Asymmetric Synthesis of (R)-1-Alkyl-Substituted Tetrahydro-ß-carbolines Catalyzed by Strictosidine Synthases
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Abstract: Stereoselective methods for the synthesis of tetrahydro-ß-carbolines are of significant interest due to the broad spectrum of biological activity of the target molecules. In the plant kingdom, strictosidine synthases catalyze the C–C coupling through a Pictet–Spengler reaction of tryptamine and secologanin to exclusively form the (S)-configured tetrahydro-ß-carboline (S)-strictosidine. Investigating the biocatalytic Pictet–Spengler reaction of tryptamine with small-molecular-weight aliphatic aldehydes revealed that the strictosidine synthases give unexpectedly access to the (R)-configured product. Developing an efficient expression method for the enzyme allowed the preparative transformation of various aldehydes, giving the products with up to >98% ee. With this tool in hand, a chemoenzymatic two-step synthesis of (R)-harmicine was achieved, giving (R)-harmicine in 67% overall yield in optically pure form.

The Pictet–Spengler reaction allows the synthesis of a large variety of heterocyclic compounds and various chemical methods have been established, including many stereoselective approaches. It is catalysed in nature by substrate-pattern-specific enzymes. The most prominent and best characterized members of these Pictet–Spenglerases are the norcoclaurine synthases and the strictosidine synthases (STRs).

In the natural reaction, the STR (EC 4.3.3.2 according to the Enzyme Commission classification) condenses tryptamine and secologanin to generate the (S)-configured 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-ß-carboline (S)-strictosidine (Scheme 1). The latter serves as a central precursor of naturally occurring, biologically active indole alkaloids, thus the enzyme is located in the biosynthetic pathways at the central branching point of more than 2000 monoterpenoid indole alkaloids in higher plants, including some possessing extraordinary medicinal and therapeutic value. In general STRs have been reported to show a narrow substrate spectrum with respect to the aldehyde reactant, transforming only secologanin and close derivatives in most cases to the best of our knowledge, only one report indicated that simple non-natural aliphatic aldehydes might also be accepted. Therefore, our aim was to investigate the substrate tolerance of STRs for non-natural aldehydes.

Our research focused on four strictosidine synthases originating from Catharanthus roseus (CrSTR), Ophiorhiza pumila, (OpSTR), and Rauvolfia serpentina (RsSTR), as well as its V208A variant (RvSTR), which was described to accept an even broader spectrum of amines. We quickly noticed that a significant limitation of using STRs is the low expression level in E. coli when using various described constructs, although the activity with secologanin is high (e.g. 31 U mg⁻¹ for RsSTR). Attempts at expressing native sequences of the STRs (Table S1 in the Supporting Information) using various expression plasmids as well as various E. coli hosts, chaperones, and expression conditions resulted in no visible overexpression bands detectable by SDS PAGE, although the enzyme preparations allowed us to run the transformation of the natural substrate to completion within 24 hours at 1–2 mM substrate concentration. Interestingly, highest activities were found when the STRs were expressed with an N-terminal His₆-tag. However, the use of a non-natural aldehyde such as isovaleraldehyde 2a did not lead to clear product formation independent of the enzyme preparation applied. Additionally, the application of refolding strategies to solubilize inclusion bodies, which were formed in significant amounts, were not successful. The key to success was finally to design E. coli optimized DNA sequences devoid of the inherent signal peptide but including an N-terminal His₆-tag, which were expressed using E. coli ShufflE T7LysY as the expression host for promoting disulfide bond formation, and performing the expression in TB medium with a careful
Biotransformation of tryptamine with the aliphatic aldehyde isoaveraldehyde 2a led to a clear detection of product for all four investigated STRs when using freeze-dried cell-free extracts. Optimization of the reaction conditions (pH, buffer salt, temperature, substrate concentration) enabled successful transformation of tryptamine 1 (10 mM) and isoaveraldehyde 2a (50 mM) into the corresponding 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-β-carboline 3a with 77% conversion when employing RsSTR within 20 hours (Scheme 2). It has to be noted that 2.5 U strictosidine were employed for these reactions, which equals a catalyst load of 0.32–6.9 mg of pure STR (applied as 5–65 mg CFE) per 500 µL reaction volume. The STRs showed significant lower activity for the transformation of the non-natural aldehydes in comparison to the natural substrates, which can probably be attributed to less/no interaction sites between the enzyme and aldehyde substrate. Analysis of the optical purity indicated an essentially optically pure product for RsSTR, RvSTR, and OpSTR (ee > 98%), HPLC while for CrSTR, an ee of 88% was obtained (Table 1, entries 1–4).

Deducing the absolute configuration of the enzymatically formed product 3a by comparison of previously reported elution order on a Chiralpak IC column unexpectedly led to the conclusion that the newly formed chiral centre has to be (R)-configured. This is surprising because the natural reaction leads to the (S)-configured product (S)-strictosidine and the (S) configuration has been assumed for product 3a in a previous study. To verify the absolute configuration, a semi-preparative biocatalytic Pictet–Spengler reaction was performed using RsSTR and the optical rotation of the obtained product 3a (85.4 mg, 75% isolated yield) was measured (ee > 98% ee: [α]D 20 +63 (c 1.0, MeOH)). Comparison with published optical rotation values at comparable conditions for the (S)-enantiomer and two reports for the (R)-enantiomer clearly support the idea that the obtained product is (R)-configured, thus (R)-3a was obtained.

In a similar fashion, the non-branched linear low-molecular-weight aldehyde n-butanal 2b was transformed giving the corresponding (R)-3b product with up to 91% ee (Entry 6). The smallest possible aldehyde leading to a chiral product, acetaldehyde 2c, gave (R)-2c with a clear enantiomeric excess (43%) when employing RvSTR, while the variant RsSTR led to racemic product (Entry 10 and 11). In all these cases, the (R)-configured product was formed as verified by assigning the absolute configuration by comparison with published optical rotation values (see the Supporting Information).

In the case of hexanal 2d, the conversions were low (max 8% within 20 h), leading to the corresponding β-carboline 3d with up to 82% ee when using RvSTR (entry 14). With all four enzymes investigated, the same enantiomer of the product was in excess. To prove the absolute configuration of the obtained product 3d, two independent asymmetric syntheses of optically enriched material according to reported procedures were repeated to have material to identify the elution order of the enantiomers. Both methods, namely using a chiral Bronsted acid or a chiral auxiliary, are reported to give the (S)-enantiomer. Comparison of the elution order of the enantiomers clearly proved that the four enzymes investigated led to the formation of the R-configured (R)-3d (see Figure S20).

To demonstrate the synthetic applicability of the biocatalytic Pictet–Spengler reaction with non-natural aliphatic aldehydes, the synthesis of the natural alkaloid (R)-harmicnine ([R]-5) was envisioned. (R)-5 has been isolated from the Malaysian plant Kopsia griffithii, which displays strong anti-Leishmania activity. Recently antinociceptive properties have been assigned to 5[25]. Consequently, the biocatalytic transformation of commercially available methyl 4-oxobutanoate 2e with tryptamine 1 was performed and led to the formation of the Pictet–Spengler product (R)-3e followed by spontaneous ring closure to give the lactam product (R)-4.

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Entry} & \text{Aldehyde} & \text{STR} & \text{Conv.} \% \text{[a]} & \text{ee} \text{3/4} \% \text{[b]} \\
\hline
1 & 2a & \text{CSTR} & 12 & 88 (R) \\
2 & 2a & \text{RvSTR} & 50 & > 98 (R) \\
3 & 2a & \text{RsSTR} & 77 & > 98 (R) \\
4 & 2a & \text{OpSTR} & 63 & > 98 (R) \\
5 & 2b & \text{CSTR} & 7 & 61 (R) \\
6 & 2b & \text{RvSTR} & 45 & 91 (R) \\
7 & 2b & \text{RsSTR} & 42 & 87 (R) \\
8 & 2b & \text{OpSTR} & 22 & 88 (R) \\
9 & 2c & \text{CSTR} & 14 & \text{rac} \\
10 & 2c & \text{RvSTR} & 23 & 43 (R) \\
11 & 2c & \text{RsSTR} & 38 & \text{rac} \\
12 & 2c & \text{OpSTR} & 19 & 28 (R) \\
13 & 2d & \text{CSTR} & 4 & 46 (R) \\
14 & 2d & \text{RvSTR} & 7 & 82 (R) \\
15 & 2d & \text{RsSTR} & 7 & 79 (R) \\
16 & 2d & \text{OpSTR} & 8 & 77 (R) \\
17 & 2e & \text{CSTR} & 11 & > 98 (R) \\
18 & 2e & \text{RvSTR} & 66 & > 98 (R) \\
19 & 2e & \text{RsSTR} & 95 & > 98 (R) \\
20 & 2e & \text{OpSTR} & 71 & > 98 (R) \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

[a] Reaction conditions: tryptamine+HCl 1 (10 mM), aldehyde 2 (2a, b, d, 50 mM, 2c: 125 mM), freeze-dried cell-free extract of Hostra STR/Shuffle TL7LysY (2.5 U CFE), PIPES buffer (0.5 mL, 50 mM, pH 6.1), 35 °C, 650 rpm, 20 h. Product formation and conversion were determined by GC (HP-5 column). [b] ee was determined by HPLC with a chiral stationary phase (3a–d: Chiralpak IC; 4: Chiralcel OD-H).

\[\text{Scheme 2. Stricostidine-synthase-catalyzed Pictet–Spengler reaction between tryptamine and non-natural aliphatic low-molecular-weight aldehydes.}\]
(Scheme 3). The STR from *Rauvolfia serpentina* performed best, giving the product (R)-4 with 95% conversion and >98% ee. Again (R)-configuration was assigned through comparison of the optical rotation with reported values (see supporting information).

The Supporting Information). Chemical reduction of the lactam gave the target molecule (R)-harmicine [(R)-5] in just two steps in good overall yield (62%, 2 steps) and perfect ee (>98%). The absolute configuration of (R)-harmicine was confirmed through optical rotation [(α)\textsubscript{D}⁰ = 87.9 (c = 0.5, CHCl₃); Ref. [26c]]. (R)-isomer, [(α)\textsubscript{D}² = 101.9 (c = 0.5, CHCl₃)].

A few asymmetric synthetic routes to (R)-harmicine [(R)-5] have been reported. To the best of our knowledge, the approach presented here, which enables the synthesis of (R)-5 in optically pure form in just two steps from commercial substrates, is the shortest and highest yielding sequence reported to date.

Although optimization of the expression conditions to produce sufficient enzyme enabled actual preparative transformations, further optimization of the biocatalysts, for example, by enzyme engineering, is required to reduce the amount of catalyst needed even further.

In conclusion, it has been shown that strictosidine synthases can be used for preparative transformations of low-molecular-weight aldehydes, leading unexpectedly to the corresponding (R)-configured products, which is counter-intuitive since the natural transformation with the aldehyde secologanin leads to the (S)-configured product. Consequently, the natural alkaloid (R)-harmicine was synthesized with the developed method in just two steps with 62% yield of isolated product in optically pure form. The presented asymmetric biocatalytic method extends the biocatalytic toolbox for the preparation of chiral amines through biocatalytic C=C bond formation.

**Experimental Section**

Preparative example for the biocatalytic synthesis of the precursors (R)-4 for (R)-harmicine: Freeze dried cell free extracts of recombinant His₆-RSTR overexpressed in *E. coli/Shuffle T7LysY*(500 U<sub>minicellulose</sub>) were dissolved in a PIPES-tryptamine*HCl* buffer system [50 mL, 50 mM PIPES, 10 mM tryptamine*HCl* pH 6.1] in 150 mL Erlenmeyer flasks without baffles. The reaction was started by the addition of methyl 4-oxobutanoate 2e (75 mg, 0.33 mmol, final concentration: 50 mM). The mixture was incubated for 76 h at 470 rpm at 35°C, then quenched by the addition ofaq. NaOH (5 mL, 10 N) and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄ and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. Product (R)-4 was obtained as a white solid (75.4 mg, 67%).
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