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Abstract

Environmental safety is one of the most important international and national tasks when using chemicals such as mercury, its compounds and mercury containing waste. Mercury (Hg) is a global pollutant. Constant anthropogenic mercury emissions and its presence in food chains affects human and ecosystem health and cause serious concerns. When released into the atmosphere with various emissions, mercury is deposited on the ground or water surface. Due to the global transport of mercury in the environment, its release is possible near sources of pollution, as well as at a remote distance.
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1. Introduction

At industrial enterprises, research institutes, medical and other institutions stored from ten to twelve tons of mercury [1]. The results of the 2012 inventory conducted in the Russian Federation showed that through the use of mercury-containing goods and devices (thermometers, light sources, batteries, etc.), 49.7 tons of mercury will enter the environment, of which 4.8 tons will go into the air, 4.9 tons - into the water, 11 tons--into the soil and 29 tons - into the waste (Table 1).

Tables 2 and 3 provide information on the generation and disposal of mercury-containing waste (MCW) in various industries and major regions of Russia. In accordance with the data presented in Table 2, the main volumes of MCW are formed in the chemical industry, mechanical engineering and non-ferrous metallurgy. The leaders in terms of the volume of MCW are Siberian, Ural, Volga and Central districts. The reason for this is the operation in these districts of a large number of enterprises from the above industries.
TABLE 1: Mercury release to the environment as a result of production and use of mercury-containing consumer goods, tons [4].

| Source subcategory name                        | Air    | Water | Soil   | Ordinary waste | Special Processing / Disposal Sector |
|------------------------------------------------|--------|-------|--------|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| Mercury thermometers                           | 0.312  | 0.910 | 0.054  | 1.785          | 0.065                                |
| Electric switches and relays with mercury       | 1.864  | 0     | 1.864  | 7.458          | 7.458                                |
| Mercury-containing light sources                | 0.485  | 0.026 | 0.790  | 3.899          | 0.312                                |
| Mercury batteries                               | 0.113  | 0.008 | 0.272  | 1.714          | 0.351                                |
| Mercury-containing biocides and pesticides     | 2.000  | 4.000 | 8.000  | 6.000          | 0.0                                  |
| Total                                          | 4.774  | 4.944 | 10.980 | 20.856         | 8.186                                |

TABLE 2: Accumulation, formation and decontamination of MCW in 2002 in various industries of the Russian Federation [5].

| Industry Name                         | MCW accumulated at the end of 2001 | MCW formed in 2002 | MCW neutralized in 2002 |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
|                                       | tons %                              | tons %             | tons %                  |
| Industry, including:                  |                                     |                    |                         |
| chemical                              | 1159 33.5                           | 1200 14.3          | 536 21.3                |
| engineering                          | 801 23.5                            | 3342 39.8          | 1158 46.0               |
| non-ferrous metallurgy                | 691 20.0                            | 2075 24.7          | 1.9 0.08                |
| pulp and paper                        | 20 0.58                             | 45 0.53            | - -                     |
| electric power                        | 41 1.19                             | 126 1.5            | 16 0.64                 |
| fuel                                  | 30 0.87                             | 85 1.0             | - -                     |
| Building Materials Industry           | 108 3.1                             | 145 1.7            | - -                     |
| Housing and Utilities                 | 332 9.6                             | 62 0.07            | 185 7.4                 |
| Total in Russia                       | 3458 100                            | 8396 100           | 2517 100                |

In 2013, governments adopted the Minamata Convention, which was signed by 128 countries, including the Russian Federation [2]. The objective of the Convention is to protect human health and the environment from releases of mercury and its compounds. Under this Convention, participating Governments must take a number of measures, including to prevent the release of mercury into the atmosphere and the removal from production of certain mercury–containing products. In accordance with the Minamata Convention, a number of products containing mercury should be excluded from circulation by 2020 [3]. Another important provision of the Convention is the rejection of secondary mercury.

To reduce the level of environmental pollution, in particular aquatic ecosystems, and to comply with the provisions of the Convention, it is necessary to convert the
residual mercury compounds in the waste into the most stable form—mercury sulfide. In the Russian Federation, almost all existing technologies for the disposal of waste are focused on the production of secondary mercury. Ways of neutralizing mercury-containing waste with the conversion of metallic mercury and its compounds (including organic mercury) into the most safe forms for humans and the environment, provided there is a guaranteed absence of mercury—containing emissions into the atmosphere and wastewater discharges, including emergency and emergency situations, are currently absent and / or underdeveloped.

2. Methods

The main of the first tasks in the creation of technology for processing mercury-containing waste was the development of analytical methods for assessing the amounts of mobile compounds of mercury against its sulfide. In the development of this technique, the resistance of the latter, in contrast to metallic mercury and its oxides, to concentrated nitric acid was used. The process of developing the technique included: the study of the rate of dissolution of the metal mercury suspension in nitric acid of different concentrations; conducting a series of experiments of reverse titration with potassium iodide, sodium chloride and direct titration with ammonium thiocyanate; assessment of the effect on the accuracy of the glass and bentonite method.

To determine the recommended concentration of nitric acid, which will be further used to determine the content of metallic mercury in the samples, an experiment was carried out, including weighing samples of mercury close in weight to those used in further studies and dissolving in nitric acid of various concentrations. The reaction of

| District       | MCW accumulated at the end of 2001 | MCW formed in 2002 | MCW neutralized in 2002 |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
|               | tons                             | %                  | tons                    | %                  | tons                             | %                  |
| Central       | 376.9                            | 10                 | 2.184                   | 26                 | 950                              | 38                 |
| North-West    | 88.4                             | 2.6                | 145.2                   | 1.7                | 44                               | 1.8                |
| South         | 1029.9                           | 30                 | 559                     | 7                  | 210                              | 8                  |
| Volga         | 287.1                            | 8                  | 936                     | 11                 | 747.4                            | 30                 |
| Ural          | 213.3                            | 6                  | 2422                    | 29                 | 54.8                             | 2.1                |
| Siberian      | 1407.9                           | 41                 | 2129.8                  | 25                 | 510.1                            | 20                 |
| Far Eastern   | 54.6                             | 1.6                | 19.4                    | 0.2                | 0.135                            | 0.0                |
| Total in Russia | 3458                           | 100                | 8.396                   | 100               | 2517                             | 100                |
metallic mercury with nitric acid of various concentrations can occur in the following
reactions:

\[ 3\text{Hg} + 8\text{HNO}_3 \rightarrow 3\text{Hg(NO}_3)_2 + 2\text{NO} + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}, \quad (1) \]

\[ \text{Hg} + 4\text{HNO}_3\text{(_{onc.})} \rightarrow \text{Hg(NO}_3)_2 + 2\text{NO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}. \quad (2) \]

According to the results of this experiment, it can be concluded that with a decrease in
the concentration of nitric acid, the dissolution rate of mercury decreases exponentially
and an acid with a concentration of at least 40% can be considered acceptable for an
analytical experiment (dissolution time up to 20 minutes).

To determine the concentration of mercury ions, 3 methods were studied. The first
is to treat \( \text{Hg(NO}_3)_2 \) with potassium iodide:

\[ 4\text{KI} + \text{Hg(NO}_3)_2 \rightarrow \text{K}_2[\text{HgI}_4] + 2\text{KNO}_3, \quad (3) \]

\[ \text{K}_2[\text{HgI}_4] + \text{Hg(NO}_3)_2 \rightarrow 2\text{HgI}_2\downarrow + 2\text{KNO}_3. \quad (4) \]

Back titration of a specific volume of potassium iodide solution of known concentra-
tion with mercury nitrate was used. A 2% solution of defenylcarbazone in ethanol was
used as an indicator, which forms a complex with mercury ions, which gives the solution
a bright blue color. In addition, it should be noted that since the dissolution of mercury
occurs in concentrated nitric acid, when determining using KI or NaCl, it is necessary to
reduce the acidity of the titrant (mercury nitrate with the addition of defenylcarbazone as
an indicator) to the content of nitric acid in the solution equal to 0.1 n, since otherwise
diphenylcarbazone reacts with nitric acid, which significantly reduces the accuracy of
determination.

The second method involves the treatment of \( \text{Hg(NO}_3)_2 \) with sodium chloride:

\[ \text{Hg}^{2+} + 2\text{NaCl} \rightarrow \text{HgCl}_2\downarrow + 2\text{Cl}^{-}. \quad (5) \]

Titration was carried out similarly to titration using potassium iodide.

According to the third method, the reaction of \( \text{Hg(NO}_3)_2 \) with \( \text{NH}_4\text{SCN} \) was realized:

\[ \text{Hg}^{2+} + 2\text{NH}_4\text{NCS} \rightarrow \text{Hg(NCS)}_2\downarrow + 2\text{NH}_4^{-}. \quad (6) \]

In this case, direct titration of a certain volume of a solution of mercury nitrate with a
solution of ammonium thiocyanate of known concentration was used. As an indicator,
a solution of iron nitrate was used, which forms brightly colored iron rhodonite with
thiocyanates. The method is sensitive to the presence of chlorine ions in the system.
3. Results and Discussion

The graph (Fig. 1) shows that it is preferable to determine the concentration of mercury by a method that realizes the reaction of Hg(NO$_3$)$_2$ with NH$_4$SCN, since it gives the smallest measurement error.

![Figure 1: The accuracy estimation of various analytical methods](image)

As a result of titrations, the limits for the detection of mercury were established:
- reaction by Hg(NO$_3$)$_2$ with KI constitutes 0,001 mg/cm$^3$;
- reaction by Hg(NO$_3$)$_2$ with NaCl – 0,03 mg/cm$^3$;
- reaction by Hg(NO$_3$)$_2$ with NH$_4$SCN – 0,02 mg/cm$^3$.

Based on the research of Hg$^{2+}$ ions concentration, it was concluded that the mercury titration of KI and NaCl solutions presents certain difficulties, such as an inaccurate determination of the moment of solution color transition and, consequently, less accurate results. The titration of NH$_4$SCN is an appropriate analytical method for determining the concentration of Hg$^{2+}$, confirmed by the smaller error of the obtained results and a noticeable color change of the solution. However, this method is sensitive to the presence of chlorine ions in the system, and since it is supposed to use a mixture of pyrite and ferric chloride to reduce the residual amounts of metallic mercury to acceptable values, the titration method was also described for such systems.

4. Conclusion

The chosen method describes the recommended concentrations of titrant and titratable substances, compiles equations for determining the residual concentrations of mercury ions, and estimates the detection limits of mercury. The following formula is given for calculating the mercury concentration according to the developed method:

$$C_{Hg^{2+}} = \frac{H \times V \times 200}{2 \times V_{Hg^{2+}}} = \frac{100 \times H \times V}{V_{Hg^{2+}}}$$  (7)
where: \( n \) is the normality of the selected titrant (units), \( V \) is the volume of the solution used in the titration (\( \text{cm}^3 \)), \( V(\text{Hg}^{2+}) \) is the volume of the \( \text{Hg}^{2+} \) solution (\( \text{cm}^3 \)).

It was also shown that the presence of glass in the system has practically no effect on the proposed analytical method, while bentonite significantly distorts the results due to the absorption of mercury ions: in some cases, from 50 to 90% of the ions in solution can be absorbed.

To immobilize mercury, the reactions in the mercury – sulfur – glass system were studied, and glass was taken as a substance present in a number of consumer goods. Studies have been carried out to determine the residual concentrations of mercury in the presence of glass in a 1:9 ratio, which is typical for thermometers manufactured according to State All-Union standard and sulfur. A series of experiments has shown that in the first 30 minutes, mercury does not completely disperse in the system (this is evidenced by differences in concentrations in the samples taken), but after this time dispersion still occurs, which is confirmed by the results of titration. It was also found that the greatest immobilization of mercury is achieved in the absence of water or its small presence (2-3 \( \text{cm}^3 \)), as well as with a ratio of mercury to sulfur (1:1.5 by weight).

Thus, with selected mass ratios, the proportion of reacted mercury is 97-98%, which indicates the advisability of using this technique for industrial purposes for the disposal of mercury-containing wastes.
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