Large-scale proteomic analysis of human brain identifies proteins associated with cognitive trajectory in advanced age
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In advanced age, some individuals maintain a stable cognitive trajectory while others experience a rapid decline. Such variation in cognitive trajectory is only partially explained by traditional neurodegenerative pathologies. Hence, to identify new processes underlying variation in cognitive trajectory, we perform an unbiased proteome-wide association study of cognitive trajectory in a discovery (n = 104) and replication cohort (n = 39) of initially cognitively unimpaired, longitudinally assessed older-adult brain donors. We find 579 proteins associated with cognitive trajectory after meta-analysis. Notably, we present evidence for increased neuronal mitochondrial activities in cognitive stability regardless of the burden of traditional neuropathologies. Furthermore, we provide additional evidence for increased synaptic abundance and decreased inflammation and apoptosis in cognitive stability. Importantly, we nominate proteins associated with cognitive trajectory, particularly the 38 proteins that act independently of neuropathologies and are also hub proteins of protein co-expression networks, as promising targets for future mechanistic studies of cognitive trajectory.
Serious neurodegenerative disease and cognitive decline may be the earliest manifestation of a dementia pathology. However, pathologies such as β-amyloid plaques have been suggested to be attributable to diverse pathologies as well as biological mechanisms independent of pathologies. Second, it likely captures co-occurring disease processes and co-occurring age-related pathologies, which are known to be prevalent in the brains of aged individuals. Thus, it provides more comprehensive information than the traditional case/control status based on clinical or pathological diagnosis. Third, cognitive trajectory is estimated from periodically and prospectively assessed cognitive performance. Such trajectory captures the progressive nature of cognitive decline, from the asymptomatic stage to the manifestation of mild cognitive impairment or dementia, and thus is more germane to early intervention and prevention. Fourth, as will be shown, within each category of pathology or clinical diagnosis of cognitive status, different persons have different cognitive trajectories making cognitive trajectory relevant to individuals with or without dementia.

Despite variation in cognitive trajectory, in advanced age the majority of individuals tend to experience cognitive decline. Cognitive decline has been suggested to be attributable to dementia pathologies. However, pathologies such as β-amyloid plaques, neurofibrillary tangles, microinfarct, macroinfarct, and Lewy bodies together capture only about 41% of the variance in cognitive trajectory, leaving 59% unexplained. It is unclear what causes the large majority of the variance in cognitive trajectory. Proteins, as functional gene products, are well-suited for studying biological processes underlying individual variation in cognitive trajectory. Yet, no proteome-wide study of cognitive trajectory has been performed to date.

To identify biological processes underlying cognitive trajectory, we here perform an unbiased, large-scale proteome-wide association study of cognitive trajectory using a discovery and replication cohort of initially cognitively unimpaired, longitudinally assessed older-adult brain donors. We then perform gene ontology enrichment analysis on significantly associated proteins to glean a deeper understanding of the biological processes underlying individual differences in cognitive trajectory. Next, we determine which proteins associated with cognitive trajectory are key drivers of protein co-expression networks because these proteins are likely promising targets for future mechanistic studies of cognitive trajectory. Lastly, we determine the proteins that are associated with cognitive trajectory independently of the traditional Alzheimer’s disease pathologies. Together, our findings establish a framework for understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying individual differences in cognitive trajectory.

Results

Subjects. The Banner discovery cohort consisted of 104 participants who were non-demented at baseline and were followed for up to 14 years (Table 1). Among these, 48% were women, 27% had the final clinical diagnosis of AD, 73% had the final diagnosis of normal control, and median age at death was 87 (Table 1). The BLSA replication cohort had 39 participants who were followed for up to 20 years (Table 1). Of these, 39% were women, 41% had a final clinical diagnosis of AD and 59% had a final clinical diagnosis of normal control, and median age at death was 91 (Table 1).

Personalized cognitive trajectories. The individual cognitive trajectory was estimated for each participant in both cohorts (Fig. 2; Table 1; Supplementary Data 1). Cognitive trajectories by clinical or pathological diagnosis of normal control, and median age at death was 87 (Table 1). Analyzed jointly, the Spearman’s rank correlation between cognitive trajectory and clinical diagnosis of cognitive status was −0.49 (95% confidence interval [CI] of −0.60 to −0.35, p-value of 4.3 × 10^{-10}) and between cognitive trajectory and Braak stage was −0.39 (95% CI of −0.51 to −0.24, p-value of 1.5 × 10^{-6}). These data reveal that (i) cognitive trajectory varied within a given clinical diagnosis or Braak stage; (ii) faster cognitive decline was not exclusively seen in AD cases or in brains with greater Braak stages; and, (iii) cognitive trajectory provides complementary information to the clinical diagnosis and neuro-pathology findings.

Proteome-wide association study of cognitive trajectory. After label-free quantification, 3710 proteins in Banner cohort and 3933 proteins in BLSA cohort were detected with 10% or fewer missing values. Among the detected proteins, 2752 protein isoforms were detected in both Banner and BLSA cohorts. In the Banner discovery cohort, 354 proteins were associated with cognitive trajectory at FDR < 0.05 after adjusting for sex, age at enrollment, education, age at death, PMI, and batch (N = 104, Supplementary Data 2; Supplementary Fig. 1A). The top five proteins were VGF, SEPT5, DBI, MAPT, and KIAA1045 (aka PHF24). In the BLSA replication cohort, 127 proteins were associated with cognitive trajectory at FDR < 0.05 after adjusting for sex, age at enrollment, education, age at death, and PMI (N = 39; Supplementary Data 2, Supplementary Fig. 1B). The top 5 proteins were DLD, ABHD10, VDAC1, NDUFV1, and PDHB. Likely reasons for difference in the top differentially expressed proteins in the two cohorts are the differences in demographic composition, follow-up duration, range of cognitive trajectory, and false discovery. Given these differences, a meta-analysis of these data was performed to enhance true positive findings, as the meta-analysis is designed to integrate the results of several independent studies and provide a more precise estimate of the association between predictor and outcome than any individual study contributing to the pooled analysis.

The meta-analysis showed 579 proteins associated with cognitive trajectory in the same directions in both the discovery and replication cohorts and at FDR < 0.05 among the 2752 protein isoforms commonly detected in both cohorts (N = 143 individuals; Fig. 3a, Supplementary Data 2). Regarding the direction of association, 350 proteins had increased abundance while 229 had decreased abundance in cognitive stability. For instance, VGF protein had increased abundance and MAPT protein had decreased abundance in cognitive stability.
Fig. 1 Overview of the study design and results. The samples used in this study were from participants in two large prospective studies of aging in the United States who donated their brains upon death. Each subject in the present study were initially cognitively normal and had antecedent cognitive data used to estimate personal cognitive trajectories. Whole brain proteomic analysis of dorsolateral prefrontal cortex was used to estimate protein abundance for each participant. Proteome-wide association study of cognitive trajectory and protein co-expression network analysis revealed that individuals with cognitive stability (i.e., relatively little decline in cognition over time) have decreased abundance of the proteins involved in inflammation and apoptosis but increased abundance of the proteins involved in mitochondrial activities and synaptic function. Promising protein targets for further study are presented.
VGF is a neuropeptide that regulates synaptic function, synaptic plasticity, and hippocampal memory consolidation. MAPT (microtubule-associated protein tau) is involved in axonal transport, synaptic plasticity, and synaptic function, and aggregation of hyperphosphorylated tau proteins can lead to the formation of neurofibrillary tangles and Alzheimer’s dementia. Going forward, we will refer to proteins with increased abundance in cognitive stability as higher-abundance and proteins with decreased abundance in cognitive stability as lower-abundance proteins.

Of the 579 cognitive trajectory-associated proteins, we hypothesized that some would interact potentially as members of distinct biological processes or organelles. Thus, we asked whether there was evidence for protein–protein interaction (PPI) using experimentally validated data available on BioGRID v3.5.165. The 569 unique proteins among these 579 proteins (10 had isoforms) were categorized into presynaptic (N = 159), postsynaptic (N = 150), both (present in both presynaptic and postsynaptic density; N = 20), or other (N = 240) using Boyken et al. presynaptic list16 and Bayes et al. postsynaptic density list17 (Supplementary Data 3). Additionally, we labeled any of these proteins as mitochondrial if they are located in mitochondria based on Uniprot subcellular location18. Thus, some proteins may have more than one designation. For instance, of the 157 proteins designated as mitochondrial, 112 were presynaptic, 10 postsynaptic, and 35 were other (Supplementary Data 3). For the 569 unique proteins, 484 had at least one PPI, and there were a total of 2387 PPIs among these 484 proteins (Supplementary Data 3; Supplementary Fig. 4). Focusing on PPIs emanating from presynaptic proteins, we found 374 proteins with interactions (nodes) and 1745 PPIs (edges) (Supplementary Fig. 5A). Focusing on PPIs originating from postsynaptic proteins, we found 353 proteins with interactions (nodes) and 864 PPIs (edges) (Supplementary Fig. 5B). Thus there is ample evidence that the proteins associated with cognitive trajectory interact with each other and reveal many PPIs within and between presynaptic and postsynaptic proteins associated with cognitive trajectory. Interestingly, mitochondrial proteins were featured prominently among cognitive trajectory-associated proteins, and more findings about mitochondrial proteins are followed.

### Enrichment analysis of cognitive trajectory proteins

To understand the biological processes related to the 579 proteins associated with cognitive trajectory, we performed GO enrichment analysis for the 229 proteins with lower-abundance in cognitive stability and 350 proteins with higher-abundance in cognitive stability, separately. The lower-abundance proteins were enriched for inflammatory response, apoptosis, endothelial function, and RNA processing at adjusted p-value < 0.05 by Fisher’s exact test (Table 2, Supplementary Data 4). The higher-abundance proteins were enriched for mitochondrial function and synaptic transmission at adjusted p-value < 0.05 by Fisher’s exact test (Table 2, Supplementary Data 4). Next, we mapped these 579 cognitive trajectory-associated proteins to cell types using brain cell type signatures from Sharma et al.19, Zeisel et al.20, and Damarnis et al.21. The lower-abundance proteins in cognitive stability were significantly enriched for signatures of oligodendrocyte, astrocyte, and microglia after multiple testing correction (Table 2, Supplementary Data 4). The higher-abundance proteins were strongly enriched for neurons and mildly enriched for astrocytes at adjusted p-value < 0.05 by Fisher’s exact test (Table 2, Supplementary Data 4). Together, our findings suggest that the 350 proteins with increased abundance in cognitive stability participate in mitochondrial activities and synaptic transmission in neurons.

### Proteins in cognitive trajectory adjusted for neuropathology

To determine whether the proteins associated with cognitive trajectory act through or independently of traditional AD pathologies, we performed another proteome-wide association study of cognitive trajectory adjusting for β-amyloid plaque and neurofibrillary tangle pathology in the discovery and replication cohort separately, followed by a meta-analysis. At FDR < 0.05, 57 proteins in Banner and 12 proteins in BLSA were associated with cognitive trajectory after adjusting for sex, age at enrollment, education, age at death, PMI, β-amyloid plaques, and neurofibrillary tangles (Supplementary Data 5). The meta-analysis revealed 232 proteins associated with cognitive trajectory at

---

### Table 1: Demographic and clinical characteristics of the discovery and replication cohorts

| Characteristic | Banner N = 104 | BLSA N = 39 |
|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| Age at enrollment | Mean ± SD 80.7 ± 6.6 | Mean ± SD 74.8 ± 6.9 |
| Median; Range | 80.6; [61.5-96.4] | 75.3; [58.5-89.3] |
| Age at death | Mean ± SD 87.0 ± 6.7 | Mean ± SD 87.2 ± 7.7 |
| Median; range | 87; [74-103] | 91; [71-99] |
| Sex (% female, N) | 48.1% (50) | 38.5% (15) |
| Education (years) | Mean ± SD 14.6 ± 2.6 | Mean ± SD 16.6 ± 3.0 |
| Median; range | 16; [8.0-20.0] | 18; [8.0-20.0] |
| Clinical diagnosis | AD (%) | 26.9% (28) | 41.0% (16) |
| Control (%) | 73.1% (76) | 59.0% (23) |
| Follow-up duration (years) | Mean ± SD 5.1 ± 3.2 | Mean ± SD 9.3 ± 3.9 |
| Median; range | 4.4; [0.5-14.0] | 8.5; [3.9-20.4] |
| PMI (h) | Mean ± SD 2.9 ± 0.9 | Mean ± SD 13.8 ± 5.5 |
| Median; range | 2.7; [1.2-5.5] | 14.5; [2.0-24.0] |
| Braak stage (% N) | I | 4.8% (5) | 0% (0) |
| II | 10.6% (11) | 15.4% (6) |
| III | 25.0% (26) | 15.4% (6) |
| IV | 39.4% (41) | 33.3% (13) |
| V | 14.4% (15) | 10.3% (4) |
| VI | 5.8% (6) | 25.6% (10) |
| CERAD stage | Criteria not met: 12.5% (13) | Definite AD: 25.0% (26) |
| | A (sparse): 7.7% (3) | No AD: 28.8% (30) |
| | B (moderate): 30.8% (12) | Possible AD: 31.7% (33) |
| | C (frequent): 41.0% (16) | Probable AD: 1.9% (2) |
| Slope of cognitive trajectory (MMSE/year) | All participants | Mean ± SD −0.5 ± 0.8 | Mean ± SD −0.4 ± 0.6 |
| | Median; range | −0.3; [−4.9 to 0.2] | −0.1; [−2.4 to 0.1] |
| By clinical diagnosis (median; range) | Controls | −0.1; [−1.6 to 0.2] | −0.1; [−0.7 to 0.1] |
| AD cases | −1.1; [−4.9 to −0.1] | −0.4; [−2.4 to 0.0] |
| By Braak stage (median; range) | I and II | −0.1; [−0.9 to 0.2] | −0.2; [−0.7 to 0.0] |
| III and IV | −0.2; [−2.1 to 0.1] | 0.0; [−2.4 to 0.1] |
| V and VI | −1.1; [−4.9 to −0.1] | −0.4; [−1.8 to 0.0] |

The table summarizes the demographic and clinical characteristics of the Banner (discovery) and BLSA (replication) cohorts. The following terms Alzheimer’s disease (AD), post-mortem interval (PMI), Mini-Mental State Exam (MMSE), and Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer’s Disease (CERAD) are used as acronyms in the table. The underlying data are available through the Synapse platform (https://www.synapse.org/#!Synapse:syn170616 and https://www.synapse.org/#!Synapse:syn2604086).
FDR < 0.05 in the same directions in both datasets (Supplementary Data 5).

To identify biological processes associated with these 232 proteins, GO enrichment analysis was performed on the higher-abundance and lower-abundance proteins in cognitive stability separately. Lower-abundance proteins were enriched for the processes of glycolysis, assembly of neurofilament bundle, cell–cell adhesion, and RNA binding, and for oligodendrocyte, astrocyte, and microglia (Table 3; Supplementary Data 6). Higher-abundance proteins in cognitive stability were enriched for processes of mitochondrial activities and synaptic transmission, and for neurons (Table 3; Supplementary Data 6).

Notably, the proteome-wide association study reveals that the proteins with increased abundance in cognitive stability were involved in mitochondrial activities and synaptic transmission independently of effects of β-amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles. In contrast to the proteins with decreased abundance in cognitive stability, adjusting for traditional neuropathology in influenced those with lower-abundance in cognitive stability to a much greater degree and changed biological processes represented.

**Protein co-expression network construction.** Many biological functions require a coordinated effort of a large number of genes and proteins, and systems biology approaches seek to identify gene products that act in concert with one another in co-expression networks. We identified 20 modules of strongly co-expressed proteins in the Banner cohort using WGCNA and then gleaned the biological processes enriched in these modules with GO enrichment analysis (Fig. 4; Supplementary Data 7). The five largest modules were enriched for synaptic function (M1, 359 proteins), catabolic process and apoptosis (M2, 296 proteins), mitochondrial function (M3, 276 proteins), myelination (M4, 141 proteins), and transcriptional activities (M5, 83 proteins) at adjusted p < 0.05 by Fisher’s exact test (Supplementary Data 7).

Of note, our group previously performed a detailed co-expression network analysis for the BLSA cohort and identified 16 networks of co-expressed proteins. We used these BLSA protein networks in our subsequent analyses.

We performed a pair-wise overlap analysis between pairs of Banner and BLSA protein co-expression modules using Fisher’s exact test. We found nine pairs of significant overlap after multiple testing correction: Banner M1 (synaptic function) with BLSA M1 (synaptic transmission, ∩ = 187 proteins, p = 2.53E−23, adjusted p = 7.40E−06) and BLSA M4 (synaptic membrane/dendrite, ∩ = 59, p = 3.96E−06, adjusted p = 0.0015);
Banner M2 (catabolic process and apoptosis) with BLSA M2 (myelination, $n = 66$, $p = 4.27E^{-06}$, adjusted $p = 0.0017$) and BLSA M6 (inflammatory response, $n = 56$, $p = 2.28E^{-08}$, adjusted $p = 1.54E^{-05}$); Banner M3 (mitochondrial function) with BLSA M3 (mitochondrial function, $n = 167$, $p = 5.51E^{-37}$, adjusted $p = 7.40E^{-06}$); Banner M4 (myelination, $n = 100$, $p = 5.96E^{-17}$, adjusted $p = 7.40E^{-06}$), among others (Supplementary Data 7).

**Cognitive trajectory proteins and protein networks.** The 579 proteins associated with cognitive trajectory from the meta-analysis were tested for enrichment in each network of co-expressed proteins in the Banner and BLSA cohorts, respectively. We considered the 350 higher-abundance proteins and the 229 lower-abundance proteins in cognitive stability separately. The higher-abundance proteins in cognitive stability were enriched in modules involved in synaptic function (Banner M1, BLSA M1,
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**Modules of co-expressed proteins and cognitive trajectory.** We
examined direct correlation between the modules of co-expressed
proteins and cognitive trajectory in each cohort. In the Banner
network, 10 of its 20 modules were associated with cognitive
trajectory at FDR < 0.05 (Table 4). The top six of these 10
modules were the same modules found to be enriched among
the proteins associated with cognitive trajectory (Table 4, Fig. 5a).

In BLSA, four modules were associated with cognitive trajectory
at by linear regression \( p < 0.05 \) but only one remained sig-

nificantly associated with cognitive trajectory after adjusting for
multiple testing (M3/mitochondrial function, \( p = 0.0025 \), adjust-
ded \( p = 0.039 \), likely due to small sample size and thus lower
power (Table 4).

After adjusting for β-amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary
tangles by linear regression, only two of the Banner modules M1/
Synaptic function \( (β = 0.039, p = 6.74E−06, \text{adj} \ p = 0.00013) \)
and M2/Catabolic processes and apoptosis \( (β = −0.032, p =
3.02E−05, \text{adj} \ p = 0.00030) \) remained significantly associated
with cognitive trajectory after multiple testing adjustment at FDR
< 0.05. For BLSA, only one module, M3/mitochondrial function
\( (β = −0.097, p = 0.0059, \text{adj} \ p = 0.095) \), was associated with
cognitive trajectory at adjusted \( p\text{-value} < 0.1 \) by linear regression
after adjusting for β-amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles.

**Cognitive trajectory-associated proteins and hub proteins.** In
protein co-expression networks, hub proteins are likely important
proteins because they are highly correlated with other proteins in
the module. We sought to determine whether proteins associated
with cognitive trajectory were also hub proteins in the Banner and
BLSA co-expression networks. Hub proteins were defined as proteins
with intramodular kME in the top 90th percentile. We
focused on the seven Banner and seven BLSA modules that the
579 cognitive trajectory-associated proteins were enriched in
(Fig. 5). In Banner, these seven modules had 123 hub proteins, of
which 102 (83%) were associated with cognitive trajectory.
In BLSA, among the 131 hub proteins in the seven modules, 99 (76%) were associated with cognitive trajectory (Supplementary Data 8). We performed the same analysis, except that the input proteins were those that associate with cognitive trajectory independently of pathologies. We found that among the 123 hub proteins in Banner and 127 hub proteins in BLSA, 67 and 62 respectively were also associated with cognitive trajectory independently of β-amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles (Supplementary Data 8). In common between these 67 (Banner) and 62 (BLSA) hub and cognitive trajectory-associated proteins were 38 proteins (Table 5, Supplementary Data 8). Notably, these 38 proteins were associated with cognitive trajectory independently of β-amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles in both Banner and BLSA, and were also hub proteins in both Banner and BLSA and thus are tractable targets for further mechanistic studies of cognitive stability.

Fig. 5 Modules enriched for cognitive trajectory-associated proteins. This figure summarizes the protein co-expression modules that are enriched for cognitive trajectory-associated proteins for each cohort with and without adjustment for neuropathologies. Enrichment was determined by Fisher’s exact test and reported p-values are adjusted for FDR. There are 579 cognitive trajectory-associated proteins in Banner and BLSA cohorts, which were divided into lower-abundance proteins in cognitive stability (229 proteins, colored in salmon) and higher-abundance proteins in cognitive stability (350 proteins, colored in turquoise), respectively. a Banner protein module enrichment; b BLSA protein module enrichment. After adjusting for β-amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles, there were 232 cognitive trajectory-associated proteins, and enrichment was performed separately for lower-abundance proteins in cognitive stability (81 proteins, colored in salmon) and higher-abundance proteins in cognitive stability (151 proteins, colored in turquoise), respectively. c Banner protein module enrichment; d BLSA protein module enrichment. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.
Remarkably, the top protein of this 38-protein list is DLG4, also known as PSD95, which is one of the most abundant scaffold proteins at the excitatory brain synapses and known to affect brain development, neuronal plasticity, memory and learning, and overall cognitive function\textsuperscript{24–28}. We asked whether proteins known to interact with PSD95, i.e., PSD95 interactome, were also associated with cognitive trajectory using the published PSD95 interactome from Fernandez et al.\textsuperscript{24} Among the 118 proteins known to interact with PSD95, 109 were detected in the Banner cohort. The first principal component of these 109 proteins, which captures 99.3% of the variance of the PSD95 interactome, was significantly associated with cognitive trajectory by linear regression ($\beta = 0.016$; $p = 0.0001$; $N = 104$; Supplementary Fig. 6). This implies that higher expression of the PSD95 interacting partners was associated with cognitive stability (Supplementary Fig. 6).

**Discussion**

We performed an unbiased proteome-wide association study of cognitive trajectory in a discovery and replication cohort, followed by a meta-analysis, to identify biological processes underlying cognitive stability. Particularly, we used both proteome-wide differential analysis and protein co-expression network analysis to gain insights into changes in individual proteins as well as networks of proteins in cognitive trajectory. Our most notable finding is that proteins involving mitochondrial activities or synaptic functions had increased abundance among individuals with cognitive stability regardless of the burden of $\beta$-amyloid plaques or neurofibrillary tangles. In other words, cognitive stability is associated with increased mitochondrial and synaptic activities independently of the burden of $\beta$-amyloid plaques or neurofibrillary tangles in neurons predominantly. These findings were derived from two approaches in two different cohorts: (i) proteome-wide association study of cognitive trajectory followed by GO enrichment analysis of the associated proteins, and (ii) protein co-expression network enrichment analysis of the cognitive trajectory-associated proteins. Most interestingly, mitochondrial activities are strikingly present in our analysis in a way not seen when considering the clinical diagnosis of cognitive status or AD pathologic outcomes. For instance, BLSA module M3, enriched for mitochondrial function, was most significantly associated with cognitive trajectory both before and after adjusting for plaques and tangles. This module was not significantly associated with clinical diagnosis or AD pathologies after multiple testing correction in our previous publication\textsuperscript{23}, suggesting that cognitive trajectory captures biological processes that are independent of traditional AD pathologies.

Mitochondria in neurons are crucial for maintaining synaptic function, and most of the mitochondrial proteins are encoded in the nuclear genome\textsuperscript{29}. In the brain, mitochondria regulate synaptic transmission by generating ATP to power the process and by modulating presynaptic calcium level, which in turn determines the release of neurotransmitters\textsuperscript{29}. Mitochondria, therefore, are vital for the maintenance of synaptic function and transmission\textsuperscript{29–31}. This is consistent with our finding that 157 proteins of the 579 cognitive trajectory-associated proteins are mitochondrial proteins and of these, 122 are located in the mitochondria either in the pre- or postsynaptic density. Mitochondrial dysfunction has been suggested to occur early in the progression to AD and continues into later stages of AD\textsuperscript{31–34}. An example of early manifestation of mitochondrial dysfunction is a study of expired young-adult APOE4 carriers vs. expired young-adult non-APOE4 carriers\textsuperscript{32}. In that study, young-adult participants in both groups did not have amyloid or tangle deposition in the posterior cingulate cortex (PCC); yet, APOE4 carriers, i.e., those at greater risk for developing AD, showed reduced mitochondrial activity in PCC neurons, as measured by cytochrome oxidase levels, suggesting that a change in mitochondrial function may be the earliest manifestation of AD risk\textsuperscript{32}. An example of mitochondrial dysfunction continuing into later stages of AD is a transcriptomic study of post-mortem brain of AD cases and controls in the PCC and other brain regions\textsuperscript{33}. This study found that the nuclear genes encoding the mitochondrial electron transport chain subunits had decreased expression in AD cases compared to controls in PCC and other brain regions\textsuperscript{33}. Furthermore, a transgenic mouse model showed that amyloid pathology, even at a low level, can induce deficits in the functioning of synaptic mitochondria leading to synaptic degeneration\textsuperscript{30}. Synaptic degeneration has been suggested to be one of the earliest cellular event in AD pathogenesis, and synaptic loss to be the best correlate of cognitive impairment in AD (see review by Reddy et al.\textsuperscript{31}). These findings are consistent with ours. Here, we show proteins involved in mitochondrial activities had lower-abundance in persons with faster cognitive decline but higher-abundance in persons with cognitive stability in an unbiased human proteome-wide study. Taken together, our findings and others highlight that mitochondrial activities would be a fruitful research target for early prevention of cognitive decline and enhancement of cognitive stability.

### Table 4 Correlations between modules of co-expressed proteins and cognitive trajectory

| Module | Biological process | $r$ | $p$-value | Adjusted $p$-value |
|--------|-------------------|-----|-----------|-------------------|
| BANNER ($N = 104$) | M1 | Synaptic function | 0.52 | 1.52E–08 | 1.52E–07 |
| | M3 | Mitochondrial function | 0.39 | 3.73E–05 | 0.00019 |
| | M2 | Catabolic function and apoptosis | −0.59 | 4.15E–11 | 8.30E–10 |
| | M13 | CNS structure morphogenesis | −0.41 | 1.45E–05 | 9.66E–05 |
| | M4 | Myelination | −0.34 | 0.000329 | 0.00132 |
| | M7 | RNA processing and translation | −0.32 | 0.000847 | 0.00282 |
| | M14 | Response to unfolded protein | −0.26 | 0.00758 | 0.0217 |
| | M16 | Cell-cell interaction | −0.24 | 0.0121 | 0.0270 |
| | M6 | Ion transport | −0.24 | 0.0144 | 0.0288 |
| | M11 | Intracellular transport | 0.25 | 0.0097 | 0.0243 |
| | M3 | Mitochondrial function | 0.50 | 0.0025 | 0.039 |
| | M4 | Synaptic membrane/dendrite | 0.40 | 0.0180 | 0.085 |
| | M6 | Inflammatory response | −0.39 | 0.0189 | 0.085 |
| | M8 | Ribonucleoprotein complex | −0.39 | 0.0214 | 0.085 |

The table summarizes the Pearson correlation ($r$) between modules of co-expressed proteins and cognitive trajectory. The underlying data are available through the Synapse platform (https://www.synapse.org/#!Synapse:syn7170616) and Supplementary Data 1.
Synaptic function in cognitive decline has been given more attention than the mitochondrial function. For instance, a study of candidate synaptic proteins in cognitive decline in AD found that reduced abundance of the synaptic protein SNAP25 was associated with faster cognitive decline. Consistently, in both Banner and BLSA, we found that lower-abundance of SNAP25 was associated with faster cognitive decline. Furthermore, Bereczki and colleagues performed a comprehensive study of synaptic proteins, only GRIA3 was detected in our datasets and had lower-abundance in cognitive stability. Among these proteins, those that are higher-abundance in cognitive stability were associated with faster cognitive decline. Consistently, in both Banner and BLSA, we found that lower-abundance of SNAP25 was associated with faster cognitive decline. Furthermore, Bereczki and colleagues performed a comprehensive study of synaptic proteins, only GRIA3 was detected in our datasets and had lower-abundance in cognitive stability. Among these proteins, those that are higher-abundance in cognitive stability were associated with faster cognitive decline.

The next notable finding is the 38 proteins that were associated with cognitive trajectory independently of each AD neuropathology and were also hub proteins in both Banner and BLSA protein networks. These proteins are highly promising targets for future mechanistic studies of cognitive trajectory. Among these 38 proteins, those that are higher-abundance in cognitive stability are involved in either mitochondrial activities or synaptic function, and those with lower-abundance in cognitive stability are involved in myelination or apoptosis. Among the proteins involved in synaptic function is DLG4, also known as PSD-95 (postsynaptic density-95 protein). PSD-95 is a major scaffold protein of the dendritic spines and its expression level has been shown to be altered in aging, AD, and several psychiatric disorders. PSD-95 is important for neuronal plasticity and memory consolidation via its regulation of synaptic glutamate receptors, signaling proteins, adhesion molecules, and cytoskeletal proteins. Here we show that the aggregate of PSD-95 interactome abundance is also associated with cognitive trajectory. Bustos and colleagues showed that increased protein level of PSD95 in the hippocampus rescued memory deficits of aged mice and dementia mice. Their finding is consistent with ours in which overexpression of PSD95 protein was associated with cognitive stability. The second protein on the list, SYT1, was found to have reduced abundance in AD. Overexpression of SYT1 in mouse hippocampi was found to promote protective presenilin-1 conformation, consistent with our finding of its protective effect. Another protein on this list, HOMER1 (i.e., HOMER1a) is an important protein for synaptic plasticity and memory consolidation because it drives homeostatic

| Protein      | Function               | Effect size | Adjusted P-value | Direction |
|--------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------|
| DLG4|P78352-3 | Synaptic function | 1.6554 | 0.00016497 | ++ |
| SYT1|P21579 | Synaptic function | 1.5316 | 0.00026653 | ++ |
| HOMER1|Q86YM7 | Synaptic function | 1.2889 | 0.00040777 | ++ |
| BAIAP2|Q9UQ8-3 | Synaptic function | 1.5948 | 0.00042608 | ++ |
| ATP6V0D1|P61421 | Synaptic function | 1.4719 | 0.0004631 | ++ |
| SLC30A3|Q99726 | Synaptic function | 0.6046 | 0.00090009 | ++ |
| GRIA2|P42262 | Synaptic function | 1.3181 | 0.00174731 | ++ |
| DMXL2|Q8TDJ6 | Synaptic function | 1.5595 | 0.00214875 | ++ |
| SLC7A7|Q9Q2L7 | Synaptic function | 1.1304 | 0.00419658 | ++ |
| ATP6V0A1|Q93050-1 | Synaptic function | 1.3017 | 0.00520941 | ++ |
| LOOP1|Q8N3V7-3 | Synaptic function | 0.5984 | 0.0054183 | ++ |
| ANKS1B|Q7Z6G8-3 | Synaptic function | 0.9659 | 0.00597711 | ++ |
| AP2B|P63010 | Synaptic function | 1.7094 | 0.01001969 | ++ |
| SEPT11|D6RG3 | Synaptic function | 1.8515 | 0.01278615 | ++ |
| SYT1|D6RG3 | Synaptic function | 0.957 | 0.01474302 | ++ |
| SYT1|O95782-2 | Synaptic function | 1.9072 | 0.02188469 | ++ |
| AP2B1|O95782-2 | Synaptic function | 1.6634 | 0.03793744 | ++ |
| HSPA2|P54652 | Myelination | −0.822 | 0.00140989 | -- |
| NEFL|P07196 | Myelination | −0.5826 | 0.00746409 | -- |
| NEFM|E7ESP9 | Myelination | −0.5688 | 0.01161255 | -- |
| MBP|P02686 | Myelination | −0.3966 | 0.01545858 | -- |
| CNP|P9543 | Myelination | −0.4468 | 0.02383309 | -- |
| PDHA1|P08559 | Mitochondrial function | 1.7283 | 0.00020668 | ++ |
| TFPM|P4941 | Mitochondrial function | 1.7968 | 0.00346194 | ++ |
| NDUFA1|P49821-2 | Mitochondrial function | 1.2578 | 0.00368262 | ++ |
| NNT|Q1423 | Mitochondrial function | 1.7308 | 0.00433757 | ++ |
| NDUFS3|P28331 | Mitochondrial function | 1.2152 | 0.00693232 | ++ |
| NDUFS2|Q75306 | Mitochondrial function | 1.1988 | 0.00815356 | ++ |
| NDUFA1|J0A0A87WXC5 | Mitochondrial function | 1.2738 | 0.01359488 | ++ |
| NDUFA9|Q16795 | Mitochondrial function | 1.0601 | 0.02221231 | ++ |
| UQCRFS1|P47985 | Mitochondrial function | 1.076 | 0.02672126 | ++ |
| ACO2|P99798 | Mitochondrial function | 1.3845 | 0.04333576 | ++ |
| NDUFS3|O493920 | Mitochondrial function | 0.876 | 0.04357237 | ++ |
| DLL1|Q5334 | Catabolic process and apoptosis | −0.7602 | 0.02131939 | -- |
| GSN|P06349 | Catabolic process and apoptosis | −0.6694 | 0.02687423 | -- |
| GFAP|P14136 | Catabolic process and apoptosis | −0.3761 | 0.03997317 | -- |
| LMNA|P02545 | Catabolic process and apoptosis | −0.7264 | 0.04584879 | -- |

This table summarizes 38 hub proteins that were associated with cognitive trajectory after adjusting for β-amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles and their Pearson correlation with cognitive trajectory. The p-values are from the meta-analysis of the proteome-wide association studies of cognitive trajectory adjusting for β-amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles from Supplementary Data 5. The underlying data are available through the Synapse platform (https://www.synapse.org/#!Synapse:syn7170616 and https://www.synapse.org/#!Synapse:syn3606086) and Supplementary Data 1.
scaling-down of excitatory synapses during sleep to remodel synapses and consolidate contextual memory. Consistently, we found that the protein level of HOMER1 was increased in cognitive stability. SLC30A3, aka ZNT3, is a synapse-specific vesicular zinc transporter that is important for zinc homeostasis and required for hippocampus-dependent memory. Consistently, we found that SLC30A3 protein level was increased in cognitive stability. SLC17A7, aka VGLUT1, is a sodium-dependent phosphate transporter that is specifically expressed in the neuron-rich regions of the brain and transports glutamate. Decreased abundance of this protein can lead to susceptibility to neuroinflammation and disruption of synaptic plasticity. Along the same theme, SYNPO has been found to be important for synaptic plasticity. A notable theme among the proteins participating in synaptic function on this list of 38 proteins is that they are important for neuronal plasticity and memory consolidation and their overexpression is associated with cognitive stability.

Some proteins, including NEFM and MBP, participate in myelination on this list of 38 proteins. Myelination refers to the formation of a membranous sheath surrounding axons to increase signal transmitting speed between neurons and is not limited to early development but occurs throughout adulthood. NEFM are neurofilaments that maintain neuronal caliber and participate in intracellular transport to axons and dendrites. NEFM was shown to accumulate in the hippocampus in the presence of amyloid pathology. To retard human aging and age-related diseases, inhibition of insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) has been proposed, and several studies have shown that neuron-specific deletion of IGF1R confers neuroprotection and improves behavior in AD transgenic mice. From a genome-wide screen, a prominent observation after genetic ablation of IGF1 receptor in AD mice is the reduction in expression level of NEFM, down to control level, suggesting that lower-abundance of NEFM is protective. These findings are consistent with ours, in which lower-abundance of NEFM was associated with cognitive resilience. Myelin basic protein, MBP, can influence β-amyloid accumulation in the brain. Indeed, deletion of MBP gene led to a significant reduction in cerebral β-amyloid levels in Tg-3xFAD mice, consistent with our finding of decreased abundance of MBP being associated with cognitive stability.

Besides the 38 proteins above, we also presented (i) 579 proteins associated with cognitive trajectory from our meta-analysis, (ii) 232 proteins associated with cognitive trajectory independently of amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles, (iii) 102 proteins and 99 proteins associated with cognitive trajectory and were also hub proteins in Banner networks and BLSA networks, respectively. Furthermore, we note that VGF is strongly associated with cognitive trajectory with or without adjusting for AD neuropathology. VGF is also a hub protein for Banner M1 module, which involves synaptic function. Our findings suggest that VGF likely act through mechanisms independent of β-amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles in contributing to cognitive decline. VGF is a neuropeptide that promotes hippocampal neurogenesis, dendritic maturation, and synaptic activity. VGF is induced by BDNF and serotonin and is upregulated by antidepressants, exercise, and is reduced in animal models of depression. VGF is another promising candidate for the mechanistic study of cognitive stability, consistent with findings from this multiscale causal network modeling of AD.

From the protein co-expression network analysis, we found 10 Banner protein modules and 1 BLSA protein module associated with cognitive trajectory. Fewer networks remained associated with cognitive trajectory after adjusting for β-amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles, suggesting that some networks act through pathology while others act independently of pathology to contribute to variation in cognitive trajectory. We also note that the published BLSA protein co-expression modules used here were constructed using the protein expression levels from both the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dPFC) and precuneus so the module memberships might be slightly different than those from the dPFC alone. On the other hand, proteins and protein co-expression networks that are consistently changed within the two regions likely reflect biologically meaningful differences.

We found cognitive trajectory-associated proteins to be enriched in astrocytes, which perform important roles for neural functioning. Astrocytes provide neurotrophic support to promote neuronal survival, are important in the formation and maturation of synapses, help control neurotransmitter concentrations, and play a role in maintaining the blood-brain barrier. We found that both higher- and lower-abundance proteins in cognitive stability were enriched in astrocytes. Our findings may reflect the two types of astrocytes as described in the literature—reactive astrocytes that lose the ability to promote neuronal survival, outgrowth, and synaptogenesis, and induce death of neurons and oligodendrocytes, and homeostatic astrocytes that promote neuronal functioning.

Our findings should be interpreted in light of the strengths and limitations of this study. First, this is an association study and thus it precludes causal inference. However, we nominated the tractable targets for further mechanistic studies of cognitive trajectory. Second, our label-free proteomic method yielded approximately 3900 proteins in the proteome, which is not as comprehensive of a proteomic profile as would be ideal, and larger proteomic analysis will undoubtedly add refinement to the proteins and protein networks involved in cognitive trajectory. Third, the available cognitive data was from the MMSE, a widely used screening tool that provides a coarse gauge of cognitive performance. Nevertheless, the decline in MMSE generally parallels that of more detailed testing. Fourth, our sample sizes are relatively small (n = 104 and n = 39) and exclusively Caucasians, which may limit the generalizability of our findings and power. Despite this, ours is the largest brain proteomic study of cognitive trajectory to our knowledge. These subjects were followed for a total of 887 person-years over 676 visits. We also employed a discovery and replication cohort design followed by meta-analysis to reduce false positive findings. Furthermore, our focus on individual cognitive trajectory is more germane to early intervention and precision medicine. Finally, our findings establish a framework for understanding mechanisms underlying cognitive trajectory and nominate a list of highly promising targets for future mechanistic studies of cognitive stability.

Methods

Banner sun health research institute participants. This project, the Arizona Study of Aging and Neurodegenerative Disorders, is a longitudinal clinicopathological study of normal aging, Alzheimer’s disease (AD), and Parkinson’s disease (PD). Most subjects were enrolled as cognitively unimpaired volunteers from the retirement communities of the greater Phoenix, Arizona, USA. Recruitment efforts were also directed at subjects with AD and PD from the community and neurologists’ offices. Subjects received standardized general medical, neurological, and neuropsychological tests annually during life and more than 90% received full pathological examinations after death. For this study, we only included subjects with a clinical diagnosis of AD or normal control rendered approximate to death. Please refer to Table 1 for subject characteristics. Additional inclusion criteria for this study were having a baseline score from the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) of 27 or above (i.e., non-demented at baseline) and at least one additional MMSE score in subsequent follow-up visits. All enrolled subjects in the Banner dataset or their legal representatives sign a Banner Sun Health Research Institute Institutional Review Board-approved informed consent form allowing both clinical assessments during life, several options for brain and/or bodily organ donation after death, and usage of donated biospecimens for approved future research.

Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging (BLSA) participants. BLSA is a prospective cohort study of aging in community-dwelling individuals. It
continuously recruits healthy volunteers aged 20 or older and follow them for life regardless of changes in health or functional status. Participants were examined at the National Institute on Aging Clinical Research Unit in Baltimore at one-four year-intervals, with more frequent follow-up visits for older participants59,60. Due to a smaller sample size and the fact that these subjects were recruited as cognitively unimpaired individuals, all BLSA individuals with proteomic data were included regardless of MMSE score at baseline. The BLSA study was approved by the Institutional Review Board and the National Institute on Aging. Human research at the National Institutes of Health (NIH) is implemented in accord with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (45 CFR46) and U.S. Food and Drug Administration (21 CFR 30 and 56) regulations for the protection of human subjects. The NIA IRB is part of the Human Subject Protection Program of the NIH. All BLSA participants provided written informed consent at each visit51.

Personalized cognitive trajectory. Person-specific cognitive trajectory was estimated using a linear mixed model for each subject. For each cohort, we model the annual MMSE score as a longitudinal outcome, follow-up year as the independent variable and sex, age at enrollment, and education as the covariates, with a random intercept and random slope per subject using the lme4 R package (version 1.1-19). The derived cognitive trajectory for each individual in Banner and BLSA cohorts is listed in Supplementary Data 1.

Pathological assessment of Banner and BLSA cohorts. Measures of AD pathology, i.e., β-amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles, were used as covariates to adjust for the degree of AD pathology in each sample. Beach et al. described in detail how these measures were derived for the Banner cohort57. Briefly, plaque total is the summary density score of all types of amyloid plaques in the frontal, temporal, and parietal cortices, as well as hippocampus CA1 region and entorhinal cortex. Tangle scoring was estimated by the Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer’s Disease (CERAD)58. Tangle scoring was done according to the CERAD templates57. In the BLSA cohort, O’Brien et al.41,42 reported the % estimates of β-amyloid positive plaques and neurofibrillary tangles were derived52. Briefly, silver staining was performed to assess the severity of neuritic plaques using CERAD stage (range 0–3) and of neurofibrillary tangles using Braak stage (range 1–6), with higher scores indicating higher level of severity.

Proteomic measurements. Proteomic quantification for both cohorts used the approach described in detail in Seyfried et al.23 and enumerated below. For both cohorts, whole-brain homogenates were derived from the prefrontal cortex for the discovery and replication cohorts, separately. Approximately 100 mg of tissue was combined with 500 μL of urea lysis buffer (8 M urea, 100 mM NaHPO4, pH 8.5) and 5 μL (100 unit/stock) HALT protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Pierce) in a 1.5 mL Rino tube (Next Advance) with a 750 mg stainless steel bead (0.9 mm) and frozen in aliquots at −80 °C. Each homogenate was analyzed by SDS-PAGE to assess for protein integrity. Protein homogenates (150 μg) were diluted with 50 mM NH4HCO3 to a final concentration of less than 2 M urea and then treated with 1 mM diithiotreitol (DTT) at 25 °C for 30 min, followed by 5 mM iodoacetamide (IAA) at 25 °C for 15 min in the dark. Protein was digested with 1:100 (w/w) lysyl endopeptidase (Wako) at 25 °C for 2 h and further digested overnight with 1:50 (w/w) trypsin in the dark. Protein was digested with 1:100 (w/w) lysyl endopeptidase (Wako) at 25 °C for 2 h and further digested overnight with 1:50 (w/w) trypsin (Promega) at 25 °C. Resulting peptides were desalted with a Sep-Pak C18 column (Waters) and dried under vacuum. For quantification, peptides (2 μg) were resuspended in peptide loading buffer (0.1% formic acid, 0.03% triethylammonium acetate (1% acetonitrile) containing 0.2 pmol of isotope-labeled peptide calibrants (Life Technologies, #88321). Peptide mixtures were separated on a self-packed C18 (1.9 um Dr. Maisch, Germany) fused silica column (25 cm × 75 μM internal diameter (ID); New Objective, Woburn, MA) by a NanoAcquity UHPLC (Waters, Milford, MA) and monitored on a Q-Exactive Plus Mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA). For the Banner cohort, elution was performed over a 120- min gradient at a rate of 275 nL/min with buffer B ranging from 3 to 60% (buffer A: 0.1% formic acid and 5% DMSO in water, buffer B: 0.1% formic acid in 95% water, buffer C: 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile). For both cohorts, the mass spectrometer cycle was programmed to collect one full MS scan followed by 10 data-dependent MS/MS scans. The MS scans (300–1800 m/z range,1,000,000 AGC, 100 ms maximum ion time) were collected at a resolution of 70,000 at m/z 200 in profile mode and the MS/MS spectra (2 m/z isolation width with 0.05 Da collision energy, 30% 500 target, 50 ms maximum ion time) were acquired at a resolution of 17,500 at m/z 200. Dynamic exclusion was set to exclude previously sequenced precursor ions for 30 s within a 10 ppm window. Precursor ions with +1, +2 or +6 or higher charge states were excluded from sequencing. MaxQuant v1.5.3.30 for Banner cohort and v1.5.2.8 for BLSA cohort) with Thermo Foundation 2.0 for RAW file reading capability was used to generate label-free quantification. The search engine Andromeda, integrated into MaxQuant1, was used to build and search a concatenated target-decoy IP/Uniprot human reference protein database (retrieved April 20, 2015; 90,411 target sequences), plus 249 cognitively normal controls from the BLSA cohort, which were assigned a fixed carbamidomethyl modification (57.0215 Da). Only fully tryptic peptides were considered with up to 2 miscleavages in the database search. A precursor mass tolerance of ±0.2 ppm was applied prior to mass accuracy calibration and ±4.5 ppm after internal MaxQuant calibration. Other search settings included a maximum peptide mass of 6000 Da, a minimum peptide length of 6 residues, 0.05 Da tolerance for high resolution MS/MS scans. Co-fragmented contaminant peptides were enabled to convolute multiplex spectra. The false discovery rate (FDR) for peptide spectral matches, proteins, and site decoy fraction were all set to 1 percent. Quantification settings were as follows: quantify with a second peak finding attempt after protein identification has completed; match MS1 peaks between runs with 0.7 min retention window; batch window was used after an alignment function was found with a 20-min RT search space. The quantitation method only considered razor plus unique peptides for protein level quantitation. Options to access the full list of parameters used for MaxQuant, raw and searched proteomic data are described in the Data Availability section. In our prior work on the BLSA dataset, we used data from the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dPFC) and precuneus region only; however, in the current study, only cognitively normal controls from the Banner and BLSA datasets were included. Following label-free quantification, 3710 protein groups in Banner and 3933 protein groups in BLSA cohorts were detected, and 2752 protein isoforms were detected in both Banner and BLSA cohorts.

Proteomic quality control. Only proteins quantified in at least 90% of the samples were included in the analysis. We performed log2 transformation of the proteomic profiles. Subsequently, linear mixed models from the R package variancePart163 were used to characterize the percent of protein variance explained by biological and technical variables. This approach was used to quantify the main sources of variation in each proteomic dataset separately and identified the variance attributable to age, sex, neuropathology, post-mortem interval (PMI), and holocortex. In the Banner cohort, batch and sex explained substantial proportions while age at death and PMI explained some proportions of variance of the proteomic profile (Supplementary Fig. 2). Therefore, within each cohort, effect of batch was removed using Combat44, and effects of sex, age at death, and PMI were removed using bootstrap regression to quantify the biological and technical effects (sex and age at death) because they may confound our association analyses between cognitive trajectory and protein levels. Supplementary Fig. 3 shows that after Combat and bootstrap regression, batch, sex, age at death, and PMI explained only minimal amounts of the variance of the proteomic profile. Using a similar approach we were able to resolvedly measure the effects of these changes from the BLSA proteome13.

Proteome-wide association study of cognitive trajectory. Proteome-wide association analysis was performed in each cohort separately, and meta-analysis was used to combine individual results. In each cohort, linear regression was performed with cognitive trajectory as the outcome and normalized protein abundance as the predictor. In our planned secondary analysis, we performed the same association analysis as above but adding β-amylloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles as covariates. Meta-analysis was performed with METAL65, a popular meta-analysis tool44, using effect size estimates and standard errors from the proteome-wide association studies of cognitive trajectory in Banner and BLSA, respectively. We included proteins that are detected in both the Banner and BLSA cohorts in the meta-analysis. For all analyses, we used Benjamini–Hochberg (BH) method to control the false discovery rate (FDR)67, and declared significantly associated proteins as those with BH FDR p < 0.05.

Protein co-expression network analysis. Network analysis was performed in Banner and BLSA datasets separately to identify modules of co-expressed proteins. For the Banner network, missing proteins were imputed using the k-nearest neighbor imputation function in R. Then, batch effects were removed using Combat44, and age at death, sex, and PMI were regressed from the proteomic profiles using Bootstrap regression. Weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA)66 was used on normalized protein abundance to define protein co-expression modules. BLsim24 constructed protein networks for BLSA and Banner datasets using 0.75 correlation cutoff, 5000 target, 500 ms maximum ion time), which were previously built using proteins measured from the prefrontal and prefrontal cortex in the same individual. We defined hub proteins, i.e., highly connected proteins, for each of the modules as those with intramodule KME in the top 95th percentile among the proteins in the corresponding module24. Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was performed on the hub proteins of each module using GO Elite and Fisher exact test69 to glean a deeper biological understanding of these modules.
Enrichment analysis of cognitive trajectory proteins. GO enrichment analysis was performed on the proteins associated with cognitive trajectory at FDR < 0.05 from the meta-analysis. Proteins were categorized into two lists for GO enrichment analysis: (i) higher-abundance in cognitive stability, and (ii) lower-abundance in cognitive instability. Gene sets were downloaded from MSigDB (version 2017), including GO biological process, cellular component, and molecular function. We also downloaded the cell type-specific protein expression in the brain generated by Sharma et al.21, as well as cell type-specific gene expression data generated by Darmanis et al.21 and Zeisel et al.20 to assess for enrichment of the significantly associated proteins in particular brain cell types. For enrichment analysis, we used a modified version of the GeneOverlap function (from package of the same name) in R and Fisher exact test so that all pair-wise tests were adjusted for multiple testing using BH method to control the FDR.20 The Fisher’s test function also provides an estimated odds-ratio in comparison to a proteomic background set to 20,000.

Reporting summary. Further information on experimental design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability
All proteomic and phenotypic data that support the findings of this study are contained in Supplementary Data 1 and in https://www.synapse.org/#!Synapse:syn2170616 and https://www.synapse.org/#!Synapse:syn3606086. Source data underlying Fig 5 are provided as a Source Data file. A reporting summary for this Article is available as a Supplementary Information file. All other supporting data of the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.
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