A clathrin-dependent pathway leads to KRas signaling on late endosomes en route to lysosomes
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Ras proteins are small guanosine triphosphatases involved in the regulation of important cellular functions such as proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis. Understanding the intracellular trafficking of Ras proteins is crucial to identify novel Ras signaling platforms. In this study, we report that epidermal growth factor triggers Kirsten Ras (KRas) translocation onto endosomal membranes (independently of calmodulin and protein kinase C phosphorylation) through a clathrin-dependent pathway. From early endosomes, KRas but not Harvey Ras or neuroblastoma Ras is sorted and transported to late endosomes (LEs) and lysosomes. Using yellow fluorescent protein–Raf1 and the Raichu-KRas probe, we identified for the first time in vivo–active KRas on Rab7 LEs, eliciting a signal output through Raf1. On these LEs, we also identified the p14–MP1 scaffolding complex and activated extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2. Abrogation of lysosomal function leads to a sustained late endosomal mitogen-activated protein kinase signal output. Altogether, this study reveals novel aspects about KRas intracellular trafficking and signaling, shedding new light on the mechanisms controlling Ras regulation in the cell.

Introduction

Ras proteins are small GTPases involved in the regulation of important cellular functions such as proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis (Malumbres and Barbacid, 2003). The activity of Ras depends on its association with guanine nucleotides, being inactive when bound to GDP and active when associated with GTP. Ras proteins have an intrinsic low GTPase activity that is increased by GTPase-activating proteins. The activity of Ras is regulated by extracellular factors that activate receptor Tyr kinases and recruit guanine nucleotide exchange factors to the plasma membrane (PM), promoting the Ras-GDP to Ras-GTP conversion, which induces a conformational change that allows association of Ras with effectors, including Raf1, PI3K, or Ras–guanine nucleotide dissociation stimulator, that become activated.

At the PM, Ras isoforms have distinct locations, which depend on their guanine nucleotide status. Thus, in the GDP conformation, Harvey Ras (HRas) resides in cholesterol-rich domains (Roy et al., 1999; Prior et al., 2001), whereas upon GTP loading, it is recruited to cholesterol-poor domains. In contrast, GDP–neuroblastoma Ras (NRas) is resident in cholesterol-poor domains and moves to cholesterol-rich domains when loaded with GTP. Finally, Kirsten Ras (KRas)–GDP normally resides in cholesterol-poor domains, and no clear lateral segregation has been reported to occur after GTP loading. It is becoming evident that the differential Ras partitioning and nanoclustering within the PM influence the generation and transmission of distinct signal outputs (Hancock, 2003; Tian et al., 2007).

The presence of HRas and NRas in the Golgi complex is not transient, and it has been shown that these isoforms are active on this compartment (Chiu et al., 2002; Bivona et al., 2003; Caloca et al., 2003; Perez de Castro et al., 2004; Quatela and Philips, 2006). In the majority of cell types, activation of Ras isoforms at the PM is fast and transient, whereas its activation at the Golgi is delayed and more sustained (Chiu et al., 2002). However, it has been shown that stimulation of primary or Jurkat...
T cells induced Ras activation exclusively on the Golgi, and there, activation is dependent on Ca²⁺, phospholipase Cγ, and the guanine nucleotide exchange factor RasGPR1 (Bivona et al., 2003; Caloca et al., 2003; Perez de Castro et al., 2004). HRas targeted to the Golgi apparatus or to the ER retained its full transforming activity, indicating that the signaling required for transformation can also be initiated from internal membranes. However, the signaling pathways activated in each case are slightly different (Chiu et al., 2002). Thus, Ras signal outputs are determined to some extent by the intracellular location from which signaling arises.

It has been shown that Ras can activate endocytosis by directly regulating the Rab5 nucleotide exchange activity of RIN1 (Tall et al., 2001). Ras has also been found in the endocytic compartment (Pol et al., 1998; Howe et al., 2001; Jiang and Sorkin, 2002; Roy et al., 2002; Fivaz and Meyer, 2005; Gomez and Daniotti, 2005; Jura et al., 2006).

The transit of Ras from PMs to endosomes has been well documented for the HRas isoform (Jiang and Sorkin, 2002; Roy et al., 2002; Gomez and Daniotti, 2005; Jura et al., 2006); HRas colocalizes with EGF receptor (EGFR) on early endosomes (EEs), where it engages Raf1 and triggers signaling activity. It has also been reported that endocytosis is required for maximal HRas signal output (Roy et al., 2002). In contrast, KRas is less retained on endosomes, probably as a result of a faster recycling to the PM (Jiang and Sorkin, 2002; Roy et al., 2002). HRas and NRas can be ubiquitylated, which stabilizes their interaction with endosomal membranes. KRas is refractory to ubiquitylation (Jura et al., 2006).

KRas specifically interacts with CaM (Villalonga et al., 2001). In rat hippocampal neurons stimulated by glutamate, KRas recruits Ca²⁺/CaM to the PM, inducing its translocation to Golgi and endosomal membranes (Fivaz and Meyer, 2005). Interestingly, KRas can also be translocated from the PM to the ER, Golgi apparatus, and the outer mitochondrial membrane (Bivona et al., 2006) by a PKC-mediated phosphorylation mechanism. On mitochondria, KRas associates with Bcl-Xl and induces apoptosis.

Several crucial aspects of the intracellular trafficking and signaling of KRas remain unclear. In this study, we have analyzed in detail and for the first time in vivo the trafficking of KRas from the PM along the endosomal compartment. The results reported in this study reveal that KRas is internalized through a clathrin-dependent mechanism and is transported along EEs, late endosomes (LEs), and eventually into lysosomes. KRas is active on the LE and together with the p14–MP1 scaffolding complex constitutes an intracellular signaling platform.

**Results**

**Localization of KRas on the early endosomal compartment**

GFP-KRas was transiently transfected in COS-1 cells, and its presence on endosomes was analyzed by confocal microscopy. For all purposes, we chose only cells with similar moderate levels of KRas expression. Fig. 1 A shows that GFP-KRas colocalized with the early endosomal marker EEA1 in starved cells. Colocalization between both molecules was significantly increased when cells were treated with EGF-TRITC for 20 min (Fig. 1, B and C). Image magnification shows clear-cut colocalization in both individual and clustered endosomes (Fig. 1 D).

In vivo, time-lapse video confocal microscopy revealed colocalization of EGF-TRITC with GFP-KRas on EEs at different times after EGF stimulation (Video 1). Moreover, immunocytochemical results also revealed colocalization among GFP-KRas, EGF-TRITC, and the endogenous EGFR (Fig. 1 E). Consistently, this was also confirmed in NIH3T3 cells (clone W18) stably expressing EGFR (Fig. 1 F and Fig. S1, A and B). In NIH3T3-W18 cells treated with EGF, we also detected a significant increase of GFP-KRas and endogenous KRas together with the EGFR in the endosomal fractions isolated by sucrose gradients (Fig. 1, G and H, respectively). Endosomal fractions were prepared as described previously (Grewal et al., 2000). Colocalization of endocytosed rhodamine-labeled transferrin with GFP-KRas on EEs was also observed (Fig. S1 C). These results indicate that a certain fraction of KRas localizes on EEs after EGF stimulation.

**Endosomal translocation of KRas is independent of CaM and PKC phosphorylation**

A recent study argued for a relevant role of CaM in the translocation of PM-bound KRas to Golgi and endosomal membranes of hippocampal neurons stimulated by glutamate (Fivaz and Meyer, 2005). Thus, we aimed to analyze the role of CaM in the regulation of KRas translocation to endosomes in COS-1 cells. We first determined the presence of GFP-KRas on EEs in cells pretreated with the CaM antagonist, W13, for 1 h and subsequently stimulated with EGF (Fig. 2 A). Under CaM-inhibitory conditions, we observed clear colocalization of KRas, EGF-TRITC, and EEA1, suggesting that KRas localization on EEs was a CaM-independent process in this cellular type.

It has been reported that PKC phosphorylation on Ser181 of KRas regulates its translocation to intracellular membranes (Bivona et al., 2006; Plowman et al., 2008). To study the effect of PKC phosphorylation on KRas translocation to endosomes, cells were treated with the general PKC inhibitor bisindolylmaleimide (BIM), and the presence of KRas on endosomes was analyzed. Results revealed a clear colocalization among KRas, EEA1, and EGF on intracellular vesicles, indicating that the translocation of KRas to EEs is a PKC-independent process (Fig. 2 B). The endosomal distribution of the pseudophosphorylated mutant YFP-KRas Ser181D or the nonphosphorylatable mutant YFP-KRas Ser181A after EGF treatment confirmed that translocation is independent of Ser181 phosphorylation (Fig. 2, C and D). Although the KRas Ser181D mutant does not bind CaM, KRas Ser181A still retains this ability (Lopez-Alcala et al., 2008). We observed that KRas Ser181A translocates to endosomes in the presence of W13 (Fig. 2 E), confirming that KRas translocation to endosomes is CaM independent. Interestingly, EGF stimulation increases the relative colocalization of KRas (wild-type and Ser181 mutants) with EEA1 in all of the aforementioned conditions (see quantifications in Fig. 2).
KRas follows the clathrin-dependent endocytic pathway upon EGF stimulation

Next, we focused on the mechanisms by which KRas is endocytosed. Therefore, we examined whether the clathrin-dependent endocytic system could be involved in KRas endosomal translocation. Fig. 3A shows the presence of GFP-KRas in clathrin-coated pits in starved cells. When cells were incubated with EGF-TRITC, the colocalization was increased (Fig. 3C). Fig. 3E shows that GFP-KRas colocalized with EGFR after 2.5 min of EGF-TRITC stimulation.

Incubation of EGF for 1 h at 4°C allows binding of the ligand to its receptor clustered in coated pits, but internalization...
Enhanced localization of KRas on late endosomal membranes: effects of bafilomycin and U18666A

Because a certain pool of internalized EGFR is sorted from the EE to the late endocytic compartment preceding lysosomal degradation, we aimed to test whether KRas could also follow this late endocytic route. Fig. 4 A confirmed the colocalization between EEA1 and KRas and shows a small fraction of KRas on Rab7-positive LEs.

To improve the detection of KRas on the late endocytic compartment, cells were treated with U18666A, a molecule that inhibits cholesterol transport and alters the trafficking of multi-vesicular body (MVB)–associated membrane proteins (Liscum and Faust, 1989; de Diego et al., 2002), or with bafilomycin-A1 (B-A1), an inhibitor of vacuolar-type H⁺-ATPase that blocks acidification and protein degradation in lysosomes (van Weert et al., 1995; Recchi and Chavrier, 2006). In COS-1 cells, both

Figure 2. Translocation of KRas to the early endosomal compartment is a CaM- and PKC-independent process. COS-1 cells expressing GFP-KRas or the indicated mutants were treated with EGF-TRITC for 20 min, fixed, and immunolabeled with anti-EEA1. (A) Colocalization of GFP-KRas (wild type [wt]), EEA1, and EGF-TRITC on intracellular vesicles was observed after treatment with 10 μg/ml of the CaM inhibitor W13 for 1 h. (B) The same as in A but using 10 μM of the general PKC inhibitor BIM for 1 h. (C) YFP-KRas (Ser181D [S181D]) colocalizes with EEA1 and EGF-TRITC. (D) Similarly, YFP-KRas (Ser181A [S181A]) colocalizes with EEA1 and EGF-TRITC. (E) The presence of YFP-KRas (Ser181A) on EEs was analyzed in cells treated with W13. Quantification of colocalization is shown underneath each panel. Statistical significances of differences between control and EGF treatment were determined using the Student’s t test. Data are means ± SEM; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001. Colocalization is indicated with white (merge) or red (separate channels) arrows. Dotted boxes define the areas from which the corresponding insets were generated. Bars, 20 μm.

remains blocked (Jiang et al., 2003). Thus, after 1 h at 4°C, clathrin detection was performed on starved COS-1 cells expressing GFP-KRas (Fig. 3 B) or on EGF-TRITC–stimulated cells (Fig. 3 D). Results showed some colocalization of clathrin with KRas in nonstimulated cells and an enhanced colocalization of clathrin with KRas and EGF in small punctate structures, corresponding to coated pits.

The possibility that a clathrin-dependent mechanism could participate in the KRas endosomal translocation was investigated in cells transfected with a dynamin-1 mutant (DynK44A) that blocks clathrin- and caveolae-mediated endocytosis (Damke et al., 1994; Oh et al., 1998). In cells expressing this mutant, EGF endocytosis was greatly reduced (Fig. 3 F), and the co-localization of KRas and EEA1 was significantly diminished (Fig. 3 G). These results together with the clear colocalization of KRas and clathrin revealed that KRas internalization is mainly mediated through a clathrin-dependent mechanism.
Figure 3. **KRas follows the clathrin-dependent endocytic pathway upon EGF stimulation.** (A–G) COS-1 cells were transiently transfected with GFP-KRas, and its intracellular localization was analyzed by confocal microscopy. (A) Starved cells were fixed and immunolabeled with an anticlathrin antibody. (B) Clathrin detection on starved cells after 1 h at 4°C. (C) Cells treated with EGF-TRITC for 2.5 min were fixed and immunolabeled with an anticlathrin antibody. The red dotted lines point to colocalization in the image and in the z-axis profile. (D) The same as in C, but cells were incubated with EGF-TRITC for 1 h at 4°C. Reconstruction of the z-axis profile was performed to confirm the visualization on basal PM (C and D, insets). (E) Cells treated with EGF-TRITC for 2.5 min were fixed and immunolabeled with anti-EGFR. (F) Cells coexpressing GFP-KRas and the HA-tagged dynamin mutant K44A (DynK44A) were treated with EGF-TRITC for 20 min and immunolabeled with anti-HA. Red dotted contours indicate the perimeter of cells cotransfected with GFP-KRas and HA-DynK44A. (G) Quantification of EEA1 and GFP-KRas colocalization in control and dynamin K44A–expressing cells ± EGF is shown. Statistical significances of differences between control and EGF treatment were determined using the Student’s t test. Data are means ± SEM; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001. (A–E) Colocalization is indicated with white (merge) or red (separate channels) arrows. Dotted boxes define the areas from which the corresponding insets were generated. Bars, 20 μm.
staining confirmed the accumulation of cholesterol in KRas-positive LEs after U18666A treatment (Fig. S2 C).

Lysosomal targeting of KRas

Because KRas was detected on LEs, we aimed to study whether it may be targeted to the lysosomal compartment. In COS-1 cells, GFP-KRas localized to some extent with EGF-TRITC and the lysosomal marker LAMP1 (Fig. 5 A). We also analyzed KRas intracellular distribution in the presence of B-A1 or the lysosomal protease inhibitor leupeptin. In both cases, the intracellular colocalization of KRas with LAMP1 was significantly increased (Fig. 5, B–D). The same extent of colocalization was also obtained for LAMP2 (not depicted) or cathepsin D (see Fig. 8 D). The accumulation of KRas on the endolysosomal compartment was confirmed in different cell types treated with

Figure 4. U18666A and bafilomycin induce accumulation of KRas in a Rab7/LBPA late endosomal compartment. (A) HA-KRas and GFP-Rab7-expressing cells were treated with EGF for 20 min and immunolabeled with an anti-HA (red channel) and anti-EEA1 (blue channel). Arrows indicate the colocalization of KRas and EEA1, and arrowheads show Rab7-positive structures. (B) Localization of GFP-KRas in cells treated with U18666A. Arrows point to PM KRas labeling, and arrowheads show intracellular ringlike structures. (C) HA-KRas was coexpressed with GFP-Rab7 and treated with U18666A plus EGF for 45 min. Arrows show colocalization of HA-KRas with GFP-Rab7. (D–F) Localization of KRas in cells treated with 20 nM B-A1 overnight and with 100 ng/ml EGF for 45 min. Arrows indicate colocalization of HA-KRas and Rab7 (E) or GFP-KRas and LBPA (F) on enlarged endosomes. The white dotted contour in D indicates the cell perimeter, and the arrowheads point to ringlike endosomal structures. Bars: (A–D) 8 μm; (E and F) 2 μm.
B-A1 (Fig. S2, D–G). In contrast, GFP-HRas was found to be mainly present on Golgi membranes (Fig. 5, E and F). Similar data were obtained for GFP-NRas (Fig. S3, A and B).

High magnification confocal in vivo imaging enabled a more detailed analysis of the topology of KRas in those LEs. Interestingly, most of the GFP-KRas–expressing cells exhibited a variable number of MVB-like structures (in this study, we do not distinguish between different subpopulations of late endosomal compartments or MVBs) with a KRas staining on intraluminal membranes (Fig. 5 G). Tomographic sectioning allowed 3D reconstruction of such structures (Fig. 5 H and H'). Assuming that the thickness of the optical section is a function of the pinhole diameter, wavelength, refraction index, and numerical aperture for a 488-nm excitation wavelength, the optical section resolution thickness is ≈470–500 nm. Therefore, the size of the MVB-like structures analyzed was higher than 2–3 μm, and the mean intraluminal vesicle diameter was around 500 nm.

All of these results prompted us to study whether KRas targeted to this compartment could undergo lysosomal degradation. For this purpose, we monitored the stability of HA-tagged KRas in control or leupeptin plus B-A1–treated COS-1 cells after EGF stimulation under experimental conditions according to published data (Linares et al., 2007; Fasen et al., 2008; Hill et al., 2008). Results indicated that treated cells showed a significant increase in the stability of KRas as well as for the EGFR, which was used as a control (Fig. 5 J and Fig. S3 G). Similar results were obtained by [35S]Met metabolic labeling experiments (Fig. S3, I–K); data analysis from these experiments shows that after EGF stimulation, the half-life of HA-KRas was 3.3 h, whereas in the absence of stimulation, it was 5.4 h. When cells were treated with lysosomal inhibitors, the half-life in both control and EGF-stimulated cells was 5.1 h and 6.6 h, respectively. In contrast, no changes were detected in KRas stability upon treatment with the proteasomal inhibitor MG132 (Fig. S3 H).

Finally, in an effort to detect the endogenous KRas on the endolysosomal compartment, GFP-Rab7–expressing COS-1 cells were treated with B-A1 and then subjected to immunocytochemical analysis using a pan-Ras antibody (because of the low labeling efficiency of the isoform-specific antibodies). Results revealed a considerable colocalization between Rab7 and endogenous Ras (Fig. 5 I). Under the same conditions but using non-transfected cells, we confirmed the presence of endogenous Ras on vesicles containing endocytosed EGF–TRITC or the endogenous lysosomal protease cathepsin D (Fig. S3, E and F). Thus, according to our results, we assume that the major isoform detected by this antibody in this compartment was the endogenous KRas. Therefore, we show for the first time that upon EGF stimulation, KRas is targeted to the lysosomal compartment, where it can be degraded.

The probe was properly targeted to late endosomal membranes as seen in B-A1–treated cells cotransfected with RFP-Rab7 (Fig. S4 B). Also, upon EGF treatment, those LEs were labeled with the cotransfected EGFR–monomeric RFP (mRFP; Fig. S4 C). After 20–40 min of EGF stimulation, a high FRET efficiency of Raichu-KRas was observed on late endosomal membranes and also at the PM (Fig. 6 A and Videos 3 and 4). As a negative control, we used a Raichu-Pak-Rho probe (Yoshizaki et al., 2003), which did not show FRET efficiency on endosomal structures or at the PM (Fig. 6 B and Videos 5 and 6). Results were plotted according to the endosomal relative FRET ratio values measured from both Raichu probes only on endosomal membranes (Fig. 6 C). The FRET efficiency of Raichu-KRas reflects the activation state of the molecule, thus concluding that KRas can be activated on these Rab7-positive structures (Fig. S4 D). Importantly, under these conditions endosomal fusion was still functional. Indeed, we observed GFP-KRas–positive vesicles harboring internalized EGF-TRITC undergoing endosomal fusion (Fig. 6 D and Video 2).

Recruitment of Grb2 and Raf1 to KRas-positive LEs

It has been reported that Grb2 and Ras coordinately traffic during EGFR endocytosis (Jiang and Sorkin, 2002). Thus, we examined the recruitment of Grb2 to the endolysosomal compartment where KRas is present. Under B-A1 conditions, starved cells overexpressing Grb2-YFP showed a diffuse cytoplasmic distribution (Fig. 7 A). After 45 min of EGF-TRITC internalization, a high proportion of Grb2-YFP was efficiently redistributed together with the EGFR and its ligand to enlarged endocytic structures (Fig. 7 B). Nonstimulated cells cotransfected with CFP-KRas and Grb2-YFP only exhibited endosomal localization of KRas and EGFR (Fig. 7 C). However, EGF stimulation induced a clear recruitment of Grb2 to KRas-positive endosomes harboring both the EGFR and ligand (Fig. 7 D).

Finally, we investigated whether KRas present on the endolysosomal compartment was able to recruit Raf1. In non-stimulated cells coexpressing YFP-Raf1 and CFP-KRas, the distribution of Raf1 was mainly cytosolic, although some degree of colocalization with KRas-labeled vesicular structures could be identified (Fig. 7 G). Importantly, upon EGF-TRITC stimulation, a striking recruitment of Raf1 to late endosomal membranes positive for LAMP1 was observed (Fig. 7 H and Fig. S5 A). Under the same experimental conditions, when YFP-Raf1 was transfected alone, only a certain degree of colocalization of Raf1 with EGF-TRITC could be detected after 45 min of EGF endocytosis (Fig. 7, E and F). These results demonstrated that KRas, on this endolysosomal compartment, is susceptible to being activated and capable for signaling.
Figure 5. **Lysosomal targeting of KRas.** (A) COS-1 cells expressing GFP-KRas were treated with 100 ng/ml EGF-TRITC for 2 h. Triple labeling of GFP-KRas, LAMP1 (blue channel), and EGF-TRITC (red channel) is shown, and arrows indicate colocalization. (B and C) GFP-KRas-expressing cells were treated either with 20 nM B-A1 (B) or 25 μM leupeptin (C) and EGF. Immunolabeling with anti-LAMP1 antibody shows colocalization of KRas (green channel) with LAMP1 (red channel) on perinuclear ringlike structures (see arrows in insets of B and insets 1–3 of C); arrowheads (B, insets) point to KRas-positive vesicles not labeled with LAMP1. TO-PRO 3 is a nuclear marker (blue channel). (D) Quantification of LAMP1/GFP-KRas colocalization from experiments shown in A–C. Statistical significances of differences between different treatments were determined using the Student’s t test. Data are means ± SEM; *, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001. (E and F) GFP-HRas-expressing cells treated with B-A1 (E) or leupeptin (F) and EGF show colocalization of HRas (green channel) with GMAP210 (Golgi marker; blue channel) but not with LAMP1 (red channel). (G) In vivo imaging of GFP-KRas-expressing cells after treatment with B-A1, leupeptin, and EGF reveals MVB-like structures containing intralumenal GFP-KRas-positive membranes (z1–z12). (H and H’). 3D tomographic reconstruction of confocal sections z1–z11 (H) and z1–z7 (H’). (I) GFP-Rab7-expressing cells treated with B-A1 and EGF and labeled with anti-pan-Ras exhibit considerable colocalization (arrows). Arrowheads point to Rab7-positive vesicles not labeled with the pan-Ras antibody. (J) HA-KRas stability was measured in control
experiments with EGF. To determine the subcellular distribution of endogenous activated MAPK, we used an antibody that recognizes only the phosphorylated form of ERK1/2. Using confocal imaging, we analyzed the subcellular distribution of endogenous activated ERK1/2 in relation to the GFP-KRas/p14-positive endosomes after 5, 10, 30, or 60 min of EGF stimulation. Starved nonstimulated cells showed no significant phospho-ERK1/2 (pERK1/2) staining (Fig. 9 A). However, after 5 min of EGF stimulation, pERK1/2 staining could be detected

Next, we tried to study the kinetics of MAPK activation on the endolysosomal compartment by performing pulse-chase experiments with EGF. To determine the subcellular distribution of endogenous activated MAPK, we used an antibody that recognizes only the phosphorylated form of ERK1/2. Using confocal imaging, we analyzed the subcellular distribution of endogenous activated ERK1/2 in relation to the GFP-KRas/p14-positive endosomes after 5, 10, 30, or 60 min of EGF stimulation. Starved nonstimulated cells showed no significant phospho-ERK1/2 (pERK1/2) staining (Fig. 9 A). However, after 5 min of EGF stimulation, pERK1/2 staining could be detected
at certain domains of the PM and some nuclei (Fig. 9 B). At this time point, no colocalization of pERK1/2 and KRas/p14-positive endosomes was observed. After 10 min of stimulation, the pERK1/2 signal was still observed at the PM, but partial staining could also be readily detected on GFP-KRas/p14-positive structures (Fig. 9 C). Such colocalization became more evident after 30 min of stimulation (Fig. 9 D). Even after the 60-min chase period, some cells still maintained the endosomal colocalization of pERK1/2, GFP-KRas, and p14 (Fig. 9 E).

We also performed biochemical analysis of ERK1/2 activation in control and leupeptin plus B-A1–treated COS-1 and NIH3T3-Wt8 cells expressing HA-KRas (Fig. 9, F and G). The relative activation of ERK1/2 was analyzed by densitometry (Fig. 9, F and G, graphs), revealing a sustained signaling in cells treated with the lysosomal inhibitors. However, in nontransfected cells, no significant sustained MAPK activation was observed (Fig. S5, B and C). Finally, we observed that the KRas-positive endosomal structures, in which endogenous pERK was detected, colocalized with endocytosed EGF-TRITC and the LysoTracker probe (Fig. 9, H and I). Thus, the major stability of KRas and the more sustained activation of ERK1/2 in cells treated with lysosomal inhibitors suggest that endosomal KRas-mediated MAPK signaling can be down-regulated in later stages of the endocytic pathway.

Discussion
The results presented in this study indicate that KRas translocates from the PM to the early and late endocytic compartment...
KRas is internalized via a clathrin-dependent pathway and independently of CaM and PKC phosphorylation. Then, from LEs, KRas is eventually targeted to lysosomes. FRET analysis using the Raichu-KRas probe shows that KRas is active on LEs and recruits Raf1 to elicit a MAPK signal output.

The localization of KRas on clathrin-coated pits and the abrogation of its internalization in cells expressing the dynamin through a clathrin-dependent pathway and independently of CaM and PKC phosphorylation. Then, from LEs, KRas is eventually targeted to lysosomes. FRET analysis using the Raichu-KRas probe shows that KRas is active on LEs and recruits Raf1 to elicit a MAPK signal output.

The localization of KRas on clathrin-coated pits and the abrogation of its internalization in cells expressing the dynamin...
Figure 9. **KRas-mediated MAPK signaling on LEs.** (A–E) Cells coexpressing GFP-KRas with Xpress-tagged p14 were treated with 20 nM B-A1 plus 25 μM leupeptin for 6 h and then were left starved or pulse-chase stimulated with 100 ng/ml EGF for various times before fixation. Cells were then subjected to indirect immunofluorescence analysis using anti-Xpress and anti-pERK1/2 antibodies. (A) The pERK1/2 antibody showed no staining in starved cells. KRas (green channel) colocalizes with p14 (blue channel)-positive endosomes (insets 1 and 2). (B) After 5 min of EGF stimulation, pERK1/2 labeling (red channel) can be readily detected on the PM (inset 3) and nuclei. Endosomal colocalization of KRas (green channel) and p14 (blue channel) is also shown (inset 4). (C–E) In cells that were stimulated with EGF for 10 (C), 30 (D), or 60 min (E), colocalization of pERK1/2 (red channel) with GFP-KRas and p14 (blue channel) was detected on endosomal structures (insets 5–10). After 10 min of EGF stimulation, pERK1/2 labeling can also be observed on the PM (inset 5). Insets 1–10 show magnifications of boxed areas. (F and G) COS-1 cells (F) and NIH3T3-Wt8 (G) cells were transiently transfected with HA-KRas.
K44 mutant indicate that internalization of KRas takes place via a clathrin-dependent mechanism. It possibly occurs as a complex of signaling-related molecules in a similar mode as proposed by Jiang and Sorkin (2002), in which YFP-HRas and CFP-Grb2 together with the EGFR converge on endosomes. GFP-KRas was found on EEs in both resting and EGF-stimulated COS-1 (Fig. 1, A, B, and D–F), NIH3T3 (Fig. S1, A and B), or porcine aortic endothelial cells (not depicted), which is in agreement with previous studies (Jiang and Sorkin, 2002; Fivaz and Meyer, 2005; Gomez and Daniotti, 2007). On this compartment, GFP-KRas was found together with internalized EGF and its cognate receptor.

In this study, we report that a fraction of KRas is continuously endocytosed and sorted to LEs. Upon EGF stimulation, EGFR in complex with Grb2 is endocytosed and transported to LEs together with Grb2-binding proteins such as Sos, resulting in the activation of KRas on LEs. FRET detection kinetics of KRas activation on the late endosomal compartment is similar to that of the EGF-TRITC internalization.

Several studies indicated that KRas may be transported from the PM to intracellular compartments by diffusion mechanisms that rely on electrostatic gradients driving the polybasic domain within the KRas membrane anchor region (Fivaz and Meyer, 2005; Bivona et al., 2006; Gomez and Daniotti, 2007). This mechanism is similar to that described for myristoylated Ala-rich C kinase substrate, a protein that traffics from the PM to lysosomes (Allen and Aderem, 1995). In this study, we demonstrate for the first time in vivo endosomal fusion of GFP-KRas–labeled endosomes, providing new evidence that a KRas membrane–based trafficking also exists. Altogether, all of this evidence indicates that KRas translocation from the PM to endomembranes may proceed through different pathways, by diffusion or vesicular traffic (Fig. 10). The selection of one of these pathways probably depends on the cellular type but also on the specific stimuli and/or the intracellular destination (Ashery et al., 2006).

In this study, we show that enlarged LEs of cells treated with lysosomal perturbing agents allowed the identification of KRas on the perimeter membrane as well as on intralumenal membranes morphologically resembling MVB structures. These results are in agreement with previous data showing that taxol-treated cells redistribute GFP–truncated KRas (a GFP-tagged KRas-specific membrane anchor probe) to MVB-like structures (Apolloni et al., 2000). Moreover, a recent study demonstrates that proteins with cationic domains (like the KRas C-terminal polybasic motif) are preferentially recruited to phosphatidylserine-enriched organelles (such as endosomes or lysosomes; Yeung et al., 2008).

Importantly, although KRas accumulates on/in Rab7/LAMP1/cathepsin D/LysoTracker-positive structures, HRas and NRas remained excluded from this compartment, being mostly located on Golgi and the PM. Interestingly, a recent study showed that Ras levels are modulated after leupeptin treatment in an axin–β-catenin–overexpressing system, suggesting that lysosomal function may participate in the regulation of Ras protein levels (Jeon et al., 2007). In this study, we show that HA-KRas stability is significantly decreased when cells are stimulated with EGF. Interestingly, in EGF-stimulated cells exposed to lysosomal inhibitors, the half-life is increased. These results further support our model that EGF-induced trafficking of KRas leads to lysosomal degradation.

**In vivo analysis of endosomal KRas signaling**

Signaling at the late endocytic compartment has been demonstrated. The EGFR as well as other receptor Tyr kinases colocalize with signaling modules on endocytic compartments and can dictate different signal outputs from distinct subcellular locations (Jiang and Sorkin, 2002; Jiang et al., 2003; Huang et al., 2006; Hisata et al., 2007; Taub et al., 2007; Valdez et al., 2007). Scaffold and adapter proteins organize these signaling modules. Three scaffold proteins, the kinase suppressor of Ras-1 (KSR1), similar expression to fgs genes (Sef), and MP1, are known to assemble ERK complexes on different intracellular compartments. KSR1 regulates the formation of a MAPK signaling unit at the PM upon EGF stimulation (Mor and Philips, 2006). Sef selectively localizes MAPK/ERK kinase (MEK)–ERK complexes to the cytosolic face of the Golgi (Torii et al., 2004). Finally, the MP1 scaffold forms a stable heterodimeric complex with the adapter protein p14 and assembles an ERK cascade on the LE (Wunderlich et al., 2001). Endosomal localization of the p14–MP1 complex is required for the activation of ERK on these structures (Lunin et al., 2004). However, Jiang and Sorkin (2002) showed FRET between CFP-HRas and YFP–Ras-binding domain on endosomal membranes in vivo. Altogether, these studies support the idea that traffic from the PM to the LE is connected with signaling events in the cell.

In this study, we report the targeting of KRas to late endosomal membranes, where it colocalizes with the p14–MP1 scaffolding complex and activates the ERK signaling cascade. In addition, by means of the Raichu-KRas probe, we report activation of KRas on LEs. Because the membrane-anchoring motifs of the intramolecular FRET probes in this study consist of the native KRas polybasic sequence and CAAX moiety, it is likely that these domains represent the minimal membrane-interacting requirement that targets KRas to late endosomal membranes.

The recruitment of Raf1 by KRas on LEs indicates that in this compartment, KRas actively mediates intracellular signal and serum starved for 20 h. Control cells or cells treated with the lysosomal inhibitors B-A1 plus leupeptin (B-A1 + Leu) were then stimulated with EGF by pulse and chase for the indicated times. Cell lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE and probed with the indicated antibodies (Western blot; n = 5). The graphs show a densitometric analysis of ERK1/2 relative activation. The pERK1/2 signals were normalized against the ERK1/2 signals. Data are the mean ± SEM; * P < 0.05 (Student’s t test). (H) B-A1–treated cells expressing GFP-KRas were stimulated with 100 ng/ml EGF-TRITC for 45 min before fixation. Colocalization of KRas (green channel) with immunolabeled pERK1/2 (blue channel) and EGF (red channel) is observed on ringlike structures (insets). (I) Leupeptin–treated cells expressing GFP-KRas were loaded with LysoTracker red DND-99 and incubated in the presence of 100 ng/ml EGF at 37°C for 45 min before fixation. Colocalization of KRas (green channel) with immunolabeled pERK1/2 (blue channel) and LysoTracker (red channel) is observed on ringlike structures (insets). (H and I) Dotted boxes define the areas from which the corresponding insets were generated. Bars, 20 μm.
outputs through specific effectors. Interestingly, Corey and Kelley (2007) recently reported an elevated Ras activity in Niemann-Pick disease type C cells. Because Niemann-Pick disease type C cells contain aberrant LEs with morphological features similar to those shown in this study, we speculate that such Ras activation could be explained by an increased KRas accumulation/activity on this aberrant late endosomal compartment.

Whereas a previous study (Roy et al., 2002) showed that endocytosis was not necessary for KRas signaling, in this study, we demonstrate that in addition to a PM signal output, the LE can be envisaged as a novel KRas signaling platform. However, further studies will be required to evidence the physiological role of KRas signaling on LEs as well as the cross talk between other signaling molecules (i.e., p14 and MP1) on this compartment.

Overall, this study sheds new light on the spatiotemporal control of Ras signaling, providing new clues on the distinctive behavior of Ras proteins in the cell and how endosomal traffic orchestrates this behavior. Thus, we propose the LE as a novel KRas signaling platform. From this compartment, KRas triggers functional outputs while en route to lysosomes, where signaling will be attenuated/down-regulated.

Materials and methods

Plasmids

HRas, KRas, and Raf1 cDNAs were provided by R. Marais (Institute of Cancer Research, London, England, UK) and subcloned into living color vectors (Clontech Laboratories, Inc.). The GFP-NRas vector was supplied by J. Miyazaki (Osaka University, Osaka, Japan; Niwa et al., 1991). The HA-HRas vector (pCEFLHA) was provided by P. Crespo (Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Santander, Spain). Plasmids for mRFP-Rab7 and EGFR-mRFP have been described previously (Itoh et al., 2005; Kawase et al., 2006). The EGFR-CFP, Grb2-YFP, and pEGFP-Rab7 vectors were supplied by A. Sorkin (University of Colorado, Denver, Denver, CO). The pEF–HA-KRas plasmid was obtained from R. Marais. KRas (Ser181A) and KRas (Ser181D) mutants were obtained by PCR with reverse or forward oligonucleotides carrying the appropriate mutations. The Raichu-KRas and Raichu-Pak-Rho probes used in this study have been developed previously (Mochizuki et al., 2001; Yoshizaki et al., 2003). The Xpress-p14 and myc-MP1 constructs were a gift from L.A. Huber (Innsbruck Medical University, Innsbruck, Austria).

Antibodies and reagents

Mouse EGF, W13, U18666A, B-A1, and leupeptin were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich; BIM-I and anti–pan-Ras (ab-3; Ras10) antibody were obtained from EMD. EGF-TRITC, rhodamine-transferrin, and the MitoTracker red and LysoTracker DND-99 probes were obtained from Invitrogen. Mouse monoclonal anti-EGFR (clone 225) and anti–clathrin heavy chain (×22) antibodies were obtained from A. Sorkin and F.M. Brodsky (University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA), respectively. The GMAP210 polyclonal antibody was supplied by R.M. Rios (Universidad de Sevilla, Sevilla, Spain). Monoclonal antibodies to EEA1 and LAMP1 were obtained from Transduction Laboratories. The monoclonal antiactin antibody was obtained from ICN Pharmaceuticals, and the polyclonal antibodies against EGFR (clone 1,005), KRas (F234), Rab5, and cathepsin D were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. The pERK1/2 and ERK1/2 antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology. Monoclonal anti-GFP antibody was obtained from Assay Designs. Monoclonal anti-Xpress antibody was obtained from Bio-Rad Laboratories. Monoclonal anti-HA antibodies were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and Roche, respectively. Peroxidase-labeled antibodies and SDS-PAGE molecular weight markers were purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories.
from Invitrogen, and monoclonal anti-myc tag clone 9E10 was purchased from Millipore.

Cell culture and transfections
COS-1, HeLa, and VERO cells were grown in DME (Biological Industries) containing 10% FBS (Biological Industries), pyruvic acid, antibiotics, and Glu. Porcine aortic endothelial cells were grown in Ham’s F12 (Biological Industries) containing 10% FBS, pyruvic acid, antibiotics, and Glu. NIH3T3 cells (clone W8) stably expressing 4 × 105 human EGFRs/cell were provided by A. Sorkin. Transient expressions were performed using Effectene (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer’s specifications. Cells were used 12–36 h after transfection and starved at least 12 h before each experiment.

Immunofluorescence and quantitative image analysis
Cells grown on coverslips were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100, and blocked with 1% BSA in PBS for 15 min at 37°C. Cells were incubated with the indicated primary antibodies and subsequently with secondary antibodies labeled with Alexa Fluor 488, 594, and 647 (Invitrogen) or Cy5 (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories); finally, coverslips were mounted with Mowiol (EMD). The immunofluorescence protocol for endogenous pERK1/2 detection has been previously described (Teis et al., 2002). Confocal images were acquired using a laser-scanning confocal spectral microscope (TCS Sl; Leica) with argon and Helium lasers attenuated to levels of 50% (DML HBO; Leica). Colocalization of GFP-KRas with EEA1 on endosomal structures was visualized using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health) and the Co-localization Highlighter plugin (P. Bourdoncle, Institute Jacques Monod, Service Imagery, Paris, France). The Co-localization Highlighter plugin generated an image of colocalized pixels (binary). Then, with the ImageJ process Image Calculator plugin (minimum operation), the values of the co-localized points were converted to the real value of green (green colocalization image). After this, all images were converted into 32 bits, and original thresholds were set with the background as NaN (not a number). Finally, a total cell region of interest was defined, and the integrated density of both the green colocalization image and the 32-bit threshold green image was measured for the specified area. The ratio of these two resulting values was defined as the percentage of colocalization. Quantitative analyses were normalized to the control condition, and statistical analysis was conducted by a Student’s t test.

Imaging of KRas activity in living cells
COS-1 cells were plated on 35-mm glass-base dishes (Asahi Techno Glass Co.) and then transfected with plasmid Raichu–derived vectors (Raichu-KRas and Raichu-Pak-Rho). Starved cells were treated with 20 nM B-A1 overnight and then with 100 ng/ml EGF for 50 min. Images were obtained every 5 min, starting 10 min before and ending 50 min after EGF addition, on an inverted epifluorescence microscope (IX8; Olympus) that was equipped with a cooled charge-coupled device camera (Cool SNAP HQ; Roper Scientific) and controlled by MetaMorph software (MDS Analytical Technologies). For dual-emission ratio imaging of Raichu probes, we used a 440AF21 excitation filter, a 455DRLP dichroic mirror, and two emission filters, 480AF30 for CFP and 535AF26 for YFP (all from Omega Optical, Inc.), as described previously (Teis et al., 2002). Confocal images were acquired using a laser-scanning confocal spectral microscope (TCS Sl; Leica) with argon and Helium lasers attenuated to levels of 50% (DML HBO; Leica). Colocalization of GFP-KRas with EEA1 on endosomal structures was visualized using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health) and the Co-localization Highlighter plugin (P. Bourdoncle, Institute Jacques Monod, Service Imagery, Paris, France). The Co-localization Highlighter plugin generated an image of colocalized pixels (binary). Then, with the ImageJ process Image Calculator plugin (minimum operation), the values of the co-localized points were converted to the real value of green (green colocalization image). After this, all images were converted into 32 bits, and original thresholds were set with the background as NaN (not a number). Finally, a total cell region of interest was defined, and the integrated density of both the green colocalization image and the 32-bit threshold green image was measured for the specified area. The ratio of these two resulting values was defined as the percentage of colocalization. Quantitative analyses were normalized to the control condition, and statistical analysis was conducted by a Student’s t test.

From this study, we have shown that the interaction of Ras with EEA1 on endosomes is critical for the proper localization of Ras. This interaction is regulated by the activity of Ras, and it is important for the function of Ras in the cell. In conclusion, our findings provide new insights into the regulation of Ras activity and its role in cellular processes.
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