Effect of different prophylactic therapies on the recurrence pattern of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma after radical surgery
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Abstract

Background To compared the patterns of lymph node recurrence after different prophylactic therapies to identify specific and effective target areas for prophylactic radiotherapy.

Methods This retrospective study enrolled patients with recurrence from August 2011 to November 2015, who underwent different prophylactic therapies for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma after radical surgery previously. The patients were divided into unprotected, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and radiochemotherapy groups. The recurrence patterns between these groups were compared.

Results A total of 186 patients who met the above criteria were enrolled. The recurrence ratios of regional lymph nodes were 84.2%, 31.3%, 84.0%, and 48.0% in the unprotected, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and radiochemotherapy groups, respectively. The recurrence ratio of regional lymph nodes in patients who received radiotherapy was significantly decreased (\( P =0.009 \)). The recurrence ratio of superior mediastinal lymph node was significantly lower than those of the chemotherapy and unprotected groups (\( P =0.000 \)). The recurrence ratios of the radiotherapy group with and without epigastrium coverage were 4/16 and 4/25, respectively, indicating no statistical difference with those of other groups (\( P =0.259 \)). The recurrence ratios with and without the coverage of anastomotic sites were 2/19 and 3/22, respectively, revealing no statistical difference with those of other groups (\( P =0.712 \)).

Conclusion Prophylactic radiotherapy can significantly reduce the recurrence of regional lymph nodes, especially lymph node recurrence on the superior mediastinum.

Background

Adjuvant therapies after radical surgery for esophageal cancer generally include the
prophylactic therapy of R0 excision for non-recurrent patients and the salvage therapy of non-R0 excision or R0 excision for recurrent patients.1–6 Main research directions on prophylactic radiotherapy after radical surgery for esophageal cancer focus on lymph node recurrence in patients who underwent R0 excision, especially on determining the target region for prophylactic radiotherapy according to the post-recurrence range of lymph nodes.7–12 However, studies on lymph node recurrence after prophylactic therapies of high-risk regions are limited.13, 14 On the basis of previous studies,6, 8, 15–18 we compared the patterns of lymph node recurrence after different prophylactic therapies to identify specific and effective target areas for prophylactic radiotherapy.

Methods

Inclusion Criteria

This retrospective study enrolled patients with recurrence from August 2011 (when electronic records were adopted at our hospital) to November, 2015, who underwent different prophylactic therapies for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma after radical surgery previously. Those who received neoadjuvant therapy before surgery were excluded from this study because patients who undergo radical surgery after neoadjuvant therapy do not usually require adjuvant therapy. Informed consent was obtained in all patients before treatment, and some patients had participated in clinical trials (ChiCTR-TNC-10001140).

Diagnosis of Recurrence

Some cases of lymph node recurrences are pathologically confirmed.18 Two consecutive CT scans at an interval of ≥ 1 month revealed that lymph nodes either continued to increase at a small diameter of > 1 cm or did not increase, but clinical symptoms considerably worsened. Patients without pathological diagnosis were discussed at
department meetings before salvage therapy.

The mode of recurrence was classified into the following three patterns. (1) Local recurrence was defined as anastomotic or residual esophagus and stomach recurrence. (2) Regional recurrence occurs either in the supraclavicular area or in the mediastinum (the inferior margin of azygos arch and inferior pulmonary vena is divided into upper, middle, and lower parts) or epigastrium at the site of previous esophageal resection and nodal clearance. (3) Distant recurrence was described as hematogenous if it developed within a solid organ or within the peritoneal cavity. When a patient showed recurrence in multiple distant organs, the organ where the recurrence was initially detected organ was selected as the recurred organ. If a second recurrence was detected within 4 weeks after the first occurrence, then the occurrence was considered synchronous. Histologic, cytologic, or unequivocal radiologic proof was required before diagnosing a recurrence.

Prophylactic Therapy Regimen

Patients were placed in a supine-position-vacuum bag with crossed fingers and hands at head top; the supraclavicular areas and superior mediastinum were irradiated in patients with upper thoracic esophageal cancer; the supraclavicular areas and superior and inferior mediastinum were irradiated in patients with middle thoracic esophageal cancer; and the superior and inferior mediastinum were irradiated in patients with low thoracic esophageal cancer. The epigastrium was also selectively irradiated in some patients. The planning target volume was generated using a uniform 0.5 cm expansion beyond the borders of the clinical target volume. All organs at risk, such as heart, lung, and liver, were outlined. The total dose of external radiotherapy provided was 50 Gy in 25 fractions. Patients were treated 5 days per week at 2.0 Gy/d. All radiation treatments were delivered as intensity-modulated radiotherapy at < 6 weeks after surgery. Megavoltage photon energy of ≥ 6 MV
was used. Every effort was made to reduce exposure to lungs, heart, spinal cord, kidney, and liver.

Patients received 20 mg/m² docetaxel as a 30-minute intravenous (IV) infusion every week for 5 weeks. One hour before chemotherapy, patients received 10 mg IV dexamethasone to prevent hypersensitivity reactions. Other main chemotherapy regimens were 80 mg/m² docetaxel and 80 mg/m² nedaplatin.

Statistical Analysis

Patients who met these criteria were divided into four groups: unprotected, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and radiochemotherapy groups. The recurrence patterns of these groups were compared. Recurrence time was measured from the beginning of surgery until the first occurrence of failure. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS version 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago IL). Groups were compared using Chi-squared test or Fisher’s test. Statistical significance was defined as a two-sided P value of < 0.05.

Results

A total of 186 patients (159 males and 27 females) conforming to the above conditions were included. The average age of these respondents at operation was 59 years old (range: 44-83). A total of 178 cases underwent left thoracic two-incision lymphadenectomy, whereas only 8 cases underwent right thoracic three-incision lymphadenectomy. A total of 2,182 lymph nodes were removed, 225 of which were pathologically positive, and the metastasis ratio was 10.3%. Moreover, 79 patients were diagnosed with positive lymph nodes, indicating a metastatic rate of 42.5%. The disease course of the patients was divided according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer 7th edition. The pN stages of N0, N1, N2, and N3 involved 107, 49, 23, and 7 patients, respectively. The pT stages of T0, T1, T2, T3, and T4 were observed in 2, 29, 54, 95, and 6
patients, respectively. The details are presented in Table 1.

Table 1
Patient and Tumor Characteristics

|                | n   | Unprotected | Radiotherapy | Chemotherapy | Radiochemotherapy |
|----------------|-----|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Gender         |     |             |              |              |                   |
| Male           | 159 | 76          | 14           | 46           | 23                |
| Female         | 27  | 19          | 2            | 4            | 2                 |
| Age (years)    |     |             |              |              |                   |
| Median         | 61  | 61          | 58           | 55           |                   |
| Range          | 45–83| 51–74       | 46–73        | 44–73        |                   |
| Location       |     |             |              |              |                   |
| Upper          | 10  | 8           | 0            | 0            | 1                 |
| Middle         | 126 | 67          | 12           | 14           | 12                |
| Lower          | 50  | 20          | 4            | 2            | 12                |
| pT category    |     |             |              |              |                   |
| T0             | 2   | 2           | 0            | 0            | 0                 |
| T1             | 29  | 24          | 1            | 4            | 4                 |
| T2             | 95  | 33          | 10           | 12           | 4                 |
| T3             | 6   | 34          | 2            | 3            | 1                 |
| T4             |     |             |              |              |                   |
| pN category    |     |             |              |              |                   |
| N0             | 107 | 75          | 9            | 17           | 6                 |
| N1             | 49  | 14          | 5            | 9            | 10                |
| N2             | 23  | 1           | 2            | 4            | 7                 |
| N3             | 7   | 1           | 0            | 0            | 2                 |

Among the selected patients, 139 showed lymph node recurrence (recurrence ratio: 74.7%). In non-epigastric abdominal lymph node recurrence, five cases were excluded.

The distribution of pathologically positive and recurrent lymph nodes is presented in Table 2.

Table 2
The distribution of pathologically positive at operation and recurrent lymph nodes(%)

|                | n   | Supraclavicular | Mediastinum  | Superior | Middle | Inferior |
|----------------|-----|-----------------|--------------|----------|--------|---------|
| Pathological   | 79  | 2(2.5)          | 21(26.6)     | 33(41.8) | 21(26.6)| 42(53.2)|
| positive       |     |                 |              |          |        |         |
| Unprotected    | 20  | 2(10.0)         | 4(20.0)      | 6(30.0)  | 5(25.0)| 9(45.0) |
| Radiotherapy   | 7   | 0(0.0)          | 2(28.6)      | 3(42.9)  | 1(14.3)| 4(57.1) |
| Chemotherapy   | 33  | 0(0.0)          | 7(21.2)      | 15(45.5) | 8(24.2)| 19(57.8)|
| Radiochemotherapy | 19 | 0(0.0)         | 8(42.1)      | 9(47.4)  | 7(36.8)| 10(52.6)|
| Recurrence     | 139 | 45(32.4)        | 76(54.7)     | 30(21.6) | 9(6.5) | 18(12.9)|
| Unprotected    | 80  | 27(33.8)        | 46(57.5)     | 18(22.5) | 4(5.0)| 7(8.8)  |
| Radiotherapy   | 5   | 4(80.0)         | 1(20.0)      | 0(0.0)   | 0(0.0)| 1(20.0)|
| Chemotherapy   | 42  | 10(23.8)        | 25(59.5)     | 9(21.4)  | 5(11.9)| 3(7.1)  |
| Radiochemotherapy | 12 | 4(33.3)        | 4(33.3)      | 3(25.0)  | 0(0.0)| 7(58.3) |

Note: The number of positive cases is less than the sum of sub-items because some patients have multiple regional lymph node recurrence or positive.

Table 3 illustrates that the regional lymph node recurrence ratios of unprotected, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and radiochemotherapy groups were 84.2%, 31.3%, 84.0%, and 48.0%, respectively. A total of 23 patients showed recurrence at anastomotic sites
(recurrence ratio: 12.4%), 10 of which were accompanied with lymph node recurrence, 1 with pulmonary metastasis, 1 with osseous metastasis, 2 with liver and osseous metastasis, 1 with pulmonary and osseous metastasis, and 1 with scalp and liver metastasis. The median time of the first recurrence was 13 months (range: 1–260 months).

Table 3

| Recurrent sites          | Unprotected n = 95 | Radiotherapy n = 16 | Chemotherapy n = 50 | Radiochemotherapy n = 25 |
|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Lymph node               | 80(84.2)          | 5(31.3)             | 42(84.0)            | 12(48.0)                 |
| Anastomotic              | 14(17.5)          | 2(12.5)             | 4(8.0)              | 3(12.0)                  |
| Esophagus                | 2(2.5)            | 0(0.0)              | 0(0.0)              | 0(0.0)                   |
| Stomach                  | 1(1.3)            | 0(0.0)              | 0(0.0)              | 0(0.0)                   |
| Chest wall               | 0(0.0)            | 1(6.3)              | 1(2.0)              | 0(0.0)                   |
| Pulmonary                | 1(1.3)            | 5(31.3)             | 2(4.0)              | 7(28.0)                  |
| Bone                     | 5(6.3)            | 2(12.5)             | 5(10.0)             | 3(12.0)                  |
| Liver                    | 4(5.0)            | 0(0.0)              | 1(2.0)              | 0(0.0)                   |
| Brain                    | 0(0.0)            | 3(18.8)             | 0(0.0)              | 1(4.0)                   |
| Scalp                    | 1(1.3)            | 0(0.0)              | 0(0.0)              | 0(0.0)                   |
| Adrenal gland            | 1(1.3)            | 0(0.0)              | 0(0.0)              | 0(0.0)                   |

Table 4 presents the recurrence ratio of regional lymph nodes in patients who received radiotherapy. The combined group of radiotherapy and radiochemotherapy showed significantly decreased recurrence ratio (P = 0.009). The recurrence ratio of superior mediastinal lymph node in the radiotherapy and radiochemotherapy groups was significantly lower than that of the chemotherapy and unprotected groups (P = 0.000). The recurrence ratios of the radiotherapy group with and without epigastrium coverage were 4/16 and 4/25, respectively, indicating no statistical difference compared with those of other groups (P = 0.259). The recurrence ratios with and without the coverage of anastomotic sites were 2/19 and 3/22, respectively, also revealing no statistical difference with those of other groups (P = 0.712).
**Table 4**

Comparison of recurrence patterns after different prophylactic therapies

| Location          | Radiotherapy and radiochemotherapy | Chemotherapy n = 50 | Unprotected n = 95 | P      |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------|
| Supraclavicular   | Outfield 6/31 Field 2/10          | 10                  | 27                 | 0.856  |
| Superior mediastinum | 0/3 Field 3/38            | 25                  | 46                 | 0.000  |
| Middle mediastinum | 0/2 Field 3/39            | 9                   | 18                 | 0.057  |
| Inferior mediastinum | 0/15 Field 0/26      | 5                   | 4                  | 0.098  |
| Epigastrium       | 4/25 Field 4/16            | 3                   | 7                  | 0.259  |
| Anastomotic       | 3/22 Field 2/19            | 4                   | 14                 | 0.712  |
| Lymph node        | 17                      | 42                  | 80                 | 0.009  |
| Metastasis        | 19                      | 10                  | 12                 | 0.068  |
| Esophagus and stomach | 0                      | 0                   | 3                  | -      |

**Discussion**

Understanding the recurrence pattern of patients with cancer is very important for clinical decision-making. Based on our results, regional lymph nodes remain as the primary site of recurrence after radical surgery for esophageal cancer, showing a 74.7% recurrence ratio. The recurrence ratios of lymph nodes in the supraclavicular area, superior mediastinum, middle mediastinum, inferior mediastinum, and epigastrium were 32.4%, 54.7%, 21.6%, 6.5%, and 12.9%, respectively (Table 2), conforming to previous reports.9, 10 The recurrence ratios of regional lymph nodes in the unprotected, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and radiochemotherapy groups were 84.2%, 31.3%, 84.0%, and 48.0%, respectively (Table 3). These findings indicate that radiotherapy could remarkably decrease the recurrence of regional lymph nodes.

Pathologically positive lymph nodes during the operation of esophageal cancer indicated remarkably different distribution patterns of recurrent lymph nodes in the unprotected group after radical surgery. Therefore, post-operation prophylactic radiotherapy range must first be determined according to post-operative recurrence range. However, the distribution of pathologically positive lymph nodes can be utilized to determine the range of radical radiotherapy. Table 2 shows that the distribution of relapsed lymph nodes after
different prophylactic therapies was different. Specifically, the recurrence ratio of superior mediastinal lymph nodes after prophylactic radiotherapy was considerably lower than that of the unprotected and chemotherapy groups. However, the recurrence ratio of epigastrium lymph nodes was similar among different groups, indicating the favorable effect of prophylactic radiotherapy on superior mediastinal lymph node but its unfavorable effect on epigastric lymph node. This finding was further verified in the data in Table 4. The recurrence ratio of superior mediastinal lymph nodes was remarkably lower than that of other groups. In groups involving radiotherapy, the recurrence ratios with and without the coverage of epigastric lymph nodes were 4/16 and 4/25, respectively, both of which show no statistically significant difference with those of other groups. The radiochemotherapy group exhibited a high ratio of epigastric recurrence, reaching 58.3% (Table 2). Whether the high ratio was due to a relative decrease in the ratio of the upper mediastinum or other reasons is unclear. Therefore, the clinical design of prophylactic radiotherapy range must consider not only high-recurrence regions but also the effects of prophylactic radiotherapy on the reduction of recurrence rate in these regions. The recurrence ratio of anastomotic sites was 12.4%. No significant difference in recurrence ratio was observed among different prophylactic therapy groups (Table 3), indicating that the coverage of anastomotic sites was not important. A balance between recurrence rate and the increased occurrence of strictures and fistula by irradiation has been discussed in the past era of 2D radiotherapy. Adopting the coverage of anastomotic sites in the exposure field is not suggested because of the limitations of radiotherapy technologies. Reaching the same exposure dose with other regions under deliberate coverage is difficult. Thus, whether the anastomotic sites are in the exposure range is uncertain. With respect to current radiotherapy technologies, covering anastomotic sites is easy, but the expected preventive effect is not achieved, as manifested by the small
reduction of recurrence rate. In this study, the recurrence ratios of radiotherapy groups with and without the coverage of anastomotic sites are 2/19 and 3/22, respectively. Both ratios showed no significant difference with those of other groups. Although chemotherapy can theoretically reduce distant metastasis, such reduction was not observed in this study. Thus, relevant causes remain unknown. Table 4 shows that the chemotherapy and unprotected groups exhibited no significant difference in the proportion of distant metastasis. By contrast, radiotherapy increased the proportion of distant metastasis while reducing the recurrence ratio of regional lymph nodes. Thus, the differences of various groups approached statistical significance.

Existing studies only involve patients with post-operative recurrence of esophagus cancer. Therefore, recurrence can only provide a proportion rather than a real recurrence rate. This study only involved retrospective research with one center and limited respondents. Although preliminary conclusions on prophylactic therapy can be drawn according to the results of this study, different recurrence modes after various prophylactic therapies can only be interpreted, and the necessity of prophylactic therapy cannot be determined. Furthermore, different pathological patterns may cause differences in recurrence modes. Given that this study only involves patients with squamous carcinoma, the relevant results and deduced conclusions may not be in applicable to patients with adenocarcinoma.

Conclusions

Prophylactic radiotherapy can significantly reduce the recurrence of regional lymph nodes, especially lymph node recurrence on the superior mediastinum. However, reducing the recurrence of epigastric lymph node and anastomotic sites is ineffective. The clinical design of prophylactic radiotherapy range must consider not only high-recurrence regions
but also the effects of prophylactic radiotherapy on the reduction of recurrence rate in these regions.
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