Reversal of the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment by nanoparticle-based activation of immune-associated cells
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Immunotherapy that activates the host immune system to reverse immunosuppression has emerged as a new generation of cancer treatment in both preclinical studies and clinical trials. Although immunotherapy has shown significant achievements in the treatment of various cancers, it faces challenges that limit its further evolution such as poor permeation and modest responsiveness. The development of nanoparticle drug delivery system has provided an opportunity to overcome these drawbacks and to achieve optimized immunotherapy. Based on the research of our group, we here introduce the new strategies being employed using nanoscale intelligent drug delivery systems to enhance the effects of cancer immunotherapy. We also provide a perspective on the further possible application of nanoparticles in more effective antitumor immunotherapy.
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INTRODUCTION

Effective treatment of cancer is an ongoing challenge for both basic researchers and clinicians worldwide. Traditional chemotherapy and radiotherapy have serious disadvantages, such as systemic toxicity and multidrug resistance [1–4]. In more recent years, cancer immunotherapy, which effectively kills cancer cells by enhancing immune system function in patients, has emerged as a new therapeutic approach [5, 6]. It is well known that the tumor microenvironment maintains an immunosuppressive state [7, 8]. There are many reasons for this, including: (1) tumor cells hyperactivate immune checkpoints, escaping immune surveillance [9]; (2) tumor antigens that are heterogeneous and have high mutation rates make surrounding immune cells unable to recognize and kill tumor cells [10]; and (3) tumor cells have low immunogenicity and are not easily recognized by antigen-presenting cells (APCs) [11]. Therefore, the intrinsic immune system cannot clear tumor cells in the same manner that it eliminates ordinary foreign substances. Many drawbacks of traditional therapy have been overcome by this new emerging therapy, which exhibits a rapid response, powerful effects, and long-lasting efficacy [12, 13]. However, one drawback of immunotherapy is its unsatisfactory effects on deep solid tumors [14]. Consequently, to improve cancer immunotherapy, there is an urgent demand for novel strategies to reverse and remodel immunosuppressive microenvironments [15]. The development of nanomedicine has provided a novel approach to enhance immunotherapeutic efficacy and minimize adverse toxicities [16, 17]. In contrast to free drugs, nanodrugs (10–200 nm) have a prolonged plasma half-life and high accumulation in tumor sites due to passive or/and active targeting effects [18]. Moreover, the easy functionalization of nanodrug surfaces makes it possible to release drugs solely in response to the tumor microenvironment, ensuring high biosafety and improved therapeutic efficiency [19]. Considering these outstanding advantages, nanomedicine presents a promising strategy for advancing tumor immunotherapy. In recent years, our group has been committed to the investigation of intelligent nanomedicine to regulate the tumor immune microenvironment [20, 21]. This review introduces three aspects of cancer immunotherapy based on the work of our group and provides a perspective on the combination of nanomedicine and immunotherapy.

NANOPARTICLE DELIVERY SYSTEM IMPROVES THE THERAPEUTIC EFFICIENCY OF IMMUNE CHECKPOINT INHIBITORS IN THE TUMOR MICROENVIRONMENT

Under physiological conditions, immune checkpoint pathways maintain immune balance and protect against autoimmune diseases [22, 23]. However, tumor cells exploit this function, causing immune cells to recognize them as normal cells. Immune checkpoint inhibitors interfere with the camouflage of the surface of tumor cells and disrupt the receptor-ligand interaction so that T cells can be activated to eliminate tumor cells. CTLA-4 was the first immune checkpoint protein discovered to antagonize a T cell costimulatory signal and turn off T cells as a negative regulator [24]. The continuous success of PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors has attracted widespread attention in recent years [25, 26]. Many commercially available PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors have been used...
clinically with inspiring curative effects [27]. However, due to the dynamic and complicated nature of the tumor environment, only a small number of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes are recruited to tumor sites [28]. In addition, the rapid proliferation of tumor cells and abnormality of tumor blood vessels lead to hypoxia in tumor tissues and overexpression of hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1α, consequently resulting in chemoresistance and poor immunotherapeutic outcomes [29, 30]. Accordingly, remodeling the tumor microenvironment will be important to enhance the therapeutic efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors [31, 32]. Many nanodrug delivery systems have achieved encouraging therapeutic efficacy in tumor inhibition through the codelivery of immune checkpoint inhibitors with other drugs or compounds expected to regulate the tumor microenvironment [33, 34].

To enhance the efficacy of anti-PD-1 antibodies, many strategies involving hypoxia alleviation and combination with other immunomodulatory drugs have been proposed. Zhou et al. prepared a multifunctional biomimetic nanoplatform containing zeolitic imidazolate framework (ZIF)-8 coated with a tumor cell membrane as the guidance part and antigen stimulation to codeliver catalase and doxorubicin [35]. Oxygen generation by catalysis of catalase could alleviate hypoxic conditions and enhance the antitumor effects of doxorubicin. Consistent with previous research, this nanoplatform was shown to decrease the expression of PD-L1 on the tumor cell membrane by inhibiting HIF-1α [36]. Encouraged by this outcome, Zhou et al. immediately combined this nanoplatform with an anti-PD-1 antibody for immunotherapy. The effects of the combination therapy were significant compared with those of other treatments, indicating that hypoxia alleviation using nanomedicine in the tumor microenvironment could enhance the effects of immunotherapy. In addition, Zhang et al. generated engineered magnetosomes to achieve synergistic antitumor effects by integrating a checkpoint antibody and a TGF-β inhibitor into Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanoclusters. In this system, the anti-PD-1 antibody for activating exhausted T cells and the TGF-β inhibitor for modulating macrophage polarization from the M2 phenotype to the M1 phenotype had a synergistic effect that created an immunogenic microenvironment. This treatment could increase the amount of H₂O₂ in polarized M1 macrophages, while Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanoclusters induced the Fenton reaction to produce reactive oxygen species (ROS). The generated ROS subsequently resulted in lethal ferroptosis in tumor cells. The anticancer effects were evaluated in seven different tumor models, and all of the results were extraordinarily satisfactory [37].

In addition to overexpression of PD-1/PD-L1 and CTLA4, overexpression of indoleamine 2,3-dioxigenase (IDO) in tumors has also been shown to be associated with immune tolerance [38]. IDO is a unique rate-limiting enzyme that regulates metabolism of tryptophan into kynurenine and is widely expressed in most organs except the liver [39]. Tryptophan is an essential amino acid that influences the proliferation and activation of T cells through two distinct molecular stress-response pathways (the GCN2/eIF-2α and GLK1/mTORC1 pathways) [40, 41]. Therefore, a reduction in the tryptophan level in the tumor microenvironment is not conducive to effector T cell activity [22, 42]. In addition, kynurenine products produced by IDO inhibit the metabolism of tryptophan and block PD-L1, consequently resulting in lethal ferroptosis in tumor cells. The anticancer effects of doxorubicin were enhanced when it was used in combination with an anti-PD-1 antibody. Moreover, the combination of anti-PD-1 and TGF-β inhibitors showed the greatest antitumor effect (Fig. 2). Given the excellent insertion capacity of pHLIPs, our group is also investigating whether pHLIPs can be applied to CAR-T cell therapy, increasing the possible applications of this therapy in solid tumors.

NK cells directly lyse tumor cells via antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC), wherein antibodies play key roles in the activation and ADCC of NK cells [48, 57]. FcγRIIA (CD16), which is abundantly expressed on the NK cell surface, has been reported to trigger ADCC by specifically binding to an antibody-coated antigen [58, 59]. However, the heterogeneity of tumor antigens limits this recognition process, resulting in a reduction in the ADCC effect [60]. Therefore, our group combined Fc fragments or therapeutic antibodies with pH (low) insertion peptides (pHLIPs) [61]. A weakly acidic pH has been validated as the most significant feature of many solid tumors and has been widely applied in nanoparticle construction [62]. Under low-pH conditions, pHLIPs can transform coil conformations into α-helices and anchor themselves into tumor cell membranes so that the Fc fragments or therapeutic antibodies are exposed [63]. The subsequent recognition and binding of recruited NK cells can then trigger ADCC more efficiently. In vitro, pHLIP-Fc-mediated ADCC at pH 6.8 is superior to that at pH 7.4. In vivo, both primary tumor and metastatic disease models showed enhanced therapeutic effects, and the number of activated NK cells was increased more than three times with pHLIP-Fc therapy than with control treatment and led to distinctly outstanding therapeutic effects (Fig. 2). Given the excellent insertion capacity of pHLIPs, our group is also investigating whether pHLIPs can be applied to CAR-T cell therapy, increasing the possible applications of this therapy in solid tumors.

Zheng et al. also designed immunomodulatory core-shell assembled nanoparticles to activate NK cells in situ. The pH-responsive shell PMPC-b-PAPm/Glu can disassemble following exposure to the acidic tumor microenvironment. The naked bifunctional core nBSA-PBA-IgG immediately binds sialic acid

**NANOPARTICLE FACILITATES THE KILLING ABILITY OF NATURAL KILLER CELLS IN THE TUMOR MICROENVIRONMENT**

Natural killer (NK) cells, which account for 10%–15% of the circulating lymphocytes in the innate immune system, can spontaneously lyse tumor cells without requiring prior sensitization [46]; therefore, NK cells have unique roles in cancer immune surveillance and clearance [47, 48]. Following activation counterbalanced by various receptors, NK cells express activating receptors, including natural cytotoxicity receptors (e.g., Nkp30 and Nkp44) and Fc-gamma receptors (e.g., CD16), that recognize tumor cells or virus-infected cells [49]. In addition, NK cells are also equipped with some inhibitory receptors, such as killer immunoglobulin-like receptors, to protect normal cells [50]. Finally, NK cells also express some cytokine (e.g., IL-2 and TGF-β) receptors, which regulate their function [51, 52].

NK cells recognize foreign antigens through cell stress-induced recognition and missing-self recognition, which blocks the transmission of inhibitory signals or upregulates interactions between activating receptors and stress ligands on tumor cells [48, 53]. After recognition, perforin and granzyme B are released by activated NK cells, resulting in rapid tumor cell lysis [54]. However, many clinical and preclinical studies have reported dysfunction of NK cells, especially in various solid tumors [55], which is primarily due to the immunosuppressive microenvironment [56].

NK cells directly lyse tumor cells via antibody-dependent-cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC), wherein antibodies play key roles in the activation and ADCC of NK cells [48, 57]. FcγRIIA (CD16), which is abundantly expressed on the NK cell surface, has been reported to trigger ADCC by specifically binding to an antibody-coated antigen [58, 59]. However, the heterogeneity of tumor antigens severely limits this recognition process, resulting in a reduction in the ADCC effect [60]. Therefore, our group combined Fc fragments or therapeutic antibodies with pH (low) insertion peptides (pHLIPs) [61]. A weakly acidic pH has been validated as the most significant feature of many solid tumors and has been widely applied in nanoparticle construction [62]. Under low-pH conditions, pHLIPs can transform coil conformations into α-helices and anchor themselves into tumor cell membranes so that the Fc fragments or therapeutic antibodies are exposed [63]. The subsequent recognition and binding of recruited NK cells can then trigger ADCC more efficiently. In vitro, pHLIP-Fc-mediated ADCC at pH 6.8 is superior to that at pH 7.4. In vivo, both primary tumor and metastatic disease models showed enhanced therapeutic effects, and the number of activated NK cells was increased more than three times with pHLIP-Fc therapy than with control treatment and led to distinctly outstanding therapeutic effects (Fig. 2). Given the excellent insertion capacity of pHLIPs, our group is also investigating whether pHLIPs can be applied to CAR-T cell therapy, increasing the possible applications of this therapy in solid tumors.
expressed on the tumor cell membrane to allow IgG to activate NK cells. These safe and effective immunomodulatory nanoparticles can avoid immune-related adverse events in nontarget tissues and exert immunotherapeutic effects exclusively on tumors [64].

Nanoparticle-engineered NK cells have also been extensively investigated, including NK cells with expression of an EGFR-targeted CAR on the cell membrane realized through gene delivery and infiltration of NK cells elevated by magnetic traction [65, 66]. All of these approaches indicate that nanoparticles have broad applications in NK cell-mediated cancer immunotherapy.

NANOPARTICLE ENHANCES THE FUNCTION OF DENDRITIC CELLS IN THE TUMOR MICROENVIRONMENT

Dendritic cells (DCs) are the primary APCs in the human body [67]. They have two responsibilities in the immune system; first, they catch and process tumor antigens, and second, they present processed antigens and stimulate T cells or other lymphocytes [68, 69]. DCs are classified as immature and mature [70]. Only mature DCs possess the ability to induce antitumor immunity [71]. As a consequence, activated DCs may be the key to reversing the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment [72–74].

It is generally accepted that tumor vaccines enable targeted delivery of tumor-associated antigens (TAAs) or adjuvants to DCs and induce long-lasting antitumor immune responses [75–78]. Nevertheless, it is difficult for DCs to absorb soluble antigens; phagocytosis of antigen-loaded nanoparticles is preferred [79–81]. Nanoparticles with sizes similar to those of pathogens can simulate endocytosis of TAAs [82–84]. On account of this advantage, the application of nanoparticles will be important in the development of tumor vaccines delivering TAAs to DCs [85–87].

In recent years, biologically derived nanomaterials, such as exosomes, have received increasing attention [88]. Exosomes, which are vesicles secreted by parent cells, are widely used in disease diagnosis and treatment [89–92]. In our previous study, we prepared two types of DC-derived membrane vesicles (DC-mvs) that bore antigens from B16 or LLC tumor cells [93]. A DC-mv vaccine could lead to the activation of specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes and exhibited powerful antitumor effects when administered to tumor-bearing mice. Consequently, DC-mvs as antitumor vaccines exhibit an excellent antigen presentation ability. In tumor rejection studies, DC-mvs containing dual tumor antigens have been shown to be superior to those containing a single antigen (Fig. 3a).
Fig. 2  **pH (low) insertion peptide (pHLIP)-Fc enhanced immunotherapy with natural killer cells.**  

**a** Mechanism by which pHLIP-Fc or pHLIP-mAb activates ADCC. 

**b** Comparison of pHLIPs at physiological pH and a weakly acidic pH. Scale bar: 50 µm. 

**c** Measurement of CRTAM-positive cells as activated NK cells and the inhibition of tumor growth. Fc/a: Fc fragments from mouse IgG2a; Fc/b: Fc fragments from a mouse. Copyright (2018) John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
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Fig. 3  **Biologically derived nanoparticles for activation of dendritic cells (DCs).**  

**a** Exosome-based dual vaccine. Tumor-derived exosomes were incubated with DCs to generate DC-derived membrane vesicles (DC-mvs), which were injected into mice. Copyright (2012) Elsevier Ltd. 

**b** Membrane-based tumor vaccine. The tumor cell membrane (antigen) and CpG (adjuvant) were codelivered to antigen-presenting cells (blue), and activated T cells (tan) killed tumor cells. Copyright (2017) John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
Recently, biomimetic nanoparticles coated with cancer cell membranes have emerged as a rising star in nanomedicine [94, 95]. Because of the abundance of tumor antigens on membranes, these nanoparticles are more suitable for application as tumor vaccines [96, 97]. Kroll et al. constructed a tumor vaccine that used the B16-F10 mouse melanoma cell membrane as the shell exposing an external tumor antigen to encapsulate the core, which was a PLGA nanoparticle that encapsulated CpG, a common adjuvant [98]. The mature APCs and activated T cells triggered by this vaccine eliminated tumor cells efficiently in female C57BL/6N mice. While exploring whether the immune activation caused by this vaccine enhances immunotherapeutic efficiency, researchers found that combination of this vaccine with both anti-CTLA4 and anti-PD1 antibodies significantly reinforced the curative effects compared with checkpoint blockade cocktail alone (Fig. 3b). Therefore, tumor vaccines can be considered an effective tool to relieve immuno-suppression and increase immunotherapeutic efficiency, especially with the development of personalized tumor vaccines in the future [99–101].

In addition to use of the tumor membrane, the application of bacteria in cancer immunotherapy has also received widespread attention [102]. We currently have unpublished data showing that a hybrid membrane-based tumor vaccine that consists of both a tumor membrane and a bacterial cytoplasmic membrane exhibits great potential as an adjuvant.

CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

Nanoparticle drug delivery systems have been widely used as well-known drug carriers in tumor immunotherapy. In addition to the three areas described above, our group continues to conceive nanomedicine strategies to achieve the following: promote the polarization of macrophages from the M2 phenotype to the M1 phenotype, eliminate the physical barrier around tumors prior to immune activation, and inhibit the differentiation of myeloid-derived suppressor cells.

The combination of immunotherapy with other strategies is believed to produce optimal results. Nanodelivery systems can subtly integrate different strategies to target the tumor microenvironment and release drugs or effectors. However, some nanoparticles are too complex to be applied for clinical diagnosis and therapy. To solve this problem, in our view, nanoparticles should be designed with a multifunctional component serving as both the bioactive and building elements. For instance, it is better to construct peptide-based self-assembled nanoparticles with an amphipathic peptide against PD-L1 than to conjugate an anti-PD1 to construct peptide-based self-assembled nanoparticles with an both the bioactive and building elements. For instance, it is better to design with a multifunctional component serving as
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