Natural history of hepatic hemangiomas as a guide for surgical indication
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Abstract

Background: Generally, hemangiomas are asymptomatic and most lesions are incidentally diagnosed on abdominal ultrasonography. The indications for surgery are still debated mainly due to limited data about the natural history of hepatic hemangiomas. The aim of this study is to investigate the natural history of hepatic hemangiomas, the effects of age and sex on their growth, and their tendency to increase in size or causing symptoms or complications as a guide for surgical indication. In this current study, combined retrospective and prospective analysis was conducted on 186 Egyptian adult patients having 244 hepatic hemangiomas who attended to the outpatient clinics. Follow-up was performed to observe the accurate site, size, number, rate of growth of the lesions, and their tendency to cause symptoms or complications.

Results: Ninety-one percent of patients were asymptomatic and 9% were symptomatic. During follow-up, 48% of patients showed an increase in tumor diameter, 22% decreased, and 30% were stable. The growth rate at 18–45-year group showed an increasing trend that was higher in females; it was 3.3 ± 2 mm/year for males and 3.9 ± 1.8 mm/year for females. At ≥45 year group, the female patients showed a decreasing trend (−2.1 ± 1.1 mm/year) while in males showed an increasing trend that was slower than in 18–45-year group (2.6 ± 1.7 mm/year).

Conclusion: Growth pattern of liver hemangiomas is affected by age and sex. The majority of hemangiomas are asymptomatic and complications are rare. Hemangioma size alone is not an indication for surgery in asymptomatic patients. Surgical indications are limited to patients with severe symptoms, complications, or suspicious lesions. Most hemangiomas can be managed conservatively even giant hemangiomas.
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Background

Hepatic hemangioma is the most common benign tumor of the liver [1], with an incidence reach up to 7.3% on autopsy [2] and up to 20% in the general population [3]. This tumor is typically observed in females with female to male ratio that may reach 5:1 at age of 50 years [4]. Hepatic hemangiomas are usually asymptomatic and diagnosed incidentally on abdominal ultrasonography [5]. MRI has high sensitivity and specificity for diagnosis of small and atypical hepatic hemangiomas [5]. The indications for surgery are still not clearly defined up till now. The main reason is the limited and inconsistent data available on the natural history of hepatic hemangiomas and on their tendency to increase in size or causing complications [6]. Ultrasonography is the first diagnostic and most available imaging test. US shows the hemangioma as well-defined, occasionally lobulated, homogeneously hyperechoic with posterior acoustic enhancement, and frequently located close to a hepatic or a portal vein with sensitivity of 96.9% and specificity of 60.3% [7]. Color-Doppler US does not improve accuracy in diagnosis, and it only shows blood flow in liver hemangioma with an intraslesional arterioportal shunt [8, 9]. Liver hemangiomas appears by CT as well-demarcated hypo-dense masses that is showing...
arterial centripetal nodular enhancement followed by progressive centripetal homogeneous filling toward the center at delayed images in triphasic post contrast study; however, small lesions of liver hemangiomas appears with cystic areas, fibrosis, or thrombosis may show atypical patterns [10]. CT has a sensitivity of 98.3% and a specificity of 55% [7]. Dynamic MRI is the best diagnostic imaging for liver hemangioma with sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 85.7% [7]. MRI shows a smooth, well-defined, homogenous lesion, hypointense on T1 and hyperintense on T2-weighted images. Some malignant hepatic lesions may show a similar hyperintensity on T2; to differentiate liver hemangioma from solid neoplastic liver lesions, the echo time is increased which causes signal from malignant lesions to decrease and signal from liver hemangioma to increase. With gadolinium administration, hemangioma shows a peripheral enhancement on arterial phase and contrast retention on delayed phases; this allows differentiation from hypervascular tumors that have a contrast washout on delayed phase [11].

This study aimed to investigate the natural history of hepatic hemangiomas and the effects of age and sex on their growth as a guide for surgical indications.

Methods

Study population
This combined retrospective and prospective study from April 2014 to March 2020 on 186 adult patients (54 males and 132 females) with 244 hepatic hemangioma lesions. The median age of patients was 45 years and the range was 18–68 years.

Patient inclusion criteria
Adult patients (age is more than 18 years) having hepatic hemangioma.

Patient exclusion criteria
Patients younger than 18 years, females receiving oral contraceptive pills, and patients who had received treatment for the hemangiomas were excluded.

Follow-up was performed to observe the accurate site, size, number, rate of growth of the lesions, and their tendency to cause symptoms or complications. Diagnosis of hemangiomas was done by typical ultrasonographic findings and patients with atypical manifestations underwent enhanced computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging.

Patients were divided into two age groups: 18–45 years and > 45 years. Data regarding sex, age, tumor diameter, and follow-up period were recorded. The maximum diameter was used to assess the tumor size. The change of tumor growth was expressed in mm/year. Ultrasound examinations for all patients were done every 6 months for at least 2 times after the initial diagnosis.

Follow-up period of 12 to 24 months was performed in the outpatient clinics, with repeated US and blood examinations (complete blood count, prothrombin time and liver function tests) every 6 months. Giant hemangiomas were defined in the current study as hemangiomas with a diameter > 10 cm [7].

Written consent form was filled by every patient after detailed explanation of the study and the plane of management.

The study was approved by the Ethics Review Committee of our institute.

Study design
Combined retrospective and prospective study was conducted on 186 adult patients (more than 18 years) having 244 hepatic hemangiomas who attended to the outpatient clinics, 54 males and 132 females. Follow-up was performed to observe the accurate site, size, number, rate of growth of the lesions, and their tendency to cause symptoms or complications.

Imaging protocol
Ultrasound examination was performed by ultrasound scanner (EPIQ 7 Machine-Philips ultrasound). Ultrasonography of the whole liver parenchyma was done with several imaging access, and many application techniques were used during examination (subcostal diagonal, subcostal longitudinal, sagittal and transverse, right intercostal lateral plans). The selection of planes depends on the accessibility of the lesion by the ultrasound beam intrabdominarily away from ribs and gas containing viscera.

CT examination was performed by CT scanner (Ariion PRIM-Canon Medical). Triphasic CT scanning of the liver where performed at 120 kvp and 200−250 mAs. Patients were fasting for 5 h before procedure then were given non-ionic I/V contrast of 1.5 ml/kg with dose ranging from 80 to 100 ml. Patient preparation also included administration of 1000 ml of water 30−60 min prior to the examination as oral contrast. First, we scan all the liver before I/V contrast injection (non-contrast images) then after injection of intravenous contrast material, liver was scanned in the arterial phase for 15−35 s, the portal was scanned for 60−70 s, venous phase for 70−90 s, and delayed scanning after 5−10 min).

MRI examination was performed by MRI scanner (Ingenia Philips Medical Systems). Technique of MRI requires numerous sequences and imaging at multiple times after the administration of contrast at different axial and coronal plans. T2-weighted sequence: T2 HASTE and T2 fat-saturated, non-contrast T1-weighted sequences: T1 in-phase and out-of-phase, T1 fat-saturated, diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI): fat-saturated single-shot diffusion-weighted EPI, post-contrast dynamic sequences T1 2D or 3D gradient-echo sequences: arterial phase: 20–
We used the longitudinal, sagittal, and transverse plans for measuring the size of lesions by ultrasonography. By CT and MRI, we estimated the volume of the lesion from the axial and coronal images by measuring the antero-posterior, transverse, and longitudinal diameters.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS, Version 23 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Chi-square test was used to compare between the groups with respect to categorical data. Comparisons between two groups for normally distributed numeric variables were done using Student’s t test while for non-normally distributed numeric variables, comparisons were done by Mann–Whitney U test. Numerical variables were presented as mean ± SD. Descriptive statistics were reported as frequencies and percent.

Results
Of the 186 patients (54 males and 132 females; male/female ratio was 1:2.4) having 244 lesions, 137 had single lesion and 49 had more than 1 lesion. The smallest hemangioma was 1 cm, and the largest was 19 cm in diameter with median 4.7 cm.

During the median 16 (range 12–30) months follow-up, 89 patients (48%) showed an increase in tumor diameter, 41 (22%) tumor diameters decreased, and 56 (30%) tumor diameters were stable. Patient data are summarized in Table 1.

The current study showed that hemangioma more frequently affects the right lobe than the left lobe as 178 (73%) of hemangiomas were in the right lobe and 66 (27%) were in the left lobe.

Typical appearance of hemangioma by ultrasound was detected in 151 patient that all of them presented by a well-defined, lobulated and homogeneously hyperechoic lesions that is showing posterior acoustic enhancement, some of them located close to a hepatic or a portal vein. 35 patients presented by atypical findings, some lesions showed homogenous hypoechoic texture and other lesions showed peripheral high echogenicity and hypoechoic center, according to these atypical findings we decided to do further imaging modalities to confirm diagnosis (Fig. 1).

Twenty patient did triphasic CT and lesions gives typical features that is seen hypo-attenuating to hepatic parenchyma (Fig. 2). Fifteen patients did dynamic MRI and all lesions gives the typical features of hemangioma by MRI, all lesions was relatively hypointense to liver parenchyma at T2 images, hyperintense to liver parenchyma at T2 images, at dynamic sequences all lesions showed peripheral nodular discontinuous enhancement that is progresses centripetally toward the center at portal images, then retained contrast on delayed images, all was hyperintense on diffusion-weighted imaging due to slow blood flow, and hyperintense or mixed (hyper and hypointense regions) on ADC map (Fig. 3).

The majority of patients with liver hemangiomas were asymptomatic, 169 patients (91%), and most of the lesions were diagnosed during physical examination and investigations for other gastrointestinal diseases and abdominal discomfort. Seventeen patient were symptomatic (9%), 6 of those 17 patients had severe symptoms in the form of abdominal pain, distension and discomfort.

Table 1 Data of hepatic hemangioma patients included in the study

| Total number of patients | 186 |
|-------------------------|-----|
| Males                   | 54  |
| Females                 | 132 |

| Age (median, range) years | 45, (18–68) |
|--------------------------|-------------|

| Total number of hemangioma lesions | 244 |
|------------------------------------|-----|
| Number of patients with single lesion | 137 |
| Number of patients with multiple lesions | 49 |

| Hemangioma diameter (median, range) cm | 4.7 (1–21) |
|----------------------------------------|------------|

| Hemangioma location (total = 244 lesion) | |
|------------------------------------------|---------|
| Right lobe                               | 178 (73%) |
| Left lobe                                | 66 (27%) |
| Surface hemangioma                       | 212 (87%) |

| Intraparenchymatous hemangioma | 32 (13%) |

| Hemangioma growth pattern (number, %) | |
|--------------------------|---------|
| Increased in diameter    | 89 (48%) |
| Decreased                | 41 (22%) |
| Stable                   | 56 (30%) |

| Clinical presentation (number, %) | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Asymptomatic                      | 169 (91%) |
| Symptomatic                       | 17 (9%) |

| Patients with hemangioma diameter ≥ 10 cm | 35 (19%) |
|------------------------------------------|---------|

| Growth rate at (18–45-year group) mm/year, (mean ± SD) | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Males                                                 | 3.3 ± 2  |
| Females                                               | 3.9 ± 1.8 |

| Growth rate at (> 45-year group) mm/year              | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Males                                                 | 2.6 ± 1.7 |
| Females                                               | − 2.1 ± 1.1 |

Data are presented as number and % unless otherwise indicated.
while 11 of them had mild symptoms. The six patients with severe symptoms were fully investigated to exclude other gastrointestinal causes of their symptoms. 19% of patients (35/186) had hemangioma 10 cm diameter or more; all symptomatic patients were in this group (17/35 = 48.5%), while (18/35 = 51.5%) were asymptomatic in spite of the large diameter of hemangioma (Fig. 4).

Nineteen percent of patients (35/186) had hemangioma 10 cm diameter or more; all symptomatic patients were in this group (17/35 = 48.5%), while (18/35 = 51.5%) were asymptomatic in spite of the large diameter of hemangioma.

At the time of diagnosis, serum laboratory tests were within normal levels in 90.3% (168/186) of patients. Eighteen patients had slight elevation in liver transaminases, alkaline phosphatase, or bilirubin.

Growth pattern of hepatic hemangiomas
The median age of patients was 45 years and the range was 18–68 years. Patients were subdivided according to their age into two groups (18–45 and more than 45 years) to describe the effect of age and sex on hemangioma growth pattern.

The growth rate at 18–45-year group showed an increasing trend that was higher in females than in males. It was 3.3 ± 2 mm/year for males and 3.9 ± 1.8 mm/year for females in this group.

The growth rate at (> 45-year group) the female patients showed a decreasing trend (~ 2.1 ± 1.1 mm/year) with regression of hemangioma size most obvious between the ages of 55–68 years while in males, it showed an increasing trend that was slower than in 18–45-year group with 2.6 ± 1.7 mm/year.

As regards the 17 symptomatic patients, 11 patients with mild symptoms continued follow-up and responded to medical treatment of other associated gastrointestinal disorders and reassurance. Six patients with severe symptoms, 2 patients refused the operation and continued follow-up, 4 patients underwent surgery, 2 underwent enucleation, and 2 underwent liver resection with no postoperative complications or mortality. One asymptomatic case was suspicious and malignancy could not be excluded by imaging; the patient underwent surgery and proved to be negative for malignancy. Data of patients underwent surgery are summarized in Table 2.

Surgically treated lesions were huge, occupying nearly most of the right or left lobe and not only segment and were mainly peripheral.

No spontaneous or traumatic intraperitoneal hemorrhage occurred in the current study, and no recorded Kasabach–Merritt syndrome as it is a rare condition recorded only in children and our cases were adults.

Discussion
In the current study during follow-up, 48% of patients showed an increase in tumor diameters, 22% tumor diameters decreased, and 30% tumor diameters were stable. This is comparable to results of Ankang Wang et al.’s study who reported 40.3% of patients showed increased diameter, 40.6% decreased, and 19.1% stable. While in Jing L et al.’s study, 61.0% patients had hemangiomas that increased in size; 23.7% had stable lesions, and 8.5% decreased in size [12, 13].

Studies have reported that hepatic hemangioma is more likely to occur in female patients, and long exposure to estrogen promotes the growth of hepatic hemangioma [14]. In this study, male:female ratio was 1:2.4. Age is an important factor affecting the growth rate of hepatic hemangiomas [15]. In the current study, the growth before the age of 45 years showed an increasing trend that was higher in females than in males and after the age of 45 years the female patients showed a decreasing trend with regression of hemangioma size most obvious between the ages of 55 and 68 years while in males showed an increasing trend that was slower than in 18–45-year group.

The role of female gender in hemangioma growth has been discussed in a long-term follow-up study of Ankang Wang which revealed that there were significant differences in hemangioma growth between males and females [12].

---

**Fig. 1** Hemangioma as seen by ultrasonography. **a** Right lobe segment V well-defined hyper-echoic lesion close to hepatic vein. **b** Left lobe subcapsular segment II hyperechoic lesion
Abdominal pain and discomfort caused by distension of the liver capsule are the most common presentations of symptomatic hepatic hemangioma. In the current study, 91% of patients with liver hemangiomas were asymptomatic and 9% were symptomatic with no mortality or complications during the follow-up period. This is comparable to results of Jing L et al.’s study who reported that 21 of 220 patients (9.5%) were symptomatic while in Ankang Wang’s study, the symptomatic patients were about 6% [12, 13].

Some authors define the hemangioma as giant if more than 5 cm, and others define giant hemangiomas if more than 10 cm [16, 17]. Nineteen percent of the current study patients (35/186) had hemangioma diameter of > 10 cm; 51.5% were asymptomatic in spite of the large diameter of hemangioma and need no treatment but only observation, while 48.5% were symptomatic. This goes with Boukerrouche A’s study who concluded that size of the tumor alone is not indication for surgery [18].

Exclusion of other causes of abdominal pain in patient with liver hemangioma is mandatory. As in many recorded studies like in Farges and colleagues’ study, pain disappeared in 54% of patients after treatment of

![Fig. 2](image)

**Fig. 2** Large right hepatic lobe hemangioma as seen by triphasic CT. **a** Arterial phase showing faint peripheral enhancement of the lesion. **b** Porto-venous phase showing more pronounced peripheral nodular enhancement by the lesion. **c** Delayed images showing progressive contrast enhancement toward the center of the lesion

| Table 2 | Data of the five patients who underwent surgery for hepatic hemangioma |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------|
| n       | Age (years) | Gender | Tumor (cm) | Surgical indication | Surgical approach | Site      | Relief of symptom | Complications |
| 1       | 57         | Female  | 12.7       | Abdominal pain | Enucleation       | Right lobe | Yes               | No            |
| 2       | 53         | Male    | 11.3       | Abdominal pain | Enucleation       | Right lobe | Yes               | No            |
| 3       | 44         | Female  | 13.1       | Abdominal discomfort | Laparoscopic resection | Left lobe | Yes               | No            |
| 4       | 49         | Female  | 19         | Abdominal pain | Open resection    | Left lobe  | Yes               | No            |
| 5       | 58         | Male    | 10.5       | Atypical lesion | Open resection    | Right lobe | Yes               | No            |
associated disorders and in 4/11 patients, pain persisted even after tumor resection [19].

Many patients after incidental diagnosis of liver hemangioma get anxiety. Anxiety alone should never be considered as indication for surgery [20]. Malignant transformation is practically inexistent and the patients have to be reassured. Patients operated for hemangioma because of anxiety continued to have anxiety after surgery [21].

Spontaneous hemorrhage and Kasabach-Merritt syndrome are rare [22, 23]. In the current study, there was no spontaneous or traumatic intraperitoneal hemorrhage occurred, no recorded Kasabach–Merritt syndrome as it is recorded in children and our cases were adult. This is comparable to results of Jing L et al.’s study with no reported cases, while Akang Wang’s study reported only one case of 590 hepatic hemangioma patients diagnosed as spontaneous internal hemorrhage at surgery [12, 13].

Fig. 3 Axial dynamic MRI sequences of the liver for left lobe 3 cm sub-capsular hemangioma. a Axial T1 arterial phase showing faint peripheral enhancement. b Progressive enhancement at axial T1 portal phase. c Axial T1 delayed phase showing homogenous enhancement of the lesion. d The lesion showing bright signal at axial T2 images.

Fig. 4. Frequency of asymptomatic and symptomatic hemangioma patients

- Asymptomatic patients 169 (91%)
- Symptomatic patients 17 (9%)
Conclusion
Growth pattern of hemangiomas is affected by age and sex. The majority of hepatic hemangiomas are asymptomatic and complications are rare. Hemangioma size alone is not indication for surgery in asymptomatic patients. Surgical indications are limited to patients with severe symptoms, complications, or suspicious lesion. Most hemangiomas, even giant hemangiomas, can be managed conservatively.
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