Prophylactic antibiotics may not be necessary for transoral endoscopic thyroidectomy
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Background: With the recent advances in thyroid cancer surgery techniques and the increasing number of patients concerned about cosmetics, the use of transoral endoscopic thyroidectomy is increasing globally. The aim of this study was to determine whether transoral endoscopic thyroidectomy is truly a clean-contaminated surgery.

Methods: From September 2016 to April 2018, 20 patients with thyroid cancer underwent transoral endoscopic thyroidectomy performed by a single surgeon at Gangnam Severance Hospital. Before and after surgery, the oral cavity was swabbed to obtain culture samples, and antibiotics were administered before and after surgery each once.

Results: Of the total 20 patients, no bacteria were identified before or after surgery in eight (40%) patients. Bacteria were identified both before and after surgery in seven patients (35%). In four patients (20%), bacteria were not identified before surgery, but bacteria were identified after surgery. Bacteria were identified before surgery but not after surgery in one patient (5%). No surgical site infection was observed. All the bacteria identified were normal flora of the oral cavity and skin.

Conclusions: There was no difference between the preoperative culture and postoperative culture of the oral cavity in patients undergoing TOET, and there were no postoperative surgical site infection with prophylactic pre & post-operative antibiotics use. Considering the patient’s position and surgical extent in TOET, it appears to be difficult for non-indigenous bacteria to invade the surgical site in oral cavity.
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The TOET approach is considered clean-contaminated surgery because the access through the oral cavity can transfer oral flora into the thyroid space and may increase the risk of surgical site infection (4). At the Gangnam Severance Hospital, we use one dose of flomoxef 1 g preoperatively and one dose of flomoxef 1 g postoperatively as a prophylactic antibiotic. The use of prophylactic antibiotics remains controversial and there are no standard guidelines for the use of antibiotics in TOET (5–8). The aim of this study was to determine whether or not antibiotics are required before and after surgery.

Methods

From October to December 2019, 20 patients who underwent TOET due to PTC were enrolled in this study. All surgeries were performed by a single surgeon at Gangnam Severance Hospital. Before and after surgery, the oral cavity was swabbed for testing of bacteria culture, and flomoxef 1 g was administered once before surgery and once after surgery.

Figures 1, 2 show the swabbing procedure for obtaining the preoperative and postoperative culture at the incision site in the oral cavity. We irrigated oral cavity twice with 50 cc 1:1 saline with providone iodine after endotracheal induction. We used a culture tube including two cotton swabs for obtaining the specimens at the incision site. Swabbing was performed by the operator and first assistant once before surgery and once after surgery. The preoperative culture sample was obtained immediately before the incision was made, and the postoperative culture sample was obtained after the patient was delivered from the operating table and the bleeding was controlled.

The Institutional Review Board of Gangnam Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine (Seoul, Korea) approved this study (approval number: 3-2017-0085).

Results

From October 23, 2019 to December 17, 2019, 20 patients who underwent TOET surgery were enrolled. The patients’
characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Nineteen patients were women, and the sex ratio was 19:1. The average BMI was 20.80 ± 3.558 kg/m². Most patients had no medical history, except one patient who had hypertension, two patients who had hyperthyroidism, and one patient who had depression. Two patients underwent total bilateral thyroidectomy, and 18 patients underwent less than total bilateral thyroidectomy. Only one case involved multiplicity, and it was bilateral case. TOET is difficult to perform when there is severe invasion of cancer into adjacent tissue or a necessity for radical lymph node (LN) dissection, and it is impossible to perform modified radical neck dissection using a transoral incision. Given these reasons, the disease stage of all patients who participated in this study was Stage I. Central compartment LN dissection (CCND) was performed in all of cases, and the number of lymph node of CND was 3.8 ± 2.375. A BRAF mutation was identified in two patients.

The preoperative and postoperative bacteria culture results are presented in Table 2. Bacteria was detected in eight patients in the preoperative culture, and in 11 patients in the postoperative culture. In seven patients, bacteria were detected in both the preoperative and postoperative culture. In eight patients, there was no bacteria detected neither pre-operatively or postoperatively. Culture was positive in the postoperative culture but not in the preoperative culture in four patients, and there was only one patient with positive postoperative culture and negative preoperative culture. There were no postoperative surgical site infection observed in this study.

Table 3 shows the detail of preoperative and postoperative bacteria culture. In preoperative cultures, there were eight positive culture results in 20 patients. Most of the preoperative cultures detected were gram-positive cocci. α-streptococcus was detected in five cultures, and Streptococcus sanguinis and Staphylococcus epidermidis grew in one and two preoperative cultures, respectively. Gram-positive bacilli (diphtheroids) and anaerobic gram-positive bacilli (Propionibacterium acnes) were also detected in one patient each. Eleven postoperative cultures were positive. Similar to the preoperative cultures, the majority of positive results were gram-positive coccus. α-streptococcus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, and Streptococcus sanguinis were detected in four, three, and three cultures, respectively. Anaerobic gram-positive bacilli were also detected in three cultures. No gram-negative bacteria were detected in any cultures.

**Discussion**

TOET surgery was first reported in 2008 and first performed on cadavers and pigs (3). Since then, it has
Table 2: Preoperative and postoperative culture results.

| Variables                      | Overall, n (%) |
|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Preoperative culture (+)       |                |
| No                             | 12 (60)        |
| Yes                            | 8 (40)         |
| Postoperative culture (+)      |                |
| No                             | 9 (45)         |
| Yes                            | 11 (55)        |
| Surgical site infection        |                |
| No                             | 20 (100)       |
| Yes                            | 0 (0)          |
| Pre and post culture (-)       | 8 (40)         |
| Pre and post culture (+)       | 7 (35)         |
| Post culture only (+)          | 4 (20)         |
| Pre culture only (+)           | 1 (5)          |

Table 3: Details of preoperative and postoperative bacteria culture.

| Unit | Preoperative culture | Postoperative culture |
|------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1    | G(+) cocci (α-streptococcus) | G(+) cocci (Streptococcus sanguinis) |
| 2    | Anaerobic G(+) bacilli (Propionibacterium acnes, Staphylococcus epidermidis) | Anaerobic G(+) bacilli (Actinomyces sp.) |
| 3    | No growth            | No growth             |
| 4    | No growth            | No growth             |
| 5    | No growth            | Anaerobic G(+) bacilli (Actinomyces naeslundii) |
| 6    | No growth            | No growth             |
| 7    | No growth            | Anaerobic G(+) bacilli (Propionibacterium acnes) |
| 8    | No growth            | G(+) cocci (Streptococcus sanguinis) |
| 9    | No growth            | No growth             |
| 10   | No growth            | No growth             |
| 11   | G(+) cocci (α-streptococcus) | G(+) cocci (Streptococcus sanguinis) |
| 12   | No growth            | No growth             |
| 13   | G(+) bacilli (diphtheroids) | No growth             |
| 14   | G(+) cocci (α-streptococcus) | G(+) cocci (α-streptococcus) |
| 15   | No growth            | No growth             |
| 16   | G(+) cocci (α-streptococcus) | G(+) cocci (α-streptococcus) |
| 17   | G(+) cocci (α-streptococcus) | G(+) cocci (Staphylococcus epidermidis) |
| 18   | No growth            | G(+) cocci (Streptococcus sanguinis) |
| 19   | No growth            | No growth             |
| 20   | G(+) cocci (Streptococcus sanguinis, Staphylococcus epidermidis) | G(+) cocci (Streptococcus sanguinis) |

Abbreviation: G(+), gram-positive.
about prophylactic antibiotics, this may lead to less demand for antibiotics for TOET.

There were some limitations in this study. First, the number of patients enrolled in this study was relatively small, thereby reducing the statistical strength of the results. This study can be a preliminary data for the possibility of non-antibiotics need in TOET, but can’t play a role as solid evidence because of limitation of the study. Second, the study was retrospective in design which may indicate the presence of selection bias. In addition, antibiotics were used in every patient in this study, so we did not investigate of the rates of surgical site infection when prophylactic antibiotics were not used.

In conclusion, there was no difference between the preoperative culture and postoperative culture of the oral cavity in patients undergoing TOET, and there were no postoperative surgical site infection with prophylactic pre & post-operative antibiotics use. Considering the patient’s position and surgical extent in TOET, it appears to be difficult for non-indigenous bacteria to invade the surgical site in oral cavity.
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