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Monkeypox virus (MPV) is a smallpox-like virus belonging to the genus Orthopoxvirus of the family Poxviridae. Unlike smallpox with no animal reservoir identified and patients suffering from milder symptoms with less mortality, several animals were confirmed to serve as natural hosts of MPV. The reemergence of a recently reported monkeypox epidemic outbreak in nonendemic countries has raised concerns about a global outburst. Since the underlying mechanism of animal-to-human transmission remains largely unknown, comprehensive analyses to discover principal differences in gene signatures during disease progression have become ever more critical. In this study, two MPV-infected in vitro models, including human immortal epithelial cancer (HeLa) cells and rhesus monkey (Macaca mulatta) kidney epithelial (MK2) cells, were chosen as the two subjects to identify alterations in gene expression profiles, together with co-regulated genes and pathways that are affected during monkeypox disease progression. Using Gene Ontology (GO), Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG), and MetaCore analyses, we discovered that elevated expression of genes associated with interleukins (ILs), G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), heat shock proteins (HSPs), Toll-like receptors (TLRs), and metabolic-related pathways play major roles in disease progression of both monkeypox-infected monkey MK2 and human HeLa cell lines. Interestingly, our analytical results also revealed that a cluster of differentiation 40 (CD40), plasmin, and histamine served as major regulators in the monkeypox-infected MK2 cell line model, while interferons (IFNs), macrophages, and neutrophil-related signaling pathways dominated the monkeypox-infected human HeLa cell line model. Among immune pathways of interest, apart from traditional monkeypox-regulated signaling pathways such as nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB), mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs), and
tumor necrosis factors (TNFs), we also identified highly significantly expressed genes in both monkey and human models that played pivotal roles during the progression of monkeypox infection, including CXCL1, TNFAIP3, BIRC3, IL6, CCL2, ZC3H12A, IL11, CSF2, LIF, PTX3, IER3, EGR1, ADORA2A, and DUOX1, together with several epigenetic regulators, such as histone cluster family gene members, HIST1H3D, HIST1H2BJ, etc. These findings might contribute to specific underlying mechanisms related to the pathophysiology and provide suggestions regarding modes of transmission, post-infectious sequelae, and vaccine development for monkeypox in the future.

1. Introduction

Monkeypox virus (MPV/MPXV) is commonly known as one of the rare systemic infections caused by one specie of the genus Orthopoxvirus in the family Poxviridae [1, 2]. Other than the smallpox virus (variola virus (VARV)), the best-known species of this genus, monkeypox virus, together with cowpox virus (CPXV), horsepox virus (HSPV), raccoonpox virus (RCNV), camelpox virus (CMLV), mousepox virus (also referred to as Ectromelia virus (ECTV)), and Alaskapox (AKPV) virus, are species believed to infect healthy humans through different modes of zoonotic transmission [3–5]. After the eradication of smallpox, a result of universal vaccination, almost no natural outbreaks related to Orthopoxvirus have been recorded until recently, after a significant number of confirmed monkeypox cases were reported worldwide [6]. The first discovery of this virus in monkeys in the lab (in 1958), followed by the first human infection detected in Africa (in 1970), meant that monkeypox was no longer endemic in animals as previously assumed; at least 400 human cases scattered across the western and central parts of the African continent have been diagnosed with similar clinical characteristics to smallpox but causing milder symptoms and being less lethal [7–9]. As of May 2022, multiple cases of MPV have been documented in at least 11 regions outside of Africa, including the European Zone, Britain, Middle East, Australia, the North American continent (including the United States, Canada, and South America), resulting in unprecedented outbreaks in those developed countries [8–10]. Further, public health investigations revealed the approximately 20-fold increase in incidences that resulted from the discontinuation of smallpox vaccination since 1980, while the probable source of infection could be either an animal reservoir (mainly rodents) or cross-infection [11, 12]. Transmission through person-to-person contact is claimed to primarily occur through close and prolonged contact with fluids from skin lesions, respiratory droplets, fomites of infected people, and even during sexual intercourse among populations of men who have sex with men (MSM) [13, 14].

To date, definite diagnoses of monkeypox are largely based on either a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of skin lesion specimens or immunohistochemical (IHC) staining of biopsies taken from those infected, as misdiagnoses of other blister-like diseases such as smallpox and chickenpox may occur in cases where only a visual skin examination and/or dermoscopy are solely employed [15, 16]. Although the genomes of several variants of the MPV have been fully sequenced, there are limited reports on the underlying mechanisms of viral transmission and pathogenic pathways of this disease [17, 18]. Moreover, proven treatment and preventive vaccines specifically designed for monkeypox have not yet been formally approved by the agencies, thus clinical care only focuses on symptomatic treatment. Therefore, developing a research design that focuses on the underlying molecular mechanisms during disease progression would greatly contribute to improving the situation as it provides necessary background knowledge for subsequent studies, such as diagnostic test development, epidemiological tracking, designs of vaccines and antiviral drugs, and other related adjuvant treatments.

In this study, we attempted to provide a concise and informative overview of principal differences in gene expression profiles between two MPV-infected in vitro models, including human immortal epithelial cancer (HeLa) cells and rhesus monkey (Macaca mulatta) kidney epithelial (MK2) cells, together with co-regulated genes and pathways that are affected during disease progression. The Gene Expression Omnibus ( GEO) database and bioinformatics approaches were employed to perform analyses of available samples related to these two models (Figure 1). We also revealed several critical regulatory downstream networks and investigated the functions of potential genes to determine whether they can serve as clinical biomarkers for MPV. These findings may contribute to an understanding of specific underlying mechanisms related to the pathophysiology and provide suggestions regarding modes of transmission, post-infection sequelae, and vaccine development for monkeypox in the future.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Bioinformatics Application for Data Acquisition and Processing. In this study, we investigated an in vitro system using gene expression data of two separate subjects, including human immortal epithelial cancer (HeLa) cells and monkey Macaca mulatta kidney epithelial (MK2) cells to characterize the effects of physical monkeypox viral infection. Relevant microarray gene expression datasets from the GEO (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo)—an international public functional genomics data repository affiliated with the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo)—were retrieved for subsequent analyses. In particular, MPV-induced alterations in transcriptomic profiles of 7-hour monkeypox-infected Macaca mulatta kidney epithelial (MK2) cells were obtained from the GSE21001 dataset [19], whereas similar information of 6-hour monkeypox-infected human immortal epithelial (HeLa) cells was acquired from the GSE36854 dataset [20]. The following analyses of both studies are based on comparison of each subject with its corresponding mock-infected counterpart as control.
Before being mapped onto Ensembl features using packages attached to the biomaRt tool (vers. 2.26.1), the CLC Genomics Workbench vers. 10.1 (CLC bio, Aarhus, Denmark) was utilized to process and standardize the raw data [21–23]. Signals were subsequently presented as a clustered heatmap based on messenger (m)RNA expression patterns using pheatmap (vers. 1.0.12) in the R environment [24–26], as well as the online platform available at http://www.bioinformatics.com.cn/srplot. For subsequent discoveries of enriched functionally related gene groups, the Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID), which is maintained by the Laboratory of Human Retrovirology and Immunoinformatic (LHRI) [27–29], was chosen as a powerful tool by the various functions it offers, especially in term of Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG). As previously described [30–32], the top 5% of upregulated genes derived from monkeypox-infected groups were further processed as input data for the GO analyses [33–35], while a p value of <0.05 was set as the significance level. After biological networks and related pathways were constructed, a cut-off value of 0.05 was applied to select significantly enriched pathways or groups of annotated genes.

2.2. Pathway-Based Analyses and Network Enrichment Analyses. MetaCore (Enrichment Analysis Workflow and analysis network; GeneGo, St. Joseph, MN, USA) was designed to identify biological processes related to gene microarray data. The top 5% of upregulated genes with substantial differences in transcriptome levels were processed as input to the MetaCore program to compare average levels of gene expressions in the two models. Signal transduction pathways were examined. A statistically significant difference was indicated by a p value of <0.05.

2.3. Construction of a Protein-Protein-Interacting (PPI) Network using the STRING Analysis. The STRING database (vers. 11.0) (https://string-db.org/) serves as a search engine and a protein information resource that provides a considerable number of proteins and known interaction activities upon which differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were examined [36–38]. The Cytoscape stringApp was also employed in this work to construct a PPI-network, while
protein cluster classification was built using the k-means clustering algorithm.

3. Results

3.1. GO Analysis of Monkeypox-Infected Models. For the monkey cell line model, transcriptomic data of the 7-hour monkeypox-infected *Macaca mulatta* kidney epithelial (MK2) cell retrieved from the GSE21001 dataset were matched with a mock treatment group for a comparative analysis of DEGs. GO analytical results associated with monkeypox infection are depicted in Figure 2(a). Pathways assigned to cluster 1 (c1) contained the most significant highly expressed genes and pathways in the monkeypox-infected group, whereas cluster 2 (c2) exerted an opposite trend. The GO analysis suggested that c1 was related to inflammatory responses, chemokine activities, immune system processes, responses to peptidoglycans, cellular responses to lipopolysaccharide, nucleosome assembly, chromatin assembly or disassembly, responses to ionizing radiation, and responses to temperature stimuli. Pathways within c2 were associated with kinase binding, thymus development, responses to endoplasmic reticular stress, transfer (t)RNA aminoacylation for protein translation, and responses to amino acid stimulation.

For the 6-hour monkeypox-infected human cell line model, transcriptomic data of monkeypox-infected human HeLa cells were retrieved from the GSE36854 dataset. Pathways assigned to cluster 1 (c1) were those most highly correlated with monkeypox infection, whereas cluster 2 (c2) exerted an opposite trend. The GO analysis suggested that pathways within c1 were related to growth factor activities, leukocyte activation, responses to organic cyclic compounds, inflammatory responses, regulation of the extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1 (ERK1) and the extracellular signal-regulated kinase 2 (ERK2) cascade, chemokine activities, and regulation of nitric oxide biosynthetic processes. Pathways in c2 were related to nucleosome assembly, protein heterodimerization activities, telomere maintenance, extracellular regions, the actin cytoskeleton, responses to protozoan invasion, and coagulation.

3.2. Pathway Analysis of the Two Monkeypox-Infected Models. Venn diagrams were employed to filter upregulated genes in common between the two models mentioned above. The top 5% of messenger (m)RNAs within the monkeypox-infected *Macaca mulatta* kidney epithelial (MK2) cell model and the human immortal epithelial cancer (HeLa) counterpart were highly expressed compared to those within the mock control (Figure 3(a)). In total, 149 overlapping genes that were highly expressed in both monkey and human models were subsequently input to the MetaCore tool for a map analysis. Several standard maps were found to be related to monkeypox infection, including the "immune response_IL-1 signaling pathway," "NF-kB pathway in multiple myeloma," "immune response_CD40 signaling in B cells," "TNF-alpha-induced inflammation," "signaling in normal and asthmatic airway epithelium," "immune response_plasmin signaling," "glomerular injury in lupus nephritis," "immune response_IL-33 signaling pathway," "macrophage and dendritic cell phenotype shift in cancer," "renal tubulointerstitial injury in lupus nephritis," "signal transduction_nonapoptotic FasR (CD95) signaling," and "inflammatory mechanisms of pancreatic cancerogenesis" (Figure 3(b), Supplementary Table A1). Meanwhile, Endogenous Metabolic Networks corresponding to genes from the shortlist of upregulated genes shared by the two models revealed that pathways were associated with phospholipid biosynthesis, including the "Ceramide pathway," "N-acyl-sphingosine phosphate pathway," "2-arachidonoyl-glycerol 3-phosphocholine pathway," "phosphatidylethanolamine pathway," "(L)-valine pathways and transport," "carbohydrate metabolism_TCA and tricarboxylic acid transport," and "vitamin, mediator and cofactor metabolism_alpha-tocotrienol," which are metabolic signalling pathways dominated by these genes within both aforementioned models (Figures 3(c)–3(e), Supplementary Table A2).

To further understand biological processes in the individual models, we analyzed pathways related to monkeypox infection in each case. For the 7-hour monkeypox-infected *Macaca mulatta* kidney epithelial (MK2) cell model, the top 5% of upregulated genes obtained compared to the mock treatment group were input into the MetaCore platform for a comprehensive map analysis. The "immune response_IL-1 signaling pathway," "immune response_IL-33 signaling pathway," "signal transduction_nonapoptotic FasR (CD95) signaling," "immune response_histamine H1 receptor signaling in immune response," "IL-1 signaling in melanoma," "inflammatory mechanisms of pancreatic cancerogenesis," "immune response_HSP60 and HSP70/TLR signaling pathway," "G protein-coupled receptors signaling in lung cancer," "TNF-alpha-induced inflammatory signaling in normal and asthmatic airway epithelium," and "resistance of pancreatic cancer cells to death receptor signaling" were among the most significant maps detected (Supplementary Figure A1, Table A3). Meanwhile, the endogenous Metabolic Networks corresponding to genes from the shortlist of upregulated genes within the monkeypox-infected *Macaca mulatta* kidney epithelial (MK2) cells revealed that pathways associated with phospholipid biosynthesis and amino acid synthesis included the "phosphatidylethanolamine pathway," "N-acyl-sphingosine phosphate pathway," "lyso-phosphatidylserine pathway," "1-alkyl-glycerol_3-phosphoethanolamine pathway," "1-oleoyl-glycerol_3-phosphate pathway," "2-oleoyl-glycerol_3-phosphate pathway," and "aminoacid metabolism_asparagine, and aspartic acid metabolism and transport," which are metabolic signaling pathways dominated by these genes within this model (Supplementary Figure A2, Table A4).

For the human immortal epithelial cancer (HeLa) cell model, the top 5% of upregulated genes compared to the mock treatment groups were input into the MetaCore platform for a comprehensive map analysis. The "immune response_IL-1 signaling pathway," "inflammatory mechanisms of pancreatic cancerogenesis," "G protein-coupled receptors signaling in lung cancer," "immune response_
**Figure 2:** Analytical results of Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment accompanied by heatmap visualization in two separate monkeypox-infected models. (a) Comparison of gene expression patterns between the monkeypox-infected *Macaca mulatta* kidney epithelial (MK2) cells and the mock control. Cluster 1 (c1) contained the most significant highly expressed genes and pathways in the monkeypox-infected group, whereas c2 exerted an opposite trend. The GO analysis suggested that inflammatory and chemokine-related peptidoglycan pathways were significantly correlated with the monkeypox-infected *Macaca mulatta* kidney epithelial (MK2) cell model.

(b) Comparison of gene expression patterns between the monkeypox-infected human immortal epithelial cancer (HeLa) cell model and the mock control. Cluster 1 (c1) contained the most significantly highly expressed genes and pathways in the monkeypox-infected group, whereas c2 exerted an opposite trend. The GO analysis suggested that nucleosome assembly and cytoskeleton-related pathways were found to be significantly correlated with the human immortal epithelial cancer (HeLa) cell model.
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plasmin signaling,” “immune response_CD40 signaling in dendritic cells, monocytes, and macrophages,” “interleukins-induced inflammatory response in asthmatic airway fibroblasts,” “TNF-alpha-induced inflammatory signaling in normal and asthmatic airway epithelium,” “NF-kB-, AP-1-, and MAPKs-mediated proinflammatory cytokine production by eosinophils in asthma,” “immune response_CD40 signaling in B cells,” and “immune response_IL-17 signaling pathways” were among the most significant maps detected (Supplementary Figure A3, Table A5). Through the Metabolic Networks (Endogenous) corresponding to genes from the shortlist of upregulated genes shared by the monkeypox-infected models, data revealed that pathways associated with phospholipid biosynthesis included the “phosphatidylcholine pathway,” “1-docosahexaenoyl-glycerol_3-phosphocholine pathway,” “lysophosphatic acid pathway,” “1-icosatrienoylsn-glycero-3-phosphocholine pathway,” “2-arachidonoyl-glycerol_3-phosphocholine pathway,” and “GalNAc_beta1-3Gal pathway,” which are metabolic signaling pathways dominated by these genes within this model (Supplementary Figure A4, Table A6).

In addition to the pathways and network analysis for leading-edge genes generated by MetaCore as mentioned before, we also used the KEGG database to validate these data. The top enriched KEGG pathways were analyzed for common genes shared by the monkeypox-infected Macaca mulatta kidney epithelial (MK2) cell model and the human immortal epithelial cancer (HeLa) cell model, and pathways were ranked by p values (Supplementary Figure A5).
3.3. Analytical Results of Co-regulated Interactions and Cellular Component Annotations in the Monkeypox-Infected Models. To further explore the most significance of co-upregulated genes from both models, we used a Venn diagram to filter the 24 genes (among the top 1% of genes) in common, which were highly expressed in both models (Figure 4(a)). Upregulated genes in monkeypox-infected cells included CXCL1, TNFAIP3, BIRC3, IL-6, CCL2, ZC3H12A, IL-11, CSF2, LIF, PTX3, IER3, EGR1, ADORA2A, DUOX1, and histone clusters family members, such as HIST1H3D, HIST1H2AK, HIST1H2AD, HIST1H2AC, HIST1H1B, HIST2H2AB, HIST1H2BM, HIST1H2BH, and HIST1H2BB (Figure 4(b)). These 24 genes were further imported into the STRING platform to construct PPI networks, which may play pivotal roles in manifestations after monkeypox infection (Figure 4(c)).

Upregulated genes shared by these two models were also imported into the GO platform for cellular component annotations under monkeypox infection circumstances. In the case of the monkeypox-infected Macaca mulatta kidney epithelial (MK2) cell model, these genes mostly functioned in apical plasma membranes, neuronal cell bodies, the cytosol, nucleoplasm cytoplasm, extracellular exosomes, an integral component of presynaptic membranes, postsynaptic membranes, cytoplasmic exosomes, and the perikaryon (Figure 5(a)). The same analytical flowchart was applied to the monkeypox-infected human immortal epithelial cancer (HeLa) cell model to identify cellular components under monkeypox infection circumstances. Genes with the greatest significance functioned in the extracellular space, receptor complexes, integral component of membranes, caveolae, external site of plasma membranes, extracellular matrices, voltage-gated calcium channel complexes, presynaptic active zones, glutamatergic synapses, and dendritic shafts (Figure 5(b)). From such observations gained from the two in vitro monkeypox-infected models, we concluded that certain cellular mechanisms of monkeypox infection are almost identical but slightly different when comparing the two species (Figure 5(c)).

4. Discussion

In most cases, viral infections only occur when a virus that causes disease successfully invades a host, beginning by penetrating the antiviral defenses, inserting its genetic materials, and leukotrienes presented in acute dengue patients are closely related to disease severity [63].

Our research findings were consistent with previous studies on monkeypox infection as mentioned above; the GO and pathway analyses revealed similar enriched pathways for both monkeypox-infected monkey and human models, including IL-1, IL-33, IL-17, IL-18, NF-κB, MAPKs, and TNF-R2 signaling (Figure 3). However, interestingly, we also discovered relationships between monkeypox and GPCRs, HSP60/70, histamine, plasmin, and histone cluster-related signaling (Supplementary Figures A1, A2) that have rarely been reported before.

Regarding 24 common genes that were highly expressed in both in vitro models (Figure 4), when compared to the previous literature, similar expression patterns were also observed in case of CXCL1, TNFAIP3, BIRC3, IL6, CCL2, ZC3H12A, IL11, CSF2, LIF, PTX3, IER3, EGR1, ADORA2A, DUOX1, and several histone cluster family members such as HIST1H3D and HIST1H2BJ. According to a recent study, there were dramatic increases in serum concentrations of IL1-RA, IL-6, and IFN-γ in cynomolgus macaques after being infected with aerosolized MPV [64]. In myeloid cells,
Figure 4: Continued.
Figure 4: Overview of protein-protein-interacting networks inferred from overexpressed genes in the monkeypox-infected *Macaca mulatta* kidney epithelial (MK2) cell model and the human immortal epithelial cancer (HeLa) cell model. (a) Similar transcriptome patterns obtained from the GSE21001 and GSE36854 datasets were filtered by a Venn diagram. Shortlist of the top 1% of upregulated genes shared by the two monkeypox-infected models. (b) Distribution of 24 coregulated genes inferred from the above shortlist, presented using box plot visualizations. (c) STRING analyses revealed the protein-protein-interacting network of the 24 co-regulated genes, separated by clusters in different colors. Colored nodes represent 24 target genes as input, while gray nodes represent the corresponding proteins.
TNFAIP3 deficiency helps protect host cells against invasion by the influenza A virus [65]. Both ZC3H12A/MCPIP1 and CDKN1A/p21 may contribute to the synergistic effect on replication control and pharmacological manipulation of HIV-1 [66]. Infection with SARS-CoV-2 and several influenza viruses can lead to alterations in CSF2 and IL-11 expressions [67–69]. PTX3, the expression of which was reported to be upregulated by TNF-α during acute lung injury, was recently found to serve as a reliable prognostic indicator in predicting short-term mortality from SARS-CoV-2 [70]. Apoptosis and impaired viral replication were found to be induced by infection with the Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus; however, knockdown of early growth response 1 (EGR1) significantly inhibited these signaling pathways [71]. Two members of the NADPH oxidase (NOX) family, including the DUOX1 and DUOX2 proteins, are potential therapeutic targets against infectious diseases caused by the influenza A virus [72]. Previous research demonstrated that DNA viruses exploit host cellular epigenetic processes to their advantage during infection [73, 74]. Most interestingly, several histone cluster family members were reported to be involved in differential responses of human fetal brain neural stem cells to Zika virus infection [75]. For example, three members of the histone cluster 1 H2B

Figure 5: Major characteristics of Gene Ontology (GO) and cellular component annotations are inferred from highly expressed genes shared by the monkeypox-infected Macaca mulatta kidney epithelial (MK2) cell model and the human immortal epithelial cancer (HeLa) cell model. (a) Top highly enriched GO cellular components in the MK2 model. (b) Top highly enriched GO cellular components in the HeLa model. (c) Conclusion of enriched maps discovered by bioinformatic analysis of upregulated genes from the MK2 and HeLa models. The data revealed that interleukins (ILs), G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), heat shock proteins (HSPs), Toll-like receptors (TLRs), and metabolic-related pathways were the elevated expression of both monkeypox-infected monkey and human cell lines. Besides, cluster of differentiation 40 (CD40), plasmin, and histamine serve as major regulators in the monkeypox-infected monkey cell line model, while interferons (IFNs), macrophages, and neutrophil-related signaling dominate the monkeypox-infected human cell line model.
(HIST1H2B) family, namely HIST1H2BB, HIST1H2BK, and HIST1H2BO, were among those hub genes and pathways that contributed to Zika virus infection [76]. However, only a limited number of studies have described such relationships in terms of monkeypox. Interestingly, results of our bioinformatics analysis revealed that expression level of certain histone cluster family members, such as HIST1H3D, HIST1H2BJ, HIST1H2AK, HIST1H2AD, HIST1H2AC, HIST1H1B, HIST2H2AB, HIST1H2BM, HIST1H2BH, and HIST1H2BB, were elevated in both monkeypox-infected cell models of monkey and human. These data suggested that some of these epigenetic regulators may also play important roles in monkeypox infection (Figure 4). Cross-validation performed in the 6-hour monkeypox-infected human immortal epithelial cancer (HeLa) cell model (GSE24125) [77] revealed similar patterns of elevated expressions of the aforementioned genes (Supplementary Figure A6). As the most challenging aspect of diagnosing monkeypox infection is distinguishing monkeypox vesicular rash eruption from those of other diseases [78], our study provides a prospect of the histone cluster family as potential regulators correlated with monkeypox infection. Since the current study only focused on signaling pathways regulated by DEGs caused by monkeypox infection, further investigation into the underlying pathogenesis is required for consolidated guidelines for monkeypox treatment in the future.

5. Conclusion

In the current study, we focused on investigating genetic signatures and their related pathways in two different monkeypox-infected models. Our research findings revealed the critical roles of multiple genes and their regulatory pathways in both monkeypox-infected models, which not only directly in line with previous literature but also provided novel functions as well as highly expressed genes related to MPV infection that had been less well revealed in the past. In the context of widespread epidemics of viral infectious diseases that are prevalent today, this work may contribute to bridging the traditional gap between bench research and clinical applications. However, with attempts to validate and examine the potentials of these genes as novel antiviral therapies, further expanding research on disease basics may provide more-concrete perspectives.
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