Base on metabolomics: analysis of the internal causes of nutrient changes in Leymus chinensis at different harvest stage
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Abstract
Background Leymus chinensis is one of most valuable forage on the native grassland for its higher nutrition content. But the local farmer often gained the worse Leymus chinensis hay due to the unfavourable harvest stage, the nutrition of Leymus chinensis was decreasing from jointing stage to solid stage fro its growth characteristics, and the results showed that the crude protein (CP) decreased and the fiber content increased which will caused the nutrition of forage reduced. However, the reason for the nutrient changes in Leymus chinensis has not yet explained at the metabolic level. Results In this research, the Leymus chinensis was harvested at two growth stage(jointing stage and solid stage). Metabolomics was used to analyze the expression changes of metabolites in the whole plant of Leymus chinensis at different harvest stage, and the metabolites were analyzed based on LC-MS/MS untargeted metabolomics. A total of 55 metabolic pathways and 119 metabolites were related to the nutritional change in Leymus chinensis. Most of the metabolites are involved in metabolic processes, including amino acid metabolism, carbohydrate metabolism and acid metabolism biosynthesis. Under the action of EBF1/2 and EIN3, cysteine participates in the ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation pathway. In solid stage, the increasing content of cysteine directly promotes the ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation rate, which is manifested reduce the synthesis of crude protein (CP) in Leymus chinensis, resulting in the decreasing of Leymus chinensis nutrient quality. Carbohydrate metabolism provides raw materials for the synthesis of hemicellulose, leading to the increasing of hemicellulose. In addition, phenylalanine provides the necessary conditions for the synthesis of lignin. These are the internal factors that lead to the decline of quality of Leymus chinensis. Conclusions This study analyzed the elucidate the relationship between the reduction in the nutritional value of Leymus chinensis and complex biological processes by the metabolomic,This study will provides a theoretical basis for producing high-quality Leymus chinensis hay and sets the stage for further research.

Background
Leymus chinensis is a high quality grass of rhizome type belonging to the family of grasses[1]. Making hay is the main way of Leymus chinensis, which grows on the natural grassland. Because of its high
nutritional value the fact that animals like to eat it when it is green as hay [2]. However, the value of *Leymus chinensis* hay is affected by lots of factors, the one was the harvest stage[3]. *Leymus chinensis* harvested at the jointing stage which has a greater nutrition than solid stage. At the time of solid stage, the stem fraction of *Leymus chinensis* is greater than jointing stage. Many reporters have showed that decreased crude protein (CP) and increased fibre contents in *Leymus chinensis* will show different results at different stages[4]. *Leymus chinensis* harvest at the optimum growth stage can improve both hay yield and quality. The current view was harvested at the jointing stage is thought to cause a high yields and nutrient concentrations in *Leymus chinensis*. However, it is a difficult case in China especially in prairie areas, where *Leymus chinensis* is always harvested at solid stage due to the local farmer thought that more grass could be harvested at that time. However, due to the growth characteristics of plants, the *Leymus chinensis* harvested at solid stage had severe fibrosis and low nutritional value[5]. Lot of nutrients and relative metabolites, especially proteins, may decrease a lot during this time, which is regarded as a main index for evaluating the nutritional value of forage, indicating a large impact on the production of high-quality hay and the stable and sustainable development of grass husbandry[6].

We find out the specific changes in nutrients during this period by metabolomics, and metabolomics provide an useful methods for identifying metabolites and associated pathways that are crucial for understanding the nutrient contents of *Leymus chinensis* and the mechanisms underlying nutrient metabolite changes during different harvest stages[7]. At present, the research of metabolomics mainly focuses on the resistance, yield and nutrition of forage. There are few studies on the inner mechanism of using metabolomics to study the difference of nutrient quality of forage at different harvest stage. Metabolomics can promote the expression and identification of forage and its related metabolites. Metabolomics is an effective method for the analysis and identification of metabolites and metabolic pathways that may lead to decreased CP content in *Leymus chinensis* by LC-MS/IMS [8].

At present, the evaluation methods of the nutritional value of forage mainly focuses on the index of CP and RFV[9]. The nutritional value of forage is mainly expressed by CP, and the amount of CP will
directly affect the nutritional value of forage[10]. Amino acids are important precursors in protein synthesis and have important significance for protein synthesis. Using metabolomics to study the specific pathways and metabolites of CP synthesis plays an important role in establishing a new grade of forage nutrient quality. In this study, using metabolomics to study changes in the nutritional value of forage will set a precedent for re-evaluating the nutritional value of forage.

In this research, we will use metabolic to fully studied protein synthesis pathways and metabolites, and our goal is to fully understand the nutrient changes, metabolites and metabolic pathways of *Leymus chinensis*. We also want to identify the key regulatory pathways and metabolites that influence changes in metabolites and nutrient content in *Leymus chinensis*.

**Statistical Analysis**

Three biological replicates were performed for each experiment, and nutritional data were analyzed using SAS 9.1. The mean differences were compared using Duncan’s multiple range T-test. Comparisons with *P* < 0.05 were considered significantly significant, and the data are presented as the mean±SE, three independent replicates at least [11].

**Results**

*Leymus chinensis* nutrient content analysis

In order to assess the composition of the *Leymus chinensis* at different harvest stage, the important nutritional content were listed (Tab 1). According to analyze of CP, it show the highest content was existed the jointing stage, at 12.31 %DM, and the lowest in the solid stage, at 8.27 % DM. These results revealed that the CP content of *Leymus chinensis* continues to decreased from the jointing stage to solid stage. In contrast, the ADF content was 37.36% DM in jointing stage, which was significantly lower than the solid stage (*P* < 0.05). The content of NDF at jointing stage was 56.04% DM, which was significantly lower than at the solid stage (*P* < 0.05). The data showed that the fiber content in *Leymus chinensis* was increased gradually with the prolongation of growth period.

Metabolic pathways associated with changes in protein content in *Leymus chinensis*

To assess the metabolic pathways of the *Leymus chinensis* at different harvest stage, the main and
secondary metabolism related to the change of CP in *Leymus chinensis* were analyzed and listed (Fig 1, Fig 2). we can find that the main metabolism contained glycan biosynthesis and metabolism, metabolism of terpenoids and polyketides, xenobiotics biodegradation and metabolism, lipid metabolism, carbohydrate metabolism, amino acid metabolism, energy metabolism, metabolism of other amino acid, nucleotide metabolism, metabolism of cofactors and vitamins, biosynthesis of secondary metabolism. meanwhile, the secondary metabolism concluded metabolism of terpenoids and polyketides, biosynthesis of cofactor and vitamins, and biosynthesis of other secondary metabolites.

**Metabolic pathways associated with protein change in *Leymus chinensis* at different harvest stage**

The *Leymus chinensis* were assessed by metabolomics from two harvest stages. A total of 119 metabolites of *leymus chinensis* were screened and identified, among which 64 metabolites were significantly up-regulated and 55 were significantly down-regulated (Table 2). After further study, we found that out of 55 down-regulated metabolic pathways were associated with protein reduction included 13 amino acids, 1 pyrimidine, 1 purine, 5 carbohydrate metabolism, 12 acid metabolism, and 23 other metabolism were involved (Tab 3). 13 amino acids metabolic pathways included amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism, cysteine and methionine metabolism, phenylalanine, tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis, phenylalanine metabolism, asparate and glutamate metabolism, cysteine, serine and threonine metabolism, arginine and proline metabolism, arginine metabolism, lysine biosynthesis, lysine degradation, tyrosine metabolism, tryptophan metabolism, histidine metabolism; 5 carbohydrate metabolism included pentose phosphate pathway, galactose metabolism, fructose and mannose metabolism, glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, pentose and glucuronate interconversions; 12 acid metabolism included a-linolenic acid metabolism, C5-branched dibasic acid metabolism, 2-oxo carboxylic acid metabolism, biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids, arachidonic acid metabolism, linoleic acid metabolism, nicotinate and nicotinamide metabolism, folate biosynthesis, glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism, fatty acid metabolism, fatty acid biosynthesis, fatty acid elongation, fatty acid degradation. 23 other metabolism included terpenoid backbone biosynthesis, vancomycin
Protein profiles of *Leymus chinensis* at different harvest stages

This approach assisted in the accurate selection of marker metabolites and the investigation of changes in related metabolic processes (Fig. 3). Finally, 119 significant variations in metabolites were detected, which are shown in Table 2. These metabolites mainly included amino acids, organic acids, carbohydrates, purines, lipids and pyrimidines (Tab 3). A total of 55 metabolites were up-regulated while 64 were down-regulated at two harvest stages. The expression levels of L-glutamic acid, L-asparagine, purine, pyrimidine and other protein synthesis-related metabolites were down-regulated, whereas L-phenylalanine and carbohydrates, lipids and other substances were significantly up-regulated.

**Leymus chinensis** hormone signal transduction

There were eight signal transductiones was associate with the CP reduce in the *leymus chinensis*. The change of protein content in herbage is directly related to the metabolic reaction of amino acid. We can find there were three animo acid hormone signal transductiones( Fig 4), and they were tryptophan metabolism, cysteine and methonine metabolism, and phenyalalanine metabolism. There were two transduction in the the tryptophan metabolism, when the synthesis produce the AUXIAA, the one signal transduction reaction is ubiquitin mediated proteolysis, and the other signal transduction reaction was promote the cell enlargement, and it was helpful with the plant growth. Cysteine and methonine metabolism had two hormone signal transduction. After the EIN3 was existed, The one hormone signal transduction was to promote the ubiquitin mediated proteolysis, and the other signal transduction promote the fruit ripening senescence with the ERF1/2 and DNA. Phenyalalanine metabolism had one signal transduction, when the PR–1was existed, it was mainly used for disease resistance.

Discussion

Metabolomics study of *Leymus chinensis*

The CP content of forage is often different due to different species, harvest stage and other
According to the chemical properties of forage, CP are mainly divided into two types[13]: true protein nitrogen and non-protein nitrogen. Non-protein nitrogen is mainly composed of free amino acids, amides, purines, pyrimidines and alkaloids, accounting for about 30% of the total nitrogen content of forage[14]. Protein composition in forage mainly includes 20 amino acids, such as alanine, lysine, glutamic acid and aspartic acid. Amino acids, as the components of proteins, play a very important role in the nitrogen metabolism pathway in forage, and they are the preconditions for the composition of amino acids, purines and pyrimidines[15]. Studies have shown that glutamate plays a significant or extremely significant role in protein synthesis in wheat[16]. Study[17] showed that the content of glutamate in alfalfa in the early flowering stage was significantly higher than that in the mid-flowering stage\( (P<0.05) \), and the L-glutamic acid was the main amino acid which affect the CP changed. The above studies indicate that amino acids are related to protein synthesis, and the amount of amino acid content will affect the amount of protein synthesis, according to the results of this study alanine content in jointing stage is lower than that in solid stage. In addition, the levels of purine and pyrimidine were lower in solid stage. Alanine, purines and pyrimidines content was reduced before the protein synthesis, which could lead to protein synthesis decreased, which was the reason of CP content in solid stage is lower than the jointing stage. The content of phenylalanine increased, but the protein content did not increase in the related metabolic pathway[18]. It can be considered that phenylalanine mainly comes from the hydrolysis of protein, which may also be one of the reasons for the decrease of protein content. Tyrosine, after hydroxylation of phenylalanine, is involved in the process of glucose metabolism of plants was together with phenylalanine to promote the synthesis of carbohydrate[19]. The results of this study showed that the expression levels of sugars and other substances in solid stage were significantly up-regulated. Forage signaling figure of this study show that cysteine metabolic pathways in the generated EIN3, under the action of EBF1/2 and u, products are mainly used for ubiquitin protein degradation mediated, this is the only one in the plant in the metabolic pathway of protein degradation pathway, which may be CP in Leymus chinensis in jointing stage processed to the solid is the main reason, which will be our main research direction in the future.
The growth and development process of *Leymus chinensis* is mainly dominated by amino acid metabolism[20]. Amino acid, as the main mode of existence and transportation of nitrogen compounds in *Leymus chinensis*, is also regarded as the principle of maintaining the balance of nitrogen and the synthesis of enzymes and hormones in vivo[21]. The nutritional quality of *Leymus chinensis* relies mainly on the protein content, amino acid composition and its equilibrium state, amino acid content that in the condition with higher and lower which will affect the amount of protein synthesis, and the essential amino acid was considered as one of *Leymus chinensis* nutrition qualitative decision factors, on how to improve protein content at the same time, and the amino acid related research is a top priority in the current scholars research [22–24]. Studies have shown that the improvement of crop quality cannot rely solely on the simple accumulation of protein and amino acid content, but it should be comprehensively evaluated in combination with the yield of crops, especially the proportion of essential amino acids[25]. In the nitrogen metabolism pathway, the first is the assimilation of ammonia, followed by the absorption of amino acid nitrogen to participate in the nitrogen metabolism[26]. The assimilation of ammonia first forms glutamine and glutamic acid, and then forms other amino acids and proteins[27]. Glutamic acid plays an important role in the nitrogen metabolism pathway, because glutamic acid is the initial precursor of protein synthesis, which is mainly co-synthesized by alpha-ketoglutaric acid and amino acid transaminase[28]. In this study, the reduced content of glutamic acid and protein synthesis precursor decreased, which reduces the amount of protein synthesis in *Leymus chinensis*, performance for protein content is reduced, the main reason is that glutamate content directly to reduce the influence to the *Leymus chinensis* metabolism, influenced the late protein synthesis, and made an impact on the quality of the *Leymus chinensis*.

**Nutrition changes**

**Changes in nutrition (CP,ADF and NDF) contents changes**

In this study, harvest time affected CP of *Leymus chinensis*. Significant (*P* < 0.05), CP of *Leymus chinensis* decreased with the delay of harvest time. The decrease of CP in *Leymus chinensis* may was
related to its growth and development, with the delay of harvest time, the ratio of stem and leaf of *Leymus chinensis* increased, while a large amount of CP in *Leymus chinensis* is stored in leaves, so the CP decreases. On the other hand, harvest time delayed will cause *Leymus chinensis* consume a large amount of CP for its own growth and the formation of awn needles, leading to the reduction of CP[29]. The content of ADF and NDF has an important influence on its nutritional quality, among which ADF affects the digestibility[30]. NDF affects grazing rate of livestock, while high NDF content leads to low grazing rate[31]. In this study, harvest stage had significant effect on ADF and NDF of *Leymus chinensis* (*P*< 0.05). The content of ADF and NDF in *Leymus chinensis* increased with the delay of harvest stage, which may lead to the decrease of quality of *Leymus chinensis* with the delay of harvest stage.

In recent years, the proper harvesting of forage has been paid more and more attention by researchers at home and abroad. At present, the researches mainly focuses on the influence of harvest time on grass yield, quality and regeneration rate [32]. Some scholars pointed out that the proper harvest of forage should meet the following basic conditions[33]: first, the harvest stage should be conducive to the growth of forage; Secondly, it is necessary to maintain high nutritional quality of forage after harvest. Finally, harvesting is beneficial to the enrichment of nutrients in the roots of forage, so as to ensure the safe wintering of forage. Some scholars found that dry matter yield increased by 18% when alfalfa was cut at the peak of flowering, but dry matter digestibility and CP content decreased by 5.4% and 8.0% respectively[34]. With the prolongation of alfalfa growth period, the grass yield and dry matter content have been increasing, but the nutrients show a change trend of first increasing and then decreasing, and the budding stage is the critical point for the rise and fall of nutrients in forage[35]. When the forage was harvested at the flowering stage, the CP content remained at the highest level, and a higher yield could be obtained[36]. The above research results indicate that the harvest stage has an important influence on the preservation of forage’s nutritional quality. During the whole growth period of native grass, with the continuous extension of the growth period of forage, the forage yield gradually increases, but the quality gradually decreases. The longer the harvest period, the higher fiber. Therefore, grass yield and nutrient quality should be
taken into account in the harvest of forage. Harvest stage has a great impact on the yield, quality and rejuvenation of forage, and proper harvest can ensure the yield and nutritional value of forage.

**Establishment of forage nutrition evaluation methods**

At present, the CP, NDF and ADF are the common indicators to evaluate nutritive value while the CP is the main index, but the studies about the quality evaluation of forage from the level of metabolism has not mentioned. Due to the physiological characteristics of forage heterogeneity, the different harvest stage will cause the different CP. In order to get a higher CP, we need to choose the suitable harvest stage[37]. CP was mainly expressed on the physiological and biochemical reaction synthesis of amino acids, and alanine as an important precursor of protein synthesis, which has important influence on the formation of a protein, and we can say alanine content on the forage quality has important influence, and this experiment showed alanine was important on the influence of CP, to explained the amino acids from molecular level for the importance of forage quality evaluation[38]. Therefore, based on the analysis results of this experiment, it is necessary to continue to clarify the changes of forage quality through amino acid molecules such as glutamic acid, strengthen the research on the relationship between protein synthesis precursor and forage quality, and discuss the evaluation index of hay quality from the molecular level.

**Conclusions**

Our data analyzed and interpretated the *Leymus chinensis* metabolites, the change of metabolic pathways, and the aspects of nutritional quality changes on *Leymus chinensis* metabonomics from jointing stage to the solid stage. A large number of different expressed metabolites are mainly involved in carbohydrate expression metabolism, starch and sucrose metabolism, cysteine biosynthesis and amino acid biosynthesis. *Leymus chinensis* has low CP in solid stage, mainly due to glutamic acid content decreased. The metabolism of carbohydrate synthesis of hemicellulose do not receive the raw material, cause the grass hemicellulose content increases, further lead to increased NDF content. In addition, the increase of phenylalanine content of lignin synthesis provides the necessary conditions. Together, these results provided the internal causes of the content of CP decreased and NDF and ADF increased in *Leymus chinensis* from jointing stage to the solid stage. This
study provides the result of the innovation and further clarified on the quality difference of *Leymus chinensis* at two important stages. In addition, it offers a new methods to explain and evaluate forage nutrition, and our results also show the reasons for CP changes. Therefore, this study illustrates the nutritional value of *Leymus chinensis* reduce relation with complex metabolic biological process, and provide the theoretical basis for the future production and technical support of *Leymus chinensis*.

**Abbreviations**

CP: crude protein  
NDF: neutral detergent fiber  
ADF: acid detergent fiber  
QC: quality control

**Methods**

**Forage material**

*Leymus chinensis* was harvested at Inner Mongolia Agricultural University field experimental site in Chifen (41°17′10″-45°24′15″ N, 116°21′07″-120°58′52″ E), Inner Mongolia, China, in 2018. We are licensed by the local agriculture and pastoral bureau and certified by grass industry experts, and we are conducting experiments in accordance with the local grassland protection law. The voucher specimen of the *Leymus chinensis* material has been deposited in Operation department of hangzhou lianchuan biotechnology co., LTD, the company was located in 4th floor, building 16, zhongzi science and technology park, no. 260, xiasha 6th avenue, hangzhou economic and technological development zone (310018), and the deposition number of *Leymus chinensis* was 2018H27mqgA82. The selected *Leymus chinensis* is naturally grown on native grassland. When taking samples of *Leymus chinensis*, the staff followed the local grassland protection law and the regulations on sampling wild species in the convention on trade in endangered species of wild fauna and do as the :https://www.cites.org/ requested. The identification of *Leymus chinensis* samples was conducted after consulting with Mr Zhang weiguo, a well-known expert in plant classification in China. Zhang weiguo devoted himself to the identification and classification of forage grass species in the field all the year around, with rich field work experience and scientific research foundation. We took *Leymus chinensis* as the
experimental material and started the experiment on August 20, 2017. When the forage was harvested, the growth periods were jointing stage and solid stage. The jointing stage is a period which has a rapid growth of stem on *Leymus chinensis*. Generally, the first stem node of more than 50% plants in the whole field is exposed to the ground by 1.5–2.5 cm, and solid stage refers to the period from flowering to maturity of forage seeds. We took samples with sterilized gloves and cut them with sterilized scissors to gained two stage samples. Each sample was 200g, and one sample was placed in an envelope bag, then the samples were dried in a 105°C oven for 30 min. Washing the *Leymus chinensis* samples with PBS buffer, wrapping them with tin foil, marking them and immediately freeze them in liquid nitrogen, and then transfer them to –80°C refrigerator for later use.

**Nutrition Analyze**

We analyzed the crude protein (CP) in accordance with the reference of the AOAC international [39]. The content of neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and acid detergent fiber (ADF) were analyzed according to the Ankom A200 fiber analyzer (Ankom Technology, Macedon, NY) by the method of Van Soest[40].

**Metabolite Analyze**

**Description of metabolite extraction**

Samples were defrosted on ice and metabolites were extracted with 50% methanol buffer. 20 mul samples were used to extract 120 mul 50% methanol and incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes. The extract was stored overnight at –20°C. After centrifugation at 4,000 g for 20 min, the supernatants were placed into new 96-well plates. The samples were placed at –80°C prior to the LC-MS analysis. In addition, pooled QC samples were also prepared by combining 10 μL of each extraction mixture.

**Liquid phase parameter description**

All experimental samples were acquired by the LC-MS system followed machine orders. First, all chromatographic separations were performed using an ultra performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) system (SCIEX, UK). One ACQUITY UPLC T3 column which was 100 mm*2.1 mm, 1.8 μm, Waters, UK and it was worked for the reversed phase separation. The column oven was kept at 35°C. The flow rate was maintained at 0.4 ml/min and the mobile phase composite of solvent A and solvent B, the
solvent A was water, 0.1% formic acid while the solvent B was acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid.

**Mass spectrum parameter description**

We detect metabolites by a high-resolution tandem mass spectrometer TripleTOF5600plus (SCIEX, UK), and the Q-TOF was operated at two styles of positive and negative.

And we set the curtain gas30 PSI, the ion source gas1 60 PSI, the ion source gas2 60 PSI, and the temperature of interface heater was 650 °C.

For the positive ion mode, the floating voltage of ion spray is set to 5000 V respectively. For the negative ion mode, the floating voltage of ion spray is set to -4500v respectively. The mass spectrometry data were collected by IDA mode. The mass of the TOF ranges from 60 to 1200 Da. Survey scans are taken within 150 milliseconds, and up to 12 product ion scans will be collected if the threshold of 100 counts per second (count per second) is exceeded and the 1+ charging state is in place. The total cycle time was fixed at 0.56s. By monitoring the 40ghz multi-channel TDC detector (four-anode/channel detection), four time boxes were calculated for each scan with a pulse frequency value of 11khz. Dynamic exclusion is set to 4 seconds. During the collection process, quality accuracy is calibrated for every 20 samples. In addition, to evaluate the stability of lc-ms throughout the collection process, one quality control sample (all sample pools) was collected after every 10 samples.

**Information analysis description**

The acquired MS data pretreatments including peak picking, peak grouping, retention time correction, second peak grouping, and annotation of isotopes and adducts was performed using XCMS software. LC−MS raw data files were converted into mzXML format and then processed by the XCMS, CAMERA and metaX toolbox implemented with the R software. Each ion was identified by combining retention time (RT) and m/z data. Intensities of each peaks were recorded and a three dimensional matrix containing arbitrarily assigned peak indices (retention time-m/z pairs), sample names (observations) and ion intensity information (variables) was generated.

The online KEGG, HMDB database was used to annotate the metabolites by matching the exact molecular mass data (m/z) of samples with those from database. If a mass difference between observed and the database value was less than 10 ppm, the metabolite would be annotated and the
molecular formula of metabolites would further be identified and validated by the isotopic distribution measurements. We also used a in-house fragment spectrum library of metabolites to validate the metabolite identification.

The intensity of peak data was further preprocessed by metaX. Those features that were detected in less than 50% of QC samples or 80% of biological samples were removed, the remaining peaks with missing values were imputed with the k-nearest neighbor algorithm to further improve the data quality. PCA was performed for outlier detection and batch effects evaluation using the pre-processed dataset. Quality control-based robust LOESS signal correction was fitted to the QC data with respect to the order of injection to minimize signal intensity drift over time. In addition, the relative standard deviations of the metabolic features were calculated across all QC samples, and those > 30% were then removed.

Student t-tests were conducted to detect differences in metabolite concentrations between 2 phenotype. The P value was adjusted for multiple tests using an FDR (Benjamini–Hochberg).

Supervised PLS-DA was conducted through metaX to discriminate the different variables between groups. The VIP value was calculated. A VIP cut-off value of 1.0 was used to select important features.
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Tables

Table 1 Nutrients of *Leymus chinensis* at different harvest stage

| Harvest stage | CP % DM     | ADF% DM |
|---------------|-------------|---------|
| Jointing stage | 12.31±0.14 a | 37.36±0.2 |
| Solid stage   | 8.27±0.77 b  | 43.65±0.2 |

a, b Means ± SD within columns with different letters indicating significant differences (P < 0.05)

Table 2 The changes of metabolites in *Leymus chinensis*

| Number | Model up | Metabolites                                | Model down |
|--------|----------|--------------------------------------------|------------|
| 1      | ESI(+)   | (R)-3-Amino-2-methylpropanoate             | ESI(−)     |
| 2      | ESI(+)   | L-3-Aminoisobutanoate                     | ESI(−)     |
| 3      | ESI(+)   | 3-Methyl-2-oxobutanoic acid               | ESI(−)     |
| 4      | ESI(+)   | L-Valine                                  | ESI(−)     |
| 5      | ESI(+)   | Methylmalonate                            | ESI(−)     |
| 6      | ESI(+)   | 2-Methyl-1-hydroxypropyl-ThPP             | ESI(−)     |
| 7      | ESI(+)   | 3-Methyl-1-hydroxybutyl-ThPP              | ESI(−)     |
| 8      | ESI(+)   | D-Xylose                                  | ESI(−)     |
| 9      | ESI(+)   | L-Arabinose                               | ESI(−)     |
| 10     | ESI(+)   | D-Ribulose                                | ESI(−)     |
| 11     | ESI(+)   | D-Xylulose                                | ESI(−)     |
| 12     | ESI(+)   | L-Xylulose                                | ESI(−)     |
| 13     | ESI(+)   | D-Lyxose                                  | ESI(−)     |
| 14     | ESI(+)   | L-Ribulose                                | ESI(−)     |
| 15     | ESI(+)   | L-Lyxose                                  | ESI(−)     |
| 16     | ESI(+)   | Xylitol                                   | ESI(−)     |
| 17     | ESI(+)   | Ribitol                                    | ESI(−)     |
| 18     | ESI(+)   | L-Arabitol                                | ESI(−)     |
| 19     | ESI(+)   | D-Arabitol                                | ESI(−)     |
| 20     | ESI(+)   | alpha-Trehalose 6-phosphate               | ESI(−)     |
| 21     | ESI(+)   | Sucrose 6’-phosphate                      | ESI(−)     |
| 22     | ESI(+)   | Maltose 6’-phosphate                      | ESI(−)     |
| 23     | ESI(+)   | 6-Phospho-beta-D-glucosyl-(1,4)-D-glucose | ESI(−)     |
| 24     | ESI(+)   | Sucrose 6-phosphate                       | ESI(−)     |
| 25     | ESI(+)   | alpha-Maltose 1-phosphate                 | ESI(−)     |
| 26     | ESI(+)   | Anthranilate                              | ESI(−)     |
| 27     | ESI(+)   | 3-Hydroxybenzoate                         | ESI(−)     |
| 28 | ESI(+) | 3,4-Dihydroxybenzoate | ESI(−) |
| 29 | ESI(+) | 2-Oxo-4-phenylbutyric acid | ESI(−) |
| 30 | ESI(+) | L-Arogenate | ESI(−) |
| 31 | ESI(+) | Shikimate 3-phosphate | ESI(−) |
| 32 | ESI(+) | 5-Amino-2-oxopentanoic acid | ESI(−) |
| 33 | ESI(+) | 2-Amino-4-oxopentanoic acid | ESI(−) |
| 34 | ESI(+) | Phenylacetaldehyde | ESI(−) |
| 35 | ESI(+) | Succinate | ESI(−) |
| 36 | ESI(+) | Phenylacetic acid | ESI(−) |
| 37 | ESI(+) | 4-Hydroxybenzoate | ESI(−) |
| 38 | ESI(+) | Salicylate | ESI(−) |
| 39 | ESI(+) | Phenylpropanoate | ESI(−) |
| 40 | ESI(+) | alpha-Oxo-benzeneacetic acid | ESI(−) |
| 41 | ESI(+) | 4-Hydroxy-2-oxopentanoate | ESI(−) |
| 42 | ESI(+) | cis-3-(Carboxy-ethyl)-3,5-cyclo-hexadiene-1,2-diol | ESI(−) |
| 43 | ESI(+) | Lactose 6′-phosphate | ESI(−) |
| 44 | ESI(+) | 2-Dehydro-3-deoxy-6-phospho-D-galactonate | ESI(−) |
| 45 | ESI(+) | 3-beta-D-Galactosyl-sn-glycerol | ESI(−) |
| 46 | ESI(+) | Melibiotol | ESI(−) |
| 47 | ESI(+) | Raffinose | ESI(−) |
| 48 | ESI(+) | D-Gal alpha 1->6D-Gal alpha 1->6D-Glucose | ESI(−) |
| 49 | ESI(+) | Stachyose | ESI(−) |
| 50 | ESI(+) | Arbutin | ESI(−) |
| 51 | ESI(+) | (R)-3-Amino-2-methylpropanoate | ESI(−) |
| 52 | ESI(+) | 5-Methylcytosine | ESI(−) |
| 53 | ESI(+) | Thymine | ESI(−) |
| 54 | ESI(+) | Methylmalonate | ESI(−) |
| 55 | ESI(+) | Thymidine | ESI(−) |
| 56 | ESI(+) | Adenine | ESI(−) |
| 57 | ESI(+) | Secologanin | ESI(−) |
| 58 | ESI(+) | 4,21-Dehydrogeissoschizine | ESI(−) |
| 59 | ESI(+) | 4,21-Dehydrocorynantheine aldehyde | ESI(−) |
| 60 | ESI(+) | Strictosidine aglycone | ESI(−) |
| 61 | ESI(+) | Horhammericine | ESI(−) |
| 62 | ESI(+) | Dialdehyde | ESI(−) |
| 63 | ESI(+) | Vindoline | ESI(−) |
| 64 | ESI(+) | Vinblastine | ESI(−) |
| Metabolic pathway items      | Number | Description                                                                                   |
|------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Amino acids                  | 13     | Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism, Cysteine and methionine metabolism, Phenylalanine, |
|                              |        | tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis, Phenylalanine metabolism, Aspartate and glutamate metabolism, |
|                              |        | Cysteine, serine and threonine metabolism, Arginine and proline metabolism, Arginine metabolism, |
|                              |        | Lysine biosynthesis, Lysine degradation, Tyrosine metabolism, Tryptophan metabolism             |
| Pyrimidine                   | 1      | Pyrimidine metabolism                                                                          |
| Purine                       | 1      | Purine metabolism                                                                               |
| Carbohydrate metabolism      | 5      | Pentose phosphate pathway; Galactose metabolism; Fructose and mannose metabolism; Pentose and |
|                              |        | glucuronate interconversions                                                                     |
| Acid metabolism              | 12     | A-linolenic acid metabolism; C5-branched dibasic acid metabolism; 2-3-acid metabolism; Arachidonic |
|                              |        | acid metabolism; Linoleic acid metabolism; Nicotinate and nicotinamide metabolism; Folate        |
|                              |        | biosynthesis; Glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism; Fatty acid elongation; Fatty acid         |
|                              |        | degradation                                                                                     |
| Other metabolism             | 23     | Terpenoid backbone biosynthesis; Vancomycin resistance; Vitamin B metabolism                     |
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The main metabolism related to the change of CP content in Leymus chinensis
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The secondary metabolism related to the change of CP content in Leymus chinensis
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