Latent class analysis: an innovative approach for identification of clinical and laboratory markers of disease severity among COVID-19 patients admitted to the intensive care unit
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ARTICLE INFO

Objective: The aim of this study was to identify clinical and laboratory phenotype distribution patterns and their usefulness as prognostic markers in COVID-19 patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) at Tygerberg Hospital, Cape Town.

Methods and results: A latent class analysis (LCA) model was applied in a prospective, observational cohort study. Data from 343 COVID-19 patients were analysed. Two distinct phenotypes (1 and 2) were identified, comprising 68.46% and 31.54% of patients, respectively. The phenotype 2 patients were characterized by increased coagulopathy markers (D-dimer, median value 1.73 ng/L vs 0.94 ng/L; p < 0.001), end-organ dysfunction (creatinine, median value 79 μmol/L vs 69.5 μmol/L; p < 0.003), under-perfusion markers (lactate, median value 1.60 mmol/L vs 1.20 mmol/L; p < 0.001), abnormal cardiac function markers (median N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) 314 pg/ml vs 63.5 pg/ml; p < 0.001 and median high-sensitivity cardiac troponin (Hs-Tropon) 39 ng/L vs 12 ng/L; p < 0.001), and acute inflammatory syndrome (median neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio 15.08 vs 8.68; p < 0.001 and median monocyte value 0.68 × 10⁶/L vs 0.45 × 10⁶/L; p < 0.001).

Conclusion: The identification of COVID-19 phenotypes and sub-phenotypes in ICU patients could help as a prognostic marker in the day-to-day management of COVID-19 patients admitted to the ICU.

ABBREVIATIONS: ACE 2, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2; CAC, COVID-19 associated coagulopathy; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; Hb, hemoglobin; ICU, intensive care unit; NHLS, National Health Laboratory Service; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; REDCap, Research Electronic Data Capture; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; TBH, Tygerberg Hospital.
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1. Introduction

The clinical spectrum of COVID-19 ranges from asymptomatic infection to severe pneumonia with respiratory failure and death (WHO, 2020). Many studies have reported on the clinical and laboratory characteristics of COVID-19 (Bastug et al., 2020; Parohan et al., 2020; Williamson et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2021; Zemlin et al., 2022). In 2020, the critical emphasis was on identifying and assessing distinct risk factors associated with COVID-19 mortality (Parohan et al., 2020; Williamson et al., 2020).

A retrospective study conducted in the USA showed that patients who were admitted with COVID-19 could be grouped according to two distinct phenotypes that were associated with mortality (Teng et al., 2021). In the first group patients were older, with several comorbidities and a higher mortality rate. In the second group, patients were younger, more likely to be obese, and male, with higher levels of the inflammatory markers — specifically C-reactive protein (CRP) and alanine aminotransferase (Teng et al., 2021). In contrast, another study found that SARS-CoV-2 infected females phenotypically had lower levels of CRP, serum creatinine, and D-dimer markers (Lusczek et al., 2021). A retrospective study conducted in Spain reported that patients with COVID-19 could be categorized into three distinct phenotypes (Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez et al., 2021). The first group comprised young patients who were less frequently male, with moderate viral symptoms and normal inflammatory parameters (Azoulay et al., 2020). The second group comprised patients with obesity, lymphocytopenia, and inflammatory parameters that were not excessively elevated. The third group comprised older patients with several comorbidities and higher inflammatory parameter levels than the second group (Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez et al., 2021). Similar phenotypes were reported in France among patients who were admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) (Azoulay et al., 2020). An extensive literature review did not find any evidence of similar research conducted in Africa on COVID-19 phenotypes. African studies assessing COVID-19 outcomes showed that gender, age, inflammatory proteins, cardiac function, and coagulation parameters constitute potential COVID-19 phenotypes (Nachega et al., 2020; Dalal et al., 2021; Allwood et al., 2022; Zemlin et al., 2022). However, it remains unclear whether similar phenotypes exist in South Africa, due to different mortality rates and comorbidities.

Among COVID-19 deaths, the characteristics and underlying pathophysiology of each phenotypic group appear to be distinct (Teng et al., 2021). Hence, the identification of different phenotypes and sub-phenotypes of COVID-19 may provide guidance for basic, clinical, and translational research in sub-Saharan Africa. Due to the diversity of populations across the world, a broad understanding might allow clinicians and researchers to develop customized therapy, which may result in reduced mortality rates among severe COVID-19 patients (Teng et al., 2021). To our knowledge, there is little evidence on the phenotypic profiles of COVID-19 ICU patients in sub-Saharan Africa (Goswami et al., 2021). Our study aimed to identify clinical and laboratory phenotype distribution patterns and their usefulness as prognostic markers in COVID-19 patients admitted to the ICU in South Africa.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design

This prospective cohort study was conducted at Tygerberg Hospital (TBH) during the first two waves of the COVID-19 pandemic, between March 27, 2020 and February 10, 2021. TBH is a 1380-bed facility that serves as the main teaching hospital for Stellenbosch University Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences. It provides a tertiary care service for around 3.5 million people. TBH was designated as a centre for COVID-19 management, with additional critical care facilities.

2.2. Study population and sample size

The study included data for 343 adult patients admitted with severe COVID-19 pneumonia to the designated ICU during the above-mentioned waves. Diagnosis was confirmed by a positive SARS-CoV-2 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Figure 1). A sample of 300 or more cases were desirable in order to reveal classes with low memberships and without poor functioning fit indices and convergence failures (Nylund-Gibson et al., 2022). Details regarding ICU admission criteria are documented in the Western Cape Government’s provincial guidelines (Critical Care Society of Southern Africa, 2020).

2.3. Data collection

Clinical data were extracted from ICU clinical notes and entered onto a REDCap® (Research Electronic Data Capture, Stellenbosch, South Africa) database — a secure web application. Laboratory data were imported from the National Health Laboratory Service (NHLS) Laboratory Information System (TrakCare® Lab Enterprise) onto the REDCap database. Data quality assurance was undertaken by the research assistants and later verified by the supervisor of the research team, to ensure data quality prior to analysis. Detailed information on the clinical parameters is provided in previously published articles (Zemlin et al., 2022).

2.4. Statistical analysis

Class-defining variables for latent class identification included baseline demographic features as well as clinical and laboratory data. Continuous variables were reported as median and IQR (non-normal), and categorical variables as percentages. All variables with missing data were excluded from further analysis.

A multivariate mixture model was used to identify two distinct latent classes based on the variables of interest. A binomial response distribution was used for binary categorical variables and a Gaussian response distribution for continuous variables. These variables were centred and scaled to unit variance prior to model inference. Continuous variables that were skewed were log-transformed prior to analysis.

Data were allocated to the latent class based on the posterior model probability (probability of class assignment > 50%). The Wilcoxon rank sum was used to compare the median differences between the two identified classes for continuous variables. The Pearson chi-squared test was used for categorical variables.

A two-sided p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The two-class model was compared with a model including an additional latent class, based on model selection criteria (Akaike information criteria, AIC, and Bayesian information criteria, BIC), a likelihood ratio test, the size of the smallest class, the probability of class assignment, and qualitative evaluation of the defining class characteristics. BIC was used for parameterized Gaussian mixture models fitted by an expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm, initialized by model-based hierarchical clustering to obtain the number of latent classes. Integrated complete-data likelihood (ICL) was used to confirm the number of classes obtained using the BIC criteria.

The standardized means of continuous class-defining variables were compared to understand the clinical and biological characteristics that distinguished the two classes (Figures 2A–C), and raw data were compared by class. A sub-analysis was performed to assess whether there were any notable differences among the patients who died and those who were discharged. Stata (V.16, Stata Corp, College Station, Texas, USA) was used for data cleaning, and manipulation, and for the Wilcoxon and Pearson chi-squared tests, R (v.4.1.0, R Core Team) with R Studio (v.1.4.1, R Studio Team) was used for analysis in order to obtain the required number of classes.
3. Results

The baseline characteristics of the cohort (n = 343) are presented in Table 1. The cohort had a slightly higher proportion of females (n = 184, 53.6%) and ICU mortality was high (n = 216, 63%). Two latent classes were identified, representing 75.8% (class 1, n = 260) and 24.2% (class 2, n = 83) of the cohort, respectively. Class 2 was notable primarily by the following: increased coagulopathy markers (D-dimer, median value 1.73 ng/L, IQR 0.61–5.70 for class 2 vs 0.94 ng/L, IQR 0.41–4.13 for class 1; p < 0.001); underperfusion markers (increased lactate — median value 1.60 mmol/L, IQR 1.10–2.10 for class 2 vs 1.20 mmol/L, IQR 1.00–1.40 for class 1; p < 0.001); end-organ dysfunction markers (creatinine — median value 79 µmol/L, IQR 65–110 for class 2 vs 69.5 µmol/L, IQR 56.5–83 for class 1; p < 0.003) — Table 2), cardiac function markers — NT-proBNP (median value 314 pg/ml, IQR 72–1346) for class 2 vs 63.5 pg/ml, IQR 32.5–193.5 for class 1; p < 0.001); HS-TropT (median value 39 ng/L, IQR 13–102 for class 2 vs median value 12 ng/L, IQR 8–22 for class 1; p < 0.001 — Table 2); inflammatory markers neutrophils-to-lymphocytes ratio (NLR — median value 15.08, IQR 8.75–24.41 for class 2 vs 8.68, IQR 5.71–14.21 for class 1; p < 0.001); and monocytes (median value 0.55 × 10^9/L, IQR 0.36–1.11 for class 2 vs 0.45 × 10^9/L, IQR 0.31–0.72 for class 1; p = 0.011 — Table 2).

In addition, females in class 2 had lower mean hemoglobin than those in class 1 (11.88 g/dL (1.75) vs 12.67 (1.37); p = 0.014). Similarly, the median pH value was lower in class 2 than in class 1 (7.36, IQR 7.30–7.43 vs 7.47, IQR 7.45–7.50; p < 0.001), and the median value HCO₃ std was also lower in class 2 than in class 1 (23.05 mmol/L, IQR 19.70–25.60 vs 27.30 mmol/L, IQR 25.10–29.10; p < 0.001). In contrast, the median value PaCO₂ was higher in class 2 than in class 1 (5.70 kPa, IQR 4.30–6.90 vs 4.80 kPa, IQR 4.30–5.30; p < 0.001).

When comparing class 2 patients with class 1 patients, the mortality risk was found to be 1.44 (95% CI 1.22–1.67; p < 0.001).

An optimum of two latent classes was obtained among the patients who died (Table 3). Class 2 was notable primarily due to increased values for: acute inflammatory syndrome (C-reactive protein — median value 194, IQR 133–307 for class 2 vs 153, IQR 100–247 for class 1; p = 0.015); NLR 12.53, IQR 7.34–22.56 for class 2 vs 9.50, IQR 6.21–15.16 for class 1; p = 0.013; and monocytes, median value 0.68 × 10^9/L,
Figure 2. A: Differences in the mean standardized values of continuous class-defining variables by latent class among the ICU patients. B: Differences in the mean standardized values of continuous class-defining variables by latent class among the patients who died. C: Differences in the mean standardized values of continuous class-defining variables by latent class among the patients who were discharged.

Table 1
Baseline clinical variables among the COVID-19 ICU study cohort.

| Characteristic               | N  | Study cohort, median (IQR) or n (%) |
|-----------------------------|----|-----------------------------------|
| Age (yrs)                   | 343| 55.56 (46.14–62.28)               |
| Gender: female              | 343| 184 (53.6%)                       |
| Diabetes mellitus           | 338| 169 (50.0%)                       |
| Hypertension                | 338| 204 (60.4%)                       |
| HIV positive                | 300| 39 (13.0%)                        |
| ICU mortality               | 343| 216 (63.0%)                       |
| Ventilation: non-invasive   | 343| 294 (85.7%)                       |
| pH                          | 343| 7.46 (7.41–7.50)                  |
| PaCO₂                       | 343| 4.90 (4.30–5.60)                  |
| C-reactive protein          | 343| 176.00 (109.00–270.00)            |
| Neutrophils                 | 343| 9.92 (7.34–14.93)                 |
| Platelets                   | 343| 298.00 (231.00–373.00)            |
| Nt-proBNP                   | 343| 203.00 (89.00–976.00)             |
| Hemoglobin                  | 343| 13.20 (11.90–14.10)               |
| Monocytes                   | 343| 0.48 (0.32–0.80)                  |
| D-dimer                     | 343| 1.09 (0.45–4.40)                  |
| Creatinine                  | 343| 77.00 (63.00–102.00)              |
| Alanine aminotransferase ALT| 343| 33.00 (20.00–50.00)               |
| Platelet/lymphocyte ratio  | 343| 9.48 (6.15–16.71)                 |
| Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio| 343| 285.06 (177.59–474.47)            |
| Lactate                     | 343| 1.40 (1.10–1.90)                  |
| Hs-Troponin-T               | 343| 13.00 (8.00–30.00)                |

Abbreviations: HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; PaCO₂: partial pressure of carbon dioxide; pH: potential of hydrogen; Nt-proBNP: N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide.

IQR 0.39–2.70 for class 2 vs 0.45 × 10⁵/L, IQR 0.30–0.68 for class 1; p < 0.001; underperfusion (increased lactate — median value 1.60 mmol/L, IQR 1.60–2.60 for class 2 vs 1.40 mmol/L, IQR 1.10–1.90 for class 1; p = 0.021), end-organ dysfunction (creatinine — median value 107 μmol/L, IQR 77–157 for class 2 vs 72 μmol/L, IQR 57–86 for class 1; p < 0.001 — Table 3); cardiac function — NT-proBNP (median value 784 pg/ml, IQR 217–2377 for class 2 vs 337 pg/ml, IQR 125–307 for class 1 (p < 0.001), Hs-Troponin-T (median value 25 ng/L, IQR 12–62 for class 2 vs 14 ng/L, IQR 9–28 for class 1; p < 0.001 — Table 3). In class 2, females had lower mean hemoglobin than in class 1 (11.96 g/dL (1.60) vs 12.60 g/dL (1.43); p = 0.040). Furthermore, the median pH and HCO₃⁻std were lower in class 2 than in class 1 (7.37, IQR 7.30–7.44 vs 7.47, IQR 7.44–7.50; p < 0.001 and 23.55 mmol/L, IQR 20.00–25.90 vs 27.10 mmol/L, IQR 25.00–29.20; p < 0.001, respectively — Table 3). In contrast, the median PaCO₂ value was higher in class 2 than in class 1 (5.70 kPa, IQR 4.50–6.70 vs 4.90 kPa, IQR 4.30–5.30; p < 0.001 — Table 3). The platelet/lymphocyte ratio was found to be similar between class 2 and class 1 (247.31, IQR 70.59–475.51 vs 296.64, IQR 206.58–451.59; p = 0.054 — Table 3). The standardized means of continuous class-defining variables were compared among the patients who died, to understand the clinical and biological characteristics that distinguished the two classes (Figure 2B). Notable differences were observed between Figures 2A and 2B.

Figure 2C showed a different trend compared with that in Figure 2A, suggesting that what was observed overall was not what was observed among discharged patients (Figure 2A vs 2C). When comparing raw data by class among discharged patients, class 2 was notable primarily by increased values for: coagulopathy markers (D-dimer — median value 1.93 ng/L, IQR 0.55–5.12 for class 2 vs 0.40 ng/L, IQR 0.25–0.60 for class 1; p < 0.001); end-organ dysfunction markers (increased lactate — median value 1.60 mmol/L, IQR 1.10–2.10 for class 2 vs 1.20 mmol/L, IQR 1.00–1.40 for class 1; p < 0.001); end-organ dysfunction markers (creatinine — median value 79 μmol/L, IQR 65–110 for class 2 vs 69.5 μmol/L, IQR 56.5–83 for class 1; p < 0.003 — Table 4); cardiac function markers — NT-proBNP (median value 314 pg/ml, IQR 72–1346 for class 2 vs 63.5 pg/ml, IQR 32.5–193.5 for class 1; p < 0.001); Hs-Troponin-T (median value 13 ng/L, IQR 9–36 for class 2 vs 6 ng/L, IQR 5–10 for class 1; p < 0.001 — Table 4); NLR (median value 12.39, IQR 6.48–20.29 for class 2 vs 6.67, IQR 4.51–9.00 for class 1; p < 0.001 — Table 4). Furthermore, the median PaCO₂ value was higher in class 2 than in class 1 (5.70 kPa, IQR 4.50–6.70 vs 4.90 kPa, IQR 4.30–5.30; p < 0.001). In contrast, the median HCO₃⁻std value was lower in class 2 than in class 1 (23.55 mmol/L, IQR 20.00–25.90 vs 27.10 mmol/L, IQR 25.00–29.20; p < 0.001).
4. Discussion

In this first study from Africa to report on clinical phenotypes associated with COVID-19, two distinct latent subclasses were identified, based on the patients’ demographic, clinical, and laboratory profiles. The inflammatory syndrome, coagulopathy markers, underperfusion markers, end-organ dysfunction, and cardiac function markers were identified as statistically and clinically significant phenotypes. Aside from the high HIV prevalence in the death sub-phenotype, demographics and comorbidities did not differ between the deceased and recovering sub-phenotypes in each sub-analysis. This implies that distinct COVID-19 progression pathways exist, and are independent of baseline risk factors for disease severity.

Our LCA showed that the class 2 phenotype, which accounted for 31.54% (83/264) of the total sample size, was associated with increased coagulopathy markers, end-organ dysfunction, underperfusion markers,
cardiac function markers, and acute inflammatory syndrome. Recent evidence suggests that altered coagulation is an important phenotypic marker in COVID-19-associated ARDS (Ranjeva et al., 2021). Ranjeva et al., demonstrated that the more severe phenotype was distinguished by significantly elevated D-dimer (Ranjeva et al., 2021). A high burden of thromboembolic disease was found among postmortem patients with severe COVID-19 infection (Nadkarni et al., 2020; Ranjeva et al., 2021). Furthermore, elevated baseline D-dimer among COVID-19 patients has been shown to predict major coagulation-associated complications, critical illness, and death (Al-Samkari et al., 2020; Ranjeva et al., 2021).

Several mechanisms have also been proposed to explain the association between NT-proBNP and HS-TropT COVID-19 outcomes in the ICU. These include progressive inflammation, hypoxemia, sepsis, myocardial injury, and volume overload states, all of which can increase myocardial stress (Babapoor-Farrokhran et al., 2020; Kazory et al., 2020; Yoo et al., 2021; Bertini et al., 2022). COVID-19 vascular complications, such as pulmonary embolism and acute kidney injury, may aggravate myocardial stress. These mechanisms may characterize a cardiac function phenotype in COVID-19 patients admitted to the ICU (Yoo et al., 2021; Azevedo et al., 2021). This was demonstrated in our study by the presence of elevated NT-proBNP and HS-TropT in the class 2 phenotype. The NLR is considered a surrogate marker of systemic hyperinflammation, and an independent predictor of poor outcome associated with COVID-19 (Li et al., 2020). In severe cases or among patients who died with COVID-19, the lymphocyte count was shown to have decreased progressively, while the neutrophil count gradually increased (Li et al., 2020).

Neutrophils are generally regarded as pro-inflammatory cells with a range of antimicrobial activities, which can be triggered by virus-related inflammatory factors, such as interleukin-6 and 8 (Li et al., 2020; Mangalmurti and Hunter, 2020). Similarly, a dysregulated monocytic response can be damaging to the host, as is seen in the macrophage activation syndrome induced by severe infections, including in infections with the related virus SARS-CoV-2 (Merad and Martin, 2020). Systematic inflammation triggered by SARS-CoV-2 significantly depletes cellular immunity, leading to a decrease in CD3+ T cells, CD4+ T cells, and CD8+ T cells (Li et al., 2020). As further clarified below, this pathology results in hypoinflammatory and hyperinflammatory states in class 2 and class 1, respectively.

Moderate anemia was also found to be a characteristic of class 2 phenotype. Wang et al. reported lower hemoglobin levels in patients with more severe COVID-19 (Wang et al., 2020). This anemia was probably due to hyperinflammatory processes associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection, while the normal mean Hb levels found in class 1 may have been due to a hypoinflammatory state.

In the sub-analyses that included COVID-19 mortality in the ICU, the class 2 phenotype had higher levels of acute-phase proteins associated with inflammation, end-organ dysfunction, underperfusion, and cardiac function markers than the class 1 phenotype. Furthermore, HIV-positive status was more prevalent in the class 1 phenotype than in the class 2 phenotype. Recent LCAs involving COVID-19 patients have revealed a hyperinflammatory syndrome among sub-phenotypes (da Silva et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021), with markedly elevated CRP defining these sub-phenotypes, as demonstrated by our findings. In contrast, 62.5% (135/216) of the patients who died in the class 1 phenotype were hypoinflammatory. A plausible explanation for this may be viral cytotoxicity as a primary driver of mortality in the hypoinflammatory sub-phenotype, whereas excessive inflammation could be a primary driver of mortality in the hyperinflammatory sub phenotype, as evidenced by higher levels of pro-inflammatory markers and an increased prevalence of multiorgan failure (Sinha et al., 2021).

Hypoinflammatory factors may explain the mortality in the class 1 sub-phenotype in our study. In addition, those who died within class 1 had a high HIV prevalence of 18.5% (24/135). Indeed, SARS-CoV-2 and HIV may both decrease CD4 count and lymphocytes (Tamuzi et al., 2020), which could explain the hypoinflammatory sub-phenotype in class 1.

Our findings also revealed that class 2 was characterized by elevated lactate and creatinine levels. Two recent LCAs revealed that renal-morbidity and high-morbidity phenotypes had more in-hospital complications than the low-morbidity phenotype (da Silva et al., 2020; Ranjeva et al., 2021). Phenotypes have been associated with an increased risk of myocardial infarction, heart failure, and acute kidney

### Table 4

Differences in clinical and laboratory characteristics between latent subclasses for COVID-19 ICU discharged patients.

| Characteristic                  | Reference intervals | Latent subclasses | p-value |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------|
|                                |                     | Class 1 (n = 52)  | Class 2 (n = 75) |         |
| Age (years)                    | 48.72 (39.46–58.43) | 52.18 (43.75–60.51) | 0.16    |
| Gender                         |                     |                   |         |
| Female                         | 26 (50%)            | 25 (33%)          | 0.06    |
| Male                           | 26 (50%)            | 50 (67%)          |         |
| Diabetes mellitus              |                     |                   |         |
| Yes                             | 26 (53%)            | 29 (39%)          | 0.11    |
| No                              | 23 (47%)            | 46 (61%)          |         |
| Hypertension                   |                     |                   |         |
| Yes                             | 25 (51%)            | 45 (60%)          | 0.32    |
| No                              | 24 (49%)            | 30 (40%)          |         |
| HIV status                     |                     |                   |         |
| Positive                       | 5 (13%)             | 7 (9%)            | 0.55    |
| Negative                       | 33 (87%)            | 67 (91%)          |         |
| Creatinine                     | 49–90 μmol/L        | 69.50 (56.50–83.00) | 79.00 (65.00–110.00) | 0.003   |
| D-dimer                        | 0.00–0.25 mg/L      | 0.40 (0.25–0.60)  | 1.93 (0.55–5.12)  | < 0.001 |
| Hemoglobin                     | Male: 13.0–17.0 g/dL| 13.62 (1.81)      | 13.49 (2.07)     | 0.500   |
|                                | Female: 12.0–15.0 g/dL| 13.08 (0.98)  | 12.26 (1.78)     | 0.122   |
| Monocytes                      | 0.30–0.80 × 10^9/L  | 0.48 (0.29–1.94)  | 0.41 (0.32–6.62)  | 0.15    |
| NT-proBNP                      | < 125 pg/ml         | 63.50 (32.50–193.50) | 314.00 (72.00–1346.00) | < 0.001 |
| Hs-TropT                       | < 100 ng/l          | 6.00 (5.00–10.50) | 13.00 (9.00–36.00) | < 0.001 |
| C-reactive protein             | < 10 mg/L           | 151.50 (89.00–234.50) | 176.00 (93.00–270.00) | 0.31    |
| pH                             | 7.35–7.45           | 7.48 (7.46–7.50)  | 7.47 (7.42–7.51)  | 0.13    |
| PaCO₂                          | 4.26–6.38 kPa       | 4.90 (4.70–5.30)  | 4.40 (3.90–5.20)  | < 0.001 |
| Lactate                        | 0.5–2.2 mmol/L      | 1.20 (1.00–1.40)  | 1.60 (1.10–2.10)  | < 0.001 |
| Alanine aminotransferase       | 7–40 U/L            | 32.50 (22.50–47.50) | 33.00 (19.00–63.00) | 0.46    |
| HCO₃⁻,std                      | 19–24 mmol/L        | 27.90 (27.10–29.20) | 25.80 (23.20–28.00) | < 0.001 |
| Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio   | 6.67 (4.51–9.00)    | 12.39 (6.48–20.29) | < 0.001 |
| Platelet/lymphocyte ratio     | 223.58 (53.94–368.61) | 346.81 (234.65–530.00) | < 0.001 |
In summary, our analysis identified two different phenotypes among COVID-19 patients admitted to the ICU. These two phenotypes were markedly different, characterized by increased coagulopathy markers, end-organ dysfunction, acute inflammatory syndrome, cardiac function markers, and underperfusion markers in phenotype 2. In the sub-analysis, the two sub-phenotypes were also found to differ, with increased acute inflammatory syndrome, end-organ dysfunction, cardiac function markers, and underperfusion in sub-phenotype 2. Among those who died, HIV-positive status was more prevalent in sub-phenotype 1.

Among those who survived, the two sub-phenotypes were again different, with elevated coagulopathy markers, end-organ dysfunction, cardiac function markers, and acute coronary syndromes in sub-phenotype 2. Applying these different COVID-19 sub-phenotypes could help clinician in day-to-day decision making, including the prognosis and management of COVID-19 patients admitted to the ICU.

5. Conclusion

In summary, our analysis identified two different phenotypes among COVID-19 patients admitted to the ICU. These two phenotypes were markedly different, characterized by increased coagulopathy markers, end-organ dysfunction, acute inflammatory syndrome, cardiac function markers, and underperfusion markers in phenotype 2. In the sub-analysis, the two sub-phenotypes were also found to differ, with increased acute inflammatory syndrome, end-organ dysfunction, cardiac function markers, and underperfusion in sub-phenotype 2. Among those who died, HIV-positive status was more prevalent in sub-phenotype 1.
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