Identification and validation of MicroRNA-mRNA Networks in Dorsal Root Ganglia after Peripheral Nerve Injury
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Abstract

Changes in DRG after nerve injury involve neuronal damage, apoptosis, pain transmission, and activation of regenerative programs. It is unclear which genes and microRNAs may play a major role in this process. Therefore, this study performed a meta-analysis of previously published gene expression data to reveal the potential microRNA-mRNA network in dorsal root ganglia (DRG) after peripheral nerve injury. We searched 5 mRNA and 3 microRNA expression data sets, obtained 447 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and 5 differentially expressed miRNAs, determined the biological pathways enriched by these DEGs, and further predicted new microRNA-mRNA interactions, such as miR-21/Hmg20a, miR-221/Ube2q1l, miR-30c-1/Rhoq, miR-500/Sema3c, and miR-551b/Cdc42se2. We verified these hub mRNA and miRNA in rats by qRT-PCR and found the results were consistent with the bioinformatics analysis. And we predicted transcription factors associated with these genes (gTFs) and TFs associated with these microRNAs (mTFs) and constructed the mTF-miRNA-gene-gTF regulatory network to further explore the molecular mechanism in DRG. Finally, we compared the DRG transcriptome after PNI to that of chronic constriction injury (CCI), and found that PNI caused greater damage to DRG compared to CCI. At the same time, the related mechanisms of pain caused by the two pathophysiological process may be different.
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Introduction

DRG plays an important role in the communication between the peripheral and central nervous systems, which is also the primary afferent neuron to produce nociception [1]. Peripheral nerve injury can lead to damage of DRG neurons and also the connections between neurons, resulting in abnormal sensory conduction and neuropathic pain. Gene expression in DRG is dynamic and can respond to damage [2]. When stimulated by injury, the phenotype and the functional state of DRG will undergo significant changes, which make DRG become the origin site of pain signal transmission [3]. At the same time, axon injury of peripheral DRG neurons will initiate the regeneration process, and ultimately promote the regeneration of axons [4, 5]. The regenerative ability of DRG neurons after injury is called the modulated injury effect. Conditioned injury induces regeneration by increasing neuronal regeneration capacity. In order to achieve this, activation of the damage signal response is crucial [6, 7]. To explore the transcriptome changes of DRG after peripheral nerve injury will help us to have a more
comprehensive understanding of the role of DRG in peripheral nerve injury, especially the role in pain transduction and axon regeneration.

Molecules of DRG related to pain transduction mainly include Purinergic P2X Receptors (P2Xs), Transient Receptor Potential Receptors, Voltage-Gated Sodium Channels (NaVs) and Voltage-Gated Calcium Channels(CaVs) [8]. P2X receptor was expressed in spinal cord, dorsal horn and DRG neurons [9, 10]. Studies have shown that the isopurinergic receptors P2X3 and isopurinergic receptors p2X2/3 play important roles in spinal cord nociceptive transmission [11]. Therefore, P2X2/3 has been proposed as a potential therapeutic target for peripheral neuropathic pain. TRP receptors are divided into several subfamilies, among which heat-sensitive TRP channel-related proteins TRPV, TRPA, and TRPM in DRG are closely related to pain transmission[12-16]. DRG neurons have five NaV subtypes [17]. Hyperexcitation of neurons after peripheral nerve injury may result from changes in the activity of voltage-gated sodium channels, which results in pain or hyperalgesia [18], T-type CaV, CaV3.2 and CaV3.3, are expressed in DRG neurons and have shown potential as therapeutic targets for neuropathic pain [19, 20]. Given the complexity of DRG phenotype and functional changes following injury, the identification of key factors and signaling pathways based on global analysis may help to understand the underlying molecular mechanisms of neuropathic pain.

The DRG response after peripheral nerve injury is beneficial to axon regeneration. The proteins activated in neuron regeneration mainly include growth associated protein 43 (GAP43), cortical cytoskeleton associated protein 23 (CAP23), superior cervical ganglion 10 (SCG10), or small proline-rich repeat protein 1A (SPRR1A) [21]. During this process, cytoskeletal components, cytoplasmic proteins, vesicles and organelles of multiple structures need to be transported to the axon terminals to play their roles. Although there is evidence that axon transport plays a central role in regulating internal axon regeneration, the regulation of transport by injury remains unclear [22]. Analysis of the DRG transcriptome will help us understand this regulation.

MicroRNAs are a class of non-coding RNAs that can regulate gene expression [23], which have shown promising prospects as potential therapeutic targets for neuropathic pain and axonal regeneration [24-27]. Studies have shown that reduction of miR-96 expression in DRG by drugs could improve Nav1.3 expression and alleviate mechanical and thermal hyperalgesia [28]. Mir-155-5p was shown to promote DRG neuronal axon growth through the cAMP/PKA pathway [27]. Thus, the identification of microRNAs could broaden the knowledge of global gene expression regulation in DRG after nerve injury.

We screened and systematically integrated mRNA and microRNA expression profiles of DRG after PNI to capture the most relevant microRNA-mRNA regulatory network. Our analysis also identified molecular pathways that may be highly involved in DRG phenotypic changes after PNI. At the same time, we compared the DRG transcriptome after PNI with that after CCI. CCI is a classical model for neuropathic pain, which has little damage to nerves. Such comparison will help us better understand the characteristics and mechanism of DRG in peripheral nerve injury [29].

**Materials and Methods**

**Inclusion criteria for datasets**

NCBI-GEO (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) and PubMed (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed) were used for the dataset retrieval. The meta-analysis was conducted following the PRISMA Statement [30].

The keywords used for gene expression data were: “DRG AND Nerve Injury”, “dorsal root ganglia AND Nerve Injury”, and “DRG AND Nerve Injury AND sequencing”. These meta-analysis searches comprised studies published between 2010 and 2021. Our inclusion criteria were (1) gene expression data in DRG with peripheral nerve injury, (2) the number of samples in each group should be greater than two, (3) the duration of nerve injury was within one month, (4) all types of peripheral nerve injury were considered, (5) the inclusion of normal tissues for comparison, and (6) all gene expression analysis platforms were considered. Our exclusion criteria were (1) non-DRG samples, (2) chronic constriction injury, (3) non-mRNA datasets, and (4) review studies.

The keywords used for microRNA expression data were: “DRG AND Nerve Injury”, “dorsal root ganglia AND Nerve Injury”, and “DRG AND Nerve Injury AND sequencing”. These meta-analysis searches comprised studies published between 2010 and 2021. Our inclusion criteria were (1) microRNA expression data in DRG with peripheral nerve injury, (2) the number of samples in each group should be greater than two, (3) the duration of nerve injury was within one month, (4) all types of peripheral nerve injury were considered, (5) the inclusion of normal tissues for comparison, and (6) all microRNA expression analysis platforms were considered. Our exclusion criteria were (1) non-DRG samples, (2) chronic constriction injury, (3) non-microRNA datasets, and (4) review studies.
datasets, and (4) review studies.
In this study, edgeR packages were used for gene differential expression analysis, gene/microRNA with adj p-value < 0.05 were considered to be differentially expressed.

Meta-analysis of global gene and microRNA datasets in DRG after PNI
Meta-analysis was performed using Vote counting generic ways of combining information[31], and the results were presented in the form of Venn diagrams by the graphing software (ORIGIN2019; OriginLab, Northampton, MA).

GO and KEGG Enrichment Analysis
Gene Ontology Analysis (http://www.geneontology.org) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) Analysis (http://www.genome.ad.jp/kegg/) were conducted for DEGs respectively. GO term/ KEGG pathway with adj p-value < 0.05 was considered to be significantly enriched. Bar chart of GO terms was drawn by Microsoft Excel 2016. KEGG network was visualized by ggplot2 packages.

Construction of protein-protein interaction (PPI) network and module analysis
The DEGs obtained in this study were imported into the STRING v.10.5 database [32] to construct a PPI network and the threshold was set to 0.4. The PPI network was visualized by Cytoscape software v. 3.7.2 [33], and cytoHubba[34] was used to calculate the degree of each node. The degree represents the number of interactions of a specific protein. In this network, we showed the top10 genes with the highest degree.

The plugin MCODE in Cytoscape was used for module analysis, and subnets (modules) were sorted by the score after they were obtained. For genes in each module, the degree was calculated using cytoHubba and the node size was adjusted according to the degree.

Prediction of microRNA target genes
Multiple algorithms (TargetScan [35], miRDB [36], and MicroT-CDS [37]) were used to predict microRNA target genes, and then the three results were intersected to obtain the predicted target genes of each microRNA.

Animal model establishment for verification
Specific-pathogen-free, male, Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats, aged 2 months and weighing 250-300 g, were purchased from Charles River (Boston, MA, USA). 12 rats were randomly divided into two groups (n=6 for each group): sham group and PNI group. The PNI group was anesthetized with 1.5% isoflurane (Huazhong Haiwei (Beijing) Gene Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). During the operation, the sciatic nerve of the right lower limb was separated and exposed under direct vision. A 1 cm length of sciatic nerve proximal to the division of tibial and common peroneal nerves was removed. The nerve stump was ligated and reflected to the adjacent muscle to prevent the nerve from regenerating. The sham group underwent the same surgical procedure without damaging the nerves. The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Peking University People’s Hospital (Permit Number: 2020PHE089).

Real-time quantitative PCR
The RNAprep Pure Tissue Kit (Tiangen Biotech, Beijing, China) was used to extract tissue RNA from the right L4-5 DRGs of each group. A portion of the RNA was reverse-transcribed using the 5X All-In-one RT MasterMix Kit (Abm, Vancouver, Canada) and the resulting DNA was used for quantitative analysis of the hub mRNA. Specific reverse transcription of the remaining RNA was performed using PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit (Takara, Osaka, Japan), and the resulting DNA was used for quantitative analysis of miRNA. Finally, EasyScript® first-strand cDNA Synthesis SuperMix (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China) was used for quantitative analysis.

| Table 1. primer sequences. |
|----------------------------|
| mRNA/miRNA | Sequence |
|-------------|----------|
| Gapdh       | forward primer: 5'-CTT CTC TTG TGA CAA AG TGG-3' reverse primer: 5'-GT A GAC TCC ACC ACA TAC TC-3' |
| Egfr        | forward primer: 5'-GTC CGG GCA GCC CCC-3' reverse primer: 5'- TAG CCT TTG CTT TTT TAT TAA GTT ACT GTT-3' |
| Ptprc       | forward primer: 5'-CCA ACA AAT CCT CAG CCT A-3' reverse primer: 5'- CCT CCC CTT TCC ATG TG-3' |
| Timp1       | forward primer: 5'-ACA CCT TTC TGC AAC TCG GA-3' reverse primer: 5'-CGG AAA CCT GTG GCA TTT CC-3' |
| Snap25      | forward primer: 5'-GAG TCC CTG GAA AGC ACC-3' reverse primer: 5'-GCC ATC GTT TGT TAC CTT-3' |
| Tyrobp      | forward primer: 5'-CAG GCC CAG AGT GAC TAT TAC C-3' reverse primer: 5'-ATG AGC AGA AGT GTC ACC AAG-3' |
| Itgb2       | forward primer: 5'-CAT CTT GCC CTG CTT TTC AC-3' reverse primer: 5'-GCT TGG TGC ATT CCT CGG AC-3' |
| Casp3       | forward primer: 5'-GCC GAA ACT CCT CAT CAT CTA GG-3' reverse primer: 5'-CAT ATC ATC ATC AGT TCC ACT GTC-3' |
| Cdt68       | forward primer: 5'-CCT GAC CCA GCG TGG AAA AA-3' reverse primer: 5'-TGA GAG ACC CAA GTG GGA GAT-3' |
| Cybb        | forward primer: 5'-GTT TGC CGG AAA CCC TTC TA-3' reverse primer: 5'-CCT TCT GGT GAC ATC GCC AA-3' |
| Csf1r       | forward primer: 5'-GCC AGG GTT CAT TAT CCA CAA G-3' reverse primer: 5'-TCA CCA CCT TAG TAG GTT CCA ATA T-3' |
Figure 1. Workflow of the methodology in the meta-analysis.

Table 2. Description of public data sets included in the meta-analysis.

| Number | Gene Expression Platforms | Surgery | Species             | Intervention duration | Ref.                  |
|--------|---------------------------|---------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1      | Illumina HiSeq 2000       | pSNL    | Rattus norvegicus   | 7 days                | GSE117526[42]         |
| 2      | Agilent-014879 Whole Rat Genome Microarray | Sciatic nerve resection | Rattus norvegicus   | 4 days                | GSE30165[41]          |
| 3      | Agilent-014879 Whole Rat Genome Microarray | Sciatic nerve resection | Rattus norvegicus   | 7 days                | GSE30165[41]          |
| 4      | Agilent-014879 Whole Rat Genome Microarray | Sciatic nerve resection | Rattus norvegicus   | 14 days               | GSE30165[41]          |
| 5      | Affymetrix GeneChip Mouse Transcriptome Array 1.0 | pSNL | Mus Musculus | 16 days               | E-MTAB-6864[43]       |

pSNL, partial sciatic nerve ligation; Ref, Reference.

Construction of the mTF-miRNA-gene-gTF regulatory network

9 up-microRNA / down-gene interactions and 8 down-microRNA / up-gene interactions obtained in this study were used to construct the mTF-miRNA-gene-gTF regulatory network. The TF related to these genes was called gTF, and the TF related to these miRNAs was called mTF. The gTF information was obtained from TRANSFAC [39], and the inclusion criteria were MSS and CSS score = 1. The mTF information was obtained from TransmiR v.2.0 database [40]. The mTF-miRNA-gene-gTF regulatory network was visualized by Cytoscape software v. 3.7.2 [33].

Results

Data screening criteria

In this study, five gene expression databases of DRG after PNI were included [41-43]. The flow diagram of included databases was shown in Figure 1. Species sources of the five databases were mice or rats. Surgical procedures were sciatic nerve ligation or sciatic nerve resection. The sampling time was within 1 month after the operation and the sample size was not less than 3/group (Table 2).

DEGs in DRG after PNI

Meta-analysis of five DRG gene expression databases revealed 211 upregulated mRNAs and 236 downregulated mRNAs (Figure 2) (Supplementary Table 1). GO analysis of these DEGs showed that functions of the upregulated genes in DRG after PNI were mainly concentrated in protein activity and cell secretion (GO:0032403~protein complex binding, GO:0050839~cell adhesion molecule binding, GO:0005515~protein binding, GO:0070062~extracellular exosome). The downregulated gene functions were mainly concentrated in the activity of ion channels as well as synaptic and neuronal development (GO:0005216~ion channel activity, GO:0044456~synapse part, GO:0097458~neuron part, GO:0043005~neuron projection, GO:0007399~nervous
system development) (Figure 3) (Supplementary Table 2). The KEGG enrichment analysis showed that the upregulated gene functions were concentrated in the TNF signaling pathway, cell adhesion molecules, p53 signaling pathway, etc., while the functions of downregulated genes were concentrated in the synaptic vesicle cycle, axon guidance, and citrate cycle, etc. (Figure 4).

![Figure 2. Identification of DEGs in DRG after PNI.](image)

(A) 211 upregulated mRNAs and (B) 236 downregulated mRNAs were identified based on 5 DRG datasets after PNI.

![Figure 3. GO terms in DRG after PNI.](image)

(A) GO terms for upregulated genes and (B) GO terms for downregulated genes. Each figure shows the top 5 BP, CC, and MF terms with the lowest p-value. BP, biological process; CC, cellular component; MF, molecular function.
The DEGs obtained from the meta-analysis were used to construct the protein-protein interaction (PPI) network, the depth of color was proportional to the degree of protein interaction (Figure 5A). The core proteins of the top3 functional modules clustered in PPI were Cybb, Rps20, and Nrn1 (Figure 5B). The top10 proteins with the highest interaction degree were Egfr, Ptprc, Timp1, Snap25, Tyrobp, Itgb2, Casp3, Cd68, Cybb, and Csf1r. Most of these proteins function in apoptosis, immunity, inflammation, and neurotransmitters (Table 3) (Supplementary Table 3).
Figure 5. PPI network and protein modules in DRG after PNI. (A) All DEGs obtained from the meta-analysis were used to draw the PPI, and the interacting proteins were connected by lines. The darker the color of the node, the greater the interaction degree between the nodes. The top 10 nodes with the highest degree were displayed in the upper right corner. (B) The top 3 clustered functional modules in PPI, the red nodes represent upregulated proteins, and the blue nodes represent downregulated proteins. The size of one node is determined by the degree of its connection to other nodes.

Table 3. Description of top 10 proteins in PPI.

| Official Symbol | Molecular function                                      | Biological process                                      | Location                  |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Egfr            | Developmental protein, Host cell receptor for virus entry, Kinase | Host-virus interaction                                   | Intracellular, Membrane, Secreted |
| Ptprc           | Protein tyrosine phosphatase, receptor type C           | cell growth, differentiation, mitosis                   | Intracellular, Membrane   |
| Timp1           | Growth factor, Metalloenzyme inhibitor                  | cell differentiation, migration and cell death          | Intracellular, Secreted   |
| Snap25          | Synaptosome associated protein                          | Neurotransmitter release                                 | Intracellular             |
| Tyrobp          | TYRO protein tyrosine kinase binding protein            | Immunity                                                | Intracellular             |
| Itgb2           | Integrin, Receptor                                      | Cell adhesion, Phagocytosis                             | Intracellular, Membrane   |
| Casp3           | Hydrolase, Protease, Thiol protease                     | Apoptosis                                               | Intracellular             |
| Cd68            | Binds to tissue- and organ-specific lectins or selectins | Phagocytic activities of tissue macrophages             | Intracellular, Membrane   |
| Cybb            | Ion channel, Oxidoreductase                             | Electron transport, Ion transport, Transport            | Membrane                  |
| Csf1r           | Kinase, Receptor, Transf erase, Tyrosine-protein kinase | Immunity, Inflammatory response, Innate immunity        | Intracellular, Membrane   |

PPI, protein-protein interaction.

Potential microRNAs in DRG after peripheral nerve injury

We obtained three microRNA expression databases of DRG after PNI [44]. After taking the intersection of these databases, we found two upregulated microRNAs (miR-21, miR-221) and three downregulated microRNAs (miR-30C-1, miR-500, miR-551b) (Figure 6). We then predicted the target genes of these microRNAs and intersected these genes with the DEGs identified in our meta-analysis. Finally, we revealed 9 pairs of up-microRNA/down-gene interactions and 8 pairs of down-microRNA/up-gene interactions (Table 4).
Figure 6. Identification of microRNAs in DRG after PNI. (A) 2 upregulated microRNAs and (B) 3 downregulated microRNAs were identified based on 3 DRG datasets after PNI. Den4, denervation for 4 days; Den7, denervation for 7 days; Den14, denervation for 14 days.

Table 4. MicroRNA/gene interactions.

| Up-MicroRNA / Down-gene | Down-MicroRNA / Up-gene |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| miR-21 / Hmg20a         | miR-30c-1 / Rhoq        |
| miR-21 / Slc25a46       | miR-30c-1 / Crem        |
| miR-21 / Etv1           | miR-500 / Sema3c        |
| miR-21 / Adss           | miR-551b / Cdc42sec2    |
| miR-21 / Mat2b          | miR-551b / Agrp         |
| miR-21 / Frs2           | miR-551b / Nln          |
| miR-21 / Hs3st2         | miR-551b / Bgl1         |
| miR-221 / Ube2q11       | miR-551b / Marcks       |
| miR-221 / Hmg20a        |                          |

Validation of the hub mRNAs and miRNAs in vivo

To verify the identified hub mRNAs and miRNAs in vivo, DRGs from the sham group and PNI group were extracted. It was found by qRT-PCR that the expression levels of Egfr, Ptprc, Tyrobp, Itgb2, Casp3, Cd68, Cybb, and Csf1r increased, and the expression level of Snap25 decreased in the PNI group, which was consistent with the bioinformatics analysis. There was no difference in Timp1 expression between the sham group and the PNI group (Figure 7A). In addition, in the 1 week post-PNI group, the expression levels of miR-21 and miR-221 increased, and the expression levels of miR-30c-1, miR-500, and miR-551b decreased, which was in line with the results of bioinformatics analysis (Figure 7B).

Construction of mTF-miRNA-gene-gTF regulatory network

To further investigate the regulatory mechanisms of microRNA-gene interactions in DRG after PNI, we constructed a regulatory network, including the above 9 pairs of up-microRNA/down-gene interactions and 8 pairs of down-microRNA/up-gene interactions, as well as the TF associated with these genes(gTF) and TF associated with these miRNAs(mTF) (Figure 8). Among them, miR-21 was regulated by most mTFs (Brd4, Hnf4a, Nfkbr1, Rela), Etv1 was the downregulated gene with most gTFs, Sema3c was the upregulated gene with most gTFs, and Cpbp, Spiib, Sry, Cdx1, and Ahr was the top5 gTF with most regulated genes (Table 5) (Supplementary Table 4-5).
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The mTF-up miRNA-down gene-gTF regulatory network

The mTF-down miRNA-up gene-gTF regulatory network

Figure 8. The mTF-miRNA-gene-gTF regulatory network in DRG after PNI. The mTF-miRNA-gene-gTF regulatory network of microRNA/gene interactions identified in DRG datasets after PNI obtained from Cytoscape software.

mTF, TF associated with miRNAs; gTF, TF associated with genes.

Table 5. Top5 gTF regulating most DEGs.

| gTF | DEGs                                                                 | Gene counts | miRNA targeting DEGs |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| Cbp | Hmg20a, Slc25a46, Etv1, Adss, Mat2b, Frs2, Hs3st2, Ube2q1l, Sema3c, Cdc42se2, Agrn, Nln, Marcks | 13          | miR-30c-1, miR-500, miR-551b, miR-21, miR-221 |
| Spib| Hmg20a, Slc25a46, Etv1, Adss, Mat2b, Ube2q1l, Crem, Sema3c, Cdc42se2, Nln, Btg1 | 11          | miR-30c-1, miR-500, miR-551b, miR-21, miR-221 |
| Sry | Hmg20a, Slc25a46, Etv1, Mat2b, Frs2, Ube2q1l, Crem, Sema3c, Cdc42se2, Btg1 | 10          | miR-30c-1, miR-500, miR-551b, miR-21, miR-221 |
| Cdx1| Hmg20a, Slc25a46, Etv1, Mat2b, Frs2, Cdc42se2, Nln, Marcks, Adss | 9           | miR-30c-1, miR-500, miR-551b, miR-21, miR-221 |
| Ahr | Mat2b, Hs3st2, Ube2q1l, Rhoq, Crem, Sema3c, Agrn, Nln | 8           | miR-30c-1, miR-500, miR-551b, miR-21, miR-221 |

Discussion

The change of DRG after nerve injury should be a process of interaction between DRG and injury stimuli. On the one hand, DRG transmits this injury signal to the central nervous system in the form of pain, and on the other hand, DRG will make some compliance with injury stimuli, including neuron damage, apoptosis and activation of regeneration program. The synthesis of various related factors increased and transported anterogradely to axons to promote axon regeneration [46-51]. These processes involve complex gene regulation, which genes and microRNAs may play a major role in pain transmission and nerve regeneration is the focus of this study. Many studies have analyzed DRG PNI-DEGs and CCI-DEGs and found that only 54 genes intersected (Figure 9C). To explore the transcriptome features of the two model, GO term enrichment analysis and clustering were performed for DEGs only in PNI and DEGs only in CCI respectively (Figure 10) (Table 6-7) (Supplementary Table 7-8). The results showed that compared with CCI, PNI transcriptome changes were more focused on mitochondria, energy metabolism and apoptosis, while CCI transcriptome changes were more focused on tissue growth and development. To better understand the correlation of the two models with neuropathic pain, we compared the expression of pain-related genes in the DRG under the two models (Table 8). These pain-related genes include P2Xs, Transient Receptor Potential Receptors, NaVs and CaVs. The results showed that PNI caused more extensive changes in pain-related genes than CCI, and the related mechanisms of pain caused by the two may be different.

Figure 8. The mTF-miRNA-gene-gTF regulatory network in DRG after PNI. The mTF-miRNA-gene-gTF regulatory network of microRNA/gene interactions identified in DRG datasets after PNI obtained from Cytoscape software.

mTF, TF associated with miRNAs; gTF, TF associated with genes.

DRG transcriptome comparison between PNI and CCI

In order to compare the effects of PNI and CCI on DRG, we retrieved three gene expression datasets of DRG after CCI [45], and conducted meta-analysis of these datasets, obtaining 224 up-regulated genes and 194 down-regulated genes (Figure 9A, B) (Supplementary Table 6). Further, we compared...
transcriptome alterations after PNI, however, the integration of those databases is still lacking. As far as we know, this is the first meta-analysis to integrate multiple mRNAs and microRNAs expression databases of DRG transcriptome after PNI to identify common regulatory networks and molecular pathways.

![Figure 9. Identification of DEGs in DRG after CCI and comparison between PNI and CCI.](image)

(A) 224 upregulated mRNAs and (B) 194 downregulated mRNAs were identified based on 3 DRG datasets after CCI. (C) Venn diagram of PNI-DEGs and CCI-DEGs. PNI-DEGs are obtained from the meta-analysis in this study. CCI-3, chronic constriction injury for 3 days; CCI-7, chronic constriction injury for 7 days; CCI-21, chronic constriction injury for 21 days; PNI, peripheral nerve injury; CCI, chronic constriction injury.

**Table 6. GO terms clustering in DRG after PNI.**

| Annotation Cluster               | Enrichment Score | Term                                                                 |
|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cell part                        | 13.62            | GO:0044444, GO:0005737, GO:0044424, GO:0035622, GO:0043227, GO:0043226, GO:0043231, GO:0043229, GO:0044422... |
| Vesicle                          | 13.20            | GO:0031988, GO:0031982, GO:0070462, GO:1903561, GO:0043230, GO:0044421, GO:0005576 |
| Mitochondrion                    | 11.74            | GO:0044429, GO:0005739, GO:0005740, GO:0031966, GO:0031967, GO:0031975, GO:0031090, GO:0044455, GO:0005743... |
| Transport                        | 9.91             | GO:0006810, GO:1902578, GO:0044765, GO:0051234, GO:0031179, GO:0032879, GO:0051049, GO:0033036 |
| Development                      | 7.85             | GO:0048731, GO:0044707, GO:0007275, GO:0044767, GO:0032502, GO:0048856, GO:0048513, GO:0007399, GO:0030154... |
| Neuron and axon                  | 7.40             | GO:0044297, GO:0007458, GO:0043005, GO:0042995, GO:0030255, GO:0036477, GO:0045202, GO:0030424, GO:0033267... |
| Cellular response to stimulus    | 7.03             | GO:0010033, GO:1901700, GO:0014070, GO:0009719, GO:1901698, GO:0010243, GO:0009725, GO:0070887, GO:0033993... |
| Response to hypoxia              | 6.72             | GO:0006968, GO:0001666, GO:0036293, GO:0070482 |
| Lipid metabolic process          | 6.05             | GO:0044281, GO:0032787, GO:0019752, GO:0034336, GO:0006802, GO:0006631, GO:0006629, GO:0044255 |
| Cell death                       | 5.64             | GO:0008219, GO:0006915, GO:0012501, GO:0011941, GO:0043067, GO:0042981, GO:0011942, GO:0033085, GO:0043068... |
Figure 10. GO terms clustering in DRG after PNI or CCI. GO term enrichment analysis and clustering were performed for DEGs only in PNI and DEGs only in CCI, and the cluster groups with top 10 enrichment score were displayed. (A) GO terms clustering in DRG after PNI. (B) GO terms clustering in DRG after CCI. PNI, peripheral nerve injury; CCI, chronic constriction injury.

Table 7. GO terms clustering in DRG after CCI.

| Annotation        | Cluster | Term                                                                 |
|-------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Vesicle           | 7.51    | GO:0031988, GO:0031982, GO:0044421, GO:0005576, GO:0043230, GO:1903561, GO:0070062 |
| Neuron and axon   | 5.47    | GO:0045202, GO:0043005, GO:002995, GO:009748, GO:0044463, GO:0044456, GO:0030425, GO:0036472, GO:0030424… |
| Development       | 5.22    | GO:0044707, GO:0048812, GO:0048731, GO:0007275, GO:0048858, GO:0031175, GO:0032290, GO:0007409, GO:0044767… |
| Development       | 4.84    | GO:0031775, GO:0051966, GO:0050767, GO:0048666, GO:0045664, GO:0050768, GO:0051961, GO:0031075, GO:0061284… |
| Transport         | 4.17    | GO:0032879, GO:0051049, GO:002578, GO:0044765, GO:0051179, GO:006811, GO:0006810, GO:0051234, GO:0033036… |
| Plasma membrane   | 3.96    | GO:0044459, GO:0031226, GO:005887, GO:0098797 |
| Organelle         | 3.77    | GO:0098805, GO:0005772, GO:005765, GO:0098852, GO:0005774, GO:0003232, GO:0044437, GO:0005774, GO:0098588… |
| Synapse           | 3.72    | GO:0044456, GO:0098794, GO:0097060, GO:0098590, GO:0045211 |
| Synapse           | 3.01    | GO:0098794, GO:0043197, GO:0044309 |
| Adhesion          | 2.89    | GO:0005925, GO:0005912, GO:005924, GO:0005055, GO:0070161 |

CCI, chronic constriction injury.
Table 8. Molecular mediators of neuropathic pain in PNI and CCI models.

| Injury Model | Intervention duration | P2Xs | TRPs | NaVs | CaVs |
|--------------|----------------------|------|------|------|------|
| PNI          | 1-7 days             | P2x2, P2x3, P2x4, P2x5, P2x6 | Trp1, Trpm1, Trpm2, Trpm4, Trpm5, Trpm6, Trpm7, Trpv1, Trpv4, Trpv5, Trpv6 | Scn1a, Scn2a, Scn3a, Scn5a, Cacna1a | Scn7a, Scn8a, Scn9a, Scn10a, Cacna1a |
|              | 7-21days             | P2x1, P2x2, P2x3, P2x4, P2x5, P2x6 | Trp1, Trpm1, Trpm2, Trpm3, Trpm4, Trpm5, Trpm6, Trpm7, Trpv1, Trpv2, Trpv3, Trpv4, Trpv5, Trpv6 | Scn1a, Scn2a, Scn3a, Scn4a, Cacna1b | Scn5a, Scn7a, Scn8a, Scn9a, Cacna1a |
| CCI          | 1-7 days             | P2x2 | P2x3 | P2x5, P2x6 | Scn1a, Scn2a, Scn3a, Scn9 | Scn1a, Scn2a, Scn3a, Scn9 |
|              | 7-21days             | P2x2 |      |          |                | Scn1a, Scn2a, Scn3a, Scn9, Cacna1a |

First, we included five DRG gene expression databases after PNI and revealed 211 upregulated genes and 236 downregulated genes. We constructed the PPI network using the DEGs obtained from the meta-analysis and found that the top10 proteins with the highest interaction degree were Egfr, Ptprc, Timp1, Snap25, Tyrobp, Itgb2, Casp3, Cd68, Cybb, and Csf1r. It has been confirmed that Egfr, Timp1, Snap25, Itgb3, Casp-3, and Csf1r are involved in the regulation of neuropathic pain. Egfr is the member of the ERBB tyrosine kinase receptors family [52] which affects important receptors associated with pain regulation, including β adrenergic receptors [53], cannabinoid type 1 (CB1), opioid receptors [54], and transient receptor potential cation channel, subfamily V, member 1 (TRPV1) receptors [55]. Timp1 can modulate 14 matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) [56-58], which has been shown to prevent mechanical and thermal hypersensitivity after PNI [59, 60]. Timp1 also can alleviate the development of inflammatory pain through cellular signaling mechanisms [61]. Snap25, Syntaxin4, Vamp2, and MUNC18-1 are located at postsynaptic sites, and their complexes in the spinal dorsal horn can cause inflammatory pain [62]. Casp-3 belongs to the caspase family, whose activation is the bifurcation point between cell plasticity and cell death [63]. It was found that enhancing Casp-3 could alleviate peripheral pain hypersensitivity [64]. Csf1r is a Csf receptor and regulates the development of the monocyte/macrophage lineage, which is involved in the control of neuropathic pain through the central action on microglia [65]. Other genes are mostly involved in inflammation and immune regulation: Ptprc is an inflammation-related gene [66]. As a signal transduction protein of several cell surface receptors, Tyrobp is a key regulator of the immune system [67]. Itgb2 encodes CD18 protein, which is involved in immune regulation [68]. Cd68, an inflammatory marker, is considered to be a selective marker for human monocytes and macrophages [69]. Cybb is an immune regulator and encodes gp91-Phox protein that phagocytoses NADPH oxidase [70]. As for nerve regeneration, Egfr plays a role in regulating neuronal and glial differentiation. In addition, it is involved in regeneration after injury and the development of neurodegenerative diseases [71]. Timp1 was found to regulate the differentiation state and function of Schwann cells in neural regeneration [72]. SNAP25b can promote axonal growth and spinal cord regeneration [73]. Casp3 regulates nerve regeneration after stroke [74]. The expression levels of these genes are significantly altered in DRG after PNI and may play an important role in the phenotypic alteration and functional regulation of DRG.

We further obtained three microRNA expression databases on DRG after PNI [44]. After taking the intersection of the databases, we found two upregulated microRNAs (miR-21, miR-221) and three downregulated microRNAs (miR-30c-1, miR-500, miR-551b). MiR-21 is a key switch of inflammatory response and a key factor in tumor regulation [75, 76]. However, recent studies found that miR-21 regulates neuronal excitability and DRG pain transmission via the regulation of Toll-like receptors 8 (TLR8) [77, 78]. Meanwhile, miR-21 promotes Schwann cell proliferation and axon regeneration during the repair of injured nerves [79]. The predictive targets of miR-21 also include Hmg20a, Scl25a46, Etv1, Adss, Mat2b, Frs2, and H3s3t2. Among which, Hmg20a is involved in neuronal differentiation and maturation [80]. Frs2 is a lipid anchoring docking protein, which plays a role in signal transduction between neurons [81, 82]. These genes may be important targets for miR-21 to regulate DRG after PNI. In addition, miR-221 can regulate neuropathic pain and promote Schwann cell proliferation and miR-500 can contribute to neuropathic pain by mediating the downregulation of GAD67 [85]. Studies found that the expression of miR-30c-5p was significantly altered in the thalamus and anterior cingulate gyrus of neuropathic pain animals, and its expression was up-regulated in the spinal cord, DRG, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and plasma of rats with sciatic nerve injury, and the expression of miR-30c-5p was associated with the severity of allodynia. Furthermore, its regulation has a relevant key role in the modulation of neuropathic pain [86, 87].
MiR-551b-5p was found to directly target brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), thereby regulating neural structural and functional recovery [88].

To further investigate the regulatory mechanisms of microRNA-gene interactions, we constructed a regulatory network. Among them, miR-21 had the most mTFs (Brd4, Hnf4a, Nfkβ1, Rela), Etv1 was the downregulated gene with the most gTFs, Sema3c was the upregulated gene with the most gTFs, and Cpbp was the gTF with the most regulated genes. Cpbp is a member of the Kruppel like factor (KLFs) protein family, which is involved in several key biological processes, such as proliferation, differentiation, metabolism, apoptosis, and inflammation. Cpbp can directly trans-activate TGFβ expression during tissue injury [89]. At the same time, Cpbp regulates the expression of pro-inflammatory genes and inflammatory macrophage polarization by cooperating with NF-κβ [90, 91]. This may be the primary mechanism by which Cpbp regulates related genes as a gTF.

CCI is a classic model of neuropathic pain that produces spontaneous pain characteristics with relatively minor nerve damage by placing a loose constrictive ligation around the common sciatic nerve [29]. We compared the DRG transcriptome after PNI to that of CCI and found that, PNI transcriptome changes were more focused on mitochondria, energy metabolism and apoptosis, while CCI transcriptome changes were more focused on tissue growth and development. By comparing the expression of pain-related genes in the DRG under the two models, we found PNI caused more extensive changes in pain-related genes than CCI. This suggests that nerve injury will cause more damage to DRG than simple pain, which is mainly manifested in the change of mitochondrial function and the initiation of apoptosis mechanism. Furthermore, the related mechanisms of pain caused by the two may be different.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this study is the first to comprehensively integrate and analyze the DRG-related mRNA expression and microRNA expression databases after PNI. First, we identified several genes playing an important role in the regulation of neuropathic pain and nerve regeneration, including Egfr, Ptprc, Timp1, Snap25, Tyrobp, Itgb2, Casp3, Cd68, Cybb, and Csf1r. Second, we further predicted new microRNA-mRNA interactions, such as miR-21/Hmg20a, miR-221/Ube2q1l, miR-30c-1/Rhoq, miR-500/Sema3c, and miR-551b/Cdc42se2, which may be important regulatory nodes. And we constructed the mTF-miRNA-gene-gTF regulatory network to further explore the molecular mechanism in DRG. Third, we compared the DRG transcriptome after PNI to that of CCI and found that PNI would cause greater damage stimulation to DRG than CCI, and the related mechanisms of pain caused by the two may be different.
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