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We model the supermassive dark object $M87^*$ as a Schwarzschild lens and study the variations in tangential, radial, and total (the product of tangential and radial) magnifications of images (primary, secondary, and relativistic) against the changes in angular source position and the ratio of lens-source to the observer-source distance. Further, we study the behavior of partial derivatives (with respect to the angular source position) of total magnifications of images against the angular source position. Finally, we model supermassive dark objects at centers of 40 galaxies as Schwarzschild lenses and study the variations in tangential, radial, and total magnifications of images against the change in the ratio of mass of the lens to its distance. These studies yield many nonintuitive results which are likely to be significant for next generation Event Horizon Telescope observations. We hypothesize that there exists a distortion parameter such that their signed sum of all images of singular gravitational lensing of a source identically vanishes. We test this with images of Schwarzschild lensing in weak and strong gravitational fields and find that this esthetically appealing hypothesis succeeds with flying colors.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The deflection of light in the gravitational field of a massive object was discussed even before the discovery of Einstein’s general theory of relativity, notably by Newton, Michell, Cavendish, Laplace, Soldner, and Einstein himself [1–4]. Later, after the advent of general relativity, Einstein, using his theory of general relativity, obtained total light deflection of a light ray tangentially grazing the surface of the Sun. His result was twice the Newtonian value and was supported by observation during the total solar eclipse in 1919.

The spectacular detectable phenomena resulting from the deflection of electromagnetic or gravitational radiation by a spacetime is referred to as gravitational lensing [5]. The basic theory of lensing was developed by Eddington, Chwolson, Einstein, Liebes, Klimov, Refsdal, Bourassa and Kantowski, and others (see in [1] and references therein.) In 1979, Walsh, Carswell, and Weymann observed twin images of QSO 0957+561 A, B that were separated by approximately 5.7 arcsec. Thereafter, Lynds and Petrosian [6], in 1986, and Soucail et al. [7], in 1987, observed giant luminous arcs which were unraveled as distorted images of distant galaxies by Paczynski [8]. Hewitt et al. [9], in 1988, observed the first Einstein ring. These observations made gravitational lensing one of the hottest research topics in astrophysics and numerous gravitational lensing cases have been observed by now. Around two decades before the first GL (gravitational lensing) was observed by Walsh et al., Darwin [11], in 1959, carried out basic studies of the gravitational lensing due to light deflection in the vicinity of the photon sphere of an ultracompact Schwarzschild massive object. He obtained an elegant formula for the Einstein bending angle:

$$\hat{\alpha} (r_o) = 2 \ln \left( \frac{36M(2 - \sqrt{3})}{r_o - 3M} \right) - \pi, \quad (1)$$

where $r_o$ and $M$ are, respectively, the closest distance of approach of the light ray and the Schwarzschild mass. He further showed that the images are too demagnified to be observed and termed those ghosts probably because those were not observable. Despite the theoretical elegance of results obtained by Darwin, the research on gravitational lensing in a strong gravitational field remained almost abeyant for around 40 years for possibly two reasons: Images were incredibly demagnified and there was no adequate gravitational lens equation to study GL in a very strong gravitational field.

Being unaware of Darwin’s work, we [12], in 2000, initiated a research on this topic. We obtained a new gravitational lens equation that allows arbitrary light deflection angles (very small through very large). We modeled the Galactic supermassive “black hole” as a Schwarzschild lens and obtained angular positions of primary-secondary as well as relativistic images (deflection angle $\hat{\alpha} > 3\pi/2$) and their magnifications. Like Darwin, we also found that relativistic images (that he called ghosts) are very demagnified. However, the new lens equation being capable of studying GL in a very strong gravitational field resurrected the strong field gravitational lensing studies. Perlick [13] called this new lens equation an almost exact lens equation. A large number of research papers on strong gravitational field lensing due to black holes [14–27] and exotic objects such as naked singularities,
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wormholes, and boson stars \cite{28,12} have been published (see also references therein.)

In 2009, we \cite{43} came back to this subject and carried out a comprehensive study of Schwarzschild lensing in a weak as well as the strong gravitational field in the vicinity of the photon sphere. We obtained important results not only for relativistic images but also for primary-secondary images which were thought to be completely understood. We list a few of those results in brief: (i) We obtained a formula for computing masses of compact objects with astounding accuracy. Distances and angular source position play no (extremely insignificant) role in this formula. [See Eq. (19) in \cite{43}]. (ii) The angular separations between any two relativistic images are extremely insensitive to changes in the lens-source distance and angular source position. Thus, having the mass of the compact object and separation between angular positions between two relativistic images, we can compute a very accurate value for the distance of the compact massive object. (iii) The dependence of (absolute) magnification ratios of relativistic images of the same order on the potential (the ratio of the mass of the lens to the lens-observer distance) is insignificantly small. Therefore, the measurements of the flux ratio would give a very accurate value of the lens-source distance. (iv) We showed that the time delays of primary images are always (for any angular source position) smaller for nearer sources for the otherwise same situation. This is obviously a counterintuitive result. We also explained the reason for this long prevailing misconception. Usually, differential time delays are measured. However, this conceptually fascinating counterintuitive result is also measurable. Rafikov and Lai \cite{14} gave a method to measure time delay as well. For recent papers on the gravitational lensing in strong gravitational fields, see \cite{13,51} and references therein.

Despite the wonderful implications of relativistic images for astrophysics (as these could bestow a powerful means to unveil the secrets of the universe with astounding accuracy), these are not observed. To this end, after a long period of technical and theoretical developments, the event horizon telescope (EHT) — an international collaboration from many countries and institutions was launched in 2009. The EHT was composed of radio telescopes around the world to produce a giant high sensitivity and resolution virtual telescope. In 2017 the EHT carried out observations of M87* over 4 days (April 5-11) at approximately 1.3 mm with unprecedented angular resolution. They surprised the world by releasing the first image on April 10, 2019 and published their landmark results in a series of six papers \cite{52-57}. The bright region around the silhouette seems to be of secondary, relativistic, and orphaned images. (The images due to gravitational mirroring, also called retrolensing, of a source do not have primary-secondary images as their “parents” and due to this reason we called those orphaned images, or simply orphans \cite{43}.) The relativistic images are easy to be differentiated from orphans because these appear along with the primary and secondary images. The present EHT is not capable of resolving relativistic images from secondary as well as orphaned images and therefore we are not sure whether the EHT observed relativistic images. However, the next generation Event Horizon Telescope (ngEHT) \cite{53} is very likely to resolve secondary, relativistic, and orphaned images and also take necessary measurements.

In order to observe and analyze relativistic images, we need to study characteristics of these images in detail. This is the main aim of this paper. We first model the $M87^*$ as the Schwarzschild lens and study variations in tangential, radial, and total magnifications of images with respect to the change in the angular source positions (keeping lens-source distance fixed). Then, we study derivatives of total magnifications (with respect to angular source position) as the angular source position increases. We further study variations in magnifications with respect to the change in distance parameter (the ratio of lens-source to observer-source distances) keeping the angular source position fixed. Last, we model the supermassive compact objects of 40 galaxies as the Schwarzschild lenses and study the variations of magnifications with respect to the ratio of the mass to the distance of the lens, keeping the ratio of lens-source to the observer-source distances and angular source position fixed. Last, but not least important, we define a novel distortion parameter of images such that the sum of signed (not absolute) distortions of all images is zero. With numerical computations, we demonstrate that the distortion parameter has this characteristic with high accuracy. The inclusion of this distortion parameter in the theory of gravitational lensing could be very helpful in identifying images of the same source and the order as well as searching for missing image(s).

This paper is arranged as follows. In Sec. II, we give lens equation, magnifications, and definition of distortion parameter. In Sec. III, we carry out computations and present results. In Sec. IV, we give a summary and discuss the results. We use geometrized units (the universal gravitational constant $G = 1$ and the speed of light in vacuum $c = 1$) and therefore the mass $M \equiv MG/c^2$. We use Mathematica \cite{59} for computations.

II. LENS EQUATION, MAGNIFICATION, AND DISTORTION

In order to study gravitational lensing due to light deflection in weak as well as strong gravitational fields (such as the vicinity of photon surfaces of compact massive objects), we obtained a novel lens equation which is expressed as \cite{12}

$$\tan \beta = \tan \theta - \alpha,$$

(2)

where

$$\alpha = D [\tan \theta + \tan (\hat{\alpha} - \theta)]$$

(3)
The sign of the magnification of an image determines parity of the image: positive parity for \( \beta > 0 \), negative parity for \( \beta < 0 \), and zero parity for the images formed when the angular source position \( \beta = 0 \). However, \( \beta = 0 \) does not always give images [28–30]. If the tangential magnification (but may be simply called tangential magnification) \( \mu_t \) of an image is negative, then we define absolute tangential magnification (may be simply called tangential magnification) \( |\mu_t| \) for plotting and analysis of results. The same convention applies to radial and total magnifications.

In this paper, we study gravitational lensing by static spherically symmetric compact objects. The exterior gravitational field of such objects is given by the Schwarzschild spacetime described by the following line element:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ds}^2 &= \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) \text{d}t^2 - \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right)^{-1} \text{d}r^2 \\
&- r^2 \left( \text{d}\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta \text{d}\phi^2 \right),
\end{align*}
\]

where the real constant parameter \( M \) is the Schwarzschild mass. The deflection angle \( \hat{\alpha} \) and the impact parameter \( J \) of a light ray with the closest distance of approach \( r_o \) are given, respectively, by [60]

\[
\hat{\alpha} \left( r_o \right) = 2 \int_{r_o}^{\infty} \frac{dr}{r \sqrt{\left( \frac{r}{r_o} \right)^2 \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r_o} \right) - \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right)}} - \pi
\]

and

\[
J \left( r_o \right) = 2M r_o \left( 1 - \frac{1}{r_o} \right)^{-1/2}.
\]

Like in our previous papers [12, 28], we introduce radial distance in terms of the Schwarzschild radius \( 2M \),

\[
\rho = \frac{r}{2M}, \quad \rho_o = \frac{r_o}{2M},
\]

and write

\[
\hat{\alpha} \left( \rho_o \right) = 2 \int_{\rho_o}^{\infty} \frac{d\rho}{\rho \sqrt{\left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_o} \right)^2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\rho_o} \right) - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\rho} \right)}} - \pi
\]

and

\[
J \left( \rho_o \right) = 2M \rho_o \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\rho_o} \right)^{-1/2}.
\]

In order to compute magnifications of images, we need derivative of the deflection angle \( \hat{\alpha} \) with respect to the angular position of the image which is given by [see Eq. (32) in [28]]

\[
\frac{d\hat{\alpha}}{d\theta} = \hat{\alpha}' \left( \rho_o \right) \frac{d\rho_o}{d\theta}.
\]

Different images of the same source are usually identified
by the similar spectra, same flux ratio in the optical as well as radio wave band, and knots in the different images. However, there is no way to know if there is/are any missing image(s) of the same source for whatever reasons. Inspired by this problem, we hypothesize the following: There exists a distortion parameter such that the signed (not absolute) sum of distortions of all images of a realistic and singular gravitational lensing of a source is identically zero. (We assume that no image is occulted.) In order to find a parameter like this, we define a distortion parameter as

$$\Delta = \frac{\mu_t}{\mu_r}$$

The signed sum of distortions of all images of a given source

$$\Delta_{\text{sum}} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Delta_i,$$

where $k$ is the total number of images. We also define a logarithmic distortion parameter of an image

$$\delta = \log_{10} \left| \frac{\mu_t}{\mu_r} \right|$$

for the convenience in plotting. We use the subscripts $p$ and $s$ for the primary and secondary images and subscripts $p_1$ and $p_2$ for the relativistic images of the first and second orders respectively, on the primary image side. Similarly, we use subscripts $s_1$ and $s_2$ for relativistic images on the secondary image side. We defined a (signed) distortion parameter $\Delta$ with the aim that sum of distortions of all images be zero. In order to test whether images of the same order have the same absolute distortions, we now define percentage difference in distortions of images of the same order as follows:

$$P_{ps} = \frac{\Delta_p + \Delta_s}{\Delta_p} \times 100,$$

$$P_{p1s} = \frac{\Delta_{1p} + \Delta_{1s}}{\Delta_{1p}} \times 100,$$

$$P_{2p2s} = \frac{\Delta_{2p} + \Delta_{2s}}{\Delta_{2p}} \times 100,$$

where the subscript $ps$ stands for the primary-secondary pair, and $p1s$ and $2p2s$, respectively, for the first- and second-order relativistic images pairs. As the primary image and relativistic images on the primary image side have positive parity in Schwarzschild lensing, the distortions of these images (i.e., $\Delta_p$, $\Delta_{1p}$, and $\Delta_{2p}$) are also positive. Similarly, distortions of secondary image and relativistic images on the secondary image side (i.e., $\Delta_s$, $\Delta_{1s}$, and $\Delta_{2s}$) are negative and due to this reason these appear with a positive sign in the numerators of the absolute percentage difference formulae given above.

### III. Computations and Results

In our paper \[43\], we studied variations of total absolute magnifications of primary, secondary, and first- and second-order relativistic images (on the primary image side) against the angular source position $\beta$ as well as the lens mass to lens-observer distance $M/D_d$ only for three values of lens-source to the observer-source distances ratio $D$. However, in order to have a better knowledge of shapes of images of Schwarzschild lensing, we now study variations of tangential and radial magnifications along with total magnifications of the primary, secondary, and first- and second-order relativistic images (on both sides of the optic axis) against $\beta$, $D$, and $M/D_d$. These studies are at present mostly of theoretical interest; however, these could later be useful to reveal important information about the lenses as well as sources.

We first model the M87\[^{\ast}\] (mass $M = 6.5 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ and distance $D_d = 16.8$ Mpc \[57\]) as a Schwarzschild lens and study point-source gravitational lensing. In \[43\] we showed that, as opposed to cases for the primary and secondary images, total magnifications of relativistic images of sources with smaller values of $D$ are higher, i.e., relativistic images of sources closer to lens have higher magnifications. Therefore, as the central thread of this paper is relativistic images, we take a small value of $D = 0.005$ for computations. For the M87\[^{\ast}\] Schwarzschild lens with $D = 0.005$, $M/D_{ds} \approx 3.68052 \times 10^{-9}$. As the gravitational field due to the lens at the source location is weak, the lens equation holds good.

We solve the gravitational lens equation \[2\] for a large number of values of the angular source position $\beta$ and obtain positions of primary, secondary, and relativistic images of orders 1 and 2 on both sides of the optic axis. (We do not present image positions in this paper because variations of images positions with $\beta$ are studied in \[43\].) Then we obtain their tangential, radial, and total magnifications. In Fig. 1, we plot these magnifications vs the angular source position. The figures in the top row show that the tangential magnifications of both primary and secondary images, represented by $\mu_{pt}$ and $|\mu_{st}|$, decrease with an increase in the value of $\beta$. The radial magnifications of primary and secondary images, respectively, increase and decrease with an increase in $\beta$. The total magnifications $\mu_r$ and $|\mu_s|$ of both images however decrease with an increase in $\beta$. The second and third rows in Fig. 1, respectively, show the variations of these magnifications with respect to $\beta$ for relativistic images of orders 1 and 2. The graphs are qualitatively similar (but quantitatively a lot different) to the primary-secondary images pair. The plots for relativistic images on the primary image side are qualitatively similar to those for the primary image and those on the secondary image side are similar to those for the secondary image. The tangential magnifications for all images decrease with an increase in the value of $\beta$. The radial magnifications of the primary as well as relativistic images on the primary image side increase with $\beta$; however, for secondary as well as...
relativistic images on the secondary image side decrease with an increase in $\beta$. The total magnifications of all images decrease with an increase in $\beta$. All three magnifications of images are smaller for images of higher order. However, the radial magnifications of relativistic images decrease hugely faster (compared to their tangential magnifications) as the order of images increases.

We now numerically obtain partial derivatives of total magnifications of three sets of images (two images in each set) with respect to the angular source position $\beta$ at
a large number of values for $\beta$ and plot those in Fig. 2. All graphs are qualitatively similar. The partial derivatives of magnifications being negative for all images at all values of $\beta$ show, as expected, that the total magnifications decrease with an increase in $\beta$. However, rates of fall decrease with an increase in the value of $\beta$. The rates of fall in total magnifications decrease with the increase in the order of images. For a given value of $\beta$, the rate of fall is maximum for the images of zero order (i.e., primary-secondary images) and least for the second-order relativistic images. The rates of fall in total magnifications for a pair of images of the same order are very close to each other. We will explain this in the last section.

We now consider SMDOs as Schwarzschild lenses. In Fig. 4, we plot the magnifications of these images are not extremely low. We compute the same magnifications (tangential, radial, and total) of the primary, secondary, and relativistic images of orders 1 and 2 on both sides of the optic axis for all 40 SMDOs as Schwarzschild lenses. In Fig. 4, we plot the magnifications vs $M/D_d$. All magnifications of these images (excluding the radial magnification of the primary image) increase with the increase in the value of $M/D_d$. The radial magnification of the primary image decreases with the increase in the value of $M/D_d$.

We now proceed to test the distortion hypothesis for the simplest gravitational lensing, i.e., the weak field Schwarzschild lensing. Under the weak gravitational field limit with small angular source position, our lens equation reduces to the well-known lens equation [12].

\[
\beta = \theta - \alpha D, \tag{21}
\]

where the Einstein bending angle $\alpha = 4M/r_0$ ($r_0$ is the closest distance of approach.) Solving the above lens equation gives the angular positions of the primary and
FIG. 3: First row: the tangential magnification of the primary image $\mu_{pt}$ (red dashed), the absolute tangential magnification of the secondary image $|\mu_{st}|$ (green dotted), the radial magnification of the primary image $\mu_{pr}$ (red dashed), the radial magnification of the secondary image $\mu_{sr}$ (green dotted), the total magnification of the primary image $\mu_p$ (red dashed), and the absolute total magnification of the secondary image $|\mu_s|$ (green dotted) are plotted against the parameter $D$. Second and third rows: the same three magnifications are plotted against the parameter $D$ for the first (see the second row) and the second (see the third row) order relativistic images. The subscripts $1_p$ and $2_p$ stand for the first- and second-order relativistic images on the side of the primary image whereas subscripts $1_s$ and $2_s$ for the first- and second-order relativistic images on the secondary image side. The colors of symbols and graphs are kept the same for graphs to be identified. The gravitational lens is the same as for Figs. 1 and 2. The angular source position $\beta = 1\text{mas}$.

Secondary images of the Schwarzschild lensing, respectively, by [1]:

\[
\theta_p = \frac{1}{2} \left( \beta + \sqrt{\beta^2 + 4\theta_E^2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_s = \frac{1}{2} \left( \beta - \sqrt{\beta^2 + 4\theta_E^2} \right),
\]

where the angular radius of the Einstein ring $\theta_E = \sqrt{4DM/D_d}$. Using (22) with (17) in (17), we calculate distortions of the primary image $\Delta_p$ and the secondary image $\Delta_s$:

\[
\Delta_p = -\Delta_s = \frac{\sqrt{\beta^2 + 16D_M^2}}{\beta}.
\]
FIG. 4: First row: the tangential magnification of the primary image $\mu_{\text{pt}}$ (red dashed), the absolute tangential magnification of the secondary image $|\mu_{\text{st}}|$ (green dotted), the radial magnification of the primary image $\mu_{\text{pr}}$ (red dashed), the radial magnification of the secondary image $\mu_{\text{sr}}$ (green dotted), the total magnification of the primary image $\mu_p$ (red dashed), and the absolute total magnification of the secondary image $|\mu_s|$ (green dotted) are plotted against $M/D_d$ (the ratio of the mass of the lens to the lens-observer distance). Second and third rows: the same three magnifications are plotted against $M/D_d$ for the first (see the second row) and the second (see the third row) order relativistic images. The subscripts 1 and 2 stand for the first- and second-order relativistic images on the side of the primary image whereas subscripts 1s and 2s for the first- and second-order relativistic images on the secondary image side. The colors of symbols and corresponding graphs are kept the same for graphs to be identified. The angular source position $\beta = 1\text{mas}$ and $D = 0.005$. 
Therefore, the signed sum of these distortions $\Delta_{\text{sum}} = \Delta_p + \Delta_s$ identically vanishes. This supports our distortion hypothesis for weak gravitational field lensing where the higher order terms in the bending angle have been neglected. Therefore, it is imperative to test our hypothesis when no such approximation is taken and relativistic images are also included. We carry out numerical computations with a very high accuracy. Our computations are exact in the sense that we neither take weak nor strong field approximation.

In order to obtain Fig. 1, we modeled $M87^*$ ($M/D_d \approx 1.85 \times 10^{-11}$) as a Schwarzschild lens with the lens-source to observe-distances ratio $D = 0.005$. We obtained tangential, radial, and total magnifications of the primary-secondary and relativistic images of the first and second orders of both sides of the optic axis for a large number of angular source positions $\beta$. Using tangential and radial magnifications of images, we now use Eq. (10) to compute logarithmic distortions of all these images. We then plot logarithmic distortions $\delta$ of six images (primary, secondary, and relativistic images of order 1 and 2 on both sides of the optic axis) against $\beta$. The logarithmic distortions of images of the same order are so close to each other that their graphs are not resolved on plots. The logarithmic distortion of all images decreases fast near $\beta = 0$ and then slowly with an increase in the value of $\beta$. For a given value of $\beta$, the logarithmic distortion of images increases with the order of images, i.e., the primary-secondary images are least distorted. Now to study the variation of logarithmic distortion of images with respect to the change in the value of $D$, we use the results obtained for plotting Fig. 3. We compute logarithmic distortions of all six images and plot against $D$. The logarithmic distortion of all images increases fast near a very small value of $D$ and then increases slowly as $D$ increases. At last, we use results obtained for Fig. 4 to obtain logarithmic distortions of six images for 40 different SMDos modeled as Schwarzschild lenses. We plot the logarithmic distortion vs $M/D_d$. The distortions of all images increase with the increase in the value of $M/D_d$. The graphs in Fig. 5 show that the logarithmic distortions of higher-order images are higher; however, distortions of the same-order images are too close to appear resolved. Results in Fig. 5 show that images of the same order have incredibly close values for distortions with of course opposite signs that make the sum of signed distortions close to zero. Equation (20) shows that the absolute distortions of the primary as well as secondary images increase with the increase in the values of $M/D_d$ and $D$; however, these decrease with the increase in the value of $\beta$. These effects reflect in Fig. 5 not only for the primary and secondary images, but also for relativistic images. Moreover, distortion increases with the increase in the order of images.

Now in order to analyze how close distortions of images of the same order are, we use the distortions of images and compute absolute values of the percentage difference in distortions of images of the same order. That is, using Eq. (20), we compute $|P_{ps}|$, $|P_{ps1}|$, and $|P_{ps2}|$ for all three cases: (1) the lens is $M87^*$, $D = 0.005$, and
FIG. 6: Left: the absolute percentage difference in distortions for the primary-secondary images pair $|\mathcal{P}_{12s}|$, the first order relativistic images pair $|\mathcal{P}_{12r}|$, and the second order relativistic images pair $|\mathcal{P}_{22r}|$ are plotted against the angular source position $\beta$. The MDO at the galactic center of M87 is modeled as the Schwarzschild lens, which has mass $M = 6.5 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ and is situated at the distance $D_M = 16.8$ Mpc so that $M/D_M \approx 1.84951 \times 10^{-11}$. The dimensionless parameter $D = 0.005$.

Middle: the same three quantities (as for the figure on the left) are plotted against the parameter $D$. The lens is also the same and the angular source position $\beta = 1\text{mas}$. Right: the same three quantities (as for the figure on the left) are plotted against $M/D_M$. SMDOs at centers of 40 galaxies are modeled as Schwarzschild lenses. The angular source position $\beta = 1\text{mas}$ and $D = 0.005$.

IV. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

We modeled the supermassive dark object M87$^*$ as a Schwarzschild lens and first studied the variations of three magnifications (tangential $|\mu_t|$, radial $\mu_r$, and the total $|\mu|$) of images of orders 0, 1, and 2 against the angular source position $\beta$. The variations of the tangential as well as the total magnifications for all images are qualitatively similar; these decrease with increase in the angular source position. However, the most spectacular graphs are for radial magnifications. The radial magnifications of the primary as well as the relativistic images on the primary image side increase with increase in the value of $\beta$. However, those of the secondary image as well as relativistic images on the secondary image side decrease with increase in the value of $\beta$. We plotted these results in Fig. 1. The relativistic images are usually very demagnified (compared to primary and secondary images) and therefore it is important to investigate whether their magnifications decrease very fast as the source moves away from the optic axis (i.e., $\beta$ increases). In view of this, we computed partial derivatives of total magnifications with respect to $\beta$ of six images (primary, secondary, and relativistic images of orders 1 and 2 on both sides of the optic axis) for a large number of values of $\beta$. To a great surprise, magnifications of relativistic images are much more stable (compared to primary and secondary images) with respect to change in $\beta$. The computations show that images of higher orders are less unstable with change in $\beta$. These are shown in Fig. 2. Then, we studied the behavior of the three magnifications of six images as the distance parameter $D$ increases, keeping the angular source position $\beta$ fixed. Among 18 graphs in Fig. 3 more fascinating and nonintuitive ones are radial magnifications of the primary-secondary pair and tangential magnifications of relativistic images. The radial magnifications of primary images and tangential magnification of relativistic images (on the primary image side) decrease with increase in $D$ where the radial magnifications of secondary images as well as tangential magnifications of relativistic images on the secondary image side increase with increase in $D$.

After the completion of magnification studies with M87$^*$ as a Schwarzschild lens, we modeled SMDOs at the centers of 40 galaxies (including M87 and the Milky Way) as Schwarzschild lenses. We computed tangential,
radial, and total magnifications for six images (primary, secondary, and relativistic images of order 1 and 2 on both sides of the optic axis). We kept the angular source position \( \beta \) and the dimensionless distance parameter \( D \) fixed. These results are shown in Fig. 4. Excluding the radial magnification of the primary image, the variations of magnifications with increase in the value of \( M/D_d \) are reasonably intuitive. All these magnifications increase with the increase in the value of \( M/D_d \). However, the behavior of the radial magnifications of the primary image with respect to the increase in the value of \( M/D_d \) is counterintuitive and indeed magnificent. The radial magnifications of the primary and secondary images, respectively, decrease and increase with an increase in the value of \( M/D_d \) and the separation between the graphs decreases as \( M/D_d \) increases.

We hypothesized that there must exist a distortion parameter such that the signed sum of all images of realistic and singular gravitational lensing of a source identically vanishes. We proposed such a distortion parameter [see Eq. (17)] and, as a first step to support the hypothesis, we demonstrated that our hypothesis holds good for images of Schwarzschild lensing in weak gravitational field. However, as the weak field lensing example is not enough to say that the hypothesis is correct, we carried out numerical computations (without either weak or strong field approximations) for the images formed due to light deflections in weak as well as strong gravitational fields. In order to conveniently plot, we first defined a logarithmic distortion parameter \( \delta \) in Eq. (19) and plotted it against the angular source position \( \beta \), the dimensionless distance parameter \( D \), and the ratio of the mass to the distance of the lens \( M/D_d \) for the six images (primary, secondary, relativistic images of orders 1 and 2 on both sides of the optic axis). We found that, for all images, the logarithmic distortion parameter decreases with an increase in \( \beta \), and a decrease in \( D \) as well as \( M/D_d \). The graphs for logarithmic distortion of the images of the same order appear unresolved (see in Fig. 4) because their values are too close to each other. The sum of signed distortions of all images is extremely close to zero. Now, in order to see how close are the values of distortions of images of the same order, we defined and computed the absolute percentage differences of images of the same order and found these to be extremely small, and therefore these results very strongly support the distortion hypothesis. This parameter increases with an increase in \( \beta \) and a decrease in \( D \), and remains almost constant with an increase in the value of \( M/D_d \). These results suggest that the nonvanishing (though extremely small) values of the absolute percentage difference in distortions are very likely to be due to approximations involved in the lens equation and numerical computations. Thus, our hypothesis passes the Schwarzschild lensing with flying colors. However, this still remains to be tested with many other realistic gravitational lensing.

Our hypothesis does not insist that there has to be a unique distortion parameter supporting our hypothe-

\[
\Delta^* = \mu_t - \mu_r .
\]  

With this, we obtain distortions of the primary image \( \Delta^*_p \) and the secondary image \( \Delta^*_s \):

\[
\Delta^*_p = -\Delta^*_s = \frac{8D \frac{M}{D_d}}{\beta \sqrt{16D \frac{M}{D_d} + \beta^2}}.
\]  

Thus, their signed sum \( \Delta^*_p + \Delta^*_s \) identically vanishes. This supports our hypothesis. However, it still remains to be tested if we include relativistic images which are formed due to light deflections in very strong gravitational fields. It is possible that our hypothesis works well only for certain types of realistic lensing. If so, the examples and counterexamples could be useful for classifying types of gravitational lensing. The theory behind the measurements of any distortion parameter satisfying our hypothesis is still to be developed. During these studies, we found some interesting relationship which are though not much related to the present topic, is worth putting here: \( \mu_t + \mu_t = \mu_{rp} + \mu_{rs} = 1 \) for weak field Schwarzschild lensing. Around two decades ago, we (the present author) noticed, based on numerical computations, a relation: the signed sum of (total) magnifications of images of the same order is approximately 1. This explains why the curves in Fig. 2 are too close to appear separately. It seems that the weak field part of the result, \( \mu_t + \mu_s = 1 \), is known in the literature.

Despite many industrious efforts there is neither a proof nor a disproof of the weak cosmic censorship hypothesis which basically states that, generically, spacetime singularities of physically realistic gravitational collapse are hidden within event horizons (see details in [62–64] and references therein.) Spacetime singularities not covered inside an event horizon are called naked singularities. We studied gravitational lensing due to naked singularities and found that these serve as better cosmic telescopes than regular massive objects as well as black holes of the same ADM (Arnowitt-Deser-Misner) mass due to the following reasons: Naked singularity lenses give rise to (i) higher value for the sum of absolute total magnifications of all images, (ii) smaller time delays, and (iii) smaller magnification centroid shift that enables us locate the source position better. Thus, naked (visible) singularities are not just visible to observers, these make our universe more visible to us. We also showed that strongly naked singularities (i.e., those not covered inside any photon sphere) can give rise to images of negative time delays. Naked singularities (excluding strongly naked ones) are one of the best mimickers of black holes and therefore, studies in this paper should be extended to those naked singularities. As SMDOs have rotation, it is extremely important to thoroughly study magnifications and distortions of images of the Kerr lensing (including retrolensing).
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