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Summary

Background. This study investigates the indicators of electroencephalographic (EEG) oscillatory activity and processes that are correlated with manual movements executed by the subdominant (left) hand in women with a high or a low individual α-frequency.

Material and methods. 113 healthy right-handed women from the ages of 19 to 21 were divided randomly into two experimental groups with high (n = 59, IαF ≥ 10.25 Hz) and low (n = 54, IαF ≤ 10.25 Hz) individual EEG α-frequency (IoF). EEG power during flexion or extension of the subdominant hand and fingers was evaluated.

Results. Manual movements performed by women, especially those exhibiting high modal α-frequency, were accompanied by reduced α and β power in mid and posterior cortical areas. These changes occurred in combination with a local power increase in α-oscillations in the frontal leads. A local increase of α-activity in the frontal cortex areas was also revealed in women with low IoF. In this same group of women, generalized increases in EEG power of θ-, β- and γ-oscillations were observed in the cortex.

Conclusions. These results revealed a greater redundancy of brain processes in women with low IoF power compared to women with high α-frequency.
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Streszczenie

Wprowadzenie. Niniejsza praca poświęcona jest badaniu wskaźników elektroencefalograficznej aktywności oscylacyjnej i procesów, które są skorelowane z ruchami manualnymi, u kobiet z wysoką lub niską indywidualną częstotliwością α określoną podczas ruchów manualnych wykonywanych przez mniej dominującą (lewą) rękę.

Materiał i metody. 113 zdrowych praworęcznych kobiet w wieku od 19 do 21 lat zostało podzielonych na dwie grupy eksperymentalne z wysoką (n = 59, IαF ≥ 10,25 Hz) i niską (n = 54, IαF ≤ 10,25 Hz) indywidualną wartością częstotliwości EEG α (IoF). U tych kobiet oceniona została aktywność EEG podczas zginania lub prostowania mniej dominujących palców.

 Wyniki. Ruchom manualnym wykonywanym przez kobiety, zwłaszcza w wysokiej modalnej częstotliwości α, towarzyszyło pewne zmniejszenie mocy fal EEG α i β w środkowych i tylnych obszarach kory mózgowej. Takie zmiany połączono z lokalnym wzrostem mocy w oscylacjach α i β w przednich przewodach. Lokalny wzrost aktywności α3 w obszarach kory czolowej został również ujawniony u kobiet z niską wartością IoF. Pewne uogólnione zwiększenie mocy fal EEG oscylacji α, β i γ zaobserwowano w korze kiełkowej należących do tej grupy.

Wnioski. Wyniki ujawniają większą nadmiarowość procesów mózgowych u kobiet z małą mocą fal IoF w porównaniu z kobietami z wysoką częstotliwością α.
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Introduction

Movements carried out by any of the upper limbs (manual movements, MM) are the basis of the manipulator motor activities primarily used in human daily life, education and work. The qualitative characteristics of their realization become critical in the context of the enrichment and congestion of the human information environment and the total computerization that is taking place today. Therefore, the scientific community is increasingly interested in the individual characteristics of brain processes underlying motor programming. According to recent studies [1-6], MMs are clearly associated with significant alterations in cerebral cortex activities which program and order appropriate motor formations. However, the specific details of these cerebral processes have not been fully elucidated. It is presumed that defined brain process indicators can be correlated with MM activities.

According to some literary information [7-9] the individual amplitude-frequency characteristics of the EEG α-rhythm, in particular the modal frequency of this rhythm, can be highly informative for determining a number of human psychophysiological functions. Individuals with different α-rhythm characteristics use different behavioral strategies, mechanisms of perception, and information processing [10]. Bazanova [11] found out that the most optimal coordination of the processes of motion organization correlated linearly with the power of the individual α-activity EEG and reversely with the tension of the muscles of the forehead. Kristeva et al. [12] noted that an increment of the EEG α-amplitude and a decrease of the integral power of the facial muscle electromyogram can be considered as an increase of the ability to self-control movement. It should be emphasized that the modal α-frequency of EEG is often considered [13-18] as a sign that reflects important innate features of the structural organization of thalamic and cortical neurons, in particular, the features of ionic processes in these cells [19-21].

Taking into account the exceptional importance of the results obtained by various scientists, we have to note some insufficiency of such information to fully understand how the modal frequency of the EEG α-rhythm can be related to the control of the activity of the distal muscles of the hand. Previous studies [20, 21, 23] showed the brain processes’ features during execution of manual movements in men with different modal frequency of EEG alpha rhythm. According to the available results, examinees with initially high EEG α-rhythm modal frequency had higher levels of selective attention and more local changes in electrical activity of the cerebral cortex during the regulation of MM. People with a low α-frequency were characterized by less specific and differentiated cortical activation processes [20, 21, 23]. The functional differences previously identified in men during their performance of MMs by the subdominant hand [22] may reflect a generally relatively lower tone of cortical activation in men with a low IαF that can be specifically compensated for by increased “intensity” and an observed redundancy of brain processes. Despite the significant achievements made in this field, the literature remains sparse regarding the features of brain processes in women during MMs executed with the help of the subdominant hand.

The purpose of this study is to assess spectral-frequencies of electrical activity in the cerebral cortex during subdominant (left) hand movements performed by women with different characteristics of alpha-activity. This will contribute to the currently sparse data on objective indicators of brain activity directly associated with programming of female MM.

Material and methods

Participants

136 female volunteers from the ages of 19 to 21 participated in our study. Every examinee gave written consent. Biomedical ethics rules in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of the World Medical Association on the Ethical Principles of Scientific and Medical Research involving Human Subjects were followed. In keeping with the medical advisory conclusions every examinee was healthy and had normal hearing.

Procedure

Psychophysiological examination

The nature of responses in the survey and execution of the motor and psychoacoustic tests were determined. The individual profiles of the manual motor asymmetry as well as auditory asymmetry were counted for each woman (K skew) (Formula 1) [20-24].
Formula 1.

\[ K_{\text{skew}} = \frac{\Sigma_{\text{right}} - \Sigma_{\text{left}}}{\Sigma_{\text{right}} + \Sigma_{\text{left}}} \times 100\%, \]

where

\( \Sigma_{\text{right}} \) – the number of tasks where the right hand (right ear) is dominating during their execution,

\( \Sigma_{\text{left}} \) – the number of tasks under which the left hand (left ear) is dominant.

Further studies involved dextral examinees whose coefficients of manual and auditory asymmetries were positive and were above 50% [21, 22]. There was a total of 113 female subjects.

All examinations were performed in the morning. The profile of the asymmetry was evaluated 30 minutes before the EEG recording registration. This prevented it from influencing the experiment, particularly, the EEG results [21, 22].

**EEG testing procedures**

The examinees were in a quiescent state with their eyes closed and in a reclining position with their limbs relaxed and not crossed during the EEG testing [22]. The experiment was carried out in a room which was sound-proof and light-proof. The entire experimental procedure consistently included the following steps for each examinee:

**Step 1.** An EEG recording for functional balance (background);

**Step 2.** An EEG recording while performing the finger movements of the left (subdominant) hand.

Each step lasted 40 s. To exclude edge effects, the EEG recording registration was initiated 15 s after the beginning and stopped 5 s before its completion [22, 23].

All instructions were reported to the examinees before the test. Finger movements were consisted of flexion or extension. Each finger was flexed or extended by the examinees in response to a sound [20-23]. The electronic version of the drum battle (Finale 2006 software) was used for this purpose. Binaural stimuli were produced by four speakers placed in different corners of the room at a distance of 1.2 m from the examinee’s right or left ear. The stimulus duration was 130 ms; the playback sound volume did not exceed 55-60 dB at the speakers, measured by a sound level meter (DE-3301, DER EE, Taiwan; certificate # 025-2009, valid until 21.12.2014). Additionally, the sound level was individually regulated for each examinee to achieve functionally necessary volumes. The rate of the sound stimuli delivery was 2 Hz.

**Registration and primary analysis of EEG data**

Active electrodes were placed in accordance with the International 10-20 system at nineteen points on the scalp during the monopolar EEG (Neurocom EEG System, Xai-Medica, Ukraine; Certificate # 6038/2007, valid until 18.04.2014) recording, using ear electrodes as a reference. The Fourier analysis era was 4 s with a 50% overlap. Duration of sample was 40 s. ICA-procedure analysis was used for the rejection of EEG anomalies [20-23].

The power (\( \mu V^2 \)) of brain electrical activity in the \( \theta \)-, \( \alpha \)-, \( \beta \)- and \( \gamma \)-frequency intervals were also evaluated. Taking into consideration the functional heterogeneity of different sub-bands of the EEG \( \alpha \)- and \( \beta \)-rhythms, the changes in power for each were considered [23].

The maximum frequency peak of the \( \alpha \)-rhythm was determined for each woman in each EEG lead at a functional balance [24]. Its value was averaged for all leads and the values were considered to be the examinee’s individual \( \alpha \)-frequency (the individual alpha-frequency of EEG, \( \text{laF} \) and Hz). The \( \text{laF} \) median was also determined and calculated for the entire subject group. Thus, subjects were grouped according to individual values relative to the median – a high \( \text{laF} \) group and low \( \text{laF} \) group [20-23].

The EEG frequency interval limits were determined individually, relying on the value of the examinee’s \( \text{laF} \). The following algorithm [20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26] was used and the upper limit of the \( \alpha_3 \)-subband was set to the right side of the \( \text{laF} \) in increments of 2 Hz. It corresponded to the lower limit of the \( \beta_1 \)-band. The upper limit of the \( \beta_1 \)-subband was defined according to standard concepts as 25 Hz. The lower limit of the \( \alpha_2 \)-band was determined in steps of 2 Hz to the left of the peak and the \( \alpha_1 \)-band in 4-Hz steps, as well as \( \theta \)-frequencies in 6 Hz steps. Limits of \( \beta_2 \)- and \( \gamma \)-bands were recognized as is standard, specifically, 26-35 Hz and 36-45 Hz [21, 22,23, 25, 26].
The resulting individual values of the power of EEG oscillations within the selected groups of women were averaged for each lead.

Statistical analyses

A statistical data analysis was performed by using the Statistica 6.0 software package (Stat-Soft, 2001). Any normalcy of the data distribution in the examinees’ groups was evaluated by the Shapiro-Wilk test (indicator SW). Test results showed that all of the study’s samples had a normal data distribution [20-23]. To estimate the significance of differences existing in the examinees’ groups, the Student’s t-test (index t) was used to assess for differences between testing steps both for independent equal samples and for dependent samples. Significant differences between female groups and between testing steps were considered statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05 and p ≤ 0.01.

Results and Discussion

The individual modal frequency evaluation of the α-EEG activity and individual limits of the frequency content of the EEG sub-range in female examinees

The average value of the modal frequency of any α-activity in samples of female examinees was 10.25±0.03 Hz (Figure 1) [21]. Since the individual α-frequency value histogram in the female examinees differed from a normal distribution we calculated the conditional distribution of samples under the average mean of the modal frequency of α-activity. We formed two groups – a group with high values for IαF (n = 59, IαF ≥ 10.25 Hz) and a group with low values for IαF (n = 54, IαF < 10.25 Hz).

![Figure 1. Histogram of α-frequency mode in female examinees](image)

Note: Vertical columns represent individual values of the EEG α-frequency mode in samples of female examinees.

Indicators of EEG power during manual movements of the subdominant hand in women with different α-rhythm modal frequency

Women with high IαF showed a power increase for EEG α1-θ-oscillations in the frontal areas (p ≤ 0.01). According to results from Klimesh [25], Watson and Buzsáki [27] and Avery et al. [28], such changes may reflect priming in human memory, allowing for maintenance of focus on sensory and motor information as well as higher selective attention. Intense γ-activity under these conditions was found in the ventralis frontal (p ≤ 0.05) and parietal-occipital areas (p ≤ 0.01), while β-activity was only found in the ventralis frontal areas (Figure 2).
Women with a high IαF

Women with a low IαF

Figure 2. Topo maps of changes in EEG power fluctuations through the execution of manual movements by female groups

Note:
△ ▲ ▼ ▼ - increase (triangle up) /decrease (triangle down) in EEG power fluctuations through the execution of manual movements compared to power in a quiescent state, p ≤ 0.05 (white triangle), p ≤ 0.01 (black triangle).

Such a local synchronization characteristics of γ-activity in the cortex are considered by Watson and Buzsáki [27] to be criterion for efficiency in cortical interactions of the neural detector networks encoding any sensory information. However, decreased power of EEG α- and β-waves defined by our data, particularly in the posterior cortical areas (with p ≤ 0.05 to p ≤ 0.01), may prove that some activity increased in cortical areas as previously investigated by Klimesh [25], Watson and Buzsáki [27] and Tebenova [29]. Identification of activation processes in cortical structures may reveal their direct participation in the processes of sensory analysis, motor programming and integration of sensory and motor information.

Higher power rates of α1-oscillations in the frontal areas (p ≤ 0.05) were particular to women with low IαF. The observation of increasing capacity measures for θ-, α3-, β- and γ-waves were more generalized (with p ≤ 0.05 to p ≤ 0.01) (Figure 2). Typical power increases of α3-waves in the frontal area (r ≤ 0.05) found in women with a low IαF is suggestive of an additional selective inhibition mechanism of the sensory input [1, 30] during motor programming. According to Ioffe [1], in the course of MMs performed with the subdominant hand, such descending inhibitory influences generally prevent motor programming. Kostandov et al. [30] reported they may indicate that processes of plasticity reduced motor programming in our experiment if they were performed by women with low IαF, particularly with their unresponsive hand, and a need to observe the specified tempo. Some β- and γ-activity intensively increased in the cortex was nonspecific.

Women with low IαF particularly showed a decrease in power rates for cortical EEG α1- and α2-frequency oscillations contrary to such changes in the electrogenesis. These α1-activity changes occurred locally in the right occipital lobe (p ≤ 0.05) and α2-fluctuations were more common in the cortex (with p ≤ 0.05 to p ≤ 0.01). Similar to cases in women with high IαF, this pattern may be associated with the activation of the cortical areas involved in the analysis of sensory-motor information [25, 27, 29].

Intergroup differences

Any execution of MM by means of the subdominant (left) hand was accompanied by higher EEG power in the cerebral cortex of women with low IαF versus women with high α-frequency (p ≤ 0.05 to p ≤ 0.01) (Figure 3). According to Kostandov et al. [30] such differences may indicate a predominance of non-specific cortical activities.
Figure 3. Intergroup differences in EEG power fluctuations through the execution of manual movements

Note:
△ △/▽ höher/lower power in women with a low IαF in comparison with women with a high IαF, \( p \leq 0.05 \) (white triangle), \( p \leq 0.001 \) (black triangle).

Conclusions

The subdominant hand finger flexions and extensions in response to sensory signals, especially performed in women with high modal \( \alpha \)-frequency, were accompanied with an electrogenesis power reduction in the cortical areas responsible for sensory analysis, motor programming and integration of sensory and motor information. Such changes were combined with a local power increase of \( \alpha \)-oscillations in the frontal leads, primarily due to the strengthening of selective attention and memory processes. Women with low IαF were characterized by an increase in local \( \alpha_3 \)-activity in the frontal areas of the cortex, which may reflect additional inhibition of sensory input during the motor programming. The increase of power generally observed in the EEG \( \theta \)- and \( \beta \)-oscillations in the cortex of women with low IαF provides clear evidence of the role of non-specific mechanisms of activation. Women with low IαF power had higher EEG frequency components and a relatively greater redundancy of brain processes compared to the group of women with high \( \alpha \)-frequency.
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