Removal of styrene by the synthesized ZnO nanoparticles coated on the activated carbon adsorbent in the presence of UV irradiation
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Abstract

Background: Volatile organic compounds are the major environmental pollutants causing adverse effects on the human health and the environment, therefore, tremendous effort has been put toward eliminating these compounds.

Methods: In this study, the effect of synthesized nanoparticles on the removal of styrene from gas phase by photocatalytic process under UV irradiation in the cylindrical photoreactor was studied. The activated carbon-zinc oxide (AC-ZnO) catalysts were prepared at different weight ratios (6%, 12%, and 18%) of ZnO. The prepared catalyst was characterized using X-ray diffraction (XRD), field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM), and Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) analyses. The effects of various parameters, such as concentrations of styrene, various weight percentage (wt%) of nanoparticles, and UV irradiation, were investigated. The efficiency of the AC-ZnO catalyst was determined based on its adsorption capacity, breakthrough time, and removal efficiency.

Results: It was revealed that the photocatalytic removal efficiency of styrene was high in the presence of both ZnO nanoparticle and AC under UV light. Under optimal conditions, the efficiency of UV/AC-ZnO 18%, UV/AC-ZnO 12%, and UV/AC-ZnO 6% catalysts was 77%, 86%, and 83%, respectively. By increasing the concentration of input styrene, the photocatalytic removal efficiency was reduced, while the adsorption capacity of styrene increased.

Conclusion: According to the results, the AC-ZnO 12% exhibited higher activity compared to other photocatalysts. Also, the amount of stabilized ZnO nanoparticles on the activated carbon affects the elimination rate of styrene.
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Introduction

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are among the major pollutants released from various industrial processes (1). Styrene is a derivative of benzene and an important organic chemicals (2). Styrene is widely used in the production of plastics, rubber, resins, etc (3,4). Exposure to styrene can occur via inhalation or skin contact (5). Research has shown that the highest exposures of human to styrene occurs in fiberglass and plastic manufacturing industries (5,6). Exposure to styrene can cause skin inflammation, eye and nose irritation, and breathing problems. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has announced that styrene is possibly carcinogenic for human (7,8).
With the development of industry and the wide range of application of VOCs, researchers are looking for scientifically principled ways for removing these compounds. Nowadays, researchers have focused on the photocatalytic oxidation (PCO) technique in this regard (9). This technique is the most common method for removing VOCs, which commonly uses nanosemiconductor catalysts and ultraviolet (UV) light to convert organic compounds into harmless inorganic ones, such as CO$_2$ and H$_2$O (10-12).

Among various semiconductors, titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) has been thoroughly investigated as an effective photocatalyst (13,14). However, zinc oxide (ZnO) can be considered as a very promising alternative since it is cost-effective and possesses a similar bandgap (~3.3eV) (15,16). Some studies have confirmed that ZnO exhibits a more efficient performance against TiO$_2$ in the photodegradation of certain compounds in aqueous solutions, such as bleach pulp, phenylphenol, and phenol. Also, ZnO is abundant, not hazardous, and cost-effective (16,17).

Despite the positive attributes of these photocatalysts, poor adsorption of semiconductors (due to their small surface area) limits their application. To overcome this limitation, several attempts have been made to improve the efficiency of photocatalysts using suitable supports. In the last decade, nanoparticles and adsorbent surfaces as substrates have been simultaneously used for enhancing the removal efficiency of organic pollutants (18,19). In fact, by using suitable adsorbent surface areas and transmitting metal oxides next to one another, their adsorption capacity and ability of removing contaminants from the fluid flow can be simultaneously used (18).

Among various substrates, such as activated charcoal, zeolite, and cellulose, activated carbon has been widely used due to its high adsorption level, high porosity, non-polarity, low cost, and availability (20,21). Activated carbon has a large specific surface area (735.60 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) (22), and as a result, it is highly porous while having a high adsorption capacity and the ability to reactivate a material. For this reason, activated carbon is considered as a unique substrate (23,24). It seems that by using activated carbon adsorbents and transition metal oxides simultaneously, the adsorption capacities of activated carbon and the ability of metal oxide catalysts in removing contaminants from the fluid flow is enhanced (18).

This study aimed to assess the performance of the synthesized ZnO nanoparticles coated on the activated carbon (AC), in the removal of styrene from contaminated air streams. All the experiments were conducted at ambient temperature (~26±1°C). The experiments were set-up and run at Ahvaz chemical agents laboratory, Iran.

**Materials and Methods**

**Chemicals**
The activated carbon used in this study was obtained from Merck Germany, with a particles diameter of 0.5–1 mm and a specific surface area of 735.6 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$. Styrene with a purity over 99.98%, zinc nitrate 6 hydrate (Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O), and hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) were purchased from Merck Germany. Other necessary materials, such as hydrochloric acid and ethanol, were also obtained from Merck, Germany.

**Equipment**
The prepared catalysts were characterized using X-ray diffraction (XRD), field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM), and Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) test. The crystal phases of the prepared ZnO and AC-ZnO catalysts were analyzed using XRD (X-PERT PRO MPD instrument, λ=1.5418 A° and θ=4-80°) at room temperature. The specific surface area of ZnO, AC, and AC with different weight percentages of ZnO was determined using a Quantachrome ChemBET instrument (Micromeritics Instrument Corporation, TriStar II 3020 version 3.02, Serial # 1375 Unit 1 Port 1). The surface morphology of the samples was analyzed using FESEM technique (MIRA3 TESCAN-XMU, manufactured by TSCAN, America).

**ZnO nanoparticle synthesis**

ZnO nanoparticles were synthesized via Sol-gel method. For this purpose, 5.76 g of zinc nitrate hexahydrate (Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O) and 0.10 g of CTAB were firstly dissolved in 50 mL of deionized water in order to obtain solution A. Solution B was obtained by dissolving 1.31 g of sodium carbonate (Na$_2$CO$_3$) in 50 mL of deionized water. Then, solution B was added dropwise to solution A under vigorous stirring. The stirring continued for 60 minutes to generate a white suspension. The produced precipitate was collected by centrifugation, filtered using a micrometer filter paper, and then, washed twice with deionized water and three times with ethanol. After washing, the precipitates were placed in an oven at 100°C overnight to be dried and later at 300°C for 2 hours to be calcined (25,26).

**Preparation of the AC-ZnO catalyst**
The AC-ZnO catalyst was prepared in weight ratios of 6%, 12%, and 18% of ZnO. First, a certain amount of ZnO was weighed and poured into a flask to prepare a uniform suspension. The suspension was then placed in an ultrasonic device for 30 minutes. Next, a certain amount of the activated carbon was added to the suspension containing nanoparticles, and shaken continuously using a shaker for 12 hours until completely mixed and the ZnO nanoparticles were stabilized on the surface and in the pores of the activated carbon. Next, the mixture was passed through a paper filter and dried at 100°C, and in order to stabilize ZnO on the activated carbon and achieve calcination, the mixture was placed in an oven at 300°C for 2 hours.
Experimental conditions

A dynamic photoreactor system was used to provide air containing styrene in desired concentrations. For the continuous system, the inlet air was pumped using a 51 W air pump at a pressure of 11.147 mm Hg (Hitachi Ltd, Japan) through a container filled with activated carbon and silica gel to remove any inlet air pollution. The air then entered into a humidification and concentration system. The system was operated at an initial styrene concentration of 20, 100, and 300 mg/L at a flow rate of 0.5 L/min. A cylindrical quartz glass reactor with a length, diameter, and thickness of 280, 20, and 2 mm, respectively, was used for full transfer of UV radiation. The inlet and outlet of the reactor were at a distance of 2 cm from both ends of the cylinder in opposite directions from each other. An 8-watt UVA lamp was located at the center of the cylinder and three 6-watt UVA lamps were located outside the cylinder. The AC-ZnO catalyst was placed within the reactor and around the inner lamp (Figure 1).

Next, the air flow containing styrene was passed through at a temperature of 25 ± 2°C, humidity of 30%, flow rate of 0.5 L/min, and at concentrations of 20, 100, and 300 mg/L. The sampling section consisted of a sampling port at the outlet as well as the inlet of the reactor. Styrene concentration in the outlet stream was monitored online by a portable photoionization VOC detector (Phocheck Tiger, model 5000, Ion Science Ltd., UK, detection limit: 1 ppb – 10 000 mg/L). The styrene was measured every 5 minutes throughout the experiment. In order to ensure the accuracy of the measured data for each sample, these concentrations were also measured using a gas chromatographer (Philips PU4410) equipped with a flame ionization detector. Removal efficiency was calculated by comparing the input concentration data (C_i) and reactor output (C_o) using Eq. (1):

\[ R = \frac{C_i - C_o}{C_i} \times 100 \]  

Results

Table 1 shows the surface area of the ZnO, AC, and AC-ZnO catalysts using BET. The surface area of the ZnO was 12.86 m²/g, which is much lower than that of AC or AC-ZnO.

Table 1. Surface area of the catalysts

| Parameter | ZnO | AC | AC-ZnO 6% | AC-ZnO 12% | AC-ZnO 18% |
|-----------|-----|----|----------|----------|----------|
| BET (m²/g) | 12.86 | 735 | 697 | 685 | 668 |

The XRD patterns of ZnO and AC-ZnO are presented in Figure 2. The XRD pattern of a pure substance is like the fingerprint of that substance. As shown in this figure, the main composed peak in the spectrum of ZnO belongs to Zn, and no peaks relating to impurities, such as hydroxide (OH), were detected, indicating that the synthesized nanoparticles are pure. Also, the XRD pattern of ZnO showed that ZnO is structurally stable and has a hexagonal wurtzite structure.

Figure 3 shows the FESEM images of AC, ZnO, and AC-ZnO.

Figure 1. Schematic of the photoreactor and analyzer.

1) Sampling port, 2) UVA lump, 3) Quartz glass cylinder, 4) Catalyst, 5) Analyzer (Phocheck Tiger)

Figure 2. XRD pattern for ZnO and AC-ZnO.

Figure 3. FESEM images of (a) Nano ZnO, (b) AC, and (c) AC-ZnO 12%.
ZnO. Based on these images, it can be concluded that the ZnO sample has a wide distribution of particle sizes ranging from 10 to 100 nm. Also, it was revealed that the nanoparticles have a spherical shape with irregular aggregations.

Elemental analysis of AC-ZnO 12% was performed using SEM-EDX. The results of this analysis are presented in Figure 4, indicating the presence of carbon, oxygen, and zinc elements in the sample, and also there was no impurities either.

The loading effect of ZnO on the photocatalytic removal process

Figures 5 and 6 show the photocatalytic removal efficiency of styrene and the effect of loading ZnO on the photocatalytic removal process at various concentrations of AC-ZnO 18%, AC-ZnO 12%, and AC-ZnO 6% catalysts. As can be seen, at concentration of 20 mg/L, when the percentage of ZnO nanoparticles increased from 6% to 12%, the removal efficiency of styrene increased from 77% to 86%. However, as the percentage of ZnO increased from 6% to 12%, the removal efficiency decreased to 83%. At concentrations of 100 and 300 mg/L, by increasing the wt% of the nanoparticles on the activated carbon from 6% to 12%, the removal efficiency increased, while by increasing the wt% of nano ZnO from 12% to 18%, the removal efficiency reduced.

The effect of UV irradiation

Figure 7 shows the effect of UV irradiation on the removal of styrene. The results showed that the stabilization of ZnO nanoparticles on the activated carbon reduced the styrene adsorption. So that styrene appeared in AC and AC-ZnO beds at time of 43.5 and 42 hours, respectively. Also, AC and AC-ZnO beds were saturated after 78 and 76 hours, respectively. The results also showed that the adsorption of styrene by the activated carbon in the presence and absence of UV irradiation was the same. But in the reactor containing AC-ZnO, when UV was on, styrene vapors were removed by photocatalytic activity of ZnO nanoparticles, and styrene appeared after 45.5 hours. Also after 75 hours, the removal efficiency of styrene was 85.75%.

The effect of styrene concentration on photocatalytic removal

Figure 8 shows the effect of styrene concentration on the photocatalytic removal efficiency of AC-ZnO 12%. By increasing the concentration of input styrene, the photocatalytic removal efficiency reduced. By increasing the input concentration from 20 to 300 mg/L, the removal efficiency reduced from 86% to 34%.

Adsorption capacity

To determine the adsorption capacity up to the
breakthrough point, the following equation was used:

\[ BC = \frac{Q \cdot C \cdot T_{bk}}{M_{adsorbent}} \]  

(2)

where \( BC \) is the mass of styrene adsorbed by the substrate (mg/g\(^{-1}\)), \( Q \) is the inflow discharge of the reactor (m\(^3\)/h), \( C \) is styrene concentration (mg/g\(^{-3}\)), and \( T_{bk} \) is the breakthrough time (h).

The calculated adsorption capacity for AC-ZnO catalyst with different percentages of 6%, 12%, and 18% are presented in Table 2.

Discussion

The results show that by increasing the amount of ZnO, the surface area of AC-ZnO decreases. In general, specific surface area a catalyst is the main factor influencing the catalyst activity (4). A wide surface area is just one of the factors contributing to the high efficiency of adsorbing pollutants, but the destruction of organic pollutants by ZnO compensates for the limited surface area, and subsequently, achieves a higher efficiency compared to the AC substrate. Pulido Melián et al showed that ZnO particles cause a reduction in the specific surface area of the activated carbon in the AC-ZnO catalyst (28). The peaks of the XRD pattern for the AC-ZnO catalyst indicated successful stabilization of the nanoparticles on the activated carbon. Although carbon is heavier than the AC-ZnO catalyst, but its peak characteristic was not observed. This could be due to the absence of a crystalline structure within carbon.

Texture and morphology of the catalysts are very important parameters that affect photocatalytic activity. FESEM images clearly showed that ZnO nanoparticles were coated on the activated carbon. Also, the images are indicators of uniform coating of photocatalyst ZnO nanoparticles on the activated carbon.

A possible explanation for reduced removal efficiency could be that by increasing ZnO nanoparticles on the activated carbon, the pores and cavities of the activated carbon (bands or agents of adsorption) get blocked, which leads to a reduction in the adsorption of styrene and a lower rate of contact between styrene and ZnO, and as a result, reduces styrene removal efficiency. The results indicated that AC-ZnO 12% in the presence of UV irradiation more effectively removed styrene because styrene adsorbed by AC in the composite AC-ZnO could then be continuously decomposed by UV in the presence of the ZnO photocatalyst on the composite. Using AC, the removal of styrene from air occurs only by adsorption, as it does not have any photoactivity. On UV/AC-ZnO catalysts, both processes, adsorption of styrene by the activated carbon and its degradation by ZnO photocatalysts, occur at the same time. Since the adsorption process of the activated carbon proceeds much faster than the degradation by photocatalysis, the removal of styrene occurs mainly by the former rather than the latter. The removal of styrene gradually increases as a result of the combination of both processes, i.e. adsorption and degradation. Therefore, in addition to the synergistic effect of ZnO loading, the findings of the present study suggest that the rate of stabilized ZnO nanoparticles on the activated carbon is effective in the photocatalytic removal. Studies have suggested that the increase of the percentage of catalyst to a certain extent could be due to an increase in the contaminant removal (29).

Various amounts of nanoparticles on the adsorbent have been reported in the literature as the optimal amounts (30-32). In a study by Kuo et al on the removal of toluene using AC-\( \text{TiO}_2 \) with different wt% of nanoparticles, it was observed that AC-\( \text{TiO}_2 \) catalyst with a \( \text{TiO}_2 \) wt% of 13%, had the highest amount of contaminant removal.

![Figure 8. The effects of concentration on the photocatalytic removal of styrene by the process of UV/AC-ZnO 12%.](image_url)

Table 2. Calculated adsorption capacities and breakthrough of UV/AC-ZnO

| Catalyst      | Concentration (mg/L) | Flow Rate (L/min\(^{-1}\)) | Breakthrough (h) | Adsorption Capacity (mg/g\(^{-1}\)) |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| AC-ZnO 6%     | 20                   | 0.5                         | 54               | 68.906                              |
|               | 100                  | 0.5                         | 13:40            | 87.198                              |
|               | 300                  | 0.5                         | 5:15             | 100.490                             |
| AC-ZnO 12%    | 20                   | 0.5                         | 52:30            | 66.992                              |
|               | 100                  | 0.5                         | 13:20            | 85.072                              |
|               | 300                  | 0.5                         | 5:10             | 98.882                              |
| AC-ZnO 18%    | 20                   | 0.5                         | 48:10            | 61.463                              |
|               | 100                  | 0.5                         | 11:15            | 71.779                              |
|               | 300                  | 0.5                         | 3:50             | 73.374                              |
but by increasing the percentage of TiO$_2$ to 16.7% or 20%, pollutant removal capacity decreased (30). Another study by Chen and Tang on the removal of trichloroethylene from the air using ZnO/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts showed that the optimal amount of the activated metal on the catalyst was 5% (31). In the other study, the optimal amount of TiO$_2$ photocatalyst on the activated carbon adsorbent was reported to be 13% (32). In the present study, the optimal amount of fixed ZnO on the activated carbon among the three weight percentages (6%, 12%, and 18%) was 12%, which was 86% efficient at a concentration of 20 mg/L. In the photocatalytic removal process, gas molecules must first be adsorbed onto the catalytic active sites, and then, be destroyed. Therefore, as far as these sites are not saturated with increasing concentrations, removal efficiency increases. However, after saturation, removal rate does not change significantly (33). Also, at high concentrations, electrons and more reactive compounds, such as OH, are required for the degradation of pollutants (13,30). Other studies also found that by increasing concentration, removal efficiency decreases. Rangkooy et al reported that by increasing the initial concentration of formaldehyde from 2.5 to 25 mg/m$^3$, the photocatalytic removal efficiency of ZnO-99BC and ZnO-95BC catalysts decreased from 53% to 26.4% and from 73% to 40%, respectively (34). Based on Table 2, it can be concluded that in all catalysts, increasing the concentration of styrene leads to a decrease in the breakthrough time and an increase in the adsorption capacity. Gangupomu et al also reported that by reducing the concentration of the input pollutant, breakthrough times increases while adsorption capacity decreases (35). Studies have shown that by increasing concentration, a larger mass of pollutant per unit of time is removed from the air flow, and as a result, breakthrough time and saturation of the adsorbent reduce (36,37). On the other hand, the ratio of the increase of pollutant molecules to the number of active sites on the catalyst surface raises. By increasing the penetration into the pores of the catalyst and the speed of propagation, adsorption occurs faster. This means that full saturation of the active sites on the surface of the adsorbent occurs in a shorter time. However, the removal efficiency of Zn 12% photocatalyst was higher than the other photocatalysts. Thus, it can be concluded that the adsorption capacity was not the sole cause of the high efficiency observed for the catalyst. Studies have shown that increasing concentration causes removal of a larger mass of styrene from the air per unit of time. Therefore, the breakpoint of the column and saturated adsorber is reduced (32,36). On the other hand, by increasing the concentration of styrene, the ratio of the number of styrene molecules to the number of active sites on the catalyst surface increases and due to the increase in the speed of propagation and penetration into the pores of the catalyst, adsorption would occur faster. As a result, complete saturation of the adsorption sites on the catalyst surface occurs within a shorter time (38). The results of this study showed that increasing input concentration leads to the faster saturation of the absorbent and hastens the breakthrough point, which is consistent with the results of other studies (39-41).

Conclusion
In this study, ZnO nanoparticles were synthesized successfully by the sol-gel method and a series of AC-ZnO photocatalysts prepared with various ZnO percentage ratios. Also, the characterization of the ZnO coated AC with a Zn weight ratio of 12% showed that the ZnO nanoparticles attached properly to the adsorbent surface. Coating ZnO on AC decreases its surface area and AC-ZnO with more ZnO ratio had a less surface area. Among various AC-ZnO catalysts, the highest removal efficiency of styrene was achieved using AC-ZnO 12% while the 6% variant had the highest adsorption capacity. A synergistic effect was also observed when ZnO and AC were simultaneously used for the photocatalytic degradation of styrene.
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