The glycine brace: a component of Rab, Rho, and Ran GTPases associated with hinge regions of guanine- and phosphate-binding loops
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Background: Ras-like GTPases function as on-off switches in intracellular signalling pathways and include the Rab, Rho/Rac, Ran, Arf, Sar and Gα families. How these families have evolutionarily diverged from each other at the sequence level provides clues to underlying mechanisms associated with their functional specialization.

Results: Bayesian analysis of divergent patterns within a multiple alignment of Ras-like GTPase sequences identifies a structural component, termed here the glycine brace, as the feature that most distinguishes Rab, Rho/Rac, Ran and (to some degree) Ras family GTPases from other Ras-like GTPases. The glycine brace consists of four residues: An aromatic residue that forms a stabilizing CH-π interaction with a conserved glycine at the start of the guanine-binding loop; a second aromatic residue, which is nearly always a tryptophan, that likewise forms stabilizing CH-π and NH-π interactions with a glycine at the start of the phosphate-binding P-loop; and two other residues (typically an aspartate and a serine or threonine) that, together with a conserved buried water molecule, form a network of interactions connecting the two aromatic residues.

Conclusion: It is proposed that the two glycine residues function as hinges and that the glycine brace influences guanine nucleotide binding and release by interacting with these hinges.
Ras-like GTPases are a subgroup of the phosphate-binding loop (P-loop) GTPases, which bind GTP via amino acid residues corresponding to several conserved motifs [6]. Two of these motifs are relevant to the analysis here: the Walker A \((G-x_3-G-K-[ST])\) motif [7], which corresponds to the P-loop [8], and a \([NT]-K-x-D\) motif, which occurs within the guanine-binding loop. The residues of the Walker A motif bind to the phosphate groups of GDP or GTP, whereas the residues of the guanine-binding motif bind to the guanine base and link it to the P-loop [9,10]. Here I identify a structural component, termed the glycine brace, that is specifically conserved in Rab, Ran and Rho/Rac GTPases and that spans these two guanine nucleotide binding regions (Figure 1A).

Results

Analysis of Ras-like GTPases

Using a ‘Bayesian partitioning with pattern selection’ (BPPS) procedure [11], a multiple alignment of 91,406 P-loop GTPase sequences (the most conserved features of which are shown in Figure 2A) was optimally partitioned into two major subgroups – Ras-like GTPases versus other P-loop GTPases – based on amino acid differences at evolutionarily-divergent residue positions. This identified about a dozen residue positions that are conserved in Ras-like GTPases but not in other P-loop GTPases and that thus presumably reflect characteristic features shared by these on-off switches. Figure 1B highlights one of these conserved residues that is relevant to the analysis here – namely a glycine or alanine immediately preceding the guanine-binding loop; the other residues will be described elsewhere (Neuwald, unpublished).

The alignment in Figure 1B, which is termed a ‘contrast alignment’, corresponds to the output produced by the BPPS procedure (although with only a short region relevant to this analysis shown here). As described in Figure 1C, a contrast alignment consists of two contrasting sub-alignments, one of which contains strikingly conserved patterns that are non-conserved in the other sub-alignment. Thus the highlighted glycine or alanine in Figure 1B is specifically conserved within Ras-like GTPases, implying that it performs a function specific to these proteins. The analysis here provides some clues regarding this function – at least for those Ras-like GTPases that typically conserve a glycine at this position.

Rab-related GTPases

Application of the BPPS procedure [11,12] to a sub-alignment consisting of Ras-like GTPases (an abridged version of which is shown in Figure 1B) resulted in a natural partitioning into two major Ras-like GTPase subgroups: Rab, Ran, Rac/Rho and certain Ras GTPases (the Rab-related subgroup) versus other Ras-like GTPases. The corresponding contrast alignment is shown in Figure 2B. The associated pattern corresponds to six conserved residue positions that can be split into two groups: (i) the two adjacent residue positions (a threonine and an alanine) located between the Walker B aspartate and the glycine at the start of the switch II region; and (ii) four residue positions that structurally correspond to the glycine brace (Figure 3). The alanine residue within the first group is proposed to play a role in nucleotide exchange by pushing out the Mg++ ion that coordinates with the guanine nucleotide phosphates [13].

The four pattern residues corresponding to the glycine brace include: (i) a conserved acidic or amidic residue ([DENQ]) immediately following the conserved glycine at the start of the P-loop (Asp19-Rab11A in Figures 2B and 3A); (ii) a tyrosine or phenylalanine ([YF]) (Tyr91-Rab11) within the β4-strand, which forms a β-sheet with the β-strand directly preceding the guanine-binding loop; (iii) a serine or threonine ([ST]) (Thr98-Rab11) within the α3-helix; and (iv) a tryptophan ([W]) (or, rarely, a tyrosine or phenylalanine) (Trp105-Rab11A) also within the α3-helix. Rab, Ran and Rho GTPases generally conserve all of these patterns, but members of the Ras family typically lack matches to the canonical pattern at one or two positions and thus appear to have undergone additional evolutionary divergence. Despite these divergent features, however, by and large the Ras-family is still classified by the BPPS procedure into the Rab-related subgroup.

The glycine brace

The analysis in Figure 2B indicates that the most distinctive feature of Rab-related GTPases is the glycine brace (Figure 3), which is structurally characterized by nearly a dozen conserved atomic interactions. One of these is a CH-π interaction [14] between the aromatic residue corresponding to the [FY] pattern (Phe91-Rab11A in Figure 3A) and the conserved glycine (Gly123-Rab11A in Figures 1B and 3A) within the adjacent parallel β-strand that immediately precedes the guanine-binding loop. The presence of glycine intrinsically destabilizes β sheets, but this sort of aromatic-glycine interaction has been proposed to counteract this effect [15]. Thus, within Rab-related GTPases, this CH-π interaction could stabilize the region directly preceding the guanine-binding loop, which conserves residues (Figure 2A) that bind both to the guanine base and to the P-loop (Figure 3).

Likewise, the tryptophan residue of the glycine brace (Trp105-Rab11A) often forms both a NH-π [16] and a CH-π interaction with main-chain atoms of a glycine that is located at the start of the P-loop (Gly18-Rab11A in Figures 2A and 3A) and that is highly conserved in P-loop GTPases (about 90% of the sequences in Figure 2A) and very highly conserved within glycine-brace GTPases (over 99% of the foreground sequences in Figure 2B).
Figure 1 (see legend on next page)
Two other glycine brace residues, an acidic or amidic residue (Asp19-Rab11A in Figures 2B and 3A) and a serine or threonine residue (T98-Rab11A), can participate in a network of hydrogen bonds linking the two aromatic residues associated with the P-loop and with the guanine-binding loop. A buried water molecule, which is conserved across nearly all Rab-related GTPase crystal structures, also participate in this interaction network (Figure 3). In contrast, other Ras-like GTPases (i.e., members of the Arf, Arl, Sar and Ga families) are characterized by a strikingly different network of interactions (unpublished observations).

**Non-glycine residues preceding the guanine-binding loop**

Ninety-five percent of the sequences classified in this analysis as glycine-brace-containing GTPases (Figure 2B) harbor a glycine residue immediately preceding the guanine-binding loop. Many of the remaining glycine brace GTPases (3.7%) harbor an alanine instead of a glycine at this position, whereas the rest (1.4%) harbor some other residue. These non-glycine variants still conserve the four-residue pattern associated with the glycine brace, and for variants of known structure the glycine brace phenylalanine or tyrosine still forms a CH-π interaction with the backbone α-carbon hydrogen atom just as for typical glycine brace GTPases. These include three alanine variants: human RhoB (pdb_id: 2fv8) (Structural Genomics Consortium) (SGC), mouse M-ras; (pdb_id: 1x1r) [17], and Rab5a from *Plasmodium falciparum* (pdb_id: 3evy) (SGC). This also includes one glutamine variant: mouse Rab23 (pdb_ids: 1z22, 1z2a) [18]. Often non-glycine substitutions at this position are conserved across an entire subfamily whose members span distinct phyla. For example, an alanine substitution is conserved across the Rab32 subfamily [19] whose members span at least eight phyla.

Thus such (relatively rare) substitutions appear to perform a functional role specific to these subfamilies.

**Discussion**

Because the glycine brace is the single structural feature that most distinguishes Rab-related GTPases from other Ras-like GTPases (Figure 2B), it presumably plays a critical functional role somehow related to the conserved atomic interactions described above. Given that the guanine-binding loop and the P-loop bind to both ends of GTP or GDP, the glycine brace could promote guanine nucleotide binding by stabilizing the conformations of these glycines, which could serve as hinges for opening and closing of these loops. Conversely, disruption of these aromatic-glycine interactions could promote the release of GDP during nucleotide exchange. It is worthwhile noting in this context that the most buried residue (164 2) of Ran GTPase upon binding to its nucleotide exchange factor, RCC1 [20], is a lysine that is located near the center of the glycine brace α helix (Lys99-Ran in Figure 3D). Moreover, in the Ran-RCC1 crystal structure this lysine is inserted into the central hole of RCC1’s β-propeller domain whereas the CH-π and NH-π interactions between the conserved tryptophan and the P-loop glycine are disrupted (compare Trp104-Ran in Figures 3C and 3D); taken together, this suggests a possible role for the glycine brace in nucleotide exchange within Ran GTPases.

What role might the non-glycine substitutions preceding the guanine-binding loop perform? To address this question, it should be noted that alanine is much more likely to occur as a substitute for glycine at this position than are other residues; this can be explained by the fact that both glycine and alanine promote structural flexibility [21-23]. However, as indicated by their Ramachandran plots, alanine is less flexible than glycine, suggesting that an
alanine substitution decreases somewhat the flexibility of the guanine-binding loop. Perhaps turning on these alanine-variant GTPase switches at inappropriate times is highly detrimental, and, as a result, nucleotide exchange is suppressed (relative to other GTPase switches) by having a less flexible guanine-binding loop. Similarly, a non-glycine, non-alanine substitution seems likely to decrease the flexibility of the guanine-binding loop more dramatically; in these cases, the participation of specific exchange factor interactions may be required for nucleotide release leading to even more stringent, pathway-specific regulation. Co-conservation of the glycine brace with the threonine and alanine of the Walker B (DTAG) motif (Figure 2) also is consistent with a role for the glycine brace in nucleotide exchange. Repositioning of the alanine is proposed to facilitate nucleotide exchange by occluding the Mg++ binding site, leading to expulsion of the phosphate-associated Mg++ ion [13]. Co-conservation of the glycine brace with this alanine thus suggests the possibility that all six of the Rab-related residues highlighted in Figure 2B somehow function as a unit to regulate nucleotide binding and release.
Conclusion

It is proposed that the two glycine residues, one preceding the guanine-binding loop and another preceding the P-loop, function as hinges and that the glycine brace influences guanine nucleotide binding or release by interacting with these hinges. This has obvious implications regarding the regulation of Rab-related GTPase switches via guanine nucleotide exchange. Of course, the precise manner in which the glycine brace might play a role in nucleotide exchange remains to be determined.

Methods

P loop GTPases sequences were identified within the NCBI nr, env_nr and translated EST databases using PSI-BLAST [24] and motif-based [25] search procedures. These sequences were multiply aligned using a variety of methods, including: manual curation of PSI-BLAST checkpoint files in conjunction with the PSI-BLAST alignment algorithm, MUSCLE [26,27], Bayesian sequence alignment methods [25,28,29], and the CE structurally-based alignment method [30]. Manual curation was performed in conjunction with structural analysis of sequence patterns using the CHAIN program [12]. Aligned sequences were partitioned into functionally divergent subgroups using a Bayesian partitioning with pattern selection (BPPS) procedure [11]; this identified both the Ras-like (Figure 1B) and Rab-related (Figure 2B) subgroups. The BPPS procedure is implemented within the CHAIN program [12]; for a review of CHAIN analysis see [31]. The Reduce program [32] was used to add hydrogen atoms to structural coordinate files. Molecular images were created by applying the Rasmol program [33] to the following

Figure 3

The glycine brace within crystal structures of representative Rab-related GTPases. Color scheme: backbone of the P-loop region, dark red; backbone of the glycine brace region, dark yellow; backbone of the guanine-binding loop region, green; side chains of residues characteristic of P-loop GTPases, magenta; side chains of residues characteristic of the Rab-related GTPases, yellow. (A) The Rab-family GTPase Rab11A bound to a GTP analog (GTPγS) (pdb_id: 1oiw) [35]. (B) The Rho/Rac family GTPase Rac1 bound to a GTP analog (pdb_id: 1i4t) [36]. (C) Ran GTPase bound to GDP (pdb_id: 1byu) [37]. (D) Ran GTPase bound to its exchange factor RCC1 (pdb_id: 1i2m) [20].
structural coordinate files (pdb identifiers): 1g17[34], 1ow1[35], 1d1t[36], 1bnu[37], and 1i2m[20].
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