Isocitrate Dehydrogenase (IDH) Mutations Promote a Reversible ZEB1/MicroRNA (miR)-200-dependent Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition (EMT)*§
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Background: Isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) mutations occur in diverse tumor types, leading to production of the oncometabolite 2-hydroxyglutarate (2-HG). How mutant IDH and 2-HG alter signaling pathways to promote cancer, however, remains unclear. Additionally, there exist relatively few cell lines with IDH mutations. To examine the effect of endogenous IDH mutations and 2-HG, we created a panel of isogenic epithelial cell lines with either wild-type IDH1/2 or clinically relevant IDH1/2 mutations. Differently, there exist relatively few cell lines with IDH mutations. To examine the effect of endogenous IDH mutations and 2-HG, we created a panel of isogenic epithelial cell lines with either wild-type IDH1/2 or clinically relevant IDH1/2 mutations. Differences were noted in the ability of IDH mutations to cause efficient 2-HG accumulation. IDH1/2 mutants that produce high levels of 2-HG cause an epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT)-like phenotype, characterized by changes in EMT-related gene expression and cellular morphology. 2-HG is sufficient to recapitulate aspects of this phenotype in the absence of an IDH mutation. In the cells types examined, mutant IDH-induced EMT is dependent on up-regulation of the transcription factor ZEB1 and down-regulation of the miR-200 family of microRNAs. Furthermore, sustained knockdown of IDH1 in IDH1 R132H mutant cells is sufficient to reverse many characteristics of EMT, demonstrating that continued expression of mutant IDH is required to maintain this phenotype. These results suggest mutant IDH proteins can reversibly deregulate discrete signaling pathways that contribute to tumorigenesis.

Results: High 2-HG levels lead to a reversible epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) phenotype, which is dependent on ZEB1/miR-200.

Conclusion: Mutant IDH reversibly disrupts normal epithelial morphology through EMT induction, a possible tumorigenic mechanism.

Significance: This is the first report of a reversible mutant IDH-dependent signaling phenotype.

Mutations in the genes encoding isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 and 2 (IDH1/2) occur in a variety of tumor types, resulting in production of the proposed oncometabolite 2-hydroxyglutarate (2-HG). How mutant IDH and 2-HG alter signaling pathways to promote cancer, however, remains unclear. Additionally, there exist relatively few cell lines with IDH mutations. To examine the effect of endogenous IDH mutations and 2-HG, we created a panel of isogenic epithelial cell lines with either wild-type IDH1/2 or clinically relevant IDH1/2 mutations. Differences were noted in the ability of IDH mutations to cause efficient 2-HG accumulation. IDH1/2 mutants that produce high levels of 2-HG cause an epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT)-like phenotype, characterized by changes in EMT-related gene expression and cellular morphology. 2-HG is sufficient to recapitulate aspects of this phenotype in the absence of an IDH mutation. In the cells types examined, mutant IDH-induced EMT is dependent on up-regulation of the transcription factor ZEB1 and down-regulation of the miR-200 family of microRNAs. Furthermore, sustained knockdown of IDH1 in IDH1 R132H mutant cells is sufficient to reverse many characteristics of EMT, demonstrating that continued expression of mutant IDH is required to maintain this phenotype. These results suggest mutant IDH proteins can reversibly deregulate discrete signaling pathways that contribute to tumorigenesis.

Recurrent somatic mutations in the NADPH-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase genes IDH1 and IDH2 have recently been identified in a wide variety of cancer types, including subsets of acute myeloid leukemia (AML), gliomas, and secondary glioblastoma multiforme, cholangiocarcinoma, chondrosarcoma, supratentorial primordial neuroectodermal tumors, and peripheral T-cell lymphoma (1–11). Albeit more rarely, IDH1/2 mutations are also observed in melanoma (12) and epithelial tumors of the prostate, lung, and colon (13–17). These findings implicate IDH1 and -2 mutations as a key emerging driver of tumorigenesis in a wide variety of tumor lineages.

The IDH1/2 mutations recurrently identified in cancers are almost always heterozygous point mutations that occur in one of only a few residues in the catalytic pocket (IDH1, Arg-132; IDH2, Arg-140 and Arg-172), a genetic “signature” for activating oncogenic mutations (18, 19). Although IDH mutants are no longer capable of efficiently carrying out their normal “forward” reaction (isocitrate to α-ketoglutarate (αKG)), IDH mutations confer a novel gain-of-function and can efficiently catalyze a modified “reverse” reaction, converting αKG to 2-hydroxyglutarate (2-HG) (6, 20). 2-HG is not normally present at high levels but is found at very high levels in the tumors of patients with IDH1/2 mutations (6, 20). Interestingly, 2-HG also accumulates in patients with activating mutations in the 2-hydroxyglutarate dehydrogenase genes (D2HGDH and L2HGDH) and is associated with the development of brain tumors (21, 22), suggesting that 2-HG may directly contribute to cancer and thus may be an “oncometabolite.”

The similarity of 2-HG to αKG has led to the hypothesis that the oncogenic function of 2-HG may be through alteration of αKG-dependent enzyme function (19). αKG-dependent enzymes control a wide variety of cellular processes, including...
deregulation of the hypoxic response, modification of the collagen matrix, and the turnover of DNA and histone methylation, leading to potentially global changes in gene expression and cellular biology (23). Thus far, mutant IDH1/2 and/or 2-HG have been reported to correlate with and/or affect all of these processes (24–33).

At odds with the potential of 2-HG to modify multiple epigenetic and cellular processes is the observation that 2-HG accumulation has strikingly specific effects on altering cellular differentiation, as observed in neural, hematopoietic, and adipogenic cell types (26–28, 30, 32, 34), suggesting IDH1/2 mutations may deregulate discrete signaling pathways that depend on the cell background or lineage. Because of the general lack of IDH1/2 mutant cell lines (35), most studies have relied on mutant IDH overexpression; it is unclear, however, if the biology observed in such models accurately recapitulates the role of endogenously expressed mutant IDH in the initiation and progression of clinically observed cancers.

To address this question, we generated a panel of HCT116 colorectal cancer cells and MCF-10A mammary epithelial cells with endogenously expressed, heterozygous, and clinically relevant IDH1/2 mutations. Our characterization of these cell lines suggests differences in the ability of these IDH1/2 mutations to produce 2-HG in cells, an observation that allowed for the separation of the effects of 2-HG from the IDH mutation. From this, we observe that 2-HG accumulation, rather than IDH1/2 mutational status alone, has more dramatic effects on altering cellular signaling. Specifically, we observe a direct correlation between intracellular 2-HG levels and an epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT)-like phenotype, characterized by changes in both morphology and expression of EMT markers. Addition of exogenous 2-HG is sufficient on its own to induce similar EMT-like alterations in IDH1/2 wild-type cells. Mutant IDH1/2 and 2-HG up-regulate ZEB1 and down-regulate miR-200, two key drivers of the EMT process. We demonstrate that this deregulation of ZEB1 and miR-200 is necessary to drive EMT-like phenotypes downstream of mutant IDH1/2. Finally, sustained knockdown of IDH1 in an IDH1 R132H mutant clone is able to reverse many aspects of the EMT-like phenotype, demonstrating that mutant IDH phenotypes are reversible, albeit with surprisingly slow kinetics. Thus, our data demonstrate that mutant IDH1/2 can regulate specific signaling pathways that remain dependent on continued mutant IDH expression and provide insight into core pathways that may be deregulated in epithelial tumors that bear the IDH1/2 mutation.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Generation of Endogenously Heterozygous IDH1/2 Mutant Cells—**HCT116 or MCF-10A cells with endogenously heterozygous mutations in IDH1/2 were generated via recombinant adeno-associated virus technology, as described previously (36). These cell lines were sequence-verified at both the genomic and RNA levels. Note that one of the clones (HCT116 R132C/+ 16F8) contains the IDH1 R132C mutation at the DNA level but not at the RNA level and thus is utilized as a nonexpressing control. Detailed information regarding the targeting and production of these cell lines is available upon request.

**Cell Culture—**HCT116 cells were cultured in McCoy’s 5A modified medium with 10% fetal bovine serum. 2-HG treatments were done at 10 mM and replenished every 48 h. MCF-10A cells were cultured as described previously (37). Exogenous 2-HG treatments were done at 10 mM, and fresh 2-HG was added every 2 days. For all light microscopy images of cells, images were taken on a Nikon Eclipse TE2000-U with phase contrast microscopy using a Nikon Digital Camera DXM 1200. Representative images are shown, and scale bars represent 50 μm.

**Immunofluorescence—**Cells were cultured in 8-well chamber slides (BD Biosciences) and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, rinsed in PBS, permeabilized in 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min, and then rinsed in PBS. Primary antibodies (ZO-1 (Invitrogen, 61-7300) and β-catenin (BD Biosciences, 610154)) were incubated in PBS for 1 h, followed by PBS rinsing, and then fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies were incubated in PBS. After PBS washing, cells were then mounted in Prolong Gold Antifade (Invitrogen). Images were taken on a Zeiss Axiomet 200 M. Representative images are shown, and scale bars represent 50 μm.

**Synthesis of Sodium (R)-2-Hydroxypentanedioate ((R)-2-Hydroxyglutaric Acid Disodium Salt)—**For step 1, a solution of sodium nitrite (34.06 g, 450 mmol) in water (50 ml) was added dropwise at 0–5 °C to a stirred mixture of D-glutamic acid (29.44 g, 200 mmol), water (80 ml), and concentrated hydrochloric acid (52.6 ml, 520 mmol). After complete addition, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm slowly to room temperature (initial exotherm and slight yellow coloration). The reaction mixture was kept at pH 14. The residue was triturated with hot EtOAc at 50–60 °C. The residue was triturated with hot EtOAc (4× 150 ml). Each time the hot EtOAc was decanted from the undissolved solids. The combined EtOAc solutions were cooled, dried (Na2SO4), concentrated to dryness, and placed under high vacuum for 72 h to give (R)-5-oxotetrahydrofuran-2-carboxylic acid (11.77 g, 45%) as a white waxy solid. 1H NMR in CDC13 suggests ~15 mol % of the ring open 2-HG (relative integral of peaks at δ 4.43 ppm for 2-HG versus δ 4.95 ppm for lactone). This material was used as-is in the following step: (R)-5-oxotetrahydrofuran-2-carboxylic acid 1H NMR (CDCl3) δ 10.88 (H, br s), 4.98 – 4.93 (H, m), 2.65 – 2.30 ppm (H, m); diagnostic peak for 2-HG, δ 4.43 (H, d, J 18.6 Hz).

For step 2, (R)-5-oxotetrahydrofuran-2-carboxylic acid (11.77 g, 90 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (50 ml), treated with NaOH (8 g, 200 mmol) in water (100 ml), and stirred for 2 h at room temperature (initial exotherm and slight yellow coloration observed). The reaction mixture was kept at pH 14. The reaction mixture was sampled and concentrated to dryness, and 1H NMR of sample showed the reaction was complete. The reaction mixture was concentrated to the point where the solid started to precipitate from the solution, and MeCN (30 ml) was then added followed by sufficient methanol to give one phase, followed by additional methanol (50 ml). The white precipitate was filtered off, suspended in 5% water/MeOH (100 ml), filtered, and washed with 50:50 methanol/MeCN followed by neat MeCN. The solid was dried under high vacuum to give sodium (R)-2-hydroxypentanedioate (13.06 g, 75%) (also...
known as (R)-2-hydroxyglutaric acid disodium salt) as a white crystalline powder. For $^1$H NMR (D$_2$O), δ 3.93 (H, d, J 8.6 Hz), 2.25–2.10 (2H, m), 1.96–1.86 (H, m), and 1.80–1.69 (H, m).

**Immunoblotting**—Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (Boston Bioproducts) containing Halt Protease and Phosphatase Inhibitor Mixture (Thermo Scientific). Lysates were spun at 16,000 × g at 4°C for 30 min and normalized for protein concentration. Lysates were then subjected to SDS-PAGE, and transfer/blotting was performed as described previously (38). Blots were imaged either by chemiluminescence or by fluorescent imaging using the Odyssey infrared imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences; Millennium Science, Surrey Hills, Australia). The following antibodies were used: IDH1 R132H (Dianova, H09), IDH2 (Abcam, ab55271), ZEB1 (Cell Signaling Technology, 3396), E-cadherin (Cell Signaling Technology, 3195), fibronectin (Abcam, ab2413), GAPDH (Millipore, MAB374), and β-tubulin (Abcam, ab6046). Total IDH1 antibody is a rabbit polyclonal antibody produced through immunization with recombinant IDH1 protein. Detailed information on this antibody is available upon request.

**Microarray**—Total RNA was isolated from cells using the Qiagen RNeasy kit. RNA integrity and purity were assessed with the RNA 6000 Nano LabChip system on a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies). Generation of labeled cDNA and hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix Inc.) were performed using standard hybridization to Affymetrix Gen...
tem (Santa Clara CA) and a CTC auto sampler (Carrboro, NC) was used for the analysis. The mass spectrometer was operated in the ESI negative ionization multiple reaction monitoring (transition 147→129) mode with a spray voltage of ~4500 V. Ion source gas 1, ion source gas 2, and curtain gas were set at 50, 35, and 25 p.s.i., respectively, and the source temperature was maintained at 550 °C. Separations were accomplished on a BioBasic AX column (2.1 × 20 mm, 5 μm, Waltham, MA). The sample chamber in the autosampler was maintained at 4 °C, and the column was kept at room temperature. The mobile phase consisted of 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate in water (A) and acetonitrile with 0.1% ammonium hydroxide (B) and was delivered at 1 ml/min. The gradient started at 85% of B for 0.2 min, proceeded linearly to 5% of B at 1.2 min, stayed until 2 min, then returned to initial condition at 2.2 min. The total run time was 2.5 min. Statistics—All average results are presented as mean ± S.E. p values were calculated using a two-tailed t test. Statistics for gene expression analysis are described above.

RESULTS

Clinically Relevant IDH1/2 Mutations Lead to Nonequivalent Levels of Intracellular 2-HG—We hypothesized that studying the effects of IDH1/2 mutations within their endogenous genomic context would be a well controlled means to examine their oncogenic effects. To accomplish this, clinically relevant IDH1/2 mutations (IDH1, R132H and R132C; IDH2, R172K and R140Q) were heterozygously inserted into IDH1/2 wild-type HCT116 colorectal cancer cells via homologous recombination with recombinant adenovirus targeting vectors (36). Two isogenic IDH1/2 wild-type controls were used as follows: first, the HCT116 parental cell line; second, an HCT116 clone that went through the targeting process but did not express the mutant allele (clone 16F8). Heterozygous expression of the relevant mutant alleles was observed in all other clones at the mRNA level (data not shown), and insertion of the mutations did not result in notable changes in total IDH1 or IDH2 protein expression (supplemental Fig. S1A). Additionally, an IDH1 R132H-specific antibody (45) confirmed the expression of this mutant protein in the relevant clones (supplemental Fig. S1A).

Intracellular levels of 2-HG were increased in all IDH1/2 mutant-expressing cells. Surprisingly, both of the IDH2 R140Q/+ clones showed only a slight elevation in intracellular 2-HG levels (~3-fold over parental or the nonexpressing control) (Fig. 1A and supplemental Fig. S1B; “low 2-HG” in red). The low level of 2-HG in these two cell lines is not likely to be an artifact of clonal selection, as ectopic expression of IDH2 R140Q and IDH2 R172K in HCT116 cells showed a similar trend (supplemental Fig. S1C). Dramatic increases in 2-HG were observed in each of the IDH1 R132H/+ , IDH1 R132C/+ , and IDH2 R172K/+ clones (Fig. 1A; “high 2-HG” in blue), consistent with what has previously been observed. Thus, heterozygous insertion of IDH1/2 mutations in HCT116 successfully generated heterozygously expressed active mutant enzymes.

High Intracellular 2-HG Correlates with EMT-like Phenotypes—To study the gene expression changes induced by mutant IDH1/2, the IDH1/2 wild-type and mutant HCT116 panels were analyzed using Affymetrix U133 plus 2 microarrays (note: the IDH1 R132C/+ clone 2A9 was not yet made at the time of these analyses, but it was used in all follow-up studies). When analyzed based exclusively on IDH1/2 mutational status (see “Experimental Procedures”), we found that 92 probe sets mapping to 76 unique genes were differentially expressed (supplemental Table S1). Noticeably, both IDH2 R140Q/+ clones showed the weakest gene expression changes in the panel (supplemental Fig. S1D), tracking with the lower production of 2-HG. To examine whether 2-HG may be a stronger regulator of gene expression changes than IDH1/2 mutation itself, differentially expressed genes based on “high” versus “low” 2-HG levels (clones color-coded in figures as blue and red, respectively) were also examined, and a total of 345 probe sets mapping to 253 unique genes were differentially expressed in the clones with high 2-HG (Fig. 1B and supplemental Table S2). Thus, it appears that 2-HG accumulation is a more potent modifier of gene expression and cellular signaling than is mutant IDH1/2 alone.

The observed 2-HG-dependent gene expression changes were analyzed to discover associations with specific signaling pathways. Comparing the genes that show altered expression based on 2-HG levels (from Fig. 1B and supplemental Table S2) against gene sets from the GeneGo Metacore database revealed a number of significantly scoring up-regulated and down-regulated gene sets (Fig. 1C). Notable among these were multiple gene sets involved in transcription factor- and miRNA-driven EMT (Fig. 1C; EMT pathways highlighted in yellow). EMT is a developmental process linked to tumorigenesis through the altered regulation of invasion, metastasis, drug sensitivity, and stem cell-like properties (46). To further determine the validity of EMT as a significant pathway alteration in the high 2-HG-producing cells, the top-ranked genes were also compared with three published EMT gene signatures (40–42). Both the up- and down-regulated genes in the three signatures showed a significant overlap with the 2-HG modulated gene set (Fig. 1D and E), providing further confirmation that high 2-HG significantly correlates with an induction of EMT-related gene expression in this cell type.

To validate the findings from the microarray, qRT-PCR and immunoblotting were used to validate a subset of the EMT signature genes. Expression of the epithelial marker E-cadherin was reduced at the protein level, and fibronectin, a mesenchymal marker, was increased (Fig. 2C). EMT often tracks with fibroblast-like alterations in cellular morphology. Microscopic examination of the IDH mutant panel revealed that all clones with high levels of intracellular 2-HG showed reduction of the epithelial “cobblestone” morphology present in the IDH1/2 wild-type parental line and acquisition of a more fibroblast-like morphology (Fig. 2D). Neither the low 2-HG clones nor the nonexpressing 16F8 clone displayed these morphological alterations. This suggests that the EMT-like phenotype was due to neither a recombinant adeno-associated virus targeting or integration artifact, nor the clonal selection process but rather to an effect of high levels of...
2-HG accumulation. A subset of the clones was also analyzed by immunofluorescence for ZO-1 (a component of intercellular tight junctions) and β-catenin (a subunit of the cadherin complex) (46). Corresponding with the epithelial morphology observed, the low 2-HG clones showed β-catenin and ZO-1 staining at the cell membrane and at locations of cell-cell inter-
actions, whereas the high 2-HG clones, with a more mesenchymal morphology, showed more diffuse staining for both markers (Fig. 2E and supplemental Fig. S2A).

To ensure that these EMT phenotypes were not unique to HCT116 cells, IDH1 was similarly mutated to R132H/+ via homologous recombination in the MCF-10A cell line, an immortalized nontumorigenic mammary epithelial cell line, and three independent clones were analyzed. As with HCT116, this approach generated clones that heterozygously expressed mutant IDH1 and produced high levels of 2-HG (Fig. 2E and data not shown). IDH1 R132H mutant MCF-10A clones developed many of the same EMT-like phenotypes seen in the high 2-HG HCT116 clones, including a reduction of E-cadherin, increased fibronectin, vimentin, and N-cadherin and an altered and more fibroblast-like morphology (Fig. 2, F and G, and supplemental Fig. S2, B–C). Together, these data suggest that high levels of 2-HG production via mutant IDH are sufficient to induce aspects of EMT in different epithelial cell backgrounds.

2-HG Correlates with Increased ZEB1/2 Expression and Decreased miR-200 Expression—The EMT process can be coordinated by any one of a small number of specific transcription factors (46). The expression of ZEB1, a well-characterized driver of EMT, tracked closely with high 2-HG levels (Fig. 1C and supplemental Table S2). ZEB1 up-regulation was confirmed by both qRT-PCR and immunoblotting (Fig. 3, A and B). Expression of Slug and Snail, two additional key drivers of EMT, were not correlated with 2-HG levels (data not shown), whereas ZEB2, a paralog of ZEB1, was also generally up-regulated in the high 2-HG clones (Fig. 3C). Additionally, ZEB1 and ZEB2 showed increased expression in the IDH1 R132H/+ MCF-10A cells (supplemental Fig. S3, A–C).

ZEB1 expression is regulated by a number of signaling pathways, including positive regulation via transforming growth factor β signaling and down-regulation by the miR-200 family of microRNAs (miRNA) (47–53). Notably, one of the down-regulated EMT-related pathways enriched in the high 2-HG clones is a “microRNA-dependent inhibition of EMT” (Fig. 1C), suggesting a role for miRNAs in altered EMT. To address whether altered mRNA expression was present in the high 2-HG clones, we analyzed expression of the miR-200 family members, miR-200b and miR-200c, in the HCT116 panel. These miRNAs were indeed decreased in the high 2-HG HCT116 clones (Fig. 3, D and E). In addition, miR-200b and miR-200c both showed down-regulation in MCF-10A cells with IDH1 mutation (supplemental Fig. S3, D and E). The expression levels of ZEB1, miR-200b, and miR-200c in HCT116 cells were linearly correlated with intracellular 2-HG levels (Fig. 3F) suggesting a tight link between 2-HG levels and the expression of these genes.

2-HG Is Sufficient to Cause an EMT-like Phenotype—The above data suggest that 2-HG accumulation is sufficient to induce EMT-like phenotypes via altered ZEB1 and miR-200 family signaling. To determine whether 2-HG is sufficient to modulate expression of this pathway in the absence of IDH1/2 mutation, the levels of ZEB1/2, vimentin, miR-200b/c, and fibronectin were examined in HCT116 parental cells treated with exogenous 2-HG. Sustained exposure to exogenous 2-HG generated intracellular levels that were comparable with those observed in IDH1/2 mutant cells (Fig. 4A). At many of the time points examined, 2-HG exposure increased expression of ZEB1/2, vimentin, and fibronectin and decreased expression of miR-200b/c, consistent with our observations in the IDH1/2 mutant high 2-HG clones (Fig. 4, B–G). E-cadherin expression was not reduced by day 31; however, treatment with 2-HG for 39–74 days did lead to a decrease in E-cadherin levels (Fig. 4H). In addition, sustained exposure to 2-HG over time caused a more fibroblast-like morphology, albeit more subtly than the morphology changes observed in the IDH1/2 mutant high 2-HG clones (Fig. 4I). This demonstrates that 2-HG exposure alone is sufficient to recapitulate many aspects of the EMT-like phenotypes induced by IDH1/2 mutations in HCT116 cells.

Altered Regulations of ZEB1 and miR-200 Are Necessary for 2-HG-mediated Induction of EMT-like Phenotypes—To address whether ZEB1 up-regulation is necessary for maintenance of the 2-HG-dependent EMT-like phenotypes, we utilized three independent small interfering RNAs (siRNA) to reduce expression of ZEB1 (ZEB1 siRNA A, B, or C) in three separate high level 2-HG HCT116 clones. All ZEB1 siRNAs robustly decreased ZEB1 expression at both the mRNA and protein levels relative to a nontargeting control (NTC) siRNA (Fig. 5A and supplemental Fig. S4A). ZEB1 knockdown increased E-cadherin protein (Fig. 5A) and mRNA (supplemental Fig. S4B) and led to a decrease in vimentin and ZEB2 mRNA (supplemental Fig. S4, C and D), in each of the three clones tested. In addition, ZEB1 knockdown attenuated aspects of mesenchymal morphology, and these cells adopted a more epithelial, cobblestone-like morphology similar to the IDH1/2 wild-type parental clone (Fig. 5B).

Next, to examine whether 2-HG-mediated down-regulation of miR-200 is also necessary to cause the EMT-like phenotype, miRNA mimetics were utilized to restore high expression of miR-200b or miR-200c in IDH1/2 mutant HCT116. Addition of either of the miR-200 family members reduced ZEB1 protein
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and mRNA levels, increased E-cadherin protein and mRNA, and decreased levels of vimentin and ZEB2 mRNA (Fig. 5C and supplemental Fig. S4E). Additionally, the miRNA mimetics induced morphology changes similar to those seen with ZEB1 siRNA, leading to a more epithelial morphology (Fig. 5D). Together, these data demonstrate that signaling through miR-200 and ZEB1 is necessary for EMT-like phenotypes caused by mutant IDH1/2 and 2-HG.

FIGURE 3. 2-HG correlates with increased ZEB1 and decreased miR-200 expression. A, Western blot of HCT116 parental and IDH1/2 mutant clones analyzed by immunoblotting for the indicated proteins. B and C, ZEB1 (B) and ZEB2 (C) mRNA levels in the HCT116 parental and IDH1/2 mutant clones. D and E, miR-200b (D) and miR-200c (E) levels in the HCT116 parental and IDH1/2 mutant clones. Levels are normalized to RNU44. F, linear correlation of ZEB1 (data from B), miR-200b (data from D), and miR-200c (data from E) RNA levels to 2-HG intracellular levels (data from Fig. 1A). Blue, HCT116 clones with high intracellular levels of 2-HG; red, HCT116 clones with low intracellular levels of 2-HG.
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EMT-like Phenotypes in IDH1 Mutant Cells Are Reversible by IDH1 Knockdown—ZEB1 and miR-200 regulate each other in a double-negative feedback loop to promote either an epithelial or mesenchymal phenotype (54). Although 2-HG shifts this loop toward a ZEB1 high/mesenchymal phenotype, it is unclear if blocking 2-HG accumulation via IDH inhibition would allow for cells to switch back to a more epithelial phenotype. To address this question, RNA interference was used to reduce expression of IDH1. The IDH1 R132H/H110012H1 clone was transduced with two independent doxycycline-inducible shRNAs against IDH1 (or a nontargeting control shRNA). Upon doxycycline exposure, IDH1 protein and mRNA levels rapidly and robustly decreased, and 2-HG levels were greatly reduced after 48 h (Fig. 6, A and B). Unlike the case with ZEB1 knockdown or addition of the miR-200 mimetics, changes in EMT markers were not initially observed upon IDH1 knockdown, despite the reduction of 2-HG (Fig. 6, B and C). However, after prolonged (12 days) IDH1 knockdown, decreased fibronectin, vimentin, ZEB1 and ZEB2, and increased miR-200b and miR-200c were observed. Restoration of E-cadherin expression was most rapid to change and was not up-regulated with kinetics similar to miR-200b and miR-200c. (Fig. 6D). Consistent with the observed changes in EMT marker expression, prolonged IDH1 knockdown also partially reversed the fibroblast-like morphology (Fig. 6E). These results demonstrate that continued expression of mutant IDH1 is required to maintain mesenchymal phenotypes in these cells and indicate that mutant IDH-dependent phenotypes are reversible.

DISCUSSION

Despite the high prevalence of IDH1 and IDH2 mutations in a wide variety of cancers, the lack of IDH1/2 mutant cell line models has made it challenging to elucidate the function of these oncogenes in tumorigenesis and to confirm whether phenotypes induced by mutant IDH are indeed reversible after their establishment. This question is critical in light of the discovery that some cancer-causing mutations may not be continuously required in established tumors (55). Using the IDH1/2 isogenic epithelial cell models reported herein, we note an unexpected difference in the ability of at least one clinically recurrent IDH2 mutation, R140Q, to produce 2-HG. From this, we show that high intracellular 2-HG accumulation, but not...
necessarily IDH1/2 mutations themselves, leads to an EMT-like phenotype characterized by both morphological and gene expression changes. Consistent with this finding, we observe that exogenous 2-HG exposure is sufficient to generate EMT-like phenotypes in IDH1/2 wild-type cells. ZEB1 up-regulation and miR-200 down-regulation are both required to mediate mutant IDH1/2-dependent EMT-like behavior in HCT116 cells, as either ZEB1 knockdown or restoration of high expression of miR-200 revert this phenotype. Importantly, continued mutant IDH1 expression is also required for EMT-like behaviors, as knockdown of IDH1 in an IDH1 R132H/+ mutant clone reverts cells to a more epithelial-like phenotype. Thus, these results identify specific signaling changes induced by IDH1/2 mutation and 2-HG that act through a specific transcription
factor (ZEB1). Moreover, we show that it is possible to reverse this mutant IDH-induced phenotype through direct modulation of IDH1. To our knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate that mutant IDH and 2-HG accumulation generate reversible signaling changes that act through discrete pathways. With the promise of IDH inhibitors on the horizon (19, 56), these data suggest the exciting possibility that inhibition of mutant IDH function will indeed be able to reverse the downstream signaling effects initiated by IDH1/2 mutations in clinically observed cancers.

**Reversibility of Mutant IDH Phenotypes**—Recent data suggest that mutant IDH-dependent phenotypes can take a considerable amount of time to develop; an IDH mutant mouse knock-in model has a long latency (32), and in vitro, mutant IDH overexpression models appear to require extended periods in culture before robust phenotypes emerge (25, 26, 34). In particular, multiple lines of evidence have established that mutant IDH and 2-HG generate genome-wide increases in DNA methylation (11, 32, 34, 57), an epigenetic change that can be stable over many cell divisions, even in the absence of the initiating

---

**FIGURE 6. Mutant IDH1/2-induced EMT-like phenotype is reversible and dependent on IDH1/2 expression.** A, intracellular 2-HG levels of HCT116 IDH1 R132H/+ clone 2H1 cells transduced with two doxycycline-inducible shRNAs targeting IDH1 or an NTC. Cells were treated with doxycycline for 2 days. 2-HG levels are normalized to NTC. B–D, qRT-PCR measurement of mRNA levels (left panel) and Western blot analysis (right panel) of HCT116 IDH1 R132H/+ clone 2H1 cells transduced with two doxycycline-inducible shRNAs targeting IDH1 or NTC. Cells were treated with doxycycline for 2 (B), 6 (C), or 12 (D) days. E, representative images of cells treated as in D. Scale bar, 50 μm.
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This suggests that although IDH2 Arg-140 mutations are clearly associated with 2-HG production and AML, they could potentially drive relatively weaker phenotypes than other IDH1/2 mutations or have a longer latency period. Observations of 2-HG levels in IDH2 Arg-140 mutant AML suggest that these mutations may indeed produce relatively less 2-HG than other IDH mutations (6, 8, 62), and an additional study suggests AML patients with IDH2 Arg-140 mutations are diagnosed at a significantly older age than are patients with Arg-172 mutations (63). Given these observed differences, and the variation in prevalence of IDH1 versus IDH2 mutations in different tumor types, we speculate that there may be key differences in how specific mutations in IDH1 or IDH2 affect cellular biology. Analysis of outcomes in a larger cohort of patients with different IDH1/2 mutations will be needed to further validate the relevance of these findings.

As the common phenotype of IDH1/2 mutant tumors is accumulation of the oncometabolite 2-HG (6, 8, 20, 62), we focused this study on the effects of high levels of 2-HG accumulation rather than IDH1/2 mutation itself; the data herein demonstrate that 2-HG accumulation via mutant IDH proteins is sufficient to cause dramatic effects on gene expression. Given the previously described ability of mutant IDH to cause broad genome-wide epigenetic changes (26, 30, 32), it was surprising that different IDH1/2 mutations (with high 2-HG production) exerted common signaling effects on a specific pathway, ZEB1/miR-200 regulated EMT, in both colon and breast epithelial lines. We speculate that the altered regulation of some signaling pathways (perhaps through differential sensitivity to epigenetic changes affecting expression) is more sensitive to 2-HG levels. In particular, it will be interesting to determine whether signaling loops regulating cell behavior, such as the ZEB1/miR-200 loop, are particularly sensitive to 2-HG-dependent perturbations. A growing number of miRNA/transcription factor pairs have been identified that regulate cell phenotypes in a manner similar to ZEB1/miR-200 (64–66). A deeper mechanistic understanding of how 2-HG affects a change in ZEB1/miR-200 signaling in HCT116 and MCF-10A cells could elucidate common mechanisms of 2-HG oncometabolite function in a wider variety of tissue types.
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