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Abstract

Fibrotic diseases take a very heavy toll in terms of morbidity and mortality equal to or even greater than that caused by metastatic cancer. In this review, we examine the pathogenesis of fibrotic diseases, mainly addressing triggers for induction, processes that lead to progression, therapies and therapeutic trials. For the most part, we have focused on two fibrotic diseases with lung involvement, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, in which the contribution of inflammatory mechanisms may be secondary to non-immune triggers, and systemic sclerosis in which the contribution of adaptive immunity may be predominant.
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Introduction: fibrosis reflects the loss of homeostasis resulting in excessive tissue repair

Fibrosis is a pathological term describing the excessive accumulation of extracellular matrix in a tissue. This process typically results from tissue injury followed by unregulated and overexuberant repair. The replacement of functioning cells and the induction of disordered tissue architecture induced by collagen-rich “scars” typically compromises organ function [1, 2]. Organs such as the lung, liver, kidney, the gastrointestinal tract, and skin are composed of epithelial cells as well as many other different cell types and are exposed to different environmental factors. Fibrosis and the complications that result from fibrotic diseases contribute to nearly 45% of all deaths in the United States.

A dysfunctional, often overexuberant, repair process following injury results in different fibrotic disease phenotypes unique to each of these organs. Injury can be initiated by identifiable triggers such as infection and cancer, but most often the inciting triggers that lead to the evolution of a fibrotic disease are not known and likely differ from organ to organ and from disease to disease. However, when considering subsequent events, there are certain common features in different fibrotic diseases that are shared across different organs [1]. In general, injury or death of parenchymal cells (typically epithelial cells) in a tissue results in the activation of innate immunity, this is followed by some regulated tissue remodeling and then homeostasis is restored (Fig. 1). In a fibrotic disease, there is typically a persistent source of tissue injury or apoptotic death that contributes to uncontrolled tissue remodeling usually in the context of uncontrolled or persistent inflammation as well (Fig. 1). It is helpful, though this may be an oversimplification, to think of fibrosis as having temporal and interconnected stages. The initial or triggering stage typically involves epithelial injury and dysfunction. This is followed by a stage of immune cell recruitment, though the degree of inflammation in different disorders may vary, and indeed immune cells may themselves serve as the “trigger” in some disorders. This could occur when immune cells are autoreactive or because the parenchymal cells are infected and thus serve as immunological targets. Fibrosis culminates with a final stage of dysregulation within the mesenchyme, those portions of an organ that are often described as “connective tissue”. This final stage may be propagated and amplified by tissue hypoxia, tissue rigidity or stiffness and epigenetic alterations in innate immune cells [2, 3]. Understanding the nuances of the pathogenic processes that are common to different fibrotic diseases can help
us identify druggable pathways and molecules that could be targeted using a plethora of modern drug development technologies.

Notions of what drives fibrosis are continuing to evolve, and it is our lack of precise knowledge that in part contributes to the lack of effective therapies for these diseases. While reversing previous scarring of a tissues is a challenge, the goal of therapy is to not just prevent ongoing cellular and molecular events that continue to drive the process of fibrosis, but also induce tissue regeneration by skewing the balance of some well-understood pathogenic mechanisms.

In this review, we will first briefly touch upon two debilitating fibrotic diseases both of which involve the lung but have distinct features. Through the lens of these two diseases, we will summarize distinct, but yet to be firmly established, initiating mechanisms that may lead to fibrosis and then discuss the stages of perpetuation and progression of fibrosis shared between these two diseases. We will end with some thoughts about newer systems approaches in these two diseases to identify novel therapeutics that might come to fruition in the not-too-distant future.

![An overview of the pathogenic events in fibrosis](image)

**Fig. 1** An overview of the pathogenic events in fibrosis

**Section one: human fibrotic diseases**

In this section, we touch on two debilitating fibrotic diseases, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) and Systemic sclerosis (SSc), both of which target the lung, to illustrate the mechanistic understanding of fibrogenesis in human diseases. IPF and SSc-ILD (interstitial lung disease in systemic sclerosis) are distinct lung diseases in which there is still much more to be learnt about the biological bases for disease presentation and this knowledge could impact clinical diagnosis and patient management [3]. Although both these conditions present with dysregulated fibroblast activation and myofibroblast accumulation, the initiating events and pathways of disease perpetuation and progression appear to be vastly distinct. While persistent alveolar and airway epithelial injury defines the core of IPF disease pathogenesis, SSc-ILD manifests defective endothelial cell homeostasis [4]. Unlike in IPF where the role of inflammation in disease pathogenesis and progression has been increasingly questioned recently, SSc and SSc-ILD are well documented to have a major inflammatory component [5].
**Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis**

IPF is a chronic, progressive form of interstitial lung disease with an overall prevalence of 5–30 cases per 100,000 per year and a median survival rate of 3–5 years post-diagnosis (with no disease intervention) [6]. IPF is a diagnosis of exclusion defined by the absence of the known causes of lung disease [7]. It is usually characterized by interstitial pneumonia and peripheral bilateral reticulation (thickening of the septae) with honeycombing predominantly peripherally in the lower lobes of the lung, distorted pulmonary architecture resulting in reduced gas exchange and hypoxia, and eventually respiratory failure and death [6]. The only curative treatment available for IPF remains lung transplantation; however, two drugs—nintedanib and pirfenidone—have been approved that slow disease progression although the quality of life has not been positively impacted [8]. Once thought to be a disease caused by chronic inflammation, evidence accumulated over the last decade, including the lack of consistent immune infiltration and the failure of immune-suppression trials (PANTHER, Etanercept etc.) has relegated the notion that inflammation is a major driver of IPF pathogenesis to the sidelines [9]. By the time of diagnosis there are many indications suggesting alterations in immunity, but active inflammation is mostly past its peak [10, 11].

A common underlying feature of IPF patients is advanced age. The incidence of IPF is remarkably high in older individuals and a body of evidence has emerged over the past decade highlighting the association of the hallmarks of aging with IPF [12]. These features include dysregulated genomic stability, telomere erosion, mitochondrial dysfunction, senescence, oxidative stress, altered cellular crosstalk, defective nutrient sensing and ER stress, loss of proteostasis (the dynamic regulation of a functional proteome) and defective autophagy [13].

**Systemic sclerosis**

Systemic sclerosis is an auto-immune ‘orphan’ disease characterized by vascular damage and immune activation followed by progressive and unresolved fibrosis of the skin and some internal organs, most commonly the lung. Immune dysregulation and microvascular damage in the skin and internal organs are hallmarks of SSc [14]. SSc presents with enormous heterogeneity, potentially resulting from a complex network of interactions between structural and inflammatory components including different cell types, cytokines and chemokines as well as components of the extra-cellular matrix. SSc can vary widely in terms of disease manifestations and patient outcomes and clinically SSc is a condition with a high unmet medical need [14]. From the physician’s perspective, once the diagnosis is made, the parameters used to determine potential disease progression and treatment choices are poorly defined and there is as yet no robust approach to patient stratification using diagnostic methods and clinical biomarkers. Although SSc can be classified into diffuse cutaneous SSc (dcSSc) or limited cutaneous SSc (lcSSc) based on the extent of skin involvement [15], a significant proportion of patients cannot be placed in either category and recent efforts have tried to improve subset classification of SSc using various biomarkers, gene signatures and combinations of clinical parameters. Both dcSSc and lcSSc can present with pulmonary involvement, impacting the vasculature as well as the parenchyma of the lung [16]. Interstitial lung disease sometimes represents the initial clinical presentation of SSc [17] while severe ILD manifests in SSc patients within 5 years of initial diagnosis [14]. Denton and Khanna [14] and Distler et al. [18] have extensively reviewed the need for a better understanding of SSc disease heterogeneity to effectively design and execute therapeutic trials. Overall, the prognosis in SSc remains poor, and dcSSc has a 10-year mortality rate of ~ 20%.

Many of the genetic associations in SSc are related to inflammation and adaptive immunity and it is not unreasonable to speculate that aberrant immune activation following environmental triggers initiate SSc pathogenesis. The presence of disease-specific auto-antibodies prior to the onset of symptoms or the diagnosis of SSc is consistent with this hypothesis [19] and the aberrant autoimmune response at the onset of SSc appear to be directed towards the endothelial cells in small blood vessels [20]. Many recent studies have investigated the mechanistic details of the initial vascular injury in SSc and its contribution to pathogenesis [21]. Attempts are also being made to “back-translate” findings in the clinic and correlate these findings with mechanistic studies. The injured endothelium appears to undergo defective repair—with dysregulated angiogenesis and aberrant vasculogenesis resulting in the development of the specific structural changes in small vessels that are characteristically seen in SSc [22–24].

**Section two: genetic, epigenetic and environmental triggers for the induction of fibrosis**

A wide variety of triggers can result in the development of progressive fibrotic disease either by causing persistent injury or apoptosis of parenchymal cells. These triggers include inherited germline mutations, recurrent or persistent infections, chronic exposure to irritants and particulates like smoke and silica, and immune-mediated chronic inflammation [13]. Regardless of the initiating events, the common underlying feature of all fibrotic diseases is the accumulation of abnormally high numbers of myofibroblasts that are responsible for the excessive deposition of ECM.
(extracellular matrix) components that directly impact organ function [25]. Many fibrotic conditions are accompanied by a robust state of inflammation that is well modulated during tissue homeostasis and physiologic wound repair; any external triggers that disrupt this balance can result in a state of chronic inflammation leading to fibrosis. There are conditions where immune cells may trigger fibrosis as has been described in SSc, asthma, IgG4-related disease and NASH, to cite but a few examples, some of which will be described below. However, in some diseases like IPF, progressive fibrogenesis may occur in the absence of any evidence of an active inflammatory state as revealed by examination of lung tissues from IPF patients [26]. Progressive fibrosis also results from dysregulated interactions between the epithelial and mesenchymal compartments of a tissue or organ, a mechanism that has been proposed for the pathogenesis of IPF [27]. In addition, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition has postulated to be a mechanism triggering fibrosis in the context of cancer, as well as in IPF [28]. Although we have categorized triggers into discrete groups, clearly there is overlap between these groups.

**Inherited mutations affecting epithelial cell homeostasis**

Many genetic variants that contribute to susceptibility to fibrotic diseases affect the function of the parenchymal cells of the affected organ in a given disease. Some genetic variants affect all cells in the body but may have more prominent effects in certain tissues and organs. IPF is a good example of a disease in which a range of mutations/polymorphic variants alter the function of bronchoalveolar epithelial cells [29]. In general, these mutations either disrupt the barrier (thus making the cells more susceptible to environmental insults) or induce cell-intrinsic changes such as cellular senescence, that contribute to the development of fibrosis.

The dominant risk factor for IPF is a polymorphism in the promoter of the MUC5B gene, a gain-of-function mutation that results in excessive production of the MUC5B mucin that is found both in conducting airways as well as in distal airways [30]. Excess MUC5B in lung epithelium is postulated to impair mucociliary clearance in the context of some environmental insults to the bronchoalveolar epithelium and may thus trigger fibrosis specifically in the context of the lung. Desmoplakin (DSP) is a component of desmosomes and genetic variants of the DSP gene that contribute to increased DSP expression in the lung also contribute to IPF susceptibility by presumably causing desmosomal dysfunction and loss of epithelial barrier function [31]. IPF-related variants of AKAP13, that encodes a RhoGEF, also likely contribute to epithelial barrier dysfunction [32].

Variants of genes that encode for surfactant proteins SP-B, SP-C and SP-A1/2 (SFTPB, SFTPC and SFTPA1/2, respectively) are associated with various lung diseases [33]. These protein variants result in protein misfolding, increased ER-stress and a dysfunctional epithelial cell phenotype that facilitates tissue remodeling and fibrosis [34, 35].

Mutations in many genes that contribute to the generation and maintenance of telomeres (TERT, TERC) result in shortened and dysfunctional telomeres which trigger a DNA damage response [36–39]. Rare variants of other genes that contribute to telomere biogenesis and maintenance (DKC1, PARN, RTEL1, TINF2) have been described in familial pulmonary fibrosis [40–43]. The DNA-damage response that is initiated at dysfunctional telomeres can be pro-fibrotic and is linked to a wide spectrum of ILDs and can initiate cell cycle arrest and lead to premature senescence and apoptotic loss [44, 45]. Aberrant telomere function seems to directly contribute to alveolar stem cell failure, defective alveolar repair and fibrosis possibly because the rate of cell loss exceeds the rate of replacement [46–48]. Variants in telomerase-related genes are found in about a quarter of all patients with familial IPF, and in about 10% of patients with sporadic IPF. About half of all the patients with these mutations have a non-IPF diagnosis but comparable rates of progression, lung function decline and survival [49]. Mutations in genes that contribute to telomere function are also mutated in about 10% of SSc-ILD patients. TERT and TERC mutations have also been linked to liver cirrhosis.

Polymorphic variants in autophagy genes are an important component of Crohn’s disease, although these variants have not been specifically linked to patients who present with strictures. In SSc, however, ATG5 is linked to susceptibility suggesting that defective autophagy might contribute to this fibrotic disease. Polymorphic variants in a number of collagen genes that encode subunits of Type IV, Type V, Type XIII and Type XXII collagen have all been linked to the diffuse cutaneous form of SSc as has the CTGF (connective tissue growth factor) gene whose protein product which will be mentioned later in this review.

**Mutations in immune-related genes**

When considering human fibrotic diseases, IPF is a good example of a disorder in which the immune system is not considered to be a central player (although inflammation and immune activation are likely very relevant as described below), while SSc is an excellent example of a fibrotic disease in which immune mechanisms are thought to predominate. Many polymorphic variants in genes that affect the function of immune cells are, however, linked to IPF. TGF-β has pleomorphic functions that go beyond the immune system and interestingly TGF-β-related mutations are seen in IPF but not in SSc [50]. Mutations in TLR3, an endosomal TLR that responds to double-stranded RNA and TOLLIP, which encode a protein that contributes to
the turnover of the IL-1R and of some Toll-like receptors are also seen in IPF [51]. Polymorphisms in IL1RN that encodes IL-1RA, the IL-1 receptor antagonist, are also relevant in IPF [52, 53], as is a polymorphism in the gene encoding the IL-8 chemokine [54]. These variants suggest an important role for innate immunity in IPF. A likely functional role for adaptive immunity and effector T cells in IPF is also suggested by the fact that an HLA-DRB1 polymorphism is also linked to IPF [55]. CCL18 is an innate chemokine abundant in human lungs and which is relatively poorly studied since it has no murine ortholog. It is believed to be involved in lung homeostasis. A polymorphic variant in CCL18 that increases levels of this chemokine in the blood is linked to a better prognosis in IPF [56].

SSc is perhaps the best example for an autoimmune etiology for fibrosis, though other autoimmune diseases like IgG4-related disease (IgG4-RD) and lupus nephritis should also be considered in such a context. While there are polymorphic variants in a number of genes that encode extracellular matrix proteins as well as in other non-immune proteins are seen in patients with SSc, most of the polymorphic variants is SSc are in immune genes such as HLA class II alleles, the IRF4 gene that encodes a key transcription factor relevant to many activated T and B cells, the IL12 A gene that encodes a subunit of the IL-12 cytokine, the IL-12RB1 gene that encodes a subunit of the IL-12 receptor and the STAT4 gene, which is activated downstream of the IL-12 receptor [57].

**IPF risk factors: environmental factors, comorbidities and viral infections**

Persistent exposure to multiple environmental factors including dust, fibers, fumes and particulate matter, mostly associated with occupational hazards, air pollution and smoking results in a number of fibrotic lung diseases like IPF, COPD, NSIP and others [58, 59]. In addition, comorbidities like COPD/emphysema, pulmonary hypertension, GERD, diabetes mellitus and obstructive sleep apnea can lead to lung fibrosis. These are discussed in detail elsewhere [59, 60] and will be alluded to only in the context of fibrotic triggers for the rest of the discussion here. In addition, viral infections particularly Hepatitis C virus (HCV), EBV infections and other herpes virus infections have been associated with increased risk of pulmonary fibrosis exacerbations and progression of disease [61, 62]. With the emergence of the SARS-COV2 coronavirus pandemic, and the staggering number of cases and the severity of disease in many individuals, there is an urgent need to consider the long-term implications of chronic respiratory symptoms and fibrotic lung disease resulting from these severe infections [63].

**Section three: the induction, perpetuation and progression of fibrosis in human disease**

The precise sequence of events that manifest as pathogenic fibrosis are not well established. However, certain risk factors associated with fibrotic diseases like IPF, including genetic predisposition, environmental factors, smoking etc. initiate a complex sequence of altered lung homeostasis that results in progressive fibrosis. In this section we outline the different stages of fibrosis highlighting initiation, perpetuation and progression of pathogenic fibrosis in human lungs.

**Damage to epithelial or endothelial cells and induction of pathogenic cellular networks**

The induction of cellular senescence and apoptosis are all likely triggers for fibrosis as implied in the section on non-immune mutations above. Senescent epithelial and endothelial cell states and their depletion resulting from a combination of underlying triggers discussed earlier have been shown to mediate pro-fibrotic pathways. Many of the genetic associations identified from GWAS studies in IPF directly impact epithelial cell homeostasis.

Type-2 alveolar epithelial cells (AEC2s) are the cuboidal surfactant producing cells that maintain homeostasis in alveolar epithelium and its regeneration following injury. AEC2s are self-renewing progenitor cells that differentiate into very large and thin type-1 alveolar epithelial cells (AEC1s) which specialize in gas exchange in the lung [27, 64–67]. During lung homeostasis and repair, AEC2 is required for alveolar regeneration by differentiating into AEC1 [68, 69]. Many fibrotic diseases of the lung, including IPF and SSc-ILD are associated with dysfunctional or depleted AEC2s [70]. Targeted ablation of AEC2, induction of AEC2 senescence and blocking stemness of AEC2s in mice is sufficient to induce dysfunctional epithelia and lung fibrosis [71–73]. Senescent epithelial cells secrete pro-fibrotic mediators including IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-8 which promote fibroblast to myofibroblast differentiation as well as their resistance to apoptosis leading to the accumulation of a fibrotic mass composed of the accumulated myofibroblasts and the extracellular matrix [74–76]. A balance of TGF-β and BMP-pathways modulate AEC2 to AEC1 differentiation, and this balance is disrupted in fibrotic lung diseases with increased TGF-β signaling and abrogation of BMP signaling [77]. In addition, regulated IL-1β levels are required for AEC2 reprogramming during alveolar regeneration but sustained IL-1β availability blocks the generation of mature AEC1 and defective re-epithelialization [77].

A recent study used alveolosphere organoid cultures to identify a novel transient cell state between AEC2 and...
AEC1s which was aptly named pre-alveolar type 1 transitional cell state (PATS) [78]. PATS arise from AEC2s following injury and rapidly differentiate into AEC1s. These cells exhibit gene signatures of the DNA-damage response and express senescence-related genes. Cells with features resembling PATS are enriched in the lung in human fibrotic diseases such as IPF and SSC-ILD [72, 78]. Senescent AECs were also recently shown to undergo trans-differentiation into a KRT8+ transitional cell state with epithelial and mesenchymal cell properties during alveolar regeneration following injury [79]. Similar cells that show a KRT5-/KRT17+ phenotype accumulate in human lung fibrosis and have been recently termed as ‘aberrant basaloid cells’ [79, 80]. Alveolar epithelial cells in fibrotic lungs from ARDS show a KRT8+/KRT17+ phenotype and this suggests a potential role for these transitional cell states in multiple fibrotic conditions. These data are consistent with what has been described as epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in IPF pathogenesis, in which epithelial cells obtain mesenchymal characteristics, including change in morphology, increased motility and expression of mesenchymal markers like N-Cadherin, Vimentin and α-smooth muscle actin [81, 82]. TGF-β has been shown to be a driver of EMT through its effects on SNAI1, SNAI2, TWIST and ID2 [83]. The absolute role of EMT in IPF pathogenesis remains debatable as lineage tracing experiments so far have failed to demonstrate full trans-differentiation of epithelial cells into fibroblasts or myofibroblasts [84]. In the context of many cancers, microRNAs have been shown to play a big role in EMT [85, 86] and microRNAs have also been implicated in IPF with reports on down-regulation of let-7d, mir-29 and mir-30 as well as upregulation of mir-155 and mir-21 [87, 88]. The loss of epithelial barrier function could trigger low-level chronic inflammation that results in the generation of profibrogenic macrophages and is permissive for the activation of fibroblasts. Cytokines generated by myeloid immune cells that activate fibroblasts and myofibroblasts include TGF-β, FGF, PDGF and Galectin-3 [89].

Oxidative stress has been implicated in IPF pathogenesis [90, 91]. Two recent articles summarize critical reviews of the multiple IPF studies studying oxidative stress, highlighting the observations that strongly implicate oxidative stress as one of the major factors contributing to IPF pathogenesis [91, 92]. Oxidative stress in lungs results from environmental factors such as cigarette smoking induced ER stress and ROS production [93], and production of NADPH oxidase (NOX4) by immune as well as lung structural cells in response to TGF-β stimulation [94]. TGF-β appears to drive mitochondrial ROS (mitROS) production associated with pro-fibrotic reprogramming of lung cells [94]. NOX4 activation has been reported to suppress both mitochondrial biogenesis and bioenergetics in lung fibroblasts, while NOX4’s pharmacological inhibition, or its genetic silencing, has been shown to restore them [95]. Increased oxidative stress appears to induce premature senescence of the cells as a result of which fibroblasts acquire apoptosis resistance and persist to stay metabolically active producing high levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [96–98]. One of the major components of the oxidative stress pathway is STAT3 activation [99] which has been shown to make fibroblasts resistant to apoptosis [100]. More recently, thyroid hormone-mediated reduction in STAT3 signaling was shown to significantly resolve lung fibrosis, further supporting a role for STAT3 activation in persistent fibroblast activation and fibrosis [101]. Details of the mechanism of fibrosis driven by oxidative stress, ER stress, hypoxia and senescence-mediated altered lung homeostasis are provided elsewhere [13].

SSc may be initiated by endothelial cell injury and presents as a vasculopathy [102]. The exact mechanism by which endothelial cells are injured is unclear, and how exactly endothelial cell injury results in fibrosis is also not clear. Vascular endothelial cells under oxidative stress appear to exhibit cell-fate plasticity and undergo endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EndoMT) into cells with myofibroblast like features, thereby causing vascular damage [102, 103]. Additionally, increased ROS generation appears to mediate TGF-β-induced EndMT in SSc and several other conditions including atherosclerosis and diabetic neuropathy [104–106]. Given its profibrotic role, TGF-β mediates EndMT through both Smad-dependent and Smad-independent pathways, with the involvement of numerous transcriptional regulators such as Sna11, Sna12, Twist and some Zeb family members [107–110]. In addition, Endothelial cell derived endothelin-1, which is highly upregulated in SSc-skin and SSc-ILD lungs appears to potentiate TGF-β-mediated EndMT [111]. A detailed review on the links between oxidative and EndMT can be found elsewhere [112].

Caveolin-1 (CAV1) expression is down-regulated in affected tissues from SSC and SSC-ILD as well as IPF lungs [113] and restoration of CAV1 functional domains using synthesized peptides and adenoviral-expression reversed phenotypes of SSC and IPF in vitro and in vivo in animal models of PF [114, 115]. Notch and Wnt/β-catenin pathways also synergize to mediate EndMT in vitro and in mouse models of fibrosis [116, 117]. These studies have demonstrated that EndMT is not a phenomenon restricted to experimental animal models since it was observed in studies performed on lung tissues from patients with SSC-ILD and PAH (pulmonary arterial hypertension). Given the pleiotropic effect of many of these pathways, further molecular understanding of this process is, however, needed to ensure safe therapeutic strategies for SSC-related fibrosis.

We have described an immune-mediated mechanism for endothelial cell apoptosis in SSc (discussed below) but have
not obtained knowledge about the downstream events that could lead to fibrosis. It is possible that injured endothelial cells release pro-fibrogenic proteins in a manner similar to that postulated for injured epithelial cells. Whether endothelial damage is responsible for some degree of hypoxia and consequent induction of fibrosis is also unclear.

**Immune-mediated events leading to fibrosis**

Epithelial cell damage frequently occurs in the context of viral infection and in genetically susceptible individuals this can lead to fibrosis. Well-established examples are chronic infections with the hepatitis B and the hepatitis C viruses that are the major causes of liver cirrhosis when the disease is viewed in a global context. Progression from hepatitis to cirrhosis can take a decade or two, and the chronic state is viewed in a global context. Progression from hepatitis to cirrhosis can take a decade or two, and the chronic inflammatory process that results from the activation and reactivation of virus-specific CD4+ helper T cells that secrete inflammatory cytokines and the ongoing albeit somewhat inefficient elimination of infected liver cells by cytotoxic CD8+ T cells, both contribute to the fibrotic process. The overall adaptive immune response in this context is biased towards a Type 1 response including NK cells, TH1 cells and CD8+ CTLs, though the latter are likely in a state of partial exhaustion.

**Innate immune pathways facilitating fibrosis**

Inflammation driven by innate and adaptive immune responses are a common feature in many fibrotic diseases, including IPF, where immune infiltrates tend to be abundant in monocytes, plasmacytoid dendritic cells, mast cells and neutrophils. The inflammation is heterogeneous across most of the organs and varies among different diseases, but accumulation of activated macrophages and monocytes appears to be a common feature of most fibrotic diseases. However, the extraordinary plasticity and pleiotropy of macrophages and monocytes has made establishing the pathogenic vs homeostatic roles of these cells a challenge and there are no successful macrophage/monocyte targeting therapies currently available. Macrophages/monocytes respond to a number of stimuli including PAMPs, DAMPs, apoptotic cell debris, cytokines and chemokines. TLR4 signaling appears to be a key mediator of fibrosis and blockade of TLR4 signaling leads to reduction and reversal of fibrosis in several preclinical disease models [118]. The ligands for TLR4 in SSc, IPF and other fibrotic diseases have been shown to be the alternatively spliced forms of two matri-cellular proteins tenacin-C and fibronectin-EDA, HMGB1, S100 and hyaluronic fragments [119–123]. Other TLRs implicated in SSc are TLR7, TLR8 and TLR9 which seem to be at least partly modulated by TGFβ production [124, 125]. Chronic activation of plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) through TLR8 and TLR9 may mediate SSc pathogenesis by induction of IFNα and CXCL4 [124]. Proinflammatory cytokines IL-1 and IL-6 are also produced downstream of TLR signaling and have been established to be key drivers of fibrosis in SSc; they have also been implicated in IPF pathogenesis [126–128]. Blockade of the IL6R, however, resulted in an increase in serious infections in treated patients and resulted in the termination of a clinical trial [129]. IL-6 also seems to act downstream of or with lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) to induce an autotaxin-dependent self-perpetuating loop of fibrosis in experimental models of skin fibrosis [130], and levels of all three mediators are elevated in SSc skin.

M2-like and monocyte-derived macrophages also have key roles in lung, liver and skin fibrosis. M2-polarized macrophages differentiate in the presence of the two major type 2 inflammatory cytokines, IL-4 and IL-13. Since there is an ongoing debate about the validity of the rigid classification of macrophages into the M1 and M2 subtypes, especially when most tissue studies use a single marker, we prefer to describe these cells as M2-like. M2-like polarized macrophages are abundant in fibrotic tissues including lung and skin from SSc patients, and SPP1+ macrophages which resemble M2-polarized macrophages in many ways are found in the lungs of IPF patients [131]. M2-like polarized macrophages secrete profibrotic growth factors like TGF-β, FGF, PDGFα, IGF1 and VEGF [132]. Most of the inferences thus far about role of type 2 inflammation in mediating lung and skin fibrosis are derived from extrapolating findings from murine models like bleomycin induced lung and skin fibrosis or they are conclusions drawn from human studies that show an association with pathogenic type 2 responses like increased periostin and buildup of IL-4- and IL-13-related pathways (Fig. 2). However, a recent study involving the therapeutic blockade of two of the key cytokines of type 2 immunity, IL-4 & IL-13, underscores the importance of type 2 inflammation in dermal fibrosis [133]. This study establishes one of the first direct lines of evidence to connect type 2 inflammation in human fibrosis. These therapies lead to disease modification by blocking the cellular network of type 2 innate and adaptive immune responses and more studies are warranted to gain further understanding of fibrosis linked to type 2 inflammation.

**Adaptive immune pathways facilitating fibrosis**

The abundance of immune infiltrates composed of specific subsets of T cells and B cells and the presence of autoantibodies in diseases like SSc underscores the importance of adaptive immunity in fibrosis [134]. The T cell infiltrate in fibrotic diseases tends to be very heterogeneous and a number of T helper subsets have been described to have profibrotic roles, though many of the inferences and models are derived from experimental preclinical mouse models.
The ideal scenario of establishing a direct role of a cell type in fibrosis is to demonstrate its abundance in fibrotic tissue and to establish that pathology is attenuated when the cell type is depleted. The latter is obviously hard to achieve in humans, except in the settings of clinical trials; however, many therapeutics tend to have a broad effect that does not typically reveal a specific disease mechanism. One example is that the role of T cells can only be very broadly inferred in SSc pathogenesis since immunosuppressive modalities like cyclophosphamide (CYC) remain the main therapeutic option, especially in SSc-ILD despite its caveats [135, 136]. A number of studies have made the case for the role of T-helper type-2 (Th2)-oriented immune response with key roles for interleukin (IL)-4 and IL-13; however, a contribution of Th2 cells has never been directly established, and the perceived Th2 bias could reflect the contribution of innate immune cells that secrete IL-13 as discussed above.

We have identified abundant infiltrates of cytotoxic CD4+ T cells in tissue biopsies from IgG4-RD, SSc and fibrosing mediastinitis (a fibrotic disease linked to Histoplasma capsulatum infection)[137–140]. Quantitative examination of all major T cell subsets in early diffuse SSc, revealed the clonal expansion of CD4+ CTLs in the blood and the presence of CD4+ CTLs in skin biopsies, outnumbering all other CD4+ T cell subsets in the tissues of most patients. Th2 cells were not abundant when CD4+ T cell subsets were quantitated in the tissues. Both in IgG4-RD and SSc-skin CD4+ CTLs may be responsible for inducing apoptotic death of cells in disease tissues, [138, 139]. The majority of the apoptotic cells in SSc tissues were endothelial cells. CD4+ CTLs may also be a source of IL-1β as well as TGF-β and these cytokines may also contribute to profibrotic events along with the innate immune sources [87, 88] (Fig. 3). Activated and apoptotic endothelial cells show increased ICAM-1 and GlyCAM-1 expression leading to accumulation of Th2/Th17 cells, macrophages and mast cells that promote inflammation and aggressive tissue remodeling. More recently, T follicular helper cells have been shown to be present in SSc skin, though the studies were not quantitative; these cells might contribute to dermal fibrosis in an IL-21 and Mmp12 dependent manner [141, 142].

The depletion of B cells results is dramatic improvement in IgG4-RD and there are some data that argue that B cell depletion may benefit patients with SSc as well. Since there is no firm evidence to suggest that autoantibodies contribute to fibrosis in either of these diseases, we posit that activated B cells in lesions may be involved in antigen presentation to CD4+ T cells or that they perhaps secrete pro-fibrotic molecules. While in IgG4-RD, a much less severe fibrotic disease than SSc, reversal of fibrosis has been observed with anti-CD20-mediated B cell depletion, the exact mechanisms by which B cells contribute to fibrosis remain to be established.

**Mechanisms of perpetuation and progression of fibrosis**

The cell intrinsic changes in epithelial and endothelial cells resulting from senescence, genetic predisposition or environmental stress and their dysregulated crosstalk, along with the ensuing innate and adaptive immune responses create
cellular networks that contribute to perpetuation and progression of fibrosis in diseased tissues.

The active form of TGF-β is abundant in bronchoalveolar lavage collections from IPF patients and is over-expressed in IPF and SSc-derived fibroblasts. TGF-β mediates an increase in connective tissue synthesis by inducing fibroblast activation, myofibroblast differentiation, secretion of ECM proteins and increased expression of inhibitors of connective tissue proteases. TGF-β is expressed in dysfunctional epithelial cells, activated fibroblasts as well as the infiltrating macrophages in IPF tissue and it induces the increased expression of a number of pro-fibrotic growth factors and cytokines like CTGF and IGF1. CTGF has emerged as an important mediator of fibrosis downstream of TGF-β and is emerging as one of the most promising therapeutic targets in treating IPF, as will be discussed later in this review. Other important mediators of fibroblast activation and persistent tissue remodeling include TNF-α, PGE2, MCP-1, FGF-2, PDGF and others and these are described in detail elsewhere [13, 143]. These cytokine/growth factor-driven pathways result in an increase in connective tissue mass and increased stiffness of the affected organs.

The cellular origins of myofibroblasts in lung, skin, liver and other organs have been assessed using genetic tools for cell-fate tracing [144–147]. Given their contribution to the self-perpetuating, progressive phase of fibrosis, identifying the main cellular source of myofibroblasts is important to understand the pathogenesis of fibrotic diseases and to identify druggable pathways and cell states. Myofibroblasts have been demonstrated to originate from resident fibroblast subsets, mesothelial cells, pericytes and potentially from epithelial and endothelial compartments following their transition to mesenchymal-like cell states. A detailed review on the origins of myofibroblasts in fibrotic disease can be found elsewhere [148].

In many disease settings, fibrogenesis reaches a tipping point where fibroblast activation, proliferation and altered extracellular matrix (ECM) deposition becomes a self-amplifying loop independent of any of the mechanisms of initiation/perpetuation discussed thus far. The ECM is a source of critical spatial and contextual cues under homeostatic conditions, and its altered composition and stiffness in fibrotic diseases drive many pathways of fibroblast proliferation, migration, and production of pro-fibrotic mediators. The mechanisms of end-stage progressive fibrosis involve a set of cell–cell and cell–ECM interactions that add another layer of complex biology to fibrosis in human disease. Recent studies have focused on establishing the ECM-mediated and mechano-sensory driven feed-forward pathways that mediate a self-amplifying loop of progressive fibrosis [149, 150]. The composition as well as mechanics of the ECM profoundly influence the biology of tissue fibrosis and are among the most pursued fields of investigation in drug discovery efforts towards fibrotic diseases. Fragments of ECM components influence myofibroblast differentiation by modulating the activity of many pro-fibrotic stimuli including TGF-β and integrin signaling and the mechanosensitive Hippo pathway effector Yes-associated protein 1 (YAP1). Active TGF-β,
integron and YAP signaling and sustained increase of miR-21 may drive progressive fibrosis in the absence of ongoing injury, creating fibrogenic niches and the formation of fibroblastic foci leading to progressive fibrosis [25]. Given its role in the progression of fibrosis, a comprehensive understanding of the ECM in homeostasis and disease is essential to fully capture the mechanisms of controlled tissue repair and progressive fibrosis. New evidence is emerging regarding the alterations in ECM composition in the context of the aging lung both at the transcriptome and proteome levels that could shed some light on the mediators of the alteration of the properties of the ECM [151]. The composition of the ECM in IPF and COPD lung for example is considerably different from that in normal lungs and the ECM has been a source of target identification for novel therapeutic approaches in fibrotic lung diseases [152, 153].

The failed resolution and altered ECM in fibrotic diseases like IPF results in increased tissue stiffness that is functionally implicated in fibroblast activation and migration, another major player in the feed-forward loop of progressive fibrotic tissue remodeling. Mechanosensory components like cell adhesion protein complexes (including many integrins) and ligand gated ion channels exhibit distinct features in fibrotic scars and contribute to persistent altered endothelial and epithelial cell states as well as activated fibroblast/myofibroblast states, as discussed extensively by Tschumperlin et al. [154]. Lessons gleaned from these altered states in disease vs homeostasis warrant further investigation and may be translatable into novel clinical and therapeutic interventions that target fibrotic tissue remodeling [154, 155].

The transcriptomic studies mentioned above have advanced our understanding of fibrotic diseases in terms of potential mechanistic pathways and biomarker profiles of disease subsets as well as drug responses. However, these studies even with the best mechanistic deconvolution are limited by changes in the cellular composition of fibrotic tissues and may not necessarily be identifying altered ‘pathogenic cell-states’. The advances in single cell technology over the last 5 years has made it possible to identify novel previously un-identified types and cell states that have helped provide more nuanced insights into the pathophysiology of human diseases [163, 164]. The human cell atlas project is a great example of how a concerted effort has led to a wealth of comprehensive information about molecular cell-states in ‘healthy’ and ‘diseased’ human tissues [165]. In the last 3 years, a number of single cell RNA-Seq studies have helped make significant inroads into the multi-cellular complexity of fibrotic tissues and the understanding of complex cellular networks that may drive the pathogenic processes involved in fibrosis. Single cell profiling of epithelial cells sorted from fibrotic lungs of IPF patients identified three different subsets—one with basal cell characteristics, one with features of goblet cells from conducting airways and an atypical transitional cell state that co-expressed AEC2-associated cell signatures [70]. A novel population of CCR10+ EphA3+ epithelial cells was identified from IPF lungs that showed transcriptomic signatures of altered mitochondrial function and enrichment of pro-fibrotic pathways [148]. These epithelial cells promoted lung fibroblast and COL1A1 secretion and also induce lung remodeling in humanized NSG mice. A comprehensive study published recently took a deeper dive into the characterization of cell types and cell states by studying fibrotic lungs from 32 IPF and 18 COPD patients with a total of 312,928 cells identifying 38 distinct cell types [80]. This dataset is readily accessible to the public on the IPF cell atlas and identifies a novel ‘aberrant basaloid’ cell type that co-expresses basal epithelial, mesenchymal, senescence

Section four: lessons from systems-based approaches to investigate mechanisms of pathogenic fibrosis

Fibrotic diseases have been historically difficult to study and the approaches towards obtaining a mechanistic understanding of disease pathogenesis have evolved over time. In the past fibrosis research focused on experimental models, mostly the bleomycin model in parallel with in vitro studies on fibroblasts under different conditions. These models for the most part do not resemble most relevant human fibrotic diseases. More recently, a number of animal models have been engineered that replicate different pathogenic features described in IPF/SSc/NASH and are more suitable for discovery and pre-clinical testing of candidate therapeutic drugs [156]. Over the years, human biopsies and tissue samples have been studied using newer modalities and systematic efforts towards creating consortia and tissue banks have resulted in some well-planned studies. In addition, advances in high throughput data-generation including genomics, proteomics, metabolomics and studies on the microbiome have significantly advanced our understanding of a number of fibrotic diseases of the lung, liver and skin [157].

Several large efforts have been in place to understand the molecular features of IPF lungs with the goal of capturing the heterogeneity of disease pathology and altered cell-states and cell types. Genome wide transcriptomic studies of human lung tissues in IPF have led to the identification of novel pathways and molecular targets using systems-biology methods as well as more reductionist experimental approaches [158]. Distinct gene-expression patterns have been effectively used to identify potential aberrant developmental pathways in IPF lungs [159], have contributed to the discovery of defective mitochondrial homeostasis and function [101, 160] and to the recognition of different patient phenotypes and disease subsets [161, 162] and many other predictions as well.

The transcriptomic studies mentioned above have advanced our understanding of fibrotic diseases in terms of potential mechanistic pathways and biomarker profiles of disease subsets as well as drug responses. However, these studies even with the best mechanistic deconvolution are limited by changes in the cellular composition of fibrotic tissues and may not necessarily be identifying altered ‘pathogenic cell-states’. The advances in single cell technology over the last 5 years has made it possible to identify novel previously un-identified types and cell states that have helped provide more nuanced insights into the pathophysiology of human diseases [163, 164]. The human cell atlas project is a great example of how a concerted effort has led to a wealth of comprehensive information about molecular cell-states in ‘healthy’ and ‘diseased’ human tissues [165]. In the last 3 years, a number of single cell RNA-Seq studies have helped make significant inroads into the multi-cellular complexity of fibrotic tissues and the understanding of complex cellular networks that may drive the pathogenic processes involved in fibrosis. Single cell profiling of epithelial cells sorted from fibrotic lungs of IPF patients identified three different subsets—one with basal cell characteristics, one with features of goblet cells from conducting airways and an atypical transitional cell state that co-expressed AEC2-associated cell signatures [70]. A novel population of CCR10+ EphA3+ epithelial cells was identified from IPF lungs that showed transcriptomic signatures of altered mitochondrial function and enrichment of pro-fibrotic pathways [148]. These epithelial cells promoted lung fibroblast and COL1A1 secretion and also induce lung remodeling in humanized NSG mice. A comprehensive study published recently took a deeper dive into the characterization of cell types and cell states by studying fibrotic lungs from 32 IPF and 18 COPD patients with a total of 312,928 cells identifying 38 distinct cell types [80]. This dataset is readily accessible to the public on the IPF cell atlas and identifies a novel ‘aberrant basaloid’ cell type that co-expresses basal epithelial, mesenchymal, senescence
and developmental markers, lines fibroblastic foci and potentially activates TGF-β1-dependent and independent profibrotic pathways locally. These cells were also validated from an orthogonal dataset [131]. These studies confirm the aberrant phenotypic and genomic changes seen in IPF lung epithelium. It is, however, not very clear whether these altered cell states result from abnormal de-differentiation of alveolar epithelial cells or from migrating airway epithelial cells that have been altered by the profibrotic micro-environment in the lung interstitium. It is also not clear if there is any overlap between these altered cell states described in IPF lungs. Additional studies with proper ‘normal’ controls may help resolve some of these outstanding questions.

In addition to the characterization of altered epithelial cells, single cell RNA-Seq has also helped with the identification of disease-related macrophage heterogeneity in fibrotic lungs [131, 166].

Section five: possible future interventions including disease-modifying strategies

Though there have been some advances, IPF and SSc remain diseases that lack therapies that are highly effective, and the optimal choice or combination of therapeutics is still the subject of debate. Nintedanib can ameliorate a decline in forced vital capacity (FVC) in patients with SSc and lung fibrosis [167], and autologous stem cell transplantation can favorably modify disease course in some patients, leading to remission or even “cure” [168]. This latter finding speaks to the relevance of an underlying causal immune mechanism in this disease.

Well-defined recommendations for clinical management and standardization of diagnostic criteria for IPF patients has made multi-center, randomized placebo-controlled clinical trials possible for potential disease-modifying drugs. One of the biggest shocks to the field were the failed and possibly harmful PANTHER-IPF (Prednisone, Azathioprine and N-acetylcysteine triple combo) [9] and warfarin trials [169]. These trials drew the whole field back to the drawing board. Eventually through additional randomized clinical trials, two effective disease-modifying therapies were identified for IPF—nintedanib and pirfenidone [170–172]. Both these drugs are approved for IPF and are fairly effective in slowing the decline in lung function, although they both have serious side effects. More recently, nintedanib has proven beneficial for improved lung function in SSc-associated lung disease [167]. Both these compounds, however, slow the progression of these diseases and there is a need for alternative therapeutic approaches to not just slow disease progression but to also reverse it. Recent studies have encompassed increased efforts to enhance contextual regenerative pathways in the lung and liver with a focus on restoring a functional epithelium.

In the last decade, a number of biologics have been tested in both IPF and SSc with mostly underwhelming results in clinical settings. Some examples of failed trials in IPF include monoclonal antibodies against CCL2 (Carlumab), LOXL2 (Simtuzumab), TNF-α (Etanercept), IL-13 (Tralokinumab & Lebrikizumab) and others in which patients showed no noticeable improvement [173–176]. Combination of lebrikizumab and pirfenidone did not meet the primary endpoint of lung function decline.

Not all the news has been bad. Pamrevlumab (FG-3019) a monoclonal antibody against CTGF showed a very promising safety and efficacy profile in a phase 2 randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Blocking CTGF resulted in decreased progression of IPF over a 1-year period with multiple positive efficacy outcomes including lung function and quality of life [177]. Over a period of 48 weeks, 10% of patients in the Pamrevlumab group experienced disease progression, compared to 31.4% of patients in the placebo group. Progression of lung fibrosis was also reduced significantly in patients treated with Pamrevlumab [177]. Two novel drugs that also hold potential in improving the outcome of mild-to-moderate IPF patients are the Autotaxin inhibitor GLPG1960 and recombinant human Pentraxin 2 protein PRM-151–202. A Phase 2 trial of GLPG1960 on mild-to-moderate IPF patients showed significantly improved lung function at 12 weeks compared to a lung function decline in the placebo group [178]. This drug is undergoing two phase 3 clinical trials—ISABELA 1 and ISABELA 2 with a total of around 1500 IPF patients. More recently PRM-151-202 has been shown to block the differentiation of protinflammatory macrophages and production of TGF-β1 [179, 180]. A phase 2 double-blinded randomized trial of PRM-151-202 showed significant reduction in rate of decline in FVC and stable 6-min walking distance from baseline compared to placebo at 28 weeks [181]. Long-term assessment of PRM-151 also showed good safety and tolerability profiles [182] and a phase 3 clinical trial is ongoing.

For systemic sclerosis, immunosuppressive treatments like cyclophosphamide (CYC) and mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) remain the main therapeutic option, especially in SSc-ILD despite its caveats [135, 136, 183]. Given the abundance of auto-antibodies and the presence of B cell infiltrates in skin samples of LcSSc and dcSSc patients, Rituximab (anti-CD20), which depletes B cells, has been recently tested especially in CYC refractory patients, with some success [184, 185]. A study comparing rituximab on top of standard treatment with standard treatment alone showed a significant and persistent benefit to rituximab treated patients [186]. Based on these initial findings, large scale and properly controlled multi-center trials are needed to establish the benefits of B cell depletion treatments in patients with SSc-ILD. A
pilot trial on Belimumab (which blocks BAFF) in patients with early dcSSc with background MMF therapy showed promising results with improved mRSS scores and gene expression changes consistent with decrease in expression of B cell signaling with a significant decrease in profibrotic genes and pathways [187]. Recently In addition, a monoclonal bispecific IL-4 and IL-13 antibody which failed in IPF showed a very promising disease modifying trend in mRSS scores in dSSc and robust blockade of biomarkers of type 2 inflammation-like chemokine (C–C motif) ligand 17 [133].

Conclusions

Although progress has been made both in terms of our understanding of the pathogenesis of fibrosis and in the treatment of fibrotic diseases, our understanding still remains rudimentary, and all current treatments have failed to make a significant dent in the associated morbidity and mortality in diseases like idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis or systemic sclerosis. Fibrotic human diseases and the development of therapies for these diseases therefore continues to pose great challenges for the field.
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