A figure of merit for black hole mass measurements with molecular gas
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ABSTRACT

In this work we discuss the technique of using molecular gas kinematics (or the kinematics of any dynamically cold tracer) to estimate black hole masses. We present a figure of merit that will be useful in defining future observational campaigns, and discuss its implications. We show that, in principle, one can estimate black hole masses using data that only resolve scales \(\approx 2\) times the formal black hole sphere of influence, and confirm this by reanalysing lower resolution observations of the molecular gas around the black hole in NGC 4526. We go on to discuss the effect that angular resolution, velocity resolution and the depth of the galaxies potential have on the ability to estimate black hole masses, and conclude by discussing prospects for the future. Once ALMA is fully operational, we find that over \(10^5\) local galaxies with massive black holes will be observable, and that given sufficient surface brightness sensitivity one could measure the mass of a \(\gtrsim 4 \times 10^8\, M_\odot\) black hole at any redshift.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Over the last twenty years it has become clear that almost all galaxies possess a supermassive black hole (SMBH) at their centre. Despite being many thousands of times less massive than the galaxies they live in, and a billion times smaller in size, we now believe that these black holes have major effects on their host systems.

The empirical relations that have been discovered between galaxy properties and black hole masses (e.g. Magorrian et al. 1998; Gebhardt et al. 2000; Ferrarese & Merritt 2000; Merritt & Ferrarese 2001; Graham et al. 2001; Marconi & Hunt 2003; Gültekin et al. 2009), suggest that galaxies and black-holes may co-evolve in a self-regulating manner (e.g. Silk & Rees 1998; King 2003).

SMBH demographics also reveal that different galaxy types have different evolutionary histories. For instance, black-hole masses may not correlate with the properties of galactic disks (that are thought to mainly grow through secular processes), but correlate strongly with the properties of bulges (Kormendy & Ho 2013). Spiral galaxies, that on average have a quieter formation history, also seem to have smaller black-holes than early-type systems even for the same bulge velocity dispersion (McConnell & Ma 2013).

Obtaining accurately measured black hole masses is thus a powerful way to probe the processes involved in galaxy evolution. Such observations are challenging, however, requiring high angular resolution observations with reasonable spectral resolution. Three main methods have been used to date to directly measure black-hole masses: observations of stellar kinematics (mainly in early-type galaxies; e.g. Dressler & Richstone 1988; Kormendy 1988; Gebhardt et al. 2003), ionised-gas kinematics (in spiral and some early-type galaxies; e.g. Ferrarese, Ford & Jaffe 1996; Sarzi et al. 2001; Barth et al. 2001; Ho et al. 2002) and the kinematics of nuclear masers (in rare objects that have suitable central masing disks; e.g. Miyoshi et al. 1995; Greenhill, Moran & Herrnstein 1997; Moran, Greenhill & Herrnstein 1999; Lo 2005).

Recently, Davis et al. (2013b, hereafter D13) introduced a new tracer which can be used to estimate black hole masses; using millimetre interferometry to resolve the kinematics of molecular clouds in the centre of the lenticular galaxy NGC 4526. This galaxy lies at 16.5 Mpc, has an inclination of 79° and was found to have a SMBH mass of \(\approx 4 \times 10^8\, M_\odot\). As highlighted in D13, molecular gas as a tracer has various useful quantities; in principle it is possible in any galaxy type, and the high angular resolutions achievable by new (sub)-millimetre interferometers (e.g. the Atacama Large Millimetre/submillimetre Array; ALMA) mean it may be possible to probe larger volumes of the universe than ever before.

In this paper we consider the strengths and weaknesses...
of using molecular gas kinematics to estimate black hole masses, and introduce a figure of merit that should be useful when planning future observational campaigns. We briefly describe the method, deriving this figure of merit in Section 2.1, and go on to highlight its possible applications using observational data in Section 3. We then conclude and reflect on future prospects in Sections 4 and 5. Throughout this work we use the the $M_{\text{BH}}$-$\sigma_{\ast}$ relations of McConnell & Ma (2013), and a cosmology where $\Omega_m = 0.3$, $\Omega_k = 0.7$ and $H_0 = 71 \text{ km s}^{-1}\text{Mpc}^{-1}$, unless otherwise stated.

## 2 Technique and Figure of Merit

The procedure by which one can use molecular gas to estimate SMBH masses builds on the established method used in ionised gas measurements. Observations of the Keplerian kinematics of a molecular disk are used to estimate the total (luminous plus dark) gravitational potential of the target object. A de-projected mass model of the galaxy in question (usually constructed from high resolution near-infrared imaging) is then used to estimate the kinematic signal expected from just the luminous stellar mass of the bulge regions. The stellar mass-to-light ratio (M/L) can be fitted as a free parameter using this molecular gas data, or constrained through other kinematic or stellar population studies at larger radii. In the absence of very strange dark-matter profiles, the kinematic effect of the dark matter halo varies smoothly in the inner parts of galaxies, and is thus usually thought to be included with this fitted M/L term.

In galaxies where the molecular gas density in the inner parts is an important contribution to the total mass density, the high resolution gas image also obtained from the interferometer can be used to include its contribution to the potential (e.g. Alatalo et al. 2011). This correction for the potential of the molecular material was not done in D13, as the total molecular mass within the inner 80pc is only $\approx 2 \times 10^6 \text{ M}_\odot$, at least two orders of magnitude smaller than the stellar mass in the same region. When generalising this technique to more gas rich galaxies, however, such a correction may be important.

Once a suitable mass model has been created, forward modelling is used to predict the observed kinematics expected given the luminous matter alone. The difference between the kinematics from the luminous mass model and the observed kinematics from the molecular disk can then be estimated. If a significant difference is found, additional models can be created including the mass of a central dark object, and these can then be fitted to the data to determine a best fit SMBH mass.

### 2.1 Figure of Merit

In order to detect the kinematic signature of a SMBH using molecular gas as your tracer, one must observe molecular emission at higher velocities than predicted from the potential of the luminous mass alone. Let us define $v_{\text{gal}}(r)$ as the speed a test particle (i.e. a molecular cloud) would have in a circular orbit in the equatorial plane of an edge on galaxy at radius $r$, given the potential of the luminous mass alone (see Sections 3.2 and 4 for a further discussion on the importance of accurately determining this quantity). We here only consider the case of dynamically cold gas in a flat disk (or ring) rotating at the circular velocity. In cases where the gas is not dynamically cold, warped, or inflowing/outflowing this analysis is not formally valid (we discuss these cases further below).

We then define $v_{\text{obs}}(r)$ as the observed velocity of a given gas parcel at a radius $r$, including the effect of a SMBH. To claim a detection of the SMBH signal at confidence level $\alpha$ (i.e. $\alpha=3$ is a $3\sigma$ detection at radius $r$), the projected velocity difference at this radius $r$ (usually defined as the closest resolvable distance to the centre of the galaxy of interest, that is determined by the beamsize of the telescope) must be at least $\alpha$ times larger than the associated error ($\delta v$) in both the observational quantities and the modelling. If the galaxy is seen at an inclination to our line of sight $i$, then

$$v_{\text{obs}}(r) - v_{\text{gal}}(r) \sin i > \alpha \delta v.$$  

(1)

Assuming the gas is on purely circular orbits in a flat disk that shares the same inclination ($i$) as the galaxy, then

$$v_{\text{obs}}(r) = \sqrt{\left[v_{\text{gal}}(r)^2 - \phi_{\text{BH}}(r)\right] \sin i},$$

(2)

where $\phi_{\text{BH}}$ is the gravitational potential of the SMBH ($\phi_{\text{GMBH}}$), with G being the gravitational constant, and $M_{\text{BH}}$ being the black hole mass. Substituting this into Equation 1 we obtain

$$\sqrt{v_{\text{gal}}(r)^2 - \phi_{\text{BH}}(R)} - v_{\text{gal}}(r) > \frac{\alpha \delta v}{\sin i}.$$  

(3)

Through a simple rearrangement, this becomes the basic equation for our figure of merit ($\Gamma_{\text{FOM}}$)

$$\Gamma_{\text{FOM}} = \frac{\sqrt{v_{\text{gal}}(r)^2 - \phi_{\text{BH}}(r)} - v_{\text{gal}}(r)}{\alpha \delta v} \sin i,$$  

(4)

which is equal to one for a detection of the SMBH signature at a confidence level $\alpha$.

Assuming that the point at which one wishes to estimate the black hole mass is the closest resolvable distance from the centre (in the limit of very good sampling of the spatial PSF) then one can further redefine that in parsecs $r=1.848D$ where $\theta$ is the telescope beam size in arcseconds and D is the distance to the galaxy in megaparsecs (the factor 4.84 comes from the definition of a parsec).

Several useful formulae follow directly from the above definition of the figure of merit (Equation 4), and are presented in Section 2.3 for the readers convenience.

### 2.2 Error terms

The exact form of the error term $\delta v$ in the above figure of merit (Equation 4) depends on the individual situation. Several error terms are almost always present, but more may be required in specific cases.

The first error term that must be included for radio interferometer data is that induced by the channelisation of the data ($\delta v_{\text{chan}}$). For a dynamically cold tracer like molecular gas, the intrinsic line width of 5-10 km s$^{-1}$ (caused by the combination of inter-cloud velocity dispersion with the small thermal line width of individual molecular clouds) is usually smaller than the channel width. In this limit one is unable to tell the true velocity of a parcel of gas to better
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than half the channel width. If the model data and the real
data have been treated in the same way then:

$$\delta v_{\text{chan}} = \sqrt{2 \left( \frac{CW}{2} \right)^2} = \sqrt{0.5} CW$$

(5)

If the line width is instead well sampled then one can
determine the velocity centroid of the emission line more
accurately, and this term becomes a function of both the
channel width and the signal-to-noise ratio.

In addition the velocity estimated from a model of the
luminous matter in the system is likely to have an associated
channel width and the signal-to-noise ratio.

The furthest away one can detect a black hole with mass
$M_{\text{BH}}$ at a confidence $\alpha$, with a beam of $\theta$ arcseconds, with a circular velocity caused by luminous matter of $V_{\text{gal}}(\theta)$ is

$$D_{\text{max}} = \frac{G M_{\text{BH}}}{4.846 \alpha \delta v \left( \alpha \delta v \sin^2 \theta + 2 V_{\text{gal}} \sin \theta \right)}$$

(8)

and equivalently the angular resolution (in parsecs) that is
required to detect a black hole with mass $M_{\text{BH}}$ at a con-
fidence $\alpha$ in an object with a circular velocity caused by
luminous matter of $V_{\text{gal}}(\theta)$ is

$$r_{\text{max}} = \frac{G M_{\text{BH}}}{\alpha \delta v \left( \alpha \delta v \sin^2 \theta + 2 V_{\text{gal}} \sin \theta \right)}$$

(9)

It should be remembered here that generally $V_{\text{gal}}$ is a
function of $r$ and so this quantity may be best estimated by
evaluating $\Gamma_{\text{FOM}}$ as a function of radius, and determining
where $\Gamma_{\text{FOM}}=1$.

Less usefully one can also estimate the maximum circu-
lar velocity caused by luminous matter possible in a galaxy
to still allow a detection of an SMBH signature (with sym-
bols as defined before):

$$v_{\text{gal}}(\theta)_{\text{max}} = 0.5 \left[ \frac{\phi(r) \sin \theta}{\alpha \delta v} \right] = \left( \frac{\alpha \delta v}{\sin \theta} \right).$$

(10)

By substituting in Equation 6 into Equation 4 it is also
possible to show that that the maximum channel width to
detect a SMBH with mass $M_{\text{BH}}$ at a confidence $\alpha$ (with
symbols as previously defined) is

$$CW_{\text{max}} = \sqrt{2 \left( \left[ \frac{V_{\text{gal}}^2 - \phi(r) - V_{\text{gal}}}{\alpha \delta v} \right] + \delta v \right)^2 - 2 \delta v^2_{\text{errs}}},$$

(11)

where $\delta v^2_{\text{errs}}$ is the quadratic sum of all the error terms
excluding the channel width (as shown in Equation 6).

3 APPLICATIONS

3.1 Modifications to the sphere of influence
criteria

The size of the region in which the gravitational potential of
a SMBH dominates the gravitational potential of the host
galaxy is called the sphere of influence (SOI). It is possible
in some cases to estimate black-hole masses outside the formal
SOI using ionised gas and stellar methods (e.g. Gebhardt
et al. 2003; Wold et al. 2006; Cappellari et al. 2010), however
this criteria is often used in practise to roughly determine
which SMBHs are observable (e.g. Ferrarese & Ford 2005).
The SOI is usually calculated as

$$r_{\text{SOI}} = \frac{G M_{\text{BH}}}{\sigma^2},$$

(12)

where $\sigma_r$, the stellar velocity dispersion, and the other
symbols are as defined above. A different formalism is also
occasionally used, where the sphere of influence is defined
as the region enclosing a total mass twice that of the SMBH
mass (i.e. $M(r < r_{\text{SOI}}, \alpha) = 2M_{\text{BH}}$). These two sphere of influence
definitions are equal in the case of an isothermal sphere,
but differ in real objects. It has been claimed that the ap-
application of these formulæ to determine which galaxies are
likely to have measurable black-holes could lead to biases in
the derived SMBH-galaxy relations (e.g. Batcheldor 2010),
and so any technique that could push below this limit would
be useful.

Equation 9 suggests that the enhancement of the circu-
lar velocity due to the black-hole is measurable further out
than the classical SOI criteria would indicate. Thus we ar-
ge that classical SOI criteria needs to be modified when one
is dealing with molecular gas measurements, or indeed any
technique where the majority of the signal is seen in velocity
rather than velocity dispersion (see Merritt 2013 for a simi-
lar discussion). We are clearly in such a velocity dominated
regime here. For instance, taking the case of NGC 4526, the
gas velocity dispersion ($\sigma_g$) is consistent with being less than
10 km s$^{-1}$ throughout the molecular disk, while the velocity
gradient across a single interferometer beam element can be
as high as 240 km s$^{-1}$. As the generic signature one re-
quires to detect an SMBH is a cusp in $V^{\text{obs}}_{\text{gal}}+\sigma^2_g$ (e.g. Merritt
2013), it is clear that for molecular gas the velocity compon-
ent dwarfs the contribution from the gas velocity disper-
sion.

In order to demonstrate the typical difference between
$r_{\text{SOI}}$ from Equation 12 and $\theta_{\text{max}}$ from Equation 9 we re-
trieved velocity dispersions, inclinations, distances and circu-
lar velocity profiles for every galaxy in the ATLAS$^{3D}$ survey
(Cappellari et al. 2011). Using the early-type galaxy Mu-
σ correlation of McConnell & Ma (2013) we estimate the
black-hole mass of each object, and thus its SOI. We then
also calculated $r_{\text{max}}$ (see Equation 9, as the location where our figure of merit suggests that the enhancement in the circular velocity would be 5X our velocity errors (i.e. where $\Gamma_{\text{FOM}}(\alpha = 5)$ is equal to one). We plot $r_{\text{SOI}}$ against $r_{\text{max}}$ in Figure 1 for each of the ATLAS$^3$D galaxies (black points).

There is a correlation between these two measures (as is to be expected give that they both are a measure of the gravitational effect of the same mass SMBH in the same potential), but on average $r_{\text{max}}$ is $\approx 2$ times larger than $r_{\text{SOI}}$. We used a simple least-squares linear regression to determine a best fit relation between these quantities, finding $r_{\text{max}} = 1.94 \pm 0.07 \, R_{\text{SOI}}^{1.02 \pm 0.02}$ (as shown by the black line in Figure 1). The scatter around this relation is 0.15 dex for our sample galaxies. As shown in Figure 2.4 in Merritt (2013), the area enclosing twice the mass of the SMBH ($R_{\text{SOI,m}}$) is approximately 2$R_{\text{SOI}}$ for the typical de Vaucouleurs (1948) density profile of early-type galaxies, providing a physical explanation for the size of the observed effect. However, our estimation here takes into account the possible sources of error, and thus for different error terms and desired confidence levels (i.e. $\alpha \neq 5$), the magnitude of this factor will change.

Our analysis above suggests one can estimate black-hole masses with a resolution $\approx 2$ lower than required to satisfy the classical sphere of influence criteria. This clearly has significant implications for the number of accessible black-holes in the local universe (we go on to discuss these prospects further in Section 4). In the next section we test the validity of this analysis, using the black hole in NGC 4526 as a test case.

### 3.1.1 The SMBH in NGC 4526

In order to validate our numerical prediction (made in Section 3.1 above) that it is possible to detect an SMBH using molecular gas as a tracer to good significance even outside the formal SOI of $0.25$ (D13) we here perform a test, attempting to detect the SMBH in NGC 4526 using the observational data from D13 re-imaged to yield different angular resolutions.

The CO(2-1) CARMA observations used in D13 had a beam of $0.27 \times 0.17$ (giving an effective resolution of $0.25$ along the major axis), and a velocity resolution of 10 km s$^{-1}$. The circular velocity caused by luminous matter of the galaxy at $0.25$ is $\approx 150$ km s$^{-1}$, and the error on that quantity arising from the mass modelling is $\approx 5$ km s$^{-1}$. Thus using our figure of merit formalism, the dark object in the centre of NGC 4526 was detected at $\approx 19$ times the error level (i.e $\Gamma_{\text{FOM}} = 18.46$ with $\alpha = 1$). This suggests that indeed we should be able to detect the influence of the black hole out to larger radii. Using Equation 9 with $\alpha = 3$ our formalism suggests we should be able to detect the signature of the SMBH and measure its mass out to a radius of $\approx 1''$. In order to test this, we re-imaged the calibrated visibilities, using different weighting and tapering schemes to produce datasets with resolutions of $0.41, 0.48, 0.63, 0.96, 1.21$ and $1.48$. In addition we used the low resolution CO(1-0) BIMA data of Young, Bureau & Cappellari (2008) (resolution 5''). We input this data to a new Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) code (KimMS$^2$MC) that couples to the KINematic Molecular Simulation (KinMS) routines presented in Davis et al. (2013a), and allows us to fit the data and obtain the full bayesian posterior probability distribution for the fitted parameters. This code fits the entire data cube produced by the interferometer, rather than simply the position-velocity diagram (as was done in D13).

In the left panel of Figure 2 we used the same parameters (surface brightness profile, total flux, inclination, centre position, etc) as D13, and fit for the black-hole mass (that is given a flat prior in log-space, and allowed to vary between $0$ and $10^{10} \, M_\odot$) and the mass-to-light ratio at $I$-band (that was given a flat prior in linear space, and allowed to vary between 1 and $4 \, L_\odot/ M_\odot$). The resulting best-fit black-hole masses (marginalised over the $M/L$) are then plotted as a function of resolution. We also show as a black line the $\Gamma_{\text{FOM}}(\alpha = 1)$ profile, and shade the areas where $\Gamma_{\text{FOM}} < 3$ and $\Gamma_{\text{FOM}} < 5$. As clearly demonstrated in the left panel of Figure 2 we are able to estimate the SMBH mass in this galaxy at all resolutions $< 1''$. In the areas where $\Gamma_{\text{FOM}} < 3$ we are unable to detect signs of a SMBH (the error bars on these points should not be taken as limits on the parameters, as when parameters are unconstrained it is difficult to ensure the MCMC algorithm properly explores all the available parameter space). This test suggests that in principle black-hole mass measurements made with molecular gas may be possible well outside the formal SOI.

The test described above used the observed ring morphology of the gas to fit the data at all resolutions, despite the fact that at lower resolutions the real gas distribution would not be obvious (see Young, Bureau & Cappellari 2008; Davis et al. 2013a; Alatalo et al. 2013). We test if an incorrect assumption about the gas surface brightness profile could cause problems in detecting the signature of a black hole. In the right panel of Figure 2 we repeat the experiment above, but use an exponential disk as our input surface brightness profile for the models. We obtain a very similar result to that shown in the left panel, obtaining entirely consistent

---

1 available at http://purl.org/KinMS
results for the higher resolution points. We however fail to detect the SMBH at a resolution of 0\(^{\prime\prime}\)0.96. We thus recommend using \(\Gamma_{\text{FOM}}(\alpha = 5)\) as a conservative threshold to aim for when planning black-hole mass measurements, to ensure a good detection even without \textit{a priori} knowledge of the gas distribution.

As discussed above, the FOM analysis presented in this paper only holds in cases like NGC 4526, where the molecular gas appears to be in dynamically cold thin disks/rings, and only circular motions are important. Lower resolution observations suggest that such dynamically cold disks are common in molecular gas hosting ETGs and flocculent spirals (e.g. Ho et al. 2002; Davis et al. 2013a), but galaxies with stronger spiral patterns may have contributions from non-circular streaming motions (e.g. Haan et al. 2009).

Having a higher angular resolution than suggested by our \(\Gamma_{\text{FOM}}(\alpha = 5)\) criteria is sensible in cases where one expects non-circular/streaming motions or disk warps to be significant, as having many resolution elements within a source makes it easier to identify and model such phenomena.

### 3.2 Minimum channel sizes

(Sub-)millimetre interferometers have an advantage over typical optical spectroscopy, in that it is possible to routinely obtain very high spectral resolution (<1 km s\(^{-1}\)) observations. In principle, simply observing a potential SMBH host galaxy at very high spectral resolution would allow the detection of an SMBH even very far out in the molecular disk. In practice however, the accuracy with which you can create the mass model for the luminous matter in your system (and the possible presence of streaming or non-circular motions in the gas) limits the minimum desirable spectral resolution.

Equation 11 and Figure 3 show clearly that one cannot simply decrease the channel width to arbitrarily small values to increase the accuracy of your SMBH measurement. The smallest SMBH mass you can measure begins to asymptote when one's channel width becomes equivalent to the size of these errors in the mass model (and any additional error terms). Thus, for example, for the NGC 4526 case discussed above little can be gained by decreasing the channel width below \(\approx 5\) km s\(^{-1}\).

### 3.3 Mass profile

As shown in Equation 4, two galaxy properties enter the equation for our figure of merit, the black-hole mass itself, and the circular velocity due to luminous matter at the desired angular resolution. This latter parameter will be different in galaxies that have a different mass profile (e.g. a core or cusp), even if the galaxy itself hosts the same mass black-hole.

Figures 4 and 5 show the importance that the mass profile of the galaxy plays in setting the limiting SMBH mass one can detect. Figure 4 shows the minimum mass SMBH one can detect at \(\alpha = 5\) as a function of the circular velocity at 20 pc (0\(^{\prime\prime}\)25 in this example - applicable for Virgo cluster objects). It is clear that it will be possible to detect a smaller SMBH if the circular velocity at the telescope resolution is low.

In Figure 5 we show an observational example, using the ATLAS\(^{3D}\) galaxies (as in Figure 1). We here plot \(R_{\Gamma(\alpha=5)}>1\), the expected size of the region in which you can detect the signature of a SMBH (that lies on the \(M_{\text{BH}}-\sigma\) relation) at...
Figure 3. Minimum SMBH mass detectable (at $\alpha=5$) as a function of the channel width using in the observations (assuming the observational parameters are as for NGC 4526; see Section 3.1.1 and legend).

Figure 4. Minimum SMBH mass detectable (at $\alpha=5$) as a function of the galaxy circular velocity (caused by luminous matter) at the beam size using in the observations (assuming the observational parameters are as for NGC 4526; see Section 3.1.1 and legend).

Figure 5. The ATLAS3D galaxies are plotted in the $R_{\Gamma(\alpha=5)>1}$ (the size of the region in which you can detect the expected SMBH at $\alpha=5$) versus slope of the potential $\gamma'$ (defined as the logarithmic derivative of the light profile at a radius of 0'1) plane. Objects with cores are shown as grey open circles, objects with power law cusps as crosses, and intermediate objects as open triangles, and the mean value for each class is shown as a black point (with error bars equal to the standard deviation of the points). Black-hole masses have been estimated assuming these objects lies on the elliptical galaxy $M_{\bullet}-\sigma$ relation, and $\gamma'$ is taken from Krajnovic et al. (2013). The dashed line shows the relation expected for average galaxy parameters, as explained in the text.

Overall we conclude that it is significantly easier to detect a given size SMBH if the galaxy potential is shallow (e.g. objects with cores) than if it is steep (e.g. objects with power law or cuspy profiles). Stellar black hole mass measurements are often easier in cuspy objects (as long as an unresolved nuclear star-cluster is not present) due to the greater concentration of tracer stars in the inner regions, highlighting the complementarity of these methods.

4 DISCUSSION

If using molecular gas as a tracer is to be a useful addition to the toolkit of techniques to estimate black-hole masses then it must be able to access a new area of parameter space, or have substantially lower errors than previous methods. The later is difficult, as although the formal errors involved in this technique are small, many of the major systematic errors that dog other studies (e.g. in distances, inclinations etc) are still present, and likely dominate the total error budget. In this section we turn to demonstrating which areas of parameter space this technique can help explore.

The obvious area of applicability for this technique is determining the variation of the $M_{\bullet}$ - galaxy relations with Hubble type. Almost all spiral galaxies have molecular gas (e.g. Young et al. 1995), and $\approx 22\%$ of ETGs have $\gtrsim 10^7$ $M_\odot$ of H$_2$ (Combes, Young & Bureau 2007; Welch, Sage & Young 2010; Young et al. 2011). Not all these objects will have suitable molecular gas distributions, so the volume accessible with this technique needs to be large enough to allow us to obtain statistical samples.

In Figure 6 we show the minimum black hole mass detec-
of the upper limits could be tested assuming all these objects had suitable molecular gas distributions. In reality galaxies with black hole masses estimated through stellar dynamics have usually been selected to ensure they do not have cold gas and dust, but this example simply shows the range of possible measurements with respect to the current state of the field.

Figure 7 shows the same as Figure 6, but this time assuming the capabilities of full ALMA (a resolution of $0''.011$ at 345 GHz). When observing with ALMA essentially all the galaxies with known black-holes could in principle be re-observed. In addition we overplot in grey the distribution of all galaxies with central velocity dispersions listed in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) Data Release 7 catalogue (Abazajian et al. 2009), estimating their black hole masses with the best fitting $M_{\text{BH}}-\sigma$ relation for all galaxy types from McConnell & Ma (2013). Using ALMA, over $3.5 \times 10^7$ of these objects ($\approx 65\%$ of the total) could in principle have their black-hole masses measured with $\alpha > 5$. If higher observing frequencies and/or smaller channel sizes (combined with more accurate mass models) are used then this number would increase still further. Even if only a small percentage of accessible galaxies have suitable molecular gas distributions, this technique has the potential to substantially increase the number of measured SMBH masses. We also highlight that (because of the behaviour of the angular diameter distance with redshift) with sufficiency sensitivity one could in principle measure the mass of a $\gtrsim 4 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ black hole (with an inclination $>30^\circ$) at any redshift.

The above sections have shown that the molecular gas technique has great promise, however many challenges remain. The first of these hurdles is efficient target selection. In order to identify good targets one needs to determine that they have i) sufficient surface brightness in molecular gas to enable high resolution mapping ii) that the gas extends inwards near enough to the SMBH to make a measurement feasible iii) that the gas is kinematically relaxed and dynamically cold and iv) that it is possible to make a mass model of the luminous matter in the system at the required resolution.

The first of these criteria means that one must select targets from existing single dish/low resolution interferometric surveys in order to estimate the molecular gas surface brightness (or conduct additional observations of likely target objects selected using other criteria). The second and third criteria are hard to fulfil. Previous ionised gas surveys have found that selecting objects with regular, circular dust lanes that extend all the way to the galaxy centre can increase success rates for black hole mass measurements (Ho et al. 2002), but if this holds in the same way for molecular gas has yet to be determined. The fourth point limits possible targets to those in which Hubble Space Telescope (or adaptive optics assisted infrared) imaging exists. In the future James Webb Space Telescope or very large ground based telescope data will be required to enable us to make mass models for objects further out in the universe.

The final major challenge is dealing with non-circular motions that may be present in the molecular gas. This problem is present for all gaseous tracers, and the solutions developed for ionised gas measurements can also be used (e.g. Neumayer et al. 2007). Observing objects at intermediate inclinations would help ensure such non-circular motions can
be identified, and provide sufficient information to constrain their effect and constrain extra degrees of freedom in the black hole mass fitting process.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have considered the strengths of using molecular gas kinematics to estimate black hole masses. We defined a figure of merit that will be useful in defining future observational campaigns, and discussed its implications. We showed that one can estimate black-hole masses even using data that only resolves scales $\approx 2$ times the formal black hole sphere of influence, and confirm this by reanalysing lower resolution observations of the molecular gas around the black hole in NGC 4526. We also discussed the effect that velocity resolution and the depth of the galaxies potential have on the ability to estimate black hole masses.

The next generation of very large optical telescopes (e.g. E-ELT, TMT, GMT) will, in principle, be able to reach reasonably similar angular resolutions to ALMA at 345 GHz (and thus explore similar areas of the black hole parameter space; Do et al. 2014). Once these large optical/infrared telescopes come online and ALMA has reached its full capabilities we will have an opportunity to enter a golden era in black-hole research, where we will be able to constrain the growth history of black holes, and thus their role in regulating galaxy formation.
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