Obesity and the risk of primary liver cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis
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**Background/Aims:** In this systematic review and meta-analysis, we aimed to clarify the effect of obesity on the occurrence of and mortality from primary liver cancer.

**Methods:** This study was conducted using a systematic literature search of MEDLINE, EMBASE, and the Cochrane Library until November 2018 using the primary keywords “obesity,” “overweight,” “body mass index (BMI),” “body weight,” “liver,” “cancer,” “hepatocellular carcinoma,” “liver cancer,” “risk,” and “mortality.” Studies assessing the relationship between BMI and occurrence or mortality from primary liver cancer in prospective cohorts and those reporting hazard ratios (HRs) or data that allow HR estimation were included.

**Results:** A total of 28 prospective cohort studies with 8,135,906 subjects were included in the final analysis. These studies included 22 studies with 6,059,561 subjects for cancer occurrence and seven studies with 2,077,425 subjects for cancer-related mortality. In the meta-analysis, an increase in BMI was associated with the occurrence of primary liver cancer (HR, 1.69; 95% confidence interval, 1.50–1.90, $I^2=56\%$). A BMI-dependent increase in the risk of occurrence of primary liver cancer was reported. HRs were 1.36 (95% CI, 1.02–1.81), 1.77 (95% CI, 1.56–2.01), and 3.08 (95% CI, 1.21–7.86) for BMI >25 kg/m$^2$, >30 kg/m$^2$, and >35 kg/m$^2$, respectively. Furthermore, increased BMI resulted in enhanced liver cancer-related mortality (HR, 1.61; 95% CI, 1.14–2.27, $I^2=80\%$).

**Conclusions:** High BMI increases liver cancer mortality and occurrence of primary liver cancer. Obesity is an independent risk factor for the occurrence of and mortality from primary liver cancer. (Clin Mol Hepatol 2021;27:157-174)
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**Study Highlights**
1. An increase in BMI was associated with the occurrence of primary liver cancer (HR, 1.69).
2. A BMI-dependent occurrence of primary liver cancer was reported. HRs were 1.36, 1.77, and 3.08 for BMI >25 kg/m$^2$, >30 kg/m$^2$, and >35 kg/m$^2$, respectively.
3. Increased BMI resulted in enhanced liver cancer-related mortality (HR, 1.61).
4. Obesity is an independent risk factor for the occurrence of and mortality from primary liver cancer.

---

**INTRODUCTION**

Obesity is an abnormal condition characterized by excessive accumulation of body fat. In general, high body mass index (BMI) is indicative of obesity. The prevalence of obesity and excess weight has increased, accounting for more than a third of the world’s population in recent years. Obesity underlies conditions such as cardiovascular disease, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), gallbladder disease, pancreatitis, sleep apnea, and osteoarthritis. These diseases lead to chronic disability in obese people. Obesity is also an independent factor for the development of various cancers and is closely associated with cancer regardless of age, sex, race, and type of cancer. Cancer-related mortality is high in obese patients with prostate cancer, breast cancer, and colorectal cancer.

Primary liver cancer is one of the most common malignancies and the associated mortality rate corresponds to 9% of all cancer-related deaths worldwide. Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common type of primary liver cancer and accounts for approximately 80–90% of all cases of primary liver cancer. The common causes of HCC are viral hepatitis (hepatitis B or C virus), alcohol, smoking, and diabetes mellitus.

Studies have been conducted to investigate whether obesity is a risk factor for the occurrence of primary liver cancer. Some studies have found that obesity is a risk factor for HCC occurrence, while Chen et al. reported a lack of association between obesity and HCC occurrence. However, studies suggesting the relationship between obesity and primary liver cancer may suffer from risk of bias because they were conducted as case-control or retrospective cohort studies. Hence, the present study was conducted to analyze prospective cohorts to determine the relationship between BMI and primary liver cancer. We aimed to clarify the effect of obesity on the occurrence of and mortality from primary liver cancer using systematic review and meta-analysis.
**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Search strategy**

We searched for all relevant studies published from January 1990 to November 2018 that investigated the relationship between obesity and risk of primary liver cancer. We used MEDLINE, EMBASE, and Cochrane Library databases. The fundamental concept of the data search for the systematic review and meta-analysis was as follows: (obesity) OR (obese) OR (overweight) OR (body weight) OR (body mass index) AND (hepatocellular carcinoma) OR ([liver] AND [cancer] AND ['risk' OR 'mortality']). However, there were slight differences in the detailed searching methods among the three databases, as each database has its own search formula. The detailed search methods used for each database are described in the Supplementary Material 1. Further, reference lists of the searched articles were checked to identify additional studies. All human studies written in English were examined, and the latest date for searching the relevant studies was November 8, 2018.

**Study selection**

First, we checked the titles and abstracts of the selected papers to exclude irrelevant articles. Second, the complete text of all selected researches was reviewed based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria were: 1) patients: the subjects who participated in the studies on primary liver cancer; 2) intervention: obesity; 3) comparator: non-obese subjects; 4) outcome: occurrence or cancer-related mortality of primary liver cancer; and 5) study design: prospective cohort study. We excluded irrelevant studies according to the following criteria: 1) publications in a language other than English; 2) abstract-only publications or unpublished studies; 3) non-original articles; and 4) animal studies. In case of overlapping cohorts, we included only one study that had the largest number of subjects and excluded the other studies. In this meta-analysis, we included studies that presented hazard ratios (HRs) estimated using Cox proportional hazards model, because this model is suitable for analyzing cancer occurrence and cancer-related mortality. Previous meta-analyses for the relationship between obesity and primary liver cancer were reported before 2012.\[11-13\] The present study included original studies published after 2012 in addition to the studies included in the previous meta-analyses. However, among the studies included in the previous meta-analyses, we excluded several retrospective studies, overlapping cohort studies, and studies that were not analyzed using Cox proportional hazard model. The eligibility of the studies was independently assessed by two reviewers (W. Sohn and S. Lee). In case of disagreement, we re-reviewed the studies and determined whether they were relevant for the final analysis based on discussion and consensus.

A third investigator (H.W. Lee) determined the eligibility if the suitability of a study could not be determined even after re-evaluation.

A formal quality assessment of studies was performed to understand the risk of bias in each study. The methodological quality of the studies was independently evaluated by two investigators (W. Sohn and S. Lee) using the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for observational study.\[14\] The scoring scale comprised three categories, namely, selection (four questions), comparability of study groups (two questions), and ascertainment of exposure or outcome (three questions). A cumulative score of more than 7 was reflective of the high quality of the study.\[15-17\]

**Data extraction**

Two reviewers (W. Sohn and S. Lee) independently extracted the necessary information and filled up the data form for analysis. The variables for the analysis were author, region, publication year, study period, definition of overweight or obesity according to BMI, number of subjects, hepatitis B virus status, hepatitis C virus status, use of alcohol, diabetes mellitus, and the parameters adjusted in each study.

The primary endpoint was the occurrence of primary liver cancer, including HCC. We assessed the primary endpoint as HR with 95% confidence interval (CI) by comparing the subjects with/without obesity or being overweight. The secondary end point was liver cancer-related mortality and was evaluated by HR with 95% CI.

**Statistical analysis**

Meta-analyses were performed to calculate pooled HRs with 95% CIs.\[18\] A random effect model was used in the meta-analysis. Subgroup analysis was performed according to cut-off values of BMI because the definitions of obesity were different from each other. Obesity group was defined based on cut-off values of BMI: >35 kg/m\(^2\), >30 kg/m\(^2\), and >25 kg/m\(^2\). We categorized obesity group of the studies with cut-off values of BMI >27.5 kg/m\(^2\) and >27 kg/m\(^2\) as obesity group with a cut-off value of BMI >25 kg/m\(^2\), because there was only a small number of studies with these cut-
off values of. We also performed subgroup analyses according to ethnic group (Asian vs. non-Asian) and viral hepatitis (hepatitis B virus or hepatitis C virus). Statistical heterogeneity was assessed using $I^2$ statistics, with values >50% suggestive of significant heterogeneity.\textsuperscript{19} Publication bias was examined using the Egger’s regression test\textsuperscript{20} and was also qualitatively assessed by inspecting funnel plots of the logarithmic HR versus their standard errors.\textsuperscript{21} The test for funnel plot asymmetry was not conducted if the included studies were fewer than 10.\textsuperscript{22} To assess the effect of mean age and male proportion of study participants on the effect size, meta-regression analysis based on a random-effects model was performed. All $P$-values were two-tailed, and a value of $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant in all tests (except for the heterogeneity and Egger’s regression tests). Analysis and reporting were performed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines.\textsuperscript{23} All statistical procedures were conducted using the statistical software Review Manager 5.3 (version 5.3.5; Cochrane Collaboration, Copenhagen, Denmark), with the exception of the meta-regression and publication bias analyses, which were performed using R (version 4.0.2; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

RESULTS

Study selection

A flow diagram of our literature search is shown in Figure 1. In summary, 4,108 studies were evaluated in our literature search and 1,055 duplicate articles were excluded from the three search engines. In addition, 2,840 other irrelevant articles were excluded based on the titles and abstracts. Two reviewers independently evaluated the complete text of the 213 remaining articles for their eligibility. Thereafter, 185 articles were excluded for the following reasons: study did not report relevant outcomes ($n=140$), non-prospective studies ($n=17$), abstract only ($n=11$), non-original articles ($n=9$), cohort overlap between studies ($n=7$), and non-cohort studies ($n=1$). Finally, 28 studies with 8,135,906 subjects were se-
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Figure 1. Flow diagram of the studies included in the meta-analysis.
lected for the systematic review and meta-analysis.24-51

Study characteristics

The characteristics of the included studies for meta-analysis are described in Table 1. These studies were published between 2003 and 2018, and the enrollment period ranged from 1972 to 2013. The regions where the studies were conducted included the USA (n=5), Europe (n=10), and Asia (n=13). According to the Newcastle-Ottawa scale, 79% (22/28) of the studies were deemed to be of high quality (score ≥7).

Table 2 shows the clinical characteristics of the subjects in the included studies. Seven studies reported clinical outcomes (primary or secondary endpoint) separately only based on sex. Therefore, we considered these studies as different reports and performed the meta-analysis based on the population of men and women. The obesity group was defined based on a BMI of >35 kg/m² in four studies, >30 kg/m² in 23 studies, >27.5 kg/m² in two studies, >27 kg/m² in one study, and >25 kg/m² in five studies. While HRs for liver cancer occurrence were reported in 26 studies, those for cancer-related mortality were reported in 10 studies. One study showed HRs for both the occurrence of and

Table 1. Characteristics of the studies included in the meta-analysis

| Study            | Region | Study period | Number of subjects | Male (%) | Newcastle-Ottawa scale |
|------------------|--------|--------------|--------------------|----------|------------------------|
|                  |        |              |                    |          | Selection | Comparability | Outcome |
| Calle et al.24   | USA    | 1982–1988    | 900,053            | 45.0     | ****      | *              | ***     |
| Batty et al.25   | UK     | 1967–2002    | 18,403             | 100.0    | ***       | *              | **      |
| Kuriyama et al.26| Japan  | 1984–1992    | 27,539             | 45.3     | ***       | *              | **      |
| Rapp et al.27    | Austria| 1985–2002    | 145,931            | 46.2     | ***       | *              | **      |
| N’Kontchou et al.28| France| 1994–2004   | 771                | 64.3     | ****      | **             | *       |
| Jee et al.29     | Korea  | 1992–2006    | 1,213,829          | 63.5     | ***       | *              | **      |
| Joshi et al.30   | Korea  | 1998–2004    | 548,530            | 100.0    | ***       | **             | *       |
| Inoue et al.31   | Japan  | 1993–2006    | 17,590             | 34.6     | ****      | **             | **      |
| Wang et al.32    | Taiwan | 1997–2004    | 5,929              | 43.5     | ***       | **             | **      |
| Hart et al.33    | Scotland| 1965–2007  | 26,738             | 61.8     | ****      | *              | ***     |
| Chao et al.34    | Taiwan | 1989–2006    | 1,142              | 100.0    | ***       | **             | ***     |
| Hung et al.35    | Taiwan | 1999–2009    | 1,470              | 52.1     | ***       | **             | ***     |
| Boren et al.36   | Europe | 1972–2006    | 578,700            | 50.1     | ****      | *              | ***     |
| Chen et al.37    | Taiwan | 2004–2007    | 56,231             | 30.9     | ****      | **             | **      |
| Li et al.38      | Japan  | 1988–2009    | 72,473             | 42.8     | ****      | *              | ***     |
| Loomba et al.39  | Taiwan | 1991–2004    | 23,712             | 50.3     | ****      | **             | ***     |
| Song et al.40    | Europe | 1972–2008    | 54,725             | 48.7     | ****      | *              | ***     |
| Meyer et al.41   | Europe | 1977–2008    | 35,784             | 47.2     | ****      | *              | **      |
| Campbell et al.42| USA    | 1980–2011    | 1,570,023          | 40.8     | ***       | *              | **      |
| Liu et al.43     | China  | 1996–2013    | 68,253             | 0.0      | ***       | *              | **      |
| Setiawan et al.44| USA    | 1993–2010    | 168,476            | 46.3     | ****      | *              | ***     |
| McMahon et al.45 | USA    | 1995–2012    | 1,080              | 49.3     | ****      | **             | **      |
| Nderitu et al.46 | Sweden | 1984–2011    | 65,224             | 57.2     | ****      | *              | **      |
| Yang et al.47    | USA    | 1995–2011    | 297,928            | 58.5     | ***       | *              | **      |
| Brichler et al.48| France | 2006–2012    | 317                | 82.3     | ****      | **             | **      |
| Hagström et al.49| Sweden | 1969–2012   | 1,220,261          | 100.0    | ****      | *              | **      |
| Jeong et al.50   | Korea  | 2002–2013    | 510,148            | 54.3     | ****      | *              | ***     |
| Yi et al.51      | Korea  | 2002–2013    | 504,646            | 54.3     | ****      | **             | **      |
Table 2. Clinical characteristics of the subjects in the included studies

| Study                  | Viral hepatitis | Use of alcohol (%) | DM (%) | Obesity group (N, definition) | Control group (N, definition) | HR for occurrence of PLC (95% CI) | HR for cancer-related mortality (95% CI) | Adjustment variables                                                                 |
|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Calle et al.\[24\] (2003) | N/A             | N/A                | N/A    | N/A; BMI ≥35 kg/m²            | N/A; BMI <25 kg/m²            | N/A                               | 4.52 (2.94–6.94)                      | Age, education, smoking status and number of cigarettes smoked, physical activity, alcohol use, marital status, race, aspirin use, fat consumption, and vegetable consumption |
| Calle et al.\[24\] (2003), women | N/A             | N/A                | N/A    | N/A; BMI ≥35 kg/m²            | N/A; BMI <25 kg/m²            | N/A                               | 1.68 (0.93–3.05)                      | Age, education, smoking status and number of cigarettes smoked, physical activity, alcohol use, marital status, race, aspirin use, fat consumption, and vegetable consumption |
| Batty et al.\[25\] (2005) | N/A             | N/A                | 1.6    | 717; BMI ≥30 kg/m²           | 9,288; BMI <25 kg/m²          | N/A                               | 3.76 (1.36–10.40)                     | Age, employment grade, physical activity, smoking habit, marital status, disease at entry, weight loss in the last year, blood pressure-lowering medication, height adjusted FEV1, triceps skinfold thickness, systolic blood pressure, plasma cholesterol, glucose intolerance and diabetes status |
| Kuriyama et al.\[26\] (2005) | N/A             | N/A                | 45.8   | 620; BMI ≥27.5 kg/m²         | 9,497; BMI <25 kg/m²          | 1.14 (0.46–2.87)                   | N/A                                   | Age, smoking status, alcohol drinking status, consumption of meat, consumption of fish, consumption of fruits, consumption of green or yellow vegetables, consumption of bean-paste soup, type of health insurance, menopausal status, parity, age at menarche, and age at end of first pregnancy |
| Kuriyama et al.\[26\] (2005), women | N/A             | N/A                | 3.4    | 1,172; BMI ≥27.5 kg/m²       | 10,514; BMI <25 kg/m²         | 0.91 (0.30–2.80)                   | N/A                                   | Age, smoking status, alcohol drinking status, consumption of meat, consumption of fish, consumption of fruits, consumption of green or yellow vegetables, consumption of bean-paste soup, type of health insurance, menopausal status, parity, age at menarche, and age at end of first pregnancy |
| Rapp et al.\[27\] (2005) | N/A             | N/A                | N/A    | 5,551; BMI ≥30 kg/m²         | 34,041; BMI <25 kg/m²         | 1.67 (0.75–3.72)                   | N/A                                   | Age, smoking status, and occupational group                                      |
| N’Kontchou et al.\[28\] (2006) | HCV: 71.0%      | 38.0               | 30.0   | 112; BMI ≥30 kg/m²           | 410; BMI <25 kg/m²            | 2.80 (2.00–4.00)                   | N/A                                   | Age, sex, cause of cirrhosis, and diabetes                                         |
| Jee et al.\[29\] (2008) | N/A             | N/A                | 76.8   | N/A; BMI ≥30 kg/m²           | N/A; BMI <25 kg/m²            | 1.63 (1.27–2.10)                   | N/A                                   | Age, and smoking status                                                             |
| Study                        | Viral hepatitis | Use of alcohol (%) | DM (%) | Obesity group (N, definition)                                      | Control group (N, definition)                        | HR for occurrence of PLC (95% CI) | HR for cancer-related mortality (95% CI) | Adjustment variables                                                                 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Jee et al. (2008), women    | N/A             | 16.6               | N/A    | N/A; BMI ≥30 kg/m²                                                  | N/A; 23 kg/m² ≤BMI <25 kg/m²                          | 1.39 (1.00–1.94)                    | N/A                                      | Age, and smoking status                                                                  |
| Joshi et al. (2008)         | HBV: 6.6%       | 36.4               | 4.1    | 8,508; BMI ≥30 kg/m²                                                | 360,879; BMI <18.5 kg/m²                              | N/A                                 | 1.08 (0.67–1.72)                         | Fasting serum glucose, body mass index, alcohol intake and tobacco smoking, and HBsAg |
| Inoue et al. (2009)         | N/A             | 30.1               | N/A    | 5,410; BMI ≥25 kg/m²                                                | 12,180; BMI <25 kg/m²                                 | 2.22 (1.42–3.48)                    | N/A                                      | Gender, age, area, smoking status, weekly ethanol intake, coffee intake, total cholesterol, HCV, HBV, metabolic syndrome, high blood pressure, high glucose, low HDL-cholesterol, and high triglycerides |
| Wang et al. (2009)          | HBV: 11.7% HCV: 16.6% | 5.0    | 9.2    | 810; BMI ≥30 kg/m²                                                  | 5,119; BMI <30 kg/m²                                 | 1.70 (1.02–2.80)                    | N/A                                      | Gender, age, HBsAg, anti-HCV Ab, diabetes, smoking, and alcohol                        |
| Hart et al. (2010)          | N/A             | N/A                | N/A    | 1,275; BMI ≥30 kg/m²                                                | 7,858; BMI <18.5 kg/m²                                | N/A                                 | 3.05 (1.33–7.00)                         | Age, study, social class, smoking, systolic blood pressure, height, ischemia on ECG, bronchitis, FEVI, angina and diabetes |
| Hart et al. (2010), women   | N/A             | N/A                | N/A    | 1,405; BMI ≥30 kg/m²                                                | 5,176; BMI <18.5 kg/m²                                | N/A                                 | 1.11 (0.24–5.27)                         | Age, study, social class, smoking, systolic blood pressure, height, ischemia on ECG, bronchitis, FEVI, angina and diabetes |
| Chao et al. (2011)          | HBV: 100.0%     | 8.3                | N/A    | 351; BMI ≥25 kg/m²                                                  | 791; BMI <25 kg/m²                                    | 1.58 (1.16–2.17)                    | N/A                                      | Age, smoking, alcohol consumption, family history of HCC, HBV, and number of visits     |
| Hung et al. (2011)          | HCV: 100.0%     | 17.2               | N/A    | 585; BMI ≥25 kg/m²                                                  | 727; BMI <25 kg/m²                                    | 1.31 (0.83–2.05)                    | N/A                                      | Age, gender, diabetes, cirrhosis, HCV genotype, SVR, platelet count, and AFP            |
| Borenà et al. (2012)        | N/A             | N/A                | N/A    | 66,283; BMI ≥30 kg/m²                                               | 301,702; BMI <30 kg/m²                                | 2.07 (1.51–2.83)                    | N/A                                      | Age at baseline, stratified by cohort, f birth year, sex, and smoking status             |
| Chen et al. (2013)          | HBV: 100.0% HCV: 9.2% | 9.6    | N/A    | 13,538; BMI ≥27 kg/m²                                               | 50,856; BMI <27 kg/m²                                 | 0.98 (0.74–1.30)                    | N/A                                      | Age, sex, cause of liver disease, ALT, platelet count, albumin globulin ratio, diabetes, and metabolic syndrome |
| Li et al. (2013)            | N/A             | 7.1                | N/A    | 5,758; BMI ≥25 kg/m²                                                | 8,892; 21 kg/m² ≤BMI <23 kg/m²                       | N/A                                 | 1.15 (0.83–1.60)                         | Age, smoking status, ethanol consumption, hours of walking, hours of exercise, frequencies of coffee and fish intake, education level, area of residence, histories of diabetes mellitus, gallbladder disease, blood transfusion, and history of liver disease |
Table 2. Continued

| Study | Viral hepatitis | Use of alcohol (%) | DM (%) | Obesity group (N, definition) | Control group (N, definition) | HR for occurrence of PLC (95% CI) | HR for cancer-related mortality (95% CI) | Adjustment variables |
|-------|-----------------|-------------------|--------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Li et al. (2013), women | N/A | N/A | 7.1 | 9,676; BMI ≥25 kg/m² | 11,094; 21 kg/m² ≤BMI < 23 kg/m² | N/A | 1.42 (0.95–2.13) | Age, smoking status, ethanol consumption, hours of walking, hours of exercise, frequencies of coffee and fish intake, education level, area of residence, histories of diabetes mellitus, gallbladder disease, blood transfusion, and history of liver disease |
| Loomba et al. (2013) | HBV: 17.5% HCV: 5.5% | 10.6 | 2.5 | 1,147; BMI ≥30 kg/m² | 22,565; BMI <30 kg/m² | 1.47 (0.95–2.30) | N/A | Age, sex, alcohol consumption, smoking, HBsAg, ALT, HCV antibody, and diabetes |
| Song et al. (2014), men | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A; BMI ≥35 kg/m² | N/A; BMI <18.5 kg/m² | 4.30 (1.30–12.65) | N/A | Age, living area, smoking status, leisure-time physical activity, and education |
| Song et al. (2014), women | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A; BMI ≥35 kg/m² | N/A; BMI <18.5 kg/m² | 1.81 (0.40–8.21) | N/A | Age, living area, smoking status, leisure-time physical activity, and education |
| Meyer et al. (2015) | N/A | N/A | N/A | 2,821; BMI ≥30 kg/m² | 21,99; BMI <25 kg/m² | 2.21 (1.18–4.15) | N/A | Age, sex, survey, alcohol consumption, frequency of PA, civil status, years of education, nationality, and healthy diet |
| Campbell et al. (2016) | N/A | N/A | 6.5 | 320,285; BMI ≥30 kg/m² | 18.5 kg/m² ≤BMI <25 kg/m² | 1.75 (1.56–1.98) | N/A | Age, sex, study, alcohol, cigarette smoking, race, and diabetes |
| Liu et al. (2016) | N/A | N/A | N/A | 3,534; BMI ≥30 kg/m² | 64,719; BMI <30 kg/m² | 1.91 (1.14–3.27) | N/A | Age, race/ethnicity, education, alcohol intake, smoking status, and diabetes |
| Setiawan et al. (2016), men | N/A | 19.0 | 12.3 | 13,627; BMI ≥30 kg/m² | 27,964; BMI <25 kg/m² | 1.82 (1.31–2.52) | N/A | Age, race/ethnicity, education, alcohol intake, smoking status, and diabetes |
| Setiawan et al. (2016), women | N/A | 5.1 | 10.7 | 20,112; BMI ≥30 kg/m² | 41,628; BMI <25 kg/m² | 1.32 (0.83–2.11) | N/A | Age, race/ethnicity, education, alcohol intake, smoking status, and diabetes |
| McMahon et al. (2017) | HCV: 100.0% | 29.0 | 10.0 | N/A; BMI ≥30 kg/m² | N/A; BMI <30 kg/m² | 1.06 (0.50–2.10) | 0.79 (0.50–1.20) | Age, sex, and HCV genotype |
| Nderitu et al. (2017) | N/A | N/A | N/A | 4,973; BMI ≥30 kg/m² | 40,066; BMI <25 kg/m² | 2.05 (1.00–4.22) | N/A | Age, gender, social economic status, BMI, triglycerides, cholesterol, raised glucose, diabetic status, and history of liver disease |
| Study             | Viral hepatitis | Use of alcohol (%) | DM (%) | Obesity group (N, definition) | Control group (N, definition) | HR for occurrence of PLC (95% CI) | HR for cancer-related mortality (95% CI) | Adjustment variables                                                                 |
|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Yang et al. 47 (2017) | N/A             | N/A                | 8.4    | 62,704; BMI ≥30 kg/m²        | 106,966; 18.5 kg/m² ≤BMI <25 kg/m² | 2.19 (1.62–2.95)               | N/A                                  | Age, sex, physical activity, cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, history of diabetes, and red meat consumption |
| Brichler et al. 48 (2019) | HBV: 100.0%   | 5.5                | 11.0   | 34; BMI ≥30 kg/m²             | 137; BMI <30 kg/m²             | 2.67 (1.04–6.84)               | N/A                                  | Age, varix, hypertension, GGT, bilirubin, platelet count, and AFP                      |
| Hagström et al. 49 (2018) | N/A             | N/A                | N/A    | 19,658; BMI ≥30 kg/m²        | 744,131; 18.5 kg/m² ≤BMI <22.5 kg/m² | 3.59 (1.85–6.99)               | N/A                                  | Age, location of conscription, own and parental education, parental socioeconomic status, scores on intelligence test, cardiovascular capacity, muscular strength tests, systolic and diastolic blood pressures |
| Jeong et al. 50 (2018) | N/A             | 11.3               | N/A    | 14,621; BMI ≥30 kg/m²        | 65,329; 18.5 kg/m² ≤BMI <25 kg/m² | N/A                             | 1.27 (0.99–1.62)                       | Age, sex, smoking, alcohol intake, household income, and physical activity            |
| Yi et al. 51 (2018)  | HBV: 0.5%       | 22.4               | 10.3   | 14,527; BMI ≥30 kg/m²        | 315741; 18.5 kg/m² ≤BMI <25 kg/m² | 1.17 (0.93–1.46)               | N/A                                  | Age, sex, smoking status, alcohol use, physical activity, income status, BMI, diabetes status, cirrhosis, HBV, and HCV |

DM, diabetes mellitus; N, number; HR, hazard ratio; PLC, primary liver cancer; CI, confidence interval; N/A, not available; BMI, body mass index; FEV1, forced expiratory volume-one second; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HDL, high density lipoprotein; ECG, electrocardiogram; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; SVR, sustained virological response; AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; ALT, alanine transaminase; PA, physical activity; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase.
The adjustable variables for primary or secondary endpoint were factors such as age, sex, alcohol, and smoking.

**Occurrence of and cancer-related mortality from primary liver cancer**

Figure 2 indicates the meta-analysis of the occurrence of and mortality from primary liver cancer in the included studies. The pooled HR for the occurrence of primary liver cancer was 1.69 (95% CI, 1.50–1.9, $I^2=56\%$) (Fig. 2A). A BMI-dependent increase in the risk of occurrence of primary liver cancer was reported. The values of HRs were 1.36 (95% CI, 1.02–1.81), 1.77 (95% CI, 1.56–2.01), and 3.08 (95% CI, 1.21–7.86) for BMI >25 kg/m$^2$, >30 kg/m$^2$, and >35 kg/m$^2$, respectively. The value of pooled HR was 1.61 (95% CI, 1.14–2.27, $I^2=80\%$) for cancer-related mortali-
ty of primary liver cancer (Fig. 2B). A relationship seemed to exist between BMI and mortality from primary liver cancer. The values of HRs were 1.25 (95% CI, 0.97–1.61), 1.37 (95% CI, 0.93–2.02), and 2.82 (95% CI, 1.07–7.43) for BMI >25 kg/m², >30 kg/m², and >35 kg/m², respectively.

Primary and secondary endpoints were evaluated for Asian subjects and non-Asian subjects (Fig. 3). The pooled HR for the occurrence of primary liver cancer was 1.42 (95% CI, 1.23–1.63, I²=37%) (Fig. 3A) among Asian subjects. A BMI-dependent increase in the risk of occurrence of primary liver cancer was observed in Asian subjects; the HR values were 1.36 (95% CI, 1.02–1.82) and 1.44 (95% CI, 1.24–1.67) for BMI >25 and >30 kg/m², respectively. Among Asian subjects, the pooled HR was 1.24 (95% CI, 1.05–1.46, I²=0%) for mortality from primary liver cancer (Fig. 3B); the HR values were 1.25 (95% CI, 0.97–1.61) and 1.23 (95% CI, 0.98–1.53) for BMI >25 and >30 kg/m², respectively. The pooled HR for the occurrence of primary liver cancer was 2.00 (95% CI, 1.73–2.31, I²=32%) among non-Asian subjects (Fig. 3C). A BMI-dependent increase in the risk of occurrence of primary liver cancer was also observed in non-Asian subjects; the HR values were 1.98 (95% CI, 1.71–2.29) and 3.08 (95% CI, 1.21–7.86) for BMI >30 and >35 kg/m², respectively. Among non-Asian subjects, the pooled HR was 2.10 (95% CI, 1.03–4.26, I²=85%) for mortality from primary liver cancer (Fig. 3D); the HR values were 1.75 (95% CI, 0.71–4.31) and 2.82 (95% CI, 1.07–7.43) for BMI >30 and >35 kg/m², respectively.

We also assessed cancer occurrence in five studies on patients with viral hepatitis (hepatitis B virus or hepatitis C virus), which is a high-risk population for primary liver cancer (Supplementary Fig. 1). The HR for cancer occurrence in patients with viral hepatitis was 1.76 (95% CI, 1.22–2.54, I²=66%), and this value was higher than that reported for the whole population. A BMI-dependent increase in the risk of occurrence of primary liver cancer among patients with viral hepatitis was observed (Supplementary Fig. 1A); the HR values were 1.49 (95% CI, 1.15–1.92) and 2.07 (95% CI, 1.11–3.85) for BMI >25 and >30 kg/m², respectively.

### Study of subgroup

| BMI Range | Study | Log (hazard ratio) | SE | Weight (%) | Hazard ratio IV, random, 95% CI |
|-----------|-------|------------------|----|------------|----------------------------------|
| ≥35 kg/m² | Calle et al. (2003), men | 1.509 | 0.219 | 11.7 | 4.52 (2.94, 6.95) |
|          | Calle et al. (2003), women | 0.519 | 0.302 | 10.0 | 1.68 (0.93, 3.04) |
|          | Subtotal (95% CI) | | | 21.7 | 2.82 (1.07, 7.43) |
|          | Heterogeneity: Tau²=0.42; chi²=7.04, df=1 (p=0.008); I²=86% |
|          | Test for overall effect: Z=2.09 (p=0.04) |
| ≥30 kg/m² | Batty et al. (2005) | 1.324 | 0.519 | 6.4 | 3.76 (1.36, 10.39) |
|          | Joshi et al. (2008) | 0.077 | 0.244 | 11.2 | 1.08 (0.67, 1.74) |
|          | Hart et al. (2010), men | 1.115 | 0.423 | 7.8 | 3.05 (1.33, 6.99) |
|          | Hart et al. (2010), women | 0.104 | 0.781 | 3.7 | 1.11 (0.24, 5.13) |
|          | McMahon et al. (2017) | -0.236 | 0.233 | 11.4 | 0.79 (0.50, 1.25) |
|          | Jeong et al. (2018) | 0.239 | 0.127 | 13.2 | 1.27 (0.99, 1.63) |
|          | Subtotal (95% CI) | | | 53.7 | 1.37 (0.93, 2.02) |
|          | Heterogeneity: Tau²=0.12; chi²=13.22, df=5 (p=0.02); I²=62% |
|          | Test for overall effect: Z=1.59 (p=0.11) |
| ≥25 kg/m² | Li et al. (2013), men | 0.14 | 0.166 | 12.6 | 1.15 (0.83, 1.59) |
|          | Li et al. (2013), women | 0.351 | 0.205 | 11.9 | 1.42 (0.95, 2.12) |
|          | Subtotal (95% CI) | | | 24.6 | 1.25 (0.97, 1.61) |
|          | Heterogeneity: Tau²=0.00; chi²=0.64, df=1 (p=0.42); I²=0% |
|          | Test for overall effect: Z=1.73 (p=0.08) |
|          | Total (95% CI) | 100.0 | | 1.61 (1.14, 2.27) |
|          | Heterogeneity: Tau²=0.22; chi²=45.05, df=9 (p<0.00001); I²=80% |
|          | Test for overall effect: Z=2.70 (p=0.007) |
|          | Test for suborouo differences: chi²=2.55, df=2 (p=0.28); I²=21.7% |

Figure 2. Continued.
Figure 3. Subgroup analysis according to ethnic group (Asian vs. non-Asian): the occurrence of (A, C) and cancer-related mortality (B, D) from primary liver cancer in Asian subjects (A, B) and non-Asian subjects (C, D). SE, standard error; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index.
Table 3. Study of subgroup Log (hazard ratio) SE Weight (%) IV, random, 95% CI

| Study of subgroup | Log (hazard ratio) | SE  | Weight (%) | IV, random, 95% CI |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----|------------|-------------------|
| BMI ≥ 35 kg/m²     |                    |     |            |                   |
| Song et al. (2014), men | 1.459             | 0.61 | 1.4        | 4.30 (1.30, 14.22) |
| Song et al. (2014), women | 0.593             | 0.77 | 0.9        | 1.81 (0.40, 8.18)  |
| Subtotal (95% CI)  |                    |     |            |                   |
|                   |                    |     |            | 2.2               |
|                   |                    |     |            | 3.08 (1.21, 7.86) |

Heterogeneity: Tau^2 = 0.00, chi^2 = 0.78, df = 1 (P = 0.38), I^2 = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.35 (P = 0.02)

BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²

| Study of subgroup | Log (hazard ratio) | SE  | Weight (%) | IV, random, 95% CI |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----|------------|-------------------|
| Song et al. (2014), men | 1.459             | 0.61 | 1.4        | 4.30 (1.30, 14.22) |
| Song et al. (2014), women | 0.593             | 0.77 | 0.9        | 1.81 (0.40, 8.18)  |
| Subtotal (95% CI)  |                    |     |            |                   |
|                   |                    |     |            | 2.2               |
|                   |                    |     |            | 3.08 (1.21, 7.86) |

Heterogeneity: Tau^2 = 0.00, chi^2 = 0.78, df = 1 (P = 0.38), I^2 = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.35 (P = 0.02)

Figure 3. Continued.
Publication bias

Egger’s regression test showed no significant publication bias in cancer occurrence and cancer-related mortality in the whole population ($P=0.652$ and $P=0.490$, respectively). Furthermore, no asymmetry was observed on visual inspection of the funnel plots (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Meta-regression analysis

Supplementary Figure 3 shows the results of meta-regression analysis for predicting HR for incidence or mortality according to mean age of the included studies. The mean age in each study was not associated with the risk of primary liver cancer occurrence or mortality (increased HR per 1 year-old of age: incidence, 0.998 [95% CI, 0.976–1.021]; mortality, 1.021 [0.965–1.079]). There was no significant difference in the relationship between obesity and the occurrence of and mortality from primary liver cancer according to age. As shown in Supplementary Figure 4, the proportion of males was also not associated with the risk of primary liver cancer occurrence or mortality (increased HR per one percentage of male proportion: incidence, 1.003 [95% CI, 0.998–1.009]; mortality, 1.004 [0.996–1.013]). There was no significant difference in the relationship between obesity and the occurrence of and mortality from primary liver cancer according to sex.

DISCUSSION

The present systematic review and meta-analysis were conducted to clarify whether obesity is an independent risk factor for the occurrence of and mortality from primary liver cancer. A total of 28 prospective cohort studies were finally included for the analysis. Obesity is defined based on BMI, and the findings of this study indicate that a high BMI value increases the risk of occurrence of and mortality from primary liver cancer. Furthermore, a BMI-dependent increase was observed for the risk of the occurrence of and mortality from primary liver cancer.

According to the World Health Organization report, an estimated 2.8 million people die each year worldwide owing to excess weight or obesity and 35.8 million global disability-adjusted life years result from by excess weight and obesity. The risk for developing cardiovascular diseases such as coronary heart disease and cerebrovascular disease and metabolic diseases such as type 2 diabetes mellitus consistently increase with an increase in BMI. The increased BMI raises the risk of cancers of the breast, colon, prostate, endometrium, kidney, and gall bladder. Mechanisms of hepatocarcinogenesis in obesity are thought to be as follows: First, the liver is a major organ for fat storage. Pro-inflammatory cytokines (i.e., tumor necrosis factor-alpha and interleukin-6) secreted by the adipose tissue are oncogenic signaling mediators of liver cancer. The pro-inflammatory action of adipokines (i.e., leptin) and lipotoxicity induce carcinogenesis through proliferation or oncogenic mutations as well as inflammatory response. Second, insulin resistance or hyperinsulinemia is an important feature of cell proliferation accompanied with obesity. Finally, obesity induces changes in the gut microbiome that contribute to carcinogenesis. For instance, deoxycholic acid induced by intestinal microbiome leads to DNA damage and influences cancer development in obese mice.

However, the role of obesity as a risk factor of primary liver cancer is not clearly understood through clinical data, although NAFLD, a disease that develops in response to increased BMI, is a cause of primary liver cancer. The most common etiology of primary liver cancer is chronic hepatitis B or C infection and chronic alcohol consumption, while NAFLD-related primary liver cancer only affects a small percentage of patients. In recent years, obesity has gained attention as a risk factor of primary liver cancer, owing to an increase in its prevalence. Many studies including few meta-analyses have evaluated the effect of obesity on the risk of primary liver cancer. However, the findings of these studies may involve a risk of bias because most of them were conducted using retrospective cohorts as case-control studies. There exist some differences in the evaluation of the risk of cancer in each study, including relative risk (RR), odds ratio (OR), and HR. Moreover, the OR-related RR was considered the same as HR-related RR in some meta-analyses. Hence, this systematic review and meta-analysis included only prospective cohort studies that reported HR, which is useful when the risk is not constant with respect to time.

The main finding of this study is that high BMI increases the occurrence of primary liver cancer. In particular, a BMI-dependent increase in the risk of occurrence of primary liver cancer was reported with pooled HRs of 1.36, 1.77, and 3.08 for BMI $>25$, $>30$, and $>35$ kg/m$^2$, respectively. This finding is consistent with that reported in previous meta-analyses. The previous meta-analyses were conducted based on the original studies before 2012. The present meta-analysis included original studies after 2012 in addition to the studies included in the previous meta-analyses. Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis for cancer occurrence and cancer-related mortality according to the publication
year of the included studies (before 2012/after 2012). The results are shown in Supplementary Figure 5. The pooled HR for the occurrence of primary liver cancer in subjects with BMI >30 kg/m² in the studies before and after the publication year 2012 was 1.85 (95% CI, 1.49–2.30, I²=52%) and 1.73 (95% CI, 1.47–2.04, I²=53%), respectively. These results were consistent with those of the included studies regardless of the publication year. The secondary endpoint of this study was the mortality related to primary liver cancer. An increase in BMI was found to correlate with a rise in liver cancer-related mortality. Thus, obesity increases liver cancer-related mortality as well as the risk of occurrence of primary liver cancer.

The criteria of obesity in Asian people are different from those in Western people. We evaluated the differences in the effect of obesity on primary liver cancer in Asian and non-Asian subjects. Among Asian subjects, an increase in BMI resulted in a rise in the occurrence of and mortality related to primary liver cancer. Moreover, this study showed that high BMI increases cancer occurrence and cancer-related mortality in subjects with hepatitis B or C, which are high-risk groups for primary liver cancer. Considering these findings, obesity may serve as an independent factor for the occurrence and cancer-related mortality regardless of region and viral hepatitis.

This study has several limitations. First, it included studies that reported BMI as a categorical variable. We could not include those studies that reported the relationship between clinical outcomes and BMI as a continuous variable because it is not possible to integrate both categorical and continuous variables. Second, obesity was defined based on BMI. Obesity may be assessed more accurately if body fat measurement was carried out using other methods such as bioelectrical impedance analysis. Finally, this study showed that the risk of the occurrence of and mortality from primary liver cancer increased as BMI increased (>25 kg/m²). However, low BMI was associated with poor prognosis in patients with liver disease other than liver cancer. Yi et al. reported that the mortality from alcoholic liver disease was significantly high in male subjects with lower BMI (<21 kg/m²). Further studies are needed to clarify the diverse effect of BMI on the prognosis in patients with liver disease considering etiology, sex, and alcohol consumption. In spite of these limitations, the findings established herein provide evidence for the effect of obesity on primary liver cancer based on prospective cohort studies.

In conclusion, high BMI increases liver cancer mortality and occurrence of primary liver cancer. Obesity is an independent risk factor for the occurrence of and mortality associated with primary liver cancer.
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