A reporter model to visualize imprinting stability at the Dlk1 locus during mouse development and in pluripotent cells
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ABSTRACT
Genomic imprinting results in the monoallelic expression of genes that encode important regulators of growth and proliferation. Dysregulation of imprinted genes, such as those within the Dlk1-Dio3 locus, is associated with developmental syndromes and specific diseases. Our ability to interrogate causes of imprinting instability has been hindered by the absence of suitable model systems. Here, we describe a Dlk1 knock-in reporter mouse that enables single-cell visualization of allele-specific expression and prospective isolation of cells, simultaneously. We show that this ‘imprinting reporter mouse’ can be used to detect tissue-specific Dlk1 expression patterns in developing embryos. We also apply this system to pluripotent cell culture and demonstrate that it faithfully indicates DNA methylation changes induced upon cellular reprogramming. Finally, the reporter system reveals the role of elevated oxygen levels in eroding imprinted Dlk1 expression during prolonged culture and in vitro differentiation. The possibility to study allele-specific expression in different contexts makes our reporter system a useful tool to dissect the regulation of genomic imprinting in normal development and disease.
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INTRODUCTION
More than 100 mammalian genes are expressed in a predominantly monoallelic fashion in a paradigmatic epigenetic event referred to as genomic imprinting (Bartolomei and Ferguson-Smith, 2011). Imprinted genes, such as those within the commonly studied Dlk1-Dio3 gene cluster, are regulated by gender-specific DNA methylation marks at imprinting control regions (ICRs) (Duffie and Bourc’his, 2013; Sanli and Feil, 2015). Failure to preserve allele-specific imprinted gene expression, such as by the acquisition of hypermethylation at the ICR (referred to as loss-of-imprinting or LOI), can have detrimental developmental consequences and is a hallmark of cancer (Peters, 2014). The factors that contribute to the epigenetic instability of imprinted genes remain largely elusive, partly because of the absence of suitable model systems. At present, imprinting is typically studied by assessing DNA methylation levels or nucleotide polymorphisms in imprinted transcripts. These tools, however, are restricted to either bulk populations (Babak et al., 2015; Hammoud et al., 2013) and/or retrospective analysis (Ginart et al., 2016). Dlk1 is a paternally expressed protein-coding gene within Dlk1-Dio3 (da Rocha et al., 2008) that regulates fetal growth. We and others have previously shown that the ICR controlling Dlk1-Dio3, called the intergenic differentially methylated region (IG-DMR), frequently becomes hypermethylated in a context-dependent manner during in vitro reprogramming of somatic cells (Carey et al., 2011; Stadtfeld et al., 2010). This yields induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) with LOI and upregulation of Dlk1 that is indicative of expression from both alleles without paternal bias (Stadtfeld et al., 2010). Together, these observations suggest that the insertion of fluorescent reporter genes into the endogenous Dlk1 locus might enable novel approaches to study imprinted gene expression in living cells and tissues.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A reporter model for allele-specific expression of Dlk1
To generate a mouse reporter system for imprinted Dlk1 expression, we inserted the coding sequence for the green/yellow fluorescent protein Venus or the red fluorescent protein tdTomato (Tomato), into the three prime untranslated region (3′UTR) of endogenous Dlk1 (Fig. 1A). In accordance with widespread Dlk1 expression at perinatal stages (da Rocha et al., 2007), embryonic day (E)16.5 mice that had inherited reporter alleles from the father exhibited strong fluorescence (Fig. 1B). Reporter gene expression from the maternal allele was greatly diminished but detectable above background levels (Fig. 1B). The brightness of the paternal reporter allele allowed direct visualization of Dlk1 expression in living pups. This revealed expression in the growing limbs and the trunk until postnatal day (P)2, when it rapidly declined and became nearly undetectable by P4 (Fig. 1C). These findings are in accordance with previous studies (Lui et al., 2008), indicating that our reporter model recapitulates expression hallmarks of Dlk1.

Identification of organ-specific expression patterns at the single-cell level
We next sought to test whether the dual-reporter system could provide insight into allele-specific Dlk1 expression during development. Therefore, we analyzed E16.5 lung, skin and liver, representing tissues for which a developmental function of Dlk1 has been reported (Driskell et al., 2013; Tanimizu et al., 2003; Weng et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2008). Flow cytometric analysis revealed strong paternal reporter expression and detectable levels of maternal expression in some cells (Fig. 2A). The number of such bi-allelic cells ranged from rare (~4% of Dlk1+ cells) in lung, to predominant (~95%) in liver (Fig. 2A,B). Importantly, the reporter insertions did not alter the expression levels of Dlk1 or the reciprocally expressed Gtl2 gene in the tissues analyzed (Fig. S1). Of note, while the reporter alleles revealed relatively homogenous expression profiles...
in liver and lung, two distinct populations could be defined based on allele-specific *Dlk1* expression in skin: one with paternal and one with bi-allelic expression (Fig. 2A). This expression dichotomy has not previously been identified (Driskell et al., 2013) and suggests that the dual reporter could aid in the identification of novel cell populations. Comparison of reporter activity in B6 background and B6×129 F1 mice revealed similar expression patterns, but subtle differences in the abundance of expressing cells, suggesting that the model might be useful to study strain-specific aspects of *Dlk1* regulation (Fig. S2).

We did not observe cells expressing only maternal *Dlk1* within the analyzed tissues (Fig. 2A) and the intensity of the maternal reporter in bi-allelic cells remained comparatively weak in both reporter configurations (Fig. 2C; Fig. S3). Quantification of fluorescence intensity suggested that maternal expression levels in the skin and liver range between 7 and 14% of total *Dlk1* expression within the bi-allelic cell populations (Fig. 2D). This observation suggests relaxation of imprinting (ROI), defined by incomplete silencing of the maternal allele, rather than LOI, as the paternal expression bias is still apparent. In support of this conclusion, we did not detect elevated levels of DNA methylation at the IG-DMR in skin or liver cells isolated based on maternal *Dlk1* expression (Fig. 2E). Overall, the pattern of *Dlk1* reporter activity is consistent with studies that report maternal expression in liver but fail to detect it in lung (da Rocha et al., 2007; Sato et al., 2011). Analysis of allele-specific *Dlk1* expression by quantitative PCR in whole tissue confirmed that the activity of the maternal allele is not altered by the reporter insertion (Fig. S4). These results suggest that the *Dlk1* reporter model allows sensitive and reliable detection of tissue-specific expression patterns.

### Detection of loss of imprinting upon cellular reprogramming

Next, we set out to test whether the reporter system can faithfully detect instances of bona fide LOI. For this, we took advantage of the observation that reprogramming of murine fibroblasts by the
transcription factors, Oct4, Klf4, Sox2 and Myc (together referred to as OKSM), frequently yields induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) with DNA hypermethylation of the IG-DMR and upregulation of Dlk1 (Liu et al., 2010; Stadtfeld et al., 2010). This abnormality results in reduced developmental potential but can be prevented by addition of ascorbic acid (AA) to the reprogramming medium (Stadtfeld et al., 2012). We therefore anticipated that reprogramming of Dlk1 reporter fibroblasts in basal conditions would predominantly yield cells with equal paternal and maternal expression, while reprogramming in the presence of AA would yield cells with paternal-only or bi-allelic with low maternal expression ‘MOI’ cells (see Fig. 3B). In agreement with this categorization, iPSCs with a high degree of LOI expression showed strongly elevated levels of DNA methylation at the IG-DMR (Fig. 3E; Fig. S5).

These observations suggest that the Dlk1 reporters accurately reflect changes in imprinting status during reprogramming. We also evaluated Dlk1 imprinting in iPSCs derived by combined modulation of TGFβ and Wnt signaling in the presence of AA (referred to as ‘3c’ conditions) (Vidal et al., 2014), which increases reprogramming efficiencies 10- to 20-fold (Fig. 3D). We found that differentiation of iPSCs derived in 3c mirrored the results obtained in AA conditions, with the majority of cells exhibiting normal DNA methylation levels and MOI expression of Dlk1 (Fig. 3E; Figs S5,S6). This suggests that this highly efficient reprogramming condition might provide a tractable method to study factors that contribute to imprinting maintenance.

**Modulation of allele-specific Dlk1 expression by oxygen levels during iPSC culture and differentiation**

Despite the striking difference in maternal reporter expression between cells derived in basal and 3c conditions, we noticed that differentiation of AA and 3c iPSCs frequently yielded a small subset of LOI cells (Fig. 3B). Such cells were rare when differentiating freshly generated iPSCs but became more frequent with iPSCs at higher passage, along with a number of cells with paternal-only
expression (Fig. 4A). All in vitro experiments described thus far were conducted in standard culture conditions, including 20% oxygen levels. In light of the reported impact of molecular oxygen on epigenetic processes in pluripotent cells, including imprinting (Xie et al., 2014) and X-chromosome inactivation (Lengner et al., 2010), we tested the impact of oxygen concentration on allele-specific $Dlk1$ expression. Taking advantage of the 3c system, which enables analysis at earlier passage, we compared iPSCs that were expanded and differentiated in atmospheric (20%) and physiological (4%) oxygen levels. We observed no significant difference with early passage iPSCs, but a gradual increase in cells with LOI and maternal-only reporter expression in 20% oxygen conditions at higher passage (Fig. 4B,C). In contrast, predominantly MOI expression was maintained in 4% oxygen (Fig. 4B,C). When cells expanded in 20% oxygen were differentiated in 4% oxygen, the frequency of cells with LOI and maternal-only expression remained low, while their numbers strongly increased in the reverse conditions (expansion in 4%, followed by differentiation in 20% oxygen) (Fig. 4C; Fig. S7). This indicates an unexpected susceptibility of the maternal $Dlk1$ allele to become reactivated upon prolonged expansion and differentiation of iPSCs at elevated oxygen levels. Because imprint dysregulation has been recognized as a concern for the quality of pluripotent cell lines (Greenberg and Bourc’his, 2015), we anticipate that the $Dlk1$ reporter system will be useful in optimizing stem cell derivation and culture conditions.

In summary, we have developed a reporter model that serves as a sensitive indicator for allele-specific expression of $Dlk1$ and allows prospective isolation of even small subsets of cells with paternal, bi-allelic, LOI or maternal-only expression patterns. Our observations are consistent with tight control of $Dlk1$ imprinting during early developmental stages in the tissues analyzed, but suggest frequent erosion during in vitro manipulations. The system described here should therefore provide a novel means to systematically study $Dlk1$-$Dio3$ regulation in diseased tissues, pluripotent cell culture and during development (Fig. 4E). This should aid in refining our understanding of the molecular processes involved in the establishment and maintenance of imprinting.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Transgenic mice**

All animals were on a B6 background, unless otherwise indicated. For reprogramming experiments, $Dlk1$ reporter mice were crossed with animals carrying an inducible OKSM transgene (Stadtfeld et al., 2010). $Dlk1$ reporter mice were generated as described in the supplementary Materials.
and Methods. All animal experiments were done in accordance with the guidelines of the NYU School of Medicine IACUC.

**Cell culture and reprogramming**

Pluripotent cell culture and MEF reprogramming was conducted using previously described procedures (Vidal et al., 2014) with modifications as described in the supplementary Materials and Methods. Cells were reprogrammed for 12 days (basal conditions), 10 days (AA) or 6 days (3c) in the same oxygen condition in which they were later expanded (4% or 20%).

**Cell differentiation and flow cytometry**

Trypsinized iPSCs were pre-plated for 30 min to remove feeder cells and seeded onto gelatinized plates at a density of 30,000 cells/cm². The next day,
fibroblast medium with 0.4 μg/ml retinoic acid was added, followed by daily media changes and imaging using a Nikon Eclipse TiE inverted microscope with filters to detect Venus (excitation, 500/20 nm; emission, 535/30 nm) and Tomato (excitation, 545/30 nm; emission, 620/60 nm). For quantification of Dlk1 reporter expression, dissociated cultures were acquired on an LSRII cytometer (BD Biosciences) and analyzed with FlowJo software (Tree Star).

Tissue isolation and reporter detection
Mouse embryos isolated at E16.5 were imaged using a Nikon SMZ1500 Stereo Fluorescence Microscope. For flow cytometry, isolated tissues were incubated for 30 min at 37°C in 0.25% trypsin. During this time, tissues were dissociated using progressively smaller pipet tips (1000 μl to 200 μl), followed by analysis on an LSRII or sorting on a FACSAria (BD Biosciences).

DNA methylation analysis
Genomic DNA was isolated using proteinase K in lysis buffer, pH 8 (100 mM Tris-HCl, 5 mM EDTA, 0.2% SDS, 200 mM NaCl) and reconstituted in TE buffer, pH 7.5 (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA). DNA pyrosequencing and next-generation bisulfite sequencing was conducted using the ADS1452 assay, which covers the IG-DMR (chr.12:109,528,253-109,528,471 in mm10) (EpigenDX).

Gene expression analysis
RNA isolated using the miRNeasy kit (Qiagen) was used for cDNA preparation with the Transcriptor HIFI cDNA synthesis kit (Roche). Samples were run on a LightCycler 480 Real-Time PCR System (Roche). Relative allele-specific expression was assessed using qRT-PCR with primers as described in the supplementary Materials and Methods.
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