Research Article

Attitudes of undergraduate nursing students towards violence against women and their occupational roles in addressing violence

Gul Pinar1* and Ebru Pinar2

1Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health Science, Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University, Turkey
2Department of Psychology, Atlanta Georgia State University, USA

Abstract

Aim: This study was performed in order to determine the attitudes of nursing students towards violence against women (VAW) and their occupational roles in addressing violence.

Method: This descriptive study was conducted on nursing students (n=108) at a State University in Ankara, Turkey. 1) Personal information form, 2) The attitudes towards VAW, and 3) The attitudes towards occupational role VAW scale were used for data collection. In data evaluation, frequencies, percentage, mean, standard deviation, t-test for independent samples and one-way analysis of variance tests were used.

Results: The mean age of the students was 20.13±1.17 (min: 18, max: 26). It was determined that the mean of the VAW attitudes of the students is 74.15±12.01. The attitudes of the students were found far from the modern view. Female students were closer to the conservative view (p<0.05). The mean of attitude of the students related to the occupational role is 70.24±9.30. The students were did not have a tendency for supportive occupation roles towards VAW. Students’ age, parents’ education and profession, income level, and residence were not found to influence the attitude towards VAW and their occupational roles in addressing violence (p>0.05).

Conclusion: As a result of this study, non-contemporary attitudes and disapprove occupational roles towards VAW are still a major problem among nursing students. The nursing students should be educated in terms of awareness and knowledge towards VAW.

Introduction

Violence Against Women (VAW) is a violation of fundamental human rights and freedom and is a public health problem that arises as a result of historically unequal power relations between men and women to achieve legal, social, political, and economic equality. VAW is based on gender stereotypes and it is perpetrated against women in most cases as compared to those against men [1-3]. Recent studies have shown that women who experience violence are at a higher risk of all social, financial, mental, sexual and physical health issues such as death, serious injury, sexually transmitted disease, acute or chronic pain, headache, drug-alcohol abuse, fractures, menstrual irregularity, sexual abuse, drug-alcohol abuse, criminal activity, rape, perinatal complications, unwanted pregnancy, miscarriage, low birth weight, sexually transmitted disease, conversion disorders, social isolation, insomnia, post-traumatic stress syndrome, somatization, fear, anxiety, depression, bullying, attempt suicide, maltreatment, acute and chronic poor health outcomes that require medical attention [4-9].

A population-based study across 161 countries (2018) reported that worldwide, nearly 1 in 3 women (around 8000 million) have been victim to violence by an intimate partner or non-partner in their lifetime (15% west regions -71% east regions). According to this report, it has been estimated that VAW causes a greater health problem than traffic accidents and other diseases [3]. In addition, United Nations (UN) stated...
that the cost of VAW is 1.16 billion dollars in Canada, 5.8 billion dollars in the USA, and 11.38 billion dollars in Australia [2]. Currently, the women’s movements have an important role in enhancing attention to the severity of the problems of VAW and to ensuring elimination its incidence by building the evidence and knowledge base for primary prevention, especially with reference to groups of women particularly of vulnerable to violence such as with disabilities, refugee or migrant women, elderly or female children women, women living rural area, women in detention, and destitute women or orphan status. Many countries have adopted specific laws to cope with VAW and they started to physical, social, physiological, and legal support services for women who experience violence. Currently, experiences have indicated that it is easier to change the law than to change practices and beliefs. Despite all progress, VAW remains an endemic problem in the world. Therefore, specific efforts are required to address for minimizing of VAW by the government and non-government sectors at multiple levels of a community [10–13].

Health institutions are an important sector in the screening and management of women who are victims of violence. A policy guideline for health providers regarding appropriate care and an elaborated curriculum towards survivors of violence was developed by the WHO [3]. All health providers, especially nurses, are often the first contact for violence victims and they have opportunities to prevent violence by informing and screening. Women who are exposed to violence can comfortably share their problems with the nurses. Nurses are increasingly likely to encounter victims of violence and many forms of evidence. For this reason, nurses’ attitudes and behaviors towards VAW may have an intense impact on the protection of victims. It is also essential to raise the awareness of nursing students about VAW and to eliminate their deficiencies before they start their practice. Briefly, nurses play an important role in the early identification, prevention, and management of VAW for victims [5,7,14,15]. Unfortunately, previous studies have demonstrated that nurses and nursing students did not have adequate knowledge and awareness of the determining features of VAW [11,16–18].

**Purpose**

The purpose of this study was to assess the attitudes of nursing students towards VAW and their professional roles in addressing violence.

**Materials and methods**

**Study type, population, sample, and setting**

This descriptive study was conducted in 2014. The population was composed of the students recruited to the Nursing Department of State University in Ankara, Turkey (N=112). The 108 students who volunteered were included in the final sample. The students in the third year were chosen as the sample since they have just taken the Women’s Health course.

**Eligibility criteria and ethical consideration**

Eligibility criteria for nursing students were: (a) can read and write in Turkish, (b) agree to participate in the research, and (c) the students in the third year. Students who fill in the questions incompletely will be excluded from the study. Permission was obtained from the Board of University to conduct the study. Rules specified in The Helsinki Declaration were observed in the data collection phase.

**Data collection**

**In the collection of data:** 1) Questionnaire Form (QF), Attitude Towards Violence Scale (AVS), Attitude Regarding Occupational Roles in Violence Scale (AORVS) and were used. The pre-test of the research was carried out on 10 students and it was determined that the questions in the data collection tools were understandable. All forms were distributed to the students by the researchers in the classroom. The filled forms were collected as participants completed answering them. It took approximately 20 minutes to fill out the forms.

**QF:** This form was prepared by the researchers after the literature review. There are a total of 9 questions concerning students' age, gender, mother's education, father's education, mother's and father's profession, place of residence, income status, and their views towards VAW in the nursing curriculum.

**AVS:** This scale was used to evaluate the attitudes of nursing students towards VAW, which was developed by Gombul [19] in Turkey. This Likert type scale consists of 19 items on five-points; 1- strongly disagree, 2-disagree, 3-neither agree nor disagree, 4-agree, and 5- strongly agree. This scale has four subscales for: 1) economic violence (mean:21, min:7, max:35), 2) emotional, psychological, and sexual violence (mean:18, min:6, max:30), 3) legitimizing myths (mean:9, min:3, max:15), and 4) explanatory myths (mean:6, min:2, max:10). The mean score of the scale is 57 (min: 19, max: 95). High scores from the scales reflect an increase in traditional attitudes towards violence, while the low scores reflect an increased contemporary perspective. Cronbach’s alpha was 0.75.

**AORVS:** This scale was used to examine the attitudes of students regarding occupational roles in violence, which was developed by Gombul [19] in Turkey. The scale consists of 15 items and each item is scored between 1 and 5 on a five-point Likert scale. (min:15, max:75). The scale has four subscales to evaluate 1) the supportive initiatives of the health personnel (mean: 18, min: 6, max:30), 2) to continue the marriage under all conditions (mean:12, min:4, max:20), 3) to end the marriage union (mean: 6, min: 2, max:10) and 4) to evaluate the negative view of the role of the health personnel (mean:9, min:3, max:15). The mean score of the scale is 45. High scores from the scales reflect an increase in traditional attitudes towards violence, while the low scores reflect an increased contemporary perspective. Cronbach’s alpha was 0.72.

**Data analysis**

The analyses were undertaken in the Statistical Package for Social Sciences 21.0 (SPSS) package software. Descriptive statistics were evaluated using the number, percentage, mean and standard deviation, with a 95% confidence interval (95% CI). One-way ANOVA test was utilized for the comparison of the
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scale scores as per the variables under more than two categories. The independent samples t-test was used for the scale scores having shown a normal dispersion through the comparison of the scores as per the variables under two categories. Tukey-HSD test was used to determine which means amongst a set of means differ from the others. The significance level was p<0.05.

Results

The mean age of the students was 20.13±1.17 (min:18, max:26). 79.6% of the students were female, 20.4% of the students were male, and 78.7% of the students were at 20–24 years. 62.9% of their mother’s education level was a primary school and 44.0% of their father’s education level was a primary school. The mothers of the majority of the students (73.2%) were housewives, and the fathers of 73.2% were employed. The place where 77.7% of the students lived for a long time was in the urban area, and 67.9% of the students had a medium income (Table 1). In addition, the majority of students (85.4%) stated that VAW should be included in the nursing curriculum.

The mean score for AVS was 74.15±12.01 (min: 20, max: 95). When the distribution of the AVS subscale scores was analyzed, it was determined that the mean economic violence score was 26.15±5.31, the mean emotional, psychological and sexual violence score was 18.24±4.32, the mean score for legitimating myths was 12.16±3.01, and the mean for explanatory myths was 10.15±2.21. The high mean scores of the scale indicated that the students agreed with more traditional attitudes towards VAW (Table 2).

The students’ mean AORVS score was 65.24±9.30 (min: 20, max: 90). It was determined that this ratio was higher than the mean score of the original scale (mean= 45). When the distribution of AORVS subscale mean scores is examined; the mean score for supportive nursing interventions was 25.11±5.36, the mean score for maintaining the marriage under all conditions was 13.10±3.39, the mean score for ending the marriage was 10.12±1.11, and the mean score for the negative view of the nurse’s role regarding violence was 11.23±2.84. According to this result, nursing students did not seem much positive towards their occupational roles on VAW, especially a supportive force in the resolution of violence (Table 3).

There was a statistically significant relationship between the mean scores of AVS of the students and gender (p<0.05). While the mean AVS score was 57.12±11.00 for male students, it was 75.23±8.13 for female students. Accordingly, it can be said that male students have a more modern view towards VAW. When the students’ mean AVS scores were compared according to their father’s profession, it was found that there was a statistically significant difference between the groups. It was found that the group whose father was retired (65.10±12.83) had a more contemporary attitude towards violence than those who were employed (71.11±8.10). However, this difference was not statistically significant (p>0.05). In addition, there was no statistically significant difference between the mean scores of AVS according to age, residence, income status, education and profession status of the parents (p>0.05) (Table 4). There was a statistical relationship between the mean scores of AORVS of the students and gender (p<0.05). While the mean AORVS score was 53.95±9.10 for male students, it was determined as 60.12±9.40 for female students. It was determined that there was no statistically significant difference between the mean scores of AORVS according to the age, residence, income, profession and education level of the parents of the students (p>0.05) (Table 5).

---

**Table 1:** Socio-demographic characteristics of students (n=108).

| Characteristics          | N   | %   |
|--------------------------|-----|-----|
| Age; 20.13±1.17 (min:18, max:26) |
| Gender                   |     |     |
| Female                   | 86  | 79.6|
| Male                     | 22  | 20.4|
| Age                      |     |     |
| 20-24                    | 85  | 78.7|
| ≥ 25                     | 23  | 21.3|
| Mother’s education       |     |     |
| Primary school           | 68  | 62.9|
| High-school              | 34  | 31.4|
| ≥ University             | 6   | 5.7 |
| Father’s education       |     |     |
| Primary school           | 44  | 44.0|
| High-school              | 36  | 37.5|
| ≥ University             | 28  | 18.5|
| Mother’s profession      |     |     |
| Employed                 | 29  | 26.8|
| Housewife                | 79  | 73.2|
| Father’s profession      |     |     |
| Employed                 | 79  | 73.2|
| Retired                  | 29  | 26.8|
| Residence                |     |     |
| Urban                    | 84  | 77.7|
| Rural                    | 24  | 22.3|
| Income                   |     |     |
| Poor                     | 34  | 31.4|
| Medium                   | 62  | 57.4|
| High                     | 12  | 11.2|
| Total                    | 108 | 100.0|

**Table 2:** AVS and subscales scores of students.

| AVS subscales                  | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
|-------------------------------|-----|-----|------|----|
| Economic                      | 7   | 35  | 26.15| 5.31|
| Emotional-psychological-sexual| 6   | 25  | 18.24| 4.32|
| Legitimizing myths            | 3   | 20  | 12.16| 3.01|
| Explanatory myths             | 4   | 15  | 10.15| 2.21|
| Total                         | 20  | 95  | 74.15| 12.01|

**Table 3:** AORVS and subscales scores of students.

| AORVS subscales                | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
|-------------------------------|-----|-----|------|----|
| Supportive nursing interventions| 8   | 30  | 25.11| 5.36|
| Continue the marriage under all conditions | 5  | 30  | 13.10| 3.39|
| End the marriage union         | 4   | 15  | 10.12| 1.11|
| Negative view of the role of nurses | 3  | 15  | 11.23| 2.84|
| Total                         | 20  | 90  | 65.24| 9.30|

---
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One-way variance analysis

Table 5: AVORS scores of socio-demographic characteristics of students.

| Characteristics | Meant±SD | Min | Max | Analysis |
|-----------------|----------|-----|-----|----------|
| Gender*         |          |     |     |          |
| Female          | 75.23±8.13 | 25  | 84  | t=-9.312 \( p=0.00 \) |
| Male            | 57.12±1.100 | 52  | 94  |          |
| Age*            |          |     |     |          |
| 20-24           | 75.19±11.01 | 33  | 86  | t=3.210 \( p=0.562 \) |
| ≥ 25            | 73.12±1.03 | 55  | 94  |          |
| Mother’s education** |          |     |     |          |
| Primary school  | 73.52±11.91 | 42  | 94  | F=0.354 \( p=0.661 \) |
| High school     | 75.09±9.60 | 49  | 94  |          |
| ≥ University    | 75.16±8.12 | 56  | 85  |          |
| Father’s education** |          |     |     |          |
| Primary school  | 72.91±11.00 | 45  | 94  | F=4.05 \( p=0.689 \) |
| High school     | 74.16±10.01 | 42  | 93  |          |
| ≥ University    | 75.32±9.51 | 55  | 94  |          |
| Mother’s profession** |          |     |     |          |
| Employed        | 79.57±4.65 | 74  | 86  | F=1.321 \( p=0.332 \) |
| Housewife       | 75.55±1.13 | 25  | 95  |          |
| Father’s profession** |          |     |     |          |
| Employed        | 71.11±8.10 | 55  | 93  | F=3.015 \( p=0.055 \) |
| Retired         | 65.10±12.83 | 55  | 94  |          |

*Independent t test
**One-way variance analysis

Indeed, undergraduate nursing students’ training is the most convenient period to promote appropriate knowledge, skills, and positive attitudes as well as their advocacy roles required to fight VAW [2,5,7,14,15,18,20]. In the present study, it is a remarkable finding that the majority of the students (73.8%) stated that the subject of VAW should be comprehensively included in the curriculum. However, students did not have a contemporary view in reacting to violence (Table 2). In the studies conducted by Pinar & Pinar [21], Bessette and Peterson [21], Doran & Hutchinson [14], Bozkurt, et al. [17] and Kyak & Akın [22] the majority of the students and nurses reported a disapproving attitude about VAW. In addition, Karabulutlu (2015) [23], Sabancıgülü et al. et al. [24], Aktaş [25], and Yılmaz & Öz [26] stated that the attitudes of nursing students towards VAW were more negative. These studies support our study results. The reason for this may be that violence is a learned behavior. Family views towards VAW can be influenced on individuals’ attitudes toward violence. In many societies, VAW is often justified as a private family matter. Many barriers to collaboration are based on these misperceptions [9,18,26].

Contrary to the findings of our study, some studies showed more positive attitude towards VAW than our results. In the studies of Hegarty [12], Pınar & Sabuncuoglu [19], Yılmaz & Yüksel [27] and Gombul [28], the attitudes of nurses’ towards VAW seem to be in the direction of rejecting non-contemporary tendencies.

The present study demonstrated that students’ attitudes towards their occupational supportive roles in violence also had a traditional tendency (Table 3). It is thought that the students still maintain their traditional attitudes due to their family structure. The cyclic nature of violence is emphasized by its transmission to generations come to, where accepting attitudes of gender inequalities still remain. In addition, the fact that the subject of violence against women in the Women’s Health course consisted of only two hours in their curricula may have affected this result. In the study of Bozkurt, et al. [17], the majority of the students (95.2%) stated that the health personnel was responsible for VAW, similarly, in the study of Tunçel, et al. [29], 68.9% of the students emphasized the importance of the role of the health workers in VAW. Other studies are parallel to our study; in the study of Sen, et al. [30], only 20% of nurses accepted the role of health workers in preventing violence. A study carried out on nursing students in Israel showed that they were not motivated to evaluate women in their practice for VAW, they were also limited information to recognize the sign of violence. In the same study, the authors stated that nurses support the scale statements stating that the nurse does not have time to spare for the woman who has been subjected to violence and that there is nothing the nurse can do about it [16]. In the study of Saeed. et al. [31] on the subject; it has been determined that health professionals have traditional attitudes regarding gendered role expectations that are culturally adapted and do not prevent violence against women. According to several studies, most health providers are still not routinely screening cases for VAW due to fear of offending patients, lack of appropriate training or confidence, lack of skills in responding to violence disclosures, lack of time for optimal care because of heavy workloads, lack of

Discussion

Nurses are in a key position in the provision of medical care, caregiving, advocating, supporting, and counseling services for individuals and their families. It is expected that nurses can establish a closer relationship with women who are experienced violence. Unfortunately, most women are not recognized due to nurses’ unhelpful attitude towards VAW. Therefore, the physical and emotional signs of violence have not been completely identified among nurses despite education sessions.

Table 4: AVS scores of socio-demographic characteristics of students.

| Characteristics | Meant±SD | Min | Max | Analysis |
|-----------------|----------|-----|-----|----------|
| Gender*         |          |     |     |          |
| Female          | 60.12±9.40 | 44  | 75  | t=8.55 \( p=0.00 \) |
| Male            | 53.95±9.10 | 25  | 64  |          |
| Age*            |          |     |     |          |
| 20-24           | 65.88±9.22 | 40  | 78  | t=9.10 \( p=0.211 \) |
| ≥ 25            | 63.11±8.13 | 44  | 74  |          |
| Mother’s education** |          |     |     |          |
| Primary school  | 60.00±7.07 | 40  | 75  | F=0.722 \( p=0.781 \) |
| ≥ University    | 62.29±9.67 | 40  | 77  |          |
| Father’s education** |          |     |     |          |
| Primary school  | 59.48±7.32 | 40  | 70  | F=0.854 \( p=0.125 \) |
| ≥ University    | 63.06±6.21 | 45  | 75  |          |
| Mother’s profession** |          |     |     |          |
| Employed        | 64.43±7.97 | 45  | 70  | F=1.696 \( p=0.510 \) |
| Housewife       | 60.44±7.51 | 45  | 74  |          |
| Father’s profession** |          |     |     |          |
| Employed        | 60.71±8.60 | 44  | 75  | F=3.429 \( p=0.060 \) |
| Retired         | 56.83±7.78 | 40  | 70  |          |

*Independent t test
**One-way variance analysis

Discussion

Nurses are in a key position in the provision of medical care, caregiving, advocating, supporting, and counseling services for individuals and their families. It is expected that nurses can establish a closer relationship with women who are experienced violence. Unfortunately, most women are not recognized due to nurses’ unhelpful attitude towards VAW. Therefore, the physical and emotional signs of violence have not been completely identified among nurses despite education sessions.
funding to improve new strategy, and lack of system-based scrutiny to identify victims of abuse [15,17,32]. In the light of previous literature, VAW is increasing dramatically in society and many cases of violence remain unnoticed within the health sector due to lack of awareness [6,27,33]. The mission of action plan to fight VAW around the world is to increase community awareness and to eliminate health providers’ negative beliefs and attitudes towards VAW. This action plan also includes redesigned existing health education curricula and updating current programs due to shortage of content in the curriculum relevant to VAW at multiple levels. Specialized training strategies are required to reduce the gap between theory and practice [3]. Rodriguez et al. [34] used innovative high-fidelity simulation models to improve nursing students’ skills and knowledge on holistic nursing care such as active listening, counseling, problem solving, communication skill, anxiety control, empathy, and generating self-confidence towards VAW.

In the present study, it was determined that there was a statistically significant relationship between the AVS/AVORS score average and the gender of the students (p<0.05), (Table 4). Female students were more traditional attitudes towards VAW. The high number of female students in our study may have an effect on this result. Also, this situation can be associated with being brought up in an environment where violence is supported, legitimizing violence or becoming desensitized to violence. Similarly, in the study of Sakalli-Uğurlu and Ulu [35], the men displayed more tolerant attitudes towards VAW. Contrary to our findings, Sabancögüllari, et al. [24], Doran & Hutchinson [14], Ergüneri, et al. [36], Saeed-Ali, et al [31], Basar, et al. [20], Yilmaz & Yüksel [28], and Rodriguez, et al. [34] found that men were more likely to have patriarchal attitudes towards VAW [37].

Conclusions and recommendations

As a result of this study, most of the students’ attitudes towards VAW were traditional approaches, especially common among female students. They did not have a realistic view of their role in violence management. Therefore, the nursing curriculum should be designed to help the development of students’ attitude, knowledge and skills required to respond in an appropriate manner by using effective learning strategies such as lectures, seminars, interactive simulation modalities, workshops, peer education, and role-play for students before starting professional life. Health educators should be strongly encouraged to find ways further collaborative activities for nursing students to gain practical experiences of dealing with VAW. Also, qualitative in-depth studies should be performed to explore the causes of students’ attitudes towards VAW.

Limitation

In this research, since there were no fourth-year students at the school, only third-year students were included. It is based on students’ self-reports and a certain group of students was studied. We cannot generalize the views of the students in this study to all Turkish students.
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33. Pinar G, Taskin L, Eroglu K (2008) Baskent universitesi öğrencileri̇n kadınȧ Yönelik cinsel iilddet konusundaki̇ bilgi̇ ve tutumlari̇. Hacettepe Üniversitesi Saglik Bilimleri̇ Fakültesi Hemsirelik Dergisi 15: 47-57. Link: https://bit.ly/34jRKpm

34. Rodriguez DJ, Belmonte Garcia MT, Santillán García A, Plaza Del Pino FJ, Ponce-Valencia A, et al (2020) Nurse Training in Gender-Based Violence Using Simulated Nursing Video Consultations during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Qualitative Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health 17: 8654. Link: https://bit.ly/3eYkWUX

35. Sakalli-Ugurlu N, Ulu S (2003) Attitudes towards violence against women in marriage: the effects of ambivalence sexism, age, education and income levels. Turkish Psychological Review 6: 53-65.

36. Er Guneri S (2016) Determination of university students attitudes towards violence against women. Türkiye Klinikleri J Obstet Womens Health Dis Nurs-Special Topics 2: 49-56.

37. Hewitt LN (2015) Intimate partner violence: The role of nurses in protection of patients. Crit Care Nurs Clin North Am 27: 271-275. Link: https://bit.ly/3q0tDEH