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Abstract: Here, we used a radioactive distribution approach for water samples from the Liu Shao Yan constructed wetland to investigate the horizontal advection of cadmium (Cd) in this urban constructed wetland. The objective of this study was to assess the effectiveness of Cd removal in constructed wetlands. Additionally, this study examined the factors affecting the horizontal distribution of Cd. Sediment samples were collected from an enclosed wet area. A predictive advection model was executed using a combination of observed Cd concentrations and predicted Cd concentrations from a genetic algorithm–backpropagation artificial neural network (GA–BPANN). A coefficient of variation was used to assess differences in Cd distribution due to flow rate, precipitation, and water plants. Scanning electronic microscopy–energy dispersive spectrometry (SEM–EDS) results suggested that the plant species Pontederia cordata could absorb Cd, but the influence was negligible. All plants investigated in our experiment were unsuitable for Cd removal. However, predictions from the GA–BPANN algorithm indicated that 13–25% of Cd loading was efficiently removed by constructed wetland, which mainly resulted from sediment sorption, bacterial uptake, and the dilution caused by water advection. Consequently, we conclude that the constructed wetlands are an environmentally friendly and cost-effective technology that can remove Cd to a certain extent.
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1. Introduction

Water pollution has become an increasingly severe problem due to accelerated urbanization [1–5]. Water shortage has always been a tremendous obstacle to development in southwest China [6–8]. Urban constructed wetlands play a vital role in planning water utilization and water consumption control for southwestern Chinese cities [9,10].

Constructed wetlands are a well-established technology applied in many areas [11] for processing water used in urban landscapes and are flourishing in various Chinese cities [12,13]. Constructed wetlands are characterized by the following:

1. High efficiency and low costs: High-efficiency removal of nitrogen and phosphorus [14,15] with low costs due to maintenance and degradation of hydrocarbons [16];
2. Reclamation of wastes: Permits wastewater to be used as a water source in wetland ecosystems [17];
3. Economic output: Serves as a production base for economic crops and medicinal plants [18];
4. Landscape value: Landscaping designed for environmental purposes;
5. Extensive applications: Applicable for urban development zones, rural farms [19], and pollutant discharge areas.

Wetland substrates and plants greatly influence the efficiency of pollutant purification. According to a study by Yao et al. (2009), the phosphorus removal efficiency in a wetland can be increased by 81.5% if ceramsite is used as the substrate instead of gravel [20]. Brooks et al. (2000) found that 80% to 96% of the phosphorus load can be removed using paved wollastonite as the wetland substrate [21]. Singh et al. (2020) discovered that the treatment effect of acid mine drainage could be improved using organic matter as a substrate [22]. According to our previous study [23], the heavy metals cadmium, mercury, and lead were found upstream of the constructed wetlands investigated in this paper. However, a preliminary test showed that only cadmium levels exceeded the national standard in the constructed wetland. Therefore, cadmium was the focus of the present analysis. Cheng et al. (2002) found that vertical flow constructed wetlands can reduce water cadmium content by 94% [24]. Sardar et al. (2009) found that the use of constructed wetlands combined with plants for cadmium from industrial wastewater could reduce water cadmium concentration by 91.9% [25]. Liu et al. (2007) found some plants could accumulate 19.85% of the cadmium from water with 0.5 mg L⁻¹ cadmium [26]. Leung et al. (2017) also found that after treated by a constructed wetland, the concentration of Cd—containing wastewater is quite different [27]. However, Laurence et al. (2017) implied that plant accumulation of heavy metals in constructed wetlands is almost negligible. In their study, plant accumulation and sediment adsorption combined removed only 9% of cadmium [28]. Tehreem et al. (2020) compared four plants that adsorbed different heavy metals and found cadmium was the most difficult to adsorb by plants, and the removal efficacy of cadmium is 60%–96% [29]. Given the high toxicity of cadmium, it is necessary to evaluate the cadmium removal efficiency of the Liu Shao Yan wetland parks.

Using plants to treat sewage is an environmentally friendly technology. Plants in constructed wetlands can increase the dissolved oxygen content of the water due to the radial oxygen loss [30,31], preventing the production of oxygen-depleted malodorous black water and maintaining hydraulic conductivity [32]. They also provide attachment sites for microbial biofilms. Constructed wetlands cause high carbon emissions in the form of methane and carbon dioxide [33,34], as well as low nitrous oxide emissions [35]. These observations are worth considering when developing future constructed wetlands.

An artificial neural network (ANN) algorithm is a modeling method that uses the principle of bionics to simulate the information transfer process of the human brain [36–38]. Currently, there are more than 40 neural network models based on ANN algorithms, including BP–ANN, multilayer perceptron (MLP), self-organizing mapping (SOM), Hopfield network (HN), Boltzmann machine (BM), and adaptive resonance theory (ART). MLP and SOM are ANNs that can convert data from any dimension into a one-dimensional or two-dimensional discrete map. These types of algorithms allow data to be used for classifying the perception of a multilayer image. HN is a recurrent neural network (RNN) that is suitable for natural language processing. BM and ART are professional neural networks that are specially designed for energy calculations and autonomous environment interactions. Moreover, RNN and convolutional neural networks (CNNs) are employed in deep learning algorithms and have also been implemented in parallel with ANN. RNN and CNN usually are employed for programming fundamentals [39–41]. In contrast, ANN is simpler and used in the majority of application problems, including water quality evaluations [42,43], water quality predictions [23], and water quantity estimations [44,45]. Based on typical applications of ANN models, BP–ANN was selected as the most adequate prediction model for the present study.

The initial weight value and threshold of a BP neural network are generated randomly. Thus, predicted results might be significantly affected by the initial weight and threshold values. In general, a BP neural network outputs a local minimum instead of the
global minimum [46]. A genetic algorithm-backpropagation ANN (GA–BPANN) is implemented to avoid this problem. GA–BPANN improves the calculation accuracy by optimizing the BP algorithm. The GA algorithm encrypts the randomly generated initial weight and threshold values from the BP algorithm with real numbers to form a set of ordered chromosomes. Based on this set of ordered chromosomes, an iterative solution is obtained through chromosome exchange, mutation, and inheritance [47,48]. The GA algorithm uses a unique fuzzy logic algorithm to encrypt the population that is established between the initial weight value and the threshold from the BP network into a chromosome population. The GA algorithm iterates by evolving the BP network to reproduce the next generation of the coding population with a changing element. The coding population with the least number of errors is selected and reassigned to the BP network for the next round of population iteration. By iterating in a systematic order, the outcome from a defined number of iterations is obtained. In this paper, GA–BPANN is adopted to predict Cd contents in the horizontal direction.

This paper aims to assess the effective rate of Cd removal in an urban constructed wetland. The estimated horizontal Cd migration in the Liu Shao Yan constructed wetland by combining ANN predictions with the observed horizontal Cd distribution in the constructed wetland. Additionally, potential determinants of the effective rate of Cd removal are assessed by analyzing factors such as plant type and self-purification of the water body. Moreover, factors that lead to differences in the horizontal Cd distribution are discussed. We found that the adsorption of cadmium by the main plants in the constructed wetland was negligible, and the Cd content was mainly diluted and advected by water flow, and the concentration decreased by 13–25%.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Data Acquisition

Located in Deyang, Sichuan, southwest China, the Liu Shao Yan constructed wetland (Figures 1 and 2) has a projected water surface area of 70,000 m² and a water storage capacity of 730,000 m³. It has an approximate maximum depth of 4 m and a maximum width of 150 m. This wetland is a vital water base for cultivating algivorous insects and planting emergent aquatic plants in Deyang. Cd pollution was caused by the phosphorus industry upstream of the wetland [23]. Sampling points were established in a radial mesh network with the Liu Shao Yan wetland inlet as a data point. The Liu Shao Yan Lake is composed of Zone A and B (Figure 1). The water inlet and outlet are in Zone A, while Zone B is a side basin. Zone A covers an area about three times the area of Zone B, and Zone B is mainly stagnant and poorly mixed. Therefore, sampling points were sparsely distributed in Zone A and densely distributed in Zone B. The sampling points were successively numbered from LS-1 to LS-21. A plant sample was collected at LS-P, LS-10 was the water inlet, and LS-19 the water outlet. Sediment samples were collected at the water inlet, water outlet, and sampling points LS-1 to LS-7 in Zone B because of the slow water motion in Zone B. The wetland is located in southwest China, the upper reachers of the yangtze river (Figure 2).

Cd was the only pollutant tested in the present study. The sampling process was performed in strict accordance with the relevant monitoring technical specifications and national standard methods concerning surface water [49]. The water flow was obtained using a River flow meter (SL-50B, Sheng Rong Instrument, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China). Water samples were delivered to the laboratory directly after sampling and analyzed within 24 h using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES, Thermo iCAP6500, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, Massachusetts, America). Plant samples were analyzed using a scanning electron microscope–energy dispersive spectrometer (SEM–EDS, TM4000, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan).
2.2. Establishment of the Artificial Neural Network

The GA–BPANN algorithm predicts the horizontal distribution of Cd in the Liu Shao Yan wetland. The Cd concentrations of our water samples were used as model training concentrations. GA–BPANN was implemented using the software MATLAB (version 2016a) and had one input node, 12 hidden layers, and one output node. Additionally, mapminmax (X) was selected as the normalized function of data. The network self-learning time was set to 100 times (iterations), and the error limit was set to $10^{-3}$. 

Figure 1. Sampling points in the Liu Shao Yan wetland.

Figure 2. Location of Liu Shao Yan Lake in China.
3. Results and Discussion

The Cd contents in the constructed wetland, analyzed by ICP-OES, are shown in Table 1. There are two different batches of samples that were collected at the same sampling point. Since we only had two groups of measured values, we considered data I as the training sets and data II as the expected sets (also called test sets) to compare with the training results to verify the model’s accuracy. Further, we considered data II as the input data to forecast the output results. The training results and prediction results are shown in Table 2. Examination of Table 2 and Figure 3 indicates a good fit between the observed Cd concentrations and those predicted by the GA–BPANN algorithm.

Table 1. Cd concentrations in the surface water and sediment of Liu Shao Yan Lake.

| Sampling Points | Cd Content in Water Body I (mg/L) | Cd Content in Water Body II (mg/L) | Cd Content in Sediment (mg/L) |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| LS-1            | 0.1520                           | 0.1670                            | 0.4917                        |
| LS-2            | 0.0873                           | 0.0732                            | ND                            |
| LS-3            | 0.0867                           | 0.0840                            | ND                            |
| LS-4            | 0.0998                           | 0.0826                            | ND                            |
| LS-5            | 0.8649                           | 0.1486                            | ND                            |
| LS-6            | 0.2132                           | 0.9565                            | ND                            |
| LS-7            | 0.0033                           | 0.1960                            | 0.0633                        |
| LS-8            | 0.3856                           | 0.0050                            | \                             |
| LS-9            | 0.0042                           | 0.2850                            | \                             |
| LS-10 (INLET)   | 0.1138                           | 0.0030                            | ND                            |
| LS-11           | 0.1111                           | 0.1240                            | \                             |
| LS-12           | 0.8999                           | 0.9555                            | \                             |
| LS-13           | 0.0899                           | 0.0980                            | \                             |
| LS-14           | 0.0612                           | 0.0562                            | \                             |
| LS-15           | 0.0555                           | 0.0540                            | \                             |
| LS-16           | 0.0212                           | 0.0270                            | \                             |
| LS-17           | 0.0071                           | 0.0080                            | \                             |
| LS-18           | 0.1633                           | 0.1640                            | \                             |
| LS-19 (OUTLET)  | 0.2547                           | 0.2647                            | ND                            |
| LS-20           | 0.3989                           | 0.3980                            | \                             |
| LS-21           | 0.2074                           | 0.2073                            | \                             |

Note: ‘ND’ indicates not detected.
Table 2. Training, expected, and predicted sets from GA–BPANN algorithm.

| Sampling Points | Training Sets (mg/L) | Expected Sets (mg/L) | Training Results Sets (mg/L) | Deviation | Predicted Results Sets (mg/L) |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------|------------------------------|
| LS-1            | 0.1520               | 0.1670               | 0.166                       | 1 × 10⁻³   | 0.142                        |
| LS-2            | 0.0873               | 0.0732               | 0.0731                      | 1 × 10⁻⁴   | 0.0637                       |
| LS-3            | 0.0867               | 0.0840               | 0.0841                      | -3 × 10⁻⁵  | 0.0719                       |
| LS-4            | 0.0998               | 0.0826               | 0.08263                     | -3 × 10⁻⁵  | 0.0719                       |
| LS-5            | 0.8649               | 0.1486               | 0.1486                      | 0          | 0.0129                       |
| LS-6            | 0.2132               | 0.9565               | 0.9465                      | 1 × 10⁻²   | 0.8322                       |
| LS-7            | 0.0033               | 0.1960               | 0.196                       | 0          | 0.1725                       |
| LS-8            | 0.3856               | 0.0050               | 0.005                       | 0          | 0.0042                       |
| LS-9            | 0.0042               | 0.2850               | 0.285                       | 0          | 0.2280                       |
| LS-10 (INLET)   | 0.1138               | 0.0030               | 0.0045                      | -1.5 × 10⁻³| 0.0025                       |
| LS-11           | 0.1111               | 0.1240               | 0.124                       | 0          | 0.0955                       |
| LS-12           | 0.8999               | 0.9555               | 0.9515                      | 4 × 10⁻³   | 0.7357                       |
| LS-13           | 0.0899               | 0.0980               | 0.098                       | 0          | 0.0794                       |
| LS-14           | 0.0612               | 0.0562               | 0.05623                     | -3 × 10⁻¹⁰| 0.0472                       |
| LS-15           | 0.0555               | 0.0540               | 0.054                       | 0          | 0.0410                       |
| LS-16           | 0.0212               | 0.0270               | 0.026999                    | 6 × 10⁻⁷   | 0.0213                       |
| LS-17           | 0.0071               | 0.0080               | 0.0080023                   | -2 × 10⁻⁶  | 0.007                        |
| LS-18           | 0.1633               | 0.1640               | 0.164                       | 0          | 0.1345                       |
| LS-19 (OUTLET)  | 0.2547               | 0.2647               | 0.2647                      | 0          | 0.1985                       |
| LS-20           | 0.3989               | 0.3980               | 0.408                       | -1 × 10⁻²  | 0.3423                       |
| LS-21           | 0.2074               | 0.2073               | 0.2073                      | 0          | 0.1721                       |

Figure 3. Comparison of expected and predicted Cd concentrations (mg/L) in surface water.

Examination of Figure 4 indicated a low concentration of Cd in the middle of the Yan Shao Yan wetland and higher Cd concentrations on both sides. The maximum observed Cd concentrations were at LS-12 and LS-6, reaching 0.9565 mg·L⁻¹ and 0.9555 mg·L⁻¹, respectively. The minimum observed Cd concentration was 0.008 mg·L⁻¹ at LS-17, which is a station far away from the water inlet on the opposite side of the wetland. According
to the horizontal concentration advection (Figure 4), three concentration advection paths could be found. These were the following:

1. Flow into Zone B: LS-10→LS-9→LS-8 and LS-14→B;
2. Flow to the lake center: LS-10→LS-15→LS-16→LS-17;
3. Flow to the outlet in Zone A: LS-10→LS-11→LS-12→LS-13→LS-20→LS-19.

Figure 4. Horizontal distribution of observed Cd concentrations.

These flow directions resulted from a bridge spanning LS-10→LS-15→LS-16 over the Liu Shao Yan wetland, with high terrain in the middle and low terrain at the north and south ends. The pH and water flow velocity were shown in Table 3. Moreover, the flow velocity was high along the northeast–southwest line of LS-8→LS-14 (i.e., 17 cm·s⁻¹ at LS-8 and 10 cm·s⁻¹ at LS-14) due to the narrowed lake surface, which caused Cd to accumulate in the isolated Zone B. A sharp decline in flow rate (7 cm·s⁻¹) was measured at LS-6, located at the back of a prominent river bank. The overall flow rate dropped upon entering the enclosed Zone B. The high Cd concentration may be due to backflow at LS-6. Cd was advected slowly in the isolated Zone B, which experienced reduced flow. Point LS-1 in the lake’s center had the maximum water depth of about 4 m in Zone B. The maximum sediment Cd content was 0.4917 mg·L⁻¹ at LS-1. No Cd was detected in sediment at the LS-6 sampling point, which had the highest water Cd concentration. However, Cd deposition was detected at the adjacent points LS-7 (0.0633 mg·L⁻¹) and LS-1. We infer that sediments are transported down to the deeper LS-1 and LS-7 sampling points from the gentler slope at LS-6 with an inclined substrate that approaches the shore. The water flows slowly to LS-17 because the low terrains on both sides divert the flow. Consequently, the lowest Cd concentration was detected at LS-17, which was in a relatively stable water environment due to its closed feature and farthest distance from
the outlet. Moreover, the flow rate of water along the river bank to the outlet slowed down (14 cm·s⁻¹ at LS-11, 7 cm·s⁻¹ at LS-12, and 4 cm·s⁻¹ at LS-13) due to the great friction of the substrate close to the lakeside, although the terrain at the river bank was lower than that in the lake center. When reaching LS-13, the water flow was diverted into two channels. One flowed to the wetland outlet (LS-19), while the other reached LS-20 following the wind direction and resulted in an extremely high Cd concentration (0.3980 mg·L⁻¹) before flowing to the outlet. According to sediment initiation theory [50,51], the experiment conducted by Lu et al. (2016) [52] showed that Cd concentration is uniform in the vertical direction due to the slow flow rate in the Liusaoyan wetland. Maximum flow rates were 17 cm·s⁻¹, which was less than 25 cm·s⁻¹. The distribution of Cd concentration in the horizontal direction is believed to be closely associated with water velocity and terrain that affects the velocity.

Table 3. pH values and flow velocities at the sampling points.

| Sampling Points | pH    | Flow Velocity (cm·s⁻¹) |
|-----------------|-------|------------------------|
| LS-1            | 8.42  | 6                      |
| LS-2            | 8.64  | 3                      |
| LS-3            | 8.28  | 3                      |
| LS-4            | 8.21  | 4                      |
| LS-5            | 8.27  | 2                      |
| LS-6            | 8.27  | 7                      |
| LS-7            | 8.02  | 9                      |
| LS-8            | 8.13  | 17                     |
| LS-9            | 8.21  | 14                     |
| LS-10 (INLET)   | 8.19  | 15                     |
| LS-11           | 7.80  | 14                     |
| LS-12           | 8.47  | 7                      |
| LS-13           | 8.41  | 4                      |
| LS-14           | 8.06  | 10                     |
| LS-15           | 8.10  | 12                     |
| LS-16           | 8.22  | 1                      |
| LS-17           | 8.26  | 1                      |
| LS-18           | 8.17  | 6                      |
| LS-19 (OUTLET)  | 7.96  | 6                      |
| LS-20           | 8.07  | 4                      |
| LS-21           | 8.05  | 4                      |

The pH value at the inlet was 8.19. All sampling points had water pH values between 7.8 and 8.6 (Table 3). Increases in pH may occur due to photosynthesis [53] and microbial metabolism [54]. The maximum pH value was observed at LS-2 (pH 8.64). Compared to LS-17 (pH 8.26), which was also in a stable Zone, LS-2 in Zone B provided water quality conditions that are more suitable for microbial growth and microbial photosynthesis, apart from its stabilization of water quality. Thus, pollutants could reach Zone B along a shorter path in a shorter time frame. Since Zone B was an isolated zone with a slower water flow velocity than Zone A, the decreasing Cd content of Zone B in our prediction (Figure 5) seems difficult to understand. However, the increasing pH of Zone B implied that microbes are active in this area, namely, some microorganisms in water can directly adsorb and indirectly stabilize Cd by affecting pH [55,56]. This finding indirectly indicated that the biological system in the wetland contributed to the degradation and deposition of pollutants.
Spatial analysis (Figure 5) was conducted using both the measured data and the predicted data by the GA–BPANN algorithm. This spatial analysis indicated that the Cd horizontal distribution in the Liu Shan Yan wetland achieved a balance. The pattern of the measured Cd concentrations was consistent with the predicted concentration patterns in the horizontal direction. However, the predicted Cd concentration range was 0.03 to 0.26 mg·L⁻¹, which was 13% to 25% lower than the observed Cd concentration range (0.04 to 0.3 mg·L⁻¹).

The deviation (Figure 3.) of learning by the GA–BPANN algorithm met the expected deviation. We assume that the advection of water and the self-purification led to a diminishing trend in Cd concentrations in the analysis of practical situations. The faster the water flow rate, the more obvious the dilution effect of water; on the contrary, the slower the water flow, the more significant the microbial adsorption and sediment deposition in the place. In other words, water flow can dilute the Cd content and promote water self-purification to reduce Cd content. However, the GA–BPANN algorithm cannot adequately simulate the steady flow of wastewater at the LS-10 sampling point. Therefore, the results predicted by GA–BPANN are satisfactory for describing an enclosed wetland environment or for situations that do not include inputs of external pollutants. The GA–BPANN modeling in this paper demonstrated that the Cd transport variability was constant in the horizontal direction (i.e., transport followed three flow paths).

The coefficient of variation was used to compare Cd advection with other lakes in China. The coefficient of variation is expressed as follows:
indicating observed findings of 76.90% uptake to absorption [62]. Typically, uptake of Cd can reach up to 3000 mg·kg⁻¹ in some plants, such as Cymbidium acuminata [63]. Typically, Cd uptake is higher in herbaceous plants than in shrubs and trees [63]. In addition, Pb is absorbed in landscaping plants such as Ligustrum lucidum [64], and Hg is absorbed in a multitude of usable plants such as lettuce, cucumber, pepper, cowpea, and string beans [65]. Pontederia cordata is a perennial emergent plant and is primarily cultivated in the Liu Shao Yan wetland. Recent research indicates that P. cordata can absorb 76.90% Cd in its root system as a landscape plant and shows great Cd removal potential [66]. However, in this study, Pontederia cordata in the Liu Shao Yan wetland exerted no significant effect on the uptake of Cd. This conclusion was based on the Cd data (0.1486 mg·L⁻¹, 0.0080 mg·L⁻¹, 0.2647 mg·L⁻¹) acquired from the LS-5, LS-17, and LS-19 sampling points, which had Pontederia cordata stands. There was no significant difference in the observed Cd concentrations between these three sampling points and their surroundings, indicating no significant Cd uptake by the P. cordata. Another evidence was that we found Cd in the SEM–EDS of our sample plants collected at LS-P3 (Figure 6). According to EDS results, plants could adsorb Cd, and the mass fraction of Cd was only 0.282 wt% (Figure 7). In Figure 7, the peaks in the left picture represented the number of elements, corresponding to the mass percentage analysis in the right picture. Figure 7 was obtained via surface scanning of the microstructure in Figure 6. It is concluded that Pontederia cordata can adsorb Cd, but the amount is negligibly small.
4. Conclusions

This study investigated the horizontal Cd distribution patterns by sampling the water surface in the Liu Shao Yan constructed wetland. Comparison of observed Cd concentrations and predicted Cd concentrations by the GA–BPANN algorithm indicated that the Cd distribution of the constructed wetland had reached a stable state with three clear horizontal transport paths, i.e., (1) flow to the lake center, (2) flow to the southern lake basin, and (3) flow to the outlet of the northern lake. This study found that Cd was easily accumulated in the more isolated and stable southern basin, and the flow rate was greatly affected by the terrain. The prediction of the GA–BPANN showed a degradation rate of Cd in the constructed wetland between 13% and 25% in the absence of external pollutant input. Following this conclusion, the real Cd degradation efficiency should be lower than we predicted. While the Liu Shao Yan constructed wetland had an impact on Cd degradation, the plant species composition of the wetland could be modified to improve Cd degradation efficiency. We conclude that constructed wetlands are
environmentally friendly, cost-effective technology for the effective removal of Cd that could spur green finance and green investment and strengthen environmental management for pollutant removal [67,68].

By comparing the coefficient of variation of Cd of the wetland with literature data, a large variation in Cd concentrations was observed in the constructed wetland. However, only a minor variation in the Cd concentration was observed between the area planted with Pontederia cordata and surrounding waters in the constructed wetland. EDS results showed a low content of Cd in plant tissue (0.282 wt.%). We conclude that variation in water flow velocity resulted from the concave–convex substrate of the constructed wetland and is, rather than stands of Pontederia cordata, the dominant factor affecting the distribution of Cd in water.

Although this study could not provide accurate measurements of flow rate in the constructed wetland, its assessment of the Cd horizontal transport variability and predictions of lakewater Cd concentration patterns in an urban constructed wetland could provide valuable data and prediction methodology. Data from this study could be used to evaluate the degradation of Cd in other constructed wetlands. Additionally, the GA–BPANN algorithm provides an additional tool for investigating the transport variability of Cd and other heavy metals.
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