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Abstract

A hypergraph \( H \) on \( n \) vertices and \( m \) edges is said to be nearly-intersecting if every edge of \( H \) intersects all but at most polylogarithmically many (in \( m \) and \( n \)) other edges. Given lists of colors \( \mathcal{L}(v) \), for each vertex \( v \in V \), \( H \) is said to be \( \mathcal{L}\)-(list) colorable, if each vertex can be assigned a color from its list such that no edge in \( H \) is monochromatic. We show that list-colorability for any nearly intersecting hypergraph, and lists drawn from a set of constant size, can be checked in quasi-polynomial time in \( m \) and \( n \).

1 Introduction

Hypergraph \( k \)-Coloring is the problem of checking whether the vertex-set of a given hypergraph (family of sets) can be colored with at most \( k \) colors such that every edge receives at least two distinct colors. It is a basic problem in theoretical computer science and discrete mathematics which has received considerable attention (see, e.g. [BBTV08, BL02, DG13, DR05, GHH + 14, KS14, Vo02]). The problem is NP-complete already for \( k = 2 \), and in fact, it is quasi-NP-hard\(^1\) to decide if a 2-colorable hypergraph can be (properly) colored with \( 2^{(\log n)^{O(1)}} \) colors [GHH + 14]. On the other hand, the best positive result is polynomial time algorithms that can color an \( O(1) \)-colorable hypergraph with \( n^{O(1)} \) colors, where \( n \) is the number of vertices (see, e.g., [AKMH96, CF96, KNS01]). Several generalizations of the problem have also been considered, for example, List-Coloring where every vertex can take only colors from a given list of colors [ERT79, Viz76].

Given the intrinsic difficulty of the problem, it is natural to consider special classes of hypergraphs for which the problem is easier. Some better results exist for special classes, e.g., better approximation algorithms for hypergraphs of low discrepancy and rainbow-colorable hypergraphs [BGL15], polynomial time algorithms for bounded-degree linear hypergraphs [BL02, CR07], for random 3-uniform 2-colorable hypergraphs [PS09], as well as for some special classes of graphs [DFGK99, GKK02, JS97, AW97].

In this paper, we consider a special class of hypergraphs in which every edge intersects all but at most \( c \) other edges (also considered for \( c = 0 \) in [SEY74]); we call such hypergraphs \( c\)-intersecting\(^2\) for any \( c \geq 0 \), and nearly intersecting when \( c \) is polylogarithmic in the number of vertices and edges (this is in contrast to [BL02] which considers nearly disjoint hypergraphs). While near-intersection may seem as a strong restriction at a first thought, the problem is still actually highly non-trivial. In fact, the case \( k = 2 \) and \( c = 0 \) is equivalent to the well-known Monotone Boolean Duality Testing, which is the problem of checking for a given pair of CNF and DNF formulas if they represent the same monotone Boolean function [EG95, SEY74]. Determining the exact complexity of this duality testing problem is an outstanding open question, which has been referenced in a number of complexity theory retropectives, e.g., [Lov00, Pap97], and has been the subject of many papers, see, e.g., [B95, BM09, Dom97, ELL08, EG95, EGM02, EGM03, EM06, FK96, Got04, GK04, GML14, KS03, Tak02]. Fredman and Khachiyan [FK96] gave an algorithm for solving this
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\(^{1}\)More precisely, there is no polynomial time algorithm unless \( \text{NP} \subseteq \text{DTIME}(2^{(\log n)^{O(1)}}) \)

\(^{2}\)It would have been more descriptive to call these hypergraphs \( c\)-avoiding, but we choose to call them \( c\)-intersecting to emphasize the “intersection” property.
problem with running time $n^{o(\log n)}$, where $n$ is the size of the input, thus providing strong evidence that this decision problem is unlikely to be NP-hard.

The reduction from Boolean Duality Testing to checking 2-colorability is essentially obtained by a construction from [SEY74] which reduces the problem to checking if a monotone Boolean function given by its CNF is self-dual. However, almost all the algorithms for solving Boolean duality testing cannot work directly with the self-duality (and hence 2-colorability) problem, due to their recursive nature which results in subproblems that do not involve checking self-duality. The only algorithm we are aware of that works directly on the 2-colorability version is the one given in [GK04], but it yields weaker bounds $n^{O(\log n)}$ than those given in [FK96]. In this paper, we provide bounds that match closely those given in [FK96] and show that those can be in fact extended to any constant $k$ on the more general class of $c$-intersecting hypergraphs and further for checking list-colorability.

We remark that, while any 0-intersecting hypergraph is trivially 3-colorable, the question becomes non-trivial for $c > 0$. In particular, it is easy to see that any $c$-intersecting hypergraph is a $(3 + c)$-colorable, and thus the question of $k$-colorability becomes interesting for any $k$ between 2 and $3 + c$. It is also worth mentioning that 1-intersecting hypergraphs have been considered in [Pei08, Section 2.4.1], where it was shown that if such a hypergraph is 2-colorable then it is also list colorable for any lists of size 2. It is not clear whether such result extends to the cases $k > 2$ or $c > 1$.

2 Basic Notation and Main Result

Let $\mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^V$ be a hypergraph on a finite set $V$, $k \geq 2$ be a positive integer, and $\mathcal{L} : V \rightarrow 2^{[k]}$ be a mapping that assigns to each vertex $v \in V$ a list of admissible colors $\mathcal{L}(v) \subseteq [k] := \{1, \ldots, k\}$. An $\mathcal{L}$-(list) coloring of $\mathcal{H}$ is an assignment $\chi : V \rightarrow [k]$ of colors to the vertices of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\chi(v) \in \mathcal{L}(v)$ for all $v \in V$. An $\mathcal{L}$-coloring is said to be proper if it results in no monochromatic edges, that is, if $|\chi(H)| \geq 2$, for all $H \in \mathcal{H}$, where $\chi(H) := \{\chi(v) : v \in H\}$.

For a non-negative integer $c$, a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is said to be $c$-intersecting if for all $H \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$H \cap H' = \emptyset \text{ for at most } c \text{ edges } H' \in \mathcal{H}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

A hypergraph is said to be nearly intersecting if it is $c$-intersecting for $c = \text{polylog}(m, n)$. In this paper, we are interested in the following problem:

**Proper-$\mathcal{L}$-Coloring:** Given a hypergraph $\mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^V$ satisfying (1) and a mapping $\mathcal{L} : V \rightarrow 2^{[k]}$, either find a proper $\mathcal{L}$-coloring of $\mathcal{H}$, or declare that no such coloring exists.

We denote by $n := |V|$, $m := |\mathcal{H}|$, $\nu := \min_{v \in V} |\mathcal{L}(v)|$, $\rho := \max_{v \in V} |\mathcal{L}(v)|$, and $\kappa := \max_{u, v \in V, u \neq v} |\mathcal{L}(u) \cap \mathcal{L}(v)|$. We assume without loss of generality that $\nu \geq 2$.

For a set $S \subseteq V$, let $H_S := \{H \in \mathcal{H} : H \subseteq S\}$ be the subhypergraph of $\mathcal{H}$ induced by set $S$, $\mathcal{H}^S := \{H \cap S : H \in \mathcal{H}\}$ be the projection (or trace) of $\mathcal{H}$ into $S$ (this can be a multi-subhypergraph), and $\mathcal{H}(S) := \{H \in \mathcal{H} : H \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$ be the subhypergraph with edges having non-empty intersection with $S$. We define further $S = V \setminus S$, and for $v \in V$, $\deg_{\mathcal{H}}(v) := |\{H \in \mathcal{H} : v \in H\}|$.

Our main result is that the problem can be solved in quasi-polynomial time\footnote{that is, the running time is bounded by $2^{\text{polylog}(N)}$ on an instance of input size $N$.} for nearly intersecting hypergraphs and constant number of colors. In fact, we will prove the following stronger result.

**Theorem 1** Problem **Proper-$\mathcal{L}$-Coloring** can be solved in quasi-poly$(m, n)$ time if $k = O(1)$ and $c = \text{polylog}(m, n)$, with an algorithm whose recursion-tree depth is $\text{polylog}(m, n)$.

As a corollary, we obtain the following result on the parallel complexity of the problem (in the PRAM model).

**Corollary 1** Problem **Proper-$\mathcal{L}$-Coloring** can be solved in $\text{polylog}(m, n)$ parallel time on quasi-poly$(m, n)$ number of processors, if $k = O(1)$ and $c = \text{polylog}(m, n)$. 


In the following, we will consider partial \( L \)-colorings \( \chi : V \to [0 : k] := \{0, 1, \ldots, k\} \) of \( \mathcal{H} \), where \( \chi(v) = 0 \) is used to mean that the vertex \( v \) is not assigned any color yet; we say that such coloring is proper if no (fully colored) edge is monochromatic. Given a proper partial \( L \)-coloring \( \chi \) of a hypergraph \( \mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^V \), we will use the following notation: \( V_0(\chi) := \{v \in V : \chi(v) = 0\} \) and \( \mathcal{H}_i(\chi) := \{H \in \mathcal{H} : \chi(H) = \{0, i\}\} \) for \( i \in [0 : k] \), and shall simply write \( V_0 \) and \( \mathcal{H}_i \) when \( \chi \) is clear from the context; any extension of \( \chi \) (obtained by coloring some vertices in \( V_0 \)) will be called proper, if it results in no monochromatic edge (that is, when combined with \( \chi \), it yields a proper partial \( L \)-coloring for \( \mathcal{H} \)); \( \mathcal{H}(\chi) \) denotes the hypergraph \( \mathcal{H} \) after deleting monochromatic edges, that is, \( \mathcal{H}(\chi) := \mathcal{H} \setminus \{H \in \mathcal{H} : |\chi(H)| \geq 2\} \). For \( i \in [0 : k] \), we write \( \mathcal{H}_i := \bigcup_{\chi \in \mathcal{H}_i} \mathcal{H}_i(\chi) \). For two (partial) \( L \)-colorings \( \chi : V \to [0 : k] \) and \( \chi' : S \to [k] \), where \( \chi(S) = \{0\} \), we denote by \( \chi'' := \chi \cup \chi' : V \to [0 : k] \) the partial \( L \)-coloring that assigns \( \chi''(v) := \chi(v) \) for \( v \in V \setminus S \) and \( \chi''(v) := \chi'(v) \) for \( v \in S \). If there is an \( H \in \mathcal{H} \) such that \( |H| \leq 1 \), we shall assume that \( \mathcal{H} \) is not properly \( L \)-colorable for any \( L : V \to 2^{|k|} \). Also, by assumption, an empty hypergraph (that is, \( \mathcal{H} = \emptyset \)) is properly \( L \)-colorable.

Given a proper partial \( L \)-coloring \( \chi \) of \( \mathcal{H} \), we call \( \chi_0 : V_0 \to [k] \) a 0-simple (resp., \( i \)-simple, for \( i \in [k] \)) assignment if it is obtained by choosing, for each \( H \in \mathcal{H}_0 \) (resp., for each \( H \in \mathcal{H}_i \)), two distinct vertices \( v, v' \in H \cap V_0 \) and two distinct colors \( i \in L(v) \) and \( j \in L(v') \) (resp., a vertex \( v \in H \cap V_0 \) and a color for \( v \) among the colors in \( L(v) \setminus \{i\} \)). Such an assignment is proper, if the coloring \( \chi \cup \chi_0 \) is a proper partial \( L \)-coloring for \( \mathcal{H} \). The number of 0-simple (resp., \( i \)-simple, for \( i \in [k] \)) assignments is at most \( (|V_0|)^{2|\mathcal{H}_0|} \) (resp., \( (|V_0|)^{|\mathcal{H}_i|} \)).

In the following two sections we will give two algorithms for solving the problem. They are inspired by the two corresponding algorithms in [FK96], for MONOTONE BOOLEAN DUALITY TESTING, and can be thought of as generalizations. The first algorithm is simpler and exploits the idea of the existence of a large degree vertex in any non-colorable instance. By considering all possible admissible colorings of such a vertex we can remove a large fraction of the edges and recurse on substantially smaller-size problems. Unfortunately, the degree of the high-degree vertex is only large enough to guarantee a bound of \( O(m^{k-\epsilon} \cdot m) \) (assuming \( k \) and \( c \) are fixed). The second algorithm is more complicated and considers both scenarios when there is a high-degree vertex and when there are none (where now the threshold for "high" is higher). If there is no high-degree vertex, then we can find a "balanced-set" which induces a constant number of edges. Then a decomposition can be obtained based on this set.

### 3 Solving Proper-\( L \)-Coloring in Quasi-polynomial Time

We give two lemmas that show the existence of a large degree vertex, unless the hypergraph is easily colorable.

**Lemma 1** Let \( \mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^V \) be a given \( c \)-intersecting hypergraph, \( L : V \to 2^{|k|} \) be a mapping, and \( \chi : V \to [0 : k] \) be a proper partial \( L \)-coloring of \( \mathcal{H} \) such that \( |\mathcal{H}_0| > 2c \). Then either

(i) there is a vertex \( v \in V_0 \) with \( \deg_{\mathcal{H}_0}(v) > \frac{|\mathcal{H}_0|}{2 \log_{|M|} (\frac{mk}{c})} \), or

(ii) an \( L \)-coloring \( \chi_0 : V_0 \to [k] \), such that \( \chi \cup \chi_0 \) is a proper \( L \)-coloring of \( \mathcal{H} \), can be found in \( O(|\mathcal{H}|) \) time.

**Proof** Let \( H_{\min} \) be an edge in \( \bigcup_{i=0}^k \mathcal{H}_i V_0 \) of minimum size. Assume, without loss of generality, that \( |H_{\min}| \geq 2 \). Pick a random \( L \)-coloring \( \chi_0 : V_0 \to [k] \) by assigning, independently for each \( v \in V_0 \), \( \chi_0(v) = i \in L(v) \) with probability \( \frac{1}{|L(v)|} \). Then, for an edge \( H \in \mathcal{H}_0 \),

\[
\Pr[H \text{ is monochromatic}] = \frac{1}{|L(v)|} \prod_{v \in H} \frac{1}{|L(v)|} \leq \kappa \cdot \left( \frac{1}{|L(v)|} \right)^{|H_0|},
\]

and for \( H \in \mathcal{H}_i \), \( i \in [k] \),

\[
\Pr[H \text{ is monochromatic}] \leq \prod_{v \in H \cap V_0} \frac{1}{|L(v)|} \leq \left( \frac{1}{|L(v)|} \right)^{|H \cap V_0|}.
\]
It follows that
\[
\mathbb{E}[\# \text{ monochromatic } H \in \mathcal{H}] = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \Pr[H \text{ is monochromatic}]
\leq \kappa \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}_0} \left( \frac{1}{\nu} \right)^{|H|} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}_i} \left( \frac{1}{\nu} \right)^{|H \cap V_0|} \leq m\kappa \left( \frac{1}{\nu} \right)^{|H_{\min}|}.
\]

Thus if \( m\kappa \left( \frac{1}{\nu} \right)^{|H_{\min}|} < 1 \), then there is a proper \( \mathcal{L} \)-coloring \( \chi' := \chi \cup \chi_0 \) of \( \mathcal{H} \), which can be found by the method of conditional expectations in time \( O(\rho |V_0|m) \). Let us therefore assume for the rest of this proof that \( |H_{\min}| \leq \log_\nu (m\kappa) \).

Let \( v_{\max} \) be a vertex maximizing \( \deg_{\mathcal{H}_0}(v) \) over \( v \in H_{\min} \). Then (1) implies that
\[
|H_0| \leq \left| \bigcup_{v \in H_{\min}} \{ H \in \mathcal{H}_0 : v \in H \} \right| + c \leq \sum_{v \in H_{\min}} |\{ H \in \mathcal{H}_0 : v \in H \}| + c
= \sum_{v \in H_{\min}} \deg_{\mathcal{H}_0}(v) + c \leq |H_{\min}| \deg_{\mathcal{H}_0}(v_{\max}) + c.
\]

Consequently, \( \deg_{\mathcal{H}_0}(v_{\max}) \geq \frac{|H_0| - c}{|H_{\min}|} > \frac{|H_0|}{2 \log_\nu (m\kappa)} \).

\[ \square \]

Lemma 2 Let \( \mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^V \) be a given hypergraph \( c \)-intersecting hypergraph, \( \mathcal{L} : V \to 2^{|k|} \) be a mapping, and \( \chi : V \to [0 : k] \) be a proper partial \( \mathcal{L} \)-coloring of \( \mathcal{H} \) such that \( |\mathcal{H}_0| = 0 \), and for all \( i \in [k] \), either \( |\mathcal{H}_i| = 0 \) or \( |\mathcal{H}_i| > 2c \). Then either

(i) there is a vertex \( v \in V_0 \) and \( i, j \in [k], j \neq i \), such that \( \deg_{\mathcal{H}_i}(v) > \frac{|\mathcal{H}_i|}{2 \log_\nu m} \) and \( \deg_{\mathcal{H}_j}(v) \geq 1 \),

or

(ii) an \( \mathcal{L} \)-coloring \( \chi_0 : V_0 \to [k] \), such that \( \chi \cup \chi_0 \) is a proper \( \mathcal{L} \)-coloring of \( \mathcal{H} \), can be found in \( O(\rho |V_0|m) \) time.

\[ \text{Proof} \]

Let \( H_{\min} \) be an edge in \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{H}_i^{V_0} \) of minimum size. Note that (1) implies:
\[
\forall H \in \mathcal{H}_i : H \cap H' \cap V_0 \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for all but at most } c \text{ edges } H' \in \mathcal{H}_i,
\]

since \( \{ i \} = \chi(H \cap V_0) \neq \chi(H' \cap V_0) = \{ j \} \) for all \( H \in \mathcal{H}_i \) and \( H' \in \mathcal{H}_j \), for \( i \neq j \).

If there is an \( i \in [k] \) such that \( \mathcal{H}_j = \emptyset \) for all \( j \in [k] \setminus \{ i \} \) then a \( \mathcal{L} \)-coloring satisfying (ii) can be found by choosing arbitrarily \( \chi(v) \in \mathcal{L}(v) \setminus \{ i \} \) for all \( v \in V_0 \). Assume therefore that \( \mathcal{H}_i \neq \emptyset \) for at least two distinct indices \( i \in [k] \). Pick a random \( \mathcal{L} \)-coloring \( \chi_0 : V_0 \to [k] \) by assigning, independently for each \( v \in V_0 \), \( \chi(v) = i \in \mathcal{L}(v) \) with probability \( \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}(v)|} \). Then
\[
\Pr[\exists i \in [k], H \in \mathcal{H}_i : \chi(H_i) = \{ i \}] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}_i} \Pr[\chi(H) = \{ i \}]
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{H \in \mathcal{H}_i, v \in H \cap V_0} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}(v)|} \leq m \left( \frac{1}{\nu} \right)^{|H_{\min}|}.
\]

Thus if \( m \left( \frac{1}{\nu} \right)^{|H_{\min}|} < 1 \), then there is an \( \mathcal{L} \)-coloring satisfying (ii), which can be found by the method of conditional expectations in time \( O(\rho |V_0|m) \). Let us therefore assume for the rest of this proof that \( |H_{\min}| \leq \log_\nu m \).

Let \( j \) be such that \( H_{\min} \in \mathcal{H}_j^{V_0} \), and \( v_{\max} \) be a vertex maximizing \( \deg_{\mathcal{H}_j}(v) \) over \( v \in H_{\min} \). Then (2) implies that
\[
|\mathcal{H}_j| = \left| \bigcup_{v \in H_{\min}} \{ H \in \mathcal{H}_j : v \in H \} \right| + c \leq \sum_{v \in H_{\min}} |\{ H \in \mathcal{H}_j : v \in H \}| + c
= \sum_{v \in H_{\min}} \deg_{\mathcal{H}_j}(v) + c \leq |H_{\min}| \deg_{\mathcal{H}_j}(v_{\max}) + c.
\]
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Consequently, \( \sum_{i \neq j} \deg_{H_i}(v_{\max}) = \deg_{H_j}(v_{\max}) \geq \frac{|H_j| - c}{\log_{c^2} m} \geq \frac{|H_j| - c}{\log_{c^2} m} = \frac{\sum_{i \neq j} \deg_{H_i}(v_{\max})}{\sum_{i \neq j} |H_i|} \geq \frac{\sum_{i \neq j} (|H_i| - c)}{\log_{c^2} m} > \frac{1}{2 \log_{c^2} m} \). \( \square \)

**Algorithm 1** PROPER-\(\mathcal{L}\)-COLORING-A\((\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{L}, \chi)\)

**Input:** A \(c\)-intersecting hypergraph \(\mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^V\), a mapping \(\mathcal{L} : V \rightarrow 2^{|k|}\), and a proper \(\mathcal{L}\)-coloring \(\chi : V \rightarrow [k]\)

**Output:** A partial proper \(\mathcal{L}\)-coloring \(\chi : V \rightarrow [k]\) of \(\mathcal{H}\)

1: \(V_0 := V_0(\chi); \mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}(\chi)\)
2: if \(|\mathcal{H}_0| = 0\) for all \(i \in [0 : k]\) then
3: stop /* A proper \(\mathcal{L}\)-coloring has been found */
4: if \(|\mathcal{H}_0| > \delta := \max\{2c, \rho^2\}\) then /* Phase I */
5: if there is \(v \in V_0\) satisfying condition (i) of Lemma[1] then
6: for each proper assignment \(\chi_0(v) \in \mathcal{L}(v)\) do
7: call PROPER-\(\mathcal{L}\)-COLORING-A\((\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{L}, \chi_0)\)
8: else
9: Let \(\chi_0 : V_0 \rightarrow [k]\) be a coloring computed as in (ii) of Lemma[1]
10: Set \(\chi := \chi \cup \chi_0;\) stop /* A proper \(\mathcal{L}\)-coloring has been found */
11: else /* Phase II */
12: if there is \(i \in [0 : k]\) such that \(1 \leq |\mathcal{H}_i| \leq \delta\) then
13: for and each proper \(i\)-simple assignment \(\chi_0\) do /* Clean-up */
14: call PROPER-\(\mathcal{L}\)-COLORING-A\((\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{L}, \chi \cup \chi_0)\)
15: Same as in steps 4-10 of Phase I (applying Lemma[2] instead)
16: return

The algorithm for solving PROPER-\(\mathcal{L}\)-COLORING is given as Algorithm[1], which is called initially with \(\chi \equiv 0\). The algorithm terminates either with a proper \(\mathcal{L}\)-coloring of \(\mathcal{H}\), or with a partial \(\mathcal{L}\)-coloring with some unassigned vertices, in which case we conclude that no proper \(\mathcal{L}\)-coloring of \(\mathcal{H}\) exists.

The algorithm proceeds in at two phases. As long as the number of edges with no assigned colors is above a certain threshold \(\delta\), that is \(|\mathcal{H}_0| > \delta\), the algorithm is still in phase I; otherwise it proceeds to phase II. In a general step of phase I (resp., phase II), the algorithm picks a vertex \(v\) satisfying condition (i) of Lemma[1] (resp., Lemma[2]) and iterates over all feasible assignments of colors to \(v\), that result in no monochromatic edges (line 7); if no such \(v\) can be found, the algorithm concludes with a proper \(\mathcal{L}\)-coloring. In each iteration, any edge that becomes non-monochromatic is removed and the algorithm recurses on the updated sets of hypergraphs. If none of the recursive calls yields a feasible extension of the current proper partial \(\mathcal{L}\)-coloring \(\chi\), we unassign vertex \(v\) and return (line 13). At the beginning of each recursive call in phase II, we perform a "clean-up" step (lines 12-14) by trying all possible \(i\)-simple assignments for hypergraphs \(\mathcal{H}_i\) with \(|\mathcal{H}_i|\) sufficiently small. This allows us to start phase II with \(|\mathcal{H}_0| = 0\) and to keep only hypergraphs \(\mathcal{H}_i\) whose size is above the threshold \(\delta\).

To analyze the running time of the algorithm, let us measure the "volume" of a subproblem with input \((\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{L}, \chi)\), in phase I by \(\mu_1(\mathcal{H}, \chi) := |\mathcal{H}_0(\chi)|\), and in phase II by

\[
\mu_2(\mathcal{H}, \chi) := \prod_{i=0}^{k} \max\{|\mathcal{H}_i(\chi)|, 1\}.
\]

The recursion stops when \(\mu_1(\mathcal{H}, \chi) = \mu_2(\mathcal{H}, \chi) = 0\) (meaning that \(|\mathcal{H}_i| = 0\) for all \(i \in [0 : k]\), and hence, the algorithm managed to completely color all vertices), an \(\mathcal{L}\)-coloring satisfying condition (i) of Lemmas[1] or[2] is found (in lines 10 or 15), or when no proper extension of the current partial coloring \(\chi\) can be found (no proper assignment exists in lines 6, 13 or 15).

**Lemma 3** Algorithm[1] solves problem PROPER-\(\mathcal{L}\)-COLORING in time \((pn)^{O((\rho^2+c)k)(km)^h}\), where \(h := O(2\log^{2}(\mu+c)+k\log(\mu+c)\log m+k^2\log^{2} m)\).
Proof Let \( \epsilon := \frac{1}{2 \log_\alpha (\frac{2}{\alpha})} \), \( \alpha = \frac{1}{\rho} \) and \( \delta := \max\{2\epsilon, \rho^2\} \). We may assume that \( \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2} \), since otherwise \( m \leq \rho \), implying that the algorithm would terminate in \( O((\rho m)^{2\rho}) \) time after trying all simple \( 0 \)-assignments in lines 3-6. Note that this implies that \( \delta \geq \alpha^2 \) as \( \rho \geq 2 \geq \frac{1}{\rho} = \alpha \).

Consider the recursion tree \( T \) of the algorithm. Let \( T_1 \) (resp., \( T_2 \)) be the subtree (resp., sub-forest) of \( T \) belonging to phase I (resp., phase II) of the algorithm. Note that \( T_2 \) consists of maximal sub-trees of \( T \), each of which is rooted at a leaf in \( T_1 \). For each \( \mu \geq 0 \) (resp., \( \mu_2 \geq 0 \) and \( t \in [0 : k] \)), let denote by \( A_1(\mu_1) \) (resp., \( A_2(\mu_2, t) \)) be the total number of nodes in \( T_1 \) (resp., \( T_2 \)) that result from a subproblem of volume \( \mu \) (resp., \( \mu_2 \)) with \( \{i \in [0 : k] : |H_i(\chi)| \geq 1\} = t \) in phase I (resp., phase II). For each recursive call of the algorithm, we obtain a recurrence on \( A_1(\mu_1) \) (resp., \( A_2(\mu_2, t) \)), as explained in the following. Naturally, we assume that \( A_1(\mu_1) \) (resp., \( A_2(\mu_2, t) \)) is monotonically increasing in \( \mu_1 \) (resp., both \( \mu_2 \) and \( t \)). For simplicity and to avoid confusion, we denote by \( H_i, \mu_1, \mu_2, t \) and \( H_i', \mu_1', \mu_2', t' \) the hypergraphs, volumes and the number of non-empty hypergraphs, in the current and next recursive calls, respectively. For the sake of the analysis, without loss of generality, we assume throughout that the algorithm does not terminate on a “forced stop” as in line 10.

Claim 1 \( A_1(\mu_1) \leq \mu_1 \log_\alpha \rho \).

Proof Let \( v \in V \) be the vertex chosen in line 5. Since \( v \) is a large-degree vertex (with respect to \( H_0 \)) which receives a color, \(|H_0| \leq (1 - \frac{1}{2 \log_\alpha (\frac{2}{\alpha})}) |H_0| \). Thus, for a non-leaf node of \( T_1 \), we have the recurrence:

\[
A_1(\mu_1) \leq \rho \cdot A_1((1 - \epsilon)\mu_1) + 1. \tag{4}
\]

At leaves we have \( \mu_1 \leq \delta \). It follows that the depth \( d(\mu_1) \) of the recursion subtree of a node (in \( T_1 \)) of volume \( \mu_1 \) is at most \( \log_\alpha \frac{\mu_1}{\rho} + 1 \), where \( \mu_1 = |H_0| \) is the initial volume, and hence the total number \( A_1(\mu_1) \) of nodes is bounded by

\[
\frac{\mu_1}{\rho - 1} \leq \rho^2 \left( \frac{\mu_1}{\rho} \right)^{\log_\alpha \rho} \leq \mu_1 \log_\alpha \rho \quad \text{as} \quad \rho \geq \alpha \text{ and } \delta \geq \rho^2. \]

\( \square \)

Claim 2 \( A_2(\mu_2, t) \leq (\rho m)^{2\delta(1+\epsilon)} \mu_2 \log_\alpha \mu_2 \).

Proof There are two possible locations in which a recursive call can be initiated in phase II:

Line 14 Since \( t' \leq t - 1 \), as we remove at least one hypergraph \( H_i \) by trying all \( i \)-simple assignments whose number is at most \( (|V_0|\rho)^{2|H_i|} \), where \( |H_i| \leq \delta \), we get the recurrence

\[
A_2(\mu_2, t) \leq (\rho m)^{2\delta} A_2(\mu_2, t - 1) + 1. \tag{5}
\]

Line 13 (the part corresponding to line 3): Let \( v \in V \) be the vertex chosen before the recursive call (as in line 5), that is, \( v \) satisfies condition (i) of Lemma 2 and let \( i, j \in [k] \) be such that \( i \neq j \), \( \deg_{H_i}(v) \geq \frac{1}{2 \log_\alpha m} |H_i| \) and \( \deg_{H_j}(v) \geq 1 \). There are \( |L(v)| \) recursive calls that will be initiated from this point, corresponding to \( \ell \in L(v) \); consider the \( \ell \)th recursive call. If \( \ell \neq i \) then setting \( \chi(v) = \ell \) will result in deleting all the edges containing \( v \) from \( H_i \). Thus, \( \mu_2' \leq (1 - \frac{1 - \delta}{\frac{1}{\delta}}) \mu_2 \) if \( |H_j'| > 0 \) and \( \mu_2' \leq \frac{\mu_2}{\delta} \) if \( |H_j'| = 0 \). In both cases, we get \( \mu_2' \leq (1 - \epsilon)\mu_2 \) (as \( \frac{1 - \delta}{\frac{1}{\delta}} \leq 1 - \epsilon \)). On the other hand, if \( \ell = i \), then at least one edge in \( H_j \) will be deleted, yielding \( \mu_2' \leq \mu_2 - 1 \), or \( \mu_2' \leq \frac{\mu_2}{\delta} \) and \( t' \leq t - 1 \), depending on whether \( |H_j'| > 0 \) or \( |H_j'| = 0 \). Again in both cases, for \( \mu_2 > \delta \), we get \( \mu_2' \leq \mu_2 - 1 \) (as \( \frac{1 - \delta}{\frac{1}{\delta}} \leq 1 - \frac{1 - \delta}{\mu_2} \)). Consequently, for \( \mu_2 > \delta \), we get the recurrence:

\[
A_2(\mu_2, t) \leq (\rho - 1) \cdot A_2((1 - \epsilon)\mu_2, t) + A_2(\mu_2 - 1, t) + 1. \tag{6}
\]

By definition, \( A_2(\mu_2, 0) = 1 \), for \( \mu_2 \geq 0 \). We will prove by induction on \( t = 1, \ldots, k \) and \( \mu_2 \geq 1 \) that

\[
A_2(\mu, t) \leq P_t \mu_2 \log_\alpha \mu_2, \tag{7}
\]

where \( P_t := \frac{\mu_2^{t+1} - 1}{\rho - 1} \) and \( R := (\rho m)^{2\delta} \). We consider 2 cases:
In this section, we give an algorithm with running time \( (nm)^{\log_n \mu_2} \) \( \log_{\mu_2}(\mu_2) \)
that the increase in the running time from probing is offset by the amount of information gained.

Putting Claims 1 and 2 together, and noting that at internal nodes the running time is from the fact that the algorithm may assign one color to a complete set of vertices in one time step, rather than to a single vertex as in the previous algorithm. In fact, as we shall see below, the algorithm should try next. In general, such a probing strategy may be expensive, but as we shall gained from such a “failure” turns out to be useful for restricting the set of color assignments the
roots of the maximal sub-trees in \( T \), the lemma follows.

Using the bounds \( \mu_1 \leq m \), and

\[
\mu_2 \leq \prod_{i=0}^{k} |\mathcal{H}_i| \leq \delta \cdot \left( \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{k} |\mathcal{H}_i|}{k} \right)^k \leq \delta \cdot \left( \frac{m}{k} \right)^k,
\]

we get \( A_1(\mu_1) = m^{O(\log \rho \log_{\log_{\mu_2}(\mu_2)}(m \kappa))} \) and \( A_2(\mu_2, k + 1) = (\rho m)^{2k(k+1)} \left( \delta \left( \frac{m}{k} \right) \right)^{O \left( \log \left( \log \left( \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} \right) \right)^k \log_{\mu_2}(m \kappa) \right)} \).

Putting Claims 1 and 2 together, and noting that at internal nodes the running time is \( O(n m) \), and that the roots of the maximal sub-trees in \( T \) are the leaves of \( T \), the lemma follows.

\[ \square \]

4 A More Efficient Algorithm

When \( k = O(1) \) and \( c = O(1) \), the algorithm presented in the previous section for Proper-L-Coloring has running time \( n^{O(1)} m^{O(\log^2 m)} \). Moreover, the recursion tree can have depth \( \Omega(m) \).

In this section, we give an algorithm with running time \( (n m)^{O(\log m)} \) and recursion-tree depth \( \text{poly}(m, n) \) (for \( k = O(1) \) and \( c = \text{poly}(m, n) \)), thus proving Theorem 1. The speedup comes from the fact that the algorithm may assign one color to a complete set of vertices in one time step, rather than to a single vertex as in the previous algorithm. In fact, as we shall see below, the algorithm may “probe” a color assignment on a certain set (the set \( V_0 \setminus S \) in Lemmas 4 and 5): if such an assignment cannot be completed to a proper coloring for the whole hypergraph, the information gained from such a “failure” turns out to be useful for restricting the set of color assignments the algorithm should try next. In general, such a probing strategy may be expensive, but as we shall see below, we can use a set \( S \) satisfying some “balancing” condition (see Lemmas 6 and 7) to ensure that the increase in the running time from probing is offset by the amount of information gained.

For a hypergraph \( \mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^V \) and a positive number \( \epsilon \in (0, 1) \), denote by \( T(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon) \) the subset \( \{ v \in V : \deg_{\mathcal{H}}(v) > \epsilon |\mathcal{H}| \} \) of “high” degree vertices in \( \mathcal{H} \). Given \( \epsilon', \epsilon'' \in (0, 1) \), \( \epsilon' < \epsilon'' \), let us call an \( (\epsilon', \epsilon'') \)-balanced set with respect to \( \mathcal{H} \), any set \( S \subseteq V \) such that \( \epsilon' |\mathcal{H}| \leq |\mathcal{H} \setminus S| \leq \epsilon'' |\mathcal{H}| \).

Proposition 1 (Elb08) Let \( \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in (0, 1) \) be two given numbers such that, \( \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2 \) and \( T = T(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon_1) \) satisfies \( |\mathcal{H} \setminus T| \leq (1 - \epsilon_2)|\mathcal{H}| \). Then there exists a \((1 - \epsilon_2, 1 - (\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1))\)-balanced set \( S \supseteq T \) with respect to \( \mathcal{H} \). Such a set \( S \) can be found in \( O(n m) \) time.
Proof Let \( \{v_1, \ldots, v_l\} \) be an arbitrary order of the vertices of \( T \) and find the index \( j \in [l-1] \), such that
\[
|\mathcal{H}_{V \setminus \{v_1, \ldots, v_j\}}| > (1 - \varepsilon_2)|\mathcal{H}| \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{H}_{V \setminus \{v_1, \ldots, v_j+1\}}| \leq (1 - \varepsilon_2)|\mathcal{H}|.
\]
The existence of such \( j \) is guaranteed by the facts that \( \deg_{\mathcal{H}}(v_1) \leq \varepsilon_1|\mathcal{H}| < \varepsilon_2|\mathcal{H}| \leq |\mathcal{H}(T)| \). Finally, we let \( S = V \setminus \{v_1, \ldots, v_j\} \). Since \( \deg_{\mathcal{H}}(v_{j+1}) \leq \varepsilon_1|\mathcal{H}| \), it follows from (8) that \( |\mathcal{H}_S| < (\varepsilon_1 + 1 - \varepsilon_2)|\mathcal{H}| \), implying that \( S \) is indeed a balanced superset of \( T \).
\[
\square
\]

Lemma 4 Let \( \mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^V \) be a c-intersecting hypergraph, \( \mathcal{L} : V \to 2^{|L|} \) be a proper partial \( \mathcal{L} \)-coloring of \( \mathcal{H} \), and \( S \subseteq V_0 \) be a given set of vertices such that \( \mathcal{H}_{V \setminus S} = \emptyset \) (equivalently, \( \emptyset \notin \mathcal{H}_i \)). Fix an arbitrary (proper) coloring \( \chi_p : V_0 \setminus S \to |L| \) and let \( \hat{\chi} := \chi \cup \chi_p \). Then, \( \chi \) is extendable to a proper \( \mathcal{L} \)-coloring of \( \mathcal{H} \) if and only if either
\[
(i) \quad \chi \text{ is extendable to a proper } \mathcal{L} \text{-coloring } \chi' \text{ for } \mathcal{H} \text{, with } \chi'(V_0 \setminus S) = \chi_p, \text{ or}
\]
\[
(ii) \quad \exists H \in \mathcal{H} \setminus (\mathcal{H}_0)_S : |\hat{\chi}(H \setminus S)| = 1, \ \chi \text{ is extendable to a proper } \mathcal{L} \text{-coloring } \chi' \text{ for } \mathcal{H} \text{ such that}
\]
\[
\chi'(H \cap S) = \hat{\chi}(H \setminus S).
\]

Proof First note that \( \chi_p \) does not introduce any monochromatic edges as \( \mathcal{H}_{V \setminus S} = \emptyset \). Suppose that \( \chi \) is extendable to a proper \( \mathcal{L} \)-coloring \( \chi' \) for \( \mathcal{H} \). The fact that (i) is not satisfied means that there is an \( H \in \mathcal{H} \setminus (\mathcal{H}_0)_S \), such that (in any proper extension \( \chi' \) of \( \chi \)), \( \chi' \) assigns a single color to all the vertices in \( H \cap S \), which is exactly the color assigned by \( \chi \cup \chi_p \) to all vertices in \( H \setminus S \), and hence (ii) is satisfied.

Conversely, if (i) or (ii) hold, then trivially, there is an \( \mathcal{L} \)-coloring extension \( \chi' \) of \( \chi \) that properly colors \( \mathcal{H} \).
\[
\square
\]

Lemma 5 Let \( \mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^V \) be a c-intersecting hypergraph, \( \mathcal{L} : V \to 2^{|L|} \) be a proper partial \( \mathcal{L} \)-coloring of \( \mathcal{H} \), and \( S \subseteq V_0 \) be a given set of vertices such that, for some \( i \in [k] \), \( \emptyset \notin \mathcal{H}_i \) (equivalently, \( \mathcal{H}_j \setminus S = \emptyset \) for all \( j \neq i \)). Fix \( \chi_p : V_0 \setminus S \to |L| \) by setting \( \chi_p(v) \in \mathcal{L}(v) \setminus \{i\} \) arbitrarily for \( v \in V_0 \setminus S \), and let \( \hat{\chi} := \chi \cup \chi_p \). Then \( \chi \) is extendable to a proper \( \mathcal{L} \)-coloring of \( \mathcal{H} \) if and only if either
\[
(i) \quad \chi \text{ is extendable to a proper } \mathcal{L} \text{-coloring } \chi' \text{ for } \mathcal{H} \text{, with } \chi'(V_0 \setminus S) = \chi_p, \text{ or}
\]
\[
(ii) \quad \exists H \in \mathcal{H} \setminus (\mathcal{H}_0)_S : |\hat{\chi}(H \setminus S)| = 1, \ \chi \text{ is extendable to a proper } \mathcal{L} \text{-coloring } \chi' \text{ for } \mathcal{H} \text{ such that}
\]
\[
\chi'(H \cap S) = \hat{\chi}(H \setminus S).
\]

Proof First note that \( \chi_p \) does not introduce any monochromatic edges as \( H \cap S \neq \emptyset \) for all \( H \in \mathcal{H} \setminus (\mathcal{H}_0)_i \). Suppose that \( \chi \) is extendable to a proper \( \mathcal{L} \)-coloring \( \chi' \) for \( \mathcal{H} \). If (i) is not satisfied then there is an \( H \in \mathcal{H}_i \) for some \( j \neq i \), such that \( \chi' \) assigns a single color to all the vertices in \( H \cap S \), which is exactly the color assigned by \( \chi \cup \chi_p \) to all vertices in \( H \setminus S \), and hence (ii) is satisfied.

Conversely, if (i) or (ii) hold, then trivially, there is an \( \mathcal{L} \)-coloring extension \( \chi' \) of \( \chi \) that properly colors \( \mathcal{H} \).
\[
\square
\]

Lemma 6 Let \( \mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^V \) be a c-intersecting hypergraph, \( \mathcal{L} : V \to 2^{|L|} \) be a proper partial \( \mathcal{L} \)-coloring of \( \mathcal{H} \) such that \( |\mathcal{H}_0| > 0 \), \( T(\mathcal{H}_0, \varepsilon_1) = \emptyset \), and \( \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in (0, 1) \) be two given numbers satisfying \( \varepsilon_1 < \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2+\varepsilon_2} \). Then there is a \( (1-\varepsilon_2, 1 - (\varepsilon_2 - (1+\varepsilon_1)c)) \)-balanced set \( S \subseteq V_0 \) with respect to \( \mathcal{H}_0 \) such that \( \mathcal{H}_{V \setminus S} = \emptyset \).

Proof We start with a \((1 - \varepsilon_2, 1 - (\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1))\)-balanced set \( S' \subseteq V_0 \), guaranteed by Proposition [1]. Since \( (\mathcal{H}_0)_S' \neq \emptyset \), by [1] we have \( |\mathcal{H}_V \setminus S'| \leq c \). Let \( S \) be the set obtained by appending to \( S' \) a single vertex from each edge (if any) in \( \mathcal{H}_{V \setminus S}' \) (that is, vertices are added from \( V_0 \setminus S \)). Then, since the degree of each appended vertex is no more than \( \varepsilon_1|\mathcal{H}_0| \) (as \( S' \supseteq T(\mathcal{H}_0, \varepsilon_1) \)), we get
\[
(1 - \varepsilon_2)|\mathcal{H}_0| \leq |(\mathcal{H}_0)_S| \leq |(\mathcal{H}_0)_S| + c \cdot \varepsilon_1|\mathcal{H}_0| \leq (1 - \varepsilon_2 + c \cdot \varepsilon_1)|\mathcal{H}_0|.
\]
\[
\square
\]
**Lemma 7** Let $\mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^V$ be a c-intersecting hypergraph, $\mathcal{L} : V \rightarrow 2^{[k]}$ be a mapping, $\chi : V \rightarrow [0 : k]$ be a proper partial $\mathcal{L}$-coloring of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $|H_i| > 2c$ for at least two $i$’s, and $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ be two given numbers satisfying $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2$. Then either (i) there is $v \in V_0$ and $i \neq j$ such that $\deg_{\mathcal{H}_i}(v) \geq \epsilon_1 |\mathcal{H}_i|$ and $\deg_{\mathcal{H}_j}(v) \geq \epsilon_1 |\mathcal{H}_j|$, or (ii) there is a $(1 - \epsilon_2, 1 - (\epsilon_2 - (1 + c)\epsilon_1))$-balanced set $S \subseteq V_0$ with respect to $\mathcal{H}_i^{V_0}$ for some $i \in [k]$, such that $|\mathcal{H}_j| \setminus S = \emptyset$ for all $j \neq i$.

**Proof** For any $i \neq j$ such that $|\mathcal{H}_i| > 2c$ and $|\mathcal{H}_j| > 2c$, let $T_i := T(\mathcal{H}_i^{V_0}, \epsilon_1)$ and $T_j := T(\mathcal{H}_j^{V_0}, \epsilon_1)$. If $T_i \cap T_j \neq \emptyset$ then any $v \in V_0$ in this intersection will satisfy (i). Otherwise, (ii) implies that either $|(\mathcal{H}_i^{V_0})_v| \leq \epsilon_2 (1 - \epsilon_2)|\mathcal{H}_i^{V_0}|$ or $|(\mathcal{H}_j^{V_0})_v| \leq \epsilon_2 (1 - \epsilon_2)|\mathcal{H}_j^{V_0}|$ (as any $H \in \mathcal{H}_i$ and $H' \in \mathcal{H}_j$ cannot intersect outside $V_0$), in which case a $(1 - \epsilon_2, 1 - (\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1))$-balanced set $S'$ with respect to $\mathcal{H}_i^{V_0}$ or $\mathcal{H}_j^{V_0}$, respectively, can be obtained by Proposition 1. Suppose $S'$ was obtained w.r.t. $\mathcal{H}_i^{V_0}$ but there are some edges in $\mathcal{H}_j^{V_0}$ that are induced by $V_0 \setminus S'$. Let $S$ be the set obtained by appending to $S'$ a single vertex from each such edge (that is, vertices are added from $V_0 \setminus S'$). Then, since the number of such edges is at most $c$ by (ii) and the degree of each appended vertex is no more than $\epsilon_1 |\mathcal{H}_i^{V_0}|$ (as $S' \geq T(\mathcal{H}_i^{V_0}, \epsilon_1)$), we get

$$(1 - \epsilon_2)|\mathcal{H}_i^{V_0}| \leq |(\mathcal{H}_i^{V_0})_S| \leq |(\mathcal{H}_i^{V_0})_v| + c \cdot \epsilon_1 |\mathcal{H}_i^{V_0}| \leq (1 - \epsilon_2 + c \cdot \epsilon_1) |\mathcal{H}_i^{V_0}|.$$

\[\square\]

**Algorithm 2 PROPER-$\mathcal{L}$-COLORING-B($\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{L}$, $\chi$)**

**Input:** A $c$-intersecting hypergraph $\mathcal{H} \subseteq 2^V$, a mapping $\mathcal{L} : V \rightarrow 2^{[k]}$, and a proper partial $\mathcal{L}$-coloring $\chi : V \rightarrow [0 : k]$

**Output:** A partial proper $\mathcal{L}$-coloring $\chi : V \rightarrow [k]$ of $\mathcal{H}$

1. $V_0 := V_0(\chi)$; $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}(\chi)$; $\nu_1 := \nu_1(\mathcal{H}, \chi)$; $\nu_2 := \nu_2(\mathcal{H}, \chi)$
2. if $|\mathcal{H}_i| = 0$ for all $i \in [0 : k]$ then
   3. stop /* A proper $\mathcal{L}$-coloring has been found */
4. if $|\mathcal{H}_0| > \delta(m)$ then /* Phase I */
   5. if there is $v \in V_0$ such that $\deg_{\mathcal{H}_0}(v) \geq \epsilon_1 (\nu_1)/|\mathcal{H}_0|)$ then
      6. for each proper assignment $\chi_0(v) \in \mathcal{L}(v)$ do
         7. call PROPER-$\mathcal{L}$-COLORING-B($\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{L}$, $\chi \cup \chi_0$)
   8. else
      9. Let $S$ be a $(1 - \epsilon_2(\nu_1), 1 - (\epsilon_2(\nu_1) - (1 + c)\epsilon_1))$-balanced set computed as in Lemma 5 w.r.t. $\mathcal{H}_0$
     10. for each $v \in V_0 \setminus S$ do set $\chi_0(v) \in \mathcal{L}(v)$ arbitrarily
     11. call PROPER-$\mathcal{L}$-COLORING-B($\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{L}$, $\chi \cup \chi_0$) /* Probe */
     12. for each $H \in \mathcal{H} \setminus (\mathcal{H}_0)s$ such that $|\chi \cup \chi_0(H \setminus S)| = 1$, and proper assignment $\chi_0(H \cap S) := \chi \cup \chi_0(H \setminus S)$ do
        13. call PROPER-$\mathcal{L}$-COLORING-B($\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{L}$, $\chi \cup \chi_0$)
     14. else /* Phase II */
      15. if there is $i \in [0 : k]$ such that $1 \leq |\mathcal{H}_i| \leq \delta(m)$ then
         16. for and each proper i-simple assignment $\chi_0$ do /* Clean-up */
            17. call PROPER-$\mathcal{L}$-COLORING-B($\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{L}$, $\chi \cup \chi_0$)
     18. if there is $v \in V_0$ and $i \neq j$ such that $\deg_{\mathcal{H}_i}(v) \geq \epsilon_1 (\nu_2)$ and $\deg_{\mathcal{H}_j}(v) \geq \epsilon_1 |\mathcal{H}_j|$ then
        19. Same as in steps 16 of Phase I
     20. else
         21. Let $S$ be a $(1 - \epsilon_2(\nu_2), 1 - (\epsilon_2(\nu_2) - (1 + c)\epsilon_1(\nu_2))$-balanced set computed as in Lemma 5 w.r.t. $\mathcal{H}_i^{V_0}$ for some $i \in [k]$
            22. for each $v \in V_0 \setminus S$ do set $\chi_0(v) \in \mathcal{L}(v) \setminus \{i\}$ arbitrarily
            23. call PROPER-$\mathcal{L}$-COLORING-B($\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{L}$, $\chi \cup \chi_0$) /* Probe */
        24. for each $j \neq i$, $H \in \mathcal{H}_j$ and proper assignment $\chi_0(H \cap S) := \{j\}$ do
            25. call PROPER-$\mathcal{L}$-COLORING-B($\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{L}$, $\chi \cup \chi_0$)
     26. return

Again, the algorithm proceeds in two phases. As long as there is still a good number of edges with no assigned colors, the algorithm is still in phase I; otherwise it proceeds to phase II. In a
Claim 3 and next recursive calls, respectively. It is trivial to check that $|H| - 1$ monotonically increases in $\mu$. Hence, we obtain a recurrence on $T$ with respect to $\mu$, and a feasible extension of the current proper partial $\chi$-coloring $X$, we unassign all the vertices colored in this call and return (line 26). At the beginning of each recursive call in phase II, we preform a "clean-up" step (lines 15–17) by trying all possible $i$-simple assignments for hypergraphs $H_i$ with $|H_i|$ sufficiently small. This allows us to start phase II with $|H_0| = 0$ and to keep only hypergraphs $H_i$ whose size is above the prescribed threshold $\delta$.

As in the previous section, to analyze the running time of the algorithm, we measure the volume of a subproblem in phase I by $\mu_1 = \mu_1(H, \chi) = |H_0(\chi)|$, and in phase II by $\mu_2 = \mu_2(H, \chi)$ given by (3). The recursion stops when $|H_i(\chi)| = 0$ for all $i \in [0, k]$, or no proper extension of the current partial coloring $X$ can be found.

Given a subproblem of volume $\mu$, let $\epsilon(\mu) := \frac{(c+1)\ln(2\rho)}{\xi(\mu)}$, where $\xi(\mu)$ is the unique positive root of the equation:

$$\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon(\mu)}\right) = 2\mu.$$  \hfill (9)

Note that $\xi(\mu) > 4(c+1)\ln(2\rho) > 1$, and hence $\epsilon(\mu) < 1$, for $\mu \geq 1$, and that (for constant $\rho$ and $c$) $\xi(\mu) \approx O\left(\frac{\log \mu}{\log \log \mu}\right)$. We use in the algorithm:

$$\delta(\mu) := \frac{2(c+1)}{\epsilon(\mu)}, \quad \epsilon_1(\mu) := \frac{\epsilon(\mu)}{4(c+1)}, \quad \text{and } \epsilon_2(\mu) := \frac{\epsilon(\mu)}{2}. \hfill (10)$$

**Lemma 8** Algorithm solves problem PROPER-$\mathcal{L}$-COLORING in time $(pmn)^h$ where $h := O\left(\frac{k^2 \log \mu \log m}{\log \mu \log \log \mu}\right)$ and $H := 4(c+1)\ln(2\rho)$.

**Proof** Consider the recursion tree $T$ of the algorithm. Let $T_1$ (resp., $T_2$) be the subtree (resp., sub-forest) of $T$ belonging to phase I (resp., phase II) of the algorithm. For $\mu_1 \geq 0$ (resp., $\mu_2 \geq 0$ and $t \in [0, k]$), let use denote by $B_1(\mu_1)$ (resp., $B_2(\mu_2, t)$) be the total number of nodes in $T_1$ (resp., $T_2$) that result from a subproblem of volume $\mu_1$ (resp., $\mu_2$ with $\{|i \in [0, k]: |H_i(\chi)| \geq 1\} = t$) in phase I (resp., phase II). For each recursive call of the algorithm, we obtain a recurrence on $B_1(\mu_1)$ (resp., $B_2(\mu_2, t)$), as explained in the following. Again, we assume that $B_1(\mu_1)$ (resp., $B_2(\mu_2, t)$) is monotonically increasing in $\mu_1$ (resp., in both $\mu_2$ and $t$). Also, as before, we denote by $H_i, \mu_i, \mu_i', t$ the hypergraphs, volumes and the number of non-empty hypergraphs, in the current and next recursive calls, respectively.

**Claim 3** $B_1(\mu_1) \leq \mu_1^{\xi(\mu_1)}$.

**Proof** There are two possible locations in which a recursive call can be initiated in phase I:

**Line 7** In this case, there is a vertex $v \in V_0$ such that $\deg_{\delta(H_0)}(v) \geq \epsilon_1(\mu_1)|H_0|$, and we get $|H_0| \leq (1 - \epsilon_1(\mu_1))$, and consequently the recurrence:

$$B_1(\mu_1) \leq \rho \cdot B_1((1 - \epsilon_1(\mu_1))\mu_1) + 1$$

$$= \rho \cdot B_1\left(\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon(\mu_1)}{4(c+1)}\right)\mu_1\right) + 1,$$ \hfill (11)

since the recursion in line 7 will exclude all the edges containing $v$ from $H_0$.

**Lines 11 and 13** In this case, no large-degree vertex can be found. Then Lemma 8 implies that there is a $(1 - \epsilon_2(\mu_1), 1 - (\epsilon_2(\mu_1) - (1 + c)\epsilon_1(\mu_1)))$-balanced set $S$, with respect to $H_0$, which is found in line 8. Then we apply Lemma 4 which reduces the problem to one recursive call on the hypergraph
Moreover, in line 13, we will have phase I (in line 4), we have \( B \) calls (in lines 12-13) on the hypergraphs obtained by fixing the color of one set \( H \) in line 11, but after fixing the colors of all vertices in \( H \), in the current iteration of the loop in line 12, all vertices of which are assigned the color \( \chi \cup \chi_{f}(H \setminus S) \). Since \( |H_{0} \setminus (H_{0})_{S}| \leq \epsilon_{2}(H_{0}) \), we get the following recurrence for \( |H_{0}| > \delta(m) \geq \delta(\mu_{1}): \)

\[
B_{1}(\mu_{1}) \leq B_{1}\left(1 - (\epsilon_{2}(\mu_{1}) - (1 + c)\epsilon_{1}(\mu_{1}))\right) + \epsilon_{2}(\mu_{1}) \mu_{1} \cdot B_{1}\left(\epsilon_{2}(\mu_{1}) + \frac{c}{\delta(\mu_{1})}\right) + 1
\]

where we used the definitions of \( \delta(\mu_{1}), \epsilon_{1}(\mu_{1}), \) and \( \epsilon_{2}(\mu_{1}) \) in [10]. By the termination condition of phase I (in line 4), we have \( B_{1}(\mu_{1}) = 1 \) for \( \mu_{1} \leq \delta(m) \). We will prove by induction on \( \mu_{1} \geq 1 \) that \( B_{1}(\mu_{1}) \leq \mu_{1}^{\xi_{(\mu_{1})}} \).

Let us assume that \( \mu_{1} > \delta(m) \) and consider first recurrence [11]. Applying induction we get

\[
B_{1}(\mu_{1}) \leq \rho \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon(\mu_{1})}{4(c+1)}\right)^{\xi(\mu_{1})} + 1 = \rho \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\ln(2\rho)}{\xi(\mu_{1})}\right)^{\xi(\mu_{1})} + 1
\]

\[
\leq \mu_{1}^{\xi(\mu_{1})} \left(\rho e^{-\ln(2\rho)} + \frac{1}{\mu_{1}^{\xi(\mu_{1})}}\right) (\because 1 + x \leq e^{x} for all x)
\]

\[
\leq \mu_{1}^{\xi(\mu_{1})} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right) = \mu_{1}^{\xi(\mu_{1})} \ (\because \xi(\mu_{1}) > 1 for \mu_{1} > \delta(m) > 2).
\]

Let us consider next recurrence [12] and apply induction:

\[
B_{1}(\mu_{1}) \leq \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon(\mu_{1})}{4}\right)^{\xi(\mu_{1})} + \frac{\epsilon(\mu_{1})}{2} \mu_{1}^{\epsilon(\mu_{1})} + 1
\]

\[
= \mu_{1}^{\xi(\mu_{1})} \left(1 - \frac{c+1}{\xi(\mu_{1})}\right)^{\xi(\mu_{1})} + \frac{1}{2} \mu_{1}^{\epsilon(\mu_{1})} + 1
\]

\[
\mu_{1}^{\xi(\mu_{1})} \left(e^{-(c+1)\ln(2\rho)} + \frac{1}{\mu_{1}^{\xi(\mu_{1})}}\right) (\because \epsilon(\mu_{1})^{\xi(\mu_{1})} = \frac{1}{2\mu_{1}} \text{ by } [9])
\]

\[
\leq \mu_{1}^{\xi(\mu_{1})} \left(\frac{1}{2\rho} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \leq \mu_{1}^{\xi(\mu_{1})} \ (\because \xi(\mu_{1}) > 1 for \mu_{1} > \delta(m) > 2 \text{ and } \rho > 2).
\]

**Claim 4** \( B_{2}(\mu_{2}, t) \leq (n\rho)^{2k(m^2)} \cdot (t+1) \mu_{2}^{\xi(\mu_{2})} \).

**Proof** There are three possible locations in which a recursive call can be initiated in phase II:

**Line 17** Since \( t' \leq t-1 \), as we remove at least one hypergraph \( \mathcal{H}_{i} \), by trying all \( i \)-simple assignments whose number is at most \( (|V_{0}|\rho)^2 \mathcal{H}_{i} \), where \( |\mathcal{H}_{i}| \leq \delta(m) \leq \delta(m^k) \) for \( i = 0 \) and \( |\mathcal{H}_{i}| \leq \delta(m^k) \) for \( i \in [k] \), we get the recurrence

\[
B_{2}(\mu_{2}, t) \leq (pn)^{2k(m^2)} B_{2}(\mu_{2}, t-1) + 1. \quad (13)
\]

**Line 19** In this case, there are \( v \in V_{0} \) and \( i \neq j \) such that \( \deg_{\mathcal{H}_{i}}(v) \geq \epsilon_{1}(\mu_{2})|\mathcal{H}_{i}| \) and \( \deg_{\mathcal{H}_{j}}(v) \geq \epsilon_{1}(\mu_{2})|\mathcal{H}_{j}| \) then the algorithm proceeds similar to lines 17 and we get the recurrence:

\[
B_{2}(\mu_{2}, t) \leq \rho \cdot B_{2}(1 - \epsilon_{1}(\mu_{2}), \mu_{2}, t) + 1
\]

\[
\leq \rho \cdot B_{2}\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon(\mu_{2})}{4(c+1)}, \mu_{2}, t\right) + 1. \quad (14)
\]
since we recurse (in the line similar to line (7)) on a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}'$ that excludes either all the edges containing $v$ from $\mathcal{H}'_i$, if we set the color of $v$ to $j$, or all those containing $v$ from $\mathcal{H}'_j$ if we set the color of $v$ to $i$ (or both, if we set the color of $v$ to $\ell \notin \{i,j\}$). Note that, in both cases, if $|\mathcal{H}'| = 0$, then $\mu'_2 \leq \frac{\mu_2}{\delta(m^2)}$ and hence $\mu'_2 \leq (1 - \epsilon_1(\mu_2))\mu_2$ (as $\frac{1}{\delta(m^2)} < \frac{1}{2} < 1 - \epsilon_2(\mu_2)$).

**Lines 24 and 29:** In this case, there is no large-degree vertex. Then Lemma 7 implies that there is a $(1 - \epsilon_2(\mu_2), 1 - (\epsilon_2(\mu_2) - (1 + c)\epsilon_1(\mu_2)))$-balanced set $S$, with respect to some $\mathcal{H}_i$, which is found in line 29. Then we apply Lemma 5 which reduces the problem to one recursive call on the hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ in line 23 but after fixing the colors of all vertices in $V_0 \setminus S$, and at most $\sum_{j \neq i} |\mathcal{H}_j| \leq \mu_2$ recursive calls (in lines 23 25) on the hypergraphs obtained by fixing the color of one set $H \cap S$, for some $H \in \mathcal{H}_j$ and $j \neq i$. Note that $S$ satisfies: $(1 - \epsilon_2(\mu_2))|H_i| \leq |(\mathcal{H}_i)_{S \cup (V_0 \setminus V_0)}| \leq (1 - (\epsilon_2(\mu_2) - (1 + c)\epsilon_1(\mu_2)))|H_i|$. In particular, $|\mathcal{H}_i(V_0 \setminus S)| \geq (|\mathcal{H}_i(V_0 \setminus S)| \leq 0$ will receive at least one color different from $i$, we get $\mu'_2 \leq \mu_2(1 - (e_2(\mu_2) - (1 + c)\epsilon_1(\mu_2)))$. Moreover, in line 24 we will have $|\mathcal{H}_i| \leq |(\mathcal{H}_i)_{S \cup (V_0 \setminus V_0)}| + c$, as (by 11) all but at most $c$ edges in $(\mathcal{H}_i)_{S \cup (V_0 \setminus V_0)}$ have non-empty intersections with the set $H \cap S$. In the current iteration of the loop in line 24 all vertgices of which are assigned the color $j \neq i$. Since $|\mathcal{H}_i \setminus (\mathcal{H}_i)_{S \cup (V_0 \setminus V_0)}| \leq \epsilon_2(\mu_2)|\mathcal{H}_i|$, we get the following recurrence for $|\mathcal{H}_i| > \delta(m^k) \geq \mu_2$:

$$B_2(\mu_2,t) \leq B_2((1 - \epsilon_2(\mu_2) - (1 + c)\epsilon_1(\mu_2))\mu_2,t) + \mu_2 \cdot B_2\left((\epsilon_2(\mu_2) + \frac{c}{\mu_2(1 - \epsilon_2(\mu_2))})\mu_2,t\right) + 1$$

(15)

(Note that, if $|\mathcal{H}_i| = 0$, then $\mu'_2 \leq \frac{\mu_2}{\delta(m^2)}$ and hence $\mu'_2 \leq (1 - \epsilon_2(\mu_2))\mu_2$ as $\frac{1}{\delta(m^2)} < \frac{1}{2} < 1 - \epsilon_2(\mu_2).$)

By definition, $B_2(\mu_2,0) = 1$, for $\mu_2 \geq 0$. We will prove by induction on $t = 1, \ldots, k$ and $\mu_2 \geq 1$ that $B_2(\mu_2,t) \leq P_{t+1}\mu_2^{\xi(\mu_2)}$, where $P_{t+1} := R_{t+1}^{k+1} - 1$ and $R := (\rho n)^{2k}$.) We consider 2 cases:

Case 1. $\mu_2 = 1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \delta(m^k)$: Then $|\mathcal{H}_i(\chi)| \leq \delta(m^k)$ for all $\mathcal{H}_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$ and recurrence 13 applies iteratively until we get $t = 0$. By the recurrence, $B_2(\mu_2,1) \leq R + 1 \leq P_{t+1}\mu_2^{\log_2 \mu_2}$, giving the base case ($t = 1$), and by induction on $t$,

$$B_2(\mu_2,t) \leq R \left(P_t\mu_2^{\log_2 \mu_2}\right) + 1 \leq \mu_2^{\log_2 \mu_2} (RP_t + 1) = P_{t+1}\mu_2^{\log_2 \mu_2}.$$

Case 2. $\mu_2 > \delta(m^k)$: Let us note first that if the recurrence in 13 applies then the same induction proof (on $t$) in case 1 gives the required bound. Let us note that next recurrence 13 is identical to 11, but with $B_1(\mu_1)$ replaced by $B_2(\mu_2,t)$ and $\mu_1$ replaced by $\mu_2$. Thus, essentially, the same inductive proof in Claim 3 gives that $B_2(\mu_2,t) \leq P_{t+1}\mu_2^{\xi(\mu_2)}$ in this case (as $P_{t+1} > 1$).

Finally, let us consider next recurrence 14 and apply induction (on $\mu_2$):

$$B_2(\mu_2,t) \leq P_{t+1}\left((1 - \epsilon_2(\mu_2) - (1 + c)\epsilon_1(\mu_2))\mu_2 + \mu_2\epsilon_2(\mu_2)\right) + 1$$

$$= P_{t+1}\mu_2^{\xi(\mu_2)}\left((1 - (c + 1)\ln(2))\mu_2^{\xi(\mu_2)} + \mu_2\mu_2^{\xi(\mu_2)} + \frac{1}{P_{t+1}\mu_2^{\xi(\mu_2)}}\right)$$

$$\leq P_{t+1}\mu_2^{\xi(\mu_2)}\left(e^{-(c + 1)\ln(2)} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{P_{t+1}\mu_2^{\xi(\mu_2)}}\right)$$

$$\leq P_{t+1}\mu_2^{\xi(\mu_2)}\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8}\right) < P_{t+1}\mu_2^{\xi(\mu_2)}$$

$\because \xi(\mu_2) > 1$, $P_{t+1} \geq 4$ for $\mu_2 > \delta(m^k) > 2$ and $\rho \geq 2$.

□

Using the bounds $\mu_1 \leq m$, $\mu_2 \leq \delta(m^k) \left(m\right)^k$, and $\xi(m^k) \leq k \cdot \xi(m)$, we get $B_1(\mu_1) \leq m \xi(m)$ and $B_2(\mu_2,k + 1) \leq (\rho n)^{2k+1} \left(m^{k+1}\right)^k \cdot \left(m\right)^k \leq \left(m\right)^k \cdot \left(m\right)^k \cdot \left(m\right)^k \leq \left(m\right)^k \cdot \left(m\right)^k$. Putting these bounds together, and noting that $\xi(m) \approx \frac{\log_2 (2m)}{\log_2 (\log_2 (2m))}$, where $H = 4(c + 1)\ln(2\rho)$, the lemma follows.

□
Lemma 9 The depth of the recursion tree is $O(h \log_m \frac{m}{\log(2p)})$, where $h := O(k^2 \log \mu \frac{m}{\log H \log \mu \frac{m}{H}})$ and $H := 4(c + 1) \ln(2\rho)$.

Proof Let $d_1(\mu_1)$ (resp., $d_2(\mu_2, t)$) denote the depth of the recursion subtree (resp., sub-forest) in phase I (resp., phase II), when the volume of the subproblem is $\mu_1$ (resp., $\mu_2$ and $\{|i \in [0 : k] : |\mathcal{H}_i(\chi)| \geq 1\} = t$). Then, corresponding to recurrences (11), (12), (13), (14) and (15), we have the following recurrences on the depth:

\begin{align}
    d_1(\mu_1) & \leq d_1 \left( \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon(\mu_1)}{4(c + 1)} \right) \mu_1 \right) + 1, \quad (16) \\
    d_1(\mu_1) & \leq d_1 \left( \max \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon(\mu_1)}{4} \right) \mu_1, \epsilon(\mu_1) \right\} \right) + 1, \quad (17) \\
    d_2(\mu_2, t) & \leq d_2(\mu_2, t - 1) + 1, \quad (18) \\
    d_2(\mu_2, t) & \leq d_2 \left( \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon(\mu_2)}{4(c + 1)} \right) \mu_2, t \right) + 1, \quad (19) \\
    d_2(\mu_2, t) & \leq d_2 \left( \max \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon(\mu_2)}{4} \right) \mu_2, \epsilon(\mu_2) \right\}, t \right) + 1. \quad (20)
\end{align}

Once $\mu_1$ (resp., $\mu_2$) drops to $\delta(m)$ (resp., $\delta(m^k)$), phase I ends (resp., phase II ends after at most $k + 1$ more recursive calls). Thus, the above recurrences imply that $d(\mu_1) \leq \log_{\alpha(m)} \frac{\mu_1}{\delta(m)} + 1$ (resp., $d(\mu_2, k + 1) \leq \log_{\alpha} \frac{\mu_2}{\delta(m^k)} + k + 1$), where $\alpha = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{4(c + 1)}}$ (resp., $\alpha = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{4(c + 1)}}$). It follows that the overall depth of the recursion tree is $O\left(\frac{\epsilon^2 \log m}{\log(2p)}\right)$.

Remark 1 If we do not insist on a recursion tree with polylogarithmic depth, then Algorithm 3 can be simplified by using $S := V_0 \setminus \{v\}$ for a low-degree vertex $v \in V_0$ in lines 3 and 27. It can be seen from the analysis above that a weaker recursion will be obtained with the first term in (12) and (15) replaced by $B_1(\mu_1 - \delta)$ and $B_2(\mu_2 - \delta, t)$, respectively. The resulting solution will still be $(\alpha m)^{\epsilon \log m}$ (assuming all other parameters are fixed), but the depth of the recursion tree can be linear in $m$.
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