Ultra-small-sized multi-element metal oxide nanofibers: an efficient electrocatalyst for hydrogen evolution†
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Compared to noble metals, transition metal oxides (TMOs) have positive development prospects in the field of electrocatalysis, and the synergy between the elements in multi-element TMO-based materials can improve their catalytic activity. However, it is still a challenge to synthesize multi-component TMO-based catalysts and deeply understand the effects of components on the catalytic performance of the catalysts. Here, we demonstrate multi-element ultra-small-sized nanofibers for efficient hydrogen production. The ternary NiFeCoO nanofiber-based electrode reached an overpotential of 82 mV at the current density of 10 mA cm⁻² with a Tafel slope of 56 mV dec⁻¹ in 1 M KOH, which are close to those of Pt plate (66 mV at 10 mA cm⁻²; the Tafel slope is 32 mV dec⁻¹). In addition, the current density maintained 97% of its initial value after 10 h operation. We used the ternary NiFeCoO nanofiber-based electrode as an efficient counter electrode in photoelectrochemical hydrogen production to demonstrate the versatility of these nanofibers.

1. Introduction

The rapidly growing concerns of the energy crisis and global warming have inspired researchers to develop efficient technologies for obtaining renewable and clean energy to replace traditional fossil fuels. As a zero-carbon-emission green energy carrier, hydrogen energy has attracted much attention. The use of hydrogen energy does not result in any pollution, and its by-product of water can be recycled easily. Solar-driven water electrolysis can produce hydrogen economically and environmentally; therefore, the combination between a solar cell and water electrolyzer is particularly rational. Alternatively, in photoelectrochemical (PEC) cells, electricity generated from a solar cell can be utilized to drive electrochemical transformations.

The real potential of water splitting is much higher than the theoretical potential value (1.23 V). A key factor to realize high-efficiency water splitting systems is the development of highly active, stable, and low-cost electrocatalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER). Currently, platinum-based catalysts are still the most effective electrocatalysts for HER. However, Pt plate-based electrocatalysts suffer from the prohibitive cost and scarcity of Pt, which limits their commercial use for industry.

Compared to noble metal-based catalysts, non-noble metal catalysts have been attracting much attention because of their excellent catalytic performance. Among them, earth-abundant transition metal (M = Fe, Co and Ni)-based materials, including transition metal alloys, oxides, hydroxides, phosphides, sulfides and nitrides, have been extensively studied as water-splitting electrocatalysts. Compared with other types of metal compounds, the compositions and structures of transition metal oxide (TMO) are more diverse, providing electronic and crystal structure flexibility with various ideal physical/chemical properties. In addition, TMOs are more competitive due to their low cost, earth abundant resources, flexible adjustability, environmental friendliness, good stability and ease of synthesis. The HER catalytic performance of TMOs has been greatly improved through various strategies, such as doping, oxygen-vacancy engineering, morphology engineering and valence engineering. Currently, different types of TMOs have been synthesized, including single metal oxides, metal (hydroxy) hydroxides, perovskite oxides, spinel oxides and oxide-containing hybrids. These materials may exhibit great potential as building blocks for HER. We summarized the catalytic performance of the TMO-based catalysts in Table S1. Although researchers found that a Janus nickel-iron oxide nanoparticle catalyst exhibited an efficient HER with comparable performance to that of Pt/C, it is still a challenge to synthesize multi-component ultra-small-sized TMO catalysts via a simple approach and deeply understand the effects of the components on the catalytic performance of the catalysts. Electrospinning technology can produce nano-structured one-dimensional nanofibers with multiple
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compositions with continuous processing.\textsuperscript{34} After sintering, the nanofibers have a high specific surface area, aspect ratio, and porosity, as well as a large number of active sites.\textsuperscript{35–39} There are still few reports of the preparation of binary and ternary TMO-based nanofibers as catalysts for use in the HER.

In this study, we demonstrated the fabrication of binary oxides (Fe\textsubscript{x}Co\textsubscript{y}O, Fe\textsubscript{x}Ni\textsubscript{y}O, Co\textsubscript{x}Ni\textsubscript{y}O) and ternary Ni\textsubscript{x}Fe\textsubscript{y}Co\textsubscript{z}O nanofibers for effective generation of H\textsubscript{2} under alkaline conditions. These as-prepared nanofibers with an average diameter of 100 nm were prepared via electrospinning using renewable cellulose acetate (CA) and acetylacetone metal salts as spinning solutions and further sintered at 450 °C. Compared to single metal oxide-based catalysts, the binary and the ternary metal oxide-based catalysts exhibited better catalytic performance. The samples of NiFeCoO and FeCoO nanofibers coated on Ni foam (NiFeCoO-NF and FeCoO-NF) achieved a benchmark HER current density of 10 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} with overpotentials of 42.4 mV and 66.4 mV in 1 M KOH solution, respectively. The synergistic effect of the different metal oxides explained the improved catalytic performance of the obtained catalysts. Furthermore, we expanded the application of the ternary metal oxide nanofiber-based electrodes as the counter electrode in photoelectrochemical (PEC) H\textsubscript{2} production using quantum dots/TiO\textsubscript{2} as the photoanode and Na\textsubscript{2}S/Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{3} as the electrolyte to demonstrate the versatility of these nanofibers.

2. Results and discussion

2.1 Fabrication and structure of the as-prepared nanofibers

Electrospinning was used to produce hybrid nanofibers from a precursor solution containing CA and Co/Fe/Ni salts. Tin paper was used to collect the nanofibers. The obtained thin membrane was placed on the middle of the graphite plates to form a tandem structure, heated to 450 °C and maintained at this temperature for 30 min in air to remove the CA. The detailed preparation procedure is illuminated in Fig. S1.\textsuperscript{†} With an identical preparation procedure, we could not obtain the CoO nanofibers; instead, nanobelts were observed, as shown in Fig. S2.\textsuperscript{†} In order to fairly compare the catalytic properties of the as-prepared nanofibers with different compositions, we designed and prepared unary metal oxides (FeO and NiO), binary metal oxides (Fe\textsubscript{x}Co\textsubscript{y}O, Fe\textsubscript{x}Ni\textsubscript{z}O and Co\textsubscript{y}Ni\textsubscript{z}O) and ternary metal oxides (Ni\textsubscript{x}Fe\textsubscript{y}Co\textsubscript{z}O). By optimizing the electrospinning parameters (e.g. voltage and moisture), the electrospun nanofibers had typical diameters in the range of 70–105 nm (Fig. S3, Table S2\textsuperscript{†}), which could...
result in their greater surface areas compared to nanofibers with large diameters. Fig. S4† shows a typical SEM image of the FeO and NiO samples with average diameters of 75 ± 20 nm and 95 ± 26 nm, respectively. As shown in Fig. 1a, the FeCoO nanofibers showed uneven fiber fineness and random distribution with a web-like morphology, with a typical diameter of 85 ± 27 nm. Fig. S5a† shows a typical SEM image of the FeNiO sample, with an overall diameter of 75 ± 20 nm. The CoNiO fibers have an average diameter of 85 ± 30 nm (Fig. S5b†). The SEM image of the NiFeCoO fibers showed a similar morphology to that of the FeNiO sample, with a mean diameter of 65 ± 17 nm (Fig. 1b). The presence of Fe, Co, and Ni elements was observed in the EDX patterns and XPS spectra for all the types of samples (Fig. S6–S17†).

To investigate the crystallite structure of the different obtained nanofibers, XRD patterns of these samples were obtained. Fig. S18† confirms that the FeO sample is hexagonal Fe2O3 (PDF 89-0597), and the NiO sample is cubic NiO (PDF 73-1523). Fig. 1c shows the typical XRD patterns of the binary and ternary metal oxide samples (FeCoO, FeNiO, CoNiO and NiFeCoO). The peaks at 30.3°, 35.7°, 43.2° and 63.2° of the FeCoO sample are assigned to the (220), (311), (400) and (440) planes of cubic CoFe2O4 (PDF 01-1121). For the FeNiO sample, the peaks at 30.4°, 35.1°, 43.3° and 62.8° are assigned to the (220), (311), (400) and (440) planes of cubic NiFe2O4 (PDF 74-2081). Similarly, the peaks of the CoNiO sample at 31.2°, 36.8° and 43° correspond to the (220), (311) and (400) planes of cubic NiCo2O4 (PDF 74-2081). For the XRD pattern of the NiFeCoO sample, the diffraction peaks are among those of CoFe2O4, NiFe2O4 and NiCo2O4. All the samples exhibited peaks at 38°, 45° and 65°. These peaks are assigned to the diffraction peaks of Al. During the electrosprinning process, Al foil was used to collect the nanofibers. Al foil should be present in the samples for XRD measurements. Meanwhile, during further experiments, we sonicated the powders and centrifuged the samples dispersed in ethanol. We found that very small Al fragments were precipitated on the bottom of the tubes, confirming the existence of Al in the samples. We did not detect the signal of Al in the samples for the electrodes due to the efficient separation. Based on the XRD diffraction peaks, we calculated the average crystal sizes of the six samples according to the Scherrer equation. The FeO, NiO, FeCoO, FeNiO, CoNiO and NiFeCoO samples have average crystal sizes of 23.72, 10.26, 12.52, 10.93, 8.97 and 19.89 nm, respectively (Fig. S19†). Except for the FeO and NiFeCoO samples, all the samples have typical crystal sizes in the range of 9–13 nm. The formation of larger sizes in the NiFeCoO sample compared to the binary samples may be due to the lower concentration of each precursor, which could affect the process of nucleation and growth.

The TEM results reveal that the unary Fe2O3 and NiO nanofibers have very small diameters (less than 50 nm) with clear lattice fringes and diffraction patterns (Fig. S20†–21), which is consistent with the XRD results. The TEM images of FeCoO, FeNiO and CoNiO show a similar morphology to the NiO sample (Fig. 1d, S22 and S23†). The HRTEM image of the FeCoO sample reveals clear lattice fringes with distances of 2.53 Å and 2.52 Å and an angle of 50.87°, which match well with the (311) and (131) planes of CoFe2O4 (PDF 01-1121) (Fig. 1f). The SAED pattern further confirms the presence of FeCoO, FeNiO and CoNiO cubic crystals (Fig. 1h, S22 and S23†).

Fig. 1e shows a typical TEM image of the NiFeCoO nanofibers, which shows that the diameter of the NiFeCoO nanofibers is about 50 nm. Fig. 1g shows the HRTEM image of the NiFeCoO nanofibers. The lattice spacings of the two planes with an angle of 75° were measured to be 1.95 Å and 2.28 Å. According to the XRD results, we found that the structures of CoFe2O4, NiFe2O4 and NiCo2O4 are very similar (CoFe2O4 PDF 01-1121: a = b = c = 8.39, α = β = γ = 90°; NiFe2O4 PDF 74-2081: a = b = c = 3.8339, α = β = γ = 90°; NiCo2O4 PDF 73-1702: a = b = c = 8.114, α = β = γ = 90°). Therefore, the two planes labeled in Fig. 1g can be indexed to the (331) and (004) planes of CoFe2O4, NiFe2O4 or NiCo2O4. The SAED pattern also reflects the same information (Fig. 1i). The slightly diffused rings were indexed to the [311], [400] and [440] planes of CoFe2O4, NiFe2O4 or NiCo2O4. Because the EDX pattern and XPS spectrum of the NiFeCoO sample confirmed the existence of Fe, Co, Ni and O elements (Fig. S16 and S17†), we inferred that the products of the NiFeCoO sample are mixture phases of CoFe2O4, NiFe2O4 and NiCo2O4. This is also consistent with the XRD analysis.

High-resolution Fe, Co and Ni XPS spectra were used to further determine the surface status of the samples. The high-resolution Fe 2p, Co 2p and Ni 2p spectra of all the samples show spin–orbit splitting into 2p1/2 and 2p3/2 components (Fig. 2a–k). The satellite peaks were found for all the samples. For the nanofibers containing Fe element, the high resolution 2p1/2 peaks of the FeO sample at 724.08 eV and 724.48 eV, 724.33 eV and 724.21 eV correspond to FeCoO, FeNiO, and NiFeCoO, respectively. The slight increase in binding energy indicates the variation of the valence state of Fe in the different components. For Co element, the 2p1/2 peak of the FeCoO sample was located at 797.68 eV. In contrast, the 2p1/2 peak of the CoNiO sample was located at 796.37 eV, indicating that the valence states of Co element in the two samples are different. Furthermore, the Co 2p spectrum of the NiFeCoO sample can be fitted into eight separate peaks: the peak at 796.14 eV is ascribed to Co3+ 2p1/2 and the peak at 797.24 eV corresponds to Co2+ 2p1/2, which implies that there are two valence states of Co element in the NiFeCoO sample, consistent with the XRD findings (CoFe2O4 and NiCo2O4). The 2p1/2 peaks of the NiO, FeNiO, CoNiO and NiFeCoO samples are located at 872.73 eV, 872.93 eV, 873.05 eV and 871.79 eV, which correspond to NiO, FeNiO, CoNiO and NiFeCoO, respectively. These results indicated that the binding energy between Fe/Co/Ni and O is slightly different among the TMOs with different compositions, which might affect the HER activity. Based on the XPS results, we also calculated the element ratios, as shown in Table S3†. The element ratio of Fe/Co is 1 : 1.39 in the FeCoO sample, and that of Fe/Ni in the FeNiO sample is 1.66 : 1. The element ratio of Co/Ni in the CoNiO sample is 1 : 1.06 and that of Fe/Co/Ni in the NiFeCoO sample is 1.16 : 1.31 : 1.

2.2 HER performance

To investigate the HER catalytic performance, the LSV curves were measured over the nanofibers and a Pt plate catalyst. Six
different samples were drop-dried on glassy carbon electrode
for testing in 1 M KOH (Fig. 3a). The NiFeCoO electrode and
FeCoO electrode exhibited significantly improved activity, with
overpotentials of 192 mV and 124 mV at the current density
of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$; these are not as good as that of Pt plate (66 mV) but
much higher than those of the other four samples. The over-
potentials of 438 mV, 686 mV, 397 mV and 465 mV at the
current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ correspond to FeO, NiO, FeNiO
and CoNiO, respectively.

In addition, the NiFeCoO electrode and FeCoO electrode
exhibited excellent catalytic performance at high current
density. At a current density of 50 mA cm$^{-2}$, their overpotentials
were 312 mV (NiFeCoO) and 243 mV (FeCoO), which were much
lower than the overpotential of Pt plate (424 mV) and of the
other four samples [566 mV (FeO), 883 mV (NiO), 582 mV
(FeNiO) and 578 mV (CoNiO)].

As shown in Fig. 3b, the FeCoO catalysts have the smallest
Tafel slope (82 mV dec$^{-1}$), suggesting more favorable HER
reaction kinetics than that of the other samples. The NiFeCoO catalysts have a Tafel slope of 90 mV dec$^{-1}$. Although the Tafel slopes of FeCoO and NiFeCoO are not comparable to that of the Pt plate electrode (32 mV dec$^{-1}$), these results implied that samples containing Fe and Co elements have higher catalytic activity than those containing Ni, consistent with the reported results in the literature.\textsuperscript{40–42} The HER catalytic performance of the unary samples was lower compared to that of the binary and ternary samples, which can be attributed to the lack of synergy between the elements.

To further improve the catalytic performance of the nanofibers, we deposited the nanofibers onto Ni foam (NiFeCoO-NF and FeCoO-NF). As shown in Fig. 3c, the overpotentials of NiFeCoO-NF and FeCoO-NF reached 82 mV and 66 mV, respectively, at the current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$, which are comparable to that of Pt plate (66 mV). For comparison, we also measured the overpotential of the Ni foam, which showed 172 mV at the current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ in 1 M KOH. At a current density of 50 mA cm$^{-2}$, their overpotentials reached 210 mV (NiFeCoO-NF), 199 mV (FeCoO-NF) and 344 mV (Ni foam), which are consistent with the trend at a current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$. In addition, in 0.25 M Na$_2$S/0.35 M Na$_2$SO$_3$ instead of 1 M KOH, NiFeCoO-NF also exhibited much smaller overpotentials (137 mV at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$; 312 mV at 50 mA cm$^{-2}$) than Pt plate (148 mV at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$; 469 mV at 50 mA cm$^{-2}$). Its Tafel slope is 56 mV dec$^{-1}$ in 1 M KOH and 84 mV dec$^{-1}$ in 0.25 M Na$_2$S/0.35 M Na$_2$SO$_3$. FeCoO-NF exhibited the smallest overpotentials (127 mV at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$; 301 mV at 50 mA cm$^{-2}$) in 0.25 M Na$_2$S/0.35 M Na$_2$SO$_3$. Although the Tafel slope of FeCoO-NF is not comparable to that of the commercial Pt/C electrode (31 mV dec$^{-1}$), its Tafel slope (47 mV dec$^{-1}$ in 1 M KOH and 80 mV dec$^{-1}$ in 0.25 M Na$_2$S/0.35 M Na$_2$SO$_3$) is still lower than that of other samples, as shown in Fig. 3d and S24.\textsuperscript{†}

To investigate the component effects of different components of binary and ternary metal oxides, the FeCoO samples were fabricated with identical Fe contents, but different cobalt contents (Fig. S25\textsuperscript{†}). The overpotential value of the FeCoO sample (Fe : Co = 2 : 1) was higher than that of the FeCoO sample (Fe : Co = 2 : 2) at the current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ in 1 M KOH (279 for the former and 124 mV for the latter). At a current density of 50 mA cm$^{-2}$, their overpotentials reached 374 mV [FeCoO (Fe : Co = 2 : 1)] and 243 mV [FeCoO (Fe : Co = 1 : 1)], which are consistent with the trend at a current density...
of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$. This result confirms that Co has better catalytic activity compared to Fe in FeCoO.

To investigate the relationship between the average diameter and the catalytic performance, new NiFeCoO samples were fabricated with different diameters (Fig. S26†). The overpotential of NiFeCoO (65 ± 17 nm) reached 192 mV at the current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ in 1 M KOH, which is lower than that of NiFeCoO (110 ± 30 nm) (397 mV). At a current density of 50 mA cm$^{-2}$, their overpotentials reached 312 mV NiFeCoO (65 ± 17 nm) and 511 mV NiFeCoO (110 ± 30 nm), which are consistent with the trend at a current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$. With the decrease of the diameter, the overpotential of the electrode decreases. The specific surface area was calculated compared to that of the fiber with a diameter of 50 nm as a function of the fiber diameter, as shown in Fig. S27.† The result shows that the smaller the diameter of the fiber, the larger the specific surface area. It is implied that a smaller diameter fiber may increase the contact area and the density of the active sites, thereby increasing the catalytic activity.43

In order to understand the catalytic performance of the nanofibers, we studied the effect of the chemical composition by performing EIS measurements. The semicircle in the Nyquist plot, attributed to the charge transfer resistance $R_{ct}$, is related to the kinetics of the electron transfer, and a lower diameter semicircle corresponds to a faster reaction rate.44 The EIS curves were modeled using a simplified Randles equivalent circuit, which consists of a resistor in series ($R_s$) with a parallel arrangement of a resistor ($R_{ct}$) and a constant phase element (Fig. 4d).

As shown in Fig. 4a, the FeCoO and NiFeCoO coated glassy carbon electrodes exhibited smaller $R_{ct}$ values of 25.86 Ω and 37.78 Ω, respectively, compared to the electrodes based on FeNiO (344.63 Ω) and CoNiO (442.28 Ω) in 1 M KOH (see the summary in Table S4†). For the nanofibers deposited on the Ni foam, FeCoO-NF and NiFeCoO-NF held $R_{ct}$ values of 7.91 Ω and 12.1 Ω, compared with Ni foam (17.4 Ω) and Pt plate (1.38 Ω), in 1 M KOH (Fig. 4b and Table S5†); this suggests that the Ni foam can enhance the rate of electron transfer. In addition, the FeCoO-NF and NiFeCoO-NF-based electrodes exhibited $R_{ct}$ values of 9.18 Ω and 13.02 Ω in 0.25 M Na$_2$S/0.35 M Na$_2$SO$_3$ (Fig. 4c and Table S6†).

These EIS results explain the trend of the overpotentials and Tafel plots for the nanofiber-based electrodes and prove that the FeCoO and NiFeCoO nanofiber-based electrodes have superior electron transfer properties, which is a very important factor for HER.

The stability of all the samples on the glassy carbon electrode was not good. The FeCoO and NiFeCoO samples only retained 71.7% and 91% of their original values, respectively, in 1 M KOH after 10 h of operation (Fig. S28†). This could be due to the
fact that the thin nanofiber/Nafion membrane does not have good attachment on the glassy carbon electrode. After a long-term stability test, some catalysts fell off the surface of the glassy carbon electrode, which would affect their stability.

To improve the catalytic stability, we replaced the glassy carbon electrode with porous Ni foam. Chronoamperometry measurements showed the catalytic stability of the Ni foam, as shown in Fig. 5b. The current density retained 61% of its original value in 1 M KOH. Meanwhile, the retained current density of the Ni foam increased to 58% of its original value in 0.25 M Na2S/0.35 M Na2SO3, which can be attributed to the formation of highly catalytic NiS. Impressively, the NiFeCoO coated on the Ni foam exhibited excellent long-term stability; it maintained 97% of its initial value in 1 M KOH and 97% in 0.25 M Na2S/0.35 M Na2SO3 after 10 h of continuous testing (Fig. 5a), which is much better than Pt plate electrode (62.5% in 1 M KOH and 24.8% in 0.25 M Na2S/0.35 M Na2SO3) (Fig. 5c). The improved stability in Ni foam suggests improved mechanical stability due to the intrinsic nature of the Ni foam. As shown in Fig. 5d, the current density of the FeCoO-NF based electrode retained 94% of its original value in 1 M KOH and 62% in 0.25 M Na2S/0.35 M Na2SO3 after 10 h of continuous testing. The excellent stability of the nanofiber-based electrodes compared to Pt plate suggests that they are suitable for long-stable operation for H2 production.

Additionally, the FeCoO-NF and NiFeCoO-NF electrodes exhibited enhanced stability for the HER, and their current density underwent almost no decay after 10 h of electrochemical testing (Fig. 5). As shown in Fig. S29,† after a 10 h test, we recorded the polarization curves of the electrodes. The overpotential of FeCoO-NF changed slightly (85 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm⁻² and 264 mV at a current density of 50 mA cm⁻²). The overpotential of NiFeCoO-NF was 99 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm⁻², and the overpotential changed more slightly at a current density of 50 mA cm⁻² (220 mV). This result further showed that the FeCoO-NF and NiFeCoO-NF samples have good catalytic stability. In order to verify the good stability in the NiFeCoO-NF and FeCoO-NF samples, we explored the structure and composition changes of the sample after 10 hours of electrochemical testing, using HRTEM and XPS (Fig. S30 and S31†). We found that there was no significant structure or composition variation after 10 h testing, indicating that NiFeCoO-NF and FeCoO-NF are very stable during the HER.

The electrochemical active surface area (ECSA) plays a key role in high intrinsic HER activity. To estimate the ECSAs of the catalysts under the working conditions, the electrochemical double-layer capacitance (Cdl) was measured by CV in a non-redox potential region (Fig. S32a and b†). The linear slope is equivalent to twice the Cdl. As shown in Fig. S32c,† the Cdl of FeCoO-NF was calculated to be 32.2 mF cm⁻², which is higher

![Fig. 5](image-url) (a–d) Chronopotentiometric curves of NiFeCoO-NF, Ni foam, Pt plate and FeCoO-NF obtained at a constant applied potential in 1 M KOH and 0.25 M Na2S/0.35 M Na2SO3, respectively.
than that of NiFeCoO-NF (30.3 mF cm⁻²). The turnover frequency (TOF) values of FeCoO-NF and NiFeCoO-NF were calculated to be 0.131 s⁻¹ and 0.107 s⁻¹, respectively, at an overpotential of 100 mV at pH 14 (Fig. S32d), which confirms the high intrinsic catalytic HER activity of the FeCoO-NF and NiFeCoO-NF samples. Fe³⁺, Co³⁺ and Co²⁺ can all promote the adsorption of water on the surface of a catalyst, which could facilitate the transfer of electrons to water molecules, thereby accelerating the Volmer reaction (H₂O + e⁻ → H⁺ + OH⁻). In addition, Ni²⁺ can act as an H⁺ acceptor to further promote the Volmer reaction.²⁴⁸ The synergy between the three elements of iron, cobalt and nickel promotes the progress of the catalytic reaction. Based on our results, the iron, cobalt and nickel oxides all serve as active centers, even though the catalytic activity of the iron and cobalt oxides is better than that of nickel oxide. Generally, compared to a single metal oxide (e.g. NiO), the incorporation of Fe or Co can promote the adsorption of water on the surface of a catalyst; this can facilitate the transfer of electrons to water molecules, thereby accelerating the Volmer reaction.²⁴⁸ As shown in Fig. 4a and Table S4, the Rct values of FeCoO (25.86 Ω) and NiFeCoO (37.78 Ω) are much lower than that of FeNiO (344.63 Ω) or FeNiO (442.28 Ω), confirming that the samples containing Fe and Co have better catalytic performance compared to the samples containing Ni.²⁴⁶–⁴⁸ In addition, the addition of Ni to the oxides can improve the stability of FeCoO. In summary, FeCoO-NF has higher HER catalytic activity than NiFeCoO-NF, but its long-term stability is not as good as that of NiFeCoO-NF in 1 M KOH and 0.25 M Na₂S/0.35 M Na₂SO₃. This can be attributed to the fact that the increase of cobalt content improves the catalytic activity, but the overactive catalytic activity leads to a decrease in stability. Combining the two indicators of catalytic activity and stability, NiFeCoO-NF is more suitable for use as a HER catalyst.

2.3 PEC performance

As a proof of concept, we used the as-fabricated ternary NiFeCoO nanofibers-Ni foam as a counter electrode for PEC hydrogen production. Platinum was used as the benchmark. A typical three-electrode configuration was used for PEC hydrogen generation. A TiO₂ mesoporous film sensitized with CdSe/CdS quantum dots (QDs) was used as the photoanode, and saturated Ag/AgCl was used as the reference electrode. The electrolyte contained 0.25 M Na₂S and 0.35 M Na₂SO₃ (pH ~ 13). The typical morphology of CdSe/CdS is shown in Fig. 6a. Fig. 6b and c shows that the photocurrent density (J) reaches its saturation at ~11 mA cm⁻² with a potential vs. RHE of 0.25 V, which is a slight decrease in J compared to that of Pt plate (11.5 mA cm⁻²), indicating that the nanofiber-based counter electrode is suitable to replace the Pt plate. Similar behavior was obtained during long-term stability testing, as displayed in Fig. 6d, where J maintained 90% of its initial value after 2 h illumination. Considering that the nanofiber-based Ni foam has much better stability in the 0.25 M Na₂S and 0.35 M Na₂SO₃ electrolyte, the replacement of Pt plate with nanofibers may contribute to the potential commercial application of the QDs-based PEC hydrogen generation.

3. Conclusion and perspectives

In summary, we demonstrated multi-component TMO ultrasmall-sized nanofibers for efficient HER. The nanofibers were prepared using environmentally friendly cellulose as the spinning solution via a simple electrospinning and further annealing approach. The as-prepared nanofibers have a ultra-small diameter of less than 105 nm with an average crystallite size of less than 25 nm. The binary and ternary TMO contained pure or mixture phases of CoFe₂O₄, NiFe₂O₄ and NiCo₂O₄. Benefiting from the synergy effect of multiple crystals, the NiFeCoO-NF based electrode achieved a current density of 10 mA cm⁻² at a low overpotential of 82 mV with a Tafel slope ≈ 56 mV dec⁻¹ in 1 M KOH, which are close to those of Pt plate. More importantly, the current density maintained 97.13% of its initial value after 10 h operation. In the PEC cell, the device has a J value of
leaving only 0.25 cm² of exposed Ni foam on the front. Then, 60 and the front and back sides were sealed with epoxy glue, glassy carbon electrode. For Ni foam, the thickness was 0.5 mm, of a mixture of water, ethanol, and Na\textsubscript{acetylacetonate} (C\textsubscript{15}H\textsubscript{21}FeO\textsubscript{6}), cobalt(III) acetylacetonate with a heating rate of 1

4.3 Preparation of nanofibers

Before the spinning process, a stock solution was prepared by mixing the metal salts with CA. For the binary and ternary metal oxide stock solutions, the mass ratio was 1 for all samples. Typically, CA (1.6 g) was dissolved in a mixed solution of acetone (5.32 g) and Na\textsubscript{acetylacetonate} (2.68 g) with magnetic stirring for 4 h. Subsequently, C\textsubscript{13}H\textsubscript{12}FeO\textsubscript{6} (0.13 g), C\textsubscript{13}H\textsubscript{12}CoO\textsubscript{6} (0.13 g), and NiC\textsubscript{10}H\textsubscript{14}O\textsubscript{4} (0.13 g) were added to the CA solution, and the solution was maintained under stirring for 12 h. After the spinning process, the stock solution was further sonicated for 20 minutes before electrospinning. The stock solution was electrospun using an HZE (HZ-01) electrospinning unit with a 21 G needle at a 2.68 kV/cm field strength. Then, 20 μL of as-fabricated ink was dropped onto the glassy carbon electrode. For Ni foam, the thickness was 0.5 mm, and the front and back sides were sealed with epoxy glue, leaving only 0.25 cm² of exposed Ni foam on the front. Then, 60 μL of as-fabricated ink was dropped onto the Ni foam and dried naturally with a typical size of 0.25 cm².

4.4 Fabrication of PEC anode

A drop-casting approach was used to deposit TiO\textsubscript{2} mesoporous film.\textsuperscript{46–51} The as-prepared Cds/CdS QDs were synthesized and were dispersed in 50 mL toluene before electrophoretic deposition (200 V for 1 h).\textsuperscript{49} Finally, two cycles of ZnS were coated on the photoanode using successive ionic layer adsorption and reaction.\textsuperscript{49}

4.5 Structural characterizations

The morphology of the samples was characterized by field emission SEM using a JEOL JSM7001F FE-SEM equipped with an EDS. Transmission electron microscopy and SAED were performed using a JEOL JEM-2010 Plus TEM. XRD patterns were acquired using a Philips X’ Pert diffractometer equipped with a Cu Kα radiation source (λ = 0.15406 nm). XPS was performed in a VG Escalab 220i-XL equipped with a hemispherical analyzer recorded for a twin anode X-ray source. The fine structure of the photoelectron lines was analyzed using Casa XPS software (2.3.19 version).

4.6 Electrochemical characterizations

The measurements were recorded using a CHI 760E electrochemical workstation. Electrochemical measurements were conducted at room temperature using 1 M KOH (50 mL, pH ≈ 14) and 0.25 M Na\textsubscript{2}S/0.35 M Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{3} (50 mL, pH ≈ 13) as the electrolyte, respectively. A standard three-electrode setup was used with nanofibers, Pt plate, and Ag/AgCl (1 M KCl) acting as the working, counter, and reference electrodes, respectively. EIS of the as-prepared nanofiber films was performed in potentiostatic mode at open circuit potential, applying a sinusoidal voltage with an amplitude of 10 mV and scanning frequency from 100 kHz to 100 mHz. The EIS was modeled using a simplified Randles equivalent circuit, which consists of a resistor in series (R\textsubscript{e}) with a parallel arrangement of a resistor (R\textsubscript{ct}) and a constant phase element, in Zview software. The LSV was performed with a scan rate of 5 mV s\textsuperscript{-1} in the voltage region of -800 to -1600 mV. Chronoamperometric curves (i-t) were measured with a constant current density to prove the stability of the as-prepared electrocatalysts.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

H. G. Zhao acknowledges the funding support from Shandong Natural Science Funds for Distinguished Young Scholar (ZR2020JQ20), State Key Laboratory of Bio-Fibers and Eco-Textiles (Qingdao University), No. ZKT03, ZFZ201807, and GZRC202004.

References

1 Z. Zhang, X. Wu, Z. Kou, N. Song, G. Nie, C. Wang, F. Verpoort and S. Mu, Rational design of electrospun nanofiber-based electrodes for water splitting: A review, Chem. Eng. J., 2022, 428, 131133, DOI: 10.1016/j.cej.2021.131133.

2 H. A. Bandal, A. R. Jadhav, A. H. Tamboli and H. Kim, Bimetallic iron cobalt oxide self-supported on Ni-Foam: An efficient bifunctional electrocatalyst for oxygen and hydrogen evolution reaction, Electrochim. Acta, 2017, 249, 253–262, DOI: 10.1016/j.electacta.2017.07.178.
3 X. Wang, C. Liu, C. Gao, K. Yao, S. S. M. Masouleh, R. Berte, H. Ren, L. S. Menezes, E. Cortes, I. C. Bicket, H. Wang, N. Li, Z. Zhang, M. Li, W. Xie, Y. Yu, Y. Fang, S. Zhang, H. Xu, A. Vomiero, Y. Liu, G. A. Bottom, S. A. Maier and H. Liang, Self-constructed multiple plasmonic hotspots on an individual fractal to amplify broadband hot electron generation, ACS Nano, 2021, 15, 10553–10564, DOI: 10.1021/acsnano.1c03218.

4 T. Yao, X. An, H. Han, J. Q. Chen and C. Li, Photoelectrocatalytic materials for solar water splitting, Adv. Energy Mater., 2018, 8, 1800210, DOI: 10.1002/aenm.201800210.

5 H. Sun, Z. Yan, F. Liu, W. Xu, F. Cheng and J. Chen, Self-supported transition-metal-based electrocatalysts for hydrogen and oxygen evolution, Adv. Mater., 2020, 32, e1806326, DOI: 10.1002/adma.201806326.

6 Y. Zhu, Q. Lin, Y. Zhong, H. A. Tahini, Z. Shao and H. Wang, Metal oxide-based materials as an emerging family of hydrogen evolution electrocatalysts, Energy Environ. Sci., 2020, 13, 3361–3392, DOI: 10.1039/d0ee02485f.

7 D. Zhou, P. Li, W. Xu, S. Jawaid, J. Mohammed-Ibrahim, W. Liu, Y. Kuang and X. Sun, Recent advances in non-precious metal-based electrodes for alkaline water electrolysis, ChemNanoMat, 2020, 6, 336–355, DOI: 10.1002/cnma.202000010.

8 L. Yang, H. Zhou, X. Qin, X. Guo, G. Cui, A. M. Asiri and X. Sun, Cathodic electrochemical activation of CoO4 nanorays: a smart strategy to significantly boost the hydrogen evolution activity, Chem. Commun., 2018, 54, 2150–2153, DOI: 10.1039/c7cc09416g.

9 C. Liang, P. Zou, A. Nairan, Y. Zhang, J. Liu, K. Liu, S. Hu, F. Kang, H. J. Fan and C. Yang, Exceptional performance of hierarchical Ni-Fe oxyhydroxide@NiFe alloy nanowire array electrocatalysts for large current density water splitting, Energy Environ. Sci., 2020, 13, 86–95, DOI: 10.1039/c9ee02388g.

10 G. Yanalak, A. Aljabour, E. Aslan, F. Ozel, I. H. Patir, M. Kus and M. Ersoz, NiO and CoO4 nanofiber catalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction at liquid/liquid interfaces, Electrochim. Acta, 2018, 291, 311–318, DOI: 10.1016/j.electacta.2018.08.130.

11 D. Danilovic, R. Subbaraman, D. Strmncik, K. C. Chang, A. P. Paulikas, V. R. Stamenkovic and N. M. Markovic, Enhancing the alkaline hydrogen evolution reaction activity through the bifunctionality of Ni(OH)2/metal catalysts, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 2012, 51, 12495–12498, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201204842.

12 W. Hong, C. Jian, G. Wang, X. He, J. Li, Q. Cai, Z. Wen and W. Liu, Self-supported nanoporous cobalt phosphosulfate electrodes for efficient hydrogen evolution reaction, Appl. Catal., B, 2019, 251, 213–219, DOI: 10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.03.070.

13 Z.-Z. Liu, X. Shang, B. Dong and Y.-M. Chai, Triple Ni-Co-Mo metal sulfides with one-dimensional and hierarchical nanostructures towards highly efficient hydrogen evolution reaction, J. Catal., 2018, 361, 204–213, DOI: 10.1016/j.jcat.2018.03.004.

14 Y. Wang, B. Zhang, W. Pan, H. Ma and J. Zhang, 3D porous nickel-cobalt nitrides supported on Nickel Foam as efficient electrocatalysts for overall water splitting, ChemSusChem, 2017, 10, 4170–4177, DOI: 10.1002/cssc.201701456.

15 R. Zahra, E. Pervazi, M. Yang, O. Rabi, Z. Saleem, M. Ali and S. Farrukh, A review on nickel cobalt sulphide and their hybrids: Earth abundant, pH stable electro-catalyst for hydrogen evolution reaction, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2020, 45, 24518–24543, DOI: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.06.236.

16 M. Wang, L. Zhang, Y. He and H. Zhu, Recent advances in transition-metal-sulfide-based bifunctional electrocatalysts for overall water splitting, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 5320–5363, DOI: 10.1039/dota12152e.

17 H. Jin, J. Wang, D. Su, Z. Wei, Z. Pang and Y. Wang, In situ cobalt-cobalt oxide/N-doped carbon hybrids as superior bifunctional electrocatalysts for hydrogen and oxygen evolution, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 2688–2694, DOI: 10.1021/ja5127165.

18 G. Maduraiweeran, M. Sasidharan and W. Jin, Earth-abundant transition metal and metal oxide nanomaterials: Synthesis and electrochemical applications, Prog. Mater. Sci., 2019, 106, 100574, DOI: 10.1016/j.pmatsci.2019.100574.

19 J. Hwang, R. R. Rao, L. Giordano, Y. Katayama, Y. Yu and Y. Shao-Horn, Perovskites in catalysis and electrocatalysis, Science, 2017, 358, 751–756, DOI: 10.1126/science.aam7092.

20 M. Han, N. Wang, B. Zhang, Y. Xia, J. Li, J. Han, K. Yao, C. Gao, C. He, Y. Liu, Z. Wang, A. Seifitokoldani, X. Sun and H. Liang, High-valent nickel promoted by atomically embedded copper for efficient water oxidation, ACS Catal., 2020, 10, 9725–9734, DOI: 10.1021/acscatal.0c01733.

21 J. Li, S. Wang, J. Chang and L. Feng, A review of Ni based powder catalyst for urea oxidation in assisting water splitting reaction, Adv. Powder Mater., 2022, DOI: 10.1016/j.apmater.2022.01.003.

22 Z. Liu, D. Liu, L. Zhao, J. Tian, J. Yang and L. Feng, Efficient overall water splitting catalyzed by robust FeNi2N nanoparticles with hollow interiors, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 7750–7758, DOI: 10.1039/d1ta01014j.

23 M. Zha, C. Pei, Q. Wang, G. Hu and L. Feng, Electrochemical oxygen evolution reaction efficiently boosted by selective fluoridation of FeNi2 alloy/oxyde hybrid, J. Energy Chem., 2020, 47, 166–171, DOI: 10.1016/j.jenergychem.2019.12.008.

24 K. Wang, N. Li, L. Sun, J. Zhang and X. Liu, Free-standing N-doped carbon nanotube films with tunable defects as a high capacity anode for potassium-ion batteries, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2020, 12, 37506–37514, DOI: 10.1021/acsami.0c12288.

25 Y. Xu, W. Zheng, X. Liu, L. Zhang, L. Zheng, C. Yang, N. Pinna and J. Zhang, Platinum single atoms on tin oxide ultrathin films for extremely sensitive gas detection, Mater. Horiz., 2020, 7, 1519–1527, DOI: 10.1039/dmh000495b.

26 Z. Luo, R. Miao, T. D. Huan, I. M. Mosa, A. S. Poyraz, W. Zhong, J. E. Cloud, D. A. Krix, S. Thanneeru, J. He, Y. Zhang, R. Ramprasad and S. L. Suib, Mesoporous MoO3−x material as an efficient electrocatalyst for hydrogen evolution reactions, Adv. Energy Mater., 2016, 6, 1600528, DOI: 10.1002/aenm.201600528.
27 T. Ling, D. Y. Yan, H. Wang, Y. Jiao, Z. Hu, Y. Zheng, L. Zheng, J. Mao, H. Liu, X. W. Du, M. Jaroniec and S. Z. Qiao, Activating cobalt(II) oxide nanorods for efficient electrocatalysis by strain engineering, Nat. Commun., 2017, 8, 1509, DOI: 10.1038/s41467-017-01872-y.

28 R. Subbaraman, D. Tripkovic, K. C. Chang, D. Strmcnik, A. P. Paulikas, P. Hirunsit, M. Chan, J. Greeley, V. Stamenkovic and N. M. Markovic, Trends in activity for the water electrolyser reactions on 3d M(Ni,Co,Fe,Mn) hydroxide catalysts, Nat. Mater., 2012, 11, 550–557, DOI: 10.1038/nmat3313.

29 X. Xu, Y. Chen, W. Zhou, Z. Zhu, C. Su, M. Liu and Z. Shao, A perovskite electrocatalyst for efficient hydrogen evolution reaction, Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 6442–6448, DOI: 10.1002/adma.201600005.

30 C. Gao, Y. Jiang, C. Sun, J. Han, T. He, Y. Huang, K. Yao, M. Han, X. Wang, Y. Wang, Y. Gao, Y. Liu, M. Yuan and H. Liang, Multifunctional naphthol sulfonic salt incorporated in lead-free 2D tin halide perovskite for red light-emitting diodes, ACS Photonics, 2020, 7, 1915–1922, DOI: 10.1021/acsphotonics.0c00497.

31 C. Xiao, Y. Li, X. Lu and C. Zhao, Bifunctional porous NiFe/NiCo2O4/Ni Foam electrodes with triple hierarchy and double synergies for efficient whole cell water splitting, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2016, 26, 3515–3523, DOI: 10.1002/adfm.201505302.

32 X. Zhao, F. Yin, X. He, B. Chen and G. Li, Enhancing hydrogen evolution reaction activity on cobalt oxide in alkaline electrolyte by doping inactive rare-earth metal, Electrochim. Acta, 2020, 363, 137230, DOI: 10.1016/j.electacta.2020.137230.

33 B. H. R. Suryanto, Y. Wang, R. K. Hocking, W. Adamson and C. Zhao, Overall electrochemical splitting of water at the heterogeneous interface of nickel and iron oxide, Nat. Commun., 2019, 10, 5599, DOI: 10.1038/s41467-019-13415-8.

34 L. Zhang, H. Zhao, S. Xu, Q. Liu, T. Li, Y. Luo, S. Gao, X. Shi, A. M. Asiri and X. Sun, Recent advances in 1D electropun nanocatalysts for electrochemical water splitting, Small Struct., 2020, 2, 2000048, DOI: 10.1002/sstr.202000048.

35 Y. Xu, J. Xie, Y. Zhang, F. Tian, C. Yang, W. Zheng, X. Liu, J. Zhang and N. Pinna, Edge-enriched WS2 nanosheets on carbon nanofibers boosts NO2 detection at room temperature, J. Hazard. Mater., 2021, 411, 125120, DOI: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125120.

36 Z. Wang, M. Li, L. Fan, J. Han and Y. Xiong, Fe/Ni-N-CNFs electrochemical catalyst for oxygen reduction reaction/oxygen evolution reaction in alkaline media, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2017, 401, 89–99, DOI: 10.1016/j.apsusc.2016.12.242.

37 K. Wang, N. Li, J. Xie, G. Lei, C. Song, S. Wang, P. Dai, X. Liu, J. Zhang and X. Guo, Dual confinement of carbon/TiO2 hollow shells enables improved lithium storage of Si nanoparticles, Electrochim. Acta, 2021, 372, 137863, DOI: 10.1016/j.electacta.2021.137863.

38 D. Li and Y. Xia, Electrospinning of nanofibers: reinventing the wheel?, Adv. Mater., 2004, 16, 1151–1170, DOI: 10.1002/adma.200400719.

39 H. Wu, D. Kong, Z. Ruan, P. C. Hsu, S. Wang, Z. Yu, T. J. Carney, L. Hu, S. Fan and Y. Cui, A transparent electrode based on a metal nanotrough network, Nat. Nanotechnol., 2013, 8, 421–425, DOI: 10.1038/nnano.2013.84.

40 M. A. Z. G. Sial, S. Baskaran, A. Jalil, S. H. Talib, H. Lin, Y. Yao, Q. Zhang, H. Qian, J. Zou and X. Zeng, NiCoFe oxide amorphous nanoheterostructures for oxygen evolution reaction, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2019, 44, 22991–23001, DOI: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.07.018.

41 L. Trotchoua, S. L. Young, J. K. Ranney and S. W. Boettcher, Nickel-iron oxyhydroxide oxygen-evolution electrocatalysts: the role of intentional and incidental iron incorporation, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 6744–6753, DOI: 10.1021/ja502379c.

42 X. Cao, T. Wang and L. Jiao, Transition-Metal (Fe, Co, and Ni)-Based Nanofiber Electro catalysts for Water Splitting, Adv. Fiber Mater., 2021, 3, 210–228, DOI: 10.1007/s42765-021-00065-2.

43 B. Zhang, Z. Wu, W. Shao, Y. Gao, W. Wang, T. Ma, L. Ma, S. Li, C. Cheng and C. Zhao, Interfacial Atom-Substitution Engineered Transition-Metal Hydroxide Nanofibers with High-Valence Fe for Efficient Electrochemical Water Oxidation, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2021, DOI: 10.1002/anie.202115331.

44 F. Navarro-Pardo, J. B. Liu, O. Abdelkarim, G. S. Selopal, A. Yurtsever, A. C. Tavares, H. G. Zhao, Z. M. M. Wang and F. Rosei, 1D/2D Cobalt-Based Nanohybrids as Electro catalysts for Hydrogen Generation, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2020, 30, 1908467, DOI: 10.1002/adfm.201908467.

45 L. L. Wu, Q. S. Wang, J. Li, Y. Long, Y. Liu, S. Y. Song and H. J. Zhang, Co9S8 Nanoparticles-Embedded N/S-Codoped Carbon Nanofibers Derived from Metal-Organic Framework-Wrapped CdS Nanowires for Efficient Oxygen Evolution Reaction, Small, 2018, 14, e1704035, DOI: 10.1002/smll.201704035.

46 Y. Tian, A. Huang, Z. Wang, M. Wang, Q. Wu, Y. Shen, Q. Zhu, Y. Fu and M. Wen, Two-dimensional hetero-nanostructured electrocatalyst of Ni/Fe-layered double oxide for highly efficient hydrogen evolution reaction in alkaline medium, Chem. Eng. J., 2021, 426, 131827, DOI: 10.1016/j.cej.2021.131827.

47 W. Li, X. Gao, D. Xiong, F. Wei, W.-G. Song, J. Xu and L. Liu, Hydrothermal Synthesis of Monolithic Co9S8 Nanowire Electrodes for Oxygen Evolution and Overall Water Splitting with High Efficiency and Extraordinary Catalytic Stability, Adv. Energy Mater., 2017, 7, 1602579, DOI: 10.1002/aenm.201602579.

48 J. Huang, J. Han, T. Wu, K. Feng, T. Yao, X. Wang, S. Liu, J. Zhong, Z. Zhang and B. Song, Boosting Hydrogen Transfer during Volmer Reaction at Oxides/Metal Nanocomposites for Efficient Alkaline Hydrogen Evolution, ACS Energy Lett., 2019, 4, 3002–3010, DOI: 10.1021/acsenergylett.9b02359.

49 X. Wang, M. Wang, G. Liu, Y. Zhang, G. Han, A. Vomiero and H. Zhao, Colloidal carbon quantum dots as light absorber for efficient and stable ecofriendly photoelectrochemical hydrogen generation, Nano Energy, 2021, 86, 106122, DOI: 10.1016/j.nanoen.2021.106122.
50. H. Y. Zhao, X. Li, M. K. Cai, C. Liu, Y. M. You, R. Wang, A. I. Channa, F. Lin, D. Huo, G. F. Xu, X. Tong and Z. M. Wang, Role of copper doping in heavy metal-free InP/ZnSe core/shell quantum dots for highly efficient and stable photoelectrochemical cell, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2021, **11**, 2101230, DOI: 10.1002/aenm.202101230.

51. X. Li, X. Tong, S. Yue, C. Liu, A. I. Channa, Y. M. You, R. Wang, Z. H. Long, Z. M. Zhang, Z. H. Zhao, X. F. Liu and Z. M. M. Wang, Rational design of colloidal AgGaS₂/CdSe core/shell quantum dots for solar energy conversion and light detection, *Nano Energy*, 2021, **89**, 106392, DOI: 10.1016/j.nanoen.2021.106392.

52. H. Zhao, G. Liu, F. Vidal, Y. Wang and A. Vomiero, Colloidal thick-shell pyramidal quantum dots for efficient hydrogen production, *Nano Energy*, 2018, **53**, 116–124, DOI: 10.1016/j.nanoen.2018.08.042.