Arthroscopic surgery is one of the most common orthopedic procedures. The techniques and complications associated with this surgery are well established [1,2]. A thermal injury caused by the tip of the arthroscope or fiber-optic light cable end is uncommon; however, owing to the high temperature of the transmitted light, the possibility of a thermal burn has been often discussed and several cases have been reported [3–6]. We present a case of thermal injury caused by the light cable end of the arthroscope in a pediatric patient during arthroscopic knee surgery.
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Inadvertent thermal injury can occur in pediatric patients under general anesthesia during knee arthroscopic surgery. Here, we report the case of a 10-year-old boy who underwent knee arthroscopic surgery under general anesthesia. After the surgery, he complained of pain in the left lower part of his chin and was diagnosed as having a thermal burn. At three-month follow-up, he recovered without any abnormalities except mild hypertrophy of the wound area. Although rare, arthroscopic surgery has the potential to cause thermal injury from the light source. We recommend that the light source should be connected to the arthroscope before switching the power on and disconnected after a considerable time of switching the power off when not in use.
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head end of the child. Surgeons, anesthesiologist, and nurses did not notice any complication in the patient until the end of surgery. After the patient was moved to the post-anesthesia care unit, he complained of pain in the left lower part of the chin. Two small full-thickness burns measuring 6 and 5 mm were observed. Redness and edema were observed around the lesions, along with a blue-colored foreign material, which was suspected to be a part of the disposable drape (Fig. 1). The patient's pain continued, and he was immediately referred to a dermatologist. He underwent a follow-up examination of the wound in the department of dermatology for several weeks after the surgery and recovered without any complications, except a mild hypertrophy of the wound area.

Discussion

Inadvertent thermal injury in the operating room is rare but has been continuously reported. Although it is not associated with mortality, it can result in a permanent disfigurement of the patient [4,5,7–9]. Such injuries can also prolong morbidity and extend hospitalization, appreciably increasing medical costs to the patient and hospital. The hospital and surgical team may also face liability costs if the injured patient sues. A previous closed-claims analysis study reported that the median payment to plaintiff for claims related to burn injury was $71,375 (range, $8,175–321,323) [10].

Various medical devices can be implicated in this complication. Several reports in the medical literature described thermal injuries associated with anesthetic care [11,12]. Thermal injuries during minimally invasive surgeries have been reported in the settings of abdominal laparoscopic surgery and gastrointestinal endoscopy [9,13]. In recent years, cases of thermal injury have been occasionally reported in the setting of orthopedic surgery because of the universal use of minimally invasive arthroscopic surgery. Most of these complications are related to wound irrigation or radiofrequency thermal ablation [5,7–9]. Skin thermal injury from the direct fiber-optic light cable has been reported to be a risk [4].

A previous study showed that burn injury could occur when the skin is exposed for more than 6 hours at 44°C, or within 1 second at more than 70°C [14]. The Xenon light-source cable, widely used in arthroscopic surgery, has the potential to burn surgical drapes, which can result in a direct thermal injury to the patient's skin [3]. According to this study, the measured maximum temperature was more than 100°C after 350 seconds at the arthroscopic light cable end, while the arthroscopic tip measured 41.9°C after about 200 seconds.

We measured the temperature of the light cable end with a thermocouple, under different situations. The temperature was measured thrice for each situation, and the average of the three readings was considered. We also measured the temperature at different time points and distances from the light-source tip, with varying light intensities (Figs. 2 and 3). The temperature of the tip was more than 200°C in the usual setting of arthroscopic surgery (from 80% to 100% light intensity) and increased up to 70% of the highest temperature within 5 seconds. After the power of the light source was switched off, the temperature remained up to 100°C for 10 seconds. As the tip of the light source was heated significantly and was at a high temperature even after switching the power off, the surgical team should not switch on the light source without connecting it to the arthroscopic sleeve. As the temperature decreases with increasing distance from the tip of the light source, the surgeon should make a persistent effort to keep a safe distance.

This is a case of thermal injury on the chin caused by an arthroscope light cable tip in a pediatric patient undergoing arthroscopic knee surgery for a knee avulsion fracture. To our knowledge, no previous cases of thermal injury caused by fiber-optic light cable during knee arthroscopy have been reported in a pediatric patient. The inadvertent direct contact of the light cable tip with the skin was the cause of the injury. This injury can best be avoided by following the manufacturer's recommendations. In addition, this case has several characteristics that are different from those of similar cases in adults. First, neuraxial blocks are usually preferred for arthroscopic knee surgery in adult patients, while general anesthesia is preferred for the same surgery in pediatric patients. Therefore, the pediatric patient cannot complain of thermal injury-related pain immediately after onset; hence, the complication is not noticed by the surgeon and/or anesthesiologist. Second, as more parts of the body of the pediatric patient are covered with a surgical drape, the

![Fig. 1. Full-thickness burns on the left side of the chin; blue-colored parts of the foreign material were observed in the lesion.](image-url)
surgeon’s view of the patient’s face is limited, as compared with that in an adult. We measured the temperature on the side of the light cable and found that it was 38.4°C, which indicates a low possibility of burn if the tip was not in direct contact with the patient. While the instrument lies around the abdominal area in an adult, it is usually located around the chest in a child because of the relatively short stature. The side of the light source is in contact with the skin in an adult, and burn does not occur even if the light source cable is connected to the arthroscope. Moreover, the abdomen and chest are flat, whereas the chin protrudes in the supine position, and thus, the tip of the light-source cable could be in direct contact with the chin in a child if the instrument is positioned at the upper part of the body.

In conclusion, we present this case to highlight the need for extra vigilance by the surgical team to avoid this potential but early-detectable complication during arthroscopic knee surgery in pediatric patients. We recommend that the light source should be connected to the arthroscope prior to switching the power on and should always be disconnected after a considerable time of switching the power off when not in use.
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