ABSTRACT

Objective: The main aim of this research work was to evaluate the antibacterial and haemolytic activities of different extracts of Euglena viridis (E. viridis), a freshwater microalga.

Methods: The solvent extraction has been followed by a preliminary screening of phytochemicals. The ethanolic extract, Eu(EtOH) was chromatographed on a silica gel column. The column was eluted with hexane and then with ethyl acetate/hexane mixtures of increasing polarity, 16 fractions (Ef1-Ef16) were collected and grouped according to their TLC (Thin layer chromatography). Antibacterial activities of different fractions of E. viridis against Pseudomonas putida (P. putida) ATCC49828, P. aeruginosa MTCC 35672, Aeromonas hydrophila (A. hydrophila) MTCC 646, ATCC 49140, eleven strains of Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) and thirteen strains of Flavobacterium columnare (F. columnare) was done using disc diffusion methods. Haemolytic activity was carried out by using blood agar plate method. The MIC (Minimum inhibitory concentration) values of active fractions were determined by the broth dilution method.

Results: The results showed that the Eu(EtOH) poses significantly (p≤0.5) higher zone of inhibition (14.0±0.28, 13.5±0.28 mm) against FLV8 and FLV9 respectively. Three strains of Flavobacterium (FLV5, FLV6 and FLV10) were highly sensitive (zone size, 17 mm, 17.5 mm) towards 30% EA; Hex chromatographic eluents (Ef11) with lowest MIC values, e i. 160 µg and 30 µg respectively. Two chromatographic fractions, Ef11 and Ef13 were highly effective (zone size, 14.5 mm and 13.5 mm) against S. aureus (SA5) with lowest MIC value (60µg). Haemolytic activities of all the algal extracts were noticed that both Eu(EtOH) and methanolic extract, Eu(MeOH) of Euglena gives negative results.

Conclusion: These findings suggest that the extract obtained from E. viridis have active substances contributing to the increasing antibacterial potential.
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INTRODUCTION

Antibiotics are naturally occurring or synthetic organic compounds which inhibit or destroy selective bacteria, generally at low concentrations [1]. The success of antibiotics against disease-causing microbes is among modern medicines’ great achievements. However, this kind of drug is beginning to lose its usefulness due to the development of resistance on the part of microbes. The increasing resistance of bacteria to antibiotics is kindled due to the misuse and over-prescription of the drugs. As resistance to antibiotics spreads, the development of new antimicrobial agents has to be expedited if the problem is to be contained. Thus the search for newer sources of antibiotics is a global challenge pre-occupying research institutions, pharmaceutical companies and academia [2]. In the face of this scenario, the search for substances from natural sources, including algae, has been gaining importance in the pharmaceutical companies. The use of medicinal plants for therapy is an ancient practice. Though much work has been done on ethnomedical plants, there is still need to seek plants with medicinal value to combat diseases. More recently, some researchers have envisioned the enormous possibilities of algae and microalgae as potential source of bioactive compounds; particularly, some microalgae have been studied as a potential natural source of different functional compounds [3]. Recently, much attention has been focused on the microalga as sources of the novel, biologically active compounds such as phycobiline, phenols, terpenoids, steroids and polysaccharide [4]. Freshwater algae are a rich source of structurally novel and biologically active metabolites. Primary or secondary metabolites produced by these organisms may be potential bioactive compounds of interest in the pharmaceutical industry. To date, many chemically unique compounds with various biological activities have been isolated and some of them are under investigation and are being used to develop new pharmaceuticals. Euglena viridis is unicellular flagellate algal protists which are both freshwater and marine forms and the term ‘Euglena’ is coined by Ehrenberg [5]. The genus Euglena is the largest in the class Euglenophyceae with 154 or more species and it is the most interesting genus, which is a representative of the animal as well as plant character [6]. It is usually free-swimming fusiform, elongate, lanceolate, spindle-shaped, flexible unicellular mobile form with usually one or rarely two flexible flagella issuing out of an anterior notch at the base of which is an oval aperture and distinctive red pigment spot known as eye-spot. Euglena forms red blooms in all type of water bodies when density is very high characterized by the formation of haematochrome during bright sunny days. The coloration is green in cloudy days [7]. Euglena sp. has a broad range of medicinal properties, such as antimicrobial, anti-mutagenic, anti-HIV, immunostimulant and cytotoxic activity [8-12]. It has been reported that the unicellular flagellate E. gracilis is a rich source of β-1,3-glucon and has applications in human and veterinary medicine as an immunostimulant and immunopotentiator [13].

The microalgae have a significant attraction as a natural source of bioactive molecules because they have the potential to produce bioactive compounds in culture, which are difficult to produce by chemical synthesis [14]. Despite this potential, attention has been centered on marine algae, with very little on freshwater algae. Recently the antibacterial activity of some freshwater algae has been studied [15-17].

As an efficient strategy of the investigation, organic solvents have been used to extract the possible active principles from the
freshwater alga, *Euglena*. The present research was performed with an algal extract consisting of several substances; the derivative was initially analyzed for the phytochemical characteristics of its constitution through the phytochemical screening and chromatographic profile and aimed at exploring their antimicrobial activity and biomolecules of potential therapeutic interest.

The present study was undertaken to evaluate the comparative antibacterial activities of algal extracts with standard methods of Sofowara and Tease and Evans [18, 19].

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Chemicals and equipments**

All chemicals were of analytical reagent grade and obtained from SRL and Himedia. Among the equipment used: a rotary evaporator (Büchi), UV-Visible single beam spectrophotometer, Biorad.

**Processing of algal material**

Samples of freshwater alga, *E. viridis* were collected from ponds of Central Institute of Freshwater Aquaculture, Bhubaneswar, India in the month of October 2012. All samples were brought to the laboratory in plastic bags containing pond water and then washed three times with distilled water to separate potential contaminants. The alga was identified as belonging to family Euglenophyceae following Records of Botanical Survey of India [7, 8].

**Preparation of the extracts**

Harvested samples were dried at room temperature and ground in an electric grinder. The resulting powder was submitted to lipid soluble polar solvents (hexane, ethyl acetate, ethanol, and methanol) for extraction, using a soxhlet extractor at 55-60 °C. All samples were refluxed until saturation (24 h) and the respective extracts were dried in a rotary evaporator (Büchi) at low pressure. Subsequently, the residual extracts were suspended in the respective solvents to a final concentration of 10 μg μl⁻¹ [15].

**Preliminary phytochemical screening**

The phytochemical screening of the crude extracts of *E. viridis* was performed to verify the presence of natural chemical constituents such as: alkaloids, flavonoids, tannin, steroids, reducing sugar and saponins by using standard methods of Sofowara and Tease and Evans [18, 19].

**Fractionation by column chromatography**

The active crude ethanolic extract of *Euglena* (2 g) was fractionated using gel silica (SRL 100-200 mesh size) column chromatography [20]. The solvent system was fixed by a preliminary thin layer chromatographic (TLC) study [21]. The elution was carried out successively with hexane, different ratios of ethylacetate/hexane (1:20, 1:5, 5:10, 1:1, 4:5) and ethanol and 50-100 ml of each fraction were collected. Then the fractions were reduced to 5 ml by distilling. After distillation collected fractions were mixed according to their TLC behavior.

**Thin layer chromatography (TLC)**

Different fractions of ethanolic extract was developed by using different solvents in successions starting with the least polar and then shifting to the optimal solvent/solvent mixture, hexane, mixture of ethyl acetate and hexane (1:10, 1:5, 5:10, 1:1, 7:10) and chloroform/methanol. The developed application spots were visualized by sprayed with methanol and sulphuric acid (9.5:0.5) solution followed by heating the plates at 100 °C in an oven. To compare the results, the retention factor, Rf value was determined for each substance. It was calculated as the ratio of the distance covered by the sample component to that covered by the solvent. Due to that, Rf value is always between 0 and 1.

**Test organisms**

Antibacterial sensitivity was tested against the pathogenic gram-negative strains of *Aeromonas hydrophila* (MTCC 646, ATCC 49140), *Pseudomonas putida* (ATCC 49828), *P. aeruginosa* (MTCC 35672), *Flavobacterium columnare* (PLV1-FLV12) and gram-positive strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* (SA1-SA10 and a reference strain, ATCC6538P). Above culture was maintained in the Aquatic Animal Health Division, CIFA, Bhubaneswar, were taken for the antibacterial sensitivity study. The bacterial pathogens used in this work (other than reference strains) were isolated from diseased fish and human beings (table 1). Pure cultures of different bacterial strains were taken and inoculated in brain heart infusion (BHI) broth (Himedia, India) and incubated at 37 °C for 18 h.

**Inhibitory effect by the disc diffusion method**

Growth inhibition by pathogens by various crude extracts as well as fractions of the *E. viridis* was investigated using the disc diffusion method [22]. All bacteria were grown in nutrient broth (10⁶ CFU ml⁻¹) incubated at 37 °C for 24 h and plated using a sterile swab, on to petridishes containing antibiotic assay medium (Hi-media, Mumbai). At the same time, crude extract embedded discs (10 mg ml⁻¹) and control (methanol) disc were allowed to air dry. After solvent evaporation, the discs were put on the above agar plates inoculated with the test bacteria and incubated at 37 °C. Activity of the algal extracts against bacterial pathogens was determined after 24 h at 37 °C by measuring the diameter of the halo around the discs [23]. The antibacterial activities of algal extracts were compared with inhibition zones around six commercial antibiotic discs i.e., bacitracin, gentamicin, clotrimazole, tetracycline, furazolodone and cephalaxin (Hi Media, India) that were used as references.

**Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)**

A broth tube dilution method was used to determine the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) [24]. The extracts of *Euglena viridis* were serially diluted in nutrient broth. Equal amount (2 ml) of bacterial suspension corresponding to 10⁶ CFU ml⁻¹ of the test organism was added to each of the test tubes. The mixture was allowed to overnight incubation and the turbidity in each tube was visualized. The highest dilution of the algal extract in which there was no growth of the organism on the nutrient broth was observed.
Haemolytic activity

Haemolytic activity was carried out by using blood agar plate method. The crude algal extracts were used to detect the haemolytic activity. The blood agar plates were prepared by adding human blood (5%) to blood agar base. Wells were punched on the blood agar surface by using a gel borer. The algal extracts were prepared 1000μg/ml concentration, and a volume of 100 μl was transferred aseptically into the well. Then plates were incubated at 37 °C for 12 h. The plates were then examined for the zone of haemolysis [25].

Statistical analysis

The results were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and significant difference of among various extract s of Euglena was compared using duncan’s multiple range test (DMRT) [26].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

An alternative to the inhibition of bacterial growth would lie in an approach to prevent pathogens from establishing a successful infection that can be done through developing new antipathogenic drugs. The most important of these bioactive constituents of plants are alkaloids, tannin, flavonoid and phenolic compounds [27]. Successful prediction of botanical compounds from plant material is largely dependent on the type of organic solvent used in the extraction procedure. In our study we are using four crude extracts, E(Hex), E(EtAC), E(EtOH) and E(MeOH) of E. viridis and we are concentrating on crude as well as fractions of ethanolic extracts. The preliminary phytochemical analysis of the Euglena extract revealed the presence of alkaloid, flavonoids, steroid and reducing sugar presented in table 2. The ethanolic extract posses alkaloid, flavonoid, steroid and reducing sugar [29]. Similar type of observations was found by many workers [20] that the ethanolic extract of plants (Plectranthus glandulosus) shows the presence of tannins, alkaloids, glycosides, steroids, and flavonoids. Six steroids have been isolated from an ethanolic extract of green alga Chaetomorpha basiretorsa Setchell [30]. Bhaigyabati et al. reported that various solvent extracts of marine seaweed Sargassum muticum posses steroids, alkaloids, flavonoids and carbohydrates with antioxidant activities [31].

Table 2: Preliminary phytochemical tests of various extracts of E. viridis

| Constituents/Test        | E(Hex) | E(EtAC) | E(EtOH) | E(MeOH) |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| Alkaloid                  | -      | +       | +       | -       |
| Dragendorff               | -      | -       | +       | -       |
| Wagner                    | -      | -       | +       | -       |
| Mayer                     | -      | -       | +       | -       |
| Tanin                     | -      | -       | -       | -       |
| Ferric chloride test      | -      | -       | -       | -       |
| Flavonoids                | -      | -       | -       | -       |
| NaOH and dil. HCL         | -      | +       | +       | -       |
| Steroid                   | -      | -       | -       | +       |
| Saponins                  | -      | -       | -       | +       |
| Reducing sugar            | -      | -       | -       | +       |
| Fehling                   | -      | -       | -       | +       |

Note: (+) =present; (-) = absent

The antibacterial effect of crude extract of E. viridis was already demonstrated by our previous work [8, 15]. In our previous work, it was reported that the chloroform, acetone, methanol and ethanol extracts of E. viridis showed antibacterial activity against different fish pathogens [8]. In the present study, the crude and chromatographic fractions of Euglena were screened against gram+ve and gram–ve bacteria. Among four crude extracts, ethanolic, Eu(EtOH) and methanolic, Eu(MeOH) extracts showed high inhibiting activity against selected bacterial pathogens, whereas two strains of E. coli (FLV5, FLV6), P. putida, ATCC 49128 and A. hydrophila, MTCC 646 were resistant towards the low polar extracts i.e hexane, Eu(Hex) and ethyl acetate, Eu(EtAC) (table 3, 4 and fig. 1). The ethanolic extract, Eu(EtOH) poses significantly (p≤0.5) higher zone of inhibition (14.0±0.28; 13.5±0.28 mm) against FLV8 and FLV9 respectively (table 3). Earlier studies showed that the methanol extract of green seaweed Cladophora glomerata was active against gram-negative bacteria. Ethanol extract also inhibited gram-positive bacteria Bacillus cereus (16.7 mm), B. megaterium (17.2 mm), B. stearothermophilus (15.9 mm), B. subtilis (13.4 mm), S. aureus (12.9 mm), and S. faecalis (13.5 mm). In the present study, we observed that both ethanolic and methanolic extracts were active against gram-negative bacteria. The variation of the antibacterial activity of our extracts might be due to the presence of antibacterial substances, which varied from species to species [32].
The crude extracts of *E. viridis* were partially purified by silica gel column chromatography using hexane: ethyl acetate as solvent systems. A total of sixteen different fractions were obtained and details of the fractions were represented in Table 5. All the fractions were assayed for antibacterial activity against an array of pathogens and summarized (fig. 2 and 3). Based on the preliminary screening results, five selected fractions, Ef4, Ef5 (5% EA/Hex); Ef8 (10% EA/Hex); Ef1, Ef13 (30% EA/Hex) and crude ethanolic extract of *Euglena* were chosen to determine the MIC values against 12 gram-negative and 11 gram-positive bacterial pathogens.

### Table 3: Antibacterial activities of various extracts of *Euglena* against different strains of *F. columnare*

| Extracts | *F. columnare* |
|----------|----------------|
|          | Zone of inhibition in mm |
|          | FLV1 | FLV2 | FLV3 | FLV4 | FLV5 | FLV6 | FLV7 | FLV8 | FLV9 | FLV10 | FLV11 | FLV12 |
| Eu(Hex)  | 0    | 0    | 9.0  | 9.5  | 0    | 0    | 10.0 | 11.0 | 11.2 | 10.2 | 9.0    | 0     |
|          | ±0.28 | ±0.5  |
| Eu(EtAC) | 10.0 | 9.3  | 9.2  | 8.6  | 0    | 0    | 10.3 | 11.5 | 10.9 | 8.5  | 9.0    | 0     |
|          | ±0.28 | ±0.44 | ±0.33 | ±0.44  | ±0.44  | ±0.44  | ±0.28 | ±0.28 | ±0.33 | ±0.28 | ±0.28  | ±0.5  |
| Eu(EtOH) | 12.3 | 7.8  | 10.0 | 12.5 | 9.0  | 11.2 | 11.3 | 14.0 | 13.0 | 11.0 | 11.0   | 11.0  |
|          | ±0.44 | ±0.16 | ±0.28 | ±0.28 | ±0.16 | ±0.33 | ±0.44 | ±0.28 | ±0.28 | ±0.28 | ±0.28  | ±0.28  |
| Eu(MeOH) | 11.3 | 9.3  | 11.6 | 12.5 | 10.3 | 9.0  | 8.3  | 10.8 | 12.5 | 13.3 | 10.0   | 10.5  |
|          | ±0.44 | ±0.44 | ±0.28 | ±0.28 | ±0.28 | ±0.44 | ±0.28 | ±0.44 | ±0.28 | ±0.28 | ±0.28  | ±0.28  |

Note: Zone represent mean±SD, Mean represents common superscript are not significant to each other along rows. Eu(Hex)-Hexane extract of *Euglena*, Eu(EtAC)-Ethyl acetate extract of *Euglena*, Eu(EtOH)-ethanolic extract of *Euglena* and Eu(MeOH)-methanolic extract of *Euglena*. Each value represents the mean±SD (n = 3). Lines with different letters indicate activities significantly different (p ≤ 0.05).

### Table 4: Antibacterial activities of various extracts of *Euglena* against reference strains of *Pseudomonas* spp. and *A. hydrophila*

| Extracts (10 mg/ml) | P. putida | P. aeruginosa | A. hydrophila |
|---------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|
|                     | Zone of inhibition in mm                                                                 |
|                     | ATCC 49128 | ATCC35072     | MTCC 646      | ATCC 49140 |
| Eu(Hex)             | 0         | 9.3±0.44      | 0             | 9.5±0.28 |
| Eu(EtAC)            | 0         | 0             | 0             | 10.0±0.28 |
| Eu(EtOH)            | 11.3±0.44 | 9.6±0.16      | 15.0±0.28     | 12.0±0.28 |
| Eu(MeOH)            | 9.6±0.44  | 10.6±0.44     | 10.6±0.44     | 13.6±0.44 |
| Standard antibiotics |           |               |               |           |
| Clotrimazole (10mcg)| 12        | 12            | 18            | ND        |
| Tetracycline        | 15        | 19            | ND            | ND        |
| Fluazolidone (50mcg)| 15        | 18            | ND            | ND        |
| Cephalaxin (30mcg)  | 9         | 8             | 15            | ND        |

Note: Zone represent mean±SD, Mean represents common superscript are not significant to each other along rows. Eu(Hex)-Hexane extract of *Euglena*, Eu(EtAC)-Ethyl acetate extract of *Euglena*, Eu(EtOH)-ethanolic extract of *Euglena* and Eu(MeOH)-methanolic extract of *Euglena*. Each value represents the mean±SD (n = 3). Lines with different letters indicate activities significantly different (p ≤ 0.05).

ND: Not done
Fig. 3: Antimicrobial activity of various fractions of ethanolic extracts of *Euglena* against different strains of *S. aureus*.

Table 5: Showing silica gel (100-200 mesh) column chromatography fractionated *E. viridis*

| Sample fractionated | Extract used | Code of fractions | Weight of fractions (g) | Solvent for elution | TLC Rf values |
|---------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| *Euglena viridis*    | Ethanol (EtOH) | Ef1                | 1.0025                   | Hex                 | 0.85, 0.5, 0.42, 0.33 |
|                     |              | Ef2                | 0.8421                   |                     | 0.38, 0.23     |
|                     |              | Ef3                | 0.0227                   |                     | 0.3, 0.1       |
|                     |              | Ef4                | 0.0138                   | EA: Hex             | 0.93, 0.72, 0.63, 0.54 |
|                     |              | Ef5                | 0.0142                   | (0.5:9.5)           | 0.95, 0.66, 0.53, 0.32 |
|                     |              | Ef6                | 0.0164                   |                     | 0.86, 0.80, 0.54, 0.31 |
|                     |              | Ef7                | 0.0571                   | EA: Hex (1:1:3)     | 0.81, 0.53, 0.33 |
|                     |              | Ef8                | 0.0113                   | EA: Hex (1:9)       | 0.91, 0.50     |
|                     |              | Ef9                | 0.0214                   |                    | 0.9, 0.54, 0.39 |
|                     |              | Ef10               | 0.0338                   |                    | 0.66           |
|                     |              | Ef11               | 0.1354                   | EA: Hex             | 0.75, 0.65, 0.50 |
|                     |              | Ef12               | 0.15                     | (3:7)               |               |
|                     |              | Ef13               | 0.0241                   |                     | 0.65, 0.51     |
|                     |              | Ef14               | 0.0816                   | EA: Hex (1:1)       | 0.65, 0.44, 0.26, 0.16 |
|                     |              | Ef15               | 0.1865                   |                    | 0.25           |
|                     |              | Ef16               | 0.2031                   | EA                  | 0.44, 0.51     |

The details of the antibacterial activity and MIC values are given in the table 6 and 7. The result showed that low as well as polar chromatographic eluents, could effectively inhibit the growth of all the strains of *F. columnare* and *S. aureus* with MIC values range from 30 µg-200µg. Three strains of Flavobacterium (FLV5, FLV6 and FLV10) were highly sensitive (zone size, 17 mm, 17.5 mm) towards 30% EA: Hex chromatographic eluents (Ef11) with lowest MIC values, e. i 60 µg and 30 µg respectively.

Table 6: MIC values of various fractions of ethanolic extracts of *Euglena* against different strains of *F. columnare*

| Fractions | F. columnare |
|-----------|--------------|
| MIC values (µg) | |
| Ef4  | 200 100 200 150 100 100 150 150 150 100 |
| Ef5  | 150 150 150 100 100 100 150 150 150 200 |
| Ef6  | 150 150 150 100 100 100 150 150 150 200 |
| Ef11 | 100 80 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60  |
| Ef13 | 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60  |
| E(EtOH)| >200 >200 >200 >200 >200 |

Note: Ef1, Ef2, Ef3 (100%Hex); Ef4, Ef5, Ef6 (5% EA/Hex); Ef7 (7% EA/Hex); Ef8, Ef9 (10% EA/Hex); Ef10, Ef11, Ef12, Ef13 (30% EA/Hex); Ef14 (50% EA/Hex); Ef15 (100%EA); Ef16 (100% EtOH)
Similar type of results showed that crude ethanolic and fractions of *S. polyrhiza* could effectively inhibit the growth of *A. hydrophila*, three species of *Pseudomonas*, two *Vibrio* species, *S. aureus* and *E. coli* [28]. Two chromatographic fractions, Ef11 and Ef13 were highly effective (zone size, 14.5 mm and 13.5 mm) against *S. aureus* (SAS) with lowest MIC value (60µg). Similarly, partial purified fractions of green seaweed, *Cladophora glomerata* showed broad-spectrum activity against the human pathogen and fish pathogens [33].

The antimicrobial sensitivity i.e. clotrimazole, tetracycline, furazolidone and cephalxin (as antibacterial) are taken as control for comparing the activity of the solvent extracts of *E. viridis* to find out its efficacy and usefulness while developing a standard bioactive compound as an antimicrobial. The antimicrobial activity of crude extracts of *Ulva fasciata* and *Chaetomorpha antennina* against ten human pathogenic bacterial strains were done and their zone of inhibition compared with a standard antibiotic, tetracycline.

In our study, some of the bacterial strains weakly respond to crude extracts, whereas the fractions showed broad-spectrum activity against multiple strains. This might be due to masking of antibacterial activity by the presence of some inhibitory compounds or factors in the extractor synergism by the presence of some compounds or factors in the extract. The variation of the antibacterial activity of our algal extracts might be due to the distribution of antimicrobial substances, which varied from fraction to fraction of the crude extract. Similar type of observations was made by Zeb et al. [34] who found that fractionation of crude extracts tested enhanced their activity against both gram-negative as well as gram-positive pathogens.

The *Gelidium acerosa*, a rhodophyta contain large amount of valuable phytochemicals like saponins, flavonoids and alkaloids etc., which are known for its medicinal uses [35]. Preliminary phytochemical screening of the crude extracts of *Canavalia rosea* revealed the presence of tannins, phlobatannins, saponins, flavonoids, alkaloids, cardiac glycosides and phenolics. The presence of these bioactive constituents is associated with the antimicrobial activity of the plant. Distribution of hydrocarbons among the studied algae showed a very interesting pattern in respect to geographical variations. The presence of methyl and hexyl groups could be a result of alkylation of hydrocarbons with methanol and hexane which was used in the extraction and purification process in the present study.

The ability to produce antimicrobial substances may be significant not only as a defensive instrument for the aquatic plants but also as a good source of the new bioactive compounds from a pharmaceutical point of view. A variety of solvents with different polarities were used for the extraction of this bioactive plant material. Here we are concentrating on the active phytochemicals present in the crude ethanolic as well as fractionated product of the *E. viridis*. Ethanol is considered as a safe solvent and ethanol turned out to be the most suitable solvent in extracting antioxidant components from *Spirulina* since ethanol extracts showed a high antioxidant activity together with a high extraction yield. The partially purified fractions need further purifications and the details of the chemical nature by GC MS and NMR spectra.

Table 7: Antibacterial activities and MIC values of various fractions of ethanolic extracts of *Euglena* against different strains of *S. aureus*

| Fractions (10 mg ml⁻¹) | MIC values (µg) |
|------------------------|----------------|
| Ef4                    | ˃200           |
| Ef5                    | 200            |
| Ef6                    | 150            |
| Ef7                    | 100            |
| Ef8                    | 150            |
| Ef9                    | 100            |
| Ef10                   | 100            |
| Ef11                   | 100            |
| Ef12                   | 60             |
| Ef13                   | 60             |
| Ef14                   | 60             |
| Ef15                   | 50             |
| Ef16                   | 100            |

Note: Ef1, Ef2, Ef3 (100%Hex); Ef4, Ef5, Ef6 (5% EA/Hex); Ef7 (7% EA/Hex); Ef8, Ef9 (10% EA/Hex); Ef10, Ef11, Ef12, Ef13 (30% EA/Hex); Ef14 (50% EA/Hex); Ef15 (100%EA); Ef16 (100% EtOH)

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the present study provides data to show the appreciable antibacterial activity of freshwater alga *E. viridis* crude extracts and partial purified fractions against gram-positive human and fish pathogens as well as gram-negative fish pathogens. The result presumes that the secondary metabolites like alkaloids, flavonoids and reducing sugars may act as potential bioactive substance and can be exploited in pharmaceutical preparations. Further study is in progress to find out the mechanism of inhibition of gram-negative pathogens by the purified compounds.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to the Indian Council Agricultural Research (ICAR), New Delhi and the Department of Science and Technology for providing the financial assistance.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

All the author have contributed equally.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

Declared none

REFERENCES

1. Brooks GF, Carroll KC, Butel JS. The growth and death of microorganism. In: Jawetz, Melnick, Adelberg's Medical Microbiology. 24th ed McGraw-Hill Toronto; 2007. p. 57.
2. Latha S, Kannabiran K. Antimicrobial activity and phytochemicals of *Solanium trinobatum* Linn. Afr J Biotechnol 2006;5:2402-4.
3. Bhattacharjee M. Pharmacuetically valuable bioactive compounds of algae. Asian J Pharma Clin Res 2016;9:43-7.
4. Abd El-Baky HH, El Baz FK, El-Baroty GS. Evaluation of marine alga *Ulva lactuca* L. as a source of the natural preservative ingredient. Am Eurasian J Agric Environ Sci 2008;3:434-44.
5. Ehrenberg CG. Neue beobachtungen über blutartige erscheinungen in aegypten, anbien und sibirien, nebst einer uebersicht und kritik der früher bekannten. Annalen Der Physik Und Chemie Ser 1830;28:477-514.
6. Godlics M. The genus Euglena. University of Wisconsin Press, Madison. [Includes keys, descriptions, and fig. of all species known at the time]; 1953.
7. Biswas K. Common fresh and brackish water algal flora of India and Burma. Part I. Records of the Botanical Survey of India; 1949. p. 15.
8. Das BK, Pradhan J, Pattanaik K, Samantray BR, Samal SK. Production of antibacterials from the freshwater alga *Euglena viridis* (Ehren). World J Microbiol Biotechnol 2005;21:45-50.

9. Fotinopova P, Latalova N, Ebringer L. Antimutagenicity in *Euglena gracilis*. Mutation Res 1994;32:167-71.

10. Nakachima H, Ohashi Y, Miyano Y, Yamamoto N, Ichikawa S, Kondo H, et al. Synergistic inhibition of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) replication *in vitro* by sulphated paramylon and 3'-azido-2',3'-dideoxythymidine (AZT). Lett Appl Microbiol 2008;18:24-6.

11. Das BK, Pradhan J, Sahu S. The effect of *Euglena viridis* on the immune system of rohu, *Labeo rohita*. Fish Shellfish Immunol 2009;26:871-9.

12. Das BK, Pradhan J, Mahammad S, Nayak KK. *In vitro* cytotoxic and antibacterial activity of ethanolic extract of *Euglena viridis*. Int J Pharma Bio Sci 2012;3:321-31.

13. Barsanti L, Vismara R, Passarelli V, Gualtieri P. Paramylon (β-1,3-glucan) content in wild-type and WZSL mutant of *Euglena gracilis* effects of growth conditions. J Appl Phycol 2001;13:59-65.

14. Borowitzka MA, Borowitzka LJ. Vitamins and fine chemicals from microalgae. In: Microalgal Biotechnology press syndicate of the University of Cambridge, New York, USA; 1989.

15. Das BK, Pradhan J. Antibacterial properties of selected freshwater microalgae against pathogenic bacteria. Indian J Fish 2010;57:61-6.

16. Pradhan J, Sahu S, Nilima PM. Antibacterial properties of freshwater *Microcystis aeruginosa* (Kütz) to bacterial pathogen—a comparative study of bacterial bioassays. Indian J Anim Sci 2011;81:1266-7.

17. Pradhan J, Das BK, Sahu S, Mishra BK, Das BK. Traditional antibacterial activity of freshwater microalgae *Spirulina platensis* to aquatic pathogens. Aquacult Res 2012;43:1287-95.

18. Sofowara A. Medicinal plants and traditional medicine in Africa. Spectrum Books Ltd, Ibadan, Nigeria; 1993. p. 289.

19. Trease GE, Evans WC. Pharmacognosy. 15th Edn. Saunders; 2002. p. 214-393.

20. Motl O, Novotny L. Adsorption column chromatography. In: Laboratory Hand Book of Chromatography and Allied Methods. (Ed by Mikis, O.) Ellis Horwoods Ltd. Chichester; 1979. p. 150-217.

21. Stahl E. Apparatus and general technique in TLC. In: Thin Layer Chromatography. Ed. Stahl E. Springer Verlag, Berlin; 1969. p. 52-85.

22. Chabbert YA. L’ antiibiogramme. *Sensibilité et résistance des bactéries aux antibiotiques*. De la Tourelle; 1963. p. 257.

23. Izzo AA, DiCarlo G, Biscardi D, De Fusco R. Biological screening of Italian medicinal plants for antibacterial activity. Phytother Res 1995;9:281-6.

24. Alderman D, Smith P. Development of draft protocols of standard reference methods for antimicrobial agent susceptibility testing of bacteria associated with fish disease. Aquaculture 2001;196:211-43.

25. Kumar G, Karkik L, Bhaekara Rao KV. *In vitro* anti-candida activity of *Cladophora gigantea* against clinical isolates of Candida. J Pharm Res 2010;3:539-42.

26. Duncan DB. Multiple range and multiple *F* tests. Biometrics 1955;11:1-42.

27. Ayandele AA, Adegbey AO. The phytochemical analysis and antimicrobial screening of extracts of *Glax subsorciopidea*. African J Biotechnol 2007;6:868-70.

28. Das BK, Das DP, Pradhan J, Priyadarshinee B, Sahu I, Roy P, Mishra BK. Evaluation of antimicrobial activity and phytochemical screening of ethanolic extract of greater duckweed, *Spirodela polyrhiza*. Int J Pharma Bio Sci 2012;3:822-33.

29. Shihabudeen MS, Priscilla HD, Kavitha T. Antimicrobial activity and phytochemical analysis of selected Indian folk medicinal plants. Int J Pharm Sci Res 2010;1:430-40.

30. Dayong S, Xiao F, Jie S, Lijun H, Jianguong S. Steroids from green alga *Chaetomorpha basiretorsa* setchell. Chinese J Oceanol Limnol 2008;26:14-58.

31. Bhaigyabati T, Kirthika T, Shiny K, Usha K. Phytochemical screening and antioxidant activity of various extracts of *Ofsargassum muticum*. Int J Pharm Res Dev 2011;3:25-30.

32. Lustigman B, Brown C. Antibiotic production by marine algae isolated from the New York/New Jersey Coast. Bull Environ Cont Toxicol 1991;46:32-9.35.

33. Yuvaraj N, Kannan P, Satishkumar R, Paari KA, Pattiukumar V, Arul V. Extraction, purification and partial characterization of *Cladophora glomerata* against multidrug-resistant human pathogen *Acinetobacter baumannii* and fish pathogens. World J Fish Mar Sci 2011;3:51-7.

34. Zeb A, Ali Z, Ahmad T, Abdulmanan A. Physiochemical characteristics of wheat varieties growing in the same and different ecological regions of Pakistan. Pakistan J Biol Sci 2006;9:1823-8.

35. Dhanalakshmi M, Angayarkanni J. Phytochemistry and antibacterial activity of *chlorosarcinopsis* species. Int J Sci Technol Res 2013;2:315-21.

36. Woldemichael GM, Wink M. Identification and biological activities of triterpenoid saponins from *Chenopodium quinoa*. J Agric Food Chem 2001;49:2327-32.

37. de Freitas Araujo MG, Filario F, Vilegas W. Correlation among antioxidant, antimicrobial, hemolytic, and antiproliferative properties of *Leiothrix spiralis* leaves extract. Int J Mol Sci 2012;13:9260-77.