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Abstract: In this paper, we give a generalization of the author's previous result about real rootedness of clique polynomials of connected $K_4$-free chordal graphs to the class of 2-connected $K_5$-free chordal graphs. The main idea is based on the graph-theoretical interpretation of the second derivative of clique polynomials. Finally, we conclude the paper with several interesting open questions and conjectures.
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1. Introduction

Polynomials with only real roots are appeared in many branches of theoretical and applied mathematical sciences. In combinatorics, having all roots real property is equivalent to being log-concave and unimodal using Newton’s well-known theorem [3]. Indeed if $A = \{a_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ is a combinatorial sequence and it’s generating function $p_A(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k x^k$ has only real roots, then the sequence $A' = \left\{ \frac{a_k}{k!} \right\}_{k \geq 0}$ is log-concave. This immediately implies that $A$ is also log-concave. Moreover, if $A$ positive and log-concave then $A$ is also unimodal.

A complete subgraph of a graph $G$ with $i$ vertices is called an $i$-clique. The (ordinary) generating function of $i$-cliques of $G$ denoted by $C(G,x)$ is called the clique polynomial of $G$ [4]. A real root of $C(G,x)$ is called a clique root of $G$.

In [4] Hajiabolhassan and Mehrabadi proved that any simple graph $G$ has a clique root in $[-1, 0)$. They also showed that the class of triangle-free graphs has only clique roots. This immediately implies another algebraic proof of Mantel’s theorem [1].
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In [8], the author obtained a generalization of clique-rootedness of the class of triangle-free graphs. Indeed, he showed that an interesting subclass of chordal graphs has only clique roots. Indeed, using the idea of breadth-first search tree the author of this paper proved the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** The class of $K_4$-free connected chordal graphs has only clique roots. In particular, this class has always a clique root $-1$.

As a consequence of the above theorem, he also gave an algebraic proof of Turán’s graph theorem for $K_4$-free graphs.

It is not hard to show that the class of connected $K_5$-free chordal graphs has not always only clique roots. Therefore, characterizing those subclasses of connected $K_5$-free chordal graphs which have only clique roots is an interesting and challenging problem.

In this paper, we continue the above line of research by proving that the class of 2-connected $K_5$-free chordal graphs has only clique roots. Our main motivation for introducing a new class of graphs with only clique roots is to move forward in the direction of finding a new algebraic proof of Turán’s graph theorem. It seems that this idea can be more generalized to prove Turán-type extremal results.

The paper is organized, as follows. In section two, we quickly review the basics of clique polynomials. In particular, we introduce the graph-theoretical interpretations of the first and the second derivatives of clique polynomials. We also review the basic theory of chordal graphs. In section three, we state and prove the main result of our paper which asserts that the class of 2-connected $K_5$-free chordal graphs has only clique roots. Finally, we conclude the paper with several interesting open questions and conjectures.

### 2. Basic definitions and notations

We assume that our graphs are simple, finite and undirected. We also assume that they are connected, unless otherwise stated. For a given graph $G = (V,E)$ and an arbitrary finite set $S \subseteq V(G)$, the subgraph induced by $S$ denotes by $G[S]$. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, its open neighborhood $N(v)$ is defined as the set of vertices adjacent to $v$. A new graph obtained by deleting the vertex $v$ from $G$ is called the vertex-deleted subgraph of $G$ and is denoted by $G - v$. One can similarly define the edge-deleted subgraph $G - e$ of $G$. We also recall that the collection of all vertex-deleted subgraphs $\{G - v\}_{v \in V(G)}$ of $G$ is called a vertex-deck of $G$. The collection of edge-deleted subgraphs $\{G - e\}_{e \in E(G)}$ of $G$ is called the edge-deck of $G$.

#### 2.1. Clique polynomials

For a given graph $G$, the clique polynomial of $G$ denoted by $C(G,x)$ is defined as

$$C(G,x) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\omega(G)} c_i(G)x^i,$$

where $c_i(G)$ denotes the number of $i$-cliques of $G$ and $\omega(G)$ is the size of the largest clique in $G$.

Using a simple counting argument, one can obtain the following vertex-recurrence relation for clique polynomials.

**Lemma 2.1** (see [4]). Let $G$ be a graph and $v \in V(G)$ be a vertex of $G$. Then, we have

$$C(G,x) = C(G - v,x) + xc_i(G[N(v)],x).$$ (1)

We also have the following vertex-deck identity for the number of cliques. The proof is a straightforward double-counting argument.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $G = (V,E)$ be a graph on $n$ vertices. Then, we have

$$(n-i)c_i(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} c_i(G - v), \quad (i \geq 1).$$ (2)
In a similar way, one can also get the following edge-recurrence relation for clique polynomials. We will use the notation $N(e) = N(u) \cap N(v)$, for an edge $e = \{u, v\} \in E(G)$.

**Lemma 2.3** (see [4]). Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and $e \in E(G)$ be an edge of $G$. Then, we have

$$C(G, x) = C(G - e, x) + x^2 C(G[N(e)], x).$$  \hfill (3)

One can also similarly obtain the following edge-deck identity for the number of cliques.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph on $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. Then, we have

$$m - \left( \sum_{i \geq 2} c_i(G)x^i \right) = \sum_{e \in E(G)} c_i(G - e), \quad (i \geq 2).$$  \hfill (4)

The first derivative of subgraph-counting polynomials has been already studied in the literature [6]. Here, we have a graph-theoretical interpretation for the case of clique polynomials.

**Proposition 2.5.** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph, then we have

$$\frac{d}{dx}C(G, x) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} C(G[N(v)], x).$$

We can generalize the above formula as the following interesting formula. To the best of our knowledge, the formula is new.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph, then we have

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}C(G, x) = 2 \sum_{e \in E(G)} C(G[N(e)], x).$$

**Proof.** We first multiplying both sides of Equation (4) by $x^i$ and then summing over all $i$ $(i \geq 0)$, we get

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} \left( m - \left( \frac{i}{2} \right) \right) c_i(G)x^i = \sum_{i \geq 0} \sum_{e \in E} c_i(G - e)x^i,$$

or equivalently, by interchanging the summation order, we obtain

$$m \sum_{i \geq 0} c_i(G)x^i - x^2 \sum_{i \geq 2} \left( \frac{i}{2} \right) c_i(G)x^{i-2} = \sum_{e \in E} \left( \sum_{i \geq 0} c_i(G - e)x^i \right).$$  \hfill (5)

Next, by the definition of a clique polynomial of a graph, it’s second derivative is equal to

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}C(G, x) = 2 \sum_{i \geq 2} \left( \frac{i}{2} \right) c_i(G)x^{i-2}. $$  \hfill (6)

Therefore, considering relation (6) and the definition of a clique polynomial, we can rewrite equation (5) as follows

$$mC(G, x) - x^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}C(G, x) \right) = \sum_{e \in E} C(G - e, x).$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}C(G, x) = 2 \sum_{e \in E} \frac{C(G, x) - C(G - e, x)}{x^2}.$$  \hfill (7)

Finally, Equations (7) and (3) imply the desired result. \qed
2.2. Chordal graphs

In this subsection, we briefly review the basics of the class of chordal graphs. For more information about chordal graphs, one can refer to [7].

We first recall that a graph \( G \) is called a chordal graph if any induced cycle of \( G \) of length greater than three has a chord. By a chord, we simply mean an edge connecting two non-adjacent vertices of a cycle. We also need the following important definition from the paper [8].

For given graphs \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \), we say that a graph \( G \) arises from \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) by pasting along \( S \) if we have \( G_1 \cup G_2 = G \) and \( G_1 \cap G_2 = S \). We also use the notation \( G_1 \cup_S G_2 \) whenever \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) are pasted along \( S \).

It is worth to note that the above definition immediately implies that any chordal graph can be recursively constructed by successively pasting complete graphs along cliques. We also recall the following key result [8].

**Theorem 2.7.** Let \( G \) be a chordal graph defined as a pasting of the complete graphs \( \{G_i\}_{i=1}^r \) of sizes \( n_i \)'s, respectively. That is, \( G = G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \cdots \cup G_r \), where \( \{G_i\}_{i=1}^r \) are cliques of sizes \( l_j \)'s, respectively. Then, we have

\[
C(G, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} (x + 1)^{n_i} - \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} (x + 1)^{l_j}.
\]

The above theorem immediately implies the following interesting conclusion.

**Corollary 2.8.** Every \( r \)-connected chordal graph \( G \) has always a clique root \(-1\). The multiplicity of this root is equal to \( r \).

3. Main results

In this section, we give a generalization of the result of the paper [8], as follows. We also recall that a real root \( \alpha \) of a polynomial \( P_n(x) \) of degree \( n \) is called of multiplicity 2, if \( P_n(x) \) can be written as \( (x - \alpha)^2Q_n(x) \), where \( Q_{n-2}(x) \) is another polynomial of degree \( n - 2 \).

**Theorem 3.1.** The class of \( 2 \)-connected \( K_5 \)-free chordal graphs has only clique roots. Moreover, it has \( r = -1 \) as a clique root of multiplicity 2.

Here is the idea of the proof. We first prove that the quartic clique polynomial \( C(G, x) \) has a real root \( r = -1 \) of multiplicity 2. Therefore, we get \( C(G, x) = (1 + x)^2Q(G, x) \) in which \( Q(G, x) \) is a quadratic polynomial. Thus, we only need to prove that \( Q(G, x) \) has at least one real root. It is not hard to show that this is equivalent to prove that \( \frac{d^2}{dx^2}C(G, x) \) has at least a real root.

**Proof.** First of all we note that the last part of the result follows from Corollary 2.8, for \( r = 2 \). Next we note that since \( G \) is a \( K_5 \)-free chordal graph the induced graph \( G[N(e)] \), for each \( e \in E(G) \), is a collection of some trees or even isolated vertices. Hence, we get

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}C(G, x) = \sum_{e \in E} C(G[N_G(e)], x),
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} C(G[N_G(e_i)], x) + \sum_{j=1}^{k_2} C(G[N_G(e_j)], x) - (k_1 + k_2 - m),
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} (1 + x)(1 + l_i x) + \sum_{j=1}^{k_2} (1 + k_j x) - (k_1 + k_2 - m),
\]
where $k_1$ and $k_2$ denotes the number of trees (on $l_i > 1$ vertices) and isolated vertices, respectively. Here, $m$ denotes the number of edges. Thus, considering the fact that $k_j \geq 1$ and $k_1 + k_2 \geq m$, we finally obtain

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} C(G, -1) \leq 0.$$  

This completes the proof, considering the fact that $\frac{d^2}{dx^2} C(G, 0) = 1 > 0$ and intermediate value theorem.

Recall that a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of real numbers is called log-concave [2] if for every $i \geq 1$, we have $a_{i-1}a_{i+1} \leq a_i^2$. We recall the well-known Newton's theorem about the real-rootedness of polynomials.

**Lemma 3.2.** If $P_n(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_n x^n \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ is a real-rooted polynomial, then the sequence $\{a_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ is log-concave. Moreover, the following sequence

$$\frac{a_0}{\binom{n}{0}}, \frac{a_1}{\binom{n}{1}}, \cdots, \frac{a_n}{\binom{n}{n}},$$

is also log-concave.

A generalization of Turán's theorem is the following which is due to Zaykov [9].

**Theorem 3.3** (see [9]). For all integers $k \geq l \geq 0$, the maximum number of $l$-cliques in a graph on $n$ vertices and no $k$-cliques is $\binom{n}{l} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^l$.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1 which is a Zykov theorem for the class of 2-connected $K_5$-free graphs.

**Corollary 3.4.** For $0 \leq l \leq 4$, the number of $l$-cliques of the class of 2-connected $K_5$-free chordal graphs is $\binom{n}{l} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^l$.

**Proof.** Let $C(G, x) = 1 + c_1x + c_2x^2 + c_3x^3 + c_4x^4$ be the clique polynomial of a 2-connected $K_5$-free graphs. Then by Theorem 3.1, the clique polynomial $C(G, x)$ is real-rooted. Now, by Lemma 3.2, we immediately conclude the following inequalities

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq c_1 \leq \frac{c_2}{6} \leq \frac{c_3}{4} \leq \frac{c_4}{1}. \quad (9)$$

Now, the proof for the cases $l = 0, 1$ are obvious. For $l = 2$, from inequalities $\frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{c_1}{4} \leq \frac{c_2}{6}$, using log-concavity we immediately conclude that $c_2 \leq \frac{3n^2}{8}$. This is indeed the Turán’s graph theorem for $K_5$-free graphs. For $l = 3$, again inequalities $\frac{c_2}{6} \leq \frac{c_3}{4} \leq \frac{c_4}{1}$ (using log-concavity) imply $c_3 \leq \frac{n^3}{16}$. The case $l = 4$ is a little tricky. First of all, from inequalities $\frac{c_3}{4} \leq \frac{c_4}{4} \leq \frac{c_4}{1}$ by log-concavity, we obtain that $c_4 \frac{c_2}{6} \leq \frac{c_4}{4} \frac{c_2}{4}$. Now, by multiplying both sides to $\frac{c_2}{4}$ and considering the inequality $\frac{c_2}{4} \frac{c_2}{4} \leq \frac{c_2}{4} \frac{c_2}{4}$, we get $c_4 \frac{c_2}{6} \leq \frac{c_2}{4} \frac{c_2}{4}$. Finally, considering the two previous cases $l = 2, 3$ we obtain $\frac{c_2c_3}{6} \leq \frac{n^3}{16}$. Thus, the two last inequalities immediately imply $c_4 \leq \frac{n^4}{16}$.

4. **Open questions and conjectures**

In this section, we present some interesting open questions and problems.

The following question naturally arises.

**Question 1.** Is it true that the class of 2-connected $K_5$-free chordal graphs has only clique roots?
Here is a counter-example. Let $G_1$ be the graph depicted in Figure 1. It is not hard to see that $\frac{d^3}{dx}C(G_1, x) = (5)(4)(3)(1 + x)^2 + (3)(2) > 0$, which immediately implies that not all roots of $C(G_1, x)$ are real.

In this direction, we made the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.** The class of 3-connected $K_6$-free chordal graphs has only clique roots.

The following question is also of our special interest.

**Question 2.** Which classes of 2-connected $K_5$-free non-chordal graphs have only clique roots.

A graph $G$ is called an $r$-triangulated graph if each edge of $G$ belongs to at most $r + 1$ triangles of $G$.

We come up with the following seemingly interesting conjecture.

**Conjecture 2.** The class of 2-connected 2-triangulated graphs has only clique roots.

It seems that one obvious way to extend the result of our paper is to think about some generalizations of the edge-deck counting formula (4). Thus the question that we encounter is as follows.

**Question 3.** Is there any anlogue of edge-deck identity for the case of triangles? More specifically, does the following formula

\[
\left( t - \binom{i}{3} \right) c_i(G) = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta(G)} c_i(G - \delta) \quad (i \geq 3),
\]

hold in general? Note that here $t$ shows the number of triangles of $G$ and $\Delta(G)$ denotes the set of all triangles of $G$. We will call the above identity the triangle-deck formula. Here, we have a counter-example for triangle-deck identity in general. Let $G_2$ be a graph which is shown in Figure 2. Hence, for $i = 2$, we obtain

\[
t = 3, \quad c_2(G_2) = 8, \quad c_2(G_2 - \delta_1) = c_2(G_2 - \delta_2) = c_2(G_2 - \delta_3) = 5.
\]

Thus, we get $(3 - 0)8 \neq 15$, as required.

We define a triangle graph of a given graph $G$ which is denoted by $T(G)$ as a graph with the vertex set $\Delta(G)$. Two triangles $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$ are connected in $T(G)$ if their share an edge in $G$.

We believe that the following conjecture is true.

**Conjecture 3.** Let $G$ be a graph such that it’s triangle graph $T(G)$ is an empty graph (a collection of isolated vertices), then the triangle-deck identity is true.

---

**Figure 1.** The clique polynomial of $G_1$ is $C(G_1, x) = 1 + 6x + 12x^2 + 11x^3 + 5x^4 + x^5$
Figure 2. The triangle-deck identity is not true for $G_2$

For more on triangle graphs see the reference [5].

Finally, we are interested to answer to the following question. The reason is clear, because it will give us a proof of the well-known Zykov theorem [9] for $K_5$-free graphs.

Question 4. Can we relax the condition of being biconnected with connected in Corollary 3.4?

References

[1] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty, Graph theory, Springer GTM 244 (2008).
[2] P. Branden, Unimodality, log-concavity, real-rootedness and beyond, Handbook of Enumerative Combinatorics, CRC Press (2018).
[3] L. Comet, Advanced combinatorics, 200. Reidel, Dordrecht-Boston (1974).
[4] H. Hajiabolhassan, M. L. Mehrabadi, On clique polynomials, Australasian Journal of Combinatorics 18 (1998) 313–316.
[5] P. Haxell, A. Kostochka, S. Thomasse, Packing and covering triangles in $K_4$-free planar graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics 28 (2012) 653–662.
[6] X. Li, I. Gutman, A unified approach to the first derivatives of graph polynomials, Discrete Applied Mathematics 587 (1995) 293–297.
[7] T. A. McKee, F. R. McMorris, Topics in intersection graph theory (Monographs on Discrete Mathematics and Applications), Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (1987).
[8] H. Teimoori, Clique roots of $K_4$-free chordal graphs, Electronic Journal of Graph Theory and Applications 7(1) (2010) 105–111.
[9] A. A. Zykov, On some properties of linear complexes, Mat. Sbornik N.S. 24(66) (1949) 163–188.