Mice lacking collapsin response mediator protein 1 manifest hyperactivity, impaired learning and memory, and impaired prepulse inhibition

Naoya Yamashita¹, Aoi Takahashi¹, Keizo Takao²,³,⁴, Toshifumi Yamamoto⁵, Pappachan Kolattukudy⁶, Tsuyoshi Miyakawa²,³,⁴,⁷ and Yoshio Goshima¹*  

INTRODUCTION
Collapsin response mediator protein 1 (CRMP1) is one of the CRMP family members that are involved in various aspects of neuronal development such as axonal guidance and neuronal migration. Here we provide evidence that crmp1−/− mice exhibited behavioral abnormalities related to schizophrenia. The crmp1−/− mice exhibited hyperactivity and/or impaired emotional behavioral phenotype. These mice also exhibited impaired context-dependent memory and long-term memory retention. Furthermore, crmp1−/− mice exhibited decreased prepulse inhibition, and this phenotype was rescued by administration of chlorpromazine, a typical antipsychotic drug. In addition, in vivo microdialysis revealed that the methamphetamine-induced release of dopamine in prefrontal cortex was exaggerated in crmp1−/− mice, suggesting that enhanced mesocortical dopaminergic transmission contributes to their hyperactivity phenotype. These observations suggest that impairment of CRMP1 function may be involved in the pathogenesis of schizophrenia. We propose that crmp1−/− mouse may model endophenotypes present in this neuropsychiatric disorder.
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Several knockout mouse studies have begun to reveal the in vivo roles of CRMPs in neuronal development and function (Charrier et al., 2006; Yamashita et al., 2006, 2007, 2011, 2012; Su et al., 2007; Quach et al., 2008; Niisato et al., 2012a,b). CRMP1 regulates neuronal cell migration, dendritic spine development, and synaptic plasticity in vivo (Charrier et al., 2006; Yamashita et al., 2006, 2007, 2012; Su et al., 2007). To regulate neuronal cell migration, CRMP1 is phosphorylated by Fyn, a Src-type tyrosine kinase, downstream of Reelin (Yamashita et al., 2006). Furthermore, phosphorylation of CRMP1 by Cdk5 is essential for Sema3A-induced spine formation (Yamashita et al., 2007). These findings indicate that CRMP1 mediates signals from several extracellular molecules that play essential roles in neuronal network formation and synapse formation. However, the roles of CRMP1 in the regulation of higher brain functions are largely unknown.

To address this issue, we carried out a comprehensive behavioral analysis of crmp1−/− mice. We found that they exhibited hyperactivity, impaired learning and memory, and impaired prepulse inhibition. Because these phenotypes appear to be analogous to some of the symptoms of schizophrenia, we propose that crmp1−/− mouse is useful in exploring basic mechanisms that may contribute to some of the symptoms of schizophrenia. 
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

MUTANT MICE AND EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Wild-type (wt) and crmp1−/− mice (Charrier et al., 2006) were generated by mating crmp1+/− heterozygotes that had been backcrossed to the C57BL/6N background for at least seven generations. Genotypes of the offspring of all mutant mice were assessed with the use of polymerase chain reaction, as described previously (Charrier et al., 2006). Comprehensive behavioral test battery (Takao and Miyakawa, 2006) (except drug treatments for the prepulse inhibition test, see below) was carried out with single male mice that were at least 21 weeks of age. Raw data of the behavioral test battery, the date on which each experiment was done, and the age of the mice at the time of the experiment are shown in the mouse phenotype database (Table 1; http://www.mouse-phenotype.org/). Female mice were excluded because their behavior is influenced by the menstrual cycle. To minimize the effects of previous tests on subsequent tests, we performed the behavioral test battery in a specific order, in which the less stressful tests preceded the more stressful tests. Each behavioral test was separated from each other at least by one day. The order of the battery test and the summary of each test result were described in Table 1.

Mice were housed in the standard mouse facility and fed an autoclaved diet and water. All procedures were performed according to the guidelines outlined in the institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Yokohama City University School of Medicine or Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine. Throughout the experimental procedures, all efforts were made to minimize the number of animals used and their suffering.

TWENTY-FOUR-HOUR HOME CAGE MONITORING

A system that automatically analyses behavior in home cages was used to monitor locomotor activity (O’Hara and Co., Tokyo, Japan) (Yamasaki et al., 2008). The system comprised the home cage (29 × 18 × 12 cm) and a filtered cage top, separated by a 13-cm high metal stand containing an infrared video camera attached at the top of the stand. Each wt or crmp1−/− mouse was placed in an individual home cage, and behavior was monitored for 1 week. Images from each cage were captured at a rate of one frame per second. Their locomotor activity was measured by quantifying the number of pixels changed between successive frames. Analysis was performed automatically using Image HA software (O’Hara and Co.).

OPEN FIELD TEST

Each mouse was placed in the center of the open field apparatus (40 × 40 × 30 cm; Accuscan Instruments, Columbus, OH, USA). Total distance traveled (cm), vertical activity (rearing measured by counting the number of photobeam interruptions), time spent in the center (20 × 20 cm), the beam-break counts for stereotyped behaviors, and number of fecal boli were recorded. Data were collected for 120 min.

ELEVATED PLUS MAZE

The elevated plus-maze (O’Hara and Co.) consisted of two open arms (25 × 5 cm) and two enclosed arms of the same size, with 15-cm-high transparent walls. The arms and central square were made of white plastic plates and were elevated to a height of 55 cm above the floor. To minimize the likelihood of animals falling from the apparatus, 3-mm-high plastic ledges were provided for the open arms. Arms of the same type were arranged at opposite

Table 1 | Comprehensive behavioral test battery of crmp1−/− mice.

| Test | Measurements | Age (w) | Phenotype |
|------|--------------|---------|-----------|
| 1. General health and neurological screening | Body weight | 21–25 | ↓ |
| | Body temperature | | → |
| | Wire hanging time | | → |
| | Grip strengthen | | → |
| 2. Light/dark transition | Light/dark transition | 21–25 | → |
| 3. Open field | Total distance | 21–26 | ↑ |
| (Figures 1B–E) | Vertical activity | | → |
| | Center time | | ↑ |
| | Stereotypic counts | | ← |
| 4. Elevated plus maze | Time on center | 21–26 | ↑ |
| (Figures 2A,B) | Time on close arm | | ↓ |
| 5. Hot plate | Pain sensitivity | 21–26 | ↑ |
| 6. Social interaction (novel environment) | Social behavior | 22–26 | → |
| 7. Rotarod | Motor coordination | 22–26 | → |
| 8. Social interaction (Crawley ver.) | Social behavior | 23–27 | → |
| 9. Prepulse inhibition (Figures 4A,B) | Prepulse inhibition | 23–27 | ↓ |
| 10. Porsolt forced swim (Figures 2C,D) | Immobility time | 24–28 | ↓ |
| 11. Gait analysis | Locomotion pattern | 24–29 | → |
| 12. Barnes maze (Figure 3B) | Special memory | 25–29 | ↓ |
| | Memory retention | | → |
| 13. T-maze | Working memory | 32–36 | → |
| 14. Fear conditioning (Figure 3A) | Contextual learning | 37–41 | ↓ |
| | Cued learning | | → |
| 15. Tail suspension | Behavioral despair | 39–43 | → |
| 16. Social interaction (home cage) | Social behavior | 62–67 | → |
| 17. Home cage monitoring (Figure 1A) | Locomotor activity | 69–75 | ↑ |

Age (w): age of weeks of subject at the beginning of each test. →, no significant difference; ↑, increased; ↓, decreased.
sides to each other. Each mouse was placed in the central square of the maze (5 × 5 cm), facing one of the closed arms. Mouse behavior was recorded for 10 min. The number of entries into open and enclosed arms, and the time spent in the central square and open and enclosed arms were recorded. For data analysis, we used the following measures: the percentage of entries into the open arms, the time spent in the central square and open and enclosed arms (sec), and total distance traveled (cm). Data acquisition and analysis were performed automatically using Image FZ software (O’Hara and Co.).

**PORSOLT FORCED SWIM**

The apparatus consisted of four plastic cylinders (20 cm in height, 10 cm in diameter). The cylinders were filled with water at 23°C up to a height of 7.5 cm. Mice were placed into the cylinders, and their behavior was recorded for 10 min. Data acquisition and analysis were performed automatically with Image PS software (O’Hara and Co.).

**CONTEXTUAL AND CUED FEAR CONDITIONING**

Each mouse was placed in a test chamber (26 × 34 × 29 cm) and allowed to explore freely for 2 min. A 55-dB white noise, which served as the conditioned stimulus (CS), was presented for 30 s, followed by a mild (2 s, 0.35 mA) foot shock, which served as the unconditioned stimulus (US). Two more CS-US pairings were presented with an interstimulus interval of 2 min. Context testing was conducted in the same chamber 1 day after conditioning. Cued testing with altered context was performed in a triangular box (35 × 35 × 40 cm) made of white opaque Plexiglas and located in a different room 1 day after conditioning.

Data acquisition, control of stimuli (tones and shocks), and data analysis were performed automatically with Image FZ software (O’Hara and Co.). Images were captured at the rate of 1 frame per second. For each pair of successive frames, the area (number of pixels) corresponding to the movement of the mouse was measured. If this area was below a certain threshold (20 pixels), the behavior was judged as freezing. If the area equaled or exceeded the threshold, the behavior was considered nonfreezing. The optimal threshold (number of pixels) for judgment of freezing was based on evaluation of the behavior by human observation. Freezing that persisted for less than the defined time threshold (2 s) was not included in the analysis.

**BARNES MAZE**

The Barnes task was performed on dry land, a white circular surface, 1.0 m in diameter, with 12 holes equally spaced around the perimeter (O’Hara and Co.). The circular open field was elevated 75 cm from the floor. A black Plexiglas escape box (17 × 13 × 7 cm), the bottom of which contained paper cage bedding, was located under one of the holes. The hole above the escape box represented the target, analogous to the hidden platform in the Morris task. The location of the target was consistent for a given mouse but randomized across mice. The maze was rotated daily, with the spatial location of the target unchanged with respect to the distal visual room cues, to prevent bias based on olfactory cues or the proximal cues within the maze. Three trials per day were conducted for six successive days. On day 7, a probe trial was performed without the escape box, to confirm that the spatial task was acquired on the basis of navigation via the distal environment room cues. A probe trial was also performed after 12 days of retention (day 19). The latency before the mouse reached the target hole, distance traveled to reach the target hole, number of errors, and time spent around each hole were recorded by video-tracking software (Image BM, O’Hara and Co.).

**PREPULSE INHIBITION**

A startle reflex measurement system (O’Hara and Co.) was used to measure startle response and prepulse inhibition. The test session began by placing a mouse in a plastic cylinder and leaving it undisturbed for 10 min. White noise (40 ms) was used as the startle stimulus for all trial types. The startle response was recorded for 140 ms (with measurement of the response every 1 ms) beginning with the onset of the prepulse stimulus. The background noise level in each chamber was 70 dB. The peak startle amplitude recorded during the 140-ms sampling window was used as the dependent variable. A test session consisted of six trial types (two types of startle stimulus only trial, and four types of prepulse inhibition trial). The intensity of the startle stimulus was 110 or 120 dB. The prepulse sound (74 or 78 dB) was presented 100 ms before the startle stimulus. Four combinations of prepulse and startle stimuli were used (74 and 110, 78 and 110, 74, and 120, and 78, and 120 dB). Six blocks of the six trial types were presented in pseudorandom order such that each trial type was presented once within a block. The average intertrial interval was 15 s (range, 10–20 s). For drug treatments, 12-week-old *crmp1*−/− mice were placed in a startle reflex measurement system 5 min after intraperitoneal injection of chlorpromazine (1 mg/kg, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma, Osaka, Japan) or saline.

**IN VIVO MICRODIALYSIS**

*In vivo* microdialysis measurements of extracellular dopamine were performed in freely moving mice (Jitsuki et al., 2011). Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and a guide cannula (AG-4; EICOM, Kyoto, Japan) was implanted stereotaxically into the medial prefrontal cortex. The coordinates were 2 mm anterior from the bregma, 0.3 mm lateral to the midline, and 1.5 mm below the surface of the brain according to the atlas of Franklin and Paxinos (Franklin and Paxinos, 2007). Two days after the surgery, a dialysis probe (AI-4-1, 1 mm membrane length; EICOM) was inserted through the guide cannula and was perfused at a flow rate of 1.0 μl/min with artificial cerebrospinal fluid (147 mM NaCl, 4 mM KCl, 1.2 mM CaCl2, 0.9 mM MgCl2). Sample collection was started after a 2-hr equilibration period. The outflow fractions were collected every 20 min. After collection of six baseline fractions, mice were treated with methamphetamine (1 mg/kg, i.p., Dainippon Sumitomo Pharma, Osaka, Japan) and sampling was continued for an additional 180 min. The amount of dopamine in the dialysis fractions was measured by high-performance liquid chromatography on a VAS-ODS column (EICOM) that was maintained at 25°C and equipped with an electrochemical detection system (ECD-300, EICOM). The changes in electric current (nA) were recorded using an integrated data processor (Chromatocorder 12; System Instruments Co.,
Tokyo, Japan). The dopamine concentration in the dialysate was calculated by reference to the peak area of the standard solution. The probe locations were verified histologically.

**IMAGE ANALYSIS**

The applications used for the behavioral studies (Image HA, Image EP, Image PS, Image FZ, and Image BM) were based on the NIH Image program (developed at the U.S. National Institutes of Health and available at http://rsb.info.nih.gov/nih-image) and ImageJ program (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij), which were modified for each test by T. Miyakawa and are available through O’Hara and Co. Image EP (Komada et al., 2008) and Image FZ are freely available at the following URL: http://www.mouse-phenotype.org/software.html

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Statistical analysis was conducted using StatView (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Data were analyzed by paired $t$-test, one-way ANOVA, or two-way repeated measures ANOVA, unless noted otherwise. Values in graphs are expressed as mean ± s.e.m. Post-hoc comparisons were performed using Fisher’s protected least significant difference (Fisher’s PLSD) multiple comparisons. Genotype or drug $\times$ time was calculated by a repeated measures ANOVA. The statistical treatment of data is described in the figure legend.

**RESULTS**

**HYPERACTIVITY AND IMPAIRED EMOTIONAL BEHAVIORAL PHENOTYPE OF crmp1$^{-/-}$ MICE**

To investigate the possible behavioral effects of CRMP1 deficiency, we subjected crmp1$^{-/-}$ mice and their wt littermates to a comprehensive behavioral test battery (Takao and Miyakawa, 2006). The crmp1$^{-/-}$ mice appeared healthy and showed no obvious differences in physical characteristics, as described previously (Charrier et al., 2006). A small but significant decrease in body weight of crmp1$^{-/-}$ mice was observed compared to wt control animals [28.675 ± 1.174 g in wt (n = 8), 24.825 ± 0.709 g in crmp1$^{-/-}$ (n = 8), $F_{(1, 14)} = 7.875$, $p = 0.014$ by one-way ANOVA]. No significant differences in neuromuscular strength (grip strength and wire hang tests) or sensorimotor reflexes (eye blink, ear twitch, whisker touch, and righting reflex tests) were apparent between wt and crmp1$^{-/-}$ mice (Table 1).

Examination of the locomotor activity of crmp1$^{-/-}$ mice in several behavioral tasks revealed a hyperactivity phenotype of the mutant animals. In 24-h home cage monitoring, the activity levels of crmp1$^{-/-}$ mice were significantly higher than those of wt mice in both light and dark phases [Figure 1A; dark period, $F_{(1, 8)} = 12.155$, $p = 0.0082$; light period, $F_{(1, 8)} = 7.349$, $p = 0.0266$]. This hyperactivity phenotype was also observed in an open field test. In crmp1$^{-/-}$ mice, the significant increases in distance traveled and time spent in the central part were

![FIGURE 1](https://example.com/fig1.png)
observed at 30 to 60 min \(F(1, 14) = 4.941, p = 0.0432\) and at 90 to 120 min \(F(1, 14) = 5.108, p = 0.0403\) of each test period, when compared to wt mice (Figures 1B–E).

The hyperactivity phenotype of crmp1\(^{-/-}\) mice was also apparent in the elevated pulse maze test. Both wt and crmp1\(^{-/-}\) mice showed the typical pattern of favoring the closed arms. However, the crmp1\(^{-/-}\) mice spent more time in the central part \(F(1, 13) = 6.250, p = 0.0266\) and less time in the enclosed arms \(F(1, 13) = 5.583, p = 0.0344\) than wt mice did. In addition, crmp1\(^{-/-}\) mice showed a significant decrease in immobility time compared with wt mice in the Porsolt forced swim test \(F(1, 13) = 6.776, p = 0.0209\); day 2, \(F(1, 14) = 5.459, p = 0.0348\). The abnormal phenotypes of crmp1\(^{-/-}\) mice in these two tests may reflect anxiety-related and depression-related behavior. Consistent with this, in the open field test, significant increases were observed in distance traveled as well as time spent in the central part, which reflects anxiety-related behavior (Figures 1B,D). These data suggest that crmp1\(^{-/-}\) mice exhibited hyperactivity phenotype and/or impaired emotional behavioral phenotype, which consequently affect locomotor activity of these mice.

### IMPAIRED LEARNING AND MEMORY OF crmp1\(^{-/-}\) MICE

To assess whether the loss of CRMP1 was associated with cognitive abnormalities, we performed various memory and learning tests with crmp1\(^{-/-}\) mice. In a contextual and cued fear conditioning test, crmp1\(^{-/-}\) mice did not show a significant difference in the levels of freezing during conditioning \(F(1, 12) = 0.498, p = 0.4937\). In contrast, crmp1\(^{-/-}\) mice showed decreased levels of freezing in a contextual test but not in a cued test 1 day after conditioning \(F(1, 12) = 4.936, p = 0.0463\); cued test, \(F(1, 12) = 3.826, p = 0.0742\). Freezing during the context test is attributed to hippocampal or temporal lobe processes (Anagnostaras et al., 2001). Deficits in freezing during both the context and cued tests are indicative of amygdala dysfunction (Phillips and Ledoux, 1992; Amorapanth et al., 2000). Our finding suggests that the function of hippocampal and/or temporal lobe was selectively impaired in crmp1\(^{-/-}\) mice.

To examine the possible effect of CRMP1 deficiency on long-term spatial memory, we subjected mice to the Barnes maze test. Both crmp1\(^{-/-}\) and wt mice learned to locate the escape hole during the course of the training period (data not shown). Through the training trials, there were no statistical differences between crmp1\(^{-/-}\) and wt mice in latencies \(F(1, 14) = 0.47, p = 0.5044\) by two-way repeated measures ANOVA and errors \(F(1, 14) = 1.07, p = 0.7484\) by two-way repeated measures ANOVA to escape through the target hole. The probe trial was conducted 24 h after the last training session. Both crmp1\(^{-/-}\) and wt mice selectively located the correct target hole where the escape box had been, and both crmp1\(^{-/-}\) and wt mice spent significantly more time around the target hole compared to the holes adjacent to the target \(F(1, 13) = 3.216, p = 0.0147\; crmp1^{-/-}: t(7) = 6.891, p = 0.0002\). To assess the long-term retention of spatial memory in crmp1\(^{-/-}\) mice, we also conducted probe tests 12 days after the last training trial. Again, wt mice selectively located the correct target hole and spent significantly more time compared to the adjacent holes, but crmp1\(^{-/-}\) mice did not \(F(1, 14) = 3.369, p = 0.0119\); crmp1\(^{-/-}: t_7 = 2.325, p = 0.053\). These results indicate that crmp1\(^{-/-}\) mice were impaired in memory retention rather than memory recall. We also performed a T-maze forced alternation task, a task of working memory. The crmp1\(^{-/-}\) and wt mice did not show a significant difference \(F(1, 14) = 0.0266\) and less time in the open arms \(F(1, 13) = 0.05\).

### IMPAIRED PREPULSE INHIBITION AND EFFECT OF CHLORPROMAZINE IN crmp1\(^{-/-}\) MICE

We compared the efficiency of sensorimotor gating in crmp1\(^{-/-}\) and wt mice as assayed by the prepulse inhibition test. The amplitudes of the startle response were similar in crmp1\(^{-/-}\) and wt mice \(F(1, 14) = 0.4937, p = 0.3495\). The percentage of prepulse inhibition was significantly decreased in crmp1\(^{-/-}\) mice \(F(1, 14) = 8.377, p = 0.0118\); 120dB, \(F(1, 14) = 9.819, p = 0.0073\), suggesting impaired sensorimotor gating. Because disruption of prepulse inhibition is a behavioral feature used to model one aspect of schizophrenia that is shared by several other disorders (Braff and Geyer, 1990; Paylor and Crawley, 1997), we treated crmp1\(^{-/-}\) mice with...
chlorpromazine, a typical antipsychotic drug. Intraperitoneal injection of 1 mg/kg of chlorpromazine, which did not affect startle response [Figure 4C; $F_{(1, 29)} = 0.1839, p = 0.6712$], significantly rescued impaired prepulse inhibition with a 110-dB startle stimulus [Figure 4D; 110dB, $F_{(1, 29)} = 3.661, p = 0.0751$; 120dB, $F_{(1, 29)} = 0.3419, p = 0.5686$].

**INCREASED METHAMPHETAMINE-INDUCED RELEASE OF DOPAMINE IN crmp1$^{−/−}$ MICE**

Because chlorpromazine is an effective antagonist of D2 dopamine receptors, it is possible that crmp1$^{−/−}$ mice exhibit impaired dopaminergic function. To test this hypothesis, we performed in vivo microdialysis to examine the extracellular level of dopamine. Samples were collected from the prefrontal cortex of freely moving mice, and the extracellular concentration of dopamine was determined by high-performance liquid chromatography. We also measured the dopamine level after the injection of methamphetamine (1 mg/kg, i.p.). The mean basal levels of dopamine before drug administration were similar between wt and crmp1$^{−/−}$ mice [7.57 ± 1.35 pg/ml in wt (n = 6), 8.71 ± 3.11 pg/ml in crmp1$^{−/−}$ (n = 7), $F_{(1, 11)} = 0.0955, p = 0.7584$]. In contrast, the methamphetamine-induced increase in dopamine concentration was significantly greater in crmp1$^{−/−}$ mice [Figure 5; $F_{(1, 11)} = 9.7936, p = 0.0096$]. These data suggest that CRMP1 deficiency resulted in an increase in methamphetamine-induced dopaminergic neurotransmission in the prefrontal cortex.

**DISCUSSION**

Schizophrenia can be understood, in part, as abnormalities of neuronal development (Bray et al., 2010). In this study, we demonstrated that knockout of crmp1, a key gene in neuronal development, caused aberrant behaviors such as increased locomotor activity, impaired emotional behavioral responses, and prepulse inhibition. Hyperactivity and impaired emotional behavior are key endophenotypes of many human psychiatric disorders, including schizophrenia (Gainetdinov et al., 2001), attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, and manic disorders (Paule et al., 2000), and it is also characteristic of rodent models of schizophrenia (Gainetdinov et al., 2001). The acute administration of methamphetamine in humans induces delusions and hallucinations reminiscent of those associated with schizophrenia. Furthermore, sensitivity to this drug is increased in individuals with schizophrenia (Lieberman et al., 1987) as well as in several mouse models that manifest schizophrenia-like behaviors (Paterlini et al., 2005; Pillai-Nair et al., 2005; Sakae et al., 2006).
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FIGURE 4 | Impaired prepulse inhibition and effect of chlorpromazine in crmp1−/− mice. (A,B) Comparison between crmp1−/− and wt mice in prepulse inhibition test with the amplitudes of the startle response (A) and the percentage of prepulse inhibition (B). (C,D) Comparison between chlorpromazine- and saline-treated crmp1−/− mice in prepulse inhibition test with the amplitudes of the startle response (C) and the percentage of prepulse inhibition (D). The p values indicate genotype (A,B) or drug (C,D) effect in two-way repeated measures ANOVA. Data are shown as mean ± s.e.m. for the indicated numbers of mice. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

2008). Furthermore, the crmp1−/− mice exhibited an increase in methamphetamine-induced dopaminergic neurotransmission in the prefrontal cortex (Figure 5), whose dysfunction has been shown to be related to many neuropsychiatric disorders (Bray et al., 2010). The impaired prepulse inhibition, another typical endophenotype of schizophrenia (Braff and Geyer, 1990; Paylor and Crawley, 1997), of crmp1−/− mice was rescued by the administration of chlorpromazine (Figure 4). These data suggest that the behavioral abnormality of crmp1−/− mice is closely related to impaired dopaminergic signaling, which is one of the most common causes of schizophrenia (Ross et al., 2006). It is known that negative symptoms are not modeled equivalently to positive symptoms. In our behavioral test battery, the crmp1−/− mice did not show an anhedonic or depressive-like behavior. Furthermore, these mice showed no abnormality in social interactions (Table 1). Thus, the crmp1−/− mice exhibited some behavioral phenotypes that are related to the positive but not negative symptoms of schizophrenia.

Most rodent models of schizophrenia tend to replicate aspects of the positive symptoms of schizophrenia, such as hyperactivity, probably reflecting enhanced mesolimbic dopamine function (Breier et al., 1997; Laruelle et al., 1999). In rodents, chronic amphetamine administration induces a persistent sensitization, exaggerated the hyperactivity caused by acute amphetamine challenge (Featherstone et al., 2007), and there have been some reports of genetic models that show hyperdopaminergic functions (Paterlini et al., 2005; Pillai-Nair et al., 2005; Sakae et al., 2008). In addition to a hyperdopaminergic phenotype, crmp1−/− mice show a poor dendritic trees and a low density of dendritic spines in the cerebral cortex (Yamashita et al., 2007). In human Schizophrenic patients, a marked reduction in dendritic spine density and glutamate receptor immunoreactivity is observed in cortical areas of schizophrenic subjects (Glantz and Lewis, 2000; Kolluri et al., 2005; Garey et al., 2006; Garey, 2010). Thus, the phenotypes of crmp1−/− mice are consistent with those of schizophrenic patients either from a functional or morphological point of view, suggesting that crmp1−/− mice may be a comprehensive model that more adequately replicates deficits in schizophrenic symptoms.

The underlying mechanism of the crmp1−/− mouse phenotype is unknown. CRMP1 is phosphorylated by Cdk5, a major protein kinase that regulates dendritic spine maturation and dopamine signaling in neurons (Bibb et al., 1999; Morabito et al., 2004; Cole et al., 2006; Yamashita et al., 2007). Cultured cortical neurons from both crmp1−/− and cdk5−/− mice show abnormal dendritic spine morphology. In addition, the abnormal dendritic spine morphology in crmp1−/− cultured cortical neurons is rescued by introduction of wt CRMP1 but not a CRMP1 mutant.
that cannot be phosphorylated by Cdk5 (Yamashita et al., 2007), demonstrating that CRMP1 is a major substrate of Cdk5 to regulate neuronal development. Thus the schizophrenia-related behaviors seen in crmp1−/− mice may be caused by the disruption of Cdk5-CRMP1 signaling. In fact, mice treated with Cdk5 inhibitors and CA1-specific cdk5 conditional knockout mice show decreased levels of freezing in a contextual test but not in a cued test (Fischer et al., 2002; Guan et al., 2011). This phenotype is similar to that seen in crmp1−/− mice (Figure 3A). Interestingly, the expression level of p35, a neuron-specific activator of Cdk5, is decreased in both prefrontal cortex and hippocampus of schizophrenia post-mortem brains (Engmann et al., 2011).

The tyrosine phosphorylation of CRMP1 may also be involved in behavioral abnormalities of crmp1−/− mice. CRMP1 is tyrosine phosphorylated by Fyn downstream of Reelin signaling (Yamashita et al., 2006). Reelin signaling also has been implicated in various neurodevelopmental disorders (Fatemi, 2005). The mRNA and protein expression of Reelin are decreased in patients with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder (Impagnatiello et al., 1998; Guidotti et al., 2010). Heterozygous reeler mutation mice, which show a 50% reduction in Reelin protein and mRNA, exhibit decreased prepulse inhibition (Tueting et al., 1999), as we observed in crmp1−/− mice (Figure 4). Thus, it is possible that behavioral abnormalities of crmp1−/− mice are related to CRMP1 phosphorylation at tyrosine residue(s).

Recently, several crmp genes (crmp2: 8p22-p21; crmp3: 10q26; crmp4: 5q32) were located to chromosomal regions associated with schizophrenia (Ross et al., 2006). Similar to that linkage study, crmp1 or crmp2 gene polymorphisms and abnormal CRMP1 or CRMP2 protein levels have been found in schizophrenia (Edgar et al., 2000; Johnston-Wilson et al., 2000; Hong et al., 2005; Beasley et al., 2006; Bader et al., 2012). In addition, aberrant accumulation of insoluble forms of CRMP1 is also observed in brains from schizophrenic patients (Bader et al., 2012). These findings may be inconsistent with our present finding that crmp1−/− mice showed schizophrenia-related behaviors. The reasons for the apparent discrepancy between human and animal subjects are unknown. However, it is possible that the presence of these insoluble forms of CRMP1 may lead to the loss of function of CRMP1 in the human brains. In this case, our observation of behavioral abnormalities in crmp1−/− mice may be consistent with findings obtained in specimens from schizophrenia patients (Bader et al., 2012). Interestingly, lymphoblastoid cell lines derived from schizophrenia patients show an abnormal level of CRMP1 expression, suggesting its potential role as a blood-based diagnostic marker (Bader et al., 2012). Taken together, these findings raise the possibility that CRMP1 may be involved in the pathophysiology in schizophrenia.

In conclusion, our results suggest that CRMP1 is associated with some of the symptoms of schizophrenia and/or some related disorders. Although future studies are needed to determine whether crmp1−/− mouse is a clinically relevant model of schizophrenia, our results suggest that crmp1−/− mouse is useful in exploring basic mechanisms that may contribute to some of the symptoms of this neuropsychiatric disorder.
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