Electronic states in a magnetic quantum-dot molecule: phase transitions and spontaneous symmetry breaking
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We show that a double quantum-dot system made of diluted magnetic semiconductor behaves unlike usual molecules. In a semiconductor double quantum dot or in a diatomic molecule, the ground state of a single carrier is described by a symmetric orbital. In a magnetic material molecule, new ground states with broken symmetry can appear due the competition between the tunnelling and magnetic polaron energy. With decreasing temperature, the ground state changes from the normal symmetric state to a state with spontaneously broken symmetry. Interestingly, the symmetry of a magnetic molecule is recovered at very low temperatures. A magnetic double quantum dot with broken-symmetry phases can be used as a voltage-controlled nanoscale memory cell.
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In diluted magnetic semiconductors, the spins of static impurities interact through mobile carriers and can form a ferromagnetic state [4]. Since the carrier density in semiconductors is a voltage-tunable parameter, the ferromagnetic state also becomes controlled by the voltage [2]. For technology, this may give an important advantage compared to the conventional memories based on ferromagnetic metals and controlled by the magnetic field. When a single confined carrier interacts with magnetic impurities, it forms a new stable state, called a magnetic polaron [2]. One important class of confined nanostructures is the quantum dots (QDs) where the number of trapped carriers can be easily changed by the voltage applied to the top gate [3]. Information in a single QD made of magnetic semiconductor can be stored in the form of spin polarization and therefore such a QD can be viewed as a nanoscale magnetic memory element. Magnetic QDs as memory elements have some properties, that look very attractive for the technology: (1) small sizes, (2) small number of carriers, and (3) voltage control of the number of carriers. Currently, the physics of electronic states in magnetic QDs is an active field of research [1, 3, 4, 9, 11]. Here we make a logical step from a single magnetic nanocrystal toward QD molecules and show that a magnetic QD pair has unique physical properties that may also be useful for device applications.

In this letter, we consider a magnetic double QD with one hole. Using the mean field theory, we calculate the physical properties of magnetic polarons formed due to the Mn-hole exchange interaction. We find that this system undergoes two phase transitions (Fig. 1). At high temperatures, the kinetic tunnelling energy of the hole is larger than the magnetic polaron binding energy and a symmetric state (with equal hole probabilities for both dots) is realized. With decreasing temperature (T), the local magnetic energy becomes large enough to trap the hole in one of the dots. This self-trapped process spontaneously breaks the symmetry of the system. At very low temperature, the local Mn-spin polarization can become so strong that the symmetry is recovered. Our broken-symmetry polaron has several unique properties: it appears in the confined geometry, is voltage-controllable, and may vanish at very low T. The above phase transitions occur also with changing the energy barrier between the dots. Since a double QD can be controlled by the gate voltage, the above phases can be prepared and read using electrical means.

Model. The Hamiltonian of the system composed of two magnetic QDs and one hole has a form

$$H_{hh} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{P}}^2}{2m_{hh}} + U_0(R) - \frac{\beta}{3} j_z \cdot \hat{S}_z,$$

where $R = (r, z)$, $r$ and $z$ are the in-plane vector and the vertical coordinate, respectively; $j_z$ is the z-component of the hole spin and $\beta$ is the exchange integral; $\hat{S}_z = \sum_{i} S_{i,z} \delta(R - R_i)$ is the spin operator associated with the Mn subsystem; here $S_{i,z}$ and $R_i$ are the single-impurity spin and position, respectively; $i$ is the Mn-impurity index. In this study, we will model the in-plane hole motion by a parabolic potential and the vertical motion with a square double well [11], i.e. $U_0(R) = u(z) + m_{hh} \omega_0^2 z^2/2$, where $u(z)$ is a double well potential in the $z$-direction (Fig. 1) and $\omega_0$ is the in-plane frequency, $m_{hh} = m^*$ is the mass of heavy holes. In our model describing disk-like self-assembled QDs, the light-hole states are assumed to be split from the lowest heavy-hole states. In the absence of Mn impurities, the hole wavefunction can be written as $\psi_j = \phi_j(z) \varphi_{n_x,n_y}(r)$, where $j = (j, n_x, n_y)$, $n_x(y) = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, and $j = (s, a, k_z)$ label the discrete ($s$ and $a$) and continuous states ($k_z$) related to the $z$-motion. Above we assumed that our QD pair has only two bound states, symmetric ($s$) and antisymmetric ($a$).

Within the mean field theory, the Hamiltonian be-
The potential $u(z)$.

The corresponding first-order PT contribution to the energy is given by eq. 6. Then, the second-order correction to the energy becomes

$$\delta E = \sum_{G} \frac{\left| \delta U_{G,\Gamma}(\psi_{G}) \right|^2}{E_{tot} - E_{\Gamma}},$$

where $\Gamma = \{\gamma, n_x, n_y\}$, $\gamma = (G, E, k_z)$, $n_x, n_y = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$, $\Gamma \neq \{G, 0, 0\}, \{E, 0, 0\}$; here $E_{G}^0$ and $\psi_{G}^0$ describe the ground state calculated within the lowest-order PT and $k_z$ labels the delocalized states for the $z$-motion.

**Phase transition as a function of temperature.** To find the variational ground state, we calculate the total energy $E_{tot}(a) = E_{tot}(a)$ as a function of $a$ at various temperatures (Fig. 2). The quantity $a$ is the hole amplitude related to the symmetric state. The material parameters are the following: $\beta N_0 = -1.8 \ eV$, $N_0 = 23 \ nm^{-3}$, $l_0 = \sqrt{\hbar/m^*\omega_0} = 2.5 \ nm$, $b = 4 \ nm$, $w = 3 \ nm$, $U_0 = 90 \ meV$, $m = 0.38 \ m_0$, $x_{Mn} = 0.005$, and $R_{Mn} = 15.0 \ nm$. This parameter set represents a InAs/GaAs QD doped with Mn impurities. One can clearly see three different phases. For high $T$, the ground state corresponds to $a^2 = 1$, i.e., the ground state is symmetric. With decreasing $T$, the ground changes from $a^2 = 1$ to almost $a^2 = 0.5$. It is a state of hole staying mostly in one dot. Thus, the symmetry of the system becomes broken. For very low $T$, the symmetry is recovered.

The probability $a^2$ (Fig. 3) as a function of the effective temperature $T^* = T + T_0$ clearly shows the existence of three phases. We may consider the difference of hole probabilities of being inside the dots $\delta = |P_{upper} - P_{lower}| = 2a\sqrt{(1 - a^2)}$ as an order parameter (as shown in the inset of Fig. 3). The order parameter $\delta$ is nonzero for the broken-symmetry phase at intermediate $T^*$ and is zero for the symmetric state at high and low $T^*$.

Basically, the physical picture is the following: the interaction between the hole and Mn impurities leads to the ferromagnetic ordering of Mn-spins inside the double QD. The resulting polaron state tends to be as localized as possible because the magnetic binding energy increases with decreasing the localization strength of hole.
The latter can be seen from eq. 6, the Brillouin function strongly increases with increasing the hole wavefunction amplitude, \( \psi^2 \). Therefore, in our system there is a competition between the kinetic energy (tunnel splitting) and the magnetic energy. At high \( T \), due to the thermal fluctuations, the interaction between the hole and Mn impurities is weak and the kinetic energy wins. In this case, a symmetric ground state is realized. With decreasing \( T \), the Mn-hole interaction becomes stronger and, below some critical temperature \( T_c \), the magnetic localization effect overcomes the tunnelling. The hole is now trapped in one of the dots since such a spatial configuration lowers the total energy. However, for very low \( T^* \), the hole is able to polarize the Mn spins inside the QD pair much stronger and the magnetic potential \( \delta U \) becomes almost flat. Therefore, the symmetric orbital state is recovered. There is an obvious condition for the existence of the low-\( T \) phase: \( T^*_a > T_0(T_c) > 0 \). In other words, for the existence of the low-\( T \) phase, the Mn-hole interaction must be strong enough to overcome the antiferromagnetic interaction. Fig. 1 shows schematically the three phases.

We should point out that the symmetric polaron at low \( T \) has a much stronger Mn spin polarization than the symmetric state at high \( T \). The temperature \( T^*_a \) corresponds to a smooth, type-II phase transition, while the low-\( T \) transition is sharp and can be classified as a type-I phase transition. The low-\( T \) transition occurs as a jump between the broken-symmetry phase (\( a = \pm 1/\sqrt{2} \)) and the symmetric state (\( |a| = 1 \)).

The temperature \( T^*_a \) can be found analytically by expanding the total energy in the vicinity of the type-II phase transition:

\[
k_B T^*_a = \frac{\beta^2 N_0 S(S+1)}{6(E_a - E_s)} \int d^3 R x_{Mn} (3\phi_a^2\phi_b^2 - \phi_a^4). \tag{8}
\]
antiferromagnetic CdMnTe temperature \( T_0 = 3.6 \, K \).

One may notice that the transition temperature \( T_{c1} \) can be very small (Fig. 4 inset). It may be impossible to observe the third phase \( (T_{s3} < T_0) \), if some antiferromagnetic interactions are present. However, due to the tunability of QD systems, we may design a system with a relatively high \( T_{c1} \). Fig. 5 shows the phase transitions in a designed system with \( T_{c1} \sim 2 \, K \). For the parameters, we took: \( \beta N_0 = -2.2 \, eV, \, N_0 = 10\, nm^{-3}, \, l_0 = 4 \, nm, \, B = 5.3 \, nm, \, w = 5 \, nm, \, U_0 = 150 meV, \, m = 0.1 \, m_0, \, x_{Mn} = 0.005 \, nm \), and \( R_{Mn} = 3.0 \, nm \).

In recent experiments [12], self-assembled double QDs were built into transistor structures with top and back contacts. By using applied voltage in these structures one can change the number of trapped carriers and also modify their wave functions. Two stable magnetic states in our system (Fig. 1b) can be considered as a bit of classical information. In these states, the hole mostly resides in the upper dot or in the lower dot. Using the gate voltage, our system can be prepared in the desired state. The following electrical readout of the polaron state can be done, for example, using capacitance spectroscopy [5].

**Quantum phase transition.** We may look at the physics from another point of view. The kinetic energy of the hole, i.e. the hopping between the dots, is related to the width of the barrier between QDs and thus can be tuned by appropriate growth process. In Fig. 6, we show the ground-state wavefunctions for various widths of the barrier. With increasing of the width, the ground states become more asymmetric. It is clear that there is a quantum phase transition with the increasing of barrier width. The critical width is around 2 nm (see inset of Fig. 5).

**Second order corrections to energy.** All the previous discussions are based on the perturbation theory applied to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. The second-order correction to the energy can be calculated by eq. 7. For the sets of parameters used above, it is of a few percent of the first-order result. We also found numerically that, in the vicinity of transition temperatures \( T_{c1}^* \) and \( T_{c2}^* \), the second-order corrections are even smaller [14]. This allows us to give the results for \( T_{c1}^* \) and \( T_{c2}^* \) in a wider range of \( x_{Mn} \) (see Fig. 6).

In conclusion, we have studied a magnetic QD molecule with a single hole. With decreasing temperature, the magnetic polaron ground state undergoes two phase transitions. At a higher temperature, the normal symmetric state turns into the polaron state with broken symmetry. At lower temperatures, a symmetric polaron state can be recovered. Our results suggest that a magnetic QD molecule can be used as a nanoscale magnetic memory cell controlled electrically.
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