Valve Vacuum Suction Control in Neurosurgery

Manuel Dujovny¹*, Onyekachi Ibe², Erin Morency³, Channan Kositzke⁴, Pablo Sosa⁵ and Fabian Cremaschi⁵

¹Department of Neurosurgery, Wayne State University, USA
²College of Engineering & Information Science, DeVry University, USA
³Beaumont Hospital Troy, Oakland Medical School of Nursing, USA
⁴Western Michigan University, USA
⁵Department of Neuroscience, Clinical and Surgical Neurology, University of Cuyo, Argentina

*Corresponding author: Manuel Dujovny, Department of Neurosurgery, Wayne State University, USA, 733 Bagley Dr, Grand Rapids, MI, 49506, USA, Tel: (616)202-7743; Email: manueledujovny@hotmail.com

Submission: April 27, 2018; Published: May 14, 2018

Abstract

Suction vacuum control in neurosurgery can be recognized as a contributor to neurosurgical complications. The objective of this article is to highlight physical suction valve vacuum systems and to analyze the valve control system. The suction valve systems reviewed include the total partial block, the orifice bent, and the piston coil. This article provides a brief fluid suction control history as well as a synapse of kinetic theory and its implications. Neurosurgeons who experience increased suction control flow manipulation benefit from increased positive patient outcomes.
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Introduction

Central nervous system bleeding and homeostasis control have been challenging to the neurosurgeon from the beginning of this newer specialty. Surgical technique, such as clamping or ligature, cannot be performed successfully in the central nervous tissue. The use of a suction cannula, created by Yankaur and Frazier at the beginning of 1900’s, was quickly associated with surgical treatment of central nervous system diseases as another surgical specialty [1]. Frazier developed a surgical suction with fluid flow which became a significant contribution to many neurosurgical procedures, where zero suction is never reached.

The rubber bulb syringe was originally used for suction and irrigation. This rubber bulb syringe was modified with the insertion of a metallic angle tipped cannula by Adson with different sizes. Following the introduction of the suction pump and hospital suction compressor, the rubber bulb syringe became obsolete and was used for irrigation only [2].

At the beginning of the second decade of the 1900’s, brain suction was introduced by Cushing with the removal of a brain tumor, which replaced the surgical technique of resection of the tumor with scissors, which had a severe mortality and morbidity rate [3]. This new technique of vacuum pressure tissue maceration, associated with the valve syringe irrigation, changed the fate of the brain tumor resection. It was discovered very early that the vacuum suction in neurosurgical procedures induced brain bleeding. The development of numerous neurosurgical techniques around the 1930’s and the introduction of improved diagnostics for cerebral angiography by Agas Moniz and cerebral aneurysm clip helped minimize these complications [4-6].

Treatment of cerebral aneurysms is complicated and involves surgical intervention, but has proven to be a success with the use of neurosurgical vacuum suction equipment and the knowledge of microanatomical structures [7-9]. Surgical interventions include dissection of the dome, neck, removal of intracerebral hematoma and main artery with severe bleeding due to aneurysm rupture or tear in the aneurysm wall during dissection [10]. Lacerations of the perforating arteries in the surgical field need suction to minimize fluid irrigation and blood, which impair the surgeon’s ability to visualize necessary anatomic structures. Similar situations have occurred with intra-cerebral hematoma and post-radiation necrosis of the brain or during spinal cord surgeries.

Although 100 years have passed since neurosurgical specialties appeared, there is still no protection from the vacuum power on the neurosurgical cannula tip.

Material and Method

The neurological suction control; valve were analyzed, physics, engineering and surgical were examined. books, journal and PubMed, social media and anecdotal were evaluated.
Result

An analysis shows that suction vacuum pressure is the main cause of central nervous system injury. This can be caused by excessive vacuum pressure. Pressure can be controlled by obstructing the suction cannula, the orifice pressure relief valve, or the use of the piston coil valve, which is the oldest technique.

Discussion

Since early civilization water flow has played an important role in mankind's discoveries. Mathematical and quantitative formulation of the laws of motion began in the 14th century. Crombie stated that motion is the quantitative relationship between different velocities. Dynamics lead to the development of kinematics and kinetics. Kinematics is a purely mathematical description of motion and kinetics is existing relations between force, mass, and motion of the body. Gerald of Brussel began the field of kinematics, the science of motion, which describes how intensity and velocity vary from one moment to another within a moving body. Thomas Bradwardine gave a mathematical account of the laws of motion describing the relationship among force, resistance, and velocity by looking for a functional relationship between the dependent variable (V) and the two independent variables F (force) and R (resistance). Using the given values for F and R to find the comparable value of V. He reached an equation for the law of motion, which in modern terminology, states that the velocity is proportional to the logarithm of F/R [11]. Different types of forces acting upon fluids, such as viscosity, climatic conditions, barometric pressure, and temperature, and density affect the behavior of the fluid [12]. In 1687, Isaac Newton postulated his laws of motion, conservation of energy and the law of viscosity and density [13]. Poiseuille stated flow is a steady viscous fluid driven by an effective pressure gradient established between the two ends of a long straight pipe of a uniform circular cross-section. Using the Poiseuille law, an equation for the flow rate through a pipe can be calculated P x 3.14 x r^4 ÷ 8 x η x f = Flowrate

Where: P is pressure, η the viscosity of the fluid, r the radius of the tube f is the length of the tube, 8 and 3.14 are constants [14]. Flow control in science was first introduced by Prandtl in 1904, where he introduced the boundary layer theory, which was the beginning of ideas to control a flow field [15].

In general science, a valve is a device that regulates, directs, or controls the flow of a fluid (gases, liquids, fluidized solids, or slurries) by opening, closing, or partially obstructing various passageways [16].

In neurosurgery three fluid valve control have been reported; total or partial at rest of fluid control, orifice pressure relief, and coil piston. The insertion of surgical patties between the suction tip and the central tissue, the changes of the formation of the suction tip, the insertion of the cotton fiber or a tip all are ways to manipulate surgical suction [17]. The neurosurgical patty (also known as a surgical sponge or cottonoid) is a disposable textile pad for fluid management, homeostasis, and tissue manipulation. Surgeons prepare the cotton patty according to their preference in order to control the bleeding.

Anecdotally, we analyzed the method of occluding vacuum flow, which can be partial or by blocking the cannula tip. According to the Bernoulli principle, the fluid flow will be faster in a narrower pipe than in a wider channel. The early protection of the Central Nervous System structure was with the use of clothes, it was also common during this early period to use clamping or external compression (kinking), mechanical pedal compression as described by Jefferson et al. [18].

A newer designed cottonoid by Arbit is fixed to the tip of a suction device by the negative pressure. This device has been efficient during microsurgical procedures in areas crowded with neurovascular elements [19]. Lafranc et al. [20] described a latex-free aspirator tip attachment designed for spinal cord tumor resection to help prevent surgical traumas in spinal cord surroundings [20]. Menovsky described an atrumatic suction tip that facilitatesatraumatic suction in microsurgery and Mariak et al [22] describe an atrumatic neurosurgical suction tip during micro neurosurgery to minimize injuries to the brain structures and protect the brain tissue from being aspirated into the suction tube. The simple flow measurement is considered to be the volume of the fluid, to the suction, multiplied by the force of suction, and divided by the resistance. Electrical mechanical suction and wall pressure gauges can be controlled by operating room personnel [21,22].

The vent orifice pressure relief valve was the first valve used in neurosurgery, the pressure relief of suction is graduated by the size of the vent and the number of the relief areas [23]. This technique allows the surgeon to move their finger to occlude the orifice vent which allows for a maximum increase of flow to minimal fluid reduction, placing the thumb on the proximal portion of the suction handle when the vent is occluded. It was introduced by Frazier and become the most commonly used suction cannula, Frazier also originally utilized the single orifice pressure relief valve system [23] (Figure 1).

![Figure 1: Frazier Cannula, Orifice Pressure Relief Valve.](Image)

Nishizaki and Dujovny utilized a multiple orifice pressure relief valve [24,25]. Gusmao describes a suction cannula with multiple small holes at the proximal end with a lateral sliding bar to open or close the holes [26]. A malleable plastic cannula for readjustment of the suction tip by Spetzler, this canal can be arresting with a surgical clamp, also closing the pressure gage (Figure 2). The size of the vent of the cannula determines the amount of pressure relief. Another variation is the placement of the orifice toward the tip of the cannula, like the Dujovny bayonet style suction with the orifice on the distal end, or distal barrel, for microsurgical procedures [27] (Figure 3).
In 1976, Dujovny introduced three portions of the vacuum cannula. The cannula, the bayonet device, and a box handle for the section for the piston valve (Figure 4). Streamlining the piston’s internal coil and fluid control changed it to a versatile instrument, the handle was enlarged to hold a hollow piston with the coil attached to the bottom or to a special coil [28]. This equipment connects to the bayonet modification for microsurgical procedures and is welded directly to the cannula with a round hole in the piston to communicate with the cannula. In 1978 an improved modified suction valve with a triangular shape where the coil was at the surface of the piston was described by Barrinuevo et al. [29]. A modification was introduced, this device has an inverted triangle hole to control the flow, was created with plastic where the handle encased coil with the piston is integrated. The piston coil on the top allowed the surgeon to maneuver between complete suction, gradual suction, or instantaneously stoppage of suction. A new housing for the suction control valve of the piston coil allows for manual changes, with the potential for full suction, to meet the surgeon’s requirements. The piston coil allows the surgeon a prompt acceleration and can manually calibrate deceleration of suction to zero [30].

Conclusion

The analysis of fluid control in fluid mechanics, velocity control, and fluid valve controls are manually controlled by the neurosurgeon. There are three main valve controls in neurosurgery; partial or total fluid control, orifice pressure relief valve, and piston coil valves. With the development of nanotechnology, nanotubing, and nano-monitoring there may be a potential in the future to obtain better flow fluid control, improve suction safety and reducing surgical morbidity.
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