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SHORT COMMUNICATION

ABSTRACT

Tuberculosis remains a public health problem in Turkey. Rapid detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* plays a key role in control of infection. In this article, the Gen-Probe Amplified Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Direct Test (MTD) was evaluated for detection of *M. tuberculosis* in urine samples. The performance of the MTD was very good and appropriate for routine laboratory diagnosis.

**Key words:** Urinary tuberculosis, MTD test, culture, AFB smear.

On the other hand the direct Gen-Probe MTD test was performed by following the manufacturer’s procedures explicitly (3). Each MTD test run included positive and negative amplification controls and hybridization controls, and in addition, each patient’s urine sample was tested for potential MTD test inhibition by spiking a portion of the sample with the *M. tuberculosis* positive-control suspension. Interpretation of results was as follows: <30,000 relative light units (RLU), negative; ≥500,000 RLU, positive; 30,000 to 499,999 RLU, equivocal. For samples yielding an equivocal result, a second aliquot of a decontaminated or fresh specimen was tested; if the second result was ≥30,000 RLU, the sample was considered positive, whereas if it was <30,000 RLU, it was negative. MTD results were acceptable when the negative control was <20,000 RLU and the positive control was ≥500,000 RLU (≥1,000,000 after September 1999, at which time the manufacturer’s directions changed). Multiple MTD test kits with different lot numbers were used for the direct tests on patients’ urine samples.

Totaly 180 urine samples were evaluated. AFB smear, culture and MTD test were positive in 13 (7.2%) of 180 samples (Table 1 and 2). Two specimens (1.1%) were AFB smear- negative, MTD-positive, culture-positive. One sample was AFB smear-
positive and culture-positive, but the MTD test was equivocal. When repeated the MTD test result was positive.

Results were evaluated statistically by chi-square test (p<0.05). According to statistical evaluation MTD test was suggested in urine samples.

For many years the AFB smear has been the only rapid diagnostic test available. Woods (13) informed that the sensitivity of AFB smears varies from about 25 to 80%. Since the introduction of the MTD test into diagnostic mycobacteriology, many publications have confirmed its high sensitivity and specificity. Compared to culture, the sensitivity of the MTD test ranged from 65 to 97% in different studies, whereas its specificity was always high (2,5,8).

Coll et al. (1) reported that, the overall sensitivities, specificities, and positive and negative predictive values for extra-pulmonary specimens (n = 1350) were 67.4, 99.9, 98.2, and 97.9%, respectively. The lower sensitivity of the test in nonrespiratory samples was mainly due to the performance in smear-negative specimens. In that study the sensitivity of the test in nonpulmonary AFB smear-positive samples was 93.5%, similar to the 99.4% obtained in AFB smear-positive respiratory samples. Nucleic acid amplification techniques have excellent specificity but only moderate sensitivity in AFB smear-negative samples (12). In these specimens, particularly nonpulmonary, the sensitivity of the test is limited but the benefits of a positive result probably make the test cost effective (1). Pfyffer et al. (7) tested 53 urine specimens and observed that, eight were MTD positive and culture positive. Of these eight specimens, five were smear positive and three were smear negative. There were three false positive results. One of them was negative upon retesting; the other two could, however, be considered true positives, since one patient (HIV infected) had been diagnosed with disseminated tuberculosis 13 months earlier. In the other true positive case, the patient’s chest X ray showed extensive pulmonary cavities. Pounder et al. (9) tested 172 specimens and reported that the evaluated sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of MTD were 98.0%, 99.2%, 98.0%, and 99.2%, respectively in another study (6), sensitivity of the MTD method was found to be 83.33% in respiratory samples and 54.54% in nonrespiratory samples. Sensitivity and specificity values detected in the nonrespiratory samples in same study were 54.54% and 88.23%, respectively. Surucuoglu et al. (11) informed that, for 97 extrapulmonary specimens, sensitivity and specificity of amplified MTD test were 60% and 100%, respectively. The authors emphasized that culture positive extrapulmonary specimens were sparse in their study.

The results of this study and additional similar studies that include more specimens of urine samples, indicate that MTD-test can provide correct results. However, for optimum results, a close cooperation between the clinician and the laboratory is needed to define the specimens that need urgent screening by MTD (7). Despite the fact that the nucleic acid amplification tests are approved only for respiratory specimens, many investigators have used them to test specimens from other sites (2,10).

In conclusion, despite the use of conventional diagnostic techniques in routine tuberculosis laboratory, MTD is a reliable method for rapid detection of *M. tuberculosis* in urine specimens when clinical data are incorporated.

---

**Table 1. Gen-Probe MTD, smear, and culture results for urýne specimens.**

| number | AFB smear | Culture | MTD     |
|--------|-----------|---------|---------|
| 13     | positive  | positive| positive|
| 2      | negative  | positive| positive|
| 1      | positive  | positive| equivocal|
| 16     |           |         |         |

**Table 2. Results of statistical analysis.**

|          | MTD     |
|----------|---------|
|          | n   | %   | n   | %   | n   | %   | p     |
| AFB      |      |     |      |     |      |     |       |
| -        | 164  | 91,11| 2    | 1,11| 166  | 92,22| 0,000 |
| +        | 0    | 0,00 | 14   | 7,78| 14   | 7,78 |       |
| Total    | 164  | 91,11| 16   | 8,89| 180  | 100,00|       |
| CULTURE  |      |     |      |     |      |     |       |
| -        | 164  | 91,11| 0    | 0,00| 164  | 91,11| 0,000 |
| +        | 0    | 0,00 | 16   | 8,89| 16   | 8,89 |       |
| Total    | 164  | 91,11| 16   | 8,89| 180  | 100,00|       |

---

**RESUMO**

Avaliação de resultados do Teste Direto para *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (MTD) e da cultura para micobactérias em amostras de urina

A tuberculose continua sendo um problema de saúde pública na Turquia. A detecção rápida de *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* tem um papel importante no controle da infecção. Nesse artigo, avaliou-se o Gen-Probe Amplified Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Test (MTD) para detecção de *M. tuberculosis* em amostras de urina. O desempenho do MTD foi muito bom e adequado para diagnóstico laboratorial de rotina.
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