The Effect of Manipulated Prenatal Conditions on Growth, Survival, and Reproduction Throughout the Complete Life Course of a Precocial Bird
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The quality of the environment individuals experience during development is commonly regarded as very influential on performance in later life. However, studies that have experimentally manipulated the early-life environment and subsequently measured individual performance in all components of fitness over the complete life course are scarce. In this study, we incubated fertile eggs of Japanese quail (Coturnix japonica) at substandard and standard incubation temperature, and monitored growth, survival, and reproduction throughout the complete life course. While embryonic development was slower and hatching success tended to be lower under substandard incubation temperature, the prenatal treatment had no effect on post-hatching growth, survival, or age at first reproduction. In adulthood, body mass and investment in individual egg mass peaked at middle age, irrespective of the prenatal treatment. Individual reproduction rate declined soon after its onset, and was higher in females that lived longer. Yet, reproduction, and its senescence, were independent of the prenatal treatment. Similarly, adult survival over the complete lifespan was not affected. Hence, we did not find evidence for effects on performance beyond the developmental period that was manipulated. Our results suggest that effects of unfavorable developmental conditions on individual performance later in life could be negligible in some circumstances.
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INTRODUCTION

Quality differences in the environment individuals experience during development may have long-term effects on phenotype, survival, and reproduction, thereby profoundly impacting individual life histories and fitness (e.g., Kruuk et al., 1999; Metcalfe and Monaghan, 2001; Lummaa and Clutton-Brock, 2002; Reid et al., 2003; van de Pol et al., 2006; Nussey et al., 2007; Hamel et al., 2009; Hayward et al., 2013; Spagopoulou et al., 2020). Yet, whether negative fitness consequences of a poor developmental environment are universal, and at what life stage they are primarily incurred, remains difficult to infer (Cooper and Kruuk, 2018; Vedder et al., 2021). This difficulty partly...
stems from the scarcity of studies that combined experimental manipulation of the developmental environment with complete monitoring of individual lifelong performance. While experimental manipulation is required to establish an effect of the developmental environment per se, complete monitoring is required to avoid the problem of “the invisible fraction” (Grafen, 1988). This term refers to the fraction of individuals that does not survive, or recruit, to the pool of individuals of which fitness is measured. This fraction can be substantial due to mortality during individual development, or dispersal, and, if this fraction is non-random, can bias conclusions regarding the importance of the environment during development for complete fitness and life histories (Mojica and Kelly, 2010; Goodrich and Roach, 2013; Garratt et al., 2015; Vedder et al., 2021).

In this study, we experimentally manipulated the quality of the environment during early development in Japanese quail (Coturnix japonica), and monitored all age-specific components of fitness that compose total fitness: embryonic survival, survival to reproduction, age at onset of reproduction, lifelong age-specific reproduction and adult survival. Because effects of developmental conditions on fitness may be mediated by body mass differences in the wild (Plard et al., 2015; Ronget et al., 2018), we also monitored juvenile growth and adult body mass throughout life. Japanese quail are a popular avian model for research on physiology and senescence (Ottinger, 2001), in which all abovementioned components of fitness can be quantified. Moreover, it exhibits a very fast life history with a lifespan that rarely exceeds 3 years (Woodward and Abplanalp, 1971). To manipulate the quality of the early developmental environment, we artificially incubated fertile eggs at different incubation temperatures.

Low incubation temperatures in birds generally lead to slower embryonic development and reduced hatching success (Decuyper and Michels, 1992; DuRant et al., 2013). In addition, effects of incubation temperature on post-hatching phenotype are frequently reported, which may carry through to adulthood (DuRant et al., 2013). For example, in wood ducks (Aix sponsa) a low incubation temperature led to slower post-hatching growth, increased corticosterone levels, poorer locomotor performance, and a lower probability of recruitment to the breeding population (DuRant et al., 2010; Hopkins et al., 2011; Hepp and Kennamer, 2012). In blue tits (Cyanistes caeruleus) a low incubation temperature increased resting metabolic rate in the nestling stage (Nord and Nilsson, 2011), but intermediate incubation temperatures were associated with the highest adult body mass in the 3% of nestlings that were sampled in adulthood (Nord and Nilsson, 2016). An experiment in captive zebra finches (Taeniopygia guttata) found lower survival in adulthood in response to low incubation temperature, with survival being monitored until 77% of hatchlings were no longer alive (Bernsten and Bech, 2016). Yet, this does not necessarily imply that lower incubation temperatures negatively affect total fitness. Indeed, in common terns (Sterna hirundo) and Japanese quail a lower incubation temperature reduced telomere attrition during embryonic development (Vedder et al., 2018; Stier et al., 2020). Since increased telomere attrition may mechanistically link early-life adversity to decreased survival later in life-life (e.g., Heidinger et al., 2012; Eastwood et al., 2019), this illustrates the need to measure performance during an individual’s entire life.

In this study, we randomly assigned fertile Japanese quail eggs to incubation at standard and substandard temperature, and subsequently monitored individual performance in terms of growth, reproduction, and survival. We specifically monitored adult performance of females over their complete lifespan, to also test for senescence effects that only occur late in life. To our knowledge, this represents the most detailed study on the effects of incubation temperature on lifelong performance in any bird species to date.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental Procedures and Data Collection**

The study was performed in 2018 in a captive population of Japanese quail maintained at the Institute of Avian Research in Wilhelmshaven, Germany. In four breeding rounds, a total of 96 pairs were housed in breeding cages (122 cm × 50 cm × 50 cm). All individuals were of similar age (1 year old). They spent 8 days together in the breeding cages, and eggs were collected at a daily basis. Eggs were marked with an indelible marker and weighed, to the nearest 0.01 g, at the day of collection. Females were isolated from males, at least 10 days prior to pairing, to prevent stored sperm of previous males to fertilize any eggs (see Birkhead and Fletcher, 1994). The collected eggs were stored at 12°C and artificially incubated in four rounds, always within 7 days of laying. Excluding the egg that was laid on the first day after pairing (which was always undeveloped) for each pair, we collected a total of 604 eggs.

For the first 7 days, incubation of these eggs was performed at 37.7°C and 50% relative humidity in two identical fully automatic incubators (Grumbach, ProCon automatic systems GmbH & Co., KG, Mücke, Germany) that turned eggs every hour. After 7 days of incubation, eggs were candled. 525 of 604 eggs (87%) from 93 parent pairs contained a live embryo, of which 263 randomly selected eggs were further incubated at 37.7°C, whereas the other 262 eggs containing an embryo were further incubated at 36.0°C. The specific temperatures of the experimental groups were chosen as 37.7°C represents a standard incubation temperature that maximizes hatching success (Stier et al., 2020), while 36.0°C represents a considerable reduction in temperature that still results in viable hatchlings (Ben-Ezra and Burness, 2017). There was no difference in fresh egg mass between the two treatment groups (mean = 11.64 g for both groups, $\chi^2 = 0.35, \Delta df = 1, P = 0.552$). The subsequent incubation was performed in the same identical incubators as in the first week, but with one incubator set to 36.0°C. The incubator with the lower incubation temperature was alternated between rounds. After 14 days of incubation, the eggs were placed in marked individual compartments to allow the hatchling to be linked to the egg it hatched from. Further incubation until hatching was done at the same temperatures, but with 70% relative humidity and no egg turning, in two hatching incubators.
(Favorit, HEKA Brutgeräte, Rietberg, Germany). From 15 days of incubation onward, both hatching incubators were checked every 6 h to monitor hatching until no viable embryos were left (after around 20 days of incubation). This allowed us to measure the total incubation duration that each hatching required with a resolution of 0.25 days.

Once a day, all chicks that had hatched in the previous 24 h were taken out of the incubators, marked with a numbered plastic leg ring, weighed to the nearest 0.01 g, and placed in heated rearing cages (109 × 57 × 25 cm, Kükenuaufzuchtbox Nr 4002/C, HEKA Brutgeräte, Rietberg, Germany), with maximally 30 individuals of mixed incubation treatment per cage. In the rearing cages, water and food were provided ad libitum, with a 16-8 h light-dark cycle. The rearing diet contained 21.0% protein and had a caloric value of 11.4 MJ/Kg (GoldDott, DERBY Spezialfutter GmbH, Münster, Germany). The temperature of the rearing cages was set at 37.0°C at hatching and gradually lowered to room temperature (20-25°C) over the course of two weeks. After 14 days, the plastic leg rings were replaced with uniquely numbered aluminum rings, and the chicks transferred to outdoor aviaries in mixed treatment groups. Here, they were kept on an adult diet with 19.0% protein and a caloric value of 9.8 MJ/Kg (GoldDott, DERBY Spezialfutter GmbH, Münster, Germany), and received a minimum of 16 h of light per day.

All chicks were weighed at an age of 7, 14, 28, and 42 days after individual hatching, to the nearest 0.01 g at age 7 d, and to the nearest g at all later ages. Chicks were sexed based on plumage characteristics, which are distinctly different between males and females from the age of 4 weeks. All chicks that died prematurely were molecularly sexed (following Becker and Wink, 2003), except one. From 5 weeks onward, the chicks were individually checked for the onset of reproductive activity every 2-3 days. For males this was done by checking for the production of cloacal foam, which is a good indicator for sexual activity (Sachs, 1969). For females this was done by checking for the presence of an egg in the oviduct, which can be easily established by palpation. Each individual was weighed again at its age of onset of reproduction (hereafter referred to as “age at sexual maturity”). At the onset of reproduction, each female was temporarily housed in a breeding cage until she laid at least 4 eggs. This way, her laying rate was established and her eggs were weighed to the nearest 0.01 g on the day of laying. Afterward, the females were moved back into the outdoor aviaries, with a minimum of 16 h of light per day to ensure the continuation of reproductive activity (Kovach, 1974). All mortality was monitored on a daily basis, and all females were subsequently weighed and monitored for reproductive investment (laying rate and egg mass across 7 days) at approximately 0.5 year intervals. Due to logistic challenges of keeping large numbers of males, and the difficulty of testing individual male reproductive performance independent of partner performance, we did not monitor male adult performance.

**Statistical Analyses**

The effect of the treatment (as a categorical fixed effect) on hatching success, and post-hatching survival to sexual maturity, were analyzed using generalized linear mixed models with a binominal error distribution and a logit link function. Treatment effects on incubation duration, body mass at hatching and subsequent growth (i.e. mass at day 7, day 14, day 28, day 42, and sexual maturity), and age at sexual maturity, were analyzed using linear mixed models with normal error distributions. In the model for body mass growth, age was treated as a categorical fixed effect, such that the effect of the treatment on body mass was tested at all ages in a single model (i.e., the interaction effect between treatment and age in Table 1). All models included parent pair identity as a random effect, and the model for body mass growth additionally included individual identity, nested within parent pair, as a random effect. For the analyses on growth, post-hatching survival to sexual maturity, and age at sexual maturity, sex (and its interaction with age) was included as a fixed effect to account for potential sex differences in development and survival to sexual maturity.

The adult females were, on average, weighed and monitored for reproductive performance (see above) at an age of 58, 243, 404, 591, 863, 1,012, 1,134, and 1,224 days, if they reached that age. Effects of the incubation treatment on adult body mass, laying rate, and egg mass, were analyzed together with age (in days) as a continuous variable. To account for non-linear effects of age, we also always added the quadratic term of age. We also included age at last sampling per individual (following van de Pol and Verhulst, 2006) to assure that the effect of age represents the within-individual pattern, unconfounded by individuals with non-random phenotypes (with respect to the dependent variable) not surviving to later sampling ages. To test whether the incubation treatment had an effect on the rate of senescence (i.e., a within-individual decline in performance with age) we also tested for the interaction between treatment and age. These models included parent pair identity, and individual identity (nested within parent pair) as random effects. The models for adult body mass and egg mass assumed a normal error distribution, and the model for laying rate a binominal error distribution with a logit link function. All aforementioned models were run in MLwiN (version 2.22; Rasbash et al., 2004) and significance (P < 0.05) was assessed using the Wald statistic.

Breakpoint models may better describe non-linear effects of age, can pinpoint the age of peak performance, and assess whether a decline in performance (senescence) occurs after the peak (e.g., Berman et al., 2009). However, in our case, they have the disadvantage that age should be treated as a discrete variable. We therefore repeated the analyses in which a trait peaked at middle age with breakpoint models, for which we binned our continuous age data to discrete ages representing the average age of all individuals in the 8 abovementioned measurement periods (see Supplementary Information).

We tested whether adult survival depended on the incubation treatment with a mixed-effects Cox model (Ripatti and Palmgren, 2000; Therneau et al., 2003; Kenko et al., 2018). This model included the treatment as explanatory variable and survival as response variable using the “coxme” function in the “coxme” R package (Therneau, 2018) implemented in R 3.5.3 (R Core Team, 2014). The identity of the parent pair was added as a
random effect. The data were encoded with a zero as starting point for all individuals and with the lifespan until death (in days, max. = 1365, n = 180) as stop (Therneau, 2018).

RESULTS

Early-Life Performance

Hatching success tended to decrease with incubation temperature, from 77.6% at 37.7°C to 70.2% at 36.0°C (χ² = 3.60, Δdf = 1, P = 0.057). Lower temperature also delayed hatching, by roughly one day, due to longer development time (18.23 days vs. 17.16 days, coefficient ± SE = 1.07 ± 0.04 d, χ² = 626.12, Δdf = 1, P < 0.001).

There was no evidence for body mass at hatching and subsequent growth to be affected by the incubation treatment (Figure 1 and Table 1). 96.6% of hatchlings survived to sexual maturity, and there was no indication for hatching survival to be different between the incubation treatments (Table 2), nor was the age at sexual maturity different between the incubation treatments (Figure 1 and Table 2).

Adult Performance

Adult body mass peaked at middle age, as evidenced by a quadratic effect of age (Figure 2 and Table 3). Breakpoint modeling indicated this peak to occur around 404 days of age, after which body mass declined (Supplementary Figure 1A and Supplementary Table 1A). There was, however, no effect of the incubation treatment on adult body mass, or on how it changed with age (Figure 2 and Table 3). There was also no selective mortality in relation to body mass, as the last sampling age to which individuals survived was not related to body mass (Table 3).

There was rapid senescence in reproductive performance, with laying rate strongly declining with age within individual females (Figure 3 and Table 4). Females that survived to later sampling ages had overall better reproductive performance (Figure 3 and Table 4), indicating selective mortality of poor layers. There was no evidence for the incubation treatment to have an effect on laying rate, or the change in laying rate with age (Figure 3 and Table 4). Investment in individual egg mass peaked at middle age, but also was not affected by the incubation treatment, or related to the last sampling age to which individuals survived (Figure 4 and Table 5). Breakpoint modeling indicated the peak in individual egg mass to occur around 243 days of age, after which there was relatively little change with age (Supplementary Figure 1B and Supplementary Table 1B).

Adult survival did not differ between the incubation treatments (Figure 5, Hazard ratio ± SE = 0.94 ± 0.18, Z-value = −0.36, P = 0.720).

---

**TABLE 1** Summary of a linear mixed model testing for effects of incubation treatment on age- and sex-specific body mass in Japanese quail chicks.

| Model                               | coefficient (SE) | χ²   | Δdf | P    |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------|-----|------|
| Intercept                           | 8.40 (1.23)      |      |     |      |
| Pair ID (random)                    | 34.34 (7.24)     | 22.49| 1   | < 0.001 |
| Individual ID (random)              | 33.70 (4.43)     | 57.82| 1   | < 0.001 |
| Sex (ref = female)                  | −0.37 (1.28)     | 0.08 | 1   | 0.777  |
| Age category (ref = 0 d)            |                  | 59273.26| 5 | < 0.001 |
| 7d                                  | 21.11 (1.31)     | 260.17| 1   | < 0.001 |
| 14 d                                | 59.33 (1.31)     | 2042.51| 1 | < 0.001 |
| 28 d                                | 135.53 (1.31)    | 10857.89| 1 | < 0.001 |
| 42 d                                | 211.47 (1.31)    | 25932.63| 1 | < 0.001 |
| ASM                                 | 246.46 (1.33)    | 34403.07| 1 | < 0.001 |
| Sex × Age category                  |                  | 1533.85| 5 | < 0.001 |
| male × 7 d                          | −0.54 (1.55)     | 0.12 | 1   | 0.729  |
| male × 14 d                         | −1.26 (1.56)     | 0.66 | 1   | 0.417  |
| male × 28 d                         | −6.24 (1.56)     | 17.04| 1   | < 0.001 |
| male × 42 d                         | −20.17 (1.56)    | 167.68| 1 | < 0.001 |
| male × ASM                          | −49.74 (1.57)    | 1007.42| 1 | < 0.001 |
| Treatment (ref = 37.7°C)            | −0.26 (1.26)     | 0.04 | 1   | 0.841  |
| Treatment × Age category            |                  | 6.56 | 5 | 0.265  |
| 36.0°C × 7 d                        | −0.51 (1.55)     | 0.11 | 1   | 0.740  |
| 36.0°C × 14 d                       | −0.24 (1.56)     | 0.02 | 1   | 0.888  |
| 36.0°C × 28 d                       | −0.76 (1.56)     | 0.24 | 1   | 0.624  |
| 36.0°C × 42 d                       | −2.28 (1.56)     | 2.14 | 1   | 0.144  |
| 36.0°C × ASM                        | −3.18 (1.57)     | 4.12 | 1   | 0.042  |

n = 2270 measurements of 387 individuals of 91 pairs

ID = identity, ref = reference category, ASM = age at sexual maturity.
## TABLE 2 | Summary of (generalized) linear mixed models testing for effects of incubation treatment on sex-specific survival to sexual maturity, and age at sexual maturity, in Japanese quail chicks.

### Dependent variable: survival to sexual maturity

| Model | coefficient (SE) | $\chi^2$ | $\Delta df$ | $P$ |
|-------|------------------|---------|-----------|-----|
| Intercept | 3.23 (0.52) | | | |
| Pair ID (random) | 0.65 (1.18) | 0.30 | 1 | 0.581 |
| Sex (ref = female) | 1.31 (1.12) | 1.37 | 1 | 0.242 |
| Treatment (ref = 37.7 oC) | −0.24 (0.73) | 0.11 | 1 | 0.741 |
| Treatment $\times$ Sex | −0.84 (1.36) | 0.38 | 1 | 0.537 |

n = 387 individuals of 91 pairs

### Dependent variable: age at sexual maturity (d)

| Model | coefficient (SE) | $\chi^2$ | $\Delta df$ | $P$ |
|-------|------------------|---------|-----------|-----|
| Intercept | 53.37 (0.65) | | | |
| Pair ID (random) | 7.23 (2.29) | 9.94 | 1 | 0.002 |
| Sex (ref = female) | −6.50 (0.86) | 57.69 | 1 | < 0.001 |
| Treatment (ref = 37.7 oC) | 0.50 (0.88) | 0.32 | 1 | 0.570 |
| Treatment $\times$ Sex | −0.18 (1.26) | 0.02 | 1 | 0.888 |

n = 369 individuals of 89 pairs

ID = identity, ref = reference category.

## DISCUSSION

Our unique life-long assessment on the performance of Japanese quail exposed to experimentally manipulated conditions during embryonic development did not provide evidence for effects on performance beyond the period that was manipulated. The lower incubation temperature clearly slowed embryonic development, and reduced the viability of embryos from the first week of development to hatching. Despite the latter effect being just short of statistical significance, both results are in line with general findings in birds (Decuypere and Michels, 1992; DuRant et al., 2013). Since we were specifically interested in long-term effects of our incubation treatment, we did not aim to severely depress hatching success with an even lower incubation temperature. The general pattern of slower and less successful embryonic development with lower incubation temperature can be physically explained by lower temperature providing less energy to fuel biochemical reactions (Gillooly et al., 2002). As such, a lower incubation temperature will represent a resource limitation for the developing embryo, and can be conceptually compared to other types of stressful conditions experienced during development (e.g., decreased food availability, or increased requirement for immunocompetence) in the context of life-history theory.
TABLE 3 | Summary of a linear mixed model testing for effects of incubation treatment on age-specific adult body mass in Japanese quail females.

| Dependent variable: adult body mass (g) | Model | coefficient (SE) | χ^2 | Δdf | P     |
|----------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|-----|-----|-------|
| Intercept                              | 251.11 (4.60) | 19.01 | 1   | < 0.001 |
| Pair ID (random)                       | 285.54 (65.49) | 14.36 | 1   | < 0.001 |
| Individual ID (random)                 | 121.01 (31.94) | 0.54  | 1   | 0.464 |
| Treatment (ref = 37.7°C)               | −2.47 (3.37) | 0.54  | 1   | 0.464 |
| Age (d)                                | 0.06 (0.01)  | 59.23 | 1   | < 0.001 |
| Age^2                                   | −0.55·10^{-4} (0.08·10^{-4}) | 53.93 | 1   | < 0.001 |
| Treatment × Age                        | −0.73·10^{-2} (0.53·10^{-2}) | 1.93  | 1   | 0.164 |
| Age at last sampling (d)               | −0.66·10^{-3} (5.47·10^{-3}) | 0.01  | 1   | 0.904 |

n = 740 measurements of 178 individuals of 84 pairs
ID = identity, ref = reference category.

As life-history theory is contingent on the existence of resource-based trade-offs between investment in growth, reproduction and (long-term) self-maintenance (Williams, 1966; Kirkwood, 1977; Stearns, 1992), it predicts that a reduced availability of resources to invest in these traits should reduce performance in one or more of these life-history traits. From an evolutionary perspective, it could be hypothesized that late-life performance should get compromised the most by resource limitation during development, because the strength of selection declines with age (Medawar, 1952; Hamilton, 1966). In other words, reduced performance at old age would cause a lesser reduction in fitness than reduced performance at young age. The ability to defer the cost of resource limitation in early life to later in life should thus be favored by natural selection. While we did find a reduced performance during embryonic development, in contrast with expectation, this effect did not carry through, or exacerbate, to post-hatching growth, adult body mass, reproduction and survival.

The absence of effects beyond the period that was manipulated may be explained by the physiological traits that underlie post-hatching performance in growth, survival and reproduction not being sensitive to thermal stress during embryonic development, limiting deferred fitness consequences. Alternatively, the relatively benign conditions under which our study animals lived (e.g., *ad libitum* food, no predation pressure) may have provided them with the opportunity to repair any damage incurred during embryonic development (Omholt and Kirkwood, 2021). Research on livestock selected on extreme productivity, as also relevant to Japanese quail, is equivocal in whether productivity is limited by resource acquisition, or by resource-based trade-offs with somatic maintenance (Douhard et al., 2021). The possibility to repair damage may occur only under favorable environmental conditions, which could be less frequent in nature and explain why long-term adverse effect are frequently reported among...
TABLE 4 | Summary of a generalized linear mixed model testing for effects of incubation treatment on age-specific laying rate in Japanese quail females.

| Dependent variable: laying rate (egg d\(^{-1}\)) | Model | coefficient (SE) | \(\chi^2\) | \(\Delta df\) | \(P\) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------|----------------|--------|--------|------|
| Intercept                                    | 1.85 (0.27) |              |        |        |      |
| Pair ID (random)                             | 0.23 (0.14) | 2.77        | 1      | 0.096  |
| Individual ID (random)                       | 0.95 (0.16) | 34.36       | 1      | < 0.001|
| Treatment (ref = 37.7\(^\circ\)C)            | -0.02 (0.21) | 0.01        | 1      | 0.920  |
| Age (d)                                       | -0.55 \times 10^{-2} (0.05 \times 10^{-2}) | 149.49   | 1      | < 0.001|
| Age\(^2\)                                     | -0.21 \times 10^{-5} (0.04 \times 10^{-5}) | 29.97    | 1      | < 0.001|
| Treatment \times Age                         | -0.17 \times 10^{-3} (0.27 \times 10^{-3}) | 0.37     | 1      | 0.544  |
| Age at last sampling (d)                     | -0.78 \times 10^{-3} (0.33 \times 10^{-3}) | 5.69     | 1      | 0.017  |

\(n = 4822\) days of 179 individuals of 84 pairs

\(ID = \) identity, \(ref = \) reference category.

TABLE 5 | Summary of a linear mixed model testing for effects of incubation treatment on age-specific egg mass in Japanese quail females.

| Dependent variable: egg mass (g) | Model | coefficient (SE) | \(\chi^2\) | \(\Delta df\) | \(P\) |
|---------------------------------|-------|----------------|--------|--------|------|
| Intercept                       | 10.13 (0.18) |              |        |        |      |
| Pair ID (random)                | 0.55 (0.12) | 20.79        | 1      | < 0.001|
| Individual ID (random)          | 0.32 (0.05) | 36.00        | 1      | < 0.001|
| Treatment (ref = 37.7\(^\circ\)C) | 0.10 (0.12) | 0.65        | 1      | 0.419  |
| Age (d)                         | 0.76 \times 10^{-2} (0.02 \times 10^{-2}) | 1523.10  | 1      | < 0.001|
| Age\(^2\)                       | -0.61 \times 10^{-5} (0.02 \times 10^{-5}) | 1008.05  | 1      | < 0.001|
| Treatment \times Age            | -0.10 \times 10^{-3} (0.13 \times 10^{-3}) | 0.61     | 1      | 0.436  |
| Age at last sampling (d)        | 0.18 \times 10^{-3} (0.22 \times 10^{-3}) | 0.67     | 1      | 0.414  |

\(n = 2968\) eggs of 176 individuals of 83 pairs

\(ID = \) identity, \(ref = \) reference category.

FIGURE 5 | Age-specific survival of adult female Japanese quail incubated at 37.7\(^\circ\)C (\(n = 100\)) or 36.0\(^\circ\)C (\(n = 80\)).

animals living in the wild (e.g., Kruuk et al., 1999; Reid et al., 2003; van de Pol et al., 2006; Nussey et al., 2007; Hamel et al., 2009; Spagopoulou et al., 2020). In the wild the acquisition of resources for somatic repair may be more constrained, either directly due to food limitation, or due to a trade-off between foraging and predation risk, causing further trade-offs between repair and late-life performance (Omholt and Kirkwood, 2021). Yet, studies in the wild can often not determine the environmental trait of interest \textit{a priori} and establish causality experimentally. Moreover, no apparent long-term effects of poor early-life environment have been reported also in the wild (Wilkin and Sheldon, 2009; Vedder and Bouwhuis, 2018).

In birds, effects of incubation temperature on post-hatching phenotype are frequently reported, both in captivity and the wild (DuRant et al., 2010, 2012; Hopkins et al., 2011; Nord and Nilsson, 2011, 2021; Ben-Ezra and Burness, 2017; Vedder et al., 2018; Stier et al., 2020). However, studies that tested whether such effects translated to fitness consequences are rare (Hepp and Kennamer, 2012; Berntsen and Bech, 2016). Our study is unique in estimating all components of fitness (i.e., survival to reproduction, age at onset of reproduction, age-specific reproductive performance, and adult survival), without an ‘invisible fraction’ (Grafen, 1988). Since we did not find an effect of incubation temperature on fitness components beyond hatching success, we caution against over-interpreting the evolutionary relevance of effects on aspects of the phenotype without a clear link to fitness. In addition, we recommend studies that artificially incubate eggs in a conservation context to aim for incubation temperatures that maximize hatching success, as we did not find evidence for antagonistic effects on late-life fitness that could overrule the immediate benefit of surviving the embryonic stage. Nevertheless, we cannot rule
out that fitness costs are transferred to the next generation, for instance if the offspring of the mothers that received the low incubation temperature would show impaired survival (Lemaître and Gaillard, 2017).

We did find that individuals that lived longer had a higher age-specific reproductive rate. A positive correlation between reproduction and survival is also frequently found in the wild, and indicative of heterogeneity in individual quality (Wilson and Nussey, 2010; Vedder and Bouwuis, 2018). It has been hypothesized that such individual heterogeneity may stem from the quality of the early-life environment positively affecting both reproduction and survival (Vedder and Bouwuis, 2018). However, our current study shows that heterogeneity in quality is not restricted to the wild. Furthermore, because post-hatching conditions were kept equal between individuals, and the experimentally manipulated incubation temperature had no effect on adult performance, we show that environmental variation may not be required to cause heterogeneity in individual quality. Other sources of individual variation (e.g., genetic or pre-natal maternal effects) may therefore be more promising candidates for future research aiming to explain heterogeneity in quality. For example, inbreeding has strong negative effects on various components of early-life fitness in Japanese quail (Sittmann et al., 1966) and our future research aims to include late-life performance, and estimate the effects of inbreeding over the complete life course. Although, the current experiment did not involve variation in parental age, the scope for transgenerational effects of aging and stress is increasingly recognized (Monaghan and Metcalfe, 2019) and also poses a promising research avenue into understanding the causes of heterogeneity in individual quality.

In conclusion, despite a very comprehensive study to the effects of experimentally manipulated embryonic conditions on performance in growth, survival, and reproduction over the complete life course of a precocial bird, we could not find evidence for effects that occurred beyond the period that was manipulated. We therefore suggest that long-term fitness consequences of the quality of the early-life environment may be less universal than often acclaimed, and caution against translating short-term phenotypic effects to fitness consequences, without knowledge on age-specific reproduction and survival.
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