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Abstract

Objective: The FITT (Frequency, Intensity, Time, and Type) principle is an effective foundation in EP. However, the CORRECT components; C–Combination of interventions, O–Order of the Interventions, R–Repetitions, R–Rest period between sets and between sessions, E–Exercise at home, C–Cognitive domain, T–Total dose and re-evaluation plans, should be considered. The purpose of this paper was to describe the updated dynamic and evidence based FITT-CORRECT principle of EP and demonstrates its application using a case study.

Results: Literatures, related to EP, clinical reasoning and clinical decision-making, were critically reviewed. Established evidence is summarized to describe an updated dynamic and evidence-based principle of EP. The gaps within the FITT and other related principles of EP are addressed. The FITT-CORRECT principle was introduced and an effective outcome of the updated principle was demonstrated using a case study. The FITT-CORRECT principle integrates many components that are missing in the FITT and other related EP principles. Based on the reported case study, the FITT-CORRECT principle of EP should optimize patients’ intervention outcomes. Physiotherapists can potentially improve their EP by utilizing the FITT-CORRECT in clinical practice.

Introduction

The principles of EP (EP) are intended for the development of individually tailored exercise programs [1]. The gold standard principle of EP derived by the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) is the FITT [Frequency (how often), Intensity (how much or how hard), Time (duration or how long), and Type (mode or what kind)] principle [2].

The fundamental principle of EP has been modified to FITT-VP in 2014 with the addition of Volume (amount) and Progression (advancement) [1]. Reid, et al. added a third ‘T’ introducing the FITT-T principle to address Timing of exercise [3]. Furthermore, the cognitive behavioral components are important but not included in FITT, for exercise adherence and behavioral change [1-4]. Ranasinghe, et al. derived FITTSSBALL (“SBALL” - Stage of change, Belief and Ability of the client, Limitations and Life satisfaction) principle to address the missing cognitive behavioral component [4]. These multiple variations demonstrate the need for the many added components to be integrated into one all-embracing principle.

While prescribing exercises based on the existing principles, there will be many unanswered questions as follows. Which interventions yield positive effect when two or more interventions are combined? Can any exercise be combined with any another exercise? What is the best order? With a combination of exercises, how are the parameters...
redefined? How do the number of repetitions, number of sets and number of sessions determine total duration? Should interventions be applied concurrently or simultaneously or with an interval period? How are duration and rest periods established? In an individually tailored prescription, how does one decide which exercise to be progressed and how? Is the rest period between exercises considered within the total duration? What should the total dose be to achieve the specific objectives? Are specific home exercise programs required? How to decide what home exercise programs are required and what will be their parameters? How to make sure that the home program will not interfere to that of the intervention administered at the clinic? How do we progress an exercise? Which parameter to be progressed first? What are the factors to be considered while progressing an exercise? What cognitive domain plays an important role to yield better intervention effect?

Usual parameters, described by the FITT principle, may not always be appropriate with different health conditions. Therefore, an updated dynamic and evidence-based principle of EP is needed to address the literature gaps.

**Methods**

**A brief review**

This brief review provides critical examination of the literature and integrates the existing evidence to educate the clinical practitioners to apply in their routine work. Literatures related to EP, clinical reasoning, clinical decision-making were critically reviewed and established evidence has been summarized to describe an updated dynamic and evidence-based principle of EP. The new principle of EP is expected to address the existing gaps in the literature.

**Development of the FITT-CORRECT principle of EP**

Based on various existing principles of EP, principles of neuroscience, motor control and learning, practice guidelines, evidence-based physiotherapy practice, experience and outcome of the various contemporary physiotherapy interventions, an updated dynamic and evidence-based principle of EP has been described. The term “CORRECT” has been coined to include all possible components supported by the evidence and that are not included in the original “FITT” principle.

The FITT-CORRECT principle integrates the original technical domains of FITT and added CORRECT components. The FITT-CORRECT principle has been introduced to address the gaps within FITT and its other related principles. The detail on FITT-CORRECT has been described in figure 1 and tables 1,2.

**Results**

**Demonstrating the use of the FITT-CORRECT principle of EP using a case study**

Ms. K (name changed, informed written consent was taken) sustained a stroke one week ago and subsequently was unable to perform activities with her right dominant hand. Ms. K’s primary goal was to be able to use her right hand for her Activities of Daily Living (ADLs).

Using the FITT-CORRECT principle, a physiotherapist prescribed an exercise protocol for Ms. K. Based on the evidence, feasibility, appropriateness and patient’s need, the physiotherapist-administered intervention (PAI) called task-oriented training (TOT) was administered at the outpatient clinic. A drinking task was selected as per patient’s preference. This task was divided into components (reaching; reaching and grasping; reaching, grasping and lifting; reaching, grasping, lifting, transport) and trained in the order of their complexity (least to most complex).

The parameters and total dose of the TOT (30 minutes per session, once a day, six days a week for two weeks) was set as per motor control, learning and principles of exercise dependent neuroplasticity. Parameters were progressed based on patient's performance level and ability. Distributed practice with a rest period whenever needed (indicated with reduced performance or compensatory movement or increased exertion) was adopted. Impairment mitigation strategies (training muscle function, improving range of motion, stretching tight muscles, proprioceptive neuromuscular stimulation, dexterity training) were integrated during the TOT and patient’s active involvement was encouraged.

Functional exercises were prescribed to do at home (HEP-Home Exercise Program) to enhance the effect of TOT. The ADLs such as eating fruit, drinking tea, and eating rice were advised to do three times a day for six days a week for two weeks using the affected hand. She was asked to take rest periods whenever required during the functional tasks. The functional tasks were then progressed to having her put on her eyeglasses and hairclips and combing her hair. The TOT was progressed in line with the progressively more difficult

<!- Figure 1: Components and description of the FITT-CORRECT principle of exercise prescription. -->

![Figure 1: Components and description of the FITT-CORRECT principle of exercise prescription.](https://www.heighpubs.org/jnpr)
The improvement in Ms. K’s upper extremity function of exercise dependent neuroplasticity. The cognitive domains has been integrated during task collaboration (for task selection), task analysis (finding problems to do the task), self-assessment and management [6].

Ms. K was asked to attend clinic-based therapy sessions in order to receive TOT, which had to be administered by a skilled physiotherapist. A HEP was provided to Ms. K to enhance the effect of the PAI thereby yielding better outcomes. Sequential progression of the interventions and parameters [6], existed for both the PAI and HEP. A re-evaluation was performed after achieving our target goal at the completion of the total dose. The strategies adopted in the treatment of this patient were as per FITT-CORRECT principle that resulted in a detectable positive change and outcome within two weeks.

**Discussion**

The FITT-CORRECT principle integrates many components that are missing in the FITT and other related EP principles. Based on the reported case study, the FITT-CORRECT principle of EP should optimize patients’ intervention outcomes. Physiotherapy providers can potentially improve their EP in their clinical practice by utilizing the FITT_CORRECT principle.

---

**Table 1: Definition of the terminology used in the text.**

| Terms                          | Definition                                      |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Repetition of an exercise      | Repetition of ‘one performance’ of a single exercise. |
| ‘Set’ of an exercise           | A ‘set’ indicates group of repetitions performed without stopping. |
| Exercise: rest                 | Ratio of the performance and rest time.         |
| Intervention                   | Either exercise or modality that is used in physiotherapy treatment. |
| Physiotherapist administered intervention | Interventions administered by the physiotherapist (it may be at the clinic – institution-based intervention and at patients’ home-community based intervention). |
| Home exercise intervention or programs | Exercise to be performed by the patients themselves or with the support of caregivers at their home. |
| Priming intervention           | When two interventions are given at a time, the effect of second intervention is improved with the prior intervention. The prior or the first intervention is termed priming intervention. |
| Exercise volume                | Total amount of exercise performed just in a single session. |

**Table 2: Description of the CORRECT components of FITT-CORRECT principle.**

| Components                          | Description                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| C – Combination                     | When combining interventions, there should be established evidence for the combined effect and all of the influencing factors for interventions have to be considered in order to optimize therapeutic outcomes. One also needs to consider the order or sequence of exercises, which influences outcomes. One order of interventions may work positively whereas another may not. Therefore, evidence-based orders have to be followed. The order is usually decided based on the objective of the treatment, assessment of aberrant movement pattern, and the evidence for effectiveness of the selected intervention plan. |
| O – Order of interventions or exercises | Interventions are usually applied repeatedly over time to drive neuroplasticity, tissue adaptation and lasting changes. The total duration described in the FITT principle does not clarify repetition within the sets. Varied number of repetitions yields various outcomes, which must be predetermined based on the objectives and evidence. Once the number of repetitions is determined, then the rest time between the sets, and the number of sets within a session must be decided. Number of repetitions, number of sets (sessions) and exercise-rest ratio can be entertained to meet individualized intervention parameters. Therefore, (repetitions x sets + rest time) x number of sessions = duration of the intervention per day. |
| R – Repetitions                     | A patient can also be prescribed a HEP if the physiotherapist-administered intervention (PAI) has to be reinforced. This will work only if the HEP can be correctly carried out by the client or with the help of the caregiver. We need to make sure that there is high HEP adherence and it should not interfere with the PAI. The HEP parameters have to be tailored as per the evidence. If two exercises do not work well together, there might be interference instead of transference and ultimately a negative outcome instead of positive outcome. |
| R – Rest period between sets (exercise-rest ratio) and between sessions: | Ranasinghe et al., in FITTSBALL principle (4) explained the importance of a cognitive domain using a case series. Winston et al introduced the use of a cognitive domain during an accelerated skill acquisition program in which motivation was one of the key components. Another important component included self-determination; which consists of the self-analysis of ability and outcome, confidence level and self-drive during assessment and intervention (6). In current patient-centered treatment systems, a client’s active involvement in self-analysis and treatment planning is important. The client must be motivated to improve exercise adherence and behavioral changes. Their ability and beliefs as well as limitations must be considered. Intrinsic factors of the client such as motivation, determination and confidence influence the effectiveness of exercise interventions. Client satisfaction with the outcome is a key indicator of a successful intervention. |
| E – Exercise at home (home exercise program (HEP)): | The final component in FITT-CORRECT is the total dose and reevaluation plan. Once all parameters have been decided through clinical reasoning, one must prescribe a total dose to achieve a specific outcome with a corresponding plan for re-evaluation of the intervention program. Re-evaluation allows progression and/or modification of the interventions to address issues that have arisen during the intervention administration and updated patient prognosis. In the FITT-CORRECT principle, the last ‘T’ of FITT has been redefined in such a way that it would represent all types of intervention or exercise or modalities rather than only mode of exercise. |
| C – Cognitive domain               | Ranasinghe et al., in FITTSBALL principle (4) explained the importance of a cognitive domain using a case series. Winston et al introduced the use of a cognitive domain during an accelerated skill acquisition program in which motivation was one of the key components. Another important component included self-determination; which consists of the self-analysis of ability and outcome, confidence level and self-drive during assessment and intervention (6). In current patient-centered treatment systems, a client’s active involvement in self-analysis and treatment planning is important. The client must be motivated to improve exercise adherence and behavioral changes. Their ability and beliefs as well as limitations must be considered. Intrinsic factors of the client such as motivation, determination and confidence influence the effectiveness of exercise interventions. Client satisfaction with the outcome is a key indicator of a successful intervention. |
| T – Total dose and re-evaluation plan | The client must be motivated to improve exercise adherence and behavioral changes. Their ability and beliefs as well as limitations must be considered. Intrinsic factors of the client such as motivation, determination and confidence influence the effectiveness of exercise interventions. Client satisfaction with the outcome is a key indicator of a successful intervention. |
While combining, these interventions, which yield positive
effect and have established evidence, can only be combined
with the consideration of other influencing factors. During
the combination, the order of the interventions makes a
difference. For example, while combining endurance and
strength training, if gaining endurance is the main objective,
endurance training should be performed first. If gaining
strength is the main objective, strengthening exercises
should be performed first [8,9]. The motor preparation
biases visual processing during motor-
visual combination whereas visual events enhance motor
activation during visuo-motor combination [11]. In addition,
congruency versus in-congruency between two interventions
produces completely opposite outcomes. Therefore, having
an appropriate order between combined interventions is of
vital importance [12]. The order of interventions not only
influences the effectiveness, safety and efficacy but also
influence the parameters of each intervention [8,13,14].

Induction of plasticity or long term change in function
requires sufficient repetitions [15]. Research has shown a
range of 3 to 50 repetitions per set of exercises in individually
tailored prescription is successful in producing a change
[16]. Repetitions, however, should not be considered alone. It
also depends on the number of sets and exercise-rest ratio.
For example, one-minute rest between sets may suit a set of
fewer repetitions when compared to a three-minute rest
between sets to accommodate the effort of a higher number
of repetitions [14,17].

If acquisition of skills is to be enhanced, the rest period
should be kept as short as possible [6,7]. If fatigue level is to
be reduced, the rest period has to be relatively longer [7]. The
total intervention duration is calculated based on the number
of repetitions within sets, number of sets, exercise-rest ratio
and number of sessions per day. This total duration per day is
different than the exercise volume used in previous literature
[18]. The time of self-analysis during the intervention is
included within the total duration whereas the time of
outcome assessment should not be included [7].

When therapists administer PAI and provide HEPs,
the interventions should not interfere with each other. As
Kleim and Jones mentioned in their principle, we look for
transference rather than interference effect [15]. If any PAI is
to be performed at home, the treatment should be reproducible.
The HEP should be such that the exercise adherence level is
high and protocol reinforces PAI [16].

The integration of cognitive domain in the evaluation,
analysis, as well as in treatment, not only improves treatment
outcome but also enhances exercise adherence and reinforces
behavioral change [4,6]. Adhikari, et al. in 2018 [7] demon-
strated an enhanced effect of an intervention and significant
improvement in the self-efficacy level by encouraging clients’
active involvement and integrating motivational strategies.
These were evidence to show importance of consideration of
cognitive domain in prescribing exercises.

Therapeutic interventions must be prescribed in terms of
dosage. The total intervention dose should be adjusted
according to the patient’s pathology, relevant medical
conditions, and their individual needs and goals. Other
contextual factors also influence total dose, which is directly
associated with the effect size [19,20]. The total dose should
be devised by specifying the desired therapeutic outcome
for individuals considering all influencing factors. Therapists
further need to consider intervention – response and/or dose
response effect, fatigue and nutritional level, motivation and
ability, family and caregiver's support, resources required
while dealing with each intervention and/or parameter of the
interventions [7,18].

Re-evaluation of the intervention effect must be planned
from the beginning to progress, modify or change the
prescription (either intervention or the parameters) to meet
the evolving needs of the patient. Re-evaluation may be done
during the intervention programs or after certain interval
follow-ups. An exercise progression model given by Broody
and team is the best evidence to progress interventions and/
or parameters [18].

Finally, therapists must consider holistically the patient’s
pathological factors (e.g. lesion type, side, size, location,
severity, duration, comorbidities), personal factors (e.g. age,
body size, gender, habits), and socio-environmental factors
(home and workplace demands and requirements) when
determining intervention prescription [18].

Conclusion

The FITT principle is a foundation principle in EP, which
is still used today. The FITT-CORRECT principle enhances
and integrates many components that were lacking in the
original FITT and other related principles. The FITT-CORRECT
principle assists therapists to work within the evidence based
practice guidelines. Using the FITT-CORRECT requires critical
clinical decision-making that considers patients’ needs,
clinician skill, current evidence and context.

Limitations

Though the updated principle was based on the established
principles and evidence, we conducted just a brief review,
which is a limitation of this study. A validation of the updated
principle is warranted. The effectiveness of the intervention
was evaluated through a case study. The outcome of present
case study would provide preliminary information and
therefore, clinical trials should be conducted to establish
interventions effectiveness for its application in the clinical
practice.
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