Effect of Secondary and Micronutrients on Growth Attributes and Yield of Elephant Foot Yam (Amorphophallus paeoniifolius)
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A B S T R A C T

A field experiment was conducted during 2012 and 2013 to assess the effect of secondary and micronutrients on growth and yield of elephant foot yam [Amorphophallus paeoniifolius (Dennst.) Nicolson] at the Regional Centre of ICAR-Central Tuber Crops Research Institute, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications. The experiment consisted of nine treatments viz. T1- Control(no manure and fertilizer), T2- FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha, T3- FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha+MgSO4@ 20 kg/ha, T4- FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha+ZnSO4@10 kg/ha, T5- FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha+Borax@10 kg/ha, T6- FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha+MgSO4@20 kg/ha+ha+ZnSO4@10 kg/ha, T7- FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha+MgSO4@20 kg/ha+ZnSO4@10 kg/ha+Borax@10 kg/ha, T8- FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha+MgSO4@20 kg/ha+ZnSO4@10 kg/ha+MgSO4@20 kg/ha. The results revealed that manures and fertilizers did not influence days to sprouting. The pseudostem height (115.8 cm), canopy spread (109.11 cm), number of leaflets per plant (337.5) and light interception percentage (76.74%) were superior in T5(20 kg/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha+MgSO4@20 kg/ha+ZnSO4@10 kg/ha+Borax@10 kg/ha). The lowest growth and yield attributes, and yield were recorded in T1(control).
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Introduction

Elephant foot yam (Amorphophallus paeoniifolius (Dennst.) Nicolson), a tuberous vegetable crop is gaining importance as commercial crop due to its unprecedented productivity (50 t/ha) and long storability (3-5 months) (Nedunchezhiyan, 2014). The corms (tubers) are consumed as vegetable after boiling, baking and frying (Nedunchezhiyan et al., 2002; Nedunchezhiyan et al., 2006). Young leaves are also used as vegetable after chopping and boiling (Nedunchezhiyan, 2014). Flowers are also used as food (Raghu et al., 1999). Pickle, a delicacy recipe preferred by Indians is also prepared from elephant foot yam corms (Nedunchezhiyan and Misra, 2008). The corms are rich in minerals and vitamins (Nedunchezhiyan et al., 2008). Elephant foot yam corm is a good remedy for patients suffering from piles, asthma, dysentery and abdominal pain (Misra et al., 2002).

Elephant foot yam removes huge quantity of nutrients. Kaberathamuma et al., (1987) reported that a crop yielding 33 tonnes of corms removed 128.8 kg N, 23.6 kg P, 239.6 kg K and 0.490 kg Zn per ha. Nair et al., (1990) observed that a crop yielding 43 tonnes of corm would remove 124.8 kg N, 25.1 kg P and 224.4 kg K per ha. Elephant foot yam corm is a good remedy for patients suffering from piles, asthma, dysentery and abdominal pain (Misra et al., 2002).

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted during 2012 and 2013 at the Regional Centre of Central Tuber crops Research Institute (20°14’53.25”N and 85°47’25.85”E and 33m above mean sea level), Dumuduma, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India situated in the East and South Eastern Central Coastal Plain Zone of Odisha. The soil type of experimental site was alfisols and falls under the family on Typic Rhodustalfs. Texturally the soil was sandy loam with pH6.5, organic carbon 0.32%, available nitrogen 98.2 kg/ha, available phosphorus 16.2 kg/ha, available potassium 242.4 kg/ha, available magnesium 1562 ppm, available zinc 1.46 ppm and was higher during tuber bulking stage Kaberathamuma et al., (1987). Zinc is regarded as the third most important limiting nutrient element in crop production after N and P (Gupta, 1995). It is now considered an important yield raising input, which in principle and practice is a part of balanced fertilizer use. Zinc is an essential constituent of ribosomes and is associated with the activity of tryptophane synthates enzyme (Chauhan et al., 2014). Boron is one of the essential micronutrients required for the normal growth and development of plants. Boron is needed for the development and differentiation of tissues particularly growing tips, phloem and xylem (Sakal and Singh, 1995). Alfisols are mostly deficient in major nutrients like nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium and minor nutrients like zinc, magnesium and boron. In our earlier study found that FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P-2O-5-K-2O @ 100-60-100 kg/ha was optimum for elephant foot yam under alfisols (Sahoo et al., 2015). Hence, the present study was carried out to find the effects of secondary and micronutrients along with recommended major nutrients on elephant foot yam growth and yield.
available boron 1.03 ppm. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications. The experiment consisted of nine treatments viz. T1 - Control (no manure and fertilizer), T2- FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha, T3- FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha+MgSO4 @ 20 kg/ha, T4- FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha+ZnSO4 @ 10 kg/ha, T5- FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha+MgSO4 @ 20 kg/ha+ZnSO4 @10 kg/ha, T6 – FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha+MgSO4 @ 20 kg/ha+ZnSO4 @10 kg/ha+borax @10 kg/ha, T7 – FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha+MgSO4 @ 20 kg/ha+ZnSO4 @10 kg/ha, T8 – FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha+ZnSO4 @10 kg/ha+borax @10 kg/ha, T9- FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha+MgSO4 @ 20 kg/ha+ZnSO4 @10 kg/ha+borax @10 kg/ha. Sahoo et al., (2015) recommended FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha for elephant foot yam under alfisols.

FYM and full dose of P2O5 were applied during final ploughing. Full dose of MgSO4, ZnSO4 and Borax, and 1/3rd of N and K were applied before ridge making as basal. The remaining 2/3rd of N and K was split in to two equal doses and applied at 1st and 2nd month after planting (MAP). The ridges were made at 75 cm spacing. Elephant foot yam variety ‘Gajendra’ was selected for planting. The corm weighing 400 g was planted on the ridges at 75 cm spacing. Thus, a spacing of 75x75cm between row-to-row and plant-to-plant was maintained. Three hand weeding was carried out at 1st, 2nd and 3rd MAP. The crop was raised under protective drip irrigation. The crop was harvested at 8th MAP. During 2012 and 2013, the average mean monthly maximum and minimum temperatures ranged 29.4-38.3°C and 15.4-26.6°C, respectively and mean monthly relative humidity ranged 61.5-90.7%. The average annual rainfall was 1254.7mm and maximum precipitation was received during June to September.

Growth attributes (height and diameter of pseudostem, canopy spread and number of leaflets per plant) were measured at 3rd and 5th MAP. Light interception (%) at canopy was computed at 3 and 5 MAP. Light measurements above and below canopy were measured with digital light meter LX-101A, Lutron Electronic Enterprise Co., Ltd. The difference of light measurement above and below canopy was multiplied with 100 and expressed in percentage of light interception. Soil resistance was measured with penetrometer (Eijkelkamp, The Netherlands) at 3 and 5 MAP and expressed in MPa. Corn yield was recorded at 8th MAP (harvest). The data were subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) in randomized block design using GENSTAT programme. The significant differences between the treatments were compared with the critical difference (CD) at a 5% level of probability.

Results and Discussion

Growth

The perusal of data (Table 1) on the days to attainment of 50 and 100% sprouting of elephant foot yam cv. Gajendra revealed that manures and fertilizers had no effect on sprouting. Application of FYM, N, P, K, Mg, Zn and Bin various combinations resulted no particular trend for days to 50% sprouting and non-significant trend for days to100% sprouting. In elephant foot yam, days to sprouting depend on maturity of the corm, dormancy, type of corm (whole/cut), weight of corm sett, soil moisture status and prevailing weather conditions.

Elephant foot yam produces crown shaped crop canopy on the pseudostem (Nedunchezhiyan, 2014) and it looks like an umbrella. During the crop growth period,
usually it produces on an average 2-3 pseudostems (leaves) per plant (Nedunchezhiyan et al., 2017). Sometime produces multiple pseudostem when cut corms are used due to activation of dormant buds. At 3rd and 5th MAP, the growth attributes were recorded on 1st and 2nd pseudostem, respectively as suggested by Nedunchezhiyan et al., (2016).

Marked variation in pseudostem height and diameter, canopy spread and number of leaflets per plant was noticed at 3rd and 5th MAP with respect to treatments (Table 1). The treatment T0 (FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha+MgSO4 @ 20 kg/ha+ZnSO4 @10 kg/ha+Borax @10 kg/ha) resulted in taller pseudostem, greater pseudostem diameter, canopy spread and number of leaves per plant at 3rd and 5th MAP. It was followed by T6(FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha+ZnSO4 @10 kg/ha+Borax @10 kg/ha). Application of Mg, Zn and B nutrients either alone or in combinations with recommended dose of manures and fertilizers (FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha) resulted in greater growth attributes (pseudostem height and diameter, canopy spread and number of leaflets per plant) than application of recommended dose of manures and fertilizers alone (FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha) (T2) and control (T1) (Table 1).

Magnesium is the chief constituent of chlorophyll which resulted in the formation of carbohydrate in the leaves. The nutrients Zn and B are essential for cell division and enlargement, and various enzyme production and activation. Application of these nutrients increased cell division and multiplication, and carbohydrate accumulation thereby greater growth attributes. The results obtained were in accordance with Kabeerathamma et al., (1987).

Light interception and soil resistance

Light interception through the canopy system was significantly influenced by Mg, Zn and B application in elephant foot yam (Table 2). At 3rd and 5th MAP, significantly greater light interception was measured when the plots were applied with FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha+MgSO4 @ 20 kg/ha+ZnSO4 @10 kg/ha+Borax @10 kg/ha. The superior light interception in this treatment was due to greater number of leaflets per plant (Table 1) which might have been led to larger LAI. Higher light interception led to higher photosynthesis and corm yield. Sahoo et al., (2014) also reported higher light interception in the treatment which received greater quantity of manures and fertilizers in elephant foot yam. The lowest light interception was recorded in the treatment control plots. This might be due to poor canopy development.

Soil resistance play significant role in tuber bulking. Soil resistance recorded at 3rd and 5th MAP revealed that lower soil resistance when combination of Mg, Zn and B were applied along with recommended dose of FYM @ 10t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha (T3) (Table 2). This indicated that roots were active and occupies more volume of soil when applied required quantity of Mg, Zn and B nutrients along with recommended dose of FYM @ 10t/ha+N-P2O5-K2O @100-60-100 kg/ha. Nedunchezhiyan et al., (2013) reported that lower soil resistance in FYM and paddy straw applied sweet potato fields. In elephant foot yam, lower soil resistance was recorded when greater quantity of manures and fertilizers were applied (Sahoo et al., 2014).

Yield

Elephant foot yam corm diameter was profoundly influenced by treatments (Table 2). The treatment T9(FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-
P₂O₅-K₂O @100-60-100 kg/ha+MgSO₄ @ 20 kg/ha+ZnSO₄ @10 kg/ha+Borax @10 kg/ha) resulted in greater corm diameter. However, it was statistically at par with T₅, T₇, T₆, T₅, T₄, T₃ and T₂. Significantly lower corm diameter was noticed in control treatment. Marked variation in corm yield per plant was observed with respect to treatments. The treatment T₉(FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P₂O₅-K₂O @100-60-100 kg/ha+MgSO₄ @ 20 kg/ha+ZnSO₄ @10 kg/ha+Borax @ 10 kg/ha) resulted in greater corm yield/plant. However, it was statistically at par with T₈, T₇, T₆, T₅, T₄ and T₃. This was due to greater growth (Table 1) and yield attributes (Table 2). Significantly lower corm yield/plant was noticed in control treatment. Discerning difference in corm yield was observed with respect to treatments (Table 2). The treatment T₉(FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P₂O₅-K₂O @100-60-100 kg/ha+MgSO₄ @ 20 kg/ha+ZnSO₄ @10 kg/ha+Borax @ 10 kg/ha) resulted in greater corm yield/plant. However, it was statistically at par with T₈, T₇, T₆, T₅, T₄ and T₃. The higher corm yield in these treatments was due to higher growth (Table 1) and yield attributes (Table 2). The treatment T₉(FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P₂O₅-K₂O @100-60-100 kg/ha+MgSO₄ @ 20 kg/ha+ZnSO₄ @10 kg/ha+Borax @ 10 kg/ha) produced 7.7 % greater corm yield than T₂ (FYM @ 10 t/ha+N-P₂O₅-K₂O @100-60-100 kg/ha) (Table 2). Individual application of Mg, Zn and B has increased corm yield 2.3-3.3%. This showed that under present experimental site, the elephant foot yam response to Mg, Zn and B is very less. However, combined application of all the above nutrients had the additive effect of 7.7% greater corm yield. The greater photosynthates accumulated in the shoot (source) was translocated to the bulking corm (sink). Kabeerathamma et al., (1987) reported that Mg utilization was higher during tuber bulking stage, as it is essential for carbohydrate synthesis. Singh and Pathak (2002), and Chauhan et al., (2014) also reported similar findings.

**Table.1** Effect of Mg, Zn and B nutrients on growth attributes elephant foot yam cv. Gajendra (pooled data of 2 years)

| Treatment | Days to 50% sprouting | Days to 100% sprouting | Pseudostem height (cm) | Pseudostem diameter (cm) | Canopy spread (cm) | Number of leaflets per plant |
|-----------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
|           | 3-MAP | 5-MAP | 3-MAP | 5-MAP | 3-MAP | 5-MAP | 3-MAP | 5-MAP |
| T₁ | 28.00 | 36.33 | 23.9 | 51.9 | 4.90 | 11.6 | 40.04 | 94.43 | 136.5 | 225.0 |
| T₂ | 27.43 | 36.42 | 39.9 | 77.9 | 6.95 | 12.4 | 43.49 | 102.18 | 172.5 | 261.5 |
| T₃ | 26.81 | 36.45 | 41.4 | 101.1 | 7.30 | 12.7 | 45.11 | 101.90 | 166.5 | 264.5 |
| T₄ | 26.19 | 36.53 | 41.8 | 101.8 | 7.40 | 13.2 | 44.93 | 101.80 | 167.5 | 262.5 |
| T₅ | 25.83 | 36.81 | 43.1 | 103.1 | 7.75 | 13.9 | 45.44 | 106.50 | 166.5 | 263.5 |
| T₆ | 26.18 | 36.21 | 44.3 | 104.4 | 8.30 | 14.4 | 45.20 | 106.29 | 168.0 | 281.0 |
| T₇ | 25.04 | 36.45 | 48.9 | 107.2 | 8.70 | 14.9 | 45.02 | 106.22 | 169.5 | 247.5 |
| T₈ | 22.80 | 36.02 | 51.5 | 109.9 | 9.20 | 15.4 | 45.42 | 108.19 | 177.0 | 298.5 |
| T₉ | 28.11 | 35.61 | 55.5 | 115.8 | 9.80 | 15.8 | 45.89 | 109.11 | 180.0 | 337.5 |
| SE ±  | 0.407 | 0.866 | 1.47 | 3.64 | 0.37 | 0.52 | 1.56 | 3.64 | 6.68 | 10.04 |
| CD (0.05) | 0.86 | NS | 3.1 | 7.7 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 7.7 | 14.1 | 21.2 |
Table 2: Effect of Mg, Zn and B nutrients on light interception, soil resistance, yield attributes and yield of elephant foot yam cv. Gajendra (pooled data of 2 years)

| Treatment | Light interception (%) | Soil resistance (MPa) | Corm diameter (cm) | Corm yield (g/plant) | Corm yield (t/ha) |
|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|           | 3 MAP | 5 MAP | 3 MAP | 5 MAP |                        |                    |                    |
| T1        | 22.10  | 48.84 | 0.399 | 0.353 | 19.9                   | 990                | 17.6               |
| T2        | 24.55  | 51.79 | 0.421 | 0.348 | 24.2                   | 2210               | 39.2               |
| T3        | 20.81  | 64.80 | 0.416 | 0.368 | 24.0                   | 2278               | 40.5               |
| T4        | 53.63  | 58.65 | 0.388 | 0.381 | 24.0                   | 2266               | 40.1               |
| T5        | 46.08  | 61.53 | 0.351 | 0.303 | 24.7                   | 2270               | 40.3               |
| T6        | 47.28  | 63.85 | 0.338 | 0.309 | 24.8                   | 2338               | 41.5               |
| T7        | 54.18  | 67.25 | 0.331 | 0.264 | 25.4                   | 2340               | 41.6               |
| T8        | 57.33  | 67.25 | 0.289 | 0.233 | 25.4                   | 2355               | 41.8               |
| T9        | 61.05  | 76.74 | 0.305 | 0.241 | 25.9                   | 2375               | 42.2               |
| SEm ±     | 1.668  | 1.549 | 0.029 | 0.039 | 1.04                   | 56.87              | 1.32               |
| CD (0.05) | 3.52   | 3.27  | 0.062 | 0.084 | 2.2                    | 120                | 2.8                |

Table 3: Cost benefit analysis of experiment 2

| Treatments | Cost of cultivation | Gross return | Net return | B:C ratio |
|------------|---------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|
| T1         | 1,57,800            | 1,76,000     | 18,200     | 1.11      |
| T2         | 1,88,720            | 3,92,000     | 2,03,280   | 2.07      |
| T3         | 1,90,770            | 4,05,000     | 2,14,320   | 2.12      |
| T4         | 1,89,870            | 4,01,000     | 2,11,130   | 2.11      |
| T5         | 1,90,470            | 4,03,000     | 2,12,530   | 2.11      |
| T6         | 1,91,970            | 4,15,000     | 2,23,030   | 2.16      |
| T7         | 1,92,520            | 4,16,000     | 2,23,480   | 2.16      |
| T8         | 1,91,920            | 4,18,000     | 2,26,080   | 2.17      |
| T9         | 1,93,520            | 4,22,000     | 2,28,480   | 2.18      |
| SEm ±      | 8967                | 18985        | 9540       | 0.076     |
| CD (5%)    | 18920               | 40060        | 20130      | 0.16      |

The treatments T9 (FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹+N-P₂O₅-K₂O @100-60-100 kg ha⁻¹+MgSO₄ @ 20 kg ha⁻¹ + ZnSO₄ @10 kg ha⁻¹ + Borax @10 kg ha⁻¹) and T₂ (FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹+N-P₂O₅-K₂O @100-60-100 kg ha⁻¹) resulted in 139.8 and 122.7% greater corm yield, respectively than control (Table 2). The lower corm yield in control treatment was due to less availability of major nutrients (N, P and K), secondary (Mg) and minor (Zn and B) nutrients to the plants in the rhizosphere.

In the present study, it can be concluded that combined application of MgSO₄ @ 20 kg/ha+ZnSO₄ @ 10 kg/ha+Boron @10 kg/ha along with FYM @10 t/ha+N-P₂O₅-K₂O @100-60-100 kg/ha was essential to get greater corm yield under alfisols.

Economics

The perusal of Table 3 indicated that cost of cultivation, gross return, net return and
benefit cost ratio varied with the treatment. The cost of cultivation was found highest in T9 followed by T7 and the lowest was observed in T1. The variation in cost of cultivation was due to inclusion of various micronutrients and their combinations. The higher gross and net returns were noticed in T9 followed by T8. This was due to higher yield in these treatments. Benefit cost ratio also followed by the similar trends. The highest being observed in T9.

In conclusion, the second phase of experiment ‘Effect of secondary and micro nutrients’ revealed that application of FYM @ 10 t ha\(^{-1}\) + N\(_2\)O\(_3\)-K\(_2\)O @ 100-60-100 kg ha\(^{-1}\) along with MgSO\(_4\) (20 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) + ZnSO\(_4\) (10 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) + Boron (10 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) increased the pseudostem height, canopy spread, number of leaflets/plant and maximum dry matter accumulation, corm yield (42.2 t ha\(^{-1}\)) and soil enzyme activities. Qualitative parameters were also found higher in the above treatment. Macro, secondary and micronutrients helps in biofortification and bioavailability of these nutrients in elephant foot yam. Hence, FYM @ 10 t ha\(^{-1}\) + N\(_2\)O\(_3\)-K\(_2\)O @ 100-60-100 kg ha\(^{-1}\) + MgSO\(_4\) (20 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) + ZnSO\(_4\) (10 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) + Boron (10 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) can be recommended for elephant foot yam production.
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