Performance Enhancement of DWDM-FSO Optical Fiber Communication Systems Based on Hybrid Modulation Techniques under Atmospheric Turbulence Channel
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Abstract: In this paper, we enhance the performance efficiency of the free-space optical (FSO) communication link using the hybrid on-off keying (OOK) modulation, M-ary digital pulse position modulation (M-ary DPPM), and M-pulse amplitude and position modulation (M-PAPM). This work analyzes and enhances the bit error rate (BER) performance of the moment generating function, modified Chernoff bound, and Gaussian approximation techniques. In the existence of both an amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) noise, atmospheric turbulence (AT) channels, and interchannel crosstalk (ICC), we propose a system model of the passive optical network (PON) wavelength division multiplexing (WDM) technique for a dense WDM (DWDM) based on the hybrid fiber FSO (HFFSO) link. We use eight wavelength channels that have been transmitted at a data rate of 2.5 Gbps over a turbulent HFFSO-DWDM system and PON-FSO optical fiber start from 1550 nm channel spacing in the C-band of 100 GHz. The results demonstrate (2.5 Gbps × 8 channels) 20 Gbit/s-4000 m transmission with favorable performance. In this design, M-ary DPPM-M-PAPM modulation is used to provide extra information bits to increase performance. We also propose to incorporate adaptive optics to mitigate the AT effect and improve the modulation efficiency. We investigate the impact of the turbulence effect on the proposed system performance based on OOK/M-ary PAPM-DPPM modulation as a function of M-ary DPPM-PAPM and other atmospheric parameters. The proposed M-ary hybrid DPPM-M-PAPM solution increases the receiver sensitivity compared to OOK, improves the reliability and achieves a lower power penalty of 0.2–3.0 dB at low coding level (M) 2 in the WDM-FSO systems for the weak turbulence. The OOK/M-ary hybrid DPPM-M-PAPM provides an optical signal-to-noise ratio of about 4–8 dB of the DWDM-HFFSO link for the strong turbulence at a target BER of 10^{-12}. The numerical results indicate that the proposed design can be enhanced with the hybrid OOK/M-DPPM and M-PAPM for DWDM-HFFSO systems. The calculation results show that PAPM-DPPM has increased about 10–11 dB at BER of 10^{-12} more than the OOK-NRZ approach. The simulation results show that the proposed hybrid optical modulation technique can be used in the DWDM-FSO hybrid links for optical-wireless and fiber-optic communication systems, significantly increasing their efficiency. Finally, the use of the hybrid OOK/M-ary DPPM-M-PAPM modulation schemes is a new technique to reduce the AT, ICC, ASE noise for the DWDM-FSO optical fiber communication systems.
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1. Introduction

Pulse position modulation (PPM) and digital PPM (DPPM) and systems are modulation schemes that can perform great performance in free-space optical (FSO) transmission links [1,2]. This format is used in a variety of applications, including FSO links, hybrid fibers, optical wireless communication (OWC), subsequent FSO systems, satellite-to-satellite systems, atmospheric turbulence (AT), interchannel crosstalk (ICC), and indoor wireless channels [1–3]. The modulation of DPPM improves power efficiency and does not require monitoring of decision-making circuit thresholds [4,5]. Many experiments on the hybrid fiber/FSO (HFFSO) systems and the OWC have been carried out [5–9].

Previous researches have demonstrated that the DPPM and PPM schemes outperform on-off keying (OOK) in terms of sensitivity and power efficiency for the HFFSO link. The M-pulse amplitude and position modulation (M-PAPM) for both pulse amplitude modulation (PAM) and PPM modulation have been investigated and studied for optical fiber (OF) communications [5–8]. M-PAPM can provide high efficiency and sensitivity in the FSO communication; because the dispersion is free [1,5,8]. Because of the increased bandwidth requirement for higher data rates, OF, AT, OWC and indoor networks [8–15] have been proposed to multiplex the wavelength division multiplexing (WDM) technique and dense WDM (DWDM) systems. The WDM technique could also be used in the HFFSO, OWC, and hybrid OF/multiple networks. For example, the proposed approach designs a high-performance system and bandwidth optimization solution with long-range potential, higher bit rates, high-speed technology, and enhanced data protection for WDM passive optical networks (WDM-PON) [8,9,13,16]. The WDM application has been presented in both OF and FSO systems [8,9,17–21]. A suitable technique for representing amplified spontaneous emission noise (ASE) in an FSO transmission link is the moment generating function (MGF), although we have upper limits at the bit-error-rate (BER) using the updated techniques of Chernoff bound (CB), Gaussian approximating (GA), and modified Chernoff bound (MCB) [5,8,9,22–25]. Both the FSO and OF systems have been presented using WDM technology [8,9,17–21]. The moment generating function (MGF) represents a suitable technique for finding the amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) noise in an FSO transmission link [2,9,17]. Using the modified Chernoff bound (MCB) technique, Gaussian approximations (GA), and Chernoff bound (CB), we obtain upper bounds on the bit error rate (BER) [5,8,9,21–25]. To reduce the AT impact, approaches are proposed including compensation for the mitigation techniques and adaptive optics (AO) focused on digital signal processing [22]. We highlight the main contributions, including: (1) we use the M-ary DPPM-M-PAPM modulation to deliver additional bits to improve the efficiency; (2) we add the AO to minimize the ICC interferences and enhance the reliability performances; (3) we achieve adequate BER results with a lower complexity; (4) we extract the theoretical BER expressions and provide simulation results for M-DPPM-M-PAPM modulation schemes in the WDM-PON/HFFSO scenarios; (5) the hybrid OOK/M-ary DPPM-M-n-PAPM and the AO are offered to boost the performance and system efficiency in receivers of WDM-HFFSO systems through the OOK non-return-to-zero (OOK-NRZ) modulation; and (6) we improve the power penalty (PP) performance and the system efficiency for the WDM-HFFSO systems, optical-wireless and fiber-optic communication systems. In this work, we enhance the hybrid OOK/DPPM-M-PAPM techniques and improve the signal-to-noise-ratios (SNRs) of the HFFSO systems under the AT effects, ICC, and ASE. In this work, we enhance the hybrid OOK/DPPM-M-PAPM systems and improve the signal-to-noise-ratios (SNRs) of the HFFSO under the AT, ICC, and ASE effects. We develop the proposed model in the [9,17]. Also, we enhance our calculations and evaluate them to reduce the AT, and ASE noise. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the proposed PON/WDM-HFFSO optical fiber communication system. Section 3 discusses the M-ary DPPM-M-PAPM model for the hybrid WDM-PON/HFFSO link. The AT channel effects are analyzed in Section 4. The numerical results are presented in Section 5. Section 6 concludes this paper.
2. System Description

The length of slots \( t_s = \frac{M T_b}{n} \) is for the DPPM frames, \( n = 2^M \) where \( T_b = \frac{1}{R_b} \) is for the bit cycle, \( R_b \) for the data rate and \( M \) is for the coding level (CL) [9]. Figure 1a shows the HFFSO-PON systems where optical signals (OSs) suffer from the ASE noise (ASEN), beam-spreading, beam-absorption, attenuation, ICC, and splitting losses at the optical band-pass filter (OBPF)/demux. The proposed system is modeled and simulated using MATLAB software (2013). The results are based on Monte-Carlo simulations. We use eight channels WDM-DWDM starting from 1550 nm over single-mode fiber and the channel spacing in the C-band of 100 GHz of the ITU (International Telecommunication Union). The results demonstrate (2.5 Gbps data rate \( \times \) 8 channels) 20 Gbit/s-4000 m transmission with favorable performance. We investigate eight-wavelength channels that have been transmitted over turbulent HFFSO links using the WDM technique. The feeder fiber path length of 20 km is proposed for the system model [8,15,17]. By using the optical amplifier (OA) gain \( G \) on the remote node, we improve the device model after receiver collection lens (RCL) as seen in Figure 1a. We propose that the OA system is used to reduce the inter-channel crosstalk for the proposed system. Figure 1b shows the proposed architecture for all scenarios [9]. As seen in Figure 1, M-ary DPPM is transmitted over the OA and OBPF (b). The receiver converts the OS into an electrical signal. The module of the receivers consists of a photodetector (PD), an electric amplifier, a filter, and a compared circuitry for the decision circuit and AO. We propose the hybrid pulse modulation/M-ary DPPM-M-PAPM for the DWDM-FSO and hybrid optical fiber over the atmospheric turbulence channel. Information is transmitted as a series of DPPM-M-PAPM pulses. The modulated signal from M-ary DPPM-M-PAPM is connected to OA and OBPF to provide M-ary DPPM-M-PAPM output as shown in Figure 1b [9]. For our calculations, we assume the loss of the signal multiplexer (mux)/demultiplexer (demux) \( \leq 3.5 \) dB [8,15].
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Figure 1. System model for 8 channels PON-DWDM-HFFSO for OOK-NRZ/M-ary DPPM-M-PAPM under moderate turbulence (MT), strong turbulence (ST), and weak turbulence (WT): (a) proposed framework for interchannel crosstalk (ICC) evaluation and (b) schematic of the receiver system. We reproduced it from [9].

3. M-ary Digital Pulse Position Modulation and M-pulse Amplitude and Position Modulation (M-ary DPPM-M-PAPM) Scheme

In this section, the random variable (RV) of the MGF describes the current $Y_{\text{sig}}(\Delta t)$ where $\text{sig} \in [0, 1]$ which depends on the pulses or is not transmitted for the signal pulses, $\Delta t$ is the duration of the crosstalk pulse. It is written as [5,9,24–28]:

$$M_{Y_{\text{sig}}(\Delta t)}(s) = \left\{ \frac{R'G \left( e^{sq/\nu_{s}t} - 1 \right) \int_{t \Delta t} \text{sig} P_{tr}^{'}(t) dt}{1 - R' N_o \left( e^{sq/\nu_{s}t} - 1 \right)} \right\} \exp \left\{ \frac{R'G \left( e^{sq/\nu_{s}t} - 1 \right) \int_{t \Delta t} P_{XT}(t) dt}{1 - R' N_o \left( e^{sq/\nu_{s}t} - 1 \right)} \right\}$$

where $\Delta t = t_s$ is the time slots align with the OS slots otherwise $t_1$ or $t_2$, and $\Delta t = 0$ for no crosstalk in the slot. Furthermore, the DPPM-PAPM pulse and ICC pulse strength are $P_{tr}$, $P_{XT}$, respectively for the hybrid modulation techniques over the FSO link. $R' = \eta / h \nu_{s}, \eta$ is the PD quantum efficiency, $\nu_{s}$ are the optical frequencies of ICC wavelengths and signal respectively and $h$ is Planck’s constant, $q$ is the electron charge, [5,8–10,29–34], $N_o = 0.5(\text{NF} \times G - 1) h \nu$ is the OA power spectral density (PSD) at the single polarizationASEN. NF and G are the noise figure and OA gain respectively, $L = B_o m t_s$ encompass the system modes for the spatial and temporal method [2,8,9,35–42], $B_o$ is the bandwidth of the optical noise for the demux channel and $m_t$ is the number of ASEN states. $N_o, XT$ is the PSD-ASEN at the PD and the signal-to-crosstalk ratio $C_{XT} = P_{tr} / P_{XT}$. The total MGF for Gaussian zero-mean, including the thermal noise variance (TNV) is calculated as [5,9,35–42]:

$$M_{Y_{\text{sig}}(\Delta t)}(s) = M_{Y_{\text{sig}}(\Delta t)}(s) \exp \left( \frac{s^2 \sigma_{\text{DPPM--PAPM}}^2}{2} \right)$$

where $\sigma_{\text{DPPM--PAPM}}^2$ is the DPPM-PAPM TNV. The means and variances are given as [5,9]:

$$\mu_{Y_{\text{sig}}(\Delta t)}(s) = \frac{LR' q N_o}{t_s^2} + R' Q q \left( \text{sig} \frac{P_{tr}}{t_s} \right)$$

$$\sigma_{\text{X}_{\text{sig}}(\Delta t)}^2 = \sigma_{\text{DPPM--PAPM}}^2 + \left( \frac{LR' q^2 N_o(1 + R' N_o)}{t_s^2} \right) + R' Q q^2 \left( 1 + 2R' N_o \right) \frac{\text{sig} P_{tr}}{t_s} + R' Q q^2 \left( 1 + 2R' N_{o, XT} \right) \frac{P_{XT} \Delta t}{t_s^2}$$
The symbol error probability (SER) is received in the exist of ICC $P_{ws(l_s-r_s)}$:

$$P_{ws(l_s-r_s)} \geq \prod_{j=1}^{n} P(X_1(\Delta t) > X_j)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $X_j$ denotes the non-signal slot $X_o(\Delta t_j)$ and $\Delta t_j$ is the j (empty) slot overlap with the ICC. Using the GA, the expression $P\{X_o(\Delta t_j) > X_1(\Delta t)\}$ is explained from $[1,2,5,9,10,29]$:

$$P\{X_o(\Delta t_j) > X_1(\Delta t)\} = 0.5\text{erfc} \left( \frac{\mu_{X_1(\Delta t)} - \mu_{X_0(\Delta t_j)}}{\sqrt{2(\sigma_{X_1(\Delta t)}^2 + \sigma_{X_0(\Delta t_j)}^2)}} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

In the proposed CB, we have fixed threshold $T_{th}$ and the general form for RV (X) variable and a is $P(X > T_{th})$ then:

$$P\{X_o(\Delta t_j) > X_1(\Delta t)\} \leq M_{X_1(\Delta t)}(-s)M_{X_0(\Delta t)}(s) (s > 0)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

For the MCB $[2,5]$ of two RVs for $X_o(\Delta t_j)$ and $X_1(\Delta t)$, $P(X > T_{th}) \leq M_X(s)e^{-sT_{th}}/s\sigma_{th}\sqrt{\pi}[5,9,10]$. Modifying this inequality for the difference of RVs $s$ for $X_o(\Delta t_j)$ and $X_1(\Delta t)$ which both have the same TNV then:

$$P\{X_o(\Delta t_j) > X_1(\Delta t)\} \leq \frac{M_{X_1(\Delta t)}(-s)M_{X_0(\Delta t)}(s)}{2s\sigma_{th}\sqrt{\pi}} (s > 0)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The SER for MDPPM-MPAPM frames in the exist of ICC is written as $[1,9,10]$:

$$P_{we(l_s-r_s)} \leq 1 \left[ 1 - P\{X_0(0) > X_1(\Delta t)\} \right]^{n-1(l_s-r_s)} \left[ 1 - P\{X_o(t) > X_1(\Delta t)\} \right]^{k-r_k}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where and $I_o$, $r_s$ are the numbers of ICC system of duration $t_s$ occurring in the frame and signal pulse respectively $[42]$.

$$P_{we(l_s,t_2-r_2)} \leq 1 \left[ 1 - P\{X_0(0) > X_1(\Delta t)\} \right]^{n-1-\bar{\chi}} \left[ 1 - P\{X_o(t_1) > X_1(\Delta t)\} \right]^{r_1-\bar{r}_1} \left[ 1 - P\{X_o(t_2) > X_1(\Delta t)\} \right]^{r_2-\bar{r}_2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $I_1$, $I_2$, and $r_1$, $r_2$ are the ICC duration $t_1$, $t_2$, $\bar{\chi}$ = $I_s$ - $r_s$. The BER when without ICC is written as:

$$BER_{b_{1}}(n_1) = P_{(l_s)(n_1)} = \frac{n}{2(n-1)} \times \left( P_{(l_s)}(1)P_{we(l_s-1)} + P_{(l_s)}(0)P_{we(l_s-0)} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

$$BER = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n_1=1}^{n} \sum_{l_s=0}^{2} BER_{b_{1}}(n_1)$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

$$BER(n_1) = P_{(0,0)(n_1)} = \frac{n}{2(n-1)} P_{we(0,0,0,0)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

while for the calculated BER, we have written as $[1,2,29,35-42]$:

$$BER_{b_{1},b_{2}}(n_1) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l_s=0}^{2} P_{(l_s,t_s)}(n_1) \frac{n}{2(n-1)} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} P_{s(l_s,t_s)}(1,0)P_{we(l_s,t_s)} \\ + P_{s(l_s,t_s)}(0,1)P_{we(l_s,t_s)} \end{array} \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

$$+ P_{s(l_s,t_s)}(0,0)P_{we(l_s,t_s)}$$
In the presence of ICC, the overall BER of the M-DPPM-M-PAPM is calculated from Equations (13) and (14) [1,2,29]:

\[
\text{BER}_{\text{M-DPPM-M-PAPM}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n_1=1}^{n} \left\{ \text{BER}(n_1) + \text{BER}_{0,1}(n_1) + \text{BER}_{1,0}(n_1) + \sum_{l_1=1}^{2} [\text{BER}_{l_1,1}(n_1) + \text{BER}_{l_1,2}(n_1)] \right\}
\] (15)

4. Atmospheric Turbulence Channel

Differences in the air and variation between the refractive index structure (RIS) $C_n^2$ and Earth’s surface temperature are responsible for the scintillation effects [8]. These findings are focused on the probability density function (pdf) of the Gamma-Gamma (GG) model [5,8,9,29–34].

\[
p_{\text{GG}}(h_Z) = \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \left( \frac{\alpha \beta}{\alpha + \beta} \right)^{\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}} h_Z^{-(\alpha + \beta)/2} K_{\alpha-\beta} \left( 2 \sqrt{\alpha \beta h_Z} \right); h_Z > 0
\] (16)

where $h_Z$ is the total attenuations due to the signal ($h_{\text{sig}}$) and interfering ($h_{\text{int}}$) and pointing errors. $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the scattering methods of the influence of the large and small-eddies, respectively, and $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function, and $K_{\alpha}(\cdot)$ is the modified second kind Bessel function [8,10].

\[
\alpha = \left\{ \exp \left[ \frac{0.49 \sigma_R^2}{1 + 0.65 d^2 + 1.11 \sigma_R^{12/5}} \right] \right\}^{-1}
\] (17)

\[
\beta = \left\{ \exp \left[ \frac{0.51 \sigma_R^2 (1 + 0.69 \sigma_R^{12/5})^{-5/6}}{1 + 0.9 d^2 + 0.62 d^2 \sigma_R^{12/5}} \right] \right\}^{-1}
\] (18)

\[d = \sqrt{kD_{RX}^2 / 4l_fso}
\] (19)

where $d$ is the normalized RCLs [8–10,30,33] and $D_{RX}$ is the RCL diameter. $C_n^2$ is the RIS constant, $l_fso$ is the free-space distance, $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ is the wave-number, and $\lambda$ is the wavelength [29,30,34–40]. The Rytov variance (RVAR) $\sigma_R^2$ characterize the different AT regimes over the GG pdf, if the resulting $\sigma_R^2 > 1$; we use the ST, if the RVAR $\sigma_R^2 \approx 1$, we use the MT, if RVAR $\sigma_R^2 < 1$, we apply the WT [8–10,29]; and if saturated, turbulence $\sigma_R^2 \to \infty$ are given as in [8–10,40–46]:

\[
\sigma_R^2 = 1.23 C_n^2 k^{7/6} l_fso^{11/6}
\] (20)

5. Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the proposed parameters for the system model [proposed values] and values in Refs. [2,17,42] used in the system architecture. The proposed design parameters are specified in Table 1 [proposed] and [2,17,42]. We investigate the impact of the turbulence effect on the proposed system performance based on the OOK-M-ary-DPPM modulation as a function of M-ary DPPM-PAPM and other atmospheric parameters. Figure 2 shows the high gain (G = 30 dB) of the AT impact in the case of BER. MCB and CB are about the same, through AT strength rises [9,10,43–46]. The curves of CB and MCB vary from GA. The high (a) (G) = 27 dB [42] and G = 30 dB [current work] with the ICC is shown in Figure 3. For M = 2 and (b) G = 8 dB, the present work shows the MCB, CB, and GA output. The MCB synchronizes with the GA on low G in Figure 3a but at high G is near the CB with as the ASEN decreases the TNV results. The GA at high G with no ICC and in the existence of ICC exceeds the CB and MCB. The CB extends the CL and bandwidth of the DPPM-PAPM receiver for noise equivalent $B_e$. The margin of the GA is greater than the MCB of the PAPM-M-DPPM. The MCB, CB, and GA output of a G = 8 dB with an ICC
and $M = 2$ is shown in Figure 3b. The results are enhanced and validated with Ref. [42]. The MCB synchronizes with the GA at low $G$ but gains considerably near the CB as the ASE reduces the TNV impacts [43–46].

**Table 1.** System parameters used for calculations in [2,17,42] and [Proposed work].

| Parameters | Description                        | Refs. [2,17] | Ref. [42] | [Proposed Work] |
|-----------|------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|
| $R_b$     | Data rate                          | 2.5 Gbps     | 2.5 Gbps  | 2.5 Gbps        |
| $B_o$     | Demux OBPF bandwidth               | 80 GHz       | 76 GHz    | 80 GHz          |
| $\lambda_{sig}$ | Wavelength                  | 1550 nm     | 1550 nm  | 1550 nm         |
| $\eta$    | Quantum efficiency                 | 0.75         | 0.9       | 1               |
| $G$       | OA gain                            | 30.6 dB or 8.8 dB | 27 dB or 8 dB | 30 dB or 8 dB |
| NF        | OA noise figure                    | 4.77 dB [2]  | 4.77 dB [2] | 4.77 dB [2]    |
| $l_{fs}$  | FSO link length                    | 1000 m and 1500 m | 1000 m and 1500 m | 1500 m and 4000 m |
| $m_l$     | polarization states                | 2            | 2         | 2               |

**Figure 2.** The bit error rate (BER) against an average irradiance (dB) for no turbulence (NT), and WT using Gamma-Gamma (GG) model and log-normal distribution.

In the case of BER targets of $10^{-12}$, ST and WT using MCB, CB, and GA, the receiver sensitivity (RS) is applied for $G = 30.6$ dB and $l_{fs} = 1500$ m [2,9,40] as shown in Figure 4a,b. Numerical results demonstrated that RSs are $-51.49$ dBm (CB), $-51.59$ dBm (MCB), and $-50.53$ dBm (GA), resulting in improvement and optimization as achieved in [2,9,40]. The RS of $-51.49$ dBm (CB), $-51.56$ dB (MCB), and $-50.25$ dBm (GA) is presented for $G = 30$ dB, $l_{fs} = 2500$ m, at target BER of $10^{-12}$ [Proposed work]. Figure 5 shows the BER against the optical SNR (OSNR) (dB) for the WDM-PON/HFFSO link with hybrid OOK/M-ary DPPM- and M-PPM using the CL $M = 5$, $l_{fs} = 4000$ m, and $D_{RX} = 25$ mm for ST. The hybrid OOK/M-ary DPPM-PAPM offers about 4 dB, 6 dB, and 8 dB OSNR improvements over 3-DPPM, 4-DPPM, and 5-DPPM of the ST for the WDM.
Figure 3. BER against average optical power (AOP) (dBm) using for (a) $G = 27$ dB [42] at 1500 m and $G = 30$ dB [present work] at 4000 m and (b) $G = 8$ dB ST is demonstrated in [42] at 1500 m and [present work] at 4000 m.

In the case of BER targets of $10^{-12}$, ST and WT using MCB, CB, and GA, the receiver sensitivity (RS) is applied for $G = 30.6$ dB and $l_{fso} = 1500$ m [2,9,40] as shown in Figure 4a,b. Numerical results demonstrated that RSs are $-51.49$ dBm (CB), $-51.56$ dBm (MCB), and $-50.25$ dBm (GA), resulting in improvement and optimization as achieved in [2,9,40].

The RS of $-51.49$ dBm (CB), $-51.56$ dB (MCB), and $-50.25$ dBm (GA) is presented for $G = 30$ dB, $l_{fso} = 2500$ m, at target BER of $10^{-12}$ [Proposed work]. Figure 5 shows the BER...
Figure 4. Receiver sensitivity (RS) (dBm) versus M-ary DPPM coding level is presented for the NT with (a) WT condition and (b) ST is demonstrated in [2], [present].

HFFSO optical fiber network, respectively at a target BER of \(10^{-12}\). The ST without the hybrid OOK/M-ary modulation format required greater power under the AT effects, ICC, and ASE noise, to achieve the same BER performance than ST with the hybrid OOK/M-ary DPPM scheme as shown in Figure 5. This suggests that the hybrid modulation scheme OOK/M-ary DPPM-PAPM based on WDM-HFFSO communication is favored to ensure greater efficiency and greater performance in our design. The target BER of \(10^{-10}\) is achieved for values of \(m \geq 100\), while the BER becomes worse specifically at higher ICC and lower CL for value \(m \leq 100\), as shown in Figure 6. For target BER of \(10^{-9}\), the resulting DPPM PP analysis for ICC \(M = 2\) is compared to the OOK as shown in Figure 7. The M-DPPM-M-PAPM combination produces less PP than the OOK. The M-DPPM-M-PAPM improvement in the PP is improved as the CL number increases from \(M = 1\) to 2 and the ICC sources [5,8,9,46–56]. The proposed M-ary DPPM-M-PAPM approach increases receiver...
sensitivity in the WDM-M-HFFSO systems compared with OOK-NRZ and improves reliability and produces a lower PP of 0.2–3.0 dB PP for WT. Without adaptation to reach a BER of $10^{-12}$, the modulation techniques decreased OSNR by 4 dB as shown in Figure 8.

Figure 5. BER vs. OSNR (dB) for the WDM-PON/HFFSO link with hybrid OOK/M-ary DPPM and M-DPPM using $D_{RX} = 25$ mm, $M = 5$, $l_{fso} = 4000$ m for ST.

Figure 6. BER vs. the slot numbers ($n$) for the hybrid M-DPPM-M-PAPM using MCB, $G = 30$ dB.
Figure 6. BER vs. the slot numbers ($n$) for the hybrid M-DPPM-M-PAPM using MCB, $G = 30$ dB.

Figure 7. PP vs. $C_{\text{XT}}$ for OOK comparison with DPPM-M-PAPM at $M = 2$ and OOK/M-DPPM-M-PAPM at $\text{BER} = 10^{-9}$ for XT (crosstalk) signals 35 and 50.

Figure 8. Optical signal-to-noise ratio (OSNR) to BER performance for the hybrid OOK/M-ary DPPM and M-n-PAPM modulation scheme.

Compared to the hybrid OOK/M-ary DPPM, the M-n-PAPM requires 0.3 dB more power. The results demonstrate that the proposed hybrid OOK/M-DPPM and M-n-PAPM models for the WDM-FSO systems can be improved [54–60]. Table 2 summarizes the proposed modulation schemes of MPPM, M-ary-PAPM, and hybrid M-ary PAPM-MPPM with hybrid $k$-level $n$-pulse where $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots \}$ [2,8,9,17,42,50]. Figure 9 shows BER vs. the average RCL power input (dBm), $D_{\text{RX}} = 25$ mm for M-ary DPPM and OOK for NT and (a) WT (b) MT and (c) ST for M-ary DPPM and $G = 30$ dB, $M = 5$. At the BER target of $10^{-12}$, DPPM provides around 10–11 dB increases in sensitivity compared with the WDM-FSO OOK-NRZ as shown in Figure 9a [2,9,10,17,42–47,56–62]. With a disability in the AT, DPPM’s overall sensitivity is reduced to approximately 10 dB (WT), 8 dB (MT), and 8 dB, respectively (ST). The OOK-NRZ based FSO system offers about 7–9 dB for the
DPPM scheme as shown in Figure 9b [2,9]. With AT deficiency, DPPM is reduced to around 7 dB (WT), 8 dB (MT) and 8 dB (ST) [2,9,10,17,42–47], respectively as shown in Figure 9c.

Table 2. The proposed M-ary DPPM-M-PAPM based modulation schemes DWDM-FSO optical fiber communication network system [2,8,9,17,42,50].

| Modulation Scheme | Bits per Symbol | Bandwidth Requirement | Spectral Efficiency |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| MPPM              | $\left\lfloor \log_2 \left( \frac{M}{n} \right) \right\rfloor$ | $\frac{\text{MR}}{\log_2 \left( \frac{M}{n} \right)}$ | $\left\lfloor \log_2 \left( \frac{M}{n} \right) \right\rfloor$ |
| M-ary-PAPM        | $\left\lfloor \log_2 k \left( \frac{M}{n} \right) \right\rfloor$ | $\frac{\text{MR}}{\log_2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{M}{i} \right)}$ | $\left\lfloor \log_2 k \left( \frac{M}{n} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{M}{i} \right) \right\rfloor$ |
| Hybrid M-ary PAPM | $\left\lfloor \log_2 k \left( \frac{M}{n} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{M}{i} \right) \right\rfloor$ | $\frac{\text{MR}}{\log_2 k \left( \frac{M}{n} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{M}{i} \right)}$ | $\left\lfloor \log_2 k \left( \frac{M}{n} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{M}{i} \right) \right\rfloor$ |

M-ary PAPM-DPPM modulation provides about 10–11 dB increases in OOK-NRZ sensitivity for the WDM-FSO optical fiber network system in the absence of turbulence on the target BER with all AA as seen in Figure 10 [48–58]. When the turbulence and ASE noise is present, the OS is reduced to 10 dB (WT), 8 dB (MT), and 8 dB (ST) [present] in the sensitivity increase of PAPM DPPM over OOK NRZ [56–62]. It is necessary to ensure and continuously maintain the consistency and accuracy of the simulation models and algorithms with reality. This is accomplished mainly by comparing the simulation behavior to the simulation and experimental results achieved in [2,5,8,9,15,42,50]. Also, in our research several (successful) comparisons were done, sets of the previous research and comparing them with the results of models. Tables 1, 3 and 4 show the system parameters used for calculations [2,5,8,9,15,42,50] and [Proposed work]. The simulation results reveal that the BER’s WDM/PAPM-DPPM is better than that of OOK-NRZ by approximately 10 dB–11 dB of $10^{-12}$. Table 3 provides the performance comparison of the proposed hybrid M-ary-PAPM M-DPPM-based DWDM FSO optical fiber network link with contemporary literature for the references [2,5,8,9,15,42]. The numerical results show a highly efficient M-ary-PAPM M-DPPM-based DWDM FSO has been designed by incorporating a DWDM-PON optical fiber network. The results of the numerical investigation demonstrate that the proposed link performance improves on increasing the increased receiver sensitivity, capacity, and efficiency under the atmospheric turbulence effects. We illustrate a comparative investigation of the proposed M-ary DPPM-M-PAPM-based DWDM-FSO optical fiber communication systems performance with contemporary literature and show that the proposed link performs better for maximum range, efficiency, and channel capacity. We reduce the ASE noise, AT channels, and (ICC for the DWDM-FSO optical fiber communication systems. Table 4 shows the performance comparison of the proposed M-ary DPPM-M-PAPM based-DWDM-FSO optical fiber link with reference [50]. The proposed hybrid M-ary-PAPM M-DPPM provides an improvement of 4–8 dB at a BER of $10^{-12}$ (OSNR) [proposed work] while in Ref. [50] it is 1 and 2 dB at a BER of $10^{-6}$. 

Figure 8. Optical signal-to-noise ratio (OSNR) to BER performance for the hybrid OOK/M-ary DPPM and M-n-PAPM modulation scheme.

Compared to the hybrid OOK/M-ary DPPM, the M-n-PAPM requires 0.3 dB more power. The results demonstrate that the proposed hybrid OOK/M-DPPM and M-n-PAPM models for the WDM-FSO systems can be improved [54–60]. Table 2 summarizes the proposed modulation schemes of MPPM, M-ary-PAPM, and hybrid M-ary PAPM-MPPM with hybrid $k$-level $n$-pulse where $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ [2,8,9,17,42,50].

Figure 9 shows BER vs. the average RCL power input (dBm), $D_{RX} = 25$ mm for M-ary DPPM and OOK for NT and (a) WT (b) MT and (c) ST for M-ary DPPM and $G = 30$ dB, $M = 5$. At the BER target of $10^{-12}$, DPPM provides around 10–11 dB increases in sensitivity compared with the WDM-FSO OOK-NRZ as shown in Figure 9a [2,9,10,17,42–47,56–62]. With a disability in the AT, DPPM's overall sensitivity is reduced to approximately 10 dB (WT), 8 dB (MT), and 8 dB, respectively (ST). The OOK-NRZ based FSO system offers about 7–9 dB for the DPPM scheme as shown in Figure 9b [2,9]. With AT deficiency, DPPM is reduced to around 7 dB (WT), 8 dB (MT) and 8 dB (ST) [2,9,10,17,42–47], respectively as shown in Figure 9c. The hybrid

| Modulation Scheme | Bits per Symbol | Bandwidth Requirement | Spectral Efficiency |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| MPPM              | $\log_2(Mn)$    | $MRb$                 | $MRb$              |
| M-ary-PAPM        | $\log_2(kn)$   | $\sum_{i=1}^{Mn}kn_i$ | $\sum_{i=1}^{Mn}kn_i$ |
| Hybrid M-ary PAPM | $\log_2(kn)$   | $\sum_{i=1}^{Mn}kn_i$ | $\sum_{i=1}^{Mn}kn_i$ |

Figure 9. BER vs. AOP at RCL input (dBm) for M-ary DPPM and OOK using $D_{RX} = 25$ mm, $G = 30$ dB, $M = 5$, and $l_{\text{so}} = 4000$ m for NT with (a) WT, (b) MT, and (c) ST.
Figure 10. BER vs. AOP at RCL input (dBm) for DPPM and OOK for no turbulence and WT with all apertures averaging (AA).

Table 3. Performance comparison of the proposed M-ary DPPM-M-PAPM based-DWDM-FSO optical fiber link with contemporary literature.

| Technique used | Ref. [2] | Ref. [5] | Ref. [8] | Ref. [9] | Ref. [15] | Ref. [42] | [Proposed Work] |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------------|
| Data rate      | 2.5 Gbps| 2.5 Gbps| 5 Gbps  | 20 Gbps | 2.5 Gbps | 5 Gbps  | 2.5 Gbps      |
| Net capacity   | 2000 m  | 4000 m  | 2500 m  | 2000 m  | 2000 m   | 4000 m  | 2500 m        |
| Maximum link range reported | 1500 m | 2500 m | 4000 m | 2500 m | 2000 m | 4000 m | 2000 m |
| Quantum efficiency | 0.75 | 0.8 | 1 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1 |
| No. of channels | 1/12  | 1/12  | 1/12  | 1/12  | 1/12  | 1/12  | 1/12  |
| Target BER     | 10^{-12}| 10^{-12}| 10^{-12}| 10^{-12}| 10^{-12}| 10^{-12}| 10^{-12} |

Table 4. Performance comparison of proposed M-ary DPPM-M-PAPM based-DWDM-FSO optical fiber link with reference [50].

| Modulation scheme technique | Ref. [50] | [Proposed Work] |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------------|
| OSNR improved               | 1 dB and 2 dB | 4–8 dB |
| Target BER                  | 10^{-6} | 10^{-12} |

6. Conclusions

In this work, we studied and enhanced the HFFSO optical fiber communication network system using the hybrid modulation techniques of OOK/M-ary DPPM-PAPM, based on the DWDM-PON network. ICC analyses for DWDM-DPPM systems are given for the GA, CB, and MCB. Also, we consider using hybrid OOK/M-ary DPPM-PAPM modulation techniques to increase spectral efficiency and incorporate adaptive optics to mitigate the crosstalk interferences in the DWDM-PON/HFFSO scenarios for improved reliability. The BER performances are then theoretically analyzed. In the presence of atmo-
spheric turbulences, the OOK/M-ary hybrid DPPM modulation scheme is an excellent way to increase DWDM-PON/HFFSO efficiency. Furthermore, the results obtained show that the ICC interferences could be effectively suppressed thanks to the M-ary DPPM-M-PAPM modulation and that the proposed system could achieve superior BER performance. We investigate the impact of the turbulence effect on the proposed system performance based on OOK-M-ary-DPPM modulation as a function of M and other atmospheric parameters. The proposed design of the M-ary DPPM-M-PAPM can improve the power penalty over OOK-NRZ and enhances performance efficiency. The proposed M-ary DPPM-M-PAPM architecture can enhance the receiver sensitivity and reliability over OOK-NRZ.
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