PRECISE MORREY REGULARITY OF THE WEAK SOLUTIONS TO A KIND OF QUASILINEAR SYSTEMS WITH DISCONTINUOUS DATA
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Abstract. We consider the Dirichlet problem for a class of quasilinear elliptic systems in domain with irregular boundary. The principal part satisfies componentwise coercivity condition and the nonlinear terms are Carathéodory maps having Morrey regularity in \( x \) and verifying controlled growth conditions with respect to the other variables. We have obtained boundedness of the weak solution to the problem that permits to apply an iteration procedure in order to find optimal Morrey regularity of its gradient.

1. Introduction

We are interested in the regularity properties of a kind of quasilinear elliptic operators with discontinuous data acting in a bounded domain \( \Omega \), with irregular boundary \( \partial \Omega \). Precisely, we consider the following Dirichlet problem

\[
\begin{aligned}
\text{div} \left( A(x) D u + a(x, u) \right) &= b(x, u, D u) & x \in \Omega \\
u(x) &= 0 & x \in \partial \Omega.
\end{aligned}
\]

Here \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \), \( n \geq 2 \) is a bounded Reifenberg-flat domain, the coefficients matrix \( A = \{ A_{ij}^\alpha(x) \}_{i,j \leq N}^\alpha \) is essentially bounded in \( \Omega \) and the nonlinear terms

\[
a(x, u) = \{ a_i^\alpha(x, u) \}_{i \leq N}^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad b(x, u, z) = \{ b_i(x, u, z) \}_{i \leq N}
\]

are Carathéodory maps, i.e., they are measurable in \( x \in \Omega \) for all \( u \in \mathbb{R}^N \), \( z \in M^{N \times n} \) and continuous in \( (u, z) \) for almost all \( x \in \Omega \). Since we are going to study the weak solutions of (1.1) we need to impose controlled growth conditions on the nonlinear terms in order to ensure
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convergence of the integrals in the definition (2.8). For this aim we suppose that (cf. [17, 33])

\[ a_i^\alpha(x, u) = O(\varphi_1(x) + |u|^\frac{n-2}{n}), \]

\[ b_i(x, u, z) = O(\varphi_2(x) + |u|^\frac{n+2}{n} + |z|^\frac{n+2}{n}) \]

for \( n > 2 \). In the particular case \( n = 2 \), the powers of \(|u|\) could be arbitrary positive numbers, while the growth of \(|z|\) is subquadratic.

Our aim is to study the dependence of the solution from the regularity of the data and to obtain Calderón-Zygmund type estimate in an optimal Morrey space.

There are various papers dealing with the integrability and regularity properties of different kind of quasilinear and nonlinear differential operators. Namely, it is studied the question how the regularity of the data influences on the regularity of the solution. In the scalar case \( N = 1 \) the celebrated result of De Giorgi and Nash asserts that the weak solution of linear elliptic and parabolic equations with only \( L^\infty \) coefficients is Hölder continuous [12].

Better integrability can be obtained also by the result of Gehring [16] relating to functions satisfying the inverse Hölder inequality. Later Giaquinta and Modica [18] noticed that certain power of the gradient of a function \( u \in W^{1,p} \) satisfies locally the reverse Hölder inequality. Modifying Gehring’s lemma they obtained better integrability for the weak solutions of some quasilinear elliptic equations. Their pioneer works have been followed by extensive research dedicated to the regularity properties of various partial differential operators using the Gehring-Giaquinta-Modica technique, called also a ”direct method” (cf. [3, 27, 28] and the references therein.) Recently, the method of A-harmonic approximation permits to study the regularity without using Gehring’s lemma (see for example [1]).

The theory for linear divergence form operators defined in Reifenberg’s domain was developed firstly in [8, 10]. In [4, 5] the authors extend this theory to quasilinear uniformly elliptic equations in the Sobolev-Morrey spaces. Making use of the Adams inequality [2] and the Hartmann-Stampacchia maximum principal they obtain Hölder regularity of the solution while in [7] it is obtained generalized Hölder regularity for regular and nonregular nonlinear elliptic equations.

As it concerns nonlinear nonvariational operators we can mention the results of Campanato [11] relating to basic systems of the form \( F(D^2u) = 0 \) in the Morrey spaces. Afterwards Marino and Maugeri in [24] have contributed to this theory with their own research in the
boundary regularity about the basic systems. Imposing differentiability of the operator $F$ they obtain, via immersion theorems, Morrey regularity of the second derivatives $D^2 u \in L^{2, \frac{2}{q}, q > 2}$. These studies have been extended in [15] to nonlinear equations of a kind $F(x, D^2 u)$ without any differentiability assumptions on $F$. It is obtained global Morrey regularity via the Korn trick and the near operators theory of Campanato. Moreover, in the variational case it is established a Caccioppoli-type inequality for a second-order degenerate elliptic systems of $p$-Laplacian type [14]. Exploiting the classical Campanato’s approach and the hole-filling technique due to Widman, it is proved a global regularity result for the gradient of $u$ in the Morrey and Lebesgue spaces.

In the present work we consider quasilinear systems in divergence form with a principal part satisfying componentwise coercivity condition. This condition permits to apply the result of [29, 33] that gives $L^\infty$ estimate of the weak solution. In addition the controlled growth conditions imposed on the nonlinear terms allow to apply the integrability result from [31]. Making use of step-by-step technique we show optimal Morrey regularity of the gradient depending explicitly on the regularity of the data.

In what follows we use the standard notation:

- $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, with a Lebesgue measure $|\Omega|$ and boundary $\partial \Omega$;
- $B_\rho(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a ball, $\Omega_\rho(x) = \Omega \cap B_\rho(x)$ with $\rho \in (0, \text{diam } \Omega]$, $x \in \Omega$;
- $\mathbb{M}^{N \times n}$ is the set of $N \times n$-matrices.
- $u = (u^1, \ldots, u^N) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^N$, $D_\alpha u^j = \partial u^j / \partial x_\alpha$,
- $|u|^2 = \sum_{j \leq N} |u^j|^2$, $Du = \{D_\alpha u^j\}_{\alpha \leq n}^{\alpha \leq n} \in \mathbb{M}^{N \times n}$,
- $|Du|^2 = \sum_{\alpha \leq n, j \leq N} |D_\alpha u^j|^2$;
- For $u \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ we write $\|u\|_{p, \Omega}$ instead of $\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)}$.
- The spaces $W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ and $W^{1,1}_0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ are the classical Sobolev spaces as they are defined in [19].

Through all the paper the standard summation convention on repeated upper and lower indexes is adopted. The letter $C$ is used for various positive constants and may change from one occurrence to another.
2. Definitions and auxiliary result

In [34] Reifenberg introduced a class of domains with rough boundary that can be approximated locally by hyperplanes.

Definition 2.1. The domain $\Omega$ is $(\delta, R)$ Reifenberg-flat if there exist positive constants $R$ and $\delta < 1$ such that for each $x \in \partial \Omega$ and each $\rho \in (0, R)$ there is a local coordinate system $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ with the property

$$B_\rho(x) \cap \{y_n > \delta \rho\} \subset \Omega_\rho(x) \subset B_\rho(x) \cap \{y_n > -\delta \rho\}.$$  

Reifenberg arrived at this concept of flatness in his studies on the Plateau problem in higher dimensions and he proved that such a domain is locally a topological disc when $\delta$ is small enough, say $\delta < 1/8$. It is easy to see that a $C^1$-domain is a Reifenberg flat with $\delta \to 0$ as $R \to 0$. A domain with Lipschitz boundary with a Lipschitz constant less than $1$ also verifies the condition (2.1) if $\delta$ is small enough, say $\delta < 1/8$, (see [10, Lemma 5.1]). But the class of Reifenberg’s domains is much more wider and contains domains with fractal boundaries. For instance, consider a self-similar snowflake $S_\beta$. It is a flat version of the Koch snowflake $S_{\pi/3}$ but with angle of the spike $\beta$ such that $\sin \beta \in (0, 1/8)$. This kind of flatness exhibits minimal geometrical conditions necessary for some natural properties from the analysis and potential theory to hold. For more detailed overview of these domains we refer the reader to [35] (see also [8, 27] and the references therein).

In addition (2.1) implies the $(A)$-property (cf. [17, 28]). Precisely, there exists a positive constant $A(\delta) < 1/2$ such that

$$A(\delta)|B_\rho(x)| \leq |\Omega_\rho(x)| \leq (1 - A(\delta))|B_\rho(x)|$$

for any fixed $x \in \partial \Omega$, $\rho \in (0, R)$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$. This condition excludes that $\Omega$ may have sharp outward and inward cusps. As consequence, the Reifenberg domain is $W^{1,p}$-extension domain, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, hence the usual extension theorems, the Sobolev and Sobolev-Poincaré inequalities are still valid in $\Omega$ up to the boundary.

Definition 2.2. A real valued function $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ belongs to the Morrey space $L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ with $p \in [1, \infty)$, $\lambda \in (0, n)$, if

$$\|f\|_{p,\lambda;\Omega} = \left( \sup_{B_\rho(x)} \frac{1}{\rho^\lambda} \int_{\Omega_\rho(x)} |f(y)|^p \, dy \right)^{1/p} < \infty$$

where $B_\rho(x)$ ranges in the set of all balls with radius $\rho \in (0, \text{diam } \Omega)$ and $x \in \Omega$. 
In [25] Morrey obtained local Hölder regularity of the solutions to second order elliptic equations. His new approach consisted in estimating the growth of the integral function
\[ g(\rho) = \int_{B\rho} |Du(y)|^p dy \]
via a power of the radius of the same ball, i.e., \( C\rho^\lambda \) with \( \lambda > 0 \). Nevertheless that he did not talk about function spaces, his paper is considered as the starting point for the theory of the Morrey spaces \( L^{p,\lambda} \).

The family of the \( L^{p,\lambda} \) spaces is partially ordered. (cf. [30]).

**Lemma 2.3.** For \( 1 \leq r' \leq r'' < \infty \) and \( \sigma', \sigma'' \in [0, n) \) the following embedding holds
\[ L^{r'',\sigma''}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{r',\sigma'}(\Omega) \quad \text{iff} \quad \frac{n - \sigma'}{r'} \geq \frac{n - \sigma''}{r''}. \]
Furthermore, we have the continuous inclusion
\[ L^{\frac{nr'}{n - \sigma'}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{r',\sigma'}(\Omega). \]

For \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), \( I_\alpha \) is the Riesz potential operator whose convolution kernel is \( |x|^{\alpha - n}, 0 < \alpha < n \). Suppose that \( f \) is extended as zero in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and consider its Riesz potential
\[ I_\alpha f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x|^{n - \alpha}} dy. \]
In [2] Adams obtained the following inequality.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \( f \in L^{r,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n) \), then \( I_\alpha : L^{r,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^{r^*_\sigma,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) is continuous and
\[ \|I_\alpha f\|_{L^{r^*_\sigma,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{r,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \]
where \( C \) depends on \( n, r, \sigma, |\Omega| \), and \( r^*_\sigma \) is the Sobolev-Morrey conjugate
\[ r^*_\sigma = \begin{cases} \frac{(n - \sigma)r}{n - \sigma - r} & \text{if } r + \sigma < n \\ \text{arbitrary large number} & \text{if } r + \sigma \geq n. \end{cases} \]

The nonlinear terms \( a(x, u) \) and \( b(x, u, z) \) satisfy controlled growth conditions
\[ |a(x, u)| \leq \Lambda(\varphi_1(x) + |u|^{2^*_\sigma}), \]
\[ \varphi_1 \in L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega), \quad p > 2, \quad \lambda \in [0, n), \]
\[ |b(x, u, z)| \leq \Lambda(\varphi_2(x) + |u|^{2^*-1} + |z|^{2^{(2^*-1)}}), \]
\[ \varphi_2 \in L^{q,\mu}(\Omega), \quad q > \frac{2^*_\sigma}{2^* - 1}, \quad 2q + \mu > n, \quad \mu \in [0, n) \]
with a positive constant \( \Lambda \). Here \( 2^* \) is the Sobolev conjugate of 2, i.e. \( 2^* = \frac{2n}{n - 2} \) if \( n > 2 \) and it is arbitrary large number if \( n = 2 \) (cf. [17, 22, 31, 33]).
A weak solution to (1.1) is a function \( u \in W^{1,2}_0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N) \) satisfying
\[
\int_{\Omega} A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x) D\beta u^j(x) D\alpha x^i(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} a_i^\alpha(x, u(x)) D\alpha x^i(x) dx \\
+ \int_{\Omega} b_i(x, u(x), D u(x)) x^i(x) dx = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N
\]
for all \( \chi \in W^{1,2}_0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N) \) where the convergence of the integrals is ensured by (2.6) and (2.7).

3. **Main Result**

The general theory of elliptic systems does not ensure boundedness of the solution if we impose only growth conditions as (2.6) and (2.7) (see for example [21, 23]). For this goal we need some additional structural restrictions on the operator as componentwise coercivity similar to that imposed in [23, 29, 32, 33].

Suppose that \( \| A \|_{\infty, \Omega} \leq \Lambda_0 \) and for each fixed \( i \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \) there exist positive constants \( \theta_i \) and \( \gamma(\Lambda_0) \) such that for \( |u^i| \geq \theta_i \) we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
\gamma |z^i|^2 - \Lambda |u|^2 - \Lambda \varphi_1(x)^2 &\leq \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \left( A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x) z^j_{\beta} + a_i^\alpha(x, u) \right) z^i_{\alpha} \\
b_i(x, u, z) \operatorname{sign} u^i(x) &\geq -\Lambda \left( \varphi_2(x) + |u|^{2^* - 1} + |z^i|^{2\frac{2^* - 1}{2 - 1}} \right)
\end{aligned}
\]
for a.a. \( x \in \Omega \) and for all \( z \in \mathbb{M}^{N \times n} \). The functions \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_2 \) are as in (2.6) and (2.7).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( u \in W^{1,2}_0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N) \) be a weak solution of the problem (1.1) under the conditions (2.1), (2.6), (2.7) and (3.1). Then
\[
(3.2) \quad u \in W^{1,r}_0 \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{with} \quad r = \min\{p, q_\mu^*\}.
\]
Moreover
\[
(3.3) \quad |D u| \in L^{r,\nu}(\Omega) \quad \text{with} \quad \nu = \min\left\{ n + \frac{r(\lambda - n)}{p}, n + \frac{r(\mu - n)}{q_\mu^*} \right\}
\]
where \( q_\mu^* \) is the Sobolev-Morrey conjugate of \( q \) (see (2.5)).

**Remark 3.2.** If we take bounded weak solution of (1.1), i.e., \( u \in W^{1,r}_0 \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N) \) we can substitute the coercivity condition (3.1) with a uniform ellipticity condition. In this case we may suppose the principal coefficients to be discontinuous with small discontinuity controlled.
by their BMO modulus. Precisely, we suppose that
\[
\sup_{0 < \rho \leq R} \sup_{y \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega_{\rho}(y)} \left| A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x) - A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(y) \right|^2 dx \leq \delta^2,
\]
where \( \delta \in (0, 1) \) is the same parameter as in (2.1). The small BMO successfully substitute the \( VMO \) in the study of PDEs with discontinuous coefficients, harmonic analysis and integral operators studying, geometric measure analysis and differential geometry (see [4, 6, 8, 20, 28, 33] and the references therein). A higher integrability result for such kind of operators can be found in [13, 28, 31] for equations and systems, respectively.

**Proof.** The essential boundedness of the solution follows by [29] (see also [32, 33]). Precisely, there exists a constant depending on \( n, \Lambda, p, q, \|\varphi_1\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \|\varphi_2\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \) and \( \|Du\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \) such that
\[
\|u\|_{\infty, \Omega} \leq M.
\]

Let the solution and the functions \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_2 \) be extended as zero outside \( \Omega \). By the Definition 2.2 we have that \( \varphi_1 \in L^p(\Omega) \) and \( \varphi_2 \in L^q(\Omega) \). In [17] Giaquinta show that there exists an exponent \( \tilde{r} > 2 \) such that \( u \in W^{1,\tilde{r}}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N) \). His approach is based on the reverse Hölder inequality and a version of Gehring’s lemma. Since the Caccioppoli-type inequalities hold up to the boundary, this method can be carried out up to the boundary and it is done in [17, Chapter 5] for the Dirichlet problem in Lipschitz domain (see also [3, 11, 13, 31]). In [9] the authors have shown that an inner neighborhood of \((\delta, R)\)-Reifenberg flat domain is a Lipschitz domain with the \((\delta, R)\)-Reifenberg flat property. More precisely, we dispose with the following result.

**Lemma 3.3.** ([9]) Let \( \Omega \) be a \((\delta, R)\)-Reifenberg flat domain for sufficiently small \( \delta > 0 \). Then for any \( 0 < \varepsilon < \frac{R}{3} \) the set \( \Omega_\varepsilon = \{ x \in \Omega : \text{dist } x, \partial \Omega > \varepsilon \} \) is a Lipschitz domain with the property (2.1).

This lemma permits us to extend the results of [17, Chapter 5] in Reifenberg-flat domains. Further, by [31] \( |Du| \) belongs at least to \( L^{r_0}(\Omega) \) with \( r_0 = \min\{p, q^*\} > \frac{n}{n+2} \).

Let \( n > 2 \) and \( u \in W^{1, r_0}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N) \) be a solution to (1.1). Our **first step** is to improve its integrability. Fixing that solution in the nonlinear terms we get the linearized problem
\[
\begin{align*}
D_\alpha \left( A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x) D_\beta u^i(x) \right) = f_i(x) - D_\alpha A_{ij}^{\alpha}(x) & \quad x \in \Omega \\
u(x) = 0 & \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{align*}
\]
where we have used the notation
\[ f_i(x) = b_i(x, u, D u), \quad A_i^0(x) = a_i^0(x, u), \]

By (2.6), (2.7) and (3.4) we get
\[ |A_i^0(x)| \leq \Lambda \left( \varphi_1(x) + |u(x)|^{\frac{n+2}{n}} \right) \]
that gives \( A_i^0(x) \in L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega) \) with \( p > 2 \) and \( p + \lambda > n \). Analogously
\[ |f_i(x)| \leq \Lambda \left( \varphi_2(x) + |u|^{\frac{n+2}{n}} + |Du|^{\frac{n+2}{n}} \right). \]
Since \(|Du| \in L^q(\Omega)\) we get \(|Du|^{\frac{n+2}{n}} \in L^{\frac{r_2n}{n+2}}(\Omega)\) that gives \( f_i \in L^n(\Omega) \) where \( q_1 = \min\{q, \frac{r_2n}{n+2}\} \).

Let \( \Gamma \) be the fundamental solution of the Laplace operator. Recall that the Newtonian potential of \( f_i(x) \) is given by
\[ \mathcal{N}f_i(x) = \int_{\Omega} \Gamma(x - y)f_i(y) \, dy, \quad \Delta \mathcal{N}f_i(x) = f_i(x) \text{ for a.a. } x \in \Omega \]
and by [19, Theorem 9.9] we have that \( \mathcal{N}f_i \in W^{2,q_1}(\Omega) \). Denote by
\[ F_i^0(x) = D_\alpha \mathcal{N}f_i(x) = C(n) \int_{\Omega} \frac{(x - y)\alpha f_i(y)}{|x - y|^n} \, dy \text{ for a.a. } x \in \Omega \]
and \( I = (F_1^0, \ldots, F_n^0) = \text{grad } \mathcal{N}f_i \). Hence div \( I \) = \( f_i \) and
\[ \begin{cases} 
D_\alpha \left( A_{ij}^\alpha(x) D_\beta u^j(x) \right) = D_\alpha (F_i^0(x) - A_i^0(x)) \quad x \in \Omega \\
u(x) = 0 \quad x \in \partial \Omega \end{cases} \]
By (3.6) and (3.7) we get
\[ |F_i^0(x) - A_i^0(x)| \leq C(n, \Lambda) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi_2(y) + |u(y)|^{\frac{n+2}{n-1}} + |Du(y)|^{\frac{n+2}{n-1}}}{|x - y|^n} \, dy \]
\[ + \Lambda \left( \varphi_1(x) + |u(x)|^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \right) \leq C \left( 1 + \varphi_1(x) + I_1 \varphi_2(x) + I_1 |Du(x)|^{\frac{n+2}{n}} \right) \]
with a constant depending on \( n, \Lambda \), and \( \|u\|_{\infty, \Omega} \). By (2.4) we get
\[ \|I_1 \varphi_2\|_{L^{q,\mu}(\Omega)} \leq C \|\varphi_2\|_{L^{q,\mu}(\Omega)} \]
\[ \|I_1 |Du|^{\frac{n+2}{n}}\|_{L^{\frac{r_2n}{n+2}}(\Omega)} \leq C \|Du\|_{L^{q,\mu}(\Omega)} \]
where $q_\mu^*$ is the Sobolev-Morrey conjugate of $q$ and
\[
\left( \frac{r_0 n}{n + 2} \right)^* = \begin{cases} 
\frac{r_0 n}{n + 2 - r_0} & \text{if } r_0 < n + 2, \\
\text{arbitrary large number} & \text{if } r_0 \geq n + 2.
\end{cases}
\]
Hence $F_i^\alpha - A_i^\alpha \in L^{r_1}(\Omega)$ with $r_1 = \min\{p, q_\mu^*\left(\frac{r_0 n}{r_0 n + 2}\right)^*\}$. If $r_1 = \min\{p, q_\mu^*\}$ then we have the assertion, otherwise $r_1 = \left(\frac{r_0 n}{n + 2}\right)^*$ and we consider two cases:

1. $r_0 = p$ that leads to $p > \left(\frac{r_0 n}{n + 2}\right)^*$ which is impossible;
2. $r_0 = q^*$ and we consider two subcases:
   2a) $q^* \geq n + 2$ which means that $r_1$ is arbitrary large number
   and we arrive to contradiction with the assumption $r_1 < \min\{p, q_\mu^*\}$;
   2b) $q^* < n + 2$ hence $r_1 = \frac{q_\mu n}{n + 2 - q^*}$.

Applying [10, Theorem 1.7] to the linearized system (3.8) we get that for each matrix function $\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{A} \in L^{r_1}(\Omega; \mathbb{M}^{N \times n})$, with $r_1 = \frac{q_\mu n}{n + 2 - q^*}$ holds $u \in W_0^{1, r_1} \cap L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ with the estimate
\[
\|Du\|_{r_1, \Omega} \leq C\|\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{A}\|_{r_1, \Omega}.
\]
Here $\mathbf{A}(x) = \{A_i^\alpha(x)\}_{\alpha \leq n}^{\alpha \leq N}$ and $\mathbf{F}(x) = \{F_i^\alpha(x)\}_{\alpha \leq n}^{\alpha \leq N}$. Let us note that this estimate is valid for each solution of (3.8) including $u$. Repeating the above procedure for $u \in W^{1, r_1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ we get that
\[
|Du| \in L^{r_2}(\Omega) \quad r_2 = \min\left\{p, q^*, \left(\frac{r_0 n}{n + 2}\right)^*\right\}.
\]
If $r_2 = \min\{p, q_\mu^*\}$ then we have the assertion, otherwise $r_2 = \left(\frac{r_0 n}{n + 2}\right)^* > r_1$ and we repeat the arguments of the previous case. In such a way we get an increasing sequence of indexes $\{r_k\}_{k \geq 0}$. After $k'$ iterations we obtain $r_{k'} \geq \min\{p, q_\mu^*\}$ and
\[
(3.12) \quad \|Du\|_{r, \Omega} \leq C\|\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{A}\|_{r, \Omega} \quad \text{with} \quad r = \min\{p, q_\mu^*\}.
\]

The second step consists of showing that the gradient lies in a suitable Morrey space. Suppose that $|Du| \in L^{r, \theta}(\Omega)$ with arbitrary $\theta \in [0, n)$. Direct calculations give that $|Du|^{\frac{n+2}{n}} \in L^{\frac{n+2}{n+2} \theta}$, i.e.
\[
\left(\frac{1}{\rho^{\theta}} \int_{B_\rho} |Du|^{\frac{n+2}{n} \frac{r n}{n + 2} dx}\right)^{\frac{n+2}{n_\rho n+2} dx} = \left(\frac{1}{\rho^{\theta}} \int_{B_\rho} |Du|^r dx\right)^{\frac{n+2}{r n+2}} \leq \|Du\|_{r, \theta, \Omega}.
\]
Keeping in mind (3.3) and (2.1) we get
\[
I_1|Du|^{\frac{n+2}{n}} \in L^{\frac{n+2}{n+2} \theta}(\Omega)
\]
while $\varphi_1 \in L^{p, \theta}(\Omega)$ and $I_1\varphi_2 \in L^{\theta}q_\mu^*(\Omega)$.
Further by the Hölder inequality we get the estimates
\[
\left( \frac{1}{\rho^{n-\frac{n-\lambda}{pr}}} \int_{B_\rho} \varphi_1(x)^r \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C(n) \left\| \varphi_1 \right\|_{p,\lambda;\Omega}
\]
\[
\left( \frac{1}{\rho^{n-\frac{n-\mu}{\eta r}}} \int_{B_\rho} (I_1 \varphi_2(x))^r \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C(n) \left\| I_1 \varphi_2 \right\|_{q^\ast,\mu;\Omega}
\]
that implies \( \varphi_1 \in L^{r,n-\frac{n-\lambda}{pr}}(\Omega) \) and \( I_1 \varphi_2 \in L^{r,n-\frac{n-\mu}{\eta r}}(\Omega) \).

As it concerns the potential \( I_1 |Du|^{\frac{r}{n+2}} \) we consider two cases:

1. \( n-\theta \leq \frac{rn}{n+2} \), then \( \left( \frac{rn}{n+2} \right)^* \) is arbitrary large number and we can take it such that \( I_1 |Du|^{\frac{n+2}{n}} \in L^r(\Omega) \);
2. \( n-\theta > \frac{rn}{n+2} \), then by the imbeddings between the Morrey spaces we have
\[
L^{\left( \frac{rn}{n+2} \right)^* 2, \theta}(\Omega) \subset L^{r,r-2+\theta \frac{n+2}{n}}(\Omega)
\]
Then
\[
|F_1^\alpha - A_1^\alpha| \in L^{r,\min\{r-2+\theta \frac{n+2}{n}, n-\frac{n-\lambda}{p} r, n-\frac{n-\mu}{q^\ast r} \}}(\Omega)
\]
which implies via [6, Theorem 5.1] that the gradient of the solution of the linearized problem satisfies
\[
|Du| \in L^{r,\min\{r-2+\theta \frac{n+2}{n}, n-\frac{n-\lambda}{p} r, n-\frac{n-\mu}{q^\ast r} \}}(\Omega)
\]

In order to determine the optimal \( \theta \) we use step-by-step arguments starting with the result obtained in the first step and taking as \( \theta_0 = 0 \).

Suppose that
\[
r - 2 < \min \left\{ n - \frac{n-\lambda}{p} r, n - \frac{n-\mu}{q^\ast r} \right\},
\]
otherwise we have the assertion. Repeating the above procedure with \( u \) such that \( |Du| \in L^{r,\theta_1}(\Omega) \) with \( \theta_1 = r - 2 \) we obtain
\[
|Du| \in L^{r,\theta_2}(\Omega)
\]
with
\[
\theta_2 = \min \left\{ r - 2 + \theta_1 \frac{n+2}{n}, n - \frac{n-\lambda}{p} r, n - \frac{n-\mu}{q^\ast r} \right\}.
\]
If \( \theta_2 = \min\{n - \frac{n-\lambda}{p} r, n - \frac{n-\mu}{q^\ast r}\} \) we have the assertion, otherwise we take \( \theta_2 = r - 2 + \theta_1 \frac{n+2}{n} = (r - 2)(1 + \frac{n+2}{n}) \).
Iterating we obtain an increasing sequence \( \{ \theta_k = (r-2) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left( \frac{n+2}{n} \right)^i \} \), \( k \geq 1 \).

Then there exists an index \( k'' \) for which

\[
   r - 2 + \theta_{k''} \frac{n + 2}{n} \geq \min \left\{ n - \frac{n - \lambda}{p} r, n - \frac{n - \mu}{q}^* r \right\}
\]

that gives the assertion.

If \( n = 2 \) then the growth conditions have the form

\[
   |a(x, u)| \leq \Lambda(\varphi_1(x) + |u|^\varpi),
\]

\[
   \varphi_1 \in L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega), \quad p > 2, \quad p + \lambda > n, \quad \lambda \in [0, n),
\]

\[
   |b(x, u, z)| \leq \Lambda(\varphi_2(x) + |u|^{\varpi - 1} + |z|^{2^-\epsilon}),
\]

\[
   \varphi_2 \in L^{q,\mu}(\Omega), \quad q > 1, \quad 2q + \mu > n, \quad \mu \in [0, n)
\]

with \( \varpi > 1 \) arbitrary large number and \( \epsilon > 0 \) arbitrary small.

Fixing again the solution \( u \in W^{1,r}_0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N) \cup L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N) \) in the nonlinear terms and using the Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 we obtain

\[
   F^{\alpha}_i - A^{\alpha}_i \in L^{r_1}(\Omega) \quad r_1 = \min \left\{ p, q^*_\mu; \left( \frac{r_0}{2 - \epsilon} \right)^* \right\}.
\]

If \( r_1 = \left( \frac{r_0}{2 - \epsilon} \right)^* \) then the only possible value for \( r_0 \) is \( r_0 = q^* \) and hence \( r_1 = \frac{2}{2(2^-\epsilon) - q}^* \), otherwise we rich to contradiction. Then by [10] we get \( |Du| \in L^{r_1}(\Omega) \). Repeating the above procedure with \( u \in W^{1,r_1}_0 \cap L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N) \) we obtain that

\[
   |Du| \in L^{r_2}(\Omega) \quad r_2 = \min \left\{ p, q^*_\mu; \left( \frac{r_1}{2 - \epsilon} \right)^* \right\}.
\]

If

\[
   r_2 = \left( \frac{r_1}{2 - \epsilon} \right)^* < \min\{ p, q^*_\mu \}
\]

we repeat the same procedure obtaining an increasing sequence \( \{ r_k \} \) \( k \geq 0 \).

Hence there exist an index \( k_0 \) such that \( r_{k_0} \leq \min\{ p, q^*_\mu \} \) that gives the assertion.

To obtain Morrey’s regularity we take \( |Du| \in L^{r,\theta}(\Omega) \) with arbitrary \( \theta \in [0, 2) \). Hence \( |Du|^{2^-\epsilon} \in L^{r,\varpi}(\Omega) \). By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 we obtain

\[
   \varphi_1 \in L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega) \subset L^{r,2^-\varpi,\lambda}(\Omega)
\]

\[
   I_1 \varphi_2 \in L^{q,\mu,\mu}(\Omega) \subset L^{r,2^-\varpi,\mu}(\Omega)
\]

\[
   I_1 |Du|^{2^-\epsilon} \in L^{r,2^-\varpi,\theta}(\Omega) \subset L^{r,2^{(1-\epsilon)+\theta(2^-\epsilon)}(\Omega)}.
\]
Hence the Calderón-Zygmund estimate for the linearized problem (see [6]) gives

$$|Du| \in L^{r, \min\{2 - \frac{2 - \lambda}{p} r, 2 - \frac{2 - \mu}{q_\mu^*} r, r - 2(1 - \epsilon) + \theta(2 - \epsilon)\}}(\Omega).$$

To determine the precise Morrey space we apply the step-by-step procedure.

1. Since the last term is minimal when $\theta = 0$ than we start with this initial value $\theta_0 = 0$. Suppose that

$$r - 2(1 - \epsilon) < \min\{2 - \frac{2 - \lambda}{p} r, 2 - \frac{2 - \mu}{q_\mu^*} r, r - 2(1 - \epsilon) + \theta_1(2 - \epsilon)\} < 2$$

(otherwise we have the assertion) and denote $\theta_1 = r - 2(1 - \epsilon)$.

2. Take $|Du| \in L^{r, \theta_1}(\Omega)$. The above procedure gives $|Du| \in L^{r, \theta_2}(\Omega)$ with

$$\theta_2 = \min\{2 - \frac{2 - \lambda}{p} r, 2 - \frac{2 - \mu}{q_\mu^*} r, r - 2(1 - \epsilon) + \theta_1(2 - \epsilon)\}.$$ 

If $\theta_2 = r - 2(1 - \epsilon) + \theta_1(2 - \epsilon)$ (otherwise we have the assertion) then we continue with the same procedure obtaining the sequence defined by recurrence

$$\theta_0 = 0, \quad \theta_k = r - 2(1 - \epsilon) + \theta_{k-1}(2 - \epsilon).$$

3. Since $r > 2$, hence the sequence is increasing and there exists an index $k$ such that

$$\theta_k \geq \min\{2 - \frac{2 - \lambda}{p} r, 2 - \frac{2 - \mu}{q_\mu^*} r\}$$

which is the assertion.

\[\square\]

**Corollary 3.4.** Supposing the conditions of Theorem 3.1, for any fixed $i = 1, \ldots, N$ holds $u^i \in C^{0, \alpha}(\Omega)$ with $\alpha = \min\{1 - \frac{n - \lambda}{p}, 1 - \frac{n - \mu}{q_\mu^*}\}$ and for any ball $B_\rho(z) \subset \Omega$

$$\text{osc}_{B_\rho(z)} u^i \leq C \rho^\alpha.$$

**Proof.** By (3.3) we have that for each ball $B_\rho(z) \subset \Omega$

$$\int_{B_\rho(z)} |Du^i(y)| \, dy \leq C \rho^{\frac{\alpha}{1 - \frac{n - \lambda}{p}}}. $$
Then for any \( x, y \in B_{\rho}(z) \) and for each fixed \( i = 1, \ldots, N \) we have

\[
|u^i(x) - u^i(y)| \leq 2|u^i(x) - u^i_{B_{\rho}(z)}| \leq C \int_{B_{\rho}(z)} \frac{|Du^i(y)|}{|x - y|^{n-1}} dy \\
\leq C \int_0^\rho \int_{B_{t}(z)} |Du^i(y)| \frac{dt}{t^n} dy \leq C \rho^{1-\frac{\nu}{n}}.
\]

\( \square \)

**Acknowledgments.** The both authors are members of INDOM-GNAMPA. The authors are indebted of the referee for the valuable suggestions that improved the exposition of the paper.

**References**

1. Acerbi, E., Mingione, G., Gradient estimates for a class of parabolic systems, *Duke Math. J.*, 136 (2007), 285-320.
2. Adams, D.R., A note on Riesz potentials, *Duke Math. J.*, 42 (4) (1975), 765–778.
3. Arkhipova, A.A., Reverse Hölder inequalities with boundary integrals and \( L^p \)-estimates for solutions of nonlinear elliptic and parabolic boundary-value problems, in *Nonl. Evol. Equ. (ed. N. N. Uraltseva)*, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, 164, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1995), 15–42.
4. Byun, S.-S., Palagachev, D.K., Morrey regularity of solutions to quasilinear elliptic equations over Reifenberg flat domains, *Calc. Var.*, 49 (2014), 37-76.
5. Byun, S.-S., Palagachev, D. Shin, P., Sobolev-Morrey regularity of solutions to general quasilinear elliptic equations, *Nonlin. Anal., Theory Meth. Appl., Ser. A, Theory Meth.*, 147 (2016), 176–190.
6. Byun, S.-S., Softova, L., Gradient estimates in generalized Morrey spaces for parabolic operators, *Math. Nachr.*, 288 (14-15) (2015), 1602–1614.
7. Byun, S.-S., Softova, L. Asymptotically regular operators in generalized Morrey spaces, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, doi:10.1112/blms.12306 (in print).
8. Byun, S.-S., Wang, L.. Elliptic equations with BMO coefficients in Reifenberg domains *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.,* 57 (10) (2004), 1283–1310.
9. Byun, S.-S., Wang, L., Parabolic equations in time dependent Reifenberg domains, *Adv. Math.,* 212 (2) (2007), 797–818.
10. Byun, S.-S., Wang, L., Gradient estimates for elliptic systems in non-smooth domains, *Math. Ann.,* 341 (2008), 629–650.
11. Campanato, S., Sistemi ellittici in forma divergenza. Regolarità all’interno, *Pubbli. Classe Scienze: Quaderni, Scuola Norm. Sup., Pisa*, 1980.
12. De Giorgi, E., Sulla differenziabilità e l’analiticità delle estremali degli integrali multipli regolari, *Mem. Accad. Sci. Torino Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.,* 3 (3) (1957), 25–43.
13. Dong, H., Kim, D., Global regularity of weak solutions to quasilinear elliptic and parabolic equations with controlled growth, *Commun. Part. Differ. Equ.,* 36 (2011), 1750–1777.
[14] Fattorusso, L., Molica Bisci, G., Tarsia, A., A global regularity result for some degenerate elliptic systems, *Nonlin. Anal., Theory Meth. Appl., Ser. A.*, 125 (2015), 54–66.

[15] Fattorusso, L., Tarsia, A., Morrey regularity of solutions of fully nonlinear elliptic systems, *Compl. Var. Elliptic Equ.*, 55 (5-6) (2010), 537–548.

[16] Gehring, F.W., $L^p$-integrability of the partial derivatives of a quasi conformal mapping, *Acta Math.*, 130 (1973), 265–277.

[17] Giaquinta, M., *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations and Nonlinear Elliptic Systems*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, 105, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1983.

[18] Giaquinta, M., Modica, G., Regularity results for some classes of higher order nonlinear elliptic systems, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 311/312 (1979), 437–451.

[19] Gilbarg, D., Trudinger, N., *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Classics in Mathematics. Berlin, Springer xiii, 517 p., 2001.

[20] Guarnieri, A., Pirotti, F., Vettore, A., Crocetto, N., Digression on a particular Abel integral, *J. Interd. Math.*, 6 (12) (2009), 863–874.

[21] John, O., Stara, Y., On the regularity and nonregularity of elliptic and parabolic systems, Equadiff-7, Proc. Conf. Prague, 1989; J. Kurzweil ed., Teubner-Texte Math. 118, Teubner, Leipzig, 1990, 28–36.

[22] Ladyzhenskaya, O.A., Ural’tseva, N.N., *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Elliptic Type*, 2nd Edition, Nauka, Moscow, 1973, (in Russian).

[23] Leonetti, F., Petricca, P.V., Regularity for solutions to some nonlinear elliptic systems, *Compl. Var. Elliptic Eq.* (12) 56 (2011), 1099-1113.

[24] Marino, M., Maugeri, A., Boundary regularity results for non-variational basic elliptic systems, *Le Matematiche*, 55 (2) (2000) 109–123.

[25] Morrey, C.B., On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soci.*, 43 (1938), 126–166.

[26] Morrey, C.B., Second order elliptic equations in several variables and Hölder continuity, *Mathem. Zeitschr.*, 72 (1959), 146–164.

[27] Palagachev, D.K., Softova, L.G., Divergence form parabolic equations in Reifenberg flat domains, *Discr. Cont. Dynam. Syst.*, 31 (4) (2011), 1397–1410.

[28] Palagachev, D.K., Softova, L.G., The Calderón-Zygmund property for quasilinear divergence form equations over Reifenberg flat domains, *Nonlin. Anal., Theory Meth. Appl., Ser. A*, 74 (2011), 1721–1730.

[29] Palagachev, D.K., Softova, L.G., Boundedness of solutions to a class of coercive systems with Morrey data, *Nonlin. Anal., Theory Meth. Appl., Ser. A*, 191 (2020), 111630, 16 pp.

[30] Piccinini, L.C., Inclusioni tra spazi di Morrey, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital.*, Ser IV (2) (1969), 95–99.

[31] Softova, L., $L^p$ -integrability of the gradient of solutions to quasilinear systems with discontinuous coefficients, *Differ. Integral Equ.*, 26 (9-10) (2013), 1091–1104.

[32] Softova, L., Maximum principle for a kind of elliptic systems with Morrey data, *Different. Difference Equ. Appl.*, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 230, Springer, 2018, 429–439.

[33] Softova, L.G., Boundedness of the solutions to nonlinear systems with Morrey data, *Compl. Var. Elliptic Equ.*, 63 (11) (2018).
[34] Reifenberg, E.R., Solution of the Plateau problem for \( m \)-dimensional surfaces of varying topological type, *Acta Math.* **104** (1960), 1–92.

[35] Toro, T., Doubling and flatness: geometry of measures, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **44** (1997), 1087–1094.

**Department of Information Engineering, Infrastructure and Sustainable Energy, Mediterranea University, of Reggio Calabria, Italy, e-mail: luisa.fattorusso@unirc.it**

**Department of Mathematics University of Salerno, Italy, e-mail: lsoftova@unisa.it**