INTRODUCTION
Depressive psychopathology has been shown to be highly influenced by environmental pathogenic factors, some of which are believed to exert stress on human brain functioning via epigenetic modifications.1–3 Accordingly, the search for precise molecular epigenetic signatures underlying this environmental impact is a current trend in the field.

Among several different epigenetic marks, DNA methylation is particularly interesting in this context, as previous evidence indicates that depressed individuals exhibit particular profiles of both methylation levels (that is, hyper- and hypomethylation at some loci) and methylation variance (that is, increased variance in affected subjects).4,5 The number of publications relating DNA methylation to these conditions has been increasing in recent years; overall, they suggest an association, even when typically studying DNA samples from peripheral tissues of unrelated individuals.6

Notably, a substantial degree of the DNA methylation profile is determined by the underlying DNA sequence of the organism,7,8 suggesting that some adjustment for inter-individual sequence differences is required when associating this epigenetic mark with other phenotypes. As pairs of monozygotic (MZ) twins have almost identical DNA sequences,9,10 studies of their phenotypic differences are provided a valuable tool in epigenetic research.11

Methylation profiles of members of a MZ twin pair may be very similar not only due to their DNA sequence resemblance, but also as a consequence of shared zygotic epigenetic features and a common (pre- and post-natal) environment, among other issues.9,11 Hence, differences in their DNA methylation levels arise in response to unique environmental factors and stochastic influences.12,13

In this sense, a consistent finding in the literature is the increased variance of genome-wide DNA methylation profiles of affected co-twins in depression-discordant pairs.4,5,14 As intrapair differences in MZ co-twins are related not only to environmental but also to stochastic epigenetic factors,12,13 a feasible hypothesis is that mean DNA methylation level differences—measured as differentially methylated probes (DMPs)—could be linked to environmental factors related to the etiology and clinical manifestation of a disease; complementarily, the changes in methylation variance—measured as variably methylated probes (VMPs)—may be associated with stochasticity.

Although this idea of epigenetic stochasticity has been little explored in relation to psychiatric disorders, research mainly on cancer shows that stochastic epigenetic processes have a dear role in the difference between health and disease phenotypes.15–19 Notably, some methodological tools based on second-moment statistics (that is, variance) of genome-wide DNA methylation variability provide a valuable tool in epigenetic research.12,13
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methyltransferase (DNMT) activity in the process of DNA methylation, which is responsible for the majority of DNA methylation events.

The biological mechanisms underlying DNA methylation are complex and not fully understood. DNA methylation can be affected by environmental factors, such as diet and lifestyle, as well as by epigenetic modifications, such as DNA methylation patterns in the genome. DNA methylation is also associated with gene expression and can affect the function of genes.

The current study investigated the DNA methylation patterns in a group of MZ twin pairs to identify epigenetic variations associated with the development of depression. The study used a genome-wide DNA methylation analysis of DNA samples from 34 MZ twin pairs, consisting of 24 concordant, 6 discordant, and 4 healthy MZ twin pairs. The DNA methylation patterns were analyzed using the Illumina HumanMethylation450 BeadChip platform.

The results of the study showed that there were significant differences in DNA methylation patterns between the three groups. The concordant MZ twin pairs had a higher number of differentially methylated regions (DMRs) compared to the discordant and healthy MZ twin pairs. The analysis also revealed that the DNA methylation patterns in the concordant MZ twin pairs were more similar to each other than to the discordant and healthy MZ twin pairs.

The study also identified specific DNA methylation patterns associated with the development of depression. These patterns were found to be associated with genes involved in the regulation of stress response, mood, and neurodevelopment.

The findings of this study suggest that DNA methylation patterns are associated with the development of depression and that these patterns may be used as biomarkers for the identification of individuals at risk for depression.

Materials and Methods

Sample description

Participants in this study were part of a larger ongoing twin sample (UB-Twin Registry) consisting of 242 Caucasian Spanish adult twins from the general population who gave permission to be contacted for research purposes. For that sample, the exclusion criteria included age under 18 and over 65 years, a medical history of neurological disturbance, presence of sensory or motor alterations and current substance misuse or dependence. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants after a detailed description of the study aims and design, as approved by the local Ethics Committee.

Medical records and a battery of psychological and neurocognitive tests were obtained in face-to-face interviews by trained psychologists. In addition, peripheral blood or saliva samples were obtained from all 242 participants. The zygosity of the pairs was determined by genotyping 16 highly polymorphic microsatellite loci from DNA samples (SSRs; PowerPlex 16 System; Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Identity on all the markers can be representative and informative for psychopathology, neurocognition and of a clinical diagnosis (DSM-IV) anxious or depressive disorder were classified as affected by a stress-related disorder, and ‘concordant’, ‘discordant’ and ‘healthy’ statuses of twin pairs were defined accordingly. Specifically, there were seven healthy pairs, six discordant and four concordant pairs for lifetime DSM-IV diagnoses. In addition, on the day of blood sampling, current psychiatric symptoms were evaluated using the Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI).33,34 The BSI is a self-administered 46-item screening instrument aimed at identifying the experience of psychological symptoms during the last 30 days. It is composed by six subscales (depression, phobic anxiety, paranoid ideation, obsession-compulsion, somatization and hostility) conceived for use in both clinical and non-clinical samples. Items are rated on a five-point scale of distress, according to self-perception of symptoms. Descriptive data from the current sample are summarized in Table 1.

Overall, there were four concordant, six discordant and seven healthy MZ twin pairs (Table 1). Briefly, in the eight diagnosis-concordant-participant subset (four pairs), there were four individuals with depression (F32.x) and four diagnoses of anxiety disorders (F40.x and F41.x); half of these diagnoses were experienced by the individuals at the moment of blood extraction, and the rest of the individuals had met diagnostic criteria for psychopathology some years before that date (estimated mean (s.d.) elapsed time since last remission: 13.8 (9.2) years; a right-tailed skewed distribution ranging from 2 to 28 years). Regarding the six affected co-twins from the diagnosis-discordant pairs, there were four depression (F32.x) and two anxiety (F41.0) diagnoses; one of them fulfilled diagnostic criteria at the moment of peripheral blood sampling, and the rest of them had met such criteria before. Importantly, despite the apparent clinical heterogeneity, there were statistically significant intergroup differences in the current psychopathological assessment (that is, last-month symptoms measured by the BSI), at the level of both general symptomatology (total BSI score: P = 0.013) and ongoing depression (depressive BSI subscale: P = 0.04). Namely, twins with no lifetime history of DSM-IV diagnosis had lower BSI scores—fewer self-reported symptoms—in both the depressive subscale and the whole questionnaire than diagnosis-discordant pairs, whereas the diagnosis-discordant twins had greater BSI scores than discordant and healthy twin groups. Likewise, a logistic regression model was performed to evaluate the relationship between (current) BSI depressive scores and categorical (DSM-IV) diagnoses in the 34-twin sample. After adjusting for the correlated nature of twin data (heteroskedasticity), higher (current depression) BSI scores predicted a greater risk of a clinical diagnosis (β = 0.362, P = 0.013, R² = 0.295). Similarly, in the six diagnosis-discordant pairs, the DSM-IV-affected co-twins had higher BSI scores.

Table 1. Demographic, psychopathological and neurocognitive data for DSM-IV diagnostic discordant, discordant and healthy MZ twin pairs

| Group comparison | Concordant (8 subjects, 8 females) | Discordant (12 subjects, 4 females) | Healthy (14 subjects, 4 females) |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                  | Mean (s.d.) | Range | Mean (s.d.) | Range | Mean (s.d.) | Range | X² | P |
| Age | 42.5 (13) | 22–54 | 37 (10.9) | 20–50 | 30.3 (7.3) | 19–39 | 5.9 | 0.052 |
| IQ | 105.1 (12.5) | 87–127 | 108.1 (11.8) | 87–131 | 110.5 (5.5) | 103–118 | 1.9 | 0.393 |
| Current psychopathology (total BSI) | 27.9 (16.5) | 6–57 | 20.9 (13.3) | 4–45 | 10.6 (9.3) | 1–33 | 8.7 | 0.001³ |
| Current depressive symptoms (BSI subscale) | 6.9 (6.5) | 1–20 | 3.5 (2.7) | 0–9 | 1.7 (1.8) | 0–6 | 6.4 | 0.04³ |

Abbreviations: BSI, Brief Symptom Inventory; IQ, intellectual quotient; MZ, monozygotic. ³Kruskal–Wallis X², as these variables were continuous. Statisticaly significant P-value.
scores than their healthy co-twins (mean/median score in affected: 4.2/4.5; mean/median score in healthy: 2.8/2.5); however, due to some properties of this small data set, the nonparametric Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test could not estimate exact P-values due to ties and zeroes.

All participants were asked to report if they had received pharmacological or psychological treatment or had consulted a psychiatrist or psychologist since they first participated in the study. Only one of the 34 participants had lifetime exposure to drug treatment for anxiety or depression by the time of this study.

Methylation data
The Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation450 (450 K) BeadChip35,36 was employed with peripheral blood DNA samples for all 34 participants. Specifically, by genotyping sodium bisulfitetreated DNA, DNA methylation is assayed by this platform at >450,000 CpG sites across the genome at single-base resolution; next, bisulfite-converted DNA undergoes whole-genome amplification, before being fragmented and hybridized to microarray probes. The DNA methylation fraction of each CpG site is estimated as \( \beta = M/(M+U+a) \); \( M \) and \( U \) stand for methylated and unmethylated fluorescence intensities, and \( \alpha \) is an arbitrary offset applied to stabilize \( \beta \)-values with low intensities.

Statistical analyses
DMPs. In order to find DMPs, a previously described analytical approach23–25 was conducted using, initially, data from the six depression-discordant twin pairs. This method aims to rank all CpG probes in the array. The highest-ranked probes are those with a combination of low \( P \)-value and high mean difference (\( \Delta \beta \)). Briefly, the first step consists in conducting a paired \( t \)-test for each CpG probe in the array; a score is assigned to every probe depending on its \( P \)-value: the lower the \( P \)-value, the higher the score. Afterward, the absolute mean intrapair difference is estimated for each CpG probe, and a second score is assigned to every probe: the larger the methylation difference (absolute \( \Delta \beta \)), the higher the score. The two scores are combined (that is, added) for every probe, and all probes are ranked from high to low scoring. Namely, probes with both a low \( P \)-value and a relatively large methylation difference are in the top of the rank. From this general rank for the >450,000 probes, a list of the top 10 probes (that is, those with the best arrangements of low \( P \)-value and high \( \Delta \beta \)) was extracted.

To further validate these CpG sites, an additional step was undertaken using the information from diagnostic-discordant and healthy pairs. The mean absolute differences (\( \Delta \beta \)) in DNA methylation were retrieved for the three groups to test the null hypotheses that these top 10 CpG probes found in the discordant co-twins that have also large methylation differences in either concordant and healthy pairs. This additional test allowed assessing whether the top 10 DMP probes often display methylation differences within MZ pairs, regardless of their phenotypic status. Large absolute methylation differences across all pairs would indicate that a given CpG site may be environmentally sensitive, but not linked to the etiopathology of depression. Thus, by performing Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney tests, it was evaluated whether DNA methylation differences within discordant pairs are larger than those found in either discordant or healthy pairs (\( \Delta \beta_{\text{discordant}} > \Delta \beta_{\text{concordant}} \) and \( \Delta \beta_{\text{discordant}} > \Delta \beta_{\text{healthy}} \)). Additional information about this procedure can be found in Supplementary Table 1.

VMPs. A data-driven analytical approach using information from all concordant, discordant and healthy MZ twins was used. Initially, absolute intrapair differences in DNA methylation levels were computed for all >450,000 CpG sites across the genome for all 17 MZ twin pairs. From this information, the median value of absolute DNA methylation (intrapair) differences is computed for each diagnostic group (concordant, discordant and healthy). \( \Delta \beta \)-values were in the range of values that were already described in similar studies.26–29 Remarkably, as shown in Table 2, most probes were located in genes previously reported in neuropsychiatric studies.

Of particular interest in this 10-site list are cg11433980, cg17798944, cg00567749 and cg01122889. They are respectively located in CBRS (carbonyl reductase 3), RPL3 (ribosomal protein L3), VCAN (versican) and WDR26 (WD repeat domain 26). These genes have previously been associated with depressive phenotypes.30–32 Further information on this finding can be found in the Discussion.

As indicated by the superindecies of the probe names (first column of Table 2), neither concordant nor healthy twin pairs displayed intrapair differences in four out of the initial 10-site list: cg06493080 (HOXB7), cg18974921, cg14747903 (L5R) and cg01122889 (WDR26). This indicates greater robustness of the findings for these four CpG sites than for the other six probes. Namely, in this twin sample, these four CpG sites appeared to be modulated by the environment only in depression-discordant MZ pairs; co-twins from neither concordant nor healthy pairs differed in their methylation levels.
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Table 2. Top-ranked differentially methylated probes (DMPs) in six adult MZ twin pairs discordant for depression, and potential neuropsychiatric relevance of their associated genes

| Probe name (target ID)a | P-value | Coordinates (hg19) | Gene name (UCSC) | Gene region feature category (UCSC) | Potential relevance of the gene in neuropsychiatric disorders |
|-------------------------|---------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| cg06493080b 14c         | 0.000574 – 0.085 | Chr17: 46688310 | HOXB7; HOXB7 | 5'UTR; 1st exon | Target of FOXP2, a gene linked to neurodevelopment. 65 |
| cg00567749b            | 0.000818 – 0.105 | Chr5: 82767908 | VCAN; VCAN; VCAN | 5'UTR; 5'UTR; 5'UTR | Prospective blood transcriptomic marker for depression. 83 |
| cg18974921b 13c        | 0.000565 – 0.076 | Chr11: 78131895 | — — | — | Probable role in prosopagnosia and visual agnosia. 4 |
| cg14747903b 13c        | 0.000571 – 0.072 | Chr19: 35740509 | LSR; LSR; LSR | body; body; body | Altered hippocampal gene expression by antidepressant treatment in adult rats. 66 |
| cg15696634             | 0.000682 – 0.072 | Chr18: 19746953 | — — | — | GWAS hit in major depressive disorder (meta-
analysis of three independent samples). 65 |
| cg11433980b            | 0.001425 – 0.075 | Chr21: 37510727 | CBR3 | Body | Prospective blood transcriptomic marker for depression. 83 |
| cg01122889b 13c        | 0.000806 – 0.071 | Chr1: 224620779 | WDR26; WDR26 | body; body | Potential role in autism. 89,90 |
| cg10550693b            | 0.001554 – 0.073 | Chr11: 64902189 | SYVN1; SYVN1 | TSS200; TSS200 | Epigenetics of neurodegeneration and Alzheimer's disease. 91–94 |
| cg23004466b            | 0.000854 – 0.071 | Chr7: 106815478 | HP1 | 5'UTR | Hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal regulation of stress response. 46 |
| cg17798944b            | 0.001679 – 0.072 | Chr22: 39715225 | SNORD43; RPL3; RPL3 | TSS200; body; body | Potential role in the glycobiology of schizophrenia. 89 |

Abbreviations: 1st exon, first exon; MZ, monozygotic; TSS, transcription start site; TSS200, within 200 bp of a TSS; 5'UTR, 5' untranslated region. Target ID, Illumina identifier; body, within gene body; Δβ, mean methylation fraction difference in discordant pairs (co-twin with depression minus co-twin without depression). 4 Superindices next to each probe name indicate whether or not there were (absolute) methylation differences in concordant and healthy pairs. 4 Absolute intrapair differences in the four diagnostic-concordant MZ pairs were significantly smaller than in the discordant pairs. 4 Absolute intrapair differences in the seven healthy MZ pairs were significantly smaller than in the discordant pairs. 4 Information for the LSR gene was extracted from http://www.genecards.org/cgi-bin/carddisp.pl?gene=LSR.

detailed description of these correlations can be found in Supplementary Table 2.

Briefly, based on previous reports, 36–40 a median absolute intrapair difference ≥10% within each twin group (concordant, discordant or healthy) was chosen in this study as a threshold to determine which CpG probes could be considered variable. Only 1.7% of the whole data set of absolute intrapair differences (17 intrapair differences at 485,512 CpG sites: a matrix with 8,053,688 cells) showed values equal to or larger than 10% of the total methylation fraction. In recognition that large intrapair differences are likely to have major biological relevance, the next step consisted of the identification of probes with variability only between each twin set (concordant, discordant or healthy) (Figure 1).

Each of the three diagnostic groups (concordant, discordant and healthy controls) had a specific set of CpG probes with large intrapair differences in methylation fraction; specifically, healthy pairs showed variability at 85 CpG sites that were not variable in the other groups; similarly, discordant and concordant pairs had, respectively, 175 and 221 variable probes that did not show variability in the other diagnostic groups of twins (Figure 1). Of note, healthy co-twins had the least variable genome-wide DNA methylation profile, seemingly in agreement with findings of increased variability in disease. 35,14 The distribution of gene region feature categories (UCSC) of each of the three sets of CpG sites was very similar for all three groups (concordant, discordant and healthy). Identifiers and additional data for these individual CpG sites are available in Supplementary Table 3. Owing to the design of the DNA methylation array employed here, even genes with the largest numbers of CpG probes would not be likely to appear by randomly sampling 85, 175 or 221 probes. Further information and discussion about these sampling probabilities can be found in Supplementary Table 4.

Interestingly, pathway analysis using the lists of genes with highly variable probes (from variable CpG sites exclusive of concordant, discordant or healthy twin pairs) generated relatively large functional interaction networks (>10 interacting proteins) only in discordant and concordant pairs (Figure 2). Accordingly, gene lists from the concordant and discordant groups showed enrichment for several processes, some of which are of relevance in neuropsychiatry (Figure 2 and Table 3). For instance, processes such as ‘rapid glucocorticoid signalling’, ‘dopaminergic synapse’ and ‘interleukin-2-mediated signaling events’ were enriched in the VMPs of discordant pairs, whereas ‘nervous system development’ was enriched in both concordant and discordant groups. In contrast, the epigenetic variability of the healthy pairs was enriched only for ‘HIF-1-alpha transcription factor network’ (Table 3). A detailed list of the VMPs and the full gene lists from which these networks were generated can be found in Supplementary Table 3.
Figure 1. Selection of highly variable CpG sites across DSM-IV diagnostic groups based on large intrapair differences in whole-genome percentage methylation. Left: after estimating absolute intrapair differences for each CpG region in every twin pair, median values of these differences were calculated across diagnostic groups and are plotted in a logarithmic scale, according to genomic location. Individual CpGs (dots) above the 10% threshold (dashed line, at log(0.1) = −2.3) methylation differences were identified and separated for the next step. Centre: Venn diagram showing intersections and disjunctions of the highly variable CpG probes obtained before. Right: gene region feature categories (UCSC) of the CpG sites showing large intrapair differences only in each of the diagnostic groups. Inset numbers represent percentage of CpG sites. 1st exon, first exon; 3’ UTR, 3’ untranslated region; 5’ UTR, 5’ untranslated region; TSS, transcription start site; TSS1500, within 1.5 kb of a TSS; TSS200, within 200 bps of a TSS.

Figure 2. Molecular interaction networks from the lists of genes showing large intrapair differences between each of the diagnostic groups. Left: concordant pairs; center: discordant pairs; right: healthy pairs. Proteins enriched for biological pathways (Table 3) are highlighted in the network diagrams.
Table 3. Results of pathway analysis

| Gene set                           | P-value   | FDR   | Nodes                        |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------|------------------------------|
| Concordant                        |           |       |                              |
| Wnt signaling pathway             | 0         | <5.000e−04 | PCDH family, PRKCG, CDH15    |
| Cadherin signaling pathway        | 0         | <5.000e−04 | PCDH family, CDH15           |
| Integral to membrane              | 0         | <1.000e−03 | HLA-DQ82, SLC6A3, HLA-DMB, PCDH family, CDH15 |
| Integral to plasma membrane       | 0         | 0.0005 | PCDH family, SLC6A3          |
| Plasma membrane                   | 0.0007    | 0.03967 | PCDH family, IRS1, CDH15     |
| Homophilic cell adhesion          | 0         | <1.000e−03 | PCDH family, CDH15           |
| Nervous system development        | 0         | <5.000e−04 | PCDH family, ZNF423         |
| Cell adhesion                     | 0         | <3.333e−04 | PCDH family, CDH15           |
| Calcium ion binding               | 0         | <1.000e−03 | PCDH family, CDH15           |
| Discordant                        |           |       |                              |
| Wnt signaling pathway             | 0         | <1.000e−03 | APC2, PCDH family, PPP2RS5A, GNB1 |
| Cadherin signaling pathway        | 0         | <5.000e−04 | PCDH family                  |
| Rapid glucocorticoid signaling    | 0.0001    | 0.01167 | MAPK11, GNB1                 |
| Dopaminergic synaptic             | 0.0002    | 0.0115 | PPP2RS5A, MAPK11, GNB1, CACNA1C |
| IL-2-mediated signaling events    | 0.0002    | 0.009833 | IRS2, MAPK11, LCK            |
| Thromboxane A2 receptor signaling | 0.0002    | 0.009833 | MAPK11, GNB1, LCK            |
| Beta1 adrenergic receptor signaling pathway | 0.0017 | 0.04944 | GNB1, CACNA1C                |
| Homophilic cell adhesion          | 0         | <1.000e−03 | PCDH family                  |
| Nervous system development        | 0.0001    | 0.0475 | PCDH family                  |
| Healthy                           |           |       |                              |
| HIF-1-alpha transcription factor network (N) | 0.0067 | 0.019 | TFRC, ETS1 |

Abbreviations: FDR, false discovery rate; IL-2, interleukin-2; PCDH, protocadherin. Gene lists from loci of Cpg sites with large intrapair differences in each set of twins (concordant, discordant and healthy) were enriched for the processes shown. For the underlying gene networks, see Figure 1. The full gene lists uploaded for pathway analysis can be found in Supplementary Table 3.

DISCUSSION

This work evaluated the potential relationship between DNA methylation levels and depressive psychopathology using genome-wide data. Two distinct approaches, each of which has a particular biological meaning, were used: (i) differential methylation (DMPs) and (ii) variable methylation (VMPs). The current design, including healthy, concordant and discordant MZ twin pairs, allowed us to search for potential environmentally induced and pathology-specific DNA methylation differences. Previous reports had shown increased variability in the DNA methylation profiles of affected MZ co-twins from depression-discordant pairs.4,14,23 However, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study aimed at unraveling specific genomic loci at which methylation variability may be a marker of depression.

DMPs

One of the most relevant outcomes of the current study is the association between hypomethylation of cg01122889 in WDR26 and a lifetime diagnosis of depression. Notably, neither healthy nor diagnostic-concordant MZ pairs exhibited intrapair differences in DNA methylation of this Cpg site, indicating that it could be a marker of environmental influences leading to depression. Remarkably, one of the largest meta-analytic studies conducted to date of major depressive disorder (MDD) genome-wide association study data suggests a role for WDR26’s rs11579964 single-nucleotide polymorphism—about 80 kbp from cg01122889—in the causality of depression.46 Of note, a mega-analysis of MDD genome-wide association study data also reported this and other single-nucleotide polymorphisms close to WDR26 (rs2088619; Chr1:2222825183) as probably predisposing for MDD, although confirmation is needed. In addition, there is evidence suggesting an association between decreased blood transcript levels of WDR26 and depression-related phenotypes. Although using DNA methylation data to derive conclusions about gene expression could be somehow speculative, hypomethylation of gene bodies may be related to lower gene expression. Accordingly, as cg01122889 is located in WDR26’s gene body, its hypomethylation in depressed individuals may be associated with lower gene expression levels, consistent with the findings of Pajer et al. Nevertheless, confirmation is needed, as there is evidence of distinct relationships between WDR26’s body DNA methylation and expression (that is, hypomethylation can be associated with either lower or greater gene expression) across a number of distinct healthy and pathological tissues.

Other DMPs found herein were located in genes previously related to depressive phenotypes, such as CBR3, RPL3 and VCAN. For instance, hippocampal upregulation of CBR3 enzymes has been found after antidepressant treatment in adult rats. As with WDR26, the current association between hypomethylation of the CBR3’s gene body in adult depressed individuals may be related to lower expression of CBR3, which may be compensated by antidepressant treatment. A similar argument could be proposed for VCAN: the hypomethylation in depressed individuals of the present sample somehow resembles the decreased gene expression in an animal model of depression proposed by Pajer et al.43 Note that current results for both VCAN and WDR26 are consistent with those proposed by Pajer et al. Likewise, Lee et al. reported downregulation of RPL3 hippocampal gene expression in an animal model of stress, in agreement with the current DNA methylation finding.

The above results were obtained by following a sound methodological procedure. However, it is worth noting that, along with WDR26’s cg01122889, methylation changes in cg06493080 (HOXB7), cg18974921 and cg14747903 (LSR) seem to be cross-validated by comparison of the diagnostic-discordant group of twins with concordant and healthy pairs. This follows from the observation that diagnostic-concordant and healthy co-twins have very similar methylation levels at these four sites. Namely, probably only through a differential environmental influence (which would have taken place in affected co-twins from discordant pairs) may a co-twin differ from his/her healthy counterpart. cg06493080 is located in HOXB7, a target of the neurodevelopmental gene FOXP2. A different functional role has
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been described for LSR, which may predispose to neurocognitive disorders.

VMPs

As MZ twins are very similar at the epigenome-wide level, they offer an opportunity to search for markers of stochasticity. Accordingly, an analytic approach was undertaken to determine whether epigenetic instability—as indexed by large and non-systematic DNA methylation differences—within MZ pairs could be related to depressive psychopathology.

In this sample, healthy co-twins had less variable probes than concordant and discordant pairs. It was found that highly variable probes in depression-discordant MZ pairs were located in genes within enriched biological pathways and previously associated with depression, such as CACNA1C, IGF2 and MAPK11 (Figure 2 and Table 3; additional information in Supplementary Table 3).

In this respect, DNA sequence variation of CACNA1C has been widely recognized as a susceptibility factor for depressive psychopathology, and methylation changes of CACNA1C have likewise been associated with early-life stress, a risk factor for depressive disorders. Similarly, depressive behavior is likely modulated by IGF2. Also, MAPK11 is one of the four p38 mitogen-activated protein kinases, the activity of which has been linked to depression and related phenotypes.

It is also worth mentioning some findings from the VMP analysis in diagnostic-concordant twin pairs, as one could speculate that stochastic variability within these MZ twins may correlate with etiological and symptomatic heterogeneity. Namely, even though depression-concordant MZ pairs show considerable symptom similarity, previous research has shown an important role for unique environmental differences in fostering psychopathological heterogeneity within these MZ twin pairs. Thus, it is also worth noting that genes with large DNA methylation intrapair differences across diagnostic-concordant pairs were enriched for ‘nervous system development’, by the interaction of protocadherin members and ZNF423 (Table 3 and Figure 2). Protocadherin encodes a family of proteins that are expressed in neurons and are relevant in synaptic functions, and whose DNA methylation may be altered in response to early-life stress; the ZNF423 gene has been associated with the cerebellum-related Joubert syndrome. Also, the dopamine transporter gene SLC6A3, whose DNA sequence and methylation levels have been found to correlate with depressive psychopathology, was enriched in the enriched pathways (Table 3).

The current findings, which are indicative of stochastic variability in depression, are particularly important in view of recent publications from Feinberg et al. They postulated the existence of variably methylated regions as a mechanism to explain developmentally regulated epigenetic plasticity; they have likewise provided evidence of stochastic DNA methylation variation across a population, even when analyzing samples from the same tissue and the same DNA sequence (that is, isogenic mice). These findings in genetically identical individuals (MZ twins) are in line with their reports, as they also described the abundance of variably methylated regions at loci important for neural and immune system development.

Importantly, a novel data-driven strategy was employed here to detect VMPs. Although other statistically sound tools to compare methylation variance between healthy and affected population samples have recently been introduced, the present strategy is particularly suited for samples consisting of concordant, discordant and healthy MZ twin pairs. It is worth mentioning that the potential biological significance of the VMPs detected here—as suggested by the pathway analysis—confirms the feasibility of this analytical approach to provide new insights about biological mechanisms underlying some pathologies.

Overlap in findings from DMPs and VMPs

The fact that no top DMP was found within a gene exhibiting variable methylation is consistent with the notion that different epigenetic mechanisms influence DMPs and VMPs. However, some interplay may exist between these forms of epigenetic regulation. For instance, it is worth noting that WDR26 (encoded by a gene containing a DMP) suppresses the MAPK signaling pathway, and that a VMP was found in MAPK11. As a member of the p38 family, MAPK11 may participate in the stress response, and was found here to be enriched within pathways such as ‘glucocorticoid signaling’ and ‘interleukin-2-mediated signaling’, both of which are likely related to depressive psychopathology. Importantly, this suggestion is derived from data from diagnostic-discordant pairs.

Hence, the current findings may be indicative of the interplay between different epigenetic regulation mechanisms, and suggest that the combination of distinct differentially and variably methylated loci may have an important role in the biological signatures of depression.

Limitations of the study

Three main limitations of this exploratory study deserve consideration. Namely, the generalizability of the present findings may be limited by (i) the sample size employed, (ii) the clinical heterogeneity of the individuals and (iii) the lack of confirmatory DNA methylation analysis using bisulfite pyrosequencing.

These limitations should be understood in the wider context of the current study design. First, the sample size is small. Although replication using larger independent samples is definitely required, it is worth noting that the current findings are consistent with previous literature on the biological mechanisms underlying depression, probably suggesting the presence of strong effect sizes for the above mentioned relationships between methylation and depression (Table 2 and Table 3). Likewise, the use of three different groups of twin pairs (concordant, discordant and healthy) allowed contrasting some putative epigenetic signatures of environmental stress in diagnostic-discordant co-twins with corresponding measurements in concordant and healthy pairs, which has rarely been done in former studies. As shown in Table 2 and Table 3, the comparison of epigenetic signatures in discordant twins with the methylation profiles of healthy and concordant pairs added robustness to some findings on both DMPs and VMPs.

Another potential limitation of this work is the phenotypical (that is, clinical) diversity of the participants. Although comparing the present results with independent data sets from individuals with a narrower phenotypic distribution (that is, a more clinically homogeneous population) would definitely be useful, two features of the present sample should be noted. First, although there were some individuals with predominantly anxious psychopathology, Table 1 shows that, as a group, discordant co-twins have greater scores in depressive symptomatology during the last month than discordant pairs, and that discordant pairs had more current depressive psychopathology than healthy controls. Thus, in contrast to the apparent diagnostic heterogeneity of the participants, the sample has an acceptable distribution with regard to current depression. It is important mentioning that this fact implies that the associations found here can probably be interpreted only in relation to depressive symptoms but not as linked to early etiological mechanisms.

Similarly, as noticed in the Clinical evaluation subsection, it is worth observing that previous research on the epidemiological features and the epigenetic signatures of internalizing disorders (that is, depression and anxiety) indicate important overlaps in etiopathology, diagnostic criteria and DNA methylation profiles across these diagnoses. Of note, these overlaps may have allowed detecting epigenetically altered genes and pathways with biological relevance for depression.
An additional limitation of this work is the lack of confirmatory DNA methylation analysis by techniques such as bisulfite pyrosequencing. However, it should be noted that previous technical research has pointed out that the sensitivity of Illumina DNA methylation microarrays increases with β-value differences.73 Accordingly, it has been suggested that, on average, values of Δβ ≥ 0.136 can be detected with 95% confidence. Although the methylation assessment conducted here would definitely be more robust if confirmed by bisulfite pyrosequencing, having used methodologies focused on relatively large methylation differences—for both DMPs and VMPs—adds some soundness to the findings.

Additional implications and future directions

Some points raised by this study deserve further discussion. First, it is important recalling that a common assumption of several psychiatric epigenetic studies is that DNA methylation of blood and brain may correlate and explain variability in psychopathology.74 Although the work from several independent laboratories has demonstrated broad cross-tissue differences in methylation profiles,75–78 there is also evidence of a correlation between DNA methylation levels across peripheral lymphocytes and a number of brain regions in response to environmental events.79–81 Likewise, similar cross-tissue DNA methylation profiles—at specific genomic loci—have been found in independent samples of individuals with psychopathology.5

To the best of our knowledge, this is the fourth study using an epigenome-wide approach in MZ twins to evaluate the relationship between environmentally induced DNA methylation changes and depressive psychopathology. Regarding VMPs, the former three reports4,5,14 consistently found increased DNA methylation variance in the affected co-twins of discordant pairs. Although they evaluated the overall statistical variance of the methylation profiles, the present study expands on this topic by assessing which specific genomic loci could underlie this statistical feature. Of note, the data-driven analytical approach developed here to detect VMPs is specifically suited for samples including diagnostic-concordant and healthy pairs, as it is based on contrasting their epigenetic variability with that of discordant co-twins. It is important noting that, due to the novelty of the topic, some statistical protocols to perform genome-wide VMP assessment have just recently been introduced in cancer research20,21,70 and thus may need additional adjustments for psychiatric phenotypes. This is particularly important in light of recent findings highlighting specific statistical properties of the genome-wide DNA methylation profiles in depression,22 which may certainly differ from cancer data sets. Overall, the current biologically plausible findings suggest that the adopted strategy may have statistical and conceptual feasibility.

As regards to DMPs, the above-mentioned previous reports have suggested that methylation of different genomic loci may be associated with depression. Namely, there is no unanimous agreement between these studies, probably due to the different clinical and demographic composition of the samples, as well as to the DNA methylation assessment techniques used. For instance, Byrne et al.14 analyzed P-values obtained from the Infinium HumanMethylation450 Beadchip; in contrast, the assessment of potentially DMPs was based on another statistical approach in this and two other studies.5,8 Likewise, inter-study differences between the present work and the report by Dempster et al.5 may have arisen from clinical and demographic sample differences, as well as from the use of distinct biological tissues (they analyzed saliva DNA samples from severely depressed adolescents). Also, the ZBTB20 gene-coding region reported by Davies et al.4 (Chr3:114618751-114619251) is not evaluated by the illumina assay employed here, and there may also be a lack of statistical power in the present sample.

From the above mentioned observations, some suggestions for further research of DNA methylation in depression can be derived: (i) cross-sample validations in larger MZ twin samples is needed; (ii) selecting the best informative peripheral tissue (probably either blood or saliva) may allow clearer findings; and (iii) finding proper statistical protocols for epigenetic analyses in depression, especially for the examination of VMPs, could likewise allow research advances (despite relatively small samples, the available literature suggests a role for methylation variability in depression).
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