The Importance of Route Anatomic Variants of the Common Hepatic Artery

Șerban Marinescu1, Bogdan Tănase1, Ciprian Cirimbei1,2, Laurențiu Simion1,2*

1“Prof. Dr. Al. Trestioreanu” Institute of Oncology, Bucharest, Romania
2“Carol Davila” University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest, Romania

Rezumat

În literatură sunt descrise numeroase variante de vascularizație la nivelul trunchiului celiac și arterei mezenterice superioare. Anatomia zonei este un subiect de studiu, având în vedere impactul potențial asupra tehnicilor chirurgicale și riscul de a genera incidente/accidente intraoperatorii sau complicații. Am efectuat un studiu retrospectiv unicentric, în Clinica de Chirurgie 1 a Institutului Oncologic “Prof. Dr. Al. Trestioreanu” București, pe un interval de 11 ani, privind incidența acestei rare anomalii de traseu a arterei hepatice comune, incidență ce este evaluată în literatură la aproximativ 0,1%. Studiul a avut două componente, pe de o parte verificarea înregistrărilor din documentele medicale (condiții de operații, în principal), pe de altă parte discuții de tip focus-grup cu cei 19 medic chirurgi ai secției privind experiența operatorie personală a fiecăruia. Am identificat două cazuri în care s-a întâmplat această anomalie de traseu al arterei hepatice comune, adică variantă de traseu retroportal. Cazurile sunt complet documentate, inclusiv imagistic și sunt prezentate pe scurt.

Variantele anatomice ale trunchiului celiac reprezintă un subiect extrem de studiat, având în vedere implicațiile majore în chirurgia hepato-bilio-pancreatică și a transplantului hepatic. Variantele de traseu sau de origine ale arterei hepatice pot fi cauza a numeroase incidente și accidente atât în chirurgia oncologică hepatobilio-pancreatică, dar și în cea a traumei. Nerecunoașterea variantelor anatomice, preoperator sau intraoperator, se poate...
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Introduction

The anatomy of the celiac region and variations in vascularization in the celiac trunk and upper mesenteric artery are a subject of study, many variants of both origin and route being described, with different impact on surgical techniques, given that the common hepatic artery (CHA) and the proper hepatic artery (PHA) are important intraoperative landmarks for dissection in the liver pedicle.

Common or proper hepatic artery with retroportal routes are rare variants, but they can cause difficulties and intraoperative accidents.

The first variations of the celiac trunk were described by Haller in 1756 and Michels in 1966 and Hiatt in 1994 described anatomical
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variations of origin and branching of the celiac trunk and upper mesenteric artery (AMS) (1,2). A comparison of the two classifications can be seen in Table 1.

To be familiar with possible anatomical variants of the hepatic artery is of vital importance in hepato-pancreato-biliary surgery, liver transplantation, trauma, but also in interventional radiology (3,4). Lack of familiarity with such anatomical variants predisposes to the occurrence of accidents and incidents, both in surgery and percutaneous procedures.

**Material and Method**

We have conducted a unicentric retrospective analysis, in the Surgery Clinic 1 of “Prof. Dr. Al. Trestioreanu” Institute of Oncology, over an interval of 11 years, respectively January 2010 - December 2020, evaluating both medical records and surgeons’ personal experience.

The study of medical records mainly involved the analysis of the operating protocols, focusing on procedures addressed to the hepato-pancreato-biliary or gastric pathology. It should be noted that during the study period the surgical procedures were mostly scheduled and oncological. No liver transplant surgery or interventional radiology procedures were performed in the clinic.

At the same time, we also conducted a retrospective focus group study, interviewing 19 senior general surgeons who were active during the time period of the study, in the Surgery Clinic 1 of the “Prof. Dr. Al. Trestioreanu” Institute of Oncology from Bucharest. The questions concerned personal cases, regarding the anatomical variants of the common hepatic artery, found in interventions in the hepato-pancreato-biliary sphere. Given the rarity of these cases, it is extremely likely that the surgeons, if they have encountered such anatomical variants, they will remember.

Pursuant to these analyses, we identified two cases in which we could document route variants of the common liver artery, namely the retroportal route.

**Table 1.**

| Anatomic variant | Michels classification | Hiatl classification |
|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| normal           | Type I                 | Type I               |
| LHA from LGA     | Type II                | Type II              |
| RHA from SMA     | Type III               | Type III             |
| LHA from LGA and RHA from SMA | Type IV | Type IV |
| LHA from LGA and RHA from SMA | Type V | Type V |
| RHA accessory from SMA | Type VI | Type III |
| LHA from LGA and RHA from SMA | Type VII | Type IV |
| accessory LHA from LGA and RHA from SMA | Type VIII | Type IV |
| CHA from SMA     | Type IX                | Type V               |
| LHA and RHA from LGA | Type X | -               |
| CHA from the aorta | -               | Type VI               |

**Results**

The retrospective unicentric study enabled us to identify, within the 11-year interval, only two cases of common hepatic artery with retroportal route, cases that we could fully document, including by means of relevant images. It should be mentioned, one more time, that the specificity of the surgery performed in the clinic during the said period is scheduled oncologic surgery.

The first patient, aged 61, with a history of laparoscopic splenectomy 2 years ago for autoimmune hemolytic anemia, was hospitalized in our clinic for epigastric pain, loss of weight, and lack of appetite. Superior digestive endoscopy revealed the presence of a vegetative tumor of the gastric body. The CT scan raised suspicion of invasion of the pancreatic tail, but without liver or peritoneal metastases.

Intraoperatively, a gastric body tumor was found, invading the pancreatic tail, without liver or peritoneal metastases. Total gastrectomy, distal pancreatectomy and regional lymphadenectomy were performed. The hepatic pedicle was approached, identifying the proper hepatic artery, which was placed to the right of the portal vein. Continuing the dissection, the retroportal route of the common hepatic artery was identified.
Careful examination of the preoperative CT scan revealed the anomaly of the route of the common hepatic artery (Figs. 3, 4). In the postoperative evolution, a pancreatic leak occurred, but it was resolved by means of conservative treatment.

The second patient identified, aged 26, came for morning hypoglycemia, which turned out to be basal hyperinsulinemia. The CT scan showed a 3 cm pancreatic head tumor, native isodense, postcontrast hyper dense. The CT scan also reveals the hepatic artery with retroportal route (Fig. 4).

The surgical intervention allowed the enucleation of the tumor formation, with intraoperative histo-pathological examination, which revealed the presence of malignant cells (Figs. 5, 6). The intervention continued with pancreaticoduodenectomy. During the maneuvers to identify the gastro-duodenal artery, the retroportal common hepatic artery was found, with the appearance of the gastro-
duodenal artery in the right side of the portal vein (Fig. 5). The restoration of digestive continuity was achieved by termino-terminal pancreatico-jejunal anastomosis by intubation, hepatico-jejunal anastomosis termino-lateral performed by Witzel technique and precolic termino-lateral gastro-entero anastomosis. The patient's post-surgery evolution was uneventful.

Discussions

The incidence of anatomical variants in the hepatic artery is very high, its level amounting to around 39%, according to Saba L and Mallanani G (5). The study published by the two authors in 2011 is a radiological one and took into account 1910 patients, investigated between 2004 and 2009.

A search made in the Pub Med database by entering the keywords: “retroportal common liver artery” led to a number of 26 results, of which only 5 were significant. Thus, we have identified in the literature 13 cases of common hepatic artery with retroportal route.

Anatomical variants of the celiac trunk are a highly researched topic, considering the major implications in hepato-bilio-pancreatic surgery and liver transplantation.

Thus, in 1969 Vandamme JP, Bonte J and...
Van der Scheueren G. published an anatomical study of hepatic artery variations, carried out by analysis of a number of 156 cadavers(6) and in 1971 the same topic was treated by Suzuki et al. based on 200 patients, studied angiographically (7).

The most important radiological study was published by Song et al. in 2010 and was performed on a number of 5,002 patients. The results showed normal anatomy of the celiac trunk in 89.1% of cases and 13 possible anatomical variants (8). The common hepatic artery with celiac origin was found in 4,763 patients: in case of 7 of the said patients, variants of trajectory were identified: retroportal route - 6 cases, intrapancreatic route - 1 case. So, according to this study, the hepatic artery with retroportal route is a very rare eventuality, found in 0.1% of cases.

In 2013, another radiological study, which took into account 600 patients, revealed normal anatomy of the celiac trunk in 91% of cases (546 cases), with normal pre-portal route of the common hepatic artery in 97.78%, with the retro-portal route being found in 7 patients (1.1%) (4).

Another anatomical study, conducted on 45 cadavers between July 2010 and April 2011 in Brazil, revealed variants of the liver artery in approx. 40% of cases (9). After having studied a number of 200 bodies, Michels identified the presence of anatomical variants in 45% of cases (10).

Other data from the literature dedicated to this matter, such as the study by Hiatt et al., which included a number of 1,000 patients who were to receive a liver transplant, suggested a value of 24.3% for anatomical variants of the hepatic artery (11). A study conducted on 527 donors for liver transplantation identified abnormalities in 30.6% of cases (12). Chaib advances the figure of 39%, obtained by studying 80 donors (13).

Preoperative evaluation of patients is extremely important, the CT scan with contrast substance being a safe and extremely effective method for highlighting the anatomical variants of the hepatic artery (14), thus allowing a safe surgical approach even in particular situations (15,16). Preoperative imaging diagnosis of possible anatomical variants of the hepatic artery is all the more important in case of patients with concomitant liver disease (17-22).

Conclusions

The common hepatic artery with retroportal route is an extremely rare anatomical variant. If surgeons do not know of its existence, before or during their surgical intervention, this may lead to a significant risk of important vascular lesions, especially of the portal vein, which can greatly complicate the resection time. Route variants of the hepatic artery are particularly important in liver transplantation surgery, interventional radiology, hepato-pancreato-biliary, gastric oncologic surgery, interventional radiology procedures, but also in trauma surgery, especially supramesocolic abdominal penetrating lesions. Ideally, these anatomical variants should be recognized preoperatively so that the most appropriate surgical approach technique can be adopted.
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