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Abstract

In this paper an approach to generate multi-dimensionally consistent \(N\)-component systems is proposed. The approach starts from scalar multi-dimensionally consistent quadrilateral systems and makes use of the cyclic group. The obtained \(N\)-component systems inherit integrable features such as B"{a}cklund transformations and Lax pairs, and exhibit interesting aspects, such as nonlocal reductions. Higher order single component lattice equations (on larger stencils) and multi-component discrete Painlevé equations can also be derived in the context, and the approach extends to \(N\)-component generalizations of higher dimensional lattice equations.
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1 Introduction

Integrability of nonlinear partial differential equations is of sovereign importance in the study of soliton theory. For discrete equations, especially quadrilateral equations, Consistency Around the Cube (CAC) \([2, 30, 32]\) provides an interpretation of integrability. This idea has led to a famous classification of quadrilateral equations, known as the Adler-Bobenko-Suris (ABS) list \([2]\). The CAC property is also applicable to higher order lattice equations by introducing suitable multi-component forms \([13, 34, 40]\). For CAC equations, the equations on the side faces of the consistent cube can be interpreted as an auto-Bäcklund Transformation (BT). CAC also enables one to construct Lax pairs \([7, 30]\), as well as to find soliton solutions \([16, 18, 19]\). Whereas the classification in \([2]\) requires the equations on the six faces of the cube to be the same, in \([5]\) alternative auto-BTs were given for several ABS equations, giving rise to consistent systems where the equations on the side faces are different from the equation on the top and
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bottom of the cube. Moreover, (non-auto) BTs between distinct equations were also provided, corresponding to consistent systems with different equations on the top and the bottom faces of the cube. Other classifications of CAC systems with asymmetrical properties, other relaxations, and 3D affine linear lattice equations with 4D consistency have been also considered \[3, 4, 6, 15, 35\].

Certain coupled differential-difference integrable systems (with one discrete independent variable) can be considered as an odd-even separation of a known one-component system \[22, 39\]. For example, the nonlinear nonlinear self-dual network equations which describe voltages and currents in a ladder type electric circuit \[21\] is an odd-even separation of the differential-difference modified Korteweg-De Vries equation \[39\]. Such separations are also useful in understanding discrete Painlevé equations \[23\].

Recently, two-component ABS equations resulting from odd-even separation were investigated in \[12\], where the CAC property (with affine linearity, $D_4$ symmetry and the tetrahedron property) of these coupled systems was established. In this paper, we generalise this result to multi-component versions of CAC scalar equations by making use of cyclic group.

The idea of multi-component generalisation can be encapsulated as follows. Consider a scalar CAC quadrilateral equation, where we use $\tilde{u}$ and $\hat{u}$ to denote shifts of $u$ in two different directions,

$$Q(u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \hat{\tilde{u}}) = 0. \quad (1.1)$$

We extend $u$ to a vector, and act with cyclic transformations on the vectors $\tilde{u}$ and $\hat{u}$. This is more general than the odd-even separation. In practice, $u$ is replaced by a diagonal matrix instead of a vector. With suitable cyclic transformations on the shifted $u$, the new multi-component system has the CAC property as well, and can be defined on the $\mathbb{Z}^2$-lattice. In this paper, we will consider Lax pairs, BTs, solutions and reductions for multi-component generalisations of CAC lattice equations, as well as multi-component generalisation of 3D lattice equations with 4D consistency.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we define multi-component generalisations of two distinguished kinds of systems, CAC cube systems (section 2.1) and CAC lattice systems (quadrilateral equations in section 2.2 and higher dimensional equations in section 2.3). CAC lattice systems can be consistently posed on a lattice, whereas CAC cube systems need to be accompanied by reflected cube systems and posed on lattices similar to so-called black and white lattices \[3, 41\]. Examples include multi-component generalisations of a Boll cube system \[6\] (section 2.1), an equation from the ABS list \[2\], and (auto and non-auto) Bäcklund transformations \[5\] (section 2.2.1). We show that multi-component generalisations admit Lax-pairs in section 2.2.2. Assuming $D_4$ symmetry we count the number of different non-decoupled $N$-component generalisations in section 2.2.3. In section 3 we provide several kinds of reductions. Nonlocal reductions are given in 3.1 reductions to higher order scalar equations are given in section 3.2, and a reduction to a multi-component Painlevé lattice equation is considered.
in section 3.3. In section 4.1 some particular solutions are given. These can be constructed from $N$ solutions of the scalar equation. A solution for a nonlocal equation is provided in 4.2. In section 5 we summarize and discuss the results, and we point out that some particular examples of $N$-component generalised systems have already appeared in the literature in different contexts.

2 Multi-component extension of CAC systems

In this section we construct multi-component systems that are consistent around the cube, a.k.a. CAC. We first focus on a single 3D cube with consistent face equations.

2.1 Multi-component CAC cube systems

Introduce a general type of system of the form

\begin{align}
Q(u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \bar{u}) &= 0, & Q^*(\bar{u}, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \bar{u}) &= 0, \\
A(u, \tilde{u}, \bar{u}, \hat{u}) &= 0, & A^*(\hat{u}, \tilde{u}, \bar{u}, \bar{u}) &= 0, \\
B(u, \hat{u}, \bar{u}, \tilde{u}) &= 0, & B^*(\bar{u}, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \tilde{u}) &= 0.
\end{align}

(2.1)

We assume the functions $Q, A, B, Q^*, A^*, B^*$ are affine linear with respect to each variable, and the symbols $\tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \bar{u}, \cdot \cdot \cdot, \bar{u}$ represent the values of the field at the vertices of the cube, see Fig.1(a). Each equation may depend on additional (edge) parameters but we omit these.

The system (2.1) is called CAC if the three values for $\bar{u}$ calculated from the three starred equations coincide for arbitrary initial data $u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \bar{u}$, i.e.

$$\bar{u} = F(u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \bar{u}).$$

(2.2)

In order to get a multi-component extension of the system (2.1), we consider the vertex symbols $u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \bar{u}$ to be $N \times N$ diagonal matrices, e.g.

$$u = \text{Diag}(u_1, u_2, \cdot \cdot \cdot, u_N), \quad \bar{u} = \text{Diag}(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2, \cdot \cdot \cdot, \bar{u}_N).$$

(2.3)

We introduce a cyclic group using the generator $\sigma = \sigma_N$, defined as the $N \times N$ matrix with elements given by

$$\sigma_N, i, j = \begin{cases} 1, & i + 1 \equiv j \mod N, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

(2.4)

Thus, a cyclic transformation of $u$ (a permutation of the components on the diagonal) can be denoted by

$$u \mapsto T_k u = \sigma^k u \sigma^{-k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} (\mod N).$$

(2.5)

Note that $\sigma^N = I_N$ which is the $N \times N$ identity matrix.
Lemma 1. If the scalar cube system (2.1) is CAC, the following multi-component cube system

\[
Q(u, T_k \tilde{u}, T_{k_2} \tilde{u}, T_{k_3} \tilde{u}) = 0, \quad Q^*(T_{k_3} \tilde{u}, T_{k_2} \tilde{u}, T_{k_1} \tilde{u}) = 0, \quad (2.6a)
\]
\[
A(u, T_k \tilde{u}, T_{k_1} \tilde{u}, T_{k_2} \tilde{u}) = 0, \quad A^*(T_{k_2} \tilde{u}, T_{k_1} \tilde{u}, T_{k_3} \tilde{u}) = 0, \quad (2.6b)
\]
\[
B(u, T_{k} \tilde{u}, T_{k_1} \tilde{u}, T_{k_2} \tilde{u}) = 0, \quad B^*(T_{k_1} \tilde{u}, T_{k_2} \tilde{u}, T_{k_3} \tilde{u}) = 0 \quad (2.6c)
\]

is CAC as well, where the variables \(u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}\) are diagonal matrices as in (2.3), and the \(T_k\) are cyclic transformations as defined in (2.5).

Proof. Note that the equations in the system (2.1) are affine linear and the variables denote the values of fields at the vertices of the cube. Replacing these variables by diagonal matrices they remain commutative. If system (2.1) is 3D-consistent and \(\tilde{u}\) has a unique expression (2.2), then it follows that the system (2.6) is 3D-consistent in the sense that \(\tilde{u}\) has a unique expression

\[
T_{k_3} \tilde{u} = F(u, T_{k_1} \tilde{u}, T_{k_2} \tilde{u}, T_{k_3} \tilde{u}) \quad (2.7)
\]

where the function \(F\) is the same as in equation (2.2).

In Figure 1 the cube on the right has equation \(A(u, T_k \tilde{u}, T_{k_1} \tilde{u}, T_{k_2} \tilde{u}) = 0\) on its front face, which can be thought of in two distinct ways: as a relabeling of the variable names (which we do in the proof), or, as introducing coupling between different components of the fields at the vertices (which yield multi-component coupled systems of equations).

As an example we consider a cube system of Boll [6] equations (3.31), (3.32)]. With \(N = 2\), denoting the field components by \(u, v\) (instead of \(u_1, u_2\)), and taking \(k_i = \frac{1}{2}(1 - (-1)^i)\) we find the following 2-component cube system (written as vector system instead of as a matrix system):

\[
Q = \begin{pmatrix}
\hat{u} \hat{v} \delta_1 + \hat{u} \hat{v} \delta_2 + u \hat{v} + \hat{v} \hat{u} \\
\hat{u} \hat{v} \delta_2 + \hat{v} \hat{v} \delta_1 + \hat{u} \hat{v} + \hat{v} \hat{u}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha \hat{v} \hat{v} \delta_1 + \hat{v} \hat{v} \delta_2 + u \hat{v} + \hat{v} \hat{u} \\
\alpha \hat{v} \hat{v} \delta_1 + \hat{u} \hat{v} \delta_2 + \hat{u} \hat{v} + \hat{u} \hat{v}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
B = \begin{pmatrix}
u \hat{v} + \hat{u} \hat{v} - \alpha (u \hat{u} + \hat{u} \hat{v}) + \delta_1 \delta_2 (\alpha^2 - 1) \hat{u} \hat{v} \\
v \hat{v} + \hat{v} \hat{v} - \alpha (\hat{v} \hat{u} + \hat{v} \hat{v}) + \delta_1 \delta_2 (\alpha^2 - 1) \hat{v} \hat{u}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
Q^* = \begin{pmatrix}
\delta_1 \hat{v} \hat{v} + \hat{v} \hat{v} \delta_2 + \hat{v} \hat{v} \delta_2 + \hat{v} \hat{v} + \hat{v} \hat{v} \\
\delta_1 \hat{v} \hat{v} \delta_2 + \hat{v} \hat{v} \delta_2 + \hat{v} \hat{v} + \hat{v} \hat{v} + \hat{v} \hat{v}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
A^* = \begin{pmatrix}
\delta_1 \alpha \hat{u} \hat{v} + \hat{u} \hat{v} \delta_2 + \hat{u} \hat{v} \delta_2 + \hat{u} \hat{v} + \hat{u} \hat{v} \\
\delta_1 \alpha \hat{u} \hat{v} + \hat{u} \hat{v} \delta_2 + \hat{u} \hat{v} + \hat{u} \hat{v} + \hat{u} \hat{v}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
B^* = \begin{pmatrix}
\hat{v} \hat{v} + \hat{v} \hat{v} - \alpha \hat{v} \hat{v} + \hat{v} \hat{v} \\
\hat{v} \hat{v} + \hat{v} \hat{v} - \alpha \hat{v} \hat{v} + \hat{v} \hat{v}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
where $\alpha, \delta_1, \delta_2$ are parameters. It is consistent around the cube.

As in the scalar case, one can not straightforwardly impose the cube system \((2.6)\) on the $\mathbb{Z}^3$ lattice. It needs to be accompanied by 7 other cube systems which are obtained from the original one by reflections. If $R_i$ denotes a reflection in the $i$th direction, e.g. application of $R_1$ gives the cube system depicted in Figure 2, then on the cube with center $(n + \frac{1}{2}, m + \frac{1}{2}, l + \frac{1}{2})$ one should impose the cube system reflected by $R_1 R_2 R_3^l$.

2.2 Multi-component CAC lattice systems

In this section we consider 3D consistent lattice systems. We require that the equation $Q = 0$ can be consistently imposed on the entire $\mathbb{Z}^2$ lattice. This implies that we restrict ourselves to cube systems with $A = A^*$ and $B = B^*$,

\begin{align}
Q(u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \hat{\tilde{u}}) = 0, \quad Q^*(\overline{u}, \overline{\tilde{u}}, \overline{\hat{u}}, \overline{\hat{\tilde{u}}}) = 0, \quad (2.8a) \\
A(u, \tilde{u}, \overline{u}, \overline{\tilde{u}}) = 0, \quad A(\tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \overline{\tilde{u}}, \overline{\hat{u}}) = 0, \quad (2.8b) \\
B(u, \tilde{u}, \overline{u}, \overline{\tilde{u}}) = 0, \quad B(\tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \overline{\tilde{u}}, \overline{\hat{u}}) = 0, \quad (2.8c)
\end{align}
because consistent cubes with \( Q = 0 \) on the bottom face need to be glued together so that their common faces carry same equation. We want to allow for the possibility that \( Q \neq Q^* \), so that (non-auto) Bäcklund transformations are included in the same framework.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that the system \((2.8)\) is CAC in the sense \( \tilde{u} \) is uniquely determined by \((2.2)\) in terms of initial values \( u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \hat{\tilde{u}} \). Extending \( u \) to be a diagonal matrix \((2.3)\), the system

\[
\begin{align*}
Q(u, T_a \tilde{u}, T_b \hat{u}, T_{a+b} \hat{\tilde{u}}) &= 0, \quad Q^*(T_a \tilde{u}, T_{a+c} \tilde{u}, T_{b+c} \tilde{u}, T_{a+b+c} \tilde{u}) = 0, \quad (2.9a) \\
A(u, T_a \tilde{u}, T_c \hat{u}, T_{a+c} \hat{\tilde{u}}) &= 0, \quad A(T_b \tilde{u}, T_{a+b+c} \tilde{u}, T_{b+c} \tilde{u}, T_{a+b+c} \tilde{u}) = 0, \quad (2.9b) \\
B(u, T_a \tilde{u}, T_b \hat{u}, T_{b+c} \hat{\tilde{u}}) &= 0, \quad B(T_a \tilde{u}, T_{a+c} \tilde{u}, T_{a+b} \hat{\tilde{u}}, T_{a+b+c} \tilde{u}) = 0 \quad (2.9c)
\end{align*}
\]

is CAC as well, where \( a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \) (mod \( N \)), and can be consistently defined on \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \otimes \{0, 1\} \).

**Proof.** The CAC property follows directly from Lemma 2.6. We have to show that we can consistently define the same cube system on neighboring cubes. Consider two neighboring cubes, as in Figure 3.

Before we can glue them together we need to apply \( T_a \) to every vertex of the cube on the right. But then we have to establish e.g. that the shifted system of equations

\[
S_{n}Q(u, T_a \tilde{u}, T_b \hat{u}, T_{a+b} \hat{\tilde{u}}) = Q(\tilde{u}, T_a \tilde{u}, T_b \hat{u}, T_{a+b} \hat{\tilde{u}}) = 0,
\]

where \( S_{n}f(n, m) = f(n + 1, m) \), is the same system of equations as the system

\[
Q(T_a \tilde{u}, T_{2a+1} \tilde{u}, T_{a+b} \hat{\tilde{u}}, T_{2a+b} \hat{\tilde{u}}) = 0.
\]
Indeed, we have the identity
\[ T_a Q(u, T_a \tilde{u}, T_b \hat{u}, T_{a+b} \tilde{u}) = Q(T_a \tilde{u}, T_a \tilde{u}, T_{a+b} \tilde{u}), \]
which shows that the system (2.11) is just a rearrangement of the components of the system (2.10). In fact, it can be shown that for any fractional affine linear function \( g \) of diagonal \( N \times N \) matrices \( (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \), we have
\[ \theta g(m_1, \ldots, m_n) \theta^{-1} = g(\theta m_1 \theta^{-1}, \ldots, \theta m_n \theta^{-1}), \quad (2.12) \]
for any invertible \( N \times N \) matrix \( \theta \).

It follows from the proof that the equations in (2.9) on the right may be simplified, i.e.,
\[ Q^*(\pi, T_a \tilde{u}, T_b \tilde{u}, T_{a+b} \tilde{u}) = 0, \quad A(\tilde{u}, T_a \tilde{u}, T_c \tilde{u}, T_{a+c} \tilde{u}) = 0, \quad B(\tilde{u}, T_c \tilde{u}, T_{b+c} \tilde{u}, T_{b+c} \tilde{u}) = 0. \]

It also follows that in the case where \( Q^* = Q \), the cube system can be imposed on the entire \( \mathbb{Z}^3 \)-lattice. In the case where \( Q^* \neq Q \) one needs a second cube system obtained from the first by the reflection \( R_3 \), and impose the reflected system on cubes with center \((n + \frac{1}{2}, m + \frac{1}{2}, l + \frac{1}{2})\) with \( l \) odd.

Remark: the cubes in Figures 1(b), 2, and 3 are useful to define the equations which live on the faces of the cubes. However, one should be aware that the field \( u \) (which provides the support for equations (2.6) and (2.9)) is defined in the usual way, namely \( u(n, m, l) = u(n+1, m, l) \), as in Figure 1. We do not have \( \tilde{u}(n, m, l) = T_a u(n+1, m, l) \).

\section*{2.2.1 Examples}

The \( N \)-component equation
\[ Q(u, T_a \tilde{u}, T_c \tilde{u}, T_{a+c} \tilde{u}) = 0, \quad (2.13) \]
where \( u \) is an \( N \times N \) diagonal matrix (2.3), will be referred to as the \( N[a, b] \) generalisation of the scalar equation (1.1). Similarly, the multi-component cube system (2.9) will be referred to as the \( N[a, b, c] \) generalisation of the cube system (2.1) with \( A^* = A, B^* = B \).
Discrete Burgers

A simple example of a CAC scalar equation is the 3-point discrete Burgers equation \[10, 27\]

\[\tilde{u}(p - q + \hat{u} - \tilde{u}) = p\tilde{u} - q\tilde{u}.\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.14)

The parameters in this equation, \(p, q\), are called lattice parameters, \(p\) corresponds to the tilde-direction, \(q\) corresponds to the hat-direction, and there is a third parameter, \(r\), which corresponds to the bar-direction. The equations on the faces of the corresponding consistent cube are each of the form (2.14) with different dependence on the lattice parameters, i.e. setting

\[Q(\tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \tilde{u}) = Q(\tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \tilde{u}; p, q) := \tilde{u}(p - q + \hat{u} - \tilde{u}) - p\tilde{u} + q\tilde{u},\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.15)

\[A(\tilde{u}, \pi, \tilde{u}) = Q(\tilde{u}, \pi, \tilde{u}; p, r),\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.16)

\[B(\hat{u}, \pi, \tilde{u}) = Q(\hat{u}, \pi, \tilde{u}; q, r),\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.17)

the cube system

\[Q(\tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \tilde{u}) = 0, \quad Q(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}) = 0,\]

\[A(\tilde{u}, \pi, \tilde{u}) = 0, \quad A(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}) = 0,\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.18)

\[B(\hat{u}, \pi, \tilde{u}) = 0, \quad B(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}) = 0,\]

is CAC (with no dependence on \(u\)).

The 2[0,1] generalisation of equation (2.14) is

\[\tilde{u}(p - q + \hat{v} - \tilde{u}) = p\tilde{v} - q\tilde{u},\]

\[\tilde{v}(p - q + \hat{u} - \tilde{v}) = p\tilde{u} - q\tilde{v},\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.19)

and its 2[1,1] generalisation is

\[\tilde{u}(p - q + \hat{v} - \tilde{v}) = p\tilde{v} - q\tilde{u},\]

\[\tilde{v}(p - q + \hat{u} - \tilde{u}) = p\tilde{u} - q\tilde{v}.\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.20)

The 2[1,0] generalisation is the same as (2.19) (after interchanging the two equations).

ABS equations

The ABS equations also depend on lattice parameters. They are scalar equations of the form

\[Q(u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \tilde{u}; p, q) = 0\]

and can be embedded in a CAC system as follows

\[Q(u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \tilde{u}; p, q) = 0, \quad Q(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}; p, q) = 0,\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.21a)

\[Q(u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \tilde{u}; p, r) = 0, \quad Q(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}; p, r) = 0,\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.21b)

\[Q(u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \tilde{u}; q, r) = 0, \quad Q(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}; q, r) = 0.\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.21c)
Similar to the discrete Burgers equation, each ABS equation has two types of 2-component generalizations, $2[0, 1]$ and $2[1, 1]$, which are respectively given by

$$Q(u, \tilde{u}, T\tilde{u}, T\tilde{v}; p, q) = 0,$$

(2.22)

and

$$Q(u, T\tilde{u}, T\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}; p, q) = 0.$$  

(2.23)

The latter form has been investigated in [12]. Explicitly, for the H1 equation, also known as the lattice potential KdV equation (lpKdv),

$$(u - \tilde{u})(\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}) + q - p = 0,$$

(2.24)

the $2[0,1]$ generalisation is

$$(u - \tilde{v})(\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}) + q - p = 0,$$

(2.25)

and the $2[1,1]$ generalisation is

$$(u - \tilde{u})(\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}) + q - p = 0,$$

(2.26)

When $N = 3$ one can have $3[0, 1]$, $3[0, 2]$, $3[1, 1]$, and $3[1, 2]$ generalizations. For example, the $3[1, 1]$ generalisation of H1 is

$$(u - \tilde{w})(\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}) + q - p = 0,$$

(2.27)

$$(v - \tilde{u})(\tilde{u} - \tilde{u}) + q - p = 0.$$  

Remark: while each ABS-equation is part of a CAC system which comprises copies of the same equation (with appropriate dependence on the lattice parameters), this is not necessarily the case for their multi-component generalisations. For example, the $2$-component $Q$ equation (2.25) sits in the cube system $2[0, 1, 0]$ together with

$$A : 
\begin{align*}
(u - \tilde{u})(\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}) + r - p &= 0, \\
(v - \tilde{v})(\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}) + r - p &= 0.
\end{align*}$$

(2.28)

Here the $B$ equation has the same form as the $Q$ equation, but the $A$ equation is decoupled.

Auto-Bäcklund transformations

There also exist CAC systems containing two different equations. For example, one can compose a CAC system using the lattice potential modified KdV (lpmKdV, or H3)$^9$) equation

$$B(u, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}, u; q, r) = q(u\tilde{u} - \tilde{u}u) - r(\tilde{u}u - \tilde{u}u),$$

(2.30)
on the side faces and the discrete sine-Gordon (dsG) equation
\[ Q(u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \widetilde{u}; p, q) = p(u\tilde{u} - \hat{u}\tilde{u}) - q(u\tilde{u}\hat{u}\tilde{u} - 1), \]
(2.31)
for the other four faces of the cube \((Q, A)\) \[6, 17\]. Multi-component generalisation yields the CAC system
\[ Q(u, T_{a}\tilde{u}, T_{b}\hat{u}, T_{a+b}\tilde{u}; p, q) = 0, \]
(2.32a)
\[ Q(u, T_{a}\tilde{u}, T_{c}\tilde{u}, T_{a+c}\tilde{u}; p, r) = 0, \]
(2.32b)
\[ B(u, T_{b}\hat{u}, T_{c}\tilde{u}, T_{b+c}\tilde{u}; q, r) = 0, \]
(2.32c)
with their shifts. In particular, we mention the \([2[1,1]\) generalisation of the dsG equation
\[ p(u\tilde{u} - \hat{u}v) - q(u\tilde{u}v\hat{u} - 1) = 0, \]
\[ p(v\tilde{u} - \hat{u}u) - q(v\tilde{u}u\hat{u} - 1) = 0 \]
(2.33)
whose auto-BT consists of the dsG equation and the lpmKdV equation, which gives rise to an asymmetric Lax-pair, given in section \[2.2.2\].

The auto-\(\text{B"acklund}\) transformations given in Table 2 of \[5\] provide other instances of the same situation. For example, one can take the ABS equation called \(Q_{1}\) as the \(Q\)-equation, that is
\[ Q(u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \tilde{u}; p, q) := p(u - \hat{u})(\tilde{u} - \tilde{u}) - q(u - \tilde{u})(\hat{u} - \hat{u}) + pq(p - q) = 0. \]
at the bottom face, and \(Q(\pi, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \tilde{u}; p, q) = 0\) on the top face. A CAC system is obtained by placing the auto-BT, where the \(\text{B"acklund}\) parameter \(r\) plays the role of the lattice parameter,
\[ A(u, \tilde{u}, \pi, \tilde{u}, p, r) := (u - \tilde{u})(\pi - \tilde{u}) + p(u + \tilde{u} + \pi + \tilde{u} + p + 2r) = 0, \]
(2.34)
on the front face, \(A(\tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \tilde{u}, \pi, \tilde{u}, p, r) = 0\) on the back face, \(A(u, \tilde{u}, \pi, \tilde{u}, q, r) = 0\) on the left face and \(A(\hat{u}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}, q, r) = 0\) on the right face. Such CAC lattice systems can be consistently extended to multi-component CAC lattice systems by virtue of Theorem \[1\].

Thus, when \(Q^{*} = Q\) the multi-component equations
\[ A(u, T_{a}\tilde{u}, T_{b}\pi, T_{a+b}\tilde{u}; p, r) = 0, \]
(2.35a)
\[ B(u, T_{c}\tilde{u}, T_{b+e}\tilde{u}; q, r) = 0, \]
(2.35b)
can be interpreted as an auto-BT, mapping one solution \(u\) to another solution \(\pi\). This is because the top equation in \(2.9\) can be rewritten as
\[ T_{c}Q(\pi, T_{a}\tilde{u}, T_{b}\hat{u}, T_{a+b}\tilde{u}; p, q) = 0. \]

We remark that the equation \(2.34\) (in fact, any auto-BT) is an integrable equation on the \(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\) lattice. The equation \(2.34\) is not in the ABS list and neither is the sine-Gordon equation, because they are not CAC with copies of themselves. However, they do possess an auto-BT and hence (non-symmetric) Lax pairs (where the \(\text{B"acklund}\) parameter provides the so called spectral parameter) can be constructed, see section \[2.2.2\].
Bäcklund transformations

For (non-auto) Bäcklund transformations, such as the ones given in Table 3 in [5], the equations on the bottom face, $Q$, and on the top face $Q^*$ are different. For example, taking $Q = H_2$,

$$(u - \tilde{u})(\bar{u} - \tilde{u}) - (p - q)(u + \tilde{u} + \bar{u} + \tilde{u} + p + q) = 0,$$

and posing

$$A : u + \tilde{u} + p = 2\pi\bar{u},$$
$$B : u + \tilde{u} + q = 2\pi\tilde{u},$$

and their shifted versions, $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{B}$ on the side faces, one finds that on the top face the variable $u$ satisfies the $Q^* = H_1$ equation (2.24).

In the general $N$-component cube system, denoted $N[a,b,c]$, the side system

$$u + T_a\tilde{u} + T_b\bar{u} = 2(T_c\bar{u}),$$
$$u + T_a\tilde{u} + T_b\bar{u} = 2(T_c\bar{u}),$$

provides a BT between the $N$-component $H_2$ system

$$(u - T_{a+b}\tilde{u})(T_a\tilde{u} - T_b\bar{u}) - (p - q)(u + T_a\tilde{u} + T_b\bar{u} + T_{a+b}\tilde{u} + p + q) = 0,$$

and the $N$-component $H_1$ system

$$(T\bar{u} - T_{a+b}\tilde{u})(T_a\tilde{u} - T_b\bar{u}) - p + q = 0$$

There are other examples of CAC lattice systems such as the ones in [15]. These all allow multi-component generalisation.

2.2.2 Lax pairs

In a consistent system of the form (2.9) the Lax pair of equation (2.13) can be constructed through the BT (2.35) following the standard procedure, cf. [2, 7, 30]. Here one would introduce $\pi = gf^{-1}$ with

$$f = \text{diag}(f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_N), \quad g = \text{diag}(g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_N),$$

and $\Psi = (f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_N, g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_N)^T$, and then equation (2.35) can be cast into the form $\dot{\Psi} = L(u, \tilde{u})\Psi$, $\dot{\tilde{\Psi}} = M(u, \bar{u})\tilde{\Psi}$. With the correct scaling factors, the pair of matrices $L, M$ form a $2N \times 2N$ Lax pair of (2.13). In Appendix A we show how this approach yields (2.44).

On the other hand, one can directly write down a Lax pair of the $N$-component system (2.13) in terms of Lax matrices of the scalar equation. Suppose that (2.8) is a scalar 3D consistent lattice system, and the bottom equation

$$Q(u, \bar{u}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\tilde{u}}) = 0$$

(2.42)
has a $2 \times 2$ Lax pair (e.g. the one obtained from the BT at hand)

$$\tilde{\psi} = \mathcal{L}(u, \tilde{u})\psi, \quad \hat{\psi} = \mathcal{M}(u, \hat{u})\psi,$$

(2.43)

where $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)^T$ and $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are $2 \times 2$ matrices. Considering $N$ copies of the equation, each scalar equation $Q(u_i, \tilde{u}_i, \hat{u}_i) = 0$ has a $2 \times 2$ Lax pair $\mathcal{L}(u_i, \tilde{u}_i)$, $\mathcal{M}(u_i, \hat{u}_i)$. Then, we have the following Lax pair for the multi-component case.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that the scalar equation (2.42) has a Lax pair (2.43). Then the $N$-component generalisation (2.13) has a $2N \times 2N$ Lax pair

$$\tilde{\Phi} = \theta^{-a-c}L(u, T_a \tilde{u})\theta^c \Phi, \quad \hat{\Phi} = \theta^{-b-c}M(u, T_b \hat{u})\theta^c \Phi.$$  

(2.44)

where, with $u$ the diagonal matrix (2.3) and $v = \text{diag}(v_1, v_2, \cdots v_N)$,

$$L(u, v) = \text{diag}(\mathcal{L}(u_1, v_1), \mathcal{L}(u_2, v_2), \cdots, \mathcal{L}(u_N, v_N)),$$

(2.45a)

$$M(u, v) = \text{diag}(\mathcal{M}(u_1, v_1), \mathcal{M}(u_2, v_2), \cdots, \mathcal{M}(u_N, v_N))$$

(2.45b)

in which $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are the Lax matrices given in (2.43), $\theta = \sigma_{2N}^2$ and $\sigma_{2N}$ is a $2N \times 2N$ cyclic matrix defined by (2.4).

Note that the gauge transformation $\Phi' = \theta^c \Phi$, transforms the Lax pair (2.44) into

$$\tilde{\Phi}' = \theta^{-a}L(u, T_a \tilde{u})\Phi', \quad \hat{\Phi}' = \theta^{-b}M(u, T_b \hat{u})\Phi',$$  

(2.46)

which does not depend on $c$.

**Proof.** The compatibility of the linear system

$$\tilde{\Phi} = L(u, \tilde{u})\Phi, \quad \hat{\Phi} = M(u, \hat{u})\Phi$$

equals

$$L(\tilde{u}, \hat{u})M(u, \hat{u}) = M(\tilde{u}, \hat{u})L(u, \hat{u}),$$

(2.47)

which is equivalent to equation (2.42) with diagonal matrix $u$ given by (2.3).

From the compatibility of (2.44) we find

$$\theta^{-a-c}L(\tilde{u}, T_a \tilde{u})\theta^c \theta^{-b-c}M(u, T_b \hat{u})\theta^c = \theta^{-b-c}M(u, T_b \hat{u})\theta^c \theta^{-a-c}L(u, T_a \tilde{u})\theta^c$$

$$\iff \theta^b L(\tilde{u}, T_a \tilde{u}) \theta^{-b}M(u, T_b \hat{u}) \theta^{-a}L(u, T_a \tilde{u})$$

$$\iff L(T_b \hat{u}, T_{a+b} \tilde{u})M(u, T_b \hat{u}) = M(T_a \tilde{u}, T_{a+b} \tilde{u})L(u, T_a \tilde{u}),$$

which gives rise to (2.13), as (2.42) arises from (2.47). 

As an example, consider the H1 equation (2.24) which admits the Lax pair

$$\mathcal{L}(u, \tilde{u}) = \mathcal{H}(u, \tilde{u}, p), \quad \mathcal{M}(u, \hat{u}) = \mathcal{H}(u, \hat{u}, q),$$

(2.48)

with

$$\mathcal{H}(u, \tilde{u}, p) = \begin{pmatrix} -u & u\tilde{u} + p - r \\ -1 & \tilde{u} \end{pmatrix}.$$
According to (2.46), the 3[1,1] generalisation of H1 (2.27) admits the Lax pair
\[
\tilde{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & \mathcal{H}(w, \tilde{u}, p) \\
\mathcal{H}(u, \tilde{v}, p) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{H}(v, \tilde{w}, p) & 0
\end{pmatrix} \Phi,
\]
\[
\hat{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & \mathcal{H}(w, \hat{u}, q) \\
\mathcal{H}(u, \hat{v}, q) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{H}(v, \hat{w}, q) & 0
\end{pmatrix} \Phi.
\]

**Asymmetrical Lax pairs**

When equation A is not related to equation B by a standard change in lattice parameters, the corresponding Lax pair for Q is asymmetrical. Similarly, one also finds asymmetry if one constructs a Lax pair for an auto-BT (A), using its auto-BT given by B,Q. For example, the auto-BT (2.32b)-(2.32c) provides an asymmetrical Lax pair for the 2-component dsG equation (2.33),
\[
\tilde{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\mathcal{L}(v, \tilde{u}) & 0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix} \Phi, \quad \hat{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\mathcal{M}(v, \hat{u}) & 0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix} \Phi,
\]
where
\[
\mathcal{L}(u, \tilde{u}) = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
p & -r\tilde{u} \\
\frac{r}{u} & -\frac{r}{u}
\end{array} \right), \quad \mathcal{M}(u, \hat{u}) = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
r\hat{u} & -q\hat{u} \\
-q & r
\end{array} \right),
\]
where we’ve taken \(a = b = c = 1\).

For all the auto-BTs gives in [5, Table 2] a superposition principle emerges for solutions of the equation that are related by the auto-BT. This gives rise to a different asymmetrical Lax-pair for the auto-BT. The superposition principle for solutions of the ImpKdV equation related by the dsG equation is
\[
Q(u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \hat{\tilde{u}}; p, s) = s(u\tilde{u} - \hat{u}\hat{\tilde{u}}) - p(u\tilde{u} - \hat{u}\hat{\tilde{u}}), \quad (2.49)
\]
which is an ImpKdV equation with \(p\) and \(s\) interchanged. The cube system with the superposition principle (2.49) on the bottom and top faces and the dsG equation on the side faces, cf. Figure 4 is consistent, and admits multi-component generalisation.

One can also construct Lax-pairs from non-auto BTs [5, Table 3], however, these will not contain a spectral parameter.

**2.2.3 Counting N-component generalisations of ABS lattice equations**

**D4 symmetry**

The ABS lattice equations are D4 symmetric, i.e.
\[
Q(u, \hat{u}, \tilde{u}, \hat{\tilde{u}}; p, q) = \pm Q(u, \hat{u}, \tilde{u}, \hat{\tilde{u}}; q, p) = \pm Q(\hat{u}, \tilde{u}, u, \hat{\tilde{u}}; p, q). \quad (2.50)
\]
In (2.50) we can consider $u$ and its shifts to be diagonal matrices (2.3). By relabelling we also have

$$Q(u, T_a \hat{u}, T_b \hat{u}, T_{a+b} \hat{u}; p, q) = \pm Q(u, T_b \hat{u}, T_a \hat{u}, T_{a+b} \hat{u}; q, p)$$

$$= \pm Q(T_a \hat{u}, u, T_{a+b} \hat{u}, T_b \hat{u}; p, q) = \pm Q(T_b \hat{u}, T_{a+b} \hat{u}, u, T_a \hat{u}; p, q).$$

(2.51)

Now we introduce $v(n, m) = u(-n, m)$, and in terms of $v$ we write (2.13) as

$$Q(v, T_a \tilde{v}, T_b \tilde{v}, T_{a+b} \tilde{v}; p, q) = 0.$$  (2.52)

Applying a tilde-shift, by virtue of $D_4$ symmetry we obtain

$$Q(\tilde{v}, T_a v, T_b \tilde{v}, T_{a+b} \tilde{v}; p, q) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow Q(T_a v, \tilde{v}, T_{a+b} \tilde{v}, T_b \tilde{v}; p, q) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow T_a Q(v, T_{-a} \tilde{v}, T_b \tilde{v}, T_{a-b} \tilde{v}; p, q) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow Q(v, T_{-a} \tilde{v}, T_b \tilde{v}, T_{a-b} \tilde{v}; p, q) = 0.$$

Since $T_{-a} = T_{N-a}$, the above relation indicates that $N[a, b]$ and $N[N-a, b]$ generate same $N$-component system up to reflection $R_1 : n \leftrightarrow -n$. This leads to the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.** For the ABS equation (1.1), due to $D_4$ symmetry, the cases

$$N[a, b], \ N[b, a], \ N[a, N-b], \ N[N-a, b], \ N[N-a, N-b]$$

are all equivalent up to coordinate refections. Consequently, we can assume $0 \leq a \leq b \leq c \leq N/2$ without loss of generality.
Decoupling

Let us consider the $4[2, 2]$ generalisation of (1.1):

\[ Q(u_1, \tilde{u}_3, \hat{u}_3, \hat{\tilde{u}}_1; p, q) = 0, \quad (2.53a) \]
\[ Q(u_2, \tilde{u}_4, \hat{u}_4, \hat{\tilde{u}}_2; p, q) = 0, \quad (2.53b) \]
\[ Q(u_3, \tilde{u}_1, \hat{u}_1, \hat{\tilde{u}}_3; p, q) = 0, \quad (2.53c) \]
\[ Q(u_4, \tilde{u}_2, \hat{u}_2, \hat{\tilde{u}}_4; p, q) = 0. \quad (2.53d) \]

This system is decoupled into two $2[1, 1]$ systems, namely (2.53a, 2.53c) and (2.53b, 2.53d).

In the next theorem, for which we include a proof in Appendix B, we give conditions which decide when a system is decoupled or non-decoupled.

The greatest common divisor between integers $a, b, \ldots, c$ will be denoted $\gcd(a, b, \ldots, c)$.

**Theorem 3.** Let $d = \gcd(a, b, N)$. If $d > 1$ the $N[a, b]$ generalisation (2.13) can be decomposed into $d$ sets of $s[a/d, b/d]$ form of the equation (1.1), where $s = N/d$. If $d = 1$ the system is non-decoupled.

It follows that if $N$ is prime the only decoupled case is $N[0, 0]$, which corresponds to the trivial multi-component generalisation. For $N = 6$, we have five generalisations which decouple,

$6[0, 0], 6[0, 2], 6[0, 3], 6[2, 2], 6[3, 3]$ and five that do not decouple,

$6[0, 1], 6[1, 1], 6[1, 2], 6[3, 3], 6[2, 3]$.

We let $\alpha_N$ denote the number of $N$-component generalisations (2.13) that decouple, and we let $\beta_N$ denote the number of $N$-component generalisations (2.13) that do not decouple. Thus, $\alpha_6 = \beta_6 = 5$.

In the following theorem we give formulas for the functions $\alpha_N$ and $\beta_N$. We use notation as follows. Let $s$ be a set. By $\mathcal{P}(s)$ we denote the powerset of $s$, $\#s$ we denote the number of elements in $s$, and $\Pi s$ denotes the product of the elements in $s$, e.g. with $s = \{1, 2, 3\}$ we have

$\mathcal{P}(s) = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}$, $\#s = 3$, $\Pi s = 6$, and $\#\emptyset = 0$, $\Pi \emptyset = 1$. Furthermore, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote the set of prime divisors of $n$ by $\mathbb{P}_n$, i.e. if $n$ has prime decomposition $n = \prod_{i=1}^{l} p_i^{m_i}$, then $\mathbb{P}_n = \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_l\}$.

**Theorem 4.** For any given positive integer $N > 1$, the numbers of decoupled and non-decoupled $N$-component ABS systems (2.13) are respectively given by

\[ \alpha_N = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{P}_N) \setminus \emptyset} (-1)^{\#s+1} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{N}{2\Pi s} \right\rfloor + 2 \right) \quad (2.54) \]


\[
\beta_N = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{P}} (-1)^{\#s} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{N}{2s} \right\rfloor + 2 \right) \tag{2.55}
\]

where \( \binom{n}{m} = \frac{n!}{m! (n-m)!} \) and \( \lfloor \cdot \rfloor \) represents the floor function.

Formulas (2.54) and (2.55) yield

\[
\alpha_2, 3, \ldots, 20 = 1, 1, 3, 1, 6, 3, 8, 1, 13, 1, 12, 8, 15, 1, 22, 1, 24,
\]

\[
\beta_2, 3, \ldots, 20 = 2, 2, 3, 5, 9, 9, 12, 13, 20, 15, 27, 24, 28, 30, 44, 33, 54, 42,
\]

and we note that \( \alpha_{2n} + \beta_{2n} = \alpha_{2n+1} + \beta_{2n+1} = \binom{n+2}{2} \), and \( \alpha_p = 1 \) when \( p \) is prime.

\textbf{Proof.} Due to \( 0 \leq a \leq b \leq N/2 \), the total number of \( N[a,b] \) generalisations is

\[
\alpha_N + \beta_N = \left( \left\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) + \left( \left\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) = \left( \left\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \right\rfloor + 2 \right). \tag{2.56}
\]

First consider the decoupled case. For any \( p \in \mathbb{P}_N \), if

\[
a, b \in \{0, p, 2p, 3p, \cdots, \lfloor N/(2p)\rfloor p\}
\]

then \( p \) is a divisor of \( \gcd(a, b, N) \). By Theorem 3 this gives rise to \( \binom{\lfloor N/(2p)\rfloor + 2}{2} \) decoupled \( N \)-component systems. Similarly, for \( q \in \mathbb{P}_N \) with \( q \neq p \) we find \( \binom{\lfloor N/(2q)\rfloor + 2}{2} \) decoupled \( N \)-component systems. However, a number of these systems we would have already counted, namely the \( \binom{\lfloor N/(2pq)\rfloor + 2}{2} \) systems where

\[
a, b \in \{0, pq, 2pq, 3pq, \cdots, \lfloor N/(2pq)\rfloor pq\}.
\]

Running through all the primes in \( \mathbb{P}_N \) by the inclusion-exclusion principle one finds the formula (2.54) for \( \alpha_N \). Due to (2.56) the formula for \( \beta_N \) is then given by (2.55).

\section{2.3 Multi-component CAC 3D lattice equations}

3D lattice equations defined on a 3D cube can be consistent around a 4D cube. In affine linear case, certain 8-point and 6-point lattice equations, see Figure 5, have been verified to be CAC in this sense [2, 4]. We mention in particular the lattice AKP equation (a.k.a. the Hirota equation [20])

\[
\alpha_1 \tilde{u} \tilde{u} + \alpha_2 \tilde{u} \tilde{u} + \alpha_3 \tilde{u} \tilde{u} = 0 \tag{2.57}
\]

and the lattice BKP equation (a.k.a. the Miwa equation [28])

\[
\alpha_1 \tilde{u} \tilde{u} + \alpha_2 \tilde{u} \tilde{u} + \alpha_3 \tilde{u} \tilde{u} + \alpha_4 \tilde{u} \tilde{u} = 0. \tag{2.58}
\]

One can include arbitrary coefficients \( \{\alpha_j\} \) in the equations, which can be gauged to any nonzero value [33]. The stencils of these two equations are depicted in Fig.5.

Any 3D CAC lattice equation can be generalised to a multi-component 3D equation which inherits the CAC property. We have the following result.
Theorem 5. Suppose that the scalar lattice system

\begin{align*}
Q(u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \bar{u}, \check{u}, \overline{u}, \tilde{\overline{u}}, \tilde{\overline{u}}) &= 0, \\
Q(\dot{\overline{u}}, \dot{\bar{u}}, \dot{\check{u}}, \dot{\tilde{u}}, \dot{\overline{u}}, \dot{\tilde{u}}, \dot{\tilde{\overline{u}}}, \dot{\tilde{\overline{u}}}) &= 0, \\
A(u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \bar{u}, \check{u}, \overline{u}, \tilde{\overline{u}}, \tilde{\overline{u}}) &= 0, \\
A(\bar{u}, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \bar{u}, \check{u}, \overline{u}, \tilde{\overline{u}}, \tilde{\overline{u}}) &= 0, \\
B(u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \bar{u}, \check{u}, \overline{u}, \tilde{\overline{u}}, \tilde{\overline{u}}) &= 0, \\
B(\bar{u}, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \bar{u}, \check{u}, \overline{u}, \tilde{\overline{u}}, \tilde{\overline{u}}) &= 0, \\
C(u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \bar{u}, \check{u}, \overline{u}, \tilde{\overline{u}}, \tilde{\overline{u}}) &= 0, \\
C(\bar{u}, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \bar{u}, \check{u}, \overline{u}, \tilde{\overline{u}}, \tilde{\overline{u}}) &= 0
\end{align*}

is consistent around the 4D cube in Fig. 6, i.e. the value of \( \dot{\tilde{u}} \) is uniquely determined by suitably given initial values. Then, after replacing \( u \) with
diagonal form \((2.3)\), the following system

\[ Q(u, T_{a+b}, T_{a+c}, T_{b+c}, T_{a+b+c}) = 0, \]

\[ Q(T_{d}, T_{a+d}, T_{b+d}, T_{a+b+d}, T_{a+c+d}, T_{b+c+d}, T_{a+b+c+d}) = 0, \]

\[ A(u, T_{a+b}, T_{a+c}, T_{b+c}, T_{a+b+c}, T_{a+b+c+d}) = 0, \]

\[ A(T_{c}, T_{a+c}, T_{b+c}, T_{a+b+c}, T_{a+b+c+d}) = 0, \]

\[ B(u, T_{a+b}, T_{a+c}, T_{b+c}, T_{a+b+c}, T_{a+b+c+d}) = 0, \]

\[ B(T_{c}, T_{a+c}, T_{b+c}, T_{a+b+c}, T_{a+b+c+d}) = 0, \]

\[ C(u, T_{a+b}, T_{a+c}, T_{b+c}, T_{a+b+c}, T_{a+b+c+d}) = 0, \]

\[ C(T_{c}, T_{a+c}, T_{b+c}, T_{a+b+c}, T_{a+b+c+d}) = 0, \]

is also consistent around the 4D cube.

We will call (abusing notation) equation \((2.59a)\) the \(N[a, b, c]\) extension of the scalar equation

\[ Q(u, \hat{u}, \bar{u}, \tilde{u}, \vec{u}, \hat{\bar{u}}, \hat{\tilde{u}}, \hat{\vec{u}}) = 0. \]

As examples, the \(2[1, 1, 1]\) extension of the AKP equation \((2.57)\) is

\[ \alpha_1 \hat{\bar{u}} + \alpha_2 \hat{\tilde{u}} + \alpha_3 \hat{\vec{u}} = 0, \]

\[ \alpha_1 \hat{\bar{u}} + \alpha_2 \hat{\tilde{u}} + \alpha_3 \hat{\vec{u}} = 0, \]
and the $2[1,1,1]$ extension of the BKP equation (2.58) is

$$\alpha_1 \hat{v} \hat{u} + \alpha_2 \hat{u} \hat{v} + \alpha_3 \tilde{v} \tilde{u} + \alpha_4 \tilde{u} \tilde{v} = 0, \quad (2.62a)$$

$$\alpha_1 \tilde{u} \hat{v} + \alpha_2 \tilde{v} \hat{u} + \alpha_3 \hat{v} \tilde{u} + \alpha_4 \hat{u} \tilde{v} = 0. \quad (2.62b)$$

Both of them are 4D consistent by virtue of Theorem 5.

3 Reductions

3.1 Nonlocal systems

A few years ago, nonlocal integrable systems were introduced by Ablowitz and Musslimani [1]. They studied the nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$iq_t(x,t) + q_{xx}(x,t) + 2q^2(x,t)q^*(-x,t) = 0,$$

where $i$ is the imaginary unit and $q^*$ denotes the complex conjugate of $q$. Most nonlocal integrable systems are continuous or semi-discrete. In this section we show that nonlocal fully discrete integrable equations can be constructed as reductions of 2-component ABS systems.

For a $2[0,1]$ ABS system (2.22), that is a system of the form

$$Q(u, \tilde{u}, \hat{v}, \hat{\tilde{v}}; p, q) = 0, \quad (3.1a)$$

$$Q(v, \tilde{v}, \hat{u}, \hat{\tilde{u}}; p, q) = 0, \quad (3.1b)$$

where $u$ and $v$ are scalar functions of $(n,m)$. Introducing the relation

$$v(n,m) = u(-n,-m), \quad (3.2)$$

(3.1a) reduces to

$$Q(u(n,m), u(n+1,m), u(-n,m+1), u(-n-1,m+1); p, q) = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

The companion equation (3.1b) reduces to the same equation but for $v(n,m)$. Equation (3.3) is a nonlocal ABS equation.

A $2[1,1]$ ABS system (2.23), i.e.

$$Q(u, \tilde{v}, \hat{v}, \hat{\tilde{u}}; p, q) = 0, \quad (3.4a)$$

$$Q(v, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \hat{\tilde{v}}; p, q) = 0, \quad (3.4b)$$

admits the reduction

$$v(n,m) = u(-n,-m), \quad (3.5)$$

which reduces (3.4a) to the nonlocal equation

$$Q(u(n,m), u(-n-1,-m), u(-n,-m-1), u(n+1,m+1); p, q) = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

As examples, the nonlocal H1 equation of type (3.3) reads

$$(u(n,m) - u(-n-1,m+1))(u(n+1,m) - u(-n,m+1)) + q - p = 0. \quad (3.7)$$
and the nonlocal H1 equation of type (3.6) is
\[(u(n, m) - u(n+1, m+1))(u(-n-1, -m) - u(-n, -m-1)) + q - p = 0. \quad (3.8)\]

We note that for any ABS equation which is invariant under the transformation \( u \rightarrow -u \), its 2-component generalisation (3.1) allows nonlocal reduction by introducing
\[v(n, m) = \epsilon u(-n, m), \quad \epsilon = \pm 1, \quad (3.9)\]
and its 2-component generalisation (3.4) allows nonlocal reduction
\[v(n, m) = \epsilon u(-n, -m), \quad \epsilon = \pm 1. \quad (3.10)\]

Thus, besides (3.7) and (3.8), the H1 equation also has nonlocal forms
\[(u(n, m) + u(-n-1, m+1))(u(n+1, m) + u(-n, m+1)) + q - p = 0, \quad (3.11)\]
and
\[(u(n, m) - u(n+1, m+1))(u(-n-1, -m) - u(-n, -m-1)) - q + p = 0. \quad (3.12)\]

### 3.2 Higher order equations from eliminations

Multi-component generalisations can also be reduced to higher order lattice equations by elimination of field components.

#### The discrete Burgers equation

An example where the elimination can be done by a single substitution, is provided by the discrete Burgers equation. Eliminating the variable \( v \) in the \([0,1]\) generalisation (2.19) yields the four point equation
\[
\frac{\tilde{u}u - q\tilde{u} - \tilde{u}(p - q)}{\tilde{u} - p}\left(p - q + \tilde{u} - \frac{\tilde{u}u - q\tilde{u} - \tilde{u}(p - q)}{\tilde{u} - p}\right) = p\tilde{u} - q\tilde{u} - q\tilde{u} - \tilde{u}(p - q).
\]
Equations on similar but larger stencils are easily obtained from N-component generalisations.

#### ABS equations

For ABS-equations, the generic form of the function \( Q \) is, cf. [38],
\[
Q(u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \hat{v}) = k_1u\tilde{u}\hat{u} + k_2(u\tilde{u}u + u\tilde{u}u + u\tilde{u}u + u\tilde{u}u) + k_3(u\hat{u} + \tilde{u}u + \hat{u}\tilde{u}) + k_4(u\hat{u} + \tilde{u}\hat{u}) + k_5(u\hat{u} + \tilde{u}\hat{u}) + k_6(u + \tilde{u} + \hat{u} + \hat{v}) + k_7, \quad (3.13)
\]
where the coefficients depend on the lattice parameters \( p, q \). Due the \( D4 \) symmetry property \( Q(u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \hat{v}; p, q) = \pm Q(\tilde{u}, \hat{u}, u, \tilde{u}; p, q) \), there exists a function \( G \) such that
\[
\hat{u} = G(\tilde{u}, u, \hat{u}), \quad \tilde{u} = G(u, \hat{u}, \tilde{u}). \quad (3.14)
\]
From 2[0,1] ABS equations to scalar six-point equations

For the 2[0,1] ABS equation (3.1), we have

\[
\tilde{v} = G(v, u, \hat{u}), \quad \hat{v} = G(v, \hat{u}, \hat{u}).
\]  

(3.15)

The equation \( G(v, u, \hat{u}) = G(v, \hat{u}, \hat{u}) \) is quadratic in \( v \), so there exists a rational function \( F \), linear in \( \omega \), such that

\[
v = F(u, \bar{u}, \hat{u}; \omega),
\]  

(3.16)

with

\[
\omega^2 = \varphi(u, \bar{u}, \hat{u}).
\]  

(3.17)

Substituting (3.16) into (3.1a) gives rise to

\[
Q(\hat{\tilde{u}}, \hat{\tilde{v}}, F(\hat{\tilde{u}}, \tilde{v}, \hat{\tilde{u}}), F(\tilde{v}, \bar{v}, \hat{\tilde{u}}; \omega)) = 0,
\]  

(3.18)

which is multi-linear in the radicals \( \omega \) and \( \tilde{\omega} \), i.e. of the form

\[
m_{\omega, \tilde{\omega}}m_{-\omega, -\omega}m_{\omega, -\omega}m_{-\omega, -\omega} = (c_1^2 \omega^2 - c_2^2 \omega - c_3^2 \omega^2 + c_4^2)^2 - (2 c_1 c_4 - 2 c_2 c_3)^2 \omega^2.
\]

and substituting in the expressions for \( \omega^2, \tilde{\omega}^2 \), (3.17), one obtains a six-point equation of the form (see Figure 7(a))

\[
H(y, \tilde{y}, \bar{y}, \hat{u}, \hat{u}) = 0,
\]  

(3.19)

which is multi-quadratic in each variable.

For example, for the 2[0,1] H1 equation (3.19), we have

\[
\omega^2 = (\tilde{u} - y)(\hat{u} - \tilde{y}) \left( (\tilde{y} - y)(\hat{y} - y) + 4q - 4p \right),
\]

and we obtain the six-point equation

\[
(\hat{u} - \tilde{u})(\tilde{u} - y)(\hat{u} - \tilde{y})(\tilde{u} - y) + 2(p - q)(\hat{u} - \tilde{u})(\tilde{u} - y)(\hat{y} - y) = 0.
\]  

(3.20)

Note that due to the symmetric positions for \( u \) and \( v \) in (3.1), variable \( v \) satisfies (3.19) as well, and therefore system (3.1) can serve as either a Lax pair or an auto-BT for (3.19).

From 2[1,1] ABS equations to scalar five-point equations

For the 2[1,1] ABS equation, the second component (3.4b) can be written variously as

\[
\tilde{v} = G(u, \bar{v}, \tilde{u}), \quad \hat{v} = G(\hat{u}, \bar{v}, u),
\]  

(3.21)

and the first component (3.4a) is equivalent to

\[
v = G(u, v, u).
\]  

(3.22)
Substituting the formulas (3.21) and (3.22) into (3.4a) gives
\[ Q(u, G(u, G(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, u), \tilde{u}), G(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, u), \tilde{u}) = 0. \] (3.23)

Miraculously, when \( Q \) has the form (3.13) the equation (3.23) factorises. One factor is biquadratic in \( u \), and the one from 2\([1,\, 1\]\) equa-
\[ H(u, \hat{u}, \tilde{u}, u, \tilde{u}) = (l_1 u^2 + l_2 u + l_3)(u \hat{u}u - u \hat{u}u - u \hat{u}u + u \hat{u}u) \]
\[ + (l_2 u^2 + l_3 u + l_5)(\hat{u}u - \hat{u}y) \]
\[ + (l_3 u^2 + l_5 u + l_6)(\hat{u} + \hat{u} - \hat{u} - u) = 0 \] (3.24)
with parameters defined by
\[ l_1 = k_1 k_3 - k_2^2, \quad l_2 = -k_3 k_2 - k_2 k_4 + k_1 k_6 + k_3 k_2, \quad l_3 = -k_4 k_5 + k_6 k_2 \]
\[ l_4 = -k_4^2 + k_3^2 - k_2^2 + k_1 k_7, \quad l_5 = k_7 k_2 - k_6 k_4 - k_5 k_6 + k_6 k_3, \quad l_6 = k_7 k_3 - k_6^2, \]
quadratic in \( u \) and multi-linear in \( y, \hat{y}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{u}, \) see Figure 7(b). We note that
\[ (3.4) \] provides a Lax pair as well as an auto-BT for (3.24).

Figure 7: Equations on five- and six-point stencils are obtained from 2-
component ABS equations.

As examples, the five-point equation obtained from the 2\([1,\, 1\]\) H1 equation is
\[ u \hat{u}u - y \hat{u}u - \hat{u}y \hat{u}u + 2u(\hat{u}u - \hat{u}y) + u^2(\hat{u} + \hat{u} - \hat{u} - u) = 0, \] (3.25)
and the one from 2\([1,\, 1\]\) H2 is
\[ u \hat{u}u - y \hat{u}u - \hat{u}y \hat{u}u + 2u(\hat{u}u - \hat{u}y) + (u^2 - (p - q)^2)(\hat{u} + \hat{u} - \hat{u} - u) = 0. \] (3.26)
Note that (3.25) can be rewritten as
\[ \frac{1}{\hat{u}u} + \frac{1}{u} = \frac{1}{\hat{u}u} + \frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{\hat{u}u}. \]
Higher order scalar equations from $2[1,1,1]$ AKP and BKP

Here we show that higher order equations can also be obtained from multi-component generalisations of 3D lattice equations.

One can eliminate $u$ from the $2[1,1,1]$ AKP equation (2.61) as follows. Denote the left hand side from (2.61a) by $E$ and the left hand side from (2.61b) by $F$. First we solve $\hat{\tilde{u}}$ from $\tilde{E} = 0$, $\hat{\bar{u}}$ from $\bar{E} = 0$, $\tilde{\hat{u}}$ from $\bar{\tilde{F}} = 0$ and $\hat{\bar{u}}$ from $\tilde{\bar{F}} = 0$. Then we substitute $\hat{\tilde{u}}, \hat{\bar{u}}$ into $\bar{\tilde{F}}/(\bar{\tilde{v}}\bar{\hat{v}}\tilde{v})$ and $\tilde{\hat{u}}, \hat{\bar{u}}$ into $\hat{\bar{F}}/(\hat{\bar{v}}\tilde{v}\bar{v})$.

The difference of the results gives rise to the 12-point equation

$$a_2^1 \left[ \frac{\tilde{v}}{\bar{v}v} - \frac{\tilde{v}}{\bar{v}v} \right] + a_2^2 \left[ \frac{\bar{v}}{\tilde{v}\bar{v}} - \frac{\tilde{v}}{\bar{v}v} \right] + a_3^2 \left[ \frac{\tilde{v}}{\bar{v}v} - \frac{\bar{v}}{\tilde{v}v} \right] = 0. \tag{3.27}$$

Similar to the 2D case, the coupled system (2.61) can be considered as either a BT or a Lax pair of (3.27).

From the 2-component BKP equation (2.62), using a similar elimination scheme, one obtains the 14-point King-Schief equation:

$$a_1^2 \left[ \frac{\tilde{v}}{\bar{v}v} - \frac{\tilde{v}}{\bar{v}v} \right] + a_2^2 \left[ \frac{\bar{v}}{\tilde{v}v} - \frac{\bar{v}}{\tilde{v}v} \right] + a_2^3 \left[ \frac{\tilde{v}}{\bar{v}v} - \frac{\tilde{v}}{\bar{v}v} \right] + a_4^2 \left[ \frac{\tilde{v}}{\bar{v}v} - \frac{\bar{v}}{\tilde{v}v} \right] = 0, \tag{3.28}$$

which arose in the study of nondegenerate Cox lattices [26]. Its BT/Lax pair is provided by (2.62), with $u$ acting as an eigenvalue function, cf. [26, Equation (30)], and the equation degenerates to equation (3.27) when $a_4 = 0$. The equations (3.27) and (3.28) are satisfied by solutions of the AKP equation and the BKP equation respectively.

The analysis in [26, Section 5] reveals that Cox-Menelaus lattices are intimately related to the AKP equation. We expect that (3.27) will play a similar role in that context as (3.28) plays in the context of nondegenerate Cox lattices. We further note that equation (3.28) relates, by a simple coordinate transformation [37], to an equation that appeared in a completely different setting, namely through the notion of duality, employing conservation laws of the lattice AKP equation [36].

### 3.3 N-component $q$-Painlevé III equation

The results in section 2 remain true for non-autonomous multi-dimensionally consistent systems, extending spacing parameters $p \to p(n)$, $q \to q(m)$ and $r \to r(l)$. There are close relations, cf. [25, 29], between non-autonomous ABS lattice equations and discrete Painlevé equations exploiting the affine Weyl group. A particular example is provided by a non-autonomous version of the lpmKdV equation (2.30) which can be reduced to a $q$-Painlevé III equation, by performing a periodic reduction. We use this example to illustrate that such a link extends to the multi-component case.

For the non-autonomous lpmKdV equation

$$Q(u, \tilde{u}, \hat{u}, \bar{u}) = p(u\tilde{u} - \hat{u}\bar{u}) - q(u\hat{u} - \bar{u}\tilde{u}) = 0, \quad p = p_0q^n, \quad q = q_0q^m,$$
we use the bottom and front equations on its multi-component consistent cube, i.e.
\[
p(uT_a \hat{u} - (T_b \hat{u})(T_{a+b} \hat{u})) - q(uT_b \hat{u} - (T_a \hat{u})(T_{a+b} \hat{u})) = 0, \tag{3.29a}
\]
\[
p(uT_a \hat{u} - (T_c \hat{u})(T_{a+c} \hat{u})) - r(uT_c \hat{u} - (T_a \hat{u})(T_{a+c} \hat{u})) = 0, \tag{3.29b}
\]
Imposing the periodic reduction (cf. [25])
\[
\hat{u} = u, \quad a + b + c = 0 \tag{3.30}
\]
on (3.29), and replacing \( p, q \) by \( pq, qq \), and the first equation (3.29a) is unchanged but we rewrite it as
\[
T_a \hat{u}(pu + qT_{a+b} \hat{u}) = T_b \hat{u}(qu + pT_{a+b} \hat{u}) \tag{3.31a}
\]
for convenience. For (3.29b), after a tilde/hat-shift and making use of the reduction (3.30), we have
\[
T_a \hat{u}(pq \hat{u} + rT_{-b} \hat{u}) = T_{-a-b} u(r \hat{u} + pqT_{-b} \hat{u}). \tag{3.31b}
\]
Then, introducing diagonal matrices \( f \) and \( g \) by
\[
f = (T_a \hat{u})(T_{a+b} \hat{u})^{-1}, \quad g = (T_{a+b} \hat{u})u^{-1}, \quad t = \frac{qp}{r}, \quad k = \frac{r}{qq},
\]
from (3.31a) and (3.31b) we find
\[
T_{-a} \hat{f} = \frac{1 + ktg}{fg(kt + g)}, \quad T_a \hat{g} = \frac{1 + tf}{fg(t + f)}, \tag{3.32}
\]
which is an \( N \)-component q-Painlevé III equation.

Taking \( N = 3 \) and \( a = 1 \), this yield the 3-component q-Painlevé III system
\[
\hat{f}_3 = \frac{1 + k tg_1}{f_1 g_1 (kt + g_1)}, \quad \hat{g}_2 = \frac{1 + tf_1}{f_1 g_1 (t + f_1)},
\]
\[
\hat{f}_1 = \frac{1 + k tg_2}{f_2 g_2 (kt + g_2)}, \quad \hat{g}_3 = \frac{1 + tf_2}{f_2 g_2 (t + f_2)},
\]
\[
\hat{f}_2 = \frac{1 + k tg_3}{f_3 g_3 (kt + g_3)}, \quad \hat{g}_1 = \frac{1 + tf_3}{f_3 g_3 (t + f_3)}.
\]

4 Exact solutions

4.1 Solutions with jumping property

Using \( N \) solutions to the scalar equation, one can construct a solution for the \( N \)-component equation (2.13). For \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, N \), let \( w_j(n, m) \) be a solution to the scalar equation (2.42), i.e.
\[
Q(w_j, \tilde{w}_j, \hat{w}_j, \hat{w}_j; p, q) = 0. \tag{4.1}
\]
If we set
\[ u_k(n, m) = w_k - an - bm(n, m), \] (4.2)
where the sub-index is taken modulo \( N \), the system of equations (2.13) comprises \( N \) copies of the scalar equation. Thus, (4.2) provides a solution to (2.13). If (2.13) is non-decoupled, i.e. when gcd\((a, b, N) = 1\), then \( u_k \) will run over \( \{w_j : j = 1, 2, \cdots, N\} \) by virtue of Lemma 2 in Appendix B. The pattern of \( u_k \) is depicted in Figure 8.

For the 2[1, 1] ABS equation (2.23), according to (4.2) its solution can be given by
\[
\begin{align*}
  u_1 &= \begin{cases} 
    w_1, & n + m \equiv 0 \text{ (modulo 2)}, \\
    w_2, & n + m \equiv 1,
  \end{cases} \\
  u_2 &= \begin{cases} 
    w_2, & n + m \equiv 0, \\
    w_1, & n + m \equiv 1,
  \end{cases}
\end{align*}
\] (4.3a, 4.3b)
where each \( w_i \) satisfies scalar equation (4.1). This coincides with the result in [12]. For the 3[1, 1] ABS equation (2.13), solutions can be presented by
\[
  u_k = \begin{cases} 
    w_k, & n + m \equiv 0 \text{ (modulo 3)}, \\
    w_{k-1}, & n + m \equiv 1, \\
    w_{k-2}, & n + m \equiv 2,
  \end{cases}
\] (4.4)
provided each \( w_i \) solves the scalar equation (4.1). Note that (4.2) has the so-called jumping property (cf. [12]) and for (4.4) this property is illustrated by Figure 9.

Solutions for multi-component generalisations of 3D equations, given in section 2.3, can be given in a similar fashion as for 2D equations. If \( \{w_j\} \) are \( N \) solutions of the 3D scalar equation (2.60), then
\[
  u_k(n, m, l) = w_k - an - bm - cl(n, m, l),
\] (4.5)

Figure 8: \( u_k \) defined by (4.2) on \((n, m)\) lattice
Figure 9: Jumping property of $u_i$ in (4.4) in the tilde-direction

where the sub-index is taken modulo $N$, provides a solution of the $N[a, b, c]$ generalisation (2.59a).

**Bilinear equations**

Many equations in the ABS list have been bilinearized [18]. If a scalar ABS equation (2.42) has a bilinear form

\[ H(f, g, \tilde{f}, \tilde{\tilde{f}}, \check{f}, \check{\check{f}}, \check{g}, \check{\check{g}}, \tilde{\tilde{f}}, \tilde{\check{f}}) = 0, \]

(4.6)

with transformation $u = F(f, g)$, for example, H1 equation (2.24) has bilinear form

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{g} \tilde{f} - \tilde{g} \hat{f} + (\alpha - \beta)(\tilde{f} f - f \tilde{f}) &= 0, \\
g \tilde{\check{f}} - \check{g} f + (\alpha + \beta)(f \tilde{f} - \tilde{f} f) &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where $p = -\alpha^2$, $q = -\beta^2$, then for the $N[a, b]$ system (2.13), its bilinear form can be given by

\[ H(f, g, \tilde{T}_a \tilde{f}, T_a \tilde{g}, T_b \tilde{f}, T_b \tilde{g}, T_{a+b} \tilde{f}, T_{a+b} \tilde{g}) = 0, \]

(4.7)

through the transformation $u = F(f, g)$ where $f, g$ are diagonal forms in (2.41).

With respect to solutions, suppose $(f_j, g_j)$ are any arbitrary solutions of (4.6). Using them we define

\[ f_k(n, m) = \tilde{f}_{k-an-bm}(n, m), \quad g_k(n, m) = \tilde{g}_{k-an-bm}(n, m). \]

(4.8)

Then, $(f, g)$ composed by such components will be a solution to (4.7).

*Some equations need more than two functions to get bilinear forms. Here we just employ (4.6) as a generic form.*
As an example, the 2[0, 1] H1 equation (2.25) has a bilinear form
\[
\hat{g}_2 \tilde{f}_1 - \hat{g}_1 \tilde{f}_2 + (\alpha - \beta)(\tilde{f}_1 \tilde{f}_2 - \tilde{f}_1 \tilde{f}_2) = 0,
\]
\[
\hat{g}_1 \tilde{f}_2 - \hat{g}_2 \tilde{f}_1 + (\alpha - \beta)(\tilde{f}_2 \tilde{f}_1 - \tilde{f}_2 \tilde{f}_1) = 0,
\]
\[
g_1 \tilde{f}_2 - \hat{g}_2 f_1 + (\alpha + \beta)(f_1 \tilde{f}_2 - \tilde{f}_1 \tilde{f}_2) = 0,
\]
\[
g_2 \tilde{f}_1 - \hat{g}_1 f_2 + (\alpha + \beta)(f_2 \tilde{f}_1 - \tilde{f}_2 \tilde{f}_1) = 0
\]
with transformation \( u_i = \alpha n + \beta m + r - g_i / f_i \), and (with \( m \) taken modulo 2)
\[
f_1 = \begin{cases} f_1, & m \equiv 0, \\ f_2, & m \equiv 1, \end{cases} \quad f_2 = \begin{cases} f_2, & m \equiv 0, \\ f_1, & m \equiv 1, \end{cases}
\]
\[
g_1 = \begin{cases} g_1, & m \equiv 0, \\ g_2, & m \equiv 1, \end{cases} \quad g_2 = \begin{cases} g_2, & m \equiv 0, \\ g_1, & m \equiv 1, \end{cases}
\]
where \((f_i, g_i)\) are solutions of (4.6).

### 4.2 Nonlocal case

For some nonlocal ABS equations, if the scalar equation (2.42) admits an odd or even solution, i.e.
\[
u(n, m) = \epsilon u(-n, -m), \quad \epsilon = \pm 1,
\]
then, such solutions may be used to construct a solution to the nonlocal equation.

As an example, let us look at the nonlocal H1 equation (3.12). The local H1 equation (2.24) has rational solution (4.10)
\[
u(n, m) = f_1(n, m) + m / f_2(n, m), \quad f_1(n, m) = \begin{cases} f_1, & m \equiv 0, \\ f_2, & m \equiv 1, \end{cases}
\]
\[
u(n, m) = g_1(n, m) + m / g_2(n, m), \quad g_1(n, m) = \begin{cases} g_1, & m \equiv 0, \\ g_2, & m \equiv 1, \end{cases}
\]
where \((f_i, g_i)\) are solutions of (4.6).

The Casoratian vector is
\[
\alpha(n, m, l) = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{N-1})^T, \quad \alpha_j = \frac{1}{(2j + 1)!} \partial_s^{2j+1} \psi_i s_i = 0,
\]
with
\[
\psi_i(n, m, l) = \psi^+(n, m, l) + \psi^-(n, m, l), \quad \psi_i^+(n, m, l) = (1 \pm s_i)^l (1 \pm \nu s_i)^m.
\]
\(\psi^\pm_i\) has the form
\[
\psi^\pm_i(n, m, l) = \pm \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \alpha^\pm_i s_i^h = \pm \frac{1}{2} \exp \left[-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\mp s_i^j)^2}{j} x_j \right],
\]
where
\[
\hat{x}_j = x_j + l, \quad x_j = \mu^j n + \nu^j m, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]
(4.13)

Then, \(\alpha_j = \alpha^\pm_{2j+1}\) can be expressed in terms of \(\{x_j\}\), see [42]. The first few \(f_N\) and \(g_N\) are
\[
\begin{align*}
 f_1 &= x_1, \quad g_1 = 1, \\
f_2 &= \frac{x_1^3 - x_3}{3}, \quad g_2 = x_1^2, \\
f_3 &= \frac{1}{45} x_1^6 - \frac{1}{9} x_1^3 x_3 + \frac{1}{5} x_1 x_5 - \frac{1}{9} x_3^2, \quad g_3 = \frac{2}{15} x_1^3 - \frac{1}{3} x_1^2 x_3 + \frac{1}{5} x_5.
\end{align*}
\]

It has been proved in [42] that \(f_N\) and \(g_N\) only depend on \(\{x_1, x_3, \cdots, x_{2N-1}\}\) and are homogeneous with degrees
\[
D[f_N] = \frac{N(N+1)}{2}, \quad D[g_N] = \frac{N(N+1)}{2} - 1,
\]
defined by the formula \(D[\prod_{i \geq 1} x_i^{k_i}] = \sum_{i \geq 1} i k_i\). The function \(u\) given by (4.10) is an odd function, and so it provides a solution to the nonlocal \(H1\) (3.12).

We remark that rational solutions in terms of \(\{x_j\}\) have been obtained for all the ABS equations except Q4 [42, 43]. This implies rational solutions for nonlocal ABS equations can be derived, which will be explored elsewhere.

5 Conclusion

We have presented a systematical way to generate multi-dimensionally consistent multi-component lattice equations by making use of the cyclic group. We note that cyclic matrices have been used in 3-point differential-difference equations [8, 9] and fully discrete Lax pairs [11] to generate multi-component systems.

A general description of our approach is: starting from a single-component CAC (2D or 3D) lattice system (2.1), replacing \(u\) by a diagonal matrix (2.3), applying permutations \(T_k\) on shift fields consistently, one obtains a multi-component CAC lattice system (2.9). Posing the equations on the lattice, \(D_4\) symmetry, criteria for decoupled and non-decoupled cases, BTs, Lax pairs, solutions, nonlocal reductions, elimination of components to get equations on larger stencils, and reduction to multi-component discrete Painlevé equations, are investigated in detail.

Isolated examples of the systems we construct have already appeared in the literature in different contexts. We mention: the two-component potential KdV system [9, Table 5], cf. [12]; a two-component generalisation [24]
Equation (3.17)] of the (non-potential) lattice mKdV equation [31, Equation (2.49)]; and the linear system of tetrahedral equations [26, Equation (30)] that constitutes a two-component version of the BKP equation.

In conclusion, multi-component generalisation provides a basic understanding for a range of known or previously unknown discrete systems and equations. What we have not touched upon, is the fact that multi-component generalisation can also be applied to systems of equations. For example, the discrete Boussinesq family contains several multi-component CAC lattice systems [13]. These also allow \( N[a,b] \) extension, cf. the 2[1,1] Boussinesq generalisation given in [12].
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A Multi-component Lax pair from BT

For the scalar consistent lattice system (2.1) with \( Q^* = Q \), there exist functions \( G_i \) such that

\[
\tilde{u} = G_1(u, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}), \quad \tilde{\tilde{u}} = G_2(u, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}), \quad \tilde{\tilde{\tilde{u}}} = G_3(u, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}), \quad (A.1)
\]

which leads to the Lax pair (2.43) for (2.42). It holds as well if \( u \) is extended to the diagonal form (2.3). Introduce \( \tilde{\tilde{u}} = gf^{-1} \) where \( f \) and \( g \) are given in (2.41). From (A.1) there exist functions \( F_2 \) and \( F_3 \) such that

\[
\tilde{f}^{-1}g = F_2(u, \tilde{u}, f, g), \quad \tilde{\tilde{f}}^{-1}g = F_3(u, \tilde{u}, f, g),
\]

which leads to a Lax pair for (1.1) where \( u \) is (2.3):

\[
\Phi(\tilde{\tilde{f}}, \tilde{\tilde{g}}) = L(u, \tilde{u})\Phi(f, g), \quad \Phi(\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{f}}}, \tilde{\tilde{\tilde{g}}}) = M(u, \tilde{u})\Phi(f, g), \quad (A.2)
\]

where

\[
\Phi(f, g) = (f_1, g_1, f_2, g_2, \cdots, f_N, g_N)^T, \quad (A.3)
\]

and \( L \) and \( M \) are defined by (2.45) through \( \mathcal{L} \) and \( \mathcal{M} \).

Meanwhile, from (A.1) we have

\[
T_{a+b}\tilde{u} = G_1(u, T_a\tilde{u}, T_b\tilde{u}), \quad T_{a+c}\tilde{u} = G_2(u, T_a\tilde{u}, T_c\tilde{u}), \quad T_{b+c}\tilde{u} = G_3(u, T_c\tilde{u}, T_b\tilde{u}).
\]
and consequently
\[ T_{a+c}(\tilde{g}\tilde{f}^{-1}) = F_2(u, T_a\tilde{u}, T_c\tilde{f}, T_c\tilde{g}), \]
\[ T_{b+c}(\tilde{g}\tilde{f}^{-1}) = F_3(u, T_b\tilde{u}, T_c\tilde{f}, T_c\tilde{g}). \]
From this and the definition (A.3) for \( \Phi \), we obtain (with \( \theta \) defined in Theorem 2)
\[ \Phi(T_c\tilde{f}, T_c\tilde{g}) = \theta^c \Phi(f, g), \]
\[ \Phi(T_{a+c}\tilde{f}, T_{a+c}\tilde{g}) = \theta^{a+c} \Phi(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}), \]
\[ \Phi(T_{b+c}\tilde{f}, T_{b+c}\tilde{g}) = \theta^{b+c} \Phi(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}). \]
Compared with (A.2), it comes to
\[ \theta^{a+c} \Phi(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}) = L(u, T_a\tilde{u})\theta^c \Phi(f, g), \]
\[ \theta^{b+c} \Phi(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}) = M(u, T_b\tilde{u})\theta^c \Phi(f, g), \]
which gives rise to the Lax pair (2.44) for (2.13).

B Proof of Theorem 3

We first prove a useful lemma. Although we believe it is an elementary result in number theory, we include it for completeness. We denote \( \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots\} \) and \( \mathbb{N}^0 = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\} \).

**Lemma 2.** Let \( a, b \in \mathbb{N}^0, N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \gcd(a, b, N) = 1 \), and let \( \mathbb{A} = \{ia + jb + kN : i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \). There are \( i_0, j_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( k_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that
\[ 1 = i_0a + j_0b + k_0N, \]
and hence \( \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{Z} \).

**Proof.** Let \( s_0 = i_0a + j_0b + k_0N \) be the smallest positive integer in \( \mathbb{A} \). For all \( s > 0 \in \mathbb{A} \), there are \( i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( s = ia + jb + kN \), and there exist \( q, r \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( s = s_0q + r \) where \( q > 0 \) and \( 0 \leq r < s_0 \). Then we have
\[ 0 \leq r = s - s_0q = (i - i_0q)a + (j - j_0q)b + (k - k_0q)N \in \mathbb{A}. \]
Since \( s_0 \) is the smallest positive number in \( \mathbb{A} \) and \( 0 \leq r < s_0 \), we must have \( r = 0 \), which leads to \( s = s_0q \). As \( s \) was arbitrary it follows that \( s_0 \) is a divisor of \( \gcd(a, b, N) \), which implies \( s_0 = 1 \) because \( \gcd(a, b, N) = 1 \). Thus we reach (B.1) and consequently \( \mathbb{A} \) covers \( \mathbb{Z} \). If \( i_0 \) and \( j_0 \) are not positive, there exist \( i, j \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( iN + i_0 > 0 \) and \( jN + j_0 > 0 \), and from (B.1) we have
\[ 1 = (iN + i_0)a + (jN + j_0)b + (k_0 - ia - jb)N. \]

We now prove Theorem 3.
Proof. We consider two cases, $d = \gcd(a, b, N) > 1$ and $d = 1$.

$d > 1$ The $N[a, b]$ system \([2.13]\), viewed as a set which we denote by $S$ here, contains $N$ equations of the form

$$Q[k] : Q(u_k, \tilde{u}_{k+a}, \hat{u}_{k+b}, \hat{\tilde{u}}_{k+a+b}) = 0, \ (k = 1, 2, \cdots, N),$$

(B.2)

where the sub-index $i$ on the components $u_i$ and hence the ‘argument’ of $Q[\cdot]$ is taken modulo $N$. These equations depend on $N$ field components, $V = \{u_i : 1 \leq i \leq N\}$.

For each $1 \leq i \leq d$ we define a subset of $M = N/d$ equations

$$S_i = \{Q[i], Q[d+i], \ldots, Q[(M-1)d+i]\},$$

so that the disjoint union $\cup_{i=1}^{d} S_i$ equals the set $S$. Writing the set of variables as a disjoint union, $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{d} V_i$ where $u_j \in V_i$ iff $j \equiv i \mod N$, we have, for all $i$, that each equation in $S_i$ only depends on the variables in $V_i$. Renaming the variables $u_{i+dj} \in V_i$ by $v_j$ shows that the system $S_i$ is a $M[a/d, b/d]$ system.

$d = 1$ We distinguish three cases: $a = 0$, $a = b$, $a < b$.

$a = 0$ The generic equation in the system $N[0, b]$, with $b \neq 0$, is

$$Q[k] : Q(u_k, \tilde{u}_k, \hat{u}_{k+b}, \hat{\tilde{u}}_{k+b}) = 0.$$

Suppose $Y$ is a subset of equations which depend on a subset of variables $U \subset V$. If all equations that depend on variables in $U$ are in $Y$ and $Y$ is a proper subset, then the system is decoupled. Without loss of generality, suppose $Q[1] \in Y$. As $Q[1]$ depends on $u_{1+b}$, we have $Q[1+b] \in Y$, which in turn implies that $Q[1+2b] \in Y$. Continuing this argument

$$Y \supset \{Q[1+ib] : 0 \leq i < N\}$$

contains $N$ equations. As $1 + ib \equiv 1 + jb \mod N$ implies $i \equiv j \mod N$ when $\gcd(b, N) = 1$, they are all distinct. This shows that $Y$ is not a proper subset and hence the system is non-decoupled.

$a = b$ The generic equation in the system $N[b, b]$, with $b \neq 0$, is

$$Q[k] : Q(u_k, \tilde{u}_{k+b}, \hat{u}_{k+b}, \hat{\tilde{u}}_{k+2b}) = 0.$$

As in the case $a = 0$, attempting to construct a proper subset of equations, $Y$, we find \([B.3]\).
For the system $N[a, b]$, with $0 < a < b$, the generic equation has the form
\[
Q[k] : Q(u_k, \bar{u}_{k+a}, \tilde{u}_{k+b}, \hat{u}_{k+a+b}) = 0.
\]
Starting from $Q[1] \in Y$, following the dependence on the variables we must have
\[
\{Q[1 + ai + bj] : i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \subset Y.
\]
It follows from Lemma 2 that $Y$ contains $N$ distinct equations and therefore this case is non-decoupled as well.
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