Pyro-Synthesis of Functional Nanocrystals
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Despite nanomaterials with unique properties playing a vital role in scientific and technological advancements of various fields including chemical and electrochemical applications, the scope for exploration of nano-scale applications is still wide open. The intimate correlation between material properties and synthesis in combination with the urgency to enhance the empirical understanding of nanomaterials demand the evolution of new strategies to promising materials. Herein we introduce a rapid pyro-synthesis that produces highly crystalline functional nanomaterials under reaction times of a few seconds in open-air conditions. The versatile technique may facilitate the development of a variety of nanomaterials and, in particular, carbon-coated metal phosphates with appreciable physico-chemical properties benefiting energy storage applications. The present strategy may present opportunities to develop “design rules” not only to produce nanomaterials for various applications but also to realize cost-effective and simple nanomaterial production beyond lab-scale limitations.

Prospective advancements in next-generation technologies are largely dictated by material properties. Owing to their confined particle-size dimensions, nanomaterials offer tremendous opportunities to realize exceptional physico-chemical properties in existing or new compounds1,2. Consequently, the generation and/or customization of functional nanocrystals by different synthetic strategies have become hugely significant. Low temperature syntheses present an efficient approach to produce innovative nanomaterials with exceptional performances suited to various disciplines including optical, electrical, magnetic, sensing, catalyst, targeted drug carrier and biomedical applications3,4. One of the classic examples in the field of energy storage is the case of olivine-structured LiFePO4. The poorly conducting material that was initially introduced as a cathode demonstrating nominal electrochemical properties has now emerged as a prominent high-performance Li-ion battery electrode with outstanding performance by adopting technologies of nano-sizing (< 100 nm)5–7, morphology tailoring8,9, conductive-phase inclusions10,11, aliovalent substitution12 and nanostructuring13,14. Nonetheless, the efficacy of these customized technologies in attaining an optimized correlation between efficient synthesis, competence and commercial viability still remains a stiff challenge.

The urge for targeted nanomaterial synthesis sparked off developments in specialized techniques involving solution or gas phases15,16. However, a majority of these methods, including the recent microwave17 and combustion18 approaches risk shortcomings of complex procedures, time-consuming separation techniques, detrimental particle growth, economic viability, and commercial feasibility. Further, undesirable outcomes such as sample impurities and/or expensive procedures ultimately make such lab-scale synthesis less attractive. Hence, creative strategies involving versatile, straightforward, efficient, rapid, and timely synthesis from the perspective of simple large-scale industrialization is worth pursuing; though undertaking such an effort is no trivial task. Utilizing the polyol method, which usually involves the reaction of metallic precursors in a polyol-based environment maintained near or at its boiling point, to synthesize highly crystalline nanoparticles could appear very promising provided the lengthy/complicated reaction procedures were eliminated19,20. The polyol-assisted pyro-synthesis introduced here generates functional nanocrystals in open-air conditions under very short reaction times. Since
polyol costs are comparable or lower than that of the commonly used solvents for wet chemical synthesis, the present work may provide orientation towards visualizing cost-effective and simple strategies of nanomaterial production beyond laboratory-scale limitations.

**Results**

**Materials synthesis.** The pyro-synthesis introduced herein presents a straightforward approach combining three strategies; first, to exploit the feasibility of utilizing a polyol-medium to produce highly crystalline nanoparticles; second, to ensure sustained combustion by using a highly combustible and low-cost fuel; and third, to minimize heat dissipation by maintaining the polyol-fuel solution at or near the fuel combustion temperature prior to combustion. As shown in Fig. 1, the polyol-assisted rapid pyro-synthesis procedure consists of two simple stages. The initial stage involves the preparation of a precursor solution by dissolving the metal salts (M^{n+}) in a polyol (polyhydric/unsaturated aliphatic/alicyclic) medium. In the second stage, the flammable precursor solution is ignited with a torch that results in a rapid precipitation of highly crystalline nanoparticles. The polyol here acts as a primary fuel to induce a flame which can instantly provide ultrahigh thermal energy to surroundings. While the polyol undergoes fast combustion (exothermic), the precursors thermochromically decompose (endothermic) and nucleate under oxygen-limited atmosphere by useful consumption of the thermal energy released during the exothermic reaction. The entire process occurs dynamically within a few seconds. The high energy generated and short reaction time facilitate rapid nucleation and suppress grain growth, which can in turn lead to the formation of highly crystalline ultrafine particles.

Specifically, the pyro-synthesis of olivine-type LiFePO_{4} nanoparticles employs lithium acetate hydrate, metal acetate, and phosphoric acid as the starting materials. During olivine synthesis by pyro-technique, in addition to the aforementioned mechanism, a co-operative interaction between polyol and phosphoric acid further contributes to the formation of nanoparticles. Under the polyol-rich condition, a part of the polyol can be pyrolyzed to carbonized structures although most undergo combustion to supply the energy for nanoparticle formation. Interestingly, the presence of phosphoric acid accelerates the carbonization of polyol. Precisely, phosphoric acid catalyzes the dehydration reaction of the polyol to yield carbocations and carbon double bonds, which results in the generation of carbonized structures at high temperatures\(^2\). The carbonized structures act as physical barriers to prevent particle growth at elevated flame (or oxidation reaction) temperatures. Consequently, the LiFePO_{4} nanoparticles are coated with a thin, conductive carbon layer, which can prove to be advantageous for electrochemical applications. Unlike the characteristic dark gray color of LiFePO_{4}, the carbon-encapsulated pyro-olivine powder is black in color (see Supplementary Fig. S1 online). The color variation clearly suggests that carbon-coated olivine nanoparticles are produced during pyro-synthesis and support the aforementioned discussion on the role of phosphoric acid in carbon coating. The presence of carbon coating has also been confirmed by thermal, elemental and high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) studies discussed later.

Besides LiFePO_{4}, we used the polyol-assisted pyro-synthetic approach to prepare pure metal oxides, sulfides and noble metals such as ZnO and TiO_{2}, CdS, and Ag, useful for field emission display, optical, photovoltaic and biological applications respectively\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^8\). Unlike the case of LiFePO_{4}, the characteristic yellow-orange color indirectly ascertains the absence of carbon in CdS (see Supplementary Fig. S1 online). The observation was further confirmed using HRTEM and is discussed later.

**Thermodynamic considerations.** The thermodynamic role of the polyol (polyhydric alcohol fuel) must be understood in terms of reaction catalyst for a given thermochemical reaction process. Model reactions for the binary compounds, ZnO and TiO_{2} were studied to describe the influence of polyol on the pyro-synthetic reaction. The reversible transformation reaction of the multiphase chemical system at isobaric condition results in spontaneous exothermic reaction with more negative values in the Gibbs free energy change (\(\Delta G\)) for the hydrocarbon-based polyol-assisted reaction compared with that in no-hydrocarbon added classical methods over the wide range of temperatures (see Supplementary Fig. S2 online). The apparently lower \(\Delta G\) values lead one to conclude that the rapid pyro-synthesis appears to be a more energetically favorable process, yielding highly crystalline nanoparticles. It is fair to say that the rapid pyro-synthesis demonstrated in this study is a

Figure 1 | Rapid pyro-synthesis mechanism that explains typical production of carbon-encapsulated nanoparticles. The combustion of polyol with oxygen provides thermochemical energy for the pyrolytic decomposition of the precursors to finally yield nanoparticles under oxygen-limited condition, during which the rate of pyrolysis is much faster than the diffusion of oxygen.
considerably simple process that may be considered for facile nanomaterials production. The associated chemical reaction equations at thermodynamic equilibrium and the calculated numerical thermodynamic quantities of each reaction as a function of temperature are provided in Supplementary Tables S1 to S5 online.

**Structural and microscopic characterizations.** X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns reveal reflection lines corresponding to the anticipated pure single phases (Fig. 2). The crystal systems were modeled as a hexagonal for CdS (space group: P6₃mc) and ZnO (space group: P6₃mc), tetragonal or anatase phase for TiO₂ (space group: I41/amd), and orthorhombic phase for LiFePO₄ (space group: Pnma), respectively. The absence of impurities in all the recorded patterns indicates the formation of pure crystalline phases under rapid reaction times. The refined lattice parameter values were obtained by fitting the XRD data using a whole-pattern profile matching method. The primary particle sizes, d, calculated from the X-ray line width using the Scherrer formula, range between 5 and 40 nm (see Supplementary Table S6 online). The lattice parameter values of LiFePO₄ are slightly lower than those reported most probably due to its nanocrystalline characteristics. The stoichiometric compositions of elements in LiFePO₄ and CdS were also confirmed by chemical analysis (see Supplementary Table S7 online). The core-level spectra recorded for the prepared samples using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) established the oxidation states of constituent elements (see Supplementary Fig. S3 online). The field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) images (Fig. 3, left) of the prepared samples indicate clearly that the resulting spherical nanoparticles did exhibit slight interparticle aggregation although their dispersion status somewhat depended on the type of precursor employed. More specifically, the SEM image of CdS shows apparently denser particle aggregation and that further re-dispersion efforts may be desirable. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) observation was also performed to provide more insight into the structural and morphological sample characteristics (Fig. 3, center). The average particle-sizes of the samples ranging from a few to a few tens of nanometers correlates with the crystallite-sizes obtained from XRD studies (see Supplementary Table S6 online). If the pyrolytic decomposition of the precursors proceed slowly under static conditions, the dense growth of grains may coalesce to yield apparently larger particles. However, under the present experimental conditions, the gaseous combustion products of the polyol prevents dense nucleation and simultaneously, the short overall reaction time prohibits coalescent grain growth leading to the formation of crystalline nanoparticles. In addition, the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns (insets in Fig. 3, center) inform us that the LiFePO₄ species is single-crystalline whereas CdS, ZnO, and TiO₂ are polycrystalline. The high-resolution TEM images directly visualize the lattice fringes in the nanoparticles and confirm their crystalline nature (Fig. 3, right). The highly nanocrystalline characteristics confirm that the instantaneous thermal energy of the flame is sufficient enough for nucleation and subsequent crystal growth. Additional HRTEM images procured for LiFePO₄ reveal that the nanoparticles are coated with a thin carbon layer of thickness ~2 nm (see Supplementary Fig. S4 online). The carbon content was estimated to be approximately 5 wt% by elemental and thermal analyses (see Supplementary Table S8 and Fig. S5 online). Carbon coatings are generally pursued to scale-up electronic conductivities in characteristically poorly conducting nanomaterials and to prevent particle coalescence. Specific to energy storage applications, conductive coatings on electrode materials improve their electrochemical properties and performances. The nano-sized carbon coatings tend to permit unhindered ion-diffusion and in the case of LiFePO₄

Figure 2 | Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the samples prepared by rapid pyro-synthesis. The observed patterns (red dots) were fitted using a whole-pattern profile matching method. The calculated pattern (black line), the Bragg positions (green markers), and the difference curves (blue line) are provided for (a) CdS, (b) ZnO, (c) TiO₂ and (d) LiFePO₄.

Figure 3 | SEM/TEM images, HR-TEM images, and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns of functional nanocrystals. The SEM and TEM images of (a) CdS, (b) ZnO, (c) TiO₂, and (d) LiFePO₄ reveal well-ordered primary particles with highly crystalline character and of diverse particle-sizes. SAED patterns (insets) indicate the poly-crystalline nature for CdS, ZnO, and TiO₂, while that of olivine LiFePO₄ reveals a clearly single-crystalline character. HR-TEM images visualizing the lattice fringes.
synthesis, carbon can act as a reducing agent and prevent the otherwise easily-formed Fe$^{3+}$ impurity. Hence, the rapid pyro-synthesis can serve as a useful strategy not only to realize particle minimization but also to obtain surface carbon coatings of energy storage nanomaterials. Given the fact that a starting precursor like phosphoric acid can accelerate polyol carbonization, the LiFePO$_4$/C cathode produced by the rapid pyro-synthesis demonstrates impressive electrochemical abilities even under high charge/discharge rates, the details of which are discussed in the following section. Further, the carbon coatings on LiFePO$_4$ may be eliminated provided post-heat treatments are followed and pure olivine for other applications can be visualized. However, the high resolution TEM images of CdS reveal that bordering layers on the particle surfaces are non-existent unlike the coating observed for LiFePO$_4$ particles. The finding thus strengthens the notion that carbon nano-coating in pyro-synthesis is largely dependent on precursors used (see Supplementary Fig. S4 online).

**Optical and electrochemical properties.** To demonstrate the dependability of producing nanomaterials by rapid pyro synthesis, the nanocrystalline samples were tested for material properties suited to various applications. The optical absorption properties of CdS and ZnO nanocrystals were analyzed by UV-vis absorption studies and its band gap energies ($E_g$) were determined. The band gap energy ($E_g$) values for CdS (2.13 eV) and ZnO (3.0 eV), obtained from the absorption curve ($\alpha$hv)$^2$ plots (see Supplementary Fig. S6 online), is slightly lower than the characteristic values of ~2.4 and 3.37 eV, respectively. The reduction in the band gap energies of semiconductors as ZnO and CdS is essential to realize the efficient use of sunlight by apparently more absorption of light in the visible region. Precisely, the UV-visible absorption spectra also indicates increased background in the visible light region (>550 nm) for CdS nanocrystals and an enhanced red-shift (~420 nm) for ZnO (see Supplementary Fig. S6 online). The reduced band-gap energies and the enhanced UV-visible spectra contribute to enhanced photocurrent and high photo-catalytic efficiencies$^{40,47}$ even though the CdS sample, in particular, revealed particle aggregation and further re-dispersion efforts may be desirable. The electrochemical properties of the TiO$_2$ and LiFePO$_4$ nanocrystals were measured by using them as anode and cathode of separate lithium test cells, respectively. Since the pyro-synthesis of TiO$_2$ involved the use of carbon-based alkoxide as starting material, the as-prepared product required mild heating before performing electrochemical measurements. The XRD data confirmed the phase-purity of anatase TiO$_2$ at 500°C (see Supplementary Fig. S7 online). An initial discharge capacity of 282 mAh g$^{-1}$, which corresponds to 85% utilization of theoretical capacities (335 mAh g$^{-1}$), is registered at 0.05 C rate with steady capacity retentions (72% of initial capacity) for 50 cycles and impressive rate capabilities. Discharge capacities of 130 mAh g$^{-1}$ are delivered at 3.2 C rates, which is 65% more than that observed for commercial anatase TiO$_2$ anode (Aldrich) (see Supplementary Fig. S8 and detailed discussion online). The case of carbon-coated LiFePO$_4$ has been investigated in detail due to its identification as a prominent cathode for high power Li-ion batteries. The LiFePO$_4$/C electrode delivers an initial capacity of 162 mAh g$^{-1}$, which corresponds to 95% theoretical capacity utilization, at 0.1 C rate with consistent capacities up to 50 cycles and competent rate capabilities (see Supplementary Fig. S9 online). The electrochemical performance of the LiFePO$_4$/C electrode well exceeds that exhibited by conventional solid-state LiFePO$_4$ electrodes and the details are presented in the Supplementary Information. The enhanced specific capacities, cycle performance and competent rate capabilities compared to those of commercial/conventional electrodes may be attributed to the nano-sized particle characteristics (see Supplementary Figs. S10 and S11 online) with sufficient crystallinity and enhanced lithium ion diffusion$^{42}$. Further, the presence of carbon facilitates improved electronic conductivity and enhanced electrochemical performance in pyro-LiFePO$_4$.

We also extended the rapid pyro-synthesis to produce silver (Ag) nanoparticles due to its significance in various applications$^{44,45}$. Although the polyol synthesis of Ag particles is known, the production of nanoparticles under very low reaction times remains important in this era of fast industrialization$^{46,47}$. The prepared Ag powder displays its characteristic metallic color suggesting that carbon coating is indeed dependent on precursor choice and such a pyrolysis reaction appears to be thermodynamically more favorable than commercial methods (see Supplementary Fig. S12 and Tables S9 and S10 online). The XRD and XPS studies confirmed the formation of Ag metal. The average crystallite size was calculated to be 35 nm (see Supplementary Fig. S12 and Table S11 online). SEM and TEM images show agglomerated particles with diameters ranging from a few tens to a few hundreds of nanometers and distinguishable lattice fringes are visible (see Supplementary Fig. S13 online). Similar to the case of reducing bulk band gap energies to improve photo-catalytic efficiencies in CdS and ZnO, the development of magnetic materials with tailored magneto-transport properties may also be feasible.

**Discussion**

The polyol synthesis of highly crystalline nanomaterials with promising physico-chemical properties is already known$^{46,48}$. A majority of these reports indicate that precursor solutions are maintained close to the polyol boiling point for reaction durations of ≥1 h to facilitate nanocrystal formation and particle growth. The pyro-synthetic process introduces the possibility of producing nanomaterials in a very short reaction time of a few seconds under open-air environments. The energy produced during polyol combustion facilitates the production of highly crystalline nanomaterials and thereby ensures that energy consumption is maintained at a minimum. The one-step pyro-synthetic strategy useful to prepare carbon-coated LiFePO$_4$, a prospective cathode for high power Li-ion batteries, appears to overlook the requirements of repeated grinding/pelleting procedures and inert environments usually required during commercial preparation of LiFePO$_4$. Further, the pyro-synthesis adopts a continuous sample processing technique in contradiction to the challenging batch-to-batch processing followed for commercial solid-state or sol-gel reactions to obtain LiFePO$_4$. Therefore, the synthesis introduced here may provide solutions aimed at simplifying the process for nanomaterial production beyond laboratory scale limitations.

In summary, a rapid pro-synthetic strategy performed at very short reaction durations in open-air conditions to synthesize highly crystalline nanomaterials for useful battery, optical and bio-medical applications has been presented. The prepared nanomaterials of CdS, ZnO, TiO$_2$ and LiFePO$_4$ demonstrated decent optical and electrochemical properties though somewhat intense aggregation was visible for CdS. It may be possible to extend this strategy to develop various other metals, metal sulfides, metal oxides and phosphates for magnetic, electronic, ionic and metallic applications.

In the context of realizing nanomaterial production beyond lab-scale limitations, it is essential to evolve simple and cost-effective strategies. The pyro-synthetic process may offer opportunities to scale-up production of nanomaterials like LiFePO$_4$ from the perspective of cost and simplification. Polyol costs are substantially lower, if not comparable, than that of common solvents used in wet chemical syntheses of nanomaterials (see Supplementary Table S12 and discussion online). More importantly, LiFePO$_4$ is produced directly by a continuous processing technique that is relatively simpler than the batch-by-batch processing in commercial techniques (see Supplementary Fig. S14 and related discussion online). Therefore, the current pyro-synthesis may provide competitive solutions to realize nanomaterial generation by modest and cost-effective methods. Although this approach still has room for improvement by...
controlling various factors influencing the performances of the pre-
pared materials, it is meaningful to introduce a novel synthetic meth-
odology beneficial to a wide range of materials science community.

Methods

Synthesis of functional nanocrystals. The starting materials and solvents used to prepare precursor solution for the functional nanocrystals is as given below:

CdS: 1M of Cadmium Acetate dihydrate (Cd(CH3COO)2 -2H2O, Aldrich) and thiourea (CHN4S, Aldrich) were dissolved in tetraethylene glycol ((HOCH2CH2)2O, 99%, Daejung Chemicals).

ZnO. 1M Zn(CH3COO)2 -2H2O was dissolved in ethylene glycol (HOCH2CH2OH, Daejung Chemicals).

TiO2. 1M Titanium isopropoxide (Ti(OCH(CH3)2)4, Junsei) was dissolved in tetra-
etylene glycol.

LifePO4. Lithium acetate dihydrate (CH3COOLi -2H2O, GR, Junsei) and iron acetate (Fe(CH3COO)3, Aldrich) corresponding to 1M LiFePO4 was dissolved in tetra-
etylene glycol. 1 M Phosphoric acid (H3PO4, Daejung Chemicals) was then stirred with the resulting solution until it became homogenious.

Ag. 0.01M of Silver nitrate (AgNO3, 99%+, Aldrich) was dissolved in 80 ml tetra-
etylene glycol.

The flammable solution was ignited with a torch to induce a self-extinguishable combustion process. Highly crystalline nanoparticles were obtained.

Powder X-ray diffraction. The PXRD patterns were measured using Shimadzu X-ray Diffractometer with Ni-filtered Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å) operating at 40 kV and 30 mA in the scanning angle, 20, range of 10–80° in steps of 0.2°. The lattice parameters were obtained by the whole-pattern profile matching method using the FULLPROF2D program.

Electron microscopy (HR-TEM and FE-SEM) analyses. SEM images were obtained using S-4700 from HITACHI and TEM pictures were recorded using FEI Tecnai F20 at 200 kV.

Electrochemical characterization. For electrochemical measurements, the carbon-coated LiFePO4 and pure TiO2 active materials were mixed with 25 and 30 wt% of conducting carbon respectively taking into account the 5 wt% carbon already present in the carbon content in the former sample and teflonized acetylene black (TAB) was used as binder. Usually, a loading of 3.5 mg cm−2 as the active material was used. The mixture was pressed onto a stainless steel mesh and vacuum dried at 120°C for 12 hours, thus forming the cathode. A 2032 coin type cell consisting of the cathode and lithium metal anode separated by a polymer membrane was fabricated in an Ar-

diffused glove box and aged for 12 hours. The electrolyte employed was a 1:1 mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC) and dimethylcarbonate (DMC) containing 1 M LiPF6. Galvanostatic tests were carried out at room temperature using BTS 204H (Nagano, Japan).

UV-visible spectrophotometer test. For measuring optical properties of CdS and ZnO nanocrystals, optical absorption studies were performed in the wavelength of 300–800 nm using UV–visible spectrophotometer (Cary 100, Varian, Mulgrave, Australia) at room temperature.
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