Low Expression of PLAT in Breast Cancer Infers Poor Prognosis and High Immune Infiltrating Level
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Purpose: Breast cancer accounts for the highest incidence of tumors in women. Immune infiltrating of the tumor microenvironment positively correlates with the overall survival of breast cancer patients. PLAT can affect the development of many cancers, but its mechanism in breast cancer is unclear. We assessed the correlation between PLAT and immune infiltrating in breast cancer based on the TCGA database.

Patients and Methods: The expression and DNA methylation of PLAT in breast cancer with different clinical characteristics was tested by Wilcoxon signed rank test and displayed by box plot. Sequentially, Kaplan–Meier plot was employed to compare the difference in overall survival rates between patients with different expressed levels. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were used to validate whether PLAT is an independent prognostic factor of breast cancer. After that, GO, KEGG, and gene-set enrichment analysis were employed to do functional enrichment analysis. Finally, TIMER, TIS-IDB database, and ssGSEA algorithm were used to assess the correlation between PLAT expression and various immune characteristics. The correlation between PLAT expression and DNA methylation was examined by Pearson correlation coefficient.

Results: PLAT displays differential expression levels in breast cancer patients with various clinical characteristics. As an independent protective factor for breast cancer, PLAT may significantly correlate with the immune status of breast cancer by adjusting many immune molecules and affecting the immune infiltration in the tumor microenvironment. DNA methylation of PLAT downregulates the gene expression and affects the prognosis of breast cancer.

Conclusion: PLAT can be considered a potential biomarker to predict breast cancer prognosis and might contribute to the development of immunological treatment strategies.
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Introduction
Breast cancer (BC) accounts for the highest incidence of tumors globally and is the leading cause of death of female cancer patients.1 In recent years, the application of precise surgery and adjuvant systemic treatments (chemotherapy, radiotherapy, endocrine therapy, and molecular targeting drugs) dramatically enhances the therapeutic effect. The prognosis of BC patients remains unsatisfactory, however. Screening and diagnosis are essential to reduce BC patients’ incidence rate and mortality rate in the early stage.2 Although clinical, pathological, and molecular features are commonly used to predict prognosis, the underlying pathogenesis of BC invasiveness is still poorly understood. Minimally invasive biomarkers to detect early BC and gauge treatment response are crucial in BC research.3
Tumor microenvironment (TME) plays a vital role in tumor progression and the overall efficacy of cancer treatments, such as immunotherapy, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy. The abundance of immune infiltrating cells in TME affects tumor initiation, progression, metastasis, and treatment resistance.

Tissue type plasminogen activator (PLAT) and urokinase type plasminogen activator (PLAU), two essential proteins of the plasmin–plasminogen system, not only participated in the intravascular dissolution of blood clots but also played a significant role in tumor progression and metastasis. PLAU has been involved in different steps of cancer progression, such as tumorigenesis, angiogenesis, cell invasion, and metastasis. PLAT can affect the development of many tumors. BC patients with low PLAT levels often have a poor prognosis, while PLAT levels are higher in melanoma, neuroblastoma, acute myeloid leukemia, and pancreatic cancer.

Our study evaluated the expression level of PLAT in BC and the relationships between PLAT expression and clinical pathological characteristics, together with prognosis, through publicly available data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). PLAT protein expression was assessed with UALCAN databases. Moreover, the possible molecular functions of PLAT were screened by using GSEA software (version 4.1.0). Furthermore, the relationships between PLAT and immune infiltrating cells were explored using TIMER, TISIDB database, and ssGSEA algorithm. We investigated the correlation between PLAT expression level, clinicopathological characteristics, and DNA methylation with TCGA data. Our findings reveal the predictive value of PLAT in BC and show a potential correlation between PLAT and immune infiltrating cells, which will help clarify a possible mechanism for BC.

Materials and Methods

Data Acquisition

Transcriptional data of mRNA-sequencing, DNA methylation data, phenotype, and survival profiles of BC patients were accessed from UCSC Xena (https://xenabrowser.net/), which analyzes data from TCGA. The preprocessing steps were conducted by R (version 4.1.0) and Perl (version 5.30.2) software.

Gene Expression and DNA Methylation Analysis

We selected 1071 primary BC samples, and 96 paired normal samples with clinicopathological profiles such as age, sex, menopausal status, histological type, TNM stage, and PAM50 subtype for further analysis. PAM50 algorithm using the 50-gene classifier divides BC into five “intrinsic” subtypes: luminal A, luminal B, HER2-enriched, basal-like, and normal-like. We explored the different expressions of PLAT in all clinicopathologic features. PLAT methylation data of 890 samples and its correlated gene expression levels were applied to calculate the methylation score of PLAT and the relationships between gene expression, DNA methylation, and clinicopathologic profiles.

Survival Analysis

Profiles of overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) were downloaded from TCGA survival data. From this data, 1071 samples were assigned to high and low expression groups based on the median score of PLAT. R “survival” package was employed to generate Kaplan–Meier (K–M) curves, while the optimal cut-off point for survival curves was generated through the “res. cut” function in the “survminer” package. Univariable and multivariable Cox regression models were established through the coxph function in the “survival” package, which was used to confirm whether PLAT is an independent prognostic factor of BC.

DEGs and Functional Enrichment Analysis

The “clusterProfiler” R package was employed to perform gene ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analyses on the basis of differentially expressed genes (DEGs_ (| log2FC | ≥ 1, FDR < 0.05) between two different expressed groups. P-values were adjusted by the BH method. We used Spearman correlation coefficient analysis to show the relationship between PLAT and co-expression genes. GSEA was conducted based on KEGG (v7.4) gene-set collections using GSEA software (v4.1.0 6) to uncover pathways in the DEGs groups. Gene sets with NOM p-value < 0.05 were considered significant.

Characterization of the Tumor Microenvironment

The “gsva” package was utilized to conduct the ssGSEA, which can be applied to evaluate the scores of immune infiltrating cells and calculate the activity of immune-related pathways. TIMER (https://cistrome.shinyapps.io/timer/) contains an abundant resource for systematically exploring the immune infiltrates between
various tumors. We employed TIMER 2.0 “gene” module to calculate the relationships between PLAT mRNA expression and the infiltration of immune cells. TISIDB database (http://cis.hku.hk/TISIDB) is a portal web containing a variety of tumor and immune system resources. In this study, TISIDB provides the correlations between PLAT and the immune system.

Statistical Analysis
Gene expression profiles, methylation degree, and clinical characteristics were compared through Wilcoxon signed rank tests and displayed by box plot. Survival rates were assessed by applying K–M curves and the log rank test. The Pearson chi-square test was employed to analyze the correlation between PLAT expression and DNA methylation level. R version 4.1.0 was used in all tests conducted. A statistically significant difference was considered when \( p < 0.05 \).

Results
Differential Expression of PLAT
We achieved PLAT expression and BC-related clinical profiles from the TCGA database. The detailed data are summarized in Supplementary Table 1. PLAT had a lower expression in BC tissues than in normal tissues both in TCGA-BRCA all samples (Figure 1A) and paired samples (Figure 1B). The CPTAC dataset of the UALCAN database was used to analyze the PLAT protein expression. PLAT in BC tissues was significantly lower than in normal tissues, which was consistent with the gene expression level (Figure 1C). After that, PLAT expression in BC with different clinical characteristics such as gender, menopausal status, histological type, molecular subtype, N stage, and T stage displayed statistical differences. The expression level of PLAT in women was lower than that in men (Figure 1D), and it was lower in postmenopausal women than premenopausal women (Figure 1E). Invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC) and invasive lobular carcinoma (ILC) are the two main histological types of BC. The expression level of PLAT in IDC was lower than in other types, including ILC. But there was no significant difference between ILC and other types (Figure 1F). Among the five PAM50 molecular subtypes of BC, luminal A, luminal B, HER2-enriched, basal-like, and normal-like, the expression level of PLAT was statistically different, in which luminal A was the highest and basal-like was the lowest (Figure 1G). The expression level was lower in the advanced stage in the T stage (Figure 1H); however, it was higher in the advanced N stage (Figure 1I).

PLAT Was an Independent Favorable Prognostic Factor
We explored whether PLAT expression was correlated with the prognosis of BC patients. K–M curves were utilized to evaluate the influence of PLAT expression on OS and DFS. The high PLAT expression group has a longer OS than low expression patients (Figure 2A) but there was no statistical significance in DFS (Figure 2B). We further investigated OS in the different molecular subtypes. Statistical significance of OS difference was found in all luminal samples, especially in luminal B subtypes (Figure 2C).

Univariate and multivariate regression analyses were applied to evaluate whether PLAT is an independent prognostic factor for BC. Univariate Cox hazard analysis identified that age (HR = 1.033, 95% CI:1.020–1.046, \( p < 0.001 \)), menopausal status (HR = 2.025, 95% CI:1.347–3.045, \( p < 0.001 \)), T stage (HR = 1.459, 95% CI:1.197–1.779, \( p < 0.001 \)), N stage (HR = 1.600, 95% CI:1.347–1.901, \( p < 0.001 \)), M stage (HR = 4.860, 95% CI:2.900–8.144, \( p < 0.001 \)), Stage (HR = 2.187, 95% CI:1.744–2.742, \( p < 0.001 \)), and PLAT (HR = 0.886, 95% CI:0.797–0.984, \( p = 0.024 \)) were prognostic factors of BRCA. Multivariate analysis revealed that, after excluding other confounding factors, age (HR = 1.044, 95% CI:1.023–1.067, \( p < 0.001 \)), N stage (HR = 1.451, 95% CI:1.052–2.000, \( p = 0.023 \)), and PLAT (HR = 0.850, 95% CI:0.742–0.974, \( p = 0.020 \)) were still prognostic factors of BCRA (Figure 2D). The above results show that PLAT is an independent prognostic factor for BC and is a protective factor.

Enrichment Analysis
All TCGA–BRCA samples were assigned to two groups in the light of PLAT expression to gain insight into PLAT biological clues in BC. The volcano plot and heatmap show DEGs between the two groups (Figure 3A and B). PLAT and its co-expression genes are shown in Figure 3C. GO, and KEGG enrichment analyses were conducted based on 93 genes that had a positive correlation with PLAT to identify PLAT-related pathways and biological functions. The top 30 enriched GO terms are shown in Figure 3D. We can see that PLAT was mainly enriched in the pathway...
related to response to steroid hormone, humoral immune response, intracellular steroid hormone receptor signaling pathway, steroid hormone mediated signaling pathway, and response to progesterone. KEGG enrichment showed that PLAT was closely related to the pathways that correlate with BC and the immune response, such as the estrogen signaling pathway, apelin signaling pathway, IL-17 signaling pathway, and BC (Figure 3E).

We further used GSEA to predict PLAT-related pathways between patients with different PLAT expressions; 70 of 178 gene sets were up-regulated in the low PLAT
**Figure 2** Prognostic value of PLAT expression in BC. (A) Low PLAT expression was associated with a poor OS in BC patients using Kaplan–Meier plotter. (B) DFS had no statistically significant difference in high and low PLAT expression groups. (C) Low PLAT expression was inferred as a poor OS in all luminal samples and all luminal B samples. (D) Forest plots show the association between PLAT expression and clinicopathological features using univariate and multivariate COX hazard analysis.
Figure 3. DEGs and enrichment analyses of PLAT in BC. (A) Volcano plot and (B) heatmap show the DEGs in high and low PLAT expression patients. (C) Correlation of PLAT and the top 40 coexpressed genes. (D and E) GO enrichment and KEGG pathway analysis of PLAT in BC. The red box highlights the pathways correlated with BC and immune infiltrates. (F) GSEA results showed that the terms “antigen processing and presentation,” “natural killer cell mediated cytotoxicity,” “homologous recombination,” and “nucleotide excision repair” were differentially enriched in BC samples with high PLAT.
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Analysis of Immune Characteristics

Immune characteristics are closely related to tumor progression. Through the functional analyses, we evaluated the enrichment scores of 16 immune cells and the activity of 13 immune-related pathways between the two expressed groups by employing ssGSEA. The low expression subgroup of PLAT generally had higher levels of infiltration of immune cells, especially of aDCs, CD8+ T cells, DCs, iDCs, macrophages, pDCs, Tfh, Th1 cells, Th2 cells, TILs, and Treg cells. Except for the parainflammation pathway, the other 12 immune pathways performed higher activity in the low expression group than in the high expression group (Figure 4A). After that, we evaluated the relationship between the PLAT expression in BRCA and immune cell infiltration in TIMER. The finding revealed that the expression level of PLAT had a negative correlation with the infiltration of neutrophil (Rho = −0.175, p = 2.59e-08), T cell cd4+ (Rho = −0.158, p = 5.16e-07), B cell (Rho = −0.117, p = 2.12e-04) and dendritic cell (Rho = −0.098, p = 2.08e-03). Meanwhile it had a positive correlation with the infiltration level of T cell CD8+ (Rho = 0.2, p = 2.13e-10) and macrophage (Rho = 0.266, p = 1.45e-17). Not surprisingly, tumor purity was negatively correlated with PLAT expression level (Figure 4B). Then, to understand the association between PLAT and immune infiltration, TISIDB was employed to evaluate the association between PLAT expression and various immune characteristics. Figure 4C shows the relationship between PLAT and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) like CD4, CD8, DC, and B cell and Figure 4D the relationship between PLAT and immunostimulators like IL2RA, ICOS, TNFRSF13C, and PVR. Figure 4E shows the relationship between PLAT and immunoinhibitors like CD274, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, and CTLA4 and Figure 4F the relationships between PLAT and MHC molecules like TAP2, HLA-DOB, TAP1, and HLA-F. Figure 4G shows the relationship between PLAT and chemokines like CXCL10, CCL8, CCL18, and CCL7. Figure 4H shows the relationship between PLAT and chemokine receptors like CCR8, CXCR6, CCR1, and CCR5. The results mentioned above indicate that PLAT widely participates in adjusting many immune molecules in BC and influences the immune infiltration in TME.

Methylation Had a Negative Correlation with Gene Expression

After the studies mentioned above, we continued to explore the possible reasons for the difference in PLAT expression. The box plot shows the methylation degree of each methylation site of PLAT DNA. Sites cg03960326 and cg18460120 had a higher degree of methylation and sites cg22038738 and cg04563438 had lower methylation degree (Figure 5A). Subsequently, the association between DNA methylation level and gene expression was calculated. Figure 5B shows that there is a negative association between DNA methylation and gene expression on the whole. When analyzing a single site, it was found that the methylation levels of cg13880167, cg12091331, cg04563438, cg03960326, cg00491021, and cg22038738 were negatively correlated with gene expression (Figure 5C). After that, survival analysis was further conducted according to the methylation degree of each site. It was found that, among the six DNA methylation sites of PLAT that had a negative correlation with gene expression, the methylation degree of the cg03960326 site was significantly related to OS (Figure 5D). We further assessed the methylation differences of the cg03960326 site of PLAT DNA in different clinical feature groups. We can see that there are no distinctions in methylation levels between different TNM and stages. However, there existed differences in methylation levels of cg03960326 site in people with different menopause status, tumor histological types, and PAM50 molecular types (Figure 5E). These differences are contrary to the previous differences of PLAT gene in cases with different clinical features, which also verifies the negative relationship between DNA methylation and gene expression.

Discussion

PLAT, a serine protease, mainly takes part in the intravascular dissolution of blood clots. Previous studies have shown that PLAT promoter hypermethylation can downregulate the expression of nasal polyps gene, resulting in excessive fibrin deposition, which can be used as a therapeutic target of NP. PLAT can also promote angiogenesis by mobilizing CD11 + cells. It can be applied to the treatment of acute stroke and non-small cell lung cancer. It is also an estrogen-induced
Figure 4  Associations of the PLAT expression level with tumor immune infiltration in BC. (A) Comparison of the enrichment scores of 16 immune cells and 13 immune-related pathways between low- and high-expression groups. (B) The correlation of PLAT expression with infiltration levels of neutrophil, CD4+ T cell, B cell, and dendritic cell in BC is available on the TIMER 2.0 database. (C) Correlations between the abundance of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) and PLAT (plus the four TILs with the highest correlation) in the TISIDB database. (D–F) Correlations between immunomodulators and PLAT (plus the four immunomodulators with the highest correlation, respectively) in the TISIDB database. (G and H) Correlations between chemokines (or receptors) and PLAT (plus the four chemokines (or receptors) with the highest correlation, respectively) in the TISIDB database. The asterisks represent the statistical p-value (*p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01, ***p ≤ 0.001).
protein in the human BC cell line. BC is one of the highest incidence rate diseases in the world, which has a poor prognosis. Early detection and timely treatment are the most effective methods to improve the survival rate of BC patients. Traditional prognostic factors include tumor type, grade, size, and lymph node status. They can
provide some course information, but they are not very accurate. Tumor immunotherapy provides a new remedy option for BC. Therefore, we assessed the role of PLAT in BRCA.

We analyzed BC cases in the context of RNA-seq data, methylation profiles, and clinical characteristics achieved from TCGA. The findings revealed that the expression level of PLAT was lower in BC patients. And patients in an advanced stage or with a more aggressive histological type may have lower expression levels in general. Consistent with that, lower expression of PLAT in TCGA–BRCA profiles was related to shorter overall survival, especially in the luminal B subtype. So, we deem that higher PLAT expression is related to a better prognosis of BC. After that, univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses showed that PLAT was an independent prognostic factor affecting the prognosis of BC patients and could be used as a predictive marker.

The enrichment analysis showed that PLAT was related to the immune and tumor-associated pathway. Infiltrating immune cells are an essential component of TME. Recently, immunotherapy for the interaction between immune cells and BC cells has been developed as an alternative to classical anticancer therapy. PLAT has been affirmed to predict the prognosis of multiple tumor types such as colon cancer, ovarian cancer, lung cancer, and BC. There is evidence proving that tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL) that existed before the treatment of BC can predict treatment response and improve prognosis. TIL plays a vital role in chemotherapy response and clinical prognosis of all subtypes of BC.

In our study, the low expression subgroup of PLAT generally had higher levels of infiltration of immune cells and higher activity of immune-related pathways. PLAT expressed level had a negative correlation with the infiltration level of neutrophil, T cell CD4+, dendritic cell, and B cell. Meanwhile, it had a positive correlation with the infiltration level of T cell CD8+ and macrophage. PLAT expression is closely related to TILs, immunomodulatory factors, and chemokines. Our results verified the association between PLAT and the prognosis and immune infiltration of BC, suggesting that PLAT can help predict treatment response and improve prognosis.

DNA methylation plays a vital role in gene-expressed regulation, mainly related to transcriptional inhibition. Therefore, we continue to search for the possible causes of different gene expressions. Our results showed a negative relationship between the degree of DNA methylation and gene expression level. By further analyzing the methylation level of PLAT DNA in the cg03960326 site, which is significantly related to OS, methylation differences were observed in patients with different tumor histological types, molecular subtypes, and menopause. These differences are opposite to the previous differences of the PLAT gene in other clinical feature groups. This also verified that DNA methylation was negatively related to PLAT expression, which might cause PLAT expression differences.

Immune infiltration in the TME has been shown to play a critical role in cancer progression and occurrence and to affect clinical outcomes of cancer patients. In triple-negative BC, tumor-associated macrophages derived from peripheral blood monocytes promote tumor growth and progression by several mechanisms that include the secretion of inhibitory cytokines, the reduction of effector functions of TIL, and the promotion of Treg cells. Besides, tumor-associated macrophages have been shown to directly and indirectly modulate PD-1/PD-L1 expression in tumor environment. Immunotherapy has raised significant concern in the treatment of cancer, which aims to eliminate cancer cells by enhancing natural defenses. The blockade of PD-1/ PD-L1 and CTLA4 has achieved remarkable therapeutic success in multiple kinds of cancer, including BC. An in-depth understanding of immune infiltration cells in the TME is essential to uncover the underlying molecular mechanisms and provide new strategies to improve immunotherapeutic efficacy.

Previous analysis showed that PLAT, a tumor suppressor gene, has differential expression levels and independent prognostic value and is significantly correlated with tumor-related pathways and immune infiltrating cells in TME. However, one study has shown that PLAT cannot foresee the response to systemic adjuvant therapy. The presented research only shows the results of online databases. To further confirm this conclusion, we will conduct PCR and IHC on our clinical samples and further analyze the different clinical characteristics and survival information. Finally, the internal molecular mechanism of PLAT and tumor immune cell infiltration will be further explored. Therefore, further research is needed to improve the proof of the biological impact of PLAT.

Conclusion
PLAT can be considered a prognostic factor and biomarker to provide personalized treatment, improve the survival
rate, and contribute to developing immunological treatment strategies.
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