Comparative Transcriptome Analysis Reveals New Insight of Alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.) Cultivars in Response to Abrupt Freezing Stress
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Freezing stress is a major limiting environmental factor that affects the productivity and distribution of alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.). There is growing evidence that enhancing freezing tolerance through resistance-related genes is one of the most efficient methods for solving this problem, whereas little is known about the complex regulatory mechanism of freezing stress. Herein, we performed transcriptome profiling of the leaves from two genotypes of alfalfa, freezing tolerance “Gannong NO.3” and freezing-sensitive “WL326GZ” exposure to −10°C to investigate which resistance-related genes could improve the freezing tolerance. Our results showed that a total of 121,366 genes were identified, and there were 7,245 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between the control and treated leaves. In particular, the DEGs in “Gannong NO.3” were mainly enriched in the metabolic pathways and biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, and most of the DEGs in “WL326GZ” were enriched in the metabolic pathways, the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, and plant-pathogen interactions. Moreover, the weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) showed that ATP-binding cassette (ABC) C subfamily genes were strongly impacted by freezing stress, indicating that ABCC8 and ABCC3 are critical to develop the freezing tolerance. Moreover, our data revealed that numerous Ca²⁺ signal transduction and CBF/DREB1 pathway-related genes were severely impacted by the freezing resistance, which is believed to alleviate the damage caused by freezing stress. Altogether, these findings contribute the comprehensive information to understand the molecular mechanism of alfalfa adaptation to freezing stress and further provide functional candidate genes that can adapt to abiotic stress.
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INTRODUCTION

Alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.) is one of the most important cultivated perennial forage legume species in the world (Yang et al., 2010), and it is grown extensively in different temperature zones based on its high biomass yield, rich nutritional value, good palatability, high capacity for nitrogen fixation, and strong ecological adaptability (Chao et al., 2019). However, under
extreme environmental conditions, freezing stress is a major factor that substantially attenuates alfalfa growth, development, productivity, and distribution (Song et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2018; Castonguay et al., 2020), especially in northern cold regions (Anower et al., 2016). Moreover, unusual abrupt temperature changes lead to serious economic losses in the winter and later spring frost events (Zhou et al., 2018). Therefore, clarifying the mechanisms responsible for freezing tolerance during periods of abrupt freezing stress is important for the breeding of novel alfalfa varieties.

Freezing stress (below 0°C) initially causes ice formation in the cell wall, which directly affects cellular metabolic activities. However, as the ice crystals grow, water uptake by the call is reduced leading to cellular acute dehydration and severe damage to the cell membrane (Ahmed et al., 2015). Oxidative stress severely affects the activities of enzymes in plants, such as reactive oxygen species (ROS) scavenging system enzymes. Furthermore, freezing stress induces instability of protein complexes and RNA secondary structures in cell physiology. Finally, plants perish because freezing stress damages the photoinhibition and destroys the metabolic balance in plants (Gong et al., 2020). To adapt to freezing stress, plants have evolved a series of strategies to increase their freezing tolerance (Tsutsui et al., 2009). However, the freezing tolerance level is a diversiform change in physiological, biochemical, molecular, and morphological characteristics in different plant species (Eremina et al., 2016). ROS are important biochemical changes that severely affect plant growth. Plant cells scavenge ROS to induce related systems, including superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), peroxidase (POD), and ascorbate peroxidase (APX; Karimzadeh et al., 2021). Enhanced antioxidiant activities increase freezing tolerance in many plants, such as maize (Zea mays L.; Hodges et al., 1997), rice (Oryza sativa L.; Huang and Guo, 2005), chickpeas (Cicer arietinum L.; Kaur et al., 2009), and alfalfa (Wang et al., 2009).

In addition, freezing stress can trigger rapid increase in calcium (Ca²⁺) in the cytosol (Gong et al., 2020). Ca²⁺, as a second messenger, strongly affects primary low-temperature stress signal transduction (Monroy and Dhindsa, 1995) and decoded by downstream effector proteins, including calmodulins (CaMs), CaM-like proteins (CMLs), calcium-dependent protein kinases (CDPKs), and calcineurin B-like proteins (CBLs), to generate related responses to stress (Zhu et al., 2015). CaM is present in all intracellular events in plants; it is a ubiquitous Ca²⁺ sensor protein. Moreover, CaMs interact with more than 300 target proteins and modulate their activities to defend against environmental stress (Yang and Poovaliah, 2003). Recent studies have demonstrated that many CaMs/CML genes are induced by freezing stress to improve the freezing tolerance, such as NpCaM-1 (Zeng et al., 2015), AtCaM3 (Townley and Knight, 2002), AtCML24 (Delk et al., 2005), AtCML10 (Cho et al., 2016), CsCML16, CsCML42 (Ma et al., 2019), MtCML24, and ShCML44 (Sun et al., 2021). In contrast, CDPKs directly modulate Ca²⁺ signals at the cytosolic level (Mall et al., 2011) to involved in resistance to abiotic stresses, including cold stress, and many CDPK-related genes can be induced under freezing stress to adapt to changes in temperature (Komatsu et al., 2007; Ray et al., 2007; Dubrovina et al., 2015). However, the mechanisms of CDPK-positive or negative regulated resistance to freezing stress are not clear. In Populus euphratica, PeCPK10 positively regulates freezing tolerance (Chen et al., 2013). However, ZmCPK1 in maize negatively regulates cold tolerance through the Ca²⁺ signaling pathways (Weckwerth et al., 2015). Similar to the CBL genes, multiple CBL genes conferring stress have been identified in different plant species (Kolukisaoglu et al., 2004; Li et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2020). Several genes related to CBLs, such as BrCBL1 (Lee et al., 2013), PeCBL6, and PeCBL10 are upregulated in response to cold stress (Li et al., 2012) and can increase CBF3, COR15A, and COR47A expression levels to confer freezing stress (Zhou et al., 2016). However, Cheong et al. (2003) reported that AtCBL1 is downregulated under cold stress inhibiting the expression of AtCBF3 to cope with environmental changes. In addition to Ca²⁺ signal transduction, which is important in freezing stress, the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MPK) cascades and phytohormones also play a key role in the freezing signal process (Li et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2020). To mitigate freezing stress, several transcription factors are activated, regulating the expression of downstream cold-regulated (COR) genes (Peng et al., 2021).

CBF/DREB1 (C-repeat binding factors/Dehydration responsive element binding protein 1) is an important signal transduction pathway involved in freezing tolerance mechanism. CBFS, including CBF1, CBF2, and CBF3, are the AP2/ERF family transcription factors, which regulate COR genes to confer freezing stress. Accordingly, overexpressed CBFS increase the freezing tolerance in diverse plant species (Song et al., 2016). In Arabidopsis, CBF1 and CBF3 positively regulate freezing tolerance (Tsutsui et al., 2009), and overexpressing MtCBF3 could enhance freezing tolerance in Medicago truncatula (Tayeh et al., 2013). Moreover, Shu et al. (2017) observed nine CBF unigenes of alfalfa homology at the Mt-FTQTL6 site, which positively regulated freezing tolerance. Additionally, Kanchupati et al. (2017) suggested that CBFS may strongly impact the freezing tolerance of alfalfa. However, because the alfalfa genome has not been fully sequenced, the molecular mechanism of CBF cluster responses to freezing stress is not clear.

Recently, with the development of high-throughput RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) technology applications, the mechanisms of freezing stress response in numerous plants have been revealed, and thousands of freezing tolerance-related genes are involved in signal transduction. These studies suggest that transcription factors regulate gene expression to defend against freezing stress (Nah et al., 2016). However, little is known about the response to abrupt freezing stress in alfalfa (Song et al., 2016). In this study, we utilized RNA-seq to evaluate changes in freezing stress response genes in leaves of “Gannong NO.3” (freezing tolerance) and “WL326GZ” (freezing sensitive) with abrupt freezing stress based on the referenced alfalfa genome (Chen et al., 2020), and identified several genes that may strongly impact the freezing stress response in alfalfa. These results elucidate the mechanism of freezing stress response and can be used to compare the freezing tolerance and freezing
sensitivity in alfalfa. This study will provide valuable resources for practitioners performing freezing tolerance molecular breeding in alfalfa.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Materials and Culture Conditions**

Two freezing tolerance alfalfa cultivars were studied. The first was the freezing-tolerance cultivar “Gannong NO.3” (fall dormancy score of 3.0), which is produced in Gansu, Ningxia, Xinjiang, and eastern margin of the Qinghai-Tibet plateau. Its seeds were provided by Gansu Agricultural University. The second was the freezing-sensitive “WL326GZ” (fall dormancy score 3.8), which was purchased from Zhengdao Ecological Technology Co. (Beijing, China). All seeds were sown on arenaceous quartz in a growth room, and each sample with three times. The growth conditions were as follows: 200 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, a light period of 14h at 20°C, with 10h dark at 18°C, and humidity ranging from 60 to 80%. The seedlings were irrigated with half-strength Hoagland solution daily after the seeds germinated. Four weeks later, the seedlings were transferred to a cold chamber at −10°C. All leaves were harvested at 0, 0.5, 1, and 2h after freezing stress. Detailed sample names are as follows: G01-03, G11-13, G21-23, and G31-33 represent three “Gannong NO.3” samples harvested at 0, 0.5, 1, and 2h, respectively; W01-03, W11-13, W21-23, and W31-33 represent three “WL326GZ” samples harvested at 0, 0.5, 1, and 2h, respectively. Thus, every sample had three replicates, and the leaves were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until use.

**Enzyme Extraction and Assays**

To investigate the contents of four types of antioxidant activities (superoxide-SOD, peroxidase-POD, ascorbate peroxidase-APX, and catalase-CAT), we used 0.1 g fresh leaves which were homogenized in 1.5 ml potassium phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.0) and centrifuged for 20 min at 12,000 r min⁻¹ with 4°C for obtaining the upper supernatant to detect the antioxidant activities. The SOD activity was measured according to method reported by Giannopolitis et al. (1977), POD activity was determined using the guaiacol oxidation (Chance and Maehly, 1955), and CAT and APX activities were determined based on decomposition of H₂O₂ (Chance and Maehly, 1955).

**RNA Extraction and Illumina Sequencing**

Total RNA was isolated from leaf tissues using the RNAPrep Pure Plant Kit (TIANGEN Biotech, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and then treated with DNase I (NEB) to remove DNA. Quantification was performed using a Qubit RNA Assay Kit and a Qubit 2.0 Fluorimeter (Life Technologies, CA, United States). To ensure the integrity of the RNA, we used an RNA Nano 6000 Assay Kit of the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies, CA, United States) to library construction and sequencing.

Twenty-four libraries were constructed using the NEBNext Ultra RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, United States). Sequences were conducted by the Biomarker Technology Company (Beijing, China) on the Illumina HiSeq™ 4,000 platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, United States). The raw reads were first processed through in-house Perl scripts, and clean reads were obtained by moving adapter ploy-N and low-quality reads. The clean reads were mapped to the ribosome RNA (rRNA) database using the short reads alignment tool Bowtie2 (version 2.2.8), the rRNA mapped reads were removed, and the remaining clean reads were mapped to the M. sativa L. reference genome¹ using HISAT2.2.4. For further analysis, all transcripts were annotated in the Nr, Nt, Swiss-Prot, Pfam, KOG/COG, KEGG, and GO databases.

**Differential Expression Analysis**

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were analyzed using pairwise samples (G01_G02_G03 vs. G11_G12_G13, G01_G02_G03 vs. G21_G22_G23, G01_G02_G03 vs. G31_G32_G33, W01_W02_W03 vs. W11_W12_W13, W01_W02_W03 vs. W21_W22_W23, and W01_W02_W03 vs. W31_W32_W33) by DEseq R package (1.18.0). The expression levels were adjusted with FDR < 0.05, and |log₂ (fold change)| ≥ 1 as a significantly differential expression.

**Trend Analysis of DEGs in “Gannong NO.3” and “WL326GZ”**

To understand the DEG trends, the DEGs of each variety at each sampling time were clustered by similar expression patterns. Briefly, the expression of each DEG was input, normalized, and clustered using Short Time-series Expression Miner software (STEM) with |log₂ (fold change)| ≥ 1, p ≤ 0.05, and profile number ≤ 20. Then, the DEGs of each profile were annotated using the Gene Ontology (GO) and KEGG databases analysis using a Q ≤ 0.05.

**Weighted Gene Co-expression Network Analysis**

The co-expression network was performed using the weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) in the R software package (Langfelder and Horvath, 2008). A total of 23,977 genes with FPKM values above nine were selected for the WGCNA unsigned analysis. The adjacency matrix was constructed with a soft threshold power of six by pairwise Pearson's correlation coefficients between pairs of genes. Then, a dissimilarity measure based on the topological overlap matrix (TOM) was used to cluster genes into the network modules on their co-expression. The module eigengene E (as the first principal component of a given module) was constructed and used as a weight to calculate the correlation of the module. The networks were visualized using Cytoscape v3.8.0 to analyze the key modules and hub genes.

¹https://figshare.com/projects/whole_genome_sequencing_and_assembly_of_Medicago_sativa/66380
RESULTS

Phenotypic Changes and Responses of Antioxidant Enzymes Following Exposure to Freezing Stress

To distinguish the two different freezing tolerance types of alfalfa, we analyzed the antioxidant enzymes and phenotypic changes in the leaves following exposure to freezing stress for 0, 0.5, 1, and 2 h. The alfalfas in "Gannong NO.3" were survived more than in the "WL326GZ" under the direct exposure to −10°C temperatures for 2 h (Figure 1A). To further exhibit the phenotypic changes, we recovered the alfalfas at a normal growth temperature for 2 days (Figure 1B). Interestingly, 3.33% of the "WL326GZ" were survived, whereas 9.33% in "Gannong NO.3," comparing to "WL326GZ." In order to detect the effects on the freezing stress, we analyze the SOD, POD, APX, and CAT activities of the alfalfas. As shown in Figure 2A, there was no significant change of the SOD activity in "WL326GZ" under freezing stress from 0 to 1 h exposure and dramatically reduced 70.11% at 2 h, whereas the SOD activity of "Gannong NO.3" was decreased at 0.5 h and then increased 7.51% at 2 h exposure to freezing stress. The change in POD activity was similar between the "WL326GZ" and "Gannong NO.3," both of which decreased as the freezing stress time increased, and naturally increased at 2 h. Importantly, "Gannong NO.3" has a greater extent of POD activity to "WL326GZ" (Figure 2B). The variation in APX activity was similar to POD, but that of "WL326GZ" increased at 1 h, and that of "Gannong NO.3" increased at 2 h. The APX activity of "Gannong NO.3" was therefore higher than in "WL326GZ" at 2 h (Figure 2C). The CAT activity of the two types of alfalfa cultivars increased consistently with the duration of the freezing stress time, and the CAT activity of "Gannong NO.3" was higher than that of "WL326GZ" (Figure 2D).

Bioinformatic Analysis of Differential Expressed Genes in “Gannong NO.3” and “WL326GZ”

To investigate which resistance-related genes can be beneficial to improve the freezing stress, we used RNA-seq approach to profile the leaves of “Gannong NO.3” and “WL326GZ” at 0, 0.5, 1, and 2 h exposure to −10°C. Our preliminary RNA-seq screen generated 155,133,490,050 raw data points. The raw data from each sample consisted of more than 59,000,000 data points, and all raw data were deposited in NCBI with the accession number PRJNA769225. Importantly, we cleared the raw data with GC <43%, Q20 >97%, and Q30 >94%, and finally obtained 153,834,608,062 data points (Supplementary Table S1). To remove ribosome RNA (rRNA), we used Bowtie 2 to map the cleared data points to the (rRNA) database and got the clean reads ranged from 36,671,116 to 45,294,426 (Supplementary Table S2). We then mapped the clean reads to the alfalfa reference genome (Chen et al., 2020) and finally identified 121,366 genes from more than 89% of the mapped reads (see Supplementary Tables S3, S4).

We then constructed a comparative analysis between freezing stress treated and control leaves of “Gannong NO.3” or “WL326GZ.” There were 7,245 DEGs that showed significant upregulation/downregulation, among that, the G0 vs. G2 and W0 vs. W2 displayed 3,892 DEGs and 2,608 DEGs, respectively (Figure 3).

The Trend Analysis and GO and KEGG Functional Annotation of DEGs

To cluster the same trend expression genes, 20 profiles were generated using the trend analysis of “Gannong NO.3” and the top 6 profiles of the gene numbers were, 8, 11, 16, 18,
We then utilized the GO and KEGG pathway to identify the biological functions of the profiles. The top 20 GO enrichment (Supplementary Table S5) of the profile 8 showed 571 (81.34%) DEGs enriched in the

3, and 1 (Figure 4A). We then utilized the GO and KEGG pathway to identify the biological functions of the profiles. The top 20 GO enrichment (Supplementary Table S5) of the profile 8 showed 571 (81.34%) DEGs enriched in the
GO:0044699 (single-organism process) and 535 (78.68%) DEGs enriched in the GO:0003824 (catalytic activity). Interestingly, profile 11 showed the most DEGs (400, 61.73%) enriched in the GO:0050896 (response to stimulus), followed by GO:0042221 (response to chemical: 270, 41.67%). As in profile 16, most DEGs (400, 61.73%) were enriched in GO:0050896 (response to stimulus), followed by GO:0003824 (catalytic activity). Moreover, the KEGG pathway (Supplementary Figure S1) analyses were performed for most DEGs enriched in the ko01100 (metabolic pathways) and ko01110 (biosynthesis of secondary metabolites) of profiles 8, 11, and 16.

Similar to “Gannong NO.3,” there were 20 profiles were produced for “WL326GZ” by trend analyzed and the top 6 profiles of the DEGs were, 18, 11, 9, 10, 0, and 8 (Figure 4B). The results of GO enrichment show that 291 (67.67%) DEGs were enriched in GO:0050896 (response to stimulus), 219 (50.93%) DEGs were enriched in GO:0065007 (biological regulation) of profile 18, 264 (66.17%) DEGs were enriched in GO:0050896 (response to stimulus) and 204 (51.13%) DEGs were enriched in GO:0065007 (biological regulation) of profile 11, 315 (77.40%) DEGs were enriched in GO:0071704 (organic substance metabolic process), and 307 (75.43%) DEGs were enriched in GO:0044237 (cellular metabolic process) of profile 9 (Supplementary Table S6). The KEGG pathway (Supplementary Figure S2) analysis revealed that the results of profiles 11 and 9 coincided with those of “Gannong NO.3,” most DEGs in profile 18 were enriched in ko01100 (metabolic pathways) and ko04626 (plant-pathogen interaction).

**Function Enrichment Analysis of the Two Key Modules**

To analyze the biological function of the genes from dark red and midnight blue modules, we utilized GO enrichment and KEGG pathways to classify the genes. The results of top 20 GO showed that the genes in the dark red (Supplementary Table S7) module were enriched in GO:0044699 (single-organism process), GO:0044763 (single-organism cellular process), GO:0044710 (single-organism metabolic process), GO:0050896 (response to stimulus), and GO:0044444 (cytoplasmic part), which coincide with Supplementary Table S5. The genes of the midnight blue module were enriched in GO:0050896 (response to stimulus), GO:0044444 (cytoplasmic part), GO:0044281 (small molecule metabolic process), GO:0009536 (plastid). Importantly, the KEGG pathway (Supplementary Figure S3) analysis showed that most genes in the two modules were enriched in ko01100 and ko01110, which is consistent with the results of KEGG pathway in “Gannong NO.3” (Supplementary Figure S1).

**Validation of the Hub Genes of DEGs in Two Modules of the Key Pathway in KEGG**

To validate the hub genes as DEGs, we set the cutoff as $|\log_{2} (\text{fold change})| \geq 1$ and $p < 0.05$ to screen the DEGs. There
were 7,245 DEGs identified in Figure 7A, and we overlapped the DEGs and the genes in the two modules. A total of 338 genes were observed between all DEGs and the dark red module, furthermore we chose the top 10 genes with relatively high connectivity values in the module as candidate hub genes (all.kWithin value, Figure 7B; Table 1). The results of the hub genes demonstrated that MS.gene011170 was one of the most important genes in the dark red module with highest connectivity value; its gene name is ABCC8 [ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter C family member 8], which belongs
to the ABC transporter C subfamily. MS.gene68529 (ABC transporter C family member 3 isoform X2, ABCC3), as another important candidate hub gene, also belongs to the ABC transporter C family, which indicates that the ABC transporter C subfamily may play an important role in freezing stress. However, there were only 80 DEGs identified between the genes of entire set and the midnight blue module (Figure 7A). And the top 10 genes as candidate hub genes which based on its top 10 of all.kWithin values showed that MS.gene00859 (BGLU47, beta-glucosidase 46) was one of the most critical genes activated during freezing stress (Figure 7B; Table 1).

**Freezing Stress Induces Numerous DEGs in the ABC Family**

The ABC transporter family is one of the largest transporter families in living organisms because of its important physiological functions (Nguyen et al., 2014). In our study, totally of 80 DEGs belonged to the ABC gene family, and they were grouped into seven subfamilies (Figure 8). A heat map of 80 DEGs indicates (Figure 8) that four genes (5.00%) belong to ABC subfamily A, 11 genes (13.75%) belong to ABC subfamily B, 39 genes (48.75%) belong to ABC subfamily C, one gene (1.25%) belongs to ABC subfamily E, five genes (6.25%) belong to ABC subfamily F, 17 genes (21.25%) belong to ABC subfamily G, and three genes (3.75%) belong to ABC subfamily I. Obviously, ABC subfamily C plays a critical role in freezing stress response.

Furthermore, we also compared the 39 genes of ABC subfamily C to the database of the WGCNA, which indicated that there were 17 genes included in the database (Table 2). And analyzed the relatively high connectivity values of 17 genes, the results indicated that the highest values of genes were MS.gene011170 and MS.gene68529 that could be acted as hub genes of ABC subfamily C in all modules (All.kTotal value), which coincides with the results of Table 1.

**Expression Profiles of the Putative Complex Network of Signal Transduction**

Freezing stress could cause osmotic stress in plant cells and rapidly trigger Ca$^{2+}$ signal transduction, which could induce
several Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signal transduction-related genes expressed (Gong et al., 2020). In this study, CaMs/CMLs, CAMATs CBLs, CIPKs, CPKs, and MAPKs were induced during the freezing stress (Figure 9). Furthermore, most of the DEGs of the CaMs/CMLs-CAMATs increased over the freezing time and then decreased. And freezing stress induced the expression of most genes in G2 and W2. In contrast, only three (MS.gene036729, MS.gene047644, and MS.gene074100) decreased from G0 to G2 and W0 to W1 as well as increased to G3 and W3.

Interestingly, the similar change of CBLs-CIPK for “Gannong NO.3” showed a kick point at G2, but that of “WL326GZ” was at W1. Whereas, there only were three DEGs belonged to CIPKs. And all DEGs consistently increased at G2 and W2 and then decreased. Furthermore, CIPKs could activated the MAPK cascade expressed to regulate the cold responses in plants. In our study, the changes of the MS.genes 56787, 99208, 011812, 56784, 56785, and 90503 genes belongs to MAPK cascade which were decreased from G0 to G2 and increased at G3; whereas in “WL326GZ,” the genes were increased from W0 to W2 and then decreased at W3. And the variation of the other DEGs was similar between “Gannong NO.3” and “WL326GZ.” Importantly, the DEGs of “WL326GZ” showed a greater expression than those of “Gannong NO.3.” And all the DEGs of CaMs-CAMTAs, CBLs-CIPKs, and the MAPK cascade were induced via CBFs/DREB1s (C-repeat binding factors/ADERE binding factors).

### TABLE 1 | The details of 10 hub genes from the two key modules.

| Gene name       | All.kWithin | Description                                      |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Darkred module  |             |                                                  |
| MS.gene011170   | 148.03      | ABC transporter C family member 8                |
| MS.gene013945   | 143.24      | 4-alpha-glucanotransferase DPE2                  |
| MS.gene654405   | 142.70      | Clathrin interactor EPSIN 3                      |
| MS.gene67888    | 138.31      | Very-long-chain aldehyde decarboxylase CER3-like isoform X1 |
| MS.gene053889   | 136.06      | Peptide/nitrate transporter                      |
| MS.gene69193    | 130.04      | Lipoxigenase 6, chloroplastic                    |
| MS.gene024276   | 123.06      | Light-regulated protein 1, chloroplastic         |
| MS.gene018353   | 120.55      | Pathogenesis-related protein PR-1                |
| MS.gene06529    | 119.15      | ABC transporter C family member 3 isoform X2     |
| MS.gene62010    | 118.06      | Ferric reduction oxidase 7, chloroplastic        |
| Midnightblue module |          |                                                  |
| MS.gene00859    | 24.24       | Beta-glucosidase 46                              |
| MS.gene94034    | 21.13       | D-pinitol dehydrogenase                          |
| MS.gene41702    | 19.69       | NAC transcription factor 47                      |
| MS.gene53801    | 18.02       | B2 protein                                       |
| MS.gene071258   | 17.81       | Serine protease SPPA, chloroplastic              |
| MS.gene35363    | 16.41       | Histone deacetylase HDT1                         |
| MS.gene21477    | 14.10       | Cytochrome P450 family ent-kaurenico acid oxidase |
| MS.gene028913   | 13.53       | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase RMA1H1               |
| MS.gene060330   | 13.09       | Pyrophosphate-energized vacuolar membrane proton pump |
| MS.gene04230    | 12.80       | Probable aminotransferase TAT2 isoform X1        |

### TABLE 2 | The results of overlapped genes between ABC subfamily genes and differentially expressed genes (DEGs).

| Gene ID          | Module       | All.kTotal |
|------------------|--------------|------------|
| MS.gene011170    | Darkred      | 719.03     |
| MS.gene68529     | Darkred      | 616.07     |
| MS.gene006196    | Green        | 585.84     |
| MS.gene59189     | Green        | 557.94     |
| MS.gene00301     | Green        | 541.91     |
| MS.gene066239    | Lightyellow  | 541.50     |
| MS.gene52055     | Lightyellow  | 487.90     |
| MS.gene52408     | Green        | 484.86     |
| MS.gene34800     | Darkred      | 452.56     |
| MS.gene043309    | Lightyellow  | 390.25     |
| MS.gene81377     | Turquoise    | 357.94     |
| MS.gene005606    | Dark red     | 305.37     |
| MS.gene039615    | Lightyellow  | 294.07     |
| MS.gene97154     | Lightyellow  | 269.99     |
| MS.gene72055     | Darkred      | 144.65     |
| MS.gene66210     | Darkred      | 91.06      |
| MS.gene002714    | Lightgreen   | 75.99      |

FIGURE 8 | Heat maps of the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) family genes in the alfalfas from RNA-seq. (A) ABC Subfamily A, (B) ABC Subfamily B, (C) ABC Subfamily C, (D) ABC Subfamily E, (E) ABC Subfamily F, (F) ABC Subfamily G, and (G) ABC Subfamily I.
FIGURE 9 | Hypothetical schematic diagram for the mechanism of tolerance to abrupt freezing stress in alfalfa. Freezing stress induced calcium signal transduction through CaMs, CMLs, CBLs, and CPKs. CPKs directly responded to the signal transduction and active MAPKs were involved in the downstream CBFs/DREB1 expression. CaMs and CBLs interacted with CAMTAs and CIPKs, respectively, and regulated the genes of CBFs/DREB1. CBF1, CBF2, and CBF3 triggered an expression of COR genes, which resulted in an increase in the activities of SOD, POD, CAT, and APX. We hypothesize that the hub gene ABCC3 was involved in the MAPK signal pathway and regulated the related genes of CBFs expression. This may have triggered the COR genes and led to an increase in antioxidant enzymes that counteracted abrupt freezing stress in the alfalfa leaves. The dashed arrows indicate that the mechanism is unclear, and CBF2 reduced the expression of CBF1 and CBF3.

dehydrate responsive element binding factors) to confer freezing stress.

Expression of CBFs/DREB1s Related Genes Induced by Abrupt Freezing

The CBF/DREB1 network of genes is important in mitigating freezing stress (Cheng et al., 2007), especially CBF1/DREB1B, CBF2/DREB1C, and CBF3/DREB1A (Ullah et al., 2017). In our study, the expression of CBF1/DREB1B, CBF2/DREB1C, and CBF3/DREB1A genes in alfalfa leaves was higher after freezing stress (Figure 9) and was associated with the expression of COR genes. Importantly, the most genes of CBFs/DREB1 of “WL326GZ” were induced earlier than in “Gannong NO.3” leaves.

DISCUSSION

Physiological Responses of Leaves in Two Different Types of Alfalfa to Freezing Stress

Freezing stress induces ROS (e.g., superoxide radicals, hydrogen peroxide, and hydrogen radicals), which damages the cell membrane and increases lipid peroxidation in plants. Plants activate antioxidant defense systems, including SOD, CAT, POD, and APX, to alleviate the damage caused by ROS (Liang et al., 2008). It is demonstrated that the functions of SOD, POD, and APX convert the superoxide radical into hydrogen peroxide, and CAT eliminated hydrogen peroxide (Wang et al., 2009). Recently, several reports have demonstrated that ROS levels are negatively related to stress resistance, and the greater the stress resistance of plants, the stronger the activities of the antioxidant system (Peng et al., 2021). Importantly, our results (Figure 2) are in accordance with this conclusion, as the activities of antioxidant in “Gannong NO.3” leaves were higher than those in “WL326GZ” leaves. Similarly, antioxidant enzyme levels of other freezing tolerant plants have consistently been higher than in their freezing-sensitive counterparts for many species, including maize (Hodges et al., 1997), rice (Huang and Guo, 2005), and chickpeas (Kaur et al., 2009). Furthermore, alfalfa cultivars showed similar trends to those of our study (Wang et al., 2009). Therefore, our conclusions suggest that alfalfa acquires freezing tolerance by increasing the activities of antioxidant enzymes in the ROS scavenging system.

Differentially Expressed Genes in Response to Freezing Stress

To explore the changes in abrupt freezing stress-related gene expression in alfalfa cultivars, we transcribed RNA from alfalfa leaves which exposure to −10°C for 0, 0.5, 1, and 2h. In this study, we obtained 121,366 genes by RNA-seq based on the alfalfa reference genome. Our transcription assembly was more efficient than that of other alfalfa studies (Song et al., 2016; Shu et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2019). Furthermore, 7,245 DEGs were identified from the leaves of “Gannong NO.3” and “WL326GZ” exposure to freezing stress. To compare the differences in response to freezing stress in the two alfalfa cultivars, we analyzed the trends of DEGs in “Gannong NO.3” and “WL326GZ” exposure to freezing stress. To compare the differences in response to freezing stress in the two alfalfa cultivars, we analyzed the trends of DEGs in “Gannong NO.3” and “WL326GZ.” These results suggest that metabolic pathways and biosynthesis of secondary metabolites may play a critical role in counteracting freezing stress. Moreover, the GO enrichment results showed DEGs have been enriched in response
to stimulus and stress in biological processes and in the binding and catalytic activities during molecular functions, which were been included in the transcriptomics for several other alfalfa cultivars of freezing stress (Shu et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2019).

**Role of ABC Transporter Family Genes in Abrupt Freezing Tolerance**

The ABC transporter proteins are one of the largest protein families and found in yeast, mammals, and plants (Raichaudhuri, 2016). And several studies have revealed that ABC proteins play a key role in plants involved in diverse processes, including abiotic stress. In plants, eight ABC transporter subfamilies have been classified, subfamilies ABAA-G and ABCI (Wanke and Üner Kolukisaoglu, 2010). In our study, we identified 80 DEGs belonging to the ABC family, which were grouped into seven subfamilies exclude ABCD subfamily (Figure 8). The evidence showed that ABCD subfamily transporters are involved in the development of related peroxisomes in *Caenorhabditis elegans*, which have been previously found in insects (Tian et al., 2017); thus, there were no ABCD subfamily genes induced in our study. Interestingly, there were 39 genes (48.75%) belonging to the ABCC subfamily, which suggests that this subfamily may play a critical role in freezing tolerance. Importantly, as Raichaudhuri (2016) reported that the ABCC subfamily is one of the most important subfamilies of ABC transporters based on it can transport many types of glutathione conjugates in vacuolar membranes. And ABCCs have been identified as glutathione-S (GS) conjugate pumps, which can transport endogenous substances and chlorophyll catabolites as well as regulate the ion channel (Wanke and Üner Kolukisaoglu, 2010). Thus, the ABCB subfamily occupies an important position in response to freezing stress.

To analyze the hub genes as DEGs in alfalfa leaves in response to freezing stress, we obtained two hub genes belonging to the ABCB subfamily (Table 1), *ABCC8* and *ABCC3*. Multiple *ABCC8* genes have been observed in plants, such as *AtABCC8* (Sánchez-Fernández et al., 2001), *OsABCC8* (Jasinski et al., 2003), *FvABCC8* (Shi et al., 2020), and *TaABCC8* (Bhati et al., 2015). However, only a few studies have reported the function of *ABCC8* genes in plants. Recently, *SmABCC8* was found to be involved in the transportation of substances in leaves (Yan et al., 2021). Moreover, *OsABCC8* has been identified as a homolog of *AtABCC4* in rice, which is associated with the response of guard cell plasma membrane ion channels to abiotic stress (Saha et al., 2015). Therefore, we hypothesize that *ABCC8* has a similar function in alfalfa.

In addition, recent studies have shown that *ZmMPR3 (ZmABCC3)* participates in the biosynthesis of anthocyanin (Rea, 2007), and overexpression of *AtABCC3* improves tolerance to bleomycin (Li et al., 2021) and SA. Moreover, *ABCC3* is also associated with the MAPK signaling pathway (Guo et al., 2015; Jin et al., 2020), which can be resistant to biotic (Guo et al., 2019), and thus is important for adaptation to environmental changes (Brunetti et al., 2015; Saha et al., 2015; Su et al., 2021). Therefore, the alfalfa *ABCC3* may help regulate downstream genes via the MAPK signal pathway to contribute to freezing tolerance (Figure 9).

**Ca2+ Signal Transduction Components Trigger Downstream CBF/DREB1 Gene Expression for Resistance to Freezing Stress**

Calcium ions (Ca2+) act as the second messenger involved in a wide range of biological processes in eukaryotic cells and plays a key role in counteracting cold stress. Interestingly, in alfalfa, Orvar et al. (2000) reported that cold stress-induced rigidification at microdomains on the plasma membrane results in a rearrangement of the cytoskeleton; this leads to stretch-sensitive Ca2+ channels and elevates the concentration of Ca2+ in the cytosol, which stimulates expression of cold-induced genes to resist stress. Similar Ca2+ signals were induced in this study. Ca2+ signals are relayed or respond to Ca2+ sensor proteins (Ogunrinde et al., 2017), including CaMs, CMLs, and CBLs belonging to sensor relays, and CPKs/CDPKs that acted as sensor responders in this study. CaMs/CMLs and CBLs do not have any catalytic activity; their functions need to be combined with biomolecular interactions. For example, CaMs combine with calmodulin-binding transcriptional activators (CAMTAs) and CBL-interacting protein kinases (CIPKs) to relay Ca2+ transients for phosphorylation (Viswanathan and Zhu, 2002; Marcec et al., 2019), and CMLs can interact with many types of proteins (Perochon et al., 2011).

We found that the CaMs/CMLs-CAMTAs DEGs increased with prolonged freezing stress and then decreased. Thus, CaMs-CAMTAs may positively regulate freezing tolerance. Our results are consistent with those of other studies (Kim et al., 2013; Yuan et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2020). CAMTAs positively regulate CBFs and CORs (Kim et al., 2013), thereby increasing the activity of the ROS scavenging system, including POD, CAT, and APX, to defend against freezing stress (Peng et al., 2021). However, the gene expression of CBLs-CIPKs is reportedly more complex than that of CaMs-CAMTAs (Zhang et al., 2020). One CBL can function with one CIPK or multiple CIPKs, and the final function of CIPKs depends on interactions with CBLs, which can participate in multiple biological processes (Tang et al., 2020). Thus, they are expressed in different tissues under various stresses, including cold stress (Yuan et al., 2018). Therefore, several genes of CBLs-CIPKs were expressed in this study. The responses of CPKs/CDPKs proteins to the Ca2+ sensor play an important role in the Ca2+ signals involved in ion channels, metabolic enzymes, and other processes (Yip Delormel and Boudsocq, 2019). In alfalfa, CPKs/CDPKs prevent cold-induced gene expression, preventing plants from adapting to environmental changes (Monroy et al., 1993); this is consistent with our results. The expression of CPKs in cold-sensitive “WL326GZ” increased more rapidly than in cold-resistant “Gannong NO.3,” ultimately resulting in a much higher expression in the former. In addition, CDPKs can induce the MAPK pathways in response to cold stress (Sangwan et al., 2002; Lv et al., 2018). MAPK cascades are key in alleviating adverse environmental conditions. For example, many MAPKs are involved in the cascade process (Zhang and Klessig, 2001); MMK4 in alfalfa is induced under cold stress (Jonak et al., 1996); and MPK3 and MPK6 reduce CBF gene expression to negatively regulate cold resistance (Zhao et al., 2017). Similar
to these reports, we found that several MAPKs were induced by abrupt freezing stress. And Sangwan et al. (2002) demonstrated that cold shock-induced MAPKs in alfalfa to resist freezing tolerance, which is consistent with our results. Overall, the components of Ca²⁺ signal transduction triggered downstream CBFs/DREB1 gene expression in alfalfa to ensure resistance to freezing stress (Figure 9).

CBF/DREB1 belongs to the APETAL2/ethylene-responsive factor (AP2/ERF) transcription factor family (Yang et al., 2009), which is also critical in mitigating cold stress based on initially induced cold response transcript genes in a transcriptional cascade (Kidokoro et al., 2017); moreover, it plays a key role in facilitating COR and CBF gene expression (Yang et al., 2009). In Arabidopsis, CBF1 (DREB1B), CBF2 (DREB1C), and CBF3 (DREB1A) were rapidly induced under cold stress, and overexpression of CBF1, CBF2, and CBF3 increased freezing tolerance after exposure to freezing shock stress (Park et al., 2020). Moreover, alfalfa CBFs have been identified as key regulators of freezing tolerance (Anower et al., 2016). In this study, the expression levels of CBF2 and CBF3 genes initially increased at 1 h of freezing stress and then decreased (Figure 9). This dynamic has been identified in Arabidopsis (Daniel et al., 2003). The expression levels of CBF2 and CBF3 in “WL326GZ” were higher and occurred earlier than those in “Gannong NO.3,” possibly because the former is sensitive to abrupt freezing stress. This is accordance with our observed trends for the antioxidant enzyme activities. However, our results are contrary to the cold acclimation mechanism of alfalfa (Chen et al., 2015). This indicates that the abrupt freezing stress response mechanism is different from that of cold acclimation.

In summary, we compared the leaves of the freeze-tolerant genotype “Gannong NO.3” and the freeze-sensitive genotype “WL326GZ” after exposure to abrupt freezing stress and evaluated their physiology and transcriptome. Our results suggest that the ABCC subfamily plays an important role in tolerance to abrupt freezing stress in alfalfa. Moreover, we demonstrated that ABCC8 and ABCC3 are the key genes in counteracting freezing stress, and further studies should explore the exact functions of these genes. A simple working module is illustrated in Figure 9. The expression levels of the Ca²⁺ signal transduction and CBF/DREB1 related genes demonstrate their critical role in counteracting freezing stress. Additionally, they may be associated with the higher antioxidant enzyme activities (SOD, POD, CAT, and APX activities) in “Gannong NO.3” than in “WL326GZ” (Figure 9). This could explain why “WL326GZ” is more sensitive to abrupt changes in temperature than “Gannong NO.3,” Moreover, high antioxidant activities early in the stress exposure were associated with high expression levels of genes related to freezing tolerance, even though the duration of the high antioxidant activities was short. Our results provide new insights into the mechanisms of abrupt freezing tolerance in alfalfa.
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