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Abstract
Poly(butylene succinate) (PBS) is used to produce micro-/nanoporous biodegradable scaffolds, suitable for the release of the mosquito repellent N,N-diethyl-3-methylbenzamide (DEET), based on thermally induced phase separation. For solvent-rich compositions up to 30 m% PBS, it was found that PBS dissolves in DEET at elevated temperatures. During cooling, spherulitic crystallization of PBS occurs, with the crystallization temperature decreasing with the content of DEET and the cooling rate, as determined by cloud-point measurements, differential scanning calorimetry, and polarized-light optical microscopy. Scaffold morphologies of quenched solutions were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy as a function of the polymer concentration and the quenching temperature. These two parameters control the nucleus density/spherulite size, the degree of intermeshing of spherulites, and the intra- and interspherulitic pore size, with the latter typically being of the order of magnitude of few micrometers.
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Introduction
Mosquito-borne diseases include malaria, dengue, West Nile fever, or yellow fever and affect a large proportion of the world population. Malaria cases exceeded 200 million in 2018 and caused more than 400,000 deaths, mostly in countries in Africa [1]. Mosquito repellents can help prevent the transmission of these diseases by reducing the contact between mosquitoes and humans. N,N-Diethyl-3-methylbenzamide (DEET) is a well-known mosquito repellent and considered as a gold standard among the many available repellents [2–4]. Studies showed that slow and controlled release of DEET from a carrier is an effective way to sustain protection from mosquito bites [5–10], however with possible carrier systems not yet sufficiently explored.

This holds in particular for devices based on polymer scaffolds in which repellent is stored in open pores and slowly released to the environment.

In general, such polymer-based drug-release devices can be obtained by thermally induced phase separation (TIPS) during cooling of solutions with an upper critical solution temperature (UCST), as frequently demonstrated, e.g., for polylactides [11–16]. Depending on the crystallizability of the system components and the thermodynamic miscibility, TIPS may proceed via liquid-liquid (L-L) or solid-liquid (S-L) phase separation [17, 18]. In the latter case, the solution typically separates into solid polymer crystals and a liquid solvent-rich solution, which on further cooling may further separate into polymer-rich and solvent-rich phases when crossing the phase boundary line, or vitrify at the glass transition temperature of the solution.

Alternatively, a partially solid, phase-separated system may form via L-L TIPS followed by crystallization of the polymer-rich phase. Both routes, that is, crystallization-controlled S-L TIPS on one side and L-L TIPS followed by polymer crystallization on the other side, seem suited for generation of polymer scaffolds with entrapped mosquito repellent.
Regarding L-L TIPS followed by crystallization, successful scaffold formation was achieved by twin-screw extrusion of strands of poly (ethylene-co-vinyl acetate) (EVA) or linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE) holding up to 30 m% DEET or Icaridin [19]. The homogeneous molten strand was guided into ice-water, allowing for L-L spinodal decomposition and solidification by polymer crystallization. Subsequent analysis of the evaporation characteristics of the repellents by foot-in-cage tests revealed protection against mosquitos up to 12 weeks at 50 °C.

Similarly, binary solutions of LLDPE and the natural repellent citronellal (60 m%) were quenched from 150 °C to different sub-ambient temperatures between 5 and -170 °C, causing development of co-continuous polymer/repellent morphologies, however with negligible effect of the quenching temperature. The typical size of pores was less than a micron, and it was suggested that capillary forces effectively retain the liquid citronellal in the open pores [20].

In further studies, the polymer/repellent system poly(1-lactic acid) (PLLA)/DEET was investigated regarding the possibility to develop a biodegradable repellent-delivery device. PLLA dissolves in DEET above the polymer melting temperature and crystallizes from solution on cooling to below the equilibrium melting temperature [21–23]. PLLA scaffold formation during solution crystallization was successfully proven for solutions containing up to 50 m% PLLA, with typical pore sizes of the order of magnitude of few microns. In addition, it was found that PLLA/DEET solutions can be subject to electrospinning of fibers with a typical diameter of 1 μm and DEET loadings up to 50 m%, thus having the potential as a novel material for controlled release of DEET [24].

Though the polymer/repellent system PLLA/DEET is considered to be a promising drug-delivery device, there might remain disadvantages due to specific properties of the polymer component. PLLA is a rather slowly crystallizing polymer [25–28], with possible detrimental effects on the technology of producing such devices by classical melt-compounding, and perhaps even on the scaffold structure which is controlled by the number of crystal nuclei during solidification. Furthermore, despite being well-known for its environmental friendliness, PLLA degradation is rather slow [29–31], that is, improperly disposed PLLA-based drug-delivery devices will degrade at environmental conditions only over periods of months or even years [31]. For these reasons, in the present work, a further candidate for development of a polymer-based drug-delivery device, holding a mosquito repellent, is investigated. Poly(butylene succinate) (PBS) is a crystallizable polymer with a maximum possible crystallinity between 35 and 45% and exhibits a much higher crystallization rate than PLLA, it is bio-sourced, and the degradation rate is significantly faster [32–38]. PBS is commercially produced and gained importance in the fields of packaging, mulching films, or implants [38–40], and is also considered a base material for preparation of scaffold structures, to be applied, e.g., in bone tissue engineering [41–45]. Reports about its use as a drug/repellent carrier are not available.

Therefore, in the present study, the mixing behavior of PBS and DEET is investigated in order to evaluate the general possibility of the development of a scaffold-based drug-release tool aiming at repellence of mosquitos. In the first part, the solubility of the system components at elevated temperature as a prerequisite for crystallization-controlled TIPS during cooling is analyzed. This is followed by evaluation of the TIPS/crystallization conditions on the morphology of the system. In particular, the effects of the quenching/crystallization temperature and system composition to produce microporous structures from solutions are targeted, with the formed scaffolds advantageously imaged by scanning electron microscopy.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

The study was performed using an extrusion-grade PBS homopolymer produced by PTT MCC Biochem Co., Ltd. (Thailand) (www.pttmcc.com [accessed May 09, 2020]). The mass-average molar mass and polydispersity of the material are 123 kg/mol and 4.4, respectively (personal communication by MCPP-Europe [May 08, 2019]). DEET with a purity of 97% was obtained from Sigma Aldrich (product number D100951) (https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/catalog/product/aldrich/d100951?lang=de&region=DE [accessed May 09, 2020]), and was used without any further purification. DEET is liquid at room temperature and exhibits a glass transition temperature of around -75 °C [21, 46]. Information about crystallization and melting are not available, and evaporation in thermogravimetry experiments revealed on heating at 5 K/min a mass loss of 10% at 180 °C [47]. As-received PBS pellets were dissolved in DEET at 150 °C in closed 4-mL glass vials while stirring, using a silicone oil bath. The prepared solutions contained 5, 10, 20, and 30 m% polymer.

**Instrumentation**

**Cloud-point measurements**

Cloud-point measurements were done to obtain temperatures of phase separation during slow cooling of the solutions. The solutions were kept in the closed 4-mL glass vials and cooled slower than 2 K/min using a silicone oil bath. During cooling, the transparency/turbidity of the solutions was monitored using a Leica digital microscope system DMS300 allowing for video recording.
Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)

In addition to cloud-point measurements, DSC was employed for analysis of crystallization-controlled solid-liquid phase separation of solutions at higher cooling rates and improved temperature control. We used a heat-flux type DSC 1 from Mettler-Toledo connected to a Huber intracooler TC 100. Nitrogen gas with a flow rate of 60 mL/min was used as a purge gas to avoid thermo-oxidative degradation of the samples during the experiments. Solutions prepared as described above were transferred to 100-μL aluminum pans, with the sample mass being between 30 and 40 mg. To ensure that all crystals which may have formed during the transfer of the solutions with an initial temperature of 150 °C to the aluminum pan, samples were heated from the insert temperature of 25 °C to 150 °C and held at that temperature for 3 minutes before recording the cooling scans. All samples were used for recording of a single cooling scan only, in order to minimize the risk of a change of the polymer concentration due to evaporation of DEET on repeated heating.

Fast scanning differential scanning calorimetry (FSC)

FSC was used for analysis of the temperature dependence of the crystallization rate of PBS. We employed a power-compensation Flash DSC 1 from Mettler-Toledo, connected to a Huber TC100 intracooler. The sensor-support temperature was set to -90 °C, allowing for rapid cooling the melt to the desired crystallization temperatures. Nitrogen gas at a flow rate of 40 mL/min was used to purge the sample environment. Sample preparation included cutting of thin sections with a thickness of about 10 μm from the obtained pellets with the help of a Slee rotary microtome CUT 5062 equipped with a tungsten carbide knife. The thin sections were then reduced in their lateral size to about 50 μm under a stereomicroscope using a scalpel. Such specimens were then placed in the center of the heatable area of the sample calorimeter of the UFS 1 sensor, where a homogeneous temperature field has been proven in a separate study [48]. Prior to use and loading of the sample, the sensor was conditioned and temperature-corrected according to the instrument operating instructions.

Polarized-light optical microscopy (POM)

POM was used to confirm that TIPS during cooling solutions proceeded by polymer crystallization as well as for analysis of crystal-nuclei densities and rates of spherulite growth as a function of the crystallization conditions. A Leica DMRX microscope was operated in transmission mode with the samples placed between crossed polarizers. A droplet of the various PBS/DEET solutions was taken from the heated vials using a spatula, placed on a circular glass coverslip and covered by a second one. Then, the glass-sample-glass sandwich was placed into a Linkam THMS600 hot stage connected to a liquid-nitrogen accessory needed for fast cooling. Samples were heated to 150 °C and kept at this temperature for 1 min to melt crystals formed during sample preparation and to obtain a solution before the crystallization experiment. For analysis of isothermal crystallization, samples were cooled to selected temperatures at a rate of 100 K/min. A Motic 2300 CCD camera was used to capture images at a sampling rate of 5 s, in order to obtain spherulite growth rates.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

SEM was employed for visualization of the microporous structure of PBS formed by crystallization-controlled TIPS of PBS/DEET solutions. A sufficient amount of solution was taken by a spatula from the vial and poured into an Anton Paar TTK sample chamber pre-conditioned at different temperatures between 0 and 60 °C, in order to obtain film-like samples with a thickness of 0.8 mm and scaffolds of different morphology. After isothermal crystallization, the samples were placed into an oven for 5 days at a temperature of 60 °C to evaporate the liquid DEET, before evaluation of the remained scaffold by a Tescan Vega 3 SBU SEM. The instrument was operated in a low-vacuum mode at a pressure of 10 Pa, using an acceleration voltage of 10 kV, avoiding the need for prior coating of the sample surface.

Results and discussion

Thermally induced phase separation and crystallization kinetics

PBS/DEET solutions were prepared at 160 °C and then subjected to a cloud-point analysis during slow cooling at a rate lower than 2 K/min, using a temperature sensor placed inside the solution. Figure 1 shows selected photographs of PBS/DEET mixtures containing 5, 10, 20, and 30 m% PBS (from left to right) taken at different temperatures between 150 °C (top row) and 60 °C (bottom row). The images of the upper row reveal absent turbidity at 150 °C regardless of the polymer concentration within the analyzed concentration range, indicating the presence of homogeneous solutions. During cooling, the first indication of turbidity was observed at about 70 °C in the sample with the highest PBS concentration of 30 m%. For the samples containing a lower amount of polymer of 20, 10, and 5 m%, cloud points are detected at lower temperatures of approximately 67, 65, and 63 °C, respectively. In general, cloudiness on cooling solutions may be caused by liquid-liquid or crystallization-induced solid-liquid phase separation, which, however, cannot be judged by visual inspection only. For this reason, calorimetry was employed as enthalpies of crystallization may be much larger than enthalpies of liquid-liquid
demixing, as concluded from former analysis of the polymer/solvent system PLLA/DEET [21]. Additionally, calorimetry served for more precise determination of phase-separation temperatures than is possible by eye.

Exemplary DSC cooling scans of PBS/DEET solutions in apparent-heat-capacity units are presented in Fig. 2, showing the effects of the polymer concentration on the temperature and enthalpy of crystallization during cooling at a constant rate of 5 K/min (top part), and of the cooling rate for a specific sample containing 30 m% polymer (bottom part). All data were normalized to the actual PBS content, allowing immediate judging of the crystallizability of PBS in the various solutions. Regarding the effect of the polymer concentration, neat PBS crystallizes on cooling at 5 K/min between about 80 and 90 °C, while crystallization of PBS in the presence of DEET occurs only at temperatures lower than about 70 °C, with the data revealing a systematic shift of the crystallization event to lower temperatures with increasing DEET content. Reasons for the lowering of the crystallization temperature of PBS when crystallizing from solutions may be a lowered equilibrium melting temperature [49] and the lowered polymer concentration, increasing diffusion pathways of molecular segments to the crystal growth front and slowing down the nucleation and crystallization rate [50–52]. In parallel, the enthalpy of PBS-crystallization (peak area) seems to increase slightly. For neat PBS, the enthalpy of crystallization is around 60 J/g which corresponds to a crystallinity of about 30% when using a value of 200 J/g for normalization [53, 54]. In case of crystallization of PBS in the presence of DEET, however, the PBS content-normalized enthalpy of crystallization is increased to yield PBS crystallinity values of around 50%, indicating, at least, that DEET does not hinder PBS crystallization from the point of view of the maximum achievable crystallinity. The possible reasons for the observed increased crystallinity are the reduced entanglement-density [55, 56] and/or absence of the rigid amorphous fraction both considered stopping melt crystallization when exceeding critical values [57].

In any case, the observation of exothermic peaks on cooling PBS/DEET solutions with an area of similar order of magnitude than in case of neat PBS we consider an important effect regarding the interpretation of the observed turbidity in the cloud-point experiments being mainly caused by crystallization and not by L-L phase separation. The latter would be connected with a much lower enthalpy of demixing. This view is supported by the typical, rather large effect of the cooling rate on the crystallization temperature, illustrated with the DSC curves in the lower part of Fig. 2. For (spinodal) L-L demixing of solutions, though also governed by time effects,
such distinct shift of the transition temperature with cooling rate is not expected [58, 59], as well as the peak area should not decrease with increasing cooling rate. However, it is important to note that the DSC and cloud-point experiments performed in the present work cannot provide a final answer whether crystallization is preceded or occurs in conjunction with L-L demixing. Such information may be obtained by suppressing the crystallization process as was done for the system PLA/DEET [21, 22].

Quantitative data about transition temperatures in non-isothermal crystallization experiments as a function of the PBS content are shown in Fig. 3. The various datasets, which are represented by different symbols/colors, demonstrate the effect of the cooling rate and reveal the above discussed kinetics of the crystallization process. The decrease of the crystallization temperature with increasing DEET concentration, in turn, is assumed being caused by the equilibrium-melting-temperature depression as well as the dilution effect, slowing down both homogeneous nucleation and growth of crystals [49–52].

Figure 4 shows overall crystallization rates in terms of crystallization half/peak times of neat PBS (gray symbols) and PBS crystallizing from solution (colored symbols) as a function of the crystallization temperature. Analysis of neat PBS allowed application of FSC, and with that it was possible to gain half/peak times of crystallization lower than about 1 min. Note that the kinetics of crystallization processes can only be quantified by DSC if exhibiting characteristic crystallization times of the order of magnitude slower than a minute, caused by the rather long instrumental time constant [60, 61]. The data obtained on neat PBS reveal that crystallization is fastest at around 45 °C, with the crystallization process completed within about 1 s at this temperature. This observation is in qualitative agreement with independent PBS crystallization studies [32, 34, 62], in which, however, different grades were investigated, serving as explanation for the observed differences. In contrast to neat PBS, PBS/DEET solutions were analyzed by DSC only, caused by the rather fast evaporation of DEET when attempting to prepare samples for FSC. Though the number of data is therefore largely reduced, it seems obvious that the crystallization temperature range is shifted to a lower temperature and that crystallization proceeds distinctly slower in the investigated range of temperature. This observation is in agreement with the non-isothermal experiments described with Figs. 2 and 3, and confirms a major effect of the presence of DEET on the kinetics of crystallization of PBS, despite a distinct influence of the DEET concentration was not detected within the analyzed range from 10 to 30 m% PBS.

**Morphology and scaffold structure**

Information about the semicrystalline morphology including scaffold structure formed during crystallization-controlled TIPS of PBS/DEET solutions were collected by POM and SEM. As an example, Fig. 5 shows optical micrographs of PBS/DEET samples containing 5, 10, 20, and 30 m% PBS (from left to right), cooled from 160 °C to ambient temperature at rates of 1, 5, 10, 50, and 100 K/min (from top to bottom). All images reveal a micrometer-scale structure consisting of rather isolated spherulites embedded in surrounding liquid. After TIPS on cooling, the latter is assumed to be a DEET-rich phase, while the spherulites are composed of solid PBS crystals surrounded/separated by amorphous PBS or a PBS-rich PBS/DEET solution.
Regarding the effect of the cooling rate on crystallization, as expected from the general dependence of the nucleation rate on temperature [63, 64], there is an increasing number of nuclei/spherulites observed when increasing the cooling rate, that is, if nucleation/crystallization occurs at higher supercooling. Regarding the effect of the polymer concentration, it is obvious that a larger number of spherulites/crystalline fraction is detected when increasing the polymer content. Though not in foreground in the present study, from the point-of-view of a possible application of the PBS/DEET system as a drug repellent-delivery device, the POM micrographs lead to the conclusion that intermeshing of spherulites, in order to obtain mechanical stability instead of a rather liquid-like behavior, is only observed for samples containing 30 m% polymer, and after fast cooling. On the other side, even liquid-/gel-like behaving compounds of high concentration of the active ingredient may find application when combined with a stabilizing surrounding structure, for example, skin layer, generated in extrusion or fiber spinning processes [19, 65, 66].

Further information about the structure of solidified samples were gained by SEM, additionally revealing intra-spherulitic features. Before SEM imaging, the liquid solvent-rich phase was removed by evaporation in a vacuum oven, operated at 60 °C. Figure 6 shows the structure of a sample which initially contained 30 m% PBS, and which was subjected to crystallization-controlled TIPS at temperatures of 0 (top row), 30 (center row), and 60 °C (bottom row), at different magnifications. In agreement with the POM micrographs of Fig. 5, obtained on a rapidly cooled sample crystallized at a low temperature (bottom right image), TIPS at 0 °C led to a space-filled spherulitic morphology, that is, the liquid DEET-rich phase (> 70 m%) is incorporated in the spherulites. In particular, the image obtained at higher magnification (top right) reveals intra-spherulitic pores in which DEET is hosted. At higher crystallization temperatures of 30 and 60 °C, spherulites are not space filling anymore, that is, the DEET-rich phase is additionally located outside the spherulites. Again, the higher resolution images of the right column in Fig. 6 provide information about the structure of the solid intra-spherulitic scaffold formed by lamellar PBS crystals. Furthermore, the soft zoom of the sample crystallized at 30 °C, shown in Fig. 7, allows estimation of the size of the intra-spherulitic pores being of the order of magnitude of a micrometer, or smaller.

**Conclusions**

The present work served for evaluation whether it is possible to generate a polymeric scaffold based on biosourced and biodegradable poly(butylene succinate) (PBS), to be used as a reservoir for the mosquito-repellent \(N,N\)-diethyl-3-methylbenzamide (DEET). The prerequisite for formation of a microporous polymer scaffold is the solubility of the system components which has successfully been proven for the selected polymer, when dissolution is performed at temperatures higher than the PBS melting temperature (see Fig. 1). Crystallization-controlled thermally induced phase separation and the formation of a spherulitic superstructure occur on cooling the solutions, with the morphology depending on the cooling conditions (see Fig. 5). A rather space-filled spherulitic morphology is obtained on fast cooling, implying fast crystallization at a rather low temperature (see Figs. 2, 3, and 4), and if the polymer content is sufficiently high, being larger than about 20 m% PBS (see Figs. 6 and 7). In that case, the liquid DEET-rich phase is located in intra-spherulitic pores with a size of the order of micrometers or even smaller. As such, the performed study provides a

![Fig. 5 Spherulitic superstructure of PBS/DEET samples containing 30, 20, 10, and 5 m% PBS (right to left), non-isothermally crystallized at rates of 1, 5, 10, 50, and 100 K/min (from top to bottom)](image-url)
basis for further research activities in the field of development of repellent-release devices using biodegradable PBS as carrier for environment-friendly after-use disposal.
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