R-tree data structure implementation for Computer Aided Engineering (CAE) tools
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Abstract. Searching and handling geometric data are basic requirements of any Computer Aided Engineering application (CAE). Spatial search and local search has greater importance in CAD and CAE applications for reducing the model preparation time. There are many efficient algorithms being made to search geometrical data. Current neighbour search strategy is limited and not efficient in different CAE platforms. R-tree is tree data structure used for spatial access methods. This paper presents a review of R-tree data structure with its implementation in one of the CAE tool for neighbour search and local search. It satisfies current neighbour search requirements in CAE tools. Results shows considerable amount of time saving compared to the conventional approach. This work concludes that R-tree implementation can be helpful in identifying neighbour part and reducing model preparation time in CAD and CAE tools.
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1 Introduction

Finite element model preparation is one of the major time consuming and one of the important phase in the product development process, which includes geometric simplification, meshing and model connections. Mid-surface extraction and model connections are top two priority tasks in finite element modelling process. Dong-Pyoung Sheen and Tae-geun Son (2008) developed algorithm for mid-surface extraction [1]. In their algorithm to identify nearest pair face they used distance criteria which will not give exact near face. Most of the existing mid-surface algorithm require efficient near search to reduce searching time. Figure 1 shows neighbour search requirement in mid-surface extraction.

Different trials are performed in ANSA CAE1 pre-processing application for extracting mid-surface which shows current search process is not efficient and having limitations. Current process takes more time to identify nearest pair faces.

As shown in Figure 2 as number of faces are increased, the time grows exponentially. It indicates the searching is not efficient. For large model it is time consuming and does not work. For large assembly the model fails to give results.

* e-mail: vaibhavshelar100@gmail.com
1 ANSA 15.2.1, which is a preprocessor from BETA CAE Systems, was used to generate all the results.

Neighbour search is not only required in mid-surface extraction but also in model connections. Searching particular part in whole assembly and making manual connection is laborious. While creating model connections, neighbour search is essential. If one part is selected another parts which is nearest to selected part should get searched to facilitate the model connections. Model connections can be automated easily if one part is given as input and nearest part should get easily searched (Fig. 3).

These problems can be handled efficiently if nearest neighbour points, faces and surfaces are correctly identified. If all geometric data is well organised then it will quickly search the required entities. A perfect data structure needs to be implemented. There are basically three data structure available to organise data.

– R-Trees
– K-D Trees
– Octree.

Guttman (1984) proposed R-tree to handle geometrical data, such as points, line segments, surfaces, volumes and hyper-volumes in high-dimensional spaces [2]. R-trees can handle range and topological queries. He further concluded that, R-trees are also used to handle the queries related to directions. Roussopoulos (1995) introduced to handle the problem of answering k nearest-neighbour (NN) queries using R-trees [3]. Manolopoulos (2013) has explained...
briefly query processing for Range, topological, directional and nearest neighbour queries [4]. The basic requirements for efficient searching is to handle multidimensional data. Mamoulis and Wong (2013) have done survey on multidimensional access methods [5]. R trees can handle multidimensional data efficiently.

They concluded that, each data structure has its pros and cons depending on their applications [5]. K-D Trees are not suitable for high dimensional space [6]. Patel (2013) did comparison of advanced data structures [7]. He concluded that, octree data structure is usually unbalanced. R-tree data structure is always balanced [8]. It indicates R-trees
has wide applications due to its more efficient access. R-tree approach is suitable for mentioned problems as it fulfills current search requirements.

2 Methods

R-Trees can organize any-dimensional data by representing the data by a minimum bounding box. Each node bounds its children. Leaf nodes of the R-tree contain entries of the form (RECT; oid) where ‘oid’ is an object-identifier and is used as pointer to a data object and RECT is an n-dimensional Minimal Bounding Rectangle (MBR) which bounds the corresponding object. For example, in a 2-dimensional space, an entry RECT will be of the form (x low; x high; y low; y high) which represents the coordinates of the lower-left and upper-right corner of the rectangle. This work makes an effort to use R-Tree for efficient search (Fig. 4).

As an example a full car assembly is taken and as shown in Figure 5 which contain more complex geometric data. It shows 2-D representation of R-tree methodology for full car assembly.

Full car assembly contain large number of parts and contain more geometric data. If user wants to search tire part in whole assembly. R-tree is built by rectangles for all parts in assembly. Query rectangle is formed as R16 which contain tire part. To query R-tree, starting at the root, examine each child node, and check if it intersect or contained by the rectangle being queried for. Here query rectangle is contained by R2. So it will recurs into the R2 only. R2 has child nodes R5 and R6. Again query rectangle R16 is contained by R6. At leaf node, it will check each entry to see if it intersects or contain with the query area, and return it if it does. Final search result will be R16 which will show the desired tire part. Similarly it can be implemented for other geometrical and elemental data.

Fig. 4. R-tree algorithm.
3 Results and discussions

Most of the CAE tools supports programming interpreter to develop tools. ANSA Beta is one of the powerful and advance pre-processing tool used in automotive industry for finite element model preparation. ANSA Beta supports Python language to enhance their tool. R-tree algorithm is implemented using Python language in ANSA Beta. Martin et al. (1988) showed how objects can be put into boxes [8]. As discussed in methodology section R-Tree is implemented using bounding boxes as shown in Figure 6.

Script is developed using Python interpreter and results are tested on various assembly. As shown in Figure 7 script is tested firstly on small crankshaft assembly. Input for search is given as piston part then nearest part crankshaft is highlighted correctly with this approach.

It was interesting to test the developed R-tree script on large assembly. As shown in Figure 8 when input for the large model is given as wheel rim, it has searched correctly the first neighbour part disc which is highlighted by red boundary. Now it is very easy to find exact near part or other features with this script which was time consuming task with the conventional process.

Fig. 5. R-Tree representation for full car assembly.

Fig. 6. R-Tree implementation for full car assembly.
It is very useful in model connections to identify nearest part to facilitate the connections. Figure 9 shows finite element model input of seat assembly. Side frames require bolted connections between them. To automate this connections with the script, it is essential to identify nearest frame for the given input frame, so that connections between them can be automated. When input is given as one side frame the other nearest frame is highlighted automatically as shown in Figure 9.

As stated earlier the main requirement was to handle large assembly models with an efficient search. Time study is performed by plotting the graph between number of parts and time to search near part. Figure 2 indicates that as number of parts increases, time taken to search neighbour part is increasing exponentially. It indicates that conventional search approach is not suitable for large assembly. For large models it does not work.
Developed neighbour search script was run on different models with increasing number of parts to measure the time. Figure 10 shows time study with newly implemented R-tree approach.

Figure 10 indicates that as number of parts increases, time taken to search neighbour part is also increases initially and then become almost constant. For large models the searching time is almost constant after some threshold. This indicates, it is capable of handling large assembly.

The comparison between Figures 2 and 10 shows, conventional search approach is less effective than implemented R-tree search algorithm. The new approach has implemented and tested for mentioned problems successfully.

4 Conclusion

R-Tree data structure is implemented in one of the CAE tool successfully. Implementation of R-tree has tested from small model assembly to large complex assembly. Comparison of plotted graphs indicates, implemented process is efficient. Developed neighbour search script can be implemented not only in automatic connections and mid surface generation but also other algorithms which require nearest neighbour search. R-tree can be implemented in other CAD and CAE tools to boost the searching process with better results.

The authors would like to thank RNTBCI for having given opportunity for the post graduate student of VIT Chennai, India Mr. Vaibhav to do internship at their facility.
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