Nuclear hormone receptor NHR-49 acts in parallel with HIF-1 to promote hypoxia adaptation in Caenorhabditis elegans
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Abstract

The response to insufficient oxygen (hypoxia) is orchestrated by the conserved hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF). However, HIF-independent hypoxia response pathways exist that act in parallel with HIF to mediate the physiological hypoxia response. Here, we describe a hypoxia response pathway controlled by Caenorhabditis elegans nuclear hormone receptor NHR-49, an orthologue of mammalian peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPARα). We show that nhr-49 is required for animal survival in hypoxia and is synthetic lethal with hif-1 in this context, demonstrating that these factors act in parallel. RNA-seq analysis shows that in hypoxia nhr-49 regulates a set of genes that are hif-1-independent, including autophagy genes that promote hypoxia survival. We further show that nuclear hormone receptor nhr-67 is a negative regulator and homeodomain-interacting protein kinase hpk-1 is a positive regulator of the NHR-49 pathway. Together, our experiments define a new, essential hypoxia response pathway that acts in parallel with the well-known HIF-mediated hypoxia response.

Editor's evaluation

The highly conserved protein hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) is a well-known regulator of animal responses to low-oxygen environments. Using the sophisticated genetic tools of the nematode C. elegans, this paper identifies a parallel mechanism, governed by a different conserved transcription factor, that also provides protection from hypoxia. These findings provide important new insight into the complex genetic architecture of the mechanisms that maintain organismal homeostasis in the face of environmental stress.

Introduction

Organisms are continuously exposed to endogenous and exogenous stresses, from suboptimal temperatures to foreign substances. Thus, an organism’s ability to mount specific stress responses, including protecting healthy cells from harm or inducing apoptosis when damage to a cell cannot be overcome, is critical for survival. Hypoxia is a stress that occurs when cellular oxygen levels are too low...
for normal physiological functions. It occurs naturally in cells and tissues during development, as well as in many diseases (Lee et al., 2020; Powell-Coffman, 2010). For example, due to hyperproliferation, inadequate vascularization, and loss of matrix attachment, cancer cells grow in hostile microenvironments featuring hypoxia. Certain cancers thus hijack the hypoxia response to allow growth and metastasis in these harsh conditions (Rankin and Giaccia, 2016; Schito and Semenza, 2016; Zhang et al., 2019), and tumour hypoxia correlates with poor clinical outcome (Keith and Simon, 2007). Most prominently, mutations in the tumour suppressor von Hippel–Lindau (VHL), which inhibits the transcription factor hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF), occur in kidney cancers, and the resulting accumulation of HIF drives tumour growth (Kaelin Jr, 2008; Li and Kim, 2011). In line with a pivotal role of HIF in these cancers are studies showing promising effects of HIF inhibitors in preclinical (Albadari et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2016; Cho et al., 2016) and clinical studies (Fallah and Rini, 2019). However, a better understanding of the transcriptional hypoxia adaptation pathway is needed to pinpoint new drug targets and gain a deeper insight into how cells, tissues, and organisms cope with hypoxia.

The pathways that regulate the response to hypoxia are evolutionarily conserved from the nematode worm Caenorhabditis elegans to humans. As in mammals, a key pathway in C. elegans involves the transcription factor HIF-1, which is critical for the cellular responses to and the defence against hypoxia (Choudhry and Harris, 2018; Jiang et al., 2001). To survive hypoxia, animals activate the EGL-Nine homolog (EGLN)–VHL–HIF pathway (egl–9–vhl–1–hif–1 in C. elegans). In normoxic conditions (21% O2), HIF-1 is degraded and thus inactive. This occurs when EGL-9 adds a hydroxyl group onto a proline residue within HIF-1. The hydroxylated proline promotes binding of the E3 ubiquitin ligase VHL-1, leading to poly-ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of HIF-1. However, in hypoxic conditions, EGL-9 is rendered inactive; hence, HIF-1 is stabilized and activates a hypoxia adaptation gene expression program (Epstein et al., 2001; Powell-Coffman, 2010).

Although the responses controlled by the HIF-1 master regulator are most studied, evidence for parallel transcriptional programs in hypoxia exists, from C. elegans to mammalian organisms. For example, the transcription factor B lymphocyte-induced maturation protein 1 (BLMP-1) has a hif-1-independent hypoxia regulatory role in C. elegans (Padmanabha et al., 2015), as does the conserved nuclear hormone receptor (NHR) oestrogen-related receptor (dERR) in Drosophila melanogaster (Li et al., 2013), and the cargo receptor sequestosome 1 (SQSTM1/p62) in mammals (Pursiheimo et al., 2009). Thus, despite the evolutionarily conserved and important role of the HIF family, robust and effective hypoxia adaptation requires an intricate network of transcription factors that act in concert. Compared to HIF, there is far less known about the mechanisms by which these pathways contribute to the hypoxia response.

C. elegans NHR-49 is a transcription factor orthologous to mammalian hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 (HNF4) and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPARα) (Lee et al., 2016). Similar to these NRs, it controls lipid metabolism by activating genes involved in fatty acid desaturation and mitochondrial β-oxidation (Pathare et al., 2012; Van Gilst et al., 2005a). By maintaining lipid homeostasis, NHR-49 is able to extend lifespan, a phenotype often associated with stress resistance (Burkewitz et al., 2015; Ratnappan et al., 2014). In addition to regulating lipid metabolism, NHR-49 also regulates putative xenobiotic detoxification genes in a dietary restriction-like state and during starvation (Chamoli et al., 2014; Goh et al., 2018), is required for resistance to oxidative stress (Goh et al., 2018), and activates innate immune response programs upon infection of C. elegans with Staphylococcus aureus (Wani et al., 2021), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Naim et al., 2021), and Enterococcus faecalis (Dasgupta et al., 2020). Moreover, a recent report showed that nhr-49 is required to increase expression of the catechol-O-methyl-transferase comt-5 in hypoxia, acting downstream of the hypoxia-inhibited receptor tyrosine kinase hir-1 (Vozdek et al., 2018). However, the role of nhr-49 in hypoxia and how it intersects with hif-1 have not been explored.

The detoxification gene flavin mono-oxygenase 2 (fmo-2) is induced in many of the aforementioned stresses in an nhr-49-dependent manner (Dasgupta et al., 2020; Goh et al., 2018; Wani et al., 2021). Interestingly, fmo-2 is also a hif-1-dependent hypoxia response gene (Leiser et al., 2015; Shen et al., 2005), but its dependence on nhr-49 in hypoxia is not known. We hypothesized that nhr-49 may play a role in the worm hypoxia response, in part by regulating fmo-2 expression. Here, we show that nhr-49 is not only required to induce fmo-2, but controls a broad transcriptional response to hypoxia, including the induction of autophagy, a process required within the nhr-49 pathway for survival in hypoxia. Our epistasis experiments indicate that nhr-49 is functionally required independently of hif-1
in hypoxia. Finally, we identify the protein kinase homeodomain-interacting protein kinase 1 (hpk-1) as an upstream activator and the transcription factor nhr-67 as a repressor of the nhr-49 hypoxia response pathway. Together, our data define NHR-49 as a core player in a novel hypoxia response pathway that acts in parallel with HIF-1.

Results

NHR-49 is required to induce the expression of fmo-2 in hypoxia

*C. elegans* fmo-2 is induced by oxidative stress, starvation, and pathogen infection in an nhr-49-dependent fashion (*Dasgupta et al., 2020; Goh et al., 2018; Wani et al., 2021*). fmo-2 expression is also induced in a hif-1-dependent manner during hypoxia (0.1% O₂; *Leiser et al., 2015; Shen et al., 2005*). To test whether nhr-49 regulates fmo-2 expression in hypoxia, we quantified fmo-2 mRNA levels in normoxia (21% O₂) and hypoxia (0.5% O₂) by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) in wild-type and mutant animals. The nr2041 allele deletes portions of both the DNA-binding domain and the ligand-binding domain of nhr-49 and is a predicted molecular null allele (*Van Gilst et al., 2005b*). The ia4 allele deletes exons 2–4 of hif-1 and is also a predicted null allele (*Jiang et al., 2001*).
In wild-type animals, fmo-2 transcript levels increased approximately 40-fold in hypoxia, but this induction was blocked in both nhr-49(nr2041) and hif-1(ia4) mutant animals (Figure 1A). Experiments using a transgenic strain expressing a transcriptional fmo-2p::gfp reporter (Goh et al., 2018) corroborated these observations in vivo. In normoxia, this reporter is weakly expressed in some neurons and in the intestine of transgenic animals, but expression was significantly elevated in the intestine of transgenic animals in hypoxia (Figure 1B and C). High pharyngeal expression made it difficult to quantify neuronal fmo-2p::gfp in hypoxia. Consistent with our qRT-PCR data, loss of nhr-49 abrogated the increase in intestinal upregulation of fmo-2p::gfp animals following hypoxia exposure. We conclude that nhr-49 is required to induce fmo-2 in hypoxia.

**nhr-49 is required throughout the C. elegans life cycle to promote hypoxia resistance in parallel with hif-1**

Wild-type *C. elegans* embryos can survive a 24 hr exposure to environments with as little as 0.5% O2, dependent on the presence of hif-1 (Jiang et al., 2001; Nystul and Roth, 2004). We wanted to determine if nhr-49, like hif-1, is functionally required for animal survival during hypoxia. We first assessed the ability of embryos to survive for 24 hr in 0.5% O2 and then recover to the L4 or later stage when placed back in normoxia for 65 hr. We found that 86% of wild-type embryos reached at least the L4 stage, while only 25% of nhr-49 and hif-1 null mutant animals reached at least the L4 stage by that time (Figure 2A). The sensitivity of nhr-49 null mutant animals to hypoxia is specific to the loss of nhr-49, as transgenic re-expression of NHR-49 from its endogenous promoter rescues this phenotype (see below). This shows that, like hif-1, nhr-49 is required for embryo survival in hypoxia.

Next, we asked whether nhr-49 acts in the hif-1 hypoxia response pathway or in a separate, parallel response pathway. To address this question, we generated an nhr-49(nr2041);hif-1(ia4) double null mutant. We observed that less than 2% of nhr-49;hif-1 double null mutants reached at least the L4 stage following hypoxia exposure (Figure 2A). This suggests that nhr-49 and hif-1 act in separate, genetically parallel hypoxia response pathways.

To determine if nhr-49 and hif-1 are required for larval development in hypoxia, we exposed newly hatched, first stage (L1) larvae to hypoxia for 48 hr. Following this treatment, 95% of wild-type animals reached at least the L4 stage (Figure 2B). In contrast, only 19% of nhr-49 and only 20% of hif-1 mutant animals, respectively, reached at least the L4 stage, and no nhr-49;hif-1 double null mutant animals survived and developed to L4 (Figure 2B). Together, these results show that nhr-49 is required for worm adaptation to hypoxia in a pathway parallel to that of hif-1 both during embryogenesis and post-embryonically.

In normal conditions, nhr-49 null animals have a shortened lifespan (Van Gilst et al., 2005b). This raised the concern that the defects observed in hypoxia may be an indirect consequence of NHR-49’s normal developmental roles. To test whether the effects observed above were due to a specific requirement for nhr-49 in the hypoxia response, we studied worm development in normoxia. We found that loss of nhr-49 did not affect animal survival from the embryo to at least the L4 stage at 21% O2 (Figure 2—figure supplement 1A, Supplementary file 1). Additionally, although nhr-49 null mutants develop slower than wild-type animals at 21% O2, the majority of animals (88%) develop to at least the L4 stage after 48 hr, which is a significantly higher portion than develop to at least the L4 stage in 0.5% O2 (19%; Figure 2—figure supplement 1B, Supplementary file 2). Together, these data show that although nhr-49 null mutants display mild developmental defects in normoxia, the phenotypes observed are due to the requirement for nhr-49 specifically during hypoxia.

**nhr-49 is dispensable for survival in hydrogen sulfide**

To assess whether nhr-49 is involved in other responses requiring hif-1, we next asked if it was required for adaptation to hydrogen sulfide (H2S). H2S is produced endogenously and is an important signalling molecule in animals, including in *C. elegans* (Li et al., 2011). However, exposure to high levels of hydrogen sulfide can be lethal. As in the hypoxia response, hif-1 is a master regulator of the transcriptional response to exogenous hydrogen sulfide, and hif-1 is required for worm survival in 50 ppm H2S (Budde and Roth, 2010; Miller et al., 2011). In contrast, we found that nhr-49 null mutants survive exposure to 50 ppm H2S as well as wild-type control animals (Figure 2C). This suggests that the requirement for nhr-49 is stress specific, and that nhr-49 does not participate in all hif-1-dependent stress responses. This is consistent with previous observations that the hif-1-dependent changes in
Figure 2. *nhr-49* and *hif-1* act in parallel hypoxia response pathways at two stages of the worm life cycle. (A) The graph shows the average population survival of wild-type, *nhr-49(nr2041)*, *hif-1(ia4)*, and *nhr-49(nr2041);hif-1(ia4)* worm embryos exposed for 24 hr to 0.5% O₂ and then allowed to recover at 21% O₂ for 65 hr, counted as the ability to reach at least the L4 stage (five repeats totalling >100 individual animals per genotype). ****p<0.0001 vs. wild-type animals, **p<0.01 vs. *nhr-49(nr2041);hif-1(ia4)* (ordinary one-way ANOVA corrected for multiple comparisons using the Tukey method). (B) The graph shows the average developmental success of wild-type, *nhr-49(nr2041)*, *hif-1(ia4)*, and *nhr-49(nr2041);hif-1(ia4)* larval worms following 48 hr exposure to 0.5% O₂ from L1 stage (four repeats totalling >60 individual animals per genotype). ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001 percent L4 or older vs. wild-type animals (ordinary one-way ANOVA corrected for multiple comparisons using the Tukey method). (C) The graph shows the average population survival of wild-type, *nhr-49(nr2041)*, and *hif-1(ia4)* L4 animals following 24 hr exposure to 50 ppm hydrogen sulfide (three repeats totalling 60 individual animals per strain). ****p<0.0001 vs. wild-type animals (ordinary one-way ANOVA corrected for multiple comparisons using the Tukey method). (D) High-magnification images show *nhr-49p::nhr-49::gfp* adult worms in wild-type, *hif-1(ia4)*, and *hpk-1(pk1393)* backgrounds exposed to room air or following 4 hr exposure to 0.5% O₂ and 1 hr recovery in 21% O₂. Expression is seen in the head, intestine, and hypodermal seam cells (additional repeats in Figure 2-figure supplement 1E and F). (E) The graph shows the average population survival of *nhr-49p::nhr49::gfp* and *nhr-49p::nhr49::gfp;hif-1(ia4)* animals and their respective non-GFP sibling embryos exposed for 24 hr to 0.5% O₂ and then allowed to recover at 21% O₂ for 65 hr, counted as the ability to reach at least the L4 stage (four repeats totalling >100 individual animals per genotype). *p<0.05 (ordinary one-way ANOVA corrected for multiple comparisons using the Tukey method). YA: young adult; n.s.: not significant; WT: wild-type. See Source data 1 for (A–C, E).

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 2: Figure supplement 1. *nhr-49* and *hif-1* mutants do not display major developmental defects in normoxia, and NHR-49::GFP is induced by hypoxia.
gene expression in H₂S are quite different than those seen in hypoxia (Miller et al., 2011). Additionally, the ability of nhr-49 mutants to readily adapt to H₂S provides further evidence that the mild developmental defects of nhr-49 null mutants do not render the animal sensitive to all stresses. Instead, our data indicate that nhr-49’s requirement for hypoxia survival is due to a specific function for this regulator in this particular stress condition.

NHR-49 overexpression compensates for the loss of hif-1 in hypoxia survival

Next, we asked whether the hif-1 and nhr-49 pathways crosstalk in hypoxia. First, we studied NHR-49 levels in hypoxia using the nhr-49p::nhr-49::gfp translational reporter, which expresses a GFP-tagged, full-length NHR-49 fusion protein from its own promoter from an extra-chromosomal array (henceforth referred to as NHR-49::GFP; Ratnappan et al., 2014). Interestingly, we observed an induction of NHR-49::GFP signal in animals exposed to hypoxia (Figure 2D; see also below). Next, we crossed the NHR-49::GFP transgene into the hif-1 mutant background; in the resulting strain, the NHR-49::GFP induction resembled that seen in the wild-type background (Figure 2D, Figure 2—figure supplement 1C and D). Higher-magnification images showed that the NHR-49 induction in hypoxia was similar in the head, intestine, and hypodermal seam cells in the hif-1 null background (Figure 2D, Figure 2—figure supplement 1E and F). In sum, loss of hif-1 does not appear to induce NHR-49 protein levels.

To further explore nhr-49 and hif-1 crosstalk, we tested if NHR-49 was able to rescue the hypoxia survival defects of the hif-1 null mutant. Although NHR-49 overexpression did not protect wild-type embryos from hypoxia (wild-type vs. non-GFP siblings), NHR-49 overexpression restored hif-1 null embryo survival to the level seen in wild-type animals (Figure 2E). Thus, overexpression of NHR-49 compensates for the loss of hif-1, further suggesting that these two transcription factors act in parallel pathways.

The nhr-49-dependent transcriptional response to hypoxia includes hif-1-independent genes

To delineate the genes and biological processes regulated by NHR-49 in hypoxia, we analysed whole-animal transcriptomes of wild-type, nhr-49, and hif-1 mutant animals before and after a 3 hr exposure to hypoxia (0.5% O₂) using RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq; Figure 3A and B, Figure 3—figure supplement 1A). Consistent with published microarray data (Shen et al., 2005), we found that hypoxia in wild-type animals upregulated more genes (718) than it downregulated (339); collectively, we refer to these as hypoxia-responsive genes (1,057) (Figure 3A; false discovery rate [FDR] < 0.05, fold regulation ≥2). Despite different experimental setups (harvest stages, oxygen percentage, gene expression profiling technique), we found a significant overlap in hypoxia-induced genes when comparing our data to the data from Shen et al. (Figure 3—figure supplement 1B). Our data also identified several experimentally confirmed hypoxia-inducible genes, such as egl-9, phy-2, nhr-57, F22B5.4, and fmo-2 (Bishop et al., 2004; Shen et al., 2005), validating our approach (Figure 3—figure supplement 1B and C).

Next, we performed functional enrichment profiling to elucidate the biological pathways and processes governed by hypoxia-responsive genes. In wild-type animals, hypoxia-induced genes function mainly in pathways such as detoxification, response to heavy metal stress, and autophagy, whereas hypoxia-repressed genes play roles in processes such as amino acid transport (Figure 3—figure supplement 1D). Interestingly, a set of genes involved in amino acid metabolism was induced while another set was repressed by hypoxia, whereas genes involved in insulin-related metabolism were exclusively repressed (Figure 3—figure supplement 1E).

Then, we performed intersection analysis to identify genes that require nhr-49 and/or hif-1 to respond to hypoxia (Figure 3A and B). We found that 315 upregulated genes (of 718 upregulated in wild type) failed to be upregulated and 177 downregulated genes (of 339 downregulated in wild type) failed to be downregulated in nhr-49 mutants (Figure 3A and B); collectively we call these nhr-49-dependent genes. Of these nhr-49-dependent genes, 83 of the upregulated and 51 of the downregulated genes were hif-1-independent (Figure 3A and B). In line with our above data, fmo-2 was induced in an nhr-49-dependent manner (Figure 3C). However, although our qRT-PCR data (Figure 1A) show that fmo-2 induction is dependent on hif-1, our RNA-seq analysis excluded fmo-2 from the hif-1-dependent set because it retained more than twofold induction in hypoxia vs. normoxia.
This suggests that although fmo-2 induction is somewhat dependent on hif-1, it requires nhr-49. Thus, although many hypoxia-responsive genes are controlled by both transcription factors, a subset is nhr-49-dependent but hif-1-independent.

Next, we functionally profiled the 83 genes that exclusively require nhr-49 but not hif-1 for induction in hypoxia using functional enrichment analysis (Figure 3C, Supplementary file 3a). We found that autophagy and detoxification genes were significantly enriched (Figure 3D), suggesting a requirement for nhr-49 to regulate these processes in hypoxia. Interestingly, a separate set of detoxification...
genes was dependent only on hif-1 (Figure 3—figure supplement 1F and G, Supplementary file 3b), and a third set of detoxification genes was independent of both nhr-49 and hif-1 (Figure 3—figure supplement 1H and I, Supplementary file 3c). This suggests that there may be an additional transcription factor(s) regulating this process in hypoxia.

Our RNA-seq data revealed that the acyl-CoA synthetase gene acs-2 is induced in response to hypoxia in an nhr-49-dependent manner (Figure 3C, Supplementary file 3a). ACS-2 acts in the first step of mitochondrial fatty acid β-oxidation and is strongly induced by NHR-49 during starvation and following exposure to E. faecalis (Dasgupta et al., 2020; Van Gilst et al., 2005a). To validate our RNA-seq data, we quantified acs-2 expression via qRT-PCR. Following hypoxia exposure, acs-2 transcript levels increased approximately 12-fold, and this induction was blocked in the nhr-49 null mutant, but not the hif-1 null mutant (Figure 3—figure supplement 2A). We used a transgenic strain expressing a transcriptional acs-2p::gfp reporter to study this regulation in vivo (Burkewitz et al., 2015). This reporter showed moderate GFP expression in the body of animals under normoxia, but expression increased substantially in the intestine following exposure to hypoxia (Figure 3—figure supplement 2B and C). Consistent with our RNA-seq and qRT-PCR data, loss of nhr-49 blocked transcriptional activation via the acs-2 promoter as GFP was weaker in the intestines of these animals following hypoxia exposure (Figure 3—figure supplement 2B and C). Collectively, these data provide in vivo evidence that nhr-49 is specifically required, and that hif-1 is dispensable, for induction of acs-2 in hypoxia.

**Autophagy genes are critical downstream targets of nhr-49 in hypoxia**

Next, we wanted to determine which of nhr-49’s downstream transcriptional targets are functionally important for animal survival in hypoxia. We first assessed the ability of fmo-2(ok2147) and acs-2(ok2457) embryos to survive hypoxia as both genes are strongly induced by hypoxia in an nhr-49-dependent manner. Individually, loss of either fmo-2 (60% of embryos develop to at least the L4 stage) or acs-2 (65%) did not significantly decrease embryo viability compared to wild type (79%) (Figure 3E). However, simultaneous loss of both fmo-2 and acs-2 resulted in a significant decrease in survival after hypoxia (47%). None of the mutant animals showed embryo viability defects in normoxia, indicating that the phenotypes observed were specifically due to the requirement of these genes in hypoxia survival (Figure 4—figure supplement 1A, Supplementary file 1). These data suggest that fmo-2 and acs-2 each contribute only modestly to worm survival in hypoxia and are likely not the main factors contributing to nhr-49’s importance in survival to this stress. This resembles previous observations that mutations that disrupt individual hif-1-responsive genes show only minor defects in hypoxia survival (Shen et al., 2005).

Our RNA-seq analysis revealed autophagy as a major biological process modulated by nhr-49 (Figure 3D). Notably, C. elegans show sensitivity to anoxia when the autophagy pathway is disrupted (Samokhvalov et al., 2008), and autophagy is upregulated in anoxia (Chapin et al., 2015). However, the responses to anoxia and hypoxia are mediated by different regulatory pathways (Nystul and Roth, 2004), and it thus was not a priori clear whether autophagy is also required for hypoxia resistance. First, to validate our RNA-seq results, we examined the expression of three autophagy genes with transcriptional (promoter::gfp) reporters. Hypoxia significantly induced GFP fluorescence in worms bearing lgg-1p::gfp, atg-2p::gfp, or epg-3p::gfp reporters (Figure 4A and B, Figure 4—figure supplement 1B and C). Consistent with our RNA-seq results, nhr-49 was required for these inductions, whereas hif-1 was not.

To test whether nhr-49 is required for autophagosome formation in hypoxia, we studied the widely used LGG-1::GFP reporter (Das et al., 2017; Palmisano and Meléndez, 2016; Samokhvalov et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2015), wherein GFP is tagged to the C-terminus of the autophagosome assembly factor LGG-1 (Atg8 in mammals, LC3 in yeast). In wild-type animals, a 5 hr exposure to hypoxia significantly increased the number of LGG-1::GFP foci in seam cells compared to normoxia exposure (Figure 4C and D). Critically, loss of nhr-49 abrogated the increase in LGG-1::GFP foci following hypoxia exposure, whereas loss of hif-1 did not. This shows that autophagosome formation in hypoxia is dependent on nhr-49, but independent of hif-1.

To determine if upregulation of autophagy by nhr-49 is required for worm survival in hypoxia, we assessed the ability of lgg-2(tm5755) and epg-6(tm8366) mutant embryos to survive hypoxia. Similar to nhr-49 mutant animals, only 41% of lgg-2(tm5755) and 44% of epg-6(tm8366) mutant embryos developed to L4 following exposure to hypoxia (Figure 4E and F). Next, we used epistasis analysis
**Figure 4.** *nhr-49* is required to induce autophagy in response to hypoxia. (A, B) The figure shows representative micrographs (A) and whole-worm GFP quantification (B) of *lgg-1p::gfp* adult animals fed EV, *nhr-49*, *hif-1*, *hpk-1*, or *nhr-67* RNAi in room air or following 4 hr exposure to 0.5% O₂ and 1 hr recovery in 21% O₂ (three repeats totalling >30 individual animals per genotype). *p*<0.05, ****p*<0.0001 (two-way ANOVA corrected for multiple comparisons using the Tukey method). (C, D) The figure shows representative micrographs (C) and quantification (D) of LGG-1::GFP foci in individual...
to test whether genes involved in autophagy act in the nhr-49 pathway to promote worm survival in hypoxia. We observed that nhr-49;lgg-1 (38%) and nhr-49;epg-6 (44%) double mutants showed similar survival as does each single null mutant, suggesting that these autophagy genes act in the same pathway as nhr-49 (Figure 4E and F). Each mutant showed normal development from embryo to L4 in normoxia (Figure 4—figure supplement 1D and E, Supplementary file 1), indicating that the phenotypes observed were specifically due to the requirement of these genes in hypoxia survival. To corroborate these results, we also depleted several autophagy genes using feeding RNA interference (RNAi) in the wild-type and nhr-49 null mutant backgrounds and assessed the ability of these embryos to survive hypoxia. RNAi-mediated knockdown of the autophagy genes atg-10 (28%), atg-7 (41%), bec-1 (27%), and epg-3 (38%) caused significant sensitivity to hypoxia in the wild-type background compared to the empty vector (EV) control RNAi treatment (79%; Figure 4—figure supplement 1F).

Importantly, the sensitivity of animals did not significantly change when these genes were knocked down in the nhr-49 null mutant background (32, 25, 13, and 13%, respectively, vs. nhr-49(null);EV(RNAi))

Figure 4 continued

hypodermal seam cells in L3 animals in the wild-type, nhr-49(nr2041), and hif-1(a4) backgrounds, kept in room air or exposed to 5 hr 0.5% O₂ (three repeats totalling >110 individual animals in each genotype and unmatched between genotypes. ****p<0.0001 (two-way ANOVA corrected for multiple comparisons using the Tukey method). (E, F) The graphs show average population survival of wild-type, nhr-49(nr2041), (E) lgg-2(tm5755) and nhr-49(nr2041);lgg-2(tm5755), and (F) epg-6(tm8366) and nhr-49(nr2041);epg-6(tm8366) animal embryos exposed for 24 hr to 0.5% O₂ and then allowed to recover at 21% O₂ for 65 hr, counted as the ability to reach at least the L4 stage (three repeats totalling >100 individual animals per genotype). **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001 vs. wild-type animals (ordinary one-way ANOVA corrected for multiple comparisons using the Tukey method). (G) Quantification of LGG-1::GFP foci in individual hypodermal seam cells in L3 animals in second-generation wild-type animals fed EV, hpk-1, or nhr-67 RNAi, kept in room air or exposed to 5 hr 0.5% O₂ (three repeats totalling >110 individual animals in at least 15 individual animals per genotype). ****p<0.0001 (two-way ANOVA corrected for multiple comparisons using the Tukey method), n.s.: not significant; WT: wild-type. See Source data 1 for (B, D, E–G).

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 4: Figure supplement 1. Mutants of downstream transcriptional targets of nhr-49 in hypoxia do not display functional defects in normoxia, and autophagy genes are regulated by and act in the nhr-49 hypoxia response pathway.

Figure 5. nhr-49 is sufficient to promote survival in hypoxia and induce some hypoxia response genes. (A) The graph shows the average population survival of wild-type, nhr-49(nr2041), and nhr-49(et13) worm embryos following 48 hr exposure to 0.5% O₂, then allowed to recover at 21% O₂ for 42 hr, and counted as the ability to reach at least L4 stage (five repeats totalling >100 individual animals per strain). *p<0.05 vs. wild-type animals, ****p<0.0001 vs. nhr-49(et13) animals (ordinary one-way ANOVA corrected for multiple comparisons using the Tukey method). (B) The graph shows the average population survival of nhr-49 tissue-specific rescue worm embryos following 24 hr exposure to 0.5% O₂, then allowed to recover at 21% O₂ for 65 hr, and counted as the ability to reach at least L4 stage. gfp-19p::nhr-49::gfp for intestine, col-12p::nhr-49::gfp for hypodermis, rgef-1p::nhr-49::gfp for neurons, myo-3p::nhr-49::gfp for body wall muscle, and nhr-49p::nhr-49::gfp for endogenous (four or more repeats totalling >50 individual animals per strain). *p<0.05 vs. matching non-GFP siblings. (C) The graph shows fold changes of mRNA levels (relative to wild type) in L4 wild-type and nhr-49(et13) animals (n = 3). *p<0.05, ***p<0.001 vs. wild-type animals (ordinary one-way ANOVA corrected for multiple comparisons using the Tukey method). WT: wild-type. See Source data 1 for (A–C).
21%), suggesting that these genes act in the same pathway as nhr-49. Depletion of these genes by RNAi alone did not cause impaired development from embryo to L4 in normoxia, indicating that the phenotypes observed were specifically due to the requirement of these genes in hypoxia survival (Figure 4—figure supplement 1G, Supplementary file 1). Together, these data show that autophagy is a functionally important nhr-49-regulated process required for worm survival in hypoxia.

NHR-49 expression in multiple tissues is sufficient to promote hypoxia survival

To test if nhr-49 activation is sufficient to promote survival of C. elegans in hypoxia, we studied the nhr-49(et13) gain-of-function strain, which is sufficient to induce fmo-2 (Goh et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2016). After 24 hr of exposure to hypoxia, 86% of wild-type eggs develop to at least L4 stage (Figure 2A), but after 48 hr of hypoxia exposure, only 44% develop to at least L4 stage (Figure 5A). In contrast, 75% of nhr-49(et13) gain-of-function eggs develop to at least L4 stage after 48 hr of hypoxia exposure, indicating that NHR-49 activation is sufficient to improve the population survival of worms in hypoxia.

NHR-49 is expressed in multiple tissues, including the intestine, neurons, muscle, and hypodermis (Ratnappan et al., 2014). Neuronal NHR-49 is sufficient to extend lifespan in some contexts and regulates genes in distal tissues (Burkewitz et al., 2015), but where the protein acts to regulate the response to hypoxia is unknown. As described above, NHR-49::GFP imaging indicated that NHR-49 protein levels are induced in the intestine, neurons, and hypodermis during hypoxia (Figure 2D, Figure 2—figure supplement 1E and F). Hence, we asked if the expression of NHR-49 in any one of these tissues could rescue the hypoxia survival defects of the nhr-49 mutant (Naim et al., 2021). Comparing the survival of each tissue-specific NHR-49::GFP rescue strain to their respective non-GFP siblings, we found that expressing nhr-49 in the intestine, neurons, hypodermis, body wall muscle, or from its endogenous promoter was sufficient to restore population survival to wild-type levels (Figure 5B). Taken together, these data suggest that NHR-49 can act in multiple somatic tissues, perhaps cell non-autonomously, to regulate the organismal hypoxia response.

To determine if NHR-49 activity alone is sufficient to induce expression of hypoxia response genes, we tested if the nhr-49(et13) gain-of-function mutant strain showed upregulation of nhr-49-dependent hypoxia response genes identified in our RNA-seq analysis in the absence of stress (Figure 5C). In line with previous findings (Goh et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2016), nhr-49 was sufficient to induce fmo-2 and acs-2 expression on its own. However, other hypoxia-inducible nhr-49 regulated genes involved in autophagy and detoxification (Supplementary file 3a) were not induced in the nhr-49(et13) gain-of-function mutant. It is possible that nhr-49 regulates autophagy indirectly, or that the et13 mutation, which has combined gain- and loss-of-function properties (Lee et al., 2016), cannot induce these tested autophagy genes. It is also possible that, to induce these genes, NHR-49 acts in concert with another hypoxia-responsive transcription factor or requires binding of a hypoxia-associated signalling molecule or post-translational modification by a hypoxia-regulated factor, which is not activated in the nhr-49(et13) mutant. Together, this shows that NHR-49 is sufficient to extend the survival of worms in hypoxia in various tissues, but the gain-of-function strain is only able to induce certain response genes without the presence of stress.

The nuclear hormone receptor NHR-67 negatively regulates the nhr-49 hypoxia response

Cellular stress response pathways are intricate networks involving a multitude of proteins. Activation or repression of downstream response genes thus often requires signalling via additional factors such as kinases and transcription factors. To identify factors acting in the nhr-49-regulated hypoxia response pathway, we focused on proteins that have previously been reported to physically interact with NHR-49 (Reece-Hoyes et al., 2013); such proteins might be regulators of NHR-49. One potential NHR-49-binding protein is NHR-67, the sole C. elegans orthologue of the D. melanogaster tailless and vertebrate NR2E1 proteins (Gissendanner et al., 2004). NHR-67 is important in neural and uterine development (Fernandes and Sternberg, 2007; Verghese et al., 2011), but a role for this NHR in stress responses has not yet been described. Our RNA-seq data showed that nhr-67 mRNA expression is modestly increased during hypoxia in wild-type animals and much more substantially induced in the nhr-49 null background (Figure 6A), suggesting a possible regulatory interaction between these two
NHRs in hypoxia. To explore this interaction further, we used feeding RNAi to knock down \( \text{nhr-67} \) in normoxia and hypoxia, and observed how this affected the expression of the \( \text{fmo-2p::gfp} \) and \( \text{acs-2p::gfp} \) transcriptional reporters. Compared to EV(RNAi), knockdown of \( \text{nhr-67} \) significantly induced both reporters even in the absence of stress, suggesting a repressive role for \( \text{nhr-67} \) on these genes (Figure 6B–E). In hypoxia, \( \text{nhr-67(RNAi)} \) resulted in even higher expression of these reporters. In both normoxia and hypoxia, increased expression of the reporters was dependent on \( \text{nhr-49} \).
of *nhr-49* abrogated the GFP induction (*Figure 6B–E*). The *nhr-49(et13)* gain-of-function mutation is sufficient to induce expression of the *fmo-2p::gfp* reporter in non-stressed conditions (Goh et al., 2018), although it does not alter *nhr-67* expression under normoxic conditions (*Figure 6—figure supplement 1A*). Knockdown of *nhr-67* further increased the expression of the *fmo-2p::gfp* reporter in the *nhr-49(et13)* background in both normoxia and hypoxia (*Figure 6—figure supplement 1B and C*). Together, these data suggest that *nhr-67* negatively regulates the expression of the hypoxia response genes *fmo-2* and *acs-2* in both normoxic and hypoxic conditions, and that this regulation is dependent on *nhr-49*.

Above, we showed that autophagy induction in hypoxia is *nhr-49* dependent. To test whether *nhr-67* regulates autophagy genes in hypoxia, we examined the activity of the *lgg-1p::gfp*, *atg-2p::gfp*, and *epg-3p::gfp* reporters after *nhr-67* knockdown. Compared to the EV(RNAi) control, *nhr-67* knockdown unexpectedly blocked the induction of *epg-3p::gfp* by hypoxia (*Figure 4—figure supplement 1C*), but did not alter *lgg-1p::gfp* or *atg-2p::gfp* induction by hypoxia (*Figure 4A and B, Figure 4—figure supplement 1B*). Next, we assessed whether *nhr-67* regulates autophagosome formation in hypoxia. Following a 5 hr exposure to hypoxia, the number of LGG-1::GFP foci increased significantly in both *nhr-67(RNAi)* control and in *nhr-67(RNAi)* animals (*Figure 4G*). This suggests that, although *nhr-67* is required for the induction of *epg-3*, its role in autophagy regulation in hypoxia is minor.

As a negative regulator of some *nhr-49*-dependent hypoxia response genes, it is possible that *nhr-67* acts upstream of *nhr-49* or directly on the promoter of *acs-2* and *fmo-2*. To determine how *nhr-67* regulates this response, we used feeding RNAi to knock down *nhr-67* and observed expression of the NHR-49::GFP translational fusion protein. Whole-animal NHR-49::GFP expression was increased in both normoxia and hypoxia following knockdown of *nhr-67*, with the highest increase observed in the intestine (*Figure 6F and G*). This suggests that *nhr-67* negatively regulates NHR-49, but in hypoxia, an increase in NHR-49 protein levels may in turn repress *nhr-67*, suggesting a negative feedback loop. The effects seen on *fmo-2* and *acs-2* expression are likely a consequence of NHR-67’s effect on *nhr-49*.

Loss-of-function mutations in *nhr-67* cause early L1 lethality or arrest (Fernandes and Sternberg, 2007), so we used feeding RNAi to study *nhr-67*’s functional requirements in hypoxia. We assessed the ability of *nhr-67(RNAi)* embryos to survive hypoxia and recover, as described above. Only 58% of *nhr-67* knockdown embryos survived to at least L4 stage compared to the EV(RNAi) animals (82%; *Figure 6—figure supplement 1D*). Next, we used epistasis analysis to test whether *nhr-67* acts in the *nhr-49* pathway. We observed that *nhr-67(null);nhr-67(RNAi)* animals showed similar survival (17%) as do *nhr-49;EV(RNAi)* animals (29%), suggesting that these two genes act in the same pathway. In contrast, *hif-1(null);nhr-67(RNAi)* animals showed significantly reduced survival (16%) compared to *hif-1;EV(RNAi)* animals (46%), consistent with the view that *hif-1* and *nhr-49* act in separate pathways (*Figure 6—figure supplement 1D*). The majority of *nhr-67(RNAi)* animals were able to reach at least L4 stage in normoxia (98%), resembling EV(RNAi) animals (94%; *Figure 6—figure supplement 1E, Supplementary file 1*). Thus, although *nhr-67* appears to perform a negative regulatory role on the *NHR-49*-dependent hypoxia pathway, it, too, is functionally required for survival in hypoxia. Taken together, these data show that *nhr-67* is a functionally important negative regulator of the *nhr-49*-dependent hypoxia response, although it does not equally control all NHR-49-regulated hypoxia response genes.

**The kinase *hpk-1* positively regulates *nhr-49*-dependent hypoxia response genes and is required for survival in hypoxia**

Many stress response pathways involve upstream kinases that phosphorylate transcription factors, including PMK-1 and GSK-3, which phosphorylate the oxidative stress response regulator SKN-1 (Blackwell et al., 2015), and AKT-1/2, which phosphorylate DAF-16 in the insulin/IGF signalling pathway (Ogg and Ruvkun, 1998). To identify factors acting in the *nhr-49*-dependent hypoxia response pathway, we studied kinases that we found to potentially act in the *nhr-49*-dependent oxidative stress response (Doering & Taubert, manuscript in preparation). We depleted each kinase using feeding RNAi to determine if any treatment prevented *fmo-2p::gfp* induction in hypoxia in the worm intestine. As expected, *nhr-49* RNAi diminished this intestinal fluorescence compared to the EV(RNAi) (*Figure 7A and B*). Of the kinases tested, RNAi knockdown of the nuclear serine/threonine
kinase hpk-1 significantly decreased intestinal fmo-2p::gfp expression following hypoxia exposure (Figure 7A and B), phenocopying nhr-49 knockdown. Knockdown of hpk-1 also significantly reduced intestinal expression of the acs-2p::gfp reporter in hypoxia (Figure 7C and D) and reduced expression of fmo-2p::gfp in the nhr-49(et13) background in normoxia (Figure 7—figure supplement 1A and B). In comparison, hif-1 RNAi significantly decreased the expression of the fmo-2p::gfp reporter in hypoxia (Figure 7A-B) but did not alter it in the nhr-49(et13) background (Figure 7—figure supplement 1A, B), and actually increased expression of the acs-2p::gfp reporter in hypoxia (Figure 7C and D). We corroborated the hpk-1 data using qRT-PCR in wild-type animals and in a hpk-1(pk1393) mutant. The pk1393 allele deletes the majority of the kinase domain of hpk-1 and is a predicted
molecular null allele (Raich et al., 2003). In hypoxia, the expression of both acs-2 and fmo-2 was significantly reduced by loss of hpk-1, phenocopying loss of nhr-49 (Figure 7E). Together, these data suggest that, like nhr-49, hpk-1 is required for upregulation of fmo-2 and acs-2 in response to hypoxia.

hpk-1 regulates autophagy in response to dietary restriction in C. elegans (Das et al., 2017). To determine if hpk-1 is involved in the regulation of autophagy in response to hypoxia, like nhr-49, we examined the expression of the lgg-1p::gfp, atg-2p::gfp, and epg-3p::gfp transcriptional reporters. Similar to nhr-49, induction of all three autophagy genes in hypoxia required hpk-1 (Figure 4A and B, Figure 4—figure supplement 1B and C). Next, we assessed whether hpk-1 is necessary for autophagosome formation in hypoxia. Following a 5 hr exposure to hypoxia, the number of LGG-1::GFP foci was not changed compared to the hpk-1(RNAi) normoxia control (Figure 4G). This shows that, like nhr-49, hpk-1 is required for the induction of autophagy genes and autophagosome formation in hypoxia.

To determine if hpk-1 is functionally required for animal survival in hypoxia, we assessed the ability of hpk-1 mutant embryos to survive hypoxia. Similar to nhr-49 mutant animals, only 45% of hpk-1 mutant embryos developed to L4 (wild-type animals 92%; Figure 7F). We used epistasis analysis to test the hypothesis that hpk-1 acts in the nhr-49 pathway to coordinate a transcriptional response to hypoxia. We observed that the nhr-49;hpk-1 double null mutant showed similar survival (26%) to each of the single null mutants, suggesting that these two genes act in the same hypoxia response pathway (Figure 7D). In contrast, the hif-1;hpk-1 double null mutant was significantly impaired (~2%) compared to each of the single null mutants alone, consistent with the view that these two genes act in separate response pathways (Figure 7G). Each mutant showed normal development from embryo to L4 in normoxia, indicating that the phenotypes observed were specifically due to the requirement of these genes in hypoxia survival (Figure 7—figure supplement 1C and D, Supplementary file 1). Taken together, these experiments show that hpk-1 is required for embryo survival in hypoxia, consistent with it playing a role as an activator of the nhr-49-dependent response pathway.

NHR-49 is regulated post-transcriptionally in hypoxia in an hpk-1-dependent fashion

To test our hypothesis that HPK-1 activates NHR-49 in hypoxia, we examined whether NHR-49 is induced by hypoxia and whether hpk-1 is involved in this regulation. NHR-49 and HPK-1 protein levels
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**Figure 8.** NHR-49 is induced in hypoxia in an hpk-1-dependent fashion. (A) The graph shows the average fold changes of mRNA levels (relative to unexposed wild type) in L4 wild-type animals exposed to 0.5% O₂ for 3 hr (n = 3 or 4; ordinary one-way ANOVA corrected for multiple comparisons using the Tukey method). (B) Representative micrographs show nhr-49p::nhr-49::gfp and nhr-49p::nhr-49::gfp;hpk-1(pk1393) adult animals following 4 hr exposure to 0.5% O₂ and 1 hr recovery in 21% O₂. (C) The graph shows the quantification of whole-worm GFP levels in nhr-49p::nhr-49::gfp and nhr-49p::nhr-49::gfp;hpk-1(pk1393) animals following 4 hr exposure to 0.5% O₂ and 1 hr recovery in 21% O₂ (three repeats totalling >30 individual animals per strain). ****p<0.0001 (two-way ANOVA corrected for multiple comparisons using the Tukey method). n.s.: not significant; WT: wild-type. See Source data 1 for (A, C).

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 8:

**Figure supplement 1.** hpk-1 is not transcriptionally regulated in hypoxia.
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are increased in response to tert-butyl hydroperoxide and/or heat shock, respectively, but mRNA levels remain unchanged (Das et al., 2017; Goh et al., 2018). Similarly, we observed that nhr-49 and hpk-1 mRNA levels were not increased upon exposure to hypoxia (Figure 8A). Consistent with this, a transcriptional reporter of the hpk-1 promoter fused to GFP (Das et al., 2017) was also not induced following hypoxia exposure (Figure 8—figure supplement 1A and B). These data show that the transcription of neither nhr-49 nor hpk-1 is induced in hypoxia.

We considered the possibility that NHR-49 may be regulated post-transcriptionally. To assess NHR-49 protein levels, we again used the translational NHR-49::GFP reporter to measure the expression of the fusion protein in response to hypoxia. As described above, the whole-worm NHR-49::GFP signal was modestly, but significantly, elevated upon exposure to hypoxia (Figure 8B and C). Interestingly, although hpk-1 null mutation had no effect on NHR-49::GFP levels in normoxia, it abrogated the upregulation of the NHR-49::GFP signal by hypoxia (Figure 8B and C). Higher magnification images showed that NHR-49 is upregulated in the head, intestine, and hypodermal seam cells during hypoxia, and loss of hpk-1 abrogated NHR-49 induction in all three tissues (Figure 2D, Figure 2—figure supplement 1E and F). This suggests that NHR-49 is regulated post-translationally in response to hypoxia, and that hpk-1 may be involved in this regulation. Taken together, these data show that hpk-1 is a functionally important upstream positive regulator of the nhr-49-dependent hypoxia response.

Discussion

Animals, tissues, and cells must be able to rapidly, flexibly, and reversibly adapt to a plethora of stresses. Past studies have identified many stress response factors, often termed master regulators. However, recent studies indicate that stress response regulation requires the intricate interactions of multiple factors as part of networks that provide regulatory redundancy and flexibility. NHR-49 is a transcription factor that promotes longevity and development by regulating lipid metabolism and various stress responses (Chamoli et al., 2014; Dasgupta et al., 2020; Goh et al., 2018; Naim et al., 2021; Wani et al., 2021). Our data show that NHR-49 coordinates a part of the transcriptional response to hypoxia. The NHR-49 pathway operates in parallel to the canonical HIF-1 hypoxia response pathway.
Besides nhr-49, this pathway includes nhr-67 and hpk-1. The former interacts with NHR-49 (Reece-Hoyes et al., 2013), potentially forming a regulatory NHR-NHR heterodimer that modulates NHR-49 activity. During normoxia, nhr-67 acts to repress NHR-49; however, during hypoxia, an increase in NHR-49 protein levels in turn represses nhr-67 levels, forming a feedback loop that may serve to reinforce NHR-49 activity. In contrast to nhr-67, the upstream kinase HPK-1 positively regulates at least part of the NHR-49-dependent hypoxia response, either directly or indirectly, as it is required to survive hypoxia and to activate the NHR-49-regulated hypoxia response genes, including fmo-2, acs-2, and autophagy genes. Downstream, NHR-49 and HPK-1 induce autophagy, which is essential to promote hypoxia survival. Collectively, our experiments delineate a hypoxia response pathway that contains distinct upstream and downstream components and is just as essential for hypoxia survival as the parallel hif-1 pathway (Figure 9).

**NHR-49 controls a novel hypoxia response pathway that is parallel to canonical HIF signalling**

nhr-49 is required to induce fmo-2 in various stresses and infection models (Chamoli et al., 2014; Dasgupta et al., 2020; Goh et al., 2018; Naim et al., 2021; Wani et al., 2021). Similarly, HIF-1 regulates fmo-2 in several C. elegans longevity paradigms (Leiser et al., 2015), and fmo-2 is induced in hypoxia, specifically 0.1% O2 exposure, in a hif-1-dependent manner (Leiser et al., 2015; Shen et al., 2005). This raised the possibility that hif-1 also promoted fmo-2 expression in hypoxia (0.5% O2) in L4 or older worms and, more generally, that nhr-49 might act through hif-1 in the hypoxia response. However, several lines of evidence support a model whereby HIF-1 and NHR-49 are core components of parallel signalling networks (Figure 9). First, hif-1 and nhr-49 interact genetically in hypoxia survival experiments, suggesting that they work in parallel genetic pathways (Figure 2A, B and E). Second, our transcriptome analysis identified sets of genes that are regulated exclusively by HIF-1 or NHR-49 (Figure 3A and B). Third, the kinase hpk-1 and the transcription factor nhr-67 show synthetic genetic interaction with hif-1, but not with nhr-49 (Figure 7F and G, Figure 6—figure supplement 1D). In support of our study, a recent publication (Vozdek et al., 2018) showed that nhr-49 is required to induce the hif-1-independent hypoxia response gene comt-5 both in 0.5% O2 and in a strain mutant for the kinase hir-1. In hypoxia, HIR-1 coordinates remodelling of the extracellular matrix independently of HIF-1 (Vozdek et al., 2018). Thus, although our RNA-seq results did not identify comt-5 as a target of NHR-49 in hypoxia, this study supports the idea of a nhr-49 hypoxia response pathway that acts in parallel with hif-1.

**Homeodomain-interacting protein kinases in hypoxia**

Our efforts to map additional components of the NHR-49 hypoxia response pathway, especially factors acting in concert with NHR-49, revealed HPK-1 (Figure 9). Homeodomain-interacting protein kinases (HIPKs) are a family of nuclear serine/threonine kinase that can phosphorylate transcription factors (Rinaldo et al., 2007; Rinaldo et al., 2008). The worm’s only HIPK orthologue, hpk-1, regulates development and the response to DNA damage, heat shock, and dietary restriction (Berber et al., 2013; Berber et al., 2016; Das et al., 2017; Rinaldo et al., 2007). Notably, hpk-1 regulates autophagy in response to dietary restriction as it is necessary to induce autophagosome formation and autophagy gene expression (Das et al., 2017). Here, we show that hpk-1 is an upstream regulator of the nhr-49-dependent hypoxia response pathway. Our data suggest that HPK-1 promotes the accumulation of NHR-49 protein in hypoxia, leading to induction of NHR-49-dependent hypoxia response genes. This includes the induction of autophagy genes and autophagosome formation in hypoxia (Figure 4A, B and G, Figure 4—figure supplement 1B and C). In line with our model, mammalian HIPK2 is induced in and required to protect cardiomyocytes from hypoxia/reoxygenation induced injury (Dang et al., 2020). In contrast, in breast cancer cells, HIPK2 is degraded during periods of low oxygen via association with the E3 ubiquitin ligase SIAH2 (Calzado et al., 2009); this degradation of HIPK2 is necessary as the protein normally represses the expression of HIF-1α by binding at its promoter (Nardinocchi et al., 2009). Thus, protecting cells from hypoxic injury may be a conserved, albeit cell-type-specific, role of HIPKs. Future experiments may reveal how HPK-1 regulates NHR-49, perhaps by examining direct phosphorylation and activation of the NHR-49 protein by HPK-1.
Paradoxical regulation of the β-oxidation gene acs-2 by hypoxia

Mitochondria consume cellular oxygen to produce energy and thus must adapt to limited oxygen availability. In particular, mitochondrial β-oxidation, the consumption of oxygen to catabolize fatty acids for energy production, is repressed in hypoxia in favor of anaerobic respiration. For example, the heart and skeletal muscle of mice and rats show decreased expression of key β-oxidation enzymes in acute hypoxia (Kennedy et al., 2001; Morash et al., 2013). In C. elegans, the acyl-CoA synthetase acs-2 is part of the mitochondrial β-oxidation pathway, where it functions in the first step to activate fatty acids. NHR-49 activates acs-2 expression during starvation, when β-oxidation is induced (Van Gilst et al., 2005b). Considering this, acs-2 expression would be expected to be downregulated in hypoxia due to reduced β-oxidation. Paradoxically, however, we found that acs-2 is strongly induced in hypoxia and that this regulation depends on nhr-49 (Figure 3C, Figure 3—figure supplement 2A–C). Examination of other fatty acid β-oxidation enzymes in our RNA-seq data showed that acs-2 is the only enzyme induced. This suggests that, during hypoxia, ACS-2 is not feeding its product fatty acyl-CoA into the β-oxidation cycle, but perhaps produces fatty acyl-CoA for anabolic functions needed for survival in or recovery from low oxygen, such as phospholipid or triglyceride synthesis (reviewed in Tang et al., 2018). Similar functions have been observed in human macrophages, which, during hypoxia, decrease β-oxidation but increase triglyceride synthesis (Boström et al., 2006).

In line with the repression of β-oxidation in hypoxia (Boström et al., 2006; Kennedy et al., 2001; Morash et al., 2013), there is evidence supporting a HIF-dependent downregulation of the mammalian NHR-49 homolog PPARα, which promotes β-oxidation (Atherton et al., 2008). For example, in human hepatocytes and mouse liver sections, HIF-2α accumulation in hypoxia directly suppresses PPARα expression (Chen et al., 2019). Additionally, HIF-1α suppresses PPARα protein and mRNA levels during hypoxia in intestinal epithelial cells, and the PPARα promoter contains a HIF-1α DNA-binding consensus motif, suggesting direct control of PPARα by HIF transcription factors (Narravula and Colgan, 2001).

Some evidence suggests alternative actions of PPARα. Knockdown of PPARα attenuates the ability of Phd1 (a homolog of C. elegans egl-9) knockout myofibers to successfully tolerate hypoxia (Aragonés et al., 2008), suggesting that PPARα is an important regulator of the hypoxia response downstream of Phd1. Along these lines, PPARα protein levels increase in the muscle of Phd1 knockout mice (Aragonés et al., 2008) and following hypoxic exposure in mouse hearts (Morash et al., 2013). Similarly, we show that NHR-49 protein levels increase in response to hypoxia (Figures 2D, 8B and C, Figure 2—figure supplement 1C–F), and that NHR-49 is a vital regulator of a hypoxia response that works in parallel with HIF-1. Together, these data suggest that, similar to evidence from studies in mammalian systems, NHR-49 levels are increased and required in hypoxia, and may be regulating acs-2 for functions other than fatty acid β-oxidation.

NHR-49 promotes autophagy activation to achieve hypoxia survival

During stress, damaged cellular components can be cleared or recycled via autophagy, a key process regulated by nhr-49 in hypoxia (Figures 3D and 4). Autophagy is part of an adaptive response to hypoxia. During periods of low oxygen, cells switch from aerobic mitochondrial respiration to anaerobic glycolysis. To meet this increased glycolytic demand, the autophagy machinery promotes the activity and cell surface expression of the glucose transporter GLUT1 to increase cellular glucose uptake (Roy et al., 2017). In addition, hypoxia causes improper protein folding in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), activating the unfolded protein response (UPR). Although the exact mechanism is unknown, it is thought that autophagy and the UPR are activated simultaneously during stress to restore homeostasis, and that autophagy can assist in alleviating ER stress when the UPR is disrupted or overwhelmed (reviewed in Yan et al., 2015).

In mammals, PPARα activates autophagy in response to various stresses, including in neurons to clear Aβ in Alzheimer’s disease (Luo et al., 2020), and in the liver during inflammation (Jiao et al., 2014) and starvation (Lee et al., 2014). Proper regulation of autophagy is also a requirement in hypoxic conditions. Knockdown or genetic mutation of various C. elegans autophagy genes showed that they are required for worm survival when worms experience anoxia and elevated temperatures combined (Samokhvalov et al., 2008). Similarly, Zhang et al. found that mitochondrial autophagy (mitophagy) is induced by hypoxia in mouse embryonic fibroblasts. This process requires the expression of BNIP3 (Bcl-2/E1B 19 kDa-interacting protein 3), an autophagy regulator, which is induced in
a HIF-1-dependent manner (Zhang et al., 2008). In agreement with this, our RNA-seq data showed a 3.8-fold induction of the C. elegans BNIP3 homolog dct-1 in hypoxia; however, this induction was dependent on neither nhr-49 nor hif-1. The above study also found that the autophagy genes Beclin-1 and Atg5 are induced and required for cell survival in hypoxia (Zhang et al., 2008). Here, we show for the first time that autophagy is both induced and required for C. elegans adaptation and survival to 0.5% O2. The C. elegans orthologue of Beclin-1, bec-1, and the worm lgg-2, epg-6, epg-3, atg-7, and atg-10 genes, which are involved in the completion of the autophagosome along with atg-5/Atg5, are required for worm embryo survival to hypoxia in an nhr-49-dependent manner (Figure 4E and F, Figure 4—figure supplement 1F and G). In addition, we show that both nhr-49 and hpk-1 are required to induce the expression of autophagy genes and autophagosome formation during hypoxia, processes that are independent of hif-1. In agreement with our findings, Valko et al. recently reported that the formation of autophagosomes by hypoxia is independent of hif-1/sima in Drosophila melanogaster (Valko et al., 2021).

Cell non-autonomous functions of NHR-49 in hypoxia

Cell non-autonomous regulation occurs in many pathways in C. elegans. For example, HIF-1 acts in neurons to induce fmo-2 expression in the intestine to promote longevity (Leiser et al., 2015). NHR-49 is expressed in the intestine, neurons, muscle, and hypodermis (Ratnappan et al., 2014). Re-expression of nhr-49 in any one of these tissues is sufficient to enhance worm survival upon infection with the pathogens S. aureus (Wani et al., 2021) and to promote longevity in germine-less animals (Naim et al., 2021), but NHR-49 acts only in neurons to promote survival upon infection by P. aeruginosa (Naim et al., 2021). We thus aimed to identify the key tissue wherein NHR-49 promotes hypoxia survival. Surprisingly, we found that nhr-49 expression in any of the intestine, neurons, hypodermis, or body wall muscle is sufficient for whole-animal survival to hypoxia (Figure 5B), suggesting that NHR-49 can act in a cell non-autonomous fashion to execute its effects. Possibly, a signalling molecule whose synthesis is promoted by NHR-49 activity in any tissue promotes organismal hypoxia adaptation. It is also possible that ectopic overexpression of NHR-49 shifts metabolism in the tissue wherein it is expressed, releasing metabolites that promote organismal hypoxia adaptation.

In sum, we show here that NHR-49 regulates a novel hypoxia response pathway parallel to HIF-1 and controls an important transcriptional response for worm survival in hypoxia. If the mammalian NHR-49 homologs PPARα and HNF4 play similar roles in the cellular response to hypoxia, our discovery could lead to the identification and development of new targets for drugs and therapies for diseases exhibiting hypoxic conditions.

Materials and methods

| Key resources table |
|---------------------|
| **Reagent type (species) or resource** | **Designation** | **Source or reference** | **Identifiers Additional information** |
| Strain, strain background (Escherichia coli) | OP50 | Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC) |
| Strain, strain background (E. coli) | HT115 | Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC) |
| Genetic reagent (Caenorhabditis elegans) | N2 | Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC) (Brenner, 1974) |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans) | nhr-49(nr2041) I | PMID:15719061 (Van Gilst et al., 2005a) | STE68; RRID:WBSTRAIN:WBStrain00034504 |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans) | eavEx20[mo-2p::gfp+rol-6su1006]/ | PMID:29508513 (Goh et al., 2018) | VE40 |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans) | nhr-49(nr2041) I; eavEx20[mo-2p::gfp+rol-6su1006]/ | This study | STE129 |

Continued on next page
| Reagent type (species) or resource | Designation | Source or reference | Identifiers | Additional information |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | hif-1(a4) V | PMID:11427734 (Jiang et al., 2001) | ZG31; RRID:WB-STRAIN:WBStrain00040824 |  |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | nhr-49(n2041) I, hif-1(a4) V | This study | STE130 |  |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | fmo-2(ok2147) IV | PMID:26586189 (Leiser et al., 2015) | VC1668; RRID:WB-STRAIN:WBStrain00036780 |  |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | acs-2(ok2457) V | PMID:21704635 (Zhang et al., 2011) | RB1899 |  |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | nhr-49(nr2041) I; glmEx5 [nhr-49p::nhr-49::gfp+myo-2p::mCherry] | PMID:25474470 (Ratnappan et al., 2014) | AGP25f |  |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | hif-1(a4) V; glmEx5 (nhr-49p::nhr-49::gfp+myo-2p::mCherry) | This study | STE140 |  |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | nhr-49(nr2041) I; glmEx5 [gly-19p::nhr-49::gfp+myo-2p::mCherry] | PMID:24156142 (Naim et al., 2021) | AGP53 |  |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | nhr-49(nr2041) I; glmEx10 [col-12p::nhr-49::gfp+myo-2p::mCherry] | PMID:24156142 (Naim et al., 2021) | AGP51 |  |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | nhr-49(nr2041) I; glmEx8 [myo-3p::nhr-49::gfp+myo-2p::mCherry] | PMID:24156142 (Naim et al., 2021) | AGP63 |  |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | nhr-49(nr2041) I; glmEx7 [acs-2p::gfp+rol-6su106] | PMID:25723162 (Burkewitz et al., 2015) | WBM170 |  |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | hif-1(ia4) V; glmEx5 (nhr-49p::nhr-49::gfp+myo-2p::mCherry) | This study | STE142 |  |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | nhr-49(nr2041) I, hpk-1(pk1393) X | PMID:12618396 (Raich et al., 2003) | EK273; RRID:WB-STRAIN:WBStrain00007138 |  |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | hif-1(a4) V, hpk-1(pk1393) X | This study | STE132 |  |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | hif-1(a4) V; hpk-1(pk1393) X | This study | STE133 |  |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | nhr-49(n2041) I, eavEx20[mo-2p::gfp+rol-6su106] | PMID:29508513 (Goh et al., 2018) | STE117 |  |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | artEx12 [hpk-1p::gfp+rol-6su106] | PMID:29036198 (Das et al., 2017) | AVS394 |  |

Continued on next page
| Reagent type (species) or resource | Designation | Source or reference | Identifiers | Additional information |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | dpy-5(e907) I; sEx14068[rCes atg-2::GFP+pCeh361] | PMID:15338614 (McKay et al., 2003) | BC14068     |                        |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | dpy-5(e907) I; sEx13567[rCes [lgg-1::GFP+pCeh361]] | PMID:15338614 (McKay et al., 2003) | BC13567     |                        |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | dpy-5(e907) I; sEx10273[rCes epg-3::GFP+pCeh361] | PMID:15338614 (McKay et al., 2003) | BC10273     |                        |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | adIs2122 [lgg-1p::GFP::lgg-1 + rol-6(u1006)] | PMID:17785524 (Kang et al., 2007) | DA2123      |                        |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | dpy-5(e907) I; sEx13016[rCes atg-2::GFP+pCeh361] | PMID:15338614 (McKay et al., 2003) | BC13016     |                        |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | dpy-5(e907) I; sEx13068[rCes [lgg-1::GFP+pCeh361]] | PMID:15338614 (McKay et al., 2003) | BC13068     |                        |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | dpy-5(e907) I; sEx10273[rCes epg-3::GFP+pCeh361] | PMID:15338614 (McKay et al., 2003) | BC10273     |                        |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | adIs2122 [lgg-1p::GFP::lgg-1 + rol-6(u1006)] | PMID:17785524 (Kang et al., 2007) | DA2123      |                        |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | nhr-49(nr2041) I; adIs2122 [lgg-1p::GFP::lgg-1 + rol-6(u1006)] | This study | STE143      |                        |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | hif-1(uad) IV; adIs2122 [lgg-1p::GFP::lgg-1 + rol-6(u1006)] | This study | STE144      |                        |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | lgg-2(tm5755) IV | PMID:24374177 (Manil-Ségalen et al., 2014) | RD220      |                        |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | nhr-49(nr2041) I; lgg-2 [tm5755] IV | This study | STE145      |                        |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | epg-6(tm8366) III | This study, non-outcrossed mutant obtained from NBRP; PMID:19936255 | STE147      |                        |
| Genetic reagent (C. elegans)      | nhr-49(nr2041) I; epg-6 [tm8366] III | This study | STE146      |                        |
| Sequence-based reagent            | Source BioScience | PMID:11099033 | RNAi clones |                        |
| Sequence-based reagent            | fmo-2_F        | This paper | qPCR primer | GGAACAGCGTGTCTGTTG    |
| Sequence-based reagent            | fmo-2_R        | This paper | qPCR primer | GCCATAGGAAAGACCATGTGG |
| Sequence-based reagent            | ahs-2_F        | This paper | qPCR primer | AGTGAGCTTGACAGATTC   |
| Sequence-based reagent            | ahs-2_R        | This paper | qPCR primer | CTTGTAAGAGAAATGCTTC  |
| Sequence-based reagent            | nhr-49_F       | This paper | qPCR primer | TCCGAGTTCATTCTCGAG    |
| Sequence-based reagent            | nhr-49_R       | This paper | qPCR primer | GGATGAATGCACAGAAGGC  |
| Sequence-based reagent            | hpk-1_F        | This paper | qPCR primer | TGGTCTGTAGAGAGAGAGAG |
| Sequence-based reagent            | hpk-1_R        | This paper | qPCR primer | CCGGCCCCATCTGCTACTGTA |
| Sequence-based reagent            | nhr-67_F       | This paper | qPCR primer | GAGGATGATGCCAGATAGTAG |
| Sequence-based reagent            | nhr-67_R       | This paper | qPCR primer | TGCTGTTAGAGAGAGAGAGAG |
| Sequence-based reagent            | act-1_F        | This paper | qPCR primer | GCTGACGCTGATCCTACTGATTAC |
| Sequence-based reagent            | act-1_R        | This paper | qPCR primer | GATGACGCTGATCCTACTGATTAC |
| Sequence-based reagent            | tba-1_F        | This paper | qPCR primer | CTCTGTACAGCTCCTCACTAG |
| Sequence-based reagent            | tba-1_R        | This paper | qPCR primer | AGGGAGGTGTCCTCTTCAC  |
| Sequence-based reagent            | ubc-2_F        | This paper | qPCR primer | CGGATTGATGCGAGAGUC    |
| Sequence-based reagent            | ubc-2_R        | This paper | qPCR primer | TCCGACGACCTTCTCCTCCTC |
| Sequence-based reagent            | oac-14_F       | This paper | qPCR primer | CCGGAGATGTCCTCACTAG   |
| Sequence-based reagent            | oac-14_R       | This paper | qPCR primer | CAAGATGTCCTCACTAG    |
| Sequence-based reagent            | cyp-13A11_F    | This paper | qPCR primer | ACAGCAGAGACATCCTACTA   |
Reagent type (species) or resource | Designation | Source or reference | Identifiers | Additional information
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Sequence-based reagent | cyp-13A11_R | This paper | qPCR primer | TTCCGATACTGTCAGGTC
Sequence-based reagent | cyp-25A3_F | This paper | qPCR primer | agaatgtgctccaaacac
Sequence-based reagent | cyp-25A3_R | This paper | qPCR primer | ttcaaatccaggagacgg
Sequence-based reagent | ugt-20_F | This paper | qPCR primer | CCGCAAAATCCAGAGAGACA
Sequence-based reagent | ugt-20_R | This paper | qPCR primer | TGTCAAAAAGAATGACTCAACG
Sequence-based reagent | atg-2_F | This paper | qPCR primer | AGATGCAGCTATGCTGC
Sequence-based reagent | atg-2_R | This paper | qPCR primer | TCTCCTGACGGAGAGTTC
Sequence-based reagent | epg-9_F | This paper | qPCR primer | CGAGAAAACCGAGATTCCC
Sequence-based reagent | epg-9_R | This paper | qPCR primer | TGAGCCACGGATTGTGGT
Sequence-based reagent | lgg-2_F | This paper | qPCR primer | GCAGTTAACATCTGAGAGCC
Sequence-based reagent | lgg-2_R | This paper | qPCR primer | CTTCCATGACGAGGAGAG
Sequence-based reagent | atg-13_F | This paper | qPCR primer | AAGCAGCTGAAAACTGCTGC
Sequence-based reagent | atg-13_R | This paper | qPCR primer | CGGA GAAC GAAT TGAC GTGTT
Sequence-based reagent | Random primers | Invitrogen | 48190-011 | 
Sequence-based reagent | dNTPs | Fermentas | R0186 | 
Chemical compound, drug | Carbenicillin | BioBasic | CDJ469 | 
Chemical compound, drug | IPTG | Santa Cruz | sc-202185B | CAS 367-93-1
Chemical compound, drug | Tetracycline | BioBasic | TB0504 | 
Chemical compound, drug | RNaseOUT | Invitrogen | 10777-019 | 
Chemical compound, drug | Fast SYBR Master Mix | Life Technologies | 4385612 | 
Chemical compound, drug | Levamisole | Sigma | L9756 | 
Chemical compound, drug | H2S | AirGas, Seattle, WA | X02N99CP581327 | 
Chemical compound, drug | 5000 ppm O2 balanced with N2 | Praxair Canada | NI OX5000C-T | 
Software, algorithm | ImageJ | PMID:22930834 | [ImageJ](https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/index.html) | 
Software, algorithm | Trimmomatic version 0.36 | PMID:24695404 | [RRID:SCR_011848](https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/index.html) | 
Software, algorithm | Salmon version 0.9.1 | PMID:28263959 | [RRID:SCR_017036](https://combine-lab.github.io/salmon/) | 
Software, algorithm | tximport | PMID:26925227 | [RRID:SCR_016752](https://github.com/mikelove/tximport) | 
Software, algorithm | edgeR | PMID:19910308 | [RRID:SCR_012802](http://bioconductor.org/packages/edgeR/) | 
Software, algorithm | eVITTA | PMID:34019643 | [eVITTA](https://tau.cmmt.ubc.ca/eVITTA/) | 

**Nematode strains and growth conditions**

We cultured *C. elegans* strains using standard techniques on nematode growth media (NGM) plates. To avoid background effects, each mutant was crossed into our lab N2 strain; original mutants were backcrossed to N2 at least six times, except *lgg-2* and *epg-6* mutants, which were backcrossed four times. *Escherichia coli* OP50 was the food source in all experiments except for RNAi experiments, where we used *E. coli* HT115. All experiments were carried out at 20°C. Worm strains used in this study are listed in the Key resources table. For synchronized worm growths, we isolated embryos by standard sodium hypochlorite treatment. Isolated embryos were allowed to hatch overnight on unseeded NGM plates until the population reached a synchronized halted development at L1 stage.
via short-term fasting (12–24 hr). Synchronized L1 stage larvae were then transferred to OP50 seeded plates and grown to the desired stage.

**Feeding RNAi**

RNAi was performed on NGM plates supplemented with 25 μg/ml carbenicillin (BioBasic CDJ469), 1 mM IPTG (Santa Cruz CAS 367-93-1), and 12.5 μg/ml tetracycline (BioBasic TB0504; NGM-RNAi plates), and seeded with appropriate HT115 RNAi bacteria. The RNAi clones were from the Ahringer library (Source BioScience) and were sequenced prior to use.

**RNA isolation and qRT-PCR analysis**

Synchronized L1 worms were allowed to grow on OP50 plates for 48 hr to L4 stage, then either kept in 21% O₂ or transferred to 0.5% O₂ for 3 hr and rapidly harvested. RNA isolation was performed as previously described (Goh et al., 2014). 2 μg total RNA was used to generate cDNA with Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen 18064-014), random primers (Invitrogen 48190-011), dNTPs (Fermentas R0186), and RNaseOUT (Invitrogen 10777-019). Quantitative PCR was performed in 10 μl reactions using Fast SYBR Master Mix (Life Technologies 4385612), 1:10 diluted cDNA, and 5 μM primer, and analysed with an Applied Biosystems StepOnePlus machine. We analysed the data with the ΔΔCt method. For each sample, we calculated normalization factors by averaging the (sample expression)/(average reference expression) ratios of three normalization genes, act-1, tba-1, and ubc-2. The reference sample was EV(RNAi), wild-type, or 21% O₂, as appropriate. We used one-way or two-way ANOVA to calculate the statistical significance of gene expression changes and corrected for multiple comparisons using the Tukey method. Primers were tested on serial cDNA dilutions and analysed for PCR efficiency prior to use. All data originate from three or more independent biological repeats, and each PCR reaction was conducted in technical triplicate. Sequences of qRT-PCR primers are listed in the Key resources table.

**Analysis of fluorescent reporter lines via DIC and fluorescence microscopy**

To analyse fluorescence in reporter lines, egg lays were performed on NGM plates seeded with OP50 or RNAi plates seeded with the appropriate HT115 RNAi culture. Worms were allowed to grow to adulthood. Plates were then kept in 21% O₂ or transferred to 0.5% O₂ for 4 hr and allowed to recover for 1 hr in normoxia before imaging to allow for GFP maturation; hence, these assays are technically post-hypoxia experiments. Worms were collected into M9 buffer containing 0.06% levamisole (Sigma L9756) for immobilization on 2% (w/v) agarose pads for microscopy. We captured images at ×10 magnification on a CoolSnap HQ camera (Photometrics) attached to a Zeiss Axioplan 2 compound microscope, followed by MetaMorph Imaging Software with Autoquant 3D digital deconvolution. For higher resolution images, we used the Hamamatsu ORCA-Flash4.0 LT+ Digital CMOS camera attached to a Leica SP8X confocal microscope at ×40 magnification. All images for the same experiment were captured at the same exposure time. Images were analysed using ImageJ software (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/download.html), with fluorescence calculated by taking the difference of the background fluorescence from the mean intestinal or whole-worm fluorescence. For experiments imaging the *fmo-2p::gfp* and *acs-2p::gfp* reporters, intestinal fluorescence was measured. For experiments imaging *hpk-1p::gfp*, *nhr-49p::nhr-49::gfp*, *lgg-1p::gfp*, *atg-2p::gfp*, or *epg-6p::gfp*, whole-worm fluorescence was measured. For each experiment, at least three independent trials were performed with a minimum of 30 worms per condition.

**Autophagosome formation measurement**

Autophagosome formation was measured by counting fluorescent foci in the hypodermal seam cells of animals expressing the translational LGG-1::GFP reporter (Das et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2015). L3 worms were either kept in 21% O₂ or transferred to 0.5% O₂ for 5 hr. Worms were collected into 1 M NaN₃ for immobilization on 2% (w/v) agarose pads for microscopy, and the Leica SP8X microscope was used as above at ×63 magnification. For each experiment, at least three independent trials were performed with a minimum of 15 worms scored for GFP foci, totalling at least 110 individual seam cells per condition. For the micrographs shown in Figure 4C, image brightness and contrast were adjusted in ImageJ to best visualize the number of foci present in each seam cell; importantly, the
same adjustment was consistently applied throughout the whole image. The same brightness and contrast settings were applied to images within each genotype (i.e., comparing hypoxia-exposed and normoxia-exposed animals of the same genotype), but different settings were used for different genotypes.

**NHR-49 transgenic strains**

To construct the *nhr-49p::nhr-49::gfp* containing plasmid, a 6.6 kb genomic fragment of the *nhr-49* gene (including a 4.4 kb coding region covering all *nhr-49* transcripts and a 2.2 kb promoter region) was cloned into the GFP expression vector pPD95.77 (Addgene #1495), as reported previously (Ratnappan et al., 2014). For generating tissue-specific constructs, the *nhr-49* promoter was replaced with tissue-specific promoters using SbfI and SalI restriction enzymes to create plasmids for expressing NHR-49 in the muscle (*myo-3p::nhr-49::gfp*), intestine (*gly-19p::nhr-49::gfp*), hypodermis (*col-12p::nhr-49::gfp*), and neurons (*rgef-1p::nhr-49::gfp*). 100 ng/μl of each plasmid was injected, along with pharyngeal muscle-specific *myo-2p::mCherry* as a co-injection marker (25 ng/μl), into the *nhr-49(nr2041)* mutant strain using standard methods (Mello and Fire, 1995). Strains were maintained by picking animals that were positive for both GFP and mCherry.

**Hypoxia sensitivity assays**

Hypoxic conditions were maintained using continuous flow chambers, as previously described (Fawcett et al., 2012). Compressed gas tanks (5000 ppm O₂ balanced with N₂) were certified as standard to within 2% of indicated concentration from Praxair Canada (Delta, BC). Oxygen flow was regulated using Aalborg rotameters (Aalborg Instruments and Controls, Inc, Orangeburg, NY). Hypoxic chambers (and room air controls) were maintained in a 20°C incubator for the duration of the experiments.

For embryo survival assays, gravid first-day adult worms (picked as L4 the previous day) were allowed to lay eggs for 1–4 hr on plates seeded with 15 μl OP50 or appropriate HT115 RNAi bacteria the previous day. Adults were removed, and eggs were exposed to 0.5% O₂ for 24 hr or 48 hr. Animals were scored for developmental success (reached at least L4 stage) after being placed back into room air for 65 hr (following 24 hr exposure) or 42 hr (following 48 hr exposure). For RNAi survival assays, worms were grown for one generation from egg to adult on the appropriate HT115 RNAi bacteria before their progeny was used for the egg lay.

For larval development assays, gravid adult worms (picked as L4 the previous day) were allowed to lay eggs for 2 hr and kept at 20°C for 13–17 hr to allow hatching (egg lays for *nhr-49(nr2041)* strains with embryonic developmental delays were performed 2 hr earlier to ensure synchronization with wild-type worms). Freshly hatched L1 worms were transferred to plates seeded with 15 μl OP50 the previous day and exposed to 0.5% O₂ for 48 hr. Animals were placed back into room air and immediately scored for stage.

For all normoxia (21% O₂) comparison experiments, methods were as described above except plates were kept in room air for the duration (instead of being exposed to 0.5% O₂).

**Hydrogen sulfide sensitivity assay**

Construction of H₂S chambers was as previously described (Fawcett et al., 2012; Miller and Roth, 2007). In short, 5000 ppm H₂S (balanced with N₂) was diluted with room air to a final concentration of 50 ppm and monitored with a custom H₂S detector, as described (Miller and Roth, 2007). Compressed gas mixtures were obtained from Airgas (Seattle, WA) and certified as standard to within 2% of the indicated concentration. Survival assays were performed in three independent trials with 20 L4 animals picked onto OP50 seeded plates. Plates were exposed to 50 ppm H₂S for 24 hr in a 20°C incubator, then returned to room air to score viability. Animals were scored 30 min after removal from H₂S, and plates with dead animals were re-examined after several hours to ensure animals had not reanimated.

**RNA sequencing**

Synchronized L1 wild-type, *nhr-49(nr2041)*, and *hif-1(ia4)* worms were allowed to grow on OP50 plates to L4 stage, then either kept in 21% O₂ or transferred to 0.5% O₂ for 3 hr. RNA was isolated from whole worms as described above (immediately following hypoxia exposure). RNA integrity and quality were ascertained on a BioAnalyzer. Construction of strand-specific mRNA sequencing libraries and
sequencing (75 bp PET) on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 machine was done at the Sequencing Services facility of the Genome Sciences Centre, BC Cancer Agency, Vancouver BC, Canada (https://www.bcgsc.ca/services/sequencing-services). We sequenced >20 million reads per sample. The raw FASTQ reads obtained from the facility were trimmed using Trimmomatic version 0.36 (Bolger et al., 2014) with parameters LEADING:3 TRAILING:3 SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15 MINLEN:36. Next, the trimmed reads were aligned to the NCBI reference genome WBcel235 WS277 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/GCF_000002985.6/) using Salmon version 0.9.1 (Patro et al., 2017) with parameters -l A -p 8 --gcBias. Then, transcript-level read counts were imported into R and summed into gene-level read counts using tximport (Soneson et al., 2015). Genes not expressed at a level greater than one count per million (CPM) reads in at least three of the samples were excluded from further analysis. The gene-level read counts were normalized using the trimmed mean of M-values (TMM) in edgeR (Robinson et al., 2010) to adjust samples for differences in library size. Differential expression analysis was performed using the quasi-likelihood F-test with the generalized linear model (GLM) approach in edgeR (Robinson et al., 2010). Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were defined as those with at least a two-fold difference between two individual groups at an FDR < 0.05. RNA-seq data have been deposited at NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under the record GSE166788.

Functional enrichment analysis and visualization were performed using the Overrepresentation Analysis (ORA) module with the default parameters in easyGSEA in the eVITTA toolbox (https://tau.cmm.ubc.ca/eVITTA/; input December 14, 2020; Cheng et al., 2021). easyVizR in the eVITTA toolbox was used to visualize the overlaps and disjoints in the DEGs (input December 14, 2020).
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The following dataset was generated:

| Author(s)         | Year | Dataset title                                           | Dataset URL                                      | Database and Identifier   |
|-------------------|------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Doering KRS, Cheng X, Taubert S | 2020 | NHR-49 controls a HIF-1 independent hypoxia adaptation pathway in *Caenorhabditis elegans* | https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE166788 | NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus, GSE166788 |

The following previously published dataset was used:

| Author(s)         | Year | Dataset title       | Dataset URL                                      | Database and Identifier   |
|-------------------|------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Shen C, Nettleton D, Jiang M, Kim SK, Powell-Coffman JA | 2005 | Hypoxia response    | https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE2836 | NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus, GSE2836 |
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