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Characterizing disordered fermion systems using the momentum-space entanglement spectrum
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The use of quantum entanglement to study condensed matter systems has been flourishing in critical systems and topological phases. Additionally, using real-space entanglement one can characterize localized and delocalized phases of disordered fermion systems. Here we instead propose the momentum-space entanglement spectrum as a means of characterizing disordered models. We show that localization in 1D can be characterized by the momentum space entanglement between left and right movers and illustrate our methods using explicit models with spatially correlated disorder that exhibit phases which avoid complete Anderson localization. The momentum space entanglement spectrum clearly reveals the location of delocalized states in the energy spectrum, can be used as a signature of the phase transition between a delocalized and localized phase, and only requires a single numerical diagonalization to yield clear results.

New light has been shed on quantum many-body ground states via their entanglement properties. Entanglement measures, such as the topological entanglement entropy[1–5] and the entanglement spectrum[6–13], with their origin in quantum information theory have begun to uncover subtle features of topological insulators and systems with topological order. One field where entanglement characteristics have begun to make progress is in disordered materials. For example, Ref. 14 and 15 showed a connection between the position-space entanglement entropy and a multi-fractal scaling exponent which was extended by Ref. 16 to establish a connection between Rényi entropies and the multi-fractal spectrum. Additionally Ref. 17 and 18 showed that the level-spacing statistics of the entanglement spectrum match the same statistical ensemble as the energy spectrum. Since entanglement in position-space captures information about long-range correlations it is natural that it should reveal information about (de-)localized states.

In this article we also consider the entanglement characterization of disordered fermion models, but from the perspective of momentum space instead of position space. The advantage (and from another perspective the disadvantage) of entanglement is that it crucially depends on the way Hilbert space is partitioned into two sectors. The partitioning can be done in any way[19], though typically the partition (or cut for short) is made in position-space. Other types of cuts that have been studied include orbital[20], particle[21], and spin cuts[22]. Momentum space cuts were studied for translationally invariant 1D spin-chains in Ref. 8 and 23, but, as we mention below, this type of cut yields no information for translationally-invariant, non-interacting fermion systems. While a momentum-space cut is useless when translation symmetry is preserved, we show, however, that it does lead to valuable information if the system is disordered. Our main result is that the disorder scattering entangles different momentum states and the momentum space entanglement spectrum shows clean signatures to characterize localized and delocalized states. Our technique is much more efficient than conventional measures of localization because it only requires the diagonalization of a single disorder configuration. In this work we focus solely on 1D models where the essential idea is that right-moving states, which were delocalized in the translationally invariant limit, remain delocalized if the disorder does not entangle them with left-movers.

To illustrate localization transitions in 1D we must use special classes of models as it is well known that disordered, 1D electronic systems become insulating due to the phenomenon of Anderson localization. An exception to Anderson localization in 1D was discovered by Dunlap et al. who predicted that a model with spatially correlated disorder, the so-called random dimer model (RDM), could avoid complete localization (at least in finite-size systems)[24]. The RDM model is described by a tight-binding lattice Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{n=1}^{N} t \left( c_{n+1}^{\dagger} c_n + c_{n}^{\dagger} c_{n+1} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \epsilon_n c_n^{\dagger} c_n, \quad (1)$$

where \(n\) labels the \(N\) sites of the lattice, \(t\) is the nearest neighbor hopping, and \(\epsilon_n\) represents a site-dependent energy. In the RDM the site energies, which represent the random disorder have a specific structure: (i) \(\epsilon_n\) can take two possible values \(\epsilon_a\) or \(\epsilon_b\) (ii) the two energies are randomly placed on each site with the constraint that when \(\epsilon_a\) is chosen it always placed on two consecutive sites (thus a dimer, see Fig. 3). This constraint is what renders the disorder spatially correlated. Dunlap et al. showed that the RDM model possesses a pair of delocalized eigenstates that can propagate throughout the lattice without being localized by the disorder and used this to explain the conduct-
In order to make contact with previous work, and for comparison to our main results, we will first consider the spatial entanglement of the RDM. We divide the Hilbert space into two subspaces $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, which contain sites confined to the region $n \in [1, N/2]$ and $n \in [N/2 + 1, N]$, respectively. We will focus on the single-particle entanglement spectrum calculated via Peschel’s method which considers the eigenvalues $\zeta_i$ of the correlation matrix $C_{ij} = \langle \Omega | \rho_a | \Omega \rangle$ where $| \Omega \rangle$ is a free-fermion ground state and $i, j \in [1, N/2]$ [27]. The so-called single-particle entanglement spectrum $\{\zeta_i\}$ can be used to compute the eigenvalues of the reduced density matrix $\rho_A$ and the Schmidt decomposition of the ground state. The entanglement entropy $S = -\sum_i (\zeta_i \log \zeta_i + (1 - \zeta_i) \log(1 - \zeta_i))$ can be determined purely from the $\{\zeta_i\}$ and we see that eigenvalues of $\zeta_i = 0, 1$ contribute no entanglement whereas $\zeta_i = 1/2$ contributes the maximum amount of entanglement. When we calculate momentum-space entanglement later the only difference is that $i, j$ in $C_{ij}$ will refer to different single-particle momenta, which are discrete indices because $N$ is finite, and they will be restricted to lie in certain regions of momentum space instead of position space.

In Figs. 1a,b,c we show the entanglement spectrum as a function of the Fermi energy for disorder strengths fixed at the values $\epsilon_a = 0.0t$, $-0.1t$, and $-3.0t$, respectively (note that only one disorder realization is shown and no averaging has been performed). For the clean system we see large entanglement for all values of the Fermi-level within the band. For $\epsilon_b = -0.1t$ we still see a lot of entanglement and one can see by eye that there is level-repulsion in the entanglement spectrum for Fermi-energies in a window around the resonant energy $E = 0$. This is reminiscent of the level repulsion commonly seen in the energy spectrum for energy ranges containing delocalized states, and which was confirmed to exist in the entanglement spectrum in Ref. [17]. Finally, for $\epsilon_b = -3.0t$, which is tuned to the localized insulating phase, we see that the entanglement is suppressed compared to the other figures with eigenvalues clustered near $\zeta = 0$ and $\zeta = 1$ which heuristically signals the absence of delocalized states. In Fig. 1d, we show the entanglement spectrum as a function of disorder, with the Fermi level tuned exactly to the resonance energy. Spatial entanglement appears to be more pronounced when $|\epsilon_b| \leq 2t$, as expected in the delocalized phase. There is a somewhat clear distinction between the localized and delocalized phases based on the density of entanglement eigenvalues away from $\zeta = 0, 1$. In fact, even the highly entangled modes in the localized phase are most likely due to local entanglement i.e. localized states near...
Subspaces have momenta restricted so that \( k \) velocities and thus the best momentum cut. This is the opposite of the spatial entanglement paradigm. The other important concept is that the clearest signatures are seen if the tanglement paradigm. The other important concept is that the clearest signatures are seen if the tanglement threshold to numerically estimate that \( O(\sqrt{N}) \) of the \( \zeta_i \) approach either 0 or 1 and thus have suppressed entanglement. On the other hand, for Fermi energies away from the resonances, the spectrum fills up with entangled eigenvalues due to scattering between left-movers and right-movers. In Figs. 2c,d we show the entanglement spectrum as a function of disorder strength for the RDM at Fermi-level \( E_F = E_2(1) = 0 \) and the RTM at Fermi-level \( E_F = E_3(1) = -t \) respectively. It is clear from Fig. 2c that the entanglement is strongly suppressed in the RDM up to the phase transition at \( |\epsilon_b| = 2t \), where the extended states are lost. Additionally, we want to emphasize that no disorder averaging was needed to observe this effect and all plots are for only one disorder realization and one diagonalization. This makes the use of momentum entanglement much more efficient for large-size calculations than all conventional numerical methods which require a disorder quench. Similarly, for the RTM we see in Fig. 2d that the state at \( E_3(1) = -t \) only remains delocalized for \( -3t < \epsilon_b < t \). One other feature of note is the suppressed entanglement in the RTM for \( \epsilon_b < -3t \). This effect is not generic and is due to a pathology of \( n \)-mer models (for \( n > 2 \)) in the strong disorder regime, which is dominated by bound states localized on the \( n \)-mers themselves near the resonant energies. The position of the resonant Fermi-level with respect to the energy of the \( n \) discrete modes on each \( n \)-mer leads to this spurious suppression which we will not discuss any further.

To understand this behavior of the RDM we study the Hamiltonian in the momentum-space basis replacing \( c_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_k e^{ik\pi} c_k \) in Eq.(1). This leads to the expression

\[
H = \sum_k \mathcal{E}(k) c_k^\dagger c_k + \sum_{k,k'} \hat{\epsilon}_{\Delta k} c_k^\dagger c_{k'},
\]

where \( \mathcal{E}(k) = \epsilon_a + 2t \cos k \). The matrix elements \( \hat{\epsilon}_{\Delta k} \) of the disorder potential read \( \hat{\epsilon}_{\Delta k} = (\epsilon_b - \epsilon_a) [\delta_{k,k'} - f(\Delta k, \{ r_i \}) S(\Delta k)] \), where \( f(\Delta k, \{ r_i \}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_i e^{ir_i(k-k')} \), and \( S(\Delta k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left( 1 + e^{i(k-k')} \right) \). Here, \( \Delta k = k' - k \), the \( r_i \) denote the random positions of the dimers, and we have restored \( \epsilon_a \) to show the dependence ex-

![FIG. 2. Entanglement spectrum as the Fermi energy is varied for (a) disordered dimers (b) disordered trimers for \( \epsilon_b = -0.5t \). Entanglement spectrum as the disorder strength is varied, for (c) disordered dimers (d) and disordered trimers for \( E_f \) at resonance.](image-url)
Whenever \( E \) is a resonant energy of the \( n \)-mer model near the resonant energy. This connection constitutes the main result of this work. By analogy with the dimer case, the resonant energies of the \( n \)-mer model are determined by satisfying \( E(q) = E(q - Q_n(m)) \). Thus, we see that, whenever \( E_F \) is at one of the resonant energies, the absence of left and right-moving entanglement is correlated with the presence of extended states in the system, just like in the particular \( n = 2 \) dimer case.

Finally, we consider an artificial model in order to verify that: (i) the presence of zeroes in the momentum-space matrix elements generically lead to resonances, regardless of the model-dependent shape that the disorder might have; (ii) the interpretation in terms of left and right-mover entanglement and momentum space partitioning is consistent. To accomplish (i), we construct a new disorder distribution \( \mathcal{V}_n \) such that \( \mathcal{V}_{\Delta k} = 0 \) for all \( \Delta k \), except those in some finite ranges for which we set the magnitude to be a nonzero, constant value. Disorder is introduced by randomizing the phases of the scattering elements in momentum space. An example profile of such a \( \mathcal{V}_n \) and \( |\mathcal{V}_{\Delta k}| \) are shown in Figs. 4a,b for a particular realization of the disorder. To address (ii), we implement an exclusively next-nearest neighbor hopping model, so that the group velocity becomes \( v_g(k) = -4t \sin 2k \). In doing this, we consider two possible partitions of the Hilbert space: one choice corresponds to the restriction \( k_A \in [0, \pi] \), which is what we chose for...
the RDM, and the other takes into account the new location(s) of the left movers in momentum space, so that \( k_A \in [0, \pi/2] \cup [\pi, 3\pi/2] \). The resulting entanglement spectrum versus Fermi energy, for both choices of partitions, is shown in Figs. 4c,d. The dispersion relation is overlaid on the entanglement spectrum as a guide, with the restrictions on \( A \) schematically emphasized by the solid orange segments. The dashed green segments correspond to region \( B \), which is traced out. It is clear that the left/right mover cut provides the cleaner signature (since we are not getting spurious entanglement from left/left and right/right coupling) and that our interpretation of the delocalized states existing where the Fourier components of \( V_n \) are vanishing is correct.

We have shown that momentum space entanglement contains valuable information for the identification of localized and delocalized states in disordered free-fermion models. Furthermore, this was achieved without any disorder averaging so that large systems can easily be studied with a single diagonalization. The next step is to generalize the method to higher dimensions to see if the entanglement signatures are as clear as in 1D. It is not obvious whether or not these results will easily generalize, but there will be some interesting features of momentum space entanglement in higher dimensional systems, and especially in topological phases with 1D edge states and extended states buried in a localized bulk state region. This method may also provide a nice way to characterize disordered interacting systems since it only requires a ground-state wavefunction and not the excited states. Momentum space cuts could be carried out efficiently for 1D interacting systems and may yield useful information.
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