Betacellulin promotes tumor development and EGFR mutant lung cancer growth by stimulating the EGFR pathway and suppressing apoptosis
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SUMMARY

Oncogenic mutations in the EGFR gene account for 15–20% of lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) cases. However, the mechanism for EGFR driven tumor development and growth is not fully understood. Here, using an mRNA expression profiling-based approach we identified betacellulin (BTC) as one the gene upregulated by oncogenic EGFR in an MAP kinase-dependent manner. BTC protein expression was markedly increased in LUAD patient samples compared to normal lung tissue, with higher expression in EGFR-mutant LUAD. BTC was sufficient to transform immortalized mouse cells, initiate tumor development in mice, and promote the survival of immortalized human lung epithelial cells. Conversely, knockdown of BTC inhibited the growth of EGFR-mutant human LUAD cells in culture and their tumor-forming ability in mice. Mechanistically, BTC knockdown resulted in attenuated EGFR signaling and apoptosis induction. Collectively, these results demonstrate a key role of BTC in EGFR-mutant LUAD, with potential therapeutic implications in LUAD and other EGFR-mutant cancers.

INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer is the most frequently diagnosed cancer in both men and women, and contributes to about 12% of total cancer cases and over 18% of total cancer-related deaths worldwide (Bray et al., 2018). Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) is the most common subtype of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and accounts for approximately 40% of all diagnosed lung cancers (Dela Cruz et al., 2011; Rau et al., 2016).

Genome-scale studies have identified several actionable oncogenic mutations in LUAD that include mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor gene (EGFR) and translocations in the anaplastic lymphoma kinase gene (ALK) and the protein tyrosine kinase oncogenes RET and ROS genes (Ding et al., 2008; Goyvindan et al., 2012). Somatic mutations in EGFR, which encodes a receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK), are most commonly observed in LUAD and predominantly occur in non-smokers and patients of Asian descent. EGFR is located on human chromosome seven and encodes a 170-kDa protein with tyrosine kinase activity. EGFR belongs to the HER/ERBB family of RTKs. The human HER/ERBB family of RTKs includes ERBB1 (EGFR, also known as HER1), ERBB2 (HER2), ERBB3 (HER3), and ERBB4 (HER4). It has been shown that homodimerization and/or heterodimerization with other family members in response to ligand binding most commonly with HER2, which lacks its own specific ligand, and activates the tyrosine kinase (Yarden and Sliwkowski, 2001). Mutations in or amplifications of ERBB2, ERBB3, and ERBB4 have also been shown to occur in lung adenocarcinoma, albeit at a lower frequency than alterations in EGFR (Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, 2014).

More than 10 different ligands that bind to the ERBB family of RTKs have been identified. Among these is betacellulin (BTC), which was first identified in the conditioned medium of a cell line derived from mouse beta cell tumors and acts as a potent mitogen for retinal pigment epithelial cells and vascular smooth muscle cells (Shing et al., 1993). BTC binds to EGFR, ERBB3, and ERBB4 and promotes heterodimerization among ERBB family members (Pinkas-Kramarski et al., 1998; Singh et al., 2016; Singh and Coffey, 2014). BTC plays a wide variety of roles in cancer, including causing resistance to STAT3 inhibitors in glioblastoma (Fan et al., 2020), promoting vascularity in hepatocellular carcinoma by activating EGFR in endothelial cells in a paracrine manner (Moon et al., 2006), and increasing ovarian cancer cell migration by upregulating the gap junction protein Connexin43 (Zhao et al., 2020).
Here, we showed that lung cancer-associated EGFR mutations drive the expression of BTC in an EGFR \(ightarrow\) MEK \(\rightarrow\) ERK signaling pathway-dependent manner. Furthermore, we showed that BTC is overexpressed in patient-derived LUAD samples, that ectopically expressed BTC is sufficient to cause cellular transformation, and that BTC expression was necessary for the growth of EGFR-mutant LUAD cells. We also showed that BTC is both necessary and sufficient to activate EGFR signaling, thereby suppressing apoptosis induction and thus establishing a role for BTC in EGFR-driven tumor growth.

**RESULTS**

**Generation of an isogenic cell system to study the function of lung adenocarcinoma-associated oncogenic EGFR mutants**

Mutations in EGFR are present in approximately 15% of all non-small cell lung cancers, and 45 different EGFR mutations have been cataloged by TCGA. Many of these mutations affect the tyrosine kinase domain and result in constitutive activation of EGFR signaling (Figure 1A and Table S1). To identify the transcriptional targets and potential regulators of oncogenic EGFR signaling resulting from specific LUAD-associated EGFR mutations, we generated several modified NIH3T3 cell lines that expressed an empty vector control, a wild-type EGFR control, or one of three commonly occurring LUAD-associated EGFR mutations: EGFR-L858R, EGFR-L861Q, or EGFR-DEL1. Compared to cells containing the empty vector or expressing wild-type EGFR, all three mutants showed potentiated EGFR signaling, as observed by higher levels of phosphorylated EGFR (p-EGFR), phosphorylated ERK1/2 (p-ERK1/2), and phosphorylated AKT (p-AKT) in all three mutants and higher levels of phosphorylated STAT5 (p-STAT5) in two (EGFR-L858R and EGFR-L861Q) out of three mutants (EGFR-L858R and EGFR-L861Q and EGFR-DEL1) (Figure 1B). Consistent with the role of EGFR in activating the MAP kinase, PI3K/AKT, and JAK/STAT pathway, treatment with EGFR inhibitor erlotinib resulted in reduced p-ERK1/2, p-AKT, and/or p-STAT5 to various degrees in wild-type or mutant EGFR-expressing cells (Figure 1B). Similarly, treatment with EGFR-TKI (erlotinib) resulted in reduced p-ERK1/2, p-AKT, and/or p-STAT5 in human lung adenocarcinoma cells (PC9) (Figure S1).

In addition, mutant EGFR-transformed NIH3T3 cells, but not empty vector or wild-type EGFR controls, grew in an anchorage-independent manner (Figures 1C and 1D) and avoided detachment-induced cell death (anoikis induction) (Figure 1E). These EGFR-transformed NIH3T3 cells were also addicted to EGFR signaling because treatment with the EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) erlotinib inhibited the growth of NIH3T3 cells expressing mutant EGFR compared to the empty vector and wild-type EGFR controls (Figure 1F). Collectively, these results suggest that this cell system can be used to identify genes that are critical for EGFR-induced cellular transformation, tumor growth, and response to EGFR TKI therapy.

**BTC is upregulated in response to oncogenic EGFR activity both in mouse NIH3T3 cells and human lung adenocarcinoma cell lines**

After characterizing the empty vector-, wild-type-, and mutant EGFR-expressing NIH3T3 cells, we subjected them to RNA-seq analysis (Figure 2A). Ingenuity pathway analysis of the RNA-seq data revealed that several pathways (e.g., axonal guidance signaling, kinetochore metaphase signaling) were commonly regulated in all three EGFR mutant-expressing cell lines (Figure S2 and Tables S2, S3, S4, S5, S6, and S7). We chose 13 genes that were in common among the top 20 most upregulated genes in all of the EGFR mutant cell lines, compared to the controls, for validation by RT-qPCR (Figure 2B and Table S8). We found that Arhgap6, Btc, Evi2a, Calr4, Gpr149, Sema7a, and Sema6d were significantly upregulated in NIH3T3 cells expressing either EGFR-L858R, EGFR-L861Q, or EGFR-DEL1, but not in cells expressing the empty vector or wild-type EGFR (Figure 2C). Arhgap6 is a Rho GTPase shown to play a role in cancer growth and progression (Li et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2019). Sema7a and Sema6d are secreted proteins belonging to the semaphorin family of proteins (Hu and Zhu, 2018). Sema6d has been shown to predict improved survival in triple-negative breast cancer (Chen et al., 2015). Evi2a is a poorly studied gene, which might function as a cell-surface receptor and has been shown to promote and predict poor prognosis in cancers, including osteosarcoma (Li et al., 2019). Calr4 is a calcium-binding protein with no documented role in cancer. Gpr149 is a G protein–coupled receptor, and the hypermethylation of the Gpr149 promoter has been shown to predict poor cancer outcomes (Kim et al., 2019). Because Sema7a was previously shown to be important for EGFR-mutant LUAD (Kinehara et al., 2018), we did not include this gene for further analysis.

To determine whether any of above-identified upregulated genes might be the direct targets of oncogenic EGFR signaling, we treated NIH3T3 cells expressing EGFR-DEL1 with increasing concentrations of erlotinib and asked whether it inhibited their expression (Figure 3A). We found that of the six tested genes (Arhgap6,
Figure 1. Lung adenocarcinoma-specific oncogenic EGFR mutations induce NIH3T3 transformation and cause sensitivity to an EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor

(A) Representative schematic showing the location of the lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD)-specific EGFR mutations [DEL1(E746-A750), L858R, and L861Q] used in this study.

(B) NIH3T3 cells expressing either empty vector, wild-type EGFR, or the indicated EGFR mutants were treated with erlotinib (1 μM) for 24 h and analyzed for the indicated proteins by immunoblotting. ACTB was used as a loading control.

(C) NIH3T3 cells expressing either empty vector, wild-type EGFR, or the indicated EGFR mutants were analyzed for anchorage-independent growth in a soft agar assay. Representative images of the wells and microscopic pictures are shown. Scale bar, 200 μm.

(D) Relative colony size (%) for the data shown in panel (C).

(E) NIH3T3 cells expressing empty vector, wild-type EGFR, or the indicated EGFR mutants were analyzed for survival under detached conditions using ultra-low attachment plates. The percentage of cells surviving after 3 days is shown.

(F) NIH3T3 cells expressing empty vector, wild-type EGFR, or the indicated EGFR mutants were analyzed for erlotinib (EGFR TKI) sensitivity in an MTT assay. The percentage of viable cells at 5 days after treatment with the indicated erlotinib concentrations are shown (left). IC50 values are shown on the right. Data are shown as the mean ± SEM, ns = not significant, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001. See also Figure S1 and Table S1.
Btc, Evi2a, Calr4, Gpr149, and Sema6d, only Btc expression showed significant and dose-dependent downregulation (Figure 3B). Next, we tested the expression of the same six genes (ARHGAP6, BTC, EVI2A, CALR4, GPR149, and SEMA6D) after treatment with erlotinib in PC9 human lung cancer cells, which bear a deletion in EGFR analogous to the NIH3T3-DEL1 mutant (Figure 3C). Similar to mouse NIH3T3-DEL1 cells, BTC expression was reduced after erlotinib treatment in human EGFR mutant PC9 cells (Figure 3D). In addition, expression of ARHGAP6 and GRP149 was also inhibited in the PC9 cells treated with erlotinib (Figure 3D). Furthermore, treatment of another EGFR mutant human lung cancer cell HCC2935 with erlotinib resulted in downregulation of BTC and EVI2A (Figure S3A). Based on the collective results from all three cell lines (NIH3T3-DEL1, PC9 and HCC2935) identified BTC as the common candidate that was regulated by EGFR signaling. To determine which signaling pathways downstream of EGFR might activate BTC expression, we treated NIH3T3-DEL1 cells and PC9 cells with the MEK inhibitor trametinib, the PI3K inhibitor pictilisib, and the JAK/STAT pathway inhibitor ruxolitinib. We found that treatment with trametinib, but not pictilisib or ruxolitinib, inhibited BTC expression (Figures 3E–3H and S3B–S3I) in these cells. To further establish the role of MAP kinase pathway in upregulation of BTC, we ectopically expressed a constitutively active form of MEK (MEK-DD) (Boehm et al., 2007) in NIH3T3 cells. Similar to our results with MEK inhibitors,
we found that ectopic expression MEK-DD resulted in increased BTC expression (Figures 3I and 3J). These results demonstrate that EGFR activates the expression of BTC in an MAP kinase-dependent manner. Based on these collective results, we next focused our studies on BTC and its role in EGFR-induced LUAD tumor growth.

BTC is overexpressed in patient-derived samples of lung adenocarcinoma

Based on the results above, we investigated whether BTC protein expression is upregulated in LUAD cells using immunohistochemistry performed on LUAD tissue microarrays. The first array we examined (BS04081a, US Biomax) had 60 LUAD cores and three adjacent normal lung tissue cores. We found that BTC protein expression was significantly higher in LUAD samples compared with matched normal adjacent lung samples (Figures 4A, 4B, and Table S9). To further validate these findings, we analyzed a second tissue microarray (HLugA180Su03, US BioMax) with 89 patient-derived LUAD samples and 82 adjacent normal lung tissue samples. Similar to the first tissue array, there was a significant increase in BTC protein signal in tumors compared to normal adjacent lung tissue samples (Figures 4C, 4D, and Table S10). Finally, we asked whether the increased expression of BTC was restricted to LUAD tumors bearing mutations in EGFR by analyzing a microarray with 41 KRAS-mutant LUAD, 27 EGFR-mutant LUAD, and 57 KRAS/EGFR wild-type LUAD samples. We found that roughly the same percentage of all genomic subtypes of LUAD expressed BTC; however, the percentage of samples that showed strong (+3) BTC protein expression was somewhat higher in EGFR-mutant samples (37% for EGFR-mutant LUAD, 31% for KRAS-mutant LUAD, and 22% for EGFR/KRAS wild-type LUAD) (Figures 4E, 4F, and Table S11). Collectively, these results revealed that BTC is overexpressed in LUAD, and its expression is higher in EGFR-mutant LUADs than in KRAS-mutated or KRAS/EGFR wild-type LUADs.

BTC is sufficient to cause cellular transformation of NIH3T3 cells and promote anchorage-independent growth of immortalized human lung epithelial cells

Based on the results that BTC expression requires EGFR-MAPK-ERK signaling, we asked whether ectopic expression of BTC is sufficient to transform NIH3T3 cells. To this end, we introduced either an empty vector or BTC into NIH3T3 cells and asked if the cells could grow in an anchorage-independent manner in soft agar and next whether they could form tumors in mice. We found that ectopic expression of BTC resulted in significantly larger colonies in soft agar assays (Figures 5A and 5B), confirming that ectopic expression of BTC promotes anchorage-independent growth. Next, we subcutaneously injected NIH3T3 cells containing either an empty vector or a vector encoding BTC into immunocompromised mice. Consistent with our soft agar assays, all five mice injected with NIH3T3 cells expressing BTC formed tumors that grew over time. In contrast, of the five mice injected with NIH3T3 cells bearing the empty vector control, two failed to develop tumors, and three developed only very small tumors that did not progress (Figure 5C), which were likely because of spontaneous transformation of NIH3T3 cells. These results show that ectopic expression of BTC is sufficient for cellular transformation of NIH3T3 cells.

BTC expression requires EGFR-MAPK-ERK signaling

Based on the results above, we focused our studies on BTC and its role in EGFR-induced LUAD tumor growth. We investigated whether BTC expression requires EGFR-MAPK-ERK signaling by using a microarray with 41 KRAS-mutant LUAD, 27 EGFR-mutant LUAD, and 57 KRAS/EGFR wild-type LUAD samples. We found that BTC protein expression was significantly higher in LUAD samples compared with matched normal adjacent lung samples (Figures 4A, 4B, and Table S9). To further validate these findings, we analyzed a second tissue microarray (HLugA180Su03, US BioMax) with 89 patient-derived LUAD samples and 82 adjacent normal lung tissue samples. Similar to the first tissue array, there was a significant increase in BTC protein signal in tumors compared to normal adjacent lung tissue samples (Figures 4C, 4D, and Table S10). Finally, we asked whether the overexpression of BTC was restricted to LUAD tumors bearing mutations in EGFR by analyzing a microarray with 41 KRAS-mutant LUAD, 27 EGFR-mutant LUAD, and 57 KRAS/EGFR wild-type LUAD samples. We found that roughly the same percentage of all genomic subtypes of LUAD expressed BTC; however, the percentage of samples that showed strong (+3) BTC protein expression was somewhat higher in EGFR-mutant samples (37% for EGFR-mutant LUAD, 31% for KRAS-mutant LUAD, and 22% for EGFR/KRAS wild-type LUAD) (Figures 4E, 4F, and Table S11). Collectively, these results revealed that BTC is overexpressed in LUAD, and its expression is higher in EGFR-mutant LUADs than in KRAS-mutated or KRAS/EGFR wild-type LUADs.

BTC is overexpressed in patient-derived samples of lung adenocarcinoma

Based on the results above, we investigated whether BTC protein expression is upregulated in LUAD cells using immunohistochemistry performed on LUAD tissue microarrays. The first array we examined (BS04081a, US Biomax) had 60 LUAD cores and three adjacent normal lung tissue cores. We found that BTC protein expression was significantly higher in LUAD samples compared with matched normal adjacent lung samples (Figures 4A, 4B, and Table S9). To further validate these findings, we analyzed a second tissue microarray (HLugA180Su03, US BioMax) with 89 patient-derived LUAD samples and 82 adjacent normal lung tissue samples. Similar to the first tissue array, there was a significant increase in BTC protein signal in tumors compared to normal adjacent lung tissue samples (Figures 4C, 4D, and Table S10). Finally, we asked whether the overexpression of BTC was restricted to LUAD tumors bearing mutations in EGFR by analyzing a microarray with 41 KRAS-mutant LUAD, 27 EGFR-mutant LUAD, and 57 KRAS/EGFR wild-type LUAD samples. We found that roughly the same percentage of all genomic subtypes of LUAD expressed BTC; however, the percentage of samples that showed strong (+3) BTC protein expression was somewhat higher in EGFR-mutant samples (37% for EGFR-mutant LUAD, 31% for KRAS-mutant LUAD, and 22% for EGFR/KRAS wild-type LUAD) (Figures 4E, 4F, and Table S11). Collectively, these results revealed that BTC is overexpressed in LUAD, and its expression is higher in EGFR-mutant LUADs than in KRAS-mutated or KRAS/EGFR wild-type LUADs.

BTC is sufficient to cause cellular transformation of NIH3T3 cells and promote anchorage-independent growth of immortalized human lung epithelial cells

Based on the results that BTC expression requires EGFR-MAPK-ERK signaling, we asked whether ectopic expression of BTC is sufficient to transform NIH3T3 cells. To this end, we introduced either an empty vector or BTC into NIH3T3 cells and asked first whether the cells could grow in an anchorage-independent manner in soft agar and next whether they could form tumors in mice. We found that ectopic expression of BTC resulted in significantly larger colonies in soft agar assays (Figures 5A and 5B), confirming that ectopic expression of BTC promotes anchorage-independent growth. Next, we subcutaneously injected NIH3T3 cells containing either an empty vector or a vector encoding BTC into immunocompromised mice. Consistent with our soft agar assays, all five mice injected with NIH3T3 cells expressing BTC formed tumors that grew over time. In contrast, of the five mice injected with NIH3T3 cells bearing the empty vector control, two failed to develop tumors, and three developed only very small tumors that did not progress (Figure 5C), which were likely because of spontaneous transformation of NIH3T3 cells. These results show that ectopic expression of BTC is sufficient for cellular transformation of NIH3T3 cells.
BTC belongs to the epidermal growth factor (EGF) family of peptide ligands (Watanabe et al., 1994) that result in growth inhibition of EGFR-mutant LUAD cells. BTC loss results in reduced EGFR phosphorylation and increased apoptosis induction, their ability to grow in an anchorage-independent manner in a soft agar assay. In contrast to EGFR mutant by knocking down BTC, we then asked whether the effect of knocking down BTC expression in EGFR-mutant LUAD cells (either PC9 or HCC2935) expressing shRNAs and compared them to control cells expressing a non-specific (NS) shRNA in both soft agar assays and in a xenograft mouse model. Knockdown of BTC in EGFR-mutant LUAD cells resulted in reduced colony size and number in soft agar assays, indicating a reduction in growth (Figures 5E, 5F, 5H, and 5I). Consistent with these results, introduction of BTC shRNA into the flank of immunodeficient mice resulted in reduced tumor growth compared to the NS shRNA controls (Figures 5G and 5J).

To test the impact of ectopic BTC expression in the context of human lung epithelial cells, we used human airway epithelial cells (HSAEC1-KT), which were established by infecting primary cultured human small airway epithelial cells with human telomerase (hTERT) and mouse cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (Cdk4) (Ramírez et al., 2004). We found that introducing BTC into the HSAEC1-KT cells conferred a growth advantage under anchorage-independent conditions compared to the cells with the empty vector based on their survival under detached conditions (Figure 5D). However, BTC expression in immortalized HSAEC1-KT cells was not sufficient for tumor formation in mice, which is consistent with the observation that human tumors require multiple genetic hits to become fully transformed. Collectively, these results demonstrate that ectopic BTC expression can promote both transformation of mouse cells and anchorage-independent proliferation of immortalized human lung epithelial cells.

**BTC is necessary for EGFR-mutant LUAD tumor cell growth**

We next asked whether BTC is also necessary for EGFR-mutant LUAD growth in cell culture and in mice. To this end, we knocked down BTC in EGFR mutant cell lines (PC9 and HCC2935) using BTC shRNAs and compared them to control cells expressing a non-specific (NS) shRNA in both soft agar assays and in a xenograft mouse model. Knockdown of BTC in EGFR-mutant LUAD cells resulted in reduced colony size and number in soft agar assays, indicating a reduction in growth (Figures 5E, 5F, 5H, and 5I). Consistent with these results, introduction of BTC mutant LUAD cells (either PC9 or HCC2935) expressing BTC shRNA into the flank of immunodeficient mice resulted in reduced tumor growth compared to the NS shRNA controls (Figures 5G and 5J).

We then asked whether the effect of BTC knockdown on growth was specific for EGFR-mutant LUAD cells by knocking down BTC expression in two KRAS-mutant LUAD cell lines, NCI-H358 and H460, and testing their ability to grow in an anchorage-independent manner in a soft agar assay. In contrast to EGFR mutant cells, knockdown of BTC in the KRAS-mutant LUAD cells did not inhibit their growth in soft agar (Figures S4A–S4D). Similarly, we tested the effect of BTC knockdown in KRAS/EGFR-wildtype LUAD cell line H1437, and in this context, we again saw no inhibition of cell growth in soft agar assays after BTC knockdown (Figures S4E and S4F). These results demonstrate that BTC is necessary for anchorage-independent growth of EGFR-mutant but not KRAS-mutant or EGFR/KRAS wild-type LUAD cells.

**BTC loss results in reduced EGFR phosphorylation and increased apoptosis induction, resulting in growth inhibition of EGFR-mutant LUAD cells**

BTC belongs to the epidermal growth factor (EGF) family of peptide ligands (Watanabe et al., 1994) that can stimulate EGFR signaling. Therefore, we asked whether ectopic expression of BTC enhances EGFR signaling in NIH3T3 and immortalized HSAEC1-KT cells, as indicated by an increase in phosphorylated EGFR (p-EGFR). We found that BTC-overexpressing NIH3T3 and immortalized HSAEC1-KT cells had higher p-EGFR levels than control cells (Figures 6A and 6B). Similarly, treatment of immortalized HSAEC1-KT cells with recombinant BTC enhanced EGFR signaling and colony formation in these cells (Figures S5A and S5B).

Conversely, PC9 and HCC2935 LUAD cells expressing BTC shRNAs, but not NS shRNA, had reduced p-EGFR levels, indicating a dampening of EGFR signaling. Notably, and consistent with the findings in NIH3T3 cells, BTC shRNA-expressing EGFR-mutant LUAD cells also had reduced p-EGFR levels (Figures 6C and 6D). Similarly, treatment with anti-BTC antibody resulted in reduced p-EGFR level and reduced colony formation for EGFR mutant PC9 cells (Figures S5C and S5D).

This effect was specific to EGFR-mutant LUAD cancers, as KRAS-mutant LUAD cells (H460 and H358) and EGFR/KRAS-wildtype cells (H1437) and expressing BTC shRNA did not show reduced EGFR signaling.
Based on these results, we asked whether ERBB2 or ERBB3 is important for the growth of EGFR-mutant LUAD cells by knocking down the expression of ERBB2 or ERBB3 in PC9 cells and testing their ability to form colonies in soft agar and tumors in mice. Knockdown of ERBB2 or ERBB3 did not affect the ability of PC9 cells to form colonies in soft agar or tumors in mice (Figure S7). Collectively, these results show that BTC enhances EGFR signaling to cause cellular transformation and promote tumor growth that is not dependent on ERBB2 or ERBB3.

Because we observed that BTC knockdown reduced tumor growth in EGFR mutant cell lines, we next explored the contribution of both wild-type and mutant EGFR to mediating the cancer-promoting activity of BTC. To this end, we performed two sets of experiments. First, we treated NIH3T3 cells expressing vector, wild-type EGFR, or different mutants of EGFR (EGFR L858R, EGFR L861Q, and EGFR DEL1) with BTC shRNAs or a control non-silencing (NS) shRNA were analyzed for anchorage-independent growth in soft agar assays. Representative images are shown. Scale bar, 200 μm.

(Figures 5E–5G). Similarly, the treatment of KRAS-mutant LUAD cells (H460 and H358) and EGFR/KRAS–wild-type cells (H1437) with anti-BTC antibody did not inhibit their colony formation abilities (Figures S5H–S5J).

In addition to binding EGFR, BTC can also bind to and activate ERBB4 homodimers (Beerli and Hynes, 1996; Riese et al., 1996) and all possible combinations of ERBB heterodimers, including the highly onco-genic ERBB2/3 dimer (Alimandi et al., 1997; Pinkas-Kramarski et al., 1998). Consequently, BTC has been classified as a pan-ERBB ligand (Pinkas-Kramarski et al., 1998). To assess the impact of BTC expression on the phosphorylation of other receptor tyrosine kinases, we used whole cell lysates and phosphorylated receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) arrays to monitor changes in RTK phosphorylation in response to both increased and decreased BTC expression. To monitor the effect of increased expression, we used whole-cell lysates from NIH3T3 cells and testing their ability to form colonies in soft agar or to form tumors in mice. Knockdown of BTC or PC9 cells with shRNA or NS shRNA were injected subcutaneously into the flank of athymic nude mice (n = 5). Tumor volumes at the indicated times (left) and tumor images (right) are shown. Data are shown as the mean ± SEM, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.0001. See also Figures S4 and S5.

Previous studies have shown that BTC can bind EGF receptors and induce dimerization with other ERBB family members (Dahlhoff et al., 2014; Dunbar and Goddard, 2000; Jones et al., 1999; Rush et al., 2018). Therefore, we analyzed NIH3T3 cells ectopically expressing BTC or PC9 cells with BTC shRNA for possible BTC-induced phosphorylation of EGFR and ERBB2 and/or ERBB3 by immunoprecipitation analysis. NIH3T3 cells expressing empty vector or PC9 cells expressing non-specific shRNAs were used as controls. We performed phosphotyrosine immunoprecipitation and measured the relative levels of immunoprecipitated EGFR, ERBB2, and ERBB3. We found that, in the presence of BTC, phosphorylation of EGFR and ERBB2 increased (Figure S6).

Based on these results, we asked whether ERBB2 or ERBB3 is important for the growth of EGFR-mutant LUAD cells by knocking down the expression of ERBB2 and ERBB3 in PC9 cells and testing their ability to form colonies in soft agar and tumors in mice. Knockdown of ERBB2 or ERBB3 did not affect the ability of PC9 cells to form colonies in soft agar or tumors in mice (Figure S7). Collectively, these results show that BTC enhances EGFR signaling to cause cellular transformation and promote tumor growth that is not dependent on ERBB2 and ERBB3.

Because we observed that BTC knockdown reduced tumor growth in EGFR mutant cell lines, we next explored the contribution of both wild-type and mutant EGFR to mediating the cancer-promoting activity of BTC. To this end, we performed two sets of experiments. First, we treated NIH3T3 cells expressing vector, wild-type EGFR, or different mutants of EGFR (EGFR L858R, EGFR L861Q, and EGFR DEL1). We found that BTC induced not only the wild-type EGFR but also phosphorylation at several sites of mutant EGFR...
Figure 6. BTC is both necessary and sufficient to stimulate EGFR signaling

(A) NIH3T3 cells expressing either empty vector or the V5-tagged BTC ORF were analyzed for the indicated proteins by immunoblotting. ACTB was used as a loading control.

(B) HSAEC1-KT cells expressing either empty vector or the V5-tagged BTC ORF were analyzed for the indicated proteins by immunoblotting. ACTB was used as a loading control.

(C) PC9 cells expressing either a non-silencing shRNA or BTC shRNA were analyzed for indicated proteins by immunoblotting. ACTB was used as a loading control.

(D) HCC2935 cells expressing either a non-silencing shRNA or BTC shRNA were analyzed for indicated proteins by immunoblotting. ACTB was used as a loading control.

(E) Proteome Profiler Mouse Phospho-RTK Array membranes were incubated with lysates from NIH3T3 cells expressing either empty vector or V5-tagged BTC ORF. Array membranes incubated with lysates from vector or V5-tagged BTC ORF is shown.

(F) Quantification of p-EGFR, p-ERBB2 and p-IGF1R for data shown in (E).

(G) Proteome Profiler Human Phospho-RTK Array membranes showing relative RTK phosphorylation in PC9 cells expressing NS or BTC specific shRNAs is shown.

(H) Quantification of p-EGFR, p-ERBB2 and p-ERBB3 for data shown in panel (G). Data are shown as the mean ± SEM. See also Figures S6 and S7.
Figure 7. BTC stimulates phosphorylation of mutant EGFR, and only mutant, but not wild-type, EGFR can induce transformation
(A) NIH3T3 cells expressing empty vector, wild-type EGFR, or the indicated EGFR mutants were serum-starved for 12 h. They were then stimulated with BTC (10 ng/mL) for 30 min and analyzed for the indicated EGFR phosphorylation sites. Total EGFR and ACTB were used as loading control.
(B) PC9 cells were infected with lentiviral particles expressing non-specific (NS) sgRNA or two sequence-independent EGFR sgRNAs. Immunoblotting for phospho-EGFR, total EGFR, and ACTB is shown.
(C) PC9 cells with NS or EGFR sgRNAs were infected with empty vector or wild-type or mutant EGFR and analyzed for the indicated proteins by immunoblotting. ACTB was used as a loading control.
(D) PC9 cells with NS or EGFR sgRNAs were infected with empty vector or wild-type or mutant EGFR or both wild-type and mutant EGFR and analyzed in a soft agar assay. Representative images of the well and microscopic images are shown. Scale bar, 200 μm.
(E) Relative colony size for the data presented in panel (D). Data are shown as the mean ± SEM ns = not significant, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001.

(Figure 7A). Next, to more clearly evaluate the impact of wild-type versus mutant EGFR in cellular transformation, we knocked out expression of EGFR in EGFR-mutant PC9 cells using a CRISPR-based gene knockout approach (Figure 7B). These cells were then reconstituted with either wild-type or DEL1-mutant EGFR or both (Figure 7C). We found that sgRNA-induced deletion of EGFR prevented PC9 cells from forming colonies in a soft agar assay compared to PC9 cells expressing a control non-specific (NS) sgRNA (Figures 7D and 7E). Furthermore, ectopic expression of EGFR-DEL1 mutation restored the soft agar colony-forming ability of PC9 cells, but wild-type EGFR failed to do so (Figures 7D and 7E). However, PC9 cells co-expressing wild-type EGFR and EGFR-DEL1 did not form significantly larger colonies compared to EGFR-DEL1-expressing cells (Figures 7D and 7E). These results indicate that BTC can in part function to promote tumor growth by activating mutant EGFR, although this could be a context-specific phenomenon, as we also observed that BTC could transform wild-type EGFR-expressing NIH3T3 cells (Figures 5A–5C) and increase the proliferation of HSAEC1-KT1 cells (Figure 5D).

Next, we assessed the cellular consequences of reduced EGFR signaling because of BTC knockdown. Previous studies have shown that attenuation of oncogenic signaling can result in apoptosis induction (Costa et al., 2007; Faber et al., 2009). Therefore, we asked whether ectopic expression of BTC leads to apoptosis resistance, and conversely, whether knockdown of BTC leads to increased apoptosis induction by measuring cleaved caspase 3. As expected, knockdown of BTC resulted in increased levels of cleaved caspase 3 (Figures 8A and 8B), whereas BTC overexpression resulted in reduced levels of cleaved caspase 3 (Figure 8C) in cells grown under detached conditions. To further confirm the role of BTC in suppressing apoptosis, we measured apoptosis using annexin V staining. Consistent with the role of BTC in suppressing apoptosis, BTC knockdown EGFR mutant LUAD cells showed increased annexin V staining (Figures 8D and 8E). Taken together, these results demonstrate that BTC enhances EGFR signaling and an anti-apoptotic response to promote tumor development and LUAD tumor growth.

DISCUSSION
The Cancer Genome Atlas study has identified 45 distinct EGFR mutations in LUAD (Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, 2014), and these mutations are present in approximately 15% of LUADs. Oncogenic EGFR mutations provide an actionable target for treating this genetic subtype of LUAD using clinically efficacious EGFR-TKIs (Herbst et al., 2018). However, not all TKIs, and many of those who show an initial response eventually fail therapy because of the emergence of resistance, and conversely, whether knockdown of BTC leads to increased apoptosis induction by measuring cleaved caspase 3. As expected, knockdown of BTC resulted in increased levels of cleaved caspase 3 (Figures 8A and 8B), whereas BTC overexpression resulted in reduced levels of cleaved caspase 3 (Figure 8C) in cells grown under detached conditions. To further confirm the role of BTC in suppressing apoptosis, we measured apoptosis using annexin V staining. Consistent with the role of BTC in suppressing apoptosis, BTC knockdown EGFR mutant LUAD cells showed increased annexin V staining (Figures 8D and 8E). Taken together, these results demonstrate that BTC enhances EGFR signaling and an anti-apoptotic response to promote tumor development and LUAD tumor growth.

One upregulated gene in all three of the EGFR mutants was BTC. BTC belongs to the epidermal growth factor (EGF) family of peptide ligands (Watanabe et al., 1994) and can bind to and activate not only EGFR, but also ERBB4 homodimers (Beerli and Hynes, 1996; Riese et al., 1996), and all possible combinations of ERBB heterodimers, including the highly oncogenic ERBB2/3 dimer (Alimandi et al., 1997; Pinkas-Kramarski et al., 1998). Based on these findings, BTC has been classified as a pan-ERBB ligand (Pinkas-Kramarski et al., 1998).
Previous studies have shown that seven different ligands can bind to and activate EGFR, including epidermal growth factor-alpha (EGFα), transforming growth factor α (TGFα), heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor (HBEGF), amphiregulin (BTC), epiregulin (EREG), and epigen (EPGN) (Singh et al., 2016). Among these ligands, BTC, EGF, TGFα, and HBEGF are considered high-affinity ligands, whereas AREG, EREG, and EPGN are considered low-affinity ligands (Singh et al., 2016).

We found that mutant EGFR significantly upregulated the expression of BTC and established that it was the direct target of mutant EGFR signaling, as treatment with erlotinib, an EGFR TKI, inhibited the expression of BTC in cells expressing EGFR mutant proteins (Figure 8F). These studies also revealed a positive feedback loop in which EGFR transcriptionally activates BTC, which, in turn, stimulates EGFR signaling.

Figure 8. BTC attenuates apoptosis induction
(A) PC9 cells expressing non-specific (NS) or BTC shRNAs were analyzed for cleaved caspase three using immunoblotting analysis. ACTB was used as a loading control.
(B) HCC2935 cells expressing NS or BTC shRNAs were analyzed for cleaved caspase three using immunoblotting analysis. ACTB was used as a loading control.
(C) HSAEC1-KT cells expressing vector or the V5-tagged BTC ORF were analyzed for annexin V-positive cells. Representative micrographs are shown. Scale bar, 200 μm.
(D) Quantitation of the annexin V-positive cells under the indicated conditions for the experiment shown in panel (D).
(F) Model showing the mechanism of BTC action in EGFR mutant lung adenocarcinoma. Data are shown as the mean ± SEM ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001.
Mutations in EGFR can activate multiple downstream signaling pathways that promote cancer cell proliferation and survival. These include the MAPK, PI3K, and JAK/STAT pathways, which play various roles downstream of EGFR signaling (Bromberg, 2002). MAPK signaling plays an important role in regulating cellular proliferation and survival. Activated MAPKs are translocated to the nucleus, where they phosphorylate specific transcription factors involved in cell proliferation (Gaestel, 2006; Hill and Treisman, 1995). These transcription factors, in turn, mediate the transcriptional activity of the MAPK pathway. We showed that mutant EGFR engaged and activated the downstream MEK–ERK pathway, causing activation of BTC expression. We also showed that BTC is clinically relevant to LUAD, as a large percentage of LUAD samples included on tissue microarrays appear to overexpress BTC, with a slightly higher percentage of EGFR-mutant LUADs showing strong BTC expression than other LUADs. However, BTC expression was also higher in KRAS-mutant and EGFR/KRAS–wild-type LUAD, likely associated with increased MAPK activity because of KRAS mutations or other MAPK pathway activating events in EGFR/KRAS–wild-type LUAD. However, our functional studies using BTC shRNAs demonstrated that BTC did not play a tumor-promoting role in KRAS-mutant and EGFR/KRAS–wild-type LUAD. These observations further strengthen our argument that BTC primarily exerts its tumor-promoting activity via the stimulation of EGFR signaling and are consistent with the literature that EGFR signaling is not required for oncogenic KRAS-driven LUAD tumor growth or for EGFR/KRAS–wild-type LUAD tumor growth.

BTC plays an important role in cancer. For example, BTC induces Slug-mediated downregulation of E-cadherin and cell migration in ovarian cancer cells (Zhao et al., 2016) and also enhances ovarian cancer cell migration by upregulating Connexin43 via MEK-ERK signaling (Zhao et al., 2020). In addition, BTC is overexpressed in pancreatic cancer and hepatocellular carcinoma (Moon et al., 2006; Yokoyama et al., 1995). We have shown that ectopic expression of BTC is sufficient to transform immortalized mouse NIH3T3 cells and promote the survival of, but not the transformation of, immortalized HSAEC1-KT cells. The inability of BTC alone to transform immortalized human HSAEC1-KT cells is not surprising: human cell transformation is much more complex and requires many more genetic/epigenetic alterations to be fully transformed compared to immortalized mouse cells. Therefore, our findings are consistent with the literature, which describes significant differences between human and mouse cells in their abilities to initiate tumor development (Hamad et al., 2002; Rangarajan and Weinberg, 2003). Furthermore, we found that BTC knockdown resulted in increased apoptosis induction, suggesting that EGFR pathway activation via BTC promotes EGFR pathway-driven tumor cell survival, resulting in enhanced tumor growth and progression. Our findings demonstrating that BTC promotes an anti-apoptotic state are consistent with previous reports suggesting that BTC promotes such phenotypes in a variety of tumors and contexts (Fan et al., 2020; Shi et al., 2014; Yilmaz et al., 2011). Furthermore, BTC was also shown to have a greater inhibitory effect on apoptosis induction than other EGFR ligands, such as EGF (Saito et al., 2004).

Finally, our studies using a BTC-neutralizing antibody highlighted the exciting possibility of targeting BTC for treating EGFR-mutant LUAD. This possibility is further supported by another study in glioblastoma (GBM), which showed that a BTC-neutralizing antibody abrogated the activation of both EGFR and NF-κB in response to STAT3 inhibition and that the combined blockade of STAT3 and BTC induced apoptosis in GBM cells (Fan et al., 2020). Collectively, these results demonstrate that BTC drives growth in EGFR-mutant lung cancer and warrants further evaluation as a therapeutic target in EGFR-mutant LUAD.

Limitations of the study

In this study, we used NIH3T3-based model system to identity factors necessary for oncogenic EGFR-driven tumor development and progression. Although, we have established the utility of this model by demonstrating the role of BTC in EGFR mutant LUAD using multiple human relevant model systems, including human LUAD cell lines, xenograft-based mouse models of human LUAD and human patient-derived LUAD samples. However, it is possible that some other genes that would have been identified as oncogenic EGFR targets in a human lung epithelial-cell-based model system were not identified in NIH3T3-based mouse cell line model system. A future study that compares our model system with that of human lung epithelial-cell-based model of EGFR-driven tumor development and progression may be able to identity such differences. Another interesting observation that we made was that not all genes that were altered as
a result of introducing EGFR mutations in NIH3T3 cells were direct targets of oncogenic EGFR signaling. This raises an exciting possibility of stochastic gene expression changes because of the EGFR mutations. The roles of these genes, if any, in the context of EGFR mutant LUAD were not tested and would be important to analyze for fully understanding the mechanism of oncogenic EGFR-driven tumor development and progression.
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## STAR★METHODS

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Antibodies**      |        |            |
| BTC (IHC antibody)  | R&D biosystems | Cat# MAB2611 RRID: AB_2067503 |
| BTC (Neutralization antibody) | R&D biosystems | Cat# AF-261-NA RRID: AB_354430 |
| P-EGFR(Y1068)       | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 8543S RRID: AB_10828604 |
| P-EGFR(Y1045)       | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat #2237S RRID: AB_331710 |
| P-EGFR(Y992)        | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat #2235S RRID: AB_331708 |
| P-EGFR(Y845)        | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat #2231S RRID: AB_1264155 |
| P-EGFR(Y1173)       | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat #4407S RRID: AB_331795 |
| EGFR                | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 4267S RRID: AB_2246311 |
| ERBB2               | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 2165S RRID: AB_10692490 |
| P-Akt(S473)         | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 9271S RRID: AB_329825 |
| Akt                 | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 9272S RRID: AB_329827 |
| p-ERK(T202/Y204)    | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 4376S RRID: AB_331772 |
| ERK                 | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 4695S RRID: AB_390779 |
| P-MEK(S217/221)     | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 91215S RRID: AB_331648 |
| MEK                 | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 91225S RRID: AB_823567 |
| p-STAT5(Y694)       | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 9351L RRID: AB_2315225 |
| STAT5               | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 9363S RRID: AB_2196923 |
| V5-Tag (D3H8Q)      | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 13202S RRID: AB_2687461 |
| β-Actin (D6A8)      | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 8457L RRID: AB_10950498 |
| Cleaved Caspase 3   | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 9664S RRID: AB_2070042 |
| p-Tyr (PY20)        | Santa Cruz Biotecnology | Cat# sc-508 RRID: AB_628122 |
| p-Tyr (PY99)        | Santa Cruz Biotecnology | Cat# sc-7020 RRID: AB_628123 |
| Anti-Phosphotyrosine antibody | EMD Millipore | Cat# 05-321 RRID: AB_290678 |
| Vinculin Antibody   | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 4650S RRID: AB_10559207 |
| **Bacterial and virus strains** |        |            |
| One Shot™ MAX Efficiency™ DH5α-T1™ Competent Cells | Thermos fisher scientific | Cat# 12297016 |
| One Shot™ Stbl3™ Chemically Competent E. coli | Thermos fisher scientific | Cat# C737303 |
| **Biological samples** |        |            |
| Lung adenocarcinoma (grade III) with adjacent normal lung tissue microarray | US Biomax, Inc. | Cat# BS04081a |
| Lung carcinoma,92 cases, tumor and matched NAT* | US Biomax, Inc. | Cat# HLugA180Su03 |
| Lung adenocarcinoma Tissue array with known EGFR and KRAS mutation status | Yale tissue microarrays (YTMA) | Cat# YTMA310 |
| **Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins** |        |            |
| DMEM                | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat# D5796 |
| RPMI                | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat# R8758 |

(Continued on next page)
| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| SABMTM Small Airway Epithelial Cell Growth Basal Medium | LONZA | Cat# CC-3119 |
| SingleQuots™ Supplements and Growth Factors | LONZA | Cat# CC-4124 |
| Fetal Bovine Serum | GIBCO | Cat# 10437-028 |
| Trypsin-EDTA | GIBCO | Cat# 25200-056 |
| Penicillin-Streptomycin | GIBCO | Cat# 15140-122 |
| Effectene Transfection Reagent | QIAGEN | Cat# 301427 |
| Agarose, Low gelling | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat# A9045 |
| Ultra-Low Attachment Multiple Well Plate | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat# CLS3473-24EA |
| Erlotinib (10nM, 100nM, 1μM) | Selleckchem | Cat# S1023 |
| Trematinib (250 nM) | Selleckchem | Cat# S2673 |
| Pictilisib (100 nM) | Selleckchem | Cat# S1065 |
| Ruxolitinib (1 μM) | Cayman Chemicals | Cat# 11609 |

**Critical commercial assays**

| Assay | Source | Identifier |
|-------|--------|------------|
| Proteome Profiler Mouse Phospho-RTK Array Kit | R&D biosystems | Cat# ARY014 |
| Proteome Profiler Human Phospho-RTK Array Kit | R&D biosystems | Cat# ARY001B |
| Annexin V staining kit | BD Pharmingen | Cat #559763 |

**Deposited data**

| Data | Source | Identifier |
|------|--------|------------|
| RNA-seq data | This paper | GSE101399 |

**Experimental models: Cell lines**

| Cell Line | Source | Stock No. |
|-----------|--------|-----------|
| HEK-293T | ATCC | ATCC CRL-3216 |
| PC9 | Sigma-Aldrich | 90017810-1VL |
| NIH3T3 | ATCC | ATCC CRL-1658 |
| HCC2935 | ATCC | ATCC CRL-2869 |
| NCI-H460 | ATCC | ATCC HTB-177 |
| NCI-H358 | ATCC | ATCC CRL-5807 |
| NCI-H1437 | ATCC | ATCC CRL-5872 |
| HSAEC1-KT | ATCC | ATCC CRL-4050 |

**Experimental models: Organisms/strains**

| Organism | Source | Stock No. |
|----------|--------|-----------|
| Mouse: NU/J homozygous (Male) | Jackson Laboratory | 002019 |
| Mouse: NSG (Male) | Jackson Laboratory | 005557 |

**Oligonucleotides**

| Oligonucleotide | Forward Primer | Reverse Primer |
|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Human ACPP | GGGCAAGAGAGGCGAGACCCT | CCTCTGCTCTAGCCCTTTCCA |
| Human ARHGAP6 | ATGAGGTTCCTGCTGGTGCG | TGCCGGTGGGGACTGGAAC |
| Human BTC | GGGTGCGACGCTGGGAAATGA | CGGTTCATCAACCCGCTCT |
| Human CALR3 | GCCGCGAGCTTGGCGAGGTTG | GGCTCCTGCCCCACCTTTCA |
| Human CEACAM1 | CGTGCTTAGGAGCTCTTTGG | TGCCGCGCTCAGAGGTT |
| Human CYTIP | TCCAGCGGCTGGCTCTTCAG | GGCGACGAGGTCCACACAC |
| Human EVI2A | ACCAACACAATTACGGCAGC | GTCTAGAAGTGGTGGT |
| Human GAPP43 | GCGCAGCAGAAAAATTTCAGG | CCGCAGTAATGCTCTT |
| Human GPR149 | GCAGTGCCAAACGAGGTC | TGGCTCCTGACCAAGCTT |

(Continued on next page)
RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information about the protocols and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Narendra Wajapeyee (nwajapey@uab.edu).

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| Human ITGA2         | CCTACAATGTTGGTCTCCTCAAA | AGTAACACAGTGTCCTTTTGATT |
| Human MACC1         | CCGAGGCGGCGAGATGACAC | TCCCGGTTGAGGCAATTGCT |
| Human SEMA6D        | GCTTTTGCTCTACATCTGCT | ACCGGATTTGCTCCTGAATAGTG |
| Human SEMA7A        | ACGGTGGTCCCTAGTCGCCGA | CGAGAGTTGGGGCCACAGGA |
| Human ACTINB        | GTCTTTCCCTCTACATGGG | CTTCTCTGCTGCTGGCCTC |
| Mouse Acpp          | ACCGTGAGTGGGCTCAGATAGA | CAGCGTGAAGTGCTGAGGCT |
| Mouse Arhgap6       | CACAACTCTGCTGGAGCTG | TTCGCTGCTGCCTAGAGGAG |
| Mouse Btc           | CTGCTGTCTGCTGCTGGCCTT | GGGACCCAGGAGAAATGGGT |
| Mouse Caln4         | AGGCGAAAGAGAGCTGTCGGA | GGCACCAAGAGTGTGTCG |
| Mouse Ceacam1       | AAACCCAGACCAAGCCCTCCA | TGGGCGTCTGCAAGAAGTGT |
| Mouse Cytip         | TGGAAATCTGCTGGAGCCTCA | GACGTGCTGATGCCCTCGAG |
| Mouse Evi2a         | TGGGCGGCTGGTCTCCTCGG | GCTCGGTGATGACCGAGGCA |
| Mouse Gap43         | TCAGGAGCAAAAGGGCAGCTCA | GGTGGTGCGACAGCAGATACAG |
| Mouse Gpr149        | TGTCCTCGACAGCCATCCGATG | AAAGGCACTTCTGCCGCCAGCA |
| Mouse Itga2         | CTGCGGAGGCTGGCCTGAGG | TTTGCGCTGCTGAGGCGCAG |
| Mouse Macc1         | TGGAAAGCTGCAAGAGTCTGCA | ACGGTGCTGATGCCCTCGAG |
| Mouse Sema6d        | CCGGTGCTGGTCTGCTGGC | ACCTCCCTCTGCTGGCAG |
| Mouse Sema7A        | GTCTGCGGCTGGGCTGGGATAG | TCCCGCTGTGCTGCTCCCA |
| Mouse ActinB        | TGGGAGCCAGGCTGAGGCTAC | GGAGGAAGAGAGGCGGCA |
| Human EGFR-sgRNA#1  | CACCCGTCTGGTGGTCTCAGACC | AAACGTGCTGAGTACAGCAC |
| Human EGFR-sgRNA#2  | CACCCGTGAGGCTGGGCTACCCAG | AAACCGTGTTGTAAGAGGTCGCC |
| Non-specific sgRNA  | CACCCAAAGGGTCCCTGGATGGA | AAACCCATGCGGCCAGGACCTTTT |

Recombinant DNA

Plasmid: pLX304-BTC
- Horizon Discovery Cat# OH11770-20320873
- Addgene Clone ID. 21836
- Addgene Clone ID. 11011
- Addgene Clone ID. 11012
- Addgene Clone ID. 32068
- Addgene Clone ID. 32062
- Addgene Clone ID. 65226
- Addgene Clone ID. 1765
- Addgene Clone ID. 15268
- Addgene Clone ID. 52961
- Addgene Clone ID. V2LHS_150110
- Addgene Clone ID. V2LHS_150114
- UMASS Human pGIPZ library
- UMASS Human pGIPZ library

Software and algorithms

Prism 8.0
- GraphPad www.graphpad.com/scientificsoftware/prism

ImageJ
- https://imagej.nih.gov/ij

Other

Recombinant Human BTC Protein
- R&D biosystems Cat# 261-CE-010
- R&D biosystems Cat# 236-EG-200
Materials availability
All the materials and reagents described in this paper are available upon request from the lead contact.

Data and code availability
RNA-sequencing data presented in this paper are submitted to Gene Expression Omnibus (Accession No. GSE101399) and available publicly without restrictions. This paper does not report original code. Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Cell culture
NIH3T3 and HEK-293T cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and grown as recommended in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) containing 10% FBS at 37°C in 5% CO₂.

HCC2935, NCI-H460, NCI-H358, and NCI-H1437 cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection and grown as recommended in RPMI containing 10% FBS at 37°C in 5% CO₂, and PC9 cells were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and grown as recommended in RPMI 10% FBS at 37°C in 5% CO₂.

HSAEC1-KT cells were purchased from the ATCC and grown as recommended in SABM small airway epithelial cell-specific growth basal medium (Lonza Cat. No. CC-3119) along with singleQuots supplements and growth factors (Lonza Cat. No. CC-4124 (also see Key resources table).

Mouse tumorigenesis experiments
All protocols involving mice were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Yale University and University of Alabama at Birmingham. NIH3T3 cells (10 million cells) stably expressing empty vector or BTC were injected subcutaneously into five 5–6-week-old nude male mice per experimental group (Jackson Laboratory, Stock No. 002019, Bar Harbor, ME, USA). The tumor volume was then measured every week and calculated using the following formula: length x width² x 0.5. At the experimental endpoint, the tumors were measured, mice were sacrificed, and the tumors were isolated and imaged.

PC9 and HCC2935 cells (5 million cells) stably expressing non-specific shRNA, BTC-specific shRNA, ERBB2-specific shRNA, or ERBB3-specific shRNA were injected subcutaneously with Matrigel into five 5–6-week-old immunodeficient male NSG mice, per experimental group (Jackson Laboratory, Stock No. 005557). The tumor volume was then measured every week and calculated as described above. At the experimental endpoint, the tumors were measured, mice were sacrificed, and the tumors were isolated and imaged.

METHOD DETAILS
Plasmids and preparation of the lentiviral and retroviral stable cell lines
The lentiviral empty vector (pLX304) and BTC overexpression plasmids were purchased from Dharmaco (Clone ID. 6192). The retroviral-based expression vectors EGFR-WT, EGFR-L858R, EGFR-L861Q, and EGFR-DEL1(D746-A750) were obtained from Addgene (Clone ID. 21836, 11011, 11012, 32068, 32062, respectively (key resources table). Gene-specific lentiviral shRNAs were obtained from Open Biosystems. The catalog numbers for the shRNAs are provided in Key resources table. Gene-specific lentiviral sgRNAs were cloned into the pLenti-CRISPR-V2 vector (Clone ID. 52961) obtained from Addgene; the sgRNAs sequences are provided in Key resources table. For lentivirus production, plasmids were transfected into HEK-293T cells along with the pDM2.G and pSAPX2 packaging plasmids. For retrovirus production, pCMV-Gag-Pol and pCMV-VSV-G packaging plasmids were transfected using Effectene Transfection Reagent (Qiagen) per the manufacturer’s instructions. After 48 hrs, the lentivirus/retrovirus-containing supernatants were harvested, filtered, and used for infections. Lentiviral shRNA-infected PC9 cells were selected using 0.6 μg/mL puromycin. Retrovirus-infected NIH3T3 cells were selected using 0.5 μg/mL puromycin.

RNA preparation, cDNA synthesis, and RT-qPCR analysis
Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol (Invitrogen) and purified using RNeasy Mini Columns (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. We generated cDNA using the ProtoScript first strand cDNA synthesis kit (New England Biolabs) and then performed qPCR using the Power SYBR Green (Master Mix) (Life Technologies). The primer sequences are listed in Key resources table.
**Immunoblotting**

Immunoblot analysis was performed as described previously (Santra et al., 2009). Briefly, protein extracts were prepared in Pierce RIPA lysis buffer (Cat. No. 89901) supplemented with protease inhibitors (Roche) and phosphatase inhibitors (Sigma-Aldrich). Protein concentrations were estimated using the Pierce BCA Protein Assay according to the manufacturer's instructions. Protein extracts were separated using sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane. Membranes were incubated with the primary antibodies listed in Key resources table and appropriate secondary antibodies. SuperSignal West Pico (Pierce) or Femto Reagent (Pierce) was used for detecting the proteins.

**MTT assays**

For MTT assays, 3 × 10^3 NIH3T3 cells were plated in triplicate in a volume of 100 μL in 96-well plates. After 24 hrs, the EGFR inhibitor erlotinib was administered at defined concentrations, as indicated in the figures and figure legends. Cell viability was evaluated after 5 days of treatment. To this end, 20 μL of 5 mg/mL MTT solution dissolved in 1× PBS was added to each well of the 96-well plate and incubated for 1hr at 37°C. The MTT solution was then gently removed, and 100 μL DMSO was added to each well. After mixing well by pipetting, the absorbance was measured at 590 and 630 nm using a Biotek Synergy MX MultiFormat Microplate Reader. The average measurement at 630 nm was subtracted from the average at 590 nm, and the relative growth rate was plotted with respect to vehicle control-treated cells.

**Soft agar assay**

We used soft agar assays to analyze anchorage-independent growth. Briefly, we seeded 2 × 10^4 NIH3T3 cells stably expressing either an empty vector control or a BTC expression vector for overexpression experiments or 5 × 10^3 PC9 lung cancer cells expressing either a non-silencing shRNA control or a BTC shRNA for knockdown experiments. Cells were embedded into 0.4% low-melting agarose (Sigma-Aldrich) and layered on top of a 0.8% agarose base. After 2 weeks of growth, the cells were fixed and stained with crystal violet.

**RNA-seq and data analysis**

NIH3T3 cells stably expressing empty vector, EGFR-WT, EGFR-L858R, EGFR-L861Q, and EGFR-Del(E746-A750) were used to prepare total RNA, which was then used for gene expression analysis on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 system in biological triplicates. Total RNA was extracted using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions and then purified on RNAeasy Mini Columns (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. mRNA was purified from approximately 500 ng total RNA using oligo-dT beads and then sheared by incubation at 94°C. Following first-strand synthesis with random primers, second-strand synthesis was performed with dUTP to generate strand-specific sequencing libraries. The cDNA library was then end-repaired and A-tailed. Adapters were then ligated, and second-strand digestion was performed using uracil-DNA-glycosylase. Indexed libraries that met appropriate cut-offs for both were quantified by qRT-PCR using a commercially available kit (KAPA Biosystems). The insert size distribution was determined using LabChip GX or an Agilent Bioanalyzer. Samples with a yield ≥ 0.5 ng/μl were used for sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 system. Images generated by the sequencers were converted into nucleotide sequences by the base-calling pipeline RTA 1.18.64.0 and stored in FASTQ format. The raw sequencing data in the FASTQ files were subjected to a quality check (FastQC), removal of adapter content, and quality thresholding (removal of reads with Phred score < 30). Reads that passed the quality thresholds were mapped to the latest stable files version of the Mouse reference genome mm10 (GRCm38/mm10, Ensembl) using Bowtie2 and Tophat 2.1.1. The expression of the assembled transcriptomes was estimated using Cufflinks 2.2.1 (Trapnell et al., 2012). Briefly, the quality of the assemblies was assessed, and the normalized gene and transcript expression profiles were computed for each sample. The normalization was performed using the classic fragments per kilobases per million fragments (FPKM) method followed by Log2 transformation. The gene-level differential expression between conditions was estimated using the Log2-transformed FPKM values of transcripts sharing each gene ID. The uncorrected p-value of the test statistic and the false discovery rate (FDR)-adjusted p-value of the test statistic (q-value) were estimated for differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Any gene with a p-value greater than the FDR after Benjamini-Hochberg correction for multiple testing was deemed to be differentially expressed between the test condition and control condition. The RNA-seq data have been submitted to GEO (Accession No. GSE101399).
**Immunohistochemistry**
Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue microarray (TMA) slides containing LUAD and matched normal lung tissues were obtained from US Biomax, Inc. (Cat. Nos. BS04081a, HLugA180Su03) and YTMA310 from Yale University. Briefly, following deparaffinization of the slides, antigen retrieval was performed in citrate buffer (pH 6.0) at 97°C for 20 min using the Lab Vision PT Module (Thermo Scientific). Endogenous peroxides were blocked using hydrogen peroxide for 30 min. The slides were then washed with 1X Tris-buffered saline (TBS), and proteins were blocked using 0.3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 30 min. Slides were incubated in BTC antibody (dilution 1:125), followed by incubation with secondary anti-mouse HRP-conjugated antibody (Dako). Slides were then stained using the Dako Liquid DAB+ Substrate Chromogen System and counterstained with Dako Automation Hematoxylin Histological Staining Reagent (Thermo Scientific). The intensity of cytoplasmic expression of BTC was scored as negative 0, weak 1+, moderate 2+ and strong 3+ following the scoring approach described in previously published studies (Khelwatty et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2009). BTC staining was scored by Dr. Xuchen Zhang, who was blinded regarding the identity of the samples. All antibodies used for immunohistochemistry analyses are listed in Key resources table.

**Mouse RTK arrays**
The Proteome Profiler Mouse Phospho-RTK Array Kit (R&D Systems) was used to measure the relative levels of tyrosine phosphorylation for 39 distinct RTKs according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, cell lysates were prepared from vector- and BTC-expressing NIH3T3 cells using RIPA lysis buffer (Invitrogen) containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors [Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche) and Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich)]. After blocking for 1 hr with Array Buffer 1 (R&D Systems), the arrays were incubated with 200 μg protein lysate overnight at 4°C. Arrays were then washed in Wash Buffer (R&D Systems) and incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated phospho-tyrosine detection antibody (1:5000) for 1 hr. Finally, arrays were developed using the SuperSignal West Pico Reagent (Pierce) to detect changes in phosphorylation. Signal intensities were quantified using ImageJ software. Positive controls on the membranes were used to normalize the results and compare the results from different membranes.

**Human RTK arrays**
The Proteome Profiler Human Phospho-RTK Array Kit (R&D Systems) was used to determine the relative levels of tyrosine phosphorylation for 49 distinct RTKs, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, cell lysates were prepared from PC9 cells expressing either BTC or non-silencing (NS) control shRNAs using RIPA lysis buffer (Invitrogen) containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors [Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche) and Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich)]. After blocking for 1 hr with Array Buffer 1 (R&D Systems), the arrays were incubated with 200 μg protein lysate overnight at 4°C. Arrays were washed in Wash Buffer (R&D Systems), and incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated phospho-tyrosine detection antibody (1:5000) for 1 hr. Finally, arrays were developed using the SuperSignal West Pico Reagent (Pierce) to detect changes in phosphorylation. Signal intensities were quantified using ImageJ software. Positive controls on the membranes were used to normalize the results and compare the results from different membranes.

**Immunoprecipitation**
Whole cell lysates (1 mg) were incubated with a cocktail of phosphotyrosine antibodies (pY20, sc-508, sc-7020, pY99, Santa Cruz Biotechnology; 4G10, 05-321, EMD Millipore) and rotated end-over-end at 4°C overnight. Protein A/G agarose beads (Invitrogen) were washed with lysis buffer, added to the lysates, and rotated end-over-end at 4°C for 2 hrs. Beads were collected by centrifugation, and a sample of the supernatant was kept as a pass-through. The beads were washed 3X with lysis buffer, and proteins were eluted by heating the samples to 100°C for 5 minutes in 2X SDS sample buffer. The immunoprecipitated proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes, and probed with the EGFR, ERBB2, and ERBB3 antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology).

**Cell viability assay in ultra-low attachment plates**
Cells were seeded onto Corning Ultra-Low Attachment Surface (Cat. No. CLS3473) in 24-well plates at 50,000 cells/well in triplicate and incubated for the indicated days. After incubation, cells were collected and mixed with 0.4% trypton blue solution. To calculate cell viability, both live and dead cell counts were recorded for each set, and the percentage of cell viability was calculated using the formula: Total live cells/Total cells × 100.
Annexin V assay

Apoptosis rates were analyzed using an Annexin V staining kit (BD Pharmingen #559763) per manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, 5000 cells/well were plated in an ultra-low attachment 96-well plate. After 5 days, cells were collected, washed twice with 1x PBS, resuspended in 1x binding buffer, stained with 5μlPE Annexin V, and incubated for 15 min in the dark. After incubation, 400 μl of 1x binding buffer was added, and images were captured using a fluorescent microscope (10× magnification).

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All experiments were conducted in at least three biological replicates. Results for individual experiments are expressed as the mean ± SEM. For measurement of tumor progression in mice and MTT assays, statistical analyses were performed by analyzing the area under the curve using Prism version 7.0 for Macintosh (GraphPad Software; https://www.graphpad.com). For the remaining experiments, P values were calculated using the two-tailed unpaired Student’s t test in Prism.