L-ascorbyl 6-palmitate as lead compound targeting SphK1: an in silico and in vitro investigation
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Abstract
Sphingosine kinases (SphKs) are a class of lipid kinases, that have received extensive attention as important rate-limiting enzyme in tumor. Inhibition of the activity of SphK1 can lead to an anticancer effect. Herein, we describe the discovery process and biological characteristics of a new SphK1 inhibitor, ascorbyl palmitate, discovered through computer-aided drug design. Biochemical experiments show that ascorbyl palmitate has a strong inhibitory effect on SphK1, with an IC50 value of 6.4 μM. The MTT experiment showed that ascorbyl palmitate had anti-cancer effects toward the U87, A549, 22RV1, and A375 cell lines. Among them, ascorbyl palmitate has prominent inhibitory activity against the 22RV1 cell line, with an IC50 value of 41.57 μM. To explore the structure–activity relationship, four ascorbyl palmitate derivatives were synthesized and tested for kinase activity. The outstanding effect of ascorbyl palmitate toward SphK1 and its known non-toxicity suggest that ascorbyl palmitate may be a lead compound for the development of effective SphK1 anti-cancer inhibitors.
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Introduction
Sphingosine kinases (SphKs), as lipid kinases, have two different subtypes (SphK1/SphK2). They are closely related to the occurrence and development of cancers.1 They participate in the progression of cancers in two different ways: one is the role of SphKs as an oncogene,2 and the other is the role of their metabolite sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P).3 They are involved in the regulation of a variety of signaling pathways through the production of S1P, which mediates a variety of biological functions such as calcium mobilization, mitogenesis, apoptosis, cell motility, and angiogenesis. Reducing the production of S1P by inhibiting SphKs can inhibit the occurrence and development of some diseases.4 As far as SphK1 is concerned, it has been shown to be highly expressed in a variety of cancer cells such as ovarian, cervical, colon, stomach, lung, and brain cancer.5,6 Overexpressed SphK1 can not only stimulate cell growth but also cause malignant transformations of normal cells.7 In contrast, SphK2 is much more complicated, and its effect on cells mainly depends on the degree of overexpression of SphK2.8 Also, due to the uncertainty of the structure and function of SphK2, SphK1 inhibitors are still more frequently used.9 In recent years, SphKs inhibitors have been studied; some of which have entered clinical trials.10 For example, ABC294640 has an inhibitory effect on the growth of prostate cancer and colorectal cancer, is also effective for other solid tumors, and has completed a phase I clinical trial (NCT01488513).11 There are some excellent SphK1 inhibitors, such as PF-543,
Com23, Com83, SKI-II, Com54, SK1-I, and SKI-178 (Figure 1).\textsuperscript{12,13}

Computer-aided drug design has been recognized as an important tool for the discovery of drugs.\textsuperscript{14} Structure-based virtual screening is a common technique used by most pharmaceutical companies as well as certain academic groups in the early stages of drug development. Analysis of various SphK1 protein crystal structures also provides convenience for the virtual screening of SphK1 inhibitors. The crystal structure of the SphK1 protein was first reported in 2013.\textsuperscript{15} It was proposed that there is a polar head and a hydrophobic tail in the SphK1 protein cavity, and the key amino acids Asp81, Leu268, and Asp178 play a key role in the polar part. Therefore, in this study, we used the SphK1 inhibitors mentioned above (Figure 1) to construct some pharmacophore models, and the pharmacophore models are then evaluated. The compound library was initially screened using the optimal pharmacophore model, and then the selected compounds were molecularly docked with SphK1 (3vzb). Finally, in order to obtain potential SphK1 inhibitors, the compounds with the best docking scores were tested for SphK1 kinase activity. Figure 2 shows a flowchart of the virtual screening.

Results and discussion

Establishment and evaluation of the pharmacophore model

In this study, all operations related to computer-aided drug design were performed with BIOVIA Discovery Studio 2020 (DS2020). First is the construction and validation of pharmacophore models. Based on the principle of the diversity of active molecular structures of a training set, seven SphK1 antagonists with high activity reported in the literature were selected to construct the pharmacophore models. The training set is shown in Figure 1. The construction and evaluation of the pharmacophore model refer to earlier reports.\textsuperscript{16,17} The Supporting Information provides the detailed process of the pharmacophore model construction. In this study, the hydrophobic (H) characteristics, hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA), hydrogen bond donor (HBD), and aromatic ring center (R) were selected as the characteristic pharmacophore elements. Using the Common Feature Pharmacophore Generation module of the DS2020 software, 10 models were constructed based on the active conformations of the training set molecules. Figure 3(a) shows the scoring situation of 10 models. From the scoring ranking and pharmacodynamic feature elements of Figure 3(a), we find that models 1–3 have more pharmacophore characteristics and higher rankings. In order to further evaluate the effect of these models, 15 active compounds and 15 inactive compounds (Supplemental Figure S1) were used as a testing set to evaluate these 10 models again. As shown in the heat map Figure 3(b), the pharmacophore model 3 had a high matching value with the 15 active compounds, and a low matching value with the 15 inactive compounds.\textsuperscript{18} Figure 3(c) shows the composite diagram between model 3 and the SphK1 inhibitor PF-543. It can be found from Figure 3(c) that the polar head of PF-543 has HBA characteristics. The rest of the pharmacophore features are hydrophobic characteristics. This model coincides with the “J-shaped” hydrophobic cavity of SphK1. Therefore, model 3 was selected for preliminary screening of the compound library.

Virtual screening of databases

Based on the results of the pharmacophore evaluation, we chose model 3 as the optimum model to screen the Selleck database. Next, the Selleck database containing 99,040 compounds was filtered to rule out compounds that do not maintain drug-likeness and the remaining 51,020 compounds were optimized by the Prepare Ligand Procedure module in the DS2020 software. And these 51,020 compounds were virtually screened by the optimal pharmacophore model 3. According to the ranking of fit values, the top 4324 compounds were selected for molecular docking. The 100 compounds with the highest docking scores were then analyzed for interactions with SphK1. According to the interactions of the compounds with the key amino acid residues Asp81 and Asp178, 12 compounds were selected as potential SphK1 inhibitors. Figure 4 shows the structures of these 12 compounds.

Kinase inhibitory activities

These 12 compounds were tested for their inhibition rate against SphK1 at a concentration of 10\textmu M. Their inhibition rates on SphK1 at a concentration of 10\textmu M are shown in Table 1. From Table 1, it is seen that at a concentration of 10\textmu M, most of the compounds had no inhibitory effect on SphK1. However, the two compounds numbered S2532 and S2118 were outstanding, especially S2532 which inhibited SphK1 by 61.8% at a concentration of 10\textmu M.

The SphKs assays were carried out as described previously.\textsuperscript{19} From Table 1, it can be seen that the compounds numbered S2532 and S2118 have good SphK1 inhibitory effects. So, we continued to evaluate their IC50 value against SphK1 and SphK2. As shown in Table 2, compounds numbered S2532 and S2118 had no inhibitory effect on SphK2, and compound S2532 has better SphK1 inhibitory activity with an IC50 value of 6.414 \textmu M. This also shows that compound S2532 is a highly selective SphK1 inhibitor.

Cytotoxic activity

From the structure of compound numbered S2532, we can see that it is ascorbyl palmitate (AP). Although AP, as a fat-soluble form of vitamin C (VC), is not a natural substance, its raw materials, VC and palmitic acid, are both natural ingredients. AP is not only harmless to the human body but also has certain nutritional properties.\textsuperscript{20} AP, as an anti-cancer compound, has attracted widespread interest due to its lipophilicity, which allows it to easily pass through cell membranes.\textsuperscript{21} But so far, there is no report that it has an inhibitory effect against SphK1. It is known that cells with high SphK1 expression are distributed in glioma, melanoma, prostate cancer, lung cancer, and liver cancer. So, these five cell lines
Figure 1. Examples of SphK1 inhibitors.
ND: not determined.

Figure 2. The flowchart of the virtual screening.

Figure 3. (a) The scores of 10 models (H stands for hydrophobic characteristics, R stands for aromatic ring center, D stands for hydrogen bond donor, and A stands for hydrogen bond acceptor). (b) The heat map of 10 models (the red square represents the higher the match between the compounds and the models). (c) The composite diagram between model 3 and PF-543 (the green ball represents the hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA), and the blue ball represents the hydrophobic characteristic).
were used to test the cytotoxic activity of AP. Table 3 shows that AP does not exhibit strong anti-cancer effects compared to cisplatin, but it had certain anti-cancer effects toward the U87, A549, 22RV1, and A375 cell lines. Among them, AP has a prominent inhibitory activity against the 22RV1 cell line, with an IC50 value of 41.57 μM.

Structure derivatization of AP

AP has a prominent role in inhibiting the activity of SphK1, so we used it as the lead compound for structural derivatization. The synthesis of the AP derivatives is shown in Scheme 1. Their synthesis was carried out using a "one-pot" method. Carboxylic acids (10 mmol), DMAC (15 mL), and CH2Cl2 (5 mL) were added to a flask. Next, SOCl2 (13 mmol) was slowly added dropwise at 0 °C. The mixture was reacted at 10 °C for 0.5 h, and then L-ascorbic acid (12 mmol) was added. One h later, AP derivatives in high yield were obtained. The Supporting Information provides the complete synthetic procedure and NMR data of compounds 3a–d.

Kinase activity of the AP derivatives 3a–d

In order to further study the structure–activity relationship between the AP derivatives and SphK1, VC and compounds 3a–d were preliminarily screened for SphK1 activity. Table 4 shows their inhibition rates toward SphK1 at a concentration of 10 μM. It can be seen from the table that VC has no inhibitory effect on SphK1, and it can only inhibit SphK1 when its side chain contains longer substitutions. This shows that, for AP derivatives, the terminal alkyl side chain is essential for inhibitory activity against SphK1.

Table 1. The inhibition rates of the 12 selected compounds against SphK1 at 10 μM.

| Compound | Inhibition (%)a | Compound | Inhibition (%) |
|----------|----------------|----------|----------------|
| S7441    | NT             | S4297    | NT             |
| S3727    | NT             | S7526    | NT             |
| S5398    | NT             | S5075    | NT             |
| S2532    | 61.8           | S2118    | 25.0           |
| S4296    | NT             | S7179    | NT             |
| S5483    | NT             | S1799    | NT             |

NT: no activity detected.

aValues are percent inhibitions of SphK1 at 10 μM, averages of two separate experiments, standard deviations were ±5%.

Table 2. The inhibitory effects of compounds numbered S2532 and S2118 against SphKs.

| Compound | IC50 (μM) | Selectivity (SphK1/SphK2) |
|----------|-----------|---------------------------|
| S2532    | 6.414 ± 0.030 | >100 15.59                |
| S2118    | 87.443 ± 0.057 | >100 1.14                |
| PF-543   | 0.052 ± 0.007 | 6.1 117                   |

Figure 4. The structures of the 12 compounds selected for study of the inhibition of SphK1 (the number of the compound corresponds to its catalog number in the Selleck database).
Docking of AP and SphK1

We performed molecular docking analysis on the crystal structure of AP and the SphK1 protein. Figure 5 shows a schematic diagram of the two-dimensional and three-dimensional interactions between AP and SphK1. As shown in Figure 5(a), AP is filled in the “J-shaped” cavity of SphK1, and its polar head can form hydrogen bond interactions with the key amino acid residues Asp81 and Leu268. The longer alkyl side chain can also fill the cavity well. And as shown in Figure 5(b), the longer hydrophobic side chain of AP can form multiple hydrophobic interactions with Phe288, His311, Leu319, and Met312. Therefore, perhaps the better interaction between the entire AP molecule and SphK1 determines its better kinase inhibitory effect.

Conclusion

In summary, we have reported the discovery of new SphK1 inhibitors by a computer-aided virtual screening approach and biological validations. It was determined by in vitro enzymatic activity that compounds numbered S2532 and S2118 have the potential to inhibit SphK1 activity. Among them, compound S2532 (AP) shows good SphK1 inhibitory activity and selectivity, with an IC₅₀ value of 6.4 μM against SphK1. Meanwhile, AP reduced 22RV1 cell growth with an IC₅₀ value of 40 μM. Although AP displayed relatively poor activity compared to cisplatin, it could still be utilized as an excellent lead for the further development of SphK1 inhibitors due to its outstanding effect on SphK1 and the fact that it is known to be non-toxic.

Experimental

Materials

Vitamin C, thionyl chloride, N,N-dimethylacetamide, dichloromethane, sodium bicarbonate, fetal bovine serum,
and other materials were obtained from commercial sources and were used without further purification.

**Apparatus**

High-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) was performed on an AB SCIEX X500R Accurate Mass Q-TOF using electrospray ionization (ESI). The NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM-600 spectrometer (Billerica, MA, USA) with tetramethylsilane as the internal standard.

**Synthesis**

The synthesis of AP derivatives was carried out using a “one-pot” method. Scheme 1 shows the general synthetic method for the compounds 3a–d. Carboxylic acid (10 mmol) with different substituents, DMAc (15 mL), and CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) were added to a flask and the mixture stirred at room temperature. Next, SOCl₂ (13 mmol) was slowly added dropwise at 0 °C. The mixture was reacted at 10 °C for 0.5 h, and then L-ascorbic acid (12 mmol) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred, heated, and maintained at 25 °C for 1 h. A new spot appeared according to thin-layer chromatography (TLC). The reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. The organic layer was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to dryness to provide the crude product, which was purified by silica gel chromatography to give the desired product. The Supporting Information contains the NMR data of compounds 3a–d.

- (S)-(R)-2-((R)-3,4-dihydroxy-5-oxo-2,5-dihydrofuran-2-yl)-2-hydroxyethyl decanoate (3a). White solid; 95% yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 4.73 (d, J = 2.01 Hz, 1H), 4.19-4.28 (m, 2H), 4.07-4.10 (m, 1H), 2.37 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.57-1.67 (m, 2H), 1.24-1.38 (m, 12H), 0.89 (t, J = 6.46 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 173.76, 171.74, 152.69, 118.68, 75.81, 66.69, 64.41, 33.48, 31.62, 29.15, 28.99, 28.98, 28.77, 24.57, 22.30, 13.01. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₂₈O₇: 317.1835; found: 317.1753.

- (S)-(R)-2-((R)-3,4-dihydroxy-5-oxo-2,5-dihydrofuran-2-yl)-2-hydroxyethyl nonanoate (3b). White solid; 90% yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 4.73 (d, J = 2.03 Hz, 1H), 4.16-4.27 (m, 2H), 4.06-4.12 (m, 1H), 2.37 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.59-1.68 (m, 2H), 1.25-1.39 (m, 10H), 0.90 (t, J = 6.43 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 174.02, 171.73, 152.65, 118.69, 75.80, 66.68, 64.43, 37.08, 32.72 (2C), 32.05, 31.10, 26.24, 25.94 (2C). HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₂₅O₇: 317.1522; found: 317.1548.

- (S)-(R)-2-((R)-3,4-dihydroxy-5-oxo-2,5-dihydrofuran-2-yl)-2-hydroxyethyl (1S,4r)-4'-pentyl-[1,1'-bi(cyclohexane)]-4-carboxylate (3c). White solid; 94% yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 4.73 (d, J = 2.09 Hz, 1H), 4.17-4.21 (m, 1H), 4.17-4.21 (m, 1H), 4.07-4.10 (m, 1H), 3.67 (t, J = 4.54 Hz, 3H), 3.56 (t, J = 4.95 Hz, 3H), 2.37 (t, J = 7.43 Hz, 2H), 1.60-1.65 (m, 2H), 1.24-1.39 (m, 18H), 0.89 (t, J = 6.99 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 173.75, 171.73, 152.57, 118.69, 75.79, 72.12 (2C), 66.66, 64.40, 60.86 (2C), 33.47, 31.67, 29.33 (3C), 29.20, 29.06 (2C), 29.00, 28.78, 24.58, 22.33, 13.04. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₄H₃₉O₇: 438.2618; found: 439.2686.

- (S)-(R)-2-((R)-3,4-dihydroxy-5-oxo-2,5-dihydrofuran-2-yl)-2-hydroxyethyl octanoate (3d). White solid; 92% yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 4.74 (d, J = 2.02 Hz, 1H), 4.17-4.28 (m, 2H), 4.07-4.11 (m, 1H), 2.37 (t, J = 7.43 Hz, 2H), 1.57-1.67 (m, 2H), 1.24-1.39 (m, 8H), 0.90 (t, J = 6.42 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 173.85, 171.73, 152.61, 118.72, 75.83, 66.74, 64.42, 33.57, 31.46, 28.78, 28.70, 24.61, 22.29, 13.13. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₂₃O₇: 303.1366; found: 303.1433.

The 1H and 13C NMR spectra for compounds 3a–d in detail can be found in the Supporting Information.

**The kinase test in vitro**

The SphKs assays were carried out as described previously. The assay was performed using Kinase-Glo Plus luminescence kinase assay kit (purchased from Promega, Fitchburg, USA). It measures kinase activity by quantitating the amount of ATP remaining in solution following a kinase reaction.
Here, we used PF-543 (purchased from Selleckchem, Houston, TX, USA) as a positive control. The reaction system was 50µL. Compounds S2532 and S2118 were dissolved in pure DMSO to prepare 10mM stock solutions and diluted with Kinase buffer (pH = 7.4, composition: 40mM L−1 Tris, 10mM L−1 MgCl₂, 0.1g L−1 BSA, 1mM L−1 DTT, and 10µM L−1 ATP). SphK1/2 was added to 96-well plates, which were then treated with desired concentrations of S2532 or S2118 (0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, and 100µM) for 40 min at 30°C. The ATP test solution was then added, and the mixtures were incubated at room temperature for 5 min. The luminescence was immediately measured using a microplate spectrophotometer (AD 340, Beckman, USA). Finally, GraphPad Prism 5 software was used to analyze the data.
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