Comparisons of traditional electrocardiographic criteria for left and right ventricular hypertrophy in young Asian women
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Abstract

The performance of electrocardiographic (ECG) voltage criteria to identify left and right ventricular hypertrophy (LVH and RVH) in young Asian female adults have not been clarified so far.

In a sample of 255 military young female adults, aged 25.2 years on average, echocardiographic LVH was respectively defined as the left ventricular mass (LVM) indexed by body surface area (BSA) (≥88 g/m²) and by height²⁻⁷ (≥41 g/m²⁻²), and RVH was defined as anterior right ventricular wall thickness >5.2 mm. The performance of ECG voltage criteria for the echocardiographic LVH and RVH were assessed by area under curve (AUC) of receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve to estimate sensitivity and specificity.

For the Sokolow-Lyon (the maximum of SV1 or SV2 +RV5 or RV6) and Cornell (RaVL + SV3) voltage criteria with the LVM/BSA ≥88 g/m², the AUC of ROC curves were 0.66 (95% confidence intervals [CI]: 0.52–0.81, P = 0.039) and 0.61 (95% CI: 0.44–0.77, P = .18), respectively. For these 2 ECG voltage criteria with the LVM/height²⁻⁷ ≥41 g/m²⁻²⁻⁷, the AUC of ROC curves were 0.64 (95% CI: 0.52–0.75, P = 0.11) and 0.73 (95% CI: 0.61–0.85, P = 0.0074), respectively. The best cut-off points selected for the Sokolow-Lyon and Cornell voltage criteria with echocardiographic LVH in young Asian females were 26 mm and 6 mm, respectively. In contrast, all the AUC of ROC curves were less than 0.60 and not significant according to the Sokolow-Lyon (the maximum of RV1 + SV5 or V6) and Myers’ voltage criteria (eg, the voltage of R wave in V1 and the ratios of R/S in V1, V5 and V6) with echocardiographic RVH.

There was a suggestion that the ECG voltage criteria to screen the presence of LVH should be adjusted for the young Asian female adults, and with regard to RVH, the ECG voltage criteria were found ineffective.

Abbreviations: AUC = area under curve, BSA = body surface area, CHIEF = cardiorespiratory fitness and hospitalization events in armed forces, ECG = electrocardiography, LVH = left ventricular hypertrophy, LVM = left ventricular mass, ROC = receiver operating characteristic, RVH = right ventricular hypertrophy, RVWT = right ventricular wall thickness.
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1. Introduction

The traditional electrocardiographic (ECG) voltage criteria in screening of the presence of left (LVH) and right ventricular hypertrophy (RVH) in the general population have been used for decades[¹–⁴]. Most of the previous studies using Sokolow-Lyon and Cornell ECG voltage criteria to relate to LVH which was diagnosed by echocardiography or cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed consistent results that the sensitivity was
very low, estimated merely 20%-30%, whereas the specificity was extremely high, estimated over 95%.[5,6] Similarly, using the ECG voltage criteria for the imaging-based RVH such as the Myers et al and Sokolow-Lyon revealed low sensitivity, commonly lower than 20% and high specificity which was up to 95% or more.[7,8]

It is notable that most studies examining the performance of the ECG voltage criteria to relate to the imaging-based LVH and RVH were carried out in White and Black, and middle to old aged persons of the Western world.[9–12] For the Asian individuals, the studies reporting the ECG criteria performance were relatively rare,[13–15] and in the same situation, most of these studies were aimed for the middle to old aged populations with several cardiovascular risk factors such like hypertension.[13,14] To our knowledge, since there have not established standard references with regard to the echocardiographic or other imaging based LVH or RVH for young Asian adults, only a few ECG studies were carried out to investigate the performance of the ECG voltage criteria in this population, particularly a lack of young Asian female adults so far.[8,15]

Military personnel are mainly composed of young adults, who have to receive regular exercise training. They are good samples to set standard references of imaging based LVH and RVH for the young adults. Therefore, the purpose of the study was firstly to clarify the standard references of echocardiographic LVH and RVH and then to examine the performance of ECG voltage criteria in a military young Asian female cohort in Taiwan.

2. Method

2.1. Study population

The ancillary cardiorespiratory fitness and hospitalization events in armed forces (CHIEF) Heart study included 1526 military young female adults, aged 18 to 42 years, in eastern Taiwan in 2014 to 2018.[16] All participants carried out a comprehensive health examination, and self-reported a questionnaire for their health examination, and self-reported a questionnaire for their fitness and hospitalization events. The baseline characteristics including ECG and echocardiographic data of the military female cohort are shown in Tables 1 and 2.[26,27] Measurements of anterior right ventricular wall thickness (RVWT) were by M-mode and 2-dimensional measurements in parasternal long axis view. Left ventricular mass (LVM) was thus calculated according to the corrected formula proposed by Devereux et al.[21] LVM = 0.8 × (1.04 × [(left ventricular end diastolic diameter (LVIDd) + end diastolic posterior wall thickness + end diastolic interventricular septal thickness3] – left ventricular end diastolic diameter1) + 0.6. In addition, LVM was indexed for body surface area (LVM/BSA, g/m²), according to the Dubois formula[24] and alternatively for height2.7 (LVM/height2.7, g/m²) suggested by de Simone et al.[25] The cut-off value for echocardiographic LVH was set as LVM/BSA ≥88 g/m² and LVM/height2.7 ≥41 g/m² which were the 95th percentile in the military young female adults in CHIEF study and according to another study finding for the young Asian female adults.[26,27] Measurements of anterior right ventricular wall thickness (RVWT) were by M-mode and 2-dimensional windows at the onset of the QRS complex of end diastole via the parasternal long-axis approaches.[28] Echocardiographic RVH was defined as RVWT > 5.2 mm, which was the 95th percentile in our young female cohort.[29]

2.2. Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics of the CHIEF military female cohort were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables and number (%) for categorical variables, respectively. Pearson correlation coefficient was used to determine the correlation of each ECG voltage criterion with the LVM indexes and RVWT, and was compared by the Fisher z test. Area under curves (AUC) of the receiver-operating characteristics (ROC) curves were used to evaluate and compare the performance of ECG voltage criteria for echocardiographic LVH and RVH. In addition, using the ROC curve to find the maximal sum of sensitivity and specificity was reclassified for each ECG criterion. A two-tailed value of P < .05 was considered significant. All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

2.2.1. Ethic statement. This study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Mennonite Christian Hospital (No. 16-05-008) in Taiwan, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

3. Results

The baseline characteristics including ECG and echocardiographic data of the military female cohort are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Ages in the study subjects were between 18 and 42
Table 1
Baseline characteristics of demographic, anthropometric, and electrocardiographic measurements of the military female population.

| Variables                  | Military female participants (n = 255) |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Age (yrs)                  | 25.22 ± 5.26                         |
| Height (cm)                | 161.01 ± 5.01                        |
| Weight (kg)                | 59.13 ± 9.20                         |
| Waist (cm)                 | 74.76 ± 8.00                         |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)    | 22.79 ± 3.29                         |
| Underweight (<18.5) (%)    | 15 (5.58)                             |
| Normal (18.5–24.9) (%)     | 175 (68.63)                           |
| Overweight (25–29.9) (%)   | 59 (23.14)                            |
| Obesity (≥30) (%)          | 6 (2.35)                              |
| Body surface area (m²)     | 1.57 ± 0.13                           |
| Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) | 107.12 ± 11.19             |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg) | 64.31 ± 8.46              |
| Heart rate (beats/min)     | 68.68 ± 23.51                        |
| Sokolow-Lyon V (mm)        | 24.72 ± 6.45                         |
| Cornell V (mm)             | 6.87 ± 3.85                          |
| QRS duration (ms)          | 80.56 ± 11.42                        |
| R/S (V1)                   | 0.49 ± 0.45                          |
| R-S (V1)                   | -4.33 ± 3.76                         |
| R/S (V5 or 6) minimum      | 6.16 ± 5.81                          |
| R-S (V5 or 6) minimum      | 9.14 ± 5.42                          |
| R (V1) (mm)                | 2.76 ± 1.56                          |
| Sokolow-Lyon RVH (mm) R(V1) + S(V5 or 6) maximum | 5.24 ± 5.56 |

Continuous variables are expressed as mean ± standard deviation and categorical variables as number (percentage).

3.1. Correlation of each ECG criterion with the LVM indexes and RVWT

Table 3 reveals that there was a correlation of the Cornell criterion-based voltage (RaV + SV3) with the LVM indexes for BSA and LVM/height².⁷ (r = 0.209 and 0.181, respectively), whereas there were no correlations between the Sokolow-Lyon criterion-based voltage (the maximum of RV1 + SV5 or SV6) and the 2 LVM indexes (r = 0.045 and 0.025, respectively). In addition, there were no correlations between RVWT and each ECG voltage criterion for RVH. The greatest correlation coefficients with RVWT were observed in the Myers’ voltage criteria for the R/S ratio in lead 5 or 6 (r = −0.124), and in the Sokolow-Lyon criterion-based voltage (the maximum of RV1 + SV5 or SV6) (r = 0.017).

Table 2
Baseline echocardiographic parameters of the military population.

| Echocardiographic variables | Military female participants (n = 255) |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Interventricular septum (mm)| 7.59 ± 0.84                           |
| LV posterior wall (mm)      | 7.33 ± 0.80                           |
| LV end-diastolic dimension (mm) | 45.62 ± 3.19         |
| LVM/BSA (g/m²)             | 68.14 ± 10.84                        |
| LVM/height².⁷ (g/m²/²)     | 29.65 ± 5.65                         |
| RVWT (mm)                  | 4.39 ± 0.57                          |

Prevalence of LVH
By LVM/BSA, n (%) 14 (5.49)
By LVM/height².⁷, n (%) 12 (4.71)
Prevalence of RVH
RVWT > 5.2 mm, n (%) 11 (4.45)

Continuous variables are expressed as mean ± standard deviation and categorical variables as number (percentage).

LV = left ventricle, LVM/BSA = left ventricular mass indexed by body surface area, LVM/height².⁷ = left ventricular mass indexed by height².⁷, RVH = right ventricular hypertrophy, RVWT = right ventricular wall thickness.

3.2. Performance of the ECG voltage criteria for LVH and RVH using ROC curves

Figure 1A reveals the AUC of ROC curve using the Sokolow-Lyon voltage criterion for LVH ≥35 mm to detect the LVM/BSA index ≥88 g/m² higher than that using the Cornell voltage criterion ≥20 mm for LVH (0.66 vs 0.61) in the young Asian females. On the contrary, Figure 1B shows the AUC of ROC curve using the Cornell voltage criterion ≥20 mm for LVH to identify the LVM/height².⁷ index ≥41 g/m²/² greater than that utilizing the Sokolow-Lyon voltage criterion ≥35 mm for LVH (0.73 vs 0.64) in the young Asian females. Figure 1C reveals the AUC of ROC curves using the Myers et al and Sokolow-Lyon
voltage criteria for RVH to identify the RVWT > 5.2 mm (0.54–0.59) where all were nonsignificant in the young Asian females.

3.3. Performance of the ECG voltage criteria for LVH and RVH using traditional and revised cut-off values

Table 4 demonstrates that the prevalence, sensitivity and positive predictive value of the ECG voltage criteria using traditional cut-off values to identify the presence of LVH and RVH in the young Asian females were extremely low, all estimated far less than 20%. In contrast, the specificity and negative predictive value of the ECG voltage criteria to identify the presence of LVH and RVH were extremely high, all estimated much greater than 92% in the young Asian females. When using the AUC of RUC curves to select the best cut-off points for echocardiographic LVH in the young Asian females, the Sokolow-Lyon voltage criterion was
reclassified as ≥26 mm and the Cornell voltage criterion was ≥6 mm, respectively. In addition, the best cut-off point of Sokolow-Lyon criterion for echocardiographic RVH was reclassified as >5.2 mm.

4. Discussion

Our principal findings were that firstly for the young Asian female adults, we established a standard of echocardiographic LVH as defined by the LVM/BSA index ≥88 g/m² and by the LVM/height².⁷ index ≥41 g/m², respectively. In addition, we established a standard of echocardiographic RVH as defined by the RVWT ≥5.2 mm. Second, using traditional ECG voltage criteria for LVH and RVH in the young Asian females consistently yielded low sensitivity and high specificity which were in line with the findings of previous studies. Finally, the best cut-off points for the ECG voltage criteria for LVH and RVH in the young Asian females should be lowered to obtain the maximal sum of sensitivity and specificity.

It is notable that among the premenopausal women in Asia, the prevalence of metabolic abnormalities such as obesity and hypertension, which are the risk factors of cardiac hypertrophy, is low. In a previous study in Taiwan, the LVM and LVM index were lower in the female adults as compared with the male adults and the values decreased with younger ages. The mean of LVM/BSA index was 63.6 g/m² in women aged ≤30 years and 67.4 g/m² in women aged 31 to 40 years. In addition, in another study for a multiethnic Asian women cohort, those aged <50 years had a mean LVM/BSA index with 64 (standard deviation = 14) g/m² and the 95th percentile of the LVM/BSA index was 86 g/m², close to our finding of 88 g/m² as the cut-off point for echocardiographic LVH. Moreover, this is the first study clarifying the RVWT > 5.2 mm as echocardiographic RVH for the young Asian females. As compared with the previous ECG studies for the middle-aged females, our findings revealed relevant results that there were low sensitivity and high specificity with regard to using the traditional ECG criteria to identify imaging-based LVH and RVH among the young Asian female adults. These findings were likely due to a very low prevalence of ECG-defined LVH, less than 5% in our subjects, reflecting a need to revise the cut-off values of ECG criteria-defined voltage for LVH. In addition, the correlation coefficients of the Cornell criterion-based voltage were found consistently better than that of the Sokolow-Lyon criterion-based voltage against the two LVM indexes in the young Asian female adults. Unlike the previous study findings for the middle-aged females, the AUC of ROC curve was greater for the Sokolow-Lyon voltage criterion to detect the LVM/BSA index ≥88 g/m² in the young Asian females, which might be due to a selection of different cut-off value for echocardiographic LVH. On the contrary, neither the Sokolow-Lyon nor the Myers et al criterion-based voltage to correlate with RVWT or to detect echocardiographic RVH was significant in the young Asian female adults. Although the ECG studies for the relationship with RVH in females were rare, the findings in our study were in line with that for males.

Our study had several strengths. First, both the ECG and echocardiographic examinations were performed in a strict manner and the procedures were standardized. Second, the military young females had to participate regular physical training that would modestly increase the level of 95th percentile to define the echocardiographic LVH and RVH compared with that of the age-matched general population of young females and avoid the selection bias. In contrast, this study had some limitations. First, this study was conducted on athletic military young Asian females and thus the results might not be appropriately applied to the general population of young females. Second, the female breast size might be a potential confounder for technicians to put the ECG precordial leads on the standard locations of chests wall, possibly leading to a bias. Third, there might be different results using other imaging diagnostic modalities such as cardiac magnetic resonance imaging for LVH and RVH.

5. Conclusion

There was a suggestion that the ECG voltage criteria to identify the presence of LVH as defined by echocardiographic LVM indexes should be adjusted for young Asian females and for RVH as defined by echocardiographic RVWT, the ECG voltage criteria were found ineffective.
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