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Abstract

We derive the Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz (TBA) equations for the exact WKB periods of the Mathieu equation in the weak coupling region. We will use the TBA equations to calculate the quantum corrections to the WKB periods, which are regarded the quantum periods of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $SU(2)$ super Yang-Mills theory at strong coupling. We calculate the effective central charge of the TBA equations, which is found to be proportional to the coefficient of the one-loop beta function of the 4d theory. We also study the spectral problem for the Mathieu equation based on the TBA equations numerically.
1 Introduction

The exact WKB analysis for the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation provide a rigorous approach to the study of the spectral problem [16–19]. In this analysis, the Schrödinger equation is formulated in a complex plane. The WKB periods are asymptotic series in the Planck constant $\hbar$, which is also regarded as a complex parameter. The WKB periods have the discontinuities in the complex $\hbar$-plane. In [16], the author has pointed out that their discontinuity structure and their classical limit determine the WKB periods completely (analytic bootstrap program [16]). Recently, the solution to this problem has been explicitly derived for arbitrary polynomial potentials [1], and for potentials with a regular singularity [31]. The solutions in [1,31] take the form of the Thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz equations (for short, the TBA equations), which are the integral equations that appear in the study of the integrable field theories represented by 2-dimensional CFT.

An interesting generalization is the case of the periodic potential. In particular, the Mathieu equation has been studied in detail using the exact WKB analysis [2,4]. In [2], the Borel transformation to the WKB periods for the modified version of the Mathieu equation are shown to agree numerically with the result of the TBA equations [9,12].

The spectral problem for the Mathieu equation has been also investigated as an example of the theories which have an infinite number of vacua (e.g. [20,21]). The energy spectrum of the Mathieu equation has the band structure, in which the non-perturbative effects contribute to the bandwidth. Moreover, in the weak coupling regime, the one-instanton contribution for the energy spectrum can be expressed in terms of the perturbative WKB periods [33,34]. This shows a specific example of the ”resurgence structure” between perturbative sectors and non-perturbative sectors.

The WKB periods for the Mathieu equation can also be regarded as the quantum periods for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $SU(2)$ super Yang-Mills theory [14,35]. This relation is used to the calculation of the instanton correction to the Nekrasov partition function [32]. And in [4], the instanton corrections to the prepotential of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $SU(2)$ SYM has been computed by using the exact WKB analysis. The quantum SW curve for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories have been studied in [10,29,30].

The purpose of the present paper is to derive the TBA equations for the exact WKB periods of the Mathieu equation in the weak coupling region. We will use the TBA equations to calculate the quantum corrections to the WKB periods, which are regarded the quantum
periods of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $SU(2)$ super Yang-Mills theory at strong coupling. We calculate the effective central charge of the TBA equations, which is found to be proportional to the coefficient of the one-loop beta function of the 4d theory. We also study the spectral problem for the Mathieu equation based on the TBA equations numerically.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we apply the exact WKB analysis to the Mathieu equation and compute the discontinuities for the WKB periods. In section 3 using the discontinuities, we derive the TBA equations for the Mathieu equation. This TBA equation clarify non-trivial relations between the 4-dimensional QFT and the underlying 2-dimensional CFT. We also present some numerical results of the TBA equations. Finally, in section 4 we apply the TBA equations to study the energy spectrum of the Mathieu equation numerically.

2 Exact WKB analysis for the Mathieu equation

We consider the Mathieu equation\(^1\) on the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$,

\[
\left(-\hbar^2 \frac{d^2}{dq^2} + \Lambda^2 \cos q\right) \phi(q) = u \phi(q),
\]

(2.1)

where $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $u, \hbar \in \mathbb{C}$ and $q$ is a complex coordinate on $\mathbb{C}^*$.

In the standard WKB method, we assume that the wave function $\phi(q)$ has the following asymptotic expansion in $\hbar$,

\[
\phi(q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{P(q)}} \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int P(q')dq'\right),
\]

(2.2)

\[
P(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(q)\hbar^{2n}.
\]

(2.3)

We can obtain $p_n$ recursively by substituting (2.2) into (2.1). In particular, $p_0(q) = \sqrt{u - \Lambda^2 \cos(q)}$ is the classical momentum.

The one-form $P(q)dq$ can be regarded as a meromorphic differential on the curve

\[
y^2 = u - \Lambda^2 \cos(q).
\]

(2.4)

\(^1\)Our analysis is converted to the modified Mathieu equation \(^2\) by the change of variables $q \to ix$, $\hbar \to i\hbar$. 
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This curve defines a Riemann surface \( \hat{\Sigma} \), which we will call WKB curve. The WKB curve \( \hat{\Sigma} \) is a branched covering of \( \mathbb{C}^* \). Along the one-cycles \( \gamma \in H_1(\hat{\Sigma}) \), we can define the period integrals of \( P(q) dq \), which we will call ”standard” WKB periods,

\[
a_\gamma := \oint_\gamma P(q) dq, \quad \gamma \in H_1(\hat{\Sigma}).
\] (2.5)

These periods are expanded as the power series in \( \hbar \),

\[
a_\gamma = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_\gamma^{(n)} \hbar^{2n}, \quad a_\gamma^{(n)} = \oint_\gamma p_n(q) dq.
\] (2.6)

In particular, we will call \( a_\gamma^{(0)} \) classical periods, which are the integrals of the classical momentum along \( \gamma \).

The standard WKB periods are an asymptotic series in \( \hbar \). Then we define the Borel transformation,

\[
\hat{a}_\gamma(\xi) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(2n)!} a_\gamma^{(n)} \xi^{2n}, \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{C})
\] (2.7)

and the Borel resummation,

\[
s_\varphi(a_\gamma)(\hbar) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^{e^{i\varphi} \infty} e^{-\xi/\hbar} \hat{a}_\gamma(\xi) d\xi,
\] (2.8)

where \( \varphi \) is the phase of \( \hbar \) (\( \hbar = |\hbar| e^{i\varphi} \)). We can obtain an analytic function \( s_\varphi(a_\gamma)(\hbar) \), from which we recover the standard WKB periods \( a_\gamma \) as the asymptotic expansion in \( \hbar \). In this paper, we refer to the analytic continuation of \( s_\varphi(a_\gamma)(\hbar) \) as ”exact” WKB periods. The Borel summability of the standard WKB periods for a class of meromorphic potentials has been discussed in [7,8].

The analytic continuations of the Borel transformations can have some poles and branch cuts in the \( \xi \)-plane. To avoid the singularities in the integration contour of (2.8), we define the lateral Borel resummation,

\[
s_\varphi\pm(a_\gamma)(e^{i\varphi}|\hbar|) = \lim_{\delta \to \pm 0} s_\varphi(\gamma)(e^{i\varphi \pm \delta}|\hbar|).
\] (2.9)

\( s_\varphi(a_\gamma) \) has discontinuity along the direction \( \varphi \), which is can be expressed as

\[
disc_\varphi a_\gamma := s_\varphi+(a_\gamma) - s_\varphi-(a_\gamma).
\] (2.10)
Let us now calculate the discontinuities of the exact WKB periods for the Mathieu equation (2.1). First, we define the canonical one-cycles on $\hat{\Sigma}$. On the complex $q$-plane, we define the branch cuts between the turning points. We use the coordinate with the identification $q \sim q + 4\pi$ while the potential $\cos q$ has the periodicity $2\pi$. This choice is useful to formulate the TBA equations as we will see. In this coordinate, the complex plane is compactified by adding the points at $\pm i\infty$ and these points are not the branch points. This is in contrast with the case of [4], where the coordinate $q$ identified as $q \sim q + 2\pi$. In this coordinate, the points $\pm i\infty$ become the branch points and one need to consider the cuts between the turning point and infinity.

In the following analysis, we will restrict to the parameter region as $-\Lambda^2 < u < \Lambda^2$, which correspond to the weak coupling region of the Mathieu equation. This parameter region is called the minimal chamber [36]. In this regime, we can take the WKB curve $\hat{\Sigma}$ so that the branch cuts lie on the classically allowed intervals. These branch cuts define four independent cycles, the cycles $\alpha$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$ which encircle the classically allowed intervals, and $\beta$, $\tilde{\beta}$ which encircle the classically forbidden intervals (Fig.2.1).

![Figure 2.1: The branch points and cycles with the identification $q \sim q + 4\pi$.](image)

We choose the orientations of the cycles in such a way that the classical periods,

$$m_1 := a_{\alpha}^{(0)} = \oint_{\alpha} p_0(q) dq, \quad m_2 := ia_{\beta}^{(0)} = i \oint_{\beta} p_0(q) dq,$$
$$m_3 := a_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{(0)} = \oint_{\tilde{\alpha}} p_0(q) dq, \quad m_4 := ia_{\tilde{\beta}}^{(0)} = i \oint_{\tilde{\beta}} p_0(q) dq,$$

are real and positive. In fact, from the periodicity of $\cos q$, the periods satisfy

$$a_{\alpha} = a_{\tilde{\alpha}}, \quad a_{\beta} = a_{\tilde{\beta}}.$$

Thus we take $a_{\alpha}, a_{\beta}$ as the independent periods for the Mathieu equation.

The discontinuities of the exact periods can be captured by the Delabaere-Pham formula
(Theorem 2.5.1 of [18], and Theorem 3.4 of [7]). The Delabaere-Pham formula says that the
exact periods for the classically allowed intervals have the discontinuities in the directions
for $\varphi = 0$ in the complex $\hbar$-plane, and its discontinuities are determined by the exact periods
for the classically forbidden intervals which cycles intersect with the each classically allowed
intervals,

$$
\frac{i}{\hbar} \text{disc}_0 a_\alpha = - \log \left[ 1 + \exp \left( -\frac{i}{|\hbar|} s_0(a_\beta) \right) \right] - \log \left[ 1 + \exp \left( -\frac{i}{|\hbar|} s_0(a_\beta) \right) \right], \quad (2.13)
$$

The r.h.s. of (2.13) is rearranged by the identification (2.12) as

$$
\frac{i}{\hbar} \text{disc}_0 a_\alpha = - 2 \log \left[ 1 + \exp \left( -\frac{i}{|\hbar|} s_0(a_\beta) \right) \right]. \quad (2.14)
$$

The similar formula hold for the directions for $\varphi = \pi$,

$$
\frac{i}{\hbar} \text{disc}_\pi a_\alpha = + 2 \log \left[ 1 + \exp \left( -\frac{i}{|\hbar|} s_0(a_\beta) \right) \right], \quad (2.15)
$$

In contrast, the exact periods for the classically forbidden intervals have the discontinuities
in the directions for $\varphi = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$, and its discontinuities are determined by the exact periods
for the classically allowed intervals which cycles intersect with the each classically forbidden
intervals,

$$
\frac{i}{\hbar} \text{disc}_{\pm \frac{\pi}{2}} a_\beta = \pm 2 \log \left[ 1 + \exp \left( -\frac{i}{e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}}|\hbar|} s_{\frac{\pi}{2}}(a_\alpha) \right) \right]. \quad (2.16)
$$

3 TBA equations for WKB periods

3.1 TBA equations

To simplify the discontinuities (2.14)~(2.16), we introduce the functions $\epsilon_i(\theta)$ by

$$
\epsilon_1 \left( \theta + \frac{i\pi}{2} - i\varphi \right) = \frac{i}{\hbar} s_{\varphi} (a_\alpha) (\hbar), \quad \epsilon_2 (\theta - i\varphi) = \frac{i}{\hbar} s_{\varphi} (a_\beta) (\hbar), \quad (3.1)
$$

where we define $\theta$ by

$$
\frac{1}{\hbar} = e^{\theta - i\varphi}. \quad (3.2)
$$
Now we can express the discontinuity formulae in the form:

\[
\text{disc}_{\pm \pi/2} \epsilon_i(\theta) = \pm [L_{i-1}(\theta) + L_{i+1}(\theta)], \quad (i = 1, 2) \tag{3.3}
\]

where

\[
L_i(\theta) = \log \left( 1 + e^{-\epsilon_i(\theta)} \right) \tag{3.4}
\]

and we define \( L_0 = L_2, L_3 = L_1 \). From the large \( \theta \) expansion of (3.1), \( \epsilon_i(\theta) \) has the asymptotic behavior

\[
\epsilon_i(\theta) = m_i e^\theta + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\theta}), \quad \theta \to \infty. \tag{3.5}
\]

Now we can find that the functions \( \epsilon_i(\theta) \) is given by the following TBA equations [1]

\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_1(\theta) &= m_1 e^\theta - 2 \int_\mathbb{R} \frac{\log \left( 1 + e^{-\epsilon_2(\theta')} \right) d\theta'}{\cosh(\theta - \theta')} \frac{1}{2\pi}, \\
\epsilon_2(\theta) &= m_2 e^\theta - 2 \int_\mathbb{R} \frac{\log \left( 1 + e^{-\epsilon_1(\theta')} \right) d\theta'}{\cosh(\theta - \theta')} \frac{1}{2\pi}.
\end{align*} \tag{3.6}
\]

This TBA equations coincide with the "conformal limit" of the low-energy effective theory of the \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) gauge theory on \( \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1 \) [9,12]. We derived the TBA equations by using the discontinuity formula. On the other hand, the TBA equations for the Mathieu equation are also considered in [3], where the authors derive the TBA equations for the periods \( a_\beta(h, u) \) and \( a_\beta(h, -u) \) by using the functional relation of the wronskian for the subdominant asymptotic solutions. The derivation in [3] is based on the ODE/IM correspondence [23,24].

The TBA equations are simplified when we choose \( u = 0 \). Then we have

\[
m_1 = m_2 =: m. \tag{3.7}
\]

In this case, from the calculation of (3.6), we can see that \( \epsilon_1 \) and \( \epsilon_2 \) are identified

\[
\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 =: \epsilon. \tag{3.8}
\]

Therefore the two TBA equations collapse to one,

\[
\epsilon(\theta) = m e^\theta - 2 \int_\mathbb{R} \frac{\log \left( 1 + e^{-\epsilon(\theta')} \right) d\theta'}{\cosh(\theta - \theta')} \frac{1}{2\pi}. \tag{3.9}
\]

This TBA equation coincides with the massless Sinh-Gordon TBA equation, which is the
result of the conformal limit of the TBA equation for the Sinh-Gordon model [22]. The TBA equation (3.9) is also studied in the context of the ODE/IM correspondence for the generalized Mathieu equation [11].

So far we investigate the TBA equations for real \( u \) satisfying \(-\Lambda^2 < u < \Lambda^2\). But we can extend the TBA equations for complex \( u \) as far as \( u \) belongs to the minimal chamber [2,9]. On the complex \( u \)-plane, the minimal chamber coincide with the inside of the marginal stability curve [26]. The marginal stability curve is real co-dimension one curve in \( u \)-plane which runs through the points \( u = \pm \Lambda^2 \). In the inside of the marginal stability curve, the TBA equations are written as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\epsilon}_1(\theta) &= m_1 e^{\theta} - 2 \int_R \log \left( 1 + e^{-\tilde{\epsilon}_2(\theta')} \right) \frac{d\theta'}{2\pi} \\
\tilde{\epsilon}_2(\theta) &= m_2 e^{\theta} - 2 \int_R \log \left( 1 + e^{-\tilde{\epsilon}_1(\theta')} \right) \frac{d\theta'}{2\pi},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \tilde{\epsilon}_i(\theta) := \epsilon_i(\theta - i\phi_i) \) \((i = 1, 2)\), (3.12)

and \(|\phi_1 - \phi_2| < \frac{\pi}{2}\).

The integral equations for the outside of the marginal stability region can be also obtained, but this equation is no longer of the form of the TBA equations and it is difficult to solve even numerically.

### 3.2 All-order expansion of the WKB periods

In this subsection, we study the \( \hbar = e^{-\theta} \) expansion of the solution to the TBA equations and compare their with the WKB expansion of the periods. When we expand (3.6) at large \( \theta \), we obtain all-order asymptotic expansion,

\[
\epsilon_i(\theta) = m_i e^\theta + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_i^{(n)} e^{(1-2n)\theta}, \quad \theta \to \infty,
\]

where

\[
m_i^{(n)} = \frac{(-1)^n}{\pi} \int_R e^{(2n-1)\theta}(L_{k-1}(\theta) + L_{k+1}(\theta))d\theta \quad (k = 1, 2).
\]

(3.14)
\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{cccc}
\hline
n & $(-1)^n a^{(n)}_{\alpha}$ & $m^{(n)}_1$ & $i a^{(n)}_{\beta}$ & $m^{(n)}_2$ \\
\hline
0 & 1.82531050 & 8.22561885 & 6.25731050 & 8.22561885 \\
1 & -0.15993792 & -0.15993849 & -0.42667081 & -0.42667143 \\
2 & 0.00420150 & 0.00420150 & 0.22398998 & 0.22398999 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{The numerical results of the coefficients of the standard WKB periods ($\Lambda = 1$, $u = -0.6$). The numerical calculation in the TBA equations is done by Fourier discretization with $2^{18}$ points and a cutoff of the integrals ($-L, L$) where $L = 41.837877$.}
\end{table}

From $m^{(n)}_k$, we can recover the coefficients of the standard WKB periods as

$$m^{(n)}_1 = (-1)^n a^{(n)}_{\alpha}, \quad m^{(n)}_2 = i a^{(n)}_{\beta}. \quad (3.15)$$

In Table 1 we compare $m^{(n)}_k$ to $a^{(n)}_{\alpha}$ and $a^{(n)}_{\beta}$ for the first two terms at $u = -0.6$, $\Lambda = 1$. We note that we can choose $\Lambda = 1$ without loss of generality from the quasi-homogeneous property of the Mathieu equation. We also compare the numerical results with $-1 < u < 1$ in Figure 3.2. To compute the higher order corrections of $a^{(n)}_{\alpha}$ and $a^{(n)}_{\beta}$, we used the differential operator technique \[4,6\]. The classical periods $a^{(0)}_{\alpha}$ and $a^{(0)}_{\beta}$ for $-1 < |u| < 1$ can be expressed in terms of the hypergeometric function,

$$a^{(0)}_{\alpha} = \frac{16 \pi}{\sqrt{2}} \left( 2 F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{1+u}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} (1-u)^2 F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{1+u}{2} \right) \right),$$

$$a^{(0)}_{\beta} = -\frac{16i \pi}{\sqrt{2}} \left( 2 F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{1-u}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} (1+u)^2 F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{1-u}{2} \right) \right). \quad (3.16)$$

The higher order corrections can be computed by the differential operators $D_n$ that act on the classical periods,

$$a^{(n)}_{\gamma} = D_n a^{(0)}_{\gamma}. \quad (3.17)$$

For $\Lambda = 1$, the first few order of the differential operators can be expressed as follows:

$$D_1 = \frac{1}{24} \left( 2u \partial^2_u + \partial_u \right),$$

$$D_2 = \frac{1}{2^7} \left( \frac{28}{45} u^2 \partial^4_u + \frac{8}{3} u \partial^3_u + \frac{5}{3} \partial^2_u \right). \quad (3.18)$$

From the TBA equations, we can also calculate higher order collections to the standard periods numerically. In Figure 3.3 we show that the poles of the Borel transformation of the standard periods. To compute the Borel transformations, we use the standard periods which

\[\text{8}\]
Figure 3.2: The numerical results of [a] the first order and [b] the second order ($\Lambda = 1 \ u = -0.6$). The green lines show the results of $(-1)^n a_\alpha^{(n)}$, $m_1^{(n)}$ and the red lines show the results of $i a_\beta^{(n)}$, $m_2^{(n)}$.

are determined by (3.14) and the diagonal Padé approximant of order 12. These positions of
the poles are consistent with the discontinuities (2.14)~(2.16). The poles of the Borel-Padé
transformations are also calculated in [2]. They use 193 terms of the standard periods which
is determined by the differential operator technique. To reproduce their results, we need
higher order corrections and more precision of the calculation of (3.14).

Figure 3.3: The poles of the Borel transformation of [a] $a_\alpha$ and [b] $a_\beta$ on the complex $\xi$-plane
($\Lambda = 1 \ u = -0.6$).

3.3 Effective central charge and PNP relation

In the TBA systems (3.6), the functions $\epsilon_i(\theta)$ are regarded as the energy of pseudo particles
of the integrable field theories. In the UV limit, we can evaluate the "effective central
charge" $c_{\text{eff}} = c - 24\Delta_{\text{min}}$ of the underlying CFT, where $c$ is the central charge of the Virasoro algebra and $\Delta_{\text{min}}$ is the minimum eigenvalue of the Virasoro operator $L_0$. $c_{\text{eff}}$ is given by

$$c_{\text{eff}} = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} m_i \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^\theta L_i(\theta) d\theta = 2 + \frac{3}{\pi^2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left( \epsilon_i^* \log(1 + e^{\epsilon_i^*}) + 2\text{Li}_2(-e^{\epsilon_i^*}) \right), \quad (3.19)$$

where

$$\epsilon_i^* = \lim_{\theta \to -\infty} \epsilon_i(\theta). \quad (3.20)$$

We calculate the effective central charge for the TBA equations (3.6). The $\theta \to -\infty$ limit of the pseudo-energies for (3.6) satisfy

$$e^{-\epsilon_1^*} = 1 + e^{-\epsilon_2^*}, \quad e^{-\epsilon_2^*} = 1 + e^{-\epsilon_1^*}. \quad (3.21)$$

In [12, 40], it is pointed out that there are no mathematically rigorous solutions to this equations. But we can formally consider that $\epsilon_i^* \to -\infty$ are the solutions to this equations. Then we can identify the effective central charge associated with TBA equations (3.6),

$$c_{\text{eff}} = 2. \quad (3.22)$$

This result agrees with the numerical calculation.

We can also compute the effective central charge of the second term of (3.19) from the standard WKB periods. The large $\theta$ expansion for (3.11) leads to

$$c_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{3i}{\pi} \left( a_{\alpha}(0) a_{\beta}(1) a_{\alpha}(0) - a_{\alpha}(1) a_{\beta}(0) a_{\alpha}(0) \right). \quad (3.23)$$

We can evaluate this by the hypergeometric form of the standard WKB periods, which is again equal to 2. Note that the classical periods (3.16) satisfy the second-order Picard-Fuchs equation [27],

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \left( a_{\alpha}(0), a_{\beta}(0) \right) = \frac{-1}{4(u+1)(u-1)} \left( a_{\alpha}(0), a_{\beta}(0) \right). \quad (3.24)$$

This equation allow us to rewrite (3.23) as

$$-\frac{3i}{\pi} \left( a_{\alpha}(0) a_{\beta}(1) a_{\alpha}(0) - a_{\alpha}(1) a_{\beta}(0) a_{\alpha}(0) \right) = -\frac{i}{8\pi} \left( a_{\alpha}(0) \frac{\partial a_{\beta}(0)}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial a_{\alpha}(0)}{\partial u} a_{\beta}(0) \right). \quad (3.25)$$
From the wronskian relation \[42\] satisfied by the classical periods
\[
a^{(0)}_{\alpha} \frac{\partial a^{(0)}_{\beta}}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial a^{(0)}_{\alpha}}{\partial u} a^{(0)}_{\beta} = 16 \pi i, \tag{3.26}
\]
we obtain
\[
-\frac{3i}{\pi} \left( a^{(0)}_{\alpha} a^{(1)}_{\beta} - a^{(1)}_{\alpha} a^{(0)}_{\beta} \right) = 2. \tag{3.27}
\]
This non-trivial relation between the independent standard periods is called the PNP-relation. The PNP-relation also exists for several class of the potentials \[25\], \[28\].

In \[14\], it is demonstrate that the standard WKB periods \(a_{\alpha}, a_{\beta}\) are equivalent to the quantum periods for 4-dimensional \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) SU(2) super Yang-Mills theory. Therefore the TBA equations \[3.11\] indicate that the Borel resummation of the quantum periods, which are the quantities of the 4-dimensional QFT, are equal to the pseudo-energies, which is the quantities of the 2-dimensional CFT, at least on the inside of the marginal stability. Then we can regard \(3.23\) as the relational expression between the quantum periods and the effective central charge. Moreover, in \[37\] \[38\], it is show that the l.h.s of \(3.23\) is proportional to the coefficient of the one-loop beta function for the \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) theory. Therefore \(3.23\) indicates that the quantum collection to the beta function for the \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) theory is governed by the 2-dimensional CFT.

4 Spectral problem for the Mathieu equation

The energy spectrum of the Mathieu equation has the band structure. In \[41\], Zinn-Justin and Jentschura have conjectured that the exact quantization condition for the periodic cosine potential is given by the following equation,
\[
\left( \frac{32}{\sqrt{2h}} \right)^{B_{Z,11}} e^{\frac{A_{Z,11}}{2}} \frac{1}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} - B_{Z,11} \right)} + \left( -\frac{32}{\sqrt{2h}} \right)^{B_{Z,11}} e^{-\frac{A_{Z,11}}{2}} \frac{1}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + B_{Z,11} \right)} = \frac{2 \cos \theta_B}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \tag{4.1}
\]
where \(\theta_B\) is the Bloch angle. \(A_{Z,11}\) and \(B_{Z,11}\) are formal power series in \(h\) and related to the standard WKB periods as follows \[20\]:
\[
B_{Z,11}(u, h) = \frac{1}{2\pi h} a_{\alpha}(u, h), \tag{4.2}
\]
Figure 4.4: (i) The exact energy bands (green lines). (ii) The energy spectrum determined by the Bohr-Sommerfeld condition for \( s_{\text{med}}(a_\alpha)(u, \hbar) \) (blue lines). (iii) The exact spectrum at \( \theta_B = \frac{\pi}{2} \) (orange lines). (iv) The energy bands determined by (4.1) with the exact periods (gray region). The numerical calculation in the TBA equations is done by taking the value \( \delta = 10^{-15} \).

\[
A_{\text{ZJJ}}(u, \hbar) = \frac{i}{\hbar} a_\beta(u, \hbar) - 2 \ln \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + B_{\text{ZJJ}}(u, \hbar) \right) + \ln (2\pi) - 2B_{\text{ZJJ}}(u, \hbar) \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}\hbar}{32} \right). \tag{4.3}
\]

In particular, the perturbative contribution to the energy spectrum can be obtained by the following condition,

\[
B_{\text{ZJJ}}(u, \hbar) = N + \frac{1}{2}, \quad (N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}). \tag{4.4}
\]

This equation corresponds to the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization condition for \( a_\alpha \).

The quantization conditions (4.1)\(\sim\) (4.4) are only valid for \( u \ll 1 \) and \( \hbar \ll 1 \), in which we can use the approximation by the standard WKB periods. Instead of the standard periods, we will use the exact WKB periods \( s_{\text{med}}(a_\alpha), s_0(a_\beta) \), where \( s_{\text{med}} \) indicates the median resummation. The median resummation can be easily computed as the principal value of the singular integral \[1\],

\[
\frac{1}{\hbar} s_{\text{med}}(a_\alpha)(u, \hbar) = m_1 e^\theta + P \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2L_2(\theta)}{\sinh(\theta - \theta')} \frac{d\theta'}{2\pi}, \tag{4.5}
\]
where
\[
P \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2L_2(\theta')}{\sinh(\theta - \theta') \, 2\pi} \, d\theta' := \lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2L_2(\theta') \sinh(\theta - \theta') \cos \delta}{\sinh^2(\theta - \theta') \cos^2(\delta) + \cosh^2(\theta - \theta') \sin^2(\delta)} \, d\theta'.
\] (4.6)

In Fig 4.4, we depict the energy spectrum with several computations. The gray region indicate the energy bands calculated by (4.1) with the exact periods. We can see that the gray region partially reproduce the exact band spectrum (green lines). Thus the exact WKB periods extend the valid region of the quantization condition (4.1). The blue lines show the results of the Bohr-Sommerfeld condition for \(s_{\text{med}}(a, u, \hbar)\). And the orange lines are the exact spectrum at \(\theta_B = \pi/2\), which includes the two and much higher instanton contributions but approximately determines the exact perturbative spectrum.

5 Conclusions and discussions

In this paper, we derived the TBA equations which govern the exact WKB periods for the Mathieu equation at weak coupling. The TBA equations gave an efficient way to calculate not only the exact periods but also the all-order coefficients for the standard periods. The TBA equations also provided some new perspectives for the related subjects. First, we have seen that the Borel resummation of the quantum periods for \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) \(SU(2)\) super Yang-Mills theory is equal to the pseudo-energies of the TBA equations, and the coefficients of the one-loop beta function for the 4d theory is proportional to the effective central charge. Second, in section 4, the exact WKB period with the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization condition determined the perturbative contribution to the energy spectrum at least \(-\Lambda^2 < u < \Lambda^2\).

Our results raise several open problems. In section 4, the bandwidth determined by the TBA equations were not agreed with the exact results as \(u\) or \(\hbar\) become large. To compute the energy spectrum for these region, we may be necessary to consider the exact quantization conditions for the Mathieu equation by using the exact WKB method [19]. It is also interesting to apply our method to other periodic potentials and study their band structure. The Hill’s differential equation is one of them, which includes the Mathieu equation as a special case.

There are also many crucial generalizations in the context of gauge theories. First of all, whether we can apply our method to the theories with matters or not. These theories have more complicated forms of the potentials (see e.g. [10]). Another direction for generalization...
is $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ $SU(2)$ super Yang-mills theory, whose quantum periods can be calculated from the Lamé equation \cite{20,39}.

The most important problem is the formulation for the outside of the strong coupling region. As stated in subsection 3.1, integral equations in the outside of marginal stability have no longer the form of TBA equations. On the other hand, in \cite{15}, other type of integral equations for these regions are derived by using the abelianization technique. They use a form of the Mathieu equation which can be obtained from the change of variable $e^{ig} = z$. We probably need the exact WKB analysis in this coordinate \cite{5}.
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