The role of growth factor receptors in viral infections: An opportunity for drug repurposing against emerging viral diseases such as COVID-19?
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INTRODUCTION

The recent and rapid worldwide spread of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), that gives rise to the coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19), points to the urgent need for therapies against emerging new viruses. As there is currently no vaccine nor effective antiviral therapy for SARS-CoV-2, innovative approaches need to be developed rapidly. The repurposing of existing drugs, which are currently used, or have been used, against...
other diseases, represents a potential fast track to effective clinical treatment. Large-scale and hypothesis-free drug screening against viral infections can be costly and time consuming and, therefore, targeted strategies are more suitable. However, a major difficulty is often the limited amount of information about the molecular mechanisms involved in the pathogenicity of a virus when a new outbreak starts.

Viruses are cellular parasites that infect eukaryotic and prokaryotic cells and “hijack” their cellular machinery to replicate themselves before being released to further infect neighboring cells and eventually other organisms. The first step in viral infection is the attachment of the virus to the plasma membrane and its entry into the cell, which is followed by intracellular viral replication and finally the release of the newly formed viruses. With regard to COVID-19, it is known that the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) ACE2 provides the cell membrane receptor entry point for SARS-CoV-2.\(^2\) The structure-function relationships and antigenicity of the viral SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein have also been established.\(^4\) The structural basis for the attachment of SARS-CoV-2 to the cell membrane is the binding of the receptor binding domain (RBD) of the surface spike glycoprotein (S protein) of SARS-CoV-2 to ACE2, as revealed by X-ray crystallography.\(^5\) In addition, it has also been shown that SARS-CoV-2 uses the transmembrane protease serine 2 (TMPRSS2) for S protein priming (cleavage of the fusion domain) and the inhibition of TMPRSS2 by a clinically approved inhibitor might block further infection.\(^3\) Such narratives are currently developing; it is still early, and these initial findings obviously do not preclude the identification of other molecular partners involved in the entry and replication of SARS-CoV-2 in human cells.

Growth factor receptors (GFRs), are transmembrane proteins expressed in eukaryotic cells and whose primary function is to bind to extracellular polypeptide growth factors. The binding of specific growth factors to GFRs results in the activation of their intracellular protein kinase domain that initiates a cascade of signaling events ultimately leading to the regulation of cell growth. Interestingly, GFRs have also been identified as necessary for the entry of some viruses, including coronaviruses, and GFR signaling is involved in viral replication in many instances. Drugs targeting GFRs and their signaling are currently used in clinical practice for the treatment of cancer and given the role of GFRs in virus entry and replication, these drugs could potentially be repurposed against viral infections. In this article, the evidence for the link between viral infection and GFRs will be reviewed, and the value of repurposing current oncologic drugs targeting GFRs in order to expand effective antiviral strategies, including in the fight against COVID-19, will be discussed.

## 2 ROLE OF GFRS IN CELLULAR GROWTH

In multicellular organisms such as the human body, growth factors and GFRs are essential during embryogenesis and post-natal development because they orchestrate cell survival, proliferation, migration and differentiation in all tissues and organs. In the adult body, GFRs are involved in the control of cellular turnover and homeostasis, as well as in tissue repair and regeneration, and their deregulation can lead to cancer. Nerve growth factor (NGF) and epidermal growth factor (EGF) were the first growth factors to be identified, leading to the award of the 1986 Nobel prize in Physiology and Medicine to Stanley Cohen and Rita Levi-Montalcini.\(^6,7\) Subsequently, the receptor for EGF (EGFR) was characterized and shown to be a transmembrane receptor with an intracellular tyrosine kinase activity that initiates a cascade of downstream protein phosphorylation when it is engaged by EGF.\(^6\) As more growth factors were identified, a complex picture of associated GFRs emerged. To date, more than 20 families of GFRs have been described, and, as initially reported for EGFR, most GFRs exhibit a tyrosine kinase activity;\(^8\) the only notable exception being the receptors for members of the transforming growth factor betas (TGFβRs), which exhibit a serine/threonine kinase activity.\(^9\) Most tyrosine kinase GFRs activate the same set of intracellular kinases, including but not limited to SRC, AKT, PI3PK and ultimately the MAP kinases, all of which translocate into the nucleus to regulate gene expression.\(^8\) In contrast, the serine/threonine kinase activity of TGFβRs ultimately leads to the activation and nuclear translocation of members of the SMAD transcription factor family.\(^9\)

There are three common characteristics shared by most GFRs: a virtually ubiquitous localization throughout tissues and organs; the existence of multiple GFRs genes/proteins within the same family; and the wide range of cellular effects induced by the same GFRs. This is well illustrated by the receptors for the fibroblast growth factors (FGFRs). There are four different FGFRs (FGFRs1-4) genes generating seven isoforms. All are expressed in most human cell types and, upon ligand binding, the resultant stimulation of their tyrosine kinases induces a wide range of cellular effects including cell proliferation in epithelial cells and fibroblasts, the migration of endothelial cells, as well as the differentiation of neurons and their subsequent neurite outgrowth. Notably, the activity of FGFRs is also co-regulated by a class of unbranched glycosaminoglycans, the heparan sulfates (HS), which are abundant on both the cell surface and within the extracellular matrix. HSs make many FGF/FGFR interactions much more efficient by cross-binding them into trimeric complexes, thus facilitating the activation of FGFRs and their downstream signaling pathways.\(^10\)
3  |  GFR ACTIVITY IN VIRAL ENTRY AND REPLICATION

The evidence for GFR involvement in viral infections is listed in Table 1, summarized in Figure 1, and further described below.

3.1  |  EGFR and viral infections

As early as 1978, it was reported that the growth of cytomegalovirus and herpes simplex virus type 1 in human fibroblasts could be altered by EGF,11 but the relationship between EGF and viral infection was not understood. As a result, the crosstalk between EGFR and viral protein synthesis was explored, but it was not until 2011 that the EGFR was shown to be the co-factor responsible for the entry of hepatitis C virus (HCV) into human cells.12 This study demonstrated that EGFRs and the EphA2 tyrosine kinase receptors together mediate HCV entry by regulating CD81-claudin-1 co-receptor association, and thus viral glycoprotein-dependent membrane fusion. This study was also the first to show that tyrosine kinase inhibitors can have substantial antiviral activity.12 In turn, the HCV upregulates EGFR activation, which favors further viral entry.13 The involvement of EGFRs with viral internalization and transport to the endosomal network was further confirmed in the case of hepatitis B virus (HBV)14,15 as well as for gastroenteritis viruses.16,17 The vaccinia virus (VACV) has also been shown to co-opt EGFR signaling to enhance virus spread through the stimulation of cell motility that contributes to the rapid spread of infection.18 Significantly, many respiratory viruses have been shown to induce EGFR activation, leading to suppressed interferon regulating factor-1 (IRF1)-dependent interferon λ and thus lowered antiviral defense in airway epithelium.16,19 Thus, EGFRs are not only portals for virus entry, but are also involved in the suppression of the immune response of the host.

The overactivation of EGFRs could also result in other long-term issues for patients affected by respiratory viruses,

| Growth factor receptors (GFRs) | Role in viral infections |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| EGFRs                         | Hepatitis C virus entry and internalization<sup>12,13</sup>  |
| Gastroenteritis virus entry and internalization<sup>14,15</sup>  |
| Vaccinia virus spread<sup>17</sup>  |
| Suppression of interferon mediated immune defense by many viruses<sup>16,19</sup>  |
| SARS-CoV -induced pulmonary fibrosis<sup>20</sup>  |
| FGFRs                         | Herpes simplex virus entry and internalization<sup>21,22</sup>  |
| Adeno associate virus entry<sup>25</sup>  |
| Zika virus replication<sup>26</sup>  |
| Influenza virus replication<sup>27</sup>  |
| Dengue virus replication<sup>28</sup>  |
| Epstein Barr virus-induced cell transformation<sup>29</sup>  |
| MERS-CoV induced lung cell apoptosis<sup>30</sup>  |
| HSPGs (GFR co-receptors)      | Viruses entry and internalization<sup>21,31,32</sup>  |
| Coronaviruses entry<sup>33-37</sup>  |
| TGFbR                         | Replication of respiratory syncytial virus<sup>41,42</sup>  |
| MERS-CoV induced lung fibrosis<sup>30</sup>  |
| SARS-CoV induced lung fibrosis<sup>43</sup>  |
| TrkA                          | Influenza virus replication<sup>45</sup>  |
| HIV-1 virus replication<sup>46</sup>  |
| Rhinoviruses entry<sup>47,48</sup>  |
| EphA2                         | Hepatitis C virus entry<sup>12</sup>  |
| Epstein-Barr virus entry<sup>30,51</sup>  |
| PDGFR                         | Influenza virus entry and internalization<sup>49</sup>  |
| HGFR                          | Adeno virus entry<sup>44</sup>  |

Abbreviations: EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; FGFRs, fibroblast growth factor receptors; HSPGs, heparan sulfate proteoglycans; TGFbR, transforming growth factor beta receptors; TrkA, tropomyosin-related tyrosine kinase A (NGF receptors); EphA2, ephrin receptor A2; PDGFR, platelet derived growth factor receptors; HGFR, hepatocyte growth factor receptor; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; MERS-CoV, middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus

TABLE 1 Representative evidence for the involvement of GFRs in viral infections
as was shown with the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus; EGRF overactivation leads to increased pulmonary fibrosis. It is therefore tempting to hypothesize that EGFR inhibition might also reduce the risk of further pulmonary fibrotic damage after coronavirus infection.

## 3.2 FGFRs and viral infection

The first study demonstrating a role for FGFRs in viral infection reported that they were a portal of cellular entry for the herpes simplex virus type 1, and presumed it was through the binding of the virus to extracellular domain of FGFRs triggers internalization and the formation of virus-containing endosome. Fusion with lysosomes induces the destruction of the protein component of the virus and the liberation of the nucleic acid (RNA or DNA depending on virus type). The cell machinery will then replicate and transcribe the viral nucleic acid, ultimately leading to the formation of new viral particles that will be released. Viral entry and replication are also activated by FGFR signaling and monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) against FGFRs or inhibitors of their tyrosine kinase signaling, which are used in cancer treatment, have been shown to reduce viral replication of many viruses. Noteworthy, FGFR activation by viruses has been reported to suppress interferon-mediated immune defense. Also, chloroquine, an inhibitor of lysosomal activity that is currently in clinical trial for COVID-19, could potentially interfere with the role of FGFRs in viral infection by inhibiting the lysosomal degradation of virus-containing endosomes.

Similar to the case of EGFRs, FGFR activation may trigger long-term consequences for virus infected patients. The activation of the FGFR1 signaling pathway by Epstein-Barr virus-encoded LMP1 promotes aerobic glycolysis and ultimately the cancerous transformation of human nasopharyngeal epithelial cells. Taken together, as FGFRs and heparan sulfates participate in viral infection, the data strongly suggest they could potentially be targeted to inhibit virus development. Supporting this contention, the middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), a close relative to SARS-CoV-2, is known to induce lung cell apoptosis that results in major lung damage by upregulating FGF-2.

## 3.3 HS proteoglycans (HSPGs) and viral infections

As well as facilitating FGFR activation, the HS complexed into proteoglycan complexes to form HSPGs represent a

---

**FIGURE 1** Growth factor receptors (GFRs) involvement in viral entry and replication. Many viruses use GFRs, including Heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs), as attachment and entry point in human cells. Binding of the virus to extracellular domain of GFRs triggers internalization and the formation of virus-containing endosome. Fusion with lysosomes induces the destruction of the protein component of the virus and the liberation of the nucleic acid (RNA or DNA depending on virus type). The cell machinery will then replicate and transcribe the viral nucleic acid, ultimately leading to the formation of new viral particles that will be released. Viral entry and replication are also activated by GFR signaling and monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) against GFRs or inhibitors of their tyrosine kinase signaling, which are used in cancer treatment, have been shown to reduce viral replication of many viruses. Noteworthy, GFR activation by viruses has been reported to suppress interferon-mediated immune defense. Also, chloroquine, an inhibitor of lysosomal activity that is currently in clinical trial for COVID-19, could potentially interfere with the role of GFRs in viral infection by inhibiting the lysosomal degradation of virus-containing endosomes.
separate entry point for viruses into human cells. This was first shown for the herpes simplex virus, whose initial interaction with cells is via binding to cell surface HSPGs.\textsuperscript{31,32} There is now abundant evidence that coronaviruses use HSPGs to attach to the plasma membrane before internalization, with the first demonstrations provided for a murine coronavirus\textsuperscript{33} and an avian bronchitis coronavirus.\textsuperscript{34} Similarly, the human coronavirus NL63 also utilizes such HSPGs for attachment to target nasopharyngeal cells.\textsuperscript{35,36} Interestingly, a SARS virus variant has also been shown to utilize HSPG for cell entry, which can be competitively inhibited by lactoferrin.\textsuperscript{37} Together, these data on coronaviruses suggest that SARS-CoV-2 is also likely to bind to HSPG; preliminary data have recently been released that reinforce this hypothesis.\textsuperscript{38} In this study, the authors used surface plasmon resonance and circular dichroism to measure the interaction between the SARS-CoV-2 Spike S1 protein receptor binding domain (SARS-CoV-2 S1 RBD) and heparin.\textsuperscript{38} The data strongly suggested an interaction between the recombinant surface receptor binding domain of the virus and HS. The therapeutic targeting of HSPGs thus appears a relatively straightforward way to inhibit the infectivity of SARS-CoV-2.

3.4 TGFbRs and viral infections

TGFbRs have not been reported as a cellular entry point for viruses; however, TGFbR stimulation appears to regulate viral replication. It was initially shown that TGFbR stimulation resulted in the suppression of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) expression and replication.\textsuperscript{39} The same inhibitory effect of TGFbRs was found with HCV.\textsuperscript{40} In contrast, TGFbRs enhance respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) replication in epithelial cells\textsuperscript{41} via reduction of hepatocyte nuclear factor-4a expression.\textsuperscript{42}

Of note, the MERS coronavirus induces apoptosis in lung cells by upregulating SMAD7, a key protein involved in TGFbR signaling.\textsuperscript{30} The nucleocapsid of the coronavirus SARS-CoV interacts with SMAD3 to modulate TGFbR signaling, resulting in the promotion of lung fibrosis\textsuperscript{43} and possible long-term deleterious effects for patients.

3.5 Other GFRs in viral infections

There is less information on the role of other classes of GFRs in virus infection. The hepatocyte growth factor receptor (HGFR) is a known co-receptor for cell entry of adeno-associated virus type 2 infection.\textsuperscript{44} Pharmacological inhibition of the NGF receptor TrkA has been shown to suppress influenza virus replication.\textsuperscript{45} NGF stimulates HIV-1 replication in primary macrophages by signaling through TrkA, with the involvement of reticular calcium, protein kinase C, extracellular signal-regulated kinase, p38 kinase, and nuclear factor-kB.\textsuperscript{46} NGF-induced enhancement of HIV-1 replication occurs during the late events of the HIV-1-replicative cycle, with a concomitant increase in viral transcription and production.\textsuperscript{46} Similarly, it has been shown that human rhinoviruses (HRV) upregulate the NGF-TrkA pathway in airway epithelial cells, which in turn amplifies viral replication by increasing HRV entry via ICAM-1 receptors and by limiting apoptosis of nasopharyngeal cells.\textsuperscript{37,48} Recent evidence suggests that the influenza virus uses the GM3-enhanced platelet-derived growth factor receptor beta (PDGFRb) signaling pathway for cell penetration;\textsuperscript{49} this study also reported that the tyrosine kinase inhibitor Ki8751 disrupts the endocytotic process of influenza A and B viruses induced by PDGFRb.\textsuperscript{49} Importantly, the tyrosine kinase ephrin receptor A2 has been reported to participate in HCV entry\textsuperscript{12} and is also an epithelial receptor for Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) entry into epithelial cells, thus increasing the risk of malignancy.\textsuperscript{50,51}
Have been shown to bind to HS to enter target cells, it can be hypothesized that heparin should act to prevent cell attachment of SARS-CoV-2. Preliminary data have indicated that indeed heparin does bind to the surface protein (spike) S1 of this virus and may therefore be therapeutic for COVID-19.

5 | CONCLUSION: ANTI-GFR DRUG REPURPOSING FOR EMERGING VIRAL INFECTIONS INCLUDING COVID-19

The literature described herein emphasizes the pivotal involvement of GFRs, and co-receptors such as HSPGs, in viral entry and replication. In the face of the current lack of a vaccine or other effective therapies for the treatment of emerging viral diseases such as COVID-19, with their dramatic health and economic consequences, the targeting of GFRs using existing oncologic drugs represents an intriguing opportunity. Given the rapidity of COVID-19 spread throughout the world, drug repurposing offers a fast track for the introduction of therapies using known clinically approved molecules. The value of drug repurposing to fight SARS-CoV-2 is well illustrated with chloroquine/hydroxychloroquine, an inexpensive drug with low toxicity that has been used for more than 60 years for the treatment of malaria. It has shown promising effects in reducing the viral load and the duration of infection in initial clinical trials involving patients with COVID-19. Interestingly, chloroquine is known to inhibit the activation of mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs), which are activated by GFRs. For the HCoV-229 coronavirus, chloroquine-induced virus inhibition occurs via its inhibition of MAPK, suggesting that MAPK-activating GFRs are involved. Although at this stage it has not yet been demonstrated that GFRs are involved in SARS-CoV-2 cell entry and replication, the current knowledge about the role of GFRs in other viral infections, including other coronaviruses, constitutes a rational basis to begin testing the value of repurposed anti-GFRs drugs against emerging viral diseases, and COVID-19 in particular.
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