Proliferation and differentiation of B lymphocytes are tightly regulated processes that are critical for the development of the immune system (1). An understanding of these processes is essential for the treatment of lymphoid malignancies, which arise from deregulated proliferation and transformation of mature lymphocytes. Varying degrees of lymphoid proliferation can be detected in the peripheral blood of patients with lymphoid malignancies, in particular those with B cell lymphoma (2). In this study, we used mass spectrometry-based proteomics to identify potential novel markers of lymphoma.

**Materials and Methods**

**Protein Extraction and Untargeted Proteomic Analysis.** To compare the proteomes of B cell lymphoma and normal lymph nodes, we performed proteomic analysis of total protein extracted from both samples. Samples were subjected to two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (2D-PAGE), followed by protein identification using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS).

**Results**
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**Abstract**

**Purpose:** Early diagnosis of cancer is crucial for the success of treatment of the disease, and there is a need for markers whose differential expression between disease and normal tissue could be used as a diagnostic tool. Spontaneously occurring malignancies in pets provide a logical tool for translational research for human oncology. Lymphoma, one of the most common neoplasms in dogs, is similar to human non–Hodgkin's lymphoma and could serve as an experimental model system.

**Experimental Design:** Thirteen lymph nodes from normal dogs and 11 lymph nodes from dogs with B-cell lymphoma were subjected to proteomic analysis using two-dimensional PAGE separation and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight analysis.

**Results:** A total of 93 differentially expressed spots was subjected to matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight tandem mass spectrometry analysis, and several proteins that showed differential expression were identified. Of these, prolidase (proline dipeptidase), triosephosphate isomerase, and glutathione S-transferase were down-regulated in lymphoma samples, whereas macrophage capping protein was up-regulated in the lymphoma samples.

**Conclusions:** These proteins represent potential markers for the diagnosis of lymphoma and should be further investigated in human samples for validation of their utility as diagnostic markers.

**Spontaneously occurring malignancies in pets provide a logical tool for translational research in human oncology.** (1) Companion animals are exposed to similar environmental conditions and carcinogens as humans. For example, similar genetic changes leading to cancer have been found in dogs and humans as in the case of renal cancer (2–4). The completely sequenced dog genome is predicted to contain 19,300 protein-coding genes and nearly all are homologues of human genes (5). However, little work has been done to date on using this useful system for identifying molecular markers for cancer.

Lymphoma is one of the most common neoplasms in dogs and is similar to human non–Hodgkin's lymphoma. In dogs, the multicentric form involving peripheral lymph nodes is the most common form, but disease can arise from any tissue. As with non–Hodgkin's lymphoma, canine lymphoma can be either B or T cell, but most of the peripheral lymphomas are B cell (6). Canine lymphoma has reported an annual incidence of 25 of 100,000 dogs per year and accounts for 5% of all malignant neoplasms in the dog (6). In humans, the B-cell lymphoid neoplasm incidence is reported to be 26 of 100,000 per year (7). Canine non–Hodgkin's lymphoma is comparable clinically and histologically with intermediate and high-grade non–Hodgkin's lymphoma in humans (1, 8). It is a reasonable model for comparison with human non–Hodgkin's lymphoma as it is a common, nonviral-associated, spontaneous tumor. Canine lymphoma is advantageous for study compared with humans in that average remission times are shorter than 1 year, thus facilitating rapid accrual of data. Surgical removal of affected lymph nodes and histopathologic analysis are a routine part of therapy, and canine lymphoma is treated with the same drugs as human lymphoma, most commonly vincristine, cyclophosphamide, L-asparaginase, doxorubicin, and prednisone (9). These considerations, taken together with the availability of samples, make the dog an excellent candidate for proteomic-based research on cancer.

Proteomics is one of a variety of approaches that are currently in use for the identification of the molecular changes that accompany the progression of neoplastic disease. It is now widely used and strongly complements gene expression approaches (10) but has not previously been used to study canine cancer. Proteomic approaches have the advantage that they can identify changes in protein isoforms and posttranslational modifications that are common in cancer and go...
undetected by microarray analyses of RNA expression. In the following study, we report the results of a comparative proteomic analysis of 13 lymph nodes from normal dogs and 11 lymph nodes from dogs with B-cell lymphoma and the identification of several differentially expressed protein markers.

Materials and Methods

Animals. The experimental protocol for the nondiseased control dogs was approved by the University of Missouri-Columbia Animal Care and Use Committee, and the dogs were obtained through the Office of Animal Resources. Five mature, male, nonconditioned, random source, mixed breed dogs were obtained. The dogs appeared healthy based on physical examination. On arrival to our facility, the dogs were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital and lymph nodes were removed. In accordance with the approved protocol, the dogs were euthanized immediately after the removal of the lymph nodes and before recovery from anesthesia. Euthanasia was accomplished by the use of pentobarbital sodium (Vortech, Dearborn, MI). In each case, we removed the superficial, peripheral, lymph nodes (prescapular and popliteal) because these are most often removed for biopsy in lymphoma cases. A portion of each lymph node was submitted for histopathology and no lymphoma cells were detected in these samples.

Eleven dogs with lymphoma were client-owned animals presented to the University of Missouri-Columbia Veterinary Medical Teaching Hospital for diagnosis and treatment of suspected lymphoma. Written permission for removal of a lymph node and inclusion in this study was obtained from the owners. One affected peripheral lymph node was obtained from each dog before any chemotherapy was given. Histopathologic examination determined that all of these dogs had B-cell lymphoma. There were seven male and four female dogs. Seven dogs were mixed breed. Of the purebred dogs, there was one each of the following breeds: Newfoundland, Dalmatian, Pembroke Welsh corgi, and Australian shepherd.

Lymph node preparation. All lymph nodes were aseptically removed under general anesthesia. A portion of the node was placed in formalin for the purpose of histopathologic evaluation. The nodes with lymphoma were also submitted for immunophenotyping to determine B-cell or T-cell phenotype. The remainder of the lymph node was prepared for proteomic analysis. The lymph node was trimmed to remove the capsule, connective tissue, and adipose tissue; rinsed with saline; placed in a cryogenic tube; frozen in liquid nitrogen; and stored at −80°C until processed.

Protein solubilization. The protocol for sample solubilization was arrived at empirically to obtain the highest recovery of protein. Sample weight was recorded, and the tissue was ground in dry ice with mortar and pestle. The ground sample and dry ice were placed in a tube and put in −80°C freezer where the dry ice evaporated. Lysis buffer [0.175 mol/L Tris-HCl (pH 8.8), 5% SDS, 15% glycerol, 0.3 mol/L DTT] was added to the frozen samples. Lysis buffer (5 mL) was added to samples with a weight of <250 mg (and an additional 1 mL for each additional 70 mg of tissue). The sample was further solubilized by vortexing, pipetting, and sonicating. The insoluble portion was removed by centrifugation in a refrigerated Jouan model CR412 (Jouan, Inc., Winchester, VA) tabletop centrifuge at 1,700 × g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was then centrifuged in a Beckman J2-21 centrifuge (Fullerton, CA) at 9,000 × g for 20 min at 0°C. The supernatant was discarded and the pellets were rinsed twice in 15 mL of cold 80% acetone with mechanical disruption of the pellet by pipetting, vortexing, and sonicating. The protein precipitates were then air dried and solubilized in a solution of 8 mol/L urea, 2 mol/L thiourea, 2% CHAPS, 0.2% Triton X-100, 50 mmol/L DTT, and 0.5% ampholytes (pH 3-10) at a volume of 6.5 μL/mg of original tissue. The samples were incubated for 1 h at room temperature, 25 mmol/L iodoacetamide was added to acetylate all free SH groups, and the samples were centrifuged for 30 min in a Beckman TL-100 centrifuge at 150,000 × g at 4°C. The supernatant was removed to clean tubes, and protein concentration of the sample was determined using bicinchoninic acid protein determination assay (Pierce, Rockford, IL).

Protein separation. Two-dimensional SDS-PAGE was used to separate lymph node proteins. Immobilized pH gradient strips (11 cm) with a pH range of 4 to 7 or 5 to 8 (Proteome Systems Ltd., Boston, MA) were used for the first-dimension separation. The strips were rehydrated with 1.5 mg protein for 20 to 22 h. The proteins were focused for 17 h on an
ElectrophoretIQ 2000 system (Proteome Systems). The voltage was steadily increased from 100 to 10,000 V over 8 h, maintained for an additional 8 h, and then decreased to 2,500 V over 1 h. The immobilized pH gradient strips were removed and equilibrated with two changes of a solution of 6 mol/L urea, 5% SDS, and 50 mmol/L Tris-acetate (pH 7.0) for 10 min. The second-dimension separation of proteins was done immediately using precast 8% to 18% SDS-PAGE gels (Proteome Systems). Multiple gels were electrophoresed at the same time using the Proteome Systems ElectrophoretIQ 2000 system at 50 mA per gel for 1.5 h. The gels were then stained for 12 h with colloidal Coomassie blue (11) and destained in 1% acetic acid for 2 h.

**Gel evaluation.** The gels were scanned using a flat bed scanner (Epson Expression 1680, Nagano, Japan). The protein separation patterns were examined visually, and each lymphoma lymph node gel was compared with each normal lymph node gel. The molecular weight markers were from Proteome Systems, and the empirical molecular weights of the separated proteins were estimated to the nearest thousand kDa.

**Protein identification.** Gel plugs containing spots of interest were excised and destained thrice with 50% acetonitrile and 50 mmol/L ammonium bicarbonate. Trypsin digestion was done by incubating the gel plugs with trypsin for 5 h at 4 °C followed by replacing the trypsin solution with 30 μL of 50 mmol/L ammonium bicarbonate and continued digestion at 37°C for 18 h. Peptides were extracted from gel pieces twice with 60% acetonitrile and 0.3% trifluoroacetic acid. Recovered peptides were dried in a centrifugal evaporator and re-suspended in a centrifugal evaporator and re-suspended in 15 μL of 1% formic acid and processed using ZIP tips (Millipore, Billerica, CA). Peptides were eluted from the ZIP tips in 4 μL of 30% acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid, and 1.0 μL was spotted onto the matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) target. Spectra were acquired on an Applied Biosystems 4700 Proteomics Analyzer MALDI tandem time-of-flight mass spectrometer (MS) operated using 4000 Series Explorer software V3.0 in positive ion reflector mode. Instrument calibration in MS mode was achieved using 4700 calibration mixture (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) spotted on six peripheral calibration spots on the MALDI target. Instrument calibration in MS/MS mode was achieved using the [Glu1]fibrinopeptide B standard (1,570.7 Da) in each of six calibration spots. Sample spectra were acquired in MS mode over a mass range of 800 to 4,000 Da. MS/MS fragmentation spectra of the 10 most abundant peptides (excluding trypsin autolysis peptides) were automatically acquired from each sample. Using default calibration of sample spots, the average mass error (determined by masses of trypsin autolysis ions present in the spectra) ranged from 18.28 ppm in the first sample acquired to 45.35 ppm in the last acquired sample.

**Informatics.** Searches were conducted with a FASTA-formatted database of DOG gene open reading frames (downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology Information 03242006 and indexed for use by MASCOT server version 2.1). The canine database was searched using GPS Explorer software V3.0, which is integrated with the MASCOT search engine. A “combined MS + MS/MS” search was conducted that includes a peptide mass fingerprinting approach to protein identification supplemented with MS/MS ion searches of those peptides acquired from the sample. Search variables for DOG FASTA database: monoisotopic peaks only, filtering mass range of 800 to 4,000 Da, minimum s/n ratio of 10, “common” trypsin autolysis ions within a mass tolerance of 75 ppm were removed from the spectra before the database search, density filter of 50 peaks per 200 Da, and maximum of 100 peaks per spectrum. Database search criteria included one allowed miscleavage, carbamidomethyl fixed modification, methionine oxidation and deamidation of N and Q, precursor mass tolerance of 75 ppm, MS/MS fragment tolerance of 0.05 Da, minimum two identified peptides, and minimum ion score confidence interval of 85%.

**Statistical methods.** Fisher’s exact test was used for determining the significance of the differential expression of a protein in the normal and lymphoma samples. Statistical calculations were done with the computer-based software SigmaStat for Windows version 3.10 (Systat Software, Inc., Richmond, CA). Significance was set at $P < 0.05$.
CD79-positive reaction. The lymphomas were classified using the NIH working formulation and composed of diffuse small cleaved cell lymphoma, diffuse large cleaved cell lymphoma, diffuse large noncleaved cell lymphoma, and diffuse mixed cell lymphoma (1). The limited numbers of each tumor type prevented any meaningful correlation with the proteomic data.

Sample preparation and gel evaluation. Standard, commercially available lysis buffers resulted in gels of poor quality, and the sample solubilization procedure described in Materials and Methods was developed. The proteins were then separated by two-dimensional PAGE using a pH range of either 5 to 8 or 4 to 7 for the first dimension and 8% to 18% SDS-PAGE gels for the second dimension. This method of sample preparation resulted in gels that had reproducibly high resolution as is shown in Fig. 1.

The gels were scanned as described and visually examined. Each lymphoma lymph node gel was compared with each normal lymph node gel. Any differences in protein expression between the compared gels were noted. Examples of four of these differentially expressed spots are depicted in Fig. 2. Twenty-one protein spots were identified as having differences in expression between nonlymphomas and lymphomas. In some cases, the proteins showed higher expression in the lymphoma lymph nodes compared with nonlymphoma lymph nodes, and in other cases, the opposite was observed. Seventeen of these differentially expressed spots were seen on the pH 5 to 8 gels (Table 1) and four protein spots were seen on the pH 4 to 7 gels (Table 2). Several randomly selected samples representing the differentially regulated proteins were removed from the gels for protein identification by MALDI time-of-flight MS (noted with an asterisk in Tables 1 and 2). The distribution of the protein spots in the normal versus lymphoma samples is shown with respect to either the total number of samples or the number of samples that had that spot (Table 3). The latter ratio is important because it highlights the bias, and thus the predictive value, of the protein for either the normal or lymphoma samples.

Protein identification. To test the reproducibility of the approach, we analyzed two proteins: one that appeared in all the normal and lymphoma pH 5 to 8 gels and the other appeared on all the pH 4 to 7 gels. From the pH 5 to 8 gels, the spots had an observed molecular weight of 45,000 and an isoelectric focusing (pI) of 7.3. Seven spots (three from normal lymph node gels and four from lymphoma) were picked for analysis. Six of the seven were identified as α-enolase, an

**Table 1. Summary of two-dimensional electrophoretic analysis of proteins from noncancerous and lymphoma lymph nodes**

| Sample/gel | Protein spot no. |
|------------|------------------|
|            | 1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17  C |
| N          | ** * * * * * * * * | |
| N          | * * * * * * * * * * | |
| N          | * * * * * * * * * * | |
| N          | * * * * * * * * * * | |
| N          | * * * * * * * * * * | |
| N          | * * * * * * * * * * | |
| N          | * * * * * * * * * * | |
| N          | * * * * * * * * * * | |
| N          | * * * * * * * * * * | |
| L          | * * * * * * * * * * | |
| L          | * * * * * * * * * * | |
| L          | * * * * * * * * * * | |
| L          | ** * * * * * * * * * | |
| L          | ** * * * * * * * * * | |
| L          | ** * * * * * * * * * | |
| L          | ** * * * * * * * * * | |

NOTE: pI at pH 5 to 8. Shaded boxes indicate the presence of a protein spot. *, spot excised for MALDI time-of-flight analysis.

Abbreviations: N, noncancerous; L, lymphoma; C, control.
abundant cytosolic protein with a predicted molecular weight of 49,500 (Fig. 2A and “control” lane in Table 1). From the pH 4 to 7 gels, three spots (two normal and one lymphoma) were picked for analysis with a molecular weight of 16,000 and a pl of 6.7 (Table 2, control lane). Two of the three were identified as superoxide dismutase, whereas the other spot gave no identification. Overall, this underscored the reproducibility of the gels and suggested that the approach of spot evaluation and identification had worked well.

Of the 21 proteins that were expressed differentially between cancerous and noncancerous lymph nodes, 4 gave consistent identification of the spots picked for analysis (Table 4). No consensus of identification was found with the others, which is most likely due to low protein levels and/or overlapping of multiple proteins in the gel.

(a) A protein with an observed molecular weight of 24 kDa and a pl of 7.9 was present in 9 of 13 gels from normal lymph nodes but only in 1 of 11 gels of the lymphoma samples (Fig. 2C; Table 1, spot no. 4). The difference was significant ($P = 0.005$). In three of four representative analyzed spots, it was identified as triosephosphate isomerase, a glycolytic enzyme. It functions in catalyzing the interconversion of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate and dihydroxyacetone phosphate. Triosephosphate isomerase is necessary for efficient energy production, is important in several metabolic pathways, and is present in the cytoplasm of all tissues (12). An inherited deficiency occurs in humans and causes a rise in dihydroxyacetone phosphate. Clinical signs are hemolytic anemia, early death, and neurodegeneration (13).

(b) A protein with observed molecular weight of 55 kDa and pl of 6.1 was found in 8 of 13 of the normal samples and in none of the lymphoma samples (Fig. 2C; Table 1, spot no. 7). The difference was significant ($P = 0.006$). Four representative spots were analyzed, and three resulted in the identification of Xaa-Pro dipeptidase (prolidase). Prolidase is a 492-amino acid (54.5 kDa) manganese metalloenzyme, which catalyzes the release of any NH$_2$-terminal amino acid adjacent to a proline residue. The enzyme splits dipeptides with a prolyl residue in the COOH-terminal position. Bacterial aminopeptidases P II (gene pepP) and proline dipeptidase (gene pepQ), yeast hypothetical proteins YER078c and YFR006w, Mycobacterium tuberculosis hypothetical protein MtCY49.29c, and human proline dipeptidase (gene PEPE) are evolutionary related. Proline peptidase plays an important role in collagen metabolism because of the high level of iminoacids in collagen. The released proline is used for the production of collagen (14). Prolidase has high enzyme activity in the intestinal mucosa, kidney, and erythrocytes. Liver, brain, heart, uterus, thymus, leukocytes, and skin fibroblasts also contain prolidase (14).

(c) A protein with an observed molecular weight of 36 kDa and a pl of 6.4 was found in 6 of 11 of the lymphoma samples and in 3 of 13 normal gels (Fig. 2D; Table 1, spot no. 16). The difference was not significant ($P = 0.206$). In three of three representative analyzed spots, it was identified as macrophage capping protein, a 348-amino acid (38.5 kDa) calcium-sensitive protein. Interestingly, a second group of protein spots at molecular weight of 29 kDa and a pl of 6.7 (Table 1, spot no. 13), which also was primarily lymphoma associated (5 of the 11 lymphoma gels and 0 of 13 normal gels), was also identified as macrophage capping protein in three of the five spots analyzed. The difference was significant ($P = 0.011$). This group of spots could possibly represent a processing product of the protein, but more extensive analysis is needed to answer this question. Macrophage capping protein, along with gelsolin, villin, adseverin, advillin, and supervillin, is a member of the gelsolin protein family (15). These proteins cap the barbed ends of actin filaments that are necessary for regulating actin-based motility in nonmuscle cells. Macrophage capping protein was first isolated from alveolar macrophages (16). The levels are highest in macrophages where it is a major stimulator of macrophage phagocytosis (17). Detection of macrophage capping protein in other tissues is variable, with one report suggesting presence in lung, kidney, and smaller amounts in the heart, whereas another study found macrophage capping protein in the spleen (18, 19). A third study suggests more widespread presence, including skeletal muscle, stomach, spleen, kidney, heart, lung, intestine, and uterus (20).

(d) A protein spot with an observed molecular weight of 24 kDa and a pl of 7.0 was observed in 11 of 13 normal lymph nodes and in only 3 of 11 gels of lymphoma samples (Fig. 2E; Table 1, spot no. 2). The difference was significant ($P = 0.011$). In three of four representative spots analyzed from the normal gels, it was identified as glutathione S-transferase-P (GST-P; GST7-7). GST-P is one of the five soluble cytosolic GST isoenzymes that are each a distinct gene product. The enzymes transfer reduced glutathione to a broad range of genotoxins to

| Sample | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | C |
|--------|----|----|----|----|---|
| N      |    |    |    |    |   |
| N      |    |    |    |    |   |
| N      |    |    |    |    |   |
| N      |    |    |    |    |   |
| N      |    |    |    |    |   |
| N      |    |    |    |    |   |
| N      |    |    |    |    |   |
| N      |    |    |    |    |   |
| N      |    |    |    |    |   |
| N      |    |    |    |    |   |
| N      |    |    |    |    |   |
| N      |    |    |    |    |   |
| L      |    |    |    |    |   |
| L      |    |    |    |    |   |
| L      |    |    |    |    |   |
| L      |    |    |    |    |   |
| L      |    |    |    |    |   |
| L      |    |    |    |    |   |
| L      |    |    |    |    |   |
| L      |    |    |    |    |   |
| L      |    |    |    |    |   |

Table 2. Summary of two-dimensional electrophoretic analysis of proteins from noncancerous and lymphoma lymph nodes

NOTE: pl at pH 4 to 7. Shaded boxes indicate the presence of a protein spot. *, spot excised for MALDI time-of-flight analysis.
detoxify them and facilitate their removal from cells. These enzymes are widely expressed in tissues, and their expression is up-regulated on cell exposure to genotoxins. The enzymes are generally believed to protect cells from carcinogens (21).

Discussion

The goals of cancer research include a better understanding of the development of cancer cells and their interactions with normal cells. To this end, it has been productive to identify cellular and biochemical differences between normal and tumor cells. Modern system approaches to this problem include using microarray analysis to determine differences in mRNA levels and gene methylation patterns between normal and cancer cells or proteomic analysis to directly detect changes in protein expression and/or posttranslational modifications (e.g., phosphorylation, glycosylation, lipidation, and peptide cleavage) in the cancer cell (22, 23). We applied this latter approach to study the differences between the proteomes of cancerous and noncancerous lymph nodes of dogs. Canine lymphoma is an attractive translational model for human cancer because it is a common nonviral-associated spontaneous neoplasm in dogs and is similar to human non–Hodgkin’s lymphoma. Canine lymphoma is treated with the same drugs as human lymphoma, most commonly vincristine, cyclophosphamide, L-asparaginase, doxorubicin, and prednisone (9), and taken together with the availability of samples, these considerations make the dog an excellent candidate for proteomic-based research on cancer.

In this study, significant differences in protein expression were detected between the lymph nodes of normal dogs and those with B-cell lymphoma. In some instances, there was a differential up-regulation or down-regulation. In many cases, a protein was not limited exclusively to either normal or cancerous lymph nodes. We believe that our experimental approach facilitated these findings. Previous strategies have often used a reference gel that is composed of all the spots present on gels from multiple samples of normal cells or tissues. The reference gel was then used to compare spots present on the gels from the diseased tissue. Thus, in order for a particular protein in a diseased sample to be recognized as uniquely present or absent, it could not have been present on any of the normal gels. However, there are many genetic, physiologic, and environmental differences between animals that complicate this approach. As cancer progresses, the protein profile will gradually change, and each individual can display a different pattern of change, with the proteome of some nondisease-appearing animals containing the proteins of diseased individuals and vice versa. For lymph nodes, it is reasonable to assume that the protein profile of a particular node is directly dependent on what is happening in the tissues that drain to that lymph node, and as such, the lymph nodes from different animals could have different proteomes. Based on these considerations, our approach was to systematically compare each lymphoma sample to each nondiseased sample and then record the protein spots that differ in each set, assuming that there is not an absolute difference between the protein profiles of normal and diseased tissue. Indeed, a similar result was seen in previous proteomic analyses of other cancers (24, 25). Overall, this supports the idea that multiple markers will be needed for accurate cancer diagnosis, and ultimately, markers discovered in different studies will probably be used together for diagnosis and staging. The current study in dogs identified four candidates as markers for lymphoma.

Prolidase was found to be expressed in some normal but not lymphoma samples. As an iminopeptidase enzyme, prolidase is necessary for protein catabolism or processing. An inherited

| Protein spot no. | Samples with protein spot present (%) | Noncancerous samples with protein spot present (% of total samples) | Lymphoma samples with protein spot present (% of total samples) | Noncancerous samples in total positive samples (%) | Lymphoma samples in total positive samples (%) |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| 1                | 63                                   | 50                                                           | 13                                                           | 80                                               | 20                                               |
| 2                | 63                                   | 50                                                           | 13                                                           | 80                                               | 20                                               |
| 3                | 42                                   | 42                                                           | 0                                                            | 100                                              | 0                                                |
| 4                | 42                                   | 38                                                           | 4                                                            | 90                                               | 10                                               |
| 5                | 42                                   | 38                                                           | 4                                                            | 90                                               | 10                                               |
| 6                | 59                                   | 38                                                           | 21                                                           | 64                                               | 36                                               |
| 7                | 33                                   | 33                                                           | 0                                                            | 100                                              | 0                                                |
| 8                | 33                                   | 29                                                           | 4                                                            | 88                                               | 13                                               |
| 9                | 50                                   | 29                                                           | 21                                                           | 58                                               | 42                                               |
| 10               | 25                                   | 25                                                           | 0                                                            | 100                                              | 0                                                |
| 11               | 4                                    | 0                                                            | 4                                                            | 0                                                | 100                                              |
| 12               | 13                                   | 0                                                            | 13                                                           | 0                                                | 100                                              |
| 13               | 21                                   | 0                                                            | 21                                                           | 0                                                | 100                                              |
| 14               | 25                                   | 4                                                            | 21                                                           | 17                                               | 83                                               |
| 15               | 25                                   | 0                                                            | 25                                                           | 0                                                | 100                                              |
| 16               | 38                                   | 13                                                           | 25                                                           | 33                                               | 67                                               |
| 17               | 46                                   | 17                                                           | 29                                                           | 36                                               | 64                                               |
| 18               | 73                                   | 50                                                           | 23                                                           | 69                                               | 31                                               |
| 19               | 64                                   | 41                                                           | 23                                                           | 64                                               | 36                                               |
| 20               | 73                                   | 41                                                           | 32                                                           | 56                                               | 44                                               |
| 21               | 81                                   | 36                                                           | 45                                                           | 44                                               | 56                                               |

NOTE: Isoelectric focusing pH 5-8 (spots 1-17) and pH 4-7 (spots 18-21).
Our results, finding an absence of triosephosphate isomerase in most lymphoma cases, are in contrast with previous findings of other investigators. Two-dimensional electrophoretic analysis of lung adenocarcinoma revealed an increase of triosephosphate isomerase in 60% of adenocarcinomas compared with uninvolved lung (29). Similarly, increased expression of triosephosphate isomerase was found in lung squamous cell carcinomas (30). Triosephosphate isomerase has also been found to be increased in urinary cancers. Renal cell carcinoma samples when compared with normal kidney cortex had increased expression in all six samples tested (31). Possibly, the down-regulation of triosephosphate isomerase in the lymphoma samples represents an underlying cell type specificity, but overall, it seems that misregulation of triosephosphate isomerase is a common feature of cancer. Additional work will be required to fully understand all these data and to elucidate the mechanistic relationship between this enzyme and the various forms of cancer.

There is a large literature on the roles of GST with respect to cancer, and there is not a clear pattern (32). In addition to the idea that the detoxifying activity of GST protects cells from carcinogens, there are also reports that increases in GST are correlated with increased resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs and poor prognosis (33). Several studies clearly show an inverse correlation between GST-P expression and cancer. In studies of esophageal adenocarcinoma and ovarian cancer, the tumor tissue showed lower GST-P levels in tumor tissue than in the surrounding normal tissue (34, 35). The current studies on canine lymphoma agree in that GST-P was observed more frequently in the normal lymph nodes. This general pattern supports the idea that loss of GST activity is associated with tumor development.

In some cases, like with the GST and with the macrophage capping protein, we identified protein spots that correspond to these proteins but had lower than expected molecular weight. Although it is entirely possible that these represent proteolytic cleavage, it is important to mention that there is an increasing literature on alternative splicing and its role in regulation of cellular function (36). It will be interesting to analyze these genes for possible alternative splice variants and to ascertain whether these have a regulatory role in the onset or propagation of lymphoma.

A possible drawback to using whole lymph node tissue is its cellular heterogeneity (37). Tissues are composed of not only the functional immune cells but also supporting structures,

| Protein name               | Synonyms                              | Gene name | Accession no. | Molecular weight (kDa) | % Coverage | Mowse score | Normal samples | Lymphoma samples |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|---------------|------------------------|------------|-------------|----------------|------------------|
| Triosephosphate isomerase  | Isomerase; triosephosphate isomerase; isomerase; triosephosphate isomerase; triosephosphate isomerase; triosephosphate isomerase; triosephosphate isomerase | TIM       | P54714        | 26.7                   | 72         | 74          | 9 of 13         | 1 of 11           |
| Xaa-Pro dipeptidase        | Peptidase; iminopeptidase; peptidase D; proline peptidase; X-Pro dipeptidase | PEPD human | P12955        | 54.5                   | 32         | 67          | 8 of 13         | 0 of 11           |
| Macrophage capping protein | Actin-regulatory protein CAPG         | LOC483082; CAPG human; MCP | P40121        | 38.5                   | 49         | 121         | 3 of 13 (0 of 13 in second group) | 6 of 11 (5 of 11 in second group) |

There is a large literature on the roles of GST with respect to cancer, and there is not a clear pattern (32). In addition to the idea that the detoxifying activity of GST protects cells from carcinogens, there are also reports that increases in GST are correlated with increased resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs and poor prognosis (33). Several studies clearly show an inverse correlation between GST-P expression and cancer. In studies of esophageal adenocarcinoma and ovarian cancer, the tumor tissue showed lower GST-P levels in tumor tissue than in the surrounding normal tissue (34, 35). The current studies on canine lymphoma agree in that GST-P was observed more frequently in the normal lymph nodes. This general pattern supports the idea that loss of GST activity is associated with tumor development.

In some cases, like with the GST and with the macrophage capping protein, we identified protein spots that correspond to these proteins but had lower than expected molecular weight. Although it is entirely possible that these represent proteolytic cleavage, it is important to mention that there is an increasing literature on alternative splicing and its role in regulation of cellular function (36). It will be interesting to analyze these genes for possible alternative splice variants and to ascertain whether these have a regulatory role in the onset or propagation of lymphoma.

A possible drawback to using whole lymph node tissue is its cellular heterogeneity (37). Tissues are composed of not only the functional immune cells but also supporting structures,
such as connective tissue, blood vessels and blood cells, and fat. Tumors are also heterogeneous and contain mixtures of normal, transformed, and malignant cells, although in lymphomas nearly the entire lymph node is composed of malignant cells. Despite these concerns, using lymph node tissue allowed us to directly examine normal and tumor tissue without any cell culture or cell fractionation and resulted in the identification of the differentially expressed proteins.

Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis is widely available for protein separation in proteomic studies and allows broad proteomic analyses to be routinely done. The technique is somewhat limited by sensitivity (10), but as can be seen in Figs. 1 and 2, a large number of proteins can be reproducibly separated and displayed. This approach allowed us to compare, for the first time, the proteomes of lymph nodes from normal dogs and dogs with lymphoma. The discovery that GST, macrophage capping protein, triosephosphate isomerase, and prolidase were differentially expressed between the normal and cancerous lymph nodes strongly supports the use of dogs as an effective model for the study of malignancy. Other proteomic studies of cultured cancer cells and tissues also have frequently identified a relatively small set of differentially regulated proteins, which may reflect the unique set of factors (e.g., sample type and methods of protein separation and identification) involved in the studies (38, 39). Thus, additional proteomic analyses of canine tumors using other techniques are expected to provide the identification of additional interesting markers that are expected to be of value in both veterinary and human medicine.

This study represents an initial and necessary step needed to identify markers that are differentially expressed between normal and cancerous lymph nodes, which ultimately can be used for diagnosis, staging, and monitoring of therapy. The dog offered experimental advantages and should continue to be useful in identifying more markers. Nevertheless, validation of the identified protein markers by other techniques (e.g., ELISA-based methods) in larger numbers of samples will be needed. In addition, an examination of these markers in human lymphoma samples will be an important goal. In this way, these and other markers can be evaluated for their full potential for diagnosis compared with currently used methods of histotyping. There is the potential for these markers, once validated, to be routinely measured in both veterinary and human clinical settings.
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