Design and Synthesis of a Lactam-Steroid Derivative and their Theoretical Interaction with a SAR-COV2
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Abstract: Several drugs have been developed for the treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) using different protocols; however, some methods use different reagents that are dangerous and require special conditions. The objective of this research was to synthesize a Lactam-steroid derivative to evaluate its theoretical interaction with SARS-CoV using at 6LU7 protein as a theoretical model. Furthermore, this interaction was carried out in a docking model using hydroxychloroquine and favipiravir as controls. The results showed that the binding energy involved in the interaction of the lactam-steroid derivative with 6LU7 protein surface was lower compared with both hydroxychloroquine and favipiravir. In conclusion, the lactam-steroid derivative could be an alternative therapeutic to treatment of SARS-CoV.
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1. Introduction

Infectious diseases are a serious health problem worldwide; some of these clinical pathologies can be produced by several virus strains [1-3]. It is noteworthy that there are drugs used as antivirals; however, some of these drugs have low activity against different virus strains [4-7] In search of new therapeutic alternatives for the treatment of virus strain, some compounds have been developed; for example, the preparation of a 1,2,3-triazole analog from 2,2-Dimethyl-6-trityloxyethyl-4,6a-dihydro-3aH-cyclopenta-[1,3]dioxol-4-ol as an antiviral agent against vaccinia virus [8]. Besides, a study showed the synthesis of N,N-(3,4-Dichlorobenzyl)-2-(3,5-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)-4-methylthiazole-5-carboxa-mide via reaction of (11).3,4-dichloro-benzylamine with 2-(3,5-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)-4-methylthiazole-5-carboxylic acid with antiviral activity against a flavivirus strain [9]. Other report has
shown the synthesis of 6-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)alkoxy]pyrimidines from diisopropyl 2-(chloroethoxy)methyl-phosphonate with antiviral activity on herpes viruses [10].

On the other hand, a series of steroid derivatives have been prepared as antiviral agents; in this way the compound 2β,3α-dihydroxy-5α-cholestan disulfate from Triethylamine–sulfur trioxide and 2β,3α-dihydroxy-5α-cholestan with antiviral activity on herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2) [11]. Furthermore, a study showed the synthesis of (22S,23S)-3β-bromo-5α,22,23-trihydroxystig-mastan-6-one from stigmasterol as vesicular stomatitis virus inhibitor [12]. Other data have shown the synthesis of (20R,22R)-3α-Butyryloxycholestane-16α,20,22-orthobutyrurate from a 20S,22Repoxide derivative, TFA, and CDCl3 as antiviral against mouse coronavirus [13]. In addition, a study showed the synthesis of Disodium 2b,3α,21-trihydroxy-(20R)-cholesta-5,24-diene 3-acetate, 2,21-disulfate via acetylation of a disulfate polyhydroxysteroid analog with pyridine to evaluate their antiviral activity against HSV-2 [14]. All these data indicate that several steroid derivatives can exert antiviral activity on some virus strain; however, the interaction with the virus surface is confusing, perhaps this phenomenon could be due to their different chemical structures. Analyzing all these data the objective of this investigation was to prepare two new steroid derivatives from both estradiol and estrone to evaluate their interaction with the SARS-CoV-2 surface using a docking model.

2. Materials and Methods

The compound 13-Methyl-2-nitro-17-oxo-7,8,9,11,12,13,14,15,16,17-decahydro-6H-cyclopenta[a]phenanthrene-3-carbaldehyde (1) was prepared using a previously method reported [15]. Besides, other compounds used in this investigation were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich Co., Ltd. The melting point for compounds was evaluated with a Finnigan Trace Gas Chromatography Polaris Q spectrometer. IR and 13C NMR spectra were determined using a Varian VXR300/5 FT NMR spectrometer at 300 MHz in CDCl3 using TMS as internal standard. EIMS spectra were obtained with a Finnigan Trace Gas Chromatography Polaris Q-Spectrometer. Elementary analysis data were acquired from a Perkin Elmer Ser. II CHNS/02400 elemental analyzer.

Synthesis of 3-hydroxy-3-[(13S)-13-methyl-2-nitro-17-oxo-7,8,9,11,12,14,15,16-octahydro-6H-cyclopenta[a]phenanthren-3-yl]propanenitrile (2).

In a round bottom flask (10 ml), compound 1 (200 mg, 0.60 mmol), n-Butyllitium (200 µl. 2.12 mmol) and actonitrile (5 ml) were stirred at room temperature for 72 h. Then, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the mixture was analyzed with a methanol:hexane:water (4:1:1) system; yielding 65% of product; m.p. 138-140 °C; IR (Vmax, cm⁻¹) 3334, 1712 and 1540; 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3-d) δH: 0.92 (s, 3H), 1.20-1.52 (m, 5H), 1.68 (broad, 1H), 1.80-2.80 (m, 9H), 3.02 (m, 1H), 3.04 (m, 1H), 3.09 (m, 1H), 3.20 (m, 1H), 4.86 (m, 1H), 7.30-8.04 (m, 2H) ppm. 13C NMR (300 Hz, CDCl3) δC: 13.82, 21.70, 25.42, 27.49, 27.52, 29.30, 31.02, 35.02, 37.20, 46.40, 48.32, 50.06, 66.96, 116.72, 123.84, 127.52, 141.50, 141.52, 145.32, 148.68, 219.70 ppm. EI-MS m/z: 368.17. Anal. Calcd. for C22H24N2O: C, 68.46; H, 6.57; N, 7.60; O, 17.37. Found: C, 68.44; H, 6.53.

Synthesis of 2-[(17S)-17-methyl-16-oxo-5-oxapentacyclo [10.7.0.04,7.013,17]nonadeca-2,4(7),8-trien-6-yl]acetonitrile (3).

In a round bottom flask (10 ml), compound 2 (200 mg, 0.54 mmol), potassium carbonate (60 mg, 0.43 mmol), and 5 ml of dimethyl sulfoxide were stirred at reflux for 12 h. Then, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and following the product was
purified via crystallization using the methanol:water (4:1) system; yielding 54% of product; m.p. 112-114 °C; IR (V<sub>max</sub>, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 2240, 1712 and 1312: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>-d) δ<sub>H</sub>: 0.90 (s, 3H), 1.20-1.92 (m, 7H), 2.00-2.80 (m, 8H), 3.12-3.30 (m, 2H), 6.56 (m, 1H), 6.80-7.08 (m, 2H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (300 Hz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ<sub>C</sub>: 13.82, 21.70, 22.74, 25.87, 27.52, 29.32, 31.50, 35.44, 37.56, 46.88, 48.11, 50.40, 74.96, 112.80, 119.16, 124.10, 125.96, 130.90, 137.62, 160.46, 220.70 ppm. EI-MS m/z: 321.17. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 78.47; H, 7.21; N, 4.36; O, 9.96. Found: C, 78.44; H, 7.18.

2.1. Synthesis of two oxime-steroids derivatives.

In a round bottom flask (10 ml), compound 3 (200 mg, 0.62 mmol), hydroxylamine (100 μl, 3.66 mmol), and 5 mL of dimethyl sulfoxide were stirred at room temperature for 72 hours. Then, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and following the crude oil was purified with column chromatography using the ethyl acetate:hexane:methanol system (1:1:3) to give the compounds 4 (dark yellow solid) and 5 (brown solid).

2-[(16E,17S)-16-hydroxyimino-17-methyl-5-oxapentacyclo[10.7.0.0<sub>2,9</sub>.0<sub>4,7</sub>.0<sub>13,17</sub>]nonadeca-2,4(7),8-trien-6-yl]acetonitrile (4)

Yielding 54% of product; m.p. 126-128 °C; IR (V<sub>max</sub>, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3332, 2240 and 1312: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>-d) δ<sub>H</sub>: 1.00 (s, 3H), 1.22-1.92 (m, 7H), 2.06-2.84 (m, 8H), 3.12-3.32 (m, 2H), 6.56 (m, 1H), 6.94-7.10 (m, 1H), 8.86 (broad, 1H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (300 Hz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ<sub>C</sub>: 16.24, 21.40, 22.74, 26.00, 27.02, 29.30, 29.42, 31.82, 32.40, 37.62, 44.54, 45.44, 53.02, 74.96, 113.88, 119.18, 124.06, 127.22, 130.92, 139.03, 160.44, 172.60 ppm. EI-MS m/z: 336.18. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O: C, 74.97; H, 7.19; N, 8.33; O, 9.51. Found: C, 74.94; H, 7.16.

2-[(16Z,17S)-16-hydroxyimino-17-methyl-5-oxapentacyclo[10.7.0.0<sub>2,9</sub>.0<sub>4,7</sub>.0<sub>13,17</sub>]nonadeca-2,4(7),8-trien-6-yl]jacte-nitrile (5)

Yielding 54% of product; m.p. 156-158 °C; IR (V<sub>max</sub>, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3332, 2240 and 1312: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>-d) δ<sub>H</sub>: 1.00 (s, 3H), 1.22-1.92 (m, 7H), 2.06-2.84 (m, 8H), 3.12-3.32 (m, 2H), 6.56 (m, 1H), 6.94-7.10 (m, 2H), 8.94 (broad, 1H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (300 Hz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ<sub>C</sub>: 16.24, 21.40, 22.74, 26.00, 27.02, 29.30, 31.82, 32.40, 37.62, 42.44, 44.54, 53.02, 74.96, 113.88, 119.18, 124.06, 127.22, 130.92, 139.03, 160.44, 172.70 ppm. EI-MS m/z: 338.18. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O: C, 74.97; H, 7.19; N, 8.33; O, 9.51. Found: C, 74.94; H, 7.16.

2.2. Preparation of a Lactam-steroid derivative.

2-[(18S)-18-methyl-17-oxo-5-oxa-16-azapentacyclo[10.8.0.0<sub>2,9</sub>.0<sub>4,7</sub>.0<sub>13,17</sub>]icosa-2,4(7),8-trien-6-yl]acetoni trile (6)

In a round bottom flask (10 ml), compound 4 (200 mg, 0.54 mmol) and 5 ml of thionyl chloride were stirred at -4 °C for 1 h. Then, a solution of potassium hydroxide (4N, 10 ml; previously heated to 90 °C) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. The crude product was extracted with chloroform and this solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and following the product was purified via crystallization using the methanol:hexane:water (3:1:1) system; yielding 54% of the product; m.p. 182-184 °C; IR (V<sub>max</sub>, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 2240, 1632 and 1312: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>-d) δ<sub>H</sub>: 0.96 (s, 3H), 1.22-1.60 (m, 3H), 1.66 (m, 1H), 1.76 (m, 1H), 1.92 (m, 1H), 2.06-2.80 (m, 7H), 3.12 (m, 1H), 3.30 (m, 1H), 3.32 (m, 1H), 3.36 (m, 1H), 6.56 (m, 1H), 6.60-7.10 (m, 2H), 7.28 (m, 1H) ppm. 22.74, 25.12, 25.44, 28.60, 28.78, 29.30, 35.22, 37.64, 39.29, 42.62, 44.09, 49.50, 74.96, 112.76, 119.16, 124.10.
128.12, 130.90, 138.54, 160.46, 182.66 ppm. El-MS m/z: 338.18. Anal. Calcd. for C_{21}H_{24}N_{2}O_{2}: C, 74.97; H, 7.19; N, 8.33; O, 9.51. Found: C, 74.93; H, 7.16.

2.3. Pharmacophore evaluation.

The 3D pharmacophore model for the Lactam-steroid derivative was determined using LigandScout 4.08 software [16, 17].

2.4. Theoretical evaluation of the interaction Lactam-steroid derivative with coronavirus SAR-COV19 (6LU7 protein).

The interaction of Lactam-steroid derivative with 6LU7 protein [18] was carried out using two Docking models, such as Chimerax and Achilles-Blind Docking Server [19, 20].

3. Results and Discussion

For several years, some compounds have been developed for the treatment of virus strains using expensive reagents, which require special conditions. Besides, the interaction of these compounds with the virus surface is confusing. In this way, in this study, two new steroid derivatives were prepared to evaluate their interaction with SARS-CoV-2 surface using a docking model as follows:

3.1. Chemicals synthesis.

3.1.1. Synthesis of a steroid-acetonitrile derivative.

There are several reports for the synthesis of nitrile derivatives using some protocols which involve different reagents such as oxzolidinones [21], Ph(OAc)_{2} [22], Tf_{2}O/NEt_{3} [23], Pt(II) [24], chloroamine-T [25] and others. Analyzing these data in this study compound 2 was prepared from a steroid-carbaldehyde derivative, n-Butyllitium, and acetonitrile (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Synthesis of an oxete-steroid derivative (3). Reagents and Conditions: i = n-Butyllitium, acetonitrile, room temperature, 72 h; ii = potassium carbonate dimethyl sulfoxide, reflux, 12 h.](image)

The mechanism involves the extraction of a proton from acetonitrile by lithium and addition of the anion to carbonyl and then the addition of a proton to oxygen to form a hydroxyl group. (Figure 2).

The $^1$H NMR spectrum from 2 showed several signals at 0.92 ppm for methyl group; at 1.20-1.52, 1.80-2.80, 3.04 and 7.30-8.04 ppm for steroid moiety; at 1.68 ppm for hydroxyl group; at 3.02 and 3.20 ppm for methylene group bound to nitrile group; at 4.86 ppm for methylene group bound to the hydroxyl group. $^{13}$C NMR spectra showed chemical shifts at 13.82 ppm for methyl group; at 21.70-25.42, 27.52-50.06 and 123.84-148.68 ppm for steroid moiety; at 27.49 ppm for methylene group bound to nitrile group; at 66.96 ppm for methylene group bound to hydroxyl group; at 116.72 ppm for nitrile group; at 219.70 ppm for ketone group. Besides, the mass spectrum from 2 showed a molecular ion (m/z) 368.17.
3.1.2. Preparation of an oxete-steroid derivative.

There are reports on the synthesis of several oxete analogs which use some reagents such as Triphenylphosphine [26] sulfuryl fluoride [27], MgBr₂ [28], fluorouracil fluorides [29] and others. Analyzing these data, in this study, an oxete-steroid derivative (3) was prepared via the intramolecular reaction of both hydroxyl and nitro groups involved in the chemical structure of 2 (Figure 1). The ¹H NMR spectrum from 3 showed several signals at 0.92 ppm for methyl group; at 0.90 ppm for methyl group; at 1.20-2.80 and 6.80-7.08 ppm for steroid moiety; at 3.12-3.80 ppm for methylene group bound to both oxete ring and nitrile group; at 6.56 ppm for oxete ring; ¹³C NMR spectra showed chemical shifts at 13.82 ppm for methyl group; at 21.70, 25.87-50.40, 112.80 and 124.10-160.46 ppm for steroid moiety; at 22.74 ppm for methylene bound to both oxete ring and nitrile group; at 74.96 ppm for oxete ring; at 119.16 ppm for nitrile group; at 220.70 ppm for ketone group. Finally, the mass spectrum from 3 showed a molecular ion (m/z) 321.17.

3.1.3. Preparation of two oxime-steroid derivatives.

Several protocols use some reagents such as Ru(III) [30], K₃PO₄ [31] [bmIm]OH, [32], and CuSO₄ [33] and others for preparation of oxime analogs. In this investigation, two oxime-steroid derivatives (compounds 4 or 5) were prepared from 3 and hydroxylamine in the presence of dimethyl sulfoxide (Figure 3). It is noteworthy that separation of the oxime-steroid derivatives was carried out on column chromatography using the ethyl acetate:hexane system; the results showed that yield for 4 of 55% was higher compared to 5 (8%).

Figure 3. Synthesis of a Lactam-steroid derivative. Reagents and Conditions: iii = hydroxylamine, dimethyl sulfoxide, room temperature, 72 h; iv = thionyl chloride, -4 °C, 1 h, potassium hydroxide room temperature, 12 h.
The $^1$H NMR spectrum from $4$ showed several signals at 1.00 ppm for methyl group; at 1.22-2.84 and 6.94-7.10 ppm for steroid moiety; at 3.12-3.32 ppm for methylene group bound to both nitrile group and oxete ring; at 6.56 ppm for oxete ring; at 8.86 ppm for a hydroxyl group. $^{13}$C NMR spectra display chemical shifts at 16.24 ppm for methyl group; at 21.40-26.00, 53.02, 113.88 and 124.06-160.44 ppm for steroid moiety; at 22.74 ppm for methylene bound to both nitrile group and oxete ring; at 74.96 ppm for oxete ring; at 119.18 ppm for nitrile group; at 172.60 ppm for oxime group. In addition, the mass spectrum from $4$ showed a molecular ion (m/z) 338.18.

On the other hand, The $^1$H NMR spectrum from $5$ showed several signals at 1.00 ppm for methyl group; at 1.22-2.84 and 6.94-7.10 ppm for steroid moiety; at 3.12-3.32 ppm for methylene bound to both nitrile group and oxete ring; at 6.56 ppm for oxete ring; at 8.94 ppm for a hydroxyl group. $^{13}$C NMR spectra display chemical shifts at 16.24 ppm for methyl group; at 21.40-26.00, 53.02, 113.96 and 124.06-160.44 ppm for steroid moiety; at 22.74 ppm for methylene bound to both nitrile group and oxete ring; at 74.96 ppm for oxete ring; at 119.18 ppm for nitrile group; at 172.70 ppm for oxime group. Besides, the mass spectrum from $5$ showed a molecular ion (m/z) 338.18.

3.1.4. Preparation of a Lactam-steroid derivative.

There are several reports to the synthesis of lactam analogs using some reagents such as carbodiimide derivatives [34] SnCl$_4$ [35], Ag$_2$O [36], Benzoylamides [37], and others. In this investigation, compound $6$ was prepared from $4$, thionyl chloride in middle conditions. The $^1$H NMR spectrum from $6$ was prepared several signals at 0.96 ppm for methyl; at 1.22-1.60, 1.76, 2.06-2.80 and 6.60-7.40 ppm for 1,2,3,4,4a,9,10,10a-Octahydro-phenanthrene system; at 1.66, 1.92, 3.30, 3.36 and 7.28 ppm for Piperidin-2-one ring; at 3.12 and 3.32 ppm for methylene bound to both nitrile group and oxete ring; at 6.56 ppm for oxete ring. $^{13}$C NMR spectra display chemical shifts at 22.74 for methylene group bound to both nitrile group and oxete ring; at 25.12 ppm for methyl group; at 25.44-28.60, 29.30-39.29, 44.09-49.50, 118.76, 124.10-160.46 ppm for 1,2,3,4,4a,9,10,10a-Octahydro-phenanthrene system; at 28.78 and 42.62 ppm for Piperidin-2-one ring; at 74.96 ppm for oxete ring; at 119.16 ppm for nitrile group; at 182.66 ppm for ketone group. Finally, the mass spectrum from $6$ showed a molecular ion (m/z) 338.18.

3.1.5. Pharmacophore ligand model.

Several chemical models have been used to determine the three-dimensional orientation adopted by the functional groups of a molecule to predict its interaction with several biomolecules [26]; for example, the use of a pharmacophore model which can furnish a new insight to design novel molecules that can enhance or inhibit the function of a biological target which can be useful in new drug discovery. Analyzing this premise in this study, the LigandScout software [10,11] was used to develop a pharmacophore model for compound Lactam-steroid derivative (Figures 4 and 5). The results showed that functional groups involved in these compounds could interact via hydrophobic contacts or as hydrogen bond acceptors or as hydrogen bond donor with some biomolecules.
3.1.6. Interaction theoretical.

Some studies have used to predict the interaction of several drugs with different biomolecules using some theoretical models [38-40]. This investigation was carried out a theoretical analysis on the interaction of Lactam-steroid derivative with coronavirus (6UL7 protein) using both hydroxychloroquine and favipiravir as controls in two Docking models such as Chimerax and Achilles-Blind Docking Server (Figure 5). The results (Tables 1-6) showed differences in the interaction of either hydroxychloroquine, favipiravir, and Lactam-steroid derivative with 6LU7 protein surface.

**Table 1.** Hydrogen bonds of Lactam-steroid derivative with SAR-COCID2 (6LU7).

| Aminoacid residue | Distance H-A | Distance D-A | Don angle |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|
| His137            | 2.30         | 3.16         | 139.61    |
| Glu290            | 3.29         | 4.03         | 137.58    |

**Table 2.** Hydrophobic bonds of Lactam-steroid derivative with SAR-COCID2 (6LU7).

| Aminoacid residue | Distance |
|-------------------|----------|
| Leu272            | 3.77     |
| Leu286            | 3.84     |
| Leu287            | 3.89     |
Table 3. Hydrogen bonds of Favipiravir with SAR-COCID2 (6LU7).

| Aminoacid residue | Distance H-A | Distance D-A | Don angle |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|
| Gln110            | 1.96         | 2.91         | 153.68    |
| Thr111            | 3.13         | 3.89         | 132.10    |
| Gln127            | 3.45         | 4.02         | 117.00    |
| Asp151            | 3.51         | 3.88         | 103.68    |
| Thr282            | 2.19         | 3.04         | 148.46    |
| Asp295            | 3.14         | 4.03         | 147.54    |

Table 4. Hydrophobic bonds of Favipiravir derivative with SAR-COCID2 (6LU7).

| Aminoacid residue | Distance |
|-------------------|----------|
| Phe294            | 3.49     |

Table 5. Hydrogen bonds of Hydroxychloroquine with SAR-COCID2 (6LU7).

| Aminoacid residue | Distance H-A | Distance D-A | Don angle |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|
| Asp153            | 2.68         | 3.15         | 112.18    |
| Ser158            | 2.23         | 3.16         | 165.98    |

Table 6. Hydrophobic bonds of Favipiravir derivative with SAR-COCID2 (6LU7).

| Aminoacid residue | Distance |
|-------------------|----------|
| Phe8              | 3.91     |
| Val104            | 3.55     |
| Gln110            | 3.83     |
| Phe294            | 3.64     |

3.1.7. Binding energy.

To evaluate the binding energy involved in the interaction of compounds Lactam-steroid, Hydroxychloroquine, and Favipiravir, the Achilles-Blind Docking Server was used. The results showed low binding energy for Lactam-steroid derivative compared with both compounds Hydroxychloroquine and Favipiravir (Table 7 and Figure 6). This phenomenon could be due to differences in the chemical structure of each compound.

Table 7. Binding energy of compounds Hydroxychloroquine, Favipiravir, and Lactam-steroid derivative involved with the interaction of SAR-COCID2 (6LU7).

| Compound           | Binding energy |
|--------------------|----------------|
| Favipiravir        | -5.30          |
| Hydroxychloroquine | -5.70          |
| Lactam-derivative  | -7.60          |

Figure 6. Binding energy involved in the interaction of Lactam-steroid derivative with 6LU7 protein surface using Achilles-Blind Docking Server.
4. Conclusions

In this study, facile synthesis of a Lactam-steroid derivative using some chemical strategies is reported. Besides, Theoretical analysis of the interaction of Lactam-steroid derivative with 6LU7 protein surface showed binding energy lower compared to binding values for both hydroxychloroquine and favipiravir. In conclusion, the lactam-steroid derivative could be an alternative therapeutic to treatment of SARS-CoV.
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