Exploring provider and parental perceptions to influenza vaccination in the inpatient setting
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Background: Hospitalization provides an ideal opportunity for immunization, but few studies have explored provider and parental attitudes toward pediatric inpatient vaccination against influenza.

Objectives: The objectives were to determine provider and caregiver attitudes and explore potential barriers to inpatient influenza vaccination.

Methods: We developed and distributed two surveys to parents/caregivers as well as providers of general pediatric inpatients at Children's Hospital Colorado between October 2014 and March 2015 assessing attitudes toward influenza and inpatient influenza vaccination. We analyzed the Likert scale responses using univariate analyses and multiple logistic regression to assess associations between responses and vaccination status.

Results: The overall response rate was 95% and 58% for parents and providers, respectively. Parents of hospitalized children who agreed that flu vaccines are safe (adjusted OR 2.50 [95%CI 1.76-3.58]), and that the influenza vaccine is needed every year had higher odds of having a vaccinated child (adjusted OR 3.30 [95%CI 2.30-4.81]). Most providers (91%) agree that influenza vaccination is an important priority among inpatients, but believe that parental misconceptions and their reluctance for inpatient vaccination are the most important barriers to influenza vaccination. Providers forgetting to ask about vaccination status and order the vaccine are the next most commonly identified barriers. In contrast, most parents surveyed had favorable attitudes toward inpatient influenza vaccination and disagreed that their child was too sick to receive the vaccine during hospitalization.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Despite universal influenza vaccination policies in the United States to vaccinate everyone aged 6 months and older, national influenza vaccination rates among children remain low. During the 2015-2016 season, vaccination rates were estimated to be 59.3% among children 6 months to 17 years.1 Studies suggest immunization rates among pediatric inpatients are lower than the general population.2-3 However, influenza vaccination can be performed even in the setting of acute illness, and hospitalizations provide ideal opportunities for immunization, particularly for individuals with underlying conditions increasing their risk of influenza-related complications.4-6

In addition to common reasons for underimmunization described in primary care, such as lack of knowledge, fear or mistrust, and poor healthcare access,7,8 hospitalized children may experience additional barriers to immunization. Parents and providers may be reluctant to accept inpatient vaccination due to illness or fever, or believe that vaccination should occur in the primary care setting.2 Furthermore, children with chronic health conditions may be hospitalized when their immunizations are due. The objectives of our project were to determine provider and parental attitudes of inpatient influenza vaccination and explore potential barriers to inpatient influenza vaccination, which helped inform initiatives to increase influenza vaccination rates during hospitalization.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Population

Parent/primary caregiver (henceforth called “parent”) survey: Parents of all patients 6 months of age and older (no upper age limit) admitted to three inpatient medical teams at Children’s Hospital Colorado between October 2014 and March 2015 were eligible for survey participation. Questionnaires were distributed to parents regarding attitudes toward influenza immunization during hospitalization. Parents were approached after approval from the primary medical or nursing team.

2.2 | Provider survey

In addition, we surveyed staff working on the medical inpatient units who are authorized to order vaccine (including nursing staff, nurse practitioners, residents, and attendings of the medical inpatient units) regarding perceived barriers to influenza vaccination, and their likelihood of ordering influenza vaccination.

2.3 | Survey design and procedures

We developed the surveys with input from local immunization experts and survey methodologists, review of the literature, pre-tested them with inpatient families and hospitalist providers, and modified questions based on their feedback. Response choices were based on a 5-point Likert scale, with most responses ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree (Appendix S1, online). Parents were approached by the study team and a paper survey was completed during their child’s hospitalization. A questionnaire was sent to inpatient physicians, residents, nurses, physician assistants, and nurse practitioners via e-mail with three additional reminders, regarding attitudes toward influenza immunization during hospitalization. Influenza vaccination status for the current season upon admission was determined by parental report, with verification in the Colorado Immunization Information System (CIIS) when available. The provider survey was administered through email using Research Electronic Data Capture.9

2.3.1 | Data analysis

Data were analyzed separately for providers and parents. Duplicate responses from parents of a child who had more than one admission were removed prior to analysis. Descriptive statistics were generated for all survey questions. Likert scale responses were dichotomized into agree (strongly agree/agree) or do not agree (neither agree nor disagree/disagree/strongly disagree) based on distribution of responses. Chi-square tests explored associations between baseline vaccination status and parental perceptions of influenza illness and vaccine. Parental responses with a significance level of <0.2 in the bivariate analysis were modeled separately using multiple logistic regression. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated, and results with $p$-values <.05 were considered statistically significant. Bivariate analyses were conducted comparing responses by provider type (nurse, trainee, physician). Analyses were performed using SAS software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

2.4 | Approvals

The survey was conducted as a component of a Quality Improvement initiative with approval by the Organizational Research Risk and Quality Improvement Review Panel (ORRQIRP) (QI# 1403-8).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Parent surveys

The overall response rate was 1001/1053 (95%): Of these, 392 (37%) of children received the current influenza vaccine at the time of admission. The median age of the children of the survey respondents was 3.9 years (IQR 1.6-10), 53% had government insurance, 69.8% were white, 54% were male, and 43.6% had a high-risk medical condition. Of the 55% (546/1001) of parents stating that they usually get annual influenza vaccination for their child, 502 of 546 (92%) would agree to inpatient influenza vaccination if eligible. Among the 45% (455/1001) of parents who reported they not routinely seek influenza vaccination for their child, 84/229 (37%) would agree to inpatient influenza vaccination if eligible. Parents of children already vaccinated at admission were more likely to agree to hypothetical inpatient vaccination if eligible (71%) compared with unvaccinated children (53%), $p = .0025$.

Parental attitudes to influenza vaccination are shown in Figure 1A. Parents agreed that influenza is serious (92%), that influenza vaccines...
work (58%), are safe (76%) and needed each year (76%). Bivariate and multivariate analyses of children vaccinated versus unvaccinated at admission are shown in Table 1. Parents of hospitalized children who agreed that flu vaccines are safe, and that influenza vaccination is required every year, had higher odds of having a vaccinated child. Parents who agreed their child gets enough shots were less likely to have a vaccinated child.

3.2 | Provider survey results

The overall response rate was 195 of 339 (58%) for providers. Most provider surveys were completed by nurses (40%), followed by residents/fellows (35%) and attending physicians (13%). Non-responders comprised nurses (48%), residents/fellows (23%), and only 2% were attending physicians. There was no significant difference in response rates between nurses, attending physicians, and trainees.

Provider report of parental refusal due to child illness (80%) and family misconceptions of the vaccine (74%) were the most common barriers to vaccination perceived by providers. In addition, 54% and 46% of providers forgot to ask about influenza vaccination status or order the vaccine, respectively (Figure 1B).

Most providers agreed that vaccination in the inpatient setting was important/very important (91%), and ordered vaccine often/sometimes (87%). When asked about interventions to increase influenza vaccination rates in the inpatient setting, 73% of providers agreed that personal reminders may be helpful to increase vaccination rates; however, only 48% believed that provider education may be helpful to increase vaccination rates.

4 | DISCUSSION

The major finding of this study is the dichotomy between provider and parental attitudes toward inpatient influenza vaccination. We found that most providers agree that influenza vaccination is an important priority among inpatients, but find parental misconceptions and their
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**Figure 1** Bar graph showing responses to questions regarding barriers to influenza vaccination among (A) parents and (B) providers of children hospitalized on the medical inpatient units at Children's Hospital Colorado, 2014-2015. Figure demonstrates percentage of parent and provider responses to Likert scale responses from survey questions. Percentages represent the grouping of "strongly disagree and disagree" or "agree and strongly agree." Parents understood the severity of influenza and tended to have a favorable response to vaccination in the inpatient setting. Providers believed the strongest barriers to inpatient vaccination were parental refusal and family misconceptions of the vaccine, followed by forgetting to ask about and order influenza vaccine. PCP, primary care provider [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
reluctance for inpatient vaccination are important barriers to influenza vaccination. Providers forgetting to ask about vaccination status and order the vaccine are the next most commonly identified barriers. In contrast, more than half of parents surveyed had favorable attitudes toward inpatient influenza vaccination and disagreed that their child was too sick to receive the vaccine during hospitalization.

Our study demonstrates that parental beliefs and attitudes contribute to suboptimal influenza vaccination rates, similar to other studies, but suggests that other factors exist for our inpatient populations. We found that parents of children unvaccinated at admission were more likely to disagree that vaccines are safe, that they work well to prevent influenza, and that annual influenza vaccination is required, than the parents of vaccinated children. Other studies identified similar safety concerns and identified beliefs about the potential severity and susceptibility to influenza as important barriers. However, over half the parents of unvaccinated children surveyed in our study were willing to entertain inpatient influenza vaccination. Therefore, while attitudes and beliefs play a role, the favorable attitudes among our parents surveyed raise possibilities for other potential barriers such as lack of access. This highlights a significant opportunity for vaccination during hospitalization to help overcome such barriers, whereby providers can target eligible children, including some of our more vulnerable populations.

Several limitations of the study exist. First, the study was conducted in the setting of a quality improvement project at a single center, so its application to other settings is limited. Second, the sample may have been biased toward parents or providers with a more favorable attitude toward vaccination. Next, we did not explore the relationship between provider attitudes and vaccination status during admission, or the influence of provider attitudes on parental perceptions. Finally, the accuracy of vaccination status may be restricted as it was based on parental recall, but we did verify vaccination status in the state vaccination registry.

In conclusion, our findings suggested that parents may be more open to inpatient influenza vaccination than providers perceive. Provider misconceptions about parental attitudes may pose a more significant barrier to influenza vaccination than the actual parental attitudes themselves. Our findings serve as a reminder that providers should discuss inpatient influenza vaccination with parents, and suggest that interventions that target providers such as education regarding parental beliefs and reminders may be effective strategies to increase influenza vaccination rates among pediatric inpatients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the staff, patients, and families who participated in the study.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Amanda Dempsey has provided consulting services to Merck, Pfizer, and Sanofi Pasteur but does not receive any research funding from these entities. All other authors have no conflict of interests to report.

ORCID

Suchitra Rao http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0334-6301

REFERENCES

1. Centers for disease control and prevention. FluVaxView. http://www.cdc.gov/flu/fluvoxview/. Accessed 5.15.2016.
2. Riley DJ, Mughal MZ, Roland J. Immunisation state of young children admitted to hospital and effectiveness of a ward based opportunistic immunisation policy. BMJ. 1991;302:31-33.

3. Samad L, Tate AR, Dezateux C, Peckham C, Butler N, Bedford H. Differences in risk factors for partial and no immunisation in the first year of life: prospective cohort study. BMJ. 2006;332:1312-1313.

4. Conway SP. Opportunistic immunisation in hospital. Arch Dis Child. 1999;81:422-425.

5. American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Infectious Diseases. 2009 Red Book: Report of the Committee of Infectious Diseases. Elk Grove Village, IL, USA: American Academy of Pediatrics; 2009.

6. Walton S, Elliman D, Bedford H. Missed opportunities to vaccinate children admitted to a paediatric tertiary hospital. Arch Dis Child. 2007;92:620-622.

7. Bhat-Schelbert K, Lin CJ, Matambanadzo A, Hannibal K, Nowalk MP, Zimmerman RK. Barriers to and facilitators of child influenza vaccine - perspectives from parents, teens, marketing and healthcare professionals. Vaccine. 2012;30:2448-2452.

8. Newcombe J, Kaur R, Wood N, Seale H, Palasanthiran P, Snelling T. Prevalence and determinants of influenza vaccine coverage at tertiary pediatric hospitals. Vaccine. 2014;32:6364-6368.

9. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)–a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform. 2009;42:377-381.

10. Loke XY, Tran W, Alderman CP. Survey of Australian inpatients on vaccination status and perceptions of influenza vaccination. Consult Pharm. 2012;27:553-563.

11. Flood EM, Rousculp MD, Ryan KJ, et al. Parents’ decision-making regarding vaccinating their children against influenza: a web-based survey. Clin Ther. 2010;32:1448-1467.

12. Humiston SG, Lerner EB, Hepworth E, Blythe T, Goepp JG. Parent opinions about universal influenza vaccination for infants and toddlers. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2005;159:108-112.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

How to cite this article: Rao S, Fischman V, Moss A, et al. Exploring provider and parental perceptions to influenza vaccination in the inpatient setting. Influenza Other Respi Viruses. 2018;12:416-420. https://doi.org/10.1111/irv.12482