Unusual case report of an adenomatoid odontogenic tumor in the mandible: 3-years of follow-up

Relato de caso incomum de tumor odontogênico adenomatoide em mandíbula: 3 anos de acompanhamento
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Abstract

This case report showed an AOT in a 12-year-old female patient referred for orthodontic-surgical of both impacted right mandibular canine and lateral incisor. Cone beam computed tomography revealed a well-defined mixed hyperdense/hypodense lesion, involving the crown of the mandibular lateral incisor. The surgery consisted in surgical exposure of the mandibular right canine and lateral incisor, binding of the lateral incisor for orthodontic traction and curettage of the mandibular lesion.

Histopathological examination revealed several columnar epithelial cells with minimal stromal connective tissue, lobular pattern and rosettes and duct-like structures, confirming the diagnosis of AOT. After, the patient was referred for orthodontic traction of the impacted teeth. At 1 and 3-year postoperatively, follow-ups examinations showed extensive bone repair, resolution of the tooth-retention and absence of recurrence. Although AOT is an uncommon lesion in the mandible, it should be considered in the differential diagnosis of the mixed profile lesions in this region.

Descriptors: Odontogenic Tumors; Mandible; Cone-Beam Computed Tomography; Diagnosis.

Resumo

Este relato de caso mostrou um TOA em uma paciente de 12 anos, do sexo feminino, encaminhada para cirurgia ortodontico-cirúrgica de canino inferior direito e incisivo lateral retidos. A tomografia computadorizada de feixe cônico revelou uma lesão mista hiperdensa / hipodensa bem definida, envolvendo a coroa do incisivo lateral inferior. A cirurgia consistiu na exposição cirúrgica do canino inferior direito e incisivo lateral, colagem do incisivo lateral para tração ortodontica e curetagem da lesão mandibular. O exame histopatológico revelou várias células epiteliais colunares com pouco tecido conjuntivo estromal, padrão lobular com rosetas e estruturas semelhantes a ductos, confirmando o diagnóstico de TOA. Em seguida, a paciente foi encaminhada para tração ortodontica dos dentes impactados. No pós-operatório de 1 e 3 anos, os exames de acompanhamento mostraram extenso reparo osseo, resolução da retenção dentária e ausência de recorrência. Embora o TOA seja uma lesão incomum na mandíbula, deve ser considerada no diagnóstico diferencial das lesões de perfil misto nesta região.

Descritores: Tumores Odontogênicos; Mandíbula; Tomografia Computadorizada de Feixe Cônico; Diagnóstico.

INTRODUCTION

Adenomatoid odontogenic tumor (AOT) is an uncommon benign, noninvasive and painless odontogenic tumor, comprising of 3-7% of all odontogenic tumors, with variable radiographic and histological features. AOT was first named in 1969 by Philipsen and Birn and this terminology was adopted in 1971 by the first edition of the World Health Organization¹.

AOT is usually detected in routine radiographic exams, mainly during the second decade of life, in young individuals with a female predominance²,⁴. Two-third of AOTs are well-defined mixed hyperdense/hypodense lesions, with a strong predilection by the anterior maxilla and represent more than 50% of the cases. Clinically, it presents as an asymptomatic bone expansion³,⁵.

There are three clinicopathological variants: intraosseus follicular, intraosseus extrafollicular and peripheral. However, all variants have the same microscopical appearance. The follicular type is a central intraosseus lesion associated with an impacted tooth, while extrafollicular intraosseus AOT has no relation with an unerupted tooth. The peripheral variant seems to be associated to soft tissue⁶,⁹.

Histological features of AOT include lobular pattern with rosettes and duct-like structures constituted by columnar epithelial...
cells and the cribriform/trabecular aspect with cords of basaloid cells. In addition, varying degrees of inductive changes in the connective tissue have been observed\textsuperscript{3,7}. Commonly, these structures are surrounded by a well-developed connective tissue capsule. It may present as a solid mass, a single large cystic space, or as numerous small cystic spaces\textsuperscript{10-12}. Differential diagnosis regarding to AOT is extensive and includes dentigerous and lateral periodontal cysts, ameloblastoma and calcifying epithelial odontogenic tumor\textsuperscript{13-15}.

The treatment of AOT presents a conservative pattern and consists in enucleation/curettage with rare relapses\textsuperscript{16,17}. The objective of this study was to report an unusual case of mandibular AOT associated with the crown of an impacted lateral incisor in a 12-year-old girl, treated with curettage and orthodontic traction of the tooth without recurrence of the lesion in a 3-year follow-up.

**CLINICAL CASE**

A 12-year-old caucasian female patient was referred to surgical orthodontic traction of right mandibular canine and lateral incisor, both impacted in the mandible. Panoramic radiograph revealed bone retention of these teeth with a radiolucent unilocular lesion involving the crown of right mandibular lateral incisor (Figure 1).

![Figure 1: Initial panoramic radiograph showing radiolucent unilocular lesion involving the crown of right mandibular lateral incisor.](image)

Intraoral examination showed the absence of right mandibular canine and lateral incisor and expansion of the vestibular and palatine cortical bone of the mandible, without any signs of inflammation (Figure 2).

![Figure 2: Initial intraoral aspect with absence of right mandibular canine and lateral incisor, associated to expansion of the vestibular and palatine cortical bone of this region, without any signs of inflammation.](image)

![Figure 3: Initial tomographic aspect. The axial (a) and sagital (b) slices of the CBCT revealed radio-opaque flakes inside the lesion (blue arrows) and a resorption of the lingual cortical bone (red arrow).](image)

![Figure 4: Histopathological evaluation shows a pattern compatible with TOA. Observe the presence of epithelial cells with minimal stromal connective tissue, lobular pattern and typical rosettes and duct-like structures (arrows).](image)

Based on these findings, the imaging diagnosis was related to dentigerous cyst or similar lesion, such as keratocystic odontogenic tumor or ameloblastoma. A cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) demonstrated a thin buccal cortical plate due to expansion of the lesion, a resorption of the lingual cortical plate and radio-opaque flakes inside the lesion. Moreover, no dental resorption was observed (Figure 3).

Based on CBCT sections, the main diagnoses changed to a mixed profile lesion and included AOT, calcifying epithelial odontogenic tumor and calcifying odontogenic cyst\textsuperscript{18,19,20,21}. Legal guardians signed informed consent regarding publishing their data and photographs. Therefore, the main presumptive diagnosis was AOT with follicular pattern, considering the age of patient and the absence of dental resorption. In the sequence, the surgery was performed under local anesthesia and consisted in surgical exposure of the right mandibular canine, bonding of the lateral incisor for orthodontic traction and curettage of the mandibular lesion. The macroscopic appearance of the lesion consisted in a solid-encapsulated mass with well-defined limits. After the biopsy procedure, fragments were fixed for 24 hours in 10% buffered formalin and then embedded in paraffin. Serial sections of 5 µm thick were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Histopathologic examination revealed several columnar epithelial cells with minimal stromal connective tissue, lobular pattern and rosettes and duct-like structures, confirming the diagnosis of AOT (Figure 4).
After one year of follow-up, the panoramic exam showed partial bone healing in the operated area, the right mandibular canine had erupted and the lateral incisor was still under orthodontic traction (Figure 5).

![Figure 5: Panoramic radiograph of the 1-year follow-up. Observe reveal partial bone healing and complete eruption of right mandibular canine while the presence of right mandibular lateral incisor under orthodontic traction.](image)

A new surgical procedure was performed to expose the crown of the lateral incisor and to optimize the orthodontic traction. After this procedure, the orthodontic treatment was carried out without complications. During the three-year follow-up period, the orthodontic treatment was concluded, with both right mandibular canine and lateral incisor in occlusion. In addition, the panoramic radiograph showed complete bone repair with no signs of relapse, as illustrated in the figure 6.

![Figure 6: Panoramic radiograph of the 3-year follow-up. Observe the presence of right mandibular canine and lateral incisor in occlusion with complete bone healing and no signs of recurrence.](image)

**DISCUSSION**

AOT is considered a benign and painless odontogenic tumor, arising from the enamel organ or dental lamina. This lesion is considered uncommon, with a frequency of 3% of all odontogenic tumors. In a retrospective study of 2652 maxillomandibular lesions, 127 were odontogenic tumors, with 6 cases of AOT and only 1 localized in the mandible. In addition, AOT is also known as a “two-thirds tumor” because it has a two-thirds occurrence in the maxilla, two-thirds contain radio-opaque flakes inside the lesion and two-thirds of the affected teeth are non-erupted canines.

This lesion is more prevalent in females in the second decade of life. In a review of 255 case reports of AOT from 2000 to 2014, the female to male ratio was 1.45:1 and the predominant age was 10-19 years. In addition, this tumor is more frequent in black african patients compared to caucasian patients and is often associated with an impacted canine.

The tumor described in this case report was diagnosed in a caucasian 12-years-old patient and the mandibular lateral incisor was involved in the lesion.

Regarding to radiographic aspect, AOT has three variants: follicular, extrafollicular and peripheral. In the follicular pattern, the lesion is associated with an impacted tooth, distinct from the extrafollicular variant that is positioned above, overlapping or between roots. The peripheral variant is the rarest and is usually located in the anterior superior gingiva. Interestingly, in the current case report, the patient presented a mixed lesion involving a mandibular lateral incisor. The follicular pattern, as observed in this case, is responsible for 73% of central AOT.

Macroscopically, AOT may be solid or cystic and generally shows slow growth. Due to the growth profile, the detection of more extensive lesions is uncommon. However, untreated tumors can have more extensive proportions and result in facial asymmetries. In fact, the early diagnosis of this clinical case seems to be associated with the clinical aspect regarding the slight increase in volume in the alveolar ridge.

Differential diagnosis of AOT is related to radiographic density. Indeed, the diagnosis of radiolucent lesions may include dentigerous cyst, ameloblastoma and odontogenic keratocyst while mixed lesions may include calcifying epithelial odontogenic tumor and calcifying odontogenic cyst. In this case report, CBCT had a key role in this differential diagnosis, since radiopaque flakes were not observed on panoramic radiography.

Concerning to treatment, conservative strategies have been adopted, because the tumor is benign, generally well encapsulated and slow-growing. In this case report, the lateral incisor affected by AOT was maintained for subsequent orthodontic traction. Bone resections should be indicated only in cases of larger tumors and may increase the risk of bone fractures. In fact, several authors have been described favorable prognostic conditions.

These findings were also observed in our case report, characterized by complete bone repair after complete removal of lesion at the 3-year follow-up. In this evaluation, no signs of recurrence were detected. However, although rare tumor recurrance has been reported. Therefore, the preservation of these patients is relevant, even if the prognosis is favorable.
CONCLUSION
This case report showed a mandibular AOT in a 12-year-old female patient referred for orthodontic-surgical of both impacted right mandibular canine and lateral incisor. The treatment included a conservative approach, characterized by curettage of lesion and subsequent orthodontic traction of the impacted teeth. At 1 and 3-year postoperatively, follow-ups examinations showed extensive bone repair, resolution of the tooth-retention and absence of recurrence.
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