Identification of *Leishmania donovani* Topoisomerase 1 inhibitors via intuitive scaffold hopping and bioisosteric modification of known Top 1 inhibitors
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A library of arylidenefuropyridinediones was discovered as potent inhibitors of *Leishmania donovani* Topoisomerase 1 (LdTop1) where the active molecules displayed considerable inhibition with single digit micromolar EC\(_{50}\) values. This molecular library was designed via intuitive scaffold hopping and bioisosteric modification of known topoisomerase 1 inhibitors such as camptothecin, edotecarin and etc. The design was rationalized by molecular docking analysis of the compound prototype with human topoisomerase 1 (HTop1) and *Leishmania donovani* topoisomerase 1 (LdTop1). The most active compound 4 displayed no cytotoxicity against normal mammalian COS7 cell line (~100 fold less inhibition at the EC\(_{50}\)). Similar to camptothecin, 4 interacted with free LdTop1 as observed in the preincubation DNA relaxation inhibition experiment. It also displayed anti-protozoal activity against *Leishmania donovani* promastigote. Crystal structure investigation of 4 and its molecular modelling with LdTop1 revealed putative binding sites in the enzyme that could be harnessed to generate molecules with better potency.

Human visceral leishmania, caused by *Leishmania Donovani* is one of the gruesome diseases causing fatalities to nearly 30% of global population\(^1\). It primarily affects the spleen and liver\(^2\). Presently, treatment of leishmaniasis relies on expensive chemotherapeutic agents such as pentamidine, amphotericin B and teratogenic miltefosine\(^3\)–\(^5\). Hence impromptu drug therapy for Leishmania infection is indeed desirable and need of the hour. In a bid to discover new molecular entities against Leishmania recent research has been focused on DNA topoisomerases, a class of enzymes that modulates DNA replication, transcription and recombination\(^6\)–\(^10\). Broadly, topoisomerases are classified as type 1 (Top1) and type 2 (Top 2). The classification depends on their ability to cleave the single or double strands of DNA\(^11\).

Scaffold hopping is a strategy to design architecturally novel compounds by remodeling the central core of known active molecules\(^12\). Resulting compounds possess chemically different core structure and yet exhibit improved modulation of the same biological target. Scaffold hopping is the focus of attention of traditional and modern day drug discovery and requires intuitive and computational techniques for its execution. For example novel non-benzodiazepine GABA-receptor ligands such as Zopiclone, Zolpidem and Zaleplon were discovered...
way back in 1950, by scaffold hopping of benzodiazepine core13. Another interesting set of examples are of dopamine agonists such as Fenoldopam or Quinpirole which were discovered by scaffold hopping of natural ligands14,15. Antiinflammatory COX inhibitors such as Lumiracoxib, Sulindac, Celecoxib and rofecoxib with diverse scaffolds were obtained from scaffold hopping of indomethacin16,17.

Bioisosteric modification is a med-chem strategy for rational design of new drugs via replacement of chemical functionalities of a bioactive molecule with moieties that possess similar physical or chemical properties to evoke better biological responses. Many drug attributes such as improvement of selectivity, metabolic stability, reduction of side effects and etc. can be modulated with appropriate bioisosterism. For example fluorine replacing hydrogen as a bioistere has a widespread application in drug discovery 18. Other than providing metabolic stability it also influences lipophilicity of the resulting molecule. Carboxylic acid bioisosteres such as oxadiazoles, oxazole, tetrazoles and etc. provide enhancement of potency and increase of lipophilicity19. In another example bioisosteric replacement of amide with trifluoroethylamine in Cathepsin K inhibitors provided improvement in potency, selectivity and metabolic stability20.

Herein we report discovery of a novel, selective noncamptothecin inhibitors of LdTop1, based on arylidene-furopyridinedione scaffold via intuitive scaffold hopping and bioisosteric modification of known Top1 inhibitors such as Camptothecin, Edotecarin, Diflomotecan and Rosettacin. The design was rationalized by molecular modeling of the new scaffold with both Ld and HTop1. A library was synthesized based on the designed scaffold and enzymatic profiling of the library revealed that the compounds inhibit LdTop1 in a similar manner as Camptothecin. Hirschfield Surface Analysis of the most active compound 4 and its molecular modelling with LdTop1 revealed potential binding pockets of the enzyme.

Results and Discussion

Design and molecular docking. To begin with the design of novel inhibitors of topoisomerase 1 (human or Leishmania donovani), we scrutinized the structures of existing topoisomerase inhibitors such as camptothecin derivatives, E-ring modified camptothecin derivatives and non-camptothecin molecules (Fig. 1a)21–23. Careful analysis of the structures in Fig. 1a revealed the presence of four common motifs, the phthalimide (A), indolizinone (B), pyridopyranones (C), and pyridooxepinones (D) (Fig. 1b) within the structural framework of the molecules. Next, these four motifs (A–D) were utilized to generate E by scaffold hopping (Fig. 1b). Subsequent bioisosteric modification of E afforded furopyridinedione derivative 13 as the library scaffold. The aryl moiety provides option for further diversification if required at a later stage (Fig. 1b).

To rationalize our design, 13 was docked with LdTop1. The experiment revealed several binding interactions such as intercalation at the DNA cleavage site, five hydrogen bonding with residues Arg190, Gln454 and Tgp11 and nonbonding interactions with Asn221, D110 and Dg12 (Fig. 2a) (refer SI for details of the
docking experiment involving protein preparation). With HTop1 there were lesser H-bonding interactions (3 vs 5) (Fig. 2b). Consequently 13-LdTop1 complex (−8.07 kcal/mole) was ~0.4 kcal/mole more stable than 13-HTop1 complex (−7.70 kcal/mole). This was comparable to the binding interactions of camptothecin and edotecarin with both LdTop1 and HTop1 (refer SI).

Next, in a bid to understand the physicochemical indications rudimentary to the design we computed few relevant medicinal chemistry descriptors of 13 along with CPT, DFT, EDT and RST (Table 1). From the information it was revealed that 13, CPT, RST and DFT adhere to the Lipinski rules and that the physicochemical properties of 13 resides well within the range of these but EDT (Table 1). With very high molecular weight (MW), hydrogen bond donor and acceptor (HBD and HBA) ability, total polar surface area (TPSA) and rotatable bond, EDT stands apart from the rest. Interestingly compound 13 has the lowest Log P (Table 1) which indicated better membrane absorption and binding ability to the enzyme but poor aqueous solubility among all the molecules. Low log Sb of compound 13 (almost at par with CPT [−3.64 vs −3.4]) indicated that substituting 13 with polar functionalities may improve the overall solubility. Finally 13 had the best oral bioavailability amongst all (Table 1).

**Chemistry.** Based on these docking results, we decided to generate a library based on 13 using combinatorial synthesis. Accordingly Knoevenagel condensation of furopyridinedione with appropriate aromatic and heteroaromatic aldehydes was explored with different solvents such as ethanol, methanol, isopropanol, n-butanol, acetonitrile and tetrahydrofuran. The reaction in ethanol happened to be most efficient where the product precipitates at the end of the reaction and could be isolated and purified by filtration and washing with ethanol without the need for chromatographic separation.

With the optimized protocol in place, next the Knoevenagel reaction was conducted in parallel in custom made metallic reaction blocks containing pre-tared reaction vials with 1 mM solution of furopyridinedione at 80 °C (Fig. 3). As expected the product precipitated on completion of the reaction. The supernatant ethanol was removed and the precipitate was washed thrice with ethanol and the solvent was subsequently removed. The whole operation was executed in parallel using a Tecan Evo Freedom liquid handling system containing robotics arms. The vials were dried in a genevac and weighed to determine the amount. An assay plate was generated with the samples at 50 μM concentration in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), for in vitro screening. The average yield of the compounds ranged from 54–96%. The compounds were characterized by 1H and 13C nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR) and high resolution mass spectroscopy (HRMS). The proton NMR unveiled the

| Cpd       | LogP | MW   | HBD | HBA | TPSA | No. of Rotatable Bonds | Solubility (LogSb) [mmol/l] | Oral Bioavailability |
|-----------|------|------|-----|-----|------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| 13        | 1.43 ± 0.44 | 253.25 | 1   | 4   | 55.4 | 1                      | −3.64                      | % F(Oral) > 30%: 0.913 % F(Oral) > 70%: 0.839 |
| CPT       | 1.6 ± 0.65   | 348.35 | 1   | 6   | 79.73 | 1                       | −3.4                       | % F(Oral) > 30%: 0.698 % F(Oral) > 70%: 0.187 |
| DFT       | 2.04         | 398.36 | 1   | 6   | 79.73 | 1                       | −5.29                      | % F(Oral) > 30%: 0.637 % F(Oral) > 70%: 0.187 |
| EDT       | 1.92 ± 1.43  | 590.58 | 8   | 13  | 200.74 | 7                       | −4.15                      | % F(Oral) > 30%: 0.033 % F(Oral) > 70%: 0.008 |
| RST       | 3.11         | 284.31 | 0   | 3   | 33.2  | 0                       | −5.3                       | % F(Oral) > 30%: 0.811 % F(Oral) > 70%: 0.379 |

Table 1. Predicted physicochemical properties of 13, CPT, EDT, RST and DFT.
characteristic amide proton of the pyridone as broad singlet at ~12 ppm. A sharp singlet at ~6.85 ppm attributed to the alkenyl group present in the compounds.

**In vitro screening and structure activity relationship (SAR).** To investigate the inhibitory effect of our library compounds on the DNA relaxation activity of the recombinant Human and Leishmania topoisomerase 1 (Table 2), assays were performed involving supercoiled plasmid DNA, the enzymes (HTop1 and LdTop1) and our molecules. The enzymes were purified by the standard literature procedure. The inhibitory activities of our molecules against HTop1 and LdTop1 (EC50) were compared to that of the standard top1 inhibitor camptothecin and the results are shown in Table 2. Several molecules (1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 14, and 15) showed considerable activity against LdTop1. However none of the molecules from the library exhibited inhibition against the HTop1, rendering them selective towards LdTop1. This is interesting when compared to the original molecules such as Camptothecin, Diflomotecan, Edotecarin and Rosettacin, which were used to design this scaffold. Camptothecin a Top1 poison has comparable inhibitory effect on both LdTop1 and HTop1. Nevertheless the other three compounds which are clinical candidates against various cancers are selective HTop1 inhibitors. This is noteworthy because our molecules inspired from majorly selective HTop1 inhibitors displayed particular binding to LdTop1 over HTop1 during in vitro screening (Table 2), which was predicted during the molecular docking (Fig. 2) exercise.

The structure activity relationship study demonstrated the importance of the substituted aromatic moiety towards the LdTop1 inhibitory activity (Fig. 4a). In general the heteroaromatic (5 and 7), naphthalene (11) and aliphatic (16) derivatives, exhibited no inhibitory activity at all. Among the monosubstituted aromatic analogs the electron withdrawing o-trifluoromethyl, 3 (EC50 ~5 μM) and electron donating m-bromo, 9 (EC50 ~7.5 μM) substituents on the ring favors the inhibitory activity. Other meta- substituted compounds such as chloro and iodo analogs (14 and 15) also exhibited inhibitory activities of 17.42 ± 1.86 and 35.57 ± 2.42 μM, respectively. All the para substituted analogs rendered inactive. Among the di-substituted compounds, the 3,4-dihydroxyphenyl derivative 4 exhibited the best potency among all the analogs with an EC50 of 4 μM. Interestingly, protecting the dihydroxy functionality as in 6 or installing electron withdrawing groups on the same position as in 8 led to the complete loss of activity. The 2,5-dimethyl analog, 1 was moderately active with an EC50 of 23 μM, whereas the 2,3-dimethoxy analog 12 was inactive. The only tri-substituted derivative 2 was decently active with EC50 of 10 μM. Apart from the importance of the pyridone moiety, the present study unveils two distinct SAR (Fig. 4) trend: (a) may be polar protic functionalities capable for H-bond formation at C3 and C4 positions of the aromatic ring is beneficial for the activity of the molecules and (b) strong electron donating functionality at meta position of the aromatic ring augments the inhibitory activity.

Additionally we performed pharmacophore analysis of our library. Accordingly pharmacophore queries (3D arrangement of molecular features) were devised from both active and inactive compounds of our library against LdTOP1 such that all or most of active compounds satisfied the queries (Fig. 4b). The analysis was done using pharmacophore elucidator module of MOE™. All the library compounds were sketched and energy minimized using MOE™. The overlap score of 6.6636 indicated that major active molecules (7) were aligned in the design. The best query on the basis of overlap score
| Compound ID | R          | LTop1 inhibition (EC₅₀ in μM) | HTop1 inhibition (EC₅₀ in μM) |
|-------------|------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1           |            | 23.82 ± 1.13                 | >100                         |
| 2           | OMe        | 10.15 ± 0.99                 | >100                         |
| 3           |            | 9.06 ± 1.77                  | >100                         |
| 4           | OH         | 3.51 ± 0.33                  | >100                         |
| 5           |            | >100                         | >100                         |
| 6           |            | >100                         | >100                         |
| 7           |            | >100                         | >100                         |
| 8           |            | >100                         | >100                         |
| 9           | Br         | 7.51 ± 1.02                  | >100                         |
| 10          | Br         | >100                         | >100                         |
| 11          |            | >100                         | >100                         |
| 12          | C          | >100                         | >100                         |
| 13          |            | >100                         | >100                         |
| 14          | C          | 35.57 ± 2.42                 | >100                         |
| 15          | I          | 17.42 ± 1.86                 | >100                         |
| 16          |            | >100                         | >100                         |
| 17          |            | >100                         | >100                         |
| 18          |            | >100                         | >100                         |
| 19          | OMe        | >100                         | >100                         |
| 20          | Br         | >100                         | >100                         |
| 21          | Cl         | >100                         | >100                         |
| 22          | Camptothecin | 0.7 ± 0.11                  | 2.42 ± 1.47               |

Table 2. *In vitro* screening of furopyridinedione compounds against Human Top1 (HTop1) and Leishmania Top1 (LdTop1) in plasmid DNA relaxation experiment*. *Data are averages of three individual experiments.*
(alignment score) divulged 2 aromatic/pi ring centers (Aro|PiR) at the arylidene and furanone moiety, one hydrophobic centroid (Hyd) at the pyridone domain and two hydrogen-bond acceptor projection (Acc2):2) that are strategic and could be harnessed for further diversification (Fig. 4b).

**Studies with compound 4.** In a bid to justify highest in vitro activity of 4, it was further docked with LdTop1 enzyme (Fig. 5b). The experiment revealed that at the best pose, 4 and LdTOP1-DNA complex intercalates at the DNA cleavage site (Fig. 2, SI). The key binding sites in 4 as depicted in Fig. 5a are the pyridine nitrogen, the amide carbonyl and the two aromatic hydroxy functionalities. They form hydrogen bonding interactions with Arg190, Asn221 and DA113 and nonbonding interactions with Thr217, DT10, TGP11, DC112 residues of the LdTop1 enzyme (Fig. 5a). These were comparable to the interactions of camptothecin and LdTop1 (Fig. 5b).

Further to comprehend the interactive nature of 4 a detailed three-dimensional structural investigation was carried out. The X-ray crystal structure determination revealed that compound 4 has crystallized along with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO); the solvent used for the crystallization. The molecule is in planar geometry and its m-hydroxy group forming strong O-H…O (1.824 Å) and O-H…S (2.900 Å) hydrogen bonds with the Oxygen and Sulfur atoms of DMSO, respectively, as depicted in Fig. 6.

The molecular packing diagram in the crystal lattice of 4 as shown in Fig. 7 reveals the presence of dimer motifs forming via N-H…O hydrogen bonds (2.054 Å) between the furopyridine moieties. The dimers are noticed at the corner and middle of the unit cell. The O-H…O hydrogen bonds forms between the hydroxyl groups of 4 and the Oxygen atom of DMSO molecules are also evident from the packing diagram.

The possible interactions in 4 were further visualized by performing Hirshfeld surface analysis, which highlights the contact points of a molecule with its neighboring molecules in a crystal. The dimer formed via strong N-H…O hydrogen bonds and the strong O-H…O bonds formed with DMSO molecule are highlighted as large dark red circular surfaces (Fig. 8). Other weaker interactions (C-H…O) are also highlighted as small red
circular surfaces. The interactions such as C-H...π, H...H and the non-bonded interactions are highlighted as fainted red surfaces. The hydrogen bonding interactions and the non-bonding interactions as discovered from molecular docking are in correspondence with this qualitative analysis.

To interrogate whether compound 4 interacts with the enzyme, it was preincubated with LdTop1 at different concentration for 5 minutes at 37 °C before the addition of substrate DNA (Fig. 9a). The inhibitory effect of 4 in preincubation condition was further compared with its inhibition when incubated simultaneously with LdTop1 and super coiled DNA in the relaxation experiment (Fig. 9b). Nearly 100% inhibition was observed at 20 μM of 4 under preincubation compared to ~92% inhibition under simultaneous condition. And the EC_{50} values for simultaneous and preincubation assays were 3.77 ± 0.27 and 1.72 ± 0.23 μM respectively. From this results we conclude that the inhibition profile was marginally improved by preincubation of enzyme with 4.

Next, compound 4 was screened against extracellular promastigotes. The results (depicted in Table 3), revealed that it inhibited the proliferation of Leishmania donovani with an IC_{50} of 4.21 ± 0.21 μM. Standard leishmanial drug, miltefosine was used as a positive control and exhibited IC_{50} of ~1 μM. It was subsequently tested for its cytotoxicity against healthy COS7 mammalian cell lines and exhibited toxicity at a concentration nearly 100 times its IC_{50} values (Table 3).

![Figure 6. Ellipsoid plot of 4, co-crystallized with DMSO. Ellipsoids of non-H atoms are drawn at 50% probability level. The color codes of different atom types are shown in the figure.](image)

![Figure 7. Molecular packing diagram of 4, viewed down the b-axis, showing the hydrogen bonding networks in the structure.](image)
Conclusion

In this manuscript we reported the design of a series of furopyridinedione derivatives as LdTop1 inhibitors via intuitive scaffold hopping and bioisosteric modification of known top1 inhibitors. The design was rationalized by...
molecular docking of the representative molecule 13 with LdTop1 and HTop1. The compounds were accessed by an efficient parallel synthetic strategy. In vitro evaluation of the compounds against LdTop1 and HTop1 unveiled selective LdTop1 inhibition where six compounds exhibited activity with EC50 in the range of 1–30 μM. The most active compound 4, interacted with free LdTop1 as observed in the preincubation DNA relaxation inhibition experiment (Fig. 8B). The active compounds showed minimal toxicity when screened against mammalian COS-7 cells. Pharmaphore modelling, X-ray crystallographic investigation of 4 and molecular docking studies of 4/LdTop1-1DNA ternary complex recommended the following structural attributes necessary to attend optimum LdTop1 inhibitory activity for our molecules: (i) functionalized pyridinedione as the central scaffold; (ii) polar protic functionality at the C4 and C6 and/or (iii) an electron donating substituent at C3 position of the benzene ring attached to the pyridinedione moiety.

### Methods

**Chemistry.** All reactions were carried out in flame-dried sealed tubes with magnetic stirring. Unless otherwise noted, all experiments were performed under argon atmosphere. All reagents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Acros or Alfa Aesar. Solvents were treated with 4 Å molecular sieves or sodium and distilled prior to use. Purifications of reaction products were carried out by column chromatography using Chem Lab silica gel (230–400 mesh). Infrared spectra (IR) were recorded on a Thermoscientific Nicolet 6700 FTIR spectrophotometer and are reported as wavelength numbers (cm⁻¹). Infrared spectra were recorded by preparing a KBr pellet containing the title compound. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard at ambient temperature unless otherwise indicated on a Varian 300/400 and JEOL JNM-ECX500 MHz at 500 MHz for ¹H NMR and 100 MHz for ¹³C NMR. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) and coupling constants are reported as Hertz (Hz). Splitting patterns are designated as singlet (s), broad singlet (bs), doublet (d), triplet (t). Splitting patterns that could not be interpreted or easily visualized are designated as multiple (m). The Mass Spectrometry analysis was done on the 6540 UHD Accurate-Mass Q-TOF LC/MS system (Agilent Technologies) equipped with Agilent 1290 LC system obtained by the Dept. of Chemistry, School of Natural Sciences, Shiv Nadar University, Uttar Pradesh 203207, India.

**Plasmid relaxation assay.** The type I DNA topoisomerase are assayed by decreased mobility of the relaxed isomers of supercoiled pBS (SK⁺) [pBlue-script (SK⁺)] DNA in agarose gel. The relaxation assay was carried out as described previously with LdTop1B and HTop I, diluted in the relaxation buffer (25 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 5% glycerol, 0.5 mM DTT, 10 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, 25 mM EDTA and 150 mg/ml BSA) and supercoiled plasmid pBS (SK⁺) DNA (85–95% were negatively supercoiled, with remainder being nicked circles)⁹,²⁸,²⁹. The recombinant enzyme LdTop1B was assayed at 50 mM KCl concentration as described before ³⁰. For all kinetic studies, the reaction mixtures containing the buffer and DNA were heated to 37 °C before addition of the enzymes. The reactions were rapidly quenched using stop solution and kept on ice. The gels were stained with ethidium bromide (EtBr) (0.5 mg/ml) and the amount of supercoiled monomer DNA band fluorescence were quantified by integration using Gel Doc 2000 under UV illumination (Bio-Rad Quantity One Software), as described previously ³⁰.

| Compound # | Anti-promastigote activity IC₅₀ (μM)* | Cytotoxicity CC₅₀ on mammalian COS-7 cell lineb | Selectivity Index (SI)c |
|------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 4          | 4.21 ± 0.21                              | 390.58 ± 3.15                                 | −100                   |

Table 3. **In vitro anti-leishmanial activity of our compounds against extracellular promastigotes.** IC₅₀ values are average of two independent screenings expressed as an average ± standard error. CC₅₀ on COS-7 cells. *Selectivity index is: CC₅₀ against COS7/IC₅₀ against promastigote. **ND: Not determined.

**Purification and reconstitution of two subunits of *Leishmania donovani* Topoisomerase I:** The full-length large subunit gene (LdTOP1L) and the small subunit gene (LdTOP1S). *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) pLysS cells harbouring pET16bLdTOP1L and pET16bLdTOP1S, were separately induced at
OD<sub>500</sub> = 0.6 with 0.5 mM IPTG (isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside) at 22 °C for 12 has described previously. Cells harvested from 1 litre of culture were separately lysed by lysozyme/sonation, and the proteins were purified through Ni-NTA (Ni<sup>2+</sup>-nitrilotriacetate-agarose column (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) followed by a phospho-cellulose column (P11 cellulose; Whatman, Maidstone Kent, UK) as described previously. Finally, the purified proteins LdTOP1 and LdTOP1S were stored at ~70 °C. The concentrations of each protein were quantified by using Bradford Reagent (PIERCE, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Rockford, USA). Purified LdTOP1 was mixed with purified LdTOP1S at a molar ratio of 1:1 to a total protein concentration of 0.5 mg/ml in reconstitution buffer [50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, 0.5 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM PMSF and 10% (v/v) glycerol]. The mixture was dialyzed overnight at 4 °C and dialyzed fractions were used for plasmid relaxation activity.

**MTT Assay.**  *

L. donovani AG83 promastigotes (3.0 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml) are incubated with different concentrations of drugs/compounds under study (1, 2, 5, 10 and 20 μM) for 12 h, following which the survival percentage is estimated by MTT assay. Yellow MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide, a tetrazole) is reduced to purple formazan in the mitochondria of living cells. The formazan is then solubilized, and the concentration is determined by optical density at 570 nm. Metabolically active cells convert MTT to formazan, and displayed 0.00 rmsd value when compared to the structure minimized using MMFF94x force field.

**Docking Studies with Human TOP1.**  
Methodology. Molecular Modeling. Crystal structure of Human TOP1 (PDB ID: 1T8I) is downloaded from PDB. This crystal structure is having various missing residues and side chains so these were added using structure preparation module of MOE software. Further energy was minimized using MOE energy minimization algorithm using Force Field MMFF94x. 3D protonation of the protein were carried in order to prepare protein for docking. A grid of 60 × 60 × 60 with 0.375 Å were constructed around the protein DNA complex area using Autogrid v 4.2 software. The 2D structure of compounds was built using MOE-builder tool and minimized using MMFF94x force field. Docking analysis were done using Autodock v 4.2 software in which top 10 docked conformation were taken using Genetic Algorithm. Each docking calculation consisted of 25 × 10<sup>6</sup> energy evaluations with 250 population size. A mutation rate of 0.02 and a crossover rate of 0.8 were used to generate new docking trials. The LdTOP1 structure was also minimized using AMBER force field and displayed 0.00 rmsd value when compared to the structure minimized using MMFF94x force field.

**Docking Studies with LdTOP1.**  
Methodology. Molecular Modeling. We selected crystal structure of LdTop1 (PDB ID: 2B9S) which is available on protein data bank for docking studies. The crystal structure is having protein large subunits (27-456 residues) and small subunits (221-262) covalent complex with a 22 bp DNA. The crystal structure had various missing residues and side chains which were added using structure preparation module of MOE software. 3D protonation of the proteins were executed in order to prepare them for docking. Water molecules were removed and hydrogen atoms were added and energy was minimized by MOE energy minimization module using MMFF94x force field.

Further we used the procedure described by Roy et al. in which the 22 DNA double strand is substituted with 22 DNA double strand present in the human Top1-DNA complex (PDB ID: 1K4T) by fitting the two molecules on the backbone atoms<sup>31</sup>. The substitution has done since the presence of a cleaved site in the 22 double strand present in the 1K4T X-ray structure that contains the topotecan molecule permits to investigate the ability of the compound 15 to interact with the LdTOP1LSDNA clevable complex.

A grid of 60 × 60 × 60 with 0.375 Å were constructed around the protein DNA complex area using Autogrid v 4.2 software. The 2D structure of compounds was built using MOE-builder tool and minimized using MMFF94x force field. Docking analysis were done using Autodock v 4.2 software in which top 10 docked conformation were taken using Genetic Algorithm. Each docking calculation consisted of 25 × 10<sup>6</sup> energy evaluations with 250 population size. A mutation rate of 0.02 and a crossover rate of 0.8 were used to generate new docking trials.

**X-Ray crystal analysis.**  
The compound 4 was crystallized by slow evaporation of its solution in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at room temperature, after seeding with its poor quality crystals grown in (N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP). Crystal structure of 4 was determined by measuring X-ray diffraction data on a D8 Venture Bruker AXS single crystal X-ray diffractometer equipped with CMOS PHOTON 100 detector having monochromatised microfocus sources (Mo-Kα = 0.71073 Å) at room temperature. The structure was solved using SHELEXA program implemented in APEX3<sup>12,23</sup>. The non-H atoms were located in successive difference Fourier syntheses and refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. All the hydrogen atoms were placed at the calculated positions and refined using a riding model with appropriate HFIX commands. The program Mercury was used for molecular packing analysis<sup>34</sup>. Hirshfeld surface analysis was performed using Crystal Explorer package<sup>35</sup>. The structural details can be found from the deposited CIF with CCDC numbers 1451904.

**Pharmacophore Modelling.**  
All the library compounds were sketched and energy minimized using MOE. A library database was constructed consisting of structures of compound 1–21 and EC<sub>50</sub> values in MOE. This database was given as an input to pharmacophore elucidator and pharmacophore queries were searched. The best query on the basis of overlap score (Alignment score) was chosen.
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