Defining the spatial-molecular map of fibrotic tendon healing and the drivers of Scleraxis-lineage cell fate and function
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SUMMARY

Tendon injuries heal via a scar-mediated response, and there are no biological approaches to promote more regenerative healing. Mouse flexor tendons heal through the formation of spatially distinct tissue areas: a highly aligned tissue bridge between the native tendon stubs that is enriched for adult Scleraxis-lineage cells and a disorganized outer shell associated with peri-tendinous scar formation. However, the specific molecular programs that underpin these spatially distinct tissue profiles are poorly defined. In the present study, we combine lineage tracing of adult Scleraxis-lineage cells with spatial transcriptomic profiling to define the overarching molecular programs that govern tendon healing and cell-fate decisions. Pseudotime analysis identified three fibroblast trajectories (synthetic, fibrotic, and reactive) and key transcription factors regulating these fate-switching decisions, including the progression of adult Scleraxis-lineage cells through the reactive trajectory. Collectively, this resource defines the molecular mechanisms that coordinate the temporospatial healing phenotype, which can be leveraged to inform therapeutic candidate selection.
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In brief

Ackerman et al. integrated spatial transcriptomic profiling and fate mapping of adult Scleraxis-lineage cells during murine tendon healing to define key regulators of the healing process. This study provides important insights regarding the mechanisms that dictate cell fate in a spatially dependent manner.

INTRODUCTION

Tendons are responsible for facilitating skeletal locomotion throughout the body. Disruptions in tendon structure-function result in a dramatic reduction in quality of life, and these disruptions can occur with both acute traumatic injuries and as a result of chronic degeneration. Upon injury, tendons heal via a fibrotic scar-tissue response rather than regeneration of the native tendon structure, with this fibrotic response leading to chronic deficits in tendon function. Despite the frequency of tendon injuries (greater than 300,000 per year in the United States),¹ the massive socioeconomic cost (estimated at >$400 million per year for flexor tendon injuries)² and the complications associated with the fibrotic healing response, there are currently no consensus biological or pharmacological approaches to improve the healing process. A significant barrier to identifying promising therapeutics to enhance tendon healing is the limited understanding of the cellular and molecular programs that govern the fibrotic tendon healing process, particularly in the context of the temporal and spatial regulators of healing. For example, the tendon heals with spatially distinct
patterns including the formation of highly organized bridging tissue between the native tendon ends, as well as the formation of disorganized scar tissue at the periphery of the injury site. Thus, understanding the specific molecular programs that dictate these spatially distinct tissue programs is key to harnessing the intrinsic regenerative potential and blunting the fibrotic tendency of the healing tendon.

In addition to broadly defining the spatial-molecular map of fibrotic tendon, delineating how different cell populations contribute to the healing process is a key step in further informing therapeutic candidate selection. Recent work has demonstrated substantial heterogeneity of the basal tendon cell environment with different populations making distinct contributions to the healing process. Scleraxis (Scx), a basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor, is a critical regulator of tendon formation with the loss of Scx resulting in developmental deficits in tendon. In addition, Scx-lineage (ScxLin) cells are the predominant cell population in the adult tendon and play a critical role in tendon healing, particularly in the formation of an organized cellular bridge between the injured tendon stubs. However, defining the function of ScxLin cells and their associated molecular programs is complicated by the inherent heterogeneity of this lineage: the ScxLin includes those cells that expressed Scx during embryonic development, the progeny of these cells, as well as any cell that expressed Scx during postnatal growth, adult homeostasis, and in response to injury. While comprehensive definition of the functions of ScxLin cells is important, we are particularly interested in adult ScxLin cells (ScxAi9), the cells that actively express Scx in the adult tendon during homeostasis. Adult ScxLin cells can become myofibroblasts during tendon healing, with myofibroblasts mediating multiple aspects of tissue repair including deposition, contraction, and remodeling of a new extracellular matrix (ECM). However, persistent or dysregulated myofibroblast function can promote a fibrotic healing response due to exuberant and sustained ECM deposition. In many tissues, the initiating step of myofibroblast differentiation is activation of quiescent, tissue-resident fibroblasts. In the current study, we take advantage of spatial transcriptomic profiling to examine the temporospatial activation and myofibroblast differentiation profile of adult ScxAi9 cells and to elucidate the regulation and function of these cells. Collectively, these data provide critical insights into the spatial-molecular regulation of fibrotic tendon healing and identify key intervention points to modulate cell fate, fibrosis, and healing potential.

RESULTS

Adult ScxAi9 cells undergo progressive and spatially dependent activation and myofibroblast differentiation to mediate both ECM elaboration and fibrosis

Tenocytes are largely quiescent during homeostasis, but there is evidence that injury can induce fibroblast proliferative expansion and activation during healing. Adult ScxAi9 cells were labeled prior to acute flexor tendon injury and repair, including a washout period to ensure that any cells that turned on expression of Scx in response to injury were not labeled (Figure 1A). While there are proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA)+ ScxAi9 cells in both the tendon stub and bridging scar tissue at day 8 post-repair, proliferation of ScxAi9 cells peaks between days 10 and 14 in both the stub and bridging regions. While many ScxAi9 cells within the stub remained PCNA+ at day 21, ScxAi9 cells in the bridging tissue
were almost exclusively PCNA−. By day 28 post-repair, only a few Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} cells in both the tendon stubs and bridging tissue were PCNA+ (white arrows, Figure 1B).

To further determine the spatial-temporal patterns of fibroblast activation of adult Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} cells during tendon healing, \textit{de novo} expression of vascular cell adhesion protein 1 (VCAM-1) and fibroblast activation protein (FAP) were evaluated in these cells. At day 8, several Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9}+ cells co-expressed VCAM-1, while some VCAM-1+ cells were Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9}− (Figure 1C). While overall VCAM-1 staining increased through day 14, the proportion of Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9}+ VCAM-1+ cells progressively decreased after day 10. A substantial decrease in overall VCAM-1 expression was observed at days 21 and 28. At day 8, there was minimal expression of FAP, and a few FAP+ Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} cells were seen at day 10 (white arrows, Figure 1C); however, most FAP expression was adjacent to Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} cells (Figure 1C). By day 12, many Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} cells in the bridging tissue were FAP+; however, by day 14, FAP expression had dramatically decreased in both the bridging tissue and tendon stubs, with only a few FAP+ cells remaining in the tendon stubs. These low levels of FAP expression in the bridging tissue persisted through day 28. Collectively, these data suggest that fibroblast activation of Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} cells takes place between approximately day 10 and 14 and that cell activation is largely restricted to the bridging tissue.

Fibroblast activation is associated with both ECM production and myofibroblast differentiation. We have previously shown that adult Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} cells form a highly aligned cellular bridge between the native tendon ends,\textsuperscript{3} yet it is unclear whether these cells elaborate this new ECM or simply use an existing ECM scaffold as a bridge during tendon repair. To address this, we examined the relationship between Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} cells and ECM deposition using sequential immunofluorescence and Masson’s trichrome staining on the same samples. As we have previously demonstrated a lack of both Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} cells and new collagen ECM between the native tendon ends at day 7,\textsuperscript{3} we analyzed samples starting at day 8 post-surgery (Figure S1). At day 8, a few Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} cells had egressed from the tendon stubs, accompanied by modest collagen fiber presence in the healing tissue (Figure S1). At day 10, a substantial increase in the extent of both Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} and collagen ECM was observed, with strong overlap between the cells and ECM, and this tandem elaboration of the cell/ECM bridge continued through day 12 (Figure S1). These data demonstrate concomitant development of the Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} cellular bridge and the collagen ECM bridge, suggesting a key role for Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} cells in elaboration of this new organized matrix. Interestingly, there was a clear demarcation between Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9}+ cells (white arrows) and Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9}− cells (yellow arrows) at the periphery of the new ECM bridge (Figure S1), suggesting the presence of discrete molecular programs and cell populations in this area. We next examined expression of the collagen chaperone protein Hsp47 and observed abundant co-localization of Hsp47 in Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} cells as the new ECM was developed from day 8 to 12 (Figure S1), further supporting Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} cells as the primary producers of this new ECM bridge.

We have previously shown that adult Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} cells are not a primary contributor to myofibroblast fate at day 14;\textsuperscript{3} however, based on the transient “activated” state of Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} cells and their ECM elaboration phenotype, we examined myofibroblast fate over the course of healing more comprehensively (Figure 1D). At days 8 and 10, minimal α smooth muscle
actin (αSMA)+ myofibroblasts were observed. However, by day 12, many cells in the expanded αSMA+ population were derived from adult Scx<sup>Ai9</sup> cells. Moreover, consistent with our previous data, at day 14, αSMA+ Scx<sup>Ai9</sup>-derived myofibroblasts were primarily restricted to the tendon stubs and not in the bridging granulation tissue (Figure 1D), with this expression pattern continuing through day 28, indicating spatially dependent myofibroblast differentiation and persistence. Interestingly, these data suggest that not all adult Scx<sup>Ai9</sup> cells that undergo fibroblast activation continue to a mature myofibroblast phenotype and that the contribution to myofibroblast fate is both time and location dependent.

**Integration of multiple spatial RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) datasets to elucidate transcriptional changes in cellular/molecular programs over time**

The clear temporal and spatial dependence of the adult Scx<sup>Ai9</sup> contribution to ECM organization and both transient and persistent myofibroblast populations suggest that there are discrete molecular programs underlying these fate-function dynamics. Therefore, we utilized spatial transcriptomics to define and spatially resolve these distinct molecular programs during tendon healing.

Adult Scx<sup>Ai9</sup> cells were labeled prior to injury, and hind paws were harvested from uninjured controls, and at days 14, 21, and 28 post-surgery, to encompass different phases of tendon healing and adult Scx<sup>Ai9</sup> function. For each section, a region of interest (ROI) including the healthy tendon (i.e., uninjured [UI]) or the entire area of the healing tendon (tendon and scar tissue) were selected for subsequent integration and analysis (Figures 2A and S2). Integrating the selected ROI from each time point allows identification of heterogeneous molecular programs at a higher resolution than analysis of a single time point and facilitates the identification of transcriptional dynamics over time. Integrated analysis identified six conserved molecular programs (i.e., clusters) during tendon healing (Figure 2B), which were then annotated via analysis of differentially expressed genes (DEGs), biological Gene Ontology (GO) terms, and spatial localization (Figures 2C–2E).

Cluster 0, which is predominantly located in less reactive areas of native tendon further from the repair site, represents a highly synthetic tenogenic program, expressing predominantly Tnmd, Col1α1, and Fmod (C0<sub>synthetic</sub>; Figures 2C–2E and S3). GO term analysis reveals that this population is involved in ECM organization (GO: 0030198), collagen fibril organization (GO: 0030199), and wound healing (GO: 0042060), reinforcing this annotation.

Cluster 1, enriched in Coch, Chad, and Car3, most closely approximates native tendon-like tissue (C1<sub>native_tendon</sub>) and is found abundantly in the UI tendon samples and areas distant from the injury site (Figures 1C and 1F). GO analysis indicates upregulation of numerous contractile/muscle-related processes (Figure S3), potentially a byproduct of the lower resolution of this spatial technique or indicative of the lack of tendon-specific curated processes.

Cluster 2 represents reactive tissue found immediately adjacent to the repair site (C2<sub>reactive</sub>) and is characterized by high expression of Mnp13/Lox/Fbn2 (Figures 2C–2E). Consistent with its annotation, many of the enriched pathways in C2<sub>reactive</sub> relate to ECM organization: collagen fibril organization (GO: 0030199), ECM organization (GO:
supramolecular fiber organization (GO: 0097435), collagen catabolic processes (GO: 0030574), and positive regulation of many cellular activities (cell adhesion, migration, proliferation, collagen biosynthesis) (Figure S3).

Cluster 3 defines a fibrotic/fibroblastic program (C³fibrotic) at the peripheral shell of the healing tendon and is enriched in pro-fibrotic markers Col3a1, Postn, and Thbs3 (Figures 2C–2E and S3). The top GO terms found in this cluster are all related to translation (translation, GO: 0006412; cytoplasmic translation, GO: 0002181; rRNA processing, GO: 0006364), indicating high levels of ECM synthesis.

Cluster 4, located immediately at the repair site and adjacent to C²reactive, identifies an inflammatory program (C⁴inflammatory) with high expression of Saa3, alarmins S100a8 and S100a9, and markers consistent with a variety of immune cells such as neutrophils (e.g., Lcn2) and monocytes (Figures 2C–2E and S3). In addition to GO terms associated with immune system processes (GO: 0002376) and neutrophil chemotaxis (GO: 0030593), this cluster also has GO terms associated with ECM organization (e.g., collagen fibril organization, cell-matrix adhesion).

Cluster 5 exhibits features of both muscle and native tendon tissue, representing a muscle-tendon interface (C⁵muscle-assoc) (Figures 2C–2E and S3).

Distribution of clusters over time supports these annotations, with only C¹native_tendon and C⁵muscle-assoc present in UI tendons, expansion of C⁴inflammatory at day 14, and enrichment of C⁰synthetic through day 28. Interestingly, the presence of C²reactive persists from day 14 to 28 (Figure 2F), suggesting that this sustained reactive response may hinder a complete return to a normal tenogenic program.

**Identification of fibroblastic differentiation trajectories and key transcriptional regulators**

The nature of this comprehensive spatiotemporal dataset allows us to gain important insights into the potential differentiation pathways of tendon-resident fibroblasts over the course of healing. First, C⁵muscle-assoc and C⁴inflammatory were removed from subsequent analysis, retaining only those clusters that could participate in tendon-associated differentiation trajectories.

We then conducted trajectory analysis, using C¹native_tendon as the root for all lineage trajectories, and found trifurcations toward C³fibrotic (blue line, Figure 3A), C²reactive (green line, Figure 3A), and C⁰synthetic (red line, Figure 3A), suggesting that tendon cells may differentiate to one of these three fates following activation. Given the clear role for adult Scx³⁰ cells in multiple aspects of tendon healing, and taking advantage of the built-in lineage tracing of Scx³⁰ (tdTomato expression) in our experimental design, we subset the Tdtomato.pos (Scx³⁰+) and Tdtomato.-neg (Scx³⁰−) populations within each cluster using expression tdTomato >1 (Figures 3B and 3C). Interestingly, while C²reactive comprised the highest percentage of tdTomato+ cells (82%), a relatively high percentage of spots within C⁴inflammatory were also tdTomato+ (64%; Figure 3D). To better understand the relationship between Scx³⁰ and C⁴inflammatory, we examined the expression of Scx³⁰ (RFP) and CD45. Surprisingly, many Scx³⁰+ cells co-expressed CD45 (Figure S4). While CD45
is commonly used to define cells of hematopoietic origin, recent studies have identified CD45 as a possible marker of circulating fibrocytes. As such, future work will be needed to address the surprising possibility that there may be a non-tendon derived Scx<sup>Ai9</sup>+ CD45+ population during healing. To confirm fibroblast activation in our spatial dataset, we examined expression of activation markers within each cluster and observed increased Fap and Acta2 expression in the tdTomato+ subset of C2<sup>reactive</sup>, relative to the tdTomato subset of this cluster, suggesting a functional difference compared with non-Scx<sup>Ai9</sup> cells (Figure S5).

To determine which genes may be governing fate decisions, we analyzed gene modules contributing to fate specification of Scx<sup>Ai9</sup> from C<sup>native_tendon</sup> to C2<sup>reactive</sup>. Genes upregulated along this trajectory included Tnc, Timp2, Mmp13, and Dkk3 (Figure 3G). GO analysis revealed that upregulated pathways along this differentiation route were consistent with an actively remodeling state: increased angiogenesis (cell adhesion, GO: 0007155; angiogenesis, GO: 0001525; positive regulation of cell proliferation, GO: 0008284), inflammatory processes (neutrophil chemotaxis, GO: 0030593; inflammatory response, GO: 0006954), and matrix organization (ECM organization, GO: 0030198; positive regulation fo collagen biosynthetic process, GO: 0032967) (Figure 3H). Transcription factor analysis predicts that that Srf, Sp1, Egr1, Fosl1, Smad3, and Klf4 are likely to regulate this differentiation trajectory (Figure 3I). Notably, Egr1, Smad3, and Klf4 are highly expressed in C0<sup>synthetic</sup>, through which the reactive trajectory passes, suggesting that these represent key fate-switching transcription factors from C0<sup>synthetic</sup> to C2<sup>reactive</sup> (Figure 3J).

We then examined the trajectories of tdTomato−, with paths from C<sup>native_tendon</sup> into C3<sup>fibrotic</sup> (Figure 4), and C0<sup>synthetic</sup> (Figure 5). The C<sup>native_tendon</sup> to C3<sup>fibrotic</sup> path is described by the fibrotic module and upregulation of markers such as Adams15, Ccl6, Col14a1, and Dpt (Figures 4A–4C). The majority of the highly enriched pathways in this trajectory indicate involvement of a variety of immune cells and pathways (neutrophil chemotaxis, GO: 0030593; immune system process, GO: 0002376; innate immune response, GO: 0045087) as well as inflammation (inflammatory response, GO: 0006954; cellular response to tumor necrosis factor, GO: 0071356) (Figure 4D). These pathways, combined with the early and transient presence of this cluster in proximity to C4<sup>inflammatory</sup> (Figures 2 and 4B), suggests that C3<sup>fibrotic</sup> is highly immunoresponsive and may interact with infiltrating immune cells to initiate abundant ECM production. Transcription factor (TF) binding motif analysis further confirms the inflammatory-immune bias of this trajectory, with high expression of Nkx1 and Rela (a binding partner in the nuclear factor κB [NF-κB] complex), two proteins known to act as main regulators of inflammatory signaling cascades, as well as interferon regulatory factor 8 (Irf8), involved in major histocompatibility (MHC) complex I expression (Figure 4E). Several other notable pathways are implicated along this trajectory route: Jun is broadly expressed among clusters and is shown to interact with Fosb, with which it forms the dimerized TF AP-1 to control cell differentiation, proliferation, and apoptosis. Interestingly, Tcf4 has been implicated in neuronal differentiation and is widely expressed in all clusters, hinting at nerve ingrowth processes following tendon injury (Figures 4E and 4F).
Finally, the differentiation pathway to C0\textsuperscript{synthetic} is defined by the trajectory from C1\textsuperscript{native_tendon} to C0\textsuperscript{synthetic}, with C0\textsuperscript{synthetic} being the predominant cluster at day 28 (Figures 5A and 5B). Upregulated along this route are genes indicative of increased collagen deposition (Col12a1, Col8a2), along with proteoglycans (Thbs4, Fmod), which are commonly found in mature connective tissues. Consistent with this, highly upregulated pathways involve collagen organization (collagen catabolic process, GO: 00305074; ECM organization, GO: 0030198; tissue remodeling, GO: 0048771) and several related to developmental processes (face morphogenesis, GO: 0060325; heart development, GO: 0007507; lung development, GO: 0030324), suggesting a developmentally guided remodeling program (Figure 5D). Transcriptional regulation includes factors Sp1, Nfkib1, Mbd2, Ttal1, Sox10, and Rela. The repeated presence of Nfkib1 and Rela as top TFs regulating differentiation further underlines the important role of NF-κB signaling during tendon healing.\textsuperscript{19} Interestingly, while Sox10 is commonly studied in neural crest development, it has also been implicated in tendon progenitor migration and development, potentially supporting a more regenerative mechanism underlying C0\textsuperscript{synthetic} differentiation.\textsuperscript{20,21}

**Cellular interactome analysis defines C2\textsuperscript{reactive} as the most talkative cluster**

In addition to understanding the signaling pathways and programs that are specific to each molecular program, an important strength of spatial transcriptomics is the ability to define the interactions between physically proximate clusters. Therefore, we next established the patterns of cell-cell crosstalk both within a given molecular cluster (i.e., autocrine signaling) and between molecular clusters that are in proximity to each other (i.e., paracrine signaling). Inferring the relative strength of cellular communication using CellChat, we observed that C2\textsuperscript{reactive} both qualitatively and quantitatively makes up the majority of interactions and exhibits the highest strength of interaction in both the tdTomato+ and tdTomato− subsets (Figure 6A). Relative information flow analysis reveals significant signaling heterogeneity between the Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9+} and Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9−} subsets, with several pathways mainly enriched in the Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9+} subset (Notch, Epha, App, Ncam, Cdh5, Sema7, Ptprm, Visfatin) (Figures 6B and 6C). The overall signaling patterns indicate that C2\textsuperscript{reactive} most often participates in autocrine signaling. Therefore, we defined the specific signaling pathways between Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9+} and Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9−} populations within this cluster. Thrombospondin signaling (Thbs4-Cd47) and Spp1 signaling (Igav-Igb1 and Igav5−Igb1) were the predominant different signaling pathways between the Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9+} and Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9−} subsets in C2\textsuperscript{reactive}. In addition, several signaling pairs indicated receptors that are only present in the C2\textsuperscript{reactive}/Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9+} population, including TGFβR2, Cd47, and Epha2/3 (Figure 6D). We then examined paracrine signaling from C0\textsuperscript{synthetic}, C3\textsuperscript{fibrotic}, and C4\textsuperscript{inflammatory} clusters to the Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9+} subset in C2\textsuperscript{reactive}. Consistent with the signaling communication within C2\textsuperscript{reactive}, thrombospondin signaling (Thbs4-Cd47) had the highest predicted communication probability from C4\textsuperscript{inflammatory} to the Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9+} subset in C2\textsuperscript{reactive} (Figure 6E). Interestingly, there was substantial heterogeneity in communication between the Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9+} subset in C2\textsuperscript{reactive} and the Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9+} and Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9−} subsets in the remaining clusters (Figure 6E), suggesting that spatial communication is far more specialized than has previously been appreciated.
Finally, we looked at overall signaling patterns independent of Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} (Figures S6 and S7). As noted above, C\textsuperscript{2reactive} was the most communicative cluster (Figures S6A and S6B). In line with our unbiased clustering (Figures 2B and 2C), Periostin (Postn) signaling was most likely to be outgoing from C\textsuperscript{3fibrotic}, and complement signaling originated mainly from C\textsuperscript{3fibrotic} and C\textsuperscript{4inflammatory} (Figure S6C). We also conducted more in-depth interrogation of the predominant signaling pathways to better define key ligand-receptor interactions within and between C\textsuperscript{2reactive} and C\textsuperscript{4inflammatory} clusters. Thrombospondin signaling (Thbs4-Sdc1 and Thbs4-Cd47) were the most probable interactions within both C\textsuperscript{2reactive} and C\textsuperscript{4inflammatory} clusters, as well as between C\textsuperscript{2reactive} and C\textsuperscript{4inflammatory} clusters (Figures S6D and S7).

**DISCUSSION**

Dissecting the molecular programs that underlie the physiological and pathological aspects of tendon healing is critical for the development and translation of biological and pharmacological approaches to improve tendon healing. Scx\textsuperscript{Lin} cells are critical for both tendon homeostasis and repair, and a subset of these cells is found to contribute to the myofibroblast fate following acute injury. Here, we have demonstrated the time- and location-dependent proliferation, activation, and myofibroblast fate of adult Scx\textsuperscript{Lin} cells (Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9}) during tendon healing. These data demonstrated a spatial response in which Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} cells initially contribute to an organized cellular bridge at the repair site without progression to a myofibroblast phenotype, followed by progressive differentiation to \(\alpha\text{SMA}^+\) myofibroblasts, with these cells primarily restricted to the remodeling stubs of the native tendon. Given the importance of the myofibroblast fate to both successful wound healing and fibrotic progression, we sought to define the specific spatial-molecular programs underlying the overall healing process, and the distinct responses of adult Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} cells, using spatial transcriptomics.

During normal wound healing, “fibroblast activation,” in which tissue-resident fibroblast populations break quiescence, proliferate, and contribute to the healing, is typically initiated via production of damage-associated molecular proteins (DAMPs),\textsuperscript{22} and activation is strongly associated with subsequent myofibroblast differentiation.\textsuperscript{23,24} While fibroblast activation has been observed in tenocytes,\textsuperscript{25,26} the cell lineage of myofibroblast origin can also impact myofibroblast function.\textsuperscript{27,28} Thus, we have focused specifically on the activation profile and myofibroblast differentiation of adult Scx\textsuperscript{Lin} (Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9}) cells. Somewhat surprisingly, we found that activation markers were predominantly associated with Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} cells in the organized bridging tissue between the native tendon ends, with these cells undergoing a transient differentiation to \(\alpha\text{SMA}^+\) myofibroblasts, followed by loss of \(\alpha\text{SMA}\) staining in these cells by day 14. In contrast, minimal expression of activation markers was observed in Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} in the native tendon stubs even though these cells progress to a more persistent myofibroblast fate through day 28. The differences in activation profile between transient and persistent myofibroblasts suggest that distinct molecular programs likely underlie these fate decisions and, as such, represent potentially important intervention opportunities to modulate myofibroblast activity. While the resolution of current spatial transcriptomics technology does not allow us to precisely resolve these spatially distinct
processes at the single-cell level, pseudotime analysis provides key insights into the regulation of distinct tissue features.

Pseudotime analysis using C1nativetendon as the root identified fate trifurcation into (1) synthetic/tenogenic, (2) fibrotic/fibroblastic tissue, and (3) reactive tissue. The adult ScxAi9 population was shown to exclusively follow a differentiation trajectory from native tendon to reactive tissue. Given the approximate co-localization of C2reactive and C4inflammatory clusters at the site of tendon injury, this trajectory is likely to be heavily influenced by ongoing interaction with immune cells or inflammatory mediators. This resident fibroblast-immune cell interaction has previously been shown to be a key driver of both fibroblast activation and myofibroblast differentiation. While this process is key to proper initiation of wound healing, sustained interaction can lead to a chronically activated tissue state and fibrosis. Consistent with this, we observe both the persistence of C4inflammatory through day 28 and a sustained myofibroblast presence in the native tendon stubs. Normal resolution of wound healing is characterized by clearance of immune cells and myofibroblasts; as such, disruption of this chronically reactive state may promote restoration of a normal tenogenic program. Indeed, several of the transcription factors that are predicted to mediate the “reactive” pseudotime module are strongly associated with myofibroblast differentiation and tissue fibrosis. For example, aberrant Egr1 expression is associated with scleroderma and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, while Egr1−/− attenuates myofibroblast differentiation. However, Egr1 is also a highly mechanosensitive TF expressed during tendon homeostasis, suggesting potential context-dependent functions that may vary between tendon homeostasis and healing.

Consistent with only a minor subpopulation of tdTomato+ cells (ScxAi9+) in both C0synthetic and C3fibrotic, the ScxAi9 population did not take part in either of these differentiation trajectories from C1nativetendon. Based on the related biological processes, the localization of C0synthetic further away from the injury site, and a shift toward enrichment for C0synthetic by day 28 post-healing, we suggest that this trajectory defines the restoration of injured tendon back to its native structure. As such, stimulation of signaling pathways specific to this molecular cluster may be a means to restore native tendon structure and function by supporting pro-tenogenic programming. While the TFs identified as regulating this process have not been extensively studied in the context of tendon, this module represents a potentially important approach to restore normal tenogenic function after injury.

In contrast to C2reactive and C0synthetic, C3fibrotic is located within a peripheral capsule surrounding the primary repair area. Importantly, this exterior scar tissue shell is likely a critical driver of peritendinous adhesion formation. In the murine model, which forms transient peritendinous adhesions, the C3fibrotic cluster is relatively transient, with predominant enrichment at day 14 and a subsequent decrease through day 28. Thus, future work is needed to establish whether the sustained presence of this tissue program may be working to promote persistent adhesion formation in the context of clinical flexor tendon injuries. Accordingly, several TFs predicted to regulate this fibrotic module are strongly associated with fibrosis, such as Rela and Nkbi. RelA/NF-κB inhibition has been shown to reduce tendon scarring, suggesting that inhibition of the fibrotic module drives more regenerative healing.
In addition to those TFs specific to individual modules, common TFs were also identified between modules, suggesting broader regulation of certain aspects of the healing process. For example, Srf1 was predicted to regulate both the reactive and synthetic modules. Srf1 is involved in cell growth and differentiation, while Sp1 was predicted to regulate all three pseudotime modules, consistent with its known functions relating to collagen biosynthesis.

While spatial transcriptomics does not provide single-cell resolution, a major benefit is the ability to interrogate the cross-talk between clusters in physical proximity, thereby allowing identification of potential key interactions underpinning these molecular programs. Our cellular interactome data derived from the integrated dataset focused primarily on the reactive population (C2\text{reactive}), as this cluster represented the bulk of both outgoing and incoming signaling patterns, consistent with a sustained “reactive” phenotype. Thbs4-Sdc1 and Thbs4-Cd47 were the main ligand-receptor interactions both within C2\text{reactive} autocrine signaling and between C2\text{reactive} and C4\text{inflammatory}. Thrombospondin signaling, and more specifically the Thbs4 ligand, seems to exert broad effects throughout healing, consistent with a requirement for Thbs4 in the normal formation of tendon and myotendinous junction.

However, an interesting restriction of Thbs4-Cd47 signaling was observed: the tdTomato+ subset of C2\text{reactive} was exclusively and consistently identified as expressing Cd47 receptor component of this ligand-receptor pair. Within the C2\text{reactive} cluster, this interaction is only observed in tdTomato+ autocrine signaling and tdTomato− signaling to tdTomato+. Moreover, Thbs4-Cd47 was identified only in C4\text{inflammatory} (tdTomato+ and tdTomato− subsets) signaling to the tdTomato+ subset of C2\text{reactive}. Given the role of Cd47 functioning as a “do not eat me” signal to patrolling macrophages, this may be an important mechanism by which adult Scx\text{Ai9} cells maintain a persistent reactive myofibroblast phenotype in the native tendon stubs, thereby preventing restoration of a normal tenogenic profile.

In addition to defining the key programs and events that dictate the spatial map of tendon healing, these data shed important light on potential regulators of tendon homeostasis that have not previously been appreciated. For example, in the integrated analysis, Cochlin (Coch) was identified as a defining gene for the native tendon cluster. Cochlin is an ECM protein that comprises the predominant non-collagen ECM component of the cochlea and vestibule of the inner ear, and Coch mutations lead to sensineuronal hearing loss. While the role of Coch in tendon is not clear, recent work from Wunderli et al. demonstrates downregulation of Coch in an ex vivo model of hyper vascular/matrix unloading-mediated tendinopathy. Moreover, Wang et al. demonstrated that Scx is required for the formation of tendon in the middle ear, and Coch expression is observed in these structures. Finally, proteomic analysis of aged tendons identified Coch as a predominant protein that is lost during natural aging, suggesting a potential role for tenocyte-derived Cochlin in tendon homeostasis.

In summary, these data provide unprecedented temporal-spatial molecular profiling of the tendon healing process, define the molecular programs that underly distinct tissue features, and establish potential transcriptional regulators of different aspects of cell fate and function. Defining the distinct molecular programs that predominate during different phases of healing...
in a spatially dependent way will substantially enhance our understanding of the complex cellular and molecular milieu of tendon healing and will facilitate identification of more targeted therapeutic approaches to improve tendon healing.

Limitations of the study

One of the main limitations of this study is the resolution (55 μm per spot) of the current spatial transcriptomics technology, which is not capable of capturing single-cell information. However, the ability to define the predominant molecular programs of fibrotic tendon healing in an unbiased way, and subsequently map these programs to specific tissue features, provides an unparalleled level of spatial-molecular definition of the tendon healing process. In addition, spatial data can subsequently be integrated with single-cell RNA-seq datasets to provide more granular definition of the healing process. Related to the limited resolution, we are currently unable to distinguish between the potential contribution of the epitenon relative to the main body of the tendon. While this study provides significant information regarding spatially resolved transcriptomic profiles of tendon healing in young, metabolically normal mice, future work will be necessary to define likely alterations in the spatial-molecular map of tendon healing due to aging or other comorbidities.

STAR★METHODS

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact—Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Alayna Loiselle (alayna_loiselle@urmc.rochester.edu).

Materials availability—This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and code availability

- Spatial transcriptomics data have been deposited at Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO: GSE216214) and are publicly available as of the date of publication. Accession numbers are listed in the key resources table. Microscopy data reported in this paper will be shared by the lead contact upon request.
- This paper does not report original code.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Animals

Mouse models: Scx-CreERT2 mice were generously provided by Dr. Ronen Schweitzer. ROSA-Ai9 (#007909) mice were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA). ROSA-Ai9 mice express the red fluorescent protein variant tdTomato following Cre-mediated recombination. Scx-CreERT2 mice were crossed to the ROSA-Ai9 strain to trace adult Scx-lineage cells (ScxAi9). Cre+ males and females were used for all studies and underwent tendon surgery at 10–12 weeks of age. For all experiments, ScxAi9 animals
received three 100mg/kg i.p. tamoxifen (Tmx; #T5648, Sigma Life Sciences) injections beginning seven days prior to flexor tendon repair surgery to trace and assess the fate of adult Scx<sup>Ai9</sup> cells, while also ensuring that no subsequent labelling occurred during tendon healing by allowing for a washout period of four days. All mice were maintained under pathogen-free conditions and housed with no more than five animals per cage under standardized light-dark cycle conditions with ad libitum access to food and water. The vivarium was maintained under controlled temperature and humidity.

This study was carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health. All animal procedures were approved by the University Committee on Animal Research (UCAR) at the University of Rochester.

**METHOD DETAILS**

**Flexor tendon repair**—At 10–12 weeks of age, male and female mice underwent complete transection and repair of the flexor digitorum longus (FDL) tendon in the hind paw as previously described. Mice were injected prior to surgery with 15–20μg of sustained-release buprenorphine and then anesthetized with Ketamine (100mg/kg) and Xylazine (10mg/kg). Following cleaning and sterilization of the surgery area, the FDL tendon was transected at the myotendinous junction to reduce strain-induced rupture of the repair site and the skin was closed with a 5-0 suture. This myotendinous junction injury naturally heals throughout the first week of healing, re-introducing strain to the tendon. A small incision was then made on the posterior surface of the hind paw, the FDL tendon was located and completely transected. The tendon was repaired using an 8-0 suture and the skin was closed with a 5-0 suture.

**Histology and immunofluorescence**—Hind paws were harvested (n = 3–5 per time-point) from both uninjured Scx<sup>Ai9</sup> mice, and Scx<sup>Ai9</sup> mice at post-operative days 8, 10, 12, 14, 21, and 28 for paraffin sectioning. Hind paws were fixed in 10% formalin for 72 hours at room temperature, decalcified in Webb-Jee EDTA for two weeks, processed and embedded in paraffin. Three-micron sagittal sections were cut, de-waxed, rehydrated, and probed with antibodies for tdTomato (1:500, AB8181, SICGEN), αSMA-CY3 (1:200, C6198, Sigma Life Sciences, St. Louis, MO, USA), αSMA-FITC (1:500, F3777, Sigma Life Sciences), HSP47 (1:250, ab109117, Abcam), PCNA (1:100, ab29, Abcam, Cambridge, MA), FAP (1:500, ab53066, Abcam), VCAM1 (1:1000, ab134047, Abcam) CD45 (1:250, ab10558, Abcam). The following secondary antibodies were used: Donkey anti-mouse 488 (for PCNA) (1:200, #715-546-150, Jackson Immuno), Donkey anti-rabbit Rhodamine-Red-X (for FAP, Hsp47, VCAM-1, CD45) (1:200, #711-296-152, Jackson Immuno), Donkey anti-goat Rhodamine-Red-X (for tdTomato) (1:200, #705-296-147, Jackson Immuno), Donkey anti-goat 488 (for tdTomato) (1:200, #705-546-147, Jackson Immuno).

Nuclei were counterstained with NucBlue (#R37605, Invitrogen), and imaging was performed using a VS120 Virtual Slide Microscope (Olympus, Waltham, MA, USA). For Masson’s Trichrome staining of Scx<sup>Ai9</sup>, following imaging of immunofluorescent staining, coverslips were gently removed in PBS and samples subsequently stained with Masson’s...
Trichrome to visualize collagen content. Figures 1B and 1D were pseudo-colored using Image J [https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/] for ease of interpretation.

Spatial transcriptomics (spatial RNA-sequencing)—Hind paws from Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} mice were harvested at 14-, 21-, and 28-days post-surgery, and from un-injured Scx\textsuperscript{Ai9} mice (n = 2 per time-point), embedded in cryomatrix and snap-frozen in isopentane pre-cooled with liquid nitrogen. Ten-micron frozen sections were cut through the sagittal plane of the tendon and mounted on spatially barcoded capture areas of a Gene Expression slide (#1000187, Visium Spatial Gene Expression, 10X Genomics). Sections were fixed and stained with H&E for digital imaging, permeabilized and then a reverse transcription mix was added to each section. The bound cDNA was then released from the slide and used to prepare a library for sequencing following the 10X Genomics protocol. Paired-end sequencing was performed on the Illumina NextSeq 550 sequencer (Illumina Inc, San Diego CA) to obtain approximately 50,000 reads per spot covered with tissue within each capture area. Sequence data was then overlaid on to the H&E-stained digital image based on spatial orientation of the barcodes. The 10X gene-expression data were first processed using CellRanger (v.3.0.2, 10X Genomics). Normalized feature-barcode matrices were then used for downstream analysis.

Spatial RNA-seq data processing, integration, and visualization—Spatial RNA-seq datasets of the samples from different timepoints were processed in R Studio using Seurat (V4.1).\textsuperscript{67} Each sample was subsetted with barcodes obtained from Loupe to encompass the region of interest (tendon ends and associated scar tissue, Figure S1), and then underwent quality control to filter out low-quality reads and spots with high mitochondrial content. SCTransform was used to normalize the data, and dimensionality reduction performed with 15 principal components.

After each replicate was processed in this way, samples were then integrated with an anchor-based approach implemented in Seurat, and batch-corrected with the Fastmnn function from batchelor R package.\textsuperscript{64} Unsupervised clustering at 0.5 resolution identified six distinct clusters representing cellular/molecular programs present throughout fibrotic tendon healing. Annotation of each cluster was performed through examination of top differentially expressed genes (DEG) between clusters, gene ontology (GO) analysis, and spatial localization of markers (Figures 2 and S3). DEGs were genes expressed in at least 25\% of cells within the cluster and with a fold change of more than 0.25 in natural log scale. GO analysis to determine the biological functions of a given cluster was completed by submitting the top 100 DEGs of each cluster to the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID, [https://david.ncifcrf.gov/]) bioinformatics resource.\textsuperscript{65}

Pseudotemporal ordering and lineage trajectories—Monocle\textsuperscript{3}\textsuperscript{60–62} was used to reconstruct differentiation trajectories from native tendon tissue cells by computing and ordering the sequence of gene expression changes of the cells collected from different time points.\textsuperscript{60–62} First, both the muscle-tendon interface and inflammatory clusters from the integrated spatial RNA-seq dataset were removed, as we are primarily focused on investigating differentiation trajectories of tendon cells. Next, the integrated spatial RNA-seq dataset created in Seurat was converted into a Monocle3 object using the SeuratWrappers R
package to maintain cluster embeddings. We used the learn_graph and order_cells functions to identify potential differentiation trajectories in our integrated spatial-seq dataset with the non-reactive/native tendon-like cell cluster as the root of lineage trajectories.

To determine sets of genes (i.e., gene modules) governing the differentiation of native tendon-like cells into peripheral fibroblastic tissue, active remodeling tissue, or synthetic fibroblast tissue during wound healing, we first subset each trajectory individually using choose_graph_segments, then used the graph_test and find_gene_modules (resolution = 0.001) to define specific gene modules using hierarchical cluster analysis. Additionally, GO term analysis was performed on each gene module to determine their associated biological functions.

**Transcription factor binding motif and protein-protein network analysis**—To obtain transcription factor (TF) scores and TF binding motifs of the genes within modules that control lineage specification of native tendon tissue during healing, we entered gene lists from each synthetic, remodeling, and fibrotic gene modules in RcisTarget R package using default parameters and mm9-tss-centered-10kb-7species.mc9nt.feather as the database. Furthermore, gene lists from each module were submitted to Transcriptional Regulatory Relationships Unrevealed by Sentence-based Text (TRRUST) mining, a manually curated database of human and mouse transcriptional regulatory networks, to compare and combine the results obtained from RcisTarget analysis. For each gene module, top six TFs with corresponding binding motif were selected and visualized.

**Cell-cell interactome analysis**—To identify cell-cell crosstalk between each cluster in the integrated data, as well as that between tdTomato+ (ScxAi9+) and tdTomato− (ScxAi9−) populations, we used CellChat (v.1.1.3), an open source R package that uses a manually curated signaling molecule interaction database to comprehensively analyze intercellular communications from seq data. The advantage of using this method is that CellChat takes multimeric ligand-receptor complexes into account to infer signaling patterns with mass action models. Quantitative communication analysis is performed via social network analysis tools, pattern recognition models, and manifold learning approaches, allowing identification of the signaling role for each specified population.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Quantification of the percent of ScxAi9+ cells that were also positive for either PCNA, FAP, or VCAM-1 expression (Figure 1) was conducted in a blinded semi-automated manner using Visiopharm image analysis software v.6.7.9.2590 (Visiopharm, Horsholm, Denmark). A region of interest (ROI) was drawn to include the tendon stubs and bridging scar tissue for each sample, and automatic segmentation using a threshold classifier was used to define discrete cell populations based on fluorescent intensity. Data are presented as the percent of ScxAi9+ that are also positive for the marker specified. An n = 3–4 independent biological samples per time-point were analyzed, and data normality was confirmed using GraphPad Prism Version 9.4.1. Data are presented ± standard deviation (SD).
Supplementary Material
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Highlights

- Fibroblastic tissue demonstrates molecular trifurcation during tendon healing
- Adult Scleraxis-lineage cells are enriched in the reactive tissue trajectory
- The reactive cluster demonstrates high autocrine and paracrine communication
Figure 1. Adult Scx$^{Ai9}$ cells undergo progressive and spatially dependent activation and myofibroblast differentiation to mediate both ECM elaboration and fibrosis

(A) Scx$^{Ai9}$ mice were injected with tamoxifen (Tmx) for 3 days, followed by a 4-day washout (WO) period prior to tendon repair surgery, and tendons were harvested between 8 and 28 days post-repair. Scale bars on low-magnification images represent 200 μm and 50 μm on higher-magnification images.

(B and C) (B) Co-immunofluorescence of Scx$^{Ai9}$ (red) and PCNA (green), (C) Scx$^{Ai9}$ (red) and VCAM-1 (green) (top), or Scx$^{Ai9}$ (red) and FAP (green) (bottom) between 8 and 28 days post-repair. Nuclei are stained with DAPI. Tendon is outlined by white dotted
lines and scar tissue by yellow dotted lines. Examples of co-localization indicated by white arrows. Scale bars on low-magnification images represent 100 μm and 50 μm on higher-magnification images.

(D) Co-immunofluorescence between Scx\(^{Ai9}\) (red) and αSMA+ myofibroblasts (green) between 8 and 28 days post-repair. Scale bars on low-magnification images represent 200 μm and 20 μm on higher-magnification images. Blue and purple boxes indicate locations of higher magnification images. White arrows indicate examples of co-localization. Quantitative data are plotted mean ± standard deviation. n = 3–4 per time point. See also Figure S1.
Figure 2. Integration of tendon and scar ROI over time defines transcriptome dynamics during healing

(A) Representative H&E image from day 14_2 sample; ROI outlined in yellow (tendon ends) and blue (scar tissue) was subsetted for integration.

(B) Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) of integrated and batch-corrected dataset demonstrates (left) distribution of spots from each individual sample and (right) six distinct clusters.

(C) Violin plots and spatial mapping of highly expressed genes within each integrated cluster.
(D) Heatmap of top 10 DEGs from each cluster.
(E) Annotated UMAP of integrated dataset.
(F) Distribution and localization of integrated clusters over time represented by both UMAP (top) and spatial plot (bottom, one representative sample at each time point). UI indicates uninjured healthy tendon. Scale bars represent 1 mm. See also Figures S2 and S3.
Figure 3. Scx<sup>Ai9</sup> pseudotime trajectory analysis from native tendon to the reactive cellular/molecular program

(A) UMAP depicting differentiation pathways from C<sub>1</sub><sup>native_tendon</sup> to C<sub>3</sub><sup>fibrotic</sup> (blue arrow), C<sub>2</sub><sup>reactive</sup> (green), and C<sub>0</sub><sup>synthetic</sup> (red).

(B and C) Violin plot depicting expression pattern of (B) tdTomato, indicative of Scx<sup>Ai9</sup> cells, across all clusters and (C) tdTomato+/− subsets based on a cutoff of tdTomato >1 (red dotted line).

(D) Quantification of Scx<sup>Ai9</sup> spots per cluster.

(E) Pseudotime trajectory analysis for the tdTomato+ subset follows the reactive module pathway (green arrow).

(F and G) Spatial localization of C<sub>2</sub><sup>reactive</sup> at day 21 post-injury (F), along with feature plots of representative genes enriched along the reactive differentiation route, including Timp2, Tnc, Mmp13, and Dkk3 (G).

(H) Identification of GO terms enriched the reactive module.

(I and J) TF binding motif analysis predicts transcription factors Srf, Sp1, Egr1, Fosl1, Smad3, and Klf4 as regulators of the reactive program trajectory (I), which are also shown with a dot plot (J) to demonstrate expression level and localization within clusters.
Green box highlights expression levels in native tendon and reactive tissue. Scale bars represent 1 mm.
See also Figure S5.
Figure 4. Pseudotime trajectory analysis from native tendon to the fibrotic cellular/molecular program

(A–C) UMAP depicting the specific pseudotime trajectory chosen for defining the differentiation path (blue arrow) (A), spatial localization of C3<sub>fibrotic</sub> at day 14 post-injury (B), and feature plots of representative genes enriched along this route, including Adamts15, Ccl6, Col14a1, and Dpt (C).

(D) Identification of GO terms enriched in the fibrotic module.

(E and F) TF binding motif analysis predicts transcription factors Nfkb1, Jun, Sp1, Irf8, Tcf4, and Rela as key regulators of the trajectory on this differentiation route (E), along with dot plot to demonstrate expression level and localization within clusters (F). Blue box highlights expression levels in native tendon and fibrotic tissue. Scale bars represent 1 mm.

See also Figure S5.
Figure 5. Pseudotime trajectory analysis from native tendon to the synthetic cellular/molecular program
(A–C) UMAP depicting the specific pseudotime trajectory chosen for defining the differentiation path (red arrow) (A), spatial localization of $C0_{\text{synthetic}}$ at day 28 post-injury (B), and feature plots of representative genes enriched along this route, including $Col12a1$, $Thbs4$, $Fmod$, and $Col8a2$ (C).
(D) Identification of GO terms enriched the synthetic module.
(E) TF binding motif analysis predicts transcription factors $Sp1$, $Nfkb1$, $Mbd2$, $Tal1$, $Sox10$, and $Rela$ to be key regulators of the trajectory in this synthetic module.
(F) Dot plot of TFs demonstrates expression level and localization within clusters.
Red box highlights expression levels in native tendon and synthetic tissue. Scale bars represent 1 mm.
See also Figure S5.
Figure 6. Identification of the interactome in relation to the Scx<sup>Lin</sup>+ population

(A) Overall interaction strength of each cluster in the tdTomato+ subset (top) and tdTomato− subset (bottom) demonstrates differential interaction strength for C<sub>2</sub>reactive.

(B) Relative information flow between subpopulations; top signaling pathways colored red are enriched in the tdTomato+ subset, and those colored blue enriched in the tdTomato− subset.

(C) Overall signaling patterns for each subset breaks down the overall information flow to demonstrate which integrated clusters participate in the top signaling pathways.
(D) Dot plot of the identified ligand-receptor interactions within and between the tdTomato+ and tdTomato− subsets in C2reactive.

(E) Dot plot of ligand-receptor interactions between the tdTomato+ and tdTomato− subsets of C0synthetic, C3fibrotic, and C4inflammatory to the tdTomato+ subset of C2reactive. Dot size and color indicate the significance of the interaction (given as a range of p values), and the probability of communication, respectively. See also Figures S6 and S7.
## KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Antibodies**      |        |            |
| Polyclonal Goat Anti-tdTomato | SICGEN | AB8181-200; RRID: AB_2722750 |
| Monoclonal Anti-Actin, alpha smooth muscle -CY3 | Sigma Life Sciences | Cat: C6198; RRID: AB_476856 |
| Monoclonal Anti-Actin, alpha smooth muscle -FITC | Sigma Life Sciences | Cat: F3777; RRID: AB_476977 |
| Polyclonal Rabbit Anti-Fibroblast Activation Protein, alpha | Abcam | Cat: ab53066; RRID: AB_880077 |
| Monoclonal Rabbit Anti-Hsp47 | Abcam | Cat: ab109117; RRID: AB_10888995 |
| Monoclonal Mouse Anti-PCNA | Abcam | Cat: ab29; RRID: AB_303394 |
| Monoclonal Rabbit Anti-VCAM1 | Abcam | Cat: ab134047; RRID: AB_2721053 |
| Polyclonal Rabbit Anti-CD45 | Abcam | Cat: ab10558; RRID: AB_442810 |
| Alexa Fluor 488-AffiniPure F(ab')2 Fragment Donkey Anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) | Jackson ImmunoResearch | Cat: 715-546-150; RRID: AB_2340849 |
| Rhodamine Red-X-AffiniPure F(ab')2 Fragment Donkey Anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) | Jackson ImmunoResearch | Cat: 711-296-152; RRID: AB_2340614 |
| Alexa Fluor 488-AffiniPure F(ab')2 Fragment Donkey Anti-Goat IgG (H+L) | Jackson ImmunoResearch | Cat: 705-546-147; RRID: AB_2340430 |
| Rhodamine Red-X-AffiniPure F(ab')2 Fragment Donkey Anti-Goat IgG (H+L) | Jackson ImmunoResearch | Cat: 705-296-147; RRID: AB_2340424 |
| **Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins** |        |            |
| Tamoxifen | Sigma Life Sciences | Cat: T5648 |
| NucBlue Live Cell Stain ReadyProbes reagent | Invitrogen | Cat: R37605 |
| **Critical commercial assays** |        |            |
| Visium Spatial Gene Expression Slide and Reagents Kit | 10x Genomics | Cat: 1000187 |
| **Deposited data** |        |            |
| Spatial transcriptomic dataset | Gene Expression Omnibus | GSE216214 |
| **Experimental models: Organisms/strains** |        |            |
| Mouse: Scx-CreERT2 | Dr. Ronen Schweitzer | N/A |
| Mouse: B6.Cg-Gt(ROSA)26Sor<tm9(CAG-tdTomato)Hze>/J | The Jackson Laboratory | Cat: #007909; RRID: IMSR_JAX:007909 |
| **Software and algorithms** |        |            |
| ImageJ Image Processing and Analysis in Java | ImageJ | https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/ |
| Cell Ranger v.3.0.2 | 10x Genomics | https://support.10xgenomics.com/single-cell-gene-expression/software/downloads/latest |
| R studio | R Studio | https://www.rstudio.com |
| GraphPad Prism version 9.0 | Dotmatics | https://www.graphpad.com |
| Monocle3 R package | Trapnell et al. | https://cole-trapnell-lab.github.io/monocle3/ |
| | Qiu et al. | |
| | Traag et al. | |
| RcisTarget R package | Aibar et al. | https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/RcisTarget.html |
| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| Batchelor R package | Haghverdi et al.\textsuperscript{64} | https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/batchelor.html |
| DAVID Gene Functional Classification Tool version 6.8 | Huang et al.\textsuperscript{65} | https://david.ncifcrf.gov/gene2gene.jsp |
| CellChat v.1.1.3 | Jin et al.\textsuperscript{66} | http://www.cellchat.org |
| Seurat V4.0 R package | Hao et al.\textsuperscript{67} | https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/Seurat/versions/4.1.0 |
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