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Abstract. In the present work, we propose a different reciprocal second power Functional Equation (FE) which involves the arguments of functions in rational form and determine its stabilities in the setting of modular spaces with and without using Fatou property. We also prove the stabilities in β-homogenous spaces. As an application, we associate this equation with the electrostatic forces of attraction between unit charges in various cases using Coulomb’s law.
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1. Introduction & Preliminaries

The hypothesis connected with linear spaces and the concepts of modular spaces were dealt in [20]. Later, this theory has been employed by many authors [1, 9, 16, 29, 32]. The significant application of modular theory is that it is useful in interpolation ([10, 17]) and in numerous Orlicz spaces [21]. The common notions and properties related to modular theory are available in [18, 19, 21].

*Corresponding author.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v13i5.3709

Email addresses: senthikumar@nct.edu.om; bvskumarmaths@gmail.com (B. V. Senthil Kumar), hemen_dutta08@rediffmail.com (Hemen Dutta), ssabarimaths@gmail.com (S. Sabarinathan)
The detailed information about the evolution of theory of stability of FEs are available in [3, 5, 6, 24, 25, 30]. There are many techniques of solving stability problems of FEs, such as the technique through the attribute of shadowing [28], the technique via fixed averages [27], the technique by virtue of sandwich hypothesis [22]. The dominant tools to determine classical stability problems are the direct method and the fixed point method [6, 23].

Also, without the application of $\Delta_2$-condition, proposed in [7], there are many stability problems via fixed point theorem of quasicontraction functions in the setting of modular spaces. By employing Khamis’s invariant point theorem, the modular stabilities of additive FE alongwith with the Fatou property and $\Delta_2$-condition are dealt in [26]. Moreover, the modular stability problems of quadratic FEs were discussed satisfying Fatou property without utilizing $\Delta_2$-condition in [31]. One can refer [2, 4, 8, 11–15] for more details about stabilities of real and complex valued multiplicative inverse FEs.

In this present work, we propose a different reciprocal second power FE of the form

$$m_q\left(\frac{uv}{2u+v}\right) + m_q\left(\frac{uv}{2u-v}\right) = 2m_q(u) + 8m_q(v).$$

We solve equation (1) for its solution and investigate its various stability results in modular spaces with and without using Fatou property and in $\beta$-homogenous spaces.

2. Solution of equation (1) in the domain of non-zero real numbers

In this section, we impose the definition of reciprocal second power function and then we solve equation (1) for its solution in the setting of non-zero real numbers.

**Definition 1.** A mapping $m_q : \mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a reciprocal second power function if it satisfies (1). Hence, (1) is said to be a reciprocal second power FE.

**Theorem 1.** Let $m_q : \mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then, $m_q$ satisfies (1) if and only if there exists an identity function $I : \mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $m_q(u) = |I(1/u)|^2$, for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^*$.

**Proof.** Let $m_q$ satisfies (1). Then $m_q$ is a reciprocal second power function and hence we can assume $m_q(u) = \frac{1}{u^2}$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^*$. If $I$ is an identity mapping, then $[I(1/u)]^2 = \frac{1}{u^2} = m_q(u)$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^*$.

On the other hand, let there exists an identity function $I : \mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $m_q(u) = |I(1/u)|^2$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^*$. Thus, we have

$$m_q\left(\frac{uv}{2u+v}\right) + m_q\left(\frac{uv}{2u-v}\right) = \left[I\left(\frac{2u+v}{uv}\right)\right]^2 + \left[I\left(\frac{2u-v}{uv}\right)\right]^2$$

$$= \frac{(2u+v)^2}{u^2v^2} + \frac{(2u-v)^2}{u^2v^2} = \frac{8}{v^2} + \frac{2}{u^2}$$
\[ = 2m_q(u) + 8m_q(v) \]

for all \( u, v \in \mathbb{R}^* \), which indicates \( m_q \) satisfies (1).

In the following results, for the purpose of easy computation, let us consider the difference operator \( \Gamma_{m_q} \) defined as follows:

\[
\Gamma_{m_q}(u, v) = m_q \left( \frac{uv}{2u + v} \right) + m_q \left( \frac{uv}{2u - v} \right) - 8m_q(u) - 2m_q(v).
\]

3. Modular stability of equation (1) with \( \Delta_{1/3} \)-condition

In this present section, we explore the investigate stability results of equation (1) connected with modular theory with modular space \( U_\mu \) without applying the Fatou property.

In this section, let \( P \) denote a linear space. In the following results, suppose there exists \( \ell > 0 \) so that

\[
\mu(3u) \leq \frac{1}{\ell} \mu(u), \quad \text{for all } u \in U_\mu,
\]

then the modular \( \mu \) is said to satisfy the \( \Delta_{1/3} \)-condition. One can notice that if \( \mu \) is convex and satisfies \( \Delta_{1/3} \)-condition with \( \Delta_{1/3} \)-constant \( \ell > 0 \). If \( \ell < \frac{1}{3} \), then

\[
\mu(u) \leq \frac{1}{\ell} \mu(u) \leq \frac{1}{\ell^2} \mu(u), \quad \text{which implies } \mu = 0.
\]

When \( \mu \) is convex modular, then we have \( \Delta_{1/3} \)-constant \( \ell \geq \frac{1}{3} \).

In the following main results, let us consider \( U \) to be a normed linear space over the set of real numbers.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose \( U_\mu \) satisfies the \( \Delta_{1/3} \)-condition. Let there exists a mapping \( \phi : P \times P \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) such that the mapping \( m_q : P \rightarrow U_\mu \) satisfies

\[
\mu \left( \Gamma_{m_q}(u, v) \right) \leq \phi(u, v), \quad (2)
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \ell^{2n} \phi \left( \frac{u}{3^n}, \frac{v}{3^n} \right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (3\ell^3)^i \phi \left( \frac{u}{3^i}, \frac{u}{3^i} \right) < \infty
\]

for all \( u, v \in P \), then a unique reciprocal second power function \( D : P \rightarrow U_\mu \) exists and satisfies

\[
\mu \left( m_q(u) - D(u) \right) \leq \frac{3}{\ell} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (3\ell^3)^i \phi \left( \frac{u}{3^i}, \frac{u}{3^i} \right)
\]

for all \( u \in P \).

**Proof.** By taking \( v = u \) in (2), we obtain

\[
\mu \left( m_q \left( \frac{u}{3} \right) - 9m_q(u) \right) \leq \phi(u, u) \quad \text{for all } u \in P.
\]

Employing \( \Delta_{1/3} \)-condition of \( \mu \), one can find

\[
\mu \left( m_q(u) - \frac{1}{9^n} m_q \left( \frac{u}{3^n} \right) \right) = \mu \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n} 3^i \left( \frac{1}{3^{n-2}} m_q \left( \frac{u}{3^{n-1}} \right) - \frac{1}{3^{n-1}} m_q \left( \frac{u}{3^{n-1}} \right) \right) \right)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\ell^2} \sum_{i=0}^{n} (3\ell^3)^i \phi \left( \frac{u}{3^i}, \frac{u}{3^i} \right)
\]
for all $u \in P$. Now, shifting $u$ to $3^{-m}u$ in (4), we obtain

$$
\mu \left( \frac{1}{9^m} m_q \left( \frac{u}{3^m} \right) \right) - \frac{1}{9^{n+m}} m_q \left( \frac{u}{3^{n+m}} \right) \leq \ell^{-2m} \mu \left( m_q \left( \frac{u}{3^m} \right) - \frac{1}{9^m} m_q \left( \frac{u}{3^{n+m}} \right) \right)
$$

$$
\leq \ell^{- (2m + 2)} \sum_{i=0}^{n} (3\ell^3)^i \phi \left( \frac{u}{3^{i+m}} \cdot \frac{u}{3^{i+m}} \right)
$$

$$
\leq \frac{3^{-m}}{\ell^{n+2}} \sum_{i=m+1}^{n+m} (3\ell^3)^i \phi \left( \frac{u}{3^{i}} \cdot \frac{u}{3^{i}} \right)
$$

for all $u \in P$. The right-hand side of the above inequality tends to 0 when $m \to \infty$ since $\ell \geq \frac{1}{3}$, which indicates that the series is convergent. In lieu of completeness of $U_\mu$, this sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{9^m} m_q \left( \frac{u}{3^n} \right) \right\}$ turns out to be Cauchy for all $u \in P$ and hence it is $\mu-$convergent in $U_\mu$. Hence, we have a mapping $D : P \to U_\mu$ given by

$$
D(u) = \mu - \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{9^m} m_q \left( \frac{u}{3^n} \right),
$$

that is, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu \left( \frac{1}{9^n} m_q \left( \frac{u}{3^n} \right) - D(u) \right) = 0$ for all $u \in P$. So, without using Fatou property, we observe from $\Delta_\frac{1}{3}$-condition that the inequality

$$
\mu \left( m_q(u) - D(u) \right)
$$

$$
\leq 3\mu \left( \frac{1}{3} m_q(u) - \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{9^n} m_q \left( \frac{u}{3^n} \right) \right) + 3\mu \left( \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{9^n} m_q \left( \frac{u}{3^n} \right) - \frac{1}{3} D(u) \right)
$$

$$
\leq \frac{3}{\ell} \mu \left( m_q(u) - \frac{1}{9^n} m_q \left( \frac{u}{3^n} \right) \right) + 3k\mu \left( \frac{1}{9^n} m_q \left( \frac{u}{3^n} \right) - D(u) \right)
$$

$$
\leq \frac{3}{\ell} \sum_{i=0}^{n} (3\ell^3)^i \phi \left( \frac{u}{3^i} \cdot \frac{u}{3^i} \right) + 3\ell \mu \left( \frac{1}{9^n} m_q \left( \frac{u}{3^n} \right) - D(u) \right)
$$

is true for $u \in P$ and all integers $n > 1$. Allowing $n \to \infty$ in the above inequality indicates that (4) holds. Plugging $(u, v)$ by $(3^{-n}u, 3^{-n}v)$ in (2), we find that

$$
\mu \left( 3^{-n} m_q \left( \frac{3^{-2n}uv}{3^{-n}(2u + v)} \right) \right) + 3^{-n} m_q \left( \frac{3^{-2n}uv}{3^{-n}(2u - v)} \right)
$$

$$
- 8 \cdot 3^{-n} m_q (3^{-n}u) - 2 \cdot 3^{-n} m_q (3^{-n}v)
$$

$$
\leq \ell^{2n} \phi \left( \frac{u}{3^n}, \frac{v}{3^n} \right)
$$

which approaches zero as $n \to \infty$ for all $u, v \in P$. Thus, in line of the convexity of $\mu$, we have

$$
\mu \left( \frac{1}{13} D \left( \frac{uv}{2u + v} \right) + \frac{1}{13} D \left( \frac{uv}{2u - v} \right) - \frac{8}{13} D(u) - \frac{2}{13} D(v) \right)
$$
\[
\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{13} \mu \left( \frac{1}{13} D \left( \frac{uv}{2u+v} \right) - 3^{-n} m_q \left( \frac{3^{-n} uv}{2u+v} \right) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{13} D \left( \frac{uv}{2u-v} \right) - 3^{-n} m_q \left( \frac{3^{-n} uv}{2u-v} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{8}{13} \mu \left( D(u) - 3^{-n} m_q \left( 3^{-n} u \right) \right) + \frac{2}{13} \mu \left( D(v) - 3^{-n} m_q \left( 3^{-n} v \right) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{13} \mu \left( 3^{-n} m_q \left( \frac{3^{-n} uv}{2u+v} \right) + 3^{-n} m_q \left( \frac{3^{-n} uv}{2u-v} \right) \right) \\
&\quad - 8 \cdot 3^{-n} m_q \left( 3^{-n} u \right) - 2 \cdot 3^{-n} m_q \left( 3^{-n} v \right)
\end{aligned}
\]

for all \( u, v \in P \) and all integer \( n > 1 \). Letting the limit \( n \to \infty \), one obtains that \( D \) is reciprocal inverse second power function. To show the uniqueness of \( D \), let us assume that there is another reciprocal second power function \( D' : P \to U_\mu \) satisfying

\[
\mu \left( m_q(u) - D'(u) \right) \leq \frac{3}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (3\ell^3)^i \phi \left( \frac{u}{3^i}, \frac{u}{3^i} \right).
\]

Then we see from the equalities: \( D(3^{-n} u) = 9^n D(u) \) and \( D'(3^{-n} u) = 9^n D'(u) \) that

\[
\begin{aligned}
&\mu \left( D(u) - D'(u) \right) \\
&\leq 3 \mu \left( \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{9^n} D \left( \frac{u}{3^n} \right) - \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{9^n} m_q \left( \frac{u}{3^n} \right) \right) + 3 \mu \left( \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{9^n} m_q \left( \frac{u}{3^n} \right) - \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{9^n} D' \left( \frac{u}{3^n} \right) \right) \\
&\leq 3\ell^{-3n} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (3\ell^3)^i \phi \left( \frac{u}{3^{3i+n}}, \frac{u}{3^{3i+n}} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{3^{1-n}}{\ell^n} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (3\ell^3)^i \phi \left( \frac{u}{3^i}, \frac{u}{3^i} \right)
\end{aligned}
\]

for all \( u \in P \). It indicates from the above inequality that \( D \) is distinctive by allowing \( n \to \infty \). Hence the proof is complete.

### 4. Modular stability of equation (1) without \( \Delta_{3} \)-condition

In this present section, we provide a different result related to modular stability of equation (1) without \( \Delta_{3} \)-condition.
Theorem 3. Assume that $U_p$ is a $p$-complex modular space where $p$ is convex. Also, let $\phi : U \times U \to [0, \infty)$ be a function with the condition
\[
\hat{\phi}(u, v) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{9^{i+1}} \phi(3^{-i}u, 3^{-i}u) < \infty
\] (5)
for all $u, v \in U$. Assume that $m_q : U \to U_p$ is a mapping such that
\[
p \left( \Gamma_{m_q}(u, v) \right) \leq \phi(u, v)
\] (6)
for all $u, v \in U$. Then a unique reciprocal second power function $T : U \to U_p$ exists and satisfies
\[
p (m_q(u) - T(u)) \leq \hat{\phi}(u, v)
\] (7)
for all $u, v \in U$.

Proof. Putting $(u, v)$ as $(u, u)$ in (6) and then dividing by 9 on both sides, we obtain
\[
p \left( \frac{1}{9} m_q(3^{-1}u) - m_q(u) \right) \leq \frac{1}{9} m_q(u, u)
\] (8)
for all $u \in U$. Then by induction arguments, we arrive at
\[
p \left( \frac{m_q(3^{-n}u)}{9^n} - m_q(u) \right) \leq \frac{1}{9} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{9^i} \phi(3^{-i}u, 3^{-i}u)
\] (9)
for all $u \in U$. It is clear that the case $n = 1$ follows directly from (8). Assume that (9) is true for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we obtain the ensuing inequality:
\[
p \left( \frac{m_q(3^{-(n+1)}u)}{9^{n+1}} - m_q(u) \right)
\]
\[
= p \left( \frac{1}{9} \left( \frac{m_q(3^{-n}u)}{9^n} - m_q(3^{-1}u) \right) + \frac{1}{9} (m_q(3^{-1}u) - 9m_q(u)) \right)
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{9} p \left( m_q(3^{-n}u) - m_q(3^{-1}u) \right) + \frac{1}{9} p (m_q(3^{-1}u) - 9m_q(u))
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{9} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\phi(3^{-(i+1)}u, 3^{-(i+1)}u)}{9^i} + \frac{1}{9} \phi(u, u)
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{9} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\phi(3^{-(i+1)}u, 3^{-(i+1)}u)}{9^{i+1}} + \frac{1}{9} \phi(u, u)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{9} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{\phi(3^{-i}u, 3^{-i}u)}{9^n}
\]
for all $u \in U$. Hence (9) holds for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $m$ and $n$ be non-negative integers with $n > m$. Then (9), we have

$$p \left( \frac{m_q(3^{-n}u)}{9^m} - \frac{m_q(3^{-m}u)}{9^m} \right) = p \left( \frac{1}{9^m} \left( \frac{m_q(3^{-n}u)}{9^{n-m}} - \frac{m_q(3^{-m}u)}{9^m} \right) \right) \leq \frac{1}{9^m} \sum_{i=0}^{n-m-1} \frac{1}{9^i} \phi \left( 3^{-(m+i)}u, 3^{-(m+i)}u \right) \leq \frac{1}{9} \sum_{i=0}^{n-m-1} \frac{1}{9^i} \phi \left( 3^{-(m+i)}u, 3^{-(m+i)}u \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{9} \sum_{i=0}^{n-m-1} \frac{1}{9^i} \phi \left( 3^{-k}u, 3^{-k}u \right)$$

(10)

for all $u \in U$. By the application of (5) and (10), we observe that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{m_q(3^{-n}u)}{9^n} \right\}$ turns out to be Cauchy in $U_p$. By virtue of completeness of $U_p$, the sequence is convergent. This formulates that there exists a function $T : U \rightarrow U_p$ defined by

$$T(u) = p - \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{m_q(3^{-n}u)}{9^n}. \quad (11)$$

To confirm that $T$ satisfies (1), plugging $(u, v)$ into $(3^{-n}u, 3^{-n}v)$ in (6) and then multiplying by $9^{-n}$ on both sides, we obtain

$$9^{-n} \left( m_q \left( 3^{-n} \left( \frac{uv}{2u+v} \right) \right) + m_q \left( 3^{-n} \left( \frac{uv}{2u-v} \right) \right) - 8m_q(3^{-n}u) - 2m_q(3^{-n}v) \right) \leq 9^{-n} \phi(3^{-n}u, 3^{-n}u)$$

(12)

for all $u, v \in U$. We can find that $T$ satisfies (1) by letting $n \to \infty$ in the above inequality. To prove that $T$ is unique reciprocal second power function which satisfies (1) and also (7). It is clear that both $T'$ and $T$ satisfy (7). Hence, we obtain

$$p \left( T'(u) - T(u) \right) = 9^{-n} \left( p \left( T'(3^{-n}u) - T(3^{-n}u) \right) \right) \leq 9^{-n} \left( p \left( T'(3^{-n}u) - f(3^{-n}u) \right) + p \left( f(3^{-n}u) - T(3^{-n}u) \right) \right) \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{9^i} \phi(3^{-i}u, 3^{-i}u)$$

(13)

for all $u, v \in U$. It is easy to find that $T$ is distinctive by allowing $n \to \infty$ in (13) and employing (5), which completes the proof.

**Corollary 1.** Let $m_q : U \rightarrow U_p$ be a mapping with a constant $c \geq 0$, not depending on the values of $u, v$ such that the inequality

$$p \left( \Gamma_{m_q}(u,v) \right) \leq c$$
holds for all \( u, v \in U \). Then, \( T : U \rightarrow U_p \) is a unique reciprocal second power function satisfying (1) and \( p(m_q(u) - T(u)) \leq \frac{c}{8} \), for all \( u \in U \).

**Proof.** It is easy to prove this corollary by taking \( \phi(u,v) = c \), for all \( u,v \in U \) in Theorem 3.

**Corollary 2.** Let \( \lambda_1 \geq 0 \) be fixed and \( s \neq -2 \) if a function \( m_q : U \rightarrow U_p \) fulfills the inequality

\[
p(\Gamma_{m_q}(u,v)) \leq \lambda_1(|u|^s + |v|^s)
\]

holds for all \( u, v \in U \). Then, there exists a unique reciprocal second power function \( T : U \rightarrow U_p \) satisfying (1) and

\[
p(m_q(u) - T(u)) \leq \frac{2\lambda_1}{(9 - 3^{-s})}|u|^s
\]

for all \( u \in U \).

**Proof.** The proof is obtained by taking \( \phi(u,v) = \lambda_1(|u|^s + |v|^s) \) in Theorem 3.

**Corollary 3.** Let \( m_q : U \rightarrow U_p \) be a mapping. If there exist \( x, y : s = x + y \neq -2 \) and \( \lambda_2 \geq 0 \) such that

\[
p(\Gamma_{m_q}(u,v)) \leq \lambda_2(|u|^x|v|^y)
\]

holds for all \( u, v \in U \). Then, there exists a unique reciprocal second power function \( T : U \rightarrow U_p \) satisfying (1) and

\[
p(m_q(u) - T(u)) \leq \frac{\lambda_2}{(9 - 3^{-s})}|u|^s
\]

for all \( u \in U \).

**Proof.** The proof directly follows by taking \( \phi(u,v) = c_2(|u|^x|v|^y) \) in Theorem 3.

**Corollary 4.** Let \( \lambda_3 \geq 0 \) be fixed and \( s \neq -1 \). If a function \( m_q : U \rightarrow U_p \) satisfies the inequality

\[
p(\Gamma_{m_q}(u,v)) \leq \lambda_3(|u|^s|v|^s + |u|^{2s} + |v|^{2s})
\]

for all \( u, v \in U \). Then, a unique reciprocal second power function \( T : U \rightarrow U_p \) exists and satisfies (1) and

\[
p(m_q(u) - m_q(v)) \leq \frac{3\lambda_3}{(9 - 3^{-2s})}|u|^{2s}
\]

for all \( u \in U \).

**Proof.** The proof is achieved by considering \( \phi(u,v) = \lambda_3(|u|^s|v|^s + |u|^{2s} + |v|^{2s}) \) in Theorem 3.
5. Stability of equation (1) in $\beta$-homogeneous spaces

In this section, we obtain the stability results of equation (1) in $\beta$-homogenous spaces.

**Theorem 4.** Let $V$ be a $\beta$-homogeneous complex Banach space $(0 < \beta \leq 1)$, and $\phi : U \times U \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a function with

$$\widehat{\phi}(u,v) = \frac{1}{g^\beta} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{g^\beta} \phi(3^{-i}u, 3^{-i}u) < \infty$$

for all $u,v \in U$. Assume that $m_q : U \rightarrow V$ is a mapping such that

$$\|\Gamma_{m_q}(u,v)\| \leq \phi(u,v)$$

holds for all $u,v \in U$. Then there exists a unique reciprocal second power function $T : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\|m_q(u) - T(u)\| \leq \widehat{\phi}(u,u)$$

for all $u \in U$.

**Proof.** Firstly, let us substitute $v = u$ in (15). Then, we get

$$\|m_q(3^{-1}u) - 9m_q(u)\| \leq \phi(u,u)$$

for all $u \in U$. By employing induction technique on $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and using (17), we acquire

$$\left\|\frac{m_q(3^{-n}u)}{9^n} - m_q(u)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{g^\beta} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi(3^{-i}u, 3^{-i}u)$$

for all $u \in U$. Let $m$ and $n$ be non-negative integers with $n > m$, Then using (18), we have

$$\left\|\frac{m_q(3^{-n}u)}{9^n} - \frac{m_q(3^{-m}u)}{9^m}\right\| = \left\|\frac{1}{g^m} \left(\frac{m_q(3^{-n}u)}{9^{n-m}} - m_q(3^{-m}u)\right)\right\|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{g^m} \frac{1}{g^\beta} \sum_{i=0}^{n-m-1} \phi(3^{-(i+m)}u, 3^{-(i+m)}u)$$

$$= \frac{1}{g^\beta} \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \frac{1}{g^\beta} \phi(3^{-i}u, 3^{-i}u)$$

for all $u \in U$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we find that the sequence $\left\{\frac{m_q(3^{-n}u)}{9^n}\right\}$ becomes Cauchy in $U$. Due to the completeness of $U$, the sequence is convergent. Hence there exists a mapping $T : U \rightarrow V$ defined by

$$T(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_q(3^{-n}u)}{9^n}$$

(20)
for all \( u \in U \). Putting \( m = 0 \) and taking the limit \( n \to \infty \) in the above inequality, we obtain (16) using (20). Next, consider an additional function \( S : U \to V \) satisfying (16) and (20). Then, we get

\[
\| T(u) - S(u) \| \leq \left\| \frac{T(3^{-n}) - m_q(3^{-n})}{9^n} \right\| + \left\| \frac{m_q(3^{-n}) - S(3^{-n})}{9^n} \right\|
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{9^\beta} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{9^{(i+n)\beta}} \phi \left( 3^{-(n+i)}u, 3^{-(n+i)}u \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{9^\beta} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{9^{i\beta}} \phi \left( 3^{-i}u, 3^{-i}u \right).
\]

From the above inequality, we observe \( T \) is unique by letting \( n \to \infty \), which completes the proof.

**Corollary 5.** Let \( m_q : U \to V \) be a function with a constant \( \lambda_4 \geq 0 \), not depending on the values of \( u, v \) such that the inequality

\[
\| \Gamma_{m_q}(u,v) \| \leq \lambda_4
\]

holds for all \( u, v \in U \). Then, \( T : U \to V \) is a unique reciprocal second power function satisfying (1) and

\[
\| m_q(u) - T(u) \| \leq \frac{\lambda_4}{9^\beta - 1}
\]

for all \( u \in U \).

**Proof.** Taking \( \phi(u,v) = \lambda_4 \) in Theorem 4, we arrive at the required result.

**Corollary 6.** Let \( \lambda_5 \geq 0 \) be fixed and \( s \neq -2\beta \). Suppose a function \( m_q : U \to V \) satisfies the inequality

\[
\| \Gamma_{m_q}(u,v) \| \leq \lambda_5(\| u \|^s + \| v \|^s)
\]

for all \( u, v \in U \). Then, a unique reciprocal second power function \( T : U \to V \) exists and satisfies (1) and

\[
\| m_q(u) - T(u) \| \leq \frac{2\lambda_5}{(9^\beta - 3^{-s}) \| u \|^s}
\]

for all \( u \in U \).

**Proof.** Replacing \( \phi(u,v) = \lambda_5(\| u \|^s + \| v \|^s) \) in Theorem 4 and proceeding further, we obtain the desired result.

**Corollary 7.** Let \( m_q : U \to V \) be a function. If there exist \( x, y : s = x + y \neq -2\beta \) and \( \lambda_6 \geq 0 \) such that

\[
\| \Gamma_{m_q}(u,v) \| \leq \lambda_6(\| u \|^x \| v \|^y)
\]
holds for all \( u, v \in U \). Then, there exists a unique reciprocal second power function \( T : U \longrightarrow V \) satisfying (1) and
\[
\|m_q(u) - T(u)\| \leq \frac{\lambda_6}{(9^3 - 3^{-s})} \|u\|^s
\]
for all \( u \in U \).

**Proof.** Choosing \( \phi(u, v) = \lambda_6(|u|^s|v|^s) \) in Theorem 4, we achieve the result.

**Corollary 8.** Let \( \lambda_7 \geq 0 \) be fixed and \( s \neq -\beta \). Let a function \( m_q : U \longrightarrow V \) satisfies the inequality
\[
\|\Gamma_{m_q}(u, v)\| \leq \lambda_7(||u||^s||v||^s + (||u||^{2s} + ||v||^{2s}))
\]
for all \( u, v \in U \). Then, a unique reciprocal second power function \( T : U \longrightarrow V \) exists and satisfies (1) and
\[
\|m_q(u) - T(u)\| \leq \frac{3\lambda_7}{(9^3 - 3^{-2s})} \|u\|^{2s}
\]
for all \( u \in U \).

**Proof.** Selecting \( \phi(u, v) = \lambda_7(||u||^s||v||^s + (||u||^{2s} + ||v||^{2s})) \) in Theorem 4, we get the required result.

### 6. Application of equation (1)

We close our investigation with an application of equation (1) using Coloumb’s law. According to Coloumb, the electrostatic force of attraction between two point charges is directly proportional to the product of the charges and inversely proportional to the square of the distance between them.

![Figure 1: Electrostatic force of attraction](image)

That is,
\[
F = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{q_1q_2}{r^2}
\]
where \( F \) and \( r \), respectively, are the force of attraction and distance between the point charges \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \). Suppose the constant \( \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \) is taken as a constant \( c \) and unit point charges are assumed, then the electrostatic force of attraction is given by
\[
F = \frac{c}{r^2}
\]
which is a reciprocal second power function. Suppose the distance between two unit point charges is \( \frac{uv}{2u+v} \), then the electrostatic force of attraction is given by

\[
m_q \left( \frac{uv}{2u+v} \right) = \frac{c(2u+v)^2}{u^2v^2}.
\]

Also, if the distance is \( \frac{uv}{2u-v} \), then the electrostatic force of attraction is given by

\[
m_q \left( \frac{uv}{2u-v} \right) = \frac{c(2u-v)^2}{u^2v^2}.
\]

Then using equation (1), we can relate that the sum of the above electrostatic forces of attraction \( m_q \left( \frac{uv}{2u+v} \right) \) and \( m_q \left( \frac{uv}{2u-v} \right) \) is given by the sum of electrostatic forces of attraction \( 2m_q(u) = \frac{2c}{u^2} \) and \( 8m_q(v) = \frac{8c}{v^2} \). Hence equation (1) dealt in this study can be associated with the electrostatic forces of attraction between the charges in different situations.

7. Conclusion

In this investigation, we introduced a new reciprocal second power FE (1) and investigated its various classical stability results in modular spaces and \( \beta \)-homogenous spaces. We solved equation (1) for its solution in the setting of non-zero real numbers. We associated equation (1) with Coloumb’s law to employ it in various situations to connect the electrostatic forces of attraction in different assumptions.
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