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Abstract

Background: The diagnostic approach for patients with laryngeal lesions differs among otolaryngologists. Indirect laryngoscopy, flexible fibroptic laryngoscopy, CT, and MRI are all modalities used for diagnosis. Ultrasonography is noninvasive, non-irradiating, and safe. The availability, affordability, and usability of portable ultrasound units have undergone dramatic improvements. It is well tolerated by patients, dynamic technique that can be performed easily in phonation, quickly performed, and allows for photo-documentation. Our objective is to evaluate the laryngeal ultrasound as a diagnostic tool to evaluate different laryngeal structure as regard laryngeal dynamics (range and abnormality), and anatomic structures. This prospective study was carried out on individuals in “blinded for peer review” hospitals. They were divided into group I (healthy volunteers), group II (unilateral vocal fold paralysis), and group III (patients underwent vertical hemilaryngectomies). All patients were subjected to full history taking, detailed head and neck examination, and fibrooptic nasopharyngolaryngoscopy then all patients underwent laryngeal sonographic examination. The photos were analyzed to identify various laryngeal structures and to measure glottic areas and inter-arytenoid distances.

Results: After recognition of laryngeal anatomic details and different measurements, we found that the percent of change in length and area were much less in group III than group I or II. Another important finding is that glottic area during respiration is related significantly to interarytenoid length during respiration with a statistically significant regression coefficient.

Conclusion: Laryngeal ultrasound is a valid diagnostic tool for imaging laryngeal structures and measuring various distances and areas inside the larynx. It is recommended to consider ultrasonography as a reliable imaging modality, and at least a useful adjunct to endoscopy when identification of airway patency, vocal fold mobility, or structural alterations is suspected. To our best knowledge, this study may contain a hitherto undescribed correlation between inter-arytenoid length and glottic area.
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Background

Otolaryngologists use different diagnostic approaches in evaluation of patients with laryngeal lesion, and no definite clear consensus have been approached as regard the sequence of work up for those patients. Yet complete detailed history with thorough physical examination usually revealed the etiology of the diagnosis in most of the patients.

A wide variety of techniques to assess laryngeal function are available. These techniques can generally be divided into clinical and investigational categories. A practical technique optimally should be safe, non-invasive, well-tolerated, and reliable. The results should be reproducible, accurate, and recordable for future reference [1].

CT and MRI are valuable diagnostic tools for true and false vocal folds pathology yet with concern of radiation...
exposure in CT. With MRI, imaging times are relatively long, and respiratory, swallowing, and other motion artifacts can degrade image quality [2].

Using rigid laryngoscope may lead to over- and under-diagnosis of vocal fold paralysis due to the fact of splinting of the larynx, poor visualization due to supraglottic collapse, and fixation of cricoarytenoid and paradoxical movements of vocal fold resulting from the respiratory glottic air flow (Bernoulli effect) [3].

Ultrasonography is routinely done for patient with head and neck tumors to detect cervical lymph nodal metastases, although recent studies shows promising results as regard the use of US technology for diagnosis and staging laryngeal neoplasm yet so far it is not routinely used. Ultrasound is superior to CT and MRI in evaluation of vocal cord motility [4].

It was considered that laryngoscopy was the golden standard test of vocal fold mobility, recurrent laryngeal nerve injury, anatomical and functional variation after, and/or before cervical or thoracic surgery. Yet it imposes extra costs (financial burden), time-consuming, and is an unpleasant experience for most patients [5].

Ultrasonography is a cost-effective, safe, rapid, painless, widely available, well-tolerated, not requiring sedation, non-invasive, and non-irradiating technique with accuracy comparable to that of laryngoscopy; it can be used as a useful adjunct to endoscopy—the current gold standard—especially in children [3].

Portable ultrasound devices are now more available, affordable, and of high diagnostic value due to the dramatic technology improvement. These advances now offer otolaryngologists a portable tool that increases diagnostic accuracy, facilitates commonly performed procedures, and adds to patient convenience and safety. Given the knowledge of head and neck anatomy that otolaryngologists possess, adding ultrasound to an otolaryngology practice is becoming so much easier and making much more sense [6].

The aim of this work is to evaluate the laryngeal ultrasound as a diagnostic tool to evaluate different laryngeal structure as regard laryngeal dynamics (range and abnormality), and anatomic structures.

**Methods**

This prospective study was carried out on individuals, attending hospital [blinded for peer review] between October 2018 and November 2019. Patients were divided into three groups. The first group included healthy volunteers with no definite signs or symptoms of vocal fold paralysis or any history laryngeal surgeries or pathologies. The second group included patients with unilateral vocal fold paralysis. Their ages ranged from 21 to 50 years. The third group included patients that underwent vertical hemilaryngectomies. They were all males except one female. Their ages ranged from 49 to 72 years.

Inclusion criteria are as follows:

1. Patients with unilateral adductor paralysis
2. Patients that underwent vertical hemilaryngectomy, with no evidence of recurrence of malignancy

Exclusion criteria are as follows:

1. Any patient with bilateral vocal fold paralysis
2. Any patient with abductor paralysis
3. Any patient underwent any medialization surgery to the vocal folds

All included patients were subjected to the following after taking their written consent:

- Full clinical history taking
- Thorough clinical head and neck examination
- Fiberoptic nasopharyngolaryngoscopic examination
- Ultrasound examination

The sonographic examination was done as detailed as possible and all patients are asked to lie supine with exposed neck and upper chest and extended neck. The examination was done using ultrasound devise (Logiq P5) high frequency 7–12 MHz linear probe. The examined structures including the larynx and surrounding structures are scanned in at least two perpendicular planes with respect to its anatomical orientation (longitudinal, transverse +/- oblique).

The technique of laryngeal ultrasound involved systematic identification of specific structures in the following order: hyoid bone, laryngeal cartilages, vocal folds, pre-epiglottic space, para-glottic space, epiglottis, thyroid gland, subglottic region, neck vessels, and neck nodes (Figs. 1 and 2).

Subjects were directed to perform various laryngeal maneuvers to determine the range of laryngeal mobility and dimensions, including phonation, inhalation, Valsalva maneuver, swallowing, and coughing. The real-time ultrasonic video clips were retrieved from the device and processed to take snap shots via the so-called Adobe After Effects CS6 (11.0.2) program (Fig. 3 and 4).

The photos were analyzed to measure glottic areas and inter-arytenoid distances using an image processing program (Image) version 1.45s). The inter-arytenoid distance was measured by this program by drawing a line between the hyperechoic arytenoid cartilages, which can be seen in the transverse midline view at the superior thyroid notch with oblique caudal orientation.
While the glottic area was measured by this program by drawing a triangle between three points: the first anterior point at the meeting of the inner plates of thyroid laminae moving along the true vocal folds which look as couple of hypoechoic triangular shadows being medially outlined by hyperechoic vocal ligaments then going posteriorly to the hyperechoic arytenoid cartilages.

**Results**

Using ultrasound, we managed to identify different laryngeal structures by analysis of the photos using the image processing program called ImageJ version 1.45s. After analysis of images using ImageJ program, we managed to calculate inter-arytenoid distance during phonation (glottic gap or length min) and during

---

**Fig. 1** An ultrasound photo of one of our patients showing different laryngeal structures: 1—ventricular folds, 2—outer thyroid plate, 3—inner thyroid plate, 4—strap muscles, 5—paraglottic space, 6—arytenoid cartilage, 7—inter-arytenoid distance, 8—pyriform sinus

**Fig. 2** Another ultrasound photo of one of our patients showing a different group of laryngeal structures: 1—anterior commissure, 2—anterior part of vibrating vocal fold, 3—posterior part of vibrating vocal fold, 4—thyroarytenoid muscle, 5—posterior cricoid plate
Fig. 3 An ultrasound photo assessing vocal cord mobility in a patient with VC paralysis (abducted type) by drawing imaginary perpendicular line from the junction between both thyroid cartilage anteriorly where distance 3 (0.14 mm) and 4 (0.38) represent contribution of the right VC in respiratory chink in both respiration and phonation. Where distance 5 (0.33 mm) and 6 (0.38 mm) represent contribution of the right VC in respiratory chink in both respiration and phonation.

Fig. 4 An ultrasound photo assessing vocal cord mobility in a patient with VC paralysis (adducted type) by drawing imaginary perpendicular line from the junction between both thyroid cartilage anteriorly where distance 2 (0.43 mm) and 5 (0.29) represent contribution of the right VC in respiratory chink in both respiration and phonation. Where distance 3 (0.21 mm) and 6 (0.21 mm) represent contribution of the right VC in respiratory chink in both respiration and phonation.
respiration (respiratory chink or length max.). We also managed to measure the glottic area during phonation (area min.), and during respiration (area max.).

The results showed that the length min. (glottic gap) was 0.55 ± 0.32 cm, with minimum of 0.2 cm, and maximum of 1.3 cm. The length max. (respiratory chink) showed to be 0.93 ± 0.29 cm, with minimum of 0.43 cm and maximum of 1.5 cm. On measuring the glottic area, we found that area min. showed to be 0.57 ± 0.35 cm² with a minimum of 0.15 cm² and a maximum of 1.5 cm². The area max. showed to be 0.98 ± 0.42 cm², with a minimum of 0.4 and a maximum of 2.2 cm² (Table 1).

We found the inter-arytenoid distances during phonation (length min.) to be highest in partially laryngectomized patients 0.81 ± 0.37 cm with statistically significant difference than control group.

On the contrary, the percent of change in length and area were much less in group 3 (partially laryngectomized) than group 1 or 2 (Fig. 5).

Another finding after data analysis is that the age is significantly correlated with the length min., i.e., the more the age, the less the length min. \( r = 0.494 \), and the area min. \( r = 0.492 \) (Table 2).

A rather interesting finding after data analysis is that we found that change of area during respiration and during phonation was higher among females 53.07 ± 29.25 cm² than among males 32.25 ± 20.47 cm² (Fig. 6).

A strong correlation was found between area minimum (minimum glottic area during expiration) and length minimum (glottic gap) which states that: to calculate area min., we can substitute in the following equation: Table 3

\[ A_{\text{min}} = L_{\text{min}} + 0.917 \]

A similar strong correlation was found between area maximum (maximum glottic area during inspiration) and length maximum (respiratory chink) which states that: to calculate area max., we can substitute in the following equation: Table 4

\[ A_{\text{max}} = L_{\text{max}} + 1.111 \]

Discussion

Thanks to the great nineteenth century voice teacher Manuel Garcia (1805–1906) who was the first to perform laryngoscope in vivo. Since then, the basic approach of examining the pharynx and larynx has changed little, although the technology available has improved dramatically [7].

As a technique, indirect mirror examination has some limitations including perceptual difficulties in reliably recording side of lesion, learning curve in acquiring and maintaining skills, and a significant failure rate which prior to the era of readily available flexible endoscopy often mandated direct endoscopy under general anesthesia [8].

Endoscopic assessment, either with a rigid or flexible laryngoscope, has replaced mirrors due to better optical resolution and higher sensitivity. With the advance in technology, video techniques have been involved in most of the endoscopic procedures with a lot of advantages including record ability, magnification power which render better identification of anatomical structures with easy diagnosis of any anomaly or abnormality [8].

Though no significant discussion of complications of flexible nasoendoscopy, the most commonly used diagnostic tool in laryngeal lesions exists in the literature, but these possible complications may include discomfort of variable degrees, occasional epistaxis during difficult insertion, fiberoptic laryngoscopy also lacks the ability to show other important causes of laryngeal lesions such as thyroid diseases or lymph nodes enlargement. Also, fiberoptic laryngoscopy lacks

| Table 1 Showing length min, length max, area max, percentage of length changes, and percentage of area changes |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                | Control (Gr1)   | Vocal fold palsy (Gr2) | Partially laryngectomized (Gr3) |                |                |                |                |
|                | Mean ± SD      | Mean ± SD        | Mean ± SD      | Mean ± SD      | Mean ± SD      | Mean ± SD      | Mean ± SD      | Mean ± SD      |
| Length min.    | 0.43 ± 0.18    | 0.39 ± 0.21      | 0.81 ± 0.37    | 0.37 ± 0.21    | 0.036 S        | Gr 1 VsGr3b    | Gr 2 VsGr3b    |                |
| Length max.    | 0.99 ± 0.23    | 0.78 ± 0.31      | 1.01 ± 0.26    | 0.86 ± 0.33    | 0.105          | 0.62 ± 0.34    | 0.086 NS       | Gr 1 VsGr3a    |
| Area min.      | 0.37 ± 0.18    | 0.53 ± 0.34      | 0.8 ± 0.39     | 0.39 ± 0.42    | 0.086 NS       | 0.62 ± 0.34    | 0.766 NS       | Gr 1 VsGr2b    |
| Area max.      | 1.01 ± 0.26    | 0.86 ± 0.33      | 1.05 ± 0.62    | 1.17 ± 0.52    | 0.012 HS       | 18.79 ± 0.21   | 0.006 HS       | Gr 1 VsGr3a    |
| Length % of change | 57.57 ± 14.41 | 48.21 ± 17.17 | 21.23 ± 18.79 | 14.57 ± 24.8   | 0.003 HS       |                |                |                |
| Area % of change | 63.95 ± 14.44 | 39.5 ± 24.8     | 18.8 ± 14.57  | 24.8 ± 39.5    |                |                |                |                |

Nasser et al. Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine (2020) 51:219
any ability to show hidden laryngeal spaces as pre-
epiglottic and para-glottic spaces [9].

CT and MRI are known to play an important role in
the diagnosis of head and neck diseases. We know that
CT and MRI features of suggesting the paralysis of the
recurrent laryngeal nerve as atrophy of thyroarytenoid
muscle, an enlarged ventricle ipsilateral enlargement of
the pyriform sinus, paramedian position, decreased size,
and/or fatty infiltration of the true vocal cord [2].

Unfortunately, CT and MRI cannot reveal the mobility
of the vocal folds in real time. In addition to artifact
carried by respiration and motion with decreased image
quality, especially the need for sedation in children is
also considered drawback. CT has the potential to de-

eriver significantly greater radiation doses to children than
to adults and in view of their greater susceptibility to ra-
diation effects, care should be taken to avoid unneces-
sary CT examinations [10].

The disadvantages of MRI include the long acquisition
time of the scans with consequent degradation of the
images due to motion artifact from breathing, swallow-
ing, and vascular pulsations. Claustrophobia and the
contraindications due to the use of a strong magnetic
field including the presence of cochlear implants, cardiac
pacemakers, aneurysm clips, and any metal within the
eye are also a problem [10].

In 1984, Shawker and others used real-time ultrasound
during swallowing in ten normal subjects and found that
the motions of the tongue, hyoid bone, and larynx can
be monitored and timed [11].

The ultrasound appearance of the laryngeal region is
always a clinical challenge, so the deep knowledge of the
complex of the head and neck was essential. A good
knowledge of the normal radiological anatomy of the
head and neck renders easy identification of any
abnormality.

Recently, US imaging has become a very powerful
diagnostic tool, especially in scanning head and neck re-
gions. With the advance in technology of ultrasound, it
renders higher image quality with tissue differentiation
putting in mind that it is noninvasive, nonionizing radi-
ation dependent, non-time consuming, repeatable, bed-
side technique in addition to the great advantage of
dynamic evaluation of the vocal cords [12].

Also, laryngeal US has numerous advantages in the
diagnosis of vocal cords palsy in pediatric age group as it
is well tolerated by infants with no need for anesthesia,
safe, reliable, and noninvasive bedside [12].

The aim is to evaluate the laryngeal ultrasound as a
diagnostic tool to evaluate different laryngeal structure
as regarded laryngeal dynamics (range and abnormality),
and anatomical structures.

We managed to achieve these goals by measurements
of distances and cross sectional areas, calculating the
range of cross-sectional areas in patients with vocal fold
palsy and partial laryngectomy, assessment of gender

![Fig. 5 Shows percent of change in area and length in the three groups](image)

Table 2 Shows percent of change in area and length in relation to age

| Age | Length min | Length max. | Area min. | Area max. | Length % of change | Area % of change |
|-----|------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|-----------------|
|     | r          |            |           |           |                    |                 |
|     | 0.494      | 0.162      | 0.492     | 0.036     | −0.561             | −0.642          |
| Sig | 0.044      | 0.534      | 0.045     | 0.891     | 0.019              | 0.005           |
| Sig | S          | NS         | S         | NS        | S                  | HS              |
variations in normal controls, and identification of all anatomical structures of larynx.

On analysis of the results, it was found that both glottic area and inter-arytenoid distance varies significantly between the phonation and inspiration phases being wider in respiratory phase more than during phonation with a mean percent of change in inter-arytenoid length of 41.99 ± 22.66% and a percent of change in glottic area of 40.82 ± 25.85%.

We also found that the inter-arytenoid distances during phonation to be highest in partially laryngectomized patients 0.81 ± 0.37 cm with statistically significant difference than control group. This finding is due to the fact that one vocal fold is missing, so the distance will be greater than the control group. However, the percent of change in length and area were much less in group 3 (partially laryngectomized) than group 1 or 2. This could be explained by the fact that laryngeal reconstruction after partial laryngectomies may have decreased the pliability of the larynx causing this significant decrease in the area change than the normal.

On studying the relation between the age and vocal folds movements, it was found that there was inverse relation in males with statistically significance as regard change in area \( r = 0.713 \) and length \( r = 0.687 \), where the older the age, the less the change in the area between both phases phonation and respiration. This may be due to many possible contributing factors including gradual decline of lung capacity, reduced muscle bulk, decreased type I slow contracting muscle fibers, decreased hyaluronic acid in the lamina propria, arthritic changes, and ossification of the laryngeal skeleton.

A rather interesting finding after data analysis is that we found that change of area during respiration and during phonation was higher among females 53.07 ± 29.25 cm\(^2\) than among males 32.25 ± 20.47 cm\(^2\). The explanation of this is that vocal folds in females have less tensile stress than males for fixed percent elongation (from 2 to 5 times less) likely due to the approximately 59% of the collagen found in adult male vocal folds. Thus, female vocal folds are significantly less stiff than male vocal folds during equal elongation or strain.

A rather striking correlation was found between area minimum and length minimum which states that: to calculate area min., we can substitute in the following equation: 
\[
A_{\text{min}} = L_{\text{min}} + 0.917
\]
We also found that area max. (glottic areas during respiration) are related significantly to length max. (inter-arytenoid length during respiration) with another important statistically valid correlation. This strong correlation was found between area maximum and length maximum which states that: to calculate area max., we can substitute in the following equation: 
\[
A_{\text{max}} = L_{\text{max}} + 1.111
\]
These two equations are very important to determine glottic area by measuring inter-arytenoid distance.

We acknowledge the limitations to this study. The linear transducers and convex curved transducers are not conforming to neck anatomy and thus contributing to limiting views. These technical issues may be resolved by development of high-frequency concave curve transducers.
transducer for preserving skin surface and probe contact; this is essential to assess the airway and airway-related anatomical structures as standard of care. Additional limitation is that the air-mucosa interface has linear hyperechoic appearance which is sometimes difficult to discriminate.

We experienced other technical limitation during our work where we found difficulty in stabilization of the probe over thyroid cartilage. We instructed all patients not to hold their breath as vocal cord movement can be fairly observed during quiet respiration. Some cases with dense laryngeal cartilage calcification can cause image distortion and poor image quality.

**Conclusion**

Laryngeal ultrasound is a reliable diagnostic tool on identifying different laryngeal anatomic structure, calculating various distances and areas with comparable accuracy to other previously used modalities as CT and MRI. In addition to the ability to diagnose laryngeal dy-namic abnormality in patients with vocal fold palsy and partial laryngectomy. We recommend considering laryngeal ultrasound as initial method of screening in patient with query laryngeal injury either structural or functional (vocal cord palsy).
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