Endoscopic mucosal resection of a bile duct polyp: A case report
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Abstract

BACKGROUND
Bile duct polyps are difficult to diagnose and are usually excised by open abdominal surgery or snare polypectomy using choledochoscopy via the T-tube sinus tract. However, these two resection methods require the surgeon to open the abdomen and cut the bile duct to place the “T” tube. Moreover, simple snare polypectomy, without submucosal injection, can only remove pedunculated polyps and not flat polyps. Therefore, a new method is required for the excision of bile duct polyps, including flat polyps.

CASE SUMMARY
A 63-year-old woman was hospitalized following epigastric pain lasting a month. She had a 30-year history of cholelithiasis and had undergone cholecystectomy because of cholecystolithiasis, and had undergone cholangiolithotomy twice due to choledocholithiasis. Computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging showed a communication between the bile duct and duodenal bulb. Inside the communication, CT showed a high-density shadow which was a hypointense lesion in T2 weighted image. The lesion showed no enhancement in T1 weighted image contrast enhanced. Gastroscopy revealed an incarcerated bile duct stone in the anterior wall of the duodenal bulb, which was removed with a basket under gastroscopy. Thereafter, a choledochoduodenal fistula was revealed. Finally, a flat polyp was detected in the lower part of the common bile duct and was removed by endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) through the fistula. To our knowledge, this is the first reported case of the removal of a bile duct polyp using EMR.

CONCLUSION
EMR is a safe, effective, and low-cost method for the resection of all bile duct polyps.
INTRODUCTION

Bile duct polyps are difficult to diagnose by B-mode ultrasonography, computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI); however, bile duct polyps can sometimes be detected during surgery of the biliary tract[1-3]. However, the definitive diagnosis of bile duct polyps requires cholangioscopy or use of the SpyGlass system[2,4-6]. Traditional open abdominal surgery and snare polypectomy by choledochoscopy via the T-tube sinus tract can be used to resect bile duct polyps[2,7]. However, these two methods require the surgeon to open the abdomen and cut the bile duct to place the “T” tube; consequently, these techniques are associated with several limitations, including increased trauma, pain, and cost[8]. Moreover, traditional snare polypectomy, without submucosal injection, can remove only pedunculated polyps of the bile duct, rather than flat polyps[9-11]. Consequently, there is an urgent need to develop a new technique for the removal of bile duct polyps, including flat polyps.

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints and history of illness

A 63-year-old woman was admitted into our hospital due to epigastric pain lasting one month. The pain radiated to the back and worsened after meals, but the patient did not present with fever, vomiting, or jaundice. In 1989, the patient was diagnosed with cholecystolithiasis following upper abdominal pain and underwent cholecystectomy. The patient was diagnosed with choledocholithiasis in 1991 and 2017, and underwent cholangiolithotomy twice.

Physical examination

Physical examination revealed multiple surgical scars and mild tenderness in the right upper abdomen, with no signs of rebound tenderness.

Laboratory examinations

Routine blood tests, liver function tests, blood amylase, and tumor markers were normal.

Imaging examination

A CT report from the other hospital that the patient previously visited described a communication between the bile duct and duodenal bulb, as well as a high-density shadow inside the communication. On MRI, axial T2 weighted image (Figure 1A) showed dilatation of the bile duct, as well as a fistula connecting the bile duct and duodenal bulb. Inside the fistula, there was a hypointense lesion. Axial T1 weighted image contrast enhanced (Figure 1B) showed no enhancement of the lesion.

Gastroscopic examination

Gastroscopy revealed the presence of a bile duct stone incarcerated in the anterior wall of the duodenal bulb (Figure 2A). After the stone was removed with an MWB-2 X4 Memory Basket (Wilson-Cook Medical Inc., Winston-Salem, North Carolina,
Magnetic resonance imaging. A: Axial T2 weighted image showing a fistula connecting the bile duct (white arrow) and the duodenal bulb (blue arrow) which had a gas-liquid plane inside. Inside the fistula, there was a hypointense lesion (yellow arrow); B: Axial T1 weighted image contrast enhanced showing no enhancement of the bile duct (white arrow), duodenal bulb (blue arrow), or lesion (yellow arrow).

In the United States, we observed a fistula with a proximal diameter of 1.2 cm (Figure 2B). The bile duct in the hilar area was observed when the gastroscope was inserted upwards through the fistula (Figure 3A), and the terminal part of the common bile duct was observed when the gastroscope was inserted downwards (Figure 3B). A 0.4-cm flat protruded lesion was seen in the lower portion of the common bile duct. The surface of the lesion appeared red under white light (Figure 4A) and brown under narrow band imaging (Figure 4B). The descending duodenum and duodenal papilla were normal. Pneumatosis and dilatation of the intrahepatic and extrahepatic bile ducts were noted in the intraoperative plain abdominal radiography. Contrast agent was injected via the gastroscope into the bile duct through the fistula, but the observation was severely interfered due to pneumatosis.

**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**

Finally, the patient was diagnosed with choledocholithiasis, a choledochoduodenal fistula (CDF), and a common bile duct polyp.

**TREATMENT**

The incarcerated stone in the duodenal bulb was removed using the Memory Basket under gastroscopy. Thereafter, the gastroscope was inserted into the lower part of the common bile duct through the fistula. An Olympus NM-2001-0425 endoscopic injection needle (Olympus Medical Systems Corp., Hachioji-shi, Tokyo, Japan) was used to inject 0.9% normal saline containing epinephrine at a concentration of 1/100000 into the submucosa next to the polyp. Elevation of the lesion (the positive lifting sign) was observed upon submucosal injection (Figure 5A, Video). The lesion was encircled and removed using the OLYMPUS SD-210U-25 Electrosurgical Snare (Olympus Medical Systems Corp., Hachioji-shi, Tokyo, Japan) (Figure 5B and Figure 5C, Video). Finally, the tissue sample was sent for pathological examination; subsequent pathological diagnosis confirmed that it was an inflammatory fibroid polyp (Figure 6).

**OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**

The patient experienced moderate postoperative abdominal pain, which disappeared 48 h later. No complications, including bleeding, perforation, and pancreatitis, were observed during the hospitalization. The patient was followed for 12 wk without discomfort or complications.

**DISCUSSION**

Among many causes of CDF, the most common causes are choleolithiasis, duodenal ulcer, and tumors. In this case, the patient had a 28-year history of...
cholangiolithiasis, but showed no duodenal ulcer or gastrointestinal tumors. Therefore, the CDF in this patient was likely caused by the compression of stones on the bile duct wall and duodenal wall. This long-term compression could lead to necrosis and perforation of both bile duct wall and duodenal wall, resulting in CDF\cite{12,13}. Epigastric pain, fever, and jaundice are common symptoms of CDF, depending on its location, whereas vomiting and diarrhea are relatively rare symptoms\cite{12,13}. Pneumatosis of the bile duct on plain abdominal films and regurgitation of contrast on the upper gastrointestinal series can be observed in some patients\cite{13-15}. In addition, fistulas can be found by CT and MRI in some cases. The fistulous orifice can be observed directly by gastroscopy and the CDF can be further confirmed by cholangiography. Notably, CDF patients with no symptoms or mild symptoms do not require treatment, whereas surgery is needed for those who experience repeated pain, fever, and jaundice\cite{12,16}.

Due to the low incidence rate and difficulty in diagnosis, bile duct polyps are rarely reported\cite{2,7,17-19}. The precise cause of bile duct polyps has yet to be elucidated, although it is generally believed that long-term stimulation by cholangiolithiasis and chronic cholangitis is associated with the formation of bile duct polyps\cite{20}. In this case, the patient had a 30-year history of cholelithiasis. Because most bile duct polyps exhibit neoplastic changes and can be cancerous, the early detection and resection of bile duct polyps can reduce the incidence of cholangiocarcinoma\cite{21,22}.

Bile duct polyps are often asymptomatic\cite{2}. Bile duct polyps can lead to cholangiolithiasis, which can cause the bile duct to become obstructed; larger bile duct polyps can directly obstruct the bile duct\cite{7,22}. Bile duct obstruction presents with specific symptoms, such as abdominal pain, fever, and jaundice\cite{7}. However, B-mode ultrasound, CT, and MRI are limited in their ability to diagnose bile duct polyps, which are difficult to distinguish from cholangiocarcinomas\cite{4}. Yet, bile duct polyps are often found during biliary surgeries, via choledochoscopy or the SpyGlass system\cite{2-7}.

The resection of bile duct polyps can be carried out by open abdominal surgery or snare polypectomy by choledochoscopy via the T-tube sinus tract\cite{2,7}. However, these two methods require the surgeon to open the abdomen, make a cut in the bile duct, and finally place the “T” tube to establish the bile duct sinus tract. This can cause severe trauma and significant pain, and is associated with slow recovery, significant costs, and many other complications\cite{22}. Moreover, simple snare polypectomy by choledochoscopy, without submucosal injection, can only resect pedunculated polyps, not flat polyps\cite{9-11}. Endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) was initially used for the excision of flat polyps, benign tumors, and early malignant tumors in the stomach and intestine\cite{23}. However, resection of a proliferative lesion in the bile duct using EMR has not been attempted previously. In this case, we successfully resected the bile duct flat polyp through a CDF by gastroscopy with EMR. The patient recovered rapidly and had no postoperative complications. This indicated that this method is safe and effective, and has the advantages of limited trauma, reduced levels of pain, rapid recovery, and low cost. Moreover, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first ever reported case of a bile duct polyp being resected using EMR.

In future, with further miniaturization of the endoscopic needle and snare, we may also be able to use EMR in conjunction with the SpyGlass system to resect flat polyps in the bile duct, benign tumors of the bile duct, and early malignant tumors, thus opening up an exciting new avenue for the resection of proliferative lesions in the bile duct.
Figure 3  Gastroscopic images of the bile duct. A: The image of hilar bile duct showing the left hepatic duct (white arrow), right anterior hepatic duct (yellow Arrow), and right posterior hepatic duct (black arrow); B: The terminal part (black arrow) of the common bile duct.

CONCLUSION

We successfully resected a flat polyp of the bile duct through a CDF by EMR under gastroscopy without complications. This is the first ever reported case of a bile duct polyp being resected using EMR. Collectively, our experiences with our patient show that this treatment strategy is safe and effective for the resection of bile duct polyps and offers many advantages over existing techniques, such as reduced levels of trauma and pain, rapid recovery, and reduced costs.
Figure 4  Gastroscopic images of the protruding lesion in the common bile duct. A: The lesion under white light (black arrow); B: The lesion under narrow band imaging (yellow arrow).

Figure 5  Endoscopic mucosal resection of the lesion in the common bile duct. A: The submucosal injection; B: The snare polypectomy of the polyp; C: Image collected after snare polypectomy.

Figure 6  Histopathology. Histological section showing an inflammatory fibroid polyp (hematoxylin and eosin staining; magnification, 200×).
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