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Abstract

In the present study, the kinematics of a class of parallel manipulators with two translational and one rotational degrees-of-freedom are addressed through the analysis of HALF robot. A detailed kinematic and constraint analysis of the robot is conducted. In addition, an exhaustive singularity characterization is presented with interpretation of the robot’s behavior in singular poses. The implications of this study will initiate further investigations on the design of parallel manipulators belonging to the class of manipulators under consideration.
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1. Introduction

Parallel Manipulators (PMs) with three degrees-of-freedom (DOFs) have been widely investigated in robotics research [1, 7]. The famous Delta robot [8, 9] is the first design involving the parallelogram concept. It allows the output link to remain at a fixed orientation with respect to an input link. Delta robot can output three translational degrees-of-freedom. Later on, a three-DOF spatial parallel manipulator with two translational and one rotational DOFs named HALF was proposed [10]. It was first introduced in two versions: one with prismatic actuators and the other with revolute ones. The advantages of this manipulator are the combination of spatial translational and rotational motions, the usage of parallelogram joint in one of its legs, and the high rotational capability of its end-effector. In 2004, a family of parallel manipulators with two translational and one rotational DOFs was introduced [11] based on the concept of the HALF design. HANA robot, one of the aforementioned family, is constructed by using two legs with parallelogram joints. Later on, several works, for instance [12, 13], addressed the kinematics and design of PMs belonging to the aforementioned family.

Screw theory [14-18] and Grassmann-Cayley algebra [19-22] have been widely implemented in the kinematics and singularity analysis of parallel mechanisms. The main feature of Grassmann-Cayley algebra is the possibility to provide comprehensive geometric and vector forms of parallel mechanisms’ singularity conditions. This paper mainly focuses on a detailed kinematics and singularity analysis of PMs with two independent translations and one rotation through the examination of HALF robot. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, such an investigation is presented for the first time in this paper. Furthermore, this class of mechanisms has not been analyzed using Grassmann-Cayley algebra and its superbracket decomposition.

The present paper approaches the kinematics and singularities of a family of PMs with two translational and one rotational DOFs through the geometric analysis of HALF robot. First the tools and techniques used in the study are introduced. A CAD model of HALF is then presented. Furthermore, the paper conducts a detailed kinematics and constraint analysis of the robot and an exhaustive singularity analysis including serial (leg) singularities and parallel singularities [21]. Finally, a full interpretation of the singular configurations is presented with illustrations and an analysis of the robot’s behavior in singular poses. The paper concludes with highlights of the obtained results and potential applications based on its findings.

2. Theoretical background

2.1 Screw theory

Screw theory began with Plücker's research on line geometry in the second half of the 19th century [14-18]. The instantaneous motion of a rigid body and its force/couple may be described as screws which are denoted by twist and wrench, respectively. Thus, screws can be used to describe the constraints that a spatial rigid mechanism is subjected to and its free motion under the constraints. Additionally, a screw is determined by its axis and pitch in geometry, which offers excellent ease for applying and promoting the theory of screws.

Screws are used in the paper in the forms of twists and wrenches, respectively, to represent the motions of the robot’s kinematic joints and the constraint forces/torques exerted on its legs and moving platform. A zero-pitch screw can be written as:

\[ S^0 = (s^T; r_a \times s^T) \]

(1)

where \( s \) is a unit vector along the screw axis and \( r_a \) is the position vector of a point \( a \) on the screw axis. In turn, an infinite-pitch screw is expressed as:

\[ S^\infty = (0^T; s^T) \]

(2)
Zero-pitch screws represent rotations and forces while infinite-pitch screws represent translations and torques.

2.2 Projective space
In the three-dimensional projective space, there is one plane at infinity [20]. Let lower-case letters represent finite projective points and upper-case letters represent projective points at infinity. A zero-pitch screw corresponds to a finite line in the projective space and can be written as:

\[
s^0 = (s^T; r_a \times s^T)^T = aS
\]  

(3)

where \( S \) is the point at infinity in the \( x \) direction. All lines parallel to \( s \) intersect in the plane at infinity at point \( S \). In turn, an infinite-pitch screw corresponds to a line at infinity in the projective space and can be written as:

\[
s^\infty = (0^T; s^T)^T = UV
\]  

(4)

where \( U \) and \( V \) are the points at infinity in the \( u \) and \( v \) directions, respectively. Vectors \( u \) and \( v \) are two independent vectors orthogonal to \( s \).

2.3 Bracket and super-bracket
In Grassmann-Cayley algebra [22], the basic elements are extensors with a given step. Extensors of step 1, 2, and 3, represent points, lines, and planes, respectively. A bracket \([abcd]\) corresponds to the determinant of the 4*4 matrix whose columns are the Plücker coordinates of points \( a, b, c, \) and \( d \). A bracket is null whenever the tetrahedron from by the four points vanishes, namely, when the four points are coplanar, collinear, or coincident. Since all points at infinity belong to one plane (the unique plane at infinity), a bracket with four points at infinity is always null. Similarly, a bracket with repeated points is null.

A super-bracket \([ ab \ cd \ ef \ gh \ ij \ kl \] \) corresponds to the determinant of a 6*6 matrix whose columns are Plücker lines corresponding to projective lines, namely, to screws of either zero- or infinite-pitches. In robot kinematics, the superbracket represents the determinant of a certain 6*6 Jacobian matrix whose examination provides conditions for robot singularities.

Using the super-bracket decomposition [19], a super-bracket \([ ab \ cd \ ef \ gh \ ij \ kl \] \) can be transformed to 24 monomials, each monomial being a product of 3 brackets. Using geometric properties among the super-bracket points and vanishing conditions of a bracket, the 24 monomials can be reduced. Further manipulation of the nonzero monomials in Grassman-Cayley algebra yields algebraic and vector forms of singularity conditions.

3. Kinematics of HALF robot
3.1 HALF robot
Fig. 1. shows a CAD model of HALF robot. HALF is a parallel manipulator with three legs \( i = 1, 2, \), and \( 3. \) Legs \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) have the PRU structure while leg \( L_3 \) is a \( PRUR \) leg. \( P, R, U, \) and \( \Pi \) stand for prismatic, revolute, universal, and planar parallelogram [23] joints, respectively.

In each leg, the prismatic joint is actuated. Leg \( L_i = P_i R_i R_i R_i, \ i = 1, 2, \), comprises: a prismatic joint allowing translations along \( z \), a revolute joint of axis parallel to \( x \) and passing through point \( a_1 \), a revolute joint of axis parallel to \( x \) and passing through point \( b_1 \), and a revolute joint of axis parallel to \( y \) and passing through point \( b_2 \). The last two revolute joints thus form a universal joint. It is noteworthy that:

\[
a_1 b_1 \perp x \ , \ i = 1, 2
\]  

(5)

\[
b_1 b_2 \parallel y
\]  

(6)

Leg \( L_3 = P_3 R_3 \Pi_3 R_3 \) comprises: a prismatic joint allowing translations along \( z \), a revolute joint of axis parallel to \( y \) and passing through point \( a_3 \), a parallelogram joint allowing translations along \( a_3 b_3 \times n \), and a revolute joint of axis parallel to \( y \) and passing through point \( b_3 \). Notice that:

\[
a_3 b_3 \perp n
\]  

(7)

\[n \perp y
\]  

(8)
\[ T_i^{33} = (y^T, (r_b \times y)^T)^T = (0, 1, 0, z, 0, x, b) \]

Applying a linear transformation on the four twist screws yields:
\[ \text{span}(T_i^{a1}, T_i^{01}, T_i^{02}, T_i^{03}) = \text{span}(T_i^{a1}, T_i^{01}, T_i^{02}, T_i^{03}) \]
where \( T_i^{02} = (0^T; (a_i b_i \times x)^T)^T \)

As a result, \( L_1, i=1, 2 \), is a 2T2R leg with two independent translations along \( z \) and \( a_i b_i \times x \), in addition to two independent rotations about axes that are parallel to the \( xy \) plane.

The kinematic Jacobian matrix of leg \( L_1, i=1, 2 \), is given by:
\[ J_{PRU_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x & 0 & y \\ z & r_b \times x & a_i b_i \times x & r_b \times y \end{bmatrix} \]

Note that:
\[ (a_i b_i \times x) \times z = (0, y_a b_i, z, a_i b_i) \times (0, 0, 0, 1) = (0, z, a_i b_i, -y_a b_i) \]

Accordingly, the constrained motions of leg \( L_1, i=1, 2 \), are the rotational DOF about axes that are parallel to \( z \) and translations along \( x \).

### 3.3 Kinematics of PRII R leg

The twist screws associated with the kinematics joints of leg \( L_3 \) are:
\[ T_3^{a1} = (0^T; z^T)^T = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)^T \]
\[ T_3^{01} = (y^T; (r_b \times y)^T)^T = (0, 1, 0, z, a_i b_i, 0, x, a_i b_i)^T \]
\[ T_3^{02} = (0^T; (a_i b_i \times n)^T)^T \]
\[ T_3^{03} = (y^T; (r_b \times y)^T)^T = (0, 1, 0, z, a_i b_i, 0, x, a_i b_i)^T \]

Applying a linear transformation on the four twist screws yields:
\[ \text{span}(T_3^{a1}, T_3^{01}, T_3^{02}, T_3^{03}) = \text{span}(T_3^{a1}, T_3^{01}, T_3^{02}, T_3^{03}) \]
where \( T_3^{03} = (0^T; (a_i b_i \times y)^T)^T \)

As a result, \( L_3 \) is a 3T1R leg with three independent translations along \( z \), \( a_i b_i \times n \), and \( a_i b_i \times y \), in addition to one rotational DOF about an axis that is parallel to \( y \).

The kinematic Jacobian matrix of leg \( L_3 \) is given by:
\[ J_{PRII_R} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & y & 0 & 0 \\ z & r_b \times y & a_i b_i \times n & a_j b_j \times y \end{bmatrix} \]
Therefore, the moving platform is constrained to translate along \( x \) and to rotate about axes that are parallel to \( o x \) plane. The Jacobian of the direct kinematics of HALF robot is expressed by:

\[
J_{\text{dir}} = \begin{bmatrix}
W_{1}^{0c} & W_{1}^{sec} & W_{3}^{sec} & W_{1}^{0a} & W_{2}^{0a} & W_{3}^{0a}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix}
x \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad a_{1}b_{1} \quad a_{2}b_{2} \quad a_{3}b_{3} \\
r_{h} \times x \quad x \quad r_{h} \times a_{1}b_{1} \quad r_{h} \times a_{2}b_{2} \quad r_{h} \times a_{3}b_{3}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(15)

It is noteworthy that \( W_{1}^{0c} \) may be replaced with \( W_{2}^{0c} \).

5. Singularity analysis

Awareness of singular poses is of major importance for the design and implementation of robot architectures. A detailed singularity analysis of HALF robot is conducted in this section. Leg singularities are first addressed before investigating the parallel singularities.

Leg singularities relate to the leg’s kinematic screw matrix and occur when the leg’s kinematic twists become linearly dependent. Such singularities are associated with a loss of degree(s)-of-freedom.

Parallel singularities relate to the rank deficiency of the direct kinematics’ Jacobian matrix. They can be associated with either a loss of control of the moving platform or a change in the constrained motions.

5.1 PRU leg singularities

Leg \( L_{i} \), \( i=1, 2 \), exhibits singularity whenever its kinematic Jacobian matrix expressed in Eq. (9) is rank deficient. Since the second and fourth columns of the concerned matrix are zero-pitch screws along two directions that are independent in any leg or robot configurations, the leg singularities correspond to the linear dependency of the first and third columns which are infinite-pitch screws. Accordingly, leg \( L_{i} \) is singular whenever:

- \( (a_{1}b_{1} \times x) \parallel z \). Since \( a_{1}b_{1} \perp x \), the present conditions means that \( a_{1}b_{1} \parallel y \). This condition is illustrated for leg \( L_{1} \) in Fig. 2.

- \( a_{1}b_{1} \parallel x \). This condition is impossible to occur for the geometry of leg \( L_{i} \), \( i=1, 2 \).

5.2 PRIR leg singularities

Leg \( L_{3} \) is in singularity whenever its kinematic Jacobian matrix expressed in Eq. (10) is rank deficient. Accordingly, singularities of leg \( L_{3} \) are related to the linear dependency of the three infinite-pitch twists, namely, the first, third, and fourth columns of Eq. (10). The singularity conditions for leg \( L_{3} \) are as follows:

- \( a_{3}b_{3} \parallel xy \), as illustrated in Fig. 3. Special cases of this condition are \( a_{3}b_{3} \parallel x \) and \( a_{3}b_{3} \parallel y \).

- \( a_{3}b_{3} \parallel n \). Impossible since \( a_{3}b_{3} \perp n \) in any robot configuration.

- \( a_{3}b_{1} \times n \), \( a_{3}b_{2} \times y \), and \( z \) are parallel to one plane.

For instance, this occurs if \( a_{3}b_{3} \times n \) is orthogonal to \( y \).

\[\text{Fig. 2. Singular configuration of leg } L_{1} : a_{1}b_{1} \parallel y.\]

\[\text{Fig. 3. Singular configuration of leg } L_{3} : a_{3}b_{3} \parallel xy.\]

5.3 Parallel singularities

A parallel singularity arises whenever the direct Jacobian matrix expressed in Eq. (15) becomes rank deficient. Parallel singularities are examined in this paper for HALF robot using the super-bracket decomposition. To form the required super-bracket, it is required to select two points on each of the wrenches (projective lines) constituting the columns of \( J_{\text{dir}} \).

In the projective space, let \( X, Y \) and \( Z \) be the points at infinity in the \( x-, y-, \) and \( z- \) directions, respectively. Accordingly, three lines represent the platform’s constraint wrench system as follows:
\[ W_{1}^{0c} = b_{1}X \]
\[ W_{1}^{\infty} = XY \]
\[ W_{3}^{\infty} = YZ \] (16)

In turn, let \( F_{1}, F_{2}, \) and \( F_{3} \) be the points at infinity in the directions of lines \( b_{0}a_{1}, b_{0}a_{2}, \) and \( b_{0}a_{3}, \) respectively. The actuation wrenches are then represented by:
\[ W_{1}^{0a} = b_{1}a_{1} = b_{1}F_{1} \]
\[ W_{2}^{0a} = b_{2}a_{2} = b_{2}F_{2} \]
\[ W_{3}^{0a} = b_{3}a_{3} = b_{3}F_{3} \] (17)

From Eqs. (15), (16), and (17), the super-bracket can be formulated as follows:
\[ S_{HALF} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{1}X & b_{1}F_{1} & b_{2}F_{2} & b_{3}F_{3} & XY & YZ \end{bmatrix} \] (18)

Using the geometric properties among the super-bracket points, the 24 monomials of the super-bracket decomposition can be reduced to a certain number of nonzero monomials. For instance, any bracket with repeated points or with four points at infinity is null and will cause the vanishing of the monomial where it appears. Finally, the super-bracket decomposition of Eq. (18) leads to only one nonzero monomial:
\[ S_{HALF} = [b_{1}XF_{2}][b_{1}F_{3}Y][b_{1}XYZ] \] (19)

Parallel singularities occur whenever \( S_{HALF} \) is null. The singularity conditions turn out to be:

1. \( [b_{1}XF_{2}] = 0 \). This condition requires the collinearity of points \( X, F_{1}, \) and \( F_{2} \) at infinity, which means that vector \( x \), line \( a_{1}b_{1} \) (whose point and infinity is \( F_{1} \)), and line \( a_{2}b_{2} \) (whose point and infinity is \( F_{2} \)), are parallel to one plane. In vector form, this condition becomes:
\[(a_{1}b_{1} \times a_{2}b_{2}) \cdot x = 0 \] (20)

Different situations for which Eq. (20) holds are listed below:

i. \( a_{1}b_{1} \parallel a_{2}b_{2} \). Keeping in mind that both lines \( a_{1}b_{1} \) and \( a_{2}b_{2} \) are orthogonal to \( x \) in any robot configuration, the present condition is satisfied in two different situations. First, when \( a_{1}b_{1} \) and \( a_{2}b_{2} \) are horizontal, namely, parallel to \( y \) which means that the two lines coincide. Clearly, this could be avoided by appropriate dimensioning of arms \( a_{1}b_{1} \) and \( a_{2}b_{2} \). Second, when \( a_{1}b_{1} \) and \( a_{2}b_{2} \) are parallel but not horizontal which is illustrated in Fig. 4.

ii. \( a_{1}b_{1} \parallel x \). Impossible.

iii. \( a_{2}b_{2} \parallel x \). Impossible.

iv. \( a_{1}b_{1} \times a_{2}b_{2} \perp x \). Unless \( a_{1}b_{1} \) and \( a_{2}b_{2} \) are parallel, the present condition appears to be impossible since \( a_{1}b_{1} \times a_{2}b_{2} \) is parallel to \( x \).

2. \([b_{1}b_{3}F_{1}Y] = 0\). This corresponds to \( b_{1}b_{3}, a_{1}b_{3} \) (whose point and infinity is \( F_{1} \)), and \( y \) being parallel to one plane. In vector form, this condition can be written as:
\[(b_{1}b_{3} \times a_{3}b_{3}) \cdot y = 0 \] (21)

Eq. (21) applies in the following cases:

i. \( b_{1} \parallel b_{3} \parallel y \). Impossible.

ii. \( b_{1}b_{3} \times a_{3}b_{3} \perp y \). This includes situations where \( b_{1} \parallel a_{3}b_{3} \) or \( a_{3}b_{3} \parallel y \). It is noteworthy that \( a_{3}b_{3} \parallel y \) corresponds to the flattening of the planar parallelogram joint.

Note that for Eq. (21), \( b_{1} \) can be replaced with \( b_{2} \) due to the linear transformation performed in Section 4.3 on the two constraint forces applied by legs \( L_{1} \) and \( L_{2} \).

3. \([b_{1}XYZ] = 0 \Rightarrow (x \times y) \cdot z = 0 \). This condition cannot occur.

Fig. 4. Parallel singularity of HALF robot: \( a_{1}b_{1} \parallel a_{2}b_{2} \).

6. Results and Discussion

Section 5 resulted in 11 singularity conditions of which only 5 conditions appear to be reachable for HALF robot.

| Table 1. Possible singularity conditions of HALF robot. |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Singularity condition | Singularity y type | Associated behavior |
| 1 | \( a_{i}b_{i} \parallel y \), \( i=1,2 \) | Leg \( L_{i} \) | Leg \( L_{i} \) |
| - | | singularity loses a |
Further discuss

Table 1 recalls the 5 possible singularity conditions. Further discussion of the robot behavior in singular configurations is presented in this section.

1. \( a_1b_1||y \), \( i=1, 2 \). This is a singularity of leg \( L_1 \), \( i=1, 2 \). For instance, for leg \( L_1 \), if \( a_1b_1||y \), the leg’s kinematic Jacobian matrix expressed in Eq. (9) will have two identical columns and thus, the leg loses one translational DOF. In such configurations, the leg provides 1T2R motions, with one possible translational DOF along \( z \), rather than its general pattern of 2T2R motions.

2. \( a_2b_3||xoy \). This is a singularity of leg \( L_3 \). In particular, if \( a_2b_3||y \) the leg loses the translational DOF along \( a_2b_3\times y \). Otherwise, if \( a_2b_3||xoy \) the leg’s Jacobian matrix in Eq. (20) will have two identical columns. Both situations lead leg \( L_3 \) to have 2TIR motions rather than its general pattern of 3T1R motions.

3. \( a_3b_3\times n \perp y \). In that case the three translational DOFs of leg \( L_3 \) reduce to only two independent translations and thus, the leg loses the translational DOF along \( y \).

4. \( a_1b_1||a_2b_2 \). In that case, the actuation forces applied by legs \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) are parallel. Accordingly, the wrenches \( W_{1}^{0a}, \ W_{2}^{0a}, \) and \( W^{\text{rec}} \) form a \( 1-S^0 - 1-S^* \)-screw system. In other words, \( \text{span}(W_{1}^{0a}, \ W_{2}^{0a}, \ W^{\text{rec}}) = \text{span}(W_{1}^{0a}, \ W^{\text{rec}}) \). Clearly, this is an actuation singularity associated with a loss of control of the moving platform.

5. \( b_1b_2\times a_3b_3\perp y \). Special cases are \( a_1b_3||y \) and \( b_3a_1||b_1 \). Clearly, the constraint wrench system is not affected by these conditions. Therefore, this is also an actuation singularity condition accompanied by a loss of control of the moving platform.

The results clearly show that HALF robot is free of singularities related to the degeneracy of the constraint wrench system, which affect the motion pattern of the moving platform.

7. Conclusions

This paper investigated the kinematics and singularities of a family of parallel manipulators with two translational and one rotational DOFs through the geometric analysis of HALF robot. Using the theory of reciprocal screws, a detailed constraint analysis was conducted for each leg and the moving platform. Leg singularities were determined and analyzed. Using the super-branch decomposition, a detailed parallel singularity analysis was addressed.

To conclude, the paper presented tools to completely list and interpret singular configurations of parallel manipulators with two translational and one rotational DOFs. The obtained results provide better understanding of the geometric properties of this family of manipulators. Moreover, the results would be useful for future works on the type synthesis, singularity avoidance, and design of new parallel manipulators pertaining to the mentioned family.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License.
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Nomenclature

\[ \begin{array}{ll}
\text{DOF} & \text{Degree of Freedom} \\
J & \text{Jacobian matrix} \\
p & \text{Finite point} \\
P & \text{Point at infinity} \\
\text{PM} & \text{Parallel Manipulator} \\
R & \text{Revolute joint} \\
S & \text{Screw} \\
T & \text{Twist} \\
U & \text{Universal joint} \\
W & \text{Wrench} \\
\Pi & \text{Planar parallelogram joint}
\end{array} \]