Phenotypic diversity among juvenile polyposis syndrome patients from different ethnic background
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Abstract: Juvenile polyposis syndrome (JPS), has diverse phenotypes. Aim: To assess mutation rate, clinical features and genotype-phenotype correlation among Israeli JPS kindreds from different ethnicities.

Methods: Patients’ data were extracted retrospectively from 5 centers.

Results: Thirty five kindreds (49 patients) were included. Thirty one (89%) Jewish [10 (32%) Ashkenazi; 9 (29%) Sephardi; 11 (35%) non-Russia former Soviet-Union countries (NRFSU), one (3%) unknown]. 40/49 individuals from 27 families underwent genetic testing. Among them 34, from 21 families (85, 78%, respectively) had a pathogenic mutation: BMPR1A n = 15 (71%), SMAD4 n = 6 families (29%). While no SMAD4 mutation was described among Jewish families from NRFSU, 7 NRFSU families carried a founder mutation comprising a large genomic deletion of BMPR1A. GI involvement was reported in 42 patients (86%): colonic polyps (n = 40, 95%, > 50 polyps n = 14, 35%) and 12 underwent colonic resection. Fourteen patients (34%) had gastric or small bowel involvement (n = 5) and 4/14 underwent gastrectomy due to polyp burden. Families from NRFSU had more gastric involvement (66.7% vs. 22.2%- Sephardic and 20%- Ashkenazi Jews; p = 0.038), with more gastric polyps (p = 0.017).

Conclusions: We demonstrated a high rate of mutation detection in the heterogeneous population of Israel. Patients from NRFSU with BMPR1A mutation had high rate of gastric involvement.
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Introduction

Juvenile polyposis syndrome (JPS), first described in 1964 [1], is a rare autosomal dominant condition affecting between 1 in 100,000 and 1 in 160,000 [2–5] individuals. It is characterized by predisposition to hamartomatous polyps in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract. Most individuals with JPS have juvenile polyps by age 20 years [6] which may cause rectal bleeding and anemia. Polyps occur predominantly in the colon and rectum (98%) but can occur in the stomach (14%) and small bowel (SB) (14%) [4, 5, 7, 8]. Germline mutations in the SMAD4 or bone morphogenetic protein receptor type-1A (BMPR1A) genes are identified in approximately 45–65% of JPS patients [5, 6, 9–11]. These genes are related to the transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β) signaling pathway [12, 13]. Individuals with JPS are at increased risk for colorectal, gastric and small bowel cancers, which necessitate physicians and patients to adhere to lifelong surveillance with upper GI endoscopy and colonoscopy, starting at time of diagnosis [6, 8, 14]. The cumulative risk of colorectal cancer (CRC) in individuals with JPS is about 38–68% [8, 15, 16], but lower rates have been reported too [5].
CRC in JPS occurs at a younger age as compared with sporadic CRC (mean age 34 years) [16]. The incidence of gastric cancer is 21% in those with gastric polyps [6–8].

A genotype-phenotype correlation in JPS is poorly defined. While some members of the same family with the same pathogenic variant have few polyps, others may have over 100 [6]. The age of polyp development also can be extremely different among affected patients within the same family. Previous studies have shown that individuals with SMAD4 pathogenic mutation may have an increased risk of severe gastric polyposis [9, 17] and a higher risk for gastric cancer [18]; most JPS patients with a SMAD4 pathogenic mutations may have hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT) [19–21]; and people with either an SMAD4 or BMPR1A pathogenic variant are more likely than those without a pathogenic variant identified to have more than ten lower GI polyps and a family history of GI cancer [6, 17, 22, 23].

Israel is known for its population diversity with people from different ethnicities and immigrants from different parts of the world share similar health care coverage. Since most of the published data on JPS is based on European and North-American studies, the aim of this study was to assess the rate of mutation, clinical features and genotype-phenotype correlation among Israeli JPS kindreds from different ethnicities.

Methods

Individuals were identified from five adult and pediatric tertiary centers in Israel (Rambam Health Care Campus, Haifa; Dana Dwek Children’s Hospital, Tel-Aviv; Rabin Medical Center, Petach-Tikva; Sheba Medical Center, Tel-Hashomer, Ramat Gan and Hadassah Medical Center, Jerusalem) Each institution collected data for this study in accordance with a local institution-specific institutional review board (IRB) protocol. All, data was collected retrospectively from patient electronic medical records by February 2019.. Included patients had JPS according to the following accepted clinical criteria [6, 24]: (1) at least five juvenile polyps in the colorectum, (2) juvenile polyps throughout the gastrointestinal tract or (3) any number of juvenile polyps in a person with a known family history of juvenile polyposis, as well as patients with pathogenic mutation in SMAD4 or BMPR1A from a kindred with JPS, regardless their polyp status. Genetic testing, including Sanger sequencing and multi-gene new generation sequencing panels were performed by medically certified laboratories. Surveillance protocol of all five institutes has been colonoscopy and upper GI (UGI) endoscopy every 1 to 3 years depending on polyp burden. Small bowel imaging was not part of routine surveillance. Since this study covers many years, the surveillance protocol might have been changed during the study period. Data encompassed patient demographics and family history, genotype, disease phenotype, endoscopic data, surveillance, and long-term outcomes. Polyp burden in the colon and in the stomach was grouped into five categories: 0; 1–10; 11–50; 50–99; 100 and above. Study outcomes were colonic/gastric/small bowel involvement and colonic/gastric surgery. Since only two patients underwent small bowel-associated therapeutic procedure (surgery or double balloon enteroscopy), we could not perform any statistics on this outcome.

Statistical analysis

The characteristics of the participants and families are presented as median and range or as number and percentage for categorical variables. Separate analyses were conducted for patients and for families. For the family’s analysis we studied the participant with the most severe phenotype from families with more than one included participant. The association of study outcome with categorical variables was assessed with chi-square test (χ2) or Fisher exact test in case of 2*2 tables. The association between study outcomes and polyp number was measured by Mann-Whitney test. A p value <0.05 (two-sided) was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 27.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.

Results

Overall, 49 participants from 35 families were included in our study. Their baseline characteristics are described in Table 1. For 11 families more than one family member with JPS was included in the study. Among them seven families had two family members with JPS; Two had three members; and one family had four. Thirty one families (89%) were Jewish from diverse ethnicities. The others were Druze (two families), Muslim and non-Jewish Ukrainian (one family each). Among the Jewish families, 10 (32%) were Ashkenazi; 9 (29%) were Sephardi; and 11 (35%) were from non-Russia former Soviet-Union countries (NRFSU, mainly from Bukhara and Georgia). Ethnicity was not recorded in one family (3%) that was lost from follow-up. Twenty two families (63%) reported on having more than one family member with phenotypic manifestations of JPS.

Genotype

Forty participants (82%) from 27 families (77%) underwent genetic testing. Among the tested patients and families 34 patients from 21 families (85, 78%, respectively) were found to carry a pathogenic mutation: 15 families (71%) had a pathogenic mutation in the BMPR1A gene and six families (29%) in the SMAD4 gene. (23 and 11 participants, respectively). No SMAD4 mutation was described among Jewish families from NRFSU and among Druze families. The types of
mutations for each gene are shown in Fig. 1. Among BMPR1A mutation carriers, seven families were from NRFSU, specifically from Bukhara (a city in Uzbekistan). The Bukharin Jewish families originate from a highly endogamous community in central Asia for some 2500 years, and immigrated to Israel after the collapse of the former Soviet Union [25]. These seven families carry a founder mutation comprising a large genomic deletion of 429,426 bp (chr10:88,611,882-89,041,308 [hg19]), encompassing the entire coding region (exons 3–13) of BMPR1A, and the complete loci of 8 downstream genes [25]. Having a mutation in general, having a mutation in either gene or having a specific mutation (i.e. the BMPR1A Bukharin mutation) was not associated with any specific phenotype or with disease severity compared to participants who had negative genetic results (no

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the cohort

| Characteristics                                      | N (%/range)        |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Number of patients                                   | 49                 |
| Number of families                                   | 35                 |
| Median follow-up period (range)                      | 5 y (< 1 to 49)    |
| Sex – female                                         | 19 (38.8)          |
| Median age of diagnosis (range)                      | 13 y (2–68 y)      |
| Ethnicity – Jewish families                          | 31 (89)            |
| - Ashkenazi/Sephardi/NRFSU/Unknown                   | 10 (32)/ 9 (29)/ 11 (35)/ 1 (3) |
| Family history of GI cancer – families               | 6 (17)             |
| Performance of genetic testing – families            | 27 (77)            |
| Genetic diagnosis among tested families              | 21 (78)            |
| BMPR1A mutation diagnosed among genetic diagnosed families | 15 (71)        |
| - ‘Bukharin mutation’ among BMPR1A mutation carriers | 7 (47)             |
| SMAD4 mutation diagnosed among genetic diagnosed families | 6 (29)            |
| - HHT symptoms among SMAD4 families                  | 5 (83)             |
| Presenting symptom (symptomatic patients only) – rectal bleeding | 22 (88)          |
| Presenting symptom (symptomatic patients only) – abdominal pain | 5 (20)            |

NRFSU non Russia former Soviet Union, y years, HHT hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia

Fig. 1 Schematic presentation of the types of mutations for each gene: (a) SMAD4, (b) BMPR1A
mutation identified) or have not been tested, had a mutation in the other gene (BMPR1A or SMAD4) or had a non-BMPR1A Bukharin mutation, respectively.

Clinical manifestations and phenotype
Median age of JPS diagnosis was 13 years (2–68 years). Twenty five (51%) patients were diagnosed due to JPS-related symptoms, and in 22 of them (96%) the presenting symptom was rectal bleeding. Only 5 patients (21%) reported abdominal pain, accompanied by rectal bleeding in four. In two patients iron deficiency anemia was the presenting symptoms and in another seven participants, anemia accompanied rectal bleeding. Three patients had hypoalbuminemia, and one of them had an intussusception. One child had failure to thrive and in one family genetic testing was performed as part of the evaluation of autism. HHT was diagnosed in five out of six families with SMAD4 mutation (83%), but none of the BMPR1A families had HHT.

GI involvement was reported in 42 patients (86%): 40 with colonic polyps (95%) and 14 (33%) with upper GI involvement. Of those with upper GI involvement, 13 (92%) had gastric polyps (five patients had the BMPR1A Bukharin mutation and four had SMAD4 mutation). Five patients (38%) had polyps in the SB (one with the BMPR1A Bukharin mutation, one with SMAD4 mutation and the other three did not undergo genetic tests or were found negative). Only two participants (15%) had gastric involvement without colonic involvement (one with the BMPR1A Bukharin mutation and the other one with SMAD4), and all five participants with SB involvement had colonic involvement as well (four of them had also gastric polyps). (Fig. 2). Hypoalbuminemia occurred only in patients with polyps in the SB (n = 3. Two of them with SMAD4 mutation and one has not been tested).

Thirteen participants had between one and ten polyps; and 13 – between 11 and 50. Fourteen participants (35% of participants with colonic involvement) had more than 50 colonic polyps, and 12 of them underwent colonic resection. One patient was diagnosed with CRC at presentation: a 19 years old male with the BMPR1A Bukharin mutation and a high burden of colonic polyps. Colonic surgery was associated not only with polyp burden (p < .0001), but also with the presence of adenomas in the colon (p = .001) and with gastric involvement (p = .007) and gastric surgery (p = .016). A compound variable containing number of colonic polyps and the presence of adenoma was associated with colonic surgery (p = .001). Only patients with more than 10 polyps underwent colonic surgery. Of these patients 3/15 (20%) had only hamartomas while 6/9 (67%) had both hamartomas and at least one adenoma.

Four participants (31% of participants with gastric involvement) underwent gastrectomy due to a high polyp burden or cancer. Three surgical interventions were performed in non NRFSU patients and only one in an NRFSU patient. One participant was diagnosed with gastric cancer during follow-up: An Ashkenazy Jewish male, diagnosed with JPS at the age of four years and had a

Fig. 2 Phenotypic manifestations of Juvenile Polyposis Syndrome (a) The distribution of gastrointestinal tract involvement (b) Specific phenotype of involved organs: colon, stomach and small bowel
previous colectomy due to colonic polyps burden. The gastric cancer was diagnosed on the first UGI endoscopy, performed at the age of 32 years. Among five participants with SB involvement, it was severe enough to require surgery or polypectomy by enteroscopy in two participants (40%). Families from NRFSU had more gastric involvement (66.7% vs. 22.2% in Sephardic Jews and 20% in Ashkenazi Jews; \( p = 0.038 \)), with more polyps in the stomach (\( p = 0.017 \)), regardless genetic status (Table 2).

### Discussion

JPS is a relatively rare polyposis syndrome. Here we describe genotypic and phenotypic analysis of 49 JPS patients from 35 families in Israel. Israel's population is composed of multinational immigrants which makes it a very diverse population. It includes Jewish people from different ethnicities as well as non-Jewish population. We show a high representation of patients from NRFSU, most of them sharing a founder mutation. These

| Table 2 | The phenotypic manifestations of JPS in NRFSU families compared to Non- NRFSU families |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Genetic test performance | Non NRFSU families (% (N = 23) | NRFSU families (% (N = 11) | \( p \)-value |
| no | 6 (26.1) | 1 (9.1) | \( p = \text{NS} \) |
| yes | 17 (73.9) | 10 (90.9) | |
| Positive genetic test | no | 3 (13.6) | 3 (30.0) | \( p = \text{NS} \) |
| yes | 14 (61.7) | 7 (70.0) | |
| Affected gene | SMAD4 | 6 (26.1) | 0 (0) | \( p = \text{NS*} \) |
| | BMPR1A | 8 (34.8) | 7 (70.0) | |
| Colonic involvement | no | 1 (4.3) | 1 (9.1) | \( p = \text{NS} \) |
| yes | 22 (95.7) | 10 (90.9) | |
| Colonic polyps | 0 | 1 (4.3) | 1 (9.1) | \( p = \text{NS} \) |
| 1–10 | 5 (21.7) | 4 (36.4) | |
| 11–50 | 8 (34.8) | 3 (27.3) | |
| 50–100 | 9 (39.1) | 2 (18.2) | |
| > 100 | 0 (0) | 1 (9.1) | |
| Colonic surgery | no | 18 (78.3) | 7 (63.6) | \( p = \text{NS} \) |
| yes | 5 (21.7) | 4 (36.4) | |
| CRC | no | 23 (100.0) | 10 (90.9) | Not done |
| yes | 0 (0) | 1 (9.1) | |
| Gastric involvement | no | 17 (77.3) | 3 (33.3) | \( p = 0.038 \) |
| yes | 5 (22.7) | 6 (66.7) | |
| Gastric polyps | 0 | 13 (76.5) | 3 (33.3) | \( p = 0.017 \) |
| 1–10 | 2 (11.8) | 2 (22.2) | |
| 11–50 | 0 (0) | 2 (22.2) | |
| 50–100 | 2 (11.8) | 1 (11.1) | |
| > 100 | 0 (0) | 1 (11.1) | |
| Gastric cancer | no | 22 (95.7) | 11 (100.0) | Not done |
| yes | 1 (4.3) | 0 (0) | |
| Gastric surgery | no | 20 (87.0) | 10 (90.9) | \( p = \text{NS} \) |
| yes | 3 (13.0) | 1 (9.1) | |
| Small bowel involvement | no | 8 (80.0) | 2 (40.0) | \( p = \text{NS} \) |
| yes | 2 (20.0) | 3 (60.0) | |
| Surgery/DBE/SBE | no | 14 (87.5) | 6 (100.0) | \( p = \text{NS} \) |
| yes | 2 (12.5) | 0 (0) | |
| Family history of cancer | no | 10 (83.3) | 3 (42.9) | \( p = \text{NS} \) |
| yes | 2 (16.7) | 4 (57.1) | |

NRFSU non Russia former Soviet Union, NS not significant, CRC colorectal cancer, DBE double balloon enteroscopy, SBE single balloon enteroscopy

*SMAD4 mutation showed a trend towards negative association with \( p = 0.057 \)
patients tend to have higher rates of gastric involvement. We report a higher rate of positive genetic tests (78%) among tested families, compared to older publications [9–11]. Only a minority of our patients (10%) had SB involvement, all of them had colonic polyps as well.

According to older literature the rate of positive genetic tests is between 45 and 65% [5, 6, 9–11]. Our results demonstrate higher rates, similar to those described in St. Marks series (14/17 kindreds, 82%) [5]. These higher rates can be attributed to better quality of the genetic tests as well as to including MLPA or other methods for detecting large deletions as a part of the genetic tests. In addition we cannot rule out a selection bias due to the fact that all these individulas were referred from tertiary referral centers. However due to the social medical system in Israel where genetic testing for JPS is available free of charge to all individuals who answer clinical criteria this is highly unlikely. …

While no patient with SMAD4 mutation in our study had a large deletion, seven kindreds (46.7%) had a large deletion in the BMPRIA gene. All besides one family had the BMPRIA Bukharin mutation. According to a recent publication from Europe [26] the rate of large deletions in the SMAD4 is reported to be higher in SMAD4 and lower in BMPRIA (6.7–21.4% large deletions in SMAD4 and 13.5–16.4% in BMPRIA). This reflects the specific ethnicity background in Israel which is different from that in Europe.

As expected, we did not find any difference between BMPRIA and SMAD4 carriers in terms of colonic phenotype and polyp burden. Surprisingly, in contrast to previous series which showed higher gastric polyp rate and more severe gastric phenotype among SMAD4 carriers [9, 17, 26], we did not find such an association. Apparently, the reason for this finding is the dominance of the BMPRIA Bukharin mutation among our BMPRIA mutation carriers. As previously reported [24] this mutation does not carry a risk for more severe phenotype, but is characterized by gastric involvement which is different that other mutations in BMPRI. Indeed, we found gastric polyps in 4/7 (57%) families with the BMPRIA Bukharin mutation, and only in 6/28 (21%) other families. Furthermore, gastric involvement was more common in NRFSU Jewish patients compared to all other ethnicities (6/9 [66.7%] vs. 5/22 [23%] p = 0.038), regardless the BMPRIA Bukharin mutation, while none of the patients with SMAD4 mutation was from NRFSU origin.

Overall, 17 patients had SB evaluation by CTE, MRE or capsule endoscopy, and five (10%) had SB polyps. All of them had colonic polyps as well. In two recent publications the rate of SB involvement was even lower (4.5–5.7%) [26, 27]; however older data showed 14% of JPS patients to have SB polyps [4, 5, 7, 8, 27]. Although we did not have any case of SB cancer, SB cancer has been previously described [27]. According to ACG guidelines [8] the small bowel beyond the ligament of Treitz should be periodically surveilled, depending on initial polyp findings, by enteroscopy, capsule endoscopy, and/or CT enterography if duodenal polyposis is present or if there is unexplained anemia, protein-losing enteropathy, or other SB symptoms. Additional data from larger studies is needed to establish the extent of SB involvement in JPS and the association between colonic and SB involvement.

Overall, 15 colonic and gastric surgeries were undertaken in 13 patients (26.5%). The association between colonic surgery and number of polyps is trivial; however, we also found that colonic surgery is associated with the presence of adenomas in the colon. These two variables may be correlated; however, due to small number of cases we were unable to perform a multivariate analysis. The association between colonic surgeries and gastric involvement and surgeries may indicate a severe phenotype of the syndrome. We did not find any association between this severe phenotype, patients’ origin or mutated gene. Close follow-up by both colonoscopy and UGI endoscopy is recommended for patients with the severe phenotype.

Two cases of cancer were detected in our cohort (4%) while in previous studies higher rates between 9 and 50% are reported [5, 12, 15, 26]. The reason for our lower rate of cancer diagnosis in our cohort is most probably due to the relatively higher representation of young patients (median age of JPS diagnosis in our cohort was 13 years with 5 years follow up, while in the two cohorts that were recently published the median age was 25 and 27 years, respectively [5, 26]). The median age of cancer diagnosis was 41–47 years in previous studies [5, 15, 26]; however, only 12 patients from our cohort (24%) have reached this age range. Another study from Israel described only one JPS patient with cancer (2.8%). This was a SB adenocarcinoma in a 65-years-old male [27]. The lower cancer rate in the two Israeli studies can potentially be a consequence of environmental factors, genetic modifiers or a meticulous and active surveillance program with timely polypectomies to prevent cancer development.

Our study is the first to comprehensively describe the genotypic and phenotypic manifestations of JPS in a population composed mostly of families that did not originate in European or North American ancestry. Therefore, we present data on gastric involvement in BMPRIA kindreds, different from what is known, including previous publications based on extensive literature search [26]. Our study included five large tertiary centers representing the Israeli population, and data were collected from both adult and pediatric GI units. Since it is based on diagnoses from recent years the genetic tests
performed were more robust than those described in older studies and the mutation rate was higher than previously described.

Our study has some limitations. First, small sample size which precluded us from performing more intense statistical analyses. Nevertheless, this is a rare genetic syndrome with limited information available in the literature and we believe it represents the Israeli population since the data was taken from five large centers from different geographical locations in Israel representing the diverse country population. Among data available from Europe, included in a recent publication [26], only Germany reported a larger cohort.

Another limitation is the retrospective design of the study. This design reflects real-world data, in which not all included patients underwent UGI endoscopy and SB investigation; however, no prospective cohort of JPS kindreds has been published to date. Ascertainment and selection bias may occur more frequently in retrospective studies, as well as mishandling of data records including incomplete or missing data for some of the patients. In order to decrease the missing rate we had minimal data requirements that included ethnicity, genotype and all colonic and gastric phenotypic data.

In summary, in a retrospective cohort of 49 patients from 35 different families we have shown that in Israeli kindreds with JPS the rate of positive mutation in either SMAD4 or BMPR1A is high, approaching 80%. There is high representation of kindreds from NRFSU with a unique phenotype that includes gastric involvement in BMPRIA mutation carriers. Larger studies are needed to measure the actual mutation rate in JPS kindreds in 2021 and to assess the genotype-phenotype association in JPS families from Israel as well as from other non-European cohorts.

Acknowledgements
Not applicable.

Authors’ contributions
LHK, RJG, SC and EEH conducted the study and drafted the manuscript; EV, IL and GL helped with data acquisition; ZL, YG and IW helped with final drafting and editing; ED and SH helped with the statistical analysis and final drafting. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding
This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Availability of data and materials
The datasets used and analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate
This study was approved by institutional review board of each institute.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details
1Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Hadassah-Hebrew University Medical Center, Ein Kerem, 91120 Jerusalem, Israel. 2Faculty of Medicine, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel. 3Division of Gastroenterology, Rabin Medical Center, Beilinson Hospital, Petach-Tikva, Israel. 4Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, 6997801 Tel Aviv, Israel. 5Department of Internal Medicine B, Rabin Medical Center, Beilinson Hospital, Petach-Tikva, Israel. 6Department of Gastroenterology, Sheba Medical Center, Tel-Hashomer, Israel. 7Department of Gastroenterology, RAMBAM Health Care Campus, Haifa, Israel. 8Statistic Department, The Sackler School of Medicine, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel. 9Division of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, Edmond and Lily Safra Children’s Hospital, Sheba Medical Center, Tel-Hashomer, Israel. 10Genetics Department, Rambam Health Care Campus, Haifa, Israel. 11Genetics Department, Rabin Medical Center, Beilinson Hospital, Petach-Tikva, Israel.

References
1. McColl I, Bushey HJ, Veale AM, et al. Juvenile polyposis coli. Proc R Soc Med. 1964;57:896–7.

2. Burt RW, Bishop DT, Lynch HT, Rozen P, Winawer SJ. Risk and surveillance of individuals with heritable factors for colorectal cancer. WHO collaborating Centre for the Prevention of colorectal Cancer. Bull World Health Organ. 1990;68(5):655–65.

3. Chevrel JP, Amouroux J, Guerad JP. 3 cases of familial juvenile polyposis [in French]. Chirurgie. 1975;101(10):708–21.

4. Chow E, Macrae F. Review of juvenile polyposis syndrome. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2005;20(11):1634–40. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1746.2005.04965.x.

5. Latchford AR, Neale K, Phillips RK, et al. Juvenile polyposis syndrome: a study of genotype, phenotype, and long-term outcome. Dis Colon Rectum. 2012;55(10):1038–43. https://doi.org/10.1097/DCR.0b013e31826278b3.

6. Larsen Haide J, Howe JR. Juvenile polyposis syndrome. 2003 may 13 [updated 2017 mar 9]. In: Adam MP, Ardinger HH, Pagon RA, et al., editors. GeneReviews® [internet]. Seattle (WA): University of Washington, Seattle; 1993-2020.

7. Schreiber IM, Baker M, Amos C, McGinity TJ. The hamartomatous polyposis syndromes: a clinical and molecular review. Am J Gastroenterol. 2005;100(2):1072–90. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1572-0241.2005.40237.x.

8. Syngal S, Brand RE, Church JA, et al. American College of Gastroenterology. ACG clinical guideline: Genetic testing and management of hereditary gastrointestinal cancer syndromes. Am J Gastroenterol. 2015;110(2):223–62. https://doi.org/10.1038/ajg.2014.435 Epub 2015 Feb 3. PMID: 25645574; PMCID: PMC4695986.

9. Aretz S, Steinen D, Uhlhaas S, Stolte M, Entius MM, Loff S, et al. High proportion of large genomic deletions and a genotype-phenotype update in 80 unrelated families with juvenile polyposis syndrome. J Med Genet. 2007;44(11):702–9. https://doi.org/10.1136/jmg.2007.052506.

10. van Hattem WA, Brossens LA, de Leng WW, et al. Large genomic deletions of SMAD4, BMPRIA and PTEN in juvenile polyposis. Gut. 2008;57(5):623–7. https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.2007.142927.

11. Calva-Cerquera D, ChinnaStambi S, Pecharn B, Bair J, Larsen-Haide J, Howe JR. The rate of germline mutations and large deletions of SMAD4 and BMPRIA in juvenile polyposis. Clin Genet. 2009;75(1):79–85. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-0004.2008.01091.x.

12. Howe JR, Roth S, Ringold JC, Summers RW, Järvinen HJ, Sistonen P, et al. Mutations in the SMAD4/DPC4 gene in juvenile polyposis. Science. 1998;280(5366):1086–8. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.280.5366.1086. PMID: 9812123.

13. Fogt F, Brown CA, Badzadeghan K, Zimmerman RL, Ozdek R. Low prevalence of loss of heterozygosity and SMAD4 mutations in sporadic and familial juvenile polyposis syndrome-associated juvenile polyps. Am J Gastroenterol. 2004;99(10):2025–31. 15447767. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1572-0241.2004.0502x.

Received: 17 September 2021 Accepted: 7 December 2021
Published online: 20 January 2022
14. Cohen S, Hyer W, Mas E, et al. Management of Juvenile Polyposis Syndromes in Children and Adolescents: A Position Paper from the ESPGHAN Polyposis Working Group. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2019;68(3):453–62. https://doi.org/10.1097/MPG.0000000000002246.
15. Biosens LA, van Hattem A, Hylind LM, et al. Risk of colorectal cancer in juvenile polyposis. Gut. 2007;56(7):965–7. https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.2006.116913 Epub 2007 Feb 15. PMID: 17303595; PMCID: PMC1994351.
16. Howe JR, Mitros FA, Summers RW. The risk of gastrointestinal carcinoma in familial juvenile polyposis. Ann Surg Oncol. 1998;5(8):751–6. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02303487 PMID: 9869523.
17. Friedl W, Uhlhaas S, Schulmann K, Stolte M, Loff S, Back W, et al. Juvenile polyposis: massive gastric polyposis is more common in MADH4 mutation carriers than in BMPR1A mutation carriers. Hum Genet. 2002;111(1):108–11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00439-002-0748-9.
18. Aytaç E, Sulu B, Heald B, O’Malley M, LaGuardia L, Remzi FH, et al. Genotype-defined cancer risk in juvenile polyposis syndrome. Br J Surg. 2015;102(1):114–8. https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.9693 Epub 2014 Nov 12. PMID: 25389115.
19. O’Malley M, LaGuardia L, Kalady MF, Parambil J, Heald B, Eng C, et al. The prevalence of hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia in juvenile polyposis syndrome. Dis Colon Rectum. 2012;55(8):886–92. https://doi.org/10.1097/DCR.0b013e31825aad32.
20. Schwenter F, Faughnan ME, Gradinger AB, Berk T, Gryfe R, Pollett A, et al. Juvenile polyposis, hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia, and early onset colorectal cancer in patients with SMAD4 mutation. J Gastroenterol. 2012;47(7):795–804. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00535-012-0545-8.
21. Jelsig AM, Tørring PM, Kjeldsen AD, Qvist N, Bjesen A, Jensen UB, et al. JPHHT phenotype in Danish patients with SMAD4 mutations. Clin Genet. 2016;90(1):55–62. https://doi.org/10.1111/cge.12693.
22. Burger B, Uhlhaas S, Mangold E, Propping P, Friedl W, Jenne D, et al. Novel de novo mutation of MADH4/SMAD4 in a patient with juvenile polyposis. Am J Med Genet. 2002;110(3):289–91. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.10411.
23. Sayed MG, Ahmed AF, Ringold JR, Anderson ME, Bair JL, Mitros FA, et al. Germline SMAD4 or BMPR1A mutations and phenotype of juvenile polyposis. Ann Surg Oncol. 2002;9(9):901–6. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02557528.
24. Jass JR, Williams CB, Bussey HJ, Morson BC. Juvenile polyposis – a precancerous condition. Histopathology. 1988;13(6):619–30. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2559.1988.tb0093x.
25. Lieberman S, Beeri R, Walsh T, Schechter M, Keret D, Half E, et al. Variable features of juvenile polyposis syndrome with gastric involvement among patients with a large genomic deletion of BMPR1A. Clin Transl Gastroenterol. 2019;10(7):e00054. https://doi.org/10.14309/ctg.0000000000000054.
26. Blatter R, Tschupp B, Arzt S, Bernstein I, Colas C, Evans DG, et al. Disease expression in juvenile polyposis syndrome: a retrospective survey on a cohort of 221 European patients and comparison with a literature-derived cohort of 473 SMAD4/BMPR1A pathogenic variant carriers. Genet Med. 2020;22(9):1524–32. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-020-0826-1.
27. Glad O, Rosner G, Fliss-Isakov N, Aharon-Kaspi S, Strul H, Gluck N, et al. Clinical and histologic overlap and distinction among various Hamartomatous polyposis syndromes. Clin Transl Gastroenterol. 2019;10(5):1–9. https://doi.org/10.14309/ctg.0000000000000035.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.