Prediction of COVID-19 prognosis by heterogeneity analysis based on chest CT scans
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Abstract

This study aimed to estimate clinical outcome in individual COVID-19 patient by using histogram heterogeneity analysis based on CT opacities. 57 COVID-19 cases’ medical records were retrospectively reviewed from a designated hospital in Wuhan, China. Two characteristic lung abnormality opacities, ground-glass opacity (GGO) and consolidation opacity (CLO) were drawn on CT images to identify the heterogeneity by using quantitative histogram analysis. The parameters (mean, mode, kurtosis, skewness) derived from histograms evaluate the accuracy of clinical classification and outcome prediction. Nomograms were built to predict the risk of death and median length of hospital stays (LOS), respectively. The most highly frequency of lung abnormalities was GGO mixed with CLO in both survival population (26 in 42, 61.9%) and died population (10 in 15, 66.7%). The best performance heterogeneity parameters to discriminate severe type from mild/moderate counterparts were as following: GGO_skewness: specificity=66.67%, sensitivity=78.12%, AUC=0.706; CLO_mean: specificity=70.00%, sensitivity=76.92%, AUC=0.746. Nomogram based on histogram parameters can predict the individual risk of death and the prolonged median LOS of COVID-19 patients. C-indexes were 0.763 and 0.888 for risk of death and prolonged median LOS, respectively. The histogram analysis method based on GGO and CLO has the ability for individual risk prediction in COVID-19 patients.

Introduction

The 2019 novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) is a lethal disease with highly infectious and pathogenic caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)(1). The COVID-19 pandemic has become a global public health emergency infecting exceeding 30,000,000 cases and resulting in more than 900,000 people die of the disease (update to Sept 19nd, 2020), according to data compiled by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering at Johns Hopkins University.(2).

Chest CT has the advantages of timely, fast and highly sensitivity, which plays an important role in screening of suspected infected patients and monitoring the lung changes of confirmed patients. Previous studies have reported that COVID-19 patients have similar appearance in CT imaging, the most common CT features are (1) single or multiple round or oval shape pure ground-glass opacities (GGO) in subpleural area or peribronchial areas or both, (2) single or diffuse consolidation opacities (CLO) in above-mentioned areas, (3) mixed GGO and CLO patchy or diffuse distribution in lateral or bilateral pulmonary lobes. Intra or interlobular septal thickening or dilated bronchi were frequently seen in these patients. The semi-quantitative score based on CT imaging has been wildly used to evaluate the severity of pulmonary infection, and this score system has been confirmed consistent with clinical conditions. However, the relatively low accuracy and specificity of CT semi-quantitative score are the main shortages for onset typing diagnosis or predicting outcome during treatment course (3). Thus, it is necessary to develop a quantitative analysis approach to better provide more valuable information for treatment decision making.

Histogram analysis is a quantitative method, which is widely used in the grading and prognostic diagnosis of tumors, inflammations and other diseases. Histogram parameters, such as skewness, kurtosis, mode, and mean, can provide more voxel distribution information, reflecting subtle histological heterogeneity. In the COVID-19 patients, the severity of the clinical condition is mainly related to different pathological manifestations (such as inflammatory exudation or edema or brosis). These pathological differences can be reflected on CT images (such as GGO or CLO), which can be identified by radiologists or other clinicians due to different CT densities.
However, the subtle histological heterogeneity inside either GGO or CLO on chest CT imaging is still unknown. The method of opacities histogram analysis based on voxel distribution, which is more accurately and reliably in reflecting the heterogeneity of opacities zone, and may provide more quantitative information for COVID-19 diagnosis and prognosis.

In the present study, we retrospectively reviewed the data of 71 COVID-19 patients from a designated hospital in Wuhan, China. We aimed to develop a quantitative analysis approach to evaluate the severity and outcome of COVID-19. We firstly investigated whether histogram analysis of CT images base on GGO and/or CLO heterogeneity could accurately predict clinical classification and outcome in COVID-19. Furthermore, we try to build a comprehensive and effective nomogram based on histogram parameters to predict the individual risk of death and the prolonged median length of hospital stays (LOS) in COVID-19 patients.

Results

Clinical cases data

The baseline demographic and initial symptoms of the study population are summarized in Table 1.

A total of 71 cases confirmed diagnosed with COVID-19 were retrospectively studied. 14 cases were excluded due to poor image quality or without clear CT lung abnormalities. 57 cases (38 men and 19 women, average age, 67.00 years±18.89, range from 31-97 years) were enter into the final analysis. According to the Protocols-Ver8, 36 cases (63.2%) were classified as severe type, while 21 cases (36.8%) as mild and moderate type. 15 cases (26.3%) died during hospitalization and 42 cases (73.7%) were discharged from hospital. All the discharged cases were survival at 30 days follow-up survey. The mean LOS for survival population was 35.29±18.04 days, while the mean overall survival (OS) of died population was 31.67±11.87 days.

The patients in this study population were present at least one respiratory symptoms symptom, and/or more symptoms onset of illness. The most common initial symptoms were fever (48 cases, 84.2%), cough (33 cases, 57.9%) the other initial symptoms include hypodynamia, chest tightness, muscle pain, diarrhea, headache, etc. Noting that, 5 in 7 cases present with diarrhea were died in this study population.

Chest CT findings and Semi-quantitative CT score

The frequencies of major chest CT findings, GGO and/or CLO, were analyzed in whole study population, each group (survival vs. died, severe vs. mild/moderate) of population independently, summarized in Table 2. Overall, 13 pure GGO, 8 pure CLO and 36 GGO mixed with CLO were present in the whole study population. The most highly frequency of lung abnormality was GGO mixed with CLO in both survival population (26 in 42, 61.9%) and died population (10 in 15, 66.7%). In terms of the predominance of the lung opacity, survival population were more likely to show more cases of GGO predominance over CLO (GGO vs. CLO, 61.9% vs 38.0%), while died population showed almost equal cases between GGO and CLO predominance. In survival population, the highly frequency infected lobes were at the right and left lower lobe (92.9% and 83.3%). In died population, both right and left lower lobes were infected in all 15 cases (100%). The CT severity score was significantly higher in died cases than survival cases [died vs survival cases: 7.41±4.18 vs 4.26±2.68]. Only died population shown the score 4 [right upper lobe: 3(20%); right lower lobe: 3(20%); left upper lobe: 2(13.3%); left lower lobe: 4 (26.7%)]. The frequencies and CT semi-quantitative score were also analyzed in severe, mild/moderate populations (Table 2).
**Semi-quantitative CT score for clinical classification**

ROC curves were presented in Fig. 2. The CT severity score has the ability to discriminate the clinical classification and outcome. The sensitivity and specificity for diagnosis severe type from mild/moderate counterparts were 88.24% and 56.52%, respectively (AUC, 0.753). For outcome, the sensitivity and specificity were 86.67% and 52.38%, respectively (AUC, 0.729).

**Histogram quantitatively analysis for clinical classification**

ROC curves are presented in Fig. 3 and details of each histogram parameter are presented in Table S1\textsuperscript{1}Supplementary materials\textsuperscript{1}. The histogram parameters have great ability to discriminate severe type from mild/moderate type COVID-19. The significant diagnostic value of histogram parameters derived from ROC analysis was as following: GGO\textunderscore skewness (specificity=66.67%, sensitivity=78.12%, AUC=0.706, \( p = 0.0094 \)), GGO\textunderscore mode(specificity=77.78%, sensitivity=59.38%, AUC=0.707, \( p = 0.0059 \)), GGO\textunderscore mean (specificity=83.33%, sensitivity=62.5%, AUC=0.742, \( p = 0.0008 \)), CLO\textunderscore mode (specificity=80.00%, sensitivity=57.69%, AUC=0.680, \( p = 0.0024 \)), and CLO\textunderscore mean (specificity=70.00%, sensitivity=76.92%, AUC=0.746, \( p = 0.001 \)).

**COX proportional hazards mode evaluates for individual risk of death prediction in COVID-19 and nomogram**

The Cox proportional hazard analysis with ten variables (8 histogram parameters with age and gender) is presented in Table S2\textsuperscript{1}Supplementary materials\textsuperscript{1}. Significant results were obtained for GGO\textunderscore kurtosis (OR=7.607 \( p = 0.002 \)), GGO\textunderscore skewness (OR=0.122, \( p = 0.026 \)), GGO\textunderscore mode(OR=3.566, \( p = 0.007 \)), GGO\textunderscore mean (OR=0.254, \( p = 0.005 \)), CL\textunderscore mode (OR=0.609, \( p = 0.036 \)), and CL\textunderscore mean (OR=2.016, \( p = 0.007 \)). The result of nomogram on the basis of Cox proportional hazard analysis was shown in Figure 4. Internal validation using the bootstrap method showed that the C-index for the model was 0.763. The calibration curve is shown in Fig. S1. It reveals no deviations from the reference line and no need for recalibration. We have shown two examples of severe type pneumonia from died and survival cohort respectively (Fig.5). A 60 years old female patient of died cohort with a total of histogram parameters \( \approx 137 \) points, which corresponds to a 30-day risk of death probability of 79%, while a 57 year old male patient of survival cohort with a total of histogram parameters \( \approx 126 \) points, which corresponds to a 30-day risk of death probability of 35%.

**COX proportional hazards mode evaluates for individual prolonged median LOS prediction in COVID-19 and nomogram**

The Cox proportional hazard analysis with ten variables (8 histogram parameters with age and gender) is presented in Table S3\textsuperscript{1}Supplementary materials\textsuperscript{1}. Significant result was obtained for CLO\textunderscore kurtosis (OR=0.110 \( p = 0.017 \)). Trend result was obtained for GGO\textunderscore mode (OR=0.290 \( p = 0.071 \)). The result of nomogram on the basis of
Discussion

In the present study, we investigated whether histogram analysis based on chest CT imaging could provide a quantitative approach to predict clinical classification and clinical outcome of COVID-19 patients. Our results showed that the histogram parameters have the ability to quantify the heterogeneity of GGO and CLO, and have better diagnostic performance in typing diagnosis and predicting outcome. Furthermore, we have built a nomogram based on Cox proportional hazard analysis with ten variables (8 histogram parameters with age and gender) to predict the 30 days survival probability of COVID-19 patients, and median LOS for survival subgroup.

Chest CT is considered as an essential and powerful tool during the course of diagnose and treatment in COVID-19, for the CT scan can find small characteristic patchy shadows or interstitial changes at the early of the disease, as well as monitor the pneumonia changes during the course of treatment. Even more, CT precedes positivity on reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction testing (RT-PCR) in some cases (3, 4). Many studies reported the COVID-19 have similar appearance on CT imaging, and performed semi-quantitative score system based on the infectious involvement of lung lobes. The positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) of chest CT for COVID-19 are estimated at 92% and 42%, respectively, in a cohort with high pretest probability for the disease (i.e., 85% prevalence by RT-PCR)(3). In another study, PPV is only 46%, but the NPV is 99% for COVID-19 diagnosis by using chest CT(5). Inui S et al reported that there were significant difference of CT severe score between symptomatic and asymptotic cases in an environmentally homogeneous cohort from the cruise ship “Diamond Princess”(6). In our study, we found that the CT severe score has a high sensitivity (88.24%) but a low specificity (56.52%) to discriminate pneumonia type (severe vs mild/moderate). The relatively low specificity of CT findings for COVID-19 pneumonia diagnosis will reinforcing the need to develop a quantitively approach with overall considering the CT imaging density information.

GGO and CLO are the typical lung abnormalities on CT imaging of COVID-19, and are highly heterogeneity at histology and cellular level. GGO lesions can be caused by numerous pathology changes, which generally present as incomplete filling of the alveolar cavity with cells and liquids (such as edema and hemorrhage), or lung interstitial thickening due to inflammation, edema (7). Consolidation opacity is caused from any pathologic process that fills the alveoli with fluid, pus, blood, cells or other substances resulting in lobar, diffuse or multifocal ill-defined opacities. In severe or critical COVID-19 cases, the integrity of the epithelial–endothelial (air–blood) barrier was severely interrupted, and SARS-CoV-2 can attack lung capillary endothelial cells, which leads to a large amount of plasma component exudate in the alveolar cavity(8). In the autopsy studies of COVID-19, there reported that pathological feature of lung is diffuse alveolar damage with prominent fibrous mucus exudate and mucous plug formation(9, 10). The heterogeneous pathological pattern of lung will make the opacities extremely inhomogeneous density at CT images. Timely quantification of the heterogeneity of the two typical opacities will be a potential approach for initial prognostic prediction and risk stratification for treatment decisions in COVID-19 pneumonia patients. Histogram analysis is a concise and accuracy method for quantification of lung CT imaging(11). The method of opacities histogram analysis based on voxel distribution is more accurately and reliably in reflecting the heterogeneity of opacities zone, and it can avoid the sampling error caused by ROI in local area in the greatest extent, and provide more quantitative information for COVID-19 pneumonia diagnosis.
and prognosis. In our study, severe type prediction in COVID-19 pneumonia is great performance by using the indexes value derived from histogram analysis was as following: CLO_mean (NPV=70.00%, PPV=89.8%), with a 25.6% prevalence of severe type reported by Fu LW et al (12).

Many studies were done to subtract independent factor for diagnosis and prognosis prediction in COVID-19 pneumonia patients. Mao R reported that digestive symptoms are the significant hazard factor for severe COVID-19 pneumonia patients (13). The relationship between clinical outcome with CT features is still unclear. In our study population, we found that severe pneumonia patients with same CT severe score may have different outcome. We done the Cox proportional hazards model with combine important factors and then built prognostic nomograms to quantify risk as precisely as possible in order to individually predict outcomes of COVID-19 pneumonia patients.

Nomograms are commonly used tools to estimate prognosis in medicine, with the ability to generate an individual numerical probability of a clinical event by integrating diverse prognostic and determinant variables. Many factors will challenge nomogram utility, is list as following: i), nomograms assume that outcomes remain constant over time; ii) heavily censor data; iii) secular trends with capture of more events, and iv) conveying results to clinical-decision making for an individual patient (14). We enrolled adult inpatients from designated hospitals for COVID-19, which has the same treatment protocol and has a definite outcome (dead or discharged) at the early stage of the outbreak. Our study cohort is a better model for nomogram utility for the simple end events, standard treatment and 30-days follow-up survey post discharged. We identify the GGO and CLO heterogeneity parameters have different impaction for individual clinical outcome prediction. GGO_mode is a major weighted factor both in the risk of death and prolonged median LOS. CLO_kutosis is a minor weighted factor in prediction of risk of death but major weighted in LOS prediction. We speculate GGO and CLO parameters have relationship with pathology findings in different cohort population. More radiology-pathology control studies need to be done to find out the underline mechanisms.

The role of gender in morbidity and mortality of COVID-19 is critical topic. Many studies indicated that male has a high ratio in COVID patients(15-17). In a recent study, male are more at risk for worse outcomes and death, independent of age, with COVID-19(18). In our study, we found that although the gender is not a independent risk factor for COVID-19 patent, male patients has high risk (OR=10,716) compared to female patients with same pulmonary infection degree. It has been accepted that different behavior, hormone responses, and susceptibility to infectious disease may lead to distinct profiles of pneumonia-associated morbidity for male and female(19), and this will also applicable for the COVID-19 pandemic.

There are several limitations in this study. One of the main limitations, we did not conduct the longitudinal study but only analysis the onset of COVID-19 pneumonia. The frequency of imaging findings also depends on when infected patients are imaged(20). Second, we did not include asymptotic patients in this retrospective study. In addition, for the relatively small sample size, the complications (e.g., hypertension, diabetes, coronary artery disease) which had been proved the risk factor of morbidity of COVID-19(21) were not using for the cox hazard model.

**Conclusion**

We conclude that: i) although initial chest CT with semi-quantification showed a high sensitivity but a lower specificity for COVID-19; ii) Histogram analysis of opacities zone on CT images could timely quantification of the
heterogeneity, and is a potential approach for initial prognostic prediction and risk stratification for treatment decisions in COVID-19 patients; iii) nomogram based on COX hazard model with index derived from histogram analysis will archived accuracy prediction of the prognosis for an individual COVID-19 patient.

**Methods**

*Clinical data collection*

In this retrospective study, a total of 71 patient’s medical records were reviewed for clinical and chest CT imaging findings from a designated hospital in Wuhan, China, from January 24th to February 28th at the early stage of pandemic. All patients were confirmed diagnosed with COVID-19 by RT-PCR. The onset clinical classification and the following treatment of each patient were strictly carried out by clinicians according to Diagnosis and Treatment Protocols of COVID-19 Infection (8th edition) (hereafter referred to as Protocols-Ver8) published by National Health Commission of the People’s Republic of China. All the patients have clear course with a definite outcome (survival or die) written in the medical records. In order to ensure data accuracy, a follow-up survey was performed at 30 days post the survival patients discharged from hospital.

This study was approved by the institutional review board of the designated hospital and was conducted in accordance with Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act regulations. Written consent was waived.

The retrospective study design and workflow diagram with patient inclusion and exclusion were shown on Figure 1. Clinical medical record, laboratory testing, chest CT imaging were collected from PACS using a standardized data collection. All data were reviewed by two investigators independently to verify data accuracy.

*Chest CT Protocol*

Chest CT scan was performed on 64-slice multiple spiral CT (Insitum 64S, SinoVision Company, Beijing, China) without contrast. Briefly, Chest CT images were acquired during inspiratory breath-hold. CT parameters were as follows: tube voltage 120 kV, automatic tube current, pitch of 1.3, matrix 512×512, slice thickness 0.625 mm, spacing 0.625 mm, and All original images were reconstructed to 1.25mm section on workstation and reviewed on PACS.

*Image reviewed and semi-quantitative score*

Two radiologists who were blinded to clinical data independently reviewed the chest CT images with axial section to evaluate imaging features, including the density heterogeneity (GGO or CLO), lung distribution and border definition. Intra or interlobular septal thickening or subpleural linear opacity was also reviewed. A semi-quantitative score system was performed visually to evaluate the degree of involvement of each lung lobe based on the percentage of abnormality opacities. Each lobe scored in a four-point scale: score 1, 1-25% involvement; score 2, 26-50% involvement; score 3; 51-75% involvement; score 4, 76-100% involvement. Total lung scores were calculated as the sum of each lobe score.)(6). For cases in which the two radiologists’ findings were discrepant, a consensus was allocated.

*Quantitative Histogram Analysis*
Two observers who were blinded to clinical data performed quantitative imaging analysis independently. As described in previous studies(23), regions of interest (ROI) for the ground-glass opacity (GGO), consolidation opacity (CLO) were respectively drawn in each image section using MRicroN software (https://www.nitrc.org/projects/mricron). For ROI boundaries definition were as following: i) GGO were defined with reference to the high-density intensity areas consisting of a hazy opacity that does not obscure the underlying bronchial structures or pulmonary vessels; ii) CLO were defined a higher density than GGO consisting of consolidation and infiltrates pervade the lungs, leaving few recognized air spaces; iii) Intra or interlobular septal thickening or subpleural linear opacity was classified as GGO or CLO; iv) ROI were carefully drawn to avoid areas of macro-vessels or trachea/dilated bronchus or pleural effusion; v) Voxels in each ROI value was normalized by the mean of ROI of the thoracic artery. For cases in which the two observers’ findings were discrepant, a consensus was allocated.

The histogram analysis was performed by using the MATLAB (v9.4.0; MathWorks). ROI histograms were plotted with relative CT value on the x-axis, with a bin size of 15, and the y-axis expressed as a percentage of each bin in the total ROI CT value.

The following parameters were derived from histograms: (a) mean; (b) mode, which equals the peak height position; (c) kurtosis, which is the degree of peakedness of a distribution; and (d) skewness, which is a measure of the degree of asymmetry of a distribution.

Statistical analysis and nomogram

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC), Cox proportional hazards regression models was conducted in SPSS for Windows (Version 24.0, Chicago, IL, USA). The nomogram was built by using R software (Version 3.5.0, https://www.r-project.org/). Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD).

The optimal diagnostic cut-offs were derived from the ROC analysis with the area under the curve (AUC) in order to distinguish the diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of the mild/moderate type and severe type in COVID-19 patents, as well as to distinguish survival with dead population.

For survival prediction, multivariable time-to-death event analysis was performed using Cox proportional hazards regression models to obtain odds ratio (OR) and develop a nomogram using weighted estimators corresponding to each covariate derived from Cox regression coefficients and estimates of variance constructed using R software with the rms package as described previously(24). For median LOS prediction, the prolonged LOS (>30 days) was defined as the end-event.

Validation of the nomogram was assessed by discrimination and calibration. Harrell's C statistic was calculated by 1,000-fold bootstrap resampling iterations to an initial fitted Cox model in the derivation set. The maximum C-index value is 1.0, which indicates a perfect prediction model whereas 0.5 indicates a random chance to correctly predict outcome by the model. Bootstraps with 1,000 resamples were used for validation to correct the C-index and explain the variance due to over-optimism. For individual patients, the sum of nomogram scores for each variable will transform to survival probability.
Data availability

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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| Parameters                        | Datum                                      |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Age (y)                          | 67.00±18.89 (rang from 31 to 97)           |
| Male to female ratio             | 38:19                                      |
| **Initial symptoms**             |                                            |
| Fever                            | 48 (84.2%)                                 |
| Hypodynamia                      | 21 (36.8%)                                 |
| Cough                            | 33 (57.9%)                                 |
| Chest tightness                  | 15 (26.3%)                                 |
| Sore throat                      | 5 (8.8%)                                   |
| Chills                           | 9 (15.8%)                                  |
| Diarrhea                         | 7 (12.3%)                                  |
| Anorexia                         | 5 (8.8%)                                   |
| Headache                         | 5 (8.8%)                                   |
| Muscle pain                      | 13 (22.8%)                                 |
| **Clinical classification**      |                                            |
| Severe                           | 36 (63.2%)                                 |
| Mild/Moderate                    | 21 (36.8%)                                 |
| **Clinical outcome**             |                                            |
| Discharged                       | 42 (73.7%)                                 |
| Length of hospital stay (LOS)    | 35.29±18.04                                |
| Died                             | 15 (26.3%)                                 |
| Overall Survival (OS)            | 31.67±11.87                                |

**Table 1** Patients demographics, symptoms and clinical outcome.
| Parameters                | Total case n=57 | Survival n=42 | Died n=15 | Severe n=36 | Mild&moderate n=21 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|------------|-------------------|
| **Opacity frequency**     |                 |               |           |            |                   |
| Pure GGO                  | 13 (22.8%)      | 10 (23.8%)    | 3(20%)    | 9 (25.0%)   | 4 (19.0%)         |
| Pure consolidation        | 8 (14.0%)       | 6 (14.3%)     | 2(13.3%)  | 4 (11.1%)   | 4 (19.0%)         |
| GGO+consolidation         | 36 (63.2%)      | 26 (61.9%)    | 10(66.7%) | 23 (63.9%)  | 13 (61.9%)        |
| **Opacity predominance**  |                 |               |           |            |                   |
| GGO>consolidation         | 33 (57.9%)      | 26 (61.9%)    | 7 (46.7%) | 19 (52.8%)  | 14 (66.6%)        |
| Consolidation > GGO       | 24 (42.1%)      | 16 (38.0%)    | 8 (53.3%) | 17 (47.2%)  | 7 (33.3%)         |
| **Frequency of lobes affected** |             |               |           |            |                   |
| Right upper lobe          | 43 (75.4%)      | 29 (69.0%)    | 14 (93.3%)| 30 (83.3%)  | 13 (61.9%)        |
| Right middle lobe         | 42 (73.7%)      | 29 (69.0%)    | 13 (86.7%)| 30 (83.3%)  | 12 (57.1%)        |
| Right lower lobe          | 53 (93.0%)      | 39 (92.9%)    | 14 (24.6%)| 35 (97.2%)  | 18 (85.7%)        |
| Left upper lobe           | 43 (75.4%)      | 28 (66.7%)    | 15 (100%) | 32 (88.9%)  | 11 (52.4%)        |
| Left lower lobe           | 50 (87.7%)      | 35 (83.3%)    | 15 (100%) | 36 (100%)   | 14 (66.6%)        |
| **Total CT score**        | 6.14±3.9        | 4.26±2.68     | 7.41±4.18 | 7.44±4.01   | 3.90±2.44         |
| **p-value**               | 0.003           | 0.000         |           |            |                   |

Table 2 Frequency of lung abnormalities on CT and results of semi-quantitative score.