MALP-2 pre-treatment modulates systemic inflammation in hemorrhagic shock

Roman Pfeifer¹*, Thomas Tschernig², Philipp Lichte¹, Derek Dombroski³, Philipp Kobbe¹ and Hans-Christoph Pape¹

Abstract

Background: TLR-2 is expressed on the surface of leucocytes, lung and liver tissue and initiates the activation of immune response after interaction with components of the bacterial cell wall. In this experiment we investigated whether immunostimulation with TLR-2 agonists under conditions of sterile inflammation (hemorrhagic shock (HS)) may affect the immune response and remote organ inflammation.

Methods: Male C57/BL6 mice were subjected to standardized pressure-controlled HS (MAP of 35 mmHg for 90 minutes). The TLR-2 agonist macrophage-activated lipopeptide-2 (MALP-2) was administered (i.p.) either 12 hours prior to the induction of HS (Group MALP PT) or after the hypotensive period (90 minutes) (Group MALP T). After six hours, plasma cytokine levels (IL-6, KC, IL-10, and MCP-1) and lung and liver MPO activity were assessed.

Results: Pre-treatment with MALP-2 resulted in a significant attenuation of the systemic pro-inflammatory (IL-6) response (MALP PT: 0.83±0.2 ng/ml vs. MALP T: 1.7±0.09 ng/ml) (p<0.05). In comparison to the liver MPO activity, lung MPO levels in in group MALP PT did not show differences to levels measured in MALP T mice (1.200±200 ng/mg vs. 1.800±200 ng/mg).

Conclusions: After initial inflammation, MALP-2 pre-treatment was associated with attenuated systemic immune response after sterile stimulus. The TLR-2 agonist appears to affect sterile inflammation pathways. The exact mechanisms should be studied further to better understand these affects.
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Background

Trauma and hemorrhagic shock (HS) stimulate a systemic release of endogenous molecules that are known to activate the innate immune system [1]. These inflammatory mediators interact with Toll-like receptors (TLR), initiate the expression of transcription factors (e.g. NFκB) and provoke local and systemic liberation of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines [1,2]. Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR-2) is expressed on the surface of immune cells (leukocytes) and in the lung and liver. It has been shown that bacterial cell wall components are able to stimulate the systemic immune response through the TLR-2 pathway [3]. The associated imbalance between the pro- and anti-inflammatory immune system may result in either self-destructive hyper-inflammation or immune paralysis and sepsis [4]. Both are associated with the development of multiple organ failure (MOF) and high mortality rates [5,6]. Therefore, numerous studies have aimed to identify protective mechanisms that modulate the immune response following trauma or sepsis [7,8].

Macrophage-activating Lipopeptide-2 (MALP-2) is a lipopeptide and was primarily isolated from the cell wall of Mycoplasma fermentans and was synthetized chemically [9]. It is known to activate macrophages and other immune cells (e.g. B-cells) via TLR-2 / TLR-6 heterodimers [10]. The initial contact of MALP-2 and the immune system initiates a pro-inflammatory immune response [11-13]. However, desensitization of signal cascades was also observed in studies [3]. Pre-treatment with MALP-2 in mice with sepsis and peritonitis was associated with an attenuated immune response and reduced mortality rates [3]. Moreover, MALP-2 administration into the respiratory tract resulted in beneficial effects in murine pneumonia model with Streptococcus pneumoniae [14]. All these
studies documented protective effects after MALP-2 treatment in infection models. The role of MALP-2 therapy in sterile inflammation has not been studied so far. To this end, we analyzed whether treatment with MALP-2 prior and after to an inflammatory stimulus attenuates the systemic inflammatory response and liver and lung inflammation in a murine hemorrhagic shock model.

Methods

Animals

Animals were housed in accordance with the regional animal research advisory committee guidelines and the experimental protocol has been approved by the Institutional Animal Use and Care Committee. Male C57/BL6 mice (Charles Rivers Laboratories, Germany) 6 to 10 weeks old and weighing 20 to 25 g were used for this study. Animals were maintained in the animal research center with a 12 hour light–dark cycle and had free access to laboratory feed and water.

Murine hemorrhagic shock model

A standardized hemorrhagic shock model was performed as described previously [15,16]. Briefly, an incision on the left groin, dissection and cannulation of the femoral artery with sterile polyethylene tubing (PE-10) was performed. The tube was flushed with Heparin (Ratiopharm GmbH, Germany) to prevent clotting. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) was recorded using the digital blood pressure monitor (TSE Systems, Bad Homberg, Germany). Pressure-controlled HS (MAP = 35 ± 5 mmHg, for 90 minutes) was performed by withdrawing blood over a 15-min interval via the arterial catheter. Animals were resuscitated over 15 minutes by transfusion of the removed blood and an equal volume of 0.9% saline. Thereafter the catheter was removed, the artery ligated and the skin incision closed. After a recovery phase of 4.5 hrs the animals were sacrificed.

Group distribution

Animals were randomly distributed to five experimental groups. Each group consisted of six mice and the endpoint in all experiments was six hours. Control animals were sacrificed directly after induction of anesthesia to obtain physiological baseline levels, and sham animals underwent a femoral artery catheterisation without drawing blood. Animals subjected to hemorrhagic shock were divided into three treatment groups (n=6). Group HS received 100 μl (intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of phosphate buffered saline (PBS), Group MALP T (Treatment) received an i.p. injection of MALP-2 (4 μg/kg BW) dissolved in 100 μl of PBS after the induction of HS, and Group MALP PT (Pre-Treatment) received an i.p. pre-treatment of MALP-2 twelve hours prior the initiation of HS (Figure 1). MALP is synthetically synthesized and purchased from MALP Research, Braunschweig, Germany. MALP-2 was purified and diluted as described [17]. The stock solution was kept frozen under −20° and was thawed prior to administration.

Plasma IL-6, IL-10, KC, and MCP-1

After six hours, thoracotomy (with anesthesia/ Pentobarbital and Isoflurane) was performed and mice were exsanguinated via cardiac puncture. At that time point, pronounced inflammatory response following hemorrhagic shock has been shown by prior studies [18]. Heparinized blood samples were centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. Thereafter, plasma was separated from cellular blood components and stored at −80°C until thawed for cytokine profile measurements. The systemic inflammation (Plasma Interleukin (IL)-6, IL-10, monocyte chemotactic protein 1 (MCP-1) and keratinocytes-derived chemokine (KC) levels) was evaluated using standardized ELISA kits (R&D System Inc., Mineapolis, MN, USA). Interleukin 6 and 10 are important prognostic parameters and both correlate with the systemic inflammatory response and injury severity [19-21]. MCP-1 was used due to recruitment and stimulation of monocytes, T-cells, and neutrophils [22]. Prior investigations have documented increased expression of MCP-1 during aseptic inflammation [23,24]. KC (IL-8 in humans) is a known marker of lung injury [25].

Lung and liver myeloperoxidase activity (MPO)

Lung and liver tissue were immediately snap frozen at the experiment endpoint. Prior to the analysis, the tissues were thawed and homogenized in a lysis buffer as described by the manufacturer. MPO-enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kits (MPO ELISA kit, Hycultec GmbH Beutelsbach, Germany) were used to quantify the myeloperoxidase (MPO) activity in lung and liver tissues. As only minor histological changes were observed following hemorrhagic shock models [15,16], we did not perform histological evaluation.

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using SPSS Version 18 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). The null hypothesis was rejected for P< 0.05 (α =0.05). All results are expressed as the mean ± SE of six animals per group. Group comparisons were assessed using ANOVA (Bonferroni) in normally distributed variables.

Results

Plasma cytokine response

The systemic IL-6 release was significantly elevated in all animals subjected to HS (Figure 2A). The i.p. injection of MALP-2 90 minutes following HS did not affect plasma IL-6 values when compared to untreated mice.
In contrast, MALP-2 pre-treatment was associated with significantly lower IL-6 levels (p<0.0001). Six hours following HS, all animals demonstrated elevated systemic KC levels (Figure 2B). We measured a two-fold increase of plasma KC levels by i.p. administration of MALP-2 following HS (p<0.0001).

Mice treated with MALP-2 showed a slight increase of IL-10 (Figure 2C), but this difference was not statistically different. Regardless of the time MALP-2 was administered, comparable elevation of MCP-1 was observed in both groups (Group MALP T and Group MALP PT) (Figure 2D). The MCP-1 values were significantly lower in untreated mice as compared to levels measured in MALP-2 pre-treated mice and mice treated after HS.

Organ inflammation
Elevated pulmonary MPO activity was measured in mice subjected to untreated HS and animals with MALP-2 treatment after HS (Group MALP T) (Figure 3A). Both groups have demonstrated comparable MPO activity levels. In pre-treated animals (Group MALP PT) MPO activity was decreased; however, not statistically significant as compared to either group HS and group MALP T. Compared to control and sham, lung MPO was increased by trend. The MPO activity in the liver showed a different pattern (Figure 3B). The highest liver MPO levels were measured in mice with MALP-2 pre-treatment (Group MALP PT). Untreated animals have demonstrated significantly lowered MPO activity in the liver when compared with MALP-2 treated study groups.

Discussion
Numerous substances, including hormones (e.g. DHEA) [8], cytokines (e.g. IL-10) [26,27] and diets [28] have been identified to have immuno-modulatory effects. The administration of the gram-negative bacterial cell wall components prior to a second hit has been shown to diminish the severity of the inflammatory response [29]. This phenomenon was termed endotoxin tolerance. Similar to lipopolysaccharide (LPS), the injection of TLR-2 ligand (MALP-2) was associated with a decrease of pro-inflammatory mediators and immunomodulation [3]. It has been postulated that MALP-2 attenuates the immune response with a sufficient response for protective pathogen defense [3]. The consequences of MALP-2 treatment on the immune response were only studied in animals with bacterial infection [3,14,30]. The aim of these experiments was to analyze the role of MALP-2 treatment on sterile inflammation in response to HS.

This study revealed the following results: 1) Despite single and lowest administration dose the injection of MALP-2 prior to the induction of HS is feasible to attenuate the systemic IL-6 response. 2) Organ specific inflammation has been observed after the pre-exposure to MALP-2. While MPO activity within the lung tissue was tendentially decreased in pre-treated animals, the highest hepatic MPO levels were measured in the same group. First, in contrast to KC values, the systemic release of IL-6 did not increase after i.p. injection of TLR-2 agonists (Group MALP T) when compared with levels in non-treated animals with HS (Group HS). This observation is in line with previous reports [3]. Feterowski et al. reported a slight increase in TNF-α levels and significant elevation of KC within 2 hours after MALP-2 injection [3]. Moreover, Reppe et al. reported comparable cytokine patterns within the lung tissue following inhalative MALP-2 administration [14]. Pre-exposure (12 hours) to MALP-2 prior to the HS (Group MALP PT) was associated with a significant reduction of plasma IL-6 levels in our study. Protective effects on the immune response after MALP-2 treatment have been already demonstrated in a murine sepsis model [30,31]. The administration of MALP-2 did not affect the levels of anti-inflammatory IL-10 in our study. These results are in line with finding from Feterowski et al., which described no elevation of IL-10 after systemic injection of
Figure 2 Comparison of plasma IL-6 (A), KC (B), IL-10 (C), and MCP-1 (D) levels in C57/BL6 mice; Animals were subjected either to MALP-2 pre-treatment (12 hours) (Group MALP PT) or MALP-2 was administered following HS (90 minutes) (Group MALP T). Group HS: hemorrhagic shock with PBS administration after 90 minutes. Results are expressed as means ± SE of 6 animals per group (#<0.05 vs. Control/Sham).
MALP-2 in mice [3]. In addition, i.p. MALP-2 injection was associated with significantly elevated MCP-1 levels when compared to levels in mice without MALP-2 treatment. Our results are in line with findings reported by Kaufmann et al. [32]. Increased MCP-1 production accompanied by TNF-α was reported in human monocytes after MALP-2 administration. Moreover, our results indicate that the TLR-2 agonist appears to affect sterile inflammation pathways. TLRs recognize gram-positive and gram-negative microbial components [33], which have been termed Pathogen-Associated Molecular Patterns (PAMPs), and generate a complex immune response [33]. In addition, endogenous tissue ligands (Damage-Associated Molecular Patterns (DAMPs)) were also identified and are known to induce systemic inflammation [1]. Authors have hypothesized that the immune system is mainly designed to identify threatening signals of either infection or injury, rather than differentiate between self and non-self [34]. However, it is still controversial whether the acute inflammatory response is activated by PAMPs or DAMPs through a universal pathway system. A similar pattern of acute inflammation after infectious and non-infectious stimulus has been described [18]. Moreover, there is increasing evidence that DAMP-mediated inflammation is generated by the TLR mechanisms [35]. In contrast, other studies pointed out DAMP specific pathways of the TLR activation [1,36].

Second, we identified an organ specific inflammation following MALP-2 administration. Intraperitoneal stimulation with MALP-2 12 hours prior to the initiation of HS was associated with tendentially decreased pulmonary MPO activity. This finding might be a consequence of the reduced systemic pro-inflammatory response recorded in our experiment. Moreover, this might be associated with changes in expression and sensitivity of TLRs within peritoneal cavity. Studies identified slight not significant increased TLR-4 expression within the spleen tissue after i.p. MALP-2 administration [3]. Moreover, TLR-2 up-regulation in the lung after inhalative MALP-2 application has been demonstrated [14]. The reduction of the pro-inflammatory mediators and pulmonary MPO activity might be accompanied by increased peritoneal...
inflammation and infiltration of neutrophils to a different compartment [14]. We observed a pronounced MPO activity within the liver in mice with MALP-2 treatment. MALP-2 is known to stimulate neutrophil recruitment to the site of application [10,37]. High liver MPO activity might be also related to increased influx of leukocytes into the peritoneal cavity and splanchnic circulation.

Treatment with TLR-2 agonists following HS does not attenuate the initial sterile inflammatory response in our study. In comparison to untreated mice, treatment with MALP-2 following HS was associated with increased levels of KC and MCP-1 and unchanged levels of IL-6. Moreover, the MPO activity could not be reduced. However, the therapeutic approach might be interesting in a presence of second hit (additional surgery) or infection (pneumonia/sepsis). Further studies are necessary to prove the therapeutic possibilities. In addition, in this study we focused on local and systemic inflammatory response. We did not determine the function or damage of organs such liver and kidney. Therefore, there is a lack of evidence of early multiple organ failure in this study.

Our analysis should be interpreted with respect to the following limitation: Only one time point and one treatment dosage have been assessed in our study. Therefore, no conclusions on dynamics of inflammation can be drawn out of these data. MALP-2 is dissolved in 30% 2-propanol / water for stock solution. However, we did not include 2-propanol to PBS in our control groups. It has to be considered that 2-propanol may influence the systemic inflammatory response.

Conclusions
In this study, we showed that pre-treatment with TLR-2 agonists (MALP-2) may have beneficial effects in a model of HS that mimics sterile inflammation. These protective mechanisms were associated with reduced levels of pro-inflammatory cytokine (IL-6). Moreover, our results indicate that the TLR-2 agonist appears to affect sterile inflammation pathways. The exact mechanisms should be studied further. MALP-2 as a highly active biomolecule is not a “dirty drug” and can be synthetized under GMP conditions. It might be an option in strategies treating sterile inflammation after HS or severe injuries.
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