INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes is a progressive chronic condition affecting over 460 million adults globally and is associated with significantly increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and mortality.\textsuperscript{1,2} CVD costs the National Health Service (NHS) approximately £9 billion a year, with much higher costs to wider UK economy.\textsuperscript{3} A growing body of evidence from clinical trials has shown that biochemical remission of diabetes, defined as a level of glycaemia below a diagnostic threshold (HbA\textsubscript{1c} < 6.5% or 48 mmol/mol) in the absence of...
pharmacological or surgical intervention, is achievable through lifestyle management. In the Look AHEAD study, participants who achieved weight loss during the intensive lifestyle intervention experienced reduced cardiovascular events over a median follow-up of 9.6 years. However, 15% of participants with well-controlled diabetes and poor self-reported general health experienced increased risk of CVD events and CVD-related mortality. Averaged across 4 years, intensive lifestyle intervention participants had a greater percentage of weight loss than diabetes support and education participants; however, in participants without CVD at baseline, CVD events were reduced by 14% (274 vs 240; 1.42 vs 1.23%) while those with CVD (14%) experienced an increase in CVD events of 13% (144 vs 163; 5.92 vs 6.56%), although this difference was statistically insignificant. Recently, the Association of British Clinical Diabetologists (ABCD) and the Primary Care Diabetes Society (PCDS) have acknowledged that any weight loss—including unintentional weight loss—may contribute to remission. It is likely that remission may be associated with reduced risk of cardiovascular events for some people; however, for others (eg those with pre-existing CVD or cancers) weight loss and remission may be harmful and associated with adverse events.

There is currently a lack of studies exploring whether the association between remission and CVD risk varies by certain subgroups. It could be valuable to understand determinants that predict CVD events in people who achieve remission to allow interventions to be targeted and personalised. In the present study, we therefore sought to examine the remission–CVD link overall and in pre-defined subgroups using a large population-based cohort with established type 2 diabetes from routine clinical care.

2 | PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study population

We used data from the Care and Health Information Analytics database (CHIA), a pseudo-anonymised live electronic database linking routinely collected primary care data for approximately 1.5 million people from 150 general practitioner (GP) practices across Hampshire and Isle of Wight (Southern England, UK) with clinical biochemistry data from local hospital laboratories. We identified a cohort of 60,715 adults (aged 18–85 years) over 7 years who were coded for type 2 diabetes based on the Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) Read code criteria prior to 1 January 2013, and who had continuously recorded electronic records over seven years from the 1 January 2013 to 1 April 2020.

2.2 | Exposure definition

Remission (at any point during the first two years of the study period: 1 January 2013–2031 December 2015) was defined as two consecutive HbA1c levels <48 mmol/mol (6.5%) measurements separated by a minimum period of 6 months in the absence of diabetes medications (assessed in 6-month intervals) or bariatric surgery.

2.3 | Baseline characteristics

Baseline data on sociodemographic characteristics such as age, sex and ethnicity (White, Black, Asian, Mixed and other), socioeconomic status (defined using the 2019 Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) quintiles, a small-area measure of socioeconomic status, ranked nationally and comprises seven domains: income, employment, education/skills/training, health and disability, crime, barriers to housing and services, and living environment) were available. Clinical variables at baseline included the following: comorbidities (defined from diagnostic codes from existing QOF conditions—coronary heart disease, chronic kidney disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), asthma, cancer, dementia, atrial fibrillation, epilepsy, heart failure, stroke, peripheral vascular disease, hypertension, osteoporosis, osteoarthritis and depression), frailty (defined using the electronic frailty index score), latest smoking status, weight and biochemistry measures (eg glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c), total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, eGFR).

2.4 | Outcomes

The outcomes of interest were CVD outcomes including events (defined as a composite of myocardial infarction (MI), amputation and stroke), microvascular complications (comprising peripheral neuropathy, retinopathy and nephropathy) and macrovascular complications (comprising stroke, MI, coronary heart disease (CHD), peripheral arterial disease (PAD) or amputation) occurring between years 2 and 7 of follow-up. QOF definitions for each diagnosis were used.

2.5 | Statistical analysis

Missing data on biochemistry variables, remission status, baseline weight and IMD were assumed to be missing at random and multiply imputed using chained equations using STATA SE 16.0. Ten cycles of imputation were used. All imputed data after patient death were recoded as missing. Missing data on ethnicity were recoded as white ethnicity.

Descriptive statistics were used to compare baseline sociodemographic and clinical characteristics for individuals who did and those who did not achieve remission during the first 2 years of follow-up. Cox proportional hazards models were fitted to estimate the association between remission in the first 2 years of follow-up and 5-year incidence of CVD events, macrovascular complications and microvascular complications. These models included...
only those alive at the end of the first 2 years of follow-up. The mid-point of the quarter of death was used as exact date of death was not available. Multivariable models were adjusted based on a priori reasoning for age, sex, ethnicity, IMD, pre-existing CVD, BMI, diabetes duration, number of comorbidities and clustering within practices (all at baseline). We additionally adjusted for last observed BMI and hypertension status. We then modelled interaction terms between remission and age (<45, 45–54, 55–64, 75–84, 85+), sex (male, female), diabetes duration (<5, 5–<10, 10–<20, 20+ years), pre-existing CVD (no/yes; defined as a composite of myocardial infarction (MI), amputation, and stroke), baseline BMI (underweight (<18.5kg/m²), normal (18.5–24.9kg/m²), overweight (25–29.9kg/m²), obese (≥30kg/m²)), baseline HbA1c (<6.5%) (48mmol/mol); 6.5–8% (48–63.9 mmol/mol); 8–9% (64–74.9 mmol/mol); >9% (75+mmol/mol) and number of comorbidities (0, 1–2, 3+) in models additionally adjusting for ethnicity and IMD. Cox regression models stratified by subgroups identified as statistically different were fitted. Analyses were repeated after excluding those with pre-existing CVD, microvascular and macrovascular complications at baseline.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Study cohort characteristics

The study cohort included 60,287 people with type 2 diabetes for whom remission status could be assessed (ie had HbA1c data at baseline and at least one follow-up measurement). The cohort was followed for a mean of 6.8 (SD = 1.3) years. Table 1 summarises baseline characteristics of the cohort. The mean age of the cohort was 64.6 (12.0) years, and mean duration diabetes was 8.1 (6.8) years. Most were male: 34,408 (57.1%) and of white ethnicity: 58,148 (96.5%). A significant proportion had microvascular (18,678 (31.0%)) or macrovascular (113,453 (18.8%)) complications at baseline. During the first 2 years of follow-up, 7489 (12.4%) people achieved remission. Those achieving remission were more likely to be older, female, non-smokers, living in less deprived areas and with a shorter duration of diabetes (Table 1).

3.2 | Remission and CVD outcomes

Three thousand four hundred and eighteen (5.7%), 12121 (20.1%) and 4839 (8.0%) people had a CVD event, microvascular or macrovascular complication during the last 5 years of follow-up. Compared with those who did not, those who achieved remission had a significantly lower risk of CVD (aHR: 0.75 (0.64–0.88)), microvascular complications (aHR: 0.68 (0.62–0.74)) or macrovascular complications (aHR: 0.78 (0.69–0.89)) at 7 years follow-up. Univariate- and multivariate-adjusted associations are presented in Table 2. Similar results were observed amongst those who did not have pre-existing CVD, microvascular or macrovascular complications at baseline.

3.3 | Effect modification of the association between remission and CVD outcomes

Table 3 presents the results of remission status-subgroup variable interactions. There were no significant interaction effects for models on CVD events or macrovascular complications. The association between remission and microvascular complications was modified by age group and number of comorbidities. Stratifying by age group at baseline, remission was associated with lower risk of microvascular complications for all age groups, but this association was stronger for younger groups (eg aHR: 0.59 (0.41–0.84) and aHR: 0.78 (0.67–0.92) for those aged <45 years and 75–84 years, respectively). Stratifying by number of comorbidities, remission was associated with lower risk of microvascular complications for those with 0 or 1–2 comorbidities (aHRs: 0.65 (0.56–0.75) and 0.65 (0.58–0.73), respectively) compared to those with 3+ comorbidities (aHR:0.83 (0.69–0.99)). Associations for the different subgroups are presented in Table 4. Gender, BMI, pre-existing CVD, duration of diabetes and HbA1c level at baseline did not modify the association between remission and microvascular complications. Similar results were observed amongst those who did not have pre-existing CVD, microvascular or macrovascular complications at baseline.

4 | DISCUSSION

In this large population-based cohort of 60,287 people with type 2 diabetes, we found that remission was associated with a substantially lower risk of CVD outcomes including events, microvascular and macrovascular complications. The effect on microvascular complications was modified by age and number of comorbidities. Younger age and fewer comorbidities amongst those achieving remission were associated with a lower risk of microvascular complications. Sex, diabetes duration, pre-existing CVD, BMI and HbA1c level did not modify the remission-CVD association.

This study extends the literature by examining the association between remission (in the absence of medication or surgery) of type 2 diabetes and CVD outcomes. Although the literature around glucose control and CVD outcomes (especially microvascular and macrovascular complications) is mixed, our findings are consistent with a few meta-analyses from clinical trials examining the effect of intensive glucose control on CVD that reported glucose control was associated with a lower risk of CVD. Remission is defined biochemically by lower glucose levels so it is plausible that these findings would be comparable. In contrast with some previous studies, we do not find evidence of effect modification by pre-existing CVD.
### TABLE 1  Baseline characteristics of the CHIA type 2 diabetes remission cohort\(^a\)

| Sociodemographic | All (n = 60,287) | No remission (n = 52,798) | Remission (n = 7489) | \(p\) Value |
|------------------|------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Age, y\(^b\)     | 64.6 (12.0)      | 64.2 (12.0)\(^c\)        | 67.0 (11.4)          | <.001       |
| Male gender, n (%)| 34,408 (57.1)    | 30,453 (57.7)             | 3956 (52.8)          | <.001       |

| Ethnicity, n (%)  |                |                           |                      |             |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| White             | 58,148 (96.5)  | 50,839 (96.2)             | 7309 (97.6)          |             |
| Black             | 217 (0.4)      | 198 (0.4)                 | 19 (0.3)             | .193        |
| Asian             | 1514 (2.5)     | 1395 (2.7)                | 119 (1.6)            | .001        |
| Mixed/Other       | 408 (0.7)      | 366 (0.7)                 | 41 (0.6)             | .189        |

| Socio-economic status, n (%) |                  |                           |                      |             |
|------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Index of multiple deprivation quintile 1 (most deprived) | 7576 (12.6) | 6858 (13.0) | 718 (9.6) | <.001 |
| Index of multiple deprivation quintile 2 | 12,137 (20.1) | 10,695 (20.3) | 1443 (19.3) | <.001 |
| Index of multiple deprivation quintile 3 | 11,457 (19.0) | 10,180 (19.3) | 1276 (17.0) | <.001 |
| Index of multiple deprivation quintile 4 | 13,028 (21.6) | 11,298 (21.4) | 1729 (23.1) | <.001 |
| Index of multiple deprivation quintile 5 (least deprived) | 16,090 (26.7) | 13,767 (26.1) | 2322 (31.0) | <.001 |

| Clinical |                  |                           |                      |             |
|----------|------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Diabetes duration, years (n = 60,138) | 8.1 (6.8) | 8.5 (7.0) | 5.9 (5.2) | <.001 |
| Frailty index (n = 60,244) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | .173 |
| Total number baseline comorbidities, n(%) | 1.3 (1.2) | 1.3 (1.2) | 1.4 (1.2) | <.001 |
| Hypertension, n (%) | 30,868 (51.2) | 26,851 (50.9) | 4017 (53.6) | .001 |
| Stroke, n (%) | 2584 (4.3) | 2245 (4.3) | 339 (4.5) | .449 |
| Myocardial infarction, n (%) | 4208 (7.0) | 3763 (7.1) | 446 (5.9) | .005 |
| Amputation, n (%) | 648 (1.1) | 600 (1.1) | 48 (0.6) | .003 |
| Current smoker, n (%) | 6559 (10.9) | 5838 (11.1) | 721 (9.6) | .003 |
| Microvascular complications | 18,678 (31.0) | 17,210 (32.6) | 1468 (19.6) | <.001 |
| Macrovascular complications | 11,345 (18.8) | 9990 (18.9) | 1355 (18.1) | <.001 |
| Weight, kg\(^a\) | 90.9 (20.7) | 91.3 (20.7) | 88.0 (20.1) | <.001 |
| BMI, kg/m\(^2\) | 31.5 (6.3) | 31.7 (6.3) | 30.6 (6.1) | <.001 |
| Systolic blood pressure, mmHg\(^a\) | 136.1 (15.4) | 136.1 (15.4) | 136.0 (15.4) | .623 |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg\(^a\) | 77.2 (9.4) | 77.3 (9.4) | 76.9 (9.3) | .202 |
| Total cholesterol, mmol/L\(^a\) | 4.6 (1.2) | 4.5 (1.2) | 4.7 (1.2) | <.001 |
| HDL-cholesterol, mmol/L\(^a\) | 1.2 (0.4) | 1.2 (0.3) | 1.3 (0.4) | <.001 |
| HbA\(_{1c}\) level, mmol/mol\(^b\) | 60.1 (20.4) | 60.2 (20.5) | 59.1 (19.5) | .331 |
| eGFR | 73.1(17.1) | 73.2 (17.1) | 72.5 (17.0) | .010 |
| Total number of medications prescribed\(^d\) | 3.9 (2.4) | 4.0 (2.4) | 3.3 (2.3) | <.001 |
| Anti-hypertensive medication, n (%) | 32,509 (53.9) | 28,386 (53.8) | 4123 (55.1) | .115 |
| Lipid-lowering medication, n (%) | 40,992 (68.0) | 36,344 (68.8) | 4648 (62.1) | <.001 |
| Hypoglycaemic medication, n(%) | 41,085 (68.1) | 38,764 (73.4) | 2320 (31.0) | <.001 |

\(^a\)Remission assessed for those with HbA\(_{1c}\) measurement at baseline and at least one HbA\(_{1c}\) measurement in the first two years of the follow-up period, i.e. year 0–2 (n = 60,287). Remission was defined as having two consecutive HbA\(_{1c}\) < 6.5% (48 mmol/mol) measurements separated by a minimum period of 6 months and no oral hypoglycaemics and no history of bariatric surgery.

\(^b\)Mean (SD).

\(^c\)Estimation sample varies across imputations; minimum number of observations reported.

\(^d\)Medication was defined as being prescribed during the first 6 months of the follow-up year (i.e. January-July 2013). Microvascular complications included a composite of peripheral neuropathy, retinopathy and nephropathy. Macrovascular complications include a composite of stroke, MI, coronary heart disease, peripheral arterial disease (PAD) and amputation.
on the association between glucose control and CVD events. Although biochemical remission is comparable to tighter glucose control, differences in results could be explained by hypotheses in the literature, suggesting that the state of remission for at least a few months goes beyond biochemical glucose control to include reduced inflammation, decreased insulin resistance and enhanced gut hormone release, which may contribute to lower CVD risk. It is thought that remission is linked to a reduction in adipokines such as leptin and inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α and several interleukins, as well as an increase in adiponectin concentrations, which reduces several cardio-metabolic risk factors. Other explanations for these differences in the findings may be due to variations in study population such as greater diversity in age and comorbidities in the present study. Our findings on the effect of baseline BMI levels are in line with previous study that reported BMI level did not modify the association between HbA1c and cardiovascular events in a Dutch population. However, this previous study looked at a much smaller population, of whom many had vascular disease at baseline, which may have explained the absence of significant associations.

Strengths of the study include the use of a large observational cohort with extended follow-up, which allowed interaction effects to be examined, as well as the availability of data on co-morbidities, medication and all HbA1c measurements over the seven-year duration of the study period. Limitations include the presence of missing data on variables which may reflect under-testing or under-reporting in routine data. Although we were able to use multiple imputation techniques which provide valid

| TABLE 2 Association between remission and incidence of CVD outcomes over five-year follow-up in the CHIA type 2 diabetes cohort |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| **CVD event**                   | **Microvascular complications**  | **Macrovascular complications**  |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| N                               | HR (95% CI)                     | **p**-Value                     | N                               | HR (95% CI)                     | **p**-Value                     | N                               | HR (95% CI)                     | **p**-Value                     |
| **Unadjusted**                  |                                 |                                 | **Maximally adjusted**          |                                 |                                 | **Maximally adjusted**          |                                 |                                 |
| Remission                       |                                 |                                 | **Remission**                   |                                 |                                 | **Remission**                   |                                 |                                 |
| No                              |                                 |                                 | Yes                             | 0.74 (0.64–0.87)               | <.001                          | 0.68 (0.63–0.74)               | <.001                          | 0.78 (0.69–0.88)               | <.001                          |
| Yes                             | 1                               |                                 |                                  |                                 |                                 | 1                               |                                 | 1                               |                                 |
| Baseline weight                 | 1.00 (0.99–1.00)                | .011                            | 1.00 (1.00–1.00)                | .882                           | <.001                          | 0.99 (0.99–1.00)                | <.001                          | 1.02 (1.02–1.02)                | <.001                          |
| Diabetes duration               | 1.02 (1.02–1.03)                | <.001                          | 0.99 (0.98–0.99)                | <.001                          | 1.02 (1.02–1.02)                | <.001                          |                                 |                                 |                                 |
| Pre-existing CVD                | 0.61 (0.55–0.69)                | <.001                          | 1.02 (0.96–1.07)                | .599                           |                                 | 0.67 (0.61–0.74)                | <.001                          |                                 |                                 |
| Total number of comorbidities   | 1.09 (1.06–1.12)                | <.001                          | 0.98 (0.96–1.00)                | .039                           | 1.08 (1.05–1.11)                | <.001                          |                                 |                                 |                                 |
| BMI                             | 0.99 (0.99–1.00)                | .062                            | 1.00 (1.00–1.00)                | .497                           |                                 | 1.00 (0.99–1.00)                | .114                           |                                 |                                 |
| Hypertension                    | 0.86 (0.79–0.93)                | <.001                          | 1.03 (0.98–1.08)                | .313                           |                                 | 1.18 (1.09–1.27)                | <.001                          |                                 |                                 |
| Age                             | 1.02 (1.02–1.02)                | <.001                          | 0.99 (0.99–0.99)                | <.001                          | 1.02 (1.01–1.02)                | <.001                          |                                 |                                 |                                 |
| Gender                          | 1.47 (1.35–1.60)                | <.001                          | 1.00 (0.96–1.05)                | .938                           |                                 | 1.51 (1.41–1.61)                | <.001                          |                                 |                                 |
| Ethnicity                       |                                 |                                 |                                  |                                 |                                 |                                  |                                 |                                 |                                 |
| White                           | 1                               |                                 |                                  |                                 |                                 |                                  |                                 |                                 |                                 |
| Black                           | 0.62 (0.30–1.26)                | .187                            | 1.22 (0.95–1.56)                | .121                           | 0.80 (0.46–1.37)                | .412                           |                                 |                                 |                                 |
| Asian                           | 0.65 (0.51–0.83)                | <.001                          | 1.57 (1.18–2.08)                | .002                           | 0.71 (0.59–0.87)                | .001                           |                                 |                                 |                                 |
| Mixed/Other                     | 1.13 (0.77–1.66)                | .535                            | 1.42 (1.15–1.79)                | .001                           | 0.95 (0.65–1.40)                | .796                           |                                 |                                 |                                 |
| IMD                             |                                 |                                 |                                  |                                 |                                 |                                  |                                 |                                 |                                 |
| Q1 (Most deprived)             | 1                               |                                 |                                  |                                 |                                 |                                  |                                 |                                 |                                 |
| Q2                              | 0.85 (0.75–0.96)                | .007                            | 1.13 (1.00–1.29)                | .051                           | 0.85 (0.75–0.96)                | .007                           |                                 |                                 |                                 |
| Q3                              | 0.81 (0.71–0.92)                | .001                            | 1.02 (0.91–1.14)                | .695                           | 0.82 (0.73–0.93)                | .002                           |                                 |                                 |                                 |
| Q4                              | 0.82 (0.72–0.93)                | .002                            | 1.06 (0.94–1.20)                | .346                           | 0.85 (0.75–0.96)                | .010                           |                                 |                                 |                                 |
| Q5 (Least deprived)            | 0.76 (0.67–0.87)                | <.001                          | 1.14 (0.99–1.31)                | .079                           | 0.80 (0.71–0.91)                | .001                           |                                 |                                 |                                 |

*aMaximally adjusted models adjusted for baseline weight, sociodemographic variables, diabetes duration, pre-existing CVD, number of comorbidities, last observed BMI, last observed hypertension status and clustering within practices.
It is not possible to test for this assumption. Our data on medication were limited to specific periods of time (6-month blocks), which may have misclassified some individuals’ medication exposure and therefore remission status. However, given the large size of the cohort, it is likely that any effect would be small. Exact date of death was also not available in the dataset which may have resulted in under or overestimation in time to event analyses. As with most studies using routine data, we were not able to distinguish between intentional or unintentional remission and did not have data on cause of death. It is possible that some of our findings may be confounded by unintentional remission, though some studies have found this to have minimal impact on outcomes. Finally, our study population comprised mostly people of white ethnicity and findings may not be generalisable to more ethnically diverse populations.

**TABLE 3** Size and statistical significance of remission-subgroup interactions on CVD events, microvascular complications, macrovascular complications

| Subgroup          | N   | CVD event Interaction HR (95%CI) | p-Value | Microvascular complications Interaction HR (95%CI) | p-Value | Macrovascular complications Interaction HR (95%CI) | p-Value |
|-------------------|-----|---------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------------------|---------|
| **Age**           |     |                                 |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |
| <45               | 3736| 1.05 (0.90–1.21)                | .545    | 1.09 (1.02–1.18)                                  | .018    | 1.03 (0.92–1.15)                                  | .624    |
| 45–54             | 8683|                                 |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |
| 55–64             | 14,381|                                |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |
| 65–74             | 18,987|                               |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |
| 75–84             | 13,696|                               |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |
| **Sex**           |     |                                 |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |
| Female            | 27,979| 0.94 (0.66–1.33)               | .712    | 0.93 (0.81–1.06)                                  | .279    | 0.99 (0.74–1.32)                                  | .934    |
| Male              | 35,442|                                 |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |
| **Diabetes duration** |     |                                 |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |
| <5                | 23,915| 0.91 (0.78–1.08)               | .282    | 1.08 (0.97–1.19)                                  | .158    | 0.95 (0.84–1.09)                                  | .482    |
| 5 to 10           | 19,204|                                 |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |
| 10 to 20          | 16,501|                                 |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |
| 20+               | 3801 |                                 |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |
| **Pre-existing CVD** |     |                                 |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |
| No                | 55,829| 0.96 (0.52–1.80)               | .907    | 1.10 (0.87–1.41)                                  | .424    | 1.11 (0.71 – 1.71)                                 | .645    |
| Yes               | 7592 |                                 |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |
| **Total number comorbidities** |     |                                 |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |
| 0                 | 18,240| 1.09 (0.86–1.37)               | .468    | 1.16 (1.02–1.31)                                  | .021    | 1.10 (0.91–1.33)                                  | .313    |
| 1 to 2            | 35,189|                                 |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |
| 3+                | 9992 |                                 |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |
| **BMI**           |     |                                 |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |
| Normal/underweight (<25 kg/m²) | 2348 | 0.99 (0.81–1.21)               | .898    | 0.92 (0.83 –1.02)                                 | .104    | 0.99 (0.84–1.18)                                  | .929    |
| Overweight (25– 29.9 kg/m²) | 6056 |                                 |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |
| Obese (≥30 kg/m²) | 10,171|                                |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |
| **HbA1c**         |     |                                 |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |
| <6.0%             | 13,258| 0.99 (0.87–1.11)               | .823    | 1.00 (0.90–1.12)                                  | .936    | 0.96 (0.86–1.07)                                  | .473    |
| 6.0–6.4%          | 4682  |                                 |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |
| ≥6.5%             | 45,481|                               |         |                                                   |         |                                                   |         |

*Cox regression models included the specified interaction term and additionally adjusted for age group, sex, diabetes duration, pre-existing CVD, total number of comorbidities, last observed BMI, last observed hypertension status, baseline BMI and clustering within practices.*
**TABLE 4** Association between remission and incidence of microvascular complications over five-year follow-up in the CHIA type 2 diabetes cohort by subgroups*

| Microvascular complications | N  | HR (95% CI) | p Value |
|-----------------------------|----|-------------|---------|
| Age <45                     | 3736 |             |         |
| No remission                | 1   |             |         |
| Remission                   | 0.59 (0.41–0.84) | .004    |
| Age 45–54                   | 8683 |             |         |
| No remission                | 1   |             |         |
| Remission                   | 0.57 (0.45–0.73) | <.001   |
| Age 55–64                   | 14,381 |           |         |
| No remission                | 1   |             |         |
| Remission                   | 0.66 (0.57–0.76) | <.001   |
| Age 65–74                   | 18,986 |           |         |
| No remission                | 1   |             |         |
| Remission                   | 0.68 (0.59–0.78) | <.001   |
| Age 75–84                   | 13,696 |           |         |
| No remission                | 1   |             |         |
| Remission                   | 0.78 (0.67–0.92) | .003    |
| No comorbidities            | 17,031 |         |         |
| No remission                | 1   |             |         |
| Remission                   | 0.65 (0.56–0.75) | .001    |
| 1–2 comorbidities           | 33,430 |         |         |
| No remission                | 1   |             |         |
| Remission                   | 0.65 (0.58–0.73) | <.001   |
| 3+ comorbidities            | 9021 |             |         |
| No remission                | 1   |             |         |
| Remission                   | 0.83 (0.69–0.99) | .035    |

*Cox regression models included the specified interaction term and additionally adjusted for age group, sex, diabetes duration, pre-existing CVD, total number of comorbidities, last observed BMI, last observed hypertension status, baseline BMI and clustering within practices.

Given the limited NHS resources and growing prevalence of type 2 diabetes, prioritising subgroups for targeted and personalised interventions is challenging. Our findings suggest that a focus on younger age groups and those with fewer comorbidities could be one approach with potential to reduce the impact of microvascular complications, as they are more likely to benefit most. Targeted interventions that focus on promoting remission as an achievable outcome in these groups could be justified given the potential to reduce the burden of microvascular complications and associated costs.
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