INTRODUCTION

Sperm retrieval techniques (SRTs) are surgical methods that have been developed to obtain spermatozoa from the epididymides and testicles of azoospermic men seeking fertility treatment (1). After sperm acquisition, intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) is used instead of standard in vitro fertilization (IVF) because ICSI has been shown to result in a significantly higher fertilization rate (2). Alternatively, the retrieved sperm can be cryopreserved for use in future sperm injection attempts (3,4). The use of non-ejaculated sperm and ICSI has become an established procedure for couples whose male partner has azoospermia to obtain biological offspring (5-7).

The method of choice for sperm retrieval (SR) is based on the type of azoospermia, which can be obstructive or non-obstructive, and the attending surgeon’s preferences and experience. Obstructive azoospermia (OA) is associated with the inability to detect spermatozoa in the ejaculate and post-ejaculate urine after centrifugation due to the bilateral obstruction of the seminal ducts (8,9). Obstruction of the male reproductive system can be congenital or acquired. Microsurgical ductal reconstruction is generally considered to be a cost-effective treatment that allows for natural conception in selected cases of OA, such as post-vasectomy (10). Despite being highly successful, ductal recanalization may not be an option for some infertile couple or may be impossible in certain cases of congenital obstructions and post-infectious obstruction or failed vasectomy reversals. Spermatozoa can be retrieved from the epididymides or testicles in almost all cases of OA, irrespective of the technique used for sperm collection and the cause of obstruction. Non-obstructive azoospermia (NOA), on the other hand, is a consequence of spermatogenic failure and is the cause of most cases of azoospermia (8). NOA has congenital and acquired etiologies other than hypothalamic-pituitary disease and obstruction of the male genital tract. Unlike men with OA, men with NOA have no treatment options other than attempting testicular sperm retrieval. In such cases, spermatogenesis may be focal, which means that spermatozoa can be found and used for ICSI in approximately 30-60% of men with NOA (1). Testicular sperm extraction (TESE) is the technique of choice for NOA (1,11), and the use of microsurgery for TESE seems to increase retrieval rates (1,12).

Three main goals should be accomplished during sperm retrieval: (i) the acquisition of an adequate number of sperm for both immediate use and cryopreservation, (ii) the retrieval of the highest quality of sperm, and (iii) minimizing the damage to the reproductive tract, thus preserving the option of future retrieval attempts and testicular function (13). A list of the candidates eligible for sperm retrieval is provided in Table 1.

The aim of this review is to update readers on the methods currently available for sperm acquisition in azoospermia, focusing in particular on the operative and technical aspects
of these procedures. Moreover, a critical expert analysis of the advantages and disadvantages of the sperm acquisition methods is provided, including the authors’ methods of choice and anesthesia preferences.

### SPERM RETRIEVAL: AVAILABLE METHODS AND TECHNICAL ASPECTS

The two general SR methods are open surgery and percutaneous acquisition. Open surgery can be performed to retrieve spermatozoa from the epididymis or the testicle with or without microsurgery. Percutaneous retrievals, on the other hand, require a needle to be percutaneously inserted into the sperm source, i.e., the epididymis or the testicle. Irrespective of the method used, the goal of SR is to obtain the epididymal fluid or the seminiferous tubules and their contents. Table 2 lists the SR options available and their indications. Table 3 compares the advantages and disadvantages of the different SR methods.

#### Table 1 - Candidates for sperm retrieval, grouped according to the type and etiology of azoospermia.

| Obstructive Azoospermia | Non-obstructive Azoospermia (Testicular Failure) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| **Congenital Ductal Obstructions:** | **Congenital Testicular Failure:** |
| Congenital bilateral absence of the vas deferens | Testicular dysgenesis/cryptorchidism |
| Young’s syndrome (clinical triad of chronic sinusitis, bronchiectasis, and obstructive azoospermia) | Genetic abnormalities (Klinefelter syndrome, Y chromosome microdeletions*) |
| Stenosis or atresia of the ejaculatory ducts | Germ cell aplasia (Sertoli cell-only syndrome) |
| Midline prostatic cysts (uteric and Müllerian cysts) | Spermatogenic (maturation) arrest |
| Ejaculatory duct cysts | |
| Seminal vesicle cysts | |

| Acquired Ductal Obstructions: | |
|-----------------------------| |
| Post-infection (epididymitis, prostatitis, seminal vesiculitis) | |
| Post-vasectomy | |
| Post-surgical (epididymal cysts, hernia repair, scrotal surgery, bladder neck surgery, prostatectomy) | |
| Iatrogenic (urologic endoscopic instrumentation) | |

| Idiopathic: | Idiopathic (unknown etiology) |
|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Idiopathic epididymal obstruction | |

*The likelihood of obtaining sperm at sperm retrieval is virtually zero when complete AZFa and/or AZFb Yq microdeletions are found.

#### Table 2 - Sperm retrieval techniques, acronyms and indications.

| Technique | Acronym | Indications |
|-----------|---------|-------------|
| Percutaneous epididymal sperm aspiration | PESA | Obstructive azoospermia |
| Microsurgical epididymal sperm aspiration | MESA | Obstructive azoospermia |
| Open epididymal fine-needle aspiration | ND | Obstructive azoospermia |
| Percutaneous testicular sperm aspiration; percutaneous testicular fine-needle aspiration | TESA; TEFNA | Obstructive azoospermia; Failed epididymal retrieval in OA cases; Epididymal agenesis in CAVD cases; Favorable testicular histopathology1 in NOA cases; Previous successful TESA/TEFNA attempt in NOA cases |
| Testicular sperm extraction (single or multiple biopsies) | TESE | Obstructive azoospermia; Failed epididymal retrieval in OA cases; Failed TESA/TEFNA in OA cases; Non-obstructive azoospermia |
| Single seminiferous tubule biopsy | ND | Obstructive azoospermia; Failed epididymal retrieval in OA cases; Failed TESA/TEFNA in OA cases; Non-obstructive azoospermia |
| Microsurgical testicular sperm extraction | Micro-TESE | Non-obstructive azoospermia |

OA: obstructive azoospermia; NOA: non-obstructive azoospermia. CAVD: congenital absence of the vas deferens. ND: not defined.  
1Hypospermatogenesis.
Preoperative Considerations

The procedure, results, and potential complications should be reviewed and discussed with the patient and his spouse by experienced staff. The patient should sign an informed consent form prior to surgery and be instructed that someone should accompany him if SR is to be performed on an outpatient basis. In addition, aspirin and/or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs should be avoided for one week before surgery. Those patients taking anti-coagulating agents should discontinue the medication during the preoperative period. Scrotal hair shaving is required for open retrievals, and patients should be instructed to void the bladder prior to admission to the operating room.

Operating Room and Patient Preparation

All of the instruments and materials used during the sperm retrieval procedure should be assessed for availability and/or operational conditions. For open procedures, a grounding pad should be available to allow the safe use of electrocautery. Ideally, an operating table with motorized control should be available for open procedures. The patient should be positioned on the operating table in a supine position. For microsurgical techniques, the operating microscope should be positioned and adjusted. The skin should be cleansed from mid-abdomen to mid-thigh using a povidone-iodine or similar solution. The surgical staff should scrub and gown properly. Sterile drapes should be positioned in a manner such that only the scrotum is exposed. A list of instruments...
and materials that are commonly used in sperm retrievals is provided in Table 4.

Anesthesia
Sperm retrievals are relatively simple surgeries that can be safely performed with general anesthesia or spinal blocks. However, because sperm retrievals are typically outpatient procedures, the latest trend is to employ local or locoregional anesthesia with or without intravenous sedation. A review of the anesthesia techniques used for SR is provided in a separate section below.

Conventional Open Sperm Retrieval Methods
Open surgical SR can be used for both epididymal and testicular sperm collection. In both cases, a scrotal incision is made to approach the epididymis or the testis. Testicular delivery to facilitate the exposure of the epididymis or testis is optional, as the procedures can be carried out without testis delivery using the “window” technique (14). In the open epididymal sperm aspiration, the goal is to puncture an epididymal tubule and aspirate the epididymal fluid using a needle. In the open testicular sperm extraction (TESE) procedure, either a large single biopsy or multiple biopsies

### Table 4 - Materials and instruments commonly used in sperm retrieval techniques.

| Sperm retrieval method | Equipment and Supplies |
|------------------------|------------------------|
| **All** | **Basic instruments and materials:**  
- Unipolar coagulating generator (open retrievals)  
- Bipolar coagulating generator (MESA and micro-TESE)  
- Antiseptic solution for skin cleaning  
- 30-cc 1% xylocaine solution (spermatic cord anesthesia)  
- 19- (40 x 12) and 22- (25 x 7) gauge hypodermic needles (spermatic cord anesthesia)  
- Sterile towels  
- Gauze sponges  
- Surgical gloves  
- Surgical drapes  
- Surgery instrument table (optional)  
- Mayo table  
- Sterile drapes for tables  
- 20-cc syringes (spermatic cord anesthesia)  
- Saline solution for irrigation (MESA and micro-TESE)  
- Unipolar cautery pen (MESA and micro-TESE; optional)  
| | **PESA, TESA and TEFNA**  
- Sharp-beveled fine needle (19-, 22-, 23- or 26-gauge, depending on the surgeon’s preference and technique) attached to a 1-ml tuberculin syringe (PESA) or to a 10- or 20-ml syringe coupled to a Cameco (or similar) syringe holder  
- Tissue-cutting biopsy needle (e.g., Tru-cut™ needle or Biopty™ gun; optional)  
| | **TESE, micro-TESE, MESA, Open epididymal fine-needle aspiration, Single seminiferous tubule biopsy**  
- Basic set of surgical instruments for delicate surgeries (including small needle holder, small smooth and toothed forceps (Addison forceps), small suture scissors, small curved dissection scissors, a pair of small farabeuf retractors, scalpels, curved Kelly clamps, straight mosquito clamps, backhaus clamps)  
- Sutures (e.g., 4-0 vicryl with tapered needle, 4-0 catgut with tapered needle, 5-0 black monofilament nylon with tapered cut needle (micro-TESE), 9-0 black monofilament nylon with tapered needle (MESA))  
| | **Micro-TESE and MESA**  
- Straight non-toothed fine-tip forceps (13.5-cm long)  
- Curved non-toothed fine-tip forceps (13.5 cm long)  
- Non-locking needle holder with a rounded, finely curved tip  
- Pair of straight or curved blunt dissecting scissors  
- Bipolar cautery with fine-tipped forceps  
- Small retractor  
- Blunt, long and rounded irrigating needle  
- Microsurgical scalpel  
- Autoclavable case  
- Silicone tubing for protecting instrument tips  
| | **Operating Microscope:**  
- Operating microscope equipped with 200-, 300- and 350-mm objective lenses and motorized operated zoom system  
- Note: The optical, mechanical and electrical microscope components should be checked before surgery to ensure that the operational conditions are adequate. A spare lamp should be readily available. A sterile microscope cover and/or handles should be available to allow for microscope adjustments during surgery.  
| | **Reagents and Laboratory Supplies:**  
- Sperm culture media (kept at 37 °C)  
- 6-ml sterile centrifuge polystyrene tubes with caps  
- 60 x 15-mm center-well Petri dishes (micro-TESE)  
| **PESA**: percutaneous epididymal sperm aspiration; **TESA**: testicular sperm aspiration; **MESA**: microsurgical epididymal sperm aspiration; **TESE**: testicular sperm extraction; **micro-TESE**: microdissection testicular sperm extraction.
are performed to obtain seminiferous tubules and their contents. In both cases, the retrieved spermatozoa can be used for fresh sperm injection or cryopreserved for a single or multiple subsequent ICSI attempts. Open epididymal sperm aspirations are only indicated in OA cases, whereas open testicular extractions can be used in both OA and selected NOA cases (Table 2).

Open Epididymal Fine-Needle Aspiration. The epididymis is exposed and a tubule is directly punctured through the tunica without any dissection (15). The epididymal fluid is aspirated using a 26-gauge needle; the epididymal fluid that continues to flow out of the punctured tubule upon needle withdrawal is also aspirated. The tubular opening is not closed. Epididymal fluid can be aspirated from different locations to maximize the number and quality of sperm retrieved. The procedure does not require special equipment or training, but it has not been validated in a large series of patients.

Testicular Sperm Extraction (TESE). The extraction of the testicular parenchyma for sperm search and isolation was first described in 1995 (16). For conventional TESE, a standard open surgical biopsy technique is used to remove the testicular parenchyma without the aid of optical magnification. This procedure is usually carried out without delivering the testis (14). Briefly, a 2-cm transverse incision is made through the anterior scrotal skin, dartos and tunica vaginalis. A small self-retaining retractor can be used to ensure proper exposure of the tunica albuginea. A 1-cm incision is made in the albuginea, and gentle pressure is applied to the testis to aid the extrusion of the testicular parenchyma. A fragment of approximately 5×5 mm is excised with sharp scissors and placed in sperm culture media (Figure 1). Single or multiple specimens can be extracted from the same incision. Alternatively, individual albuginea incisions can be made in the upper, middle and lower testicular poles in an organized manner for the sampling of different areas. The testicular specimens are sent to the laboratory for processing and immediate microscopic examination. The tunica albuginea is closed with a running, non-absorbable suture.

Single Seminiferous Tubule Biopsy. This technique is a variation of TESE. The scrotum is opened, and the testis is exposed. An avascular area of the tunica is punctured with a 26-gauge needle. A microforceps tip is used to dilate the puncture site, thus allowing a loop of seminiferous tubule to emerge (15). The seminiferous tubule is pulled out using the microforceps and sent for microscopic examination. If sperm are seen, additional tubule is pulled out from the same site. If no sperm are found or the tubule appears fibrous, the procedure is repeated in a different area. Multiple sites can be sampled until sperm are found or the entire testicular surface has been explored. Albuginea openings are not sutured because these openings are very small. Like the open epididymal FNA, single seminiferous tubule biopsy does not require special equipment or training but has not been validated in a large patient series.

Percutaneous Sperm Retrieval Methods

Since their description in 1994, the use of percutaneous approaches to retrieve sperm from the epididymis has gained popularity (1,5,17,18). Both the epididymal and testicular techniques share similar traits, as they require that a needle be percutaneously inserted into the sperm source (14). The goal of percutaneous epididymal sperm aspiration (PESA) is to obtain the epididymal fluid, which should contain sperm. In testicular sperm aspiration (TESA), the seminiferous tubules and their contents are removed. Percutaneous sperm retrieval may have either a diagnostic or a therapeutic role (19). Regarding the former role, the procedure confirms the presence of viable spermatozoa that can be cryopreserved for future use prior to ICSI. Regarding the latter role, the procedure is performed in conjunction with oocyte retrieval and permits the use of fresh sperm for sperm injections. In addition to offering a less invasive alternative for retrieving sperm, percutaneous techniques can generally be performed under local anesthesia on an outpatient basis. Percutaneous

Figure 1 - Conventional testicular sperm extraction (TESE). The illustration depicts TESE using a single open biopsy (see the text for a detailed description). Adapted from: Esteves SC, Agarwal A. Sperm retrieval techniques. In: Gardner DK, Rizk BRMB, Falcone T, Eds. Human assisted reproductive technology: future trends in laboratory and clinical practice. 1st edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2011; pp. 41-53.

Figure 2 - Percutaneous epididymal sperm retrieval. The epididymis is stabilized between the index finger, thumb and forefinger. A needle attached to a tuberculin syringe is inserted into the epididymis through the scrotal skin, and fluid is aspirated (see the text for a detailed description).
testicular retrievals are indicated in OA cases, as well as selected cases of NOA. In contrast, percutaneous epididymal retrievals are only recommended in OA cases (Table 2).

Percutaneous Epididymal Sperm Aspiration (PESA). The technical procedure for percutaneous epididymal sperm aspiration involves the insertion of a needle attached to a syringe through the scrotal skin into the epididymis (Figure 2). Originally, the use of a larger butterfly needle was described (17). Currently, most experts use a fine needle (26 gauge) attached to a tuberculin syringe containing sperm washing medium (1,5,14). After creating negative pressure by pulling the syringe plunger, the tip of the needle is gently and slowly moved in and out inside the epididymis until fluid is aspirated. If motile sperm are not obtained, PESA may be repeated at a different site (from the cauda to caput epididymis) until an adequate number of motile sperm is retrieved. These aspirations are usually performed in the corpus epididymis and then in the caput epididymis if needed, as aspirates from the cauda are often rich in poor-quality senescent spermatozoa, debris and macrophages (13). Because PESA is a blind procedure, multiple attempts may be needed before high-quality sperm are found. If PESA fails to enable the retrieval of motile sperm, testicular sperm retrieval can be attempted during the same operation.

Testicular Sperm Aspiration (TESA) and Testicular Fine-Needle Aspiration (TEFNA). In TESA, a needle is inserted through the scrotal skin into the testis (Figure 3). The needle is usually inserted into the anteromedial or anterolateral portion of the superior testicular pole at an oblique angle toward the medium and lower poles. These areas are the least likely to contain major branches of the testicular artery running superficially underneath the tunica albuginea. These aspirations are usually carried out using either fine (testicular fine-needle aspiration; TEFNA) or large-diameter needles attached to a syringe. The testicular parenchyma is aspirated by creating negative pressure, and the specimen is sent to the laboratory for microscopic examination (Figure 4). TESA can be carried out in the contralateral testis if an insufficient number of or no sperm are obtained during the first attempt. Alternatively, testicular parenchyma can be obtained percutaneously using a tissue-cutting biopsy needle (e.g., a Tru-cut™ needle or Biopty™ gun). For this procedure, the needle is placed against the testis and, upon release of the springer, the needle enters the parenchyma, cuts a piece of tissue and withdraws it into a sheath (21).

Turek et al. proposed the use of systematic fine-needle aspiration of the testis (FNA mapping) as a diagnostic tool in cases of non-obstructive azoospermia (22). However, testicular fine-needle aspiration (TEFNA) can also be...
applied for therapeutic sperm retrieval in cases of obstructive and non-obstructive azoospermia (Table 2). The concept behind FNA is to map the testicle to direct biopsies to pre-identified areas of sperm production, thus facilitating sperm retrieval in cases of non-obstructive azoospermia (NOA). Depending on the size of the testis, four to nine systematically placed aspiration sites are mapped (Figure 5). FNA mapping is performed with a sharp-beveled 23-gauge fine needle attached to a 10-mL syringe coupled with a Cameco syringe holder. Suction is applied, and the syringe holder is held steady as the needle is moved in and out within the testis with no change in direction. Twenty to 30 incursions are performed at a depth range of 8 to 12 mm. Suction is released before the needle is withdrawn from the testis. Tissue fragments are expelled from the needle onto a slide after air aspiration and fixed by immersion in 95% ethyl alcohol in the cases in which TEFNA is used for diagnostic purposes. In therapeutic SR, tissue fragments are expelled into pre-identified tubes containing sperm media.

Microsurgical Sperm Retrieval Methods

Microsurgical-guided sperm acquisition has been applied in both epididymal and testicular retrievals. The goal of microsurgical epididymal sperm aspiration (MESA) is to identify and open a single epididymal tubule to aspirate a sperm-rich, red blood cell-free fluid that can be used for fresh sperm injection or cryopreserved for diagnostic purposes. In case of multiple later ICSI attempts. In microsurgical testicular sperm extraction (microdissection TESE; micro-TESE), the testicular parenchyma is dissected under magnification to search for enlarged seminiferous tubules, which are more likely to contain germ cells and foci of sperm production compared to non-enlarged or collapsed tubules. Such seminiferous tubules are removed rather than proceeding with the large single or multiple biopsies performed in conventional TESE. Microsurgical techniques and instruments, including an operating microscope, are used throughout both the MESA and micro-TESE procedures. MESA is indicated for cases of OA, whereas micro-TESE is recommended for the most severe cases of NOA (Table 2).

Microsurgical Epididymal Sperm Aspiration (MESA). MESA was first described in 1985 (23). This surgical technique requires testis delivery through a 2.3-cm transverse scrotal incision. The epididymal tunica is incised, and an enlarged tubule is selected. Then, the epididymal tubule is dissected and opened with sharp microsurgical scissors. The fluid that flows out of the tubule is aspirated with the aid of a silicone tube or a needle attached to a tuberculin syringe (Figure 6). The aspirate is flushed into a tube containing warm sperm medium and is transferred to the laboratory for examination. MESA can be repeated at a different site on the same epididymis (from the cauda to caput regions) and/or the contralateral epididymis until an adequate number of motile sperm is retrieved (1,14). If MESA fails to retrieve motile sperm, TESA or TESE can be performed as part of the same procedure. However, MESA often provides enough sperm for cryopreservation. A single MESA procedure usually enables the retrieval of a large number of high-quality sperm that can be used for ICSI or intentionally cryopreserved for subsequent ICSI attempts (4,24).

Microsurgical Testicular Sperm Extraction (micro-TESE). Microdissection testicular sperm extraction was originally described in 1999 in a successful combination of testicular sperm extraction with the assistance of an operating microscope (24). For micro-TESE, the scrotal skin is stretched over the anterior surface of the testis, after which a 2.3-cm transverse incision is made. Alternatively, a single midline scrotal incision can be used (25). The incision extends through the dartos muscle and the tunica vaginalis. The tunica is opened, and identifiable bleeders are cauterized. The testis is delivered extravaginally, and the tunica albuginea is examined. Then, a single, large, mid-portion incision is made in an avascular area of the tunica albuginea under 6-8× magnification, and the testicular parenchyma is widely exposed in its equatorial plane (Figure 7). The testicular parenchyma is dissected at 16-25× magnification to enable the search and isolation of seminiferous tubules that exhibit larger diameters (which are more likely to contain germ cells and eventually normal sperm production) in comparison to non-enlarged or collapsed counterparts (Figure 8). If needed, the superficial and deep testicular regions can be examined, and microsurgical-guided testicular biopsies are performed by carefully removing enlarged tubules.
using microsurgical forceps. If enlarged tubules are not observed, any tubule that differs from the remaining tubules in size is excised. The excised testicular tissue specimens are placed into the inner well of a Petri dish containing sperm culture medium. The specimen is mechanically minced under stereomicroscopy to release the content of the seminiferous tubules.

**ANESTHESIA FOR SPERM RETRIEVAL PROCEDURES**

There are very few studies describing anesthesia techniques for SR. From the anatomy viewpoint, it is possible to provide efficient anesthesia simply by using local or locoregional anesthesia. However, most patients express great concern about the procedures, most likely because sperm retrievals are carried out in a very delicate part of the male body. For this and other reasons related to the high expectations associated with the procedure, patients undergoing SR have historically been very anxious on the day of surgery.

In a study of 34 patients undergoing PESA and/or TESA, spermatic cord block was performed with 10 mL of 1% lidocaine without epinephrine (27). The authors reported block failure in 6% of the cases, which required the combination of intravenous sedation, and two cases of vasovagal reflex, which required the use of atropine for reversal. They also stated that 16% of the patients reported moderate but tolerable pain. The results of the aforementioned study highlight the fact that the chosen anesthesia technique enabled SR to be performed; however, this method cannot be considered a good-quality anesthesia technique because it did not offer enough comfort to a large proportion of the group studied. Furthermore, 35% of the patients complained about being very anxious preoperatively, which shows that over a third of the patients could have benefited from the coadministration of locoregional anesthesia and sedation.

In another study of 26 patients undergoing MESA, only 38% of the patients tolerated the procedure solely under spermatic cord block through the infiltration of 5-8 mL of 1% lidocaine; the remaining 62% required intravenous sedation (28). The percentage of patients who underwent a bilateral procedure and required intravenous sedation was as high as 75%.

General anesthesia may offer comfort and the efficient management of anxiety. However, when performed with inhalational agents such as N₂O and halogenated agents, this approach is associated with a high incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting (29). These two complaints are among the most frequent causes of hospitalization and the inability to discharge patients scheduled for ambulatory procedures. Additionally, these symptoms are among the most feared by patients undergoing minor surgery, surpassing even postoperative pain (30).

The opposite effect occurs when employing propofol (2,6-dimethylphenol), as this drug offers antiemetic effects (31). Propofol is a hypnotic intravenous drug that can be used both to induce and maintain general anesthesia and sedation. Moreover, propofol causes a gentle awakening compared with halogenated agents, as patients wake up with a feeling of well-being and a clear mental state. In addition, patients usually experience less postoperative confusion, recognizing the environment and the people around them more easily. Patients also tend to be more cooperative and show less agitation (32). Thus, patients undergoing general anesthesia combined with propofol have a lower incidence of postoperative complaints but still have a slower recovery compared with those receiving propofol alone for intravenous sedation.

The combination of intravenous sedation and local anesthesia offers patients the analgesic effectiveness of local anesthetics combined with the comfort and effective control of anxiety provided by intravenous sedation. When this combination includes propofol, patients experience great satisfaction, recovering quickly and with minimal adverse effects. These patients may also benefit from the advantages of outpatient procedures.
POSTOPERATIVE CARE AND COMPLICATIONS OF SPERM RETREIVAL

The vast majority of SR procedures are performed on an outpatient basis, with patients usually being discharged 2-3 hours after surgery. Patients should be examined for a scrotal hematoma prior to discharge. A companion should be available, and under no circumstances should the patient be allowed to leave the health care facility alone or drive if general anesthesia or intravenous sedation has been used. After percutaneous retrievals, patients often resume their normal activities on the following day. Bed rest and the application of an ice pack to the scrotum is recommended for the first 48 hours, especially following open retrievals. For these procedures, patients are instructed to remove the scrotal dressing after 24 hours and are encouraged to take warm showers and wash the incision area with soap and water after 24 hours. Oral analgesics and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medications are routinely used for 3-5 days. Postoperative antibiotics are not routinely prescribed. Patients are instructed to resume a normal diet and increase their daily activities to a normal level over a 3- to 4-day period. The use of a scrotal supporter is strongly recommended for approximately one week after the procedure. The patient should abstain from sports activities, heavy lifting and sexual intercourse for approximately 10 days. Moreover, patients should be informed of the likelihood of scrotal swelling and ecchymosis at the wound site, as well as mild discomfort that should subside in approximately one week (14,19).

After SR, patients are advised to report any adverse signs and symptoms, including fever, persistent pain or swelling, bleeding or excessive fluid leakage from the wound. A scrotal ultrasound may be indicated in cases with complications. The determination of hormone levels, including total and free testosterone, FSH, LH, and estradiol, is recommended six months after open testicular retrievals.

The incidence of post-SR complications, including persistent pain, swelling, infection, hydrocele, and hematoma, ranges from 0-70% (33-41). The complication rates vary depending on the sperm retrieval technique. Percutaneous retrievals have an increased risk of hematoma compared with open techniques (5,37). Nevertheless, except for minor pain and local swelling, there have been no reports of clinically significant intra- or postoperative complications leading to medical treatment or hospital care when percutaneous techniques are used (1,38). Intratesticular hematomas have been observed on ultrasonograms performed three months after surgery in most patients (up to 80%) who undergo TESA with single or multiple biopsies, but they often resolve spontaneously without compromising testicular function (35). Large-volume conventional TESE has been associated with a higher risk of a transient or even permanent decrease in serum testosterone levels due to testicular devascularization and excessive tissue removal (34,39). On the other hand, the incidence of complications is lower following micro-TESE compared to conventional TESE (11,25,34,36). In micro-TESE, the testicular vessels under the tunica albuginea are identified prior to the placement of an incision in the testis. In addition, the use of optical magnification and microsurgical techniques allows the preservation of the intratesticular blood supply (34). However, a significant decrease in serum testosterone has been documented following micro-TESE in men who already have diminished androgen production, such as Klinefelter syndrome patients (33). Nonetheless, testosterone levels return to pre-surgical values in most individuals within 12 months following surgery (39). In fact, Ramasamy et al. reported a return to 95% of the pre micro-TESE testosterone levels after 18 months (34). Given the potential serious postoperative complications of SR, it is recommended that these procedures be performed by surgeons who have specific training in the above-mentioned techniques (39).

EXPERT COMMENTARY

The literature is rich in studies focusing on different sperm retrieval methods. Both percutaneous and microsurgical methods have high success rates, in the range of 90-100%, for OA (37,38,42-44). A series of studies on NOA has reported overall successful retrieval rates (SRRs) ranging from 30-60% (11,14,22,24,25,38,45-50), which means that 30-60% of men with NOA have focal areas of sperm production within the testes.

In a recent study, we reported a cumulative success rate of 97.3% for percutaneous retrievals in OA cases (38). Epididymal sperm retrievals were successful in 78.0% of the cases, and subsequent attempts at testicular retrieval were successful in the vast majority of failed epididymal retrievals. We concluded that percutaneous SR was a reliable method for obtaining sperm for ICSI in OA. Our overall complication rate following percutaneous retrievals was 5.5%, and we noted that complications, albeit of minimal morbidity, occurred more often in the patients undergoing TESA compared with those undergoing PESA. For this reason, we use percutaneous methods for sperm acquisition in OA and preferentially use PESA over TESA.

In our recent study, sperm cryopreservation was possible in one-third of the cases. Although increased cryopreservation rates have been reported for open SR, the associated costs of this procedure are significantly higher (5). Percutaneous approaches, on the other hand, can be performed under local anesthesia on an outpatient basis, and, if needed, repeat percutaneous procedures may result in successful SR (37). It is still a matter of debate whether percutaneous retrievals are more cost-effective than MESA. No study has yet compared the cumulative pregnancy rates after repeated cycles of percutaneous retrievals and ICSI with a single MESA attempt for intentional sperm cryopreservation coupled with multiple subsequent ICSI cycles. Nonetheless, the ICSI outcomes using frozen-thawed or fresh sperm retrieved from men with OA are comparable (51).

The testicular SRRs associated with the different etiological categories of non-obstructive azoospermia—namely, cryptorchidism, varicocele, orchitis, genetic, radio/ chemotherapy and idiopathic—are comparable (14,33,52-55). The efficiency of sperm retrieval in NOA males varies depending on the method of sperm collection. The TESA retrieval rates range from 10-30% (11,35,39,46,47,56,57) except in the favorable cases of a previous successful TESA or a testicular histopathology showing hypospermatogenesis. In such cases, the TESA SRRs are greater than 60% (14,53). A recent meta-analysis reported a mean TESA SRR of 49.5% (11). TESA with multiple biopsies has a higher SRR than fine-needle aspiration (TEFNA), especially in cases of Sertoli cell-only (SCO) syndrome and maturation arrest (11). The reported micro-TESE retrieval rates range...
From the clinical standpoint, the goals of sperm retrieval are three-fold: i) to obtain an adequate number of the highest quality sperm possible, which can be immediately used for ICSI or alternatively cryopreserved for future ICSI attempts; ii) to minimize damage to the reproductive tract, thus preserving the option of repeated retrieval attempts and testicular function. Moreover, the testis is usually delivered, thus causing some degree of tension on the spermatic cord. As a result, a more intense nociceptive stimulus is expected, which requires an anesthesia technique capable of providing increased analgesia in addition to autonomic response blockade. Deep sedation with assisted ventilation or even general anesthesia with controlled ventilation using drugs with a short or ultrashort duration is the preferred technique. However, it is also possible to obtain sufficient anesthesia using spermatic cord block associated with mild to moderate sedation (32). For this purpose, we use a 4-mg.kg\(^{-1}\) induction dose of propofol followed by a 60- to 100-\(\mu\)g.kg\(^{-1}\).min\(^{-1}\) infusion under spontaneous or assisted ventilation using a face mask with 100% oxygen, according to the needs and characteristics of the patient. Small amounts of an opioid, such as fentanyl (1 to 2 \(\mu\)g.kg\(^{-1}\)) or alfentanil (7 to 15 \(\mu\)g.kg\(^{-1}\)), are added before the surgeon injects 1% lidocaine at the incision site. The cord block is achieved with 4 to 6 mL of the same local anesthetic, which is injected by the surgeon when the pampiniform plexus is exposed.

### KEY ISSUES

- Sperm retrieval techniques (SRTs) are surgical methods that have been developed to retrieve spermatozoa from the epididymides and the testicles of azoospermic men seeking fertility treatment.

- After sperm acquisition, intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) is used in place of standard in vitro fertilization (IVF) because ICSI has been shown to result in a significantly higher fertilization rate.

- From the clinical standpoint, the goals of sperm retrieval are two-fold: i) to obtain an adequate number of the highest quality sperm possible, which can be immediately used for ICSI or alternatively cryopreserved for future ICSI attempts, and ii) to minimize damage to the reproductive tract, thus preserving the option of repeated retrieval attempts and testicular function.

- The two general SR methods are open surgery and percutaneous acquisition. Open surgery can be carried out to retrieve spermatozoa from the epididymis or the testicle with or without microsurgery. Percutaneous retrievals require a needle to be percutaneously inserted into the sperm source, i.e., the epididymis or the testicle. Irrespective of the method used, the goal is to obtain the epididymal fluid or the seminiferous tubules and their contents.

- Epididymal retrievals are only indicated in cases of obstructive azoospermia, whereas testicular extractions can be used in both obstructive and non-obstructive azoospermia cases.

- Sperm production is normal and gametes can be easily retrieved from the epididymis or testis in virtually all cases of obstructive azoospermia. In obstructive azoospermia, the choice of sperm retrieval technique should be based on the surgeon’s preferences and expertise, as there is no evidence that one particular method is superior to another. Although increased cryopreservation rates have been reported for open surgical retrieval methods, the costs of these methods are significantly higher. Percutaneous approaches, on the other hand, can be performed under local anesthesia on an outpatient basis and, if needed, be repeated to achieve a successful recovery in the immediate postoperative period and for up to 4 months. We prefer to couple cryopreservation with the surgical retrieval technique as the ambient temperature of the cryopreservation system is approximately 30°C.
Sperm production is markedly impaired or absent in men with non-obstructive azoospermia. In this clinical scenario, testicular sperm extraction is the method of choice for sperm retrieval. Overall, successful retrieval rates range from 30-60%, which means that 30-60% of the men with NOA have focal areas of sperm production within the testes.

- The efficiency of retrieval in non-obstructive azoospermia is related to the method of sperm acquisition. Percutaneous testicular aspiration retrieval rates range from 10-30% and are markedly lower than the 50% success rate reported for testicular sperm extractions.

- Microsurgical-guided sperm acquisition has been applied in both epididymal and testicular retrievals. The goal of microsurgical epididymal sperm aspiration (MESA) is to identify and open a single epididymal tubule to enable the aspiration of a sperm-rich, red blood cell-free fluid that can be used for fresh sperm injection or cryopreserved for a single or multiple later ICSI attempts. In microsurgical testicular sperm extraction (micro-TESE), the testicular parenchyma is dissected under magnification to search for enlarged seminiferous tubules, which are more likely to contain germ cells and foci of sperm production. MESA is indicated for OA cases, whereas micro-TESE is recommended for the most severe NOA cases.

- Microsurgical retrievals require microsurgical training, microscopic instruments and an operating microscope. These techniques are associated with increased operative time and costs.

- Micro-TESE has superior sperm retrieval rates and requires the removal of much less tissue than conventional open testicular retrievals. Micro-TESE has been successfully used in different populations of men with testicular failure.

- Complications after testicular retrievals include intratesticular hematoma, pain, swelling, infection, and hydrocele. Most complications resolve spontaneously without compromising testicular function. The extraction of a large volume of testicular parenchyma may lead to a transient or permanent decrease in serum testosterone levels due to testicular devascularization and excessive tissue removal. The incidence of complications is lower following micro-TESE than conventional TESE because the former procedure enables the excision of a minimal amount of tissue and preserves the vasculature.

- Sperm retrieval techniques are relatively simple surgeries that can be safely completed with general anesthesia or spinal blocks. However, because these surgeries are typically outpatient procedures, the latest trend is to employ local or locoregional anesthesia with or without intravenous sedation. The combination of local anesthesia and intravenous sedation offers the patient the analgesic effectiveness of local anesthetics combined with the comfort and effective control of anxiety provided by intravenous sedation. When this combination includes propofol, patients experience greater satisfaction, recovering quickly and with minimal adverse effects. These patients may also benefit from the advantages of outpatient procedures.
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