CIRCADIAN RHYTHMS OF LEUKEMIA INHIBITORY FACTOR IN THE BLOOD OF PATIENTS WITH ESSENTIAL HYPERTENSION
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Leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) exerts multidirectional effects in the setting of essential hypertension (EH). There is a mounting body of evidence refuting the postulate about identical STAT3 signaling in cardiomyocytes and endothelial/smooth muscle cells, which is important in the situation of extended exposure to gp 130 ligands (LIF in particular). At the same time, there are no reports on the circadian dynamics of peripheral blood LIF concentrations and possible secondary changes to the pathophysiologic effects of this cytokine. This study aimed to analyze the circadian dynamics of peripheral blood serum LIF measured at 5 different time points in patients with stage II EH in the presence/absence of antihypertensive therapy and their relationship with the frequency of complications developing within a 5-year follow-up. Blood serum LIF was measured in 60 patients with stage II EH using ELISA at 8:00, 14:00, 20:00, 2:00, and 8:00 o’clock before putting the patients on antihypertensive therapy and one year after its onset. The identified patterns of diurnal LIF concentrations (a rise by ≥15% at 20:00, p < 0.001; a further rise by ≥22% peak at 2:00, p < 0.001 relative to the values at 8:00) can be regarded as pathologic; their persistence after one year of antihypertensive therapy is a sign of EH progression and puts the patients at 6-fold risk for cardiovascular complications, including myocardial infarction and acute cerebrovascular events.
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ИЗМЕНЕНИЕ СУТОЧНОГО РИТМА СОДЕРЖАНИЯ ИНГИБИРУЮЩЕГО ЛЕЙКЕМИЮ ФАКТОРА В КРОВИ БОЛЬНЫХ ЭССЕНЦИАЛЬНОЙ ГИПЕРТЕНЗИЕЙ
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Ингибирующий лейкемию фактор (LIF) обладает неоднозначными физиологическими эффектами при эссенциальной артериальной гипертензии (ЭАГ). Опровергается постулат об идентичности STAT3-сигнализации в клетках миокарда и эндотелиальных/гладкомышечных клетках, что значимо при длительном воздействии цитокинов-лиганда gp 130 (в частности LIF). При этом отсутствуют данные о суточных характеристиках содержания LIF в крови с потенциальными вторичными изменениями его гепатоэндокринологических эффектов. Целью исследования было проанализировать особенности суточных ритмов содержания LIF в сыворотке периферической крови больных ЭАГ II стадии, определенного в пяти временных точках в зависимости от применения гипотензивной терапии и частоты развития осложнений в последующие 5 лет наблюдения. У 60 больных ЭАГ II стадии иммуноферментным методом определяли уровни LIF в сыворотке периферической крови в 8.00, 14.00, 20.00, 2.00, 8.00 ч до и после года гипотензивной терапии. Выявленные закономерности суточного ритма содержания LIF в сыворотке крови больных ЭАГ II стадии в виде увеличения в 20.00 ч на 15% (p < 0.001) и выше с максимальным ростом в 2.00 ч на 22% (p < 0.001) и более при сравнении с индивидуальным уровнем в 8.00 ч можно характеризовать как патологические, а их сохранение после года гипотензивной терапии служит признаком прогрессивного течения гипертензии с повышением риска развития сердечно-сосудистых осложнений (инфаркт миокарда, острое нарушение мозгового кровообращения) в 6 раз.

Ключевые слова: LIF, ингибирующий лейкемию фактор, суточные ритмы цитокинов, эссенциальная гипертензия

Вклад авторов: О. А. Радаева — разработка дизайна исследования, анализ результатов, формулировка выводов, оформление рукописи; А. С. Симбирцев — формирование цели исследования, корректирование выводов и итогового варианта рукописи; Е. В. Громова — разработка дизайна исследования, проведение лабораторного этапа исследования, оформление рукописи; М. С. Исхандарова — работа с литературой, контроль забора материала, динамическое наблюдение за пациентами, работа над первым вариантом рукописи; С. В. Беляева — работа с литературой, контроль забора материала и участие в наблюдении за пациентами.

Соблюдение этических стандартов: исследование одобрено этическим комитетом Мордовского государственного университета имени Н. П. Огарева (протокол № 12 от 14 декабря 2008 г.). Все пациенты подали добровольное информированное согласие. Показание биологического материала для исследования (кровь) производили с учетом положений Хельсинской декларации ВМО (2008 г.) и протокола Конвенции Совета Европы о правах человека и биомедицине (1998 г.) с учетом дополнительного протокола к Конвенции по правам человека и биомедицине в области биомедицинских исследований (2005).

Для корреспонденции: Ольга Александровна Радаева
ул. Ульянова, д. 26а, г. Саранск, 430000; vtibyf779@mail.ru
Статья получена: 11.03.2020 Статья принята к печати: 25.03.2020 Опубликована онлайн: 28.03.2020
DOI: 10.24075/vrgmu.2020.017
Leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) exerts a vast variety of physiological effects through specific LIF receptors located on the membranes of endothelial cells, monocytes, neurons and other cells [1] in physiologically relevant quantities [2]. Although LIF signaling pathways through JAK/STAT (Janus kinase/signal transducer and activator of transcription), MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinases) and PI3K (phosphoinositide 3-kinases) are stable, the effects LIF induces in different cell types can be opposite, including both stimulation and inhibition of cell differentiation and survival. There is a lot of debate as to how LIF affects the arterial wall in patients with essential hypertension (EH) since the mechanism underlying STAT3 activation is redox-sensitive [3] and its directionality changes in the setting of chronically elevated blood pressure, distorting LIF effects. There is a growing body of evidence refuting the postulate about identical STAT3 signaling in cardiomyocytes and endothelial/smooth muscle cells, which is important in the situation of extended exposure to gp 130 ligands (LIF in particular) [4]. Research has demonstrated that factors implicated in EH progression and the risk of EH complications are dependent on time of day [5], proving the significance of investigating both the levels of cytokines involved and diurnal variations in their concentrations.

The aim of this study was to analyze the circadian rhythms of LIF concentrations in the peripheral blood serum measured at 5 different time points (8:00, 14:00, 20:00, 2:00, and 8:00 o’clock) in patients with stage II EH in the presence/absence of antihypertensive therapy and their relationship with the frequency of complications developing within a 5-year follow-up.

METHODS

In 2008 through 2019, a study called Cytokines in the pathogenesis of essential hypertension was carried out at the Institute of Medicine (National Research Mordovia State University) and the Regional Vascular Center (Republican Clinical Hospital № 4).

As part of the study, a group of 60 patients with stage II EH (30 men and 30 women) was formed to explore how LIF production changed over a 24-hour cycle. The following inclusion criteria were applied: individuals with stage II EH, born in 1955–1956, who had a 10- to 14-year history of inclusion criteria were applied: individuals with stage II EH, LIF production changed over a 24-hour cycle. The following variations in their concentrations.

The obtained data were processed in Statistica 10.0 (Stat Soft; USA). Normality of data distribution was analyzed using the one-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Based on the obtained results, we used the paired t-test to compare the results of pre-treatment blood tests taken at 8:00, 14:00, 20:00, 2:00, and 8:00 o’clock in the group of patients with stage II EH; the Wilcoxon test was applied to compare the data in the group of patients on antihypertensive therapy one year after its onset and also in healthy controls. Intergroup comparison was carried

Table 1. LIF concentrations (pg/ml) in the peripheral blood serum in patients with stage II EH at 8:00, 14:00, 20:00, 2:00, and 8:00 o’clock (next day) in the absence/presence of antihypertensive therapy in patients with or without cardiovascular complications developed in the 5-year follow-up period (Mе (Q25%–Q75%))

| Groups                              | 8.00 (day 1) | 14.00 (day 1) | 20.00 (day 1) | 2.00 (day 2) | 8.00 (day 2) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Patients with EH (before therapy), n = 60 | a            | 7.51 [6.58–8.34] | 7.58 [6.47–8.41] | 9.02 [7.52–9.73] | *8.00, 14.00 | 10.1 [9.44–11.8] | *8.00, 14.00 | 20.00 | 7.53 [6.65–8.22] | *20.00, 2.00 |
| Healthy controls, n = 30            | b            | 1.41 [1.02–1.83] | 1.38 [1.04–1.79] | 1.45 [1.06–1.78] | 1.42 [1.03–1.81] | 1.37 [0.99–1.79] |            |            |            |            |
| Patients with EH (one year in treatment), n = 60, of them: | c            | 7.54 [6.57–8.38] | 7.61 [6.53–8.44] | 8.95 [7.63–9.58] | *9.00, 14.00 | 7.62 [6.84–8.63] | *8.00, 20.00 | 7.58 [6.68–8.63] | *20.00 |            |            |
| 5-year follow-up — MI, ACVE, n = 15  | c1           | 7.66 [6.68–8.22] | 7.59 [6.49–8.37] | 9.01 [7.56–9.61] | *8.00, 14.00 | 9.69 [8.91–9.98] | *8.00, 14.00 | 7.62 [7.67–8.17] | *20.00, 2.00 |            |            |
| 5-year follow-up — no complications, n = 45 | c2           | 7.58 [6.52–8.41] | 7.62 [6.5–8.42] | 8.79 [7.98–9.62] | *8.00, 14.00 | 7.55 [6.93–8.21] | *20.00 | 7.36 [6.51–8.24] | *20.00 |            |            |

Note: significant for comparisons with the specified time of blood collection or the group (a, b, c, c1, c2); * — p > 0.001; ^ — p < 0.01; † — p < 0.05. The paired t-test was applied for intragroup comparison of pretreatment results obtained at 8:00, 14:00, 20:00, 2:00 and 8:00 o’clock. The Wilcoxon test was applied for intragroup comparison in the group of patients after one year of treatment and in the healthy controls. The Mann–Whitney U test was applied to compare independent samples. The Wilcoxon test was applied to compare dependent samples.
The analysis revealed significant qualitative and quantitative differences in the circadian rhythms of blood serum LIF between the control group and the patients with stage II EH and a 10–14-year history of the disease who were not on antihypertensive therapy at the beginning of the study. In patients with stage II EH, LIF levels measured at 8:00, 14:00, 20:00 and 2:00 o’clock were 5–7.5 times higher (p < 0.001) than in the healthy individuals (Table 1). In the group of patients with EH, a significant increase in LIF levels relative to 8:00 measurements (by 20.1% (16.7–24.3%); p < 0.001) was observed at 20:00, peaking at 2:00 (an increase by 34% (25.7–43%); p < 0.001). Importantly, in the group of healthy controls, LIF levels did not change at 14:00, 20:00 and 2:00 o’clock relative to their initial values at 8:00 (p > 0.05). After being on antihypertensive therapy for one year, the patients with stage II EH who had achieved their target blood pressure demonstrated no decline in LIF concentrations at 8:00, 14:00 and 20:00 o’clock in comparison with pretreatment values (p < 0.01), but the circadian rhythm of the cytokine was different. In the patients who had been receiving antihypertensive therapy and had achieved the desired blood pressure, blood serum LIF peaked at 20:00; measurements taken at 2:00 showed a decline in LIF concentrations (Table 2) in comparison with the pretreatment period. In the group of patients undergoing treatment, the distribution of data differed from Gauss–Laplace distribution, which prompted us to analyze LIF circadian rhythms for each individual patient in order to identify the criteria for heterogeneity. We found that 22 patients undergoing antihypertensive therapy who had achieved the target blood pressure had the same circadian rhythms of blood serum LIF as before therapy (a rise at 20:00 with a peak at 2:00 and a decline at 8:00; see Table 2).

The analysis of data obtained during the follow-up observation from the patients undergoing antihypertensive therapy who had partially recovered normal LIF dynamics (a decline in LIF concentrations at 2:00) revealed that only 4 of 42 patients had developed ACVE or MI within a 5-year follow-up period (the absolute risk of complications was 9.5% (0.63–18.4%)). In the group of patients with persisting pathological diurnal rhythms of serum LIF (a rise at 20:00, a peak at 2:00 and a return to morning levels at 8:00), 11 of 18 patients had developed complications (ACVE, MI); in this group, the absolute risk of complications was 61.1% (38.6–83.6%). The risk ratio between these two groups was 6.41 (2.35–17.5%); specificity, 0.84; sensitivity, 0.73; ϕ = 0.0000 (p < 0.05), C’ = 0.67 (the correlation was very strong).

**DISCUSSION**

The rise in serum LIF concentrations observed in the patients with stage II EH relative to the healthy controls can be explained by impaired integration of LIF/CD118 and gp130 signaling under oxidative stress accompanying EH, which affects the catalytic activity of JAK [7] and can stimulate LIF secretion. The elevation of LIF levels at 20:00 o’clock, with a further rise peaking at 2:00 observed in the study participants prior to antihypertensive therapy and also in some patients who had reached the desired blood pressure and were still on antihypertensive drugs is pathogenically relevant: there are

**Table 2.** The percentage of deviations of blood serum LIF (pg/ml) measured at 14.00, 20.00, 2.00 and 8.00 o’clock (next day) from the initial concentrations measured at 8:00 of the first day in patients with stage II EH in the absence/presence of antihypertensive therapy with or without cardiovascular complications developed in the 5-year follow-up period (Me (25%–75%))

| Groups | 8.00 (day 1) | 14.00 (day 1) | 20.00 (day 1) | 8.00 (day 2) |
|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Patients with EH (before therapy), n = 60 | a | 0.91 [-1.67–2.54] | 20.1 [16.7–24.3], *14.00 | 34 [25.7–33], *14.00, 20.00 | 1.06 [-1.05, 3.65], *20.00, 2.00 |
| Healthy controls, n = 30 | b | -1.08 [-2.03–1.19] | 2.81 [1.93–3.22] | 0.98 [-0.13–2.03] | 1.03 [-1.72–2.56] |
| Patients with EH (one year in treatment), n = 60, of them | c | 0.93 [-0.61–2.03] | 18.9 [15.9–24.1], *14.00 | 6.32 [2.08–14.4], *14.00, 20.00 | 0.76 [-0.45, 2.21], *20.00, 2.00 |
| 5-year follow-up — MI, ACVE, n = 15 | c1 | -0.91 [-2.83–1.8] | 19.9 [15.1–23.8], *14.00 | 26.5 [22.3–28.1], *14.00, 20.00 | 0.22 [-1.35, 2.33], *20.00, 2.00 |
| 5-year follow-up — no complications, n = 45 | c2 | 0.52 [-0.47–1.67] | 18.1 [14.8–23.8], *14.00 | 2.29 [-0.35, 5.44], *14.00, 20.00 | 0.92 [-0.62, 2.13], *20.00, 2.00 |

**Note:** significant for comparisons with the specified time of blood collection or the group (a, b, c1, c2), ‘— ρ < 0.001; ‘— ρ < 0.01; ‘— ρ < 0.05. The paired t-test was applied for intragroup comparison of pretreatment results obtained at 8:00, 14:00, 20:00, 2:00 and 8:00 o’clock. The Wilcoxon test was applied for intragroup comparison in the group of patients after one year of treatment and in the healthy controls. The Mann–Whitney U test was applied to compare independent samples. The Wilcoxon test was applied to compare dependent samples.
reports that LIF-dependent stimulation of STAT3 in endothelial cells triggers the inflammatory cascade [8] and IL1 activation; in turn, this causes a more pronounced EH progression in the evening (20:00) and at night (2:00), when proinflammatory activity of IL1ra and IL10 is low, through the activation of protein arginine methyltransferase and the inhibition of dimethylarginine, leading to an imbalance in the NO synthesis system. Previously [9], we reported an increased left ventricular mass index, a low mean fiber shortening fraction and a reliable association with pronounced concentric left ventricular hypertrophy in patients with EH and elevated LIF (>7.5 pg/ml). The observed pathophysiologic process led us to hypothesize that patients whose LIF levels were growing between 20:00 and 2:00 in the setting of antihypertensive therapy were at increased risk for cardiovascular complications. The hypothesis was confirmed in the course of this study. LIF-induced cardiac hypertrophy can be characterized by an early reduction in myocardial contractility resulting from the transmural changes in cardiomyocytes [10, 11]. In the early stages of the pathology, elevated LIF serves as a mechanism of compensatory adaptation that stimulates contractility of cardiomyocytes by increasing the activity of T-type Ca2+-channels [12]. Besides, elevated LIF could be potentially protective against the inflammation-induced loss of axons and also promotes survival of oligodendrocytes by stimulating the expression of IGF-1 (insulin-like growth factor 1) [13]. However, the further rise in LIF levels and its circadian fluctuations reported in this study promote poor outcomes in patients with stage II EH, including potential damage to the myocardium or the brain.

CONCLUSIONS

The identified patterns of circadian rhythms of blood serum LIF in patients with stage II EH, namely the rise by 15% at 20:00 and the further rise by 22% peaking at 2:00, relative to LIF levels at 8:00, can be regarded as pathologic. Their persistence in the setting of antihypertensive therapy could contribute to the progression of hypertension and put the patient at increased risk for cardiovascular complications, in spite of seemingly clinically favorable course of the disease and the success in achieving the target blood pressure. Our findings might lay the groundwork for further research into the role of LIF aimed at establishing a personalized approach to interpreting its dynamics in individual patients. The analysis of LIF circadian rhythms is a candidate diagnostic approach for the assessment of occult progression of the disease in patients with essential hypertension who have managed to achieve their target blood pressure.
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