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Abstract

As a universal second messenger, calcium (Ca\textsuperscript{2+}) transmits specific cellular signals via a spatiotemporal signature generated from its extracellular source and internal stores. Our knowledge of the mechanisms underlying the generation of a Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signature is hampered by limited tools for simultaneously monitoring dynamic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} levels in multiple subcellular compartments. To overcome the limitation and to further improve spatiotemporal resolutions, we have assembled a molecular toolset (CamelliA lines) in Arabidopsis (\textit{Arabidopsis thaliana}) that enables simultaneous and high-resolution monitoring of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dynamics in multiple subcellular compartments through imaging different single-colored genetically encoded calcium indicators. We uncovered several Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signatures in three types of Arabidopsis cells in response to internal and external cues, including rapid oscillations of cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and apical plasma membrane Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx in fast-growing Arabidopsis pollen tubes, the spatiotemporal relationship of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dynamics in four subcellular compartments of root epidermal cells challenged with salt, and a shockwave-like Ca\textsuperscript{2+} wave propagating in laser-wounded leaf epidermis. These observations serve as a testimony to the wide applicability of the CamelliA lines for elucidating the subcellular sources contributing to the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signatures in plants.

Introduction

As a second messenger, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} plays a pivotal role in regulating plant adaptive responses to developmental and environmental signals by forming specific Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signatures that occur in spikes, waves, and oscillations with a defined duration, amplitude, frequency, and/or subcellular location (Dodd \textit{et al.}, 2010; Kudla \textit{et al.}, 2018; Vigani and Costa, 2019; Lamers \textit{et al.}, 2020). Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signatures are generated by Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} pumps, and/or Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transporters localized in the plasma membrane (PM) or organellar membranes (Stael \textit{et al.}, 2012; Costa \textit{et al.}, 2018; Kudla \textit{et al.}, 2018; Pan \textit{et al.}, 2019; Hilleary \textit{et al.}, 2020; He \textit{et al.}, 2021), and are presumably decoded by Ca\textsuperscript{2+} sensing proteins, for example calmodulin (CaM), CaM-like proteins, calcium-dependent protein kinases, and calcineurin B-like proteins (Harmon \textit{et al.}, 2000; Cheng \textit{et al.}, 2002; Luan \textit{et al.}, 2002; Harper \textit{et al.}, 2004; McCormack \textit{et al.}, 2005; DeFalco \textit{et al.}, 2009; Weinl and Kudla, 2009; Kudla \textit{et al.}, 2018; Tang \textit{et al.}, 2020). The mechanisms for encoding Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signatures are poorly characterized, largely due to the spatiotemporal complexity of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}
signaling, which often involves a large number of Ca\(^{2+}\) signature encoders and decoders distributed widely in multiple subcellular compartments (Stael et al., 2012; Costa et al., 2018; Kudla et al., 2018; Wudick et al., 2018). Untangling the complexity requires tools for simultaneous visualization of Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics in multiple subcellular compartments with high spatiotemporal resolution.

Many Ca\(^{2+}\) probes have been developed, including Ca\(^{2+}\) selective vibrating probes (Kuhtreiber and Jaffe, 1990; Pierson et al., 1994; Shipley and Feijó, 1999), Ca\(^{2+}\)-sensitive fluorescent dyes (Grynkiewicz et al., 1985; Minta et al., 1989), and genetically encoded calcium indicators (GECIs) (Shimomura et al., 1962; Miyawaki et al., 1999; Nakai et al., 2001; Zhao et al., 2011). The vibrating probe has a relatively poor spatial resolution, while the fluorescent dyes require extra loading steps (Takahashi et al., 1999; Bothwell et al., 2006). The GECIs provide consistent and convenient means to monitor in vivo Ca\(^{2+}\) levels with excellent spatiotemporal resolutions, albeit with slower kinetics, and lower detection efficiency compared with fluorescent dyes (Hendel et al., 2008; Lock et al., 2015). Recent efforts have greatly improved kinetics and sensitivities of GECI-based Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors. There are four types of GECIs (Mank and Griesbeck, 2008; Perez Koldenkova and Nagai, 2013; Supplemental Table S1). The aequorin bioluminescent Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors (Johnson et al., 1995; Sedbrook et al., 1996; Logan and Knight, 2003; Mehlmer et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2013; Sello et al., 2018) are excellent for accurate quantification of Ca\(^{2+}\) level at the tissue level, but inadequate for high spatial resolution imaging at subcellular levels (Zhu et al., 2013; Xiong et al., 2014; Sello et al., 2018). The fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET)-based ratiometric Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors, such as Yellow Cameleon 3.6 (YC3.6) (Krebs et al., 2012; Loro et al., 2012), YC4.6 (Loro et al., 2016), D3cpv (Loro et al., 2013), D4ER (Bonza et al., 2013), etc., offer good spatial resolution at subcellular levels, absolute quantification of Ca\(^{2+}\) levels, and tolerance to pH fluctuations, but have a poor dynamic range and require two channels for imaging, limiting their applications in simultaneous imaging in multiple subcellular compartments. The non-FRET-based ratiometric Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors, such as GCaMP-R (Cho et al., 2017), MatryoshCaMP6s (Ast et al., 2017) family Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors, and R-GECO1-mTurquoise sensor (Waadt et al., 2017), retain the advantages of the FRET-based Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors, and have dramatically increased dynamic ranges, but are still limited in multiple channel imaging. The single-colored GECIs, such as R-GECO1 (red fluorescent genetically-encoded Ca\(^{2+}\) indicator for optical imaging), consist of a CaM domain, a CaM-binding domain from myosin light chain kinase (M13), and a circularly permuted fluorescent protein (cpFP) (Zhao et al., 2011). Upon Ca\(^{2+}\) binding, CaM interacts with CaM binding domain, resulting in a conformational change in cpFP and increased fluorescence (Mank and Griesbeck, 2008). The GECIs offer a higher dynamic range and kinetic superiority to the ratiometric GECIs. Importantly, the diverse spectral properties render them ideal for imaging Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics in multiple subcellular compartments (Whitaker, 2010; Keinath et al., 2015). Single-colored GECIs have been successfully applied to imaging Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics in plant cells (Costa and Kudla, 2015; Keinath et al., 2015; Waadt et al., 2017; Walia et al., 2018; De Vriese et al., 2019; Marhavy et al., 2019), but have only been used for simultaneous Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging in two subcellular compartments (Kelner et al., 2018; Luo et al., 2020; Resentini et al., 2021).

Here, we assembled a toolset, coined as CamelliA for simultaneous Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging across multiple subcellular compartments in Arabidopsis, for simultaneous imaging of Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics in multiple subcellular compartments with high spatiotemporal resolution. Consequently, we discovered unprecedented rapid cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations in pollen tubes and an unrecognized propagating blast of subcellular Ca\(^{2+}\) waves in leaf epidermal cells. Hence by enabling simultaneous Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging in multiple compartments, CamelliA will uncover new Ca\(^{2+}\) signatures and allow dissecting the underlying mechanisms of Ca\(^{2+}\)-mediated signal integration in plant cells.

Results

Design and generation of a multi-compartmental Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging toolset

The design of multi-compartmental Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging is based on the expression of targeted GECIs with distinct emissions in various subcellular compartments, including the cytosol, the nucleus, the sub-PM region (inner surface of the PM), the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) lumen, and the chloroplast stroma. Different subcellular compartments display distinct levels of free Ca\(^{2+}\) ion (Suzuki et al., 2016; Costa et al., 2018). As such, effective monitoring of the changes in Ca\(^{2+}\) levels requires the selection of GECIs with appropriate binding affinity to Ca\(^{2+}\) (K\(_d\)). Thus, we employed three single-colored GECIs: B-GECO1 (Zhao et al., 2011), GCaMP6f (Chen et al., 2013; Li et al., 2019), and jRGECO1a (Dana et al., 2016), for imaging the cytosolic and the nuclear Ca\(^{2+}\) levels, because their K\(_d\) is within the range of the cytosolic and nuclear Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations (van Der Luit et al., 1999; Mithofer and Mazars, 2002; Stael et al., 2012; Sello et al., 2016; Costa et al., 2018; Jiang et al., 2019). They were each tagged with an N-terminal nuclear export signal peptide from PKI\(_{a}\) (Wen et al., 1995) or C-terminal three tandem repeats of the nuclear localization signal from the SV40 large T antigen (Kalderon et al., 1984) for cytosolic and nuclear targeting, respectively. To monitor extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) influx through the PM, we used a similar approach as previously described (Krebs et al., 2012; Iwano et al., 2015) by anchoring GCaMP6f and jRGECO1a to the cytosolic side of the PM through C-terminal fusion with Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) LOW TEMPERATURE-INDUCED PROTEIN 6B (AtLTI6b) (Cutler et al., 2000). For visualizing Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics in the ER lumen, we selected two low-affinity single-colored GECIs, G-CEPIA1er and R-CEPIA1er (Suzuki et al., 2014) with optimal K\(_d\) for analyzing Ca\(^{2+}\) levels in the ER lumen (Iwano et al., 2009; Stael et al., 2012; Bonza et al., 2013; Costa et al., 2015).
ER targeting signal peptide from Arabidopsis CALRETICULIN 1A (AtCRT1A) (Bonza et al., 2013) and a KDEL ER-retention signal peptide (Denecke et al., 1992) were fused to N- and C-termini of the GECIs, respectively, to target them to ER lumen. Lastly, we chose GCaMP6f for monitoring Ca²⁺ levels in the chloroplast stroma because YC3.6, a Ca²⁺ sensor with similar Kd, has been successfully applied to assessing Ca²⁺ dynamics in the stroma (Loro et al., 2016). Thus, the N-terminus of GCaMP6f was fused with tandem repeats of a chloroplast stroma targeting peptide from Arabidopsis β-amylase 4 (Fulton et al., 2008; Loro et al., 2016) for targeting to the chloroplast stroma. The Kd of the chosen GECIs and the Ca²⁺ levels in the above compartments were summarized in Supplemental Table S2.

To allow Ca²⁺ imaging in various tissues and cell types, all of the above GECIs were driven by the Arabidopsis UBQ10 promoter, a ubiquitous promoter active in various cell types and tissues including pollen tubes, hypocotyls, roots, cotyledons, and true leaves (Geldner et al., 2009; Grefen et al., 2010; Krebs et al., 2012). The expression of all GECIs is terminated by the NOS terminator. The nomenclatures and the respective detailed construct designs are summarized in Figure 1 and Supplemental Table S3.

To acquire transgenic plants without abnormal growth phenotypes that may result from the expression of single-colored GECIs to a high level (Waadt et al., 2017), we subjected the transgenic lines to several rounds of stringent selections by analyzing their genetic and physiological performances (Supplemental Figure S1). All final chosen transgenic lines must have a single T-DNA insertion, low or moderate expression levels of the GECIs, and similar growth phenotypes as those of wild-type plants. Details of the analyses are described in Supplemental Method S1 (see also Supplemental Figures S2–S7 in Supplemental Method S1).

Confocal imaging confirmed the correct subcellular localization of all GECIs in the CamelliA lines. The cytotoxic GECIs were excluded from the nucleus (Figure 2, A–D and Supplemental Figure S8, A–F), while the nuclear GECIs were exclusively localized within the nucleus (Figure 2, E–H and Supplemental Figure S8, G–L). The PM-anchored Ca²⁺ sensors (CamelliA_PmG/R) exhibited colocalization with the FM4-64-stained PM (Figure 2, I–L and Supplemental Figure S8, M–O). The two ER-targeted GECIs (CamelliA_ErG/R) were colocalized with the ER marker AtWAK2-CFP-HDEL (ER-ck) (Nelson et al., 2007; Figure 2, M–P and Supplemental Figure S8, P–Q). The colocalization of the GECI with autofluorescent chlorophylls in the CamelliA_ChlG line confirms the correct targeting to chloroplast stroma (Figure 2, Q–T).

The CamelliA lines robustly report Ca²⁺ signatures in response to extracellular stimuli

To test the performance of the CamelliA lines, we analyzed the spatiotemporal changes in the fluorescence intensity of the GECIs in response to established extracellular stimuli. Extracellular ATP elevates Ca²⁺ levels in many subcellular compartments, including the cytosol (Jeter et al., 2004; Tanaka et al., 2010; Loro et al., 2012), nucleus (Loro et al., 2012; Costa et al., 2013), sub-PM region (Krebs et al., 2012), ER (Bonza et al., 2013), and non-green plastids (Loro et al., 2016) in Arabidopsis.

All three cytotoxic Ca²⁺ sensors (CamelliA_CytB/G/R) showed a rapid increase in cytosolic signals with several consecutive peaks after 100 μM ATP application (Figure 3, A and B and Supplemental Figure S9, A and C), similar to those previously reported using the YC3.6 sensor (Tanaka et al., 2010; Loro et al., 2012). The fluorescence intensity of all three cytotoxic GECIs did not increase after the mock treatment (Figure 3C and Supplemental Figure S9, B and D). The three cytotoxic sensors displayed similar peaks of cytosolic Ca²⁺, albeit with some minor differences in their shapes (Figure 3A and Supplemental Figure S9, A and C). The differences likely resulted from the different biophysical properties, such as affinities for Ca²⁺ binding (Kd), response kinetics, and dynamic ranges (Supplemental Table S1). B-GECO1 in the CamelliA_CytB line has a low photostability evidenced by severe photobleaching during the imaging
Figure 2 Colocalization of the GECIs and the corresponding subcellular compartments markers in the CamelliA lines. A–D, Representative confocal images of CamelliA_CytR (A), DAPI (4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole)-stained nuclei (B), and merged image (C). Fluorescence intensity along the direction of the dotted white arrow in the merged image C is plotted in D. E–H, Representative confocal images of CamelliA_NucG (E), PI-stained nuclei (F), and merged image (G). Fluorescence intensity along the direction of the dotted white arrow in the merged image (G) is plotted in H. I–L, Representative confocal images of CamelliA_PmG (I), FM4–64-stained PM (J), and merged image (K). Fluorescence intensity along the direction of the dotted white arrow in the merged image (K) is plotted in L. M–P, Representative confocal images of CamelliA_ErR (M), ER-ck labeled ER (N), and merged image (O). Fluorescence intensity along the direction of the dotted white arrow in the merged image (O) is plotted in P. Q–T, Representative confocal images of CamelliA_ChlG (Q), autofluorescence of the chlorophyll (R), and merged image (S). Fluorescence intensity along the direction of the dotted white arrow in the merged image (S) is plotted in T. Images in A–C and Q–S were acquired from leaf epidermal cells; Images in E–G, I–K, and M–O were acquired from root cells. ER, endoplasmic reticulum; Fluo., fluorescence; a.u., arbitrary unit. Scale bars are 20 μm in C, G, K, S, and 40 μm in O.
Fluorescence of the CamelliA_CytG/R lines showed no decrease during the whole period of the mock treatment demonstrating excellent photostability (Figure 3C and Supplemental Figure S9D), and as such these two lines are most suitable for long-term imaging of cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\).

Figure 3 Ca\(^{2+}\) signatures at a high spatiotemporal resolution post ATP or H\(_2\)O\(_2\) treatment of CamelliA lines. A, D, G, and J, Time course of fluorescence intensity changes \(\Delta F/F_0\) (right) of the GECIs targeted to four subcellular compartments in the root epidermis of CamelliA_CytR, CamelliA_NucG, CamelliA_PmR, and CamelliA_ErR seedlings before and after extracellular ATP treatment (250 \(\mu\)M ATP for ER-localized GECIs and 100 \(\mu\)M ATP for cytosolic, sub-PM, and nucleus localized GECIs). The labeled ROIs (left) were used for measurement of the GECI intensity in the cytosol (A), the nuclei (D), the sub-PM domain (G), and the ER lumen (J). B, E, H, and K, Images of the ATP-treated roots expressing cytosol- (B), nucleus- (E), sub-PM domain- (H), and ER- (K) targeted GECIs at selected time points. C, F, I, and L, Time course of fluorescence intensity changes of the GECIs targeted to four subcellular compartments in the root epidermis of CamelliA_CytR, CamelliA_NucG, CamelliA_PmR, and CamelliA_ErR seedlings before and after mock treatment. Inserted images show the ROIs used for measurement of the GECI intensity. M and O, Time course of the change of the ratio \(\Delta R/R_0\) (right) between the stroma-targeted CamelliA_ChlG and the autofluorescence of chlorophyll in cotyledon before and after 10 mM H\(_2\)O\(_2\) (M) or mock (O) treatment. Mean value (purple line) and 95% confidence intervals (gray shading) were plotted using the data from 11 chloroplasts (left, labeled by red circles). N, Images of the H\(_2\)O\(_2\)-treated cotyledon epidermis at selected time points. Positive fluorescence intensity changes (\(\Delta F/F_0\)) and fluorescence intensity ratio changes (\(\Delta R/R_0\)) indicate increases in Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration in the corresponding subcellular compartment. Representative data from at least three independent biological repeats were shown. Scale bars are 40 \(\mu\)m.
With identical imaging settings, extracellular ATP-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics in the nucleus, the sub-PM region, and the ER were imaged using the CamelliA_NucB/G/R, CamelliA_PmG/ R lines, and CamelliA_ErG/R lines, respectively. All nucleus-targeted and PM-anchored GECIs reported several consecutive inductions of Ca\(^{2+}\) levels in the nucleus and the sub-PM region (Figure 3, D and G and Supplemental Figure S9, E, G, and I). No substantial changes in the fluorescence intensity were observed in mock treatments (Figure 3, F and I and Supplemental Figure S9, F, H, and J).

Fluorescence of the two ER-targeted GECIs displayed different patterns of changes post ATP treatment (Figures 3 and 4, D and E). CamelliA_ErR showed a single transient peak returning to the basal level at the end of the imaging (Figures 3 and 4E), similar to that reported previously using CRT-D4ER sensor (Bonza et al., 2013). In contrast, ErG exhibited multiple peaks (Figure 4D). Moreover, mock treatment triggered a peak in the CamelliA_ErG line, but not in the CamelliA_ErR line (Figures 3L and 4 G and H). Furthermore, G-CEPIA1er in the ErG line is highly sensitive to pH fluctuations (see details below). These results suggest that CamelliA_ErR serves as an ER Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor, whereas the ErG line is highly sensitive to pH fluctuations (Figures 3L and 4, G and H). CamelliA_ErG/R lines, respectively. All nucleus-targeted and PM-anchored GECIs reported several consecutive inductions of Ca\(^{2+}\) levels in the nucleus and the sub-PM region (Figure 3, D and G and Supplemental Figure S9, E, G, and I). No substantial changes in the fluorescence intensity were observed in mock treatments (Figure 3, F and I and Supplemental Figure S9, F, H, and J).

Fluorescence of the two ER-targeted GECIs displayed different patterns of changes post ATP treatment (Figures 3 and 4, D and E). CamelliA_ErR showed a single transient peak returning to the basal level at the end of the imaging (Figures 3 and 4E), similar to that reported previously using CRT-D4ER sensor (Bonza et al., 2013). In contrast, ErG exhibited multiple peaks (Figure 4D). Moreover, mock treatment triggered a peak in the CamelliA_ErG line, but not in the CamelliA_ErR line (Figures 3L and 4 G and H). Furthermore, G-CEPIA1er used in the ErG line is highly sensitive to pH fluctuations (see details below). These results suggest that CamelliA_ErR serves as an ER Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor, whereas the ErG line may not be useful for reporting ER Ca\(^{2+}\) signatures.

We imaged chloroplast stroma GECI in CamelliA_ChilG cotyledons challenged with H\(_2\)O\(_2\) known to elevate Ca\(^{2+}\) in the stroma of chloroplast in leaf cells (Sello et al., 2018). To compensate for the chloroplast movement away from the focal plane resulting in decreased fluorescence, we performed ratio imaging between the fluorescence of the GECI and the autofluorescence of the chlorophyll. Consistent with the previous report (Sello et al., 2018), treatment with 10 mM H\(_2\)O\(_2\) but not mock treatment, triggered Ca\(^{2+}\) elevation in chloroplasts stroma, reaching a plateau in ~120 s post treatment (Figure 3, M–O).

In summary, all the CamelliA lines, except CamelliA_ErG/R lines, reliably displayed the compartmental specific Ca\(^{2+}\) signatures post-treatment with the well-studied extracellular stimuli.

Most single-colored GECIs in CamelliA lines are insensitive to physiological pH fluctuations under the conditions tested

The concerns that single-colored GECIs are sensitive to pH fluctuations (Keinath et al., 2015) together with the parallel changes in pH and Ca\(^{2+}\) levels (Behera et al., 2018; Waadt et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021), prompted us to examine the influence of pH fluctuations on the GECIs performance in the CamelliA lines. We monitored cellular pH fluctuations using the ratiometric pH sensor, pHluorin2 (Michard et al., 2008; Mahon, 2011). The ratio between the emissions of pHluorin2 excited by 405 and 488 nm (\(R_{405\text{ex}/488\text{ex}}\)) is proportional to pH values (Mahon, 2011). Free pHluorin2 was used to monitor the pH in both the cytosol and the nucleus, and pHluorin2 fused with AtCRT1a signal peptide and KDEL were used to monitor ER pH. Both constructs are under the Arabidopsis UBQ10 promoter control. Mock treatment with the imaging buffer triggered a transient cytosolic and ER pH elevation (alkalinization) in root epidermal cells (<10% increase in \(R_{405\text{ex}/488\text{ex}}\)) (Figure 4, B and F). This pH change differs from that reported previously, likely due to different methods used to mount and treat the seedlings (Behera et al., 2018; Kesten et al., 2019). We speculate that our mock treatment might have triggered mechanosensitive channels to induce ion fluxes through certain membranes and subsequent pH changes (Haswell et al., 2008; Wilson et al., 2013; Peyronnet et al., 2014). However, all the Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors, except CamelliA_ErG, showed no changes in fluorescence intensity after mock treatment (Figure 3 and Supplemental Figure S9). The CamelliA_ErG line exhibited elevated fluorescence intensity after mock treatment (Figure 4G), similar to the pH elevation (Figure 4F). Thus, G-CEPIA1er in CamelliA_ErG line is sensitive to pH fluctuations, consistent with the G-CEPIA1er's highest pKa (8.0) at the Ca\(^{2+}\) saturated state compared with all the other GECIs (Supplemental Table S1).

Extracellular ATP triggered similar pH fluctuations both in the cytosol and the ER. Following an initial transient alkalinization resulting from responses to mock treatment, the pH rapidly acidified and then gradually returned to the resting level (Figure 4, A and C). However, the pH fluctuations did not skew the reported Ca\(^{2+}\) signatures in any tested lines, except the CamelliA_ErG line, because they all reported Ca\(^{2+}\) signatures consistent with those reported by the less pH-sensitive ratiometric Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors. However, G-CEPIA1er again was very sensitive to ATP-induced pH fluctuations (Figure 4D); therefore, it is not suitable for reporting Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics in ER.

CamelliA lines reveal rapid Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations in pollen tubes

Ca\(^{2+}\) gradients and oscillations have been extensively characterized in pollen tubes (Pierson et al., 1996; Holdaway-Clarke et al., 1997; Messerli and Robinson, 1997; Michard et al., 2008; Damineli et al., 2017; Barberini et al., 2018). Using ratiometric GECIs such as YC3.6, regular Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations were exclusively observed in non- or slow-growing Arabidopsis pollen tubes, but never in fast-growing tubes (Iwano et al., 2009; Damineli et al., 2017; Diao et al., 2018). The failure to observe Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations in rapidly growing tubes might have resulted from limited temporal resolutions (2–5 s) in imaging. Indeed, imaging of CamelliA_CytR pollen tubes at 385 ms per frame revealed Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations at the tip of fast-growing tubes (Figure 5, A–C and Supplemental Movie S1). Spectral analyses of the Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations using the intensity versus time showed a major peak at 228.3 mHz, an indication of Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillation with a period of 4.38 s (Figure 5D). Statistical analyses of the cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations in eight pollen tubes established a mean oscillations period of 5.10 s (Figure 5E). Similar results were also obtained from analyzing the other two cytosolic GECIs in
the CamelliA_CytB/G lines (Supplemental Figure S10, A–H). Similar cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations were also observed in pollen tubes expressing YC3.6 imaged at 294 ms per frame, albeit with a smaller amplitude and reduced ratio of the FRET channel to CFP channel over time, caused by the photo-bleaching of YFP (Supplemental Figure S11). These data demonstrated the superiority of the GECIs in the CamelliA lines compared with the ratiometric Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor YC3.6.

Proton gradients play an essential role in pollen tube growth (Chen et al., 2020; Hoffmann et al., 2020), and are shown to oscillate (Messerli et al., 1999; Lovy-Wheeler et al., 2006; Damineli et al., 2017; Hoffmann et al., 2020). We...
Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging at a high spatiotemporal resolution in fast-growing Arabidopsis pollen tubes reveals fast and regular cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations and a unique pattern of Ca\(^{2+}\) influx on the apical PM. A and B, Time-lapse images (A) and kymograph along the central axis (B) of a fast-growing pollen tube expressing the cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor CamelliA_CytR. C and D, Time course of the fluorescence intensity of the CamelliA_CytR in the tip region (6 µm from apex to the shank) of the pollen tube (C) and spectral analysis of the Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations (D). E, Oscillation periods of the cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) (Cyt) and PM Ca\(^{2+}\) influx (PM) are plotted in the scatter plot. The mean ± 95% confidence interval is also shown. F, Time-lapse images of a fast-growing pollen tube expressing the PM-anchored Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor CamelliA_PmG. G, Kymograph of the CamelliA_PmG fluorescence along the growing PM region of 10 µm on each side of the apex (illustrated in the upper panel) by constantly tracking and analyzing the growing tip using the TipQAD algorithm. H and I, Time course of the fluorescence intensity of the CamelliA_PmG in the apical PM region (10 µm on each side of the apex) of the pollen tube (H) and spectral analysis of the Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations (I). J, Kymographs of CamelliA_PmG (upper left), CamelliA_CytR (middle left), and the merged kymograph (lower left) were acquired from a simultaneous observation of both Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors in the same pollen tube. ROIs for generating the kymographs are indicated on the right side of each kymograph. K and L, Time course of the fluorescence intensity (K) and cross-correlation analyses (L) of the CamelliA_CytR and CamelliA_PmG observed simultaneously in the same pollen tube. The green and purple dotted lines and arrows highlight two events of sub-PM Ca\(^{2+}\) reaching the peak ahead of the cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\). M, Distribution of the time delay between cytosolic and sub-PM Ca\(^{2+}\) reaching the peak within each oscillation cycle (data from five independent pollen tubes). N, Model of the Ca\(^{2+}\) influx across the apical PM, where the Ca\(^{2+}\) influx was initiated at the apex then expanded exclusively to the whole apical PM with stronger activity in the region surrounding the apex. Increases in fluorescence intensity indicate increases in Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration. Representative data from at least eight independent biological repeats were shown. a.u., arbitrary unit. Scale bars are 10 µm in A, F.
assessed whether pH oscillations could contribute to the oscillations of GECI signals in the CamelliA lines using the same pollen tubes co-expressing both CamelliA_CytR and free pHluorin2. The cytosolic pH fluctuated with a small amplitude and showed neither an overlapping pattern nor a similar oscillation period with the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations (Supplemental Figure S12). Furthermore, we imaged Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dynamics in pollen tubes co-expressing the cytosolic jRGECO1a and the less pH-sensitive YC3.6. Both sensors displayed a similar pattern of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations in fast-growing pollen tubes (Supplemental Figure S13) and slow-growing pollen tubes (Supplemental Figure S14). These data uncovered a rapid oscillation of cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} with a mean period of 5.10 s in the tip of fast-growing Arabidopsis pollen tubes.

The influx of extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} contributes to the cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} changes in pollen tubes (Messerli et al., 1999; Hepler et al., 2012; Pan et al., 2019). Ca\textsuperscript{2+} selective vibrating probes detected Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx across the PM at the extreme apex of lily and poppy pollen tubes (Pierson et al., 1996; Franklin-Tong et al., 2002). However, these probes failed to pinpoint the spatial distribution of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx sites on the PM of pollen tubes. We reasoned that the PM-anchored GECIs may detect the precise sites of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influxes. Hence, we imaged the pollen tubes of the CamelliA_PmG line at a rate of two frames per second. We observed regular oscillations of CamelliA_PmG in the apical PM of fast-growing pollen tubes (Figure 5F and Supplemental Movie S2). Using TipQAD algorithm for automated tracing of pollen tube tip contour and analyses of fluorescence intensity and distribution on the PM (Tambo et al., 2020), we generated a kymograph along the contour of a 20-\mu m PM region in the apex of a fast-growing pollen tube (Figure 5G) and a chart of mean intensity versus time over the same PM region (Figure 5H). Spectral analyses showed regular oscillations of the CamelliA_PmG in the apical PM with a period of 5.56 s (Figure 5I). Furthermore, the kymograph also revealed that CamelliA_PmG fluorescence was exclusively present in the apical PM with a stronger signal in the regions surrounding the extreme apex not only in fast-growing pollen tubes (Figure 5G) but also in slow-growing pollen tubes (Supplemental Figure S15, A–C). Similar results were also observed using the CamelliA_PmR line (Supplemental Figures S10, I–L and S15, D–F). This pattern of CamelliA_PmG is spatially (see above) and temporally (see below) distinct from that of the cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} reporter, suggesting that PmG reported the influx of extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} through the PM. Collectively, our data reveal fast and regular oscillations of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx at the apical PM of pollen tubes with a period similar to that of the cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillation.

Since both PM Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx and cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} levels oscillate with similar frequencies, we next investigated their temporal relationship by observing these two Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dynamics simultaneously in pollen tubes co-expressing CamelliA_PmG and CamelliA_CytR. As expected, both cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and PM Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx oscillate within the same period (Figure 5J–K and Supplemental Figure S16). Cross-correlation analyses revealed a slight but notable lag in the oscillation of cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} compared with the PM Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx (Figure 5L), and peaking time of the cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} was also lagged behind that of the PM Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx within the same period in 31.7% of the oscillations (n = 41, from five pollen tubes) (Figure 5, K and M), both supporting the hypothesis that the influx of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} through the apical PM directly contributes to and drives the elevation of cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} level (Figure 5N).

**High spatiotemporal resolution imaging of multi-compartmental Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dynamics in response to salt treatments**

To demonstrate the full capacity of our toolset for multi-compartmental Ca\textsuperscript{2+} imaging, we next imaged Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dynamics in four subcellular compartments, namely the cytosol, the nucleus, the sub-PM region, and the ER, in Arabidopsis root epidermal cells challenged with salt. To avoid any potential adverse impacts on plant growth as a result of combining all four GECIs, we divided the four sensors into two groups used in independent Ca\textsuperscript{2+} imaging: one group for imaging Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dynamics in the cytosol, the nucleus, and the sub-PM region (the triple-sensor line generated by crossing CamelliA_CytR, CamelliA_PmG, and CamelliA_NucG lines sequentially); another group for imaging Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dynamics in the ER and the sub-PM region (the dual-sensor line generated by crossing CamelliA_ErR line to CamelliA_PmG line). The sub-PM Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is shared by both groups, serving as a reference for superimposing the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dynamics in the four subcellular compartments. No growth and morphological phenotypes were observed in the homozygous triple-sensor and dual-sensor lines when compared to the wild type (Supplemental Figure S5), indicating that co-expressing of the multiple Ca\textsuperscript{2+} sensors have no adverse impacts. To enable fast diffusion of the salt stimulus, the whole seedling was first put on rock wool soaked with 50 \mu L of imaging buffer, then 150 \mu L of salt buffer was applied to the seedling (see Supplemental Method S2). Imaging with a sub-second temporal resolution showed that the PM Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx (sub-PM region) and the cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} elevated within seconds after salt treatment, followed by the elevation of the nuclear Ca\textsuperscript{2+} a few seconds later (Figure 6, A–D), consistent with a previous report (Kelner et al., 2018). Strikingly, a clear propagation of a Ca\textsuperscript{2+} wave on the sub-PM region with a speed of 28.92 ± 12.37 \mu m/s (mean ± standard deviation [SD]) was detected by the PM-anchored Ca\textsuperscript{2+} sensor in the root epidermal cells (Figure 6C; Supplemental Figure S17; and Supplemental Movie S3). A similar pattern was also revealed by the cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} sensor albeit with lower contrast (Figure 6C and Supplemental Movie S3). The onset the nuclear Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signal was lagged behind that of the cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} (Figure 6D) and was not triggered until the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} wave in the cytosol reached the nucleus, implying that cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} elevation may initiate the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx into the nucleus.
Figure 6 Visualization of the Ca$^{2+}$ signatures in response to salt treatment in four subcellular compartments of the root epidermal cells. A and B, Time course of fluorescent intensity changes (ΔF/ΔF₀) of the CamelliA,CytR, CamelliA,PmG, and CamelliA,NucG in the same root epidermis cell before and after salt treatment (salt buffer: 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 10 mM CaCl₂, 10 mM MES pH 5.8). The ROIs highlighted by the red line in the inserted two images were used for quantifying the intensity of corresponding Ca$^{2+}$ sensors. The time course between 130 and 170 s was reploted in B to show the detail of the Ca$^{2+}$ dynamics in the cytosol, the sub-PM region, and the nucleus. Insert in B showed the detail of the dotted...
(Figure 6C and Supplemental Movie S3). The spectral properties of the Ca^{2+} signatures in the above three compartments have no difference in amplitudes, but are significantly different in the signal duration as measured by the full width of half-maximum (FWHM) of signal peaks (Figure 6D).

Salt stress also led to the elevation of PM Ca^{2+} influx in the sub-PM/ER Ca^{2+} dual-sensor line, as observed in the triple-sensor line (Figure 6, A–C and E–G and Supplemental Movie S4). However, the onset of Ca^{2+} signal in ER was delayed compared to the sub-PM region (Figure 6H), reaching the peak when the sub-PM Ca^{2+} restored to the resting level, and then ER Ca^{2+} slowly decreased to below the basal level (Figure 6, E–G and Supplemental Movie S4). In addition, the Ca^{2+} signature in the ER lumen has a significantly longer duration than that of the sub-PM region (Figure 6H). The mock treatment, however, did not induce any detectable changes in the Ca^{2+} levels in the above four compartments (Supplemental Figure S18). Altogether, these observations are in agreement with salt-induced changes in Ca^{2+} in different subcellular compartments reported in previous studies (Knight et al., 1997; Bonza et al., 2013; Corso et al., 2018; Kelner et al., 2018), but differ in that the simultaneous imaging of Ca^{2+} in multiple subcellular compartments from the same cell, enabling accurate analysis of the spatiotemporal correlation of the Ca^{2+} dynamics across two (Resentini et al., 2021) or four subcellular compartments with our toolset.

Subcellular resolution of wounding-induced Ca^{2+} waves

We next expanded our studies to the leaf epidermis, which is commonly used for studying Ca^{2+} responses to biotic and abiotic stresses (Keinath et al., 2015; Vincent et al., 2017; Hillery et al., 2020). Wounding induces a rapid local elevation of cytosolic Ca^{2+} and initiates a Ca^{2+} wave propagating across tissues (Beneloujaephajri et al., 2013; Nguyen et al., 2018; Toyota et al., 2018), but how the wave is propagated remains unknown. We visualized the subcellular changes in the wounding-triggered Ca^{2+} waves in leaf epidermal cells using our triple-sensor line. The Ca^{2+} levels in all three subcellular compartments of the epidermal cells were monitored after a randomly chosen pavement cell was irradiated with a high dosage of 405 nm laser to produce wounding, which was confirmed by increased propidium iodide (PI) fluorescence in the wounding site (Supplemental Figure S19). In agreement with previous studies (Beneloujaephajri et al., 2013; Nguyen et al., 2018; Toyota et al., 2018; Marhavy et al., 2019), the Ca^{2+} levels in the cytosol, nucleus, and sub-PM were all elevated immediately after the laser irradiation at the wounding site (Figure 7, A–C). Strikingly, a shock wave-like propagation of Ca^{2+} radially spread away from the wounding site in these subcellular compartments (Figure 7A and Supplemental Movie S5). We then analyzed the spatiotemporal pattern of the Ca^{2+} wave in the cytosol and the sub-PM region by generating kymographs on each imaging channel along the edge of the damaged cell to distal cells (Figure 7B). This analysis clearly showed a propagation of Ca^{2+} in both subcellular compartments, from the wounding site to distal cells with an average speed of 9.8 ± 3.1 μm/s (mean ± SD, acquired by analyzing eight different Ca^{2+} propagation directions from the wounding site to its surrounding cells in four independent leaf samples).

To understand the temporal relationship of the Ca^{2+} dynamics among all three subcellular compartments, we analyzed relative Ca^{2+} levels in selected region of interests (ROIs) (Figure 7C upper panel) of these compartments in each of the four chosen cells, which show wave-like Ca^{2+} propagation away from the wounding site (Cell 0) (Figure 7C). In the damaged cell (Cell 0), the Ca^{2+} level in all three compartments increased immediately after the laser irradiation. As a result, we were unable to distinguish their temporal relationships under our current time-lapse imaging (772 ms per frame) (Figure 7C). However, in the undamaged cells, there is an obvious temporal sequence of Ca^{2+} elevation in the compartments. The elevation of cytosolic Ca^{2+} preceded that of sub-PM Ca^{2+} (Ca^{2+} influx) by...
~2–3 s, followed by nuclear Ca2+ (Figure 7, B and C). To have an unbiased overview of the propagation of the Ca2+ wave, we further quantitatively analyzed the Ca2+ signatures in all three compartments from all in-focus cells, including signal amplitude, duration and signal onset time, and physical distance of the center of measured cells to the wounding site (Figure 7, D and E). Plots of the signal onset time in all measured cells versus their distance to wounding site evidently demonstrated that cytosolic Ca2+ elevation was triggered earlier than the sub-PM Ca2+, then followed by the nuclear Ca2+. The differences in signal onset times among these compartments became noticeable in cells further away from the wounding site (Figure 7E and Supplemental Figure S20). In addition, these subcellular compartments displayed...
unique Ca\(^{2+}\) signatures in all of the cells observed. The PM-anchored GCaMP6f reported a significantly lower amplitude and shorter duration of Ca\(^{2+}\) peaks compared with the nuclear targeted GCaMP6f, but the cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) peaks have the longest duration than the other two compartments (Figure 7D). The distinct Ca\(^{2+}\) signatures in the above compartments illustrate the necessity of understanding the Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics in various subcellular compartments when studying the cellular responses to various stresses.

**Discussion**

In response to a given environmental and/or developmental signal, a specific spatiotemporal Ca\(^{2+}\) signature is produced thereby providing the specificity of calcium signaling. The generation of a unique Ca\(^{2+}\) signature typically involves calcium uptakes and releases from multiple subcellular compartments. Therefore, it is essential to visualize Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics in the subcellular compartments simultaneously and within the same cell. In this study, we reported the generation of a toolset, the CamelliA lines, for simultaneously imaging the Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics in multiple subcellular compartments. We first validated the functionality of the Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors in our CamelliA lines by using the same well-studied extracellular stimuli and comparing their performance with previously well-established sensors. We also assessed the effects of the pH fluctuations on the performance of our sensor lines. Lastly, we demonstrated the power of our CamelliA lines for visualizing the Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics with high spatiotemporal subcellular resolution in the three most popular cell models in response to environmental stresses and developmental signals, enabling us to uncover several unrecognized Ca\(^{2+}\) signatures, such as rapid oscillations of cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) and apical PM Ca\(^{2+}\) influx in fast-growing Arabidopsis pollen tubes, the spatiotemporal relationship of Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics in four subcellular compartments of root epidermal cells challenged with salt, and a shockwave-like Ca\(^{2+}\) wave propagating in laser-wounded leaf epidermis. As such, this toolset will serve as a crucial asset for dissecting Ca\(^{2+}\)-mediated signaling pathways during stress responses and developmental processes where the Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis is actively maintained by regulated Ca\(^{2+}\) fluxes across multiple subcellular compartments.

**Advantages and consideration of the CamelliA Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor toolset**

Our toolset offers several key advantages for Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging:

**Simultaneous imaging of Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics in multiple subcellular compartments**

We demonstrated multiplexed imaging of the Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics in the cytosol, the nuclei, the sub-PM region, and the ER in several types of cells (Figures 5–7). Although simultaneous imaging of nuclear and cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics in plant cells has been reported (Kelner et al., 2018; Luo et al., 2020; Resentini et al., 2021), our toolset has greatly expanded the choices of GECIs with different colors and targeted various compartments.

**Improved spatiotemporal resolution**

Single-colored GECIs have a higher dynamic range than that of ratiometric GECIs (Supplemental Table S1). Less pixel dwell time during confocal imaging is needed to acquire images with a better or equivalent signal-to-noise ratio compared with ratiometric GECIs, providing increased temporal resolution. What is more, the fluorescence decay of GCaMP3 after dissociation with Ca\(^{2+}\) is much faster than that of two FRET-based GECIs, TN-XXL, and D3cpV (Tian et al., 2009). GCaMP6f and jRGECO1a that are used in our toolset have even faster kinetics than that of GCaMP3 (Supplemental Table S1). Therefore, GCaMP6f- and jRGECO1a-based sensors in the CamelliA lines are well-suited for resolving temporal details of Ca\(^{2+}\) signatures such as fast cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations within 5 s in Arabidopsis pollen tubes, the most rapid Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations in plants to date (Figure 5). In addition, the ratio images generated by some ratiometric GECIs, such as YC3.6, are noisy. For example, the imaging of the PM Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics with the PM-anchored YC3.6 reported noisy Ca\(^{2+}\) fluctuations post ATP treatment (Krebs et al., 2012). Our PM-anchored GECIs, instead, have visualized Ca\(^{2+}\) influx through PM with minimal noise and a high spatiotemporal resolution (Figures 3G, 5F, 6, and 7 and Supplemental Figure S9I). Consequently, we uncovered a shock wave-like propagation of Ca\(^{2+}\) wave in several subcellular compartments in leaf epidermis after wounding (Figure 7), which was not possible with either YC3.6 (Beneloujaephajri et al., 2013) or aequorin (Kiep et al., 2015).

When using our toolset, one should also consider potential limitations such as determination of absolute Ca\(^{2+}\) levels and pH sensitivity and photo-stability for some probes. Absolute quantification of Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations with our toolset is more cumbersome than ratiometric Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors and aequorin-based sensors, as the fluorescence intensity of CamelliA sensors depends on the concentration of both Ca\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors. Absolute quantification of Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration will require calibration for each type of tissue or cell to offset the fluorescence variations resulting from different concentrations of the sensors.

The artificial increase of pH from 6.8 to 8.0 almost doubled the fluorescence intensity of R-GECO1 independent of Ca\(^{2+}\) (Keinath et al., 2015). Whereas in planta observations showed unnoticeable impacts of the pH fluctuations on the reported Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics (except for the CamalliA_ErG line) (Figures 3 and 4 and Supplemental Figures S9 and S12). This may be in part due to less drastic fluctuations of pH within the physiological range as compared with manipulated pH from 6.8 to 8.0 (Keinath et al., 2015; Behera et al., 2018). Compared with previous GECIs, such as the popular R-GECO1 and GCaMP3, the GECIs in our toolset have lower pKa (Supplemental Table S1), which makes them less vulnerable to pH fluctuations. Nevertheless, it is often left for
others to check pH fluctuations independently when using our sensors.

In addition, B-GECO1 is poorly photostable, which limits the duration of imaging experiments when using B-GECO1 based sensor lines. Lastly, the dual and triple sensor lines are generated by crossing, and thus the generation of dual and triple sensor lines in mutant backgrounds would require more efforts of genotyping to identify homozygous lines.

Our CamelliA lines reveal unrecognized characteristics of stimuli-induced Ca2+ signatures

Our toolset uncovered several unrecognized features of plant Ca2+ signatures. First, we discovered fast oscillations of cytosolic Ca2+ and Ca2+ influx at the apical PM in fast-growing Arabidopsis pollen tubes. Previous studies failed to reveal these oscillations due to limited temporal resolution (2–5 s/frame) (Iwano et al., 2009; Damineli et al., 2017; Diao et al., 2018). Using the PM-anchored Ca2+ sensors in the CamelliA_PmG/R lines, we mapped the spatiotemporal pattern of the Ca2+ influx across the apical PM of pollen tubes (Figure 5, F and G). Cross-correlation analysis shows Ca2+ influxes slightly but notably led cytosolic Ca2+ oscillations, implying that the PM Ca2+ influx drives the cytosolic Ca2+ oscillations (Figure 5, K–M). In contrast, Ca2+ specific vibration probes reported presumed Ca2+ influxes lagging behind the cytosolic Ca2+ oscillations (Holdaway-Clarke et al., 1997; Messerli et al., 1999). The vibration electrode cannot distinguish actual Ca2+ influx via the PM from the Ca2+ absorbed by the cell wall. Our PM-anchored Ca2+ sensors are ideal for visualizing the Ca2+ influx from the apoplast to the cytosol.

We also uncovered Ca2+ dynamics at sub-second temporal resolution in four subcellular compartments in salt-stressed root epidermal cells and the spatiotemporal relationship of Ca2+ fluxes among these compartments. No significant difference was observed in the onset time for the increase in the PM Ca2+ influx and cytosolic Ca2+ level, which was followed by a delayed elevation of the nuclear Ca2+ after salt treatment (Figure 6, A–D). These results imply that the nuclear Ca2+ elevation may be a passive result due to the cytosolic Ca2+ increase in response to the salt treatment. Interestingly, the onset time of ER Ca2+ increase was also delayed compared to the PM Ca2+ influx (Figure 6, E–H), similar to a recent observation of the delayed ER Ca2+ elevation in root cells with a more noticeable time difference in cells further away from the damaged cell (Figure 7, B, C, and E and Supplemental Figure S20). Although differences in the properties of the reporters in different subcellular localizations could not be excluded, this suggests a contribution from internal Ca2+ storage to the cytosolic Ca2+ elevation in addition to and faster than PM Ca2+ influxes from the apoplast, consistent with the proposal that vacuolar Ca2+ storage contributes to the rapid rise of the cytosolic Ca2+ during the wounding response (Beyhl et al., 2009; Kiep et al., 2015). The nature of the transmitter(s) of the long-range wounding signal triggering Ca2+ release from internal Ca2+ storage is unknown. Ca2+, glutamate, ROS, and electric signals, which propagate in a wave-like form across tissues, have long been proposed to act as long-range transmitting signals in plants (Steinhorst and Kudla, 2013, 2014; Nguyen et al., 2018; Toyota et al., 2018; Farmer et al., 2020). Our toolset provides a powerful means for testing whether any of these systemic signals play a role in the activation of Ca2+ release from internal Ca2+ storage.

Conclusion

In this study, we have assembled a toolset in Arabidopsis, the CamelliA lines, which enables imaging capability to monitor the dynamics of Ca2+ signature simultaneously and with high spatiotemporal resolution in multiple subcellular compartments, including cytosol, sub-PM region, nucleus, ER, and stroma of the chloroplast. Using the toolset, we have identified several previously unrecognized Ca2+ signatures in three types of Arabidopsis cells. As such, this toolset will serve as a crucial asset for elucidating the subcellular sources contributing to the Ca2+ signature during stress responses and developmental processes. Considering the Arabidopsis UBQ10 promoter can drive ubiquitous expresion of YC3.6 in rice (Oryza sativa) (Behera et al., 2015), this toolset shall have a broad application in a number of crop plants.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

All Arabidopsis (A. thaliana) lines are in Col-0 grown under a 16-h-light (22°C) and 8-h-dark (19°C) regime. Seedlings were grown on 0.5 Murashige and Skoog (MS) solid medium (pH = 5.7) supplemented with 1% (w/v) sucrose. The
seedlings were grown either vertically for 5–6 d to produce roots, or horizontally for 14 d to produce true leaves for Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging.

**Chemicals**

Stock solutions of chemicals were prepared as followed: ATP (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), FM4-64 (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA), and DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich) were dissolved in water to a concentration of 500 mM, 2 mM, and 10 mg/mL, respectively. Solution of 1 mg/mL PI was purchased from Thermo Fisher.

Generation of the CamelliA lines

The supplementary information details the generation of the CamelliA constructs (Supplemental Method S3 and Supplemental Tables S3 and S4), the transformation and screening of the transgenic lines (Supplemental Method S4).

**Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging**

The wavelengths for the GECI excitation and emission are listed in Supplemental Table S5. Pollen tubes were germinated, mounted, and observed as described (Guo and Yang, 2020). Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging in root epidermal cells was performed as described (Behera et al., 2015). A “top-imaging” setup (Uhlen, 2004) was used to image the Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics as described (Behera et al., 2015). A “top-imaging” setup (Uhlen, 2004) was used to image the Ca\(^{2+}\) dynamics in cotyledons and true leaves. Detailed imaging setups are described in Supplemental Method S2.

Visualization of various subcellular compartments for colocalization analysis

The nuclei were stained with 10 μg/mL PI or DAPI in a solution of 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20, 1% (v/v) DMSO, 2 mM CaCl\(_2\) for 1 h before observation. PM was stained with 2 μM FM4–64 in MS liquid medium on ice for 5 min and observed immediately. ER marker (ER-ck) (Nelson et al., 2007) was crossed into CamelliA_ErR line for examining their colocalization.

Image analyses

All acquired images were denoised by applying a Gaussian filter (radius = 1) before image analyses. Kymographs of cytosolic GECIs in pollen tubes were generated in Fiji using multi kymograph function. Fluorescence intensity of cytosolic and sub-PM GECIs, kymographs of sub-PM GECIs in pollen tubes were analyzed and generated by TipQAD algorithm (Tambo et al., 2020) in MATLAB (R2018a). The temporal relationship between oscillations of the PM Ca\(^{2+}\) influx and the cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) was analyzed using sample cross-correlation function in MATLAB. Frequency of the cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations was analyzed using SpectralAnalysis code (Uhlen, 2004). Time-series images of root and leaf cells were aligned using StackReg plugin in Fiji (Thevenaz et al., 1998). Regions of subcellular compartments (marked in each corresponding figure) were selected using Polygon selections tool, and fluorescence intensity-versus-time data were acquired using Plot Z-axis Profile function in Fiji. Before treatments with ATP, H\(_2\)O\(_2\), or salt, the resting level of Ca\(^{2+}\) in root or leaf samples was imaged for ~120 s at sub-second frame rates and plotted as part of the intensity versus time plot. Fluorescence changes (\(\Delta F/F_0\)) of the GECIs in roots and leaves was calculated using the equation of \(\Delta F/F_0 = (F_t - F_0)/F_0\) where \(F_t\) is the fluorescence intensity of the GECIs at a given time point (frame) and \(F_0\) is the mean fluorescence intensity of the GECIs in all frames when the cells are at resting state. Ratio (between the GCaMP6f intensity and chloroplast autofluorescence) changes (\(\Delta R/R_0\)) of individual chloroplast in H\(_2\)O\(_2\) treated leaves was calculated using the equation of \(\Delta R/R_0 = (R_t - R_0)/R_0\) where \(R_t\) is the ratio of the measured chloroplast at a given time point (frame) and \(R_0\) is the mean ratio of the chloroplast in all frames of the resting state. Signal amplitude and duration (as FWHM of signal peaks) were analyzed in OriginPro2021b using Quick Peaks function. The onset of signal is defined by the earliest frame in which the fluorescence intensity of the ROI is higher than mean fluorescence intensity + 3 x SD of the same ROI in all frames acquired prior to any treatments, with the exception that the threshold of signal onset in sub-PM region is defined as mean fluorescence intensity + 6 x SD so that the signal onset matches with the observed signal. All numerical data were plotted in GraphPad Prism, all figures were assembled in CorelDRAW Prism, all panels were arranged in Microsoft PowerPoint.

Statistical analyses

Differences in signal amplitude, duration, and time to signal onset in various subcellular compartments were tested using one-way repeated measures ANOVA test or paired t test. The mean and 95% confidence interval or SD of all intensity/ratio vs time data from 5 to 8 individual cells were plotted in GraphPad Prism. All data shown are presentative data from at least three biological repeats. The exact number of the cells and biological repeats for analyses is indicated in the legends of corresponding figures.

Accession numbers

Sequence data from the genes mentioned in this article can be found in the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative under the following accession numbers: CALRETICULIN 1A, AT1G56340; LT16B, AT3G05890; UBQ10, AT4G05320; BAM4, AT5G55700.
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