Real-life effects of benralizumab on allergic chronic rhinosinusitis and nasal polyposis associated with severe asthma
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Abstract
The aim of this study has been to evaluate the efficacy of the IL-5 receptor blocker benralizumab on chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis (CRSwNP), associated with severe eosinophilic allergic asthma. Ten patients with severe eosinophilic allergic asthma and CRSwNP were enrolled. Sino-nasal outcome test (SNOT-22), numerical rating scale (NRS), endoscopic nasal polyp score, Lund-Mackey CT (computed tomography) score, and blood eosinophil count were measured at baseline and after 24 weeks of treatment with benralizumab. All the above clinical, endoscopic, imaging, and hematological parameters significantly improved after 24 weeks of treatment with benralizumab. In particular, SNOT-22 decreased from 61.10 ± 17.20 to 26.30 ± 19.74 (P < 0.001), NRS decreased from 7.20 ± 1.55 to 3.40 ± 2.22 (P < 0.001), the endoscopic polyp nasal score decreased from 4.20 ± 1.32 to 2.50 ± 1.78 (P < 0.001), the Lund-Mackey CT score decreased from 16.60 ± 5.50 to 6.90 ± 5.99 (P < 0.001), and blood eosinophil count decreased from 807.3 ± 271.1 cells/μL to 0 cells/μL (P < 0.0001). These results strongly suggest that benralizumab exerted a very effective therapeutic action on CRSwNP associated with severe asthma, thus improving nasal symptoms and decreasing polyp size.
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Introduction
Chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) is a widespread inflammatory disease, localized at level of nose and paranasal sinuses, which affects approximately 12% of people living in the United States, 11% in Europe, 9%–10% in Asia, and 8.5% in Australia.¹⁻⁴ When associated with nasal polyyps (CRSwNP), this upper airway disorder is clinically characterized by nasal obstruction and secretions, smell loss, facial pain, and headache for more than 12 weeks.⁵⁶ Subjective symptoms can be evaluated by a 22 item questionnaire named Sino-Nasal Outcome Test (SNOT-22).⁷ CRSwNP negatively impacts on health-related quality of life.⁸ Rhinorrhea and nasal blockage also impair sleep quality, thus favoring daytime drowsiness, discomfort, and reduced personal performances with regard to
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work and school duties. Polyps protruding into nasal cavities can be visualized by rhinoscopy. Moreover, inside paranasal sinuses and ostiomeatal complex nasal polyps can cause mucosal abnormalities, which can be detected by computed tomography (CT) scan as partial or complete opacities; these CT changes can be quantified by the widely used Lund-Mackay score.

CRSwNP is often sustained by a type 2 (T2) immune/inflammatory response, consisting of an eosinophilic infiltration induced by interleukin-5 (IL-5) released from T helper 2 (Th2) lymphocytes and group 2 innate lymphoid cells (ILC2). Such a T2-high inflammation can be associated with elevated levels of immunoglobulins E (IgE), a condition defined as atopy. In this regard, it is noteworthy that in atopic subjects CRSwNP often represents a relevant comorbidity of severe eosinophilic asthma. Indeed, IgE act as additional survival factors for eosinophils, even if eosinophilic inflammation does occur independently from atopic status. Asthma severity correlates with the degree of nasal obstruction, hyposmia, and sinus CT scan alterations. Furthermore, the presence of nasal polyps has a negative impact on asthma control.

Standard treatments for CRSwNP include topical corticosteroids and nasal polyp surgery. However, many patients report relapsing symptoms and need several surgical polypectomies; therefore, severe CRSwNP is hardly controlled by common therapies. Similarly, severe asthma often results to be uncontrolled despite the use of high dosages of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) and long-acting β2-adrenergic (LABA) bronchodilators. Hence, current GINA (Global Initiative for Asthma) guidelines recommend at step 5 the utilization of add-on biological drugs for severe asthma. The latter medications appear to be also quite promising for treatment of CRSwNP.

In this observational study we consecutively enrolled all the atopic patients with severe persistent eosinophilic asthma and CRSwNP (five males and five females), referring to the Respiratory Unit and to the Otolaryngology Unit of “Magna Græcia” University Hospital located in Catanzaro, Italy. The diagnosis of CRSwNP was based on clinical criteria, nasal endoscopy and CT, as recommended by the European position paper on rhinosinusitis and nasal polyps. All patients met the ERS/ATS criteria that define severe uncontrolled asthma. With regard to asthma, the main characteristics of these patients are summarized in Table 1. All enrolled subjects had stopped regular therapy with oral corticosteroids (OCS) at least 2 months before starting treatment with benralizumab, and they had previously undergone surgical removal of nasal polyps, which however occurred more than 6 months before the first benralizumab injection. Benralizumab was prescribed according to the indications of the Italian Drug Agency, and it was administered subcutaneously at the dosage of 30 mg every 4 weeks for the first three doses, and every 8 weeks thereafter.
We exclusively used validated questionnaires, scales, and scores. Subjective symptoms and their impact on quality of life were evaluated by SNOT-22 questionnaire, even if this test also measures symptoms which are not cardinal for CRSwNP, such as sneezing, ocular, and otologic symptoms. Scores from 0 (non-perceived symptom) to 5 (worst possible perception of that symptom) were assigned for each item. Total score ranges from 0 to 110. A higher score is associated with worse outcomes. Subjective pain was measured by numerical rating scale (NRS). This outcome measure attributes an oscillating score ranging from 0 (“no problem”) to 10 (“worst possible”), and it was used in order to get a rapid and synthetic assessment of patient’s perception of the disease. Nasal endoscopies were performed using an Olympus ENF-GP fiber rhinolaryngoscope (Olympus Corp, Tokyo, Japan), and the endoscopic nasal polyp score was measured. This score is based on the size of the polyp and assigns a value from 0 to 3 for each nasal fossa (the score value is directly proportional to the severity of the condition): 0 = no polyps; 1 = small polyps in the middle meatus that do not reach the lower edge of the middle turbinate; 2 = polyps that reach the lower edge of the middle turbinate, but not the lower edge of the lower turbinate; 3 = large polyps that reach and pass the edge of the inferior turbinate. Facial massif CT was carried out by an expert radiologist in order to assess the Lund-Mackay CT Score. This score evaluates the opacification of the paranasal sinuses (frontal sinus, anterior ethmoidal cells, posterior ethmoidal cells, maxillary sinus, and sphenoid sinus) and the obstruction of the ostiomeatal complex. For each paranasal sinus, a score from 0 (absence of opacification) to 2 (complete opacification) can be chosen. The ostiomeatal complex is assigned a score of either 0 (not obstructed) or 2 (obstructed). Each side is ranked separately. The score ranges from 0 to 24 (a higher score expresses greater opacification). The Lund-Mackay score is usually not utilized as an outcome measure because it is not very sensitive to change. However, despite such a limitation we decided to use this score because it guarantees an objective assessment, which can integrate the diagnostic evaluation provided by rhinoscopy. We also evaluated the adverse events during the first 2h after drug administration, as well as throughout a once-weekly telephone call, asking to patients if they had experienced headache, pharyngitis, anaphylaxis, and pyrexia, thus soliciting them to refer if any worse variation of their health status had occurred.

This observational investigation met the standards of Good Clinical Practice (GCP) and the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All recruited patients gave their written informed consent. Our study was also performed in accordance to what decided by the local Ethical Committee of Calabria Region (Catanzaro, Italy; document n. 66 – 23rd March 2020), which stated that “collection of data regarding observational studies referring to outpatients treated with drugs already approved by regulatory agencies, does not need approval.”

**Patients**

We included patients over the age of 18 years, who experienced at least two of the known CRSwNP symptoms (nasal obstruction, rhinorrhea, sense of pressure or facial pain, decreased sense of taste or smell) and had a total endoscopic score for nasal polyposis $\geq 2$, a blood eosinophil count of at least 300 cells/$\mu$L at baseline, and a severe persistent asthma characterized by poor symptom control despite high dosages of ICS-LABA combinations and long-acting muscarinic antagonists (LAMA).

Patients were excluded if they met one or more of the following criteria: treatment with either systemic corticosteroid therapy and/or biological drugs, as well as with immunosuppressive agents during the previous 2 months; surgical treatment and functional endoscopic surgery of the paranasal sinuses during the previous 6 months; more than three previous surgical treatments.

**Endpoints and assessments**

SNOT-22, NRS, endoscopic nasal polyp score, Lund-Mackay CT score, and peripheral blood
eosinophils were measured at baseline and 24 weeks after the first injection of benralizumab.

Statistical analysis

All data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical analysis of the results was performed by Student’s t-test for paired data (SNOT-22, NRS, endoscopic nasal polyp score, Lund-Mackay CT score, peripheral blood eosinophil count). P values <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. The analysis was performed using Prism Version 8.2.1 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, California).

Results

The mean age of enrolled subjects was 56.40 ± 8.88 years, and the mean BMI was 24.63 ± 4.73 Kg/m². Three patients were also affected by aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease (AERD). After 24 weeks of add-on treatment with benralizumab, the SNOT-22 score significantly improved from 61.10 ± 17.20 to 26.30 ± 19.74 (P < 0.001) (Figure 1). When compared to the baseline measurement of 7.20 ± 1.55, NRS significantly decreased to 3.40 ± 2.22 at the 24th week (P < 0.001) (Figure 2). The onset of action of benralizumab was quite rapid, as inferred by both SNOT-22 and NRS scores, which already improved after the second benralizumab injection. These clinical results were associated with a significant improvement of the endoscopic polyp nasal score, which decreased to 2.50 ± 1.78 when compared to the baseline (pre-benralizumab) value of 4.20 ± 1.32 (P < 0.001) (Figure 3). The therapeutic effect of benralizumab was mainly evident at level of polyps present within anterior ethmoidal cells, which represented the most frequent polyp location detected in our patients. Moreover, the Lund-Mackay CT score sharply diminished, with respect to baseline, from 16.60 ± 5.50 to 6.90 ± 5.99 after 24 weeks (P < 0.001) (Figure 4). Such remarkable clinical and imaging improvements were associated with a drastic fall of blood eosinophil count, which dropped from 807.3 ± 271.1 cells/μL to 0 cells/μL after 24 weeks of treatment (P < 0.0001). Cytological sampling documented a sharp reduction of nasal eosinophilic infiltration after treatment with benralizumab (data not shown). With regard to the adverse events, benralizumab was characterized by a very satisfactory profile of safety and tolerability. Indeed, no severe adverse reaction was reported, and only two patients after the first injection experienced mild fever with chills, which rapidly and spontaneously resolved without any need for pharmacologic treatment.

Discussion

The results of our real-life observational study show that a 24-week treatment with benralizumab, performed in 10 atopic patients with severe asthma and CRSwNP, induced a marked improvement of subjective nasal symptoms, documented by a
significant reduction of both SNOT-22 and NRS scores. These important therapeutic effects of benralizumab were associated with a relevant decrease of nasal polyp size, expressed as a significant reduction of the endoscopic nasal polyp score. Moreover, benralizumab also reduced the opacification of paranasal sinuses, as demonstrated by a significant decrease of Lund-Mackay CT score. Similar therapeutic effects were described in some case reports recently published by us and other authors, showing that benralizumab decreased the size of nasal polyps visualized by rhinoscopy and eliminated the ethmoid sinus shadows detected by CT scan. Conversely, according to the results of a recent phase 2 randomized controlled trial, no significant difference was detected between treatments with benralizumab and placebo with regard to endoscopic nasal polyp score in patients with eosinophilic chronic rhinosinusitis, though this outcome measure improved in many enrolled subjects. However, the duration of this study, lasting only 12 weeks, was too short in order to obtain a convincing evidence about benralizumab inefficacy.

The favorable clinical and instrumental findings arising from our observational investigation were concomitant with a sharp fall of blood eosinophil counts. Eosinophil numbers in peripheral blood and nasal polyp tissue are often concordant, and their concordance is correlated with inadequate control of nasal polyposis. In light of the above considerations, the results of our present study are quite interesting because suggest that benralizumab made it possible to achieve the main aims of CRSwNP treatment, including persistent improvements of polyp mass, nasal blockage, rhinorrea, and smell loss. Indeed, amelioration of subjective symptoms is closely related to the attenuation of eosinophilic inflammation, as well as to the reduction of polyp volume and growth. In fact, nasal polyps are often characterized by an intense eosinophilic inflammation associated with high IL-5 expression and elevated IgE levels. It can thus be argued that in our atopic patients benralizumab was able to suppress IL-5-driven eosinophilia and the consequent development of nasal polyposis. In particular, benralizumab can inhibit IL-5-dependent eosinophilopoiesis which takes place not only in bone marrow but also in situ, for example, within the nasal polyp tissue. Indeed, CD34+ eosinophil progenitor cells obtained from human allergic nasal polyps rapidly differentiated into mature eosinophils when exposed to IL-5. Moreover, eosinophil progenitors have been found in both airways and sera of atopic patients. Inhibitory effects on nasal polyposis can be also exerted by anti-IL-5 monoclonal antibodies such as mepolizumab and reslizumab. SNOT-22 score was reported to be improved by both these drugs. Mepolizumab also improved nasal polyposis visual analog scale (VAS) score and endoscopic nasal polyp score, as well as significantly decreased the need for surgical treatment of nasal polyps.

Taken together, the findings of our present study indicate that benralizumab had a very positive impact on nasal polyps in atopic patients with
severe eosinophilic asthma. Since we have previously shown in these same subjects that benralizumab rapidly and effectively impacted on clinical control of their severe asthma, as well as on lung function and OCS intake, it appears that the add-on treatment with this biologic drug can induce an overall improvement in the quality of life of atopic patients with severe asthma and coexistent CRSwNP. On the other hand, in severe asthmatics nasal polyps represent a comorbid condition which can be considered as a very reliable predictor of a positive therapeutic response to benralizumab.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our preliminary results suggest that benralizumab, similar to mepolizumab and reslizumab as well as to the anti-IgE antibody omalizumab and to the dual IL-4/IL-13 receptor blocker dupilumab, can extend its therapeutic actions to both upper and lower airways, unitedly involved in the pathophysiology of allergic diseases. However, because the main limitation of the present study is the small size of our patient population, further investigations are needed to eventually corroborate and validate such early findings in larger numbers of atopic subjects. Another obvious limitation of our investigation, which is common to all real-life studies, concerns the lack of a randomization design and a placebo control. Therefore, in addition to being characterized by sufficient sample size and duration, hopefully future trials should be also randomized, placebo-controlled, and double-blinded. Such features will possibly allow to definitely confirm in allergic patients the efficacy of benralizumab as add-on biological therapy of CRSwNP associated with severe eosinophilic asthma.
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