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Abstract Quantitative trait loci (QTL) studies provide insight into the complexity of drought tolerance mechanisms. Molecular markers used in these studies also allow for marker-assisted selection (MAS) in breeding programs, enabling transfer of genetic factors between breeding lines without complete knowledge of their exact nature. However, potential for recombination between markers and target genes limit the utility of MAS-based strategies. Candidate gene mapping offers an alternative solution to identify trait determinants underlying QTL of interest. Here, we used restriction site polymorphisms to investigate co-location of candidate genes with QTL for seedling drought stress-induced premature senescence identified previously in cowpea. Genomic DNA isolated from 113 F2:8 RILs of drought-tolerant IT93K503-1 and drought susceptible CB46 genotypes was digested with combinations of EcoRI and HpaII, MseI, or MspI restriction enzymes and amplified with primers designed from 13 drought-responsive cDNAs. JoinMap 3.0 and MapQTL 4.0 software were used to incorporate polymorphic markers onto the AFLP map and to analyze their association with the drought response QTL. Seven markers co-located with peaks of previously identified QTL. Isolation, sequencing, and blast analysis of these markers confirmed their significant homology with drought or other abiotic stress-induced expressed sequence tags (EST) from cowpea and other plant systems. Further, homology with coding sequences for a multidrug resistance protein 3 and a photosystem I assembly protein ycf3 was revealed in two of these candidates. These results provide a platform for the identification and characterization of genetic trait determinants underlying seedling drought tolerance in cowpea.

Introduction

Drought tolerance is a genetically complex plant adaptation that involves multiple genes and pathways (Shinozaki and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki 2007). Gene expression studies have shown that expression levels of hundreds of genes are altered in response to drought stress (Ozturk et al. 2002; Talamé et al. 2006; Zhou et al. 2007). This alteration in expression level is also complex, varying widely depending on the magnitude and duration of drought stress (Dramé et al. 2007). Such complexity makes understanding the genetic mechanism of drought tolerance difficult. Similarly, breeding for drought tolerance is confounded by these complexities such that breeding is often conducted by phenotypic observation of physiological traits without an understanding of the genetic mechanism involved (Richards 2006). However, rapid improvements in quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping technology have led to increased understanding of the genetic complexity and location within plant genomes of genetic determinants of traits conferring drought tolerance (Campos et al. 2004; Tuberosa and Salvi 2006).

Marker-assisted selection (MAS) application in breeding programs has benefited significantly from QTL studies that
utilize readily available molecular markers to follow traits of economic importance (Francia et al. 2005; Ribaut and Ragot 2007; William et al. 2007). However, significant limitations exist in the utilization of MAS regardless of advances in this field. Most importantly, markers used in QTL studies and MAS programs typically lie outside gene regions with the consequence that genetic determinants are usually anonymous. Furthermore, the potential for recombination between molecular markers and the actual genes of interest may lead to mismatches between genotype and the desired phenotype (Collard et al. 2005). A potential solution is to clone QTL of interest in order to identify genetic determinants of the trait. However, this process is cumbersome requiring large amounts of resources and multiple collaborations to clone a single QTL (Salvi and Tuberosa 2005). Thus, only QTL with demonstrated stability over multiple environments and which offer significant economic benefits can be candidates for cloning (Campos et al. 2004). Nonetheless, rapid advances in the field of molecular biology and genomics offer alternative approaches to understanding the nature of genes underlying QTL of interest (Tuberosa and Salvi 2006). In drought tolerance studies, these advantages are complemented by a robust body of knowledge related to genetic pathways of responses to drought stress in model and non-model plant systems (Xiong et al. 2002; Zhu 2002; Bartels and Sunkar 2005; Tommasini et al. 2008). Conserved gene sequences across taxa as well as the increasing genome sequence information for model plant systems make it possible to identify and map candidate genes onto identified QTL regions (Borevitz and Chory 2004; Salentijn et al. 2007). Numerous studies have taken advantage of this information to successfully identify and map candidate genes onto drought tolerant QTL (Zheng et al. 2003; Nguyen et al. 2004; Tondelli et al. 2006).

In cowpea relatively little information is available on drought stress-related genes and pathways. A few studies have identified drought-responsive genetic elements that suggest roles by the jasmonic acid, lipid signaling, and abscisic acid pathways in cowpea response to drought. cDNAs homologous to alcohol dehydrogenase (CPRD12), dehydrin (CPRD22), NADPH-dependent aldehyde reductase (CPRD14), 12-oxo-phytodienoic acid reductase (CPRD8), lipoxygenase (CPRD46) (Iuchi et al. 1996a,b), and 9-cis-epoxycarotenoid (VuNCED1) (Iuchi et al. 2000) were isolated from a drought-tolerant cowpea genotype. Other cDNA transcripts, including a phospholipase D (VuPLD1) (El-Maarouf et al. 1999), a patatin-like galactolipid acyl hydrolase (VuPAT1) (Matos et al. 2001), phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C (VuPI-PLC) (El-Maarouf et al. 2001), multicystatin (VuC) (Diop et al. 2004), ascorbate peroxidase (VuAPX) (D’Arcy-Lameta et al. 2006), and glutathione reductase (Contour-Ansel et al. 2006) were reported to be highly expressed in susceptible compared to tolerant cowpea genotypes. However, the role played by these specific genes has not been determined in cowpea. Restriction site polymorphism analysis using primers designed from some of these cDNAs revealed correlations between polymorphic fragments and different drought response phenotypes among a diverse set of 14 cowpea genotypes (Muchero et al. 2008). However, the molecular identity of these fragments was not determined in that study.

The purpose of this study was to map candidate genes using a cowpea recombinant inbred (RIL) population that was previously used to map seedling drought tolerance QTL (Muchero et al. 2009). This strategy will allow for the investigation of co-location of drought-responsive genes in relation to previously identified QTL and allow for the identification of candidate genes for further studies. Knowledge of the identity of genes within a QTL region will also offer opportunity for the identification of more candidate genes by investigating synteny between cowpea and model legume systems, where genomic information is more advanced. In this study, restriction polymorphism was used to map markers derived from 13 drought-responsive cDNA onto a genetic linkage map previously used to map seedling drought tolerance QTL.

Materials and methods

Plant material

A population of 113 cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L) Walp.] F_{2,3} recombinant inbred lines (RILs) developed by single seed descent from a cross between homozygous drought-tolerant IT93K503-1 and susceptible CB46 genotypes were used in this study. The same RIL population had been used previously to construct a genetic linkage map using amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) markers and to map quantitative trait loci (QTL) associated with seedling drought tolerance based on maintenance of stem greenness and recovery dry weight measurements (Muchero et al. 2009).

Candidate gene selection

Twelve candidate genes whose expression under drought stress had been characterized previously in cowpea were selected. These were CPRD8, CPRD12, CPRD14, CPRD22, CPRD46 (Iuchi et al. 1996a, b), phospholipase D, VuPLD1 (El-Maarouf et al. 1999), 9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase, VuNCED1 (Iuchi et al. 2000), phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C, VuPI-PLC (El-Maarouf et al. 2001), patatin-like galactolipid acyl
hydroxime, VuPAT1 (Matos et al. 2001), multicystatin, VuC (Diop et al. 2004), and ascorbate peroxidase, VuAPX (D’Arcy-Lameta et al. 2006). The Δ1 pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase, which forms part of the proline biosynthesis pathway (Hu et al. 1992), was chosen based on a previous study that suggested an important role for proline accumulation in cowpea drought tolerance (Somal and Yapa 1998). In addition, 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate synthase (ACC synthase), a component of the ethylene pathway, was selected based on observed drought response phenotype that suggested a senescence-based response to drought stress in cowpea seedlings (Muchero et al. 2008). This response was similar to the one described in drought-stressed maize plants with altered ACC synthase expression (Young et al. 2004). The ethylene pathway was also reported to mediate senescence in other plant species (John et al. 1995; Buchanan-Wollaston et al. 2005).

PCR primer design

Design of new primers and sources of previously published primer sequences used in this study were described by Muchero et al. (2008). Briefly, primers based on CPRD8, CPRD12, CPRD14, CPRD22, CPRD46, and VuAPX cDNAs were designed from conserved regions identified after aligning the respective cowpea sequence with homologous sequences from Arabidopsis, pea (Pisum sativum), tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum), rice (Oryza sativa), and tomato (Solanum lycopersicum). Degenerate primers for ACC and P5C were based on non-cowpea sequences. P5C primers were designed based on the mothbean (Vigna aconitifolia) P5CS sequence (Hu et al. 1992) aligned with sequences from some of the species stated above, whereas the ACC degenerate primers were designed from conserved regions of ACC synthase genes from maize (Zea mays): EMBL accession AY359569, grape (Vitis vinifera): EMBL accession DQ679934, and tomato: EMBL accession U18055.

Restriction site polymorphism

DNA was isolated from young fresh trifoliates of greenhouse-grown RIL and parental genotypes. Briefly, leaf tissue was harvested from 3-week-old plants grown in 878-ml pots filled with UCMIX-B as described by Muchero et al. 2009. Pots were watered to capacity twice weekly. Young trifoliates were harvested, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −85°C before DNA isolation. The DNeasy plant mini-kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) was used for DNA isolation. DNA was quantified using a TD-360 Mini-fluorometer (Turner Designs, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). DNA working solutions were made by diluting stock solution to 10 ng/μl.

Since none of the primers described above revealed polymorphism between the two parental genotypes using undigested genomic DNA, a restriction site polymorphism-based protocol, similar in principle to the AFLP technique (Vos et al. 1995) was adopted. Polymorphisms were investigated first by digesting parental DNA with combinations of EcoR1 and HpaII, Mse1, or Msp1 restriction enzymes (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The EcoR1/Mse1 enzyme combination was chosen based on the AFLP protocol, and other enzyme combinations were chosen by testing on parental DNA and identifying combinations that revealed polymorphisms not revealed by the EcoR1/Mse1 combination. Restriction digest reactions were carried out in 12.5 μl reaction volume with 90 ng genomic DNA in 9 μl, 2.5 μl 10× digestion buffer and 1 μl enzyme mix and incubated overnight at 37°C in a Mastercycler® gradient thermocycler (Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany). Each sample of restricted DNA was PCR-amplified using 13 primer pairs designed from 13 drought-responsive cDNAs described above. Briefly, 2.5 μl of digested DNA solution was PCR-amplified in 12.5 μl reaction volume. PCR master mix was made up of 3.81 μl ddH2O, 1.5 μl 10× PCR buffer (Invitrogen), 2 μl 50 mM MgCl2 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 0.38 μl 10 mM dNTP mix (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), 2 μl 10 mM primer mix, and 0.32 μl Taq DNA Polymerase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). PCR parameters were as follows: 95°C for 5 min, and 39 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 50°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 90 s. Mixture was kept at 72°C for 5 min. Then 10 μl amplified DNA plus 3 μl 6× loading dye was electrophoresed on 3% agarose gel (1.2 g agarose, 40 ml 0.5× TBE, and 1.5 μl ethidium bromide) in 0.5× TBE buffer for 3 h and visualized under ultraviolet light.

Restriction enzyme combinations and the respective primer-pairs that revealed polymorphisms between parental genotypes are given in Table 1. These were used to genotype 113 RILs as described above. Multiple polymorphic markers generated by the same primer pair were numbered according to decreasing size order and prefixed by the abbreviated name of the cDNA used in primer design.

Linkage mapping

Joinmap 3.0 (Van Ooijen and Voorrips 2001) was used to incorporate polymorphic markers on the existing AFLP map. The Kosambi mapping function (Kosambi 1944) was used to convert recombination frequencies to Centimorgan and a maximum recombination of 45% was used to assign markers to linkage groups. Map location was assigned to the linkage group with the highest association with the candidate gene marker at LOD scores ≥ 3. Association with drought tolerance of markers that co-located with QTL was investigated by performing multiple-QTL model...
Table 1  Drought responsive cDNA-based primer sequences and restriction enzyme combinations used for restriction site polymorphism mapping in a recombinant inbred population developed from a cross between homozygous cowpea genotypes IT93K503-1 and CB46

| cDNAa | Forward primerb | Reverse primerb | Restriction enzyme combination | Polymorphic fragments amplified | References |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| ACCc  | CGAYGGSTTGAAGGCBTAYG | YCTSRTYCACATCGYSTACA | EcoR1 + MseI | 3 | – |
| CPRD8c | CAAAYGTTCCRCRCYCCAYGC | TWCTRTSRYYYYGGRAAYCGTACTTG | EcoR1 + HpaII | 2 | – |
| CPRD12c | GGTATWMKGTGAACKGTGTKTC | YWTKTCKYGWCGAAPACGGTGTAS | EcoR1 + MseI | 3 | – |
| CPRD14c | GACAAGTATGTGTGTACC | ACAGTATCTGATGCTCAC | EcoR1 + MseI | 3 | – |
| CPRD22c | CAAGTTACCAGAAGACGATAC | CCACATTACACGACAAGAC | EcoR1 + MseI | 4 | – |
| CPRD46c | GGSTAYKTYCMAAYMGRCA | GRAGYAGDCCKTYACKMRGCT | EcoR1 + MseI | 2 | – |
| P5c | ATTTGARTRBATWYVTGTRW | AAATWYTRYTDRMWATDGCY | EcoR1 + MseI | 1 | – |
| VuAPXc | AGGYHTCATCGCYGARAAAGA | TITTTGCHGAYCCTGTVTC | EcoR1 + MseI | 1 | – |
| VuC | CGYTTYGCYGTTBARGA | CCCAVACYTDGCYTCRTA | EcoR1 + MseI | 0 | Diop et al. (2004) |
| VuNCED1 | ATTGAATTATCGCTTCCAGCTTCAAC | ATGGATCCAAAAGCTACCGTGGT | EcoR1 + MseI | 3 | Iuchi et al. (2000) |
| VuPAT1 | GAYTAYTYGAYRATNATCG | GRRKSNCCNARATHTTYCC | EcoR1 + MseI | 3 | Matos et al. (2001) |
| VuPI-PLC | ACSGSGCAAYAWSSTAYYT | GGCASARRTCSARYTCDATSAC | EcoR1 + MseI | 0 | El-Maarouf et al. (2001) |
| VuPLD1 | CAYCAYGAYGYATYTCYCCRC | TAYTCTCTCNAADATCATCAT | EcoR1 + MseI | 2 | El-Maarouf et al. (1999) |

a See text for full names of cDNA clones
b B = C/G, D = A/G, H = A/C/T, K = G/T, M = A/C, N = A/C/G/T, R = A/G, S = C/G, W = A/T, and Y = C/T
c New primers were designed, see section “Materials and methods”
Cloning and sequencing

Polymorphic markers that co-located with QTL peaks were excised from agarose gels and purified using the QIAquick® gel extraction kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) according to manufacturer’s recommendation. In each case, DNA fragments were isolated from the parent genotype carrying the polymorphic fragment as well as from a random set of 10 RILs for molecular characterization. Parental and RIL DNA fragments were cloned separately. Purified DNA was cloned using the TOPO TA Cloning® system using chemical-competent TOP10F’ E. coli cells (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Briefly, 4 µl PCR product was mixed with 1 µl pCR®2.1-TOPO® cloning vector and 1 µl salt solution and incubated for 5 to 30 min at room temperature. TOP10F’ E. coli cells were thawed on ice and 6 µl of the cloning reaction was pipetted into the cells and mixed gently. Cells were incubated in a water bath at 42°C for 30 s and immediately placed on ice. Then 250 µl manufacturer supplied S.O.C liquid media was added to the tubes and incubated for 1 h at 37°C with shaking at 200 rpm. Bacterial suspension was spread on selective liquid broth (LB) plates supplemented with 50 µg/ml ampicillin, 0.5 mM IPTG, and 40 mg/ml X-gal. Plates were incubated overnight at 37°C. Individual white colonies were picked and streaked on fresh selective LB plates and incubated overnight at 37°C. White colonies were picked and incubated in 10 ml LB liquid media overnight at 37°C. Plasmids were isolated from bacterial cells using the QIAprep® miniprep kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) as per manufacturer’s recommendation. Confirmation of insert presence was done by restricting 2 µl miniprep plasmid solution with EcoRI in 1 µl 10× digestion buffer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and 6.8 µl sterile water. Ten plasmids carrying inserts with the correct size for each of the seven restriction site polymorphism markers were sequenced at the University of California, Riverside Institute for Integrative Genome Biology (Riverside, CA).

DNA sequence analysis

Sequence electropherograms were viewed using FinchTV 1.4.0 (Geospiza, Seattle WA, USA). Homology between candidate gene markers and cowpea expressed sequence tags (ESTs) was investigated using HarvEST:Cowpea 1.05 database (http://www.harvest-web.org, http://harvest.ucr.edu). Investigation of homology with other plant ESTs and coding sequences in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database was done using the Washington University (WU)-blast2-nucleotide database query web-site (Altschul et al. 1990). A cut-off threshold of 1e-05 was used in homology searches.

Results

Twenty-six polymorphic markers were generated from primers designed from 13 drought-responsive candidate genes. Of these, 13 markers did not have sufficient linkage to be placed on the map. Based on Kruskall–Wallis and interval mapping analysis, none of the unmapped markers showed consistent association with seedling drought-stress response phenotypes. Of the 13 markers that mapped successfully, six mapped outside the previously identified QTL regions for drought-stress response. The remaining seven markers, ACC-3, CPRD8-1, CPRD14-2, CPRD14-3, CPRD22-2, CPRD22-4, and VuPAT1-2 co-located with QTL Dro-5, Dro-5, Dro-3, Dro-1, Dro-2, Dro-4, and Dro-3, respectively (Table 2, Fig. 1). DNA fragment length ranged in size from 139 to 788 bp for the seven markers targeted for sequencing (Table 2). MQM analysis confirmed the association between these markers and the respective QTL. Examples of LOD traces for each candidate gene and QTL association are given in Fig. 1a–d. CPRD14-3 mapped in a region that showed consistent association with seedling drought-stress response but did not meet the LOD significance threshold calculated at the 0.05 significance level (Fig. 1a). The remaining markers mapped in QTL regions that were highly associated with drought stress response-based LOD scores determined by MQM analysis (Fig. 1b–d). Additional definitions of the QTL regions are given in supplementary tables in (Muchero et al. 2009).

Isolation, sequencing, and blast searches revealed homology of all seven QTL-associated markers to cowpea ESTs isolated from drought-stressed and non-stressed tissue below the 1.0e-05 threshold (1.5e-32 ≤ E ≤ 4.2e-10) (Table 3). Further, five of these had significant homology to water deficit stress-induced ESTs from other plant systems (Table 3). Marker ACC-3, derived from the susceptible CB46 parent, was homologous to a grape (Vitis vinifera) water deficit-induced EST with an E-value of 7.1e-93. In addition, this marker had homology with a common bean.
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Phaseolus vulgaris) sequence coding for a photosystem I assembly protein ycf3 ($E = 1.3 \times 10^{-18}$). Hence, the candidate gene was designated Vigna unguiculata photosystem I assembly protein ycf (VuYCF) (Table 2). CPRD8-1 which was present in the tolerant IT93K503-1 genotype, was homologous ($E = 4.7 \times 10^{-83}$) to an EST isolated from water deficit-stressed soybean (Glycine max) and also to a grape sequence coding for a hypothetical protein ($E = 7.5 \times 10^{-29}$) (Table 3). Since the soybean EST and grape coding sequences were not annotated, polymorphic fragment CPRD8-1 was designated as Vigna unguiculata drought candidate gene 1 (VuDCG-1), and the same designation process was adopted for the remaining four candidate genes that had homology to unannotated ESTs and coding sequences (Table 2). The tolerant parent-derived CPRD14-2 was homologous ($E = 1.7 \times 10^{-49}$) to a cold stress-induced EST from strawberry (Fragaria vesca). BLAST searches targeting coding sequences revealed significant homology of CPRD14-2 with a maize (Zea mays) multidrug resistance-associated protein 3 ($1.0 \times 10^{-39}$) (Table 3) and was designated as Vigna unguiculata multidrug resistance-associated protein (VuMRP) (Table 2). CPRD22-4 (VuDCG-4), derived from tolerant IT93K503-1, and VuPAT1-2 (VuDCG-5), derived from susceptible CB46 parent, were homologous to a salt-stressed Fragaria vesca EST ($E = 6.6 \times 10^{-07}$) and a water deficit stress-induced EST from...
Vitis vinifera \((E = 2.4\text{e}-05)\), respectively. Both of these markers were also homologous to Vitis vinifera hypothetical protein coding sequences (Table 3). Candidate markers CPRD14-3 (VuDCG-2), from tolerant IT93K503-1, and CPRD22-2 (VuDCG-2), from susceptible CB46, did not have significant matches with either ESTs or coding sequences from other plant systems (Table 3).

**Discussion**

Molecular markers, such as AFLPs, RAPDs, and SSRs have facilitated genome-based studies of complex traits, such as drought tolerance through QTL analysis (Collard et al. 2005). However, the limitation imposed by the molecular anonymity of such markers and the observation that they typically tag non-gene regions means that prohibitive amounts of resources need to be invested to gain insight into the genetic structure underlying such QTL (Salvi and Tuberosa 2005). The preponderance of genomic sequence information from model plant systems allows inference to be made about the genomic structure of non-model plant systems based on synteny arising from evolutionary relatedness (Borevitz and Chory 2004; Salentijn et al. 2007). In addition, progress made in characterizing gene networks involved in plant response to drought stress provides resources for the informed selection of candidate genes for study in non-model plant systems (Xiong et al. 2002; Zhu 2002; Bartels and Sunkar 2005). To this end, candidate gene mapping provides an approach to identify
gene-derived landmark sequences for use in comparative genomic studies aimed at characterizing the genetic structure underlying complex QTL in non-model plants.

In this study we investigated the potential for candidate gene mapping in identifying gene-derived molecular markers co-locating with drought stress-induced premature senescence QTL in cowpea. As a non-model crop that is considered an “orphan crop”, genomic resources in cowpea are relatively lacking (Kaga et al. 2005). Thirteen restriction site polymorphism markers mapped successfully and seven of these co-located with AFLP-based QTL identified previously in the RIL population exposed to seedling drought stress (Muchero et al. 2009). Blast searches showed that all seven candidate gene markers were homologous to sequences isolated from cowpea or other plant systems under drought or abiotic stress. However, these DNA fragments did not show homology with sequences that were used in primer design. This may be due to the use of degenerate primers resulting in unspecific amplification for ACC-, CPRD8-, and VuPAT1-derived primers. In addition, the low annealing temperature of 50°C may have allowed the amplification of close but unrelated sequences. The relatedness of parent cDNA sequences to CPRD8-1 (VuDCG-1), CPRD14-3 (VuDCG-2), CPRD22-2 (VuDCG-3), CPRD22-4 (VuDCG-4), and VuPAT1-2 (VuDCG-5) which revealed homology to uncharacterized ESTs cannot be determined conclusively without further studies.

However, polymorphism patterns and fragment sizes were highly reproducible from the previous study that used the same primers and genotyping protocol to characterize a diverse set of 14 cowpea genotypes (Muchero et al. 2008). This was with the exception of candidate marker CPRD22-4 (VuDCG-4), which was omitted from the previous study. Further, the fidelity of the protocol in targeting these polymorphisms was confirmed by sequencing multiple clones to rule out the presence of same-size but molecularly different fragments. In each case, the molecular identity of the polymorphic fragment from parental genotypes was characterized separately from homologous fragments pooled from RILs.

The co-location of these markers with seedling drought QTL as well as homology with drought responsive ESTs suggest that these markers were derived from genes that may be involved in cowpea response to drought stress-induced premature senescence. Most importantly, six out of the seven markers were closely associated with QTL peaks based on MQM analysis (Fig. 1), providing additional support for them as candidate trait determinants for seedling drought tolerance in cowpea. In addition, homology of VuYCF and VuMRP to the photosystem 1 assembly protein ycf3 and multidrug resistance-associated protein (MRP) 3, respectively, which have been shown to mediate oxidative stress-induced leaf senescence, suggests that the candidate markers may tag important genes for cowpea response to drought stress.

The multidrug-resistance protein 3 that was homologous to VuMRP candidate gene and whose marker was absent from the drought-stress susceptible CB46 parent, is a member of the membrane-associated ABC transporters that are involved in the regulation of guard cells (Klein et al. 2003) and cellular detoxification (Klein et al. 2004). The importance of MRPs in regulating guard cells and therefore, stomatal conductance, was demonstrated by Klein et al. (2004) who reported the deregulation of stomatal opening and enhanced drought susceptibility in water deficit-stressed Arabidopsis thaliana plants with a knockout mutation in one of the MRP genes. This may suggest a parallel mechanism in cowpea, where presence of the susceptibility allele results in less efficient regulation of stomata under drought stress leading to enhanced drought-stress susceptibility.

The ycf3 gene, homologous to the VuYCF drought candidate marker derived from drought-stress susceptible CB46 parent, encodes a protein that plays an integral role in the assembly of photosystem 1 complex in chloroplasts (Naver et al. 2001). The differential regulation of ycf3 expression has been reported in senescing plants exposed to oxidative stress (Vranová et al. 2002) and salt stress (Sattosanto et al. 2004). Homologous transcripts have also been isolated from ripening grape berry plasma membranes (Zhang et al. 2008). Fruit ripening and plant tissue senescence have been demonstrated to involve related genetic and hormonal pathways (Borovsky and Paran 2008). The specific contribution of ycf3 toward drought tolerance has not been determined, however, a potential role is suggested by its importance in the assembly of the photosystem I antenna, which plays a critical role in the first stage of light harvesting for photosynthesis. Genotypes that possess the ability to maintain a functional ycf3 gene under drought or other oxidative stresses may be able to maintain higher rates of photosynthesis compared to genotypes in which ycf3 function is lost leading to impaired light harvesting under similar conditions.

Although the association of individual markers with drought-stress susceptible or tolerant parental genotype may offer insight into the potential contribution of each candidate gene toward cowpea response to drought stress, such inference is hindered by the complexity of inheritance of the drought response mechanism as described before (Muchero et al. 2009). Specifically, observation of transgressive segregants for both susceptibility and tolerance during QTL analysis suggested that the tolerant genotype also contributed alleles that negatively influenced drought tolerance, and/or that the susceptible parent contributed alleles that enhanced drought tolerance. Therefore, additional studies are needed to definitively address the potential role of each candidate gene toward cowpea response to seedling drought stress.
The coincidence of these genes and other markers homologous to stress-induced ESTs with seedling drought response QTL may suggest important roles for these genes in cowpea. Although results of our study merit further molecular characterization of these candidate genes, the restriction site polymorphism-based candidate gene mapping technique may have its strongest utility in providing a genomic framework from which synteny can be investigated between cowpea and plant systems, such as *Lotus japonicus*, *Medicago truncatula*, *Glycine max*, and *Arabidopsis thaliana* where genomic resources are more advanced. This assertion recognizes studies in other crops that have indicated that tens or hundreds of genes may underlie each QTL interval (Salvi and Tuberosa 2005) and is underscored by the presence of some large QTL intervals in which some of the candidate markers mapped in this study. Saturating current QTL intervals with additional markers should refine QTL definition and association with the respective candidate gene-based markers.

Combined with saturation mapping, gene-derived molecular markers offer the potential to tag actual genetic determinants which will markedly improve the efficiency of marker-assisted selection (MAS) programs targeted at improving cowpea drought tolerance. By tagging the genetic determinants, the risk of recombination between molecular markers and the trait determinant, one of the major limitations in MAS, can be effectively eliminated.

With additional refinements especially regarding primer design and PCR amplification parameters, our study demonstrates the potential for mapping genes with known sequences from cowpea or other plant systems in genetic linkage maps, thereby providing a platform for further characterization of genomic regions carrying QTL of importance in breeding for improved cowpea productivity under marginal semi-arid conditions.
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