Increased ethylene production by overexpressing phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase in the cyanobacterium Synechocystis PCC 6803
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Abstract

Background: Cyanobacteria can be metabolically engineered to convert CO2 to fuels and chemicals such as ethylene. A major challenge in such efforts is to optimize carbon fixation and partition towards target molecules.

Results: The efe gene encoding an ethylene-forming enzyme was introduced into a strain of the cyanobacterium Synechocystis PCC 6803 with increased phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPc) levels. The resulting engineered strain (CD-P) showed significantly increased ethylene production (10.5 ± 3.1 µg mL⁻¹ OD⁻¹ day⁻¹) compared to the control strain (6.4 ± 1.4 µg mL⁻¹ OD⁻¹ day⁻¹). Interestingly, extra copies of the native pepc or the heterologous expression of PEPc from the cyanobacterium Synechococcus PCC 7002 (Synechococcus) in the CD-P, increased ethylene production (19.2 ± 1.3 and 18.3 ± 3.3 µg mL⁻¹ OD⁻¹ day⁻¹, respectively) when the cells were treated with the acetyl-CoA carboxylase inhibitor, cycloxydim. A heterologous expression of phosphoenolpyruvate synthase (PPSA) from Synechococcus in the CD-P also increased ethylene production (16.77 ± 4.48 µg mL⁻¹ OD⁻¹ day⁻¹) showing differences in the regulation of the native and the PPSA from Synechococcus in Synechocystis.

Conclusions: This work demonstrates that genetic rewiring of cyanobacterial central carbon metabolism can enhance carbon supply to the TCA cycle and thereby further increase ethylene production.
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Background

Cyanobacteria are the oldest organisms performing oxygenic photosynthesis. They appeared more than 2.5 billion years ago and were responsible for raising the oxygen levels in the atmosphere from being anoxic to about 20% [1–3]. Cyanobacteria fix inorganic carbon mainly through the Calvin–Benson–Bassham cycle using the inefficient ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO), a similar system as C3 plants [4, 5].

RuBisCO is the most abundant enzyme on earth and it can perform two competing reactions depending on the substrate used, either CO2 or O2 [6]. When RuBisCO performs the oxygenase reaction, where O2 is produced as a secondary product of the photosynthesis reactions, the metabolite produced (glyoxylate) is toxic for the cells, leading to losing both carbon and energy. This process is called photorespiration [7]. In order to overcome this reaction cyanobacteria have developed a carbon concentrating mechanism (CCM). The CCM consists in five different inorganic transporters (three for HCO3⁻ and two
for CO₂), the carboxysome and the carbonic anhydrase. Cyanobacteria have survived in water environments due to the CCM, overcoming low solubility of CO₂ in water. In water, CO₂ exists in equilibrium with bicarbonate and carbonate depending on pH. Bicarbonate can diffuse into the carboxysome (a micro-compartment where RuBisCO is encapsulated together with the carbonic anhydrase) while O₂ is blocked from entering the carboxysome. Inside the carboxysome, carbonic anhydrase converts bicarbonate into CO₂ which can be used as substrate by RuBisCO. When the product 3-phosphoglycerate is formed, it can diffuse out of the carboxysome and be further metabolized in the cytoplasm [8] (Fig. 1).

Cyanobacteria have the potential to mitigate the rising CO₂ concentration in the atmosphere since they can function as green cell factories that recycle CO₂. They are prokaryotic cells and relatively easy to genetically engineer, and as photoautotroph they are easy to grow with no competition of land between food production and biotechnological applications. Thus, these photosynthetic organisms are suitable for a sustainable production of substances of human interest [9, 10]. Cyanobacteria have successfully been genetically engineered to produce different substances such as: fatty acids, omega-3 fatty acids, fatty alcohols, mannitol, lactic acid, hexoses, extracellular poly saccharides, ethanol, propanol, 1-butanol, isobutyraldehyde, isobutanol, isoprene, succinate, ethylene and others [11–14].

It has been shown that by engineering cyanobacteria for increased carbon fixation the cells grow faster with increased carbon fixation and biomass production [15, 16]. This can be combined with cells engineered to produce a selected compound. For instance, Synechococcus elongatus PCC 7942 (S. elongatus) was successfully genetically engineered to produce isobutyraldehyde and the overexpression of RuBisCO in the engineered strain enhanced the isobutyraldehyde production [13]. The overexpression of selected Calvin cycle genes increased ethanol production in the cyanobacterium Synechocystis PCC 6803 (Synechocystis) [15]. Furthermore, in a strain of S. elongatus engineered to produce 2,3-butanediol, additionally overexpressing enzymes in the pathway between the RuBisCO reaction and pyruvate (PYR) formation led to increased carbon fixation and biofuel production [17].

Given the inefficiency of RuBisCO, alternative carbon fixation pathways have been proposed. In 2014, a synthetic pathway based on the 3-hydroxypropionate bicycle was introduced into S. elongatus resulting in a bypass of the photorespiration [18]. In 2010, Bar-Even et al. [19] presented the malonyl-CoA–oxaloacetate–glyoxylate (MOG) pathways, which theoretically are more efficient in fixing carbon than any existing native ones. Interestingly, the enzyme used in these pathways is phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPc). PEPc is more efficient to fix carbon dioxide than RuBisCO and it is the enzyme used in C4 and CAM plants. The two most efficient MOG pathways identified were the C4-glyoxylate cycle/alanine option and the C4-glyoxylate cycle/lactate option. These two pathways are identical from step 1 to 6 and differ only in the last steps. As a first step towards implementing these pathways in Synechocystis, we over-expressed the native pepc and the three first enzymes (phosphoenolpyruvate synthase (PPSA), PEPc and malate dehydrogenase), which are all native in Synechocystis. Interestingly, the engineered strain overexpressing pepc showed a higher PEPc level, increased chlorophyll a content and increased in vitro PEPc activity [20].

Ethylene is a precursor of polyethylene, polystyrene, PVC and even polyester, and its industrial production process (steam cracking) releases significant levels of CO₂ [21]. Ethylene is also produced by plants and is an important signal molecule involved in germination, fruit ripening and senescence. There are three discovered pathways which synthesize ethylene in nature [22, 23]. In one of these, the Pseudomonas syringae ethylene-forming enzyme (Efe) requires only two substrates, 2-oxoglutarate and arginine, resulting in ethylene and succinate as products [21, 23, 24]. 2-Oxoglutarate is an intermediate of the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA cycle) and it is the signal molecule for the carbon status in the nitrogen metabolism [25]. efe has been heterologously expressed in cyanobacteria and it was believed to be unstable [26–28] until recent studies have demonstrated that the observed instability may be associated with the expression strategies rather than toxicity [29]. In addition, efe has been expressed in self-replicative vectors or integrated in the chromosome in different organisms, in Synechocystis and S. elongatus [21, 26, 30, 31] using different promoters [30–32], RBS [30, 33, 34] and increasing the number of copies of ope [33], all resulting in ethylene production. Ethylene production in engineered cyanobacteria is supported by drastic changes in carbon metabolism, including increased flux through PEPc [33]. Thus, increasing the capacity of this key enzyme and other relevant enzymes such as PPSA may lead to increased ethylene productivity.

The aim of this study was to test the hypothesis that genetic rewiring of central carbon metabolism can enhance carbon supply to TCA cycle and ethylene production by introducing efe into a Synechocystis strain overexpressing PEPc. The resulting ethylene-producing strain was further engineered to overexpress the native PPSA or PPSA from Synechococcus PCC 7002 (Synechococcus). In addition, extra copies of PEPc from Synechocystis and Synechococcus were also introduced. The engineered strains were cultivated with different
Fig. 1 Main carbon fixation metabolism in cyanobacteria. The blue and the green colours correspond to native and non-native enzymes in *Synechocystis PCC 6803*, respectively. ACC: acetyl-CoA carboxylase, Arg: arginine, Aza: azaserine, Calvin cycle: Calvin–Benson–Bassham cycle, Chl a: chlorophyll a, CS: citrate synthase, Cyclo: cycloxydim, DHA-P: dihydroxyacetone phosphate, Efe: ethylene-forming enzyme, GS-GOGAT: glutamine synthase glutamine oxoglutarate aminotransferase, MDH: malate dehydrogenase, ME: malic enzyme, PEPc: phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase, PPSA: phosphoenolpyruvate synthase, TCA cycle: tricarboxylic acid cycle.
treatments in order to address limiting factors for ethylene production.

Results
Expression of efe and engineered strains
The efe from Pseudomonas syringae expressed in our strains was stable and expressed when the promoter (nrsB) was induced with Ni²⁺. The efe was introduced into two previously engineered strains containing one copy of the native pepc (WT+Km [20]) and three copies of the native pepc (WT+2xPEPc [20]), creating CD-C and CD-P strains, respectively. The CD-P strain was further engineered with another copy of the native pepc (4x pepc, CD-P1), one extra copy of the native ppsa (3x ppsa, CD-P2) and an extra copy of the native pepc and ppsa (4x pepc, 2x ppsa, CD-P3). The same strategy was used but with the heterologous expression of PEPc and PPSA from the cyanobacterium Synechococcus PCC 7002, creating CD-P4 (3x native pepc, 1x pepc PCC 7002), CD-P5 (3x native pepc, 1x ppsa PCC 7002) and CD-P6 (3x native pepc, 1x pepc PCC 7002, 1x ppsa PCC 7002). The engineered strains containing efe and additional modifications are summarized in Table 2.

Ethylene production
Under the standard treatment (BG11+50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 50 mM NaHCO₃, Km (25 µg mL⁻¹) and Cm (20 µg mL⁻¹), 5 µM of NiCl₂ and 20 µE m⁻² s⁻¹, WT and the control engineered strain without the efe (CD-E) did not produce any ethylene regardless of Ni²⁺ induction (Fig. 2). The engineered strains containing efe did not show ethylene production when the cells were not induced (data not shown). However, when the engineered strains were induced with NiCl₂ (5 µM) the cells produced ethylene (Fig. 2). Interestingly, significantly higher ethylene productivity was observed in the engineered strain with increased copy numbers of pepc (three copies) (CD-P, 10.5 ± 3.1 µg mL⁻¹ OD⁻¹ day⁻¹) compared to cells with WT levels of PEPc (one copy) (CD-C) (6.4 ± 1.4 µg mL⁻¹ OD⁻¹ day⁻¹) (p=0.002) (Fig. 2). When an additional copy of the native pepc was introduced in the strain CD-P, leading to the CD-P1 engineered strain, no significant differences of ethylene production was observed (13.5 ± 3.7 µg mL⁻¹ OD⁻¹ day⁻¹). The same result was observed when the native PPSA was overexpressed in the CD-P alone or together with another extra copy of PEPc creating CD-P2 and CD-P3 producing 8.0 ± 2.2 and 12.4 ± 5.7 µg mL⁻¹ OD⁻¹ day⁻¹, respectively. No significant differences in

![Fig. 2](image-url)  
Designation: Ethylene production by the Synechocystis strains created in this study (Table 2) cultivated under the standard treatment (BG11+50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 50 mM NaHCO₃, Km (25 µg mL⁻¹) Cm (20 µg mL⁻¹), 5 µM of NiCl₂ and 20 µE m⁻² s⁻¹). Asterisks correspond to statistical significant differences compared to CD-C and CD-P, respectively. The experiment was repeated three times with three biological replicates. Error bars represent the mean ± SE.
ethylene production were observed between the control strain CD-P and the engineered strains containing the PEPc from the cyanobacterium *Synechococcus*, CD-P4 (12.6±4.4 µg mL⁻¹ OD⁻¹ day⁻¹) and the PEPc and PPSA from *Synechococcus*, CD-P6 (9.5±2.2 µg mL⁻¹ OD⁻¹ day⁻¹). Interestingly, a significant increase in ethylene production was observed when the PPSA from *Synechococcus* was expressed in the CD-P creating the CD-P5 (16.8±4.5 µg mL⁻¹ OD⁻¹ day⁻¹) engineered strain compared to the control strain CD-P (*p* = 0.003) (Fig. 2).

The ethylene production of the engineered strain, CD-P when different treatments were applied (Table 3) did not show significant differences when BG11+arginine (one of the substrates of Efe) (12.0±3.9 µg mL⁻¹ OD⁻¹ day⁻¹), BG11+cycloxydim (inhibitor of acetyl-CoA carboxylase, [35]) (9.5±4.1 µg mL⁻¹ OD⁻¹), BG11₀ (10.4±2.7 µg mL⁻¹ OD⁻¹ day⁻¹), BG11₀+arginine (8.0±3.4 µg mL⁻¹ OD⁻¹ day⁻¹) and BG11₀+cycloxydim (8.4±4.2 µg mL⁻¹ OD⁻¹ day⁻¹) compared to the standard treatment, BG11 (10.7±2.3 µg mL⁻¹ OD⁻¹ day⁻¹) (Fig. 3). However, when the cells were treated with BG11+azaserine (an inhibitor of the GS-GOGAT, [35]) and BG11+arginine, azaserine and cycloxydim, the ethylene productivity decreased significantly to 5.7±2.9 µg mL⁻¹ OD⁻¹ day⁻¹ (*p* = 0.007) and 6.1±0.8 µg mL⁻¹ OD⁻¹ day⁻¹ (*p* = 0.001), respectively, compared to the standard treatment, BG11 (10.7±2.3 µg mL⁻¹ OD⁻¹ day⁻¹) (Fig. 3).

Interestingly, when the engineered strains were incubated with BG11+cycloxydim, no significant differences were observed in ethylene production (data not shown) compared to the standard treatment, BG11, except for the CD-P1 and CD-P4 engineered strains (Fig. 4). CD-P1 and CD-P4 showed significant increased ethylene productivity (19.2±1.3 and 18.3±3.3 µg mL⁻¹ OD⁻¹ day⁻¹, respectively) compared to the standard treatment (*p* = 0.002 and *p* = 0.002, respectively) (Fig. 4).

**Efe and PPSA protein levels**

The control strain without *efe* showed no expression of Efe (CD-E) while the Efe protein level was similar in all the induced engineered strains containing *efe* (Table 2) under standard treatment (Fig. 5). The tagged Flag-PPSA

---

![Fig. 3](image-url) Ethylene production by the *Synechocystis* strain CD-P in different treatments. The different treatments were BG11, BG11₀, BG11+arginine, BG11₀+arginine, BG11+azaserine, BG11+cycloxydim, BG11₀+cycloxydim and BG11+Arg+azaserine+cycloxydim (Table 3) all containing 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 50 mM NaHCO₃, Km (25 µg mL⁻¹), Cm (20 µg mL⁻¹), 5 µM of NiCl₂ and 20 µE m⁻² s⁻¹. Asterisks correspond to statistically significant differences. The experiment was repeated two times with three biological replicates. Mean±SE
was expressed only in the engineered strains (CD-P2, CD-P3, CD-P5, CD-P6) containing PPSA from either *Synechocystis* or *Synechococcus* (Fig. 5).

When the engineered strain CD-P was incubated with the different treatments, the Efe protein level was similar with the exception of BG11+arginine and the presence of cycloxydim regardless of the presence of nitrate (BG11+cycloxydim and BG11₀+cycloxydim, Fig. 6). The presence of cycloxydim and arginine in the presence of nitrate increased the Efe protein expression (Fig. 6).

**PEPc protein level**

The PEPc protein level increased with increased number of PEPc copies (Fig. 7). Interestingly, the PEPc protein levels were not affected by the location of the gene in the expression construct, as the levels were similar when the PEPc was expressed alone (CD-P1 and CD-P4) and in the second position in an operon (CD-P3, CD-P6) (Fig. 7). Higher PEPc protein content was observed in the engineered strains expressing PEPc from *Synechococcus* (CD-P4 and CD-P6) than *Synechocystis* (CD-P1 and CD-3) (Fig. 7). However, the affinity of the antibody towards the PEPc from *Synechococcus* was higher than the PEPc from *Synechocystis* (PEPc₆₈₀₃ and PEPc₇₀₀₂ Fig. 7).

**Discussion**

Prior efforts in developing ethylene-producing cyanobacterial strains focused on overcoming genetic instability and increasing Efe expression levels [21, 29, 33, 34]. Here, we show that genetic rewiring of central carbon
metabolism can enhance carbon supply to TCA cycle and thereby ethylene productivity.

Other studies have observed that overexpressing PEPc increases the levels of TCA cycle intermediates, for instance, succinate [36, 37]. In 2016, we observed a higher chlorophyll $a$ concentration in the cells overexpressing PEPc [20]. Since chlorophyll $a$ is synthesized from glutamate, which in turn is formed by 2-oxoglutarate and glutamine, our hypothesis was that this engineered strain had higher 2-oxoglutarate levels and may have the potential of increased ethylene production. The differences in ethylene productivity between CD-C and CD-P were only observed when bicarbonate was present in the media (data not shown). These results suggest that CO$_2$ was limiting in the vials, and with bicarbonate addition higher carbon fixation was present in CD-P compared to CD-C resulting in increased ethylene productivity in the CD-P strain.

Interestingly, the overexpression of the native Synechocystis PPSA and the heterologous expression of PPSA from Synechococcus resulted in differences in ethylene production (CD-P2 and CD-P5, Fig. 2). The DNA and amino acid identity between these proteins are 70.5 and 76.6%, respectively. Little is known about PPSA. It might be that the differences observed in ethylene production are caused by differences in the amino acids sequences in the region located at the N-terminus where ATP is predicted to bind and/or the C-terminus where PYR is predicted to bind, or on the insertion (14 amino acids) present in PPSA from Synechococcus (145–160 PCC 7002 numbering, Additional file 1: Figure S1, Uniprot P23538). According to our own experience, expressing not an exact copy of the native gene (either a codon-optimized version or from another cyanobacterial strain), a heterologous expression of a protein leads to different activities and, not unlikely, regulation than of the native enzyme. Thus, these two proteins may have different kinetics and regulation, leading to different behaviour in the metabolism of Synechocystis. In addition, the

**Fig. 6** SDS-PAGE/Western immunoblot of the Synechocystis strains created in this study (Table 2) analysed for the presence of ethylene-forming enzyme (EFE). A SDS-PAGE loaded with 3 µg of protein crude extract from the Synechocystis engineered strain CD-P in different treatments (Table 3) and B Western immunoblot using anti-Flag antibody from the Synechocystis engineered strain CD-P in different treatments tested (Table 3). The different treatments were BG11, BG11+arginine, BG11+arginine, BG11+azaserine, BG11+cyloxydim, BG11+cyloxydim and BG11+arginine+azaserine+cyloxydim (Table 3) all containing 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 50 mM NaHCO$_3$, Km (25 µg mL$^{-1}$), Cm (20 µg mL$^{-1}$), 5 µM of NiCl$_2$ and 20 µE m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$

**Fig. 7** SDS-PAGE/Western immunoblot of the Synechocystis strains created in this study (Table 2) analysed for the presence of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPc). A SDS-PAGE loaded with 31 µg of protein crude extract from Synechocystis strains created in this study under standard treatment (Table 2) and B Western immunoblot using anti-PEPc for the Synechocystis engineered strains (Table 2) in standard treatment. Standard treatment is BG11+50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 50 mM NaHCO$_3$, Km (25 µg mL$^{-1}$), Cm (20 µg mL$^{-1}$), 5 µM of NiCl$_2$ and 20 µE m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. PEPc$_{6803}$ and PEPc$_{7002}$ corresponds to purified protein (100 ng loaded) of PEPc from Synechocystis PCC 6803 and Synechococcus PCC 7002, respectively.
lower production of ethylene in the CD-P6 strain compared to CD-P5 may be attributed to that when PPSA PCC 7002 is expressed, much of the phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) component is converted to PYR. However, when PEPC and PPSA PCC 7002 are expressed, less amount of PEP is converted to PYR, then limiting the amount of acetyl-CoA and therefore the carbon flux into the TCA cycle. In order to discover which are the bottlenecks for ethylene production, we decided to investigate the effect of increasing the concentrations of the two substrates of Efe, 2-oxoglutarate and arginine. It has been observed that addition of 2-oxoglutarate did not increase ethylene production in Synechocystis but it did in Synechococcus [38]. In addition, it is known that when cultivating the cells without a nitrogen source, the 2-oxoglutarate levels increase when a switch in metabolism occurs [21, 39, 40]. A decreased ethylene productivity in an engineered Synechocystis strain when the cells were cultivated over several days under nitrogen starvation has been reported [21], however we did not observe this effect in our 24 h incubation (Fig. 3). We also tested the addition of azaserine, which blocks the glutamine amino transferase needed for the GS-GOGAT cycle and therefore increases the 2-oxoglutarate levels [39]. However, less ethylene production was observed in our experiments (Fig. 3), which may be due to arginine metabolism being affected by inhibiting the GS-GOGAT cycle.

In agreement with an earlier study [38], the addition of arginine did not increase the ethylene productivity, thus this amino acid is not a limiting substrate in the engineered strains under our standard treatment. However, when in the same study 2-oxoglutarate and arginine were both added to the cells, ethylene productivity was increased [38]. Thus, the combination of both substrates enhances the ethylene production. However, when we incubated the engineered strain CD-P with BG11o+ arginine, where the nitrogen levels were very low which should lead to enhanced levels of 2-oxoglutarate, no differences were observed compared to the standard treatment (Fig. 3). It has been reported that nitrogen starvation (and other nutrient stresses) and arginine enhances the production of the nitrogen storage compound cyanophycin [41] and therefore arginine may not have been available for the Efe reaction under this treatment. Ethylene production is also accompanied by accumulation of guanidine inside cyanobacterial cells and in the medium, leaving less nitrogen available for arginine regeneration [42]. More research will be needed to understand the regulation of arginine metabolism in cyanobacteria, and to develop new strategy for improving ethylene production.

Overexpressing PEPC may decrease the amount of PEP. However, it is known that a significant amount of PYR (which can be converted to acetyl-CoA, Fig. 1) is provided by the “C4-like cycle”, which involves PPSA, PEPC, malate dehydrogenase and the malic enzyme [43]. Flux through this pathway is stimulated by ethylene production [33]. Our results further demonstrate that expanding this pathway capacity by enzyme overexpression leads to increased ethylene productivity. Citrate synthase (CS) has a higher affinity for oxaloacetate (the product of PEPC) than acetyl-CoA [44], so if less acetyl-CoA was available, the CS may not catalyse the reaction. Thus, this indicates that a limiting compound for ethylene production or enhances the PEPC activity (since it is an activator of PEPC [45], when the cells have been engineered with very high levels of PEPC and treated with cycloxydim (CD-P1 and CD-P4) (Fig. 4). Even though the DNA and amino acid sequence showed identities of 66.2 and 63.9%, respectively, and the carboxylases seem to be relatively similar, the in vitro PEPC activity from Synechococcus (unpublished) is higher than the activity of PEPC from Synechocystis [45], the heterologous activity of the carboxylase may be subject to limitations in substrate availability of Synechocystis and therefore no significant differences were observed in ethylene production between CD-P1 and CD-P4.

It was previously described that the Efe protein level was a limiting factor in ethylene production [30, 33]. However, in the engineered strain CD-P, it seems that the level of Efe protein is not limiting ethylene production since the presence of cycloxydim, and possibly increased acetyl-CoA or arginine, upregulated the Efe protein levels without increased ethylene production (Fig. 3). Thus, in this engineered strain and test conditions, other factors limit the production of ethylene.

Conclusions
In conclusion, genetic rewiring of cyanobacterial central carbon metabolism via overexpression of PEPC and PPSA increased ethylene productivity in Synechocystis engineered for the production of ethylene via an introduced Efe. This strategy can be incorporated into strain development for cyanobacterial production of ethylene, as well as of other products derived from the TCA cycle.

Methods
Construction of plasmids
To amplify the ethylene gene (efe)-Flag tagged, 1–10 ng of plasmid pJU158 [33] (quantified using a Nanodrop 2000 Spectrophotometer, Thermo Scientific) and for amplification of the native genes PEPC and PPSA, 50–250 ng of genomic DNA from Synechocystis or Synechococcus was used for the Phusion High-Fidelity Hot Spot II DNA polymerase (Finnzymes) as template together with gene-specific primers (Additional file 1: Table S1). The
polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products were purified using the Gene JET Purification kit (from Thermo Scientific). Obtained PCR products, the plasmid pEERM3+ (plasmid recombining with the neutral site I of Synechocystis and containing the nrsB promoter [46, 47] and the plasmid pPMQAC1 (self-replicative plasmid containing the native psbA2 promoter) were digested by selected restriction enzymes (Fermentas) and purified using the DNA clean & concentration™ kit from Zymo. DIGested PCR product and plasmid were then ligated using the Quick Ligation™ Kit (New England Biolabs) and kept at room temperature for 15 min. The plasmids created are summarized in Table 1.

**Transformation of Escherichia coli DH5α**

5–6 µL of the ligated DNA product was mixed with 50 µL of thawed ice cold competent cells of *E. coli* DH5α. The tube was incubated on ice for 30 min. After that, the tube was placed at 42 °C for 1 min and incubated on ice again for 5 more min. 450 µL of Luria–Bertani (LB) medium at room temperature was added and mixed. The cells were then incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. Finally, the cells were centrifuged at 13,300 rpm for 2 min and 450 µL of supernatant was discarded. The remaining supernatant was used to resuspend the cells and spread them onto a LB agar plate containing spectinomycin (Sp, 50 µg mL⁻¹) or chloramphenicol (Cm, 20 µg mL⁻¹) before placed overnight at 37 °C.

Overnight colonies were used to run a PCR in order to verify if they had incorporated the genetic construct. Dream Taq DNA polymerase protocol from Fermentas was used together with the primers described above (2.1). The positive colonies were grown with LB media containing either Sp (50 µg mL⁻¹) or Cm (20 µg mL⁻¹) and incubated shaking, at 37 °C overnight. Next day, the plasmid was extracted from the cells using the JET Plasmid Miniprep kit from Thermo Scientific. The purified plasmid was sent for sequencing (Eurofins) and when it was confirmed to be correct they were transformed or conjugated to the previously engineered *Synechocystis* strains (WT+Km and WT+2xPEPc [20] for transformation, and CD-P for conjugation).

**Transformation of Synechocystis**

*Synechocystis* cells overexpressing the native phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPc) (WT+2xPEPc) or containing the kanamycin (Km) antibiotic cassette (WT+Km) were grown in BG11 medium with Km (50 µg mL⁻¹) for 2–3 days. When the cells reached OD_{750} around 0.3 (determined using a Varian 50 Bio UV–Visible Spectrophotometer), 10 mL of cells were then harvested by centrifugation (5000 rpm for 10 min at 20 °C). The pellet was resuspended in 250 µL of BG11. 100 µL of cells were then mixed with 1 µg of plasmid. The mixture was incubated 4–6 h in low light at 30 °C. The cells were spread on a nitrocellulose filter on top of BG11 agar plates. After approximately 24 h, the filters were changed to a BG11 plate containing Km (25 µg mL⁻¹) and Sp (10 µg mL⁻¹). Positive colonies were confirmed by PCR and re-streaked on plates with increased Sp concentration (up to 50 µg mL⁻¹) until PCR did not show any band corresponding to the native neutral site sequence. The cells were grown only with kanamycin for 2 weeks and a PCR confirmed that the ethylene construct was fully segregated. The engineered strains are summarized in Table 2.

**Conjugation**

1 mL of *E. coli* cells containing the desired plasmids and 1 mL of *E. coli* (pRL443) were grown overnight at 37 °C

| Table 1 Plasmids used/constructed in this study |
| Plasmid | Promoter | Gene expressed | Resistant cassette | Integration site | References |
|---------|-----------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| pEERM  | psbA2     | –              | Km                | psbA2           | [46]      |
| PEPc-pEERM | psbA2 | pepc from Synechocystis PCC 6803 | Km | psbA2 | [20] |
| pEERM3+ | nrsB     | –              | Sp                | NSI             | [46]      |
| efe-pEERM3+ | nrsB | efe from P. syringae | Sp | NSI |
| pPMQAC1 | psbA2     | –              | Cm                | Self-replicative vector | This study |
| PEPc_{pEERM3}+pPMQAC1 | psbA2 | pepc from Synechocystis PCC 6803 | Cm |
| PPSA_{pEERM3}+pPMQAC1 | psbA2 | ppsa from Synechocystis PCC 6803 | Cm |
| PPSA_{pEERM3}+pPMQAC1 | psbA2 | ppsa and pepc from Synechocystis PCC 6803 | Cm |
| PEPc_{pEERM3}+pPMQAC1 | psbA2 | pepc from Synechococcus PCC 7002 | Cm |
| PPSA_{pEERM3}+pPMQAC1 | psbA2 | ppsa from Synechococcus PCC 7002 | Cm |
| PPSA_{pEERM3}+pPMQAC1 | psbA2 | ppsa and pepc from Synechococcus PCC 7002 | Cm |
at 150 rpm with LB medium containing Cm (20 µg mL⁻¹) and ampicillin (100 µg mL⁻¹), respectively. The cells were mixed in equal ratio and centrifuged for 5 min at 13,000 rpm. The pellet was resuspended in 200 µL of LB and 20 µL of the cells overexpressing PEPc and expressing Efe (CD_P) were mixed and incubated for 2–3 h at 30 °C under low light. The cells were spread on a nitrocellulose filter on top of BG11 agar plates. After approximately 24 h the filters were changed to a BG11 plate containing Km (25 µg mL⁻¹), Sp (10 µg mL⁻¹) and Cm (20 µg mL⁻¹). Positive colonies were confirmed by PCR. The engineered strains are summarized in Table 2.

**Ethylene measurements**

50 mL of the engineered *Synechocystis* cells were grown in BG11 with Km (25 µg mL⁻¹), Sp (10 µg mL⁻¹) and Cm (20 µg mL⁻¹) under low light (20 µE m⁻² s⁻¹) until the OD₇₅₀ was between 0.4 and 0.6 (determined using a Varian 50 Bio UV–Visible Spectrophotometer). The cells were harvested at 5000 rpm, for 15 min at room temperature. The pellet was then resuspended in 2 mL of BG11 with Km (25 µg mL⁻¹), Cm (20 µg mL⁻¹), 50 mM of Tris pH 8.0, 50 mM of NaHCO₃ and with or without 5 µM of NiCl₂. Then, the OD₇₅₀ were measured (determined using a Varian 50 Bio UV–Visible Spectrophotometer). The cells were then placed into headspace, 6 mL vial, clear glass-bevelled top and flat bottom vials from Sigma (27,293) and completely sealed. The vials were placed shaking, under 20 µE m⁻² s⁻¹ for 24 h.

After 24 h, 100 µL of the gas phase was collected with a gas syringe and injected into a Clarus 580 Perkin Elmer FID gas chromatograph (GC) with a packed column (1.8 m x 2 mm i.d., Cat No. N9305013-ZW5531, Perkin Elmer). The carrier gas was N₂ at 20 mL min⁻¹. The GC program was 200 °C for 1 min. The area of the ethylene peak at 0.4 min was converted into mg mL⁻¹ using the equation obtained from the standard curve. Pure ethylene was injected into the sealed vials and consequent dilutions were made.

When different treatments were used, the pellet was then resuspended in 2 mL of BG11 or BG11₀ with Km (25 µg mL⁻¹), Cm (20 µg mL⁻¹), 50 mM of Tris pH 8.0, 50 mM of NaHCO₃, 5 µM of NiCl₂ alone or with 50 µM

### Table 2: Engineered strains used/constructed in this study

| Engineered strains | Plasmid | References |
|--------------------|---------|------------|
| WT+Km'             | pEERM   | [20]       |
| WT+2xPEPc          | PEPC-pEERM | [20]     |
| CD-E               | pEERM+pEERM3+++pPMQAC1 | This study |
| CD-C               | pEERM+efe-pEERM3+++pPMQAC1 | This study |
| CD-P               | PEPC-pEERM+efe-pEERM3+++pPMQAC1 | This study |
| CD-P1              | PEPC-pEERM+pEERM3+++PEPc₆₈₀₁ pPMQAC1 | This study |
| CD-P2              | PEPC-pEERM+pEERM3+++PSPA₆₈₀₁ pPMQAC1 | This study |
| CD-P3              | PEPC-pEERM+efe-pEERM3+++PSPA₆₈₀₁+PEPC₆₈₀₁ pPMQAC1 | This study |
| CD-P4              | PEPC-pEERM+efe-pEERM3+++PEPc₆₈₀₁ pPMQAC1 | This study |
| CD-P5              | PEPC-pEERM+efe-pEERM3+++PSPA₆₈₀₁ pPMQAC1 | This study |
| CD-P6              | PEPC-pEERM+pEERM3+++PSPA₆₈₀₁+PEPc₆₈₀₁ pPMQAC1 | This study |

### Table 3: Different treatments used in this study

| Treatment | Media | Addition | Action | Effect on the cells |
|-----------|-------|----------|--------|---------------------|
| Standard  | BG11  | –        | Nitrogen starvation | Higher substrate concentration: 2-oxoglutarate |
| BG11₀     | BG11₀ | –        | Nitrogen starvation | Higher substrate concentration: 2-oxoglutarate and arginine |
| BG11₀+Arg | BG11₀ | Arginine| Increased arginine  | Higher substrate concentration: arginine |
| BG11+Arg  | BG11  | Arginine| Increased arginine  | Higher substrate concentration: arginine |
| BG11+Azaserine | BG11  | Azaserine| Inhibition of the glutamine amino transferase in the glutamate synthase (GS-GOGAT cycle) | Higher substrate concentration: 2-oxoglutarate |
| BG11+Cyclo | BG11  | Cycloxydim| Inhibition of acetyl-CoA carboxylase | Higher acetyl-CoA concentration |
| BG11₀+Cyclo | BG11₀ | Cycloxydim| Nitrogen starvation and inhibition of acetyl-CoA carboxylase | Higher 2-oxoglutarate and acetyl-CoA concentration |
| BG11₀+Arg+Azaserine+Cyclo | BG11₀ | Arginine, azaserine and cycloxydim | Increased arginine, inhibition of the glutamine amino transferase in the glutamate synthase (GS-GOGAT cycle) and inhibition of acetyl-CoA carboxylase | Higher arginine, 2-oxoglutarate and acetyl-CoA concentration |

In all treatments, 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 50 mM NaHCO₃, Km (25 µg mL⁻¹), Cm (20 µg mL⁻¹) and 5 µM of NiCl₂ were added and the cells were incubated, 24 h under 20 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹.
arginine, 100 µM azaserine or 100 µM cycloxydim or all combined. The treatments used are summarized in Table 3.

The experiments were done independently at least twice with three biological replicates each. The statistical analysis was performed using the Student’s two-tailed t test, p < 0.05.

SDS-PAGE and Western immunoblot (WB)
After the ethylene measurements, 1 or 2 mL of cells were centrifuged (5000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C) and the pellets were suspended with 2 mL of PBS buffer ([48] and Golden 2007), centrifuged (5000 rpm for 10 min) before pellet resuspended in 200 µL of PBS. Then 3 µL of protease inhibitor (Protease Arrest™ [100×], Biosciences) was added. From this step the samples were always kept on ice. A glass beater (6800 rpm for 30 s, three times, keeping the samples on ice for 5 min in between the 30 s intervals) was used in order to break the cells. 100 µL of PBS buffer was added and the tubes were spun down for 30 s, 12,700 rpm at 4 °C. The supernatants were then transfer into clean Eppendorf tubes and centrifuged for 45 min, 12,700 rpm at 4 °C. The supernatant was then used to measure the soluble protein content of the samples by using the RC DC protein (Bio-Rad) kit. Albumin from bovine serum (Sigma) was used for the standard.

3 µg of protein was loaded on two Mini Protean TGX Stain free gels (any kDa) from Bio-Rad. The gels were run using a Mini-PROTEAN TGX™ system (Bio-Rad) for 35–40 min at 200 V. One gel was stained using Page Blue Protein staining gel from Thermo Scientific while the other was used for the blot using the Trans-Blot turbo transfer pack and system from Bio-Rad. The transfer was run at 25 V for 30 min. After that the gel was stained with Coomassie blue (control) while the membrane was incubated with 5% non-fat dried milk from AppliChem, for 1 h at room temperature under constant shaking. Then the membrane was washed 15 min, three times with T-TBS. The mouse anti-FLAG antibody (monoclonal mouse from Agrisera 1:1000) was added onto the membrane for 1 h at room temperature under constant shaking. Thereafter, the membrane was washed with T-TBS as described above. The membrane was then incubated with an HRP-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse antibody from Agrisera 1:5000) for 1 h at room temperature under constant shaking. Finally the membrane was washed and developed using the Immuno-Star™ HRP- substrate kit (Bio-Rad) and the chemiluminescence reaction detected using a Chemi Doc XRS machine (Bio-Rad).

For the detection of PEPC, the procedure was the same as mentioned above except that 31 µg of protein was loaded, ECL™ Blocking Agent from GE healthcare was used, incubated overnight at 4 °C, the rabbit anti-PEPC antibody (polyclonal mouse from Agrisera 1:300) was incubated overnight at 4 °C and an HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit from Agrisera 1:5000) was used.

Sequence alignment
The DNA and amino acid identity as well as the sequence alignment of PPSA from Synechocystis and Synechococcus was done using Clustal Omega.
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