Bifurcation structure of a wind-driven shallow water model with layer-outcropping
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Abstract

The steady state bifurcation structure of the double-gyre wind-driven ocean circulation is examined in a shallow water model where the upper layer is allowed to outcrop at the sea surface. In addition to the classical jet-up and jet-down multiple equilibria, we find a new regime in which one of the equilibrium solutions has a large outcropping region in the sub-polar gyre. Time dependent simulations show that the outcropping solution equilibrates to a stable periodic orbit with a period of 8 months. Co-existing with the periodic solution is a stable steady state solution without outcropping.

A numerical scheme that has the unique advantage of being differentiable while still allowing layers to outcrop at the sea surface is used for the analysis. In contrast, standard schemes for solving layered models with outcropping are non-differentiable and have an ill-defined Jacobian making them unsuitable for solution using Newton’s method. As such, our new scheme expands the applicability of numerical bifurcation techniques to an important class of ocean models whose bifurcation structure had hitherto remained unexplored.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The wind-driven double-gyre ocean circulation is responsible for the transport of heat, mass and fresh water between low and high latitudes, and is therefore important to climate variability. An important feature of the mid-latitude ocean circulation – found both in observations and numerical simulations – is its low-frequency variability. For example, in observations decadal time scale variability has been documented by Qiu and Chen (2005) for the Kuroshio extension system and in models intrinsic decadal variability due to non-linear dynamics is common (e.g. McCalpin and Haidvogel, 1996; Hogg et al., 2005). The mechanisms responsible for this variability however are not fully understood. The motivation for this paper is to continue a line of study that applies bifurcation theory and dynamical-systems theory to a hierarchy of increasingly more realistic ocean models with the goal of better understanding the dynamics of this flow.
The hierarchy of wind-driven ocean models to which bifurcation analysis has been applied so far includes:
single gyre quasi-geostrophic models (Ierley and Sheremet, 1995; Sheremet et al., 1997; Berloff and Meacham,
1997, 1998), single layer double-gyre quasi-geostrophic models (Cessi and Ierley, 1995; Dijkstra and Katsman,
1997; Primeau, 1998a,b; Scott and Straub, 1998; Dijkstra and Molemaker, 1999; Schmeits and Dijkstra, 2002;
Nadiga and Luce, 2001; Primeau, 2002; Simonnet, 2005), multi-layer double-gyre quasi-geostrophic models
(Dijkstra and Katsman, 1997; Chang et al., 2001), reduced gravity shallow-water double-gyre models (Jiang
et al., 1995; Speich et al., 1995; Dijkstra and Molemaker, 1999; Primeau and Newman, 2006), reduced gravity
two-layer shallow-water double-gyre models (Nauw and Dijkstra, 2001; Simonnet et al., 2003a,b) and the one-
layer barotropic shallow water equations on the sphere in realistic N. Atlantic and N. Pacific basins (Schmeits
and Dijkstra, 2000, 2001a,b, 2002). These models of the wind-driven ocean circulation fit in a larger hierarchy
of ocean models ranging from zero-dimensional box models (Stommel, 1961) all the way to fully three
dimensional ocean general circulation models (Dijkstra and Weijer, 2005) to which bifurcation theory has
been used to analyze the non-linear aspects of ocean circulation. Dijkstra and Ghil (2005), provide an excellent
review.

Absent from the above hierarchy are models based on a layered formulation that allow isopycnal layers to
outcrop at the sea surface or along sloping bottom topography. This gap might appear surprising given the
historical and continuing importance of models with outcropping in the development of theories of
the ocean circulation. An analytical solution to a layered formulation with outcropping was the key to the
development of the theory of the ventilated thermocline (Luyten et al., 1983; Pedlosky, 1996), models with
outcropping are also central to many theories explaining the separation of the Gulf Stream from the coast
(Parsons, 1969; Veronis, 1973; Chassignet and Bleck, 1993), and layered model formulations remain an impor-
tant class of general circulation models (Chassignet et al., 2000). However, the gap in the hierarchy is easy to
explain if we consider the computational difficulties involved. Numerical bifurcation analysis relies on
Newton’s method for computing both stable and unstable steady state solutions, but Newton’s method is
not applicable to outcropping numerical models because the numerical schemes that allow outcropping are
generally non-differentiable and do not have a properly defined Jacobian. Without a properly defined
Jacobian, the convergence of Newton’s method is unreliable making numerical bifurcation analysis impossible.

In the present article, we show how to overcome the numerical difficulties by modifying the numerical
scheme so that it does have a properly defined Jacobian. We focus on a 1.5-layer wind-driven shallow water
(SW) model because it is the simplest possible model with layer outcropping. We concentrate on finding
steady-state solution in the presence of outcropping to demonstrate the feasibility of the scheme for bifurca-
tion and stability analyses.

2. Model formulation and solution

Consider an ocean in a rectangular basin forced by wind stress. We represent this with the reduced-gravity
wind-forced shallow water model

\[
\begin{align}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= -u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + f v - g' \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} - ru + A \nabla^4 u + \frac{\tau_x}{\rho_0 h}, \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} &= -u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - f u - g' \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} - rv + A \nabla^4 v + \frac{\tau_y}{\rho_0 h}, \\
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} &= - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (u h) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (v h)
\end{align}
\]

where \((u, v)\) is the velocity of a layer of fluid of depth \(h\) and density \(\rho_0\), overlying an infinitely deep layer as-
sumed at rest and of slightly higher density such that the dynamics of the upper layer feel a reduced gravity \(g'\).
The Coriolis parameter is assumed to vary linearly with latitude, \(f = f_0 + \beta y\). The wind-stress forcing is \((\tau_x, \tau_y)\)
and the friction is parameterized as an interfacial Rayleigh drag with parameter \(r\) plus a horizontal biharmonic
diffusion of momentum with coefficient \(A\). We will also refer to (1) as the 1.5-layer shallow water model.

Computing the time-evolution of these shallow water equations, such as (1), is relatively straightforward. A
variety of numerical schemes are available, such as Arakawa and Lamb’s C-grid scheme (Arakawa and Lamb,
1977). But even with non-dimensionalization, there are many parameters that affect the dynamics of this wind-driven flow. To get a more general and broader understanding of the overall dynamics of this model, we compute bifurcation diagrams and parameter charts. These diagrams and charts show, as a function of parameter value, where there are qualitative changes in the flow. The simplest class of solutions to (1) are equilibrium solutions, that is \((u, v, h)\) that satisfy the equations with the time derivatives set to zero. Our goal is to trace out the locus of these equilibrium solutions, otherwise known as the branching structure, as a function of one or more parameter values.

To compute these equilibrium solutions, we discretize the steady state form of the above shallow water model using the standard Arakawa C-grid, producing a set of non-linear algebraic equations of the form

\[
F(u, p) = 0
\]

where \(u = (u, v, h)\) is a \(n\)-dimensional vector of unknown velocities and depths at the grid points, and \(p\) is an \(m\)-dimensional vector of parameters. The parameter vector \(p\) could include parameters that tend to vary or that are not precisely known, for example the Rayleigh drag parameter \(r\), the horizontal diffusion coefficient \(A\), and the wind-stress intensity \(\tau\). We compute branches of solutions by varying any one of the \(m\) parameters in \(p\). Using pseudo-arclength continuation (Keller, 1977), we map out the branch structure and compute equilibrium solutions that are either stable or unstable. Primeau and Newman (2006) discuss how the ability to vary two parameters is key to mapping out the different parts of the solution surface that would not be accessible by varying only one parameter. In this study we also use this approach of varying two parameters.

The pseudo-arclength continuation method incorporates Newton’s method to solve the system of equation (2), for a fixed parameter vector \(p\). While Newton’s method is a well-known technique (e.g. Kelly, 2003), the ability to compute the Jacobian \(J = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}\) is critical.

### 2.1. Keeping layer thickness non-negative

Numerical solutions to the multi-layer shallow water equations must ensure that the thickness of each layer never becomes negative. Preventing negative layer thickness (like preventing negative tracer concentrations), is a known problem with many solution approaches. Multiple-layer ocean (atmosphere) models often use an isopycnal (isentropic) vertical coordinate system, for example the MICOM (Bleck et al., 1992) or HIM (Hallberg and Rhines, 1996) ocean models. Layer outcropping can then be directly modeled by having coordinate surfaces appear to intersect with the boundary, which is numerically represented by massless layers extending to the edge of the domain, as shown in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. Schematic of a multiple-layer isopycnal ocean model with layer-2 outcropping at the surface. Numerically, layer-1 extends to the left hand edge of the domain as a layer of negligible thickness. In this study we consider a 1.5-layer model where layer-2 is infinitely deep. The sharp front created by the layer-1/layer-2 interface rapidly meeting the surface needs to be accurately and stably handled by the numerical method.](image-url)
There are a variety of numerical schemes for keeping layer thickness non-negative, most of which rely on an upwind scheme. In an upwind scheme the discretization of the flux terms depends on the sign of the flux. For example, a one-dimensional thickness advection equation discretized in flux-divergence form using a first-order upwind scheme, approximates thickness flux term as follows:

\[
[ah]_j = \frac{u_j - |u_j|}{2}h_{j+1/2} + \frac{u_j + |u_j|}{2}h_{j-1/2}
\]

Such a scheme is a simple way to prevent negative values but has the disadvantage of being highly diffusive. A less diffusive scheme that is also an upwind scheme is the flux-corrected transport method (Boris and Book, 1973). Hsu and Arakawa (1990) developed a positive-definite advection scheme to discretize the continuity and momentum equations for a layered-atmosphere model. Even though, by design, this scheme is meant to maintain positive thickness while minimizing dispersion errors, the authors explain that due to truncation or roundoff errors a further modification is required to ensure that layer thicknesses do not become less than a prescribed lower limit. This modification redistributes mass vertically to restore layer thickness to at least this lower limit.

However, all these differencing schemes are non-differentiable, primarily because they all include an upwind or upwind-like term. By non-differentiable, we mean that the Jacobian \( J \) is not well-defined. This can be quickly seen by considering a function \( f(u) \) that includes absolute values \( |u| \), which is the case in upwinding. In this case, the Jacobian \( \frac{df}{du} \) is not defined at \( u = 0 \).

This lack of differentiability is not an issue for time integrations, but is crippling for solving the discretized steady state equation (2). Convergence of Newton’s method is at best not helped by non-smoothness of \( F(u,p) \), and at worst not guaranteed. Consequently we need to find an alternative numerical scheme for solving the shallow water equation with outcropping.

Salmon (2002) proposed a new numerical scheme for solving the two-layer shallow water equations that can – with appropriate modifications – avoid non-differentiable terms. To prevent layer thicknesses from becoming negative, he modified the dynamics by inserting an artificial form of potential energy that becomes very large as the layer becomes very thin. Salmon demonstrated the accuracy of the results, showing that it was a useful scheme for studying wind-driven ocean circulation with bottom topography.

Salmon proposed modifying the pressure gradient term in the momentum equations from \(-g\nabla h\) to \(-g'(1 + P(h))\nabla h\). The \( P(h) \) term represents a modification to the potential energy with the properties that \( P(h) \) is negligible when \( h \gg h_0 \), where \( h_0 \) is a small positive constant, and \( P(h) \to \infty \) as \( h \to 0 \). Salmon suggested the function \( P(h) = (h_0/h)^n \) and numerically determined that \( n = 4 \) worked well without incurring a heavy stiffness penalty due to the smaller time step required by higher wave speed. We will refer to \( h_0 \) as the Salmon thickness. The key feature of this modification is that it is differentiable, and can therefore be used to compute equilibrium solutions using Newton’s method. In this study, we adopted this method for preventing negative layer thickness. We denote this transformation as the following modification to the reduced gravity:

\[
g' \rightarrow g'(1 + \left(\frac{h_0}{h}\right)^4) \tag{4}
\]

Fig. 2 shows the modified potential energy versus the layer thickness (dashed line) compared to the unmodified potential energy (heavy solid line). We point out that this is an artificial modification that may change the dynamics of the shallow water model, so our goal is to be able to select \( h_0 \) sufficiently small so as to minimize the non-physical nature of this term, and show that solutions are relatively insensitive to changes in \( h_0 \).

2.2. Vertical distribution of wind-stress forcing

The forcing in the momentum equations in our 1.5-layer model (1) comes from the \( \tau_{x}/\rho_0 h \) term (for zero north–south wind stress). The magnitude of this forcing term becomes infinite as the layer outcrops and \( h \to 0 \). Although the wind stress only acts at the interface, the 1.5-layer model does not realistically represent what happens physically. A more likely scenario is that there is significant vertical mixing occurring at the
surface. A simple way to represent this mixing is to vertically distribute the wind stress input. We introduce a modification to this forcing term that will keep the momentum flux finite as the layer thickness approaches zero. If we multiply the forcing term by a factor that approaches unity for large $h$ and zero as $h \to 0$ we obtain the following modified forcing:

$$ F_x = \frac{1 - \exp\left(\frac{h}{h^*}\right)}{\rho_0 h} \tau_x $$  

where $h^*$ represents a length scale over which the wind-stress is distributed. In this paper we will refer to $h^*$ as the mixing thickness. The above modification to the wind-stress forcing could be considered to be a parameterization of vertical mixing with length scale $h^*$. Using the above expression, when $h = 0$ the forcing in the momentum equation approaches $F_x = \frac{\tau_x}{\rho_0 h}$. It is more realistic to distribute wind stress through the layers, particularly if the top layer becomes very thin. Multi-layer models such as HIM and MICOM in fact distribute wind stress over one or more layers, depending on the thickness of the top layer.

### 2.3. Final model configuration

Incorporating the modifications in Eqs. (3) and (4) into our shallow water equations (1), and setting the time derivatives to zero gives

$$ 0 = -u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + f v - g \left( 1 + \left( \frac{h_0}{h} \right)^4 \right) \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} - ru + A \nabla^4 u + \frac{\tau \left( 1 - \exp\left(\frac{h}{h^*}\right)\right)}{\rho_0 h} $$

$$ 0 = -u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - f u - g \left( 1 + \left( \frac{h_0}{h} \right)^4 \right) \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} - rv + A \nabla^4 v $$

$$ 0 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(uh) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(vh) $$

where $h_0$ is the Salmon thickness, $h^*$ is the mixing thickness, $\tau$ is the magnitude of the wind-stress forcing, and $\phi(x)$ the wind-stress pattern characterized by a mid-latitude sinusoid

$$ \phi(x) = \cos(2\pi y / L_y) $$

where $L_y = 2000$ km is the north–south extent of the basin.
For this study, we consider simulations on the \( \beta \) plane in a rectangular domain of \((1000 \times 2000) \) km\(^2\). The nominal upper layer thickness is \( h = 100 \) m (so the volume of fluid is constant \( 2 \times 10^{14} \) m\(^3\)), with a density of \( \rho_0 = 1000 \) kg m\(^{-3}\). The reduced gravity \( g' = 0.1 \) m s\(^{-2}\), and the Coriolis parameter and the planetary PV gradient are set to \( f_0 = 1 \times 10^{-11} \) s\(^{-1}\) and \( \beta = 2 \times 10^{-11} \) m\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\). The Rayleigh drag is \( r = 5.3 \times 10^{-7} \) s\(^{-1}\) and the horizontal diffusion is \( A = 5.2 \times 10^{10} \) m\(^4\) s\(^{-1}\). At the boundaries we apply free-slip conditions. We consider two spatial resolutions, the high resolution case at \( \Delta x = \Delta y = 7.8 \) km and the low resolution case \( \Delta x = \Delta y = 15.6 \) km. This resolution is sufficiently high to resolve diffusive length scales controlled by our choice of hyper-diffusion parameter. With these parameters set, we compute equilibrium solutions for Salmon thickness \( h_0 = 16 \) m and \( h_0 = 32 \) m on the two grids. Our two continuation parameters are the wind-stress and the mixing thickness \( \rho = (\tau, h^*) \).

Details of the arclength continuation methodology can be found in Primeau and Newman (2006). To invert the sparse Jacobian matrix we use a multi-frontal approach as implemented in the MUMPS package (Amestoy et al., 2001).

3. Results

We have introduced two modifications to our original shallow water equations. The first modification (4), which is an artificial potential to prevent layer thickness from becoming negative, is not physical, so we need to show that solutions are independent of \( h_0 \), for relatively small \( h_0 \). The second modification, described by (5), is a reasonable representation of the physical process of vertical mixing, so we treat \( h^* \) as a physical parameter. We compute parameter charts as a function of \( \tau \) and \( h^* \) for different values of \( h_0 \). We will also show that the results are relatively insensitive to \( h_0 \) and resolution.

3.1. Bifurcation structure and parameter chart

Using the arclength continuation approach in a two parameter setting as in Primeau and Newman (2006), we have traced out the locus of saddle node bifurcation (turning points) where the solution surface folds to produce multiple equilibria. The two parameters are the wind-stress \( \tau \) and the mixing thickness \( h^* \). The parameter chart in Fig. 3 shows the result. We computed the parameter chart for two values of the Salmon thickness,

![Fig. 3. Parameter chart in the \( \tau \)-\( h^* \) plane showing the locus of turning points for Salmon thickness \( h_0 = 16 \) m (solid curves) and \( h_0 = 32 \) m (dashed curves). The upper left open circle indicates the location of the solutions (a), (b) and (c) shown in Fig. 6, and the lower right open circle indicates the location of the solutions (d) and (e) shown in Fig. 7. A vertical transect through these two circles at wind stress \( \tau = 0.05 \) N/m\(^2\) and \( \tau = 0.07 \) N/m\(^2\) produces the bifurcation plots in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively.](image-url)
the solid lines with $h_0 = 16$ m and the dashed lines with $h_0 = 32$ m. These show that the boundary is relatively insensitive to the choice of the Salmon thickness parameter $h_0$. This insensitivity is important, because it confirms that, given sufficiently high resolution, the modification of the potential energy has little effect, and can be tolerated.

On the parameter chart we see two boundaries, the upper one displaying a classic cusp type bifurcation, (e.g. Arnold, 1992) and the lower one showing a rounded front. In Figs. 4 and 5 we show one-dimensional bifurcation plots as a function of $h^*$ through the cusp region at $\tau = 0.05$ N/m$^2$ and through the rounded front at $\tau = 0.07$ N/m$^2$. Plotted in the figures is the norm of the thickness field as a function of $h^*$. The ranges of $h^*$ values where the curves fold over on themselves indicate regions where there are multiple equilibria.

At $h^* = 67$ m, $\tau = 0.05$ there are three solutions indicated by a, b and c in Figs. 3 and 4. These are shown in Fig. 6. The left panel (a) shows a solution where the subpolar recirculation gyre is stronger than the subtropical one. For this solution the inter-gyre jet turns northward. We call this solution the jet-up solution. The right panel (c) shows a solution where the subtropical recirculation gyre is stronger than the subpolar one. For this solution the inter-gyre jet turns southward. We call this solution the jet-down solution. The middle panel (b) shows a solution where the two recirculation gyres are of similar strength and the inter-gyre jet extends further to the east.

The cusp point at $\tau = 0.044$ N/m$^2$ and $h^* = 62$ m indicated by the filled circle in Fig. 3 shows where the solution surface first folds to produce multiple equilibria. Cuts through parameter space with fixed $h^*$ that intersect the wedge shaped region would yield an imperfect pitchfork bifurcation structure like the one first found by Jiang et al. (1995). The orientation of this cusp in parameter space, and the characteristics of the multiple equilibria in the wedge shaped region that emanates from the cusp are similar to those found by Primeau and Newman (2006), for a shallow water model without layer outcropping. The two-parameters used by Primeau and Newman (2006), included the horizontal Ekman number and a parameter that controls the relative input of vorticity into the subpolar and subtropical gyres. The correspondence between those parameters and the ones used here can be explained by the fact that increasing the wind-stress has a similar effect to decreasing the Ekman number – both increase non-linearity – and that varying $h^*$ has an effect similar to varying the wind-stress asymmetry parameter used in Primeau and Newman (2006). The reason why varying $h^*$ has the effect of varying the wind-stress asymmetry is due to the fact that when the upper layer becomes sufficiently thin the mixing thickness $h^*$ limits the fraction of momentum input into the upper layer by spreading the
momentum input vertically into the deeper layer. Increasing $h^*$ thus decreases the relative input of vorticity in the subpolar gyre where the active layer is thin in comparison to the subtropical gyre. The similarity between our present results and those of Primeau and Newman (2006) is also due to the fact that for equilibria a, b and c, the top layer does not outcrop and without outcropping both models are essentially the same.

Fig. 5. Bifurcation plot showing a solution norm versus mixing thickness, $h^*$ for wind stress $\tau = 0.07 \text{ N/m}^2$ and Salmon thickness $h_0 = 32 \text{ m}$. As the mixing thickness is decreased, the solution surface folds to produce multiple equilibria. The parameter-space location of the two equilibria corresponding to panels (d) and (e) in Fig. 7 are shown. The solution at (d) is marginally stable, while the solution at (e) is time-periodic, being on the same solution surface and across the Hopf boundary shown in the parameter chart in Fig. 3.

Fig. 6. Contour plots of layer thickness. Reference thickness is 100 m (heavy line), contours below reference thickness are dashed, contours above reference thickness are solid, and contour interval is 5 m. The three panels show equilibrium solutions for wind stress $\tau = 0.05 \text{ N/m}^2$ and mixing thickness $h^* = 67 \text{ m}$ with Salmon thickness $h_0 = 32 \text{ m}$. Panel (a) shows a solution where the subpolar recirculation gyre is stronger than the subtropical one and in which the inter-gyre jet turns northward. Panel (c) shows a solution where the subtropical recirculation gyre is stronger than the subpolar one, and in which the inter-gyre jet turns southward, while panel (b) shows a solution where the two recirculation gyres are of similar strength and the inter-gyre jet extends further to the east. Solutions (a) and (c) are stable while (b) is unstable.
Unlike the situation near the cusp point, the broad rounded locus of turning points in Fig. 3 is a feature that was not found in previous studies. Fig. 7 shows the solutions for $\tau = 0.07 \text{ N/m}^2$ and $h^* = 6 \text{ m}$. These are indicated by d and e in Figs. 3 and 5. The right panel (e) of Fig. 7 shows a solution where the subpolar recirculation gyre has outcropped. In this solution the inter-gyre jet turns northward following the outcrop boundary. The left panel shows a solution (d) where the subtropical recirculation gyre is stronger than the subpolar one, and in which the inter-gyre jet turns southward. We have thus found a case where we have multiple equilibria, one of which has outcropped. Apart from the non-linearity due to the inertial terms it appears that the non-linearity associated with layer-thickness change plays an important role in the existence of these new multiple equilibria.

To see more clearly the nature of the outcropped front, we take a section at $x = 250 \text{ km}$, and show the depth profile in Fig. 8. We can see that the outcropping produces a sharp front with a relatively small depth of $h = 8 \text{ m}$ in the outcrop region. Note that this minimum depth is related to the Salmon thickness $h_0$ which is set to $h_0 = 32 \text{ m}$ for this case. Recall that the artificial potential scales as $(h_0/h)^4$ so that $h$ must become somewhat smaller than $h_0$ before the artificial pressure force is strong enough to prevent further thinning of the layer.

3.2. Sensitivity results

To show that the results of the bifurcation analysis are not numerical artifacts we recomputed all the steady state solutions for both $h_0 = 16 \text{ m}$ and $h_0 = 32 \text{ m}$ at a different grid resolution. Fig. 9 shows the parameter chart for the coarser resolution of $\Delta x = 15.6 \text{ km}$. The same qualitative features seen in Fig. 3 are recovered. Importantly, we note that for the higher resolution case (Fig. 3) the solution is less sensitive to the precise value of the Salmon thickness than for the low resolution case (Fig. 9). This confirms that the Salmon potential is a consistent scheme for doing numerical bifurcation analysis in models with layer outcropping.
3.3. Stability analysis

In this section we demonstrate that because our model has a properly defined Jacobian it is amenable to a modal stability analysis. To determine the stability we linearize the dynamical equations about the steady state solution and look for solutions of the form $u \exp(-\lambda t)$. This yields an eigenvalue problem for the Jacobian matrix $J$, which we solve using ARPACK (Lehoucq et al., 1998). Of the three solutions shown in Fig. 6 we find that the jet-up and the jet-down solutions (a and c) are stable while the intermediate solution (c) is unstable. The stability characteristics of these solutions near the cusp point is qualitatively similar to what was found in previous studies with either quasi-geostrophic or shallow water models (e.g. Cessi and Ierley, 1995; Jiang et al., 1995).

The modal stability analysis reveals that solution (d) is stable to small perturbations and that solution (e) is unstable with an oscillatory mode with a period of approximately 7.7 months. The amplitude and phase of the
The unstable mode for solution (e) is shown in Fig. 11. The mode amplitude is focused around the front where the layer outcrops at the surface. Lines of constant phase indicate the propagation of wave disturbances around the outcrop in the counter-clockwise direction.

![Fig. 11](image-url)

Fig. 11. Most unstable eigenmode \((\vec{k} = A e^{i\omega})\) for the outcropped solution (e), where the amplitude \(A = [(\text{Re}(\vec{k}))^2 + (\text{Im}(\vec{k}))^2]^{1/2}\) is plotted in the left panel and the phase \((\phi = \tan^{-1}[\text{Im}(\vec{k})]/[\text{Re}(\vec{k})])\) is plotted in the right panel. Consistent with the contour plots shown in Fig. 12, we see that the main activity of this mode is around the northeast side of the outcrop region. The lines of constant phase are perpendicular to the direction of propagation of the disturbance and agree with the extending and contracting of the jet seen in Fig. 12. The period of the mode is 7.7 months.
We also verified the results of our linear stability analysis with fully non-linear time-dependent runs. Simulations started from equilibrium (d) perturbed with small amplitude noise confirmed that the solution is indeed stable. Simulations started from equilibrium (e) on the other hand moved away from the equilibrium point until the model trajectory converged to a finite amplitude limit cycle with a period of 8 months. The time history of the solution’s basin integrated kinetic energy is shown in Fig. 10. A sequence of contour plots of the layer thickness at four phases during one period of the time-dependent solution is shown in Fig. 12. The figure shows how the outcrop region wobbles as the northward-curving jet contracts and sheds a ‘warm’ eddy into the subpolar gyre before extending eastward to repeat the cycle. The variability is consistent with the unstable mode of the steady state solution (e). The unstable mode’s period of 7.7 is close to the 8 month period of the finite amplitude limit cycle. The amplitude and phase propagation of the mode along the outcrop front is also consistent.

Fig. 12. Sequence of contour plots of layer thickness at four phases throughout one period of the outcropped time-dependent solution that evolves in Fig. 10. The outcrop region wobbles as the northward-curving jet extends and contracts. The contour interval is 5 m and the shaded area where the layer thickness is less than 15 m indicates where the layer has outcropped.

Fig. 13. Parameter chart in the $\tau$–$h^*$ plane showing the locus of Hopf point (bold line) where the solution surface of steady-state solution e first becomes unstable to an oscillatory mode. The locus of turning points (thin lines) where the solution surface folds are also shown for reference. The amplitude and phase of the mode at point e is shown in Fig. 11.
We also computed the Hopf bifurcation boundary separating the stable from the unstable part of the solution branch on which solution (e) lies. The boundary is shown in Fig. 13. To the left of the boundary the solution is stable. To the right of the boundary the steady state solution is unstable. Close to the marginal stability boundary the flow equilibrates to a finite amplitude limit cycle. At the point (e) in Fig. 13 the limit cycle solution coexists with the stable steady state solution (d) on another branch. The initial condition determines which regime the time-dependent trajectory will ultimately tend to.

4. Conclusion

By applying a numerical scheme first proposed by Salmon (2002) to solve the shallow water equations, we have performed the first numerical bifurcation analysis of the double-gyre circulation in the case where layers outcrop at the surface. In one part of parameter space we recovered the multiple equilibria with the jet-up and jet-down solutions first found by Jiang et al. (1995). In other parts of parameter space we have found new situations in which the same forcing parameters can produce multiple equilibria, one of which has a large outcrop region in the subpolar gyre.

We have tested that the results of the steady-state bifurcation are not sensitive to an artificial potential energy term added to prevent layer thicknesses from becoming negative. The new scheme makes it possible to apply the techniques of numerical bifurcation analysis and dynamical systems theory to an important class of ocean models where layers outcrop either at the sea surface or along sloping boundaries.

Although a model with multiple active layers would be a better representation of the thermocline we have made the important step of addressing the outcropping problem in a 1.5-layer model. This step will allow us, in further studies, to continue this research with a multi-layer shallow water model. This is particularly important because outcropping of the thermocline drives seasonal changes in the ocean–atmosphere instability, which may contribute to the maintenance of persistent winter-time sea surface temperature anomalies that can produce mid-latitude climate variability.
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