Maternal ethnicity and its impact on the haemodynamic and blood pressure response to labetalol for the treatment of antenatal hypertension
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ABSTRACT
Objective: Blood pressure (BP) control outside pregnancy is associated with a reduction in adverse cardiovascular events, and in pregnancy with improved outcomes. Outside pregnancy, there is evidence β-blockers are less effective in controlling BP in black populations. However, in pregnancy, labetalol is recommended as a universal first-line treatment, without evidence for the impact of ethnicity on its efficacy. We sought to compare haemodynamic responses to labetalol in black and white pregnant patients.

Methods: This was a prospective observational cohort study in a London teaching hospital. Maternal haemodynamics were assessed in 120 pregnant women treated with labetalol monotherapy. Measurements were taken at presentation, 1 and 24 h after treatment. Participants were monitored regularly until delivery. Statistical analysis was performed by multilevel modelling.

Results: Both groups exhibited similar temporal trends in haemodynamic changes over the first 24 h following labetalol. Both showed a reduction in BP and peripheral vascular resistance within 1 h and in heart rate after 24 h. There was no change in cardiac output and stroke volume in either group. BP control (<140/90) was achieved at 1 h in 79.7% of the white and 77% of the black cohort. At 24 h, control was achieved among 83.1% and 63.9%, and up to the immediate intrapartum period control was achieved in 89.8% and 70.4% of white and black patients, respectively.

Conclusions: There is no difference in the acute haemodynamic changes and hypertension can be controlled throughout pregnancy with labetalol monotherapy in excess of 70% pregnant black and white patients.

INTRODUCTION
Hypertension affects at least one-quarter of the UK adult population.1 It is the largest single contributor to the worldwide burden of death and disease, and is responsible for 9.4 million deaths annually.2

Black populations in the UK are disproportionately affected by hypertension. Mortality among Caribbean-born UK residents from hypertension-related conditions is 3.5 times the national rate.3

Treatment of hypertension dramatically reduces adverse events such as stroke and coronary artery disease. Even modest reductions in blood pressure (BP) markedly lower the risk of mortality from heart disease and stroke.1

KEY QUESTIONS
What is already known about this subject?
- Blood pressure control is generally associated with a reduction in cardiovascular events and improved pregnancy outcomes. Outside pregnancy, there is evidence β-blockers are less effective in controlling BP in black populations. However, in pregnancy, labetalol is recommended as a first-line treatment, without evidence for the impact of ethnicity on its efficacy.

What does this study add?
- In the acute phase following oral labetalol treatment for hypertension in pregnancy, both black and white populations exhibit similar haemodynamic changes. Hypertension can be controlled acutely in 80% of both black and white pregnant patients, but sustained control throughout pregnancy is achieved in about 90% of white and 70% of black patients, respectively.

How might this impact on clinical practice?
- First-line antihypertensive treatment in pregnancy with labetalol is effective in controlling blood pressure acutely both in white and black populations. Therefore, ethnicity should not be used as a prime determinant in selecting antihypertensive therapy in pregnancy. In both black and white populations, there will be a significant proportion of patients who do not respond to labetalol and will need additional vasodilatory medications.
Outside of pregnancy, the rationale for the treatment of hypertension has been based on considerations of age and ethnicity. For example, the 2011 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance, on the treatment of hypertension, states that younger hypertensive patients should be started on an ACE inhibitor or an angiotensin receptor blocker, while in older patients or those of African or Afro-Caribbean origin, initial therapy should be with a calcium channel blocker.

This NICE clinical algorithm reflects evidence showing ethnic differences in the mechanisms underlying hypertension. Brown has suggested hypertension may be broadly divided into two categories, a low renin, low-renin, volume expanded and high-total sodium state, and another, with a high renin, vasoconstricted state.

There are ethnic variations in the expression of renin, with black populations tending to have lower renin than white populations.

Since β-blockers exert their effect primarily by lowering the production of renin by the kidney, it is unsurprising that their effectiveness has been shown to be reduced in black populations. The ASCOT (Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial) showed that black people demonstrate less of a fall in BP compared with white people following atenolol treatment and they respond better to calcium channel blockers and diuretics.

In contrast to the NICE guidance on the management of hypertension outside pregnancy, guidance for treatment in pregnancy recommends all women should be offered labetalol, a non-selective β-blocker which also has α-blocking properties, as first-line therapy. Data regarding its efficacy within pregnancy in different ethnic groups are lacking.

In this study, we examined if there was a difference in the BP and haemodynamic responses between black and white pregnant women who had been started on labetalol treatment. We wanted to assess if the ethnic differences reported in response to β-blockade outside of pregnancy are mirrored in pregnancy, or if gestational cardiovascular changes neutralise these ethnic variations.

METHODS

Study population

King’s College Hospital, London, provides a dedicated clinic for the management of hypertension in pregnancy.

In this prospective observational cohort study, we assessed 120 pregnant women who presented consecutively to this clinic with hypertension requiring treatment, between January 2013 and May 2014. Treatment was started with labetalol when BP was greater than 150/100 mm Hg, or greater than 140/90 mm Hg with evidence of end-organ damage as per the NICE recommendations.

At initial presentation, maternal demographics and haemodynamics were assessed as described below. Study participants were followed up at 1 and 24 h after treatment and regularly thereafter until delivery in order to titrate the labetalol dosage and ensure optimal BP control. The decision was taken to review BP and haemodynamic indices after 1 h because of the third trimester pharmacokinetics of labetalol, which reaches a peak concentration approximately 20–60 min after ingestion.

The study was approved by the local ethics committee (NRES Committee London—Fulham, REC reference: 12/LO/1593). Written informed consent was obtained from the participants.

Outcome measures

The main outcome measure was a therapeutic drop in BP with labetalol monotherapy, defined as a fall in BP to below 140/90. This was recorded at 1 and 24 h after treatment. Participants were reviewed regularly for BP control just before the intrapartum period was recorded. Unsuccessful treatment with labetalol monotherapy was defined as BP consistently above 140/90 mm Hg despite maximisation of labetalol dosage to 2400 mg/day and the need for additional vasodilatory therapy.

Haemodynamic parameters such as cardiac output (CO), stroke volume (SV) and peripheral vascular resistance (PVR) were assessed before treatment, 1 and 24 h after treatment.

Outcome data, including diagnoses such as pre-eclampsia (hypertension with proteinuria), pregnancy-induced hypertension (hypertension without proteinuria) and birth weight centiles were recorded from local records. The definition of pre-eclampsia (PE) was that of the International Society for the Study of Hypertension in Pregnancy. Gestational age was estimated from the first trimester ultrasound and birth weight centiles were calculated as described by Royston and Wright using locally derived birth weight reference ranges.

Maternal history

The following maternal demographics were recorded: age, weight, height, body mass index, body surface area (BSA), cigarette smoking during pregnancy, medical history (including chronic hypertension, asthma, renal disease, diabetes mellitus), medication (including antihypertensives, antiasthmatics, antidiabetics), parity (nulliparous, parous with no previous hypertension in pregnancy, parous with previous hypertension in pregnancy). Women of African or Afro-Caribbean descent were recorded as ‘black’ and women who gave their ethnicity as Caucasian or European were recorded as ‘white’. Women who self-reported their ethnicity other than Caucasian or black African or black Caribbean were excluded from the present study.

Maternal haemodynamic measurements

Maternal cardiac function was assessed with a non-invasive CO monitor using bioreactance technology.
(NICOM, Cheetah Medical Ltd, Maidenhead, Berkshire, UK). In 20 patients, paired measurements were taken for maternal SV and CO by echocardiography as previously described by one operator (NAK) and the bioreactance monitor.

BP was measured in accordance with the British Hypertension Society guidance, with an automated device validated for use in pregnancy and PE (Microlife Watch BP Office AFIB, equivalence with Microlife Watch BP Home). During regular follow-up visits compliance was monitored. Admission to hospital was arranged in cases of suspected suboptimal compliance.

Statistical analysis
The normality of the data’s distribution was assessed by the Kolmogoroff-Smirnoff test. For continuous numerical data, the Mann-Whitney U test or the unpaired t test were used to compare non-normally and normally distributed data, respectively. For categorical variables, the χ2 test was used to assess the differences in proportions between groups.

A multilevel mixed effects linear model was fitted due to the longitudinal structure of the data as described by Royston and Altman. We aimed to examine the possible effect of ethnicity on the haemodynamic parameters and the BP.

Multilevel modelling assumes that fixed intercepts and coefficients are the same across different levels while random intercepts and coefficients are allowed to vary across different levels. Specifically, we fitted a fixed slopes random intercept model which takes the general form:

\[ Y_{ij} = b0_j + b1X_{ij} + e_{ij} \]

\[ b0_j = b0 + u0_j \]

Time, age, height, weight, BSA, parity and ethnicity were inserted as independent parameters in the fixed part of the model. Time was an ordinal parameter that represented the three phases of the study (prior to, 1 h after and 24 h after treatment) and had values of 1, 2 and 3. The random part component was a random-intercept model that included level 1. The group of repeated measurements for each participant constituted level 1 and each measurement constituted residual random variance. Essentially this type of analysis enabled us to take into account the dependency (correlation) between the repeated measurements, and the residual variance between participants.

We used a restricted maximum likelihood algorithm to parameterise the model. Akaike information criterion and Bayesian information criterion were used to compare intermediate models.

The statistical software packages SPSS (IBM Corp Released 2010. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, V.19.0, Armonk, New York, USA: IBM Corp) and STATA (StataCorp 2013. Stata Statistical Software: Release V.13, College Station, Texas, USA: StataCorp LP) were used for the data analysis.

RESULTS
Maternal demographics, haemodynamic indices and pregnancy outcomes for the study’s black and white cohorts are presented in table 1.

The patients in this study were consecutive attendees of the antenatal hypertension clinic. Their distribution broadly mirrors the distribution of black and white women in the antenatal population in our catchment area in South London.

Black participants had a significantly greater BSA, were heavier and were significantly more likely to be multiparous. They were also significantly more likely to present with hypertension earlier compared with white participants. There was no difference between the groups in other maternal demographics, or in the prevalence of coexisting medical problems.

There was no difference in the prevalence of pre-eclampsia or pregnancy-induced hypertension. Black women had significantly lighter babies, both in terms of absolute values and in terms of gestation-adjusted birth weight centiles.

There was no difference between the groups in their response rates to labetalol at 1 h, with almost 80% of both black and white patients having a therapeutic response. There was a significant difference in response rates at 24 h and up to the immediate intrapartum period. However, labetalol remained effective in controlling BP up until delivery in over 70% of the black study participants.

The haemodynamic variables of the two groups at presentation and following labetalol treatment are presented in table 2 and in figures 1 and 2. There was no significant difference in the haemodynamic variables between the groups at presentation, or at 1 h after labetalol therapy. At 24 h following labetalol therapy, white women had slightly lower diastolic BP (DBP) than black patients. There was no significant difference between the two groups in their other haemodynamic variables at 24 h after therapy.

The results of the longitudinal data analysis for CO, heart rate (HR), SV, mean arterial pressure (MAP) and PVR are presented in figures 1 and 2 (the remaining variables are attached as online supplementary tables S1 and S2).

Our analysis showed that ethnicity, as an explanatory parameter of the model’s fixed part, did not have a significant association with the examined parameters, namely CO, CI, HR, SV, Stroke volume index, MAP, systolic BP (SBP), DBP and PVR (tables 3 and 4).

The fixed part of the multilevel modelling showed a robust fixed inverse relation between MAP and the time of the study. Similar trends were identified for SBP, DBP and PVR. A significant reduction in these variables was
seen from as early as 1 h and maintained at 24 h after treatment (tables 2–4, figure 1). In addition, HR reduced with time, a decline seen at 24 h (tables 2–4, figure 2). Furthermore, the fixed part of the multilevel modelling revealed significant associations between CO, CI, PVR and parity, whereas SV was positively related to maternal height (tables 3 and 4).

The mean difference and limits of agreement between echocardiography and the bioreactance monitor were −0.4 (−22.6 to 21.8) and 0.1 (−2.1 to 2.3) for SV and CO, respectively.

DISCUSSION

This study shows black and white women demonstrate a similar haemodynamic response over the first 24 h following labetalol treatment for antenatal hypertension. Maternal ethnicity was not an independent predictor of the change over time of haemodynamic variables following treatment with labetalol, even after adjusting for maternal anthropometric variables that are known to affect cardiac indices. This is also reflected in the fact that both groups demonstrated a nearly 80% response rate at 1 h following treatment.

Good response rates were also observed at 24 h and up until delivery in both groups, but there was a slight attenuation of the efficacy of labetalol in black women. White women demonstrated a sustained therapeutic response at 24 h and the majority of black women also demonstrated this therapeutic response, but the proportion reaching this therapeutic target was slightly lower (60%). Similarly, a 20% difference in BP control between the two groups was observed until delivery, with about 70% of black women and 90% of white women maintaining control with labetalol monotherapy.

Our study also demonstrated CO increases with parity, irrespective of whether this previous pregnancy was complicated by hypertension. This is in keeping with our previous work showing parous women have a higher CO. Possible proposed mechanisms for this haemodynamic difference include cardiac remodelling which fails to regress following the first delivery, or an earlier and exaggerated response to the hyperdynamic stimuli of early pregnancy.

Previous work on β-blockers

In keeping with other work examining the effects of β-blockers outside of pregnancy, we found labetalol lowers heart rate, reduces vascular resistance and does not significantly reduce CO. The reduction in vascular resistance has been ascribed to the α-blocking effect of labetalol, which is a mixed β-blocker and α-blocker.

### Table 1 Maternal demographic and haemodynamic variables and pregnancy outcomes

| Variable                                | White (n=59) | Black (n=61) | p Value |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| Age (years)                             | 31.3 (5.9)   | 31.6 (6.1)   | 0.7     |
| Height (cm)                             | 167.1 (7.0)  | 165.1 (6.3)  | 0.1     |
| Weight (kg)                             | 75.1 (68.8–84.2) | 82.8 (72.5–94.9) | 0.008   |
| Body surface area (m²)                  | 1.8 (0.1)    | 1.9 (0.1)    | 0.03    |
| Smoking, n (%)                          | –            | –            | –       |
| Spontaneous conception, n (%)           | 43 (72.9)    | 42 (68.9)    | 0.6     |
| Parity                                  |              |              |         |
| Nulliparous, n (%)                      | 44 (74.6)    | 27 (44.3)    | 0.001   |
| Parous—previous PE, n (%)               | 10 (16.9)    | 15 (24.6)    | 0.3     |
| Parous—no previous PE, n (%)            | 5 (8.5)      | 19 (31.1)    | 0.003   |
| **Medical history**                     |              |              |         |
| Chronic hypertension, n (%)             | 6 (10.2)     | 14 (23)      | 0.08    |
| Asthma, n (%)                           | 2 (3.4)      | 1 (1.6)      | 0.6     |
| Renal—cardiac disease, n (%)            | 3 (5.1)      | 3 (4.9)      | 1.0     |
| Gestational age at presentation (weeks) | 34.5 (7.1)   | 31.2 (9.3)   | 0.03    |
| **Pregnancy outcome**                   |              |              |         |
| Gestational age at delivery (weeks)     | 38.1 (1.8)   | 37.5 (2.8)   | 0.07    |
| Birth weight (g)                        | 3204.6 (597.3) | 2899.9 (763.2) | 0.01    |
| Birth weight centile                    | 58.3 (24.6–77.1) | 32.3 (10.0–56.7) | 0.01    |
| Pre-eclampsia, n (%)                    | 24 (40.7)    | 28 (45.9)    | 0.5     |
| Early pre-eclampsia (before 34 weeks)   | 1 (1.7)      | 4 (6.5)      | 0.2     |
| Intermediate pre-eclampsia (34–37 weeks)| 3 (5.1)      | 8 (13.1)     | 0.7     |
| Late pre-eclampsia (after 37 weeks)     | 20 (33.9)    | 16 (26.2)    | 0.4     |
| Pregnancy-induced hypertension, n (%)   | 29 (49.2)    | 21 (34.4)    | 0.1     |
| Response in 1 h, n (%)                  | 47 (79.7)    | 47 (77)      | 0.8     |
| Response at 24 h, n (%)                 | 49 (83.1)    | 39 (63.9)    | 0.02    |
| Response up to delivery, n (%)          | 53 (89.8)    | 43 (70.4)    | 0.007   |

Comparison between women of white and black ethnicity. Data are represented as mean (SD) for normally distributed data and as median (IQR) for non-normally distributed data.
## Table 2  Maternal haemodynamic variables at presentation and 1 and 24 h after labetalol

|                  | White | Black | p Value  | White | Black | p Value  |
|------------------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|----------|
|                  |       |       |          |       |       |          |
| **At presentation** |       |       |          |       |       |          |
| Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) | 149.3 (12.5) | 149.7 (12.5) | 0.0 | 131.3 (12.5) | 131.5 (11.4) | 0.3 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg) | 93.8 (7.7) | 93.8 (7.7) | 0.0 | 84.0 (8.6) | 84.7 (7.9) | 0.8 |
| Mean arterial pressure (mm Hg) | 112.5 (6.6) | 112.5 (6.6) | 0.0 | 99.8 (8.8) | 99.8 (8.8) | 0.0 |
| Peripheral vascular resistance (dynes/s/cm
  $^5$) | 6.8 (1.6) | 6.8 (1.6) | 0.0 | 7.2 (1.5) | 7.2 (1.5) | 0.0 |
| Cardiac output (L/min) | 148.3 (1216.5–1719.8) | 131.5 (1143.6–1648.3) | 0.0 | 1227.5 (311.0–1207.1) | 1227.5 (311.0–1207.1) | 0.0 |
| Heart rate (bpm) | 90.4 (10.7) | 90.4 (10.7) | 0.0 | 91.1 (11.7) | 91.1 (11.7) | 0.0 |

**Comparison between women of white and black ethnicity. Data are represented as mean (SD) for normally distributed data and as median (IQR) for non-normally distributed data.**

However, the β-blockade effect of labetalol is four to six times stronger than its α-antagonist effect. In contrast to other studies investigating the efficacy of β-blockers outside of pregnancy, we have found that labetalol is effective in controlling BP both in white and black women during pregnancy, albeit with a slightly lower rate of sustained control in black patients.

That black ethnic groups respond less well to β-blockers outside pregnancy has been demonstrated in several studies. A study of the ASCOT trial compared the BP response in over 5000 hypertensives of either European, African and South-Asian origin, who were randomised to receive either atenolol or amlopidine. This study found that black participants responded less well to atenolol compared with other ethnic groups, and during the monotherapy period black participants actually showed an increase in SBP. Other studies have similar findings: a randomised double-blind study among 1292 hypertensives in the USA showed that black patients were less likely than other racial groups to exhibit a therapeutic response to atenolol. This lack of efficacy was particularly evident among older black patients, of whom only 33% achieved a therapeutic response.

A systematic review from 2004 examining the efficacy of different antihypertensives in reducing BP, morbidity and mortality in black patients concluded that β-blocker monotherapy in black patients showed no significant difference over placebo in the reduction of SBP.

There is not, however, universal consensus on the potency of ethnic difference in determining the response to antihypertensive therapy: another systematic review by Sehgal found that 90% of both Caucasians and African-Americans had a similar response in DBP with β-blockers, and this analysis suggested that studies purporting to show a lower rate of response to therapeutic targets with β-blockade among black patients may in fact be due to their higher baseline BPs. It is noteworthy that in our study the two cohorts had similar BPs at presentation. Like our data, this meta-analysis found generally a worse response to β-blockers among black compared with white patients, but given the degree of overlap between groups, this analysis concluded that ethnicity should not be used as a single determinant of treatment.

As with β-blockers in general, there are conflicting reports on the specific efficacy of labetalol in black populations. A study of 65 black and 75 white patients by Flamenbaum et al. found that labetalol was not significantly different between black and white hypertensives in its antihypertensive effect, whereas propranolol, a β-blocker which does not have an α-blockade action, was significantly less effective in black hypertensives. It may be hypothesised therefore that the efficacy of labetalol in black populations arises from its vasodilatory α-blockade action, independently of its β-adrenergic antagonism, which may be somewhat renin dependent. We note, however, that although this study did not show...
a statistically significant difference between the response to labetalol between white and black ethnic groups, there was a trend towards labetalol being more effective among white patients, compared with black patients. A smaller study by Lucas et al. reported in 61 patients equal efficacy between labetalol and hydrochlorothiazide among black patients.

On the contrary, a larger multicentre study by Townsend et al., comparing the efficacy of labetalol versus atenolol in 292 black and white hypertensives found that labetalol was significantly more effective in white compared with black populations. Indeed, this study found that there was, in fact, a small increase in the supine SBP among black hypertensives following labetalol therapy, that higher doses of labetalol were required among black populations to achieve BP control, and that atenolol was markedly more effectively than labetalol in lowering supine BPs among black patients. We also note a smaller study from Jennings and Parsons which also shows that labetalol was more effective in white populations.

Pathophysiology of hypertension in black populations
β-Blockers exert their action through three mechanisms, including a vasodilatory action, a central cardiac negative chronotropic and inotropic effect and via inhibition of renin release from the kidney’s juxtaglomerular cells, resulting in an attenuation of the activity of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system. The latter mechanism appears to be the cardinal pathway of BP control with β-blockers, and hence testing for renin levels has been proposed as a sensitive screening tool to anticipate the efficacy of these drugs in hypertension. There is evidence that hypertensives with high or normal renin

Figure 1  Longitudinal changes in mean arterial pressure (left) and peripheral vascular resistance (right) at presentation, at 1 and at 24 h following treatment with labetalol. Data are presented as mean and SE of the mean. The solid lines represent black patients, while the dashed lines white patients.

Figure 2  Longitudinal changes in heart rate (left) and cardiac output (right) at presentation, at 1 and at 24 h following treatment with labetalol. Data are presented as mean and SE of the mean. The solid lines represent black patients, while the dashed lines white patients.
activity respond well to β-blockers and ACE inhibitors, while those with low renin activity respond poorly.  

Hypertension in black and elderly, compared with younger and white populations, is characterised by low renin. This distinct pathophysiology of hypertension in black patients has formed the basis of NICE clinical guidance which recommends antihypertensive therapy on the basis of age and ethnicity, as a proxy for renin activity. According to this guidance, older and black hypertensive patients, who are likely to have lower renin activity, should not be prescribed β-blockers or ACE inhibitors as first-line therapy.

Other distinct pathophysiological pathways for hypertension in black populations have been proposed, associating low renin levels with sodium retention. Sowers et al. have shown that hypertensive compared with normotensive black patients have lower levels of dopamine, a natriuretic substance, and Luft et al. have demonstrated that black, compared with white patients, are more susceptible to the hypertensive effects of sodium and demonstrate impaired excretion of a salt load. Despite the low circulating renin levels in black hypertensive populations, a 2006 study by Stewart et al. found that this group have paradoxically high aldosterone levels. It could be this is owing to genetic polymorphisms which may have a role in altering the sensitivity of aldosterone release in response to angiotensin II. Prima facie, this mechanism may explain some of the reduced efficacy of β-blockade in lowering BP among black populations, since aldosterone levels, even on a background of suppressed renin, would likely remain elevated given these differing polymorphisms.

Pregnancy haemodynamic adaptation
In normal pregnancies, there is a large drop in PVR with an increase of over 40% in plasma volume and a rise in CO. This rise in volume is driven partly by the activation of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS), with more than a trebling of the serum concentration of angiotensin II in the third trimester, compared with levels outside pregnancy. Plasma renin concentrations also increase in pregnancy by about 50% above the levels observed outside of pregnancy. Pregnancy adaptation leads to a hyperdynamic circulation with upregulation of the RAS.

### Table 3

**Summary of multilevel linear mixed-effects models for mean arterial pressure and peripheral vascular resistance**

| Variable | Mean arterial pressure | Peripheral vascular resistance |
|----------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
|          | Fixed part             |                               |
| Intercept| 117.41 (14.95)         | 4005.53 (566.5732)           |
| Time     | −6.28 (0.50)           | −62.95 (12.06)               |
| Age      | 0.1 (0.10)             | 4.19 (3.88)                  |
| Height   | −0.15 (0.16)           | −6.55 (6.32)                 |
| Weight   | −0.21 (0.19)           | 2.97 (7.43)                  |
| BSA      | 21.99 (18.83)          | −962.7148 (721.05)           |
| Parous—previous PE | −3.12 (1.55) | −158.61 (58.99) |
| Parous—no previous PE | −1.32 (1.67) | −114.45 (63.64) |
| Ethnicity| −0.5 (0.29)            | −4.54 (11.36)                |

| Random part | Variances (expressed in SD) due to random effects |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Individual SD, estimate (SE) | 3.96536 (0.65356) |
| Residual SD, estimate (SE)    | 7.564444 (0.3559312) |

| Model specifications | Model specifications |
|----------------------|----------------------|
| Log likelihood       | −1234.3387 (p<0.001) |
| AIC                  | 2490.677             |
| BIC                  | 2533.115             |
| LR test for random part | p=0.0001           |

The variables are presented as estimates and SEs. AIC, Akaike information criterion; BIC, Bayesian information criterion; BSA, body surface area; LR, likelihood ratio; PE, pre-eclampsia.
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**Table 4** Summary of multilevel linear mixed-effects models for cardiac output, heart rate and stroke volume

| Variable           | Cardiac output | Heart rate | Stroke volume |
|--------------------|----------------|------------|---------------|
|                     | Estimate (SE)  | p Value    | Estimate (SE) | p Value              | Estimate (SE) | p Value              |
| Intercept          | -7.57 (3.03)   | 0.012      | 121.52 (22.89)| <0.001              | -132.3844 (40.88)| 0.001              |
| Time               | -0.04 (0.05)   | 0.398      | -1.55 (0.44)  | <0.001              | 0.70 (0.59)   | 0.239               |
| Age                | -0.02 (0.02)   | 0.202      | -0.23 (0.15)  | 0.133               | 0.036 (0.28)  | 0.898               |
| Height             | 0.04 (0.03)    | 0.174      | -0.09 (0.25)  | 0.712               | 0.96 (0.45)   | 0.033               |
| Weight             | -0.01 (0.03)   | 0.787      | 0.204 (0.29)  | 0.495               | 0.23 (0.533) | 0.664               |
| BSA                | 4.45 (3.84)    | 0.246      | -13.07 (29.00)| 0.653               | 14.36 (51.68) | 0.781               |
| Parous—previous PE| 0.79 (0.31)    | 0.012      | 2.97 (2.38)   | 0.213               | 4.45 (4.26)  | 0.296               |
| Parous—no previous PE| 0.70 (0.34) | 0.038      | 3.97 (2.57)   | 0.112               | 2.01 (4.59)  | 0.661               |
| Ethnicity          | -0.008 (0.06)  | 0.884      | 0.32 (0.45)   | 0.481               | -0.26 (0.82) | 0.751               |

**Random part**

| Variances (expressed in SD) due to random effects | Cardiac output | Heart rate | Stroke volume |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------|---------------|
| Individual SD, estimate (SE)                      | 1.120924 (0.0871327) | 8.245412 (0.6776951) | 15.42569 (1.153087) |
| Residual SD, estimate (SE)                        | 0.7596877 (0.0358327) | 6.585155 (0.3094017) | 8.85682 (0.418325) |

**Model specifications**

| Log likelihood | Model specifications | Random part | Model specifications | Random part | Model specifications |
|----------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| -522.56485 (p<0.001) | AIC = 1067.13 | 1239.7218 (p<0.001) | BIC = 1109.409 | 132.3844 (40.88) | 1364.1167 (p<0.001) |
| AIC = 1067.13 | 2501.444 | 7.57 (3.03) | 0.012 | 132.3844 (40.88) | 0.001 |
| BIC = 1109.409 | 2543.786 | 1.55 (0.44) | 0.001 | 1364.1167 (p<0.001) | 0.001 |
| LR test for random part (null hypothesis: variance due to random effects=0) | p<0.001 | p<0.001 | p<0.001 |

The variables are presented as estimates and SEs.

AIC, Akaike information criterion; BIC, Bayesian information criterion; BSA, body surface area; LR, likelihood ratio; PE, pre-eclampsia.

---

It is a limitation of our study that we did not measure renin and aldosterone levels. We cannot therefore show the interaction with ethnicity and haemodynamics. However, there are extremely diverse hormonal shifts in pregnancy including thyroid function, oestrogen and progesterone changes that would need to be studied in addition to the activity of the RAS. The cardiovascular end point of these hormonal changes is haemodynamic variables, which we have studied in this work. In addition, as there were no available data in the literature on the serial haemodynamic changes following acute treatment with labetalol in pregnancy, we could not power this study prior to its initiation. However, the repeat measure analysis should add strength to these results as they reflect longitudinal changes with each individual acting as its own control.

**CONCLUSION**

This is the first study that reports the haemodynamic changes over time with labetalol therapy in different ethnic groups in pregnancy. In conclusion, in the acute phase of BP control in pregnancy with labetalol, ethnicity is not an independent predictor of haemodynamic changes. In both black and white populations, labetalol effects a decrease in maternal heart rate and vascular resistance and is effective in controlling BP, but it has a slightly greater sustained efficacy in white compared with black populations.
