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Abstract
This exploratory study deals with the depiction of karma theory from Śrī Kṛṣṇa to Arjuna before the commencement of the Mahābhārata war. The philosophy of niskāma karma is a doctrine to consider being the ground stone of the entire Hindu philosophical outlook. The theory of karma is based on the niskām-karma. This research article addresses human beings to give up the attachment and the fruit regarding the karma. The objectives of this research are to highlight the importance of niskāma karma for human beings and to show how Śrī Kṛṣṇa motivates Arjuna to perform niskām-karma. The analysis is primarily based on Swāmī Vevekānanda’s karma theory which confirms the realization of righteousness and God from niskāma karma. The researcher has used English translation of the Sanskrit text of Franklin Edgerton as the primary text. The findings of this investigation endow with evidences that the text has used niskāma karma to motivate human beings to do the same for self-satisfaction, righteousness, and justice in society following the footsteps of Śrī Kṛṣṇa. The conclusion of this article suggests that Śrī Kṛṣṇa is a far-sighted first motivational speaker of the earth to improve the activities of human beings and for the establishment of peace, justice, and righteousness on the earth.
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Introduction

Tracing Karmayoga in the Śrimad Bhāgavata Gītā

"Your right is to work only and never to the fruit thereof. Do not consider yourself to be the cause of the fruit of action; nor let your attachment be to inaction"¹ (Śrimad Bhāgavata-Gītā 2: 47). Aforementioned philosophy of the Bhāgavata-Gītā shows that human beings
should give up the attachment to the object of desire and go on performing their activities. This idea attracts the attention of academicians highlighting that this text is not only about philosophy, theology, and spirituality but also instructs us to work as a part of life without any sign of attachment in it. On this ground, one can argue that \textit{karma} (action) is \textit{svadharma} fruit and result is not the matter of concern. Śrī Kṛṣṇa's teaching to Arjuna about the importance of \textit{karma} is universal. In this context, Govinda Bhattacharjee in \textit{Bhagavata Gīta} quotes: "supreme duty is action without desire" (10). But action without desire does not mean the unmotivated action but acting with a sagacious thought of submission to God.

The literal meaning of the \textit{Bhāgavata-Gītā} is "The Lord's Song" (Bhattacharjee 10). With the support of this idea, we can argue that Śrī Kṛṣṇa is the first motivational speaker on the earth. It is a precious literary work of the \textit{Hindu} philosophy. The philosophical discussion of Śrī Kṛṣṇa is able to persuade the reluctant Arjuna to participate in the \textit{Mahābhārata} war. Thus, the \textit{Bhāgavata-Gītā} is a part of the \textit{Mahābhārata}. The \textit{Hindus} believe that the voice of Śrī Kṛṣṇa is scattered in the text. The lessons delivered by Śrī Kṛṣṇa to Arjuna before the war of the \textit{Mahābhārata} can help anybody. In this connection, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan writes in confirmation with this opinion:"It is a book conveying lessons of philosophy, religion and ethics…. if the hold which is a work has on the mind of man in any clue to its importance" (519). The word \textit{karma} is derived from the Sanskrit word \textit{kri} (to do) and \textit{Yog} means "union." Thus, \textit{karmayoga} shows the path of union through activities.

If human beings have obligation to violate rules and ethics for the completion of their duty, they are perplexed like Arjuna in the \textit{Mahābhārata}. In this regard, one can say that rules and ethics may be barriers for certain activities. Providing the ground for this opinion, Elizabeth Clare and Patricia Spadaro incorporate their view in \textit{Karma and Reincarnation}: "\textit{Karma} picks up when the golden rule leaves up" (9). To strengthen the argument, we can opine that \textit{karma} is our benefactor and teacher to learn from our mistakes. If we keep on following rules and ethics, it will create problems in our actions. Arjuna's indecisiveness and dilemma in the \textit{Mahābhārata} are the indecisiveness and the dilemma of everyone who has to engage in some form of activity. From the psychology of Arjuna, one postulates that the \textit{Bhāgavata-Gītā} explores different dimensions of humans' life as an individual, as a social being and as a businessman. Here, the argument forwarded by R. S. Garg is considerable. He argues that the \textit{Bhāgavata-Gītā} "deals both with practical life and ideal life" (13). This discussion heads to the analysis of the development of \textit{karmayoga} in the \textit{Bhāgavata-Gītā}.

**Problems, Objectives and Methodology**

\textit{Karma} theory is one of the fundamental features of the \textit{Hindu} philosophy. Human conduct of the ethical world view is the ground stone of this theory. With the support of this idea, K. Tiwary argues that the \textit{karma} theory "is said to be the entire universe which means that it is applied to both human and non-human beings" (21). Concerning such argument, one can
say that karma is the base for human and non-human beings to lead to their destination. Arjuna hesitates to participate enthusiastically in the war of the Mahābhārata. This creates problem for the study. In this endeavor, the study seeks answers to the following research questions:

- What is niskāma karma and how it is important for human beings?
- Why does Śrī Kṛṣṇa highlight niskāma karma to Arjuna in the Bhāgavata-Gītā?

The major objectives of this study are to explore niskāma karma and its importance for human beings in the Bhāgavata-Gītā. It discusses how Śrī Kṛṣṇa motivates Arjuna to participate in the war of the Mahābhārata explaining the use of niskāma karma in the life of human beings.

To uncover the different dimensions inherent within the idea of Karmayoga, the researcher embraces qualitative research methodology. To support the notion of karma, there is the application of Swāmī Vivekānanda's niskāma karma theory. The theorist stresses on the idea of karma theory with his logic: "Give up all fruits of work; do good for its own sake; then alone will come perfect non-attachment" (60). The theorist stresses on the idea of karma in the life of human beings. For the analysis of this research, the researcher uses the Bhāgavata-Gītā translated and commented by Franklin Edgerton. Vivekananda stresses on the non-attachment like the precepts of Śrī Kṛṣṇa to Arjuna in the Bhāgavata-Gītā.

**Review of Literature**

**Place of Bhāgavata-Gītā in Sanskrit**

The Bhāgavata-Gītā is a widely read text for the philosophy of karmayoga. Its philosophy draws the attention of many readers, writers and critics. They have expressed their views differently. In the logic of Bhaktivedanta Swāmī Prabhupāda: "Indeed, no work even compares in its revelations of man's essential nature, his environment, and ultimately, his relationship with God" (Xviii). The interpreter highlights the popularity of this text in relation to environment and God. The concept of S. Sharma in Karmayoga contradicts with Prabhupāda and he explicates that the Bhāgavata-Gītā addresses organizational problems (105). This idea extends the scope of karmayoga for modern people. In his counter argument, R.S. Garg discusses in his text Gītā for Success in Modern Life that "the Gītā does not talk of any religion at all. There is no mention of religion even at a single śloka (4). Responding to such claim, one argues that the text does not belong only for the sake of the Hindus. This idea extends the horizon of the Bhāgavata-Gītā to succeed in various fields in the life of human beings. Of course, it is a manual book to conduct our lives easily and successfully.

Unlike Prabhupāda and R.S. Garg, Franklin Edgerton ponders on the popularity of the Bhāgavata-Gītā in the western countries. On the basis of this relation, he opines: "It has even had some influence on European and American literature of the last century" (196). This argument supports that the Bhāgavata-Gītā has become a base for the interpretation of human
life. Due to the study of this text in America and the European countries, we can argue that the importance of the Bhāgavata-Gītā is realized in the West. It is not only the religious text but also the philosophy of life so that everybody should study it to succeed in his/her life. The evaluation of Swāmī Vevekānanda on Śrī Kṛṣṇa in the Bhāgavata-Gītā supports him as a greatest yogi. In his view, he is "the greatest yogi as well as the wisest man" (qtd. in Agarwal 26). In this context, it is important to evoke Śrī Kṛṣṇa as a source of knowledge and he establishes himself as a motivational speaker and the philosopher in the text.

Unlike the above writers, critics, and scholars, R. Hogan expresses his attitude on the moral perspective of the Bhāgavata-Gītā. In this regard, the critic emphasizes on empathy as the "disposition to adopt a broad moral perspective, to take the moral point of view" (316). Explaining this statement, one can argue that the idea of the critic shows perplexed mind of Arjuna who hesitates to wage war against his kiths and kins in the Mahābhārata. Moving ahead in this line of logic, Smriti Agarwalla notes that the text instructs readers for "transformational leadership enhances organizational effectiveness" (13). She remarks that the Bhāgavata-Gītā is a ground stone to teach the skill of leadership for modern human beings. From the aforementioned reviews of the writers, critics, and scholars show that the Bhāgavata-Gītā is the nectarine ocean in which we can get every philosophy of life. Nevertheless, they have left the scope for the researchers to analyze this text from the perspective of Swāmī Vivekānanda's niskāma Karma theory.

Results and Discussion

Projection of Niskāma Karma in the Śrimad Bhāgavata-Gītā

The tendency of the body of human beings is to act; the Bhāgavata-Gītā states that the activities motivated by desires bind the soul into the cycle of birth and death. In this context, the text highlights the importance of niskāma karma from the view of Śrī Kṛṣṇa to Arjuna in the Bhāgavata-Gītā. It identifies the dimensions of niskāma karma in the absence of desires for achievements. As we go through the text, we can get the evidences in relation to niskāma karma from the philosophical ideas of Śrī Kṛṣṇa. In this connection, it is important to recall the precept of Śrī Kṛṣṇa: "But who takes delight in the self alone, / The man who finds contentment in the self, // And satisfaction only in the self, / For him there is found no action to perform" (3:17). Elaborating this statement, one argues that self-satisfaction is more significant than the other activities. In a similar context, Bhaktivedanta Swāmī Prabhupāda incorporates his opinion:"By such clearing of consciousness, one becomes fully confident of his eternal position in relationship with the Supreme" (190). In the general understanding of most readers and writers, everybody works for the fulfillment of his needs and desires but Śrī Kṛṣṇa inspires us to work for self-contentment.
Many scholars and academicians disagree in the concept of Niskāma Karma of Śrī Kṛṣṇa in the Bhāgavata-Gītā. Niskāma Karma largely remained unexplored in the modern world by academicians. To seek answers to such concerns, Śrī Kṛṣṇa explicates: "Abandoning all desires, what/ Man moves free from longing./ Without self- interest and egoism./ He goes to peace"⁵ (2:71). Aforementioned example confirms that human beings should not be the slave of their senses for better result from their activities. If the consequence of a work is negative, the person becomes unhappy. In this regard, Jayadayal Goyandaka remarks that "he regards the body as his self and cannot conceive of his existence apart from the body" (135). From such perspective, it can be argued that the interpreter postulates that a person should not think that his body is everything. If his activities are related to satisfy his body, he has his obligation to be selfish and cruel. On the basis of this relation, Ramananda Prasad notes that one should abandon selfish desire to become free from longing (40). The above discussion throws light about the selfless karma as the precepts of Śrī Kṛṣṇa with Arjuna before the commencement of the Mahābhārata war.

Śrī Kṛṣṇa has pointed out referring to Arjuna that he should act in yoga. Keeping it at the centre of attention, he discusses: "Abiding in discipline perform actions, / Abandoning attachment, Dhanamjaya/ Being indifferent to success or failure;/Discipline is defined as indifference"⁶ (Bhāgavata-Gītā 2:48). This argument supports the point that spiritual progress is necessary from the activities of human beings. Satya P. Agarwal has similar finding and the interpreter associates his ideas that "men as well as women are equally entitled to make spiritual progress" (35). This realization of the selfless activities in our lives shows that our karmas should belong to our spiritual works. Responding to such claims, Devdutt Pattanaik focuses that "karma is both action and reaction" (76). This standpoint justifies that both sakāma (action with the intention of result) and niskāma (action without the intention of result) are related to actions and reactions. Thus, it is apt to state that our action and reaction should be spiritual for the sake of self-satisfaction.

During the time of motivating Arjuna in the battlefield of Kurukṣetra, Śrī Kṛṣṇa gives an example of King Janaka to clarify karma. In his words: "For only through, perfection/ Attained Janaka and others. // Also, for the mere control of the world/ Having regarded, thou shouldst act"⁷ (Bhāgavata-Gītā 3:20). To strengthen the argument on the selfless karma, the motivational speaker expresses that king such as Janaka are conscious kings for the sake of niskāma karma. King Janaka is the father of Sitā and father-in-law of Lord Rāma. The king did everything for the sake of righteousness, truth, and justice. In this connection, Śrī Kṛṣṇa convinces Arjuna that justice, truth, and righteousness are better than the personal and familial relationship. In Prabhupāda's words: "One who is situated in Kṛṣṇa consciousness, may not have any interest in the world" (199). In this line of thought, one can argue that Kṛṣṇa consciousness surpasses the familial, personal, and social relationship. Karma, which relates to
dharma (righteousness), is better than other works. On this ground, R.S. Garg incorporates his notion: "Problem arises when one desires the result" (154). This idea further points to the reality that the activities of human beings should not be initiated with certain motive. If yes, they feel dramatic tensions in their minds. Thus, the presentation of king Janaka for the clarification of a good ruler is a model to establish him as an ideal king for the modern readers.

Śrī Kṛṣṇa claims what karma is in the Bhāgavata- Gītā. He states that karmas of human beings should be separated from their minds and senses. In the similar beliefs, he highlights: "Disciplined in discipline, with purified self, / Self-subdued, with senses overcome, // His self become the self of all beings, / Even acting, he is not stained" (5:7). The argument of karma turns out to be valid when Kṛṣṇa advises humans to control senses for better result of their karmas. In this connection, B.G. Tilak expresses his opinion: "Hence, the Gītā recommends that we keep our organs under control and allow them to perform the various activities, not for a selfish purpose, but apathetically, without desire, and for the welfare of others" (3). From this standpoint, what he argues seems to be plausible and believable. It proves that the karma which is related to the satisfaction to our senses creates problems for others. The aforementioned example confirms that there should not be the sign of selfishness in our activities. Elaborating this argument, Ashish Rastogi and Surya Prakash Pati are apt to state that "A karma yogi does not expect even a return of love, appreciation, gratitude or admiration from the people he is serving" (57). Explaining this statement, we note that a karma yogi should perform his activities without expecting anything from others. It shows the characteristics of a real karma yogi in the Bhāgavata-Gītā.

Śrī Kṛṣṇa gives another example of a Sanyāsī (renouncer) to Arjuna to highlight niskāma karma in the Bhāgavata-Gītā. Basing his interpretation on such idea, he points out: "Not interested in the fruit of action, / Who does action that is required// He is possessor of both renunciation and discipline; / Not he who builds no sacred fires and does no acts" (6:1). It proves that Bhāgavata-Gītā presents sufficient evidences to prove the validity of niskāma karma. A Sanyāsī realizes that he is free from the material duties. In this line of thought, Paranhansa Yogananda remarks: "The Sanyāsī emphasizes the external conditions of desirelessness and nonattachment in order to maintain the consciousness of God in his activities" (586). To add more bricks to this line of argument, one can say that human beings should follow the activities of Sanyāsī for the performance of selfless karmas. In this regard, Elizabeth Clare and Patricia Spadaro stress on the same idea with their logic that "Your soul knows why you are in karmic relationship" (95). The analysis of this text discussed in this subject shows the importance of niskāma karma citing an example of a Sanyāsī. Like the Sanyāsī, everybody should realize from soul the distinction between goodness and badness.

Śrī Kṛṣṇa reaches the level of thinking everything from the level of niskāma karma. Arjuna represents all human beings who are reluctant to perform actions against ethics. It is
important to remember that Śrī Kṛṣṇa does not show crave for the fruits of actions. Concerning such argument, he elaborates: "Actions do not stain Me, / I have no yearning for the fruit of actions.//Who comprehends Me thus/ Is not bound by actions" (Bhāgavata-Gītā 4:14). During the time of teaching about the importance of karma, Śrī Kṛṣṇa notes his attitude to karma. He expresses that he is not responsible in the activities of human beings and other creatures. He claims that he is the creator of the material world even though he is not affected by the activities of this world. With the similar belief, Mackenzie Brown focuses on the fact that one must not neglect established duties (199). This view highlights the idea that everybody should do his karma selflessly. In the general understanding of most people, every action has certain motif but the view of Śrī Kṛṣṇa contradicts this notion in relation to niskāma karma. In this context, the idea of Bimal Chandra Gogoi is reliable. The analyst argues: "A true karmayogi offers the fruits of action to God and works for the benefit of the society without any pride" (72). If one dedicates his actions to God, there is no sign of selfishness in his karma. In the same way, Śrī Kṛṣṇa tells Arjuna to participate in the war thinking that it is his selfless work. To fight in the war for righteousness is also the selfless work.

Śrī Kṛṣṇa, being the spokes person of the Bhāgavata-Gītā, gives continuation in his selfless karmas in the cosmos. In his words: " And Me these actions do not/ Bind, Dhanamjaya, // Sitting in as one sitting out/ Unattached to these actions" (9:9). Commenting upon this argument, we can say that Śrī Kṛṣṇa is not attached to his karma and he suggests Arjuna and other human beings to follow him. In this connection, Kṛṣṇa establishes himself as a role model in favor of selfless karma. Responding to such claim, Ricardo Sousa Silvestre argues:"Our actions have also moral and psychological effects, which might occur either in this life or in future ones" (4). With the support of this idea, one can opine that the karmas of human beings should relate to morality for the well-being of others. If karma has its relation to dharma, it may not create problems in the life of human beings. Basing his argument on such idea, Mikel Burley opines that karma and rebirth describe the situation of human beings (965). Aforementioned argument confirms that the karmas of human beings are not related to their lives and their effects become the base for the sake of next birth. In this situation, one can elaborate on karmas without the sign of selfishness which make a person happy in this life and may have its connection to the next birth.

Śrī Kṛṣṇa highlights the superiority of karmayoga to jñānayoga to Arjuna in the Bhāgavata-Gītā. Elaborating on his argument, he states: "Renunciation and discipline of action/ Both lead to supreme weal.// But of these two, rather than renunciation of action,/ Discipline of action is superior" (5:2). In this context, it is interesting to say that good karma surpasses jñāna (knowledge) so that modern human beings are encouraged to perform fruitive activities. With this idea at the centre of attention, Prabhupāda intensifies:"But action in Kṛṣṇa consciousness automatically helps one to escape the result of fruitive action so that one needs
not descend to the material platform” (294). This argument supports the point that humans should be more conscious about Kṛṣṇa from their actions in relation to him than to have knowledge about him. It reveals that good karma is the root of dharma as the precept of Śrī Kṛṣṇa in the Bhāgavata-Gītā. In the same line of argument, Zubin R. Mulla and Venkat R. Krishnan are apt to state good karma as the basis of "moral development" (2). With all these logical descriptions, one can remark that Śrī Kṛṣṇa motivates Arjuna to participate in the war of the Mahābhārata considering that it is his karma to fight for truth, justice, and righteousness of the Pandava Brothers.

Conclusion

The Bhāgavata-Gītā is not only the scripture of the Hindus but also the sāstra of all religions, ages, sexes so that everyone at any level can get nourishment. The problem faced by Arjuna at the beginning of the Mahābhārata war, is the problem human beings face at every moment of their lives. Like Arjuna, if a person takes shelter of Śrī Kṛṣṇa (budhi), by surrendering his ego, then viveka (wisdom) is sure to care him, leading him to the right direction. The welfare of good humans should be the object of all karmas like the precepts of Śrī Kṛṣṇa to Arjuna. Karma theory of the Bhāgavata-Gītā provides the social content to religion and the objective of our actions should be the welfare of the world. The significance of the Bhāgavata-Gītā and its instructive precepts of niskāma karma, is relevant and useful even at present. Niskāma Karma shows a realization of different aspects of spiritual life. The text indicates that human beings have obligation to participate in a battle as a part of life. In this condition, he should fight for the sake of justice, truth, and righteousness.

The Bhāgavata-Gītā traces that everybody should perform his prescribed duty to have good fruits of actions. The universal appeal of this text is niskāma karma should be motto of modern humans. If someone gives priority to work rather than its achievement, he realizes satisfaction while doing works. Human beings are directed for the performance of certain activities and they should not show the sign of attachment to their karmas. The lesson of this text is having universal value, which does not only protect our society from spiritual deadlock, but also saves the universal brotherhood and peaceful co-existence on the earth. In this way, niskāma karma operates in the entire domain of the universe. Non-attached activities are essential for human beings for attaining freedom from the impediments of karma.

References

Agarwal, S. P. (1995). The social message of the gita. 1st ed. Motilal Banarsidass.
Agarwalla, S. (2015). "Impacts of guṇas and karma yoga on transformational leadership. "Journal of human values. Sage Publication. p.13. http://jhv.sagepub.com
Bhattacharjee, G. (2021). "A study on bhāgavata-gītā". *Journal of research in humanities and social sciences*. Vol.1. Issue. 3. Quest Journals. p.10 www.questjournals.org.

Brown, Mackenzie. (2015). "The philosophy of Bal Gangadhar Tilak: karma vs. jñāna in the gītā rahasya." *The journal of asian studies*. 17(2). p.199. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2941466

Burley, Mikel. (2014). Karma and riberth in the stream of thought and life." *Philosophy of east and west*. University of Hawai. p.65.

Clare, Elizabeth and Patricia Spadaro. (2016). *Karma and reincarnation*. 1st ed.Jaico Publishing House.

Edgerton, Franklin (Trans.). (1996). *The bhagavad gītā*. 3rd ed. Motilal Banarsidass.

Gard, R. S. (2004). *Bhāgavata-gītā*. 2nd ed. New Age Books.

Garg, R. S. (2004). *Gītā for success in modern life*.2nd ed. New Age Books.

Gogoi, H. C. (2014)."Karmayoga of bhāgavata gītā– A philosophical analysis." *International journal of humanities*, 2(10), p.72. Dibrugar University, 2014.

Goyandaka, J. (2017). *Śrimad bhāgavata-gītā tattvavvecanī*. 29th ed. Gita Press.

Hogan, R. "Development of Empathy Scale."*Journal of consulting and clinical psychology*. Springer. p.316.

Mulla, Z. R. & Venkat R. K. (2013). *Karma-yoga: the indian model of moral development*, 4(1), p.2. Springer.

Pattanaik, D. (2015). *My Gītā*. 1st ed. Rupa Publications.

Prabhupāda, A. C. (2009). Bhaktivedanta Swāmī. *Bhagavad- gītā as it is*. 29th ed. The Bhaktivedanta Book Trust.

Prasad, R. (2014). *The Bhagavad- Gītā*. 6th ed. Motilal Banarsidass.

Radhakrishnan, S. (2002). *Indian philosophy*.Vol.1. Oxford UP.

Rastogi, A. & Surya P. P., (2015). "Towards a conceptualization of karma yoga." *Journal of human values*, p. 2. Sage Publications.

Rastogi, A. & Surya P. P., (2014). “Administration, and leadership." *Journal of human values*. Sage Publications, p.105.

Silvestre, Ricardo Sousa. (2016). "Karma theory, determinism, fatalism, and freedom of will.” *Logica universalis*. Vol. 8. Springer. p.4.

Tilak, B. G. (2015). *Śrimad bhāgavata-gītā-rahasya*. Kesari Press.

Tiwari, K. (1998). *Classical indian ethical thought*. Motilal Banarsidass Publishers Pvt. Ltd.
Appendix

1. कर्मण्येवाधिकारस्ते मा फलेषु कदाचन ।
   मा कर्मफलहेतुभूमैते सजोऽस्वकर्मणि ॥ २-४॥
2. यस्याः स्मरिते स्यादाभ्युप्तक्षण मानवः ।
   आत्मन्येव च सत्तेमस्त्स्तत्य कार्य न विद्यते ॥ ३-१॥
3. विहाय कामाय: सर्वस्यमांश्चर्कर्मणि निःसृष्टि: ।
   निर्मिती निरहुक्ताः स शाश्ववियमाच्छति ॥ २-७॥
4. योगस्य: क्रुः कर्मणि स्भ: त्वक्त्वा धनान्याय ।
   सिद्धिसिद्धिः समस्य भूतवा समलं योग उच्यते ॥ २-४॥
5. कर्मणी वहि संसिद्धिमांश्चता जनकादय: ।
   लोकसङ्गमेवजस्य सम्प्रत्यक्तुस्मृतस्त् ॥ ३-२॥
6. योगयुक्तो विशुद्धाम् विजितात्मा बिजेन्द्रियः ।
   सर्वभूतामभूताम कुर्मध्रपि न लिङ्गते ॥ ५-७॥
7. अनाधितो: कर्मफलं कार्य कर्म करोऽधित: ।
   स संयत्सी च योगी च न निर्भ्रम्न चाक्रिय: ॥ ६-१॥
8. न मा कर्मणि लिङ्गति न मे कर्मफले स्थूहा ।
   इति मा योश्च सहितानि कर्मभरनि स वाच्यते ॥ ४-१॥
9. न च मा तानि कर्मणि निबन्धन्ति धनान्याय ।
   उदासीनवदासीनसङ्गते तेषु कर्मचु: ॥ ९-१॥
10. संयत्स: कर्मयोगश्च निश्चयायकाराय: ।
    तयोस्तु कर्मसंयत्साल्कनयऽविशिष्यते ॥ ५-२॥