First molecular detection and characterization of zoonotic *Bartonella* species in fleas infesting domestic animals in Tunisia
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Abstract

**Background:** Bartonellosis is an emerging vector-borne disease caused by different intracellular bacteria of the genus *Bartonella* (Rhizobiales: *Bartonellaceae*) that is transmitted primarily by blood-sucking arthropods such as sandflies, ticks and fleas. In Tunisia, there are no data available identifying the vectors of *Bartonella* spp. In our research, we used molecular methods to detect and characterize *Bartonella* species circulating in fleas collected from domestic animals in several of the country’s bioclimatic areas.

**Results:** A total of 2178 fleas were collected from 5 cats, 27 dogs, 34 sheep, and 41 goats at 22 sites located in Tunisia’s five bioclimatic zones. The fleas were identified as: 1803 *Ctenocephalides felis* (83%) (Siphonaptera: Pulicidae), 266 *C. canis* (12%) and 109 *Pulex irritans* (5%) (Siphonaptera: Pulicidae). Using conventional PCR, we screened the fleas for the presence of *Bartonella* spp., targeting the citrate synthase gene (*gltA*). *Bartonella* DNA was detected in 14% (121/866) of the tested flea pools [estimated infection rate (EIR) per 2 specimens: 0.072, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.060–0.086]. The *Bartonella* infection rate per pool was broken down as follows: 55% (65/118; EIR per 2 specimens: 0.329, 95% CI: 0.262–0.402) in *C. canis*; 23.5% (8/34; EIR per 2 specimens: 0.125, 95% CI: 0.055–0.233) in *P. irritans* and 6.7% (48/714; EIR per 2 specimens: 0.032, 95% CI: 0.025–0.045) in *C. felis*. Infection rates, which varied significantly by bioclimatic zone (*P* < 0.0001), were highest in the humid areas. By sequencing, targeting the *gltA* gene and the 16S–23S rRNA Intergenic Spacer Regions (ITS), we identified three *Bartonella* zoonotic species: *B. elizabethae*, *B. henselae*, *B. clarridgeiae*, as well as uncharacterized *Bartonella* genotypes.

**Conclusions:** To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that fleas in Tunisia have been shown to carry zoonotic species of *Bartonella*. The dog flea, *Ctenocephalides canis*, should be considered the main potential vector of *Bartonella*. Our study not only provides new information about this vector, but also offers a public health update: medical practitioners and farmers in Tunisia should be apprised of the presence of *Bartonella* in fleas and implement preventive measures.
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Background
Global changes, including climate and land use, are among the most significant influences on the distribution and density of hematophagous arthropod species, which may affect zoonotic diseases including bartonellosis, caused by bacteria of the genus *Bartonella* (fastidious hemotrophic Gram-negative, facultative intracellular organisms) belonging to the α2-subgroup of the proteobacteria. These organisms can infect animal and human erythrocytes and endothelial cells and cause polymorphic clinical diseases [1]. A host can be infected by *Bartonella* by transusions/organ transplants, arthropod saliva (fleas, sand flies, lice and ticks) or by flea feces in the case of Cat-Scratch-Disease (CSD) [1, 2].

Several tools that are useful and more sensitive than culture and serological techniques, including conventional PCR, RFLP, MST, MLVA and also the pre-enrichment culture using *Bartonella*-Alpha-Proteobacteria-Growth Medium combined with PCR and sequencing (ePCR) [3], have been developed to simultaneously detect and characterize *Bartonella* species and subspecies in hosts and vectors [4, 5]. More than 35 species and three subspecies have been identified to date, of which 17 species are involved or associated with an expanding spectrum of animal and human diseases. These include Carrion’s disease, trench fever, bacillary angiomatosis, endocarditis and CSD [6, 7]. CSD the most common zoonotic infection caused by *Bartonella henselae*, which is transmitted by cat fleas, *Ctenocephalides felis*. *Bartonella henselae*, which is frequently transmitted to humans by a cutaneous trauma (scratch and/or bite) from an infected cat [8], was recently implicated in an intraocular inflammation caused by CSD in an American patient [9]. Several cases of *B. quintana* endocarditis were also reported [10, 11].

Serological studies conducted in Tunisia showed canine seropositivity for *B. vinsonii*, *B. henselae*, *B. clarridgeiae* and *B. bovis*. This correlated well with tick and/or flea infestations of the dogs that were tested [12]. Moreover, a recent molecular study detected “*Candidatus B. merieuxii*” DNA in dogs [13]. While several clinical, molecular and serological studies have demonstrated that *Bartonella* species circulate among patients and dogs in Tunisia [8–10, 12], no data about the role of fleas as potential vectors are available.

This research used molecular methods to detect and characterize *Bartonella* species circulating in fleas collected from domestic animals and to compare its infection rates in Tunisia’s various bioclimatic areas.

Methods
Flea collection and identification
An entomological investigation was carried out to collect fleas infesting domestic animals (dogs, cats, goats and sheep) in 22 sites located in Tunisia’s five bioclimatic zones (humid, sub-humid, semi-arid, arid and Saharan, Fig. 1). Individual fleas were placed in labeled flasks and stored in 70% ethanol. All specimens were identified to species level using the standard taxonomic keys [14]. A distribution map of collected fleas, according to the bioclimatic areas, was made using ArcGIS 9 software (version 9.3.1).

DNA extraction from fleas
For DNA extraction, fleas from the same species, of the same sex, from the same host in the same site were pooled (2 fleas per pool, to reduce testing costs). The identified fleas were removed from the 70% ethanol flasks, washed, dried, and minced with disposables scalpels, and placed in 200 µl ATL buffer. We followed the manufacturer’s instructions for the QIAamp DNA tissue extraction kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The final elution step was done using 90 µl of AE buffer and then stored at -20 °C for further use. To monitor for contamination during the processes, an extraction control (distilled water) for every 10 flea pools was added in each extraction experiment. The DNA concentration was determined with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (NanoDrop ND-1000 Technologies, Wilmington, DE), and ranged between 50 and 400 ng/µl. For the PCR reaction, the extracted DNA was diluted to reach a suitable concentration (50 ng/µl).

Detection of *Bartonella* spp. by PCR, DNA sequencing and phylogenetic relationships
Fleas were screened for the presence of *Bartonella* spp. using the citrate synthase gene (*gltA*). The amplification of *Bartonella* DNA was carried out as described by Norman et al. [15] using the forward primer BhCS.7p (5′-GGG GAC CAG CTC ATG GTG G-3′) and the reverse primer BhCS.1137n (5′-AAT GCA AAA AGA ACA GTA AAC A-3′), yielding a fragment of approximately 379 bp.

PCR amplifications were performed in a final volume of 25 µl containing 2.5 µl of 10× Taq buffer (Invitrogen, CA, USA), 1.5 µl of MgCl₂ (1.5 mM), 2.5 µl of deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate (200 µM), 0.5 µl of each primer (200 nM) and 0.75 U of Taq Platinum polymerase (Invitrogen, CA, USA), followed by the addition of 3 µl of flea DNA extracts. The amplification reaction was carried out in a thermocycler (Perkin Elmer 2400). PCR cycling conditions were 10 min at 94 °C for an initial denaturation, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 45 s at 51 °C, and 30 s at 72 °C, with a final extension of 7 min at 72 °C. Positive and negative controls were included in each series of experiments. To avoid false-positive results, negative controls included sterile water and *Bartonella*-negative fleas DNA were added as the templates in each run. DNA
extracted from *B. elizabethae* culture (kindly provided by Pr. Zanzen, Hospital Hedi Chaker Sfax, Tunisia) was used as a positive control. To avoid cross-contamination, the extraction, amplification and gel electrophoresis were done in separate rooms. PCR products were visualized by ethidium bromide staining after electrophoresis in a 1.5% agarose gel (Gellyphor, EuroClone, Milan, Italy) and their size was estimated by comparing them with Gene Ruler™ 100-bp DNA Ladder (MBI Fermentas, Vilnius, Lithuania) as a molecular marker. PCR of the 16S-23S rRNA Intergenic Spacer Regions (ITS) used the primers ITS2F (5'-GGG GCC GTA GCT CAG CTG-3') and ITS2R (5'-TGA ATA TAT CTT CTC TTC ACA ATT TC-3') [16]. For the ITS region, the PCR cycling conditions were performed as follows: 15 min at 94 °C for an initial denaturation followed by 35 cycles of 1 min at 94 °C, 35 s at 60 °C, and 30 s at 72 °C, with a final extension of 7 min at 72 °C. PCR products were visualized by ethidium bromide staining after electrophoresis in a 3% agarose gel (Gellyphor, EuroClone, Milan, Italy) and their size...
was estimated by comparing them with Gene RulerTM 50-bp DNA Ladder (Bio Basic Canada Inc., Markham, Canada). Gels were photographed using Gel Doc 2000 (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

All flea pools that had tested positive for the gltA Bartonella gene were confirmed by sequencing, targeting the two loci (gltA, ITS). Some PCR products were sequenced using the same oligonucleotides as for PCR to confirm the PCR results and to characterize the Bartonella species: PCR products were purified using the ExoSAP cleanup procedure (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, USA). All nucleotide sequences were obtained using the Big Dye Terminator v.3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA) and the 3130 automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA). Sequences were determined on both forward and reverse strands to maximize data accuracy for the two used loci. The gltA and ITS sequences identified in this study were submitted to the GenBank database (see Availability of data and materials). Data sequences were compared and analyzed using the BLAST program (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST).

The CLUSTAL W algorithm was used for sequence alignments and MEGA 6.06 software for phylogenetic analyses. Sequences of the gltA gene were used to construct a phylogenetic tree using the neighbor-joining method.

Statistical analysis
Estimated infection rates (EIR) of all analyzed pools and of each flea species with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated, based on equal pool sizes (2 fleas/tube), using the binGroup package [17] in the software R (R Core Team 2015).

The Chi-square test (EpiInfo 6.04) was used to compare the Bartonella infection rate of flea pools by species, animal host and bioclimatic area. The observed differences were considered significant when the resulting P-value was <0.05.

Results
Collection and identification of fleas
A total of 2178 fleas (67% females, 33% males) were collected from 5 cats (n=51), 27 dogs (n=664), 34 sheep (n=341) and 41 goats (n=1122) from all sites in Tunisia where the investigation was carried out. The largest number of fleas was removed from goats. *Ctenocephalides felis* was found on all examined animals (cats, dogs, sheep and goats) and was the dominant species of those collected (83%; n=1803), followed by *Ctenocephalides canis* (12%; n=266) and *Pulex irritans* (5%; n=109) (Table 1).

A predominance of females was observed in the collected *C. felis* (1249 females, 554 males) and *C. canis* (158 females, 108 males), whereas for *P. irritans* males outnumbered females (60 males, 49 females).

Cats, sheep and goats were infested exclusively with *C. felis*, while dogs were infested with *C. felis*, *C. canis* and *P. irritans* (Table 1).

PCR detection of Bartonella in collected fleas
Among the 2178 collected fleas, 1732 specimens pooled in 866 tubes (714 *C. felis* pools, 118 *C. canis* pools and 34 *P. irritans* pools) were screened for the presence of Bartonella DNA, which was detected in 14% (121/866) of the tested flea pools based on the gltA gene (EIR per 2 specimens: 0.072, 95% CI: 0.060–0.086); details are summarized in Table 2. The overall Bartonella infection rates per pool of *C. canis* and *P. irritans* were 55% (65/118) and 23.5% (8/34), respectively. For *C. felis*, only 6.7% (48/714) of the flea pool samples tested positive for Bartonella DNA (Table 2). The differences in the Bartonella infection rates per pool among the three flea species were statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 199.7; df = 2; P < 0.0001$). The largest number of infected *C. felis* was removed from cats (33.3%; 8/24) and dogs (16.6%; 24/144). However, 5.8% (10/170) and 1.6% (6/376), of infected *C. felis* were collected from sheep and goats, respectively. The differences in numbers of infected *C. felis* observed by animal host were statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 65.7; df = 3; P < 0.0001$). The Bartonella infection rate per pool of all identified flea species was analyzed by the animal host: The rates in the flea pools collected from cats (33.3%) and dogs (32.7%) was higher than in those collected from sheep (5.8%) and goats (1.6%) (Table 1). These differences were statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 151.6; df = 3; P < 0.0001$). In this study we found

| Flea species | Cat | Dog | Sheep | Goat | Total |
|--------------|-----|-----|-------|------|-------|
| *C. felis*   | 51  | 289 | 341   | 1122 | 1803  |
| *C. canis*   | 0   | 266 | 0     | 0    | 266   |
| *P. irritans*| 0   | 109 | 0     | 0    | 109   |
| Total        | 51  | 664 | 341   | 1122 | 2178  |
| Bartonella infection rate per pool in fleas % (X/Y) | 33.3 | 32.7 | 5.8 | 1.6 | 14 |

Table 1 The number of the identified flea species and Bartonella infection rate per flea pool by host species (gltA)

Abbreviations: X number of pools positive for Bartonella DNA, Y number of tested flea pools
that fleas (C. felis, C. canis and P. irritans) infected with Bartonella were collected from 11 of the 22 sites in the different bioclimatic areas (Fig. 1), where the Bartonella infection rates of flea pools varied significantly. The highest infection rate was observed in the humid zone in all analyzed pools and per each flea species with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI).

### Table 2 The estimated infection rate of Bartonella over all analyzed pools and per each flea species with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI)

| Flea species | Number of specimens | Pools tested | Positive pools | Estimated infection rate | 95% CI | Infection rate per pool (%) |
|--------------|---------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|
| C. felis     | 1428                | 714          | 48             | 0.0342                   | 0.0253–0.0450 | 6.7          |
| C. canis     | 236                 | 118          | 65             | 0.3298                   | 0.2628–0.4021 | 55           |
| P. irritans  | 68                  | 34           | 8              | 0.1255                   | 0.0552–0.2330 | 23.5         |
| Total        | 1732                | 866          | 121            | 0.0724                   | 0.0604–0.0860 | 14           |

### Sequence analysis

Only 81 out of 121 amplicons, obtained by targeting the gltA gene, were sequenced, while the remaining showed a weak positive signal on the electrophoresis gel. Sequencing made it possible to identify three zoonotic Bartonella species, B. henselae, B. elizabethae and B. clarridgeiae, as well as uncharacterized Bartonella genotypes. The number of sequencing samples and the distribution of Bartonella species detected in this study by bioclimatic zone, site, flea species, and animal host are summarized in Additional file 1: Table S1 and Table 3. Based on the gltA gene, a Bartonella sp. with an identity score between 98 and 100% with *B. henselae* isolated from human blood in France (GenBank: HG969191) was detected in 50 flea pool samples. A Bartonella sp. with 100% identity with *B. elizabethae* detected in dog ticks in Taiwan [18] (GenBank: GU056193), was detected in 8 flea pools. In addition, a Bartonella sp. with a 99% identity score with *B. clarridgeiae*, described in Switzerland [19] (GenBank: FN645454), was detected in 4 flea pools. A Bartonella sp. with an identity score varying between 97 and 100% with uncultured Bartonella sp. clone B224FnR isolated from rodents [20] (GenBank: KC763936), was detected in 8 flea pools. In addition, a Bartonella sp. with an identity score varying between 99 and 100% with Bartonella sp. BR10 detected in dog ticks in Taiwan [18] (GenBank: GU056200), was detected in 11 flea pools (Additional file 1: Table S1).

By sequencing the ITS region of 46 amplicons out of 81, we were able to confirm the identification of the three zoonotic Bartonella species (B. henselae, B. elizabethae and B. clarridgeiae) detected by the gltA gene, with an identity score between 99 and 100% with the published sequences in GenBank (HG969191, L35103 and FN645454, respectively). In addition, a Bartonella sp. with an identity score of 100% with Bartonella sp. Lao/Nh2 isolated from rodents (GenBank: EU714977) [21], was detected in 8 flea pools that were identified as Uncultured Bartonella sp. B224RnF clones TUN by gltA gene. Targeting the ITS region, we were unable to amplify and sequence the pools revealed positive for Uncultured Bartonella sp. BR 10 TUN clones by the gltA gene (Additional file 1: Table S1).

A gltA phylogenetic tree made it possible to visualize the arrangement of the Bartonella species identified in our study as compared to those identified in other studies. It showed that Tunisian sequences were clustered in 4 different groups (Fig. 2).

### Discussion

Fleas are blood-sucking ectoparasites that are important vectors of pathogens including the bacteria of the Bartonella genus. In our study, 2178 fleas were removed from cats, dogs, sheep and goats from rural and urban sites in Tunisia’s five bioclimatic zones. These animals spend most of their time outdoors exclusively. We observed a severe flea infestation in goats on several farms. Such highly infested animals can suffer from anemia and die as a result of untreated flea infestations, and create significant financial losses for their owners [22]. The collected fleas were identified as *C. felis*, *C. canis* and *P. irritans*. The cat flea, *C. felis* (83% of all collected fleas), an ubiquist ectoparasite, was found on all investigated animal species in all regions. The same predominance has been observed in Germany, where Beck et al. [23] identified 81% of the fleas removed from dogs and cats as *C. felis*, followed by *C. canis* and *P. irritans*. A survey...
conducted in Iran also showed *C. felis* to be the most frequent species infested dogs (42%), followed by *P. irritans* (26.5%) and *C. canis* (16.5%) [24]. The same observations have been reported elsewhere in North Africa, in Egypt [25], Algeria [26], Libya [22] and Morocco [27]. Such data show the adaptation of cat fleas to other animal species. By contrast, *C. canis* collected from dogs was most prevalent in Ireland [28] and New Zealand [29].

*Ctenocephalides canis* and *P. irritans* were found only on dogs in northern Tunisia (Tunis, Béni Khiar, Jouza); 40.7% (11/27) had a mixed infestation of *C. felis*, *C. canis* and *P. irritans* (Table 1). Our finding is unsurprising, since *P. irritans*, which is normally associated with humans, has also been detected on dogs in Libya [22], Morocco [27], France [30] and Iran [24].

Our study showed that *Bartonella* DNA was detected in 14% of flea pools analyzed by the gltA gene. This rate is lower than those in studies from the UK (17%; flea pools) [31] and France (26.2%; single specimens) [32], but higher than those in studies from the USA (11.3%; flea pools) [33], Israel (7.8%; flea pools) [34], Hungary (4%; flea pools) [35] and in countries neighboring Tunisia such as Morocco (4%; single specimens) [27] and Algeria (9.33%; single specimens). Our study showed variations in the *Bartonella* infection rates of flea pools in the different bioclimatic zones. The rates were highest in the humid area. No *Bartonella* infection was reported in the Saharan zone, confirming the results of a recent study undertaken in Tunisia showing that *Bartonella* seropositivity rates in dogs were highest in the humid zone [13].

The variation of *Bartonella* infection rates in countries, bioclimatic zones, and sites could be attributed to the detection methodologies used (culture or molecular approach), or to such bioclimatic parameters as temperature and humidity, the principal factors influencing flea survival, development, reproduction, and the abundance of *Bartonella* reservoirs. Fleas must therefore carefully studied to better understand the relationships among climatic factors, *Bartonella* hosts and vectors [24, 36, 37].

The *Bartonella* infection rates in the pools also vary with flea species: 55% for *C. canis*, 23.5% for *P. irritans* and 7% for *C. felis*. In Japan, all tested (single specimens) *C. canis* were infected with *Bartonella* compared to 33% in *C. felis* [38]. In Germany, the *Bartonella* infection rates of *C. felis* and *C. canis* were 2.4% and 0.4%, respectively [32].
The positive PCR products were sequenced and analyzed in this study; thus, we were able to report for the first time in Tunisia that fleas harbor three known Bartonella species, *B. elizabethae*, *B. henselae* and *B. clarridgeiae*, as well as uncharacterized Bartonella genotypes. The first three species are zoonotic and were also reported in fleas in neighboring countries, where *B. clarridgeiae* and *B. henselae* were identified in *C. felis* collected from cats, goats and sheep in Morocco [27]. In Algeria, *B. henselae*, *B. clarridgeiae* and *B. vinsonii berkholffii* were detected in *C. felis* and *Xenopsylla cheopsis* collected from cats and dogs [26] whereas *B. elizabethae*, *B. tribocorum* and *B. grahamii* were detected in fleas collected from hedgehogs, rats, and mice [39]. Based on the *gltA* gene and the ITS, we detected genotypes of uncultured *Bartonella* sp. (Bartonella sp. BR10 TUN, Uncultured *Bartonella* sp. clone B224RN TUN and Bartonella sp. Lao/Nh2 TUN). Bartonella sp. BR10 TUN was detected in *C. felis* and *C. canis* collected from dogs. This Bartonella species was also detected in fleas (*Pulex simulans*) and in brown dog ticks (*Rhipicephalus sanguinus*) collected from dogs in Taiwan and Costa Rica (Central America), respectively [18, 40]. In our study, the DNA of uncultured *Bartonella* sp. clone B224RN TUN (gltA) and uncultured Bartonella sp. Lao/Nh2 TUN (ITS) described in *P. irritans* collected from dogs were found in rodents in the USA and in Southeast Asia [20, 21].

The *gltA* phylogenetic tree shows that sequences of uncultured Bartonella sp. clone B224RN TUN group in the same cluster with *B. elizabethae* TUN and very closely to *B. tribocorum* and *B. grahamii*, which are commonly detected in rodents and micromammals (Fig. 2) [20, 21]. This suggested that Bartonella sp. clone B224RN TUN probably belongs to the rodent-borne pathogens. However, additional molecular markers are needed to identify the uncharacterized Bartonella genotypes. Bartonella species detected in fleas show that *B. henselae* (61.7%) was most frequent followed by uncharacterized Bartonella genotypes (23.4%), *B. elizabethae* (9.8%) and *B. clarridgeiae* (4.9%) (Additional file 1: Table S1, Table 3). We report that *C. felis* harbor different Bartonella species (*B. henselae*, *B. elizabethae*, *B. clarridgeiae* and uncharacterized Bartonella genotypes) while in *C. canis* we detected only *B. henselae* and uncharacterized Bartonella genotypes. Only uncharacterized Bartonella genotypes were detected in *P. irritans* (Table 3). The high frequency of *B. henselae* in our survey is unsurprising, given that it is considered to be the most common Bartonella species in infected fleas, mainly in *C. felis* [41]. In Morocco, *B. henselae*, the main agent of CSD, was also detected in *C. felis* collected from goats and sheep [27]. This species can be characterized by atypical symptoms and complications in 5–15% of CSD patients who may have encephalitis, retinitis, osteitis, atypical pneumonitis, neurological syndromes, and prolonged fever [41]. *Bartonella henselae* can multiply in the digestive system of the cat flea and survive for several days in flea feces [8].

In Tunisia, *Bartonella* endocarditis seems to be common. Indeed, 9.8% of infective endocarditis was caused essentially by *B. quintana*, while 2.5% were caused by *B. henselae* [9, 10]. The latest bacterium has also been involved in intracocular inflammation in Tunisian patients with CSD [9]. Humans are the principal, albeit not the sole, reservoir hosts for *B. quintana*, which was isolated from other hosts, including a cynomolgus monkey in Ireland [42] and dogs in New Zealand [43]. Endocarditis caused by *B. quintana*, which is a louse-borne pathogen, was associated with homelessness [44]. Recently, *B. quintana* DNA was detected in cat fleas, which means that this flea species may be an unrecognized vector for the transmission among cats of *B. quintana* [34]. It was also detected in the dental pulp of a cat in France [45] and was isolated in a cat and its owner in the USA [46].

*Bartonella* sp. was first reported in Tunisia in 49% of fat rats, *Psammomys obesus*, captured in the arid zone [46]. A molecular and serological study proved the presence of "*Candidatus B. merieuxii*", *B. vinsonii*, *B. henselae*, *B. clarridgeiae* and *B. bovis*, correlating with tick and/or flea infestations of examined dogs [12, 13]. Humans and dogs are accidental hosts of *B. henselae*, whereas cats are their natural reservoir [47]. *Bartonella vinsonii berkholffii*, *B. henselae* and *B. rochalimae* are the main species known to infect canids [47]. Recently, many other Bartonella species, including *B. elizabethae*, have been detected in domestic dogs [48], confirming our finding insofar as fleas collected from dogs were positive for *B. henselae* and *B. elizabethae*. We also reported that small ruminants were infested with *C. felis* that were positive for *B. elizabethae*, a rodent-associated zoonotic Bartonella species. It is likely that dogs, sheep and goats were infected with *B. elizabethae*, or that the fleas had been exposed to infected rodents. *B. elizabethae* DNA was previously detected in dog blood in the USA [49] and in fleas collected from dogs in Israel [32]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of *B. elizabethae* in fleas collected from sheep and goats. Infections caused by *B. elizabethae* in humans have been associated with endocarditis and neuroretinitis [50, 51].

Our results revealed that fleas infesting domestic cats were positive for *B. clarridgeiae* DNA. This species was first isolated in the USA, where a patient displayed worrying clinical signs six months after adopted an infested kitten [52]. Several studies report that *C. felis* could be a potential vector for *B. clarridgeiae* [53, 16], which was isolated from cats and dogs. Other surveys report that dogs living in rural areas and exposed to ticks and fleas are at greater risk of being infected with *Bartonella* spp.[54, 55].
Conclusions
Our study shows the presence of three flea species infesting domestic animals in Tunisia; Ctenocephalides felis was predominant in comparison to C. canis and P. irritans fleas. To our knowledge, this study has shown for the first time in Tunisia, that fleas carry three zoonotic Bartonella species, B. elizabethae, B. henselae and B. clarridgeiae, as well as uncharacterized Bartonella genotypes. Our results indicate that the dog flea, C. canis, should be considered to be the principal potential vector of Bartonella. The highest Bartonella infection rate of flea pools was recorded in the humid area of northern Tunisia. These data may open up prospects for further studies of the effect of bioclimatic factors on the biology of fleas and their infection by Bartonella. Extensive molecular studies are needed to identify the uncharacterized Bartonella genotypes. Given the high rate of Bartonella infection in fleas in Tunisia, medical practitioners, pet owners and farmers should be informed about the vectorial role of fleas in transmitting Bartonella.
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