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Abstract

We study the impact of modifying the vector sector of gravity on the CMB polarization. We employ the Einstein-aether theory as a concrete example. The Einstein-aether theory admits dynamical vector perturbations generated during inflation, leaving imprints on the CMB polarization. We derive the perturbation equations of the aether vector field in covariant formalism and compute the CMB B-mode polarization using the modified CAMB code. It is found that the amplitude of the B-mode signal from the aether field can surpass the one from the inflationary gravitational waves.

The purpose of the present paper is to clarify the impact of the aether vector field on the CMB polarization. The CMB polarization arises from all the three types of cosmological perturbations, i.e., scalar, vector, and tensor perturbations. Among them, the vector perturbations most effectively generate the B-mode polarization \cite{1}, though the effect has been less investigated because the vector mode decays unless sourced, e.g., by topological defects or the neutrino anisotropic stress \cite{2}. Modifying the vector sector of gravity would add yet another possibility of producing vector perturbations, leaving a unique signature in the CMB polarization due to nontrivial dynamics of the aether field.

The action of the EA theory is given by

\[ S = \frac{1}{2k} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( R - c_1 \nabla_a A^b \nabla^a A_b - c_2 (\nabla_b A^b)^2 - c_3 \nabla_a A^b \nabla_b A^a \right. 
\left. - c_4 A^a \nabla_a A^b \nabla_b A_a + \lambda (A_b A^b - 1) \right) + S_m, \]  

(1)

where \( k = 8\pi G \), \( R \) is the Ricci scalar, \( A_a \) is the aether field, and \( S_m \) is the action of ordinary matter. Variation with respect to \( A^a \) yields the equation of motion for the aether and variation with respect to the Lagrange multiplier \( \lambda \) gives the fixed norm constraint, \( A_a A^a = 1 \). In the rest of the paper we use the following abbreviations: \( c_{13} = c_1 + c_3, c_{14} = c_1 + c_4, \alpha = c_1 + 3c_2 + c_3 \).

To describe background cosmology and the evolution of vector perturbations, we employ the covariant equations obtained by the method of 3 + 1 decomposition. We begin with splitting physical quantities with respect to observer’s 4-velocity \( u^a \). Following the usual procedure, the projection tensor is defined as \( h_{ab} := g_{ab} - u_a u_b \). We define time derivative as \( T_{b\cdots}^{(a\cdots)} := u^c \nabla_c T_{b\cdots}^{(a\cdots)} \) and covariant spatial derivative as \( D^a T_{b\cdots}^{(c\cdots)} := h^a_b h^c_{\cdots} \cdots h^k_{\cdots} \cdots \nabla^k T_{b\cdots}^{(c\cdots)} \). The energy-momentum tensor for each matter component and \( \nabla_a u_b \) are expressed respectively as

\[ T_{ab}^{(i)} = \rho^{(i)} u_a u_b - p^{(i)} h_{ab} + 2q_a^{(i)} u_b + \pi_a^{(i)}, \quad \nabla_a u_b = \frac{1}{3} \theta h_{ab} + \sigma_{ab} + \omega_{ab} - u_a u_b. \]  

(2)
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The energy-momentum tensor for the aether, $T_{ab}^{(A)}$, is also written in the same form as above. The expansion $\theta$ may be written as $\theta = 3\dot{S}/S$, where $S$ is the averaged scale factor.

At zeroth order, $A^a = u^a$, so that the energy density and the pressure of the aether are given respectively by $\rho^{(A)} = \alpha \dot{\theta}^2/6$ and $p^{(A)} = -\alpha (2\dot{\theta} + \dot{\theta}^2)/6$. The Friedman equation is thus given by $3H^2 = \kappa S^2 \rho/(1 - \alpha/2)$ where $\rho$ is the total energy density of ordinary matter and we have introduced the conformal Hubble parameter, $H := S\dot{\theta}/3$. The background effect of the aether is just to rescale the gravitational constant $\kappa$.

Let us move on to the dynamics of vector perturbations. We choose $u_a$ to be hypersurface orthogonal, so that $\dot{u}_b = 0$ at linear order. At this order, the aether field can be written as $A_b = u_b + D_b V^{(s)} + V_b$, where $V^{(s)}$ corresponds to a scalar perturbation which we do not consider in this paper, while $V_b$ a vector perturbation that satisfies $D_b V^b = 0$. Each perturbation variable can be expanded using the transverse eigenfunctions $Q^\pm_{\alpha i}$: $V_a = \sum V Q^\pm_{\alpha a}$, $q^{(i)} = \sum q^{(i)} Q^\pm_{\alpha a}$, $\sigma_{ab} = \sum (k/S) \sigma Q^\pm_{\alpha ab}$, and $\tau^{(i)} = \sum \Pi^{(i)} Q^\pm_{\alpha ab}$, where $k$ is the eigenvalue. It is convenient to write the relevant equations in terms of the coefficient functions $V, q^{(i)}, ...$

From the equation of motion for the aether, we obtain the evolution equation for $V$:

$$c_{14} [V'' + 2HV' + (H^2 + H') V] + \alpha (H^2 - H') V + c_1 k^2 V = -\frac{c_{13}}{2} k^2 \dot{\sigma}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

This shows that the fluctuation of the aether obeys the wave equation which is similar to the evolution equation for cosmological tensor perturbations. The crucial difference is the effective mass term which is dependent on the expansion rate $H$ and the model parameters. The fluctuation of the aether leads to the effective heat-flux vector $q_{\alpha i}^{(A)} = -c_{13} D^\beta [\sigma_{ab} + D_a V_b], \Pi^{(i)}_{\alpha},$ which gives rise to the additional contribution to the momentum constraint equation. The momentum constraint under the influence of the aether is thus given by

$$k^2 \sigma = \frac{1}{1 + c_{13}} \left( 2\kappa S^2 \sum q^{(i)} - c_{13} k^2 V \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Here, $q^{(i)}$ is determined through the individual matter equations. In calculating the CMB power spectrum we simultaneously solve the equations of motion for other ordinary matter and the multipole moment equations for photons and neutrinos, as well as the equations derived above.

We now fix the initial condition for each variable at the early radiation-dominant epoch. This is done by a series expansion in terms of the conformal time $\eta$, following [4], but now taking into account the presence of the aether. Neglecting the $O(\kappa^2)$ terms, the perturbed equation of motion for the aether can be solved to give

$$V = A_k \eta^\nu \left[ 1 + O(\eta) \right], \quad \nu := \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 - 8\alpha/c_{14}}}{2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

implying that $V$ can grow on superhorizon scales. Here, we have dropped the decaying mode solution which is not regular at $\eta \to 0$. The coefficient $A_k$ is determined from the primordial spectrum of the aether fluctuation [5]. Requiring that scalar isocurvature modes do not grow, we consider the range $0 \leq \nu \leq 1$ [5]. As for the other variables, the appropriate early time solutions are found to be

$$\sigma = \frac{\nu^*}{\nu^* + 4R^* - c_{13}} A_k \eta^\nu, \quad q^{(i)} = q^{(b)} = q^{(\nu)} = 0, \quad \frac{\Pi^{(i)}}{\rho^{(i)}} = \frac{8}{15(1 + \nu)} \nu^* + 4R^* - c_{13} A_k \eta^{1 + \nu},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where we defined $R^* := [(1 - \alpha/2)/(1 + c_{13})] \rho^{(i)}/(\rho^{(\gamma)} + \rho^{(\nu)})$ and $\nu^* := (5/2)(1 + \nu)(2 + \nu)$, with the superscripts $\gamma, b, \nu$ denoting photons, baryons, and neutrinos, respectively.

In the AE theory, primordial vector perturbations are generated quantum mechanically during inflation. Once the inflation model and the reheating history are specified, one can determine the primordial spectrum of the vector perturbation and hence $A_k$, as discussed in [5]. We separate the issue of the perturbation evolution during inflation from the subsequent evolution, and set simply $A_k = A_0 k^{(n_s-3)/2}$ (i.e., the primordial spectrum $P_V \propto k^{n_s}$), where $A_0$ is a constant.

We have completed the numerical calculation using all the ingredients derived above and the CAMB code [6] modified so as to incorporate the presence of the aether. An example of our numerical results
is presented in the Fig. 1. For comparison, we show contributions from inflationary gravitational waves in GR, assuming that the tensor-to-scalar ratio is given by \( r = 0.1 \). The amplitude \( \mathcal{A}_0 \) is adjusted so that the low-\( \ell \) TT spectrum from the vector perturbation has the same magnitude as this primordial tensor contribution. We see in this case that the BB spectrum in the EA theory is larger than that from primordial tensor modes at \( \ell \gtrsim 100 \), and hence the B-mode is potentially detectable in future CMB observations aiming to detect \( r = \mathcal{O}(0.1) - \mathcal{O}(0.01) \).

Let us try to understand the shape of the B-mode angular power spectrum \( C_{\ell}^{BB} \) in the EA theory in an analytic way. We start with the integral solution for the moment \( B_{\ell}^{B} \) of the B-mode polarization in the covariant formalism:

\[
B_{\ell}^{B}(\eta_0) = -\frac{\ell - 1}{\ell + 1} \int_{\eta_0}^{\eta_{rec}} d\eta \hat{v} e^{-\tau} \Psi_{\ell}(x) \zeta, \quad x = k(\eta_0 - \eta), \tag{7}
\]

with \( \Psi_{\ell}(x) = j_{\ell}(x)/x \) and \( \zeta = (3/4)I_2 - (9/2)E_2 \). Here, \( j_{\ell}(x) \) is a spherical Bessel function, \( \tau \) is the optical depth, \( I_\ell \) is the angular moment of the fractional photon density distribution, and \( E_\ell \) is the moment of the E-mode polarization. Using the tight coupling approximation, we obtain

\[
\zeta \simeq \frac{4k}{15\pi} \sigma \simeq -\frac{4k}{15\pi} \frac{c_{13}}{c_{14}} V \tag{8}
\]

It turns out that ignoring \( q^{(i)} \) in Eq. (4) is a good approximation. We thus arrive at [5]

\[
V'' + 2\mathcal{H}V' + c_v^2 k^2 V + \left[ \frac{\alpha}{c_{14}} - \alpha c_{14}^{-1} \right] V \simeq 0, \quad c_v^2 = \frac{c_1}{c_{14}} \left[ 1 - \frac{c_{13}^2}{2c_{14}(1 + c_{14})} \right]. \tag{9}
\]

On superhorizon scales we find \( V \propto S'' \) in the radiation-dominant stage, as already derived, and \( V \propto S''_{r/m} \) with \( r_m = (-3 + \sqrt{1 - 24\alpha/c_{14}})/2 \) in the matter-dominant stage. On subhorizon scale, \( V \) simply decays similarly to tensor perturbations, \( V \propto S^{-1} \). These relations can be mapped into the wavenumber dependence of \( V \) at recombination (\( \eta = \eta_{rec} \)) as \( V \propto A_k (k < 1/c_v\eta_{rec}) \), \( V \propto k^{-2-\nu_m} A_k (1/c_v\eta_{rec} < k < 1/c_v\eta_{eq}) \), and \( V \propto k^{-1-\nu} A_k (1/c_v\eta_{eq} < k) \), where \( \eta_{eq} \) refers to the radiation-matter equality time.

The CMB B-mode power spectrum is roughly expressed as \( C_{\ell}^{BB} \sim \int P_V(k) B_{\ell}^{B} B_{\ell}^{B} d\ln k \). Using the approximation \( \hat{v} e^{-\tau} \simeq \delta(\eta - \eta_{rec}) \), \( B_{\ell}^{B}(\eta_0) \) can be written as \( B_{\ell}^{B}(\eta_0) \simeq (k/\tau) c_{13}/(1 + c_{14}) \) \( V(\eta_{rec})\Psi_{\ell}(k(\eta_0 - \eta_{rec})) \). (\( \eta_0 \) is the present time.) Since the projection factor \( \Psi_{\ell}(x) \) has a peak at \( \ell \simeq x \), the angular power spectrum reduces approximately to

\[
C_{\ell}^{BB} \sim \left( \frac{V}{A_k} \right)^2 k = \ell/(\eta_0 - \eta_{rec}) \int k^{2 + \nu_m} |\Psi_{\ell}(k(\eta_0 - \eta_{rec}))|^2 d\ln k. \tag{10}
\]
Figure 2: (a) Scaling for the illustrative case with $n_v = 1$ and $\alpha = -c_{14} = 0.2$. The other parameters are given by $c_{13} = -0.3$, and $c_1 = -0.1$; (b) Parameter dependence of the spectrum. In the two examples $c_{14}$ is different while the other parameters are fixed as $n_v = 1$, $c_{13} = -0.3$, and $c_1 = -0.1$. The primordial amplitudes are arbitrary.

For example, for $n_v = 1$ and $c_{14} = -\alpha$, the above integral can be evaluated to give the scaling $\ell(\ell + 1)C^{BB}_{\ell} \propto \ell \ell_{\text{peak}}$, showing a peak at $\ell_{\text{peak}} \sim \ell_{\text{rec}}$. This behavior can indeed be seen in Fig. 2(a), though the scaling at $\ell > \ell_{\text{eq}}$ is hidden by the other effect and hence is not obvious. (Here, for comparison, the B-mode spectrum from tensor perturbations in standard GR are also plotted.)

We can also gain an understanding of how the shape of the angular power spectrum depends on the model parameters. From Fig. 2(b) one can confirm the following three things: (i) since the angular power spectrum on the largest scales $\ell < \ell_{\text{rec}}$ depends only on the primordial spectrum, the plotted examples show the same scaling; (ii) the peak position is inversely proportional to the sound velocity of the aether vector perturbation $c_v$; (iii) the difference of the small scale scaling arises due to the difference of the growth rate of $V$ on superhorizon scales. The detailed discussion on the analytic estimate will be provided in [1].
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