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SUMMARY
A programme of Continuous Ambulatory Peritoneal Dialysis has been in progress at the Gloucester Royal Hospital since January 1988. After 2 years patient and technique survival was 81% and 73% respectively, very similar to that in established British Renal Units. Management of end stage renal failure at the local District General Hospital has meant that patients no longer have to travel long distances to the Regional Renal Unit.

INTRODUCTION
In the United Kingdom, the treatment of end stage renal failure by maintenance haemodialysis has been concentrated in large centres thus centralising technical expertise. With the introduction of Continuous Ambulatory Peritoneal Dialysis (C.A.P.D.), it was suggested that maintenance dialysis could be run from District general hospitals without the need for technical support. This did not have universal support, a particular concern being the lack of acute haemodialysis back-up. This paper describes the first 2 years of C.A.P.D. in a District general hospital.

PATIENTS AND METHODS
Between February 1988 and March 1990, the Gloucester Royal Hospital accepted 24 new patients for C.A.P.D. training. Four patients were transferred from other units and over the same time period, 16 patients were referred elsewhere for maintenance haemodialysis. Ages of the 28 on C.A.P.D. ranged from 25 to 79 years. Nine were diabetic. In the weeks prior to starting, patients were invited to the ward to have C.A.P.D. demonstrated and preliminary training given. Abdominal (Tenckhoff) catheters were inserted under local anaesthetic by the nephrologist and where possible patients were sent home for 5 to 7 days before re-admission for training which then took a mean of 10 (range 7-12) days. Patients were always admitted to a single medical ward where 3 nurses (the ward sister and 2 S.R.N.’s) have particular C.A.P.D. training and responsibility but where all S.R.N.’s have C.A.P.D. experience ensuring that expertise is available at all times.

Peritonitis diagnosed clinically and by the presence of 100 white blood cells per microlitre of dialysis effluent, occurred 32 times in 10 patients. Twenty-one episodes were confined to 3 patients all of whom have been transferred for maintenance haemodialysis. Eighteen patients never experienced peritonitis. Mean peritonitis rate was one per patient per 10.5 months, similar to that in many established British renal units. Treatment by various combinations of intravenous or intra-peritoneal vancomycin, intra-peritoneal gentamicin and oral ciprofloxacin was initiated by junior medical staff following a detailed protocol that also served as an aid for consultants covering the nephrologist when on leave. On 22 occasions patients were sent home for treatment of their peritonitis. Ten episodes required hospital admission for periods ranging from 1 to 7 days. In 3 patients relapse of peritonitis was treated by installation of urokinase or by catheter replacement in a single procedure. Although available at this hospital, temporary haemodialysis to “rest” the abdomen was not necessary.

Our results are encouraging. Peritonitis rates and survival compare well with larger, established U.K. renal units. Acceptance rates for renal replacement therapy vary inversely with the distance from a renal unit. It is therefore not surprising that our annual acceptance rates have more than doubled from 20 to 44 per million population since the advent of C.A.P.D.

COMMENTS
There are fewer renal units and nephrologists in the United Kingdom relative to population than most other European countries. Acceptance rates have reflected this under-provision but have improved over the last few years, increasing the pressure on the established units. C.A.P.D. may provide an opportunity for nephrologists in District general hospitals to ease this pressure while at the same time giving a local service for the population and broadening the interest and experience of the nursing and junior medical staff. For 2 years we have offered a service to a catchment population of 550,000. Hitherto, patients with end stage renal failure in Gloucestershire needed to travel up to 60 miles to Bristol for renal replacement therapy. Our experience is that C.A.P.D. can be undertaken in a District general hospital by nurses without prolonged specialist renal training. Bed occupancy (excluding training time) has averaged 10 days per patient per year and has been minimised by starting outpatient training before commencing C.A.P.D., discharging patients for several days after Tenckhoff catheter insertion, predominantly outpatient management of peritonitis and catheter removal and replacement as a one-step procedure without the need for temporary haemodialysis. Our experiences suggest that only 2 extra beds need be found to sustain C.A.P.D. for a District of 300,000 (see table).

Our results are encouraging. Peritonitis rates and survival compare well with larger, established U.K. renal units. Acceptance rates for renal replacement therapy vary inversely with the distance from a renal unit. It is therefore not surprising that our annual acceptance rates have more than doubled from 20 to 44 per million population since the advent of C.A.P.D.

We believe that our experience illustrates that a C.A.P.D. programme can be run by a district general hospital with the expertise of a nephrologist and the co-operation and dedication of general medical nursing staff. Immediate availability of acute

| UK   | Multicentre | Newcastle | Gloucester |
|------|-------------|-----------|------------|
| Patient Number | 610 | 229 | 28 |
| 2yr Patient Survival % | 80 | 79 | 81 |
| 2yr Technique Survival % | 93 | 66 | 73 |
| Peritonitis/Pat./Yr. | 1.34 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| Peritonitis relapse % | 17 | 29 | 15 |
| Temporary Change To Haemodialysis | 233 pts. | 55 pts. | 0 |
| Days/Pat./Yr. | 7.6 days/pat./yr. | 0–2 months | |
| Hospital Admission | 14.7 | 12.3 | 7.1 |
| Extra Beds/100 Pats. | 5 | 4 | 2 |

*Deaths excluded*
haemodialysis is not mandatory though the co-operation of the Regional renal unit is necessary. C.A.P.D. at a District general hospital is rewarding for the staff, beneficial to the local dialysis population and likely to increase new patient acceptance rates. Moreover, with the widespread introduction in April 1991 of direct charging of Districts by Regional Renal Units, a locally run maintenance dialysis program may be economically as well as professionally attractive.
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ADDENDUM
Since February 1990 seventeen additional patients have started C.A.P.D. One has needed temporary haemodialysis after pseudomonas peritonitis and we wish to thank Southmead Renal Unit for their co-operation.
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From Our Correspondents

A LOUSY STORY
In World War Two, all Doctors joining the R.A.M.C. attended a course at the Army School of Hygiene. At one lecture we learnt of the newest treatment for body lice. Anti-Louse Powder 63 had secret ingredients which could not be divulged to us less we pass the information to the Germans.

Some time later, I was to put the efficiency of AL63 to the test.

I am now aware that it was about this time that Dr. Kenneth Mellanby was achieving fame for his experiments proving that pediculosis pubis was a venereal disease. Some explanation is therefore required.

At the time I was a medical officer living in the Albanian mountains with the Partisans. There were times when perhaps twenty or more of us would sleep crammed together in a small room. Thus, I got the lice. I bombarded the affected area with AL63. To my surprise, the lice thrived on the stuff.

Eventually, I succeeded in getting rid of them by manual removal combined with the liberal use of soap and water.

What some might consider to be blackmail was a ploy used by His Majesty’s Armed Forces in those days. If I volunteered to join the Far Eastern section of S.O.E., I could have four weeks home leave. I contacted my fiancée and suggested we get married, to which happily she agreed.

Imagine my distress when a fortnight before the great day I found two little parasites. The medical advice given was that the affected area be shaved completely. But how could I appear on my honeymoon looking like some sex freak? Fortunately, the problem was solved using less drastic treatment, and I was able to report to the church fit and free from infection.

The Germans also had their secret anti-louse weapon. It was invented by no less a person than Hitler’s personal physician, Dr. Theodor Morell, described by the Führer as a genius and by Herman Göring as “Herr Reich Injection Master”. He was smarter than his English counterpart: he patented his formula which was known as the Morell Russian Lice Powder; and he got Hitler to see that its use was made compulsory for the armed forces. He became a millionaire.

Needless to say, this remedy was also useless.

After a lapse of 50 years, maybe the contents of those powders need be kept secret no longer. Can anyone inform me, please?

J.G. Dumoulin

UNAUTHORIZED VERSION
A ‘mole’ at the Bristol Royal Infirmary tells me that at the last Hospital Committee Meeting it was explained to the assembled consultants that under the rules of the new Special Trust, when they have fulfilled the Provider contract for the number of patients to be seen in a particular week, they will not be allowed to see any more. The following anonymous communication has been received:

God rest you merry, Gentlemen,
For unto you I say,
The District General Manager
Has promised come what may,
To save us from the Welfare State
Which led us all astray,
O tidings of comfort and joy.

The aim of the White Paper
Is very plain to see,
It’s not designed to help the doctors
Such as you and me,
The main aim is to change the rules
So care’s no longer free,
O tidings of comfort and joy.

When you have seen your quota
Of patients new and old,
“You must not see another one”
Is what you will be told,
And if you don’t obey the rules
The Manager will scold,
O tidings of comfort and joy.

The Clinical Director, he
Will draw up your contract.
The number of procedures done
Will have to be exact.
And if you do another one
You’re likely to be sacked,
O tidings of comfort and joy.

Anon