Genetic Variation for Ontogenetic Shifts in Metabolism Underlies Physiological Homeostasis in Drosophila
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ABSTRACT Organismal physiology emerges from metabolic pathways and subcellular structures like the mitochondria that can vary across development and among individuals. Here, we tested whether genetic variation at one level of physiology can be buffered at higher levels of biological organization during development by the inherent capacity for homeostasis in physiological systems. We found that the fundamental scaling relationship between mass and metabolic rate, as well as the oxidative capacity per mitochondria, changed significantly across development in the fruit fly Drosophila. However, mitochondrial respiration rate was maintained at similar levels across development. Furthermore, larvae clustered into two types—those that switched to aerobic, mitochondrial ATP production before the second instar, and those that relied on anaerobic, glycolytic production of ATP through the second instar. Despite genetic variation for the timing of this metabolic shift, metabolic rate in second-instar larvae was more robust to genetic variation than was the metabolic rate of other instars. We found that larvae with a mitochondrial-nuclear incompatibility that disrupts mitochondrial function had increased aerobic capacity and relied more on anaerobic ATP production throughout development relative to larvae from wild-type strains. By taking advantage of both ways of making ATP, larvae with this mitochondrial–nuclear incompatibility maintained mitochondrial respiratory capacity, but also had higher levels of whole-body reactive oxygen species and decreased mitochondrial membrane potential, potentially as a physiological defense mechanism. Thus, genetic defects in core physiology can be buffered at the organismal level via physiological plasticity, and natural populations may harbor genetic variation for distinct metabolic strategies in development that generate similar organismal outcomes.
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METABOLISM is the sum total of biochemical processes that organisms use to sustain life and fuel reproduction, and an individual’s metabolic rate is often interpreted as an integrated measure of its “pace of life” (Glazier 2005, 2014, 2015). Early surveys of natural molecular variation revealed a surprising amount of variation at loci encoding these biochemical processes (Harris 1966; Hubby and Lewontin 1966; Lewontin and Hubby 1966; Lewontin and Hubby 1966)—a pattern that has been historically used to advocate both for the predominance of classical mutational, drift, and purifying selection forces (Kimura 1983), and for the maintenance of variation through selection (Gillespie 1999; reviewed by Charlesworth and Charlesworth 2016). Subsequent surveys revealed substantial quantitative genetic variation in metabolic enzyme activities within species, arising from molecular variation at enzyme-encoding loci, as well as trans-acting and epistatic variation throughout the genome (Laurie-Ahlberg et al. 1980, 1982; Clark et al. 1995a, b; Clark and Wang 1997; Mitchell-Olds and Pedersen 1998; Montooth et al. 2003). In a few cases, this biochemical variation has been linked to variation at higher levels of physiological performance (Laurie-Ahlberg et al. 1982; Watt et al. 1983; Montooth et al. 2003; Crawford and Oleksia 2007), and, in some cases, may be adaptive (Watt 1977; Tishkoff et al. 2001; Verrelli and Ennos 2001; Watt et al. 2003). However, we lack a mechanistic understanding of how genetic variation in the pathways of metabolism is transformed up the hierarchical
levels of biological organization to result in variation in organismal performance traits that determine fitness. This is important for consideration of metabolism as an adaptive phenotype and for predicting how selection on metabolic performance will shape variation in genomes.

A challenge to connecting genetic variation in biochemical processes to metabolic performance, is that metabolism is an emergent property of interacting biochemical, structural, regulatory, and physiological systems, often arranged in hierarchical functional modules (Jeong et al. 2000; Strogatz 2001; Ravasz et al. 2002; Barabási and Oltvai 2004). In addition, metabolic enzymes and metabolites have potential “moonlighting” functions in the signaling that underlies metabolic homeostasis (Marden 2013; Boukouris et al. 2016). The capacity for homeostasis in physiological systems also suggests that genetic variation in biochemical processes may not necessarily result in organismal fitness variation. The regulatory processes that maintain energy homeostasis may provide stability in metabolic trajectories in an analogous way to the canalized developmental trajectories envisioned by Waddington (1942, 1957) and Meiklejohn and Hartl (2002). Furthermore, multiple biochemical pathways may be available to achieve similar energetic outputs. Finally, the hierarchical biological processes that contribute to metabolism are influenced by both extrinsic (e.g., temperature, resource availability, habitat) and intrinsic (e.g., genotype, life stage, sex, reproductive status) factors (reviewed by Glazier 2005), such that genetic variation in biochemical processes may affect organismal performance and fitness in only a subset of conditions. Such conditionally neutral variation is expected to experience less effective selection (Van Dyken and Wade 2010).

Development is a potentially important context for the expression of genetic variation in metabolism. During development, organisms partition resources between the competing demands of growth, development, maintenance, and storage for future reproduction. Energy homeostasis during development is largely achieved by feedback controls where energy-demand processes increase the concentration of ADP, which is then available for energy-supply processes to generate ATP. The mitochondria play a central role in the energy supply-demand balance. Not only the abundance and activity of mitochondria, but also the surface area, membrane composition, and network structure of mitochondria have been reported to affect metabolism (Porter and Brand 1993; Porter et al. 1996; Miettinen and Björklund 2017). Oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS), which drives aerobic ATP production, requires proteins from both the mitochondrial and nuclear genomes, creating the potential for interfrogenic epistasis to underlie variation in metabolic phenotypes. Our understanding of the underlying genetic architecture of metabolism is incomplete, but studies indicate that both nuclear DNA (nDNA) (Montooth et al. 2003; Nespolo et al. 2007; Tieleman et al. 2009), mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) (Martin 1995; Ballard and Rand 2005; Arnqvist et al. 2010; Kurbalija Novičić et al. 2015), and interactions between genomes and environment affect metabolism (Hoekstra et al. 2013, 2018).

Energy balance is particularly challenging for holometabolous species with rapid and massive larval growth that requires simultaneous accumulation of the resources needed to fuel metamorphosis. Drosophila melanogaster is an especially powerful system to study developmental metabolism, given the genetic resources and an ~200-fold increase in body mass across three larval instars (Church and Robertson 1966). There is evidence of significant genetic variation for metabolic rate within Drosophila (Montooth et al. 2003; Hoekstra et al. 2013), and individuals with mitochondrial–nuclear genotypes that disrupt mitochondrial function have increased larval metabolic rate and delayed development (Hoekstra et al. 2013; Meiklejohn et al. 2013). Transcriptomic and metabolic profiling in D. melanogaster reveal the dynamic nature of energy metabolism that draws on both aerobic and anaerobic energy production, as well as the presence of proliferative metabolic programs during larval development (Graveley et al. 2011; Tennesen et al. 2011). Despite this wealth of data, we lack a detailed understanding of the links between genome variation, mitochondrial function, and organismal metabolic rate during development in Drosophila.

In the present study, we tested whether metabolic strategies in D. melanogaster varied across larval instars, using both wild-type larvae and larvae with a mitochondrial–nuclear genotype that compromises mitochondrial function. We observed significant variation in the ontogeny of metabolism at the level of mitochondrial aerobic capacity and ATP production, but also observed that this variation was buffered at higher levels of metabolic performance via physiological plasticity. Thus, there may be multiple genotypic and physiological paths to equivalent organismal outcomes within populations.

Methods

Drosophila stocks and maintenance

We used four Drosophila mitochondrial-nuclear (hereafter referred to as mito-nuclear) genotypes generated by Montooth et al. (2010). Individuals with the (mtDNA)-nuclear genotype (simw^501);OreR have a genetic incompatibility that decreases OXPHOS activity putatively via compromised mitochondrial protein translation, resulting in delayed development, decreased immune function, and reduced female fecundity (Hoekstra et al. 2013, 2018; Meiklejohn et al. 2013; Holmbeck et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2017; Buchanan et al. 2018). The mito-nuclear incompatibility is between naturally occurring single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the mt-tRNA^ cy gene and the nuclear-encoded mt-tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase gene Aatm that aminocylates the mt-tRNA^ cy (Meiklejohn et al. 2013). Individuals with the mito-nuclear genotypes—(ore);OreR, (simw^501);Aut, and (ore);Aut—serve as genetic controls that enable us to test for the effects of mitochondrial and nuclear genotypes, and their interaction on developmental physiology. Additionally, we measured traits in two inbred
Isofemale fly strains sampled in Vermont (VT4 and VT10) as representatives of natural populations that were not manipulated to generate specific mito-nuclear genotypes (for details see Cooper et al. 2014).

Flies from all strains were raised on standard cornmeal-molasses-yeast Drosophila medium and acclimated to 25°C with a 12:12 hr dark:light cycle for at least three generations prior to all experiments. To collect first-, second- and third-instar larvae, adults were allowed to lay eggs for 3–4 hr on standard media, and larvae from these cohorts were staged based on developmental time and distinguishing morphological features.

Larval metabolic rate

Routine metabolic rate was measured as the rate of CO₂ produced by groups of 20 larvae of the same instar and strain using a flow-through respirometry system (Sable Systems International, Henderson, NV) (Hoekstra et al. 2013). Groups of larvae were collected onto the cap of 1.7 ml tube containing 0.5 ml of fly medium and placed inside one of four respirometry chambers that were housed in a temperature-controlled cabinet (Tritech Research, Los Angeles, CA) maintained at 25°C. Between 8 and 13 biological replicates for each strain and instar were randomized across chambers and respirometry runs, during which each group of larvae was sampled for CO₂ production for two 10-min periods. CO₂ that accumulated in the chambers as a result of larval metabolism was detected using an infrared CO₂ analyzer (Li-Cor 7000 CO₂/H₂O Analyzer; LI-COR, Lincoln, NE). VCO₂ was calculated from the mean fractional increase in CO₂ at a constant air-flow rate of 100 ml/min over a 10-min time interval for each replicate after baseline-drift correction. The wet weight of the group of larvae was recorded using a Cubis microbalance (Sartorius AG, Göttingen, Germany) at the beginning of each respirometry run.

Larval water and lipid content

We measured larval whole-body water content using a protocol modified from Grefen et al. (2006). Six groups of 10 larvae each were collected for each strain at each instar, rinsed with larval wash buffer (0.7% NaCl and 0.1% Triton X-100), and blotted dry. The wet weight of the group of larvae was recorded (∓0.01 mg) using a Cubis microbalance (Sartorius AG, Göttingen, Germany). Larvae were dried at 60°C overnight and reweighed. The mass of whole-body water was calculated by subtracting dry mass from wet mass.

Larval whole-body lipid content was measured using a protocol modified from Bligh and Dyer (1959). Six groups of 10 larvae each were collected for each strain at each instar, rinsed with larval wash buffer, blotted dry, and weighed (∓0.01 mg). Larvae were homogenized in a chloroform/methanol mixture (2:1 v/v) using tissue:solvent proportion of 1:20 w/v. Samples were sonicated for 1 min using a Sonic Dismembrators (ThermoFisher Scientific), vortexed for 1 hr at room temperature, and then centrifuged for 5 min at 13,000 × g. The supernatant was transferred in a new tube, mixed with ultrapure water (0.25 vol of the supernatant), vortexed for 2 min, and centrifuged for 5 min at 13,000 × g. The lower phase (chloroform) was transferred into a preweighed microcentrifuge tube and chloroform was allowed to evaporate to determine the dry mass of extracted lipids using a microbalance.

Isolation of mitochondria

Mitochondria were isolated from larvae following a protocol modified from Aw et al. (2016); 100–200 larvae for each biological replicate were collected and rinsed with larval wash buffer (0.7% NaCl and 0.1% Triton X-100). Larvae were gently homogenized in 300–500 μl of chilled isolation buffer (154 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) in a glass-teflon Thomas® homogenizer on ice. The homogenate was filtered through a nylon cloth into a clean, chilled, microcentrifuge tube. The homogenate was then centrifuged at 1500 × g for 8 min at 4°C. The resulting mitochondrial pellet was suspended in 40–50 μl of ice-cold mitochondrial assay solution (MAS: 15 mM KCl, 10 mM KH₂PO₄, 2 mM MgCl₂, 3 mM HEPES, 1 mM EGTA, FA-free BSA 0.2%, pH 7.2). Unless otherwise stated, all chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St Louis, MO) or Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA) and were of reagent grade or higher.

Mitochondrial respiration

Oxygen consumption of freshly isolated mitochondria was measured using the Oxygraph Plus System (Hansatech Instruments, Norfolk, UK) in 3 ml water-jacketed glass chambers equipped with a magnetic stirrer and Clark-type oxygen electrodes. Temperature of the respiration chambers was kept constant at 25°C using a Fisher Isotemp 4100 R20 refrigerated water circulator (Fisher Scientific, Hampton, NH). A two-point calibration of electrodes using air-saturated distilled water and sodium sulfite was done for establishing 100% and zero oxygen levels in the chamber, respectively. The assay was completed within 2 hr of mitochondrial isolation, and six or seven biological replicates were measured for each larval stage of each strain. Mitochondrial suspension (50 μl; ~1.5 mg protein) was added to 950 μl of MAS in the respiration chamber. Pyruvate (5 mM) and malate (2.5 mM) were used as respiratory substrates at saturating amounts. Maximum respiration (State 3) was achieved by adding 400 μM of ADP, and State 4[respiration was calculated as described by Chance and Williams (1955) by adding 2.5 μg ml⁻¹ oligomycin. Oligomycin is an ATPase inhibitor and State 4(respiration gives an estimate of oxygen consumption linked to mitochondrial proton leak, rather than to ATP production, at high membrane potential (Brand et al. 1994). Uncoupled respiration (State 3u), indicative of maximum respiration or electron transport system (ETS) capacity, is achieved by adding 0.5 μM of carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenyl hydrazone (CCCP). CCCP is a protonophore that increases proton permeability in mitochondria and effectively disconnects ETS from ATPase. Data were acquired and respiration rates were corrected for electrode drift using the OxyTrace+.
software. The respiratory control ratio (RCR+) was calculated as the ratio of State 3 over State 4_{O2} (Estabrook 1967). Respiration rates were normalized by unit mitochondrial protein added. Protein concentrations were determined using Bio-Rad Protein Assay Dye Reagent Concentrate (5000006; Bio-Rad) and bovine serum albumin (BSA) as a standard.

Mitochondrial membrane potential (ΔΨm)

Mitochondrial membrane potential was measured using the JC-1 indicator dye (Fisher Scientific) following a protocol modified from Villa-Cuesta et al. (2014); 100 mg of larvae were weighed and used to isolate mitochondria as described above. Approximately 1.4 mg of mitochondrial protein was added, and the final volume was increased to 300 μL using MAS. Next, 3 μL of a 1 μg/μL solution of JC-1 dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was added to the suspension. Mitochondrial samples were incubated for 30 min at 37°C protected from light. At the end of incubation, samples were centrifuged for 3 min at 6000 × g and suspended in 600 μL of fresh MAS. Mitochondrial membrane potential was expressed as the ratio of fluorescence for aggregate:monomeric forms of JC-1 at red (excitation 485 nm, emission 600 nm) and green (excitation 485 nm, emission 530 nm) wavelengths respectively; 50 μM of CCCP was added to collapse membrane potential as a negative control.

Citrate synthase activity

Citrate synthase activity was measured following the protocol from Meiklejohn et al. (2013); 100–200 larvae were homogenized in 1 mL chilled isolation buffer (225 mM mannitol, 75 mM sucrose, 10 mM MOPS, 1 mM EGTA, 0.5% fatty acid-free BSA, pH 7.2) using a glass-teflon Thomas® homogenizer. The homogenate was centrifuged at 300 × g for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant was transferred into a clean tube and centrifuged again at 6000 × g for 10 min at 4°C. The resulting mitochondrial pellet was resuspended in 50 μL of respiration buffer (225 mM mannitol, 75 mM sucrose, 10 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, and 5 mM KH2PO4, pH 7.2). All samples were stored at −80°C till further analysis.

Maximum citrate synthase activity (Vmax) of the mitochondrial extracts was measured spectrophotometrically at 25°C using a Synergy 2 plate reader (BioTek); 6 μg of mitochondrial protein was added to the assay mixture containing 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 2.5 mM EDTA, 100 μM Acetyl Co-A, and 100 μM of DTNB [5,5’-dithiobis (2-nitrobenzoic acid)]. The reaction was monitored for 2 min as a background reading. The reaction was then started by adding 500 μL oxaloacetate to the assay to generate CoA-SH. CoA-SH was detected by its reaction with DTNB to form a yellow product (mercaptide ion) that was measured using absorbance at 412 nm. Enzyme activity was normalized by protein concentration of the sample added. Six biological samples per strain and instar were measured, each with two technical replicates.

Lactate quantification

Whole-body lactate concentrations were measured by an NAD+/NADH-linked fluorescent assay following the protocol of Callier et al. (2015); 100–200 larvae were homogenized in 100–500 μL of 17.5% perchloric acid and centrifuged at 14,000 × g for 2 min at 4°C. Following precipitation of proteins, the clear supernatant was transferred into a clean tube and neutralized with a buffer containing 2 M KOH and 0.3 M MOPS, and again centrifuged at 14,000 × g for 2 min at 4°C. Neutralized sample (20–50 μL) was added to the assay buffer (pH 9.5) containing a final concentration of 1000 mM hydrazine, 100 mM Tris-base, 1.4 mM EDTA, and 2.5 mM NAD+ in a 96-well plate. The assay was performed in fluorescence mode (Ex/Em = 360/460 nm) using a Synergy 1H Hybrid Reader (BioTek). After incubating the plate for 5 min at room temperature, a background reading was taken. Lactate dehydrogenase (17.5 U/well; L3916; Sigma) diluted with Tris buffer was then added to each sample, and the reaction mixture was allowed to incubate at 37°C for 30 min protected from light. A second reading was taken to measure NADH levels, after correcting for background fluorescence. Six biological samples per strain and instar were measured, each with two technical replicates. Sodium lactate was used as a standard for the assay. Lactate concentrations in the samples were normalized by the wet weight of the larvae.

Hydrogen peroxide quantification

Larvae (100–200) were weighed, rinsed with larval wash buffer (0.7% NaCl and 0.1% Triton X-100), and homogenized in 500 μL of prechilled assay buffer (pH 7.5) containing 20 mM HEPES, 100 mM KCl, 5% glycerol, 10 mM EDTA, 0.1% Triton X-100, 1 mM PMSF (P7626; Sigma), and 1:10 (v/v) protease inhibitor cocktail (P2714; Sigma) using a glass-teflon Thomas homogenizer. The homogenate was centrifuged at 200 × g for 5 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was stored at −80°C. Hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) concentration was determined with a fluorometric H2O2 Assay Kit (MAK 165; Sigma) following the manufacturer’s protocol in a 96-well plate using the Synergy H1 Hybrid Reader. Six biological samples per strain and instar were measured, each with two technical replicates. H2O2 concentrations in the samples were expressed as nM/μg of protein. The mitochondria serve as both source and sink of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the organism (Munro and Treberg 2017). Thus, we used this whole-body measure of H2O2 as an estimate of the organismal consequences of mitochondrial function.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses used the statistical package R version 2.15.1 (R Development Core Team 2011). We implemented standard major-axis regression in the R-package SMATR (Warton et al. 2006; Hoekstra et al. 2013) to estimate the relationship between log-transformed mass and VCO2, and to test for larval-instar and genetic effects on the slope of this relationship. When there was statistical evidence for a common slope among genotypes or strains, we fit the common
slope to test for effects of genotype or strain on the \( y \)-intercept (i.e., genetic effects on the mass-specific metabolic rate). We removed a single observation where a first-instar replicate had a \( \dot{V}_{\text{CO}_2} \) value less than zero. We also used SMATR to analyze the scaling of total lipid plus water content with dry body mass. ANOVA was used to test for the fixed effects of mtDNA, nuclear genome, larval instar, and all interactions on lactate accumulation, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) concentration, mitochondrial physiology (State3, State4, uncoupled respiration, \( \text{RCR}^+ \), and \( \Delta \Psi_m \)) and citrate synthase activity using averages across technical replicates. Post hoc comparisons among instars within a genotype or strain, and among genotypes or strains within instar were evaluated using Tukey HSD tests that were corrected for multiple testing.

**Data availability**

Stocks and strains are available upon request. Supplemental files including all phenotype data are available at FigShare. Supplemental material available at FigShare: https://doi.org/10.25386/genetics.7946795.

**Results**

**Metabolic rate scaling with mass varies across larval instars and strains**

Metabolic rate scales with mass according to the power function \( R = \alpha M^b \), where \( \alpha \) is the constant scaling coefficient, \( M \) is mass, and \( b \) is the scaling exponent (Kleiber 1932). The scaling exponent \( b \), estimated by the slope of the relationship between log-transformed metabolic rate and mass, differed significantly across larval instars (Figure 1A) \( (LR = 18.1, df = 2, P = 0.0001) \). Metabolic scaling with body mass was hypermetric in first-instar larvae \( [b (CI) = 1.42 (1.21, 1.67)] \), isometric in second-instar larvae \( [b = 1.04 (0.95, 1.15)] \), and hypometric in third-instar larvae \( [b = 0.85 (0.71, 1.01)] \). Within first- and second-instar larvae, there was no evidence that metabolic scaling with mass differed significantly among strains, nor were there significant effects of strain on the elevation of the fitted relationship (i.e., on the mass-specific metabolic rate) (Figure 1, B and C and Table 1). However, there was more variance among strains in mass-specific metabolic rate in first-instar larvae relative to second-instar larvae (Figure 1, B and C and Table 1). Metabolic scaling with mass in third-instar larvae differed significantly among strains, as evidenced by significantly different slopes (Figure 1D and Table 1). The variation in metabolic scaling with mass did not result from natural strains differing from mito-nuclear genotypes, but rather from variation in the scaling exponent within both groups. The pattern was significant regardless of the inclusion of several data points that, while not statistical outliers, did appear as outliers in the relationship between metabolic rate and mass (Figure 1D and Supplemental Material, Table S1).
To get an estimate of the extent to which changes in body composition were underlying ontogenetic differences in the mass scaling of metabolic rate, we tested whether the relationship between lipid plus water content and dry mass differed among instars. Lipid and water are metabolically less active components of body mass, and we predicted that the decrease in scaling of metabolic rate with mass in third-instar larvae could be the result of a greater amount of this metabolically less-active mass. We found a significant effect of instar on the y-intercept of the relationship between lipid plus water content and dry mass (Figure S1 and Table S2). Third-instar larvae did have greater lipid plus water content as a function of dry mass relative to second-instar larvae. However, first-instar larvae had values of this parameter similar to third-instar larvae.

**Mitochondrial respiration is similar across larval instars and strains**

Despite these ontogenetic and genetic differences in the scaling of organismal metabolic rate with mass, second- and third-instar larvae had similar rates of mitochondrial oxygen consumption linked to ATP production (i.e., State 3 respiration) per unit of mitochondrial protein in both mito-nuclear genotypes (instar, $P = 0.13$) and natural strains (instar, $P = 0.12$) (Figure 2) (Table S3). Measures of State 3 respiration from first-instar larvae mitochondria were either below our detection limits or of low-quality, even when including similar amounts of larval mass in the preparation. This indicates that there is an increase in mitochondrial quantity or functional capacity between the first- and second-larval instars. Furthermore, larval State 3 respiration did not differ significantly among mito-nuclear genotypes or natural strains, nor were there any significant interactions between instar and genetic factors (Table S2). Maximum respiratory capacity of mitochondria (or CCCP-induced uncoupled respiration, State 3u) was also maintained across larval instars in all mito-nuclear genotypes (instar, $P = 0.18$) (Figure S1A and Table S2). However, the natural strain VT10 had a significantly elevated maximal respiratory capacity in the second instar that resulted in a significant instar-by-genotype interaction ($P = 0.001$) (Figure S2A and Table S3).

**Certain genotypes and strains use anaerobic ATP production further into development**

We measured the activity of citrate synthase, a nuclear-encoded enzyme located in the mitochondrial matrix. As the first step in the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, the activity of this enzyme is often used as an indicator of oxidative

---

**Table 1 Ontogenetic and genetic effects on the scaling of routine metabolic rate (RMR) as a function of mass**

| Phenotype | Strain | Slope (95% CI)$^a$ | Y-intercept$^b$ |
|-----------|--------|-------------------|----------------|
| First-instar RMR | H$_0$: equal slopes ($LR = 4.61$, $df = 5$, $P = 0.46$) | 1.29 (1.10, 1.54) | 0.66 (0.54, 0.77) |
| VT10 | | | 0.75 (0.64, 0.86) |
| VT4 | | | 0.62 (0.51, 0.73) |
| (ore);Aut | | | 0.61 (0.43, 0.79) |
| (simw$^{go1}$);Aut | | | 0.50 (0.26, 0.75) |
| (simw$^{go1}$);OreR | | | 0.59 (0.42, 0.75) |
| Second-instar RMR | H$_0$: equal slopes ($LR = 3.99$, $df = 5$, $P = 0.55$) | 1.01 (0.90, 1.13) | 0.65 (0.55, 0.75) |
| VT10 | | | 0.71 (0.6, 0.81) |
| VT4 | | | 0.67 (0.58, 0.75) |
| (ore);Aut | | | 0.62 (0.51, 0.73) |
| (ore);OreR | | | 0.67 (0.55, 0.79) |
| (simw$^{go1}$);Aut | | | 0.67 (0.57, 0.76) |
| (simw$^{go1}$);OreR | | | 0.67 (0.57, 0.76) |

| Third-instar RMR | H$_0$: equal slopes ($LR = 20.1$, $df = 5$, $P = 0.001$) | 1.16 (0.65, 2.06) | 0.67 (0.55, 0.79) |
| VT10 | | | 0.78 (0.54, 1.10) |
| VT4 | | | 0.81 (0.65, 1.00) |
| (ore);Aut | | | 0.81 (0.65, 1.00) |
| (ore);OreR | | | 1.00 (0.81, 1.24) |
| (simw$^{go1}$);Aut | | | 0.36 (0.25, 0.52) |
| (simw$^{go1}$);OreR | | | 0.41 (0.19, 0.89) |

---

$^a$ Either strain-specific slopes or a common slope with confidence interval, when justified by the test for equal slopes among strains.

$^b$ In no case was there evidence that there was a shift in mass along the x-axis among strains ($P > 0.09$).
capacity. Citrate synthase activity per unit of mitochondrial protein increased across development in larvae of all mito-nuclear genotypes and strains (Figure 3) (mito-nuclear genotypes: instar, \(P < 0.0001\); natural strains: instar, \(P < 0.0001\)). There were also genotype-specific effects on citrate synthase activity. Larvae with the incompatible \((\text{simw}^{501});\text{OreR}\) genotype had elevated citrate synthase activity relative to other genotypes across all three instars (Figure 3), resulting in significant mito-nuclear variance for this measure of oxidative capacity (mito-nuclear, \(P = 0.022\)) (Table S4). Interactions between instar and strain significantly affected citrate synthase activity in the natural strains, as well (instar × strain, \(P = 0.010\)). Larvae of particular genotypes and strains could be categorized as those for which citrate synthase reaches its maximal level by the second instar (e.g., VT10 and \((\text{ore});\text{Aut}\)) and those for which second-instar mitochondria have citrate synthase activity levels intermediate to first- and third-instar mitochondria (e.g., VT4 and \((\text{ore});\text{OreR}\)) (Figure 3).

In addition to aerobic, oxidative ATP production, *D. melanogaster* larvae use anaerobic, glycolytic ATP production that results in the production of lactate. There was significant genetic variation in the extent to which larvae accumulated lactate during development. Second-instar larvae of some strains accumulated lactate, while larvae of other strains did not accumulate any lactate across development (Figure 4A). This variation was observed within both the mito-nuclear genotypes (instar × mtDNA × nuclear, \(P = 0.033\)) and the natural strains (instar × strain, \(P = 0.009\)). Larvae with the incompatible \((\text{simw}^{501});\text{OreR}\) genotype accumulated the highest amounts of lactate in the second instar, relative to other genotypes, resulting in a strong mito-nuclear interaction (Figure 4B and Table S5). Larvae from the natural strain VT4 also accumulated high levels of lactate in the second instar (Figure 4A). Furthermore, larvae from strains that had intermediate levels of citrate synthase activity during the second instar (e.g., VT4 and \((\text{ore});\text{OreR}\)) also tended to have increased lactate accumulation during the second instar.

**Larvae with a mito-nuclear incompatibility accumulated more ROS**

Larvae from all strains had significantly increased levels of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) by the third instar, relative to earlier instars (\(P < 0.0001\)) (Figure 5A and Table S6). However, larvae with the incompatible \((\text{simw}^{501});\text{OreR}\) genotype had significantly elevated levels of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) in the second instar, both relative to other strains and to first- and third-instar larvae of the same genotype. This resulted in a significant effect of the instar × mtDNA × nuclear interaction on levels of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) (\(P < 0.0001\)) (Figure 5B and Table S6).

We tested whether mitochondrial membrane potential (\(\Delta\Psi_m\)) was disrupted in \((\text{simw}^{501});\text{OreR}\) larvae. \(\Delta\Psi_m\) provides the driving force that is utilized by complex V of OXPHOS to make ATP, and is used as an indicator of mitochondrial viability and cellular health. Larvae from all strains, except \((\text{simw}^{501});\text{OreR}\), maintained high levels of mitochondrial membrane potential in the second and third instar (Figure 6A and Table S6). Larvae with the incompatible \((\text{simw}^{501});\text{OreR}\) genotype had significantly lower \(\Delta\Psi_m\) relative to control genotypes in both the second and third instars. The effect of the mito-nuclear interaction on \(\Delta\Psi_m\) was particularly pronounced in second-instar larvae (instar × mtDNA × nuclear, \(P < 0.0001\)) (Figure 6B and Table S7).
In summary, the maintenance of mitochondrial respiration in larvae with the incompatible \( (simw^{501});OreR \) genotype across second and third instars was coincident with significant increases in oxidative capacity of mitochondria, increased lactate and ROS production during the second instar, and decreased mitochondrial membrane potential, relative to larvae with control genotypes.

**Discussion**

**Ontogenetic shifts in the relationship between metabolic rate and mass**

Metabolic rates scale allometrically with mass, but the parameters that define this relationship vary among taxa, genotypes, life stages and environments (Glazier 2005; Greenlee *et al.* 2014). We found that the relationship between mass and metabolic rate differed significantly among larval instars of *D. melanogaster*. Metabolic scaling in developing animals has been described as an “impasse of principles,” wherein the basic tenant of metabolic allometry—that the physiological principles of organisms are relatively conserved—is at odds with the basic tenant of development that the physiological state of organisms is dynamic across ontogeny (Burggren 2005). Insect development involves complex changes in cellular energy demand and body composition that likely affect how metabolic rate scales with mass. Thus, models and principles of interspecific allometric scaling may not be applicable to ontogenetic scaling.

We observed a shift from hypermetric scaling in first-instar larvae \( (b > 1) \), to isometric scaling in second-instar larvae \( (b = 1) \), followed by hypometric scaling in third-instar larvae \( (b < 1) \). This shift in metabolic scaling toward lower mass-specific metabolic rates in larger instars, was in spite of our observation that larger instars had seemingly greater oxidative capacity, as indicated by increased levels of citrate synthase activity per unit of mitochondria. Nevertheless, mitochondrial oxygen consumption linked to ATP production was maintained at similar levels across second- and third-instar larvae. These patterns suggest that although there may be increased oxidative capacity of mitochondria as development progresses, mitochondrial respiration and organismal respiration are not simple reflections of oxidative capacity, but rather are emergent properties of organellar, cellular, and organismal processes.

The ontogenetic change in metabolic scaling that we observed may reflect a change in energy demand across development as larval growth transitions from cell proliferation to
did larvae from all other mito-nuclear genotypes and strains in the second instar (3rd instar and strains denote significant means at P Tukey’s < 0.036, and asterisks designate significant differences between mito-nuclear genotypes and strains of the same larval instar.

Figure 4 (A) Lactate levels per gram weight of larvae varied significantly among strains in second-instar larvae and were highest in larvae with the incompatible (simw501);OreR genotype. Genetic variation for second-instar larval lactate levels was also observed among natural strains from Vermont (instar × strain, P = 0.009) (Table S5), with VT4 larvae having significantly more lactate than VT10 larvae (*P Tukey’s = 0.014). (B) There was a significant instar × mtDNA × nuclear interaction effect for lactate levels (P = 0.033) (Table S5). (simw501);OreR larvae had significantly higher lactate levels than did larvae from all other mito-nuclear genotypes and strains in the second instar (**P Tukey’s < 0.0003). Different letters within mito-nuclear genotypes and strains denote significantly different means at P Tukey’s < 0.036, and asterisks designate significant differences between mito-nuclear genotypes and strains of the same larval instar.

Cell growth. Hypermetric metabolic-scaling exponents (b > 1), where metabolic rates of larger individuals are greater per unit mass, could result from the increased energetic costs associated with the rapid cell proliferation and increase in cell number early in Drosophila development (O’Farrell 2004; Vollmer et al. 2017). Later in development, larval accumulation of mass occurs primarily via increases in cell volume (O’Farrell 2004), reducing the surface area to volume ratio of cells and potentially limiting metabolism. These observations support studies, collectively grouped under Resource Demand (RD) models, that suggest that metabolic scaling is driven by an intrinsic metabolic demand from the cellular level to tissue growth potential (Von Bertalanffy 1938; Shin and Yasuo 1984, 1993; Ricklefs 2003; Glazier 2005). In this way, an organism’s metabolic rate across development is a reflection of the potential of tissues for proliferation and growth (Ricklefs 2003). Our observations also contribute to a small, but growing, number of insect studies that support a conceptual framework where completion of growth in holometabolous insects is correlated with decreased mass-specific metabolic rates (Glazier 2005). In both the tobacco hornworm Manduca sexta, and the silkworm Bombyx mori, metabolic-scaling exponents also decrease across ontogeny (Blossman-Myer and Burggren 2010; Callier and Nijhout 2011, 2012; Sears et al. 2012).

Metabolic scaling with mass may also be influenced by the biochemical composition of the body. System Composition (SC) models hypothesize that ontogenetic changes in metabolic scaling reflect shifts in body composition and the relative proportions of metabolically active vs. inert or “sluggish” tissues (Glazier 2005; Isler and VanSchaik 2006; Greenlee et al. 2014). Lipid composition and storage change across development in Drosophila, with a net increase of metabolically inert storage lipids like triacylglycerides across development (Carvalho et al. 2012). While third-instar larvae in our study did have increased lipid plus water content per unit dry mass relative to second instars, first-instar larvae had values of this parameter similar to third-instar larvae. Thus, accumulating more water and lipid per unit dry mass cannot fully explain the observed pattern of increasingly hypometric metabolic scaling with mass across development. Another possibility is that changes in relative tissue sizes contribute to ontogenetic change in how metabolic rate scales with mass. In Manduca, the contribution of metabolically active gut tissue to the body decreases across development, which may contribute to an increasingly hypometric metabolic scaling with mass across development (Callier and Nijhout 2012).

Genetic variation in body composition across development could also underlie the genetic variation in metabolic scaling that we observed in third-instar larvae. If larvae with different genotypes differ in the degree to which they accumulate mass in the third instar via different types of energy storage, this could generate genetic variation for how metabolic rate scales with mass. Midway through the third instar, D. melanogaster membrane-lipid accumulation is paused, while levels of storage lipids like triacylglycerides increase (Carvalho et al. 2012). This suggests a transition in the third instar from metabolism supporting membrane synthesis and cell proliferation to metabolism supporting mass accumulation via lipid storage. If larvae with different genotypes vary in the timing or extent of this switch, this could contribute to the greater genetic variation for metabolic scaling that we observed in this developmental stage.
Physiological compensation in larvae with a mito-nuclear incompatibility comes at a cost

Mitochondrial respiration coupled to ATP production was maintained in larvae with the mito-nuclear incompatible genotype at in vitro levels similar to control genotypes, despite compromised OXPHOS in flies with this genotype (Meiklejohn et al. 2013). The maintenance of mitochondrial respiration in these larvae was accompanied by increases in mitochondrial oxidative capacity, measured by citrate synthase activity, and glycolytic ATP production, measured by lactate accumulation, relative to larvae with control genotypes. These increases may reflect physiological compensation to maintain ATP levels in larvae whose mitochondria consume similar levels of oxygen but are less efficiently generating ATP. This compensation does not appear to occur in adult males of this genotype that have decreased mitochondrial respiration and decreased citrate synthase activity (Pichaud et al. 2019). We suggest that by using the functional complementation of both glycolytic and mitochondrial ATP production (i.e., both substrate-level and oxidative phosphorylation), larvae of this mito-nuclear incompatible genotype are able to synthesize the ATP needed to support development.

Physiological compensation can sometimes have counter-intuitive costs paid over the lifespan. While larvae with the (*simw^Go1*);*OreR* genotype appear to use physiological compensation to support larval development, these larvae have significantly delayed development and compromised pupation height, immune function, and female fecundity (Meiklejohn et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2017; Buchanan et al. 2018). Additionally, while in vitro mitochondrial respiration in larvae of this genotype was maintained similar to other strains, whole-organism metabolic rate in larvae with this genotype was elevated (Hoekstra et al. 2013), potentially via compensatory upregulation of citrate synthase and the TCA cycle to supply ATP. Thus, even when drawing on both glycolytic and oxidative ATP production, individuals with this mito-nuclear incompatibility may produce energy supplies very close to energetic demand. Previous results from our laboratory support this model; conditions that normally accelerate larval development, significantly magnified the developmental delay of (*simw^Go1*);*OreR* larvae, suggesting that larvae with this genotype have limited capacity to compensate the defect in OXPHOS (Hoekstra et al. 2013, 2018). Larvae with the incompatible (*simw^Go1*);*OreR* genotype may use a majority of their aerobic scope to complete normal development, limiting the resources available to allocate to other aspects of fitness. Once the demands of growth are removed, adults with this mito-nuclear incompatibility appear to regain some aerobic scope, as larvae that survived to pupation also completed metamorphosis and had normal adult size and metabolic rates (Hoekstra et al. 2013, 2018). However, the costs paid out during development appear to have significant impacts on adult fecundity. Both female and male fecundity were severely compromised in adults with this genotype that were developed at warmer temperatures that increase biological rates and energy demand (Hoekstra et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2017).

At the cellular level, physiological compensation in (*simw^Go1*);*OreR* larvae may be a source of oxidative stress, indicated by higher levels of H₂O₂ in larvae of this genotype, relative to other genotypes. H₂O₂ is a byproduct of the mitochondrial electron transport chain (ETC) that supports
OXPHOS in healthy cells, and we observed increases in \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) as oxidative capacity increased across development in all larvae of all strains. However, compromised electron flow through the ETC can increase \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) levels and generate oxidative stress (Somero et al. 2017), and isolated mitochondria from adults with the mito-nuclear incompatible genotype did have higher rates of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) production (Pichaud et al. 2019).

There are two ways that this may be occurring. First, upregulation of the TCA cycle to supply more NADH for ATP production via the ETC may increase production of superoxide anion at Complex I. Second, there may be stoichiometric imbalance in the ETC due to presumably normal levels of cytoplasmically translated Complex II but compromised levels of the mitochondrially translated downstream OXPHOS complexes in larvae with this genotype. This could result in backflow of electrons that can produce superoxide ions when the ratio of reduced:unreduced coenzyme Q becomes elevated. The idea that individuals with the incompatible \((\text{simw}^{501});\text{OreR}\) genotype are experiencing oxidative stress suggests an alternative interpretation of the elevated citrate synthase activity that we observed in larvae with this genotype. Levels of citrate synthase were increased in the blue mussel \( \text{Mytilus trossulus} \) in response to heat stress, a change that was coupled with increases in isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH), which generates NADPH to support \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)-scavenging reactions in the mitochondria (Tomanek and Zuzow 2010). This highlights the importance of considering that TCA cycle enzymes provide important functions beyond their role in OXPHOS, as they provide substrates for biosynthesis, support antioxidant reactions, and act as signaling molecules (Marden 2013; Boukouris et al. 2016; Somero et al. 2017).

Finally, we observed that mitochondria from larvae with the incompatible \((\text{simw}^{501});\text{OreR}\) genotype could support mitochondrial oxygen consumption linked to ATP production at wild-type levels despite the fact that their membrane potential was significantly reduced. There is precedence for this observation. Mitochondrial diseases with OXPHOS defects are correlated with a suite of metabolic phenotypes that include upregulated glycolysis, lactate accumulation, elevated ROS, and decreased mitochondrial membrane potential, but stable ATP levels (Szczepanowska et al. 2012; Frazier et al. 2019). ROS act as essential secondary messengers in cellular homeostasis, but above a certain threshold level can be dangerous and lead to apoptosis (Giorgio et al. 2007; Bigarella et al. 2014). A potential defense mechanism is to decrease the mitochondrial membrane potential (e.g., by uncoupling) to reduce further ROS production and protect the cell from oxidative damage (Dlasková et al. 2006). Our data cannot distinguish whether upregulation of citrate synthase and decreased membrane potential in the mitochondria are the cause or the consequence of oxidative stress in larvae with the mito-nuclear incompatibility. However, new models from ecophysiology (Tomanek and Zuzow 2010), developmental physiological genetic (Tennesen et al. 2011, 2014; Li et al. 2017, 2019), and disease (Ward and Thompson 2012)
Systems provide promising paths for future dissection of the mechanisms by which mitochondrial-nuclear genetic variation scales up to organismal fitness variation.

**Genetic variation in cellular metabolism supports similar organismal outcomes**

Aerobic organisms can generate ATP via mitochondrial OXPHOS, but also anaerobically via glycolytic pathways that are supported by fermentation-generated NAD+ (e.g., by lactate production). While larvae with (simw501);OreR genotype showed a striking pattern of both increased oxidative capacity and increased reliance on lactate metabolism, we wanted to determine the extent to which components of aerobic and anaerobic metabolism were correlated among the wild-type control and natural strains that we measured. Across instars there was a significant positive correlation between mitochondrial State 3 respiration and citrate synthase activity among wild-type strains ($r = 0.87$, $P = 0.005$), consistent with multiple aspects of oxidative capacity increasing in concert across development (Figure 7A). Within the second instar there was a similar magnitude, but nonsignificant correlation between State 3 respiration and citrate synthase activity among strains ($r = 0.8$, $P = 0.107$) (Figure 7C) that was not evident in the third instar ($r = 0.49$, $P = 0.406$). Thus, both aspects of oxidative metabolism increase together across development, but there is also a signature of genetic covariance for multiple aspects of oxidative metabolism within the second instar.

Across instars, there was a significant negative correlation between citrate synthase activity and lactate levels ($r = -0.87$, $P = 0.001$) and between State 3 respiration and lactate levels among strains ($r = -0.90$, $P = 0.0003$) (Figure 7B), consistent with high reliance on anaerobic glycolytic ATP production when oxidative capacity is low. These correlations were most evident within the second instar (CS-lactate: $r = -0.91$, $P = 0.033$, State3-lactate: $r = -0.98$, $P = 0.004$) (Figure 7D), and in the same direction, although not significant, within the third instar (CS-lactate: $r = -0.45$, $P = 0.452$, State3-lactate: $r = -0.61$, $P = 0.278$). Including traits from larvae with the (simw501);OreR genotype weakened these correlations (State3-CS: $r = 0.43$, $P = 0.163$; CS-lactate: $r = -0.07$, $P = 0.829$). Thus, the mito-nuclear incompatibility breaks the genetic-physiological negative correlation between oxidative and glycolytic ATP production by significantly increasing both citrate synthase activity and lactate levels, relative to wild-type strains.

**Figure 7** Components of metabolism were strongly correlated among wild-type strains, particularly in second-instar larvae. Each point represents the mean phenotype for the five wild-type strains (three mito-nuclear control genotypes and two natural strains) in second-instar (squares) and third-instar (diamonds) larvae. The Pearson’s statistic $r$ and associated $P$ value are provided for each correlation. State 3, mitochondrial O$_2$ consumption linked to ATP production; CS, citrate synthase activity. (A and B) Across instars; (C and D) 2nd instars.
Finally, wild-type strains differed significantly in the amount of variance specifically for lactate accumulation in second instar larvae (Levene’s test, $P = 0.002$). Thus, the second instar appears to be a time in development when both strains and individuals within strains differ in their reliance on glycolytic ATP production as they switch over to oxidative metabolism. This pattern is consistent with genetic variation for a metabolic switch from glycolytic to mitochondrial production of ATP regulated by the *Drosophila* estrogen-related receptor dERR (Tennesen and Thummel 2011; Tennesen et al. 2011). Yet, despite this genetic variation for how second-instar larvae generate ATP, the organismal metabolic rate of second-instar larvae appeared more robust to genetic variation than were the metabolic rates of other instars. We also observed that, despite this developmental switch from glycolytic to mitochondrial ATP production, *in vitro* mitochondrial respiration rates per unit mitochondrial protein remained constant across second- and third-instar larvae. Again, this highlights that organellar and organismal metabolic rates are not simple reflections of the underlying metabolic pathways being used. In this way, higher levels of biological organization may buffer and potentially shelter genetic variation in metabolism from selection.

*dERR* is responsible for a vital transcriptional switch of carbohydrate metabolism in second-instar larvae (Tennesen et al. 2011) that coincides with increases in lactate dehydrogenase (*dLDH*) and lactate accumulation (Li et al. 2017). dLDH activity recycles NAD$^+$ which allows for continued glycolytic rates not simple reflections of the underlying metabolic pathways being used. In this way, higher levels of biological organization may buffer and potentially shelter genetic variation in metabolism from selection.

We would like to thank Madeleine Koenig for her assistance with sample preparation. M. Koenig was supported by the Undergraduate Creative Activities and Research Experience (UCARE) program at University of Nebraska-Lincoln (UNL). The research was supported by National Science Foundation-Integrative Organismal Systems (NSF-IOS) CAREER Award 1149178, NSF Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (EPSCoR) Track II Award 1736249, and funds from UNL to O.B.M., C.R.J., and K.L.M.

### Literature Cited

Arnvist, G., D. Dowling, E. Paul, G. Laurene, T. Tom et al., 2010 Genetic architecture of metabolic rate: environment specific epistasis between mitochondrial and nuclear genes in an insect. Evolution. 64: 3354–3363. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2010.01135.x

Aw, W., R. Bajracharya, S. Towarnicki, and J. Ballard, 2016 Assessing bioenergetic functions from isolated mitochondria in. J. Biol. Methods 3: e42. https://doi.org/10.14440/jbmn.2016.112

Ballard, W., and D. Rand, 2005 The population biology of mitochondrial DNA and its phylogenetic implications. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 36: 621–642. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.36.091704.175513

Barabási, A., and Z. Oltvai, 2004 Network biology: understanding the cell's functional organization. Nat. Rev. Genet. 5: 101–113. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg1272

Bigarella, C., R. Liang, and S. Ghaffari, 2014 Stem cells and the impact of ROS signaling. Development 141: 4206–4218. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.107086

Bligh, E. G., and W. J. Dyer, 1959 A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. Can. J. Biochem. Physiol. 37: 911–917. https://doi.org/10.1139/y59-099

Blossman-Myer, B., and W. Burggren, 2010 Metabolic allometry during development and metamorphosis of the silkworm *Bombyx mori*: analyses, patterns, and mechanisms. Physiol. Biochem. Zool. 83: 215–231. https://doi.org/10.1086/648393

Bourkouri, A., S. Zervopoulos, and E. Michalakis, 2016 Metabolic enzymes moonlighting in the nucleus: metabolic regulation of gene transcription. Trends Biochem. Sci. 41: 712–730. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2016.05.013

Brand, M. D., L. F. Chien, E. K. Ainscow, D. F. Rolfe, and R. K. Porter, 1994 The causes and functions of mitochondrial proton leak. Biochem. Biophys. Acta 1187: 132–139. https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2728(94)90009-X

Buchanan, J., C. Meiklejohn, and K. Montooth, 2018 Mitochondrial dysfunction and infection generate immunity-fecundity tradeoffs in *Drosophila*. Integr. Comp. Biol. 58: 591–603. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icy078

Burggren, W., 2005 Developing animals flourish prominent assumptions of ecological physiology. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol. 141: 430–439. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpb.2005.03.010
Marden, J., 2013 Nature’s inordinate fondness for metabolic enzymes: why metabolic enzyme loci are so frequently targets of selection. Mol. Ecol. 22: 5743–5764. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12534

Martin, A., 1995 Metabolic rate and directional nucleotide substitution in animal mitochondrial DNA. Mol. Biol. Evol. 12: 1124–1131.

Meiklejohn, C., and D. Hartl, 2002 A single mode of canalization. PLoS Genet. 9: e1003238. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003238

Miettinen, T., and M. Björklund, 2017 Mitochondrial function and cell size: an allometric relationship. Trends Cell Biol. 27: 393–402. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2017.02.006

Mitchell-Olds, T., and D. Pedersen, 1998 The molecular basis of quantitative genetic variation in central and secondary metabolism in Arabidopsis. Genetics 149: 739–747.

Montooth, K. L., J. H. Marden, and A. G. Clark, 2003 Mapping determinants of variation in energy metabolism, respiration and flight in Drosophila. Genetics 165: 623–635.

Munro, D., and J. R. Treberg, 2017 A radical shift in perspective: mitochondria as regulators of reactive oxygen species. J. Exp. Biol. 220: 1170–1180. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.132142

Nespolo, R., L. Castañeda, and D. Roff, 2007 Quantitative genetic variation of metabolism in the nymphs of the sand cricket, Gryllus firmus, inferred from an analysis of inbred-lines. Biol. Res. 40: 5–12. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0716-9760200700100001

O’Farrell, P., 2004 How metazoans reach their full size: the natural history of bigness, pp. 1–22 in Cell Growth: Control of Cell Size, edited by M. Hall, M. Raff, and G. Thomas. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York.

Pekny, J. E., B. Smith, and J. H. Marden, 2018 Enzyme polymorphism, oxygen and injury: a lipidomic analysis of flight-induced oxidative damage in a succinate dehydrogenase d (Sdhd)-polymorphic insect. J. Exp. Biol. 221: pii: jeb171009. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.171009

Pichaud, N., R. Bérubé, G. Côté, C. Belzile, F. Dufresne et al., 2019 Age dependent dysfuction of mitochondrial and ROS metabolism induced by mitonuclear mismatch. Front. Genet. 10: 130. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgen.2019.00130

Porter, R., M. Brand, 1993 Body mass dependence of H+ leak in mitochondria and its relevance to metabolic rate. Nature 362: 628–630. https://doi.org/10.1038/362628a0

Porter, R., A. Hulbert, and M. Brand, 1996 Allostery of mitochondrial proton leak: influence of membrane surface area and fatty acid composition. Am. J. Physiol. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 271: R1550–R1560. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.1996.271.6.R1550

R Development Core Team 2011 R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Ravasz, E., A. Somera, D. Mongru, Z. Oltvai, and A. Barabási, 2002 Hierarchical organization of modularity in metabolic networks. Science 297: 1551–1555. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1073374

Ricklefs, R., 2003 Is rate of ontogenetic growth constrained by resource supply or tissue growth potential? A comment on West et al.’s model. Funct. Ecol. 17: 384–393. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.2003.00745.x

Sears, K., A. Kerkhoff, A. Messerman, and H. Itagaki, 2012 Ontogenetic scaling of metabolism, growth, and assimilation: testing metabolic scaling theory with Manduca sexta larvae. Physiol. Biochem. Zool. 85: 159–173. https://doi.org/10.1086/664619

Shin, O., and I. Yasuo, 2014 Allometric relationship between tissue respiration and body mass in the carp. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol. 77: 415–418. https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-9629(84)90205-6

Shin, O., and I. Yasuo, 1993 Allometric relationship between tissue respiration and body mass in a marine teleost, porgy Pagrus major. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol. 105: 129–133.

Somero, G., B. Lockwood, and L. Tomanek, 2017 Biochemical Adaptation: Response to Environmental Challenges, from Life’s Origins to the Anthropocene. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.

Stegzat, S., H., 2001 Exploring complex networks. Nature 410: 268–276. https://doi.org/10.1038/35065725

Szczepanowska, J., D. Malinska, M. Wieckowski, and J. Duszynski, 2012 Effect of mtDNA point mutations on cellular bioenergetics. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1817: 1740–1746. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2012.02.028

Tennesen, J., K. Baker, G. Lam, J. Evans, and C. Thummel, 2011 The Drosophila estrogen-related receptor directs a metabolic switch that supports developmental growth. Cell Metab. 13: 139–148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2011.01.005

Tennesen, J., M. C., and C. S. Thummel, 2011 Coordinating growth and review maturation — insights from Drosophila. Curr. Biol. 21: R750–R757. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.06.033

Tennesen, J. M. M., N. Bertagnolli, J. Evans, M. H. Sieber, J. Cox et al., 2014 Coordinated metabolic transitions during Drosophila embryogenesis and the onset of aerobic glycolysis. G3 (Bethesda) 4: 839–850. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.114.016552

Tieleman, I., M. Versteegh, A. Fries, B. Helm, N. Dingemans et al., 2009 Genetic modulation of energy metabolism in birds through mitochondrial function. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 276: 1685–1693.

Tishkoff, S. A., R. Varkonyi, N. Cahninhan, S. Abbes, G. Argyropoulos et al., 2001 Haptoype diversity and linkage disequilibrium at human G6PD: recent origin of alleles that confer malarial resistance. Science 293: 455–462. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1061573

Tomanek, L., and M. Zuzow, 2010 The proteomic response of the mussel congeners Mytilus galloprovincialis and M. trossulus to acute heat stress: implications for thermal tolerance limits and metabolic costs of thermal stress. J. Exp. Biol. 213: 3559–3574. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.041228

Van Dyken, J., D. and M. J. Wade, 2010 The genetic signature of conditional expression. Genetics 184: 557–570. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.109.110163

Verrelli, B., and W. Eanes, 2001 The functional impact of Pgm amino acid polymorphism on glycogen content in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 159: 201–210.

Villa-Cuesta, E., M. Holmbeck, and D. Rand, 2014 Rapamycin increases mitochondrial efficiency by mtDNA-dependent reprogramming of mitochondrial metabolism in Drosophila. J. Cell Sci. 127: 2282–2290. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.142026

Vollmer, J., F. Casares, and D. Iber, 2017 Growth and size control during development. Open Biol. 7: pii: 170190. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsob.170190

Von Bertalanffy, L., and W. Pirozynski, 1953 Tissue respiration, and basal metabolism. Biol. Bull. 105: 240–256. https://doi.org/10.2307/1538640

Waddington, C., 1942 Canalization of development and the inheritance of acquired characters. Nature 150: 563–565. https://doi.org/10.1038/150563a0
Waddington, C., 1957  *The Strategy of the Genes: A Discussion of Some Aspects of Theoretical Biology*. George Allen & Unwin, Ltd., London.

Ward, P. S., and C. B. Thompson, 2012  Signaling in control of cell growth and metabolism. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 4: a006783. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a006783

Warton, D., I. Wright, D. Falster, and M. Westoby, 2006  Bivariate line-fitting methods for allometry. Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc. 81: 259–291. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1464793106007007

Watt, W., 1977  Adaptation at specific loci. I. natural selection on phosphogluco isomerase of *Colias* butterflies: biochemical and population aspects. Genetics 87: 177–194.

Watt, W., R. Cassin, and M. Swan, 1983  Adaptation at specific loci. III. field behavior and survivorship differences among *Colias* PGI genotypes are predictable from in vitro biochemistry. Genetics 103: 725–739.

Watt, W., C. Wheat, E. Meyer, and J. Martin, 2003  Adaptation at specific loci. VII. natural selection, dispersal and the diversity of molecular–functional variation patterns among butterfly species complexes (*Colias*: Lepidoptera, Pieridae). Mol. Ecol. 12: 1265–1275. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2003.01804.x

Zhang, C., K. Montooth, and B. Calvi, 2017  Incompatibility between mitochondrial and nuclear genomes during oogenesis results in ovarian failure and embryonic lethality. Development 144: 2490–2503. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.151951

*Communicating editor: M. Wolfner*