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Abstract

Transposons and other selfish DNA elements can be found in all phyla, and mobilization of these elements can compromise genome integrity. The piRNA (PIWI-interacting RNA) pathway silences transposons in the germline, but it is unclear if this pathway has additional functions during development. Here we show that mutations in the Drosophila piRNA pathway genes, armi, aub, ago3, and rhi, lead to extensive fragmentation of the zygotic genome during the cleavage stage of embryonic divisions. Additionally, aub and armi show defects in telomere resolution during meiosis and the cleavage divisions; and mutations in lig-IV, which disrupt non-homologous end joining, suppress these fusions. By contrast, lig-IV mutations enhance chromosome fragmentation. Chromatin immunoprecipitation studies show that aub and armi mutations disrupt telomere binding of HOAP, which is a component of the telomere protection complex, and reduce expression of a subpopulation of 19- to 22-nt telomere-specific piRNAs. Mutations in rhi and ago3, by contrast, do not block HOAP binding or production of these piRNAs. These findings uncover genetically separable functions for the Drosophila piRNA pathway. The aub, armi, rhi, and ago3 genes silence transposons and maintain chromosome integrity during cleavage-stage embryonic divisions. However, the aub and armi genes have an additional function in assembly of the telomere protection complex.

Introduction

Drosophila piRNAs have been implicated in transposon silencing and maintenance of genome integrity during female germline development. However, piRNA pathway mutations lead to complex developmental phenotypes [1,2,3,4], and piRNAs have been implicated in control of gene expression [5,6,7,8]. Furthermore, the majority of piRNAs in other systems, including mouse testes, are not derived from repeated elements [9,10,11,12,13]. The full extent of piRNA functions thus remains to be explored.

Mutations in the majority of Drosophila piRNA pathway genes disrupt asymmetric localization of RNAs along the axes of the oocyte, and lead to maternal effect embryonic lethality [1,2,3,4]. The axis specification defects linked to several of piRNA pathway mutations are dramatically suppressed by a null mutation in mnh, which encodes a Checkpoint kinase 2 (Chk2) homolog required for DNA damage signaling, indicating that the loss of asymmetric RNA localization is downstream of DNA damage [1,2]. Oocyte patterning defects generally lead to embryonic lethality, but the mnh allele that suppresses the axis specification defects associated with piRNA mutations does not suppress embryonic lethality [1,2,3]. piRNAs thus have an essential function during embryogenesis that is independent of Chk2 activation and DNA damage signaling. To gain insight into potential new functions for the piRNA pathway, we have characterized the embryonic lethality associated with four piRNA pathway mutations. These studies reveal a novel function for a subset of piRNA genes in assembly of the telomere protection complex, and suggest that this process is directed by a subpopulation of 19–22 nt piRNAs.

Results/Discussion

The armi and aub genes encode a putative RNA helicase and a piRNA binding PIWI Argonaute protein, and recent studies suggest that they have distinct functions in piRNA biogenesis [2,8,14,15]. Mutations in aub dramatically reduce piRNA species that overlap by 10 nt, which is characteristic of ping-pong amplification, while armi mutations reduce total piRNA production but enhance the ping-pong signature [15]. Mutations in aub and armi lead to maternal-effect embryonic lethality, however, suggesting that these genes share an essential function. To gain insight into the lethality associated with these mutations, we first analyzed DNA break accumulation during oogenesis. Germline-specific DNA breaks normally form during early oogenesis, as meiosis is initiated [16]. In several piRNA mutants, however, DNA breaks persist, which could compromise the female pronucleus and thus lead to genetic instability in the early zygote [2,14]. DNA breaks trigger phosphorylation of histone H2Av, producing γ-H2Av foci near the break sites [17]. In wild-type ovaries, γ-H2Av foci begin to accumulate in region 2 of the germarium, as meiotic breaks are formed [16]. These foci are significantly reduced in stage 2 egg chambers, which have
Transposons and other selfish genetic elements make up a significant fraction of all eukaryotic genomes, and the piRNA pathway appears to have a conserved function in transposon silencing and genome maintenance. However, other functions for this pathway have not been fully explored. Telomeres must be protected from recognition as DNA breaks by the repair machinery, which can covalently ligate unprotected chromosome ends and thus disrupt meiotic and mitotic chromosome segregation. We show that mutations in a subset of piRNA pathway genes disrupt meiotic and mitotic chromosome separation and that these segregation defects are suppressed by a mutation that blocks ligation of non-homologous DNA ends. These mutations also disrupt assembly of the telomere protection complex and reduce expression of a subpopulation of 19- to 22-nt telomere-specific RNA. We therefore propose that a subpopulation of short piRNAs direct assembly of the telomere protection complex.

Compromised zygotic genomic integrity in piRNA mutants

Fertilization and pronuclear fusion initiate 13 rapid cleavage stage mitotic divisions [16]. These divisions are syncyntial, but membranes surround the cortical nuclei to form cells following mitosis [20]. 0 to 3-hr old cleavage stage aub and armi mutant embryos showed two distinct phenotypes. 60% of aub mutant embryos and 90% of armi mutant embryos contained dispersed chromatid fragments that were often associated with small spindle-like microtubule bundles (Figure 1B, Table S2). The remaining embryos appeared to be progressing through cleavage divisions, and some cellularization and gastrulation stage embryos were observed. However, chromosome bridges/lagging chromosomes were present in 50% to 70% of the cleavage stage anaphase and early telophase figures (Figure 1B and Figure 2C).

Chromatin fragmentation could result from replication of broken chromosomes inherited from the female, or from post-fertilization fragmentation of the zygotic genome. To directly assay zygotic genome integrity, mutant females were mated to wild type males and dual-label FISH was used to monitor physically separate regions of the Y chromosome. In male embryos derived from wild type females, the two Y chromosome probes always co-segregated through anaphase and telophase (Figure 1C, 1D). Mutant embryos showing chromatin fragmentation, by contrast, contained chromatin clusters that did not label for either Y chromosome probe, or that labeled for only one of the two probes (Figure 1C). In mutant embryos that proceeded through cleavage stage mitotic cycles, the majority of segregating chromatids retained both Y chromosome markers, indicating that chromosome continuity had been maintained. Chromatids with only one of two markers were observed, however, indicating that breaks had separated regions on a Y chromosome arm from the centromere (Figure 1D). The axial patterning defects associated with piRNA mutations are suppressed by mutations in mnk [1,2], but mnk did not suppress either the chromatin fragmentation or segregation defects linked to aub and armi (Table S2, Figure S3). Mutations in aub and armi thus destabilize the genome of the zygote and disrupt chromosome resolution during the cleavage divisions through processes that are independent of DNA damage signaling.

Mutations in the armi and aub genes disrupt piRNA production and transposon silencing, but have also been reported to inhibit homology dependent target cleavage by siRNAs [21,22]. In addition, null mutations in argonaute2 (ago2), which block siRNA based silencing, have been reported to disrupt mitosis during the syncytial blastoderm stage [23]. These observations raise the possibility that chromatin fragmentation and fusion in aub and armi mutants result from defects in the siRNA pathway. We therefore analyzed cleavage in embryos from females homozygous for null mutations in ago2 and dcr2, which block siRNA production and silencing [24]. Consistent with previous studies, we find that embryos from ago2 and dcr2 mutant females are viable [23,24]. However, we did not observe chromosome fragmentation or a statistically significant increase in anaphase bridge formation relative to wild type controls (Figure S4, Figure 2C). The sloquous (slo) gene encodes a Dicer-1 binding protein required for miRNA production [25], and we find that embryos from slo mutant females also proceed through normal cleavage stage divisions (Figure S4, Figure 2C). Chromosome segregation and maintenance of zygotic genome integrity during early embryogenesis thus appear to be independent of the siRNA and miRNA pathways, but require at least two components of the piRNA pathway.

Telomere fusions in aub and armi embryos

In S. pombe, mutations in aob1, dec1 and rtp1 disrupt kinetochore assembly and thus lead to lagging mitotic chromosomes due to defects in centromere movement to the spindle poles [26]. To determine if Drosophila piRNA mutations disrupt kinetochore assembly, we performed dual label FISH for centromeric dodeca-
satellite sequences [27] and the telomere-specific transposon HeT-A. In aub and armi mutants, centromeric sequences segregated to the spindle poles in essentially every anaphase figure, but telomere specific sequences were consistently present at the chromatin bridges (Figure 2A). These observations indicate that armi and aub are not required for kinetochore assembly, but are needed for telomere resolution.

Telomeres are protected from recognition as DNA double strand breaks by the telomere-protection complex (TPC), and defects in telomere protection thus lead to covalent ligation of chromosome ends by the non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ) pathway [28,29]. DNA Ligase IV is required for NHEJ, and ligase IV mutations suppress fusions that result from covalent joining of unprotected chromosome ends [28,29]. To determine if chromosome fusions in aub and armi are due to NHEJ, we generated lgIV;aub and lgIV;armi double mutant females and analyzed chromosome segregation in the resulting embryos. In aub single mutant embryos, 50% of anaphase figures show bridges, but anaphase bridges are present in only 15% of lgIV;aub double mutants (Figure 2B, 2C). By contrast, the fraction of embryos showing chromosome fragmentation increases in lgIV;aub double mutants (Table S2). Chromosome fragmentation also increased in lgIV;armi mutant embryos, and as a result morphologically normal anaphase figures could not be observed (Table S2). These findings strongly suggest that lagging chromosomes result from covalent ligation of chromosome ends by the NHEJ pathway, while chromatin fragmentation results from DNA breaks that are repaired by NHEJ. Mutations in armi and aub lead to significant over-expression of transposable elements [8,14,30], including DNA elements that are mobilized by a “cut and paste” mechanism that directly produces double strand breaks [31]. In addition, NHEJ pathway has been implicated in repair of gapped retroviral integration intermediates [32]. Chromosome fragmentation may therefore result from transposon over-expression and mobilization, which induces breaks that overwhelm the NHEJ pathway. Telomere fusions, by contrast, appear to result from defects in telomere protection, which lead to chromosome end recognition by the NHEJ pathway.

Assembly of the telomere protection complex

The Drosophila TPC includes HOAP and Modigliani (Moi), which may function only at chromosome ends, and HP1a and the MRN complex, which have additional roles in heterochromatic silencing and DNA repair [33,34,35,36]. To directly assay for TPC recruitment, we used chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) to measure HP1a and HOAP binding to the telomere specific
transposon HeT-A (Figure 3B, 3C). In wild type ovaries, HOAP and HP1a bind to multiple regions of HeT-A (Figure 3B, 3C). In armi and aub mutants, by contrast, HOAP and HP1a binding to the Het-A 5'-UTR and ORF are significantly reduced (Figure 3B, 3C). The 5’end of Het-A is oriented toward the chromosome end, and is therefore likely to lie at the telomere. Ovarian tissue consists of germ cells with a surrounding layer of somatic cells, which complicates interpretation of these biochemical studies. However, ChIP on 0–3 hour old embryos from aub and mnk,aub mutant females revealed significant reduction in HOAP binding at the HeT-A 5’-UTR (Figure S5). The aub and armi genes thus appear to be required for TPC recruitment, consistent with ligation of chromosome ends in mutant embryos.

To determine if other piRNA pathway mutations disrupt telomere protection, we analyzed the cleavage stage embryonic divisions in ago3 and rhi mutants. The ago3 locus encodes a PIWI clade protein that primarily binds sense strand piRNAs, and rhi encodes a rapidly evolving HP1 homologue required for production of precursor RNAs from a subset of piRNA clusters [14,30]. Essentially all of the rhi and ago3 mutant embryos showed chromatin fragmentation, as observed in the majority of aub and armi mutants (Figure S6). We therefore biochemically assayed for TPC assembly in ovarian chromatin using ChIP for HOAP and HP1a. Surprisingly, neither ago3 nor rhi mutations disrupt HOAP or HP1a binding to Het-A, and rhi mutants show greater than wild type levels of HOAP binding to Het-A (Figure 3B, 3C). By contrast, these rhi alleles reduce total piRNA production by 10 fold [14]. The ago3 mutations appear to be null, and the rhi mutations are strong hypomorph alleles. Assembly of the TPC in the ago3 and rhi mutants is therefore unlikely to be mediated by residual protein. Instead, these findings strongly suggest that aub and armi have a function in telomere protection that is not shared by ago3 or rhi.

In Drosophila, chromosome breaks can be converted to stable telomeres [37], called terminal deletions, which accumulate additional copies of the telomeric elements HeT-A and TART. When terminal deletions are passed in animals heterozygous for aub or the piRNA pathway gene spnE, the number of terminal TART repeats increase[38]. The defects in TPC assembly in aub and armi could therefore be triggered by increased HeT-A and TART copy number, which could titrate TPC components. We therefore assayed telomeric transposon copy number in aub and armi mutants, which show defects in TPC assembly, and in rhi and ago3 mutants, which do not. We also assayed telomeric transposon copy number and mitotic chromosome segregation in a wild-type variant, Gaiano, that has been reported to carry additional HeT-A repeats [39]. Consistent with previous reports, we find that Gaiano has 10 to 15 fold more HeT-A copies than OregonR controls (Figure 3D). Despite the increase in telomere length, this stock is viable and fertile, and we did not observe telomere fusions or lagging chromosomes during the cleavage stage embryonic divisions (Figure S6). In addition, we found that aub mutants that show defects in TPC assembly do not accumulate additional copies of HeT-A or TART, while rhi and ago3 mutants that are wild type for TPC binding show an increase in telomere-specific transposon copy number (Figure 3D). Assembly of the TPC is therefore independent of telomere specific transposon copy number (Figure S6).

Aub and Armii are required for production of a subpopulation of 19–22 nt piRNAs

piRNAs are proposed to direct PIWI clade proteins to targets through sequence specific interactions. Our observations raised the possibility that aub and armi promote production of piRNAs that direct the telomere protection complex to transposons that make up chromosome ends. We therefore analyzed published small RNA deep sequencing data [14,15,30] for species derived from a fourth chromosome cluster, defined by a high density of uniquely mapping piRNAs, containing multiple repeats of the telomeric transposons [40]. Our bioinformatic analysis showed that 70–80%
of telomere specific piRNAs match this cluster (Figure 4, Table S3). Figure 4 shows length histograms for small RNAs from wt, rhi, ago3, aub and armi mutant ovaries that map to this cluster. Data are normalized to sequencing depth, and small RNAs mapping to the plus genomic strand are represented in blue and RNAs mapping to the minus strand are in red. Significantly, aub and armi mutations lead to a preferential loss of shorter piRNAs mapping to the minus genomic strand (Figure 4B, 4C). Loss of these shorter RNAs highlights the peak at 21 nt, which is retained in all of the mutants and likely represent endogenous siRNAs (Figure 4A, black arrow). The telomeric elements (HeT-A and TART) are almost exclusively on the minus genomic strand in this cluster, and the RNAs that are lost in aub and armi thus correspond to the sense strand of the target elements. Ovaries mutant for ago3 and rhi, by contrast, retain these shorter sense strand RNAs.

We quantified the relative abundance of typical 23–29nt long piRNAs and the shorter 19–22nt species, excluding the 21nt endo-siRNA peak. All four mutations significantly reduce 23 to 29 nt piRNAs, although rhi mutants retain approximately 50% of wild type minus strand species. Loss of these piRNAs is consistent with over-expression of transposons matching this cluster in all four mutants (Figure S8). By contrast, the shorter minus strand RNAs are reduced by 3 to 10 fold in armi and aub, but are expressed at 80% to 95% of wild type levels in ago3 and rhi (Figure 4B, 4C). In addition, short piRNA species from the telomeric cluster co-immunoprecipitate with Piwi protein [15,30], which localizes to the nucleus and is a likely effecter of chromatin functions for the piRNA pathway (Figure S7). Binding of this subpopulation of piRNAs by Piwi is retained in mutant for piRNAs, although piRNAs and the shorter 19–22nt species, excluding the 21nt endo-siRNA, contrast, retain these shorter sense strand RNAs.

Taken together, these observations suggest that the piRNA pathway has two genetically distinct functions during oogenesis and early embryogenesis. The pathway prevents DNA damage during oogenesis and maintains the integrity of the zygotic genome during the embryonic cleavage divisions, which likely reflects the established role for piRNAs in transposon silencing [2,8,14,30]. This function requires aub, armi, rhi and ago3, which are also required for wild type piRNA production. In addition, our studies reveal a novel function for the piRNA genes aub and armi in telomere protection, which may be mediated by a novel class of short RNAs that bind to Piwi. Consistent with this hypothesis, it has been reported that germline clones of piwi null alleles do not significantly disrupt oogenesis, but lead to maternal effect embryonic lethality and severe chromosome segregation defects during the cleavage divisions [41]. A subpopulation of Piwi-bound piRNAs may therefore direct assembly of the TPC.

Materials and Methods

Fly stocks

Flies were reared at 25°C on standard corn meal medium. OregonR and w1118 were used as controls. Stocks carrying the following alleles were obtained from the Bloomington Stock Center: ago2, armi, aub, hnr2, dcr2, mkl, ligIV, dcr2. ago2 is an imprecise P-element induced deletion of the first two exons of ago2 locus. aub and dcr2 are both EMS-induced point mutations [42,43]. dcr2 is an EMS-induced loss-of-function allele described in [24]. aph and aph are both P-element insertion alleles, which act as strong hypomorphs [44]. Both armi and armi alleles are strong hypomorphic alleles which produce armi transcript at low levels.

Figure 3. Mutations in aub and armi disrupt assembly of the telomere protection complex. A. Schematic showing transposon arrays at Drosophila telomeres. The HeT-A transposon 3' and 5' UTRs are in red and yellow respectively, and the ORF is in blue. B. Binding of the telomere protection complex proteins HOAP and HP1 to HeT-A. Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was used to recover bound DNA, and the percent of input chromatin precipitated was determined by qPCR. Fold change in binding relative to wild type is shown, and was calculated by dividing mutant by wild type (wt) values. D. Genomic copy number for HeT-A and TART. Copy number was determined by qPCR, using the single copy Rp49 gene as an internal standard. Gaiano is a wild-type stock previously shown to carry additional telomeric transposon repeats.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001246.g003
and \textit{aub} recombinants were generated using standard genetic procedures. The \textit{loqsfs00791} and \textit{loqsKO} alleles were from Bloomington and Dennis McKearin [25], respectively. Stocks carrying \textit{ago34931} and \textit{ago33658}, which are loss-of-function alleles with premature stop codons [30], were obtained from the Zamore lab (University of Massachusetts Medical School).

Immunostaining and fluorescence in situ hybridization

0–30-min-old or 0–3-hr-old embryos were fixed in methanol and immunostained for \(\alpha\)-tubulin (Dm1a, Sigma Chemical Co., 1:300) and 0.2 \(\mu\)M TOTO-3 (Molecular Probes) using standard procedures [45]. For staining of egg chambers, the ovaries were dissected in Robb’s medium and fixed in 4\% formaldehyde as described [2]. \(\gamma\)-H2Av antibody was generously provided by Kim McKim (Rutgers) and was used at 1:500 dilution. The dodeca-satellite probe for the fluorescent in situ hybridization was made by \(3^9\) end labeling using terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (Roche), followed by direct fluorophore conjugation using ARES DNA labeling kit as described by the manufacturer (Molecular Probes). The dodeca-satellite probe for the fluorescent in situ hybridization was made by \(3^9\) end labeling using terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (Roche), followed by direct fluorophore conjugation using ARES DNA labeling kit as described by the manufacturer (Molecular Probes). The dodeca-satellite probe for the fluorescent in situ hybridization was made by \(3^9\) end labeling using terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (Roche), followed by direct fluorophore conjugation using ARES DNA labeling kit as described by the manufacturer (Molecular Probes). A dodeca-satellite probe for the fluorescent in situ hybridization was made by \(3^9\) end labeling using terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (Roche), followed by direct fluorophore conjugation using ARES DNA labeling kit as described by the manufacturer (Molecular Probes).

The dodeca-satellite probe for the fluorescent in situ hybridization was made by \(3^9\) end labeling using terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (Roche), followed by direct fluorophore conjugation using ARES DNA labeling kit as described by the manufacturer (Molecular Probes). A dodeca-satellite probe for the fluorescent in situ hybridization was made by \(3^9\) end labeling using terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (Roche), followed by direct fluorophore conjugation using ARES DNA labeling kit as described by the manufacturer (Molecular Probes).

Chromatin bridges quantification

To quantify chromatin bridges, the ratio of anaphase/telophase (A/T) bridges to total A/T figures was calculated for 10 to 30 embryos. The mean bridge frequency was determined by designating each embryo as an independent experiment, and the standard error was determined using an Anova test. Two-tailed t-tests were also used to compare specific data sets, using \(a = 0.05\). \(P\)-values are noted on the graphs.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation and quantitative PCR (qPCR)  
Whole ovaries were dissected from 2–5-day old flies and fixed using 1.8\% formaldehyde for 10 minutes at room temperature. For ChIP using embryos, 0–3 hr old embryos were collected and fixed using 1.8\% formaldehyde for 20 minutes at room temperature. The ChIP assay was performed as per manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen) and as previously described with some modifications [14]. Immunoprecipitation was done using HOAP polyclonal serum previously described [14] or the monoclonal HP1 antibody (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, IA). The

[Figure 4. piRNAs linked to a 4th chromosome cluster containing telomeric transposon fragments. A. Length histograms showing plus genomic strand (blue) and minus genomic strand (red) mapping piRNAs in wild-type, \textit{armi}, \textit{aub}, \textit{rhi} and \textit{ago3} mutants. The relative abundance is normalized to sequencing depth and is plotted on the y-axis. Note that sense strand of the transposon fragments in this cluster are on the minus genomic strand, and that the scales differ. Preferential loss of shorter piRNAs from \textit{aub} and \textit{armi} leads to a prominent endo-siRNA peak at 21 nt (marked by a black arrow). B. Abundance of longer (23–29 nt) plus strand (blue) and minus strand (red) piRNAs in the indicated mutants relative to their respective wild-type controls. All four mutations reduce plus strand piRNAs, which are anti-sense to the telomeric transposons. C. 19–22 nt genomic plus and minus strand piRNAs in the indicated mutants. All four mutations reduce plus strand RNAs. However, minus strand species are retained at near wild type levels in both \textit{rhi} and \textit{ago3} mutants. For panels B and C, bars show normalized reads in mutants divided by normalized reads in wild-type controls. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001246.g004]
purified DNA was subjected to qPCR using Applied Biosystems 7500 system, and data was analyzed by calculating the % of immunoprecipitated DNA compared to the input DNA sample. All ChIPs were performed at least twice and the data presented is an average of two different biological replicates with technical triplicates for each of them. The data was plotted with error bars representing standard deviations for individual samples. The difference between primer efficiencies was calculated by preparing standard curves and was taken into consideration while calculating % IP values. The primer sequences are available upon request.

Sequence extraction and annotation

For each sequence read, the first occurrence of the 6-mer perfectly matching the 5'-end of the 3'-linker was identified. Sequences without a match were discarded. The extracted inserts for sequences that contained the 3'-linker were then mapped to the female Drosophila melanogaster genome (Release R5.5, excluding chromosome YHet). Inserts that matched fully to a genomic sequence were collected using Bowtie (Langmead et al., 2009) and the corresponding genomic coordinates were determined for downstream functional analysis. Sequences corresponding to premiRNAs or non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) were identified and removed. For analysis of the telomeric cluster, small RNA length distributions were determined for reads that mapping to chr4:1280000–1350999, normalizing for sequencing depth (genome mapping reads excluding ncRNAs).

Supporting Information

Figure S1 DNA breaks in the piRNA mutants disappear by the end of oogenesis. Immunostaining of ovaries from OregonR control, aub and armi mutants for γ-H2Av (green) and DNA (blue) during stage 3, 5 and 8 of oogenesis showing the disappearance of the γ-H2Av signal by late stages. The oocyte is marked by a solid trace path.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001246.s001 (2.46 MB TIF)

Figure S2 Mature oocytes in piRNA mutants show compact chromatin mass. Overview of stage 14 oocytes in OregonR, armi, and aub females stained for DNA.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001246.s002 (1.81 MB TIF)

Figure S3 DNA bridges in piRNA mutants are independent of Chk2 activation Immunostaining of DNA (blue) and microtubules (green) in embryos from mnk, mnk armi and mnk aub showing chromatin bridges during syncytial mitotic divisions.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001246.s003 (2.47 MB TIF)

Figure S4 Chromosome segregation in RNAi and miRNA mutants. Immunostaining of DNA (blue) and microtubules (green) in embryos from ago2, drx2 and loquacious locs showing normal chromosome segregation during syncytial mitotic divisions.
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Figure S5 HOAP recruitment defect in early embryos ChIP-qPCR analysis of HOAP antibody from 0–3-hr old embryos in wt, aub and mnk aub across telomeric regions.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001246.s005 (0.13 MB TIF)

Figure S6 Cleavage stage embryos mutant for rhi and ago3. Gaiano is a wild type strain carrying additional telomeric repeats. The rhi and ago3 mutations lead to chromosome fragmentation. Mitosis is normal in Gaiano embryo. DNA is in blue and microtubules in green.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001246.s006 (0.82 MB TIF)

Figure S7 Telomeric cluster piRNAs bound to Piwi in wild type, ago3, and armi mutant ovaries. Length histograms are shown in B and piRNA distributions across the cluster are shown in B.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001246.s007 (3.00 MB TIF)

Figure S8 Expression of telomeric elements in piRNA mutants. Genome browser views of expression from the forth chromosome telomeric array are shown. All four of the indicated mutations lead to over-expression of these elements.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001246.s008 (3.00 MB TIF)

Table S1 4th chromosome morphology in stage 13 oocytes.
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Table S2 Percentage of embryos from different genotypes showing chromatin fragmentation.
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Table S3 Contribution of piRNAs against telomeric transposons from the 4th chromosome cluster.
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