Removal of Cd(II) from Aqueous Solutions by Aluminium Hydroxide-Modified Attapulgite
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Abstract

Modified attapulgite (ATP-Al) was prepared with aluminium hydroxide through a co-precipitation method, and we used ATP-Al as an adsorbent to investigate the adsorption of Cd(II) from aqueous solutions. Raw ATP and ATP-Al were characterized by TEM, FTIR, XRD and Zeta. Adsorption parameters, such as pH, initial Cd(II) concentration, contact time and adsorbent dose, were evaluated to explain the mechanism of Cd(II) adsorption on ATP-Al. ATP-Al had a better adsorption capacity of Cd(II) than ATP. When the pH value was 7, ATP and ATP-Al had the highest Cd(II) adsorption capacities. The optimal dose of adsorbent was 0.1 g per 25 mL of solution. The Freundlich model better described the adsorption isotherm on ATP and ATP-Al than the Langmuir model. The maximum adsorption capacity for Cd(II) calculated was 4.01 and 6.36 mg g⁻¹, respectively. Adsorption of Cd(II) onto ATP and ATP-Al followed pseudo-second order kinetics. The Gibbs free energy (ΔG°), enthalpy (ΔH°) and entropy (ΔS°) were evaluated by a thermodynamic study. The adsorption processes of ATP and ATP-Al were exothermic, spontaneous and mainly based on physical adsorption.
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Introduction

Cadmium is not an essential element but is one of the most hazardous trace elements. It is released into the environment from metallurgical plants, lead-zinc mines, pigments and chemical industries. From the perspective of potential harm to human health, cadmium is regarded as a “priority metal” [1]. A small amount of Cd(II) seriously damages the human lungs, liver and kidneys [2]. The maximum concentration of Cd(II) in drinking water is 0.003 mg L⁻¹; this level was set by the World Health Organization (WHO). At present, Cd(II) has widely polluted drinking water; therefore, we must remove it or reduce the concentration of Cd(II) in wastewater as soon as possible.

Several methods for heavy metal removal from wastewater have been studied for many years. These methods include coagulation [3], precipitation [4], adsorption [5], ion-exchange and membrane technologies [6]. However, most of these methods have a high cost and complicated treatment process. Hence, their practical application is limited.

Adsorption is considered an effective method to remove heavy metals because of its inexpensive, high efficiency and easy operation. Several kinds of adsorbents, such as clays (zeolites [5], kaolinite [7], attapulgite [8]), metal oxides [9], and biochar [10], have been reported. Among these adsorbents, some
researchers believe that clay minerals have great potential as inexpensive and efficient adsorbents because of their abundant reserves in nature and their high surface area and chemical and mechanical stability [11].

Attapulgite (ATP) is a hydrated magnesium silicate clay mineral that widely exists in nature. Due to its fibrous morphology, certain cation exchange capacity, and large specific surface area, it is favourable for heavy metal adsorption from aqueous solutions [12]. However, natural ATP has a relatively low Cd(II) adsorption capacity from aqueous solutions [13]. Therefore, we should modify or activate ATP to enhance its Cd(II) adsorption performance, and methods for improvement include acid treatment [13], alkali treatment [14], heat treatment [13], organic treatment [15], and inorganic composite treatment [16].

In inorganic composite treatment, several researchers coated ATP with aluminium hydroxides/oxide to synthesize a composite attapulgite ATP to remove heavy metals (arsenate [17]) and other contaminants (phosphate [18] and ethylene terephthalate [19]). Because aluminium hydroxide has a large amorphous structure and specific surface area, it can provide more adsorption sites on natural ATP [20, 21]. However, more adsorption sites on the surface of the attapulgite may improve the adsorption capacity for Cd(II). However, little information concerning the removal of Cd(II) with aluminium hydroxide-modified ATP (ATP-Al) has been reported. The adsorption characteristics and thermodynamics of Cd(II) by ATP-Al have not been studied in detail to our knowledge. Therefore, it is necessary for us to study the adsorption of Cd(II) onto ATP-Al.

The main objectives of this work were as follows:

1. Synthesize aluminium hydroxide-modified attapulgite (ATP-Al);
2. Conduct batch experiments to determine the effect of pH, initial Cd(II) concentration, contact time and adsorbent dose on the adsorption performance of Cd(II) onto ATP and ATP-Al through adsorption isotherm, adsorption kinetic studies and thermodynamics studies;
3. TEM, FTIR, XRD and Zeta characterization methods were used to analyse the difference between ATP and ATP-Al;
4. Explain the interaction between ATP-Al and Cd(II) in aqueous solutions by analysing the difference in Cd(II) removal with ATP and ATP-Al.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

Natural ATP was acquired in Linze City of Gansu Province, China. Dissolving 2.75 g of cadmium nitrate [Cd(NO₃)₂·4H₂O] in 1 L of deionized water to prepare a stock cadmium solution (1 g L⁻¹). Aluminium chloride [AlCl₃·6H₂O, AR grade] was used to prepare the modified ATP. Other reagents were all of analytical grade. Deionized water was used to prepare all solutions.

**Characterization**

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of adsorbents were recorded by an FT-IR spectrometer (Nicolet IS50, Thermo, Germany). The X-ray diffraction (XRD) of adsorbents was measured by a diffractometer (XD3, Puxi Co., China). TEM images were taken using a transmission electron microscope (JEM-1230, JEOL, Japan). Zeta potential was measured by a Nanobrook omni Particles/Protein Size and Zeta Potentials Analyzer (NanoBrook Omni, Brookhaven, USA). Cd(II) was determined by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) (ICP-5000, Juguang Co., China).

**Preparation of ATP-Al**

ATP-Al synthesis was performed according to the following procedure:

Natural ATP (10 g) that was milled to a 200 mesh particle size was mixed with 400 mL of an aqueous solution containing 7.16 g of AlCl₃·6H₂O (0.8 g Al) (the mass ratio of attapulgite to Al is 12.5:1). The mixture was stirred by a magnetic stirrer at 293 K and 160 rpm while adding NaOH (1 mol L⁻¹) dropwise into the solution until the solution pH was stable at 7. After the reaction, the formed suspension was continuously stirred for 12 h. Then, the suspension was filtered, and deionized water was washed many times until there was no Cl⁻ in the filtrate. After filtration, the solids were dried for 24 h at 383 K and then milled to a particle size of 200 mesh. The resulting powder was ATP-Al.

**Batch Adsorption Experiments**

All batch adsorption samples were shaken at 160 rpm by a thermostatic shaker bath, and the temperature was 303 K. The pH values were adjusted with 0.1 mol/L HNO₃ or 0.1 mol/L NaOH. When the reaction reached equilibrium, the suspensions were filtered with 0.45 μm membranes. The Cd(II) concentration in the filtrate was determined by ICP-OES.

The adsorption capacity of Cd(II) was calculated by Eq. (1):

$$Q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_e)V}{m}$$

where $Q_e$ is the adsorption capacity of Cd(II), (mg g⁻¹); $C_0$ and $C_e$ are the equilibrium concentration and the initial concentration of Cd(II), (mg L⁻¹), respectively; $V$ is the volume, (L); and $m$ is the dose of adsorbent, (g).

**Effect of pH:** ATP and ATP-Al (0.1 g) were added to 25 mL of a Cd(II) solution in 50 mL centrifuge tubes. The initial concentration of Cd(II) was 10 mg L⁻¹, and
the pH was adjusted to 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 with HNO₃ or NaOH.

Adsorption isotherms: ATP and ATP-Al (0.1 g) were added to 25 mL of Cd(II) solution at different initial concentrations (i.e., 1, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200 mg L⁻¹) in 50 mL centrifuge tubes. The pH values were adjusted to 6. The above procedure was then repeated at 293 K and 313 K to calculate thermodynamic parameters.

Kinetic studies: One gram of ATP and ATP-Al was added to 250 mL of a Cd(II) solution in a 250 mL Erlenmeyer flask. The initial Cd(II) concentration was 10 mg L⁻¹, and the pH values were adjusted to 6. Samples were taken from the Erlenmeyer flask at the following intervals during the reaction: 0.17, 0.33, 0.5, 1, 2, 6, 12 and 24 h.

Effect of adsorbent dose: ATP and ATP-Al doses of 0.02, 0.05, 0.1, 0.15, 0.2, 0.4 and 0.5 g were added to 25 mL of a Cd(II) solution in 50 mL centrifuge tubes. The initial Cd(II) concentration was 10 mg L⁻¹. The pH values of the solution were adjusted to 6.

Results and Discussion

TEM Analysis

TEM was used to analyse the structural characteristics of ATP and ATP-Al (Fig. 1).

The ATP had a rod-like morphology and good dispersion (Fig. 1a). When ATP is modified by aluminium hydroxide, many small irregular fragments and amorphous matter appear around the rods (Fig. 1b). However, the length and aggregation state of ATP-Al rods do not evidently change. This indicated that aluminium hydroxide is wrapped on the rod and does not damage the crystal structure of ATP.

XRD Spectra

XRD can effectively analyse the change in crystal structure. The diffraction patterns of ATP and ATP-Al are shown in Fig. 2. The reflections at 20 of 19.98, 20.8, 27.99° are identified as attapulgite [22]. The reflections at 20 values of 26.79 and 30.96° represent quartz and dolomite, respectively [14, 23].

However, a weak peak appeared at a 2θ of 40.36° in the XRD spectrum of ATP-Al, which is assigned to aluminium chloride hydrate and is considered to be formed by residual aluminium chloride.

FTIR Spectra

Fig. 3 shows the FTIR spectra of ATP and ATP-Al. The band at 3612 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the stretching modes of Mg–OH, and the band at 3550 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the stretching vibration of Al-Fe³⁺–OH or Al-Mg–OH [24]. The vibration peak at 1651 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the bending vibration modes of water molecules, which is attributed to adsorbed water (HOH) [19]. The band at 1423 cm⁻¹ is the vibration peak of

Fig. 2. XRD patterns of ATP and ATP-Al.

Fig. 1. TEM images of ATP and ATP-Al.
carbonate, indicating that attapulgite contains carbonate minerals such as calcite and dolomite [25]. The band at 977 cm$^{-1}$ is considered to be the stretching vibration of Si-O-M [24], and the band at 777 cm$^{-1}$ is the vibration peak of Si-O-Si, which suggests the existence of quartz in ATP [26].

For ATP-Al, the band at 1423 cm$^{-1}$ is weakened, indicating that the existence of carbonate in the attapulgite was eliminated. The band at 977 cm$^{-1}$ is switched to 981 cm$^{-1}$ and becomes weakened, which shows that Si-O-M is broken by Al$^{3+}$. The disappearance of the band at 777 cm$^{-1}$ indicated that SiO$_2$ reacted with Al$^{3+}$ to form Si-O-Al with a certain adsorption activity [27]. Two bands of ATP at 3612 cm$^{-1}$ and 3541 cm$^{-1}$ disappeared, and a broad band at 3373 cm$^{-1}$ appeared due to the coordinated water for aluminium hydroxide [24].

**Effect of pH**

The effect of pH was evaluated within the pH range of 3 to 7 for Cd(II) adsorption onto ATP and ATP-Al (Fig. 4). The $Q_e$ of the two adsorbents increased with increasing pH of the solution until the pH was 7, and the $Q_e$ reached a maximum. The $Q_e$ of ATP-Al was greater than that of ATP and increased drastically when the pH was in the range of 3 to 6. The $Q_e$ of ATP increased more slowly from pH 3 to 6; however, it increased drastically at pH 7 and was close to that of ATP-Al. Because the final pH was weakly alkaline, Cd(II) underwent precipitation. Therefore, a rapid increase in $Q_e$ was observed for both adsorbents. To ensure that Cd(II) did not precipitate as a hydroxide due to a high solution pH, we chose a pH value of 6 in this study.

When adsorption reached equilibrium, the pH values of the ATP adsorbent solution changed from 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 to 6.18, 6.45, 6.55, 6.60 and 7.10, respectively, and the pH values of the ATP-Al solution became 6.40, 6.59, 6.65, 6.70 and 7.30 (Fig. 5). This shows that the initial pH of the solution containing both adsorbents increased, and the increasing trend was almost consistent between adsorbents.

At a lower initial pH value, the silanol groups of ATP were easily protonated to form SiOH$_2^+$, which can be represented by Eq. (2); therefore, their ability to adsorb Cd(II) was reduced. As the concentration of H$^+$ decreased in the solution, the final pH value of the solution increased. However, when the initial pH reached close to neutral, only a few protons competed with Cd(II), and the dominant reaction was electrostatic attraction between the adsorbent surface and Cd(II), which is represented by Eq. (3) [8]. As Cd(II) adsorption increased, some protons were released into the solution, causing the final pH to be slightly higher than the initial pH.

$$\text{Si-OH} + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{SiOH}_2^+ \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Si-OH} + \text{Cd}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Si-OCd}^+ + \text{H}^+ \quad (3)$$
The Cd(II) adsorption process by silanol groups on the surface of ATP-Al was similar to that on the surface of ATP. However, aluminium hydroxide provided more active sites (AlOH) on the surface of ATP-Al than were available on the surface of ATP. When the initial pH increased, the number of adsorption sites (AlO-) increased, as represented by Eq. (4). Moreover, the amount of adsorbed Cd(II) increases for more adsorption sites, as represented by Eq. (5) [28].

\[
\text{Attapugite-AlOH} \rightarrow \text{Attapugite-AlO}^- + \text{H}^+ \quad (4)
\]

\[
\text{Attapugite-AlO}^- + \text{Cd}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Attapugite-AlOCd}^+ \quad (5)
\]

Therefore, silane group adsorption and hydroxyl group adsorption sites on ATP-Al facilitated Cd(II) adsorption.

The effect of pH on Cd(II) adsorption can be explained by the surface charge on ATP and ATP-Al. The Zeta potentials of ATP and ATP-Al at different pH values in the range of 3-10 were tested (Fig. 6). The results showed that ATP and ATP-Al were negatively charged with no isolectric points. In comparison, ATP was more negative than ATP-Al in the pH range of 3-10. This indicates that the Al\(^{3+}\) ions on the surfaces of attapulgite gave rise to a lower Zeta potential [29].

It can be seen that ATP more easily binds metal cations; however, its adsorption capacity of Cd(II) is not as good as that of ATP-Al because more adsorption sites on the surface of ATP-Al are provided by aluminium hydroxide.

Adsorption Isotherms

The adsorption isotherms of Cd(II) adsorption onto ATP and ATP-Al are shown in Fig. 7. As the Cd(II) equilibrium concentration increased, the amount of Cd(II) adsorbed by both adsorbents also increased because the increase in Cd(II) concentration would improve the driving force at the solid-liquid interface, causing an increase in the amount of Cd(II) adsorbed onto the adsorbents [30]. At any Cd(II) equilibrium concentration, the \(Q_e\) of ATP-Al was greater than that of ATP. This confirmed that the generation of more adsorption sites on ATP-Al was very favourable to the removal of Cd(II).

Fig. 8 shows that the final solution pH decreased with increasing initial Cd(II) concentration when the initial pH of the solution was 6. When the initial Cd(II) concentration rose from 1 to 50 mg L\(^{-1}\), the final pH was significantly higher than the initial pH for both adsorbents. When the Cd(II) concentration increased from 50 to 200 mg L\(^{-1}\), the final pH was slightly higher than the initial pH for both adsorbents. The following fact can explain the reasoning. At lower initial Cd(II) concentrations, the main reaction was the silanol groups of adsorbents protonated to form SiOH\(^{2+}\), which can be represented by Eq. (2). As the concentration of H\(^{+}\) increases, it will protonate the silanol groups, which is a significant driving force for Cd(II) adsorption.
decreased in the solution, the final pH was evidently higher than the initial pH of the solution for both adsorbents. When the initial Cd(II) concentration increased, the amounts of Cd(II) competed with H+, and the dominant reaction was electrostatic attraction between the adsorbent surface and Cd(II), which is represented by Eq. (3) \[8\]. Some H+ entered the solution, resulting in a final pH slightly higher than the initial pH in the solution \[30\].

The Langmuir model and Freundlich model were used to analyse ATP and ATP-Al for the adsorption of Cd(II). The Langmuir model assumes that there is only one type of adsorption site, and the number of sites is limited. Furthermore, monolayer sorption occurred on the surface of the adsorbent \[31\]. The Freundlich equation assumes that adsorption occurs on a heterogeneous surface \[32\]. The two models are described in Eq. (6) and Eq. (7). The fitting curves are shown in Fig. 9.

\[ Q_e = \frac{Q_m K_L C_e}{1 + K_L C_e} \]  
\[ Q_e = K_f C_e^{1/n} \]

...where \( Q_m \) and \( Q_e \) are the maximum adsorption capacity and the equilibrium capacity of Cd(II) (mg g\(^{-1}\)), respectively. \( C_e \) is the Cd(II) equilibrium concentration (mg L\(^{-1}\)). \( K_L \) and \( K_f \) are the Langmuir adsorption constant (L mg\(^{-1}\)) and the Freundlich constant (L g\(^{-1}\) \( \times \) mg\(^{-1}\)), respectively, and \( 1/n \) is the heterogeneity factor.

Table 1 lists the parameters calculated by the two models. The correlation coefficients \( r^2 \) for ATP and ATP-Al adsorption fitting by the Langmuir model were 0.955 and 0.932, respectively. The correlation coefficients \( r^2 \) of the Freundlich model for ATP and ATP-Al were 0.992 and 0.959, respectively. Because the values of \( r^2 \) from the Freundlich model were higher than those of the Langmuir model for ATP and ATP-Al, we believed that the Freundlich model better described the adsorption of Cd(II) onto ATP and ATP-Al.

The maximum adsorption capacity \( Q_m \) of ATP and ATP-Al was 4.01 and 6.36 mg g\(^{-1}\), respectively, as calculated by the Langmuir model.

### Adsorption Kinetics

Fig. 10 shows the contact time influence on the adsorption of Cd(II) onto ATP and ATP-Al. The adsorption capacity of the two adsorbents rapidly increased with time. After 12h, the adsorption capacity reached equilibrium. During the whole adsorption process, the adsorption of Cd(II) by ATP-Al was greater than that of ATP. The equilibrium Cd(II) adsorption capacities \( (Q_{e,exp}) \) of ATP and ATP-Al obtained from experiments were 1.40 and 2.20 mg g\(^{-1}\), respectively.

The pH of the solution increased with increasing reaction time when the initial pH was 6 (Fig. 11). The adsorption reached equilibrium at 12h, and the final pH of the solution containing ATP-Al was higher than the final pH of the solution containing ATP. The curve of change of pH is similar to Fig. 10. This may be due to

|               | Langmuir | Freundlich |
|---------------|----------|------------|
|               | \( Q_m \) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) | \( K_L \) (L mg\(^{-1}\)) | \( r^2 \) | \( K_f \) (L g\(^{-1}\) \( \times \) mg\(^{-1}\)) | \( 1/n \) | \( r^2 \) |
| ATP           | 4.01     | 0.045      | 0.955 | 0.56 | 0.374 | 0.992 |
| ATP-Al        | 6.36     | 0.157      | 0.932 | 1.76 | 0.265 | 0.959 |

Fig. 9. Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm plots of Cd(II) adsorption onto ATP and ATP-Al.

Fig. 10. Effect of contact time on Cd(II) adsorption onto ATP and ATP-Al (error bars indicate standard error of the mean).
the following reasons. The initial Cd (II) concentration was lower, and more H⁺ was adsorbed onto the surface of ATP and ATP-Al, resulting in an increase in the solution pH. However, ATP-Al had more adsorption sites than ATP, so more H⁺ and Cd(II) in solution were adsorbed by ATP-Al. The Cd(II) adsorption capacity and final pH were higher than those of ATP.

To investigate the adsorption mechanism of ATP and ATP-Al, we used pseudo-first-order (Eq. (8)) and pseudo-second-order (Eq. (9)) kinetics in their linearized forms to fit the experimental data.

\[
\log(Q_e - Q_t) = \log(Q_e) - \frac{(k_1 t)}{2.303}
\]  

(8)

\[
\frac{t}{Q_t} = \frac{1}{(k_2 Q_e^2)} + \frac{t}{Q_e}
\]  

(9)

...where \(Q_t\) is the Cd(II) adsorption capacity at a reaction time (t), (mg g⁻¹); \(Q_e\) is the equilibrium capacity of Cd(II), (mg g⁻¹); and \(k_1\) and \(k_2\) are the rate constants of pseudo-first-order (h⁻¹) and pseudo-second-order (g mg⁻¹ h⁻¹), respectively. Then, \(\log(Q_e - Q_t)\) versus \(t\) was used to plot a straight line, and \(k_1\) was calculated by the intercept and slope (Fig. 12a). \(t/Q_t\) versus \(t\) plotted to another straight line, and \(k_2\) was calculated in the same manner (Fig. 12b).

The calculated parameters are shown in Table 2. The correlation coefficients \(r^2\) for ATP and ATP-Al adsorption fitting by the pseudo pseudo-first-order model were 0.8800 and 0.8980, respectively. The correlation coefficients \(r^2\) of the pseudo-second-order model of Cd(II) adsorption onto ATP and ATP-Al were 0.9752 and 0.9941, respectively. The correlation coefficient values of the pseudo-second-order model for ATP and ATP-Al were very high.

The \(Q_e\) (\(Q_{e,exp}\)) values calculated by the pseudo-second-order kinetic model were close to the \(Q_e\) values.
The change in adsorption rate at different temperatures will determine whether the process is endothermic or exothermic.

The following equations Eq. (12) and Eq. (13) can calculate the thermodynamic parameters such as Gibbs free energy (\(\Delta G^0\)), entropy (\(\Delta S^0\)) and enthalpy (\(\Delta H^0\)) [34]:

\[
\Delta G^o = -RT \ln K_d \tag{12}
\]

\[
\ln(K_d) = -\frac{\Delta H^o}{RT} + \frac{\Delta S^o}{R} \tag{13}
\]

...where R is the gas constant (8.314 J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)), T is the temperature of the adsorption process (K), \(K_d\) is the equilibrium constant obtained from the Langmuir isotherm constant and \(K_d = 1/K_L\) (L mg\(^{-1}\)) [34]. \(\ln(K_d)\) versus 1/T plotted a straight line and obtained \(\Delta H^o\) and \(\Delta S^o\) through the slope and intercept, respectively. The thermodynamic parameters of ATP and ATP-Al for Cd(II) adsorption are listed in Table 4.

The \(\Delta G^o\) values of ATP and ATP-Al are all negative, which means that the adsorption is spontaneous in the temperature range of 293 to 313 K. The \(\Delta G^o\) increased with increasing temperature for Cd(II) adsorption on the two adsorbents, which indicates that the adsorption process on the two adsorbents became less spontaneous at higher temperatures. The \(\Delta G^o\) values are in the range of -20 to 0 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), indicating that the adsorption process of the two adsorbents is physisorption [35].

The \(\Delta H^0\) values for Cd(II) adsorption on ATP and ATP-Al were -20.78 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) and -49.49 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), respectively, indicating that the adsorption process was exothermic. The \(\Delta S^0\) values for adsorption of Cd(II) on ATP and ATP-Al were -45.38 J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\) and -147.91 J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\), respectively, refer to the ordered adsorption system [36].

Effect of Adsorbent Dose

The \(Q_e\) and removal rate of Cd(II) are affected by ATP and ATP-Al doses (Fig. 13). The \(Q_e\) decreased with increasing adsorbent dose of both ATP and ATP-Al. In contrast, the removal rate of Cd(II) increased with increasing adsorbent dose, and the Cd(II) removal rate of ATP-Al was higher than that of ATP. When the dose of ATP-Al was in the range of 0.02-0.1 g, the removal rate of Cd(II) was close to 95%. Therefore, we believed that the optimal dose of ATP-Al was 0.1 g per 25 mL of solution (4 g/L).

Fig. 14 shows that the initial pH of the solution was 6, and the final solution pH increased with increasing adsorbent dose. This may be attributed to an increase in adsorption sites on the surface of ATP and ATP-Al that can adsorb more H\(^+\) and result in an increase in the pH of the final solution [13].
Adsorption Mechanisms

In this study, aluminium hydroxide was coated on the surface of ATP, forming a new adsorbent ATP-Al. The TEM image shows that amorphous aluminium hydroxide was coated on the rod crystals of attapulgite. The crystal form of rods had no evident change after modification, which was confirmed by XRD. FTIR spectra showed that Si-O-M and SiO₂ reacted with Al³⁺ to form Si-O-Al with a certain adsorption activity. The number of Al-OH groups was also increased by aluminium hydroxide.

In general, the Cd(II) adsorbed on attapulgite could be attributed to the mechanism including complexation, ion-exchange and precipitation [16]. For ATP-Al, the silanol groups (Si-OH) and (Al-OH) can form complex ions described by a surface complexation model, represented by Eq. (3) and Eq. (5).

\[
\text{Cation exchange would increase the value of pH in solution for the lower hydrolysis constant of Cd(II), represented by Eq. (14) [13].}
\]

\[
\text{ClayM}^{n+} + \text{Cd}^{2+} \leftrightarrow \text{ClayCd}^{2+} + M^{n+} \quad (M=\text{Ca, K, Mg, etc.}) \tag{14}
\]

The precipitation process can be neglected. Although the final pH value of the solution increases after adsorption, it does not reach the pH value required for Cd(II) precipitation.

The results indicate that the important mechanisms of complexation and exchange together influence Cd(II) adsorption on ATP-Al.

The Zeta potential values of ATP and ATP-Al were expected to indicate that Cd(II) had a strong affinity for the two adsorbents. The Zeta potential of ATP-Al was less negative than that of ATP at pH values from 3 to 10, which was attributed to Al³⁺ on the surfaces of attapulgite giving rise to a lower Zeta potential. However, the adsorption of Cd(II) on ATP-Al was still higher than that on ATP. The reason was that there are more adsorption sites (Al-OH) on the surface of ATP-Al, which improves the adsorption of Cd(II).

Comparison with Other Clays

The Cd(II) adsorption capacity and equilibrium time for clay varied due to the properties of the absorbents.

### Table 3. Kinetic parameters for adsorption of Cd(II) analysis

| Adsorption Mechanism | Pseudo-first-order | Pseudo-second-order |
|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| ATP                  | χ²: 0.036          | 0.022              |
|                      | SSE: 0.044         | 0.036              |
| ATP-Al               | χ²: 0.093          | 0.026              |
|                      | SSE: 0.176         | 0.068              |

### Table 4. Thermodynamic parameters of ATP and ATP-Al for Cd (II) adsorption

| Material | T (K) | Kₜ (L mg⁻¹) | LnKₜ | ΔH° (kJ mol⁻¹) | ΔS° (J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) | ΔG° (kJ mol⁻¹) |
|----------|-------|-------------|------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| ATP      | 293   | 20.83       | 3.037| -20.78        | -45.38           | -7.40         |
|          | 303   | 17.60       | 2.867|               |                   | -7.22         |
|          | 313   | 12.04       | 2.489|               |                   | -6.48         |
| ATP-Al   | 293   | 12.50       | 2.525| -49.49        | -147.91          | -6.15         |
|          | 303   | 6.37        | 1.851|               |                   | -4.66         |
|          | 313   | 3.41        | 1.228|               |                   | -3.19         |

### Table 5. Compared with different raw clay and modified clay for Cd(II) adsorption capacity

| Materials | Adsorption capacity (mg g⁻¹) | Equilibrium time (h) | pH | Temperature (K) | Reference |
|-----------|------------------------------|----------------------|----|----------------|-----------|
| Raw       |                              |                      |    |                |           |
| Attapulgite | 4.54                         | 1.5                  | 6  | 295            | [8]       |
| Kaolinite   | 0.88                         | 0.5                 | -  | 303            | [37]      |
| Zeolite     | 4.01                         | 2                   | 5.5| 298            | [38]      |
| ATP        | 4.01                         | 12                  | 6  | 303            | In this work |
| Modified   |                              |                      |    |                |           |
| MAP-ATP    | 121                          | 1                   | 5.45| 303            | [16]      |
| MnO-Kaol   | 36.47                        | 0.5                 | 5  | 303            | [39]      |
| MgO-ATP    | 25.30                        | 1.5                 | 5  | 298            | [40]      |
| ATP-Al     | 6.32                         | 12                  | 6  | 303            | In this work |
and the experimental conditions [16]. The adsorption capacity and equilibrium time for clays and inorganic modified clays were compared, and the data are listed in Table 5. The adsorption capacity of ATP is close to that of attapulgite studied by Alvarez [8]. However, it had a longer equilibrium time for adsorption. This may be related to the difference in materials from different origins.

The adsorption capacity of ATP-Al is much lower than that of other modified clays. The reason is that other inorganic modified clays had been calcined at a high temperature. The calcination evidently improved the adsorption capacity [13]. In this study, our purpose is to understand the influence of inorganic aluminium hydroxide on the adsorption performance of attapulgite without calcination.

**Conclusions**

From this study, we obtained the following conclusions:

1. The synthesized ATP-Al exhibited better Cd(II) removal performance than that of natural ATP because aluminium hydroxide provides more adsorption sites onto the surface of ATP-Al.

2. The Cd(II) adsorption capacity onto ATP and ATP-Al increased with increasing pH, initial Cd(II) concentration and contact time. The adsorption capacity of the two adsorbents was highest at a solution pH of 7. The adsorption process reached equilibrium after 12 h.

3. The Freundlich model best described the adsorption isotherm for Cd(II) adsorption onto both adsorbents. The maximum Cd(II) adsorption capacity calculated by the Langmuir model was 4.01 and 6.36 mg g⁻¹ on ATP and ATP-Al, respectively.

4. The correlation coefficients of the pseudo-second-order model for ATP and ATP-Al were both high. Moreover, the Qₑ(cal) value calculated by the pseudo-second-order model was in good agreement with the experimental adsorption capacity (Qₑ exper). It was considered that the adsorption of Cd(II) onto ATP and ATP-Al follows pseudo-second-order kinetics.

5. The effect of adsorbent dose shows that the optimal dose of ATP-Al was 0.1 g per 25 mL of solution (4 g/L).

6. The thermodynamic parameters indicated that the adsorption process is spontaneous, exothermic and mainly based on physical adsorption.
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