High resolution imaging of impacted CFRP composites with a fiber-optic laser-ultrasound scanner
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\section*{A B S T R A C T}

Damage induced in polymer composites by various impacts must be evaluated to predict a component’s post-impact strength and residual lifetime, especially when impacts occur in structures related to human safety (in aircraft, for example). X-ray tomography is the conventional standard to study an internal structure with high resolution. However, it is of little use when the impacted area cannot be extracted from a structure. In addition, X-ray tomography is expensive and time-consuming. Recently, we have demonstrated that a kHz-rate laser-ultrasound (LU) scanner is very efficient both for locating large defects and evaluating the material structure. Here, we show that high-quality images of damage produced by the LU scanner in impacted carbon-fiber reinforced polymer (CFRP) composites are similar to those produced by X-ray tomograms; but they can be obtained with only single-sided access to the object under study. Potentially, the LU method can be applied to large components in-situ.

© 2016 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier GmbH. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

\section*{1. Introduction}

Fiber reinforced polymer (FRP) composites have become invaluable structural materials for many industries, ranging from aerospace to sports, because of their outstanding thermo-mechanical properties, high specific strength and stiffness, superior corrosion resistance, improved material properties, and light weight compared to traditional materials such as metals [1–4]. Although superior in many ways, carbon fiber reinforced polymer (CFRP) composites are highly susceptible to internal damage even under low-velocity impacts such as those impacted by a dropped tool or runway debris [5–9].

To manage impact damage and improve impact resistance and tolerance, many different laminate configurations have been investigated. Among other useful discoveries, woven-fabric composites are more resistant to impact damage than cross-ply composites made of unidirectional layers [10]. Nevertheless, the effect of laminate configuration on the impact behavior of FRP composites is not well understood. This is particularly true for structural composite components used in the aerospace and automotive industries. Consequently, there is a great need for nondestructive evaluation (NDE) tools that can adequately assess impact damage in both laboratory and field (i.e., in situ) settings. An important criterion is that sub-ply resolution is required for detailed quantification of damage.

Conventional NDE methods for FRP composites include IR thermography [11–15], X-ray tomography [7,11,16–20], and a variety of ultrasonic (US) techniques [6,21–31]. More advanced optical methods, such as digital image correlation (DIC) [11,13] and optical coherence tomography (OCT) [13,16], are sometimes used, but they are usually limited to surface inspection or measurements on semi-transparent composites. Moreover, DIC and holographic methods require mechanical loading of the sample, which complicates the setup and is not always appropriate for field applications.

Only US and X-ray tomography, e.g., micro-CT, can precisely locate three-dimensional defects regardless of FRP composite type. However, these techniques have their own disadvantages and limitations. X-ray tomography scanners are very expensive and cumbersome. 3-D X-ray imaging requires extensive data acquisition and is very time-consuming; moreover, the size of the
instrument chamber limits the size of the sample to several centimeters and makes this approach completely unsuitable for most field applications, particularly those involving aerospace or automotive devices.

Conventional US pulse-echo techniques usually require couplants [22–31], or full immersion of the sample for optimal energy transfer, which can affect overall scanning speed and greatly limit the number of applications where these techniques can be used. The layered structure of most composites and their relatively high US attenuation at frequencies greater than 5 MHz make it difficult to resolve separate layers within multi-ply structures. In addition, the “tone-burst” temporal profile of probe US signals enables self-interference between layers, thus creating artifacts. Complicated inversion algorithms can minimize these effects for simple cases, but they are not always efficient due to the inhomogeneous structure of composites [32–37]. Air-coupled US does not need a couplant, but it must operate at frequencies less than 1 MHz with resultant poor spatial resolution [38–40]. Surface and Lamb acoustic waves also have limited spatial resolution, which makes them practical only for preliminary determinations of defect location and size [41–44].

Laser-ultrasound (LU) has many advantages over conventional US. First, laser-generated US transients are ultra-wideband, providing at least 3 times better resolution than conventional US transducers with the same characteristic frequency [45,46]. Second, the system is fundamentally non-contact and removes all issues related to US coupling. Disadvantages include its low pulse repetition frequency (PRF), the high cost of pump lasers, and some issues with stability and the low sensitivity of optical reception. These limitations, however, have been recently overcome with a new kHz-rate fiber-optic pump-probe system [46,47] using a modified Sagnac interferometer as the detector [48,49] to achieve sensitivity rivaling the best contact US transducers.

In previous studies we have shown that the kHz-rate LU scanner can detect not only large defects accurately, but it can also help visualize pores and single layers within composite structures [46–51]. This makes the LU scanner a very promising candidate for characterization of impact damage. In contrast to X-ray tomography, LU scanning can potentially be used on real structures where access is limited to one side, i.e., it does not require excision of samples from a component to perform high-resolution imaging of potential defects.

**Fig. 1.** Photographs of samples of CFRP composites impacted face-on with energies of 25 J (sample A₁, on the left) and 50 J (sample A₂, on the right) as per ASTM D7136 standard. Samples were 65 mm × 65 mm laterally and had a thickness of 4.86 mm. The red dashed line indicates the position of X-ray micro-CT B-scan shown in Fig. 2; the blue dashed line corresponds to the position of the LU B-scan shown in Fig. 4; the red circle shows a region in which data are used to calculate TGC coefficients (see Fig. 3).
In this study, we used the LU scanner for 3-D imaging at high resolution (i.e., layer by layer) of low-velocity impact damage in CFRP composites. X-ray tomograms were also acquired on the same samples with an “Inveon microCT” machine (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) to validate all LU results.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Samples of impacted CFRP composites

All samples were fabricated using commercial prepreg, specifically #2511 semi-toughened epoxy resin coated T700G-12K-31E carbon fiber (CF) unidirectional tape manufactured by Toray Industries, Inc. It has a standard resin content of 35 ± 3% by weight and fiber areal weight of 150 ± 6 g/m². The laminate consisted of eight repeated in-plane stacking sequences of 45°, 0°, -45°, and 90° (i.e., [45/0/-45/90]₈); where the 0° fiber orientation was aligned with the lengthwise (long) dimension of the mold. The laminate had 32 layers of CF unidirectional prepreg (UDP), yielding samples 4.86 mm thick.

An aluminum mold with cavity dimensions of 609.6 × 914.4 mm was used to fabricate CFRP composites. After placing the material, the mold was sealed using a vacuum bag and sealant tape. It was then held under vacuum at 29 in Hg. Laminates were cured in a convection oven at 88 °C for 1.5 h and post-cured at 132 °C for 2 h. Then, 100 × 100 mm samples were cut from the cured CFRP plates using a diamond saw. Coupons were drop weight tested in an Instron 9250 HV Dynamat, equipped with an 88.96 kN load cell impactor with a 12.7 mm diameter hemispherical head, a velocity detector, and a pneumatic brake to prevent multiple impacts. Specimens were clamped by pneumatically assisted grips. The exposed diameter of the composite plate for the impact test was 76.2 mm, as per ASTM D7136. The prepared CFRP samples were subjected to low-velocity impacts face-on with energies of 25 J and 50 J. Following impact testing, the samples were cut to 65 × 65 mm to fit the chamber of the X-ray machine. Fig. 1 shows the front and back sides of one sample impact tested at 25 J and 50 J energy levels and then cut to size.

2.2. Non-contact pump-probe LU system

The LU system has a few key components: a diode-pumped nanosecond laser to generate probe US signals at the surface of composite samples; a fiber-optic modified Sagnac interferometer for non-contact detection of backscattered US; an XY translation platform for scanning; an analog to digital converter (ADC) and a computer (PC) for signal capture, processing, and image display.

Detailed information on the modified Sagnac interferometer can be found in Refs. [48, 49]; the principle and performance of the whole system operating at kHz A-scan rates was detailed in [46, 47]. Incident pump-laser pulses were delivered along an axis inclined at ~40° from the sample normal so that the probe-laser beam is focused to the same point on the sample surface as the detection beam. The laser spot size at the sample surface was about 1.5 mm × 2 mm, resulting in a laser fluence of about 60 mJ/cm², well below any damage thresholds for composite material illumination.

The composite sample was fixed on a translation platform that can be linearly translated at a speed of 100 mm/s, with peak acceleration of 10 m/s² in both lateral directions providing a 0.1 mm step between A-scans. A position-synchronized output of the translation platform was used for laser triggering. Thus, all laser firings were triggered based on the coordinate, and the scan step was kept constant even for acceleration/deceleration regions.

A 60 mm × 60 mm area centered on the impact location was scanned in a snake trajectory for each sample. Each B-scan contained 600 A-scans stepped by 0.1 mm. Thus, all A-scans were recorded in a rectangular grid of 0.1 mm in both lateral directions resulting in an overall scan of 600 × 600 signals. Data were recorded in real time, and complete B-scans were displayed at about 2 Hz rate without any delay for scanning. The total scan time for the full 3-D volume was about 10 min. Note that the surfaces of these home-made samples were quite rough, and, owing to very high porosity, US attenuation within them was much higher than that seen in autoclave-manufactured commercial-grade composite materials.

Radio frequency (RF) signals output from the interferometer were amplified in the frequency range of 1–10 MHz by an amplifier (Panametrics, Model 5072PR), digitized to 14 bits by the PCI Express3 ADC, and transferred to the workstation for further signal processing and display. RF data were processed as described below in Section 3 to create a 3-D data set for each sample.

2.3. X-ray tomography

X-ray imaging is the conventional method for high resolution structural inspection of composites. Consequently, X-ray tomography (i.e., micro-CT) measurements were performed on all CFRP samples in addition to LU inspection. The instrument “Inveon microCT” (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) acquired X-ray tomograms with a resolution of 72 μm (a 36 × 36 × 36 μm³ voxel size). To maximize image contrast, the X-ray tube voltage was varied until an optimum was found at 60 kV. Total scan time was about 3 h for a 50 mm × 50 mm scan area.

A typical raw CT B-scan covering the cross-section through the impact center (the red dashed line in Fig. 1) is shown in Fig. 2a. Because of the change in surface topology produced by impact, X-ray tomograms cannot be directly compared to LU B-scans. The laser-generated US signal always emanates from the sample surface, making LU B-Scans appear to have a flat front surface. For a damaged surface, this apparent surface flatness is artificial and must be taken into account when comparing LU results with X-ray tomograms. Thus, to make an “apples to apples” comparison between LU and X-ray techniques (see below), we artificially flattened the front surface of X-ray tomograms as shown in Fig. 2b. Flattened CT B-scans are compared with LU images throughout the rest of this paper. In addition, a 2-D Gaussian spatial moving filter,

\[ \text{Filter}_u y(x, y) = \exp(-((x-x_0)/5)^2) \times \exp(-((y-y_0)/5)^2), \]  

was applied to the X-ray 3-D data. The coefficients in Eq. (1) were selected to match spatial resolutions in CT and LU images and improve an overall image signal to noise ratio (SNR). Here, i and j range from 1 to 1408. Thus, 2-D filtered LU and X-ray data should match each other in spatial resolution.

3. Results

3.1. 3-D set of LU data

To improve SNR for LU scan data, given the high density of spatial samples, a 2-D Gaussian spatial moving filter was applied for all time points of the signal at all lateral positions \((x_i, y_j)\) where A-scans were recorded:

\[ \text{Filter}_u y(x, y) = \exp(-((x-x_i)/5)^2) \times \exp(-((y-y_j)/5)^2). \]  

The filter yielded a final spatial resolution of about 0.5 mm × 0.5 mm, approximately matching the spatial resolution of processed X-ray tomograms.
All A-scans were then processed as described in detail in [46,47]. A band-pass filter,

\[
\text{Filter}_{i}(f) = \left( 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{f}{f_0}\right)^2 \right) \times \exp\left(-\left(\frac{f}{f_1}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{f}{f_2}\right)^4\right),
\]

with \(f_0 = 100\) kHz, \(f_1 = 11\) MHz, \(f_2/f_1 = 1.2\) was applied in the frequency domain to form a full bandwidth signal (see Fig. 3a). In addition, a low-pass filtered (LPF) version of all A-scans was produced with \(f_0 = 100\) kHz, \(f_1 = 5\) MHz, \(f_2/f_1 = 1.2\). The processed A-scans formed the complete 3-D data set and were used to reconstruct B- and C-scans.

In addition to frequency filtering, a time gain correction (TGC) function was applied to all A-scans (and, therefore, to all B- and C-scans in the figures and the b. Research Group on Coastal Resources and Landscape (INTERFASE), Department of Geography, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. Bellaterra, Cerdanyola del Vallès. 08193, Spain. s mentioned in Supplementary material) to partially compensate for signal loss due to attenuation. Because in-depth US attenuation in a periodic structure should obey an exponential law [52], the TGC correction normalized the recorded A-scan as illustrated in Fig. 3b according to the expression:

\[
A_{\text{TGC}}(z_k) = A_0(z_k) \times \exp(2\alpha(z_k - z_0)),
\]

where \(z_0\) is the starting depth of TGC compensation, \(z_k\) is the depth for the \(k\)-th sample point, and the exponent \(\alpha\) was determined in the area far from impact (shown by a red ring in Fig. 1a) so that the amplitude of the average back wall signal equals that of the average front surface signal. The parameter \(\alpha\) was found to be 5.63 cm\(^{-1}\) for full bandwidth A-scans and 3.75 cm\(^{-1}\) for LPF signals. The factor of two in the exponent in Eq. (4) accounts for the two-way US propagation before detection at the front sample surface. The constants were maintained for all A-scans within one sample. Again, the applied TGC only approximately compensates for attenuation to increase visibility of damage in deep layers; there is no attempt here to strictly quantify the magnitude of the backscattered US signal amplitude.

Typical LU full bandwidth B-scans with one A-scan were presented in Fig. 4a and b respectively. The position of the B-scan transect relative to the surface of sample \(A_1\) is indicated by a blue dashed line in Fig. 1a. An X-ray B-scan corresponding to the same cross-section is shown in Fig. 4c. It is important to note that these B-scans were about 6 mm from the center of impact, i.e., in a region away from visible damage (see Fig. 1). Clearly, the damage extended well beyond the visible impacted area.

The LU full bandwidth B-scan (Fig. 4a) illustrates both the composite layered structure and damage induced by impact, whereas the LPF B-scan almost totally removes the composite regular structure signal [46–51]. Interfaces among the first three [45/0/-45/90] prepreg layers are evident in Fig. 4b, which shows that stacking layers under laboratory conditions was not perfect and created numerous voids. These voids are the reason for an
enlarged (~50 dB/cm) US attenuation compared with the autoclave-manufactured commercial CFRP composite samples used in our previous studies [46,47]. The high porosity level was confirmed by the X-ray B-scan (Fig. 4c) obtained for the same section of the sample (the blue dashed line in Fig. 1) and flattened as described in Section 2.3 and shown in Fig. 2.

Using Fig. 4 we can compare images obtained with micro-CT and high-speed LU scanning. X-ray tomography is a transmission method, whereas LU scanning operates in pulse-echo mode. Therefore, if a large delamination appears in the propagation path, most of the US power is reflected back to the front surface, blocking US propagation to deeper sample regions and inducing reverberations between the front surface and the delamination. This means that US can diagnose only the first large delamination along a

Fig. 4. (a) Recorded A-scans after spatial filtering (Eq. (2)), then processed and filtered in the frequency domain using Eq. (3) (as described in [48,49] in detail), and finally TGC-corrected using Eq. (4) to form TGC-corrected full-bandwidth LU B-scans. (b) Recorded A-scans processed as in (a) but with LPF added using a cutoff frequency $f_1 = 5$ MHz. (c) X-ray micro-CT B-scan for the same sample cross-section. A complete set of all LU B-scan frames for sample $A_1$ is shown in Movie 1.

Fig. 5. Full bandwidth (a) and LPF (b) LU C-scans for sample $A_1$ at depth of 0.14 mm obtained with a 3-D LU data set. The 3-D data set was created with TGC corrected LU B-scans processed for multiple Y positions with a 0.1 mm step as described in Section 3. LU C-scans represent image sections parallel to the front sample surface, i.e. correspond to a fixed depth image. (c) Flattened X-ray micro-CT C-scan shown for the same depth. The red rectangles shown in (a) and (b) indicate the area imaged with X-ray in (c). A complete set of all LU C-scan frames for sample $A_1$ is shown in Movie 2.
particular path from the front to back walls. In contrast, X-ray images do not have this limitation. Detection of even a single total delamination, however, indicates that the component should be repaired or scrapped.

A complete 3-D data set can be used to produce a LU B-scan movie. An example, Movie 1, shows how the composite structure changes in the vertical cross-section (XZ plane) (see Supplementary material Movie 1 in the online version at DOI: 10.1016/j.pacs.2016.05.002). B-scan panels are shown over the full bandwidth (left panel) and LPF (right panel) formats. Each B-scan consists of 600 individual TGC-corrected A-scans, and the entire movie consists of 600 B-scans covering a 60 mm distance in the Y direction. The stepping increment for both A- and B-scans is 0.1 mm, the same as the acquisition increment.

3.2. C-scans

Complete 3-D data sets obtained with both LU and X-ray methods can be used to create C-scans, images in planes parallel to the front surface, at each depth. TGC corrected A-scans were used to create C-scans at all depths within the samples.

Fig. 5 illustrates the composite structure very close to the front surface, at a depth of 0.14 mm, for sample A1. There is no damage surrounding the geometrical impact at this depth and, therefore, the undisturbed composite structure itself can be visualized. The full bandwidth LU image (Fig. 5a) details pores, as does the X-ray image (Fig. 5c). The LPF image (Fig. 5b) was too close to the front surface to resolve these voids because the image remains saturated (i.e., within the impulse response of the LPF). Fig. 6a and b demonstrate typical full bandwidth and LPF LU C-scans for sample A1 at a 0.53 mm depth from the front surface, whereas Fig. 6c presents the X-ray C-scan for the same depth. The full bandwidth C-scan (Fig. 6a) contains detailed information on composite structure imperfections, including pores, which partiallymask the main damage. The LPF C-scan (Fig. 6b) clearly shows the main damage and matches well with the damage pattern in the X-ray C-scan (Fig. 6c), where small pores and the regular composite structure were filtered from these images.

Fig. 7 presents images of the horizontal section of sample A1 at a depth close to the back surface. As mentioned above, LU images accumulate damage signals over the whole depth due to multiple reverberations. Thus, at this depth, the LU image presents the entire area of accumulated damage. Movie 2, in which LU makes use of the left and CT are on the right, shows the dynamics damage propagation into the sample, layer by layer, from top to bottom (see Supplementary material Movie 2 in the online version at DOI: 10.1016/j.pacs.2016.05.002). Up to a depth of ~2 mm, LU C-scans of delaminations in the composite structure are almost identical with X-ray tomograms – exhibiting a counter-clockwise rotation of the defect’s “propeller.” At about 2 mm depth, however, the damage covers the entire sample, blocking US propagation into deeper layers.

Close study of the movies and of the image planes presented in Fig. 7 in some detail elicits the interesting observation that there is a halo around the defective area, which actually appears to lie close to the back surface. This effect is quite apparent in Movies 1 and 2 (see Supplementary material Movies 1 and 2 in the online version at DOI: 10.1016/j.pacs.2016.05.002). Because the micro-CT images were flattened to better align US and X-ray data with depth, as described in Section 2.3 and illustrated in Fig. 2, this halo is only partially seen in the CT scan (Fig. 7c). In any event, the correspondence between LU and CT images is nearly perfect, which means that LU scanning can provide information similar to that of high-resolution X-ray tomograms, but measured “in-situ” without sample excision and in minutes, not hours.

Fig. 8a and b show full bandwidth and LPF LU C-scans for sample A2 at 0.67 mm depth. This sample was subjected to double the impact energy, 50 J. For comparison, the X-ray C-scan is presented in Fig. 8c for the same depth. A C-scan movie (Movie 3) corresponding to this sample shows the dynamics of damage propagation deep into the sample, similar to that for sample A1.
(see Supplementary material Movie 3 in the online version at DOI: 10.1016/j.pacs.2016.05.002). The “propeller” now extends laterally but keeps the same features, rotating in the counter-clockwise direction. Again, a good match between LU and CT results is observed.

4. Discussion

In this work, we have illustrated a new strategy for non-contact, high-speed inspection of low-velocity impact induced damage in CFRP composites. We have shown that US can image impacted structures layer-by-layer with sub-mm resolution if signals are generated optoacoustically and detected with a point-like, high-sensitivity optical detector (LU). We have demonstrated that LU can visualize the “propeller-like” progression of damage inside a composite structure with a resolution better than 1 ply. These results were validated with X-ray images of the same samples.

In addition to resolving defects at better than 1 ply resolution, high-speed LU scanning can help characterize damage at different spatial scales. Simple visual inspection of a component provided the first scale, showing that surface damage extended laterally about 4 mm and 8 mm for samples A₁ and A₂, respectively. However, as shown in Figs. 4–8, internal damage spread much further laterally. A LPF 3-D data set provided the next spatial scale of inspection. This format did not show the regular composite structure and small voids present in the structure, but it highlighted major defects and easily delineated the primary damage zone resulting from impact. The final spatial scale was contained in full-bandwidth data. These images detailed the regular composite structure and small heterogeneities (e.g., voids).

At this scale, microscopic impact-induced changes in composite structure can potentially be quantified in a way similar to our recent findings related to heat damage [51].

Among all NDE methods, only X-ray imaging and LU scanning can visualize the impact damage with sub-layer (sub-1 ply) resolution. However, there are different practical constraints associated with each type of imaging.

X-ray tomography is a transmission method detecting X-ray absorption along the path from source to detector. Full reconstruction provides the X-ray absorption coefficient at every voxel within the sample. In contrast, LU scanning works in reflection and detects the part of the signal that is reflected back to the detector by the structure. Large delaminations block the US beam, producing multiple reverberations of the probe signal between the delamination and the front surface. Therefore, LU scanning can correctly delineate only US scatterers located between the front surface and the delamination. It would be ideal to remove high order reverberations from LU images, keeping only first reflections, i.e., solve the inverse problem of US scattering [52–55]. Another possibility is to use time-of-flight (TOF) mode imaging of the first arrival of echo signals having amplitudes above some threshold [25–28] or various other known advanced signal processing methods [33–37,56–58]. This problem is not simple, however, because of the highly heterogeneous nature of composite structures and the complications of frequency-dependent US diffraction and attenuation. At present, we prefer to retain these artifacts to minimize over-processing of the data. For the specific case of imaging of impact damage, we can clearly see the “propeller-like” profile rotation until it completes one revolution at about 2 mm in depth for the samples used here. At greater depths, it is difficult to discern if the structure is real or is the result of multiple reverberations of US in the upper layers. Nevertheless, the extent of the damage zone could be clearly seen with this display format, as demonstrated in Figs. 7–8 and Movies 1–3 (see Supplementary material Movies 1–3 in the online version at DOI: 10.1016/j.pacs.2016.05.002).

Another important difference between these imaging modalities is that LU naturally flattens the image of the front surface of the sample due to laser generation of US directly inside the composite, even if the surface was deformed by impact (see Fig. 3). That is to say, LU follows the deformed profile of the layers. This is an advantage because LU C-scans automatically reveal the surface of
There is no doubt that X-ray tomography provides more complete information on impact damage, especially at deeper layers where the integrated damage covers the whole damage area laterally (the “propeller” completes a full spin) and blocks US from propagating into deeper regions. If a manufactured component used in a real application is subjected to an impact, however, there is no way to use X-ray tomography without removing a piece for examination. Conventional US or thermography can provide only the contour of the damaged area without any details on its layer-by-layer distribution. Thus, the LU scanning method described here is a great improvement over current tools to characterize impact damage at multiple size scales, enabling in-situ imaging (i.e., without sample excision) at least within the upper part of an in-depth damage distribution on a minute time scale. We hope, and believe, that the damage structure imaged with high-resolution LU scanning in the upper composite layers can be used in advanced mechanical models [59–62] to predict damage propagation into deeper layers and evaluate residual life of entire components subjected to impact loading. This hypothesis will be tested in future studies.

Finally, we emphasize that the goal of this study was to demonstrate a new LU method which can provide a unique capability for quantitative assessment of impact damage caused by low-velocity impact on composite components used in practical applications. This tool is especially valuable when excision of a composite sample for X-ray imaging is neither desirable nor possible.

5. Conclusions

We have demonstrated a new, rapid, non-contact method for 3-D high-resolution imaging of damage in impacted CFRP composite components where only one-sided access is possible. Composite samples used for these studies were manufactured with a commercial CF unidirectional prepreg laminated with eight sublaminates consisting of four plies, with the stacking sequence of $45^\circ$, $0^\circ$, $-45^\circ$, and $90^\circ$ layups (i.e., $[45/0/-45/90]_8$). All laminates had 32 layers and were $\sim 5$ mm thick. Two samples were impacted, one at 25 J and the other at 50 J, as per the ASTM D7136 standard. X-ray tomograms were obtained in the same samples to compare with the results of the LU study. Results clearly showed a “propeller-shaped” damage that rotated counter-clockwise through depth in the sample. The LU method resolved all delaminations until the “propeller” completed one complete rotation, which occurred at a depth of about 2 mm. For deeper regions, LU images were strongly affected by multiple reverberations of US in the upper layers. We hope that results presented here can be leveraged to create advanced mechanical models that predict damage propagation inside impacted composites from high-resolution LU images registered to the upper layers. Finally, high-speed and high-resolution LU scanning is the only method which can potentially enable in-situ non-contact imaging inside composite structures with resolution better than 1 ply.
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