The diagnostic criteria for hypertension referred to the revised edition of the 2010 China Guidelines for the Prevention and Treatment of Hypertension: diastolic blood pressure >90 mmHg and systolic blood pressure >140 mmHg. Patients with secondary hypertension caused by malignant tumors, renal artery stenosis, coronary heart disease, diabetes, autoimmune diseases, and heart failure were excluded. Finally, 210 patients with hypertensive nephropathy were recruited as the kidney disease group, 100 patients with simple hypertension were recruited as the hypertension group, and another 100 healthy participants were recruited as the control group.

Instruments

An automatic electrophoresis instrument, HYDRAYS (Sebia, France), a TBA-120FR automatic biochemical analyzer (Toshiba, Japan), a BN prospect automatic specific protein analyzer (Siemens, Germany), and a Combi Scan 500 automatic urine analyzer (Combi-Screen, Germany) were used. The SDS-AGE urinary protein electrophoresis kit and acid violet dye were provided by Sebia, and the urine β2-MG kit was provided by Siemens. Serum CysC, RBP, BUN, and creatinine kits were provided by Zhejiang Ningbo Quark Biological Co. Ltd. The urinary mAlb test was provided by Shanghai Danli Biological Co., Ltd, and the Combi-Screen 11SYS test strip for qualitative urinary protein was provided by Combi-Screen.

Methods

A 5 mL sample of urine collected from patients in the morning was centrifuged to collect the supernatant. For blood samples, 5 mL of venous blood collected in the morning from patients who had been fasting was centrifuged to collect serum. Urine β2-MG and blood RBP were detected using the immunoturbidimetric method. Blood CysC was detected using the latex-enhanced immunoturbidimetric method. Serum BUN and creatinine were detected using the enzymatic method. Urinary protein was qualitatively detected using the urine dry chemical method.

SDS-AGE

We took 20 μL of SDS bromophenol blue diluent and added 80 μL of the treated urine, mixed it well, added 5 μL via a pipette into a gel injection hole (five holes/plate), and left it to diffuse for 10 minutes. We then ran electrophoresis on an SDS–agarose gel; separated and dried it after electrophoresis; dyed it (acid violet); decolored, scanned, and edited the electrophoresis curve using a computer; and printed the final result.9

Observation Indicators

The main observation indicators included SDS-AGE, urine mAlb (>30 mg/L is positive), urine β2-MG (>1.8 mg/L is positive), serum CysC (>1.15 mg/L is positive), serum RBP (>70 mg/L is positive), BUN (>7.1 mmol/L is positive), and blood creatinine (>133 μmol/L is positive).

Statistical Methods

SPSS 20.0 statistical software was used for data analysis. Measurement data were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. A single-factor analysis of variance was used to compare multiple groups, and an SNK-q test was used for pairwise comparison between groups. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

General Characteristics

The hypertensive nephropathy group consisted of 115 men and 95 women, aged 48–65 years, with an average age of...
55.1±1.2 years; the course of disease was 9–14 years, with an average of 10.4±1.2 years. The simple hypertension group included 48 men and 52 women, aged 45–62 years, with an average age of 54.9±1.0 years; the course of illness was 8–10 years, with an average age of 9.6±1.4 years. The control group included 63 men and 37 women, aged 45–62 years, with an average age of 55.0±1.3 years. There were no statistically significant differences in gender and age among the three groups of subjects, and they were comparable (P>0.05).

Comparison of Biochemical Indicators of Subjects in Each Group

The urinary mAlb levels of the simple hypertension group and the hypertensive nephropathy group were higher than in the control group. The urinary β₂-MG, blood RBP, serum CysC, BUN, and blood creatinine in the hypertensive nephropathy group were higher than those in the control group and the simple hypertension group (P<0.05) (Table 1).

Results of Urinary Protein Electrophoresis in Patients with Hypertensive Nephropathy

Among the 210 patients with hypertensive nephropathy, there were 138 cases of glomerular proteinuria (graphically, in addition to the albumin zone, other protein zones appear between the sample point and the protein zone), accounting for 65.7%; 31 cases of renal tubular proteinuria (graphically, in addition to the albumin zone, other protein zones appear between the anode of the gel sheet and the albumin zone), accounting for 14.8%; and 41 cases of mixed proteinuria (graphically, a small amount of lightly stained protein zones appear). Among the 100 patients with simple hypertension, 14 had physiological proteinuria (graphically, a small amount of lightly stained single albumin zone appears, and no other large or small molecular zones appear). Among the 100 healthy subjects, nine had physiological proteinuria (Figure 1).

Evaluation and Comparison of Pathological Proteinuria Types After Urinary SDS-AGE in Patients with Hypertensive Nephropathy

There were 138 cases of glomerular proteinuria, consisting mainly of medium-sized molecules (albumin 70×10⁻⁶), and large molecules ( transferrin 80×10⁻⁶, IgA 175×10⁻⁶, IgG 160×10⁻⁶ ); 31 cases of renal tubular proteinuria, consisting mainly of medium-sized molecules (albumin 70×10⁻⁶) and small molecules (free light chain dimer 50×10⁻⁶, free light chain 25×10⁻⁶, RBP 21×10⁻⁶, α₁-microglobulin 33×10⁻⁶, lysozyme 15×10⁻⁶, β₂-MG 12×10⁻⁶ ); and 41 cases of mixed proteinuria, consisting mainly of medium-sized molecules (albumin 70×10⁻⁶), large molecules ( transferrin 80×10⁻⁶, IgA 175×10⁻⁶, IgG 160×10⁻⁶), and small molecules (free light chain dimer 50×10⁻⁶, free light chain 25×10⁻⁶, RBP 21×10⁻⁶, α₁-microglobulin 33×10⁻⁶, lysozyme 15×10⁻⁶, β₂-MG 12×10⁻⁶) (Figure 2).

Comparison of Biochemical Indicators of Different Types of Proteinuria in Patients with Hypertensive Nephropathy

The total positive detection rates of different types of proteinuria in urine mAlb, urine β₂-MG, blood CysC, serum RBP, BUN, and blood creatinine were 99.0%, 32.8%, 99.0%, 21.0%, 2.0%, and 20%, respectively.

| Group                                      | Number of Cases | Urine mAlb | Urine β₂-MG | Blood CysC | Serum RBP | BUN     | Blood Creatinine |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------|---------|-----------------|
| Control group                              | 100             | 14.50±0.87 | 1.64±0.15   | 0.79±0.16  | 41.67±0.79 | 4.58±0.66 | 84.59±7.05     |
| Simple hypertension group                  | 100             | 16.29±1.66  | 1.79±0.25   | 0.83±0.17  | 43.48±1.28 | 4.48±0.66 | 85.49±8.53     |
| Hypertensive nephropathy group             | 210             | 49.50±1.82  | 5.01±2.14   | 2.95±0.32  | 118.35±8.93 | 6.96±0.62 | 120.02±5.42    |
| Value                                      | 23,345.54       | 234.64     | 3563.23     | 6993.73    | 739.53    | 1397.09  |

P value

Notes: *P<0.05 vs the control group; **P<0.05 vs the simple hypertension group.

Abbreviations: mAlb, microalbumin; β₂-MG, β₂-microglobulin; RBP, retinol-binding protein; CysC, cystatin C; BUN, blood urea nitrogen.

Table 1 Comparison of Biochemical Index Results for Subjects in Each Group (mean±SD)
The sensitivity of blood CysC and urinary mAlb in all types of hypertensive nephropathy was high, and the sensitivity of urinary β₂-MG, serum RBP, BUN, and blood creatinine in all types of hypertensive nephropathy group were higher than in the control group and simple hypertension group. The results showed that these indices can be used in the diagnosis of hypertensive nephropathy.

In this study, the evaluation of pathological proteinuria types confirmed that 138 cases of glomerular proteinuria consisted mainly of medium-sized molecules (albumin) and large molecules (transferrin, IgA, IgG); 31 cases of renal tubular proteinuria consisted mainly of medium-sized molecules (albumin) and small molecules (free light chain dimer, free light chain, RBP, α₁-microglobulin, lysozyme, β₂-MG); and 41 cases of mixed proteinuria consisted mainly of medium-sized molecules (albumin), large molecules (transferrin, IgA, IgG), and small molecules (free light chain dimer, free light chain, RBP, α₁-microglobulin, lysozyme, β₂-MG). The results of this study showed that the sensitivity of blood CysC and urinary mAlb in all types of hypertensive nephropathy was high, and the sensitivity of urinary β₂-MG, serum RBP, BUN, and blood creatinine in all types of hypertensive nephropathy was not high. It is very important to improve the sensitivity of the diagnosis of hypertensive nephropathy.

Urinary protein SDS-AGE has not yet seen widespread use.²⁰ Although urinary mAlb and serum CysC can significantly detect changes in the course of hypertensive nephropathy, they cannot reflect the location of kidney damage and the source of urinary protein. SDS-AGE of urinary proteins can reflect the location of kidney damage in hypertensive nephropathy.⁹ The main detection principle of urinary protein SDS-AGE is that SDS negatively ionized surfactants connect with urinary proteins to form negatively charged SDS–protein complexes. The morphology of the protein itself in these protein complexes has been destroyed, and they all show the same structure and the same negative charge. When such SDS–protein complexes are electrophoresed using agarose gel film, with appropriate screening characteristics, they will be separated according to the difference in protein molecular weight. On the agarose gel film, the renal tubular proteins (ie, molecular weight <65 to 70,000) and glomerular proteins (ie, molecular weight >65 to 70,000) will be clearly separated. Therefore, not only can proteinuria be detected, but it can also be classified according to the detected proteins (such as renal tubulin, glomeruli, and their mixtures).

The sensitivity of blood CysC and urinary mAlb in all types of hypertensive nephropathy was high, and the sensitivity of urinary β₂-MG, serum RBP, BUN, and blood creatinine in all types of hypertensive nephropathy was not high (Table 2).

**Discussion**

The biochemical index test is a chemical method in which internal and external factors can easily interfere with the test, often requiring the use of simultaneous testing of several indicators.¹⁰⁻¹² Urinary mAlb, urinary β₂-MG, serum RBP, and CysC detection methods are common diagnostic methods for hypertensive nephropathy.¹³⁻¹⁹ The results of this study show that the urinary mAlb levels of the simple hypertension group and the hypertensive nephropathy group were

![Figure 1](https://doi.org/10.2147/IJGM.S305871)  
**Figure 1** SDS-AGE urinary protein electrophoresis pattern. 1: Glomerular proteinuria; 2: mixed proteinuria; 3: mixed proteinuria; 4: renal tubular proteinuria; 5: physiological proteinuria.
The analytical parameters and sensitivity of crystal violet coloring solution can be used for protein detection without the need to concentrate urine samples. The lowest detectable concentration in each zone is approximately 0.15 mg/L.

Table 2 Comparison of Biochemical Indices of Different Types of Proteinuria in Patients with Hypertensive Nephropathy (n=210)

| SDS-AGE Urinary Protein Electrophoresis | n   | Urine mAlb | Urine β<sub>2</sub>-MG | Blood CysC | Serum RBP | BUN | Blood Creatinine |
|----------------------------------------|-----|------------|------------------------|------------|-----------|-----|-----------------|
| Glomerular proteinuria                 | 138 | 138 (100)  | 2 (1.4)                | 138 (100)  | 3 (2.2)   | 4 (2.9) | 2 (1.4)         |
| Renal tubular proteinuria              | 31  | 29 (93.5)  | 29 (93.5)              | 29 (93.5)  | 12 (38.7) | 1 (3.2) | 4 (12.9)        |
| Mixed proteinuria                      | 41  | 41 (100)   | 38 (92.7)              | 41 (100)   | 29 (70.7) | 39 (95.1) | 35 (85.4)       |
| Total                                  | 210 | 208 (99.0) | 69 (32.8)              | 208 (99.0) | 44 (21.0) | 44 (21.0) | 41 (19.5)       |

Abbreviations: mAlb, microalbumin; β<sub>2</sub>-MG, β<sub>2</sub>-microglobulin; RBP, retinol-binding protein; CysC, cystatin C; BUN, blood urea nitrogen.

Conclusions
Urinary protein SDS-AGE can assist in determining the nature of proteins in the urine, and assist in the clinical differentiation of renal lesions. SDS-AGE of urinary proteins, combined with urinary mAlb, β<sub>2</sub>-MG, serum

Figure 2 Molecular composition of pathological proteinuria after SDS-AGE. 1: Mixed proteinuria; 2: renal tubular proteinuria; 3: renal tubular proteinuria; 4: mixed proteinuria; 5: physiological proteinuria.
CysC, RBP, BUN, and creatinine, could improve the detection sensitivity, which will be helpful for the early and accurate diagnosis of kidney damage.
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