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High-pressure neutron powder diffraction, muon-spin rotation, and magnetization studies of the structural, magnetic, and the superconducting properties of the Ce-underdoped superconducting (SC) electron-doped cuprate system with the $\text{Nd}_2\text{CuO}_4$ (the so-called T') structure $\text{T'}\text{Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4$ with $x = 0.1$ are reported. A strong reduction of the in-plane and out-of-plane lattice constants is observed under pressure. However, no indication of any pressure-induced phase transition from T' to the $\text{K}_2\text{NiF}_4$ (the so-called T) structure is observed up to the maximum applied pressure of $p = 11 \text{ GPa}$. Large and nonlinear increase of the short-range magnetic order temperature $T_{0r}$ in $\text{T'}\text{Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4$ ($x = 0.1$) was observed under pressure. Simultaneous pressure causes a nonlinear decrease of the SC transition temperature $T_c$. All these experiments establish the short-range magnetic order as an intrinsic and competing phase in SC $\text{T'}\text{Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4$ ($x = 0.1$). The observed pressure effects may be interpreted in terms of the improved nesting conditions through the reduction of the in-plane and out-of-plane lattice constants upon hydrostatic pressure.
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I. INTRODUCTION

One of the most important unresolved problems in high-transition-temperature (high-T$_c$) superconductors [1] is the determination of the microscopic state when charge carriers, either holes [1] or electrons [2–7], are introduced to the CuO$_2$ planes of their insulating long-range antiferromagnetically ordered parent compounds [8]. One school of thought suggests that the doped charge carriers segregate into inhomogeneous patterns, such as stripes (spin and charge orders), to allow the antiferromagnetic (AFM) regions to survive [9]. In this picture, the observed quasi two-dimensional (2D) incommensurate spin density wave (SDW) in hole-doped high-T$_c$ superconductors, such as $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ [10–15] and $\text{La}_2\text{CuO}_4$+4 [16], is due to remnants of the AFM insulating phase that compete with superconductivity [17,18]. While the charge density wave (CDW) has emerged as a universal feature of hole-doped (p-type) cuprates [10,19–24], observation of charge ordering in the electron-doped (n-type) cuprate system $\text{Nd}_{2-x}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4$ (NCCO) with $x = 0.14 – 0.15$ was reported only very recently [25]. Note that in n-type cuprates there are only a limited number of comprehensive studies on SDW/CDW order and their interplay with superconductivity and structural properties [25–31], underscoring a need for further experimental studies of n-type cuprates.

$\text{Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4$ is an n-type cuprate system that has recently been the focus of increased experimental investigation. The representations of two possible crystal structures, T' and T, for $\text{Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4$ are shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), respectively. The T' structure is $I4/mmm$, $\text{Nd}_2\text{CuO}_4$-type and the T structure is $I4/mmm$, $\text{K}_2\text{NiF}_4$-type. Cuprates with T' crystal structure have no apical oxygen $O_a$ above or below the copper ions of the CuO$_2$ plane [30], as shown in Fig. 1(a). The interesting characteristics of the electron-doped T' cuprates is that as-grown samples contain excess oxygen at the apical site. The apical oxygen is believed to induce disorder of the electrostatic potential in the CuO$_2$ plane, leading to the destruction of Cooper pairs [32,33]. Therefore, completely removing the excess oxygen from as-grown samples is crucial to unveil intrinsic properties of the T' cuprates. Interestingly, in $\text{Nd}_{2-x}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4$ thin films, superconductivity is achieved at any doping $x$ from 0.00 to 0.20 [34] by oxygen reduction annealing. This was claimed to be generic to T' cuprates with no apical oxygen. The role of the reduction process in the superconductivity of electron-doped cuprates has been a long-standing unsolved problem. Although the reduction annealing process is widely believed to involve removal of the apical oxygen, Raman, infrared transmission, and ultrasound studies on NCCO and $\text{Pr}_{2-x}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4$ (PCCO) suggest that the reduction process removes the oxygen in the CuO$_2$ plane [35,36] at high Ce doping $(x > 0.1)$ and the apical oxygen at low Ce doping. In this case, the in-plane oxygen defect created by the reduction is believed to be...
The long-range AFM, the short-range magnetic, and the superconducting transition temperatures of $T\text{Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_{x}\text{CuO}_4$ ($x = 0.1$) as a function of the sample annealing temperature in vacuum. The arrow indicates the sample studied in the present work [26].

Recent angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) experiments [38] in superconducting (SC) single crystals of Ce-underdoped $T\text{Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_{x}\text{CuO}_4$ ($x = 0.1$) with the SC transition temperature $T_c = 27$ K, prepared through the improved reduction annealing [26,39], revealed the complete suppression of the AFM pseudogap at the “hot spots” [38], i.e., the intersecting points of the paramagnetic Fermi surface and the AFM Brillouin-zone boundary. This suggests that through improved annealing procedure, the long-range AFM phase is removed from the underdoped regime (see Fig. 2). The question arises as to whether the magnetic order is fully suppressed in improved annealed $T\text{Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_{x}\text{CuO}_4$ or it exhibits short-range magnetic order which cannot be seen by ARPES, since it cannot directly probe magnetism. In general, a fundamental question in electron-doped cuprates is whether SDW, CDW, or stripe phases [40] are emerging together with superconductivity as observed in the hole-doped cuprates. Interestingly, the presence of short-range magnetic order below $\sim 50$ K in the SC $T\text{Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_{x}\text{CuO}_4$ ($x = 0.1$) was demonstrated using muon-spin rotation ($\mu$SR) experiments, implying that the reduction annealing gives rise to the change of the long-range AFM order to a short-range magnetic order [26]. This is schematically demonstrated in Fig. 2, where we show the dependence of the magnetic and SC transition temperatures as a function of the sample annealing temperature. Driving the long-range AFM state to short-range magnetic state by annealing was also previously reported for Pr$_{0.88}$La$_{0.12}$CuO$_4$ [41]. Understanding the nature of this short-range magnetic order (i.e., whether it is intrinsic and related to the similar SDW, CDW, or stripelike order, as observed in hole-doped cuprates) and its relation to superconductivity is a main motivation of this work.

An important experimental parameter to tune the physical properties of the system is the hydrostatic pressure. In $n$-type cuprates, only a limited number of pressure effects studies exist [42–47]. To the best of our knowledge no pressure effect studies of the AFM order in $n$-type cuprates have been reported so far.

In this paper, we report on the results of high-pressure $\mu$SR [48,49], neutron powder diffraction, and ac and dc susceptibility experiments for polycrystalline samples of the improved reduction annealed $T\text{Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_{x}\text{CuO}_4$ ($x = 0.1$). Remarkably, substantial increase of the short-range magnetic order temperature $T_{so}$ in $T\text{Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_{x}\text{CuO}_4$ ($x = 0.1$) was observed, providing an example of a giant pressure effect on magnetism in electron-doped cuprates. We also found that the pressure effects have opposite signs for $T_{so}$ and $T_c$, providing direct evidence for the competition between superconductivity and short-range magnetic order in this system. The pressure experiments strongly suggest that the short range magnetic order is an intrinsic part of the phase diagram and is controlled by the Fermi surface properties of $T\text{Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_{x}\text{CuO}_4$ ($x = 0.1$). Thus, a competing phase to superconductivity in $T\text{Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_{x}\text{CuO}_4$ ($x = 0.1$) has been demonstrated.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

A. Sample preparation and characterization

The details of the synthesis of the polycrystalline samples of $T\text{Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_{x}\text{CuO}_4$ were reported previously...
muSR experiments under pressure were performed at the General Purpose Decay-Channel (GPD) Spectrometer (μE1 beamline) of the Paul Scherrer Institute (Villigen, Switzerland). The low background General Purpose Surface-Muon (GPS) (μM3 beamline) instrument was used to study the system T'-Pr$_{1.3-\times}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ ($x=0.1$) at ambient pressure. The pressure effects on the structural properties of T'-Pr$_{1.3-\times}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ ($x=0.1$) were studied using time-of-flight neutron powder diffraction at the high-pressure diffractometer SNAP (Spallation Neutrons and Pressure, Beamline-3) at the Spallation Neutron Source (SNS) of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. All measurements reported here were performed on samples from the same batch.

B. Pressure cells for μSR, neutron powder diffraction, and magnetization experiments

Pressures up to 2.3 GPa were generated in a double-wall piston-cylinder type of cell made of MP35N material, especially designed to perform μSR experiments under pressure [48,49]. As a pressure-transmitting medium Daphne oil was used. The pressure was measured by tracking the SC transition of a very small indium plate by ac susceptibility. The amount of the sample in the pressure cell was optimized and the fraction of a very small indium plate by ac susceptibility. The amount of used. The pressure was measured by tracking the SC transition of a very small indium plate by ac susceptibility. The amount of the sample in the pressure cell was optimized and the fraction of the muons in the sample was approximately 40%.

In neutron powder diffraction experiments, pressures up to 11 GPa were generated in a diamond anvil cell (DAC) using large synthetic diamonds and a new anvil design based on conical anvil support [50], a new generation of large cell developed at the SNAP beamline [51]. The SNAP DAC was pressurized using an integrated gas-driven membrane setup.

ac susceptibility measurements were performed by using a homemade ac magnetometer with a measuring field $\mu_0 H_{ac} \approx 0.1$ mT and frequency $\nu = 96$ Hz. In order to keep the position of the sample unchanged during the series of ac susceptibility under pressure measurements, the excitation and the two pickup coils were wound directly on the cell. Note that a single-phase lock-in amplifier is used, which allows measurement of either an in-phase component (the real part of susceptibility) or out-of-phase component (the imaginary part of susceptibility). For this particular sample, the imaginary part of ac susceptibility was measured.

The dc susceptibility was measured under pressures up to 2.5 GPa by a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer (Quantum Design MPMS-XL). Pressures were generated using DAC [52] filled with Daphne oil which served as a pressure-transmitting medium. The pressure at low temperatures was determined by detecting the pressure dependence of the SC transition temperature of Pb.

III. RESULTS

A. High-pressure neutron powder diffraction experiments

A previous high-pressure x-ray study up to 0.6 GPa in undoped Nd$_2$CuO$_4$ and optimally doped Nd$_{1.835}$Ce$_{0.165}$CuO$_4$ [44] showed a decrease of the lattice parameters under pressure. Higher pressure experiments showed that in the parent compounds Nd$_2$CuO$_4$ [45] and Pr$_2$CuO$_4$ [46] a T'-to-T structural transition takes place at 21.5 GPa and 15.1 GPa, respectively. Recently, it was shown for Nd$_{1.835}$Ce$_{0.165}$CuO$_4$ [47] that the transformation of T' to T phase takes place at 2.7 GPa, i.e., at much lower pressure than for the parent compounds. This implies that the knowledge of the structural details of electron-doped cuprates under pressure are important in better understanding the pressure evolution of magnetic and superconducting properties. Below we show neutron diffraction data for the present Ce-underdoped electron-doped cuprate T'-Pr$_{1.3}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_0$CuO$_4$ under pressure as high as $p = 11$ GPa.

The crystal structure of Pr$_{1.3-\times}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ ($x=0.1$) at room temperature (RT) (see Fig. 3) was well refined through Rietveld refinements to the raw neutron diffraction data using the program GSAS-II [53], employing the tetragonal I4/mmm space group (Nd$_2$CuO$_4$ type). An example of the refinement profile is shown in Fig. 3. The weighted fit residual is Rwp $\approx 2.8\%$, indicating a fairly good refinement. No obvious secondary phase, including the T-structural phase, can be detected, pointing to a pure T' phase in the studied Pr$_{1.3-\times}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ ($x=0.1$) sample. Figure 4 shows the simulated Bragg peaks for the T and T' structures of Pr$_{1.3-\times}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ ($x=0.1$). The crystal structure data for the simulations of T' and T structures are taken from the Refs. [46] and [54], respectively. The following lattice constants and the width parameters are used for the simulations: $a=b=3.654(8)$Å, $c=12.546(7)$Å, $u=0.05$, $v=-0.06$, $w=0.07$ for T structure, and $a=b=3.9588(3)$Å, $c=12.234(6)$Å, $u=0.05$, $v=-0.06$, $w=0.07$ for T' structure.

There are obvious differences between the patterns, shown in Fig. 4, especially in the d-spacing range of 2.5–4 Å. In case of T structure, the high-intensity Bragg peaks (112), (110), and (101) as well as the much weaker (103) and (004) peaks are expected. These differences would make it possible to observe the transition between T' and T structures.

![Normalized Intensity vs. d (Å)](image_url)
The solid lines are linear fits of the data. The data are corresponding to $T_{c}$ range as in panel (a). (c) The (004) Bragg reflection, recorded at 300 K at various hydrostatic pressures, illustrating the continuous shift of (004) Bragg peaks from the $T_{c}$ in the $Z$. GUGUCHIA et al. /$\Delta a/a$ dependence of the relative pressure shifts 

**Figure 5.** (a) The (112), (103), and (004) Bragg peaks of $T=300$ K. The data are corresponding to $T'$ structure. (b) Simulated Bragg peaks for the $T$ structure of $Pr_{1.2}La_{0.7}Ce_{0.1}CuO_{4}$ toward the lower $d$ spacing. The solid lines represent the Gaussian fits to the data. (c) The (004) Bragg peaks are shown separately in Fig. 5(d). By fitting with a Gaussian function, drawn with the black solid lines through the data, the lattice constant $c$ was estimated at various pressures. The pressure dependence of its relative shift $-\Delta c/c = (c(0)-c(p))/c(0)$ is shown in Fig. 5(d), showing the continuous decrease of the $c$ axis under pressure. Using the value of $c$ and the $d$ spacing of the (103) Bragg peak, the lattice constant $a$ was obtained. The pressure evolution of the relative change in the $a$ axis $-\Delta a/a$ is shown in Fig. 5(d), revealing a similar linear pressure-induced reduction of the $a$

**Figure 5(a).** The (112), (103), and (004) Bragg peaks from the $T'$ structure of $Pr_{1.3-x}La_{0.7}Ce_{x}CuO_{4}$ ($x = 0.1$) measured at various pressures up to $p = 11$ GPa. We note that is hard to achieve true ambient pressure conditions for the samples loaded to the DAC. The ambient pressure data shown in Fig. 3 is measured outside the cell. Then the sample was loaded and measured under pressures starting from 0.7 GPa and the data are shown in Fig. 5. A systematic shift of the peaks towards lower $d$ spacing is observed under pressure, consistent with a monotonic reduction in the lattice constants. However, up to the maximum applied pressure of $p = 11$ GPa, there is no indication of the pressure-induced phase transition from $T'$ to $T$ structure, as demonstrated by the simulated Bragg peaks from the $T$ structure in Fig. 5(b). This points to the robustness of the $T'$ structure in $Pr_{1.3-x}La_{0.7}Ce_{x}CuO_{4}$ ($x = 0.1$) in the investigated pressure range. The (004) Bragg peaks are shown separately in Fig. 5(c). By fitting with a Gaussian function, drawn with the black solid lines through the data, the lattice constant $c$ was estimated at various pressures. The pressure dependence of its relative shift $-\Delta c/c = (c(0)-c(p))/c(0)$ is shown in Fig. 5(d), showing the continuous decrease of the $c$ axis under pressure. Using the value of $c$ and the $d$ spacing of the (103) Bragg peak, the lattice constant $a$ was obtained. The pressure evolution of the relative change in the $a$ axis $-\Delta a/a$ is shown in Fig. 5(d), revealing a similar linear pressure-induced reduction of the $a$

**Figure 5.** (a) The (112), (103), and (004) Bragg peaks of $T'$-$Pr_{1.3-x}La_{0.7}Ce_{x}CuO_{4}$ ($x = 0.1$), recorded at various pressures up to $p = 11$ GPa. The data are corresponding to $T'$ structure. (b) Simulated Bragg peaks for the $T$ structure of $Pr_{1.2}La_{0.7}Ce_{0.1}CuO_{4}$, shown in the same $d$-spacing range as in panel (a). (c) The (004) Bragg reflection, recorded at 300 K at various hydrostatic pressures, illustrating the continuous shift of the $c$-axis peak towards the lower $d$-spacing with increasing the pressure. The solid lines represent the Gaussian fits to the data. (d) Pressure dependence of the relative pressure shifts $\Delta a/a$ and $\Delta c/c$ for the lattice parameters $a$ and $c$ of $Pr_{1.3-x}La_{0.7}Ce_{x}CuO_{4}$ ($x = 0.1$) at $T = 300$ K. The solid lines are linear fits of the data.
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axis. These results point to a nearly isotropic compression of the lattice in $T'-Pr_{1.3-x}La_{0.7}Ce_xCuO_4$ ($x = 0.1$).

B. Zero-field (ZF) and weak-transverse-field (WTF) $\mu$SR experiments

Figure 6(a) shows representative ZF $\mu$SR time spectra for polycrystalline $T'-Pr_{1.3-x}La_{0.7}Ce_xCuO_4$ ($x = 0.1$), recorded at various temperatures. At high temperatures, only a very weak depolarization of the $\mu$SR signal is observed. This weak depolarization reflects the occurrence of a small Gaussian Kubo-Toyabe depolarization, originating from the interaction of the muon spin with randomly oriented nuclear magnetic moments. In addition, small Pr$^{3+}$ moments, which are dense and randomly distributed, also contribute to this relaxation [55, 56]. Upon lowering the temperature the relaxation rate of the $\mu$SR signal increases due to the development of the Cu-spin correlation. No muon-spin precession is observed even at the lowest temperature $T = 5$ K and only a rapidly depolarizing $\mu$SR signal is observed. The fast depolarization of the $\mu$SR signal (with no trace of an oscillation) is due to a broad distribution of static fields. This is supported by the fact that ZF $\mu$SR signal observed at temperatures below 50 K shows the recovery of asymmetry at longer times [see Fig. 6(a)], which is typical for static magnetically ordered systems.

Static short-range magnetic order in the single crystal of $T'-Pr_{1.3-x}La_{0.7}Ce_xCuO_4$ ($x = 0.1$) was previously established by ZF $\mu$SR and longitudinal field (LF) $\mu$SR experiments [26]. In the following, we present how the magnetic and the SC properties of $T'-Pr_{1.3-x}La_{0.7}Ce_xCuO_4$ ($x = 0.1$) evolve with hydrostatic pressure.

Figures 7(a) and 7(b) show the ambient and highest pressure ($p = 2.3$ GPa) ZF $\mu$SR spectra of $T'-Pr_{1.3-x}La_{0.7}Ce_xCuO_4$ ($x = 0.1$), recorded at 5 K and 40 K, respectively. It is clear that upon application of pressure magnetic response is enhanced both at 5 K and 40 K. To get the quantitative information about the pressure effects, the $\mu$SR data in the whole temperature range were analyzed by decomposing the signal into a contribution of the sample and a contribution of the pressure cell, since in the high-pressure $\mu$SR experiments, a substantial fraction of the $\mu$SR asymmetry originates from muons stopping in the MP35N pressure cell surrounding the sample:

$$A(t) = A_S(t) + A_{PC}(t),$$

where $A_S(t)$ and $A_{PC}(t)$ are the asymmetries, belonging to the sample and the pressure cell, respectively. The pressure cell signal was analyzed by a damped Kubo-Toyabe function [48, 49]. The response of the sample [see Fig. 6(a)] is analyzed by the following function [57, 58]:

$$A_S(t) = \left[A_\perp e^{-\lambda_T t} + A_\parallel e^{-\lambda_L t}\right] e^{-\sigma N t^2/2}.\quad (2)$$

$\lambda_T$ and $\lambda_L$ are the relaxation rates characterizing the damping of the transversal and the longitudinal components of the $\mu$SR signal, respectively. The transversal relaxation rate $\lambda_T$ is a measure of the width of the static magnetic field distribution at the muon site and also reflects dynamical effects (spin fluctuations). The longitudinal relaxation rate $\lambda_L$ is determined by dynamic magnetic fluctuations only [57, 58]. $\sigma N$ is the Gaussian relaxation rate, caused by the nuclear spins and the small Pr$^{3+}$ moments, $A_\perp$ and $A_\parallel$ are the fractions of the transversal and the longitudinal relaxing components of the asymmetry signal, respectively. The ratio $A_\perp/A_\parallel$ reveals the average degree to which the muon-spin polarization $P_\mu$ aligns with the local field. In a fully magnetic polycrystalline sample, with a static component to the local field, because the crystallites orient randomly with respect to $P_\mu$, $A_\perp/A_{tot} = \frac{1}{2}(A_{tot} = A_\perp + A_\parallel)$, the isotropic average perpendicular component of the muon spin. The total initial asymmetry $A_{sum} = A_{S(0)} + A_{PC(0)} \simeq 0.28$ is a temperature-independent constant. A typical fraction of muons stopped in the sample was $A_{S(0)}/A_{sum} \simeq 0.40(3)$, which was assumed to be temperature-independent in the analysis. The $\mu$SR time spectra were analyzed using the free software package MUSRFIT [59].

Figure 8 summarizes the results of the above analysis. Namely, in Figs. 8(a) and 8(b), we show the temperature dependences of the ratio $A_\perp/A_{tot}$ and the transverse relaxation rate
\( \lambda_T \) as well as the longitudinal relaxation rate \( \lambda_L \), respectively, for \( \text{T'-Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) \( (x = 0.1) \), measured at \( p = 0 \) GPa and 2.3 GPa. In the present case, \( A_\perp /A_\text{tot} \) starts to increase below \( T_\text{so} \simeq 45 \) K and reaches the value of \( V_m \simeq 0.8 \) at the base temperature. Note that the obtained value \( A_\perp /A_\text{tot} \simeq 0.8 \) is higher than the value of \( \frac{1}{2} \), expected for the polycrystalline sample. This may be related to some preferred orientation in our sample. Since \( \text{T'-Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) \( (x = 0.1) \) has a two-dimensional structure (actually, the single crystal always grows in the direction parallel to the \( \text{CuO}_2 \) plane), even in powderized sintered pellets, there might be some preferred orientation. On the other hand, this could also be related to the existence of some fraction of fluctuating Cu spins, which was previously discussed [26]. The high value of \( A_\perp /A_\text{so} \) indicates that the short-range magnetic order occupies nearly the whole volume of this superconducting \( \text{T'-Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) \( (x = 0.1) \). \( \lambda_T \), characterizing the distribution of local fields, shows monotonous increase with decreasing the temperature below \( T_\text{so} \simeq 45 \) K. \( \lambda_L \), characterizing the muon-spin relaxation due to fluctuating magnetic fields, also shows a clear peak at \( T_\text{so} \), which is typical for the magnetic phase transition. Note that in the ordered state the values of \( \lambda_\perp \) are almost zero, consistent with the presence of dominant static magnetic order in \( \text{T'-Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) \( (x = 0.1) \). It is evident from Fig. 8(a) that the magnetic fraction reaches 100% at low \( T \) for both pressures. In addition, the magnetic order temperature \( T_\text{so} \) in \( \text{T'-Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) \( (x = 0.1) \) increases substantially, by \( \sim 15 \) K, at the highest applied pressure of \( p \simeq 2.3 \) GPa. The pressure-induced enhancement of \( T_\text{so} \) can also be seen from the temperature dependences of \( \lambda_T \) and \( \lambda_L \), shown in Fig. 8(b).

In order to gain more insight into the above short-range magnetic transition, TF-\( \mu \)SR experiments in weak-transverse field were carried out. Figure 6(b) shows a representative weak-transverse-field (WTF) \( \mu \)SR time spectra for polycrystalline \( \text{T'-Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) \( (x = 0.1) \), recorded at various temperatures. This clearly shows the reduction of the amplitude of the \( \mu \)SR signal upon lowering the temperature below \( \sim 50 \) K, indicating the appearance of the magnetic order. The WTF-\( \mu \)SR spectra, shown in Fig. 6(b), were fitted in the time domain with a slowly relaxing signal, with the precession frequency corresponding to the applied field of \( \mu_0 H = 3 \) mT:

\[
A(t) = A_S(t) + A_P(t) e^{-\gamma_\mu B t} \cos (\gamma_\mu B t + \varphi), \tag{3}
\]

FIG. 7. ZF \( \mu \)SR time spectra \( A_\perp \) for \( \text{T'-Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) \( (x = 0.1) \) recorded at \( T = 5 \) K (a) and 40 K (b) for ambient and maximum applied pressure of \( p = 2.3 \) GPa. The spectra are shown after substraction of the background signal from the pressure cell. The solid lines represent fits to the data by means of Eq. (2).

FIG. 8. The temperature dependence of the ratio \( A_\perp /A_\text{so} \) (a) and the relaxation rates \( \lambda_T, \lambda_L \) (b) of \( \text{T'-Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) \( (x = 0.1) \), recorded at \( p = 0 \) GPa and \( p = 2.3 \) GPa. The arrows denote the static magnetic order temperature \( T_\text{so} \). The solid lines are guides to the eye.
where \( t \) is time after muon implantation, \( \varphi \) is the phase, \( A(t) \) is the time-dependent asymmetry, and \( \gamma_m/(2\pi) \approx 135.5 \text{ MHz/T} \) is the muon gyromagnetic ratio. \( A_s(t) \) is the amplitude of the oscillating component (related to the paramagnetic volume fraction of the sample). \( \lambda' \) is an exponential damping rate due to paramagnetic spin fluctuations and nuclear dipolar moments. \( B \) is the applied magnetic field, experienced by the muons stopped in the paramagnetic part of the sample. From these refinements, the paramagnetic volume fraction at each temperature \( T \) was estimated as \( A'_s(T)/A'_s(0) \), where \( A'_s(0) \) is the amplitude in the paramagnetic phase at high temperature. \( A_{PC} \) and \( A'_{PC} \) are the amplitude and the relaxation rate of the pressure cell signal. Pressure cell amplitude was estimated to be \( A'_{PC} = 0.17 \) and it was kept constant as a function of temperature in the analysis. Note that the data, shown in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b), are recorded without the pressure cell (\( A'_{PC} = 0 \)).

Figure 9 shows the normalized WTF-\( \mu \)SR asymmetry \( A'_s(T)/A'_s(0) \) for \( \text{T'}-\text{Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) (\( x = 0.1 \)) extracted from the \( \mu \)SR spectra, shown in Fig. 6(b) [following Eq. (3)], as a function of temperature for ambient and selected applied pressures in an applied field of \( \mu_0 H = 3 \text{ mT} \). For \( p = 0 \) GPa and \( T > 50 \text{ K} \), \( A'_s(T)/A'_s(0) \) saturates nearly at a maximum value, indicating that nearly the whole sample is in the paramagnetic state, and all the muon spins precess in the applied magnetic field. Below 50 K, \( A'_s(T)/A'_s(0) \) continuously decreases with decreasing temperature. The reduction of \( A'_s(T)/A'_s(0) \) signals the appearance of magnetic order, where the muon spins experience a local magnetic field larger than the applied magnetic field. As a result, the fraction of muons in the paramagnetic state decreases. The onset temperature \( T_{so}^{onset} \) is defined as the temperatures where the linearly extrapolated low- and high-temperature data points intersect. The midpoint of the transition (\( T_{so} \)) was determined by using the phenomenological function \[ A'_s(T)/A'_s(0) = k \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\exp[(T - T_{so})/\Delta T_{so}] + 1} \right] + l, \] where \( \Delta T_{so} \) is the width of the transition, whereas \( k \) and \( l \) are empirical parameters. Analyzing the \( p = 0 \) GPa data in Fig. 9 with Eq. (4) yields \( T_{so} = 30(1) \text{ K} \), which is indicated by the cross in Fig. 9. Remarkably, a strong and nonlinear increase of \( T_{so} \) in \( \text{T'}-\text{Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) (\( x = 0.1 \)) is observed under pressure.

C. High-pressure magnetic susceptibility data

Figure 10 shows the temperature dependence of dc magnetc susceptibility \( \chi_{ZFC} \) for \( \text{T'}-\text{Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) (\( x = 0.1 \)) at ambient and at various applied hydrostatic pressures up to \( p \approx 2.1 \text{ GPa} \) after substraction of the background signal from the empty pressure cell. Note that zero-field-cooled (ZFC) dc susceptibility \( \chi_{ZFC} \) was measured in a magnetic field of \( \mu_0 H = 0.5 \text{ mT} \) using the DAC, where only very tiny amount of sample is used.

At \( p = 0 \) GPa, the sample \( \text{T'}-\text{Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) (\( x = 0.1 \)) shows superconductivity with \( T_c \approx 27 \text{ K} \). The magnitude of \( \chi_{ZFC} = -0.49(3) \times 10^{-2} \text{ (emu/g)} \) at \( T = 10 \text{ K} \), providing a lower limit of 49(3)% for the SC volume fraction. This implies the bulk character of superconductivity in the sample. The fact that we get 49% for the SC volume fraction and not 100% is due to the use of polycrystalline samples in which the Meissner diamagnetism is reduced by the magnetic penetration from the surface of the sample. For the same reason, the SC volume fraction estimated from the Meissner fraction using powder samples reaches only 30% in the optimally doped \( \text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) [61]. One more indirect but strong evidence for the bulk superconductivity...
in T'Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ ($x = 0.1$) is that the specific heat on single-crystalline samples, reduced in the same procedure as the present Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ powder, reveals the SC volume fraction of more than 60% [26]. Obviously, the surface area is larger in a powder than in a single crystal, so we naturally expect the powders reduced more than single crystals, resulting in the comparable or larger SC volume fraction in powder samples. $T_c$ shows the modest decrease with increasing pressure, i.e., it decreases from $T_c \approx 27$ K to $T_c \approx 23$ K at $p \approx 2.1$ GPa. On the other hand, application of pressure leads to the substantial decrease of the diamagnetic response, resulting in difficulties of observing the SC response above $p \approx 2.1$ GPa. It is difficult to conclude whether superconductivity in T'Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ ($x = 0.1$) is either fully suppressed above $p \approx 2.1$ GPa or becomes filamentary.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In order to compare the influence of pressure on the superconductivity and short-range magnetic order in T'Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ ($x = 0.1$), the pressure dependences of $T_{so}$ ($T_{so}^{onset}$) and $T_c$ are shown in Fig. 11(a). Moreover, the pressure dependences of $\chi'$ and $\chi_{ZFC}$, normalized to its ambient pressure value, are shown in Fig. 11(b). The most essential findings of the present work are the following: (1) The short-range magnetic order temperature $T_{so}$ in T'Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ ($x = 0.1$) exhibits the strong and nonlinear positive pressure effect. $T_{so}$ is nearly constant in the pressure range between 0 and 0.8 GPa, increasing at higher pressure. For the highest applied pressure of $p \approx 2.3$ GPa the $T_{so}$ increases by $\sim 12$ K. Note that the magnetic order remains short range even at $p \approx 2.3$ GPa. (2) The SC transition temperature $T_c$ in T'Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ ($x = 0.1$) shows the nonlinear negative pressure effect. Likewise $T_{so}$, $T_c$ is constant in the pressure range between 0 and 0.8 GPa. However, above $p \approx 0.8$ GPa, $T_c$ decreases instead of the observed increase for $T_{so}$. For the applied pressure of $p \approx 2.1$ GPa, $T_c$ decreases by $\sim 3$–4 K. Moreover, the diamagnetic response decreases substantially and nonlinearly with increasing pressure, and above $p \approx 2.1$ GPa, no diamagnetic signal is observed using bulk sensitive techniques. This means that the superconductivity in T'Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ ($x = 0.1$) is either suppressed fully or becomes filamentary above $p \approx 2.1$ GPa. Antagonistic pressure behavior between $T_{so}$ and $T_c$ gives direct evidence for the competition between superconductivity and the short-range magnetic order in T'Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ ($x = 0.1$). It is interesting that the magnetic fraction is nearly pressure independent, while the SC fraction reduces substantially. This is different from hole-doped cuprates with the static spin and charge order in which $\mu$SR observes phase separation between magnetism and superconductivity [15,18]. In addition, the magnetic order in T'Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ ($x = 0.1$) remains short range up to the highest applied pressure, which probably suggests that the superconductivity is not suppressed above $p \approx 2.1$ GPa, but it becomes filamentary and still inhibits the long-range magnetic order in the system.

Additional results provide important clues of how pressure may induce the short changes in magnetic and SC properties. In the closely related compounds Ln$_{2-x}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ ($Ln =$ Nd, Sm, and Eu) (where isovalent substitution of Nd by Sm and Eu simulates a condition generally referred to as “chemical pressure,” that is, both in-plane and out-of-plane lattice constants become small), ARPES and first-principles electronic-structure calculations revealed that the chemical pressure (the variation of the in-plane and out-of-plane lattice constants) has a great influence on the electronic structures and on the Fermi-surface shape [62]. Namely, reduction of the in-plane lattice constant causes the reduction of $-t'/t$, where $t$ and $t'$ denote transfer integrals between the nearest-neighbor and next-nearest-neighbor Cu sites and enhancement of AFM order. It was suggested that reduced $-t'/t$ enhances the AFM order through strengthened nesting, resulting in the increased gap in the nodal region. As we have shown through neutron powder diffraction experiments, hydrostatic pressure causes the continuous reduction of the in-plane and out-of-plane

FIG. 11. (a) The superconducting transition temperature $T_c$ and the short-range magnetic ordering temperatures $T_{so}$ and $T_{so}^{onset}$ of T'Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ ($x = 0.1$), obtained from dc/ac susceptibilities and $\mu$SR experiments, are plotted as a function of pressure. (b) The pressure dependence of $\chi'$ and $\chi_{ZFC}$, normalized to ambient pressure value. The dashed lines are guides to the eye.
lattice constants in T’-Pr_{1−x}La_{0.7}CeCuO_4 (x = 0.1), which, according to the above discussion, might also improve nesting and enhance the AFM order, leading to the increase of $T_{\text{iso}}$ and decrease of $T_c$. Thus, we suggest that the short-range magnetic order and the strong increase of $T_{\text{iso}}$ is intrinsic and is controlled by the Fermi surface properties of T’-Pr_{1−x}La_{0.7}CeCuO_4 (x = 0.1).

It is important to draw a parallel between the observation of short-range magnetic order at $T_{\text{iso}} \sim 45$ K in T’-Pr_{1−x}La_{0.7}CeCuO_4 (x = 0.1) and the recent findings in the related SC n-type cuprate Nd_{2−x}CeCuO_4 [25,63–66]. The existence of charge ordering with a short correlation length (15 to 27 Å) was reported for Nd_{2−x}CeCuO_4 [25].

In conclusion, hydrostatic pressure effects on short-range magnetic order and superconductivity in electron-doped cuprates. The short-range magnetic order temperature $T_{\text{iso}}$ exhibits a large positive pressure effect which has never been observed before for electron-doped cuprates. Furthermore, the observed pressure-induced shifts of $T_{\text{iso}}$ and the superconducting transition temperature $T_c$ have opposite signs. Moreover, the strong reduction of the in-plane and out-of-plane lattice constants is observed under pressure. However, no indication of the pressure-induced phase transition from T’ to T structure is observed up to the maximum applied pressure of $p = 11$ GPa. These experiments establish the short-range magnetic order as an intrinsic part of the phase diagram and as a competing phase in electron-doped cuprate superconductor T’-Pr_{1−x}La_{0.7}CeCuO_4 (x = 0.1). The observed pressure effects may be interpreted by assuming strong pressure-induced changes on the electronic structure and the Fermi surface through the variation of the lattice constants. Namely, the improved nesting upon pressure might be a possible explanation for the enhancement of $T_{\text{iso}}$ and suppression of $T_c$.
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