Regulating the adaptive immune response to respiratory virus infection
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Abstract | Recent years have seen several advances in our understanding of immunity to virus infection of the lower respiratory tract, including to influenza virus infection. Here, we review the cellular targets of viruses and the features of the host immune response that are unique to the lungs. We describe the interplay between innate and adaptive immune cells in the induction, expression and control of antiviral immunity, and discuss the impact of the infected lung milieu on moulding the response of antiviral effector T cells. Recent findings on the mechanisms that underlie the increased frequency of severe pulmonary bacterial infections following respiratory virus infection are also discussed.

Viruses are highly evolved and ubiquitous pathogens. Although infection with many viruses results in a mild, self-limited disease, other viruses can produce severe and frequently fatal infections. The respiratory tract is a major portal through which viruses enter to initiate infection. Whereas some viruses can initiate infection in the respiratory tract and then disseminate to other sites in the body, other viruses typically remain localized to the respiratory tract, where they replicate and induce tissue injury (see Supplementary information S1 (table)). Various defence mechanisms have evolved in the respiratory tract to prevent and control infection by viruses and other pathogens.

The respiratory tract can be divided anatomically into the upper respiratory tract (including the nose, mouth and pharynx) and the lower respiratory tract (which consists of the trachea, bronchi and lungs), with the lymphoid tissue of Waldeyer’s ring (including the pharyngeal and palatine tonsils) representing the line of demarcation. Because of the crucial role of the lower respiratory tract in respiration, we limit the focus of this Review to recent advances in our understanding of the host immune response to virus infection at this site.

Stromal cells (typically CD45\textsuperscript{-} non-haematopoietic cells) and haematopoietic cells (CD45\textsuperscript{+} bone marrow-derived cells) comprise the cellular network of the lower respiratory tract. Although all cell types presumably have important roles during respiratory virus infection, type I and type II alveolar epithelial cells and conducting airway epithelial cells are of particular importance among the CD45\textsuperscript{-} stromal cells, as infection of these cells by viruses and the subsequent host immune response can compromise respiratory function and can result in acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). Type I and type II alveolar epithelial cells are important cellular targets for infection by severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus\textsuperscript{6–9} and certain type A influenza virus strains, most notably the highly pathogenic avian H5N1 viruses\textsuperscript{1–3}. Viruses can also target epithelial cells of the conducting airways (Supplementary information S1 (table)).

The severe lung inflammation associated with respiratory infection by certain viruses poses a unique challenge to the immune system: not only must the virus be rapidly eliminated by the immune system, but tissue inflammation must also be controlled to prevent acute respiratory failure. Furthermore, during the recovery or resolution phase of infection, the immune system must help to orchestrate tissue repair to restore normal lung architecture and function and prevent permanent defects in respiratory function.

The contributions of physical and chemical barriers to infection and of the various innate immune mechanisms and cell types that mediate host responses to virus infections have been recently reviewed\textsuperscript{4,9} and are not discussed in detail here (although they are summarized in Supplementary information S2 (box)). Likewise, the function of B cells in immunity to respiratory viruses has been reviewed elsewhere\textsuperscript{7}. Here, we primarily focus on recent results regarding the induction of T cell responses to virus infection in the respiratory tract and the role of specific respiratory dendritic cell (DC) subsets and macrophages in controlling this process. In addition, we describe recent findings on the regulation of immunity, pulmonary inflammation and injury during virus infection. Finally, we briefly consider the potential link between respiratory...
Type I and type II alveolar epithelial cells

Type I alveolar epithelial cells (also known as squamous alveolar cells and type I pneumocytes) form the structure of the alveolar wall and are responsible for gas exchange in the alveoli. Type II alveolar epithelial cells (also known as great alveolar cells) continually secrete pulmonary surfactant to lower the surface tension of pulmonary fluids, thereby increasing gas exchange.

Acute respiratory distress syndrome

ARDS is a severe inflammatory disease of the lung that is usually triggered by another pulmonary pathology. The uncontrolled inflammation leads to impaired gas exchange, alveolar flooding and/or collapse, and systemic inflammatory response syndrome.

Table 1 | Cells with antigen-presenting functions in the lung during respiratory virus infection

| Cell type            | Mechanism                                | Effect or outcome                                      | Refs        |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| CD103+ lung DCs      | MHC class I presentation and cross-presentation | Naive CD8+ T cell proliferation                         | 14,17,37,38 |
|                      | MHC class II presentation                | Naive CD4+ T cell proliferation                         | 14,37       |
| CD11b+ lung DCs      | MHC class I presentation                 | Naive CD8+ T cell proliferation                         | 14,37       |
|                      | MHC class II presentation                | Naive CD4+ T cell proliferation                         | 14,37       |
|                      | Cross-priming and expression of CD70     | Expansion of effector CD8+ T cell populations           | 40          |
|                      | Chemokine production                     | Numerous                                               | 22          |
| Plasmacytoid DCs     | Unknown                                  | Suppression of excessive inflammation and promotion of virus clearance during RSV infection | 87          |
| Monocyte-derived DCs | MHC class I presentation                 | Naive CD8+ T cell proliferation and production of iNOS and TNF | 24          |
| or macrophages       | Production of IL-12                       | Development of Treg cells                               | 44          |
|                      | Expression of TRAIL and PPARγ            | Effector CD8+ T cell proliferation; promotion or healing of injury (depending on macrophage type) | 24,25,54    |
| CD11c+ lung DCs      | CD80 and CD86 co-stimulation             | Cytokine production by effector CD8+ T cells           | 49,69       |
|                      | Production of LTβ, CXCL12, CXCL13, CCL19 and CCL21 | iBALT formation                                        | 120,121     |
|                      | Trans-presentation of IL-15               | Effector T cell survival                                | 63,74       |
| Type II alveolar epithelial cells | MHC class II presentation | Treg cell differentiation; effector CD4+ T cell activation | 57,122      |
| Airway epithelial cells | Failure to provide co-stimulation    | Lack of pro-inflammatory cytokine production            | 49          |
| Alveolar macrophages | Production of PGE2, IL-10 and TGFβ, expression of CD200R | Promotion or inhibition of antigen-specific T cell stimulation | 123,124     |
| NK cells or IKDCs    | MHC class I presentation                 | Antigen presentation to CD8+ T cells                    | 125         |
| LAPCs                | MHC class II presentation                | Differentiation of Treg cells                          | 126         |

CCL, CC-chemokine ligand; CXCL, CXC-chemokine ligand; DC, dendritic cell; iBALT, inducible bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue; IKDC, IFN-producing killer DC; IL, interleukin; iNOS, inducible nitric oxide synthase; LAPC, late-activator antigen-presenting cell; LTβ, lymphoïtin-β; NK, natural killer; PGE2, prostaglandin E2; PPARγ, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ; RSV, respiratory syncytial virus; TGFβ, transforming growth factor-β; Treg, T helper; TNF, tumour necrosis factor; TRAIL, TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand; Tbet, regulatory T.

Respiratory APC activation and emigration

The lungs are bombarded by a plethora of innocuous inhaled antigens and presumably by commensal microorganisms. A unique challenge for lung-resident antigen-presenting cells (APCs) is to discriminate between invading pathogens and innocuous particles and to deliver only relevant microbial antigens to T cells. The mechanisms of this discrimination in the lungs are poorly understood but ultimately result in the activation of respiratory DCs and their migration to draining lymph nodes.

Alveolar macrophages

If intracellular innate immune mechanisms in primary target cells (such as epithelial cells) fail to adequately control early virus replication, and replication proceeds, then released virions and the contents of dying or dead infected cells can be taken up by a variety of APCs [Table 1]. The first respiratory tract CD45+ immune cell type to encounter viral antigens is the alveolar macrophage. Not only are alveolar macrophages superb phagocytes that are capable of rapidly eliminating large numbers of microorganisms from the lung, but they also have a crucial role in dampening immune responses at this site11. It is widely believed that alveolar macrophages are non-migratory and do not contribute to the induction of adaptive immune responses. However, recent evidence indicates that alveolar macrophages can migrate from the lung to the draining lymph nodes under homeostatic conditions and can serve as antigen carriers following bacterial infection12, although their contribution to the induction of adaptive immune responses in the draining lymph nodes is currently undefined.

Dendritic cells

DCs reside below the airway epithelial cells within the pulmonary interstitium, and they can extend processes between airway epithelial cells, making them strategically poised to sample airway particulates, such as viruses. Several phenotypically and functionally distinct subsets of respiratory DCs have been studied extensively in rodents13. These populations include two main resident mature (MHC class II+CD11c+) virus infection and chronic respiratory diseases and discuss in more detail the recent findings relating to the effect of respiratory tract virus infection on the susceptibility to concurrent or subsequent bacterial infection (known as bacterial superinfection).
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respiratory DC subsets, which are characterized as CD103\(^+\) and CD11b\(^+\)CD103\(^-\) respiratory DCs and are crucial for the induction of adaptive immune responses, at least in mice\(^{14-17}\). CD103\(^+\) respiratory DCs localize at the mucosal surface, adjacent to the respiratory epithelium, and surround adjoining vasculature. By contrast, the more abundant CD11b\(^+\) respiratory DC subset is found within the lung interstitium. CD103\(^+\) respiratory DCs express the mannose-binding C-type lectin langerin (also known as CLEC4K)\(^{16,18}\) and require expression of specific transcriptional factors (such as BATF3) for their normal development in the lung and at other mucosal surfaces\(^{19-21}\). Activated CD103\(^+\) respiratory DCs produce modest levels of pro-inflammatory mediators, whereas CD11b\(^+\) respiratory DCs are major chemokine producers in response to pulmonary inflammation\(^{22}\).

Monocyte-derived DCs, which are phenotypically immature (MHC class II\(^{low}\)CD11c\(^{low}\)), are more abundant than the mature DC subsets and localize within the interstitium. They can take up particulates in the inflamed lung and migrate to the draining lymph nodes. However, they were found to be poor activators of naive T cells when analysed during experimental virus infections\(^{14}\). They may, however, serve as precursors for mature DCs\(^{23}\) (FIG. 1). Distinct from these conventional respiratory DCs are plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs). pDCs are recognized as major producers of type I interferons (IFNs) during infection, and can also transport antigens from the infected lung to the draining lymph nodes. However, the low-level expression of co-stimulatory ligands on pDCs renders them weak activators of naive T cells.
**Macrophages.** In addition to alveolar macrophages, CD11b+ (CD11c−) tissue-resident macrophages — which originate from circulating CC-chemokine receptor 2 (CCR2)+ monocyted subsets in the blood — are found within the non-inflamed pulmonary interstitium. These cells have the capacity to transport antigens from the lungs to the draining lymph nodes during virus infection, although their contribution to the induction of adaptive immune responses is unclear. The number of these macrophages can increase dramatically in response to virus infection, and they may serve as APCs for activated effector T cells in the infected lungs (see below). Recent evidence suggests that the increase in the number of macrophages during infection may result both from an influx of blood monocyte precursors and from local cell proliferation.

**Migration of respiratory DCs.** Respiratory virus infection (and other inflammatory stimuli) triggers antigen uptake by and activation of most types of respiratory DC, resulting in their mobilization and migration out of the infected lungs. Although this process is not fully understood, multiple mediators — including chemokines such as CC-chemokine ligand 2 (CCL2), CCL5, CCL20 and CCL21 — probably work in concert to orchestrate respiratory DC activation and mobilization. These chemokines are produced by respiratory epithelial cells and vascular and lymphatic endothelial cells, and then engage their corresponding receptors on respiratory DCs. Additional pro-inflammatory mediators (such as interleukin-1β (IL-1β) and IL-18) that are released by infected epithelial cells can activate adjacent CD45− parenchymal cells, such as fibroblasts. This may increase the expression of αVβ8 integrin on the fibroblasts and subsequent integrin-dependent activation of transforming growth factor-β (TGFβ), resulting in increased secretion of the TGFβ-dependent chemokines CCL2 and CCL20. Similarly, Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) signalling in epithelial cells in response to viral proteins upregulates CCL2 and CCL20 expression, which may facilitate the activation and mobilization of DCs within the lung, as well as the recruitment of monocyte progenitors into the lung from the circulation (FIG. 1).

The infection-induced crosstalk between CD45− lung parenchymal cells and mature resident DCs in the infected lung leads to the upregulation on the DCs of several chemotactic receptors, most notably CCR7 and sphingosine-1-phosphate receptors. These receptors are crucial for transendothelial migration of activated respiratory DCs and their egress to the draining lymph nodes. Unlike CD103+ and CD11b+ respiratory DCs, other potential APCs — such as alveolar macrophages, pDCs and monocyte-derived DCs — use as yet unidentified pathways that are independent of CCR7 to enter the draining lymph nodes. Activated respiratory DCs also upregulate their expression of co-stimulatory and adhesion molecules (such as CD40, CD80, CD86 and ICAM1) and of antigen-presenting molecules, and this renders the migrating respiratory DCs competent to serve as potent APCs for the activation of naive virus-specific T cells in the draining lymph nodes.

**T cell activation and differentiation.** The migration of respiratory DCs bearing viral antigens to the draining lymph node serves to concentrate viral antigens at a site where extremely rare virus-specific adaptive immune cells, such as naïve and memory antiviral T cells, can encounter their cognate antigens. Although the transfer of viral antigens from migratory respiratory DCs to lymph node-resident CD8α+ DCs has been documented in a respiratory virus infection, several lines of evidence suggest that it is the antigen-bearing migratory DCs that most efficiently present viral antigens to naive CD4+ and CD8+ T cells during respiratory virus infections (TABLE 1). However, the relative contributions of migratory respiratory DCs and lymph node-resident CD8α+ DCs to the activation of naive T cells during respiratory virus infection remain to be fully explored and are probably pathogen dependent.

Migrant CD103+ respiratory DCs reach maximum numbers in the draining lymph nodes early (2–4 days) following respiratory virus infection and serve as the most potent APCs for naive virus-specific CD8+ T cells early in the response to an active infection. Furthermore, CD103+ respiratory DCs can efficiently cross-present antigens (such as products of infected cells) that were initially taken up in the lungs, possibly via efferocytosis. The limited data currently available do not allow a definitive determination of whether infected CD103+ respiratory DCs are more potent APCs for naive CD8+ T cells than CD103− respiratory DCs that cross-present acquired viral antigens. Recently, the superiority of CD103− respiratory DCs over CD11b+ respiratory DCs in supporting antiviral CD8+ T cell activation during influenza virus infection was linked with their enhanced capacity to process and load viral antigens onto MHC class I molecules for presentation to CD8+ T cells.

CD11b+ respiratory DCs reach peak numbers in the draining lymph nodes at later time points (5–7 days) following influenza virus infection and may act to expand the pool size of previously activated effector CD8+ T cells in the draining lymph nodes through engagement of the co-stimulatory receptor CD27. The speed and magnitude of migratory DC accumulation in the lymph nodes are probably regulated by virus-intrinsic factors, such as replication potential, target cell type and cytotoxic effects, as well as by host-intrinsic factors, such as underlying genetic susceptibility.

Both major migratory respiratory DC subsets also efficiently activate naive virus-specific CD4+ T cells. Furthermore, the influx of inflammatory DCs into the draining lymph nodes from the circulation has an important role in activating potential T helper 1 (Th1) cell responses to respiratory virus infection. Following the resolution of acute infection, CD103+ and CD11b+ respiratory DCs continue to ferry and present residual antigens to memory T cells, and as a consequence they influence the trafficking and recall responses of antiviral memory T cells.
T cell-mediated antiviral responses

Following their encounter with APCs in the draining lymph nodes, naive (and memory) virus-specific T cells undergo a stepwise process of activation, proliferation and differentiation to become effector T cells that can migrate to the site of infection and mediate antiviral immune responses.

**Effector mechanisms.** The effector mechanisms used by antiviral T cells can be categorized into three groups. First, there are T cell-associated lytic mechanisms that promote the lysis of infected cells following the exocytosis of perforin- and granzyme-containing granules. Second, T cells can induce tumour necrosis factor receptor (TNFR) family-dependent apoptosis of infected cells by expressing CD95 ligand (also known as FAS ligand) or TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL). Third, T cells can produce pro-inflammatory mediators (and regulatory mediators (see below)), in response to encounter with virus-infected cells. Much of our current knowledge of these processes and their role in virus clearance has come from studies on the host adaptive immune T cell response to infection by a limited number of respiratory viruses, most notably influenza virus.

Several studies suggest a crucial role for the cytolytic functions of CD8+ effector T cells in influenza virus infection. These functions trigger the direct lysis of virus-infected cells and require T cell receptor-mediated recognition of processed viral antigens on the infected target cell54-56. With certain notable exceptions57,58, the release of particular pro-inflammatory mediators (such as IFNγ) by CD8+ T cells has only a modest impact on virus clearance and recovery.

There is also recent evidence from the influenza virus model that virus-infected respiratory tract cells (specifically infected alveolar epithelial cells) may be eliminated during the host response through the action of activated lytic macrophages that are capable of triggering the apoptosis of virus-infected cells through a TRAIL-dependent mechanism41. Accordingly, activated inflammatory mononuclear cells (rather than effector CD8+ T cells) that express TRAIL and are recruited into the virus-infected lungs could engage alveolar epithelial cells, which upregulate TRAIL receptor early in response to infection, and trigger alveolar epithelial cell apoptosis. The contribution of this mechanism to the control of virus replication and to the development of alveolar damage in the infected respiratory tract awaits further evaluation.

Effector CD4+ T cells have been demonstrated to exhibit cytotoxic activity in vitro, but the contribution of CD4+ T cell cytolysis to virus clearance in vivo in the lungs is modest59,60. This cytotoxicity is restricted to viral antigen-bearing cells that express MHC class II molecules; such cells include CD45+ inflammatory mononuclear phagocytic cells and a few CD45- lung parenchymal cell types that constitutively (or inductively) express MHC class II molecules (such as type II alveolar epithelial cells)59. (TABLE 1). Results from experimental infection studies suggest that the primary role of antiviral CD4+ T cells is to support the activation and differentiation of B cells, which leads to antibody production58,59.

**Modulating effector T cell responses in situ.** The classical view of antiviral effector T cell generation posits that the proliferative expansion necessary for the differentiation of activated naive antiviral T cells into effector T cells occurs primarily, if not exclusively, in the draining lymph nodes. The first hint that this earlier view may be incomplete in the case of respiratory virus infection came from studies demonstrating that activated effector T cells can undergo extensive proliferation in the respiratory tract60-61. More recent studies indicate a requirement for effector T cells in the infected lungs to interact with CD45+ inflammatory mononuclear phagocytes, which produce cytokines (such as IL-15, which they trans-present) and/or express co-stimulatory molecules (such as CD70) to support the proliferation and sustain the viability of the effector T cells62-64.

In addition, a recent study demonstrated that virus-specific CD8+ effector T cells can produce the regulatory cytokine IL-10 in response to antigenic stimulation but do so only following their migration into the virus-infected lungs65. It is noteworthy that a burst of T cell-derived IL-10 production was shown to occur at the time of the initial influx of effector T cells into the lungs. This burst coincides with the peak of production of pro-inflammatory mediators such as IFNγ by the CD8+ effector T cells and with the onset of virus clearance66. IL-10-producing effector CD8+ T cells have been shown to simultaneously produce the pro-inflammatory cytokine IFNγ, and the production of both of these cytokines by CD8+ T cells decreases with virus clearance65. It remains to be determined whether this transition from an effector T cell capable of producing pro-inflammatory cytokines in the draining lymph nodes to an effector T cell that can produce both pro-inflammatory and regulatory cytokines after entering the virus-infected lungs represents a change in the activation state or in the differentiation state of the activated T cell. However, an examination of IL-10 production by CD8+ effector T cells in vivo in infected lungs66 revealed that the production of this regulatory cytokine required exposure of the T cells in the infected lungs to IL-2 derived from antiviral CD4+ effector T cells and IL-27 produced by inflammatory mononuclear cells and neutrophils. These and other observations67 favour the view that the exposure of CD8+ effector T cells to pro-inflammatory (IL-27) and survival (IL-2) stimuli in the infected lungs enhances T cell activation, thereby facilitating IL10 gene expression.

An early in vitro analysis of the regulation of T cell effector activity suggested that there was an expression hierarchy in T cell effector activities that was dependent on the strength of the activating stimulus68. According to this analysis, CD8+ T cell cytotoxic activity had the least stringent requirement for co-stimulatory and cytokine signals, followed by T cell proliferation, with pro-inflammatory cytokine production requiring the strongest co-stimulatory signals. Very recently, evidence has emerged from an in vivo analysis of T cell responses to respiratory virus infection to support this concept69. In this study, effector CD8+ T cell activation by influenza virus-derived antigens that were presented by infected CD45+ respiratory epithelial cells resulted in
T cell–mediated killing of the infected epithelial cells without the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines. By contrast, effector CD8+ T cell activation by viral antigens that were presented by interstitial CD45+ inflammatory cells (primarily LY6C+CD11c+ inflammatory mononuclear phagocytes) induced cell-mediated cytotoxicity and pro-inflammatory cytokine production by the effector CD8+ T cells. The mechanism (or mechanisms) that account for this difference in the T cell response to APC or target cell recognition remains to be fully elucidated.

However, one crucial difference between the recognition of CD45+ APCs and that of CD45- target cells by effector T cells is the expression of co-stimulatory ligands by APCs but not by target cells. Indeed, blockade of the co-stimulatory molecules CD80 and CD86 in vitro or in vivo suppresses the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines by effector CD8+ T cells without affecting their cytotoxic activity in vitro or virus clearance in vivo. Thus, the engagement of co-stimulatory receptors on effector CD8+ T cells by APCs would provide the additional signal strength necessary for the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines by the T cells. Several reports have evaluated the contribution of specific co-stimulatory receptor–ligand interactions in regulating the host adaptive immune response and the outcome of respiratory virus infection. However, in most instances no specific distinction was made between the contribution of these co-stimulatory receptor–ligand interactions during the induction phase of the response in the draining lymph nodes and during the effector phase in the infected lungs.

The above results may have important implications for therapeutic intervention in respiratory tract infections with viruses (such as influenza virus) in which productive infection (that is production of infectious virions) is restricted to CD45- respiratory epithelial cells. More generally, these observations add to the emerging picture that, after entering the infected lungs, effector T cells are altered or moulded by the inflammatory milieu encountered in situ. Such alterations could include changes in activation state (such as increased avidity of the T cell receptor for its target peptide–MHC complex on APCs), in effector activity (such as the types and/or amounts of mediators produced) and in viability, and possibly the differentiation of certain effector T cells into memory T cells.

### Acute control of pulmonary inflammation

Multiple cell types express immunosuppressive molecules to maintain pulmonary homeostasis. This suppressive state is disrupted by the action of innate and adaptive immune cells responding to infection. Their responses facilitate virus clearance but also can produce excessive pulmonary inflammation and tissue damage (reviewed in REF. 76) (FIG. 2).

Forkhead box P3 (FOXP3)- regulatory T (Treg) cells have an important role in maintaining immune homeostasis by suppressing inflammation in naive hosts. However, it has recently been demonstrated that activated Treg cells can acquire unique phenotypes that enhance their ability to control exaggerated inflammation. Following respiratory virus infection, Treg cells in the lungs have been shown to express effector Treg cell markers. For instance, the expression of the +Treg cell–associated transcription factor T-bet is upregulated in lung Treg cells during influenza virus infection and is associated with enhanced Treg cell–mediated suppression of excessive T1,1-type inflammatory responses. Similarly, BLIMP1 (B lymphocyte–induced maturation protein 1; also known as PRDM1), which is required for the terminal differentiation of effector T cells, is necessary for the enhanced production of IL-10 by Treg cells during influenza virus infection. The depletion of Treg cells leads to excessive pulmonary inflammation and injury during respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) infection. Furthermore, the adoptive transfer of Treg cells into recombination-activating gene (RAG)-deficient mice (which lack B and T cells) controls the otherwise lethal inflammation mediated by innate immune cells during influenza virus infection. These data suggest that Treg cells may be crucial for controlling acute host inflammation and for restoring lung homeostasis following respiratory virus infection, although the mechanisms underlying these functions are poorly understood.

In addition to effector Treg cells, conventional effector CD4+ and CD8+ T cells have recently been shown to exert a regulatory function through the production of high levels of IL-10 during influenza virus, RSV or simian virus 5 infection. Blocking T cell–derived IL-10 results in excessive pulmonary inflammation characterized by increased accumulation of CD45+ inflammatory cells and enhanced production of pro-inflammatory mediators during influenza virus or RSV infection. As mentioned above, the production of IL-10 by effector T cells is primarily restricted to the site of infection rather than the site of T cell priming, suggesting that the local environment of the infected lungs can instruct the function of effector T cells.

Various innate immune cell types may also act to suppress excessive inflammation arising from antiviral immune responses (TABLE 1). pDCs have a crucial role in restricting excessive T cell–mediated inflammation during experimental RSV infection through an unknown mechanism. In addition, neutrophils can facilitate viral clearance and dampen inflammation, and lung epithelial cells can inhibit the over-exuberant inflammatory activities of macrophages through the expression of the inhibitory molecule CD200 during influenza virus infection. Furthermore, classically activated phagocytes (such as inflammatory DCs and macrophages) can be significant contributors to disease pathogenesis during influenza virus infection, whereas alternatively activated macrophages have a regulatory function in RSV infection, dampening virus-induced inflammation driven by innate immune cells. Of note, activation of the nuclear receptor peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPARγ) — a transcription factor that controls the differentiation of monocyte precursors into alternatively activated macrophages — suppresses lethal inflammation during influenza virus infection. Therefore, the differentiation state of the responding immune cell may have a crucial role in the resolution of inflammation and the outcome of virus infection (TABLE 1).
The immunosuppressive cytokine TGFβ1 is constitutively expressed by many lung cell types, most notably epithelial cells, which express the integrins required to convert latent TGFβ1 into the active form and can therefore maintain immune homeostasis in the normal lung. Administration of TGFβ1 to mice during influenza virus or RSV infection impairs virus clearance but suppresses the associated lung inflammation, whereas inhibition of TGFβ1 during influenza virus infection results in lethal tissue injury. Interestingly, whereas the neuraminidase protein from certain influenza virus strains can process latent TGFβ1 into its active form and thereby potentially dampen pulmonary inflammation during infection, neuraminidase from the highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza virus cannot process TGFβ1 (Ref. 92). Therefore the ability (or inability) of a virus to activate or inhibit host immunoregulatory mechanisms (such as TGFβ1 activation) may contribute to viral pathogenesis. So, there appear to be multiple layers of immunoregulation during acute respiratory virus infection at both the cellular level (such as Treg cells and other cells) and the molecular level (such as IL-10, CD200 and TGFβ1) (FIG. 2).

**Bacterial superinfection following virus clearance**

Epidemiological evidence has clearly suggested that respiratory virus infection, in particular type A influenza virus infection, increases the incidence and severity of secondary bacterial infections in the respiratory tract. Histological analyses of infected lung tissue indicates that bacterial superinfection resulting in secondary bacterial pneumonia was the predominant cause of death during the 1918 type A influenza virus pandemic and the more recent H1N1 pandemic. In a mouse model of bacterial superinfection, type A influenza virus infection increases the susceptibility of mice to various bacterial infections, including respiratory challenge with *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Staphylococcus aureus* or *Haemophilus influenzae* and systemic *Listeria monocytogenes* infection. Increased susceptibility to bacterial superinfection typically starts as early as day 3 and continues until at least 2 weeks post type A influenza virus infection. The prevailing opinion to explain this susceptibility to superinfection has, for many years, been that type A influenza virus infection damages epithelial cells and degrades the barrier function of the epithelium, thereby facilitating bacterial colonization of the respiratory tract. However, evidence has emerged over the past decade suggesting that type A influenza virus infection also alters the function of innate and adaptive immune cells, resulting in a failure to effectively control bacterial replication (FIG. 3).

Among the various immune cell types, lung-resident alveolar macrophages are the first line of defence against bacteria in the respiratory tract, as they are capable of both limiting bacterial outgrowth and orchestrating the recruitment and activation of other immune cells to restrict bacterial growth. Type A influenza virus infection reduces the numbers of alveolar macrophages by promoting their apoptosis and also suppresses the function of these cells through direct mechanisms (such as infection of the macrophages) and indirect mechanisms (via host factors induced by the infection). Alveolar macrophages from lungs infected with type A influenza virus show increased expression of the CD200 receptor (CD200R), ligation of which suppresses alveolar macrophage activation in response to secondary bacterial challenge. Furthermore, alveolar macrophages from virus-infected lungs exhibit decreased expression of the scavenger receptor MARCO (macrophage receptor with collagenous structure) and a diminished ability to ingest bacteria. They also produce only low levels of the cytokines and chemokines required for orchestrating the responses of other cell types against bacterial infection, such as neutrophil recruitment and activation. The diminished chemokine production by alveolar macrophages from virus-infected lungs is associated with decreased translocation of nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) to the...
Respiratory virus infection and susceptibility to secondary bacterial infection.

Multiple distinct mechanisms have been postulated to account for the increased susceptibility to bacterial superinfection and bacterial pneumonia following infection with respiratory viruses such as type A influenza viruses. Influenza virus infection induces the production of type I interferons (IFNs), which inhibit the recruitment of circulating neutrophils and macrophages to the lung following bacterial challenge. Type I IFNs also inhibit the differentiation of antibacterial T helper 17 (T\(_{\text{H17}}\)) cells from naive T cells (T\(_{\text{0}}\) cells) or other T\(_{\text{H}}\) cell types (such as T\(_{\text{H1}}\) and T\(_{\text{H2}}\) cells)\(^{101}\) and thereby potentiates host susceptibility to secondary bacterial infection. IFN\(\gamma\) production by influenza virus-specific effector T cells decreases the expression of macrophage receptor with collagenous structure (MARCO) by alveolar macrophages and inhibits the ingestion of bacteria by these cells. Moreover, interleukin-10 (IL-10) production by influenza virus-specific effector T cells may inhibit the ability of innate immune cells, in particular macrophages, to kill bacteria. Finally, the direct interaction and/or infection of innate immune cells — such as macrophages, neutrophils and natural killer (NK) cells — with influenza virus suppresses the ability of these cells to take up and kill bacteria.

In addition to suppressing alveolar macrophages, influenza virus infection inhibits the recruitment of circulating monocytes and macrophages during responses to bacterial challenge through type I IFN-dependent mechanisms\(^{102}\). Neutrophils have a crucial role in clearing pulmonary bacterial infection, but those isolated from influenza virus–infected lungs exhibit impaired responses to bacterial challenge\(^{102}\). Indeed, influenza virus infection of (or binding to) neutrophils in vitro results in a direct inhibition of neutrophil chemotaxis and superoxide production\(^{103}\). In vivo, influenza virus can directly infect neutrophils in the lung and, thus, may directly suppress the function of neutrophils during secondary bacterial challenge\(^{104}\). Furthermore, type I IFN production induced during primary influenza virus infection can directly inhibit neutrophil infiltration into the lung and/or increase the apoptosis of neutrophils\(^{105}\).

Natural killer (NK) cells, via their release of antibacterial factors, can also serve as important effector cells during certain bacterial infections\(^{106}\). However, NK cells isolated from influenza virus–infected lungs show decreased production of the antibacterial effector cytokine TNF\(^{106}\), although the mechanisms underlying this defect in NK cell function during influenza virus infection remain elusive. NK cells are reported to be susceptible to infection by influenza virus, and infected NK cells have various defects in effector activities such as cytotoxicity and cytokine and chemokine production\(^{107}\).

Influenza virus infection induces highly polarized T\(_{\text{H1}}\)-type immune responses characterized by the production of IFN\(\gamma\). Of note, neutralization of IFN\(\gamma\) during influenza virus infection restores MARCO expression by macrophages, increases bacterial ingestion and killing by macrophages and enhances host resistance to secondary bacterial infection\(^{108}\). Highly polarized CD4\(^+\) T\(_{\text{H1}}\) cells and type 1 CD8\(^+\) cytotoxic T cells are also a major source of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 during influenza virus infection\(^{108,109}\). IL-10 has been implicated in the susceptibility to secondary bacterial infections following influenza virus infection. In one study, the blockade of IL-10 enhanced the clearance of a secondary infection with S. pneumoniae\(^{110}\). However, the role of IL-10 during secondary bacterial infection remains controversial, as two recent reports using IL-10-deficient mice found a minimal role for IL-10 in regulating host susceptibility to secondary bacterial challenge\(^{109,109}\). T\(_{\text{H17}}\) cell responses are also crucial for host resistance to challenges with certain bacteria, including S. pneumoniae and S. aureus\(^{111}\), and influenza virus-induced production of type I IFNs has been shown to suppress the subsequent development of T\(_{\text{H1}}\) cells in response to bacterial superinfection\(^{111}\).

In summary, emerging evidence suggests that one or more of the regulatory mechanisms (for example, the expression of CD200R, IL-10 and/or TGF\(\beta1\)) that are used by innate and adaptive immune cells to suppress excess inflammation during acute respiratory virus infections may facilitate bacterial colonization by diminishing the recruitment and function of phagocytes and the subsequent destruction of bacterial pathogens [Fig. 3]. If immune mechanisms aimed at controlling virus replication and/or excessive inflammation in the virus-infected lungs predispose the individual to bacterial superinfection, then therapeutic strategies to prevent bacterial superinfection in the lungs must balance the need to prevent virus replication and excessive inflammation during virus clearance with the need to optimize the antibacterial functions of innate immune phagocytes.

Lower respiratory tract virus infections can, if severe, also alter lung function for an extended period after virus clearance and potentially predispose to, or at least exacerbate, chronic pulmonary inflammatory diseases such as asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Box 1).
Box 1 | Pulmonary diseases as sequelae of respiratory virus infection

Interleukin-13 (IL-13) is a crucial regulator of the development of chronic airway diseases, including asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). It has also been shown to have an essential role in various models of virus-induced airway diseases, although the specific cellular sources of IL-13 differ among the various models. Classically, T helper 2 (T$_2$) cells are thought to be responsible for the development of asthma-like diseases following infection by a respiratory virus, such as respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) or Sendai virus. In a model of Sendai virus-induced chronic disease, IL-13 production by invariant natural killer T (iNKT) cells and alternatively activated macrophages induced persistent mucus production and airway inflammation. In influenza virus-induced airway hyperreactivity, IL-13 was primarily produced by the recently described type 2 innate lymphoid cells (ILC2 cells; also known as nuocytes and natural helper cells) in response to IL-33 and was responsible for the induction of airway hyperreactivity during the acute phase of influenza virus infection. Interestingly, ILC2 cells also have an important role in the repair of the damaged lung during influenza virus infection through a mechanism dependent on amphiregulin (an epidermal growth factor family member). This suggests that the development of chronic diseases following acute respiratory virus infection may be an aberrant by-product of the lung repair process.

Conclusions

The recent advances in the identification and characterization of distinct subsets of DCs within the respiratory tract, along with the elucidation of their functions as APCs before and during virus infection, offer the promise of developing newer and more effective vaccination strategies against viral and other respiratory tract pathogens. The emerging evidence that the spectrum of effector activity exhibited by antiviral CD8$^+$ T cells may differ depending on the level of co-stimulation that they receive raises the possibility that in the case of respiratory viruses, for which replication is restricted to respiratory epithelium, excessive inflammation associated with severe infection can be controlled by blocking co-stimulatory signals, without altering immune-mediated virus clearance.

More generally, recent evidence strongly suggests that the activation state and function of effector T cells in virus-infected lungs are sculpted by the local milieu generated by the resident and infiltrating inflammatory cells and the factors that they produce in response to virus infection. This additional moulding of the immune response at the site of infection will have an impact on the process of virus clearance and an equally important effect on the regulation of acute inflammation, and possibly immunological memory.

Resolution of respiratory virus infection requires not only the elimination of the virus but also the repair and regeneration of normal lung structures and, most importantly, the restoration of normal pulmonary function. Although at present the resolution response is only poorly understood and appreciated, the cells and molecules of the innate and adaptive immune system that respond to virus infection in the lower respiratory tract will almost certainly be shown to contribute. Whether and how these cells and molecules regulate processes such as epithelial cell to mesenchymal cell transitions, as well as the transformation of fibroblasts and fibrocytes into myofibroblasts, will become an area of intense research, as dysregulation of these processes in response to lung inflammation is associated with progressive pulmonary injury and lung fibrosis.
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