The utility of nerve conduction studies in patients with diabetic polyneuropathy
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Summary Background. Neuropathy is one of the most common, debilitating complications of diabetes mellitus, often neglected in routine diabetes treatment. It is the single most common reason for foot ulcers and amputations and is responsible for severe sensory abnormalities and reduced quality of life among thousands of diabetic patients.

Objectives. The aim of our study was to establish the prevalence and type of peripheral neuropathy in diabetic patients by means of nerve conduction studies (NCSs) in order to encourage primary care physicians to look for any signs of sensory or motor abnormalities early in the management of diabetes, thus preventing irreversible nerve damage.

Material and methods. A total of 21 patients with type 2 diabetes (12 men and 9 women; mean age, 60.8 ± 8.9 years) presenting with neuropathy symptoms were enrolled into the study. Sensory and motor NCSs were conducted in the ulnar, median, peroneal, sural, and tibial nerves.

Results. Sensory axonal polyneuropathy was diagnosed in 7 patients; sensory and motor polyneuropathy, in 2 patients; and carpal tunnel syndrome, in 3 patients. In the remaining patients, the results of NCSs were within the reference range.

Conclusions. The study revealed that 12 patients (57%) had nerve conduction abnormalities suggesting peripheral nerve changes and polyneuropathy. In addition, our study confirmed that NCSs are useful in assessing the prevalence of neuropathy and differentiating between axonal and demyelination polyneuropathies and various types of mononeuropathies in diabetic patients and should be part of routine primary care protocols in the management of diabetes.
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Background

Diabetic neuropathy is the most common neurological complication of diabetes, affecting up to 50% of all diabetic patients worldwide. Research on the population in Rochester found that 1.3% of individuals had diabetes, of which 54% presented with symptoms of polyneuropathy (7 in every 1,000 persons). Diabetic neuropathy is a significant problem for primary care practitioners. According to studies of Italian primary care practitioners, its prevalence in a population over 55 years of age reaches 1%. The prevalence rate increases with age and duration of the disease [1, 2].

Sensorimotor peripheral polyneuropathy is the most common type of diabetic neuropathy. Comorbidities often include autonomic neuropathy. The onset of the disease is usually insidious.
Patients with diabetic neuropathy typically have symmetric numbness, tingling, and pain (stocking and glove distribution). The sensory symptoms include those linked to thick fibers, such as a decrease of tendon reflexes and vibratory sensation in the leg.

Moderate and severe diabetic neuropathy is associated with the risk of weakness in the feet that spreads proximally. It is the single most common reason for foot ulcerations and amputations and is responsible for severe sensory abnormalities and reduced quality of life in thousands of diabetic patients [3–5]. Early detection and treatment is the key to alleviating symptoms of neuropathy and stopping the progression of the disease, which prevents permanent and irreversible nerve damage [6].

Routine monitoring of diabetic patients is often limited to a regular measurement of blood glucose levels and cardiovascular checkup, often neglecting the follow-up of other possibly affected systems such as the peripheral nervous system. Diabetic patients are referred to neurological clinics only when neuropathy symptoms are already severe and difficult to manage [7].

A nerve conduction study (NCS) is a reliable, noninvasive, and highly reproducible method that is routinely used in the diagnosis of peripheral nerve disorders. The utility of NCSs for detecting peripheral nerve changes has been shown in numerous disorders, allowing for the implementation of relevant treatment protocols and prevention of further damage. Abnormalities in the sensory fibers occur in 89% of patients with clinical symptoms of polyneuropathy, while those in the motor fibers – in 78% to 80% of the patients. Axonal injury occurs first, while segmental demyelination is secondary. A reduction in nerve conduction velocity by more than 20% to 30% of the normal value is caused by the coexistent demyelination and metabolic factors [8, 9].

**Objectives**

The aim of our study was to establish the prevalence and type of peripheral neuropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes using the NCS in order to encourage primary care physicians to examine any signs of sensory or motor abnormalities early in the diabetes treatment to prevent irreversible and debilitating nerve damage.

**Material and methods**

**Subjects**

The study included 21 patients with type 2 diabetes (12 men and 9 women; mean age, 60.8 ± 8.9 years). The duration of type 2 diabetes ranged from 7 weeks to 25 years. Patients did not have any other risk factors for neuropathy. All patients reported symptoms suggesting neuropathy, such as dysesthesia, numbness, or sensory loss in the feet or hands (or both).

A neurological examination revealed abnormalities in 12 patients, including a decrease in ankle jerks or vibratory sensation in the legs. Additionally, in 6 of these patients, sensory loss was observed, with symmetrical glove and stocking distribution.

All patients provided written informed consent to participate in the study, and the study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee.

**Stimulation technique**

NCSs were conducted according to standard procedures using the Viking Quest device (Nicolet Biomedical Incorporated, Madison, WI, USA).

Sensory NCSs (SNCSs) were conducted in the ulnar, median, sural, and peroneal nerves. A median sensory NCS was recorded with wire electrodes from the second digit antidromically with a standard distance of 13 cm, and the fifth digit with a standard distance of 12 cm. In the lower extremities, the antidromic method was used to stimulate the sural nerve laterally to the midline of the calf muscles and the superficial peroneal nerve with stimulation electrodes placed against the anterior edge of the fibula.

Motor NCSs (MNCSs) were conducted in the ulnar, median, peroneal, and tibial nerves with a single stimulus and with a stimulation rate of 1 Hz to obtain the F wave. In the upper extremities, stimulations were conducted over the left ulnar and right median nerves. In the lower extremities, stimulations were conducted over the right peroneal and left tibial nerves. The intensity of the current and the site of stimulation were set according to the standard protocol.

Supramaximal, constant-current bipolar stimulation was conducted using a bar electrode and ring electrodes. SNCSs were conducted using a stimulation rate of 2 Hz, while MNCSs – using single, rectangular pulses. The stimulus duration was 0.2 ms.

**Data analyses**

Only descriptive statistics were used in the study.

**Results**

**Clinical examination**

All patients reported symptoms suggesting neuropathy, such as dysesthesia, numbness, or sensory loss in the feet or hands (or both). Two patients also reported distal muscle limb weakness.

A neurological examination revealed abnormalities in 21 patients, such as a decrease in ankle jerks or vibratory sensation in the legs (12 patients), sensory loss in the distal parts of the limbs (7 patients), or weakness and atrophy of the distal muscles of the limbs (2 patients).

Laboratory tests showed normal levels of creatinine phosphokinase and hepatic and thyroid enzymes.

**Electrophysiological examination**

A reduction in the amplitude of sensory nerve action potentials (SNAPs) and compound muscle action potentials in the lower limbs was the most common and was more advanced than the generally normal or moderate reduction in sensory and motor fiber conduction velocity. The lack of excitability in single nerves was diagnosed in 3 patients. We confirmed the lack of SNAPs in the sural nerve in 1 patient and in the superficial peroneal nerve in 2 patients. None of the patients showed signs of conduction block.

Sensory axonal polyneuropathy was diagnosed in 7 patients; sensory and motor polyneuropathy, in 2 patients; and compression mononeuropathies such as carpal tunnel syndrome, in 3 patients (Tab. 1). In the remaining patients, the results of the SNCSs and MNCSs were within the reference range.

**Discussion**

Our study revealed that 12 patients (57%) had nerve conduction abnormalities suggesting peripheral nerve changes and polyneuropathy. In the remaining patients, despite clinical manifestations of neuropathy, the NCS did not show any abnormalities, suggesting that the underlying nerve changes present in these patients were likely limited to small fibers, undetectable by standard NCSs [6, 8].
Diabetic neuropathy is characterized by a decrease in nerve conduction velocity and nerve action potential due to axonal loss and fiber demyelination [10, 11]. NCSs are a helpful tool in the early diagnosis of peripheral neuropathies in diabetic patients. A large cohort study, conducted on several thousands of diabetic patients, indicated that an NCS is the single most reliable predictor of neuropathy risks and could be used as a valuable risk assessment tool differentiating patients according to the risk of neuropathy development, allowing for the implementation of relevant treatment protocols. The final type of neuropathy is also influenced by genetic factors and the age of patients, which condition individual sensitivity to tissue injury, depending on hyperglycemia or hyperinsulinemia [6].

NCSs are very accurate in the early diagnosis of large fiber focal lesions and are particularly useful in a differential diagnosis between axonal and demyelinating neuropathies, both of which require different management and treatment protocols [8]. In our study, patients with detected neurographic abnormalities showed signs of axonal (mainly sensory) polyneuropathy or carpal tunnel syndrome (or both), confirming the results of clinical observations and supporting the role of an NCS in the diagnosis of neuropathy. However, we were unable to detect any nerve conduction changes in the remaining patients. Based on our previous studies and reports by other authors, it might be speculated that those patients suffered from small-fiber sensory neuropathy that requires a collection of nerve biopsies and a subsequent histological examination in order to establish a proper diagnosis [12, 13].

### Limitations of the study

Our study also indicated that NCSs have their limitations. In particular, they fail to detect small-fiber neuropathies, in which case additional diagnostic techniques such as quantitative sensory testing and skin biopsy with quantification of somatic intraepidermal nerve fibers should be used. Because the study group was small, the clinical and electrophysiological correlations were not assessed. Our findings are preliminary.

### Conclusions

Our results showed that an NCS is a reliable tool in establishing the diagnosis and determining the type of neuropathy, differentiating between axonal and demyelinating polyneuropathies and different types of mononeuropathies. Based on our results, we believe that NCSs, despite their limitations, should be a part of routine primary care protocols in the management of diabetes. We strongly recommend that all primary care physicians dealing with diabetic patients should monitor the signs and symptoms of nervous system abnormalities and include NCS as part of early diabetes management.

| Patient No. | Nerve distribution of abnormalities | Type of abnormalities | Results |
|-------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| 1           | R median, L ulnar, R median, L sural, R superficial peroneal | ↓ CNAP amplitude, ↓ NCV finger – wrist segment | ↑ DML carpal tunnel syndrome |
| 12          | L ulnar, R median, L sural, R superficial peroneal | ↓ CNAP amplitude | none sensory, axonal polyneuropathy |
| 4           | R median, L ulnar, R superficial peroneal | ↓ CNAP amplitude, ↓ NCV finger – wrist segment | ↑ DML carpal tunnel syndrome |
| 6           | R median, L sural, R superficial peroneal | ↓ CNAP amplitude | none sensory, axonal polyneuropathy |
| 9           | R median, L sural, R superficial peroneal | ↓ CNAP amplitude, ↓ NCV finger – wrist segment | ↑ DML carpal tunnel syndrome |
| 10          | R median, L sural, R superficial peroneal | ↓ CNAP amplitude | none sensory, axonal polyneuropathy |
| 11          | L ulnar, R median, L sural, R superficial peroneal | ↓ CNAP amplitude | none sensory, axonal polyneuropathy |
| 13          | R median, L sural, R superficial peroneal | ↓ CNAP amplitude | none sensory, axonal polyneuropathy |
| 15          | R median, L sural, R superficial peroneal | ↓ CNAP amplitude | none sensory, axonal polyneuropathy |
| 16          | L ulnar, R median, R superficial peroneal | ↓ CNAP amplitude | none sensory, axonal polyneuropathy |
| 18          | L ulnar, R median, L sural, L tibial, R peroneal, R superficial peroneal | ↓ CNAP amplitude unobtainable | ↓ CMAP amplitude, ↓ NCV ↑ DML sensory and motor polyneuropathy |
| 20          | L ulnar, R median, L sural, R peroneal, R superficial peroneal | ↓ CNAP amplitude unobtainable | ↓ CMAP amplitude, ↓ NCV ↑ DML sensory and motor polyneuropathy |

↓ – decrease; ↑ – prolongation; L – left; R – right; SNCS – sensory nerve conduction study; MNCS – motor nerve conduction study; CNAP – compound nerve action potential; NCV – nerve conduction velocity in meters/second; DML – distal motor latency in milliseconds; CMAP – compound muscle action potential.
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