Long-Term Safety and Efficacy of Bremelanotide for Hypoactive Sexual Desire Disorder
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OBJECTIVE: To evaluate the long-term safety and efficacy of bremelanotide as treatment for hypoactive sexual desire disorder in premenopausal women.

METHODS: Women who completed the 24-week double-blind core phase of RECONNECT, composed of two parallel phase 3 trials (301 and 302) examining the safety and efficacy of bremelanotide compared with placebo in premenopausal women with hypoactive sexual desire disorder, could enroll in the 52-week open-label extension, provided they had not experienced serious adverse events during the core phase. Efficacy was assessed using the coprimary endpoints from the core phase, and all adverse events were collected during the open-label extension. All statistical analyses were descriptive.

RESULTS: The study 301 open-label extension began on July 17, 2015, and concluded on July 13, 2017; the study included 917 women. Women who enrolled in the open-label extension had a mean age of 40 years, and 88% were White. At baseline, no differences in efficacy or safety were observed compared with the core phase. By week 52, 22% of women enrolled in the open-label extension reported improvement on the coprimary endpoint (continuation of response from the core phase) compared with placebo. The most common adverse event was nausea, reported by 50% of women in the open-label extension.
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302 open-label extension began on October 5, 2015, and concluded on June 29, 2017. Of the 856 eligible patients who completed the core phase, 684 elected to participate in the open-label extension, and 272 completed it. The most common treatment-emergent adverse events considered related to study drug were nausea (40.4%), flushing (20.6%), and headache (12.0%), and the only severe treatment-emergent adverse event experienced by more than one participant in both studies was nausea during the open-label extension. The change in Female Sexual Function Index—desire domain score and Female Sexual Distress Scale—Desire/Arousal/Orgasm item 13 from baseline to end of the open-label extension ranged from 1.25 to 1.30 and −1.4 to −1.7, respectively, for patients who received bremelanotide during the core phase, and 0.70–0.77 and −0.9, respectively, for patients who received placebo during the core phase.

CONCLUSION: During the 52-week open-label extension of RECONNECT, no new safety signals were observed, and premenopausal women treated with bremelanotide exhibited sustained improvements in hypoactive sexual desire disorder symptoms.

CLINICAL TRIAL REGISTRATION: ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT02333071 (study 301) and NCT02338960 (study 302).

FUNDING SOURCE: Palatin Technologies, Inc., and AMAG Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
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Female sexual dysfunction comprises a group of sexual disorders related to desire, arousal, and interest, as well as orgasm.1 Hypoactive sexual desire disorder, defined as the persistent or recurrent deficiency or absence of sexual fantasies or thoughts and desire for— or receptivity to—sexual activity, is the most prevalent female sexual dysfunction associated with personal distress and is not explained by another mental disorder, medical condition, or medications.3–5 According to a U.S.-based survey study, hypoactive sexual desire disorder occurs in 8.9% of women aged 18–44 years, 12.3% of women aged 45–64 years, and 7.4% of women aged 65 years or older.2

Current models for female sexuality are nonlinear, as women may participate in sexual activity without the need for desire.6 Multiple factors contribute to the pathology of hypoactive sexual desire disorder, including biologic, psychologic, and social components.7 Functional neuroimaging studies of patients with hypoactive sexual desire disorder have demonstrated decreased blood flow to areas of the brain associated with sexual desire.8–10 Hypoactive sexual desire disorder is thought to be caused by an imbalance in the excitatory and inhibitory neural pathways in the prefrontal cortex and limbic system implicated in human sexual response. This imbalance leads to increased inhibition, decreased excitation, and ultimately a diminished responsiveness to sexual cues. In recent years, there has been increased interest in developing evidence-based pharmacologic treatments to address the unmet need for effective hypoactive sexual desire disorder interventions.11–16 Flibanserin, a 5-HT1A agonist and 5-HT2A antagonist, is the first U.S. Food and Drug Administration-approved drug available for the condition,17 and bremelanotide was recently approved for the treatment of acquired, generalized hypoactive sexual desire disorder in premenopausal women.18 Bremelanotide is a melanocortin receptor agonist and a novel cyclic heptapeptide analog of the endogenous neuropeptide α-melanocyte-stimulating hormone.19–22 Based on findings from preclinical studies, bremelanotide acts on physiologic and neurobiologic components of female sexual function by modulating neurotransmitter pathways involved in sexual desire and arousal in women with hypoactive sexual desire disorder.20

The efficacy and safety of bremelanotide, taken as needed over 24 weeks, demonstrated statistically significant and clinically meaningful improvements in low sexual desire and related distress in the core phase of the RECONNECT studies.23 Here we report on the safety and efficacy of bremelanotide taken for an additional 52 weeks in the open-label extension of the RECONNECT studies.

ROLE OF THE FUNDING SOURCE

The two studies, NCT02333071 (study 301) and NCT02338960 (study 302), were sponsored by Palatin Technologies, Inc., who pioneered the development of bremelanotide for the treatment of hypoactive sexual desire disorder and was responsible for the conduct of the phase 3 clinical trials. AMAG Pharmaceuticals, Inc. contributed to the further development of bremelanotide and, together with Palatin Technologies, submitted the new drug application to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. The sponsor had a role in the design, execution, analysis, reporting, and funding of the studies. The authors had access to relevant individual and aggregated study data and other information (such as study protocol, analytic plan and report, validated data tables, and clinical study report) required to understand and report research findings. The authors take responsibility for the presentation and publication of the research findings, have been fully involved at all stages of publication and presentation development, and are willing to take public responsibility for all aspects of
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METHODS

The RECONNECT core phase comprised two identically designed, phase 3, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trials of bremelanotide 1.75 mg administered subcutaneously and as needed using an autoinjector pen for treatment of hypoactive sexual desire disorder in premenopausal women. The study protocol and informed consent materials were approved by the institutional review boards or independent ethics committees (Canada) from all related study sites in accordance with the International Conference on Harmonisation, Good Clinical Practices, and local requirements. All patients provided informed written consent before performance of any study procedures.

The core phase of the trials included a 4-week screening period, a 4-week single-blind placebo treatment period to establish baseline, and a 24-week randomized, double-blind treatment period (Appendix 1, available online at http://links.lww.com/AOG/B587). The RECONNECT study design has been reported in detail. At the last visit of the core phase, patients completed the following questionnaires to assess efficacy: the Female Sexual Distress Scale—Desire/Arousal/Orgasm (FSDS-DAO) item 13, the Female Sexual Function Index—desire domain (FSFI-D), and the General Assessment Questionnaire. Safety assessments, including physical examination, standardized blood pressure (BP) and other vital signs, measurement of electrocardiograms, clinical laboratory testing, adverse event monitoring, and administration of the Beck Scale for Suicide Ideation were also performed at the last visit. All participants in the open-label extension received bremelanotide 1.75 mg administered subcutaneously on an as-needed basis (restricted to no more than one dose within a 24-hour period). During the open-label extension, patients were allowed to return to the clinic for a resupply of the study drug at any time and could be supplied with up to 30 doses per month.

Eligibility criteria for the core phase have been reported previously. Participants in the open-label extension came from both arms (bremelanotide and placebo) of the core phase. Although all patients received bremelanotide during the open-label extension, patients fell into two groups: women randomized to bremelanotide in the core phase and continuing on bremelanotide in the open-label extension study phase (bremelanotide → bremelanotide), and women switching from placebo in the core phase to bremelanotide in the open-label extension (placebo → bremelanotide). Women continuing in the open-label extension must have successfully completed the core phase, experienced no treatment-emergent serious adverse events during the core phase, and agreed to continue in the open-label extension. Patients who became pregnant during the study were withdrawn and followed to the end of their pregnancy. Patients were enrolled using the interactive web (internet) response system.

Study evaluations for safety and key efficacy outcomes occurred at 4-week intervals through week 12 of the open-label extension and every 8 weeks thereafter. Safety was assessed during the study by recording and monitoring adverse events, concomitant medication use, and clinically significant changes in physical examinations, electrocardiograms, vital signs, and laboratory assessments.

The open-label extension did not have formal efficacy endpoints, but measures used during the core phase were continued during the open-label extension, and are summarized in Appendix 2, available online at http://links.lww.com/AOG/B587. Minimal clinically important differences were determined in a responder analysis of the phase 2b study as +0.6 for FSFI-D and −1.0 for FSDS-DAO item 13.

Safety and efficacy results for the open-label extension were summarized based on descriptive analyses, as there were no comparator arms. Data for patients who received bremelanotide or placebo in the double-blind portion of the core phase are displayed separately, although all patients received the same fixed dose (as needed) of bremelanotide in the open-label extension. For some assessments, data from the core phase are shown for context.

Baseline values for event data were derived from the core phase and defined as the last 28 days in the single-blind placebo period for patients randomized to bremelanotide, and the last 28 days before the final visit of the core phase for those randomized to placebo. All patients who used at least one dose of bremelanotide in the open-label extension were included in the open-label extension study population.

RESULTS

A total of 1,267 women were randomized in the RECONNECT core phase; of those, 32.4% (411
patients) did not complete the core phase. During the core phase, 1.1% (seven) of patients who received bremelanotide and 0.5% (three) of patients who received placebo reported serious adverse events. Among the 856 patients who completed the core phase, approximately 80% (684) elected to participate in the open-label extension: 363 participants in study 301 ([bremelanotide → bremelanotide and 239 placebo → bremelanotide); 321 participants in study 302 ([bremelanotide → bremelanotide and 191 placebo → bremelanotide). Approximately 40% of patients in both groups (272 patients; 39.7% in study 301 [Figs. 1A and B] and 39.9% in study 302 [Figs. 1C and D]) completed the 52-week open-label extension, with the most common reasons for the study discontinuation attributed to adverse events (study 301: 23.4%; study 302: 18.7%) and withdrawal of consent (17.6% and 19.0%) (Fig. 1). In study 301, patients who did not complete the open-label extension discontinued during the first 20 weeks (150/363 patients [41.3%]). In study 302, a similar number of patients discontinued the open-label extension throughout the 52-week period, except for week 4 (visit E2) and week 28 (visit E6) when higher numbers of patients discontinued (43 [13.4%] patients and 36 [11.2%] patients, respectively). Baseline characteristics of the open-label extension study population are shown in Table 1; this population was similar in distribution to the originally randomized population. All patients were premenopausal, the majority were white (more than 84%), and mean age for both studies was ~39 years old (range 19–55 years).

During the core phase, patients randomized to bremelanotide received a median of 14 injections in study 301 and 13.5 injections in study 302 (Appendix 3, available online at http://links.lww.com/AOG/B587). These patients received additional median 11 and 10 injections during the open-label extension, for a total median of 27 and 25 injections over a mean of 409 and 407 days in the two respective studies. Patients initially randomized to placebo in the core phase of studies 301 and 302 received a median of 12 and 13 injections during the open-label extension.

The most common treatment-emergent adverse events during the open-label extension were nausea, flushing, sunburn, and headache (Table 2). Nausea (which occurred in 42.7% of patients in study 301, 37.7% in study 302, and 40.4% across both studies), flushing (24.5%, 16.2%, and 20.6%, respectively), and headache (12.1%, 11.8%, and 12.0%, respectively) were the most common adverse events considered

![Fig. 1. Patient disposition for the open-label extension of RECONNECT studies 301 (A and B) and 302 (C and D). Placebo to bremelanotide (A), bremelanotide to bremelanotide (B), placebo to bremelanotide (C), and bremelanotide to bremelanotide (D).](http://links.lww.com/AOG/B587)
to be related to study drug. Patients switching from placebo experienced a higher incidence of adverse events than those continuing on bremelanotide during the open-label extension (78.8% vs 63.4%, respectively). This incidence of adverse events reported in the placebo/bremelanotide groups was consistent with that seen in patients randomized to bremelanotide in the core phase. Adverse events were considered the cause of treatment discontinuation in 25.9% and 24.1% of patients who switched from placebo to bremelanotide during the open-label extension of studies 301 and 302, respectively. In study 301, the only severe treatment-emergent adverse events experienced by more than one patient were nausea (3/124 bremelanotide/bremelanotide vs 6/239 placebo/bremelanotide), fatigue (1/124 vs 2/239), flushing (0/124 vs 3/239), upper abdominal pain (2/124 vs 0/239), endometriosis (1/124 vs 1/239), and headache (1/124 vs 1/239).

Table 1. Baseline Demographics of the Open-Label Extension Study Population*

| Variable                        | Study 301 Placebo (n=239) | Study 301 Bremelanotide (n=124) | Study 301 Total (n=363) | Study 302 Placebo (n=191) | Study 302 Bremelanotide (n=130) | Study 302 Total (n=321) |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| Age (y)                         | 38.8±6.9                  | 38.5±6.7                        | 38.7±6.8               | 39.4±6.5                  | 39.8±7.1                     | 39.6±6.8               |
| Race                            |                           |                                 |                        |                           |                               |                        |
| White                           | 204 (85.4)                | 101 (81.5)                      | 305 (84.0)             | 171 (89.5)                | 117 (90.0)                    | 288 (89.7)             |
| Black or African American       | 29 (12.1)                 | 17 (13.7)                       | 46 (12.7)              | 15 (7.9)                  | 10 (7.7)                      | 25 (7.8)               |
| Asian                           | 2 (0.8)                   | 2 (1.6)                         | 4 (1.1)                | 2 (1.0)                   | 1 (0.8)                       | 3 (0.9)                |
| Other                           | 4 (1.7)                   | 4 (3.2)                         | 8 (2.2)                | 3 (1.6)                   | 2 (1.5)                       | 5 (1.5)                |
| Hispanic or Latina              | 20 (8.4)                  | 13 (10.5)                       | 33 (9.1)               | 9 (4.7)                   | 6 (4.6)                       | 15 (4.7)               |
| Not Hispanic or Latina          | 219 (91.6)                | 111 (89.5)                      | 330 (90.9)             | 182 (95.3)                | 124 (95.4)                    | 306 (95.3)             |
| Weight (kg)                     | 77.0±19.7                 | 81.2±21.7                       | 78.5±20.5              | 77.0±18.4                 | 80.0±19.8                     | 78.2±19.0              |
| BMI (kg/m²)                     | 28.5±7.2                  | 29.5±7.3                        | 28.8±7.3               | 28.6±6.7                  | 29.6±7.1                      | 29.0±6.9               |

BMI, body mass index.
Data are mean±SD or n (%).
* Participants who continued in the open-label extension of the RECONNECT studies.

Table 2. Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events in the Open-Label Extension (5% of Patients or More in at Least One Study)*

| Incidence                        | Study 301 Placebo→Bremelanotide (n=239) | Study 301 Bremelanotide→Bremelanotide (n=124) | Study 302 Placebo→Bremelanotide (n=191) | Study 302 Bremelanotide→Bremelanotide (n=130) |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Nausea                           | 109 (45.6)                               | 46 (37.1)                                     | 84 (44.0)                               | 37 (28.5)                                     |
| Flushing                         | 65 (27.2)                                | 24 (19.4)                                     | 41 (21.5)                               | 11 (8.5)                                      |
| Sunburn                          | 33 (13.8)                                | 12 (9.7)                                      | 25 (13.1)                               | 22 (16.9)                                     |
| Headache                         | 31 (13.0)                                | 13 (10.5)                                     | 29 (15.2)                               | 9 (6.9)                                       |
| Upper respiratory tract infection| 17 (7.1)                                 | 11 (8.9)                                      | 7 (3.7)                                 | 5 (3.8)                                       |
| Injection site pain              | 20 (8.4)                                 | 3 (2.4)                                       | 5 (2.6)                                 | 2 (1.5)                                       |
| Fatigue                          | 17 (7.1)                                 | 4 (3.2)                                       | 5 (2.6)                                 | 3 (2.3)                                       |
| Vomiting                         | 15 (6.3)                                 | 3 (2.4)                                       | 9 (4.7)                                 | 3 (2.3)                                       |
| Injection site reaction          | 14 (5.9)                                 | 2 (1.6)                                       | 5 (2.6)                                 | 4 (3.1)                                       |
| Urinary tract infection          | 6 (2.5)                                  | 9 (7.3)                                       | 11 (5.8)                                | 4 (3.1)                                       |

Data are n (%).
* Includes treatment-emergent adverse events in the open-label extension, independent of events reported in the core phase.
In study 302, no severe treatment-emergent adverse events occurred in more than one participant in the bremelanotide→bremelanotide group; nausea was the only severe treatment-emergent adverse event that occurred in more than one patient in the placebo→bremelanotide groups (4/191).

No deaths occurred during the entire duration of the RECONNECT studies. A total of 10 patients became pregnant during the core phase (three placebo, three bremelanotide) and open-label extension (one placebo, three bremelanotide→bremelanotide). Three patients (two placebo→bremelanotide and one bremelanotide→bremelanotide) experienced one treatment-emergent serious adverse event each (cerebrovascular accident, endometriosis, cholecystitis), but none were considered related to study drug and did not result in study discontinuation. In study 301, an additional patient developed acute hepatitis. The patient discontinued study drug after her 20th injection owing to postinjection rhinorrhea, at which point she was noted to have liver-related laboratory abnormalities (more than 20 times elevation in transaminases and more than two times bilirubin) and mild scleral icterus. The patient denied any relevant risk factors for hepatitis, including relevant exposures, medication, or notable travel. Despite a comprehensive workup, no alternative etiology could be determined. A re-review of preclinical toxicology data, including laboratory results, histopathology, and a full evaluation of all liver function tests across the entire bremelanotide development program did not demonstrate evidence of an association of bremelanotide with hepatotoxicity. In addition, an in-depth consultation with an independent panel of hepatologists with special expertise in drug-induced liver injury was also convened. Based on the totality of data, the independent expert panel concluded that this case of hepatitis was unlikely due to bremelanotide, but, in the absence of an alternative etiology, its role could not be excluded. At the 6-month follow-up, the patient’s alanine aminotransferase and aspartate aminotransferase levels had decreased to two times the upper limit of normal (with normal bilirubin); they had returned to normal by the next evaluation 6 months later.

In both studies, placebo-adjusted changes in BP for patients taking bremelanotide were consistent with ambulatory BP readings from the phase 2 studies, with a mean increase of approximately 3 mm Hg for systolic BP and 2 mm Hg for diastolic BP. These mild transient changes were noted approximately 0–2 hours postbremelanotide dosing, returned to baseline within 8–10 hours, and were accompanied by similarly mild decreases in pulse rates, such that there were no mean increases in overall myocardial workload. No clinically significant effects on electrocardiograms, weight, or effect of alcohol consumption were observed.

Efficacy

Women taking bremelanotide had statistically significant increases in sexual desire and reductions in distress related to low sexual desire compared with placebo from baseline to the end of the core phase (both P<.001 for integrated studies). Bremelanotide treatment was associated with sustained improvements in low sexual desire (FSFI-D scores25) throughout the 52-week open-label extension (Fig. 2A). In study 301, the change in FSFI-D from baseline to the end of the open-label extension was 0.77 (95% CI 0.54, 1.00) for the placebo→bremelanotide group and 1.30 (95% CI 0.98, 1.62) for the bremelanotide→bremelanotide group. In study 302, the change in FSFI-D from baseline to the end of the open-label extension was 0.70 (95% CI 0.42, 0.98) for the placebo→bremelanotide group and 1.25 (95% CI 0.93, 1.56) for the bremelanotide→bremelanotide group. All changes were greater than the minimal clinically important difference of +0.6. Despite slightly greater increases in desire in the bremelanotide→bremelanotide groups compared with the placebo→bremelanotide groups, after 1 month of bremelanotide treatment, patients in the placebo→bremelanotide groups demonstrated sustained increases in FSFI-D scores that were similar to changes seen in the bremelanotide groups during the core phase.

Bremelanotide was also associated with continued reductions in related distress (FSDS-DAO item 13 scores) throughout the 52-week open-label extension (Fig. 2B). Among the placebo→bremelanotide groups, change in FSDS-DAO item 13 from baseline to end of the open-label extension was −0.9 (95% CI −1.15, −0.71) and −0.9 (95% CI −1.10, −0.61) for studies 301 and 302, respectively. The bremelanotide→bremelanotide groups reported changes in FSDS-DAO item 13 from baseline to end of the open-label extension of −1.7 (95% CI −2.02, −1.32) and −1.4 (95% CI −1.77, −1.10) for studies 301 and 302, respectively. Although there were slightly greater reductions in related distress in the bremelanotide→bremelanotide groups compared with the placebo→bremelanotide groups, patients in the placebo→bremelanotide groups demonstrated a reduction in the item 13 score after 1 month that was similar to that reported in the bremelanotide groups during the core phase. Of note, continued improvement in low sexual desire during the open-label extension was coupled with even greater reductions in related distress over time.

According to the responses to General Assessment Questionnaire question 3, which served as a dynamic anchor for the coprimary endpoints of
the core phase, participants felt that they had benefited from use of the study drug (Fig. 2C).

DISCUSSION
The safety and efficacy of bremelanotide, an approved treatment self-administered subcutaneously using an autoinjector on an as-needed basis, was demonstrated previously in a large 12-week, phase 2b trial in premenopausal women with hypoactive sexual desire disorder, female sexual arousal disorder, or both disorders, and more recently in two large, identically designed, phase 3, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, 24-week trials in premenopausal women with hypoactive sexual desire disorder.16,23 Both phase 3 studies met their prespecified coprimary endpoints, demonstrating statistically significant and clinically meaningful...
increases in sexual desire and decreases in related distress for participants treated with bremelanotide compared with placebo during the core phase. In the phase 3 RECONNECT trials, the effect size of as-needed bremelanotide alone for improving sexual desire ranged from 0.49 to 0.61, and from 0.60 to 0.62 in reducing related distress. Relative to placebo, these values were 0.29–0.43 and 0.26–0.32, respectively. To provide context for the effect sizes observed with bremelanotide, the effect size of once-daily flibanserin relative to placebo was reported to range from 0.29 to 0.44 in improving sexual desire, and from 0.24 to 0.44 in reducing related distress across three phase 3 studies.

Participants who received bremelanotide in the core phase showed continued improvements in desire and related distress during the open-label extension. Likewise, those who received placebo in the core phase had treatment benefits that mirrored bremelanotide-treated patients once they began treatment with bremelanotide during the open-label extension. Interestingly, the continued improvement in low sexual desire during the open-label extension was coupled with even greater reductions in related distress over time, suggesting that reductions in psychological distress, not surprisingly, lag behind improvements in desire.

The open-label extension demonstrates the long-term safety of bremelanotide in women with hypoactive sexual desire disorder who received the drug for up to 76 weeks (when including those treated with bremelanotide during the core study phase and open-label extension). Most adverse events were mild or moderate in severity and transient in nature. Nausea, flushing, and headache were the most common treatment-emergent adverse events considered to be related to study drug in both studies, and the only severe treatment-emergent adverse event considered study drug-related and experienced by more than one participant in both studies was nausea. One patient was diagnosed with acute hepatitis that resolved without further complications. Although this was regarded as possibly related to study drug, no indications of hepatitis related to bremelanotide have been reported previously. There were no other new safety signals or findings in patients who received treatment throughout the study for up to 76 weeks compared with those who only received bremelanotide during the 24-week double-blind period of the core phase.

The effects of bremelanotide on BP have been characterized in several clinical studies, which consistently revealed small, transient BP increases that peak within 4 hours postdose and return toward baseline by approximately 8–10 hours. These small changes in BP were accompanied by corresponding reductions in heart rate, resulting in lower or neutral heart rate-BP product values. Based on these studies, there is no evidence of any cumulative or sustained effects on BP related to bremelanotide. Because the product is used on a limited, as-needed basis, no long-term adverse cardiovascular effects would be anticipated.

The most notable limitation of this study is that patients had to complete the double-blind portion of the trial before entry to the open-label extension. This introduced selection bias as those who discontinued bremelanotide or declined to enroll in the open-label portion of the study were not included. Fewer bremelanotide-treated patients completed the core phase and elected to continue in the open-label extension compared with placebo-treated patients. Women who had an initial satisfactory clinical response might have more readily elected participation in the open-label extension. Conversely, nonresponders are likely underrepresented in the open-label extension, which may result in an overestimation of the robust efficacy results. Additionally, only about 40% of patients who enrolled in the open-label extension completed the 52-week treatment period. As the major reason for study discontinuation was adverse events (21.2%), it is likely that the incidence of adverse events is higher in the “real world” compared with the population who participated in the open-label extension. The second most common reason for discontinuing the study was withdrawal of consent (18.3%), which is consistent with study discontinuation rates seen in other studies of similar duration. Overall, the results herein may be biased toward participation by women who had fewer adverse reactions and better clinical responses compared with those of the initial cohort. Finally, as hypoactive sexual desire disorder is a disorder of biopsychosocial etiology, treatment modalities such as psychotherapy may also be beneficial for patients in addition to pharmacologic interventions.

The results from the open-label extension confirm and highlight the robust data from the double-blind core phase and provide additional support for use of bremelanotide in the treatment of hypoactive sexual desire disorder in premenopausal women. Treatment benefits were maintained for participants who received bremelanotide during the core phase and were rapidly observed for participants who received placebo during the core phase once they began bremelanotide in the open-label extension. There were no new safety signals in patients who received long-term treatment with bremelanotide for a period of up to 76 weeks in the core and open-label extension phases of the study. Although potential selection biases may have affected the results of this study,
based on the totality of data from this open-label extension of the RECONNECT studies, as-needed treatment with bremelanotide for up to 76 weeks demonstrated an acceptable safety profile accompanied by treatment benefits that support long-term use.
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