A new perspective towards failure of gamma nail systems
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Abstract. Uncommon causes of nail failures and surgical reinterventions were determined. The study included 23 osteoporotic patients, 13 of whom followed a fast recovery program with early walking (FWB group). The other 10 patients were not allowed full weight bearing until 6 weeks (NFWB group). The T-score was determined before surgery for all cases. A case with a nail breakage after a failed DCS implant fixed in another clinic was also analyzed. The nail was revised and the broken implant underwent a metallurgical and microscopic examination. The average T-score was 2.5 for the patients that followed the fast recovery program and 2.7 for the patients from non-full weight bearing. Four patients, 1 from the NFWB group and 3 from FWB group, presented a screw cut-out. It was found that the errors of the guiding instruments may create dents, scratches or micro-fractures on the titanium coating that lead to an early implant failure. Imperfect reduction leads to incorrect implant placement and a high incidence of failure. Damaging the titanium protective coating, in a low force, high cycles scenario can cause structural failure. Delays in fracture healing and material fatigue are the most common causes of nail failure and can lead to catastrophic complications.

Introduction

Trochanteric fractures occurring in adults represent a challenging pathology from an orthopedic point of view. Most of these patients are old, with associated comorbidities and sometimes with fractures resulting from high intensity trauma. Mechanical complications are in general less frequent than systemic ones. Some of the truly elderly patients or the ones with various lytic tumors present at the emergency room with pertrochanteric fractures resulting from low-level trauma. Most complications are due to severe osteoporosis or malposition of the implant. Although rheumatological research is still in progress, osteoporosis treatment has not yet succeeded to reduce the complications of trochanteric fractures, which are increasing in older patient groups (1). The poor compliance of patients undergoing rheumatological treatments may be one of the causes for pertrochanteric fractures (2,3). Increasing life expectancy in Romania (75.31 years, data from TheWorldBank.com) also may be a factor for this increasing pathology.

Elderly patients, unless operated rapidly, may lose the will to resume walking; therefore, a conservative, non-surgical treatment is not desirable. Studies in literature showed a higher mortality rate and poor functional results after conservative approach.

The most frequent types of surgical treatment used in this pathology are those of reduction and osteosynthesis with intramedullary nails, DHS, as extramedullary osteosynthesis (4,5).

Our method of choice in treating this pathology is the reduction and internal fixation with a titanium made gamma-nail system. Due to some complications encountered during our practice, we decided to make an ample review of literature, an adequate analysis of our complicated cases and a mechanical test of the implant we used, in order to identify the factor that influences the breakage point.

Trochanteric fractures are fractures that affect the proximal 1/3 of the femur, starting from the base of the femoral neck up to 5 cm below the small trochanter (6).

Although there are several classifications used for this anatomical region based on various factors, the most common are those based on the fracture path (7,8) and the degree of comminution. In this study, we used the Evans Classification, which is divided into five types, starting with the first one (non-displaced with 2 fragments) and ending with the V degree, where comminution is high (Fig. 1) (9). The classifications of pertrochanteric fractures, like all classifications, have their limitations regarding reproducibility. The main challenge is to achieve a satisfactory reduction, before surgery, under C-Arm X-rays.

An increasing number of cases of pertrochanteric fractures affecting the elderly were reported in hospitals across Romania. Pertrochanteric fractures are associated with increased bone
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fragility, with falls from the same level or with psychiatric or heart problems, in some patients (10).

The diagnosis of trochanteric fracture is made clinically, the affected limb being shortened and in adduction plus external rotation. Although the diagnosis is clinical in most cases, type 1 trochanteric fractures in the Evans classification do not display an external rotation and the diagnosis cannot be complete without an X-ray in the anteroposterior and lateral incidence, since imaging is crucial in determining the classification (Fig. 2). The X-ray exposure can be completed with a CT in cases where a fracture is suspected on pathological background.

Trochanteric fractures are common in patients over 70 years of age who have various comorbidities, among which malnutrition and severe osteoporosis (11).

In patients aged 70 years, the immediate postoperative complications are represented by the loss of the ability to walk, as well as the failure to cope psychiatrically and emotionally. Rehabilitation has a crucial role and it is sometimes hard to exert.

The treatment of this pathology must be quick, with limited blood loss and a fast recovery of walking. Theoretically, the patient should be encouraged to walk immediately after surgery, even at the risk of full weight bearing on the fractured limb. Regardless of the chosen treatment, the complications that may occur pre- or postoperatively, the treatment of pain, the prevention of pulmonary thromboembolism and the maintenance of an adequate musculature must be taken into account.

From the analysis of cases with failed mechanical device stability, we aimed to identify the causes that lead to reinter- vention and sometimes death from a scientific point of view.

Materials and methods

During surgery, we follow the international guidelines and the manufacturer's implanting technique. In all cases, we struggle to achieve a close-to-perfect preoperative fracture reduction. The positioning of the patient is important. The orthopedic table is used and the fracture is reduced, a maneuver that later helps us when implanting the nail in the ideal position. The maneuver of reduction on the orthopedic table is performed under radiological control.

Small incisions are performed and the nail is implanted intramedullary and stabilized. X-rays are used on all patients to verify the correct position. The ideal position for the lag screw is inferior and posterior in the femoral head (12). For all our patients, Gamma nail implants made of titanium were used, from the same manufacturer. The implant had cervical-diaphyseal angle of 125°, a distal diameter of 10 mm, a U-lag screw, and a distal static screw. Since we encountered one case with a broken implant, we decided to analyze in laboratory conditions in order to identify what are the factors that influenced its durability. Patients with severe osteoporosis were included in this study to verify the efficiency of fast rehabilitation program with immediate full weight bearing. Patients with non-consolidation were included and also one patient with implant failure, due to septic complications.

Stress testing on the implant was done in a different center. Extremely interesting findings led us to a close check on the nail's surgical tray for accuracy and measurement tolerances. Results will be discussed later in the report.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of ‘Foișor’ Orthopedics-Traumatology and Osteoarticular TB Hospital (Bucharest, Romania). All patients provided a signed informed consent.

Twenty-three patients with previous osteoporosis were included in the study, 10 were not allowed for full weight bearing until 6 weeks after surgery, and 12 were following a fast recovery program, with early walking. Osteoporosis was diagnosed for all before surgery, and all the patients were under treatment for this pathology. T-score was recorded for each patient.

One patient, operated in another clinic, was admitted in our hospital, in the emergency room, with severe sepsis. A failed and displaced implant was identified on the X-rays. The CT scan was necessary in order to examine any secondary septic determinations.

One patient operated in another emergency clinic with a DCS system for a right hip fracture needed second surgery. The gamma nail that we used failed after one year. A full set of blood tests were performed for all patients.

Results

Titanium and titanium alloys, which have been used widely as biomedical implant materials since the 1970s, possess the
desired properties or biomedical applications, such as excellent biocompatibility, good corrosion resistance, and high ratio strength (13-15). In this study, we only used the same brand of titanium nails.

Twenty-three patients with osteoporosis were included in the study. Follow-up was at 6 months, until fracture healing. The average T-score was 2.6 for the whole group. Randomly assigned, by means of the operating surgeon, 10 patients were not allowed for full weight bearing rehabilitation (NFWB group). Their average T-score was 2.7. The other 13 patients had an average T-score of 2.5 (FWB group). None of these patients had major comorbidities. All the patients were over 70 years old. No septic complications were recorded. The body mass index (BMI) was between 26-31. No significant influence was identified; therefore, the topic was not addressed.

The average T-score was not statistically significant (P>0.01), small differences in the T-score did not change the postoperative results. The only difference in the rehabilitation program, between the two groups, was the full weight bearing indication. NFWB group had 1 case of screw cut-out that needed reintervention. Lag screw was malpositioned. Weight bearing was possible, but with moderate pain. The patient had a reintervention, with total hip arthroplasty with revision stem. 10% of this group had cut-out complications.

In the FWB group, 3 patients had cut-out screws, with gradual moderate pain. One patient had a small screw displacement, but without cut-out. Twenty-three percent of these patients encountered mechanical complications during the first 3 months. Statistically, early weight bearing does not benefit from the postoperative results. The only difference in the rehabilitation program, between the two groups, was the full weight bearing indication. NFWB group had 1 case of screw cut-out that needed reintervention. Lag screw was malpositioned. Weight bearing was possible, but with moderate pain. The patient had a reintervention, with total hip arthroplasty with revision stem. 10% of this group had cut-out complications.

The second aspect of this study is represented by a patient with bilateral hip fractures (~2 years distance between fractures), operated in another emergency clinic with a DCS system. We changed the implant with an intramedullary nail. The proximal part of the broken nail was sent for analysis. Metallurgic tests and scanning with an electronic microscope were performed. Fatigue zones were identified at the contact between the nail and the screw. It is well-known that most of the fatigue cracks initiate at free surface. This phenomenon occurs only in special cases when a very high cycles fatigue test is performed (Fig. 5A and B) (16). This type of changes in the structure were not confirmed at low-cycle or high-cycle fatigue tests for TiAl6V4 (17). We suspected that nonunion of the fracture was not the only cause of breakage. High tolerances of the lag screw guide (from
multiple uses) led to small errors in the screw placement. Small dents were seen under microscope at the beginning of the primary fissure. The rigidity of the implant (titanium alloy) may eliminate micro‑motions in the axial plane at the fracture site (producing delayed healing), causing extra load relative to the femur, that further causes stress shielding. Our theory is also confirmed by other articles. It took over 6 month for the nail to break. We found an average duration until failure of over 10 months in most studies (18‑20).

Checking the guide for the lag screw, we identified an average of 1.4 mm error, from 10 tests. The cause for this
error was the locking hex screw that locks the guide to the nail and also the threaded kirschner wire, used as a guide. That allows small errors at the passing of the drill through the nail. Small scratches on the coating and inside the nail's hole were observed.

The last case was an extremely misplaced implant with associated severe sepsis and non-union (Figs. 6 and 7). In this particular case, there was a drastic error in the reduction of the fracture, associated with life threatening comorbidities.

Discussion

The number of patients with peritrochanteric fractures is increasing, most likely due to the increase of average life span. Considering the gravity of this traumatic event, it is important to determine the factors that contribute and are associated with the failure of implant fixation. We tried to approach this subject from a different point of view. We focused on some particular cases that could lead us to some new presumption of why these systems sometimes fail. There are few publications on this topic and sometimes data are incomplete.

Peritrochanteric fractures represent a major issue of public health, as they are responsible for morbidity, increased mortality and high costs. Usually, after this type of surgery, the rehabilitation period is very long.

There is a constant debate about fast rehabilitation associated with weight bearing, as well as non-weight bearing protocols. Could this be the primary factor of gamma nail system fail? Osteoporosis has a prevalence of ~10% in the USA. This number is considered very high, in an age were physical activity is decreasing and people's average life span is increasing (21). Most patients that were hospitalized with hip fractures are also suffering from osteoporosis or at least osteopenia. In the 23 patients with pre diagnosed osteoporosis and associated peritrochanteric fractures were included in our study, resulted from falls from ground level. We excluded lytic bone lesions as cause of these fractures. The two groups were divided randomly by the surgeon who performed the operations. In one group, there was a preference of very fast rehabilitation with weight bearing (FWB). We observed a very high cut-out rate for these small group (23%). On the non-weight bearing group, only one case had a cut-out, but this incident was caused by lag screw misplacement.

Regarding BMI, there was no statistical correlation between these groups. The T-score was not relevant either. What we did note was that the weight bearing indication plays a crucial role in the outcome of the surgery. In osteoporotic patients, full weight bearing should be restricted to at least 6 weeks after surgery. Partial weight bearing can be allowed, but no more than 15% of the body weight. It is important to mention that this finding is relevant only to patients diagnosed with osteoporosis (22-24).

Based on our clinical experience, fast rehabilitation protocols associated with weight bearing decrease the recovery period after surgery, increase patient satisfaction, decrease the cost of the medical act and also augment the personal independence. According to our findings, this approach should be restricted to patients that have acceptable bone stock accompanied by an adequate surgical technique. Screw placement plays a crucial role in the outcome of this type of surgery (22).

One patient that we identified was a 61-year old woman, with a BMI of 29. She had been operated in our clinic after a failed DCS system (performed in another regional hospital), used for a peritrochanteric left hip fracture. The situation on the right leg was identical. We performed the second surgery, using a gamma nail fixation. After 6 months, the implant broke. We took into consideration inadequate reduction and insufficient restore of the lateral femoral wall as primary causes of failure. Consulting data from literature, we noted an average duration of over 10 months after nail breakage (18-20).

This finding led us to further investigate the broken implant, after extraction. On a microscopic view, we observed small cracks and dents produced by the lag screw in the hole of the nail. Titanium is an extremely stiff material, resilient to bending. It tends to increase stress shielding on fracture level. Small scratches in the weakest point of the nail can determine a decrease in resistance. In this particular case, this was the second surgery, so bone healing was slower. Could small errors in the guiding system cause a decrease of the nail resistance? We identified up to 1.4 mm of error in the system guiding instruments tray, caused by multiple use and perhaps also being inadequate (Fig. 8).

Several cases in literature have reported failed titanium or titanium alloy implants, specially gamma nails (19). Nonetheless, the overall long-term results for this type of material are still extremely good (25-30).

Most frequently, failure takes place as a result of high tensile stresses around notches, holes or small indentations. Exceeding the stress forces within the very thin 1.8-17 nm of protective coating (titanium oxide TiO$_2$) can produce small/micro cracks followed by repassivation (31).

This effect is constant and is augmented by the corrosive environment (oxidative wear). Physiological loading induces unexpected, high cyclic stress during daily activity, weight bearing until fracture healing is complete. It is clear that none of these forces exceed the material's critical breaking point on one exposure, but it is a relevant issue after a high number of cycles. Microcracks in the material grow at a slow per-cycle velocity and can propagate to implant breakage until bone heals (32). The same principle of titanium alloy implants breakage was noticed in the modular systems. Due to small micromotions, the protective titanium oxide coating was destroyed and the implant failed due to increased fretting corrosion at the modular interface (33). In our case, the disruption of the titanium protective coating was iatrogenic. The drill for the lag-screw, due to small errors in the guiding system produces small scratches in the protective coating. The fracture site had a slow healing rate with high stress forces at this level. All led to a very fast implant breakage. It seems that this error severely decreased the implant's lifetime.

The next case that we analyzed was a failed gamma nail due to multiple causes. When including this case in the study, we wanted to advocate the fast reintervention for this type of patients. An 83-year old diabetic patient presented to our emergency room with clinical sepsis, with a malpositioned nail, without fracture reduction, 8 months after surgery in another hospital. She did not address another hospital services and no follow-up information was available during this period of time. Septic signs appeared one month before presenting to our clinic (family anamnesis, patient had a semicomatose
status). At the clinical exam, we identified a right shoulder arthritis. Since the patient’s status was rapidly declining, we decided to perform extraction of the implant and debridement (Fig. 9). Unfortunately, during surgery, the patient went into septic shock.

Failed gamma nails should be addressed rapidly. Peritrochanteric fractures increase morbidity and in conjunction with comorbidities can have a very high mortality rate. Septic complications can lead to sepsis or even shock. We analyzed this extreme case only to underline the fact that care must be exercised in fracture preoperative reduction and adequate nail and screw placement, since implant failing can sometimes lead to death.

Failure of gamma nail implants is not frequent, but still it must be avoided as much as possible. Such events lead to additional surgical procedures. In literature, the rate of breakage is reported in variable proportions; however, it is generally below 5.6% (19,34,35). There are many causes that may determine this complication.

The aim of this report was to identify lesser-known facts that can lead to surgical reintervention. According to our data, some patients have pre-existent osteoporosis. This comorbidity increases the percentage of implant failure. It is safe to say that full weight bearing should be allowed 6 weeks after or to patients that have good bone stock. Faster mobilization after surgery cannot always lead to good results, especially in old people with fragile bones. Lag screw placement and preoperative adequate fracture reduction are critical aspects that greatly influence the surgical outcome. A displaced implant associated with fractured delayed healing or cut-out should be addressed rapidly, since it can increase morbidity and sometimes lead to fatal complications.

In our study and after a thorough review of major publications, we have identified a novel factor of gamma nail failure. Breakage of the nail at the lag-screw level can be determined by errors in the guiding instruments. These high tolerances can cause dents or scratches in the protective titanium coating. This can lead to early implant breakage due to stress forces in a high-cycles environment. Extra care should be promoted to fracture reduction and adequate use of the gamma instruments tray. It is obvious that the forces exerted at this level do not meet the critical level of implant breaking point, but after a high number of cycles with a lower stress level, the lifetime and resistance of titanium alloy components are greatly reduced. This theory was also observed in other modular systems, used in the orthopedic field.

The gamma nail system remains one of the best solutions in the surgical treatment of pertrochanteric fractures, even though it may sometimes be associated with postoperative complications (36).
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