Nonlinear Maximum Power Point Tracking Control of Wind Turbine Based on Two-Mass Model Without Anemometer

Jian Chen *, Qun Lu , Libing Chen, Xiaohui Duan , Boping Yang, Wenyong Duan and Lanhong Zhang

School of Electrical Engineering, Yancheng Institute of Technology, Yancheng, China

A nonlinear control without using anemometer is proposed to achieve the maximum power of the wind turbine (WT) based on two-mass model in this paper. To track the maximum power points, the optimal tip speed ratio control strategy requiring to know the optimal rotor speed of the WT (ORS) is employed. To achieve the ORS, a torque observer is designed to estimate the aerodynamic torque, then the ORS can be obtained by the corresponding calculations based on the estimated torque. Due to the high nonlinearities of the WT and time-varying wind speed, a nonlinear control based on feedback linearization control (FLC) is adopted to track the ORS. In the FLC, the WT is linearized firstly, then the rotor speed controller is designed via linear control technique. The effectiveness of the proposed control strategy is verified by simulation studies. The simulation results show that, compared with the traditional PI control based on torque estimation and FLC based on wind speed estimation, the proposed control strategy provides better dynamic performances and higher power conversion efficiency.
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1 INTRODUCTION

With the increasingly serious energy crisis and environmental problems, renewable clean energy such as wind energy, solar energy and hydrogen energy have attracted more and more attention (Liu et al., 2020; Peng et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021). Among them, due to large reserves and high conversion efficiency, the total installed capacity of wind turbine (WT) is much higher than that of other renewable energy sources (Wang et al., 2020; Xiong et al., 2021). In order to reduce the relatively high operation cost, it is necessary to improve the conversion efficiency of wind power system. More than 50% energy of a typical wind turbine is captured in the operation area below the rated wind speed (Huang et al., 2015). Therefore, it is necessary to effectively improve the efficiency of wind energy conversion through the maximum power point tracking (MPPT) control strategy in this operation area (Yang et al., 2016).

The essence of the MPPT control strategy is to make the WT always operate under the optimal tip speed ratio (OTSR) (Yang et al., 2018). The traditional MPPT control strategies mainly include hill climb searching (HCS) (Youssef et al., 2019), power signal feedback (PSF) (also known as optimal torque method) (Zhang et al., 2019) and OTSR (Chen et al., 2019b). However, the general MPPT control method using HCS is mainly suitable for small and medium-sized WTs, not for large inertia
WTs. The PSF control method usually needs offline training, real-time wind speed information statistics and so on. Compared with the MPPT control strategy of HCS and PSF, the MPPT control strategy based on OTSR directly adjusts the speed according to the speed error, which can obtain faster response speed (Mousa et al., 2021). The traditional OTSR needs to obtain the optimal rotor speed (ORS) through the real-time wind speed. However, in practical application, the wind speed measured by an anemometer cannot accurately represent the effective wind speed acting on the WT. Therefore, the acquisition of accurate optimal rotor speed is one of the important factors for the efficient implementation of MPPT control based on OTSR. In order to achieve the ORS, a Newton-Rafson iteration method (Mérida et al., 2014), a method based on adaptive neuro fuzzy inference system (Golmary and Moradi, 2018), and a non-standard extended Kalman filter-based estimator (Song et al., 2017) are adopted to obtain the estimated wind speed. In this paper, in order to obtain the accurate ORS, a high-gain observer investigated in Chen et al. (2014), Chen et al. (2019b), and Lu et al. (2020) is employed to estimate the aerodynamic torque accurately. Then, the accurate ORS can be obtained based on the estimated aerodynamic torque. The high-gain observer has been successfully used in power system (Chen et al., 2014) and permanent magnet synchronous motor (Chen et al., 2019a), and provides satisfactory estimation ability and strong robustness.

In order to obtain the maximum wind energy from time-varying wind speed, effective control methods need to be adopted after obtaining the accurate ORS. The traditional PI control is widely used in industry because of its simple design and high reliability. However, due to the high nonlinearities of WT and time-varying wind speed, the traditional PI control designed based on a certain operating point cannot provide satisfactory dynamic performance, which reduces the wind energy conversion efficiency. To overcome the shortcomings of traditional PI control and improve wind energy conversion efficiency, a feedback linearization control (FLC) has successfully realized the maximum wind energy capture of permanent magnet synchronous generator (Chen et al., 2019b). Meanwhile, FLC techniques have been widely used in power system, permanent magnet synchronous motor and power electronics. In this paper, in order to obtain the maximum wind energy capture of WT based on two-mass model and avoid using anemometer, the FLC based MPPT control strategy and aerodynamic torque observer will be proposed. Firstly, the aerodynamic torque observer based on the high-gain observer is designed to obtain the accurate aerodynamic torque, so as to obtain the accurate ORS. Then, the WT with two-mass model is transformed into an equivalent linear system. Finally, the ORS is tracked by the designed linear speed controller. In the simulation studies, in order to verify the effectiveness of the proposed control strategy, it will compare with the traditional PI control and FLC based on wind speed estimation (FLC-WE).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the model of WT and problem formulation are briefly recalled. Meanwhile, the design of aerodynamic torque observer and wind speed estimation technique are presented in this section. The design of the proposed MPPT control scheme is presented in Section 3. In Section 4, simulation studies are conducted to verify the performances of the proposed FLC based on torque estimation (FLC-TE), and compared with the traditional PI control and FLC-WE. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

2 TWO-MASS MODEL OF WIND TURBINE AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

2.1 Two-Mass Model of Wind Turbine

The state-space model of the WT (Boukhezzar and Siguerdidjane, 2011) can be obtained as

\[
\dot{x} = f(x) + g(x)u = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x) \\ f_2(x) \\ f_3(x) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} g_{11} \\ g_{21} \\ g_{31} \end{bmatrix}u
\]

where

\[
f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x) \\ f_2(x) \\ f_3(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
g_{11} = 0, \quad g_{21} = -\frac{1}{J_g}, \quad g_{31} = \frac{D_i}{N_i F_g},
\]

\[
a_{11} = \frac{K_t}{J_i}, \quad a_{21} = 0, \quad a_{31} = K_g - \frac{D_i K_t}{J_g},
\]

\[
a_{12} = 0, \quad a_{22} = -\frac{K_s}{J_g}, \quad a_{32} = -\frac{1}{N_i} \left( K_g - \frac{D_i K_t}{J_g} \right),
\]

\[
a_{13} = -\frac{1}{J_i}, \quad a_{23} = \frac{1}{N_i J_g}, \quad a_{33} = -D_i \left( \frac{J_i + N_i^2 F_g}{N_i^2 F_g} \right),
\]

\[
a_{14} = \frac{1}{J_x}, \quad a_{24} = 0, \quad a_{34} = \frac{D_s}{J_x},
\]

\[
x = [\omega_r, \omega_g, T_{th}]^T, \quad u = T_{th}, \quad y = h(x) = \omega_r
\]

where, \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3, u \in \mathbb{R}^1, y \in \mathbb{R}^1 \) are state vector, input vector and output vector, respectively; \( f(x), g(x) \) and \( h(x) \) are smooth vector fields. \( J_g \) is the generator inertia, \( D_i \) is the low-speed shaft stiffness, \( N_i \) is the gearbox ratio, \( K_g \) is the rotor eternal damping, \( K_s \) is the generator eternal damping, \( K \) is the low-speed shaft damping, \( J_i \) is the rotor inertia, \( \omega_r \) is the rotor speed, \( \omega_g \) is the generator speed, \( T_{th} \) is the low-speed shaft torque, and \( T_{th} \) is the generator torque. It comes then that

\[
J_i \omega_r = T_{th} - K_i \omega_r - T_{th}
\]

where \( J_i = J_i + N_i^2 F_g \) and \( K_i = K_i + N_i^2 K_g \).

2.2 MPPT Control Strategy Based on OTSR and Aerodynamic Torque Estimation Technique

In this paper, an MPPT controller based on OTSR is used to capture the maximum wind power. To achieve this objective, the maximum power coefficient \( C_{pmax} \) should be achieved. It is
obtained when the TSR $\lambda$ keeps at its optimal value $\lambda_{\text{opt}}$. $\lambda_{\text{opt}}$ can be achieved if the rotor speed $\omega_r$ can track its optimal reference $\omega_{\text{ref}}$ (ORS), which can be calculated as

$$\omega_{\text{ref}} = \frac{\lambda_{\text{opt}} V}{R}$$

(3)

In Eq. 3, the $\omega_{\text{ref}}$ (ORS) has a linear relationship with wind speed when the $\lambda_{\text{opt}}$ is constant. However, in practical application, the effective wind speed acting on the WT cannot accurately obtained (Ren et al., 2016). To obtain the wind speed, it can be estimated by using the Newton-Raphson method (Ren et al., 2016). In this paper, to achieve the ORS, the aerodynamic torque is estimated by a designed torque observer firstly. The aerodynamic torque observer is designed based on the high-gain observer theory mentioned in Chen et al. (2014), Chen et al. (2019a), and Chen et al. (2019b). The high-gain observer theory has successfully applied in power system, permanent magnet synchronous generator and permanent magnet synchronous motor, which provides satisfactory performance for perturbation estimation. The detailed design process of the observer can refer to the literature mentioned above. According to Eq. 2 and high-gain observer theory, the aerodynamic torque observer is designed as follows. Carry out the input/output linearization of system (2)

$$\dot{y} = F_1(x) + B_1(x)u$$

(4)

where

$$F_1(x) = \frac{1}{f_t} (T_a - K_\omega \omega_r)$$

(5)

$$B_1(x) = -\frac{1}{f_t}$$

(6)

and the relative degree is $r_t = 1$.

The following observer is designed to estimate the perturbation $\tilde{F}_1(x)$:

$$\begin{align*}
\dot{\hat{y}} &= \tilde{F}_1(x) + l_{11} (\omega_r - \hat{y}) + B_1(x)T_\epsilon \\
\tilde{F}_1(x) &= l_{12} (\omega_r - \hat{y})
\end{align*}$$

(7)

where the gains are designed as $l_{ij} = \frac{\omega_r}{c_i}, i = 1, j = 1, r_t + 1$. $\epsilon$ is a scalar chosen to be within $(0,1)$ for representing times of the time-dynamics between the observer and the real system, and parameters $a_{ij}, j = 1, \ldots, r_t + 1$, are chosen so that the roots of

$$s^{r_{t+1}} + a_{1s} + \cdots + a_{r_{t+1}} = 0$$

(8)

are in the open left-half complex plane.

According Eq. 5 and the estimation of $F_1(x)$ obtained from designed observer (7), the aerodynamic torque can be estimated as

$$\tilde{T}_a = J \tilde{F}_1(x) + K_\omega \omega_r$$

(9)

The aerodynamic torque is expressed as

$$T_a = K_{\text{opt}} \omega_r^2, K_{\text{opt}} = \frac{1}{2} \rho \pi R^2 C_{p\text{max}} / \lambda_{\text{opt}}^3$$

(10)

According to Eq. 10, the estimation of the ORS can be obtained as

$$\hat{\omega}_r = \left( \frac{T_a}{K_{\text{opt}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

(11)

2.3 MPPT Control Strategy Based on OTSR and Wind Speed Estimation Technique

To achieve the effective wind speed and avoid using anemometer, the wind speed is estimated by using the Newton-Raphson method (Mérida et al., 2014; Ren et al., 2016).

The wind speed estimator is realized by minimizing the cost function $J(t, V)$

$$J(t, V) = (T_a(t) - f_s(V))^2$$

(12)

$$f_s(V) = 0.5 \rho \pi R^2 V^2 C_p(\beta, \lambda) / \omega_r$$

(13)

where $T_a(t)$ is the aerodynamic torque at time $t$, and $f_s(V)$ is the aerodynamic torque function of wind speed $V$.

The problem is equivalent to find the solution of

$$I(t, V) = J(t, V) = T_a(t) - 0.5 \rho \pi R^2 V^2 C_p(\beta, \lambda) / \omega_r = 0$$

(14)

From the partial derivative equation

$$\Delta T_a = \frac{\partial T_a}{\partial V} \Delta V$$

(15)

The iteration form of the estimator can be written as

$$\hat{V} = \Delta T_a \left( \frac{\partial T_a}{\partial V} \right)^{-1}$$

(16)

where

$$\frac{\partial T_a}{\partial V} = -\frac{3}{2} \rho \pi R^2 V^2 C_p(\beta, \lambda) / \omega_r + \frac{1}{2} \rho \pi R^2 V^2 \frac{\partial C_p}{\partial V} / \omega_r$$

$$\frac{\partial C_p}{\partial V} = -\frac{0.22 (178.5 - 14500 \lambda + 5 \beta)}{\omega_r R (\lambda + 0.08 \beta)^2} e^{-12.5 \lambda}$$

At time $t$, the iteration will be performed until

$$I(t, \hat{V}) = T_a(t) - f_s(\hat{V}) < \varepsilon$$

(17)

where $\varepsilon$ is a small value. The estimation of wind speed at time $t$ is then $\hat{V}$.

3 NONLINEAR MPPT CONTROLLER BASED ON FEEDBACK LINEARIZATION CONTROL TECHNIQUE

For system (1), choose the output of the system as $y = h(x) = \omega_r$ and control input $u = T_g$, we have

$$y^{(2)} = F_2(x) + B_2(x)u$$

(18)

where
\[
F_2(x) = \frac{T_s}{J_r} - \frac{(K_r + a34J_r)}{J_r^2} \omega_r + \frac{(K_r^2 - a31J_r)}{J_r^2} \omega_g \\
+ \frac{(K_r - a33J_r)}{J_r^2} T_{ls}
\]

\[B_2(x) = -\frac{g_{31}}{J_r} \]  

where \( B_2(x) \neq 0 \) for all nominal operation points.

The feedback linearization control of system (1) is obtained as

\[
u = B_2(x)^{-1} (-F_2(x) + v) \]  

\[B_2(x)^{-1} = -\frac{J_r}{g_{31}} \]  

And the original system is linearized as

\[
\dot{y} = v \]  

\[
v = y_r + k_1 (y_r - y) + k_2 (\dot{y}_r - \dot{y}) \]  

where, \( v \) is input of linear system, \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are gains of linear controller, and \( y_r = \dot{\omega}_r \) is the desired output reference. Define \( e = y_r - y \) as track error, the error dynamic is

\[
\dot{e} + k_2 \dot{e} + k_1 e = 0 \]  

The final control law represented by physical variables is given as follows:

\[
u = -\frac{J_r N_{eq}}{D_{eq}} [-F_2(x) + k_1 (y_r - y) + k_2 (\dot{y}_r - \dot{y}) + \dot{y}_r] \]  

To clearly illustrate the principle of the proposed control strategy for the WT system, an overall control block diagram is shown in Figure 1.

4 SIMULATION RESULTS

In simulation studies, to verify the performance of the proposed FLC-TE, it compares with the traditional PI control and FLC-S. The detailed parameters of the WT are given in Boukhezzar and Siguerdidjane (2011). The parameters of the designed observer are \( \alpha_{11} = 3.2 \times 10^2 \), \( \alpha_{12} = 2.56 \times 10^4 \), \( \epsilon_1 = 0.02 \). The controller parameters are \( k_1 = 25 \), \( k_2 = 10 \).

It can be seen from Figure 2 that, the FLC-TE achieves the best tracking performance among these three controllers. The worst performance is obtained by the traditional PI control. It is because that the PI control designed based on one operation point cannot provide optimal performance during time-varying operation points. The FLC-S achieve a worse tracking performance than the FLC-TE. Although the Newton-Raphson method can be used to estimate wind speed, it requires accurate system model. The inaccurate system model may result in large estimation error. The \( C_p \) cannot maintain around its maximum value \( C_{p\max} \) when...
the rotor cannot be well tracked. Figure 3 shows that the FLC-TE and PI achieve the highest and lowest efficiency, respectively. In Figure 4, the aerodynamic torque \( T_a \) is well estimated by the designed observer in most of the time, which is always around its optimal value \( T_{\text{a, opt}} = K_{\text{opt}} \omega_{\text{ref}}^2 \). When the wind speed varies rapidly, it exists the estimation error of \( T_a \). This is mainly due to the WT has large inertia, which cannot immediately respond to the variation of wind speed.

5 CONCLUSION

In this paper, a FLC-TE has been proposed to realize the MPPT control of the WT. In the proposed control strategy, a high-gain observer is designed to estimate aerodynamic torque, then the ORS can be obtained through the estimated aerodynamic torque. The FLC technique is employed to linearize the WT system, then a linear speed controller is designed for rotor speed regulation. Among the traditional PI, FLC-WE and FLC-TE controllers, the proposed FLC-TE achieves the best dynamic performance and highest efficiency. In the future work, a nonlinear adaptive control based on perturbation estimation technique will be investigated to improve the robustness of the FLC-TE against parameter uncertainties and disturbances.
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