Subsurface Chlorophyll-a Maxima in the Southern Ocean

Kimberlee Baldry1*, Peter G. Strutton1,2, Nicole A. Hill1 and Philip W. Boyd1

1 Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, College of Sciences and Engineering, University of Tasmania, Hobart, TAS, Australia, 2 Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Climate Extremes, University of Tasmania, Hobart, TAS, Australia

Our review of the literature has revealed Southern Ocean subsurface chlorophyll-a maxima (SCMs) to be an annually recurrent feature throughout the basin. Most of these SCMs are different to the “typical” SCMs observed in the tropics, which are maintained by the nutrient-light co-limitation of phytoplankton growth. Rather, we have found that SCMs are formed by other processes including diatom aggregation, sea-ice retreat, eddies, subduction events and photo-acclimation. At a local scale, these SCMs can facilitate increased downward carbon export, primary production and food availability for higher trophic levels. A large proportion of Southern Ocean SCMs appear to be sustained by aggregates of large diatoms that form under severe iron limitation in the seasonal mixed layer. The ability of large diatoms to regulate their buoyancy must play a role in the development of these SCMs as they appear to increase buoyancy at the SCM and thus avoid further sinking with the decline of the spring bloom or naturally iron fertilized blooms. These SCMs remain largely unobserved by satellites and it seems that ship-based sampling may not be able to fully capture their biomass. In the context of the Marine Ecosystem Assessment of the Southern Ocean it is important to consider that this phenomenon is missing in our current understanding of Southern Ocean ecology and future climate scenarios. The broader implications of SCMs for Southern Ocean ecology will only be revealed through basin-wide observations. This can only be achieved through an integrated observation system that is able to harness the detailed information encapsulated in ship-based sampling, with the increased observational capacity of fluorometers on autonomous platforms such as those in the biogeochemical Argo (BGC-Argo) and the Marine Mammals Exploring the Ocean Pole to pole (MEOP) programs. The main challenge toward achieving this is the uncertainties associated with translating fluorescence to chlorophyll-a concentrations. Until this translation is resolved, the reporting of subsurface fluorescence maxima (SFMs) in place of SCMs could still yield valuable insights with careful interpretation.
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INTRODUCTION

Southern Ocean phytoplankton are essential for Antarctic food-webs and the regulation of global climate through the marine carbon cycle (Deppeler and Davidson, 2017). Here, phytoplankton blooms are dominated by large silica-forming diatoms which have unique physiology that is adapted to the low iron, light and temperature conditions they live in
Processes responsible for their formation and the role of SCMs in their observation, their reported occurrences, the ecological implications of this deep phytoplankton biomass, which are not captured in satellite chlorophyll-α composites, are further discussed to inform the Marine Ecosystem Assessment of the Southern Ocean (MEASO).

This Review is timely in the wake of the increased sampling of chlorophyll-α by autonomous platforms such as those in the biogeochemical Argo (BGC-Argo) and the Marine Mammals Exploring the Ocean Pole to pole (MEOP) programs in the Southern Ocean. These programs have the capacity to fill the limited spatiotemporal resolutions of ship-based sampling (Figure 2; Guinet et al., 2013; Claustre et al., 2020). From this new wealth of data, the large-scale processes that control the formation of Southern Ocean SCMs can be delineated, complementing the detailed understanding of the phenomenon presented in this Review.

DEFINING SOUTHERN OCEAN SCMs

We define an SCM by the existence of a local maximum in chlorophyll-α concentrations (i.e., chlorophyll-α concentration in subsurface > chlorophyll-α concentration at surface). An SCM should form over spatial scales greater than one meter and be maintained on the timescales of a day or longer. This definition is adapted from Cullen (2015), who defines SCMs as subsurface chlorophyll-α maximum layers which are maintained by ecological processes. We do not follow this exact definition, since we have found some SCMs in the Southern Ocean that may be maintained by purely physical processes (see Along-shelf subduction). See Cullen (2015) for further discussion on terminology and its variants.

METHODS FOR OBSERVATION

Seawater Samples From Ships

Most Southern Ocean ship-based studies measure ex situ chlorophyll-α by the direct determination of extracted chlorophyll-α pigments from vertical seawater samples. SCMs are then identified subjectively, by plotting depth profiles of chlorophyll-α (Wright and van den Enden, 2000; Parslow et al., 2001; Gomi et al., 2007; Whitehouse et al., 2008; Tripathy et al., 2015). Usually this is feasible based on the experience of the investigator as the presence (or absence) of an SCM is often visually clear.

In these studies, seawater samples are filtered through glass fiber filters to remove phytoplankton cells. Pigments are subsequently extracted from these cells using an organic solvent for measurement in the laboratory (Valente et al., 2016; Davies et al., 2018) and analyzed by either high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Shoaf, 1978; Valente et al., 2016), fluorometry or spectrophotometry (Yentsch and Menzel, 1963; Holm-Hansen et al., 1965; Strickland and Parsons, 1972;
Zeng and Li, 2015). These methods relate the absorption spectra of extracted pigments to that of chlorophyll-a. The HPLC method is the most accurate method, as pigments are first separated through a chromatographic column (Figure 3). Pigments are not separated before analysis in fluorometry or spectrophotometry and thus chlorophyll-a measurements are subjected to the additive effects of pigments that absorb (or emit) at similar wavelengths (Davies et al., 2018). Fluorometry is the method that has been most widely used in the Southern Ocean. This method often significantly underestimates chlorophyll-a concentrations which is reportedly due to the presence of chlorophyll-b pigments (Daemen, 1986; Murray et al., 1986; Pinckney et al., 1994; Davies et al., 2018). This underestimation has no consequence for detecting SCMs and can be corrected for by regressing measurements against coincidental HPLC derived chlorophyll-a.

**In situ Fluorometers**

Recent Southern Ocean studies have used *in situ* deployments of fluorometers on elephant seals and BGC-Argo floats to observe SCMs over larger spatial scales. These studies have had to adapt to automatically detecting SCMs using preselected criteria to accommodate a new wealth of data (Grenier et al., 2015; Carranza et al., 2018). Supervised classification methods applied to chlorophyll fluorescence have offered a solution for studying thousands of chlorophyll fluorescence profiles and can provide an added advantage of distinguishing between different types of SCMs. They can do this by automatically identifying characteristic features of a vertical structure using prescribed algorithms. These methods have only been applied in two Southern Ocean studies (Grenier et al., 2015; Carranza et al., 2018), the Mediterranean Sea (Lavigne et al., 2015; Barbieux et al., 2019) and the Arctic (Ardyna et al., 2013).

**In situ** fluorometers measure chlorophyll fluorescence from live phytoplankton cells. As measurements are not performed *ex situ* on extracted pigments, yields of chlorophyll fluorescence (i.e., the ratio of emitted light to absorbed light) become important by controlling fluorescence to chlorophyll-a concentration ratios (Falkowski and Kolber, 1995; Chekalyuk and Hafez, 2011; Ostrowska et al., 2012). Shifts in phytoplankton communities, photophysiological state or nutrient regimes lead to variations in chlorophyll fluorescence yields of up to 7-fold over the global ocean (Behrenfeld et al., 2009; Cheah et al., 2013; Roesler et al., 2017). In addition, a physiological process whereby phytoplankton under light stress divert energy from their photosynthetic systems, called non-photochemical quenching (NPQ), introduces a further challenge for determining chlorophyll-a concentration by decreasing chlorophyll fluorescence yield by up to 100% in surface measurements (Behrenfeld et al., 2009; Doblin et al., 2011; Thomalla et al., 2018; Xing et al., 2018; Schallenberg et al., 2019).

Correcting fluorescence-derived chlorophyll-a in the Southern Ocean for observed variations in chlorophyll...
fluorescence yields is done by applying a regional scaling known as the Roesler factor (Haëntjens et al., 2017; Roesler et al., 2017). The application of a single scaling factor to the entire Southern Ocean does not seem viable considering the recent observations from Schallenberg et al. (2019) which show large changes in chlorophyll fluorescence yields across the Sub-Antarctic front. The use of satellite-derived chlorophyll-a as a surface reference to correct fluorescence-derived chlorophyll-a may be a more promising approach, although consideration that the two measurements are made on vastly different spatiotemporal scales is needed (Guinet et al., 2013). This approach comes possibly at the expense of accuracy, as the accuracy of Southern Ocean satellite-derived chlorophyll-a algorithms has repeatedly been questioned (Figure 3; Mitchell and Holm-Hansen, 1991; Sullivan et al., 1993; Dierssen, 2010; Johnson et al., 2013).

NPQ decreases chlorophyll fluorescence yield toward the surface, causing a subsurface fluorescence maximum (SFM) measured by a fluorometer to appear where an SCM may not exist (Falkowski and Kolber, 1995; Biermann et al., 2015; Carranza et al., 2018; Thomalla et al., 2018; Xing et al., 2018). In the Southern Ocean, NPQ is widespread likely due to iron limitation and high summertime light levels which have led to communities lacking the nutrients to photosynthesize when light is readily available (Roesler et al., 2017; Strzepek et al., 2019; Schallenberg et al., 2020). Many methods have been suggested to correct surface fluorescence in the presence of NPQ, but an evaluation of these corrections across the Southern Ocean has not been performed. NPQ corrections have been shown to perform differently and most fail to accurately correct fluorescence when an SCM is present in the Southern Ocean (Carranza et al., 2018;
FIGURE 3 | A schematic of (A) the different methods of measuring chlorophyll-a in the ocean discussed within this review and (B) a representation of differences in precision and accuracy for these methods. The gray concentric circles illustrate targets, where the center is indicative of an accurate and precise measurement, with the red dots illustrating the differences between accuracy and precision. Four targets are presented to illustrate varying degrees of precision and accuracy.

As a consequence of variable chlorophyll fluorescence yields, Southern Ocean in-situ fluorescence measurements are not inter-comparable with measurements derived from extracted chlorophyll-a (i.e., HPLC, fluorometry, spectrophotometry) and are best interpreted in terms of subsurface fluorescence until disparities are quantified and better understood (Carranza et al., 2018). From an alternative perspective, chlorophyll fluorescence has the potential to provide further insight on the photophysiological state of phytoplankton and could be used to distinguish the amount of light energy being used in primary production. This would allow a more accurate representation of basin primary production in ecosystem assessments, rather than assuming a constant photosynthetic efficiency per unit chlorophyll-a for in estimates (Behrenfeld et al., 2009; Boss et al., 2018; Schallenberg et al., 2019, 2020).

The type of supervised classification method chosen, the post-processing method for fluorescence measurements (e.g., despiking, smoothing), sampling resolution and measurement error are all expected to affect the detection of SCMs (Grenier et al., 2015; Carranza et al., 2018). To remain comparable, future studies should clearly consider the effect of these variables on their results. Furthermore, targeted study on the accuracy of fluorometers in the Southern Ocean is needed to assess the impacts of chlorophyll fluorescence yields and NPQ on ecosystem assessments of primary production, downward carbon flux and food-webs made through large scale deployments of fluorometers.

REPORTED OCCURRENCES

Southern Ocean SCMs were suggested to be both prevalent and annually recurrent by two early studies. Parslow et al. (2001) reported the first evidence of annually recurrent SCMs south of Australia around the Polar front, along the WOCE SR3 line (140°E). Here, SCMs form in late spring around 50 m and deepen to 100 m by early autumn (Parslow et al., 2001). Following this Holm-Hansen et al. (2005) reported geographically wide occurrences of SCMs in the Southern Ocean by synthesizing ship-based studies. The authors associated SCMs with low surface chlorophyll, iron limitation and with the temperature minimum layer of Antarctic waters.

A more recent study by Carranza et al. (2018) confirmed both the earlier reported annually recurrent and widespread SCMs. Their study identified SCMs using SFMs collected by an array of in situ fluorometers on BGC-Argo floats and elephant seals. SFMs begin to form in late-spring and decline from late-summer to early-autumn. At the peak of summer SFMs are detected in 60% of the dataset which is constrained mostly to the open Southern Ocean around the Polar front. These SFMs appear to show synergies with episodic wind events, forming only during calm conditions, and may coincide with increased phytoplankton biomass. This study demonstrated the capabilities of fluorometers to provide a basin-wide view of SCMs from the interpretation of SFMs, despite the widespread day-time NPQ of fluorescence, by considering only measurements made at night.

In addition to SCMs in the vicinity of the Polar front (Kopczynska et al., 2001; Parslow et al., 2001; Armand et al., 2008a; Westwood et al., 2011; Tripathy et al., 2015; Rembauville et al., 2016a), ex situ measurements have found summer SCMs around the continental slopes of Antarctica and Southern Ocean islands (Garibotti et al., 2003; Holm-Hansen and Hewes, 2004; Demidov et al., 2007; Armand et al., 2008a; Whitehouse et al., 2008; Erickson et al., 2016), around eddies (Clementson et al., 2018; Xing et al., 2018). The comparison of daytime corrected fluorescence with night has been used in studies as a good test of the performance of an NPQ correction (Carranza et al., 2018; Thomalla et al., 2018; Xing et al., 2018). However, this test could be subject to the effects of diurnal controls on ‘real’ SCM formation which are largely unknown, as well as differences in-situ sampling locations between day and night (Cullen, 2015; Carranza et al., 2018).

Thomalla et al., 2018; Xing et al., 2018). The comparison of daytime corrected fluorescence with night has been used in studies as a good test of the performance of an NPQ correction (Carranza et al., 2018; Thomalla et al., 2018; Xing et al., 2018). However, this test could be subject to the effects of diurnal controls on ‘real’ SCM formation which are largely unknown, as well as differences in-situ sampling locations between day and night (Cullen, 2015; Carranza et al., 2018).
1998; Daly et al., 2001) and in the Seasonal Ice Zone (Mikaelyan and Belyaeva, 1995; Bathmann et al., 1997; Cailliau et al., 1997; Wright and van den Enden, 2000; Gomi et al., 2007; de Villiers et al., 2015). During summer, a recurrent and notable absence of SCMs has only been reported in the permanently open ocean zone of Antarctic waters (Cailliau et al., 1997; Gomi et al., 2007; Rigual-Hernández et al., 2015a,b) and the Sub-Antarctic zone south of Australia (Figure 4; Clementson et al., 1998; Parslow et al., 2001; Westwood et al., 2011).

This is the limited viewpoint that the literature to date offers on the prevalence and persistence of Southern Ocean SCMs. The field is at a tipping point of observational power through the BGC-Argo and the MEOP programs (Figure 2; Guinet et al., 2013; Claustre et al., 2020). This power will see an unprecedented increase in reported observations of SFMs and SCMs (Carranza et al., 2018).

PROCESSES THAT MAINTAIN SOUTHERN OCEAN SCMs

Identifying the ecological and physical processes (sensu Cullen, 2015) that maintain SCMs is difficult. Ancillary environmental data are required to disentangle these processes and to test hypotheses. Eighteen Southern Ocean studies have been identified to contain ancillary data that could be used to evaluate processes that form SCMs (Figure 4 and Supplementary Table S2).

The studies were identified through a literature search that revealed 145 scholarly articles published between 1991 and 2019. The search was performed using the Web of Science Core Collection and Scopus databases together with the key search terms “Subsurface chlorophyll-a maxima” and “Southern Ocean.” Derivatives of “subsurface chlorophyll-a maxima” were also implemented (Supplementary Text S1). Results from these studies are discussed below in the context of Southern Ocean biogeochemistry. We have summarized this information in new conceptual models for Southern Ocean regions to guide future research (Figure 5).

Nutrient-Light Colimitation in an Iron Limited Ocean

The stability of the tropical SCM relies on vertically mixed inputs of a limiting nutrient across a pycnocline into the base of the mixed layer combined with a light field that decreases exponentially with depth. This creates a depth of optimum growth for phytoplankton, at the SCM, usually just above the pycnocline (Figure 1). Below the SCM, growth is limited by light, and by nutrients above the SCM. At the SCM community shifts can occur due to low rates of mixing as different phytoplankton groups respond differently to changing light, nutrient availability and disproportionate grazing pressures (Strom, 2001; Cullen, 2015; McKie-Krisberg et al., 2015; Latasa et al., 2016, 2017). Despite these shifts, the community is dominated by picophytoplankton through the water column (Furuya, 1990; Estrada et al., 2016; Latasa et al., 2017). In the darker environment of the SCM phytoplankton may also undergo photo-acclimation, increasing intracellular chlorophyll-a concentration (Cullen, 2015; Estrada et al., 2016; Latasa et al., 2017). If there are high rates of surface mixing, the SCM is destroyed (see Cullen, 2015 for further discussion).

Sufficient subsurface inputs of the limiting micro-nutrient iron are not widely present across the Southern Ocean to sustain the light-nutrient co-limitation characteristic of tropical SCMs. Iron-depleted mixed layers have been shown to coincide with SCMs in the open Southern Ocean (Boyd et al., 2001; Holm-Hansen et al., 2005), but iron concentrations are still remarkably low below the mixed layer from late-spring to summer leading to small diffusive fluxes (Sohrin et al., 2000; Tagliabue et al., 2012, 2014; Klunder et al., 2014; Bowie et al., 2015). This can be attributed to the rapid use of winter iron reservoirs as the mixed layer shoals during the initial spring bloom (Tagliabue et al., 2014). The presence of SCMs at or deeper than the pycnocline and a consistent, marked community shift toward large diatoms at the SCM are further features which do not fit with the conceptual model of the tropical SCM (Kopczynska et al., 2001; Parslow et al., 2001; Holm-Hansen et al., 2005; Westwood et al., 2011; Moore et al., 2013; Carranza et al., 2018). Instead we find that an assortment of Southern Ocean processes may be important for maintaining diatom SCMs including stratification, buoyancy regulation by large diatoms, persistent iron limitation and grazing.

Theoretically, iron fertilization could relieve iron limitation in the mixed layer to induce an SCM sustained by light-nutrient co-limitation, by allowing silicate or nitrate, which have vertically mixed inputs, to become the limiting nutrient. The primary sources of iron to the Southern Ocean are coastal margins, shallow bathymetry, dust, hydrothermal venting and sea-ice melt (Blain et al., 2008; Raiswell et al., 2008; Boyd and Ellwood, 2010; Boyd et al., 2012; Graham et al., 2015; Ardyna et al., 2019). Coastal inputs of iron and sea-ice melt have been associated with the development of SCMs which we explore further (see Iron fertilization by land masses and Sea-ice retreat), however the role of other iron sources in SCM formation is purely speculative due to a lack of coincident observations.

Diatom SCMs

Large diatoms find an environmental niche in the pycnocline under severe iron limitation and high stratification, remaining in the upper ocean when other phytoplankton taxa cannot. This leads to their accumulation forming the diatom SCM which have been observed around the Polar front (Bathmann et al., 1997; Kopczynska et al., 2001; Parslow et al., 2001; Armand et al., 2008a; Gomi et al., 2010; Westwood et al., 2011; Tripathy et al., 2015), on the Kerguelen Plateau (Armand et al., 2008a), off the continental slope of Antarctica (Quilty et al., 1985; Fiala et al., 1998; Garibotti et al., 2003) and in the Seasonal Ice zone (Cailliau et al., 1997; Wright and van den Enden, 2000; Gomi et al., 2007). The most striking observation of this phenomenon was made in the Seasonal Ice Zone by Quilty et al. (1985) who encountered a diatom SCM of remarkably high biomass, dominated by Thalassiosiria antarctica.

The tendency of large diatoms to dominate the diatom SCM has been revealed by microscopy and CHEMTAX analysis.
FIGURE 4 | The number of studies that identify a mechanism of formation discussed in this review presented by region of the Southern Ocean. The evidence each study has given for these mechanisms is given in Supplementary Table S2. Regions are as defined in Deppeler and Davidson (2017), however, note that the location of fronts and sea-ice extent are highly variable. ACZ, Antarctic Coastal Zone (including continental slope to 2000 m); SIZ, Seasonal Ice Zone; POOZ, Permanently Open Ocean Zone; PFZ, Polar Frontal Zone; SAZ, Sub-Antarctic Zone; SOI, Southern Ocean Islands. The 2000 m isobar is shown as a gray line.

| Mechanism                                | ACZ | SIZ | POOZ | PFZ | SAZ | SOI |
|-------------------------------------------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Diatom SCMs                               | 2   | 3   | 7    | 1   |     |     |
| Iron fertilisation by land masses         |     |     |      |     | 1   |     |
| Sea-ice retreat                           | 2   |     |      |     |     |     |
| Eddies                                    | 1   |     | 3    |     |     |     |
| Along-shelf subduction                    | 1   |     |      |     |     |     |
| Photo-acclimation                         | 1   | 1   | 1    |     |     |     |
FIGURE 5 | Proposed conceptual models for the formation of Southern Ocean SCMs and diatom SCMs (dSCMs) (A) in the oceanic HNLC regions, (B) downstream of Southern Ocean Islands (SOI), (C) following sea-ice retreat, (D) in warm-core eddies in the Sub-Antarctic Zone, (E) in cold-core eddies in the Sub-Antarctic Zone and (F) by subduction along the continental shelf (as in Erickson et al., 2016). Conceptual models are presented as schematics, with examples of what vertical measurements of chlorophyll-a and limiting nutrients might look like.
of accessory pigments (Quilty et al., 1985; Bathmann et al., 1997; Fiala et al., 1998; Wright and van den Enden, 2000; Kopczynska et al., 2001; Gomi et al., 2007, 2010; Armand et al., 2008a; Westwood et al., 2011). Further measurements of the accessory pigment fucoxanthin and biogenic silica have indicated increased diatom dominance at the SCM compared to coincident surface communities (Parslow et al., 2001; Quéguiner, 2001; Armand et al., 2008a).

Diatom SCMs only appear to form in the absence of a surface bloom and have been linked to severe iron depletion of surface waters (Parslow et al., 2001; Holm-Hansen and Hewes, 2004; Holm-Hansen et al., 2005). Further, the taxa that dominate diatom SCMs are found in upstream surface blooms, where upstream refers to island or coastal blooms (Armand et al., 2008a; Gomi et al., 2010; Westwood et al., 2011; Tripathy et al., 2015). We speculate that the diatom SCM is formed following the decline of the surface bloom as conditions fade under persistent iron or silicate limitation (Figure 5A). This could explain the regime shifts from a well-mixed surface bloom to a diatom SCM across continental shelves (Fiala et al., 1998; Garibotti et al., 2003; Holm-Hansen and Hewes, 2004), downstream from Southern Ocean Islands (Armand et al., 2008a) and with sea-ice retreat (Caillau et al., 1999; Wright and van den Enden, 2000; Gomi et al., 2007).

The connectivity of phytoplankton communities between coastal and oceanic regions is most evident from studies conducted in the Indian sector of the Southern Ocean. Around the Polar front diatom SCMs were found to consistently contain significant communities of the large diatoms Fragilariopsis kerguelensis and Dactyliosolen antarcticus, which are both observed to bloom in the surface waters around the Kerguelen Plateau (Kopczynska et al., 2001; Armand et al., 2008a; Gomi et al., 2010; Westwood et al., 2011). In the Seasonal Ice Zone community composition is significantly different to that around the Polar front. While Fragilariopsis kerguelensis is often present in the mixed layer (downstream from the Kerguelen plateau), large diatoms Pseudo-nitzschia prolongatoides, Pseudo-nitzschia spp., Corethron pennatum and Chaetoceros spp. dominate in the Seasonal Ice Zone (Fiala et al., 1998; Gomi et al., 2007, 2010). The decline over time and dominance in the diatom SCM of Pseudo-nitzschia spp. and Chaetoceros spp. (sea-ice diatoms), over Fragilariopsis kerguelensis suggests that SCMs formed in the Seasonal Ice Zone are most likely short lived and independent of SCMs formed around the Polar front (Gomi et al., 2007).

Ecological and physiological adaptations of large diatoms must be responsible for the ability of these taxa to survive for long periods at the pycnocline when conditions are not suitable for a surface bloom. The ability of large diatoms to regulate buoyancy over nutrient gradients, using vacuolar control of solute balance, should play a large role in sustaining their dominance at the SCM and prevent their export into the mesopelagic ocean (Waite et al., 1992; Fisher and Harrison, 1996; Kemp and Villareal, 2013). Interestingly, Southern Ocean large diatoms have been observed to increase their buoyancy under bloom conditions, iron addition and decreased light, leading to reduced downward carbon export (Waite et al., 1992; Fisher and Harrison, 1996; Waite and Nodder, 2001; Acuña et al., 2010). Diatoms efficiently use nutrients, due to their ability to take up nutrients rapidly and maintain large nutrient reserves (Lomas and Glibert, 2000; Litchman et al., 2006; Kemp and Villareal, 2013, 2018; Hansen and Visser, 2019). This coupled with adaptations to low-light environments – including optimized photosystems and photonic crystals that enhance light harvesting - allows large diatoms to be active producers in the pycnocline which receives pulses of nutrients via internal waves (Holligan et al., 1985; Kemp and Villareal, 2013; Strzepek et al., 2019; Goessling et al., 2020).

Heavy silification and large spines to resists heavy grazing pressure also confer some protection against the depletion of these aggregations (Smetacek et al., 2004; Assmy et al., 2013). A disproportionate grazing pressure across species may also aid bloom-decline and contribute to the maintenance of the diatom SCM in the open ocean (Assmy et al., 2013). However the diatom SCM is not immune to grazing pressure as targeted grazing by microzooplankton, copepods and heterotrophic dinoflagellates is indicated by the presence of empty frustules and a maximum of zooplankton abundance (Froneman and Perissinotto, 1996; Kopczynska et al., 2001; Parslow et al., 2001; Armand et al., 2008; Gomi et al., 2010; Rembauville et al., 2016).

It is unknown if silicate, iron or light is most important in buoyancy regulation of Southern Ocean large diatoms. It may depend on the limiting nutrient of these communities which is not completely resolved (Waite et al., 1992; Fisher and Harrison, 1996; Boyd et al., 2005). Shallow bathymetry could support large subsurface reservoirs of lithogenic silica, potentially aiding in the persistence of diatoms at depth as they are carried around with the Antarctic circumpolar current (Parslow et al., 2001; Quéguiner, 2001; Robinson et al., 2016). Hopkinson et al. (2007) is the only study that has conducted an iron-addition experiment to observe iron limitation in an oceanic Southern Ocean SCM. This study found that the SCM community in the West-Antarctic Peninsula was iron limited and in situ iron was still remarkably low below the pycnocline. Unfortunately, few studies that observe an SCM have reported vertical profiles of iron and silicate concentrations.

The stratification of the water column to form a shallow pycnocline over spring and summer is important for the maintenance of diatom SCMs (Figure 5A). In late-autumn the deepening of the pycnocline leads to the destruction of the diatom SCM and a “fall dump” event leads to the export of the community as light levels become too low for survival (Smetacek, 1985; Kemp et al., 2000). Observations of SFMs further suggest that disturbing the pycnocline via episodic storms likely leads to the re-suspension of communities and the subsequent reformation of the diatom SCM when calm conditions prevail (Carranza et al., 2018). If these SFMs correspond to diatom SCMs around the Polar front, this may point toward the survival of the diatom SCM community during episodic storm events.

Iron Fertilization by Land Masses

In the high-energy environments of Southern Ocean islands in the path of the Antarctic circumpolar current, lateral flow is strongly zonal. A persistent supply of iron in the vicinity of and downstream of these islands is observed to be in excess of the demand of phytoplankton growth (Blain et al., 2001; 2008;
SCMs have been observed to form above the pycnocline in the wake of high chlorophyll plumes around the Kerguelen Plateau and South Georgia Island (Blain et al., 2001; Armand et al., 2008a; Whitehouse et al., 2008; Grenier et al., 2015). These SCMs are likely a result of a transient light-nutrient co-limited state as the surface bloom declines (Figure 5B; Whitehouse et al., 2008; Grenier et al., 2015). The limiting nutrient is silicate downstream from South Georgia Island, but may be silicate or iron downstream from the Kerguelen Plateau (Blain et al., 2007; Mongin et al., 2007; Whitehouse et al., 2008; Clooset et al., 2014; Robinson et al., 2016).

Over the Antarctic continental shelf, high levels of iron persist through the water column due to high inputs of iron from sediments and the movement of circumpolar deep water to the surface (Blain et al., 2008; Tagliabue et al., 2012; Klunder et al., 2014; Dinniman et al., 2020). Even in this iron rich region surface waters can exhibit persistent iron limitation due to the demands of rapid phytoplankton growth in spring and summer (Martin et al., 1990; Coale et al., 2003; Bertrand et al., 2007). There is an absence of SCMs above the pycnocline in these surface waters which suggests that phytoplankton deplete subsurface sources of iron over shallow bathymetry more quickly than it is supplied (Martin et al., 1990; Coale et al., 2003; Bertrand et al., 2007; Alderkamp et al., 2015). This is further evident from records of iron limitation near the pycnocline in the Amundsen Sea (Alderkamp et al., 2015). This key difference from Southern Ocean Islands near the ACC is likely due to relatively weak surface currents in the low-energy, sheltered region and the transient nature of sea-ice as an iron source (Fahrbach et al., 1992; Dinniman et al., 2011, 2020; Stewart and Thompson, 2013; Park et al., 2014; Robinson et al., 2016).

Southern Ocean studies have often suggested iron-light co-limitation as a driver of SCM formation over the continental shelf due to decreasing iron supply by land masses (Garibotti et al., 2003; Holm-Hansen et al., 2005; Kahl et al., 2010). These oceanic SCMs develop below the pycnocline, suggesting that light-nutrient co-limitation plays no part in facilitating their formation. We reframe these observations by acknowledging that while iron limitation may play a role in maintaining these SCMs, the distribution of nutrients through the water column does not control their formation, leading us to suggest that these are diatom SCMs.

**Sea-Ice Retreat**

Sea-ice retreat facilitates intense phytoplankton blooms in surface waters (Raiswell et al., 2008; Death et al., 2014; Duprat et al., 2016). These blooms are thought to be initiated by seed phytoplankton released from sea-ice (Grossi et al., 1987; Suzuki et al., 2001; Gomi et al., 2007; Mangoni et al., 2009; Lizotte, 2015), the release of light limitation from sea-ice cover (Lancelot et al., 1993; Taylor et al., 2013) or the release of bio-available iron as the ice melts (Lannuzel et al., 2016), or a combination of all these mechanisms. Following surface blooms, diatom SCMs have been observed to trail sea-ice retreat (Figure 5C; Cailliau et al., 1999; Wright and van den Enden, 2000; Gomi et al., 2007). These diatom SCMs could be related to the diatom SCMs that are frequently observed over the continental slope of Antarctica.

Surface blooms at the sea-ice edge rapidly sink when nutrients quickly become depleted from meltwater (Smetacek et al., 1992; Wright and van den Enden, 2000; Ambrose et al., 2005; Wright et al., 2010; Boetius et al., 2013) or and are heavily grazed (Stretch et al., 1988; Smetacek et al., 1990; Scharek et al., 1994; Michel et al., 1996; Gomi et al., 2007). After sea-ice retreat, phytoplankton community composition is initially uniform with depth and dominated by diatoms and *Phaeocystis* spp., as seen by microscopy and pigment analysis (Cailliau et al., 1999; Wright and van den Enden, 2000; Gomi et al., 2007). It is at this stage, Gomi et al. (2007) detected sea-ice algae (*Phaeocystis* spp. and *Pseudo-nitzschia prolongatoides*). Cailliau et al. (1999) used a combination of pigment and lipid analysis to suggest that the subsequent diatom SCM in a sea-ice zone was formed by a selective export of nanoflagellates (inc. *Phaeocystis* spp.) due to grazing, while diatoms are left behind in the SCM. The ability of diatoms to regulate their buoyancy across nutrient and light gradients, thus preventing their sinking could prevent their immediate export and survival at the diatom SCM as bloom conditions decline (Waite et al., 1992; Fisher and Harrison, 1996; Moore and Villareal, 1996; Waite and Nodder, 2001; Kemp et al., 2006; Acuña et al., 2010).

The fate and spatial extent of these diatom SCMs associated with sea-ice retreat has not been explored. Further, the ability of sea-ice to fertilize blooms is inconsistent, as demonstrated in Bathmann et al. (1997). They followed the retreat of sea-ice for 6 weeks and observed little phytoplankton growth at the ice-edge, even though ice-algae and meltwater were detected. This may have been due to high wind conditions inhibiting the ice-edge blooms (Lancelot et al., 1993; Fitch and Moore, 2007; Pellichero et al., 2020) or intense zooplankton grazing at the ice-edge like that exerted by large krill swarms (Stretch et al., 1988; Smetacek et al., 1990; Scharek et al., 1994). Consequently, these diatom SCMs may not be observed around the entire marginal ice zone.

**Eddies**

Eddies are abundant and ubiquitous in the Southern Ocean, resulting from the instabilities at high energy fronts. Particularly intense eddy activity occurs where fronts interact with shallow bathymetry (Bryden and Heath, 1985). A small number of SCMs have been attributed to eddies spinning off the Subtropical front, the Sub-Antarctic front and the Antarctic Divergence (Clementson et al., 1998; Wright and van den Enden, 2000; Daly et al., 2001; Westwood et al., 2011; de Villiers et al., 2015). To date, eddies are speculated to facilitate Southern Ocean SCMs by two mechanisms; (1) nutrient-light co-limitation within warm-core eddies from the Subtropical front and (2) increased growth at the pycnocline cold-core eddies from the Sub-Antarctic Front, likely due to the injection of nutrients (Figures 5D,E).

An SCM observed by Clementson et al. (1998) exhibited a nitrate limited tropical SCM at the center of a warm-core eddy that had come from the nitrate-poor Subtropical zone into the
This process can also occur in the mixed layer if mixing rates are efficient in low light environments by increasing cellular photo-acclimation. Photo-acclimation enables phytoplankton to harvest light more efficiently in low light environments by increasing cellular chlorophyll-a. This has been observed in the pycnocline in the Southern Ocean, providing insight into this narrow view displayed by the literature.

**Along-Shelf Subduction**

SCMs could be formed by the subduction of productive surface waters off the coastal shelf (Figure 5F). Wright and van den Enden (2000) observed a band of SCMs along a subduction zone associated with the Antarctic Divergence. This mechanism has merit but is difficult to prove with one dimensional ship-based data.

Erickson et al. (2016) provided the strongest evidence that productive waters move along isopycnals that are subducted along the continental shelf to below the pycnocline as seen by glider transects. This community was also speculated to remain productive below the upper mixed layer. This leads to the question of whether the large diatom aggregation (comprised mostly of *Thalassiothrix antarctica*) observed by Quilty et al. (1985) was also formed as a result of this subduction combined with the ability of large diatoms to regulate buoyancy (Waite and Nodder, 2001; Kemp and Villareal, 2013).

**Photo-Acclimation**

Photo-acclimation enables phytoplankton to harvest light more efficiently in low light environments by increasing cellular chlorophyll-a. This has been observed in the pycnocline in the Seasonal Ice Zone and around the Polar front in the Southern Ocean (Mikaelyan and Belyaeva, 1995; Rembauville et al., 2016a). This process can also occur in the mixed layer if mixing rates are slower than the rate of photo-acclimation (Cailliau et al., 1997; Dusenberry et al., 2000).

Observations conducted at the cellular level under a microscope by Mikaelyan and Belyaeva (1995) were made in the absence of a deep diatom-dominated SCM, confirming photo-acclimation by Southern Ocean phytoplankton communities. Increased cellular concentrations of chlorophyll-a could be an indication of a community shift (diatoms often have high cellular chlorophyll-a), when chlorophyll-a concentrations are compared to particulate organic carbon concentrations (as in Rembauville et al., 2016a). Consequently, it is difficult to distinguish photo-acclimation from community shift in chlorophyll-a concentration profiles unless additional pigment or microscopic analysis is performed.

**Grazing**

Evidence of SCM formation by top-down grazing pressure was not evident in the key literature but has been explored through models. Mixotrophs exert uneven grazing pressure through the water column with higher grazing rates observed at the surface due to light-aided digestion and photooxidative stress (Strom, 2001; McKie-Krisberg et al., 2015). Thus, it has been shown through a model that this uneven grazing pressure could lead to the formation of a SCM in the tropics (Moeller et al., 2019). Mixotrophs may play a significant role in Southern Ocean ecosystems, suggesting the validity of these hypothesis in this region (Froneman and Perissinotto, 1996; Christaki et al., 2008; Moorthing et al., 2009; Norbury et al., 2019). However, their relative abundance is significantly less compared to the tropics and so the importance of this process in controlling SCM formation as revealed by the tropical model may be subduced (Edwards, 2019).

**THE ECOLOGICAL ROLE OF SCMs IN THE SOUTHERN OCEAN**

Without extensive observations of SCMs, assessments of their impact in Southern Ocean ecology is limited. Regional process studies observed that SCMs contribute to water column primary production (Parslow et al., 2001; Westwood et al., 2011; Tripathy et al., 2014, 2015), facilitate large downward carbon export events (Kemp et al., 2000; Llort et al., 2018), are sites of macrofauna foraging (Dragon et al., 2010; Kahl et al., 2010; Williams et al., 2011; Saijo et al., 2017; Rivièr et al., 2019; Siegelman et al., 2019) and are sites of intense zooplankton grazing (Atkinson et al., 1992; Froneman and Perissinotto, 1996; Kopczynska et al., 2001; Parslow et al., 2001; Gomi et al., 2010; Rembauville et al., 2016a). The ecological significance of an SCM is enhanced when they also represent a subsurface biomass maximum, or high primary production, or both. SCMs can exist independently of subsurface biomass maxima and increased primary production, due to changes in cellular chlorophyll-a concentrations either by photo-acclimation or a community shift (Cullen, 2015).

To date, diatom SCMs are the most widely observed type of SCM in the pelagic Southern Ocean. They have been recurrently observed south of Tasmania, Australia over several years (Parslow et al., 2001) as well as in the Atlantic and Indian sectors (Bathmann et al., 1997; Tripathy et al., 2015). From fluorometers mounted on BGC-Argo profiling floats and elephant seals, mostly around the Polar front, summertime SFMs occurring at the
Southern Ocean SCMs often occur in very low light environments so their contribution to water column integrated primary production may be small. If formed above the pycnocline, SCMs are expected to be active contributors to water column production because they will likely be exposed to sufficient light (Herbland and Voituriez, 1979; Estrada et al., 1993; Cullen, 2015). It is difficult to discern if an SCM that forms below the pycnocline is a productive or senescent feature without measurements of primary production, because communities shift, and communities below the pycnocline function differently to those above (Parslow et al., 2001; Westwood et al., 2011; Tripathy et al., 2014, 2015). Basin-wide observations of SCMs, alongside a better understanding of the photosynthetic efficiencies and biomass of deep communities, are required to assess the potential impacts of SCMs on total water column primary production and the regulation of the global carbon cycle.

Regardless of their contribution to primary production and biomass, diatom SCMs at the pycnocline in the Southern Ocean support unique food webs and contribute to downward carbon export. The ability of a diatom SCM to act as a subsurface biomass maxima is variable and the subsequent relationship of biomass to primary production is complex (Kopczynska et al., 2001; Parslow et al., 2001; Armand et al., 2008; Gomi et al., 2010). Diatom SCMs are active contributors to total summer water column productivity around the Polar front (Parslow et al., 2001; Westwood et al., 2011; Tripathy et al., 2014, 2015). This productivity supports selective grazing by microzooplankton, copepods and heterotrophic dinoflagellates at the SCM, creating a unique trophic structure (Fronman and Perissinotto, 1996; Kopczynska et al., 2001; Parslow et al., 2001; Gomi et al., 2010; Rembauville et al., 2016a). While their growth may be light limited for long periods, diatoms are a form of new primary production for most species of phytoplankton. Adding to their remarkable photosynthetic capacities, some species can survive long periods of darkness by forming a resting spore (Ferrario et al., 1998; Armand et al., 2008a,b; Salter et al., 2012). The mass formation of these resting spores off the Kerguelen plateau is a source of large downward carbon export events to the seafloor (Armand et al., 2008b; Salter et al., 2012; Rembauville et al., 2016b). In addition to this, large “fall dump” events occur as the deepening of the pycnocline in autumn forces these deep diatom aggregates to sink (Kemp et al., 2000; Rigual-Hernández et al., 2015b).

The diatom SCM is likely an ecological stage between the coastal bloom and mass export event, apparently employing unique physiological and ecological adaptations to survive in turbulent, low-iron oceanic conditions, where other taxa cannot. It is well established that this subsurface biomass only persists in iron depleted waters where low surface chlorophyll-a is observed (Parslow et al., 2001; Garibotti et al., 2003; Holm-Hansen et al., 2005), but these communities may display successional connectivity with coastal areas driven by ocean physics (Kopczynska et al., 2001; Graham et al., 2015) and diatom life stage (Ferrario et al., 1998; Armand et al., 2008b; Salter et al., 2012). This is suggested by the common coastal occurrence of phytoplankton associated with oceanic SCMs, their eventual deposition on the seafloor (Kemp et al., 2000, 2006; Kemp and Villareal, 2013) and regional differences in community structure (Gomi et al., 2007, 2010).

Other observations of SCMs, not necessarily attributed to diatom SCMs, have shown that Adelie penguins and elephant seals target krill and mesopelagic fish that congregate around SCMs (Dragon et al., 2010; Kahl et al., 2010; Williams et al., 2011; Saijo et al., 2017; Rivière et al., 2019; Siegelman et al., 2019). For elephant seals, this is true both in oceanic regions around the Kerguelen plateau and around the ice-edge. Elephant seals particularly target areas of high kinetic energy, and their prey may be supported by high downward carbon export to the mesopelagic zone via eddies (Saijo et al., 2017; Rivière et al., 2019; Siegelman et al., 2019).

**DISCUSSION**

This Review presents evidence of Southern Ocean SCMs as a widespread and recurrent summer feature. The formation of these SCMs differs from the processes that form the better-known tropical SCM (Figure 1). The most common Southern Ocean SCMs are widespread diatom SCMs which potentially contribute to downward carbon export and primary production. Satellite composites of chlorophyll-a miss these features, introducing biases toward surface communities in ecosystem assessments of downward carbon export, primary production and foodwebs that will likely be used in MEASO. Going forward, an integrated platform approach is needed that draws on detailed understandings available from ship-based observations – as presented in this Review – alongside the new wealth of observations from widespread deployments of fluorometers through the BGC-Argo and MEOP programs.

Diatom SCMs are not only missing from ecosystem assessments of the present Southern Ocean but are also missing in future biogeochemical trajectories. Paleo-sediment records have identified increased deposits of opal (a proxy for diatom concentration) around the Polar front, coincident with periods of increasing atmospheric carbon dioxide as seen in Antarctic ice cores (Gottschalk et al., 2016). This negative feedback mechanism, which may be stimulated by increased stratification promoted by warmer and fresher surface waters, is not captured in current biogeochemical models used to make ecosystem assessments of future ocean trajectories (Kemp and Villareal, 2013, 2018).

Incorporating these diatom SCMs, and the processes that lead to their formation, into models has been limited by past observational capacities. These relatively thin features (1–10 m
Figure 4). BGC-Argo can observe these deep diatom-dominated communities as floats routinely samples at <2 m resolution, whilst the ability of MEOP to capture these features under the broken stick sampling method – which represents profiles using a subsample of data based on points of high variability - has not yet been assessed (Guinet et al., 2013).

With increased observation density in time and space, coupled with ocean models, the role of environmental drivers including ocean physics, bathymetry and sea-ice can be explored as it has been for surface chlorophyll-a concentrations from satellites (Grant et al., 2006; Graham et al., 2015; Ardyna et al., 2017; Dawson et al., 2018). Connecting coastal processes to diatom SCMs may also be possible by testing the possible links to fertilization of iron by land-masses, sea-ice retreat and along-shelf subduction that have been revealed in this Review. Further the role of frontal structures, eddies, subduction and stratification in controlling other types of SCMs can also be explored.

The greatest limitation in studying subsurface phytoplankton distributions in the Southern Ocean is the translation of chlorophyll fluorescence to chlorophyll-a and biomass concentrations. Specifically, the presence of day-time NPQ is the greatest source of inaccuracy to the study of SCMs, causing a SFM to exist where an SCM may not. The variability of fluorescence and chlorophyll to carbon also presents a challenge for conversions to biomass estimates. Further uncertainties introduced along with variations in surface community Chl:C ratios (Behrenfeld et al., 2005; Thomalla et al., 2017), are community shifts with depth to diatoms which contain higher intracellular chlorophyll and more gradual increases of intracellular chlorophyll due to photoacclimation (Mikaelyan and Belyaeva, 1995; Kopczynska et al., 2001; Armand et al., 2008; Gomi et al., 2010; Rembauville et al., 2016a). Understanding these ratios is important for representing Southern Ocean SCMs in biogeochemical models (Galbraith et al., 2010; Aumont et al., 2015; Verdy and Mazloff, 2017).

Until the accuracy of fluorescence-derived chlorophyll-a has been resolved, an integrated framework for carefully studying SCMs, through SFMs measured at night is needed. Their translatability to SCMs could be assessed using sparse ship-based data possibly equipped with fluorometers for paired assessments. The potential benefits of this is highlighted by our discussion of Carranza et al. (2018), who may have observed widespread deep diatom-dominated communities around the Polar front through SFMs. When considering ship-based observations in the literature it seems likely that these are oceanic diatom SCMs. Combining the evidence from process studies and autonomous platforms, the role of episodic storms in destroying the stability of diatom SCMs and their subsequent re-formation under calm conditions becomes apparent.

Consequently, ship-based observations will still play a large part in the future discussion on subsurface distribution and production of phytoplankton. Particularly, the role of iron and silicate in mediating SCMs and subsurface production at the pycnocline cannot be studied autonomously and we have found this to be still largely enigmatic. Iron and silicate addition experiments that include deep-diatom communities, as well as cooccurring measurements of iron, silicate and chlorophyll through the water column are needed in the future. These measurements will be particularly important for resolving the role of nutrients in sustaining stable diatom SCMs and SCMs above the pycnocline found near retreating sea-ice and Southern Ocean islands.

Southern Ocean SCMs can no longer be ignored in ecosystem assessments. Our review presents evidence of widespread diatom SCMs that may play an important role in Southern Ocean ecology and climate. Further progress on this topic will only be made through extensive data sharing and collaboration between international researchers to achieve an integrated observation framework (Brett et al., 2020). This framework must use both ship-based studies and autonomous technologies.
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