A Chandra view of the \( z=1.62 \) galaxy cluster IRC-0218A

M. Pierre\(^1\)*, N. Clerc\(^1\), B. Maughan\(^2\), F. Pacaud\(^3\), C. Papovich\(^4\), and C. N. A. Willmer\(^5\)

1 Laboratoire AIM, CEA/DSM/IRFU/SAp, CEA Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
2 HH Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, UK
3 Argelander-Institut für Astronomie, University of Bonn, Auf dem Hügel 71, 53121 Bonn, Germany
4 Texas A&M, University Department of Physics and Astronomy, College Station, TX 77843-4242
5 Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, 933 N. Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ, 85721, USA

Received September 28th, 2011; December 13th, 2011

ABSTRACT

Context. Very few \( z > 1.5 \) clusters of galaxies are currently known. It is important to study the properties of galaxies in these clusters and the intra-cluster medium and, furthermore, to cross-check the reliability of the various mass estimates. This will help to clarify the process of structure formation and how distant clusters may be used to constrain cosmology.

Aims. We present a 84 ks Chandra observation of IRC-0218A, a cluster of galaxies inferred by the presence of a galaxy overdensity in the infrared at a redshift of 1.62 and associated with some XMM emission.

Methods. We performed a spatial analysis of the Chandra X-ray photon distribution.

Results. The Chandra observation of IRC-0218A appears to be entirely dominated by a point source located at the centroid of the mid-infrared galaxy density. In addition, we detected weak extended emission (2.3\( \sigma \)) out to a radius of 25'' with a flux of \( \approx 3 \times 10^{-15} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) in the [0.3-2] keV band. Assuming that clusters evolve similarly, we infer a virial mass of \( M_{200} = 7.7(\pm 3.8) \times 10^{13} M_\odot \). This is marginally compatible with our current estimate of the cluster dynamical mass (based on 10 redshifts), although there is no evidence that the galaxy peculiar velocities correspond to the motions of a virialized structure. The stellar mass enclosed in the inferred X-ray virtual radius is estimated to be \( 1-2 \times 10^{12} M_\odot \).

We provide a detailed account of 28 X-ray point sources detected in the field.
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1. Introduction

Distant massive clusters of galaxies are, in theory, key objects for constraining cosmology because their abundance strongly depends on \( \sigma_8 \) and \( \Omega_m \). To second order, they are quite sensitive to the equation of state of the dark energy and to possible non-Gaussian features in the initial spectrum of density perturbations. However, the quest for and the study of distant massive clusters is a tedious task, since these objects are expected to be rare (no more than \( \sim \) two Coma-type clusters are expected beyond \( z > 1 \) over the whole sky) and, to date, only a tiny fraction of the distant universe has been investigated at a sufficient sensitivity. Another difficulty in involving distant clusters in cosmological studies is that it is a priori very difficult to properly estimate their mass: X-ray cluster scaling laws are now rather well assessed in the local universe, but their evolution is still a matter of debate, mainly because of the difficulty in assembling unbiased samples of distant clusters; one may derive a dynamical or X-ray mass estimates under the assumption that the system is well virialized - which becomes a challenging hypothesis at high redshift; weak lensing mass determinations are increasingly hampered by projection effects with increasing redshift - moreover, they lack the necessary sensitivity beyond \( z > 1.5 \).

While, by definition, a cluster of galaxies is a collection of galaxies bound in a common potential well, hence having similar recession velocities, clusters are usually unambiguously identified by the presence of extended emission from the hot gas trapped in the cluster potential; this is essentially because projection effects can always mimic the presence of a cluster to some extent, even in the velocity space, while significant X-ray emission is only possible from a gas that is denser than the one expected to reside in the cosmic filaments (\( > 10^{-4} \) cm\(^{-3}\)). At present, only four clusters with spectroscopic redshifts are known beyond \( z > 1.5 \). Two of them have been primarily detected in the X-ray waveband (Fassbender et al., 2011; Santos et al., 2011). The other two were identified through an overdensity of red galaxies; weak X-ray emission was found a posteriori to be associated with both of them (Papovich et al., 2010; Gobat et al., 2011).

Cluster IRC-0218A was almost simultaneously identified by Papovich et al. (2010) using Spitzer data and secured by optical spectroscopic observation and by Tanaka et al. (2010) using deep multi-band photometry along with near-IR spectroscopy. Papovich et al. (2010) provided redshifts for five (seven) blue galaxies with \( 1.62 < z < 1.63 \) and \( r < 1(1.5) \) Mpc. Tanaka et al. (2010) provided redshifts for K-band selected objects and had some red galaxies (the authors did not provide a redshift table, but only a finding chart). The presence of a possible companion cluster some two arcmin east of the main clump was also pointed out by Tanaka et al. (2010). Cluster IRC-0218A is located in the deepest part of the XMM-LSS survey, the Subaru Deep Survey, and hence X-ray information was readily available for this cluster. Indeed, both authors report the presence of some X-ray emission associated with the cluster (and with the companion, for Tanaka et al., 2010). The object, however, happens to lie at the very edge of three adjacent XMM observations, at an off-axis of \( \sim 12' \), preventing a proper characterization of the...
X-ray emission. We have thus obtained a deep Chandra observation to examine the properties of the X-ray emission associated to IRC-0218A in detail.

In Section 2 we describe the Chandra observation. Sec. 3 presents the spatial analysis of the Chandra emission. Implications for the cluster mass estimate are discussed in Sec. 4. Throughout the article, we assume the WMAP5 cosmology (Dunkley et al., 2009) for which $\Omega_{m}=0.27$. Throughout the article, we assume the WMAP5 cosmology (Dunkley et al., 2009) for which $\Omega_{m}=0.27$.

3. Spatial analysis

Despite the lack of conspicuous extended emission in the Chandra image, we statistically investigated the properties of the X-ray signal around source A. For this purpose, we made use of command *aprate* in CIAO version 4.3. We use the Chandra blank sky background files. The exposure time of the blank-sky data was scaled so that the count rate in the image energy band agreed with the target data in source-free regions. This ensures that the differences in the soft Galactic foreground between the target and blank-sky fields do not impact our constraints on any possible extended emission. This exercise was performed for two energy bands, [0.5-2] keV and [0.3-2] keV within two annuli, 2.5$''$ < $r$ < 25$''$ and 2.5$''$ < $r$ < 45$''$, the lower bound allowing us to safely exclude source A$^3$; the other point sources were removed as shown in Fig. 4. A radius of 25$''$ corresponds to 214 kpc at the cluster redshift, which is about four times the scaled core radius (Sec. 4). Within this annulus, we detect a 2$\sigma$ and 2.3$\sigma$ signal in the [0.5-2] keV and [0.3-2] keV bands, corresponding to count rates, after vignetting correction, of 0.00025 ± 0.00013 c/s and 0.00033 ± 0.00015 c/s, respectively. The [0.3-2] keV measurement shows a possible excess of some 27 photons after a one-day observation. To detect a 3$\sigma$ signal in these conditions would have required 36 counts (scaled background value is 106 counts). The mean signal detected in the annulus extending out to 45$''$ is found to be below the one-sigma significance in both bands and is compatible with zero at the 3$\sigma$ level. The [0.5-2] and [0.3-2] count rates correspond to (absorbed) fluxes of 1.1 $10^{-15}$ and 3.3 $10^{-15}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ in 2.5$''$ < $r$ < 25$''$, respectively. Results are summarized in Table 2 and the corresponding radial profile is shown in Fig. 5.

We then compared the Chandra data with the XMM observation, for which it is not possible to reliably exclude the point sources given the large off-axis angle. Fig. 6 suggests that all significant XMM emission is encompassed in a radius of 25$''$. Accounting for the PSF dilution effect (0.9) in the 25$''$ aperture, we measure an absorbed flux of 1.8 $10^{-16}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ and 3.5 $10^{-16}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ in the [0.5-2] keV band. For this calculation, we converted the measured XMM count rate assuming an APEC plasma model defined by $T=3$ keV, $N_H=0.3$ and $N_H=2.2$ $10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$. Integrating the Chandra data within the same radius (Fig. 4) using *aprate* gives an absorbed flux of 2.6 $10^{-16}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$, which agrees with the XMM measurements, within the error bars; restricting the Chandra measurement to the point source itself gives 1.6 $10^{-16}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Sec. 2); we cannot exclude

---

1. http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/ap prol/apr rates.html
2. http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/threads/acisbackground/
3. for the Chandra PSF, 95% of the flux at 1.5 keV is within 2$''$. http://asc.harvard.edu/proposer/POG/html/chap4.html#th Ec4.2.3
Fig. 2. The XMM image of IRC-0218A is a composite of three adjacent observations with a resulting mean off-axis distance of ∼ 12′. Left (a): the photon image adaptively smoothed (boxcar smoothing with minimum threshold of 10 photons). The position of the three Chandra point sources (Fig. 1) is indicated by circles having a radius of 25″. Right (b): The merged exposure map; the color scale indicates the cumulative effective exposure.

Fig. 4. Chandra data ([0.3-2] keV band) around the cluster main component (A), smoothed by a Gaussian with a width of 2.5 arcsec. The two red circles indicate the annulus (2.5″ – 25″) in which a possible 2.3 σ extended contribution is detected; barred sources were masked in the analysis. Extending the search to 2.5″ – 45″ (cyan circle) decreases the significance to below 1σ.

However, the possibility that the central source is a variable AGN.

In the following, we concentrate on the [0.3-2] band and on the 2.5″ < r < 25″ annulus, which appear to yield the most significant detection.

A similar analysis could not be performed in the B region, because it falls close to the Chandra detector edge, but it also appears to be entirely dominated by the two point sources with photometric redshifts of ∼ 0.5 and 1.

4. Hot gas content and mass estimates for IRC-0218

The diffuse X-ray emission associated with IRC-0218, if any, appears to be very weak in our deep Chandra observation: about one source photon per hour was collected. One can use this to estimate the mass associated to the 2.3σ detection that we infer in the [0.3-2] keV band. For this, we assumed that the surface brightness follows a β-profile defined by β = 0.5 (appropriate for the group-size objects) along with a self-similar scaling $R_c = 180 / h \sqrt{(T/7)/E(z)}$ and $R_c = 0.2 \times R_{500}$ (Clerc et al., 2011). Considering the L-T relation of Pratt et al. (2009) for non cool-core clusters and the M-T relation of Arnaud et al. (2005), we derived a virial mass of $M_{200} = 7.7(\pm3.8) \times 10^{13} M_\odot$ along with $R_{200} = 31$′; our inferred $R_{200}$ is 494 kpc (for $z = 1.62$).
Fig. 3. Chandra contours in the [0.5-2] keV band, overlaid on a g-r-3.6µm image of the cluster. The contours result from the filtering of Fig. 1 by a wavelet adapted to low-count statistics (Starck & Pierre, 1998): the image is de-noised but intensity is not strictly conserved. The first contour is indicative of the background level. The two green circles indicate the X-ray sources for which a spectroscopic redshift is available, in addition to source A (see Table 1). The red circles highlight the B sources. The yellow-dashed circle has a radius of 1 Mpc at the cluster redshift. The yellow cross indicates the center of the IRAC overdensity (Papovich et al. (2010)).

Fig. 7 shows the distribution of all spectroscopically identified galaxies to date in the cluster region. There are currently 13 redshifts for galaxies with $1.62 < z < 1.65$ within a physical projected radius of 1 Mpc off the cluster center (10 of these galaxies have $1.62 < z < 1.63$; Papovich et al., 2010; Tanaka et al., 2010; Momcheva et al. in prep; Willmer et al. in prep). Restricting ourselves to those 10 galaxies and following the ‘gapper method’ (Beers et al., 1990), we estimated a velocity dispersion of $360 \pm 90$ km/s where the error is derived using a jack-knife method; this value is substantially lower than the one quoted by Papovich et al. (2010), because we exclude here galaxies above $z > 1.63$. From this, we estimated the cluster virial mass following Carlberg et al. (1996). The result is highly uncertain, formally $M_{200} = 2.2(\pm 1.2) \times 10^{15} M_\odot$. The distribution of observed redshifts is subject to the following selection biases: (1) successful spectroscopic redshifts are obtained preferentially for the brighter galaxies in the cluster; (2) because the continuum is much fainter than the sky background, most of the redshifts are obtained for galaxies with emission lines; (3) for objects at $z > 1.625$, the identification of spectral features used for the redshift determination is affected by the presence of strong sky emission lines. Therefore, the limited redshifts available for the cluster galaxies suggest that the virial mass is lower than $3.4 \times 10^{15} M_\odot$ (1 sigma), which is barely compatible with the lower limit inferred from the Chandra observation.

Finally, we provide an estimate of the stellar mass content of the putative cluster. This was computed from all galaxies around $z \sim 1.6$, i.e. galaxies having more than 40% of their photometric redshift probability distribution function within $1.5 < z < 1.75$ (Fig. 8). We derived stellar masses by modeling the multiband Subaru (B,Viz), UKIDSS (JK), and IRAC (3.6-8.0 micron) photometry with a suite of stellar population models from Bruzual & Charlot (2003). We fitted the data for each galaxy with the stellar population models for a range of star-formation histories, stellar
from the optical center. The inferred virial mass corresponds to a moderately massive cluster (5-10

The optical spectrum of this galaxy shows, however, no sign of activity and its X-ray hardness ratio is soft. We detected weak extended X-ray emission (2.3 \sigma) from the optical center. The inferred virial mass corresponds to a moderately massive cluster (5-10 \times 10^{13} M_\odot) assuming that cluster scaling laws evolve self-similarly. Estimating a meaningful velocity dispersion for this object turned out to be very challenging: galaxies are faint and z ~ 1.6 falls right in the “redshift desert” where most galaxy lines used to measure redshifts are displaced into the near-infrared, where subtracting the OH emission and H2O atmospheric absorption can potentially bias the ability to measure redshifts. There are moreover no clues about the degree of dynamical relaxation of the object. Our current velocity estimate derived from 10 galaxies provides an upper limit for the dynamical mass that is marginally compatible with the lower mass limit inferred from the Chandra data. The stellar mass estimate accounts for a few percent of the Chandra mass. Although uncertainties are large, our Chandra observation along with the existing optical and IR data suggests that IRC-0218A is indeed a cluster or a collapsing cluster, rather than a filament seen in projection.

If the photo-z associated with the B1 and B2 sources are assumed to be correct, it follows that the X-ray twin-cluster hypothesis proposed by Tanaka et al. (2010) needs to be discarded.

More generally, we note that the X-ray emission of all four z > 1.5 known clusters appears to be significantly affected by point sources (there is no Chandra image published yet for Santos et al., 2011; Fassbender et al., 2011, but the XMM images look very compact). Furthermore, clusters like IRC-0218A will certainly always escape direct X-ray detection in the XMM or Chandra archive for techniques solely based on the search for extended X-ray emission. This severely questions the reliability of the determination of cluster scaling laws at high redshifts because the samples are likely to be severely biased toward objects that are over-luminous with respect to the mean.

5. Summary and conclusion

The spatial analysis of our deep Chandra observation of IRC-0218A shows that the X-ray emission of this putative cluster of galaxies is entirely dominated by a point source coincident with a galaxy located at the centroid of the galaxy overdensity in the mid-infrared. The point source signal is consistent with the emission estimated from the XMM observation (at large off-axis). The optical spectrum of this galaxy shows, however, no sign of activity and its X-ray hardness ratio is soft. We detected weak extended X-ray emission (2.3 \sigma) out to a radius of 25" (214 kpc) from the optical center. The inferred virial mass corresponds to a moderately massive cluster (5-10 \times 10^{13} M_\odot) assuming that cluster scaling laws evolve self-similarly. Estimating a meaningful velocity dispersion for this object turned out to be very challenging: galaxies are faint and z ~ 1.6 falls right in the “redshift desert” where most galaxy lines used to measure redshifts are displaced into the near-infrared, where subtracting the OH emission and H2O atmospheric absorption can potentially bias the ability to measure redshifts. There are moreover no clues about the degree of dynamical relaxation of the object. Our current velocity estimate derived from 10 galaxies provides an upper limit for the dynamical mass that is marginally compatible with the lower mass limit inferred from the Chandra data. The stellar mass estimate accounts for a few percent of the Chandra mass. Although uncertainties are large, our Chandra observation along with the existing optical and IR data suggests that IRC-0218A is indeed a cluster or a collapsing cluster, rather than a filament seen in projection.

If the photo-z associated with the B1 and B2 sources are assumed to be correct, it follows that the X-ray twin-cluster hypothesis proposed by Tanaka et al. (2010) needs to be discarded.

More generally, we note that the X-ray emission of all four z > 1.5 known clusters appears to be significantly affected by point sources (there is no Chandra image published yet for Santos et al., 2011; Fassbender et al., 2011, but the XMM images look very compact). Furthermore, clusters like IRC-0218A will certainly always escape direct X-ray detection in the XMM or Chandra archive for techniques solely based on the search for extended X-ray emission. This severely questions the reliability of the determination of cluster scaling laws at high redshifts because the samples are likely to be severely biased toward objects that are over-luminous with respect to the mean.
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Fluxes are measured in 10" radius aperture. Column (8) provides the hardness ratio HR value of -999.9 indicates that the source was not detected in the given band. The soft and hard band correspond to [0.5-2] keV and [2-8] keV, respectively. Fluxes are number of source photons detected in the region defined by column 1, after subtraction of the scaled background; column significance gives the corresponding statistical significance of the detection.

Table 1. Catalog of point sources in the field. Columns (4) and (5) refer to the pixel size of the PSF at the location of the source as estimated by wavdetect. A value of -999.9 indicates that the source was not detected in the given band. The soft and hard band correspond to [0.5-2] keV and [2-8] keV, respectively. Fluxes are measured in 10" radius aperture. Column (8) provides the hardness ratio HR=(H-S)/(H+S) where H and S are count rates measured in hard and soft bands, respectively. Reference for the spectroscopic redshifts: (a) Papovich et al. (2010); (b) Tanaka et al. (2010). The photometric redshifts are based on the same data and analysis as in Papovich et al. (2010).

Table 2. X-ray measurement summary for the central region. The last two lines include the central point source. Column n(photons) gives the number of source photons detected in the region defined by column 1, after subtraction of the scaled background; column significance gives the corresponding statistical significance of the detection.