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Abstract. We introduce a new functional inequality, which is a modification of the log-Harnack inequality established in [21] and [30], and prove that it implies the asymptotically strong Feller property (ASF). This inequality seems to generalize the criterion for ASF in [14, Proposition 3.12]. As an example, we show by an asymptotic coupling that 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes equation driven by highly degenerate but essentially elliptic noises satisfies our modified log-Harnack inequality.
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1. Introduction

Dimensional free Harnack inequality was introduced by Wang in [26] to study the diffusions on Riemannian manifolds (see also [3, 4] for further development). Wang type Harnack inequality has been applied to many research problems such as studying ultracontractivity and functional inequalities ([23, 22, 27, 28]), short-time behaviors of infinite-dimensional diffusions ([1, 2, 17]), heat kernel estimates ([6, 11]) and so on. In recent years, this inequality has also been established and applied intensively in the study of SPDEs (see e.g. [23, 29, 19, 7, 9, 31, 33] and references within). Let \((P_t)_{t \geq 0}\) be a Markov semigroup on a Polish space \(\mathcal{X}\), this type of Harnack inequality can be formulated as

\[(1.1) \quad (P_t f)^\alpha (x) \leq (P_t f^\alpha) (y) \exp[C_\alpha(t, x, y)], \quad f \geq 0,\]

where \(\alpha > 1\) is a constant, \(C_\alpha\) is a positive function on \((0, \infty) \times \mathcal{X}^2\) with \(C_\alpha(t, x, x) = 0\), which is determined by the underlying stochastic equation.
On the other hand, in some cases Wang type Harnack inequality is not available, so that the following weaker version (i.e. the log-Harnack inequality)

\begin{equation}
\label{eq:log-Harnack}
P_t \log f(x) \leq \log P_t f(y) + C(t, x, y), \quad f \geq 1
\end{equation}

becomes an alternative tool in the study. In general, according to \cite{30} Section 2, (1.2) is the limit version of (1.1) as \( \alpha \to \infty \). This inequality has been established in \cite{21} and \cite{30}, respectively, for semi-linear SPDEs with multiplicative noise and the Neumann semigroup on non-convex manifolds. \cite{32} shows that stochastic Burgers equation driven by additive noises satisfies a log-Harnack inequality. As for the research on Wang type Harnack and log-Harnack inequalities on stochastic Navier-Stokes type equations, we refer to \cite{33} and \cite{32}.

To our knowledge, nearly all the stochastic systems in the above literatures is forced by nondegenerate noises. It is natural to ask whether a stochastic system with degenerate noises satisfies Wang type Harnack or log-Harnack inequality. This seems still an open problem.

The aim of this paper is to study the case of the systems driven by highly degenerate noises. For highly degenerate systems, one is usually not able to prove the strong Feller property (see Example 3.15 of \cite{14}). Since Harnack and log-Harnack inequality implies strong Feller property (\cite{29}, \cite{21}), there is no hope to prove these inequalities for highly degenerate systems. On the other hand, many dissipative systems such as 2D Navier-Stokes and reaction-diffusion equations driven by highly degenerate noises (\cite{14}, \cite{15}) have asymptotically strong Feller property, it is natural to ask whether we can establish a functional inequalities which implies the asymptotically strong Feller property. This is the main motivation that we introduce the modified log-Harnack inequality, which seems to give a criterion for asymptotically strong Feller property more general than that in \cite{14} Proposition 3.12. This inequality also gives some pointwise information on Markov semigroups.

**Definition 1.1** (Modified log-Harnack inequality). Let \( \{P_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) be a Markov semigroup on a Polish space \( X \), it satisfies a modified log-Harnack inequality if there exist some constants \( \alpha > 0, \beta \geq 0, C = C(|x|, |y|) > 0, \tilde{C} = \tilde{C}(|x|, |y|) > 0 \) and a function \( \delta(t) \geq 0 \) with \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \delta(t) = 0 \) such that

\begin{equation}
\label{eq:modified-log-Harnack}
P_t \log f(y) \leq \log P_t f(x) + C|x - y|^\alpha + \delta(t)\tilde{C}|x - y|^\beta ||D \log f||_\infty
\end{equation}

for any bounded differentiable function \( f \geq 1 \) and \( x, y \in X \). Moreover, \( C \) and \( \tilde{C} \) are both continuous w.r.t. \( |x| \) and \( |y| \).

The main results of this paper are the following Theorem 1.2, Corollary 1.4 and Theorem 1.5. The first two will be proven in section 2 while the last one will be shown in section 3.

**Theorem 1.2.** If a Markov semigroup satisfies a modified log-Harnack inequality, then it is asymptotically strong Feller.
Hairer and Mattingly gave a criterion for asymptotically strong Feller property as the following.

**Proposition 1.3** (Proposition 3.12 in [14]). Let \( \{P_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) be a Markov semigroup on \( \mathcal{X} \). If \( \{P_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) satisfies the following inequality
\[
|DP_t f(x)| \leq C \left( ||f||_\infty + \delta(t)||Df||_\infty \right)
\]
for any bounded differentiable function \( f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), where \( C = C(|x|) > 0 \) and \( \delta(t) \geq 0 \) with \( \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \delta(t) = 0 \), then \( \{P_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) is asymptotically strongly Feller.

The next corollary claims that (1.3) with \( \alpha = 2 \) and \( \beta = 1 \) implies a gradient estimate similar to (1.4). Therefore, the modified log-Harnack inequality, in some sense, seems to give a more general criterion for asymptotically strong Feller property. Moreover, (1.3) also provides some pointwise information of the semigroups.

**Corollary 1.4.** If a Markov semigroup \( \{P_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) satisfies (1.3) with \( \alpha = 2 \) and \( \beta = 1 \), then
\[
|DP_t f(x)| \leq ||f||^2_\infty + C + \delta(t) \tilde{C} ||Df||_\infty
\]
for any bounded differentiable function \( f \), where \( C = C(|x|) \) and \( \tilde{C} = \tilde{C}(|x|) \) and \( \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \delta(t) = 0 \).

The following theorem claims that 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes equation forced by degenerate noises satisfies our modified log-Harnack inequality. We need to emphasize that those degenerate noises have essential ellipticity effect, see section 4.5 in [14] for more details.

**Theorem 1.5.** Let \( \{P_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) be the Markov semigroup generated by Eq. (3.2) in section 3 below, a 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes system forced by highly degenerate noises, then \( \{P_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) satisfies a modified log-Harnack inequality, which has the exact form as in Theorem 3.3 below. Moreover, \( \{P_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) satisfies (1.5).
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## 2. Modified log-Harnack inequality and asymptotically strong Feller property

Let us first recall the interesting conception of asymptotically strong Feller property, which was introduced by Hairer and Mattingly in [14]. For the more details, we refer to [14].

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( \{d_n\}_n \) be an increasing sequence of pseudo metrics (pp 7. [14]) on a Polish space \( \mathcal{X} \). If \( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(x, y) = 1 \) for all \( x \neq y \), then \( \{d_n\} \) is called a totally separating system of pseudo metrics for \( \mathcal{X} \).
Given a pseudo metric $d$, for any $d$-Lipschitz continuous function $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$, we define the following semi-norm for $f$:

$$||f||_d = \sup_{x, y \in \mathcal{X}, x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)}.$$ 

Given $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$, two positive finite Borel measures on $\mathcal{X}$ with equal mass, we denote by $\mathcal{C}(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ the set of positive measures on $\mathcal{X}^2$ with marginals $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$, and define

$$||\mu_1 - \mu_2|| = \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{C}(\mu_1, \mu_2)} \int_{\mathcal{X}^2} d(x, y) \mu(dx, dy).$$

**Definition 2.2** (Asymptotically strong Feller property). A Markov transition semigroup $P_t$ on a Polish space $\mathcal{X}$ is asymptotically strong Feller at $x$ if there exists a totally separating system of pseudo metrics $\{d_n\}_n$ for $\mathcal{X}$ and a sequence $t_n > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{U \in \mathcal{U}_x} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{y \in U} ||P_{t_n}(x, \cdot) - P_{t_n}(y, \cdot)||_{d_n} = 0,$$

where $\mathcal{U}_x = \{U : U$ is the neighbourhood of $x\}$. We call that $P_t$ satisfies asymptotic strong Feller property if it is asymptotic strong Feller at each $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

**Remark 2.3.** If $\mathcal{X}$ has a metric, then the definition (2.1) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{y \in B(x, r)} ||P_{t_n}(x, \cdot) - P_{t_n}(y, \cdot)||_{d_n} = 0,$$

where $B(x, r)$ is the ball in $\mathcal{X}$ centered at $x$ with radius $r$ under this metric.

The following two lemmas are Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 3.5 of [14] respectively. The first one is a not difficult consequence of Monge-Kantorovich duality ([25]).

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $d$ be a continuous pseudo metric on a Polish space $\mathcal{X}$ and let $\mu_1$, $\mu_2$ be two positive measures on $\mathcal{X}$ with equal mass. Then we have

$$||\mu_1 - \mu_2|| = \sup_{||\varphi||_d = 1} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \varphi(x)(\mu_1 - \mu_2)(dx).$$

**Remark 2.5.** By [25] (pp. 34), if $d$ is bounded we have

$$||\mu_1 - \mu_2|| = \sup_{||\varphi||_d = 1} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \varphi(x)(\mu_1 - \mu_2)(dx).$$

**Lemma 2.6.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Polish space and let $\{d_n\}$ be a totally separating system of pseudo metrics for $\mathcal{X}$. Then, $||\mu_1 - \mu_2||_{TV} = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||\mu_1 - \mu_2||_{d_n}$ for any two positive measures $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ with equal mass on $\mathcal{X}$.

The following theorem is due to Hairer and Mattingly [14].
Theorem 2.7. If \( P_t \) is an asymptotically strong Feller Markov semigroup and there exists a point \( x \) such that \( x \in \text{supp}(\mu) \) for every invariant probability measure \( \mu \) of \( P_t \), then there exists at most one invariant probability measure for \( P_t \).

Hairer and Mattingly proved by the above theorem, together with (1.4), the following important result: For 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes systems if at least two linearly independent Fourier modes with different Euclidean norms are driven, then the system is ergodic (see [14, Theorem 2.1] and the examples therein).

With the above quick review of asymptotic strong Feller property, we are now at the place to prove Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For any bounded differentiable function \( f \), we choose some constant \( \varepsilon > 0 \) small enough to make \( \varepsilon \|f\|_\infty < 1/2 \). Applying the modified log-Harnack inequality (1.3) to \( 2 + 2\varepsilon f \), there exist some constants \( \alpha > 0, \beta \geq 0, C > 0, \tilde{C} > 0 \) and some function \( \delta(t) \geq 0 \) with \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \delta(t) = 0 \) such that

\[
P_t \log(2 + 2\varepsilon f)(y) \leq \log P_t(2 + 2\varepsilon f)(x) + C|x - y|^\alpha + \delta(t)\tilde{C}|x - y|^\beta \|D \log(2 + 2\varepsilon f)\|_\infty,
\]

which clearly implies

\[
P_t \log(1 + \varepsilon f)(y) \leq \log (1 + \varepsilon P_t f(x)) + C|x - y|^\alpha + 2\varepsilon \delta(t)\tilde{C}|x - y|^\beta \|D f\|_\infty.
\]

Since \( \varepsilon \|f\|_\infty < 1/2 \), we have by Taylor expansion of the function \( \log(1 + x) \)

\[
(2.3) \quad \varepsilon \left[ P_t f(y) - P_t f(x) \right] \leq \varepsilon^2 \|f\|_\infty^2 + C|x - y|^\alpha + 2\varepsilon \delta(t)\tilde{C}|x - y|^\beta \|D f\|_\infty.
\]

Dividing by \( \varepsilon \) on the both side of the above inequality and exchanging \( x \) and \( y \), one has

\[
(2.4) \quad |P_t f(y) - P_t f(x)| \leq \varepsilon \|f\|_\infty^2 + \frac{C|x - y|^\alpha}{\varepsilon} + 2\delta(t)\tilde{C}|x - y|^\beta \|D f\|_\infty
\]

for any bounded differentiable \( f \).

Next, we follow the idea in [14, Proposition 3.12]. For any \( \gamma > 0 \), we define the metric \( d_\gamma(x, y) = 1 \wedge \frac{1}{\gamma} |x - y| \) for any \( x, y \in \mathcal{X} \). It is clear that \( \|d_\gamma\|_\infty := \sup_{x,y \in \mathcal{X}} d_\gamma(x, y) \leq 1 \). For any \( f \) differentiable function with \( \|f\|_{d_\gamma} \leq 1 \) and \( \|f\|_\infty \leq \|d_\gamma\|_\infty \) (recall Remark 2.5), by \( \|f\|_{d_\gamma} \leq 1 \) one has \( \|D f\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \). (2.4) implies

\[
| \int_\mathcal{X} f(z) P_t(x, dz) - \int_\mathcal{X} f(z) P_t(y, dz) | \leq \varepsilon + \frac{C|x - y|^\alpha}{\varepsilon} + \frac{2\delta(t)\tilde{C}|x - y|^\beta}{\gamma}.
\]
Since each bounded $f$ with $\|f\|_{d_m} < \infty$ can be approximated by bounded differentiable function sequences, the above inequality and Lemma 2.4 implies

$$\|P_n(x, \cdot) - P_n(y, \cdot)\|_{d_m} \leq \varepsilon + \frac{C|x - y|^\alpha}{\varepsilon} + \frac{2\delta(t)\tilde{C}|x - y|^3}{\gamma}$$

Taking $\gamma = \sqrt{\delta(n)}$ and $\varepsilon = |x - y|^\alpha/2$, we have

$$(2.5) \quad \|P_n(x, \cdot) - P_n(y, \cdot)\|_{d_m} \leq (1 + C)|x - y|^\frac{\alpha}{2} + 2\sqrt{\delta(n)}\tilde{C}|x - y|^\beta.$$ 

which, by (2.2), immediately implies that $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is asymptotically strong Feller at $x$. □

Proof of Corollary 1.4. From (2.4), taking $\varepsilon = |x - y|$ and letting $y \to x$, we immediately obtain (1.5). □

3. An example and Proof of Theorem 1.5

In this section, we shall study 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes equation driven by highly degenerate but essentially elliptic noises as an example satisfying our modified log-Harnack inequality. 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes equation has been intensively studied in [14], [20], [8], [10], [18] and the references therein.

Let us first give a quick introduction to the background of 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations.

3.1. 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes systems. Let $T^2 = (\mathbb{R}/2\pi)^2$ and let

$$L_0^2(T^2, \mathbb{R}^2) = \{x \in L^2(T^2, \mathbb{R}^2); \int_{T^2} x(\xi)d\xi = 0\},$$

$$H = \{x \in L_0^2(T^2, \mathbb{R}^2); \text{div}x = 0\}.$$ 

Moreover,

$|\cdot|$ and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denote the norm and the inner product of $H$ respectively.

Let $P : L_0^2(T^2, \mathbb{R}^2) \to H$ be the orthogonal projection. Define the Stokes operator by

$$A = P(-\Delta)$$

with $\Delta$ being the Laplacian on $L_0(T^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $D(A) = H^2(T^2, \mathbb{R}^2) \cap H$. It is well known that $\{e_k = \frac{1}{2\pi}e^{ik \cdot x}; k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}, x \in T^2\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L_0^2(T^2, \mathbb{R})$ and that $\Delta$ is self-adjoint with the spectrum $\{-|k|^2; k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}\}$. It is also clear that $\Delta e_k = -|k|^2e_k$. For any real number $\alpha$, one can define $(-\Delta)^\alpha$ by the spectral decomposition as

$$(-\Delta)^\alpha = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} |k|^{2\alpha} e_k \otimes e_k.$$
We can define the $\alpha$ order Stokes operator by

$$A^\alpha = \mathcal{P}(-\Delta)^\alpha$$

with the domain defined by (3.1) below.

Under the orthonormal basis $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}}$, $H$ can also be defined by

$$H = \left\{ x = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} x_k e_k : x_k \in \mathbb{R}^2, k \cdot x_k = 0, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} |x_k|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Furthermore, we define

$$(3.1) \quad D(A^\alpha) = \left\{ x = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} x_k e_k : x_k \in \mathbb{R}^2, k \cdot x_k = 0, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} |k|^{4\alpha} |x_k|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

It is clear that if $\alpha > 0$ we have the following Poincare inequality

$$|x| \leq |A^\alpha x|$$

for any $x \in D(A^\alpha)$.

We shall study the following highly degenerate 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes type equation

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} dX(t) + [\nu AX(t) + B(X(t), X(t))]dt = QdW_t, \\ X(0) = x, \end{cases}$$

where

- $\nu > 0$ is the viscosity constant.
- The nonlinear term $B$ is defined by
  $$B(u, v) = \mathcal{P}[(u \cdot \nabla) v], \quad B(u) = B(u, u) \quad \forall u, v \in H^1(T^d, \mathbb{R}^d) \cap H.$$
- $W_t$ is the cylindrical Brownian motion on $H$ and $Q$ satisfies the highly degenerate condition as in Assumption 3.1.

Given a $N \in \mathbb{N}$, define a project map $\pi_N : H \to H$ as follows: for any $x \in H$ with $x = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} x_k e_k$, define

$$\pi_N x = \sum_{|k| \leq N} x_k e_k.$$

We split the space $H$ into the low and high frequency parts as

$$H = \pi_N H + (Id - \pi_N) H$$

where $Id$ is the identity map. For the generic $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we write

$$H^\ell := \pi_N H, \quad H^h := (Id - \pi_N) H.$$
For any $x \in H$, $x^f := \pi_N x$ and $x^h := (I - \pi_N)x$. It is clear that for any $\alpha > 0$ one has
\begin{equation}
|A^\alpha x^f| \leq N^{2\alpha} |x^f|, \quad |A^\alpha x^h| \geq N^{2\alpha} |x^h|.
\end{equation}

**Assumption 3.1** (Highly degenerate but essentially elliptic noises assumption). There exists some fixed $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{Ran}(Q) = H^f := \pi_{N_0} H$ and $Q x = 0$ for any $x \in H^h$.

**Remark 3.2.** From this assumption, we clearly have $tr(QQ^*) < \infty$ and that the operator $Q : H^f \to H^f$ is invertible, i.e. there exists some $C_0 > 0$ such that
\begin{equation}
|Q^{-1} x| \leq C_0 |x|
\end{equation}
for any $x \in H^f$. In our proof, we shall choose some large (but fixed) $N_0$ to make the noises $QdW_t$ have essential ellipticity effect (see section 5.4 of [14]).

Let us now write Theorem 1.5 in an exact form as the following Theorem 3.3, which will be proven in next section.

**Theorem 3.3.** There exist some $C = C(|x|, |y|) > 0$ and $\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}(|x|, |y|) > 0$ such that as $\nu N^2 > \frac{1}{2}tr(QQ^*)$ and $\nu > \max\{tr(QQ^*), C_2\}$ with $C_2 > 0$ defined in (3.16) below, for any bounded differentiable function $f \geq 1$ we have
\begin{equation}
P_t \log f(y) \leq \log P_t f(x) + C(|x - y|^2 + |x - y|^4)
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
+ e^{-\nu N^2 - \frac{1}{2}tr(QQ^*)t} \tilde{C}|x - y||D \log f||_\infty.
\end{equation}

The exact values of $C$ and $\tilde{C}$ can be easily figured out from the proof. Moreover,
\begin{equation}
||DP_t f(x)|| \leq ||f||^2 + C + e^{-\nu N^2 - \frac{1}{2}tr(QQ^*)t} \tilde{C}||D \log f||_\infty.
\end{equation}

**3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.3.** We shall apply asymptotic coupling method in the spirit of the idea in [14, Proposition 4.11]. For the more application of this method, we refer to [8], [12], [20] and [16].

Our application of the asymptotic coupling method is sketched as follows. Give any $v \in L^2_{\text{loc}}([0, \infty); H)$ adapted to $\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma(W_s; 0 \leq s \leq t)$, define
\begin{equation}
\tilde{W}_t = W_t + \int_0^t v_s ds,
\end{equation}
by Girsanov theorem, we have a new probability measure $\tilde{P}$ under which $\tilde{W}$ is a Brownian motion. This probability $\tilde{P}$ is uniquely determined by
\begin{equation}
\frac{d\tilde{P}}{dP}|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t v_s dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |v_s|^2 ds \right\}.
\end{equation}
Consider the SPDE
\begin{align}
\begin{cases}
    dY(t) + [AY(t) + B(Y(t))]dt = Qd\tilde{W}_t, \\
    Y(0) = y.
\end{cases}
\end{align}
Denote $Z(t) = Y(t) - X(t)$, it is easy to see that
\begin{align}
\begin{cases}
    \partial_t Z(t) + AZ(t) + B(Z(t)) + \tilde{B}(Z(t), X(t)) = Qv_t, \\
    Z(0) = z.
\end{cases}
\end{align}
where $\tilde{B}(x, y) = B(x, y) + B(y, x)$ and $z = y - x$.

Eq. (3.8) can be split into two pieces, i.e. low frequency and high frequency dynamics as the following
\begin{align}
\begin{cases}
    \partial_t Z^l(t) + AZ^l(t) + B^l(Z^l(t)) + \tilde{B}^l(Z^l(t), X(t)) = Qv_t, \\
    Z^l(0) = z^l,
\end{cases}
\end{align}
with $Z^l(0) = z^l$, and
\begin{align}
\begin{cases}
    \partial_t Z^h(t) + AZ^h(t) + B^h(Z^h(t)) + \tilde{B}^h(Z^h(t), X(t)) = 0, \\
    Z^h(0) = z^h,
\end{cases}
\end{align}
with $Z^h(0) = z^h$.

Let us choose the $v$ in the following way. First of all, let
\begin{align}
\begin{cases}
    Z^l(t) = (1 - t)z^l & 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\
    Z^l(t) = 0 & t > 1.
\end{cases}
\end{align}
Plugging this $Z^l(t)$ into (3.11), we obtain the following PDE with unknown $Z^h$
\begin{align}
\begin{cases}
    \partial_t Z^h(t) + AZ^h(t) + B^h(Z^l(t) + Z^h(t)) + \tilde{B}^h(Z^l(t) + Z^h(t), X(t)) = 0, \\
    Z^h(0) = z^h,
\end{cases}
\end{align}
which has a unique solution by the same method as in [24, Theorem 3.2].

Now $Z(t) = Z^l(t) + Z^h(t)$ is known. From Eq. (3.9), we have
\begin{align}
\begin{cases}
    Q^{-1}[-z^l + (1 - t)A z^l + B^l(Z(t)) + \tilde{B}^l(Z(t), X(t))] & 0 \leq t < 1, \\
    Q^{-1}[B^l(Z^h(t)) + \tilde{B}^l(Z^h(t), X(t))] & t \geq 1.
\end{cases}
\end{align}
By the relation $Z = Y - X$, we also have
\begin{align}
\begin{cases}
    Q^{-1}[-z^l + (1 - t)A z^l - B^l(Z(t)) + \tilde{B}^l(Z(t), Y(t))] & 0 \leq t < 1, \\
    Q^{-1}[-B^l(Z^h(t)) + \tilde{B}^l(Z^h(t), Y(t))] & t \geq 1.
\end{cases}
\end{align}

To prove Theorem 3.3, we need the following auxiliary lemmas which will be proven in the next section.
Lemma 3.4. Let \( f \geq 0 \) with \( \mathbb{E} f > 0 \). Then for any measurable function \( g \), we have
\[
\mathbb{E}[fg] \leq \mathbb{E} f \log \mathbb{E}e^g + \mathbb{E}[f \log f] - \mathbb{E}f \log \mathbb{E}f
\]

Lemma 3.5. As \( \nu > 2\text{tr}(QQ^*) \), we have
\[
\mathbb{E}_P \exp \left( |X(t)|^2 + \nu \int_0^t |A \frac{3}{2} X(s)|^2 ds \right) \leq e^{tr(QQ^*)t}.
\]
\[
\mathbb{E}_P \exp \left( |Y(t)|^2 + \nu \int_0^t |A \frac{3}{2} Y(s)|^2 ds \right) \leq e^{tr(QQ^*)t}.
\]

Lemma 3.6. We have
\[
\langle x, B(y, x) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle x, B(y, z) \rangle = -\langle z, B(y, x) \rangle
\]
for all \( x, y \in D(A \frac{1}{2}) \) and \( z \in D(A \frac{1}{2}) \). Furthermore, we have
\[
\langle x, B(y, z) \rangle \leq C_1 |x||y||A \frac{3}{2} z|
\]
for all \( x, y \in H \) and \( z \in D(A \frac{1}{2}) \), and
\[
\langle x, B(y, z) \rangle \leq C_2 |x|^{1/2}|A \frac{3}{2} x|^{1/2}|y|^{1/2}|A \frac{3}{2} y|^{1/2}|A \frac{3}{2} z|.
\]
for all \( x, y, z \in D(A \frac{1}{2}) \). The constants \( C_1, C_2 \) both only depend on the space dimension.

Lemma 3.7. For any \( x, y \in D(A \frac{1}{2}) \), we have
\[
|B^t(x, y)| \leq C_1 N_0^3 |x||y|
\]
where \( C_1 \) is the same as that in Lemma 3.6.

Lemma 3.8. Let \( v \) be chosen as in (3.12). For any \( p \geq 1 \), if \( \nu > \max\{2\sqrt{p/2}, 2\text{tr}(QQ^*)\} \) we have
\[
\mathbb{E}_P \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |Z^t(t)|^{2p} \leq K_p e^{tr(QQ^*)t},
\]
\[
\mathbb{E}_P \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |Z^t(t)|^{2p} \leq K_p e^{2|y|^2 |x-y|^{2p}};
\]
and for \( t > 1 \)
\[
\mathbb{E}_P |Z^t(t)|^{2p} \leq \exp \left\{ -\left(2\nu p N_0^2 - \text{tr}(QQ^*)t\right) \right\} K_p e^{2|x|^2 + 2\nu p N_0^2 |x-y|^{2p}},
\]
\[
\mathbb{E}_P |Z^t(t)|^{2p} \leq \exp \left\{ -\left(2\nu p N_0^2 - \text{tr}(QQ^*)t\right) \right\} K_p e^{2|y|^2 + 2\nu p N_0^2 |x-y|^{2p}},
\]
where
\[
K_p = 2^{p-1} \exp \left\{ C_1 p N_0^2 |x-y|^2 + |x-y| + \frac{C_1 p N_0^3}{2} + \text{tr}(QQ^*) \right\}
\]
\[
\times \left[ \left( 1 + C_1 N_0^3 + \frac{\nu N_0^2}{4} \right)^p + p! \left( \frac{C_1}{4\nu} + \frac{C_1 N_0^3}{2} \right)^p \left( \frac{C_1}{4\nu} \right)^{-p} \right].
\]
and \( C_1, C_2 \) are the same as in Lemma 3.6.

**Proof of Theorem 3.3.** The second inequality in the theorem follows from Corollary 1.4 immediately. Let us prove the first inequality in the following two steps.

**Step 1.** Recalling Eq. (3.7), for any bounded differentiable function \( f \geq 1 \) one has

\[
P_t \log f(y) = \mathbb{E}_P \log f(Y(t)) = \mathbb{E}_P \log f(Y^t + Y^{t_s}),
\]

By (3.11) we have \( Z'(t) = 0 \), i.e. \( X'(t) = Y'(t) \) for all \( t \geq 1 \), hence

\[
P_t \log f(y) = \mathbb{E}_P \left[ \log f(X^t(t) + X^{t_s}(t)) \right], \quad t \geq 1.
\]

Writing \( z = x - y \), by Lemmas 3.8 and 3.4 we have

\[
P_t \log f(y) = \mathbb{E}_P \left[ \log f(X^t(t) + X^{t_s}(t)) - \log f(X^t(t) + X^{t_s}(t)) \right] + \mathbb{E}_P \log f(X(t))
\]

(3.20)

\[
\leq ||D \log f||_\infty \left\{ \mathbb{E}_P |Z'(t)|^2 \right\}^{1/2} + \mathbb{E}_P \left[ \frac{d \mathbb{P}}{d \mathbb{P}} \log f(X(t)) \right]
\]

\[
\leq \exp \left\{ -\left( \nu N_0^2 - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(QQ^*) \right) t + |y|^2 + \nu N_0^2 \right\} \sqrt{K_1} |z|||D \log f||_\infty
\]

+ \mathbb{E}_P \left[ \frac{d \mathbb{P}}{d \mathbb{P}} \log \frac{d \mathbb{P}}{d \mathbb{P}} \right] + \log \mathbb{E}_P f(X(t))
\]

where \( K_1 \) is defined in Lemma 3.8. For the entropy term above, by (3.11) and (3.6),

\[
\mathbb{E}_P \left[ \frac{d \mathbb{P}}{d \mathbb{P}} \log \frac{d \mathbb{P}}{d \mathbb{P}} \right] = \mathbb{E}_P \left[ - \int_0^t v_s dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |v_s|^2 ds \right]
\]

(3.21)

\[
= \mathbb{E}_P \left[ - \int_0^t v_s d\tilde{W}_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |s_s|^2 ds \right] = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_P \int_0^t |v_s|^2 ds.
\]

We claim

\[
\mathbb{E}_P \int_0^t |v_s|^2 ds \leq (L_1 + L_3) |z|^4 + (L_2 + L_4) |z|^2.
\]

where \( L_1, \ldots, L_4 \) are defined in Step 2 below. From (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22), we have

\[
P_t \log f(y) \leq \log P_t f(x) + (L_1 + L_3) |z|^4 + (L_2 + L_4) |z|^2
\]

+ \exp \left\{ -\left( \nu N_0^2 - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(QQ^*) \right) t + |y|^2 + \nu N_0^2 \right\} \sqrt{K_1} |z|||D \log f||_\infty.
\]

By the definitions of \( K_1, L_1, \ldots, L_4 \) and recalling \( z = y - x \), we conclude the proof up to proving (3.22), and can easily figure out the exactly values of \( C \) and \( \hat{C} \) in
the theorem.

**Step 2.** Let us prove (3.22). We first consider \( \mathbb{E}_\rho \int_0^1 |v_s|^2 ds \). By (3.14), (3.13) and \(|Az^\ell| \leq N_0^2 |z|\), one has

\[
\mathbb{E}_\rho \int_0^1 |v_s|^2 ds \leq 3C_0^2 \left( 4N_0^4 |z|^2 \right) + \mathbb{E}_\rho \int_0^1 |B^\ell(Z(s))|^2 + |\dot{B}^\ell(Z(s), Y(s))|^2 ds
\]

By Lemma 3.7, (3.18) and \(|Z^\ell(t)| \leq |z|\) for \(0 \leq t \leq 1\), we have

\[
\mathbb{E}_\rho \int_0^1 |B^\ell(Z(s))|^2 ds \leq C_1^2 N_0^6 \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}_\rho |Z(s)|^4 ds
\]

\[
= 8C_1^2 N_0^6 \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}_\rho \left( |Z^\ell(s)|^4 + |Z^k(s)|^4 \right) ds
\]

\[
\leq 8C_1^2 N_0^6 (1 + K_2e^{|y|^2}) |z|^4.
\]

Moreover, by Lemmas 3.7 and 3.9 (3.18) and a similar argument as the above, one has

\[
\int_0^1 |\dot{B}^\ell(Z(s), Y(s))|^2 ds \leq 4C_1^2 N_0^6 \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}_\rho |Z(s)|^2 |Y(s)|^2 ds
\]

\[
\leq 4C_1^2 N_0^6 \int_0^1 \left( \mathbb{E}_\rho |Z^4(s)|^2 \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_\rho |Y(s)|^4 \right) \frac{1}{2} ds
\]

\[
\leq 4C_1^2 N_0^6 \int_0^1 \left( \mathbb{E}_\rho |Z^4(s)|^2 \right) \left( 2 \mathbb{E}_\rho e^{|Y(s)|^2} \right) \frac{1}{2} ds
\]

\[
\leq 4\sqrt{2} C_1^2 N_0^6 \sqrt{1 + K_2e^{|y|^2}} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |y|^2 + \frac{1}{2} tr(QQ^*) \right\} |z|^2.
\]

Collecting all the above, we have

(3.23) \[
\mathbb{E}_\rho \int_0^1 |v_s|^2 ds \leq L_1 |z|^4 + L_2 |z|^2.
\]

where

\[
L_1 = 24C_0^2 C_1^2 N_0^6 (1 + K_2e^{|y|^2}),
\]

\[
L_2 = 3C_0^2 \left( 4N_0^4 + 4\sqrt{2} C_1^2 N_0^6 \sqrt{1 + K_2e^{|y|^2}} e^{(|y|^2+tr(QQ^*)/2)} \right).
\]

Now let us estimate \( \mathbb{E}_\rho \int_1^t |v_s|^2 ds \), by (3.13) one has

\[
\mathbb{E}_\rho \int_1^t |v_s|^2 ds \leq 2C_0^2 \mathbb{E}_\rho \int_1^t \left( |B^\ell(Z^k(s))|^2 + |\dot{B}^\ell(Z^k(s), Y(s))|^2 \right) ds.
\]
By a similar argument as for proving (3.23) and thanks to (3.19), when \( \nu N_0^2 > \frac{1}{2} tr(QQ^*) \) one has

\[
\mathbb{E}_\tilde{\mathbb{P}} \int_1^t |B^\ell(Z^\ell(s))|^2 ds \leq C_1^2 N_0^6 \int_1^t \mathbb{E}_\tilde{\mathbb{P}}|Z^\ell(s)|^4 ds \leq \frac{C_1^2 N_0^6 e^{2|y|^2 + 4\nu N_0^2} K_2}{4\nu N_0^2 - tr(QQ^*)} |z|^4,
\]

and

\[
\mathbb{E}_\tilde{\mathbb{P}} \int_1^t |\bar{B}^\ell(Z^\ell(s), Y(s))|^2 ds \leq 2C_1^2 N_0^6 \int_1^t \mathbb{E}_\tilde{\mathbb{P}}|Z^\ell(s)|^2 |Y(s)|^2 ds
\]

\[
\leq 2C_1^2 N_0^6 \int_1^t (\mathbb{E}_\tilde{\mathbb{P}}|Z^\ell(s)|^4)^{1/2} (\mathbb{E}_\tilde{\mathbb{P}}|Y(s)|^4)^{1/2} ds \leq \frac{2C_1^2 N_0^6 \sqrt{2K_0 e^{2|y|^2 + 2\nu N_0^2}}}{2\nu N_0^2} |z|^2.
\]

Therefore,

\[
(3.24) \quad \mathbb{E}_\tilde{\mathbb{P}} \int_1^t |v_\epsilon|^2 ds \leq L_3 |z|^4 + L_4 |z|^2
\]

where

\[
L_3 = \frac{2C_0 C_1^2 N_0^6 e^{2|y|^2 + 4\nu N_0^2} K_2}{4\nu N_0^2 - tr(QQ^*)}, \quad L_4 = \frac{4C_0^2 C_1^2 N_0^6 \sqrt{2K_0 e^{2|y|^2 + 2\nu N_0^2}}}{2\nu N_0^2 - tr(QQ^*)}.
\]

\[\Box\]

4. PROOF OF AUXILIARY LEMMAS IN SECTION 3

Some of the first four lemmas are well known. Since their proofs are short, it is very convenient to repeat them here.

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Since all the expectations restricted on the set \( \{ x : f(x) = 0 \} \) are zero, without loss of generality, we can assume that \( f > 0 \) a.e.. We can also simply assume that \( \mathbb{E}f = 1 \), otherwise one can replace \( f \) by \( \frac{f}{\mathbb{E}f} \). We have

\[
\mathbb{E}[fg] \leq \mathbb{E}[f \log e^g] = \mathbb{E}[f \log \frac{e^g}{f}] + \mathbb{E}[f \log f]
\]

\[
\leq \log \mathbb{E}[f e^g] + \mathbb{E}[f \log f] = \mathbb{E}e^g + \mathbb{E}[f \log f].
\]

\[\Box\]

Proof of Lemma 3.5. The proofs for the two claims are the same, so we only prove the first one. By Itô formula, we have

\[
|X(t)|^2 + \nu \int_0^t |A^\ell X(s)|^2 ds
\]

\[
= |x|^2 + tr(QQ^*) t + 2 \int_0^t \langle X(s), QdW_s \rangle - \nu \int_0^t |A^\ell X(s)|^2 ds.
\]
By \(|x| \leq |A^\frac{1}{2} x|\) and \(|Q^* x|^2 \leq \text{tr}(QQ^*)|x|^2\), we have
\[
\mathbb{E}_P \exp \left\{ 2 \int_0^t \langle X(s), Q dW_s \rangle - \nu \int_0^t |A^\frac{1}{2} X(s)|^2 ds \right\} 
\leq \mathbb{E}_P \exp \left\{ 2 \int_0^t \langle X(s), Q dW_s \rangle - 2 \int_0^t |Q^* X(s)|^2 ds + (2\text{tr}(QQ^*) - \nu) \int_0^t |X(s)|^2 ds \right\}.
\]
Since \(\exp \left\{ 2 \int_0^t \langle X(s), Q dW_s \rangle - 2 \int_0^t |Q^* X(s)|^2 ds \right\}\) is a martingale, as \(\nu > 2\text{tr}(QQ^*)\), one has
\[
\mathbb{E}_P \exp \left\{ |X(t)|^2 + \nu \int_0^t |A^\frac{1}{2} X(s)|^2 ds \right\} \leq e^{\|x\|^2 + \text{tr}(QQ^*)t}.
\]
\(\square\)

**Proof of Lemma 3.6.** \([3.14]\) is classical, one can, for instance, refers to \([24]\). We clearly have
\[
|\langle x, B(y, z) \rangle| \leq |x||y|\|\nabla z\|_\infty \leq C_1 |x||y| |A^\frac{1}{2} z|
\]
since \(z = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} z_k e^{2\pi i k \cdot x}\) and hence
\[
\|\nabla z\|_\infty \leq C \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} |k||z_k| \leq C \sqrt{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} |k|^{-4}} \sqrt{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} |k|^6 |z_k|^2}
\]
As for \((3.16)\), by Hölder’s inequality, the classical Sobolev embedding \(\|y\|_{L^4} \leq C |A^\frac{1}{2} y|\) \([13]\) Theorem 6.16 and Remark 6.17) and the easy interpolation \(|A^\frac{1}{2} x| \leq |x|^{1/2}|A^\frac{1}{2} x|^{1/2}\), we have
\[
|\langle x, B(y, z) \rangle| \leq \|x\|_{L^4} \|y\|_{L^4} |A^\frac{1}{2} z| \leq C_2 |x|^{1/2}|A^\frac{1}{2} x|^{1/2}|y|^{1/2}|A^\frac{1}{2} y|^{1/2} |A^\frac{1}{2} z|.
\]
\(\square\)

**Proof of Lemma 3.7.** For any \(z \in H with z^6 = 0\), it is clear from the first inequality of \((3.3)\) that
\[
|A^\frac{3}{2} z| \leq N_0^3 |z|.
\]
By \((3.14)\) and \((3.15)\), one has
\[
|\langle z, B^6(x, y) \rangle| = |\langle y, B(x, z) \rangle| \leq C_1 |A^\frac{3}{2} z||y||x| \leq C_1 N_0^3 |y||x||z|
\]
\(\square\)

**Proof of Lemma 3.8.** The proof of the claims for \(P\) and \(\tilde{P}\) are the same, so we only show that for the former. We split the proof into the following three steps.

*Step 1.* By \((3.10)\) we have
\[
(4.1) \quad \partial_t |Z^6(t)|^2 + 2\nu |A^\frac{1}{2} Z^6(t)|^2 = -2 \langle Z^6(t), B^6(Z(t)) \rangle - 2 \langle Z^6(t), \tilde{B}^6(Z(t), X(t)) \rangle.
\]
By (3.14), (3.15) and (3.3) we have
\[
\langle Z^k, B^k(Z) \rangle = \langle Z^k, B(Z^k) + \tilde{B}(Z^k, Z^l) + B(Z^l) \rangle 
\]
(4.2)
\[
= \langle Z^k, B(Z^k, Z^l) \rangle + \langle Z^k, B(Z^l) \rangle 
\]
\[
\leq C_1N_0^3(|Z^l||Z^k|^2 + |Z^l|^2|Z^k|).
\]
As for the second term on the r.h.s. of (4.1), we have by (3.16)
\[
(4.3)
|\langle Z^k, B(Z, X) \rangle| \leq C_2|Z^k|^{1/2}|Z^k|^{1/2}|Z|^{1/2}|A^\frac{1}{2}Z|^{1/2}|A^\frac{1}{2}X|,
\]
and have by (3.14), (3.15) and (3.3)
\[
(4.4)
|\langle Z^k, B(X, Z) \rangle| = |\langle Z^k, B(X, Z^l) \rangle| \leq C_1N_0^3|X||Z||Z^l|.
\]
Step 2. Let us now estimate \(\mathbb{E}\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |Z^k(t)|^{2p}\). By (4.2), Cauchy inequality and \(|Z^l(t)| \leq |z|\) for \(0 \leq t \leq 1\) (see (3.11)) one has
\[
|\langle Z^k, B^k(Z) \rangle| \leq C_1N_0^3|z||Z^k|^2 + \frac{1}{2}C_1N_0^3|z|^2|Z^k|^2 + \frac{1}{2}C_1N_0^3|z|^2
\]
\[
\leq C_1N_0^3(|z|^2 + |z|)|Z^k|^2 + C_1N_0^3|z|^2.
\]
Applying Young’s inequality (two times), (4.3) and \(|A^0 z^l| \leq N_0|z|\), we have
\[
|\langle Z^k, B(Z, X) \rangle| \leq \frac{\nu}{2}|A^\frac{1}{2}Z^k||A^\frac{1}{2}Z| + \frac{C_2^2}{4\nu}|A^\frac{1}{2}X|^2|Z^k|^2 + \frac{C_2^2}{4\nu}|A^\frac{1}{2}X|^2|Z|^2
\]
\[
\leq \frac{3\nu}{4}|A^\frac{1}{2}Z^k|^2 + \frac{\nu}{4}|A^\frac{1}{2}Z^k|^2 + \frac{C_2^2}{4\nu}|A^\frac{1}{2}X|^2|Z^k|^2 + \frac{C_2^2}{4\nu}|A^\frac{1}{2}X|^2|Z|^2
\]
\[
\leq \frac{3\nu}{4}|A^\frac{1}{2}Z^k|^2 + \frac{\nu N_0^3}{4}|z|^2 + \frac{C_2^2}{4\nu}|A^\frac{1}{2}X|^2|Z^k|^2 + \frac{C_2^2}{4\nu}|A^\frac{1}{2}X|^2|z|^2.
\]
By (4.4), \(|X| \leq |A^\frac{1}{2}X|\) and \(|Z^l(t)| \leq |z^l|\) for \(0 \leq t \leq 1\), we get
\[
|\langle Z^k, B(X, Z) \rangle| \leq \frac{C_1N_0^3}{2}|Z^k|^2 + \frac{C_1N_0^3}{2}|A^\frac{1}{2}X|^2|z|^2.
\]
The above three inequalities and (4.1) imply
\[
\partial_t |Z^k(t)|^2 \leq \left[ C_1N_0^2(|z|^2 + |z|) + \frac{C_2^2}{4\nu}|A^\frac{1}{2}X|^2 + \frac{C_1N_0^3}{2} \right] |Z^k(t)|^2
\]
\[
+ \left[ C_1N_0^2|z|^2 + \frac{\nu N_0^3}{4}|z|^2 + \frac{C_2^2}{4\nu}|A^\frac{1}{2}X|^2|z|^2 + \frac{C_1N_0^3}{2}|A^\frac{1}{2}X|^2|z|^2 \right],
\]
thus for any \(0 \leq t \leq 1\)
\[
|Z^k(t)|^2 \leq \exp \left\{ C_1N_0^2(|z|^2 + |z|) + \frac{C_1N_0^3}{2} + \frac{C_2^2}{4\nu} \int_0^1 |A^\frac{1}{2}X(s)|^2 ds \right\}
\]
\[
\times \left[ |Z^k|^2 + C_1N_0^3|z|^2 + \frac{\nu N_0^3}{4}|z|^2 + \left( \frac{C_2^2}{4\nu} + \frac{C_1N_0^3}{2} \right) |z|^2 \int_0^1 |A^\frac{1}{2}X(s)|^2 ds \right].
\]
It follows that
\[
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |Z^k(t)|^{2p} \leq 2^{p-1} \exp \left\{ C_1 p N_0^2 (|z|^2 + |z|) + \frac{C_1 p N_0^3}{2} \right\} \exp \left\{ \frac{C_2 p}{4} \int_0^t |A^\frac{1}{2} X(s)|^2 ds \right\} \\
\times \left[ \left( 1 + C_1 N_0^3 + \frac{\nu N_0^2}{4} \right)^p + \left( \frac{C_2}{4} + \frac{C_1 N_0^3}{2} \right)^p \left( \int_0^t |A^\frac{1}{2} X(s)|^2 ds \right)^p \right] |z|^{2p}.
\]

By Lemma 3.5 as \( \nu > \max\{C_2 \sqrt{p}/2, 2tr(QQ^*)\} \),
\[
\mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ \frac{C_2 p}{4} \int_0^t |A^\frac{1}{2} X(s)|^2 ds \right\} \leq e^{|x|^2 + tr(QQ^*)}
\]
and
\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left\{ \frac{C_2 p}{4} \int_0^t |A^\frac{1}{2} X(s)|^2 ds \right\} \left( \int_0^t |A^\frac{1}{2} X(s)|^2 ds \right)^p \right] \leq p! \left( \frac{C_2 p}{4} \right)^{-p} e^{|x|^2 + tr(QQ^*)}.
\]

Collecting the above three inequalities, we get
\[
\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |Z^k(t)|^{2p} \leq 2^{p-1} \exp \left\{ C_1 p N_0^2 (|z|^2 + |z|) + \frac{C_1 p N_0^3}{2} \right\} \\
\times \left[ \left( 1 + C_1 N_0^3 + \frac{\nu N_0^2}{4} \right)^p + p! \left( \frac{C_2}{4} + \frac{C_1 N_0^3}{2} \right)^p \left( \frac{C_2 p}{4} \right)^{-p} \right] e^{|x|^2 + tr(QQ^*)} |z|^{2p}
\]

**Step 3.** As \( t > 1 \), \( Z^k(t) = 0 \) by (3.11). From (4.11)-(4.34),
\[
\partial_t |Z^k|^2 + 2\nu |A^\frac{1}{2} Z^k|^2 \leq C_2 |Z^k||A^\frac{1}{2} Z^k||A^\frac{1}{2} X|,
\]
which, together with Young’s inequality, implies
\[
\partial_t |Z^k|^2 + \nu |A^\frac{1}{2} Z^k|^2 \leq \frac{C_2^2}{4} |A^\frac{1}{2} X|^2 |Z^k|^2.
\]

By the second inequality of (3.3) we further have
\[
\partial_t |Z^k|^2 + \nu N_0^2 |Z^k|^2 \leq \frac{C_2^2}{4} |A^\frac{1}{2} X|^2 |Z^k|^2.
\]

Therefore, for all \( t > 1 \)
\[
\mathbb{E} |Z^k(t)|^{2p} \leq \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ -\nu p N_0^2 (t - 1) + \frac{C_2^2 p}{4} \int_0^t |A^\frac{1}{2} X(s)|^2 ds \right\} |Z^k(1)|^{2p} \\
\leq \left( \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ -2\nu p N_0^2 (t - 1) + \frac{C_2^2 p}{2} \int_0^t |A^\frac{1}{2} X(s)|^2 ds \right\} \right)^{1/2} (\mathbb{E} |Z^k(1)|^{4p})^{1/2} \\
\leq \exp \left\{ 2\nu p N_0^2 + |x|^2 - (2\nu p N_0^2 - tr(QQ^*) t) \right\} (\mathbb{E} |Z^k(1)|^{4p})^{1/2}
\]
as \( \nu > \max \{ C_2 \sqrt{p/2}, 2m(QQ^t) \} \), where the last inequality is due to Lemma 3.5. This, together with the last inequality in Step 2, immediately implies (3.19) \( \square \)
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