Discovery of the novel autophagy inhibitor aumitin that targets mitochondrial autophagy complex I
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Macroautophagy is a conserved eukaryotic process for degradation of cellular components in response to lack of nutrients. It is involved in the development of diseases, notably cancer and neurological disorders including Parkinson's disease. Small molecule autophagy modulators have proven to be valuable tools to dissect and interrogate this crucial metabolic pathway and are in high demand. Phenotypic screening for autophagy inhibitors led to the discovery of the novel autophagy inhibitor aumitin. Target identification and confirmation revealed that aumitin inhibits mitochondrial respiration by targeting complex I. We show that inhibition of autophagy by impairment of mitochondrial respiration is general for several mitochondrial inhibitors that target different mitochondrial complexes. Our findings highlight the importance of mitochondrial respiration for autophagy regulation.
Fig. 1 Phenotypic validation of aumitin as an autophagy inhibitor. (A–D) Phenotypic screen for inhibition of LC3 accumulation. (A) Dose-dependent inhibition of amino acid starvation induced eGFP-LC3 accumulation by aumitin. (B) Dose dependent inhibition of rapamycin induced eGFP-LC3 accumulation by aumitin. Data is mean ± SD, $n \geq 3$, representative graphs shown. (C) Fluorescence microscopy images of the starvation induced autophagy screen. Fed = DMSO control in MEM. Starved = autophagy induced by amino acid withdrawal (EBSS). (D) Fluorescence microscopy images of the rapamycin induced autophagy screen. Rapamycin was used in MEM at 100 nM. Aumitin reverts the phenotype in a dose dependent manner. Scale bar = 50 μm. (E) Structure of aumitin. (F) Inhibition of autophagy-induced LC3-II lipidation and p62 degradation by aumitin in MCF7-LC3 cells. $n \geq 3$, representative blot shown. (G and H) Aumitin induces cell death in starved cells by means of apoptosis. (G) Treatment of MCF7-LC3 cells under starved conditions (EBSS) or fed conditions (MEM) with aumitin. Under starvation conditions survival is reduced. Cytotoxicity was assessed by means of a WST-1 assay. Data points are mean ± SD, $n \geq 3$, representative graph shown. (H) Aumitin dose dependently induces apoptosis in starved MCF7 cells, as assessed by using a selective caspase 3/7 probe in an IncuCyte Zoom live-cell microscope. Noc. = nocodazole (10 μM), data points are mean ± SD, $n \geq 3$, representative experiment shown.
together with its cargo. Aumitin inhibited p62 degradation by starvation- as well as rapamycin-induced autophagy dose-dependently in MCF7-LC3 cells, suggesting inhibition of autophagic flux (Fig. 1F and ESI Fig. 2†). Moreover, inhibition of autophagic flux was confirmed by an independent cellular assay using mCherry-eGFP-LC3 cells.19 Because eGFP fluorescence is quenched in acidic conditions while mCherry fluorescence is stable, the autophagosome and autolysosome can be distinguished by yellow puncta (eGFP + mCherry) and red puncta (mCherry only), respectively (ESI Fig. 3†). As expected for an autophagy inhibitor, aumitin enhanced death of amino acid-starved cells as compared to fed cells (Fig. 1G).20 Aumitin induced cell death through apoptosis, as assessed using a caspase 3/7 probe that stains apoptotic cells (Fig. 1H).

Due to aumitin’s structural similarity to known kinase inhibitors, we screened for kinases that can be inhibited by aumitin at a concentration of 1 μM. Out of 419 tested kinases only the two phosphatidylinositol kinases PI4KB and PI3KC2G were weakly inhibited (ESI Table 2†). However, highly potent and selective inhibitors of these kinases did not lead to autophagy inhibition (ESI Table 3†). This suggests that PI4KB and PI3KC2G are not responsible for aumitin’s effect on autophagy.

Given the intimate interplay between autophagy and cellular metabolic demand, we investigated whether aumitin interferes with key metabolic processes including glucose uptake and oxidative phosphorylation. However, aumitin did not inhibit glucose uptake22 in HCT116 cells (residual activity > 75% at 30 μM, n = 4). Mitochondria have been shown to play a role in autophagy regulation.7,8 To test whether aumitin interfered with mitochondrial respiration, it was tested in a cell-based assay monitoring cellular oxygen consumption using the Seahorse XFe96 Analyzer (Fig. 2 and ESI Fig. 5†). This contains two fluorophores embedded in a polymer in close proximity to the seeded cells. Quenching of one fluorophore by oxygen allows the kinetic determination of the oxygen consumption rate (OCR), which is representative for respiration. The other fluorophore is pH sensitive and indicates the extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) which mirrors anaerobic glycolysis leading to lactate excretion.

OCR and ECAR are regulated by inhibitors of mitochondrial respiration (Fig. 2). While oxygen consumption declines, extracellular acidification increases in the presence of Aumitin (Fig. 2, time point (a)). Addition of mitochondrial complex V inhibitor oligomycin at timepoint (b) leads to decrease of OCR level (Fig. 2, black line), suggesting ATP-driven respiration. Oligomycin can also be used to determine the extent of proton leak respiration, i.e. the influx of protons into mitochondria independent of an ATPase, through the difference in the OCR between 0 μM and 10 μM aumitin after timepoint (b). Addition of protonophore FCCP (Fig. 2, timepoint (c)) reveals the maximal respiratory capacity, as it extinguishes the electro-chemical gradient, such that respiration is fastest. In order to determine non-mitochondrial respiration, i.e. the lowest possible OCR level, at timepoint (d) complex I inhibitor rotenone and complex III inhibitor antimycin are added together.- Whereas the recently reported VPS34 inhibitor autophobin7 did not modulate mitochondrial respiration (ESI Fig. 4†), aumitin potently and dose dependently inhibited this process as assessed by a reduction in OCR and an increase in ECAR in MCF7 cells (Fig. 2) and HeLa cells (ESI Fig. 5†).

Analysis of the structure activity relationship (SAR) indicated that inhibition of mitochondrial respiration in MCF7 and HeLa cells correlates strongly with inhibition of autophagy by aumitin and analogues (Table 1). Compounds with medium potency in the cell-based autophagy assay (Table 1, entries 2-5) also inhibited mitochondrial respiration less potently than aumitin. A structurally related but inactive compound in the autophagy assay did not inhibit mitochondrial respiration (Table 1, entry 6). This indicates a causal connection between the effect on mitochondrial respiration and autophagy inhibition. Due to the rapid effect of this compound class on mitochondrial respiration (Fig. 2), we speculate that the effect of mitochondrial respiration inhibition may be the cause of autophagy inhibition and not vice versa.

The influence of aumitin on mitochondrial respiration was investigated in greater detail by means of the previously reported semi-intact assay.23 The detergent digitonin is employed to permeabilize the plasma membrane, and inhibition of the different mitochondrial complexes can then be investigated by addition of their distinct substrates. In case of inhibition of a particular mitochondrial complex, only the substrates of the downstream complexes will allow continued mitochondrial respiration, as assessed by oxygen consumption. In this assay aumitin exclusively inhibited mitochondrial complex I (Fig. 2C and ESI Fig. 7†). The same result was observed for rotenone, a known inhibitor of mitochondrial complex I (ESI Fig. 6 and 7†).13,14

Inhibition of the pyruvate/malate-driven respiratory activity by aumitin may occur by direct binding to and inhibition of complex I, or by interception of NADH supply, which is required for the enzymatic activity of complex I. Evaluation of aumitin in an in vitro NADH-CoQ reductase assay using isolated mitochondria, revealed that aumitin inhibited the NADH-CoQ reductase activity, similar to rotenone (Fig. 2D). Thus, aumitin is a direct inhibitor of mitochondrial complex I.

Since aumitin and rotenone both inhibit complex I, we expected that rotenone would also potently inhibit autophagy, as it had previously been reported to do at very low concentrations (10 nM) and varying time points (2 and 24 h) in primary rat cortical neuronal cells.13,14 Interestingly, Giordano et al. showed that increased colocalisation of mitochondria with lysosomes was observed at 24 h, which is indicative of mitophagy and somewhat contradicts the fact that LC3 lipidation and p62 degradation were inhibited. However, rotenone has also been reported to decrease effective lysosomal degradation of autophagosomes,11 which would lead to an accumulation of autophagosomes. It has also been shown to induce autophagy in U87, HEK293 and SH-SY5Y cells; however, this has only been reported for very high concentrations (>2.5 μM) and with longer incubation times (typically >16 h).15,16 None-the-less, these reports may contradict our finding that aumitin inhibits autophagic flux, but also appear to show that rotenone may have different effects on autophagy depending on the time point monitored and dose used.
To clarify this discrepancy and to further confirm the link between mitochondrial respiration and autophagy, complex I inhibitors rotenone and BAY 87-2243 (ref. 25 and 26) were investigated in the eGFP-LC3 puncta assay (Table 2). Both compounds potently and dose dependently inhibited starvation- and rapamycin-induced autophagy after 3 h, similarly to aumitin. Rotenone also inhibited LC3 lipidation and p62 degradation at the same time point, which confirms its ability to inhibit autophagic flux (ESI Fig. 8†).

Similarly to aumitin, rotenone inhibited the accumulation of autophagosomes and autolysosomes under fed and starved conditions (ESI Fig. 3†). These results indicate that inhibition of mitochondrial complex I downregulates autophagy prior to or at the level of autophagosome biogenesis and not maturation. Both rotenone and aumitin decreased cellular ATP levels, however at significantly higher concentrations than those required for autophagy inhibition, suggesting that ATP depletion is unlikely to be the main reason for autophagy inhibition (ESI Fig. 9†). Both compounds were also more effective at causing cell death in glucose-starved cells compared to fed cells (ESI Fig. 9 and 10†), in line with the fact that glucose depletion has been shown to sensitize cells to inhibitors of autophagy and mitochondrial respiration, significantly more than amino acid depletion (Fig. 1G)²⁵,2⁶

In order to investigate the involvement of mitochondrial respiration in autophagy we tested additional small molecules known to impair mitochondrial function. The finding that in addition to complex I inhibitors, inhibitors of complex III (Table 2, antimycin and myxothiazol, entries 4 and 5 respectively) and complex V (Table 2, oligomycin, entry 6) also potently inhibit autophagy suggests a general involvement of mitochondrial...
respiration in autophagy. The ADP/ATP translocase inhibitor atracyloside (Table 2, entry 8) that does not affect the mitochondrial proton gradient did not inhibit autophagy, suggesting that the latter may play a critical role in autophagy modulation, in line with previous reports. This notion was supported by the fact that the uncoupler FCCP (Table 2, entry 7) inhibits autophagy. FCCP shuttles protons across membranes from low to high pH and perturbs the formation of the proton gradient, which is necessary for proper function of ATP synthase. However, FCCP also impairs acidification of (auto)lysosomes. Thereby maturation and clearance of autophagosomal structures are impaired so that FCCP may also function as an inhibitor of autophagosome maturation. Indeed, FCCP inhibits autophagosome formation at low doses but leads to accumulation of autophagosomes at high doses as assessed by the eGFP-LC3 puncta assay and immunoblotting for LC3 lipidation (ESI Fig. 11 and 12†).

Mitochondrial respiration inhibitors have been reported to induce reactive oxygen species (ROS) which regulate autophagy by various mechanisms, including the redox inactivation of the protease ATG4 via oxidation at the catalytic cysteine. Thus, we investigated whether application of aumitin and rotenone increases ROS levels (ESI Fig. 13†). Aumitin and rotenone induced ROS in HeLa cells dose dependently, however only at approximately 200-fold higher concentrations than required to inhibit autophagy (ESI Fig. 13†). This finding suggests that the induction of ROS by aumitin might not be responsible for autophagy inhibition. Additionally, the known ROS inducers l-buthionine-sulfoximine (BSO) and chlorodinitrobenzene (CDNB) as well as >600 ROS inducers identified in an in-house screen (unpublished data, ESI Fig. 14 and 15†) did not inhibit autophagy, suggesting that ROS induction per se is unlikely to lead to autophagy inhibition. This finding is also supported by a previous report from Graef and Nunnari where

---

**Table 1** Correlation of the SAR between mitochondrial respiration and autophagy. Inhibition of mitochondrial respiration was tested in a Seahorse XFe96 Analyzer by means of OCR in HeLa cells and MCF7 cells. Data is mean ± SD; n = 3. Inactive – no inhibition at 10 μM. Data is mean ± SD; n ≥ 3.

| Entry Number | R¹ | R² | Mitochondrial respiration inhibition | Autophagy inhibition |
|--------------|----|----|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1            | Aumitin (1) | [Image] | HeLa cells (IC₅₀ [μM]) | MCF7 cells (IC₅₀ [μM]) | Starvation (IC₅₀ [μM]) | Rapamycin (IC₅₀ [μM]) |
| 2            | [Image] | [Image] | 0.11 ± 0.21 | 0.44 ± 0.11 | 0.12 ± 0.07 | 0.24 ± 0.20 |
| 3            | [Image] | [Image] | 0.92 ± 0.15 | 1.78 ± 0.15 | 0.80 ± 0.30 | 0.81 ± 0.68 |
| 4            | [Image] | [Image] | 0.38 ± 0.13 | 0.65 ± 0.09 | 0.81 ± 0.16 | 0.29 ± 0.18 |
| 5            | [Image] | [Image] | 0.66 ± 0.08 | 1.32 ± 0.06 | 0.97 ± 0.47 | 0.16 ± 0.08 |
| 6            | [Image] | [Image] | 1.23 ± 0.16 | 8.21 ± 0.05 | 1.6 ± 0.60 | 4.1 ± 3.0 |
| 7            | [Image] | [Image] | Inactive | Inactive | Inactive | Inactive |
Table 2  Examination of inhibitors of mitochondrial respiration on starvation- and rapamycin-induced autophagy. Inactive = no inhibition at >10 μM. Data is mean ± SD, n ≥ 3

| Entry | Compound name (number) | Structure | Protein target | Starvation IC₅₀ [nM] | Rapamycin IC₅₀ [nM] |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| 1     | Aumitin (1)             | ![Structure 1](image1.png) | Complex I      | 124 ± 70             | 244 ± 200           |
| 2     | Rotenone (7)            | ![Structure 2](image2.png) | Complex I      | 3 ± 1                | 9 ± 2               |
| 3     | BAY 87-2243 (8)         | ![Structure 3](image3.png) | Complex I      | <3                   | nd                  |
| 4     | Antimycin A (9)         | ![Structure 4](image4.png) | Complex III    | <3                   | 6 ± 0.7             |
overexpression of superoxide dismutase 1 or 2 (SOD) did not rescue the autophagic response in yeast treated with antimycin. They also showed that autophagy gene induction is negatively regulated by Protein Kinase A (PKA), which is activated by the inhibition of mitochondrial respiration. The exact mechanism by which mitochondrial respiration signals to PKA still remains to be elucidated.

In summary, we have discovered aumitin, a new tool compound for interrogating autophagy and mitochondrial respiration by means of complex I inhibition.

---

Table 2 (Contd.)

| Entry | Compound name (number) | Structure | Protein target | Starvation IC$_{50}$ [nM] | Rapamycin IC$_{50}$ [nM] |
|-------|------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 5     | Myxothiazol (10)       |           | Complex III    | 35 ± 6                    | 19 ± 3                   |
| 6     | Oligomycin A (11)      |           | Complex V, ATP synthase | <3           | 7 ± 0.6             |
| 7     | FCCP (12)              |           | De-couples the electrochemical gradient | na (please refer to ESI Fig. 13) | 643 ± 40          |
| 8     | Atractyloside (13)     |           | ADP/ATP translocase | Inactive | Inactive            |

---
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investigated diverse inhibitors of mitochondrial respiration and shown that, irrespective of their mode of action and mitochondrial target complex, they appear to be potent autophagy inhibitors. Aumitin is a useful alternative to rotenone, and defines a novel chemotype for complex I inhibition. Rotenone has been used extensively as a chemical tool compound for the study of various pathways and for investigation of Parkinson’s disease. However, rotenone also appears to have activity independent of mitochondrial complex I inhibition. Thus aumitin will be useful as a tool compound to further study the role of mitochondrial respiration and complex I in (patho)physiological processes.

Statement of contributions

L. R. carried out the synthesis, the SAR analysis and autophagy validation experiments. Y. F. and H. A. carried out the mitochondrial respiration studies. G. K. carried out the confocal microscopy experiments. J. W. carried out the ROS experiments. Z. W. and L. L. carried out autophagy validation experiments. N. W. and H. O. supervised the mitochondrial work and analysed data. Y. W. supervised autophagy experiments. L. L. and H. W. coordinated and supervised the project. L. R., L. L. and H. W. wrote the paper, with suggestions from all co-authors.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Max-Planck-Gesellschaft. L. R. is grateful to the Boehringer Ingelheim Fonds for a fellowship. L. L. is grateful to the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for a fellowship. Y.-W. W. acknowledges funding from European Research Council (ChemBioAP) and Behrens-Weise-Stiftung. We thank Sharon Tooze for the gift of WIPI2 cells. We are grateful to Konstanze Winkloher for valuable advice.

References

1 D. C. Rubinsztein, P. Codogno and B. Levine, Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery, 2012, 11, 709.
2 B. Levine and G. Kroemer, Cell, 2008, 132, 27.
3 (a) K. Degenhardt, R. Mathew, B. Beaudoin, K. Bray, D. Anderson, G. Chen, C. Mukherjee, Y. Shi, C. Gelinas, Y. Fan, D. A. Nelson, S. Jin and E. White, Cancer Cell, 2006, 10, 51; (b) R. Mathew, C. M. Karp, B. Beaudoin, N. Vuong, G. Chen, H.-Y. Chen, K. Bray, A. Reddy, G. Bhanot, C. Gelinas, R. S. Dipaola, V. Karantza-Waddsworth and E. White, Cell, 2009, 137, 1062.
4 P. Boya, F. Reggiori and P. Codogno, Nat. Cell Biol., 2013, 15, 1017.
5 D. J. Klionsky, Science, 2000, 290, 1717.
6 (a) L. Galluzzi, F. Pietrocola, B. Levine and G. Kroemer, Cell, 2014, 159, 1263; (b) J. D. Rabinowitz and E. White, Science, 2010, 330, 1344; (c) R. C. Russell, H.-X. Yuan and K.-L. Guan, Cell Res., 2014, 24, 42.
7 J. Lee, S. Giordano and N. Zhang, Biochem. J., 2012, 441, 523.
8 K. Okamoto and N. Kondo-Okamoto, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 2012, 1820, 595.
9 (a) A. M. Pickrell and R. J. Youle, Neuron, 2015, 85, 257; (b) A. J. Whitworth and L. J. Pallanck, Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev., 2017, 44, 47.
10 (a) X. Ma, M. Jin, Y. Cai, H. Xia, K. Long, J. Liu, Q. Yu and J. Yuan, Chem. Biol., 2011, 18, 1474; (b) C.-J. Lin, C.-C. Lee, Y.-L. Shih, C.-H. Lin, S.-H. Wang, T.-H. Chen and C.-M. Shih, PLoS One, 2012, 7, e38706.
11 B. J. Mader, V. N. Pivtoraiok, H. M. Flippo, B. J. Klocke, K. A. Roth, L. R. Mangieri and J. J. Shacka, ACS Chem. Neurosci., 2012, 3, 1063.
12 M. Graef and J. Nunnari, EMBO J., 2011, 30, 2101.
13 S. Giordano, M. Dodson, S. Ravi, M. Redmann, X. Ouyang, V. M. Darley Usmar and J. Zhang, J. Neurochem., 2014, 131, 625.
14 R. K. Dagda, T. Das Banerjee and E. Janda, Int. J. Mol. Sci., 2013, 14, 22163.
15 Y. Chen, E. McMillan-Ward, J. Kong, S. J. Israels and S. B. Gibson, J. Cell Sci., 2007, 120, 4155.
16 (a) N. D. Georgakopoulos, G. Wells and M. Campanella, Nat. Chem. Biol., 2017, 13, 136; (b) L. Galluzzi, J. M. Bravo-San Pedro, B. Levine, D. R. Green and G. Kroemer, Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery, 2017, 16, 487–511.
17 L. Robke, L. Laraia, M. A. Carnero Corrales, G. Konstantinidis, M. Muroi, A. Richters, M. Winzker, T. Engbring, S. Tomassi, N. Watanabe, H. Osada, D. Rauh, H. Waldmann, Y.-W. Wu and J. Engel, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 8153.
18 D. J. Klionsky, et al., Autophagy, 2016, 12, 1.
19 S. Kimura, T. Noda and T. Yoshimori, Autophagy, 2014, 3, 452.
20 (a) J. Liu, H. Xia, M. Kim, L. Xu, Y. Li, L. Zhang, Y. Cai, H. V. Norberg, T. Zhang, T. Furuya, M. Jin, Z. Zhu, H. Wang, J. Yu, Y. Li, Y. Hao, A. Choi, H. Ke, D. Ma and J. Yuan, Cell, 2011, 147, 223; (b) L. Laraia, K. Ohswaha, G. Konstantinidis, L. Robke, Y.-W. Wu, K. Kumar and H. Waldmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 2145.
21 (a) Z. Huang, Q. Zhang, L. Yan, G. Zhong, L. Zhang, X. Tan and Y. Wang, Biogeo. Med. Chem. Lett., 2016, 26, 1954; (b) H. R. Lawrence, M. P. Martin, Y. Luo, R. Pireddu, H. Yang, H. Gevairiya, S. Ozcan, J.-Y. Zhu, R. Kendig, M. Rodriguez, R. Elias, J. Q. Cheng, S. M. Sbti, E. Schonbrunn and N. J. Lawrence, J. Med. Chem., 2012, 55, 7392.
22 N. Yamamoto, T. Sato, K. Kawasaki, S. Muroskali and Y. Yamamoto, Anal. Biochem., 2006, 351, 139.
23 J. K. Salabei, A. A. Gibb and B. G. Hill, Nat. Protoc., 2014, 9, 421.
24 N. Xiong, J. Xiong, M. Jia, L. Liu, X. Zhang, Z. Chen, J. Huang, Z. Zhang, L. Hou, Z. Luo, D. Ghoorah, Z. Lin and T. Wang, Behav. Brain Funct., 2013, 9, 13.
25 L. Schockel, A. Glasauer, F. Basit, K. Bitschar, H. Truong, G. Erdmann, C. Algire, A. Hagebarth, P. H. Willems,
C. Kopitz, W. J. Koopman and M. Heroult, Cancer Metab., 2015, 3, 11.

26 P. Ellinghaus, I. Heisler, K. Unterschemmann, M. Haerter, H. Beck, S. Greschat, A. Ehrmann, H. Sumner, I. Flamme, F. Oehme, K. Thierauch, M. Michels, H. Hess-Stumpp and K. Ziegelbauer, Cancer Med., 2013, 2, 611.

27 M. Kalbácová, M. Vrbacký, Z. Drahota and Z. Melková, Cytometry, Part A, 2003, 52, 110.

28 (a) B. E. Steinberg, K. K. Huynh, A. Brodovitch, S. Jabs, T. Stauber, T. J. Jentsch and S. Grinstein, J. Cell Biol., 2010, 189, 1171; (b) A. V. Berezhnov, M. P. M. Soutar, E. I. Fedotova, M. S. Frolova, H. Plun-Favreau, V. P. Zinchenko and A. Y. Abramov, J. Biol. Chem., 2016, 291, 8701.

29 Y. Chen, E. McMillan-Ward, J. Kong, S. J. Israels and S. B. Gibson, J. Cell Sci., 2007, 120, 4155.

30 (a) B. R. Brinkley, S. S. Barham, S. C. Barranco and G. M. Fuller, Exp. Cell Res., 1974, 85, 41; (b) S. Grefte, J. A. L. Wagenaars, R. Jansen, P. H. G. M. Willems and W. J. H. Koopman, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 2015, 1853, 1606.