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Abstract

This article is a summary of the important points in the subject of philosophy of science, especially, Metaphysics idea or in Islamic sense. It calls as “Tasawwuf” (Islamic metaphysics). From several works, for instance, Immanuel Kant’s Prolegomena to Any Future Metaphysics, S.M.N al-Attas’s Islam and the Philosophy of Science, and Nūr al-Dīn al-Rānirī’s Latā’if al-Asrār li Ahli Allah al-Āṭār. From these three books, here is the highlight in order to understand their views on Metaphysics and Tasawwuf. The survey aims to analyze and compare their views, particularly on pertaining on Metaphysics. The study was found that Metaphysics, deals in general with questions about the nature of reality. The Sufis took the same position as the philosophers and the theologians in affirming the reality of thing that constitute the world, and hence also the reality of the world, as established, in contradiction to the Sophists. But the Sufis attested further, in significant contrast to the philosophers and the theologians, that in addition to existence in the above sense, that is, as a pure concept, and based upon clear mystical revelation and true intuition founded upon the authority of the Holy Qur’an and the tradition as well as upon reason and experience.

Keywords: Epistemology, Metaphysics, Philosophy of Science, Reality, Sufism (Tasawwuf), Truth.

A. Introduction

In nearly every branch of philosophy, the Islamic tradition is rich beyond belief, if only its sources were made known. This is especially true of metaphysics. Islamic metaphysics should be presented as what it is, that is, the science of Ultimate Reality, which is the one (al-Ahad) or Allah, who has revealed Himself in the Quran, and not as a
discredited branch of rationalistic philosophy. Metaphysics is generally accepted as a branch of philosophy, concerned with the nature of ultimate reality. In the Islamic sense, metaphysics which includes of course its original concern, theology, is the most important because it influences the Muslim conception of the universe, human psychology, epistemology, ethics and even logic.

B. Metaphysics

The Greek term Metaphysics is translated into Arabic as “Mā ba‘da al-Ṭabī‘ah” which literally means, “what comes after (beyond) physics”, it is also called as al-ilāhiyyāt (Divinity) and al-falsafah al-Ūlā (the first philosophy) in the same manner as Moslem Philosophers like al-Kindi, Ibn Sinā, and al-Farābī (Fakhry, 1982).

The term “metaphysics” was first used to refer to a group of treatises written by Aristotle. Historians of philosophy tell us that the term represents the Greek phrase “ta meta ta physica”, which means the books next after the Physics. This phrase was not used by Aristotle himself but by ancient editors as a title for a group of treatises placed after the Physics in an early collection of Aristotle’s works. Aristotle called the subject he was concerned with in his metaphysics “first philosophy”, a subject that had gradually taken shape in the work of his predecessors—specifically, so called Pre-Socratic’s, Socrates, and Plato (Aune, 1998).

The book discusses the mode of being or existence, pertaining to reality which is not independent of human reason and refers to aspects of reality that is immaterial or non-physical, like God and human intellect. In the philosophy of science, we can distinguish between epistemological issues and metaphysical issues. Epistemology is concerned with questions about knowledge, evidence, and rationality. Metaphysics, deals in general with questions about the nature of reality (Godfrey-Smith, 2003). In fact, according Ibn ‘Arabī in his Futūḥāt (Rosenthal, 2007), metaphysics is considered to be the highest knowledge.

In Islam, Metaphysics is generally accepted as a branch of philosophy which is concerned with the nature of ultimate reality. Metaphysics is the most important discipline because it determines the Moslem conception of the universe, human psychology, epistemology, ethics and even logic. We realized that metaphysics is no longer popular in modern science discourse. This attitude, which is western, is neither morally honest nor rationally justifiable because literal meaning of the term does include all the major components of what philosophy of

---

1. The four large areas of knowledge are logic, mathematics, physics and metaphysics. Metaphysics is the highest knowledge of them all. All the other kinds of knowledge are subordinated to it. As reported by Franz Rosenthal, Knowledge Triumphant the concept of knowledge in medieval Islam (Leiden: Brill, 2007), 187, also in Ibn ‘Arabī’s book Cf. Futūḥāt, I, 293
education (as such) considers pertinent.

C. Tasawwuf or Sufism
(Metaphysics of Islam)

Sufism or tasawwuf, according to al–Attas, is defined as “the practice of Shari‘ah at the station (maqam) of iḥsān (Al–Attas, 1993). By iḥsān, he meant the highest stage of religion, above and inclusive of those of Islam and Iman, as conveyed by the famous hadith narrated by ‘Umar Ibn al–Khattab and transmitted by Abu Hurayrah and Muslim, in which the Prophet said of ihsan “that you should worship God as if you saw Him”...(an ta‘buda Allah ka annaka tarāhu) (Al–Attas, 1981).

In the concluding paragraph of his commentary on the Hujjat al–Siddīq of Nūr al–Dīn al–Rāni, al–Attas states that Islamic metaphysics, which is for him the philosophical Sufism, is a unified system that discloses the ultimate nature of Reality in positive terms; for it integrates reason and Experience with other higher orders in the suprarational and transempirical level of human consciousness.

He asserts that “...no formulation of a philosophy of education and a philosophy of science along Islamic lines can be developed by ignoring the great contributions of the Sufi masters on the ultimate nature of reality.” Moreover, he added, “intellectual Sufism can effect a reconciliation between Muslim theology and philosophy just as it has achieved a great measure of understanding and unity between Sunnism and Sh‘ism (Wan Daud, 1998).

In Islam, Metaphysics is generally accepted as a branch of philosophy which is concerned with the nature of ultimate reality. Metaphysics is the most important discipline because it determines the Moslem conception of the universe, human psychology, epistemology, ethics and even logic. We realized that metaphysics is no longer popular in modern science discourse. This attitude, which is western, is neither morally honest nor rationally justifiable because literal meaning of the term does include all the major components of what philosophy of education (as such) considers pertinent.

D. Summary of Metaphysics aspect in Immanuel Kant, Syed Muhammad Naquib Al–Attas and Muhammad Zainiy Uthman’s work.

In the book, Prolegomena to Any Future Metaphysics by Immanuel Kant (Kant, 1977) is a scientific work, which deals with the concept of philosophy pertaining how man can arrived at knowledge, based on his rationality. Kant’s emphasized on the fundamental elements of science, whereas he introduced the limits of reason and the inability of human mind to know the ultimate reality of the object to be observed.

Kant tries to examine the pure philosophical cognition, what he calls it as a priori, whereas man possesses a knowledge about thing without deriving it from his experiences, for instance, the mathematic discipline
and physical science (Kant, 1977). Based on this conception, he examines the possibility of pure mathematics and pure natural science as the prerequisite for man to gain knowledge and make judgment upon the nature that he experienced.

He focuses on to investigate the ability of the human reason, whether it has an ability to know the reality of the thing being perceived, or it observed with the fastened perceptions – like as the concept of space and time. He discusses further about the possibility of metaphysics, that he proposes his standpoint that metaphysical knowledge is only attained through the pure reason, not from sensible experiences, since the real metaphysical object is unattainable. Then he concludes with the determination of the limits of pure reason in his Prolegomena. The object of metaphysics, like as God and soul, are impossible to be known and not as they are.

At the very end, Kant can ask the final question, whether a science of metaphysics is possible. The answer to this is negative since we can have no experience of these metaphysical entities. They are merely products, indescribable, of pure reason itself. Metaphysics, then, rests in a very uncomfortable place after Kant has dealt with it. Souls, physical reality, and God have only a very slight and rather insignificant possibility as abstract “finishing concepts” whose entire meaning comes out of the phenomenal world that we experience.

In the book of *Islam and the Philosophy of Science* by Prof. Syed Naquib Al-Attas, there are some important similarities found between our position and that of modern, contemporary philosophy and science with regard to the sources and methods of knowledge; the unity of the rational and empirical ways of knowing; the combination of realism, idealism, and pragmatism as the cognitive foundation of a philosophy; the philosophy and science of process. But these similarities are apparent and pertain only to their external aspects, and they do not negate the profound differences that arise from our divergent worldviews and belief about the ultimate nature of Reality. Our affirmation of Revelation as the source of knowledge of ultimate reality and truth pertaining both to created things as well as to their Creator provides us with the foundation for a metaphysical framework in which to elaborate our philosophy of science as an integrated system descriptive of that reality and truth in a way which
is not open to the methods of the secular philosophic rationalism and philosophic empiricism of modern philosophy and science (Al-Attas, 1989).

In Islamic senses, a metaphysical science rooted in the intellect and revelation, in addition to a philosophy of nature based upon it could provide both criticism and evaluation of scientific discoveries and hypotheses. The two would be complementary in as much as the modern sciences deal with detailed knowledge and metaphysics with the ultimate knowledge of things. At the same time metaphysics, being independent of science, could examine its presuppositions and act as its independent critic and judge ².

The metaphysics of Islam as understood by Prof. Syed Muhammad Naquib al–Attas is a synthesis of ideas and theories that have been traditionally upheld by Muslim theologians (mutakallimūn), philosophers (Hukamā’ and Ṣufis (ahl al–Tasawwuf)). The elements and elaborations of this metaphysics are found scattered in his definitive commentaries on the two of the greatest scholars of the Malay world, Hamzah Fansuri (fl. circa. 1550–1600) (Al-Attas, 1970).

According to al-Attas rightly point out, pertaining the metaphysics of Islam:

“Our affirmation of revelation as the source of knowledge of ultimate reality and truth pertaining both to created things as well as to their Creator provides us with the foundation for metaphysical framework in which to elaborate our philosophy of science as an integrated system descriptive of that reality and truth in a way which is not open to the methods of the secular philosophic rationalism and philosophic empiricism of modern philosophy and science.

In the book of Laṭā’if al-Asrār li ahl Allah ātyār of Nur al-Dīn al-Rānirī (Uthman, 1997) is a work to clarify and to elucidate the right from the wrong interpretation of Sufi doctrines. This work is devoted to clarifying the fine and subtle aspects of spiritual mysteries or secret bestowed upon the Sufis at their attainment of illuminative knowledge (ma’rifah) of God and their experience of unification (tawhīd) as
they scale the different spiritual stations (maqāmāt) and states (ahwāl).

The Idea of Metaphysics in Raniri’s works is deals with ontology in briefly, and thus establishes the basis for his discussion on illuminative knowledge of God and affirmation of His Unity. Al-Al-Rānirī draws a clear relation between certainty, unification and illuminative knowledge, and the spiritual states by quoting Shaykh Raslan al-Dimashqi who commented on the saying by shaykh Junayd:

Certainty (yaqīn) is that you abandon your endeavour (upayamu), your power (kuasamu) and your (subjective) existence3 (wujudmu) such that you see (pandang) only His overwhelming sway (upaya-Nya), His power (quwwatNya), and His existence (WujudNya) over your weakness (lemahmu) and insufficiency (da'ifmu); then per chance, you will pass away (fana') from your subjective consciousness and your certainty (yaqīn) of the unicity (wahdaniyyah) of God Most Exalted will increase manifold. This is the rank of the Veracious (Ṣiddīqīn)4 (Uthman, 1997).

Al-Rānirī proceeds to elucidate on illuminative knowledge, Ma‘rifah. He begins with a statement, based on the saying of the holy Prophet, that God has made a requirement upon sentient beings, namely the Jinns and Humankind, to know Him (Ma‘rifah) because to know God is a requirement of religion (dīn). Hence, knowledge of God (ma‘rifah) consists of proper recognition and acknowledgement of Him which will, in turn, lead to willing submission (Islam) (Uthman, 1997).

That existence is real is instantiated by existent things (mawjudāt), or creation in general, and they, in turn, point to the reality of their Creator. Creation is originated and things originated are imbued with potentialities. What is potential derives its potentiality from something actual; hence the existence of originated things is dependent on existence which in itself is actual existence - that which is truly and really existing. God’s existence is an actuality which is not preceded by potentiality, hence, His existence is necessary (wājib), and self-subsisting.

Hence, Raniri’s affirmation of the existence of God. Ibn ‘Arabi makes the same statement concerning the method adopted by the Sufis in regard to the reality of existence. In his letter to Muhammad al-Razi, he says that the method of affirmation (ithbāt) is the method of the Sufis while the method of negation (Salb) is the method of the philosophers. He says, “intellec”ts come to) know God with respect to His Existence as existing (min haythu kawnuhu mawjudan) by negation (Salb) not by way of affirmation (lā min haythu ithbāt) (Uthman, 1997). The Sufis,

---

3. i.e. subjective consciousness.
4. Affirmation of Divine Unity
while not denying the validity of the method of negation, admit of another method in attaining to the knowledge of the Truth Most Exalted, namely contemplation (mushāhadah) and spiritual witnessing (mua’yanah) of God’s revelation (kashf). To be sure the two methods of arriving at the knowledge of God lead to two kinds of knowledge of God: one that is intellectually arrived at which can be considered as theological formulation of who God is based on proof of tradition, whereas the second is illuminative, the kind that bestowed upon by way gift from God. In order to receive illuminative knowledge (ma'rifah) through spiritual experience, according Ibn ‘Arabi, it is necessary for the intelligent man (al-‘Āqil) to empty his heart (an yakhla qalbahu) from deliberation (al-Fikr).

Knowledge of God (al-‘Ilm bi-Allah), says Ibn ‘Arabi, as obtained by spiritual witnessing (Mushāhadah). By Mushāhadah, the Sufis mean, to “actually know by direct experience and vision (shuhūd) the Reality and the Truth that is revealed to them (Al-Attas, 1986)”. The philosophers (hukamā’) and the theologians (mutakallimun) view existence (Wujūd) as an accident (‘ārid) which inheres in the Subtrata (ma’rudāt) of quiddities (mahiyyāt) and realities (haqā’iq). Since it is in their opinion that existence is an accident to quidity, absolute existence, meaning that existence which encompasses all (i.e. general existence), is only a construction of the mind, a concept. It exist only in the mind (wujūd dhihni) but not externally (wujūd khārijī). Contrary to this position which considers existence as a mere concept, the Sufis are of the view that existence is a reality. The reality of existence is the very substratum (ma’rud) in which accidents inhere and whatever that we perceive as individuals existing extramentaly are but existent thing that came into manifestation because of that Reality of Existence which goes through the process of individuation (ta’ayyun) and determination (taqayyud), manifesting itself in manifestation forms (sing, mazhar).

E. Conclusion

Metaphysics, deals in general with questions about the nature of reality. The Sufis took the same position as the philosophers and the theologians in affirming the reality of thing that constitute the world, and hence also the reality of the world, as established, in contradiction to the Sophists. But the Sufis attested further, in significant contrast to the philosophers and the theologians, that in addition to existence in the above sense, that is, as a pure concept, and based upon clear mystical revelation and true intuition founded upon the authority of the Holy Qur’an and the tradition as well as upon reason and experience.

Metaphysics would distinguish carefully distinguish between facts assembled diligently by scientist and hypotheses, many unproven, which are used to integrate these facts into some meaningful pattern. A total and complete science of things would be able to judge these hypotheses and their implications. It would stand as standard with respect to which modern science would be
compared and judged. Metaphysical intuition can occur anywhere but the effective realization of metaphysical
truth and its application to human life can only be achieved within a
revealed tradition which gives efficacy to certain symbols and rites
upon which metaphysics must rely for its realization. Wallahu a’lam
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