Similarity search for local protein structures at atomic resolution by exploiting a database management system
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A method to search for local structural similarities in proteins at atomic resolution is presented. It is demonstrated that a huge amount of structural data can be handled within a reasonable CPU time by using a conventional relational database management system with appropriate indexing of geometric data. This method, which we call geometric indexing, can enumerate ligand binding sites that are structurally similar to sub-structures of a query protein among more than 160,000 possible candidates within a few hours of CPU time on an ordinary desktop computer. After detecting a set of high scoring ligand binding sites by the geometric indexing search, structural alignments at atomic resolution are constructed by iteratively applying the Hungarian algorithm, and the statistical significance of the final score is estimated from an empirical model based on a gamma distribution. Applications of this method to several protein structures clearly shows that significant similarities can be detected between local structures of non-homologous as well as homologous proteins.
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According to the ‘sequence determines structure determines function’ paradigm, it should be possible to predict protein structure from its amino acid sequence, and in turn, to predict its function from the structure. It has been empirically proved, however, that ab initio approaches to the both of these problems are extremely difficult. Currently, the most practical and reliable methods for protein structure prediction are the ones based on sequence comparison. In such homology-based methods, sequence similarities imply structural similarities. It is tempting to assume that the same argument applies to the prediction of protein functions. That is, we expect that we can infer some functional information if there are some similarities between two protein structures. However, it has been demonstrated that the protein folds (approximate over-all structures) of proteins are not significantly correlated with their functions. Since many protein functions such as enzymatic catalysis and ligand binding are performed by a small subset of protein atoms or residues, it seems necessary to perform local structure comparison in addition to (or, instead of) fold comparison for inferring protein function by similarity.

A number of methods have been proposed for searching for local similarities in protein structures. However, some of them limit the data size due to a prohibitive amount of CPU time and/or RAM space required, while others sacrifice structural details or diversity for the efficiency of search. The ever increasing structural data in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) include many proteins of unknown functions and hence making available efficient and thorough methods available for local structure comparison for inferring protein functions is a pressing matter. At the same time, however, such rapidly increasing data only make conventional methods more and more inefficient. It is required that methods for local structure comparison be able to follow the rapid increase of data with a reasonable scalability.

In this Note, we introduce techniques to construct a scalable method for similarity search for local protein structures. In this method, ligand binding sites consisting of protein atoms are first compiled as a table in a relational database.
management system (RDBMS)\(^7\). For a given protein structure as a query, the method searches for structurally equivalent atoms in the database that match the atoms in the query structure. This search process can be executed efficiently owing to the indexing mechanism of the RDBMS. We call this technique geometric indexing (GI). After identifying matching ligand binding sites, alignments at atomic resolution are obtained by using the Hungarian algorithm\(^{10,11}\). The present method is similar to the geometric hashing (GH) algorithm in spirit. However, since the total size of the structural data may well exceed several gigabytes, it is usually not possible to naively implement the GH method which must keep a huge hash table in RAM. On the other hand, an RDBMS stores all the data on a hard disk which is much cheaper and larger than RAM, and hence let us overcome the data size problem. In addition, almost any modern RDBMS provides an efficient indexing mechanism which allows us to retrieve data satisfying a given set of constraints rather quickly. By using the technique introduced here, it becomes possible to keep up with the rapidly increasing structural data without sacrificing the efficiency of searching or the details and diversity of structural information.

Materials and methods

Overview

We first extract ligand binding sites (templates) from PDBML files\(^{12}\) and save them in XML files called LBSML (Ligand Binding Site Markup Language) files. An LBSML file contains information of atoms that are in contact with a ligand, along with reference sets (refsets) for local coordinate systems (see below). Then we compile refsets and atomic coordinates in local coordinate systems into a set of relational database (RDB) tables. This is a pre-processing stage and is carried out only once as long as we do not need to update the database (Fig. 1, left part).

Then a database search is carried out for a given protein structure as a query (Fig. 1, right part). A search is divided into two stages. In the first stage, called geometric indexing search ("GI Search" in Fig. 1), the database is scanned by exploiting the indexing mechanism of the RDBMS, and possible atomic correspondences are counted. In the second part ("IR Procedure" in Fig. 1), a predefined number of high-scoring templates are subject to iterative refinement of the alignment to the sub-structures of the query.

Data set

We downloaded all the PDBML\(^{12}\) files (43,755 entries) on June 6, 2007. From these PDB entries, those were discarded that do not contain a protein chain or that do not contain any hetero atoms other than water.

Definition of reference set (refset)

As in the geometric hashing algorithm, all atomic coordinates are expressed in various local coordinate systems defined by reference sets (refsets). To define refsets, we applied the Delaunay tessellation using the Qhull library\(^{13}\) to each PDB entry. This procedure yields a set of tetrahedra consisting of four atoms as the vertices that are closest to each other. Then we selected those tetrahedra whose volumes are between 2 and 10 Å\(^3\) and whose total accessible areas are greater than zero Å\(^2\). These tetrahedra serve as refsets. Although only three atoms are necessary to define a unique Cartesian coordinate system, we use four atoms of a tetrahedron to reduce the number of possible combinations for refsets in a later stage of similarity search.

We define atom types as follows. All the backbone atoms are treated uniquely so that backbone “N”, “C\(_\alpha\)”, “C” and “O” are labeled as such and their types are denoted “BN”, “BA”, “BC”, and “BO”, respectively. The types of side chain atoms are assigned as the corresponding standard atom names (as annotated by the “type_symbol” tag of the PDBML file). We keep only those tetrahedra whose four vertices are of different atom types. Accordingly, we can
lexicographically order the vertices of a tetrahedron unambiguously. We can also define the chirality of a tetrahedron (see below). Thus, the sequence of ordered atom types and chirality of a tetrahedron define the type of the tetrahedron. For example, a tetrahedron consisting of atoms of types “BN”, “BA”, “BC" and “S” with positive chirality is typed as “BA:BC:BC:S:+“.

Let \( r_i (i=0, ..., 3) \) be the coordinates of the four atoms of a refset (tetrahedron) in the original coordinate system (i.e., as in the PDB file). Here, the indices from 0 to 3 are so labeled in the lexicographical order of their atom types. When calculating the local coordinates of an atom in the refset, the origin is set to \( r_0 \). The \( x \)-axis is defined by the unit vector parallel to \( r_0 = r_1 - r_0 \) that is, \( \hat{x} = (1/\|r_0\|) r_0 \). With \( r_0 = r_1 - r_0 \), the \( y \)-axis is defined by \( \hat{y} = (1/\|r_0\|) \hat{x} \times r_{02} \). The \( z \)-axis is defined by \( \hat{z} = \hat{x} \times \hat{y} \). Thus, for a given set of coordinates \( s \) in the original system, the local coordinates in the system spanned by the refsets \( \{ r_i \} \) are given as \( s' = [(s-r_j) \cdot \hat{x}, (s-r_j) \cdot \hat{y}, (s-r_j) \cdot \hat{z}] \). This coordinate system spanned by a refset is illustrated in Figure 2. Using these notations, the definition of the chirality of a tetrahedron mentioned above is given as the sign of the dot product \( r_0 \cdot \hat{y} \). For example, the chirality of the tetrahedron in Figure 2 is positive. By explicitly including the chirality information, it is possible to discriminate the enantiomers for query and template structures. Therefore, for a given query structure, we can always find the templates of the correct chirality in the search stage described below.

**Extracting ligand binding sites**

By using the annotations in PDBML files, we identified the so-called hetero atoms (ligand atoms), and all protein atoms that are in contact with any of the hetero atoms. Two atoms are defined to be in contact if their distance is less than or equal to 5 Å. For each ligand, we create an XML file containing a list of protein atoms that are in contact with it. We call this XML file an LBSML file. Atomic coordinates in an LBSML file are stored in the “extatom” style of the PDBML file, so that the ligand binding site can be examined visually by using the PDBjViewer. A set of protein atoms in contact with a ligand is called a ligand binding site. We also calculate refsets of the PDB entry. Along with the atomic coordinates of the ligand and the ligand binding site, the information of refsets and its type, volume, and lengths of edges of the tetrahedra defining the refsets is stored in an LBSML file. Refsets are saved in an LBSML file only if at least one of its vertex atoms is in contact with the ligand. The distance threshold for the contact between refset and ligand atoms was set to 5 Å. As a result, we constructed 162,626 LBSML files corresponding to the ligand binding sites. A set of atoms in a ligand binding site is also referred to as a template in the following.

**Compilation of atomic coordinates and reference sets**

We compile the information of LBSML files into tables of a relational database management system (RDBMS). The use of RDBMS allows us to handle a huge amount of structural data relatively efficiently. Basic information of LBSML files is saved in a table shown in Table 1.

Refsets in each LBSML file were compiled in a table
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Figure 2 Local coordinate system defined by a refset (tetrahedron).
The geometric indexing search method

Given a query protein structure, we search for ligand binding sites stored in the database that match a sub-structure of the query. To do so, we first define and select the refsets (tetrahedra) of the query structure by the same procedure as the templates except that contacts with hetero atoms are not taken into account (because they may not be present in the query structure). Then, for each refset of the query, we calculate the atomic coordinates of each atom under that refset. Next, we retrieve from the database those refsets whose tetrahedron types are the same as that of the query tetrahedron, and whose volume and edge lengths are close to the corresponding quantities of the tetrahedron of the query within a predefined threshold. At the same time, those atomic coordinates which are based on the matching refsets are extracted from the database. This can be carried out with the SQL expression in Table 3. The retrieval of refsets and atomic coordinates are performed efficiently owing to the index constructed above. At this point, we have a list of tuples of atom type, coordinates, and LBSML file (lbsml_id) and refset identifiers (refset_id) returned by the SQL expression in Table 3. Then, for each local atomic coordinates of the query, we select from the tuple list those tuples whose atom type is the same as that of the query and coordinates close to those of the query. The query and template coordinates \((x_q, y_q, z_q)\) and \((x_r, y_r, z_r)\) are defined to be close if the distance between them is lower than a predefined \(\Delta\) (Here we set \(\Delta = 2 \text{ Å}\)). Finally, the LBSML file and refset identifiers, on which the retrieved atomic coordinates are based, are recorded, and the count of the triple (template LBSML file, and query and template refset identifier) is incremented.

After all the query refsets are examined, we have a list of tuples of a LBSML file, a template refset identifier and a query refset identifier, as well as the count of each tuple. If the count is sufficiently large, the local structure in the LBSML file is likely to be present in the query structure. However, the count can be large just because there are a large number of atoms in certain templates. Therefore we use the score \(S(f, r, r_q)\) of the tuple of LBSML file \(f\), template refset identifier \(r\), and query refset identifier \(r_q\) defined as

\[
S(f, r, r_q) = \frac{\text{cnt}_{f,r,r_q}}{N_f}
\]

where \(\text{cnt}_{f,r,r_q}\) is the count of the tuple \((f,r,r_q)\) and \(N_f\) is the number of atoms in the template of the LBSML file \(f\). We found that the best performance is attained with \(p = 2\), and this value is used throughout. We refer to this score as the “GI score” (after Geometric Indexing) in the following. The pairs of \((f,r,r_q)\) are sorted in the decreasing order of \(S(f, r, r_q)\), and the top \(N_{top}\) hits (say, \(N_{top} = 10000\)) were saved for further refinement.

This search method, which we refer to as “GI search” in the following, is similar to the geometric hashing (GH) method\(^{14,15}\). However, it is not necessary to keep the database on memory, and atomic coordinates not matched directly by using a hash function. Instead, we use a conventional RDBMS for keeping the template information, and first select matching template refsets using an index of the database. In the present method, a matching refset serves not only as the basis of a local coordinate system but also as a seed alignment.

Iterative refinement of alignment (IR procedure)

By using the RDBMS-based search method, we can retrieve a set of ligand binding sites (and refsets) which are structurally similar to sub-structures of a query protein structure. At this point, however, the exact alignment of query and template atoms has not been obtained yet since all we have is the count of the tuple of LBSML files and template and query refset identifiers. As in the GH method, it is possible to obtain an alignment by using a strict definition of the neighbor of an atom in the RDBMS-based method. However, a small difference in the refsets could greatly perturb the quality of alignment. Therefore, it is desirable to employ a more robust method for refining the

| Table 3 | Pseudo SQL expression for local structure search |
| --- | --- |
| SELECT atype, xco, yco, zco, lbsml_id, irs FROM refsetdb WHERE tetra = t' | AND tvo1 BETWEEN \(v_1 - \Delta_1\) AND \(v_1 + \Delta_1\) \(d_1 - \Delta_1\) AND \(d_1 + \Delta_1\) \(d_2 - \Delta_1\) AND \(d_2 + \Delta_1\) \(d_3 - \Delta_1\) AND \(d_3 + \Delta_1\) \(d_4 - \Delta_1\) AND \(d_4 + \Delta_1\) \(d_5 - \Delta_1\) AND \(d_5 + \Delta_1\) \(d_6 - \Delta_1\) AND \(d_6 + \Delta_1\) \(d_7 - \Delta_1\) AND \(d_7 + \Delta_1\) \(d_8 - \Delta_1\) AND \(d_8 + \Delta_1\) \(d_9 - \Delta_1\) AND \(d_9 + \Delta_1\) \(d_{10} - \Delta_1\) AND \(d_{10} + \Delta_1\) \(d_{11} - \Delta_1\) AND \(d_{11} + \Delta_1\) \(d_{12} - \Delta_1\) AND \(d_{12} + \Delta_1\) \(d_{13} - \Delta_1\) AND \(d_{13} + \Delta_1\) \(d_{14} - \Delta_1\) AND \(d_{14} + \Delta_1\) \(d_{15} - \Delta_1\) AND \(d_{15} + \Delta_1\) \(d_{16} - \Delta_1\) AND \(d_{16} + \Delta_1\) \(d_{17} - \Delta_1\) AND \(d_{17} + \Delta_1\) \(d_{18} - \Delta_1\) AND \(d_{18} + \Delta_1\) \(d_{19} - \Delta_1\) AND \(d_{19} + \Delta_1\) \(d_{20} - \Delta_1\) AND \(d_{20} + \Delta_1\) |
alignment at atomic resolution.

Since we assume that template and query atoms are approximately in the same refset, a reasonable set of possible alignments is obtained by the following procedure. First we regard the system of query and template atoms as a bipartite graph in which query atoms form one group and template atoms another, and edges are allowed only between the two groups. We assign an edge if the query atom and template atom are of the same atomic type and the distance \( d_y \) between them is less than 2 Å. We assign a weight of \( w_y = 1 - d_y/2 \) to the edge. In an alignment, each query atom can match with at most one template atom. The best alignment is the one for which the sum of the matching edges is larger than or equal to any other alignments. This combinatorial optimization problem, called the maximum weight bipartite matching problem, can be readily solved by using the so-called Hungarian method.

The refinement of alignment is performed iteratively as follows. First, by using the refset obtained by the RDBMS-based search, we construct a bipartite graph, and apply the Hungarian method to obtain the best matching (alignment). Second, we use the resulting alignment to rotate the template structure to optimally superpose onto the query structure. This can be carried out by a classical least squares technique such as the quaternion-based one of Diamond. Third, based on the optimal superposition, we construct a new bipartite graph, and apply the Hungarian method. The second and third stages are iterated until convergence which is achieved after 4 or 5 iterations on average.

The score of an alignment based on the LBSML file \( f \), template refset identifier \( r \), and query refset identifier \( r' \) is calculated as

\[
S_{ir}(f, r, r') = \frac{N_{ir}(f, r, r') \sum_i w_{ij}}{N_I}
\]

where the summation (\( \sum' \)) is over all the edges in the matching, \( N_{ir}(f, r, r') \) is the number of aligned atom pairs and \( N_I \) is the number of atoms in the template of the LBSML file \( f \). We refer to this score as the “IR score” (after Iterative Refinement) in the following.

Estimation of statistical significance

In order to estimate the statistical significance of the IR score defined above, we introduce a statistical model based on random sampling. After performing a GI search, we have a huge number of hits. Among those hits, we randomly select 2,000 of them for iterative refinement. As shown in the Results section, the distribution of the IR score of randomly selected alignments can be well approximated by a gamma distribution \( \text{GAM}(\alpha, \beta) \) whose probability density function is given as

\[
f(x; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\beta}
\]

for \( x \geq 0 \) (note that the IR score is greater than or equal to 0 by definition). Let the mean and variance of the IR scores of the randomly selected alignments be \( m \) and \( v \), respectively. Then the parameters \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) of the gamma distribution \( \text{GAM}(\alpha, \beta) \) are given as \( \alpha = m^2/v \) and \( \beta = v/m \). Then the P-value or the probability that the IR score \( T \) is greater than or equal to \( x \) is given as

\[
P(S_{ir} \geq x) = \int_x^\infty f(x'; \alpha, \beta)dx'
\]

which indicates that statistical significance of the IR score. That is, lower P-values indicate greater statistical significance.

Implementation

All the codes were written in the Objective Caml (OCaml) language (http://ocaml.inria.fr). The RDBMS employed was the PostgreSQL system (http://www.postgresql.org) which has been moderately optimized for the underlying hardware. All the computations were carried out on an Apple PowerMac (dual 2.5 GHz PowerPC G5) with 8 gigabytes (GB) RAM.

Results

Execution time

We analyzed the execution time of a single search by using a mutant sperm whale myoglobin (PDB ID: 101m) as a query. The number of hits subject to the refinement was set to 50,000. The database consists of 162,626 ligand binding sites (LBSML files), 4,699,804 refsets (tetrahedra). In total, the hard disk space of 10 GB was consumed by the database.

The whole search process took 161 minutes of CPU time, in which 115 minutes were spent for the GI search, 45 minutes for the IR procedure. In the GI search, the SQL expressions for selecting compatible template refsets (Table 3) took 90 minutes, and other parts took 25 minutes. Thus, the execution of the SQL expression is the most time-consuming part of the whole process. This is because it involves access to the hard disk. In the PDB entry 101m, there were 376 refsets selected according to the criteria described above. The search time is roughly proportional to the number of refsets of the query. For each refset, an SQL expression for selecting compatible template refsets (see Table 3) was issued.

Effects of refinement

The scores used in the geometric indexing and iterative refinement stages are different (see Eqs. 1 and 2). Accordingly, the rank of high-scoring templates may change between before and after the refinement. To examine the effect of the refinement, we performed a search using the myoglobin (PDB ID: 101m) again. The top 50,000 hits of the GI search were used for the refinement.

Figure 3 shows the two scores of each of the 50,000 tem-
plates. In general, the two scores correlate with each other very well, with a correlation coefficient of 0.87 in this case. But the rank of some templates may change dramatically upon refinement. The refinement greatly improved the scores of some templates of relatively low GI scores.

Modeling the distribution of IR scores

In order to estimate the statistical significance of IR score, we examined its distribution. We first performed a GI search, and then randomly selected 50,000 hits for iterative refinement. After the refinement, the histogram of the IR score was plotted. Fig. 4 is an example obtained for the query 101m. It is clearly seen that the distribution is well approximated by a gamma distribution (Fig. 4, green line). We also fitted the type-2 (Fréchet) extreme value distribution (since the IR score is non-negative), but the fit was not as good as the gamma distribution (Fig. 4, blue line). The same trend was observed for other proteins. Thus, we use the gamma distribution for calculating the statistical significance of the IR score. Since the parameters of the gamma distribution may be different depending on queries, they are calculated by random sampling each time a search is performed.

Examples of high-scoring alignments

We present in this section examples of high-scoring alignments for four query protein structures. These four proteins (myoglobin, subtilisin, cAMP-dependent protein kinase, and alcohol dehydrogenase) have well-characterized functions. Thus, it is relatively straightforward to discriminate true positives from false positives for these proteins, making them suitable for benchmarking. However, the precise definition of false positives is difficult as there is always a possibility that a query protein has a ligand-binding ability that has not been characterized as such. Therefore, we define false positives based on the physical plausibility (mainly, the presence of severe steric repulsions) as well as on our current biochemical knowledge of the query proteins.

Myoglobin

We first examine more closely the results obtained for the myoglobin (PDB ID: 101m) used above. We used the 50,000 hits by GI search for the further refinement. The heme binding site of myoglobins occupied the first 363 hits with IR scores ($P$-values) ranging from 89.1 ($4.6 \times 10^{-23}$) to 38.5 ($3.9 \times 10^{-10}$). Below the myoglobins were other globins such as hemoglobins and cytoglobins, all of which were identified by the heme binding sites. The first non-globin appeared at the 555th rank with IR score of 30.1 ($P = 5.3 \times 10^{-8}$). This entry was an isopropanol binding site of single-strand selective monofunctional uracil DNA glycosylase (UDG; PDB ID: 1oe6). Visual inspection of the alignment suggests that this is likely to be a meaningless hit because the ligand is a constituent of the solvent and its binding site corresponds to an $\alpha$ helix (which is abundant in many proteins including globin). In fact, this isopropanol binding site of UDG (1oe6) shows a high score for any query that contains an $\alpha$ helix (data not shown), and is one of the frequently occurring false positives (see below). The next non-globin hit was the S-oxymethionine “binding” site of catalase (PDB ID: 2iu9). S-oxymethionine here is actually a modified residue in the protein which happened to be annotated as HETATM in the PDBML file. This entry has a high score because the site is made of parts of $\alpha$ helices and $\alpha$ helices are common in globins. The next non-globin hit at the 489th rank with IR score of 26.1 ($P = 5.4 \times 10^{-7}$) was a hypothetical protein from Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PDB ID: 1tu9). Although its function is not well known, the fold of this protein is globin-like (Y. Kim et al., unpublished) and the aligned atoms comprised the heme-binding site.
In general, good alignments should have high IR scores and low coordinate root mean square (cRMS) deviations. This trend is clearly observed in Figure 5. That is, good alignments should reside in the right bottom corner of the scatter plot of Figure 5. In this scatter plot, we can recognize two high-scoring clusters around IR score of 60–70 and 25–35, which correspond to closely related myoglobins and other globins, respectively. In the region of low IR scores, there are may templates with low cRMS values. A low IR score implies a small number of aligned atoms, hence the low cRMS values.

Subtilisin savinase We next examine the result of a search with subtilisin savinase from Bacillus lentus (PDB ID: 1svn) as a query. The top hit was the peptide binding site of subtilisin DY (PDB ID: 1bh6) with an IR score of 59.8 and P-value of $1.0 \times 10^{-14}$ (Fig. 6A). Subsequent hits were subtilisin-related templates. After these subtilisin-related templates (removing physically implausible templates), we found a Mn$^{2+}$ binding site of Dicer from Giardia intestinalis (PDB ID: 2iff; $P=1.5 \times 10^{-5}$) and Mg$^{2+}$ binding site of 30S ribosomal subunit S20 from Thermus thermophilus (PDB ID: 1i94; $P=1.8 \times 10^{-8}$). But these ion binding sites reside within common loop structures, and hence they are likely to be biochemically/biologically insignificant. At the 255th rank, we found the active site of bovine γ-chymotrypsin (PDB ID: 7gch) with an IR score of 20.9 (P-value $2.0 \times 10^{-5}$). This protein has a different fold than subtilisins but shares the common catalytic triad consisting of three residues Ser, His, and Asp. The obtained atomic alignment indeed contains these catalytic residues. Namely, Asp32, His64, and Ser221 of subtilisin Savinase are aligned with Asp102, His57, and Ser195 of γ-chymotrypsin (Fig. 6B).

cAMP-dependent protein kinase Our third example is the cAMP-dependent protein kinase, cAPK (PDB ID: 1atp) from Mus musculus. This example is motivated by the work of Kobayashi and Go where they have found that the local structure of the nucleotide-binding site of cAPK is similar to those of other nucleotide-binding proteins with different folds. They listed five ATP-binding proteins that share similar local structures: glutaminyl-tRNA synthetase, D-Ala:D-Ala ligase (DD-ligase), casein kinase-1 (CK-1), seryl-tRNA synthetase, and glutamine synthetase. According to the SCOP database, CK-1 and cAPK belong to the same family, the protein kinase catalytic subunit family, although the sequence identity between them is as low as 19%. Among the five proteins listed by Kobayashi and Go, CK-1 exhibited a highly significant similarity with an IR score of 42.8 and $P=8.9 \times 10^{-11}$ (Fig. 7A). In contrast, we only found a weak similarity with glutathion synthetase, belonging to the same superfamily as DD-ligase, with a relatively low IR score of 25.
most high-scoring templates were all kinases of the same fold. Other similarities listed by Kobayashi and Go were either not detected, or detected with wrong alignments. There are at least two possible explanations for this failure in detecting similar local structures. First, our criteria for selecting similar refsets may be too stringent so that possible hits are discarded during the GI search. Second, the number of aligned atoms as obtained by Kobayashi and Go is very small, ranging from 14 to 16, whereas some of obvious false hits contained more than 20 aligned atoms. The first point may be corrected by loosening the criteria at the cost of increased CPU time. The second point is more problematic, however. Kobayashi and Go used only ATP-binding proteins for their study while we used all the ligand-binding sites present in the current PDB. Accordingly, the signal-to-noise ratio is substantially lower in the present case. In order to overcome this problem, a more elaborate statistical method may be necessary.

The fourth example is the alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH; PDB ID: 1het) from Equus caballus (horse). The first 107 top hits are the nicotinamide-adenine-dinucleotide (NAD)-binding sites of ADHs from various species, which are followed by various kinds of other dehydrogenases such as formaldehyde dehydrogenase, sorbitol dehydrogenase, glucose dehydrogenase, and so on. We looked for structural similarities with proteins other than dehydrogenases, and have found a few such examples. One example is the NAD-binding site of the urocanase protein (PDB ID: 1x87; Tereshko et al., unpublished) with an IR score of 24.0 ($P = 2.7 \times 10^{-6}$). According to the SCOP database, this protein belongs to the urocanase fold which is clearly different from the NAD(P)-binding Rossmann-fold domain of the ADH. The alignment (Fig. 8A) consists of 76 atom pairs yielding cRMS of 1.0 Å. Another example is the flavin-adenine dinucleotide (FAD)-binding site of p-hydroxybenzoate hydroxylase (PHBH; PDB ID: 1iuv) from Pseudomonas aeruginosa. The color scheme is the same as Fig. 6. The ligand of 1het is also shown in the stick model with the CPK colors.
the FAD/NAD(P)-binding domain fold which is different from the NAD(P)-binding Rossmann fold of ADH.

Discussion

We have demonstrated that the present method can detect non-trivial similarities in protein local structures at atomic resolution in a reasonable CPU time. Here we discuss a few remaining issues to be solved and possibilities for further improvements.

Recurring false positives

It was often observed that certain ligand binding sites exhibited high scores regardless of query structures. Such examples include the isopropanol binding site of UDG and the S-oxymethionine binding site of catalase as mentioned above in the example of myoglobin. These and other recurring false hits are almost always part of super-secondary structures which consist of α-helices and β-strands which are highly regular and abundant. Another source of error is the ambiguous definition of “ligands”. For example, the ligand in the S-oxymethionine binding site of catalase (2iuf) described above is actually a modified residue in the protein, not another molecule than the protein itself. In this case, most part of the ligand (S-oxymethionine) should be treated as a part of the protein. Many of the ligands treated in this study are biologically irrelevant but are present as a part of the solvent. Such examples include the isopropanol in the PDB entry 1oe6 described above. Therefore, it would be helpful to include only biologically relevant ligands in the database although this may require a great deal of effort in the absence of proper annotations.

Increasing sensitivity

In the proposed method, we first select candidates based on the attributes of refsets, such as the volume and edge length of tetrahedra. In the current implementation, the criteria for refsets are relatively stringent so that it is not guaranteed that all the possibly important refsets are stored in the database (e.g., tetrahedra containing multiple atoms of the same type). This may be a reason why the present method failed to detect some of the known similarities between cAPK and other proteins of different folds. In order not to miss such important refsets, it may be possible to use backbone-based refsets. However, the naive definition of backbone-based refsets (defined by three atoms N, Cα, C) is extremely inefficient because all such refsets are essentially identical and we have to retrieve all such refsets every time we issue an SQL query similar to that of Table 3. Therefore, we need to add some extra attributes to efficiently select relevant candidates for retaining efficiency. For example, we may use similarity between amino acid residues or backbone dihedral angles for restricting possible candidates.

A better statistical model may also improve the sensitivity. Currently we employ a simple gamma distribution that depend only on the IR score. However, we observed that the IR score depends on cRMS in a systematic manner so that some false hits with relatively high IR scores with large cRMS values may be eliminated. Therefore, it may be helpful to estimate the cRMS-dependent parameters for the gamma distribution.

Improving efficiency

The method presented here can be relatively efficiently executed on a small desktop computer. The key idea is to use a conventional RDBMS to handle the large amount of structural data. The most time-consuming part is the access to data stored on a hard disk. Conventional RDBMS implements a cache mechanism so that frequently accessed data are stored in memory when possible. Using this mechanism, it is possible speed up the similarity search by simply implementing the GI method in a computer with a large memory. This will automatically lead to the efficiency comparable to the GH method. However, unlike naive implementations of the GH method, the present GI method does not break even when the data size grows to such an extent that it does not fit into the memory.

Another possible improvement may be made by reducing the number of query refsets to be examined. The current implementation requires a CPU time proportional to the number of refsets of the query, which ranges from ~100 to 2,000 or more in typical proteins. In the examples given above, a search with myoglobin (PDB ID: 101m) with 376 refsets took approximately 160 minutes while a search with alcohol dehydrogenase (PDB ID: 1het) with 1654 refsets took 730 minutes (~12 hours). If we can eliminate many of the query refsets which are unlikely to be ligand binding sites, the computational time may be greatly reduced.

Conclusion

We have developed a method for searching for local atomic structures of proteins in database that are structurally similar to sub-structures of a given query protein structure. In particular, we presented techniques based on a conventional relational database management system to practically deal with the huge amount of structural data currently available in the Protein Data Bank. In spite of the facts that the size of the database is massive and that the resolution of the alignments obtained by the method is of the atomic level, the present method can yield search results typically within a few hours using an ordinary desktop computer. With further improvements discussed above, the present method seems to be a promising approach to routinely searching for local structural similarity at atomic resolution, and to functional annotation of newly determined protein structures. Finally it is noted that the core idea of the present method is a very general one, and is obviously applicable to other similar problems such as, for example, the similarity search of molecular surfaces where the geometric hashing tech-
nique is applicable in principle, but prohibitive in practice due to a huge data size.
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