FISH MUCUS: A NEGLECTED RESERVOIR FOR ANTIMICROBIAL PEPTIDES
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ABSTRACT:-

Antimicrobial resistance has posed a great global burden, with the fear that by 2050 it would have killed more people than cancer if nothing much is done about it. Alongside several attempts in place, zoo-therapy is becoming one of important remedies in the modern society, with hope for solution believed to be hidden in nature. In this study, the authors present a review of journal articles and reports obtained through key word search of several literature databases on recent developments in the battle against the antimicrobial resistance using fish derived antimicrobial peptides. The findings indicate despite some limitations of these antimicrobial peptides, their very broad spectrum activity against pathogens keeps them among promising antibiotic alternatives. The study recommends aquatic habitat exploration in search for novel bacterial antimicrobials.
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INTRODUCTION

The contemporary increase in mortality due to bacterial infections has solely been attributed to antimicrobial resistance1. The potentials of antibiotics to combat bacterial infections have greatly decreased due to the ever increasing multidrug resistance2–3, that claims over 700,000 lives every year4, with a projected rise to 10 million by 2050 if alternative solutions are not found5. Mortality due to bacterial infections will thus be far worse those caused by malaria which claimed 445,000 lives in 20166, HIV which claims about a million lives annually7 and cancers which accounted for 8.8 million deaths in 20158. At the beginning of 2017, the World Health Organization (WHO) made a desperate global call for new class of antibiotics9. Seven month later (September, 2017), WHO confirms the world is running out of antibiotics and declared a global health emergency that calls for an urgent need for more investment in research and development for antibiotic-resistant infections especially for the ranked ‘priority pathogens’ that if not watched may within this century, return the world back to the “pre-antibiotic era”10. This point out the fact that, the search for novel antimicrobials is cardinal as far as combating the antimicrobial resistance is concerned. In light of the above, four new strategies of overcoming resistance by microbes, are being explored: modifying old antibiotics into entirely new classes, combining antibiotics, supplementing antibiotics with adjuvants or searching nature for novel antibiotics10. This is in line with the 2010 Infectious Diseases Society of America...
(IDSA)’s proposed 10x'20 Global Initiative aimed at developing 10 new, safe, and effective antibiotics by 2020. Regrettably, the rate at which these microorganisms develop resistance has outpaced the rate of discovery/development of new class of antibiotics as scientists dig into various ecological niches: marine, plants, animals, soil in search for novel alternatives. This study believes the antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) from the fish mucus could be one of such novel antimicrobials nature can offer, however clear information on their source, recent extraction technologies and utilizability need first to be brought into limelight.

**Fish mucus as source of antimicrobial peptides**

Fish mucus, a fish by-product, is a cardinal component of fish innate immunity. This mucus constitutes an innate defense barrier of fish skin that continuously prevent stable colonization of majority of infectious microbes such as bacteria, fungus into the fish body. Fish mucus is secreted by epidermal goblet cells and comprises of mucins and other substances such as inorganic salts, immunoglobulin, proteins and lipids suspended in water giving it characteristic lubricating properties. The mucus of some fish species like catfish of *Claris* spp. have for centuries been used in traditional medicine to heal wounds, burns, tumors. Their mucus is known for their activity as antibacterial and antifungal agents. In the Indian traditional medicine, *Anguill a anguilliformis* (eel fish) has for long been used in treatments of anaemia, burn injury, piles, weakness among other diseases and *Channa striatus* is well known for its wound healing, anti-inflammatory, immune-modulatory as well as mild antifungal and antibacterial roles. These properties have mostly been attributed to the presence of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs), polynsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), mycosporine-like amino acids (MAAs), organic acids among others. Common antimicrobial peptides (Table 1) are secreted both by the fish goblet cells and the skin microbiota they harbor and are known for their broad spectrum activity against parasitic microorganisms at a very low minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), Epand and Vogel emphasized the fact that AMPs are classically known for damaging the cell membrane of pathogenic bacteria. Much as most of them interacts electrostatically with the surface of negatively charged cell membrane, some interacts with the membrane molecules, as others target intracellular molecules. Since they rarely interacts with specific receptors, their microbial targets rarely develops resistant phenotypes. This has kept a number of peptide antibiotics still standing in the fight against antimicrobial resistance.

**Table 1: Common Antimicrobial peptides (AMP) produced by bacteria* and Fish**

| Organism | AMP | Sequence | Activity | Reference |
|----------|-----|----------|----------|-----------|
| *M. saxatilis* | Piscidin 1 | FHHIFRGIVHVVTIHKHI HELP | + | (25) |
| *M. chrysops* | Piscidin 3 | FHHIFRGIVHAGRISGFLTG | + | (25) |
| *P. americanus* | Pleurocidin | GWGFSFFKKAHVGHVGAALTHYL | + | (26) |
| *O. mykiss* | rtCATH_1(R146-P181) | RRSKVRJCSRGKNCSVSPGVSIGRPFGGSGLP | + | (27) |
| *G. morhua* | codCath | SRSRGSGKGGRGGSGSSHSGSRGKSPGSGRGSNGKGSNGKSNGGSGRGSNG | + | (28) |
| *C. semilaesi* | CsHEP | LPLDQVETEGVGMRSJGDSPTAAINEETSTVDQWIPYHARVKR | + | (30) |
| *L. lactis* | Nisin A | IDhb(cyclo(AlDhaLA)cyclo(AlbPGA)cyclo(AlbGALMGA)NMKcyclo(AlbA | + | (31) |
| *P. polymyxa* | Polymyxin B | (S)-6-Methylcoctanal-DabTDab-cyclo(DabDab,FLLDabDab) | + | (32) |
| Polymyxin E | (S)-6-Methyloctanoyl-BTB-cyclo(BB D LLB) | + | (24) |
| *B. subtilis* | Bacitracin A | 1-(N(2-(1-amino-2-methylbuty1)-4,5-dihydro-4-thiazoy1))carbonyl)LDL(cyclo(KDOrnDFFHDN) | + | (33) |
| *B. brevis* | Gramicidin A | CHOVGADLDVVDWDLDDLWLWNCHH2CHHO | + | (34) |
| Gramicidin S | cyclo(OMrLDFP)2 | + | (35) |
| Tyrocidines | cyclo(VOrnLDFPX3Orn3K12F12L2X(W3NYVY2X2X1) | + | (36) |

*Pieces: Bacteria G+: Gram+ bacteria; G-: Gram – bacteria; Orn: ornithine; Dhh: didehydroaminobutyric acid; Dha: didehydroalanine; A: aninobutidic acid; Dab: dianinobutyric acid.
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Methods used in the study of antimicrobial peptides

Different approaches have been employed in the isolation, purification and characterization of AMPs. This has been summarized in the Table 2. Most studies encountered seem to favor direct extraction and batch fermentation for AMPs production. This is justified by the easy of manipulation and the cost since, such can easily be performed in the laboratory without having any effect on the result quality\textsuperscript{37}. A number of the studies on the AMPs are utilized in their crude form\textsuperscript{38-40}. This is this partly as a result of high cost and tediousness of the purification process. However, it limits the applicability and potency of the AMPs due to high level of contaminants, leaving Reverse Phase High Performance Liquid Chromatography (RP-HPLC) as an extremely valuable tool as AMPs are generally resistant to different organic solvents used in mobile phase and to the pressure employed through the chromatographic process\textsuperscript{39}.

Table 2: Methods commonly used in the study of AMPs

| AMPs source (skin mucus and symbionts) | Purification | Characterization | Reference |
|----------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Chantrapunctatus                        | Precipitation| Disc diffusion assay | (19) |
| Clariasbatrachus and Tilapia mossambicus | Precipitation| SDS-PAGE         | (40) |
| Frog                                   | Precipitation RP-HPLC | SDS-PAGE MALDI-TOF | (41) |
| Spiny eel                              | Precipitation| UV Spectrophotometer SDS-PAGE GC/MS | (42) |
| Hag fish                               | Precipitation RP-HPLC | Protein–dye binding Electro blotting Edman degradation SDS-PAGE Nanoelectrospray MS BLAST FPLC | (43) |
| Bacillus sp.                           | RPC RP-HPLC C\textsubscript{18}-LC | SDS-PAGE LC-MS/MS SEM | (2) |
| Hag fish                               | RPC          | SDS-PAGE         | (44) |
| Aerobicillus species SAT4              | Precipitation Gel permeation RP-HPLC | UV Spectrophotometer SDS-PAGE FTIR NMR XRD | (45) |
| Gadusmorhua                            | Precipitation HPLC | SDS-PAGE MALDI MS BLAST | (46) |
| Lactobacillus planrum                  | Precipitation Ion exchange RP-HPLC AA analyzer | SDS-PAGE IR UV MS | (39) |
| Aerobicillus sp.                       | Precipitation GPC RP-HPLC | SDS-PAGE FTIR XRD | (45) |
| Cynoglossusarell and Aries caelatus    | Precipitation| FTIR Immunomodulation | (47) |

UV-Ultraviolet, GC- Gas chromatography, SEM- Scanning Electron Microscopy, IR- Infrared, MS- Mass spectrometry, SDS-PAGE- Sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, FTIR- Fourier Transform Infrared, NMR- Nuclear Magnetic Resonance, MALDI-TOF- Matrix assisted laser desorption ionization Time-of-flight, LC- Liquid chromatography, XRD- X-ray diffraction, HPLC- Reverse Phase High Performance Liquid Chromatography

Elucidation of the structure of AMPs is vital as far as understanding their utilization potentials is concerned. To elucidate the structure, the knowledge about the primary amino acid sequence is paramount. Amino acid analyzer connected to a RP-HPLC have been reported by several studies as an effective tool in amino acid analysis\textsuperscript{39}. However, this can only reveal the percentage composition of the amino acids in a protein not the sequence\textsuperscript{3}\textsuperscript{5}, hence a matrix assisted laser desorption ionization time of flight mass spectroscopy (MALDI-TOF/MS), is commonly used for protein sequence revelation due to its speed, sensitivity and specificity\textsuperscript{43}. 
Although Further, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (NMR) and X-Ray crystallography are the most important tools as far as determining high resolution structural information of AMPs is concerned. However, protein interactions protein size gaps and conformational flexibility limit their use in AMPs studies. This explain why most studies on AMPs adopt combination of Fourier-Transform Infrared (FTIR) and NMR spectroscopy to reveal 2 dimensional structures of AMPs from which bioinformatics tools may be employed to obtain the three dimension structure.

**Limitations in utilization of antimicrobial peptides**

AMPs are known for their high sensitivity to pH, temperature and enzymes, this hinders their production and utilization because of their they are protein in nature and can easily be denatured during the process. Further, their small size and limited number of amino acids limits the structure elucidation of the AMPs. This limited information on the structure of AMPs based on the primary amino acid sequences has jeopardized functional pharmacological approach to dose-response assessments of AMPs and their susceptibility to target cell membrane. Conversely, with the contemporary advancement in bioinformatics, this hold-back of the AMPs have been extinguished. AMPs with limited number of rare and D-amino acids are highly vulnerable to protease activity thereby reducing their bioavailability as well as alternative routes of administration and has enabled *S. aureus* gain a substantial resistance against dermicidin yet some have poor penetration of AMPs through the intestinal mucosa when taken orally and implicated toxic.

**Summary of the review findings**

In this review, the following facts were established about the antimicrobial peptides.

**Broad spectrum activity**

The review has reveals the vast activity of the antimicrobial peptides against both the gram positive and gram negative bacteria, an activity not common to the conventional standard antibiotics like penicillin and Chloramphenicol. This ability to overcome resistance as highlighted earlier due to the AMPs multiple target sides and non-specific mode of action.

**Diversity of study approaches**

Several methods to study the AMPs do exist. However, the small size of AMPs together with protein interactions and conformational flexibility limit the study of AMPs. To this effect, combinations of recent technologically advanced approaches have been engaged so as to explore various AMPs from different habitats.

**Paying AMPs limitation debt**

Low stability of AMPs during production into pharmaceutical forms, toxicity and poor pharmacokinetics jeopardize their utilization. However, structurally altering AMPs and functional pharmacological approach to dose-response assessments of AMPs prior to production are some of the suggested ways to reduce toxicity. Further, the poor pharmacokinetics, especially poor oral bioavailability; short plasma half-lives among others may be overcomeby increasing the number of rare amino acids during AMPs synthesis and production alongside analogue synthesis. Lastly, incorporation of D-amino acids that are stable in the presence of protease and synergism of AMPs with standard antibiotics can increase their stability.

**Conclusion and future perspective**

Much as AMPs show broad antimicrobial activity especially those isolated from marine habitat using recent technologies, other habitats such as fresh water fish mucus remain less explored.

**Conflict of interests**

The authors have do declare no conflict of interests.

**Acknowledgement**

The authors acknowledge the support from Pharm Biotechnology and Traditional Medicine Center (PHARMBIOTRAC), Mbarara University of Science and Technology and Government of Uganda.

REFERENCES

1. Martens E, Demain AL. The antibiotic resistance crisis , with a focus on the United States. J Antibiot (Tokyo) [Internet]. 2017;2017(January):1–7. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ja.2017.30
2. Xin H, Ji S, Peng J, Han P, An X, Wang S, et al. Isolation and characterisation of a novel antibacterial peptide from a native swine intestinal tract-derived bacterium. Int J Antimicrob Agents [Internet]. 2017; Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2016.12.012
3. Tillotson GS, Zinner SH. Burden of antimicrobial resistance in an era of decreasing susceptibility. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther [Internet]. 2017;15(7):663–76. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/14787210.2017.1337508
4. WHO. Drug-resistant bacteria ranked. Nature. 2017 Mar;15.
5. O’Neill J. Antimicrobial Resistance : Tackling a crisis for the health and wealth of nations. London; 2014.
6. WHO. World malaria report 2017. Geneva; 2017.
7. WHO. WHO Global Health Observatory data 2017. Geneva; 2017.
8. WHO. World Cancer Report 2016. Geneva; 2016.
9. WHO. Antibacterial agents in clinical development – an analysis of the antibacterial clinical development pipeline, including tuberculosis. Geneva; 2017.
10. The Scientist. Overcoming Resistance. LabX Media Group [Internet]. 2014 Apr;1–10. Available from: https://www.the-scientist.com/articles.view.articleNo/39512/title/Overcom ing-Resistance
11. IDSA. The 10X’20 Initiative : Pursuing a Global Commitment to Develop 10 New Antibacterial Drugs by 2020. Clin Infect Dis. 2010;50(8):1081–3.
12. Huttner A, Harbarrth S, Carlet J, Cosgrove S, Goossens H, Holmes A. Antimicrobial resistance : a global view from the 2013 World Healthcare-Associated Infections Forum. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2013;2(31):1–13.
13. Vennum R, Kumar KR, Kanchana S, Arumugam M, Vijayalakshmi S. Preliminary investigation on antimicrobial
and proteolytic property of the epidermal mucus secretion of marine stingrays. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed [Internet]. 2011;1(2):S26. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2221-1691(11)60162-7

14. Tyor AK, Kumari S. Biochemical characterization and antibacterial properties of fish skin mucus of fresh water fish, hypophthalmichthys nobilis. Int J Pharm Sci. 2016;8(6):6–10.

15. Chirwucha T, Okafor SN, Okechukwu DC. Catfish ( Clarias gariepinus ) Slime Coat Possesses Antimicrobial and Wound Healing Activities. UK Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biosciences. 2016;4(3):84–7.

16. Rahman S, Choudhury JK, Dutta A, Kalita MC. Eel Ichthyofauna of Assam in Folklore Therapeutic Practices. Int J Interdiscip Multidisip Stud. 2014;1(5):273–6.

17. Blaksees L. Catfish Slime â€™s Healing Agents. The New York Times. 1988 Jan 26;C00003.

18. Deslouches B, Di YP. Antimicrobial peptides with selective antitumor mechanisms: prospect for anticancer applications. Oncotarget. 2017;8(28):46635–51.

19. Nwabueze AA, Campus A, Campus A. Antimicrobial Action of Epidermal Mucus Extract of Clarias gariepinus ( Burchell , 1822 ) Juveniles-Fed Ginger Inclusion in Diet. Int J Biol. 2014;6(2):42–8.

20. Loganathan K, Muniyan M, Prakash AA, Raja PS, Prakash M. Studies on the role of mucus from Clarias batrachus ( Linnaeus ) against studies on the role of mucus from clarias batrachus ( Linnaeus ) against selected microbes. Int J Pharm Appl Sci. 2014;2(3):202–6.

21. Wei ONGY, Xavier R, Marimuthu K. Screening of antibacterial activity of mucus extract of Snakehead fish , Channa striatus ( Bloch ). Eur Rev Med Pharm Sci. 2010;675–81.

22. Epand RM, Vogel HJ. Diversity of antimicrobial peptides and their mechanisms of action. Biochem Biophy Acta. 1999;1462:11–28.

23. Hancock RE. Review Cationic peptides : effectors in innate immunity and novel antimicrobials. Infect Dis (Auckl). 2009;150:85.

24. Ageitos JM, Villa TG. Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs): Ancient compounds that represent novel weapons in the fight against bacteria. Biochem Pharmacol [Internet]. 2016; Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2016.09.018

25. Jackson GS, Beck JA, Sutton PM, Contreras M, Collinge J. Peptide antibiotics in mast cells of fish. Nature [Internet]. 2001 Dec;414(October):256–70. Available from: www.nature.com

26. Cole AM, Weis P, Diamond G. Isolation and Characterization of Pleurocidin , An Antimicrobial Peptide in the Skin Secretions of Winter Flounder *. J Biol Chem. 1997;272(18):12008–13.

27. Chang C, Zhang Y, Zou J, Nie P, Secombes CJ. Two Cathelicidin Genes Are Present in both Rainbow Trout ( Oncorhyncus mykiss ) and Atlantic Salmon ( Salmo salar ). Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2006;50(January):185–95.

28. Broekman DC, Zenz A, Gumdunsdottir BK, Loher K, Maier VH, Gudmundsson GH. Peptides Functional characterization of codCath , the mature cathelicidin antimicrobial peptide from Atlantic cod ( Gadus morhua ). Peptides [Internet]. 2011;32(10):2044–51. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.peptides.2011.09.012

29. Ruangrui J, Kitani Y, Kiron V, Lokesh J, Brinchmann MF, Karlsen O, et al. A Novel Beta-Defensin Antimicrobial Peptide in Atlantic Cod with Stimulatory Effect on Phagocytic Activity. PLoS One. 2013;8(4).

30. Masso-silva JA, Diamond G. Antimicrobial Peptides from Fish. Pharmaceuticals. 2014;7:265–310.

31. Rogers LA. The inhibiting effect of streptococcus lactis on lactobacillus bulgaricus. J Bacteriol. 1928;16(5):321–5.

32. Bahar AA, Ren D. Antimicrobial Peptides. Pharmaceuticals. 2013;6:1543–75.

33. Ikai Y, Oka H, Hayakawa J, Matsumoto M. Total Structures and Antimicrobial Activity of Bacitracin Minor Components. J Antibiot (Tokyo). 1994;47(3):433–42.

34. Townsley LE, Tucker WA, Shan S, Hinton JF. Structures of Gramicidins A , B , and C Incorporated into Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate. Biochemistry. 2001;15:11676–86.

35. Bzmka T. Properties of synthetic analogs of gramicidin S containing L-serine or L-glutamic acid residue in place of L-ornithine residue. Int J Pept Protein Res. 1996;47:369–75.

36. Dubos JR. Studies on a Bactericidal Agent Extracted from a Soil Bacillus: I. Preparation of the Agent. Its Activity in Vitro. J Exp Med. 1939;70(1939):1–10.

37. Eid R, J El, Rashidi A, Asfour H, Omara S, Mn K, et al. Probiotics & Health Potential Antimicrobial Activities of Probiotic Lactobacillus Strains Isolated from Raw Milk. J Probiotics Heal. 2016;4(2):2–9.

38. Sankar NR, Priyanka VD, Reddy PS, Rajanikanth P, Kumar VK, Indira M. Purification and Characterization of Bacteriocin Produced by Lactobacillus plantarum Isolated from Cow Milk. Int J Microbiol Res. 2012;3(2):133–7.

39. Atta HM, Refaat BM. Application of Biotechnology for Production , Purification and Characterization of Peptide Antibiotic Produced by Probiotic Lactobacillus plantarum , NRRL B-227. Glob J Biotechnol Biochem. 2009;4(2):115–25.

40. Elavara S, Ranjini S, Rajagopal T. Bactericidal proteins of skin mucus and skin extracts from fresh water fishes , Clarias batrachus and Tilapia mossambicus Abstract : Thai J Pharm Sci. 2013;37:194–200.

41. Koehbach J, Gruber CW, Becker C, Kreil DP, Jilek A. MALDI TOF/TOF-Based Approach for the Identi fi cation of 2016.

42. Uthayakumar V, Ramasubramanian V, Senthilkumar D. Biochemical characterization , antimicrobial and hemolytic studies on skin mucus of fresh water spiny eel Mastacembelus armatus. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed [Internet]. 2012;2(2):S863–9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2221-1691(12)60325-0

43. Subramanian S, Ross NW, Mackinlon SL. Myxindin , A Novel Antimicrobial Peptide from the Epidermal Mucus of Hagfish , Myxine glutinosa L . Mar Biotechnol. 2009;11:748–57.

44. Subramanian S, Ross NW, Mackinlon SL. Comparison of antimicrobial activity in the epidermal mucus extracts of fish. Comp Biochem Physiol. 2008;150:85–92.

45. Syed AM, Sifa A. Production and characterization of a new antibacterial peptide obtained from Aeribacillus pallidus SAT4. Biotech Reports. 2015;8:72–80.

46. Bergsorn G, Agerberth B, Jo H. Isolation and identification of antimicrobial components from the epidermal mucus of Atlantic cod ( Gadus morhua ). FEBSJ. 2005;272:4960–96.

47. Bragadeeswaran S, Priyadharshini S, Prabhuk K, Raj S, Rani S. Antimicrobial and hemolytic activity of fish epidermal mucus Cynoglossus arolii and Arius caelatus. Asian Pac J Trop Med [Internet]. 2011;4(4):305–9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1671-7645(11)60091-6

48. Mendoza VL, Vachet RW. Probing Protein Structure by Amino Acid-Specific Covalent Labeling and Mass Spectrometry. Mass spectrom. 2010;28(5):785–815.

49. Cherkasov A, Hilpert K, Fjell CD, Waldbrook M, Mullaly SC, Volkmer R, et al. Use of Artificial Intelligence in the Design of Small Peptide Antibiotics Effective against a Broad Spectrum of Highly Antibiotic-Resistant Superbugs. ACS Chem Biol. 2009;4(1):65–74.

50. Czyzewski AM, Jenssen H, Fjell CD, Waldbrook M, Barron E. In Vivo , In Vitro , and In Silico Characterization of Peptoids as Antimicrobial Agents. PLoS One. 2016;1:1–17.

51. Mahlapuu M, Håkansson J, Ringstad L, Björn C. Antibacterial Activity of Biactimina Minor Components. J Antibiot (Tokyo). 1994;47(3):433–42.
52. Lai Y, Villaruz AE, Li M, Cha DJ, Sturdevant DE, Otto M. The human anionic antimicrobial peptide dermcidin induces proteolytic defence mechanisms in staphylococci. Mol Microbiol. 2006;63(December 2006):497–506.

53. Wilcox S. The New Antimicrobials: Cationic Peptides. BioTech J. 2004;2(Fall 2014):88–91.

54. Wade D, Bomant A, Wxhlint B, Drain CM, Andreut D, Bomant HG, et al. All-D amino acid-containing channel-forming antibiotic peptides. Biochemistry. 1990;87(June):4761–5.

55. Wu X, Li Z, Li X, Tian Y, Fan Y, Yu C, et al. Synergistic effects of antimicrobial peptide DP7 combined with antibiotics against multidrug-resistant bacteria. Drug Des Devel Ther. 2017;11:939–46.