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**ABSTRACT**

A detailed analysis of **XMM-Newton** observations of ClJ0046.3+8530 (\( z = 0.624 \)) is presented. The cluster has a moderate temperature (\( kT = 4.1 \pm 0.3 \, \text{keV} \)) and appears to be relaxed. Emission is detected at \( \geq 3 \sigma \) significance to a radius of 88% of \( R_{200} \) (the radius enclosing an overdensity of 200 times the critical density at \( z = 0.624 \)) in a surface-brightness profile. A temperature profile (extending to 0.7\( R_{200} \)), and hardness-ratio map show no significant departures from the global temperature, within the statistical limits of the data. The cluster’s bolometric X-ray luminosity is \( L_X = (4.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{44} \, \text{erg s}^{-1} \), and the total mass derived within \( R_{200} \), assuming isothermality and hydrostatic equilibrium, is \( M_{200} = 3.0^{+0.6}_{-0.5} \times 10^{14} \, \text{M}_\odot \). The gas entropy measured at 0.1\( R_{200} \) is compared with a sample of local systems, and found to be consistent with self-similar evolution with redshift. The metallicity, gas density profile slope, and gas mass fraction are all consistent with those found in low-z clusters.
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**1 INTRODUCTION**

The X-ray properties of galaxy clusters, particularly at high redshift, are very sensitive probes of cosmology (e.g. Henry 1995; Jones et al. 1998; Arnaud et al. 2002; Allen et al. 2003). Cluster mass is the property most directly related to the predictions of cosmological models, but also one of the most difficult to measure. X-ray observations directly measure the properties of the gaseous intra-cluster medium (ICM), which can be used to derive the total gravitating mass of a cluster, provided that the gas is in hydrostatic equilibrium. This derivation also requires knowledge of the temperature structure of the gas. Thus to measure accurate cluster masses with X-ray observations, detailed measurements of the dynamical state of the ICM and its temperature structure are needed. Such observations of local clusters are becoming common, but detailed studies, and particularly measurements of ICM temperature structure at high \( z ( \geq 0.6) \), are very rare (Jeltema et al. 2001; Arnaud et al. 2002; Maughan et al. 2004).

ClJ0046.3+8530 was discovered as an extended X-ray source in the Wide Angle **ROSAT** Pointed Survey (WARPS; Scharf et al. 1993; Perlman et al. 2002), and confirmed as a galaxy cluster with redshift \( z = 0.624 \). The identification was based on its extended X-ray nature, an overdensity of faint galaxies, and concordant optical spectroscopic redshifts of two galaxies, including the galaxy coincident with the X-ray centroid (Horner et al. 2004). The redshift of the cluster is confirmed below via an X-ray redshift measurement. Prior to the observation detailed here, relatively little was known about the cluster’s properties. ClJ0046.3+8530 falls 11′ off-axis in two consecutive **XMM-Newton** observations of the open star cluster NGC 188, taken on the 29–30 October 2000 (observation identifiers 0100640101 and 0100640201) using the medium filter. The analysis of these data are described below, and the properties of the system are derived and discussed.

A \( \Lambda \)CDM cosmology of \( H_0 = 70 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}^{-1} \), and \( \Omega_M = 0.3 \) (\( \Omega_\Lambda = 0.7 \)) is adopted throughout, and all errors are quoted at the 68% level. At the cluster’s redshift, 1′ corresponds to 6.8 kpc in this cosmology. The virial radius is defined here as \( R_{200} \), the radius within
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which the mean density is 200 times the critical density at the redshift of observation.

2 OPTICAL OBSERVATIONS

The first optical follow-up observation of ClJ0046.3+8530 was obtained in August 1997 in the form of a 3 × 4 minute exposure in the R band with the University of Hawaii’s 2.2m telescope. Mediocre atmospheric conditions combined with a high airmass of 2.2 resulted in poor seeing of 1.2 arcsec as measured off the combined final image. Deeper imaging was performed subsequently in August 2001 in the R and I bands (40 and 20 min respectively) with the 4.2m William-Herschel Telescope (WHT). Again, the seeing was 1.2 arcsec. All the imaging data were reduced in the standard manner. Observations of 6 standard stars of Landolt (1992) were used to calibrate the WHT photometry. Galaxy number counts made in an area excluding the cluster were consistent with those of Kümmel & Wagner (2001) and Metcalfe et al. (1991), confirming the accuracy of the photometry, and indicated that the limiting magnitude was \( R = 22 \).

Spectroscopic observations of galaxies in the field of ClJ0046.3+8530 were performed on the Keck 10m telescope using the LRIS low-dispersion spectrograph (Oke et al. 1995) in August 1997. The instrumental setup combined a 2048 × 2048 Tektronix CCD with 24µm pixels (LRIS-R CCD2), the 300/5000 grating, the GG495 order blocking filter, and a longslit of 1.5′ width to obtain a dispersion of 2.5 Å/pixel over a spectral range extending from 5150 to 8950 Å. Two galaxies were observed for a total of 900 seconds. The airmass of the targets was 2.4, the seeing 1 arcsec. Standard image processing techniques were used to reduce the obtained data, and the measured redshifts are given in Table I.

3 DATA PREPARATION

The two XMM-Newton observations of ClJ0046.3+8530 were filtered, cleaned, and prepared in the standard way (see Maughan et al. 2004 for details), to give two events lists for each detector. Both observations were affected by brief periods of high background, and their removal left 30 ks (observation 1) and 50 ks (observation 2) of good time. After cleaning, the mean PN count rates in the 10 − 15 keV energy band (excluding bright sources) were consistent with that found in the Lumb et al. (2002) blank-sky background files, indicating that the high-background periods were successfully removed.

The position of ClJ0046.3+8530 near the edge of the field of view raised important calibration issues. The 90% encircled energy radius of the Point Spread Function (PSF) at 1.5 keV increases from 47″ on-axis to 52″ at the cluster centroid for the MOS detectors, and from 51″ to 65″ for the PN detector. These values were derived with the Calview tool in the XMM-Newton Science Analysis Software (SAS). Following recent advice from the XMM-Newton help-desk\(^1\) these encircled energies were computed using the ‘EXTENDED’ accuracy setting in Calview. This is the most accurate method for estimating encircled energies, and is valid at all off-axis angles. These encircled energy radii are therefore more up-to-date than the values given in the XMM-Newton user’s handbook v2.1. In addition, the shape of the PSF changes from being radially symmetric at the optical axis, to a more arc-like shape, elongated orthogonally to the radial direction. In our modelling of the cluster’s surface-brightness distribution, where the shape of the PSF is important, model PSFs are computed with the ‘MEDIUM’ accuracy setting in Calview, which provides the only two-dimensional models of the PSF.

The effective area also decreases strongly with off-axis angle. Fig. 1 shows the dependence of effective area on off-axis angle; at 700″, the off-axis angle of the cluster position, the effective area has fallen to less than 50% of the on-axis value. These instrumental issues are accounted for as much as possible in the following analysis, and their possible effects are discussed.

In addition, the energy-dependent vignetting function of the telescopes has recently been verified by observations of compact supernova remnants at a range of off-axis angles and azimuths Lumb et al. (2004). This analysis revealed a misalignment of \( \approx 1° \) in the optical axis of the telescopes containing the PN and MOS2 cameras. For the cluster location in this observation, the possible discrepancy in the assumed vignetting would mean that the PN flux has been overestimated by \( \approx 6\% \) and the MOS2 flux underestimated by \( \approx 8\% \). A secondary effect of the energy dependence would be that the corresponding temperatures would also be slightly over- and under-estimated respectively. These effects are not accounted for in the following analysis, as the uncertainties introduced are small compared to the statistical uncertainties.

\(^{1}\) See [http://xmm.vilspa.esa.es/xmmhelp/Calibration?id=9356](http://xmm.vilspa.esa.es/xmmhelp/Calibration?id=9356) for a discussion of PSF issues.
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4 X-RAY IMAGING ANALYSIS

Images and exposure maps were produced for the PN and MOS cameras for both observations in the energy range 0.3–5 keV to maximise signal-to-noise. The exposure maps for each instrument were “spectrally weighted” by creating several exposure maps produced in narrow energy bands, in which the energy dependence of the vignetting function was small. These maps were weighted by the photon flux predicted in each energy band by the best-fitting spectral model to the global cluster emission (see §5) and summed. The images and weighted exposure maps for each camera were then combined to give a mosaiced image and map. The exposure map was normalised so that its value at the cluster centroid was 1, and the image was divided by the result. This removes the effects of vignetting and chip gaps, while maintaining as far as possible the Poissonian statistics of the data. An exposure-corrected image of the field of view, combining the data from both observations is shown in Fig. 2. The diffuse emission from ClJ0046.3+8530 is visible near the Northern edge of the field of view, and the increasing PSF distortion with off-axis angle is apparent.

The exposure-corrected image was adaptively smoothed so that all remaining features were significant at ≥99% confidence, and contours of the smoothed emission were overlaid on an optical image in Fig. 3. The centroid of this extended emission coincides with the 2MASS galaxy 2MASX J00475079+8527213 (K = 13.5 ± 0.2 mag). Within a radius of 32″ from the source centroid, a total of ≈500 net photons were detected, using a local background annulus, and excluding point sources and emission from ClJ0046.3+8530. The properties of XMMU J004751.7+852722 are discussed in §7 and §8.

4.1 An extended source to the South East

A region of extended emission (designated XMMU J004751.7+852722) was detected 4′ South East of ClJ0046.3+8530 (see Fig. 2). Contours of this emission (smoothed to 99% significance) are overlaid on an optical image in Fig. 4. The centroid of this extended emission coincides with the 2MASS galaxy 2MASX J00475079+8527213 (K = 13.5 ± 0.2 mag). Within a radius of 32″ from the source centroid, a total of ≈500 net photons were detected, using a local background annulus, and excluding point sources and emission from ClJ0046.3+8530. The properties of XMMU J004751.7+852722 are discussed in §7 and §8.

4.2 Modelling the surface-brightness distribution of ClJ0046.3+8530

The surface-brightness distribution of ClJ0046.3+8530 was modelled in Sherpa with a two-dimensional (2D) method. A 0.3–5 keV image from each detector (without exposure correction) was fit with a surface brightness model which was multiplied by an appropriate exposure map, and convolved with a PSF. Due to the low numbers of counts in a typical pixel, the Cash statistic (Cash 1979) was used when fitting the models. The cluster emission model used was a 2D parameterisation of the β-model (Cavaliere & Fusco-Femiano 1970). Two additive background model components were used. The first was a simple constant-background model which, after multiplication by the exposure map should accurately represent a flat, but vignette-X-ray back-
of a 0.3–5 keV image produced from closed-filter data, to account for the particle background. This particle image was divided by the exposure map, so that after multiplication by the exposure map in the fitting process, the background model consisted of a vignetted and non-vignetted component (see Maughan et al. (2004) for a discussion of the background components and these models).

Due to the large wings of the PSF at the detector position of the cluster, it was not possible to fully exclude point source emission without excluding almost all of the cluster emission. Instead, the point sources within the fitting region were modelled as delta functions, and their position and amplitude were fit to the data. This procedure is not ideal, because the fitting software only allows the model being fit to be convolved with one PSF per dataset, while the shape of the PSF varies over the detector region spanned by the cluster emission (as can be seen in Fig. 3). Calview was used to generate PSF images for the detector position of the cluster centroid at an energy of 1.5 keV for each detector (the PSF is not strongly energy dependent in the 0.3–5 keV band). The ‘MEDIUM’ accuracy level was used, which provides the only available 2D model of the XMM-Newton PSF, including its arc-like distortion at the cluster off-axis angle.

These models were fit simultaneously to the PN and MOS images from each observation. The results of the fits were not consistent between the observations. The best-fitting β-profile core radius ($r_c$) and slope ($\beta$) are $r_c = 11.5^{+2.8}_{-2.3}''$ and $\beta = 0.49^{+0.06}_{-0.03}$ in observation 1, and $r_c = 20.2^{+4.4}_{-3.6}''$ and $\beta = 0.60^{+0.08}_{-0.03}$ in observation 2. This discrepancy was investigated in detail, and is likely to be caused by a combination of the large PSF, uncertainties in its modelling, and a variation in the flux of brightest point source between the observations. The count rate from the bright point source to the South East was 23% higher in the first observation. Given the uncertainties in modelling the PSF, it is likely that there is more point source contamination remaining in observation 1, increasing the number of counts at large radii, which would cause the β-profile slope to fit to a lower value. In addition, the core radii found in both observations are small compared to the PSF, which means they may not be reliably measured. This would also affect the values of $\beta$ as there is degeneracy between the two parameters. These fits were repeated with the core radii fixed at 22'', which corresponds to 150 kpc, a value typical of local clusters (e.g. Jones & Forman 1999). With this constraint, the best-fitting values of all other parameters are consistent between the observations.

Table 1. Positions and redshifts of the two galaxies marked in Fig. 3 and of the cluster itself. The position given for the cluster is the X-ray centroid, and the redshift is the X-ray redshift (derived in 4).

| Object       | $\alpha[2000.0]$ | $\delta[2000.0]$ | $z$      |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Galaxy A     | 00°46′17.73″   | +85°31′13.6″    | 0.6243 ± 0.0004 |
| Galaxy B     | 00°46′25.92″   | +85°30′54.1″    | 0.630 ± 0.002  |
| CLJ0045.3+8530 | 00°46′17.83″ | +85°31′09.5″    | 0.615 ± 0.006  |

With this in mind, we chose to use only observation 2 in our imaging analysis because it was the longer observation, with better signal-to-noise, and the point source contamination is lower. The best-fitting model has $r_c = 20.2^{+4.4}_{-3.6}''$ and $\beta = 0.60^{+0.08}_{-0.03}$ with a low ellipticity of 0.07, and we proceed with these values for all further analysis. With $r_c$ fixed at this value, fitting to the observation 1 data gives $\beta = 0.57 ± 0.05$, consistent with the above result. Fig. 4 shows a radial profile of the best-fitting 2D model, including instrumental effects, plotted on a profile of the data. The point sources were not excluded from the profile of the data in this comparison, because they are included in the 2D model. The dotted line is a profile of the best-fitting background model components. These profiles show that the model is a reasonably good description of the data, although it is clear again that the point sources at $\approx 50''$ and $\approx 100''$ are not perfectly modelled. The dip in the profiles at $\approx 130''$ is due to the edge of the PN CCD. This is apparent in both the data and the models because the models have been multiplied by exposure maps to replicate the instrumental effects in the data.

In order to measure the extent of the detection, a one-dimensional radial profile of the X-ray emission was extracted from the combined (both observations, all cameras) exposure corrected image. Point sources were excluded out to a radius of 20'', and the profile was adaptively binned so that all radial bins were significant at the 3σ level above the background. It is not appropriate to fit a one-dimensional β-model to this pro-
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4.3 Hardness-Ratio Map

The temperature structure of the cluster was probed with a hardness-ratio map. The hardness ratio, HR, was defined as

$$HR = \frac{H - AH_{bg}}{S - AS_{bg}},$$

where $H$ and $S$ are the counts in the source region in the hard and soft band respectively, and the $bg$ subscript indicates the counts found in a background region. $A$ is the ratio of the area of the source region to the background region. The error on the hardness ratio is then given by

$$\sigma(HR)^2 = \frac{H + AS_{bg}^2}{(S - AS_{bg})^2} + \frac{(H - AH_{bg})^2(S + AS_{bg})^2}{(S - AS_{bg})^4}.$$

Before defining the hard and soft bands, absorbed MeKaL (Kaastra & Mewe 1993) spectra were simulated for the three EPIC detectors (with the appropriate redshift, Galactic absorption and instrumental response) to estimate the sensitivity of HR to gas temperature variations, with different hard and soft energy bands. A soft band of 0.3–1 keV, and a hard band of 1–5 keV were chosen because HR values in the range $0.5–2$ (within which the statistics are not dominated by one band with low counts) corresponded to a temperature range of $\approx 1–10$ keV, a reasonable range about the global temperature. Fig. 6 illustrates this, showing the relationship between hardness ratio and MeKaL temperature for different hard and soft bands.

An adaptive binning template was computed from a broad-band image, to define image bins with $>100$ counts in order to minimise statistical uncertainties while keeping reasonable spatial resolution. A few bins were allowed to fall below this threshold to improve the resolution, but all bins with $<50$ counts were excluded from further analysis. The background-subtracted, exposure-corrected hard- and soft-band images were binned according to this template, and hardness ratios with errors were computed for each bin. Fig. 7 shows a significance map where the value in each bin is given by the difference in HR between the data at that point, and the HR corresponding to the global temperature, divided by the error on the HR in that bin.

All of the bins (excluding those associated with the point sources) are consistent within the statistical uncertainties with the emission being isothermal; 17 of 25 (68%) are within $1\sigma$ of the global HR and all bins are within $2\sigma$. However, as the larger image bins in the HR significance map are similar in size to the 75% encircled energy radius of the PSF, this method would only be sensitive to reasonably strong temperature vari-
ations. Of the two point sources, that to the South West
($\alpha_{2000.0} = 00^h 45^m 53.81^s$, $\delta_{2000.0} = +85^\circ 30' 30.6''$)
have harder emission (at the 2.7$\sigma$ level), which may
be consistent with it being an absorbed AGN in the
foreground galaxy that appears to be the counterpart
of the X-ray point source in Fig. 5. The South East
point source ($\alpha_{2000.0} = 00^h 46^m 57.62^s$, $\delta_{2000.0} =$
$+85^\circ 30' 36.6''$) has significantly ($> 10\sigma$) softer emission,
and appears to be associated with a foreground star.
The spectra of these sources and the galaxy cluster are
discussed in B.J. Maughan et al.

5 X-RAY SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

Spectra were extracted for all EPIC detectors for both
observations. For comparison, background spectra were
extracted both locally, and from blank-sky background
files. The results of the spectral analysis were found to
be independent of the background type used, so local
background spectra were used in all further analysis, as
the systematic uncertainties involved were considered
to be smaller. The source spectra were extracted within
a circle of radius 88$''$ centred on the cluster centroid,
measuring only to be smaller. The source spectra were extracted within
the systematic uncertainties involved were considered
to be smaller. The source spectra were extracted within
a circle of radius 88$''$ centred on the cluster centroid,
corresponding approximately to the 3$\sigma$ detection radius
in each separate observation (as opposed to the 3$\sigma$
detection radius of 142$''$ when the observations were
combined). The strong radial dependence of effective area
at the cluster position (Fig. 4) was taken into considera-
tion when selecting the regions from which to extract
the local background spectra. Exposure maps of each
detector were used to select spatial regions where the
mean effective area (equivalent to effective area) was
close to that in the source spectrum extraction re-
gion. Ancillary response files (ARFs), weighted by the
spatial distribution of counts in the source region, were
also generated for the cluster’s off-axis position.

The two point sources to the South fell within the
source region, and their emission was excluded to a ra-
dius of 20$''$ ($\approx 75\%$ encircled energy radius of the PSF
of all XMM-Newton telescopes at 0.3 – 5 keV). Due to
the large PSF wings, and its elongation at this off-axis
angle, some point source contamination will remain, and
the effect of this is examined below.

The spectra from the three EPIC detectors in the
0.3 – 5 keV band were each grouped to give $\geq$
20 counts per energy bin, and were fit simultane-
ously with an absorbed MeKAL model. This was done
for the two observations independently, and the best-
fitting model parameters were consistent. All spectra
for both observations were then fit simultaneously with
an absorbed MeKAL model. The best-fitting tempera-
ture and metallicity are $kT = 4.1 \pm 0.3$ keV and
$Z = 0.39^{+0.14}_{-0.13}$ respectively, when the absorbing column
was frozen at the Galactic value of $7.6 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$
(Dickey & Lockman 1990), with $\chi^2/\nu = 372/360$. This model is shown with the data in Fig. 5. When the absorbing
column is allowed to vary, the best-fitting value is
$(6.8 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$, consistent with the Galactic
value. In addition, an X-ray redshift was measured, and
the best-fitting value is $z = 0.615^{+0.038}_{-0.006}$, which supports
the optical redshift of 0.624 ascribed to the cluster based
on the two measured galaxy redshifts.

To test whether the emission from this star affected
the cluster spectrum, a cluster spectrum was extracted
including this source, and its emission was included in
the model. The model used was an absorbed MeKAL
model at $z = 0.624$ plus an unabsorbed MeKAL model
at $z = 0$. The best-fitting temperatures of the cluster
and star are $kT = 4.8^{+1.2}_{-0.8}$ keV and $0.38^{+0.18}_{-0.08}$ keV
respectively, with $\chi^2/\nu = 112.4/121$. The good agreement
between these fits, and those found when fitting the
sources independently indicates that the cluster emis-
sion is not seriously contaminated by the star, and
most importantly, that the temperature measured for
the cluster is not influenced by any remaining stellar
emission.

A cluster spectrum was also extracted with the
emission from the harder South-West point source in-
cluded. When this spectrum was fit with an absorbed
MeKAL model, the best-fitting temperature was $4.9 \pm$
0.5 keV, hotter than the best-fitting temperature when
that point source was excluded. This is consistent with
the harder spectrum of that source. When an additional
absorbed power-law component with a spectral index of
1.5 was included in the model, the best-fitting MeKAL
temperature reduced to $3.8 \pm 1.0$ keV, which agrees well
with the cluster temperature measured when the South-
West point source was excluded. This suggests that the
cluster spectrum was not significantly contaminated by
South-West point source after it was excluded.
As a further test, the cluster emission model was fit to the data with the point sources excluded, in the energy range 2–8 keV. In this band the contribution from the soft stellar source should be negligible. The best-fitting temperature is \( kT = 4.1^{+0.8}_{-0.7} \) keV, providing further evidence that the measured temperature was not affected by point source contamination.

The flux of ClJ0046.3+8530 measured by ROSAT in the 0.5–2 keV passband is \( 1.6 \times 10^{-13} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) (Horner et al. 2004) when extrapolated to an infinite radius. For comparison, the XMM-Newton flux in this band is \( (1.45 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-13} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) (after extrapolation to infinite radius). This difference in flux is due to the two point sources, which were unresolved (or barely resolved in one case) in the ROSAT data, and included in the Horner et al. (2004) flux measurement.

## 5.1 Temperature Profile

With \( \sim 5000 \) net counts (all EPIC detectors combined) within the detection radius, it was possible to measure a temperature profile of the gas in ClJ0046.3+8530. Spectra were extracted in 3 annular bins for all detectors, and both observations, and fit simultaneously with an absorbed MeKAL model, with the abundance frozen at 0.3Z\(_\odot\), and the absorbing column frozen at the Galactic value. A locally extracted background spectrum was used. The best-fitting temperatures are shown in Fig. 9 and are consistent (within large errors) with the global temperature of 4.1\( \pm \)0.3 keV, though the outer bin could indicate cooler gas at larger radii. The spectral fit to the data from the outer bin was also performed in the 2–8 keV band, to test the effect of any contaminating emission from the bright point source. The best-fitting temperature agrees well (with large errors) with that shown in Fig. 9. The data do not suggest any cool gas in the central regions.

The effect of emission from gas in the outer annuli being projected onto the inner annuli was modelled with an ‘onion skin’ method. The temperature structure was modelled as a series of spherical shells (each of which was isothermal), and the spectra were fit from the outermost shell in. The spectrum of a shell was modelled with a single temperature MeKAL component, plus a MeKAL component for each external shell, whose temperature was fixed at the value measured in that shell, and whose normalisation was multiplied by a scale factor. These scale factors accounted for the volume of each external shell along the line of sight to the shell being fit, and the variation in density across each external shell using the measured gas density profile. The deprojected temperatures are also plotted in Fig. 9. The form of this deprojected profile is not significantly different from the projected profile, and does not reveal any central cool gas, although the size of the errors is increased, as one would expect, as there were less photons available to constrain the temperature of the free component in the interior bins.

It should be noted that the effect of the large PSF mixing the emission between annular bins was not taken into account here. The 90% encircled energy radius of the PSF at the position of the cluster at 1.5 keV is \( \approx 65'' \) for the PN detector. This means that it is possible there was a temperature gradient that was blurred out by the PSF. We derived the total gravitating mass below assuming isothermality, which is consistent with the observed deprojected temperature profile, but we also discuss the possible effects of a temperature gradient.

This temperature profile enabled the measurement of the entropy profile of the cluster gas, where entropy \((S)\) is defined here as \( S = T / n e^{2/3} \) keV cm\(^2\). The electron density profile was derived from the best-fitting surface-brightness profile, normalised to the central value derived from the measured MeKAL normalisation (see Maughan et al. 2003), and assuming spherical symmetry. The entropy profile is shown in Fig. 9.

The entropy at 0.1R\(_{200}\) (with R\(_{200}\) defined as described in 9) is 180 \( \pm \)24 keV cm\(^2\), with the error taken from the fractional temperature error on the nearest bin in the deprojected profile. This is lower than the value of \( \approx 300 \) keV cm\(^2\) found in local systems of the same global temperature by Ponman et al. (2003). This difference in entropy is compared with the predicted self-similar evolution in 9.

## 6 DETERMINATION OF GLOBAL PROPERTIES

The properties of ClJ0046.3+8530 were derived following the methods described in Maughan et al. (2004). In summary, the total mass profile was derived assuming hydrostatic equilibrium, spherical symmetry, and isothermality, and used to define an overdensity profile with respect to the critical density at the redshift of observation. The virial radius, R\(_{200}\), was then defined as the radius corresponding to an overdensity of 200. The central gas density was computed from the measured MeKAL normalisation, and used to normalise the gas density profile. The errors on all the non-measured quantities were derived by 10,000 Monte-Carlo ran-
dominisations based on the errors on the measured properties.

Our assumption of isothermality is supported by the measured temperature profile and hardness ratio map, while the circular appearance of the X-ray contours and low measured ellipticity support the assumptions of hydrostatic equilibrium and spherical symmetry.

The derived mass profile of ClJ0046.3+8530 enabled the definition of $R_{200} = 1.10^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$ Mpc, which means that the cluster emission is detected out to $0.88R_{200}$ and the temperature profile is measured out to $0.68R_{200}$. This greatly reduces the uncertainties in extrapolating the cluster properties to $R_{200}$. The gas mass fraction of the system at $R_{200}$ is found to be $16 \pm 4\%$. The properties of ClJ0046.3+8530 are summarised in Table 2.

7 OPTICAL IMAGING ANALYSIS

The R and I band WHT images were used to investigate the properties of the galaxies in ClJ0046.3+8530 and XMMU J004751.7+852722. Galaxies were selected by using SExtractor [Bertin & Arnouts 1996], and their $R-I$ colours and total magnitudes were measured. Colours were measured using apertures chosen to maximise signal to noise, and total magnitudes were obtained from the SExtractor MAG_AUTO measurements. Magnitudes and colours were corrected for Galactic extinction using the measurements of Schlegel et al. [1998]. The galaxies within a radius 65″ of the X-ray centroid of the XMMU J004751.7+852722 and within 60″ ($0.4R_{200}$) of the X-ray centroid of ClJ0046.3+8530 were then selected. These are plotted on a colour-magnitude diagram in Figs. 11 and 12. Galaxy B in ClJ0046.3+8530, with a spectrally confirmed redshift, is marked separately. The brightest cluster galaxy (galaxy A in Fig. 9) was partially blended with a bright star, leading to large uncertainties on its colour and magnitude, so is not shown here. There is a possible red sequence at $R-I \approx 1.3$ in the ClJ0046.3+8530 galaxies, while a stronger sequence at $R-I \approx 1$ is clear in the galaxies of XMMU J004751.7+852722. This indicates that XMMU J004751.7+852722 is at a lower redshift than ClJ0046.3+8530, perhaps unsurprising given the coincidence of the source with the relatively bright 2MASS galaxy.

To estimate the redshift of XMMU J004751.7+852722, the stellar population synthesis models of Bruzual A. & Charlot [1993] were used to predict the normalisation of the red sequence vs. redshift. A single stellar population was used with a burst of star formation at $z_f=3$ and passive evolution thereafter, a Salpeter IMF and solar metallicity. These are typical values found for cluster elliptical evolution studies (e.g. Ellis & Jones 2004). Similar results are obtained if $z_f=2$ is assumed. The predicted colours were normalised to those of the Coma cluster at $L^*$ [Eisenhardt et al. 2004]. The resulting predictions have been plotted in Figs. 11 and 12 for redshifts $z=0.624$ and $z=0.25$, using appropriate values for the slope from the Coma cluster compilation of Eisenhardt et al. [2004]. The prediction matches the observed colours of the red sequence galaxies in ClJ0046.3+8530, and a redshift of $z=0.25$ is a good match to the red sequence in XMMU J004751.7+852722.

The BCG in XMMU J004751.7+852722 has $R=17.4$ and $K\approx13.5$, at the limit of the 2MASS sensitivity. The R-K colour is consistent with that of local elliptical galaxies when the k-corrections are taken into account. Assuming the redshift of $z=0.25$ is correct, the absolute magnitude is $M_R \approx -23.4+5\log(h_{70})$, and this is a luminous giant elliptical galaxy, comparable in luminosity to BCGs in some rich, high $L_X$ systems.

8 PROPERTIES OF THE NEARBY CLUSTER XMMU J004751.7+852722

A hardness ratio was computed for XMMU J004751.7+852722, using the energy bands defined above, giving $HR = 1.74 \pm 0.24$. This HR value was
Table 2. Summary of the measured and inferred properties of cluster ClJ0046.3+8530 based on XMM-Newton observations, assuming a cosmology of $\Omega_M = 0.3$ ($\Omega_L = 0.7$) and $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$. The luminosity and masses were scaled out to $R_{200}$.

| Cluster     | Redshift | $T$(keV) | $L_{bol}$(erg s$^{-1}$) | $r_e$ (kpc) | $\beta$ | $R_{200}$(Mpc) | $M_{bol}(R_{200})/(M_\odot)$ | $M_{tot}(R_{200})/(M_\odot)$ |
|-------------|----------|----------|--------------------------|-------------|---------|----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ClJ0046.3+8530 | 0.624   | 4.1 ± 0.3 | $(4.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{44}$ | $137_{-24}^{+30}$ | $0.60_{-0.3}^{+0.08}$ | $1.10_{-0.07}^{+0.06}$ | $(4.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{13}$ | $3.0_{-0.5}^{+0.6} \times 10^{14}$ |

9 DISCUSSION

The evolution of cluster entropy was investigated by comparing the gas entropy measured at 0.1$R_{200}$ in ClJ0046.3+8530 with that measured in local systems by Ponman et al. (2003). Under self-similar models, entropy is simply proportional to temperature. However, with a large sample of systems with high-quality data Ponman et al. (2003) found that this was not the case. To remove the effect of temperature dependence, ClJ0046.3+8530 was compared with a data bin of eight systems in the temperature range 3.6 – 4.6 keV with $kT = 4.1 \pm 0.4$ keV ($z = 0.396$). The entropy at 0.1$R_{200}$ in ClJ0046.3+8530 is $S = 180 \pm 24$ cm$^2$ and the mean entropy of the eight local systems at the same radius is $S = 327 \pm 42$ cm$^2$.

The mean density within a given overdensity radius (relative to the critical density) is proportional to $H(z)^2$, assuming self-similar scaling. Thus, the redshift dependence of scaled entropy is given by $(H_0 E(z))^{-1/3}$, where

$$E(z) = (1 + z) \left( 1 + z \Omega_M + \frac{\Omega_L}{(1+z)^2} - \Omega_M \right)^{1/2}. \tag{3}$$

Using this formulation, the entropy in ClJ0046.3+8530 was scaled to the mean redshift of the local systems (assuming that the systems virialised at the redshift at which they are observed), giving $S = 285 \pm 40$ cm$^2$, which is consistent with the local systems. This result is consistent with a simple self-similar evolution of entropy with redshift, a result also seen in the massive, relaxed cluster ClJ1226.9+3332 at $z = 0.89$ (Maughan et al. 2004). This result will be investigated further with a larger sample of high-z clusters in a future paper.

In the context of other high-redshift ($z \geq 0.6$) clusters observed with Chandra and XMM-Newton (e.g. Vikhlinin et al. 2002, Arnaud et al. 2002, Holden et al. 2002, Maughan et al. 2003, 2004, Rosati et al. 2004, ClJ0046.3+8530 has an average temperature and mass. The long exposure time of these two observations enabled the system to be studied in more detail than is usually possible at high redshift. The measurement of the gas temperature structure and detection of emission to approaching the virial radius is relatively rare; temperature profiles have been measured in only three other $z \geq 0.6$ clusters, MS 1054-0321 (Jeltema et al. 2001), RX J1120.1+4318 (Arnaud et al. 2002) and ClJ1226.9+3332 (Maughan et al. 2004).

The total gravitating mass of 3.0$^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$ $\times 10^{14}$M$_\odot$ derived for the system assumed isothermality, which is consistent with the observed temperature profile, and also supported by the hardness-ratio map. This mass should be reasonably reliable. However, it is also possible that there is a temperature gradient present, which was not detected because of the effect of the telescope PSF. In a sample of 66 local systems with measured temperature profiles Sanderson et al. (2003) found that the incorrect assumption of isothermality leads to an average overestimate of the total mass by $\approx 30\%$ at $R_{200}$. Thus this is a reasonable estimate of the possible systematic error in our total mass measurement.

The measured metal abundance in ClJ0046.3+8530 is consistent with the value of 0.3Z$_\odot$ found in samples of low- and moderate-redshift clusters (e.g. Mushotzky & Loewenstein 1997). In particular, the data impose a 3$\sigma$ lower limit of $Z > 0.17Z_\odot$, which supports the growing evidence of a high redshift ($z > 1$) of enrichment of the ICM (e.g. Mushotzky & Loewenstein 1993, Tozzi et al. 2003).
The gas mass fraction of $f_{\text{gas}} = 0.16 \pm 0.04$ derived at $R_{200}$ for ClJ0046.3+8530 should be a reasonably reliable measurement, because of the large extent of the surface-brightness and temperature profiles. This value is in good agreement with the mean gas mass fraction of $f_{\text{gas}} = 0.13 \pm 0.01$ (also at $R_{200}$) found by Allen et al. (2002) in their large sample of local systems. In a sample of 6 relaxed clusters in the redshift range $0.1 < z < 0.5$ observed with Chandra, and with reliable masses confirmed by gravitational lensing, Allen et al. (2002) found a mean gas mass fraction of $0.113 \pm 0.005$. While those measurements were made at a radius of $r_{2500}$ (corresponding to a mean internal density of 2500 times the critical density), the value we derive for ClJ0046.3+8530 at $R_{200}$ is consistent with the Allen et al. (2002) measurements.

In addition, the gas density slope parameter ($\beta$) measured here for ClJ0046.3+8530 is consistent with those of similar temperature clusters in the Sanderson et al. (2003) sample. Overall, these results suggest that the enrichment and distribution of the ICM is similar in at least some high-redshift clusters to that in local clusters.

10 CONCLUSIONS

A detailed XMM-Newton study of the high-redshift galaxy cluster ClJ0046.3+8530 ($z=0.624$) was conducted. The circular appearance of the isophotes, and the low ellipticity of the best-fitting surface brightness model suggest that the ICM is reasonably relaxed. A temperature profile and hardness-ratio map show no significant temperature structure. The metal abundance, gas-density profile slope, and gas-mass fraction of ClJ0046.3+8530 are all consistent with those measured in local clusters. The entropy of ClJ0046.3+8530 measured at $0.1R_{200}$ is consistent with a self-similar model, in which high-z clusters are identical to their low-z counterparts, with their properties scaled by the increasing (with redshift) density of the universe in which they formed.

The properties of ClJ0046.3+8530, along with 10 other high-redshift clusters from the WARPS, will be used to investigate the evolution of the scaling relations between cluster properties in a forthcoming paper.
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