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ABSTRACT
Aims/Introduction: We investigated the effect of FreeStyle Libre™ on glycemic control in Japanese type 2 diabetes patients treated with basal–bolus insulin therapy.

Materials and Methods: This prospective, 90-day single-arm study enrolled 94 adults with type 2 diabetes treated with insulin. A 14-day masked baseline phase was followed by an 11-week treatment phase during which participants used the device to monitor glucose levels. The primary end-point was time spent in hypoglycemia (<70 mg/dL) for baseline versus study end (days 76–90). Secondary end-points included other measures of glycemic control, along with patient satisfaction using the Japanese Diabetes Treatment and Satisfaction Questionnaire.

Results: Time spent in hypoglycemia was low at baseline (0.51–0.93 h/day) and did not significantly decrease at study end (0.47–0.63 h/day, P = 0.6354). Time in range, time in hyperglycemia and estimated A1c all improved versus baseline (by +1.7 ± 3.0 h/day, −1.6 ± 4 h/day and −0.4 ± 0.8%, respectively, P < 0.0001 in each). Finger stick tests fell from 2.9 ± 1.3 to 1.9 ± 1.4/day, and mean scanning frequency during the intervention phase was 11.3/day. The mean treatment satisfaction score increased by 11.8–5.3 (P < 0.0001). Two severe hypoglycemia-related adverse events were reported; one of which was possibly related to the device. Three participants reported mild device-related skin trauma, site discomfort or subcutaneous bleeding.

Conclusions: Use of FreeStyle Libre by Japanese type 2 patients diabetes treated with basal–bolus insulin therapy showed a low baseline of hypoglycemia, and enabled improved glycemic control and treatment satisfaction.

INTRODUCTION
The incidence of diabetes is increasing globally, due to the challenges of an aging population and rising rates of obesity. In Japan, the prevalence of diabetes is currently estimated at 7.7%, driven primarily by a high incidence of type 2 diabetes. Whereas glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) is a key measure in the treatment of this condition, continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) is also useful to optimize glucose management and thus it is recommended in some circumstances to help achieve glucose targets in type 2 diabetes patients.

Evidence of the benefit of real-time CGM or flash glucose monitoring in participants with type 2 diabetes treated with insulin is limited, but growing. Flash glucose monitoring has been shown to be effective in type 1 and type 2 diabetes
patients in the IMPACT\textsuperscript{9} and REPLACE\textsuperscript{5} clinical trials, respectively. Both studies showed a reduction in time spent in hypoglycemia and an improvement in mean glucose values when the device was introduced to patients treated with basal–bolus insulin therapy\textsuperscript{5,9}. Like most clinical trials, participants in these studies were typically white\textsuperscript{6,7} or their ethnicity was not reported\textsuperscript{14,16}. However, the pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes is different between East Asian and white patients\textsuperscript{10,11}, with lower rates of hypoglycemia\textsuperscript{12} and use of lower doses of insulin in the former. It is thus unknown whether the use of flash glucose monitoring yields similar beneficial effects in the East Asian population. We carried out the current study to evaluate the efficacy of FreeStyle Libre on glycemic control in Japanese adults with type 2 diabetes treated with basal–bolus insulin therapy.

METHODS

Study design and participants

This prospective, open-label, single arm study (University Hospital Medical Information Network; UMIN000023593) was designed to investigate the effect of FreeStyle Libre, a sensor-based flash glucose monitoring system (Abbott Diabetes Care, Witney, UK), on glycemic control in Japanese adults with type 2 treated with basal–bolus insulin therapy. The study was carried out over a period of 3 months at five diabetes centers in Japan. Approval for the study was given by an independent ethics committee. All participating centers conurred with the Japanese Ethical Guidelines for Medical and Health Research\textsuperscript{13} and the International Council for Harmonization Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice\textsuperscript{14}. All participants gave written, informed consent.

Patients were recruited from the general diabetes population at each study site. The study inclusion and exclusion criteria are listed in Table S1. Physical measurements were taken after consent, screening for eligibility and enrolment. For the 14-day baseline period, all participants used the system in “masked mode” and sensor glucose measurements were not visible to participants or investigators. Participants were asked to scan their sensor when carrying out self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG) fingerstick tests and at least every 8 h. Glucose management was supported by SMBG, as required, utilizing the strip-port of the FreeStyle Libre reader.

Participants with sensor data for at least 50% of the masked 14-day wear period (or \(\geq650\) individual sensor readings) continued into the 11-week open-label treatment phase, during which they used sensor glucose data for self-management. Participants were requested to maintain SMBG testing for adjunctive use, in accordance with the device labeling in Japan, and to record insulin doses, food intake and exercise on the reader throughout the study. In line with standard diabetes care, use of current or historical glucose data to self-manage glucose levels was encouraged, including insulin titration. Training on glucose sensor data interpretation was not provided, and no treatment protocols or insulin titration algorithms were used.

At clinic visits on days 15, 30 and 60, sensor glucose data reports (generated using system software\textsuperscript{15}) were reviewed with an attending physician for personalized glucose management.

Outcomes

The primary outcome was the change in time spent in hypoglycemia (sensor glucose \(<70\) mg/dL) utilizing the device and SMBG during the final 14 days of the treatment phase (days 76–90) compared with SMBG use only during the masked baseline phase (days 1–14). Prespecified secondary end-points were the change from baseline for sensor-derived glycemic measures of: time in range (70–180 mg/dL), mean glucose level, time and events in hyperglycemia (\(>180, 240\) and 300 mg/dL), estimated A1c, time and events in hypoglycemia (\(<70, 55\) and 45 mg/dL) and area under the curve, as well as glycemic variability measures including standard deviation (SD), low blood glucose index, high blood glucose index, SD of glucose rate of change and continuous overall net glycemic action.

An event was defined as two or more consecutive sensor readings, at 15-min intervals, outside the predefined glucose range. Event duration was calculated from the first reading outside the range to the first reading returning within the range. Additional prespecified outcomes were: analysis of glycemic outcomes by day (06.00–23.00 hours) and night (23.00–06.00 hours), subgroup analysis by age and duration of diabetes, frequency of glucose fingerstick testing, number of sensor scans carried out, and change in total daily dose of insulin, bodyweight and body mass index. The length of system use (defined as the percentage of data collected, relative to continuous device wear) was also analyzed.

Patient-reported outcome measures were assessed using the Japanese Diabetes Treatment and Satisfaction Questionnaire (DTSQ) at baseline and study end (day 90)\textsuperscript{16}. Questionnaire results for healthcare professionals (sensor glucose report use) were assessed at study end, and for users at baseline (sensor application) and at study end (device use).

Adverse events (AEs) and sensor-insertion or sensor-wear symptoms were monitored throughout the study. Any symptomatic hypoglycemic events reported by participants were recorded as an AE. Additionally, any episodes of diabetic ketoacidosis or hyperosmolar hyperglycemic state and severe hypoglycemic events were assessed. A severe hypoglycemic event was defined as requiring assistance of another person to actively administer carbohydrates, glucagon or take other corrective actions\textsuperscript{17}. If plasma glucose concentrations were not available during a severe event, neurological recovery after the return of plasma glucose level to normal was considered enough evidence that the event was induced by a low plasma glucose concentration (\(\leq70\) mg/dL)\textsuperscript{17}.

Statistical analysis

A sample size of 83 was required to provide 80% power to detect a difference of 35% with a significance level of 5%
between the baseline and final phases in the primary end-point of time spent <70 mg/dL. Time in hypoglycemia, other glycemic measures, estimated A1c from sensor glucose data, total daily dose of insulin, bodyweight and body mass index were considered using a paired t-test. A one-sample t-test was used to compare DTSQ scores with zero change. All participants with at least 72 h of masked baseline sensor glucose results were included in the full analysis set. Data analysis was carried out by Abbott Diabetes Care using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) or higher for all analyses.

RESULTS

A total of 94 participants were enrolled between 1 February and 1 July 2017 across the five centers (Table 1). Two participants were excluded from the full analysis set (n = 92), because <72 h of sensor data were collected during the 14-day baseline phase (Figure 1). All 94 participants were included in the safety analysis.

Time in hypoglycemia (<70 mg/dL) fell from 0.51 ± 0.93 h/day (mean ± SD) at baseline to 0.47 ± 0.63 h/day at study end (days 76–90), a change of −0.04 ± 0.83 h/day (−8.1%), which was not statistically significant (P = 0.6354). Time spent in hypoglycemia <55 mg/dL and <45 mg/dL were both prespecified secondary end-points that also fell without reaching statistical significance (Table 2). Figure 2 shows the time spent in each glycemic range during the study, 27% of participants (25/92) had 0 h/daytime in hypoglycemia at baseline. Of the 67 participants with non-zero time in hypoglycemia at baseline, 34 (51%) experienced a ≥30% reduction in time spent in hypoglycemia by study end. There was no change in time in hypoglycemia from baseline to day, night, age or duration of diabetes.

The prespecified secondary end-points are outlined in Table 2. Time in range (70–180 mg/dL) significantly improved by 1.7 ± 3.0 h/day from 15.0 ± 4.0 to 16.7 ± 3.7 h/day (P < 0.0001). Improvements were shown during daytime (06.00–23.00 h; P < 0.0001), at night-time (P < 0.0001) and for all participants irrespective of age (<65 years and ≥65 years.

| Characteristic                          | Participants (n = 92) |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Male                                   | 52/92 (56.5%)        |
| Age (years)                            | 63.6 ± 12.7          |
| BMI (kg/m²)                            | 24.4 ± 4.2           |
| Duration of diabetes (years)           | 19 ± 11              |
| Duration of insulin use (years)        | 11 ± 8               |
| Self-reported BG frequency per day      | 3.1 ± 2.1            |
| Insulin administration by pen          | 92/92 (100.0%)       |
| Screening HbA1c (%)                    | 7.47 ± 0.66          |
| Screening HbA1c (mmol/mol)             | 5.81 ± 7.2           |

Table 1 | Baseline characteristics of study participants

Table shows the full analysis set. Values are the mean ± standard deviation or n/N (%) or number of participants.

Both P = 0.0005 or duration of diabetes (<20 years, P < 0.0001; ≥20 years, P = 0.0028).

Mean glucose decreased by −11 ± 23 mg/dL during the study period, from 167 ± 26 to 156 ± 24 mg/dL (P < 0.0001). Time and number of events spent in hyperglycemia significantly decreased at all thresholds (Table 2). Time spent >180 mg/dL improved by −1.6 ± 3.4 h/day from 8.5 ± 4.0 to 6.8 ± 3.7 (P < 0.0001), and events per day decreased by 0.23 ± 0.74 from 2.59 ± 0.87 to 2.36 ± 0.77 (P = 0.0037). Time spent >240 mg/dL improved by −1.0 ± 2.1 from 3.2 ± 2.5 to 2.1 ± 2.3 h/day (P < 0.0001), and events decreased by −0.35 ± 0.68 from 1.35 ± 0.80 to 0.99 ± 0.81/day (P < 0.0001). Time spent >300 mg/dL decreased by −0.4 ± 1.0 h/day from 0.9 ± 1.2 to 0.6 ± 1.0 (P = 0.0013), and events improved by −0.14 ± 0.40 from 0.48 ± 0.45 to 0.34 ± 0.47/day (P = 0.0011). Changes in time in hyperglycemia were not found to be influenced by age, duration of diabetes or by day/night-time (Table S2–S4, Supporting Information). Figure S1 shows the time spent in each range during the study.

A significant improvement was observed in estimated A1c at study end when compared with baseline (7.07 ± 0.84% vs 7.46 ± 0.91%, a reduction of −0.39 ± 0.81%; P < 0.0001). Estimated A1c improved by ≥0.5% for 45% (41/92) of participants (Table 2). This reduction was irrespective of age or duration of diabetes.

Measures of glucose variability were shown to significantly improve, including SD, SD rate of change, high blood glucose index, continuous overall net glycemic action and mean of daily fingerstick testing was reduced from 2.9 ± 1.3/day (mean ± SD; median 2.9) at baseline to 1.9 ± 1.4/day (median 2.0) during the treatment phase (days 15–90). After the device was unmasked, and sensor data were related to symptomatic hypoglycemia, including 254 mild symptoms related to hypoglycemia (P < 0.0028).

| Characteristic | Participants (n = 92) |
|----------------|----------------------|
| Male           | 52/92 (56.5%)        |
| Age (years)    | 63.6 ± 12.7          |
| BMI (kg/m²)    | 24.4 ± 4.2           |
| Duration of diabetes (years) | 19 ± 11            |
| Duration of insulin use (years) | 11 ± 8              |
| Self-reported BG frequency per day | 3.1 ± 2.1          |
| Insulin administration by pen | 92/92 (100.0%)   |
| Screening HbA1c (%) | 7.47 ± 0.66        |
| Screening HbA1c (mmol/mol) | 5.81 ± 7.2         |
AEs for 52 (55.3%) participants and one moderate AE for one participant. Two participants reported two hypoglycemia-related serious AEs; one was reported as possibly device-related (further investigation concluded the device was functioning as intended), and the second was reported as not device-related. Both participants received glucose treatment; hospital admission was not required. No episodes of diabetic ketoacidosis or hyperosmolar hyperglycemic state were reported during the study. None of the AEs or serious AEs led to participant withdrawal.

There were 68 mild sensor insertion/wear symptoms experienced by 29 (30.9%) participants. These included bleeding ($n = 18$), erythema ($n = 18$), bruising ($n = 12$), itching ($n = 8$), pain ($n = 7$), edema ($n = 3$), induration ($n = 1$) and rash ($n = 1$); all resolved quickly. In addition, three mild sensor wear-related AEs were experienced by three participants (skin trauma, minor discomfort at site, subcutaneous bleeding at site), all of which resolved without treatment.

DISCUSSION
This trial is the first to show that flash glucose monitoring is effective at improving glycemic control in Japanese type 2 diabetes treated with insulin. Although the primary end-point of change in time in hypoglycemia did not reach statistical significance, other indices of glycemic control, including time in range, mean glucose and time in hyperglycemia, were significantly improved. Time in range improved by 1.7–3.0 h/day (7.1%) as a result of reduced hyperglycemia at all thresholds >180 mg/dL. Overall, glucose levels were managed effectively to achieve benefits in glucose control without an increase in hypoglycemia, with a clinically relevant decrease of ≥30% time in hypoglycemia being observed in 51% of participants. In addition, both patient and healthcare professional satisfaction with the use of this technology was also found to be high.

Information on the frequency of hypoglycemia during treatment of diabetes in non-Western countries is limited and hypoglycemia is a great concern for patients using insulin in Japan. In the present study, a low level of hypoglycemia was
observed at baseline, and 27% of participants did not experience glucose levels <70 mg/dL. The minimal time spent in hypoglycemia contrasts to that reported for white people with type 2 diabetes, using either flash glucose monitoring or real-time CGM. The difference in pathophysiology and treatment regimens of the condition might explain the different frequency of hypoglycemia in the two populations. While the frequency of insulin use for management of type 2 diabetes is comparable between Japan and Western countries, type 2 diabetes in Japanese individuals is characterized by less insulin resistance than white individuals, such that lower doses of insulin are required to achieve glycemic control. Mean total daily insulin doses of 70–90 units are reported in trials with CGM for white people with type 2 diabetes, which is approximately threefold greater than those observed in the present study. Another explanation for the low baseline level of hypoglycemia might be the investigators’ high awareness of hypoglycemia as an adverse effect of insulin and its associated risks, particularly in an aging population. Furthermore, the concerns and fears of the patients for hypoglycemia are high in Japan. Standard care during insulin therapy for type 2 diabetes patients in Japan thus might emphasize a low level of hypoglycemia. Nevertheless, the low hypoglycemia at baseline was maintained while reducing hyperglycemia in the current study, which likely shows the usefulness of the device.

Estimated A1c from CGM data is recognized as a useful measurement for clinical management. In the present study, baseline mean estimated A1c measurements fulfilled the international HbA1c goal for this population, reflecting well-controlled glyemia, which is characteristic of type 2 diabetes patients in Japan and is in contrast to Western populations. At study end, the mean estimated A1c had improved further, irrespective of age or duration of diabetes, and was aligned with the more stringent HbA1c target recommended by the Japan Diabetes Society. Furthermore, in 45% of participants, estimated A1c improved by ≥0.5%. The reduction in estimated
Table 3 | Change in measures of glycemic variability

|                      | Baseline (days 1–15) | Final phase (days 76–90) | Change     | 95% CI for change | P-value |
|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------------|---------|
| SD glucose (mg/dL)   | 57 ± 16              | 52 ± 14                  | −5 ± 9     | (−7, −3)           | <0.0001 |
| CV glucose (%)       | 33.8 ± 7.4           | 33.0 ± 6.8               | −0.8 ± 4.4 | (−1.7, 0.1)        | 0.0774  |
| LBGI                 | 0.58 ± 0.73          | 0.62 ± 0.59              | 0.04 ± 0.69| (−0.11, 0.18)      | 0.6267  |
| HBGI                 | 8.3 ± 4.3            | 6.5 ± 3.8                | −1.8 ± 3.6 | (−2.5, −1.0)       | <0.0001 |
| BGRI                 | 8.9 ± 4.1            | 7.1 ± 3.7                | −1.8 ± 3.2 | (−2.4, −1.1)       | <0.0001 |
| CONGA 1 h (mg/dL)    | 38.8 ± 9.0           | 36.2 ± 8.5               | −2.6 ± 4.8 | (−3.5, −1.6)       | <0.0001 |
| CONGA 2 h (mg/dL)    | 58.4 ± 14.1          | 54.1 ± 13.3              | −4.4 ± 8.0 | (−6.0, −2.7)       | <0.0001 |
| CONGA 4 h (mg/dL)    | 76.1 ± 19.1          | 69.3 ± 18.2              | −6.8 ± 11.6| (−9.2, −4.4)       | <0.0001 |
| CONGA 6 h (mg/dL)    | 79.7 ± 21.8          | 72.3 ± 20.2              | −7.4 ± 13.7| (−10.2, −4.5)      | <0.0001 |
| MODD (mg/dL)         | 51.1 ± 15.4          | 47.1 ± 13.5              | −4.1 ± 10.1| (−6.2, −2.0)       | 0.0002  |
| SD rate of change (mg/dL/min) | 0.86 ± 0.19   | 0.81 ± 0.18              | −0.05 ± 0.09| (−0.07, −0.03)     | <0.0001 |

Total n = 92. Data presented as the mean ± standard deviation. BGRI, blood glucose risk index; CI, confidence interval; CONGA, continuous overall net glycemic action; CV, coefficient of variation; HBGI, high blood glucose index; LBGI, low blood glucose index; MODD, mean of daily differences; SD, standard deviation.
term use of flash glucose monitoring in Japan\textsuperscript{36}. These findings and the positive user questionnaire responses from both participants and healthcare professionals indicate good acceptance of the system.

Sensor wear-related events and symptoms were similar to those reported for comparable study populations\textsuperscript{5,35}. Two participants reported a severe hypoglycemic event, with one participant requiring an ambulance for hospital attendance. The devices used by both patients were functioning normally at the time of the incidents, which were determined to be either unrelated or possibly related to the device.

The single-arm design was a limitation of this study. Furthermore, change in time spent in hypoglycemia as the primary outcome might not have been the ideal choice in this cohort with a low frequency of hypoglycemia. In Japan, individuals with diabetes have different cultural sensitivities about insulin therapy and hypoglycemia\textsuperscript{37}, and there is a tendency to recommend lifestyle changes before implementing pharmacological interventions to improve glycemic control\textsuperscript{29}, which might affect the applicability of these findings to other ethnic populations. As all the attending physicians are specialists for diabetes care, it is unknown whether the present results are applicable to care by general practitioners. Further studies are required to assess the effectiveness of this technology in younger and older age groups from different healthcare environments, as well as studies of a longer duration, to determine if results mirror those seen in a largely white population\textsuperscript{34}.

In summary, use of flash glucose monitoring technology in Japanese participants with type 2 diabetes, treated with multiple daily insulin injections, results in increased time in range, improvement in glucose variability, a reduction in estimated A1c without increasing hypoglycemia, and is seen as beneficial by this patient population and their carers.
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