Stress induces the assembly of RNA granules in the chloroplast of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*
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Eukaryotic cells under stress repress translation and localize these messenger RNAs (mRNAs) to cytoplasmic RNA granules. We show that specific stress stimuli induce the assembly of RNA granules in an organelle with bacterial ancestry, the chloroplast of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. These chloroplast stress granules (cpSGs) form during oxidative stress and disassemble during recovery from stress. Like mammalian stress granules, cpSGs contain poly(A)-binding protein and the small, but not the large, ribosomal subunit. In addition, mRNAs are in continuous flux between polysomes and cpSGs during stress. Localization of cpSGs within the pyrenoid reveals that this chloroplast compartment functions in this stress response. The large subunit of ribulosebisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase also assembles into cpSGs and is known to bind mRNAs during oxidative stress, raising the possibility that it plays a role in cpSG assembly. This discovery within such an organelle suggests that mRNA localization to granules during stress is a more general phenomenon than currently realized.

Introduction

Diverse eukaryotic cells under specific stress conditions repress the translation of many mRNAs and localize them to cytoplasmic RNA granules (Parker and Sheth, 2007; for review see Anderson and Kedersha, 2008). A particular class of these RNA granules in plants and mammals, the stress granules (SGs), form under stress caused by reactive oxygen species, UV light, high temperature, energy deprivation, and osmotic shock. They contain the small ribosomal subunit, translation initiation factors, poly(A)-binding protein (PABP), and other RNA-binding proteins. When SGs were first identified in plant cells, they were proposed to sequester translationally repressed mRNAs until the restoration of homeostasis (Nover et al., 1989). Mammalian SGs were shown subsequently to be a dynamic compartment in which mRNAs from disassembled polysomes are rapidly routed either back to polysomes, to processing bodies for degradation, or to RNP for storage (Kedersha et al., 2000, 2005).

This study was initiated by our unexpected identification of RNA granules in the chloroplast of the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* under a stress condition caused by exposure to high intensity light. We named them chloroplast SGs (cpSGs) because they are analogous to SGs (as reported here). In contrast to the nucleocytoplasmic genetic systems in which RNA granules have been found, chloroplast genomes are expressed by bacterial mechanisms. Chloroplast ribosomes have the sedimentation coefficient of bacterial ribosomes (70S), nearly a full complement of bacterial ribosomal proteins, and they use homologues of bacterial general translation factors (Beligni et al., 2004). Here we used fluorescence confocal microscopy and pharmacological approaches to characterize the composition of cpSGs, the stress conditions that induce their assembly, their location within the chloroplast, the mechanisms underlying their assembly, and the nature of the mRNAs that localize to them.

Results and discussion

Chloroplast mRNAs localize to cpSGs in cells under high light stress

For each of the four chloroplast mRNAs examined by FISH and confocal microscopy, a fraction localized in cpSGs in most cells that were cultured under moderate intensity light and then exposed to high intensity light for 10 min (Fig. 1 B and see Fig. 2). This localization pattern was seen in a minority of cells maintained under moderate light. These chloroplast mRNAs encode subunits of photosystem II (PS II; psbA and psbC), photosystem I
Although stable chloroplast mRNAs are not polyadenylated, genetic and biochemical evidence support a role of cPABP in chloroplast translation involving its binding to internal mRNA sequences (Yohn et al., 1998; Beligni et al., 2004). Thus, cpSGs resemble SGs in their composition of these translation components.

Specific stress conditions induce mRNA localization to cpSGs

To analyze factors that induce mRNA localization to cpSGs, cells were exposed to different stresses and analyzed for the psbA mRNA distribution. Because high light exposure induces oxidative stress and damage to PS II (Murata et al., 2007), conditions specific to each of these stresses were tested. When oxidative stress was induced by treatment with hydrogen peroxide or rose bengal (a photosensitizer of singlet oxygen production; Fischer et al., 2004), higher percentages of cells with cpSGs were observed relative to nontreated cells (Fig. 2). In contrast, inhibition of PS II by 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea (DCMU) had no significant effect. Therefore, high light causes mRNA localization to cpSGs by inducing oxidative stress and not by damaging PS II.

Other results suggest that energy deprivation also induces mRNA localization to cpSGs. First, exposure to the proton (psaA), and ribulosebisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco; rbcL). This localization was quantified by determining the mean ratio of the fluorescence densities of each FISH signal in cpSGs versus in the chloroplast (n ≥ 20 cells for each mRNA). This analysis revealed that each of these mRNAs is concentrated severalfold in cpSGs (Fig. 1 C).

Figure 1. cpSGs resemble cytoplasmic SGs in their composition of translation components.

(A) An illustration based on the uppermost cell in B shows the chloroplast with cpSGs (yellow), the envelope (orange), the region with the aqueous stroma and thylakoid vesicles (green), and the pyrenoid (brown), surrounded by a starch sheath (white). Also shown are the cytosolic compartments (gray), the nucleus (N), and the flagella. (B) In cells under high light stress (HL), cpSGs had strong FISH signals from the mRNAs of psbA, psbC, rbcL, and psaA. cpSGs were not seen in most cells under the nonstress condition of moderate light (ML). (C) Bar heights indicate a mean signal density in cpSGs standardized to the signal density of the chloroplast for the FISH signals from the mRNAs and a protein of the small ribosomal subunit (S-21), two proteins of the large ribosomal subunit (L2 and L12), and cPABP. Error bars represent two SEM. A value substantially greater than one (dotted line) represents localization in cpSGs. (D) cpSGs revealed by the psbA FISH signal immunolabeled S-21, but only weakly for L12. cpSGs also showed strong immunofluorescence signal of cPABP. Arrows in B and D indicate cpSGs. The micrographs show 0.2-μm optical sections. Bars, 1 μm. For each experiment, n ≥ 20 cells.
ionophore carbonylcyanide-p-trifluoromethoxyphenylhydrazone (FCCP), which inhibits ATP synthesis in the chloroplasts and mitochondria, elevated the percentage of cells with cpSGs by more than 11-fold (Fig. 2). Second, a threefold increase occurred during a 2-h incubation in the dark, a condition that is unlikely to be associated with a stress condition apart from energy deprivation caused by the lack of photosynthesis. High light exposure of 2-h dark-adapted cells had little effect, possibly because they lacked polysomal psbA mRNAs for localization to cpSGs (see section mRNAs from disassembled polysomes localize to cpSGs; Trebitsh et al., 2000). cpSGs were not described in an earlier paper, which examined dark-adapted cells under high light stress (Uniacke and Zerges, 2007). cpSG formation was also induced by exposure to UV light or phosphate deprivation. Heat shock had no effect and osmotic shock abolished cpSGs in the minority of cells that have them under moderate light (Fig. 2). Therefore, cpSGs form under specific stress conditions and the underlying inducing factors and signaling pathways remain to be determined.

**cpSGs are located at the internal perimeter of the pyrenoid**

To characterize the location of cpSGs, we used marker proteins for specific chloroplast compartments. cpSGs were detected only in the vicinity of the pyrenoid. This spherical compartment in the chloroplasts of most algae provides a high CO₂/O₂ ratio to favor the carboxylase activity of Rubisco in the Calvin cycle (Michael et al., 1991). In cells from the high light-stressed condition that were immunoprobed for the Rubisco holoenzyme to reveal the pyrenoid and FISH probed for the psbA mRNA, all cpSGs were located at the internal perimeter of the pyrenoid (Fig. 3 A). However, cpSGs were within patches of immunofluorescence from a marker protein for the chloroplast stroma HSP70B, where it was not enriched relative to its mean signal density in the chloroplast (Fig. 1 C and Fig. 3 B; Liu et al., 2005). Together, these results suggest that cpSGs are in pockets of stroma within the pyrenoid. Therefore, we considered whether cpSGs are within the opening of the membranous tubules that have been seen by EM to extend into the pyrenoid (Ohad et al., 1967). Consistent with this possibility,
we observed cpSGs at the termini of what appeared to be pyrenoid tubules with HSP70B in rare images that longitudinally sectioned them (Fig. 3 B).

We proposed previously that discrete regions within the chloroplast, called T zones, house early steps of translation for de novo PS II assembly, based on the rapid colocalization therein of the \textit{psbA} and \textit{psbC} mRNAs and both subunits of the chloroplast ribosome specifically under conditions of de novo PS II assembly (Uniacke and Zerges, 2007). As T zones are near the pyrenoid, we compared their location with respect to cpSGs (see Materials and methods). As seen in merged images of the fluorescence signals from the \textit{psbA} mRNA and L2 (Fig. 3 C), T zones (yellow) are distinct from cpSGs (green). Moreover, certain cpSGs adjoined a T zone, suggesting a functional relationship between these compartments.

**A model for cpSG assembly involving the large subunit of Rubisco (LSU)**

A key difference between cpSGs and SGs are their factors for mRNA localization and assembly. SG assembly involves the self-aggregation of the RNA-binding proteins TIA-1 and TIAR (Anderson and Kedersha, 2008). Homologues were not identified in Basic Local Alignment Search Tool searches of the \textit{C. reinhardtii} genome. However, a candidate cpSG assembly factor was suggested by our review of previous reports that \textit{C. reinhardtii} cells under oxidative stress disassemble Rubisco into its constituent LSUs and small subunits (SSUs), wherein the oxidized LSU nonspecifically binds chloroplast mRNAs and forms large particles (Yosef et al., 2004; Knopf and Shapira, 2005; Cohen et al., 2006). These authors proposed a role of LSU in the repression of the \textit{rbcL} translation. In addition, immunogold EM revealed a particulate form of Rubisco at the internal periphery of the pyrenoid (Suss et al., 1995). Although this was proposed to function in the Calvin cycle, it may have been LSU in cpSGs.

To determine whether cpSG assembly involves the aggregation of LSU bound to chloroplast mRNAs (Fig. 4 A), we asked whether LSU, but not SSU, localizes to cpSGs. Indeed, when cells under high light stress were immunoprobbed with antisera specific to each of these subunits, most of the LSU signal within the pyrenoid was in cpSGs, whereas the SSU signal remained dispersed (Fig. 4 B). Quantification of the mean signal densities in cpSGs, relative to the entire pyrenoid, confirmed that LSU localizes to cpSGs, whereas SSU does not (Fig. 4 C). Therefore, LSU could be an mRNA-binding factor in cpSG assembly, analogous to TIA-1 and TIAR in the assembly of SGs in mammalian cells (Anderson and Kedersha, 2008). Different assembly mechanisms probably would be involved because LSU lacks amino acid sequence similarity to the Q-rich prionlike domains in proteins that nucleate SG assembly (for review see Anderson and Kedersha, 2008).

**mRNAs from disassembled polysomes localize to cpSGs**

We addressed the nature of the mRNAs that localize to cpSGs. Cytoplasmic SGs contain mRNAs that are liberated by the disassembly of their polysomes resulting from translational repression, but not mRNAs that are highly translated during stress (Kedersha and Anderson, 2002; Stohr et al., 2006). There is evidence for translational repression of the \textit{rbcL} and \textit{psbC} mRNAs during high light stress (Cohen et al., 2006; Uniacke and Zerges, 2007). Although it is well known that high light stress activates \textit{psbA} translation for the repair of photodamaged PS II complexes (Adir et al., 1990), a distinct \textit{psbA} mRNA pool in T zones may become translationally repressed (Uniacke and Zerges, 2007). Although these results are consistent with the localization of mRNAs from disassembled polysomes to cpSGs, the following experiments were required to answer this question.

In mammalian cells, evidence that SGs receive mRNAs from disassembled polysomes was provided by studies involving pharmacological inhibition of translation. SG assembly was prevented by inhibitors of translation elongation, which trap mRNAs...
on polysomes. Similarly, treatment of C. reinhardtii cells with chloramphenicol, an inhibitor of translation elongation in the chloroplast, prevented cpSG assembly when oxidative stress was induced subsequently by rose bengal (Fig. 5 A). Moreover, under these conditions, LSU was not detected in cpSGs, suggesting that the mRNAs released by polysome disassembly are required either to trigger LSU aggregation or to serve as a structural component of cpSGs (unpublished data; n = 20).

In mammalian cells under nonstress conditions, SGs formed when mRNAs were liberated from polysomes by translation initiation inhibitors (Kedersha et al., 2000; Mazroui et al., 2006). However, if cpSG formation involves stress-induced Rubisco disassembly and aggregation of LSU, mRNAs released from polysomes in the absence of stress should not localize to cpSGs. Alternatively, if RNA-binding active LSU or any other protein that localizes mRNAs to cpSGs is always available, mRNAs released from polysomes under nonstress conditions should localize to cpSGs. Therefore, polysomal disassembly in the chloroplast was induced by lincomycin or puromycin, which inhibit translation initiation and induce premature termination, respectively. In the absence of inhibitor under these conditions (see Materials and methods), 10% of cells had cpSGs (Fig. 5 A). In the presence of either inhibitor, 15% of the cells had cpSGs, a marginal increase compared with the effects of most stress conditions (Fig. 2). Results of a positive control experiment revealed that most of these cells could form cpSGs upon exposure to hydrogen peroxide. Therefore, in addition to mRNAs from polysome disassembly, cpSG assembly requires a stress condition, e.g., to induce Rubisco disassembly and activate LSU for RNA binding (Fig. 4 A).

mRNA flux occurs between cpSGs and polysomes

In mammalian cells, mRNA flux between SGs and polysomes was demonstrated, in part, by the rapid disappearance of SGs when mRNAs were trapped in the ribosome-bound pool by inhibitors of translation elongation during sustained oxidative stress (Kedersha et al., 2000). Similar mRNA dynamics appear to occur in the C. reinhardtii chloroplast. First, during sustained oxidative stress induced by rose bengal, the 80% of cells that had formed cpSGs lost them during a 10-min treatment with chloramphenicol, an inhibitor of elongation by chloroplast ribosomes (Fig. 5 A). Second, chloramphenicol dramatically accelerated the disappearance of cpSGs during recovery from oxidative stress (Fig. 5 B). Third, lincomycin and puromycin prevented cpSG disappearance within 180 min of recovery from stress, suggesting that mRNAs must be engaged by translating ribosomes to leave cpSGs. These inhibitors also initially enhanced the percentage of cells with cpSGs, presumably because they released mRNAs from polysomes in the minority of cells that had not done so in response to oxidative stress (Fig. 5 B). Thus, like mammalian SGs, cpSGs do not sequester mRNAs during stress. Rather, mRNAs are in continuous flux between polysomes and cpSGs (Fig. 4 A). Such mRNA dynamics could be facilitated by the proximity of cpSGs to T zones, where the psbA and psbC mRNAs are translated (Fig. 3 C; Uniacke and Zerges, 2007).

In conclusion, our discovery of cpSGs reveals a novel chloroplast stress response and, to our knowledge, the first example of a stress-induced RNA granule in a bacterial lineage or organellar genetic system. The location of cpSGs suggests that the pyrenoid provides an environment depleted of reactive oxygen species, which are produced during stress throughout the rest of the chloroplast and known to damage RNA (Nishiyama et al., 2006). Our results raise the possibility of a novel function of Rubisco LSU as an mRNA-localizing and assembly factor of cpSGs. The RNA-binding activity of LSU and its activation by oxidizing conditions are conserved in higher plants, suggesting that we have identified a general chloroplast stress response (Cohen et al., 2006). It remains to be determined whether cpSGs and SGs evolved from a common ancestral RNA granule or by convergent evolution from distinct origins in response to general requirements of mRNAs released from polysomes during stress. In either case, a comprehensive understanding of cpSGs should elucidate these requirements and the molecular mechanisms that fulfill them in chloroplasts.

Materials and methods

C. reinhardtii culture conditions

Strain CC-503 was cultured in high salt minimal medium (Sueoka, 1960) to a cell density of 2–2.5 x 10^6 cells/ml at 24°C under a light intensity of 150 μE m^-2 s^-1 and with orbital shaking. High light (2,000 μE m^-2 s^-1) was generated by a slide projector (Kodak), to which small (2–30-ml) cultures were exposed at a distance of 10 cm for 10 min with manual shaking. Dark adaption was a 2-h incubation of liquid cultures wrapped with two layers of aluminum foil on an orbital shaker. Lincomycin and chloramphenicol were added to a final concentration of 200 μg/ml. Puromycin was added to a final concentration of 1 mM. These inhibitors were shown to be active because each abolished protein synthesis in the chloroplast after 10 min as revealed by the results of in vivo radioisotope-pulse labeling experiments. Cells were treated with rose bengal or hydrogen peroxide at concentrations of 0.5 μM and 2 mM, respectively, for 15 min. DCMU was added to a final concentration of 10 μM for 30 min. UV irradiation (20 mJ) was performed in a Stratolinker (Promega) and cells were fixed 30 min later.
The conditions of heat shock, osmotic shock, and phosphate deprivation were described previously (von Gromoff et al., 1989; Hoffmann and Beck, 2005; Moseley et al., 2006). For the condition shown in Fig. 3 C, the co-localization of the psbA mRNA and the chloroplast ribosomal protein L2 to T zones was induced by exposing 2 h dark-adapted cells to moderate light for 5 min as described previously (Uniacke and Zerges, 2007). These cells were then treated with lincomycin under moderate light, when it does induce cpSGs by potentiating light-induced stress (Pidge et al., 2007). For the experiments shown in Fig. 5 A, the effects of these inhibitors were assessed in the dark to avoid the induction of light stress. These cells were first cultured under moderate light (so they would have polysomes from which chloroplast mRNAs could be released) and then shifted to the dark immediately before the addition of lincomycin or puromycin.

**FISH and immunofluorescence staining**

The procedures and probes used for FISH and immunofluorescence were described previously (Colon-Ramos et al., 2003; Uniacke and Zerges, 2007). High specificity of the psbA, rbcL, and psaA FISH signals were demonstrated previously (Uniacke and Zerges, 2007). Indirect immunofluorescence was carried out using the following primary antisera: anti-SSU, anti-LSU (N. Brisson, University of Montreal, Montreal, Canada), anti-L2, anti-S-21, anti-Rubisco (E. Harris, Duke University, Durham, NC), anti-cPABP (S. Mayfield, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA), and anti-HSP70B (M. Schroda, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany). The in situ immunofluorescence staining patterns of the ribosomal proteins, PABP, and HSP70B were highly specific because each of the antibodies detected a protein of the expected molecular weight on immunoblots of total cellular proteins. We thank M. Champagne, P. Iasko, R. Mazroui, and J. Scrivens for critical review of the manuscript. B. Foster and M. Shapira for helpful comments, and N. Brisson, E. Harris, S. Mayfield, and M. Schroda for generous gifts of antisera.

This study used the confocal microscope of the Centre for Structural and Functional Genomics (Concordia University) and was funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada grant 217566-03.

**Confocal microscopy**

Confocal images were obtained as 0.2-μm optical sections with a confocal laser-scanning microscope (TCS SP2; Leica) and image acquisition software (version 2.5.1; Leica). Argon and green helium neon lasers were used to produce the 488- and 543-nm stimation of TRITC or the fluorophores on the FISH probes Alexa 488 and 555 (Invitrogen). The cells were observed with immersion oil using an HCX PL APO objective lens of 100x and NA of 1.4. Images were acquired in 512 × 512 format with digital zoom set at 4.8x with a pinhole size of 0.84 airy. Images were acquired after adjusting the maximal signal in each section to just below saturation. Fluorescence density was measured with the Measure tool of Image J.

We thank M. Champagne, P. Iasko, R. Mazroui, and J. Scrivens for critical review of the manuscript, B. Foster and M. Shapira for helpful comments, and N. Brisson, E. Harris, S. Mayfield, and M. Schroda for generous gifts of antisera. This study used the confocal microscope of the Centre for Structural and Functional Genomics (Concordia University) and was funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada grant 217566-03.
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