Inheritance of Seed Set, Germination, and Day Neutrality/Heat Delay Insensitivity of Garden Chrysanthemums (Dendranthema ×grandiflora) under Glasshouse and Field Conditions
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ABSTRACT. Commercial chrysanthemums are short day (SD) plants. Recently, several day neutral (DN) garden genotypes have been identified. Both glasshouse and garden cultivars vary in heat delay insensitivity (HDI). This research analyzed yield components (seed set, germination, yield potential) and tested a DN/HDI ideotype for its effectiveness. Progeny from a 6 × 6 diallal were embryo rescued, clonal ramets were grown in two environments (glasshouse—long days; field—long to short days) and evaluated for flowering, early flowering response groups, thermozero temperature response, low long day leaf number (LDLN), high leaf initiation rates, and low mean stem lengths of the terminal shoot. Self seedset ranged from 0% to 8% while outcross seedset was 0% to 92%. General and specific combining ability were highly significant for seed set, the reciprocals, and their interactions. Germination averaged 67%, while yield potential was 44%. Cotyledon pigmentation in embryo rescued seedlings was 7% albino, 15% anthocyanin (transposable elements), and 78% normal (green). SD parents did not flower in either photoperiod although PPSL-10 carried alleles for DN, SD x DN crosses produced some DN progeny and fit a 1:3 chi square ratio (DN:SD), indicating DN to be recessive. However, DN x DN crosses also fit a 3:1 chi square rate, due to HDI. No progeny flowered within the 3 to 6 week ideotype; visible bud date had a heritability of \( h^2 = 0.50 \). Most progeny were within the LDLN range \( h^2 = 0.72 \). Several leaf initiation rates exceeded the ideotype \( h^2 = 0.003 \); plant height also matched the ideotype \( h^2 = 0.66 \). Both visible bud and flowering dates require significant improvement before progeny match the DN/HDI ideotype.

Garden and glasshouse chrysanthemums, Dendranthema ×grandiflora Tzvel., are allopolyploid \( (2n = 6x = 54) \), self-incompatible (SI) outcrossers (Anderson et al., 1992). Cultivated chrysanthemums are vegetatively propagated, due to high levels of heterozygosity (Mulford, 1937). Inbred garden types have been created via pseudo-self compatibility (PSC), allowing for hybrid seed-propagated cultivars (Anderson and Ascher, 1996). Random outcrosses between noninbred, unrelated chrysanthemum parents produce 36% to 71% seed set, although < 50% is the norm (Ronald, 1974). Percent germination and yield potential declined in inbreds (Anderson et al., 1992).

Most glasshouse chrysanthemums are facultative short day (SD) plants for flower bud initiation (FBI), but qualitative (obligate) SD plants for flower bud development (FBD) (Cockshull and Kofranek, 1992). Garden and glasshouse chrysanthemums initiate terminal flower buds under long days (LD) (Langton, 1977) with characteristic subtending bracts. DN garden genotypes have been created that flower under any photoperiod (Anderson et al., 1989).

HDI can occur with both glasshouse and garden chrysanthemums under SD photoperiods. Selection would be for genotypes with all traits. Anderson and Ascher (2001) found three garden genotypes that were DN under various photoperiods. One genotype (83-267-3) was both DN and HDI for all flower buds. Other chrysanthemum genotypes differed for FBI and flowering requirements for the first six flower buds. An ideotype was proposed for DN and HDI garden chrysanthemums for use as a selection tool in the prescribed LD, high temperature environment. The ideotype includes joint selection for the following traits: FBI and FBD in SD and LD, flowering response group, thermozero temperature response, low LDLN and high leaf initiation rate, and stem length of terminal shoot. For FBI/FBD in SD and LD photoperiods, the DN/HDI ideotype would flower (3 to 6 week response group) in SD (8 h light, ±16.7 °C night), LD far-red light (incandescent light, 2200 to 0200 HR night interruption lighting, ±26.7 °C night), and continuous (24 h) LD far-red ± red light (±28.3 °C day/night) photoperiods. The terminal and subtending lateral flower buds would flower in all photoperiods. Selection would be for genotypes with low LDLN and early flowering. This would provide earlier flowering selections, provided the genotypes have sufficient vegetative growth to achieve commercially acceptable plant height. Genotypes would also be selected for a flat temperature response above or below 15.5°C (thermozero). Selections would possess LDLN in the range of 13 to 20 and a high leaf initiation rate of 0.58 to 0.82 leaves/d. LDLN has a broad sense heritability of \( h^2 = 0.79 \) while leaf initiation rate heritability is \( h^2 = 0.767 \) (Langton and Cockshull, 1976). The DN/HDI ideotype also has low mean stem length heritability \( h^2 = 0.91 \).

This DN/HDI ideotype has yet to be tested for its effectiveness in producing the desired garden chrysanthemum phenotype. The objectives of this research were to use a diallel mating system to study yield components (seed set, germination, yield potential) and test the effectiveness of the DN/HDI ideotype in selecting genotypes with all traits.
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Parental and Hybrid Germplasm. Six fertile inbred and recombinant inbred garden chrysanthemum parents determined DN/HDI inheritance: AGSL-1, PPSL-10, 83-267-3, 83-14-13, 81-45-15, and 85-341-9. The parental coefficients of inbreeding (F) varied from F = 0.0 (AGSL-1, PPSL-1; Anderson, et al., 1992), F = 0.3125 (83-14-13), F = 0.656 (85-341-9; Anderson, et al., 1992), to F = 0.75 (81-45-15, 83-267-3). Previous research demonstrated the fertility levels, photoperiodic responses, and flowering under high temperatures for these six clones (Anderson and Ascher, 2001). Two parents were facultative SD for FBI and obligate SD for FBD (AGSL-1, PPSL-10), while the remainder were DN for FBI and FBD for some or all of the 1–6th flower buds (Anderson and Ascher 2001). Only 83-267-3 was DN and HDI for the terminal, first and third flower buds, plants height (cm), LDLN, no. of bracts (strap-shaped leaves), and leaf initiation rate [(number of days to visible bud date)/LDLN]. In the field, flowering of the first and third flower buds was recorded. The fraction of flowering: nonflowering progeny (for the first flower) was calculated. The first flower is the critical bud to determine DN/HDI (Anderson and Ascher, 2001).

Data analyses. Ovule counts and seed set data were analyzed using Self Incompatibility Genetic Modeling Application Systems, SIGMAS (Liedl and Anderson, 1986). Percent self seed set, percent outcross seed set, and percent PSC for the population and on a per plant basis were determined. Griffling’s Method 1, Model 1 (fixed effects) diallel analysis was used for percent seedset to determine general combining ability (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) (Griffing, 1956). Diallel analysis was not performed for germination and yield potential due to missing data (nongerminating progeny, pooled replicates). Diallel analysis was done using DIALLEL-SAS (Zhang and Kang, 1997). Seed set data were transformed using empirical logits (due to the presence of zeroes caused by SI): ln ((a ± ½)/(b ± ½)) where a = no. of seeds and b = (no. of ovules − no. of seeds) or the no. of failed pollinations. The ½ is included to eliminate zeroes.

For the glasshouse environment, reciprocal crosses were not bulked for visible bud date, height, LDLN, no. of bracts, and leaf initiation rate. Families with only one offspring were omitted from analysis. ANOVA and multiple pairwise comparisons were performed. Height and no. of bracts required no data transformation; visible bud date and LDLN were both transformed by [sqrt(vbd)] (Freeman and Tukey, 1950). The GT-2 (Hochberg, 1970) unplanned mean comparison was used for all four variables due to unequal sample sizes.

No transformation could stabilize the variance for leaf initiation rate; ANOVA was performed on the untransformed data. The F test is conservative because the family variances are correlated with family sample size. The Games–Howell minimum significant difference for comparison of means was used due to heterogeneity of the variance across families (Games and Howell, 1976). The minimum significant difference is given by

\[ MSD_q = Q_{q,k,v} \left( s_{y_1}^2 + s_{y_2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \]

where

\[ v^* = \left( s_{y_1}^2 - s_{y_2}^2 \right) \left( s_{y_1}^2 + s_{y_2}^2 \right) \left( n_1 - 1 \right) \left( n_2 - 1 \right) \]

and k = the number of treatments (families) and Q_{q,k,v\ast} is obtained from a studentized range table.

Data analyses of flowering date of the terminal (first) flower from both environments included only plants that flowered. Field and glasshouse data were analyzed separately, since genotypes flowering in each environment differed. ANOVA and mean separations were not performed for flowering date, since family size...
and no. of flowering progeny varied widely. Instead, Chi-square ($\chi^2$) tests for flowering:nonflowering segregations, as a measurement of DN/HDI, were performed for the simplest inheritance patterns of 1:1 (simplex x nulliplex), 3:1 (if DN and HDI were a dominant in outcross progeny or b) not recessive and occurred in a heterozygous state for self progenies), and 1:3 (if DN and HDI were recessive in outcross progeny) (Langton, 1980). Reciprocals were bulked, but were still too small and values were pooled as SD selfed, SD x SD, SD x DN, DN x DN, DN selfed; heterogeneity $\chi^2$ tests were performed.

Hybrid means for visible bud date, height, LDLN, no. of bracts, and leaf initiation rates were regressed on midparent means (weighted least squared regression, due to unequal family sizes); families with $n = 1$ progeny were eliminated. The regression coefficient was interpreted as narrow-sense heritability ($h^2$). Family weights were computed using the Kempthorne-Tandon method (Kempthorne and Tandon, 1953). The reference was a segregating population (Fehr, 1987).

**Results**

Self seed set, pooled across crossing cycles 1 and 2, ranged from 0% to 64 seeds (data not shown). Percent self seed set ranged from 0% to 8.1% (Table 1). Outcross seed set ranged from 0% to 38%, while percent outcross seed set was 0% to 92.3% (Table 1). The mean outcross seed set (3.8) exceeded the mean self seed set (2.6), indicating an SI system (Liedl and Anderson, 1993). The percent PSC for the diallel was 7.9%. On a per plant basis, percent PSC ranged from 0% (81-45-15; SD), 0.7% (83-341-9), 6.0% (PPSL-10), 7.1% (83-267-3), 10.4% (83-14-13), to 26.8% (AGSL-1). Mean squares for seed set were highly significant for GCA (4.0, $P < 0.001$, df = 5), SCA (17.1, $P < 0.001$, df = 15), and reciprocals (7.9, $P < 0.001$, df = 15). All interactions with crossing cycles were also highly significant, i.e., GCA x environment (7.5, $P < 0.001$, df = 5), SCA x environment (8.7, $P < 0.001$, df = 15), and reciprocals x environment (5.4, $P < 0.001$, df = 15).

Percent in vitro germination ranged from 0.0 to 100.0% with a mean of 67.3% (Table 2). In several instances both reciprocal hybrid crosses had 100% germination, e.g., AGSL-1 x 85-341-9 (Table 2). In most other crosses, percent germination of reciprocals varied widely, e.g., AGSL-1 x 81-45-15. Similar to percent germination, in vivo yield potential varied from 0.0% to 100.0% with a pooled mean of 44.1% (Table 2). Unlike the results found for germination, reciprocals differed for yield potential in all crosses. For selves with $n > 1$ germinating progeny, the yield potential was as high as 45.0% (PPSL-10) to 60.0% (83-267-3) (Table 2).

Cotyledon pigmentation in embryo rescued progeny varied from green, to albino, and to anthocyanin transposable element expression (Fig. 1). Anthocyanins were expressed in several, but not all, individual cotyledon epidermis cells (Fig. 1). Anthocyanin expression has never been observed with in vivo germinated chrysanthemums, but appears periodically with embryo rescued seedlings (N. Anderson, unpublished data). Mean cotyledon pigmentation of embryo rescued and germinated seedlings for all pollinations ranged from 6.9% (albino), 15.0% (anthocyanin), to 78.1% (chlorophyll) (Table 2). Albino seedlings continued to grow vegetatively in vitro and all true leaves were also albino (lethal). Anthocyanin pigmentation was not correlated with albinism (data not shown). Both 83-267-3 and PPSL-10 did not produce any albino seedling when used as parents (Table 2). AGSL-1 and the DN inbred parents from the 77-AM2 inbred family did produce albinos. All other parents yielded varying levels of albinos. Anthocyanin expression did not follow the same trends. Only selves from two parents produced progeny with anthocyanin expression: 83-14-13 (66.6%) and 83-267-3 (25.0%) (Table 2). In all outcrosses except one there were reciprocal differences for anthocyanin expression, i.e., 0% in one direction and >1% in the reciprocal. The exception was PPSL-10 x 85-341-9, i.e., 20.0% anthocyanin expression and 70.0% for the reciprocal (Table 2).

The no. of days to visible bud ranged from 13.5 (85-341-9) to 63.5 (AGSL-1) for the parents (Table 3). Selfed progeny from 83-14-13, 83-267-3, and 85-341-9 had higher mean number of days to flower than their parents. As expected, SD parents AGSL-1 and PPSL-10 did not flower under long days (Table 3). PPSL-10 carries DN alleles, however, as some selfed progeny flowered. Crosses between SD and DN parents produced some DN hybrid progeny (Table 3); in some instances the F1 hybrids flowered earlier than the DN parent. It was possible to select genotypes from the cross PPSL-10 x 83-14-13 that flowered < 25 d earlier than the parents. Conversely, some progeny from the cross AGSL-1 x 85-341-9 flowered 47 d later than either parent.

Analysis of the progeny flowering response showed the glasshouse to be more stringent (Table 4). SD x DN fit a 1:3 ratio for the glasshouse ($\chi^2 = 0.5$, $P = 0.83$; Table 4), indicating that DN is recessive to SD. Crossing DN x DN parents fit a 3:1 ratio ($\chi^2 = 0.4$, $P = 0.5$; Table 4) although only 64/82 (78%) flowered, rather than 100% as would be expected. However, HDI is a confounding factor.

Average plant height ranged from 18.0 to 45.1 cm (Table 5). Progeny from the cross 83-14-13 x AGSL-1 were significantly shorter than those from 85-341-9 x PPSL-10 (Table 5). The remainder overlapped in their distribution. Average progeny height for reciprocals were split between being a) similar to the midparent value, e.g., AGSL-1 x PPSL-10, etc. or b) greater than the high parent value, e.g., AGSL-1 x 83-14-13, etc. (Table 5).

LDLN ranged from an average of 12 to 32 (Table 5) and were not significantly different. The no. of bracts ranged from 2.0 to 10.7 (Table 5) and most were not significantly different. Leaf initiation rates varied from 0.43 to 1.74 for the progeny (Table 5). The cross 85-341-9 x PPSL-10 had the lowest leaf initiation rate and was significantly different from 83-267-3 x 85-341-9, 81-45-15.

| Male               | AGSL-1 | PPSL-10 | 83-45-15 | 83-14-13 | 83-267-3 | 85-341-9 |
|--------------------|--------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| AGSL-1             | 81.1   | 92.3    | 27.3     | 12.5     | 34.3     | 24.0     |
| PPSL-10            | 27.3   | 3.0     | 27.3     | 71.4     | 48.5     | 67.6     |
| 81-45-15           | 48.6   | 67.3    | 0.0      | 63.6     | 55.1     | 60.9     |
| 83-14-13           | 19.6   | 4.0     | 3.3      | 2.0      | 11.1     | 6.8      |
| 83-267-3           | 4.3    | 67.3    | 1.7      | 4.0      | 2.3      | 43.1     |
| 85-341-9           | 11.0   | 28.6    | 2.2      | 0.0      | 47.5     | 0.2      |

Table 1. SIGMAS computer printout of percent seed set for selves and crosses in a 6 x 6 diallel between four day neutral (81-45-15, 83-14-13, 83-267-3, 85-341-9) and two short day (AGSL-1, PPSL-10) chrysanthemum parents. Data were pooled from cycles 1 and 2 crossing environments.
The remaining progeny overlapped between these groups. Mean squares for visible bud date, height, LDLN, no. of bracts, and leaf initiation rates were highly significant ($P < 0.001$) for the families, intercept, and corrected model (data not shown). Mean square errors were significant at varying levels for visible bud date (MSE = 768, $P < 0.05$), height (MSE = 413, $P < 0.01$), and LDLN (MSE = 456, $P < 0.001$). The increase in significance also meant a corollary rise in heritability ($h^2$): $h^2 = 0.50 \pm 0.14$ for visible bud date, $h^2 = 0.66 \pm 0.12$ for height, and $h^2 = 0.72 \pm 0.19$ for LDLN. The MSE were not significant for no. of bracts and leaf initiation rates; the $h^2$ were negligible ($h^2 = 0.1 \pm 0.17, h^2 = 0.003 \pm 0.14$, respectively).

Fig. 1. Cotyledon pigmentation of embryo rescued chrysanthemum seedlings with anthocyanin transposable element expression (darker colored I1 cells) in an albino background.

Table 2. Number of embryo rescued (ER) chrysanthemum progeny, percent in vitro germination, percent cotyledon pigmentation, and percent in vivo yield potential derived from selling and crossing parents in a 6 × 6 diallel.

| Pollination | ER progeny (no.) | Male parent | In vitro germination (%) | Cotyledon pigmentation$^a$ (%) | In vivo yield potential (%) |
|-------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Female parent | | | | A | C | Al | |
| AGSL-1 | | | | | | |
| Selfed | 64 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 7.8 |
| x PPSL-10 | 12 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 83.3 |
| x 81-45-15 | 9 | 100.0 | 22.2 | 66.7 | 11.1 | 66.7 |
| x 83-14-13 | 3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 100.0 |
| x 83-267-3 | 12 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 |
| x 85-341-9 | 12 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 |
| PPSL-10 | | | | | | |
| Selfed | 20 | 62.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 45.0 |
| x AGSL-1 | 3 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 |
| x 81-45-15 | 6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 |
| x 83-14-13 | 15 | 90.9 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 |
| x 83-267-3 | 16 | 62.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 62.5 |
| x 85-341-9 | 23 | 78.9 | 20.0 | 81.0 | 0.0 | 47.8 |
| 81-45-15 | | | | | | |
| Selfed | 0 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| x AGSL-1 | 35 | 46.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 48.6 |
| x PPSL-10 | 33 | 100.0 | 15.0 | 85.0 | 0.0 | 84.8 |
| x 83-14-13 | 21 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 |
| x 83-267-3 | 38 | 72.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 68.4 |
| x 85-341-9 | 28 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 95.0 | 5.0 | 64.3 |
| 83-14-13 | | | | | | |
| Selfed | 7 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 14.3 |
| x AGSL-1 | 10 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 |
| x PPSL-10 | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| x 81-45-15 | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| x 83-267-3 | 10 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 |
| x 85-341-9 | 6 | 0.0 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 83-267-3 | | | | | | |
| Selfed | 10 | 40.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 |
| x AGSL-1 | 2 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| x PPSL-10 | 35 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 11.4 |
| x 81-45-15 | 1 | 0.0 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| x 83-14-13 | 1 | 0.0 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| x 85-341-9 | 25 | 42.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 36.0 |
| 85-341-9 | | | | | | |
| Selfed | 10 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| x AGSL-1 | 10 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| x PPSL-10 | 16 | 62.5 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 18.8 |
| x 81-45-15 | 2 | 0.0 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| x 83-14-13 | 0 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| x 83-267-3 | 38 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 34.2 |
| Pooled | 526 | 67.3 | 15.0 | 78.1 | 6.9 | 44.1 |

$^a$As a percentage of germinated seedlings, rather than seed set. Cotyledon pigmentation abbreviations: A = anthocyanin expression in cotyledonary cells (transposable elements), C = chlorophyll (normal), Al = white, albino cotyledons (these did not always produce albino true leaves).

$^b$No data available due to either the lack of seed set or 0% germination.
Discussion

The continued expression of SI in advanced inbred and recombinant inbred parents underscores the role of this reproductive barrier in limiting inbred parent development for F1 hybrid seed production. Percent PSC levels reported previously (Anderson and Ascher, 1996) for three parents were similar to levels in the present study. Mean outcross seed set (32.8%) was lower than previous reports (36% to 71%) in random outcross pollinations (Ronald, 1974). Such low levels of outcross seed set are attributable to matched S alleles between inbreds and/or inbreeding depression, rather than source–sink interactions (Anderson et al., 1990).

Table 3. Average ±sd number of days to visible bud and flowering of the first (terminal) flower in chrysanthemum parents and F1 progeny from a 6 × 6 diallel grown under the glasshouse screening environment (long days, high temperature). There were two replications per genotype.

| Female parent | Male parent | Avg ±sd | Avg ±sd |
|---------------|-------------|---------|---------|
|               | No. days to visible bud | No. days to first flower | |
| AGSL-1        | Parent      | 63.5 ±1.5 | ---     |
|               | Selfed      | 56.0 ±17.8 | --- |
|               | x PPSL-10   | 36.0 ±24.2 | --- |
|               | x 81-45-15  | 42.1 ±38.0 | --- |
|               | x 83-14-13  | 29.0 ±7.3  | 58.5 ±10.2 |
|               | x 83-267-3  | 26.2 ±7.3  | --- |
|               | x 85-341-9  | 23.8 ±16.0 | 73.5 ±20.8 |
| PPSL-10       | Parent      | 60.5 ±1.5 | --- |
|               | Selfed      | 19.9 ±18.5 | 78.0 ±44.0 |
|               | x AGSL-1    | 62.0 ±0.0 | --- |
|               | x 81-45-15  | 27.4 ±8.4  | 67.0 ±7.0 |
|               | x 83-14-13  | 20.6 ±9.4  | 60.0 ±27.6 |
|               | x 83-267-3  | 39.8 ±24.9 | 94.5 ±15.5 |
|               | x 85-341-9  | 20.3 ±8.9  | 70.0 ±16.4 |
| 81-45-15      | Parent      | 36.0 ±0.0 | 77.0 ±2.0 |
|               | Selfed      | --- ± ---  | --- |
|               | x AGSL-1    | 43.2 ±18.6 | 100.8 ±28.8 |
|               | x PPSL-10   | 30.7 ±20.2 | 78.5 ±31.6 |
|               | x 83-14-13  | 26.0 ±9.4  | 91.0 ±0.0 |
|               | x 83-267-3  | 26.1 ±12.9 | 70.2 ±21.0 |
|               | x 85-341-9  | 29.7 ±13.2 | 79.2 ±23.0 |
| 83-14-13      | Parent      | 14.0 ±5.0  | 50.5 ±7.5 |
|               | Selfed      | 22.0 ±0.0  | 60.5 ±25.0 |
|               | x AGSL-1    | 10.5 ±11.8 | --- |
|               | x PPSL-10   | --- ± ---  | --- |
|               | x 81-45-15  | 55.5 ±0.5  | --- |
|               | x 83-267-3  | 14.0 ±5.0  | 50.0 ±5.4 |
|               | x 85-341-9  | --- ± ---  | --- |
| 83-267-3      | Parent      | 16.5 ±2.5  | 50.0 ±2.0 |
|               | Selfed      | 26.2 ±18.2 | 55.6 ±23.7 |
|               | x AGSL-1    | 38.8 ±22.1 | 96.5 ±5.5 |
|               | x PPSL-10   | --- ± ---  | --- |
|               | x 81-45-15  | 16.0 ±10.0 | 104.0 ±25.0 |
|               | x 83-14-13  | 31.4 ±14.1 | 65.7 ±28.9 |
|               | x 85-341-9  | 27.4 ±22.6 | 74.5 ±25.7 |
| 85-341-9      | Parent      | 13.5 ±2.5  | 47.0 ±4.0 |
|               | Selfed      | 43.0 ±17.0 | 104.0 ±22.0 |
|               | x AGSL-1    | --- ± ---  | --- |
|               | x PPSL-10   | 58.5 ±4.8  | 120.5 ±8.5 |
|               | x 81-45-15  | --- ± ---  | --- |
|               | x 83-14-13  | --- ± ---  | --- |
|               | x 83-267-3  | 27.4 ±22.6 | 74.5 ±25.7 |
| MSE           | 12.8 ±559.0 | ---     | --- |

*Mean separations within columns, based upon 5% Hochberg’s. Parents and families with only one offspring were omitted from mean separation analysis.

*yNo progeny were available for analysis (visible bud date) or no progeny flowered (first flower date).

+Estimation of mean square error (MSE) used to calculate 5% Hochberg’s significant difference for mean separations. Means followed by different letters are significantly different from each other.
significant SCA and GCA infer that hybrid production or pure lines could improve seedset.

Parents used in this study were at varying levels of inbreeding, ranging from $F = 0.0$ to $F = 0.75$. None were inbred far enough to be classified as homozygous ($F = 1.0$) for the selected traits. This may be the most important factor contributing to the wide range of variability noted for the number of days to visible bud, first flower, plant height, LDLN, number of bracts, and the leaf initiation rate (Tables 3 and 5). For instance, crossing recombinant inbreds with a coefficient of inbreeding at $F = 0.0$ (since inbreeding and crossing are juxtaposed, cf., Anderson et al., 1992) often produced higher variance levels for number of days to visible bud and first flower (Table 3). Likewise, parents with $F = 0.0$ when crossed with inbreds ($F > 0.0$) reduced the variance for visible bud date, e.g., AGSL-1 × PPSL-10 (30.7 ± 20.2, Table 3) vs. AGSL-1 × 83-267-3 (26.1 ± 12.9, Table 3). There were exceptions to this trend, i.e., contrast the variances of progeny for visible bud date from the crossing 85-341-9 × PPSL-10 and 85-341-9 × 83-267-3 (Table 3).

While germination had a pooled mean of 67.3% (Table 2), many individual crosses within the diallel had 0%. Commercial germination standards are >70% (PanAmerican Seed Co., 2001). Clearly many crosses were substandard (Table 2). In several crosses, extreme reciprocal differences existed (Table 2). In several instances, reciprocals had 100% germination, i.e., AGSL-1 × 85-341-9, PPSL-10 × 81-45-15, and 81-45-15 × 83-14-13 (Table 2). Thus, testing for SCA is important. Yield potential averaged 44.1% (Table 2); lower than industry standards (PanAmerican Seed Co., 2001). All crosses had reciprocal differences for yield potential. Yield potentials for the crosses with 100% germination in both directions varied from 4.8% to 100% (81-45-15 × 83-14-13 and reciprocal) to 91.7% to 100% (AGSL-1 × 85-341-9 & reciprocal) (Table 2). Only the reciprocal crosses between AGSL-1 and 85-341-9 met the minimum yield potentials in both directions, although <100% of the progeny was DN/HDI. Thus, further inbreeding is necessary to obtain DN/HDI hybrids with acceptable germination and yield potentials.

Albinism in cotyledons and true leaves is a lethal condition, averaging 6.9% (Table 2). Only two parents produced selfed albino seedlings: AGSL-1 and 83-14-13 (Table 2). Inbreeding removed the recessive allele(s) for albinism in PPSL-10 and 83-267-3, as they produced 0% albino seedlings. Albino cotyledons and true leaves in seedlings have been reported in other ornamental taxa, e.g., *Aquilegia* (Hodgins, 1985). Cotyledon pigmentation may be a useful tool for early detection of flower pigmentation in germinated seedlings. Albino seedlings, without anthocyanin or carotenoid pigmentation, may result in flowers without either pigment system (white-flowered) (Anderson et al., 1988). Likewise, anthocyanin pigmentation in the cotyledons may be indicative of red (anthocyanins and carotenoids, with higher concentrations of the former), bronze/orange (anthocyanins and carotenoids in approximately equal quantities), or purple/lavender (anthocyanins only) flower coloration. The potential use of cotyledon pigmentation as an early screening for flower color in inheritance studies was not followed in the present study. Further research would be necessary to establish whether or not cotyledon and flower petal pigmentation are linked and if the same anthocyanin pigment(s) are produced in both tissues. If they are, flower color inheritance studies would be simplified with cotyledon expression of anthocyanin pigments.

Anthocyanin pigmentation occurred randomly in many, but never all, of the L1 layer epidermal cells of cotyledon tissue of embryo rescued seedlings (Table 2). This is the first report of anthocyanin expression in cotyledonal tissue of *D. ×grandiflora* seedlings. Retroposon-like elements have been reported in *D. pacificum* (Shimizu, et al. 1998). Cotyledon-specific anthocyanin production did not occur in crosses between the inbred families 77-AM2 and 79-214-2 (Table 2), although selfed progeny from 83-267-3 (79-214-2 inbred family) expressed anthocyanins. Reciprocal differences did occur for anthocyanin expression (Table 2). Since anthocyanin expression is cotyledon-specific, this may be due to genomic shock (embryo rescue). Likewise, the random production of anthocyanin pigments by epidermal cells suggests this may be due to controlling and/or transposable elements.

Anderson and Ascher (2001) proposed an ideotype for DN/HDI garden chrysanthemums for use as a selection tool. Genotypes matching the DN/HDI ideotype would rapidly initiate and develop terminal flower buds in any photoperiod. Progeny from crossing 83-14-13 × AGSL-1 had the significantly lowest number of days to visible bud (initiation), but the F$_1$ failed to develop to anthesis (Table 3). Three selfed or F$_1$ hybrid progeny (PPSL-10 selfed, PPSL-10 × 85-341-19, 83-14-13 × PPSL-10) significantly earlier than the other two (70 to 78 d). No inbred or hybrid progeny flowered within the 3 to 6 week response group cutoff from the ideotype. Clearly further inbreeding and selection for earlier PPSL-10 and 83-14-13 inbreds is necessary. VBD was heritable ($h^2 = 0.5$), although less than other traits (Langton and Cockshull, 1976). PPSL-10 appears to carry genes for DN since the inbreds flowered but the parent did not (Table 3). Also, unlike AGSL-1, SD × DN crosses with PPSL-10 consistently produced some DN progeny that often flower earlier than the DN parent (Table 3).
Table 5. Average +sd plant height, long day leaf number (LDLN), number of bracts, and leaf initiation rates in chrysanthemum parents and progeny, derived from a 6 × 6 diallel grown under the glasshouse screening environment (long days, high temperature). There were two replications per genotype.

| Female parent | Male parent avg | Plant ht (cm) | LDLN | Bracts (no.) | Leaf initiation rate |
|---------------|----------------|--------------|------|-------------|---------------------|
|               |                | Avg ± SD     | Avg ± SD | Avg ± SD | Avg ± SD     |
| AGSL-1        | Parent         | 13.2 ± 0.8a  | 22.0 ± 0.0a | 0.0 ± 0.0a | 0.4 ± 0.01a |
|               | Selfed         | 20.6 ± 2.2b  | 25.0 ± 3.0a | 3.5 ± 3.3a  | 0.8 ± 1.02ab |
|               | x PPSL-10      | 20.0 ± 8.2ab | 18.8 ± 5.9a | 4.4 ± 2.1ab | 0.55 ± 0.17ab |
|               | x 81-45-15     | 20.2 ± 10.9ab| 19.5 ± 6.1a | 2.9 ± 2.1ab | 0.66 ± 0.27ab |
|               | x 83-14-13     | 25.8 ± 11.4abc| 20.3 ± 4.8a | 10.7 ± 15.0a| 0.71 ± 0.08b |
|               | x 83-267-3     | 28.9 ± 12.9ab| 19.8 ± 5.5a | 4.5 ± 1.7ab | 0.77 ± 0.14b |
|               | x 85-341-9     | 24.4 ± 6.8ab | 19.6 ± 5.0a | 4.0 ± 1.8ab | 0.72 ± 0.23ab |
| PPSL-10       | Parent         | 30.2 ± 3.2a  | 21.0 ± 0.0a | 3.5 ± 0.5a  | 0.34 ± 0.01a |
|               | Selfed         | 26.4 ± 12.2a | 16.1 ± 5.7a | 3.9 ± 1.5ab | 0.86 ± 0.53ab |
|               | x aGSL-1       | 28.8 ± 0.8a  | 32.0 ± 3.0a | 3.0 ± 1.0a  | 0.52 ± 0.04a   |
|               | x 81-45-15     | 32.7 ± 4.8abc| 22.0 ± 6.5a | 2.4 ± 0.7a  | 0.84 ± 0.19b  |
|               | x 83-14-13     | 32.3 ± 10.1a | 15.1 ± 4.8a | 3.6 ± 2.4ab | 0.74 ± 0.23ab |
|               | x 83-267-3     | 31.9 ± 10.5a | 20.4 ± 5.6a | 4.2 ± 2.3ab | 0.58 ± 0.17ab |
|               | x 85-341-9     | 27.6 ± 9.6ab | 15.8 ± 3.8a | 3.4 ± 1.9ab | 0.71 ± 0.16ab |
| 81-45-15      | Parent         | 45.8 ± 0.8a  | 22.0 ± 0.0a | 2.0 ± 0.0a  | 0.61 ± 0.00a  |
|               | Selfed         | --- ± ---    | --- ± ---  | --- ± ---  | --- ± ---    |
|               | x aGSL-1       | 36.0 ± 11.4bc| 24.5 ± 8.2a | 4.4 ± 5.4ab | 0.71 ± 0.53ab |
|               | x PPSL-10      | 31.6 ± 12.7ab| 19.1 ± 8.4a | 2.9 ± 1.8ab | 0.76 ± 0.56ab |
|               | x 81-45-15     | 31.0 ± 0.0a  | 13.0 ± 0.0a | 2.0 ± 0.0a  | 0.5 ± 0.0---   |
|               | x 83-14-13     | 36.4 ± 10.7bc| 21.3 ± 6.1a | 2.0 ± 1.2a  | 0.83 ± 0.36b  |
|               | x 83-267-3     | 32.2 ± 10.3ab| 19.2 ± 5.0a | 2.8 ± 1.5ab | 0.77 ± 0.39b  |
| 83-14-13      | Parent         | 15.8 ± 3.2   | 12.0 ± 1.0  | 5.0 ± 0.0  | 0.95 ± 0.27   |
|               | Selfed         | 26.0 ± 0.0ab | 14.5 ± 1.5a | 2.5 ± 0.5a  | 0.66 ± 0.07ab |
|               | x aGSL-1       | 18.0 ± 10.0a | 16.0 ± 9.1a | 5.8 ± 0.8b  | 1.74 ± 0.96ab |
|               | x PPSL-10      | --- ± ---    | --- ± ---  | --- ± ---  | --- ± ---    |
|               | x 81-45-15     | 33.0 ± 4.0a  | 25.0 ± 3.0a | 2.0 ± 0.0-  | 0.45 ± 0.01   |
|               | x 83-267-3     | 18.5 ± 4.4a  | 16.5 ± 2.2  | 2.0 ± 0.7  | 1.31 ± 0.38   |
|               | x 85-341-9     | --- ± ---    | --- ± ---  | --- ± ---  | --- ± ---    |
| 83-267-3      | Parent         | 27.5 ± 1.5   | 17.0 ± 1.0  | 2.0 ± 0.0  | 0.95 ± 0.27   |
|               | Selfed         | 29.2 ± 11.3abc| 17.8 ± 8.8a | 2.5 ± 1.0a | 1.04 ± 0.09ab |
|               | x aGSL-1       | --- ± ---    | --- ± ---  | --- ± ---  | --- ± ---    |
|               | x PPSL-10      | 35.0 ± 10.6abc| 23.3 ± 8.3a | 2.3 ± 0.45a | 0.84 ± 0.89ab |
|               | x 81-45-15     | --- ± ---    | --- ± ---  | --- ± ---  | --- ± ---    |
|               | x 83-14-13     | 32.8 ± 0.2   | 16.0 ± 1.0  | 3.0 ± 0.0  | 1.7 ± 1.12   |
|               | x 85-341-9     | 32.4 ± 11.2abc| 18.7 ± 6.6a | 2.7 ± 1.4a | 0.63 ± 0.15b |
| 85-341-9      | Parent         | 13.2 ± 0.8   | 12.5 ± 1.0  | 2.0 ± 0.0  | 2.0 ± 0.0    |
|               | Selfed         | 27.8 ± 4.2   | 15.0 ± 2.0  | 3.5 ± 0.5  | 0.39 ± 0.11   |
|               | x aGSL-1       | --- ± ---    | --- ± ---  | --- ± ---  | --- ± ---    |
|               | x PPSL-10      | 45.1 ± 6.6c  | 25.0 ± 4.2a | 4.7 ± 2.4ab | 0.43 ± 0.06a |
|               | x 81-45-15     | --- ± ---    | --- ± ---  | --- ± ---  | --- ± ---    |
|               | x 83-14-13     | --- ± ---    | --- ± ---  | --- ± ---  | --- ± ---    |
|               | x 83-267-3     | 29.6 ± 13.6abc| 17.2 ± 7.5a | 3.5 ± 4.5ab | 0.71 ± 0.45ab |

MSEw=122.2

|MSEw=122.2| 2.3 | 4.0 | 8.6 |

Mean separations within columns, based on 5% Hochberg’s. Parents and families with only one offspring were omitted from mean separation analysis.

Mean separations, based upon 5% Games-Howell minimum significant differences (MSD). Parents and families with only one offspring were omitted from mean separation analysis.

No progeny were available for analysis.

Estimation of mean square error (MSE) used to calculate 5% Hochberg’s and MSD for mean separations. Means followed by different letters are significantly different from each other.
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One could select progeny that flowered as much as 25 d earlier than either parent (e.g., PPSL-10 × 83-14-13, Table 3). Likewise, significantly later flowering could also be found, e.g., 45 d for AGSL-1 × 85-341-9 progeny. SD × DN crosses fit a 1:3 ratio, indicating the DN is recessive to SD (Table 4), although DN × DN crosses fit a 1:3 ratio. HDI may be a confounding factor in this instance, however. Anderson and Ascher (1996) reported similar results for PSC that fit a 1:3 ratio (PSC:SI), but behaved as a quantitative trait.

Progeny LDLN ranged from 12 to 32 (Table 5), although none of the means differed significantly in the diallel due to the high levels of variation. Most progeny were within the LDLN range of 13 to 20 for the ideotype. LDLN narrow-sense heritability was $h^2 = 0.72$, similar to the broad-sense heritability ($h^2 = 0.79$) of clones (Anderson and Ascher, 2001).

The significantly lowest leaf initiation rate was 0.43 leaves/d (85-341-9 × PPSL-10, Table 5) and the highest rates (>1/d) exceeded the ideotype (0.58-0.82; Anderson and Ascher, 2001) with either 83-267-3 or 83-14-13 inbreds as parents. While leaf initiation rates for garden types are not highly heritable ($h^2 = 0.003$), in contrast with glasshouse germoplasm (85-341-9, Table 5), within the ideotype range (Anderson and Ascher, 1996), progeny can be obtained within or greater than the ideotype.

Plant height (stem length) ranged from 18 to 45 cm for the diallel (Table 5), within the ideotype range (Anderson and Ascher, 2001). Within the exception of two crosses (83-14-13 × AGSL-1, 85-341-9 × PPSL-10), all progeny means were overlapped and were not different (Table 5). Choice of parents is critical to achieving directional height changes; a breeder could select in either direction for height, depending on the desired phenotype. Plant height had a narrow-sense heritability of $h^2 = 0.66$, while earlier reports had a broad-sense heritability of $h^2 = 0.91$ (Anderson and Ascher, 2001).

The glasshouse photoperiod environment was established as the acid test for day neutrality in chrysanthemums (Anderson and Ascher, 2001). Use of a test environment. Based on the differing segregation results for DN:SD progeny, where more progeny flowered in the field than the glasshouse and P values differed for >1 chi square test ratio in the DN × DN crosses (Table 4), the field environment was not a discerning test environment. Clearly, Anderson and Ascher’s (2001) glasshouse test environment remains the best test environment to determine true day neutrality in segregating chrysanthemum populations.

Since this is the first research using the ideotype for selection of DN/HDI progeny, it would not be expected that progeny would fit within the ideotype range for all traits. Early flower bud initiation (VBD) and development are the traits requiring significant improvement. None of the diallel progeny fell within the ideotype of 3 to 6 week response group. At least one or more progeny matched the ideotype for all other traits. While DN and HDI genotypes exist, further inbreeding, selection, and progeny testing is necessary before obtaining early flowering hybrids.
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