ON THE REDUCTION OF BIASES IN BIG DATA SETS FOR THE DETECTION OF IRREGULAR POWER USAGE
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In machine learning, a bias occurs whenever training sets are not representative for the test data, which results in unreliable models. The most common biases in data are arguably class imbalance and covariate shift. In this work, we aim to shed light on this topic in order to increase the overall attention to this issue in the field of machine learning. We propose a scalable novel framework for reducing multiple biases in high-dimensional data sets in order to train more reliable predictors. We apply our methodology to the detection of irregular power usage from real, noisy industrial data. In emerging markets, irregular power usage, and electricity theft in particular, may range up to 40% of the total electricity distributed. Biased data sets are of particular issue in this domain. We show that reducing these biases increases the accuracy of the trained predictors. Our models have the potential to generate significant economic value in a real world application, as they are being deployed in a commercial software for the detection of irregular power usage.
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1. Introduction

The contemporary Big Data paradigm can be summarized as follows: “It’s not who has the best algorithm that wins. It’s who has the most data.”¹
However, in many cases, increasing the amounts of data is not a panacea since it can be biased: One frequently appearing bias results in training data and test data having different distributions, as depicted in Fig. 1. Learning from such training data leads to unreliable predictors that are not able to generalize to the test data. In the literature, this sort of bias is called covariate shift, sampling bias or sample selection bias. Covariate shift has been recognized as an issue in statistics since the mid-20th century.\textsuperscript{2} In contrast, it has received only a limited attention in machine learning, mainly within the computational learning theory subfield, yet the situation is currently evolving.\textsuperscript{3,4}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{covariate_shift.png}
\caption{Example of covariate shift: training and test data having different distributions.}
\end{figure}

Non-technical losses (NTL) appear in power grids during distribution and include, but are not limited, to the following causes: meter tampering in order to record lower consumptions, bypassing meters by rigging lines from the power source, arranged false meter readings by bribing meter readers or faulty or broken meters. NTL are more common in emerging countries, where electricity theft is the main contributor. NTL are reported to range up to 40% of the total electricity distributed in countries such as Brazil, India, Malaysia or Pakistan.\textsuperscript{5,6} NTL are the source of major concerns for the electricity providers including financial losses and a decrease of stability and reliability in power grids. It is therefore crucial to detect customers that cause NTL. Recent research on NTL detection mainly uses machine learning models that learn anomalous behavior from customer data and known irregular behavior that was reported through on-site inspection results. In order to detect NTL more accurately, one may assume that having simply more customer and inspection data would help. We have previously shown that in many cases, the set of inspected customers is biased.\textsuperscript{2} A reason for
that is, past inspections have been largely focused on certain criteria and were not sufficiently spread across the population.

This paper builds on top of our previous contributions and aims at bias reduction in data, and further at more generalizable NTL predictors. Its main contributions are:

- We present a framework for reducing biases in data, such as class imbalance and covariate shift, in particular for spatial data.
- We propose a scalable novel methodology for reducing multiple biases in high-dimensional data sets at the same time.
- We report on how our method performs on the detection of NTL. Our method leads to a better detection of anomalous customers, subsequently reduces losses of electricity providers and thus increases stability and reliability of power distribution infrastructure.

2. Background and Related Work

In supervised learning, training examples \((x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})\) are drawn from a training distribution \(P_{\text{train}}(X, Y)\), where \(X\) denotes the data and \(Y\) the label, respectively. The training set is biased if \(P_{\text{train}}(X, Y) \neq P_{\text{test}}(X, Y)\). In order to reduce the bias, it has been shown that example \((x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})\) can be weighted during training as follows: \(w_i = \frac{P_{\text{test}}(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})}{P_{\text{train}}(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})}\). However, computing \(P_{\text{train}}(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})\) is impractical because of the limited amount of data in the training domain. It is for that reason that in the literature, predominantly two different types of biases are discussed: class imbalance and covariate shift. Class imbalance refers to the case where classes are unequally represented in the data. Therefore, we assume \(P_{\text{train}}(X|Y) = P_{\text{test}}(X|Y)\), but \(P_{\text{train}}(Y) \neq P_{\text{test}}(Y)\).\(^7\) In contrast, for covariate shift, we assume \(P_{\text{train}}(Y|X) = P_{\text{test}}(Y|X)\), but \(P_{\text{train}}(X) \neq P_{\text{test}}(X)\).\(^8\) Instance weighting using density estimation has been proposed for correcting for covariate shift.\(^3\) Furthermore, the Heckman method has been proposed to correct for covariate shift.\(^10\) However, the Heckman method only applies to linear regression models. Other biases are reported in the literature, for example for change of functional relations, i.e. when \(P_{\text{train}}(Y|X) \neq P_{\text{test}}(Y|X)\), or biases created by transforming the feature space.\(^7\)

3. Reduction of Biases

We propose the following methodology: Given the assumptions made for class imbalance, we compute the corresponding weight for exam-
example $i$ having a label of class $k$ as follows: $w_{i,k} = \frac{P_{test}(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})}{P_{train}(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}_k)}$. We use the empirical counts of classes for computing $P_{dist}(y^{(i)}_k)$. Given the assumptions made for covariate shift, we compute the corresponding weight for the bias in feature $k$ of example $i$ as follows: $w_{i,k} = \frac{P_{test}(x^{(i)}_k, y^{(i)})}{P_{train}(x^{(i)}_k, y^{(i)}_k)} = \frac{P_{test}(y^{(i)}_k | x^{(i)}_k)}{P_{test}(x^{(i)}_k)}$.

We use density estimation for computing $P_{dist}(x^{(i)}_k)$.

There may be a variety of biases in a learning problem that are far more than just class imbalance and covariate shift on a single dimension. We have shown previously that there may be multiple types of covariate shift, for example spatial covariate shifts on different hierarchical levels. There may be also covariate shifts for other master data, such as for the customer class or for the contract status. We now aim to correct for $n$ different biases at a same time, e.g. for class imbalance as well as different types of covariate shift. As $x^{(i)}$ has potentially many dimensions with a considerable covariate shift, computing the joint $P_{dist}(x^{(i)})$ becomes impractical for an increasing number of dimensions. We propose a uniformed and scalable solution to combine weights for correcting for the $n$ different biases, comprising for example of class imbalance and different types of covariate shift. The corresponding weights per bias of an example are $w_{i,1}, w_{i,2}, ..., w_{i,n}$. The example weight $w_i$ is the harmonic mean of the weights of the biases considered is computed as follows:

$$w_i = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{w_{i,1}} + \frac{1}{w_{i,2}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{w_{i,n}}} = \frac{n}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{i,k}}.$$  

(1)

As the different $w_{i,k}$ are computed from noisy, real-world data, special care needs to be paid to outliers. Outliers can potentially lead to very large values $w_{i,k}$ for the density estimation proposed above. It is for that reason that we choose the harmonic mean, as it allows to penalizes extreme values and give preference to smaller values.

4. Evaluation

The experimental evaluation model builds on top of the foundations laid in our previous work. Concretely, the data used in this paper comes from an electricity provider in Brazil, from which we retain $M = 150,700$ customers for whom we have a complete time series of 24 monthly meter readings before the most recent inspection. From each time series, we compute 237...
features comprising generic time series features, daily average features and difference features. We previously found a random forest (RF) classifier to perform the best on this data compared to decision tree, gradient boosted tree and support vector machine classifiers. It is for this reason that in the following experiments, we only train RF classifiers. Similar to our previous work, when training a RF, we perform model selection and cross-validation. Due to lack of space, the reader is referred to our previous work\textsuperscript{12} for a detailed description of the experimental setting.

We have previously shown that the location and class of customers have the strongest covariate shift.\textsuperscript{2} When reducing these, we first compute the weights for the class imbalance, the spatial covariate shift and customer class covariate shift, respectively, as defined in Sec. 3. For covariate shift, we use randomized grid search for a model selection of the density estimator that is composed of the kernel type and kernel bandwidth. The complete list of parameters and considered values is depicted in Table 1.

| Parameter       | Values                                      |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Kernel          | \{gaussian, tophat, epanechnikov, exponential, linear, cosine\} |
| Bandwidth       | [0.001, 10] (log space)                     |

Next, we use Eq. 1 to combine these weights step by step. For each step, we report the test performance of the NTL classifier in Table 2. It clearly shows that the larger the number of addressed biases, the higher the reliability of the learned predictor.

| Biases reduced                                           | AUC       |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| None                                                     | 0.59535   |
| Class imbalance                                          | 0.64445   |
| Class imbalance + spatial covariate shift                | 0.71431   |
| Class imbalance + spatial covariate shift + customer class covariate shift | 0.73980   |

\textit{Note:} We use the area under the receiver-operating curve (AUC) metric. It is particularly useful for NTL detection, as it allows to handle imbalanced datasets and puts correct and incorrect inspection results in relation to each other.\textsuperscript{5} AUC denotes the mean test AUC of the 10 folds of cross-validation for the best model.
5. Conclusions and Future Work

Biases appear in many real-world applications of machine learning and refer to the training data not being representative for the test data. The most common biases are class imbalance and covariate shift. In this work, we proposed a scalable model for reducing multiple biases in high-dimensional data at the same time. We applied our methodology to a real-world, noisy data set on irregular power usage. Our model leads to more reliable predictors, thus allowing to better detect customers that have an irregular power usage. Next, we aim to evaluate our methodology on other data sets, to derive models that reduce hierarchical spatial biases and to handpick a unbiased test set as ground truth for evaluation.
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