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At finite density, lattice simulations are hindered by the well-known sign problem: for finite chemical potentials, the QCD action becomes complex and the Boltzmann weight $e^{-S}$ cannot be interpreted as a probability distribution to determine expectation values by Monte Carlo techniques. Different workarounds have been devised to study the QCD phase diagram, but their application is mostly limited to the region of small chemical potentials. The Lefschetz thimbles method takes a new approach in which one complexifies the theory and deforms the integration paths. By integrating over Lefschetz thimbles, the imaginary part of the action is kept constant and can be factored out, while $e^{\text{Re}(S)}$ can be interpreted as a probability measure. The method has been applied in recent years to more or less difficult problems. Here we report preliminary results on Lefschetz thimbles regularization of heavy-dense QCD. While still simple, this is a very interesting problem. It is a first look at thimbles for QCD, although in a simplified, effective version. From an algorithmic point of view, it is a nice ground to test effectiveness of techniques we developed for multi thimbles simulations.
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1. Introduction

The $T - \mu_B$ phase diagram of QCD is a subject of utmost importance, due to its relevance to many physical systems, but its exploration by lattice calculations is a difficult task at finite baryon chemical potential, where the fermionic term of the action becomes complex, hindering Monte Carlo simulations. This issue is known as the sign problem. Lefschetz thimbles regularization [1, 2] is one of the many approaches to attack the sign problem. This relatively new technique has been applied to various toy models and, recently, to one-dimensional QCD [3, 4].

The main idea of thimble regularization is to complexify the degrees of freedom of the theory and to compute expectation values as sums of integrals over manifolds attached to the critical points of the complexified theory.

\[
\langle O \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int dx O(x) e^{-S(x)} = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\sigma} n_\sigma e^{-iS^I_\sigma} \int_{Z_\sigma} dz O(z) e^{-S^R(z)}.
\]

In eq. 1.1 the index $\sigma$ labels the critical points $z_\sigma (z = x + iy$ is a shorthand notation for the complexified fields), $n_\sigma$ are integer coefficients and the manifolds $Z_\sigma$, called thimbles, are defined as the union of all the steepest ascent paths originating from the critical point $z_\sigma$, i.e. the solutions of the steepest ascent (SA) equations

\[
\frac{dz_i}{d\tau} = \frac{\partial S(z)}{\partial z_i}, \quad z_i(-\infty) = z_{\sigma,i}.
\]

Along the flow, the imaginary part of the action stays constant, which ensures that the terms $e^{-iS^R_\sigma}$ can be factored out. The real part of the action is always increasing, which ensures the convergence of the integrals over the thimbles. It should be noted that in general the integration measure $dz$ is not parallel to the tangent space $T_z Z_\sigma$ at the point $z$; after a change of coordinates from the canonical basis to a basis of the tangent space, the integration measure becomes $dz = det V_\sigma d^n y = |det V_\sigma| e^{i\lambda_\sigma} d^n y$, where $V_\sigma$ is the matrix having as columns the basis vectors $\{V^{(i)}_\sigma\}$ of the tangent space at the point $z$. The residual phase $e^{i\lambda_\sigma}$ could in principle give rise to a residual sign problem, though so far this has been observed to be much milder than the original sign problem.

The tangent space at a critical point $z_\sigma$ is spanned by the Takagi vectors, which can be obtained by solving the Takagi problem

\[
H(z_\sigma) v^{(i)} = \lambda^{(\sigma)}_i v^{(i)},
\]

where $H_{i,j}(z_\sigma) = \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial z_i \partial z_j}(z_\sigma)$ is the Hessian of the complexified action, $\{v^{(i)}\}$ are the Takagi vectors and $\{\lambda^{(\sigma)}_i\}$ their associated Takagi values. A point $z$ on the thimble can be pinpointed by the direction $\hat{n}$ taken by the flow at the critical point ($\hat{n}$ belongs to the tangent space) and the integration time $\tau$. By using this parametrization and introducing a partial partition function $Z_{\hat{n}} = (2\sum_i \lambda^{(\sigma)}_i n_i^2) \int dt e^{-S_{eff}(\hat{n},t)}$ (the effective action is defined as $S_{eff}(\hat{n},t) \equiv S_R(\hat{n},t) - \ln(|det V_\sigma(\hat{n},t)|)$), expectation values can be rewritten using the multi thimbles decomposition [3]

\[
\langle O \rangle = \frac{\sum_{\sigma} n_\sigma Z_\sigma e^{-iS^I_\sigma} \langle O e^{i\omega} \rangle_\sigma}{\sum_{\sigma} n_\sigma Z_\sigma e^{-iS^I_\sigma} \langle e^{i\omega} \rangle_\sigma}.
\]
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- \( f_\hat{n} = \frac{1}{Z_\sigma}(2 \sum \lambda_i^{(\sigma)} n_i^2) \int dt f(\hat{n}, t)e^{-S_{\text{eff}}(\hat{n})} \) is a term which looks like an average of the observable \( f(\hat{n}, t) \) over an entire SA path.

- \( \langle f \rangle_\sigma = \int D\hat{n} \frac{Z_\sigma}{Z_\sigma} f_\hat{n} \) is a term which looks like a functional integral over all SA paths. This allows to introduce an importance sampling over thimbles by extracting directions \( \hat{n} \propto \frac{Z_\sigma}{Z_\sigma} \).

- \( Z_\sigma = \int D\hat{n} Z_\hat{n} \) is a term which weights the contribution of different thimbles.

Since the tangent space at a generic point \( z \) is in general unknown, the evaluation of \( \text{det} V_\sigma(\hat{n}, t) \) requires to transport the basis of the tangent space all the way along the flow. This asks for solving the parallel transport (PT) equations, which must be integrated numerically alongside the SA equations.

### 2. Towards regularization of heavy-dense QCD

#### 2.1 The theory

In this work we approach heavy-dense QCD by the Lefschetz thimbles method. This theory has been investigated in the past by the Complex Langevin method both using the full gauge action and a hopping parameter expansion [5] and by the means of an effective formulation that can be obtained from QCD by a combined strong-coupling and hopping parameter expansion. The latter is a 3d effective theory, whose only degrees of freedom are the Polyakov loops, governed by the action [6, 7, 8]

\[
S = S_G + S_F = -\lambda \sum_{\langle x,y \rangle} (TrW_x TrW_y + TrW_x^\dagger TrW_y) \\
-2 \sum_x \ln (1 + h_1 TrW_x + h_2^2 TrW_x^\dagger + h_3^3) \\
+2 h_2 \sum_{\langle x,y \rangle} \left( h_1 TrW_x + 2h_2^2 TrW_x^\dagger + 3h_3^3 \right) \left( h_1 TrW_y + 2h_2^2 TrW_y^\dagger + 3h_3^3 \right) + O(k^4),
\]

with

\[
\lambda = u^N e^{N_i (4a^4 + 12 a^6 + \ldots)}, \quad h_1 = (2ke^\mu)^{N_i} [1 + 6k^2 N_i \frac{u - u^{N_i}}{1 - u} + \ldots], \quad h_2 = k^2 \frac{N_i}{3} [1 + 2 \frac{u - u^{N_i}}{1 - u} + \ldots]
\]

where \( u \approx \frac{1}{18} \), \( k \) is the hopping parameter and \( W_x = \prod_{x=1}^{N_i} U_0(x, t) \) is the Polyakov loop. The first sum extends over the \( N_{\text{sites}} \) spatial sites of the lattice, while the second sum extends over nearest neighbors.

This theory features a mild sign problem and it has been used to investigate heavy-dense QCD in the cold regime [7], where \( N_i \gg 1, \lambda \approx 0 \) and the gauge term of the action becomes numerically negligible. This is also an interesting study to carry out by thimble regularization for two reasons. On one hand, it allows to investigate the interplay between the spatial extension of the lattice and the number of relevant critical points. On the other hand, under the approximation of heavy quarks, it allows to explore a corner of the QCD phase diagram, the one in which \( T \approx 0 \text{ MeV} \) and \( \mu \approx \mu_c \equiv m = \ln(2k) \), where the onset of cold nuclear matter takes place. Here we make the very first step, in which one neglects the \( O(k^2) \) term. This simplification amounts to taking the limit of
very heavy quarks and, though observables in this simplified theory are independent from the volume, their value is the result of a volume dependent recombination of contributions from different thimbles emerging from the thimble decomposition in eq. 1.2. Therefore the theory remains a nice playground to test the effectiveness of the techniques we developed for multi thimbles simulations.

2.2 Thimble regularization

We choose to work in a convenient gauge, where \( W_x = U_x \equiv U_0(x, t = 0) \). Hence the complexified action becomes

\[
S = -2 \sum_x \ln \left( 1 + h_1 \text{Tr} U_x + h_1^2 \text{Tr} U_x^{-1} + h_1^3 \right).
\]

The gradient of the action determines the SA equations for the fields:

\[
\nabla_x^a S[U] = -2i \left( h_1 \text{Tr} T^a U_x - h_1^2 \text{Tr} U_x^{-1} T^a \right)
\frac{1}{1 + h_1 \text{Tr} U_x + h_1^2 \text{Tr} U_x^{-1} + h_1^3}
\]

\[
dU_x = i \sum_a T^a \nabla_x^a S[U] U_x. \tag{2.1}
\]

After introducing the notation \((M)_{T^r}\) for the traceless part of the matrix \(M\) and after defining \(\alpha_x \equiv (1 + h_1 \text{Tr} U_x + h_1^2 \text{Tr} U_x^{-1} + h_1^3)^{-1}\), the drift can be explicitly written as

\[
\sum_a T^a \nabla_x^a S[U] = (-i \alpha_x (h_1 U_x - h_1^3 U_x^{-1})^T). \tag{2.2}
\]

By requiring a vanishing gradient, one finds that the critical points are mixtures of center elements of \(SU(3)\),

\[
\{ U_x = I_{3 \times 3} e^{i \phi} | \omega_x \in \{0, \pm \frac{2\pi}{3}\} \}.
\]

The PT equations for the tangent space basis vectors read

\[
\frac{dV_{xc}}{dt} = \sum_{w,a} V_{wa} \nabla_w^a S[U] + \sum_{a,b} f^{abc} V_{bd} \nabla_b^a S[U]. \tag{2.2}
\]

These are given in terms of the Hessian

\[
\nabla_w^a \nabla_z^b S[U] = 2 \delta_{wz} \left[ \left( h_1 \text{Tr} T^a T^b U_x \right) h_1^2 \text{Tr} U_x^{-1} T^a \right]
\left( 1 + h_1 \text{Tr} U_x + h_1^2 \text{Tr} U_x^{-1} + h_1^3 \right)^2
\]

\[
\left( h_1 \text{Tr} T^a U_x - h_1^3 \text{Tr} U_x^{-1} T^a \right) \left( h_1 \text{Tr} T^b U_x - h_1^3 \text{Tr} U_x^{-1} T^b \right)
\left( 1 + h_1 \text{Tr} U_x + h_1^2 \text{Tr} U_x^{-1} + h_1^3 \right)^2
\]

By "diagonalizing" the Hessian, one finds \(8 \cdot N_{\text{sites}}\) Takagi vectors and values

\[
\lambda^{(j_1 j_2)} = \delta_{j_1 j_2} \phi_{j_2} e^{\frac{i \phi_{j_2}}{2}}
\]

\[
\chi^{(j_1 j_2)} = |\gamma^{(j_2)}|
\]

with

\[
\gamma(z) = \frac{h_1 e^{i \omega} + h_1^2 e^{-i \omega}}{1 + 3 h_1 e^{i \omega} + 3 h_1^2 e^{-i \omega} + h_1^3} = |\gamma(z)| e^{i \theta(z)}
\]

where the indexes \(j_1, j_2\) used to label the vectors run respectively from 1...8 and 1...\(N_{\text{sites}}\).
2.3 Critical points and semiclassical approximation

The critical points of the theory are mixtures of center elements of the color group and, since their number grows exponentially as $3^{volume}$, it is important to have a way to estimate which critical points are relevant. To this purpose, one may look at the semiclassical approximation

$$Z \approx \sum_\sigma Z^{Sc}_\sigma = (2\pi)^{n/2} \sum_\sigma n_\sigma e^{-S(\sigma)} \sqrt{\prod_i \lambda_i^{(\sigma)}} e^{i\omega_\sigma} \equiv (2\pi)^{n/2} \sum_\sigma n_\sigma e^{-S^{eff}_\sigma} e^{i\omega_\sigma}$$

By sampling critical points $\propto e^{-S^{eff}_\sigma}$, one may reconstruct the semiclassical weights of the thimbles attached to such critical points: this amounts to a Monte Carlo sampling of a spins system. Numerical results are illustrated in fig. 1 for two different volumes, with parameters $k = 0.0000887$, $\mu = 0.9999 \mu_c$, $N_t = 116$ and $\beta = 5.7$. The bars located on the x axis correspond to critical points having an increasing number of links set to a center element other than identity and their semiclassical weights (including degeneracy) can be read on the y axis. For volumes not too large, up to $V \sim 3^3 \div 4^3$, only a few critical points are relevant, but as the volume grows this picture changes significantly and many other critical points become relevant. In this case, in the thermodynamic limit the fundamental thimble is not the dominant one, which is merely due to combinatorics.

2.4 Weights determination

After having identified the relevant critical points, one needs to determine the weights $Z_\sigma$ of the attached thimbles. In ref. [3] it was investigated a region of parameters for one-dimensional QCD in which only three inequivalent thimbles contribute. Due to a symmetry, two thimbles give contributions that are one the complex conjugate of the other and the thimble decomposition can be put into the form

$$\langle O \rangle = n_0 Z_0 e^{-iS_0} \langle O e^{i\omega}_0 \rangle_0 + n_{12} Z_{12} e^{-iS_{12}} \langle O e^{i\omega}_{12} \rangle_{12} \equiv \langle O e^{i\omega}_0 \rangle_0 + \alpha \langle O e^{i\omega}_{12} \rangle_{12}$$

The parameter $\alpha$ was reconstructed by matching the numerical results obtained for one observable to the exact values known from theory (thus one gives up prediction power for such observable). While this method worked quite well, it is not entirely satisfactory, as in general many
critical points might contribute to the thimble decomposition and one doesn’t necessarily know the exact values of an equal number of observables beforehand.

A more general way to determine the weights $Z_\sigma$ by importance sampling can be obtained by observing that in the semiclassical approximation it is relatively easy to determine both the partial partition function $Z_{G}\hat{n}$ and the normalized weights $Z_\sigma = \frac{Z_G}{\sum_{\sigma'} Z_{G}\sigma'}$. Then, by computing $Z_\sigma Z_{\sigma'} = \int D\hat{n} Z_{G}\hat{n} Z_{G}\sigma = \langle Z_G\hat{n} Z_{G}\hat{n} \rangle$, one may recover $Z_{\sigma'} = \frac{Z_\sigma}{\sum_{\sigma'} Z_{G}\sigma'}$, which is exactly what is needed up to an irrelevant normalization factor.

2.5 Numerical results

We ran one- and two- sites lattice simulations\(^1\), with chemical potential in the range $\mu = 0.9985 \div 1.0015 \mu_c$ and parameters $k = 0.0000887$, $N_s = 116$, $\beta = 5.7$ (corresponding to physical parameters $a \approx 0.17$ fm, $T \approx 10$ MeV, $m_M \approx 20$ GeV). We measured the quark number density and the Polyakov loop, defined as

$$\langle n \rangle = \frac{T}{V} \frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \mu} = \frac{2}{N_{\text{sites}}} \sum_x h_1 \text{Tr} U_x + 2h_1^2 \text{Tr} U_x^{-1} + 3h_1^3$$

$$\langle L \rangle = \frac{1}{N_{\text{sites}}} \sum_x \text{Tr} U_x .$$

Numerical results are illustrated in figs. 2-3: solid lines denote analytic solutions, circles and triangles denote results from simulations. The results for the quark number density are displayed in red (rescaled by a factor $\frac{1}{6}$), those for the Polyakov loop are displayed in green. For both lattice volumes, the correct results are only reproduced when taking into account the contributions from three inequivalent thimbles. The latter are the dominant thimble, associated to the configuration where all links are set to identity, and the next-to-dominant thimbles, associated to the configurations where all links except one are set to identity.

From the figures it is evident that results are volume independent. Still, they are obtained by summing volume dependent contributions.

\[\text{Figure 2: Results obtained for the quark number density (red) and the Polyakov loop (green) from 1 thimble (left) and 3 thimbles (right), } N_{\text{sites}} = 1.\]

\(^1\)The two-sites lattice simulations were finalized in the couple of weeks following the LATTICE2018 event.
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Figure 3: Results obtained for the quark number density (red) and the Polyakov loop (green) from 1 thimble (left) and 3 thimbles (right), \( N_{\text{sites}} = 2 \).

3. Conclusions

We had a first look at thimble regularization for heavy-dense QCD: by a semiclassical analysis, we have found a region of parameters where a few thimbles contribute for not too large lattices (up to \( \approx 3^3 \div 4^3 \) sites). We proposed a first-principle method to determine the weights of the relevant thimbles by importance sampling. We showed that such method works in one- and two- sites lattice simulations, recovering the correct results from the contributions of three inequivalent thimbles and getting a first glimpse of the QCD phase diagram using thimbles.
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