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Abstract: For millennia, jade has been valued in many cultures in Chinese archaeology. The favoured types and sources of jade have changed over time, as has our knowledge of the stones themselves. One of the greatest problems in dealing with archaeological jades is the correct identification of the stones in order to trace their source and thereby understand the social relations underlying their patterns of procurement, production, and consumption. This paper examines the problems of identification and sourcing of Chinese archaeological jades from a worldwide point of view, dissecting terminological problems arising from mineralogy and rock geochemistry, and explicitly identifying the geological constraints on the formation of nephrite and jadeite. In particular, the role of plate tectonics in determining the occurrence of jade provides an overarching perspective on where in China jade sources might occur and how nephrite might be mined and distributed, together with its associated rocks and minerals. The latter associations are equally important to this jade sourcing endeavour.
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INTRODUCTION

The first problem encountered in discussing ‘jade’ is defining what we mean by this term. It is commonly used to translate the Chinese word yu (玉), traditionally designating ‘beautiful stones’ worthy of fashioning into ritual objects and personal ornaments. The most highly prized stones have been scientifically identified as either the rock called ‘nephrite’, obtainable in China, or the mineral ‘jadeite’, which was imported into China after 1784 from Burma (Myanmar). However, a whole series of other kinds of rocks and minerals have come under the jade/yu umbrella through time, so that these words do not designate a specific kind of stone but more a ‘valuable
stone'. In this paper, ‘jade’ is used in its umbrella function, while nephrite and jadeite are designated ‘true jades’.¹ The mineralogy of these will be discussed at length below, but it would be a mistake to dismiss the myriad types of rocks and minerals referenced by other terms such as ‘false jades’, ‘hemi-jades’, ‘pseudo-jades’, and jade imitations. Many such ornamental stones are included as jade/yu, and they often occur together with true jades in their geological setting. Thus, all of these false and true jades need to be dealt with together to understand their procurement, processing, and distribution.

This paper is only a first step in reaching that goal. It is divided into four parts: first, discussing the mineralogy of true jades and how they occur in the archaeological record; second, looking at the mainly metamorphic processes necessary for nephrite formation; third, pulling back to examine the large-scale tectonic processes that determine where nephrite will form; and finally, offering specific case studies of known nephrite and other jade sources in China together with mineralogical analyses of raw materials and artefacts. The remainder of this introduction will provide a necessarily brief overview of jade in East Asia now and then.

**Jade generally in East Asia**

In 2010, the highly valued ‘mutton fat’ jade (yangzhiyu羊脂玉) was selling for more than gold, at US$3,000 per ounce.² This put new pressures on the source of this milky white true jade in China’s western Xinjiang province, where raw jade cobbles have been traditionally collected from the appropriately named White Jade River and Black Jade River—translations of Yurungkash and Karakash, respectively, in the local Uyghur language. The Hetian area (aka Yutian, Khotan), located along one branch of the Silk Road in western China, is the major historical source for a variety of jades, utilised in the Shang through Han periods (2nd millennium BC into the 1st millennium AD) and then again from the mid-18th century, when actual mining of jade in the mountains began.³ Between the Tang and Qing Dynasties (8th to 19th centuries), Manas jade from northern Xinjiang province was dominant.⁴

However, Hetian is only one among several sources of nephrite, the most common form of true jade. Jades from these sources were joined in late-19th-century China by Canadian nephrite; sources of nephrite in British Columbia were first discovered by Chinese gold rush miners in the 1850s, and some of the top-quality materials sent to

¹ Keverne (1995), Middleton & Ambers (2005: 403). Thus, it is important *not* to assume that jade = ‘true jade’.
² Jacobs (2010).
³ Lin (2007).
⁴ Manas Uyghur spelling = Manasi Chinese spelling. Wang S. (2011: Table 3).
China were incorporated into the imperial collections. British Columbia is now the top producer of nephrite in the world. Importation of white and green nephrite from the Eastern Sayan Mountains of Siberia into China began in 2005; because these deposits are similar to those of Hetian, some of the Sayan white jade is valued as highly as local ‘mutton fat’ jade. Sayan jade was originally traded in through Heilongjiang province in the far northeast—at the opposite end of the country from Hetian—facilitated between China and Russia by the Nerchinsk Treaty of 1689. There is a tendency now to refer to any white mutton fat nephrite as Hetian jade, regardless of where it is from. Turning to Taiwan, Fengtian has always produced a considerable amount of nephrite, most of which was traded to the south and supplied Southeast Asia rather than Mainland China with precious stones. However, demand was such that post-war Taiwan imported much British Columbian nephrite—until the PRC (People’s Republic of China) markets opened up in 1986 and claimed most of the Canadian exports.

The rarer form of the jade mineral, jadeite, was known in Japan as early as the 6th millennium BC among Jomon-period forager–horticulturalists. The major sources are the Ōmi and Kotaki Rivers, in Itoigawa City, Niigata Prefecture, which carry jade cobbles to the edge of the Japan Sea where they could be collected in the rivers and on the ‘Jade Coast’—much like the riverbeds of Hetian. Itoigawa jade again became popular in the Late Yayoi through Kofun periods of state formation between the 3rd and 6th centuries AD. The jadeite curved bead became one of the three imperial insignias of the Japanese emperor, along with the bronze mirror and sword—still playing a role in modern accession ceremonies. Similar curved beads were popular in the Bronze Age of the Korean Peninsula, though most were made of amazonite. However, rulers of the Silla Kingdom in the 6th century AD procured Itoigawa jade from the Japanese Islands for curved-bead pendants on their gold crowns. Interestingly, Japanese jadeite was never exported farther west than the Korean Peninsula, and China had to wait until the 18th century to obtain a supply from Burma.

Burmese jade was discovered in the 13th century, and some trickled into China, but it was commercially imported only after 1784. The Uyu (Uru) and Iwa River valleys and particularly Hpakan village form the centre of the Jade Tract, where jade
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5 Hsu et al. (2015).
6 Iizuka (2012).
7 Hsu et al. (2015).
8 Anon (2006).
9 Hung (2006, 2007).
10 Hsu et al. (2015).
11 Bausch (2003).
12 Hughes et al. (2000).
cobblestones are dug out from alluvial gravels or conglomerate, or quarried from jade dikes in serpentinite.\(^\text{13}\) In particular, the high-chromium content jadeite that polished to ‘kingfisher’ translucence was a palace favourite in China and was accordingly often referred to as ‘imperial jade’.

Thus, although two sources of jadeite existed near the China Mainland, neither was utilised in the prehistoric or early historic periods of China. One of the most prolific sources of nephrite, on Taiwan, despite supplying jade to Pacific Southeast Asia,\(^\text{14}\) was seldom if ever a source for elite consumption on the China Mainland. The preferential selection and use of different kinds of jade were obviously conditioned by communication and transport networks, so that favoured types grew out of established patterns of interaction rather than exploratory searches for new sources. Even in modern times, the Chinese have been reluctant to import jades from new untried sources (as experienced by the Jade West Group in British Columbia).\(^\text{15}\)

**Jades in prehistoric and early dynastic cultures of China**

In early state societies on the Korean Peninsula and Japanese Islands, jadeite curved beads served as symbols of status and authority. On the China Mainland, however, nephrite became imbued with far greater social and cosmological significance. Jade objects were valued in China for several reasons: to symbolise rank, power, morality, wealth, and immortality. In the 2nd century BC, Xu Shen\(^\text{16}\) wrote of the five virtues of jade in the *Shuo Wen*: its lustre symbolised ‘charity’, its sound ‘wisdom’, its hardness ‘courage’, its smoothness ‘equity’, and its translucence ‘rectitude’.\(^\text{17}\) The physical nature of the jades in part contributed to their valuation, such as hardness, toughness, colour, and feel. Enormous amounts have been written on the roles of jade in Chinese society and the attributes for which it was valued.\(^\text{18}\) Drawing on these works, only a selective view will be offered below to establish the chronological succession, though the generalisations belie tremendous variability in jade presence and use.

**Neolithic China**

In the Neolithic periods of the China Mainland, nephrite was initially used to make slit-earrings in the Xinglongwa (Chahai) culture of the northeast ca. 6000 BC; the succeeding Hongshan culture (4500–2800 BC) used jade to fashion a variety of shapes,
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\(^{13}\) Hughes *et al.* (2000).
\(^{14}\) Hung *et al.* (2007).
\(^{15}\) Hsu *et al.* (2015).
\(^{16}\) East Asian names are given surname first, as traditional in those cultures.
\(^{17}\) Translations of virtue wordings from Wen & Jing (1992).
\(^{18}\) For example, Rawson (1995).
some understandable by analogy (eagles, turtles) and others entirely mysterious (flared cylinders or ‘cloud’ shapes). These early jades are characterised by specific jade-working techniques: string cutting with abrasives (using bamboo or leather strips or fibre cords) to cut slabs or notches; pieces of wood or bamboo plus abrasives to shape and polish; and edge bevelling. Because nephrite was more difficult to work than other silicate stones (which could be chipped then polished), the greater length of time spent in fashioning this into specific shapes made it more valuable. By Hongshan times, burials with jades are interpreted as the graves of emerging elites, and many of the objects are thought to be personal ornaments worn on the body or on clothing. The burial context combined with the shape variety implies that the jades functioned as status markers and perhaps totems.

The second great Neolithic jade culture to arise, overlapping with Hongshan, was Liangzhu (3300–2000 BC) in the Hangzhou Bay region. Jade-working began in the Beiyinyangying culture in Jiangsu province and then spread to south of Lake Tai at the emergence of the Liangzhu culture. Liangzhu jades characteristically take the shape of squared tubes with round bores (cong), flat perforated discs (bi), and flat axe shapes (yue); these are accompanied by a variety of small jades and beads. Jades were buried, often in great numbers, in graves of the elite, and there is some indication they were used in symbolic ranking of individuals. The juxtaposition of square and circle in cong composition has often been anachronistically interpreted as the square earth and circular heaven motifs common in later Chinese thought. But since Liangzhu people left no documents recording their thinking, it is probably prudent to view these items as important ritual items and status markers in the increasingly hierarchical Late Neolithic without these cosmological overlays.

Several other regional Late Neolithic cultures made use of numerous ‘jade’ objects—especially for ceremonial blades—and human forms came to be depicted in nephrite. In the 2nd millennium BC, ceremonial jades in the Sanxingdui culture of modern Sichuan province were deposited in non-funerary ritual contexts. However, in the Shang (1500–1046 BC) and Early Zhou (1046–221 BC) cultures, the Neolithic tradition of grave goods continued, with blades, particularly of halberd shape, and animal shapes becoming the main burial jades. In the Shang period, there began a
tradition of including heirloom objects along with newly fashioned, often exotic, pieces from other regions among the grave goods; some of these stimulated new creations while others were reworked or re-used in new artefactual constructions, indicating their treasured status.  

Indeed, the prevalence of simplistic animal shapes in Shang has been attributed to influence from local cultures.  

**Early dynastic China**

Shang-style jades continued into the Early (Western) Zhou period but apparently without much enthusiasm or investment, judging by their simple shapes and decoration. However, from the 9th century BC new forms and motifs appeared, some influenced by surrounding cultures. Incised surface decoration of composite and interlaced figures, particularly humans, birds, and dragons, became ubiquitous. Plaques, arcs, and beads were combined into elaborate pendants and masks worn by deceased aristocrats in their graves. Rawson speculates that these jades may have had some protective power beyond signifying rank, giving rise to the later jade suits. Inscriptions on bronze vessels inform us that jades signifying rank were initially granted by a king to a noble, but as time passed, they were exchanged among nobles often as payments in kind.

Eastern Zhou and Han witnessed the decline of some jade shapes and the resurgence of others: discs, rings, various blades, and arcs. The problematic *Zhou Li* text divides jades into ceremonial and ritual functions, but these do not seem to correspond to real assemblages. Numerous jades have been discovered archaeologically in ritual deposits, but if ‘ceremonial’ refers to jades used in ephemeral ceremonies and living displays, their roles are more difficult to assess archaeologically. Jades excavated from burials, including jade suits, continued their ornamental rank and status functions, but small-size or stone substitutes began to appear as well. Surface decoration of jades became heavily influenced by gold-working coming in from Central Asia, particularly the development of relief carving in addition to incising. Representational figures were replaced by abstract patterns ‘that reflected the light and made the jades gleam.’

These latter foreign influences included belt and weapon fittings that were then reproduced in jade.

Rawson provides an illuminating explanation of the sudden decline of jade burial goods in Late Han, citing the rise of religious Daoism and the potential for an afterlife outside the tomb—in paradise. Jades were no longer necessary to protect and
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29 This section is summarised from Rawson (1995: 45–53).
30 Rawson (1995: 50).
31 Rawson (1995: 52–3).
32 Rawson (1995: 245).
serve the deceased for continued life within the tomb, and jade objects might even have been ground up for consumption following Daoist recipes.\textsuperscript{33}

This brings to a close a brief account of jade use in early China, hardly doing justice to the rich variability across space and time. We now turn to the focus of this paper: jade definitions, compositions, formation, and sources.

**PART ONE: MINERALS AND ROCKS**

As mentioned above, many different kinds of rocks and minerals are included under the umbrella terms jade/\textit{yu}. What is the difference between a rock and a mineral? This is not such an idle question when dealing with jade, as misunderstandings begin here. Rocks are made of minerals, and minerals are composed of elements. The first section below compares the two types of true jades in terms of rock and mineral identity and characteristics; then we look at the archaeological occurrence of Chinese nephrite as well as other ornamental stones in prehistoric archaeological sites, ending with an introduction to non-nephrite rocks and minerals. The second section of Part One is devoted to a closer examination of nephrite in terms of its chemical composition, necessitating an excursion into the solid-solution chemistry of the constituent minerals. By the end of Part One, it should be fairly clear how we use the concept of jade in art and archaeological studies, and the review of true-jade chemistry will have informed us of important changes in mineralogical definitions and progress in compositional analysis since early work in this area.

**Myriad meanings of ‘jade’**

**Two types of true jade**

Researchers often state that there are two types of true jade: nephrite and jadeite, but these are not equivalent. The word ‘nephrite’ refers to a rock with the constituent minerals tremolite and/or actinolite and a specific fabric. Jadeite is a mineral that is a major constituent of the rock ‘jadeitite’. Thus, to be accurate, we should use the words nephrite and jadeitite as equivalent rock terms for ‘true jades’; these rocks contain other minerals and elements that are not represented in the ideal formulae of the jade minerals,\textsuperscript{34} and indeed, there is another category, ‘hemi-jade’, that refers to mixtures of jade minerals with other minerals to the extent that the rock is no longer considered nephrite or jadeitite. Details are forthcoming below.

\textsuperscript{33} Rawson (1995: 79).

\textsuperscript{34} ‘Ideal’ in the sense of conforming to the simple chemical formula without many minor elements.
Nephrite and jadeite are both silicate rocks (containing SiO$_2$), but their chemical compositions and constituent minerals are very different, as shown in Table 1: nephrite contains substantial amounts of calcium amphibole and hence has large amounts of calcium–Ca and substantial but variable amounts of magnesium–Mg and iron–Fe, whereas jadeite contains jadeite and hence has large amounts of aluminium–Al and sodium–Na. The chemical formulae given here for these minerals are idealised and do not include minor and trace elements that can determine colour; similarly, the constituent minerals of nephrite and jadeite do not include constituent minor and trace minerals.

The two rocks also have very different fabric. Nephrite has a silky feel, and its matted, fibrous (often called ‘felted’) nature—the interlocking of short fibres—makes it tough, i.e., difficult to break. Felting is produced in two stages: first is the crystallisation of the minerals, followed by mechanical processes which twist or intertwine the crystals under relatively high temperature (400–500°C) but low pressure.\textsuperscript{35} The crystals may be oriented in random, radial, or unidirectional patterns, each producing a specific nephritic ‘material’. Tremolite/actinolite without felting is not nephrite. Jadeite is harder than amphibole, indicated by its Mohs hardness,\textsuperscript{36} but jadeite usually has interlocking fan-shaped or blocky crystals rather than the fibrous structure that is typical of nephrite.

The greatest difference between true jades and other rocks and minerals, however, is not their appearance—as there are many beautiful stones—but the difficulty of working true jades. Not just because they are hard—quartz is even harder at

\textsuperscript{35} Campbell \textit{et al.} (2008).

\textsuperscript{36} On the hardness scale developed by Frederick Mohs in 1822 see Minsocam (2016).
Mohs 7—but because they cannot easily be formed by chipping and require specific
time-consuming and laborious fashioning by abrasion. This investment increases
their value proportionally; through time, this added value could only be afforded by
the elite.

In China, nephrite implements are known from the Late Palaeolithic, but by
Neolithic times, nephrite ornaments were dominant, though the types and quantities
of non-nephrite ornaments vary with time-period and region. Nevertheless, possess-
ion of true jades correlates with differentiation of social status through time, the
ultimate status symbol in recent history being feicui (emerald green) ‘imperial
jade’ from Burma. However, since Burmese jadeitites from Myanmar were imported
too late to have an impact on archaeological assemblages, and because Japanese jade-
itites from Itoigawa were never imported into China, any further mention of jadeitite
will be in the manner of comparative material.

Archaeological ‘jades’
As early as 1989, Wen Guang, of the Geological Research Institute in Beijing,
lamented that the traditional Chinese word for jade (yu), originally confined to
tremolite, had been broadened to the extent that it was meaningless. We can also say
the same for the English term ‘jade’, as it may refer to several ornamental stones.
From the late 1980s to early 1990s, Wen Guang and Jing Zhichun singly and together
published a series of geoarchaeological articles on jade compositions. This body of
work serves as the springboard for the present study. Wen and Jing emphasised that
the identity of the stone cannot be made precisely without investigation of its chemical
composition and microstructure. Their 1992 article analysed the compositions of 268
archaeological jades from Chinese Neolithic sites, summarised in Table 2. They
found that stones included as jade (yu) in the Neolithic archaeological reports consisted
of 21 different rocks and/or minerals in addition to true jade (nephrite).

Wen and Jing concluded from these data that true jade was dominant in the
Neolithic samples: 204 of 268 pieces (76 per cent as calculated here). Due to this
dominance, they proposed that Early Neolithic peoples in northeastern China (from
Xinle and Chahai sites, now included in the Xinglongwa culture), were already able to
distinguish true jade from other stones, as their ornaments are 100 per cent nephrite. However, the breakdown of some of the site contents showed that among the

37 Sax et al. (2004, 2008).
38 Fu (2003), Tang C. (2008).
39 Wen (1989).
40 Wen & Jing (1992).
41 Though recently other ornamental stones, chalcedony and talc, have been reported from Xinlongwa
culture sites in this area (Tang C. 2008: 265).
149 nephrite samples, 128 (86 per cent) were tremolite, 16 (11 per cent) were actinolite, and 5 were tremolite–actinolite. In a second study of 500 nephrite artefacts, percentages of tremolite alone varied upward from 65 per cent, the remainder being actinolite.

Non-nephrite ‘false jades’, ‘hemi-jades’, and ‘semi-nephrite’
Wen and Jing further distinguished two kinds of non-nephrite stones used as substitutes for true jades in later cultures, as noted in Table 2: ‘hemi-jades’ and ‘pseudo-jades’:

Pseudo-jades, they said, ‘may be found associated with nephrite in natural deposits, which might cause ancient people to treat these jade-like minerals as nephrite’. The five most common were antigorite, sericite, quartz, talc, and calcite; others named were albite, amazonite, dickite, diaspor, enstatite, fluorite, kaolinite, lizardite, magnesite, muscovite, and pyrophyllite.

Hemi-jade is a term they introduced ‘to refer to the mixture of nephrite and associated minerals’. Such associated minerals in hemi-jades are yet again different from ‘auxiliary minerals (impurities) such as magnetite and chromite’, which often give jades their varying colours. Hemi-jades included albite + tremolite, calcite +
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Table 2. Collation of Neolithic ‘jade’ artefacts by culture, period, and geography. Percentages indicate what ‘kinds’ of jade were found at various Neolithic sites.

| Years BC | Northeast cultures | East coast and inland cultures | True jade (nephrite) | Hemi-jade | Pseudo-jade | Total |
|----------|--------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|-------------|-------|
| >6000    | Chahai (Xinglongwa)| 8 100%                         |                      |           |             | 8     |
| >4000    | Hemudu             | 5 100%                         | 5                    |           |             | 5     |
|          | Majiabang          | 7 100%                         | 7                    |           |             | 7     |
|          | Beixin             | 2 100%                         | 2                    |           |             | 2     |
| >3000    | Xinle              | 15 100%                        |                      |           |             | 15    |
| >2000    | Hongshan           | 40 69%                         | 2 3%                 | 16 28%    | 58          |
|          | Songze             | 8 89%                          | 1                    | 11%       | 9           |
|          | Liangzhu           | 102 86%                        | 1 <1%                | 15 13%    | 118         |
|          | Dawenkou           | 1 100%                         |                      |           | 1           |
|          | Post-Hongshan      | 5 56%                          | 4                    | 44%       | 9           |
| >2000    | Longshan           | 25 69%                         | 4 11%                | 7 19%     | 36          |
|          |                    | 204 76%                        | 7 3%                 | 57 21%    | 268         |

Source: Based on Wen & Jing (1992: Table 1).
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42 Wen & Jing (1996).
43 Wen & Jing (1992: 258).
44 Wen & Jing (1996: 67).
45 Wen & Jing (1992).
46 Wen & Jing (1992: 255).
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tremolite, talc + tremolite, antigorite + tremolite, amazonite + tremolite, and tremolite + prehnite. The common theme here is ‘tremolite’, which is the dominant mineral in the sample of archaeological jades analysed by Wen and Jing.

Another term, ‘semi-nephrite’, has occasionally been used in the past for nephrites that span the tremolite/actinolite border; but that term now denotes ‘either massive amphibole, which lacks the felted cohesive texture, or nephritic amphibole enclosing coarser crystals’. In other words, ‘semi-nephrite’ has the same chemical composition as tremolite–actinolite but not the felted texture.

These early results can be contrasted with recent studies, reviewed by Wang Rong in 2011. Wang openly acknowledges that ancient jades in China include many ‘types’ of jade: amphibole jade (i.e., nephrite, including both tremolite and actinolite), serpentine jade, turquoise jade, agate jade, anorthite jade, zoisite jade, and others. His study covers a wider range of time periods and geographical areas, from the Neolithic to the Han period (206 BC–AD 220) across northern and eastern China. Of note are the artefacts made of serpentine and agate in collections from early Neolithic sites on the East Coast, with nephrite varying from 29 per cent to 54 per cent. Thus, it is clear that nephrite did not dominate in all Mainland Neolithic cultures. In the succeeding Liangzhu culture, nephrite rose to 93 per cent, but in the later periods and peripheral regions, nephrite decreased to between 66 per cent and 73 per cent. These figures were culled from the existing literature and so might change with rigorous sampling.

Examining the ornamental stones considered by archaeologists to be jades (yu), Wen and Jing count 20 different rocks and minerals, whereas Wang counts over 30. This corresponds to other situations around the world. New Zealand greenstones, treasured by the Maori, include nephrite (pounamu) and bowenite (a hard variety of antigorite) called tangiwai. In China, Soochow (Suzhou) jade is bowenite; it has been carved to make some of the most exquisite jade sculptures in historical and contemporary China. Two of the most common jade types today, Lantian jade and Xiuyan jade, are primarily the serpentine mineral antigorite, while lizardite, another

---

47 Wen & Jing (1992, 1996: 64).
48 Iizuka (2012).
49 Harlow & Sorenson (2005: 120, 125).
50 See Table 2A online at https://docs.google.com/document/d/12zIX8d5eg6LVAFn575jP3MqFDkJlAYdJkXL1exAEQ/edit
51 Wang, R. (2011: 674).
52 Numbers of artefacts were reported; the percentages are my calculations.
53 Wen & Jing (1996: 67), Wang, R. (2011).
54 Tennant et al. (2005).
55 Desautels (1986: 9).
56 Wang Y. et al. (2012) (but they note this might not apply to ancient Lantian jade); Liu Z. et al. (2009), Liang et al. (2012).
serpentine mineral, has recently been identified for the first time in Lantian jade. Some modern Lantian jade is also made of serpentinised marble, and a similar deposit was discovered in 2013 at Pizhou City, Jiangsu Province. This is now being called ‘Lantian jade’ even though it is not from Lantian County. These non-nephrites are different from Lantian tremolite jade, to be discussed below. Jingbai jade is actually white agate, and Dushan jade (Nanyang jade) is an aggregate of plagioclase, zoisite and hornblende. These identities in themselves do not totally devalue these materials: Cheng et al. state that stones other than nephrite ‘such as serpentine, Dushan jade, Jingbai jade, even quartz, were also artistically valued’, while Wang and Li state that ‘the five most important materials of Chinese ancient jade are amphibole, serpentine, turquoise, agate/chalcedony, and anorthite–zoisite (Dushan jade).’ Wang and Zhang list amphibole, serpentine, turquoise, and quartz varieties as the four main materials of ancient Chinese jade.

Many minerals and rocks are currently marketed as jade: both Amazon jade and Colorado jade are amazonite (microcline); others are Andes jade and Korean jade (serpentine), Australian jade (chrysoprase), Californite (massive vesuvianite); Guatemalan jade negro (omphacite–taramite), Indian and Mixian jade (aurenturine quartz), Korean jade (bowenite), Lushan Mountain jade (marble), Malaysian jade (quartz), Mexican and Shaanxi Moyu jade (calcite), New Caledonian jade (anorthite), Ophite or New jade (serpentine), Oregon or Swiss jade (green chalcedony), Pounamu (New Zealand greenstones), Rainbow jade jade lila (jadeite–pumpellyte rock), Shetaicui jade (aurenturine/dolomite/quartzite), Tangiwai (bowenite), Transvaal jade (green hydrogrossular garnet), Xiuyu jade (serpentine), prehnite, grossular garnet, agate, jasper, serpentinite, albitite, meta-basite, quartz schist … the list could go on. In contrast to Wen and Jing’s term ‘pseudo-jade’, these have also been called ‘false jades’ or ‘imitation jades’, in addition to modern plastic and glass varieties termed ‘jade simulants’. The lesson learned is that much of what is termed jade is not true jade. If it is artistically pleasing, then it has value. But if we want to know the sourcing and distribution of jades in ancient times—or if we want to be sure that a gem dealer is not

57 Wang Y. et al. (2012).
58 Cheng et al. (2004: 31, Table 1).
59 Xiao Q. et al. (2009), Wang R. & Li (2011), Zhang G. et al. (1989); sometimes called the rock ‘saussurite’ (Wen & Jing 1996).
60 Cheng et al. (2004).
61 Wang R. & Li (2011: abstract).
62 Wang R. & Zhang (2010).
63 For an interestingly long list, see Desautels (1986: Chapter 2), Walker (1991), Middleton & Freestone (1995), Gems & Gemology (1980–2010 index), Dept of Geological Sciences (2009). See http://madcatwoman-enterprises.tumblr.com/post/74776783593/jade-as-there-are-a-very-large-variety-of.
overcharging us!—then it is incumbent upon us to know what kind of rock or mineral we are dealing with. The terms ‘pseudo-jade’, ‘false jade’, and ‘imitations’ are negative in nature and likely to invite dismissal of these other rocks and minerals, but in fact, these materials can be extremely illuminating as to source areas and mining technologies. Many were exquisitely worked and included as yu. For two reasons, they should be considered together with variations in quality of the true jades: (1) they will inform on sources of raw materials, and (2) their relative distributions can be used to monitor the development of hierarchical social relations and trade routes in the archaeological record.

The problem with nephrite

Nephrite: rock and minerals

Minerals and mineral names are approved by the Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification of the IMA (International Mineralogical Association). The IMA only approves names for valid mineral species, not rocks, but it can redefine existing minerals and declassify those previously accepted but now discredited on chemical or crystallographic grounds. IMA approval of a mineral name is a recommendation that is followed by most publishers, and ideally, an unapproved name would be tagged in quotation marks. Some of these latter examples might include minerals still undergoing scientific examination. Varieties of minerals (i.e. sub-species or non-species) are not dealt with by the IMA, though many discredited minerals enter the realm of varieties.

‘Nephrite’ was once considered a mineral but was subsequently discredited as a valid mineral species; nevertheless, it is often used as a synonym of the mineral tremolite—in the sense that mica is a synonym or common name for a muscovite mineral and rock salt is a synonym or common name for the mineral halite. However, as we shall see below, nephrite is not a mineral at all: it is a rock.

Researchers in the field describe nephrite in terms of the tremolite–actinolite series. The ‘series’ here refers to solid-solution chemistry, where a mineral or minerals have a range of chemical compositions compatible with their crystal structure. The assignment of nephrite to a tremolite–actinolite series implies that actinolite is an end-member of the series, which is a mistake. Actinolite is actually the middle
member of the series ‘tremolite–actinolite–ferro-actinolite’; this series has since been renamed ‘tremolite–ferro-actinolite’, a new designation whereby only the end-members of the solid solution are given in binary nomenclature.69 Consequently, Harlow et al. revised their definition of nephrite as ‘a rock composed fundamentally of tremolite–ferro-actinolite’.70 This properly specifies the series, but it obscures the fact that there are currently no known nephrite artefacts with ferro-actinolite as the essential mineral, though it is still a possibility.71 Since the intermediate member, actinolite, is still a valid mineral name, the series will be referred to here tremolite–actinolite–ferro-actinolite (abbreviated here as TAF-a), with the understanding that most nephrite is formed from tremolite–actinolite.

Nephrite can thus be composed of mainly tremolite or actinolite or both. Nephrite colour is often used to assign a piece to one or the other mineral, but colour is not a good indicator of mineral content or composition, as we will see below. Moreover, in addition to these ‘essential minerals’, there may be minor accessory minerals present, even when the nephrite is relatively pure, as listed in Table 3. Consequently, nephrite is best considered as a rock consisting of several minerals and various other elements. The latter are both often regarded as ‘impurities’ but are better regarded as ‘bonus ingredients’, as they may be very informative. The most important elements that determine whether a calcium amphibole is tremolite or actinolite are iron and magnesium. The way these behave can be seen when examining the TAF-a solid-solution series.

---

69 George Harlow (pers. comm. 26 November 2016), conforming to Hawthorne et al. (2012). Unfortunately Harlow et al. (2014: 340) state that ‘actinolite is no longer a valid mineral species’ and that ‘tremolite [now] spans the compositions formerly termed actinolite’ (my insertion); they refer to Hawthorne et al. 2013 on this issue, but the publication in question is Hawthorne et al. (2012), which does not contain these revisions, and Hawthorne himself says that the statement above is not true (Frank Hawthorne pers. comm. by email 14 December 2016): actinolite is a valid mineral species as given in the September 2017 IMA list, as redefined in 2012.

70 Harlow et al. (2014: 340).

71 George Harlow, Yoshiyuki Iizuka, and Frank Hawthorne (pers. comm. by email, 26 November 2016 and 3 January 2017). According to Pat Daly (pers. comm 16 February 2017), the attribution of a dark-coloured zhang blade to ferro-actinolite (Casadio et al. 2007) is problematic in that EDXRF (Energy Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence) must avoid the preferred orientation of crystals and no accommodation was mentioned; also no chemical analysis was done to assess the blade composition. The authors Casadio and Douglas agree that the results might be misleading (by email 27 February 2017 and 7 March 2017).
Nephrite incorporating solid-solution minerals

‘Jade is a complex material and a comprehensive account of its composition, properties and occurrence would require a mineralogical textbook.’\(^{72}\)

This paper does not purport to be a textbook, but considerable background information in geochemistry, metamorphic petrology, and plate tectonics is critical to understanding the genesis and nature of jade in China. I will try to make this excursion into chemical issues brief but useful, while acknowledging my shallow expertise and the challenges of constantly changing understanding and interpretation.

The TAF-a series belongs to calcium amphibole minerals, as seen in Table 4,\(^{73}\) based on calcium–Ca, hence the ‘calcium’, and their crystal structure (\(C2/m\)). The IMA-approved minerals of the TAF-a series have a silicate component \(\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{22}\) and they contain a hydroxide (OH)\(_2\) component. Elements that distinguish them are the relative proportions of magnesium–Mg and ferrous iron \(\text{Fe}^{2+}\) also written as iron(II). In Table 4, the end-member formula for tremolite excludes all iron; however, this is

\(^{72}\) Middleton & Freestone (1995: 413).

\(^{73}\) F-a is properly abbreviated as Fe2-Act (Siivola & Schmid 2007).

---

**Table 3.** Composition analysis of a ‘nearly ideal tremolite’ with a nominal formula of \(\text{Ca}_2\text{Mg}_5\text{Si}_8\text{O}_{22}(\text{OH})_2\) from Susa Valley, Italy. Major, minor, and trace elements, given as oxides where appropriate, are demarcated by solid horizontal lines and ordered by declining abundance. The minor and trace elements indicate ‘impurities’ even in a ‘nearly ideal tremolite’ and are not represented in the chemical formula.

| Oxide     | Element       | %wt   |
|-----------|---------------|-------|
| Major elements >1% | = 97.69% |
| \(\text{SiO}_2\) | silicon       | 58.51 |
| \(\text{MgO}\) | magnesium     | 23.74 |
| \(\text{CaO}\) | calcium       | 13.29 |
| \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) | hydrogen     | 2.15  |
| Minor elements 0.1%–1% | = 1.52% |
| \(\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{O}\) | iron(II)     | 0.99  |
| \(\text{Fe}^{3+}\text{O}_3\) | iron(III)    | 0.20  |
| \(\text{Na}_2\text{O}\) | sodium       | 0.20  |
| \(\text{MnO}\) | manganese     | 0.13  |
| Trace elements <0.1% | = 0.22% |
| F          | fluorine      | 0.07  |
| \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) | aluminium    | 0.05  |
| \(\text{K}_2\text{O}\) | potassium    | 0.04  |
| Cl         | chlorine      | 0.03  |
| \(\text{TiO}_2\) | titanium     | 0.02  |
| TOTAL      |               | 99.43%|

Sources: Data compiled from Ballirano et al. (2008); definitions of major, minor, and trace elements taken from Blake (2001: 87).
unobtainable in Nature, and the compositional range given in Table 5 includes iron. The same for ferro-actinolite: the idealised end-member formula excludes all magnesium, but the compositional range allows a ratio of up to 50/50 Mg/Fe. There are other elements (e.g., chromium–Cr, manganese–Mn, aluminium–Al, and sodium–Na) that might be incorporated into the chemical formulae, as seen in Table 4 in the compositions of some tremolite variety samples.

In reality, the composition of tremolite in terms of Mg/Fe distribution is best seen in a truncated ternary diagram of the solid-solution series, as shown in Figure 1. This series exhibits continuous chemical changes from end-member tremolite (on the left), through intermediate-member actinolite (in the middle), to end-member ferro-actinolite (on the right). The changes involve reciprocal amounts of magnesium and iron; because the ions of these elements are of similar sizes and valence, they can substitute for each other in the chemical formula, varying antipathetically across the series. Although the boundaries between these minerals are ultimately arbitrary—and varying definitions are possible—the IMA–CNMNC recommends thresholds in the magnesium and iron ratios of Mg/(Mg + Fe$^{2+}$) to distinguish them. Table 5 shows these divisions and the chemical compositions (and colours) that are included in these ranges.

$^{74}$Truncated because there are no calcium amphiboles with constitutions in the upper part of the triangle.
Table 5. Distinguishing tremolite, actinolite, and ferro-actinolite in the solid solution.

|                | Mg/(Mg + Fe²⁺) | Composition range                                           | Colour* |
|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Tremolite      | ≥0.90          | □Ca₇Mg₄Si₈O₂₂(OH)₂ to □Ca₇Mg₄Fe₂⁺₅Si₈O₂₂(OH)₂                 | colourless to grey |
| Actinolite     | 0.9–0.5        | □Ca₇Mg₄Fe²⁺₃Si₈O₂₂(OH)₂ to □Ca₇Mg₁₂Fe²⁺₂₅Si₈O₂₂(OH)₂          | pale green to dark green |
| Ferro-actinolite | <0.5          | □Ca₇Mg₁₂Fe²⁺₂₅Si₈O₂₂(OH)₂ to □Ca₇Fe²⁺₅Si₈O₂₂(OH)₂             | dark green to black |

* Colour designations are arbitrary and subjective.

Source: Compiled from Deer et al. (1997b: 136–8), Hawthorne et al. (2012: 2036).
By these definitions, tremolite accounts for a very small part of the series at the far left of the truncated ternary diagram, but its composition may include up to about 10 per cent iron in the Mg–Fe total (Fe 0.5, Mg 4.5).\textsuperscript{75} The relative lack of iron in the tremolite end-member makes it colourless; mutton-fat jade (white) would thus be close to this boundary. However, actinolite can have up to 50 per cent Fe (Fe 2.5, Mg 2.5). The increasing amount of iron and decreasing amount of magnesium produce hues ranging from light to dark green—and most nephrites are so coloured. Similar colours are produced by iron in serpentine,\textsuperscript{76} so colour is not a clue to rock identity (nephrite vs serpentine) nor to mineral identity (tremolite, actinolite, or serpentine).

Understanding the solid-solution series as a continuum partly accounts for the occurrence of the colour range of Hetian jades; for example, Liu \textit{et al.} have documented tremolite in white nephrite with ratios of Mg/(Mg + Fe\textsuperscript{2+}) of 0.98–1.00, tremolite in green nephrite with ratios of 0.93–0.99, and actinolite in black nephrite with ratios of 0.63–0.90.\textsuperscript{77} Three jades analysed from a Liangzhu tomb proved to have Mg/(Mg + Fe\textsuperscript{2+}) values of 0.892, 0.871, and 0.869; these are all ostensibly in the actinolite range, but the researchers designate each object as tremolite–actinolite.\textsuperscript{78} Other minerals such as graphite or chromite also influence the colour.

A single ore source can produce nephrites of different mineral compositions. The chemical compositions of Taiwanese jade objects from the Fengtian nephrite-source cluster across the tremolite–actinolite boundary, as shown in Figure 2. The term ‘semi-nephrite’ has occasionally been used for this combination,\textsuperscript{79} but as noted above, it now more commonly denotes tremolite–actinolite without the felted crystal habit (and therefore not nephrite jade).

As the graphs in Figure 2 show, chemical characterisation serves to identify the relative amounts of magnesium \textit{vs} iron that correlate with colour (disregarding other causes), but more importantly, they can help identify sources of jade materials. Though ‘nephrite’ is not a valid mineral name, it is a very useful term that designates a specific felted rock fabric; but to be wholly meaningful, it must be made clear what minerals within the TAF-a series are included in the writer’s definition of nephrite.

\textbf{Summary}

Table 6 encapsulates what we have learned to be important factors in identifying nephrite jade. Those TAF-a minerals that are not felted are not nephrite. All known nephrites are composed of varying amounts of tremolite and/or actinolite. The division

\textsuperscript{75} Which is only 1 per cent of the total (Fe 0.5 atoms, 41 atoms) in the chemical formula.
\textsuperscript{76} Chen Q. \textit{et al.} (2014).
\textsuperscript{77} Liu Z. \textit{et al.} (2011a: Tables 2, 3, 4); see also Shi M. \textit{et al.} (2015).
\textsuperscript{78} Gan \textit{et al.} (2010).
\textsuperscript{79} Iizuka (2012).
Figure 2. Comparisons of white and green nephrite chemistries in eastern Asia (after Hung et al. 2006: Figure 20.9). The ratio Mg/(Mg + Fe) is plotted against the number of silicon atoms per formula unit; the variation in silica is caused by some substitutions of Al, Fe$^{3+}$, or Cr in the crystal lattice. (a) illustrates the range of Fengtian nephrite as encompassing the Mg/(Mg + Fe) = 0.90 border between tremolite and actinolite; most green nephrite objects from Southeast Asia derive from this source. White (Group A) and white–green–brown (Group B) nephrites from the Philippines are clearly separated chemically. (b) illustrates Groups A and B in comparison with white nephrites from northern Vietnam (circles, triangles) and Jiangsu (squares). The latter, raw jade sourced from the Xiaomeiling mines, clearly has a different composition than most of the former, believed to be from a local source in Vietnam.
between these is arbitrary, but the proportions are important for characterising true jades. Bersani et al. stated that felted ferro-actinolite is ‘rare’, and ferro-actinolite jade objects have not yet been clearly documented.

Another final consideration in determining a true jade is how much of an artefact or sample needs to be nephrite when mixed with other minerals. Wen and Jing did not specify percentages but clearly recognised mixtures as hemi-jade. Harlow et al. note that greater than 95 per cent amphibole is ‘typical’ for nephrites; but for Fengtian jades distributed throughout Southeast Asia, a 90 per cent threshold is used to accommodate additional constituents. The presence of other minerals in nephrite, making up the remaining 5–10 per cent, will be considered below.

Finally, I have tried to make the distinction clear between the different kinds of ‘jade’—not only the different kinds of ‘true jades’ but of other rocks and minerals which are often termed jade. Thus, the word ‘jade’ when it occurs alone must always be read with care, since it does not always equate with ‘true jade’.

PART TWO: FROM PARENT ROCKS TO HOST ROCKS

Throughout the literature, one reads that jadeite is formed in serpentine while nephrite can be formed from either serpentine or dolomitic rocks. Part Two addresses these hierarchical relations: first by discussing how to distinguish nephrites formed from different host rocks, and then by examining the formation processes of those host rocks themselves. These processes involve both the transformation of rock under heat and

---

80 Bersani et al. (2014).
81 Harlow et al. (2007: 230), Iizuka (2012, and pers. comm. by email 3 January 2017).
82 As put forward by Wen & Jing (1992, 1994).
pressure (metamorphism) and fluid interactions (metasomatism). An understanding of these basic geological processes lays the groundwork for examining the geographical locations of such host rocks in the China Mainland in Part Three.

**Two types of nephrite**

The use of terms ‘nephrite’ and ‘jadeite’ as equivalents is rife in the literature. Based on the foregoing discussion, let us first amend this statement to make them both rocks: nephrite, and jadeite (a rock) rather than jadeite (a mineral). Next, it is important to note a similar difference among the host rocks: dolomarble and serpentinite. As will be discussed in more detail below, nephrite can form from either dolomarble or serpentinite, whereas jadeite forms in serpentinite. The hierarchical relations between these rocks make the processes easier to understand. For brevity and to avoid repetition, these abbreviations of the two types of nephrite are offered.\(^{83}\) for nephrite from dolomite (D-nephrite, D\(N\)) and for nephrite from serpentine (S-nephrite, S\(N\)).

The main mineral of dolomarble is dolomite \(\text{CaMg}(\text{CO}_3)_2\), composed of calcium-Ca, magnesium-Mg, and carbonate-CO\(_3\). Dolomarble is metamorphosed dolomitic limestone, often called ‘dolomite’ or ‘dolostone’, but here ‘dolomite’ will be reserved for the mineral. Nephrite may form through reaction of dolomite with quartz and water to form tremolite–actinolite (and the subsequent felting), calcite and CO\(_2\).

Serpentinite is a rock comprised mainly of serpentine minerals. Serpentine is the group name of minerals that have nearly the same chemical formula—\((\text{Mg,Fe})_3\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4\)—but different crystal structures (polytypes). Antigorite and lizardite are the main serpentine minerals, with chrysotile being an asbestiform habit of lizardite; it is commonly antigorite that reacts to form tremolite–actinolite.

Serpentinite and dolomarble thus serve as ‘host rocks’ for the formation of nephrite. Since the turn of the millennium, Chinese scholars have used non-destructive PIXE (Particle Induced X-ray Emission) analysis to distinguish S-nephrite and D-nephrite.\(^{84}\) Major findings of the collective research by Zhang et al.\(^{85}\) are (1) that the general dividing point among the sampled nephrites according to \(\text{Mg}^{2+}/(\text{Mg}^{2+} + \text{Fe}^{2+}(3+)\) \[ abbreviated as the R\(^*\) ratio \] is drawn at \(R^* = 0.93\), confirming earlier whole-rock studies;\(^{86}\) but (2) that this measure is not entirely accurate in separating D-nephrites

---

\(^{83}\) In parallel with I-type, S-type, specialised S-type, and A-type granites (Chappell & White 2001, Kumar & Singh 2014: 140–1).

\(^{84}\) Chen T. et al. (2004).

\(^{85}\) Zhang Z. W. et al. (2010, 2011, 2012).

\(^{86}\) Wen & Jing (1992), Zhang Z. W. et al. (2011). Note that this rendering is more specific than the standard Mg/(Mg + Fe) given above.
from S-nephrites, as was demonstrated early on by Wen and Jing.\(^7\) One sample with very high Fe content (from presumed local geological circumstances) was grouped with serpentinite-derived nephrites, but its overall composition was closer to D-nephrites. Consequently, factor analysis of element combinations was undertaken to provide better distinction, and the groups clearly separated into sN above and dN below, as shown in Figure 3.

That study found that three trace-elements (chromium–Cr, cobalt–Co, and nickel–Ni) together with the R* ratio were crucial in determining the separation. Two types of nephrite were thus recognised: Type I with chemical composition corresponding to tremolite, and Type II with chemical composition corresponding to actinolite. Type-II nephrites ‘always contain more transition metals such as Cr, Co, Ni and so on.’\(^8\)

\(^7\)Zhang Z. W. \textit{et al.} (2011). \(^8\)Zhang Z. W. \textit{et al.} (2010: 367).
that study, it is notable that only Manas nephrite from Xinjiang was included in the S-nephrite group (Type II, actinolite) along with New Zealand and British Columbian nephrite; nephrites from Hetian, Yecheng, Xiuyan, Xiaomeiling, and Wenchuan were all D-nephrites (Type I, tremolite).

As more sources and artefacts from archaeological sites are analysed, we shall finally be able to trace mining, production, and consumption processes across the landscape. But prior to examining that landscape, more needs to be discussed about the origin of dolomarble and serpentinite—the host rocks of nephrite.

Metamorphic rocks and minerals

All four rocks discussed above (nephrite, jadeitite, serpentinite, dolomarble) are metamorphic rocks, one of the three major classes of rocks on Earth: igneous, sedimentary, and metamorphic. Metamorphic rocks are, by definition, transformations of other kinds of rocks, and additional metamorphic processes can act on previously metamorphosed rocks. Rocks before they become metamorphosed are called ‘protoliths’ or ‘parent rocks’. In this case, the parent rocks of serpentinite are igneous rocks of the Earth’s mantle (peridotite) or lower oceanic crust (gabbro, basalt), while the parent rock of dolomarble is dolomitic limestone (dolostone).

The essential jade minerals (jadeite, tremolite, actinolite) are also metamorphic minerals whose formation particularly involves fluids (metasomatism). We saw above that tremolite and actinolite can form either from dolomarble or from serpentinite. Jadeite can form from two kinds of metasomatism acting within peridotite or upon blueschist-facies rocks. As true-jade products, jadeitite is rare, while nephrite is more common; but worldwide, nephrite from serpentinite (S-nephrite) is more abundant than nephrite from dolomarble (D-nephrite). Harlow et al. note that nephrite formed from dolomite is relatively rare in the world and yet is the main form of nephrite traditionally used in China.89

Metamorphic processes

It was mentioned above that transformations of mineral chemistry via interactions with circulating fluids (metasomatism) is a major process in forming the true jade minerals. Metasomatism is a class of metamorphism that has been sadly neglected for the past half century but is now ‘roaring back into the vocabulary of petrology’.90 Metasomatism warrants only four pages in Best’s ‘bible’, Igneous and Metamorphic

89 Harlow et al. (2014: 342–3).
90 Since 1958 to be exact (Nelson 2011: n.p.).
Petrology, but an 806-page book, *Metasomatism and the Chemical Transformation of Rock*, is now available on that topic alone. Thus, understanding nephrite and jadeite formation via metasomatism is only just beginning and this review is no more than an initial report. See Harlow *et al.*’s chapter on ‘Jade’ for the current state of knowledge of nephrite jade; the following draws heavily on their work.

Four types of metamorphism concern us here, numbered below. The major difference is between solid-state metamorphism, involving mineral recrystallisation in rock affected by pressure and temperature without melting except at very high temperatures, and metasomatism, germane to jade production and involving chemical change primarily via reaction with fluids (dissolution and precipitation). Although designated as a separate class, metasomatism is now recognised to occur in ‘virtually all rocks’ and can accompany other types of metamorphism to greater or lesser extents.

One problem here is understanding the origins of dolomitic limestone itself. Dolomitisation of limestone, the replacement of calcium by magnesium in seawater, is not included in metamorphic processes; it is a diagenetic process that occurs at low temperature and pressure (at sea level in ambient temperatures). This is discussed further below, but for our purposes here, dolomitisation will be included as the first stage of chemical changes leading to true-jade formation.

**Metasomatic metamorphism**

1. *Metasomatism* indicates fluid conditions of change: dissolution/precipitation rather than solid-state metamorphism (recrystallisation). Metasomatism works via fluid transport and chemical replacement: chemical reaction and exchanges of elements between the fluids and existing minerals. Fluids can be of various origins: e.g., circulating seawater, dehydration processes, volcanic–hydrothermal venting, or fluids generated in fault zones.

---

91 Best (2003), Harlov & Austrheim (2013).
92 Liu Y. *et al.* (2010: 250), Harlow *et al.* (2014). But see Harlow *et al.* (2015).
93 Harlow *et al.* (2014).
94 Newton (2014: 155).
95 ‘Diagensis’ refers to the transformation of sediments by chemical, physical, and biological means—possibly including metasomatism but not weathering—as they undergo lithification under low temperature and pressure.
Solid-state metamorphism (with accompanying metasomatism)

2. **Contact metamorphism** occurs when an igneous body (magma) intrudes into country rock such as limestone and causes chemical changes, primarily through heating but also through fluid exchange. This results in a local aureole of metamorphic rock around the intrusion. Heat from the igneous intrusion bakes the nearby rocks, causing mineral recrystallisation; fluids exuded beyond those areas cause chemical replacement of minerals to produce a rock called ‘skarn’. This type of metasomatism is often called ‘contact metasomatism’ and is credited with producing most of China’s D-nephrite.

3. **Regional metamorphism** occurs on a large regional scale where rocks are buried deep in the Earth’s crust; most changes result from pressure and temperature, but metasomatism can also occur. Limestone is converted into marble generally during regional metamorphism, though some may form on a local scale during contact metamorphism. S-nephrite generally forms via fluid interactions under conditions of regional metamorphism.

4. **Cataclastic metamorphism** occurs in fault zones where rocks grind against each other; the friction causes mineral recrystallisation, and fluids are often generated. Both Luanchuan and Dushan jade are products of cataclastic metamorphism and metasomatism.

The traditional display of ‘metamorphic-facies’ at certain pressures (P) and temperatures (T) is given in Figure 4. Where unmetamorphosed rocks are subjected to these various P/T conditions, their minerals experience solid-state recrystallisation to produce minerals characteristic of those P/T conditions. The mineral suite and relict textures belonging to the parent rock can reveal whether that parent rock was sedimentary or igneous; both whole minerals and individual elements can be inherited from them. Moreover, rocks may be subject to other processes (oxidation, graphitisation) that change their mineral assemblages and mineral compositions.

The trajectories A, B, and C in Figure 4 are germane to the discussions of jade mineral formation in the following sections. Rocks can often move from greenschist to blueschist conditions, or even blueschist back to greenschist conditions (retrograde metamorphism). The mineral record will generally record these changes.

In all types of metamorphism, new minerals form in temporal sequence and in competition with each other, depending on sequential changes in pressure, temperature, and fluid composition—with available elements being exchanged or taken up as needed.

---

96 Imperial College London (2013: Glossary: Marble).

97 For example, Xiao Y. et al. (2016).
A metamorphic hierarchy

In tracing the logical steps of true jade-mineral formation, we are looking at a temporal hierarchy of metamorphic processes acting in sequence on parent rocks to produce host rocks and then producing jade minerals, as shown in Figure 5. Metasomatism is represented by a solid line, and P/T metamorphism by a dashed line. Note that two kinds of P/T metamorphism are present: contact metamorphism due to igneous intrusion (Figure 4, Trajectory A), and blueschist-facies metamorphism taking place during subduction (Figure 4, Trajectory C). Because this paper concentrates on Chinese nephrite formation and occurrence, the processes for jadeite formation are abbreviated in Figure 5, to be dealt with elsewhere.

Numbers in parentheses are shown in Figure 5:

- The main sets of parent rocks (1) in question are limestones (high calcium–Ca and high carbonate contents), and upper mantle (peridotite, dunite) / lower ocean floor rock (gabbro, basalt) of ultramafic and mafic compositions, respectively.  

98 ‘Mafic’ is derived from magnesium and ferric (iron); its opposite, ‘felsic’ is derived from the mineral family feldspar and silica. These apply to igneous rocks; felsic replaces the use of ‘acid’ igneous rocks in previous publications. ‘Ultramafic’ denotes rocks composed predominantly of olivine and/or pyroxene, e.g., mantle rocks.
sedimentary and igneous rocks can be involved in jadeite formation but are not represented here.\footnote{See Harlow et al. (2015).

- These parent rocks can be subjected to three different processes to form three host rocks: dolomitisation (2) of limestone to form dolomitic (magnesian) limestone; serpentinisation of dolomarble/peridotite/gabbro/basalt to form serpentinite (3); and blueschist-facies metamorphism to form blueschists (4), Trajectory C in Figure 4.

- However, two other metamorphic processes can affect dolostone: entire tracts of dolostone can be converted to dolomarble during the P/T conditions of regional metamorphism (Figure 4, Trajectory B; e.g., the Dolomite Mountains in Italy); and contact metamorphism (4), resulting from an igneous intrusion into dolostone, can transform the dolostone via the application of heat into dolomitic marble (dolomarble).

- Serpentinite, on the other hand, can be subjected to increasing P/T, from the greenschist-facies to the blueschist-facies or even the eclogite-facies shown in Figure 4. A further solid-state metamorphic possibility is jadeite formed from albite through P/T metamorphism (4), as seen at the lower right in Figure 5. This is the only case where jadeite is formed under P/T conditions without substantial metasomatism.

- The results of these processes are the two types of nephrite: D-nephrite formed from dolomarble and S-nephrites formed from serpentinite. The latter, moreover,
have three possible parent rocks that were serpentinised: ultramafic rocks, mafic rocks, and dolomarble. These nephrite types will be discussed in detail below.

The problem with metamorphism is that it can affect rocks many times throughout geological history and in many different ways, including reversal or wanderings of the metamorphic trajectories. So, what we find today is a palimpsest of previous processes. To produce true jades, a variety of conditions must be met and maintained; Harlow et al. have noted that jade formation in itself is rare enough, but the preservation of jade minerals is also problematic, as the minerals are subject to replacement or recrystallisation under changing conditions.

*Dolomitisation*

Limestone is generally the rock of our shallow ocean reefs or derives from seafloor carbonate muds; it is formed primarily of fragmentary or dissolved shells and skeletal fragments of marine life, including algae. In the geological record, however, coral reef limestones are relatively recent, and most early limestones are formed from carbonate muds. Limestones and carbonate muds in shallow seas, lagoons, and coastal flats may be subject to dolomitisation by magnesium-rich seawater during the sedimentation process affected by sea-level changes. Once deposition is completed, limestones and muds may become dolomitised thousands or millions of years later through reaction with circulating fluids. Dolomitisation of lagoon or basin carbonate muds is effective on a large areal scale, and ‘virtually all volumetrically large, replacive dolostone bodies are post-deposition and formed during some degree of ‘burial’.

The major minerals of limestone are calcite and aragonite, which both have the chemical formula (but different crystalline structures) CaCO$_3$. Where limestone or lime mud is exposed to magnesium-rich water, some calcium may be replaced by magnesium; magnesite MgCO$_3$, another carbonate mineral (which does not contain calcium) can also form. If more than one quarter of the calcium is replaced by magnesium, the rock becomes dolostone (dolomitic limestone), comprised mostly of the mineral dolomite: calcium magnesium carbonate CaMg(CO$_3$)$_2$.

Once dolostone is formed, it can be metamorphosed by heat and pressure, resulting in dolomitic marble (dolomarble). Impurities in the original dolostone can form new minerals when the dolostone recrystallises under regional metamorphic conditions (Trajectory B in Figure 4). Tremolite marbles form in the lower to mid-amphibolite-
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100 Harlow et al. (2014: 339–40, 357).
101 Here, ‘mud’ is a grain-size designation and does not imply a significant amount of silt; the grains are primarily carbonates (CaO$_3$).
102 Machel (2004: 11, 46).
103 Moore (1989: 159–60).
104 Machel (2004: 7).
facies.\textsuperscript{105} Note that the major chemical elements of dolomarble, Ca and Mg, are primary constituents of tremolite and actinolite. Also note that these rocks lack silica, which is an important component of nephrite supplied to dolomitic rock by igneous rocks during contact metasomatism.

\textit{Serpentinisation}

Peridotite is the main rock type of the Earth’s upper mantle; gabbro is the commonest rock type of the lower ocean crust, as shown in Figure 6. Peridotite (a source of the olivine birthstone, peridot) has several varieties depending on the relative amounts of

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure6.png}
\caption{A typical stratigraphic column of oceanic crust (redrawn from Tucholke 1998: 1 by Durham Archaeological Services). The oceanic crust rests on the upper mantle, comprised mainly of the rock peridotite. The crust itself is composed of gabbro and basalt; it is overlain by seafloor sediments, including chert and mud (carbonate or silicic), and it may support some hot-spot volcanics. The ocean crust is usually formed at a mid-ocean ridge, but may also be produced elsewhere, for example, at a spreading centre in a back-arc basin.}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{105} Imperial College London (2013: Glossary: Dolomarble).
its three major constituent minerals; these are (1) the olivine solid-solution series \((\text{Mg}^{2+}, \text{Fe}^{2+})_2\text{SiO}_4\); (2) orthopyroxene minerals incorporating primarily \(\text{Ca}, \text{Mg}, \text{Fe}\); and (3) silica. Gabbro usually contains these three plus plagioclase feldspar, which contains \(\text{Na}, \text{Ca}, \text{Al}\), and silica. Peridotites are serpentinised with the addition of water, which reacts with the anhydrous silicates to produce new minerals, mainly serpentine, and expands the rock’s volume. Water reacting with gabbro to make serpentine carries the Na, Ca, and some silica out of the resulting rock.

The serpentine minerals that form during serpentinisation are the serpentine polymorphs antigorite, lizardite, and/or chrysotile (the last widely known as the major asbestos mineral),\(^{106}\) with the general chemical formula \((\text{Mg},\text{Fe})_3(\text{Si})_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4\).\(^{107}\) They are softer than the jade minerals, ranging from 2.5 to 3.5 on the Mohs scale. A rock formed primarily of any of these three serpentine minerals is termed serpentinite; this rock can yield ‘ornamental serpentine’, a material good for carving and often called ‘serpentine jade’\(^{108}\)—not to be confused with nephrite formed in serpentinite (S-nephrite, described below). Bowenite is a different, particularly hard form of serpentine that is suitable for carving. Antigorite is also used for ornaments and termed ‘new jade’ (\(\text{xinyu}\)) or ‘new mountain jade’ (\(\text{xinshanyu}\)).

Note that the serpentine minerals do not contain calcium, a major constituent of nephrite. Thus, the calcium must be retained from the igneous parent rock or be supplied otherwise: for example, by seawater. As above, silica is necessary for nephrite formation; unlike dolomarble, however, serpentinite is rich in silica, or silica can be supplied by contact and metasomatic exchange with an igneous rock.\(^{109}\)

The processes and results of serpentinisation are much more complicated and chemically diverse than with dolomitic rocks. Serpentinite is composed of a variety of minerals: predominantly the serpentine minerals, minor magnetite and variable minor brucite, magnesite, calcite, dolomite, and talc. Minor chromite, clinopyroxene, orthopyroxene, and olivine may remain as relics of the original peridotite. Serpentinite itself and the serpentine minerals are highly variable in colour from green to brown to black–green; the green is caused by iron and black spots are usually caused by magnetite. Many of the trace elements and minerals of serpentinite are inherited from its parent rock (usually peridotite) and can be passed on to nephrite.

---

\(^{106}\) Malpas (1992: 8); chrysotile is considered a growth ‘habit’ of lizardite, not a separate mineral (George Harlow pers. comm. 2 March 2017); it has been redefined (Rd) in the IMA mineral list (IMA–CNMNC 2017a).

\(^{107}\) Minerals.net (n.d: ‘antigorite’).

\(^{108}\) Mindat.org: www.mindat.org/min-41710.html, Chen Q. \textit{et al}. (2014).

\(^{109}\) Frost & Frost (2014: 204).
The parent and host rocks for nephrite and jadeitite formation—limestones and peridotite and gabbro/basalt—are rocks of the oceanic crust and upper mantle. What on Earth are they doing in continental settings where they can be mined for jade?

PART THREE: NEPHRITE AND PLATE TECTONICS

In this section, the geography of nephrite localities in China will be examined. As ever, geological processes control those localities, so a brief discussion of relevant aspects of plate tectonics here will pave the way for examining individual occurrences of jade formation in Part Four.

Locations of host rocks

Since 2005, maps have been produced that illustrate a worldwide geographical correlation between nephrite with ophiolites, as shown in Figure 7.\(^{110}\) This is because

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure7.png}
\caption{Worldwide nephrite sources and ophiolite distributions (after Harlow \textit{et al.} 2014: Figure 10–23, with permission). In East Asia, the relationships of nephrite from serpentine and nephrite from dolorock are not clear at this scale, but are demonstrably not related to the major worldwide distribution of ophiolite, with permission.}
\end{figure}

\(^{110}\) A more detailed map of 23 ophiolites is given in Zhang Z. H. \textit{et al.} (1984: Figure 3).
most non-Chinese nephrite formed in serpentinite, which is a major component of ophiolites. Conversely, most nephrite in China was not formed in serpentinite but from dolomite, as described for Figure 5. Thus, there are three general problems with this map: first, the nature and significance of ophiolites are lost on those who have never heard of them before; second, the correlation between ophiolites and nephrite as shown here does not hold very well for China; and, third, not all sources of nephrite in China are depicted on the map. Thus, in discussing the Chinese case, we must understand the geological processes that contribute to the formation of both S-nephrite and D-nephrite: ophiolite emplacement, limestone accretion, and subduction-zone metasomatism and magmatism. A map illustrating the general ophiolite belts and major nephrite sources in China is shown in Figure 8.

What is an ophiolite?
There are nine different types of ophiolite (sensu lato) that are formed in different tectonic settings but most commonly in a ‘suprasubduction’ zone—on the continental side of a subduction trench in fore-arc or back-arc basins. These consist mainly of fragments of oceanic crust and Earth mantle that have been incorporated into the continental land surface. The most complete ophiolite (sensu stricto) is a vertical slice of oceanic crust and underlying mantle rock (peridotite) preserving the vertical stratigraphy from top to bottom, as seen in Figure 6: ocean floor sediments > cherts > basalt > gabbro > peridotite (e.g., the Troodos ophiolite in Cyprus)—but few ophiolites exhibit all of these properties. It is recommended that to be called an ophiolite, a rock body should have at least peridotite, basalt, and basalt pillow lava in clear relations—otherwise they should be called ‘possible ophiolites’, but ‘sliver[s] of serpentinite, gabbro, dolerite, or basalt’ from oceanic sources occurring on land have all been termed ophiolites.

Ophiolites are associated with orogenic ‘mountain-building’ zones where subduction was followed by collision. As one can see from Figure 7, the ophiolite chains generally follow mountain chains: the Rockies, the Appalachians, the Urals, the Alps, etc. These mark the locations of past and present subduction zones. In East Asia, the present-day subduction zones are marked by the deep trenches running

---

111 The proper term for emplacement is ‘obduction’, complementary to ‘subduction’; the former adds to the landmass, the latter draws materials down into the mantle.
112 For a general introduction to subduction zone processes, see Barnes (2003, 2008) using Japan as a case study, then Yuan et al. (2009) for China.
113 Dewey (2003), Dewey & Casey (2011), Robinson & Zhou (2007).
114 Robinson & Zhou (2007: 303).
115 Dewey & Casey (2011: 431).
116 Yuan et al. (2009). The word orogeny comes from the Greek óros (mountain) + geneia (creation).
down the western Pacific Rim: the Kurile Trench through the Japan Trench; Izu–Bonin into the Marianas Trench; and the Ryukyu and Philippine Trenches.

Currently, China is not directly exposed to any operating subduction zones, but ophiolites occur throughout the country, marking ancient subduction zones mainly in the west–southwest and north. When subduction begins, oceanic crust (usually formed at spreading centres in back-arc basins such as the Japan Sea Basin, or in fore-arc positions) is fragmented, and some is thrust (obducted) onto land when the ocean/basin closes under pressure of subduction initiation.¹¹⁷

There are several ophiolite ‘belts’ in China of different ages reaching back a billion years. These have been beached, so to speak, by the closing of successive oceans that brought together the cratonic blocks and continental fragments to make up today’s China. The major cratons—the Tarim Block, North China Block, and Yangtze Block, as labelled in Figure 8—are thus rimmed with oceanic materials. The ophiolite belts

¹¹⁷Thanks to George Harlow for clarification of the timing of this.

Figure 8. Locations of major Chinese D-nephrite and S-nephrite sources, mapped over ophiolite belts (after Robinson & Zhou 2008: Figure 1; Zhang Z. W. et al. 2011: Figure 1). Location numbers keyed to Table 7.
of main interest to jade researchers are remnants of the Palaeo–Asian and Palaeo–Tethys oceans, which surrounded the Tarim and North China Blocks between ca. 550 and 250 mya (Palaeozoic: Cambrian–Permian). These ophiolite belts may be ‘superimposed on one another, suggesting repeated accretion of island arc assemblages in an environment similar to the present-day western Pacific Ocean’. No ideal ophiolites such as Troodos have survived in China; the ophiolite ‘belts’ are notional and figuratively encompass many small ophiolitic fragments that have been identified and named. Many of these do not have the three basic rock types required by international standards of identification.

Figure 9. Locations of carbonate rocks in China (after Sweeting 1995: Figure 1; GGL from Niu et al. 2015: Figure 1). The diagonal line is the GGL (Great Gradient Line), dividing the thickness of China’s continental lithosphere (crust + upper mantle) of ≥150km to the west (beneath the plateaus) and ≤80km to the east. These carbonate bodies could then be affected by the extensive magmatic activity in eastern China that has been attributed to the continent moving eastwards over a stagnant underlying Pacific Plate which emitted enough fluids ca. 190–88 mya to generate granitic magma intrusions (Niu et al. 2015). Thus, magmatic intrusions across eastern China that encountered carbonate rocks have the potential to produce nephrite.

118 mya = million years ago.
119 Zhang Q. et al. (2007: 308).
120 National Park Service (n.d.).
121 Sweeting (2012: 16).
122 Kojima et al. (2016).
Figure 5 tells us that such limestones had to undergo three processes for tremolite/actinolite formation: dolomitisation of the limestone to form dolomitic limestone, and then P/T metamorphism along Trajectories A or B to produce dolomarble, and finally contact metamorphism (Trajectory A, if only B before) for the introduction of silica to form the nephrite minerals. The first happens in the sea before accretion, whereas the latter generally occurs within continental crust. There is subsequent metasomatic transformation of dolomarble to nephrite. Figure 5 indicates that an igneous intrusion into dolomarble was usually the cause of nephrite formation in China. That particular sequence will be examined below for the Alamas dolomarble, but the igneous activity needs accounting for as a subduction-zone process.

Subduction-zone magmatism
The subducting oceanic crust dehydrates as it descends into the Earth. Fluids exiting the ocean slab enter the overlying mantle rock, lowering the melting temperature of the rock and turning it to magma. The magma rises into the continental crust, sometimes ending as an emplaced pluton (magma chamber) or extruded onto the Earth’s surface via a volcano. When hot magma encounters cold country rock, it bakes it, creating a metamorphic aureole around the intrusion, and fluids emanating from there create skarn or metasomatised rock. If the country rock is dolomitic, then there is the potential for nephrite to form. Intrusion of magma into dolomitic rock must overlie an active subduction zone (but we will see below that nephrite can develop without an active igneous intrusion, as in cataclastic metamorphism).

What is serpentinite mélange?
A final subduction-zone process is the formation of serpentinite mélange. Mélange is a word denoting a miscellaneous mixture of things; when used in Geology, it applies to a rock type that is basically a jumble of different kinds of rocks in some host-material matrix. The common examples are sediment-matrix and serpentinite-matrix mélanges, but in the case of jade, the latter are of interest here. The serpentinite can come from underneath the ocean floor or from the mantle wedge underlying the continental edge,\textsuperscript{123} or from mantle rock exposed to seawater during crustal thinning.\textsuperscript{124} The mélange forms during subduction of an oceanic plate under a continental plate, and the rocks involved can come from either or both plates as material is sheared off during subduction. Serpentinite mélanges make up substantial parts of accretionary complexes (oceanic rocks and sediments bulldozed into the continental edge by the subducting plate) and are usually metamorphosed to greenschist-facies. They can,

\textsuperscript{123} Shervais et al. (2011).
\textsuperscript{124} Reston & Manatschal (2011).
however, be formed deeper between the subducting slab and the mantle wedge, being metamorphosed at higher pressure to blueschist-facies level.

Because a mélange by definition consists of serpentineite surrounding other rocks, such as ocean-floor-altered gabbro or basalt, conditions are ripe for further metasomatism and nephrite formation. It is important that the basalt and gabbro had previously been exposed to ocean-floor alteration by seawater (metasomatised) into serpentine before accretion.

Serpentine mélanges are different in genesis and construction from serpentineite in an ophiolite. It would be useful if these circumstances were noted when describing occurrences of nephrite in serpentineite.

PART FOUR: DERIVATION OF NEPHRITE FROM HOST ROCKS

The derivation of nephrite from either serpentineite or dolomarble sounds simple, but there are many complications. Nephrite formation depends on several factors: the nature of the host rock and the contact rock, the kind of metamorphism, the tectonic setting, and the elements available in the fluids. Some of these variables appear in Table 7, which lists the main known nephrite sources and some serpentine-jade sources in China. The two types of nephrite described in Figure 5 as D-nephrite and S-nephrite are referred to in the Chinese literature as Type I and Type II, respectively.\textsuperscript{125} For ease in remembering which is which, the notation ‘dN’ from dolomite, and sN from serpentine might be more helpful. Moreover, note that serpentine jade is actually serpentine minerals, different from S-nephrite.

Below, several types of jade found in China, both nephrites and non-nephrites, will be reviewed as to their geological setting and formation. Several are distinguished by characteristic chemical signatures; these are then compared to some archaeological jades that have been analysed, representing the beginnings of productive efforts to source jade objects to certain deposits.

Nephrite from dolomitic rocks: D-nephrites (dN)

In the early 1990s, it was thought that nephrite from ‘magnesite marble’, i.e., dolomarble, was produced by metasomatism as it did not bear the chemical signature of regional metamorphism.\textsuperscript{126} Since then, nephrite from dolomite (D-nephrite) is most generally

\textsuperscript{125} There are also two types of serpentine jade, using the same designations (Type 1 and Type 2), but these are not relevant here.

\textsuperscript{126} Wen (1994).
Table 7. Jade sources in China as reported in the English-language literature
Blanks in the chart indicate incomplete reporting. Location numbers keyed to Figure 8, most common name in bold.
Co. = County; Aut. = Autonomous; Pref. = Prefecture; R. = River; Nephrite types: dN = nephrite from dolomite, sN = nephrite in serpentinite. Pronunciations: C. = Chinese; E. = English; J. = Japanese; M. = Mongol; U. = Uyghur; Z. = Zhuang.

| Jade name or source | No. | Prefecture/ City/County | Host rock | Contact rock | Metamorphic type | Jade type |
|---------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|
| **XINJIANG PROVINCE = Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region** |     |                          |           |              |                 |           |
| C. Manas            | 1   | Manas Co. Changji Hui Aut.Pref. | ultramafic igneous metasomatism | sN | sN actinolite, tremolite |
| U. Manasi           |     |                          |           |              |                 |           |
| C. Yecheng          | 2   | Yecheng Co. Karghilik | serpentine jade |               |                 |           |
| U. Karghilik        |     |                          |           |              |                 |           |
| U. Tashkurgan       | 2   | Taxkorgan Tajik Aut. Co. Kashgar Pref. | nephrite |               |                 |           |
| (Taxkorgan)         |     |                          |           |              |                 |           |
| C. Pishan           | 3   | Pishan Co. Hotan Pref. | D-nephrite |               |                 |           |
| U. Guma (Khotan)    |     |                          |           |              |                 |           |
| C. Hetian           | 4   | Hetian Co. Hotan Pref. | D-nephrite |               |                 |           |
| U. Hotan            |     |                          | dolomite; intermediate Mg marble granite |               |                 |           |
| U. Yurungkash R.    | 4   | Hotan Pref. E. White Jade R. | tremolite |               |                 |           |
| E. White Jade R.    |     |                          |           |              |                 |           |
| U. Karakash R.      | 4   | Hotan Pref. E. Black Jade R. | tremolite actinolite |               |                 |           |
| E. Cele (Celle)     | 5   | Qira Co. Hotan Pref. | nephrite |               |                 |           |
| U. Qira             |     |                          |           |              |                 |           |
| C. Yutian           | 6   | Yutian Co. Hotan Pref. | D-nephrite |               |                 |           |
| U. Keriya           |     |                          | dolomarble granodiorite |               |                 |           |
| U. Alamas           | 6   | Yutian Co. Hotan Pref. | tremolite D-nephrite |               |                 |           |
| C. Alamasi          |     |                          | dolomite dolomarble |               |                 |           |
| C. Qiemo            | 7   | Cherchen Co. Baiyin’gholin Mongol Aut. Pref. | tremolite D-nephrite |               |                 |           |
| U. Cherchen         |     |                          | carbonate |               |                 |           |
| C. Ruqiang          | 8   | Ruqiang Co. Baiyin’gholin Mongol Aut. Pref. | tremolite D-nephrite |               |                 |           |
| U. Qarkilik         |     |                          |           |              |                 |           |
| Jade name or source | No. | Prefecture/ City/County | Host rock | Contact rock | Metamorphic type | Jade type |
|--------------------|-----|-------------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|
| **QINGHAI PROVINCE** | | | | | | |
| **Eastern Kunlun** | | | | | | |
| **Mts** | | | | | | |
| C. dong-Kunlun | | | | | | |
| **E. Kokonur, Tibetan Amdo** | | | | | | |
| Central Qinghai | | dolomarble | interm | | D-nephrite | |
| Mts | | | | | | |
| C. Mang’ai (Mangya) | 9 | Mang’ai Co., Haixi (M. Qaidam) Mongol & Tibetan Aut. Pref. | Dolomitic | | tremolite-actinolite | S-nephrite |
| M. Golmud | 10 | Golmud City, Haixi | Dolomitic | | serpentine | S-nephrite |
| C. Ge’ermu | | | | | | |
| C. Sanchakou | 11 | Dulan Co. Haixi Pref. | Dolomitic | | hydrothermal metasomatism | D-nephrite |
| C. Dulan / Yushitai | 12 | Dulan Co. Haixi | Serpentine | | | |
| Qilianshan = Nanshan = Qiliang Mts = Nan Mts | | | | | | |
| Qinghai-Gansu | | Serpentine | | | S-nephrite | |
| Sanchahe | 14 | Daqaidam Co., Haixi | Marble | | contact metasomatism | D-nephrite |
| **GANSU PROVINCE** | | | | | | |
| C. Mazongshan | 15 | Subei Co. Mt. Mazong Jiuquen City | Carbonate | | dN tremolite | D-nephrite |
| C. Jiuquen (C. Suzhou) | 16 | Jiuquen Pref. City | Serpentine | | | |
| Nanshan = Qilianshan | 17-18 | Gansu Serpentine | | | | |
| Lintao (Maxianshan) | 19 | Lintao Co. Dingxi City | Carbonate | | tremolite | |
| Yuanyang | 20 | Wushan Co. Tianshui City | Serpentinised ultramafic | | hydrothermal metasomatism | Serpentine |
| **SHAANXI PROVINCE** | | | | | | |
| Hanzhong | 21 | Qinling Mountains | | | | |
| Fengxian | 21 | Feng Co. | | | | |
| Lantian jade | 21 | Historic – exhausted | | | | |

*Gina L. Barnes*
### Table 7. Continued.

| Jade name or source | No. | Prefecture/ City/County | Host rock | Contact rock | Metamorphic type | Jade type |
|---------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------|--------------|------------------|-----------|
| Lantian             | 22  | Lantian Co. Xi’an City   | dolomarble| interm-felsic hydrothermal metamorphism | | serpentine jade Type 2 |
| **HENAN PROVINCE**  |     |                          |           |              |                  |           |
| Luanchuan           | 23  | Qinling Mountains Luanchuan Co. Luoyang Pref. City | dolomitic marble | metagabbro cataclastic metamorphism | | tremolite D-nephrite |
| Dushan/ Nanyang jade| 24  | Nanyang City | gabbro cataclastic metamorphism | | | zoisitised plagioclase |
| Xichuan             | 24  | Xichuan Co. Nanyang City | serpentinised ultramafic | | | serpentine jade Type 2 |
| Xichuan             | 25  | | serpentine | | | actinolite S-nephrite |
| **SICHUAN PROVINCE**|     |                          |           |              |                  |           |
| Wenchuan            | 26  | Wenchuan Co. Ngawa Tibetan & Qiang Aut. Pref. | nephrite from dolomite | | | D-nephrite |
| Longxi              | 26  | Longxi town, Wenchuan Co. Ngawa Tibetan & Qiang Aut. Pref. | carbonite | | | tremolite |
| Shimian             | 27  | Shimian Co. Ya’an City | | | | S-nephrite actinolite |
| **TIBET AUTONOMOUS REGION = XIZANG AUTONOMOUS REGION**| | | | | | |
| C. Nagqu            | 28  | Nagzu Co. Nagqu Pref. | | | | nephrite |
| T. Nagchu           |     | | | | | |
| C. Xigaze           | 29  | Xigaze City, Tsang Prov. Locs: Lazi, Saga, Angren Counties | | | | S-nephrite actinolite |
| T. Shigatse         |     | | | | | |
| **GUIZHOU (E. Kweichow)** | | | | | | |
| Luodian             | 30  | Luodian Co. Qiannan Buyei & Miao Aut. Pref. | carbonate replacement | thermal seawater metasomatism, contact metasomatism | | D-nephrite, tremolite |
| C. Rongli           | 47  | Ziyun Miao and Buyei Autonomous Co., Anshun Pref. City | calcium carbonate basic intrusive | contact metasomatism | | D-nephrite |
| Jade name or source | No. | Prefecture/ City/County | Host rock | Contact rock | Metamorphic type | Jade type |
|---------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|
| **GUANGXI**         |     |                          |           |              |                 |           |
| C. Dahua            | 31  | Dahua Yao Aut. Co. Hechi City | carbonate | replacement |                 | D-nephrite tremolite |
| Z. Dava             |     | Hechi Pref. City         | dolomarble | mafic igneous | contact         |           |
| Hechi               | 32  | Hechi                   | dolomarble | mafic igneous | contact         | tremolite tremolite |
| C. Luchuan          | 33  | Luchuan Co. Yulin Pref.  | carbonate: magnesite, dolomite | interm-felsic igneous |               | serpentine jade |
| Z. Luzconh          |     |                         |           |              |                 |           |
| **GUANGDONG**       |     |                          |           |              |                 |           |
| South Xiuyu         | 34  | Xinyi Co. Maoming City  | calcareous silt/mudstone | granite | contact          | K-feldspar Fe-diopside |
| **FUJIAN PROVINCE** |     |                          |           |              |                 |           |
| Hua’an              | 35  | Fujian                  | calcareous silt/mudstone | granite | contact          | K-feldspar Fe-diopside |
| **JIANGXI**         |     |                          |           |              |                 |           |
| Xingguo             | 37  | Xingguo Co. Ganzhou Pref. City | carbonate | granite | contact          | D-nephrite |
| Yiyang              | 38  | Yiyang Co. Shangrao Pref. | carbonate | granite | contact          | S-nephrite |
| **JIANGSU PROVINCE**|     |                          |           |              |                 |           |
| Meiling jade aka Xiaoeming | 39 | Liyang Co.City Changzhou Pref. City | carbonate | granite | contact          | tremolite |
| **SHANDONG PROVINCE** |     |                          |           |              |                 |           |
| Laiyang             | 40  | Yantai Co.              | dolomarble | granite | hydrothermal granodiorite metamorphism | tremolite |
| **HEBEI PROVINCE**  |     |                          |           |              |                 |           |
| Tanghe              | 41  | Baoding City            | dolomarble | granite | hydrothermal granodiorite metamorphism | tremolite |
| **LIAONING PROVINCE** |     |                          |           |              |                 |           |
| Xiuyan              | 42  | Xiuyan Manchu Auton. Co. Anshan Pref. City | carbonate | granite | tremolite (laoyu) | D-nephrite tremolite (laoyu) |
described as a product of metasomatism between dolomarble and granitic intrusions, though several nephrites are reportedly produced by dolomarble and mafic igneous rocks such as basalt or gabbro identified in Table 7; but we will also see below an example without igneous intrusion.

There is ongoing discussion of how nephrites are actually formed:\footnote{Harlow et al. (2014: 342, 353–4).} whether there was a ‘ready fluid’ with all the necessary ingredients waiting to solidify, or whether the fluids delivered new elements to the minerals in the host rocks to cause replacement (somewhat like the replacement of dolomite for calcite in dolomitisation). The case studies below seem to assume the latter mechanism, as do Harlow and colleagues, to frame nephrite formation as ‘replacement’ of the essential elements in host rock minerals (dolomite, serpentine) to form new minerals—not only tremolite and/or actinolite but other minerals as well.

---

**Table 7. Continued.**

| Jade name or source | No. | Prefecture/ City/County | Host rock | Contact rock | Metamorphic type | Jade type |
|--------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------|--------------|----------------|----------|
| Xiuyan             | 43  |                          | carbonate: magnesite, dolomite | interm-felsic igneous |                     | serpentine jade Type I |
| Haicheng Wazii(gou)| 42  | Wazigou town, Haicheng Co. City in Anshan Pref. City | D-nephrite |                     |                     |
| Yinkou             | 43  | Yinkou Pref. City        | peridotite dolomite | serpented marble | post-metam. hydrothermal alteration | serpentine jade |
| Kuandian           | 44  | Kuandian Manchu Aut. Co., Dandong Pref. City | carbonate |                     | tremolite D-nephrite |
| JILIN PROVINCE     |     |                          |           |               |                     |
| Panshi             | 45  | Panshi Co. City, Jilin Pref. City | D-nephrite |
| HEILONGJIANG       |     |                          |           |               |                     |
| Tieli              | 46  | Tieli Co. Yichun Pref.   | D-nephrite |
| Toushan/Taoshan    | 46  | Taoshanzhen village, Tieli | dolomitic marble | granodiorite igneous | contact metamorphism, tremolite D-nephrite |

Sources: See Table 7A at https://docs.google.com/document/d/1xXLykhWU2lgiEijRcKSFOGjxmC17p8AfV-rjS_1BEyw/edit
The Alamas D-nephrites

The Alamas nephrites from dolomarble, seen in Figure 10, have been intensively analysed and will serve as an example here. They belong to the Qimanyute Ophiolite Belt in the western Kunlun region. Mineral assemblages indicate temperatures between 330° and 550°C, and pressures between 100 and 200 MPa, conforming to the area of the Hornfels-facies on Trajectory A for contact metamorphism in Figure 4. Contact metamorphism occurs at shallow levels and at relatively low temperatures. The zonation of metamorphic changes at Alamas clearly demonstrates the evolution from contact metamorphism due to pressure/temperature changes and contact metasomatism due to the emanation of fluids. Skarn is a rock typically formed by such metasomatism, and here there are three graded series of skarn before three nephrite bodies of increasingly white colour were created, as indicated in Figure 10(b). In addition to the nephrite veining due to contact between the dolomarble and granodiorite, more nephrite bodies occur as lenses and veins within the dolomarble, Figure 10(a), indicating further penetration of fluids via faults and fractures.

Two models have been proposed for Alamas D-nephrite generation; the (aq) below represents components dissolved in water:

1. A single-stage model whereby water and silica(aq) from the magma are added to dolomite to form nephrite. The chemical reaction produces excess calcite and aqueous carbon dioxide(aq).
2. A two-stage model whereby silica(aq) and dolomite react first to form diopside + carbon dioxide(aq), then the addition of water transforms the diopside into nephrite with calcium(aq) and silica(aq) as by-products.

It is not known which of these two mechanisms was operative.

All nephrites at Alamas are tremolite, with a minor component of actinolite having an Mg/(Mg + Fe) ratio of 0.72. Other accessory minerals are calcite, titanite, phlogopite, and diopside. Trace elements include zinc, manganese, zirconium, <10 µg/g of chromium, cobalt, and nickel. Minerals in associated zonations include spinel (candite), diopside, grossular, serpentine, epidote, tremolite, forsterite, and chlorite.

It is the presence of zoned mineral deposits here that is important for our understanding of prehistoric mining processes used for Hongshan and Liangzhu jades—before the Hetian alluvial deposits were exploited. Miners potentially had access to several different types of mineral in a single geological setting, and they may have supplied a variety of minerals and rocks to stone workers. These probably would
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128 Zhang Q. et al. (2016).
129 Liu Y. et al. (2010, 2011b).
130 Harlow et al. (2014: 345), Liu Y. et al. (2011b).
Figure 10. The Alamas ophiolite (after Zhang Q. et al. 2016). Transect A–A’, circled in 10(a), is shown in section in 10(b).

(a) dolomarble (brickwork) metamorphosed by igneous intrusion of granodiorite on the right.
(b) successive zones of metamorphism from left to right after granodiorite: contact metamorphism resulting in new minerals; then metasomatic reactions producing various skarns; then three colours of nephrites; then altered marble adjacent to the original dolomarble.
have been processed at the mine into specific loads so that stone types and trimmings that were not of economic value would have been discarded—possibly providing a resource for future archaeological investigation.\textsuperscript{131} For example, archaeological excavation\textsuperscript{132} of a nephrite workshop and pit-mine at Mazongshan, Gansu Province, may illuminate what rocks and minerals occur together.

\textit{Southern Xinjiang D-nephrites}

The main source of ‘mutton fat’ jade was Hetian (Hotan). This is the name of a county, \textit{and} its county seat, sandwiched between the Black and White Jade rivers in the southern Tarim Basin. Together with Yutian, aka Keriya County to the east of Hetian, it names one of the three groups of primary nephrite sources in the western Kunlun mountains of southern Xinjiang Province. The word ‘primary’ refers to in-situ deposits rather than secondary (placer) finds in the alluvial riverbeds.

The three groups of these true jades are designated by their important town names: Shache–Yechang with four primary deposits; Hetian–Yutian (Hotan–Keriya) with 11 primary deposits, and Qiemo–Ruoqiang having three primary deposits—altogether 18 primary deposits with individual names not given here.\textsuperscript{133} Nephrites from these sources contain several accessory minerals: graphite, apatite, diopside, allanite, zircon, and rutile; and the nephrites occur in association with several other rocks and minerals: diorite, granodiorite, dolomarble, gneiss, schist, quartz, and pyrite.

Southern Xinjiang nephrites have a variety of different compositions and colours, ranging from white tremolite, to light- and dark-green nephrite, to black tremolite and black actinolite. The elements causing the black colour in the latter two nephrites are different: black tremolites contain graphite, whereas black actinolites are coloured by high iron content.\textsuperscript{134} Even ‘mutton fat’ nephrite composed of 99.6 per cent tremolite can incorporate biotite (black mica with iron content), whereas light-green nephrite of 93 per cent tremolite contains 1 per cent epidote inclusions acting as the colouring agent.\textsuperscript{135}

The lesson from Hetian, however, is that ‘tremolite’ is not a synonym for ‘mutton fat’ white jade (though the reverse is true).\textsuperscript{136} Tremolite can occur as other colours, with increasing iron (or chromium) content to make it green, or with graphite to make it black. Nevertheless, despite these colours, the Hetian district nephrites were produced by the classic scenario described by Wen and Jing: dolomarble intruded by granite, with concomitant contact metasomatism.

\textsuperscript{131}Thanks to economic geologist Brendan Caulfield for this thought.
\textsuperscript{132}Wang J. (2014).
\textsuperscript{133}Liu Y. et al. (2011a), Harlow et al. (2014: Figure 10-25).
\textsuperscript{134}Liu Y. et al. (2011a).
\textsuperscript{135}Shi Q. (1987).
\textsuperscript{136}As emphasised by George Harlow.
Luanchuan D-nephrites
Nephrites occur at Luanchan, Henan Province, in the Qinling suture zone between the North and South China Blocks. The host rock of interest here is the marble in contact with metamorphosed gabbros.

Previous studies have postulated that the nephrites were formed by metasomatism between intrusive gabbros and marbles. If this were the case, the gabbro and nephrite should be of the same date. However, a study in 2015 discovered that the gabbros are 850 million years old and they have been metamorphosed, hence the name ‘meta-gabbros’. In contrast, the nephrites date to 360 mya, as assessed through uranium–lead isotope dating. A gap of 500 million years means the gabbro could not possibly have intruded the later marbles.

It also became clear that the nephrite deposits are located along NW/SE-trending fault lines. Although the researchers pondered the possible presence of some granitic fluids, they concluded that the nephrites most likely formed by circulation of crustal fluids associated with the shearing activity along these fault lines at ca. 360 mya. In other words, these tremolites are not a product of contact metasomatism from an igneous intrusion but were produced by fault movement involving fluids, a form of cataclastic metamorphism accompanied by metasomatism.

Wenchuan D-nephrites
Sources in Wenchuan County in Sichuan Province have very high ratios of manganese to iron (Mn/Fe). Factor analysis has shown that these values distinguish nephrites from this source from other D-nephrites in China, as well as from S-nephrites from China and abroad, as seen in Figure 3: nos. 31, 32. The source area lies on the western side of the Longmenshan thrust fault—a fossil subduction zone that marks the collision zone between the Tibetan Plateau and the Sichuan Basin (responsible for the 2008 earthquake there).

Nephrite from serpentinite: S-nephrites (Ns)
Contact between serpentinite and various rocks
Although nephrites from serpentinite occur in comparable geological environments throughout the world, the specific combinations of rocks are varied and the processes of nephrite derivation are also varied. The geological environment is within ophiolite belts. S-nephrite forms via contact metasomatism between the host rock of serpentinite

137 Ling et al. (2015).
138 Tectonics Observatory (2008).
139 Summarised from Harlow et al. (2014: 347–57).
(or serpinisising peridotite) and a variety of rocks with high silica content: plagiogranite, albitite, metagabbro, muscovite–quartz schist, graywacke, shale, phyllite, or chert.\textsuperscript{140} Interestingly, Z. Zhang \textit{et al.} specifically list ‘marble or dolomite’ as a contact rock for the host-rock serpentinite in making S-nephrites.\textsuperscript{141}

The formation of S-nephrite is not yet well understood, with several models and experiments being replicated. However, Harlow \textit{et al.} conclude that S-nephrite forms through replacement of serpentine minerals, drawing calcium from silicic rocks.\textsuperscript{142} Zoned progression is typical of the metasomatism, with nephrite usually forming closest to the serpentine host-rock; other zones may contain diopsidite, epidotite, talc, chloritite, albitite, zoisitite, and rodingite—depending on the contact rock and other conditions.\textsuperscript{143} A model for metasomatic zoned alteration between serpentinite and a granite is shown in Figure 11.

\textit{Qinghai D-nephrites and S-nephrites}

Qinghai nephrite, also known as Kunlun jade, is obtained from near Golmud City in southern Haixi Prefecture, Qinghai Province. Eight named localities are known, and three mines are in operation. More than 30 nephrite orebodies are being exploited—all primary, not alluvial, deposits.\textsuperscript{145} This is an interesting case because both D-nephrites and S-nephrites have been discovered here.

Early work showed that Qinghai nephrite contains wollastonite (CaSiO$_3$)—distinct from Hetian nephrite.\textsuperscript{146} Work in 2016 showed that all the nephrite from around Golmud contains tremolite. However, among these tremolites, both D-nephrite and S-nephrite were identified—indicating the presence of both host rocks, dolomarble and serpentinite, in the same tectonic setting.\textsuperscript{147} This would be consistent with the presence of ophiolites including limestone caps, though no mention is made of ophiolites in Li \textit{et al.}'s report.

Interestingly, among S-nephrites from serpentinite, two different original rock types could be identified through differences in their rare earth element (REE) content: serpentinite based on dunite (one of the peridotite derivatives) and serpentinite based on gabbro. This level of identification makes it clear that jade sourcing can

\textsuperscript{140}\textit{Harlow et al.} (2014: Table 10-5).
\textsuperscript{141}Zhang Z. W. \textit{et al.} (2012: 367).
\textsuperscript{142}\textit{Harlow et al.} (2014: 355–6).
\textsuperscript{143}The rock rodingite has a varied composition but may include the minerals grossular, hydrogrossular (hibschite), chlorite, diopside, vesuvianite (idocrase), zircon, albite, hornblende, garnet, epidote, zoisite, quartz, prehnite, clinzoisite, and biotite.
\textsuperscript{144}\textit{Harlow et al.} (2014: Table 10-5).
\textsuperscript{145}Yu \textit{et al.} (2016).
\textsuperscript{146}Li R. \textit{et al.} (2004).
\textsuperscript{147}Yu \textit{et al.} (2016).
distinguish between very localised products, but the numerical possibilities here are enormous among 30 known orebodies.

Another interesting lesson from Qinghai is that serpentinite and dolomarble can exist in the same tectonic setting: that is, within an ophiolite belt or orogenic zone. This arises from dolomite layers in continental shelf areas caught up in the emplacement of an ophiolite slice in the continental edge. The juxtapositioning not only facilitates the formation of both S- and D-nephrites, but also it brings their associated minerals into proximity.

Figure 11. Experimental model by Karpov and colleagues of metasomatic contact between serpentinite and a granite, causing zoned alteration, at P/T of 200 MPa and 280–425°C; S-nephrite appears in the centre (modified after Harlow et al. 2014: Figure 10-30b). Zonation from the left—PlGr: plagiogranite, T-PlGr: tremolitized plagiogranite, Rod: rodingite, Chl: chloritite, N: nephrite from serpentinite, Tr: tremolite, Tr*: tremolite–magnetite rock, St: steatite, •Mt-Serp: magnetite–serpentinite at top, St-Serp: steatitized serpentinite at bottom, Serp: serpentinite.
**Manas jades in the northwest**

The other important source of jades in Xinjiang Province is located north of the Tarim Basin in a completely different orogenic zone. This is the Palaeo–Asian Ocean region that has given rise to the CAOB (Central Asian Orogenic Belt). This source has only been exploited since the early 20th century. S-nephrites from Manas formed in serpentinite derived from ultramafic igneous peridotites, and they therefore attest to the presence of ophiolites in this region. The essential mineral was named as tremolite but with high amounts of iron due to its ultramafic derivation. Chemical analysis showed that the ‘tremolite’ contents range from 80 to 95 per cent, thus crossing the tremolite/actinolite border; minor minerals include diopside, chlorite, garnet, and chrome spinel.

**Mineral associations**

**Nephrites and their associated minerals**

It can be seen from the above descriptions that the formation of nephrite is associated with the formation of other metamorphic rocks and minerals, whether they precede nephrite (i.e., marble or serpentine) or are concurrent with its formation. Concurrent minerals may exist in three forms:

- as minor minerals in jade rocks, making up the last 10 per cent of the nephrite. These minerals can be microscopic—occurring as tiny crystals of a few tens of microns—up to several millimetres in size;
- as major minerals in zoned bands, such as chlorite in the chloritite band and talc in the steatite band in Figure 11;
- chlorite, calcite, quartz and talc, serpentine, etc. as mineral deposits in their own right.

The sharing of elements and minerals between the host rock and formative zonation can possibly be used to match non-nephrite objects made of mineable minerals with nephrite sources. It is logical that such minerals and rocks would be mined together and possibly distributed together for artefact fabrication. Under some conditions, the resulting objects might all be kept together in usage patterns, or they could be divided by value and thus inform us on status and ranking within a society. In studying such phenomena in the archaeological record, it is important to monitor all types of ornamental stones, not just those highly valued nephrites that approach the ideal tremolite composition.
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148 Han & Hong (2009) and Tang Y. et al. (2002). It was early on referred to as Manas jasper (Zou et al. 2002).
149 Shi Q. (1987).
150 Iizuka (2012).
Many minerals and rocks are common to both nephrite ore deposits and assemblages of false jades, confirming that the latter may have an important role to play in determining nephrite sources, and artefact production and consumption patterns.

Two areas where nephrites occur in association with other types of potentially ornamental rocks are discussed below.

**Jades from the Qinling orogenic zone**

As mentioned above, some of the major types of ‘jades’ used in China throughout the centuries were not and are not ‘true jades’ but other rocks and minerals. However, some of these non-nephrite jades were subject to the same formation processes or occur in the same tectonic settings as nephrites. Here, Dushan jade (a non-nephrite) and Lantian jade (both nephrite and non-nephrite) will be discussed.

Dushan jade, from Nanyang City in Henan Province, is a zoisitised plagioclase formed through many metamorphic events within the Qinling orogenic belt.\(^{151}\) It is an aggregate of three minerals: plagioclase, zoisite, and varieties of hornblende. This jade formed in a fault zone under extreme tectonic stress—where cataclastic metamorphism involved the grinding of rocks, leading to the generation of fluids and recrystallisation of minerals.\(^{152}\) Metasomatic textures have been reported. Several trace-elements, especially chromium, produce Dushan jade of different colours, resulting from several different episodes of metamorphic reaction.

Among the accessory minerals of Dushan jade are tremolite and actinolite. At least some of this tremolite is known to be felted, but the issue here is whether this mineral can occur in large enough masses to be mineable as nephrite rather than just an accessory to zoisitised plagioclase. The answer to this could lie in the tectonic setting of Luanchuan nephrite, which occurs slightly northwest of Dushan in the northern Qinling orogenic zone. We saw above that Luanchuan nephrite was likely produced within fault zones under tectonic stress, similar to Dushan jade. However, the presence in that region of dolomarble and meta-gabbro provided the proper constituents for the formation of ‘true jade’.

Further west, the Qinling orogenic zone runs south of Xi’an City, Shaanxi Province, where the Chang’an capitals of the Han and Tang Dynasties were located. The official jade mine of the Tang Dynasty was situated at Mt. Yu (Jade Mountain, now called Mt. Wangshun) in the Qinling Mountains about 30–35 km southeast of Chang’an.\(^{153}\) Lantian jade was exploited through several early dynasties into the 13th
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\(^{151}\) Zhang G. *et al.* (1989), Hacker *et al.* (2004).

\(^{152}\) Xiao Q. *et al.* (2009).

\(^{153}\) Anon (n.d.a.); the geographical source of Lantian jades is said in Shi Q. (1987) to be 玉泉山 (Mt. Yuquan); there is a Mt. Quan (泉山) located north of Xi’an near Yan’an City, but 玉泉山 is likely a mistake (*quan* for *chuan*) or conflation of 玉山 (Yushan) and 玉川漙 (Yuchuanzhen, Yu River Township) near
century, and then it disappeared. New sources were discovered in 1978, but it is controversial whether the new jade is the same material as archaic Lantian jade (lantianyu).

Lantian jades, found in Lantian County, may have formed by the same types of metamorphic process. Over 100 ophiolites are known from the Qinling orogenic zone, so it is possible that contact metasomatism was responsible. Some archaic Lantian jades are identified as nephrite; white and whitish-yellow tremolite was highly prized, but a blue variety was exceptionally treasured. The exact source of these nephrites is unknown and may have been exhausted early on. Almost all modern Lantian jades are not nephrite.

Mineralogical analysis identified several different types of modern Lantian jade: two types based on serpentine minerals, and a separate type of serpentinised marble. They all have similar accessory minerals (calcite, dolomite, talc, augite, chlorite, zircon, and tremolite), but the trace elements, manganese, zirconium, and zinc, occur at higher levels in the marble, further distinguishing the two rocks.

Thus, the Qinling orogenic zone has given rise to nephrite as well as several kinds of non-nephrite jades: serpentinite (antigorite, lizardite), zoisitised plagioclase, and serpentinitised marble.

Jades from the Jiao–Liao–He belt
To the northeast, the Xiuyan Manchu Autonomous District in Liaoning Province now supplies more than 60 per cent of today’s serpentinite jade for the domestic market—in addition to overseas sales. Three colours are prominent, based on varieties of the three main serpentine minerals: lizardite is green, antigorite is white, and yellow is formed from lizardite, antigorite, and chrysotile.

Several jades are known from Xiuyan: plain serpentinite jade is known as ‘new mountain jade’ or xiuyu. Such serpentinite invaded by iron oxides is called flower jade, or hwayu. Jiacui is a hemi-jade: a mixture of tremolite and serpentine. In addition, there are two types of nephrite: Longtan moyu, a black tremolite–actinolite containing
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Mt. Yu in Lantian County southeast of Xi’an. The Shi Q. (1987) reference is probably responsible for Beijing Tourism stating that Lantian jade comes from north of Xi’an, while most cite Yuchuan玉川, Lantian County in the southeast as the source.

Bijing Toruism (2014), Anon. (n.d.a).

Wang Y. et al. (2012).

Mindat (n.d.), citing Zhang J. & Luo (2002).

Wang Y. et al. (2012), Zhang J. & Luo (2002), Xia & Luo (2002).

One, ophicalcite, is serpentinite massively intruded by calcite veins (Wen & Jing 1996, Strekeisen 2007–17).

Liu J. & Cui (2012).
calcite and olivine. And laoyu ‘old jade’, which is a white tremolite.\textsuperscript{160} A serpentinised marble is another product containing 10–65 per cent serpentine minerals.\textsuperscript{161} Tremolite jade has also been discovered in nearby Kuandian. These are the two sources in Liaoning, and it should now be clear that none of these product names directly reveals their mineral contents.

New research has provided a geological setting for the formation of these jades: the Jiao–Liao–He belt, which stretches across the Liaodong Peninsula into the Shandong Peninsula, previously interpreted as a rift zone, has been reinterpreted as an arc–continent collision zone.\textsuperscript{162} It may thus be part of the Nipponides orogenic belt that extends from Sakhalin Island in the north through Japan and Cathaysia perhaps as far as Hainan Island.\textsuperscript{163} This would potentially provide the limestone and igneous rocks necessary for host rock and nephrite formation and account for the abundance of serpentinite in the region. It is possible, judging from map locations, that Laiyang jade from Yantai County in Shangdong\textsuperscript{164} and the newly discovered Pizhou City ‘Lantian jade’ also formed in the Jiao–Liao–He belt as it dives deep into Jiangsu Province. Again, the juxtapositioning of the igneous and limestone rocks in specific tectonic settings, as seen in southeastern China,\textsuperscript{165} is the clue needed for mining these valued ornamental rocks: more serpentinite than nephrite, but the potential for both.

**Nephrite analyses**

Previous archaeological research on sourcing Chinese D-nephrite artefacts ground to a halt in the 1990s for several reasons.\textsuperscript{166} First, the composition of tremolite objects was assessed through destructive whole-rock chemical analysis; there was not enough variation to distinguish between the nephrites (because they all conformed to the major and minor elements in the ‘ideal’ tremolite composition, as seen in Table 3). Second, there were few if any analyses of raw D-nephrites from geological sources with which to compare minor and trace elements.

Analyses of archaeological jades in China picked up again in the early 2000s, after non-destructive PIXE analyses became available, though this technique did not become popular until 2010.\textsuperscript{167} PIXE provides compositional data for individual points

\textsuperscript{160} Anon. (n.d.b), Liang (2012).
\textsuperscript{161} Liu Y. (2013).
\textsuperscript{162} Li Z. \textit{et al.} (2016).
\textsuperscript{163} Yakubchuk (2008).
\textsuperscript{164} Liu L. (1996) and Shi Q. (1987).
\textsuperscript{165} Niu \textit{et al.} (2015).
\textsuperscript{166} Jing Zhichun (pers. comm. February 2014, April 2015).
\textsuperscript{167} Proton Induced X-ray Emission; cf. Chen T. \textit{et al.} (2004).
on samples; with the recognition that composition can vary through space in a zoned deposit, several spot analyses on individual samples are required to understand the structure of sample composition. This is particularly useful if samples cross the tremolite–actinolite border, or to elucidate colour gradations, as in Alamas nephrite or post-depositional alteration. In this respect, hemi-jades—where the content of tremolite–actinolite or jadeite drops below the percentage used to define nephrite and jadeitite rocks—might be most useful. The associated minerals in such compositions are clearly associated with the true jade minerals and indicate conditions of formation that perhaps can be traced to the source.

More chemical data are now available on nephrite source materials than on nephrite artefacts. Reports must be handled with care, however, because terminology is constantly a source of misunderstanding. For example, one report discusses ‘serpentine jade’ clearly divided into Type I from carbonate and Type II formed from metasomatism of ultrabasics. These are not to be confused with dN and sN but represent stage (3) process in Figure 5 identifying serpentine minerals from different parent rocks. The characters for another green stone, ‘jasper’ (biyu 碧玉), can also be used to mean ‘green jade’, usually sN. Another problem is the naming of minerals in compositional analyses: it is not always clear whether tremolite and/or actinolite have the felting necessary for the rock to be considered as nephrite.

For the few artefact tests that have been done, analysis generally aims at identifying the mineral or rock, particularly to distinguish nephrites from non-nephrites. Little work has been done on the distinctive compositions of either of these groups of archaeological artefacts. Nevertheless, some unique characteristics have been discovered in nephrite source materials.

Hydrogen/oxygen isotope ratios $\delta D(\%)/\delta^{18}O(\%)$ in Alamas nephrites ‘clearly indicate differences in formation temperature, isotope compositions of the source rock, and/or fluid/rock ratio during nephrite formation’ compared with other D-nephrites around the world. Local geological conditions have contributed to other distinctive varieties. The high strontium–Sr content of Xiaomeiling nephrite is noted as distinguishing it from all others, and it also has high potassium–K and sodium–Na contents; the strontium is derived from the surrounding country rock, as indicated by the presence of a deposit of celestine (SrSO$_4$) about 60 km from Xiaomeiling at Aijing Hill in Lishui, Jiangsu Province. Similarly, Wenchuan nephrite has high manganese/iron ratio (Mn/Fe) due to a deposit of the polianite variety of

---

168 Chen Q. et al. (2014).
169 Liu Y. et al. (2011b: 450), and Figure 8.
170 Zhang Z. W. et al. (2012).
pyrolusite (MnO₂) about 80 km away at Heishui, Sichuan. Qinghai nephrites contain wollastonite (CaSiO₃), distinguishing them from Hetian nephrites.

Artefacts made from nephrite from these sources should exhibit similar chemical constitutions. Jades from the Jinsha Culture in Sichuan have characteristic rare earth element contents (REE) that conform to the Longxi Township nephrite source, in Wenchuan County. However, analysis of jade objects excavated from Liangzhu Culture graves proved them not to be made from Xiaomeiling nephrite, despite initial assumptions that they were. The Liangzhu artefacts did not have the high strontium levels of the Xiaomeiling source material. Longshan jades have high Mn/Fe ratios, but they were not at that time attributed to the Wenchuan source. An outstanding characteristic of Liangzhu jades instead is their retention of sedimentary structures from the original limestone.

There is also growing use of absolute dating of nephrites and nephrite source materials to distinguish products and processes. Using argon-isotope dating, Xiaomeiling nephrites have been dated to ca. 120 mya, compared to nephrites from Qiemo at 277.3 mya. Testing of Early Neolithic artefacts from the nearby archaeological site of Lingjiatan produced estimated ages of 40–120 mya (based on several assumptions), making it more likely they were from the Xiaomeiling deposit (but strontium levels were not assessed). Comparative dating of the titanite formed simultaneously within the tremolite at Luanchuan, using SIMS U–Pb dating and U–Th–Pb dating of meta-gabbro, showed that the tremolite is 500 million years younger than the meta-gabbros. Thus, nephrites could not have formed by contact metasomatism with gabbro.
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CONCLUSIONS

Much of this paper has been devoted to definition of terms and explanations of geological settings and processes involving nephrite and jadeitite formation. At a minimum, we should bow to geological practice and correctly refer to nephrite and jadeitite rocks, while saving specific mineral names (tremolite, actinolite, and jadeite) for the mineral constituents of those rocks. Furthermore, we must never assume that a ‘jade’ object is made of either nephrite or jadeitite without examination. Many other rocks and minerals have been used, past and present, as ornamental stones. Walker gives a good review of jade simulants, their various ‘jade’ names, and how to distinguish them from true jades. Identifying simulants and false jades can protect the wallet, but the information the latter hold for archaeological studies should not be ignored.

One terminological problem remains: that is the close phrasing of ‘serpentine jade’ and ‘nephrite from serpentine’. These two should not be confused. Nephrite formed in serpentinite (S-nephrite) is most often actinolite, a true jade mineral, while serpentine or serpentinite ‘jade’ is comprised of one or more of the serpentine minerals.

The potential occurrence of ophiolitic or mélangé serpentinite across the landscape provides a ready source for its use in ornamental-object production, as in Soochow (bowenite), Lantian (antigorite, lizardite), and Xiuyan (antigorite, lizardite) jades. Identification of these products—serpentine and nephrite—as artefacts may inform on source regions. Xiuyan is a particularly difficult source, as the name is applied to both D-nephrite and serpentine jade; the former is called ‘old jade’ laoyu—found in archaeological sites—while the latter supplies most of the serpentinite false-jade market in China today.

Among the D-nephrites (from dolomarble), white tremolite objects have in the past been valued by archaeologists more highly than other colours. The past analytical concentration on white tremolites to the exclusion of other colours stalled research efforts on sourcing, but today, even some Hetian nephrites are recognised to be actinolite, having darker green colour with increasing iron content. The identification of colour-bearing constituents of the nephrite matrix leads to better description: chromite inclusions rather than ‘black spots’. Better vocabulary for describing nephrites must follow increasingly accurate description: instead of ‘brown inclusions’, read ‘ochre to bright orange staining from iron oxidation in the weathering rinds of alluvial/eluvial nephrite boulders’.

179 Walker (1991).
180 Shi M. et al. (2015).
181 Harlow et al. (2014: 341).
As the processes of nephrite formation and tectonic settings become clearer, the significance of many nephrite characteristics increases (especially the significance of REE contents and trace elements). Plate tectonics plays a large role in determining the nature and distribution of nephrite sources across the landscape. Jades can be dated with radiometric techniques such as argon isotope and uranium–lead isotope dating, allowing nephrite artefacts to be matched to ophiolite-belt dates and possible sources therein. As the dates of Chinese ophiolites stretch over a billion years, there is the possibility of wide divergence among them. The Shanghai Institute of Ceramics is in the process of establishing a geological database for nephrite minerals from different deposits, investigating nephrite isotopic ratios, and analysing parent rocks and their formation processes of nephrite.

The future looks bright for jade research in China, and many results are being published in English in major scientific journals (however, be wary of machine-translated texts). This should open a new era of investigation by archaeologists into assemblage compositions by rock and mineral type, their possible sources, patterns of raw-material procurement, artefact manufacture, distribution, and consumption. Information should be given on where the artefacts were excavated and where they are now located, along with published references. In all mineralogical and geochemical analyses of nephrite, we would hope that certain information is always provided:

1. host rock and contact rock, their ages and tectonic settings;
2. identity of essential mineral(s) (not just ‘nephrite’) and whether the minerals are felted or not;
3. chemical composition and spectroscopic results;
4. a statement whether the nephrite minerals are thought to be derived from dolomarble or serpentinite, and whether that serpentinite is derived in turn from dolomarble or mafic/ultramafic rocks;
5. postulation on metamorphic processes (contact metamorphism, contact metasomatism, cataclastic metamorphism): which rocks were involved and what chemical transformations took place; and
6. which rocks contributed the elements that form the minerals (e.g., iron from ultramafics).

Then we can begin to fill in the blank spaces in Table 7 and track patterns of dating, geographic locations, rock associations, and mineral assemblages. The use of rare earth elements and other trace elements in characterising sources has already produced interesting associations and identified distinguishing characteristics. Exciting, indeed!
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