The dust-to-gas mass ratio of luminous galaxies as a function of their metallicity at cosmic noon
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ABSTRACT

Aims. We aim to quantify the relation between the dust-to-gas mass ratio (DTG) and gas-phase metallicity of z = 2.1–2.5 luminous galaxies and contrast this high-redshift relation against analogous constraints at z=0.  
Methods. We present a sample of ten star-forming main-sequence galaxies in the redshift range 2.1 < z < 2.5 with rest-optical emission-line information available from the MOSDEF survey and with ALMA 1.2 millimetre and CO J=3–2 follow-up observations.  
Results. We find that the galaxies in this sample follow the trends already observed between CO line luminosity and dust-continuum luminosity from z = 0 to z = 3, extending such trends to fainter galaxies at 2.1 < z < 2.5 than observed to date. We find no second-order metallicity dependence in the CO – dust-continuum luminosity relation for the galaxies presented in this work.  
Discussion. The DTGs of main-sequence galaxies at 2.1 < z < 2.5 are consistent with an increase in the DTG with gas-phase metallicity. The metallicity dependence of the DTG is driven by the metallicity dependence of the CO–to–H$_2$ conversion factor. Galaxies at z = 2.1–2.5 are furthermore consistent with the DTG-metallicity relation found at z=0 (i.e. with no significant evolution), providing relevant constraints for galaxy formation models. These results furthermore imply that the metallicity of galaxies should be taken into account when estimating cold-gas masses from dust-continuum emission, which is especially relevant when studying metal-poor low-mass or high-redshift galaxies.  
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1. Introduction

Dust is one of the key ingredients in interstellar medium (ISM) and galaxy physics. Dust influences interstellar chemistry (e.g. Hollenbach & Salpeter 1971) and acts as a catalyst for the formation of molecular hydrogen, the fuel for star formation (e.g. Cazaux & Spaans 2009). Dust depletes metals from the gas-phase ISM (Calzetti et al. 1994), contributes to the metals in the circumgalactic medium (Ménard et al. 2010), and can even act as an additional cooling channel of hot gas onto galaxies (Ostriker & Silk 1973). Dust grains absorb optical and ultraviolet stellar radiation and re-emit them at infrared wavelengths (Spitzer 1978). Our understanding of star formation in the Universe is highly incomplete unless we account for the dust-obsured emission from young stars (e.g. Madau & Dickinson 2014).

The dust-to-gas mass ratio (DTG) of galaxies quantifies the fraction of ISM mass locked up in dust grains. The relation between gas-phase metallicity and the DTG provides meaningful constraints on dust growth and destruction in galaxies and the timescale for star formation (Asano et al. 2013; Zhukovska 2014). A number of semi-analytical (Popping et al. 2017; Vijayan et al. 2019; Triani et al. 2020; Dayal et al. 2022) and hydrodynamic (McKinnon et al. 2018; Li et al. 2019; Hou et al. 2019; Graziani et al. 2020) models of galaxy formation and evolution have included the production and destruction of dust. Predictions for the functional shape and time evolution of the relation between metallicity and the DTG vary significantly between these models, with differences as high as an order of magnitude at fixed metallicity (see Popping & Péroux 2022). An observational census of the relation between gas-phase metallicity and the DTG over cosmic time is thus timely.

In the last two decades, a number of studies have demonstrated that the DTG of local luminous galaxies increases as a function of gas-phase metallicity (e.g. Rémy-Ruyer et al. 2014; De Vis et al. 2019; Galliano et al. 2021). Absorption studies of damped Lyman-α absorbers have provided a statistical view of
the relation between metallicity and the DTG at $z > 0$ (e.g. De Cia et al. 2016; Wiseman et al. 2017; Péroux & Howk 2020), indicating that this relation is constant from $z = 0$ to $z = 5$ (Popping & Péroux 2022). By nature, these studies probe the DTG of a galaxy along an individual sightline in the neutral ISM, rather than measuring the integrated DTG, and thus do not probe the majority of the metals and dust within galaxies.

Using a combination of Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) and MOSFIRE observations of four luminous $z > 1$ main-sequence galaxies, Shapley et al. (2020) find that the normalisation of the relation between gas-phase metallicity and the DTG appears constant with time out to $z ∼ 2.5$. However, this study was limited to approximately solar-metallicity objects. Missing from our current observational picture is thus a sample of luminous $z > 0$ galaxies that covers a wide range in gas-phase metallicities for which integrated DTG estimates are available. Such a sample will provide new constraints for theoretical models on the functional shape and evolution of the relation between gas-phase metallicity and the DTG.

In recent years, the dust-continuum emission of galaxies has frequently been used as a tracer of cold-gas mass (e.g. Eales et al. 2012; Groves et al. 2015; Scoville et al. 2016; Kaasinen et al. 2019). At $z > 0$ these relations were calibrated against bright and metal-rich sub-millimetre galaxies (Scoville et al. 2016). The validity of this methodology for measuring cold-gas mass in sub-solar-metallicity $z > 0$ galaxies has not yet been probed. A complete observational census of the functional shape and evolution of the relation between the DTG and gas-phase metallicity can thus provide new insights into the use of dust-continuum emission as a cold-gas mass tracer.

In this paper we present the relation between gas-phase metallicity and the DTG for ten $z ∼ 2.3$ main-sequence galaxies. These galaxies have robust metallicity estimates available from the MOSFIRE Deep Evolution Field (MOSDEF) survey (Kriek et al. 2015) and dust and H$_2$ mass constraints from ALMA observations (Shivaei et al. 2022; Sanders et al. 2022). In Sect. 2 we present the sample and the MOSFIRE and ALMA data, and we discuss how galaxy metallicity and the DTG were derived. Section 3 presents the results of this study, focusing on the relation between CO and dust continuum luminosity and the metallicity–DTG relation. We discuss and summarise our results in Sect. 4. We adopt a cold dark matter cosmology with $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.7$, and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.3$ and a Chabrier (2003) initial mass function, and we assume a solar metallicity of $T_2 + \log(O/H) = 8.69$ (Asplund et al. 2009).

2. Data

2.1. Sample and data

The galaxies selected for the present work are from the Cosmic Evolution Survey (COSMOS) field with available data from the MOSDEF survey (Kriek et al. 2015). As described in Kriek et al. (2015), the Keck/MOSFIRE spectrometer (McLean et al. 2012) was used to obtain rest-optical spectra for MOSDEF galaxies, covering the strong optical emission lines [OII]$\lambda\lambda$3726,3729, H$_\beta$, [OIII]$\lambda\lambda$4959,5007, H$_\alpha$, [NII]6584, and [SII] $\lambda\lambda$6716,6731. Such measurements enable the robust determination of the gas-phase metallicity of the targeted galaxies (Sanders et al. 2018, 2021). From the MOSDEF sample, we selected galaxies that have ALMA band-6 1.2 mm coverage from programme 2019.1.01142.S (presented in Shivaei et al. 2022) and CO J=3–2 coverage from programme 2018.1.01128.S (presented in Sanders et al. 2022) that are not classified as mergers (via the visual classification of close pairs or disturbed systems using multi-wavelength rest-optical data; Kartaltepe et al. 2012). These criteria resulted in a sample of ten galaxies in the redshift range 2.1–2.5, with stellar masses ranging from $10^{10.0}$ to $10^{10.5}$ M$_\odot$, star-formation rates (SFRs) ranging from 35 to 145 M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ (see Shivaei et al. 2022 for more information on the derivation of the stellar masses and SFRs), and metallicities in the range $8.4 < \log(O/H) < 8.8$ (0.5 – 1.25 Z$_\odot$). A detailed description of the metallicity estimation is provided in Sect. 2.2.1 and an overview of the sample properties in Table I.

The stellar mass, SFR, and metallicity distributions of our sample are presented in Fig. 1 and compared to galaxies at redshifts $z = 1.5$ – 2.5 from the MOSDEF survey. Our sample of galaxies is representative of the diversity in metallicities of galaxies with stellar masses of $\sim 10^{10.5}$ M$_\odot$ and encompasses the upper envelope of the main sequence of star formation at this stellar mass and redshift (as derived in Shivaei et al. 2015).

A detailed description of the ALMA data calibration, imaging, and flux measurements is included in the works that present the band-6 and band-3 data (respectively, Shivaei et al. 2022; Sanders et al. 2022). Six galaxies in our sample are detected at 1.2 mm (Shivaei et al. 2022) with a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of at least 2.3, which is sufficient given the detailed prior knowledge of these galaxies. The CO J=3–2 emission line is robustly detected with a S/N of at least 3.8 for six of the ten galaxies of our sample (Sanders et al. 2022). For another three of the galaxies, both the 1.2 mm and CO J=3–2 emission are detected. Finally, the remaining galaxy is detected neither at 1.2 mm nor in CO J=3–2. With this sample, we can robustly constrain the DTG for three galaxies, while placing upper limits for three and lower limits for three. The band-6 1.2 mm flux densities and CO J=3–2 line luminosities of our sample of galaxies (as well as derived quantities) are tabulated in Table I.

We additionally used stacking techniques to include information from all the galaxies in our sample. The adopted method-
value and standard deviation.

Table 1. Sample properties.

| ID     | Redshift | 12 + log(O/H) | S1.2mm/µJy | log (L'_{CO3-2}/[K km s^{-1} pc^2]) | log (M_{H2}/M_⊙) | log (M_{dust}/M_⊙) |
|--------|----------|---------------|------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 19985  | 2.19     | 8.53 ± 0.01   | 107 ± 34   | 9.75 ± 0.16                       | 10.91 ± 0.16      | 8.31 ± 0.34         |
| 13701  | 2.17     | 8.76 ± 0.02   | <144       | 9.57 ± 0.1                        | 10.36 ± 0.1       | <8.44               |
| 5814   | 2.13     | 8.68 ± 0.03   | 156 ± 66   | <9.86                             | <10.52            | 8.47 ± 0.35         |
| 3666   | 2.09     | 8.41 ± 0.02   | <78        | <9.8                              | <10.9             | <8.18               |
| 9971   | 2.41     | 8.58 ± 0.03   | 143 ± 36   | <9.7                              | <10.53            | 8.44 ± 0.32         |
| 4497   | 2.44     | 8.62 ± 0.04   | 161 ± 63   | <9.68                             | <10.44            | 8.49 ± 0.36         |
| 5094   | 2.17     | 8.71 ± 0.08   | <136       | 9.88 ± 0.11                       | 10.75 ± 0.11      | <8.41               |
| 3324   | 2.31     | 8.81 ± 0.04   | 162 ± 60   | 9.93 ± 0.12                       | 10.64 ± 0.12      | 8.49 ± 0.34         |
| 24763  | 2.46     | 8.66 ± 0.07   | <136       | 9.41 ± 0.2                        | 10.36 ± 0.2       | <8.42               |
| 13296  | 2.17     | 8.82 ± 0.05   | 216 ± 66   | 9.54 ± 0.08                       | 10.23 ± 0.08      | 8.61 ± 0.31         |

Low-metallicity stack\(^a\) (3 galaxies) 2.23 8.51 ± 0.07 105 ± 21 9.63 ± 0.13 10.83 ± 0.13 8.30\(^a\)\(^b\) 0.30

High-metallicity stack\(^b\) (7 galaxies) 2.26 8.72 ± 0.08 103 ± 21 9.67 ± 0.06 10.52 ± 0.06 8.29\(^b\)\(^b\) 0.30

Columns from left to right: 3D-HST v4 catalog ID, redshift, metallicity (Bian et al. 2018 calibration), 1.2 mm ALMA band-6 flux, CO J=3–2 line luminosity, derived H\(_2\) mass, and derived dust mass. The last two rows correspond to the properties of the stack of all galaxies in the sample and the CO J=3–2 non-detections. The redshift and metallicity for the stacks correspond to the mean value and standard deviation. \(^a\)12 + log(O/H)<8.6; \(^b\)12 + log(O/H)>8.6.

...ology is discussed in Shivaei et al. (2022) and Sanders et al. (2022). We created stacked images and composite spectra for the low-metallicity galaxies (12 + log(O/H) < 8.6; three galaxies) and high-metallicity galaxies (12 + log(O/H) > 8.6; seven galaxies) presented in this work. The CO J=3–2 and 1.2 mm emission is detected for both sets of stacked galaxies. Figure 2 shows the dust-continuum detection (top row) and CO J=3–2 profile (bottom row) of the two stacks. The median galaxy properties and fluxes of the stacks are tabulated in Table 1.

2.2. Galaxy properties

2.2.1. Metallicity

Gas-phase oxygen abundances (i.e. metallicities) were estimated using ratios of strong rest-optical emission lines. The ten targets presented in this work have ≥ 3σ detections of H\(β\), [OIII]\(λ5007\), H\(_z\), and [NII]\(λ6584\), so the O3N2=(([OIII]/H\(β\))/([NII]/H\(_z\))) indicator can be used. Calibrations between O3N2 and metallicity have been constructed using observations of z ~ 0 HII regions and galaxies (e.g. Pettini & Pagel 2004; Curti et al. 2020). However, local Universe metallicity calibrations are suspected to be inaccurate at high redshifts due to evolving ionisation conditions in HII regions (e.g. Steidel et al. 2016; Strom et al. 2018; Topping et al. 2020; Sanders et al. 2020). Using direct-method metallicity measurements of galaxies at z = 1.7–3.6, Sanders et al. (2020) find that the calibrations of Bian et al. (2018) B18 constructed from local galaxies selected to be analogues of z ~ 2 galaxies appear to yield reliable metallicities for high-redshift samples (rather than using e.g. the Pettini & Pagel 2004 PP04, calibration). One caveat is that Sanders et al. (2020) could only test calibrations that use line ratios of [OII], H\(β\), [OIII], and [NeIII] and could not test ratios involving [NII], such as N2=[NII]/H\(_z\) and O3N2. However, Sanders et al. (2022) re-normalised the Bian et al. (2018) O3N2 calibration such that it yields metallicities consistent with those based on [OII], H\(β\), [OIII], and [NeIII]. For all z > 2 sources in this work, we utilised this re-normalised O3N2 calibration from Sanders et al. (2022): 12 + log(O/H) = 9.03 – 0.39 × O3N2.

2.2.2. Dust mass

We calculated the dust mass (M_{dust}) of the galaxies from their dust-continuum emission at 1.2 mm following the approach outlined in Shivaei et al. (2022). They find that, at an observed...
wavelength of 1.2 mm, cold dust is predominantly responsible for the observed dust-continuum emission of sub-solar- and solar-metallicity main-sequence galaxies at \( z = 2.1-2.5 \), making the 1.2 mm emission a good dust mass diagnostic. We derived dust masses from the ALMA 1.2 mm flux densities, assuming an optically thin modified black body, as

\[
M_{\text{dust}} = \frac{(S_\nu/f_{\text{CMB}}) D_z^2(z)}{B_\nu(T) (1 + z) \kappa_\nu(\beta)} \tag{1}
\]

where \( S_\nu \) is the 1.2 mm flux density (in the observed frame), \( f_{\text{CMB}} \) is the correction factor for the cosmic microwave background (CMB) effect on the background at the redshift of the targets (Eq. 18 in da Cunha et al. 2013), \( D_z(z) \) is the luminosity distance to redshift \( z \), \( B_\nu(T) \) is the Planck function with dust temperature \( T_d \) and \( \kappa_\nu(\beta) \) is the dust grain absorption cross-section per unit mass at frequency \( \nu \) with a functional form of \( k_0(z) \nu^\beta \), where \( k_0 \) is the opacity at \( \nu_0 \) and \( \beta \) is the sub-millimetre emissivity index. Following Shiva et al. (2022), we accounted for the systematic uncertainty using a Monte Carlo sampling approach in which we drew dust temperatures from a Gaussian distribution with a mean of 25 K and \( \sigma = 5 \) K and calculated the final dust mass and its uncertainty as the median and dispersion on the resulting dust masses. We furthermore assumed an emissivity index of \( \beta = 1.5 \), and, based on this choice, an opacity of \( k_0 = 0.232 \) m² kg⁻¹ at 250 \( \mu \)m was adopted (Draine 2003; Bianchi 2013). We refer the reader to Appendix B of Shiva et al. (2022) for a detailed discussion of the derivation of dust masses for the presented sample of galaxies and the systematic uncertainties.

2.2.3. \( H_2 \) mass

The \( H_2 \) masses of the galaxies in this paper were calculated from their CO J=3–2 luminosities. We first estimated the CO J=1–0 emission assuming a CO J=1–0 excitation correction factor of \( r_{10-32} = 1.8 \) (Accurso et al. 2017), appropriate for \( z = 2.1-2.5 \) star-forming main-sequence galaxies). To calculate the \( H_2 \) mass of the galaxies, we adopted the Accurso et al. (2017) metallicity-dependent recipe for the CO-to-\( H_2 \) conversion factor, \( \alpha_{\text{CO}} \), where

\[
\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 10^{14.752 - 1.623 (12 + \log(O/H))}, \tag{2}
\]

without taking the minimal dependence on the offset from the main sequence into account. Sanders et al. (2022) estimated \( \alpha_{\text{CO}} \) for a number of \( z = 2 \) galaxies (including the ones presented in this work) by calculating the \( H_2 \) mass from dynamical measurements and from the inverse Schmidt-Kennicutt relation, finding the Accurso et al. (2017) relation to be consistent with their results. We discuss the systematic uncertainties in the \( H_2 \) mass and their impact on our conclusions in more detail in Sect. 4.

3. Results

3.1. The relation between CO and dust-continuum emission

We present the CO J=3–2 luminosity of the galaxies as a function of their 1.2 mm dust continuum brightness in the left panel of Fig. 3, colour-coded by metallicity. For comparison, we include main-sequence galaxies at similar redshifts drawn from the literature where the same information is available (CO J=3–2 line emission and ALMA band-6 continuum). The galaxies presented in this work correspond to the faintest galaxies at \( z = 2 \) for which both CO J=3–2 and dust continuum information are available. We find a strong correlation between the CO and dust continuum luminosities for the combined set of literature galaxies and the sample of galaxies presented in this work, anchored by the low-luminosity sample presented herein. The combined sample of galaxies presented in this work and the literature has a Pearson rank correlation coefficient of 0.89 and a p-value of \( 5 \times 10^{-6} \) and can be described as

\[
\log (L_{CO(3-2)}) = (0.57 \pm 0.13) \times \log (L_{\nu, \text{obs}}(1.2 \text{ mm})) - (8.48 \pm 4.15). \tag{3}
\]
This fitting relation was obtained via Monte Carlo sampling one thousand times over the uncertainty of the CO and 1.2 mm luminosity of every galaxy and applying a least-square fit to the individual samples. The final fit and uncertainty correspond to the median and one-sigma deviation of the individual fits.

The relation presented in Eq. 3 is sub-linear, possibly indicating the existence of a second-order trend between the 1.2 mm luminosity and CO J=3–2 luminosity of galaxies. We do not find an obvious second-order dependence of this correlation as a function of gas-phase metallicity. Galaxies with similar metallicities at a fixed 1.2 mm luminosity can be found both above and below the fit presented in Eq. 3. Figure 3 also includes data points that correspond to stacks of the low- and high-metallicity galaxies. These stacks are consistent with the results based on the individual galaxies and do not show a clear trend with metallicity either.

The right panel of Fig. 3 shows the CO J=1–0 luminosity of the galaxies (derived assuming a CO J=1–0 to CO J=3–2 luminosity ratio of $r_{1-0/3-2} = 1.8$, Lacconi et al. 2018) as a function of their rest-frame 850 µm luminosity (assuming that the dust-continuum spectral energy distribution can be described as a grey body with $\beta = 1.5$ and $T_{\text{dust}} = 25$ K). For comparison, we also include local star-forming galaxies, ultra-luminous infrared galaxies, and the $z = 2$–3 sub-millimetre galaxies presented in Scoville et al. (2016). We furthermore include $z = 2$ main-sequence galaxies for which CO J=1–0 is available, taken from Kaasinen et al. (2019) and Boogaard et al. (2020). We find that our sample of galaxies and their stacks connect the $z = 0$ and $z > 2$ galaxies observed to date, indicating a continuous relation between galaxy CO and dust-continuum luminosity, with a scatter similar to the scatter found for $z > 2$ main-sequence and sub-millimetre galaxies. The relation between CO J=1–0 and rest-frame 850 µm luminosity for the compilation of all the literature galaxies and the galaxies presented in this work can be described by a near-linear relation:

$$\log (L_{\text{CO}(1-0)}) = (0.91 \pm 0.01) \times \log (L_{\nu, \text{rest}} (850 \mu m)) - (17.78 \pm 0.16). \quad (4)$$

The fitting relation was obtained by performing a least-squares fit to the data a thousand times, each time perturbing it by Gaussian-sampling over the uncertainty of each galaxy in CO and 850 µm luminosity. The final fit and uncertainty were obtained by taking the median and one-sigma deviation of all individual fits (Fig. 3).

The near-linear shape of Eq. 4 over four orders of magnitude indicates there is only a weak second-order dependence between the CO J=1–0 and rest-frame 850 µm luminosity of galaxies studied so far (in contrast to the relation between CO J=3–2 and observed 1.2 mm luminosity). Indeed, we do not find a second-order trend as a function of gas-phase metallicity for the relation between galaxy CO J=1–0 and 850 µm luminosity for the sample of galaxies presented herein, although more high-redshift measurements at sub-solar metallicities will be necessary to confirm this.
3.2. The dust-to-gas mass ratio of galaxies

The unique combination of metallicity, dust-continuum, and CO information of the sample of galaxies presented in this work allows us to place additional constraints on the DTG of z ~ 2 subsolar-metallicity luminous galaxies. In Fig. 4, we show the DTG of galaxies as a function of their metallicity. The DTG is defined as $M_{\text{H}_2}/M_{\text{dust}}$ and excludes the contribution from atomic hydrogen, which, according to simulation predictions, corresponds to ~25% of the total gas budget for galaxies with stellar masses of around 10^{10} M_\odot (e.g. Popping et al. 2015). We compared our sample of galaxies to $z = 1.5 - 3$ literature compilation based on data presented in Galliano et al. (2021) and Shapley et al. (2020) with dust-continuum and CO information from Dunlop et al. (2017) (Aravena et al. 2020) González-López et al. (2019) and Riechers et al. (2020), as well as the $z = 0$ compilation presented in Galliano et al. (2021) and the fitting equation presented by De Vis et al. (2019) for a sample of local luminous galaxies (though we note that the De Vis et al. 2019 DTG also takes Hii into account). For consistency, we re-calculated the dust and gas mass for the galaxies presented in Shapley et al. (2020) and Saintonge et al. (2013) as outlined in Sects. 2.2.2 and 2.2.3. We only included the galaxies from the Galliano et al. (2021) sample for which CO observations are available and re-calculated the DTG adopting the same CO-to-H_2 conversion factor as in Sect. 2.2.3.

We find that the DTGs of the presented galaxies are consistent with an increasing trend in the DTG as a function of metallicity. This trend is also clearly seen when comparing the DTG of the stacked set of low-metallicity galaxies to the stack of the high-metallicity galaxies. The DTG of the detected galaxies is consistent with the DTG obtained for the galaxies presented in Shapley et al. (2020). The DTG of the high-metallicity stack is $0.15$ dex below the average DTG of the galaxies presented in Shapley et al. (2020). The DTG found for the galaxies presented herein is consistent with the DTG calculated for the galaxies presented in Saintonge et al. (2013).

The relation between the DTG and metallicity of the $z ~ 2 - 2.5$ galaxies (literature and this work) can be described as a linear function (accounting for the upper and lower limits): \[ \log \text{DTG} = (1.25 \pm 0.75) \times (12 + \log(O/H)) - (12.99 \pm 6.52). \] (5)

The fitting relation was obtained by performing a least-square fit to the data a thousand times, each time perturbing the data by Gaussian-sampling over the uncertainty in metallicity and the DTG for each galaxy, while also accounting for upper and lower limits. The final fit and uncertainty were obtained by taking the median and one-sigma deviation of all individual fits.

The DTG of the $z = 2.1 - 2.5$ MOSDEF galaxies, probing a metallicity range from $12 + \log(O/H) = 8.4 - 8.8$, and their stacks are consistent with the DTG of the $z = 0$ compilation of galaxies from Galliano et al. (2021), which probed a similar metallicity range. The data and stacks presented in Fig. 4 are further thermore consistent with the fitting equation presented by De Vis et al. (2019) for a sample of local luminous galaxies. Although the number of $z = 2.1 - 2.5$ galaxies for which the DTG is constrained is low compared to $z = 0$ (especially at subsolar metallicities), this suggests that the relation between the DTG and $12 + \log(O/H)$ remains constant from $z = 0$ to $z = 2.5$ at metallicities larger than half solar. Further CO observations and multi-band dust-continuum observations of subsolar-metallicity galaxies will be necessary to robustly confirm this result, extend it to lower metallicities, and quantify the scatter.

4. Discussion

We have shown that the DTG of galaxies at $z = 2.1 - 2.5$ increases as a function of metallicity and that there is no evidence of an evolution in this relation from $z = 0$ to $z = 2.5$ (Fig. 4). A lack of evolution had been reported earlier for $z = 1.5 - 2.5$ galaxies in Shapley et al. (2020) and through studies of the dust-depletion patterns of damped Lyman-α absorbers (see the review by Péroux & Howk 2020 and more recently Popping & Péroux 2022). A similar conclusion can be drawn based on the sample of un-lensed luminous $z ~ 2$ main-sequence galaxies that includes galaxies with sub-solar metallicities, exploring a new regime in main-sequence parameter space and detection techniques.

We acknowledge that the presented results and conclusions are dependent on a number of assumptions. For example, the slope of the relation between the DTG and $12 + \log(O/H)$ depends on the CO-to-H_2 conversion factor used. Nevertheless, both the conclusions that the DTG of galaxies increases as a function of gas-phase metallicity and that this relation is constant with time remain true when choosing different CO-to-H_2 conversion factors (for example, the metallicity-dependent conversion factor relations presented in Genzel et al. 2012, Schubra et al. 2012, Bolatto et al. 2013, Amorín et al. 2016 and Madden et al. 2020). The latter conclusion remains true since the DTGs of the $z = 0$ and $z = 1.5 - 3$ samples of galaxies change by the same amount (at fixed metallicity) when the CO-to-H_2 conversion factor changes, as long as the same relation is used at $z = 0$ and $z = 2.5$. The former conclusion, an increase in DTG with metallicity, remains true for all the abovementioned CO-to-H_2 conversion factors, though the slope of the relation increases strongly when adopting CO-to-H_2 recipes with a strong dependence on metallicity (e.g. Schubra et al. 2012 and Madden et al. 2020).

The arrows in Fig. 4 indicate the systematic uncertainty in the DTG and gas-phase metallicity when assuming a different dust temperature, metallicity calibration, or CO excitation correction. These differences can be significant (up to 0.2 dex in metallicity or 0.3 dex in DTG) and change the intercept of the relation between the DTG and $12 + \log(O/H)$. It is likely that the differences could change the slope of this relation since, for example, the CO excitation conditions or dust temperature of subsolar-metallicity galaxies may be different from those of solar-metallicity galaxies. It is, however, unlikely that these uncertainties change the slope of the relation to such an extent that the DTG of galaxies decreases with increasing metallicity.

1 The Galliano et al. (2021) and De Vis et al. (2019) analyses employ metallicities derived with the Pyilugyn & Grebel (2016) ‘S calibration’, which uses a combination of $[O\text{II}]$,[N\text{II}]$H\alpha$, and $[S\text{II}]$ ratios. Our adopted $z ~ 2$ calibration uses only the $[O\text{II}]$,$H\alpha$ and $[N\text{II}]$ ratios in O3N2 because $[S\text{II}]$ was not included in the high-redshift calibration set of Biffi et al. (2018). Despite the slight difference in the line ratio set used to derive metallicities for the local and $z ~ 2$ samples, both calibrations are importantly based on direct-method $T_e$ metallicities, and as such our comparison avoids the offset of a factor of ~2 in metallicity between $T_e$-based and photoionisation-model-based metallicities (e.g. Kewley & Ellison 2008, Sanders et al. 2021).

2 Sanders et al. (2022) demonstrated that the Accurso et al. (2017) relation agrees best with estimates of the $z ~ 2$ $\alpha_{\text{O_2}}$-metallicity relation with H_2 masses derived from dynamical mass measurements or when using an inverse Schmidt-Kennicutt relation that links the SFR surface densities of galaxies to their H_2 surface densities.
An additional point of uncertainty is the contribution of atomic gas to the DTG from $z \sim 2$ galaxies. In this work we have focused on CO emission as a tracer of the molecular gas budget of galaxies, potentially missing a significant contribution from HI. The missing contribution of HI may be (one of) the reason(s) for the offset with the metallicity – the DTG relation found by [Popping & Péroux (2022)] based on absorption studies (which are sensitive to the HI budget of absorbers) and the relations presented herein. Observations of local galaxies indeed demonstrate that the ratio between atomic and molecular hydrogen changes as a function of stellar mass and metallicity (see [Saintonge & Catinella 2022] for a recent review).

While observations of HI in emission at $z \sim 2$ are currently not yet available, we can resort to simulations to provide guidance. Semi-analytic and semi-empirical models predict a typical contribution by HI to the total cold gas budget of galaxies with stellar masses of $\sim 10^{10} M_\odot$ at $z \sim 2$ of the order of 25% ([Lagos et al. 2011], [Popping et al. 2014, 2015]). More recent predictions of the HI versus H2 content of $z > 1$ galaxies, obtained by extrapolating gas-scaling relations of local galaxies, suggest HI contributes to approximately 50% or less of the cold gas budget of galaxies with $\sim 10^{10} M_\odot$ at $z \sim 1$ ([Zhang et al. 2021]). Extrapolating the predictions by [Zhang et al. (2021)] to $z = 2$, we can expect an HI fraction of $\sim 30$% or less for this model. This model is based on $z = 0$ empirical relations between $M_{H2}/M_{HI}$ and either stellar mass or total gas surface density. It is unclear if these empirical trends also hold at $z > 0$ (see e.g. changes in the predictions for some of these relations as a function of time presented in [Popping et al. 2015]). [Chowdhury et al. 2020] used stacking techniques to obtain a measurement of the HI mass in emission of star-forming galaxies at $z \sim 1$. Based on their findings, typical HI fractions significantly lower than 50% can be derived at $z = 1$. Based on the above works, it is not unlikely that the DTG of the galaxies presented in this work is actually 30% (or more) lower, which would bring it into better agreement with the findings by [Popping & Péroux 2022]. The HI fraction may also depend on stellar mass or metallicity, possibly causing a change in the slope of the relation. In the next decade, the Square Kilometre Array may be in a position to address this uncertainty in detail.

In this work we describe the relation between metallicity and the DTG with a single power-law relation (Eq. [5]). The metallicity range probed in this work is too narrow to rule out any non-linear trends. [Kenny-Ruyer et al. (2014)] find that the relation between metallicity and the DTG for galaxies in the local Universe (covering 2 dex in metallicity) can best be described by a double power law, with a break at $12 + \log (O/H) = 8.1$. This is in contrast with results by [De Vis et al. (2019)], who find that the metallicity–DTG relation for local galaxies covering a similar metallicity range can be described by a single power law. Similarly, [Popping & Péroux (2022)] find that the metallicity–DTG relation obtained for $z \sim 2$ absorbers can be well described by a single power law covering a range of 2 dex in metallicity (with an increasing scatter at the low-metallicity end). Combined, these findings suggest that a non-linear trend between metallicity and the DTG for $z \sim 2$ galaxies in emission cannot be ruled out. Larger samples of sub-solar-metallicity galaxies with available CO and dust-continuum emission measurements will be necessary to test this.

We have demonstrated that the relation between the CO J=3–2 (and derived J=1–0) luminosity and the 1.2 mm (and 850 $\mu$m) luminosity of galaxies extends over multiple orders of magnitude (Fig. [3]). The sample presented in this work extends the probed range in CO and dust continuum luminosities at $z \sim 2$ to 5 to less massive galaxies (compared to Kaasinen et al. 2019 and Boogaard et al. 2020). Additionally, we show that there is no second-order trend in this relation driven by the gas-phase metallicity of galaxies for the sample of galaxies presented in this work. This result indicates that the metallicity dependence of the DTG for the presented sample of galaxies is primarily driven by the metallicity dependence of the CO–to–H2 conversion factor and not by a metallicity dependence of the ratio between CO and dust-continuum luminosity.

The conclusions presented in this paper have a number of implications for our theoretical understanding of dust growth and destruction in galaxies. The non-evolution of the DTG–metallicity relation indicates that the timescales for the balance of dust formation and destruction evolve little from $z = 0$ to $z = 2.5$. Over the last decade, various cosmological hydrodynamical and semi-analytical simulations have included the tracking of dust formation and destruction over cosmic time ([Popping et al. 2017], [McKinnon et al. 2018], [Hou et al. 2019], [Li et al. 2019], [Vijayan et al. 2019], [Triani et al. 2020]). The constant normalisation of the relation between the DTG and metallicity is in agreement with the simulations by [Popping et al. 2017], [Li et al. 2019], [Vijayan et al. 2019], and [Triani et al. 2020] (see also the discussions in [Shapley et al. 2020] and [Popping & Péroux 2022]). The models by [McKinnon et al. 2018] and [Hou et al. 2019], on the other hand, predict a measurable evolution from $z = 2$ to $z = 0$ (up to a factor of $\sim 3$ for the [Hou et al. 2019] model), in disagreement with our results. None of the models predict a strictly linear relation similar to the fit presented in [De Vis et al. 2019], nor to the fits presented in [Popping & Péroux 2022], which are based on DTGs inferred from absorption studies. Additional observational constraints on the DTG of luminous galaxies at sub-solar metallicities will be necessary to draw more robust constraints.

Our conclusions also provide relevant insights for observational studies. Over the last few years, the dust-continuum emission of galaxies has become an efficient and widely used approach for estimating galaxy gas mass; this approach implicitly depends on the DTG ([Scoville et al. 2016], [Schinnerer et al. 2016], [Kaasinen et al. 2019]). Our results imply that robust dust-based gas mass estimates of galaxies inferred from millimetre dust-continuum observations must take gas metallicity into account (see also [Bertemes et al. 2018] and [Popping & Péroux 2022]). This effect will be especially relevant for metal-poor galaxies; for example low-mass galaxies and galaxies in the early Universe; in these cases, detecting classical gas-mass tracers, such as low-J transition CO and [CI] emission, is time-intensive, or currently not possible due to instrumental limitations.

The presented results provide an improved study of the functional shape and evolution of the DTG–metallicity relation of galaxies over cosmic time. Better tracing of the functional shape, scatter, and evolution of the DTG–metallicity relation will require better sampling of sub-solar-metallicity galaxies at various redshifts and improved constraints on dust temperature, CO excitation, and the CO–to–H2 conversion factor. More robust and dust-continuum follow-up of large samples of galaxies at various redshifts for which robust metallicities are available, such as those based on rest-frame optical nebular lines.

Acknowledgements. We thank Leindert Boogaard, Melanie Kaasinen and Bahram Mobasher for useful discussions and feedback. We thank the referee for constructive comments. This paper makes use of the following ALMA data: ADS/JAO.ALMA#2019.1.01142.S and ADS/JAO.ALMA#2018.1.01128.S.
