Strategies in the delivery of Cas9 ribonucleoprotein for CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing
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Abstract

CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing has gained rapidly increasing attentions in recent years, however, the translation of this biotechnology into therapy has been hindered by efficient delivery of CRISPR/Cas9 materials into target cells. Direct delivery of CRISPR/Cas9 system as a ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex consisting of Cas9 protein and single guide RNA (sgRNA) has emerged as a powerful and widespread method for genome editing due to its advantages of transient genome editing and reduced off-target effects. In this review, we summarized the current Cas9 RNP delivery systems including physical approaches and synthetic carriers. The mechanisms and beneficial roles of these strategies in intracellular Cas9 RNP delivery were reviewed. Examples in the development of stimuli-responsive and targeted carriers for RNP delivery are highlighted. Finally, the challenges of current Cas9 RNP delivery systems and perspectives in rational design of next generation materials for this promising field will be discussed.
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Introduction

The clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat (CRISPR)/CRISPR-associated (Cas) system as a revolutionary genome editing technology offers a powerful tool for scientific research and has great potential in the treatment of various diseases [1, 2]. To date, more than 3000 human genes have been identified to be associated with genetic disorders and over 500 genes are related with susceptibility to complex diseases or infections [3]. Therefore, genome editing tools like the CRISPR/Cas system have gained great attentions over the past decade for the well promise of treating genetic disorders [4-8]. The native CRISPR/Cas system is an adaptive immune system in bacteria and archaea that protects themselves from invasive nucleic acids. Nakata and co-workers first reported a set of highly homologous sequence in the 3’ end of E. coli iap gene in 1987 [9]. In the following years, studies have shown that similar repeating sequences exist in a variety of bacteria and archaea, and then the acronym CRISPR was first proposed by Jansen et al. in 2002 [10]. In 2005, Mojica et al. found that virus cannot infect cells possessing interval sequence with homology to the virus, so they speculated that the CRISPR and associated proteins might participate in the immune function against transmissible genetic elements [11, 12]. Following these initial studies, a rapid increase of investigations have revealed more details of the characteristics and mechanisms on CRISPR system [13]. In 2012, Doudna, Charpentier and colleagues first reported that the CRISPR-associate protein 9 can be adapted to genome editing with a customized CRISPR RNA (crRNA) together with a common transactivating CRISPR RNA (tracrRNA) or an artificial single guide RNA (sgRNA) which was a chimeric crRNA-tracrRNA hybrid [14]. The CRISPR/Cas9 system has been further proven to be effective for genome editing in eukaryotic cells for...
the first time in 2013 [15, 16]. Subsequently, a flurry of papers proved the efficacy of CRISPR/Cas9 on genome editing in various species [17-24].

CRISPR/Cas systems can be divided into two classes by the difference of Cas proteins content. The class 1 system needs multiple Cas proteins to form the CRISPR-associated complex for antiviral defense (CASCADe), while the class 2 system only relies on a single Cas protein with multiple domains. The class 1 system contains type I, III and IV and the class 2 system contains type II and type V. Each type of CRISPR/Cas system possesses a distinctive composition of expression, interference, and adaptation modules. They are distinguishable by the presence of unique signature proteins: Cas3 for type I, Cas9 for type II, Cas10 for type III, Cas1 for type IV and Cas12a (Cpf1) for Type V [25]. Among these different types of CRISPR/Cas systems, the type II system based on CRISPR/Cas9 from streptococcus pyogenes is the most widely studied and applied due to its simplicity, versatility, efficiency and specificity [26-29].

Two critical components of the CRISPR/Cas9 system are Cas9 nuclease and sgRNA (Figure 1A) [14]. The CRISPR/Cas9 gene-targeting is directed by the sgRNA formed by hybridization of a tracrRNA and a crRNA [16]. The targeting crRNA is composed by a 20-nt sequence (the protospacer) complementary to the target DNA with the sequence requirement of a protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) (5’-NGG for the mostly used SpCas9) [30]. The tracrRNA hybridizes to the crRNA and binds directly to the Cas9 nuclease to form a ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex [31]. The CRISPR/Cas9 gene-editing function is directed by the Cas9 nuclease. There are two lobes of the Cas9 nuclease: a nuclease (NUC) lobe and a target recognition (REC) lobe. The RuvC, HNH and PAM-interacting domains comprise the NUC lobe. The HNH domain cleaves the target DNA strand complementary to crRNA while the RuvC domain cleaves the other strand, finally resulting in a double-stranded break (DSB) at the target site (Figure 1B) [14, 32]. DSBs are mainly repaired by nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ) or homology directed repair (HDR) pathway (Figure 1C) [18, 33-35]. NHEJ is error-prone and typically leads to indels (insertion and/or deletion of nucleotides) at the site of the break which would knockout a gene when the reading frame was shifted (Figure 1C) [36, 37]. For the HDR pathway, a template DNA containing a sequence homologous to the DSBs is required to repair or precisely modify the genome of proliferating cells [38]. Thus, utilizing the mechanism of HDR can repair disease-causing mutations or knock in customized sequences at DSBs loci to induce desired genotype (Figure 1C). NHEJ, the principal and most rapid pathway for DSB repair, is active throughout the cell cycle, while HDR is active during the S or G2 phase of cell cycle [33, 39]. It is worth mentioning that the efficiency of HDR-mediated gene replacement or knock-in is much lower than gene knock-out [40, 41]. NHEJ can also be applied to mediate genome integration in the presence of a donor vector that contains the desired transgene flanked by a CRISPR target site (Figure 1C) [42-44]. Besides the classical NHEJ pathway, there are other alternative error-prone repair mechanisms of end joining, such as microhomology-mediated end joining (MMEJ) (Figure 1C). MMEJ repairs DSBs by annealing microhomologies, which are 2 to 20-bp stretches of overlapping bases flanking the DSB [45]. MMEJ is highly mutagenic with a high frequency of missense and indels in the flanking sequences [46]. At the same time, MMEJ can also be utilized to mediate precise integration of exogenous DNA as another powerful complementary strategy to the HDR-based knock-in strategy [47]. In the presence of a donor template harboring microhomology arms (5-40 bp) flanking the genomic target locus, MMEJ could be used to mediate precise insertion of exogenous DNA (Figure 1C) [48-51]. In addition, homology-mediated end joining (HMEJ)-based strategy with long homology arms (~800 bp) was reported to achieve precise gene integration with greater knock-in efficiency than MMEJ-based strategy (Figure 1C) [52-54].

A very necessary prerequisite for CRISPR/Cas9 complex to function is the efficient delivery of the complex into nucleus of target cells. The CRISPR/Cas9 complex could be delivered in the forms of plasmid DNA (pDNA), messenger RNA (mRNA) or ribonucleoprotein (RNP, Cas9 protein complexed with sgRNA) [55]. Direct delivery of RNP complex avoids many of pitfalls associated with pDNA or mRNA delivery [56-59]. RNP delivery enables the swiftest genome editing by reason of eliminating the need for intracellular transcription and translation. Meanwhile, the transient genome editing not only permits high editing efficiency, but also reduces off-target effects, insertional mutagenesis, and immune responses [60, 61]. What’s more, RNP delivery offers a robust platform for cells with low transcription and translation activity, and also enables advances in genome-editing efficacy in multiple contexts including embryonic stem cells, induced pluripotent stem cells, and tissue stem cells [62]. These advantages of RNP delivery make it a promising platform in the field of CRISPR/Cas genome editing.

The strategies and materials for intracellular delivery of proteins and nucleic acids have been well
developed and reviewed [6, 63-70]. However, considering the unique characteristics of RNP complexes, i.e. the complex composition and charge property, there are specific requirements when developing delivery systems for RNP when compared with proteins and nucleic acids. In this review, we systematically summarize the delivery strategies of Cas9 RNP for genome editing. Methods for RNP delivery including physical approaches such as microinjection, electroporation, biolistic and microfluidic techniques, and synthetic carriers such as lipid nanoparticles and cell-derived vesicles, polymers, nanogels, inorganic nanoparticles and DNA nanoclews were reviewed. The principles and advantages of these strategies and materials in RNP delivery are discussed. We hope to provide a comprehensive review on the rational design of materials and techniques for Cas9 RNP delivery and genome editing.

**Physical approaches for RNP delivery**

**Direct penetration**

**Microinjection**

Microinjection is a direct physical method to deliver RNP into living cells through a glass micropipette (Figure 2A). Microinjection allows quantitative control of injected Cas9/sgRNA complex and break through the limitation of molecular weight [71]. Up to now, injection of RNP has been successfully implemented in embryos of various organisms, such as Zebrafish [72-74], mouse [75], rabbit [76], axolotl [77], reef-building corals [78], spider mite [79], and olive fruit fly [80]. However, embryo microinjection may cause inevitable damage to cells and therefore requires highly skilled manipulation and expensive equipment, which is difficult to implement for non-specialist laboratories. In addition, some species are recalcitrant to the embryonic microinjection for their fragile eggs, or because they are not oviparous [28]. To circumvent the obstacle of embryo microinjection, researchers developed a method for delivering oocyte-targeted RNP into the arthropod germline by injection into adult female mosquitoes [81, 82], and silverleaf whitefly [83], which resulted in efficient and heritable genome editing of the offspring. A 41 aa peptide (P2C) derived from *D. melanogaster* Yolk Protein 1 (DmYP1) was fused with Cas9 for targeted delivery of RNP into the ovaries via receptor-mediated endocytosis. The injection of P2C-Cas9 RNP into the germline tissue of adult female mosquitoes led to efficient genome editing when coupled with an endosomolytic regent chloroquine.

![Figure 1](http://www.thno.org)
Biolistics

Biolistics, short for “biological ballistics”, is another direct physical method used to deliver biomacromolecules into cells, mainly plant cells. The biomolecules were coated onto gold or tungsten microparticles, which could be accelerated to high velocity by pressurized gas, chemical explosion, high-voltage electronic discharge or helium shock [67]. As a result, the bound biomolecules could be shot into target cells through cell walls and membranes (Figure 2B). By this biolistic strategy, pre-assembled RNP were delivered into maize embryo cells which demonstrated efficient gene mutation and recovery of maize with mutated alleles at high frequencies [84]. Efficient genome editing was achieved in maize [84], wheat [85, 86], rice [87], and diatom [88], potato [89], cryptococcus neoformans [90], marine microalgae [91] and etc. by biolistic delivery of Cas9 RNP complexes.

Membrane disruption by electroporation

Electroporation can disturb the phospholipid bilayer of cell membranes via an electrical pulse to produce temporary nanopores on membranes through which biomacromolecules such as proteins, nucleic acids and RNPs can transport across [92]. Electroporation offers a transient and stable transfection of RNP for different types of cells, such as human CD34+ hemopoietic stem/progenitor cells (HSPCs) [60, 93-100], human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) [101], human primary neonatal fibroblast cells [101], human induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) [102], human B cells [103], human CD4+ T cells [104], CAR-T cells [105], human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T cells [106, 107], mouse CD8+ T cell [108], mouse neural stem cells [109], mouse skin stem cells [110], mouse pronuclear-stage embryos [111], mature primary mouse innate lymphocyte cells [112], rabbit fibroblast cells [102], green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii [113, 114], Trypanosoma cruzi [115]. However, the high voltage pulses during electroporation usually cause substantial cell death [116, 117]. An alternate approach is to introduce a single-cell electroporation using a nanofountain probe system, which allows efficient transfection of precise amount of RNP with high cell ability [118]. The nanofountain probe contains a silica cantilever with microchannel-embedded and a pyramidal tip with an opening of 500 nm, which enables a localized and well-controlled electric filed upon lower voltage. Another study reported a nanopore-electroporation (NanoEP) platform with high delivery efficiency and cell viability for RNP delivery [119]. The NanoEP platform built on two flat titanium electrodes and a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) scaffold with a track-etched polycarbonate water-filter membrane embedded with nanopores 100 nm (±10 nm) in diameter. These nanopores allowed local electric field upon low-voltage and resulted in a small number of nanochannels on the cell membrane with efficient RNP delivery into both suspension and adherent cells.
To increase RNP-mediated HDR efficiency in diverse clinically relevant primary cell types, the addition of 16 bp truncated Cas9 target sequences (tCTTs) at the end of donor DNA template homology arms enabled Cas9-mediated RNP binding to shuttle the donor DNA template to the nucleus. Before electroporation, the RNP complexes were stabilized by an anionic polymer such as polyglutamic acid, which further increased the HDR efficiency. Combining these two strategies, the HDR efficiency by RNP electroporation was improved approximately 2- to 6-fold on various primary cells [120].

Membrane deformation

Microfluidics

Microfluidics is a technique that manipulates small amounts of fluids in channels with dimensions of a micrometer or tens of micrometers. The cell membranes will experience a rapid mechanical deformation when passing through the microfluidic channels, generating transient membrane holes once the compressive and shear forces exceed the phospholipid bilayer stress limitation, though which biomolecules can enter into the cytoplasm via passive diffusion (Figure 2D) [121-123]. Microfluidics-based delivery has the advantage of high-throughput delivery of almost all macromolecules into a wide variety of cells. The microfluidic strategy was used to deliver Cas9 RNP into cells for genome editing [124]. The microfluidic chip consists of 10 arrays of micro-constrictions though which curved tunnels were formed. Cas9 RNP complexes targeting p38 mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) were efficiently delivered into human MDA-MB-231 and SUM-159 breast cancer cells and primary human CD4+ T cells, resulting in indels frequencies of 43%, 47% and 33%, respectively. Cas9 RNP delivery induced lower off-target mutations frequency (0.8%) than plasmid transfection (4.7%) with comparable on-target mutations frequency. The microfluidic chip also induced HDR-mediated knock-in efficiency of 7.8% in human primary T cells. Similarly, microfluidic strategy mediated RNP delivery achieved high genome editing efficiency in human hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) (Figure 2E) [121]. The silicon microfluidic chip was fabricated by photolithography and reactive ion etch (RIE) technologies with several parallel nano-silicon-blade structures. The repeats of nano-silicon-blade structure, cell concentration and fluid rate were optimized for HSCs. The microfluidic chip mediated RNP delivery resulted in the decrease of EGFP expression by ~80% and efficiently disrupted the p42 isoform in C/EBPα. In addition, the HSCs delivered by the microfluidic chip kept inherent pluripotency for longer time than those by electroporation.

Filtroporation

Filtroporation is a technique that forces cell suspensions through uniformly sized micropores in a filter membrane to generate mechanical deformation and transient membrane holes just like microfluidics [67, 125]. Filtroporation was demonstrated to be applicable for RNP-mediated genome editing in HSCs [126]. The filtroporation device consists of a silicone washer, a stainless-steel mesh, a hydrophilic track-etched polycarbonate filter membrane and a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) washer. The filter holder is connected to a syringe, which serves as a reservoir of the RNP and HSC mixture solution. The mixture can be pushed through the filter membrane by nitrogen pressure and collected into a tissue culture plate. After treatment, the expression of β2-microglobulin (β2M) was reduced by 63.1% with a cell recovery of 63.7%. The filtroporation system also induced 44% indels on the γ-globin (HGB) gene in HSCs. In addition, filtroporation did not impair multilineage potential and engraftment of HSCs in sub-lethally irradiated non-obese (NOD)/severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID)/Il2rg−/− (NSG) mice.

Nanotube

A mechanotransfection platform comprising vertically aligned silicon nanotube (VA-SiNT) arrays was reported for intracellular RNP delivery (Figure 2F) [127]. It is a new type of nanowire-mediated delivery system that doesn’t need further surface functionalization, local electric field, or complicated microfluidic integration. The programmable SiNT arrays were fabricated via a combination of direct e-beam lithography (EBL) and deep reactive ion etching (DRIE), which offered high levels of reproducibility and flexibility. The hollow structure inside SiNTs allowed effective loading of various biomolecule cargoes, and these cargoes could be delivered into GPE86 mouse embryonic fibroblast cells (MEFs) via deformation-related active endocytosis pathway and/or passive diffusion with minimal impact on cell viability. SiNT arrays successfully induced intracellular RNP delivery and genome editing in the cells.

Induced transduction by osmocytosis and propanebetteine (iTOP)

iTOP is a transduction method mediated by a combination of NaCl-mediated hypertonicity and a
propanebetaine [62]. For the iTOP delivery system, NaCl-mediated hypertonicity induced efficient internalization of RNP via macropinocytosis. Osmoprotectants such as glycerol and glycine were added to rescue the hypertonicity-induced cytotoxicity, and the endosomolytic reagent propanebetaine is responsible for releasing the internalized RNP complexes from endolysosomes (Figure 2G). After two-round iTOP transduction, Cas9 RNP was effectively delivered into KBM7 cells and hESCs with genome editing efficiencies of 56.1% and 26.3%, respectively. This method allows proteins for cell manipulation in a non-integrated manner, suited for binary systems, where individual transient cell manipulation results in permanent changes in cell function identity or epigenetic state.

Protoplast transformation

Polyethylene glycol (PEG)-mediated transformation is a common and efficient strategy for genome editing of plant or fungi cells [128]. PEG can cause the protoplasts to clump together and induce interaction consequent between DNA and cell surface [129, 130]. It’s a method that suitable for delivering various molecules into protoplast cells without the need of any carrier. The critical process of PEG-mediated transformation is the isolation and culture of plant protoplasts due to the existence of cell walls on plant cells [131]. Biomacromolecules can be delivered into the plant protoplast in the presence of PEG. A large number of works have been performed to optimize PEG-mediated RNP delivery into various plant and fungi protoplast cells [85, 132-141]. In allusion to the plant species whose protoplasts are difficult to be isolated and cultured, researchers bypassed the problem by direct delivery of RNP into plant zygotes which were produced by in vitro fertilization of isolated gametes [142].

Materials for RNP delivery

Virus-like particles

The direct use of virus vectors to deliver Cas9 plasmid may lead to off-target effects and unexpected immunoreaction. To resolve this problem, lentivirus (LV) vectors were pre-packed with Cas9 protein for safer genome editing [143]. Cas9 protein was incorporated into lentiviral particles by fusing FLAG-tagged Cas9 sequence to the N terminus of Gag (Cas9-PH-GagPol). The human immunodeficiency virus-1 (HIV-1) protease cleave site between Cas9 and Gag domain was introduced to enable the release of Cas9 protein during particle maturation (Figure 3A). To build an “all-in-one virus” vector (sgRNA/Cas9P LV), a designed sgRNA was cloned into the pLB lentiviral vector (pLB/sgRNA) under the U6 promoter, then 293T cells were co-transfected with Cas9-PH-GagPol, pLB/sgRNA, helper pMDL (Gag/Pol), pRSV-Rev and pCMV-VSV-G plasmids to produce lentiviral particles containing sgRNA-expressing vectors (Figure 3A). As a result, no detectable off-target effect was achieved by this technique whereas a 2.1% off-target cleavage was observed by Cas9 plasmid encoding LV. The gene knock-out efficacy of the virus-like particles was confirmed by targeting CD4 gene in TZM-bl cells (16% indels) and HIV LTR (long terminal repeat) to disrupt HIV provirus in J-Lat cells (28% indels).

Some cells such as HSCs are difficult to transduce with vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein (VSV-G) pseudotyped LVs due to the lack of LDL receptors. Researchers have developed murine leukemia virus-like particles with both baboon retroviral envelope glycoprotein (BaEV) and VSV-G envelopes (Figure 3B). The Cas9 protein was fused on the C-terminal end of the murine leukemia virus (MLV) Gag protein with a proteolytic site, which can be cleaved by the MLV protease to release the Flag-tagged Cas9. The virus-derived particles were produced by transfecting HEK 293T cells with plasmids coding Gag::Cas9, Gag-Pro-Pol, a sgRNA, and viral envelopes (Figure 3B) [144]. In the presence of polybrene, the donor DNA complex with the virus-derived particles to form an “all-in-one” vector to mediate HDR in target cells. These MLV-like particles induced efficient genome editing in various cell lines including iPSCs, mouse bone-marrow cells, and HSCs.

Besides packaging the Cas9 protein into virus-like particles by fusion expression, another research reported a lentivirus-like system that allows efficient packaging of RNP by utilizing the specific interaction between aptamer and aptamer-binding protein (ABP) [145]. Aptamer are short stretches of nucleotides with a specific three-dimensional structure, which can be selected in vitro by artificial combination method or systemic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment (SELEX), and the specific aptamer can bind ABP with high affinity and specificity. It was found that replacing the tetraloop of aptamer with ABP, which was incorporated into LV-like particles through the specific interaction of the Cas9/sgRNA RNP were efficiently packed into LV-like particles by fusing with the lentiviral nucleocapsid protein (Figure 3C).

Lipid nanoparticles

Cell-derived extracellular vesicles (EVs)

Cells exchange information through several
mechanisms such as secretion of growth factors and chemokines. EVs secreted by most eukaryotic cells are described as important vehicles for intercellular communication [146]. According to the biogenesis, EVs can be classified into three main classes: exosomes, microvesicles and apoptotic bodies [147]. These EVs possess the inherent ability of delivering functional proteins, nucleic acids and RNP into different cells [148]. For example, arrestin domain containing protein 1 [ARRDC1]-mediated microvesicles (ARMMs) have been developed for Cas9-sgRNA RNP delivery [149]. ARRDC1 located on plasma membrane can recruit TSG101 from endosomes to the membrane and mediate the release of ARMMs [150]. Proteins could be packaged into ARMMs by direct fusion to ARRDC1 or fusion to WW domains which could specifically interact with ARRDC1 (Figure 4A). 2WW-Cas9-sgRNA or 4WW-Cas9-sgRNA was conducted into a px330 vector and co-transfected with ARRDC1-expression pcDNA3.1 vector into cells. Then, 2WW-Cas9 or 4WW-Cas9 and sgRNA were incorporated into ARMMs via molecular recognition between WW and ARRD1. The ARMMs successfully delivered Cas9 RNP into recipient U2OS cells and induced genome editing [149]. CD63 is a member of tetraspanin family and expressed on the inner surface of exosome membrane. By fusing CD63 protein with GFP and Cas9 protein with a GFP-binding nanobody, respectively, Cas9 protein and RNP could be encapsulated into exosomes specifically [151]. Overexpression of the spike VSV-G in human cells promotes the release of fusogenic vesicles, which incorporate proteins in the plasma of producer cells and deliver them into recipient cells in virtue of the binding and fusion properties [152]. Expressions of Cas9 protein and sgRNA together with VSV-G in HEK 293T cells could produce fusogenic VSV-G vesicles (VEsiCas) (Figure 4B). VEsiCas achieved ~60% and ~30% indels on CXCR4 and VEGFA in HEK293T cells, respectively. In addition, multiplexed VEsiCas targeting genomic deletions induced ~17% efficiency in the EGFP locus of HEK293-EGFP reporter cells [153]. Further co-expression with CherryPicker Red resulted in fluorescence labeling of VSV-G vesicles [154].

**Figure 3.** Virus-like particles for Cas9 RNP delivery. A. Schematic of ‘all in one virus’ production. Adapted with permission from [143]. Copyright 2016, Springer Nature. Creative Commons CC BY. B. Scheme describing the production of MLV-like particles. Reduced with permission form [144]. Copyright 2019, Springer Nature. Creative Commons CC BY. C. Illustration of a lentivirus-like RNP delivery system. Adapted with permission from [145]. Copyright 2019, Oxford University Press. Creative Commons CC BY.
Rapamycin can simultaneously bind to the 12-kDa FK506-binding protein (FKBP12) and the FKBP-rapamycin binding domain (FRB). Cas9 protein can be selectively packaged into budding EVs using the dimerization of FKBP12 and FRB. FKBP12 fused membrane-anchoring protein Gag and FRB-fused Cas9 could form a dimer in the presence of AP21967, a rapamycin analog (Figure 4C). To further incorporate sgRNA into the EVs, an expression vector containing a Tat activation response element (TAR) in the 5′ LTR promoter region and an extended Psi (Ψ+) packaging signal with specifically-binding ability to nucleocapsid of Gag was constructed. Hence, sgRNA could be selectively and actively packaged into the EVs loaded with Cas9 protein. The prepared EVs mediated efficient genome editing in iPSCs, iPSC-derived cortical neurons, myoblast cells and induced sustained genomic exon skipping in mouse models (Figure 4C) [155].

Synthetic lipid nanoparticles

Cationic lipid nanoparticles are the most commonly used materials for transferring exogenous genetic materials into cells [6]. Cationic lipids consist of three structural domains: a cationic headgroup, a hydrophobic portion, and a linker between the two domains. The uptake mechanism of cationic lipid-nucleic acid complexes (lipoplexes) has been systematically reviewed [156]. Early work indicated that the intracellular delivery of lipoplexes was mediated by direct membrane fusion [157], but it is now agreed upon that the internalization occurs mainly through endocytosis [158, 159]. After internalization, lipoplexes disrupt the endosomal membrane, resulting in a flip-flop reorganization of phospholipids. These phospholipids then diffuse into the lipoplexes and interact with the cationic lipids which leads to the release of nucleic acids into the cytoplasm [6]. Distinct from nucleic acids with high density of negative charges, Cas9 protein is highly

![Figure 4. Cell-derived extracellular vesicles for Cas9 RNP delivery. A. Packing strategy of recruiting Cas9 into ARMMs via specific interaction between WW domain and PPXY motifs of ARRD1. Reprinted with permission from [149]. Copyright 2018, Springer Nature. Creative Commons CC BY. B. Schematic of the production of RNP-packaging fusogenic VSV-G vesicles. Reprinted with permission from [153]. Copyright 2018, Elsevier. Creative Commons CC BY-NC-ND. C. Selective packaging of Cas9 and sgRNA into extracellular nanovesicles. Adapted with permission from [155]. Copyright 2020, Copyright Springer Nature. Creative Commons CC BY.](image-url)
cationic (theoretical net charge: +22) and thus cannot directly complex with cationic lipids via electrostatic interaction. However, the Cas9/sgRNA RNP is negatively charged and could be delivered into mammalian cells by using commercial cationic lipids such as Lipofectamine RNAiMAX, Lipofectamine 2000 and Lipofectamine 3000 etc. In addition, the cationic Cas9 could be fused with a negatively charged GFP (-30) to increase its binding to cationic materials (Figure 5A) [56, 160]. Delivery of Cas9/sgRNA RNP by cationic lipids allowed genome editing in serum containing medium and induced up to 80% gene disruption efficiency. The high genome editing efficiencies of cationic lipid mediated RNP delivery were confirmed at different targets on various mammalian cells [160] and plant protoplast cells [161]. SaCas9 is a Cas9 nuclease form Staphylococcus aureus that recognizes a longer PAM 5′-NNGRRT-3′. The SaCas9/sgRNA RNP could be also delivered by cationic lipids with high efficiency [162]. The commercial lipids such as Lipofectamine 2000 also allowed in vivo delivery of RNP to edit a pathogenesis-related gene for the treatment of nongenetic degenerative diseases [163]. These lipid materials were also applied for the co-delivery of RNP and template DNA for HDR [56, 164, 165].

Based on the remarkable efficiency of cationic lipids in RNP delivery, Thermo-Fisher developed a new transfection reagent termed CRISPRMAX for RNP delivery. Upon optimization of transfection conditions, the genome editing efficiencies achieved 55%, 75% and 85% in human iPSCs, mouse ES cells and HEK293FT cells, respectively [166]. Researchers utilized CRISPRMAX to deliver RNP and templated DNA into p53+/+ and p53−/− human retinal pigment epithelial cells to investigate the relationship between p53-mediated DNA damage response and Cas9-mediated genome editing [167]. They found that Cas9-induced DSBs lead to a transient, p53-dependent cell cycle arrest at G1 through p53-p21-pRB axis independent of the locus targeted, and inhibition of p53 can improve the HDR efficiency. Furthermore, CRISPRMAX efficiently delivered RNP targeting p53 into dog oviductal epithelia cells cultured in a dynamic microfluidic chip, and successfully created an in vitro model that recapitulated human tubal intraepithelial carcinoma (STIC) [168]. To expand the applications of RNP-mediated genome editing, researchers developed a scaffold-mediated delivery platform for CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing [169]. Complexes of RNP and CRISPRMAX were adhered

---

**Figure 5.** Intracellular delivery of Cas9 RNP by lipids. **A.** Cationic lipid-mediated delivery of CRISPR system by RNP complex or fusing Cas9 protein with anionic GFP. **B.** Bioreducible cationic lipid library for the delivery of genome editing systems [170]. **C.** Expansion of bioreducible cationic lipid library for Cas9 RNP delivery [171]. **D.** Synthesis of cationic chalcogen-containing lipids for Cas9 RNP delivery [172]. **E.** Non-cationic NTA-containing lipidoids for Cas9 RNP delivery. Red color identifying the leading amine heads or lipidoid for the intracellular delivery of Cas9 RNP [173].
onto the electrospun fiber scaffolds which were coated with polyDOPA-melanin and laminin. U2OS cells took up these complexes directly from the scaffold via reverse transfection. As expected, effective genome editing was detected in the cultured cells.

Besides commercial lipid reagents, Xu et al. used a combinatorial library strategy to discover novel and efficient lipid materials for intracellular RNP delivery. They synthesized 12 bioreducible lipids by Michael addition reactions between compounds bearing primary or secondary amines and an acrylate containing a disulfide bond and a 14-carbon hydrophobic tail (Figure 5B) [170]. All lipidoids were formulated with 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-ethanolamine (DOPE), C16-PEG2000-ceramide and cholesterol to stabilize the lipid nanoparticles. These bioreducible lipidoids were used to deliver Cas9/sgRNA RNP targeting genomic EGFP reporter gene. The lead material discovered in the library showed 70% genome editing efficiency. The further expand the bioreducible cationic lipidoid library by introducing an amide linker between the hydrophilic amine heads and aliphatic tail groups for Cas9 RNP delivery (Figure 5C) [171]. In a separate study, a library of cationic chalcogen-containing lipids were designed as candidates to deliver Cas9 RNP [172]. The chalcogen-containing lipids were synthesized by the reaction of lipophilic tails containing O, S and Se ethers (O17O, O17S and O17Se) with amine bearing compounds (Figure 5D). The results indicate that lipids with O17Se tails are more likely to form efficacious lipidoid nanoparticles (LNPs) for Cas9 RNP delivery. Besides cationic lipids, a library of noncationic ones were designed to deliver His-tagged proteins (Figure 5E) [173]. The noncationic lipids were synthesized by conjugating a nitrotriacetic acid (NTA) group onto a hydrophobic tail. The addition of nickel ions could mediate the binding of His-tagged proteins onto NTA-conjugated lipids. These lipidoids demonstrated high efficiency in the delivery of His-tagged Cas9 RNP into mammalian cells. Similarly, a lipid nanoparticle consisting of lecithin, cholesterol and 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-[(N-(5-amino-1-carboxylpentyl)iminodiaceic acid)succinyl] (nickel) (DOGS-NTA-Ni) was developed for RNP delivery [174]. DOGS-NTA-Ni was used to load His-tagged Cas9 protein by the lipid nanoparticles, and the prepared nanoformulations were further coated with a cationic polymer polyethylenimine (PEI) to increase the Cas9 RNP loading efficiency (Figure 6A). The liposomal nanoparticle induced a prominent reduction in mRNA (67%) and protein (87%) expression of DPP-4 in SNU398 cells in vitro and efficiently disrupted the expression of DPP-4 gene in diabetic mice with a comparable therapeutic efficacy to sitagliptin, a clinically used anti-diabetic drug.

A library of sequence-defined oligo(ethyl-enamino) amides (OAAs) containing structural motifs were reported for Cas9 RNP delivery [175]. Among the designed OAAs, lipid-containing OAAs (lipo-OAAs) possess superior efficiency in Cas9 RNP delivery. Interestingly, a single hydroxy group on the lipid dramatically affected the performance of lipo-OAAs in Cas9 RNP delivery. Lipo-OAAs bearing hydroxy-stearic acid (OHSteA) showed much higher efficiencies than analogue materials without hydroxylation (Figure 6B). OHSteA formed smaller nanoparticles with Cas9 RNP (168 nm) than other lipid materials in the library (247–293 nm), and the hydroxylation of the fatty acid exhibited higher membrane lytic potential. OHSteA achieved GFP genome editing efficiencies up to 40% and 89% on Neuro2a eGFP-Luc and HeLa GFP-Tub cells, respectively. Besides development of new lipids, a fluorescent lipid FEDS was developed as a helper lipid to increase the RNP delivery efficiency of Lipofectamine 2000 [176]. FEDS has a membrane disruptive amphiphilic structure similar to Triton X, and the hydrophobic alkyl group on FEDS is terminated with a carboxyl group which allowed FEDS to conceal its membrane disruptive ability at pH7.4 and disrupt the endosomal membrane at an acidic pH (Figure 6C). The fluorescent property of FEDS could be used to monitor the intracellular trafficking of lipid/RNP nanoparticles.

**Cell penetrating peptides (CPPs)**

CPPs enable the delivery of cargo proteins or nucleic acids into the cytosol by passive or active endocytic pathways. These peptides can be either covalently conjugated to Cas9 protein or complexed with RNP via ionic interactions for genome editing. In a pioneer study, CPP was covalently conjugated to Cas9 protein, and further complexed with CPP/sgRNA to yield RNP nanoparticles (Figure 7A). Treatment of cells with the prepared nanoparticles led to efficient gene disruptions with lower off-target effects than pDNA transfection [177]. Similarly, a supercharged peptide (SCP) with the ability to directly bind to the nuclear import protein importin β1 and get access to the nucleus was screened out from a library of 12-aa peptides containing randomized sequences. The discovered SCP could effectively internalize into cells, escape form the endosomes and translocate into the nucleus. Cas9 protein fused with the SCP was then complexed with sgRNA targeting CCR5 gene to prepare the RNP, which resulted in 15.2% editing efficiency in HeLa cells [178]. Further incorporation of a
dithiocyclopeptide linker containing matrix metalloproteinase 2 (MMP-2) sensitive sequence and an intramolecular disulfide bond between Cas9 and SCP could increase its editing efficiency in tumor cells [179]. In a separate study, Cas9 protein was fused with a nuclear location sequence (NLS) and a low-molecular-weight protamine (LMWP) on the C-terminus (Figure 7B) [180]. LMWP is a nature-sourced cell-penetrating peptide that has been widely used for gene delivery [181], while the NLS can promote the nuclear localization of Cas9 protein. The ternary complex of Cas9 fusion protein, cRNA and tracrRNA induced up to 43.9% indels in KRAS gene in A549 cells in vitro and also showed extensive synergistic anti-KRAS therapy in vivo [180]. What’s more, these Cas9-NLS-LMWP RNP system enabled simultaneous disruption of two programed cell death 1 ligands on suspension cancer cells (PD-L1 and PD-L2), leading to significantly enhanced cytotoxicity on CD8+ T cells [182]. The Cas9 fusion protein containing arrays of Simian vacuolating virus 40 nuclear localization sequences (SV40-NLS) on the N terminus was also proved to enable Cas9 RNP-mediated genome editing in neural progenitor cells in vitro and neurons in distinct brain regions in vivo [5].

CPPs can also induce efficient CRISPR RNP delivery via non-covalent interactions. For example, an amphipathic α-helical peptide composed of leucine and histidine residues was designed for RNP delivery [183]. The cationic peptide could assemble with RNP via ionic interactions and facilitate the endosomal escape of bound RNP (Figure 7C). Efficient genome editing by using this peptide was achieved in GFP-J774A.1 cells (40.4% indels), primary peritoneal exudate cells (32.8% indels) and primary pre-adipocytes (14.4% indels). Similarly, a cationic helical amphiphilic peptide for the direct cytosolic delivery of spCas9 or AsCas12a RNP was reported [184]. The peptide consists of a 6× histidine-rich domain, an endosomal leakage domain and a CPP domain. The endosomal leakage domain is a cationic amphiphilic α-helical endosomolytic peptide ELD CM18 that bind and destabilize the endosomal membranes. The CPP domain is a HIV-TAT variant PTD4. This 6His-CM18-PTD4 peptide enabled robust genome editing with a less than two-minute co-incubation with spCas9 or AsCas12a RNP.

**Lipopeptides**

Peptides can be decorated with lipid moieties to yield a class of lipopeptides with self-assembly behaviors and increased membrane permeability [185-187]. For example, a helical amphiphilic peptide consisting of arginine, leucine and two reactive hydrazide moieties was used as the scaffold to design lipopeptides [188]. A library of lipids bearing an aldehyde group was mixed with the scaffold peptide to fabricate lipopeptides via the formation of hydrazone bond. Among the candidates in the library, an oleic aldehyde based lipopeptide PT24 showed the highest efficiency in Cas9 RNP delivery (Figure 7D). The PT24/Cas9 RNP complexes were prepared by simply mixing the lipopeptides and Cas9 RNP.
together without the requirement of protein engineering or covalent fusion, and could be efficiently delivered into cells via a micropinocytosis mechanism. PT24 showed comparable efficiency with Lipofectamine 2000 in editing the HPRT1 gene in several cell lines. Similarly, a blood-brain barrier permeable peptide dNP2 was conjugated with three different saturated fatty acids including caprylic acid (C8), decanoic acid (C10) and myristic acid (C14) to yield lipopeptides for Cas9 RNP delivery [189]. HypaCas9 is a hyper-accurate SpCas9 with improved targeting accuracy produced by targeted mutagenesis within the REC3 domain [190]. The caprylic acid-modified peptide C8dNP2 exhibited the highest ability to form homologous nanosomes, and efficiently delivered HypaCas9 RNP into HEK and GBM cells with efficiencies higher than Lipofectamine 2000 and CRISPRMAX [189]. In another study, modification of lipopeptides with targeting peptides enabled cell-selective gene editing [191].

Polymers

Polymers possess the advantages of facile synthesis, flexible structures and components, ease of functionalization, and degradability, and hence have been extensively used for gene and protein delivery [65, 192-200]. Up to now, polymers such as dendrimers, poly(β-amino ester)s (PBAEs), polylysine (PLL) and chitosan nanoparticles have been developed for intracellular RNP delivery.

Dendrimers

Dendrimers are a class of synthetic polymers with spherical and hyperbranched structures as well as a high density of surface functional groups [201, 202]. These polymers have been widely used as carriers for the delivery of drugs, nucleic acids and proteins [65, 203-206]. To ensure efficient RNP binding to the dendrimer scaffold, the polymer was functionalized with a high density of phenylboronic acid (PBA) moieties on the surface [57]. PBA is an electron-deficient group that is capable of binding amine and imidazole groups on proteins via nitrogen-boronate complexation [207, 208]. The residual amine groups on a generation 5 (G5) polyamidoamine (PAMAM) dendrimer could bind with anionic groups on proteins via electrostatic interactions. Thus, the boronic acid-rich dendrimer

![Figure 7. CPP- and lipopeptide-based delivery systems. A. CPP-conjugated Cas9 protein and CPP complexed sgRNA for intracellular delivery. Reduced with permission from [177]. Copyright 2014, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press. Creative Commons CC BY. B. Schematic of chimeric Cas9-LWMP complexed with dual RNAs. Reduced with permission from [180]. Copyright 2018, American Chemical Society. C. Amphipathic α-helical peptides for the intracellular delivery of Cas9 RNP without covalent conjugation. Reduced with permission from [183]. Copyright 2018, American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. Creative Commons CC BY. D. Illustration of the lipopeptide formed via a supramolecular strategy for the screening of Cas9 RNP delivery. Adapted with permission from [188]. Copyright 2017, Royal Society of Chemistry. Creative Commons CC BY-NC.](http://www.thno.org)
complexed with proteins of different isoelectric points to yield uniform nanoparticles (Figure 8A). Because the designed polymer could bind with both Cas9 protein and sgRNA, it efficiently delivered RNP into various cell lines and showed higher editing efficiencies than CRISPR\textsuperscript{MAX} on different target genes. In a separate study, 6-0-α-(4-O-α-D-glucuronyl)-D-glucosyl-β-cyclodextrin (GUG-β-CD) was conjugated onto a G3 PAMAM dendrimer for RNP-mediated genome editing [209]. The synthesized polymer showed genome editing in human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells and in the brain tissue of mouse after intraventricular administration.

**PBAEs**

PBAEs are a class of amphiphilic and pH-sensitive polymers that have been widely used for gene delivery [210, 211]. The amine groups on PBAEs can be protonated or deprotonated when the solution pH was below or above the pK\textsubscript{b} values of PBAEs, resulting in the change of polymer hydrophobicity. A hyperbranched PBAE polymer was recently developed for intracellular RNP delivery [212]. The polymer was synthesized via a stepwise copolymerization and accomplished via end-capping with carboxylate ligands containing different number of carbon atoms between the amide and carboxylic acid groups (Figure 8B). The PBAEs could efficiently bind cargo proteins via a combination of hydrogen bonding, hydrophobic and ionic interactions. One of the PBAEs terminated with a carboxylate ligand C\textsubscript{5} (C\textsubscript{5} PBAE) showed the highest efficiency among the synthesized polymers. It could induce 77% GFP knockout in HEK 293T cells and 47% GFP knockout in GL261 murine glioma cells with an indels quantification value of 26%. Furthermore, the co-delivery of RNP and donor ssDNA into HEK 293T cells by C\textsubscript{5} PBAE resulted in 4% HDR efficiency and over 50% total editing. In addition, PEAEs/RNP nanoparticles also enabled genome editing in vivo using a CRISPR-stop reporter system.

**PEGylated PLL**

The positive charges on cationic polymers usually cause problems when applied in vivo due to rapid clearance by the reticuloendothelial system (RES). These polymers were usually modified with biocompatible units such as PEG [213], polyglutamic acid [214], and polysaccharides [215, 216] to shield the positive charges and increase the complex stability in vivo [217]. PEGylated PLL containing a pH-responsive linker was reported for the delivery of RNP into tumor cells [218]. The polymer formed core-shell structured nanoparticles with RNP, and the PEG shell on nanoRNP could be detached under acidic tumor microenvironment which facilitates tumor accumulation and cell internalization (Figure 8C). The nanoRNP targeting activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) achieved 39.1% indels in U87MG cells at pH 6.5, and the polymer nanoparticles targeting both STAT3 and Runx-related transcription factor 1 (RUNX1) efficiently suppressed the proliferation and induced cell apoptosis against the heterogeneous tumors in vivo.

**Chitosan (CS) nanoparticles**

CS is a cationic and naturally occurring polymer that has been widely used for biomedical applications due to its bio-adhesive property, low toxicity, and biodegradability. In a recent study, CS was proposed as a polymeric carrier to deliver RNP and donor DNA for HDR [219]. Free CS failed to efficiently encapsulate and deliver the Cas9 RNP complexes into cells, and thus a negatively charged red fluorescence
protein (RFP) was firstly complexed with CS to prepare RFP@CS nanocomplexes. Cas9 protein fused with twenty glutamate residues at the N-terminus and donor DNA were then complexed with RFP@CS to form nanoassemblies. The prepared materials achieved a comparable HDR efficiency to CRISPR MAX in HEK 293 cells with a knock-in frequency of 12.5 ± 3.0%. Cas9 RNP targeting PRDX4 gene delivered by RFP@CS resulted in 48.7%, 24.4%, 32.6%, 55.8% and 16.9% indels in HEK293T, RAW264.7, HeLa, U2OS and A549 cells, respectively. In addition, RFP in the nanoparticles provides a fluorescent probe to monitor the intracellular RNP delivery. Besides, other polymers such as supramolecular polymers [220] and reduction-sensitive polymers [221-222] were designed for Cas9 RNP delivery, and these materials will be discussed in the section of Responsive delivery systems for Cas9 RNP delivery.

Nanogels

Nanogels are submicron hydrogels with three-dimensional networks through physical or chemical crosslinking. Owing to their stability, high loading capacity, stimuli responsiveness and biocompatibility, nanogels are promising platform for drug delivery, diagnostics, and catalysis [224, 225]. A non-cationic DNA-crosslinked and Cas9 RNP-embedded nanogel was proposed by Zhang et al. for intracellular Cas9 RNP delivery [226]. DNA-grafted polycaprolactone brush (DNA-g-PCL) was complexed with RNP through complementary base pairing between brushed DNA and sgRNA. The remaining DNA brushes were then crosslinked by DNA linkers via hybridization to form an RNP-embedded nanogel (Figure 9A). The nanogel could protect the embedded Cas9 RNP complex against enzymatic degradation, and induced an indels frequency of 18.7% in HeLa-EGFP cells. Another nanogel formulation for Cas9 RNP delivery was prepared by in situ free-radical polymerization of monomers around Cas9 RNP, forming a reduction-responsive nanocapsule with a hydrodynamic diameter around 25 nm [223]. Cationic and anionic monomers were coated on the Cas9 RNP through electrostatic interactions, and the other monomers such as imidazole-containing monomer, reduction-sensitive crosslinker, and acrylate PEG were attached to the surface of RNP by hydrogen bonding and van der Waals interactions (Figure 9B). The prepared RNP nanogel induced efficient genome editing, resulting in about 80% mCherry negative HEK293 cells. Further decoration of the nanogel with CPPs can further enhance the genome editing efficiency in HEK293 cells and hESCs. In addition, the nanogel with all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) induced robust genome editing in mouse retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) tissue and skeletal muscles after local administration.

Inorganic nanoparticles

Gold nanoparticles (GNPs)

Owing to the inherent low-toxicity and the ease of functionalization, GNPs offer a promising platform for the delivery of biomacromolecules [68]. Rotello et al. developed a series of arginine-functionlized GNPs (ArgNPs) for cytosolic protein and siRNA delivery [59, 227-229]. Since Cas9 is a positively charged protein and may repulse ArgNPs, an anionic glutamate tag (E-tag) was fused to the N-terminus of Cas9 protein (Cas9En) to ensure efficient loading of
Cas9 RNP to the ArgNPs. (Figure 10A) After careful screening, E-tagged Cas9 with 15 or 20 repeated glutamate units showed optimal co-assembly and intracellular protein delivery. The nanoassemblies of ArgNPs and Cas9E15 RNP showed efficiency of ~30% on both AAVS1 and PTEN genes in HeLa cells. ArgNPs have also been utilized in cancer immunotherapy. CD47 is a cell surface protein overexpressed on most cancer cells to protect themselves from eating by macrophages. The interaction between macrophage signal regulatory protein-a (SIRP-a) and CD47 leads to inhibition of phagocytosis even if phagocytic signals are present. Knocking out SIRP-a gene in macrophages via the Cas9E20 RNP/ArgNPs system greatly enhanced the innate phagocytic capability of macrophages by 4-fold [230]. Similarly, TAT-functionalized GNPs was developed for the delivery of Cas9 protein and sgRNA encoding plasmid [231]. Gold nanoclusters modified with cationic TAT peptide were used to form a ternary complex with Cas9 proteins and sgRNA plasmids via electrostatic interactions, and the ternary complex was further coated with an anionic lipid shell consisting of 1,2-dioleoyl-3-trimethyl- ammoniumpropane (DOTAP), DOPE, and 1,2-distearroyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-P EG (DSPE-PEG), and cholesterol, yielding a hybrid nanoparticle termed LGCP. Polo-like kinase 1 (Plk1) is a highly conserved serine-threonine kinase that is overexpressed in many tumors. Inhibition of Plk1 expression can induce the apoptosis of tumor cells. LGCP induced 26.2% indels at Plk1 locus and resulted in more than 70% down-regulation of Plk1 protein expression in A357 cells. The inner GNPs could serve as photothermal agents to facilitate the cargoes release and further hybridized with donor DNA. Cas9 RNP was then attached onto GNPs via base-pairing between Cas9 RNP and donor DNA. Following a layer of silica deposited on the nanoparticles to increase the negative charge density, a cationic endosomal disruptive polymer poly(N-(N-(2-aminooethyl)-2- aminoethyl) aspartamide) PAsp(DET) was coated on the complex nanoparticles (Figure 10C). This hybrid nanoparticle, named as CRISPR-Gold, can simultaneously deliver Cas9 RNP and donor DNA into various cells and efficiently correct the DNA mutation both in vitro and in vivo [245]. Another study reported a similar material designed for HDR-mediated genome editing in HSPCs [246]. crRNA synthesized with an oligo(ethylene glycol) (OEG) spacer and a terminal thiol linker (crRNA-OEG-SH) was attached to the surface of 19 nm GNPs via gold-thiol linkage. The addition of OEG spacer reduced the electrostatic repulsion between crRNA strands, thus increasing the loading capacity of GNPs. Cas9 proteins were attached to the 5’ handle of crRNA by the natural affinity of Cas9 protein to the three-dimensional structure of crRNA, resulting in nanoparticles around 22 nm. The RNP-loaded GNPs were further coated with branched low-molecular-weight PEI to load ssDNA template (Figure 10D). The final GNPs possessed an average size of 64 nm. PEI-induced proton sponge effect could promote the escape of GNPs from lysosomes. As a result, the developed material produced up to 17.6% total genome editing with 13.4% HDR at the CCR5 locus in HSPCs, which were comparable to the results of electroporation-mediated RNP delivery.

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs)

MOFs are organic-inorganic hybrid crystalline porous materials composed of inorganic metal ions and organic molecules [247]. Zeolitic imidazolate frameworks (ZIFs) are a class of MOFs comprised of tetrahedrally-coordinated transition metal ions and imidazolate linkers [248]. The metal ions in MOFs could interact with proteins or Cas9 RNP via a combination of coordinative and ionic interactions. Up to now, ZIF-8 [249, 250] and ZIF-90 [251] have been used for intracellular RNP delivery. ZIF-8 is formed by coordination between Zn\(^{2+}\) ions and 2-methylimidazole (2-MIM), while ZIF-90 consists of Zn\(^{2+}\) and imidazole-2-carboxaldehyde (2-ICA) (Figure 11A-B). Cas9 RNP could be encapsulated in ZIFs moieties such as template DNA via complementary base pairing with the oligonucleotides on the surface of GNPs. Therefore, GNPs-based SNAs could be used for the co-delivery of Cas9 RNP and template DNA for HDR [244]. Thiol-modified oligonucleotide was conjugated onto GNPs via gold-thiol bond and further hybridized with donor DNA. Cas9 RNP was then attached onto GNPs via base-pairing between Cas9 RNP and donor DNA. Following a layer of silica deposited on the nanoparticles to increase the negative charge density, a cationic endosomal disruptive polymer poly(N-(N-(2-aminooethyl)-2- aminoethyl) aspartamide) PAsp(DET) was coated on the complex nanoparticles (Figure 10C). This hybrid nanoparticle, named as CRISPR-Gold, can simultaneously deliver Cas9 RNP and donor DNA into various cells and efficiently correct the DNA mutation both in vitro and in vivo [245]. Another study reported a similar material designed for HDR-mediated genome editing in HSPCs [246]. crRNA synthesized with an oligo(ethylene glycol) (OEG) spacer and a terminal thiol linker (crRNA-OEG-SH) was attached to the surface of 19 nm GNPs via gold-thiol linkage. The addition of OEG spacer reduced the electrostatic repulsion between crRNA strands, thus increasing the loading capacity of GNPs. Cas9 proteins were attached to the 5’ handle of crRNA by the natural affinity of Cas9 protein to the three-dimensional structure of crRNA, resulting in nanoparticles around 22 nm. The RNP-loaded GNPs were further coated with branched low-molecular-weight PEI to load ssDNA template (Figure 10D). The final GNPs possessed an average size of 64 nm. PEI-induced proton sponge effect could promote the escape of GNPs from lysosomes. As a result, the developed material produced up to 17.6% total genome editing with 13.4% HDR at the CCR5 locus in HSPCs, which were comparable to the results of electroporation-mediated RNP delivery.
during MOF formation. The imidazole moieties in ZIFs may facilitate the endosomal escape of RNP complexes via the pH-buffering mechanism. Furthermore, the competitive binding of Zn$^{2+}$ ions in ZIFs with abundant ATP molecules inside cells is beneficial for intracellular RNP release. ZIF-8/RNP nanoparticles achieved $30\%$ indels targeting $\text{EGFP}$ in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells and ZIF-90/RNP complexes resulted in $\sim40\%$ GFP-negative HeLa cells. Hybrid nanoparticles of ZIF and silica also exhibited effective RNP delivery both in vitro and in vivo [252].

**Graphene oxide (GO)**

GO is a chemically modified graphene containing multiple oxygen functional groups [253]. Due to its excellent cell penetration, high drug loading, optical properties, low toxicity and easy of functionalization, GO has been intensively used as nanotheranostics [254, 255]. The large surface area of GO is beneficial for loading biomacromolecules such as proteins. PEG and PEI functionalized graphene oxide (GO-PEG-PEI) was proposed for Cas9 RNP delivery [256]. (Figure 11C) The complexation of GO-PEG-PEI with RNP yielded $\sim220\,\text{nm}$ nanoparticles through physical adsorption, π-stacking and ionic interactions. PEI modified on the GO contributed to efficient endosomal escape, and the GO-PEG-PEI successfully delivered Cas9 RNP into human AGS cells with a genome editing efficiency of $\sim39\%$.

**Figure 10.** GNP-based delivery platforms for Cas9 RNP. A. Rational design of arginine-functionalized GNPs for the intracellular delivery of E-tagged Cas9 or RNP. Adapted with permission from [59]. Copyright 2017, American Chemical Society. B. Schematic illustration of pH-induced assembly of GSH-modified GNPs with Cas9 protein. Reduced with permission from [233]. Copyright 2019, American Chemical Society. C. PAsp(DET) coated SNAs for the delivery of Cas9 RNP. D. Schematic illustration of GNP-based RNP nanoformulation for genome editing.
Black phosphorus (BP) nanosheets

BP nanosheets are a new class of two-dimensional (2D) materials with a natural bandgap that holds unique anisotropy and extraordinary physical properties [257-259]. As a stable allotrope of elemental phosphorus, BP nanosheets have excellent element biocompatibility and can be degraded into low toxic phosphite/phosphate ions under physiological conditions. In addition, there are periodic atomic grooves on surfaces of BP providing ideal anchoring sites for protein loading. Taking advantages of extraordinary physical properties, BP nanosheets were employed as a biodegradable platform for Cas9 RNP delivery [260]. The Cas9 protein were fused with three NLSs at C-terminus to enhance its electrostatic interaction with BPs and improve the nuclear transportation of Cas9 RNP after internalization (Figure 11D). Due to the enhanced electrostatic interaction provided by NLSs and the 2D puckered honeycomb structure of BP nanosheets, the material exhibited a remarkable Cas9 RNP loading capacity of up to 98.7%. The complexes of BP and Cas9 RNP were delivered into cells by direct membrane penetration and endocytosis pathways. The degradations of BP in the acidic vesicles promoted endosomal escape and intracellular Cas9 RNP release. As a result, Cas9 RNP delivered by BP nanosheets induced indels frequencies of 32.1% in human breast carcinoma MCF-7 cells, 22.8% in human bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells (hBMSCs), and 17.2% in mouse macrophage RAW264.7 cells.

Calcium phosphate nanoparticles

Calcium phosphate nanoparticles are usually used as non-viral vectors for gene therapy due to their biocompatibility and strong binding affinity with nucleic acids [261, 262]. The Cas9 RNP complexes were in situ mineralized by calcium phosphate under physiological conditions [263]. Calcium phosphate mineralization efficiently increases the RNP stability and cell internalization with maintained the bioactivity. The mineralized Cas9 RNP nanoparticles were efficiently delivered into protoplast cells of a model plant pathogenic fungus, Magnaporthe oryzae, and achieved 20% Scytalone dehydratase genome editing efficiency.

DNA nanoclews

DNA nanoclews are a class of nucleic acid nanostructures synthesized by rolling circle amplification (RCA). Because of its high biocompatibility, predictability, programmability and simplicity to functionalization, DNA nanoclews have been developed as vehicles for drug delivery [264] and gene delivery [265]. A yarn-like DNA nanoclew was synthesized by RCA with palindromic sequences encoded to be partially complementary to sgRNA in the RNP complex. After complexation of DNA
nanoclew with RNP, a cationic polymer PEI was coated on the nanoclew to facilitate its cellular uptake and endosomal escape [266]. (Figure 12) DNA nanoclew with 12 nucleotides complementary to sgRNA (NC-12) resulted in a higher genome editing efficiency than NC-0 and NC-23, which may be attributed to the balanced RNP binding and intracellular release via complementary base pairing. The NC-12/RNP/PEI complexes induced 25% gene disruption of the U2OS cells in the frozen tumor sections around the intratumoral injection site. A hepatocyte-targeted charge reversal polymer was coated on RNP nanoclew for targeted delivery of Cas12a/crRNA RNP in vivo [267]. Similarly, a polymeric sgRNA/siRNA nanoparticle was prepared by rolling circle transcription for intracellular RNP delivery [268]. The siRNA was incorporated as a Dicer substrate sequence that would induce endogenous specific ribonuclease to cleave the double-strand RNA for RNP release. The polymeric sgRNA/siRNA was loaded with Cas9 protein and further co-formulated with cationic lipids to prepare RNP nanoparticles. Such polymeric RNP nanoparticles were more stable than monomeric RNP and showed high serum stability during genome editing. The lipid-encapsulated polymeric RNP resulted in more than 60% indels frequency in HeLa cells. The in vivo gene disruption assay also showed that poly-RNP with Dicer siRNA can cause higher gene disruption than mono-RNP.

Responsive delivery systems for RNP delivery

Responsive delivery systems provide several benefits for genome editing such as improved editing efficiency and reduced off-target effects. RNP delivery materials responsive to external stimuli such as light and ultrasound can initiate genome editing with precise spatiotemporal control, which is critical for in vivo genome editing applications. In addition, materials responsive to endogenous triggers such as pH, redox potential, enzymes and ATP may promote intracellular release of RNP molecules and increase editing efficiency. These responsive RNP delivery systems are discussed in detail below.

Light-responsive materials

Researchers developed a photocaged sgRNA to regulate the interactions between RNP and dsDNA and demonstrated the feasibility of light-activatable genome editing in zebrafish embryos [269]. By replacing normal nucleobases with 6-Nitropiperonyloxymethylene (NPOM)-caged nucleobases within the protospacer region of sgRNA, the formation of RNP/dsDNA complex was inhibited until the restoration of base-pairing capability of sgRNA via ultraviolet (UV) light-mediated photolysis (Figure 13A). The Cas9/caged sgRNA RNP complex was delivered into cells by Lipofectamine 3000, and off-on switching of genome editing function was successfully controlled by UV light exposure.

Though UV light-responsive materials showed promising features in cell level studies, the applications of such systems in vivo are hindered by the poor tissue penetration of UV light and its phototoxicity. Upconversion nanoparticles (UCNPs) are anti-Stokes type materials in which rare earth atoms are embedded in a crystalline matrix. UCNPs can convert near-infrared (NIR) light radiation with lower energy to visible or UV light [270, 271]. A recent study reported a NIR light-responsive genome editing nanoparticle based on UCNPs and photo-cleavable ligands [272]. To improve the water solubility and biocompatibility, a silica shell was coated on the surface of UCNPs, and then Cas9 RNP complexes were conjugated to the UCNPs@SiO2 by using an UV-cleavable 4-(hydroxymethyl)-3-nitrobenzoic acid (ONA) linker to obtain a light-cleavable Cas9
conjugate (UCNPs-Cas9). Finally, a cationic polymer PEI was coated on the UCNPs-Cas9 to facilitate cellular uptake and endosomal escape (Figure 13B). The UCNPs-Cas9 nanoparticles could efficiently release Cas9 RNP after intracellular delivery controlled by an NIR light. As a result, the developed nanoparticles achieved on-demand release of Cas9 RNP and reduced off-target effects. By using this strategy, the proliferation of tumor cells was successfully inhibited via NIR light-activated genome editing both in vitro and in vivo.

**Ultrasound (US)-responsive materials**

US-activatable microbubbles were incorporated with lipid nanoparticles for spatiotemporally controlled RNP delivery [273] (Figure 13C). The lipid nanoparticles consisting of cholesterol, lecithin, 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DPPE), and DOGS-NTA-Ni was prepared by a thin-film hydration method, and further loaded with His-tagged Cas9 RNP via metal affinity between immobilized Ni ions and His-tag. A cationic polymer PEI was further added for charge compensation, and the Cas9 RNP encapsulation efficiency was improved from 42% to 82%. The nanoparticles were further conjugated to microbubbles brimming with sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) via a disulfide linkage. The yielding microbubbles effectively facilitated local delivery of RNP complex upon ultrasound activation, resulting in spatiotemporally controlled genome editing. Steroid type II 5-alpha-reductase (SRD5A2) is an enzyme that converts testosterone into dihydrotestosterone, which may cause the damage of dermal papilla cells (DPCs) and hair loss. US-activated microbubbles induced an indel frequency of 67.1% on SRD5A2 gene in DPCs under US treatment. Cas9 RNP targeting mouse SRD5A2 gene was successfully delivered into DPCs of androgenic alopecia mice via microbubble cavitation, and the treatment successfully recovered hair growth in vivo.

**Reduction-sensitive materials**

Disulfide bond containing materials are responsive to GSH. Since the intracellular GSH concentration is much higher than the extracellular one, the use of disulfide bond containing materials for intracellular delivery of biomacromolecules could efficiently release the bound cargoes after cell internalization. A cationic block copolymer, poly(aspartic acid-(2-aminoethyl disulfide)-(4-imida-
zolecarboxylic acid))-PEG (P(Asp-AED-ICA)-PEG) was synthesized for Cas9 RNP delivery. The polymer could efficiently complex with RNP and showed genome editing efficiency comparable to that of Lipofectamine 2000 (Figure 14A) [221]. PEG chains on the polymer offers a neutral shell and thus enhances the stability of RNP complexes, while the imidazole residues enable a rapid endosomal escape behavior. Once delivered into cytosol, the disulfide linkage in the polymer could be cleaved by GSH, degrading the polymer into segments and releasing loaded Cas9 RNP molecules. In a separate study, they synthesized several polymers containing disulfide bonds in the backbone and imidazole groups on the side chains [222]. The polymers were cross-linked into nanoparticles through the host-guest interaction between adamantane (AD) and β-cyclodextrin (β-CD) (Figure 14B). The developed polymers successfully induced NHEJ- and HDR-mediated genome editing and maintained high stability in the presence of polyanions. In further studies, they adapted this “cross-linked” strategy to deliver Cas9 RNP in vivo by a GSH-cleavable polymer coating [223]. SCP-Cas9 fusion protein complexed with sgRNA were proved to be effective in genome editing [178]. By ulteriorly connecting SCP and Cas9 protein by a dithiocyclopeptide containing MMP-2 sensitive sequence and an intramodular disulfide bond, the yielding Cas9-linker-SCP RNP could induce higher genome editing efficiency in tumor cells compared with normal cells (Figure 14C). This is due to the cleavage of the linker by MMP-2 in the extracellular matrix of tumor and the disulfide bond by intracellular GSH, leading to the efficient release of Cas9 protein [179].

**pH-responsive materials**

pH-responsive materials can respond to solution pH by undergoing structural and property changes, such as surface activity, solubility, chain conformation, and configuration [274]. The pH-responsive materials are typically designed using ionizable acidic or basic residues. The structural and property changes depend on selective protonation and deprotonation of these weak acidic/basic pendant groups. The pH value of extracellular fluid is kept constant at 7.4 while the cellular cytosol is at 7.2. In addition, the pH values of most solid tumors (6.5-7.2) are lower than normal tissues, and the pH values of endosome and lysosome are maintained at a much lower level, which are ~6.3 for early endosome, ~5.5 for late endosome, and 4-5 for lysosome [275]. The pH-responsive materials can be designed according to these physiological differences. In the intracellular delivery of Cas9 RNP complexes, pH-responsive materials are widely used to facilitate endosomal escape and intracellular release. An amphiphilic molecule FEDS was formulated with lipids to disrupt the endosomal

![Figure 14. Reduction-sensitive Cas9 RNP delivery systems. A. Synthesis of GSH-responsive cationic block copolymer for the delivery of CRISPR/Cas9 system. Reduced with permission from [221]. Copyright 2018, American Chemical Society. B. Redox-responsive cross-linked polymers for the delivery of Cas9 RNP. Adapted with permission from [222]. Copyright 2018, American Chemical Society. C. Schematic illustration on microenvironment-responsive delivery of Cas9 RNP. Reduced with permission from [179]. Copyright 2019, American Chemical Society.](http://www.thno.org)
membrane as introduced earlier (Figure 6C) [176]. The pH-sensitive polymer PBAEs (Figure 8B) [212], PEGylated PLL with a pH-responsive linker (Figure 8C) [218], and GSH-functionalized GNP s (Figure 10B) [233] are also used for intracellular Cas9 RNP as described above.

Targeted delivery systems for RNP delivery

Galactose-based targeting

Targeted RNP delivery is critical for the translation of genome editing technologies into medicine. Targeted genome editing can be achieved by the specific recognition between ligand and related receptors overexpressed on target cells. For example, Cas9 RNP decorated with galactose (Gal) enabled selective delivery into human hepatocytes overexpressing asialoglycoprotein receptor (ASGPr) via a receptor-mediated endocytosis mechanism (Figure 15A) [276, 277]. The endosomal escape of the RNP complexes were promoted by the addition of an endosomolytic peptide ppTG21. Subsequent nuclear localization was then induced by the NLS sequence on Cas9 protein, and finally realizing efficient genome editing in target cells. Similarly, TAT-modified gold nanoclusters were loaded with RNP, and further coated with a lipid shell bearing 4-aminophenyl β-D-galactopyranoside on the surface for targeted delivery (Figure 15B). The targeted nanoparticles showed an in vitro genome editing efficiency of ~60% and a reduction of ~30% plasma LDL-C in mouse after treatment [278]. By modifying PEI with Gal and 2,3-dimethylmaleic anhydride (DM), a charge reversal polymer Gal-PEI-DM was synthesized and coated on the surface of DNA nanoclues for in vivo delivery of Cas12a/crRNA RNP [267]. The negatively charged Gal-PEI-DM layer on nanoclues enables long blood circulation and selective hepatocyte uptake. The acidic endosomal environment could trigger the charge conversion of the nanoclues, facilitating the escape of Cas12a/crRNA RNP from endosomes (Figure 15C). Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) is a liver-secreted protease that degrades low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR), a key receptor that mediates the endocytosis of cholesterol. The targeted nanoclues delivering Cas12a/crRNA achieved 75% indel formation and induced efficient Pcsk9 disruption in 3T3-L1 cells in vivo (~48% by deep sequencing). The nanoclues-mediated genome editing led to ~45% cholesterol reduction after treatment.

Figure 15. Gal-mediated targeted Cas9 RNP delivery. A. Receptor-mediated delivery of Cas9 RNP. Reduced with permission from [276]. Copyright 2018, American Chemical Society. B. Schematic diagram of Gal-conjugated gold nanoclusters for Cas9 RNP delivery. Reduced with permission from [278]. Copyright 2019, Wiley. C. Schematic illustration of the Gal-targeted PEI nanoparticles for genome editing. Adapted with permission from [267]. Copyright 2020, The Authors, some rights reserved. Creative Commons CC BY-NC.
RGD-based targeting

Tripeptide RGD (Arg-Gly-Asp) peptide has high binding affinity with integrins $\alpha_v\beta_3$, which are overexpressed on most cancer cells. An RGD analogue (iRGD) with high affinity to integrins and neuropilin-1 was used to develop cancer-targeted RNP delivery systems. The iRGD-containing tandem lipopeptide, palmitoyl-TP-iRGD, was co-assembled with Cas9 RNP for targeted RNP delivery (Figure 16A). The targeted lipopeptide exhibited higher efficiencies than Lipofectamine RNAiMAX in various cell lines [191]. In a separate study, nanoparticles consisting of PEI-CD and PEI-AD were used for RNP delivery and the particles were further coated with DOTAP lipids bearing two peptides [279]. iRGD and a CPP mHph3 were conjugated on the nanoparticles for targeted RNP delivery. The Cas9 loading efficiency of DOTAP lipids was increased from 6.3% to 62.8% when the PEI-CD/PEI-AD nanoparticles were used. The iRGD-containing nanoparticles showed efficient genome editing in human brain tumor U87 cells and GS5 cells on $PLK1$ gene, and effectively inhibited tumor growth in vivo.

Other ligand based targeting

Targeting ligands could be decorated onto RNP delivery systems via host-guest recognition. For example, an amphiphilic $\beta$-CD modified with multiple hydrophobic chains were co-assembled with cargo proteins or RNP into nanoparticles [280], and the assembled materials were modified with targeting ligands such as AS1411 aptamer targeting nucleolin receptors or folate with high binding affinity to folate receptors via $\beta$-CD/AD host-guest chemistry. The aptamer-targeted nanoparticles could efficiently deliver cargoes into MDA-MB-231 breast tumor with overexpressed nucleolin receptors, and folate-decorated nanoparticles delivering RNP targeting $Plk1$ exhibited significant gene disruption and tumor growth inhibition in vivo (Figure 16B). In a separate study, a disulfide-bridged guanidyl AD was complexed with $\beta$-CD-conjugated low-molecular-weight PEI to form a supramolecular polymer via $\beta$-CD/AD host-guest interaction [220]. A biocompatible and negatively charged hyaluronic acid (HA) was used to shield the positive charge on the polymer/RNP complexes, and the hyaluronic acid-coated nanocomplexes efficiently edited mutant KRAS in colorectal cancer cells, and inhibited tumor growth and metastases in vivo.

MOF nanoparticles such as ZIF-8 [249] and ZIF-90 [251] have shown high efficiency in Cas9 RNP delivery. Coating ZIFs/RNP nanoparticles with cancer cell membranes endows these nanoparticles with cell-selective properties [250]. After incubation with various types of cells, ZIFs/RNP nanoparticles coated with MCF-7 cell membranes showed the highest uptake by MCF-7 cells but negligible uptake by normal cells (Figure 16C). In vivo experiments further demonstrated selective accumulation of membrane-coated ZIF/RNP nanoparticles in MCF-7 tumors. ATRA is a targeting ligand that can bind to the inter-photoreceptor retinoid-binding protein (IRBP), a major protein in the inter-photoreceptor matrix that selectively transports all-trans-retinol to the RPE and 11-cis-retinal to photoreceptor outer
segments. Hybrid ZIF/silica nanoparticles was coated with ATRA for targeted genome editing [252], and the hybrid nanoparticles induced efficient genome editing in mouse RPE after subretinal injection. In a similar study, ARTA-modified nanocapsule with reduction-responsiveness also induced efficient genome editing in RPE cells in vivo [223].

**Selective organ targeting (SORT)**

Besides active targeting, researchers recently reported a novel strategy termed SORT for CRISPR/Cas9 delivery [281]. They found that the regulation of components in lipid nanoparticles can achieve tissue-specific delivery (Figure 17). Lipid materials including ionizable cationic lipid, zwitterionic phospholipid, cholesterol and PEGylated lipid and a fifth SORT molecules were used to prepare the lipid nanoparticles. Different percentages of permanently cationic (defined as positively charged without pKa or pKa > 8), anionic, zwitterionic, and ionizable cationic lipids resulted in a series of lung-, spleen- and liver-targeted SORT lipids, which mediated tissue-specific genome editing in tdTom transgenic mice and C57/BL6 wild-type mice via the delivery of Cas9 RNP.

**Conclusions and perspectives**

Genome editing has entered a blooming period of development in recent years due to its extensive and effective application promise for scientific researches and disease treatment [282, 283]. The widely-used CRPSPR/Cas9 system is by far the most flexible and convenient genome-editing tool. In the past few years, the revolutionary CRISPR/Cas9 system showed the great promise of treating genetic disorder-induced diseases, monogenic diseases, cancers, cardiovascular diseases, inflammations, neurodegenerative diseases, infectious diseases, and some of these applications have entered clinical trials (Table 1). What’s more, the use of programmable nucleases for targeted mutagenesis of plants is advancing rapidly and has great potential for the next generation of plant breeding. It is worth noting that the CRISPR/ Cas9 system paves the way for the development of rapid and cost-effective ways to create new mutant populations in plants. And the CRISPR/ Cas9 system, which can edit plant genomes without introducing foreign DNA into cells may alleviate regulatory concerns related to genetically modified plants. Development of safe and effective delivery systems is the primary issue for the applications of CRISPR/Cas9 systems. Direct delivery of the CRISPR/Cas9 RNP takes advantages in transient function, higher genome-editing efficiency and lower off-target effect when compared with the delivery of Cas9 plasmid and mRNA. To date, a variety of RNP delivery systems such as physical approaches and synthetic carriers have been

---

**Figure 17.** Selective organ targeting systems for the delivery of CRISPR/Cas9 system [281].
developed (Table 2). Physically-induced intracellular delivery are mainly mediated by membrane disruption [67]. Physical approaches like microinjection, electroporation, microfluidics, nanotube spearing, biolistics, iTOP, and PEG-mediated transformation have been used for cytosolic delivery of macromolecules for decades. Microinjection, electroporation and microfluidics can generally achieve high RNP delivery efficiency and are suitable for almost all cell types but might induce cell damages and require highly skilled manipulation and/or expensive equipment. Meanwhile, biolistic and PEG-mediated transformation are two proven technologies mainly applied on plant cells. The action mechanisms and defects of these physical approaches critically limit their clinical applications in vivo. iTOP is a novel delivery method for native proteins and Cas9 RNP, but it is also difficult to be used for therapeutic purpose.

| Intervention/treatment | Target gene | Cells | Disrupt/Correct (Insert) | Condition or disease | Phase | Status | Year | ClinicalTrials.gov identifier |
|------------------------|-------------|-------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------|--------|------|--------------------------------|
| Genetic: Edited T cells Drug: CTX | PD-1 | T cells | Disrupt | Metastatic non-small cell lung cancer | Phase 1 | Active, not recruiting | 2016 | NCT0293856 |
| Biological: PD-1 knockout T cells Drug: CTX/IL-2 | PD-1 | T Cells | Disrupt | Muscle-invasive bladder cancer | Phase 1 | Withdrawn | 2016 | NCT02863913 |
| Biological: PD-1 knockout T cells Drug: CTX/IL-2 | PD-1 | T Cells | Disrupt | Hormone refractory prostate cancer | - | Withdrawn | 2016 | NCT02867345 |
| Biological: PD-1 knockout T cells Drug: CTX/IL-2 | PD-1 | T Cells | Disrupt | Metastatic renal cell carcinoma | Phase 1 | Withdrawn | 2016 | NCT02867332 |
| Drug: CTX Other: PD-1 knockout T cells Drug: Fludarabine/ CTX Drug: Interleukin-2 | PD-1 | EBV-CTL cells | Disrupt | Metastatic non-small cell lung cancer | Phase 1 | Active, not recruiting | 2016 | NCT0293856 |
| Biological: TALEN Biological: CRISPR/Cas9 | E6/E7 | HPV16 and HPV18 | Disrupt | HIV-related malignant neoplasm | Phase 1 | Unknown | 2017 | NCT03057912 |
| Genetic: CRISPR gene modification | CCR5 | CD34+ hHSPCs | Disrupt | HIV-1-infection | Not Applicable | Recruiting | 2017 | NCT03164135 |
| UCART019 | TCR and βM | CAR-T Cells | Disrupt | B Cell leukemia B Cell lymphoma | Phase 1/2 | Recruiting | 2017 | NCT03166876 |
| PD-1 knockout T cells | PD-1 | T Cells | Disrupt | Esophageal cancer | Not Applicable | Completed | 2017 | NCT03081715 |
| Anti-mesothelin CAR-T cells | PD-1 and TCR | CAR-T Cells | Disrupt | Mesothelin positive multiple solid tumors | Phase 1 | Recruiting | 2018 | NCT03545815 |
| Biological: NY-ESO-1 redirected autologous T cells Drug: CTX/Fludarabine | TCR and PD-1 | T Cells | Disrupt | Multiple myeloma Melanoma Synovial sarcoma Myeloid/Round cell liposarcoma β-thalassemia Sickle cell disease Hematological diseases Hemoglobinopathies Thalassemia | Phase 1 | Terminated | 2018 | NCT03399448 |
| CTX01 | BCL11A | CD34+ hHSPCs | Disrupt | T-cell acute lymphoblastic Leukemia T-cell acute lymphoblastic Lymphoma | Phase 1 | Recruiting | 2018 | NCT03655678 |
| CTX01 | BCL11A | CD34+ hHSPCs | Disrupt | β-cell leukemia | Phase 1 | Recruiting | 2018 | NCT03745287 |
| iHSCs treatment group | HBB | iHSCs | Correct | | | | |
| Mesothelin-directed CAR-T cells | PD-1 | CAR-T cells | Disrupt | | | | | |
| Genetic: CD7.CAR/28zeta CAR T cells Drug: Fludarabine/Cytosar | CD7 | T cells | Disrupt | | | | | |
| Universal Dual Specificity CD19 and CD20 or CD22 CAR-T Cells AGN-151587 | CEP290 | Retinal cells | Disrupt | Leber congenital amaurosis 10 Relapsed or refractory CD19+ leukemia or lymphoma B-cell malignancy Non-Hodgkin lymphoma B-cell lymphoma | Phase 1 | Recruiting | 2019 | NCT03872479 |
| Genetic: XFY9 CAR-T Cells Drug: CTX/Fludarabine CTX10 | TRAC and βM | T cells | Disrupt | | | | | |
| Intervention on primary cultured cells Drug: CTX/Fludarabine/IL-2 TIL | KMT2D | Mesenchymal stem cells | Disrupt | Kabuki syndrome 1 | - | Active, not recruiting | 2019 | NCT03855631 |
| CTX120 | TRAC and βM | T Cells | Disrupt and insert | Relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma | Phase 1 | Recruiting | 2020 | NCT04424669 |
| CTX130 | TCR, MHC I | T cells | Disrupt and insert | Renal cell carcinoma | Phase 1 | Recruiting | 2020 | NCT04438083 |
| TACE, PD-1 knockout engineered T cells | PD-1 | T Cells | Disrupt | Advanced hepatocellular carcinoma | Phase 1 | Recruiting | 2020 | NCT04417764 |

CTX: Cyclophosphamide, TIL: Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, HPV: Human papillomavirus, TACE: Transcatheter arterial chemoembolization, IL-2: Interleukin-2,
Table 2. Characteristics of CRISPR RNP delivery systems.

| Delivery system | Cell Type | Efficiency | Advantages | Disadvantages | Refs |
|-----------------|-----------|------------|------------|---------------|------|
| Physical approaches | Microinjection | Embryo cells | 80% - 100% editing by deep sequencing | • High efficiency | ○ Low throughput ○ Require highly skilled manipulation ○ Need specialized equipment |
|                  | Biollistics | Plant cells | <0.7% mutation frequencies by deep sequencing | • High throughput | ○ Low frequency | [72-83] |
|                  | Electroporation | Almost all cell types | 24% - 98% indels by T7E1 assay | • High efficiency | ○ May induce cell death ○ Nonspecific ○ Hard to directly use in vivo |
|                  | Microfluidics | Suspension cells | 33% - 47% indels by T7E1 assay | • High throughput | ○ Require specialized equipment to fabricate |
|                  | Filtroporation | HSCs | 7.8% knock-in efficiency | • Tolerable cell damage | ○ Susceptible to plugging |
|                  | Nanotube | MEFs | ~14% editing efficiency by flow cytometry | • Minimal cell damage | ○ Relatively low efficiency ○ Require specialized equipment to fabricate | [127] |
|                  | iTOP | KBM7 cells | 56.1% editing efficiency by flow cytometry | • Low cost | ○ Not suitable for in vivo applications ○ Need two-round transduction | [62] |
|                  | Protoplast transformation | Protoplast cells | 20% - 90% indels by T7E1 assay or deep sequencing | • Well developed | ○ Limited cells type ○ Need isolation of protoplasts ○ Potential immune response ○ Tedium preparation | [85, 132-142] |
| Virus-like particles | TZM Bi cells | Jurkat T cells | 16% indels in CD4 gene by T7E1 assay | • Reachable to high efficiency | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T cells | J-Lat cells | 14% indels in CD4 gene by T7E1 assay | • Effective to difficult-to-transfect cells | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T cells | Mouse primary BM cells | 26% indels in HIV LTR by T7E1 assay | • Feasible of in vivo application | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T cells | Human iPSCs | 71% editing efficiency on EMX1 by T7E1 assay | • High efficiency | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T cells | B lymphoblastoid cells | 76% editing efficiency on Fto by TIDE analysis | | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T cells | HEK293T cells | 67% editing efficiency on EMX1 by deep sequencing | | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T cells | Mouse primary BM cells | 18.3% indels in IL2RG by deep sequencing | | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
| Lipid nanoparticles Extracellular vesicles | U2OS cells | HEK293T cells | 13.4% GFP editing efficiency by flow cytometry | • Reachable to high efficiency | ○ Tedium preparation | [149] |
|                  | U2OS cells | CHME-5 cells | ~60% editing in CXCR4 and ~30% editing in VEGFA by TIDE analysis | • Feasible of in vivo application | ○ Tedium preparation | [154] |
|                  | U2OS cells | Jurkat T cells | 6% editing efficiency in HIV LTR by TIDE analysis | | ○ Tedium preparation |
|                  | U2OS cells | Human iPSCs | 48% indels in CC25 by T7E1 assay | | ○ Tedium preparation |
|                  | HEK293T cells | HEK293T cells | ~50% indels targeting exon 45 SA and SD site by T7E1 assay | | ○ Tedium preparation |
|                  | HEK293T cells | HEK293T cells | 32.5% indels in VEGFA by T7E1 assay | | ○ Tedium preparation |
|                  | HEK293T cells | HEK293T cells | 60% indels in EGFP by T7E1 assay | • High efficiency | ○ Low payload capacity | [56] |
|                  | HEK293T cells | HEK293T cells | ~8-11% EGFP HDR frequencies | • Well developed | ○ Serum tolerance | [160] |
|                  | HEK293T cells | HEK293T cells | 38% indels in CLTA, 36% indels in EMX and 38% indels in VEGF by T7E1 assay | | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T cells | HEK293T cells | 51% indels in HPR7 by T7E1 assay | | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T cells | Mouse ESCs | 18% indels in HPR7 by T7E1 assay | | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T cells | Human iPSCs | 25% indels in Rosc 26 by T7E1 assay | | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T cells | N2A cells | 5% indels in HPR7 by T7E1 assay | | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T cells | A549 cells | 66% indels in Rosc 26 by T7E1 assay | | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T cells | Bright Yellow-2 | ~60% editing efficiency in pproRFP reporter by analysis of GFP-positive cells | | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T cells | HEK293T cells | 16.0% indels in GFP by T7E1 assay | | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T cells | HEK293T cells | ~8-11% EGFP HDR frequencies | • High efficiency | ○ Low payload capacity | [56] |
|                  | HEK293T cells | Mouse NIH3T3 cells | 82% indels in VEGF by T7E1 assay | • Well developed | ○ Serum tolerance | [160] |
|                  | HEK293T cells | Human ARPE-19 cells | 57% indels in VEGFA by T7E1 assay | | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T cells | Mouse REP cells | 22% and 24% indels in vivo in VEGF and Rosc26 in injected area | | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T cells | Mouse REP cells | 8% HDR frequency by deep sequencing when inserting HBIt into GAPDH | | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T | HEK293T cells | ~16% EGFP HDR frequency by flow cytometry | | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T | HEK293T cells | 5% indels by T7E1 assay | | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T | Mouse ESCs | 55% indels by T7E1 assay | | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T | Human iPSCs | 2% GFP HDR frequency by flow cytometry | | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T | Dog ovotubal epithelia cells | 47% indels in TP53 by deep sequencing | | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T | U2OS cells | 21.5% indels in EGFP by T7E1 assay | | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death |
|                  | HEK293T | HEK cells | 70% editing efficiency in GFP by flow | | ○ Nonspecific ○ May induce cell death | [170] |
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| Delivery system | Cell Type | Efficiency | Advantages | Disadvantages | Refs |
|-----------------|-----------|------------|------------|---------------|-----|
|                 | HEK cells | cytometry  |            |               | [171]|
|                 | HEK cells | 68.6% editing efficiency in GFP by flow cytometry |            |               | [172]|
|                 | HEK cells | 54.7% editing efficiency in GFP by flow cytometry |            |               | [173]|
|                 | SNL398 cells | 54.7% editing efficiency in GFP by flow cytometry |            |               | [174]|
|                 | HeLa cells | cytometry  |            |               | [175]|
|                 | HeLa cells | ~31% indels on DPP-4 by T7E1 assay |            |               | [176]|
|                 | Lung cells | ~40% editing efficiency in GFP by flow cytometry |            |               | [281]|
| CPPs            | HEK293 cells | 16% indels in CCR5 by T7E1 assay | Reachable to high efficiency | ○ Need protein engineering | [177]|
|                 | HEK293 cells | 9.7-29% indels in AAVC1 cl by T7E1 assay |            |               | [178]|
|                 | HeLa cells | 15.2% indels in CCR5 by T7E1 assay | ○ Safe | ○ Limited | [179]|
|                 | Li2 cells | 13.4% indels in CCR5 by T7E1 assay |            | ○ Sensitive to nuclease and protease | [180]|
|                 | HeLa cells | 31.9% indels in CCR5 by T7E1 assay |            |               | [181]|
|                 | A549 cells | 28.3% indels in CCR5 by T7E1 assay |            |               | [182]|
|                 | A549 cells | 43.9% indels in Kras5 by T7E1 assay |            |               | [183]|
|                 | EG7 suspension cells | 73% indels in PD-L1 by T7E1 assay |            |            | [184]|
|                 | Neural progenitor cells | 13% deletion edits by genomic DNA PCR |            |               | [5]|
|                 | J74A1 cells | 40.4% indels in Gfp by T7E1 assay |            |               | [185]|
|                 | Mouse pre-adipocytes | 14.4% indels in Gfp by T7E1 assay |            |               | [186]|
|                 | Mouse primary PECs | 13% indels in Hprt1 and 12% indels in DNMT1 by T7E1 assay |            |               | [187]|
|                 | Jurkat cells | 18% indels in Hprt1 and 27% indels in DNMT1 by T7E1 assay |            |               | [188]|
| Lipopeptides    | HeLa cells | 38% indels in Hprt1 by T7E1 assay | ○ No need of protein engineering | ○ Medium efficiency | [189]|
|                 | A549 cells | 21% indels in Hprt1 by T7E1 assay |            |               | [190]|
|                 | DF1 cells | 39% indels in Hprt1 by T7E1 assay |            |               | [191]|
|                 | HEK cells | 27.6% indels in EGFP by T7E1 assay |            |               | [192]|
|                 | GBM cells | 19.7% indels in EGFP by T7E1 assay |            |               | [193]|
|                 | 3TZ cells | 12% editing efficiency by flow cytometry | ~12% editing efficiency by flow cytometry | ○ Relatively low efficiency | [57]|
| Polymers        | HEK293T cells | 39.7% indels in EGFP by T7E1 assay | ● Low cost | ○ Relatively low efficiency | [58]|
| Dendrimers      | HEK293T cells | 23.1% indels in AAVS1 by T7E1 assay |            | ○ Low cost | [59]|
|                 | HCT-116 cells | 21.1% indels in Hbb by T7E1 assay | ● Ease of use | ○ Ease of functionalization | [60]|
|                 | HT-29 cells | 22.5% indels in Hbb by T7E1 assay |            | ● Safe | [61]|
|                 | SH-SY5Y Cells | 14.9.5% indels in Hbb by T7E1 assay |            | ● Reachable to high efficiency | [62]|
|                 | SH-SY5Y Cells | ~6% indels in AAVS1 by TIDE analysis |            |               | [209]|
|                 | SH-SY5Y Cells | ~5% indels in AAVS1 by TIDE analysis |            |               | [210]|
| PBAEs           | HEK293T cells | 77% editing efficiency in GFP by flow cytometry |            |               | [211]|
|                 | GL261 cells | 4% HDR frequency by quantifying HindIII cleavage |            |               | [212]|
| PLL             | U87MG cells | 39.1% indels in STAT3 by T7E1 assay |            |               | [213]|
|                 | U87MG cells | 32.1% editing efficiency in EGFP by flow cytometry |            |               | [214]|
|                 | U87MG cells | Down-regulated expression of STAT3 by 48% and RUNX1 by 50% in the heterogeneous tumors via Western blot |            |               | [215]|
| CS nanoparticles | HEK293T cells | 46.7% indels in RRDY4 by T7E1 assay |            |               | [216]|
|                 | RAW264.7 cells | 24.4% indels in RRDY4 by T7E1 assay |            |               | [217]|
|                 | HeLa cells | 32.6% indels in RRDY4 by T7E1 assay |            |               | [218]|
|                 | U206 cells | 55.8% indels in RRDY4 by T7E1 assay |            |               | [219]|
|                 | A549 cells | 16.9% indels in RRDY4 by T7E1 assay |            |               | [220]|
|                 | HEK293T cells | 12.5% HDR frequency by flow cytometry |            |               | [221]|
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| Delivery system | Cell Type | Efficiency | Advantages | Disadvantages | Refs |
|----------------|-----------|------------|------------|---------------|------|
| Supramolecular polymers | SW-480 cells | Induced a total apoptotic rate of 48.0% by editing KRAS | ● Serum tolerance● High payload capacity | | [220] |
| Reduction-sensitive polymers | HEK293 cells | ~50% editing efficiency in mCherry by flow cytometry | ● Safe ● Feasible of in vivo application | | [221, 222] |
| Nanogels | HEK293 cells | ~80% editing efficiency in mCherry by flow cytometry | ● High loading capacity | | [223] |
| | HeLa cells | ~30% indels in APP by deep sequencing | ● Ease of use | | [226] |
| Inorganic nanoparticles | HeLa cells | 29% indels in AAV51 by T7E1 assay | ● Potential toxicity in vivo | | [59] |
| | RAW264.7 cells | 30% indels in PTEN by T7E1 assay | | | |
| | A375 cells | 27% indels in SIRP-α by T7E1 assay | | | |
| | HeLa cells | 26.2% indels in PIR1 by T7E1 assay | | | |
| | Human ESCs | 34% indels in E6 by T7E1 assay | | | |
| | Human iPSCs | ~3.5% CXCR4 HDR frequency by quantifying HindIII cleavage | | | |
| Mouse primary myoblasts | ~3.5% CXCR4 HDR frequency by quantifying HindIII cleavage | | | | |
| Muscle fibrosis | ~3.5% HDR frequency in dystrophin gene by deep sequencing | | | | |
| Brain striatum cells | 14.6% indels in mGluR5 around injection site by TIDE analysis | | | | |
| HSPCs | 13.4% CXCR5 HDR frequency and 8.8% HDR at γ-globin promoter locus by TIDE analysis | | | | |
| MOFs | CHO cells | 30% indels in EGFP by T7E1 assay | ● Ease of preparation● Low cost ● Fast endosomal escape | | [249] |
| | MCF-7 cells | ~60 editing in EGFP by flow cytometry | | | |
| | HeLa cells | ~40% editing in EGFP by flow cytometry | | | |
| | HEK293 cells | Over 40% editing in GFP by flow cytometry | | | |
| Calcium phosphate | U2OS cells | ~2.1% indels in Pcsk9 by T7E1 assay | | | |
| | Prostate cells | 57% indels in Pcsk9 by T7E1 assay | | | |
| | U2OS cells | ~6.2% indels in SDH by T7E1 assay | | | |
| | U2OS cells | ~7.1% indels in 39% editing in EGFP by flow cytometry | | | |
| | U2OS cells | ~8.8% editing in EGFP by flow cytometry | | | |
| Light-responsive materials | HepG2 cells | 2.6% indels in CTNNB1 by TIDE analysis | ● High payload capacity ● Ease of functionalization | | [256] |
| | Embryo cells | ~39% editing in EGFP by flow cytometry | | | |
| | A549 cells | ~33% reduction of CXCR-4 mRNA by RT-PCR analysis | | | |
| US-responsive materials | HEK293T cells | 26.2% indels in CTNNB1 by TIDE analysis | ● High payload capacity ● Ease of functionalization | | [260] |
| | Human DPCs | 64.9% indels in EGFP by TIDE analysis | | | |
| | A549 cells | 64.4% indels in EGFP by TIDE analysis | | | |
| | HeLa cells | 32.2% indels in PLK-1 by T7E1 assay | | | |
| Targeted delivery systems | HepG2 cells | 4.8% indels in EMX1 by deep sequencing | ● Specific delivery● Fewer targeting types | | [266] |
| | HepG2 cells | 9% ~ 16% indels in EMX1 by T7E1 assay | | | |
| | Hepa 1-6 cells | ~75% indels in Pck9 by T7E1 assay | | | |
| | U2OS cells | ~78% indels in EGFP by T7E1 assay | | | |
| | U2OS cells | ~8% indels in EGFP by T7E1 assay | | | |
| | HeLa cells | ~47.1% indels in PLK1 by T7E1 assay | | | |
| | SW-480 cells | Induced a total apoptotic rate of 48.0% by editing KRAS | | | |
| | 3T3-L1 cells | 12% editing efficiency by flow cytometry | | | |
| | Human ONCAR8 cells | ~12% editing efficiency by flow cytometry | | | |
| | U87 cells | Inhibition of cell proliferation by 52.8% via editing PLK1 | | | |
| | G55 cells | Inhibition of cell proliferation by 58.3% via editing PLK1 | | | |
| | MCF-7 cells | ~60 editing in EGFP by flow cytometry | | | |
| | ARTA based targeting | MCF-7 cells | Over 20% HDR frequency by flow cytometry | | | |
| | | ~80% editing efficiency in mCherry by flow cytometry | | | |
| | | ~30% indels in APP by deep sequencing | | | |
| | | Induced a total apoptotic rate of 48.0% by editing KRAS | | | |
| SORT based targeting | Liver cells | 2.7% editing in liver by T7E1 and TIDE assay | | | |
| | Lung cells | 5.3% editing in lung by T7E1 and TIDE assay | | | |
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The development of carriers for RNP delivery is similar to those for gene and protein delivery [6, 194]. Unlike nucleic acids, the large-sized and cationic charged Cas9 protein is problematic to form stable nanoparticles via electrostatic interactions. The Cas9 protein and sgRNA can be packaged into virus-like particles or extracellular vesicles through protein engineering. The virus-like particles are usually conducted by directly or indirectly incorporating the Cas9 protein to the structural protein of a viral vector, while the sgRNA is co-packaged into the particles by coexpression or utilizing the interaction between aptamer and ABP [143-145]. Virus-like particles have been demonstrated to have efficient genome-editing effects in a variety of primary cells. However, virus-like particles have the potential risk of immune responses and difficulty in further functionalization. Among the nonviral vectors, lipid-based vectors are the most investigated materials for RNP delivery so far. Cas9 protein is a highly cationic and large-sized protein that is recalcitrant to be complexed by the carriers via electrostatic interaction. The pre-assembly of negatively charged RNP with sgRNA or further modification of Cas9 with negatively charged GFP or glutamate tags enables Cas9 to form more stable nanoparticles with cationic materials [56, 59]. By virtue of electrostatic interactions, hydrophobic interactions, hydrogen bonding, physical encapsulation and coordinative binding, Cas9 RNP can form particles with a variety of synthetic carriers. The formation of nanoparticles is insufficient to deliver RNP into the cells, because the physicochemical properties of the nanoparticles are critical to efficient endocytosis. Therefore, efficient RNP carriers need to go through a series of screening processes to obtain optimal candidates with suitable RNP binding capacity, internalization, intracellular trafficking and cargo release. A simple and effective solution is using multiple materials to form multifunctional nanoparticles containing RNP, and then coating the nanoparticles with well-established cationic polymers or lipids. The strategies to enhance the serum stability and endosomal escape capability in RNP delivery are common to those in gene and protein delivery. Nonviral vectors take advantages in the diversity and maneuverability of functionalization, improved resistance of RNP to protease and nuclease, and limited immunogenicity, but it is not as effective as electroporation and other physical methods in RNP delivery. The recombinant Cas9 protein introduced in this review are basically fused with NLS peptides to enhance its nuclear entry. Furthermore, peptides fused to Cas9 such as CPPs may enable efficient intracellular RNP delivery by direct membrane penetration or endocytosis. Such CPP-Cas9 fusion proteins are simple and convenient than polymer and lipid nanoparticles, nonetheless, the genome editing efficiency mediated by this vector-free strategy is relatively low, and the naked RNP complexes are hard to resist nuclease and protease degradations during delivery.

Spatiotemporally control of CRISPR/Cas9 function is critical for precise genome editing and reducing the off-target effects. Several strategies have been recently developed to render Cas9 RNP responsive to external stimuli, such as light exposure and ultrasound. The responsive delivery systems such as enzyme-responsive and redox-responsive systems also have the advantages of selective and more efficient genome editing. The responsive systems that have been developed for gene and protein delivery provide various referential platforms for controllable RNP delivery. Another burning issue that must be mentioned is how to improve the efficiency of HDR-driven gene alternations. In general, although some progresses have been made by improving the carrier system [244, 246] or the CRISPR/Cas9 system itself [101, 120, 164, 165, 284], or by inhibiting the expression of p53 [99, 167], the frequency of HDR/NHEJ/HMEJ/MMEJ-mediated gene correction and addition is still lower than that of NHEJ/MMEMediated gene knockout. Therefore, it’s necessary to develop novel delivery systems to enhance the efficiency of gene alternations.

Nonviral carrier based Cas9 RNP delivery systems have shown great promise in scientific and therapeutic application for the high efficiency, biosecurity and multifunction. The prerequisite for carrier-mediated intracellular delivery is the formation of a stable complex with the cargo molecule. The nucleic acid components in the RNP complex provide abundant negative charges and hydrogen bond binding sites for the carriers. The current nonviral carriers mainly interact and form nanoparticles with RNP complexes via electrostatic interactions or hydrogen bonding, and are further stabilized though the interactions between carriers and RNP complexes, or the interactions between vectors themselves. Multiple interactions including hydrophobic interaction, electrostatic interaction, π-π stacking, hydrogen bonding, host-guest interaction, coordination interaction, cation-π interaction and directly covalent cross-linking have been utilized to maintain the stability of formed nanoparticles. To enhance the binding affinity, Cas9 protein can be engineered with negatively-charged GFP or polyglutamic acid tags. Designing specific supramolecular interactions via protein engineering also contributes to resist competition from other proteins. An alternative strategy is to assemble RNP
complexes and carriers into particles first, and then coating them with well-developed cationic polymers or lipids. The outer coating material not only improves the stability, but also helps to promote endocytosis and endosomal escape. It is an effective strategy except for requiring complexed preparation. What kinds of particle properties can promote endocytosis needs further study. Usually, nanoparticles with positive charges and/or ligands that interact with the membrane components are more likely to be internalized into cells. After endocytosis, endosomal escape, intracellular release and nuclear translocation of RNP complexes are essential for the genome editing efficiency. The strategies for endosomal escape have been systematically reviewed [275, 285-287]. The strong interactions between cargoes and carriers may result in the disfunction of RNP complexes and the difficulty of intracellular release. Reduction responsive and pH-responsive carriers are benefit for the intracellular release of RNP complexes. The released RNP complexes could enter the nuclear with the help of NLS peptides engineered on Cas9 protein and then induce the genome editing. Up to now, abundant efficient nonviral carriers of nucleic acids and proteins have been developed. The RNP complex as a complex of nucleic acid and protein, the similarities and differences of RNP delivery with nucleic acid and protein delivery need further investigations. Then, more efficient delivery carriers may be rationally designed by taking advantages of the experiences and results in these studies. Due to the explosively development of RNP-based genome editing system and the clinical requirement of gene therapy, future efforts may focus on the rational design of delivery vectors to endow the RNP-delivery systems with stimulus responsiveness and higher efficiency on the premise of safety. We believe that the rapid development of CRISPR/Cas9 system will bring unprecedented opportunities for disease treatment.
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