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Abstract

The occurrence of dermatophytes and keratinophilic fungi was investigated by hairbrush technique on the coat of 986 apparently healthy brown hares (Lepus europaeus, Pallas 1778) caught in 9 restocking and capturing zones in Central Italy. Overall, 7.5% hair samples gave positive results. Trichophyton terrestre (2.1%), Chrysosporium sp, Chrysosporium keratinophilum, Microsporum gypseum, Trichophyton gloriae and Trichophyton mentagrophytes (0.6% each), Trichophyton erinacei and Scopulariopsis brevicaulis (0.4% each), Chrysosporium asperatum (0.3%), Arthroderma sp and Microsporum canis (0.1% each) were identified in cultures with single isolates, whereas Chrysosporium sp/T. mentagrophytes (0.3%), Chrysosporium sp/T. terrestre and M. gypseum/T. terrestre (0.2% each), Chrysosporium tropicum/T. terrestre, M. canis/T. terrestre and T. ajelloi/T. terrestre (0.1% each) were identified in cultures with mixed isolates. T. erinacei and M. canis have not previously been isolated from hares. M. canis, T. erinacei and T. mentagrophytes were the most clinically important dermatophytes found. Altogether, they were isolated only from 1.5% hair samples. Thus, it is concluded that brown hares may play a limited epidemiological role as carriers of zoonotic dermatophytes.

Nevertheless, this should be taken into consideration as many people may be exposed to zoonotic agents from brown hares during hunting and trapping activities.

Introduction

The Brown Hare or European Hare (Lepus europaeus, Pallas 1778), also known as Common Hare, is an indigenous species to Italy. European hares have naturally expanded throughout most of Europe as well as through the Middle East to Central Asia. In addition, they have been introduced extensively by humans to several other countries and have become one of the most important game species throughout the world due to their highly appreciated meat. Keratinophilic fungi are a group of molds that colonize various keratinous substrates and degrade them to components of low molecular weight. Many keratinophilic fungi are common saprophytes in soil such as Chrysosporium species and Scopulariopsis brevicaulis, though they can be found as contaminants on keratinous tissues, including skin, nails, feathers and hair. Some species share certain characteristic features, constituting a special group called dermatophytes. Most species of dermatophytes have strict parasitic aptitude towards animals (zoophilic), man (anthropophilic) or both (zoonotic) and are considered as true dermatophytes such as Microsporum canis, Trichophyton erinacei and Trichophyton mentagrophytes. Others are soil saprophytes with different parasitic aptitude and are indicated as geophilic dermatophytes such as Microsporum gypseum, Trichophyton ajelloi and Trichophyton terrestre. Over the last years, an increasing number of zoophilic dermatophytes have been recognized as agents of skin infections in humans causing clinical lesions with greater frequency than anthropophilic dermatophytes (Tampieri et al., 2006).

In recent years, wildlife is emerged as a large and often overlooked source of zoonotic diseases (Deutz et al., 2003; Lévesque et al., 2007). Many studies gathered a wealth of scientific information on biology (Paci et al., 2007), management (Peloroso et al., 2008), and health status of European hare populations (Wibbelt and Frolich, 2005), including attention to agents which may have an impact on human health (Tremel et al., 2007). However, data concerning the carriage of zoonotic dermatophytes are very few. In Italy the studies on the epidemiology of dermatophytes in domestic animals are scarce (Cafarchia et al., 2004) and only two reports have been published on hares (Mantovani et al., 1982; Zanni et al., 1995). As the epidemiology of dermatophytes may be changing overtime, it is important to review periodically their prevalence and distribution.

Materials and methods

Study area and sampling design

According to the Italian legislation, the regional administration of Tuscany (Central Italy) has established the creation of restocking and capture zones in each province to manage the activities of game hunting in the territory. These special protected areas, where hunting is prohibited, aim to allow the population growth of wild game species. In our reality, restocking and capture zones are mainly used for the growth of brown hare populations. Once a year (usually between December and January), many hares are captured alive by trammel nets and then relocated in hunting territories.

A total of 986 apparently healthy European hares (Lepus europaeus, Pallas 1778) were examined for the presence of dermatophytes and related keratinophilic fungi on their coats. Data collected from each animal included age and sex. Animals were classified as juveniles (<9-month-old) or adults (>9-month-old) according to the presence of the Stroh’s tubercle (Broekhuizen and Maaskamp, 1979). There were 719 adults and 267 juveniles as well as...
499 females and 487 males. Between January 2003 and January 2008, they were caught from 9 restocking and capture zones with different habitat characteristics in the province of Pisa, expanding from 429 to 1,152 hectares (mean ± standard deviation=862±267). As soon as possible after trapping, animals were selected randomly and sampled by brushing each over the face and neck as well as the dorsal, lateral and ventral surfaces of the body using a small sterilized plastic hair brush (Mancianti et al., 1993, 2002). Then they were placed in darkened, wooden capture-boxes, transferred and released in hunting areas.

Identification of isolates

Samples were seeded on Petri dishes with Mycobiotic agar (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, USA), incubated at 25°C, and daily inspected for fungal growth up to 4 weeks. Genera and species of dermatophytes and keratinophilic fungi were identified as previously described (Mancianti et al., 1993, 2002).

Analysis of results

Prevalence of dermatophytes and keratinophilic fungi was determined as the number of positive samples/total number of samples x 100. Differences in prevalence rates were compared according to sex and age using the χ²-test. P values ≤0.05 were considered significant.

Results and discussion

Overall, 74 out of 986 (7.5%) brown hares were carrying dermatophytes and/or keratinophilic fungi on their coats. Altogether, 13 fungal species were identified. In order of frequency, the number of single isolates obtained in cultures was the following: 21 isolates of Trichophyton terrestre (2.1%), 6 each of Chrysosporium sp., Chrysosporium keratinophilum, M. gypseum, Trichophyton gloriae and T. mentagrophytes (0.6%), 4 each of T. erinacei and S. brevicaulis (0.4%), 3 of Chrysosporium asperatum (0.3%), 1 each of Arthroderma sp. and M. canis (0.1%). In some cases, two isolates were detected in cultures from single hair samples. These included 3 (0.3%) cases with Chrysosporium sp/T. mentagrophytes, 2 (0.2%) with Chrysosporium sp/T. terrestre or M. gypseum/T. terrestre and 1 (0.1%) with Chrysosporium tropicum/T. terrestre, M. canis/T. terrestre or Trichophyton aelloi/T. terrestre. When statistical analysis of results by the χ²-test was carried out, it was evident that males were more likely (P=0.0115) to harbour dermatophytes and keratinophilic fungi on their coat in comparison to females (47/487, 9.6% vs 27/499, 5.4%). With respect to age, the prevalence rate was higher in juveniles (26/267, 9.7%) than in adults (48/719, 6.7%) but this difference did not reach a statistically significant value (P=0.1049). Probably, males may be associated with a significantly higher prevalence rate of dermatophytes and keratinophilic fungi because of their habits. Indeed, male hares generally live in a wider territory covering the territories of several females and fight each other often during the breeding season. Thus have increased possibility to find sources of fungal infection and to transmit mycotic agents to each other by direct contact.

From a public health perspective, M. canis, T. erinacei and T. mentagrophytes were the most clinically important dermatophytes found, as they are commonly reported to cause Tinea corporis, Tinea barbareae, Tinea cruris or Tinea capitis in man, whereas the other keratinophilic species isolated are less frequently associated with disease in humans, mostly in cases of immunodepression or other underlying pathologies. Altogether, M. canis, T. erinacei and T. mentagrophytes were isolated from 15 out of 986 (1.5%) hair samples. The present range and prevalence of medically relevant dermatophytes are slightly greater than previously reported. Indeed, T. mentagrophytes was the only clinically important dermatophyte species isolated from 1 out of 415 (0.2%) and 3 out of 270 (1%) brown hares, respectively (Mantovani et al., 1982; Zanni et al., 1995). This difference in range and prevalence is probably due to the larger number of animals sampled in our survey. T. mentagrophytes is known to parasite almost permanently the coat of rodents, small mammals and lagomorphs. The finding of M. gypseum was probably due to contamination from soil, its basic substrate. T. mentagrophytes and M. gypseum have previously been reported in brown hares (Mantovani et al., 1982). Thus, their isolation was to be expected, whereas the occurrence of T. erinacei and M. canis was rather unexpected.

To the best of authors’ knowledge, these two zoophilic dermatophytes with high zoonotic potential have not previously been isolated from hares. T. erinacei is commonly associated with hedgehogs. Its isolation was probably due to coat contamination with soil infected by hedgehogs shedding skin scales and sharing the same environment with hares. M. canis has been rarely found in wildlife, though it has been reported in asymptomatic foxes (Mancianti et al., 1993), marmots (Gallo et al., 2005a) and eastern cottontails (Gallo et al., 2005b). It is mostly associated with urban environment as cats is its natural reservoir and can be easily transmitted to dogs, rabbits, fur animals and mostly humans. Foxes, dogs and cats are amongst the main predators on brown hares (Haerer et al., 2001; Schmidt et al., 2004). M. canis has been reported in foxes, dogs and cats from Central Italy (Mancianti et al., 1993, 2002). Thus, it is likely that infected predators’ attacks may have favoured the spread of M. canis to brown hares.

Conclusions

As only 1.5% of animals examined in this study were carrying dermatophytes of medical importance on their coat, it is concluded that brown hares play a limited epidemiological role as carriers of zoonotic dermatophytes. Nevertheless, this should be taken into consideration as many hunters, wildlife researchers and researchers may be especially exposed to zoototic pathogens touching and handling brown hares, dead or alive, during hunting and trapping activities (Deutz et al., 2003; Lévesque et al., 2007).

References

Broekhuizen, S., Maaskamp, F., 1979. Age determination in the European hare (Lepus europaeus Pallas) in the Netherlands. Z. Saugetierkd. 44:162-175.
Cafarchia, C., Romito, D., Sasanelli, M., Lia, R., Capelli, G., Otranto, D., 2004. The epidemiology of canine and feline dermatophytoses in southern Italy. Mycoses 47:508-513.
Deutz, A., Fuchs, K., Nowotny, N., Auer, H., Schuller, W., Stünzner, D., Aspöck, H., Kerbl, U., Köfer, J., 2003. Sero-epidemiological studies of zoonotic infections in hunters - comparative analysis with veterinarians, farmers, and abattoir workers. Wien. Klin. Wochenschr. 115(Suppl.3):61-67.
Gallo, M.G., Lanfranchi, R., Poglayen, G., Calderolasa, S., Menzano, A., Ferroglia, E., 2005a. Seasonal 4-year variation in the role of the alpine marmot (Marmota marmota) as acarrier of zoophilic dermatophytes. Med. Mycol. 43:373-379.
Gallo, M.G., Tizzani, P., Peano, A., Rambozzi, L., Meneguz, P.G., 2005b. Eastern cotton tail

[Ital J Anim Sci vol.9:e24, 2010]
(Sylvilagus floridanus) as carrier of dermatophyte fungi. Mycopathologia 160:163-166.

Haerer, G., Nicolet, J., Bacciarini, L., Gottstein, B., Giacometti, M., 2001. Causes of death, zoonoses, and reproduction in the European brown hare in Switzerland. Schweiz. Arch. Tierheilkd. 143:193-201.

Lévesque, B., Messier, V., Bonnier-Viger, Y., Couillard, M., Côté, S., Ward, B.J., Libman, M.D., Gingras, S., Dick, D., Dewailly, É., 2007. Seroprevalence of zoonoses in a Cree community (Canada). Diagn. Micr. Infec. Dis. 59:283-286.

Mancianti, F., Nardoni, S., Cecchi, S., Corazza, M., Taccini, F., 2002. Dermatophytes isolated from symptomatic dogs and cats in Tuscany, Italy during a 15-year-period. Mycopathologia 156:13-18.

Mancianti, F., Papini, R., Poli, A., 1993. Mycological survey from coats of red foxes in Italy. J. Mycol. Med. 3:109-111.

Mantovani, A., Morganti, L., Battelli, G., Mantovani, A., Poglayen, G., Tampieri, M.P., Vecchi, G., 1982. The role of wild animals in the ecology of dermatophytes and related fungi. Folia Parasit. 29:279-284.

Paci, G., Lavazza, A., Ferretti, M., Bagliacca, M., 2007. Relationship between habitat, densities and metabolic profiles in brown hares (Lepus europaeus Pallas). Ital. J. Anim. Sci. 6:241-255.

Pelorosso, R., Boccia, L., Amici, A., 2008. Simulating brown hare (Lepus europaeus Pallas) dispersion: a tool for wildlife management of wide areas. Ital. J. Anim. Sci. 7:335-350.

Schmidt, N.M., Asferg, T., Forchhammer, M.C., 2004. Long-term patterns in European brown hare population dynamics in Denmark: effects of agriculture, predation and climate. BMC Ecology 4:15.

Tampieri, M.P., 2006. Mycetes and urban area. Parassitologia. 48:121-124.

Treml, F., Pikula, J., Bandouchova, H., Horakova, J., 2007. European brown hare as a potential source of zoonotic agents. Vet. Med.-Czech 52:451-456.

Wibbelt, G., Frölich, K., 2005. Infectious disease in European brown hares (Lepus europaeus). Wildlife Biol. 1:86-93.

Zanni, M.L., Poglayen, G., Marzadori, F., Benassi, M.C., Capucci, L., Carpenè, E., Fabbi, M., Magnino, S., Tagliabue, S., Roda, R., Tasselli, A., Serra, R., Venturi, L., Bartolucci, M., Galuppi, R., Lavazza, A., 1995. Monitoraggio sanitario nella lepre (Lepus europaeus Pallas) in provincia di Ravenna. Selez. Vet. 36:1-26.
