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Abstract

Malnutrition has been a global public health problem, jeopardizing children growth and constituting a major cause of death in children in developing countries, including Indonesia. Malnourished person tends to have abnormal gut microbiota. Probiotics supplementation can be considered as one of preventative measures against imbalanced gut microbiota and pathogenic bacteria to enable optimum nutrition absorption. This study aimed to investigate the effects of dietary intake and supplementation of powder of indigenous probiotic *Lactobacillus plantarum* Dad-13 to undernourished children in East Lombok, Indonesia on their body mass index (BMI), faecal short-chain fatty acids and gut microbiota. This study was performed in a Randomized Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled design, involving 40 children aged 10-12 years old, with BMI/age ratio ≤ -2 standard deviation (SD). Subjects were randomly divided into two groups namely placebo and probiotic group. The placebo group consumed 1 gram of skimmed milk daily while the probiotic group consumed 1 gram of skimmed milk containing 1x10^9 CFU/gram of *L. plantarum* Dad-13 daily, with consumption period of 60 days for both groups. The result showed that dietary intakes of both groups were below recommended value (<70%). *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *Bifidobacterium* significantly increased after probiotic consumption while Enterobacteriaceae and *Klebsiella pneumonia* significantly reduced. Short-chain fatty acid (acetate, propionate, butyrate) significantly increased in probiotic group, which consequently lowered pH level. Subsequently, a significant increase was observed in body weight and height in both groups at the end of the study. Only BMI of probiotic group increased after consumption of probiotics.

Introduction

Malnutrition is defined as deficiencies, excesses, or imbalances of energy and/or nutrients (either macro- or micronutrients) intake of a person [1]. Insufficient intake of energy and/or nutrient of a person results in undernutrition, widely distinguished into stunting and wasting condition. A recent study confirmed that malnutrition is a global public health issue harming the lives and growth of children under five. In 2017, approximately 22.2% (151 million children under five globally) were being stunted and 7.5% (51 million children under five globally) were being wasted. Majority of undernutrition cases were observed in low- and middle-income countries, including Indonesia [2]. Malnutrition is often characterized by a person's body mass index (BMI). A study in 2013 revealed that the prevalence of malnutrition in Indonesia in children aged 5-12 years was 11.2%, with 7.2% was classified as being thin and 4% was classified as being very thin. West Nusa Tenggara (NTB) was one of provinces having the highest prevalence of very thin children which was higher than average national level of 4 % [3]. Although malnutrition does not directly cause death in children, it is associated with the cause of 54% deaths among children in developing countries in 2001 [4].

Various studies have been conducted to investigate the relationship between consumption patterns and gut microbiota [5]. Dietary habit is a main factor affecting the diversity of gut microbiota by providing nutrients and conditioning intestinal microenvironment [6]. The changing composition of microbiota or dysbiosis can cause various diseases [7]. A “vicious cycle of undernutrition” was defined as inadequate dietary intake impaired immune response of a children resulting in enteric infections which later altered microbiota in their gut, dysregulated gut permeability and led to inflammation and malabsorption [8]. Furthermore, it was highlighted that the link of gut microbiota and undernutrition has made it possible for a therapeutic intervention to take place [8].
Probiotics supplementation can be considered as one of preventative measures against imbalanced gut microbiota and pathogenic bacteria. Probiotics are live organisms which, when consumed in sufficient amounts, confer beneficial effects on the host [9]. Probiotics supplementation can be considered as one of preventative measures against unbalanced gut microbiota and pathogenic bacteria, by either restoring host-microbe balance or preventing dysbiosis. Probiotic may increase the number of beneficial bacteria and decrease the less favourable ones, regulate colon environment, preserve body's immune system, and reduce the risk of colon cancer by lowering carcinogenic substances [10–12]. Probiotics are reported to have a greater effect on malnourished children compared to healthy children living in developing countries [13]. Other studies reported that consumption of probiotics *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Lactobacillus casei* CRL 431 and *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 could increase the body weight of undernourished children [14,15].

*Lactobacillus plantarum* is one of the most common types of lactic acid bacteria found in traditional Indonesian fermented food [16,17]. *Lactobacillus plantarum* Dad-13 is a probiotic candidate isolated from *dadih*, fermented buffalo milk from West Sumatra, Indonesia. Past study reported that supplementation of fermented milk containing *L. plantarum* Dad-13 in 30 healthy Indonesian subjects showed a significant increase in the population of *L. plantarum* in faecal matters of all subjects and a decrease in the population of Enterobacteriaceae, *E. coli* and coliform non *E. coli* in the faecal matter of > 50% of the subjects [18]. Furthermore, a recent study reported that a safety assessment study of *L. plantarum* Dad-13 in Sprague Dawley rats showed that it survived gastrointestinal tracts, did not translocate in organs and blood of treated rats which indicated that *L. plantarum* Dad-13 is likely to be safe for human consumption [19].

Limited studies suggested that probiotics may have potential to improve children growth in developing countries and undernourished children [13]. This study aimed to investigate the effects of supplementation of *L. plantarum* Dad-13 in undernourished children in East Lombok, Indonesia. Additionally, dietary intake was also taken into account when analysis was performed on the effect of consuming *L. plantarum* Dad-13 on BMI, short chain fatty acid (SCFA) and pH in faecal samples, and population of *Prevotella, Bacteroides fragilis, Clostridium coccoides, Bifidobacterium, Enterobacteriaceae, Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumonia, Enterococcus, Streptococcus*, and *L. plantarum*.

### Methods

#### Subjects

In total, this study was conducted for 61 days, started with the first day to conduct screening phase and followed by sixty days of consumption phase. Forty primary school children aged 10-12 years old from East Lombok, Indonesia participated in this study. The participants were randomly and equally divided into two groups of 20 children, namely placebo group and probiotic group. All participants have met inclusion criteria, i.e. BMI ≤ -2 SD, had no history of reaction to probiotics component. Candidates were excluded if they had a digestive system disorder and lactose intolerant. Subsequently, subjects would be disqualified from the study if during the study they took antibiotics, prebiotics, probiotics, supplements, or immune regulators. Before follow the research all subject must signed inform consent by the parent/legal guardian. During the study, subjects were obliged to fill in a subject diary to record their food intake, medical record and medicine intake, and defecation frequency. Subject who pass the criteria will given informed consent and must be signed accompanied by a parent or guardian who will accompany the subject during the research process.
**Ethics Declaration**

The study protocol was approved by Medical and Health Research Ethics Committee (MHREC), Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Gadjah Mada (Approval reference: KE/0861/08/2018) Registered on 26 June 2018 and approved on 17 September 2018. This research done by regulation that applicable in Indonesia and based by guidelines from World Medical Assembly (Declaration Helsinki, last amendment, Edinburgh, Scotland, 2000 and last clarified in Tokyo, 2004, appendix 7) also with notes of CPMP on GCP (CPMP/ICH/135/95). Data information such as Informed Consent obtained from all participant/subject and signed from parent/legal guardian. This study also registered in Center For Research And Development Of Health Resources And Services, Health Research and Development Agency, Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia with registration number INA-PA2HB87 (Registered on 16-12-2020).

**Research products**

Probiotic powder containing *L. plantarum* Dad-13 of $1 \times 10^9$ CFU/gram were supplemented to probiotic group. The probiotic *L. plantarum* Dad-13 was deposited in ampoules at the Food and Nutrition Culture Collection (FNCC), Center for Food and Nutrition Studies, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia. Subsequently, probiotic powder was prepared using Halal media and stored in a refrigerator ($<-4^\circ$C) before being consumed. Meanwhile, placebo group was given skim milk powder from commercial product.

**Research design**

This research was conducted using a Randomized Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled trial for 60 days. During the period of consumption, 1 gram of probiotic powder and 1 gram of skim milk powder were consumed by probiotic and placebo group, respectively. The subjects consumed the products during their school break time. Additionally, on Sunday or public holiday consumption was done at home. Figure 1 below depicts the research design in this study.

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of research design

**Faecal samples collection**

Faecal samples were collected twice, in the morning of day-1 and day-61. Each subject collected their faecal sample at home using a stool kit containing masks, gloves, trail paper, ice gel and sterile tubes, spoon, glass beads and RNA. Subjects were asked to defecate on a trail paper to avoid contamination by urine or other liquid. Two spoons of faecal specimen were quickly inserted into the tube. Subsequently, the faecal samples were transported to the laboratory in a cooler box ($<10^\circ$C) within a maximum of one hour after collection. Faecal samples were labelled and stored in a freezer at a temperature of -25°C for further molecular analysis.

**Anthropometric measurement**

Measurement of weight and height of the subjects were performed every 30 days. A 2-m-long and 1-mm-wide metal tape (Microtoise) was used for height measurement, with round up to 0.1 cm. Body weight was measured using an indoor light clothing and without shoes, with round up to 0.1 kg. Each measurement was done twice for each subject and average value was used for data entry.
pH analysis for faecal samples and analysis of stool quality

For each faecal sample, 0.2 - 1 g was taken and added with distilled water with ratio 1:5. The mixture was vortexed and pH was measured by inserting a glass electrode of pH meter [10]. Additionally, stool quality of each subject was evaluated in several parameters, i.e. consistency of feces, colour, frequency of defecation per day and number of days of defecation. Stool consistency was compared to Bristol Stool Chart consisted of type 1 – 7 (1 being separate hard lump, to 7 being liquid consistency with no solid pieces). Colour of faeces consisted of type 1 – 4 (1= yellow, 2= brownish yellow, 3= brown, 4= green) [20]. Frequency of defecation was counted per 10 days and number of days of defecation was counted per 10 days.

Analysis of faecal microbiota with Real-Time PCR

Gut microbiota of interest in this study are listed in Table 1 which are associated with malnutrition. Real-Time PCR was used to investigate the number of specific microbiota in a sample. Extraction of DNA used a ZymoBIOMICSTM DNA Miniprep Kit (D4300) from Zymo Research Corp. (USA). The extraction followed the instructions from the kit with a slight modification. DNA sample was contained in a column/well with a composition of 10 µL Evagreen®, 1 µL forward primer, 1 µL reverse primer, and 1 µL isolated DNA. Double-distilled water (ddH$_2$O) was added until the solution reached 20 µL. In the process of amplification in PCR, each had its specific condition (Table 1), especially for annealing temperature for each primer. The selected microbiota was analysed in a selected DNA sample using a primer.

Table 1. List of primers and PCR condition
| No | Bacteria | Primer | Conditions | Source |
|----|----------|--------|------------|--------|
| 1  | *Prevotella* | forward (g-Prevo-F) CACRGTAACGATGGATGCC, reverse (g-Prevo-R) GGTCCGGTTGCAGACC. | 1 cycle at 98°C for 2 min, 34 cycles at 98°C for 2 sec and 57.1°C for 30 sec, the last 1 cycle at 65°C – 95°C for 5 sec. | [33] |
| 2  | *Bacteroides fragilis* | forward (g-Bfra-F2) AYAGCCTTTTCGAAAAGRAAGAT, reverse (g-Bfra-R) CCAGTATCAACTGCAATTTTA. | 1 cycle at 98°C for 2 min, 34 cycles at 98°C for 2 sec and 50°C for 30 sec, at last 1 cycle at 65°C – 95°C for 5 sec. | [33] |
| 3  | *Clostridium coccoides* | forward (g-Ccoc-F) AAATGACGGTACCTGACTAA, reverse (g-Ccoc-R) CTTTGAGTTTCATTCTTGCGAA. | 1 cycle at 98°C for 2 min; 34 cycles at 98°C for 2 sec and 52°C for 30 sec, at last 1 cycle at 65°C – 95°C for 5 sec. | [33] |
| 4  | *Bifidobacterium* | g-Bifid-F (CTCCTGAAACGGGTGG) Bifid-R (GGTGTTCTTCCCGATATCTACA | 1 cycle at 98°C for 2 min; 34 cycles at 98°C for 2 sec and 58.8°C for 30 sec. At last 1 cycle at 65°C -95°C for 5 sec. | [33] |
| 5  | *Enterobacteriaceae* | En-lsu-3F (TGCCGTAACCTCGAGAAGGCA) and En-lsu-3R (TCAAGGACAGTGTTCAGTGC) for | 1 cycle at 98°C for 2 min; 34 cycles at 98°C for 2 sec and 60°C for 30 sec. At last 1 cycle at 65°C -95°C for 5 sec. | [33] |
| 6  | *Escherichia coli* | forward (g-Ecoli-F) CATGCCGCGTGTATGAAGAA reverse (Ecoli-R) CCGGTAACGTCAATGAGCAAA | 1 cycle at 98°C for 2 min; then 34 cycles at 98°C for 2 sec and 59.9°C for 30 sec, with the last 1 cycle at 65°C–95°C for 5 sec. | [34] |
| 7  | *Klebsiella pneumoniae* | forward (Kpneu-F) CCTGATCGCTACCGAGTCA reverse (Kpneu-R) CCGTCGCCGTTCTGTTTC | 1 cycle at 98°C for 2 min; then 34 cycles at 98°C for 2 sec and 61°C for 30 sec, with the last 1 cycle at 65°C–95°C for 5 sec. | [35] |
| 8  | *Streptococcus* | forward CTWACCAGAAAGGGACGGCT reverse AAGGRCYAAACACCTAGC | 1 cycle at 98°C for 2 min then 34 cycles at 98°C for 2 seconds and 58°C for 30 seconds and with the last 1 cycle at 65°C–95°C for 5 seconds | [36] |
Analysis of Short-chain fatty acid

Analysis of short chain fatty acid (SCFA) followed the methods by [21]. Approximately 0.5 – 1 gram of fecal sample was added with distilled water with ratio of 1:3. Subsequently, the mixture was vortexed for 5 minutes, followed by centrifugation at 10000 rpm for 10 minutes. The supernatant was then analysed using gas chromatography (GC) (Shimadzu, GC 2010 plus series) with specifications of 240°C injector, RTX-Wax column, column length 30 m, column temperature 145°C, diameter 0.25, column flow 0.8 minutes with helium as carrier gas and flame ionization detector (FID) at 240°C.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM Statistic SPSS 20.0 with 95% confidence interval (α = 5%). Chi-Square Test; independent t-test; Wilcoxon test were carried out to evaluate the significant differences of observed parameters between placebo and probiotic-treated group. In addition, a paired t-test was used to analyze the observed parameters before and after consumption of placebo powder or indigenous probiotic powder.

Results

Demographic and anthropometric data of subjects

Table 2 below shows demographic and anthropometric data of the subjects. In both placebo and probiotic groups, subjects have similar characteristics in gender, age, body weight and height, and BMI as proven by statistical tests (p > 0.05, confidence level 95%). Both sexes (female and male) were equally represented in both groups. Subjects in both groups had average age of 11 years old with BMI in placebo group and probiotic group were 14.64 kg/m² and 14.09 kg/m², respectively.

Table 2. Demographic and anthropometric data
The effect of consumption of indigenous probiotic \( L. \) \text{plantarum} Dad-13 powder on the body weight, height, and BMI of under nutrition school age children is shown in Table 3. In placebo group, a significant increase on the body weight and height was observed after consumption of placebo (skim milk) powder for 30 days. The increase on the body weight and height continued up to 60 days of consumption of placebo powder. However, there was no substantial change of BMI of children who consumed placebo products. On the other hand, in probiotic group, the body weight, height, and BMI significantly increased after consuming probiotic powder for 30 days, and further increased after another 30 days of consumption. The BMI increased about 0.89 kg/m\(^2\) after 60 days consumption of \( L. \) \text{plantarum} Dad-13 powder. Higher increase in body weight and BMI of probiotic group might be linked to the colonization of \( L. \) \text{plantarum} Dad-13, which might have helped maintain the integrity of the intestine, thereby facilitate a more optimum nutrient absorption.

Table 3. Effect of consumption of powder of probiotic \( L. \) \text{plantarum} Dad-13 on weight, height, and BMI of under nutrition school age children in Lombok

| Characteristics | Placebo Group | Probiotic Group | \( p \)-value |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Male, n (%)     | 12 (60%)      | 10 (50%)        | 0.75         |
| Female, n (%)   | 8 (40%)       | 10 (50%)        |              |
| Age, (years, months) | 11.11 ± 0.69  | 11.16 ± 0.67    | 0.84         |
| Weight, (kg)    | 25.75 ± 4.32  | 25.35 ± 3.08    | 0.74         |
| Height (cm)     | 100.32 ± 8.18 | 100.34 ± 5.14   | 0.40         |
| BMI, (kg/m\(^2\)) | 14.64 ± 1.12  | 14.09 ± 1.31    | 0.16         |

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

\( p \)-value was analysed using Pearson chi-square test (for gender) and independent T-test (for age, weight and BMI).

Dietary pattern
Food types, food composition and energy levels of each food ingredient were analysed using NutriSurvey software. Table 4 shows that the average energy intakes in both groups were less than 70% of the recommended dietary allowance (RDA) providing that it was an indication of undernourished children as defined by the Nutritional Adequacy Figures [3]. Macro- and micronutrients intake in the subjects also did not meet the standard of RDA in both groups, with carbohydrate and protein were the most highly consumed, while dietary fibers, iron, and vitamins were the least consumed. The energy, macro- and micronutrients intake between the placebo and the probiotic groups were not significantly different. Typical foods which were mostly consumed by the study subjects are provided in Table 5. It can be seen that the sources of carbohydrate were mainly rice and noodle, while the sources of protein were mainly from the consumption of fish and seafoods. Children with inadequate intake of energy, protein, fat and carbohydrate have a greater risk of malnutrition than those who have adequate energy, protein, fat and carbohydrate intake. Malnutrition, especially stunting and wasting, are caused by poor dietary habits and less consumption of fruits and vegetables [22].

Table 4. The average nutrient intake of undernourished children in East Lombok for 60 days of treatment period

| Nutrient Intake     | RDA*) | Average Intake ± SD |   |   | p-value |
|---------------------|-------|---------------------|---|---|---------|
|                     |       | Placebo (n=20) | % RDA | Probiotic (n=20) | % RDA |
| Energy (kcal)       | 2036.30| 1213.46 ± 230.26 | 59.59 | 1105.83 ± 142.73 | 54.30 | 0.31 |
| Protein (g)         | 60.10 | 47.65 ± 7.95 | 79.28 | 39.60 ± 3.99 | 65.89 | 0.07 |
| Fat (g)             | 69.10 | 32.76 ± 3.57 | 47.41 | 26.51 ± 3.96 | 38.36 | 0.06 |
| Carbohydrate (g)    | 290.70| 178.68 ± 45.58 | 61.47 | 174.76 ± 26.61 | 60.12 | 0.85 |
| Dietary Fiber (g)   | 28.00 | 6.71 ± 2.54 | 23.96 | 6.53 ± 1.59 | 23.32 | 0.88 |
| Calcium (mg)        | 1100.00| 1213.46 ± 230.26 | 59.59 | 1105.83 ± 142.73 | 54.30 | 0.31 |
| Magnesium (mg)      | 250.00| 220.41 ± 29.60 | 88.16 | 228.68 ± 23.25 | 91.47 | 0.61 |
| Iron (mg)           | 15.00 | 5.43 ± 0.85 | 36.20 | 4.45 ± 0.36 | 26.73 | 0.80 |
| Zinc (mg)           | 7.00 | 4.75 ± 0.73 | 67.86 | 4.00 ± 0.36 | 57.14 | 0.06 |
| Vit. E (mg)         | 11.00 | 3.10 ± 0.83 | 28.18 | 3.10 ± 0.73 | 28.18 | 1.00 |
| Vit. A (µg)         | 900.00| 367.05 ± 76.49 | 40.78 | 424.30 ± 25.13 | 47.14 | 0.06 |
| Vit. B1 (mg)        | 1.00 | 0.38 ± 0.07 | 38.00 | 0.35 ± 0.08 | 35.00 | 0.17 |
| Vit. B2 (mg)        | 1.20 | 0.51 ± 0.04 | 42.50 | 0.48 ± 0.07 | 40.00 | 0.17 |

*) Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDA);
p-value was calculated based on t-test and Wilcoxon test

Table 5. Typical foods consumed by study participants and its contribution to the nutrient intake
| Food Item          | Average intake (%) ± SD | p-value |
|--------------------|-------------------------|---------|
|                   | Placebo | Probiotic |
| **Protein-based foods** |          |          |
| Fish and Seafood   | 34.20 ± 4.01 | 30.28 ± 2.01 | 0.32 |
| Meat               | 22.20 ± 2.01 | 19.26 ± 2.99 | 0.03* |
| Egg                | 12.20 ± 1.99 | 7.59 ± 1.95 | 0.06 |
| Legume             | 7.40 ± 1.04 | 6.12 ± 2.01 | 0.32 |
| Others             | 3.28 ± 2.01 | 2.46 ± 0.98 | 0.49 |
| **Carbohydrate-based foods** |          |          |
| Rice and porridge  | 32.96 ± 1.99 | 34.05 ± 2.99 | 0.20 |
| Noodle             | 13.89 ± 3.60 | 9.67 ± 1.99 | 0.05* |
| Bread and Cereal   | 8.58 ± 2.01 | 9.44 ± 1.98 | 0.74 |
| Others             | 5.98 ± 0.99 | 6.96 ± 2.64 | 0.43 |
| **Dietary fiber-based foods** |          |          |
| Fruit              | 9.72 ± 2.02 | 10.26 ± 1.99 | 0.86 |
| Vegetable          | 8.94 ± 1.99 | 8.54 ± 2.03 | 0.083 |
| Others             | 5.30 ± 2.05 | 4.54 ± 0.98 | 0.53 |

*The average intake (%) was calculated from the average nutrient intake divided by the RDA of nutrient x 100%*

*p<0.05 significant difference between placebo and probiotic based on t-test and Wilcoxon test

**Faecal microbiota in subjects**

Consumption of *L. plantarum* Dad-13 in undernourished children might influence some bacterial population in the gut. Figure 2 shows that population of *L. plantarum* and *Bifidobacterium* increased significantly. Pathogenic bacteria, Enterobacteriaceae and *Klebsiella* decreased significantly after consumption of probiotics. The figure also shows the changes of population of strictly anaerobic bacteria *i.e.* *C. coccoides*, *B. fragilis*, *Prevotella*, and in both groups, before and after the supplementation of probiotic product. Among those three bacteria, only population of *C. coccoides* decreased significantly while the population of the other two bacteria did not change much.

From this result also showed that *Prevotella* is more dominant than *Bacteroides* and *Clostridium*. It could be concluded that Indonesian undernourished children have the *Prevotella* enterotype, which is supported by their diet like other children in Indonesia. This also in line with previous study. A regional study in Asia reported that microbiota of primary school children in five Asian countries were influenced by their different eating habits. Gut microbiota of children in Yogyakarta and Bali (Indonesia) and Khon Kaen (Thailand) were dominated by
Prevotella group, linked to the high amount of rice consumption. Meanwhile, children in China, Japan, and Taiwan harbored abundant population of Bifidobacterium and Bacteriodes group [23].

The asterisk sign (*) shows a significant difference of microbiota before and after consumption of probiotic powder based on paired t-test

Short chain fatty acid in subjects

Some studies suggested that probiotics may affect production of short chain fatty acid (SCFA) in gastrointestinal tract. Table 6 shows profile of SCFA before and after consumption period in group of placebo and probiotic. Acetate, propionate, and butyrate level in probiotic groups significantly increased after consumption of probiotic, while the increase in placebo group was not significant. Propionate increased more than two folds of initial condition, from 6.7 to 14.54 mmol/g feces. These findings are similar to previous studies where consumption of fermented milk containing L. casei Shirota strain 3×10^{10} CFU / ml and galactooligosaccharide 2.5 g / 80 mL for 2 weeks significantly increased acetic acid [10]. Additionally, consumption of L. plantarum P-8 for 5 weeks were able to significantly increase acetic acid, propionic acid, butyric acid. Acetic acid and lactic acid can reduce pH of intestinal environment which made it unfavourable for the colonialization of pathogens. Meanwhile, butyric acid is able to induce catalydine, an antimicrobial peptide in the intestine [24].

Table 6. Profile of SCFA (mmol / g feces) in placebo and probiotic group before and after consumption period (mean ± SD)

| SCFA     | Placebo Before | Placebo After | p-Value | Probiotic Before | Probiotic After | p-value |
|----------|----------------|---------------|---------|------------------|----------------|---------|
| Acetate  | 26.59 ± 22.16  | 35.55 ± 20.62 | 1.94    | 24.45 ± 16.81    | 37.24 ± 16.67  | 0.021*  |
| Propionate | 8.51 ± 7.75   | 11.83 ± 7.79  | 1.84    | 6.70 ± 4.83      | 14.54 ± 10.84  | 0.005*  |
| Butyrate | 3.75 ± 2.76    | 4.87 ± 3.26   | 2.50    | 4.16 ± 2.82      | 6.94 ± 4.51    | 0.025*  |

*p/val significantly different (p-value <0.05) based on paired t-test

pH analysis and stool quality

Table 7 shows an overview of pH and stool quality in both groups. No significant changes were observed in pH and all parameters of stool quality in placebo group. Stool consistency in probiotic group also were improved after consumption from type 2 and type 3 to type 4. Type 4 is considered as a normal stool consistency.

pH in probiotic group significantly decrease after consumption of probiotic L. plantarum Dad-13 from 6.57 to 6.15. Lower pH was associated to the decrease of Enterobacteriaceae population. A previous study reported that a decrease in faecal pH value in subjects consuming fermented milk containing synbiotic of Lactobacillus casei Shirota strain and galactooligosaccharide for 1 and 2 weeks was attributable to the large production of intestinal organic acids by bacteria (butyric acid, propionic acid, and lactic acid) which later stimulated motility in colon [10]. Accordingly, decrease in faecal pH is affected by the number and activity of microorganisms in the
colon producing abovementioned acids and other bacteria which reduce the population of pathogenic bacteria such as *Enterobacteriaceae*.

**Table 7.** pH Value and stool quality

| Item                                | Placebo (n = 20) | Treatment (n = 20) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|                                     | Before Consumption mean ± SD | After Consumption mean ± SD | p-value | Before Consumption mean ± SD | After Consumption mean ± SD | p-value |
| pH                                  | 6.42 ± 0.46      | 6.43 ± 0.62        | 0.17     | 6.57 ± 0.54      | 6.15 ± 0.57        | 0.007*   |
| Consistency (1-7)                    | 3.45 ± 1.35      | 3.50 ± 0.67        | 0.88     | 2.65 ± 0.67      | 4.00 ± 0.27        | 0.00*    |
| Colour (1-4)                        | 2.95 ± 0.88      | 2.99 ± 0.58        | 0.1      | 2.75 ± 0.74      | 2.97 ± 0.60        | 0.13     |
| Frequency of defecated (number/10d) | 7.20 ± 0.41      | 7.28 ± 0.53        | 0.55     | 7.35 ± 0.48      | 7.54 ± 0.38        | 0.17     |
| Day of defecated (d/10d)            | 7.10 ± 0.30      | 7.19 ± 0.25        | 0.37     | 7.05 ± 0.60      | 7.28 ± 0.53        | 0.2      |

*Significantly different (p-value < 0.05)*;

Consistency of feces (type 1: separate hard lumps; 2: lumpy and sausage like; 3: a sausage with cracks in the surface; 4: like a smooth, soft sausage or snake; 5: soft blobs with clear-cut edges; 6: mushy consistency with ragged edges; 7: liquid consistency with no solid pieces). Colour of feces (1: yellow; 2: brownish yellow; 3: brown; 4: green).

**Discussion**

It was reported an existence of relationship between the condition of gut microbiota and incidence of malnutrition [4]. Malnourished person tends to have abnormal gut microbiota (dysbiosis) and increased population of aerotolerant pathogenic bacteria such as *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Streptococcus*. Subsequently, the growth of anaerobic microbiota will be delayed and inhibited, resulted in reduced maturation of *Bifidobacteria* and *Lactobacillus*. Gut microbiota maturation occurs mainly during the first three years of life course and is associated with increased diversity of human gut microbiota, especially *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes*. In a cross-sectional study in Bangladesh, malnourished children was associated with less diverse microbiota characterized by lower *Bacteroidetes* (18% compared to 44% from healthy subjects), higher *Proteobacteria* (46% compared to 5% from healthy subjects), and higher pathogenic bacteria such as *Klebsiella* and *Escherichia*, which were 174 and 9-fold higher than the healthy subjects [25]. A study in 2017 reported from the analyzed stool sample of malnourished patients with kwashiorkor and healthy children collected from Nigeria and Senegal, it showed an increase of potentially pathogenic Proteobacteria, Furobacteria, *Streptococcus gallotyicus* [26].

Undernourished microbiota linked with the gut microbiota, immaturity, altered diversity, enrichment in potentially pathogenic and inflammagenic species, depletion in obligate anaerobe and less efficient nutrient utilization [27].
The gut microbiota modulated by probiotics have the ability to fight against pathogenic bacteria by exploiting host nutrients [28]. Subsequently, probiotics increase short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) in the bacterial colonies to reduce the pH of the colon environment and prevent pH-sensitive bacteria or pathogenic bacteria such as *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Clostridia* from healthy colon and improve nutrient absorption [10]. Anaerobic microbiota dominating the colon produce SCFAs along with CO₂, H₂ and 2CH₄. SCFAs are the results of carbohydrate fermentation which cannot be digested in the upper gastrointestinal and thus fermented in colon. The results of fermentation product in the form of SCFA serving as a source of energy regulators, as well as anti-inflammatory [29].

Probiotics are reported to have a greater effect on malnourished children compared to healthy children living in developing countries [13]. Other studies reported that consumption of probiotics *Lactobacillus casei* CRL 431 and *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938 modestly increase the body weight of undernourished 1-6 years children in Indonesia [14]. Supplementation of probiotic *Lactobacillus acidophilus* for 6 months for children 2-5 years maybe beneficial with respect in diarrheal morbidity and accelerated growth [15].

This study showed that *L. plantarum* Dad-13 was able to proliferate in the intestine of children and increased the population of this bacteria. Previous study reported that consumption of probiotics *L. plantarum* Dad-13 at 10⁹ CFU/gram (the same number as in this study) was able to colonize intestinal wall and suppress colonization of pathogenic bacteria in the intestine [18]. Previous study reported similar finding, in which consumption of probiotics *L. plantarum* P-8 high doses may significantly increase *L. plantarum* and *Bifidobacterium* up to 6x10¹⁰ CFU [30].

*Lactobacillus plantarum* Dad-13 was proven to decrease *E. coli* in 70% of healthy Indonesian subjects [18]. The decline of these bacteria could be linked to the ability of *L. plantarum* Dad-13 in inhibiting the growth of pathogenic bacteria [17,31].

It was also stated that *L. plantarum* is lactic acid bacteria that common for Indonesian, showing by the high of rate detection in faecal material of younger and elderly in Yogyakarta and Bali [32]. *Lactobacillus plantarum* is suggested to be suitable as a probiotic for Indonesians.

In this study, an increase in both *L. plantarum* and *Bifidobacterium*, and a decrease in some of Enterobacteriacea (*E. coli* and *Klebsiella*) cause the increased of SCFA and decrease of the intestinal pH. All these support better conditions of the intestine, so that the absorption of nutrients was better, even though the diet did not appear to be different, the weight of the probiotic group increased significantly.

To conclude, the energy intake of undernourished children in East Lombok, Indonesia did not meet RDA standard (<70%). Dietary consumption was mainly dominated by carbohydrate (rice, porridge) and protein (fish, seafood). Dietary fibers and vitamins were least consumed.

Consumption of probiotic powder containing *L. plantarum* Dad-13 with viable cells of 10⁹ CFU/gram every day for 60 days was able to significantly increase body mass index (BMI) of undernourished children in East Lombok, Indonesia. This increase is linked to the colonization of *L. plantarum* Dad-13 which is able to maintain the integrity of intestine and enable more optimum nutrient absorption.
No significant reduction was observed in the number of *B. fragilis and Prevotella, Escherichia coli, Enterococcus* and *Streptococcus*. However, *L. plantarum* and *Bifidobacterium* increased significantly which can be correlated to the reduction of *Enterobacteriaceae* population. Moreover, consumption of probiotics *L. plantarum* Dad-13 could improve faecal consistency from type 2 and type 3 to type 4, which is considered as normal feces. The concentration of short chain fatty acids (acetic, propionic, butyric) increased significantly, while pH decreased significantly.
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Schematic diagram of research design
Figure 2

Comparison of gut microbiota composition in undernourished children before and after consumption of powder of probiotic L. plantarum Dad-13.