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Abstract

Stars can either be formed in or captured by the accretion disks in active galactic nuclei (AGNs). These AGN stars are irradiated and subject to extreme levels of accretion, which can turn even low-mass stars into very massive ones (M > 100M⊙) whose evolution may result in the formation of massive compact objects (M > 10M⊙). Here we explore the spins of these AGN stars and the remnants they leave behind. We find that AGN stars rapidly spin up via accretion, eventually reaching near-critical rotation rates. They further maintain near-critical rotation even as they shed their envelopes, become compact, and undergo late stages of burning. This makes them good candidates to produce high-spin massive black holes, such as the ones seen by LIGO-Virgo in GW 190521g, as well as long gamma-ray bursts and the associated chemical pollution of the AGN disk.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Stellar physics (1621); Stellar evolutionary models (2046); Massive stars (732); Quasars (1319)

1. Introduction

Active galactic nuclei (AGNs) are believed to be powered by massive accretion disks draining into supermassive black holes (SMBHs) (Lynden-Bell 1969). Because of their crucial role in AGNs, these accretion disks have been extensively studied since the pioneering work on their structure by Shakura & Sunyaev (1973). Recent years have seen a rekindled interest in AGN disks, particularly in light of gravitational wave detections by LIGO and Virgo. In particular, the observation of black holes (BHs) with masses above the maximum mass allowed by pair instability in massive stars (Abbott et al. 2020b), as well as in the lower mass gap (Abbott et al. 2020a), finds a natural explanation in the environments of AGN disks, where compact object mergers are enhanced, and neutron stars (NSs) and BHs grow by accretion due to the very high disk gas densities (e.g., McKernan et al. 2012; Yang et al. 2019; Tagawa et al. 2020).

The presence of stars and compact stellar remnants in AGN disks is not surprising. Stars can end up in the disks of AGNs via at least two mechanisms: in situ formation when disks become self-gravitating and unstable to fragmentation (e.g., Kolykhlov & Syunyaev 1980; Goodman 2003; Dittmann & Miller 2020), and capture from the nuclear star cluster surrounding the AGN as a result of momentum and energy loss as the stars interact with the disk (e.g., Artyomowicz et al. 1993; Fabj et al. 2020; MacLeod & Lin 2020). Once in an AGN disk, stars may evolve and thereby form compact objects such as black holes and neutron stars.

Stars in AGN disks (AGN stars) are believed to evolve quite differently from those in standard galactic environments. AGN disks are much hotter and denser than the interstellar medium, so AGN stars are subject to very different boundary conditions than normal stars. The evolution of these stars has been recently studied by Cantiello et al. (2021), who found that AGN stars can quickly become very massive (M > 100M⊙), due to rapid accretion fueled by the large gas reservoir in the AGN disk, and that chemical mixing plays a critical role.

Moreover there is reason to expect rotation to be important in these stars. Because there is a velocity gradient in the AGN disk, the disk has a net vorticity in the frame of a co-orbiting star. On scales of the Bondi radius this vorticity means that gas in the disk has a large, typically super-Keplerian, specific angular momentum in the frame of the star. When that gas accretes, even if limited to the Keplerian angular velocity, it has the potential to spin the star up to a near-critical rotation rate. This motivates the present study.

Here we investigate the spin evolution of stars embedded in AGN disks (AGN stars). In Section 2 we briefly describe our model of stellar evolution in AGN disks, including two key improvements introduced by Dittmann et al. (2021) to the treatment of accretion and mass loss from the approach of Cantiello et al. (2021). In Section 3 we present a model of the rotation rates of these stars, with a focus on the angular momentum changes associated with mass gain/loss. We analytically explore the consequences of this model in Section 4 and find that at least during the accretion stage many AGN stars ought to spin up to near-critical rotation rates. We confirmed these results with numerical simulations of the coupled rotating stellar evolution of AGN stars in Section 5 and
find that such rapid rotators represent most of the parameter space we are able to explore in our models. We then discuss the implications of these rapid rotators for compact objects, gravitational wave observations, and long GRBs in Section 6. We conclude with a brief summary of our results in Section 7.

2. Stellar Models

We model stellar evolution using revision 15140 of the Modules for Experiments in Stellar Astrophysics (MESA; Paxton et al. 2011, 2013, 2015, 2018, 2019) software instrument. Details of the MESA microphysics and of other software tools used in our experiments are provided in Appendix A. Because the stars of interest are embedded in an AGN disk we implement modified surface boundary conditions, accretion, and mass loss following Cantiello et al. (2021). These include a treatment of the energy released by infalling material, the ram pressure of that material, and radiative diffusion through the accretion stream.

As argued by Dittmann et al. (2021), the most important correction to the Bondi–Hoyle accretion rate used by Cantiello et al. (2021) comes from the gravitational influence of the SMBH. Near the SMBH, tidal forces truncate the radius at which the stellar gravity dominates the gas dynamics, limiting the accretion rate. A similar situation occurs when giant planets accrete from a protoplanetary disk, and hydrodynamic simulations have shown that prescriptions truncating accretion to begin at the Hill radius can accurately predict accretion rates in the viscosity regime relevant to AGN disks (Rosenthal et al. 2020; Li et al. 2021). Accordingly, we take into account tidal effects from the SMBH when calculating accretion onto AGN stars by truncating accretion to the Hill radius.

Additionally, when computing mass-loss rates, we study the effects of reducing the Eddington luminosity $L_{\text{Edd}}$ by a factor of $1 - \Omega^2/\Omega_c^2$, where

$$\Omega_c \equiv \sqrt{GM_*/R},$$

is the critical angular velocity for a rigidly rotating sphere. This accounts for the fact that the centrifugal acceleration reduces the effective escape velocity of the star and so reduces the radiative acceleration needed to unbind material from the surface (Maeder 2009; Sanyal et al. 2015). We refer to models computed using the unmodified Eddington luminosity as $\Gamma$ models and those computed using the rotationally reduced Eddington luminosity as $\Gamma - \Omega$ models. We generally believe the $\Gamma - \Omega$ prescription is more physical, though we show models computed with both prescriptions for comparison.

Note that we do not account for the effect of surface gravity darkening on the Eddington limit. That correction would serve to increase the surface-averaged Eddington ratio by decreasing the luminosity near the equator and increasing it near the poles. Hence incorporating gravity darkening would correct the $\Gamma - \Omega$ prescription partially back toward the $\Gamma$ prescription (Glatzel 1998; Maeder & Meynet 2000). We therefore expect that the prescriptions we use here serve as bounds on the effect of rotation on the Eddington limit.

Along similar lines we do not account for the effects of rotation on the hydrostatic structure of the star. Such effects may become important as our models near critical rotation, though we suspect that these corrections are small compared with other uncertainties in, e.g., the treatment of boundary conditions and the accretion stream.

We initialized our runs with a nonrotating zero-age main-sequence solar model of mass $1\,M_\odot$ with $\log L/L_\odot \approx -0.1$ and $\log T_{\text{eff}}/K \approx 3.8$. We then relaxed the boundary conditions and accretion rate over approximately $10^5$yr from solar like to those described by Cantiello et al. (2021). Some models fail during this relaxation. We have endeavored to minimize the number of such failures, but given the radical adjustment in conditions, it is not surprising that some failed, especially at higher AGN densities.

After relaxation we evolved our models until either an age of $10^9$yr, a core temperature of $3 \times 10^9$ K, or failure to converge. We imposed the age limit because AGN disk lifetimes are believed to be of order 1–100 Myr (Haiman & Hui 2001; Martini & Weinberg 2001; Krühler et al. 2019) and because AGN stars that do not complete their evolution in $10^9$yr generally follow standard stellar evolution. The core temperature limit allows us to halt models during oxygen burning. Models reaching these core temperatures are expected to undergo core collapse within a timescale of order a year.

AGN stars rapidly accrete and reach the Eddington luminosity. Because of this, their interiors have comparable radiation and gas pressures and hence follow $\gamma = 4/3$ polytropes. This means that they are on the edge of stability, and Cantiello et al. (2021) argued that this means that any of a variety of processes rapidly mix the star. These include Eddington–Sweet circulation (Eddington 1929) and mixing driven by simultaneous and rapid accretion and mass loss. See also Jiang et al. (2015) for numerical evidence for mixing even in nominally stable layers of massive stars with comparable Eddington ratios. Following this argument, we implement their phenomenological mixing prescription and further assume that these stars rotate as rigid bodies. As a result, the rotation of an AGN star is specified entirely by its total angular momentum.

3. Rotation Evolution

We assume that AGN stars only change angular momentum through mass loss or accretion. Following Cantiello et al. (2021) we allow AGN stars to both accrete ($M_{\text{gain}}$) and lose mass ($M_{\text{loss}}$) at the same time, imagining a multidimensional system with simultaneous inflows and radiation-driven outflows.

Because AGN disks are turbulent environments, the angular momentum they accrete is best treated as a random variable. In Appendix B we describe a method for modeling the total angular momentum of the AGN star as a normally distributed random variable characterized by a mean $\langle J \rangle$ and a variance $\sigma_J^2$.

In practice, however, the correlation timescale of velocities in the AGN disk is of order the dynamical time in the Bondi sphere, which is short compared with the evolutionary timescale of an embedded star. As a result we always find that $\sigma_J^2/\langle J \rangle^2 \ll 1$, such that the angular momentum is well characterized by its expectation value. While our calculations follow the full stochastic model described in Appendix B, in what follows we consider only the mean angular momentum, which we denote simply by $J$.

We ignore torques due to magnetic coupling between the star and its environment, such that the mean angular momentum of
the star evolves due to mass loss and accretion as

\[ \frac{dJ}{dt} = M_{\text{gain}} J_{\text{gain,avg}} - M_{\text{loss}} J_{\text{loss,avg}}, \]

where \( M_{\text{gain}} \) is the accretion rate, \( M_{\text{loss}} \) is the rate of mass loss, \( J_{\text{gain,avg}} \) is the mean specific angular momentum of the accreting material, and \( J_{\text{loss,avg}} \) is the mean specific angular momentum of the lost material. The remainder of this section computes the terms appearing in this equation.

### 3.1. Mass Loss

We assume that mass is lost preferentially at the equator of the star and so carries the equatorial specific angular momentum

\[ J_{\text{loss}} = \Omega R_{\text{equator}}^2, \]

This is a conservative choice in that it maximizes the lost angular momentum; any other choice would result in a smaller \( J_{\text{loss}} \) and hence faster-rotating stars. For simplicity we assume that \( R_{\text{equator}} \) equals the mean radius of the star, so that

\[ J_{\text{loss}} = J \left( \frac{M_* R_*^2}{I} \right) = \frac{J}{k M_*}, \]

where

\[ I = \int_0^M \frac{2}{3} r^2 dm \]

is the moment of inertia of the star and

\[ k = \frac{I}{M_* R_*^2} \]

is the gyration parameter (i.e., the nondimensional gyration radius).

### 3.2. Mass Accretion

Next, we must calculate the specific angular momentum of the accreting mass. There are three relevant length scales for accretion onto an AGN star, namely the Bondi radius, the Hill radius, and the disk scale height. These three are generally not independent, so we choose to parameterize the accretion physics in terms of the Bondi and Hill radii.

In a stationary, infinite medium we expect accretion onto a lone star to begin at the Bondi radius,

\[ R_{\text{Bondi}} = \frac{2GM_*}{c_s^2}, \]

because that is the radius at which the escape velocity from the star becomes comparable to the sound speed of the medium (Bondi 1952). Here \( c_s \) is the sound speed in the AGN disk and \( M_* \) is the mass of the star.

When the star orbits an SMBH, however, the star’s gravity competes not only with the disk pressure but also with tidal forces from the SMBH. The radius at which the star’s gravity dominates over tides from the SMBH is the Hill radius,

\[ R_{\text{Hill}} = a \left( \frac{M_*}{3M_{\text{BH}}} \right)^{1/3}, \]

where \( a \) is the semimajor axis of the orbit of the AGN star around the central SMBH. Note that this angular momentum is oriented retrograde relative to the disk because material closer to the SMBH is moving faster than the star, and material farther out is moving slower.

So long as

\[ J_{\text{gain,avg}} < j_{\text{max}} = \sqrt{GM_* R_*}, \]

material can fall directly from the accretion radius onto the star, and it is likely a good approximation to ignore torques at \( R_{\text{acc}} \). However, when \( J_{\text{gain,avg}} > j_{\text{max}} \), material must shed angular
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**Figure 1.** Material accretes from a differentially rotating disk onto a star, spinning the star up. This is shown in the frame of the SMBH (upper) and the frame comoving with the star (lower). AGN stars obtain retrograde rotation (backwards with respect to their orbital motion).
momentum in order to accrete. The material likely forms an accretion disk which then transports angular momentum outwards toward and beyond \( R_{\text{acc}} \) via viscous and/or magnetic torques. We therefore truncate \( j_{\text{gain,avg}} \) to be no greater than \( j_{\text{max}} \). Implicitly we therefore assume that excess angular momentum beyond \( j_{\text{max}} \) is lost via magnetic/viscous torques across the accretion radius.

Dittmann et al. (2021) investigated the effect of modifications to the accretion rate when the accreting angular momentum is large and found that these corrections (1) do not qualitatively change AGN star evolution beyond the effects of tides and (2) are generally less important than the tidal effects which we have included. We therefore do not reduce the accretion rate in this case, though it is likely that the formation of a disk is associated with some reduction in \( M_{\text{gain}} \) and that may have some quantitative effect on the resulting evolution.

3.3. Truncation

The star cannot spin faster than its critical rotation rate and so its total angular momentum is limited to

\[
J \lesssim J_{\text{crit}} \approx k \sqrt{GM_*^2R_*},
\]

where we have ignored aspherical corrections in this approximation. To impose the constraint in Equation (12) we truncate \( J \) at the end of each time step to lie between \(-J_{\text{crit}} + J_{\text{crit}}\).

4. Analytic Predictions

Before studying our numerical results, it is worth deriving some analytical predictions. For these purposes we focus on AGN stars that undergo runaway accretion, and divide their evolution into three phases:

1. Initial runaway accretion.
2. Constant mass (the “Immortal Phase” of Cantiello et al. 2021).
3. Late-stage super-Eddington mass loss.

4.1. Runaway Accretion

During runaway accretion the accretion rate is always much greater than mass loss. Moreover, because the star begins with \( J = 0 \) the mean angular momentum is increasing. Therefore, with \( j_{\text{loss,avg}} \propto \Omega_* \) we see that \( j_{\text{gain,avg}} \) is typically larger than \( j_{\text{loss,avg}} \). Here \( \Omega_* \) is the angular velocity of the star. With these two considerations we ignore angular momentum loss and assume that

\[
\frac{dJ}{dt} = M_{\text{gain}}j_{\text{gain,avg}}.
\]

With some rearranging, we find

\[
\frac{d \ln J}{d \ln M_*} = \frac{j_{\text{gain,avg}}M_*}{J} = \frac{d \ln J_{\text{crit}}}{d \ln M_*}.
\]

Inserting Equation (12) for \( J_{\text{crit}} \) and treating \( k \) as a constant we find

\[
\frac{d \ln J}{d \ln M_*} = \frac{j_{\text{gain,avg}}M_*}{J} = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d \ln R_*}{d \ln M_*},
\]

where the total derivative on the right-hand side is equivalent to \((M_*/\dot{M})d \ln R_*/dt\).

We now estimate \( d \ln R_*/d \ln M_* \). Cantiello et al. (2021) found that when AGN stars become massive they become radiation dominated and thus approach \( \gamma = 4/3 \) polytropes. For a fixed composition this implies that they have approximately fixed gyration parameter \( k^3 \). Because of their high accretion rates they continue to undergo core hydrogen burning throughout the accretion phase. The rate of nuclear burning is an extremely strong function of core temperature, so this regulates their core temperatures to a narrow window around \( \log T/K \approx 7.5 \). Because AGN stars in this phase are radiation dominated, we know that

\[
P_c \approx P_{\text{rad}} = \frac{1}{3} a T_c^4,
\]

where the subscript “c” denotes a quantity evaluated in the core and \( a \) is the radiation gas constant. For a spherical star in hydrostatic equilibrium, we also know that

\[
P_c \approx \frac{GM_*^2}{R_*^2}.
\]

Combining Equations (16) and (17) we find

\[
R_* \propto M_*^{1/2}T_c^{-1},
\]

so if \( T_c \) is approximately constant then

\[
R_* \propto M_*^{1/2}.
\]

Inserting Equation (19) into Equation (15) we find

\[
\frac{d \ln J}{d \ln M_*} = \frac{j_{\text{gain,avg}}M_*}{J} - \frac{7}{4}.
\]

With increasing mass we see that this reaches a fixed point when

\[
\frac{J}{J_{\text{crit}}} = 4 \frac{7}{\min \left( \frac{M_\text{AGN}R_{\text{acc}}^2}{k J}, \frac{1}{j_{\text{crit}}} \right)}.
\]

that is, the specific angular momentum approaches the smaller of either \( 4/7k \) times the critical or the specific angular momentum of the accreting material.

For any choices of AGN disk parameters, \( M_* \Omega_{\text{AGN}}R_{\text{acc}}^2 \gg j_{\text{crit}}/k \), which means that

\[
\frac{d \ln J}{d \ln M_*} = \frac{j_{\text{crit}}}{k J} - \frac{7}{4}.
\]

Hence with increasing mass we see that \( J/J_{\text{crit}} \) increases rapidly, asymptotically to the fixed point where \( J = (4/7k)j_{\text{crit}} \).

For a sphere of uniform density, \( k = 2/5 \). AGN stars have higher densities in their cores than their envelopes and so have \( k < 2/5 \). As a result the fixed point has \( J > (10/7)j_{\text{crit}} > j_{\text{crit}} \) and so in our models \( J \) grows to \( J_{\text{crit}} \) and truncates there.

\[ ^5 k \text{ is only approximately fixed because the precise definition of } R_* \text{ matters, and this is not necessarily a constant as our boundary conditions do depend on } M_* \]. Hence \( k \) does vary slightly as the star accretes, though empirically (Section 5) we do find that \( k \) is approximately constant during this phase of evolution.
4.2. Constant Mass

In the constant-mass regime, accretion is balanced by mass loss. The mean angular momentum evolves according to

$$\frac{dJ}{dt} = M_{\text{gain}} J_{\text{gain,avg}} - M_{\text{loss}} J_{\text{loss}} = \dot{M}_{\text{gain}} \left( J_{\text{gain,avg}} - \frac{J}{kM_*} \right).$$  

(24)

This has a fixed point when

$$\frac{J}{kM_*} = J_{\text{gain,avg}},$$

(26)

so stars in this evolutionary phase evolve to a specific angular momentum which is proportional to that which they accrete.

When $M_* \Omega_{\text{AGN}} R_{\text{acc}}^2 \gg \sqrt{GM_* R_*} = J_{\text{crit}}/k$ we truncate $J_{\text{gain,avg}}$ to $\sqrt{GM_* R_*} = J_{\text{crit}}/kM_*$, in which case the fixed point has

$$J = J_{\text{crit}}$$

(27)

and stars tend toward critical rotation. For $M_* \Omega_{\text{AGN}} R_{\text{acc}}^2 \ll J_{\text{crit}}/k$, AGN stars will evolve toward sub-critical rotation.

4.3. Late-stage Mass Loss

During late-stage mass loss, the rate of mass loss is always much greater than the accretion rate, so the angular momentum evolves according to

$$\frac{dJ}{dt} = M_{\text{loss}} J_{\text{loss}} = \frac{\dot{M}_{\text{loss}} J_{\text{loss}}}{kM_*}.$$  

(28)

Following the same reasoning as in Section 4.1 and again assuming constant $k$ we obtain

$$\frac{d\ln J_{\text{crit}}}{d\ln M} = \frac{1}{k} - \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d\ln R_*}{d\ln M_*} \approx \frac{1}{k} - \frac{7}{4}. $$

(29)

Because density increases toward the center of the star we have $k < 2/5$, which means that $k < 4/7$ as well, so $J_{\text{crit}}/J_{\text{crit}}$ becomes smaller as the star loses mass. Note, however, the factor of $J_{\text{crit}}/J$ difference between Equations (21) and (29): during the accretion phase the star evolves toward critical faster than it loses angular momentum in the mass-loss phase. As a result we expect stars to net gain angular momentum during their evolution.

In particular, so long as $M_* \Omega_{\text{AGN}} R_{\text{acc}}^2 \gg J_{\text{crit}}/k$ we expect that most AGN stars eventually reach critical rotation. When they subsequently lose mass they spin down. How far they fall below critical depends on how much mass they lose and on the gyration parameter $k$, which we cannot estimate in an analytic fashion.

5. Results

We now turn to the results of our numerical simulations.

5.1. Time Evolution

Figure 2 shows the evolution of mass ($M_*$, upper), angular velocity relative to critical ($J/J_c$, middle), and gyration parameter ($k$, lower) as functions of time for stellar models with both the $\Gamma$ (left) and rotation-reduced (right, $\Gamma - \Omega$) prescription for the Eddington luminosity and associated mass loss. Evolutionary tracks are colored by AGN density $\rho_{\text{AGN}}$, which we swept in the range $10^{-18} \sim 10^{-11}$ g cm$^{-3}$. We prescribe the AGN temperature by specifying a disk sound speed $c_s = 10$ km s$^{-1}$, which is the default used by Cantillo et al. (2021). The Keplerian angular velocity $\Omega_{\text{AGN}}$ determines the distance from the SMBH, and thus the strength of tidal effects, and was set to $10^{-11}$ rad s$^{-1}$.

For both the $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma - \Omega$ $L_{\text{Edd}}$ prescriptions we see that models above an AGN disk density of $10^{-18}$ g cm$^{-3}$ exhibit rapid runaway accretion, in agreement with the findings of Cantillo et al. (2021) and Dittmann et al. (2021). In the case of the $\Gamma$ prescription, models with density above $3 \times 10^{-18}$ g cm$^{-3}$ are then immortal, exhibiting a balance between accretion and mass loss that replenishes fresh hydrogen in their cores. Models below this critical density eventually deplete their core hydrogen and evolve, rapidly losing mass to become high-metallicity compact objects. In the case of the $\Gamma - \Omega$ prescription, mass loss generally wins over accretion in the end, and we see most models evolve into compact objects.

In each case the initial accretion causes the stars to spin up to critical rotation. Surprisingly, the stars that lose mass remain critically rotating. This is not what we predicted in Section 4.3, and the reason for the discrepancy is that there we assumed that the gyration parameter is constant throughout the star’s evolution, whereas we see from the lower row of Figure 2 that stars become much more compact as they enter later stages of nuclear burning, resulting in a lower gyration parameter. Thus, even though their specific angular momentum falls, they become compact even faster and remain critically rotating.

The few models that do not end their lives as critical rotators are those that remained at relatively low masses. These models are not chemically or quasi-chemically homogeneous, and proceed to evolve onto the red giant branch. The resulting large radial extension implies that these objects rotate with very slow, subcritical rotation velocities. We expect such evolution to dominate at low densities of $\lesssim 10^{-18}$ g cm$^{-3}$ for the $\Gamma$ prescription and $\lesssim 10^{-10}$ g cm$^{-3}$ for the $\Gamma - \Omega$ prescription.

We confirm this picture by examining Figure 3, which shows the same evolutionary tracks on a Hertzsprung–Russell diagram in terms of $\log T_{\text{shock}}/K$ and $\log L/L_c$. Here $T_{\text{shock}}$ is the temperature of the accretion shock, which marks the outer boundary condition of our models. In this view it is clear that the $\Gamma - \Omega$ models with $\rho_{\text{AGN}} < 10^{-11}$ g cm$^{-3}$ are unique in that they evolve onto the red giant branch and move toward lower $T_{\text{shock}}$. These are the only slow rotators we see.

It is instructive to compare Figure 3 to Figure (1) of Haemmerl et al. (2018), which shows the evolution of stellar models with a large fixed $M$ on a Hertzsprung–Russell diagram. In general our $\Gamma$ models reach luminosities comparable to their lower $M$ models ($M < 1 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) and have comparable accretion rates of 0.01–0.1 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, while our $\Gamma - \Omega$ models accrete considerably more slowly and so only reach luminosities of $10^5 L_c$. This difference in accretion rate is likewise why we find that the $\Gamma$ models are usually in either the immortal or the runaway phases, while the $\Gamma - \Omega$ models typically end by shedding mass and reaching advanced stages of nuclear burning.

5.2. Tidal Forces

We next study the role of tides, which we choose to parameterize by $\Omega_{\text{AGN}}$. Figure 4 shows the peak mass (upper)
and final mass (lower) for models in a grid running over \( \rho_{\text{AGN}} \in [10^{-18}...10^{-11}] \text{g cm}^{-3} \) and \( \Omega_{\text{AGN}} \in [10^{-15}...10^{-7}] \text{rad s}^{-1} \). The range of angular velocities corresponds to radial coordinates in the disk on the order of \( 10^3...10^6 \) gravitational radii, and the range of densities corresponds to of order \( 10^3...10^6 \) gravitational radii. Here the gravitational radius is

\[
r_g = \frac{2GM_{\text{BH}}}{c^2},
\]

where \( c \) is the speed of light.

For the range of \( \Omega_{\text{AGN}} \) considered here tidal effects can significantly alter the evolution of AGN stars but only minimally alter stellar orbits in the disk. The timescale for...
the semimajor axis of a star to change is \( \tau \sim |a/\dot{a}| \sim Q_s'(M_\star/M_{BH})(a/R_s)^3\Omega_{AGN} \), where \( Q_s' \) is a dimensionless parameter describing dissipation in the star typically taking values \( \sim 10^6-10^{12} \) (Ogilvie & Lin 2007; Barker & Ogilvie 2009). Considering a solar-mass star around a \( 10^8 M_\odot \) SMBH with \( \Omega_{AGN} = 10^{-7} \text{ s}^{-1} \), we show below that tidal effects greatly alter the evolution of this star even though the orbit evolves on the extremely long timescale of \( \tau \sim Q_s' 10^{25} \text{ s} \). In general we expect tides to have a negligible influence on the evolution of stellar orbits over typical timescales for stellar evolution or AGN disks. However, this may change for stars orbiting much closer to the SMBH than we consider here.

As before, we study models with both the \( \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma - \Omega \) prescription for \( L_{\text{Edd}} \), which governs the rate of mass loss. As a reminder the \( \Gamma - \Omega \) prescription has a rotationally reduced \( L_{\text{Edd}} \) and so undergoes rotationally enhanced mass loss.

With increasing \( \Omega_{AGN} \) the Hill radius falls below the Bondi radius and accretion onto the star becomes tidally limited. Thus, we see a trend toward decreasing peak and final mass with increasing \( \Omega_{AGN} \). For the same reason, more models successfully ran at higher \( \Omega_{AGN} \) because they accreted more slowly during the initial model relaxation.

Comparing the \( \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma - \Omega \) prescriptions we see lower masses in the models where rotation reduces \( L_{\text{Edd}} \). This is because as \( L_{\text{Edd}} \) decreases the threshold for forming a super-Eddington wind decreases, so significant mass loss begins at lower masses than in the regular-\( L_{\text{Edd}} \) models.

Consistent with Dittmann et al. (2021) in the \( \Gamma \) grid we see several different classes of evolution:

1. At low densities of \( 10^{-18} \text{ g cm}^{-3} \) or less, stars do not accrete beyond \( 10 M_\odot \) and so follow ordinary massive stellar evolution, going up the giant branch and reaching \( R > 100 R_\odot \) (blue region in Figure 5).
2. At higher densities and \( \Omega_{AGN}/\rho_{AGN} \lesssim 10^2 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{s}^{-4/3} \) tidal effects are unimportant. Stars rapidly gain mass and reach \( 10^5-10^6 M_\odot \). At that point either the models remain at that high mass in the immortal state or the MESA models fail to converge. In the latter case we believe that if they converged they would enter the immortal state at an even higher mass (purple region in the left panel).
3. At higher \( \Omega_{AGN}/\rho_{AGN} \gtrsim 10^2 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{s}^{-4/3} \), the Hill radius falls below the Bondi radius for a wide range of stellar densities.

Figure 3. Evolutionary tracks are shown on a Hertzsprung–Russell diagram in terms of \( \log T_{\text{shock}}/K \) and \( \log L/L_\odot \) for stellar models at varying \( \rho_{AGN} \). The end of each evolutionary track is labeled by either a star (likely core collapse), an upward arrow (ongoing accretion), or a right-pointing arrow (immortal phase). Recall that the \( \Gamma - \Omega \) models have \( L_{\text{Edd}} \) reduced to account for rotational effects, and so undergo more rapid mass loss. These models have Keplerian angular velocity \( \Omega_{AGN} = 10^{-11} \text{ rad s}^{-1} \) and AGN disk sound speed \( c_s = 10 \text{ km s}^{-1} \).
masses. This limits the accretion rate and thereby limits the peak mass. However, these objects do not undergo ordinary stellar evolution because they are near the Eddington limit and rapidly exchange material with the AGN disk via accretion and mass loss (green region).

4. Models at very high $\rho_{\text{AGN}}$ and $\Omega_{\text{AGN}}$ accrete rapidly but MESA fails to converge too early into their evolution for us to even guess as to what will happen at late times (red region).

In addition to the above, a small fraction of models on the boundary between regions (2) and (3) peak in mass around $100M_\odot$, burn through all of their hydrogen, and undergo rapid mass loss down to $10M_\odot$, eventually becoming compact helium/carbon/oxygen stars. A more detailed characterization of this behavior is provided by Dittmann et al. (2021).

Because many stars become rapid rotators, when we reduce $L_{\text{Edd}}$ to account for rotational effects ($\Gamma - \Omega$), we see the boundaries between these classes shift considerably:

1. Rather than an unusual edge case, a majority of $\Gamma - \Omega$ models with $\Omega_{\text{AGN}}^4/\rho_{\text{AGN}} < 10^3 \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1/3}$ peak around $30-1000M_\odot$. This accretion spins them to near-critical rotation and enhances mass loss. They then deplete in hydrogen and rapidly shrink to become compact $10M_\odot$ helium/carbon/oxygen stars (purple region on the right in Figure 5).

2. At $\rho \gtrsim 10^{-15} \text{g cm}^{-3}$ and $\Omega_{\text{AGN}} \lesssim 10^{-14} \text{rad s}^{-1}$, the accreting angular velocity is not enough to spin stars up to critical. The resulting reduction in $L_{\text{Edd}}$ is small, so these objects accrete without bound until MESA fails to converge. We expect these would become immortal if MESA were able to follow their evolution (dark blue region on the right in Figure 5).

A schematic summarizing the mass evolution we see for both the $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma - \Omega$ grids is shown in Figure 5.

Figure 6 shows the corresponding angular momentum evolution. The upper row shows the critical ratio $J/J_c$ at the time of peak mass, and the lower row shows the same at the end of the run. In nearly all cases, the models reach critical rotation by the time their masses peak and remain critical through the end of the run. The models that do not reach critical rotation at any point are almost all at very low $\Omega_{\text{AGN}}$ and high $\rho_{\text{AGN}}$. These accrete quickly, but the infalling material has low specific angular momentum and so does not spin them up to
critical. MESA then fails to converge when the runs reach very large masses, so we never see if these models are immortal or become compact objects. Interestingly, models at the same $\Omega_{\text{AGN}}$ but lower density do become critical because while they accrete to a subcritical rotation rate, they subsequently become compact stars, which ultimately make them critical.

A number of models reach critical rotation at some point but end their evolution subcritical. In the $\Gamma$ grid, these follow the line $\Omega_{\text{AGN}}/\rho_{\text{AGN}} \approx 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-4/3}$. Models in this population accrete slowly because tidal effects truncate $R_{\text{acc}}$ to be less than $R_{\text{Bondi}}$. This slow accretion means that models only make it up to a mass of roughly $10 M_\odot$, at which point they undergo relatively normal stellar evolution and run up the red giant branch (RGB). This causes them to inflate substantially, raising $J_c$ and thereby lowering $J/J_c$.

In the $\Gamma - \Omega$ grid, the same thing happens but over a wider range of parameter space, extending down to $\Omega_{\text{AGN}} \approx 10^{-12} \text{ rad s}^{-1}$ at $\rho_{\text{AGN}} \lesssim 10^{-17} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$. More models end up on the RGB in this grid because they experienced rotationally enhanced mass loss.

In both grids, models at higher $\Omega_{\text{AGN}}$ than this population accrete slower and so end up with $M_e \approx 2 M_\odot$ after $10^9$ yr. Because the specific angular momentum of the infalling material is high and $k \lesssim 1/2$, this is enough to cause them to rotate critically, though they have not yet reached their peak mass.

The majority of our models accrete mass and angular momentum, reach critical rotation, and are then either immortal or remain critically rotating through the subsequent mass loss. Those that undergo mass loss remain critical for the same reason as the models in Figure 2; as models lose mass, they also proceed to later stages of nuclear burning and become more compact. This is shown in Figure 7, which plots the gyration parameter $k$ at peak mass (upper) and the end of the run (lower). Comparing with Figure 4, we see that models that lose substantial amounts of mass are much more compact at the end of their mass loss than at their peak mass (i.e., $k$ falls with time). This is particularly evident in the runs with the $\Gamma - \Omega$ prescription, most of which shed 90% of their mass from peak to end.

In both grids, the models that do not end in a critical state are split into two populations. There is a cluster at high densities and low $\Omega_{\text{AGN}}$ for which MESA fails to converge before they reach their maximum masses. However, we believe by analogy with models at slightly lower densities, which do converge, that these models would become critical if we were able to follow their evolution through to the compact object state. The second population are at low densities and high $\Omega_{\text{AGN}}$. These stars accrete slowly enough that they become giants rather than reaching extreme masses and then forming compact objects. These giant models have enormous moments of inertia and so even though they spend most of their lives with near-critical rotation, they die as slow rotators.

6. Astrophysical Implications

To summarize our findings, in regions of the AGN disk where $\rho_{\text{AGN}} > \rho_{\text{crit}} \approx 10^{-18} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ we expect captured stars to undergo rapid accretion. This density scale decreases with sound speed as $\rho_{\text{AGN}} \propto \epsilon_s^{-3}$ (Dittmann et al. 2021) and increases toward the SMBH as tidal effects become more important.6

Because there is a velocity gradient in the AGN disk, the disk has a net vorticity in the frame of a co-orbiting star. On scales of the Bondi radius, this vorticity means that gas in the disk has a large, typically super-Keplerian, specific angular velocity $\Omega$.

---

6 When tides dominate, the scaling is instead $\rho_{\text{AGN}} \propto \epsilon_s^{-1}$, which happens around $\Omega \sim 10^{-7} \text{ rad s}^{-1}$ when $\epsilon_s = 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.
momentum in the frame of the star. When that gas accretes, even if limited to the Keplerian angular velocity, it serves to rapidly spin the star up to critical even very far out in the disk where $\Omega_{\text{AGN}}$ is as low as $3 \times 10^{-14} \text{ rad s}^{-1}$.

Depending on the exact physical prescriptions used, many of these stars then evolve through later stages of nuclear burning, undergo rapid mass loss, and become compact $10M_\odot$ high-metallicity objects. We expect core collapse to occur soon after, though we have not tried to follow the collapse process in MESA (Cantiello et al. 2021). Because these stars become more compact as they lose mass, they remain critical rotators through the end despite shedding a large fraction of their peak angular momentum. They are therefore good candidates for producing long GRBs and fast-spinning black holes.

The stars that do not lose mass enter an immortal phase (Cantiello et al. 2021) where fresh hydrogen-rich material is accreted fast enough to continuously replenish the core. This halts stellar evolution, and in principle, this phase can persist for arbitrarily long periods, though in practice after an indefinite period of time these stars likely either enter a low-density pocket of the AGN disk or the disk itself dissipates. In either case mass loss then comes to overwhelm accretion and the immortal phase ends, with stars rapidly evolving toward the same compact $10M_\odot$ state as before (Cantiello et al. 2021). We expect this to again end in the core collapse of a critically rotating star.

We now examine the prospects for producing long GRBs and rapidly rotating black holes.

### 6.1. Production of GRBs and Their Observability in AGN Disks

Our results, and in particular the fact that massive stars in AGNs are found to be fast rotators, bear important implications for long GRBs. These are found to be a fraction of $\sim 0.5\%$–$4\%$ of Type Ibc supernovae in the local universe (e.g., Della Valle 2006) and are known to be associated with very energetic supernovae from the collapse of massive stars (Hjorth et al. 2003; Stanek et al. 2003), as suggested by theoretical models (MacFadyen & Woosley 1999; Woosley & Heger 2006; Yoon et al. 2006). The $\gamma$-ray emission is believed to be produced within a relativistic jet (e.g., Piran 1999), and a key element to

---

**Figure 6.** The peak ratio $J/J_c$ (upper) and at the end of the run (lower) is shown for each model with the $\Gamma$ (left) and $\Gamma - \Omega$ (right) prescriptions as functions of the AGN density $\rho_{\text{AGN}}$ and Keplerian angular velocity $\Omega_{\text{AGN}}$. 
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launch a jet is believed to be a hyperaccreting disk around a BH\(^7\) (MacFadyen et al. 2001).

For an accretion disk to be formed, a fraction of gas must remain bound after the supernova explosion, and it must possess a specific angular momentum \(j_m\) at least as large as the specific angular momentum of the last stable orbit, \(j_{ls}\). Our results (cf. upper panel of Figure 8) show that close to core collapse, the envelopes of AGN stars are endowed with enough angular momentum to produce an accretion disk around a newly formed BH (\(j_m > j_{ls}\) virtually for any BH with nonzero spin). Hence we draw the important conclusion that, upon their death, massive stars in AGN disks are typically expected to produce long GRBs. It is interesting to compare these stars to the Wolf–Rayet progenitors of standard long GRBs from field stars (MacFadyen & Woosley 1999; Yoon et al. 2006; Woosley & Heger 2006; Cantiello et al. 2007). The similarity is not surprising because here we assume that AGN stars accreting large amounts of mass are well mixed (Cantiello et al. 2021). Hence they evolve quasi-chemically homogeneously, similarly to rapidly rotating long GRB (LGRB) progenitors. However, unlike the LGRBs from isolated star evolution, which tend to avoid high-metallicity environments (i.e., Palmerio et al. 2019) because high-metallicity stars more easily lose mass and hence angular momentum due to line-driven winds, stars in AGN disks lose mass due to core evolution driving super-Eddington winds. Hence mass loss happens on the same timescale on which the star becomes more compact, which counteracts the net reduction of angular momentum. Additionally, the stars acquire angular momentum via accretion. These processes make correlations with metallicity less likely than for the LGRBs, though the metallicity dependence of AGN star evolution will be subject to future investigation.

The amount of mass that is available for accretion is given by the fraction that remains bound after the supernova explosion (examples are given in Figure 6 of Perna et al. 2014). For weak explosions, most of the material falls back. The material that remains bound falls back on a timescale that is on the order of the freefall time (Woosley & Heger 2012),

\[
t_{ff}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{24G\rho}}. \tag{31}
\]

\[^{7}\text{Note however some models assume a magnetar engine (Thompson et al. 2004; Metzger et al. 2011).}\]
The mean density of the star. The precise distribution of the enclosed mass. Three models are shown from a single evolutionary track.

The viscosity parameter, written in units of $\alpha$.

The freefall time of the envelope clearly depend on the details of the supernova explosion, but a few models for the disk structure, the one by Sirko & Goodman (2003) and the one by Thompson et al. (2005). The location of the sources was assumed to be in the disk’s midplane, which is the most pessimistic case in terms of observability. This turns out to be also the most likely occurrence as it is expected that most of the stars interacting with the disk should end up in its midplane (e.g., Tanaka & Ward 2004).

The analysis by Perna et al. (2021) showed that the outcome is quite dependent on the disk model and on the SMBH mass, which relates to disk properties, such as the density and the radial extent. Long GRBs occurring in disks around SMBHs of mass $\sim 10^6 M_\odot$ are expected to appear as typical transients (that is similar to the ones occurring in standard galactic environments) for most locations of the disk, except for some regions, $\sim 10^{-a}$ a few $\times 10^3 r_g$ in the Sirko & Goodman (2003) disk model, and between a few $\times 10^3 - 10^4 r_g$ in the Thompson et al. (2005) disk model, when the prompt emission and early afterglow emerge on a timescale set by the diffusion time

$$t_{\text{diff}} \approx \frac{H^2 \rho_0 \sigma_T}{m_\text{p} c},$$

where $H$ is the scale height of the disk and $\rho_0$ the density in the disk midplane, $\sigma_T$ the Thomson cross section, and $m_\text{p}$ the proton mass.

For AGNs with SMBHs of larger masses, the regions in which both the prompt GRB emission and the afterglow appear normal are gradually reduced: the increasing opacity of the disk causes the transients to be diluted on the diffusion timescale. The magnitude of this timescale varies from minutes to several years, being generally smaller in the inner disk regions and for less massive AGN disks (see Figure 5 in Perna et al. 2021 for quantitative details).

6.2. Black Hole Spins

Our models predict that stars embedded in AGN disks of densities $\rho_{\text{AGN}} > 10^{-15} \text{g cm}^{-3}$ typically grow to large masses ($M_{\text{max}} \geq 50 M_\odot$). The exceptions are those very near the SMBH with $\Omega_{\text{AGN}} > 10^{-11} \text{rad s}^{-1}$, where tidal effects slow accretion. Even these less massive stars, however, typically evolve into massive helium/carbon/oxygen stars of roughly $10 M_\odot$ (see the lower row of Figure 4) which, owing to their compactness, likely undergo core collapse and form black holes (Cantiello et al. 2021).

Our models also suggest that AGN stars end their lives with near-critical rotation. This then seems to be the typical fate of AGN stars, to form black holes with mass $M_{\text{BH}} \approx 10 M_\odot$ and spin $a \approx 1$.

The resulting black holes may then go on to accrete and form a population of more massive objects (Bondi 1952; Salpeter 1964). The same differential rotation in the AGN disk that caused their progenitors to spin up will then maintain their rapid rotation even as they gain mass. The result is a population

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{Figure 8.} \quad \text{(Upper)} \quad & \text{The specific angular momentum profile in an AGN star model is shown as a function of enclosed mass coordinate } m. \text{ Also shown are the specific angular momenta of the least-stable orbits of a black hole of mass } m \text{ and spins } a = 0 \text{ and } a = 1. \text{ (Lower)} \quad & \text{The freefall time is shown as a function of enclosed mass. Three models are shown from a single evolutionary track computed with the } \Gamma - \Omega \text{ prescription, } \rho_{\text{AGN}} = 10^{-16} \text{ g cm}^{-3} \text{ and } \Omega_{\text{AGN}} = 10^{-11} \text{ rad s}^{-1}. \text{ The models were chosen at the onset of helium burning, the onset of carbon burning, and the late stages of oxygen burning.}
\end{align*} \]
of first-generation black holes with $M \geq 10M_\odot$, and $a \approx 1$. This channel of black hole formation is of particular interest given the recent observation of GW 190521, a merger of two mass gap black holes ($M_1 \approx 85M_\odot$, $M_2 \approx 66M_\odot$) consistent with large spins ($a_1 \approx 0.69^{+0.27}_{-0.62}$, $a_2 \approx 0.73^{+0.24}_{-0.62}$) (Abbott et al. 2020b), though see also Nitz & Capano (2021). While we do not have estimates of the formation rates of such objects, these black holes could be the descendants of low-mass stars embedded in an AGN disk. After accreting and becoming massive rapid rotators, they lost part of their mass and formed $\approx 10M_\odot$ black holes. These black holes further accreted from the disk, or they migrated and merged with other compact remnants, reaching their pre–GW 190521 masses (e.g., McKernan et al. 2012; Secunda et al. 2019; Yang et al. 2019; Tagawa et al. 2020).

A challenge to this picture is that the observed merger was most consistent with spins misaligned with the orbital plane (Abbott et al. 2020b), while a strong prediction of our models is that the black hole spins should be anti-aligned with the rotation of the AGN disk. While it is certainly possible for the orbital plane of the merging binary to be misaligned with the disk, this does not seem to be the most likely scenario, which suggests that other physics we have not considered could be at work in GW 190521.

7. Summary

AGN disks form in an environment rich with stars. Nuclear star clusters inhabit the centers of most galaxies and typically contain $10^3$–$10^6$ stars in the central parsec (Böker 2008). When the disk forms gas, drag captures many of these stars and an order-unity fraction of stars in the central parsec are expected to be captured in the $10^{-1}-10^{0}$ yr disk lifetime (Fabj et al. 2020). We therefore expect the central parsec of most AGN disks to contain of order $10^3$–$10^6$ stars.

These AGN stars are thought to evolve in a wide variety of unusual ways. Depending on the AGN disk density and sound speed and the strength of tidal forces, we expect AGN stars to

1. Cease to age,
2. Accrete up to masses over $10^3M_\odot$,
3. Undergo quasi-chemically homogeneous evolution,
4. Shed the vast majority of their mass,
5. Spin up to critical rotation, and/or
6. Form compact helium/carbon/oxygen stars.

All of these behaviors are exhibited by stellar models that began with the same zero-age main-sequence $1M_\odot$ initial condition, and the variation we see is entirely a function of the conditions in the AGN disk.

Here we studied the spin evolution of AGN stars, as well as its impact on the accretion and evolution of these objects. At densities $\rho_{\text{AGN}} \gtrsim 10^{-13}$ g cm$^{-3}$, AGN stars accrete rapidly. When that gas accretes it serves to rapidly spin AGN stars up to critical, even very far out in the disk where $\Omega_{\text{AGN}}$ is as low as $3 \times 10^{-14}$. We find that rotational enhancement of mass loss is then important, causing most of these stars to then rapidly lose mass and evolve into compact, critically rotating $10M_\odot$ objects of helium and heavier elements.

We expect most of these compact stars to eventually undergo core collapse, generating GRBs and leaving behind rapidly spinning black holes. Thus, we expect AGNs to be heavily enriched in energetic electromagnetic explosions and gravitational wave events, to the tune of $10^{36}$–$10^{46}$ events over the lifetime of the AGN disk, or $0.01$–$0.1$ per disk year.

For stars very near the central SMBH, we expect accretion to be tidally limited, resulting in slightly less massive, longer-lived stars, which nonetheless reach critical rotation. These could potentially form a population of rapidly rotating stars that persist for several million years after the AGN disk dissipates.
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Software: MESA (Paxton et al. 2011, 2013, 2015, 2018, 2019, http://mesa.sourceforge.net), MESA SDK (20190830) (Townsend 2019a, 2019b), matplotlib (Hunter 2007), NumPy (van der Walt et al. 2011), RemoteExperiments https://github.com/adammjernyn/remote_experiments.

Appendix A

Software Details

We performed calculations using revision 15140 of the MESA (Paxton et al. 2011, 2013, 2015, 2018, 2019) software instrument.

The MESA equation of state (EOS) is a blend of the OPAL (Rogers & Nayfonov 2002), SCVH (Saumon et al. 1995), FreeEOS (Irwin 2004), HELM (Timmes & Swesty 2000), and PC (Poteckhin & Chabrier 2010) EOSes.

Radiative opacities are primarily from OPAL (Iglesias & Rogers 1993, 1996), with low-temperature data from Ferguson et al. (2005) and the high-temperature, Compton-scattering-dominated regime by Buchler & Yeh (1976). Electron conduction opacities are from Cassisi et al. (2007).

Nuclear reaction rates are from JINA REACLIB (Cyburt et al. 2010) plus additional tabulated weak reaction rates from Fuller et al. (1985), Oda et al. (1994), and Langanke & Martínez-Pinedo (2000). Screening is included via the prescription of Chugunov et al. (2007). Thermal neutrino loss rates are from Itoh et al. (1996).

We performed extensive convergence testing on both the $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma - \Omega$ model grids using more than 1600 models to study the dependence of our results on time-step and mesh resolution. We determined that our results are independent of resolution for the spatial resolution parameter mesh_delta_ccoeff up to 1 and time resolution parameter time_delta_ccoeff up to 0.2. Note that this time resolution requires the use of our custom time-step controls.

The final configuration files and code used in our model grids are available in Jermyn et al. (2021). These are given in Python 3 Pickle files, which specify the changes to make to the configuration on top of a base configuration given in the file "inlist_project". These Pickle files also provide the short-sha’s of git commits which can be found in the git repository stored in Jermyn et al. (2021). Each such commit corresponds to a single MESA run directory used to perform one of our runs, including the full configuration files and “run_star_extras” code used. We further provide the Pickle files specifying the
configurations and short-sha’s of commits we used in the final set of convergence tests, which demonstrate that our results are converged.

The same git repository contains a history of nearly all MESA runs used to develop this work contributed to this work. These are commits whose messages contain the word “patch” and do not lie on any branch. These git experiments were performed using the RemoteExperiments software package, details of which may be found at https://github.com/adamjermyn/remote_experiments.

Appendix B

Stochastic Angular Momentum Evolution

The gas in AGN disks is believed to be turbulent, with a characteristic length scale of the disk scale height $H$ and characteristic velocity scale of the sound speed $c_s$. This turbulence imparts a random additional component to the angular momentum, which accretes onto a star embedded in the disk.

To model this system we treat the total angular momentum of the AGN star as a normally distributed random variable with mean $\langle J \rangle$ and variance $\sigma_J^2$. The mean evolves according to the differential equation

$$\frac{d \langle J \rangle}{dt} = M_{\text{gain}} \langle J_{\text{gain,avg}} \rangle - M_{\text{loss}} \langle J_{\text{loss,avg}} \rangle,$$

which just says that the mean angular momentum increases according to the mean accreted angular momentum $J_{\text{gain,avg}}$ and decreases according to the mean lost angular momentum $J_{\text{loss,avg}}$. The variance evolves according to a similar equation:

$$\frac{d \sigma_J^2}{dt} = \frac{M_{\text{gain}}^2 \sigma_J^2}{\tau_{\text{turb}}} - \frac{1}{k} \frac{M_{\text{loss}}}{M_*} \sigma_J^2.$$

We obtain the first term by assuming that the accreted material follows a random walk in $j$ with characteristic timescale $\tau_{\text{turb}}$ and step size $J_{\text{gain,std}}$ set by the structure of turbulence in the disk. We obtain the second term by assuming that the lost material has the specific angular momentum of the surface $J_{\text{loss}} = J/kM_*$, where

$$k = \frac{1}{M_* R_*^2}$$

is the gyration parameter and $I$ is the moment of inertia of the star.

Equation (B1) is the same as Equation (2), just with a different notation to emphasize the fact that $J$ is a random variable. We evaluated all terms in this equation in Section 3, so that all remains is to evaluate the terms $\tau_{\text{turb}}$ and $J_{\text{gain,std}}$, which appear in Equation (B2).

The angular momentum within the accretion radius varies stochastically due to turbulence in the disk, giving rise to the term $J_{\text{gain,std}}$. This is just the standard deviation of the specific angular momentum within the accretion stream, which we approximate by

$$J_{\text{gain,std}} \approx \sigma_J^2 \tau_{\text{turb}},$$

where $v_{\text{turb}}$ is the turbulent velocity at the scale of the accretion radius and $\tau_{\text{turb}}$ is the characteristic timescale of the turbulence at that length scale. We can estimate $\tau_{\text{turb}} \approx R_{\text{acc}}/v_{\text{turb}}$ and

$$v_{\text{turb}} \approx c_s (R_{\text{acc}}/H)^n$$

for $R_{\text{acc}} < H$, where

$$H \approx \frac{c_s}{\Omega_{\text{AGN}}},$$

$H$ is the scale height of the disk, $n$ is an index that depends on the nature of the turbulence, and $\Omega_{\text{AGN}} = \sqrt{GM_{\text{BH}}/a^3}$ is the angular velocity of the orbit of the star. In the inertial range, $n = 1/3$ for incompressible Kolmogorov turbulence (Kolmogorov 1941) and $n = 2/3$ for compressible Burgers turbulence (Burgers 1948; Federrath 2013). Because $v_{\text{turb}}$ only equals $c_s$ on the outermost scale of $H$, we use the Kolmogorov scaling and write

$$v_{\text{turb}} \approx \frac{c_s \min \left(1, \left(\frac{R_{\text{acc}}}{H}\right)^{1/3}\right)}{\langle J \rangle}$$

and

$$\tau_{\text{turb}} \approx \frac{\min(H, R_{\text{acc}})}{v_{\text{turb}}},$$

We can then evaluate $J_{\text{gain,std}}$ using Equation (B4).

Finally recall that we cannot allow the star to rotate supercritically. To incorporate this constraint, after each time step we check if $\langle J \rangle$ is supercritical. If it is, we truncate it to the nearer of $\pm J_{\text{crit}}$ and set $\sigma_J^2 = 0$. More sophisticated mappings are possible (e.g., Trenkle 1996), but in testing we found that when our models attain critical rotation, the variance $\sigma_J^2$ rapidly diminishes, making this approach a good approximation.
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