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ABSTRACT

Suppose that you're going to school and arrive at a bus stop. How long do you have to wait before the next bus arrives? Surprisingly, it is longer — possibly much longer — than what the bus schedule suggests intuitively. This phenomenon, called the waiting-time paradox, has a purely mathematical origin. Different buses arrive with different intervals, leading to this paradox. In this article, we look at the waiting-time paradox, explain why it happens, and explore some of its implications (beyond the possibility of being late for school).

1 How long do you have to wait for the next bus?

Suppose that you live in a city and take a bus to go to school. Because buses come frequently in your neighborhood, perhaps you do not need to pay close attention to the bus schedule. Maybe you just go to your bus stop and ride the next bus [see Figure 1(a)]. If you arrive and have no idea when the next bus is coming (perhaps you forgot to look at the schedule), how long do you have to wait for the next bus?

Suppose that 10 buses come each hour [see Figure 1(b)], so 1 bus comes every 6 minutes on average. If the most recent bus left right before you arrive, you may have to wait a while for the next bus (perhaps 5 or 6 minutes). Alternatively, if the next bus left earlier than that, maybe the next bus will arrive in only 1 minute? An educated guess for how long you have to wait — your “waiting time” — is 3 minutes, which is half the time between buses on average.

However, the above reasoning is not correct. Typically, you actually have to wait much longer than 3 minutes. Your expected waiting time can be even longer than 6 minutes! This phenomenon is called the waiting-time paradox, which is also called the “bus paradox” and the “inspection paradox”. Here is why it is a paradox: your typical waiting time at the bus station is longer than half of the average interval of time between buses (which is 3 minutes in the example above). It is a mathematical phenomenon and has nothing to do with buses or schedules or the navigation strategy of a bus driver. In university courses and scientific research, the waiting-time paradox shows up a lot in topics like probability theory, queuing theory, and network analysis. We briefly discuss some examples later in the paper.

2 The mathematics behind the waiting-time paradox

Why does the bus paradox occur? A complete explanation calls for some probability theory, but one can understand the key logic behind the paradox without advanced mathematics. Let’s give it a try.

The bus schedule in Figure 1(b) looks complicated, and it has various irregular-looking numbers. In general, when a situation looks complicated, it’s useful to simplify it before applying mathematical reasoning. This is a key idea behind mathematical modeling, and it is something that professional applied mathematicians, physicists, and others do all of the time. With this in mind, let’s consider the simpler bus schedule in Figure 2(a). In this simpler schedule, buses are scheduled to arrive with either 4-minute or 12 minute intervals between buses. It is simpler than the original schedule in Figure 1(b) because there are several different intervals between bus arrivals in that schedule. The waiting-time paradox also occurs in the simplified bus schedule, and the simplification makes it easier for us to explain — and for you to see — what is going on.

A key thing that we need to consider is the inter-event time, which is the time between two consecutive buses. The schedule in Figure 2(a) indicates that, in each hour, 6 buses arrive immediately after an inter-event time of 4 minutes (we show this in blue) and 3 buses arrive immediately after an inter-event time of 12 minutes (we show this in red). In our scenario, recall that you have arrived at the bus stop without knowing what time it is. You may arrive at the bus stop at 10:23, 10:41, or some other time. This may seem unrealistic, but even if you carry your mobile phone so that you know what time it is, if you just show up at a bus stop without checking the bus schedule, then mathematically it is the same as not knowing the time. In other
words, you do not know if the next bus will come very soon or if it will take a while. In the language of probability theory, we say that you are arriving at the bus stop at a time that has been chosen uniformly at random.

Now that we have established that you have arrived at the bus stop at a time that we pick uniformly at random, do you think that you are more likely to have a 4-minute inter-event time or a 12-minute one? If you get a 4-minute inter-event time, you will not wait very long for the next bus. However, if you get a 12-minute one, you may have to wait a long time. As we indicated above, 6 inter-event times are 4-minutes long, and 3 inter-event times are 12-minutes long.

Perhaps you will get a 4-minute inter-event time because there are more of them than there are 12-minute inter-event times. The former occur with probability $\frac{6}{6+3} = \frac{2}{3}$, which is about 0.67, so this situation occurs about 67% of the time [see Figure 2(b)]. The latter occur with probability $\frac{3}{6+3} = \frac{1}{3}$, so this situation occurs about 33% of the time. Unfortunately, this is wishful thinking. Take a look at Figure 2(c). Arriving at the bus stop “uniformly at random” is like rotating a wheel with numbers 0, 1, 2, ..., 59 at each ‘slice’ of the wheel (say, each in a different color) in a game at a high speed, stopping it with your finger, and looking at the slice that your finger is touching. If your finger points to 33, it means that you arrive at the bus stop at 10:33. In this case, you need to wait 3 minutes for the next bus. The game wheel is a device to realize the notion of “uniformly at random” in practice. We color each minute (from 0 to 59) based on the inter-event times in Figure 2(c). There are 24 blue minutes in Figure 2(c), and the other 36 minutes are red. This is how 1 hour is divided into blue and red minutes. From this picture, we see that you are more likely to get a 12-minute inter-event time (with a probability of 0.60) than a 4-minute one (with a probability of 0.40). See Figure 2(d).

Only 3 of the 9 inter-event times (i.e., 1/3, which is about 0.33) are 12 minutes long, but it is still more likely to get one of these (with probability 0.60) than one of the 4-minute inter-event times. Why is this the case? The answer comes from a simple fact: a long inter-event time is long, and a short one is short. More specifically, a long inter-event time occupies 12 numbers of a game wheel, but a short one occupies only 4 numbers. The 3 long inter-event times together cover $12 \times 3 = 36$ of the 60 minutes. By contrast, the 6 short ones together cover only $4 \times 6 = 24$ minutes. By the same argument, the rareness of an inter-event time in a bus schedule does not imply that it is rare to encounter that inter-event time.

This is the essential mathematical logic behind the waiting-time paradox: you are more likely to get a long inter-event time. Once you do, it is likely that you will have to wait a long time for the next bus. By doing some more calculations with the bus schedule in Figure 2(a), we see that the naive guess for how long you should expect to wait (i.e., half of the average inter-event time) is 3 minutes and 20 seconds, but the actual waiting time is 4 minutes and 24 seconds on average. In the schedule in Figure 1(b), the naive guess for the average waiting time is 3 minutes, and the actual waiting time on average is 3 minutes and
Figure 2. Comparison between the naive guess of an average waiting time and the actual average waiting time in a bus schedule. (a) A simplified bus schedule. (b) Naive guess about the probability to get a 4-minute or 12-minute inter-event time based on the number of those inter-event times. Because there are 6 inter-event times that are 4 minutes long and 3 inter-event times that are 12 minutes long, there are $6 + 3 = 9$ inter-event times in total each hour. The probability that one gets a 4-minute inter-event time is $6/9$, which is about 0.67. (c) The organization of inter-event times in one hour. (d) The actual probability to get a 4-minute or 12-minute inter-event time.
Figure 3. Inter-event times in a school. (a) Illustration of the inter-event times for one student, named Chloe. By ignoring the students with whom Chloe has talked, we conclude that two of her inter-event times are 6 minutes and 3 minutes. (b) The number of each inter-event time for a student in a school in France. This example comes from the “Primary School” data set in the SocioPatterns project. We selected the student with the largest number of events and calculated all of the inter-event times. The bars in this picture, which together form a type of picture called a histogram, indicate the number of inter-event times of each length for that student. The data set has recordings of interactions between students each 20 seconds. For example, if Chloe is talking to David at 12:10:00 and again at 12:10:20, then we count the two observations as part of a single conversation (i.e., event) between them. However, if Chloe is talking to David at 12:10:00 and 12:10:40 but not at 12:10:20, we assume that there are two separate events.

3 A few applications

The implication of the waiting-time paradox is much wider than just waiting for buses. Inter-event times are important for many situations. At school, consider the “event” of talking to one of your classmates, rather than the event of a bus arriving. After the next time you talk to one of your classmates (in other words, after the next event), the inter-event time is the amount of time between those conversations [see Figure 3(a)]. One inter-event time may be 2 minutes, and the next one may be 11 minutes. In social networks like ones that represent who talks to whom, inter-event times typically vary much more drastically than for bus arrivals. If buses arrive with 3-minute intervals but then an hour passes before the next bus is scheduled to arrive, people would get very upset (and many people may be late for school). However, in social activities, there are often large variations in inter-event times. In Figure 3(b), we show an example of inter-event times for students in a school in France. We list these times from left to right in increasing order in a diagram that is called a histogram. The height of the bar indicates the number of times that each inter-event time occurs. Most inter-event times are short (such as 20 or 40 seconds), but a small number of them are large (such as 200 to 400 seconds). These kinds of large events can have a major impact on many things, such as the speed of the spread of a disease in a population.

In our example of the waiting-time paradox with buses, we saw that even if there are only 3 long inter-event times among 9 total inter-event times, we are more likely to get one of the long inter-event times than one of the short ones. This is an example of biased sampling. Another famous manifestation of biased sampling is the friendship paradox. According to the friendship paradox, your friends have more friends than you do. However, there is no reason to get upset, because this too is a purely mathematical phenomenon. If you have 20 friends in your school, many of them are likely to be popular people. For example, if Alice has just one friend, it is unlikely that you are Alice’s only friend; it’s more likely to be someone else. By contrast, if Bob is friends with half of the students in your school, then it is very likely that you are one of Bob’s friends. Waiting for the next bus and counting the number of friends may seem to have nothing to do with each other. However, from a mathematical perspective, you are likely to have a friend like Bob for basically the same reason that you are likely to get a bus.
after a long inter-event time. Both the waiting-time paradox and the friendship paradox are a consequence of biased sampling.

As we have seen, mathematics provides a way to unify seemingly different ideas and see when they are actually closely related. This is true not just with the waiting-time paradox and the friendship paradox, but also with many other things.

Glossary

- **Biased sampling**: This occurs when one obtains (or, to phrase it more technically, “samples”) items, such as long inter-event times or a person with many friends, with a larger probability than other items for a systematic reason.

- **Histogram**: A diagram that shows the number of items in multiple ranges of numbers to compare the amounts for different ranges. For example, suppose that one shows children with the age ranges 0–4, 5–9, 10–14, … from left to right. The height of the bar at 10–14 indicates the number of people with ages in the range 10–14, and one compares the height of this bar to those of the other bars.

- **Inter-event time**: The length of the time between two consecutive events, such as the arrivals of two buses at a bus stop or two conversation events of a person.

- **Probability theory**: A research field in mathematics about topics related to “probability,” which is a numerical description of how likely an event is to occur. A “probability distribution” is a mathematical function that gives the probabilities of occurrence of different outcomes of an event.

- **Uniformly at random**: A probability distribution in which each possible event is equally likely to occur.
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