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ABSTRACT
OBJECTIVES
To examine patterns of golfing among physicians: the proportion who regularly play golf, differences in golf practices across specialties, the specialties with the best golfers, and differences in golf practices between male and female physicians.

DESIGN
Observational study.

SETTING
Comprehensive database of US physicians linked to the US Golfing Association amateur golfer database.

PARTICIPANTS
41 692 US physicians who actively logged their golf rounds in the US Golfing Association database as of 1 August 2018.

MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES
Proportion of physicians who play golf, golf performance (measured using golf handicap index), and golf frequency (number of games played in previous six months).

RESULTS
Among 1 029 088 physicians, 41 692 (4.1%) actively logged golf scores in the US Golfing Association amateur golfer database. Men accounted for 89.5% of physicians, and among male physicians overall, 5.5% (37 309/683 297) played golf compared with 1.3% (4 383/345 489) among female physicians. Rates of golfing varied substantially across physician specialties. The highest proportions of physician golfers were in orthopedic surgery (8.8%), urology (8.1%), plastic surgery (7.5%), and otorhinolaryngology (7.1%), whereas the lowest proportions were in internal medicine and infectious disease (3.0%). Physicians in thoracic surgery, vascular surgery, and orthopedic surgery were the best golfers, with about 15% better golf performance than specialists in endocrinology, dermatology, and oncology.

CONCLUSIONS
Golfing is common among US male physicians, particularly those in the surgical subspecialties. The association between golfing and patient outcomes, costs of care, and physician wellbeing remain unknown.

Introduction
Across the world, physicians report high rates of burnout.1 Although leisure activities can improve wellbeing, those engaged in by physicians have not been well characterized. It has long been a stereotype of the medical profession that physicians spend much of their leisure time on the golf course2—in the United States, one long held belief is that physicians spend Wednesday afternoons on the golf course, and golfing among physicians seems to be common in other countries as well.3 4 The validity of these beliefs, however, has never been determined empirically. In particular, the proportion of physicians who regularly play golf, differences in golfing practices across specialties, the specialties with the best golfers, and differences between the golf habits of male and female physicians. The limited existing scientific literature on golf and medicine has focused on the sport’s health benefits, including a recent international consensus statement,5 as well as on the propriety of physicians accepting golf related gifts from pharmaceutical companies.6 8

Using a large database of amateur golfers linked to data on nearly all US physicians, we analyzed patterns of golfing among physicians.

Methods
Our study made use of two primary datasets, the Doximity physician database and the Golf Handicap and Information Network, a large database maintained by the United States Golf Association.9 The Golf Handicap and Information Network database is widely used by amateur golfers to log their scores, as well as to verify the handicap index of playing partners. The golf handicap index is a numerical measure of performance that allows golfers of different skill levels (ie, handicaps) to play against each other on equal terms. The handicap index for a given player is determined on the basis of that player’s performance in various rounds of golf and, if applicable, on various golf courses (an adjustment is made for course difficulty to avoid players being penalized who achieve lower scores because they play more difficult golf courses). Lower numbers reflect better performance. The
handicap difference between two competing players determines the additional number of golf strokes the player with the higher handicap (ie, the worse player) should be allowed to have an equivalent performance to a player with a lower handicap. By United States Golf Association rules, a player’s handicap is issued by an authorized golf club or authorized golf association (through its member clubs).10

Doximity is a comprehensive database of nearly all US physicians—both those who are registered members of the online networking service as well as those who are not—assembled through multiple sources and data partnerships, including the National Plan and Provider Enumeration System, state medical boards, specialty societies such as the American Board of Medical Specialties, and collaborating hospitals and medical schools. The data, which are publicly available and include information on physician age, sex, specialty, and other characteristics, have been validated and used in previous studies.11-14

For each physician in the Doximity database, we used full name and state of residence to automatically link with the Golf Handicap and Information Network database, extracting information on the number of games a physician logged in the previous six months and the physician’s golf handicap.

We analyzed the proportion of physicians who regularly play golf, both overall and according to physician sex, age, and specialty. We also analyzed the frequency of golf rounds in the previous six months. Finally, to determine which specialties had the best golfers we analyzed how players’ handicaps varied across physician specialty.

**Results**

Overall, our database included 1 029 088 physicians, of whom 4.1% (41 692) actively logged their golf scores. Male golfers accounted for 89.5% of physician golfers, and among male physicians overall, 5.5% (37 309/683 297) played golf compared with 1.3% (4383/345 489) among female physicians. The probability of participation in golf varied with age, with male physicians aged 61-70 years most likely to play golf (6.9% golfers) and female physicians aged 31-35 years least likely (0.8% golfers) (fig 1). Among male physicians who played golf, the mean age was 55.2 years (median 56 years, interquartile range 46-64 years).

Rates of golfing and golf handicaps varied substantially across physician specialties (table 1). Specialties with the highest proportion of physicians who played golf included orthopedic surgery (8.8%), urology (8.1%), plastic surgery (7.5%), and otolaryngology (7.1%), whereas in specialties such as internal medicine and infectious disease, fewer than 3.0% of physicians played golf.

The average golf handicap was 16.0 overall, 15.0 for male physicians, and 25.2 for female physicians (fig 2). Physicians in vascular surgery, thoracic surgery, and orthopedic surgery, were the best golfers (table 1). The average handicap indices in these three specialties were 14.7, 14.8, and 14.9, respectively, whereas in specialties such as endocrinology, dermatology, and oncology the average handicap indices were greater than 17.0. The per cent of physicians who played golf in a given specialty was negatively correlated with golf handicap—better performance was observed in specialties with more golfers (correlation coefficient −0.5, P=0.002, fig 3).

Male golfers played an average of 14.8 games in the first six months of 2018, and female golfers played an average of 12.1 games (table 1). At the physician level, playing more games was negatively correlated with golf handicap—that is, better performance was observed in golfers who played more frequently (correlation coefficient −0.2, P=0.004).
Table 1 | Golfing practices among US physicians, according to specialty

| Specialty                  | All physicians | Male physicians | Female physicians |
|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|                           | Golfers % (No of golfers/No of physicians) | Average handicap* | Average No of games played in past 6 months | Golfers % (No of golfers/No of physicians) | Average handicap* | Average No of games played in past 6 months |
| Orthopedic surgery        | 8.8 (2784/31529) | 14.9 12.9       | 9.3 (2784/29624) | 14.8 13        | 2.2 (2784/1905) | 22.8 9.5  |
| Urology                   | 8.1 (1021/12679) | 15.8 13.4       | 8.6 (1021/11682) | 15.6 13.5      | 2.1 (1021/997) | 24.3 12.1 |
| Plastic surgery           | 7.5 (598/8025)   | 15.8 13.1       | 8.4 (598/6845)   | 15.5 13.2      | 2.1 (598/1180) | 23.2 8.8  |
| Otolaryngology            | 7.1 (899/12698)  | 15.5 13.7       | 8.0 (899/10782)  | 15.1 13.9      | 1.8 (899/1916) | 24.8 7.2  |
| Vascular surgery          | 6.9 (251/3634)   | 14.7 15.5       | 7.4 (251/3318)   | 14.6 15.7      | 1.3 (251/316)  | 22.4 4.5  |
| Ophthalmology             | 6.7 (1489/22241) | 16 13           | 7.9 (1489/17383) | 15.4 13.2      | 2.3 (1489/4858) | 24.8 10.4 |
| Thoracic surgery          | 6.2 (298/4820)   | 14.8 12.8       | 6.4 (298/4585)   | 14.6 12.7      | 2.1 (298/235)  | 27.8 20.8 |
| Cardiology                | 5.6 (1749/31096) | 15.6 13.3       | 6.2 (1749/27513) | 15.3 13.4      | 1.4 (1749/3583) | 25.4 11.8 |
| Neurosurgery              | 5.6 (396/7075)   | 15.7 12.4       | 6.0 (396/6462)   | 15.5 12.5      | 1.8 (396/613)  | 21.5 9.2  |
| Gastroenterology          | 5.5 (909/16698)  | 15.8 14.1       | 6.2 (909/14164)  | 15.5 14.2      | 1.0 (909/2504) | 27 8.6    |
| Radiology                 | 5.2 (2323/44283) | 15.9 12.9       | 6.2 (2323/34646) | 15.2 12.9      | 1.9 (2323/9637) | 25.1 12.9 |
| Dermatology               | 4.9 (687/14089)  | 17.2 14.5       | 6.9 (687/8016)   | 15.5 15.6      | 2.1 (687/6073) | 25.2 9.8  |
| General surgery           | 4.9 (2075/42597) | 15.4 13.7       | 5.7 (2075/34313) | 14.8 13.9      | 1.6 (2075/8284) | 24.2 10   |
| Radiation oncology        | 4.9 (257/5244)   | 16 12.6         | 5.8 (257/3899)   | 15.1 13.3      | 2.2 (257/1345) | 24.4 7.3  |
| Allergy and immunology    | 4.8 (263/5454)   | 16.9 13.8       | 6.5 (263/3677)   | 15.8 14.3      | 1.4 (263/1777) | 29.1 8.1  |
| Anesthesiology            | 4.8 (261/54065)  | 15 13.8         | 5.9 (261/4074)   | 14.3 14        | 1.5 (261/13234) | 24.4 11.5 |
| Pulmonology               | 4.7 (527/11329)  | 15.9 14.3       | 5.4 (527/9304)   | 15.5 14.5      | 1.3 (527/2025) | 23.5 10.7 |
| Oncology                  | 4.6 (294/6364)   | 17.2 13.1       | 6.1 (294/4439)   | 16.4 13.6      | 1.2 (294/1925) | 27.5 7.2  |
| Emergency medicine        | 4.4 (2272/51643) | 15 13.5         | 5.4 (2272/38362) | 14.1 13.8      | 1.6 (2272/13281) | 24.7 10   |
| Obstetrics and gynecology | 4.2 (2159/50982) | 17 13.9         | 6.8 (2159/25610) | 15.2 14.6      | 1.7 (2159/25372) | 25.1 10.8 |
| Rheumatology              | 3.9 (240/6125)   | 16.3 13.7       | 5.5 (240/3743)   | 15.5 14.6      | 1.4 (240/2382) | 22.4 8.1  |
| Nephrology                | 3.8 (428/11283)  | 15.8 13.1       | 4.6 (428/8526)   | 15.2 13.2      | 1.2 (428/2757) | 24.8 10.9 |
| Hematology                | 3.7 (350/9379)   | 17.1 12.3       | 4.6 (350/6718)   | 15.8 13.1      | 1.4 (350/2661) | 28.3 5.9  |
| Family medicine           | 3.6 (4600/127368) | 16.1 13.6      | 5.0 (4600/79963) | 14.9 14.1      | 1.3 (4600/47405) | 25.3 10.6 |
| Neurology                 | 3.5 (705/20130)  | 16.1 15.2       | 4.6 (705/13903)  | 15.7 15.5      | 1.1 (705/6221) | 25 12.3   |
| Pathology                 | 3.1 (655/21215)  | 16.5 14.3       | 4.3 (655/13245)  | 15.1 14.8      | 1.0 (655/5970) | 26.7 11   |
| Endocrinology             | 3.0 (235/7878)   | 18.1 13.6       | 4.3 (235/4664)   | 15.6 14.6      | 1.1 (235/3214) | 26.6 7.9  |
| Psychiatry                | 3.0 (1642/55405) | 17.1 13.7       | 4.2 (1642/33573) | 15.6 14.1      | 1.1 (1642/21832) | 25.8 11.9 |
| Infectious disease        | 2.9 (262/8957)   | 15.8 13.5       | 4.1 (262/5613)   | 14.5 14.3      | 1.0 (262/3344) | 27.4 7.9  |
| Internal medicine         | 2.9 (3866/132187) | 16.2 13.5      | 4.0 (3866/83136) | 14.9 14.1      | 1.0 (3866/49051) | 25.7 9.9  |

Specialties ordered from highest to lowest prevalence of golfing within specialty (physicians overall).*Measure of golf performance, with lower numbers reflecting higher performance.

*Measure of golf performance, with lower numbers reflecting higher performance.
Discussion

In an analysis of the golfing patterns of more than 40,000 US physicians linked to a comprehensive physician database, we found that at least 4% play golf, with male physicians and surgical specialists spending the most time on the golf course. Surgical specialists reported the best golf performance, as measured by players’ handicap, and better performance was observed in specialties with more golfers and among golfers who reported playing more games in the previous six months. Average handicaps for physicians in thoracic surgery, vascular surgery, and anesthesiology were about 15% lower than those of physicians in endocrinology, dermatology, and oncology. This reflects substantially better golf skills on the part of the former three specialties (eg, according to the United States Golf Association, this scale of improvement is on par with the average 100 m dash time for college runners falling by a full second, or the average batting average in baseball increasing about 30 points).15 We also found that physicians in their late 60s and early 70s were most likely to play golf. It is unclear whether this is a generational preference or simply a matter of having more leisure time later in one’s career.

Overall, physicians were, at best, average golfers. For example, the mean handicap among male physicians was 15.0, which is slightly worse than the median performance of non-medical golfers according to official statistics from the US Golf Association.16 Professional golfers, in comparison, routinely have handicaps of zero or lower.

The findings of this study suggest several areas where research is needed and where federal research support—perhaps through a dedicated agency of the National Institutes of Health—might be warranted. Is patient mortality associated with the amount of time a patient’s physician plays golf (either negatively, because physicians release stress on the golf course, or positively owing to decreased availability and time spent away from developing clinical skill)? Do costs of care increase and patient outcomes worsen in the days after a physician has had a bad round of golf? Given research that suggests male physicians spend almost two hours less than female physicians each day on household responsibilities, even among dual physician couples who have similar reported work,17 does the substantially greater time spent by male physicians on the golf course explain some of this discrepancy?

Limitations of this study

Our study has limitations. First, not all physicians who play golf report personal statistics to the Golf Handicap and Information Network database that we used in this study. This database is, however, widely used by amateur golfers who regularly play golf and we found that 5.5% of male physicians report golf statistics to this database. Still, it is likely that more physicians play golf than estimated by our study. We also have no reason to believe that physicians from different specialties would systematically differ in reporting to this database, which suggests our relative rankings of participation in golf between specialties should be accurate. Second, our analysis involved linkage of two databases according to physician name and state of residence. Incorrect linkages could have occurred—though again, these should not systematically vary across specialties. Third, golfers might also not accurately report their performance, which could bias specialty comparisons if physicians in certain specialties are more likely to overstate golf performance. Fourth, our analysis focused on US physicians, whereas golf is reported to be popular among physicians in the United Kingdom,
Australia, and likely other countries, though evidence is limited.14

Conclusions
Golfing is common among US physicians, particularly among male physicians and surgical subspecialties. The association between golfing and patient outcomes, costs of care, and physician wellbeing remain unknown.
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