Do Placebo Response Rates from Cessation Trials Inform on Strength of Addictions?
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Abstract: There is an implied assumption that addictions to different substances vary in strength from weak (easier to stop) to strong (harder to stop), though explicit definitions are lacking. Our hypothesis is that the strength of addictions can be measured by cessation rates found with placebo or no treatment controls, and that a weaker addiction would have a higher cessation rate than a stronger addiction. We report an overview of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of cessation trials, using randomised or quasi-randomised trials and reporting objectively-measured abstinence. The outcome for comparison was quit rates—typically the percentage of participants abstinent according to an objective test of abstinence at six months or longer. Twenty-eight cessation reviews (139,000 participants) were found. Most data came from reviews of smoking cessation in over 127,000 participants, and other reviews each covered a few thousand participants. Few reviews used data from studies shorter than three months, and almost all determined abstinence using objective measures. Cessation rates with placebo in randomised trials using objective measures of abstinence and typically over six months duration were 8% for nicotine, 18% for alcohol, 47% for cocaine, and 44% for opioids. Evidence from placebo cessation rates indicates that nicotine is more difficult to give up than alcohol, cocaine, and opioids. Tobacco is also a severe addiction, with a number of major deleterious health effects in a large number of people.
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1. Introduction

A recent review considering the definition of addiction highlighted five essential elements, which mainly addressed issues of the individuals and their behaviour and interaction with addictive stimuli [1]. Addictive interactions also involve the addictive stimulus itself, and the interactions are often described as strong or severe, without clear explanation of what strong or severe means. Here we hypothesis that it may be possible to assess the strength of an addiction in terms of how hard it is to break, and the severity of an addiction in terms of adverse physical, psychological and social effects, the duration of the addiction, and the number of people affected. It should be emphasised that a dictionary definition of hypothesis is “a supposition or proposed explanation made on the basis of limited evidence as a starting point for further investigation”; that is exactly the case here, where there is no significant prior evidence, merely an assumption that this might be reasonable.

There is an implied assumption that addictions to different substances vary in strength from weak (easier to stop) to strong (harder to stop), though explicit definitions are lacking. Using the data of the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Condition, Lopez-Quintero et al. have shown that the probability of a transition from first use to dependence was greater with nicotine followed by alcohol, cocaine, and cannabis [1], and that after the onset of dependence, remission appeared usually first for cocaine, followed by cannabis, alcohol, and finally nicotine [2]. Another way to explore the strength of addictions is to measure cessation rates found with placebo or no treatment controls; a weaker addiction would have a higher cessation rate than a stronger addiction.

Comparing different forms of addiction in order to categorise the strength of addiction is not easy for reasons concerning participants, setting, and details of study design:

1. Motivation to quit and the anticipated benefit are predictors of substance cessation [3], and treatment intensity affects outcome, as shown in smoking cessation meta-analysis [4]. These can be linked and differ markedly between addictions. Alcohol dependent subjects entering treatment with current social and psychological distress that improves dramatically in days or weeks of inpatient treatment are quite different from smokers with no apparent immediate benefit trying to quit alone and at home.

2. Individual circumstances differ. An example might be individuals recruited in primary care for a short intervention for smoking cessation, compared to those in hospital with a recent diagnosis of smoking-related disease [5]. Recent smoking cessation trials have shown quit rates to be better after admission for coronary heart disease [6,7].

3. Types and intensity of intervention may be an influence, divided mainly between psychosocial interventions (cognitive behavioural, support psychotherapy) and drugs of various sorts.

4. Cessation can be defined in a number of ways. Best is to have some objective test, like breath carbon monoxide or urine cotinine for nicotine, benzoylecgonine or opioid metabolites for illicit drugs, and biochemical tests for alcohol. Self-reported abstinence is likely to be less reliable, unless confirmed by a significant other.
5. Duration of observation is likely to be an issue. Many smokers have given up for a day; few
give up for a month, and only a small percentage for a year or more. Comparing studies of
different duration may be problematical.

6. Issues around size. Many studies in addiction are quite small, with a few tens of participants. We
know that small studies are problematic, and that the random play of chance can have a major
influence on results [8] such that results with fewer than 200 actual events may mislead [9].

The best source of information will be from published systematic reviews and meta-analyses of
randomised trials of interventions to improve quit rates with information after defined periods (six months,
12 months, longest), and in which placebo or no-treatment controls are a common comparator.

2. Methods

Systematic reviews and meta-analyses were sought of interventions to increase quit rates. Two
main searching strategies were employed:

1. Searching of Cochrane Library for Cochrane reviews, using key words tobacco, nicotine,
alcohol, drinking, cocaine, heroin, opioids, and by examining lists of reviews from the
appropriate review groups.
2. Searching PubMed, using similar key words in title or abstract, in humans, and in English.
Related article links were also used.

Only systematic reviews or meta-analyses were used. Where several examined the same subject, the
most recent and largest was chosen. Analyses of predominantly short-term outcomes were excluded
because these may not provide a reliable measure of longer-term abstinence. In general, articles with
cessation rates after at least six months were chosen; some included a few studies with shorter duration
(three months, for example), but the proportion of short duration studies was small. Those using
randomised or quasi-randomised trials were preferred; in some conditions where there was limited
evidence from randomised trials, some controlled trials that were not convincingly randomised had
been included in the reviews, but the amount of information from this source was a small minority of
the total available. These few reviews were included to provide information where otherwise none
would have been available; this was mostly in interventions for cocaine abuse, where the number of
subjects for any review was small. For example, non-randomised studies contributed fewer than 1% of
patients in reviews of smoking cessation.

The outcome for cessation trials was quit rates—typically the percentage of participants abstinent
according to an objective test of abstinence at six months or longer. Results from each review are
presented as absolute quit rates with treatment and placebo, together with a relative benefit or risk, and
number needed to treat (NNT). We abstracted cessation rates for individual trials included in the
reviews, and calculated overall cessation rates for intervention and placebo. For completeness, and to
demonstrate that studies measuring placebo responses were sensitive in that interventions caused
change in response, we also calculated relative risk with 95% confidence interval (CI) using the fixed
effects models [10]; statistical significance was assumed when the 95% CI did not include one.
Numbers needed to treat (NNT) compared with placebo with 95% confidence interval were calculated
from pooled data [11] only with a statistically significant results.
3. Results

Table 1 contains details of and references to 12 systematic reviews for tobacco cessation studies, three for alcohol, seven for cocaine, and five for opioids. Another meta-analysis of psychosocial interventions reported results for polysubstance use, cocaine, opioids, and cannabis [12]. In total, the 28 reviews reported on almost 139,000 participants.

3.1. Nicotine

Information was available from 12 systematic reviews [13-24], 11 of which were Cochrane reviews, covering drug interventions and interventions including behavioural counselling, group therapy, and exercise programmes, as well as nurse or doctor interventions. Data were available on over 127,000 participants with cessation rates at six months or longer, with the bulk from reviews of nicotine replacement therapy (NRT), physical intervention, self-help, nursing interventions and bupropion. Most reviews used only properly randomised trials (one used controlled trials), used objective means to assess abstinence, and used either placebo or, in the case of behavioural interventions, used an appropriate control like a minimal intervention or brief advice.

Table 2 shows the main results in terms of percentage of abstinent participants with intervention and placebo or control. There was a consistent response for placebo, of between 3% and 14%, with an overall average cessation rate of 8.4% in 57,867 participants on placebo. There appeared to be a lower cessation rate of 6.7% in 30,837 participants with placebo or minimal interventions in behavioural interventions, compared with 10.3% in 27,640 participants for placebo in drug interventions. This may reflect differences in populations studied or differences in methods, like blinding differences. Most interventions were effective to some extent, with NNTs varying between 7 (95% CI 6 to 10) for varenicline to 65 (45 to 110) for self-help.

The very large numbers of participants in nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) studies [22] allowed investigation of a number of variables that might affect the results obtained with placebo. The first was size.

Figure 1 demonstrates a consistent cessation rate with placebo below 20%, and predominantly below 10% with group sizes of 300 participants and above. It also shows very variable cessation rates of a few percent to almost 50% where group size was 100 or below. Figure 2 shows the cessation rates with placebo or control in nicotine, alcohol, cocaine and opiates.

Table 3 examines other possible influences. Neither type of NRT product (gum, patch, inhaler, lozenge, or spray) nor duration of follow up beyond six months made any difference to placebo cessation rates. Participants from smoking clinics, and those with a high level of support in a group setting achieved somewhat higher rates of cessation, though below 20%. Twelve month studies were less effective (NNT 21; 95% CI 18 to 25) than sixth month studies (NNT 9.4; 7.9 to 12).

This very large body of data, from many different interventions conducted in a variety of settings, shows that with placebo or no treatment after six months or longer the overall quit rate for smoking cessation is low, at about 10%. The information allows the conclusion that small studies can give highly variable and misleading results, especially where the group size is below 200 participants.
### Table 1. Details of included reviews.

| Reference          | Interventions                                                                 | Study characteristics                                                                 | Duration       | Outcome                                                                 | Abstinence with | Comments |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Cahill and Ussher  | Rimonabant (cannabinoid receptor antagonists) and placebo for tobacco cessation| RCTs in adult smokers Lost to follow up regarded as continuing smokers Prolonged abstinence defined biochemically at each study visit | At least 6 months | Smoking status at minimum of 1 year                                    | Prolonged abstinence | RR 1.5 (1.1 to 2.1) for 20 mg |
| 2007 [13]          |                                                                              |                                                                                         |                | Prolonged abstinence week R 20 mg week 50: 87/528 (16.4%)              | Prolonged abstinence week 50: 57/521 (11%)                |          |
|                    |                                                                              |                                                                                         |                | Prolonged abstinence week R 5 mg week 50: 63/518 (11%)                | Prolonged abstinence week |          |
| Gourlay et al.     | Clonidine and placebo for tobacco cessation                                  | RCTs in adult smokers Control (placebo) usually involved some form of behavioural therapy. | 4.5 months to 1 year | Smoking status by a variety of methods, including self report         | Smoking cessation at longest time: 98/393 (25%) | RR 1.6 (1.2 to 2.2) |
| 2004 [14]          |                                                                              |                                                                                         |                | Smoking cessation at longest time: 55/383 (14.4%)                    |                  |          |
| Hughes et al.      | Antidepressants and placebo for smoking cessation                            | RCTs in adult smokers Control (placebo) sometimes used behavioural therapy or similar interventions | At least 6 months from start of intervention | Abstinence from smoking, assessed at follow up by various means | Nortriptyline Smoking cessation at 6 months or longer: 100/480 (20.8%) | RR 2.0 (1.5 to 2.8) |
| 2007 [16]          |                                                                              |                                                                                         |                | Placebo Smoking cessation at 6 months or longer: 49/495 (9.9%)       |                  |          |
|                    |                                                                              |                                                                                         |                | Bupropion Smoking cessation at 6 months or longer: 1,056/5,557 (19%)  | Bupropion Smoking cessation at 6 months or longer: 417/4383 (9.5%) | RR 1.8 (1.6 to 1.9) (data here for clinical setting, 6 and 12 months follow up, type of patient) |
Table 1. Cont.

| Reference            | Interventions                                                                 | Study characteristics                                                                 | Duration                      | Outcome                                                                                          | Abstinence with | Comments                      |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| **Cahill et al.**    | Nicotine receptor partial agonists and placebo for smoking cessation         | RCTs in adult smokers Lost to follow up regarded as continuing smokers Control (placebo) usually involved some form of behavioural therapy. | Minimum follow up of at least 6 months | Abstinence from smoking, assessed at follow up by various means | Varenclines 2 mg  
Smoking cessation at 6 months or longer: 232/1,082 (21.4%)  
Placebo  
Smoking cessation at 6 months or longer: 75/941 (8.0%) | RR 2.7  
(2.1 to 3.5) |                                |
| **Stead et al.**     | Nicotine replacement therapy and placebo for smoking cessation               | RCTs in adult smokers Lost to follow up regarded as continuing smokers Control (placebo) usually involved some form of behavioural therapy. | Minimum follow up of at least 6 months | Abstinence from smoking, assessed at follow up by various means | All NRT/doses  
Smoking cessation at 6 months or longer: 3,822/22,711 (16.8%)  
All NRT/doses  
Smoking cessation at 6 months or longer: 2,115/20,307 (10.4%) | RR 1.6  
(1.5 to 1.7) | Placebo results virtually identical for all modes of delivery of NRT |
| **Lancaster and Stead** | Individual behavioural counseling                                               | Not all were properly randomised trials (but these were a minority of the trials included, most of which were properly randomised), and with versus no treatment, brief advice or self-help materials as the control | Minimum follow up of at least 6 months | Abstinence from smoking, assessed at follow up by various means | Behavioural therapy  
Smoking cessation at 6 months or longer: 291/2,513 (11.6%)  
Control  
Smoking cessation at 6 months or longer: 195/2,515 (7.8%) | RR 1.5  
(1.3 to 1.8) |                                |
Table 1. Cont.

| Reference                  | Interventions                                      | Study characteristics | Duration | Outcome                      | Abstinence with | Comments       |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Ussher 2005 [23]           | Supervised or unsupervised exercise programmes    | RCTs                  | Minimum 6 months | Abstinence from smoking      | Exercise        | RR 1.2 (0.9 to 1.5) |
|                            |                                                    |                       |           |                              | Smoking cessation at 6 months or longer: 113/635 (18%) |                |                |
|                            |                                                    |                       |           |                              | Control         |                |
|                            |                                                    |                       |           |                              | Smoking cessation at 6 months or longer: 83/610 (14%) |                |                |
| Stead and Lancaster 2005 [21] | Group therapy versus individual self help           | RCTs                  | Minimum 6 months | Abstinence from smoking by measurement | Group           | RR 1.9 (1.5 to 2.3) |
|                            |                                                    |                       |           |                              | Smoking cessation at 6 months or longer: 249/2,388 (10%) |                |                |
|                            |                                                    |                       |           |                              | Control         |                |
|                            |                                                    |                       |           |                              | Smoking cessation at 6 months or longer: 116/2,007 (5.8) |                |                |
| Rice and Stead 2008 [19]   | Nursing intervention                               | RCTs                  | Minimum 6 months | Abstinence from smoking by measurement | Nursing         |                |
|                            |                                                    |                       |           |                              | Smoking cessation at 6 months or longer: 1,154/8,383 (14%) |                |                |
|                            |                                                    |                       |           |                              | Control         |                |
|                            |                                                    |                       |           |                              | Smoking cessation at 6 months or longer: 761/6,822 (11%) |                |                |
| Stead et al. 2008 [20]     | Physician intervention                             | RCTs                  | Minimum 6 months | Abstinence from smoking by measurement | Physician       |                |
|                            |                                                    |                       |           |                              | Smoking cessation at 6 months or longer: 1,029/12,584 (8.2%) |                |                |
|                            |                                                    |                       |           |                              | Control         |                |
|                            |                                                    |                       |           |                              | Smoking cessation at 6 months or longer: 470/9,676 (4.9%) |                |                |
### Table 1. Cont.

#### 2. Alcohol cessation reviews

| Reference                  | Interventions                  | Study characteristics                                      | Duration                  | Outcome                                                                 | Abstinence with |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Srisurapanont and Jarusuraisin 2005 [25] | Opioid antagonists or placebo | RCTs only Participants with alcohol dependence established by any criteria | Various durations, up to 3 months, more than 3 months, longer than 12 months | Number not returned to any drinking, or heavy drinking | Abstinence with |
|                            |                                |                                                            |                           |                                                                          | Placebo         | Comments                                   |
|                            |                                |                                                            |                           |                                                                          | Short term:     | Results converted to quit rates            |
|                            |                                |                                                            |                           |                                                                          | Heavy drinking  | Very low quit rates in small study         |
|                            |                                |                                                            |                           |                                                                          | or relapse 300/415 (72%) | longer than 1 year                           |
|                            |                                |                                                            |                           |                                                                          | Any drinking 220/517 (43%) |                         |
|                            |                                |                                                            |                           |                                                                          | Any drinking 172/497 (35%) |                         |
|                            |                                |                                                            |                           |                                                                          | Medium term:    |                                |
|                            |                                |                                                            |                           |                                                                          | Heavy drinking or relapse 56/107 (52%) |                         |
|                            |                                |                                                            |                           |                                                                          | Any drinking 9/40 (23%) |                                |
| Srisurapanont and Jarusuraisin 2004 [25] | Acamprosate or placebo         | RCTs only Standard definition of alcoholism Usually with some form of psychosocial intervention | Duration 2 to 24 months | Abstinence rate 417/1,775 (24%) | Abstinence with |
|                            |                                |                                                            |                           |                                                                          | Placebo         | 1.6                                        |
|                            |                                |                                                            |                           |                                                                          | Short term:     | (1.4 to 1.9)                             |
|                            |                                |                                                            |                           |                                                                          | Heavy drinking or relapse 37/101 (37%) |                         |
|                            |                                |                                                            |                           |                                                                          | Any drinking 6/40 (15%) |                                |
| Bouza et al. 2004 [26]     | Naltrexone or placebo          | RCTs only Standard definition of alcoholism Usually with some form of psychosocial intervention | Duration 2 to 24 months | Abstinence rate 190/544 (35%) | Abstinence with |
|                            |                                |                                                            |                           |                                                                          | Placebo         | 1.2                                        |
|                            |                                |                                                            |                           |                                                                          | Short term:     | (0.98 to 1.4)                            |
|                            |                                |                                                            |                           |                                                                          | Heavy drinking or relapse 160/533 (30%) |                         |
3. Cocaine cessation reviews

| Reference            | Interventions               | Study characteristics                                      | Duration      | Outcome                                           | Abstinence with | Comments                  |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| Minozzi et al.       | Anticonvulsants and placebo | Randomised trials and controlled trials                    | Mean duration | Non-use of cocaine (self report or measurement)  | 126/270 (47%)   | RR 1.1 (0.9 to 1.3)       |
| 2008 [27]            |                             | Cocaine dependent patients (DSM classification)            | 11 weeks (range 1–24 weeks) |                                                  | 102/198 (52%)   |                           |
| Lima Reisser et al.  | Carbamazepine and placebo   | Randomised trials                                           | 1–6 months    | Maintained in the programme—did not drop out     | 60/152 (39.5%)  |                           |
| 2002 [28]            |                             | Cocaine dependent patients (DSM classification)            |               |                                                  | 51/161 (31.7%)  |                           |
| Silva de Lima et al. | Antidepressants and placebo | Randomised trials and controlled trials                    | 1–6 months    | Non-use of cocaine (measurement)                 | 59/136 (43.3%)  |                           |
| 2003 [29]            |                             | Cocaine dependent patients (DSM classification)            |               |                                                  | 65/130 (50%)    |                           |
| Soares et al.        | Dopamine agonists and placebo| Randomised trials                                           | 2–16 weeks+, | Non-use of cocaine (measurement)                 | 34/88 (38.6%)   |                           |
| 2003 [30]            |                             | Cocaine dependent patients (DSM classification)            | but mainly    |                                                  | 34/127 (26.8%)  |                           |
| Amato et al.         | Antipsychotics and placebo  | Randomised trials                                           | 6–24 weeks    | Maintained in the programme—did not drop out     | 62/106 (58%)    |                           |
| 2007 [31]            |                             | Cocaine dependent patients (DSM classification)            |               |                                                  | 46/102 (45%)    |                           |
Table 1. Cont.

| Reference       | Interventions                                      | Study characteristics                          | Duration | Outcome                                      | Abstinence with | Comments                                      |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                 |                                                   |                                               |          |                                              | Intervention    | Placebo                                       |
| Knapp et al.    | Cognitive behavioural therapy versus counseling  | Randomised trials                             | 4–6 months | Maintained in the programme—did not drop out | 157/289 (54%)   | 130/281 (46%)                                 |
| 2007 [32]       |                                                   | Cocaine dependent patients (DSM classification) | Adults   |                                              |                |                                               |
| Castells et al. | Mandizol, dexamphetamine, methylphenidate, modafinil, buproprion and placebo | Randomised trials                             | 1–6 months | Maintained in the programme—did not drop out | 177/344 (51%)   | 158/296 (53%)                                 |
| 2007 [33]       |                                                   | Cocaine dependent patients (DSM classification) | Adults   |                                              |                |                                               |

4. Opioids cessation reviews

| Reference       | Interventions                                      | Study characteristics                          | Duration | Outcome                                      | Abstinence with | Comments                                      |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                 |                                                   |                                               |          |                                              | Intervention    | Placebo                                       |
| Amato et al.    | Psychosocial and pharmacological treatments versus pharmacological treatments | RCTs                                           | Mostly of 6 months or more | Number opioid free at end of treatment | Psych + Pharm | Pharm only                                    |
| 2004 [34]       |                                                   |                                               |          |                                              | Opioid free at about 6 months: 37/89 (42%) | Opioid free at about 6 months: 24/95 (25%) |
| Farré et al.    | Methadone, buprenorphine, placebo                 | RCT                                            | Studies 13–40 weeks, mostly 6 months or more | Freedom from illicit drug use | Methadone: 481/1,004 (52%) | Placebo: 65/131 (50%)                        |
| 2002 [35]       |                                                   |                                               |          |                                              | Buprenorphine: 164/275 (40%) |                                               |
| Reference          | Interventions                          | Study characteristics | Duration                                      | Outcome                                      | Abstinence with | Comments               |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Mattick et al.     | Methadone maintenance versus tapering | RCTs                  | Various times, largely of the order of 6 months | Retained in treatment                       | Methadone      | Tapered Retained: 63/251 |
| 2003 [36]          |                                       |                       |                                               | Drug free urine                               | maintenance:    | (25%)                  |
|                    |                                       |                       |                                               | Retained 173/254 (68%)                        | Retained:       | DFU: 49/214            |
|                    |                                       |                       |                                               | (53%)                                        | 103/195 (23%)  |
| Mattick et al.     | Buprenorphine or placebo               | RCTs                  | Shortest 4 week, others 2 months or longer    | Retained in treatment opioid free            | Buprenorphine  | Placebo Opioid free:   |
| 2008 [37]          |                                       |                       |                                               |                                               | Opioid free:    | 202/476 (42%)          |
|                    |                                       |                       |                                               |                                               | 495/742 (67%)  |                        |
| Gowing et al.      | buprenorphine, clonidine, other active, but not placebo | RCTs or quasi randomised trials | Mostly short term                              | Number completing programme, presumably drug free, but that is not explicitly stated | Buprenorphine 317/506 (63%) |                        |
| 2006 [38]          |                                       |                       |                                               |                                               | Clonidine 155/378 (41%) |                        |
Table 2. Results of smoking cessation reviews, ordered by number of participants. The order is by numbers of participants in the reviews. Intervention is as described in each review, and details of the reviews is in Table 1.

| Intervention (trial six months or longer) | Numbers of patients | Percent abstinent with Active | Percent abstinent with Placebo | Relative benefit (95% CI) | NNT (95% CI) |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| Nicotine replacements therapy            | 43,108              | 17                            | 10                            | 1.6 (1.5 to 1.7)          | 16 (14 to 17) |
| Physician intervention                   | 22,260              | 8                             | 5                             | 1.8 (1.6 to 2.0)          | 30 (25 to 37) |
| Self help                               | 19,504              | 7                             | 5                             | 1.3 (1.2 to 1.5)          | 65 (45 to 110) |
| Nursing intervention                     | 15,205              | 14                            | 11                            | 1.4 (1.3 to 1.5)          | 38 (27 to 64) |
| Bupropion                               | 9,940               | 19                            | 10                            | 2.0 (1.8 to 2.2)          | 11 (9 to 12)  |
| Counselling                             | 5,028               | 12                            | 8                             | 1.5 (1.3 to 1.8)          | 26 (18 to 46) |
| Group therapy (versus self help)         | 4,395               | 10                            | 6                             | 1.9 (1.5 to 2.3)          | 22 (16 to 33) |
| Varenclene                              | 2,023               | 21                            | 8                             | 2.7 (2.1 to 3.4)          | 7 (6 to 10)   |
| Cut down to quit with NRT               | 1,833               | 7                             | 3                             | 2.0 (1.3 to 3.0)          | 30 (19 to 74) |
| Exercise                                | 1,245               | 18                            | 14                            | 1.2 (0.9 to 1.5)          | 24 (12 to 640) |
| Rimonabant                              | 1,049               | 17                            | 11                            | 1.5 (1.1 to 2.1)          | 18 (10 to 72) |
| Notriptyline                            | 975                 | 21                            | 10                            | 2.1 (1.5 to 2.9)          | 9 (6 to 16)   |
| Clonidine                               | 776                 | 25                            | 14                            | 1.7 (1.3 to 2.4)          | 9 (6 to 20)   |

Figure 1. Cessation (quit) rates with placebo in NRT studies according to number in placebo group (size of symbol proportional to number in placebo group, inset scale) (data from Stead et al., 2008 [22]).
Figure 2. Cessation rates with placebo across different addictions (number of participants).
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Table 3. Influence of variables in NRT trials on cessation rates with placebo. Intervention is as described in each review, and details of the reviews is in Table 1.

| Variable                           | Numbers of patients | Percent abstinent with NRT | Percent abstinent with Placebo | Relative benefit (95% CI) | NNT (95% CI)   |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| All trials six months or longer    | 43,108              | 17                          | 10                            | 1.6 (1.5 to 1.7)         | 16 (14 to 17)  |
| Duration of follow up              |                     |                              |                               |                          |                |
| Six months                         | 4,480               | 20                          | 9                             | 1.9 (1.6 to 2.2)         | 9.4 (7.9 to 12) |
| Twelve months                      | 24,520              | 15                          | 10                            | 1.5 (1.4 to 1.6)         | 21 (18 to 25)  |
| Trial setting                      |                     |                              |                               |                          |                |
| Community volunteers               | 18,823              | 20                          | 14                            | 1.5 (1.4 to 1.7)         | 17 (14 to 20)  |
| Smoking clinic                     | 1,283               | 30                          | 19                            | 1.6 (1.3 to 1.9)         | 9 (7 to 17)    |
| Primary care                       | 11,427              | 11                          | 7                             | 1.5 (1.3 to 1.7)         | 25 (20 to 34)  |
| Hospital recruitment               | 3,236               | 14                          | 10                            | 1.3 (1.04 to 1.6)        | 25 (16 to 62)  |
| Level and type of support          |                     |                              |                               |                          |                |
| Low level of support               | 12,348              | 13                          | 8                             | 1.6 (1.4 to 1.7)         | 20 (16 to 25)  |
| High level support for individual  | 16,907              | 15                          | 10                            | 1.5 (1.4 to 1.6)         | 21 (17 to 26)  |
| High level support for group       | 7,140               | 27                          | 18                            | 1.6 (1.4 to 1.7)         | 11 (9 to 14)   |
| Type of NRT                        |                     |                              |                               |                          |                |
| Gum                                | 19,120              | 18                          | 11                            | 1.4 (1.3 to 1.5)         | 15 (13 to 17)  |
| Patch                              | 18,175              | 16                          | 10                            | 1.7 (1.5 to 1.8)         | 17 (15 to 20)  |
| Inhaler                            | 986                 | 17                          | 9                             | 1.9 (1.3 to 2.6)         | 13 (8 to 28)   |
| Lozenge or tablet                  | 3,109               | 16                          | 8                             | 2.0 (1.6 to 2.5)         | 12 (10 to 17)  |
| Nasal spray                        | 887                 | 24                          | 12                            | 2.0 (1.5 to 2.7)         | 8 (6 to 14)    |

3.2. Alcohol

Information was available from two systematic reviews [25,26] with data on over 4,600 participants (predominantly acamprosate and naltrexone [26]) were used, with cessation rates between three and 12 or 24 months; one review of opioid antagonists had 208 participants in longer-term studies [25]. Both used only properly randomised trials, used various means to assess abstinence, and used placebo, usually with some form of psychosocial intervention.
Table 4 shows the main results in terms percentage of abstinent participants with intervention and placebo. The quit rate with placebo in the two larger reviews was 15% and 30%, with an overall average cessation rate of 18% in 2,082 participants on placebo. Only acamprosate had sufficient information to demonstrate effectiveness, with an NNT of 12 (95% CI 9 to 17).

### Table 4. Results of alcohol cessation reviews, ordered by number of participants. The order is by numbers of participants in the reviews. Intervention is as described in each review, and details of the reviews is in Table 1.

| Intervention            | Number of patients | Percent abstinent with | Relative benefit (95% CI) | NNT (95% CI) |
|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
|                         |                    | Active | Placebo |                           |              |
| Acamprosate             | 3,324              | 23     | 15      | 1.6 (1.4 to 1.8)           | 12 (9 to 17) |
| Naltrexone              | 1,077              | 35     | 30      | 1.2 (1.0 to 1.4)           | not calculated |
| Opioid antagonists      | 208                | 52     | 37      | 1.4 (1.0 to 2.0)           | 6 (3 to 43)  |

3.3. Cocaine

Information was available from seven systematic reviews [27-33], six of which were Cochrane reviews. Six reviews examined drug therapies, and one cognitive behavioural therapy. There was data on over 2,600 participants with cessation rates ranging between one and six months, though most comparisons were relatively small in size. All used only properly randomised trials in participants with defined cocaine addiction, used a mixture of non-use of cocaine by measurement or retention in a programme to assess abstinence, and used placebo or counselling. Studies using validated non-use by measurement or maintenance in programme appeared not to differ in cessation rates with placebo.

### Table 5. Results of cocaine cessation reviews, ordered by number of participants. The order is by numbers of participants in the reviews. Intervention is as described in each review, and details of the reviews is in Table 1.

| Intervention                  | Number of patients | Percent abstinent with | Relative benefit (95% CI) | NNT (95% CI) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
|                               |                    | Active | Placebo |                           |              |
| CNS stimulants                | 640                | 51     | 53      | 1.0 (0.8 to 1.1)           | not calculated |
| Cognitive behavioural therapy | 570                | 54     | 46      | 1.2 (1.0 to 1.4)           | not calculated |
| Anticonvulsants               | 468                | 47     | 52      | 0.9 (0.8 to 1.1)           | not calculated |
| Carbamazepine                 | 313                | 39     | 32      | 1.3 (0.9 to 1.7)           | not calculated |
| Desipramine                   | 266                | 43     | 50      | 0.9 (0.7 to 1.1)           | not calculated |
| Amantadine                    | 215                | 39     | 27      | 1.4 (1.0 to 2.1)           | not calculated |
| Antipsychotics                | 208                | 58     | 45      | 1.3 (1.0 to 1.7)           | not calculated |

Table 5 shows the main results in terms percentage of abstinent participants with intervention and placebo, and the relative benefit. The quit rate with placebo varied between 27% and 53%, with an overall average cessation rate of 47% in 1,134 participants on placebo (not double counting carbamazepine results with those of anticonvulsants). A review of psychosocial interventions had a
placebo quit rate of 21% in a small number of small trials [12]. No intervention was significantly better than placebo.

3.4. Opioids

Information was available from five systematic reviews [34-38], four of which were Cochrane reviews. Three reviews included data of six months or longer, and two [37,38] of one to six months. There were a variety of interventions, including psychosocial and pharmacological, mainly methadone or buprenorphine. The reviews mainly used only properly randomised trials in participants with defined opioid addiction, used a mixture of non-use of opioid by measurement or retention in a programme to assess abstinence, and used placebo, or, in one review, maintenance methadone versus tapered withdrawal. There was information on over 2,300 participants with cessation rates over various times.

Table 6 shows the main results in terms percentage of abstinent participants with intervention and placebo. For methadone, results are taken from a review [35] reporting true placebo and not contaminated with tapering methadone dose. For psychosocial plus pharmacological interventions, the placebo response included pharmacological interventions and may not be a true placebo, and some of the buprenorphine trials used clonidine as a control. The quit rate with placebo varied between 25% and 50%, with an overall average cessation rate of 43% in 590 participants on true placebo in methadone and buprenorphine trials. A review of psychosocial interventions had a placebo quit rate of 24% in a small number of small trials [12]. Only buprenorphine showed any efficacy in a sensible number of patients, with an NNT of 4.6 (95% CI 3.6 to 6.6).

Table 6. Results of opioid cessation reviews, ordered by number of participants. The order is by numbers of participants in the reviews. Intervention is as described in each review, and details of the reviews is in Table 1.

| Intervention                        | Number of patients | Percent abstinent with | Relative benefit (95% CI) | NNT (95% CI) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
|                                     |                    | Active | Placebo |                |              |
| Methadone                           | 1,135              | 48     | 50      | 1.0 (0.8 to 1.7) | not calculated |
| Buprenorphine                       | 884                | 63     | 41      | 1.7 (1.5 to 2.0) | 4.6 (3.6 to 6.6) |
| Psychosocial plus pharmacological   | 184                | 42     | 25      | 1.7 (1.1 to 2.6) | 6.1 (3.4 to 35) |

3.5. Cannabis

The abstinence rate in a single review [12] of mostly short term psychotherapeutic interventions for cannabis dependence was 15% in a small number of small trials.

4. Discussion

Cessation rates with true placebo in randomised trials using objective measures of abstinence and typically over six months duration were 8% for nicotine, 18% for alcohol, 47% for cocaine, and 43% for opioids. This overview of systematic reviews sought evidence of different quit rates with placebo
in addictions to different substances, and apparently found it. Before accepting such a result at face value, it is necessary to explore how robust it is.

By concentrating on data from systematic reviews and meta-analyses of randomised trials reporting abstinence at six months or longer it relied on studies least open to bias. Most information came from reviews of smoking cessation in over 127,000 participants, though reviews for treatments of other addictions covered a few thousand participants. Most of the reviews included had a preponderance of longer-term studies, with determination of abstinence using objective measures. As much as possible, therefore, comparisons were of like for like.

The example of nicotine replacement therapy, with over 43,000 participants in trials of six months or longer, showed that vagaries of trial design made little difference to placebo response rates, though trials lasting 12 months were less effective than those lasting only six months. Together, these approaches support the contention that between-addiction comparisons of quit rates with placebo are justified.

Unresolved issues include how missing data are treated in clinical trials; missing data should probably be counted as failure, but this may not be uniformly applied, and is not generally discussed. Trials with cocaine addicts had shorter durations than with other substances. As the abstinence rate tends to decrease with time, this bias is of concern.

The use of a no-treatment control rather than actual placebo might be important in both non-drug and drug interventions. Placebo has been shown to produce genuine effects through psychological mechanism (involving expectations, conditioning learning, memory, motivation somatic focus, reward, anxiety reduction, and meaning), as well as changes of metabolic activity in different brain regions in cocaine abusers [39]. Context effects could also be a source of variation in placebo response rates [40,41]. However, placebo response rates tend to be consistent in particular randomised trial models, using the same outcomes, over the same period of time [42], differing only when the outcome differs [43-45]. In the case of addiction, the same outcome was being sought over the same time.

We have shown a high variability of success rates in smoking cessation trials where the group size is below 200 subjects. Trials with fewer than 200 participants were over-represented in opiate and cocaine studies.

Finally, studies included in the systematic reviews were sensitive in that interventions caused change in response, with statistically significant relative benefit. This should give comfort that placebo response measured were meaningful. Few interventions were shown to be highly effective, and low NNTs were rare, except for buprenorphine treatment for opioid addiction, where one opioid replaces another (Table 5).

The conclusion, then, is that in the circumstances chosen, placebo quit rates are a useful proxy marker for the strength of different addictions. The results point to tobacco being by far the strongest, as has already suggested the findings from epidemiological data [1,2].

Tobacco addiction is far more widespread than other addictions. Many smokers are not alcohol or drug addicts, though most alcohol or drug addicts are also smokers. One can hypothesize on individual vulnerability to addictive behaviours, this vulnerability being highest in alcohol and drug addicts. One other approach could be to look at differential outcomes in tobacco and other substance cessation in subjects having a dual addiction. In this respect, it has been shown that alcohol outcome was far better than tobacco outcome in alcoholic smokers undergoing an alcohol and tobacco concurrent
intervention [46]. Everyday practice shows that many alcoholics consider that quitting tobacco to be far more difficult to quit than alcohol. Likewise, polydrug abusers often consider that tobacco would be the last substance they would be able to quit.

It is also the case that, of all abused substances, nicotine is the one where the risk of developing a dependence syndrome is the highest after first exposure [1,47,48]. Even this leaves us problems, as the authors themselves use conflicting language [47]: “Over 80% of those who had used tobacco six or more times met dependence criteria...It appears that tobacco readily produces dependence (perhaps more so than most other substances), yet it does not progress to severe levels of dependence as readily as cocaine, heroin and most other drugs. Though it easily causes compulsive use, tolerance, and withdrawal, tobacco may be less likely to get “out of control” and progress to severe dependence than most other drugs”.

Perhaps the biggest difficult is that, with addiction, there are several dimensions. These include the swiftness with which an addiction takes hold, the severity or otherwise of deleterious effects, the time course of their development, whether they are balanced by any possible beneficial effects, how many are affected, and how easy it is for an addiction to be broken. In all of these dimensions, tobacco addiction rates high. It is a strong addiction, being of rapid onset and hard to break, as evidenced by the large amount of good quality evidence of low placebo response rates in cessation trials, and of major public health concern because of its negative effects on health in a large number of people.
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