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Abstract

Musca domestica L. were collected from cattle diagnosed with bovine ringworm to evaluate the potential of the house fly to disseminate Trichophyton verrucosum E. Bodin, a fungal dermatophyte that is the causative agent for ringworm in cattle. Fungal isolates were cultured from 45 individual flies on supplemented Sabouraud dextrose agar, and isolates were identified using morphological and microscopic approaches. Each isolate was identified further by PCR amplification of the ribosomal DNA locus with fungal-specific primers and subsequent amplicon sequencing. Trichophyton verrucosum was not identified using these approaches. However, 35 different fungal species representing 17 genera were cultured from collected flies, including several species that are allergenic and pathogenic to humans and animals. Several species within the fungal orders Hypocreales, Microascaceae, Onygenales, Saccharomycetales, Xylariales, and Agaricales were observed for the first time on house flies. The most frequent fungus recovered was Cladosporium cladosporoides Fresen, which is known to be a ubiquitous, airborne allergen to humans.
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The house fly, Musca domestica L., is an important medical and veterinary insect pest, as it breeds in septic environments and occupies habitats that overlap with humans and animals (Malik et al. 2007). House flies can harbor pathogenic bacteria, excreting viable isolates in their vomitus and feces (Joyner et al. 2013, Nayduch et al. 2013), and can disseminate them mechanically to various hosts (Levine and Levine 1991, Ahmad et al. 2007, Wang et al. 2011). Additionally, house flies can disseminate common fungi implicated as incidental pathogens, including Aspergillus spp. and Penicillium spp. (Sales et al. 2002, Zarrin et al. 2007, Davari et al. 2012, Srivoramas et al. 2012, Phoku et al. 2014, Yousef 2014, Kassiri et al. 2015, Phoku et al. 2016).

Dermatophytic fungi belong to the family Arthrodermataceae and to principally two genera: Trichophyton and Microsporum, anamorphs of the genus Arthroderma (Graser et al. 1999). Microsporum canis and Microsporum canis are the causative agents of ringworm in horses and dogs, respectively; Trichophyton verrucosum E. Bodin causes ringworm in cattle and occasionally in humans (English 1972), while other Trichophyton spp., e.g., T. rubrum Castell, T. tonsurans Malmsten, and T. interdigitale Priestley, cause various fungal diseases in humans. The highly contagious, infective propagules of bovine ringworm are arthroconidia that are typically spread by direct contact with infected animals or fomites such as fence posts or halters, as well as pastures, where the fungus can persist in the soil (Ajello 1974). Bovine ringworm manifests as round, hairless patches on the hide, and lesions may become purulent as a result of the animal scratching the infected area against fences or tree trunks.

House flies have been implicated as a mechanical vector of T. mentagrophytes, as they can transmit this rodent ringworm to guinea pigs (Koch and Rirh 1958). In addition, Koch noted the suspicious prevalence of house flies associated with a ringworm outbreak among penned cattle in Germany, although transmission was not demonstrated (Koch 1964).

In June 2014, a bovine ringworm outbreak occurred at the experimental facilities of the USDA-ARS Cattle Fever Tick Research Laboratory in South Texas. The outbreak was traced back to a house fly that had previously been collected in the same location and had been observed to be carrying a dermatophyte fungus. Further analysis revealed that the fungus was Trichophyton verrucosum E. Bodin, a fungal dermatophyte that is the causative agent for ringworm in cattle. The researchers then collected house flies from cattle diagnosed with bovine ringworm and evaluated the potential of the house fly to disseminate T. verrucosum. They cultured 45 individual flies on supplemented Sabouraud dextrose agar and identified the fungal isolates using morphological and microscopic approaches. Each isolate was identified further by PCR amplification of the ribosomal DNA locus with fungal-specific primers and subsequent amplicon sequencing. However, T. verrucosum was not identified using these approaches. Instead, 35 different fungal species representing 17 genera were cultured from the collected flies, including several species that are allergenic and pathogenic to humans and animals. Several species within the fungal orders Hypocreales, Microascaceae, Onygenales, Saccharomycetales, Xylariales, and Agaricales were observed for the first time on house flies. The most frequent fungus recovered was Cladosporium cladosporoides Fresen, which is known to be a ubiquitous, airborne allergen to humans.
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laboratory located in Hidalgo County in south Texas. The outbreak coincided with a noticeable, dramatic increase in the house fly population attributed to increased suitable developmental habitat created by hay trampled into mud and feces by a small herd of penned cattle; one animal was noted as having ringworm on arrival in May. By June, over half of the animals in the small herd were infected, notably on the head around the eyes (Fig. 1). The fungus then spread to two of ten cattle in a nearby pasture ~20 m away and did not involve direct or indirect (halters, stanchions) contact, which is the typical route of transmission. Cases of bovine keratoconjunctivitis occurred simultaneously. However, while face flies, *Musca autumnalis* (L.), are known to vector the causative bacterial agent, *Moraxella bovis* (Arends et al. 1984), that fly species does not occur in the area where this study was conducted. House flies and stable flies, *Stomoxys calcitrans* (L.), also have been implicated in its dissemination (Brown et al. 1998). Ringworm can appear on any part of the body, but the house flies observed on these animals preferred to aggregate on the face, likely attracted to lachrymal fluids. The coincidental occurrence of both ringworm and keratoconjunctivitis, and an increase in the house fly population, as well as the predominance of lesions and flies on the faces of the animals, led us to hypothesize that house flies could be mechanically vectoring the infectious stages of the *T. verrucosum* fungus within the local herd.

### Colony Culture Methods

Sabouraud dextrose agar (Beckton, Dickinson and Company, Sparks, MD) supplemented with chloramphenicol (50 μg/ml; Alfa Aesar, Haverhill, MA), cycloheximide (0.5 mg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), thiamine (125 μg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich), and myo-inositol (0.5 mg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich) [SDA<sub>spp</sub>] was used to selectively isolate and culture fungi from fly homogenates. The fly homogenate (50 μl) was spread on each of four SDA<sub>spp</sub> plates using sterile, disposable Lazy-L-Spreaders (Genesee Scientific, San Diego, CA), and plates were incubated at 27°C, for 7 d to promote fungal colony growth. Each individual colony on a plate was assigned a number and scored as a colony-forming unit (cfu) from the corresponding fly. A *T. verrucosum* isolate (Sigma-Aldrich) was prepared and plated as above, to confirm suitability of growth conditions.

### Fungal Isolate Identification

Colony morphology, microscopic examination for representative fungal structures, and PCR amplification of fungal colony DNA were collectively used to identify each morphologically distinct fungal isolate. The *T. verrucosum* isolate described previously, was used as a positive control for all techniques. Fungal structures were observed by spreading a single isolate onto a microscope slide containing a drop of lactophenol cotton blue (Hardy Diagnostics, Santa Maria, CA). A coverslip was placed over the preparation and subsequently sealed with Permount (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Preparations were visualized at 400 and 1000× magnification using a compound microscope, and digital photomicrographs of the cellular tissues were taken. PCR amplification and sequence verification of the positive control were completed. Fungal genomic DNA was extracted from individual colonies, as in Murray et al. (2005). Approximately 20 mg of hyphae were disrupted with 0.5 mm glass beads in 100 mM sodium chloride, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA [STE] buffer (500 mg beads/ml STE). The suspension was mixed vigorously for 5 min using a Vortex Genie-2 with the TurboMix attachment (Scientific Industries, Ocala, FL), and cellular debris and glass beads were removed by centrifugation. The resulting supernatant (1 μl) was used as template for PCR amplification with universal primers designed to amplify regions at the rRNA locus, including the internal transcribed spacer (ITS)-1, 5.8S, ITS-2, and partial regions of 18S and 28S rRNA (White et al. 1990). The primer sequences used were ITS-1: 5′−TCCGATTAAGTCCAGACCTTG−3′ and ITS-4: 5′−TCCCTGCTTATATTGATATGC−3′. Each reaction consisted of 20 mM Tris HCl, pH 8.4, 40 mM KCl, 1.75 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTP mix, 0.35 μM each of ITS-1 and ITS-4 primers, and 0.5 U Platinum Taq polymerase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Products were amplified with an MJ Research PTC-200 thermocycler using the following cycling conditions: 94°C, 3 min; 35 cycles of: 94°C, 1 min, 60°C, 1 min, and 72°C, 1 min; and a final extension, 72°C, 5 min. Amplicons were treated with ExoSAP-IT (exonuclease I and shrimp alkaline phosphatase; USB Corporation, Cleveland, OH) at 37°C, 15 min, and the enzyme inactivated at 80°C, 15 min. The treated sample subsequently was used in cycle...
sequencing with BigDye, version 3.1 chemistry (Life Technologies, Foster City, CA) and either the ITS-1 or the ITS-4 primer, and the reactions were analyzed on an ABI3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Life Technologies). Resulting forward and reverse sequence data were manually aligned, and these individual contigs were compared with publicly available databases using the blast-n algorithm at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), as well as MycoBank at the International Mycological Association Fungal Database (http://www.mycobank.org).

Results and Discussion

A combination of morphological evaluation, microscopic observations, and molecular techniques were utilized to identify fungal spores recovered from 45 house flies. Fungi were recovered from 36 of the 45 flies. Absence of culturable fungi from nine of the flies may be a result of fly age, with newly or recently emerged adults having limited exposure to the environment resulting in no fungal isolate recovery. Among those positive flies, most were coinfected with multiple fungi.

Table 1. Ascomycete species recovered from 45 individual house flies collected from two bovine hosts with active ringworm infections

| Order            | Fungi (previous record)a | No. of fliesb | CFUc | Freq. | Importanced |
|------------------|--------------------------|--------------|------|-------|-------------|
| Agaricales       | Asterophora parasitica  | 1            | 1    | 0.05  |             |
|                  | Cladosporium sp. (5, 7) | 10           | 69   | 3.11  |             |
|                  | Cladosporium cladosporoides | Fresen (4)   | 25       | 1681  | 75.82       |
|                  | Cladosporium oxysporum  | 3            | 53   | 2.39  |             |
|                  | Cladosporium perangustum | Bensch et al. | 4   | 47    | 2.12        |
|                  | Cladosporium sphaerospermum | Penzig  | 1        | 15    | 0.68        |
|                  | Cladosporium tenuissimum | Cooke       | 2    | 38    | 1.71        |
|                  | Aspergillus sp. (1, 3, 7) | 2           | 37   | 1.67  |             |
|                  | Aspergillus deflectus  | 1            | 2    | 0.09  | Canine mycosis (Robinson et al. 2000) |
|                  | Aspergillus ochraceopetaliformis | Batista et al. | 1 | 4 | 0.18 | Onychomycosis (Brasch et al. 2009) |
|                  | Aspergillus subramanians | Visagie et al. | 1 | 1 | 0.05 |             |
|                  | Aspergillus sydowii  | 1            | 12   | 0.54  | Onychomycosis (Nouriopour-Sisakht et al. 2015) |
|                  | Aspergillus versicolor | 2            | 7    | 0.32  | Canine aspergillosis (Zhang et al. 2012); Allergen of swine production facilities (Sabino et al. 2012) |
|                  | Penicillium sp. (1, 2, 5, 6, 7) | 2 | 10 | 0.45 | Allergen in cattle production facilities (Abd-Elall et al. 2009) |
|                  | Penicillium citrinum  | 4            | 1    | 0.05  |             |
|                  | Acremonium persicinum | 1            | 1    | 0.09  | Opportunistic human pathogen, lung (Perdomo et al. 2011) |
|                  | Acremonium potronii  | 1            | 2    | 0.09  | Human keratitis (Forster et al. 1975) |
|                  | Nectria mutans | 1            | 2    | 0.09  | Colonizes dung of herbivores (Lehr et al. 2006) |
|                  | Stillbella fimbriata | 1            | 1    | 0.05  |             |
| Microascales     | Scopulariopsis chartarum | 1           | 1    | 0.05  |             |
| Pleosporales     | Gymnascella aurantiaca | 2            | 18   | 0.81  |             |
|                  | Alternaria sp. (1, 2, 6) | 2           | 4    | 0.18  |             |
|                  | Alternaria alternata | 1            | 1    | 0.05  |             |
|                  | Epicoccum nigrum | 1            | 58   | 2.62  | Fungal sinusitis in the southeastern US (Noble et al. 1997) |
|                  | Leptosphaerina sp. | 1            | 1    | 0.05  |             |
|                  | Phaeosphaeria sp. | 1            | 1    | 0.05  |             |
| Saccharomycetales| Candida panamensis | 3            | 21   | 0.95  |             |
|                  | Kluyveromyces marxianus | Hansen | 1 | 1 | 0.05 | Beetle-associated (Suh et al. 2006) |
|                  | Pichia jadinii | 1            | 28   | 1.26  | Cow and goat milk (Delavenne et al. 2011) |
|                  | Pichia kudravzevii | 1            | 1    | 0.05  | Bovine mastitis, Dairy cattle rumenal fluid (Hayashi et al. 2013, Sirisan et al. 2013) |
| Xylaniella        | Hansfordia sinuosae | 1            | 14   | 0.63  |             |

a Fungi previously reported in the literature are noted. bDavari et al. (2012), bKassiri et al. (2015), bPhoku et al. (2016), bSales et al. (2002), bSrivoramas et al. (2012), bYousef (2014), bZarrin et al. (2007).

b Total number of flies from which particular fungal isolates were recovered.

cNumber of colony-forming units (CFU).

dReferences to importance of fungal isolates as disease agents or allergens.
These isolates comprised 35 species in 17 fungal genera (Table 1). The majority, 26 fungal species, were recorded for the first time from house flies. A total of 2,217 cfus were cultured from collected flies. The fungi most frequently cultured were *Cladosporium* spp. (85%), *Penicillium* spp. (3.4%), and *Aspergillus* spp. (2.8%), genera which are typically saprophytic and commonly found in soil. A number of the species identified are known allergens, or are associated with animal and human mycoses (Table 1). In this study, the observed preference of house flies for the eye region of bovine hosts, along with the typical niches occupied by house flies, may have predisposed them to these fungal isolates. Indeed, *Cladosporium* spp., *Penicillium* spp., and *Aspergillus* spp. dominate the fungal communities isolated from conjunctival cultures of healthy animals, which appear to be due to environmental exposure (Samuelson et al. 1984, Sgorbini et al. 2010).

*Cladosporium cladosporoides* Fresen (Fig. 2A), representing 76% of the fungal isolates in this study, is a widely distributed species within one of three species complexes of the genus *Cladosporium* (Bensch et al. 2015). *Cladosporium cladosporoides* was previously isolated from *M. domestica* collected at a city dump in Brazil (Sales et al. 2002) and from flies in horse stables in Germany (Gestmann et al. 2012). The fungus produces emodin (2-methyl-4,5,7-trihydroxyxanthraquinone), a diarrheagenic mycotoxin (Ogórek et al. 2012), and is a common allergen. *Cladosporium* spores are ubiquitous in air samples collected both indoors and outdoors (Khan and Wilson 2003), including those associated with Texas cattle feedlots (Wilson et al. 2002). Three additional species within the *C. cladosporoides* complex were recovered including *C. oxy-sporum* (2.4%) that can cause human cutaneous phaeohyphomycosis infections (Gugnani et al. 2006), *C. perangustum* (2.1%), and *C. tenuisimum* (1.7%) that is typically isolated from plant substrates but was reported from human clinical samples, i.e., the respiratory tract (Bensch et al. 2015, Sandoval-Denis et al. 2015). *Cladosporium sphaerospermum* (0.7%), a representative from a second *Cladosporium* species complex, was isolated from a single fly. It is associated with allergic diseases of the upper respiratory tract in humans (Yew et al. 2016).

*Aspergillus* spp. were recovered in the current study (63 cfus), more than half of which were only identifiable to the genus level based on sequence similarity searches. While *Aspergillus* spp. are commonly found on *M. domestica* (Zarrin et al. 2007, Davari et al. 2012, Phoku et al. 2016), five species isolated here represent the first...
records: *A. deflectus* Fennel & Raper, a dermatophyte in dogs that causes otitis externa and paronychia (Robinson et al. 2000); *A. ochraceopetaliformis* Batista & Maia and *A. sydowii* Bainier & Sartory, causative agents of onychomycosis (Brasch et al. 2009, Nouriipour-Sisakht et al. 2015); and *A. versicolor* Vuillemin (Fig. 2B), a prevalent allergen in swine production facilities, that can produce mycotoxins in damp, indoor environments (Engelhart et al. 2002, Sabino et al. 2012).

Thirteen additional fungal isolates were identified from single fly specimens and are the first records from house flies (Table 1), providing an interesting prospective use of these insects as environmental indicators of fungal flora in a cattle setting. For example, *Pichia jadmi* Sartory was recovered possibly due to its use as an additive in livestock feed (Ignatova et al. 2002), while *Stillbella fimetaria* Lindau is a coprophilic fungus likely encountered in cattle dung (Lehr et al. 2006).

*Trichophyton verrucosum* was not recovered from the house fly specimens collected during the course of this study. Richard (1963) was unable to demonstrate *T. equinum* transmission by stable flies, and Pascoe and Connole (1974) noted a *M. gypseum* outbreak on horses that was coincident with an increase in stable fly populations. However, they were not able to directly attribute a role for flies in fungal dissemination. Previous efforts to isolate pathogenic dermatophytes from flies collected at both urban and rural settings resulted in identification of *T. mentagrophytes* and *T. terrestrum* from house flies (Gip and Svenson 1968, Pinetti et al. 1974), but attempts to isolate *T. verrucosum* on flies from ringworm-infected hosts have been unsuccessful (Koch 1964). Results from these and the current study suggest that *T. verrucosum* arthroconidia, the asexual spores that are the infective elements in a skin infection (Markey et al. 2013), are not acquired and disseminated by house flies. Gymnothecial ascospores produced by some pathogenic fungi cling to arthropods due to the interwoven nature of the spore peridial wall, while arthroconidia have a different structure and may adhere to arthropods via electrostatic interactions that are likely transient and weaker (Greif and Currah 2007). While adherence of the arthroconidia to human skin is strongly time dependent (Zurita and Hay 1987), it is unclear whether this is the same for cuticular surfaces. Arthroconidia are most prevalent on the infected hairs of cattle hosts (Ajello 1974), and it is probable that the host is most contagious prior to hair loss. By the time our samples were taken, the denuded lesions were obvious because of hair loss. It may be that our fly collections, although sampled from cattle with ringworm lesions, were less than optimal in terms of contagion. Indeed, canine ringworm (*M. canis*) was detected on the surface of experimentally inoculated house flies up to 5 d after introduction (Cafarchia et al. 2009), indicating that collections at timepoints after the peak of the inoculum may be suboptimal for culturing the fungus.

An intriguing alternative reason for our inability to recover *T. verrucosum* could be a result of competitive interference among fungi in our laboratory cultures (Shearer 1995). *Penicillium* spp. (Fig. 2C) represented 3.4% of cfus recovered in the current study, and they produce the antifungal compound, griseofulvin, which has been used to treat dermatophytes caused by *Microsporum* spp. and *Trichophyton* spp. (El Nakeeb et al. 1965). For example, a macroconidia of *Trichophyton* was observed and photographed (Fig. 3), but it did not grow and develop into a colony and therefore could not be sequenced. Based on microscopy, this macroconidia appeared to be the common *Trichophyton ajelloi*, a geophilic fungus. In this instance, chemical antibiotic in our laboratory cultures may have impacted recovery. Further, bacterial–fungal community interactions may impact prevalence and recovery of fungal isolates. It is unclear how bacterial diversity may influence *Trichophyton* spp., in particular, and evaluating microbiomes of the whole fly and the bovine host skin is desirable to assess this relationship.

Whether or not house flies are vectors of dermatophytic fungi, our results show that they are carriers of a diverse variety of spores some of which are potential pathogens depending on the mode of infection. The most abundant fungal species cultured from these flies are known to be ubiquitous in distribution, or their presence was consistent with a rural or pastoral setting. Thus, we expect that surveys of fungal spores on houseflies in different environmental contexts would find species not reported here. Although our study failed to implicate house flies as vectors of ringworm conidia, given the circumstances, we do not consider our result to be definitive on the matter.
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