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1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the parabolic system of equations not in divergence form with Cauchy conditions

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = v m_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x},
\]

(1)

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = u m_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right)^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}, \quad (t,x) \in Q,
\]

(2)

where $Q = \{ (t,x) : t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R} \}$, $p$, $m_1$, $m_2$ are the numerical parameters, $u = u(t,x) \geq 0$, $v = v(t,x) \geq 0$ are the solutions.

Not in divergence form equations and system of equations are often used to describe various physical phenomena, such as the diffusive process for biological species, the resistive diffusion phenomena in force-free magnetic fields, curve shortening flow, spreading of infectious disease and so on, see for [1-15]. The equations and system of equations not in divergence form, comparing with the classical divergence form equations are more close to the actual circumstances in some cases. For example, for the biological species, the diffusion of divergence form implies that the species is able to move to all locations within its environment with equal probability, but if we consider this problem with the objective conditions, the population density will affects the rate of diffusion, so a kind of 'biased' diffusion equation will be more realistic, for the non-divergence form diffusion. The diffusion rate is regulated by population density that is increasing for large populations and decreasing for small populations.

It is observed by many authors [1-15, 19-21] nonlinear equations of non- divergence form are a source of new nonlinear effects, such as finite speed of a perturbation of distribution, space localization, blow up, etc. In particular, nonlinear problems in the non-divergence form of the following form

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= u^p (\Delta u + au), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\
u(x,0) &= u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \\
u(x,t) &= 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \quad t > 0,
\end{align*}
\]

are discussed by several authors, see Friedman and Mcleod [1], Gage [2], Passo and Luckhaus [3], Wang [4] et al.

Let $\lambda_1$ be the first eigenvalue of $\Delta$ in $\Omega$ with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition. In paper [1], Friedman and Mcleod proved the existence of global solutions if $\lambda_1 > a$ and $p = 2$ in (3), and the solution has a blow-up property if $\lambda_1 < a$ and $p = 2$. In [2] Gage studied in more detail the solutions with the blow-up property for $p = 2$ and set the estimates for the blow-up solution. In [5] for the cases $\lambda_1 > a$ and $p > 2$ in problem (3), Zimmer studied the existence of global solutions. In paper [6], Chen studied the solution of problem (1) with the blow-up property
in the domain $\Omega$ for $\lambda_1 < a$, $1 < p < 2$ and large initial data. In [7], Wiegner considered problem (3) for the case $p > 1$ and proved that the solution of problem (3) has the blow-up property for $\lambda_1 < a$. In [4], Wang et al. studied problem (3) for $p > 1$. They obtained uniqueness conditions for the solution and proved that all positive solutions of problem (3) exist globally if and only if $\lambda_1 \geq a$. In paper [3], Passo and Luckhaus studied some properties of solutions of problem (3).

Later, some authors generalized the problem (3). In [8], Raimbekov investigated some properties of solutions of the Cauchy problem for a nonlinear degenerate parabolic equation in a non-divergence form with variable density $|x|^n \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = u^m \text{div} \left( |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \right)$. He studied a self-similar solution of the Zeldovich-Kompaneets-Barenblatt type, established the asymptotics of self-similar solutions in the case of fast and slow diffusion.

In [9], Zhou et al. investigated positive solutions of a doubly degenerate parabolic equation in a non-divergent form with a gradient term

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = u^m \text{div} \left( |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \right) + \lambda u^q + \gamma u^r |\nabla u|^p$$

with zero Dirichlet boundary conditions. They established the local existence of weak solutions of the problem, and then determined how the gradient term affects the behavior of the solutions.

Nonlinear systems of equations in a non-divergence form were discussed in the papers of Wang, Xie et al.

In [10] Wang and Xie considered for the system

$u_t = u^p \Delta u + av_t = u^q (\Delta v + bu)$

with null Dirichlet boundary conditions and positive initial conditions, where $p, q, a$ and $b$ are all positive constants. The authors proved that: (i) when $\min \{a, b\} \leq \lambda_1$, where $\lambda_1$ is the first eigenvalue of $\Delta$ in $\Omega$ with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition, then there exists global positive classical solution, and all positive classical solutions cannot blow up in finite time in the meaning of maximum norm; (ii) when $\min \{a, b\} > \lambda_1$, there is no global positive classical solutions.

In [11], Wang studied for the system

$u_t = u^p (\Delta u + av), \quad v_t = u^q (\Delta v + bu)$

with null Dirichlet boundary conditions and positive initial conditions, where $p, q, a$ and $b$ are all positive constants. He proved that all positive classical solutions of problem exist globally, if and only if $\lambda_1^2 \geq ab$.

In [12] H. Lu deals with positive solutions of some degenerate and quasilinear parabolic systems not in divergence form

$$u_{it} = f_i (u_{i+1}) (\Delta u_i + a_i u_j), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1,$$

$$u_{Ht} = f_n (u_n) (\Delta u_n + a_n u_n), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0.$$

On some restrictions to the functions $f_i (u_{i+1}), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$, he proved the local existence and uniqueness of classical solution. Moreover, it was proven that: if $\min \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} \leq \lambda_1$, there exists global positive classical solution, and all positive classical solutions cannot blow up in finite time in the meaning of maximum norm. Also, in this reference it is shown that if $\min \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} > \lambda_1$, and the initial datum $(u_{10}, \ldots, u_{n0})$ satisfies to some assumptions, then the positive classical solution is unique and blows up in finite time.

Later, in [19-21], the authors studied the Cauchy problem for the system

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = v^m \text{div} \left( |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \right) + |\nabla u|^{\beta_1},$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = u^p \text{div} \left( |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \right) + |\nabla v|^{\beta_2}.$$

They studied the properties of self-similar solutions of the system in a two-component medium with variable density and source, in particular, solutions of Zeldovich-Kompaneets-Barenblatt type for cross-diffusion systems of a non-divergent type were constructed, and slow and fast diffusion cases were investigated.

Finite speed perturbation of distribution (FSPD) property and asymptotic behavior of self-similar solutions for divergence systems are considered in [15-18].

The system (1) is degenerate. Therefore it does not have classical solution in the domain $Q$ at $u = 0, v = 0, \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} = 0$, or $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} = 0$. In this case we consider weak solution with assumptions $u(t, x) \geq 0, \quad v(t, x) \geq 0$ and we introduce the following definitions of solution (see [8, 10, 11, 13, 14]).

**Definition 1** (weak solution). A nonnegative functions $u(t, x), \quad v(t, x)$ defined in $Q$ are called a weak solution of the Cauchy problem (1)-(2) with $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} |^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} \in C(Q)$, $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} |^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} \in C(Q)$, if $u(t, x) \geq 0, \quad v(t, x) \geq 0, \quad \lim_{t \to 0} \int_Q u(t, x) \eta(x) \, dx = \int_Q u(t_0, x) \eta(x) \, dx$ for any $\eta(x) \in C^1_0(Q)$ and $(u, v)$ satisfies the system (1) in the sense of the integral identity.

**Definition 2** (compactly supported solution). A solution $u(t, x), \quad v(t, x)$ of system (1) at each $t \in (0, +\infty)$ is finite with respect to $x$, if the following conditions $u(t, x) = 0,$
\( v(t, x) = 0, \quad x \geq l(t) \) and \( u(t, x) > 0, \quad v(t, x) > 0 \) at \( x \leq l(t), \ t \in (0, +\infty) \) valid.

**Definition 3** (vanishing-at-infinity solution). A solution \( u(t, x), v(t, x) \) of system (1) determined on the domain \( Q \) is called vanishing-at-infinity if \( u(t, x) \to 0, \ v(t, x) \to 0 \) for \( t \to +\infty \).

In this paper we study asymptotic behavior of self-similar solutions for a degenerate parabolic system not in divergence form (1) for slow and the fast diffusion cases. And based on comparison method the property FSPD of the Cauchy problem for a cross-diffusion parabolic system not in divergence form is established. On the basis of this asymptotic of solutions, suitable initial approximations are offered in the iterative process for the slow and fast diffusion cases, depending on the values of the numeric parameters.

### 2. The Self-similar System of Equations

Here we provide a method of nonlinear splitting [14] for construction of self-similar system of equations. We look for the solutions \( u(t, x), v(t, x) \) in the form

\[
\begin{align*}
u(t, x) &= (T + t)^{-\alpha_1} w(\tau(t), x), \\
v(t, x) &= (T + t)^{-\alpha_2} \psi(\tau(t), x),
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\tau(t) = \begin{cases} (T + t)^\sigma, & \text{at } \sigma > 0, \\
ln(T + t), & \text{at } \sigma = 0, \\
-(T + t)^\sigma, & \text{at } \sigma < 0,
\end{cases}
\]

\( \sigma = 1 - \alpha_1 (p - 2) - \alpha_2 m_1, \ T > 0. \)

It is easy to check that for unknown functions \( w, \psi \) we obtain the following system of equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial w}{\partial \tau} &= \psi^{-1} m_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \left( \frac{p - 2}{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) \right] + b_1 \tau^{-1} w, \\
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} &= w^{-1} m_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \left( \frac{p - 2}{2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right) \right] + b_2 \tau^{-1} \psi,
\end{align*}
\]

if \( \alpha_1 (p - 2) + \alpha_2 m_1 = \alpha_2 (p - 2) + \alpha_1 m_2, \ 1 - \alpha_1 (p - 2) - \alpha_2 m_1 \neq 0, \)

where \( b_i = \frac{\alpha_i}{1 - \alpha_i (p - 2) - \alpha_2 m_1}, \ i = 1, 2 \) and in the case \( 1 - \alpha_1 (p - 2) - \alpha_2 m_1 = 0 \) we have a system

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial w}{\partial \tau} &= \psi^{-1} m_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \left( \frac{p - 2}{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) \right] + \alpha_1 w, \\
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} &= w^{-1} m_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \left( \frac{p - 2}{2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right) \right] + \alpha_2 \psi.
\end{align*}
\]

Put in (5) and (6)

\[
w(\tau, r) = f(\xi), \ \psi(\tau, r) = \phi(\xi),
\]

\[
\xi = \begin{cases} x \tau^{-1/p}, & \text{at } 1 - \alpha_1 (p - 2) - \alpha_2 m_1 \neq 0, \\
ct - x, & \text{at } 1 - \alpha_1 (p - 2) - \alpha_2 m_1 = 0,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \xi \) is the self-similar variable.

If \( 1 - \alpha_1 (p - 2) - \alpha_2 m_1 > 0 \) we obtain the self-similar system of equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi^{-1} m_1 \frac{d}{d\xi} \left[ \frac{df}{d\xi} \left( \frac{p - 2}{2} \frac{df}{d\xi} \right) \right] + \frac{\xi}{p} \frac{df}{d\xi} + b_1 f &= 0, \\
f^{-1} m_2 \frac{d}{d\xi} \left[ \frac{df}{d\xi} \left( \frac{p - 2}{2} \frac{df}{d\xi} \right) \right] + \frac{\xi}{p} \frac{df}{d\xi} + b_2 f &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

And in the case \( \alpha_i = \frac{p - 2 - m_i}{(p - 2)^2 - m_1 m_2}, \ i = 1, 2 \) we have a self-similar system

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi^{-1} m_1 \frac{d}{d\xi} \left[ \frac{df}{d\xi} \left( \frac{p - 2}{2} \frac{df}{d\xi} \right) \right] - \frac{c}{p} \frac{df}{d\xi} + \alpha_1 f &= 0, \\
f^{-1} m_2 \frac{d}{d\xi} \left[ \frac{df}{d\xi} \left( \frac{p - 2}{2} \frac{df}{d\xi} \right) \right] - \frac{c}{p} \frac{df}{d\xi} + \alpha_2 f &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

It is easy to check that following functions

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi^{-1} m_1 \frac{d}{d\xi} \left[ \frac{df}{d\xi} \left( \frac{p - 2}{2} \frac{df}{d\xi} \right) \right] + \frac{\xi}{p} \frac{df}{d\xi} + b_1 f &= 0, \\
f^{-1} m_2 \frac{d}{d\xi} \left[ \frac{df}{d\xi} \left( \frac{p - 2}{2} \frac{df}{d\xi} \right) \right] + \frac{\xi}{p} \frac{df}{d\xi} + b_2 f &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

In accordance with the statement of the original problem we will consider nontrivial, nonnegative solutions of the system equations (8)-(9) satisfying the following conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
u(t, x) &= (T + t)^{-\alpha_1} f(\xi), \ v(t, x) = (T + t)^{-\alpha_2} \phi(\xi), \\
\tau(t) &= (T + t)^{1 - \alpha_1 (p - 2) - \alpha_2 m_1}, \ 1 - \alpha_1 (p - 2) - \alpha_2 m_1 > 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Zeldovich-Kompaneets-Barenblatt type solution of the system (1) if numbers \( \alpha_i, \ i = 1, 2 \) satisfy the conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_1 (p - 2) + \alpha_2 m_1 &= \alpha_2 (p - 2) + \alpha_1 m_2, \\
\frac{\alpha_i}{1 - \alpha_i (p - 2) - \alpha_2 m_1} &= \frac{1}{p} \ i = 1, 2.
\end{align*}
\]

In accordance with the statement of the original problem we will consider nontrivial, nonnegative solutions of the system equations (8)-(9) satisfying the following conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
f(0) &= M_1, \ \phi(0) = M_2, \ M_1^{p - 2 - m_1} = M_2^{p - 2 - m_2}, \\
f(d_1) &= \phi(d_2) = 0, \ 0 < d_1 < \infty, \ 0 < d_2 < \infty.
\end{align*}
\]

### 3. Slow Diffusion (Case \( p - 2 > m \))

\( m = \max \{ m_1, m_2 \} \)
Applying the method of comparison of solutions [13] and method of standard equations [14] for solving the problem (8), (10) we obtain the estimates for the solution of the problem (1)-(2).

It should be noted, that the functions

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{f}(\xi) = & A \left( a - \xi \right)^{\gamma_1} \text{ at } 1 - \alpha_1 (p - 2) - \alpha_2 m_1 \neq 0, \\
& M_1 \exp(-\xi), \text{ at } 1 - \alpha_1 (p - 2) - \alpha_2 m_1 = 0, \\
\bar{\phi}(\xi) = & B \left( a - \xi \right)^{\gamma_2} \text{ at } 1 - \alpha_1 (p - 2) - \alpha_2 m_1 \neq 0, \\
& M_2 \exp(-\xi), \text{ at } 1 - \alpha_1 (p - 2) - \alpha_2 m_1 = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\gamma = \frac{p}{p - 1}, \quad \gamma_1 = \frac{(p - 1)(p - 2 - m_1)}{p - 2 - m_2}, \quad i = 1, 2.
\]

\[A_{\gamma_1} = M_1, \quad B_{\gamma_2} = M_2 \text{ at } \xi < a^{(p - 1)/p} \text{ satisfy the conditions (10).}
\]

**Theorem 1.** Let \( p - 2 > m, \quad m = \max \{m_1, m_2\}, \quad -\frac{1}{p} + b_1 \leq 0, \quad A p^{-2} B m_1 \gamma (\gamma_1 - m_1 \gamma_2) |\gamma_1| p^{-2} = \frac{1}{p}, \quad B p^{-2} A m_2 \gamma (\gamma_2 - m_2 \gamma_1) |\gamma_2| p^{-2} = \frac{1}{p}, \quad u_+(0, x) \geq u_0(x), \quad v_+(0, x) \geq v_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

Then the classical solution \((u, v)\) of the problem (1)-(2) exists globally and it satisfies estimation

\[
\begin{align*}
u(t, x) & \leq u_+(t, x) = (T + t)^{-\alpha_1} \bar{f}(\xi), \\
v(t, x) & \leq v_+(t, x) = (T + t)^{-\alpha_2} \bar{\phi}(\xi),
\end{align*}
\]

in \(Q\).

**Proof:** Theorem 1 is proved by comparing solution methods. As comparable functions we take the functions \(u_+(t, x), v_+(t, x)\) defined by (12). Then, according (8) and (9) we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
u(t, x) & \leq u_+(t, x) = \left( -\frac{1}{p} + b_1 \right) \bar{f}(\xi), \\
v(t, x) & \leq v_+(t, x) = \left( -\frac{1}{p} + b_2 \right) \bar{\phi}(\xi).
\end{align*}
\]

From these expressions, we find, that for execution, the inequalities \(L u_+ \leq 0, \quad L v_+ \leq 0\) are enough to fulfill the conditions \(-\frac{1}{p} + b_i \leq 0, \quad i = 1, 2\). From the stated condition in the theorem, the inequalities are satisfied. Then, by the comparison theorem of solutions there is a global solution in \(Q\) for the problem (1)-(2) with the following estimates

\[
u_+(t, x) \geq u(t, x), \quad v_+(t, x) \geq v(t, x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

The proof of the theorem 1 is completed. Thus, we obtained FSPD property of the solutions of the Cauchy problem (1), (2).

**4. The Asymptotic Behavior of Self-similar Solutions of the Problem (8), (9), (11)**

We introduce the notations:

\[b_{\|i} = p^{-p} (\gamma_i - 1)^{\frac{1}{p - 1}} |\gamma_i|^{-\frac{1}{p - 2}}, \quad b_{2i} = c a (p - 1)^{-1} \left| \alpha_i \right|^{-\frac{1}{p - 2}}, \quad i = 1, 2.
\]

Assume \(\alpha_1 (p - 2) + \alpha_2 m_1 = \alpha_2 (p - 2) + \alpha_1 m_2\). Then the following theorems are valid.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( 1 - \alpha_1 (p - 2) - \alpha_2 m_1 \neq 0, \quad m_i < 1, i = 1, 2\). Then compactly supported solution of the problem (8), (11) has an asymptotic

\[
f(\xi) = c_1 \left( a - \xi p^{-1} \right)^{\gamma_1}, \quad \phi(\xi) = c_2 \left( a - \xi p^{-1} \right)^{\gamma_2},
\]

at \(\xi \to a\), where the coefficients \(c_i, i = 1, 2\) are solution of the systems of nonlinear algebraic equations

\[
c_i^{p - 2} m_i = b_{\|i}, \quad i = 1, 2.
\]

**Theorem 3.** Let \( 1 - \alpha_1 (p - 2) - \alpha_2 m_1 = 0, \quad m_i > 1, i = 1, 2\). Then compactly supported solution of the problem (9), (11) at \(\xi \to a\) has the asymptotic form (14), where the coefficients \(c_i, i = 1, 2\) are solution of the systems of nonlinear algebraic equations

\[
c_i^{p - 2} m_i c_{3 - i} = b_{2i}, \quad i = 1, 2.
\]

**Proof:** We transform the system (8)-(9) into the relatively easy form. For such auxiliary systems of equations we apply the following transformations
\[ f(\xi) = \overline{f}(\xi) y_1(\eta), \quad \phi(\xi) = \overline{\phi}(\xi) y_2(\eta), \]
\[ \eta = -\ln\left(\frac{a - \xi^{p/(p-1)}}{p}\right), \]  
(15)

where \( \overline{T}(\xi) = c_1 \left(\frac{p}{a - \xi^{p-1}}\right)^{\gamma_1} \), \( \overline{\phi}(\xi) = c_2 \left(\frac{p}{a - \xi^{p-1}}\right)^{\gamma_2} \),

\( y_1(\eta), y_2(\eta) \) are unknown functions.

Now let us investigate the asymptotic behavior of solutions of the systems (8), (9) at \( \xi \to a^{(p-1)/p} \).

After the transformation (15) the systems (8), (9) will take the following form

\[ y_{3-i} \frac{d}{d\eta} \left( L y_i + a_{i1}(\eta) y_{3-i} + a_{i3}(\eta) y_i \right) + a_{i2}(\eta) \left( \frac{dy_i}{d\eta} + a_{i0}(\eta) y_i \right) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2. \]  
(16)

Here \( L y_i = \frac{dy_i}{d\eta} + a_{i0}(\eta) y_i \).

\[ a_{i0}(\eta) = -\frac{(p-1)(p-2 - m_i)}{(p-2)^2 - m_i m_2}, \]
\[ a_{i1}(\eta) = (p-1) \left( \frac{e^{-\eta}}{p - a^{-\eta}} \right)^{\gamma_i + 1}, \]
\[ a_{i2}(\eta) = \begin{cases} \frac{(p-1)^{p-1}}{p^p}, & \text{at } 1 - \alpha_i (p-2) - \alpha_2 m_1 \neq 0, \\ -c \frac{(p-1)^{p-1}}{(p-2)^2 - m_i m_2}, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \]
\[ a_{i3}(\eta) = \begin{cases} \frac{p^{p-1}}{p}, & \text{at } 1 - \alpha_i (p-2) - \alpha_2 m_1 \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{at } 1 - \alpha_i (p-2) - \alpha_2 m_1 = 0. \end{cases} \]

\( i = 1, 2. \)

There was supposed that \( \xi \in [\xi_0, \xi_1) \), \( 0 < \xi_0 < \xi_1. \)

Therefore, the function \( \eta(\xi) \) has the properties: \( \eta(\xi) > 0 \)
at \( \xi \in [\xi_0, \xi_1) \), \( \eta(0) = \eta(\xi_0) > 0, \lim_{\xi \to \xi_1^-} \eta(\xi) = +\infty. \)

It follows from self-similar system of equations (16) at the following limitations

\[ \lim_{\eta \to +\infty} a_{ij}(\eta) = a_{ij}^0 \quad (i = 1, 2; \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3) \]

are exist, and finite and nonzero, that is \( 0 < a_{ij}^0 < +\infty. \)

Further, we consider the solutions of the system (16), in a certain neighborhood \( +\infty \) satisfies the inequalities

\( y_i(\eta) > 0, \quad y_i + a_{i0}(\eta) y_i \neq 0 \quad (i = 1, 2). \)

Assuming in the system (16)

\[ v_i(\eta) = \frac{dy_i}{d\eta} + a_{i0}(\eta) y_i \left( \frac{dy_i}{d\eta} + a_{i0}(\eta) y_i \right)^{p-2} \]  
(17)

we obtain the identity

\[ v_i(\eta) - a_{i1}(\eta) v_i(\eta) - a_{i2}(\eta) \left( \frac{v_i(\eta)}{v_{3-i}(\eta)} \right)^{p-1} - a_{i3}(\eta) y_i \left( \frac{v_i(\eta)}{v_{3-i}(\eta)} \right)^{p-1} = \frac{1}{y_i \left( \frac{v_i(\eta)}{v_{3-i}(\eta)} \right)^{p-1}}, \quad (i = 1, 2). \]

Consider now the functions

\[ g_i(\lambda_i, \eta) = -a_{i1}(\eta) \lambda_i - a_{i2}(\eta) \lambda_i \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \right)^{p-1} y_i \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \right)^{p-1} = -a_{i3}(\eta) y_i \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \right)^{p-1}, \]

where \( \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (i = 1, 2). \)

Suppose first \( 1 - \alpha_i (p-2) - \alpha_2 m_1 \neq 0. \)

Then \( \lim_{\eta \to +\infty} a_{i2}(\eta) = (p-1)^{p-1}. \)

and the functions \( g_i(\lambda_i, \eta) \) \( (i=1,2) \) preserves sign on some interval \( [\eta_i, +\infty) \subset (\eta_0, +\infty) \) for every fixed value \( \lambda_i \) \( (i=1,2). \)

Now let \( 1 - \alpha_i (p-2) - \alpha_2 m_1 = 0. \) It is easy to see that

\[ \lim_{\eta \to +\infty} a_{i1}(\eta) = (p-1)(1 - \gamma_i), \quad \lim_{\eta \to +\infty} a_{i3}(\eta) = 0, \quad (i = 1, 2). \]

the functions \( g_i(\lambda_i, \eta) \) \( (i=1,2) \) for every fixed value \( \lambda_i \) \( (i=1,2) \) preserves sign on some interval \( [\eta_i, +\infty) \subset [\eta_0, +\infty) \).

So, the functions \( g_i(\lambda_i, \eta) \) for all \( \eta \in [\eta_i, +\infty) \) \( (i=1,2) \) satisfies one of the inequalities

\[ g_i(\lambda_i, \eta) > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad g_i(\lambda_i, \eta) < 0 \quad (i = 1, 2). \]  
(20)

Suppose now that for the functions \( v_i(\eta) \) \( (i=1,2) \) limit at \( \eta \to +\infty \) does not exist. Consider the case when one of the
inequalities (20) is satisfied. As \( v_i(\eta) (i=1,2) \) are oscillating functions around \( \bar{v}_i = \lambda_i (i=1,2) \) its graph intersects this straight line infinitely many times in \([\eta_i, +\infty)\), \(i=1,2\). But this is impossible, since in the interval \([\eta_i, +\infty)\), \(i=1,2\) just one of the inequalities (20) are valid and therefore, from (19) it follows that graph of the function \( v_i(\eta) (i=1,2) \) intersects the straight line \( \bar{v}_i = \lambda_i (i=1,2) \) only once in the interval \([\eta_i, +\infty)\), \(i=1,2\). Accordingly, the function \( v_i(\eta) (i=1,2) \) has a limit at \( \eta \to +\infty \).

By assumption the functions \( v_i(\eta) (i=1,2) \) defined in (17), has a limit at \( \eta \to +\infty \). Then \( y'_i(\eta) (i=1,2) \) has a limit at \( \eta \to +\infty \), and this limit is zero. Then

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^2 i}{d \eta^2} + a_{i0}(\eta) y_i - \left(a_{i0}(\eta)y_i \right)^{p-2} \left(\frac{d^2 i}{d \eta^2} + a_{i0}(\eta)y_i\right) &= 0, \quad i = 1, 2.
\end{align*}
\]

From here it can be easily verified that the limit \( a_{i1}(\eta) (i=1,2) \),

\[
\lim_{\eta \to +\infty} \left(a_{i1}(\eta)v_i(\eta) - a_{i2}(\eta)y_i \right)^{p-1} (\eta)y_i^{1-m_i}
\]

at \( x \to a \), \( p \to 0 \), where constants \( c_1, c_2, p, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \) defined above.

**Corollary 2.** A weak solution of the problem (1)-(2) has the following asymptotics

\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{\eta \to +\infty} a_{i1}(\eta) &= (p-1)(1-\gamma_i), \\
\lim_{\eta \to +\infty} a_{i2}(\eta) &= \frac{(p-1)^{p-1}}{p^p}, \quad \lim_{\eta \to +\infty} a_{i3}(\eta) = 0, \quad (i=1,2)
\end{align*}
\]

if \( 1-\alpha_1(p-2) - \alpha_2 m_1 \neq 0 \) and \( \gamma_i(\eta) = c_i + \Theta(1) \) as \( \eta \to +\infty \), where \( c_i (i=1,2) \) are solution of the system of nonlinear algebraic equations \( c_i^{p-2} c_{3-i}^{m_i} = b_{i1}, \quad i = 1, 2 \) and when \( 1-\alpha_1(p-2) - \alpha_2 m_1 = 0 \) the coefficients \( c_i, i=1,2 \) are solution of the systems of nonlinear algebraic equations

\[
c_i^{p-2} c_{3-i}^{m_i} = b_{2i}, \quad i = 1, 2.
\]

Consequently, according transformations (15), the compactly supported solution of the problem (8), (9), (11) has an asymptotic of the form (14) at \( \xi \to a \).

The theorems are proved.
\[ -\frac{1}{p} + b_i \geq 0, \quad \gamma (y_i - m_i y_{3-i}) y_i^{p-2} = \frac{1}{p}, \quad i = 1, 2. \]

\[ u_0 (x) \geq u_-(0, x), \quad v_0 (x) \geq v_-(0, x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \]

Then the classical solution \( (u, v) \) of the problem (1)-(2) exists globally and it satisfies estimation

\[ u(t,x) \geq u_-(t,x), \quad v(t,x) \geq v_-(t,x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \]

where

\[ u_-(t,x) = (T+t)^{-\alpha_1} f(x), \quad v_-(t,x) = (T+t)^{-\alpha_2} \phi(x). \]

The proof of the Theorem 4 is similar to the proof of the Theorem 1.

We introduce the notations

\[ b_{13} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \left( \frac{y_i}{1-p} - b_i \right), \quad b_{14} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \left( \frac{y_i}{p-1} + b_i \right)^{-1}, \quad i = 1, 2. \]

**Theorem 5.** Let \( 1-\alpha_1 (p-2) - \alpha_2 m_1 > 0 \). Then vanishing at infinity solution of the problem (8), (22) has an asymptotic

\[ f(x) = c_3 \left( a + \xi^{p-1} \right)^{\gamma_1}, \quad \phi(x) = c_4 \left( a + \xi^{p-1} \right)^{\gamma_2}, \]

at \( \xi \to \infty \), where the coefficients \( c_i \) (i=3,4) are solution of systems of the nonlinear algebraic equations

\[ c_3^{p-2-m_1} = b_{13} b_{14}, \quad c_4^{p-2-m_2} = b_{23} b_{24}. \]

The proof of the Theorem 5 is similar to the proof of the Theorem 2.

**Corollary 3.** A weak solution of the problem (1)-(2) has an asymptotic the following form

\[ u_A(t,x) = c_1 (T+t)^{-\alpha_1} \left( a + (x^{1/p} + b_i)^{p-1} \right)^{\gamma_1} \times \left(1 + o(1)\right), \]

\[ v_A(t,x) = c_2 (T+t)^{-\alpha_2} \left( a + (x^{1/p} + b_i)^{p-1} \right)^{\gamma_2} \times \left(1 + o(1)\right), \]

at \( x^{-1/p} \to +\infty \), where constants \( c_1, c_2, b_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \) defined above.

### 6. The Numerical Scheme

In the domain \( \mathcal{Q} = \{ (t,x) : 0 \leq t \leq T, \ 0 \leq x \leq b \} \) we construct a uniform grid \( \Omega_h \) for \( x \) in steps of \( h \):

\[ \Omega_h = \{ x_i = ih, \ h > 0, \ i = 0, 1, \ldots, n, \ hn = b \}, \]

and a temporary grid

\[ \Omega_{\kappa} = \{ t_j = j\kappa, \ \kappa > 0, \ j = 0, 1, \ldots, m, \ km = T \}. \]

Let us replace problem (1) - (2), by using the balance method, implicit difference scheme [22-24] and obtain the difference problem with an error \( O(h^2 + \kappa) \):

\[ \begin{aligned}
    y_{j+1}^{i} - y_{i}^{j} &\equiv c_1 \left( z_{j}^{i} \right) \frac{1}{h^2} \left[ a_{i+1} \left( y_{j+1}^{i} + y_{j+1}^{i+1} \right) - a_{i} \left( y_{j}^{i} + y_{j}^{i+1} \right) \right] - c_2 \left( z_{i}^{j} \right) \frac{1}{h^2} a_i \left( z_{j}^{i} + z_{j}^{i+1} \right) - c_3 \left( v_{j}^{i} \right) \frac{1}{h^2} a_i \left( v_{j}^{i} + v_{j}^{i+1} \right); \\
    z_{j+1}^{i} - z_{i}^{j} &\equiv c_4 \left( z_{j}^{i} \right) \frac{1}{h^2} \left[ a_{i+1} \left( z_{j+1}^{i} + z_{j+1}^{i+1} \right) - a_{i} \left( z_{j}^{i} + z_{j}^{i+1} \right) \right] - c_5 \left( v_{j}^{i} \right) \frac{1}{h^2} a_i \left( v_{j}^{i} + v_{j}^{i+1} \right),
\end{aligned} \]

\[ (24) \]

For calculating \( a(y), a(z), c(y), c(z) \), \( \varphi(t) \) following formulas are used

\[ c_i(y) = \left( y_{j}^{i} \right)^{m_2}, \quad a_i(z) = \left( z_{j}^{i} \right)^{m_1}, \]

\[ a_i(y) = \frac{y_{j+1}^{i} - y_{j}^{i+1}}{2}, \quad a_i(z) = \frac{z_{j+1}^{i} - z_{j}^{i+1}}{2}, \]
\[ \varphi_1(t_j) = c_1 \alpha_1 (T + t_j)^{-\alpha_1}, \]
\[ \varphi_2(t_j) = c_2 \alpha_2 (T + t_j)^{-\alpha_2}, \]
\[ \varphi_1(t_j) = c_1 \{T + t_j\}^{-\alpha_1} \left[ a - \left( b r^{-1/p} \right) \right] \gamma_1^{\alpha_1}, \]
\[ \varphi_2(t_j) = c_2 \{T + t_j\}^{-\alpha_2} \left[ a - \left( b r^{-1/p} \right) \right] \gamma_2^{\alpha_2}. \]

The system of algebraic equations (24) is nonlinear relative to \( y_{j+1}, z_{j+1} \).

To solve the system of nonlinear equations apply iterative methods and obtained:

\[ r_{1+1} - y_{i+1} = c_y \left( \frac{r_{1+1}}{y_{i+1}} - \frac{r_{1+1}}{y_{i+1}} \right) - \frac{r_{1+1} - y_{i+1}}{y_{i+1}} \]
\[ z_{i+1} - z_{i+1} = c_z \left( \frac{z_{i+1}}{y_{i+1}} - \frac{z_{i+1}}{y_{i+1}} \right) - \frac{z_{i+1} - z_{i+1}}{y_{i+1}}. \]

(25)

where \( r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \).

Relatively \( r_{1+1}, r_{1+1} \) the difference scheme (25) is linear. As an initial iteration for \( y_{i}, z_{i} \) is taken from the previous time step: \( y_{i+1} = y_{i}, z_{i+1} = z_{i} \). With the score on the iterative scheme are set accuracy of iteration and process continues for as long while the condition

\[ \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |y_{i+1} - y_{i}| < \varepsilon, \quad \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |z_{i+1} - z_{i}| < \varepsilon \]

is not satisfied.

In (25) we introduce the notation

\[ \varphi_{11}(t_j) = \frac{\alpha_1}{\gamma_1^{\alpha_1}}, \quad \varphi_{21}(t_j) = \frac{\alpha_2}{\gamma_2^{\alpha_2}}, \quad \varphi_{12}(t_j) = \frac{\alpha_1}{\gamma_1^{\alpha_1}}, \quad \varphi_{22}(t_j) = \frac{\alpha_2}{\gamma_2^{\alpha_2}}, \]

where

\[ \alpha_1 = \frac{A_{1i}}{C_{ii} - A_{1i} - B_{ii}}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{A_{2i}}{C_{2i} - A_{2i} - B_{2i}}. \]
\[ \beta_{1i} = \frac{B_{1i}a_{1,i-1}b_{1,i-1} + F_{1i}}{A_{1i}}, \]
\[ \beta_{2i} = \frac{B_{2i}a_{2,i-1}b_{2,i-1} + F_{2i}}{A_{2i}}, \]
\[ \alpha_{11} = \frac{A_{11}}{C_{11}}, \quad \beta_{11} = \frac{B_{11}a_{11} + F_{11}}{A_{11}}, \]
\[ \alpha_{21} = \frac{A_{21}}{C_{21}}, \quad \beta_{21} = \frac{B_{21}a_{21} + F_{21}}{A_{21}}. \]

The values \( \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{21}, \beta_{11}, \beta_{21} \) can be found from (27) and (26) at \( i = 1 \):
\[ \begin{align*}
\alpha_{11} &= \frac{A_{11}}{C_{11}}, \quad \beta_{11} = \frac{B_{11}a_{11} + F_{11}}{A_{11}}, \\
\alpha_{21} &= \frac{A_{21}}{C_{21}}, \quad \beta_{21} = \frac{B_{21}a_{21} + F_{21}}{A_{21}}.
\end{align*} \]

The solution of system (24) is determined by the recursive formula (27). The values \( y_{n}^{j+1}, z_{n}^{j+1} \) we obtain from the boundary condition (24)
\[ \begin{align*}
y_{n}^{j+1} &= \phi_{12}, \quad z_{n}^{j+1} = \phi_{22}, \\
y_{n-1}^{j+1} &= \alpha_{11,n-1}(\beta_{11,n-1} + y_{n}^{j+1}), \\
z_{n-1}^{j+1} &= \alpha_{21,n-1}(\beta_{21,n-1} + z_{n}^{j+1}), \\
\vdots
\end{align*} \]
\[ \begin{align*}
y_{i}^{j+1} &= \alpha_{ii}(\beta_{ii} + y_{i}^{j+1}), \\
z_{i}^{j+1} &= \alpha_{2i}(\beta_{2i} + z_{i}^{j+1}), \\
\vdots
\end{align*} \]

To apply the tridiagonal matrix algorithm sufficient to require that the coefficients (26) satisfy the conditions
\[ \begin{align*}
A_{ii} \neq 0, \quad B_{ii} \neq 0, \quad |C_{ii}| > |A_{ii}| + |B_{ii}|, \\
A_{2i} \neq 0, \quad B_{2i} \neq 0, \quad |C_{2i}| > |A_{2i}| + |B_{2i}|, \quad i = 1, n.
\end{align*} \]

For the iterative scheme (26) tridiagonal matrix algorithm is stable and gives the unique solution.

7. Numerical Analysis of Solutions

It is important to choose a proper initial approximation that preserves its nonlinearity properties. On the basis of the above qualitative studies, we produced numerical calculations.

Since we have an asymptotic solution (21) and (23), we can use the numerical data of the asymptotic solution for the initial approximation.

The numerical results show quick convergence of the iterative process to the solution of the Cauchy problem (1)-(2), due to the successful choice of the initial approximation. Below, some numerical experiment results for different numerical parameter values are presented.

Programs for the numerical solution of nonlinear systems not in divergence form developed in MATLAB. Programs are compact. By the user is entered the necessary numerical parameters. At the end of the file automatically displays the calculated results in the form of matrices and graphic. In the same place by running animation can trace the evolution of the process in time.

Let us give some results of numerical experiments. Grid step is small enough \( h = 0.05 \), the number of nodes \( N = 1000 \) and the iteration accuracy is defined \( \varepsilon = 10^{-3} \). The score was conducted until \( t = 2 \) with a step \( \kappa = 0.02 \).

The initial approximation was taken in the form:
\[ u_0(x) = \bar{u}(0)f(\xi), \quad v_0(x) = \bar{v}(0)\phi(\xi), \]
where
\[ f(\xi) = c_1 \left( \frac{a - \xi^p - 1}{p} \right)^{\gamma_1}, \]
\[ \phi(\xi) = c_2 \left( \frac{a - \xi^p - 1}{p} \right)^{\gamma_2}. \]
\[ \bar{u}(0) = T^{-\alpha_1}, \quad \bar{v}(0) = T^{-\alpha_2}, \quad \xi = x[\tau(0)]^{-1/p}, \]
\[ \tau(0) = \frac{1 - \alpha_1(p - 2) - \alpha_2 m_1}{1 - \alpha_1(p - 2) - \alpha_2 m_1}, \quad \gamma_1 = \frac{(p - 1)(p - 2 - m_1)}{(p - 2)^2 - m_2^2}, \quad i = 1, 2. \]

Figure 1. Slow diffusion. Case \( \alpha_1 < 1, \alpha_2 < 1, p > 2. \)
Finite Speed of the Perturbation Distribution and Asymptotic Behavior of the Solutions of a Parabolic System not in Divergence Form

Figure 2. Fast diffusion. Case \( \alpha_1 > 1, \alpha_2 > 1, p < 2 \).

Results of the numerical experiments and graphs show that the self-similar solutions are very appropriate approximations. Fig.1 shows a compactly supported solution of the problem (1)-(2). In Fig.2 show the properties of the solution of the problem (1)-(2), vanishing at infinity.

Number of iterations is not exceeding of 6 for different values of numerical parameters.

8. Conclusions

The property a FSPD of the Cauchy problem for a cross diffusion parabolic system not in divergence form based on comparison method is considered. An asymptotic behavior of self-similar solutions for slow and fast diffusion cases are established. It is shown that coefficients of main terms of asymptotic of solution satisfy to some system of nonlinear algebraic equation.

Results of computational experiments show that the self-similar solutions are very appropriate approximation. And the iterative method based on the Picard’s method is effective for the solution of nonlinear problems and retains the nonlinear effects, if we will use as an initial approximation the solutions of self-similar equations, constructed by the method of nonlinear splitting and by the method of standard equation [14-21].
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