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Abstract

This paper contains data on the absorption spectra and pigment composition and concentration of 22 phytoplankton species. All phytoplankton cultures were taken from the Australian National Algae Culture Collection. Each absorption spectrum is accompanied by the pigment composition quantified using High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC).

© 2019 CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Data

Data from 3 experiments aiming to determine in vivo absorption coefficients and their pigment composition of chosen phytoplankton species/strains belonging to dinoflagellates (11 strains/species), prymnesiophytes (3), diatoms (3), chlorophytes (1), rhodophytes (1), cyanophytes (1), prasinophytes (1), and eustigmatophytes (1) are presented. The phytoplankton species used in all experiments together with the sizes for most of the strains as well as the growth conditions are given in Table 1. Figs. 1 and 2 present chlorophyll specific total and phytoplankton absorption spectra, respectively. Pigment composition for each of the phytoplankton strains are presented in Fig. 3. Data files contain pigment composition and concentrations (phytoplankton_pigments.xlsx), unsmoothed particulate absorption spectra calculated using the \( \beta \)-correction method described by Mitchell [1] without null-point correction (particulate_absorption_spectra.xlsx) and unsmoothed phytoplankton absorption spectra.
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2. Experimental design, materials, and methods

All phytoplankton cultures (22 species) were taken from the Australian National Algae Culture Collection. The cultures were kept at the exponential growth phase under controlled temperature and light conditions and diluted with fresh medium just before measurements were made. Absorption was measured using a dual beam spectrophotometer (GBC Scientific Equipment Ltd., Cintra 404) equipped with an integration sphere using the filter-pad method. The absorption coefficients were calculated using the β-correction method described by Mitchell (1990). Pigment composition and concentrations were determined using the HPLC method.

Value of the data

- The dataset contains the characterization of the in vivo absorption properties and pigment composition of phytoplankton monocultures accompanied with full information on the growth temperature and irradiance and cell sizes.
- The dataset can be used in the studies of the responses (e.g. changes in the pigment composition, pigment packaging etc.) of phytoplankton species to different growth irradiance.
- The dataset can be used in developing models of phytoplankton absorption, primary production and biogeochemical cycling.
- The dataset can be a base for theoretical, laboratory or in situ experiments in phytoplankton physiology or ecology and marine bio-optics.
- The information on the absorption properties of phytoplankton can be useful in the development of algorithms for remote sensing of specific phytoplankton groups.

for cultures used in experiment 2 calculated using the β-correction method described by Mitchell (1990) without null-point correction but smoothed using the running mean filter (phytoplankton_absorption_spectra.xlsx).

Specifications table

| Subject area            | Biology                     |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| More specific subject area | Phytoplankton biology; Marine biology |
| Type of data            | Tables, figures, separate.xlsx (Excel files with entire measured spectra (raw data) and pigment concentrations) |
| How data was acquired   | UV-VIS double-beam spectrophotometer (GBC Scientific Equipment Ltd., Cintra 404); software: Cintral ver. 2.2, HPLC (Waters) |
| Data format             | Analysed, raw               |
| Experimental factors    | Phytoplankton cultures (22 species/strains) were taken from the Australian National Algae Culture Collection. The cultures were kept at the exponential growth phase under controlled temperature and light conditions and diluted with fresh medium just before measurements were made. |
| Experimental features   | Absorption measurements were taken with the use of a dual beam spectrophotometer equipped with an integration sphere using the filter-pad method. The absorption coefficients were calculated using the β-correction method described by Mitchell (1990). Pigment composition and concentrations were determined using the HPLC method. |
| Data source location    | Hobart, TAS, Australia      |
| Data accessibility      | All data are provided in this article |
Fig. 1. Chlorophyll-specific particulate absorption spectra of phytoplankton monocultures studied in experiment 1 (a), experiment 2 (b), and experiment 3 (c).

Table 1
List of analysed phytoplankton species and their cell size and growth irradiance; the size is given as a single diameter (minimum – maximum) for cells of spherical shape and as length (minimum – maximum) by width (minimum – maximum) for cells with elongated shape; * generic for species not particular strain.

| Species                  | Class              | Collection code | Cell size (μm) | Growth irradiance (μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|
| **Experiment 1**         |                    |                 |                |                                  |
| *Dunaliella tertiolecta* | Chlorophyceae      | CS-175          | 10–12          | 60–80                            |
| *Emiliania huxleyi*      | Prymnesiophyceae   | CS-57           | 4–8            | 60–80                            |
| *Pavlova lutheri*        | Prymnesiophyceae   | CS-23           | –              | 60–80                            |
| *Porphyridium purpureum* | Rhodophyceae       | CS-25           | 5–8            | 60–80                            |
| *Chaetoceros socialis*   | Bacillariophyceae  | CS-236          | (2–12) x (2–15) | 60–80                            |
| **Experiment 2**         |                    |                 |                |                                  |
| *Thalassiosira oceanica* | Bacillariophyceae  | CS-67           | 8.8–19         | 50–100                           |
| *Tetraselmis sp.*        | Prasinophyceae     | CS-87           | 13 x 7         | 50–100                           |
| *Heterocapsa nieli*      | Dinophyceae        | CS-89           | 20 x 15        | 50–100                           |
| *Synechococcus sp.*      | Cyanophyceae       | CS-94           | 1.2–1.5        | 50–100                           |
| *Ditylum brightwelli*    | Bacillariophyceae  | CS-131          | (50–70) x (15 x 20) | 50–100                           |
| *Namochloropsis oculata* | Eustigmataceae     | CS-189          | 2–5            | 50–100                           |
| **Experiment 3**         |                    |                 |                |                                  |
| *Alexandrium margalefi*  | Dinophyceae        | CS-322          | –              | 80–100                           |
| *Alexandrium minutum*    | Dinophyceae        | CS-323/2        | 16–25          | 80–100                           |
| *Alexandrium affine*     | Dinophyceae        | CS-312          | –              | 80–100                           |
| *Alexandrium catenella*  | Dinophyceae        | CS-313/1        | 26–38          | 80–100                           |
| *Alexandrium tamarense*  | Dinophyceae        | CS-298          | 26–38          | 80–100                           |
| *Woleszynskia*           | Dinophyceae        | CS-341          | 23 x 22        | 80–100                           |
| *Gymnodinium catenatum*  | Dinophyceae        | CS-305          | (23–41) x (27–36) | 80–100                           |
| *Gymnodinium catenatum*  | Dinophyceae        | CS-309/2        | (23–41) x (27–36) | 80–100                           |
| *Gymnodinium catenatum*  | Dinophyceae        | CS-309/3        | (23–41) x (27–36) | 80–100                           |
| *Phaeocystis 4-5*        | Prymnesiophyceae   | CS - 243        | –              | 6                                |
| *Prorocentrum micans*    | Dinophyceae        | CS-27           | –              | 80–100                           |
The phytoplankton absorption coefficient ($a_{\text{ph}}(\lambda), \text{m}^{-1}$) was calculated as the difference between $a_p(\lambda)$ and $a_{\text{NAP}}(\lambda)$.

The $a_p(\lambda)$ results from first and third experiment can be converted into $a_{\text{ph}}(\lambda)$ assuming exponential shape of $a_{\text{NAP}}(\lambda)$ using a method described by [3].
Data presented in Figs. 1 and 2 were null-point corrected by subtracting the absorption coefficient value at 750 nm assuming no absorption in the NIR region of the spectrum [e.g., [4]].

Pigment composition and concentrations were determined using the HPLC CSIRO method described in [5] using a C8 column and binary gradient system with an elevated column temperature (Waters). Pigments were identified by their retention time and their absorption spectra from the photo-diode array detector. The pigment concentrations were determined through peak integration (Empower© software).
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