Nickel Toxicity Targets Cell Wall-Related Processes and PIN2-Mediated Auxin Transport to Inhibit Root Elongation and Gravitropic Responses in Arabidopsis
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Contamination of soils with heavy metals, such as nickel (Ni), is a major environmental concern due to increasing pollution from industrial activities, burning of fossil fuels, incorrect disposal of sewage sludge, excessive manure application and the use of fertilizers and pesticides in agriculture. Excess Ni induces leaf chlorosis and inhibits plant growth, but the mechanisms underlying growth inhibition remain largely unknown. A detailed analysis of root development in Arabidopsis thaliana in the presence of Ni revealed that this heavy metal induces gravitropic defects and locally inhibits root growth by suppressing cell elongation without significantly disrupting the integrity of the stem cell niche. The analysis of auxin-responsive reporters revealed that excess Ni inhibits shootward auxin distribution. Furthermore, we found that PIN2 is very sensitive to Ni, as the presence of this heavy metal rapidly reduced PIN2 levels in roots. A transcriptome analysis also showed that Ni affects the expression of many genes associated with plant cell walls and that Ni-induced transcriptional changes are largely independent of iron (Fe). In addition, we raised evidence that excess Ni increases the accumulation of reactive oxygen species and disturbs the integrity and orientation of microtubules. Together, our results highlight which processes are primarily targeted by Ni to alter root growth and development.

Keywords: Arabidopsis thaliana • Heavy metals • Polar auxin transport • Reactive oxygen species • Root development • Transcriptome.

Introduction

The transition metal nickel (Ni) is an essential element for many organisms, including plants, bacteria and fungi. In microorganisms, several metalloenzymes rely on Ni as a cofactor, including [NiFe]-hydrogenases, ureases, carbon monoxide dehydrogenases, methyl-coenzyme M reductase and acireductone dioxygenases (reviewed in Li and Zamble 2009). In plants, the only Ni-dependent enzyme identified so far is urease, which catalyzes the hydrolysis of urea into ammonia and carbon dioxide (Dixon et al. 1975). Therefore, Ni is required in very low amounts by most plants with critical levels in shoots ranging between 0.01 and 10 μg Ni per g dry weight depending on the plant species (Marschner 2012).

Under aerobic conditions, Ni is present in soils mainly in its divalent cationic form Ni2+, which is the form most available to plants (Cempel and Nikel 2006, Kabata-Pendias 2011). Nickel concentrations vary considerably depending on the soil type (Kabata-Pendias 2011), ranging from 10 to 40 ppm in most soils while even surpassing 1,000 ppm in serpentine soils or soils enriched with Ni-bearing ores (Mizuno and Kirihata 2015, Mizuno et al. 2018). Importantly, anthropogenic activities such as combustion of fossil fuels, mining, smelting, welding, electroplating and the production of alloys have dramatically increased the amount of Ni released in the environment (Cox and Hutchinson 1981, Michaeli et al. 2012). In addition to these sources of industrial origin, soil levels of Ni can be increased by sewage sludge disposal, irrigation with polluted water and excessive fertilization with animal manure (Chang et al. 1984). Increased Ni levels in soils impose large environmental concerns, due to the toxic effects of this heavy metal on all living organisms. Especially in intensive agricultural systems, plants are more likely to be exposed to Ni levels that can induce toxicity rather than Ni deficiency. Symptoms of Ni toxicity in plants include chlorosis, wilting and necrosis of leaves, stunted growth and inhibited root elongation (Seregin and Kozhevnikova 2006, Lešková et al. 2017). Other physiological and biochemical changes reported in Ni-stressed plants include disturbed water balance (Pandey and Sharma 2002, Gajewska et al. 2006), inhibition of photosynthesis (Carlson et al. 1975, Sheoran et al. 1990) and increased oxidative stress (Boomis and Doran 2002, Gajewska et al. 2006, Hao et al. 2006). Not being a redox-active metal, Ni-induced oxidative stress is usually associated with altered synthesis and activity of various antioxidant enzymes (Gajewska and Sklodowska 2005, Zhao et al. 2008, Ghasemi et al. 2009).
The induction of oxidative stress by Ni can be considered as a direct mechanism of its toxicity, while it has been proposed that Ni toxicity can arise from indirect mechanisms as well, such as the interference of Ni with other essential metals. Due to its similarity to other divalent metals, Ni$^{2+}$ can compete with these metals during transport and in many biochemical and physiological processes. This is the case for iron (Fe), as high Ni levels induce the appearance of symptoms that resemble Fe deficiency, such as leaf chlorosis, and evoke some transcriptional and physiological responses in roots that are typical of Fe-deficient plants (Nishida et al. 2011, Lešková et al. 2017). The presence of high concentrations of Ni in the growth media results in decreased Fe accumulation in shoots (Ghasemi et al. 2009, Nishida et al. 2011, Lešková et al. 2017). Many transporters involved with Fe$^{2+}$ uptake and distribution are also capable of mediating Ni$^{2+}$ transport across membranes (Schaaf et al. 2004, Gendre et al. 2006, Nishida et al. 2011) suggesting the existence of competition between these two metals at the level of transport. In Arabidopsis thaliana, the transporter IRON-REGULATED TRANSPORTER 1 (IRT1), which is the major transporter for Fe$^{2+}$ uptake from soils (Vert et al. 2002), greatly facilitates Ni accumulation in plants (Nishida et al. 2011). Furthermore, the nonproteinogenic amino acid nicotianamine can form strong complexes with transition metals, such as Fe and Ni (Bené et al. 1983). Interestingly, increasing nicotianamine levels can significantly enhance plant tolerance to high Ni, as shown by the overexpression of a nicotianamine synthase from barley or from the metal hyperaccumulator Noccaea caerulescens in tobacco or Arabidopsis, respectively (Kim et al. 2005, Pianelli et al. 2005). However, not all responses triggered by Ni are directly associated with altered Fe homeostasis. Previously, we have shown that while Ni-induced leaf chlorosis and root ferric-chelate reductase activity could be reverted by foliar supply of Fe, the strong primary root inhibition and root branching induced by high Ni could not (Lešková et al. 2017). In addition, the inhibited primary root elongation and increased lateral root density were still observed even when IRT1 was knocked out. Thus, these results indicate that the strong impact of Ni on root system architecture is due to Fe-independent toxic effects triggered by this heavy metal. However, it remains unresolved which developmental processes are altered by Ni to induce the short- and highly branched root phenotype.

Some studies have indicated that Ni can inhibit cell division in different plant species (L’Huillier et al. 1996, Knasmüller et al. 1998, Demchenko et al. 2005, Kozhevnikova et al. 2009, Pavlova 2017). This negative effect of Ni on mitotic activity likely results from Ni-induced damages of nucleolar structure, aberrations in chromosome integrity and abnormalities during mitosis (Fiskesjö 1988, Liu et al. 1994, Sresty and Madhava Rao 1999, Pavlova 2017). Ni may also inhibit cell elongation. The presence of polysaccharides with functional groups in the cell wall of plants offers binding sites for divalent and trivalent metals. Ni$^{2+}$ ions bind preferentially to carboxyl groups of polygalacturonic acids and hydroxycinnamic acids, the proportion depending on the pH of the root apoplast and the plant species (Meychik et al. 2014). In some plant species, the cell wall even represents the major compartment for Ni sequestration (Krämer et al. 2000, Redjala et al. 2010). Although enhancing plant tolerance to certain metals, binding of metals to cell walls can ultimately increase cell wall rigidity and result in cell rupture, hence inhibiting cell elongation as shown for copper (Cu) and aluminium (Al) (Jones et al. 2006, Kopittke et al. 2008, Kopittke et al. 2009). However, it remains unclear whether Ni-induced inhibition of root elongation is due to altered cell elongation or meristematic activity.

In our previous study, we showed that excess Ni evokes a transient upregulation of several Fe deficiency-regulated genes (Lešková et al. 2017). However, we also found that many phenotypic changes triggered by Ni cannot be explained by the interference of this heavy metal with Fe homeostasis. In this study, we combined transcriptomics and microscopic analyses of several reporters to elucidate which developmental and cell biological processes are targeted by Ni toxicity in roots. Our transcriptome analysis revealed that many Fe deficiency-independent processes are altered by excess Ni and indicate that genes associated with the cell wall are negatively affected by this heavy metal. We also found that high Ni rapidly inhibits primary root elongation and that this effect is largely confined to the roots directly exposed to this heavy metal. Furthermore, we show that Ni disturbs auxin response and transport in the root apical meristem and inhibits a specific set of auxin transporters, in particular PIN2. We also raised evidence that high Ni induces reactive oxygen species (ROS) accumulation in roots and disturbs the integrity and orientation of cortical microtubules in cells of the elongation zone. Altogether, our study unveiled a set of targets that respond sensitively to Ni to impair root development in the presence of this heavy metal.

Results

Transcriptome analysis reveals that Ni affects many iron- and cell wall-associated genes

To gain further insights into a larger number of processes potentially altered by high Ni, we performed a transcriptional profiling of roots of Arabidopsis thaliana accession Columbia-0 (Col-0) exposed to 100 μM Ni, a concentration that induces slight chlorosis and intermediate inhibition of root and shoot growth (Lešková et al. 2017). A total of 5,694 genes responded significantly (adjusted P-value < 0.05) to high Ni. By setting the log2 fold change cutoff at |1.0|, we detected 235 responsive genes, of which 156 genes were upregulated and 78 genes were downregulated by 100 μM Ni for 4 d. To identify biological processes significantly altered in response to high Ni, we performed a gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis including all genes significantly (i.e. adjusted P-value < 0.05) altered in Ni-treated roots (Fig. 1a, b). The top significant GO terms included response to karrin (GO:0080167), cellular response to Fe ion starvation (GO:0010167), Fe ion transport (GO:0006826), response to nitrate (GO:0010167) and nitrate transport (GO:0015706). Other biological processes also significantly enriched in response to high Ni were related to different hormones, defense responses, protein targeting to membrane and regulation of hydrogen.
peroxide ($H_2O_2$) metabolism (Fig. 1a, b). Whereas a larger number of genes belonging to GO terms ‘cellular response to Fe ion starvation’ and ‘nitrate transport’ were downregulated by Ni, genes of GO terms ‘protein targeting to membrane’ and ‘regulation of $H_2O_2$ metabolism’ were mainly upregulated (Fig. 1c). The significant enrichment of genes related with cellular response to Fe starvation and Fe transport in Ni-exposed roots is in line with the short-term responses detected by quantitative real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) in our previous study (Lešková et al. 2017). Although a larger number of genes associated with GO term ‘cellular response to Fe ion starvation’ was downregulated in Ni-exposed roots (Fig. 1c), many genes directly related with cellular Fe homeostasis and transport were induced by the presence of Ni (Table 1).

To further assess the degree of interplay between Ni and Fe deficiency, we compared the list of Ni-responsive genes with...
Table 1 Expression of genes related with Fe homeostasis in response to high Ni

| Involvement in Fe homeostasis | AGI ID         | Annotation                                                                 | log_{+Ni/control} | Adj. P-value |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| **Fe acquisition**            | AT3G56970      | BASIC HELIX-LOOP-HELIX 38 (BHLH38)                                         | 2.45              | 0.0001      |
|                               | AT3G12900      | SCOPOLETIN 8-HYDROXYLASE (S8H)                                             | 2.17              | 0.0006      |
|                               | AT4G31940      | CYTOCHROME P450, FAMILY 82, SUBFAMILY C, POLYPEPTIDE 4 (CYP82C4)            | 1.31              | 0.0004      |
|                               | AT3G56980      | BASIC HELIX-LOOP-HELIX 39 (BHLH39)                                         | 0.95              | 0.0015      |
|                               | AT1G01580      | FERRIC REDUCTION OXIDASE 2 (FRO2)                                          | 0.94              | 0.0008      |
|                               | AT4G19690      | IRON-REGULATED TRANSPORTER 1 (IRT1)                                        | 0.87              | 0.0003      |
|                               | AT3G13610      | FERULOYL-COA 6\(^{-}\)-HYDROXYLASE (F6'H1)                                 | 0.94              | 0.0008      |
|                               | AT1G01580      | FERRIC REDUCTION OXIDASE 2 (FRO2)                                          | 0.94              | 0.0008      |
|                               | AT2G28160      | FER-LIKE IRON DEFICIENCY INDUCED TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR (FIT)                | 0.02              | 0.8207      |
|                               | AT4G16770      | 2-oxoglutarate (2OG) and Fe(II)-dependent oxygenase superfamily protein   | 0.02              | 0.8207      |
| **Fe acquisition/Fe allocation** | AT1G56160    | MYB DOMAIN PROTEIN 72 (MYB72)                                              | 1.93              | 0.0002      |
|                               | AT3G12820      | MYB DOMAIN PROTEIN 10 (MYB10)                                             | 1.89              | 0.0001      |
| **Fe allocation**             | AT2G41240      | BASIC HELIX-LOOP-HELIX PROTEIN 100 (bHLH100)                               | 2.93              | 0.0002      |
|                               | AT1G56430      | NICOTIANAMINE SYNTHASE 4 (NAS4)                                            | 1.16              | 0.0003      |
|                               | AT5G24380      | YELLOW STRIPE LIKE 2 (YSL2)                                                | 0.25              | 0.0056      |
|                               | AT5G53550      | YELLOW STRIPE LIKE 3 (YSL3)                                                | 0.16              | 0.0575      |
|                               | AT5G56080      | NICOTIANAMINE SYNTHASE 2 (NAS2)                                            | 0.80              | 0.0025      |
|                               | AT3G47640      | POPEYE (PYE)                                                                | 0.61              | 0.0002      |
|                               | AT5G04950      | NICOTIANAMINE SYNTHASE 1 (NAS1)                                            | 0.49              | 0.0036      |
|                               | AT3G08040      | FERRIC REDUCTASE DEFECTIVE 3 (FRD3)                                        | 0.35              | 0.0359      |
|                               | AT4G24120      | YELLOW STRIPE LIKE 1 (YSL1)                                                | 0.01              | 0.9206      |
| **Intracellular Fe homeostasis/Fe transport** | AT4G19680 | IRON REGULATED TRANSPORTER 2 (IRT2)                                        | 1.32              | 0.0008      |
|                               | AT1G23020      | FERRIC REDUCTION OXIDASE 3 (FRO3)                                          | 1.21              | 0.0001      |
|                               | AT2G40300      | FERRITIN 4 (FER4)                                                           | 0.98              | 0.0001      |
|                               | AT1G21140      | VACUOLAR IRON TRANSPORTER-LIKE 1 (VTL1)                                    | 0.80              | 0.0041      |
|                               | AT3G56090      | FERRITIN 3 (FER3)                                                           | 0.79              | 0.0001      |
|                               | AT3G25190      | VACUOLAR IRON TRANSPORTER-LIKE 5 (VTL5)                                    | 0.61              | 0.0022      |
|                               | AT3G11050      | FERRITIN2 (FER2)                                                            | 0.57              | 0.0007      |
|                               | AT4G27860      | VACUOLAR IRON TRANSPORTER (VIT)                                            | 0.32              | 0.0018      |
|                               | AT1G10500      | CHLOROPLAST-LOCALIZED ISCA-LIKE PROTEIN (CPISCA)                            | 0.15              | 0.3999      |
|                               | AT1G76800      | VACUOLAR IRON TRANSPORTER-LIKE 2 (VTL2)                                    | 1.07              | 0.0004      |
|                               | AT5G67330      | NATURAL RESISTANCE ASSOCIATED MACROPHAGE PROTEIN 4 (NRAMP4)                | 0.41              | 0.0006      |
|                               | AT2G23150      | NATURAL RESISTANCE-ASSOCIATED MACROPHAGE PROTEIN 3 (NRAMP3)                | 0.14              | 0.3470      |
|                               | AT4G32590      | 2Fe-2S ferredoxin-like superfamily protein                                  | 0.01              | 0.9571      |
|                               | AT5G19700      | EARLY LEAF SENESCENCE 1 (ELS1)                                             | 1.13              | 0.0005      |
|                               | AT1G47770      | BRUTUS LIKE 1 (BTSL1)                                                       | 1.01              | 0.0001      |
|                               | AT3G20770      | ETHYLENE-INSENSITIVE3 (EIN3)                                                | 0.08              | 0.3469      |
|                               | AT3G18290      | BRUTUS (BTS)                                                                | 0.28              | 0.0024      |
|                               | AT2G27050      | ETHYLENE-INSENSITIVE3-LIKE 1 (EIL1)                                        | 0.21              | 0.0139      |
|                               | AT5G51720      | NEET GROUP PROTEIN (NEET)                                                   | 0.43              | 0.3279      |
|                               | AT4G25910      | NFU DOMAIN PROTEIN 3 (NFU3)                                                 | 0.25              | 0.0050      |
|                               | AT2G16710      | Iron-sulfur cluster biosynthesis family protein                            | 0.04              | 0.6154      |
|                               | AT4G01940      | NFU DOMAIN PROTEIN 1 (NFU1)                                                 | 0.01              | 0.9386      |
|                               | AT3G12260      | LXR family of Fe/S cluster biogenesis protein                              | 0.00              | 0.9823      |
|                               | AT2G26060      | CYTOSOLIC IRON-SULFUR PROTEIN ASSEMBLY 1 (CIA1)                             | 0.21              | 0.0329      |
|                               | AT4G04080      | ISCU-LIKE 3 (ISU3)                                                          | 0.09              | 0.5026      |
|                               | AT1G51390      | NFU DOMAIN PROTEIN 5 (NFU5)                                                 | 0.08              | 0.3304      |
|                               | AT4G22220      | IRON-SULFUR CLUSTER ASSEMBLY PROTEIN 1 (ISU1)                                | 0.07              | 0.2100      |

(continued)
genes that are robustly regulated by Fe, as determined by Mai et al. (2016) using data from 10 distinct transcriptome studies. This comparison indicated that approximately 26% and 19% of all genes significantly upregulated and downregulated by high Ni, respectively, are also typically responsive to Fe deficiency (Fig. 1d). Despite the substantial overlap, this result demonstrates that most Ni-responsive genes actually respond to Ni toxicity and not to Ni-induced Fe deficiency.

By assessing GO terms for cellular compartments, our analysis also provided evidence for the most likely cellular targets of Ni. We found that genes belonging to the terms extracellular region (GO:0005576), plasma membrane (GO:0005886) and plant-type cell wall (GO:0009505) were significantly enriched among Ni-responsive genes (Fig. 1a, b). Interestingly, genes belonging to the term ‘plant-type cell wall’, including BEITA-XYLOSIDASE 1 (BXL1), BXL2, ENDOXYLOGLUCAN TRANSFERASE A1 (EXGT-A1), PECTIN METHYLESTERASE 2 (PME2) and GLYCOSYL HYDROLASE 984 (GH984), were mainly downregulated by high Ni (Fig. 1e and Supplementary Dataset 1).

To validate our microarray analysis, we assessed the expression of selected genes by qPCR. This additional analysis confirmed that SCOPOLETIN 8-HYDROXYLASE (S8H), CYTOCHROME 82C4 (CYP82C4), MYB DOMAIN PROTEIN 72 (MYB72), BASIC HELIX-LOOP-HELIX PROTEIN 100 (bHLH100), COPPER/ZINC SUPEROXIDE DISMUTASE 1 (CSD1) and LOB DOMAIN-CONTAINING PROTEIN 41 (LBD41) were significantly upregulated, whereas IRON SUPEROXIDE DISMUTASE 1 (SOD1), ARABINOGALACTAN PROTEIN 22 (AGP22), BETAXYLLOSIDASE 2 (BXL2) and a member of the peroxidase superfamily (ATG2G37130) were significantly downregulated by high Ni (Supplementary Fig. S1). Therefore, although restricted to a single time-point, our transcriptome analysis provided an overview of which root-related processes respond sensitively to high external Ni availability.

**Nickel induces root gravitropic defects and localized inhibition of root elongation**

Previously, we have shown that excess Ni inhibits root elongation in an Fe-independent manner (Leisková et al. 2017). To understand the dynamics of this repressive action, we assessed time-course changes in root length and lateral root density in response to increasing Ni concentrations. We observed that primary roots were highly sensitive to external Ni, with concentrations 100 and 150 μM strongly repressing elongation as soon as plants were transferred to treatments (Fig. 2a, b). Average lateral root length was also significantly inhibited by high Ni, but only when 150 μM Ni was supplied to plants (Fig. 2a, c). Following primary root repression, lateral root density increased significantly in plants exposed to 100 and 150 μM Ni, especially in the first 6 d after transfer (Fig. 2d). A closer inspection of the developmental stage of all lateral root primordia in roots exposed to two Ni concentrations that caused differential repression of primary root elongation revealed that 100 μM Ni stimulated significantly the proportion of emerged lateral roots (Supplementary Fig. S2a).

In addition to inhibiting root elongation, high Ni induced agravitropic primary root growth (Fig. 2e). We then submitted Ni-treated roots to gravistimulation and observed that they gradually lost their ability to grow toward the gravity vector with each increase in external Ni levels (Fig. 2f). We wondered whether the loss of gravitropism could also be linked to Ni-elicited changes in the differentiation and starch accumulation of columella cells. Therefore, we performed Lugol’s staining of starch granules. The number of stained layers was decreased after exposure to high Ni for 4 d (Supplementary Fig. S2b), indicating that Ni has a strong negative impact on the number of mature columella cells.

Our time-course experiments revealed that Ni induces rapid changes in root developmental processes, suggesting that Ni exerts a local effect on these processes. To test this hypothesis, we grew plants on horizontally split agar plates with three spatially separated compartments (Fig. 3a). Seedlings precultured without supplemented Ni were transferred to split agar plates so that shoots were placed on the upper, Ni-free compartment, and roots exposed to two compartments with or without added Ni. Primary root length was only significantly inhibited when the root tips but not the other root parts were directly exposed to Ni (Fig. 3a, b). This effect was also observed when plants were grown in two-compartment agar plates (Supplementary Fig. S3). Ni had also a strong local effect on average lateral root length, as only lateral roots directly exposed to Ni were inhibited (Fig. 3a, c). In contrast, lateral root density did not directly respond to localized Ni supply (Fig. 3d). In shoots, Ni concentrations were the highest in plants grown on agar plates supplied with Ni in both middle and lower compartments (Fig. 3e). Plants grown on this condition also exhibited significantly lower concentrations of macronutrients and of the micronutrient manganese as compared with those grown on a split agar without Ni supplementation in any of the compartments (Supplementary Fig. S4). However, in all cases, shoot levels were either just at critical deficiency values or well above these values. Further support to the localized effect of Ni was the fact that Ni supply only to the lowest agar segment evoked a similar
inhibitory effect on primary root elongation as the presence of Ni on two compartments despite inducing an almost 13-fold lower Ni accumulation in leaves (Fig. 3a, b, c). Taken together, these results indicate that Ni has a rapid, local inhibitory effect on root elongation.

Nickel preferentially targets cell elongation

Root elongation is largely dependent on the rate of cell division in the apical meristem and cell expansion in the elongation zone (Kong et al. 2018). Disturbances in any of these processes can significantly alter the length of roots. To determine root meristem size, we assessed the number of cortex cells in a file extending from the quiescent center (QC) to the first elongated cell. Our analysis revealed that Ni negatively affected the meristems by decreasing the number of meristematic cells and the meristem length (Fig. 4a–c). Excess Ni also inhibited the elongation of mature cells (Fig. 4d) and altered their overall morphology, as many cells within the elongation zone also expanded more radially (Fig. 4a). For all parameters assessed, we observed that the deleterious effects of Ni became more prominent as the external levels of this heavy metal were increased. A temporal analysis further revealed that both meristem length and mature cortical cell length were inhibited by high Ni as early as 2 d after transfer (Fig. 4e, f). In addition, we observed that while initially inhibiting longitudinal cell expansion in the elongation zone, prolonged exposure to Ni gradually increased radial expansion and deformations of cells near the transition zone (Supplementary Fig. S5).

We next addressed whether the smaller meristems under high Ni were associated with decreased mitotic activity. Thus, we assessed CYCLIN B1;1 promoter (pCYCB1;1)–driven β-glucuronidase (GUS) activity in response to high Ni. Although meristems of Ni-exposed roots were shorter, mitotic activity could still be detected in these roots (Fig. 5a). Despite the severe defects observed in the elongation and differentiation zones of Ni-treated roots (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Fig. S5), the structure of the apical part of the meristem also remained surprisingly unaffected 5 d after transferring plants to treatments (Fig. 5b). At the same time, the expression of the QC-specific marker WUSCHEL RELATED HOMEBOX 5 (WOX5) also indicated that QC identity was maintained even when such severe Ni toxicity was imposed to plants (Fig. 5c).

Taken together, our results demonstrate that Ni preferentially targets cell expansion and has comparatively minor effects on mitotic activity and cell identity in root meristems of Arabidopsis. The fact that Ni can decrease the size of root meristems without evoking pronounced changes in cell division might suggest that this heavy metal accelerates the rate at which cells progress through the meristem to the elongation zone.

Nickel alters auxin distribution by rapidly inhibiting the auxin transporter PIN2

The observed Ni-induced gravitropic defects, arrested cell elongation and stimulated lateral root emergence resemble phenotypes associated with disturbed auxin response and distribution in plants (Benková et al. 2003, Blilou et al. 2005, Sato et al. 2015). We first investigated this possibility with the auxin-responsive DR5:VENUS reporter in a time-course experiment. Our analysis revealed that high Ni-altered Venus-
dependent fluorescence intensity in the root apex (Fig. 6a). DR5::VENUS signals in mature columella cells and in cells engaged with rootward and shootward auxin flows were then quantified. We found that high Ni significantly decreased DR5::VENUS signals in mature columella cells 3 d after transfer (Fig. 6b). Notably, auxin response in the stele (rootward stream) and lateral root cap + epidermis (shootward stream) was differentially affected by high Ni. While DR5::VENUS signals were not significantly altered in the stele over the course of the experiment, high Ni quickly inhibited auxin response in outer cell layers (Fig. 6c, d). The inhibited auxin response in columella cells and outer cells was also accompanied by decreased auxin levels in these cells as revealed by the analysis of the DII::VENUS reporter line (Supplementary Fig. S6).

Auxin distribution is highly dependent on the action of plasma membrane-associated auxin influx carriers, such as AUXIN RESISTANT 1 (AUX1), and polarly localized auxin efflux carriers of the PIN-FORMED (PIN) family (Bennett et al. 1996, Petrášek et al. 2006). The altered auxin response and distribution in Ni-treated roots prompted us to investigate whether Ni affected the expression and/or localization of these transporters. No marked change in AUX1::GFP and PIN1::GFP accumulation was observed at 100 μM Ni, whereas 150 μM Ni significantly repressed PIN1 but not AUX1 (Fig. 7a, b, f). In columella cells, high Ni decreased PIN3::GFP and PIN7::GFP expressions (Fig. 7c, f). In the stele, whereas PIN7::GFP was not substantially affected by Ni, PIN3::GFP accumulation was strongly inhibited by the highest Ni levels (Fig. 7c, e, f). However, Ni exerted the strongest overall inhibitory effect on PIN2, as PIN2::GFP-derived signal was almost completely abolished already by 100 μM Ni (Fig. 7d, f), indicating that PIN2 is particularly sensitive to the presence of external Ni.

The strong repression of PIN2, the main PIN-type transporter responsible for shootward auxin flows in roots (Wisniewska et al. 2006), could explain the decrease in auxin response that we detected in outer cells of the root meristem using the DR5::VENUS reporter (Fig. 6). To investigate in more details the dynamics of Ni-induced PIN2 inhibition, we carried out a time-course experiment. We found that PIN2::GFP signals in outer cells were repressed by Ni as quickly as 12 h after transferring plants to treatments (Fig. 8a, b). Interestingly, PIN2 transcript levels were not significantly altered by Ni even after 9 d of exposure to this heavy metal (Supplementary Fig. S7), suggesting that Ni targets PIN2 at the post-transcriptional level. We therefore investigated the effect of Ni on PIN2 protein distribution by incubating roots with one-half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) solution containing increasing concentrations of Ni. Without added Ni, PIN2 was correctly localized on the apical side (i.e. toward the shoot) of the epidermal cells of root tips (Fig. 8c). In the presence of Ni, PIN2 polar localization in plasma membranes became less obvious (Fig. 8d–f). At concentrations ≥100 μM, Ni also induced PIN2 intracellular localization because an increasing number of PIN2::GFP-containing agglomerates began to accumulate within epidermal cells (Fig. 8e, f). Taken together, these results suggest that PIN2 protein accumulation and polar distribution are rapidly and sensitively altered by Ni.

**Fig. 3** Ni exerts a local inhibitory effect on root elongation. (a) Schematic representation of the split-agar setup. Plants were pre-cultured on one-half-strength MS agar medium and transferred to agar plates in which three compartments were spatially separated with a gap. Ten-day-old seedlings were placed in a way that only approximately 2 mm of the apical root zone was in contact with the lowest segment, while shoots were placed on the uppermost, Ni-free compartment. (b) Appearance of A. thaliana plants accession Col-0 on horizontally split agar plates with differential Ni supply to roots. – and + indicate medium without added Ni and medium containing 150 μM Ni, respectively. Plants were photographed after 7 d and representative plants are shown. Total primary root length along three compartments (c), average lateral root length (d) and lateral root density in the middle compartment (e) and Ni concentrations in whole shoots (f). Bars represent mean ± SD (n = 15 for root traits and n = 6 replicates containing five shoots each for elemental analysis). Different letters indicate significant differences according to Tukey’s multiple test at P < 0.05. DW, dry weight; LR, lateral root; PR, primary root.
Nickel interferes with microtubule organization and induces oxidative stress in roots

The aberrant cell expansion (Fig. 4a) and inhibited PIN2 accumulation (Figs. 7e, f, 8) of Ni-exposed roots prompted us to investigate the orientation and integrity of cortical microtubules in cells of the elongation zone. We observed cortical microtubules with a transgenic line constitutively expressing the MICROTBULBUE-ASSOCIATED PROTEIN4 (MAP4) fused to the green fluorescence protein (GFP) under the control of cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter (Marc et al. 1998). In roots of control plants, microtubules were mainly transverse throughout the experiment (Fig. 9a, b). However, the exposure of roots to high Ni induced re-orientation of microtubules, especially after 2 d. In Ni-exposed roots, a higher proportion of microtubules underwent random, oblique and longitudinal realignments (Fig. 9a, b). In addition to re-orientation, high Ni gradually decreased the density of microtubules (Fig. 9a), suggesting that Ni may induce microtubule depolymerization.

Recently, it has been shown that H₂O₂ modulates cytoskeleton function and PIN2 levels at the plasma membrane (Zwiewka et al. 2019). To investigate whether Ni can also alter the accumulation and distribution of ROS, we stained roots with carboxylated 2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (C-H₂DCFDA) as described previously (Müller et al. 2015). We
observed that Ni increased C-H$_2$DCFDA signals along the whole root and was especially concentrated in outer cells (Fig. 9c). Our transcriptome analysis had indicated a significant enrichment of genes involved in the regulation of H$_2$O$_2$ metabolism in response to high Ni (Fig. 1b, c). Among these, we found a large number of peroxidases and superoxide dismutases, of which most were significantly upregulated by excess Ni (Supplementary Table S1). We then grew Ni-exposed plants...
in the presence of diphenyleneiodonium (DPI) and diethylidithiocarbamic acid (DDC), which inhibit the activity of NADPH oxidases and superoxide dismutases, respectively. In our growth conditions, DPI was not able to rescue the root growth of Ni-exposed plants (Fig. 9d). However, the supply of DDC with high Ni was able to significantly increase primary root length. Notably, we also observed that DDC could partially restore PIN2:GFP in root tips as soon as 12 h after transferring plants to high Ni (Fig. 9e). The effect of DDC was still persistent after 4 d (Supplementary Fig. S8a, b). Thus, these results indicate that there might be a link between Ni-induced changes in ROS accumulation and the observed changes in PIN2 distribution.

Discussion

Transcriptional changes in response to Ni are largely independent of Ni-induced Fe deficiency

Previously, we found that Ni-induced Fe deficiency is the main reason for the appearance of leaf chlorosis and for the upregulation of many genes related with Fe homeostasis in Arabidopsis (Lešková et al. 2017). However, since only the expression of a selected number of genes was assessed by qRT-PCR in our previous study, it remained unclear to what extent transcriptional changes induced by high Ni overlap with those triggered by Fe deficiency. Our transcriptome analysis now showed that approximately one quarter of all genes differentially regulated by Ni are also typically up- or downregulated by Fe deficiency (Fig. 1d). Interference with Fe is not restricted to Ni, since also high levels of cadmium (Cd) and Zn have been shown to significantly alter the expression of Fe-related genes (Becher et al. 2004, van de Mortel et al. 2006, van de Mortel et al. 2008, Besson-Bard et al. 2009, Landa et al. 2015). We found that excess Ni can alter the expression of several Fe deficiency-induced genes involved with Fe acquisition and internal chelation, such as IRT2, S8H, CYP82C4 and NAS4 (Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. S1). Interestingly, in line with our earlier findings (Lešková et al. 2017), upregulation of these genes was not accompanied by a significant change in the expression of FER-LIKE IRON DEFICIENCY INDUCED TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR (FIT; Table 1). Instead, high Ni specifically induced the expression of other Fe-related transcription factors, such as bHLH038, bHLH100 and bHLH101, MYB72 and MYB10 (Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. S1). In agreement with
these findings, expression of the root stele-specific gene NAS4 (Dinneny et al. 2008, Palmer et al. 2013), which is regulated by MYB10 and MYB72 (Palmer et al. 2013) was upregulated by high Ni, while the expression of NAS1 (Dinneny et al. 2008), which is controlled by FIT (Klatte et al. 2009), was slightly downregulated (Table 1). These observations are consistent with the fact that high Ni evokes selective responses resembling Fe deficiency, many of which can be reverted by extra Fe supply via the leaves (Ghasemi et al. 2009, Le/C20skov/C19a et al. 2017).

Despite the significant overlap with Fe deficiency, most transcriptional changes induced by high Ni were not related to disturbed Fe homeostasis (Fig. 1d). We identified several processes that are affected by Ni toxicity, including response to nitrate, the biosynthesis of hemicellulosic components and the regulation of H2O2 metabolism (Fig. 1a, b). With regard to the latter, our C-H2DCFDA staining confirmed that high Ni induces the accumulation of ROS in roots (Fig. 9c). As shown in the study of Gajewska and Sklodowska (2005) with wheat plants, superoxide and H2O2 levels are particularly increased upon exposure to high Ni. At least part of the Ni-induced oxidative stress results from the inhibitory effect of this heavy metal on the activity of a number of antioxidant enzymes, such as catalases and superoxide dismutases (Gajewska and Sklodowska 2005, Zhao et al. 2008, Ghasemi et al. 2009). Thus, it is likely that the altered expression of genes associated with regulation of H2O2 metabolism in Ni-exposed roots reflects a response induced by the increased ROS accumulation.

**Nickel modifies root system architecture by targeting PIN2-mediated shootward auxin flow**

By using a split-agar experimental setup, we showed that Ni has a local toxic effect in roots, characterized by a specific inhibition of the elongation of roots directly exposed to Ni (Fig. 3). In addition, root inhibition was largely independent of Ni levels accumulating in shoots. This agrees with the fact that high Ni also inhibits the elongation of int1 roots (Lešková et al. 2017). Similar local toxicity has been reported for Arabidopsis plants exposed to high Cu in a split-root system (Lequeux et al. 2010). However, unlike Ni, excess Cu strongly inhibited the mitotic activity in the root apical meristem (Yuan et al. 2013, Lequeux et al. 2010) and induced premature cell death (Lequeux et al. 2010). We found that cell division and the integrity of the stem cell niche were maintained even after...
prolonged exposure to Ni levels when primary root elongation was completely inhibited (Fig. 5). Instead, high Ni strongly repressed cell expansion in the root elongation zone (Fig. 4). These results indicate that Ni and Cu interfere with specific root developmental processes to inhibit primary root elongation.

Plant hormones, especially auxin, play an important role in the regulation of root cell elongation and tropic growth (Vanneste and Friml 2009). Changes in auxin-related processes are frequently observed when plants are exposed to metals. For example, it has been shown that auxin responses were increased in the stele and attenuated in the columella cells when A. thaliana plants were exposed to high Cu (Yuan et al. 2013).

Our transcriptome analysis indeed showed that high Ni alters the expression of genes involved with the biosynthesis or signaling of salicylic acid, jasmonic acid and ethylene...
(Fig. 1a, b), three hormones typically associated with stress responses and plant defense signaling (Li et al. 2019). Interestingly, we found no significant enrichment for terms associated with auxin. However, we observed that high levels of this heavy metal inhibited auxin accumulation and responses in columella cells and in outer cell layers involved in shootward auxin flow (Fig. 6 and Supplementary Fig. S6). Since the strong inhibitory effect of Ni on PIN2 protein accumulation was not due to changes in PIN2 expression levels (Fig. 8 and Supplementary Fig. S7), it is possible that Ni interfered with auxin-related processes mainly at the post-transcriptional level. These rather specific changes were associated with the fact that excess Ni preferentially targeted the auxin transporters PIN7, PIN3 and especially PIN2 (Fig. 7). In contrast to Ni, excess Cu results in a specific repression of PIN1 (Yuan et al. 2013). Also, Cd toxicity affects more strongly PIN1 than PIN2 in roots (Bruno et al. 2017). These results further support the notion that different heavy metals target specific components of the auxin transport system in roots to remodel root growth.

Although PIN-dependent auxin transport is also required for cell elongation, significant reduction of root growth due to repressed cell expansion is only observed in the pin3 pin7 double mutant but not in pin3, pin7 or pin2 single mutants (Bililou et al. 2005). Apart from reduced root elongation, we found that high Ni also impaired root gravitropic responses and reduced starch accumulation in columella cells (Fig. 2f and Supplementary Fig. S2b). Also, here the negative impact of Ni on PIN2, PIN3 and PIN7 was likely involved, since strong gravitropic phenotypes are observed in the pin2 single and pin3 pin7 double mutants (Luschig et al. 1998, Friml et al. 2002, Kleine-Vehn et al. 2010), while the pin2 pin3 pin7 triple mutant also contains less starch granules in columella cells (Bililou et al. 2005). The strong and rapid inhibition of PIN2 protein accumulation and polar distribution by high Ni (Fig. 7) may explain the lateral root phenotype of Ni-exposed roots. As shown before, lateral roots of pin2 mutant plants emerge at a faster rate as compared with wild-type plants (Benková et al. 2003), a phenotype that resembles exposure to high Ni (Supplementary Fig. S2a). Therefore, altogether our findings indicate that Ni perturbs gravitropic responses, cell elongation and lateral root development, at least in part, by negatively affecting a subset of auxin transporters in roots.

Recently, it has been shown that H2O2 triggers a dose-dependent inhibition of PIN transporters (Zwiewka et al. 2019). In the case of PIN2, it was found that H2O2 induces the accumulation of PIN2:GFP-containing intracellular agglomerates and inhibits PIN2 recycling. Since our results demonstrate that excess Ni induces the accumulation of ROS in roots (Fig. 9c), it is tempting to speculate that an oxidative burst triggered by Ni was involved with the observed changes in PIN2 accumulation and cellular distribution. When the activity of superoxide dismutases was inhibited by the drug DDC, PIN2:GFP levels in Ni-exposed roots were partially restored (Fig. 9e). Although DDC also has been shown to act as a chelator for Ni (Smirovina et al. 2004), we only detected small decreases in shoot Ni levels when plants were supplied with DDC (Supplementary Fig. S8c). This suggests that Ni entry into the plants was not significantly inhibited by this drug. However, we cannot completely exclude that the partial restoration of primary root elongation and of PIN2 levels by DDC was, at least in part, due to increased Ni chelation.

Another possible explanation for the disturbed PIN2 accumulation in Ni-exposed roots comes from the link between membrane targeting of auxin transporters and microtubule stability. The application of the microtubule depolymerization inhibitor, oryzalin, increases the accumulation of PIN2 in the cytosol (Kleine-Vehn et al. 2008, Ambrose et al. 2013). However, we found that Ni stimulated PIN2 degradation within 12 h (Fig. 8a, c), whereas significant changes in microtubule stability and orientation were detected only after 2 d (Fig. 9a, b). Furthermore, the altered polar distribution of PIN2 upon Ni supply (Fig. 8c–f) seems unlikely to be caused by changes in microtubule properties, as disturbance of microtubules by oryzalin does not alter the polar localization of PIN2 in the plasma membrane of epidermal cells (Kleine-Vehn et al. 2008). Instead, polar distribution of PIN2 appears to be regulated by other factors, such as cell wall integrity, as shown by genetically or chemically disturbing cellulose synthesis or by removing cell walls by protoplasting (Feraru et al. 2011). Therefore, possible alterations in cell wall properties induced by the presence of Ni, as suggested by our transcriptome analysis, might have contributed to the disturbed polar distribution and altered lateral diffusion of PIN2 in epidermal cells.

**Putative inhibitory action of Ni on cell wall-associated processes**

Our microarray data indicated that Ni evoked significant changes in the expression of genes associated with cell walls and extracellular region (Fig. 1e). Interestingly, in each case, the majority of these differentially expressed genes were down-regulated by high Ni. Carboxyl and hydroxyl groups of cell wall polysaccharides as well as protein-derived sulfhydryl and histidyl groups play a central role in the immobilization of metal ions in cell walls (reviewed in Krzesławska 2011). Many metals ions, including Al3+, Cu2+, Cd2+ and Ni2+, can bind to des-esterified pectins (Dronnet et al. 1996, Meychik et al. 2011, Meychik et al. 2014). Direct effects of Ni in root cell walls could also explain why primary root inhibition is largely confined to roots directly exposed to this heavy metal (Fig. 3). In line with this assumption, we have previously shown that Ni also inhibits root elongation of int1 plants (Leskóvá et al. 2017), which have greatly reduced Ni uptake (Nishida et al. 2011). It has been demonstrated that polygalacturonic and hydroxycinnamic acids of root cell walls can effectively bind Ni2+ (Meychik et al. 2014). As a consequence, cell wall rigidity and integrity can be altered, which may explain the strong inhibition of cell elongation in Ni-exposed roots (Fig. 4). Noteworthy, our transcriptome data demonstrated that many genes involved in cell wall modifications were significantly repressed by high Ni (Fig. 1e and Supplementary Dataset I). Hence, we propose a scenario in which Ni disturbs growth by initially directly interacting with cell wall components. The resulting inhibition of cell
expansion then initiates a signaling response that is also manifested at the transcriptional level.

We also observed that among all genes differentially regulated by high Ni with \(|\log_{2}FC| \geq 1\), seven genes encoding for peroxidases were present (Supplementary Table S1). Interestingly, all peroxidases differentially expressed in response to Ni are predicted to reside in the extracellular region, indicating that they belong to class III (Passardi et al. 2004). Depending on whether class III peroxidases mediate hydroxyl or peroxidative cycles, they can either generate ROS to stimulate cell wall loosening or promote the cross-linking of phenolics to induce cell wall stiffening (Passardi et al. 2004). In maize, it has been shown that the rapid Al-induced ROS accumulation is associated with cell wall rigidification and the consecutive root growth repression (Jones et al. 2006). However, it remains to be tested whether the increased ROS accumulation and differential expression of peroxidases in response to high Ni are responsible for the observed inhibition of cell elongation and compromised anisotropic expansion.

In addition to inhibiting cell elongation along the longitudinal axis, high Ni partially impaired the anisotropic expansion of epidermal and cortical cells in the elongation zone (Fig. 4a). Defective anisotropic growth is also induced in roots of maize and rice plants exposed to toxic Al levels (Blancaflor et al. 1998, Jones et al. 2006, Wu et al. 2014). By studying the mechanical properties of Al-stressed rice roots, it was found that these defects are related with decreased cell extensibility and increased cell rigidity (Wu et al. 2014). The directionality of expansion has been proposed to be dependent on the orientation of cortical microtubules (Baskin 2005), which directs the deposition of cellulose microfibrils (Gutierrez et al. 2009). We found that the integrity and orientation of cortical microtubules in cells of the elongation zone were disturbed by high Ni (Fig. 9a, b). As soon as 2 d after transferring plants to treatments, we detected a decreased number of cells displaying transverse arrangement of cortical microtubules relative to the elongation axis (Fig. 9b). Such Ni-induced disruption of microfibril orientation could, in part, underlie the root growth inhibition and compromised anisotropy of Ni-exposed roots. It is also possible that the hierarchy of the processes affected by Ni is reversed. Indeed, the disruption of cellulose synthesis can, conversely, also alter the organization of cortical microtubules, as shown previously by Fisher and Cyr (1998). In such a scenario, a putative interference of Ni with cellulose synthesis could result in disorganized cortical microtubules. Furthermore, it has been recently shown that root epidermal and cortical cells undergo two rapid modes of cell elongation, the first involving endoreplication and the second involving dynamic actin reorganization (Takatsuka et al. 2018). Future research will be necessary to investigate whether Ni does indeed disrupt microfibril orientation. Another important aspect that should be assessed is whether the presence of Ni in the cell wall of elongating cells can alter cell wall composition and actin organization.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and growth conditions

Arabidopsis (A. thaliana) ecotype Col-0 was used as wild type throughout the study. All transgenic lines used in this study have been previously described: pCYCB1;1::GUS (Ferreira et al. 1994), pROXIS::GFP (Bilouo et al. 2005), DR5::VENUS (Heisler et al. 2005), pAXU1::AUX1:YFP (Swarup et al. 2004), pPIN1::PIN1::GFP (Benkov a et al. 2003), pPIN2::PIN2::GFP (Xu and Scheres 2005), pPIN3::PIN3::GFP (Dello Ioio et al. 2007), pPIN7::PIN7::GFP (Bilouo et al. 2005), 35S::CYP7A4 (Marc et al. 1998) and Avena coleoptile cells (Brunoud et al. 2012).

Arabidopsis seeds were surface sterilized in 70% (v/v) ethanol and 0.05% (v/v) Triton X-100 and sown on solid media containing half-strength MS medium supplemented with 0.5% (w/v) sucrose, 1% (w/v) Difco agar (Becton Dickinson, USA) and 2.5 mM 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (pH 5.7). After a 2-d stratification at 4°C, agar plates were placed vertically in a growth cabinet and plants were grown in conditions of 10 h of light (120 μmol m−2 s−1) at 22°C and 14 h of dark at 19°C for 7 d. Afterward, plants were transferred to fresh solid agar prepared as described above with or without the supplementation of nickel sulfate (NiSO4·6H2O) at concentrations as indicated in the legends of figures. For transcriptome analysis, seedlings pre-cultured for 7 d on solid half-strength MS agar media without added Ni were transferred to fresh media containing no added Ni or 100 μM Ni, a concentration that induces slight chlorosis and intermediate inhibition of root and shoot growth (Lesková et al. 2017). Root samples for microarray analysis (four biological replicates consisting of 16 whole roots per condition) were harvested 4 d after plants were transferred to control and 100 μM Ni conditions.

Transcriptome analysis

All preprocessing and statistical analyses of microarray data were performed using R statistical computing environment (R Development Core Team 2008). RNA amplification, labeling and hybridization to Agilent microarrays [Arabidopsis (V4, 021769) Gene Expression Microarray] were conducted following the manufacturer’s protocol (Agilent Technologies, USA). Analysis of microarray data was performed with the R package ‘limma’ (Ritchie et al. 2015). Raw feature intensities were background corrected using ‘normexp’, and ‘quantile’ normalization method was used to normalize between arrays. As the next step in preprocessing, we filtered out control and low expressed probes. Differential expression was performed by fitting a linear model to log2-transformed data by an empirical Bayes method (Smyth 2004). Resulting P-values were tested for false discoveries due to multiple hypotheses testing via the Benjamini and Hochberg procedure (adjusted P-values). To extract genes with significant expression differences, a cutoff of adjusted P < 0.05 and log2FC > 1 was applied. Microarray and sample annotation data were deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus database under the accession number GSE138859.

GO enrichment analysis

The GO terms enrichment analysis was conducted by ‘topGO’ package (Alexa and Rahnenführer 2019) with the gene annotation database of A. thaliana (v3.8.2; Carlsson 2019). Fisher’s exact test was performed to compare the frequency of GO terms in the given gene sets with the background frequency. The enriched GO terms were considered to be significant with adjusted P-value < 0.05 according to the Benjamini–Hochberg P-value correction method. The results of the enrichment analyses were visualized using ‘GOplot’ package (Walter et al. 2015).

Quantitative real-time PCR

Root tissues were collected by excision and immediately frozen in liquid N. Total RNA was extracted using the RNasy Plant Mini Kit (Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co KG, Germany). Quantitative reverse transcriptase PCRs were conducted with the CFX384TM Real-Time System (Biorad, Germany) and the Go Taq qPCR Master Mix SylGreen I (Promega, Germany) using the primers listed in Supplementary Table S2. Since we have previously determined that UBQ2 has the highest stability under different heavy metal supplies (Lesková et al. 2017), we used this reference gene to normalize relative expression levels of all tested genes. Relative expression was calculated according to Pfaffl (2004).
Root system architecture and gravistimulation

Plants were scanned at the times indicated in the legends of figures with an Epson Expression 10000XL scanner (Seiko Epson, Germany) at a resolution of 300 dots per inch using settings described by Gruber et al. (2013). Primary root lengths, total lateral root lengths, and lateral root numbers were analyzed using WinRhizo Pro version 2009c (Reagent Instrument, Canada). Average lateral root length was calculated by dividing the total length of lateral roots by the number of lateral roots. Lateral root density was calculated by dividing the number of lateral roots by the length of the primary root.

The gravistimulation assay was carried out with seedlings previously treated or not with high Ni for 3 d. The plates with the seedlings were reoriented by 90° lateral roots by the length of the primary root. The length was calculated by dividing the total length of lateral roots by the number of lateral roots. WinRhizo Pro version 2009c (Reagent Instrument, Canada). Average lateral root lengths, total lateral root lengths, and lateral root numbers were analyzed using Image software.

Microscopy and image acquisition

Images of transgenic lines carrying GFP, yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) or VENUS reporters were acquired with a confocal laser-scanning microscope (LSM 780; Zeiss, Germany) equipped with 20×/0.8 M27 objective. GFP signal was excited with 488-nm argon laser, and the emission was captured at 505–560-nm wavelengths, while the fluorescence of YFP and VENUS was excited with a 514-nm laser and the emission was detected at 518–575 nm. Propidium iodide (PI) staining was visualized with a 561-nm laser and detected at 550–650 emission range. A 40×/1.20 water immersion objective was used to image GFP-tagged microtubules. For comparative analyses, all recordings of each reporter line were made with the same settings. The images were recorded and adjusted with ZEN (Zeiss) software.

ROS staining

The presence of ROS in roots was determined as described in Müller et al. (2015) with slight modifications. Whole seedlings were stained with 10 μM Carboxy-H2DCFDA (Invitrogen, Germany) for 20 min in darkness, briefly rinsed to remove the excess of extracellular dye and subsequently mounted on microscope slides for imaging under confocal microscope (excitation 493 nm, emission 517–527 nm).

Histochemical staining

For counterstaining cell walls during reporter analyses and for the measurements of meristem size and mature cell length, roots were incubated for 10 min in aqueous solution of 10 mg l−1 PI in dark, rinsed twice with distilled water and imaged immediately.

Starch granules were stained by incubating roots in Lugol solution (Sigma, Germany) for 10 min, followed by double washout in distilled water. GUS activity of the proCYCB1;1::GUS plant roots was visualized by overnight incubation of roots at 37°C in GUS staining solution containing 0.4 g l−1 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-beta-D-glucuronic acid, 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 10 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM potassium ferricyanide, 0.5 mM potassium ferrocyanide and 0.1% Triton-X100. Samples were mounted in chloral hydrate solution (2 mg l−1 prepared in 25% glycerol) and imaged under a light microscope (Axio Imager2, Zeiss).

Measurement of cell size and meristem length

The length of root apical meristems and mature cortical cells was measured from PI-stained images with ZEN software. Meristem size was determined in a single-cell file, starting from the QC until the first elongating cell. The number of cells in root apical meristems was counted manually.

Elemental analysis

Whole shoots were dried at 65°C and digested in HNO3 solution in polytetrafluoroethylene tubes under pressurized system (UltraCLEAVE IV, Germany; MLS). Elemental analysis was performed by sector field high-resolution inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ELEMENT 2; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany). Element standards were prepared from certified reference materials from CPI International.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary data are available at PCP online.

Funding

Vedecká Grantová Agentúra [VEGA 2/0115/17] to A.L. and Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Bonn, Germany [HE 8362/1-1] to R.F.H.G.

Acknowledgments

We thank Elis Fraust and Yadelys A. Tandron Moya (Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research) for excellent technical assistance and Kenneth D. Birnbaum (New York University), Jiří Friml (Institute of Science and Technology Austria), Ivan Paponov (Albert-Ludwigs-University of Freiburg) and Malcolm J. Bennett (University of Nottingham) for providing mutants and reporter lines. We thank Nicolaus von Wirén (Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research) for critically reading the article.

Disclosures

No conflicts of interest declared.

References

Alexa, A. and Rahnenfuhrer, J. (2019) topGO: Enrichment Analysis for Gene Ontology, R Package Version 2.36.0.
Ambrose, C., Ruan, Y., Gardiner, J., Tamblyn, L.M., Catching, A. and Kirk, V. (2013) CLASP interacts with Sorting Nexin 1 to link microtubules and auxin transport via PIN2 recycling in Arabidopsis thaliana. Dev. Cell 24: 649–659.
Baskin, T.I. (2005) Anisotropic expansion of the plant cell wall. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 21: 203–222.
Becher, M., Talke, I.N., Krall, L. and Krämer, U. (2004) Cross-species micro-array transcript profiling reveals high constitutive expression of metal homeostasis genes in shoots of the zinc hyperaccumulator Arabidopsis halleri. Plant J. 37: 251–268.
Benel, I., Schreiber, K., Ripperger, H. and Kircheis, A. (1983) Metal complex formation by nicotianamine, a possible phytosiderophore. Experientia 39: 261–262.
Benková, E., Michniewicz, M., Sauer, M., Teichmann, T., Seifertová, D., Jürgens, G., et al. (2003) Local, efflux-dependent auxin gradients as a common module for plant organ formation. Cell 115: 591–602.
Bennett, M.J., Marchant, A., Green, H.C., May, S.T., Ward, S.P., Millner, P.A., et al. (1996) Arabidopsis AUX1 gene: a permissive-like regulator of root gravitropism. Science 273: 948–950.
Besson-Bard, A., Gravet, A., Richaud, P., Auroy, P., Duc, C., Gaymard, F., et al. (2009) Nitric oxide contributes to cadmium toxicity in Arabidopsis by promoting cadmium accumulation in roots and by up-regulating genes related to iron uptake. Plant Physiol. 149: 1302–1315.
Blancaflor, E.B., Jones, D.L. and Gilroy, S. (1998) Alterations in the cytoskeleton accompany aluminum-induced growth inhibition and morphological changes in primary roots of maize. Plant Physiol. 118: 159–172.
Bilou, I., Xu, J., Wildwater, M., Willemsen, V., Paponov, I., Friml, J., et al. (2005) The PIN auxin efflux facilitator network controls growth and patterning in Arabidopsis roots. Nature 433: 39–44.
Boominathan, R. and Doran, P.M. (2002) Ni-induced oxidative stress in roots of the Ni hyperaccumulator, Alyssum bertolonii. New Phytol. 156: 205–215.
Bruno, L., Pacenza, M., Forgione, L, Lamerton, L.R., Greco, M., Chiappetta, A., et al. (2017) In Arabidopsis thaliana cadmium impact on the growth of primary root by altering SCR expression and auxin-cytokinin cross-talk. Front Plant Sci 8: 1323.

Brunoud, G., Wells, D.M., Ovila, M., Larrieu, A., Mirabet, V., Burrow, A.H., et al. (2012) A novel sensor to map auxin response and distribution at high spatio-temporal resolution. Nature 482: 103.

Carlson, M. (2019) org At. tair.db: Genome Wide Annotation for Arabidopsis. R Package Version 3.8.2.

Carlson, R.W., Bazzaz, F.A. and Rolfe, G.L. (1975) The effect of heavy metals on plants: II. Net photosynthesis and transpiration of whole corn and sunflower plants treated with Pb, Cd, Ni, and Ti. Environ. Res. 10: 113–120.

Cempel, M. and Nikel, G. (2006) Nickel: a review of its sources and environmental toxicology. Pol. J. Environ. Stud. 15: 375–382.

Chang, A.C., Warneke, J.E., Page, A.L. and Lund, L.J. (1984) Accumulation of heavy metals in sewage sludge-treated soils. J. Environ. Qual. 13: 87–91.

Cox, R.M. and Hutchinson, T.C. (1981) Environmental factors influencing the rate of spread of the grass Deschampsia caespitosa invading areas around the sudbury nickel-copper smelter. Water Air Soil Pollut. 16: 83–106.

Dello Ioio, R., Linhares, F.S., Scacchi, E., Casamitjana-Martinez, E., Heidstra, R., Costantino, P., et al. (2007) Cytokinins determine Arabidopsis root-meristem size by controlling cell differentiation. Curr. Biol. 17: 678–682.

Demchenko, N.P., Kalinova, I.B. and Demchenko, K.N. (2005) Effect of nickel on growth, proliferation, and differentiation of root cells in Triticum aestivum seedlings. Russ. J. Plant Physiol. 52: 220–228.

Denniny, J.R., Long, T.A., Wang, J.Y., Jung, J.W., Mace, D., Pointer, S., et al. (2008) Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science 320: 942–945.

Dixon, N.E., Gazzola, C., Blakeley, R.L. and Zerner, B. (1975) Letter: Jack bean deficiency responses. Plant Physiol. 50: 673–682.

Dixon, N.E., Gazzola, C., Blakeley, R.L. and Zerner, B. (1975) Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science 320: 942–945.

Dixon, N.E., Gazzola, C., Blakeley, R.L. and Zerner, B. (1975) Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science 320: 942–945.

Dixon, N.E., Gazzola, C., Blakeley, R.L. and Zerner, B. (1975) Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science 320: 942–945.

Dixon, N.E., Gazzola, C., Blakeley, R.L. and Zerner, B. (1975) Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science 320: 942–945.

Dixon, N.E., Gazzola, C., Blakeley, R.L. and Zerner, B. (1975) Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science 320: 942–945.

Dixon, N.E., Gazzola, C., Blakeley, R.L. and Zerner, B. (1975) Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science 320: 942–945.

Dixon, N.E., Gazzola, C., Blakeley, R.L. and Zerner, B. (1975) Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science 320: 942–945.

Dixon, N.E., Gazzola, C., Blakeley, R.L. and Zerner, B. (1975) Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science 320: 942–945.

Dixon, N.E., Gazzola, C., Blakeley, R.L. and Zerner, B. (1975) Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science 320: 942–945.

Dixon, N.E., Gazzola, C., Blakeley, R.L. and Zerner, B. (1975) Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science 320: 942–945.

Dixon, N.E., Gazzola, C., Blakeley, R.L. and Zerner, B. (1975) Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science 320: 942–945.

Dixon, N.E., Gazzola, C., Blakeley, R.L. and Zerner, B. (1975) Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science 320: 942–945.

Dixon, N.E., Gazzola, C., Blakeley, R.L. and Zerner, B. (1975) Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science 320: 942–945.

Dixon, N.E., Gazzola, C., Blakeley, R.L. and Zerner, B. (1975) Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science 320: 942–945.

Dixon, N.E., Gazzola, C., Blakeley, R.L. and Zerner, B. (1975) Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science 320: 942–945.

Dixon, N.E., Gazzola, C., Blakeley, R.L. and Zerner, B. (1975) Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science 320: 942–945.

Dixon, N.E., Gazzola, C., Blakeley, R.L. and Zerner, B. (1975) Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science 320: 942–945.

Dixon, N.E., Gazzola, C., Blakeley, R.L. and Zerner, B. (1975) Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science 320: 942–945.

Dixon, N.E., Gazzola, C., Blakeley, R.L. and Zerner, B. (1975) Cell identity mediates the response of Arabidopsis roots to abiotic stress. Science 320: 942–945.
