Associations between apolipoprotein E gene polymorphisms and Alzheimer’s disease risk in a large Chinese Han population

Objective: Apolipoprotein E gene (APOE) polymorphisms contributing to the risk of sporadic Alzheimer’s disease (AD) have been identified for decades, but it has not been investigated in large AD samples of Chinese Han population.

Methods: We performed a cross-sectional study to explore the effect of APOE polymorphisms on sporadic AD in 875 sporadic AD patients and 1,195 cognitive normal controls of Chinese Han. Genotyping of APOE was determined by multiplex amplification refractory mutation system polymerase chain reaction.

Results: APOE ε3ε4 and ε4ε4 genotypes increased AD risk with dosage effect. The odds ratio (OR) of ε3ε4 was 1.89 and the OR of ε4ε4 was 15.64 compared with that of ε3ε3 in all the subjects. E2ε3 genotype decreased AD risk in all the subjects (OR=0.64), female subgroup (OR=0.57), and late-onset AD subgroup (OR=0.60). However, neither ε2ε2 nor ε2ε4 affected AD risk. About the age at onset (AAO), the influence of APOE ε4 was only exhibited in late-onset AD subgroup, with 1 year lower in ε4-positive ones than negative ones. Further analysis did not show the dosage effect of ε4 pertinent to AAO, though the AAO of ε4ε4 patients decreased by 2 years. E2 did not affect the AAO of AD.

Conclusion: APOE ε4 is a strong risk factor of AD risk in Chinese Han population, and APOE ε4ε4 genotype might be related to the AAO of late-onset AD.
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Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common cause of senile dementia characterized by progressive decline in cognition and behaviors. The cause of AD was complex, and genetic factors contributed to its risk. Mutations on three genes of amyloid precursor protein, presenilin 1, and presenilin 2 are associated with rare familial early-onset AD (EOAD). As for the majority of sporadic AD (SAD), apolipoprotein E gene (APOE) was the only one confirmed to be related with SAD risks since 1993, and the results were replicated by many candidate genetic studies in different populations and different regions all around the world. Recent genome-wide association studies found that APOE is far more significantly related to AD risk than all the other candidate loci.

The APOE gene located in 19q13.2, encoding apoE, which consists of 299 amino acids, is a cholesterol carrier involved in lipid transportation and injury repair in the brain. APOE has three common isoforms termed ε2, ε3, and ε4, which could be determined by cysteine–arginine substitutions at residues 112 and 158. The frequencies of these three alleles are different among ethnics. Generally, ε3 is the most common allele, accounting for 60%–90% of the allelic variation. The
$\epsilon 2$ constitutes 0%–20% of allelic variation and $\epsilon 4$ constitutes 10%–20% (http://asia.ensembl.org/Homo_sapiens/Variation/Population). The $\epsilon 4$ was proved to increase AD risk in a dose-dependent pattern and lower the age at disease onset compared with $\epsilon 4$ noncarriers. It was also reported as a risk factor for the conversion of mild cognitive impairment to AD. In contrast, $\epsilon 2$ was reported to have a “protective” effect on AD risk and to slower cognitive function decline than $\epsilon 2$-negative status.

The association between APOE polymorphisms and AD risk has been investigated in Caucasian, Hispanic, African American, Japanese, and small numbers of Chinese Han populations. Other studies of candidate genes and AD risk in Chinese Han population used APOE $\epsilon 4$—carrying status as a stratification sign but did not focus on APOE itself. Here we investigated a large number of 875 SAD and 1,195 controls of Chinese Han to explore the association between APOE polymorphisms and AD risk.

**Materials and methods**

**Subjects**

A total of 875 SAD patients and 1,195 unrelated healthy controls were included in this cross-sectional study. All the subjects were from Chinese Han population. SAD patients were recruited from memory disorders clinics in Huashan Hospital between March 2007 and September 2013 with a median age of 72 years (range 48–100 years). Cognitively normal controls with age, sex, and origins similar to SAD patients were recruited from the community epidemiologic investigations (median age of 69 years, range 48–94 years). The enrollment procedure and the inclusion and exclusion criteria for SAD cases and controls were as previously reported. The diagnosis of AD was according to the criteria of Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders IV revised. Written consents were obtained from subjects or their legally authorized caregivers. This study was approved by the ethics committee of Huashan Hospital.

**Genotyping of APOE**

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood using a Blood Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (TIANGEN, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China). The APOE genotypes were determined by multiplex amplification refractory mutation system polymerase chain reaction according to the method previously described.

**Statistical analysis**

Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium tests of APOE polymorphisms within the groups were performed using $\chi^2$ analysis. The $\chi^2$ test or Student’s $t$-test was used to test for the differences between AD and control subjects in the distribution of sex, age at onset (AAO), and mini-mental state examination scores. The $\chi^2$ test was used to compare the genotypes and allele frequencies between AD patients and control subjects. Odds ratio (OR) and the 95% confidence interval (CI) for testing possible associations between AD and control groups were determined by binary logistic regression analyses; AAO and sex were used as covariates. The potential effects of each genotype on AAO in AD patients were calculated by one-way analysis of variance and further analysis by post hoc least significant difference. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 13.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). $P<0.05$ was considered significant.

**Results**

**General information**

General information of the participants is shown in Table 1. No significant difference was found in age and sex between the two groups, while the mini-mental state examination score was significantly lower in AD. The distributions of the six common genotypes of APOE were under Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium in SAD patients and control subjects, respectively (Table S1).

**$\epsilon 2$ allele decreased AD risk and $\epsilon 4$ allele increased AD risk**

In all the subjects, the distribution of allele frequencies and genotypes of APOE was of significant difference between

---

**Table 1 Characteristics of subjects in AD and control groups**

|          | AD     | Control | $P$   |
|----------|--------|---------|-------|
| Number   | 875    | 1,195   |       |
| Age* (mean ± SD) (range), years | 67.7±9.67 (45–97) | 68.5±10.13 (48–94) | 0.106 |
| Male/female | 397/478 | 493/702 | 0.062 |
| MMSE (mean ± SD) | 14.3±6.35 | 28.6±1.85 | 0.000* |

Notes: *$P<0.01$. *Age at onset for AD; age at entrance for control.

Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer’s disease; MMSE, mini-mental state examination; SD, standard deviation.
AD and control groups with more ε2, ε3 allele and less ε4 allele in controls (Table 2). There were also more ε2-carrying subjects (ε2ε2, ε2ε3, and ε2ε4) and less ε4-carrying subjects (ε2ε4, ε3ε4, ε4ε4) in the control group than in the AD group. When the subjects were further stratified by sex and AAO (AD with AAO ≤65 was defined as EOAD; AAO >65 as late-onset AD [LOAD]), the differences remained significant (Table 2).

The impact of APOE genotype and allele frequencies on SAD risks was analyzed by binary logistic regression. As shown in Table 3, in all the subjects, ε2ε3 genotype decreased AD risk (P=8×10⁻⁴, OR 0.64, 95% CI 0.46–0.89) while ε2ε2 and ε2ε4 genotypes did not statistically relate to AD risk. On the contrary, ε3ε4 and ε4ε4 genotype increased AD risk with dosage effect of ε4: the OR of ε4ε4 was 1.90 (P=1.18×10⁻⁹, 95% CI 1.54–2.33) while the OR of ε4ε4 rose to 15.64 (P=8.59×10⁻¹⁵, 95% CI 7.92–32.05). When the subjects were further divided by sex and AAO, the ε4 dosage effect remained constant, but the protective effect of ε2ε3 was significant only in the female subgroup and LOAD subgroup. As for the ε2- and ε4-carrying status, ε2 allele lowered the risk of developing AD while ε4 increased this risk, which existed in all subgroups after the subjects were stratified by sex and AAO, with the highest OR of 2.79 in female ε4-positive subjects (Table 3).

**APOE ε4ε4 may be associated with an earlier AAO in LOAD patients**

In AD patients, only in LOAD was the AAO found to be significantly lower in ε4 allele-positive subjects than ε4 allele-negative ones (73.9±5.12 vs 74.9±5.18, P=2.20×10⁻²) (Table 4). Nevertheless, there was no difference in AAO between the ε2-positive AD and ε2-negative ones. We further investigated the AAO according to the dosage of ε2 and ε4. The AAO was 2 years lower in patients of ε4ε4 genotype than ε4 carriers (ε2ε4 and ε3ε4) or ε4-negative ones. But no dosage effect of ε4 on AAO was found.

We further investigated the effect of APOE ε2 and ε4 haplotype on AD risk in different AAO and found ε2’s protective role against AD in the patients with AAO of 61–65 and above 76 (Table 5). The ε4 haplotype increased AD risk in patients with AAO below 55 and 61–75 with the highest OR of 3.842 in 66–70 groups. The risk decreased when AAO was above 76, though there was no significant difference.

**Discussion**

In 1993, APOE ε4 was first reported to increase SAD risks and advance AAO of AD in a gene dosage way. Except...
for several investigations,17 most studies confirmed the results.18–23 The dose effect of APOE ε4 on AD risk was reported to be ascribed to increased Aβ, Aβ oligomers, and plaque deposition and reduced metabolism in certain parts of the brain.24

The allele frequencies and genotypes vary among different ethnic groups.25 In our study, the APOE allele frequencies (AD: ε2 4.8%, ε3 68.1%, and ε4 27.1%; control ε2 8.5%, ε3 78.1%, and ε4 13.5%) were similar to the APOE survey in Shanghai consisting of 65 AD patients and 363 cognitively normal controls (AD: ε2 4.6%, ε3 70%, and ε4 25.4%; control: ε2 8.6%, ε3 80.4%, and ε4 11%).6 We also found that the APOE ε4 was the independent risk factor of AD and increased the AD risk in a gene dosage way. This is quite consistent with the investigations in other populations.7,26,27 However, the ORs in ε3ε4 genotype toward AD risks were relatively smaller in all the subjects and subgroups of LOAD, EOAD, male, and female (1.579–2.159) groups, compared with those reported in other studies22,21,25,28 (usually >2). The ORs in ε4ε4 genotype ranged from 11.061 to 20.581, much greater than those of ε3ε4 and in accordance with the results in other studies. The genotype of ε2ε4 showed a risk factor of AD in some studies,7 but did not show any protective or risk effect on AD pathogenesis in our study, which might

### Table 3 Logistic regression of APOE genotypes and allele frequencies in Alzheimer’s disease patients and controls

| Total | ε2ε2 | ε2ε3 | ε2ε4 | ε3ε3 | ε3ε4 | ε4ε4 | ε2 (−) | ε4 (−) |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
| P     | 0.994| 8.10  | 0.682| l.ref| 1.18  | 8.59  | 2.88  | 1.57  |
| OR    | 1.01 | 0.64 | 0.90 | 1.90 | 15.93 | 0.52 | 2.30  |
| 95% CI| 0.29–3.46 | 0.46–0.89 | 0.53–1.53 | 1.54–2.33 | 7.92–32.05 | 0.39–0.68 | 1.91–2.77 |

| Male | P | 0.50 | 0.22 | 0.16 | l.ref | 4.10 | 8.87  | 6.10  |
| OR  | 0.46 | 0.735 | 0.561 | 1.58 | 11.06 | 0.54 | 1.77  |
| 95% CI | 0.05–4.42 | 0.45–1.20 | 0.25–1.25 | 1.16–2.16 | 3.83–31.94 | 0.36–0.81 | 1.34–2.34 |

| Female | P | 0.61 | 1.810 | 0.41 | l.ref | 3.79| 2.02  | 3.19  |
| OR | 1.48 | 0.57 | 1.36 | 2.16 | 20.58 | 0.50 | 2.79  |
| 95% CI | 0.33–6.66 | 0.36–0.91 | 0.66–2.80 | 1.64–2.84 | 8.10–52.27 | 0.35–0.73 | 2.18–3.58 |

| EOAD | P | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.45 | l.ref | 4.17 | 1.91  | 3.00  |
| OR | 0.00 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 1.80 | 18.83 | 0.52 | 2.10  |
| 95% CI | 0.00 | 0.44–1.24 | 0.34–1.61 | 1.30–2.50 | 5.63–63.03 | 0.34–0.80 | 1.57–2.81 |

| LOAD | P | 0.28 | 2.310 | 0.82 | l.ref | 1.18 | 1.25  | 1.00  |
| OR | 2.30 | 0.60 | 1.09 | 1.94 | 14.41 | 0.53 | 2.41  |
| 95% CI | 0.51–10.41 | 0.39–0.93 | 0.52–2.28 | 1.48–2.53 | 6.09–34.08 | 0.37–0.76 | 1.89–3.07 |

Note: *P < 0.01.

Abbreviations: APOE, apolipoprotein E gene; CI, confidence interval; EOAD, early-onset Alzheimer’s disease; LOAD, late-onset Alzheimer’s disease; OR, odds ratio.

Table 4 The APOE ε2 and ε4 allele dosage effect on age at onset in AD patients

| ε2 (−) | ε4 (−) | ε2 | ε4 | Total AAO, n | 67.7±9.60 | 80 | 67.7±9.69 | 795 | 67.7±9.69 | 795 | 67.5±9.75 | 76 | 72.0±4.97 | 4 |
|--------|--------|----|----|---------------|------------|----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|----|
| ε2 (−) | ε4 (−) | No ε2 | One ε2 | Two ε2 | 76 | 72.0±4.97 | 4 |
| Total AAO, n | 67.9±1.53 | 33 | 57.7±5.09 | 321 | 57.7±5.01 | 321 | 57.9±4.30 | 33 | 0 | 0 |
| EOAD AAO, n | 74.6±5.30 | 47 | 74.4±5.17 | 474 | 74.4±5.17 | 474 | 74.9±5.32 | 43 | 72.0±4.97 | 4 |
| Male AAO, n | 67.0±9.24 | 38 | 67.6±9.77 | 359 | 67.6±9.77 | 359 | 66.7±9.18 | 37 | 78.0 | 1 |
| Female AAO, n | 68.4±9.98 | 42 | 68.7±9.63 | 436 | 68.7±9.62 | 436 | 68.3±10.32 | 39 | 70.0±9.64 | 3 |

Notes: *Difference between AAO in LOAD in ε2 (−) and ε4 (−) status was significant (P=0.022). **Difference between AAO in LOAD in carriers of one and two APOEε4 alleles was significant (P=0.006).

Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer’s disease; AAO, age at onset; APOE, apolipoprotein E gene; EOAD, early-onset AD; LOAD, late-onset AD.
be due to the small frequency of e2e4 genotypes or the co-existence of a “protective” and harmful effect in e2 allele and e4 allele, respectively.

In the present investigation, the APOE e2 decreased the AD risks, and e2e3 lowered the AD risk in total population, female, and LOAD subgroups. This effect is similar to those observed in other populations.27,29 But the “protective” effect did not always show due to the lower frequency of e2 allele.7,39 In one study of north Chinese population, the e2 was indicated as a protective factor in male population, which was contrary to our result. This might be contributed to their small subject number and imbalanced sex distribution between AD and control groups.12

Researches indicated that the e4 allele took part in the pathogenesis of EOAD as well,29,31 and it was well replicated in our study. But a previous report in Chinese population did not find any association, which could be due to the small number of subjects.12 Some investigations indicated that e4 increased AD risk in women more than men,32,33 but others did not find the pattern.21,29 In a prospective study in Latin Americans, APOE e4 allele risk was significant only in women,32 but had a stronger effect in men from Sweden and Finland.27,34 In our population, APOE e4 increased AD risk in both sexes with a higher OR in the female group. The different results might be caused by ethnic origins.

APOE e2 allele was reported not to affect AAO in AD patients in most studies.31 The differences of AAO between e2e2 group and one or no e2 group did not reach significant, though the AAO of e2e2 was 4 years later in number than that of one e2 group. This might be attributed to the very low frequencies of e2e2 genotypes in AD. APOE e4 allele was reported to lower the AAO of LOAD in a gene dosage way. The AAO of AD patients with APOE e4e4 genotype was 5–16 years lower than those with e4-negative ones.16 In our subjects, the AAO had a decrease of 2 years in patients of e4e4 genotype in contrast to e4 carriers (e2e4 and e3e4) or e4-negative ones, but not in a gene dosage way. Though we found some significant differences in AAO in the current study, we still could not deduce the effect of APOE genotype on the AAO with respect to the cross-sectional study. So further prospective studies should be implemented in Chinese Han population.

Whether APOE e2 would reduce AD risk in a certain AAO range was controversial.27,35 We found e2’s protective role in the AAO of 61–65 and above 76. As for e4 haplotype, its AD risk decreased in people aged 70 years and above,36 which was quite similar to our results. There might be other genetic risk factors or environmental effects contributing to the AD onset in very old people.

This study had a few limitations. First, factors like diabetes, history of depression, stroke, and heart attack may also contribute to AD pathogenesis, but due to the incomplete information, we did not put those covariants into the binary logistic regression. Second, the subjects were recruited from memory disorders clinics but not the general population, which might exaggerate the impact of APOE e4 on AD risks.

In the population-based studies, the positive predictive value was lower, and hence APOE e4 is not recommended for a screen test for AD.22,34

Table 5 Effect of APOE e2 and e4 haplotype on AD risk stratified by age

| AAO      | AD (%) | Control (%) | P-value | OR (95% CI) |
|----------|--------|-------------|---------|-------------|
| ε2 (+)   |        |             |         |             |
| ≤55      | 9 (8.0) | 26 (15.8)   | 0.088   | 0.492 (0.218–1.110) |
| 56–60    | 15 (11.7)| 20 (15.2)   | 0.572   | 0.810 (0.391–1.680) |
| 61–65    | 9 (7.9) | 35 (18.8)   | 0.016*  | 0.382 (0.175–0.833) |
| 66–70    | 13 (10.0)| 20 (12.9)   | 0.422   | 0.737 (0.349–1.554) |
| 71–75    | 16 (8.7) | 6 (14.6)    | 0.062   | 0.552 (0.296–1.030) |
| 76–80    | 11 (7.9) | 31 (18.6)   | 0.009** | 0.376 (0.181–0.781) |
| >80      | 7 (10.3) | 27 (18.9)   | 0.027*  | 0.888 (0.800–0.986) |
| ε4 (+)   |        |             |         |             |
| ≤55      | 51 (45.5) | 49 (29.7)   | 0.010*  | 1.954 (1.173–3.256) |
| 56–60    | 49 (38.3) | 35 (26.5)   | 0.051   | 1.700 (0.998–2.896) |
| 61–65    | 55 (48.2) | 46 (24.7)   | 5.13×10−5** | 2.817 (1.706–4.650) |
| 66–70    | 73 (56.2) | 39 (25.2)   | 1.59×10−7** | 3.842 (2.323–6.355) |
| 71–75    | 84 (45.7) | 54 (21.9)   | 2.99×10−7** | 3.011 (1.975–4.590) |
| 76–80    | 55 (39.6) | 53 (31.7)   | 0.149   | 1.417 (0.883–2.276) |
| >80      | 26 (38.2) | 37 (25.9)   | 0.073   | 1.772 (0.948–3.314) |

Notes: *P<0.05; **P<0.01.
Abbreviations: AAO, age at onset; AD, Alzheimer’s disease; APOE, apolipoprotein E gene; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.
LOAD group, which might be the specific characteristics of APOE polymorphisms in the Chinese Han population. The role of APOE protein in AD pathogenesis and other loci that will help to increase the AD-predictive value combined with APOE €4 should be studied in the future.
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Supplementary material

Table S1 Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium of APOE in AD patients and controls

| APOE | AD (actual) | AD (expected) | Controls (actual) | Controls (expected) |
|------|-------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Number | 875 (%) | 1,195 (%) | 1,195 (%) | 9 (0.8) |
| ε2ε2 | 4 (0.5) | 2 (0.2) | 7 (0.6) | 1.0 |
| ε2ε3 | 54 (6.2) | 57 (6.5) | 147 (12.3) | |
| ε2ε4 | 22 (2.5) | 22 (2.5) | 41 (3.4) | 27 (2.3) |
| ε3ε3 | 424 (48.5) | 406 (46.5) | 728 (60.9) | 728 (60.9) |
| ε3ε4 | 289 (33.0) | 322 (36.9) | 263 (22.0) | 251 (21.0) |
| ε4ε4 | 82 (9.4) | 64 (7.3) | 9 (0.8) | 22 (1.8) |
| ε2 | 84 (4.8) | | 202 (8.5) | |
| ε3 | 1,191 (68.1) | | 1,866 (78.1) | |
| ε4 | 475 (27.1) | | 322 (13.5) | |
| ε2 (P) | 5,137 (0.399) | | 9,261 (0.099) | |

Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer’s disease; APOE, apolipoprotein E gene.