First Genome of Rock Lizard Darevskia valentini Involved in Formation of Several Parthenogenetic Species
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Abstract: The extant reptiles are one of the most diverse clades among terrestrial vertebrates and one of a few groups with instances of parthenogenesis. Due to the hybrid origin of parthenogenetic species, reference genomes of the parental species as well as of the parthenogenetic progeny are indispensable to explore the genetic foundations of parthenogenetic reproduction. Here, we report on the first genome assembly of rock lizard Darevskia valentini, a paternal species for several parthenogenetic lineages. The novel genome was used in the reconstruction of the comprehensive phylogeny of Squamata inferred independently from 7369 trees of single-copy orthologs and a supermatrix of 378 conserved proteins. We also investigated Hox clusters, the loci that are often regarded as playing an important role in the speciation of animal groups with drastically diverse morphology. We demonstrated that Hox clusters of D. valentini are invaded with transposons and contain the HoxC1 gene that has been considered to be lost in the amniote ancestor. This study provides confirmation for previous works and releases new genomic data that will contribute to future discoveries on the mechanisms of parthenogenesis as well as support comparative studies among reptiles.
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1. Introduction

Non-avian reptiles are highly diverse and species-rich clade representing almost 11,000 out of more than 30,000 living amniote species [1,2]. It is one of the rare animal groups that acquired parthenogenesis, a form of asexual reproduction in which embryos develop from unfertilized eggs. Among vertebrates, it was first described in the lizard genus Darevskia inhabiting the Caucasus Mountains [3]. In the genus, as in most known instances, parthenogenetic species originated from interspecific hybridization between closely related bisexual species [4,5]. In total, the genus Darevskia includes 29 bisexual and 7 diploid parthenogenetic species of hybrid origin [2]. Yet only four parental bisexual species are known to be involved in the successful formation of parthenogenetic lineages: Darevskia raddei...
and *D. mixta* being maternal species and *D. valentini* and *D. portschinskii* being paternal species [6,7]. Among seven parthenogenetic lineages four, namely *D. unisexualis*, *D. uzzelli*, *D. sapphirina*, and *D. bendimahiensis*, have the same maternal (*D. raddei*) and paternal (*D. valentini*) species [8]. It remains unknown which genetic or genomic factors play a key role in the generation and persistence of parthenogenetic organisms, and whether any structural (genomic) or/and functional (transcriptomic) changes in hybrids are associated with transition from sexual to clonal reproduction.

To further elucidate the role of interspecific hybridization in the formation of parthenogenetic organisms and study diversity and evolution of reptilian lineage, new data is needed on the genomics and transcriptomics of parthenogenetic species and their parental species. Therefore, we plan to sequence genomes and study genome content of the father–mother–progeny species trio of *D. valentini*, *D. raddei* and *D. unisexualis*, which has not been done before for any obligate parthenogenetic vertebrate.

Another intriguing aspect of reptilian diversity is repetitive genome sequences, especially mobile elements, which have repeatedly been associated with increased speciation rate and phenotypic richness [9–12]. It has been shown that transposition of mobile elements causes chromosomal rearrangements as well as segmental duplications and insertions/deletions relocating genes, regulatory elements, or their fragments [13]. Altogether, these alterations may constitute a fuel that powers a rapid evolution of populations under natural selection. Furthermore, transposable elements can affect gene regulation for introducing phenotypic novelties in the lineage and enhancing diversity [14,15]. Yet the entire scope of evolutionary mechanisms involving mobile DNA are largely “terra incognita”, particularly in non-model species.

It is hypothesized that speciation may be potentiated by adaptive alterations within the *Hox* gene clusters [10–12]. *Hox* genes encode transcription factors that are vital for coordination of embryonic development [16]. Two rounds of genome duplication resulted in formation of four *Hox* clusters (*HoxA*, *HoxB*, *HoxC*, and *HoxD*) from the ancestral set of *Hox* genes early in the vertebrate lineage [17,18]. Subsequently, functional redundancy has led to the loss of several *Hox* genes [19]. Despite this, gene order, coding sequence, as well as intergenic and tight clustered organization were believed to be maintained and conserved throughout amniote evolutionary history. However, comparison between *Hox* clusters of mouse, chicken, frog and green anole demonstrated atypical enlarged *Hox* clusters in the anole lizard with a massive accumulation of transposable elements in intergenic space [12]. A recent study showed that mobile elements accumulation correlates with alterations in *Hox* genes expression during development in *Anolis* lizards and can possibly contribute to their speciation [20].

Besides the homeobox genes themselves, metazoan *Hox* clusters contain microRNA genes, which are known to modulate *Hox* genes expression [21,22]. Generally, the prerequisite for the emergence of a new miRNA is a transcribed genomic sequence that form RNA hairpin structure capable of binding the miRNA complex. As RNA easily produces hairpin-like folds, the evolution of a novel miRNA gene seems to be more likely than the emergence of a new protein-coding gene [23]. While the *Hox* clusters that do not acquire new proteins over evolutionary epochs, miRNA genes can act as more fine-tuned regulators of developmental patterning, possibly reflecting peculiarities of morphogenesis of particular animal groups. This is supported by the fact that in the clusters there are known to be both highly conserved miRNA genes, namely *miR-10*, shared between arthropods and vertebrates, and *miR-196*, that are chordate-specific [24,25], and also more recently acquired miRNA genes. A well studied example is the *miR-615* gene, restricted to mammals. Unlike intergenic *miR-10* and *miR-196*, *miR-615* is nested within an intron of the *HoxC5* gene, which is characteristic of younger miRNA [26]. Intrinsic origin ensures transcription, and elimination of the need to evolve a separate promoter facilitates miRNA innovation [26,27]. Other important contributors to the evolution of younger, less conserved and lineage-specific miRNA genes are transposable elements [28,29]. In the human genome, hundreds of miRNA genes are proposed to be DNA transposon- or retrotransposon-derived [29,30].
Diversity of miRNA genes in non-model species is poorly studied, however it is reasonable to assume that clade-specific repeat family expansions may be followed by the emergence of new miRNA genes.

Thus, the investigation of the Hox clusters is of interest in terms of the evolution of its conserved protein-coding genes, miRNA genes, and mobile elements because they are likely to influence speciation and diversity. However, the available studies are mostly limited to model species.

In this paper, we first report on genome sequencing, assembly and annotation of D. valentini (Figure 1)—a paternal species for several parthenogenetic Darevskia lineages. Our main goal was to generate a reference genome assembly for parental species, which, along with the genomes of the second parental species and the F1 parthenogenetic hybrid, will be used for a future comprehensive study on parthenogenesis of hybrid origin. Besides, to get a more complete picture of evolution in Hox cluster organization and content of repetitive elements between mammals and reptiles, we compared this loci in human and D. valentini genomes. Considering a number of existing contradictions in evolutionary relationships within the diverse Squamata clade, we made an exhaustive phylogenomic reconstruction using two different approaches to obtain a robust species tree.

Figure 1. (a) Caucasian rock lizard Darevskia valentini, Armenia, from Arakelyan et al. [31]. (b) Map with DNA and RNA samples location (generated with Datawrapper).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Samples Collection and Surgical Procedures

Darevskia valentini samples for DNA and RNA analysis were collected in 2019 and 2016, respectively, in Armenia outside of protected areas. This lizard species is not protected by CITES [https://cites.org/, 1 May 2021]. All individuals were hand-caught, and procedures for live-animal handling were approved by Yerevan State University strictly following ethical guidelines with capture permit Code 5/22.1/51,043 issued by the Ministry of Nature Protection of the Republic of Armenia for scientific studies. Blood samples of one individual (Sepasar population; 41°01’39.2” N 43°48’58.0” E, Figure 1) were taken from the tail vein and stored in 0.05 M EDTA buffers under +4 °C, and then this lizard was released. A single adult lizard was used to surgically collect tissues (liver, kidneys, brain, and heart) after decapitation. All tissue samples were stored at −20 °C in RINa later® reagent according to the manufacturer’s recommended protocol (Qiagen, Dusseldorf, Germany) until they were transferred to Macrogen (Seoul, Korea) for RNA extraction, library preparation and total transcriptome sequencing. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Moscow State University (Permit Number: 24–01) and was conducted in strict accordance with ethical principles and scientific standards.
2.2. Extraction, Preparation and Sequencing of DNA Libraries

Total genomic DNA was isolated from lizard blood by using the standard phenol-chloroform extraction method with proteinase K and resuspended in TE buffer, pH 8.0. The samples were kept at +4 °C until they were processed. The DNA degradation and contamination were checked through agarose gel electrophoresis on 0.8% agarose gel followed by quantitation at 260 nm using Genesys® UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Afterwards, three DNA samples were shipped to Macrogen (Seoul, Korea) for genomic library preparation and sequencing. The 10x Chromium library was prepared according to the manufacturer’s instructions and sequenced on a HiSeq 2500 with a pair-end 150 bp reads.

2.3. Transcriptome Libraries Preparation and Sequencing

Total RNA was isolated from the tissues according to standard Trizol Tissue RNA Extraction protocol. After quality control, extracted RNAs were pooled together and sequenced. Strand-specific RNA sequencing was performed by Macrogen (Seoul, Korea) on Illumina HiSeq 2500 using TruSeq RNA Sample Prep Kit v2 for library preparation with a mean 101 bp read length.

2.4. Raw Data Preprocessing and Quality Control

RNA-seq reads underwent quality control and filtration. Quality assessment of all the sequencing data was performed using FastQC program [32]. Raw reads were trimmed with v2trim program (github.com/aglabx/Tools, accessed on 20 September 2021) and optical duplicates were removed with rmdup program (github.com/aglabx/Tools, accessed on 20 September 2021). Then, the reads were additionally cleaned from adapters by Cookiecutter [33], and next by Trimmomatic [34] with default parameters. We did not preprocess DNA reads as this step is included in the workflow of the assembler we used. We only run Jellyfish 2 [35] to calculate k-mer frequencies and Genomescope2 [36] to estimate genome size and rate of heterozygosity.

2.5. Genome Assembly and Quality Control

Raw DNA reads were assembled with Supernova 2 [37] with recommended parameters except maxreads equal to 485,333,333 (estimated from expected genome size 1.3 Gb and read length 150 bp according to Supernova tutorial). The accuracy of assembly was validated by examining Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCO v5.1 [38]) from the eukaryota_odb10 and sauropsida_odb10 databases that contain 255 and 7480 ultra-conserved protein families for eukaryotes and Reptilia, respectively. Assembly quality metrics for contiguity assessing were calculated using QUAST [39]. To detect possible contamination, we binned the assembled contigs with Metabat2 v.2.12 [40], and determined the taxonomic origin of the bins with CheckM [41] and BUSCO v5.1 (for possible bacterial and eukaryotic contamination, respectively).

2.6. Genome Annotation

2.6.1. Repeats Annotation

To detect repetitive sequences in the genome assembly of *D. valentini*, we used de novo prediction with RepeatModeler2 [42]. Based on the obtained library, we processed the assembly with RepeatMasker v.4.1.1 (http://www.repeatmasker.org/, accessed on 20 September 2021) to identify and to mask repeats. Repeats for the human genome were extracted from the RepeatMasker output file derived from NCBI RefSeq (GCF_000001405.39_GRCh38.p13_rm.out).

2.6.2. Gene Prediction and Functional Annotation

To perform gene structure annotations, we utilized the BRAKER2 pipeline [43] on the softmasked genome assembly. Training was supported by RNA-seq hints of *D. valentini* and alignment information from proteins of *Podarcis muralis*, which is closely related to...
D. valentini, and therefore their proteins are of close homology. To generate RNA hints, we aligned reads from transcriptome sequencing of D. valentini to its genome assembly with STAR v2.7.7a [44]. After gene prediction we applied the eggNOG-mapper v2 [45], which uses the eggNOG database [46] of protein orthologs to assign functions to predicted genes.

2.6.3. Hox Clusters Annotation

For identification of genes from Hox clusters (A-D) in D. valentini genome we used functional gene annotation of our assembly produced by eggNOG-mapper. The Hox genes that we were unable to find in this way (HoxC1, HoxC3) were located by the alignment of the corresponding proteins of the gecko Hemidactylus bowringii (NCBI Accession ID: AEB32563.1) and Latimeria menadoensis (NCBI Accession ID: ACL81453.1) on the predicted proteins of D. valentini with BLASTP. After ensuring that the location on the scaffold of the best blast hits is consistent with the structure of the Hox cluster determined by the already discovered genes, they were added to the Hox genes dataset of D. valentini. Known miRNA genes were located by alignment of Anolis carolinensis miRNA hairpin sequences deposited to miRBase [47]. To visualize human Hox clusters and miRNA genes we used the genome annotation GCF_000001405.39 derived from NCBI RefSeq.

2.7. Phylogenomic Reconstruction

Phylogenetic relationships between Darevskia valentini and other species of Squamata were reconstructed using BUSCO Phylogenomics pipeline [48] that utilizes BUSCOs [38] to determine species phylogenies. The pipeline implements the following strategy: each group of ortholog genes is aligned with MUSCLE [49] and after trimming with trimAl [50] is processed by IQ-TREE [51] to generate independent ML phylogeny (supertree mode) or after trimming alignments are concatenated to generate a cumulative ML phylogeny (supermatrix mode).

We have used all representative genomes of squamates currently available in the National Center of Biotechnology Information (NCBI) genome database. Tuatara (Sphenodon punctatus) was set as an outgroup. For all the mentioned species and our genome assembly of D. valentini we find BUSCOs from the most specific available database sauropsida_odb10. We used both supertree and supermatrix approaches and inferred coalescent species trees with Astral v.5.7.5 [52].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Genome Assembly, Validation and Annotation

Using 10x linked reads library preparation with following Illumina sequencing we assembled a scaffold scale genome of 1.46 Gb size from a total of 180 Gb raw data, composed of 32,139 scaffolds with N50 equal to 3.94 Mb (refer to Table 1 for details). The size of the genome assembly was close to the k-mer based estimation (1.33 Gb, Figure 2). The 23-k-mer plot showed a one-peak distribution, indicating a low heterozygosity level.

Table 1. Genome assembly and annotation statistics.

| Characteristics            | Value          |
|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Genome length (bp)          | 1,456,729,600  |
| Number of scaffolds         | 32,139         |
| Scaffold N50 (bp)           | 3,939,878      |
| Scaffold L50 (bp)           | 80             |
| %N                          | 3.73           |
| GC content                  | 43.96%         |

To validate the correspondence between raw reads and the assembly, we mapped raw reads back to the assembly with BWA-MEM [53]. The 99.1% of reads were mapped back to the assembly; the remaining 0.9% of unmapped reads possibly arises from unassembled heterochromatic regions including centromeric regions.
We have assessed accuracy and completeness of the novel genome assembly of *D. valentini* using BUSCO v5.121. The approach is based on evolutionary principles and involves genome assembly screening for a defined set of conserved single-copy orthologs. Search for proteins from the general eukaryota-specific dataset (eukaryota_odb10, 255 gene families) and sauropsida-specific dataset (sauropsida_odb10, 7480 gene families) revealed that the assembly included a large percentage of full-length genes (97.3% and 87.8%, respectively; Table 2), indicating the genome sequence is accurate. To prevent the addition of foreign DNA into the assembly we binned the assembly and made further taxonomic assignment of bins with CheckM and BUSCO, that do not reveal any contamination.

In addition, the genome of *D. valentini* was predicted to contain a high number of repetitive DNA sequences, which comprise 41.5% of all genome length. Of these, half are of unknown type due to the absence of significant matches with the available repeat databases and are either lizard-specific and not included in Repbase and Dfam repeat libraries or too degenerated to be identified. Among classified repeats, most abundant are non-LTR retroelements: LINEs (12.9% of sequences) with 8.8%, 2.2%, 1.6% from L2/CR1/Rex, RTE/Bov-B, L1/CIN4 families, respectively, and SINEs (1.8% of sequences). Another common category is DNA transposons (2.9% of sequences), of which 2.3% are hAT-transposons and 0.5% are Tc1 family. LTR retroelements comprise about 1% of genome length. Satellites, together with microsatellites and low-complexity sequences, occupy about 1.7% of the total DNA.

Transcriptome sequencing generated 5.8 Gb of raw data that was used for gene prediction. Altogether, the annotation yielded a set of 26,986 protein-coding genes with function assigned based on homology with EggNOG database. Functional classification of genes by assigned Gene Ontology terms is shown in Figure A1.

**Figure 2.** GenomeScope k-mer frequency plot for *Darevskia valentini.*
Table 2. Result of the BUSCO screening on the genome assembly of Darevskia valentini.

| Category of BUSCOs                | eukaryota_odb10 | sauropsida_odb10 |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Number of protein groups in the database | 255             | 7480            |
| Complete                        | 247 (96.9%)     | 6552 (87.6%)    |
| Complete and single-copy        | 241 (94.5%)     | 6313 (84.4%)    |
| Complete and duplicated         | 6 (2.4%)        | 239 (3.2%)      |
| Fragmented                      | 6 (2.4%)        | 301 (4.0%)      |
| Missing                         | 2 (0.7%)        | 627 (8.4%)      |

3.2. Hox Cluster Organisation in D. valentini

In order to better understand evolution of Hox clusters in squamates, we annotated Hox genes in the Darevskia valentini genome assembly and investigated mobile elements present in the clusters as well as compared obtained results with the human Hox clusters organization and content (Figure 3).

In general, Darevskia valentini Hox genes show a typical clustered organization in a single intact cluster structure, that was described earlier for vertebrates [54]. HoxA and HoxC are separated across multiple scaffolds due to incomplete assembly, which obscures their relative size between human and lizard. Gene arrangement is comparable, except for HoxC3, which is absent in mammal genomes [19]. Furthermore, we found in HoxC flanking gene HoxC1, which was considered to be lost in the amniote ancestor [19]. It is consistent with the previous findings revealing the exclusive presence and functional activity of this gene in some lizard species and confirms the suggestion that this gene is maintained in lizard lineage, in contrast to other amniotes [35].

In both genomes, length of the protein-coding and intronic sequences of Hox genes are generally comparable. A difference in exon sizes, which seem longer in human genome, arises from an incompleteness of the de novo Darevskia annotation, where actual coding DNA sequences (CDS) are treated as exons due to an inability to correctly identify untranslated regions (UTRs) in a novel genome without extrinsic evidence [56]. Although intergenic distances in Darevskia are larger than human counterparts, in general the difference is less distinct than previously described for green anole [12]. Hox clusters in the anole genome were reported to be significantly larger than in mammals, up to 2.5-fold (HoxD). At the same time, we can observe that in Darevskia the size difference does not exceed 1.4-fold (HoxD).

The differences in cluster sizes, intergenic and intronic region lengths between two species probably arises from massive accumulation of interspersed repeats in lizard Hox cluster, not observed in the human genome and usually not tolerated in these loci [57,58]. In the rock lizard’s Hox clusters average content of predicted interspersed elements is about 15%, compared to 2% in human loci.

Apart from content and distribution of interspersed repeats, their nature also differs greatly between species. In the human Hox clusters, high density of transposons is observed only in an atypically large intergenic space between HoxB9 and HoxB13, as well as smaller regions HoxB1-HoxB2 and HoxD1-HoxD3. About half of the interspersed repeats are Alu family SINEs, others are mainly L1 or L2 LINEs and LTR retrotransposons from ERVL family.
Figure 3. Comparison of Hox gene clusters (A–D) in Darevskia valentini and human genomes. (a) Mobile elements within each of the clusters: yellow—LTR retrotransposons, red—DNA transposons, pink—LINEs, green—SINEs, blue—unclassified repeat type. (b) Hox genes (grey—introns, black—exons) and miRNA genes. For several human genes, only coding DNA sequences (CDS) are visualized.
Compared to human, *D. valentini* Hox loci displays high repeat frequency not only at the extremities, but along the entire extent of the clusters. Perhaps the assembly failure in the HoxA and HoxC clusters is a result of the repeat accumulation in these regions. On average 55% of repeats found in *D. valentini* Hox clusters are of unknown type. Classified mobile DNA mostly contains LINEs (CR1, L1, L2, RTE, Penelope) and hAT DNA transposons. Surprisingly, many Hox genes have hAT-transposon inserted inside their introns or adjacent regions. Lizard HoxC1 contains an intron almost 9 kb in size, which is larger than any human intron. It contains a long LINE-2 transposon along with other repeat-derived sequences altogether constituting half the intron length.

Previously, the green anole genome first evidenced the capability of accumulating transposons in the amniote Hox loci, yet genomes of other squamates were required to confirm the generality of this property for the entire clade [12]. We show that another lizard species, *D. valentini*, from the Lacertidae family shares this feature. As lacertid lizards are older than Iguanidae including *Anolis* genus, we hypothesize that paradigm shift in Hox clusters’ organization occurred no later than in early history of Squamata in a common ancestor of Episquamata. At the same time, the clusters’ enlargement in anole is substantially stronger than what we observe in *Darevskia*, which may indicate varying degrees of tolerance to repeats in Hox clusters among species.

The presence of repeats in the Hox cluster suggests that their insertions have no detrimental effect or are even beneficial for the host organism. Loosened constraints of cluster size may stem from the different ways in which clusters function in lizards and humans, which in the former case relies less on a tight clustering than in the latter. One opinion is that Hox genes are kept together due to shared regulatory sequences or overlapping transcriptional units [59]. Therefore, modifications in a system of cis-regulatory elements in the lizard or reptile lineage could result in relaxed constraints. A different set of genes containing HoxC1 and HoxC3 in lizards also favors a different mechanism of regulation.

The benefit from the presence of repeats may be conditioned by their contribution to the phenotype diversity. The most prevalent repetitive elements identified in *D. valentini* Hox loci are LINEs, SINEs, and DNA hAT-transposons, which can be a rich source of regulatory variations [60,61]. The likely candidates are hAT-transposons embedded in introns or regions adjacent to genes, which are found widely in the *Darevskia* lizard clusters. Transposable elements insertion can introduce new transcriptional boundary elements, and this way affects the gene expression [62]. Moreover, there are many transposon-derived miRNAs that are crucial for post-transcriptional expression regulation [63]. Yet, as was found in diverse mammalian cell types [64,65], some transposable elements included in genes, such as SINEs and LINEs [66,67], might participate in the splicing of the precursor of mRNA (pre-mRNA) and in the formation of non-coding circular RNAs [68,69], that function as efficient miRNA sponges [70], thus diminishing the effect of miRNA on transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels of regulation of gene expression [71]. The functionality of transposons in the Hox cluster became more plausible with the discovery of a correlation between the accumulation of mobile elements and the level of Hox genes’ expression during development in *Anolis* lizards [20]. However, so far, it is unclear what part of transposons remains functional, since most of them are considerably degenerated.

The miRNA genes examined were limited to the green anole genes previously published in the miRBase by Lyson et al. [72], because we lack small RNA sequencing data for *D. valentini*. As expected, *D. valentini* Hox clusters encode known conserved miRNA genes mir-10a, mir-10b, mir-196a, located between HoxB4-HoxB5, HoxD4-HoxD8 and HoxC9-HoxC10, respectively, that were previously described in the human, mouse and zebrafish Hox clusters. While the human HoxC cluster has mammalian-specific mir-615 miRNA, *D. valentini* has a mir-10c variant of mir-10 family of miRNA in intergenic spacer of HoxC4 and HoxC5. According to the data of miRBase, mir-10c is also present in the genomes of green anole, alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*), king cobra (*Ophiophagus hannah*) and turtle (*Chrysemys picta*), but absent in chicken and mammals. Apparently, this miRNA gene is
restricted to reptiles. Besides, *D. valentini* has another variant of *mir-196* miRNA between *HoxB9* and *HoxB13*, *mir-196c*, that has also been found in genomes of green anole and two snake species (*Ophiophagus hannah, Python bivittatus*) and may be unique for squamates. Additionally, we have not found *mir-196b* in *D. valentini* Hox loci. Contrary to the expectations, none of observed miRNA genes are transposon-derived or of intronic origin, instead all found miRNA genes are variants of conserved *mir-196* and *mir-10* families. It emphasizes the conservative nature of the Hox loci structure, which extends beyond protein-coding genes to regulatory non-coding RNA genes as well.

3.3. Phylogenomic Reconstruction of Squamate Phylogeny

Based on the available whole-genome assemblies of Squamata and novel genome assembly of *D. valentini*, we have reconstructed evolutionary relationships inside the clade (Figure 4). Phylogenomic analysis was performed on the extensive dataset of protein sequences of single-copy orthologs from the BUSCO sauropsida_db10 database using the maximum likelihood (ML) method. We conducted two separate phylogenomic estimates with separate concatenation and coalescent species tree analyses that yielded similar tree topology with high branch support. The supermatrix was composed of 378 conserved proteins with a total length of 196,897 amino acids and the species tree was inferred from 7369 individual trees of protein orthologs.

The monophyly of all included subfamilies and tribes was unambiguously recovered. Early branches resolved with a full accordance with generally accepted phylogeny of squamates. Geckos are placed near the root of the tree.

Clade of Lacertidae is in agreement with the phylogeny suggested recently by Garcia-Porta et al. [73] that was based on transcriptome data and mitochondrial genes. The monophyly of the clade containing genera *Lacerta* and *Darevskia*, represented by the first genome of *D. valentini* we assembled, has been recovered. Our analysis also confirms that genus *Podarcis* is a sister group to other Lacertini, which was reported to be controversial among previous studies [73,74].

In general, reconstructed tree topology for suborder of Serpentes is congruent with the trees obtained recently both with a broad clade sampling based on 40 nuclear and 12 mitochondrial loci and phylogeny for limited number of species estimated from 40,000 genes [75,76]. However, we clarify relationships inside the genus *Crotalus*, that was not included in phylogenetic analysis with a sufficient number of loci before. Additionally, according to our findings the family Homalopsidae is an external group in relation to the clade including Colubridae and Elapidae, in previous studies this node was not resolved [74]. Besides, the genus *Thamnophis* is a sister clade to all other Colubridae, before this node had low support due to a small sampling of loci [74].

Sister relationships of *Ophiophagus* and *Naja*, and the consecutive nesting in relation to them of *Laticauda, Pseudonaja, Notechis, Emydocephalus*, and *Hydrophis*, were first validated using a sufficient set of markers for phylogenetic inference. The position of other families and topology of their clades are in absolute agreement with the earlier data supported by a smaller [74,76] or larger number of loci in the analysis [73,75].
Figure 4. Coalescent species tree of lacertid lizards inferred from 7369 BUSCO maximum likelihood phylogenies. Branch support values were measured as bootstrap support (concatenation approach) and local posterior probabilities (coalescent tree approach). If not stated, the value is equal to 100/1.0 (bootstrap/posterior probability).

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we present a de novo scaffold-scale genome assembly of rock lizard *D. valentini* generated with short-read sequencing. Evaluation of the assembly quality showed high values of main metrics: N50 of 3.94 Mb and 87.8% of complete BUSCO genes from sauropsida-specific dataset. Neither bacterial nor eukaryotic contamination was detected. Thus, we have succeeded in performing adequate and representative genome assembly of *D. valentini*, which is a reliable starting point for annotation and subsequent genome analysis.
Annotation of Hox clusters in D. valentini showed four intact gene clusters with 41 Hox genes in total, one more than the typical reptilian repertoire due to the presence of HoxC1. This observation supports the assumption that a Hox cluster structure of the amniote common ancestor is preserved in the lizard lineage [55].

While the gene order and coding sequences of the Hox genes are conserved in both human and Darevskia lizards, the lengths of the intergenic regions and the overall size of the clusters differ. The increased size of the clusters in D. valentini is probably a consequence of a massive accumulation of transposons.

The search of the miRNA database of known green anole’s miRNAs did not reveal any novel genes that emerged in the reptilian Hox clusters; however, variants of mir-196 and mir-10 were found that appear to be specific to reptiles or squamates.

A novel genome of D. valentini was also used by us in phylogenomic reconstruction of evolutionary relationships inside Squamata. Our phylogenetic estimate covered all squamates with a sequenced genome deposited to NCBI, 44 species in total, and relied both on supermatrix and species tree methods that yielded congruent trees with high branch support. Obtained results validate previous studies with limited taxon sampling, insufficient number of loci or based on a less accurate phylogenetic method as well as propose new relationships at the level of species and families. The updated data will be a good basis for future comparative studies within Squamata.
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Figure A1. Functional classification of genes, according to Gene Ontology terms from EggNOG-mapper annotation of *Darevskia valentini*. (a)—Biological process, (b)—Molecular function. For better visibility, sparsely represented terms (<100 genes) are not shown.
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