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Fine-grained image classification is to recognize hundreds of subcategories belonging to the same basic-level category, which is a highly challenging task due to the quite subtle visual distinctions among similar subcategories. Most existing methods generally learn part detectors to discover discriminative regions for better classification accuracy. However, not all localized parts are beneficial and indispensable for image classification, and the setting for number of part detectors relies heavily on prior knowledge as well as experimental results. As is known to all, when we describe the object of an image into text via natural language, we only focus on the pivotal characteristics, and rarely pay attention to common characteristics as well as the background areas. This is an involuntary transfer from human visual attention to textual attention, which leads to the fact that textual attention tells us how many and which parts are discriminative and significant. So textual attention of natural language descriptions could help us to discover visual attention in image. Inspired by this, we propose a visual-textual attention driven fine-grained representation learning (VTA) approach, and its main contributions are: (1) Fine-grained visual-textual pattern mining devotes to discovering discriminative visual-textual pairwise information for boosting classification through jointly modeling vision and text with generative adversarial networks (GANs), which automatically and adaptively discovers discriminative parts. (2) Visual-textual representation learning jointly combine visual and textual information, which preserves the intra-modality and inter-modality information to generate complementary fine-grained representation, and further improve classification performance. Comprehensive experimental results on the widely-used CUB-200-2011 and Oxford Flowers-102 datasets demonstrate the effectiveness of our VTA approach, which achieves the best classification accuracy compared with state-of-the-art methods.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Fine-grained image classification aims to recognize similar subcategories in the same basic-level category. It is one of the most challenging and significant open problems of multimedia and computer vision, which achieves great progress as well as attracts extensive attention of academia and industry. The progress incarnates in four aspects: (1) More fine-grained domains have been covered, such as animal species [1, 2], plant breeds [3, 4], car types [5] and aircraft models [6]. (2)
Methodologies of fine-grained image classification have achieved promising performance in recent years [7–11], due to the powerful modeling ability of deep neural networks (DNNs). (3) Workshop on fine-grained visual categorization has been organized at the conference of computer vision and pattern recognition (CVPR) every two years since 2011, which promotes the development of fine-grained image classification. (4) Some information technology companies, such as Microsoft and Baidu, begin to turn fine-grained image classification technologies into their applications.

Fine-grained image classification lies in the continuum between basic-level image classification (e.g. object recognition) and identification of individuals (e.g. face recognition). The main challenges of fine-grained image classification are summarized as the two following aspects: (1) Variances among similar subcategories are subtle and local, because they belong to the same genus. (2) Variances in the same subcategory are large and diverse, due to different poses and views, and for animals or plants also because of different living environments and growth periods. For example, as shown in Fig. 1, “Heermann Gull” and “Herring Gull” look similar in global appearance, but “Herring Gulls” look different in the pose, view and feather color. So it is hard for a person without professional knowledge to classify them. Consequently, fine-grained image classification is difficult to address with today’s general-purpose object recognition machinery.

The subcategories look generally the same in global appearance, and distinguished by the subtle and local variances, such as the color of abdomen, the shape of toe and the texture of feather for bird. These subtle variances are located at the discriminative parts of object, so the localization of object and its discriminative parts is crucial for fine-grained image classification. Researchers generally adopt a two-stage learning framework: the first stage is to localize the object or its discriminative parts, and the second is to extract the deep features of the object or its parts through Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) and train classifiers for the final prediction. Zhang et al. [12] utilize R-CNN [13] with geometric constraints to detect object and its parts first, then extract features for the object and its parts, finally train one-versus-all linear SVMs for classification. Simon and Ronder [14] propose a constellation model to localize parts of objects, which utilizes CNN to find the constellations of neural activation patterns. Then part detectors are trained for localizing discriminative parts for better classification. However, not all parts are beneficial and indispensable.

Fig. 1. Examples from CUB-200-2011 dataset [1]. Note that fine-grained image classification is a technically challenging task even for humans to recognize these subcategories, due to large variances in the same subcategory and small variances among different subcategories.
for distinguishing among subcategories. The conclusive distinctions among subcategories generally locate at a few specific parts, such as red beak or black tail. So the classification performance depends on the number of part detectors and whether the detected parts are discriminative or not. However, mainstream methods generally set the detector number due to their prior knowledge and the experimental results, which is highly empirical and limited especially in practical applications. Huang et al. [15] show that the classification accuracy declines when the number of parts increases from 8 to 15 in the experiments of their Part-stacked CNN method. Zhang et al. [16] pick 6 parts for CUB-200-2011 dataset [1] and 5 parts for Stanford Dog dataset [2] in the experiments for achieving the best classification accuracy. He and Peng [17] only use 2 discriminative parts for classification in their method. This is highly limited in flexibility, and difficult for generalizing to the other datasets or domains.

Therefore, it is significant to automatically learn and mine how many and which parts really make sense to fine-grained image classification. When a person see two images from two different subcategories, human visual attention mechanism allows him to focus on the pivotal distinctions between them. Attention is the behavioral and cognitive process of selectively concentrating on a discrete aspect of information, whether deemed subjective or objective, while ignoring other perceivable information. Inspired by this, researchers begin to apply human visual attention mechanism in their works, aiming to find the most discriminative characteristics for classification. Xiao et al. [18] propose a two-level attention model: object-level attention is to select relevant region proposals to a certain object, and part-level attention is to localize discriminative parts of object. Fu et al. [19] propose a recurrent attention convolutional neural network (RA-CNN), recursively learning discriminative region attention and region-based feature representation. These works simulate human visual attention mechanism to find discriminative parts for classification only from visual information.

As is known to all, when human beings give the interpretation of the visual data into textual information via natural language descriptions, they have pointed out how many and which parts really make sense to classifying the visual data, such as an image of "Heermann Gull". This is an involuntary transfer from human visual attention to textual attention. In this transfer process, common characteristics of object and background areas of images are eliminated naturally. As shown in Fig. 2, images and their descriptive texts are shown. From the image of "Red Legged Kittiwake", we can find that the most discriminative parts to distinguish it from "Heermann Gull" are "red feet" and "white head". Therefore, when we describe this image, the above two discriminative parts will occur in the texts. Comparing with part locations and attributes annotations, textual information provides more pivotal and fine-grained visual descriptions. Part locations could not point out which parts are the most discriminative parts for classification or tell the discriminative characteristics, such as the color of bill and the shape of wing. Attribute annotation provides the information of whether contains a certain part, e.g. belly, and what color the part is, but they do not provide the location information of parts in images.

Therefore, how to exactly relate textual attention to visual attention and mine the discriminative part are pivotal to fine-grained image classification. This paper proposes a visual-textual attention driven fine-grained representation learning (VTA) approach, and its main contributions are:

- **Fine-grained visual-textual pattern mining** devotes to discovering discriminative visual-textual parts for classification through jointly modeling vision and text with generative adversarial networks (GANs). Different from existing methods, the localized discriminative parts in this paper could not only tell us how many and which parts are significant for classification, but also which attributes of parts distinguish this subcategory from others. The parts number is determined automatically and adaptively by textual attention information.
Subcategory | Vision | Text
--- | --- | ---
Heermann Gull | ![Heermann Gull Image] | (1) A large bird with different shades of grey all over its body, white and black tail feathers, and a long sharp orange beak. (2) This bird is gray and black in color, with a long thin orange beak. (3) This bird has black outer retices and white inner retire and an orange beak.
Red Legged Kittiwake | ![Red Legged Kittiwake Image] | (1) This bird has a white head, breast and belly with gray wings, red feet and thighs, and a red beak. (2) This is a white bird with gray wings, red webbed feet, and a red beak. (3) This bird has a white head, white breast, and belly, with gray wings, the underside of which are black-tipped.

Fig. 2. Examples of attention for vision and text. The images in the column of vision come from CUB-200-2011 dataset [1], and natural language descriptions in the column of text are collected by Reed et al. [20] through Amazon Mechanical Turk (AMT) platform.

- **Visual-textual representation learning** is proposed, which jointly combines visual and textual information. Visual stream focuses on the locations of the discriminative parts, while textual stream focuses on the discrimination of the regions. It preserves the intra-modality and inter-modality information to generate complementary fine-grained representation, and further improve classification accuracy.

Our previous conference paper CVL [11] proposes a two-stream model combing vision and language for learning fine-grained representations. Vision stream learns deep representations from visual information and language stream utilizes textual information to encode salient visual aspects for distinguishing subcategories. The main differences between the proposed VTA approach and CVL can be summarized as the following two aspects: (1) Our VTA approach employs textual pattern mining to localize textual attention for exploiting the human visual attention transferred into textual information, which points out how many and which parts are significant and indispensable for classification. While CVL directly utilizes the whole textual information, do not mine fine-grained textual attention information. (2) Our VTA approach employs visual pattern mining based on discovered textual patterns to localize discriminative parts, so that discriminative parts and objects are both exploited to learn multi-grained and multi-level representations and boost fine-grained classification. While CVL only exploits objects, which ignores complementary and semantic fine-grained clues provided by the discriminative parts. (3) Our VTA approach employs fine-grained visual-textual pattern mining to discover the discriminative and significant visual-textual pairwise information via jointly modeling vision and text with GANs, which mines the correlation between textual and visual attention. While CVL combines vision and text, ignoring to exploit the visual and textual attention which is significant to classification. Comparing with state-of-the-art methods on two widely-used fine-grained image classification datasets, the effectiveness of our VTA approach is verified by the comprehensive experimental results.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section II briefly reviews related works on fine-grained image classification, frequent pattern mining and multi-modal analysis. Section III presents
our proposed VTA approach, and Section IV introduces the experiments as well as the results analyses. Finally Section V concludes this paper.

2 RELATED WORK

2.1 Fine-grained Image Classification

Most existing methods follow the pipeline: first localize the object or its parts, and then extract discriminative features for fine-grained image classification. An intuitive idea is directly using the annotations for the locations of object and its parts. For example, CUB-200-2011 [1] provides the detailed annotations: object annotation (i.e. bounding box of object) and parts annotations (i.e. 15 part locations). Object annotation is used in the works of [21, 22] to learn part detectors in an unsupervised or latent manner. And even part annotations are used in these methods [23, 24]. Since the annotations of the testing image are not available in practical applications, some researchers use the object or part annotations only at training phase and no knowledges of any annotations at testing phase. Object and part annotations are directly used in training phase to learn a strongly supervised deformable part-based model [25] or directly used to fine-tune the pre-trained CNN model [26]. Further more, Krause et al. [27] only use object annotation at training phase to learn the part detectors, then localize the parts automatically in the testing phase. Recently, there are some promising works attempting to learn the part detectors under the weakly supervised condition, which means that neither object nor part annotations are used in both training and testing phases. These works make it possible to put the fine-grained image classification into practical applications. Simon et al. [14] propose a neural activation constellations part model (NAC) to localize parts with constellation model. Xiao et al. [18] propose a two-level attention model, which combines two level attentions to select relevant proposals to the object and the discriminative parts. Zhang et al. [16] incorporate deep convolutional filters for both part detection and description. The aforementioned methods mostly set the detector number due to the prior knowledge and the experimental results, which is highly limited in flexibility and difficult for generalizing to the other domains. Therefore, we attempt to automatically learn and mine how many and which parts really make sense to classification via fine-grained visual-textual pattern mining.

2.2 Frequent Pattern Mining

Frequent patterns are itemsets, subsequences, or substructures that appear in a data set with frequency no less than a user-specified threshold [28]. For example, a set of items, such as diaper and beer, that appear frequently together in sales data of a supermarket, is a frequent pattern. Frequent pattern mining is first proposed by Agrawal et al. [29] for market basket analysis. Agrawal and Strikant propose Apriori algorithm [30] to mine frequent patterns in a large transaction database. For textual mining, frequent patterns may be sequential patterns, frequent itemsets, or multiple grams, which can represent the textual information. While for visual mining, frequent patterns may be middle-level feature representation or high-level semantic representation, which can describe the content of visual information. Han et al. [31] propose to mine visual patterns from images using low-level features. Li et al.[32] propose to combine CNN features and association rule mining for discovering visual patterns. Li et al. [33] propose a novel multi-modal pattern mining method, which takes textual pattern and visual pattern into consideration at the same time. In this paper, we first utilize Aprior algorithm to discover the textual patterns, and then employ generative adversarial networks (GANs) to mine the relationships between part proposals and textual patterns for better classification accuracy, which discovers visual and textual patterns at the same time as well as mines the intrinsic correlation between them.
2.3 Multi-modal Analysis

With the rapid growth of multi-modal data, e.g. image, text, video and audio, has been the main form of the big data. Multi-modal data carries different kinds of information, which needs to be integrated to get comprehensive results in many real-world applications. How to learn multi-modal representation is a fundamental research problem. A traditional representative method is the canonical correlation analysis (CCA) [34], which learns a subspace to maximize the correlation among data of different media types, and is widely used for modeling multi-modal data [35–37]. Zhai et al. [38] propose to learn projection functions by the metric learning, and this method is further improved as joint representation learning (JRL) [39] by adding other information such as semantic categories and semi-supervised information. Inspired by the progress of deep neural networks, some works begin to focus on deep multi-modal representation learning. Ngiam et al. [40] propose a multi-modal deep learning (MDL) method to combine the audio and video into an autoencoder, which improves the speech signal classification for noisy inputs as well as learns a shared representation across modalities. Recently, a surge of progress has been made in image and...
video captioning. Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) [41] is widely used in modeling captions at word level. Besides LSTM, character-based convolutional networks [42] have been used for text modeling. In this paper, we apply the extension of Convolutional and Recurrent Networks (CNN-RNN) to learn a visual semantic embedding. In this paper, we bring the multi-modal representation learning into fine-grained image classification to boost the performance, and jointly modeling vision and text.

3 OUR VISUAL-TEXTUAL ATTENTION DRIVEN FINE-GRAINED REPRESENTATION LEARNING APPROACH

3.1 Overview of Our VTA Approach

Our method is based on a very promising and interesting intuition: natural language descriptions (text) can point out the discriminative parts or characteristics of images (vision), and are complementary with visual information. Therefore, we propose a visual-textual attention driven fine-grained representation learning (VTA) approach, which takes the advantages of vision and text jointly as well as exploits the intrinsic correlation between them. Fig. 3 shows our VTA approach. First, we conduct fine-grained visual-textual pattern mining to discover the discriminative vision-text parts for boosting classification. Then, we localize the object region of image for boosting the visual analysis. Final, we propose a visual-textual representation learning approach to model visual and textual information jointly for better classification accuracy.

3.2 Fine-grained Visual-textual Pattern Mining

Since human visual attention is described into the form of text, we first conduct textual pattern mining to localize the textual attention, which points out the discriminative parts, and then based on
the textual pattern find the discriminative visual information with generative adversarial networks (GANs). In the following paragraphs, we will describe our fine-grained visual-textual pattern mining approach from three aspects: 1) definition of pattern mining, 2) textual pattern mining and 3) visual pattern mining via GANs. The overview of our approach is shown in Fig. 4.

3.2.1 Definition of Pattern Mining. For describing our fine-grained visual-textual pattern mining approach clearly, we first introduce the basic definitions for pattern mining. Assume that there is a set of n items, which is denoted as \( X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \), and transaction \( T \) is a subset of \( X \), which means \( T \subseteq X \). We also define a transaction database \( D = \{T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_K\} \) that contains \( K \) transactions. Our goal is to discover a particular subset \( T^* \) of transactions database \( X \), which can predict the presence of some target item \( y \in T_y \), and \( T^* \subseteq T_y \) as well as \( y \cap T^* = \emptyset \). \( T^* \) is referred to frequent itemset in pattern mining literature. The support of \( T^* \) denotes how often \( T^* \) appears in \( D \) and its definition is as follow:

\[
supp(T^*) = \frac{|\{T_y | T^* \subseteq T_y, T_y \in D\}|}{K}
\]  

(1)

An association rule \( T^* \rightarrow y \) defines a relationship between \( T^* \) and a certain item \( y \). Therefore, we aim to find patterns that appears in a transaction there is a high likelihood that \( y \). We define the confidence as follow:

\[
conf(T^* \rightarrow y) = \frac{supp(T^* \cup y)}{supp(T^*)}
\]  

(2)

3.2.2 Textual Pattern Mining. In this paper, we devote to discovering textual pattern, which contains the human visual attention informations. Textual transaction is necessary for pattern mining algorithm.

First, we remove stop words and punctuations from each textual descriptions for each image. Then we select the words, which appear in at least 10 captions in our dataset, as vocabulary which is used for generating transactions. It is noted that each word do not have duplicate in the vocabulary. In order to generate transaction for each textual description, we map each word back to its corresponding word in the vocabulary, then include that corresponding word index in the transaction. After obtaining the transactions, we perform association rule mining to find the words that frequently appear in textual descriptions, which also means that these words can represent the characteristics of this subcategory.

The transactions generated through the above processing form the transaction database \( D \), we utilize the Aprior algorithm [30] to find a set of patterns \( P \) through association rule mining. Each pattern \( p \in P \) must satisfy the following criteria:

\[
supp(p) > supp_{\text{min}}
\]  

(3)

\[
conf(p \rightarrow c) > conf_{\text{min}}
\]  

(4)

where \( supp_{\text{min}} \) and \( conf_{\text{min}} \) are thresholds for the support value and confidence value, and \( c \) means the subcategory label. After association rule mining, each discovered pattern \( p \) contains a set of words.

We want to find some patterns that points out the discriminative parts of the image, which has the semantic meaning. Therefore, we conduct distance constraint on association rule mining as
follows:

\[ \text{supp}(p) > \text{supp}_{\text{min}} \]  
\[ \text{conf}(p \rightarrow c) > \text{conf}_{\text{min}} \]  
\[ \text{dis}(w_i, w_j) < \text{dis}_{\text{min}} \]

where \( w_i \) means the \( i \)-th word in pattern \( p \), \( w_j \) means the \( j \)-th word in pattern \( p \) and \( \text{dis}(\cdot) \) means the distance between \( i \)-th word and \( j \)-th word in the same textual description, which make sure that the discovered patterns have the semantic meanings. Final, we discover a set of patterns \( P \), which mean the textual attention in the textual descriptions that contains the information of human visual attention.

### 3.2.3 Visual Pattern Mining via GANs

After obtaining the textual attention, we devote to mining the relationship between visual and textual attention, meaning that localize the discriminative parts of images via the guidance of textual attention. Due to the great progress made by generative adversarial networks (GANs), which can generate images based on textual information. In this paper, we employ GAN-CLS [43] to break through the gap between visual and textual information, localize the discriminative parts which correspond to the discovered textual patterns. The network architecture and training strategy follow Reed et al. [43]. In the following paragraphs, we introduce our visual pattern mining approach.

First, for each image we perform bottom-up process to generate part proposals. In this paper, we utilize selective search method [44] to generate 1000 part proposals for each image. Then we take the part proposals and discovered textual patterns as the inputs of discriminator network, which is utilized to relate the discovered textual patterns with the corresponding part proposals. For each part proposal, discriminator network outputs score vector that refers to the degree of correlations between part proposal and textual patterns. We select the part proposal with highest score for each textual pattern, which is one of the most discriminative parts in the images and beneficial for classification. They will be utilized as the inputs of visual-textual representation learning.

### 3.3 Object Localization

For better classification performance, we apply an automatic object localization method based on CAM [45] to localize the object in a weakly supervised manner, which means that neither object nor part annotations are used in both training and testing phases. Through CAM, we can generate a subcategory activation map \( M_c \) for each subcategory \( c \), in which the spatial value is calculated as follow:

\[ M_c(x, y) = \sum_k w^c_k f_k(x, y) \]

where \( f_k(x, y) \) denotes the activation of unit \( k \) in the last convolutional layer at spatial location \( (x, y) \), and \( w^c_k \) is the weight corresponding to subcategory \( c \) for unit \( k \). The subcategory label information is not available in testing phase, so we set subcategory \( c \) by the predicted subcategory. After obtaining the activation map for each image, we conduct OTSU algorithm [46] to binarize the image and take the bounding box that covers the largest connected area as the localization of object. The localized object is utilized as the inputs of visual-textual representation learning along with the localized discriminative parts via fine-grained visual-textual pattern mining. Examples of object localization results are shown in Fig. 5.
3.4 Visual-textual Representation Learning

Considering that two different forms, i.e. visual and textual information, are complementary with each other, we jointly model them with a two-stream model to learn deep representations for better classification accuracy. The two-stream model consists of: 1) visual stream and 2) textual stream.

3.4.1 Visual Stream. A natural candidate for the visual classification function $f$ is a CNN model, which consists of a hierarchy of convolutional and fully connected layers. We can benefit from model pre-training due to the additional training data, such as 1.3M training images of ImageNet 1K dataset [47]. This has been proved by a large amount of computer vision tasks, such as object detection [13], object segmentation [48] and fine-grained image classification [13, 49–51]. Therefore, we use a CNN model pre-trained on the ImageNet 1K dataset [47] as the basic model in our experiments. Then we fine-tune the pre-trained CNN model on the fine-grained dataset.

For a given image $I$, its object region $b$ and $n$ discriminative parts $Pa = \{Pa_1, Pa_2, ..., Pa_n\}$ are automatically generated at object localization stage and fine-grained visual-textual pattern mining stage respectively, then the object region and parts regions are clipped from the original image and saved as images $I_b$ and $I_{Pa} = \{I_{Pa_1}, I_{Pa_2}, ..., I_{Pa_n}\}$. We feed the original image $I$ and its object image $I_b$ as well as its part images $I_{Pa} = \{I_{Pa_1}, I_{Pa_2}, ..., I_{Pa_n}\}$ to the CNN model to obtain the predictions. For the predictions of parts images, we calculate their mean value as the final part prediction. Then we calculate the weighted mean of original prediction, object prediction and part prediction to obtain the result of the visual stream, whose weights are set by cross-validation method.

3.4.2 Textual Stream. In textual stream, we aim to measure the similarity between visual and textual information. We first apply the deep structured joint embedding method [20] to jointly embed vision (i.e. images) and text (i.e. natural language descriptions for images). It learns a compatibility function of vision and text, which can be seen as an extension of the multi-modal structured jointed embedding [52]. Instead of using a bilinear compatibility function, we use the inner product of features generated by deep neural encoders, and maximize the compatibility between a description and its matching image as well as minimize compatibility with images from other classes.
Given data \( D = (v_n, t_n, y_n), n = 1, ..., N \), in which \( v \in V \) indicates visual information, \( t \in T \) indicates textual information and \( y \in Y \) indicates the subcategory label, then the visual and textual classifier functions \( f_v : V \rightarrow Y \) and \( f_t : T \rightarrow Y \) are learned by minimizing the empirical risk:

\[
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \Delta(y_n, f_v(v_n)) + \Delta(y_n, f_t(t_n))
\]

(9)

where \( \Delta : y \times y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is the 0-1 loss and

\[
f_v(v) = \arg \max_{y \in Y} \mathbb{E}_{t \sim T(y)}[F(v, t)]
\]

(10)

\[
f_t(t) = \arg \max_{y \in Y} \mathbb{E}_{v \sim V(y)}[F(v, t)]
\]

(11)

We then define the compatibility function \( F : V \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) that uses features from the learnable encoder functions \( \theta(v) \) for vision and \( \phi(t) \) for text:

\[
F(v, t) = \theta(v)^T \phi(t)
\]

(12)

We apply the GoogleNet [53] as vision encoder model, and Convolutional Recurrent Net (CNN-RNN) [20] as the text encoder model which will be discussed in the next paragraph.

A mid-level temporal CNN hidden layer is at the bottom of CNN-RNN model, and a recurrent network is stacked on it. We extract the average hidden unit activation over the sequence as the textual feature, as shown in Equation 13. The score function is defined as a linear accumulation of evidence for compatibility with the image which needs to be recognized.

\[
\phi(t) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} h_i
\]

(13)

where \( h_i \) indicates the hidden activation vector for the \( i \)-th frame and \( L \) indicates the sequence length.

### 3.5 Final Prediction

Given an Image \( I \), the two-stream model conducts on the original images and their object localizations as well as their part localizations. The visual stream gives the prediction from the view of the visual information, while the textual stream gives the prediction via measuring the visual and textual information with the shared compatibility function. Due to the fact that joint learning of visual and textual information preserves the intra-modality and inter-modality information to generate complementary information, we fuse the predicted results of the two streams as the final prediction to utilize the advantages of the two via the follow equation:

\[
f(I) = f_v(v) + \beta \ast f_t(t)
\]

(14)

where \( f_v(v) \) and \( f_t(t) \) are the visual and textual classifier functions as mentioned above, and \( \beta \) is selected by the cross-validation method.

### 4 EXPERIMENTS

#### 4.1 Datasets

This subsection presents two fine-grained image classification datasets adopted in the experiments, including CUB-200-2011 and Oxford Flowers-102 datasets, and their detailed information is described as follows:
| Subcategory        | Vision     | Text                                                                 | Subcategory        | Vision     | Text                                                                 |
|--------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Heermann Gull      | ![Image1](image1.png) | (1) A large bird with different shades of grey all over its body, white and black tail feathers, and a long sharp orange beak.  
(2) This bird is gray and black in color, with a white head, gray beak, and black outer rectrices and inner rectrices.  
(3) This bird has thick black outer toes and webbed feet with petals that are orange shaped center. | Primula             | ![Image2](image2.png) | (1) This flower is white and yellow in color with petals that are heart shaped.  
(2) This white color flower has the simple row of heart shaped petals shaped with slender stems at the end.  
(3) This flower has thick heart shaped white petals and a very yellow star shaped center. |
| Red Legged Kittiwake| ![Image3](image3.png) | (1) This bird has a white head, breast and belly with gray wings, red feet and thighs, and a red bill.  
(2) This is a white bird with grey wings, red feet and a red tail.  
(3) This long bird with an orange beak and some feathers with grey colored wings. | Silverbush          | ![Image4](image4.png) | (1) This flower has petals that are white totally.  
(2) This flower has large, flat white petals that connect to each other and have a yellow center.  
(3) This flower is white and yellow in color with petals that are connected to each other. |
| Bohemian Waxwing   | ![Image5](image5.png) | (1) This bird is light gray with a light orange patch on its under tail coverts, neck, and coverts, and black outer rectrices and inner rectrices.  
(2) This is a gray bird with a red and yellow eye and a red bill.  
(3) This bird has wings that are grey and black and has a red crown. | Tree Poppy          | ![Image6](image6.png) | (1) This flower has a yellow center surrounded by several large, overlapping white petals with ruffled edges.  
(2) This flower is white and yellow in color with petals that are ruffled on the edges.  
(3) This pretty little flower has large white petals and a yellow center. |

**Fig. 6.** Some examples of vision and text in CUB-200-2011 dataset and Oxford Flowers-102 dataset.

**Fig. 7.** Examples of textual pattern mining.

- **CUB-200-2011.** It is the most widely-used dataset for fine-grained image classification task. The visual information comes from the original dataset of CUB-200-2011 [1]. It contains 11,788 images of 200 subcategories belonging to birds, 5,994 for training and 5,794 for testing. Each image has detailed annotations: 1 subcategory label, 15 part locations, 312 binary attributes and 1 bounding box. The textual information comes from Reed et al. [20]. They expand the CUB-200-2011 dataset by collecting fine-grained natural language descriptions. Ten single-sentence descriptions are collected for each image, as shown in Fig. 6. The natural language descriptions are collected through the Amazon Mechanical Turk (AMT) platform, and are required at least 10 words, without any information of subcategories and actions.

- **Oxford Flowers-102.** The same with CUB-200-2011 dataset, textual information comes from Reed et al. [20], and visual information comes from the original dataset of Oxford Flowers-102 [4], as shown in Fig. 6. It has 8,189 images of 102 subcategories belonging
to flowers, 1,020 for training, 1,020 for validation and 6,149 for testing. Each subcategory consists of between 40 and 258 images.

4.2 Evaluation Metric

Accuracy is adopted to comprehensively evaluate the classification performances of our VTA approach as well as compared state-of-the-art methods, which is widely used in fine-grained image classification [8, 12], and its definition is as follow:

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{R_a}{R}
\]  

(15)

where \(R\) denotes the number of images in testing set, and \(R_a\) denotes the number of images that are correctly classified.

4.3 Implementation Details

Fine-grained Visual-textual Pattern Mining. First, we calculate the frequencies of words in the textual information for each subcategory, and select the top-10 words as keywords, and then discover frequent patterns from textual informations via Aprior algorithm [30]. From this phase, we obtain textual patterns that could describe the characteristics of object, such as “white head”, “black wings” and “long bill”, as shown in Fig. 7. Second, we conduct selective search [44] on each image to generate image proposals. Finally, we employ discriminator network to relate textual patterns to image proposals, then select the proposal with highest score as the discriminative part for each textual pattern. For each subcategory, the number of parts is set automatically and adaptively by discovered textual patterns. The selected parts can be seen in Fig. 8.

Visual-textual Representation learning. For textual stream, we apply GoogleNet [53] with batch normalization [54] as vision encoder and CNN-RNN [20] as text encoder. All the configurations and source codes \(^4\) used for training and testing follow the work of Scott Reed et al. [20]. For visual stream, we apply the widely-used model of 19-layers VGGNet [55] with batch normalization. The model is first pre-trained on ImageNet 1K dataset, and then fine-tuned on fine-grained dataset. Inspired by the strategy adopted by Xiao et al [18], we utilize the pre-trained CNN model as a

\(^4\)https://github.com/reedscot/cvpr2016
filter net to select proposals relevant to the object from the generated image proposals by selective search method. With the selected proposals, we further fine-tune the pre-trained model.

Table 1. Comparisons with state-of-the-art methods on CUB-200-2011, sorted by amount of annotation used. “Bbox” and “Parts” indicate the object and parts annotations (i.e. bounding box and parts locations) provided by the dataset.

| Method                      | Test Annotation | Accuracy (%) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Our VTA Approach            |                 | 86.31        |
| RA-CNN [19]                 | Bbox | 85.30        |
| PNA [8]                     | Parts | 84.70        |
| TSC [17]                    | Bbox | 84.69        |
| FOAF [56]                   | Parts | 84.63        |
| Low-rank Bilinear [57]      |                 | 84.21        |
| Spatial Transformer [58]    |                 | 84.10        |
| Bilinear-CNN [59]           |                 | 84.10        |
| Multi-grained [60]          |                 | 81.70        |
| NAC [14]                    |                 | 81.01        |
| PIR [61]                    |                 | 79.34        |
| TL Atten [18]               |                 | 77.90        |
| MIL [62]                    |                 | 77.40        |
| VGG-BGLm [63]               |                 | 75.90        |
| Dense Graph Mining [64]     |                 | 60.19        |
| Coarse-to-Fine [65]         | √     | 82.50        |
| PG Alignment [27]           | √     | 82.80        |
| Triplet-A (64) [66]         | √     | 80.70        |
| Webly-supervised [67]       | √     | 78.60        |
| PN-CNN [26]                 | √     | 75.70        |
| Part-based R-CNN [12]       | √     | 73.50        |
| SPDA-CNN [68]               | √     | 85.14        |
| Deep LAC [69]               | √     | 84.10        |
| PBC [70]                    | √     | 83.70        |
| SPDA-CNN [71]               | √     | 81.01        |
| PS-CNN [15]                 | √     | 76.20        |
| PN-CNN [26]                 | √     | 85.40        |

4.4 Comparisons with state-of-the-art methods

In this subsection, we present the experimental results on our proposed approach as well as all the compared methods. Tables 1 and 2 show the accuracies on two datasets, and demonstrate that our proposed approach achieves the best classification accuracy compared with state-of-the-art methods on both two datasets. As shown in Table 1, our proposed approach has improved the classification accuracy from 85.30% to 86.31% on CUB-200-2011 dataset. We divide the compared methods into three groups due to the amount of object and parts annotations used in these methods.

- **Neither object nor parts annotations are used.** Nowadays, researchers begin to focus on how to get better classification accuracy under the weakly supervised setting, which means
Table 2. Comparisons with state-of-the-art methods on Oxford Flowers-102.

| Method                              | Accuracy (%) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Our VTA Approach                    | 96.88        |
| PBC [70]                            | 96.10        |
| NAC [14]                            | 95.34        |
| RIIR [72]                           | 94.01        |
| Deep Optimized [73]                 | 91.30        |
| SDR [73]                            | 90.50        |
| MML [74]                            | 89.45        |
| CNN Feature [51]                    | 86.80        |
| Generalized Max Pooling [75]        | 84.60        |
| Efficient Object Detection [3]      | 80.66        |

neither object nor parts annotations are used. Most of these methods utilize the attention property of convolutional neural layers to localize the discriminative parts of object for better accuracy, such as RA-CNN [19], PNA [8], TSC [17] and TL Atten [18]. They simulate human visual attention mechanism only from visual information. In our approach, we exploit visual and textual attention simultaneously as well as mine the complementary between them, which make our proposed approach more effective and obtain a 1.01% higher accuracy than the best performing result of RA-CNN [19].

- Only one of object and parts annotations is used. These methods utilize object annotation (i.e. bounding box) to train an object detector or learn parts detectors, which is to learn more representative features for classification. In our approach, we utilize CAM [45] to automatically localize the object region of image, which avoids using object annotation, and the result of object localization can be seen in Fig. 2. Even using object annotation, these methods achieve lower accuracies than our proposed approach.

- Both object and parts annotations are used. In order to obtain better classification accuracy, some methods utilize both object and parts annotations at training phase as well as testing phase. However, these annotations are heavy labor-consuming. In our approach, we get object region and discriminative parts automatically via object localization and fine-grained visual-textual pattern mining respectively without using any annotations. We promote the classification performance through discovering the discriminative and representative object and its parts.

Besides, classification results on Oxford Flowers-102 dataset are shown in Table 2, which also have the similar trends as CUB-200-2011 dataset, while our proposed VTA approach still keeps the best.

4.5 Performances of components in our VTA approach

In this subsection, we conduct two baseline experiments as follows to verify the separate contribution of each component in our proposed VTA approach. Tables 3 to 5 show the accuracies of our proposed VTA approach as well as the baseline approaches on CUB-200-2011 dataset at the following two aspects.

4.5.1 Effectivenesses of Fine-grained Visual-textual Pattern Mining and Object Localization. In our VTA approach, fine-grained visual-textual pattern mining and object localization generate discriminative parts and object for promoting the classification accuracy. They make sense to
Table 3. Effects of fine-grained pattern mining and object localization for visual stream.

| Method                        | Accuracy (%) |
|-------------------------------|--------------|
| VTA-visual                    | 85.54        |
| VTA-visual (w/o object)       | 83.21        |
| VTA-visual (w/o parts)        | 84.79        |
| VTA-visual (w/o object & parts) | 80.82 |

Table 4. Effects of different components of our proposed approach on CUB-200-2011.

| Method               | Accuracy (%) |
|----------------------|--------------|
| Our VTA Approach     | 86.31        |
| VTA (w/o object)     | 85.17        |
| VTA (w/o parts)      | 85.83        |
| VTA (w/o object & parts) | 84.05 |

Table 5. Effects of different components of our proposed approach on CUB-200-2011.

| Method                  | Accuracy (%) |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| Our VTA Approach        | 86.31        |
| VTA-textual             | 81.81        |
| VTA-visual              | 85.54        |
| VTA (only original image) | 80.82 |

the visual stream and then further impact whole approach. Therefore, Tables 3 and 4 show the effects of fine-grained visual-textual pattern mining and object localization to visual stream and our proposed VTA approach respectively. “object” means that object localization is conducted, and “parts” means that fine-grained visual-textual pattern mining is employed. We can observe that considering object localization can achieve better classification accuracy than considering fine-grained visual-textual pattern mining. This is because that objects contain the global and local features simultaneously, while discriminative parts focus subtle and local characteristics. However, jointly considering object localization and fine-grained visual-textual pattern mining can further improve the classification accuracy.

Fine-grained visual-textual pattern mining aims to select the image proposals that corresponding to the discovered textual patterns. The relations between image proposals and textual patterns ensure the discrimination and representativeness of selected parts. Some examples of discovered visual-textual patterns are shown in Fig. 8.

4.5.2 Effectiveness of Visual-textual Representation Learning. We also present the baseline experiments to verify the effectiveness of visual-textual representation. The results are shown in Table 5, where “VTA-textual” means textual stream, “VTA-visual” means visual stream and “VTA (only original image)” means only a fine-tuned CNN model is used. We can observe that classification result of textual stream is promising. From the first line of each row in Fig. 9, we can find that textual description with the highest score always points out the discriminative parts or characteristics of the object. The red words are the important textual descriptions for distinguishing subcategories,
and blue ones are the descriptions of easily confused characteristics with other subcategories. Combing visual and textual information can further achieve more accurate classification result, which demonstrates that the two types of information are complementary: visual information focuses on the global and local features, and textual information further points the importance of these features.

From the above baseline results, the separate contribution of each component in our proposed VTA approach can be verified. First, object localization and fine-grained pattern mining discover the discriminative and representative information of image via visual-textual attention. Second, the complementarity between visual and textual information is fully captured by visual-textual representation learning.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the visual-textual attention driven fine-grained representation learning approach has been proposed. Based on textual attention, we employ fine-grained visual-textual pattern mining to discover discriminative information for classification through jointly modeling vision and text with GANs. Then, visual-textual representation learning jointly considers visual and textual information, which preserves the intra-modality and inter-modality information to generate complementary fine-grained representation, and further improve classification performance. Experimental results on two widely-used fine-grained image classification datasets demonstrate the superiority of our method compared with state-of-the-art methods.

The results are promising, and point out a few future directions. First, combining visual and textual information can boost classification accuracy, but the two streams are trained respectively, we will focus on the work of training the two streams end-to-end. Second, we will exploit exact and effective methods on relating textual attention and visual attention for more accurate discriminative parts localization as well as better classification performance.
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