With the excellent solubility, mobility, bioaccumulation and carcinogenesis, hexavalent chromium Cr (VI), widely exists in various industrial effluents such as chrome plating, metal finishing, pigments, and tanning. Cr (VI) is one of the toxic metal pollutants among all the heavy metals. Therefore, the purpose of this work was to convert highly water-soluble Cr (VI) into Cr (III) species using electrocoagulation (EC) process. The Box–Behnken design (BBD) as was applied to investigate the effects of major operating variables and optimization conditions. The predicted values of responses obtained using the model is agreed well with the experimental data. This work demonstrated that the Cr (VI) is entirely converted into Cr (III) in solid-phases in electrocoagulation process. It was also found that reduction increased with current density that suggesting that the reduction efficiency is closely related to the generation of floc.
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**Value of the data**

- Now day’s the regulation of Cr (VI) in drinking water have spurred strong interests due its adverse effect of Cr(VI) on human as well ecosystem.
- The acquired data will be advantageous for the scientific community wanting to scale up and design an electrocoagulation process for removal of Cr (VI).
- Based on the dataset, electrocoagulation is considered as a promising treatment technology for wastewater such as electroplating, metal finishing, and tanning etc.
- The proposed design correlations may prove to be a useful tool in designing pilot and commercial plants for Cr (VI) removal.

### 1. Data

This dataset contains 3 Tables and 5 Figures that represent statistical optimization of electrocoagulation process for reduction of Cr (VI) to Cr (III) from synthetic wastewater in batch mode of operation using BBD. A total 15 number of batch experiments including three centre points were carried out in triplicates using statistically deigned experiments. The results are shown in Table 1–3a and 3b. The suitability of the selected model to provide adequate approximation of the real system is also confirmed by the diagnostic plots. Such plots include normal probability plots, residuals versus predicted and the predicted versus actual value plot (See Figs. 1 and 2). The 3D graphs were plotted to identify the optimized reaction conditions and to understand the individual effects of pH, voltage and time for efficient conversion of Cr (VI) to Cr (III) (See Figs. 3–5).

### 2. Experimental design, materials, and methods

#### 2.1. Sample preparation

Stock solution (100 mg/L) of Cr (VI) was prepared by dissolving of potassium dichromate (Qualigens, India) in distilled water. Sodium hydroxide (Qualigens, India) and sulphuric acid (Qualigens, India) were used to adjust the pH of the solution. Potassium permanganate (Qualigens, India), sodium azide (Qualigens, India) and 1,5-diphenylcarbazide (Qualigens, India) were used for analysis of the chromium present in synthetic solution [1,2].

| Factors     | Levels |
|-------------|--------|
| Voltage (V) | 5      | 10    | 15    |
| Time (min)  | 20     | 30    | 40    |
| pH          | 3      | 5     | 7     |

Table 1

Factor and levels of experiment through BBD.
2.2. Analytical methods

A 95 mL of Cr (VI) solution tested in a 100 mL volumetric flask. The pH of sample was maintained less than 2 by adding 2 drops of concentrated H2SO4 then 2 drops of phosphoric acid (H3PO4) were added. Then 2 mL of 1,5 Diphenylcarbazide (DPC) added to the solution and mixed thoroughly then leave for 5–10 min for full-color development. After full color development an appropriate amount of the solution (4 mL) was taken into 3 mm quartz cell and measured its absorbance at 540 nm using UV–vis Double Beam (Hitachi U-2900, India) spectrophotometer [3].

### Table 2

| Run no. | Voltage (V) | Time (min) | pH | CRE (%) | Fe (Exp) | Fe (Pre) | Al (Exp) | Al (Pre) |
|---------|-------------|------------|----|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1       | 5           | 40         | 5  | 63.00   | 64.50    | 70.00    | 71.13    |
| 2       | 5           | 30         | 7  | 66.00   | 62.88    | 60.00    | 59.00    |
| 3       | 10          | 30         | 5  | 68.00   | 68.33    | 70.00    | 70.67    |
| 4       | 15          | 30         | 3  | 90.00   | 93.13    | 80.00    | 81.00    |
| 5       | 5           | 20         | 5  | 58.00   | 58.25    | 64.00    | 63.63    |
| 6       | 10          | 30         | 5  | 67.00   | 68.33    | 72.00    | 70.67    |
| 7       | 15          | 30         | 7  | 80.00   | 78.63    | 82.00    | 81.75    |
| 8       | 10          | 40         | 3  | 95.00   | 92.13    | 82.00    | 80.63    |
| 9       | 10          | 20         | 7  | 75.00   | 77.88    | 85.00    | 77.68    |
| 10      | 15          | 40         | 5  | 85.00   | 84.75    | 80.00    | 78.75    |
| 11      | 10          | 30         | 5  | 70.00   | 68.33    | 70.00    | 70.67    |
| 12      | 10          | 30         | 3  | 85.00   | 83.38    | 74.00    | 74.13    |
| 13      | 10          | 40         | 7  | 78.00   | 79.63    | 76.00    | 76.88    |
| 14      | 15          | 20         | 5  | 82.00   | 80.50    | 80.00    | 78.88    |
| 15      | 5           | 30         | 3  | 65.00   | 66.38    | 72.00    | 72.25    |

### Table 3a

ANOVA analysis for Al electrode.

| Source          | Sum of squares | df | Mean square | F-value | p-Value |
|-----------------|---------------|----|-------------|---------|---------|
| Model           | 1605.32       | 9  | 178.37      | 16.39   | 0.0033  |
| A-voltage       | 903.12        | 1  | 903.12      | 82.98   | 0.0003  |
| B-time          | 55.12         | 1  | 55.12       | 5.07    | 0.0742  |
| C-pH            | 162.00        | 1  | 162.00      | 14.89   | 0.0119  |
| AB              | 1.0000        | 1  | 1.0000      | 0.0919  | 0.7740  |
| AC              | 30.25         | 1  | 30.25       | 2.78    | 0.1564  |
| BC              | 12.25         | 1  | 12.25       | 1.13    | 0.3373  |
| A²              | 17.33         | 1  | 17.33       | 1.59    | 0.2626  |
| B²              | 125.64        | 1  | 125.64      | 11.54   | 0.0193  |
| C²              | 304.64        | 1  | 304.64      | 27.99   | 0.0032  |
| Residual        | 54.42         | 5  | 10.88       |         |         |
| Lack of Fit     | 49.75         | 3  | 16.58       | 7.11    | 0.1258  |
| Pure Error      | 4.67          | 2  | 2.33        |         |         |
| Cor Total       | 1659.73       | 14 |             |         |         |
| Std. Dev.       | 3.30          |    | R²          |         | 0.9672  |
| Mean            | 75.13         |    | Adj R²      |         | 0.9082  |
| C.V.%           | 4.39          |    | Adeq. Precision | 12.9473 |

2.2. Analytical methods

A 95 mL of Cr (VI) solution tested in a 100 mL volumetric flask. The pH of sample was maintained less than 2 by adding 2 drops of concentrated H2SO4 then 2 drops of phosphoric acid (H3PO4) were added. Then 2 mL of 1,5 Diphenylcarbazide (DPC) added to the solution and mixed thoroughly then leave for 5–10 min for full-color development. After full color development an appropriate amount of the solution (4 mL) was taken into 3 mm quartz cell and measured its absorbance at 540 nm using UV–vis Double Beam (Hitachi U-2900, India) spectrophotometer [3].
2.3. Experimental setup and procedure

The electrolytic cell consists of a glass beaker of 400 mL capacity. Aluminum and iron sheets were used as electrodes. The electrode distance between anode and cathode was maintained constant of 1.5 cm during electrolysis. A direct current was supplied by a DC power source (Science tech 4074, India, 0–5 A and 0–30 V). Agitation was provided to maintain uniform concentration inside the cell using (SPINOT 02, India). A stock solution Cr(VI) was prepared by dissolving an appropriate amount of potassium dichromate (Qualigens, India) in distilled water. All the experiments were carried out under potentiostatic conditions at room temperature. The pH of the solution was adjusted using

| Source | Sum of squares | df | Mean square | F-value | p-Value | p-Value |
|--------|---------------|----|-------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Model | 792.18 | 9 | 88.02 | 38.55 | 0.0004 | Significant |
| A-voltage | 496.12 | 1 | 496.12 | 217.28 | < 0.0001 | |
| B-time | 128.00 | 1 | 128.00 | 56.06 | 0.0007 | |
| C-pH | 78.13 | 1 | 78.13 | 34.22 | 0.0021 | |
| AB | 128.00 | 1 | 128.00 | 56.06 | 0.0007 | |
| AC | 49.00 | 1 | 49.00 | 21.46 | 0.0057 | |
| BC | 2.25 | 1 | 2.25 | 0.9854 | 0.3664 | |
| A² | 13.56 | 1 | 13.56 | 5.94 | 0.0588 | |
| B² | 26.26 | 1 | 26.26 | 11.50 | 0.0194 | |
| C² | 3.10 | 1 | 3.10 | 1.36 | 0.2963 | |
| Residual | 11.42 | 5 | 2.28 | | | |
| Lack of Fit | 8.75 | 3 | 2.92 | 2.19 | 0.3290 | Not significant |
| Pure Error | 2.67 | 2 | 1.33 | | | |
| Cor Total | 803.60 | 14 | | | | |
| Std. Dev. | 1.51 | 1 | 1.51 | | | 0.9858 |
| Mean | 73.60 | 14 | Adjusted R² | 0.9602 | | |
| C.V.% | 2.05 | | Adeq Precision | 22.9984 | | |

Fig. 1. Normality probability plot (a) Al (b) Fe.
either dilute HCl or NaOH. After each experiment the samples were collected and analyzed for Cr (VI) using 1,5-diphenylcarbazide (DPC) method.

The Cr (VI) to Cr (III) reduction percentage from synthetic solution was calculated using the following equation reported by [4,5]:

\[
\text{Cr(VI) to Cr(III)} \ (\%) = \left( \frac{C_o - C_i}{C_o} \right) \times 100
\]

where \( C_o \) and \( C_i \) are initial and final concentrations of Cr (VI) in mg/L respectively. The reproducibility in the experimental results was found to be ± 3%.
2.4. Statistical methods and data analysis

Box–Behnken design was established with the help of the Design Expert 11 software for statistical design of experiment and data analysis. The three significant process variables considered in this study were: Voltage (A), time (B) and pH (C) as shown in Table 1. The total number of experiments in this study was 15 including three center points were carried out in triplicates for the estimation of error. The observed and predicted results for each set of reaction parameters are given in Table 2. A quadratic polynomial equation using Design Expert software was fitted to the experimental data obtained according to the Box–Behnken design. Normality plot have been illustrated in Fig. 1 for aluminum (Al) and iron electrode (Fe) electrodes. Fig. 1 shows the normality assumption is clearly satisfied reduction of 95% which is close to result obtained by EC experiments given as straight line [6,7]. The actual and the predicted results by EC process using aluminum and iron electrode is shown in Fig. 2. Actual values are the measured response data for a particular run, and the predicted values are evaluated from the model and generated by using the approximating function. It is seen in Fig. 2

Fig. 4. 3D plot of pH and voltage (a) Al (b) Fe.

Fig. 5. 3D plot of pH and time (a) Al (b) Fe.
that the data points lie close to the diagonal line and the developed model is adequate for the prediction of each response. ANOVA studies presented in Tables 3a and 3b. 3D plots (Figs. 3–5) suggested time and current as the dominant process parameters for reduction of Cr (VI) to Cr (III).
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