Fine Root Traits of *Pinus koraiensis* Varied with Soil Cation Exchange Capacity in Natural Forests
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Abstract: Adaptation of fine root plasticity to soil nutrients heterogeneity in natural forest ecosystems has not been well explored. The study aimed to determine seasonal variations of fine root traits in *Pinus koraiensis* natural forests and explore the relationship between fine root traits and soil properties. Root and soil samples were collected from the coniferous broad-leaved mixed forest (BP), monospecific *P. koraiensis* forest (MP), and coniferous mixed forest (CP). Soil available phosphorus (P) content for MP was 31.7% and 39.8% lower than for BP and CP. Soil cation exchange capacity (CEC) for MP was lower by 23.5% and 27.2% than for BP and CP, respectively. In July, specific root lengths and root surface areas for BP and CP (mixed forests) were significantly higher than for MP (monospecific forest). A structural equation model showed that CEC had a significant effect on fine root traits. Root diameter, root volume density, and root surface area density were negatively correlated with CEC. Fine root traits plasticity of *P. koraiensis* are closely related to soil available nutrient contents, CEC, and species composition at the ecosystem level.
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1. Introduction

Fine roots (diameter ≤2 mm) play an essential role in the biogeochemical cycle of forest ecosystems. Fine roots constitute 2–5% of total forest biomass [1], 22–32% of annual net primary productivity of forest ecosystems [2], and 50–70% of soil carbon [3]. The dynamics of fine roots (morphology, biomass, turnover rate, etc.) are modulated by various abiotic and biological factors, such as soil properties, tree species, and stand type [4]. Understanding the patterns of fine root traits for resource exploitation and whether they vary with soil properties is believed to produce positive effects on mature stand stability.

Fine roots mainly play a role in obtaining soil resources (water and nutrients) by changing morphological characteristics, production, and turnover [5,6]; therefore, soil properties determine fine root distribution [7]. For example, a significant relationship has been reported between soil resource availability and root trait distribution [8]. An increase in specific root length (SRL) of first-order root (order-based classification) results in faster growth of roots due to an increase in soil resource spatial and temporal availability [9]. High SRL improves resource foraging efficiency [10,11]. In secondary forest and plantation, fine root biomass decreases under sufficient soil nutrient conditions [11]. Fine root traits also vary with forms of nutrients in soil solution, such as nitrate acquisition is referred to as 'steep, cheap, and deep' by mass flow, and phosphate acquisition is referred to as 'topsoil
foraging’ [12] by diffusion. Moreover, the variations of fine root traits are reported globally and are correlated with climate conditions [7]. Previous studies report that SRL is negatively and positively associated with soil fertility [13,14], which is negatively correlated with soil bulk density [15,16]. While SRL is not correlated with soil cation exchange capacity (CEC) and soil pH variations [7]. CEC is positively correlated with fine-root tissue density (RTD), and 3% RTD variation is attributed to CEC. Soil pH is negatively correlated with RTD, and 4% variation is attributed to soil pH [7]. In addition, high trait variations are associated with most soil conditions such as soil depth, soil temperature, and water content (mainly seasonal variations) for wide-ranging resource economics strategies [17,18]. However, how and to what extent soil properties drive the root trait and function variations is still unclear, limiting our ability to estimate nutrient acquisition strategies of plants and stand stability and productivity in mixed forests.

The distribution of plant root traits is also affected by specific species, growth dynamics, and the presence or absence of other species [8]. Fine root traits respond to tree ontogenetic stage with conflicting results, such as lower SRL of Pinus sylvestris in the mature stage than in younger stages, while stable SRL of Picea abies between two stages. Fine root diameters (<2 mm) were generally thicker with the increasing tree age [19]. Root morphological traits (such as fine root diameter) were highly conserved across species [20]. In general, fine roots are thicker with lower SRL in evergreen conifers than deciduous trees [19,21]. Furthermore, the interspecific root competition direction shifted with the increasing stand age [22]. There is a certain synchrony pattern between soil properties peak and the growing season, which results in a compound for root traits and function over the growing season. It is widely believed that mixed forests are more sustainable and productive than pure stands. Vertical stratification of the rooting space occurred for some species to reducing the interspecific below-ground competition in the mixed forest [19]. Therefore, the relationship between root traits and soil properties resulting from the combination of species and vegetation over the growing season would help us better understand the ecosystem functions of fine roots and interspecific competition strategies. The objectives of this study were (1) to determine the differences in roots traits with different coexistence species in P. koraiensis natural forest, (2) to explore seasonal variations of fine root traits in P. koraiensis species, (3) and to explore the relationship between fine root traits and soil physical and chemical properties under P. koraiensis natural forest in Northeast China.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Site

The study was conducted from a broad-leaved P. koraiensis mixed forest in Changbai Mountain, located at Lushuihe Forestry Bureau (127°29′–128°02′ E, 42°20′–42°40′ N) in Fusong County of Jilin Province, China. The climate for the area is temperate continental with a long cold winter and short cool summer. The region’s annual mean temperature is 3.5 °C, annual mean precipitation is 800–1040 mm with more than 70–80% occurring from June to September. The soil of the research fields was brown forest soil with an average depth of 50 cm. Details on soil characteristics of the study site are shown in Table 1. The study site’s dominant tree species were P. koraiensis, Tilia amurensis, Quercus mongolica, Picea koraiensis, Acer truncatum, Fraxinus mandshurica, Ulmus japonica, Betula platyphylla, Juglans mandshurica, and Phellodendron amurense.
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were then dried at 65 °C with WinRhizo Pro software (Regent Instruments, Quebec, ON, Canada). All root samples were approximately 1–2 m from T. amurensis (spruce). Roots were picked based on the shape and color of P. koraiensis, and within 3 m distance around T. amurensis (spruce). Diameter (mm), length (cm), surface area (cm²), and volume (cm³) of roots were determined by scanning roots, and data were analyzed with WinRhizo Pro software (Regent Instruments, Quebec, ON, Canada). All root samples were then dried at 65 °C to constant mass (at least 48 h) and weighed. Fine root (diameter ≤ 2 mm) length density (RLD), root surface area density (RAD), and root volume density (RVD) of P. koraiensis were calculated by dividing total fine root length, surface area, and volume, respectively by soil sampling volume (20 × 20 × 10 cm³). Specific root length (SRL) (m/g) and specific root surface area (SRA) (cm²/g) were calculated by dividing the total root length and surface area of P. koraiensis fine roots by the dry weight.

### 2.2. Experimental Design

Three natural forests in Dongsheng forest farm were selected for this study in September 2017. Selected forests were broad-leaved P. koraiensis mixed forest (BP, P. koraiensis: T. amurensis: F. mandshurica: Q. mongolica: A. truncatum = 5:1:1:1, 127°53′6″ E, 42°26′53″ N), monospecific P. koraiensis forest (MP, P. koraiensis: T. amurensis: Q. mongolica = 6:1:2:1, 127°54′27″ E, 42°25′41″ N) and coniferous P. koraiensis mixed forest (CP, P. koraiensis: P. koraiensis (spruce): T. amurensis: Q. mongolica = 9:1:1, 127°53′29″ E, 42°26′41″ N) and coniferous P. koraiensis mixed forest (CP, P. koraiensis: P. koraiensis (spruce): T. amurensis: Q. mongolica = 9:1:1, 127°53′29″ E, 42°26′41″ N). Three 20 × 20 m plots were randomly established for each forest type. The average stand age (P. koraiensis) was 170, 175, and 165 for BP, MP, and CP. The canopy closure was more than 0.7, the slopes of the site were the same in all three forest types. Details of stand information are shown in Table 1.

### 2.3. Sampling

Three soil samples of 20 × 20 × 10 cm in volume were collected from each plot for root and soil analysis in May, July, and September of 2018. Soils were sampled at approximately 1–2 m from P. koraiensis and within 3 m distance around T. amurensis and/or P. koraiensis (spruce).

### 2.4. Root Traits Measurement

Roots were picked based on the shape and color of P. koraiensis, then were washed and stored at 4 °C for analysis of traits. Diameter (mm), length (cm), surface area (cm²), and volume (cm³) of roots were determined by scanning roots, and data were analyzed with WinRhizo Pro software (Regent Instruments, Quebec, ON, Canada). All root samples were then dried at 65 °C to constant mass (at least 48 h) and weighed. Fine root (diameter ≤ 2 mm) length density (RLD), root surface area density (RAD), and root volume density (RVD) of P. koraiensis were calculated by dividing total fine root length, surface area, and volume, respectively by soil sampling volume (20 × 20 × 10 cm³). Specific root length (SRL) (m/g) and specific root surface area (SRA) (cm²/g) were calculated by dividing the total root length and surface area of P. koraiensis fine roots by the dry weight.

### 2.5. Soil Properties Measurement

Six cylindrical soil cores (100 cm³) were collected before root and soil sampling from an undisturbed area for soil bulk density and infiltration rate analysis. The fresh weight of soil cylindrical cores was determined. Infiltration rate was then measured using a tst-55

---

**Table 1.** The basic stand situation and soil properties in three forest types.

| Forest Type         | Broadleaf Mixed Forest (BP) | P. koraiensis Forest (MP) | Coniferous Forest (CP) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Stand density (plants·hm⁻²) | 450 ± 5 a                  | 350 ± 6 b                 | 550 ± 3 c              |
| Tree height (m)     | 25.3 ± 0.4 a                | 23.5 ± 0.3 a              | 22.7 ± 0.2 ab          |
| DBH (cm)            | 48.4 ± 0.2 a                | 48.5 ± 0.3 a              | 44.6 ± 0.4 b           |
| Soil sand (%)       | 0.59 ± 0.04 a               | 0.34 ± 0.13 a             | 0.55 ± 0.16 a          |
| Soil silt (%)       | 63.30 ± 6.30 a              | 62.01 ± 6.75 a            | 63.93 ± 2.80 a         |
| Soil clay (%)       | 36.11 ± 6.30 a              | 37.66 ± 6.79 a            | 35.53 ± 2.69 a         |
| Soil total N (g·kg⁻¹) | 7.61 ± 0.04 a               | 7.42 ± 0.54 a             | 7.13 ± 0.38 a          |
| Soil total P (g·kg⁻¹) | 1.35 ± 0.09 a               | 0.98 ± 0.03 b             | 1.44 ± 0.08 a          |
| Soil total K (g·kg⁻¹) | 15.62 ± 0.26 a              | 16.31 ± 0.70 a            | 15.52 ± 0.47 a         |
| Soil pH             | 5.32 ± 0.12 a               | 4.91 ± 0.07 b             | 5.18 ± 0.06 a          |
| CEC (cmol·kg⁻¹)     | 53.56 ± 1.14 a              | 38.74 ± 3.06 b            | 50.64 ± 0.86 a         |
| Soil alkalotic N (mg·kg⁻¹) | 810.88 ± 9.67 a           | 565.04 ± 7.01 b           | 856.80 ± 34.97 a       |
| Soil available P (mg·kg⁻¹) | 25.69 ± 2.99 a             | 17.53 ± 1.03 b            | 29.13 ± 2.49 a         |
| Soil available K (mg·kg⁻¹) | 183.32 ± 14.49 a           | 165.08 ± 5.83 a           | 159.19 ± 10.10 a       |
| Soil bulk density (g·cm⁻³) | 0.62 ± 0.03 a             | 0.65 ± 0.02 a             | 0.62 ± 0.02 a          |
| Soil infiltration rate (10⁻² cm·s⁻¹) | 12.75 ± 1.32 a            | 8.81 ± 0.56 b             | 9.41 ± 1.45 ab         |

Note: Different lowercase letters at the same row indicate the significant difference among forest types at 0.05 level.
permeameter, and soil samples were dried at 105 °C for 24 h to determine bulk density. Soil total nitrogen (N) was determined using the Kjeldahl procedure [23]. For soil total phosphorus (P) and total potassium (K), soils were digested with concentrated HNO₃/HClO₄/HF, soil available P was extracted with HCl/HSO₄ (0.05 mol L⁻¹/0.025 mol L⁻¹), soil available K was extracted with CH₃COONH₄, then total P, K, available P, K was determined using inductive coupled plasma–atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES, ICPS-7500, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) [24]. Soil available N was determined by the Alkaline hydrolysis diffusion method [23]. Soil cation exchange capacity (CEC) was determined using the pH 7.0 ammonium acetate procedure [23]. Soil pH was measured in a 1:2.5 (soil: water) suspension using a glass electrode (Sartorius PB-10). Soil particle size distribution (texture) was determined through the pipette method by removing organic matter, carbonates, and oxides using H₂O₂ and hydrochloric acid, and dispersion was carried out using sodium hexametaphosphate [23].

2.6. Data Analysis

Soil properties and root traits parameters were ln (x + 1) transformed to increase normality prior to statistical analysis. Effect of forest type on soil physical and chemical properties (soil bulk density, infiltration rate, total P, N, K content and available P, N, K content, soil particle size distribution, soil pH) was analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The effect of forest type and sampling time on root traits (SRL, SRA, RLD, RAD, and RVD) was analyzed using the general linear model. Multiple comparisons tests to determine differences across forest types were conducted using the least significant difference (LSD, α = 0.05). All analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 16.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Structural equation modeling (SEM) was used to analyze soil properties’ impact on fine root traits of different forest types. We hypothesized that differences in soil properties under different forest types were the main factors affecting fine root traits and biomass. The initial model included six soil factors (soil total P, available P, soil available N, pH, infiltration rate, and CEC) and seven fine root trait variables (Diameter, SRL, SRA, RLD, RAD, RVD, and fine root biomass). The results showed the significant paths for correlated parameters. Mardia’s test was used to estimate the multivariate normality of the dataset, and the model fit was assessed using χ²-test, comparative fit index (CFI), and root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA). The analysis was performed with SPSS AMOS 21.0 software (SPSS Inc., Hong Kong) using the “robust” maximum likelihood estimation procedures.

3. Results

3.1. Soil Properties

Soil particle size distribution (sand, silt, and clay content), total N, total K, and available K content were not significantly different among the three forest types (Table 1). Soil total P content in monospecific P. koraiensis forest (MP) was significantly lower by 27.3% and 31.7% than broad-leaved P. koraiensis mixed forest (BP) and coniferous P. koraiensis mixed forest (CP), respectively. Soil available P content of MP was significantly lower by 31.7% (BP) and 39.8% (CP); whereas soil available N content of MP was significantly lower by 30.3% (BP) and 34.1% (CP), respectively (Table 1). Soil infiltration rate under MP was 30.8% lower than for BP, whereas CEC for MP was significantly lower by 23.5% and 27.2% than for CP and BP.

3.2. Fine Roots Biomass

Fine root biomass showed significant seasonal variations and varied with forest type (p < 0.05) (Figure 1, Table 2). The highest biomass in September was 1.9, 1.4, and 1.6 times those in May for BP, MP, and CP, respectively (Figure 1). During the growing season, the average root biomass under BP was lower by 31.2% and 43.4% than that under MP and CP.
Figure 1. Root biomass, specific root length, and surface area of different sampling time under three forest types. Different lowercase letters indicate significant difference among forest types at 0.05 level, as below.

Table 2. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) results of the effects of forest type and sampling time on fine root traits.

| Source          | Dependent Variable                  | df | Mean Square | F     | Sig.  |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|----|-------------|-------|-------|
| Sampling time (S) | Root diameter (cm)                  | 2  | 0.001       | 0.512 | 0.608 |
|                 | Specific root length (m·g⁻¹)        | 2  | 0.115       | 6.479 | 0.008 |
|                 | Specific root area (cm²·g⁻¹)        | 2  | 0.093       | 11.828| 0.001 |
|                 | Root tissue density (g·cm⁻³)        | 2  | 0.003       | 7.739 | 0.004 |
|                 | Root length density (cm·cm⁻³)       | 2  | 0.003       | 1.040 | 0.374 |
|                 | Root area density (m²·cm⁻³)         | 2  | 0.113       | 2.066 | 0.156 |
|                 | Root volume density (mm³·cm⁻³)      | 2  | 0.026       | 3.014 | 0.074 |
|                 | Root diameter (cm)                  | 2  | 0.012       | 13.274| 0.001 |
|                 | Specific root length (m·g⁻¹)        | 2  | 0.054       | 3.013 | 0.074 |
|                 | Specific root area (cm²·g⁻¹)        | 2  | 0.033       | 4.253 | 0.031 |
|                 | Root tissue density (g·cm⁻³)        | 2  | 0.001       | 2.359 | 0.123 |
|                 | Root length density (cm·cm⁻³)       | 2  | 0.062       | 23.690| <0.001|
|                 | Root area density (m²·cm⁻³)         | 2  | 0.072       | 11.377| 0.001 |
|                 | Root volume density (mm³·cm⁻³)      | 2  | 0.031       | 3.629 | 0.047 |
|                 | Root biomass (kg·m⁻³)               | 2  | 0.123       | 13.274| 0.001 |
|                 | Root diameter (cm)                  | 2  | 0.001       | 0.897 | 0.425 |
|                 | Specific root length (m·g⁻¹)        | 2  | 0.054       | 3.013 | 0.074 |
|                 | Specific root area (cm²·g⁻¹)        | 2  | 0.033       | 4.253 | 0.031 |
|                 | Root tissue density (g·cm⁻³)        | 2  | 0.001       | 2.359 | 0.123 |
|                 | Root length density (cm·cm⁻³)       | 2  | 0.062       | 23.690| <0.001|
|                 | Root area density (m²·cm⁻³)         | 2  | 0.072       | 11.377| 0.001 |
|                 | Root volume density (mm³·cm⁻³)      | 2  | 0.031       | 3.629 | 0.047 |
|                 | Root biomass (kg·m⁻³)               | 2  | 0.159       | 6.201 | 0.009 |
|                 | Root diameter (cm)                  | 4  | 0.001       | 2.176 | 0.113 |
| Forest type (F) | Specific root length (m·g⁻¹)        | 4  | 0.030       | 1.684 | 0.197 |
|                 | Specific root area (cm²·g⁻¹)        | 4  | 0.005       | 0.633 | 0.646 |
|                 | Root tissue density (g·cm⁻³)        | 4  | 0.001       | 1.378 | 0.281 |
|                 | Root length density (cm·cm⁻³)       | 4  | 0.006       | 2.418 | 0.086 |
|                 | Root area density (m²·cm⁻³)         | 4  | 0.009       | 1.371 | 0.283 |
|                 | Root volume density (mm³·cm⁻³)      | 4  | 0.039       | 4.503 | 0.011 |
|                 | Root biomass (kg·m⁻³)               | 4  | 0.017       | 0.920 | 0.474 |

3.3. Specific Root Length (SRL) and Specific Root Surface Area (SRA)

Effects of forest type on SRL and SRA varied with sampling time (Figure 1). SRL for MP in July was significantly lower by 52.7.6% and 51.2% compared with SRL for BP and CP, respectively. In addition, SRA for MP in July was significantly lower by 34.5% and 35.2% compared with SRA for BP and CP, respectively (Figure 1).

3.4. Root Morphological Characteristics

Interaction between forest type and sampling time showed a significant effect on RVD \( (p < 0.05) \). In May, RVD for MP was significantly lower by 16.4% and 43.0% lower than that of BP and CP, respectively, whereas in July, RVD of MP was 2.7 times more than that of BP (Figure 2). RLD and RAD significantly varied with forest type \( (p < 0.05) \). The average RLD for MP was significantly higher by 50.0% compared with that for BP \( (p < 0.05) \) during the growing season (except for RLD for MP in July), whereas the average RLD for CP was
54.6% higher compared with that for BP (Figure 2). In July and September, RAD for MP was 69.1% and 39.7% higher than BP. In addition, RAD for CP was significantly higher by 62.7% (May), 41.1% (July), and 30.6% (September) than that for BP, respectively (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Fine root morphological characteristics per soil volume.

3.5. Effects of Soil Properties on Fine Root Traits

SEM revealed that CEC has a significantly higher effect on fine root traits than other factors (Figure 3). Root diameter, RVD, and RAD were negatively correlated with CEC, whereas root diameter and RAD were positively correlated with fine root biomass. SRA was positively correlated with soil total and available P content. Forest type modulated fine root biomass through CEC (Figure 3).

Figure 3. Structural equation model relating forest type effect on fine root traits ($\chi^2 = 72.16; \text{df} = 11; p = 0.475$; comparative fit index (CFI) = 1.000; root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) = 0.000). Rectangles represent observed variables; arrow thickness represents the magnitude of the path coefficient. Solid line arrows represent significant paths ($p < 0.05$), dashed lines represent non-significant paths ($p > 0.05$). Red lines represent the positive paths, and blue lines represent the negative paths.

4. Discussion

4.1. Stand Types

Complementary resource exploitation is believed to reduce competition but increase stability and productivity by different aboveground (such as tree height, crown form) and below-ground (rooting depth, morphology) traits in mixed forests [22]. Root trait plasticity is more dependent on competitive ability and individual fitness of species, hence community dynamics [25,26], which show adaptation of root to biological and abiotic factors [27,28]. Plants maximize the acquisition of immobile nutrients such as P by increasing
root hair density and through prolific root branching [28]. Therefore, higher RTD (data not shown), RVD, and RLD (May and September) observed for MP associated with lower soil available P content were adaptations to meet P requirements (Figure 2), concerning the higher competitiveness and foraging capacity. These differences in fine root traits among forest stands can be attributed to responses resulting from reduced resource competition among species and maximizing species coexistence [29,30]. The distribution of a root system is also affected by other species [8]. For example, 17% of root morphological trait variation is attributed to the effect of species combination [8]. Responses of species to neighboring roots (plasticity) may occur to avoid trait overlap. We did not measure the impact of adjacent species on the fine root of *P. koraiensis*, but the higher RTD, RVD, and RLD under MP may be related to the lower stand density in the monospecific forest. Our findings imply that the diversity of root traits modulates species coexistence, thus promoting community stability in complex forest ecosystems. Therefore, further studies should explore the role of root interaction in regulating species coexistence and system function.

In addition, plant-fungal associations with arbuscular mycorrhizal and ectomycorrhizal fungi are correlated with the nutrient economy in forest ecosystems [31,32], especially low solubility and the mobility of P sources. For instance, the nutrient-acquisition strategy called “scavenging” involves access to nutrients through a symbiotic relationship with mycorrhizal fungi [33]. Degree and type of mycorrhizal infection across species affect root traits [32]. Higher SRL usually results in lower mycorrhizal dependence [34,35] and faster root tissue turnover [36]. Previous studies report that the mycorrhizal infection rate of *P. koraiensis* in broad-leaved mixed forest decreased by 15% compared with the rate in monospecific *P. koraiensis* forest [37]. Higher SRL and SRA observed in BP compared with other forests can be attributed to differences in mycorrhizal infection rate (Figure 1), or the increasing resource exploitation efficiency of *P. koraiensis* with resource depletion of the competing species [38]. The fine roots increased soil exploration or exploitation efficiency (nutrient economy) resulting in reduced root life span [39,40] and increased mycorrhizal infection rate. These findings implied that the foraging strategy of fine roots in broad-leaved *P. koraiensis* mixed forest is more intensive and depends on the root–mycorrhiza–bacteria continuum (acquisitive resource economics strategy). Moreover, roots in a monospecific *P. koraiensis* forest seem to be more extensive with greater C allocation to root formation.

4.2. Seasonal Variations

Root trait plasticity is significantly correlated with heterogeneous distributions of soil nutrients and water [36]. In addition, root traits are significantly affected by endogenous factors (species, root exudates, mycorrhizal associations types, etc.), and exogenous factors, such as plant phenology and soil properties [41]. These findings explain why root traits showed significant seasonal variations both at an individual level (fine root morphology) and at the community level (root biomass and volume), positively correlated with plant phenology. In July, SRL was higher than that in September (*p < 0.05*) (Figure 1). The phenology of fine roots almost overlapped with seasonal variations of aboveground organs and root vascular cambium [42]. Higher soil temperature in summer promotes fine roots growth, especially the growth of cambium to provide nutrients and water transport for plants through the increased xylem [43]. Photosynthesis products are stored in fine roots as starch for growth when the soil temperature is low in autumn [43]. This results in a higher fall peak of root biomass both in *P. koraiensis* (Figure 1) and *Q. ilex* stands [42].

4.3. Relationship between Root Traits and Soil Properties

Interactions between roots and soil are complementary [12], plant roots affect soil formation and structural stability through physical, chemical, and biological processes [12,36,44]. On the other hand, highly heterogeneous patches of soil properties promote the placement and activity of plant roots [12,45]. Furthermore, fine roots facilitate plant development by adapting to the changing environments (soil water content, nutrient availability, and soil physical properties) [18]. For example, fine roots distribution
in soil depends greatly on soil resources availability (N addition) [5]. Resource acquisition efficiency is improved by increasing individual root growth when soil nutrients are insufficient [10]. However, resource acquisition efficiency is enhanced by decreasing root biomass when soil nutrients are sufficient [11]. Our study’s findings showed that fine root biomass in July in monospecific P. koraiensis forest with lower soil available nutrients (Table 1) was 36.5% higher than that for coniferous and broad-leaved mixed forest due to higher available nutrient content. This finding indicates that P. koraiensis adapts to environmental changes by optimizing fine root biomass to increase uptake of nutrients. Lower biomass investments, higher root cost efficiency, and faster growth indicate that these roots are acquisitive or intensive when accessing resources [32,46].

However, different root traits have a higher effect on the simultaneous acquisition of different resources due to the heterogeneity of these resources [32]. Plants exhibit various adaptation strategies by changing fine root traits, such as root diameter and living root surface area [6,11]. Positive correlations between SRA and soil total and available P content (Figure 3) imply that fine roots absorption capacity increases with an increase in the soil available P content. This can be attributed to the ability of plants to modify cortex ion-absorption and stele water-transport functions based on the supply of the most limited resource supply to adapt to changing environmental conditions [47]. Soils with larger CEC and high base saturation levels are referred to as good soils to support better vegetation growth. In addition, CEC is a key abiotic determinant for plant species distribution [48]. This can be attributed to the negative correlation between CEC and fine root traits (Root diameter, RVD, RAD) among different stands (Figure 3). This relationship implies that roots are more resource-conservative in highly fertile conditions. Therefore, plants preferentially allocate more biomass to fine roots under soil nutrients deficiency, which is consistent with reports from previous studies on increasing root absorptive areas in N-limited forests [46]. Soil chemical (soil pH) properties affect root traits and functions implying that roots are not always optimally adjusted to soil resources. A lower pH (range from 4.5 to 7.8) is correlated with lower nutrient mobility in soil solution [7]. Unfortunately, the study did not fully explore the effect of soil pH on root traits. Lower soil pH in monospecific P. koraiensis forest may have limited nutrient mobility especially P content in soil solution, resulting in low soil available phosphorus content in this stand. Plants showed significant effects on the rhizosphere microbial community [49], on the amount and composition of root exudates (primary metabolites such as sugars, amino acids, and organic acids) and phenolic root profiles (secondary metabolites) were highly species-specific [50]. Therefore, differences in soil available N and P contents between mixed and monospecific P. koraiensis forest (Table 1) can be attributed to differences in root exudates among stands. However, the soil environment comprises several components, and root traits varied with the soil environment. Therefore, further studies based on multiple soil resource components (soil resources, structural and chemical characteristics, etc.) should be carried out to explore their effect on root traits, function, and uptake strategies (including the mycorrhizal pathway) across species and in different environments [32,51,52].

5. Conclusions

Fine root traits (specific root length, specific root surface area, and fine root biomass) vary with the growing season. In addition, specific root surface area, surface area density, root length density of P. koraiensis varies with forest type in natural forests of Northeast China. Fine root traits are positively correlated with soil cation exchange capacity and soil P content. These findings imply that the foraging strategies of fine roots in P. koraiensis are positively correlated with soil environment (nutrient availability, properties) and species coexistence. Specific root length and specific root surface area of P. koraiensis increased to improve soil exploitation and sequestration efficiency when co-existed with other species. On the other hand, root formation (biomass and length) increased in monospecific P. koraiensis forest to maximize resource acquisition.
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