Room temperature facile synthesis of olivine-Co$_2$SiO$_4$ nanoparticles utilizing a mechanochemical method
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Co$_2$SiO$_4$ is a ceramic pigment and promising battery material of significant technological interest, as well as a model end-member of one of the most important mineral families in the Earth’s crust and upper mantle. All previously developed methods for synthesis of Co$_2$SiO$_4$ require high-temperature processing, which promotes grain growth, while the nanocrystalline form is required for some important technological applications. Here, we report a successful method for synthesizing nanocrystalline Co$_2$SiO$_4$ via a simple and inexpensive high-energy ball milling mechanochemical process. Products of the synthesis were characterized by a combination of XRD and TEM, and their crystal structures and elemental compositions are reported.

Silicates with M$_2$SiO$_4$ formula and olivine-structure, where M is mainly Mg and Fe, and containing small amounts of Mn, Ni, Co and Ca, are of fundamental importance in geology and mineralogy, as they are predominant minerals in the crust and upper mantle of the Earth. The olivine structure is one of the most robust crystallographic arrangements, able to accommodate not only a variety of metal cations, but also other types of anionic groups. Naturally occurring silicates recognized as minerals include simple binary compounds: forsterite (Mg$_2$SiO$_4$), fayalite (Fe$_2$SiO$_4$), tephroite (Mn$_2$SiO$_4$), liebenbergite (Ni$_2$SiO$_4$), and larnite (Ca$_2$SiO$_4$), as well as Ca-containing ternary compounds: monticellite (CaMg$_2$SiO$_4$), kirschsteinite (CaFe$_2$SiO$_4$) and glaucochroite (CaMnSiO$_4$). In addition to silicates, germanate GeO$_4^{2-}$, Si$_2$O$_5^{2-}$, and Ge$_2$O$_5^{2-}$ as well as phosphate PO$_4^{3-}$ compounds can crystallize in the olivine structure.

The Fe- and Mg- end-members of the naturally occurring (Fe$_{1-x}$Mg$_x$)$_2$SiO$_4$ solid solution have been the subject of intensive studies in mineralogy, as refractories, lithium battery materials, and for carbon sequestration. Mg$_2$SiO$_4$ occurs in three well-known equilibrium polymorphs, referred to as $\alpha$ (forsterite, orthorhombic Prima olivine structure), $\beta$ (wadsleyite, orthorhombic Imma spinelloid structure), and $\gamma$ (ringwoodite, cubic spinel structure) phases. The latter two of these polymorphs ($\beta$ and $\gamma$) are stable at elevated pressure and temperature conditions, yet both can be metastably quenched. One of the less-studied olivine-type silicates, Co$_2$SiO$_4$, also exists in three structural forms, analogous to Mg$_2$SiO$_4$ phases. Ringwood et al. determined that the transformation to the ringwoodite form of the Co$_2$SiO$_4$ takes place at 7 GPa and 700 °C and Morimoto et al. synthesized, analysed, and compared the crystal structures of all three Co$_2$SiO$_4$ polymorphs.

Olivine-type Co$_2$SiO$_4$ has been synthesized by a variety of traditional methods, including precipitation, hydrothermal, and sol–gel, all of which involve multi-step synthesis and/or heating to above 500 °C, resulting in crystal growth and particle aggregation. For example, Co$_2$SiO$_4$ can be produced by heating a mixture of Co$_2$O$_4$ and SiO$_2$ at 1350 °C. Yatabe et al. synthesized Co$_2$SiO$_4$ by sintering an amorphous precipitate, formed by mixing sodium metasilicate and cobalt nitrate solutions, at 1200 °C. Taguchi et al. synthesized Co$_2$SiO$_4$ by hydrothermal reaction of CoCl$_2$·6H$_2$O and Na$_2$SiO$_3$·9H$_2$O, and subsequent calcination of the resulting precursor at 950 °C. Guo et al. also synthesized Co-olivine nanoparticles by solid-state reaction of cobalt acetate and tetraethyl orthosilicate followed by calcination at 500–700 °C. Stoia et al. used a sol–gel method and tetraethylorthosilicate, cobalt nitrate and organic diols to synthesize Co$_2$SiO$_4$/SiO$_2$ nanocomposite after calcination at 700 °C. Finally, Bayat et al. recently reported synthesis of Co$_2$SiO$_4$ nanostructures and nanocomposites via the sol–gel method using cobalt(II) acetate tetrahydrate, tetraethyl orthosilicate, NH$_3$ and carbohydrate at calcination temperatures of 500–700 °C.

The nanoparticulate Co$_2$SiO$_4$ was shown to exhibit improved optical and electrochemical performance. The conventional ceramic synthetic processes, which result in grain sizes exceeding 1 micrometer, cannot produce the grain shapes and morphologies required for some of technological applications.
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Mechanochemical activation by high-energy milling has become a widely used method for solid state synthesis, representing an alternative to high temperature processes. The recent report of successful mechanochemical synthesis of Fe$_2$SiO$_4$ raises the strong probability that other olivine compounds might be obtained using a similar approach. To this end, we have investigated the one-step synthesis of a stoichiometric mixture of CoO and SiO$_2$ at ambient temperature. To the best of our knowledge, this approach for synthesizing olivine-structured Co$_2$SiO$_4$ has not been tried before.

Using a high-energy Retsch MM400 oscillating mill, mixtures of CoO and SiO$_2$ (2 : 1 molar ratio) were loaded in 25 mL tungsten carbide (WC) jars, and mechanically milled dry with two 15 mm diameter WC balls (40 : 1 ball to powder ratio) at oscillating frequency of 30 Hz, for various times, ranging from 5 to 180 minutes. Because the linear motion component in the grinding jars of oscillating mills is more pronounced, compressive stress is enhanced at the expense of the shear component, when compared to the more common planetary mills. An optional annealing stage involving heating of the milled product at 500, 750, or 1000 °C for 12 hours, was also carried out for the 180 minute-milled sample. Milling experiments performed in air or under controlled atmosphere (Ar) showed no difference in processing outcomes. Macrosopic temperature monitoring of the milling jar using an attached thermocouple indicated that a maximum temperature of 75 °C was reached during milling trials. The issue of thermal evolution during ball milling has been a subject of many previous studies, and the term “ambient temperature process” is quite widely accepted in the mechanochemical literature. For example, Schmidt et al. discusses the temperature progression in a mixer ball mill, while Takacs and McHenry et al. talks about shaker and planetary mills. Our temperature monitoring results are consistent with these earlier studies. The phase composition of the starting materials, milled products, and annealed samples were tested using Bruker D8 Advance high-resolution powder diffraction with 3 kW CuKα source and LynxEye XE detector in Bragg-Brentano parafocusing geometry.

Fig. 1 shows a comparison of the powder XRD patterns of the starting mixture and samples milled for different duration. The cobalt oxide starting material was found to contain 13% of spinel-type Co$_3$O$_4$. Short milling times (less than 45 minutes) only produce changes in the average grain size of the sample and lattice strain, which is indicated by increasing diffraction peak width, but no new peaks are observed. Milling for 60 minutes results in the appearance of the characteristic pattern for orthorhombic olivine. Complete conversion of starting materials to Co$_2$SiO$_4$ was observed after 75 minutes. The width of the diffraction peaks of the product phase was similar to the width of the peaks for the precursors after milling for 45 minutes, indicative of sub-micrometer grain size. Milling for 720 minutes does not produce any further changes in the Co-olivine product, but results in increased contamination of the sample with WC debris from the grinding elements.

The milling process in an oscillating mill is believed to proceed at only slightly elevated average temperatures (below 100 °C), though individual ball-and-sample impacts may involve significant localized heating. Therefore, our solid-state milling-induced synthesis of Co$_2$SiO$_4$ is essentially a room temperature reaction. In order to test the effect of annealing on the mechanochemically-synthesized Co-olivine, a series of heating experiments, at 500, 750 and 1000 °C for 12 h was conducted. Results of powder XRD analysis of the annealed samples are shown in Fig. 2. Heating at 500 °C produces only minor changes in the grain size (grain growth) and anneals some of the residual strain, as evidenced by the changes in the peak widths. A marked change in the peak width of the olivine phase (significant grain growth) was observed after heating above 750 °C. Further heating to 1000 °C induced a reaction of the WC debris with the olivine sample, resulted in the formation of approximately 15% CoWO$_4$.

Fig. 3 illustrates the results of Rietveld refinements of the 180- minute-milled sample both with and without annealing,
which converge to a final-figure-of-merit $R_{wp}=3.128$ and 1.886, respectively. Rietveld analysis was conducted using Bruker TOPAS 5. The starting models for the Co$_2$SiO$_4$, WC, CoWO$_4$ structures were taken from PDF 00-015-0865, PDF 00-051-0939, and PDF 00-015-0867, respectively. Refinement included optimization of background, phase fractions, unit cell parameters, peak profiles (controlled by grain size and strain models), site occupancies for non-oxygen atoms, and atomic displacement parameters. Fractional atomic coordinates were kept fixed at the literature values. Refined unit cell parameters are in excellent agreement with literature data, and refined site occupancy factors for Si and Co sites were very close to 1.0 (Table 1). Quantitative analysis of grain size using the Hall-Williamson method implemented in TOPAS 5 showed a broad range in size distribution (28 to 651 nm diameter).

In order to determine the elemental composition and assess chemical homogeneity of the milled product, we used an 80–300 keV high-base Titan (FEI Thermo-Fisher) scanning transmission electron microscope equipped with a solid state Si(Li) energy-dispersive X-ray detector (Genesis 4000, EDAX). Bright-field and dark-field images were acquired in scanning transmission (“STEM”) mode and the crystal structures of grains were assessed using selected area electron diffraction (SAED). Compositions were measured by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (“EDS”) and quantified using a Cliff-Lorimer thin-film approximation and correction factors (“K factors”) derived from thin film standards. Representative STEM micrographs of the mechanochemically-synthesized Co$_2$SiO$_4$ after 180 minutes of milling time at different magnifications are displayed in Fig. 4a–d. The product consists of particles that show fairly broad size distribution, with many smaller grains aggregated together in large clumps. Attempt to break out these clumps ultrasonically produced little significant change in the end product. A representative SAED pattern of the milled sample (Fig. 4e) shows high degrees of spottiness in the diffraction rings, consistent with the nanocrystalline nature of the as-synthesized Co$_2$SiO$_4$. Indexing of the SAED pattern revealed the presence of (112), (120), and (131) single crystal peaks of Co$_2$SiO$_4$. Particle size analysis performed with the TEM images (Fig. 4f) indicates an average particle size of 157 nm with a broad range in size distribution (28 to 651 nm diameter).

An EDS spectrum obtained with the TEM instrument on the Co$_2$SiO$_4$ sample milled for 180 minutes (Fig. 5) depicts the presence of Co, Si, and O elements. Quantitative analysis of elemental mass percentages based on this spectrum suggests

Table 1  Unit cell parameters obtained from Rietveld refinement of the synthesized Co$_2$SiO$_4$ before and after heating. SOF is site occupancy factor$^a$

| Parameter | 105 min | 180 min | Heat 750 °C | Heat 1000 °C |
|-----------|---------|---------|-------------|--------------|
| a (Å)     | 4.79(9) | 4.79(6) | 4.785(14)   | 4.785(9)     |
| b (Å)     | 10.34(19)| 10.31(13)| 10.30(3)    | 10.311(2)    |
| c (Å)     | 5.98(11)| 6.01(8) | 6.006(17)   | 6.0105(12)   |
| V (Å$^3$) | 296.2(10)| 296.8(8) | 295.8(6)    | 296.38(12)   |
| SOF [Co-1]| 1.01(4) | 1.02(3) | 1.003(19)   | 0.964(13)    |
| SOF [Co-2]| 1.02(4) | 0.99(3) | 0.995(16)   | 0.955(11)    |
| SOF [Si]  | 1.00(6) | 1.00(7) | 0.991(5)    | 0.992(4)     |
| Si–O bond (Å)| 1.614(22)| 1.613(22)| 1.612(21)   | 1.613(12)    |
| Co–O bond (Å)| 2.145(71)| 2.143(69)| 2.142(68)   | 2.141(69)    |
| Rietveld ($R_{wp}$) | 2.203 | 1.886 | 2.458 | 3.128 |

$^a$ Standard deviations in parentheses are in unit of the last digit stated.
species. Given the nanocrystalline nature of the product and simple reaction activation by milling, it is recommended that the as-synthesized Co$_2$SiO$_4$ merits further study in material development applications.
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