Differential effects of recombinant human endostatin treatment on differentiated and undifferentiated blood vessels in Lewis lung cancer
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Abstract. In the present study, we evaluated the effects of recombinant human (rh-)endostatin treatment on differentiated and undifferentiated tumor vasculature in Lewis lung cancer for the first time. Lewis lung carcinoma models were established. The animals were treated daily with varying doses of rh-endostatin or physiological saline for 14 days. Intravital microscopy was performed following treatment. The expression of CD31 and CD34 was determined by immunohistochemical staining, and microvessel density (MVD) was determined. Rh‑endostatin treatment significantly decreased the tumor volume compared with the control group. Rh‑endostatin treatment normalized the architecture of the vascular network. CD31⁺ cells decreased following rh‑endostatin treatment, whereas CD34⁺ cells were unaffected by the treatment. Accordingly, the MVD value of CD31⁺ cells in rh‑endostatin treatment groups significantly decreased (P<0.01), and the MVD value of CD34⁺ cells in the rh‑endostatin treatment groups did not decrease. Undifferentiated tumor blood vessels were significantly inhibited by rh‑endostatin treatment. In conclusion, the normalization of the tumor vasculature by endostatin may be related to the differential effects of endostatin on differentiated and undifferentiated blood vessels.

Introduction

Angiogenesis is a complex multistep biological process in which new blood vessels are formed (1). Angiogenesis is required in numerous normal physiological processes (including wound healing, embryogenesis and normal ovarian function) as well as in the pathogenesis of many disorders (including malignant tumors) (2). Angiogenesis is regulated by a balance between pro- and anti-angiogenic molecules. However, in cancer, angiogenesis is dysregulated (2,3). In normal tissue, new blood vessels are predominantly well differentiated, while in tumors, both differentiated and undifferentiated new blood vessels are observed. In cancer patients, a poor prognosis is correlated with poor differentiation of new blood vessels (4,5).

Angiogenesis is crucial for tumor growth. Therefore, creating therapies to target angiogenesis is a popular area of investigation. A number of anti-angiogenic drugs have been developed, including monoclonal antibodies and synthetic tyrosine kinase inhibitors (6,7). Anti-angiogenic agents are approved for use in various types of cancer. Numerous patients have benefited from these inhibitors (8). One of these inhibitors, endostatin, has been observed to significantly suppress angiogenesis and tumor growth in a number of cancers, including Lewis lung cancer (9). However, endostatin, as well as other angiogenesis inhibitors, exhibits host toxicity, possibly due to the role angiogenesis plays in normal tissues.

Anti-angiogenic therapies are capable of improving chemotherapy efficacy by causing ‘vessel normalization’ in tumors (8). It has been observed that anti-angiogenic therapies transiently normalize the tumor vasculature (10,11). For example, recombinant human (rh-)endostatin (Endostar) normalizes the tumor vasculature and microenvironment in Lewis lung carcinoma (12). However, the mechanism of vessel normalization is unclear. We hypothesized that vessel normalization may result from the different responses of differentiated and undifferentiated tumor blood vessels to the anti-angiogenic therapies. Currently, the effects of anti-angiogenic therapies on differentiated or undifferentiated vessels have not been determined.

Tumor angiogenesis is measured by microvessel density. Two blood vessel markers, CD31 and CD34, are used to reveal different characteristics of the tumor vasculature. CD31 is expressed in all microvessels (i.e. undifferentiated and differentiated) (13,14), while CD34 is highly expressed in the normal vascular endothelium, and is therefore a sensitive marker of differentiated, well-formed vessels (15,16).

In the present study, we investigated the response of differentiated and undifferentiated vasculature to rh-endostatin in Lewis lung carcinoma by measuring the microvessel density.
We also observed the normalization of tumor vessels using two-photon confocal microscopy.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and animal model. The Lewis lung carcinoma cell line was purchased from the Laboratory of Immunology, Shandong Academy of Medical Sciences, Jinan, China, and maintained in 10% fetal bovine serum.

Forty female specific pathogen-free C57BL/6 mice (Vital River Laboratories Ltd., Beijing, China) were selected for this study. The mice were 5-7 weeks of age and weighed 17-19 g. The mice were housed in groups of three and kept on a 12 h light/dark cycle with free access to food and water. To establish tumors, 2x10^6 Lewis lung carcinoma cells were subcutaneously injected into the right flank of each mouse. All experiments were approved by the Institute Animal Care and Committee of Shandong University.

Treatment protocol. Rh-endostatin was provided by Shandong Simcere-Medgenn Bio-pharmaceutical Company (Yantai, China). Once the tumors reached a length of 6-7 mm, the mice were randomly assigned to four groups. Subcutaneous injections of rh-endostatin were administered daily at 5, 25 and 50 mg/kg for 14 days. Physiological saline was administered to the control group. Body weights were recorded daily. Tumor volumes were estimated daily using the formula 0.52 x length (mm) x width (mm^2), in which the length and perpendicular width were measured by calipers (12). After 14 days, the mice were sacrificed and the tumors were harvested, fixed in 10% formalin, and embedded in paraffin for subsequent experiments.

Intravital microscopy. To visualize functional blood vessels in the C57BL/6 mice, fluorescein isothiocyanate-isothiocyanate-dextran (FD2000S; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was injected following the 14-day rh-endostatin treatment. The dye was injected immediately prior to observation using two-photon confocal microscopy (17). The two-photon confocal microscope was comprised of an FV 300 laser confocal microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) with a 60X objective and photomultiplier tubes. A Ti:Sapphire laser source (Coherent, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) with an excitation wavelength of 900 nm was used in the two-photon experiments. Normal skeletal muscle vessels were also observed as the normal control.

Immunohistochemistry. Four-micron serial sections were cut from the blocks of each mouse tumor. The slides were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated through a series of graded alcohol. High-temperature antigen retrieval was performed in a citrate salt antigen repair solution for 10 min in a microwave oven. After cooling to room temperature, the slides were incubated in blocking serum for 30 min. Primary anti-CD31 antibody (1:100, rat monoclonal, ab56299; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) and anti-CD34 antibody (1:50, rat monoclonal, ab8158, Abcam) were applied. The slides were incubated overnight at 4°C in a high humidity chamber. Certain sections were incubated in phosphate-buffered saline as a negative control. After washing, the tissue sections were treated with biotinylated goat-anti-rat secondary antibody (Zhongshan Biotechnology Company, Beijing, China) and further incubated with streptavidin-horseradish peroxidase complex for 20 min. Sections were finally stained with diaminobenzidine and counterstained with hematoxylin and eosin.

Microvessel density. Microvessel density (MVD) was determined according to the method described by Huang and Chen (12). Briefly, two researchers independently assessed MVD. Any CD31+ or CD34+ endothelial cells or cell clusters that were clearly separated from the surrounding tumor and stromal cells were counted as microvessels. The sections were screened at lower magnifications (x100) to identify five vascularized areas. Within the selected areas, microvessels were counted under high magnification (x400). The MVD was the average number of microvessels in the five fields. Discrepancies were resolved through discussion and reviewing the section.

Statistical analysis. Data were expressed as the means ± standard error. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 19.0 (IBM SPSS, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Statistical significance was determined by one-way analysis of variance. The least significant difference test was applied for multiple means comparisons. P<0.05 was considered to represent a statistically significant difference.

Results

Rh-endostatin inhibits tumor growth in a dose-dependent manner. Following the 14-day treatment with rh-endostatin, tumor growth was inhibited (Fig. 1). Tumor volumes were significantly reduced in the rh-endostatin groups compared with those in the control group (P<0.05). Tumor volumes were significantly reduced in the rh-endostatin-treated animals compared with those in control animals (P<0.05). This data confirms that rh-endostatin effectively inhibits tumor growth.
Rh-endostatin normalizes the architecture of the tumor vasculature. We examined the effect of rh-endostatin on the morphology of blood vessels in the Lewis lung cancer tumors by confocal microscopy. Normal skeletal muscle has an organized vasculature with a relatively smooth vascular wall and uniform diameter (Fig. 2A). In contrast, the Lewis lung cancer tumor in the control group had abundant tortuous vessels with abrupt changes in vessel diameter and a number of extremely small vessels (Fig. 2B). However, in the tumors treated with rh-endostatin, the vessels became less tortuous and more regular, and assumed a relatively normal morphology as the dose increased (Fig. 2C-E). These data provide further evidence that rh-endostatin normalizes the architecture of the vascular network.

Rh-endostatin affects differentiated and undifferentiated blood vessels differently. Following the 14-day treatment with rh-endostatin, we observed a decreased number of CD31+ cells in the tumor blood vessels (Fig. 3). However, we did not observe any differences in CD34 staining between the control and rh-endostatin-treated groups (Fig. 4). The MVD of CD31+ cells significantly decreased following treatment with rh-endostatin (61.6±6.53, 52.8±5.8 and 39.2±6.94, respectively, Figure 5).
in the 5, 25 and 50 mg/kg rh-endostatin groups vs. 69.0±7.62 in the control group; P<0.05) (Fig. 5). This decrease occurred in a dose-dependent manner. Furthermore, the MVD of CD34+ cells was similar in the treatment and control groups (28.0±3.15, 26.5±2.54 and 24.7±4.13, respectively, in the 5, 25 and 50 mg/kg rh-endostatin groups vs. 27.5±2.98 in the control group; P>0.05) (Fig. 5).

According to previous studies, undifferentiated blood vessels may be quantified by CD31+CD34+ cells or by subtracting the CD34+ MVD value from the CD31+ MVD value (4,18). We observed that the number of undifferentiated blood vessels (CD31-CD34) was significantly decreased following rh-endostatin treatment (Fig. 5). Accordingly, the decrease occurred in a dose-dependent manner (33.5±5.97, 26.3±5.33 and 14.5±3.61, respectively, in the 5, 25 and 50 mg/kg rh-endostatin groups vs. 41.44±6.62 in the control group; P<0.01).

Discussion

In the present study, we evaluated the effects of recombinant human (rh-)endostatin treatment on differentiated and undifferentiated tumor vasculature in Lewis lung cancer for the first time. We detected a change in tumor microvesSEL density, the ‘gold standard’ of assessing tumor angiogenesis, following treatment with varying doses of rh-endostatin. The tumor blood vessel cells with positive CD31 staining represent both differentiated and undifferentiated endothelial cells, whereas cells with positive CD34 staining represent only differentiated endothelial cells (4,18). Following rh-endostatin treatment, CD31+ cells significantly decreased in a dose-dependent manner. However, no significant reduction in differentiated neovascularization (CD34+ cells) was observed. These data led us to conclude that rh-endostatin primarily inhibited the undifferentiated (CD31-CD34+) neovascularization. This is the first study to observe the differential effects of rh-endostatin on differentiated and undifferentiated neovascularization.

The role played by endostatin, an efficient anti-angiogenic and antitumor molecule, in angiogenesis inhibition is still unclear. It may directly affect cells undergoing angiogenesis or regulate the secretion of various growth factors (9,19-21). Endostatin was first isolated from the supernatant of a murine hemangio-endothelioma, and it almost completely suppressed the formation of new blood vessels (9). Since then, numerous studies have investigated the effect of endostatin on angiogenesis (19-21) and on tumor vessel normalization (12,22). However, none of these studies focused on the differential effects of rh-endostatin on differentiated and undifferentiated blood vessels. Our research suggests that rh-endostatin has a stronger effect on undifferentiated blood vessels than on differentiated blood vessels. This result provided further insight into the mechanism of tumor vessel normalization by endostatin.

In addition, we directly observed the effect of rh-endostatin on tumor vasculature in Lewis lung cancer using intravital two-photon confocal microscopy. Imaging revealed that following rh-endostatin treatment, the tumor vessels were similar to normal vessels. Tumor microvessels may be observed directly in real time and in vivo by two-photon microscopy (17). Several studies have demonstrated tumor vessel normalization by anti-angiogenic drugs using multi-photon confocal microscopy. For example, Tong et al (23) demonstrated the normalization process in four tumor types following DC101 treatment. In addition, von Baumgarten et al (24) observed the normalization of glioma blood vessels following bevacizumab treatment. However, the present study is the first to report the use of intravital confocal microscopy to visualize tumor vessel normalization in Lewis lung cancer following endostatin treatment.

In conclusion, we observed that rh-endostatin significantly inhibited the formation of undifferentiated vasculature (CD31-CD34) but did not inhibit the formation of differentiated vasculature (CD31+CD34+). Normalization of the tumor blood vessels was also observed. Taken together, these results suggest that normalization of the tumor vasculature by endostatin may be related to the differential effects of endostatin on differentiated and undifferentiated blood vessels. Further study into the mechanism of tumor vessel normalization by endostatin is required in order to apply these findings to the clinic.
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