Evaluation of Salivary Gland Lesions by Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology at a Tertiary Care Hospital, Western Nepal
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**Background:** Salivary gland tumors (SGTs) account for 2–6.5% of all head and neck neoplasms, and fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) is one of the most useful diagnostic methods for clinicians. FNAC is utilized for evaluating suspicious salivary gland lesions initially. This study was undertaken to evaluate the various cytomorphological features of non-neoplastic and neoplastic lesions of salivary gland as diagnosed by FNAC.

**Materials and Methods:** This prospective, analytical study was done for a period of 18 months from January 1, 2014 to June 31, 2016 at the Universal College of the Medical Sciences Teaching Hospital (UCMS-TH), Bhairahawa, Nepal. A total of 81 FNAC samples were collected from patients with salivary gland swelling. The lesions were evaluated cytologically and correlations with histopathological follow-up data were acquired in 31 cases. All statistical analyses were performed utilizing Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software (version 24). Sensitivity and specificity of FNAC were evaluated and compared to that of biopsy.

**Results:** Out of the 81 cases studied, females of 21–30 years of age were the most commonly affected. The most common site of involvement was the parotid gland, with a frequency of 66.7%, the predominant benign lesion diagnosed cytologically was pleomorphic adenoma (PA), with a frequency of 51.84%, and the most common malignant lesion diagnosed was mucoepidermoid carcinoma (MEC), with a frequency of 9.88%. Of the total (N=81), 19.75% were of pediatric and 80.25% were in the adult age group. Within the pediatric age group, all the lesions diagnosed by FNAC were benign, with the most common lesion being PA. In the total cases evaluated for FNAC, biopsy sample was collected from 31 cases for histological correlation, with 90.32% corroborating the FNAC diagnosis.

**Conclusion:** The study demonstrated that FNAC is a very useful, rapid, and minimally invasive diagnostic tool for salivary gland lesions that can be utilized for subsequent therapeutic case management.
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**Introduction**

There are three pairs of major SGs (Parotid, Submandibular, and Sublingual glands) and in the submucosa of the oral cavity occur many minor salivary glands.1 The causes of salivary gland swelling are different, like inflammatory process, cysts, or tumors, and often it is difficult to point out whether the swelling is arising from salivary gland proper or from adjacent structures such as lymph nodes, soft tissues, or skin.2
Out of all head and neck tumors, salivary gland tumors account for 2–6.5%, and FNAC is the main diagnostic tool in evaluation due to its superficial location, easy accessibility, and high diagnostic accuracy.\(^3,4\) FNAC in suspected salivary gland swelling can confirm the origin, whether its preauricular or submandibular lymph node, which can mimic clinically as salivary gland neoplasm and also provides the nature of the disease process for a proper management plan.\(^5\) Therefore, FNAC is also a superior diagnostic tool as compared to incisional biopsy, where a risk of tumor implantation and fistula formation can encounter.\(^1\)

The purpose of the study was to understand the varied cytomorphological patterns of salivary gland lesions and to compare the results of clinical and FNAC in the diagnosis of the salivary gland lesions in the patients attending UCMS-TH, Bhairahawa, Nepal.

**Methodology**

A hospital-based analytical study was conducted for a period of 18 months from January 1, 2015 to July 31, 2016 at the Department of Pathology (DOP) in collaboration with the Department of Ear Nose and Throat (ENT) at the UCMS-TH, Bhairahawa, Nepal. In total, 81 samples were collected during the study period. All patients with salivary gland swelling after clinical examination from the ENT referred to the DOP for FNAC were included in the study. Relevant patient history about salivary gland swelling was obtained. The clinical diagnosis provided in the requisition form was categorized as: No specific diagnosis, PA, Chronic Sialadenitis (CS), Malignant Tumor (MT), Benign Cystic Lesion (BCL), and Acute Sialadenitis (AS). For each patient in the study, the site, size, consistency, and tenderness were evaluated, and FNAC performed. The nature of the aspirated material was recorded in the patient record, fixed in slides, and stained with Giemsa and Papanicolaou stain and microscopically observed for cytological diagnosis. The observed FNAC diagnosis was correlated with clinical diagnosis provided in the patient record.

In the cases involving surgery, the excised tissues were received in the DOP. The surgical specimens received were properly examined with gross details, appropriate sections from various parts of the excised tissues were taken and processed to prepare Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) stained slides. To evaluate the efficacy of FNAC in salivary gland lesions, slides were examined and the histopathological diagnosis was correlated with the diagnosis of FNAC.

Written medical records were maintained with the details about the study cases. Informed written consent was taken from the adult patients and among the pediatric age group patients consent was taken from the respective parents. The Institutional Review Board (IRB), Universal College of Medical Sciences and Teaching Hospital, Bhairahawa, Nepal provided the ethical clearance to conduct the study and the statistical analysis was performed by utilizing “Statistical Package for Social Service” (SPSS) version 24.0 software. Sensitivity and specificity of FNAC were evaluated and compared to that of biopsy.

**Results**

Among the 81 patients who underwent FNAC of salivary glands during the study period, the most common age group involved was adults between 21–30 years (27.16%) and 31–40 years (17.28%) and the pediatric age group between 11–20 years (13.58%). The youngest patient was 8 years of age and the oldest was 78 years of age, with a mean of age of 36.89±18.97 years. In total, 42 (51.85%) were female, and 39 (48.15%) were male, yielding a Male:Female (M:F) ratio of 1:1.33.

The most common site of FNAC was the parotid gland, with a frequency of 66.5%, followed by the Submandibular gland (33.5%). The right side was more commonly involved in comparison to the left, with frequencies of 61.65% and 38.35%, respectively (Figure 1).

The most commonly diagnosed lesion on FNAC was PA of 42 (51.84%) cases followed by BCL of 11 (13.58%) cases. Out of all PA, 34 (41.98%) cases were located in the Parotid gland and the remaining eight (9.88%) cases were located in the Sub-mandibular gland. The most common

![Figure 1 Frequency of FNACs according to the site and laterality (N=81).](image-url)
malignant lesion diagnosed on FNAC was MEC, comprising of eight (9.88%) cases (Table 1).

Out of 81 cases referred for FNAC, 15 cases had no specific clinical diagnosis provided. In the 45 cases that were clinically diagnosed with PA, 42 cases were diagnosed as PA on FNAC. In 11 cases of clinically diagnosed CS, six cases exhibited the same diagnosis with FNAC. In one case of malignant tumor diagnosed clinically, a similar result was obtained on FNAC. Out of eight cases of BCL diagnosed clinically, seven cases revealed the same diagnosis on FNAC. Both clinical diagnosis and FNAC exhibited the same result in the case of AC (n=1). Of all the salivary gland lesions (N=81), there was concordance in 57 (70.37%) of the cases between their clinical and FNAC diagnosis (Table 2).

In the total 81 cases, the pediatric population (age group ≤20 years) made up 16 (19.75%) cases and the remaining 65 (80.25%) cases were adults (age group >20). Among the pediatric population, all were diagnosed as benign lesions by FNAC, in which PA was the most common lesion (six cases), followed by BCL (four cases), and CS (three cases). Eleven pediatric cases fall in the age group 11–20 years and five cases fall in the age group <10 years. Among the adult population, 16 cases were diagnosed as malignant lesions, in which MEC was the most common (eight cases), followed by ACC (four cases), and AdCC (three cases). Only one case was diagnosed as Carcinoma Ex pleomorphic adenoma. Among 49 benign lesions in the adult age group, PA was the most common, with 36 cases, followed by BCL in seven cases (Table 3).

Out of the 81 cases in the study, only 31 (38.28%) cases underwent biopsy for histopathological evaluation (HPE). There was concordance in 28 (90.32%) of the cases between FNAC diagnosis and their histology (Table 4).

The microscopic pictures of the most common lesions (Pleomorphic adenoma and Mucoepidermoid carcinoma) are shown in Figures 2–4.

Discussion
SGTs represent a diverse group of neoplasm. The incidence of these tumors worldwide is between 0.05–2/100,000 population.6 Salivary gland tumors constitute about 0.5% of all cancers and 5% of head and neck malignancy.7 In the present study, FNAC of salivary gland lesions were performed in 81 cases and showed a variety of non-neoplastic and neoplastic lesions in different locations amongst patients of different ages and sex. The youngest patient was 8 years of age and the oldest was 78 years of age, with the mean age of 36.89±18.97 years (mean±SD). The most common age group involved was 21–30 years, with a frequency of 27.16%. Similar figures were noted in the studies conducted by Jain et al,8–10

In this study, the number of affected females (51.85%) was more than that of males (48.15%) with a M:F ratio of 1:1.33. While Bhagavath et al,10–14 showed male preponderance. This suggests that the sex predilection variations may be linked to many complex environmental and personal factors which are yet to be established and requires further investigations. One such study, conducted by McKenna et al,15 assumed that radiation therapy of the head and neck was an etiological factor for causation of benign and malignant salivary gland lesions. The parotid gland was found to

Table 1 FNAC Diagnosis of Salivary Gland Lesions According to Site (N=81)

| FNAC Diagnosis                        | Site                      | Parotid No. (%) | Sub-Mandibular No. (%) | Total No. (%) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Acute Sialadenitis                   |                           | 0 (0)          | 1 (1.23%)              | 1 (1.23%)    |
| Chronic Sialadenitis                 |                           | 2 (2.47%)      | 4 (4.94%)              | 6 (7.41%)    |
| Benign Cystic Lesion                 |                           | 6 (7.41%)      | 5 (6.17%)              | 11 (13.58%)  |
| Pleomorphic Adenoma                  |                           | 34 (41.98%)    | 8 (9.88%)              | 42 (51.84%)  |
| Warthin's Tumor                      |                           | 2 (2.47%)      | 1 (1.23%)              | 3 (3.71%)    |
| Acinic Cell Carcinoma                |                           | 3 (3.71%)      | 1 (1.23%)              | 4 (4.94%)    |
| Mucoepidermoid Carcinoma            |                           | 5 (6.17%)      | 3 (3.71%)              | 8 (9.88%)    |
| Adenoid Cystic Carcinoma            |                           | 0 (0.00%)      | 3 (3.71%)              | 3 (3.71%)    |
| Carcinoma ex Pleomorphic Adenoma     |                           | 1 (1.23%)      | 0 (0.00%)              | 1 (1.23%)    |
| Sialadenosis                         |                           | 1 (1.23%)      | 1 (1.23%)              | 2 (2.47%)    |
| Total                                |                           | 54 (66.67%)    | 27 (33.33%)            | 81 (100%)    |
be the most common site of FNAC (66.66%) of all the salivary gland lesions in the present study, followed by the submandibular gland (33.34%). This finding was similar to the studies conducted by UlAan et al.\(^\text{16,17}\) and Devi et al, but according to Vaidya et al.\(^\text{18}\) the submandibular gland was the most common site. For all lesions, the right side was the most favored laterality for the occurrence of salivary gland lesions in this study. Lesions involving the sublingual gland were not observed in this study, which was in accordance with study done by Vuhahula,\(^\text{19}\) but showed discordance with the study done by Bahra et al.\(^\text{20}\) where 7% of the cases involved the sublingual glands. Another study done by Mejia-Velazquez et al.\(^\text{21}\) also demonstrated that 1/319 lesions involved the sublingual gland. Thus, the site of lesion varies according to the nature of the lesion, age of the patient, geographical, and racial factors.\(^\text{19}\)

In the total 81 cases, the pediatric population (age group \leq20 years) made up 16 (19.75%) cases and the remaining 65 (80.25%) cases were adults (age group >20). Among the pediatric population, all were diagnosed as benign lesions by FNAC, in which PA was the most common lesion (six cases), followed by BCL (four cases), and CS (three cases), which is not similar to the study done by Ronchi et al.\(^\text{22}\) in which malignant lesions were observed in the pediatric age group.

Among the benign lesions in the 81 cases, the most commonly diagnosed lesion in our study was Pleomorphic Adenoma, with a frequency of 51.84%, which is similar to the studies by Vaidya et al.\(^\text{18}\) and Tahoun and Ezzat.\(^\text{23}\) and Tahoun and Ezzat.\(^\text{24}\) Among the malignant lesions, Mucoepidermoid Carcinoma was the most common finding, with a frequency of 9.88%, which is in accordance with studies done by Piccioni et al.\(^\text{25-28}\)

This study observed an agreement of 70.37% between clinical and FNAC diagnosis, which was similar to a study done by Schmidt et al.\(^\text{29}\)

Among the 81 cases of salivary gland neoplasms in the present study, only 31 (38.28%) of the cases underwent biopsy for histopathological evaluation. Out of these 31 (38.28%) biopsies, two of the 11 (13.58%) benign cystic lesions were available for histopathological correlation, both of which showed discrepancies. The histological diagnoses for these lesions were chronic salaladentis and Mucoepidermoid carcinoma (intermediate grade) each. This may be due to a sampling error where the needle

| FNAC Diagnosis | No Specific Diagnosis Provided | Pleomorphic Adenoma | Chronic Sialadenitis | Malignant Tumor | Benign Cystic Lesion | Acute Sialadenitis | Total |
|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|-------|
| AS             | 0 (0.00%)                      | 0 (0.00%)           | 0 (0.00%)          | 0 (0.00%)     | 1 (1.23%)           | 1 (1.23%)        |       |
| CS             | 0 (0.00%)                      | 0 (0.00%)           | 6 (7.41%)          | 0 (0.00%)     | 0 (0.00%)           | 6 (7.41%)        |       |
| BCL            | 0 (0.00%)                      | 1 (1.23%)           | 3 (3.71%)          | 0 (0.00%)     | 7 (8.65%)           | 11 (13.58%)      |       |
| PA             | 0 (0.00%)                      | 42 (51.85%)         | 0 (0.00%)          | 0 (0.00%)     | 0 (0.00%)           | 42 (51.85%)      |       |
| WT             | 2 (2.47%)                      | 1 (1.23%)           | 0 (0.00%)          | 0 (0.00%)     | 0 (0.00%)           | 3 (3.71%)        |       |
| ACC            | 4 (4.94%)                      | 0 (0.00%)           | 0 (0.00%)          | 0 (0.00%)     | 0 (0.00%)           | 4 (4.94%)        |       |
| MEC            | 5 (6.17%)                      | 1 (1.23%)           | 0 (0.00%)          | 1 (1.23%)     | 1 (1.23%)           | 8 (9.88%)        |       |
| AdCC           | 3 (3.71%)                      | 0 (0.00%)           | 0 (0.00%)          | 0 (0.00%)     | 0 (0.00%)           | 3 (3.71%)        |       |
| CaexPA         | 1 (1.23%)                      | 0 (0.00%)           | 0 (0.00%)          | 0 (0.00%)     | 0 (0.00%)           | 1 (1.23%)        |       |
| SA             | 0 (0.00%)                      | 2 (2.47%)           | 0 (0.00%)          | 0 (0.00%)     | 0 (0.00%)           | 2 (2.47%)        |       |
| Total          | 15 (18.52%)                    | 45 (55.54%)         | 11 (13.58%)        | 1 (1.23%)     | 8 (9.88%)           | 81 (100%)        |       |

**Abbreviations:** AS, acute sialadenitis; CS, chronic sialadenitis; BCL, benign cystic lesion; PA, pleomorphic adenoma; WT, Warthin’s tumor; ACC, acinic cell carcinoma; MEC, mucoepidermoid carcinoma; AdCC, adenoid cystic carcinoma; CaexPA, carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma; SA, sialadenosis.
might have hit only the cystic area, which is similar to the study done by Kumar et al.\(^\text{30}\) Postema et al\(^\text{31}\) also observed similar findings when diagnosing cystic lesions.

A total of 42 (51.85%) cases were diagnosed as pleomorphic adenoma cytologically. Twenty-one (25.92%) of them were available for histopathological evaluation; all of them correlated with FNAC diagnosis. Eight of the MEC cases were cytologically diagnosed, out of which cyto-histopathological correlation was present in six cases. All these cases were histologically confirmed as low-grade and intermediate MEC. This correlation of MEC is similar to the findings of the study done by Tessy et al.\(^\text{32}\) The main diagnostic criteria used for cytological diagnosis of MEC are variation in cell type predominantly intermediate cells, mucin-secreting cells, infrequently squamous epithelial cells with relatively bland nuclei, and some prominent nucleoli in a dirty background of mucus and debris.\(^\text{33}\)

The diagnostic dilemma in the cytological diagnosis of salivary gland lesions has been seen in cystic mucus-containing lesions, which shows similar features on smears of Warthin’s tumor, sialadenitis, and low-grade mucoepidermoid carcinoma. Thus, cytologic evaluation may be difficult if the smears contain only a few cells, as stated by Tani and Skoog.\(^\text{34}\) In our study also, one cytologically diagnosed BCL was later diagnosed as chronic sialadenitis in histopathology, and the other case of cytologically diagnosed BCL was diagnosed as MEC, intermediate grade histologically. This is attributed to the lack of cellularity for proper evaluation of the lesion leading to an erroneous diagnosis on FNA. The study showed that the number of variables including cellularity, architecture, epithelial components and

---

**Table 3** FNAC Diagnosis According to Age Distribution (N=81)

| Age Group | <1–10 years | 11–20 years | 21–30 years | 31–40 years | 41–50 years | 51–60 years | 61–70 years | >70 years | Total |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------|
| AS        | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 1 (1.23%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 1 (1.23%)  | 1 (1.23%)  |
| CS        | 3 (3.71%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 1 (1.23%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 1 (1.23%)   | 1 (1.23%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)  | 6 (7.41%)  |
| BCL       | 1 (1.23%)   | 3 (3.71%)   | 1 (1.23%)   | 2 (2.47%)   | 1 (1.23%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 1 (1.23%)   | 2 (2.47%)  | 11 (13.58%)|
| PA        | 0 (0.00%)   | 6 (7.41%)   | 17 (21.00%) | 10 (12.33%) | 3 (3.71%)   | 3 (3.71%)   | 3 (3.71%)   | 0 (0.00%)  | 42 (51.84%)|
| WT        | 0 (0.00%)   | 1 (1.23%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 1 (1.23%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 3 (3.71%)  | 3 (3.71%)  |
| ACC       | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 1 (1.23%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 2 (2.47%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 4 (4.94%)  | 8 (9.88%)  |
| MEC       | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 1 (1.23%)   | 1 (1.23%)   | 2 (2.47%)   | 1 (1.23%)   | 2 (2.47%)   | 8 (9.88%)  | 3 (3.71%)  |
| AdCC      | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 1 (1.23%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 3 (3.71%)   | 0 (0.00%)  | 3 (3.71%)  |
| CaexPA    | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 1 (1.23%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 2 (2.47%)  | 5 (6.17%)  |
| SA        | 1 (1.23%)   | 1 (1.23%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 0 (0.00%)   | 2 (2.47%)  | 8 (10.00%) |
| Total     | 5 (6.17%)   | 11 (13.58%) | 22 (27.16%) | 14 (17.29%) | 9 (11.11%)  | 9 (11.11%)  | 6 (7.41%)   | 5 (6.17%)  | 81 (100%)  |

**Abbreviations:** AS, acute sialadenitis; CS, chronic sialadenitis; BCL, benign cystic lesion; PA, pleomorphic adenoma; WT, Warthin’s tumor; ACC, acinic cell carcinoma; MEC, mucoepidermoid carcinoma; AdCC, adenoid cystic carcinoma; CaexPA, carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma; SA, sialadenosis.
their pleomorphism, myoepithelial cells, oncocyes, stromal matrix, inflammatory cells infiltrates and background were significantly essential for the FNAC diagnosis and categorization of the salivary gland lesions. Similar cytological variables were included in the study conducted by Kocjan and Shah,\(^35\)–\(^37\) for the cytological diagnosis of salivary gland lesions.

Three of the cases were diagnosed as AdCC cytologically. Two of them were provided for histological confirmation of the diagnosis. One case showed similar diagnosis on HPE, whereas the other one was diagnosed as PA. The possibility of missing the diagnosis of PA could be due to the presence of single cell dispersion, stromal fragments with spindled cells, and the absence of a cylindromatous pattern.
The studies done by Klijanienko et al\textsuperscript{38} and Handa et al\textsuperscript{39} also revealed discrepancies on cytologically diagnosed AdCC on HPE. As stated by Jo et al\textsuperscript{40} the major causes of diagnostic discrepancies were due to inadequate sampling, poor cellularity and lack of typical cytomorphology related to degenerative changes.

\textbf{Figure 3} Mucoepidermoid carcinoma (Giemsa, 100x). Inset showing mucin filled cells (400x).

\textbf{Figure 4} Pleomorphic adenoma (H&E, 100x).
Conclusion
FNAC of the salivary gland is now an established, accurate, and highly reliable procedure for the evaluation of the salivary gland lesions. It has significant diagnostic value in differentiating neoplastic from non-neoplastic lesions and offers valuable information for planning and subsequent disease management. However, there were some limitations encountered while predicting specific lesions on cytology, especially when dealing with cystic and some malignant lesions. The aforementioned limitation can be overcome by re-examination of these cases by further histopathological evaluation.

Abbreviations
ACC, Acinic Cell Carcinoma; AdCC, Adenoid Cystic Carcinoma; AS, Acute Sialadenitis; BCA, Basal Cell Adenoma; CaExPA, Carcinoma Ex Pleomorphic Adenoma; CS, Chronic Sialadenitis; EMCa, Epithelial Myoepithelial Carcinoma; FNAC, Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology; HG, Hyaline Globules; MEC, Mucoepidermoid Carcinoma; PA, Pleomorphic Adenoma; SA, Sialadenosis; SG, Salivary Gland; USG, Ultrasonography; WHO, World Health Organization; WT, Warthin’s Tumor.
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