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Abstract

Background: Even after completion of conventional treatment, breast cancer survivors continue to exhibit a variety of psychological and physical symptoms, affecting their quality of life. The study aimed to investigate the relationship between socio-demography, medical characteristics and health-related quality of life (HR-QOL) of a sample of breast cancer survivors in Malaysia. Materials and Methods: This pilot cross-sectional survey was conducted among breast cancer survivors (n=40) who were members of Breast Cancer Support Group Centre Johor Bahru. A validated self-administered questionnaire was used to identify the relationships between socio-demography, medical characteristics and HR-QOL of the participants. Results: Living with family and completion of treatment were significant predictive factors of self-rated QOL, while living with family and ever giving birth significantly predicted satisfaction with health and physical health. Psychological health had moderate correlations with number of children and early cancer stage. Survivors’ higher personal income (>MYR4,500) was the only significant predictor of social relationship, while age, income more than MYR4,500 and giving birth significantly predicted environment domain score. Conclusions: The findings suggested the survivors coped better in all four HR-QOL domains if they were married, lived with family, had children and were employed.
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Introduction

Breast cancer (BCa) is the most common cancer among Malaysian women, accounting for 16.5% of all registered cancer cases among Malaysian Peninsular women (National Cancer Registry, 2007). The treatment for BCa has improved by leaps and bounds in recent years coupled with advances in early detection, which have led to reduced mortality rate. The overall survival rate among Malaysian BCa survivors was reported to be between 43.5% and 75.7% (Taib et al., 2011; Ibrahim et al., 2012; Abdullah et al., 2013). We are now facing the issue of younger BCa survivors who may have many years of morbidity ahead.

In addition, the diagnosis of cancer still invokes the feeling of stress, fear and uncertainty (Yusuf et al., 2013). After completing treatment for BCa, these women continue to experience a variety of psychological and physical symptoms, need information and support (Cappiello et al., 2007). Longitudinal studies have shown impaired quality of life (QOL) of survivors beyond fifth year of diagnosis (Koch et al., 2013), highlighting the dire need for more focus on a continuous support program for BCa survivors. Research has shown poor coping in younger compared older survivors with regards to their families, work, appearance and body image (Thewes et al., 2004). Such studies are limited in Malaysia or South East Asia. While younger women had better physical functioning, older women did better in terms of both emotional well-being and communication with partner. Younger women who just completed BCa treatment reported worse global QOL and emotional well-being, and more disease specific concerns and depression compared to older survivors (Wenzel et al., 1999). Research findings have indicated that the diagnosis of BCa is associated with heightened levels of negative emotions and psychological distress, especially symptoms of anxiety and depression. Elevated symptoms of anxiety and depression near the time of diagnosis are typically reported in 30% to 40% of patients, a rate that is approximately three to four times of the general population (Epping-Jordan et al., 1999).

Hence there is a need to improve their quality of life (QOL), and a comprehensive multidimensional assessment of psychosocial factors will further improve...
BCa survivors’ overall level of well-being. The study aimed to investigate the relationship between socio-demography, medical characteristics and health-related quality of life (HR-QOL) of a sample of BCa survivors in Malaysia.

Materials and Methods

A pilot cross-sectional survey was conducted to identify the relationship between socio-demography, medical characteristics and HR-QOL of BCa survivors. The study was conducted at the Breast Cancer Support Group Centre Johor Bahru (BCSGJB). The ethical approval to conduct the study was obtained from Monash University Human Ethics Committee (CF14/814-2014000330) prior to data collection.

The survivors from BCSGJB were invited to participate in the study after obtaining written consent. No selection restriction was imposed with regards to ethnicity, histology of BCa, disease stage, and demographic characteristics. Women who could not self-administer the study questionnaire were excluded. All of the participants were assured of confidentiality.

Table 1. Sociodemography and Medical History of the Study Participants

| Age             | Mean (SD) | 57.5 (9.8) |
|-----------------|-----------|------------|
| <50             | 13 (32.5) |
| 50–59           | 8 (20.0)  |
| >60             | 19 (47.5) |
| Marital status  |           |            |
| Married         | 32 (80.0) |
| Single          | 4 (10.0)  |
| Divorced/widowed| 4 (10.0)  |
| Education       |           |            |
| No education/primary | 6 (15.0) |
| Secondary      | 23 (57.5) |
| Tertiary       | 11 (27.5) |
| Income (MYR)    |           |            |
| <1,800          | 10 (25.0) |
| 1,801–4,500     | 19 (47.5) |
| >4,500          | 11 (27.5) |
| Occupation      |           |            |
| Unemployed      | 14 (35.0) |
| Full-time       | 7 (17.5)  |
| Part-time       | 4 (10.0)  |
| Retired         | 15 (37.5) |
| Living arrangement |       |            |
| Living alone    | 2 (5.0)   |
| Living with family | 38 (95.0)|
| Ever given birth|           |            |
| Yes             | 34 (85.0) |
| No              | 6 (15.0)  |
| Number of children|        |            |
| None            | 10 (25.0) |
| 1–2             | 17 (42.5) |
| >3              | 13 (32.5) |
| Stage of cancer |           |            |
| Early           | 33 (82.5) |
| Late            | 7 (17.5)  |
| Age at diagnosis (years) |       |            |
| <40             | 7 (17.5)  |
| 40–49           | 15 (37.5) |
| 50–59           | 11 (27.5) |
| >60             | 7 (17.5)  |
| Duration since diagnosis (years) |       |            |
| <5              | 23 (57.5) |
| 6–10            | 7 (17.5)  |
| 11–15           | 4 (10.0)  |
| >15             | 6 (15.0)  |
| Completion of treatment |     |            |
| Yes             | 35 (87.5) |
| No              | 5 (12.5)  |
| Duration since completion of treatment (years) |    |            |
| <3              | 13 (37.1) |
| 3–5             | 6 (17.1)  |
| >5              | 16 (45.7) |

Study instrument

Data were collected using a self-administered structured questionnaire that comprised of questions on the participants’ socio-demography, medical characteristics and HR-QOL. Socio-demographic data collected included age, marital status, education level, house-hold income, employment status, type of accommodation and number of children, and medical characteristics consisting of age of onset, disease stage and completion of treatment were also obtained. We assessed the HR-QOL using the validated WHOQOL-BREF with items on overall QOL, satisfaction with health, experience during past 4 weeks, ability to look after oneself and manage challenges, along with negative feelings she may have experienced. The questionnaire took approximately 20 minutes to be completed.

Statistical analysis

The independent variables were the socio-demographic and medical characteristics, while the HR-QOL was the dependent variable. Descriptive statistics (frequency, percentages, mean, standard deviation and range) were used to describe the data. Association between the factors and HR-QOL were determined using chi-square analysis,
correlations and multiple linear regressions. The statistical analysis was performed with IBM® SPSS® 20.0, and statistical significance was set at p=0.05.

**Results**

**Study participants**

The characteristics of study participants are presented in Table 1. Majority of the participants (47.5%) was above the age of 60 years. Eighty percent of them were married. Many (85%) had at least secondary level education, with 27.5% of them had full-time or part-time employment. Most (47.5%) belonged to middle income group (MYR1800-4500), followed by higher income group (>MYR4500) 27.5%, and then by low income group (>MYR1800) 25%. Almost all (95%) were living with their families and only a few lived alone (5%).

Majority had delivered before (85%) and but some had no surviving child/children (25%). Most (82.5%) were diagnosed with early cancer stage, and the rest were picked at late stage (17.5%). More than half the women interviewed were diagnosed less than five years ago, and a small percentage (12.5%) of the survivors was still undergoing treatment at the time of interview. More than

**Table 2. Mean Difference in HR-QOL Domain Scores According to Sociodemography and Medical History of Study Participants**

| Domain            | Factor                  | Mean (SD) | P     | Pairwise comparison a | Mean difference (95% CI) | P     |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| **Physical Health** | Marital status          |           |       |                       |                          |       |
|                   | Married                 | 63.6 (8.8) | 0.042*| Married vs Single     | 12.2 (0.85 – 23.59)      | 0.033*|
|                   | Single                  | 51.4 (10.6)|       |                       |                          |       |
|                   | Divorced / widowed      | 62.9 (6.0) |       |                       |                          |       |
| Occupation        | Unemployed              | 62.2 (8.5) | 0.006*| Unemployed vs part-time| 13.1 (0.57 – 25.55)      | 0.038*|
|                   | Full-time               | 68.6 (4.0) |       | Full-time vs part-time| 19.4 (5.62 – 33.23)      | 0.022*|
|                   | Part-time               | 49.1 (12.6)|       |                       |                          |       |
|                   | Retired                 | 63.3 (9.3) |       |                       |                          |       |
| Living arrangement| Living alone            | 38.9 (3.2) | <0.001**|                       |                          |       |
|                   | With family             | 63.6 (7.7) |       |                       |                          |       |
| Ever given birth  | Yes                     | 64.7 (6.9) | <0.001**|                       |                          |       |
|                   | No                      | 48.8 (10.2)|       |                       |                          |       |
| Stage             | Early                   | 63.9 (8.3) | 0.023*|                       |                          |       |
|                   | Late                    | 55.2 (11.3)|       |                       |                          |       |
| Psychological     | Income (MYR)            |           |       |                       |                          |       |
|                   | <1,800                  | 56.8 (5.8) | 0.017*| <1,800 vs >4,500      | -8.7 (-15.86 – -1.44)    | 0.015*|
|                   | 1,801–4,500             | 62.9 (7.2) |       |                       |                          |       |
|                   | >4,500                  | 65.5 (6.7) |       |                       |                          |       |
| Occupational      | Unemployed              | 62.7 (8.4) | 0.048*| Full-time vs part-time| 12.4 (0.80 – 23.96)      | 0.032*|
|                   | Full-time               | 67.0 (4.5) |       |                       |                          |       |
|                   | Part-time               | 54.7 (6.3) |       |                       |                          |       |
|                   | Retired                 | 61.2 (6.2) |       |                       |                          |       |
| Living arrangement| Living alone            | 49.3 (1.9) | 0.010*|                       |                          |       |
|                   | With family             | 62.7 (6.9) |       |                       |                          |       |
| Ever given birth  | Yes                     | 63.5 (6.8) | 0.002*|                       |                          |       |
|                   | No                      | 53.8 (4.6) |       |                       |                          |       |
| Number of children| None                    | 53.8 (4.6) | 0.012*| None vs 1-2           | -9.3 (-17.00 – -1.57)    | 0.015*|
|                   | 1-2                     | 63.1 (6.4) |       | None vs >3           | -9.6 (-17.62 – -1.60)    | 0.016|
|                   | >3                      | 63.4 (7.6) |       |                       |                          |       |
| Stage             | Early                   | 63.2 (7.1) | 0.034*|                       |                          |       |
|                   | Late                    | 56.8 (6.3) |       |                       |                          |       |
| Social relationship| Ever given birth        |           |       |                       |                          |       |
|                   | Yes                     | 63.7 (10.4)| 0.048*|                       |                          |       |
|                   | No                      | 55.1 (5.5) |       |                       |                          |       |
| Environment       | Marital status          |           |       |                       |                          |       |
|                   | Married                 | 64.2 (7.6) | 0.013*| Married vs Single     | 11.2 (1.95 – 20.42)      | 0.015*|
|                   | Single                  | 53.0 (4.8) |       |                       |                          |       |
|                   | Divorced / widowed      | 59.0 (3.5) |       |                       |                          |       |
| Living arrangement| Living alone            | 51.0 (4.2) | 0.030*|                       |                          |       |
|                   | With family             | 63.2 (7.5) |       |                       |                          |       |
| Ever given birth  | Yes                     | 64.2 (7.2) | 0.001*|                       |                          |       |
|                   | No                      | 53.3 (3.7) |       |                       |                          |       |
| Number of children| None                    | 53.3 (3.7) | 0.005*| None vs 1-2           | -11.8 (-20.00 – -3.63)   | 0.003*|
|                   | 1-2                     | 65.2 (7.2) |       | None vs >3           | -9.4 (-17.92 – -0.96)    | 0.027*|
|                   | >3                      | 62.8 (7.7) |       |                       |                          |       |
| Stage             | Early                   | 63.6 (7.4) | 0.042*|                       |                          |       |
|                   | Late                    | 57.1 (8.2) |       |                       |                          |       |

*Significant at p<0.05; **significant at p<0.00; aBonferonni post-hoc analysis done only for independent variables with more than 2 categories . Only significant results are shown
45% completed the treatment for more than five years.

**HR-QOL of the study participants**

Generally, 85% of the survivors rated their QOL to be at least ‘good’ (Figure 1) and only 7.5% of them were dissatisfied with their health (Figure 2). Mean HR-QOL domain scores were compared between various socio-demographic and medical characteristics (Table 2). Pairwise comparison revealed married survivors to have higher physical health score compared to singles (Δ=12.2; 95% CI=0.85-23.59, p=0.033). We noted an order in the physical health score with occupation: full-timers scored higher than part-timers (Δ=19.4; 95% CI=5.62-32.23, p=0.022) and part-timers scored higher than unemployed survivors (Δ=13.1; 95% CI=0.57-25.55, p=0.038). Survivors who lived with family, ever given birth and diagnosed at an early stage of cancer also had significantly better physical health score.

Psychological domain score found to be significantly different between occupation and income groups (p<0.05). BCa survivors who have been working full-time have significantly higher psychological score than those who worked part-time (Δ=12.4; 95% CI=0.80-23.96, p=0.032), while those who earned the lowest (<MYR1,800) had significantly lower psychological score than those who earned more than MYR4,500 (Δ=8.7; 95% CI=-15.86--1.44, p=0.015). Those who lived with family, ever given birth before and diagnosed at earlier stage had better psychological score (p<0.05). Women with no children had significantly lower psychological score compared to those who had one or two children (Δ=-9.3; 95% CI=-17.00--1.57, p=0.015), and those who had three or more children (Δ=-9.6; 95% CI=-17.62--1.60, p=0.016).

In contrast, the only significant difference we could find in the social relationship domain score was between survivors who had ever given birth and who had never experienced it. Women who gave birth scored higher than those who never gave birth with acceptable significance (63.7±10.4 vs 55.1±5.5, p=0.048).

Married BCa survivors had higher mean environment score than singles (Δ=11.2; 95% CI=1.95-20.42, p=0.015). Similar to physical health and psychological domains, women who lived with their family, ever given birth and diagnosed at earlier stage of cancer had better environment score (p<0.05). Women with no children had significantly lower psychological score compared to those who had one or two children (Δ=-11.8; 95% CI=-20.00--3.63, p=0.003), and those who had three or more children (Δ=-9.4; 95% CI=-17.92--0.96, p=0.027).

Table 3. Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

| Predictive factor | r     | Beta   | t     | P     | 95% CI  |
|-------------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|---------|
| Self-rate of QOL  |       |        |       |       |         |
| Living with family| 0.427 | -0.467 | -3.161| 0.003 | -1.757--0.381 |
| Completion of treatment | 0.271 | 0.309 | 2.094 | 0.044 | 0.013-0.925 |
| Adjusted $R^2=0.243$, $R^2=0.286$, $F=13.247$, p<0.001 |
| Satisfaction with health |       |        |       |       |         |
| Living with family | 0.562 | -0.605 | -4.647| <0.001| -2.776--1.086 |
| Ever given birth | 0.353 | 0.346 | 2.656 | 0.012 | 0.171-1.291 |
| Adjusted $R^2=0.412$, $R^2=0.445$, $F=6.605$, p=0.004 |
| Physical health |       |        |       |       |         |
| Living with family | 0.586 | 0.437 | 2.955 | 0.006 | 3.464-18.783 |
| Ever given birth | 0.62  | -0.359 | -2.426| 0.021 | -27.319--2.395 |
| Adjusted $R^2=0.459$, $R^2=0.490$, $F=15.858$, p<0.001 |
| Psychological |       |        |       |       |         |
| Number of children | 0.487 | 0.467 | 3.262 | 0.003 | 1.030-4.446 |
| Early stage of cancer | 0.337 | 0.299 | 2.084 | 0.045 | 0.131-10.795 |
| Adjusted $R^2=0.285$, $R^2=0.326$, $F=7.990$, p=0.001 |
| Social relationship |       |        |       |       |         |
| Income more than MYR4,500 | 0.245 | 0.394 | 2.499 | 0.017 | 1.815-17.613 |
| Adjusted $R^2=0.285$, $R^2=0.326$, $F=7.990$, p=0.001 |
| Environment |       |        |       |       |         |
| Age | 0.203 | 0.387 | 2.883 | 0.007 | 0.090-0.522 |
| Income more than MYR4,500 | 0.275 | 0.345 | 2.526 | 0.017 | 1.269-11.842 |
| Ever given birth | 0.502 | 0.484 | 3.609 | 0.001 | 4.463-16.027 |
| Adjusted $R^2=0.413$, $R^2=0.463$, $F=9.197$, p<0.001 |

**Relationship between socio-demography, medical history and HR-QOL**

Multiple linear regression analysis was performed...
to establish the relationship between socio-demography, medical history and HR-QOL of BCa survivors (Table 3). Living with family and completion of treatment were significant predictors of self-rated QOL (p<0.05). Both factors explained 28.6% variation in self-rated QOL in our sample. Giving birth and living with family were socio-demographic factors that were significant predictors of satisfaction with health, explaining 44.5% variation. Giving birth and living with family found to be strongly correlated with physical health score and also significantly predicted the score (p<0.05). Psychological health had moderate correlations with number of children and early cancer stage. These factors significantly predicted psychological health, explaining 32.6% of variation in psychological health score. Survivors’ higher personal income (>MYR4,500) was the only significant predictor of social relationship, while age, income more than MYR4,500 and giving birth significantly predicted environment domain score, explaining 46.3% variation in the outcome.

Discussion

Given the rarity of BCa among males in general and particularly in this country, we recruited 40 female BCa survivors to our study. The mean age of our participants when surveyed was 57.45 years and majority of them were diagnosed between the age of 40 and 49 years old, which is in line with Malaysian data. Approximately 50% of Asian women studied by Yip and colleagues (Yip et al., 2006) were less than 50 years when diagnosed with BCa and Surakasula (Surakasula et al., 2014) reported the average age of women at diagnosis was 47.7 years. In contrast, BCa incidence in Western women less than 50 years was only 23% (Smigal et al., 2006). This slight variation in age of diagnosis could be due to different culture or BCa knowledge among women which could have resulted in early or late diagnosis. However, women in this age group tend to have younger children and are still in the prime of their lives thus making it important to study their QOL. Eighty percent of our survivors were married, whereas previous studies (Dorjgochoo et al., 2010; Kumar et al., 2010; Kwan et al., 2010; Mohamed and Muhamad, 2013) reported between 63% and 88% participants to be married. Marital intimacy, relationship or partner’s knowledge on BCa, might have contributed to early detection.

Eighty five percent of our subjects had secondary to tertiary level education. Rimpela and colleagues (Rimpela and Pukkala, 1987) have related BCa with higher education, Bower et al. (2000) also observed that majority of patients were college graduates, while most of Gantz et al. (2003) subjects were post graduates. Many of Kwan et al. (2010) subjects (85%) had post high school education and a local study by Yusuf et al. (2013) suggested most to have at least secondary level education, which is similar to our results. Higher education brings in better cancer knowledge with early seeking of medical help, resulting in higher detection rates.

Over a quarter of our survivors (27.5%) were employed full or part-time, while the majority (72.5%) was unemployed or retired. Previous studies suggested almost similar proportion of working survivors (Kumar et al., 2010; Kwan et al., 2010). One fourth of the respondents had income less than MYR1,800 (low income) and this percentage is much lower than ones reported in most previous studies (Bower et al., 2000; Ganz et al., 2003; Blanchard et al., 2004; Dorjgochoo et al., 2010; Kwan et al., 2010). Almost all of our respondents (95%) were living with their families and this proportion is much higher than reported in previous studies (57-60%) (Fairley TL et al., 2010; Ivanauskiene et al., 2014). The proportion of those who lived with family varied between our and other researches, which can be due to different family or social set ups, as in east most of people live in a joint family system contrary to the West.

Majority had given birth before (85%), similar to previous report (Mohamed and Muhamad, 2013). However, 25% said they had no children implying child loss during or after childbirth. Although pregnancy has been suggested to be a protective factor for BCa, this was not reflected in our data. This may be because protective factors included full term pregnancy at an earlier age, and full term pregnancies beyond 35 years of age do not confer the same protective effect according to (Kvale and Heuch, 1987).

Most of our respondents (82.5%) were diagnosed at early stage, which is higher than data from the National Cancer Registry of Malaysia which showed that 58% of women presented with early stage BCa (Zainal Arrifin and Nor Saleha, 2011). Similarly previous studies indicated many were diagnosed at an early stage (Härtl K et al., 2003; Kwan et al., 2010). Above figures may reflect an increasing trend of cancer awareness in public with an early diagnosis. More than half of our survivors were diagnosed less than 5 years back, bearing similarity with Blanchard’s study (Blanchard et al., 2004). Several of Fairly’s (Fairley et al., 2010) participants (48.2%) were diagnosed within last 5 years, whereas most of the subjects in Henderson’s study (Henderson et al., 2012) were detected over a year, but some were diagnosed between 7 - 12months. Indicating that many have recovered recently and might be in early recovery phase, experiencing cancer related physical or psychological ordeals.

In our study, living arrangement was correlated with many aspects of QOL. Living with family predicted self-rated QOL, satisfaction with health and physical health, and those who stayed with their family had higher mean physical health, psychological and environment score. Each ethnic group has its own specific cultural and coping mechanisms. Our participants were mostly Chinese, Cheng and colleagues (Cheng et al., 2013) studied BCa survivors in China, and stated that the primary source of emotional support came from family, and a study among Chinese and Korean American BCa (Lim and Ashing-Giwa, 2013) reported a better HRQOL with family communication and flexibility. This supports our findings that those living with family had better outcomes.

High income (>MYR4,500) positively predicted social relationship and environment score. Women who reported lower income (<MYR1,800) had lowest psychological score. Kwan et al. (2010) highlighted a direct association between social well-being scores and income. In addition,
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