Introduction
Breast cancer continues to be the most common cancer diagnosed and the leading cause of cancer-related death in women worldwide. In North America it has been estimated that one woman out of nine will be diagnosed with breast cancer in her lifetime and that one in four among them will die from the disease [1]. As with other cancers, the combination of genetic and epigenetic alterations is fundamental in the genesis of breast cancer [2]. As the result of multiple alterations, mammary cancer progresses through multiple stages in a multistep process that drives normal breast cells into highly malignant cells. The resulting tumor cells can then invade through surrounding tissues and metastasize to distal organs, particularly to bones, brain, liver, and lungs [3].

Abstract
Consistent with their essential role in cell adhesion to the extracellular matrix, integrins and their associated signaling pathways have been shown to be involved in cell proliferation, migration, invasion and survival, processes required in both tumorigenesis and metastasis. β1-integrins represent the predominantly expressed integrins in mammary epithelial cells and have been proven crucial for mammary gland development and differentiation. Here we provide an overview of the studies that have used transgenic mouse models of mammary tumorigenesis to establish β1-integrin as a critical mediator of breast cancer progression and thereby as a potential therapeutic target for the development of new anticancer strategies.
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The majority of breast cancers are carcinomas of ductal origin since they arise from epithelial cells lining the mammary ducts. The pathogenesis involves an initial benign intraductal hyperplasia, which progresses through cellular atypia (atypical hyperplasia) and occlusion of the duct (ductal carcinoma in situ). This later step can be followed by locally invasive carcinoma and subsequent metastasis to secondary sites [4]. The acquired invasive properties include enhanced migratory behavior and the capacity of the tumor cells to breach the mammary basement membrane (BM), the dense matrix surrounding tumors and the blood vessel walls [5]. All of these processes involve dynamic adhesion of the tumor cell to extracellular matrices (ECMs) through integrins, a major family of α/β heterodimeric cell surface receptors [6].

The integrin family contains, in mammals, 18 α-subunits and eight β-subunits that form 24 distinct receptors with specific tissue distribution and that appear to have specific and nonredundant functions as shown by their specificity for ECM ligands and knockout mouse phenotypes [7]. The primary function of the integrin family is to mediate cell–cell and cell–matrix adhesion. Furthermore, the binding of ECM components such as fibronectin, laminin, proteoglycans and collagen to integrins leads to the recruitment of numerous adaptor and signaling proteins to the cytoplasmic tails of the integrin β-subunits, forming adhesion protein complexes [8] that initiate signaling cascades promoting cell polarity, motility, differentiation, proliferation and survival [9]. Accordingly, when overexpressed in tumor cells, integrins contribute to cancer progression and metastasis by increasing tumor cell migration, invasion, proliferation and survival as well as tumor angiogenesis [6,10].

Integrin heterodimers are present on the cell surface in different conformations that vary in their affinity for ligands depending on the nature of both the extracellular and the intracellular stimuli [11,12]. Indeed, integrins not only send signals to the cell in response to extracellular guidance cues, such as chemokines or growth factors – a process called “outside-in” signaling [12] – but they also respond to intracellular signals and alter the way they
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interact with the extracellular environment [13]. These intracellular signals can regulate integrin receptor adhesiveness by modulating the conformations and the degree of binding to ECM ligands, regulating, for instance, cell migration and growth [11]. This is generally referred to as “inside-out” signaling and notably involves crosstalk between receptor tyrosine kinase or G-protein-coupled receptor signaling pathways and integrins [14,15]. Another significant level of regulation of cell adhesion and migration on ECM protein ligands involves the modulation of integrin endocytosis and recycling to the plasma membrane [16].

In the present review we will focus on β1-integrins, which constitute the largest subgroup of integrins, since the β1-subunit can form heterodimers with the most different α-subunits (at least 12). After a description of its role in normal mammary gland development and its status in the pathological gland, we will emphasize the contribution of transgenic mouse models in highlighting how crucial β1-integrin appears to be for breast cancer progression.

**β1-integrin signaling and mammary gland development**

Whereas many subunits occur in only a single integrin heterodimer, the β1-subunit is found in 12 different integrins (Figure 1) that all bind to ECM protein ligands, namely collagen, laminin, fibronectin, tenascin C and vitronectin [17,18]. β1-integrins are expressed in a wide variety of tissues and different cell types throughout the body. They are critical in the induction and maintenance of cell differentiation and are involved in various physiological functions and in tissue homeostasis [17]. β1-integrin has thus been shown critical for cartilage and bone formation [19], skeletal muscle development [20,21], epidermis formation [22], development of the cerebral cortex [23] and angiogenesis [24]. Accordingly, knockout studies have revealed an essential role for β1-integrin in early development, its genetic deletion resulting in embryonic lethality shortly after implantation, between embryonic days 6.5 and 7.5 [25-27].

**β1-integrin signaling: a crucial role for the focal adhesion kinase axis**

β1-integrin has been shown to bind directly or indirectly via its cytoplasmic domain to a substantial number of proteins [8,28]. Since integrins lack enzymatic activity and an actin binding domain, they must bind to partners that will support cytoskeleton remodeling and activation of downstream signaling cascades pathways involved in, for example, cell proliferation. Some of these proteins, like talin, kindlins, filamin, α-actinin, tensin, integrin cytoplasmic domain-associated protein-1, integrin-linked kinase, 14-3-3β and CD98, couple β1-integrins to the actin cytoskeleton and/or are involved in β1-integrin-mediated cell adhesion and motility. Others, such as focal adhesion kinase (FAK), paxillin, receptor of activated kinase-1 or the Src family kinases yes and lyn, function as scaffolding and/or catalytic adaptors that allow activation of signaling pathways from adhesion sites to intracellular effectors [28-30].

Interestingly, some of these integrin-associated adaptors such as FAK, which is considered a central player in integrin-mediated signaling [31], show a crossover functionality. Integrin clustering elicits FAK activation and localization to focal adhesions. Two NXXY motifs present in the C-terminal of β1-integrin have been shown to be critical to its ability to recruit FAK and induce its autophosphorylation on Y925 [32]. FAK can then contribute to integrin signaling in different ways. As a tyrosine kinase, FAK phosphorylates substrates such as paxillin, a multidomain adaptor that can also be directly bound to β1-integrin [33]. However, paxillin can only become phosphorylated by FAK when it associates with the kinase through an interaction between its LD motifs and FAK’s carboxy terminal focal adhesion targeting domain [34,35].

Another role of FAK lies in its ability to form interactions with other signaling molecules and thus to act as a scaffold protein recruiting several adaptors to focal adhesion sites [31]. Indeed, FAK autophosphorylation at Y937 promotes recruitment of c-Src, which in turn can phosphorylate FAK on various tyrosine residues that act as docking sites for a number of SH2 domain-containing signaling adaptors, facilitating the activation of a diverse range of signaling pathways [36,37]. For instance, Grb2 binding to phosphorylated Y925 activates a signaling...
pathway to ERK regulating vascular endothelial growth factor expression [38], and p85-PI3K regulatory subunit binding to the initially phosphorylated Y397 induces AKT activation and promotes cell survival [39]. FAkin also contains proline-rich domains that mediate interactions with SH3 domain-containing proteins such as the multi-adaptor Crk-associated substrate (p130Cas) or the GTPase regulator associated with FAkin. Once phosphorylated by FAkin-recruited c-Src, p130Cas is essential, for example, to recruit the Crk-Dock180 complex and activate Rac, thereby inducing migration [40] or the activation of a Ras/Rac/Pak1/JNK survival pathway [41].

β1-integrin in the mammary gland

In the normal mammary gland, interaction between epithelial cells and with the underlying BM is required for structural and functional integrity of the ductal component of the gland. The breast ductal architecture is indeed comprised of a bilayer of luminal epithelial and basal myoepithelial cells, which remain separated from the stroma by the BM. The myoepithelial layer limits contact between luminal cells and the BM. In the alveoli (the milk-secreting units), however, luminal cells can directly interact with the BM between the processes of the stretched myoepithelial cells [18]. Integrins are predominantly expressed in the basal cell layer of the mammary epithelium in comparison with the luminal compartment. Owing to their direct interactions with ECM ligands, β1-integrins play a critical role in the maintenance of mammary tissue integrity [18]. In addition, they are essential for the control of cell survival and proliferation during mammary gland development as well as for mammary epithelial cell differentiation [42-44]. Moreover, β1-integrin expression has been revealed as necessary for maintenance of functional mammary stem cells, which are part of the basal compartment, allowing mammary morphogenesis and segregation of the two mammary ductal compartments [45,46]. Integrins expressed on breast epithelial cells include β1-integrin-containing and β4-integrin-containing heterodimers (Figure 2) [18,45,47]. α6β4-integrin has a role in maintaining epithelial integrity based on its ability to mediate formation of hemidesmosomes that link the intermediate cytoskellet filament network of the mammary cells to ECM laminins [48].

Mammary β1-integrins, consisting of α1β1, α2β1, α3β1, α5β1 and α6β1, present a more heterogeneous localization and role. They are present at sites of cell–ECM interaction both on myoepithelial cells and alveolar luminal cells. Luminal epithelial cells also express the α2β1, α3β1, and α6β1 heterodimers at cell–cell junctions, however, while α1β1 and α5β1 seem to be exclusively expressed in the myoepithelium (Figure 2) [18]. Although highest expression of the α5β1-integrin was found in myoepithelial cells, luminal cells in contact with the BM can also stain strongly for α5β1 [49]. An in vitro study suggests that β1-integrins can have a significant impact on hormonal control of mammary development by controlling, for example, expression of estrogen receptor α [50]. Reciprocally, ovarian steroids like estrogen and progesterone have been shown in vivo to regulate mammary gland expression of α5β1-integrin during puberty and sexual maturation [49].

In vivo evidence for a role of these integrins in mammary gland development came from studies using a gene deletion approach. Murine mammary glands formed from either α1-integrin-null, α3-integrin-null, α6-integrin-null or β4-integrin-null epithelia are thus fully developed and functional [51,52]. The only integrin subunits so far shown to be indispensable for appropriate mammary gland development are α2 and β1 [43,44,53]. Although fertile and capable of lactating, mice deficient in α2-integrin show a marked decrease in mammary ductal branching [53]. The conditional deletion of β1-integrin using targeted Cre recombinase expression in the luminal cell population after pubertal development and during later stages of differentiation (i.e., pregnancy and/or lactation) using β-lactoglobulin and whey acidic protein promoters, respectively, affects alveologenesis and lactation due to an inhibition of mammary epithelial cell proliferation and differentiation [43,44]. Moreover, the ablation of β1-integrin from the basal cell layer of the mammary epithelium using Cre under control of the keratin 5 promoter impairs ductal branching as well as lobuloalveolar morphogenesis in pregnancy, presumably because of disruption of the basal cell interactions with the ECM and disruption of stem cell renewal [46]. It is worth noting that in a model using the mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV) promoter-driven Cre recombinase, where Cre is exclusively expressed in mammary luminal epithelial cells from 3 weeks of age [46,54], ablation of β1-integrin expression does not interfere with the initial stages of mammary ductal outgrowth [55].
**β1-containing integrin receptors are involved in human breast cancer progression**

In the early 1990s several studies using human breast cancer samples revealed an aberrant expression of β1-integrin. Either assayed by immunohistochemistry on frozen sections [56-59] or by in situ hybridization techniques [60], β1-integrin expression has been found to be generally heterogeneous but also altered in 30 to 50% of breast cancers. This observation was confirmed in later investigations [61,62]. Many of these studies scored β1-integrin expression based on a comparison with staining seen in normal tissues (i.e., concentrated at the BM, in the myoepithelial layer), and therefore possibly inaccurately reported a decrease of or a loss of expression [56, 58,62]. Indeed, in invasive carcinomas this cell layer is most often absent and the expression of integrins on the surface of carcinoma cells is diffuse. This expression pattern may thus have led to the erroneous assumption that there is an overall decrease in β1-integrin [47]. From numerous in vitro studies using human breast cancer cell lines, however, it is obvious that β1-integrin is not only expressed but is required for proliferation, survival and invasiveness of the transformed cells [63-65]. Nevertheless, in this context, whether the regulation of β1-integrin overexpression is transcriptional, translational or post-translational is not known.

In the same way, studies to associate β1-integrin expression in breast cancer with patient survival gave contradictory conclusions. While a trend was seen towards poorer survival of patients with tumors with absent or weak expression of β1-integrin in a study of 55 cases [62], a lack of any difference in the survival curves for presence or absence of staining for β1-integrin was reported in another study involving 99 patients [61]. More recently, Yao and colleagues reported that an increased β1-integrin level, which was also linked to the level of its ligand fibronectin, was associated with significantly decreased 10-year overall survival and recurrence-free survival in a cohort of 149 patients with early-stage invasive breast cancer [66]. Interestingly, neither estrogen receptor expression nor progesterone receptor expression was significant with respect to β1-integrin overexpression or overall or disease-free survival [66]. In agreement with this, Lesniak and colleagues did not find any significant correlation between β1-integrin expression and prognostic factors such as estrogen receptor and progesterone receptor in a study involving 113 patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-positive metastatic breast cancer [67]. In contrast, a strong significant association was found between β1-integrin overexpression and short time to tumor progression in women treated with trastuzumab-based chemotherapy [67]. Studies reporting activation and elevated expression of β1-integrin signaling effectors in human breast cancers strengthen a potential role of this integrin as a prognostic marker. A large proportion of primary human breast cancers possess elevated FAK expression that is further correlated with malignant transformation and poor clinical outcome [68,69]. Paxillin expression was found to be correlated with HER2 overexpression in an immunohistochemistry study of 314 primary invasive breast carcinomas [70], and BCAR1/p130Cas protein levels were found to be inversely related with relapse-free survival and overall survival as assessed by ELISA on 2,593 primary breast tumor cytosols [71].

**Genetic ablation of β1-integrin in transgenic mice reveals a critical role in mammary tumor initiation, metastasis and dormancy**

Despite an ostensible role for β1-integrin in mammary gland development, the involvement of this particular integrin in breast cancer initiation and progression has only recently been demonstrated. This has been permitted by the use of engineered murine models of human breast cancers where β1-integrin expression has been specifically disrupted in the mammary luminal epithelium.

**β1-integrin in PyVmt-induced tumorigenesis**

The first study directly underlining an in vivo role for β1-integrin in mammary tumorogenesis was performed using the polyoma virus middle T antigen (PyVmt) mouse model of breast cancer [55]. A conditional β1-integrin allele (that is, LoxP1 flanked) was introduced into transgenic mice expressing the PyVmt oncogene and Cre both under the control of the MMTV promoter/enhancer [55]. The PyVmt mouse model of breast cancer is extensively used to study mammary tumorigenesis. This model recapitulates the stages of human disease since it evolves through four distinctly identifiable stages of tumor progression, from premalignant to invasive [72]. Aside from exhibiting a rapid onset and a high rate of metastasis to the lung, the MMTV-PyVmt mammary tumor model presents the advantage of reflecting the downstream signaling pathways triggered by the HER2 oncogene involving activation of critical cancer-related molecules such as c-Src, the p85-PI3K regulatory subunit or Ras [73].

Using targeted excision of β1-integrin in luminal epithelial cells where the PyVmt oncogene was expressed [55], we have shown that β1-integrin was absolutely required for the induction of mammary tumors. Indeed, all tumors that arose in the MMTV-Cre/MMTV-PyVmt;β1 LoxP1 animals were populated by cells retaining expression of β1-integrin and lacking Cre expression. This was proposed to be the result of a selective pressure against MMTV-Cre expression in mammary luminal epithelial cells undergoing PyVmt-driven transformation [55]. In addition, in vitro data using primary cultured...
MMTV-PyVmT; β1 LoxP1 tumor cells infected with Cre-expressing adenoviruses have shown that ablation of β1-integrin in mammary tumor cells is associated with a proliferative block and a disruption in FAK activation and subcellular localization [55]. Interestingly, although PyVmT tumor cells lacking β1-integrin were unable to proliferate, they failed to exhibit any evidence of apoptotic cell death. In fact, viable quiescent tumor cells lacking β1-integrin could be detected even 8 weeks after transplantation into cleared mammary fat pads of syngeneic recipient mice. The presence of β1-integrin-null tumor cells that are unable to proliferate but still retain viability exhibits a striking resemblance to the phenomenon of tumor dormancy [55, 74]. Overall, this work has provided evidence that β1-integrin expression is required for the initial stages as well as for the maintenance of advanced stages of PyVmT-induced mammary tumorigenesis.

Consistent with these analyses, four independent studies evaluating the loss of function of the β1-integrin signaling partner FAK in the MMTV-PyVmT mouse model have demonstrated a critical role for this kinase in initiation and/or progression of mammary tumorigenesis [54, 75-77]. At first, using an approach identical to the aforementioned, mammary epithelial disruption of FAK was demonstrated to result in a dramatic reduction in the proliferative potential of PyVmT-transformed cells without exhibiting evidence of enhanced apoptosis. Importantly, these results demonstrate that although FAK is dispensable for the initiation of mammary tumorigenesis, it is still required for the transition of premalignant hyperplasias to carcinomas and their subsequent metastases [54]. This dramatic result was subsequently confirmed by a number of independent laboratories [75-77]. One of these studies suggested that the block in PyVmT tumor progression was due to the reduction of the pool of cancer stem/progenitor cells [75]. Collectively, these studies argue that FAK plays a critical role in PyVmT-mediated tumorigenesis and support the in vivo data establishing β1-integrin as a crucial player in mammary tumor progression.

β1-integrin in ErbB2-induced tumorigenesis

Amplification and overexpression of ErbB2/HER2, a member of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) family, is observed in 25 to 30% of sporadic breast cancers and is inversely correlated with the survival of the patient [78]. Direct evidence indicating that ErbB2 acts as a mammary oncogene derives from initial studies of transgenic mice expressing an activated Neu (the rat homolog of ErbB2) under the transcriptional control of the MMTV promoter [79].

Recently, in the context of this mouse model, the role of β1-integrin in ErbB2-induced mammary tumor progression was investigated by utilizing the same conditional β1-integrin strain we previously crossed to the PyVmT model of breast cancer [55]. One complication encountered with the MMTV-Cre mouse line we initially used is the stochastic expression of the Cre transgene ranging from 50 to 80% of the luminal epithelial cells. Moreover, we estimated the overall proportion of Cre nonexpressing cells at approximately one-third [54, 55]. Cre-negative mammary epithelial cells thus retain expression of the conditional allele and are selectively targeted for oncogenic transformation [54, 55].

To circumvent the selective pressure against the Cre-positive/β1-negative cells undergoing transformation, we have crossed the conditional β1-integrin strain to the MMTV-NIC strain [80, 81]. These mice coexpress both an activated ErbB2 and the Cre recombinase from the same bicistronic transcript due to the presence of an internal ribosome entry site between the two cDNA sequences [80, 81]. Expression of activated ErbB2 and Cre is hence coupled within the luminal epithelial cell, precluding the possibility of obtaining ErbB2 onconeogenic-expressing/Cre-negative “escapee” transformed cells. In contrast to the complete block in PyVmT-induced tumorigenesis [55], mammary epithelial disruption of β1-integrin did not prevent ErbB2-mediated tumor induction [80]. Although a significant 33-day delay in tumor onset was observed, all of the mice developed mammary tumors histologically similar to their control counterparts [80]. Nevertheless, quantification of both the penetrance and the extent of lung metastasis in the β1-integrin-deficient mice revealed that loss of this integrin was associated with a twofold reduction in the number of mice that developed metastases and with a sixfold reduction in the average metastatic burden compared with β1-integrin-proficient controls. Therefore, although β1-integrin is not required for ErbB2-mediated mammary tumor initiation and progression, it does play a major role in the subsequent metastatic phase of tumorigenesis in the MMTV-Neu-NDL2-5 model [80].

Interestingly, examination of the β1-integrin-deficient mammary tumors revealed a reduction in both tumor cell survival and angiogenic infiltration. This later effect has been shown to be cell autonomous, as demonstrated by transplantation into the fat pads of immunodeficient mice and quantification of CD31-positive vessels [80]. Moreover, analysis of the activation state of numerous integrin-coupled signaling partners using phosphotyrosine-specific antibodies indicated that β1-integrin-deficient tumors exhibited a decrease in c-Src, FAK, p130Cas and paxillin phosphorylation, possibly indicating a defect in adhesion responsible for the reduced metastatic potential of Neu mammary tumor cells lacking β1-integrin [80]. Another striking molecular effect of β1-integrin deletion was a remarkable decrease in EGFR...
tyrosine phosphorylation in the β1-integrin-deficient tumors when compared with control samples [80]. Indeed, an important function of β1-integrin signaling is its capacity to transphosphorylate EGFR and to cooperate with this receptor in the control of cell proliferation, adhesion and migration [82]. β1-integrin–EGFR crosstalk and transactivation have also been implicated in the endocytic recycling of these two receptors. Particularly, the enhanced migration of human ovarian carcinoma cells has been shown to involve Rab-coupling protein-dependent recycling of α6β4-integrin and coordination of its trafficking with that of EGFR [83]. Furthermore, α5β1-integrin–EGFR interaction has been reported to contribute to the invasive phenotype of human prostate cancer cells through the formation of a urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor/α5β1-integrin/EGFR ternary complex [84]. The profound defect in metastasis observed in β1-integrin-deficient MMTV-Neu mice may thus be the result of altered recycling of EGFR and/or disruption of EGFR-coupled signaling pathways involved in cell invasion.

Finally, analyses revealed that the α6-integrin and β4-integrin subunits were downregulated upon disruption of β1-integrin [80]. α6β4-integrin has been associated with reduced survival and poor prognosis in breast cancer [85]. Moreover, in vitro its activation has been implicated in breast carcinoma progression [86]. Interestingly, antibody-mediated crosslinking of α6β4-integrin has been shown to induce breast carcinoma cell-surface clustering of EGFR and to promote Rho activation in response to EGF, suggesting that α6β4-integrin–EGFR crosstalk may facilitate tumor cell cytoskeletal rearrangements important for tumor progression [87]. Therefore, together with the reduced phosphorylation of several β1-integrin signaling partners, downregulation of both α6β4-integrin and EGFR presumably plays a decisive role in the impaired metastatic capacity of the β1-integrin-deleted mammary tumor cells. Moreover, the β4-integrin downregulation observed consequentially to β1-integrin conditional knockout is in agreement with in vivo analyses obtained on a MMTV-activated-Neu strain [88]. By introducing a targeted deletion of the β4-integrin signaling domain into a mouse model of ErbB2-induced mammary carcinoma, Guo and colleagues have shown that β4-integrin signaling is also critical for Neu-induced tumor progression [88]. In fact, the β4-integrin mutant transgenic mice presented phenotypes very similar to the conditional β1-integrin strain we developed. Loss of β4-integrin signaling inhibits mammary tumor onset and initial growth by decreasing cell proliferation and increasing apoptotic rates, and inhibits tumor invasion and metastasis to the lungs [88]. Overall, unlike the PyVnT model in which β1-integrin is required for tumor initiation, β1-integrin seems to be primarily involved in the metastatic phase of tumor progression in the context of ErbB2-induced transformation.

It is interesting to note that targeted disruption of the β1-integrin effector integrin-linked kinase in the same ErbB2 mouse model was recently demonstrated to be associated with complete loss of p130Cas and paxillin tyrosine phosphorylation, and with impaired Neu-induced tumor progression [89]. Moreover, by crossing MMTV-p130Cas and MMTV-Neu mice, Cabodi and colleagues generated double-transgenic mice with an accelerated onset of mammary tumor formation. The subsequent tumors exhibited increased activation of Src and Akt pathways, suggesting a role for the p130Cas adaptor as a positive regulator of both cell proliferation and cell survival induced by the Neu proto-oncogene [90]. These data further argue that β1-integrin – as opposed to β3-integrin and β5-integrin, which have been shown to be dispensable for Neu-induced tumor growth [91] – favors ErbB2-mediated tumor progression.

**β1-integrin as a potential therapeutic target**

Given the accumulation of in vivo evidence, it is tempting to claim that β1-integrin shows interesting therapeutic potential as a target for the treatment of human breast cancer. However, as pointed out earlier, because of conflicting studies [61,62,66], expression of the β1-subunit alone cannot be clearly accepted as a useful prognostic indicator in patients with breast cancer. Although inhibitory antibodies directed against β1-integrin (notably clone AIIB2) have already been shown to be effective in reverting the tumorigenic phenotype of breast cancer cell lines [64,65], strategies to target the β1-subunit alone cannot be clearly accepted as a useful prognostic indicator in patients with breast cancer. Although inhibitory antibodies directed against β1-integrin (notably clone AIIB2) have already been shown to be effective in reverting the tumorigenic phenotype of breast cancer cell lines [64,65], strategies to target the β1-subunit alone cannot be clearly accepted as a useful prognostic indicator in patients with breast cancer. Although inhibitory antibodies directed against β1-integrin (notably clone AIIB2) have already been shown to be effective in reverting the tumorigenic phenotype of breast cancer cell lines [64,65], strategies to target the β1-subunit alone cannot be clearly accepted as a useful prognostic indicator in patients with breast cancer.
have shown that disruption of the α2β1-integrin enhanced tumor cell migration, intravasation and anchorage-independent growth [92]. Moreover, analysis of gene expression profiling data established a correlation between loss of α2β1-integrin expression and metastasis and decreased survival in breast cancer patients [92]. Deleting the α2-integrin subunit, hence precluding α2β1-integrin heterodimer expression, has thus revealed antagonistic effects in Neu-driven mammary cancer progression compared with deleting the β1-subunit and thus all of the β1 heterodimers. Understanding the role and influence of each specific heterodimer in mammary tumorigenesis might be essential to develop a more selective therapeutic approach. Although this constitutes a major challenge, the diversity of β1-integrin heterodimers in mammary tissue still provides great opportunities for drug development [10,94].

For example, strategies developed against the α5β1-integrin enhanced tumor cell migration, intravasation and anchorage-independent growth [92]. Moreover, analysis of gene expression profiling data established a correlation between loss of α2β1-integrin expression and metastasis and decreased survival in breast cancer patients [92]. Deleting the α2-integrin subunit, hence precluding α2β1-integrin heterodimer expression, has thus revealed antagonistic effects in Neu-driven mammary cancer progression compared with deleting the β1-subunit and thus all of the β1 heterodimers. Understanding the role and influence of each specific heterodimer in mammary tumorigenesis might be essential to develop a more selective therapeutic approach. Although this constitutes a major challenge, the diversity of β1-integrin heterodimers in mammary tissue still provides great opportunities for drug development [10,94].

For example, strategies developed against the α5β1-integrin, an integrin expressed on the basal surface of myoepithelial mammary ductal cells, led to the development of a rat-anti-mouse blocking antibody able to inhibit the growth of established tumors in multiple xenograft models [95]. Volociximab, another blocking antibody against α5β1-integrin that is currently in multiple phase II clinical trials, including as monotherapy in patients with platinum-resistant advanced epithelial ovarian cancer or primary peritoneal cancer [96], was found to significantly inhibit tumor growth when administered intravenously in the rabbit VX2 carcinoma model [97]. ATN-161, an integrin-binding peptide inhibitor that is currently in phase II trials in cancer patients, represents another α5β1 therapeutic strategy [98]. In a MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cell xenograft model, treatment with ATN-161 caused a significant decrease in both tumor growth and metastasis [99]. Furthermore, the combination of ATN-161 and fluorouracil reduced colorectal liver metastases and improved survival in mice compared with either therapeutic alone [100]. Other inhibitors targeting β1-integrins have been developed, particularly nonpeptide inhibitors of α4β1-integrin, and are in phase II clinical trials as candidate drugs for asthma, multiple sclerosis, or ulcerative colitis [94]. It would be interesting to test these drugs in preclinical models of breast cancer.

Another attractive aspect of targeting β1-integrins comes from the fact that their inhibition can potentiate efficiency of existing treatments (Table 1). By combining the β1-integrin blocking antibody A1IB2 and trastuzumab in HER-2-positive cells known to be sensitive to trastuzumab (SKBR-3 and BT-474) or resistant to trastuzumab (JIMT-1), Lesniak and colleagues observed that concomitant inhibition of β1-integrin and HER-2 synergistically increased the cytotoxic or antiproliferative effects of trastuzumab and preferentially suppressed the survival or growth of HER-2-positive cells overexpressing β1-integrin [67]. Also, A1IB2 has been shown to synergize with ionizing radiation to significantly decrease AKT-mediated ionizing radiation resistance of breast cancer cell lines. In vivo, addition of A1IB2 after ionizing radiation significantly enhanced tumor growth inhibition and apoptosis compared with either treatment alone in a breast cancer xenograft model [101,102]. These data provide great expectations of enhanced radiation therapy in breast cancer.

Table 1. β1-integrin inhibitors currently in preclinical or clinical development for cancer therapy

| Drug or treatment | Type of therapeutic agent | Stage | Target | Tumor model | Reference |
|------------------|---------------------------|-------|--------|-------------|-----------|
| Clone AllB2      | Rat IgG, mAb              | Preclinical | β1-subunit | MCF-7 human breast cancer xenograft | [64]      |
| Clone AllB2 + ionizing radiation | Rat IgG, mAb + radiotherapy | Preclinical | β1-subunit | MCF-7 human breast cancer xenograft | [102]    |
| Clone 339.1      | Rat IgG, mAb              | Preclinical | α5β1    | SVR murine angiosarcoma and A673 human rhabdomyosarcoma xenografts | [95]      |
| Volociximab      | Chimeric (82% human/18% murine) IgG, mAb | Preclinical | α5β1    | Rabbit VX2 carcinoma model | [97]      |
| ATN-161          | Small peptide antagonist  | Preclinical | α5β1    | MDA-MB-231 human breast xenograft and skeletal metastasis | [99]      |
| ATN-161 + 5-fluorouracil | Small peptide antagonist + chemotherapy | Preclinical | α5β1    | Lewis lung adenocarcinoma model | [98]      |
|                 |                           | Phase I     | α5β1    | Patients with advanced solid malignancies | [105]     |
|                 |                           | Phase II    | α5β1    | Patients with platinum-resistant advanced epithelial ovarian cancer or primary peritoneal cancer | [96]      |
|                 |                           | Preclinical | α5β1    | Patients with advanced solid malignancies | [106]     |
|                 |                           | Phase I     | α5β1    | CT26 murine colorectal liver metastasis | [100]     |

mAb, monoclonal antibody.
Conclusions

Taken together, the above data reveal that β1-integrins (as well as some of their signaling partners) may represent attractive therapeutic targets for the treatment of primary breast cancer. The use of transgenic mouse models of breast cancer (that is, MMTV-PyVmT and MMTV-activated ErbB2) has provided direct in vivo evidence for the importance of β1-integrins in mammary tumor progression. Notwithstanding, one may have reservations about the models utilized [4,73]. Indeed, one limitation of these transgenic mouse models is that expression of the oncogene is driven by a strong viral promoter. Not only does MMTV drive high levels of transgene expression, but it integrates totally randomly into the mouse genome [79,103]. The use of the ErbB2 knockin model [79,104], where the Cre-inducible expression of activated ErbB2 is under the transcriptional control of the endogenous ErbB2 promoter, would provide even more representative insights into the role of β1-integrin in breast cancer progression. Remarkably, this unique model presents aspects very similar to the human pathology: amplification of erbB2 and histological features of non-invasive ductal carcinoma in situ [73]. Nonetheless, the in vivo data so far collected highlight the crucial role of β1-integrin in mammary tumor progression, in particular in its metastatic phase, and are of great clinical interest, given that metastasis still represents the leading cause of death in breast cancer patients.
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