Direct light–induced spin transfer between different elements in a spintronic Heusler material via femtosecond laser excitation

Phoebe Tengdin1*, Christian Gentry1, Adam Blonsky1, Dmitriy Zustin1, Michael Gerrity1, Lukas Hellbrück2, Moritz Hofherr2, Justin Shaw3, Yaroslav Kvashnin4, Erna K. Delczeg-Czirjak4, Monika Arora3, Hans Nembach1,3, Tom J. Silva3, Stefan Mathias5, Martin Aeschlimann4, Henry C. Kapteyn1, Danny Thonig6, Konstantinos Koumpouras1, Olle Eriksson4,5, Margaret M. Murnane1

Heusler compounds are exciting materials for future spintronics applications because they display a wide range of tunable electronic and magnetic interactions. Here, we use a femtosecond laser to directly transfer spin polarization from one element to another in a half-metallic Heusler material, Co3MnGe. This spin transfer initiates as soon as light is incident on the material, demonstrating spatial transfer of angular momentum between neighboring atomic sites on time scales < 10 fs. Using ultrafast high harmonic pulses to simultaneously and independently probe the magnetic state of two elements during laser excitation, we find that the magnetization of Co is enhanced, while that of Mn rapidly quenches. Density functional theory calculations show that the optical excitation directly transfers spin from one magnetic sublattice to another through preferred spin-polarized excitation pathways. This direct manipulation of spins via light provides a path toward spintronic devices that can operate on few-femtosecond or faster time scales.

INTRODUCTION

Ultralow-power, high-performance nonvolatile memory and logic devices based on magnetic spin (“spintronics”) are starting to make inroads into conventional computing and represent prime candidates for practical quantum technologies. Half-metallic Heusler compounds are particularly promising candidates for efficiently generating pure spin currents for spintronics devices (1). This is due to their unique band structure (2–4), where one spin channel (the majority band) is metallic in nature, but the other spin channel (minority) is insulating, with a bandgap at the Fermi energy (see Fig. 1). However, fully exploiting the capabilities of new materials and technologies will require a detailed understanding of the magnetism at the nanoscale. At present, our understanding of spin interactions is crude and predominantly phenomenological, and experiments are constrained by a limited ability to directly observe and control spin interactions on all relevant time and length scales. While the fundamental length and time scales for magnetic phenomena are nanometers (exchange length) and femtoseconds (exchange splitting), tools that enable the exploration of dynamics at these scales have only recently become available.

For over two decades, ultrafast laser excitation has been used to quench or switch the magnetic state of materials (5–7). All-optical switching has been demonstrated experimentally using circularly and linearly polarized light. However, the response of the material is not instantaneous but takes place on picosecond time scales—long after the laser excitation pulse (8), and in some cases also requires the cumulative effect of many pulses (9, 10). Similarly, laser-induced demagnetization of materials has almost exclusively been understood as a secondary process: triggered by an initial hot electron distribution followed by electron-phonon spin flip and other processes to absorb the spin angular momentum on ~0.5-ps time scales (5). In the search for materials that enable fast spin manipulation, researchers have investigated several Heusler alloys using ultrafast femtosecond laser pulses to drive the system into a nonequilibrium state while monitoring the response of the system with magneto-optics (2, 3, 11, 12). However, visible lasers probe the net magnetization averaged over all elements in the material. Very recent theoretical papers exploring laser-excited Heusler compounds have suggested the possibility of light-induced spin transfer from one element to another on extremely fast (<10 fs) time scales (13, 14). This could, in theory, enable the ultimate goal of ultrafast direct optical manipulation of the magnetic state of a material, provided these dynamics can be observed.

Ultrafast extreme ultraviolet (EUV) high harmonic pulses make it possible to uncover the element-specific spin dynamics in multicomponent magnetic systems, providing rich new information not accessible using visible light. Recent work has explored ultrafast laser-induced spin dynamics in ferromagnetic alloys and multilayers, where distinct responses—such as the existence of a time lag between the quenching of the magnetization of different elements in an FeNi alloy—were observed (15). Other recent work has shown that an ultrafast laser pulse can create high-energy magnons in simple ferromagnets, on time scales 10 times faster than previously suspected, within tens of femtoseconds (16, 17).

Here, we show that a single ultrafast laser pulse can directly transfer spin polarization from one magnetic element to another in...
the half-metal Heusler compound Co$_2$MnGe. This spin transfer initiates as soon as light is incident on the material—suggested by the near-instantaneous femtosecond to attosecond time scales for this process. We use ~10 fs EUV high harmonic pulses resonant at the 3p edges of cobalt and manganese to measure the transverse magneto-optical Kerr effect (TMOKE) of each element simultaneously and independently. This allows us to observe a surprising disparity between the response of the two magnetic sublattices: The magnetization of cobalt is transiently enhanced over the entire laser pump pulse, while that of manganese quenches rapidly. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations (18, 19) show that optical excitations are more likely to occur from the majority spin channel of Mn into the shared states of the conduction band, which are primarily Co in character. This imbalance of allowed excitation pathways therefore induces a direct and instantaneous transfer of spin polarization from Mn sites to Co sites that occurs during the entire laser pulse. As a control, we probed the disordered non–half-metallic (A2) phase of the same material and observed no enhancement of magnetization or transfer of spin polarization. This is consistent with electronic structure theory that does not support any imbalance in the excited spin population of manganese in this phase. The enhancement of ferromagnetic ordering demonstrates direct manipulation of spins via light, thus providing a path toward spintronic logic devices such as switches that can operate on few-femtosecond or even faster time scales. These results show that by tuning the band structure, we can control the ultrafast magnetic response in a quantum material.

We note that this new ability to directly manipulate the spin polarization in a material using light is different from all past experimental observations, where a cascaded sequence of events were assumed to lead to changes in the magnetization after electrons were excited by a femtosecond laser. These include phonon-mediated spin flip processes that requires ~100- to 500-fs time scales to efficiently change the magnetization, and which can only lead to a reduction in magnetization. Similarly, ultrafast high-energy magnon generation can also only lead to a reduction in magnetization. Super diffusive spin transport can lead to an enhancement in the magnetization in magnetic multilayers—due to laser-excitied spin-polarized currents that arise from the different scattering lengths (times) for majority versus minority spins. However, our geometry does not support spin currents, and moreover, the signature of spin currents has always appeared on relatively long time scales, after the hot electron-spin system has cooled because of phonon-mediated and other spin flip scattering processes.

Figure 1A provides an overview of the ultrafast spin dynamics that occur in the half-metallic phase of Co$_2$MnGe. Before the pump pulse arrives, the material is ferromagnetically ordered, with a B2 structure (the CsCl structure), where Co occupies the corner sites and the body-centered position is randomly occupied by Mn or Ge. Note that the Mn atoms carry ~3× as much magnetic moment as the Co atoms. As shown in Figs. 1 and 2, within the time scale of the laser pump pulse, there is a near-instantaneous direct transfer of magnetization from the Mn atoms to the Co atoms: The magnetic moment of the Co atoms is enhanced, while the magnetization of the Mn atoms simultaneously decreases. After the pump pulse, the material continues to demagnetize, dissipating the angular momentum into the lattice within a picosecond. We emphasize that the initial magnetic response of the system is completely dominated by direct optical excitation, while rotation of atomic magnetic moments enters at a second stage. This is corroborated by a theoretical analysis based on the atomistic Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert (aLLG) equation (20) (presented in the Supplementary Materials), which fails to describe the initial phase of the magnetic response (<250 fs) but provides the correct trend for longer time scales (>500 fs).

**RESULTS**

Figure 1B shows the experimental setup used to simultaneously measure the response of Co and Mn after ultrafast excitation. We excite the sample with an infrared laser pulse with a photon energy of 1.55 eV and 55 fs full width at half maximum (FWHM) in duration. To record the magnetic response, part of the laser light is directed into a He filled hollow core waveguide to generate 10-fs high harmonic EUV pulses that are simultaneously resonant with the 3p edges of Co (59 eV) and Mn (47 eV). The EUV TMOKE signal is constructed by a differential asymmetry [A] measurement with $A = \frac{I_1 - I_2}{I_1 + I_2}$, where $I_1$ and $I_2$ are the intensities of the EUV light that is reflected from the sample for opposite directions of magnetization. The responses from individual elements are separated via a spectrometer (21). A more detailed schematic of the experimental layout is given in the Supplementary Materials. See fig. S2A for an illustration of which harmonic energies are used to extract the Co and Mn signals independently.

Figure 2A plots the experimentally measured asymmetry of Co and Mn in the half-metallic B2 phase as a function of pump probe...
the structure are random. The material has formed the ordered bcc structure, but the locations of atoms within the centers are randomly interspersed between Mn and Ge. In the A2 phase, the Co atoms are ordered and occupy sites at the edges of the bcc structure, while the magnetic sublattices are random. During the laser pulse, the Co magnetization transiently increases by ≈10%, while that of Mn immediately decreases. Although the initial dynamics are markedly different, the decay rates of the two magnetic sublattices are similar and occur on time scales similar to the average values measured by visible MOKE (2): Mn demagnetizes with an exponential decay constant of $328 \pm 37$ fs, while Co does so with a decay constant of $323 \pm 86$ fs (after a lag due to the initial transient enhancement). As the time scale for this process is longer than that in pure ferromagnets (as shown in the Supplementary Materials, if the Co and Mn responses were averaged (i.e., as done by visible MOKE measurements), no difference in the behavior of the A2 and B2 phases could be detected).

It is clear from a comparison between the dynamics observed in the A2 and B2 phases that ordering and emergence of a half-metallic gap in the minority band play a fundamental role in the optical magnetic response of Co$_2$MnGe. The transient enhancement observed is a coherent process driven directly by the optical excitation pulse, as demonstrated by the shift in the peak of the Co excitation when driven by a longer laser pulse. Thus, our measurements also show that elemental specificity is critical for revealing the underlying magnetic dynamics of this material; i.e., as shown in Fig. 2 and the Supplementary Materials, if the Co and Mn responses were averaged (i.e., as done by visible MOKE measurements), no difference in the behavior of the A2 and B2 phases could be detected.

Figure 3 (A and B) plots the element-specific spin-resolved density of states calculated using DFT for the two phases of the material. In the B2 phase (Fig. 3A), a gap has formed for the minority carriers, while the majority has full mobility across the Fermi level. Critically, this gap is larger for the Mn states than for the Co states. In contrast, the crystal has a full metal characteristic in the A2 phase (Fig. 3B) for both the majority and minority states. When a 1.55-eV infrared photon is absorbed, the density of states of each phase results in several key
spin polarization from Mn to Co.

From the initial- to the final-state wave function, this is associated with a transfer of reversed, and the Co d-states dominate. Hence, when an electron is optically excited with a larger contribution from the Mn atom. In the final state, the situation is re-versed, and the Co d-states dominate. Hence, when an electron is optically excited from the initial- to the final-state wave function, this is associated with a transfer of spin polarization from Mn to Co.

**DISCUSSION**

Figure 4 (A and B) plots the calculated imbalance of the transition probabilities from each sublattice that give rise to the spin transfer from Mn to Co. The combination of these effects results in a direct transfer of spin polarization from Mn sublattices to Co as observed experimentally in the B2 phase and illustrated in Fig. 1C. In Fig. 4C, we illustrate the basic mechanism that allows for an effective angular momentum transfer from Mn d-states to Co d-states. The initial-state wave function that is involved in the optical transition is hybridized and composed of both Mn and Co d-states, with a larger contribution from the Mn atom (initial state). For the final state, the situation is reversed, and the Co d-states dominate. Hence, when an electron is optically excited from the initial- to the final-state wave function, this is associated with a transfer of d-state population from Mn to Co. This is accomplished without any spin flip occurring, since spin is preserved in the optical excitation processes. A higher probability of transitions for the spin-up electrons compared to the spin-down electrons in Mn (Fig. 4A) then causes an effective spin transfer from Mn to Co, as observed in the data of Fig. 2A. In the A2 phase (Fig. 2B), excitation in the minority valence band of Mn is now optically allowed, no imbalance in the excitation probabilities exists, and direct optical transfer of spin polarization does not occur (see the Supplementary Materials for these calculations). As shown in Fig. 1C, the dominant light-induced pathway is primarily from the majority band in Mn, to shared conduction band wave functions that have a primarily Co character. This preserves the total spin but not the spin of the individual elements.

We emphasize that the transient enhancement of the magnetic signal shown in Fig. 2A for the B2 phase has no contribution from the change in refractive index due to electronic changes in the reflectivity. We demonstrate this by measuring the change in reflectivity for the B2 and A2 phases at the Co edge and showing that the magnitude of these changes is equal in the two phases of the material (see the Supplementary Materials for more information). The mechanism responsible for the magnetization dynamics of Co$_2$MnGe in the B2 phase involves direct optical transitions, leading to an initial transfer of spin moment from Mn to Co. This purely electronic mechanism is necessary in addition to a description based on the aLLG equation, which is sometimes employed to analyze the kind of experiments presented here (23, 24). As shown in the Supplementary Materials, the aLLG equation captures some features of the observed magnetization dynamics, especially at longer time scales (~250 fs and longer), but it fails to explain the initial phase of the demagnetization process. We also note that a similar enhancement to that shown in Fig. 2A was predicted via time-dependent DFT calculations for the related compound Co$_3$MnSi, with the mechanism in this case also attributed a transfer of spin polarization between Co and Mn (9).

Given that we now understand how to measure and predict all-optical spin transfer based on the electronic structure and the density of states of the material, future experiments can explore how changing the pump photon energy or tailoring the bandgap (i.e., density of states) (25) in other materials can be used to tune the demonstrated spin manipulation. Since the enhancement is only observed in the half-metallic phase of the material, the presence of the bandgap in the minority channel of both elements may help to enable the spin polarization enhancement in Co; after majority electrons are excited, they may not be able to decay via spin flip scattering into the minority band (8). We also note here that the B2 phase of Co$_2$MnGe, where the large spin transfer between two atom types is observed, is characterized by hybridizing states, as well as a low-spin state of one of the atoms (Co) that has a high-spin state available at not too large energies. Indeed, Co occupies this higher-spin state for the A2 phase. We speculate that these material characteristics are important when trying to find other materials that may have similar characteristics as those of Co$_2$MnGe and the results shown in Fig. 2A. The L2$_1$ phase shares these characteristics with the B2 phase of Co$_3$MnGe, and is therefore expected to have similar magnetization dynamics. There are several other materials that also have these characteristic properties. For instance, the meta-magnetic Laves phase YCo$_2$, when doped with Fe or Ni, as well as fcc Fe doped with Co or Ni, are all systems that can be characterized in this way. Although direct spin transfer is likely the dominant mechanism in this material, we note that other sub–50-fs demagnetization mechanisms have recently been uncovered, and could cause different kinds of spin dynamics that operate on the same time scales as direct spin transfer in these other materials (16, 17). Finally, we note that a similar lag in spin dynamics was previously observed in alloys of FeNi (15); therefore, our element-resolved measurements of a lag in a fundamentally different system suggest that these phenomena may be ubiquitous.

Our time- and element-resolved EUV MOKE method will have useful applications for selecting spintronic materials, to understand
which materials are good candidates for spin injection. In the past several decades, various techniques for spin injection have emerged, but all have degrees of spin polarization <100%. Pursuing the goal of a pure spin current source, ferromagnetic half-metals were developed to ideally provide conduction of a single-spin state. However, 100% spin-polarized conduction has not yet been demonstrated in these compounds (26). Although many techniques are used to characterize the properties of half-metallic materials such as Andreev reflection (27, 28), spin-polarized tunneling, spin-polarized photo-emission (29), and visible MOKE (3), these all rely on surface measurements. However, device applications require coherence and functionality through a finite nanoscale depth, and bulk and surface properties can be significantly different (30–34). A strong advantage of using EUV MOKE as a probe of these materials is the larger penetration depth of EUV light, more than twice that of visible light, which can, in addition to providing elemental specificity, probe the magnetization state of the material more deeply inside of a device. To use the potential of our findings in a functional spintronics device, the transfer of spin must occur across finite distances. According to DFT calculations, such a transfer process may be possible for a thin-film stack (34). Additionally, several new breakthroughs have recently reported miniaturizing spin current devices to the nanoscale (33, 34). Considering these recent developments, we anticipate that the femtosecond spin transfer demonstrated in this work could be used for information processing using spin currents on few-femtosecond time scales.

Within the context of spintronics, the ability to manipulate magnetization via the spatial transport of angular momentum has been the hallmark of many of the most important recent breakthroughs in the field. In the case of spin transfer torque, the discovery that one can transport angular momentum from one magnetic layer to another via spin-polarized current led to innovative new technology such as spin transfer torque magnetic random access memory. In the case of the spin Hall effect, the ability to efficiently transfer angular momentum between spatially remote interfaces with a pure spin current flowing in response to an applied electric field has opened the door to extremely fast spintronic devices. In this work, we spatially move angular momentum between neighboring atomic sites on the time scale of <10 fs. To put this in context, the equivalent 100% spin-polarized charge current required to generate such a massive torque in a spin transfer device is on the order of \(10^{10}\) A/cm\(^2\)—a current density that would instantaneously vaporize any metal! While the effect demonstrated here is transient in nature, it is not a fundamental limit of the physical process, but rather a limitation of the material system that we used. In principle, the transfer of angular momentum between atomic species could also affect the equilibrium magnetization in different materials.

In conclusion, we experimentally demonstrate the first direct optical manipulation of the magnetic moment of an individual element in a compound material. This ultrafast transfer is very likely a general feature of many materials, initiating as soon as light is incident on the material—near-instantaneously (femtosecond to attosecond time scales). A theory based on DFT as well as atomistic spin dynamics show that electronic excitations drive the magnetization dynamics in the initial phase of the demagnetization and that these excitations directly transfer spin from Mn to Co, via preferred spin-polarized excitation pathways. Rotation of atomic moments, as calculated by atomistic spin dynamics and that results in significant noncollinear orientations, becomes relevant at a later stage of the dynamics. We confirm the predictions of the DFT calculations and importance of the half-metallic (B2) band structure of the material by a further lack of enhancement in the (A2) phase of the material (that lacks half-metallicity). This work represents the first optical manipulation of its kind and demonstrates a route to subfemtosecond all-optical logical operations in magnetic recording media.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

In this experiment, a Ti:Sapphire regenerative amplifier was used to generate 40-fs pulses of light with a center wavelength at 800 nm and a 40-nm (FWHM) bandwidth. The pulse energy directly from the amplifier was 2 mJ per pulse at a 5-kHz repetition rate, and 80% of this light (1.6 mJ) was used to generate the EUV light through high harmonic generation in a hollow core waveguide filled with helium gas. The EUV light was gently focused with a toroid, reflected from the sample at a 48° angle of incidence, and sent to a spectrometer. The spectrometer consists of a grating with 500 lines/mm that is mounted in a conical mount and an Andor charge-coupled device camera used in a full vertical binning mode. The pump pulse energy was 2.4 mJ/cm\(^2\), and the spot size was measured via the knife edge method. Samples were dc magnetron sputter deposited at room temperature with the following thin-film structure: SiO\(_2\) /5-nm Ta /10-nm Co\(_2\)MnGe (CMG) /2.8-nm Ta. The CMG layer was formed by co-sputtering from a Co\(_2\)Mn target and the pure Ge target. The CMG was quasi-amorphous in the as-deposited state as confirmed by x-ray diffraction. The samples were ex situ annealed in high vacuum at or above 240°C to form a crystalline structure. Below 240°C, the structure remained quasi-amorphous with high resistivity and low magnetic moment.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/6/3/eaa1100/DC1
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