Malignant Peritoneal Mesothelioma Without Asbestos Exposure
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Abstract

Malignant mesothelioma is a rare neoplasm of the serosal linings. Mesothelioma has been linked to asbestos exposure, with prior asbestos exposure linked to 33-50% of malignant peritoneal mesotheliomas. We describe a case of malignant peritoneal mesothelioma (MPM) without any prior exposure to asbestos in a 40-year-old Hispanic female who presented to the emergency department with worsening abdominal pain and distension. She had a history of beta thalassemia trait and iron deficiency anemia. Examination revealed a distended abdomen with protruding umbilicus and positive shifting dullness. Laboratory tests showed anemia. Computed tomography (CT) of the abdomen revealed massive complex ascites suspicious of a malignant process. Ascitic fluid analysis showed serum ascites albumin gradient (SAAG) of 1.1 g/dL with a total protein of 5.2 g/dL. She underwent laparoscopic peritoneal biopsy which yielded epithelioid type malignant mesothelioma. She was started on chemotherapy with cisplatin and pemetrexed. The last follow-up was 27 months after the diagnosis. MPM is a rare and life-threatening malignancy. Frequently, the symptoms are non-specific. This poses a diagnostic challenge for physicians and probably the reason why the diagnosis is often delayed, especially in the absence of risk factors.
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Introduction

Malignant mesothelioma is a rare neoplasm of the serosal linings involving the pleura, peritoneum, pericardium, and tunica vaginalis of testes. Mesothelioma has been linked to asbestos exposure, but an association with silica and radiation has also been reported. Visceral pleura is the most common site, followed by peritoneum [1]. Peritoneal mesothelioma was first reported in 1908 by Miller and Wynn. Malignant mesothelioma of the peritoneum constitutes 7-30% of all mesotheliomas [2-5]. The highest rates of mesotheliomas have been reported in industrialized countries. Asbestos exposure has been evident in 80% of cases of pleural mesothelioma, while 33-50% of malignant peritoneal mesotheliomas (MPMs) are linked to prior asbestos exposure [6-8]. MPM can occur at any age but usually presents in the fifth and sixth decades of life [9, 10]. It is more common in men, generally attributed to higher rates of occupational, industrial toxin exposure [11]. Patients typically present with abdominal pain, distention of abdomen, anorexia, weight loss and ascites [12]. The less frequent presentations include a fever of unknown origin, hypercoagulability and intestinal obstruction [12, 13]. A nonspecific clinical presentation may pose a diagnostic challenge for the physicians especially in the absence of risk factors. We describe a case of MPM without any prior exposure to asbestos or other risk factors.

Case Report

We report a case of a 40-year-old Hispanic female who was evaluated in the emergency room (ER) for worsening abdominal pain and distension. She had been in her usual state of health until 2 months before this admission. She was initially evaluated by her primary care physician (PCP) for abdominal distension. The initial workup form PCP office showed mild anemia, hepatomegaly secondary to fatty infiltration and ascites on ultrasound of abdomen. She was referred to the outpatient gastroenterology clinic by PCP; however patient presented to ER due to worsening abdominal pain.

On admission to this hospital, she reported that her abdominal pain and distension have been worsening for the past 3 weeks. She described the pain to be sharp, diffuse, non-radiating, rated 10 on a scale of 0 to 10, with 10 indicating the most severe pain. There was no nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, constipation, weight loss or change in appetite. She had regular bowel movements but had noticed several episodes of blood while wiping herself a few weeks ago. Two weeks before this presentation she had dysuria, which had resolved spontaneously in 1 day. However, she did not have flank pain, foul-smelling urine, vaginal discharge or fever during that episode. She had not traveled outside the United States (US) recently and had not contacted any sick person. Her menstrual periods...
occurred in regular 30-day cycles, and her last menstrual period was 1 week before this presentation.

She had a history of beta thalassemia trait, iron deficiency anemia. She underwent tubal ligation 13 years ago. She had no known drug allergies. She was an active smoker with a 10-pack-year history of smoking. She consumed alcohol socially and reported using marijuana on a regular basis. Her active medications include omeprazole, acetaminophen, naproxen (as needed), and iron supplements. She was born and raised in the US. She lived with her husband and was sexually active. Her father had hypertension, and her aunt had breast cancer.

On initial evaluation, the temperature was 36.9 °C; the heart rate was 83 beats per minute, the blood pressure 111/59 mm Hg, the respiratory rate was 14 breaths per minute, and she was saturating 100% on room air. She was in mild distress due to pain and distension of abdomen. She was well developed and appeared in regular nutritional status. Abdominal examination revealed a distended abdomen with protruding umbilicus and positive shifting dullness suggestive of intra-abdominal fluid. Laboratory tests showed anemia, with hemoglobin of 11.3 g/dL. Her liver and renal function tests were within reasonable limits. Alpha1 anti-trypsin was marginally elevated (207 mg/dL). She was tested negative for viral hepatitis markers (Table 1). An echocardiogram was performed which did not show any signs of heart failure. Computed tomography (CT) of the abdomen with intravenous contrast revealed massive complex ascites with irregular nodular enhancement of the peritoneal wall (omental caking) suspicious of a malignant process (Fig. 1). She subsequently underwent CT-guided peritoneal tap which yielded 300 mL of serosanguinous fluid. Biochemical evaluation of the fluid showed serum ascites albumin gradient (SAAG) of 1.1 g/dL with a total protein of 5.2 g/dL pointing towards a differential diagnosis of carcinomatosis and tuberculosis (Table 2). Cytological examination of the fluid did not

---

**Table 1. Laboratory Test Results**

| Laboratory Test | Result |
|-----------------|--------|
| Hemoglobin      | 11.6 g/dL |
| Hematocrit      | 37.0% |
| MCV (mean corpuscular volume) | 71.9 fl |
| WBC (white blood cells) | 6.1 k/µL |
| Neutrophils %   | 72.8% |
| Lymphocyte %    | 16.7% |
| Platelet        | 337 k/µL |
| PT (prothrombin time) | 11.6 s |
| INR (international normalization ratio) | 1.0 |
| APTT (activated partial thromboplastin time) | 29.6 s |
| Ferritin        | 24 ng/mL |
| Iron            | 125 µg/dL |
| UIBC (unsaturated iron binding capacity) | 266 µg/dL |
| Vitamin B12     | 247 pg/mL |
| Folate          | 8.9 ng/mL |
| TSH (thyroid stimulating hormone) | 0.55 mIU/L |
| Cholesterol     | 213 mg/dL |
| LDL (low density lipoprotein) | 106 mg/dL |
| HDL (high density lipoprotein) | 60 mg/dL |
| Triglycerides   | 234 mg/dL |
| Sodium          | 134 mEq/L |
| Potassium       | 4.3 mEq/L |
| Chloride        | 98 mEq/L |
| HCO₃⁻ (bicarbonate) | 26 mEq/L |
| BUN (blood urea nitrogen) | 7 mg/dL |
| Creatinine      | 0.8 mg/dL |
| Calcium         | 8.6 mg/dL |
| Glucose         | 76 mg/dL |
| Protein         | 6.6 g/dL |
| Albumin         | 3.9 g/dL |
| ALT (alanine aminotransferase) | 15 U/L |
| AST (aspartate transaminase) | 22 unit/L |
| GTT (gamma glutamyl transferase) | 8.0 unit/L |
| APL (alkaline phosphatase) | 57 unit/L |
| Bilirubin total | 0.3 mg/dL |
| Lipase          | 27 U/L |
| LDH (lactate dehydrogenase) | 84 unit/L |
| HbA1c (hemoglobin A1C) | 4.4% |
| ESR (erythrocyte sedimentation rate) | 8.0 mm/h |
| Hepatitis E Ab IgG | Negative |
| Hepatitis E Ab IgM | Negative |
| Hepatitis A total Ab | Negative |
| Hepatitis B core total Ab | Negative |

---

**Table 1. Laboratory Test Results - (continued)**

| Laboratory Test | Result |
|-----------------|--------|
| Hepatitis B surface Ab | Positive |
| Hepatitis B surface antigen | Negative |
| Hepatitis C Ab | Negative |
| ANA (anti nuclear antibody) | Negative |
| Anti-mitochondrial Ab | Negative |
| Alpha 1 antitrypsin | 207 mg/dL |
| Ceruloplasmin | 26 mg/dL |
| HIV (human immunodeficiency virus) | Negative |
| IgA level | 188 mg/dL |
| Liver kidney microsomal assay | < 20.0 |
| Smooth muscle actin Ab screen | Negative |
| Tissue transglutaminase Ab IgA | 1 U/mL |
| Celiac interpretation | Negative |
| Quantiferon® gold | Negative |
| Urine analysis | Negative |
reveal any malignant cells. Considering lower yield of fluid cytology, patient was evaluated by the surgical team and underwent laparoscopic peritoneal biopsy which yielded epithelial type malignant mesothelioma (Figs. 2-4). The patient was referred to a tertiary care oncology center for further management, where chemotherapy with cisplatin and pemetrexed was initiated. The patient has been healthy on the follow-up visits and continues to follow there for continued care.

Discussion

Malignant peritoneal mesothelioma (MPM) is a rare and life-threatening malignancy. Several industrial chemicals and minerals like asbestos (most commonly), thorium and mica (more so in the development of pleural as compared to peritoneal mesothelioma) have been linked to the development of mesothelioma [1]. There is a wide variety of presentations of the disease including abdominal pain and abdominal distension being the most common. At many times the symptoms are vague and non-specific. This poses a diagnostic challenge for physicians and probably the reason why the diagnosis is often delayed, especially in the absence of risk factors [1].

There is no imaging that is specific and diagnostic, but CT abdomen with intravenous contrast is the modality of choice [14]. It can be seen as a solid, heterogeneous, soft tissue mass with irregular margins that is enhanced by contrast. Patients usually present at an advanced stage and are detected on imaging as a solid infiltrating mass or multiple small nodules involving the serosa. Ascites is seen in a vast majority of newly diagnosed patients [15, 16], while caking and thickening of the omentum, mesenteric nodules, and scalloping of intra-abdominal organs are noted on CT in some cases [17, 18]. A definitive diagnosis is only possible with a histologic and immunohistochemical examination of the tissue [6]. CT aids in staging and biopsy while a cytological analysis of the ascitic fluid has a low diagnostic yield [6]. Laparoscopy with tissue sampling will have the high yield in those cases. Some studies demonstrate the usefulness of diffusion-weighted and gadolinium-

| Ascitic fluid          | Results       |
|------------------------|---------------|
| Color                  | Cloudy        |
| WBC (white blood cell) | 960 cells/mm³ |
| Segmented neutrophils  | 25.0%         |
| Lymphocyte count       | 75.0%         |
| RBC (red blood cell)   | 19,500 Mil cells/mm³ |
| Mesothelial cells      | Many          |
| Macrophage             | Many          |
| Albumin                | 3.2 g/dL      |
| Amylase                | 46 unit/L     |
| Adenosine deaminase    | 36.8 U/L      |
| LDH (lactate dehydrogenase) | 278 unit/L |
| Protein                | 5.2 g/dL      |
| SAAG (serum ascites albumin gradient) | 0.7 g/dL |
enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in determining the tumor burden [19]. The standard of treatment is cytoreductive surgery with hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy. It has a poor 5-year survival rate of 50% and therefore requires an astute clinical acumen and a high degree of suspicion.
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