Poly(glycidyl methacrylate)/bacterial cellulose nanocomposites: Preparation, characterization and post-modification
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Abstract

Nanocomposites composed of poly(glycidyl methacrylate) (PGMA) and bacterial cellulose (BC) were prepared by the in-situ free radical polymerization of glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) inside the BC network. The resulting nanocomposites were characterized in terms of structure, morphology, water-uptake capacity, thermal stability and viscoelastic properties. The three-dimensional structure of BC endowed the nanocomposites with good thermal stability (up to 270 °C) and viscoelastic properties (minimum storage modulus = 171 MPa at 200 °C). In addition, the water-uptake and crystallinity decreased with the increasing content of the hydrophobic and amorphous PGMA matrix. These nanocomposites were then submitted to post-modification via acid-catalysed hydrolysis to convert the hydrophobic PGMA into the hydrophilic poly(glyceryl methacrylate) (PGOHMA) counterpart, which increased the hydrophilicity of the nanocomposites and consequently improved their water-uptake capacity. Besides, the post-modified nanocomposites maintained a good thermal stability (up to 250 °C), viscoelastic properties (minimum storage modulus = 80 MPa at 200 °C) and porous structure. In view of these results, the PGMA/BC nanocomposites can be used as functional hydrophobic nanocomposites for post-modification reactions, whereas the PGOHMA/BC nanocomposites might have potential for biomedical applications requiring hydrophilic, swellable and biocompatible materials.

© 2019 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Bacterial cellulose (BC) is a cellulosic material produced by some non-pathogenic bacterial strains that shows unique properties, namely excellent mechanical strength, inherent biodegradability, biocompatibility, high water-holding capacity and high crystallinity, which allow its application as complementary nutrition [1], artificial temporary skin for wounds and burns, dental aid, artificial blood vessels and microneve surgery, DNA separation, composite reinforcement, electronic paper, light emitting diodes and fuel cell membranes [2–6].

In recent years, particular attention has been devoted to the development of BC-based nanocomposite materials with several polymeric matrices as a result of the unique mechanical properties of BC [7–10]. Although most of the strategies that are used to obtain BC-based (nano)composites include compounding with synthetic polymer matrices, or blending with other natural polymers, the in-situ polymerization of monomers within the BC network is also being explored. This latter approach is particularly interesting and acrylic or methacrylic monomers such as acrylamide [11], acrylic acid [12], glycerol monomethacrylate, 2-ethoxymethyl methacrylate [13], 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate [14], 4-styrenesulphonic acid [15], 2-aminoethyl methacrylate [16], methacryloyloxyethyl phosphorylcholine [17], methacryloyloxyethyl chloride [18], N-methacryloyl glycine [19] and bis[2-(methacryloyloxy)ethyl] phosphate [20], have already been polymerized within the BC network, originating functional nanocomposites for a multitude of applications spanning from ion exchange membranes for fuel cells to stimuli-responsive membranes or hydrogels for drug delivery.

Within the plethora of functional monomers, glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) is an interesting, versatile and low-cost commercial monomer that is widely used for the fabrication of epoxy methacrylic resins for application as coatings and adhesives [21]. Moreover, this methacrylic monomer can be used to obtain sophisticated (co)polymers by radical polymerization methods, including atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP), reversible addition-fragmentation chain-transfer (RAFT), nitroxide-mediated polymerization (NMP) and catalytic chain transfer polymerization (CCTP), which translate into functional materials with diverse structures and applications [22,23]. In addition to the methacrylic double bond, this reactive monomer also presents a...
pendent epoxy group that is inert towards radical polymerization, allowing for further functionalization of the resulting (co)polymer through the nucleophile ring opening reactions, as discussed in detail elsewhere [23]. In fact, PGMA is considered one of the most adaptable reactive scaffolds in polymer chemistry that can be transformed into a multitude of tailored polymers [22,23]. As an illustrative example, RAFT synthesized PGMA was used as a building block for post-polymerization modifications via nucleophile ring-opening reaction of the epoxy group with different nucleophilic agents, namely thiols, aromatic alcohols, sodium azide and amines, which originated a series of multinomial composites with materials for instance Na-montmorillonite [25], acid-modified bentonite [26] and glass fibre [27], among others.

Our interest in joining PGMA and BC aims at fabricating a nanocomposite material that can be easily submitted to post-polymerization modification to change its inherent properties. Although the grafting of GMA onto BC nanowhiskers has already been investigated for the design of reinforced poly(lactic acid)-based nanocomposites [28], the development of nanocomposites based on PGMA and BC has never been carried out before. Therefore, the present work reports the development of nanocomposites based on PGMA and BC prepared by the in-situ free radical polymerization of GMA, in the presence and absence of a cross-linker, within the BC three-dimensional network. These PGMA-based nanocomposites were further modified by taking advantage of the acid-catalysed ring-opening reaction of the epoxy group to obtain nanocomposites based on the hydrophilic poly(glyceryl methacrylate) (PGOHMA), viz. a polymer prepared from an expensive monomer (glyceryl methacrylate, GOHMA). The characterization of the PGMA- and PGOHMA-based nanocomposites was performed in terms of structure, morphology, water-uptake capacity, thermal stability and viscoelastic properties.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals and materials

Glycidyl methacrylate (GMA, 97%, Aldrich), N,N'-methylenbisacrylamide (MBA, 99%, Sigma-Aldrich) and ammonium persulphate (APS, ≥ 98%, Sigma) were used as received. Other chemicals and solvents were of laboratory grade. Bacterial cellulose was biosynthesized in the form of wet membranes using the Gluconacetobacter sacchari bacterial strain [29] according to standard culture procedures [30].

2.2. Preparation of PGMA/BC nanocomposites

The in-situ free radical polymerization of GMA inside the BC three-dimensional network was adapted from the procedure described elsewhere [16]. First, wet BC membranes were weighed and about 60% of their water content was drained. Then, two distinct aqueous reaction mixtures were prepared: one containing GMA in a ratio of 1:2 (w/w relative to monomer), and another containing the same amounts of monomer and radical initiator, and 0.5% APS (w/w relative to monomer), and containing the same amounts of monomer and radical initiator, and 20% MBA (w/w relative to monomer). The drained membranes and reaction mixtures were both purged with N₂ for 30 min. Each reaction mixture was added to the BC membranes and left for 1 h at room temperature for complete incorporation into the BC network. The polymerization reaction took place in an oil bath for 6 h at 60 °C. The obtained nanocomposites (Table 1) were washed with distilled water during 1 h for 8 times, dried at 40 °C and stored in a desiccator until their use. For comparison purposes, samples of non-cross-linked and cross-linked PGMA were also prepared in the absence of BC.

2.3. Post-modification of the preformed PGMA-based nanocomposites

The post-functionalization of the PGMA-based nanocomposites consisted in the conversion of the epoxy groups to diol derivatives through a hot acid treatment. Briefly, the previously prepared wet PGMA-based nanocomposites (4 × 4 cm²) were drained to remove excess of water and immersed in 100 mL of 0.02 M HCl aqueous solution (pH 3.5). Then, the reaction mixture was placed at 140 °C during 4 h under moderate stirring. After this period, the membranes were washed with distilled water until neutral pH, dried at 40 °C and kept in a desiccator until further use.

2.4. Characterization methods

2.4.1. Fourier transform infrared-Attenuated total reflection spectroscopy (FTIR-ATR)

The infrared spectra of the membranes were obtained using a Perkin-Elmer FT-IR System Spectrum BX spectrophotometer equipped with a single horizontal Golden Gate ATR cell after 32 scans in the 600–4000 cm⁻¹ range at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹.

2.4.2. Solid-state carbon cross-polarization/magic angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance (¹³C CP/MAS NMR)

The spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III 400 spectrometer operating at a 80 field of 9.4 T using 9 kHz MAS with proton 90° pulse of 3 μs, time between scans of 3 s, and contact time of 2000 μs. A CP/MAS 4 mm solid state probe was used with an operating temperature of about 30–35 °C. ¹³C chemical shifts were referenced with respect to glycine (C=O at 6 176.03 ppm).

2.4.3. X-ray diffraction (XRD)

The XRD measurements were carried out with a Phillips X’pert MPD diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.541 Å) with a scan rate of 0.05° s⁻¹ (in 2θ scale). The peaks were deconvoluted using Pearson VII peak functions (Peakfit software) for crystallinity index, Ic:

\[
I_c = 1 - \frac{I_{am}}{I_{002}} \times 100\%
\]

where Ioo2 is the maximum peak intensity at 2θ around 22°, representing the crystalline region of cellulose, and Iam is the minimum peak intensity at 2θ around 18°, representing the amorphous region of cellulose [31].

2.4.4. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

Scanning electron micrographs of the surface and cross-section of the samples were obtained by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with a HR-SESEM SU-70 Hitachi microscope operating at 4 kV in the field emission mode. Before analysis, the samples were deposited on a steel plate and coated with carbon.

Table 1
Composition in weight fraction of the prepared nanocomposites in the dry state.

| Nanocomposites | Nominal composition | Measured composition |
|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                | W_GMA/W_BC | W_BC/W_CMA | W_GMA/W_BC | W_BC/W_total | W_GMA/W_total |
| PGMA/BC        | 2.0          | 0.0         | 1.56       | 0.39         | 0.61         |
| PGMA-MBA/BC    | 2.0          | 0.2         | 2.03       | 0.33         | 0.67         |
2.4.5. Water-uptake capacity (WU)

The WU of the nanocomposites was determined by immersing the samples (1 × 1 cm²) in distilled water at room temperature with a minimum of three replicas. The weight increase was periodically measured for a period of 48 h. For each measurement, the samples were taken out of the water, their wet surfaces immediately wiped dry with filter paper, weighed, and then re-immersed. The WU was calculated using the equation:

\[ WU(\%) = \left( \frac{W_w - W_0}{W_0} \right) \times 100\% \]

where, \( W_w \) is the sample weight after immersion in water and \( W_0 \) is the initial weight of dry sample.

2.4.6. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA)

Thermal stability was evaluated with a SETSYS Setaram TGA analyser equipped with a platinum cell. Samples (ca. 10 mg) were heated at a constant rate of 10 °C min⁻¹, from room temperature to 800 °C, under a nitrogen flow of 20 mL min⁻¹.

2.4.7. Dynamic mechanical analyses (DMA)

The viscoelastic properties were analysed on a Tritec 2000 DMA equipment (Triton Technologies) using tension as deformation mode (single strain). For the temperature sweeps, a ramp rate of 2 °C min⁻¹ was used and samples (0.5 × 3.0 cm²) were heated from −40 to 200 °C, at a frequency of 1 Hz with a displacement of 0.005 mm.

3. Results and discussion

Two different nanocomposites based on PGMA and BC were prepared through the in-situ free radical polymerization of GMA inside the three-dimensional network of BC using APS as radical initiator. PGMA was selected because it is a stable hydrophobic polymer whose storage under room temperature and ambient conditions can last for years without any evidence of degradation [23]. One of the nanocomposites was prepared without a cross-linker (i.e. PGMA/BC), while for the other MBA was utilized as cross-linking agent (i.e. PGMA-MBA/BC) to assess the impact of using a cross-linked and a non-cross-linked PGMA matrix on the properties of the nanocomposites. The cross-linker (type and amount) was selected based on previous studies [10,16]. The reaction schemes of the PGMA polymerization in the presence and absence of cross-linker are displayed in Fig. 1.

In general, both nanocomposites (PGMA/BC and PGMA-MBA/BC) display macroscopic homogeneity with no visible irregularities on either side of the membranes surface. Moreover, the nanocomposites were whiter and less translucent than pure BC (Fig. 2). No sensible differences were discernible among nanocomposites regarding the effect of cross-linking.
of the absence or presence of cross-linker. The two nanocomposites were characterized in terms of structure (FTIR-ATR and $^{13}$C CP/MAS NMR), crystallinity (XRD), morphology (SEM), water-uptake (WU) capacity, thermal stability (TGA) and viscoelastic properties (DMA). After the in-situ polymerization and given that the epoxy function is stable during radical polymerization, the preformed nanocomposites were treated with hot hydrochloric acid aqueous solution to convert the epoxy rings into diols (Fig. 1c). The ensuing nanocomposites, PGOHMA/BC and PGOHMA-MBA/BC, remained whitish and with low translucency, and were also characterized by the above-mentioned techniques. Since PGOHMA is a water-soluble polymer, the presence of a cross-linked or non-cross-linked polymer inside the BC three-dimensional network will impact the polymer migration out of the material when in contact with water, as already verified for other BC-based nanocomposites [18].

3.1. Structural and morphological characterization

The analysis of the FTIR-ATR spectra (Fig. 3) of the nanocomposites was an easy way to bear out the occurrence of the GMA polymerization inside the BC network, as well as to confirm the stability of the epoxy moiety during the radical polymerization. The FTIR-ATR spectrum of the cross-linked PGMA (Fig. 3a) was consistent with the expected structure, showing all the relevant bands at 3350 cm$^{-1}$ (OH stretching of physisorbed moisture and N—H stretching from the cross-linker), 2994 and 2946 cm$^{-1}$ (C—H stretching), 1724 cm$^{-1}$ (C=O stretching), 1252, 906 and 844 cm$^{-1}$ (C—O—C epoxide ring stretching) [32–34]. The FTIR-ATR spectrum of BC (Fig. 3b) displays the characteristic absorption bands of the cellulose backbone, viz. 3340 cm$^{-1}$ (O—H stretching), 2900 cm$^{-1}$ (C—H stretching), 1310 cm$^{-1}$ (O—H bending) and 1030 cm$^{-1}$ (C—O stretching) [2]. The FTIR-ATR spectra of the PGMA-based nanocomposites clearly resemble the sum of the vibration peaks of the individual components, and the presence of the bands assigned to the oxirane ring supports the stability of the epoxy moiety during the free radical polymerization. Moreover, the absence of the band at about 1630 cm$^{-1}$ allocated to the C—C stretching of the methacrylic group [33,35] of the monomer (and of the cross-linker in the case of PGMA-MBA/BC), confirms the occurrence of the in-situ free radical polymerization of GMA.

The solid-state $^{13}$C CP/MAS NMR spectroscopy also confirmed the composition of the nanocomposites through the presence of the typical resonances of both PGMA and BC. According to Fig. 4, PGMA/BC and PGMA-MBA/BC nanocomposites exhibit the resonances of the cellulose substrate at $\delta$ 65.2 ppm (C6), 71.7–74.5 ppm (C2, C3, C5), 89.0 ppm (C4)
and 105.1 ppm (C1) [36], jointly with those of the PGMA at δ 16.8 ppm (CH3 of polymer backbone, C3'), 44.9 ppm (quaternary C of polymer backbone, C2', and CH2 of polymer backbone, C1'), 49.2 ppm (CH2 of the epoxy ring, C7'), 54.8 ppm (CH of the epoxy ring, C6'), 67.1 ppm ((O==)COOH2, C5') and 177.4 ppm (C==O, C4') [37]. The absence of the methylene carbon resonance (—NHCH2NH—) of the cross-linker may be due to the lower content used in the polymerization reaction. In addition, the non-appearance of the carbon resonances associated with the double bond (C=C) of the methacrylic group of GMA [38] and of the cross-linker (MBA) in the case of PGMA-MBA/BC, is another evidence of the occurrence of the in-situ polymerization of GMA, as formerly indicated by FTIR-ATR.

Fig. 5 displays the XRD profiles of pure BC, and PGMA/BC and PGMA-MBA/BC nanocomposites. The diffraction pattern of BC (Fig. 5a) shows the three characteristic reflections of cellulose I (native cellulose) at ca. 2θ 14.8°, 16.9° and 22.9° corresponding to the (100), (010) and (110) crystallographic planes [39], whereas PGMA and cross-linked PGMA exhibited a diffraction profile characteristic of amorphous polymeric materials, in good agreement with previously published data [40]. On the other hand, the nanocomposites diffractograms kept the main features of cellulose but the intensity of the peaks at ca. 2θ 14.8° and 16.9° decreased relatively to the (110) crystallographic plane, which might be an indication of higher disorder in the inter-sheet spacing with the addition of PGMA [41]. A similar behaviour was observed for nanocomposites of a poly(ionic liquid) and BC [18]. Furthermore, the crystallinity index (Ic) of the nanocomposites increased with the increasing content of BC (Ic = 65–79% [2]) from 56% for the nanocomposite containing 33% of BC (PGMA-MBA/BC) to 69% for the nanocomposite containing 39% of BC (PGMA/BC). This data agrees with the XRD patterns depicted in Fig. 5.

Fig. 6 shows the SEM micrographs of the surface and cross-section of pure BC and PGMA-based nanocomposites. The three-dimensional nanofibular network and lamellar microstructure representative of the BC morphology are not clearly perceptible on the surface and cross-section of the nanocomposites. In fact, the BC nanofibers are completely embedded and the lamellar spaces are occupied with PGMA, which is particularly visible in the case of PGMA-MBA/BC. The cross-section micrographs show the effect of the absence and presence of cross-linker with PGMA-MBA/BC depicting the formation of irregular-shape and micron-size particles of cross-linked PGMA. Although both nanocomposites have a similar matrix content (61 wt% PGMA for PGMA/BC and 67 wt% PGMA for PGMA-MBA/BC), the use of a cross-linker favored the formation of more porous material.

3.2. Water-uptake capacity

The rehydration ability of the PGMA-based nanocomposites was evaluated by immersing these materials in water during 48 h at room temperature. The PGMA/BC and PGMA-MBA/BC nanocomposites show similar water-uptake values of 32.8 ± 2.7% and 31.4 ± 8.7%, respectively, which is in line with the PGMA hydrophobicity [10]. Despite the hydrophilic nature of BC with a WU capacity of 138 ± 6.4%, the low amount of this cellulosic substrate on the nanocomposites, i.e. 39% for PGMA/BC and 33% for PGMA-MBA/BC, originated materials with low WU values. A similar trend was observed for example for PGMA/Na-montmorillonite nanocomposites, whose WU capacity was about 35% for a nanocomposite containing 62 wt% of GMA and 38 wt% of the hydrophilic clay [25]. The water-uptake capacity of these nanocomposites will influence their performance depending on the type of final application.

3.3. Thermal stability

The thermal stability and degradation profile of the PGMA-based nanocomposites and the corresponding individual components were studied by thermogravimetric analysis in nitrogen atmosphere, as depicted in Fig. 7. The native BC, in addition to the degradation below 100 °C (loss of ca. 4 wt%), exhibits a single step degradation profile with initial and maximum decomposition temperatures at 266 and 347 °C (Fig. 7a), respectively, in good agreement with previously published data [17]. PGMA (not shown) and cross-linked PGMA (Fig. 7a) present a comparable degradation profile with two consecutive weight-loss steps. In addition, both exhibit an initial loss allocated to the release of adsorbed water (loss of ca. 1.4 wt%), in line with data reported elsewhere [40,42]. The cross-linked PGMA started to decompose close to 278 °C and reached the two maximum decomposition temperatures at about 352 °C and 402 °C, which correspond to the depolymerization to monomer and ester decomposition [43].

The TGA profile of PGMA-based nanocomposites followed a three-step weight-loss with initial decomposition temperatures at ca. 270 °C for both nanocomposites. It is clear from the data displayed in Fig. 7b that the first step at 324 °C for PGMA/BC and 336 °C for PGMA-MBA/BC is associated with the degradation of the cellulose skeleton, and the second (364 °C for PGMA/BC and 368 °C for PGMA-MBA/BC) and the third steps (414 °C for PGMA/BC and 408 °C for PGMA-MBA/BC) can be attributed to the degradation of PGMA polymer backbone, corresponding to about 17 and 32 wt% of the initial mass for PGMA/BC and PGMA-MBA/BC, respectively. A weight-loss of about 88 and 96 wt% was obtained for PGMA-MBA/BC and PGMA/BC, respectively, at temperatures up to 800 °C. Worth noting is the fact that the use of a cross-linking agent marginally affects the thermal stability of the ensuing nanocomposites.

3.4. Viscoelastic properties

The viscoelastic properties of PGMA/BC and PGMA-MBA/BC nanocomposites were evaluated through DMA and the corresponding data in terms of storage modulus (E') and loss factor (tan δ) is summarized in Fig. 8. These properties were not assessed neither for PGMA nor cross-linked PGMA owing to their low film-forming capacity. Regarding the pure BC, the variation of E' as a function of temperature (not shown) has almost no fluctuations, being relatively stable up to at least 200 °C, as discussed in detail elsewhere [15].

In the case of the nanocomposites, the temperature dependence of E' show distinct regions with different decreasing slopes. Nanocomposite PGMA/BC displays a reduction in E' from 620 MPa at −40 °C to 410 MPa at 40 °C, followed by a steep drop to 115 MPa at 120 °C, and then another decrease to 80 MPa at 200 °C. Nanocomposite PGMA-MBA/BC presents a similar pattern with E' decreasing from 675 MPa at −40 °C to 430 MPa at 60 °C, then to 230 MPa at 135 °C and finally to
140 MPa at 200 °C. As expected, the storage modulus of the nanocomposite containing the cross-linked PGMA (i.e. PGMA-MBA/BC) is higher than the non-cross-linked counterpart (i.e. PGMA/BC) for the entire temperature range, which points to a material with better viscoelastic properties. Even though $E'$ drops from 620 MPa (−40 °C) to 80 MPa (200 °C) for PGMA/BC and from 675 MPa (−40 °C) to 140 MPa (200 °C) for PGMA-MBA/BC, the nanocomposites still maintain a reasonable properties.

**Fig. 6.** Top-view (top) and cross-section (bottom) micrographs of BC and nanocomposites PGMA/BC and PGMA-MBA/BC.

**Fig. 7.** Thermograms of a) cross-linked PGMA and neat BC, and b) nanocomposites PGMA/BC and PGMA-MBA/BC. The inset curves correspond to the derivative.

**Fig. 8.** Storage modulus ($E'$, top) and loss factor ($\tan \delta$, bottom) curves of nanocomposites PGMA/BC and PGMA-MBA/BC.
mechanical integrity endowed by the micro- and nano-fibrillar structure of BC. This behaviour was indeed shown for other BC-based nanocomposites with hydrophilic polymeric matrices such as poly(4-styrene sulfonic acid) [15] and poly(methacryloyloxyethyl phosphate) [17], as well as hydrophobic matrices like for example Nafion® perfluorinated resin [44].

The loss tangent (tan δ) confirms the temperature dependence of E′ with both nanocomposites presenting a broad peak in the range — 40 to 200 °C, as displayed in Fig. 8. The maximum of tan δ at about 92 °C for PGMA/BC and 96 °C for PGMA-MBA/BC corresponds to the glass transition temperature (Tg) with an obvious correlation with the Tg value (96 °C determined by DSC (differential scanning calorimetry)) reported for PGMA homopolymer [45], which reflects the hard and brittle nature of these nanocomposite materials at room temperature.

3.5. Post-modification of the preformed PGMA-based nanocomposites

The presence of the reactive oxirane ring in the polymer side-chains of PGMA allows it to undergo further functionalization by the well-known nucleophilic ring-opening reaction of the epoxy group [23]. As an example, Gao et al. synthesized linear and star-shape PGMA by atom transfer radical polymerization followed by functionalization with four different amines by ring-opening addition to obtain PGOMA derivatives with distinct water-solubility [46]. The hydrophilic and water-soluble PGOMA is a particularly interesting polymer for myriad applications that is prepared from a relatively expensive specialty monomer, viz. glyceryl methacrylate. Alternatively, PGOMA can be prepared by the acid-catalysed hydrolysis of precursor polymers, including poly(solketal methacrylate) [47,48]. Nevertheless, the acid-catalysed hydrolysis of PGMA [23,49] is a less expensive pathway due to the commercial availability of GMA [21].

In the present study, the acid-catalysed post-modification of the preformed PGMA/BC nanostructured materials was chosen as a possible route to generate nanocomposites based on PGOMA to widen the application range of these materials. The treatment of the preformed PGMA-based nanocomposites with hydrochloric acid aqueous solution (pH 3.5) originated white PGOMA-based nanocomposites (Fig. 2) with higher WU values, namely 178 ± 3.4% for PGOMA/BC and 222 ± 1.2% for PGOMA-MBA/BC, because of the hydrophilic and swellable nature of PGOMA. These values are comparable for example with the data reported for BC-based nanocomposites prepared by the same approach but with the hydrophilic and swellable poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (PHEMA) as matrix [14]. In addition, the high water-uptake values of the hydrophilic PGOMA-based nanocomposites are a major asset since it might allow e.g., the absorption of exudates or other body fluids when adhered to the skin surface.

The FTIR-ATR spectra of the nanocomposites after post-modification did not show any bands allocated to the epoxy ring, which was further confirmed by solid-state 13C NMR spectroscopy. The 13C CP/MAS NMR spectra of the of PGOMA-based nanocomposites displayed in Fig. 9 depict the carbon resonances of BC (Fig. 4b), as well as those of PGOMA at 16.3 ppm (C3 of polymer backbone, Cc), 45.2 ppm (C5 of polymer backbone, Cα), and quaternary C of polymer backbone, Cc, 60.9 ppm (OCH2CH(OH)CH2OH, Cc), 63.5 ppm (OCH2CH(OH)CH2OH, Cc), 70.7 ppm (OCH2CH(OH)CH2OH, Cc) and 178.8 ppm (C=O, Cα) [49,50]. The reduction/absence of the methine and methylene carbon resonances of the epoxide ring (Fig. 4a) demonstrates the occurrence of the ring opening reaction of the epoxide group (i.e. acid-catalysed hydrolysis) into the corresponding diol derivative. Worth noting is the fact that, despite the reported occurrence of isomerization during the acid-catalysed epoxy opening of PGMA that yields PGOMA with 2,3-diol or 1,3-diol units [49], here, only the 2,3-isomer was obtained (Fig. 9).

The acidic heat treatment decreased the degree of crystallinity of the resulting nanocomposites. According to the XRD data, the diffraction peaks of BC are still observed, however, the Lc diminished from 68% (PGMA/BC) to 38% for PGOMA/BC and from 56% (PGMA-MBA/BC) to 43% for PGOMA-MBA/BC. This might be an indication that the BC crystalline structure was affected by the acid treatment. Despite the augment of the amorphous character of the nanocomposites after the acidic heat treatment, one might infer from the previous results (FTIR-ATR and 13C CP/MAS NMR) that the post-modification conditions (0.02 M HCl, 140 °C, 4 h) did not promote the hydrolysis of cellulose or at least not to a significant extent. In fact, the presence of all the carbon resonances allocated to the cellulosic substrate (Fig. 9) and the preservation of the mechanical integrity of the membranes after the acid-catalysed hydrolysis of the preformed PGMA polymer (Fig. 2), corroborates the hypothesis of non-occurrence of hydrolysis of BC.

The simple visual inspection of the SEM micrographs (Fig. 10) also shows the embedment of the nanofibers and the filling of the lamellar spaces of BC with non-cross-linked and cross-linked PGOMA, which is a possible indication of the good compatibility between the hydrophilic PGOMA and BC.

The thermal degradation profile of the nanocomposites after heat treatment changed to a two-step degradation pathway, as illustrated in Fig. 11. Besides the water evaporation below 100 °C (loss of about 3 wt%), both nanocomposites are thermally stable up to 250 °C (loss of 6–7 wt%), which is a good indication that these nanomaterials have a thermal stability that comply with for example the sterilization conditions. The first stage with maximum decomposition temperature of 338 °C for PGOMA/BC and 333 °C for PGOMA-MBA/BC is comparable to the degradation of the cellulose skeleton, whereas the second stage between 385 and 450 °C for both nanocomposites corresponds to the degradation of the PGOMA polymer backbone [47]. These results show that the acidic treatment had no significant effect on the thermal stability of the nanocomposites, regardless of the presence or absence of a cross-linking agent. Although both nanocomposites were dried prior to analysis, the hydrophilic nature of PGOMA is visible on the thermal degradation profile of these materials (Fig. 11) as evidenced by the dehydration step at ca. 100 °C with a loss 2 times higher than the value obtained for the hydrophobic PGMA (Fig. 7).

Fig. 12 shows the variation of E′ and tan δ with temperature for the PGOMA-based nanocomposites. After the post-modification, the ensuing nanocomposites behave differently with the E′ of PGOMA/BC decreasing monotonically from 1.13 GPa at — 40 °C to 230 MPa at 200 °C, and the E′ of PGOMA-MBA/BC showing three regions with different decreasing slopes: from 429 MPa at — 40 °C to 252 MPa at 50 °C.
followed by a small plateau until 80 °C, after which $E'$ drops to 171 MPa at 200 °C. The comparison of this data with the results obtained for the PCMA-based nanocomposites points out a distinct trend for $E'$ (Fig. 8). PGOHMA/BC presents higher $E'$ values than PGMA/BC for the entire temperature range, while in the case of PGOHMA-MBA/BC the $E'$ values are lower than PGMA-MBA/BC in the temperature range of −40 to 140 °C, but then higher until reaching 200 °C. This translates into brittle materials at room temperature with $E'$ values of 767 MPa for PGOHMA/BC and 283 MPa for PGOHMA-MBA/BC (Fig. 12). Nevertheless, these hydrophilic and swellable nanomaterials are satisfactorily pliable and workable for application e.g. as hydrogels for the delivery of drugs or bioactive compounds.

Regarding the tan δ (Fig. 12), PGOHMA/BC is dominated by a very broad peak centred at 140 °C, which is obviously attributed to the PGOHMA homopolymer. In fact, PGOHMA is reported to have a $T_g$ close to 105 °C as determined by DSC [47], which correlates with the obtained relaxation. In the case of PGOHMA-MBA/BC, the broad peak is centred at about 99 °C.

4. Conclusions

The simple and easy preparation of PGMA/BC nanocomposites via the in-situ free radical polymerization of GMA inside the BC network constitutes the first relevant contribution of this study. These
nanocomposites present good thermal stability and viscoelastic properties, along with low water-uptake and crystallinity values due to the hydrophobic and amorphous PGMA matrix. The second novel feature of this work has to do with the post-polymerization treatment of the preformed PGMA/BC nanocomposites with hot HCl aqueous solution to generate PGOMA/BC nanocomposites by opening the epoxy ring to obtain materials with distinct properties, namely lower hydrophobicity and improved water-uptake capacity. Despite the lower crystallinity, the post-modified nanocomposites maintained their thermal stability, viscoelastic properties and porous structure. These results indicate that the PGOMA/BC nanocomposites can be used as functional hydrophobic nanocomposites for post-modification reactions, whereas the PGOMA/BC nanocomposites might have potentiality in biomedical applications requiring hydrophilic, swellable and possibly biocompatible materials such as hydrogels for drug delivery.
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