Research Article

Gamma angle, a measurement tool of the femoral head angular translation in adults hips with cam or mix form of impingement femoroacetabulare

Abstract

Femoral head translation leads to the cam deformity development. It is formed on the femoral head-neck junction. Cam deformity produces femoroacetabular impingement. There are no particular techniques for femoral head translation assessment. The offset index is the most regularly applied. It quantifies the relation between the femoral head and neck junction. We introduce the original method to test the femoral head translation.

The purpose of this survey was to draw, measure and to test gamma angle rates. Tested groups were subjects with cam and mix form of femoroacetabular impingement. We compare gamma angle values with the offset index. Gamma angle role in femoral head angular inclination measurement, we considered.

Material: We measured the gamma angle on the preoperative X-rays of the hips. 51 subjects with mixed and cam form of femoroacetabular impingement we analyzed. Standardized preoperative anteroposterior and profile X-rays we managed.

Method: Two femoral neck axes we drew. The angle they made we named gamma angle. We assumed this angle measure femoral head angular inclination. Gamma angle higher than 3° was pathological. We calculated and tabulated data.

The results: Gamma angles mean was 6,30° on the AP and 5,97° on the profile X-rays. The gamma angle sensitivity of 90,32% was on AP X-rays. On the other X-rays, sensitivity was smaller: 60-85%. Specificity, positive and negative predictive values were over 90%. We established a high negative correlation between the offset index and gamma angle values.

Conclusion: Gamma angle measured the angular inclination of the femoral head on the hips X-rays. This angle might be an appropriate tool. One can apply this tool in people with the closed proximal femoral epiphysis.

Introduction

Femoroacetabular impingement (FAI) causes groin pain in young people. Its principal causes are morphological differences in the proximal femur and/or acetabulum. While the hip moves, femoral neck strikes on the acetabulum margin. This leads in labral and labrum adjacent cartilage lesion of the anterosuperior acetabulum. These lesions present early arthritic changes of the hip [1–10]. Murray (2) assumed that "femoral head tilt" is a cause of hip arthritis. This tilt originated from mild adolescent femoral head epiphysiolysis. Harris described femoral head tilt as a "pistol grip" deformity [5,8]. Ganz introduced the theory of hip arthritis development during femoroacetabular impingement. He distinguished three morphotypes of FAI: cam, mixed and the pincer form [1,9–11]. Etiology of the cam form of FAI is not definite. It is a secondary osteochondral bone hillock or cam deformity. It is localized in superior, anterior or both, anterosuperior femoral head-neck junction. Many authors speculate that adolescents femoral head translation being an underlying etiology. It induces cam deformity development [12–17]. The femoral head center rests on, or tight around the femoral neck axis. In some proximal femur pathology, this center is faraway from the femoral neck axis [18]. We used offset indexes to study the relationship between the femoral head and neck. They measure femoral head translation on the femoral neck (Figures 1,2). The offset...
indexes normal values are 0.80–1.20 [18–20]. Goodman [21], and Albert [22] measured femoral head translation. They used the femoral neck axis and femoral head epiphysis. Their name for this angle was “femoral head tilt angle”. Some authors suggested the angle created between two femoral neck axes [23,24]. They named it gamma angle. This angle could be the measure of femoral head angular inclination. We wondered if it was feasible to measure the gamma angle in the adult’s hips. This hips should have the cam and mixed form of FAI. Standardized anteroposterior and profile X-rays we could manage. Study question was if this angle is femoral head angular inclination measurement tool. We hypothesized that it was conceivable in the adult’s hips to draw and measure the gamma angle that tests the femoral head angular inclination.

The purpose of the survey was to draw, measure and to test gamma angle. To correlate the gamma angle with offset index values. To assess the gamma angle role in the femoral head angular inclination measurement.

Material

We have used two data series in this survey. The original data set we downloaded from the report of V. Andjelkovic [24], with his agreement. From this report, we have used gamma angle values. This author measured this angle on the hips X-rays. He used two types of standardized X-rays of the hips. One was anteroposterior (AP) X-ray. The second was profile Dunn–Ripstein–Mueller (DRM90) X-rays. The X-rays he made on the adult’s asymptomatic individuals. We used the gamma angles mean, standard deviation and confidence interval. This encouraged us to determine gamma angles upper and smaller limits in the adult hips (Table 1). We added and subtracted three standard deviations to the Mean to achieve the gamma angle limits. For AP X-rays it was: -1.61° < γ < 2.70°, with 95% confidence interval of 0.38–0.70. For DRM90 hips X-rays it was: -1.80° < γ < 2.80°; with 95% confidence interval of 0.39–0.63. These values represented 99% gamma angle values in adults asymptomatic population. We set the gamma angle limits for the adult asymptomatic hips: -3° < γ < +3°. Values that cross proposed limits were pathologic. They represented femoral head angular inclination to the femoral neck axis. Minus symbol does not suggest the negative value of the gamma angle. It suggested on the femoral head center position to the femoral neck axis. We used the minus symbol if the femoral head center lies above and/or in front of the femoral neck axis. We used the second data set in this survey to verify the hypothesis. To illustrate the measured gamma angle and offset index values. We tested 20 people with mix form of FAI group. They were 2 male and 18 female, aged 39 ± 17 (21–51) years. We operated 8 right and 12 left hips. In the cam form of the FAI group, we tested 31 people. There were 25 male and 6 female, aged 37.8 (19–54) years. In this group, we operated 19 right and 12 left hips. Summary We tested 40 AP and 39 DRM90, preoperative hips X-rays.

Method

To achieve the purpose of this survey, we used preoperative X-rays of the hips. The standardized anteroposterior (AP) was the first one. Profile Dunn Ripstein Mueller in 90 degrees of hip flexion (DRM90) was the second [23–26]. We did preoperative X-rays. We did images of subjects with the cam and mix form of FAI. In these X-rays, we drew two neck axes. First was”

| Table 1: Calculation and tabulation of data values of gamma angle upper and lower border on AP and DRM 90 radiographic hip images of asymptomatic adults hips (taken from the results published in article V. Andjelkovic). |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **γ**-all AP X-rays | DRM90 X-rays |
| γ=0° | 142 | 144 |
| γ=1° | 85 | 96 |
| γ=2° | 39 | 27 |
| γ=3° | 17 | 19 |
| M | 0.542 | 0.507 |
| SD | 0.718 | 0.763 |
| CI 99% | 0.387 - 0.697 | 0.343 - 0.671 |
| M ± 3 SD | -1.61 < γ < 2.70° | -1.80 < γ < 2.80° |
| γ angle borders (lower - upper) | -3° < γ < +3° |

M-mean; SD-standard deviation; CI-confidence interval; AP X-rays: anteroposterior hips radiographic images; DRM90 X-rays: Dunn-Ripstein Mueller on 90 degrees of hip flexion radiography hip images.
literature gold standard or 3 points femoral neck axis. We spotted it with letter l. This line always contains femoral head center O (Figures 1,2). The second was femoral neck inner third, two parallel lines neck axis. We spotted it with letter p [24,26–28]. If the femoral head center (O) lied out of the p-axis than these axes formed sharp angle Lmp. We named this angle, gamma angle (γ), (Figures 1,2). When the axes l and p overlapped, the femoral head axis had an anatomical position. Femoral head center (O) moves from its anatomical position when the femoral head scrolls. The femoral neck axis p creates an acute angle gamma with axis l. This angle is the femoral head angular inclination on the femoral neck inner third. If the femoral head center (O) exists below the axis p, an inferior femoral head inclination appeared. If the femoral center O lies above the axis p we had the superior femoral head inclination. Posterior position of the femoral head center on the axis p showed posterior femoral head inclination. Anterior position of femoral head center on the axis p was the anterior femoral head inclination. We used the offset indexes to correlate the gamma angle values. These indexes are the standard parameter of femoral head inclination. We used the offset indexes to correlate the gamma angle values. These indexes are the standard parameter to measure femoral head translation [4,8,23,24,28]. We drew and measured offset indexes on both femoral neck axes. On the axis l we named it to offset index-l (OFI-l)). On the axis p, we named it to offset index-p (OFI-p) (Figures 1,2).

We prepared and tabulated data series. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test verified the data distribution normality. To assess the Mean, we used the paired two-tailed t-tests. The Pearson correlation coefficient we used to measure correlation power. Contingency tables 4 x 4 we used to analyze the sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive gamma angle value. Power of the trial was set at 80% with a beta error of 0,20. Conclusion error of 5%, where p<0,05 value rejects the hypothesis. We used the SPSS 20 for Windows program to evaluate data. The Corell DrawX7 program we used to process hips X-rays.

Results

We used the first data set (Table 1) from the study reported in 2018 [24]. This data set is a shyness of the gamma angle data. He measured this angle by accident in adults asymptomatic hips. Gamma angle was detected in 85 hips from142 AP hips X-rays. In these hips, the p and l femoral neck axis overlapped. Gamma angle was 1° in 39 hips and 17 hips had gamma angle 2°. Only one hip had 3° gamma angle. Overall Mean was 0.542° (99% confidence interval was 0.387–0.697). In 144 DRM90 hips X-rays, 96 hips had 0° gamma angle value. Gamma angle was 1°in 27 hips. In 19 hips this angle was 2°. In 2 hips gamma angle value of 3° (Mean: 0.507; 99% confidence interval: 0.387–0.697). We added and subtracted 3 standard deviations to the Mean. This is how we came to the gamma angle values limits of –3° < γ < +3°. This humbled the gamma angle must not reach 3° in any angle on the femoral neck axis l. We gathered the second data set from the preoperative hips X-rays of the operated subjects. In 8 hips of mix form FAI, gamma angle was fewer than 3° on the AP hips X-rays (Tables 2–4). In these hips on DRM90 X-rays gamma angle was bigger than 3°. Gamma angle was bigger than 3° in 12 hips. On AP X-rays in only 3 hips on AP and DRM90 hips X-rays: Mean: 5,08° (95% confidence interval: 4.30°–6.23°). In the same group, the gamma angle was fewer than 3° in three hips on DRM90 hips X-rays. On AP X-rays in these hips, gamma angle was higher than 3°. In 17 hips gamma angle was higher than 3° (in 8 hips only on DRM90 hips X-rays). In 9 hips in both X-rays gamma angle was higher than 3° (Mean: 4.29°; 95% confidence intervals: 3.44°–5.16°). Gamma angle was fewer than 3° in three hips on AP X-rays of a cam form of FAI. In this group,

| Table 2: Distribution of preoperative hips X-rays of all operated people with cam and mix form of FAI. |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| **X-rays** | **MIX FAI** | **CAM FAI** |
| **Number** | **γ<3°** | **γ≥3°** | **γ<3°** | **γ≥3°** |
| Preval. % | 20 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Spec. % | 99,30 | 98,63 | 98,63 | 98,63 |
| Sensit. % | 90,32 | 78,00 | 78,00 | 78,00 |
| Ppv, % | 96,55 | 89,47 | 89,47 | 89,47 |
| Npv, % | 97,93 | 92,90 | 92,90 | 92,90 |
| SD | 2,36 | 2,525 | 2,525 | 2,525 |
| Cl (95%) | 4,30-6,23 | 5,89-8,00 | 5,89-8,00 | 5,89-8,00 |
| M-mean, SD-standard deviation, Ci-confidence interval. |

| Table 3: Data set of all operated with a cam and mix form of FAI with gamma angle values more than 3° on AP and DRM 90 hips X-rays. |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| **Gama angle (°)** | **AP-RTG** | **DRM-90 RTG** | **All** |
| 3° | 6 | 8 | 15 |
| 4° | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| 5° | 4 | 7 | 11 |
| 6° | 10 | 8 | 18 |
| 7° | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| 8° | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 9° | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| 10° | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| 11° | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 12° | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 13° | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| M | 6,30 | 5,897 | 5,897 |
| SD | 2,5339 | 2,8635 | 2,8635 |
| Cl 95% | 5,52–7,07 | 5,12–6,81 | 5,12–6,81 |
| M-mean, SD-standard deviation, Ci-confidence interval. |

| Table 4: Prevalence, specificity, sensitivity, the positive and negative predictive value of gamma angle on preoperative AP and DRM90 X-rays of the hip people operated from the cam and mix form of FAI. |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| **AP-RTG** | **DRM 90 RTG** |
| **FAI** | **CAM** | **MIX** | **CAM+MIX** | **CAM** | **MIX** | **CAM+MIX** |
| **Nbr.of** | 28 | 12 | 40 | 22 | 17 | 39 |
| **Sensit. %** | 90,32 | 90,32 | 90,32 | 90,32 | 78,43 | 90,32 |
| **Spec. %** | 99,30 | 99,30 | 99,30 | 99,30 | 78,43 | 99,30 |
| **Ppv, %** | 96,55 | 96,55 | 96,55 | 96,55 | 89,47 | 96,55 |
| **Npv, %** | 97,93 | 94,67 | 92,81 | 94,74 | 97,96 | 92,90 |
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Table 5: Preoperative data set offset index values on l and p femoral neck axes on AP and DRM90 X-rays of the hips.

| RTG | AP RTG-offset index | DRM-90 RTG-offset index | AP RTG-all | DRM90-all |
|-----|---------------------|-------------------------|------------|-----------|
|     | Cam FAI | Mix FAI | Cam FAI | Mix FAI | Offset index | Offset index |
| Axis | l | p | l | p | l | p | l | p |
| Number | 27 | 28 | 13 | 13 | 28 | 28 | 22 | 22 |
| M    | 0,537 | 0,497 | 0,647 | 0,579 | 0,546 | 0,494 | 0,721 | 0,676 |
| SD   | 0,149 | 0,173 | 0,109 | 0,147 | 0,162 | 0,187 | 0,065 | 0,082 |
| CI 95% | 0,48-0,59 | 0,43-0,56 | 0,59-0,71 | 0,50-0,66 | 0,49-0,61 | 0,42-0,56 | 0,44-0,50 | 0,64-0,71 |
| p 0,05 | 0,373 | 0,228 | 0,276 | 0,062 | 0,750 | 0,150 |
| Effect size | 0,249 | 0,532 | 0,284 | 0,595 | 0,062 | 0,293 |
| Power | 0,144 | 0,254 | 0,172 | 0,485 | 0,046 | 0,304 |
| Sample size | 510 | 510 | 114 | 114 | 392 | 392 | 136 | 136 |

M- mean, SD- standard deviation; CI confidence interval; Effect size-Cohran coefficient for Student two-tailed-test, power: Post-hoc statistical power for a Student t-test; sample size-A-priori sample size for students-tests-Minimum total sample size (two-tailed hypothesis);
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statistical correlation of the gamma angle and offset indexes. Gamma angle measures and quantify femoral head angular inclination on the femoral neck inner third. Murray (2) was the first who measured femoral head translation. He determined the vertical distance between the femoral head center and the femoral neck axis. His axis connected middles to the intertrochanteric line and the femoral neck inner third line. In patients with before existed hips arthritis, he used the AP hips X-rays. Femoral head translation distance from the neck axis he reported in millimetres. Murray couldn’t apply the method to the profile hips X-rays. This method quantified femoral head translation and suggested it as a cause of hip arthritis. Goodman (21) measured femoral head translation too. He used femoral neck axis and femoral head epiphysis in the adults'. Proximal femur cadaver bones and the X-rays of the same he tested. He didn’t define his method. Goodman used femoral neck axis and a femoral head epiphysis line in adult hips. His line of the epiphysis was invisible on the hips X-rays. Albert et al. on the nuclear magnetic resonance imaging, measured femoral head inclination. He determined the angle between the femoral neck axis and the proximal femoral epiphysis line (22). In adolescents, Southwick measured slipping of the femoral head epiphysis before its closure. He measured the head-shaft angle between the epiphysis line and femoral diaphysis [31]. Muggier presented two femoral neck-lines in drawing femoral neck axis. He measured femoral head translation using an index. This index presented a vertical distance between the femoral head center and the' real femoral neck axis’. This idea mimics Murray’s method [32]. Andjelkovic Z. As suggested on the gamma angle existence. This angle he drew on cadaveric femora and radiographic images of these femora. He tested the two parallel lines role in drawing the femoral neck axis [23]. Andjelkovic V measured gamma angle in adults asymptomatic hips. Gamma angle of less than 3° was found. He proposed gamma angle measuring in symptomatic adults hips. This study proposes the measurement method for femoral head inclination. Further studies are necessary to check this method in the larger groups of the patients. We recommend intra-observer and inter-observer evaluation of the results, our data requires standardization the method of two parallel line femoral neck axis drawing. Comparison of the gamma angle values on the pre- and postoperative hips X-rays is necessary. Gamma and alpha angle relationships could give an answer of cam deformity development in the femoral head-neck junction.

Conclusion

We presented a new method to draw, test and measure gamma angle on the hips X-rays. We applied this method to the persons with the cam and mixed form of femoroacetabular impingement. This angle could be a proper tool to measure femoral head angular inclination in the adults.
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