Candida albicans: A Model Organism for Studying Fungal Pathogens
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1. Introduction

Candida albicans is an opportunistic human fungal pathogen that exists as a harmless commensal in the gastrointestinal and genitourinary tracts in about 70% of humans and about 75% of women suffer from Candida infection at least once in their lifetime [1–4]. However, it becomes opportunistic pathogen for immunocompromised patients, for some immunologically weak individuals, or even for healthy persons. The infection caused by C. albicans is commonly known as candidiasis. Candidiasis can be classified into two categories depending upon the severity of the disease. In the first category are the mucosal infections and the best known among these mucosal infections is thrush which is characterized by white spots in the infected membranes. These infections generally affect gastrointestinal epithelial cells, vaginal, or oropharyngeal mucosa. Furthermore, Vulvo Vaginal Candidiasis (VVC) is quite common among women, and some of them experiences repeated occurrences of this infection, which is known as Recurrent Vulvo Vaginal Candidiasis (RVVC). However, it causes life-threatening, systemic infections to severely ill patients in whom mortality rate is about 30% [5–8]. Systemic Candida infections are common to immunocompromised individuals, including HIV-infected patients, transplant recipients, chemotherapy patients, and low-birth weight infants [9, 10]. Although some non-albicans species like Candida glabrata, Candida krusei, Candida dubliniensis, Candida parapsilosis, and Candida tropicalis are recovered from infected individuals, C. albicans remains a major infectious fungal agent [11]. Historically, C. albicans is known to us since 400 BC when the renowned Greek physician, Hippocrates, identified a microbial infection and he named it as “thrush,” which is caused by this pathogen [12]. However, it was not studied like any other model organisms till late
C. albicans, an important member of elite group of model organisms at least for fungal pathogens. Here we would like to discuss briefly some of the important features of C. albicans, which are being studied vigorously to understand its complete biology and how it has elevated to the level of model fungal pathogen.

2. Techniques for Studying Candida albicans

Transformation of intact cell by exogenous DNA has been a major challenge for different cell types starting from bacteria to human cell line. In case of C. albicans, most of the techniques used for genomic and proteomic analyses are directly transferred from the model organism, the budding yeast S. cerevisiae. In the beginning, Candida research suffered a great deal due to its diploid nature in which genetic manipulation was not amenable. However, in the last two decades, several important techniques have been developed and applied to the genetic manipulation and proteomic studies with respect to its interaction with host, biofilm formation, drug resistance, morphogenetic states, phenotypic switching, and many other aspects. Current techniques, which are used in molecular genetic studies include DNA transformation, sequential rapid gene disruption, RNA isolation, RNA-sequencing, epitope tagging, use of reporter genes and regulatory promoters, chromatin immunoprecipitation, and DNA microarray. Here we briefly discuss two important techniques, that have been developed to study other organisms and they are being used for Candida biology as well.

These technologies have enormous potential to uncover a myriad of interesting and astonishing biological phenomena.

2.1. RNA-Sequencing. RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) is a recently developed technology, which has been applied to study the transcriptomes of different organisms starting from bacteria to human. Understanding the transcriptome is a prerequisite to get full view of functional elements of the genome, which will lead to interpret and understand the molecular details of cellular constituents, developmental processes, and diseases. In brief, total RNAs isolated from different cells are converted into a library of cDNA fragments and adapters are attached to one or both the ends of these cDNA molecules. Subsequently, each cDNA molecule is sequenced by high-throughput sequencing technology to obtain short sequences of sizes ranging from 30 to 400 bp depending upon the sequencing technology used [21]. In principle, any high-throughput sequencing technology can serve this purpose. The high-throughput technology such as Illumina IGI, Applied Biosystems SOLiD, Roche 454 Life Science, and Helicos Biosciences 515MS systems are being used for a wide variety of transcriptome analysis [22–28]. For example, these technologies have been applied to a range of model organisms such as S. cerevisiae, S. pombe, A. thaliana, D. melanogaster, mouse, and human for detailed analysis of transcribed regions of the corresponding genomes [29–34]. This new and innovative technology is also used for C. albicans transcriptome analysis. Recently, Bruno et al. have adopted RNA-sequencing method to generate a high-resolution map of transcriptome of this pathogen under several different environmental conditions [35]. Interestingly, this study revealed 602 novel transcriptionally active regions (TARs) in the Candida genome and numerous novel introns as well [35]. In another study, Mitrovich et al. have analyzed noncoding small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA) genes and revealed an alternative mechanism for widespread intron loss [36]. Application of this method in several other conditions with respect to adherence, disease propagation, biofilm formation, yeast-hyphae transition, and drug resistance will certainly provide an insight into the functioning of this organism in great detail.

2.2. Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChiP). The advent of chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChiP) has contributed greatly to the understanding of molecular mechanisms of different pathways in which DNA-protein interactions are involved. Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay was developed in the middle of 1980s to monitor the association of RNA polymerase with the transcribed regions of genomes in Escherichia coli and Drosophila melanogaster [37–39]. In early ChiP assays, UV irradiation was used for cross-linking DNA and proteins associated with it, and later Solomon et al. pioneered the use of formaldehyde as a cross-linking agent [40]. Soon thereafter, ChiP has been extensively used for studying the localization of posttranslationally modified histone proteins and their variants, chromosome-associated proteins, chromatin modifying enzymes, and also for the identification of target DNA sequences for
large number of transcription factors and repressors [41]. Moreover, the combination of ChIP with DNA microarray and high-throughput DNA/RNA sequencing technologies has revolutionized functional genomics as never before. This has enabled the characterization of many transcription factors and other proteins on a genomewide scale for a variety of organisms [42–44]. A brief description of the principle of ChIP is given here. Generally, DNA and proteins are reversibly cross-linked with formaldehyde so that proteins will covalently attach to DNA target sequences. Subsequently, the chromatin are fragmented either by micrococcal nuclease (i.e., MNase) or by sonication of the whole cells or isolated nuclei so that the DNA fragments of sizes 200–1000 bp can be generated with average value of 500 bp. Then the chromatins are immunoprecipitated with specific antibody that is generated against a protein of interest. Immunoprecipitated complexes are washed to remove nonspecific proteins, DNA–protein cross-link is reversed and ChIP-enriched DNA is purified. Purified DNA can be subjected to direct high-throughput sequencing (ChIP-seq) to determine the exact sequence of the DNA present in the immunoprecipitated chromatin [45]. DNA can also be cloned into plasmid vectors and then sequencing can be performed [46, 47]. Moreover, DNA sequences can also be identified by labeling and hybridization to genome-wide or tiling microarrays, end-point polymerase chain reaction (PCR), or with quantitative PCR [48–50].

This technology has been applied to Candida biology as well as in many laboratories, and many novel findings are obtained. For example, Sellam et al. have used this ChIP-chip method to characterize Ndt80p fluconazole-dependent regulon and identifying its key role as activator for ergosterol metabolism genes [51]. Recently, Lassak et al. have used In Vivo genome wide ChIP-chip and In Vitro footprint analyses to reveal the Efg1 sequences (EGR-box, TATGCATA) in both yeast and hyphal forms for C. albicans [52]. This method has also been applied to understand different regulons, such as Cap1p regulon and Tac1p regulon for this pathogen [53, 54]. Furthermore, ChIP and its different variants are used for the study of C. albicans along with other important fungal pathogens. This clearly suggests that C. albicans is now being considered as an important pathogen that needs thorough investigation into its functioning at molecular level.

3. Genetics of Candida albicans

The early studies on the genetics of C. albicans involved mainly the isolation of auxotrophic mutants and UV was the major source for mutagenesis [55]. The rationale behind those studies was to determine whether there is any correlation between the change in phenotypes and change in virulence. During these studies, it was observed that this organism is diploid nature. The diploid characteristic of this pathogen was deduced in early 1980s by the findings that many clinical isolates showed strongly biased auxotrophic characters after UV irradiation [56, 57]. This observation led to the hypothesis that natural heterozygosity exists for some loci of C. albicans. This was later substantiated by the analyses of sectored colonies obtained from UV irradiation of putative natural heterozygotes and also from revertants of mutants generated from chemical mutagenesis [58–60]. In addition, determination of DNA content and optical assays for DNA reassociation kinetics proved that C. albicans is indeed a diploid organism [61, 62]. Due to this diploid nature of this pathogen, it was not easily amenable to genetic manipulation and research on this pathogen suffered a great deal in the beginning. Furthermore, genetic analysis of this pathogen suffered because of its heterozygous nature and chromosomal instability. However, it has been postulated that high level of heterozygosity in C. albicans might play an important role in achieving diversity within the species which might be required for its survival in different adverse conditions. This aspect has been extensively reviewed by Larriba and Calderone [63]. Genetic mapping of this pathogen began in the 1980s by applying spheroplast fusion method, and subsequently, recombination analyses were performed [64, 65]. This approach was adopted due to the fact that the conventional forward genetic methods could not be possible because of its asexual nature.

It should be noted that generally yeast genetics has been studied with two sexually, well-characterized yeasts such as S. cerevisiae and Schizosaccharomyces pombe rather than asexual C. albicans [66]. Now the question is why this pathogen is considered to be asexual. Perhaps the lack of identification of mating forms in the laboratory strains led to this conclusion. However, this idea has been challenged by the identification of the Mating Type-Like Locus or MTL which is very much similar to MAT locus of S. cerevisiae [67]. For S. cerevisiae, MAT locus exists as MATα and MATa alleles that determine the mating type of haploid cells through the expression of a set of transcriptional factors [68, 69]. Two haploid cells harboring MATα and MATa alleles will mate with each other (cells carrying the same mating locus will not mate) and produce diploid that cannot mate further. Instead, under appropriate nutritional conditions, the diploid cells will sporulate and produce haploid cells of a and α mating types. On the other hand, due to its diploid nature, C. albicans strains do not mate. Also, it has not been proved so far that C. albicans undergoes meiosis and no haploid version of this strain is available. However, after the identification of MTL, two laboratories constructed C. albicans strains carrying only one allele of MTL [70, 71]. This was achieved by disrupting opposite allele or by selecting the strains for loss of one copy of chromosome 5 in which MTL resides. The resulting a and α strains were able to mate and produced tetraploid both in the laboratory media and in mouse model; however, the frequency was quite low. The tetraploid cells can undergo concerted loss of chromosomes and significant portion of cells can revert back to diploid state and thus, complete the parasexual cycle [70–73]. On the other hand, S. cerevisiae can perform meiosis and complete sexual cycle; however, similar mechanism was not identified in C. albicans.

Another important feature required for mating in C. albicans is epigenetic switch of white-opaque transition, which was first reported by Slutsky et al. [74]. It has been demonstrated that cells which are homozygous or hemizygous in MTL can undergo white-opaque switch and
only opaque cells are able to mate at higher frequency [75]. Furthermore, chromosomal instability is an important attribute of *C. albicans* and perhaps plays a significant role for generating different phenotypes including white-opaque switching required for its mating. The plasticity and dynamic nature of *Candida* genome has been well documented by several researchers [76–79].

4. Is Yeast-Hyphae Transition Crucial for Virulence?

Most of the fungal pathogens can primarily proliferate either in the form of budding yeast (e.g., *Cryptococcus neoformans*) or as a filamentous hyphal structure (e.g., *Aspergillus* spp.). However, *C. albicans* has a unique ability to grow in a variety of morphological forms and at least, four kinds of forms, that is, yeast-like, hyphae, pseudohyphae, and chlamydospores have been well documented [5, 80–83]. Among these morphological forms, the yeast-hyphae switch has been extensively studied due to its correlation with pathogenicity (Figure 1) [84]. In the 1950s, it was believed that hyphae rather than yeast form is responsible for pathogenic character of this organism. This was substantiated by the observation that *C. albicans*, injected into mice subcutaneously or intraperitoneally, was able to produce filamentous form within 1 hour of injection [85, 86]. Besides, it is quite amazing that the cell fate does not lock into a particular morphology rather it appears to be reversible and cells can be induced to form hyphae from their established buds [87]. The ability to switch between different morphologies in response to a variety of environmental stimuli might have an important consequence for its survival in different conditions. For example, during human infections or growth on media containing blood serum at 37°C, hyphal cells are produced from budding yeast cells, the morphology of which could facilitate deep penetration of this pathogen into epithelia, endothelia, and human tissues [88]. Now the question is how this switch works and how it plays a subtle but significant role in virulence. Initially, it was observed that the mutations in two transcription factors, Cph1p and Efg1p, blocked the hyphal transition and also reduced the virulence [89]. This observation suggested that morphological switching ability plays an important role in virulence. Subsequently, a large number of transcription factors have been identified, and they are implicated in the yeast-hyphae shift and their functions have been analyzed with respect to virulence [90].

Another important aspect in this regard is the functioning of signaling pathways that modulate the network of transcription factors responsible for yeast-hyphae switch in response to extracellular stimuli such as, presence of serum or N-acetyl-glucosamine in the media along with rise in temperature to 37°C [91, 92]. Usually the signals are transmitted from media to transcriptional machinery through a series of molecular cascades that affect the expression of large number of genes, which will subsequently change the morphology. Several signaling pathways that regulate the morphogenesis of *C. albicans* have been identified. The cAMP-dependent protein kinase pathway has been prominent among them. The cAMP-dependent pathway is responsible for regulation of Efg1p transcription factor, which is considered to be a master molecule for the general control of morphogenesis in *C. albicans* [93]. The regulation of Efg1p is thought to be through direct phosphorylation of this transcription factor by cAMP-dependent protein kinase [94]. Efg1p controls several morphogenetic processes such as the regulation of yeast-hyphae transition, generation of chlamydospore, and also the determination of cell shape during white-opaque switching [89, 95–97]. The cAMP-mediated signaling pathway has been extensively studied in relation to yeast-hyphae shift, and mutations in this pathway severely affect the hyphal development [98–102]. Other pathways that transmit external signals to the transcriptional machinery including pH-sensing pathway, matrix-sensing pathway, and MAP kinase signaling pathway have been implicated in the hyphal development as well. The transcription factor Cph1p is thought to be the target of MAP kinase pathway and implicated in yeast-hyphae transition. This was inferred from the observation that the double mutant *cph1 efg1* of *C. albicans* is defective in filamentous growth, unable to form hyphae and pseudohyphae in response to external stimuli such as serum or macrophages. This double mutant is basically locked in yeast form and is avirulent in mouse model [89]. In another study, it has been shown that deletion in any of the four elements such as Cph1p and its three upstream kinases Cst20p, Hst7p, and Cek1p, involved in Cph1p regulation, does not block the filament formation by serum and there is no dramatic changes in the transcription profile of the yeast-hyphal transition. This observation suggests that Cph1p is helpful but not essential for filament induction [103]. Furthermore, it has been shown that the external pH plays an important role in the yeast-hyphae transition. The main transcription factor in this pathway is Rim101p, which is proteolytically activated by Rim13p, and loss of Rim101p function results in the block of alkaline-induced hyphal development [104, 105].

Though morphogenetic changes have been studied thoroughly and implicated in pathogenicity, recent observation made by Noble et al. have challenged this concept and decoupled morphogenetic switching and pathogenicity [106]. Perhaps, the extensive use of *URA3* selectable marker for generating the mutants and their subsequent analysis has complicated the understanding of virulence in *C. albicans*. Several studies have clearly established that *URA3* plays a significant role in normal virulence as well as in yeast-hyphae transition [107–111]. The phenotypes of the mutants generated by using *URA3* selectable marker in this regard are questionable as the expression of *URA3* would vary greatly depending upon its chromosomal locations [107–109]. To circumvent the above-mentioned problem, Noble et al. have used a different set of selectable markers (*Candida dubliniensis HIS1* and *Candida maltosa LEU2*), which are neutral for virulence, for homozygous disruption of about 11% of total genes of *C. albicans*, and found that there is no correlation between morphogenetic forms and virulence [106, 112]. Rather, it has been suggested that the biosynthetic...
Figure 1: Yeast, hyphal and pseudohyphal morphologies. (a) Budding yeast cells appear similar to diploid Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells. Shortly after inoculation of unbudded yeast cells, (b) hyphal germ tubes are narrower and more uniform than (e) pseudohyphal buds, which have a constriction at the bud neck. However, it is difficult to obtain a population that consists solely of pseudohyphal cells; in the conditions used, 25% of the cells are hyphal, examples of these are indicated by an arrow plus “Hy.” (c) After 180 minutes, hyphae continue to display parallel-sided walls with no constrictions or branches. (d) Mature hyphal mycelia are shown. (e) Pseudohyphae exhibit morphologies ranging from short pseudohyphae that appear to be polarized yeast cells to (g) two long pseudohyphae that superficially resemble hyphae. (h) The mature pseudohyphal mycelium that results from a homozygous hsl1D mutation is shown. All forms of pseudohyphae superficially resemble hyphae but have constrictions at the positions of septa (arrows) and show regular branching. Growth conditions were as follows: (a) YEPD pH 6.0 at 30°C; (b, c) YEPD pH 6 plus 20% serum, grown at 37°C; (d–f) YEPD pH 6.0 at 35°C. The images in (f) and (g) were taken from the same culture. (h) Shown is an hsl1D/hsl1D mutant growing in YEPD at 30°C. The images in (d) and (h) are of cells stained with Calcofluor white, which stains chitin in the cell walls and septa. All scale bars represent 10 mm (this figure is reproduced with permission from Sudbery et al. [84]).
pathway for glucosylceramide plays an important role in virulence, at least in mouse model [106].

5. Biofilm Formation and Drug Resistance

One of the significantly important attributes of \textit{C. albicans} is the formation of biofilm on solid surfaces such as dental enamel and human heart valves in a three-dimensional fashion [113, 114]. From human health point of view, biofilms are important because they are developed on implanted medical devices, and it contributes to about half of nosocomial infections [115]. Formation of biofilm occurs in a systematic manner. For instance, the budding yeast cells are attached to surfaces and grow horizontally to form the basal layer. Subsequently, hyphal cells are produced and form the upper layer. Then, with further secretion, biofilms will be covered by extracellular matrix which is mainly composed of carbohydrates and proteins [114, 116, 117]. Efforts have been made to unravel the differences between \textit{Candida} biofilm and free-living planktonic cells by understanding the gene regulatory network [118–121]. Gene regulatory networking functions through multiple transcription factors, which generally bind to cis-regulatory DNA elements of the target genes or interact with protein complex assembled onto it. A number of transcription factors including Efg1p, Cph1p, Efh1p, Rap1p, Ino4p, and Tec1p have been identified and they are implicated in the regulation of biofilm formation [119–121]. Though morphogenetic forms have been implicated in pathogenicity, as such biofilm formation does not depend upon any particular morphological forms. Rather, the mutants unable to form hyphae or yeast are able to develop biofilm [122].

One of the most important manifestations of biofilm formation is their high-level drug resistance to different antifungal drugs [114, 123, 124]. It has also been demonstrated that individual cells disrupted from biofilms are more resistant to the available drugs than the free-living planktonic cells [125–127]. This suggests that the biofilm formation perhaps affects other factors in the cell, and these changes made during biofilm formation remain active even after the dissociation of the individual cells from biofilms. This drug resistance has certainly affected the whole scenario of \textit{Candida}-related infections and complicates the treatment of \textit{Candida}-infected patients [121, 128–130]. It was postulated that this high drug resistance could be due to low penetration of drugs through the biofilm. However, this idea does not hold true as mutants having defective biofilm can also show high-level of drug resistance [131, 132].

The drug resistance of \textit{C. albicans} is posing a daunting challenge to \textit{Candida} community and its understanding lies in unraveling different parameters that are responsible for this phenomenon. Rigorous efforts are being made in this regard, and several mechanisms have been proposed to be working for the drug resistance in this pathogen [133, 134]. For example, \textit{C. albicans} is getting resistant to many antifungal drugs like fluconazole, fluconazole, amphotericin B, and caspofungin that are commonly used to treat fungal infections. Several studies have shown that mutations which affect uptake of fluconazole or its intracellular conversion are found to be major causes of resistance to these drugs [135–139]. Mutations in the gene \textit{ERG11}, that encodes lanosterol 14α-demethylase, can affect the binding of azole drugs to this enzyme resulting in the increased drug resistance of the cells [140–142]. Also the overexpression of the gene \textit{ERG11} and other \textit{ERG} genes involved in ergosterol biosynthetic pathway increases resistance to drugs. The overexpression of these genes is mainly caused by an activating mutation in their regulator Upc2 [143]. Furthermore, in \textit{C. albicans}, multiple drug resistance is mediated by two types of efflux pumps, ATP-binding cassette transporters and major facilitators. This subject has been extensively reviewed [134, 144, 145].

6. \textit{Candida albicans} Genome

The \textit{Candida albicans} strain SC5314 was taken for complete genome sequencing due to its wide spread use for molecular and genetic analysis worldwide [146, 147]. Generally, the genome of \textit{C. albicans} is quite dynamic and lot of truncations, translocations, and other mutational events occur more frequently compared to other microbes. However, the genome of sequencing strain SC5314 was found to be quite stable having eight distinct chromosomes in duplicate ranging from 1030 to 3200 kb. The genomic sequence of this pathogen was published in 2004 as 266 independent contigs across a haploid reference genome and subsequently, a chromosome-level assembly was constructed [20, 148]. The genome size of \textit{C. albicans} is estimated to be 14.3 Mb, and it contains about 6107 protein-coding genes [149]. Of the 6107 genes/ORFs, about 774 are specific to \textit{C. albicans} and homologues for these genes/ORFs are not available in \textit{S. cerevisiae} or \textit{S. pombe} [20, 150]. Effort has been made to sequence the genomes of other less infectious \textit{Candida} strains, and the complete genome sequences for \textit{C. albicans} (WO-1), \textit{C. dublieniensis}, \textit{C. tropicalis}, \textit{C. parapsilosis}, \textit{Candida guilliermondii}, and \textit{Candida lusitaniae} are available now [149]. The \textit{Candida} Genome Database (CGD) is constantly updating the information pertaining to functions of unknown ORFs and other genes [151–154]. Moreover, a large number of genomic resources have been made and several of them are widely available to the research community (e.g., CandidaDB at http://genodb.pasteur.fr/cgi-bin/WebObjects/CandidaDB; Genolevures at http://www.genolevures.org/yeastgenomes .html Sanger Institute at http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/ Fungi/ and Broad Fungal Genome Initiative at http://www. broad.mit.edu/node/304) [155]. Gene annotation data available in CGD shows that the functions of only 22.97% (1403 genes) of the genes have been experimentally verified, whereas 77.03% (4705 genes) of the genes remain uncharacterized in \textit{C. albicans} and their functions have been assigned on the basis of sequence analysis. Furthermore, 152 genes/ORFs are still in the “dubious” category for which no experimental evidence is available and seems to be indistinguishable from noncoding sequences [154].

7. \textit{Candida albicans} as a Fungal Model

Though \textit{C. albicans} has been known to humans for quite long time, it did not catch the attention of scientific community,
especially the fungal geneticists, till late 1960s and it was not studied vigorously till 1990s. Now the question is why there is so much delay. It is not simply possible to answer this question directly, but apparently the reason could be the sudden increase in immunocompromised patients due to the change in modern medical techniques in the 1970s and 1980s. These patients were infected by a variety of microbes, and Candida species were found to be one of the major pathogens [155–157]. Around this time, many well-known laboratories started working on this pathogen along with budding yeast S. cerevisiae.

Initially, C. albicans was studied mostly from genetics point of view as molecular biology techniques were not available for this [158]. Furthermore, due to the non-availability of haploid version (as discussed above), it was not amenable to conventional genetic approaches and suffered a great setback. Besides, C. albicans genome was found to be quite unstable and heterozygous and hampered its study. But 1990s witnessed the exponential growth of research activities on C. albicans due to the availability of modern molecular biology, genomics and proteomics techniques. As other model organisms were getting sequenced, Candida community took the initiative of sequencing the genome of C. albicans strain SC5314, a clinical isolate, in 1996 funded by the Burroughs Wellcome Fund and the National Institute of Craniofacial and Dental Research and completed in 2004 [20, 146, 159]. The complete genome sequence has been extremely useful to address many fundamental questions. In the last two decades, an-ever increasing number of yeast geneticists and molecular biologists have taken up C. albicans as their primary research system. Many derive from parental strain SC5314 have been made with a large number of markers paving the way for disruption of both the alleles without much difficulty to analyze the functions of the genes including their role in virulence [112, 147, 160–162]. In short, C. albicans has almost all the features of the model budding yeast S. cerevisiae like dispersed cells, replica plating, rapid growth, DNA transformation system, and gene disruption but still maintains its pathogenic character. Among the fungi, it is second only to S. cerevisiae and first among the pathogenic fungi as far as understanding of molecular biology, genetics, and pathogenicity is concerned. Therefore, in the last two decades, it has clearly emerged as a model for studying fungal pathogens and its complete understanding will shed light into virulence characters of other pathogenic fungi as well.

8. Pathogenicity of Candida albicans

The pathogenicity of C. albicans depends upon two major factors. One is the immune status of the host and another is related to the virulence factors of this pathogen. In the last three decades, several laboratories have identified a large number of virulence factors of this important pathogen, and they have been implicated in the pathogenesis. Broadly, the microbial factors contributing to pathogenicity are the adherence to the host cell, secretion of hydrolytic enzymes, dimorphic phenotype (yeast to filamentous form or hyphae), phenotypic switching, modulating host’s immune system and formation of biofilm on biotic and abiotic surfaces [7, 163–167]. Adhesion is thought to be an essential step for colonization and establishment of Candida infections. C. albicans is a very versatile pathogen and has the ability to adhere to a variety of surfaces like endothelial cells, implanted inert materials in the host body, extracellular matrix, and epithelial cells. For adherence, it uses multiple mechanisms and they are well documented in the literature [168–175]. The molecules that are responsible for adhesion are called adhesins, which include Als1p–Als7p and Asl9p, Hwp1p, Int1p, Mnt1p, and several others [176–178].

C. albicans possesses an array of secreted hydrolytic enzymes of which SAPs (secreted aspartyl proteinases) are considered to be virulence factors and they contribute to the pathogenesis of candidiasis. The role of these SAPs in pathogenicity has been deduced from the observations that they are secreted in vivo during infection, the enzymes have the ability to degrade a number of important host factors, and the mutant strains have reduced virulence [167, 179, 180]. Moreover, C. albicans also secretes phospholipases A, B, and C, and they are considered to be putative virulence factors. These enzymes are associated with the function related to host cell damage, adherence, and penetration [7, 181].

Another important feature of C. albicans is its ability to form biofilm on biotic and abiotic surfaces (as discussed above), and it is thought to be playing an important role as far as its pathogenicity is concerned [182, 183]. Phenotypic switching of C. albicans is considered to be one important virulence determinant. A panel of colony types like rough, smooth, irregular wrinkled and fuzzy, star, hat and stippled have been found for this pathogen [184, 185]. Among the phenotype switching, white-opaque switching of the clinical isolate, WO-1, is well studied [74]. Besides, C. albicans has the ability to grow as unicellular budding yeast or filamentous form of hyphae or pseudohyphae [186]. Altogether, C. albicans has the plasticity in phenotypic or morphological states, and could play an important role in pathogenicity, however, much more rigorous studies need to be carried out to have thorough understanding of the relationships between different morphogenetic states and their role in pathogenesis.

9. Two Other Fungal Pathogenic Models

Two other fungal models which are extensively studied are Cryptococcus neoformans and Aspergillus fumigatus. They are briefly discussed below.

9.1. Cryptococcus Neoformans. The basidiomycetous yeast Cryptococcus neoformans is one of the prominent fungal pathogens and responsible for morbidity and mortality in immunocompromised individuals including AIDS patients and people undergoing immunosuppressive therapy [187]. This organism exists primarily as haploid in nature and comes in contact with humans much more frequently. The immunocompetent individuals are able to control and
contain the infection and do not develop cryptococcosis. However, in immunocompromised patients, C. neoformans can cross the blood-brain barrier and infect the brain, which leads to the development of meningitis [188–190]. C. neoformans possesses a number of traits, which are directly correlated with its virulence. Among the well-established factors responsible for virulence in this pathogen are the production of polysaccharide capsule and ability to synthesize melanin. It has been shown that capsule formation has antiphagocytic and immunomodulatory functions, whereas melanin is implicated in the protection of this yeast from a variety of environmental and host’s toxic factors [191–194].

Generally, C. neoformans is acquired by human beings through inhalation of spores or yeast into the lungs. For this reason, alveolar macrophages are proposed to be first line of defense against cryptococcosis. In fact, experimental evidence suggests that macrophages play a very important role in host defense against infection caused by this pathogen [195, 196]. On the other hand, several studies have shown that macrophages cannot engulf C. neoformans in absence of opsonizing agents such as complement or antibodies even after coincubation of more than 24 hours [191, 197, 198].

9.2. Aspergillus fumigatus. Another important fungus is Aspergillus fumigatus, which is unique among the microbes in the sense that it is a primary and opportunistic pathogen as well as a major allergen [199–201]. A. fumigatus forms airborne spores, which are known as conidia are normally inhaled by every human being. However, in immune-competent hosts, the conidia are killed and cleared whereas in immunocompromised individuals, A. fumigatus can cause aspergillosis leading to mortality rates of about 50% in certain high-risk groups such as leukemia patients [200, 202]. This fungus is known to reproduce by asexual means; however, compelling evidence has been gathered in the last one decade for the presence of mating-type genes and the expression of sex-related genes [203, 204]. Subsequently, it has been established that this fungus is equipped with a fully functional sexual reproductive cycle, which leads to the production of cleistothecia and ascospores [205–207]. The pathogenicity of A. fumigatus depends upon multiple factors of both the host and the pathogen. For establishment of invasive diseases, the fungus uses the expression of multiple genes in a highly coordinated and sequential manner. These include the gene products for cell wall assembly, conidial germination, hyphal growth, resistance to oxidative stress, and nutrient acquisition [208, 209].

Over the last two decades, a large number of fungi have got their genome sequenced including important fungal pathogens like C. albicans, A. fumigatus, C. neoformans, and others [20, 210, 211]. This has undoubtedly opened enormous avenues to have comparative study of the genomes to identify the potential candidate genes responsible for virulence [212, 213]. The genome sizes of C. albicans, A. fumigatus and C. neoformans are 14.3 Mb, 19 Mb and 29 Mb respectively. Genome annotation and comparison with related species shows that C. albicans has about 700 Candida-specific genes, whereas A. fumigatus has 500 fumigatus-specific genes and C. neoformans has about 650 species specific genes. Due to these specific genes, the mode of infection and pathogenicity varies, and they need to be studied separately to understand their biology as well as their ability to infect and propagate diseases.

10. Conclusion

C. albicans has been of great interest to the scientific community for its pathogenic nature, and it is infecting the ever-increasing immunocompromised patients worldwide. The genetics of this fungal pathogen is quite complex compared to the baker’s yeast S. cerevisiae, and “classical genetics” has suffered a great set back in studying this organism. However, the availability of complete genome sequence has opened enormous amount of opportunity for Candida community to study it by applying “reverse genetics” approach using advanced molecular genetics technology, proteomics, and genomics tools. The sequencing of other Candida species along with C. albicans has provided an opportunity to compare the genetic profile of these organisms and find out potential genes whose products are involved in adhesion, propagation, colonization, and survival in different niches in human and animal bodies.

Moreover, C. albicans possesses most of the characteristics of S. cerevisiae and more than 80% genes are similar in both the organisms. It is also easy to handle in the laboratory and can grow on standard yeast media without much problem. Of late, many yeast researchers prefer working on C. albicans rather than S.cerevisiae as lot of cellular mechanisms in Candida are still unknown and discovery of them could be quite interesting. In summary, C. albicans has catapulted into the centre stage of study for fungal pathogens, and clearly, it has emerged as one of the models for fungal pathogens.

11. Future Perspective

Though C. albicans has got lot of attention from scientific community, understanding the complete life style is far from over. The genome sequencing of this pathogen has revealed about 6107 genes but most of the functions assigned to them are borrowed from other organisms through sequence similarity. In another ten years or so, we have to assign the functions to each of the gene by experimental evidence so that it can serve as a “gold standard” as it is done for S. cerevisiae. Special attention must be given to Candida-specific genes, which account for about 13% of the total genes for which there is no homologues in S. cerevisiae. This will certainly shed light on understanding the pathogenic nature of this organism. Furthermore, to test different mutants, better mouse models can be developed so that all the genes responsible for virulence can be identified. It has been all the more important in the light of the recent findings that there is hardly any correlation between morphogenetic forms and its pathogenicity. As Candida species are becoming resistant to available drugs, efforts must be made to develop potential antifungal drugs. As many immunocompromised patients cannot tolerate high doses of antifungal drugs due to their
side effects, biomedicines must be developed to treat the patients. In future, it will be necessary to have genetic and biochemical networks of all the pathways responsible for biofilm formation, drug resistance, yeast-hyphae transition, white-opaque switch, and their interactions with each other. This will help to develop avirulent strains in true sense, which can be locked in commensal state and can be used for vaccination to augment the immune system. Revealing the mechanism of pathogenicity in this organism will be useful in handling other fungal pathogens, and it will serve as a reference strain for them.
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