LEGENDRIAN GRAPHS AND QUASIPOSITIVE DIAGRAMS

SEBASTIAN BAADER AND MASAHARU ISHIKAWA

Abstract. In this paper we clarify the relationship between ribbon surfaces of Legendrian graphs and quasipositive diagrams by using certain fence diagrams. As an application, we give an alternative proof of a theorem concerning a relationship between quasipositive fiber surfaces and contact structures on $S^3$. We also answer a question of L. Rudolph concerning moves of quasipositive diagrams.

1. Introduction

A link is called quasipositive if it has a diagram which is the closure of a product of conjugates of the positive generators of the braid group. If the product consists only of words of the form

$$\sigma_{i,j} = (\sigma_i \cdots \sigma_{j-2})\sigma_{j-1}(\sigma_i \cdots \sigma_{j-2})^{-1}$$

then the link obtained as its closure is called strongly quasipositive. Let $b$ be the braid index of a braid diagram of a quasipositive link. The link spans a canonical Seifert surface consisting of $b$ copies of disjoint parallel disks with a band for each $\sigma_{i,j}$, for example see the diagram on the left in Figure 1. We call such a diagram of a Seifert surface a quasipositive diagram and each band a positive band. We say a Seifert surface is quasipositive if it has a quasipositive diagram. On the right in Figure 1, the quasipositive diagram is represented by using a graph, which is called a fence diagram.

![Figure 1. An example of quasipositive surface. The boundary is the knot 10_{145} in Rolfsen’s notation [13].](image)

To relate fence diagrams to contact topology, we replace each endpoint of vertical lines as shown in Figure 2 and call the obtained diagram its cusped fence diagram. A cusped fence diagram is regarded as a front projection of a Legendrian graph. We will see that the Legendrian ribbon of this Legendrian graph is the quasipositive surface of the fence.
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diagram (Lemma 2.1). Conversely, when a front projection of a Legendrian graph is given, we can make a fence diagram whose quasipositive surface is a Legendrian ribbon of the given Legendrian graph. In particular, the Legendrian ribbon of a Legendrian graph in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the standard contact structure is a quasipositive surface (Theorem 2.2).

![Fence Diagram to Cusped Fence Diagram](image)

**Figure 2.** From a fence diagram to a cusped fence diagram, which is a front projection of a Legendrian graph.

As an application, we give an alternative proof of [11, Proposition 2.1 (1)$\iff$(2)] which states that a fiber surface is quasipositive if and only if it supports the standard contact structure on $S^3$ (Theorem 3.1). The proof in [11] is based on the work of E. Giroux [7] and L. Rudolph [14], and uses plumbings. Hence it requires the affirmative answer to J. Harer’s conjecture [10] due to Giroux and N. Goodman [7, 9]. In our proof, one direction follows from the fence diagram argument and the other one is done according to an argument in [4]. In particular, both directions do not need plumbings.

Next we observe Legendrian isotopy moves of Legendrian graphs using moves of quasipositive surfaces. In [14], Rudolph introduced fundamental moves of quasipositive diagrams named *inflations, deflations, slips, slides, twirls and turns*. These moves are summarized in Figure 3. The same figures can be found in his paper [14] with more precise definitions. In his notation, we only consider the case where the signs $\varepsilon$ assigned to bands are positive. There is a remark about these definitions, see Remark 4.3 below. We will prove that all Legendrian isotopy moves of Legendrian graphs are expressed by inflations, deflations, slips and slides of fence diagrams (Theorem 4.2).

![Fundamental Moves of Quasipositive Diagrams](image)

**Figure 3.** Fundamental moves of quasipositive diagrams. Each positive band may pass over several horizontal lines.

In the last two sections we study quasipositive annuli. We first prove that the moves of fence diagrams of quasipositive annuli correspond to Legendrian isotopy moves (Theorem 5.1). It is important to remark that the same assertion is not true for quasipositive
surfaces. Secondly, we study the Thurston-Bennequin invariant and the rotation number of fence diagrams of quasipositive annuli, which are defined by those of cusped fence diagrams. We prove that the Thurston-Bennequin invariant and the rotation number of a fence diagram of a quasipositive annulus are invariant under inflations, deflations, slips, slides, twirls and turns (Theorem 6.3). As a corollary, we conclude that there exists a quasipositive surface with two different quasipositive diagrams which are not related by inflations, deflations, slips, slides, twirls and turns. This answers a question of Rudolph in [14, Remark on p.263].

From the results in this paper, we conclude that there exist surjective, non-injective maps

\[
\left\{\text{trivalent Legendrian ribbons}\right\}/\sim \to \left\{\text{quasipositive diagrams}\right\}/\sim \to \left\{\text{quasipositive surfaces}\right\}/\sim,
\]

where \(\left\{\text{trivalent Legendrian ribbons}\right\}/\sim\) is the class of trivalent Legendrian graphs up to Legendrian isotopy, \(\left\{\text{quasipositive diagrams}\right\}/\sim\) is the class of quasipositive diagrams up to inflations, deflations, slips, slides, twirls and turns, and \(\left\{\text{quasipositive surfaces}\right\}/\sim\) is the class of quasipositive surfaces up to ambient isotopy.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the notion of front projections in backslash position and prove that a Legendrian ribbon is a quasipositive surface. In Section 3, we give an alternative proof of Hedden’s proposition. Section 4 is devoted to Theorem 4.2 and Section 5 is devoted to Theorem 5.1. In Section 6, we introduce the Thurston-Bennequin invariant and the rotation number of a fence diagram of a quasipositive annulus and prove their invariance under the moves of fence diagrams.

This work was done while the second author visited Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik of ETH Zürich and Mathematisches Institut of Universität Basel during the summer semester in 2006. He would like to thank the staff of these departments, especially Norbert A’Campo, for their warm hospitality and precious support.

2. LEGENDRIAN GRAPHS AND QUASIPOSITIVE DIAGRAMS

The standard contact structure \(\xi_{st}\) on \(\mathbb{R}^3\) is the kernel of the 1-form \(dz + xdy\). A Legendrian graph is a finite graph consisting of edges and vertices such that each edge is Legendrian, i.e., tangent to the 2-plane field \(\xi_{st}\) everywhere. The graph may have a simple closed curve component, which we also call an edge for convenience. The image of the projection of a Legendrian graph \(\Gamma\) onto the \(yz\)-plane is called a front projection of \(\Gamma\). This image is an immersed graph with cusps and without vertical tangencies. We call the image of each vertex also a vertex. If \(\Gamma\) is in general position, its front projection has only node and cusp singularities, and the edges adjacent to each vertex have the same tangency. We call such a \(\Gamma\) a generic front projection. For each node, we regard the arc with smaller slope as the strand passing over the other arc. Then the figure obtained becomes a graph diagram of \(\Gamma\).

A Legendrian ribbon \(R\) of a Legendrian graph \(\Gamma\) in \((\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_{st})\) is a smoothly embedded surface in \(S^3\) such that

1. \(\Gamma\) is in the interior of \(R\) and \(R\) retracts onto \(\Gamma\),
2. for each \(x \in \Gamma\), the 2-plane of \(\xi_{st}\) at \(x\) is tangent to \(R\), and
3. for each \(x \notin \Gamma\), the 2-plane of \(\xi_{st}\) at \(x\) is transverse to \(R\).
The notion of a ribbon of a Legendrian graph appears in [7] to prove the existence of an open book decomposition compatible with a given contact structure on a 3-manifold, see [4, 9].

A quasipositive diagram retracts onto the preimage of its cusped fence diagram. We call this preimage the Legendrian core graph.

**Lemma 2.1.** A quasipositive diagram can be regarded as a Legendrian ribbon of its Legendrian core graph.

**Proof.** Set the $b$ copies of disjoint parallel disks in $\mathbb{R}^3$ parallel to the $xy$-plane and attach the positive bands in the region $x > 0$ as shown in Figure 4. This figure shows that this surface is a Legendrian ribbon of the Legendrian core graph. □

![Figure 4. A positive band of a quasipositive diagram in a position of a Legendrian ribbon.](image)

The main aim of this section is to prove the converse of Lemma 2.1

**Theorem 2.2.** A Legendrian ribbon of a Legendrian graph $\Gamma$ in $(\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_{st})$ is a quasipositive surface.

Before proving the assertion, we introduce the notion of backslash position of front projections, trivalent front projections and their approximating fence diagrams.

**Definition 2.3.** A front projection is called in backslash position if all tangent lines lie in $(\pi/2, \pi) \cup (3\pi/2, 2\pi)$.

Define the diffeomorphism $\phi_1$ from the $yz$-plane to itself by $(y, z) \mapsto (y, \lambda z)$, where $\lambda > 0$ is a positive real number, and the diffeomorphism $\phi_2$ as the $-\pi/4$ rotation map of the $yz$-plane. For a given, Legendrian isotopy move of a front projection, we can choose $\lambda$ sufficiently small such that the diffeomorphism $\phi_2 \circ \phi_1$ maps all front projections during the move into backslash position.

**Definition 2.4.** A vertex in a generic front projection is called trivalent if the number of adjacent edges is three and two of the edges lie on one side with respect to the vertical line passing through the vertex and the third edge lies on the other side. A front projection is called trivalent if it is generic and all vertices are trivalent.

We define the moves A, B and C of Legendrian graphs as shown in Figure 5. The right direction of the move A is an addition of a pair of vertex $v$ and edge $e$ to a Legendrian graph. The right direction of the move B is an addition of a vertex to either the middle of an edge or to a cusp. The move C is a slide of an edge. The figure shows the case where the number of adjacent edges is six.
Lemma 2.5. The moves \( A, B \) and \( C \) satisfy the following properties:

1. The Legendrian ribbons before and after these moves are ambient isotopic;
2. Every generic front projection can be modified into a trivalent front projection by using these moves.

Proof. The assertion (1) can be verified by describing their Legendrian ribbons. The Legendrian ribbons before and after the move \( C \) on the right-top in Figure 5 is shown in Figure 6. The assertion (2) is obvious. \( \square \)

Definition 2.6. For a fence diagram, we apply deflations as much as possible and then retract each of the left and right ends of horizontal lines until their arriving at a trivalent vertex. We call the obtained diagram the reduced fence diagram. See Figure 7. The same operation is also applied to a cusped fence diagram and we call the obtained diagram the reduced, cusped fence diagram.
Now we consider to approximate a trivalent front projection in backslash position by reduced fence diagrams. Let \( q \) be a fence diagram, \( r \) its reduced fence diagram and \( w \) a trivalent front projection in backslash position. We denote by \( \Sigma_c(r) \) the set of left-top and right-bottom corners of \( r \), which correspond to the cusps in the reduced, cusped fence diagram of \( q \), by \( \Sigma_c(w) \) the set of cusps of \( w \), by \( \Sigma_n(r) \) and \( \Sigma_n(w) \) the set of nodes of \( r \) and \( w \) respectively, and by \( \Sigma_v(r) \) and \( \Sigma_v(w) \) the set of trivalent vertices of \( r \) and \( w \) respectively.

**Definition 2.7.** We say a fence diagram \( q \) **approximates** a trivalent front projection \( w \) if its reduced fence diagram \( r \) satisfies the following:

1. \( \Sigma_c(r) = \Sigma_c(w) \);
2. \( \Sigma_n(r) = \Sigma_n(w) \);
3. \( \Sigma_v(r) = \Sigma_v(w) \);
4. there is a continuous family \( r_t \) of curves from \( r_0 = r \) to \( r_1 = w \), which is polygonal for \( t = 0 \), such that \( r_{t_0} \cap r_{t_1} = \Sigma_c(r) \cup \Sigma_n(r) \cup (r \cap w) \) for all \( 0 \leq t_0 < t_1 \leq 1 \).

See Figure 8 for example.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let \( q \) be a fence diagram, \( r \) its reduced fence diagram and \( w \) a trivalent front projection in backslash position. Suppose that \( q \) approximates \( w \). Then

1. \( r \) is regular isotopic to \( w \) as immersed curves in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) with cusps, and
2. the quasipositive surface of the fence diagram \( q \) is a Legendrian ribbon of the Legendrian graph of \( w \).
Proof. It is easy to verify the assertion (1), cf. Figure 8. Isotope the quasipositive surface of the fence diagram $q$ according to the deflations and retractions for making the reduced fence diagram and then isotope it further according to the isotopy move in the assertion (1). We then have a Legendrian ribbon of the Legendrian graph of $w$. This proves the assertion in (2). □

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $\bar{w}$ be a generic front projection of a given Legendrian graph and assume that $\bar{w}$ is in backslash position. By Lemma 2.5, there exists a trivalent front projection $w$ such that the Legendrian ribbons of $w$ and $\bar{w}$ are ambient isotopic. By Lemma 2.8, the Legendrian ribbon of $w$ is quasipositive and hence that of $\bar{w}$ is also. □

3. An alternative proof of Hedden’s proposition

Let $\alpha$ be a 1-form on $S^3$ and $\xi = \ker \alpha$ its contact structure. Two manifolds with contact structures are called contactomorphic if there exists a diffeomorphism between these manifolds which maps the 2-plane field of the contact structure from one to the other. If $\xi$ is contactomorphic to the contact structure on $S^3 = \{(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid x_1^2 + y_1^2 + x_2^2 + y_2^2 = 1\}$ determined by the kernel of the 1-form $\alpha = \sum_{i=1,2}(x_idy_i - y_idx_i)|_{S^3}$, then $\xi$ is called the standard contact structure on $S^3$. In this case, $(S^3, \xi)$ minus one point is contactomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_{st})$.

Let $F$ be an oriented surface with boundary and $\phi : F \to F$ a diffeomorphism which is the identity map on the boundary $\partial F$ of $F$. Identify $F \times [0, 1]$ by equivalence relations $(x, 1) \sim (\phi(x), 0)$ for $x \in F$ and $(y, 0) \sim (y, \theta)$ for $y \in \partial F$ and $\theta \in [0, 1]$. Then $F$ is called a fiber surface in the 3-manifold $F \times [0, 1]/\sim$. We consider only the case where $F \times [0, 1]/\sim$ is $S^3$. We denote the fiber surface parametrized by $\theta \in [0, 1]$ by $F_{\theta}$ and suppose $F = F_0$.

A fiber surface $F$ embedded in $S^3$ is called compatible with a contact structure $\xi$ on $S^3$ if it satisfies the following:

1. the boundary of $F_{\theta}$ is transverse to $\xi$;
2. $d\alpha$ is a volume form on each fiber $F_{\theta}$;
3. the orientation of $\partial F_{\theta}$ coincides with the orientation of $\xi$ determined by $d\alpha > 0$.

Theorem 3.1 (Hedden [11]). Let $F$ be a fiber surface in $S^3$. $F$ is quasipositive if and only if $F$ is compatible with the standard contact structure on $S^3$.

We here give a proof of this theorem without using the affirmative answer to Harer’s conjecture.

Proof. Let $F$ be a fiber surface compatible with the standard contact structure on $S^3$. By the Legendrian realization argument based on the result in [6], we can assume that the fiber surface is a Legendrian ribbon of a Legendrian graph, cf. [4] Remark 4.30. Hence by Theorem 2.2 it is a quasipositive surface.

The proof of the converse assertion is done according to the argument in [4] p.21–23. Let $F$ be a quasipositive surface with a quasipositive diagram. By Lemma 2.1 $F$ can be embedded in $S^3$ with the standard contact structure $\xi_0$ in such a way that $F$ is a Legendrian ribbon in $(S^3, \xi_0)$. Since $\partial F$ is transverse to $\xi_0$, there exists a small tubular
neighborhood $N(\partial F)$ of $\partial F$ in $S^3$ with the contact structure $\ker(dz + r^2 d\theta)$, where the $z$-coordinate is along $\partial F$ and $(r, \theta)$ is the polar coordinates of a plane transverse to $\partial F$. We then define $M$ to be the union of $F \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ and $N(\partial F)$, where $\varepsilon$ is a sufficiently small positive real number, and assume that the boundary of $M$ is convex (see [6], or for instance [5] for the definition of convexity). Since $F$ is a fiber surface, the complement $M^c = \text{closure}(S^3 \setminus M)$ is a handlebody with the tight contact structure $\xi_0|_{M^c}$.

The rest of the proof is the same as the argument in [6, p.21–23], so we only show the outline. We first deform the Reeb vector field of $(S^3, \xi_0)$ in such a way that it is tangent to the boundary of $N(\partial F)$ and transverse to the fibers of the fibration in $F \times [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$. Next we once forget the contact structure $\xi_0$ on $M^c$ and extend the Reeb vector field on $M$ to $M^c$ according to the fibration. In particular, the contact structure $\xi_1$ determined by this Reeb vector field is compatible with the fibration. The Reeb vector field allows us to make a contact embedding of $(M^c, \xi_1)$ into $F \times \mathbb{R}$ with the vertically invariant contact structure. By Giroux’s criterion, the contact structure on $F \times \mathbb{R}$ is tight and hence $(M^c, \xi_1)$ is also. Since two contact structures $\xi_0|_{M^c}$ and $\xi_1$ on $M^c$ are both tight, due to the uniqueness of the tight contact structure on a handlebody [16], we can conclude that $\xi_0|_{M^c}$ and $\xi_1$ are contactomorphic. This means that the contact structure $(M, \xi_0|_M) \cup (M^c, \xi_1)$ is contactomorphic to $(S^3, \xi_0)$, which is the standard contact structure on $S^3$. □

4. Isotopy moves of Legendrian graphs and quasipositive diagrams

It is well-known that two generic front projections of a Legendrian knot are related by the moves I, II and III of generic front projections shown in Figure 9 ([15]). In case of Legendrian graphs, we can assume that the vertices are in general position during Legendrian isotopy moves so that they do not intersect. Mutual positions of a vertex and edges during a Legendrian isotopy move yield three additional cases: (IV) a vertex passes through a cusp, (V) a vertex passes over or under an edge, and (VI) an edge adjacent to a vertex rotates to the other side of the vertex. These moves are also described in Figure 9.

![Figure 9. Legendrian isotopy moves.](image)

Figure 9. Legendrian isotopy moves. The horizontal reflections of these moves are also allowed. The overstrand and understrand at each crossing are determined according to the rule that the arc with the smaller slope passes over the other arc.

Remark 4.1. The move IV with edges attached to the right side of the cusp as shown in Figure 10 is realized as a combination of the moves VI and IV.
Theorem 4.2. If the Legendrian graphs of reduced, cusped fence diagrams are Legendrian isotopic, then their fence diagrams are related by inflations, deflations, slips and slides.

Remark 4.3. In [14], the slides were defined as the moves from the left to the right in Figure 3 and it was remarked on p.263 that the inverse moves can be realized as conjugations of slides by twirls. In this paper, for our convenience to compare with Legendrian isotopy moves, we call these inverse moves also slides. The definitions of twirls and turns in Figure 3 are also different from those in [14] because of the same reason.

Before proving Theorem 4.2 we explain the flexibility of approximating fence diagrams shown in Figure 11. The thickened polygonal curves in the figures represent a part of the reduced fence diagram. Figure (A) shows that by combining an inflation and a slide we can produce a new zigzag of an approximating fence diagram. Figure (B) shows that by combining an inflation, slides and a deflation we can exchange the heights of two horizontal edges, and Figure (C) shows that by a slip we can exchange the positions of vertical edges. These properties imply that we can make an approximating fence diagram whose reduced fence diagram is as close to \( w \) as we need by using inflations and slides, and every regular isotopy move of a generic front projection, as moves of immersed curves in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) with cusps, can be expressed by a family of approximating fence diagrams defined by inflations, deflations, slips and slides.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. We first show that the Legendrian isotopy moves \( I \sim VI \) can also be expressed by moves of approximating fence diagrams. Since all reduced fence diagrams are trivalent, the vertices in the moves IV, V and VI are trivalent. Consider the move II with right cusp. If the cusp passes a line from the top to the bottom, then the move is
expressed by a combination of an inflation and slides as shown on the top in Figure 12. The move of approximating fence diagrams corresponding to the move II with left cusp a front projection in backslash position

the reduced fence diagram of an approximating fence diagram

II)

III)

IV)

V)

VI)

Figure 12. The moves of approximating fence diagrams corresponding to the Legendrian isotopy moves II, III, IV, V and VI.

is given by the \( \pi \)-rotation of the figure. In case the right (resp. left) cusp passes a line from the left to the right (resp. from the right to the left), the move corresponds to a slip, cf. Figure 13 below. For every move which appears below, we also need to check the figure obtained by the \( \pi \)-rotation, though we omit it. The move III corresponds to a slip, which is shown on the second figure in Figure 12 and the move IV corresponds to a slide.
as shown on the third figure. The move V also corresponds to a slide if the cusp passes a line from the top to the bottom, see the fourth figure. In case where the cusp passes a line from the left to the right, the move corresponds to a slip as in case of the move II. The move VI has four cases as shown in the fifth figure. The move of approximating fence diagrams for the first case is shown on the bottom in Figure 12. Looking only at the cusp in the move VI on the bottom in Figure 12, we have the move I. We can check the other cases of the move VI by the same way. Thus we conclude that the moves I ∼ VI are expressed by approximating fence diagrams with using inflations, deflations, slips and slides.

Now we prove the assertion. Let \( w \) and \( w' \) be reduced, cusped fence diagrams whose Legendrian graphs are Legendrian isotopic and \( r_0 \) and \( r'_0 \) the reduced fence diagrams corresponding to \( w \) and \( w' \) respectively. By small perturbation we can assume that \( w \) and \( w' \) are in backslash position, and by adding new zigzags to \( r_0 \) and \( r'_0 \) we can obtain fence diagrams \( r_1 \) and \( r'_1 \) which approximate \( w \) and \( w' \) respectively. Now we make the approximation \( r_1 \) of \( w \) as close to \( w \) as possible and follow the Legendrian isotopy moves from \( w \) to \( w' \) with approximating fence diagrams. We denote the obtained fence diagram by \( r_2 \), which approximates \( w' \). It is easy to make the same approximation of \( w' \) from \( r'_1 \) and \( r_2 \) by adding new zigzags. Thus \( r_0 \) and \( r'_0 \) are related by the moves in the assertion.

5. Quasipositive Annuli

In this section we study quasipositive annuli. The reduced fence diagram of a quasipositive diagram of a quasipositive annulus has no trivalent vertices, i.e., it is a knot diagram of a knot in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \).

**Theorem 5.1.** The moves of fence diagrams of quasipositive annuli under inflation, deflation, slips and slides correspond to Legendrian isotopy moves of reduced, cusped fence diagrams.

**Proof.** An inflation and a deflation do not change the reduced fence diagram. The slip of a reduced fence diagram shown in Figure 13 corresponds to the Legendrian move II if there is no horizontal line passing under the shorter vertical edge. In case where the shorter vertical edge passes over several horizontal lines, the move is realized by the moves II and III. The other cases of slips are obviously Legendrian isotopy.

![Figure 13. Moves of reduced fence diagrams under slips.](image)

We consider the slide from the left to the right shown in Figure 14 (A). If the reduced fence diagram does not pass through the lower vertical edge in Figure 14 (B), then this
move is obviously Legendrian isotopy. If it passes through the lower vertical edge, there are eight cases shown in Figure 14 (C). The non-obvious case is (C3) and corresponds to the Legendrian isotopy move I. In case where the vertical lines of fence diagrams in Figure 14 pass over several other horizontal lines, we need to use the Legendrian isotopy move II additionally. The proof for the slide from the right to the left also follows from Figure 14. For the second slide in Figure 3, the proof is analogous.

\[ \square \]

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{ccc}
(A) & \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline=0.5ex]
\draw (0,0) -- (0,1); \draw (1,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (0,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} & \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline=0.5ex]
\draw (0,0) -- (0,1); \draw (1,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (0,0) -- (1,1);
\end{tikzpicture} & \text{slide} & \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline=0.5ex]
\draw (0,0) -- (0,1); \draw (1,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} \\
(C1) & \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline=0.5ex]
\draw (0,0) -- (0,1); \draw (1,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (0,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} & \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline=0.5ex]
\draw (0,0) -- (0,1); \draw (1,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (0,0) -- (1,1);
\end{tikzpicture} & \text{slide} & \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline=0.5ex]
\draw (0,0) -- (0,1); \draw (1,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} \\
(C2) & \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline=0.5ex]
\draw (0,0) -- (0,1); \draw (1,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (0,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} & \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline=0.5ex]
\draw (0,0) -- (0,1); \draw (1,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (0,0) -- (1,1);
\end{tikzpicture} & \text{slide} & \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline=0.5ex]
\draw (0,0) -- (0,1); \draw (1,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} \\
(C3) & \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline=0.5ex]
\draw (0,0) -- (0,1); \draw (1,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (0,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} & \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline=0.5ex]
\draw (0,0) -- (0,1); \draw (1,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (0,0) -- (1,1);
\end{tikzpicture} & \text{slide} & \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline=0.5ex]
\draw (0,0) -- (0,1); \draw (1,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} \\
(C4) & \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline=0.5ex]
\draw (0,0) -- (0,1); \draw (1,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (0,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} & \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline=0.5ex]
\draw (0,0) -- (0,1); \draw (1,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (0,0) -- (1,1);
\end{tikzpicture} & \text{slide} & \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline=0.5ex]
\draw (0,0) -- (0,1); \draw (1,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} \\
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

**Figure 14.** Moves of reduced fence diagrams under slides.

*Remark 5.2.* For quasipositive surfaces, the moves of their fence diagrams under inflation, deflation and slips correspond to Legendrian isotopy moves of reduced, cusped fence diagrams. But this is not true for slides. Figure 15 shows that a slide may exchange the mutual positions of two vertices and this cannot be realized by Legendrian isotopy moves of Legendrian graphs.

\begin{center}
\begin{tikzpicture}[baseline=0.5ex]
\draw (0,0) -- (0,1); \draw (1,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (0,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture} \quad \text{slide} \quad \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline=0.5ex]
\draw (0,0) -- (0,1); \draw (1,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (0,0) -- (1,1);
\end{tikzpicture} \quad \begin{tikzpicture}[baseline=0.5ex]
\draw (0,0) -- (0,1); \draw (1,0) -- (1,1);
\draw (1,0) -- (0,1);
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{center}

**Figure 15.** A slide which exchanges the mutual positions of two vertices.

*Remark 5.3.* Theorem 4.2 shows the existence of the surjective map

\[ \{ \text{trivalent Legendrian ribbons} \}/\sim \to \{ \text{quasipositive diagrams} \}/\sim, \]

where \{trivalent Legendrian ribbons\}/\sim is the class of Legendrian graphs up to Legendrian isotopy and \{quasipositive diagrams\}/\sim is the class of quasipositive diagrams up to inflations, deflations, slips, slides, twirls and turns, and the above remark shows that this map is not injective.
6. QUASIPOSITIVE SURFACES WITH DIFFERENT FENCE DIAGRAMS

Let $\gamma$ be a Legendrian knot in $(\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_{st})$, i.e., $\gamma$ is tangent to the 2-plane field $\xi_{st}$ everywhere. The Thurston-Bennequin invariant $tb(\gamma)$ of $\gamma$ is the linking number of $\gamma$ and a curve obtained by pushing off $\gamma$ normal to $\xi_{st}$. To give the definition of the rotation number, we assign an orientation to $\gamma$ and denote it by $\vec{\gamma}$. The rotation number $r(\vec{\gamma})$ of $\vec{\gamma}$ is the winding number of vectors tangent to $\vec{\gamma}$ with respect to the trivialization of $\xi_{st}$ along $\vec{\gamma}$. The other choice of the orientation of $\gamma$ changes the sign of the rotation number.

The Thurston-Bennequin invariant and the rotation number can be read from the front projection. Let $\gamma$ be a Legendrian knot and $w_\gamma$ its generic front projection. Assign an orientation to $w_\gamma$ and let $p(w_\gamma)$ (resp. $n(w_\gamma)$) denote the number of positive (resp. negative) crossings and $r_c(w_\gamma)$ the number of right cusps of $w_\gamma$. Then the Thurston-Bennequin invariant of $\gamma$ is determined by the formula

$$tb(\gamma) = p(w_\gamma) - n(w_\gamma) - r_c(w_\gamma).$$

Obviously, this number does not depend on the choice of the orientation. For the rotation number, let $d_c(w_\gamma)$ (resp. $u_c(w_\gamma)$) denote the number of downward (resp. upward) cusps. Then the rotation number is determined by

$$r(\vec{\gamma}) = \frac{1}{2}(d_c(w_\gamma) - u_c(w_\gamma)).$$

It is easy to verify that the other choice of the orientation of $\gamma$ changes the sign of the rotation number. For more precise explanations, see for instance [8].

A reduced, cusped fence diagram of a quasipositive annulus is regarded as a front projection of a Legendrian knot. We define the Thurston Bennequin invariant and the rotation number of a fence diagram of a quasipositive annulus by those of its reduced, cusped fence diagram.

Lemma 6.1. The Thurston-Bennequin invariant of a fence diagram of a quasipositive annulus $A$ is equal to $-1$ times the linking number of the two boundary components of $A$. In particular, the Thurston-Bennequin invariant is independent of the choice of a quasipositive diagram.

Proof. On a quasipositive diagram, we assume that the positive crossing of each positive band lies close to the bottom end of the band. Then the contribution to the linking number of the two boundary components of $A$ is given as shown in Figure 16. The number on the right-bottom of each figure represents the contribution to the linking number. Thus the linking number is $-p + n + r_c = -tb$ by the formula (6.1). \hfill $\Box$

Remark 6.2. Lemma 6.1 can be proved by checking the coincidence of the Legendrian framing and the Seifert surface’s framing of a reduced, cusped fence diagram, cf. for example [9]. This proof is more direct than the above proof if we assume the knowledge of these framings.

Theorem 6.3. The Thurston-Bennequin invariant and the rotation number of a fence diagram of a quasipositive annulus are invariant under inflations, deflations, slips, slides, twirls and turns.
Proof. Since the moves of quasipositive annuli in the assertion are ambient isotopy moves of Seifert surfaces, the linking number of the two boundary components of a quasipositive annulus does not change under these moves. Therefore, by Lemma 6.1, the Thurston-Bennequin invariant also does not change. The rotation number is also invariant under inflations, deflations, slips and slides since they are Legendrian isotopy moves by Theorem 5.1.

We will prove the invariance of the rotation number in case of twirls and turns. For twirls, there are four cases (A), (B), (C) and (D) of reduced fence diagrams as shown in Figure 17. We assign an orientation as shown in the figures. The small arrows in the figures represent the positions of upward and downward cusps. It is easy to check that the rotation number does not change under these moves. The other choice of the orientation changes the sign of the rotation number, but it does not matter for its invariance under these moves.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig16.png}
\caption{The contribution to the linking number of the two boundary components of $A$.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig17.png}
\caption{The four cases of the moves of reduced fence diagrams under twirls.}
\end{figure}

As a corollary, we answer a question of Rudolph in [14, Remark in p.263].

**Corollary 6.4.** There exists a quasipositive surface with two different quasipositive diagrams which are not related by inflations, deflations, slips, slides, twirls and turns.

**Proof.** We consider two fence diagrams shown in Figure 19. They are quasipositive annuli and it is easy to check by the formula (6.1) that the Thurston-Bennequin invariants are both $-3$. Hence, by Lemma 6.1, their quasipositive surfaces are the same, namely
the 3 times full twisted quasipositive annulus. However the rotation number of the fence diagram on the left is 0 and the number of the right diagram is ±2. Hence, by Theorem 6.3, these fence diagrams are not related by the moves in the assertion.

If the Legendrian isotopy class of a Legendrian knot with the same knot-type is determined by the Thurston-Bennequin invariant and the rotation number, then this knot-type is called Legendrian simple. It is known by Y. Eliashberg and M. Fraser in [3] that the unknot is Legendrian simple, and J. Etnyre and K. Honda proved in [5] that the torus knots and the figure eight knot are Legendrian simple. On the other hand, the knots 5_2, 6_3 and 7_2, in Rolfsen’s notation [13], are not Legendrian simple [2, 12]. These facts are known as an application to Chekanov’s differential graded algebra [2].

As a direct corollary of Theorem 6.3, we can determine the isotopy class of a quasipositive annulus up to the moves of quasipositive annuli in case where its core curve is Legendrian simple.

Corollary 6.5. Let $A$ be a quasipositive annulus such that the knot type of its core curve is Legendrian simple.

1. The isotopy class of a quasipositive diagram of $A$ up to inflations, deflations, slips and slides is determined by the Thurston-Bennequin invariant and the rotation number of their reduced, cusped fence diagrams.

2. The classification of quasipositive diagrams of $A$ in (1) is equivalent to the classification up to inflations, deflations, slips, slides, twirls and turns.

For example, consider the $n$ times full twisted quasipositive annulus $A_n$. By using the classification of the Legendrian unknot in [3], we know that there exist $\lfloor (n + 1)/2 \rfloor$ reduced fence diagrams of $A_n$ with different rotation numbers. Hence, by Corollary 6.5, we conclude that they are not related by inflations, deflations, slips, slides, twirls and turns.
Remark 6.6. The existence of the map

\( \{\text{quasipositive diagrams}\}/\sim \to \{\text{quasipositive surfaces}\}/\sim \)

is obvious and Corollary 6.4 shows that this map is not injective, where \(\{\text{quasipositive surfaces}\}/\sim\) is the class of quasipositive surfaces up to ambient isotopy and \(\{\text{quasipositive diagrams}\}/\sim\) is the class of quasipositive diagrams up to inflations, deflations, slips, slides, twists, and turns.

**Question 6.7.** Is there a quasipositive fiber surface with different fence diagrams up to inflations, deflations, slips, slides, twists, and turns?

**Question 6.8.** Do the moves of reduced fence diagrams under twists and turns correspond to Legendrian isotopy moves?

**Question 6.9.** Follow the proof of Harer’s conjecture by using fence diagrams.

**Question 6.10.** Does there exist a quasipositive fiber surface, other than a disk, from which we cannot deplumb a Hopf band?
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