Multi-functional nano-electronics constructed using boron phosphide and silicon carbide nanoribbons
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First-principles density functional theory and non-equilibrium Green function calculations provide theoretical support for the promising applications of multi-functional nano-electronics constructed using zigzag boron phosphide (BP) nanoribbons (zBPNRs) and silicon carbide nanoribbons (zSiCNRs). The results indicate that zBPNRs are non-magnetic direct bandgap semiconductors with bandgaps of ~1 eV. Devices constructed using hybrid zSiC-BP-SiC nanoribbon structures are found to exhibit not only significant field-effect characteristics but also tunable negative differential resistance. Moreover, ‘Y’- and ‘A’-shaped nano-structures composed of zBPNRs and zSiCNRs exhibit pronounced spin polarization properties at their edges, suggesting their potential use in spintronic applications. Interestingly, a transverse electric field can convert zBPNRs to non-magnetic indirect bandgap semiconductors, ferrimagnetic semiconductors or half-metals depending on the strength and direction of the field. This study may provide a new path for the exploration of nano-electronics.

NPG Asia Materials (2013) 5, e56; doi:10.1038/am.2013.31; published online 26 July 2013

Keywords: BP nanoribbons; density functional theory; hybrid structure; multi-functional nano-electronics; non-equilibrium Green Function; SiC nanoribbons

INTRODUCTION

Following the discovery of graphene, considerable research interest has been focused on graphene-based nano-electronics because of the peculiar structure and properties of graphene.¹⁻⁴ According to scaling theory,⁵ ultra-thin graphene is capable of effectively suppressing the short-channel effect of field-effect transistors (FETs), which is one of the largest obstacles in maintaining the high performance of conventional FETs at the nanoscale. In addition, the electron mobility of graphene is exceptionally large, enabling fast-speed atomically thin FETs to be fabricated.¹⁻⁴,⁶,⁷ As the zero bandgap of graphene results in very small on–off ratios of graphene FETs and severely constrains its application in logics,²,⁶,⁷ considerable efforts have been devoted to opening a sizeable bandgap for graphene and/or seeking other semiconducting graphene-like materials. The frequently reported advances have primarily focused on preparing graphene nanoribbons (GNRs),⁸⁻¹⁰ chemically decorating graphene¹¹⁻¹² and semiconducting boron nitride sheets, hybrid B-N-C sheets and MoS₂ sheets.¹³⁻¹⁶

In addition to FET applications, some graphene devices have been observed to exhibit negative differential resistance (NDR), which can be used in frequency multipliers, memory and oscillators. Theoretical calculations have shown that GNRs with zigzag, armchair or chiral-shaped edges can exhibit NDR if properly treated, such as through the introduction of dopants, vacancies and so on.¹⁷⁻²⁰ Dragoman et al.²¹ predicted significant NDR effects in graphene barriers in which the electron transport was dominated by a relativistic-like Dirac equation. Specific Ni/graphene/Ni vertical heterojunctions have been found to exhibit both magneto-resistance and NDR.²² Cheraghchi et al.²³ reported that an odd–even-mediated NDR effect emerged in some GNR-carbon chain-GNR junctions. A slight NDR related to the competition between the conduction of electrons and holes has been observed in a three-terminal graphene FET configuration.²⁴

Some evidence for the potential to construct spintronic devices using graphene or other monoatomic layer materials has recently been reported. Theoretical calculations predicted that anti-ferromagnetic semiconducting gNRs might be changed to half-metals by a transverse electric field.²⁵ It has been found that by using a transverse electric field, the bandgaps of non-magnetic semiconducting zigzag boron nitride nanoribbons (zBNNRs) can be tuned and even closed.²⁶,²⁷ Previous investigations have shown that non-magnetic zigzag silicon carbide nanoribbons (zSiCNRs) are semiconductors with very small bandgaps,²⁸,²⁹ while under a transverse electric field, these nanoribbons were observed to convert...
into ferromagnetic metals. Sanvito and coworkers reported that a transverse electric field can direct the non-magnetic semiconducting single-layer armchair MoS$_2$ nanoribbons to become conducting and magnetic, whereas bilayer MoS$_2$ nanoribbons can be changed from indirect bandgap semiconductors to direct bandgap semiconductors. All of these findings provide new directions for reversibly changing the electron transport properties of nano-electronics, including spintronics and optotronics.

Graphene-like materials have been attracting increasing attention because they have significant advantages in low-dimensional scientific research and in nano-device applications. In 2012, Alejandro predicted the existence of boron phosphide (BP) nano-flakes, which exhibit intermediary electronic properties between those of graphene and BN systems. Zigzag BP nanoribbons (zBPNRs) and zSiCNRs share the same crystal structure and may have very similar lattice constants. Moreover, their electronic properties should be different due to the different electronic structures of C, Si, B and P. Therefore, these nanoribbons might be utilized to construct hybrid nano-structures with stable coherent interfaces and multi-functionality. Herein, we propose several types of simple nano-structures consisting of zSiCNRs and zBPNRs for constructing multi-functional nano-electronics, such as planar, ‘Y’- and ‘Δ’-shaped structures. We hypothesize that these nano-structures can exhibit FET characteristics, NDR effects and potential for spintronic applications. Furthermore, we anticipate that different from zBNNRs, zBPNRs can exhibit pronounced spin polarization properties under a transverse electric field.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All calculations were performed within the first-principles density functional theory (DFT) and the non-equilibrium Green function frameworks using the Atomistix ToolKit software package. Local atomic numerical orbitals composed of double-zeta single polarized basis sets together with norm-conserving pseudo potentials were employed. Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof generalized gradient approximations were used to describe the exchange-correlation energy. The mesh cutoff for electrostatic potentials was 75 Ha. Both the HOVB and the LUCB have a noticeable flat component from the hexagonal BP sheet (Figure 1c) revealed that it is a direct bandgap semiconductor with its highest occupied valence band maximum (HOVB) and lowest unoccupied conductance band minimum (LUCB) both located at the K point in the hexagonal Brillouin zone. The Bloch states of the HOVB and the LUCB are π states localized at the P and B atoms, respectively (see the insets of Figure 1a). Moreover, it can be found that the bandgap (0.87 eV) of the hexagonal BP sheet is very suitable for electronic applications.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1a shows the structure of the hexagonal BP sheet. Our calculated B–P bond length was 1.858 Å, which is very close to the previously calculated Si–C bond length (1.787 Å) of the hexagonal SiC sheet, indicating the possibility of constructing hybrid BP-SiC structures with coherent interfaces. The band structure of the hexagonal BP sheet (Figure 1c) revealed that it is a direct bandgap semiconductor with its highest occupied valence band maximum (HOVB) and lowest unoccupied conductance band minimum (LUCB) both located at the K point in the hexagonal Brillouin zone. The Bloch states of the HOVB and the LUCB are π states localized at the P and B atoms, respectively (see the insets of Figure 1a). Moreover, it can be found that the bandgap (0.87 eV) of the hexagonal BP sheet is very suitable for electronic applications.

Figure 1b shows the structure of zBPNRs with hydrogen-passivated edges. These nanoribbons are classified by the number of zigzag BP chains (N) along the y axis and are denoted as NzBPNR. In contrast to zBNNRs with indirect bandgaps, zBPNRs have direct bandgaps. Both the HOVB and the LUCB are located at the K point in the Brillouin zone (Figure 1d). As shown in Figure 1d, both the HOVB and the LUCB have a noticeable flat component from the K point to the Γ point.

A 50-point Monkhorst–Pack k-point sampling was employed to calculate the Brillouin zone integration. The electron temperature was set to 300 K. To avoid interactions between periodic images, all structures were modeled in a supercell with vacuum layers of at least 15 Å between neighboring cells. The geometries of the devices and of the zBPNR crystal structures were optimized until the forces of the atoms were less than 0.05 and 0.01 eVÅ$^{-1}$, respectively. The tolerance for energy convergence was $10^{-7}$ eV. The device current $I_d$ was obtained using the Landauer–Büttiker equation:

$$ I = \frac{2e}{h} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE (T(E,V)f_s(E) - f_d(E)),$$

where $T(E,V)$ represents the quantum mechanical transmission probability for electrons, $f_s(E)$ and $f_d(E)$ denote the Fermi functions of the source and drain electrodes, and $e$ and $h$ are the electron charge and the Planck constant, respectively.

![Figure 1](image_url) Figure 1 Structures of the hexagonal boron phosphate (BP) sheet (a) and the zigzag boron phosphate nanoribbon (zBPNRs) with edges passivated by hydrogen (b). The arrows and the dashed box denote the unit cell. (c), (d) are the band structures of the BP sheet and the GzBPNR, respectively.
Previous studies have shown that the 6zSiCNR is a ferrimagnetic semiconductor with a negligible bandgap. In addition, the non-magnetic state of the 6zSiCNR is also semiconducting with a very small bandgap. The energy difference between the non-magnetic and ferrimagnetic states of the 6zSiCNR is very small. This spin-polarized state would become unstable under external fields. Therefore, for practical purposes, a spin-unpolarized calculation was employed to investigate the electron transport properties of the planar hybrid zSiC-BP-SiC nanoribbon devices. Figure 3a presents a schematic of such a hybrid device. The dashed boxes denote the supercells of the source and drain electrodes. The scattering region, consisting of a hybrid zSiC-BP-SiC structure, was located between these two electrodes. A back-gate electrode (denoted by the gray box) can be added to this device to construct a FET. The current-voltage ($I_d-V_d$) curves of this device (with no back gate) are shown in Figure 3b. Owing to the discrete energy levels of the scattering region, an obvious non-linear feature appeared. Moreover, a significant conductance gap appeared in the conductance curve, which resulted from the semiconducting feature of the zBPNR. Interestingly, this two-probe device exhibited significant NDR asymmetrical located at the $V_d$ of $-0.8$ and $1V$. This asymmetry was clearly induced by the asymmetric structure of the scattering region. Further analysis revealed that the NDR arises from the change in the SiC–BP coupling under various $V_d$.

To understand the mechanism underlying the electron transport properties of this two-probe device, its transmission spectrum and local density of states (LDOS) at different $V_d$ were calculated (Figure 4). The LDOS was averaged in the $x$–$y$ plane and colored with red and blue, denoting large and small density areas, respectively. The reference energy was set as the Fermi energy ($E_F$) of the electrodes at the $V_d$ of $0 V$. When the $V_d$ was $0 V$, the corresponding transmission spectrum displayed a clear transmission energy gap of $\sim 0.7 eV$ around the $E_F$ (Figure 4a), which originated from the semiconducting behavior of the zBPNR, suggesting that such hybrid devices have potential applications in FETs. In addition, there was a very small transmission peak at the $E_F$ as shown in the inset in Figure 4a, which has a crucial role in the abovementioned NDR. This small transmission peak was induced by the quantum tunneling effect of electrons between the two SiCNRs located at the two sides of the zBPNR. As indicated from the LDOS in Figure 4d, the DOS at the $E_F$ of the electrodes at $E_F$ mainly distributed in the SiCNR and the SiC-BP interfaces, and there was no electron state at the zBPNR region. Therefore, electrons at the $E_F$ were only able to penetrate the scattering region via the tunneling effect. As the $V_d$ decreased from 0 to $-0.8 V$, the chemical potential of the left (right) electrode $\mu_1$ ($\mu_2$) decreased (elevated) by 0.4 eV (as shown in Figure 4b). Meanwhile, the transmission of electrons via the tunneling effect at $\pm 0.4 eV$ was enhanced, as reflected by the LDOS in Figure 4e. Such changes of the transmission spectrum in the $\mu_1$--$\mu_2$ energy window led to an exponential increase of $I_d$ (see the inset in Figure 3b). When $V_d$ was further decreased, the electron transmission mechanism began to transition from pure tunneling to resonant tunneling through the tails of the highest occupied molecular orbital and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital of the zBPNR; this transition resulted in a decrease of the electron transmission in the $\mu_1$--$\mu_2$ energy window and thus in the appearance of NDR (Figures 4c and f). According to the above analysis, we can hypothesize that it is possible to control the tunneling effect and subsequently the NDR effect through changing the length of the zBPNR. This hypothesis was confirmed by Figure 3c, which shows the $I_d-V_d$ curve of a zSiC–BP–SiC two-probe device with a zBPNR consisting of six periodic units. Clearly, due to the short zBPNR,
the tunneling current was considerably stronger than the one discussed above. More importantly, this two-probe device also exhibited significant NDR, which was asymmetrically located at $V \pm 0.9$ V, indicating that the NDR effect of such zSiC-BP-SiC devices is controllable. In fact, a similar NDR has been observed in C$_{60}$-based structures, but those structures are much more complicated than the ones described here. In addition, those structures may become unstable due to sophisticated interface coupling.

The electron transport properties of a diode-like structure constructed using the zBP-SiC nanoribbon were also studied. Figure 5a shows the $I_d$–$V_d$ curve of a 5zBP-SiC two-probe device. Owing to the semiconducting feature of the zBPNRs, there was a clear conductance gap of $\sim 1$ V in the $I_d$–$V_d$ curve of the 5zBP-SiC two-probe device. Moreover, this two-probe device exhibited a slight NDR when the applied bias was $\sim \pm$ 1 V. As inferred from the transmission spectra and the LDOS shown in Figure 6, such NDR characteristics also arise from the change in coupling between the zBPNR and the zSiCNR under different applied biases. Comparing Figures 6d with e reveals that applying a $-0.9$ V bias lowers (elevates) the chemical potential of the left (right) electrode. Consequently, electrons flow through the highest occupied molecular orbital and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital of the zSiCNR in the scattering region (as shown in Figure 6e), leading to the increase of the current. However, when

---

**Figure 3** (a) Schematic of a zSiC-BP-SiC nanoribbon transistor. (b) $I_d$–$V_d$ and conductance curves of the zSiC-BP-SiC two-probe device. The inset shows the Ig$\tilde{I}$–V$\tilde{I}$ curve. (c) $I_d$–$V_d$ curve of a zSiC-BP-SiC two-probe device with a shorter zigzag boron phosphide nanoribbon (zBPNR) than that shown in (b).

**Figure 4** Transmission spectra (a), (b) and (c), and local density of states (d), (e) and (f) of the two-probe device shown in Figure 3a at $V_d$ of 0, $-0.8$ and $-1.0$ V, respectively.
the bias was decreased to $-1.0 \text{ V}$, the contribution of the highest occupied molecular orbital of the $z$SiCNR to the current was significantly abated, which caused the current to decrease (Figures 6c and f). The $I_d-V_d$ curve of the 6zBP-SiC two-probe device (shown in Figure 5b) demonstrates that this type of NDR would weaken or disappear as the width of the ribbon increases.

To investigate the importance of the $z$BPNRs in the two types of devices mentioned above, the electron transport properties of folded 4zSiCNRs were investigated. As shown in the insets in Figure 7, the electrodes of these devices were composed of ideal 4zSiCNRs and the scattering regions were composed of folded 4zSiCNRs, with the overlapping area decreasing from device a to d. The transmission spectra presented in Figure 7 indicate that none of these devices exhibited electron transmission energy gaps near the Fermi energy. Such results are reasonable because the non-magnetic 4zSiCNR is a narrow gap semiconductor. Therefore, it is very difficult to construct nano-electronics using only planar 4zSiCNR structures.

Apart from the planar hybrid structures, 2zSiCNRs and 2BPNRs easily form hybrid structures with other shapes. For instance, Figure 8 presents schematic illustrations of two types of 'Y'-shaped hetero-structures. These 'Y'-shaped structures consist of two 4zSiCNRs and one 4zBPNR with two Si edges connected to one P edge. In addition, the P edge of the 4zBPNR in the stable tri-SiC-BP exhibited a very slight distortion. According to a previous study on the tri-wing GNR, these hybrid structures should be considerably stable against external disturbances. More importantly, due to the hybridization among the three wings, these 'Y'-shaped hetero-structures may exhibit intriguing electronic properties.

Figure 9 shows the electronic structure of the tri-SiC structure. As indicated from the total density of states (TDOS) (as shown in Figure 9e), together with the normalized spin density (Figure 9a), the electronic density, the tri-SiC is a ferrimagnetic metal with its ferrimagnetic electrons localized at the H-passivated edges of each wing. In addition, wings 1 and 2 of the tri-SiC are ferromagnetically coupled, but they are anti-ferromagnetically coupled with wing 3. This result can be further confirmed by the density of states projected on each
wing (PDOS) of the tri-SiC (Figure 9e). Therefore, the total magnetic moment of the tri-SiC is only 0.171 μB per unit cell. It is expected that if the three wings are coupled at the Si or C edges, the total magnetic moment per unit cell would be tripled. Interestingly, the central region of the tri-SiC was almost non-magnetic, which is in contrast to the tri-wing GNR.40 In the band structure (as shown in Figure 9d), there were two flat bands at ~0.1 eV above the Fermi energy level for spin-up and spin-down species. The corresponding Bloch states at the Γ point (as shown in Figures 9b and c) indicated that only the spin-up flat band is induced by the hybridization of three wings and that the spin-down flat band actually resulted from the p states of the Si atoms at the Si edge of wing 3. This is an additional property that differs from the tri-wing GNR.40

Figure 10 shows that the tri-SiC-BP structure was also ferrimagnetic and metallic. However, the tri-SiC-BP structure exhibited rather different electronic properties compared with the tri-SiC structure. First, in the tri-SiC-BP structure, only the two zSiCNR wings exhibited ferrimagnetic properties, with the corresponding electrons localized at the H-passivated edges, whereas the zBPNR wing was almost non-magnetic (as shown in Figures 10a and c), leading to a 0.411-μB total magnetic moment per unit cell, which is expectedly comparable with the double value of the tri-SiC. Moreover, although two flat bands also appeared at ~0.1 eV above the Fermi energy level for the spin-up and spin-down species (see Figure 10d), both of these bands were primarily composed of Si-B σ bonds and the p states of the P atoms localized at the central region of the tri-SiC-BP (as shown in Figures 10b and c).

As both the tri-SiC and the tri-SiC-BP exhibit peculiar electronic properties, exploring their possible applications in electronic devices is of importance. Figures 11a and b show the electron transmission spectra of the tri-SiC and tri-SiC-BP two-probe devices, respectively. It can be observed that these transmission spectra display a step-like shape because there are no electron scattering sources. These devices clearly exhibited pronounced spin polarization characteristics near the Fermi level. For the tri-SiC two-probe device, its spin polarization ratio around the Fermi level was 80%, indicating that 80% of the electrons are spin-down under a small bias. Further analysis revealed that this ratio was even higher around the H-passivated edges. The spin polarization ratio around the Fermi level of the tri-SiC-BP two-probe device was 75%. Similarly, this ratio became much higher around the two H-passivated C edges. In addition, due to the almost
non-magnetic feature behavior of the zBPNR wing, electrons that were transported through this region exhibited little spin polarization.

It is well known that nanoribbons can be zipped into nanotubes. As demonstrated above, the electronic properties of zSiCNR- and zBPNR-based structures are critically dependent on their edge states. If these nanoribbons are zipped into nanotubes, the edge states should disappear and consequently lead to different properties. Figures 12a and d show the structures of an armchair SiC nanotube (SiCNT) and an armchair
SiC-BP nanotube (SiC-BPNT), respectively. The SiCNT was composed of a 12zSiCNR, whereas the SiC-BPNT was constructed from an 8zSiCNR and a 4zBPNR. As indicated from the band structure (as shown in Figure 12c) of the SiCNT, this nanotube was a non-magnetic indirect bandgap (\(E_g \approx 2.0\,\text{eV}\)) semiconductor with its HOVBM (LUCBM) distributed at the C (Si) atoms (as shown in Figures 12a and b), in contrast to the zSiCNRs.28,29 Interestingly, substituting one-third of the SiCNT with a 4zBPNR led to metallic behavior and two crossing bands near the Fermi energy level of the SiC-BPNT (Figure 12f). Figures 12d and e reveal that these two bands are primarily composed of the SiC-BP boundary states. Therefore, hybrid SiC-BP structures could be used to tune the properties of SiCNTs.

Notably, the ‘A’-shaped SiC tube exhibited a much smaller bandgap (0.33 eV, shown in Figure 12i) than the SiCNT. The HOVBM of the ‘A’-shaped SiC tube distributed at the C atoms near one vertex of the triangle (as shown in Figure 12g), and the LUCBM was localized at the C and Si atoms at three vertices (as shown in Figure 12h). Unlike the ‘A’-shaped SiC tube, the ‘A’-shaped SiC-BP tube was ferromagnetically metallic with a magnetic moment of 0.569 \(\mu_B\) per unit cell. As implied from the normalized spin density (as shown in Figure 12i), the spin polarization primarily occurred at the \(\Gamma\)-Z-P vertex of the triangle. In addition, in the band structure (as shown in Figure 12i), there was a spin-down flat band near the Fermi energy. The corresponding Bloch state at the \(\Gamma\) point near the Fermi energy level (as shown in Figure 12j), the spin polarization primarily occurred at the C-P boundary states. Therefore, such hybrid SiC-BPNTs may have potential applications in spintronics, whereas pure SiC nanotubes can be used in logics.

Son et al.25 found that transverse electric fields can cause zGNRs to transition into half-metals because of the electrostatic potential difference and the anti-ferromagnetic coupling between the two edges. zBPNRs have the same crystal structure as zGNRs; therefore, determining whether zBPNRs also exhibit similar properties as zGNRs is of interest. As shown in Figures 13a, a transverse electric field was applied to the 8zBPNR by using a periodic open-circuit split-gate perpendicular to the ribbon axis in the unit cell.25 Figure 13b shows the variation in the bandgap of the 8zBPNR as a function of the field strength. (To take free-electron-like states above the Fermi level into consideration, ghost orbitals are employed in this part of calculation.) Apparently, owing to the broken symmetry of the zBPNRs, the changes in the bandgap of the 8zBPNR differed in different field directions. For the positive direction, the \(E_g\) decreased monotonously and non-linearly as the field strength increased from 0 to 1.67 eV nm\(^{-1}\). Notably, the spin-up and spin-down bandgaps began to split when the field strength exceeded 1.67 eV nm\(^{-1}\), indicating that the 8zBPNR transitioned from a non-magnetic semiconductor to a half-metal. Under the negative electric field, the \(E_g\) increased with the field strength, whereas in the presence of a strong field, it first decreased quadratically and then linearly. Such changes of the bandgap of the 8zBPNR under the transverse electric field reflect the characteristics of both zGNRs and zBNNRs.25–27

To elucidate the novel phenomena described above, the change in the electronic structure of the 8zBPNR under various field strengths was investigated. Figure 13c shows the change in the Mulliken population of the B (P) atoms at the B (P) edge. Clearly, as the field strength increased, the Mulliken population of the B (P) atoms exhibited a significant increase (decrease), suggesting that the change in the electronic structure of the 8zBPNR under the positive transverse electric field was mediated by the transfer of electrons between P and B edge atoms. When the field strength was increased.
from 0 to 1.67 V·nm⁻¹, the electrostatic potential at the P (B) edge of the ribbon increased (lowered), resulting in the depletion (accumulation) of electrons at the P (B) edge. The change in the electrostatic potential at the ribbon edges decreased the bandgap, whereas the enhanced transfer of electrons from the P to the B atoms strengthened the spontaneously formed electric field and thus increased the bandgap. The combined result of the two co-existing factors led to the decrease of the bandgap.

Additionally, as shown in Figure 15a, the 8zBPNR changed from a non-magnetic direct bandgap semiconductor to a non-magnetic indirect bandgap semiconductor, with its HOVBM (LUCBM) located at the K_M (K_Z) point localized at the P (B) atoms of the P (B) edge. When the strength of the transverse electric field was further increased (> 1.67 V·nm⁻¹), the 8zBPNR began to change to a ferrimagnetic semiconductor and eventually became half-metallic. The spin-up HOVBM (LUCBM) of the 8zBPNR remained at the P (B) atoms of the P (B) edge, whereas the spin-down HOVBM (LUCBM) gradually distributed at the B (P) atoms of the P (B) edge (as shown in Figure 15b).

Figure 14 indicates that as the field strength increased, the energy of the spin-up (down) p electrons of the P atoms decreased (increased). In contrast, the energy of the spin-up (down) p electrons of the B atoms increased (decreased). Consequently, when the field strength was 2.67 V·nm⁻¹, the energy of the spin-down p electrons of the P atoms began to exceed that of the B atoms, making the spin-down HOVBM (LUCBM) of the 8zBPNR localize at the B (P) atoms. This bandgap splitting differs from that of anti-ferromagnetic zGNRs, which originates from the electrostatic potential difference between two edges and is purely induced by the transverse electric field.\(^{25}\)

Under the negative transverse electric field, the electrostatic potentials at the B and P edges of the 8zBPNR were increased and decreased, respectively, suppressing the transfer of electrons from the P to the B atoms. As the field strength increased, the Bloch state of the HOVBM (LUCBM) localized at the P (B) edge of the 8zBPNR tended to move to the opposite site. As illustrated in Figure 15c, the Bloch state of the HOVBM (LUCBM) distributed at the P (B) atoms throughout the ribbon when the field strength was −0.67 V·nm⁻¹.

When the field strength was increased to −3.33 V·nm⁻¹, the Bloch state of the HOVBM (LUCBM) was primarily localized at the P (B) atoms at the B (P) edge of the 8zBPNR (as shown in Figure 15d). Consequently, the bandgap of the 8zBPNR first increased and then decreased as the negative transverse electric field strengthened. In addition, after the Bloch state of the HOVBM (LUCBM) reached the B (P) edge of the 8zBPNR, the bandgap began to decrease linearly, which was primarily dominated by the electrostatic potential difference between the B and P edges. Furthermore, during this process, the 8zBPNR exhibited a change from a direct to an indirect bandgap. Compared with zBNNRs and zGNRs, the zBPNRs exhibited versatile responses to the transverse electric field. This property may make zBPNRs promising building blocks for multi-functional nano-electronics.

Note that the free-electron-like states above the Fermi energy level may have a profound effect on the electronic structure of zBPNRs under strong transverse electric fields. From the change in the band structure of the 8zBPNR shown in Figure 15, it can be predicted that if the transverse electric field is strong enough, the free-electron-like states would approach the Fermi energy and cause both the spin-up and the spin-down bandgaps to close. This prediction was verified by the variation in the bandgap variation of the 6zBPNR shown in Figure 13d, where the free-electron-like states began to qualitatively change the electronic structure of the 6zBPNR at the field strength of −3.0 and 4.0 V·nm⁻¹. N atoms have the same valence electrons as P atoms, implying that zBNNRs may have similar properties to zBPNRs. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the spin-polarized electronic structures of zBNNRs to compare with the spin-unpolarized DFT calculations performed by Park and Louie\(^{26}\) and Zhang and Guo.\(^{27}\) Figures 16a and b show the spin-polarized band structures of the 8zBNNR under 3.67 and 4.67 V·nm⁻¹. In contrast to the 8zBPNR, the free-electron-like states in the 8zBNNR move considerably faster.
than its edge states, changing the 8zBNNR to be metallic. This finding is consistent with Park and Louie’s and Zhang and Guo’s results. The 8zBNNR actually exhibited magnetic properties under a field strength of 3.67 and 4.67 V nm⁻¹, but this property is too weak to be applicable. Therefore, zBPNRs may have advantages over zBNNRs in spintronic applications. The 10zBNNR, which is wider than the 8zBNNR, was studied for further verification. As shown in Figures 16c and d, the 10zBNNR responded to the transverse electric field in the same way as the 8zBNNR, except for the weaker field strength required because its ribbon width was wider than that of the 8zBNNR.

CONCLUSION

The electronic properties and potential applications of nano-structures constructed using zBPNRs and zSiCNRs were revealed using first-principles DFT and non-equilibrium Green function calculations. zBPNRs were found to be non-magnetic direct bandgap semiconductors with bandgaps of ~1 eV. Owing to the transfer of electrons from the P to the B atoms and to the edge states, the bandgaps of the zBPNRs decreased to levels below that of the hexagonal BP sheet as the ribbon width increased. A hybrid structure composed of a zBPNR connected between two zSiCNRs was proposed for constructing multi-functional nano-devices. For two-probe zSiC-BP-SiC devices, NDR resulting from the change of SiC-BP coupling under an applied bias was observed, and this NDR can be modulated by changing the zBPNR length. In addition, significant electron transmission energy gaps of ~1 eV were observed in these hybrid structures. We also constructed ‘Y’-, ‘O’- and ‘Δ’-shaped nano-structures using zSiCNRs and zBPNRs. The ‘Y’- and ‘Δ’-shaped structures were observed to exhibit significant spin polarization characteristics at the edges, making them potential building blocks for spintronics. Moreover, a transverse electric field can induce the zBPNRs to change from non-magnetic direct bandgap semiconductors to non-magnetic indirect bandgap semiconductors, ferrimagnetic semiconductors or half-metals, depending on the strength and direction of the field. The results of this study are applicable in designing multi-functional nano-electronics constructed from hybrid SiC-BP nano-structures and in understanding their electron transport properties.
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