Low Serum Vitamin D Is Not Correlated With Myopia in Chinese Children and Adolescents
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Purpose: This cross-sectional study investigated the association between serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] concentration and myopia in two groups of Chinese children aged 6–14 years from different geographic and economic locations.

Methods: A total of 294 children from a lowland area and 89 from a highland area were enrolled as two groups of study subjects. The visual acuity, ocular biometry, and automated refraction were measured. The serum level of 25(OH)D was determined by chemiluminescence immunoassay. Near vision and outdoor exposure durations were assessed with a questionnaire interview. Data were analyzed for differences using Chi-square and Wilcoxon rank sum tests. The risk factors were evaluated using logistic regression analysis.

Results: We found that the serum level of 25(OH)D of the subjects from lowland area was 20.9 ng/mL which was higher than that of subjects from highland area (16.9 ng/mL). The median spherical equivalent refraction (SER) was −0.25 diopters(D) in lowland subjects and −0.63D in highland subjects. The prevalence of myopia was 45.2% in lowland subjects and 55.1% in highland subjects. The average axial length was similar, 23.6 mm and 23.1 mm in lowland and highland subjects, respectively. We found no statistical difference between the average SER and serum 25(OH)D concentration in subjects of either lowland or highland area. The ratio of myopia to non-myopia was also similar in subjects with three levels (sufficient, deficient, and insufficient) of serum 25(OH)D in these two areas.

Conclusions: There is no association between serum 25(OH)D concentration and myopia in the 6–14 years old Chinese children.
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INTRODUCTION

In the past 10 years, the prevalence of myopia has increased by 23% in East Asians (1). In Chinese children, the prevalence was 51.4% in Anyang (2), 34.9% in Guangzhou (3), 60.9% in Beijing (4) and these numbers are still rising (5). The prevalence of myopia in Haidian District, Beijing, increased from 55.9 to 65.5% from 2005 to 2015 (6). Myopia is now a worldwide public health problem affecting more and more young children (4, 7). However, the reasons for this multifactorial disease and its mechanism are still unclear (8, 9).
More outdoor exposure is known to slow the onset and progression of myopia (9–12). The seasonal effect on the progression of the axial length (AL) in children is confirmed. Increase in AL slows down with longer duration of sunlight exposure and high sunlight intensity (11, 13). Myopia progression is known to be slower in summer than in winter (14, 15). In Tibetan highlands, myopia prevalence is lower than that in lowland areas, presumably attributed to less education pressure, more sunlight exposure and higher sunlight intensity (16).

The notion that sunlight exposure prevents myopia progression remains a controversy (17). Dopamine decrease AL growth is the most convincing hypothesis (18, 19), although there is not enough research in humans. A more uniform refraction pattern (20), longer depth of field and fewer high order aberrations (21) in an outdoor visual environment are factors that contribute to a sharper retina image, and these factors might contribute to slower AL growth.

The internal homeostasis of human body, especially serum vitamin D, is closely associated with outdoor activities. Serum vitamin D is composed of vitamin D3 and vitamin D2. Vitamin D3, the main source of vitamin D in the body, is formed in the skin though sunlight exposure. Vitamin D2 is absorbed through diary intake. Vitamin D3 and vitamin D2 are transformed into serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D3] and 25(OH)D2, respectively, in the liver, and the serum level of 25(OH)D is the most reliable indicator of total vitamin D in the body (22).

Previous studies have investigated the relationship between the serum level of Vitamin D and myopia. Choi et al. investigated 2,038 adolescents aged 13 to 18 years old in Korea and found that serum 25(OH)D concentrations were 16.3 ± 0.3 ng/mL in the non-myopia group, 16.4 ± 0.3 ng/mL in the mild-myopia group, 16.0 ± 0.3 ng/mL in the moderate-myopia group, and 15.2 ± 0.4 ng/mL in the high-myopia group (P = 0.054) (23). A meta-analysis showed that the myopia group had lower 25(OH)D concentration than the non-myopia group (standard mean difference = −0.27 nmol/L, P = 0.001) (24). Researchers showed that lower Vitamin D is associated with increased risk of myopia (25–30). Besides refractive error, the change of AL was also reported in literature. A comprehensive cross-sectional study conducted by Tideman et al. in Netherlands showed that vitamin D was correlated with a longer AL in 6-year-old children (31). However, some of the other studies did not show any correlation between Vitamin D and refractive error (32–35). Hung-Da et al. found that the mean serum 25(OH)D concentrations were similar between the myopic and non-myopic groups (49.7 ± 13.6 and 48.8 ± 14.0 nmol/mL; P = 0.806) in 99 children with a mean age of 6.8 years (36). The prevalence of vitamin D deficiency and insufficiency is more than 80% in some age groups in China, a value much higher than those for other countries (37). Vitamin D deficiency in China is commonly seen even in developed regions like Guangzhou and Beijing (37–39). Whether vitamin D concentration, especially a relatively low concentration vitamin D, has an impact on the development of myopia is uncertain.

Vitamin D is generated in the body through light exposure and diary intake. In this study, we selected two groups of subjects from locations with substantially different diets and exposure to sunlight. Our intention was to test whether vitamin D is associated with myopia in these two groups of subjects with different levels of vitamin D concentration.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Population**

The study subjects were children aged 6–14 years old in both lowland and highland areas. Lowland subjects were enrolled from the Health Examination Center of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University (WMU) (Sept 2011–Mar 2016). Their counterparts were subjects from the Highland Eye Study conducted in Tibet by the Eye Hospital of WMU (July 2013 to Aug 2013). Their inclusion criteria were: (1) Aged 6–14 years. (2) No metabolic or congenital systemic diseases. (3) No eye diseases, such as cataract, glaucoma, amblyopia, and others. (4) No history of orthokeratology contact lens wear or any other myopia control treatments such as atropine within 3 months. (5) No mental illness. (6) Children and their guardians were able to understand the aim and procedures of the study and sign the informed consent forms. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Eye Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University (KYK[2014]26). Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects prior to participating in the program. The study followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Eye Examinations**

Eye exams included slit lamp tests, refraction and biometry. Distance visual acuity was examined with an ETDRS visual chart at 4 m. Slit lamp was used to examine anterior eye structures. Auto-refraction (Topcon RT 8900) was tested several times until the readings of the refractive error became stable and the final reading was recorded. Biometry measurements were tested with Lenstar optical biometry (Lenstar 900). At least three stable tests were obtained and the readings of AL, anterior chamber depth, lens thickness, central corneal thickness, corneal diameter, corneal curvature, corneal astigmatism were recorded. If the subject and his/her guardian agreed to cycloplegia, 1% cyclopentolate hydrochloride would be used twice with a 5 min interval. Among 352 subjects in the lowland groups, 294 subjects (83.5%) who underwent cycloplegic refraction were included in the study. Subjects in the highland groups did not undergo cycloplegic refraction.

**Blood Sample Collection and Vitamin D Detection**

Three milliliter- fast blood sample was drawn and kept away from light for 30 min, then centrifuged (3,000 r/min) for 10 min. The blood serum was separated and frozen at −80°C refrigerator for 25(OH) D detection. Total vitamin D assay kit (SIMENS,100T) was used to detect 25(OH)D through the automatic chemiluminescence immunoassay analyzer Chemiluminescence (SIMENS, ADVIA Centaur XP; IRL26391136).

**Questionnaire Interview**

Demographic information, near vision duration, and outdoor duration were sought in the questionnaire. Near vision and
outdoor duration were recorded for 3 conditions: on weekdays, during weekends and during summer/winter vacation.

**Definitions**
Myopia was defined as non-cycloplegic spherical equivalent refraction (SER) $\leq$ -0.50 diopters (D) (40). Serum 25(OH)D concentration higher or equal to 30 ng/mL was defined as a sufficient level. Concentrations less or equal to 20 ng/mL were defined as deficient serum 25(OH)D and the concentrations between these extremes were defined as insufficient serum 25(OH)D (41).

**Quality Control**
Procedures for testing the subjects from both lowland and highland areas followed the same protocol. Questionnaire interviews were conducted by investigators who had good communication skills, knew local dialect and received training by the supervisors (CJ, LF) before the project began. A prior test was conducted to adjust improper procedures. The 25(OH)D detection was conducted by professional laboratory staff of the Eye Hospital of WMU.

**Statistical Analysis**
SER, 25(OH)D, AL and age were described as median (interquartile range) and Wilcoxon t-test was used for comparisons. Chi-square test and Fisher exact test were used for classical variables. A multivariable logistic regression model was constructed to assess the association between myopia and 25(OH)D concentration and adjusting for age, gender, and other covariates identified as being significant in univariable analysis. $P < 0.05$ was considered as statistically significant.

Because SER and AL of both eyes were highly correlated, only data of the right eye was used in the analysis. EpiData (version 3.1.2701.2008, Chinese) was used for double-blinded data entry. SPSS (version 22.0, Chinese) was used for analysis.

**RESULTS**

**Demographic Information and Myopia**
A total of 383 subjects were enrolled in this analysis, including 294 lowland and 89 highland subjects. Compared with the lowland subjects, highland subjects were about 3 years older (12 vs. 9, $P < 0.001$), more girls (57.3 vs. 37.8%, $P < 0.001$), with lower BMI (16.7 vs. 17.4, $P = 0.010$), had longer duration for both near vision (28.0 vs. 16.6 h/w, $P < 0.001$) and outdoor activities (14.0 vs. 6.2 h/w, $P < 0.001$; Table 1). The median SER was $-0.25$ D in lowland subjects and $-0.63$ D in highland subjects ($P = 0.163$). Lowland myopia subjects were 2 years older than non-myopia subjects, with shorter outdoor duration (5.5 vs. 6.6 h/w, $P = 0.009$) and longer near vision duration (15.4 vs. 18.0 h/w, $P = 0.010$). Highland myopia subjects were 1 year older than non-myopia subjects, and their near vision and outdoor duration were similar. The AL of myopia subjects was 24.2 and 23.2 mm in non-myopia subjects in the lowland area (Table 1).

**Relationships Between Serum 25(OH)D and Myopia**
The serum level of 25(OH)D in highland subjects was lower than that in lowland subjects (16.9 vs 20.9 ng/mL, $P < 0.001$) and the rate of deficiency in highland group was higher than that in the lowland group (71.9 vs. 46.9%, Table 1). We found no difference in serum 25(OH)D concentration between myopia

### Table 1 | Demographic information of subjects in lowland and highland area.

|                          | Lowland | Highland | $P$   |
|--------------------------|---------|----------|-------|
| Age (year)               | 9.4 (3.3) | 12.0 (3.0) | <0.001 |
| Boys                     | 183 (62.2) | 38 (42.7)  | <0.001 |
| Girls                    | 111 (37.8) | 51 (57.3)  |       |
| BMI (kg/m$^2$)           | 17.4 (6.1) | 16.7 (3.6) | 0.010 |
| Outdoor time (h/w)       | 6.2 (5.9)   | 14.0 (7.0) | <0.001 |
| Near vision time (h/w)   | 16.6 (14.6) | 28.0 (17.5) | <0.001 |
| 25(OH)D (ng/mL)          | 20.9 (11.6) | 16.9 (8.5)  | <0.001 |
| Sufficient, n (%)        | 56 (19.0)   | 3 (3.4)     | <0.001 |
| Insufficient, n (%)      | 100 (34.0)  | 22 (24.7)   |       |
| Deficient, n (%)         | 138 (46.9)  | 64 (71.9)   |       |
| SER (D)                  | -0.25 (1.7) | -0.63 (3.1) | 0.163 |
| Myopia, n (%)            | 133 (45.2)  | 49 (55.1)   | 0.066 |
| Non-myopia, n (%)        | 161 (54.8)  | 40 (44.9)   |       |
| AL (mm)                  | 23.6 (1.3)   | 23.2 (1.0)  | 24.2 (1.1) | <0.001 |

*Median (interquartile range) was used to describe continuous variables. Chi-square test was used in classification variable comparisons. Wilcoxon rank sum test was used in continuous variable comparisons.
† Fisher’s exact test.
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FIGURE 1 | Myopia related parameters among groups with different serum vitamin D [25(OH)D] concentration in both areas. (A,B) Average SER among groups with different serum vitamin D concentration in both areas (Wilcoxon rank sum test). (C,D) Percentage of myopia and non-myopia among groups with different serum vitamin D concentration in both areas (Lowland: Chi-square test; Highland: Fisher exact test). (E) Axial length among groups with different serum vitamin D concentration in lowland subjects (Wilcoxon rank sum test).

TABLE 2 | Multiple logistic regression of the myopia risk factors in lowland and highland area.

| Factors         | Lowland 1 | P     | Highland 2 | P     | Total 3 | P     |
|-----------------|-----------|-------|------------|-------|---------|-------|
|                 | OR (95%CI) |       | OR (95%CI) |       | OR (95%CI) |       |
| Age (year)      | 1.72 (1.46–2.03) | <0.001 | 1.13 (0.90–1.41) | 0.306 | 1.55 (1.36–1.76) | <0.001 |
| Gender          |           |       |            |       |         |       |
| Boys            | Reference |       | Reference |       | Reference |       |
| Girls           | 1.30 (0.73–2.32) | 0.373 | 1.02 (0.39–2.65) | 0.969 | 1.20 (0.75–1.91) | 0.456 |
| BMI (kg/m^2)    | 0.94 (0.88–1.00) | 0.066 | 0.90 (0.76–1.06) | 0.208 | 0.94 (0.88–0.99) | 0.029 |
| 25(OH)D (ng/mL) | 1.01 (0.98–1.04) | 0.706 | 0.94 (0.87–1.02) | 0.141 | 0.99 (0.97–1.02) | 0.676 |
| Outdoor time (h/w) | 0.95 (0.90–1.01) | 0.120 | –           | –     | –       | –     |
| Near vision time (h/w) | 1.02 (0.99–1.04) | 0.273 | –           | –     | –       | –     |
| Region          |           |       |            |       |         |       |
| Highland        | –         | –     | –          | –     | Reference |       |
| Lowland         | –         | –     | –          | –     | 2.13 (1.10–4.15) | 0.026 |

OR with statistically significance were listed in bold.
1Adjustment for age, gender, BMI, outdoor time and near vision time.
2Adjustment for age, gender, and BMI.
3Adjustment for age, gender, BMI and region.
4Adjustment for age, gender, BMI and region.
TABLE 3 | Children’s vitamin D level in different regions of China.

| Year    | Region                  | Age  | Detection method | Detection rate of Sufficiency | Mean ± SD (ng/mL) |
|---------|-------------------------|------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| 2011–2013 | Guangzhou (37)         | 0–14 | ELISA¹           | 36.6                          | 28.3 ± 8.4       |
|         |                         | 7–14 |                  |                               |                  |
| 2012–2013 | Beijing (39)           | 0–14 | MS-MS²           | 12.9                          | 22.1 ± 8.5       |
| 2013     | Jiaxing of Zhejiang (43)| 3–6  | MS-MS            | –                             | 23.0 ± 7.7       |
| 2013     | Shaoxing of Zhejiang (44)| 0–9  | CLIA³            | 45.8                          | 29.8 ± 12.8      |
| 2017     | Shaxi (45)              | 9–16 | ELISA            | 34.6                          | 18.0 ± 6.4       |
| 2016     | Guangxi (46)            | 6–13 |                  |                               | 22.9 ± 0.4       |
| 2017–2018 | Manyang of Sichuan (47) | 0–8  | MS-MS            | 17.1                          | 18.6             |
| 2016     | Shenniu of Shaxi (48)   | 0–14 | ELISA            | 14.8                          | 13.3 ± 6.0       |
| 2015–2016 | Heilongjiang (49)       | 0–6  | MS-MS            | –                             | 24.2 ± 10.0      |
| 2011–2016 | Wenzhou of Zhejiang*   | 6–14 | CLIA³            | 19.0                          | 22.3 ± 8.9       |
| 2013     | Tibetan Highland*       | 6–14 | CLIA³            | 3.37                          | 18.2 ± 6.0       |

¹ ELISA stands for enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.
² MS-MS stands for tandem mass spectrometry.
³ CLIA stands for chemiluminescence immunoassay.
*Children in our study.

The results of our study revealed that the serum vitamin D concentration does not show any protective effect toward myopia. Outdoor exposure is one of the protective factors of myopia, but its mechanism is controversial (17). One hypothesis is that vitamin D prevents myopia progression because the body synthesizes more vitamin D when outdoor exposure to sunlight increases (26). Our study involved subjects from a relatively high vitamin D concentration area and a relatively low vitamin D concentration area, yet results showed that serum vitamin D was not associated with either myopia or AL. The results of our study was similar to previous studies such as meta-analyses and prospective cohort studies (33–35). Neither vitamin D3 which formed from sunlight in the skin nor vitamin D2 from dairy intake correlated with myopia, however, serum level of vitamin D was positively correlated with outdoor duration. There were also opposite results showing that subjects with higher vitamin D had shorter AL or positive SER (24, 31, 42).

In this study, the incidence of insufficient and deficient serum vitamin D of children was similar to or higher than that found in other studies (Table 3) (38, 39, 43–48). Serum vitamin D was 20.9 ng/ml in lowland subjects and 16.9 ng/ml in highland subjects. Only 19.0 and 3.4% of these subjects had optimal vitamin D level in lowland and highland areas, respectively. Thus, whether serum vitamin D didn’t show its protective effect on myopia because of a relatively low concentration is worth to thinking about. To fully consider this possibility, two locations were chosen to understand the relationship between myopia and vitamin D. Subjects in highland areas came from Seda, Litang and Rangtang, small towns in the Tibetan highland, Sichuan, where both daily sunlight duration and sunlight intensity are higher than that of lowland area (Table 4). And serum vitamin D was not related to SER nor AL in either area. This result indicated
TABLE 4 | Comparisons of regional characteristics of lowland and highland area.

| Characteristics       | Lowland                                                                 | Highland                                                               |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Location              | Southeast of Zhejiang Province, China. Lowland area                      | Northwestern of Sichuan Province, Tibetan Plateau in Southwest China   |
| Altitude              | 10 meters.                                                              | More than 3,300 meters.                                                |
| Climate               | Central Asian tropical monsoon climate zone, with four distinct seasons and abundant rainfall. | Continental plateau monsoon type. No summer. Frost and snow occur in fourth seasons, and the atmospheric oxygen content is <80% of the standard. |
| Sunshine              | Annual sunshine between 1,442 and 2,264 h.                              | Average annual sunshine about 2,451.1 h.                               |
| Time of sunrise and sunset |                                                                                       |
| Summer solstice       | Sunrise at 5:01 sunset at 18:56.                                         | Sunrise at 6:12 sunset at 20:28.                                       |
| Winter solstice       | Sunrise at 6:44 sunset at 17:06.                                         | Sunrise at 8:16 sunset at 18:18.                                       |
| Temperature           | Annual average temperature is 17.3–19.4 °C.                             | Annual average temperature is –0.16 °C.                                |
|                       | Average temperature in January is 4.9–9.9 °C.                           | Average temperature in January is –11.1 °C.                            |
|                       | Average temperature in July is 26.7–29.6 °C.                            | Average temperature in July is 9.9 °C.                                 |
| Rainfall              | Annual precipitation between 1,113 and 2,494 mm. Raining season comes at the end of spring and early summer. Tropical cyclone happens between July and September. Frost-free period is 241–232 days. | Average precipitation 65.4 mm, and mostly happens during June to September. Average frost-free period is 21 days. Areas with higher altitude has no absolute frost-free period. |

that maybe for Chinese children, serum vitamin D concentration does not show any association with myopia. Although average daily sunshine duration is longer, the light intensity is higher in highland area, and highland subjects spent more time outdoors, their average total vitamin D level was lower than that in lowland subjects which was quite surprising. This phenomenon might be due to an increase in the amount of skin pigment and Tibetan robes covering most of the skin of the body, that may result in an increase in the sun-protection permitting less of the UVB radiation to reach the epidermal cells, and reduce cutaneous production of vitamin D3 (50). In addition, it is speculated that rare vitamin D3 from daily intake may also contribute to the lower total vitamin D level of highland subjects. The three highland area are all National-level Poor Counties in China. Residents living here mainly live on Zanba (made of a cereal power specially seen in Tibetan highland areas), milk tea, handmade yogurt and yak meat. These foods comprise 90% of their daily intake, and deep sea fish and animal viscera are very uncommon in their diet. Tibetan Buddhism is a prevailing religion in Tibetan highland areas and many of the residents are vegetarians who do not eat yak meat. Our lowland area was Wenzhou city, a coastal city with abundant rainfall in eastern China (Table 4). Subjects of Wenzhou came from a health examination center and patients with any health problems were excluded from participation. The average family income of these Wenzhou children was higher than the per capita income of urban residents in Wenzhou in 2016 (51). The mean BMI was higher in these lowland subjects than highland counterparts (17.4 vs. 16.7, P = 0.010, Table 1). It could be speculated that the nutritional status of the subjects in the lowland area were better than those of their highland peers. These two reasons may explain why serum vitamin D was lower in highland subjects.

The prevalence of myopia in China is reported to be lower in Tibetan highland areas than lowland areas (52). The reason why myopia rate in the highland area was higher than lowland area may be because of age. The subjects in our highland areas are on average 3 years older than lowland subject. Age as a contributing factor of myopia must be considered in the group comparisons. The lowland subjects with an average age of 9 years old probably were in the third grade. The highland subjects with an average age of 12 years old were probably in the sixth grade. The myopia of grade 6 students was about 65% in lowland areas (53), which is much higher than the highland results in this study.

Duration of near vision and outdoor activity did not show a relationship with myopia compared with results from previous studies. Perhaps this is because of our highly selected samples. Lowland subjects were recruited from a health examination center where 74.2% of them were studying in downtown schools or key elementary schools, schools that demanded more homework and extracurricular tutoring. Most highland subjects were Buddhists in a Buddhist college with daily intensive reading courses. From Supplementary Figure, the distributions of both near vision and outdoor duration show high kurtosis and skewness. A significant correlation with myopia is difficult to obtain given the small sample size. Literatures reported that outdoor time is not significant risk to myopia. And the explanation is that the outdoor time per day was far <2 h/day and could not show its protecting effect (54, 55). This was exactly the fact in this study.

The merits of our study were the selection of subjects from two regions with significant contrasts, taking sunlight exposure and diary intake into account, and both refraction and AL were measured. The limitations were that the sample in our highland group was not large enough. A validated sun exposure questionnaire was not used to account for factors such as sun cream used and clothing worn. There are many other environmental and economical difference between lowland and highland areas that may impact the prevalence of myopia and should be considered in future studies. Compared to highland area, lowland area was risk toward myopia in this study. This maybe because subjects on the highland areas were from rural areas, the multi-effect of education pressure and modern urbanization affected the progression of myopia (52, 56, 57).
In summary, the total serum vitamin D concentration has no significant effect on myopia in Chinese children and adolescents. The mechanism of outdoor exposure affecting the progression of myopia should be further explored.
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REFERENCES

1. Ngo CS, Pan CW, Finkelstein EA, Lee CF, Wong JB, Ong J, et al. A cluster randomised controlled trial evaluating an incentive-based outdoor physical activity programme to increase outdoor time and prevent myopia in children. *Ophthalmiac Physiol Opt.* (2014) 34:362–8. doi: 10.1111/opo.12112
2. Sun YJ, Li SM, Li SY, Kang MT, Liu LR, Meng B, et al. Effect of uncorrected versus full correction on myopia progression in 12-year-old children. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* (2016) 255:1–7. doi: 10.1007/s00417-016-3529-1
3. Zhou Z, Morgan IG, Chen Q, Jin L, He M, Congdon N. Disordered sleep and myopia risk among Chinese children. *PLoS ONE.* (2015) 10:e0121796. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0121796
4. Guo Y, Duan JL, Liu LJ, Sun Y, Tang P, Lv YY, et al. High myopia in greater Beijing school children in 2016. *PLoS ONE.* (2017) 12:e0187396. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0187396
5. Zhai LL, Wu XY, Xu SJ, Wan UH, Zhang SC, Xu L, et al. Study on relationship between outdoor activities and self-reported myopia among middle school students. *Chin J Prevent Med.* (2017) 51:801–6. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0253-9624.2017.09.006
6. Yan L, Jia L, Qî P. The increasing prevalence of myopia in junior high school students in the Haidian District of Beijing, China: a 10-year population-based survey. *BMC Ophthalmol.* (2017) 17:88. doi: 10.1186/s12886-017-0483-6
7. Ma Y, Qu X, Zhu X, Xu X, Zhu J, Sankaridurg P, et al. Age-specific prevalence of visual impairment and refractive error in children aged 3–10 years in Shanghai, China. *Invest Ophthalmiac Visual Sci.* (2016) 57:6188. doi: 10.1167/iovs.16-20243
8. Flitcroft DI, Loughman J, Wildsoet CF, Williams C, Guggenheim JA, for the CC. Novel Myopia genes and pathways identified from Syndromic forms of myopia. *Invest Ophthalmiac Vis Sci.* (2018) 59:338–48. doi: 10.1167/iovs.17-22173
9. Wu PC, Tsai CL, Wu HL, Yang YH, Kuo HK. Outdoor activity during class recess reduces myopia onset and progression in school children. *Ophthalmiacology.* (2013) 120:1080–5. doi: 10.1016/j.optima.2012.11.009
10. Jin JX, Hua WJ, Jiang X, Wu XY, Yang JW, Gao GP, et al. Effect of outdoor activity on myopia onset and progression in school-aged children in northeast china: the sуйиатun eye care study. *BMC Ophthalmol.* (2015) 15:73. doi: 10.1186/s12886-015-0052-9
11. Cui D, Trier K, Munk Ribe-Madsen S. Effect of day length on eye growth, myopia progression, and change of corneal power in myopic children. *Ophthalmology.* (2013) 120:1074–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2012.10.022
12. Kearney S, O’Donoghue L, Poursahahi LK, Richardson P, Laird E, Healy M, et al. Conjunctival ultraviolet autofluorescence area, but not intensity, is associated with myopia. *Clin Exp Optom.* (2019) 102:43–50. doi: 10.1111/cxo.12825
13. Read SA, Collins MJ, Vincent SJ. Light exposure and physical activity in myopic and emmetropic children. *Optom Vis Sci.* (2014) 91:330–41. doi: 10.1097/OPX.0000000000001160
14. Rusnak S, Salcman V, Heccova L, Kasl Z. Myopia progression risk: seasonal and lifestyle variations in axial length growth in Czech children. *J Ophthalmol.* (2018) 2018:5076454. doi: 10.1155/2018/5076454
15. Donovan L, Sankaridurg P, Ho A, Chen X, Lin Z, Thomas V, et al. Myopia progression in Chinese children is slower in summer than in winter. *Optom Vis Sci.* (2012) 89:1196–202. doi: 10.1097/OPX.0b013e3182640996
16. Qian X, Liu B, Wang J, Wei N, Qi X, Li X, et al. Prevalence of refractive errors in Tibetan adolescents. *BMC Ophthalmol.* (2018) 18:118. doi: 10.1186/s12886-018-0780-8
17. Ngo C, Saw SM, Dharni R, Flitcroft I. Does sunlight (bright lights) explain the protective effects of outdoor activity against myopia? *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt.* (2013) 33:68–72. doi: 10.1111/j.1475-9916.2012.02051
18. Zhou X, Pardue MT, Liuwe PM, Qu J. Dopamine signaling and myopia development: what are the key challenges. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* (2017) 61:60–71. doi: 10.1016/j.preteyeres.2017.06.003
19. Feldkaemper M, Schaeffel F. An updated view on the role of dopamine in myopia. *Exp Eye Res.* (2013) 114:106–19. doi: 10.1016/j.exer.2013.02.007
20. Flitcroft DI. The complex interactions of retinal, optical and environmental factors in myopia aetiology. *Prog Retinal Eye Res.* (2012) 31:622–60. doi: 10.1016/j.preteyeres.2012.06.004
21. Ramamurthy D, Lin Chua SY, Saw SM. A review of environmental risk factors for myopia during early life, childhood and adolescence. *Clin Exp Optom.* (2015) 98:497–506. doi: 10.1111/cxo.12346
22. Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency. *N Engl J Med.* (2007) 357:266–81. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra070553

23. Choi JA, Han K, Park Y-M, La TY. Low serum 25-Hydroxyvitamin D is associated with myopia in Korean adolescents. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* (2014) 55:2041. doi: 10.1167/iovs.13-12833

24. Tang SM, Lau T, Rong SS, Yaz CA, Chen LJ, Mackey DA, et al. Vitamin D and its pathway genes in myopia: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Ophthalmol.* (2019) 103:8–17. doi: 10.1136/bjoophthal-2018-312159

25. Kwon JW, Choi JA, La TY. Epidemiologic survey committee of the Korean ophthalmological S. 25-Hydroxyvitamin D level is associated with myopia in the Korea national health and nutrition examination survey. *Medicine.* (2016) 95:e5012. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000015012

26. Laval J. Vitamin D and myopia. *Arch Ophthalmol.* (1938) 19:612. doi: 10.1001/archoph.1938.00850106180101

27. Pattke DO, Marks AR. Blood levels of vitamin D in teens and young adults with myopia. *Optom Vis Sci.* (2011) 88:377–82. doi: 10.1097/OPX.0b013e31820b0385

28. Jung BJ, Lee D. Association between serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels and myopia in general Korean adults. *Indian J Ophthalmol.* (2020) 68:15–22. doi: 10.4103/ijo.IJO_760_19

29. Lingham G, Mackey DA, Zhu K, Lucas RM, Black LJ, Oddy WH, et al. Time spent outdoors through childhood and adolescence - assessed by 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration - and risk of myopia at 20 years. *Acta Ophthalmol.* (2021) 99:679–87. doi: 10.1111/aos.14709

30. Gao F, Li P, Liu YQ, Chen Y. Association study of the serum 25(OH)D concentration and myopia in Chinese school children. *Medicine.* (2021) 100:e26570. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000026570

31. Tideman JW, Polling JR, Voortman T, Jaddoe VW, Uitterlinden AG, Hofman A, et al. Low serum vitamin D is associated with axial length and risk of myopia in young children. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2016) 31:491–9. doi: 10.1007/s10656-016-0128-8

32. Lingham G, Yazar S, Lucas RM, Walsh JP, Zhu K, Hunter M, et al. Low 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration is not associated with refractive error in middle-aged and older Western Australian adults. *Transl Vision Sci Tech.* (2019) 8:13. doi: 10.1167/tvst.8.1.13

33. Williams KM, Bentham GC, Young IS, McGinty A, McKay GJ, Hogg R, et al. Association between myopia, ultraviolet b radiation exposure, serum vitamin D concentrations, and polymorphisms in vitamin D metabolic pathways in a multicountry European study. *JAMA Ophthalmol.* (2017) 135:47–53. doi: 10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2016.4752

34. Guggenheim JA, Williams KM, Yazar S, Guggenheim JA, Hewitt AW, Williams C, et al. Genetically low vitamin D concentrations and myopic refractive error: a Mendelian randomization study. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2017) 46:1882–90. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyx068

35. Chou HD, Yao TC, Huang YS, Huang CY, Yang ML, Sun MH, et al. Myopia in school-aged children with preterm birth: the roles of time spent outdoors and serum vitamin D. *Br J Ophthalmol.* (2021) 105:468–72. doi: 10.1136/bjophthalmol-2019-315663

36. Zhang PP, Li YT, Li XF, Li ZB, Chen ZG. Analysis of 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels in children. *Chin J Prevent Med.* (2018) 52:812–5. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.1674-845X.2017.06.010

37. Yee LY, Lo CT, Sheu SJ, Lin JL. What factors are associated with myopia in young adults? A survey study in Taiwan Military Conscripts. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* (2013) 54:1026–33. doi: 10.1167/iovs.12-10480

38. Xu L, Zhuang Y, Zhang G, Ma Y, Yuan J, Tu C, et al. Design, methodology, and baseline of whole city-million scale children and adolescents myopia survey. (CAMS) in Wenzhou, China. *Eye Vision.* (2021) 8:31. doi: 10.1186/s40662-021-00255-1

39. Teraoka H, Klock M, Nokuchii M, Tokumura M, Uchino M, Nakamura K, et al. Current prevalence of myopia and association of myopia with environmental factors among schoolchildren in Japan. *JAMA Ophthalmol.* (2019) 137:1233–9. doi: 10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2019.3103

40. Fujisaki H, Yashita T, Yoshinari N, Kii Y, Sakamoto T. Association of lifestyle and body structure to ocular axial length in Japanese elementary school children. *BMC Ophthalmol.* (2017) 17:123. doi: 10.1186/s12886-017-0519-y

41. Cordain L, Eaton SB, Brand Miller J, Lindeberg S, Jensen C. An evolutionary perspective of the aetiology and pathogenesis of juvenile-onset myopia. *Acta Ophthalmol Scand.* (2002) 80:125–35. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-4042.2002.800203.x

42. Ip JM, Rose KA, Morgan IG, Burlutsky G, Mitchell P. Myopia and the urban environment: findings in a sample of 12-year-old Australian school children. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* (2008) 49:3858–63. doi: 10.1167/iovs.07-1451

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.