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Abstract: The article deals with the study on the efficiency of units for porous ammonium nitrate production. The ways which increase the effective implementation of energy resources are determined by including the ejector recycling module, heat and mass exchangers that utilize principles of regenerative indirect evaporative cooling, and the sub-atmospheric inverse Brayton cycle. Mixed exergy analysis evaluates all flows of the system contour as those of the same value. The target parameter for determining the efficiency of both systems is the ratio of the unit's productivity to the exergy expenditures to produce the unit mass of the product. As a result, it is found that the mentioned devices and units enable to increase the efficiency of the basic scheme by 87%.
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1. Introduction

Some chemical manufacturing units use energy to produce heat, electricity and raw materials which can amount to 85% of the production costs [1], making it possible to consider energy efficiency an essential component of the chemical unit design. The analysis in the research [2] enables to single out such initiative measures for increasing energy efficiency as improvement of existing processes; introduction (commercialization) of new processes; recycling; investments in renewable energy sources; creating products that save energy. However, the US chemical industry’s share of the total energy consumed is 6% despite all the implemented advanced energy efficiency measures [3].

Analysis of the data [4–6] concludes that various heat exchangers make almost half of the chemical units’ equipment. It is necessary to reduce the number of heat exchangers investigating new mechanisms for utilizing the temperature and humidity potential of flows to ensure energy efficiency in the units.

The estimated prime cost of chemical production shows that the cost of raw materials and their preparation, including its temperature potential change takes up to 70% of this indicator [7,8]. This fact requires further revision to preparing raw materials and semi-finished products and recycling production waste in both physical and energy forms.

Granulation process as a method of forming various substances from solutions and melts are widely used in the chemical industry [9–11] and the pharmaceutical [12–15] and other industries, as well as in the production of granules with unique properties [16] (for
example, porous ammonium nitrate, considered in this work). The high-temperature heat transfer agents and wet streams in granulation processes open the prospect of introducing and successfully implementing effective methods to utilize the temperature and humidity potential of granulation units.

The porous ammonium nitrate can be produced in granulation units by various methods described in the works of Cardarelli and Janssen [17,18]. Analysis of the advantages and disadvantages of the existing methods for producing porous ammonium nitrate suggests a new approach. It is a combination of humidification and heat treatment of ordinary ammonium nitrate. Artyukhov and Artyukhova in their works [19,20] describe in detail various stages of this process. One should note that the production of the porous ammonium nitrate can be improved by creating additional conditions for the utilization of heat and moisture of the used heat transfer agent (drying agent) and using the obtained temperature and humidity potential at other stages of getting a granular product in the same unit.

There are two large blocks of methods for improving the energy efficiency of chemical units:

Block 1. To improve the devices of the chemical unit and the organization of the flow motion inside them (internal efficiency).

Block 2. To improve the flow motion organization and methods for changing their potential in a chemical unit (external efficiency).

One can give the following examples of methods from the first block: investigations on the active hydrodynamic modes in devices represented by Artyukhov [21], the design of multistage and multifunctional devices were considered by Artyukhov [22,23].

The key aspect in increasing the energy efficiency of the heat-mass transfer equipment provides the high specific indicators in the heat-mass transfer process (U value (factor), heat transfer coefficient, conductivity) and the required properties of heat-mass transfer surfaces. For example, the use of recuperators in which one or several heat carriers is transformed (condensed, evaporated, or boiled) can significantly increase the efficiency of the heat recovery process (according to the results of experimental studies GTI—Maisotsenko-Cycle Based Humidified Air Recuperator (HAR) and Water Heater Validation). For example, new thermodynamic principles for air-evaporative cooling of a large amount of heat carrier in cooling towers will provide a significant economic effect [24] (GTI—Method and apparatus for dew point evaporative product cooling). Besides, new materials and coating can be used to achieve these indicators and reach a new qualitative equipment level. Their implementation can significantly reduce energy consumption and increase equipment reliability, such as not using the pump working with highly efficient capillary-porous materials [25,26]. Efficient heat and mass transfer equipment allow developing new solutions for many industrial processes and units.

The simplest solution in the second block of energy efficiency methods is to use a heat transfer agent’s recirculation without additional preparation. The successful application of such a solution for multistage shelf dryers is presented in the study of Artyukhova [27]. However, there are more complex but more effective ways.

In the chemical industry, which simultaneously can use many flows with various substances with different thermal and caloric parameters, it is essential to ensure the most complete and positive effect of some processes on others. So, for example, in the utilization ejector module for the granulation scheme of porous ammonium nitrate [24,28], in addition to the nitrogen oxides conversion into higher oxides (the main effect), there is a significant inflow of thermal energy (secondary energy resource), which can and should be used (heating, drying, humidification, electricity generation [29,30]) for the needs of their chemical production or related enterprises/workshops or the population. It is advisable to organize a staged heat removal and recuperation since it will let more efficiently distribute energy between its consumers to ensure the available secondary energy resources use. In this regard, it is rational to use the evaporative indirect-regenerative cooling devices (M-cycle) since it allows removing heat effectively and obtaining highly enthalpy streams of
highly humid air for their further humidification, heating or electricity generation. Besides, these devices use renewable energy from the environment [31] which, in addition to other renewable energy sources (e.g., solar), develops modules using the reverse sub-atmospheric Brayton cycle and makes a significant positive contribution to the overall scheme efficiency.

Some researchers and organizations (GTI, SoftInWay), who provide promising theoretical calculations results [30,32], have studied the sub-atmospheric reverse Brayton cycle. Among the leading scientific and practical problems in implementing this cycle is to develop a highly efficient heat and mass transfer device—a recuperator. The researchers propose to use a plate or spiral heat and mass transfer devices implementing the principles of evaporative indirect regenerative cooling (M-cycle). Therefore, it is relevant to study the potential of these devices in chemical production schemes to solve heat recovery and energy production problems.

Many methods can be used to efficiently analyze complex systems where various flows of heat, mass, and power are interdependent and interact pinch analysis, entropy generation minimization (EGM), exergy, thermoeconomic analysis and others. Pinch analysis (PA) shows its fast-developing nature for many fields of applications. PA offers a rational framework to identify energy saving targets and design efficient heat recovery networks, especially in the process industry [33,34]. However, according to authors [35] PA is not suitable for processes dealing with changes in pressures and compositions of the materials. In our further investigations, it seems rational to consider a combined innovative approach proposed by [35] and adopt it for optimization operating processes of units for the porous ammonium nitrate granulation. Such a powerful tool as EGM is useful for optimization operating processes in various applications (cryogenics, heat storage, power generation, etc.) through minimization of the calculated entropy generation rate. However, one should relate the thermodynamic non-ideality degree of the design to the physical features of the system, namely to finite dimensions, shapes, materials, finite speeds and finite-time intervals of operation, to take the maximum advantage from EGM method [36,37]. Thus, EGM will be more appropriate when the main operation modes and design of the elements, which are part of the complex system, will be defined. A similar situation is with thermoeconomic and exergo-economic analysis. It is complicated to obtain the necessary data for accurate calculation when the new layout of the unit for the porous ammonium nitrate granulation is considered, and new significant components are not designed or customized but considered black-box systems. Therefore, within the current investigation, we decided to provide an evaluative exergy analysis and amount only to the determination of system efficiency indicators [38].

Since the 50s of the last century, various industrial fields have implemented the exergy method of analysis that became one of the main ways to analyze, evaluate, and optimize the systems [39]. This approach is relatively flexible and universal for energy systems as a whole and their components [40–46]. The scheme components’ analysis allows evaluating their effectiveness separately and determining the element that leads to a decrease in the system efficiency. In publication [47], the authors describe the fundamentals and recommendations for applying the exergy analysis device.

This method is based on an approach in which all its flows come to a single “measure,” that is, “exergy”, dividing them into costs and beneficial effect (“fuel and product”) [47], and separating losses and exergy destruction [48]. If the initial data on the above flows are available at the design stage of the unit/device, it is possible to assess the exergy efficiency and, if necessary, to increase it.

Yukhimenko [49] demonstrates a technique for the exergy analysis of technological flows of the phosphorus-potassium fertilizer production, which uses the classical approach for the energy system. This technique defines product exergy due to its parameters. In this case, it is not entirely correct. The beneficial effect includes its quality, state and quantity at the output with minimal costs for its production, not the outcome thermodynamic parameters (affecting the exergy efficiency of the system) in the production of the material product.
A mixed exergy analysis is used to compare both schemes for porous ammonium nitrate production in the current work. This approach lets assess the efficiency of the schemes and the potential of secondary energy resources, which can be useful in this scheme or adjacent systems. The essence of the mixed exergy analysis is that the specific indicator, which is nothing more than the expenditure of specific exergy for production of a unit of mass of the product, is used for the assessment. It also allows determining the flows (energy, substandard, etc.) that can be utilized within the circuit design and minimize harmful emissions into the environment. For example, replacing an electric heater with an ejector recycling module to obtain the high temperatures required for the drying process leads to the fact that the residual heat is used to heat water and to generate electricity in the sub-atmospheric Brayton cycle [30,50,51]. In the research Živić [52], the authors calculated the Brayton cycle with different features. As a result, the exergy efficiency was assessed, which, in contrast to the thermal efficiency (which includes various types of energies), showed the preferable operating parameters in the cycle. Thus, the exergy analysis of complex systems and comparison of their versions, given its flexibility and versatility, is of scientific and practical interest.

2. Description of Schemes

This section describes the circuit design and technological scheme of granulation (Figure 1). The presented system produces porous ammonium nitrate as a component of an explosive industrial ANFO (ammonium nitrate—fuel oil). The principle of operation is as follows.

![Figure 1. Considered exergy contour B of the basic technological scheme of porous ammonium nitrate granulation. I—gas blower; II—air heater; III—granulator; IV—bunker; V—compressor; VI—pump; VII—filter; VIII—capacity; IX—bunker; X—pump; XI—tank 2; XII—gas blower; XIII—absorber XIV—cooler; XV—gas blowers.](image_url)

In the vortex granulator III, the seeding agents’ granules (ordinary ammonium nitrate) are fed for further humidification with an ammonium nitrate solution coming from mixer VIII and dehydration in the granulator with a stream of hot heat transfer agent (heated air) from the heat exchanger II. The saturated steam under pressure heats a hot heat transfer agent. Similarly, the ammonium nitrate solution is heated before it is applied to the seeding
agent granule’s surface. After humidification and heat treatment (drying), the porous ammonium nitrate granules are sent for cooling in the device XIV. The exhaust air is sent to device XIII for cleaning. The scheme provides the reuse of production waste to prepare a solution of small granules, the dust of ammonium nitrate and ammonia water after it absorbed waste from the used heat transfer agent.

The unit is implemented for thermomechanical processing of the product from seeding agent’s granules with a solution applied on them to the productional granules with given physical parameters (density, humidity ratio content, porosity, hardness, geometric dimensions) with the maximum possible performance, and not to produce work, cold or heat. Thus, all incoming exergy streams are consumed to obtain a mass flow of the final product (granular ammonium nitrate). In this case, the exergy potential of the final product is not significant, and the mass flow rate mainly influences the efficiency of the scheme.

3. Methodology

This section provides an approach to the unit’s exergy analysis to produce the porous ammonium nitrate and the contour elements included in the system, restricting it with a conditional control contour “M” (Figure 2), crossed by supplied and removed exergy flows (mechanical/electrical, substance flow, chemical) of various amount, quality, and type. Exergy losses are also diverted through the control contour.

![Diagram](image)

Figure 2. Considered exergy contour M of the modified technological scheme of porous ammonium nitrate granulation.

I—ejector; II—HMX 1; III—granulator; IV—HMX 2; V—heat exchanger 1; VI—pump; VII—filter; VIII—tank; IX—bunker; X—Brayton’s sub-atmospheric inverse cycle with HAR; XI—heat exchanger 2; XII—Reactor-Utilizer; XIII—heat exchanger 3; XIV—cooler; XV—gas blowers; XVI, XVII—fan; XVII—gas blower (compressor).

When performing an exergy analysis of any system, it is necessary correctly to determine the input and output exergy flows and their role. The general exergy balance Equation (2):

\[ \sum \dot{E}_{x_{in}} = \sum \dot{E}_{x_{out}} + \sum \dot{E}_{x_{loss}} + \sum \dot{E}_{x_{des}} \]  

(1)

The exergy equation of a one-component substance flow is as follows:

\[ \dot{E}_x = \dot{m}_x \cdot e_x = \dot{m}_x \cdot [h_1 - h_0 - T_0 \cdot (s_1 - s_0)] \]  

(2)
Since humid air is one of the working substances in the system, we will observe it as a two-component substance (air and water vapor) for convenient calculation. Then, the equation of a two-component substance will be as follows:

\[
\dot{E}_{x_{ha}} = \dot{m}_j \left[ e_{x_j,da} + d_{j,ha} \cdot e_{x_j,v} \right] = \dot{m}_j \left[ h_{j,da} - h_{0,da} - T_0 \left( s_{j,da} - s_{0,da} \right) + +d_{j,ha} \cdot \left( h_{j,v} - h_{0,v} - T_0 \left( s_{j,v} - s_{0,v} \right) \right) \right] \tag{3}
\]

In the previous calculation model, humid air is considered a real mixture by Equation (3). The exergy equation can be rewritten for an ideal mixture as (4). The calculations of humidity ratio of water vapor in humid air are a prerequisite, omitting which one can get a false result:

\[
\dot{E}_{x_{ha,j}} = \dot{m}_j \cdot \left[ \frac{T_0 \cdot \left( c_{p,da} + d_j \cdot c_{p,v} \right) \left( \frac{T_{ha,j}}{T_0} - 1 - \ln \frac{T_{ha,j}}{T_0} \right)}{\ln \left( \frac{0.622 + d_j}{0.622 + d_0} \right) \frac{p_j}{p_0 (0.622 + d_j)} + d_j \cdot \ln \frac{d_j}{d_0}} \right] \tag{4}
\]

We will use Equation (2) by introducing some transformations to calculate the exergy of a solid:

\[
\dot{E}_{x_j} = \dot{m}_j \cdot e_{x_j} = \dot{m}_j \cdot c_p \left[ (T_j - T_0) - T_0 \cdot \ln \left( \frac{T_j}{T_0} \right) \right] \tag{5}
\]

One can use the approximate equation [39] to calculate the exergy of the fuel supplied to the system:

\[
\dot{E}_{x_{Q_j}} = \dot{m}_j \cdot K \cdot HHV, \tag{6}
\]

where \( K = 0.95 \) —coefficient for gaseous fuels with more than one carbon atom in their molecules. This equation is used with coefficient \( K = 0.975 \) for liquid fuel.

It is permissible to use the approximate Equation (6) from the point of view of obtaining a quantitatively correct result since under the conditions, usual exergy losses during combustion are so significant that the error related to the approximate methods turns out to be quite acceptable.

4. Assessing the Systems Effectiveness

This section deals with assessing the effectiveness of both systems using the exergy analysis of mixed exergy indicators. This analysis allows us to amount all the production costs to a single dimension—“exergy”. The exergy efficiency is one of the target functions for exergy analysis of energy systems designed to produce heat, cold or work (7). The target function for technological systems designed to create a material product is the ratio of the material product performance to the exergy expenditure for its production (8). The task is to minimize the value of \( \sum \dot{E}_{x_{in}} \) or to obtain an additional useful effect/product:

\[
\eta_{ex} = \frac{\dot{E}_{x_{out}}}{\dot{E}_{x_{in}}} = \frac{\dot{E}_{x_{in}} - \dot{E}_{x_{out}}}{\dot{E}_{x_{in}}} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \dot{E}_{x_{loss}} + \dot{E}_{x_{des}} \right)_i}{\dot{E}_{x_{in}}} \tag{7}
\]

Environmental parameters, which form the starting point for the substance flow exergy are pressure \( p_0 = 101,325 \) Pa, temperature \( T_0 = 293 \) K.

4.1. Basic Scheme

The first stage of the analysis is to derive an equation of all flows brought to the system—costs, Equation (8):

\[
e_m = \frac{\dot{m}_p + \dot{m}_{NOx}}{\sum \dot{E}_{x_{in}} - \dot{E}_{x_{prof}}} \tag{8}
\]
Since the basic scheme does not provide for NOx recycling and does not generate electricity, thus Equation (8) for the basic scheme is:

\[
e_m = \frac{\dot{m}_p}{\sum \dot{E}_{xin}}
\]

(9)

Table 1 summarizes the exergy values included in Equation (10), Figure 3 shows the incoming exergy stream and outgoing one via Sankey diagram:

\[
\sum \dot{E}_{xin}^b = \dot{E}_{xin} + \dot{E}_{xa} + \dot{E}_{xv} + \dot{E}_{xw} + \dot{E}_{QII} + \dot{E}_{QVIII} + \dot{E}_{N I} + \dot{E}_{N V} + \dot{E}_{N VI} + \dot{E}_{N X} + \dot{E}_{N XII} + \sum \dot{E}_{N XV}
\]

(10)

Table 1. The values of exergy crossing the contour of the basic scheme.

| Index | Mass Flow Rate, \( \dot{m}_p \), kg/h | Temperature, \( T_j \), K | Pressure, \( p_j \), Pa | Humidity Ratio, \( d_j \), kg/l/kg | The Value of the Total Exergy, \( E_j \), kW |
|-------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| \( \dot{E}_{xin} \) | 1608                                   | 293                      | 101,325                | -                               | 0                                           |
| \( \dot{E}_{xa} \) | 835                                    | 293                      | 101,325                | -                               | 0                                           |
| \( \dot{E}_{xv} \) | 15,000                                 | 293                      | 101,325                | 0.00877                         | 0                                           |
| \( \dot{E}_{xw} \) | 453.6                                  | 293                      | 101,325                | 0.00877                         | 0                                           |
| \( \dot{E}_{QII} \) | 12,000                                 | 293                      | 101,325                | 0.00877                         | 0                                           |
| \( \dot{E}_{QVIII} \) | 557                                    | 293                      | 101,325                | -                               | 0                                           |
| \( \dot{E}_{N I} \) | -                                      | -                        | -                      | -                               | 120                                         |
| \( \dot{E}_{N V} \) | -                                      | -                        | -                      | -                               | 32                                          |
| \( \dot{E}_{N VI} \) | -                                      | -                        | -                      | -                               | -                                           |
| \( \dot{E}_{N X} \) | -                                      | -                        | -                      | -                               | -                                           |
| \( \dot{E}_{N XII} \) | -                                     | -                        | -                      | -                               | -                                           |
| \( \sum \dot{E}_{N XV} \) | -                                     | -                        | -                      | -                               | 40                                          |
| \( \dot{E}_{xin} \) | 1608                                   | 293                      | 101,325                | -                               | 0                                           |
| \( \sum \dot{E}_{xin} \) | 395.5                                  | -                        | -                      | -                               | -                                           |

Figure 3. Sankey diagram for basic scheme.
The following Equation (8) finds the specific exergy expenditure to produce a product in the base system:

\[
e^{b}_m = \frac{m_p}{\sum \dot{E}_{x_{in}}} = \frac{2400}{395.5} = 6.07 \text{ (kg/h)}
\]

### 4.2. Modified Scheme

The first stage of the analysis is to derive an equation of all flows brought to the system—costs, Equation (11). Due to the inclusion of Brayton’s sub-atmospheric inverse cycle with Maisotsenko’s humid air recuperator (HAR) in the production scheme, some electricity costs are compensated:

\[
\sum \dot{E}_{x_{in}}^m = \dot{E}_{x_{1a}} + \dot{E}_{x_{1m}} + \dot{E}_{x_{1d}} + \dot{E}_{x_{1adl}} + \dot{E}_{x_{1adlv}} + \dot{E}_{x_{1aX}} + \dot{E}_{x_{1w}} + \dot{E}_{x_{N_{y1}}} + \dot{E}_{x_{N_{y1v}}} + \dot{E}_{x_{N_{y1v}}} + \dot{E}_{x_{N_{y1v}}}
\]

Preliminary calculation of the parameters at the definite points of the modified scheme, thermal loads and electrical powers supplied or taken away in the elements of the scheme.

**Thermal load on the device XI:**

\[
Q_{XI} = \sum m_{2a} \cdot (h_{2a_{II}} - h_{3a_{II}}) = \frac{18367}{3600} \cdot (188.5 - 120) = 350 \text{ kW}
\]

**Heat flux on the device V:**

\[
Q_V = \sum m_{2a} \cdot (h_{3a_{II}} - h_{4a_{II}}) = \frac{18367}{3600} \cdot (120 - 57) = 321 \text{ kW}
\]

**Water mass flow rate through device V:**

\[
m_{1w} = \frac{Q_V}{h_{2w} - h_{1w}} = \frac{321}{251.8 - 74.5} = 1.81 \text{ kg/s}
\]

The equation for the combustion reaction of natural gas with oxygen:

\[
\text{CH}_4 + 2\text{O}_2 = \text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

[1 mole (CH\(_4\)) + 2 moles (O\(_2\)) = 1 mole (CO\(_2\)) + 2 moles (H\(_2\)O)]

[16 kg (CH\(_4\)) + 64 kg (O\(_2\)) = 44 kg (CO\(_2\)) + 36 kg (H\(_2\)O)] \(\frac{8.4}{16}\)

8.4 kg (CH\(_4\)) + 33.6 kg (O\(_2\)) = 23.1 kg (CO\(_2\)) + 18.9 kg (H\(_2\)O)

33.6 kg of oxygen is required to burn 8.4 kg of natural gas. Heat flux on the device II:

\[
Q_{II} = \sum m_{1a} \cdot (h_{2a_{II}} - h_{1a_{II}}) = \frac{18097}{3600} \cdot (188.5 - 42.4) = 734.4 \text{ kW}
\]

The flow temperature “c” after device V:

\[
l_{2c} = l_{1c} - \frac{Q_v}{m_{1w} \cdot c_p c} = 600 - \frac{734.4}{(1.81 \cdot 335.9 - 251.8) \cdot 1.195} = 428 ^\circ \text{C}
\]

**Thermal load on the device XIII:**

\[
Q_{XIII} = \sum m_{1w} \cdot (h_{3a_{II}} - h_{2a_{II}}) = 1.81 \cdot (335.9 - 251.8) = 152.2 \text{ kW}
\]
The flow temperature “c” after device XIII:

\[ t_{3c} = t_{2c} - \frac{Q_{XIII}}{m_{1c} \cdot c_p c} = 428 - \frac{734.4}{(15000 + 270 + 42 + 84 + 33.6) \cdot 1.169} = 398 \, ^\circ C \]

Thermal load on the device IV:

\[ Q_{IV} = m_{1c} \cdot c_p c \cdot (t_{3c} - t_{4c}) = \frac{15000 + 270 + 42 + 8.4 + 33.6}{3600} \cdot 1.072 \cdot (398 - 20) = 1728 \, kW \]

Humid air flow rate in the outlet of the device IV:

\[ m_{2aIV} = \frac{Q_{IV}}{h_{2a IV} - h_{1a IV}} = \frac{1728}{1902.3 - 42.4} = 0.929 \, \frac{kg}{s} = 3344 \, \frac{kg}{h} \]

Air flow rate in the inlet of the device IV:

\[ m_{1aIV} = \frac{m_{2aIV}}{1 + (d_{2aIV} - d_{1aIV})} = \frac{0.929}{1 + (0.5 - 0.00877)} = 0.623 \, \frac{kg}{s} = 2243 \, \frac{kg}{h} \]

Fans with electric motor power were selected for the obtained features of devices II and IV:

\[ N_{II} = 7.6 \, kW \quad N_{IV} = 0.2 \, kW \]

A preliminary calculation of the Brayton’s sub-atmospheric inverse cycle with HAR [30] is carried out to determine the generated useful power. Its scheme and the cycle in h-s coordinates is shown in Figures 4 and 5, respectively.

---

**Figure 4.** Brayton’s sub-atmospheric inverse cycle with HAR. SC—solar collector; HAR—humidified air recuperator; T—turbine; C—compressor; 1–8—points for determining state parameters of the flow within the processes in the cycle: 1–2—heating in SC; 2–2*—cooling in dry channels of the HAR; 2*–3—humidifying in wet channels of the HAR; 2aIV–3–4—mixing 2aIV and 3 flows that result in flow 4–5—expansion in T; 5–6—cooling of the flow to its dew point temperature; 6–7—water vapor condensation from the flow in product channels of the HAR; 7–8—compression in C.
Specific heat flow in the solar collector:

\[ q_{SC} = h_2 - h_1 = 104.0 - 42.4 = 61.6 \text{ kJ/kg} \]

Specific heat flow on M device:

\[ q_{HMX} = (h_3 - h_2^*) - (h_2 - h_2^*) = (1402.7 - 34.2(26)) - (104.0 - 34.2(25.6)) = 104.0 - 42.4 = 1299 \text{ kJ/kg} \]

Mass flow rate of air supplied to the cycle:

\[ m_1 = m_{2aIV} \cdot \frac{(h_{2aIV} - h_4)}{h_4 - h_3} = 0.929 \cdot \frac{(1902.3 - 1771.4)}{1771.4 - 1402.7} = 0.33 \text{ kg/s} \]

Mass air flow resulting from the mixing process:

\[ m_4 = m_1 + m_{2aIV} = 0.929 + 0.33 = 1.259 \text{ kg/s} \]

Air parameters at the outlet of the turbine (T) are defined in the following way: adiabatic extension temperature is found \( t_5 (s_4 = s_5) \). Then, considering the adiabatic efficiency of the turbine \( \eta_t = 0.88 \), temperature is calculated \( t_5 = t_4 - (t_4 - t_5) \cdot \eta_t \):

\[ t_5 = 77 \degree C; \quad p_5 = 14475 \text{ Pa}; \quad d_5 = 0.5 \frac{\text{kg}_{w}}{\text{kg}_{da}} \]

Specific work of the turbine:

\[ l_t = h_4 - h_5 = 1771.4 - 1400 = 371.4 \text{ kJ/kg} \]

The parameters of the air at the outlet of the HMX are determined as follows: according to the recommended humidity ratio at the outlet of the product channels [30] \( d_8 \) the saturated humid air temperature is calculated.

\[ t_8 = -35\degree C; \quad p_8 = 14475 \text{ Pa}; \quad d_8 = 0.001 \frac{\text{kg}_{w}}{\text{kg}_{da}}; \quad h_8 = -32.7 \frac{\text{kJ}}{\text{kg}} \]
The parameters of the air at the outlet of the compressor are determined as follows: air is compressed from \( p_8 \) to \( p_9 \) with the temperature \( t_9 \) reached by removing heat during the compression by the cooling agent.

\[
t_9 = 35 \, ^\circ\text{C} \quad p_9 = 101325 \, \text{Pa} \quad d_9 = 0.001 \, \text{kg}_\text{w/kg}_\text{da} \quad h_9 = 37.8 \, \text{kJ/kg}
\]

Compressor power:

\[
N_c = m_4 \cdot (1 - (d_5 - d_8)) \cdot l_c = 1.259 \cdot (1 - (0.5 - 0.001)) \cdot (37.8 + 32.7) = 44.5 \, \text{kW}
\]

Net electrical power output produced in a cycle is:

\[
N_{\text{prof}} = (N_t - N_c) \cdot \eta_g = (468 - 44.5) \cdot 0.98 = 415 \, \text{kW}
\]

Table 2 comprises exergy values, included in (11). Figure 6 demonstrates the supplied exergy flows and production supplied flow via Sankey diagram.

![Sankey diagram for the modified scheme.](image-url)

**Table 2.** The value of exergy crossing the contour of the modified scheme.

| Index | Mass Flow Rate, \( m_j \), kg/h | Temperature, \( T_j \), K | Pressure, \( p_j \), Pa | Humidity Ratio, \( d_j \), kg_w/kg_da | The Value of the Total Exergy, \( E_j \), kJ |
|-------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| \( E_{x1o} \) | 33.6 | 293 | 101,325 | - | 0 |
| \( E_{x1m} \) | 8.4 | 293 | 101,325 | - | 110.8 |
| \( E_{x1a'} \) | 12,000 | 293 | 101,325 | 0.00877 | 0 |
| \( E_{x1aII} \) | 18,097 | 293 | 101,325 | 0.00877 | 0 |
| \( E_{x1aIV} \) | 2243 | 293 | 101,325 | 0.00877 | 0 |
| \( E_{x1n} \) | 2442 | 293 | - | - | 0 |
| \( E_{x2m'} \) | 6516 | 291 | 101,325 | - | 0 |
| \( E_{xNVI} \) | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| \( E_{xNXV} \) | - | - | - | - | 120 |
| \( E_{xNXVI} \) | - | - | - | - | 7.6 |
| \( E_{xNXVII} \) | - | - | - | - | 0.2 |
| \( \sum E_{xin} \) | - | - | - | - | 385 |
| \( E_{xproff} \) | - | - | - | - | 626.5 |

**Figure 6.** Sankey diagram for the modified scheme.
The specific exergy expenditure to produce a product in a modified system is found by the equation:

\[ e_m^m = \frac{m_p + m_{NOx}}{\sum Ex_{in} - Ex_{prof}} \]

\[ = \frac{Ex_{in} + N_{VII} + N_{XVI} + N_{XVII} + N_{XVIII} - N_{prof}}{2400 + 1.89 + 110.7 + 7.6 + 385 - 415} = 11.356 \text{ kg/h kW} \]

5. Discussion

The obtained results assume that it is reasonable to consider in more detail the elements in the modified scheme, their mutual influence, and dependence on the operating mode of the granulator. It is rational to analyze the exergy efficiency of the basic and modified schemes in dynamics, namely, at different operating modes of the granulator and/or other types of granulators. It is due to the fact that changes in the granulator’s operating modes (its performance) entail a chain of significant changes in the operating modes of the related components in the scheme: if the granulator performance is changed (for example, reduced) → there is lower consumption of the recovered stream “d” → lower heat flow removed in heat and mass transfer (II, IV) device and recuperators (V, XI, XIII).

A problem with the calculation of heat and mass exchangers and the heat recovery reactor causes difficulty in identifying the composition of the flow “c” after the ejector module. Thus, with a significant decrease in the temperature of the flow “c” caused by stepwise heat removal, it is difficult to determine the nature of the heat and mass transfer processes occurring in device IV since condensation and chemical interaction between the components of the flow “c” are possible. Hence, the following problem arises with determining the composition, state parameters and the ongoing physical and chemical processes in the waste heat reactor (XII).

As a result of the exergy analysis of the basic and modified granulation schemes for porous ammonium nitrate, the following indicators of their specific efficiency are obtained:

\[ e_b^m = 6.07 \text{ (kg/h) kW} \]

\[ e_m^m = 11.356 \text{ (kg/h) kW} \]

Thus, the use of an ejector recycling module (as a way to recycle substandard ammonium nitrate and nitrogen oxide of the formula NxO), a sub-atmospheric cycle inverse (as a way to recycle excess heat and generate energy) and two heat and mass exchangers according to the Maisotsenko cycle (as a way to humidify ammonium nitrate in a highly humid air flow and organizing a more efficient heat recovery process) increase the efficiency of the basic scheme by:

\[ \frac{e_m^m - e_b^m \cdot 100}{e_b^m} = \frac{11.356 - 6.070}{6.070} \cdot 100\% = 87\% \]

A porous ammonium nitrate granulation workshop often is located within a nitrogen fertilizer plant. Therefore, it is worth noting that the basic scheme should be modified in terms of the amount and cost of resources available at the enterprise and the present mass and energy flows from adjacent industries/workshops. The task of further research is theoretical modeling and experimental operation of the HMX (IV) and the waste heat reactor (XII), concerning the effect of the incoming flows on operation modes of these devices.

6. Conclusions

Within this work, the authors proposed a modified scheme of the installation for porous ammonium nitrate granulation. They showed the potential for the energy efficiency of the new system compared to the basic one (used in practice) through the exergy analysis.
The study of heat and mass exchange processes, chemical transformations, and changes in the phase composition of flows in these devices is of particular interest. One of the directions for further research is to improve the method for obtaining porous ammonium nitrate, particularly the organization of the optimal technological and constructive solution for the humidification and drying stages.

The main innovative solutions in the modified scheme are vortex granulator with the new organization of flows’ interactive, an ejector module for the utilization of substandard flow; the use of heat and mass exchangers of indirect regenerative evaporative cooling as recuperative, cooling and humidifying equipment; a power generation and heat recovery module based on a sub-atmospheric inverse Brayton cycle. The calculations showed a significant energy efficiency of the proposed solutions.

Further directions of study are:
- optimization of flow arrangement in the vortex granulator as a core device in the scheme to provide additional utilization of fine fraction of ammonium nitrate;
- consideration of various combinations of operating modes in the same workspace of the device to meet the drying agent’s optimal heat potential;
- detailed calculations of the proposed scheme and its further study are required, considering the design features, materials and processes inside the equipment;
- experimental investigation of devices for indirect regenerative evaporative cooling;
- detailed analysis of the proposed sub-atmospheric inverse Brayton cycle and other cycles as a core for power generation and heat recovery module.

After the detailed study of the mentioned components in the new scheme, it seems rational to conduct its optimization concerning economic indicators.
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Nomenclature

c_p  
specific isobaric heat capacity (kJ/(kg·K))
d  
humidity ratio in humid air (kg_w/da)
e_m  
system efficiency indicator ((kg/h)/(kW))
ex  
specific exergy flow of the substance (kJ/kg)
h  
enthalpy (kJ/kg)
l  
specific work (kJ/kg)
m  
mass flow rate (kg/s)
p  
pressure (Pa)
q  
specific heat flow (kJ/kg)
s  
entropy (kJ/(kg·K))
t  
temperature (°C)
Ex  
substance exergy flow (kW)
N  
power (kW)
Q  
heat flow (kW)
R  
gas constant (kJ/(kg·K))
T  
absolute temperature (K)

Greek symbols

η  
efficiency coefficient (-)

Subscripts

0  
dead state
a  
process air
a'  
air to spray solution
a''  
air for product cooling
aII  
ambient air
aIV  
ambient air
aX  
ambient air supplied to Brayton’s sub-atmospheric inverse cycle with HAR

c  
an a substandard stream that passed the recycling module in which lower oxides

d  
irregular flow
da  
dry air
des  
destruction
ex  
exergy
f  
fuel
ha  
humid air
in  
input
is  
isentropic
j  
index
loss  
loss
n  
ammonium nitrate
nt  
seeding agent of the ammonium nitrate
out  
output
p  
product
prof  
useful effect
s  
saturation state
v  
water vapor
w  
water

Superscripts

b  
basic
m  
modified

Designations on the diagrams

exergy of the substance flow
material flow
exergy of energy flow
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