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ABSTRACT. We construct an explicit family of arithmetic Teichmüller 
curves $\mathfrak{C}_{2k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, supporting $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$-invariant probabilities $\mu_{2k}$ such 
that the associated $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$-representation on $L^2(\mathfrak{C}_{2k}, \mu_{2k})$ has 
complementary series for every $k \geq 3$. Actually, the size of the spectral gap 
along this family goes to zero. In particular, the Teichmüller geodesic 
flow restricted to these explicit arithmetic Teichmüller curves $\mathfrak{C}_{2k}$ has 
arbitrarily slow rate of exponential mixing.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}_g$ be the moduli space of unit area Abelian differentials on a genus 
g \geq 1 Riemann surface. This moduli space is naturally stratified by pre-
scribing the list of orders of zeroes of Abelian differentials: more precisely,

$$\mathcal{H}_g = \bigcup_{\kappa = (k_1, \ldots, k_\sigma)} \mathcal{H}(\kappa)$$

where $\mathcal{H}(\kappa)$ is the set of unit area Abelian differentials with zeroes of orders 
k_1, \ldots, k_\sigma$. Here, we have the constraint $\sum_{j=1}^\sigma k_j = 2g - 2$ coming from 
Poincaré-Hopf formula. The terminology “stratification” here is justified 
by the fact that $\mathcal{H}(\kappa)$ is the subset of unit area Abelian differentials of the 
complex orbifold of complex dimension $2g + \sigma - 1$ of Abelian differentials 
with list of orders of zeroes $\kappa$. See [13, 20, 21, 22] for further details.

In general, the strata $\mathcal{H}(\kappa)$ are not connected but the complete classification 
of their connected components was performed by M. Kontsevich 
and A. Zorich [11]. As a by-product of [11], we know that every stratum 
has 3 connected components at most (and they are distinguished by certain 
invariants).

The moduli space $\mathcal{H}_g$ is endowed with a natural $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$-action such 
that the action of the diagonal subgroup $g_t := \text{diag}(e^{t}, e^{-t})$ corresponds to 
the so-called Teichmüller geodesic flow (see e.g. [22]).
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After the seminal works of H. Masur [13] and W. Veech [20], we know that any connected component $C$ of a stratum $H(\kappa)$ carries a unique $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$-invariant probability measure $\mu_C$ which is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure in local (period) coordinates. Furthermore, this measure is ergodic and mixing with respect to the Teichmüller flow $g_t$. In the literature, this measure is sometimes called *Masur-Veech measure*.

For Masur-Veech measures, A. Avila, S. Gouëzel and J.-C. Yoccoz [3] established that the Teichmüller flow is exponential mixing with respect to them. Also, using this exponential mixing result and M. Ratner’s work [16] on the relationship between rates of mixing of geodesic flows and *spectral gap* property of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$-representations, they were able to deduce that the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ representation $L^2(C, \mu_C)$ has spectral gap.

More recently, A. Avila and S. Gouëzel [2] were able to extend the previous exponential mixing and spectral gap results to general *affine* $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$-invariant measures.

Once we know that there is spectral gap for these representations, a natural question concerns the existence of *uniform* spectral gap. For Masur-Veech measures, this was informally conjectured by J.-C. Yoccoz (personal communication) in analogy with Selberg’s conjecture [19]. On the other hand, as it was recently noticed by A. Avila, J.-C. Yoccoz and the first author during a conversation, one can use a recent work of J. Ellenberg and D. McReynolds [6] to produce $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$-invariant measures supported on the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$-orbits of *arithmetic Teichmüller curves* (i.e., *square-tiled surfaces*) along the lines of Selberg’s argument to construct non-congruence finite index subgroups $\Gamma$ of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ with arbitrarily small spectral gap. We will outline this argument in Appendix A.

However, it is not easy to use the previous argument to exhibit explicit examples of arithmetic Teichmüller curves with arbitrarily small spectral gap. Indeed, as we’re going to see in Appendix A, the basic idea to get arithmetic Teichmüller curves with arbitrarily small spectral gap is to appropriately choose a finite index subgroup $\Gamma_2(N)$ of the principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma_2$ of level 2 so that $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2(N)$ corresponds to $N$ copies of $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2$ arranged cyclically in order to slow down the rate of mixing of the geodesic flow (since to go from the 0th copy to the $[N/2]$th copy of $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2$ it takes a time $\sim N$). In this way, it is not hard to apply Ratner’s work [16] to get a bound of the form $1 \lesssim N e^{-\sigma(N)N}$ where $\sigma(N)$ is the size of the spectral

---

1By *affine measure* we mean that it is supported on a locally affine (on period coordinates) suborbifold and its density is locally constant in affine coordinates. Conjecturally, all $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$-invariant measures on $H_g$ are affine, and, as it turns out, this conjecture was recently proved by A. Eskin and M. Mirzakhani [7].
gap of $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2(N)$. Hence, we get that the size $\sigma(N)$ of the spectral gap decays as $\lesssim \ln(N)/N$ along the family $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2(N)$. Thus, we will be done once we can realize $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2(N)$ as an arithmetic Teichmüller curve, and, in fact, this is always the case by the work of J. Ellenberg and D. McReynolds [6]: the quotient $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma$ can be realized by an arithmetic Teichmüller curve whenever $\Gamma$ is a finite index subgroup of $\Gamma_2$ containing $\{\pm \text{Id}\}$ (such as $\Gamma_2(N)$). In principle, this could be made explicit, but one has to pay attention in two parts of the argument: firstly, one needs to derive explicit constants in Ratner’s work (which is a tedious but straightforward work of bookkeeping constants); secondly, one needs rework J. Ellenberg and D. McReynolds article to the situation at hand (i.e., trying to realize $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2(N)$ as an arithmetic Teichmüller curve). In particular, since the spectral gap decays slowly ($\lesssim \ln(N)/N$) along the family $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2(N)$ and the Ellenberg-McReynolds construction involves taking several branched coverings, even exhibiting a single arithmetic Teichmüller curve with complementary series demands a certain amount of effort.

In this note, we propose an alternative way of constructing arithmetic Teichmüller curves with arbitrarily small spectral gap. Firstly, instead of getting a small spectral gap from slow of mixing of the geodesic flow, a sort of “dynamical-geometrical” estimate, we employ the so-called Buser inequality to get small spectral gap from the Cheeger constant, a more “geometrical” constant measuring the ratio between the length of separating multicurves and the area of the regions bounded by these multicurves on the arithmetic Teichmüller curve. As a by-product of this procedure, we will have a family $\Gamma_6(2k)$ of finite index subgroups of $\Gamma_6$ (the level 6 principal congruence subgroup) also obtained by a cyclic construction such that the size of the spectral gap of $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6(2k)$ decays as $\lesssim 1/k$ (where the implied constant can be computed effectively). Secondly, we combine some parts of Ellenberg-McReynolds methods [6] with the ones of the second author [17] to explicitly describe a family of arithmetic Teichmüller curves birational to a covering of $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6(2k)$ (that is, the Veech group of the underlying square-tiled surface is a subgroup $\Gamma_6(2k)$). As a by-product of this discussion, we show the following result:

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that $k \geq 3$.

i) For any origami whose Veech group $\Gamma$ is a subgroup of $\pm \Gamma_6(2k)$, its Teichmüller curve exhibits complementary series and the spectral gap of the regular representation associated to $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma$ is smaller than $1/k$.

ii) The Veech group of the origami $Z_k$ (defined in Definition 4.1) of genus $48k + 3$ and $192k$ squares is contained in $\pm \Gamma_6(2k)$. In particular, its Teichmüller curve $C_{2k}$ exhibits complementary series and
this family of origamis gives an example that there is no uniform lower bound for the spectral gaps associated to Teichmüller curves.

We organise this note as follows. In Section 2, we present the cyclic construction leading to the family of finite index subgroups \( \Gamma_6(2k) \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), of \( \Gamma_6 \). We show that the size of the spectral gap of \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6(2k) \) decays as \( \lesssim 1/k \). In a nutshell, we consider the genus 1 curve \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6 \), cut along an appropriate closed geodesic, and glue cyclically \( 2k \) copies of \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6 \). This will produce the desired family \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6(2k) \) because the multicurves consisting of the two copies of our closed geodesic at the 0th and \( k \)th copies of \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6 \) divide \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6(2k) \) into two parts of equal area, see Figure 3. Thus, since the area of \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6(2k) \) grows linearly with \( k \) while the length of the multicurves remains bounded, we’ll see that the Cheeger constant decays as \( \lesssim 1/k \), and, a fortiori, the size of the spectral gap decays as \( \lesssim 1/k \) by Buser inequality. Proposition 2.8 and Remark 2.9 then show i) of Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we describe an explicit family of square-tiled surfaces whose Veech group is \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \). Then, we give a condition that coverings of them have a Veech group which is contained in \( \pm \Gamma_6(2k) \), i.e., its Teichmüller curve is birational to a covering of \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6(2k) \). These two sections can be read independently from each other. Finally, in the last section, we prove ii) of Theorem 1.1 by constructing explicit origamis which satisfy the given conditions. In particular, as our “smallest” example, we construct an origami with 576 squares whose Veech group is a subgroup of \( \Gamma_6(6) \) and, a fortiori, whose Teichmüller curve exhibits complementary series (see Corollary 4.3).

A word on notation. During our discussion, sometimes we will need to shift our considerations from \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) to \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm \text{Id}\} \) (and vice-versa). So, in order to avoid potential confusion, each time we have a subgroup \( \Gamma \) of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \), we will denote by \( \text{P}\Gamma \) the image of \( \Gamma \) under the natural map \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \).

A word on background. In the sequel, we will assume some familiarity with the notions of origamis (i.e., square-tiled surfaces), Veech groups and affine diffeomorphisms. The reader who wishes more information on these topics may consult e.g. the survey [10] of P. Hubert and T. Schmidt for a nice account on the subject. Note in particular that in this article the Veech group is a subgroup of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \) and we call its image in \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \) the projective Veech group. We denote both, the derivative map from the affine group to \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \) and its composition with the projection to \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \), by \( \text{D} \) and call also the latter map derivative map.
2. **Subgroups** $\Gamma_6(2k)$ **of** $\Gamma_6$ **with Complementary Series**

Recall that $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $T$ and $L$ with

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

We will also denote their images in $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ by $T$ and $L$. Recall furthermore that $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is the image of the principal congruence group $\Gamma_2$ of level 2 in $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, is generated by $x = T^2$ and $y = L^2$. Figure 1 below shows the Cayley graph of $\Gamma_2/\Gamma_6$ with respect to their images, where $\Gamma_6$ is the image of the principal congruence group $\Gamma_6$ of level 6 in $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. The Cayley graph is embedded into a genus 1 surface.

2.1. **The group** $\Gamma_6(2k)$. In this subsection we present one of our main actors, the group $\Gamma_6(2k)$, and another group (namely, $G_6(2k)$) closely related to it that will be crucial for our subsequent constructions. For this we give a closer look at the group $\Gamma_6$. As we mentioned above, the two elements

$$(2.1) \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

generate $\Gamma_2$. They even freely generate it, i.e. $\Gamma_2 \cong F(x, y)$, the free group in the two generators $x$ and $y$. Therefore the action of $\Gamma_2$ on the upper half plane as Fuchsian group is free and we may consider $\Gamma_2$ as well as the fundamental group of $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2$. Next, we note that the exact sequence:

$$1 \to \Gamma_6 \to \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) \to 1$$

restricts to the exact sequence

$$(2.2) \quad 1 \to \Gamma_6 \to \Gamma_2 \to 1 \times \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) \to 1$$

Thus $\Gamma_6$ is normal in $\Gamma_2$ of index 12 and the quotient is isomorphic to $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$.

---

2The principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma_N \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of level $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is the kernel of the homomorphism $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ given by reduction modulo $N$ of entries. See e.g. 4 for more details on these important subgroups of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. 
Remark 2.1. The following matrices are a system of coset representatives of $\Gamma_6$ in $\Gamma_2$ (compare Figure 1).

\[
\begin{align*}
A_1 &= \text{id}, & A_2 &= x \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & A_3 &= x^2 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
A_4 &= y^{-1}x \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, & A_5 &= y^{-1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & A_6 &= y \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
A_7 &= yx^{-1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, & A_8 &= y^{-1}x^{-1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, \\
A_9 &= yx \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, & A_{10} &= y^{-1}x^{-1}y \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
A_{11} &= yxy^{-1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, & A_{12} &= yxy^{-1}x^{-1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 1. The Cayley graph of $\Gamma_2/\Gamma_6$ with respect to the generators $T^2$ and $L^2$ drawn on the surface $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2$. (Edges with same labels are glued.) Arrows with double marking denote multiplication with $x = T^2$, arrows with triple marking multiplication with $y = L^2$. The matrices $A_1, \ldots, A_{12}$ are given in Remark 2.1.
Recall that \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2 \) has genus 0 and three cusps, and that one can choose a fundamental domain which is a hyperbolic geodesic quadrilateral with all four vertices on the boundary of \( \mathbb{H} \) and such that the edges are paired into neighboured edges which are identified (such a fundamental domain appears under the name \( \mathcal{F}_2 \) in Appendix A). Since \( \Gamma_6 \) is a subgroup of \( \Gamma_2 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \) acts freely, we obtain an unramified covering \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2 \) of degree 12. We have indicated this covering in Figure 1. The whole surface is \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6 \). Edges labelled by the same letter are identified by \( \Gamma_6 \). All vertices are cusps (also the ones inside the polygon!). Altogether the surface has 12 cusps and its genus is 1. The tessellation into quadrilaterals shows the covering map onto \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2 \). The thicker edges are all mapped to the same edge on \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2 \) and the same holds for the thinner edges.

Observe that the fundamental group of \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6 \) is generated by the directed closed paths indicated in Figure 2 below, one for each edge (we call the closed path as well as the corresponding element in \( \Gamma_2 \) by the letter that is labelling the edge) and by positively oriented simple loops around the cusps, one for each vertex inside the polygon (which we denote by the same letter as the vertex). Altogether \( \Gamma_6 \) is generated by the elements \( A, \ldots, G \) and the loops \( L_1, \ldots, L_6 \) (see Lemma 2.4 for the exact definition of the loops) and it is isomorphic to the free group \( F_{13} \).

Furthermore, notice that one nicely obtains the Cayley graph of \( \Gamma_2/\Gamma_6 \) embedded on \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2 \), see Figure 1. It is the dual graph to the tessellated surface: each quadrilateral represents one vertex, i.e. one coset. Two vertices are connected by an edge if and only if they share a common edge. For simpler notations let us choose an orientation on the edges: each edge connects a vertex with all emanating edges of the same thickness with a vertex that is adjacent to edges of different thickness. Choose the orientation of an edge from the uni-thickness vertex to the mixed vertex. Crossing a thin edge from right to the left then corresponds to multiplication by \( x \). Crossing a thick edge from left to the right corresponds to multiplication by \( y \), compare Figure 1.

We now consider a cyclic cover of degree \( 2k \) of \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6 \): we cut \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6 \) along the simple closed path \( c_1 \) crossing the edge \( B \) indicated in Figure 2, take \( 2k \) copies of this slitted surface and reglue them in a cyclic order. See Figure 3 below for a schematic picture. The fundamental group of this covering will be the group \( \Gamma_6(2k) \). We give a definition of this group using the monodromy of the covering.

---

3Here, we started with \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6 \) instead of \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2 \) because an efficient usage of Buser inequality (to detect complementary series for cyclic covers) depends on the fact that we can select a non-separating loop \( c_1 \) on our initial surface. Of course, such a choice of \( c_1 \) is possible on the genus 1 surface \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6 \) but not on the genus 0 surface \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2 \).
Definition 2.2. Let \( m : \Gamma_6 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(2k\mathbb{Z}) \) be the group homomorphism defined by:
\[
A \mapsto 1, \ B \mapsto 0, \ C \mapsto 1, \ D \mapsto 1, \ E \mapsto 1, \ F \mapsto 0, \ G \mapsto 0,
L_i \mapsto 0 \text{ for } i \in \{1, \ldots, 6\}
\]
Define \( \Pi_6(2k) \) to be the kernel of \( m \). In particular \( \Pi_6(2k) \) is a normal subgroup of index \( 2k \) in \( \Pi_6 \) and the quotient is the cyclic group \( \mathbb{Z}/(2k\mathbb{Z}) \). Denote its preimage in \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) by \( \pm\Gamma_6(2k) \).

Observe that the map \( m \) defined in Definition 2.2 assigns each element in the fundamental group of \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6 \) the oriented intersection number with the curve \( c_1 \) modulo \( 2k \).

\[
\text{Figure 2. The generators } A, \ldots, G \text{ of } \pi_1(\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6). \text{ Crossing a thin edge counter clockwise is multiplication by } x; \text{ crossing a thick edge clockwise is multiplication by } y (\text{compare explanation on p. 7}).
\]
One of the main goals of this note is to obtain origamis whose Veech groups are contained in $\Gamma_6(2k)$. However for the proof we will for technical reasons mainly work with another subgroup $G_6(2k)$ of $\Gamma_6$ (which turns out to be the image of $\Gamma_6(2k)$ under an isomorphism $\gamma$ of $\Gamma_6$) defined as follows.

**Definition 2.3.** Let $m_2 : \Gamma_6 \to \mathbb{Z}/(2k\mathbb{Z})$ be the group homomorphism defined by:

$$
A \mapsto 1, \quad B \mapsto -1, \quad C \mapsto 1, \quad D \mapsto 1, \quad E \mapsto 0, \quad F \mapsto -1, \quad G \mapsto -1,
$$

$L_i \mapsto 0$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, 6\}$

Define $G_6(2k)$ to be the kernel of $m_2$. In particular $G_6(2k)$ is again a normal subgroup of index $2k$ in $\Gamma_6$ and the quotient is the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/(2k\mathbb{Z})$.

One directly observes from Figure 4 below that $m_2$ gives the oriented intersection number modulo $2k$ with the simple closed curve $c_2$ crossing the edges $D$ and $G$ shown in the figure.
Lemma 2.4. Let $\gamma$ be the automorphism of $P\Gamma_2 \cong F_2$ defined by

$\gamma : x \mapsto y, \ y \mapsto x^{-1}y$

Then $G_6(2k) = \gamma(P\Gamma_6(2k))$.

Proof. First, observe that $\gamma$ (considered as an automorphism of $P\Gamma_2$) restricts to an automorphism of $P\Gamma_6$. To see this, we write the generators of $\Gamma_6$ in terms of $x$ and $y$. This can again be read off from Figure 2 (we do some choices for the loops $L_1, \ldots, L_6$ here):

\[
\begin{align*}
A &= yxyx, & B &= xyxy, & C &= xy^{-2}x, & D &= yxy^{-1}x^{-1}yx^{-1}, \\
E &= yx^{-1}yx^{-1}y, & F &= xy^{-2}xy, & G &= yx^{-1}yx^{-1}xy \\
L_1 &= x^3, & L_2 &= y^{-1}x^3y, & L_3 &= yx^3y^{-1}, & L_4 &= y^{-1}x^{-1}yx^3y^{-1}xy, \\
L_5 &= y^{-3}, & L_6 &= y^{-1}(x^{-1}y)^3y
\end{align*}
\]

(2.3)

Now we apply $\gamma^{-1} : x \mapsto xy^{-1}, y \mapsto x$ to them and obtain:

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma^{-1}(A) &= x^2y^{-1}x^2y^{-1} = L_1A^{-1}L_3, \\
\gamma^{-1}(B) &= xy^{-1}x^2y^{-1}x = FL_4L_6L_5C, \\
\gamma^{-1}(C) &= x^2y^{-1}x^{-1}y^{-1} = L_1A^{-1}, \\
\gamma^{-1}(D) &= x^2y^{-1}x^{-1}y^2 = L_1A^{-1}L_5^{-1}, \\
\gamma^{-1}(E) &= xyx^{-2}y = BL_2^{-1}, & \gamma^{-1}(F) &= xy^{-1}x^{-1}y^{-1}x = FL_6L_5C, \\
\gamma^{-1}(G) &= xy^2x^{-1}y^{-1}x = BL_6L_5C, & \gamma^{-1}(L_1) &= (xy^{-1})^3 = FG^{-1}, \\
\gamma^{-1}(L_2) &= (y^{-1}x)^3 = D^{-1}C, & \gamma^{-1}(L_3) &= x(xy^{-1})^3x^{-1} = L_1A^{-1}L_3EL_2B^{-1} \\
\gamma^{-1}(L_4) &= x^{-1}y(xy^{-1})^3y^{-1}x = C^{-1}L_5^{-1}L_6^{-1}L_5C, & \gamma^{-1}(L_5) &= x^{-3} = L_1^{-1} \\
\gamma^{-1}(L_6) &= x^{-1}y^3x = C^{-1}A
\end{align*}
\]

Observe now that for each generator $X$ we have $m(\gamma^{-1}(X)) = -m_2(X)$. We thus obtain:

\[
G_6(2k) = \text{kernel}(m_2) = \text{kernel}(-m_2) = \text{kernel}(m \circ \gamma^{-1}) = \gamma(\text{kernel}(m)) = \gamma(P\Gamma_6(2k)).
\]

Remark 2.5. Alternatively, for the proof of Lemma 2.4 one could check that $\gamma$ preserves loops, therefore induces a homeomorphism of the surface by the Dehn-Nielsen Theorem for punctured surfaces and that this one maps $c_1$ to $c_2$.

For later usage, we want to further describe the action of $P\Gamma_2$ on the cosets of $G_6(2k)$ in $P\Gamma_2$. Denote in the following by $\mathcal{C}(H : U)$ the set of cosets $U \cdot h (h \in H)$ of a subgroup $U$ in a group $H$. Firstly, we use
the system of coset representatives \( A_1, \ldots, A_{12} \) of \( \Gamma \) in \( \Gamma_2 \) defined in Remark 2.1. Secondly, for each \( A_i \) we define a drift \( j_i \) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
  j_1 &= 0, j_2 = 0, j_3 = 0, j_4 = 0, j_5 = 0, j_6 = 0, \\
  j_7 &= 1, j_8 = 1, j_9 = 1, j_{10} = 1, j_{11} = 1, j_{12} = 1
\end{align*}
\]

**Remark 2.6.** We fix the following identification between \( C(\Gamma_2 : G_6(2k)) \) and \( C(\Gamma_2 : \Gamma) \times \mathbb{Z}/(2k\mathbb{Z}) \): For \( A \in \Gamma \), write \( A = A' \cdot A_i \) with \( A_i \) the coset representative of \( A \) from Remark 2.1 \( A' \in \Gamma \) and define \( \delta_A = m_2(A') + j_i \). Then we define the bijection:

\[
\begin{align*}
  C(\Gamma_2 : G_6(2k)) &\rightarrow C(\Gamma_2 : \Gamma) \times \mathbb{Z}/(2k\mathbb{Z}), \\
  G_6(2k) \cdot A &\mapsto (\Gamma \cdot A, \delta_A)
\end{align*}
\]

For easier notation, we will label the elements in \( C(\Gamma_2 : G_6(2k)) \) by the corresponding pair in \( \{1, \ldots, 12\} \times \mathbb{Z}/(2k\mathbb{Z}) \):

\[
G_6(2k) \cdot A \mapsto (i, \delta_A) \text{ with } i \text{ the } i \text{ from the } A_i \text{ above and } \delta_A \text{ as above.}
\]

Observe that the choice of the coset representatives \( A_1, \ldots, A_{12} \) above corresponds to the choice of fixed paths on \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6 \) between the midpoint of the quadrilateral corresponding to \( A_1 \) and the midpoint of the quadrilateral corresponding to the respective \( A_i \), see Figure 4. The drift \( \delta_A \) for some \( A = A' \cdot A_i \) in \( \Gamma_2 \) is then the intersection number of the path corresponding to \( A' \cdot A_i \) with \( c_2 \). Crossing \( c_2 \) from left to right leads one copy higher in our \( 2k \) copies.

In particular, we get the following proposition:

**Proposition 2.7.** Identify by Remark 2.6 the set of cosets \( C(\Gamma_2 : G_6(2k)) \) with \( \{1, \ldots, 12\} \times \mathbb{Z}/(2k\mathbb{Z}) \). The action of \( \Gamma_2 \) on \( C(\Gamma_2 : G_6(2k)) \) by right multiplication is then given by the permutations:

\[
\begin{align*}
  x : \quad &((1, j) (2, j) (3, j)) \circ ((4, j) (8, j + 1) (5, j + 1)) \circ \\
  &((6, j) (9, j + 1) (7, j + 1)) \circ ((10, j) (12, j) (11, j)) \\
  y : \quad &((1, j) (6, j) (5, j + 1)) \circ ((2, j) (8, j) (10, j)) \circ \\
  &((3, j) (11, j) (9, j)) \circ ((4, j) (7, j + 1) (12, j))
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** Using the comment in the paragraph before the proposition, this can be read off easily from Figure 4. \( \square \)

### 2.2. Size of the spectral gap of \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6(2k) \)

In the sequel, we want to estimate \( \lambda_{2k} := \lambda_1(\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6(2k)) \), the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on the hyperbolic surface \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6(2k) \). In order to do so, we recall the Buser inequality (see Buser [5] and Lubotzky [12, p. 44]):

\[
\sqrt{10\lambda_{2k} + 1} \leq 10h_{2k} + 1
\]
Figure 4. The second cut on the surface $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6$. Preimages of the base point of $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2$ are indicated by little crosses. Crossing a thin edge counter clockwise is multiplication by $x$; crossing a thick edge clockwise is multiplication by $y$ (compare explanation on p. 7).

where

$$h_{2k} := \min_{\gamma \text{ multicurve of } \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6(2k)} \frac{\ell(\gamma)}{\min\{\text{area}(A), \text{area}(B)\}}.$$

For the case at hand, we apply Buser’s inequality with the multicurve obtained by the disjoint union of two copies $c_1^{(0)}$ and $c_1^{(k)}$ of $c_1$ on the 0th and $k$th copies of $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6$ inside $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6(2k)$, see Figure 3. Here, we recall that $c_1$ is the simple closed geodesic of $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6$ in Figure 2 connecting the “B-sides” indicated in the picture, that is, $c_1$ is the simple closed geodesic along which we cut $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6$, take several copies of the outcome of this, and reglue them cyclically (see Definition 2.2). In this way, by definition, we
have that

$$h_{2k} \leq \frac{2\ell(c_1)}{k \cdot \text{area}(\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6)}$$

(2.5)

On the other hand, one can check that the hyperbolic matrix in $P\Gamma_6$ associated to $c_1$ is $\rho(c_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 29 & 12 \\ 12 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$, so that $\ell(c_1) = 2 \ \text{arc cosh}(\frac{||\rho(c_1)||}{2}) = 2 \ \text{arc cosh}(17)$. Combining this with the fact $\text{area}(\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_6) = 24\pi$, we can conclude from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$\sqrt{10\lambda_{2k} + 1} \leq \frac{10 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \ \text{arc cosh}(17)}{k \cdot 24\pi} + 1,$$

i.e.,

$$\lambda_{2k} \leq \frac{10 \cdot \text{arc cosh}(17)^2}{9\pi^2(2k)^2} + \frac{2 \cdot \text{arc cosh}(17)}{3\pi(2k)}.$$

Since $\text{arc cosh}(17) < 3.5255$, we get, for every $k \geq 3$,

$$\lambda_{2k} \leq \left(\frac{10 \cdot (3.5255)^2}{9\pi^2(2k)^2} + \frac{2 \cdot (3.5255)}{3\pi(2k)}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2k} \leq \frac{1}{6} < \frac{1}{4}$$

(2.6)

Next, denoting by $\lambda(\Gamma)$ the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on a finite area hyperbolic surface $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma$, we note that $\lambda(\Gamma) \leq \lambda(\Gamma')$ whenever $\Gamma$ is a finite index subgroup of $\Gamma'$, that is, the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian of a finite area hyperbolic surface can’t increase under finite covers.

Finally, we recall that the presence of complementary series on the regular representation of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ on $L^2(\mathbb{H}/\Gamma)$ is equivalent to the fact that $\lambda(\Gamma) < 1/4$ (see [16] and references therein for more details).

In other words, by putting these facts together, we proved the following result:

**Proposition 2.8.** Let $\Gamma$ a finite index subgroup of $P\Gamma_6(2k)$. If $k \geq 2$, then the regular representation of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ on $L^2(\mathbb{H}/\Gamma)$ exhibits complementary series.

**Remark 2.9.** In fact, since the size of the spectral gap $\sigma(\Gamma)$ of the regular representation associated to $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma$ relates to the first eigenvalue $\lambda(\Gamma)$ through the equation

$$\sigma(\Gamma) = 1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\lambda(\Gamma)}$$

whenever $\Gamma$ has complementary series (see [16]), we see that the spectral gap $\sigma(\Gamma)$ for $\Gamma$ a finite index subgroup of $P\Gamma_6(2k)$ obeys the following inequality

$$\sigma(\Gamma) \leq \sigma(P\Gamma_6(2k)) \leq \frac{2\lambda_{2k}}{\sqrt{1 - 4\lambda_{2k}}} \leq 2\sqrt{3}\lambda_{2k} < \frac{\sqrt{3}}{k}$$
for all \( k \geq 3 \). Here, we used that, from (2.6), one has \( 1/\sqrt{1 - 4\lambda_{2k}} \leq \sqrt{3} \) and \( \lambda_{2k} \leq 1/(2k) \) when \( k \geq 3 \).

**Remark 2.10.** It follows from Selberg’s 3/16 Theorem \([19]\) and the estimate (2.6) above that, for each \( k \geq 3 \), \( P \Gamma_{6}(2k) \) is not a congruence subgroup of \( SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \), i.e., there is no \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( P \Gamma_{6}(2k) \) contains the principal congruence group \( \Gamma_{N} \) of level \( N \) (consisting of all matrices of \( SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \) which are the identity modulo \( N \)). Indeed, if \( \Gamma_{N} \subset P \Gamma_{6}(2k) \) for some \( N \), we would have \( \lambda(\Gamma_{N}) \leq \lambda_{2k} \leq 1/6 \), a contradiction with Selberg’s 3/16 Theorem (saying that \( \lambda(\Gamma_{N}) \geq 3/16 \) for all \( N \in \mathbb{N} \)).

### 3. Square-tiled surfaces with Veech group inside \( \pm \Gamma_{6}(2k) \)

In this section we describe a construction to obtain translation surfaces whose Veech groups are subgroups of \( \pm \Gamma_{6}(2k) \) \((k \in \mathbb{N})\), see Definition \([2.2]\).

We will use very special translation surfaces, called *origamis* or *square-tiled surfaces*. Recall that an origami is a finite covering \( p : X \rightarrow E \) from a closed surface \( X \) to the torus \( E = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}i) \) which is ramified at most over the point \( \infty = (0, 0) \) on \( E \), see e.g. \([18]\). We may pull back the natural Euclidean translation structure on \( E = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}i) \) to \( X \) and obtain a translation surface with cone points singularities which is tiled by \( d \) squares, where \( d \) is the degree of the covering \( p : X \rightarrow E \). Origamis can equivalently be defined to be translation surfaces obtained from gluing finitely many copies of the Euclidean unit square along their edges by translations.

We can present an origami by a pair of transitive permutations \( \sigma_{a} \) (horizontal gluings) and \( \sigma_{b} \) (vertical gluings) in the symmetric group \( S_{d} \) well defined up to simultaneous conjugation. Figure 5 shows e.g. the origami \( E[2] \) which is an origami of degree 4. Indeed, as a translation surface it is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{C}/(2\mathbb{Z} \oplus 2\mathbb{Z}i) \) and the multiplication by 2 is the corresponding covering \([2] : E[2] \rightarrow E \) of degree 4 to the torus. It can be described by the pair of permutations \( \sigma_{a} = (1, 2)(3, 4) \) and \( \sigma_{b} = (1, 3)(2, 4) \).

![Figure 5. The trivial 2 x 2 - origami E[2]: opposite edges are glued by translations.](image)

For an origami \( p : X \rightarrow E \) we will always consider \( X \) endowed with the lifted translation structure. We denote by \( \text{Aff}(X) \) the group of orientation preserving affine homeomorphisms of \( X \) and by \( \Gamma(X) \) the Veech group of \( X \), i.e. the image of the derivative map \( D : \text{Aff}(X) \rightarrow SL_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \). Observe
that the Veech group of an origami as considered in [18] consists only of the derivatives of those affine homeomorphisms that preserve the fibre of the point \( \infty \). However, if the derived vectors of the saddle connections on \( X \) span the lattice \( \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}i \), this is indeed the full Veech group, see e.g. [9, Lemma 2.3]. We will furthermore frequently use that in this case each affine homeomorphism of \( X \) descends via the covering map \( p \) to \( E \). If \( p \) factors through \([2]\), i.e. there is a covering \( p' : O \to E[2] \) such that \( p = [2] \circ p' \), then the same holds for \( p' \) and \( E[2] \), see e.g. [18, Prop. 2.6]. Following the notation in [8] we denote for a covering \( h : X \to Y \) of translation surfaces

\[
\text{Aff}_h(X) = \{ f \in \text{Aff}(X) | f \text{ descends via } h \text{ to } Y \} \quad \text{and} \\
\text{Aff}^h(Y) = \{ f \in \text{Aff}(Y) | f \text{ lifts via } h \text{ to some homeomorphism of } X \}.
\]

Recall finally that the Veech group of the torus \( E = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}i) \) itself is the group \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \): An affine homeomorphism

\[
\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + b
\]

of the universal covering \( \mathbb{C} \) descends to an affine homeomorphism \( f \) of \( E \), if and only if \( A \) is in \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \). If we require in addition that \( f \) fixes the point \( \infty = (0, 0) \) on \( E \), then we obtain for each \( A \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) a unique affine homeomorphism on \( E \) with derivative \( A \). Thus \( D : \text{Aff}(E) \to \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \) restricts to an isomorphism

\[
\text{Aff}_0(E) = \{ f \in \text{Aff}(E) | f(0, 0) = (0, 0) \} \cong \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).
\]

In the following construction we start with the torus \( E[2] = \mathbb{C}/(2\mathbb{Z} \oplus 2\mathbb{Z}i) \) (see Figure 5). We fix the four points \( P = \infty = (0, 0) \), \( Q = (1, 0) \), \( S = (0, 1) \) and \( R = (1, 1) \) on \( E[2] \). Then the derivative map \( D : \text{Aff}(E[2]) \to \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \) further restricts to an isomorphism

\[
\text{Aff}_1(E[2]) = \{ f \in \text{Aff}(E[2]) | f(P) = P, f(Q) = Q \text{ and } f(R) = R \} = \{ f \in \text{Aff}(E[2]) | f(P) = P, f(Q) = Q \text{ and } f(S) = S \} \cong \Gamma_2.
\]

We will now construct origamis with Veech group \( \Gamma = \pm \Gamma_0(2k) \) proceeding in the following two main steps. In the first step, following the ideas from part of [6], we construct a covering \( \tilde{q} : Y \to E[2] \) with the following properties:

A) \( \tilde{q} \) is ramified precisely over \( P = (0, 0) \), \( Q = (1, 0) \) and \( S = (1, 1) \).

B) The degree of the covering is equal to the index \( [\Gamma_2 : \Gamma] \).

C) All affine homeomorphisms in \( \text{Aff}_1(E[2]) \) have some lift in \( \text{Aff}(Y) \) via \( \tilde{q} \). Denote by \( (\text{Aff}_1)_{\tilde{q}}(Y) \) the group of those lifts. In particular, \( D((\text{Aff}_1)_{\tilde{q}}(Y)) \) is equal to \( \Gamma_2 \).
D) There is a bijection $\theta$ between the fibre $\tilde{q}^{-1}(R)$ of $R$ and the set of left cosets $\Gamma/\Gamma$. Furthermore $\theta : \tilde{q}^{-1}(R) \to \Gamma_2/\Gamma$ is equivariant with respect to $D : (\text{Aff}_1)\tilde{q}(Y) \to \Gamma_2$. Here $(\text{Aff}_1)\tilde{q}(Y)$ acts naturally on the fibre $\tilde{q}^{-1}(R)$ and $\Gamma_2$ acts on the set of left cosets $\Gamma_2/\Gamma$ by multiplication from the left. I.e.

$$\forall f \in (\text{Aff}_1)\tilde{q}(Y) : (\cdot D(f)) \circ \theta = \theta \circ f,$$

where $(\cdot D(f))$ denotes the multiplication with $D(f)$ from the left.

Let $R_{\text{id}}$ be the point in the fibre of $R$ with $\theta(R_{\text{id}}) = \text{id} \cdot \Gamma$.

In the second step, we obtain the desired origami following the construction in [17, Chap. 5] by choosing a covering $r : Z \to Y$ with a suitable ramification behaviour. For example, we choose the covering in such a way that $\bar{q} \circ r$ is ramified differently above $P$, $Q$ and $S$. Furthermore $r$ is ramified above $R_{\text{id}}$ different than above all other points in $\tilde{q}^{-1}(R)$. Thus if $f \in \text{Aff}(Z)$ descends via $r$ to $\bar{f} \in \text{Aff}(Y)$, then $\bar{f}$ is in $(\text{Aff}_1)\bar{q}(Y)$ and must fix $R_{\text{id}}$. By the property D) that we required for $\tilde{q}$, the second condition means that left multiplication with $D(\bar{f})$ fixes the coset of the identity. Thus $D(f) = D(\bar{f})$ is in $\Gamma$.

It follows from the last paragraph that if each affine homeomorphism of $Z$ descends to $Y$, then we are done. To achieve this is a technical difficulty, we have to take extra care of. This is where we need that our group $\Gamma$ is the group $\pm \Gamma_0(2k)$. We study the action of $\text{Aff}(Y)$ on $\tilde{q}^{-1}(\{P, Q, R, S\}) \subset Y$. The Veech group of $Y$ turns out to be the full group $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ (see Proposition 3.9). We find a partition of $\tilde{q}^{-1}(\{P, Q, R, S\})$, such that if $r$ is ramified differently above different classes in the partition, then all affine homeomorphisms descend and we are done. Proposition 3.10 does this job.

3.1. The Ellenberg/McReynolds construction. In this paragraph we explain how one obtains a covering $\tilde{q}$ with the properties A) - D) as above. For this part we just need that the group $\Gamma$ is a subgroup of $\Gamma_2$ containing $-I$. As stated above we follow part of the proofs in [0], which gives us this beautiful construction. We briefly describe the geometric interpretation behind it and how this leads to what we want. In Section 3.2 and Section 3.3 we will explicitly define the origami $Y$ (see Definition 3.4) and show that it has all properties which we need. Hence the following paragraph is just for giving a motivation how we obtained the surfaces $Y$, but not necessary for the logic of our proofs.

It seems natural to describe the construction in terms of fibre products also called pullbacks. They are more commonly used in the context of Algebraic geometry. But recall that we may also take fibre products of topological spaces (see e.g. [1, 11.8, 11.12(2)]). Our topological spaces here will be punctured closed Riemann surfaces, i.e. closed Riemann surfaces
with finitely many points removed, thus we notate them in the following by $B^*, X^*, X_1^*$ and $X_2^*$. For two continuous maps $p_1 : X_1^* \to B^*$ and $p_2 : X_2^* \to B^*$ the fibre product is the topological space

$$X^* = \{(a, b) \in X_1^* \times X_2^* | p_1(a) = p_2(b)\}$$

endowed with the subspace topology together with the two maps $q_1 : X^* \to X_1^*$ and $q_2 : X^* \to X_2^*$ which are just the projections. Thus we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X^* & \xrightarrow{q_1} & X_1^* \\
\downarrow q_2 & & \downarrow p_1 \\
X_2^* & \xrightarrow{p_2} & B^*
\end{array}$$

The square in the middle denotes that this is a fibre product. The fibre product has a nice universal property (which is actually used to define it for general categories, see e.g. [1, 11.8]), but we do not further need it here. We will just need the following properties:

F1) If $p_2$ is an embedding, then $q_1$ is one as well, and $X^*$ is the preimage of $X_2^*$ via $p_1$.

F2) If $p_1$ and $p_2$ are unramified coverings of punctured closed Riemann surfaces, then $q_1$ and $q_2$ are also unramified coverings of punctured closed Riemann surfaces. $X^*$ does not have to be connected, even if $X_1^*$ and $X_2^*$ are. See e.g. [15, 1.2] for an explicit definition of the fibre product in the case of unramified (not necessarily connected) coverings and an explicit calculation of the monodromies of the coverings $q_1$ and $q_2$ in terms of the monodromies of $p_1$ and $p_2$.

F3) If, in addition to the conditions in F2), $X^*$ is connected, then we can as well describe the fibre product $X^*$ by its fundamental group. More precisely, the fundamental groups of $X_1^*$, $X_2^*$ and $X^*$ then embed via the coverings into $\pi_1(B^*)$ and $\pi_1(X^*) = \pi_1(X_1^*) \cap \pi_1(X_2^*)$. This can be seen e.g. from Theorem B in [15] (in this case $m_f \times m_g$ acts transitively).

F4) Suppose now that $p_1$ is a covering as before and $p_2$ is an embedding of punctured closed surfaces. Then the map $(p_2)_* : \pi_1(X_2^*) \to \pi_1(B^*)$ induced by $p_2$ between fundamental groups is a surjection, whereas $\pi_1(X_1^*)$ embeds into $\pi_1(B^*)$ via $(p_1)_*$. The map $q_2$ is an unramified covering and we have:

$$\pi_1(X^*) = (p_2)_*^{-1}(\pi_1(X_1^*)) \subseteq \pi_1(X_2^*)$$

In the following we use the degree 2 covering $h : E[2] \to \mathbb{P}^1(C)$ defined as the quotient by the affine involution $\iota$ on $E[2]$ with derivative $-I$ and fixed points $P, Q, R$ and $S$. The covering is ramified at $P, Q, R$ and $S$ and
we may choose the isomorphism $E[2]/\iota \cong \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ in such a way that their images are 0, 1, $\infty$ and $\lambda = -1$. This leads to an unramified covering

$$h : E[2]^{*} = E[2]\{P, Q, R, S\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1\{0, 1, \infty, \lambda\}.$$ We furthermore use the utile coincidence that we have:

$$\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})\{0, 1, \infty\} \cong \mathbb{H}/\mathbb{P}\Gamma(2) \cong \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2.$$ Thus the embedding $\Gamma \hookrightarrow \Gamma_2$ defines an unramified covering

$$\beta : \hat{Y}^{*} = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1.$$ We now remove the additional point $\lambda = -1$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$, consider the embedding

$$i : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$$ and define $\hat{Y}^{**} = \hat{Y}^{*}\beta^{-1}(\lambda) = \beta^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^1)$. We then take the fibre product $Y^{*}$ of $\beta : \hat{Y}^{**} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and $h : E[2]^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and define $\tilde{q}$ to be the projection $Y^{*} \rightarrow E[2]^{*}$. Hence we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Y^{*} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}} & \hat{Y}^{**} \\
\downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \beta \\
E[2]^{*} & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathbb{P}^1
\end{array}$$

The construction in (3.1) was already used in [14] in order to construct origamis from dessins d’enfants. With the help of this it was deduced that the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ acts transitively on origami curves from the fact that it does it on dessins d’enfants. In [15, Theorem 3] the monodromies of the coverings are explicitly calculated. In particular, one directly sees from the monodromy of $\tilde{q}$ in the proof of Theorem 3 on p.52 ($\tilde{q}$ is called $\pi$ there, its monodromy is $m_{x}$, the pair $(\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y})$ describes the monodromy of $\beta$, $d$ is the degree of $\beta$) that the monodromy of $\tilde{q}$ acts transitively on $\{1, \ldots, d\}$, since $\hat{Y}^{*}$ is connected. Thus also $Y^{*}$ is connected.

We now obtain the fundamental group of $Y^{*}$ as follows: Following the notations in [6] Lemma 3.2 and Prop. 3.1] denote

$$\Delta = \Gamma = \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1).$$

The last equality means that we have identified these two groups by a fixed isomorphism. From F4) and F3) we see:

$$\pi_1(\hat{Y}^{**}) = (i)_*^{-1}(\pi_1(\hat{Y}^{*})) = : G(\Delta) \text{ and } \pi_1(Y^{*}) = G(\Delta) \cap \pi_1(E[2]^{*}) = : G(\Delta)_0.$$ Lemma 3.2 and the discussion in Section 2 in [6] shows that any element $\overline{A} \in \text{PF}(2)$ defines a homeomorphism on $\mathbb{P}^1$ via the pushing map which lifts to $\hat{Y}^{**}$ and acts on the punctures in the fibre of $\lambda$ in the desired way.
Furthermore, each homeomorphism on $\mathbb{P}^1$ has two lifts on $E[2]^*$ which fix the four punctures of $E[2]^*$ pointwise. We can choose affine representatives of those in their homotopy class. They will then have derivative $A$ and $-A$ (for an appropriate choice of the isomorphism $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ in the beginning), see [6, Section 2]. It follows e.g. from the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [6] that they lift to $Y^*$. The lifts then act on the fibre of $S = (1, 1)$ in the desired way.

The fact we have just seen, namely that in the construction in (3.1) the group $\Gamma_2$ is always a subgroup of the Veech group $\Gamma(Y^*)$ was already in [14] a crucial part in the proof for the faithfulness of the action of $\text{Gal} (\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ on Teichmüller curves. How one explicitly obtains the Veech group in terms of the monodromy $\beta$ is given in [15, Theorem 4].

Filling in the punctures into $Y^*$ and $E[2]^*$ and extending $\tilde{q}$ to a ramified covering of the closed Riemann surfaces finally gives the desired map $\tilde{q} : Y \to E[2]$.

In our special case, where $\Gamma = \pm \Gamma_6(2k)$, we have the intermediate cover

$$\mathbb{H}/\mathbb{P}\Gamma_6(2k) \xrightarrow{\beta_1} \mathbb{H}/\mathbb{P}\Gamma_6 \xrightarrow{\beta_2} \mathbb{H}/\mathbb{P}\Gamma_2.$$ 

Recall that we have studied the coverings $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2$ in Section 2.1. We can now determine the fibre product in two steps. First, $X^*$ is the fibre product of the degree 12 cover $\beta_2 : \mathbb{H}/\mathbb{P}\Gamma_6 \to \mathbb{H}/\mathbb{P}\Gamma_2 = \mathbb{P}^1$ and the map $i \circ h : E[2]^* \to \mathbb{P}^1$ having the two projections $X^* \to \mathbb{H}/\mathbb{P}\Gamma_6$ and $p : X^* \to E[2]^*$. Secondly, $Y^*$ is the fibre product of $X^* \to \mathbb{H}/\mathbb{P}\Gamma_6$ and the cyclic covering $\beta_1 : \mathbb{H}/\mathbb{P}\Gamma_6(2k) \to \mathbb{H}/\mathbb{P}\Gamma_6$ having the projections $Y^* \to \mathbb{H}/\mathbb{P}\Gamma_6(2k)$ and $q : Y^* \to X^*$. The desired covering $\tilde{q}$ is then $\tilde{q} = p \circ q$. We explicitly give the translation surface $X^*$ in Section 3.2 and $Y^*$ in Section 3.3 and show that they have the properties we need. In Section 3.4 we make explicit which criterions coverings $r : Z^* \to Y^*$ must fulfill that we can use them in the second step described on page 16. We finally prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 4.

For the coverings $p$ and $q$ we more precisely show:

- The covering $p : X \to E[2]$ is normal, of degree 12 and its Galois group is $\mathbb{P}\Gamma_2/\mathbb{P}\Gamma_6 \cong \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$ (see (2.2)). The Veech group of the origami $X$ is $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Its affine group contains a subgroup $\mathcal{G}_2$ isomorphic to $\Gamma_2$, see Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.3. Furthermore, the cover $p$ is unramified over the point $R$. We give an explicit identification of the 12 preimages $R_1, \ldots, R_{12}$ with the cosets in $\mathbb{P}\Gamma_2/\mathbb{P}\Gamma_6$ in such a way that the action of $\mathcal{G}_2$ on them is
equal to the action of $P\Gamma_2$ on the cosets up to the automorphism $\gamma$, see Lemma 3.3.

- The covering $q : Y \to X$ is normal and of degree $2k$ with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/(2k\mathbb{Z})$. Similarly as before we obtain a suitable identification of the fibre of $p \circ q : Y \to E[2]$ over $R$ with $P\Gamma_2/P\Gamma_6(2k)$, see Section 3.3.

- $P\Gamma_6(2k)$ is the stabiliser in the Veech group $\Gamma(Y)$ of a certain partition of $S$, the set of punctures on $Y$, see Section 3.3. Coverings $Z$ which “ramify with respect to this partition” have a Veech group whose image in $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is contained in $P\Gamma_6(2k)$, see Section 3.4.

- In Section 4 we give explicit examples of such origamis.

### 3.2. The origami $X$.

We define the origami $X$ as follows: It consists of $4 \times 12 = 48$ squares labelled by $(A, h)$ with $A \in P\Gamma_6 \setminus P\Gamma_2$ and $h \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. The horizontal gluing rules are:

\[
\begin{align*}
(A, 1) & \mapsto (A, 2), & (A, 2) & \mapsto (A \cdot L^{-2}, 1), \\
(A, 3) & \mapsto (A, 4), & (A, 4) & \mapsto (A \cdot L^2, 3)
\end{align*}
\]

The vertical gluings are:

\[
\begin{align*}
(A, 1) & \mapsto (A \cdot L^2, 3) & (A, 2) & \mapsto (A \cdot L^{-2}, 4) \\
(A, 3) & \mapsto (A \cdot T^{-2}, 1) & (A, 4) & \mapsto (A \cdot T^2, 2)
\end{align*}
\]

You can easily read up from the Cayley graph of $P\Gamma_2/P\Gamma_6$ in Figure 1 (recall that $P\Gamma_6$ is normal in $P\Gamma_2$) that Figure 6 below shows the origami $X$.

Figure 7 below shows the same origami with its vertical cylinder decomposition.

Observe from Figure 6 that $X$ decomposes into eight horizontal cylinders which we have arranged in the figure into four double cylinders. The vertices glue to the points: $P_1, \ldots, P_4, Q_1, \ldots, Q_4, S_1, \ldots, S_4$ each of cone angle $6\pi$ and $R_1, \ldots, R_{12}$ having cone angle $2\pi$, respectively. In particular we have twelve singularities of cone angle $6\pi$. Thus the origami is in the stratum $\mathcal{H}(2^{[12]})$ and its genus is 13. The map $p : X \to E[2]$ which maps the square $(A, i)$ to the square $i$ on $E[2]$ defines a well-defined covering of degree 12. Furthermore, the development vectors of the saddle connections on $X$ span the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^2$. Hence the Veech group is contained in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and affine homeomorphisms preserve the vertices of the squares that form $X$. Finally, if $B \in P\Gamma_2/P\Gamma_6$ is the coset of $\hat{B}$, then we can directly see from the gluing rules in (3.2) and (3.3) and from the fact that $P\Gamma_6$ is normal in $P\Gamma_2$ that the map that sends the square $(A, i)$ to $(\hat{B} \cdot A, i)$ is well-defined, depends only on $B$ and respects the gluing rules. Thus it induces a well-defined translation $\tau_B$ of $X$. Since a translation has to preserve cone angles...
and the vertices of the squares, it permutes the $R_i$’s. Furthermore a translation is determined by the image of one of the $R_i$’s, since they are regular
points. Therefore $X$ has not more than these 12 translations. We summarise the properties of the origami $X$ in the following proposition.

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{Figure 7.} \text{ The vertical cylinder-decomposition of the origami } X. \text{ An edge labelled by a pair } (A_i, h) \text{ is glued to the suitable edge of Square } (A_i, h). \text{ Slits with same labels within a double cylinder are glued. Opposite horizontal unlabelled edges are glued.} \\
\end{array} \]
Proposition 3.1. The origami $X$ defined above has the following properties:

i) Its genus is 13 and it has twelve singularities $P_i, Q_i, S_i$ ($i \in \{1, \ldots, 4\}$) of cone angle $6\pi$. Its group of translations $\text{Trans}(X)$ is

$$\text{Trans}(X) = \{\tau_B | B \in \{P\Gamma_2/P\Gamma_6\} \cong P\Gamma_2/P\Gamma_6\}$$

(with $\tau_B$ defined as above), and $X/\text{Trans}(X) \cong E[2]$. In particular the covering $p : X \rightarrow E[2]$ is normal with $P\Gamma_2/P\Gamma_6$ as group of deck transformations.

ii) The Veech group of $X$ is $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. i) was shown in the paragraph before Proposition 3.1 and follows from the definition of $X$. To see ii) we define the affine homeomorphisms $f_T$ and $f_L$ as follows. $f_T$ has derivative $T$ and acts on the edges on the boundaries of the four horizontal double cylinders as a shift of 3. Hence e.g. the lower edge of the square $(A_1, 1)$ is mapped to the lower edge of the square $(A_5, 2)$. The upper edge of $(A_1, 1)$ is mapped to the upper edge of $(A_6, 1)$, since the derivative is $T$. I.e. the lower edge of $(A_6, 3)$ is mapped to the lower edge of $(A_5, 3)$. The upper edge of $(A_6, 3)$ is then mapped to the upper edge of $(A_5, 4)$. You can read off from Figure 6 that this is consistent with the gluings and thus gives a well-defined affine homeomorphism. On the middle lines of the double cylinders, it acts on the upper edges of the lower cylinder as shift by 2 to the left; on the lower edges of the upper cylinder it acts as shift by 2 to the right. Similarly define $f_L$ to have derivative $L$ and act on the edges of the boundaries of the four vertical double cylinders as shift of 3 (see Figure 7). The matrices $T$ and $L$ generate $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, thus the Veech group equals $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. □

In order to obtain the desired origami $Z$ in the end, we need how $\Gamma_2$ or more precisely

$$\mathcal{G}_2 = < f_T^2, f_L^2, f_{-1} >$$

acts on the set of vertices of $X$. Here we have by definition $f_{T^2} = f_T^2$, $f_{L^2} = f_L^2$ and $f_T, f_L, f_{-1}$ are defined as in the proof of Proposition 3.1 ii). Observe that the image of $\mathcal{G}_2$ in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ by the derivative map is $\Gamma_2$. We study this action in the two following lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. The two affine homeomorphisms $f_T$ and $f_L$ act on the set $S$ of vertices of $X$ as the following permutations:

$$f_T : (R_1 R_6 R_5) (R_{11} R_9 R_3) (R_{10} R_2 R_9) (R_{12} R_4 R_7) \circ (P_1 Q_2) (P_2 Q_3) (P_3 Q_1) (P_4 Q_4).$$

$$f_L : (R_1 R_{11} R_4) (R_5 R_{10} R_9) (R_6 R_{12} R_2) (R_3 R_8 R_7) \circ (Q_1 S_4) (Q_2 S_2) (Q_3 S_3) (Q_4 S_1).$$
Lemma 3.3. The action of \(S_2\) on the set of vertices of \(X\) has the following properties:

i) \(S_2\) is the full pointwise stabiliser in the affine group \(\text{Aff}(X)\) of the set

\[ S_{\text{sing}} = \{ P_i, Q_i, S_i | i \in \{1, \ldots, 4\} \}. \]

ii) If we denote the vertex \(R_i\) also by \(R_{A_i}\) with \(A_i \in \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})\) defined as in Remark 2.1 then \(S_2\) acts on the set \(\{R_i | i \in \{1, \ldots, 12\}\}\)

by:

\[ f_1 = f_{C_1} : R_{A_i} \mapsto R_{A_i \cdot y^{-1}}, \quad f_2 = f_{C_2} : R_{A_i} \mapsto R_{A_i \cdot y^{-1}x} \]

and

\[ f_3 = f_{-I} : R_{A_i} \mapsto R_{A_i}. \]

Here, the images of \(x\) and \(y\) in \(\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})\) are also denoted \(x\) and \(y\) (by a slight abuse of notation). We may identify \(R_{A_i}\) with the coset \(A_i^{-1} \cdot P \Gamma_6\) and then obtain an action of \(P \Gamma_6\) from the left.

iii) The derivative map gives an isomorphism from \(S_2\) to \(\Gamma_2\).

Proof. It directly follows from Lemma 3.2 that the generators \(f_1, f_2\) and \(f_3\) of \(S_2\) act trivially on \(S_{\text{sing}}\). We have to further show that \(S_2\) is the full pointwise stabiliser. Suppose that an affine homeomorphism \(f \in \text{Aff}(X)\) acts trivially on \(S_{\text{sing}}\). Let \(\bar{f}\) be its descend to \(E[2]\) via \(p\). Since \(f\) fixes the points in \(S_{\text{sing}}\) pointwise, \(\bar{f}\) has to fix their images \((\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}) + (2\mathbb{Z})^2, (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}) + (2\mathbb{Z})^2, (\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}) + (2\mathbb{Z})^2\) as well. Hence the derivative \(D(f)\) of \(f\) is in the main congruence group \(\Gamma_2\). It follows that there is some \(f_0\) in \(S_2\) with the same derivative. Thus \(\bar{f} = \tau \circ f_0\) for some translation \(\tau\). Since \(f_0\) also preserves \(S_{\text{sing}}\) pointwise, \(\tau\) has to do it as well. The lower edge of the square \((A_1, 1)\) is a saddle connection with developing vector \((\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix})\) which starts in \(P_1\) and ends in \(Q_1\). It must be mapped by \(\tau\) to a saddle connection with the same vector and the same starting and end point. But this edge is the only segment with this property. Hence it is fixed, \(\tau\) is the identity and \(f\) is in \(S_2\). This shows i). The last argument in particular shows that \(S_2\) contains only the trivial translation. Since the kernel of the derivative map \(D\) consist precisely of the translations, we obtain an isomorphism \(D : S_2 \to \Gamma_2\). Hence iii) holds. The statement in ii) can be directly read off from the Cayley graph in Figure [1] and Lemma 3.2.
3.3. The origami $Y$. We now achieve the second step, that is, to define the origami $Y$ and show that it has the desired properties.

**Definition 3.4.** Define the origami $Y$ as follows

- Take $2k$ copies of the origami $X$. Label their squares by the elements $(A, h, j)$ where $(A, h)$ is the label it had in $X$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, 2k\}$ is the number of the copy.
- Slit them along the eight vertical edges which are highlighted by black arrows in Figure 6.
- Reglue the slits as follows: If square $(A, h, j)$ is the square on the left of a slit marked by an arrow pointing upwards, then glue it to $(r(A, h), j + 1)$. If it is the square on the left of a slit marked by an arrow pointing downside, then glue it to $(r(A, h), j - 1)$. Here we denote by $r(A, h)$ the right neighbour of $(A, h)$ in the original origami $X$.

One easily checks that $Y$ is the origami shown in Figure 8 and in Figure 9.

**Lemma 3.5.** For the origami $Y$ constructed in Definition 3.4, we have:

i) The origami $Y$ allows a normal covering $q : Y \rightarrow X$ of degree $2k$ with Galois group $\text{Deck}(Y/X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(2k\mathbb{Z})$.

ii) $Y$ decomposes into $4 \cdot 2k$ horizontal double cylinders which are isometric to those on $X$. The same is true for the vertical direction.

iii) The covering $q : Y \rightarrow X$ is unramified. Thus the point $R$ has $12 \cdot 2k$ preimages under the map $p \circ q$. The points $P$, $Q$ and $S$ have $4 \cdot 2k$ preimages, respectively.

iv) The genus of $Y$ is $24 \cdot k + 1$.

**Proof.** For i) define $q$ by mapping Square $(A, h, j)$ of $Y$ to Square $(A, h)$ of $X$. ii) can be read off from Figure 6. The horizontal double cylinders are shown in Figure 8 and the vertical ones in Figure 9. It can be furthermore directly read off from Figure 6 that the monodromy around each vertex is 0. Thus the covering is unramified and iii) holds. Finally, the Riemann Hurwitz formula then gives us $2g_Y - 2 = (2g_X - 2) \cdot 2k$, where $g_Y$ and $g_X$ are the genus of $Y$ and $X$, respectively. The claim follows, since $g_X = 13$ by Proposition 3.1. □

**Remark 3.6.** We label the $12 \cdot 2k$ preimages of $R$ on the surface $Y$ by $R_i^j$ ($i \in \{1, \ldots, 12\}$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, 2k\}$) where $R_i^j$ is the left lower vertex of the square $(A_i, 3, j)$. Recall the identification from Remark 2.6 of the cosets of the group $G_6(2k) = \gamma(\Pi_6(2k))$ with $\mathcal{C}(\Pi_2 : \Pi_6(2k)) \times \mathbb{Z}/(2k\mathbb{Z})$. This assigns to $(A_i, j)$ a coset $G_6(2k) \cdot g_{i,j}$ with $g_{i,j} \in \Pi_2$. We will denote the vertex $R_i^j$ also by $R_{G_6(2k)g_{i,j}}$. Finally, we label the preimages of $P_i, Q_i$ and $S_i$ by $P_i^j, Q_i^j$ and $S_i^j$ ($i \in \{1, \ldots, 4\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, 2k\}$), respectively, as
Figure 8. The $i$-th part of the decomposition into horizontal double cylinders of the origami $Y$. An edge labelled by $(A_i, h, j)$ is glued to the suitable edge of Square $(A_i, h, j)$. Slits with same labels within a double cylinder are glued. Opposite vertical unlabelled edges are glued. The black bars indicate selected edges for a later construction in Section 4.
Figure 9. The $i$-th part of the decomposition of the origami $Y$ into vertical cylinders. An edge labelled by $(A_i, h, j)$ is glued to the suitable edge of Square $(A_i, h, j)$. Slits with same labels within a double cylinder are glued. Opposite horizontal unlabelled edges are glued.
shown in Figure 8 and Figure 9. Note, in particular, that $q(P^j_1) = P_i$ and similarly for the $Q^j_1$'s, $R^i_1$'s and $S^i_1$'s.

Observe from Figure 8 that the affine homeomorphisms $f^2_T$ and $f^2_L$ lift via $q$ to affine maps $\hat{f}^2_T$ and $\hat{f}^2_L$ on $X$ which again fix the boundaries of the horizontal double cylinders, respectively the boundaries of the vertical double cylinders, pointwise. Furthermore you can read off from Figure 8 that the rotation of angle $\pi$ around one of the points $R^m_i$ is well-defined. This defines an affine homeomorphism $\hat{f}_{-1}$ with derivative $-I$ which fixes all points $R^m_i$.

**Lemma 3.7.** The action of the group $\hat{G}_2 = \langle \hat{f}^2_T, \hat{f}^2_L, \hat{f}_{-1} \rangle$ has the following properties:

i) $\hat{G}_2$ acts trivially on the set $\hat{S}_{\text{sing}} = \{ P^i_1, Q^j_1, S^i_1 | i \in \{ 1, \ldots, 4 \}, j \in \{ 1, \ldots, 2k \} \}$.

ii) $\hat{G}_2$ is the full pointwise stabiliser $\text{Stab}(\hat{S}_{\text{sing}})$ in $\text{Aff}(Y)$ of the set $\hat{S}_{\text{sing}}$. Furthermore, $\hat{G}_2$ is isomorphic to $G_2$.

iii) Recalling the notation from Remark 3.6 we have, for all $g \in \Gamma_2$:

- $\hat{f}^2_T(R_{G_6(2k)}g) = R_{G_6(2k) - gg}^{-1}$,
- $\hat{f}^2_L(R_{G_6(2k)}g) = R_{G_6(2k) - gg}^{-1}x$,
- $\hat{f}_{-1}(R_{G_6(2k)}g) = R_{G_6(2k) - g}$, i.e. $\hat{f}_{-1}$ fixes all vertices $R_{G_6(2k) - g}$.

iv) Let $\varphi : \hat{G}_2 \to \Gamma_2$ be the homomorphism defined by $\varphi(\hat{f}^2_T) = y$, $\varphi(\hat{f}^2_L) = x^{-1}y$ and $\varphi(\hat{f}_{-1}) = \text{id}$. Then we have for $f \in \hat{G}_2$ and $g \in \Gamma_2$:

$$\hat{f}(R_{G_6(2k)}g) = R_{G_6(2k) - g}^{-1},$$

Observe (for later use) that $\varphi = \gamma \circ D$, where $D : \hat{G}_2 \to \Gamma_2 \cong F_2$ is the derivative map and $\gamma$ is the automorphism $x \mapsto y, y \mapsto x^{-1}y$ defined in Lemma 2.4. This in particular ensures that $\varphi$ is well-defined.

**Proof.** The claims on $\hat{f}_{-1}$ can be directly read off from Figure 8. Recall further that $\hat{f}^2_T$ and $\hat{f}^2_L$ act as horizontal (respectively vertical) multi partial Dehn twists on the horizontal (resp. vertical) double cylinders shown in Figure 8 (resp. Figure 9) which fixes the boundaries and shifts by 2 on the middle lines. This immediately gives i). For the proof of ii) we proceed similarly as in Lemma 3.3, the only translation on $Y$ that fixes $\hat{S}_{\text{sing}}$ pointwise is the identity, since such a translation has to fix with $P^1_1$ and $Q^1_1$ also the horizontal edge between them. With the same arguments as in Lemma 3.3...
ii) follows. Thus the map \( D : \text{Stab}(\hat{S}_{\text{sing}}) \to \Gamma_2 \) is injective. It is surjective, since \( T^2, L^2 \) and \(-I\) generate \( \Gamma_2 \).

To prove iii), we read off from Figure 8 that \( \hat{f}_T^2 \) acts on the \( R_j^i \)'s by the following permutations:

\[
\hat{f}_T^2 : (R_1^j, R_5^j, R_1^j)(R_1^i, R_3^i, R_0^i)(R_1^j, R_8^j, R_2^j)(R_1^j, R_7^j, R_4^j),
\]

where \( j \) runs through \( \{1, \ldots, 2k\} \). By Proposition 2.7, this is precisely what multiplication with \( y \) does. In the same way, one obtains from Figure 9:

\[
\hat{f}_L^2 : (R_1^j, R_4^j, R_1^j)(R_5^j, R_9^j, R_10^j)(R_6^j, R_2^j, R_12^j)(R_3^j, R_7^j, R_8^j)
\]

and again reads off from Proposition 2.7 that this corresponds to multiplication by \( y^{-1}x \).

Finally, iv) follows from ii) and iii) by induction on the generators of \( \hat{G}_2 \).

We in particular obtain the following corollary, which will be helpful in Section 3.4.

**Corollary 3.8. (to Lemma 3.7)**

Let \( \hat{f} \) be an affine homeomorphism of \( Y \) such that \( D(\hat{f}) \in \Gamma_2 \). If we have for some \( j \in \{1, \ldots, 2k\} \) that \( \hat{f}(P_1^j) = P_1^{j'} \) and \( \hat{f}(Q_1^j) = Q_1^{j'} \) with some \( j' \in \{1, \ldots, 2k\} \), then \( \hat{f} \) is in \( \hat{G}_2 \).

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.7, ii) there is an element \( \hat{f}' \) in \( \hat{G}_2 \) with \( D(\hat{f}') = D(\hat{f}) \).

From \( \hat{f}' \in \hat{G}_2 \) it follows that \( \hat{f}'(P_1^j) = P_1^{j'} \) and \( \hat{f}'(Q_1^j) = Q_1^{j'} \). Hence \( \tau = (\hat{f}')^{-1} \circ \hat{f} \) fixes \( P_1^j \) and we have \( \tau(Q_1^j) = Q_1^{j'} \). Since \( \tau \) is a translation, the horizontal segment of length 1 between \( P_1^j \) and \( Q_1^j \) must be mapped to a segment of same direction and same length. We read off from Figure 8 that \( j' = j \). Thus the translation \( \tau \) fixes the horizontal segment from \( P_1^j \) to \( Q_1^j \) and is therefore the identity. This shows the claim.

It turns out that we cannot only lift \( (f_T)^2 \) and \( (f_L)^2 \) from \( X \) to \( Y \) but also \( f_T \) and \( f_L \), which needs a bit more care. We do not need this for the proof of Theorem 1.1. But since it is an interesting statement on its own, we include the proof in the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.9.** The Veech group of \( Y \) is \( \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \).

**Proof.** We define lifts of \( f_T \) and \( f_L \) as follows:

We denote the \( 4 \cdot (2k) \) horizontal double cylinders by \( \hat{Z}_{4,1}, \ldots, \hat{Z}_{4,2k} \) (see Figure 8). Consider the two permutations

\[
\tau = (2, 2k)(3, 2k - 1) \ldots (k, k + 2) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho = (1, 2)(3, 2k)(4, 2k - 1) \ldots (k + 1, k + 2)
\]
Define the map \( \hat{f}_T \) as lift of \( f_T \) that permutes the double cylinders \( \hat{Z}_{1,j} \) and \( \hat{Z}_{4,j} \) \((j \in \{1, \ldots, 2k\})\) by the permutation \( \tau \), and the \( \hat{Z}_{2,j} \)'s and \( \hat{Z}_{3,j} \)'s by the permutation \( \rho \), respectively, i.e. \( \hat{f}_T \) maps \( \hat{Z}_{1,j} \) to \( \hat{Z}_{1,\tau(j)} \), \( \hat{Z}_{2,j} \) to \( \hat{Z}_{2,\rho(j)} \), \( \hat{Z}_{3,j} \) to \( \hat{Z}_{3,\rho(j)} \) and finally \( \hat{Z}_{4,j} \) to \( \hat{Z}_{4,\tau(j)} \). We have to check that this is well-defined with respect to the gluings of the double cylinders. This can be read off from Figure 8 as follows: Recall that \( f_T \) (resp. \( f_L \)) acts on the boundary of the horizontal (resp. vertical) cylinders as shift by 3. Thus e.g. the top edge of square \((A_6, 4, j + 1)\), which lies in cylinder \( \hat{Z}_{1,j} \), is mapped by \( \hat{f}_T \) to the top edge of square \((A_1, 3, \tau(j))\) in cylinder \( \hat{Z}_{1,\tau(j)} \). Square \((A_6, 4, j + 1)\) is glued to Square \((A_0, 2, j + 1)\) in cylinder \( \hat{Z}_{2,j+1} \). The lower edge of Square \((A_0, 2, j + 1)\) is mapped to the lower edge of Square \((A_3, 1, \rho(j + 1))\). Thus we need that \( \tau(j) = \rho(j + 1) \). Similarly the other five top edges of the double cylinder \( \hat{Z}_{1,j} \) lead to the conditions:

\[
\tau(j) = \rho(j + 1), \quad \tau(j) = \tau(j), \quad \tau(j) + 1 = \rho(j), \\
\tau(j) + 1 = \rho(j), \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(j) = \tau(j).
\]

Furthermore, doing the same calculations for the other three cylinders, we obtain equivalent relations. Thus \( \hat{f}_T \) is well-defined, since \( \tau \) and \( \sigma \) satisfy these conditions.

The definition of \( \hat{f}_L \) works in a similar way. Consider the double cylinders \( \hat{C}_{1,j}, \ldots, \hat{C}_{4,j} \) (see Figure 9). Define the map \( \hat{f}_L \) as lift of \( f_L \) that permutes the double cylinders \( \hat{C}_{1,j} \) and \( \hat{C}_{3,j} \) \((j \in \{1, \ldots, 2k\})\) by the permutation \( \tau \), and the \( \hat{C}_{2,j} \)'s and \( \hat{C}_{4,j} \)'s by the permutation \( \rho \). Similarly as above one obtains for each edge that lies on the boundary of the vertical double cylinders an equation. One easily sees that all non-trivial equations are equivalent to:

\[
\forall j: \rho(j) = \tau(j) + 1 \text{ and } \rho(j + 1) = \tau(j)
\]

Again \( \rho \) and \( \tau \) satisfy these conditions.

### 3.4. A criterion for the desired origamis.

In this section we present a sufficiency condition for coverings \( Z \) of the origami \( Y \) from Section 3.3, that the Veech group of \( Z \) is contained in \( \pm \Gamma_0(2k) \). In Section 4 we will present some explicit examples for such origamis \( Z \).

Let \( h : X_1 \to X_2 \) be a finite covering of Riemann surfaces. Recall for the following that the ramification data of a point \( P \in X_2 \) consists of the ramification indices of all preimages of \( P \) counted with multiplicity. We denote this multiple set by \( \text{rm}(P, h) \). It will be a crucial point in the proof of Proposition 3.10 that if \( h \) is a translation covering of finite translation surfaces (recall that we allow by definition singular points on the surface) and \( \hat{f} \) is an affine homeomorphism of \( X_1 \) which descends to \( f \) on \( X_2 \), then
we have for all points $P \in X_2$: \( \text{rm}(f(P), h) = \text{rm}(P, h) \), i.e. a descend preserves ramification data.

**Proposition 3.10.** Let $Y$ be the origami from Definition 3.4. Recall that from the construction we had coverings $q: Y \to X$ and $p: X \to E[2]$ of degree $2k$ and $12$, respectively. Suppose that $r: Z \to Y$ is a finite covering such that

\begin{enumerate} 
  \item $\text{rm}(P, p \circ q \circ r), \text{rm}(Q, p \circ q \circ r), \text{rm}(R, p \circ q \circ r)$ and $\text{rm}(S, p \circ q \circ r)$ are pairwise distinct. 
  \item $\text{rm}(P_i, q \circ r) \neq \text{rm}(P_i, q \circ r)$ and $\text{rm}(Q_i, q \circ r) \neq \text{rm}(Q_i, q \circ r)$ for $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$. 
  \item For some $j \in \{1, \ldots, 2k\}$ we have: $\text{rm}(P_1^j, r) \neq \text{rm}(P_1^j, r)$ for all $j' \in \{1, \ldots, 2k\}$, $j' \neq j$. 
  \item $\text{rm}(R_i^1, r) \neq \text{rm}(R_i^j, r)$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, 2k\}$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, 12\}$ and $(i, j) \neq (1, 1)$.
\end{enumerate}

Then, the Veech group of $Z$ is a subgroup of $\pm \Gamma_6(2k)$.

It will be a main point of the proof of Proposition 3.10 that all affine homeomorphisms of $Z$ descend to $Y$. This is enforced by the ramification behaviour of the maps $r$, $q$ and $p$. A central step for showing this will be to work with the universal covering $\tilde{Z}^*$ of the punctured surface $Z^*$ (defined below), look at affine homeomorphisms there and find criterions ensuring that they descend to the finite surface $Y^*$. We do this in a sequence of lemmata which prepare the proof of Proposition 3.10.

Consider in the following the sequence:

\[(3.4) \quad \tilde{Z}^* \xrightarrow{u} Z^* \xrightarrow{r} Y^* \xrightarrow{q} X^* \xrightarrow{p} E[2]^* \xrightarrow{|2|} E^*\]

Here $E^* = E \setminus \{\infty\}$ ($E$ and $\infty$ defined as in the beginning of Section 3 and $X^*, Y^*$ and $Z^*$ are $X$, $Y$ and $Z$, respectively, with the preimages of $\infty$ under the corresponding covering map removed. Hence $r$, $q$ and $p$ in the sequence in (3.4) are unramified coverings. Let furthermore $u: \tilde{Z}^* \to Z^*$ be a universal covering. We lift the translation structure on $Z^*$ to $\tilde{Z}^*$ and call it $\tilde{\mu}$. Since all translation structures that we consider were obtained as lifts from the structure $\mu$ on $E$, all coverings in (3.4) are translation coverings. Furthermore, by the uniformisation theorem $(\tilde{Z}^*, \tilde{\mu})$ is as Riemann surface biholomorphic to the Poincaré upper half plane $\mathbb{H}$. The group of deck transformations

\[
\text{Deck}(\tilde{Z}^*/Z^*) \subseteq \text{Deck}(\tilde{Z}^*/Y^*) \subseteq \text{Deck}(\tilde{Z}^*/X^*)
\]

\[
\subseteq \text{Deck}(\tilde{Z}^*/E[2]^*) \subseteq \text{Deck}(\tilde{Z}^*/E^*) \cong \pi_1(E^*)
\]
of the coverings \( u, r \circ u, q \circ r \circ u, p \circ q \circ r \circ u \) and \((\cdot [2]) \circ p \circ q \circ r \circ u \) act as Fuchsian groups on \( \mathbb{H} \). They have all finite index in \( \text{Deck}(\mathcal{Z}^*/E^*) \), since they arise from finite coverings to \( E^* \). Thus the set of cusps (i.e. fixed points on the boundary of \( \mathbb{H} \) of parabolic elements) of these five groups coincide. We denote it by \( \mathcal{C}_{ps} \). The covering \( u \) may be extended to a continuous map from \( \mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H} \cup \mathcal{C}_{ps} \) (endowed with the horocycle topology) and we obtain the chain of continuous maps:

\[
\mathbb{H} \xrightarrow{u} Z \xrightarrow{r} Y \xrightarrow{q} X \xrightarrow{b} E[2] \xrightarrow{[2]} E
\]

**Definition 3.11.** Let \( S \) be a set, let \( \mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \ldots, b_l\} \) be a partition of \( S \) and let \( f \) act by a permutation on \( \mathcal{B} \). We say that \( f \) fixes the partition, if \( \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, l\} : f(b_i) = b_i \).

For the following lemma recall e.g. from [18, Prop. 2.1, Prop. 2.6] that any affine homeomorphism of \( \mathcal{Z}^* \) descends to \( E^* \) via \( u \circ r \circ q \circ p \circ \cdot [2] \), as well as to \( E[2]^* \) via \( u \circ r \circ q \circ p \). In particular any affine homeomorphism of \( \mathbb{H} \) can thus be continuously extended to \( \mathbb{H} \cup \mathcal{C}_{ps} \).

**Lemma 3.12.** In the above situation consider the partition of the set of cusps \( \mathcal{C}_{ps} \) induced by the four points \( P, Q, R \) and \( S \) on \( E[2] \), i.e. the partition that consists of the four classes:

\[
\mathcal{C}_{ps_P} = (p \circ q \circ r \circ u)^{-1}(P), \quad \mathcal{C}_{ps_Q} = (p \circ q \circ r \circ u)^{-1}(Q),
\]

\[
\mathcal{C}_{ps_R} = (p \circ q \circ r \circ u)^{-1}(R) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_{ps_S} = (p \circ q \circ r \circ u)^{-1}(S).
\]

Let \( \mathcal{H} = \{ f \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{Z}^*, \hat{\mu}) \vert f \text{ fixes the partition } \mathcal{C}_{ps_P} \cup \mathcal{C}_{ps_Q} \cup \mathcal{C}_{ps_R} \cup \mathcal{C}_{ps_S} \} \). Observe that here (and in the following) we use the extension of \( f \) to \( \mathbb{H} \). In this sense \( f \), acts on \( \mathcal{C}_{ps} \). We then have:

\[
h \in \mathcal{H} \iff \text{the descend of } h \text{ to } E[2] \text{ fixes the four points } P, Q, R \text{ and } S.
\]

\[
h \in \mathcal{H} \iff D(h) \in \Gamma_2
\]

**Proof.** The first equivalence directly follows from the definition of the partition. For the second equivalence observe that the action of an affine homeomorphism \( f \) of \( E[2] \) on \( P, Q, R \) and \( S \) is equivalent to the action of the image of \( D(f) \) in \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \) on \( (0,0), (1,0), (0,1) \) and \( (1,1) \) in \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \).

The following simple argument will be crucial later, therefore we provide a proof of it.

**Lemma 3.13.** Let \( p : A^* \to B^* \) be an unramified normal covering and let \( u : \widetilde{A}^* \to A^* \) be a universal covering. Let \( f \) be a homeomorphism of \( B^* \) and \( \tilde{f} \) a lift of \( f \) to \( \widetilde{A}^* \) via \( p \circ u \). Suppose that \( f \) can be lifted to \( A^* \). Then \( \tilde{f} \) descends to \( A^* \).
Proof. This follows directly from the fact that $p$ is normal, since if there is a lift $\hat{f}$ of $f$ to $A^*$, then we may lift $\hat{f}$ to some $\hat{f}'$ on $\tilde{A}^*$. Then $\hat{f}' \circ \hat{f}^{-1}$ is in Deck$(\tilde{A}^*/B^*)$. But Deck$(\tilde{A}^*/A^*)$ is normal in this group, thus $\hat{f}' \circ \hat{f}^{-1}$ descends to $A^*$ and thus also $f$ does.

Lemma 3.14. Suppose we are in the situation of Lemma 3.13 and let $X^*$ and $Y^*$ be the punctured surfaces from above.

i) All elements of $\mathcal{H}$ descend to $X^*$.

ii) Define $C_{ps_{P_1}} := (q \circ r \circ u)^{-1}(P_1)$, $C_{ps_{Q_1}} := (q \circ r \circ u)^{-1}(Q_1)$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 = \{ f \in \mathcal{H} | f(C_{ps_{P_1}}) = C_{ps_{P_1}} \text{ and } f(C_{ps_{Q_1}}) = C_{ps_{Q_1}} \}$. Then all elements of $\mathcal{H}_2$ descend to $Y^*$.

Proof. i) Let $\hat{f}$ be in $\mathcal{H}$. By Lemma 3.12, $\hat{f}$ descends to some affine homeomorphism $\tilde{f}$ on $E[2]$ which fixes $P$, $Q$, $R$ and $S$ pointwise and whose derivative $A = D(\tilde{f})$ is in $\Gamma_2$. By Lemma 3.3 we have an affine homeomorphism of $X^*$ with derivative $A$ which fixes the points $P_i$, $Q_i$, $S_i$ and permutes the $R_i$. Thus its descend $\tilde{f}'$ to $E[2]$ fixes the points $P$, $Q$, $R$, $S$ and also has derivative $A$. This determines it uniquely, thus $\tilde{f} = \tilde{f}'$. By Lemma 3.13 it follows that $\hat{f}$ descends to $X^*$.

ii) Let now $\hat{f}$ be in $\mathcal{H}_2$. We may use similar arguments as in i) replacing $E[2]$ and $X^*$ by $X^*$ and $Y^*$ (resp.): by i) we have that $\hat{f}$ descends to some $\tilde{f}$ on $X^*$. By assumption it fixes the point $P_1$ and $Q_1$. By Lemma 3.7 there is an affine homeomorphism of $Y^*$ with same derivative, which fixes $P_1^1$ and $Q_1^1$ and descends to some $\tilde{f}'$ on $X^*$ which fixes $P_1$ and $Q_1$. Thus $\tilde{f} \circ \tilde{f}^{-1}$ is a translation of $X^*$ which fixes $P_1$ and $Q_1$. In the proof of Lemma 3.7 we have seen that this implies that $\tilde{f} \circ \tilde{f}^{-1}$ is the identity and hence $\tilde{f} = \tilde{f}'$. We may again conclude using Lemma 3.13 and the fact that the covering $q$ is normal.

Proof of Proposition 3.10 Let $\hat{f}$ be in Aff$(Z)$. Again we use that since the derived vectors of the saddle connections of $Z$ span the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^2$, $\hat{f}$ preserves the vertices of the squares that form $Z$. In particular $\hat{f}$ restricts to an affine homeomorphism of $Z^*$. Let $\tilde{f}$ be a lift of it to the universal covering $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}$. It follows from A) that $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{H}$ and thus in particular its derivative is in $\Gamma_2$. It furthermore follows from A) and B) that $\tilde{f}$ is even in $\mathcal{H}_2$. Thus by Lemma 3.14, $\hat{f}$ descends to $\tilde{f}$ on $Y^*$. From C) we obtain that $\tilde{f}$ fixes $P_1^1$. Furthermore we obtain from A) and B) that $Q_1^j$ is mapped to $Q_1^j$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, 2k\}$. It follows from Corollary 3.8 that $\tilde{f}$ is in $\tilde{S}_2$. From D) we obtain that $\tilde{f}$ also fixes $R_1^1$. Recall from Lemma 3.7 that $\tilde{f}(R_{G_0(2k)} \gamma) = R_{G_0(2k)} \gamma(\varphi f)^{-1}$ with $\varphi = \gamma \circ D$. Since we have $R_1^1 = R_{\text{id}}$,
this implies \( G_6(2k) = G_6(2k) \cdot \varphi(f)^{-1} \) and thus \( \varphi(f) = \gamma(D(f)) \) is in \( G_6(2k) = \gamma(\Pi \Gamma_6(2k)) \). Hence we have \( D(f) \) is in \( \Pi \Gamma_6(2k) \).

\[ \square \]

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We finally complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 by giving a specific family \( Z_k \) of examples which satisfies the conditions in Proposition 3.10. For a given \( k \), the origami \( Z = Z_k \) is a covering of degree 2 of the origami \( Y \) from Section 3.3 and constructed as follows: We take two copies of \( Y \) and slit them along the following three edges: the upper edge of Square \((A_2, 3, 2k)\) and of \((A_5, 1, 1)\) and the right edge of \((A_1, 3, 1)\) (see Figure 8). Each slit is reglued with the corresponding slit of the other copy. In the following definition we give a formal description of this origami by its permutations. Recall for this that the \( 96k \) squares of the origami \( Y \) correspond to the elements in the set \( M_Y = \Pi \Gamma_2/\Pi \Gamma_6 \times \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \times \mathbb{Z}/(2k\mathbb{Z}) \).

**Definition 4.1.** Let \( \sigma_Y \) and \( \sigma_b^Y \) in \( S_{M_Y} \) be the permutations which define the horizontal and the vertical gluings of \( Y \). Furthermore, let \( h : M_Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) and \( v : M_Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) be the two maps defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
  h((A, i, j)) &= 1 \iff (A, i, j) = (A_1, 3, 1), \\
  v((A, i, j)) &= 1 \iff (A, i, j) \in \{(A_2, 3, 2k), (A_5, 1, 1)\}.
\end{align*}
\]

Now, define \( Z = Z_k \) to be the origami which consists of \( 192k \) squares labelled by the elements of \( M_Z = \Pi \Gamma_2/\Pi \Gamma_6 \times \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \times \mathbb{Z}/(2k\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}/(2\mathbb{Z}) \) with the following gluing rules:

\[
\begin{align*}
  \sigma^Z_{\sigma} : (A, i, j, l) &\mapsto (\sigma^Y_{\sigma}(A, i, j), l + h(A, i, j)) \text{ defines the horizontal gluings} \\
  \sigma^Z_{\sigma_b} : (A, i, j, l) &\mapsto (\sigma^Y_{\sigma_b}(A, i, j), l + v(A, i, j)) \text{ defines the vertical gluings}.
\end{align*}
\]

**Proposition 4.2.** The origami \( Z \) from Definition 4.1 has the following properties:

i) It allows a degree 2 covering \( r \) to the origami \( Y \).

ii) The covering \( r \) defined in i) is ramified precisely over the four points \( R^1, P^2_k, Q^1_i \) and \( Q^2_k \). The genus of \( Z \) is \( 48k + 3 \).

iii) Its Veech group is contained in \( \pm \Gamma_6(2k) \).

**Proof.** The map \( (A, i, j, l) \mapsto (A, i, j) \) defines a covering of degree 2. Hence we have i). For ii), you may further read off from Figure 8 that a small loop on \( Y \) around a vertex meets an odd number of cuts if and only if the vertex is \( P^2_k, Q^2_k, R^1_i \) or \( Q^1_i \). Thus these are the ramification points. Applying the Riemann-Hurwitz formula gives the genus, since by Proposition 3.5 the genus of \( Y \) is \( 24k + 1 \).

Recall from Proposition 3.1 that the ramification index of \( p : X \rightarrow E[2] \) at \( P_i, Q_i, S_i \) (\( i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \)) is 3, respectively, and \( p \) is unramified at all \( R_j \).
Furthermore $q : Y \to X$ is unramified by Lemma 3.5. Thus we obtain from ii) for the ramification data:

$$\text{rm}(P, p \circ q \circ r) = \{6, 3, \ldots, 3\}, \quad \text{rm}(Q, p \circ q \circ r) = \{6, 6, 3, \ldots, 3\}$$

$$\text{rm}(R, p \circ q \circ r) = \{2, 1, \ldots, 1\}, \quad \text{rm}(S, p \circ q \circ r) = \{3, \ldots, 3\}$$

In particular, these four ramification data are different and Condition A) in Proposition 3.10 is satisfied. Furthermore, we have for $i \neq 1$:

$$\text{rm}(P_i, q \circ r) = \{2, 1, \ldots, 1\} \neq \text{rm}(P_i, q \circ r) = \{1, \ldots, 1\}$$

$$\text{rm}(Q_i, q \circ r) = \{2, 2, 1, \ldots, 1\} \neq \text{rm}(Q_i, q \circ r) = \{1, \ldots, 1\}.$$ 

Thus Condition B) holds. Finally, the ramification behaviour of $r$ shown in ii) ensures that Condition C) and D) are satisfied. Thus the claim follows from Proposition 3.10.

In particular, this proposition combined with Proposition 2.8 says that the Teichmüller curve of the origami $Z_k$ has complementary series for every $k \geq 3$. In particular, our “smallest” example of Teichmüller curve with complementary series corresponds to $Z_3$. The following corollary gives a description of $Z_3$ in terms of pairs of permutations:

**Corollary 4.3.** The Teichmüller curve of the following origami (see Figure 10 in Appendix B) allows a complementary series:

$$\sigma_a = (1, 13, 193, 207, 243, 253)(2, 14, 194, 208, 244, 254)$$

$$(3, 15, 195, 209, 245, 255)(4, 16, 196, 210, 246, 256)$$

$$(5, 17, 197, 211, 247, 257)(6, 18, 198, 212, 248, 258)$$

$$(7, 19, 199, 213, 249, 259)(8, 20, 200, 214, 250, 260)$$

$$(9, 21, 201, 215, 251, 261)(10, 22, 202, 216, 252, 262)$$

$$(11, 23, 203, 205, 241, 263)(12, 24, 204, 206, 242, 264)$$

$$(25, 38, 266, 280, 220, 230, 26, 37, 265, 279, 219, 229)$$

$$(27, 39, 267, 281, 221, 231)(28, 40, 268, 282, 222, 232)$$

$$(29, 41, 269, 283, 223, 233)(30, 42, 270, 284, 224, 234)$$

$$(31, 43, 271, 285, 225, 235)(32, 44, 272, 286, 226, 236)$$

$$(33, 45, 273, 287, 227, 237)(34, 46, 274, 288, 228, 238)$$

$$(35, 47, 275, 277, 217, 239)(36, 48, 276, 278, 218, 240)$$

$$(49, 61, 433, 445, 337, 349)(50, 62, 434, 446, 338, 350)$$

$$(51, 63, 435, 447, 339, 351)(52, 64, 436, 448, 340, 352)$$

$$(53, 65, 437, 449, 341, 353)(54, 66, 438, 450, 342, 354)$$

$$(55, 67, 439, 451, 343, 355)(56, 68, 440, 452, 344, 356)$$
\[ \sigma_b = (1, 265, 289, 553, 433, 73)(2, 266, 290, 554, 434, 74) \\
(3, 267, 291, 555, 435, 75)(4, 268, 292, 556, 436, 76) \\
(5, 269, 293, 557, 437, 77)(6, 270, 294, 558, 438, 78) \\
(7, 271, 295, 559, 439, 79)(8, 272, 296, 560, 440, 80) \\
(9, 273, 297, 561, 441, 81)(10, 274, 298, 562, 442, 82) \\
(11, 275, 299, 563, 443, 83)(12, 276, 300, 564, 444, 84) \\
(13, 229, 157, 565, 493, 133)(14, 230, 158, 566, 494, 134) \\
(15, 231, 159, 567, 495, 135)(16, 232, 160, 568, 496, 136) \\
(17, 233, 161, 569, 497, 137)(18, 234, 162, 570, 498, 138) \]
(19, 235, 163, 571, 499, 139)(20, 236, 164, 572, 500, 140)
(21, 237, 165, 573, 501, 141)(22, 238, 166, 574, 502, 142)
(23, 239, 167, 575, 503, 143)(24, 240, 168, 576, 504, 144)
(25, 97, 505, 529, 169, 193, 26, 98, 506, 530, 170, 194)
(27, 99, 507, 531, 171, 195)(28, 100, 508, 532, 172, 196)
(29, 101, 509, 533, 173, 197)(30, 102, 510, 534, 174, 198)
(31, 103, 511, 535, 175, 199)(32, 104, 512, 536, 176, 200)
(33, 105, 513, 537, 177, 201)(34, 106, 514, 538, 178, 202)
(35, 107, 515, 539, 179, 203)(36, 108, 516, 540, 180, 204)
(37, 61, 469, 541, 325, 253)(38, 62, 470, 542, 326, 254)
(39, 63, 471, 543, 327, 255)(40, 64, 472, 544, 328, 256)
(41, 65, 473, 545, 329, 257)(42, 66, 474, 546, 330, 258)
(43, 67, 475, 547, 331, 259)(44, 68, 476, 548, 332, 260)
(45, 69, 477, 549, 333, 261)(46, 70, 478, 550, 334, 262)
(47, 71, 479, 551, 335, 263)(48, 72, 480, 552, 336, 264)
(49, 361, 145, 313, 385, 121)(50, 362, 146, 314, 386, 122)
(51, 363, 147, 315, 387, 123)(52, 364, 148, 316, 388, 124)
(53, 365, 149, 317, 389, 125)(54, 366, 150, 318, 390, 126)
(55, 367, 151, 319, 391, 127)(56, 368, 152, 320, 392, 128)
(57, 369, 153, 321, 393, 129)(58, 370, 154, 322, 394, 130)
(59, 371, 155, 323, 395, 131)(60, 372, 156, 324, 396, 132)
(85, 109, 421, 301, 181, 349)(86, 110, 422, 302, 182, 350)
(87, 111, 423, 303, 183, 351)(88, 112, 424, 304, 184, 352)
(89, 113, 425, 305, 185, 353)(90, 114, 426, 306, 186, 354)
(91, 115, 427, 307, 187, 355)(92, 116, 428, 308, 188, 356)
(93, 117, 429, 309, 189, 357)(94, 118, 430, 310, 190, 358)
(95, 119, 431, 311, 191, 359)(96, 120, 432, 312, 192, 360)
(205, 277, 397, 517, 445, 373)(206, 278, 398, 518, 446, 374)
(207, 279, 399, 519, 447, 375)(208, 280, 400, 520, 448, 376)
(209, 281, 401, 521, 449, 377)(210, 282, 402, 522, 450, 378)
(211, 283, 403, 523, 451, 379)(212, 284, 404, 524, 452, 380)
(213, 285, 405, 525, 453, 381)(214, 286, 406, 526, 454, 382)
(215, 287, 407, 527, 455, 383)(216, 288, 408, 528, 456, 384)
(217, 337, 457, 481, 409, 241)(218, 338, 458, 482, 410, 242)
(219, 339, 459, 483, 411, 243)(220, 340, 460, 484, 412, 244)
(221, 341, 461, 485, 413, 245)(222, 342, 462, 486, 414, 246)
(223, 343, 463, 487, 415, 247)(224, 344, 464, 488, 416, 248)
(225, 345, 465, 489, 417, 249)(226, 346, 466, 490, 418, 250)
(227, 347, 467, 491, 419, 251)(228, 348, 468, 492, 420, 252)
The genus of the origami is 147. It consists of 95 horizontal and 94 vertical cylinders and it is in the stratum $\mathcal{H}(1,5,5,5,2,\ldots,2)$. 
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APPENDIX A. EXISTENCE OF ARITHMETIC TEICHMÜLLER CURVES WITH COMPLEMENTARY SERIES

The facts in this Appendix are certainly well-known among experts, but we include this Appendix for sake of completeness. In the sequel, we will follow some arguments that grew out of conversations of the first author with A. Avila and J.-C. Yoccoz.

Consider the level 2 principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma_2$ of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. Recall that its image $P\Gamma_2$ in $PSL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ is the free subgroup generated by

$$x := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad y := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

It follows that we have a natural surjective homomorphism $\rho : P\Gamma_2 \to \mathbb{Z}$ obtained by counting the number of occurrences of $x$ into a given word $w = w(x,y) \in P\Gamma_2$. In particular, by taking the reduction modulo $N$, we have a family of natural surjective homomorphisms $\rho_N : \Gamma_2 \to \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. We define

$$\Gamma_2(N) := \ker(\rho_N).$$

Proposition A.1. The regular representation of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ on $L^2(\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2(N))$ exhibits complementary series for all sufficiently large $N$.

Proof. Denoting by $\mathcal{F}_1 := \{z \in \mathbb{H} : |\text{Re}(z)| \leq 1/2, |z| \geq 1\}$ the standard fundamental domain of the action of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{H}$, one has that $\mathcal{F}_2 := \bigcup_{i=1}^6 \alpha_i^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_1)$, where $\alpha_1 = \text{Id}, \alpha_2 = T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \alpha_3 = S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\alpha_4 = TS$, $\alpha_5 = ST$ and $\alpha_6 = T^{-1}ST$, is a fundamental domain for the action of $\Gamma_2$ on $\mathbb{H}$. In particular, by definition, $\mathcal{F}_N := \bigcup_{j=0}^{N-1} x^j(\mathcal{F}_2)$ is a fundamental domain for the action of $\Gamma_2(N)$ on $\mathbb{H}$.

Let $U := \mathcal{F}_2 \cap \{z \in \mathbb{H} : |\text{Im}(z)| < 1\}$ and $V := x^n(U)$ where $n = \lfloor N/2 \rfloor$. Since the hyperbolic distance $\rho(z,w)$ between $z,w \in \mathbb{H}$ verifies $\cosh \rho(z,w) = 1 + |z-w|^2/2|\text{Im}(z)||\text{Im}(w)|$, it follows that the hyperbolic distance $d(U,V)$ between $U$ and $V$ satisfies

$$\cosh d(U,V) \geq 1 + 2(n-1)^2$$

because $|\text{Im}(z)|, |\text{Im}(w)| \leq 1$ and $|z-w| \geq 2(n-1)$ for any $z \in U$, $w \in V = x^n(U)$. From our choices of $U$ and $V$ (inside the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_N$), it follows that $U$ and $V$ are far apart by $\arccosh(1+2(n-1)^2)$ (at least) on $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2(N)$.

In other words, for all $0 \leq t \leq \arccosh(1+2(n-1)^2)$, we have that $U \cap a(t)V = \emptyset$. Here, $a(t) = \text{diag}(e^t, e^{-t})$ is the diagonal subgroup of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ and we’re slightly abusing the notation by identifying $U,V \subset \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2(N)$ =
SO(2, ℝ) \ SL(2, ℝ)/Γ_2(N) with their lifts to SL(2, ℝ)/Γ_2(N). Therefore, by taking \( f = \frac{xu}{\sqrt{\text{Area}(U)}} \), \( g = \frac{xy}{\sqrt{\text{Area}(V)}} \) and \( u = f - \int f, v = g - \int g \), we get

\[
\int u \cdot v \circ a(t) = \int \left( f - \int f \right) \cdot \left( g \circ a(t) - \int g \right) = \int (f \cdot g \circ a(t)) - \int f \int g = - \int f \int g
\]

for every \( 0 \leq t \leq \arccosh(1 + 2(n - 1)^2) \). Here Area is the normalised hyperbolic area form of \( \mathbb{H}/Γ_2(N) \).

Assume that the regular representation of \( SL(2, ℝ) \) on \( L^2(\mathbb{H}/Γ_2(N)) \) doesn’t exhibit complementary series. By Ratner’s work \([16]\), it follows from the identity above that, for all \( 0 \leq t \leq \arccosh(1 + 2(n - 1)^2) \),

\[
\text{Area}(U) = \sqrt{\text{Area}(U)} \cdot \sqrt{\text{Area}(V)} = \int f \int g = \left| \int u \cdot v \circ a(t) \right|
\]

\[
\leq \tilde{K} \left\| u \right\|_{L^2} \left\| v \right\|_{L^2} t e^{-t} = \tilde{K} \left( \left\| f \right\|_{L^2}^2 - \left\| f \right\|_{L^1}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \left\| g \right\|_{L^2}^2 - \left\| g \right\|_{L^1}^2 \right)^{1/2} t e^{-t} = \tilde{K} (1 - \text{Area}(U)) t e^{-t} \leq \tilde{K} t e^{-t}
\]

where \( \tilde{K} > 0 \) is a universal constant. In our case, since the hyperbolic area of \( \mathcal{F}_2 - U \) is 2 and the hyperbolic area of \( \mathcal{F}_2 \) is \( 2π \) (equal to the area of \( \mathbb{H}/Γ_2 \)), we have \( \text{Area}(U) = (2π - 2)/2π N \).

Thus, in the previous estimate, we see that the absence of complementary series implies

\[
\frac{2π - 2}{2π N} \leq \tilde{K} t_N e^{-t_N}
\]

with \( t_N = \arccosh(1 + 2(|N/2| - 1)^2) \). Since this inequality is false for all sufficiently large \( N \), the proof of the proposition is complete. □

**Remark A.2.** The constant \( \tilde{K} \) in Ratner’s work \([16]\) can be rendered explicit (by bookkeeping it along Ratner’s arguments). By following \([16]\) closely, we found that one can take \( \tilde{K} = \frac{(32 + \sqrt{2})}{3e^2(1-e^{-4})^2} + (1 + 2\sqrt{2}) e \) in the proof of the previous proposition. In particular, by inserting this into the estimate

\[
\text{Area}(U) \leq \tilde{K} (1 - \text{Area}(U)) t e^{-t}
\]

derived above, one eventually find that complementary series show up as soon as \( N \geq 170 \).
Remark A.3. The proof of the previous proposition can be adapted to show that the size of the spectral gap becomes arbitrarily small for a sufficiently large \( N \). Indeed, if there was a uniform size \( \sigma > 0 \) for the spectral gap along the family \( \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_2(N) \), one could apply Ratner’s work [16] to deduce

\[
\text{Area}(U) \leq \tilde{K} t_N e^{-\sigma t_N}
\]

where \( U \) and \( t_N \) are as in the proof of the previous proposition. Hence,

\[
\frac{2\pi - 2}{2\pi N} \leq \tilde{K} t_N e^{-t_N}
\]

with \( t_N = \text{arccosh}(1 + 2(\left\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \right\rfloor - 1)^2) \), a contradiction for all sufficiently large \( N \).


**Appendix B. Picture of the Origami in the Case** $k = 3$

Figure 10 shows the origami $Z$ from Corollary 4.3.

![Table]

| 183 | 315 | 325 | 553 | 565 | 169 |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 157 | 529 | 541 | 289 | 303 | 147 |
| 85  | 361 | 373 | 457 | 469 | 73  |
| 61  | 433 | 445 | 337 | 349 | 49  |
| 421 | 121 | 133 | 505 | 517 | 400 |
| 397 | 481 | 493 | 97  | 109 | 385 |
| 279 | 219 | 229 | 25  | 37  | 265 |
| 253 | 1   | 13  | 193 | 207 | 243 |

| 184 | 316 | 326 | 554 | 566 | 170 |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 158 | 530 | 542 | 290 | 304 | 148 |
| 86  | 362 | 374 | 458 | 470 | 74  |
| 62  | 434 | 446 | 338 | 350 | 50  |
| 422 | 122 | 134 | 506 | 518 | 410 |
| 398 | 482 | 494 | 98  | 110 | 386 |
| 280 | 220 | 230 | 26  | 38  | 266 |
| 254 | 2   | 14  | 194 | 208 | 244 |

$j = 1$

| 185 | 317 | 327 | 555 | 567 | 171 |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 159 | 531 | 543 | 291 | 305 | 149 |
| 87  | 363 | 375 | 459 | 471 | 75  |
| 63  | 435 | 447 | 339 | 351 | 51  |
| 423 | 123 | 135 | 507 | 519 | 411 |
| 399 | 483 | 495 | 99  | 111 | 387 |
| 281 | 221 | 231 | 27  | 39  | 267 |
| 255 | 3   | 15  | 195 | 209 | 245 |

| 186 | 318 | 328 | 556 | 568 | 172 |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 160 | 532 | 544 | 292 | 306 | 150 |
| 88  | 364 | 376 | 460 | 472 | 76  |
| 64  | 436 | 448 | 340 | 352 | 52  |
| 424 | 124 | 136 | 508 | 520 | 412 |
| 400 | 484 | 496 | 100 | 112 | 388 |
| 282 | 222 | 232 | 28  | 40  | 268 |
| 256 | 4   | 16  | 196 | 210 | 246 |

$j = 2$
### Explicit Teichmüller Curves with Complementary Series

#### $j = 3$

| 187 | 319 | 329 | 557 | 569 | 173 |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 161 | 533 | 545 | 293 | 307 | 151 |
| 89  | 365 | 377 | 461 | 473 | 77  |
| 65  | 437 | 449 | 341 | 353 | 53  |
| 425 | 125 | 137 | 509 | 521 | 413 |
| 401 | 485 | 497 | 101 | 113 | 389 |
| 283 | 223 | 233 | 29  | 41  | 269 |
| 257 | 5   | 17  | 197 | 211 | 247 |

#### $j = 4$

| 189 | 321 | 331 | 559 | 571 | 175 |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 163 | 535 | 547 | 295 | 309 | 153 |
| 91  | 367 | 379 | 463 | 475 | 79  |
| 67  | 439 | 451 | 343 | 355 | 55  |
| 427 | 127 | 139 | 511 | 523 | 415 |
| 403 | 487 | 499 | 103 | 115 | 391 |
| 285 | 225 | 235 | 31  | 43  | 271 |
| 259 | 7   | 19  | 199 | 213 | 249 |

| 188 | 320 | 330 | 558 | 570 | 174 |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 162 | 534 | 546 | 294 | 308 | 152 |
| 90  | 366 | 378 | 462 | 474 | 78  |
| 66  | 438 | 450 | 342 | 354 | 54  |
| 426 | 126 | 138 | 510 | 522 | 414 |
| 402 | 486 | 498 | 102 | 114 | 390 |
| 284 | 224 | 234 | 30  | 42  | 270 |
| 258 | 6   | 18  | 198 | 212 | 248 |

| 190 | 322 | 332 | 560 | 572 | 176 |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 164 | 536 | 548 | 296 | 310 | 154 |
| 92  | 368 | 380 | 464 | 476 | 80  |
| 68  | 440 | 452 | 344 | 356 | 56  |
| 428 | 128 | 140 | 512 | 524 | 416 |
| 404 | 488 | 500 | 104 | 116 | 392 |
| 286 | 226 | 236 | 32  | 44  | 272 |
| 260 | 8   | 20  | 200 | 214 | 250 |
Figure 10. The origami $Z$. Change at the black bars from the left to the right leaf and vice versa. See Corollary 4.3 and Figure 8 for the gluing rules.
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