Use of screw locking elements improves radiological and biomechanical results of femoral osteotomies
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Abstract

Background: Dynamic compression plate (DCP) constructs provide inadequate fixation in cases of poor bone quality and early weight-bearing. Screw locking elements (SLE) are flat locking nuts placed at the end of the screw to prevent screw stripping from the bone, improving fixation stability. The purpose of this work was to compare biomechanical and radiological evaluations of femoral ovine osteotomies fixed using DCP constructs with and without SLE.

Method: A dyaphyseal femoral osteotomy was performed in sixteen adult sheep and fixed with a DCP and cortical screws. Half of the animals were operated on with a SLE on each side of the osteotomy and the rest without the addition of SLE. Four animals of each group were euthanized after 8 weeks, and the remaining after 16 weeks. Both femora of each animal were radiographed and mechanically tested in torsion.

Results: Radiologically femoral malalignment or screw loosening was observed in six out of the eight animals operated on without SLE. In contrast, all animals subjected to the operation with SLE showed complete radiological consolidation of the osteotomy. Seven of these eight animals showed normal femoral alignment and no osteosynthesis failure. Stiffness of the bones fixed with SLE was among 145% and 177% the value of their contralateral non-operated femurs (all animals of this group showed greater stiffness on the operated bone than its contralateral non-operated femur). However, stiffness of the bones operated on without SLE was among 58% and 87% the value of the stiffness of their contralateral non-operated bone (all animals of this group showed greater stiffness on the non-operated bone than the osteotomized ones).

Conclusions: Use of SLE avoided loosening of the system and stimulated stronger osteotomy consolidation. Clinical application of this improved system may thus be a feasible and cost-effective alternative to other more rigid and expensive bone fixation techniques.
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Background

The open reduction and internal fixation of fractures is one of the common used options to treat instable fractures. The stability of this fixation is mainly dependent on anchorage of the screw in the bone. During initial stabilization, poor bone quality, such as that observed in osteoporosis, disuse osteopenia or hidden fissure lines, screw overtightening and excessive mechanical demand may cause inadequate screw anchorage, leading to less stable bone fixation and subsequent osteosynthesis failure. The result of this may be residual pain, delayed union or nonunion and bone misalignment.

The development of locking plates (LP) has provided a suitable alternative to standard compression plates. LP contain threaded screw holes that support rigid engagement of threaded locking screw heads with the plate. The resulting locking plate constructs provide considerable...
fixation strength via fixed-angle stabilization, rather
than plate-to-bone compression required with conven-
tional non-locked plating constructs. However, earlier ex-
perimental data have suggested that the overall construct
stiffness of LP systems is increased [1-4]. Stiffness of
locked plating constructs can suppress interfragmentary
motion to a level that is insufficient to reliably promote
secondary fracture healing by callus formation [5]. Ideal
stiffness may be significantly less than that achieved with
these locked constructs, and overly stiff constructs may
lead to impaired fracture healing and stress concentration
at the ends of the plate [4,6]. The ideal construct necessi-
tates maintenance of screw purchase and fracture reduc-
tion until healing is complete while allowing sufficient,
but not excessive, fracture micromotion [2,4,7].

The plate-screw rigid interface causes uneven stress
distribution, whereby stress risers cause bone fracture at
the end screw [6] and stress shielding under the plate can
lead to bone resorption [2]. From a clinical viewpoint,
the stiffness of the standard locked plating constructs and
complications observed with their use are issues of in-
creasing concern [8,9]. Moreover the high price of this
technology presents an important restriction for many
surgeons. Developing countries and those with a small
budget health care system are rarely able to employ these
techniques due to affordability constraints [10-12].

To improve the fixation stability of conventional
unlocked systems, Yanez et al. [13] proposed the use of
screw locking nuts, placed at the ends of the screw
shafts, which have been denoted ‘screw locking elements’
(SLE). These can be easily applied through a specially
manufactured device [14] and their use provide to DCP
constructs similar fixation strength that LCP constructs,
with significant less stiffness [15].

In view of the evident mechanical benefits of SLE ex vivo [13,15], we further aimed to validate these results in vivo. In the current investigation, we have performed
biomechanical and radiological comparative evaluation of
ovine femoral osteotomies fixed with DCP and stand-
ard screws with and without SLE.

Methods
Sixteen skeletally mature, healthy female Merino-mix
sheep (2.5 to 3.5 years old with mean ± SD weight of
63 ± 8 kg) were divided into two groups of eight ani-
mal each. This study was carried out according to the
policies and principles established by the Spanish Health
Authorities in their Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory
Animals as well as the European Animal Welfare Guide-
lines (86/609/EEC), and approved by the local legal rep-
resentative (University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria
Ethics Committee for Animal Welfare: registration num-
ber 007/2010 CEBA ULPGC).

Animal Models and Surgical Procedures
Under general anesthesia with isoflurane, the right hind
leg was steriley draped and a 12 to 15 cm long lateral
incision made over the femur. After longitudinal incision
of the fasciae latae, the vastus lateralis was lifted from
the femur coagulating the small penetrating arteries. A
standard narrow 4.5 mm stainless steel DCP plate (Zimmer
Inc, Warsaw, Indiana) with six or eight holes was bent to
fit the lateral face of the diaphysis of the bone at an ap-
proximately equidistant position between the greater tro-
chanter and lateral femoral condyle. Eight animals were
randomly operated on and fixed with a plate of 6 screws
(group 6 s), and the remaining eight subjected to osteot-
omy with a plate of 8 screws (group 8 s).

Tapping screws of 4.5 mm were used, their length pro-
truding 2 mm beyond the far cortical. A expert surgeon in
osteoosynthesis applied manual torque, similar to the nor-
mal clinical setting, to fit the screws. In four animals of
each group, a SLE (Surgival, Valencia, Spain) was placed
on the tip of the screw at position 2 from the osteotomy
on each side. The SLE, measuring 12×12×4 mm, was
manufactured from the same surgical steel as the screws.
These elements have a central threaded 4.5 mm in diam-
eter hole to fit the screw. A specifically designed device
[13] was used to place the SLE in the appropriate position
(Figure 1). A 4.5 mm screw hole was drilled to permit
smooth screw insertion into the SLE. For the rest of the
screws, a drill bit of 3.2 mm was used. Manual torque was
applied to fit the screw and SLE until sufficient resistance
was encountered in a similar way to that used for screws
without SLE.

After placing the plates and screws, a transverse osteot-
omy was made at the middle point of the plate using a
Gigli saw 1.5 mm in diameter. No external immobilization
was utilized, and animals were allowed to move and walk
freely following the operation, with food and drink pro-
vided ad libitum. One gram intravenous Cefuroxime was
used as antibiotic prophylaxis, and administered both pre
and 24 hours postoperatively. Analgesia with Bupremor-
ine (5 micrograms/Kg twice a day) and Flunixin (1 mg/Kg
once a day) was applied for the first week. A qualified vet-
erinarian was exclusively dedicated to animal care during
the whole project. Radiographs of the operated limb were
obtained immediately after the operation and every four
weeks thereafter.

Animals of group 8 s were sacrificed 8 weeks after the
operation and animals of group 6 s after 16 weeks, using
Thiopental overdose. Both femurs were harvested from
each animal. Radiographic images of bones were obtained
in the antero-posterior and lateral positions, and hardware
removed from the femurs subjected to operation. Criteria
for radiological assessment were as follows: a) number of
periosteal bridges in the antero-posterior and lateral views
(range 0–4), b) femoral alignment in comparison with the
contralateral femur, c) loosening of screws in relation to immediate postoperative radiography.

After hardware removal, mechanical testing was performed on both unoperated and osteotomized femora. The proximal and distal ends of the bones were rigidly embedded in mounting fixtures with the use of bone cement and the bone suspended in a torsional testing system perfectly aligned (Microtest, Madrid, Spain). To minimize alignment artifacts, the proximal fixture was attached to a universal joint that permitted rotations around the anteroposterior and mediolateral axes but prevented rotation around the diaphyseal axis [3]. Rotation around the femur shaft axis was applied at a rate of 10° per minute under an axial preload of 20 N. Torsional stiffness was calculated from the linear slope of torsion versus the rotation curve.

For statistical analysis, data are presented as mean values and standard deviation of the whole SLE-fixed group and the non SLE-fixed group as well as mean values of each group. Statistical differences between the operated and their non-operated contralateral bones were assessed with the Wilcoxon test at a level of significance of p < 0.05. Differences between osteotomized bones with and without SLE were assessed with a T-test for unpaired samples.

Results
Radiographs obtained immediately after surgery showed normal alineation of bones with screws and plates, indicating that SLE was appropriately placed in all the animals. Mobility of animals was fairly restricted for the first week after the operation, especially those subjected to the operation without SLE. Animals were fed by the veterinarian until they were able to feed independently.

Mechanical testing
The results of individual mechanical testing are showed in Table 1. After 8 weeks, stiffness of the femurs operated on without SLE showed between 77% and 86% the value of the stiffness of their contralateral non-operated femurs. Femora subjected to osteotomy and fixed with SLE showed between 153% and 177% the value of the stiffness of their contralateral non-operated femur. Mean value of the stiffness of the SLE osteotomized bones was significantly greater than that of non SLE osteotomized bones (2.12 ± 0.32 vs 1.32 ± 0.23, p = 0.032).

After 16 weeks, stiffness of the bones operated on without SLE showed between 58% and 68% the value of the stiffness of their contralateral non-operated femur. Femora subjected to osteotomy and fixed with SLE showed between 145% and 155% the value of the stiffness of their contralateral non-operated femur. Mean value of the stiffness of the SLE osteotomized bones was significatively greater than that of non SLE osteotomized bones (2.57 ± 0.55 vs 1.45 ± 0.45, p = 0.007).

All animals showed greater stiffness of the SLE-stabilized bone than its contralateral non-operated bone (mean value was 2.34 ± 0.47 vs 1.51 ± 0.38, p = 0.012). All animals showed lesser stiffness of the non-SLE fixed femur than its contralateral non-operated bone (mean value was 1.39 ± 0.33 vs 1.96 ± 0.65, p = 0.012) (Table 2).

Radiological findings
Radiological results are summarized in Table 3. After 8 weeks, only one of the four animals subjected to the operation without SLE showed osteotomy consolidation with four bridged corticals, normal alignment and no osteosynthesis failure. Another animal showed complete consolidation with a varus deviation of 30°. A third animal showed only one bridged cortical with signs of delayed healing, normal alignment, and one loose screw. The fourth animal exhibited complete absence of consolidation with loosening of all proximal screws (Figure 2).

In contrast, all the animals operated on with SLE showed complete consolidation and normal alignment of bones.
One of the animals in this group displayed loosening of a screw without SLE. However, consolidation and alignment remained normal in this case. No failure of osteosynthesis was observed in the rest of the animals. After 16 weeks, all the animals operated on without SLE showed recurvatum greater than 20° (Figure 4), with one case recorded as 30° plus 30° of varus. This specimen displayed clear signs of pseudoarthrosis and loosening of all proximal screws (Figure 4). The other three animals showed consolidation of osteotomy. One of these animals presented a loosened screw. All four animals in the SLE group showed complete consolidation of osteotomy, and three displayed normal alignment of the bone (Figure 5).

### Discussion

The mechanical stability provided by DCP-unlocked screw constructs is usually sufficient to maintain fixation until fracture consolidation in normal bones. However, in cases of poor bone quality or high mechanical demand before consolidation, this fixation may be insufficient, leading to

| Table 1 Torsional stiffness (Nm/deg) of all the animal's femora |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sheep number | Osteotomized bone | Non operated bone | Difference | Percentual difference |
|---------------|-------------------|------------------|------------|-----------------------|
| **DCP + 6 s** |                   |                  |            |                       |
| 1             | 1.2450            | 1.8961           | 0.6511     | 65.7                  |
| 2             | 1.9512            | 3.3641           | 1.4129     | 58.0                  |
| 3             | 1.6870            | 2.4488           | 0.7618     | 68.9                  |
| 4             | 0.9359            | 1.5825           | 0.6466     | 59.1                  |
| **Mean ± SD** | 1.45 ± 0.45*      | 2.32 ± 0.78      |            |                       |
| **Group 6 s** |                   |                  |            |                       |
| 1             | 2.6340            | 1.8145           | −0.8195    | 145.2                 |
| 2             | 2.8822            | 1.8644           | −1.0178    | 154.6                 |
| **DCP + 6 s + 2SLE** |   |                  |            |                       |
| 3             | 2.9860            | 2.1230           | −0.8630    | 140.7                 |
| 4             | 1.7810            | 1.1800           | −0.6010    | 150.9                 |
| **Mean ± SD** | 2.57 ± 0.55**     | 1.75 ± 0.40      |            |                       |
| **Group 8 s** |                   |                  |            |                       |
| 1             | 1.4410            | 1.6611           | 0.2201     | 86.8                  |
| 2             | 1.0790            | 1.3860           | 0.3070     | 77.9                  |
| **DCP + 8 s** |                   |                  |            |                       |
| 3             | 1.6130            | 1.8819           | 0.2689     | 85.7                  |
| 4             | 1.2360            | 1.4949           | 0.2589     | 82.7                  |
| **Mean ± SD** | 1.34 ± 0.23**     | 1.61 ± 0.22      |            |                       |
| **DCP + 8 s + 2SLE** |   |                  |            |                       |
| 1             | 2.2540            | 1.2710           | −0.9830    | 177.3                 |
| 2             | 1.8401            | 1.2000           | −0.6401    | 153.3                 |
| 3             | 1.8880            | 1.0630           | −0.8250    | 177.6                 |
| 4             | 2.5130            | 1.5670           | −0.9460    | 160.4                 |
| **Mean ± SD** | 2.12 ± 0.32**d    | 1.28 ± 0.21      |            |                       |

Group DCP + 6 s: plate of 6 screws without SLE euthanized after 16 weeks; Group DCP + 6 s + 2SLE: plate of 6 screws with 2 SLE euthanized after 16 weeks; Group DCP + 8 s: plate of 8 screws without SLE euthanized after 8 weeks; Group DCP + 8 s + 2SLE: plate of 8 screws with 2 SLE euthanized after 8 weeks.

*p = 0.032 when comparing values of osteotomized bones of DCP + 6 s + 2SLE group with values of osteotomized bones of DCP + 6 s group (T test for unpaired samples).

**p = 0.002 when comparing values of osteotomized bones of DCP + 8 s + 2SLE group with values of osteotomized bones of DCP + 8 s group (T test for unpaired samples).

*p = 0.012 when comparing osteotomized with their contralateral non-operated bones (Wilcoxon test).

### Table 2 Mean ± SD values of torsional stiffness (Nm/deg) of SLE and non-SLE operated on groups

| Group    | n   | Mean ± SD | 95% confidence interval |
|----------|-----|-----------|-------------------------|
| Non-SLE  |     |           |                         |
| Osteotomized | 8  | 1.39 ± 0.33* | 1.19 - 1.62          |
| Non-operated | 8  | 1.96 ± 0.65  | 1.59 - 2.45           |
| SLE      |     |           |                         |
| Osteotomized | 8  | 2.34 ± 0.47* | 2.03 - 2.65          |
| Non-operated | 8  | 1.51 ± 0.38  | 1.26 - 1.76           |

*p = 0.012 when comparing osteotomized with their contralateral non-operated bones (Wilcoxon test).
loosening of screws, and consequently, delay or nonunion of the fracture or inadequate bone alignment. The findings of the current study support this observation. While the number of experimental animals was relatively small, the majority of those subjected to osteotomy with DCP and screws alone displayed some of the above mentioned complications.

The use of SLE in our animals provided an objective benefit from both radiological and biomechanical points of view. All animals operated on with SLE showed consolidation of osteotomy after 8 weeks, with only a single subject displaying a small malalignment of the bone. Although one of these animals presented a loose screw without SLE, this had no influence on alignment or consolidation of bone, suggesting that just a single SLE provides sufficient stability to counter failure of fixation by one of the remaining screws. No radiological

Table 3 Radiological findings

| Sheep number | Cortical bridging | Femoral alignment | Osteosynthesis failure |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| DCP + 6 s    |                   |                   |                        |
| 1            | 4                 | 15° recurvatum    | 1 loose screw          |
| 2            | 4                 | 30° recurvatum    | No                     |
| 3            | 4                 | 30° recurvatum    | No                     |
| 4            | 0                 | 30° recurvatum and 30° varus | Loosening of all proximal screws |
| Group 6 s    |                   |                   |                        |
| DCP + 6 s + 2SLE |           |                   |                        |
| 1            | 4                 | Normal            | No                     |
| 2            | 4                 | Normal            | No                     |
| 3            | 4                 | Normal            | No                     |
| 4            | 4                 | 15° recurvatum and 10° valgus | No |
| DCP + 8 s    |                   |                   |                        |
| 1            | 1                 | Normal            | 1 loose screw          |
| 2            | 0                 | Complete disalignment | Loosening of all proximal screws |
| 3            | 4                 | Normal            | No                     |
| 4            | 4                 | 30° varus         | Plate bending          |
| Group 8 s    |                   |                   |                        |
| DCP + 8 s + 2SLE |           |                   |                        |
| 1            |                   | Normal            | No                     |
| 2            |                   | Normal            | No                     |
| 3            |                   | Normal            | 1 loose screw          |
| 4            |                   | Normal            | No                     |

Group DCP + 6 s: plate of 6 screws without SLE euthanized after 16 weeks; Group DCP + 6 s + 2SLE: plate of 6 screws with 2 SLE euthanized after 16 weeks; Group DCP + 8 s: plate of 8 screws without SLE euthanized after 8 weeks; Group DCP + 8 s + 2SLE: plate of 8 screws with 2 SLE euthanized after 8 weeks.

Figure 2 Two examples of complications in animals operated on without SLE after 8 weeks: absence of callus bridging (left) and loosening of all proximal screws (right).

Figure 3 Two examples of bones operated on with SLE after 8 weeks. Both of them show complete consolidation of the osteotomy and normal alinement.
or postmorten signs of loosening or intolerance to SLE were observed in animals, indicative of perfect tolerance.

Our data provide biological confirmation of the *ex vivo* results obtained on surrogate osteoporotic bones by the group of Yanez [13,15]. A single SLE placed on each side of the osteotomy provided enough stability to prevent failure of DCP with standard screw constructs. The majority of earlier studies on long bone fractures in sheep have been performed on tibiae [3,16,17], whereas we focused on femurs. In this work we have conclusively shown that SLE provides stability, even under conditions of high mechanical stress such as odd bones and unrestricted weight bearing following the operation.

The high failure rate of the DCP internal fixation system in repair of osteoporotic, early weight-bearing and poor-quality bone fractures has been clearly documented [18-22]. Failures of these constructs occur mainly due to screw loosening before fracture consolidation. To improve stability of fixation in cases of osteoporotic or osteopenic bones it has been developed the locking plate technology. This relies on screws threaded into the plate, minimizing the importance of bone quality for stabilization of the fixation system. In cases of anatomical reduction and interfragmentary compression, the LCP system possibly provides the optimal situation for primary bone healing. However, when there is no complete contact between fragments, secondary bone healing is necessary. This type of healing requires some interfragmentary micromovement to ensure success. In this sense, the ideal construct should be strong enough to avoid screw and plate fixation failure, but at the same time, have sufficient flexibility to facilitate secondary healing of the fracture [17,23].

Since excessive rigidity is one of the main recognized disadvantages of locked plating technology, several investigators have attempted to resolve this problem without impairing the strength and stability provided by these systems. Botlang *et al.* [1-3] proposed the use of a strategy known as ‘far cortical locking’ (FCL). This basically involves increasing the drill diameter for the first (near) cortical bone, allowing the screw to only engage with the second (far) cortical bone. The authors reported a significant reduction in axial stiffness, along with a modest reduction in axial strength and increase in torsional and bending strength. The effect of FCL was further confirmed *in vivo* using an established ovine tibial osteotomy model [3]. Along similar lines, Gardner *et al.* [4] concluded that by replacing slots with holes in the near cortex under a locked plate, axial stiffness of the LCP could be reduced while maintaining construct stability. Far cortical locking reduces the stiffness of a locked plating construct, but requires accurate application to obtain a desired motion envelope in the near cortex. If a far cortical locking screw is in contact with the near cortex on insertion, it may reduce or prevent elastic flexion of the screw shafts, and thereby impede reduction of construct stiffness [3].

Locking a screw with a SLE beyond the far cortical allows micromovement at the plate-screw interface as well as within the cortex envelope of the screw. In this sense, a recent study has demonstrated that after 10,000 cycles,
interfragmentary micromovement at the far cortical was 70% greater in DCP-8screw-2SLE constructs than the LCP-8 locked screw constructs [24]. Fixation strength of the construct is maintained, since engagement of the screw by the SLE is independent of the bone quality. While SLE avoids screw disengagement of the locked screw limiting the movement of the fracture focus, the remaining screws (without SLE) contribute to fixation of the construct.

As it has been shown ex vivo fixation strength provided by the SLE avoids loosening and stripping of the screw, similar to LCP constructs [13]. However the excessive stiffness achieved with LCP is not observed with the use of a single SLE on each side of the osteotomy [15]. The use of SLE avoids screw disengagement from the plate independent of bone quality. In our study, a gap of nearly 2 mm was created to simulate a poorly reduced fracture. The high index of failures observed in our animals operated on without SLE suggests that the DCP-non SLE construct is a poor option to treat diaphyseal fractures of weight-bearing bones early after the operation. Conversely, results obtained from our animals subjected to osteotomy and fixed with SLE demonstrate that these locking nuts provide sufficient fixation stability to stimulate osteotomy consolidation without failing construct. To implant the SLE was necessary to strip off the muscle from the bone by around 15 mm to place them beyond the far cortical, however this had no negative effect on bone healing in our animals.

Our study has a few limitations. Firstly, the number of animals under investigation was small. However, due to the high mortality and morbidity in the not SLE operated on group we decided not to increase the number of animals. Since the average results of stiffness of osteotomized bones were quite similar at 8 and 16 weeks, both for the SLE treated group and for the not SLE operated one, we considered that time passed after the operation and number of screws used had no influence in the differences observed in our work. We think that both radiological and biomechanical findings of our work were enough to validate the reproducibility of the results [3,25,26]. Biomechanical tests were conducted only in torsion, and we do not know if the results would be consistent with those of mechanical tests in flexion or compression. However, torsional tests are most widely used in vivo, and considered sufficient to reach valid conclusions from the biomechanical point of view [2,3,16,26]. Moreover, numerical quantification of fracture callus was not carried out, as it would be a more objective assessment [3]. Despite its questionable inter-observer reliability, we employed the traditional method of considering cortical bridging of at least three out of four corticals as a definition of radiological healing, as recommended by several working groups [27-30]. The torque applied to the screws, including those with SLE, was not quantified. However, similar to the normal clinical setting, this seems unnecessary, since the results were homogeneous and no adverse effects were observed around the SLE.

Use of locking nuts is not new in trauma surgery. Schuhli locking nuts (Synthes, Paoli, PA, U.S.A.) are stainless steel discs with a threaded hole in the center which, when placed between the plate and bone, act to elevate the plate from the bone and lock the screw to the plate. This creates a low-profile internal fixator which improves stability of not locked constructs [31]. However this concept has now been substituted by the locking plate technology where screws are directly locked to the plate. Use of nuts located at the free end of the screw, beyond the far cortical, has been abandoned due to the big size of the nuts and difficulties inherent to their surgical placing. With the specially designed nuts and device to implant them used in our work the surgical technique is easy and the results are reproducible. While the system developed in this study needs to be validated clinically, our preliminary results suggest that this simple and cost-effective technology is applicable in multiple clinical situations, both for humans and animals. In fact, several patients (clavicle and humeral fractures) have been treated at the first author’s institution with this technology showing excellent results with no complications (unpublished results).

Conclusions
Currently, unlocked screws and plates continue to be the most widely used method in osteosynthesis. The main concern associated with this technology is loosening of the system in cases of osteoporosis or poor bone quality and also in cases of early weight-bearing. Data from the current investigation have proved that ovine diaphyseal femoral osteotomies fixed only with DCP and screws showed a high rate of consolidation failures. In contrast, the addition of a single SLE to each side of the osteotomy fixed with the same DCP-screw system provided sufficient increase in the fixation stability to prevent failure of the construct. Despite unrestricted weight bearing of animals following the operation, no osteosynthesis failure was observed in the osteotomy group fixed with SLE. Moreover, the use of these locking nuts allowed bone healing and faster osteotomy consolidation in comparison with bones operated on without SLE.
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