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Abstract

There is a long history of using optical emission and absorption lines to constrain the metallicity and ionization parameters of gas in galaxies. However, comparable diagnostics are less well developed for the ultraviolet (UV). Here, we assess the diagnostic potential of both absorption and emission features in the UV and evaluate the diagnostics against observations of local and high-redshift galaxies. We use the Flexible Stellar Population Synthesis (FSPS) nebular emission model of Byler et al., extended to include emission predictions in the UV, to evaluate the metallicity sensitivity of established UV stellar absorption indices and to identify those that include a significant contribution from nebular emission. We present model UV emission-line fluxes as a function of metallicity and ionization parameter, assuming both instantaneous bursts and constant star formation rates. We identify combinations of strong emission lines that constrain metallicity and ionization parameters, including [C II]λ158, C III]λ1909, O III]λ1661,1666, Si III]λ1883,1892, C IVλ1548,1551, N II]λ1750,1752, and Mg IIλ2796, and we develop UV versions of the canonical “Baldwin Phillips Terlevich” diagram. We quantify the relative contribution from stellar wind emission and nebular line emission to diagnostic line ratios that include the C IV λ1548,1551 lines, and we also develop an observationally motivated relationship for N and C enrichment that improves the performance of photoionization models. We summarize the best diagnostic choices and the associated redshift range for low-, mid-, and high-resolution rest-UV spectroscopy in preparation for the launch of the James Webb Space Telescope.
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1. Introduction

In the optical spectra of star-forming galaxies, emission lines from ionized gas can be a factor of 10 times brighter than the stellar continuum. These emission lines can also reveal key physical properties of the gas and its ionizing source. For gas that is ionized by stars, various combinations of emission lines are frequently used to estimate the gas-phase metallicity of a galaxy. Popular combinations of emission lines include the “R23” (Pagel et al. 1979) and “N2”(Pettini & Pagel 2004) diagnostics, which use ratios of strong emission lines from oxygen, nitrogen, and hydrogen that have been calibrated by theoretical models (e.g., McGaugh 1991; Kewley & Dopita 2002).

With the historical accessibility of optical observations, it is a natural development that the most well used nebular diagnostics are based in the optical portion of the spectrum. Unfortunately, however, by z ~ 3, the emission lines required for traditional optical diagnostics have been redshifted out of the wavelength window accessible to ground-based near-infrared (IR) observatories. Luckily, strong nebular emission lines exist across the spectrum, from the ultraviolet (UV) through the IR, which can potentially be used as equally informative gas diagnostics. Diagnostics based on UV emission lines are of particular interest, since they are applicable to galaxies at high redshift.

The UV also offers a number of informative absorption features as well. A galaxy’s spectrum is typically dominated by light from young, massive stars in addition to the emission from the ionized gas surrounding them. While there are fewer emission lines in the UV than in the optical, we gain additional diagnostic features from the numerous stellar UV absorption lines. Many of these lines are produced in the atmospheres of young, massive stars that should have the same metallicity as the gas producing the emission lines. Because these same O- and B-type stars are responsible for producing the ionizing radiation incident to the gas cloud, it is possible to jointly analyze absorption and emission features when inferring the metallicity and ionization state of a galaxy. However, doing so requires that one self-consistently links the temporal and metallicity-dependent changes in the stellar absorption features to the nebular emission lines.

In this paper, we take advantage of the work of Byler et al. (2017), who paired the population synthesis models from the Flexible Stellar Population Synthesis code (FSPS; Conroy et al. 2009; Conroy & Gunn 2010) with photoionization models from CLOUDY to self-consistently model the flux from star-forming galaxies. We build on the UV absorption indices identified in Leitherer et al. (2011), focusing on the wind-driven and photospheric absorption lines, and the UV emission features identified in Erb et al. (2010). We present the most promising combinations of nebular emission line ratios and...
stellar absorption features in the UV that can be used to estimate stellar and nebular metallicities for galaxies at high and low redshift.

We describe the stellar and nebular model in Section 2. In Section 3 we identify combinations of emission and absorption features in the UV that track the gas-phase metallicity. In Section 4 we compare the most promising diagnostics to galaxies locally and at redshift $z \sim 3$, and in Section 5 we discuss the utility of the various diagnostics in the context of current and future observatories.

2. Description of the Stellar and Nebular Model

2.1. The Stellar Model

For stellar population synthesis, we use the FSPS package (Conroy et al. 2009; Conroy & Gunn 2010) via the Python interface python-fsps (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2014). We adopt a Kroupa initial mass function (IMF; Kroupa 2001) with upper and lower mass limits of $120M_\odot$ and $0.08M_\odot$, respectively. We discuss our choice for evolutionary tracks and spectral library in detail below; for all other SPS parameters, we use the default parameters in FSPS.6

2.1.1. Evolutionary Tracks

We use the MESA Isochrones & Stellar Tracks (MIST; Choi et al. 2016; Dotter 2016) single-star stellar evolutionary models, which include the effect of stellar rotation. The evolutionary tracks are computed using the publicly available stellar evolution package Modules for Experiments in Stellar Astrophysics (MESA v7503; Paxton et al. 2011, 2013, 2015), and the isochrones are generated using Aaron Dotter’s publicly available iso package on github.7 A complete description of the models can be found in Choi et al. (2016); we describe some relevant model properties below.

The MIST models cover ages from $10^6$ to $10^{10.3}$ years, initial masses from 0.1 to $300M_\odot$, and metallicities in the range $-2.0 \leq [Z/H] \leq 0.5$. Abundances are solar-scaled, assuming the Asplund et al. (2009) protosolar birth cloud bulk metallicity, for a reference solar value of $Z_\odot = 0.0142$.

The MIST models include the effect of rotation, which is particularly important for massive star evolution. We briefly describe the implementation of rotation in the MIST models below. The reader is referred to Section 3 of Choi et al. (2016) for a comprehensive description. As explained in Choi et al. (2017), the effects of rotation appear in the MESA stellar evolution calculations in three main ways. First, rotation decreases the gravitational acceleration via the centrifugal force, which in turn affects the stellar structure. Second, rotation can promote extra mixing in the interior, boosting the transport of chemicals and angular momentum. MESA adopts the common approach of treating the chemical and angular momentum transport in a diffusion approximation. Finally, rotation enhances mass loss. MESA adopts the formulation from Langer (1998), where the mass loss rate $\dot{M}$ is multiplied by a factor that increases dramatically as the surface angular velocity $\Omega$ approaches critical, or breakup, angular velocity.

The MIST models assume an initial rotation rate of $v_{\text{ZAMS}}/v_{\text{crit}} = 0.4$, meaning that the surface velocity is set to 40% of the critical, or breakup, velocity. This rotation rate is also adopted in the Geneva models (Ekström et al. 2012)8 and is motivated by observations of young B stars (Huang et al. 2010) and theoretical work on rotation rates in massive stars (Rosen et al. 2012). Rotation is not included for stars with $M_\star \leq 1.2M_\odot$ to match the slow rotation rate observed in the Sun and other low-mass stars. The rotation rate is gradually increased from 0 to $v_{\text{ZAMS}}/v_{\text{crit}} = 0.4$ over the stellar mass range 1.2–1.8$M_\odot$. This gradual ramp-up produces velocities of order $10$–25 km s$^{-1}$ in this mass range, in agreement with observed rotation rates of main sequence (MS) and evolved stars in the mass range 1.2–1.5$M_\odot$ (Wolff & Simon 1997; Canto Martins et al. 2011); see Choi et al. (2016) for details.

Choi et al. (2016) compared the rotating MIST and Geneva evolutionary tracks and found that at fixed stellar mass, the Geneva models are hotter and more luminous at the end of their MS lifetimes, implying that rotational mixing is more efficient in the Geneva models. In general, the Geneva rotating models have enhanced MS lifetimes compared to the default MIST model; however, MS lifetimes in Geneva and MIST agree to within 10%–15% at solar metallicity. For higher mass stars, the MIST models have MS lifetimes that fall between those of the nonrotating and rotating Geneva models.

In general, rotating stellar populations have higher bolometric luminosities and sustain harder ionizing radiation fields over a longer period of time compared to nonrotating populations (e.g., Levesque et al. 2012; Choi et al. 2017). While a full analysis of UV properties as a function of rotation rate is beyond the scope of this work, we note that the rotating MIST models adopted here produce ionizing luminosities that are consistent with recent observations of young nuclear star clusters. We also refer the reader to Choi et al. (2017) for an in-depth investigation on the ionizing properties of massive rotating populations for a range of initial rotation rates ($v_{\text{ZAMS}}/v_{\text{crit}}$ from 0.0 to 0.6) and composite populations with a distribution of rotation rates.

For hot, luminous stars, line-driven winds can cause significant mass loss. For stars with $T_{\text{eff}} > 1 \times 10^4$ K and surface hydrogen mass fraction $X_{\text{surf}} > 0.4$, the mass loss prescriptions from Vink et al. (2000, 2001) are adopted. If the star loses a considerable amount of its outer hydrogen layer ($X_{\text{surf}} < 0.4$) and becomes a Wolf–Rayet (WR) star, the Nugis & Lamers (2000) wind prescription is used instead. For cooler stars with effective temperatures below $10^4$ K, the wind prescription from de Jager et al. (1988) is used. As noted in a recent review, these prescriptions fail to account for clumpiness and inhomogeneities in outflows and may overestimate mass loss rates by a factor of 2–3 (Smith 2014).

The MIST models used in this work do not account for binary stars. This is an additional source of uncertainty, since O- and B-type stars are observed to have a high binary fraction, between 0.6 and 1.0 (Sana et al. 2012; Kobulnicky et al. 2014), and the presence of a binary companion can dramatically alter the evolutionary path of a massive star (e.g., de Mink et al. 2013; Smith 2014). The Binary Population and Spectral Synthesis models (BPASS; Eldridge & Stanway 2009; Eldridge et al. 2017) include binary interactions and have found that binary mass transfer can substantially increase the ionizing photon output from a stellar population and prolong

---

6 GitHub commit hash 4e1bd3f5.
7 https://github.com/dotbot2000/iso
8 Note that $v_{\text{crit}}$ and $\Omega_{\text{crit}}$ are defined differently in MESA and in the Geneva models. In the Geneva models, the equatorial radius is 1.5 times larger than the polar radius when $\Omega = \Omega_{\text{crit}}$, but this distinction is not made in MESA. See Section 2.1 in Georgy et al. (2013) for more details.
ionizing photon production for $\sim 10$ Myr over single-star models (Ma et al. 2016; Xiao et al. 2018).

### 2.1.2. Spectral Library

We combine the MIST tracks with a new, high-resolution theoretical spectral library (C3K; C. Conroy et al. 2018, in preparation) based on Kurucz stellar atmosphere and spectral synthesis routines (ATLAS12 and SYNTH; Kurucz 2005). The spectra use the latest set of atomic and molecular line lists and include both lab and predicted lines. The grid was computed assuming the Asplund et al. (2009) solar abundance scale and a constant microturbulent velocity of 2 km s$^{-1}$.

We require high-resolution model spectra, since stellar photospheric lines have equivalent widths (EWs) of $\sim 1$–3 Å. Typical stellar wind lines have EWs of $\sim$5–15 Å. We use a version of the C3K spectral library that has $R = 1000$ for $\lambda = 100$–1500 Å and $R = 10,000$ for $\lambda = 1500$ Å to 1 μm.

For very hot stars and stars in rapidly evolving evolutionary phases, we use alternative spectral libraries. We highlight the libraries used for very hot stars, since these will be responsible for providing the radiation necessary to ionize hydrogen. For MS stars with temperatures above 25,000 K (O- and B-type stars), we use spectra from M. Ng, G. Taylor, and J. J. Eldridge (2018, private communication), as described in Eldridge et al. (2017), computed using WM-Basic (Pauldrach et al. 2001). For WR stars, we use the spectral library from Smith et al. (2002) using CMFGEN (Hillier & Lanz 2001), which includes both WN and WC subtypes. Stars classified as WR stars with surface C/O ratio > 1 are labeled as WC, while WR stars with surface C/O ratio $\leq$ 1 are labeled as WN.

### 2.2. The Nebular Model

We use the CLOUDY nebular model implemented within FSPS, CLOUDY-FSPS (Byler 2018), to generate spectra that include nebular line and continuum emission. Calculations were performed with version 13.03 of CLOUDY, last described by Ferland et al. (2013). The model is described in detail in Byler et al. (2017) and summarized briefly here. The nebular model is a grid in (1) simple stellar population (SSP) age, (2) SSP and gas-phase metallicity, and (3) ionization parameter, $U_0$, a dimensionless quantity that gives the ratio of ionizing photons to the total hydrogen density.

The model uses FSPS to generate spectra from coeval clusters of stars, each with a single age and metallicity (SSPs). Using the photoionization code CLOUDY, the SSP is used as the ionization source for the gas cloud, and the gas-phase metallicity is scaled to the metallicity of the SSP. For each SSP of age $t$ and metallicity $Z$, photoionization models are run at different ionization parameters, $U_0$, from $\log_{10} U_0 = -4$ to $\log_{10} U_0 = -1$ in steps of 0.5. The resultant line and continuum emission is normalized by the number of ionizing photons calculated from the input ionizing spectrum. The normalized line and continuum emissions are recorded in separate look-up tables.

For a given SSP ($t$, $Z$) and specified $U_0$, FSPS returns the associated line and continuum emission associated with that grid point from the look-up table. This approach maintains the model self-consistency, such that the nebular emission is added to the same spectrum that was used to ionize the gas cloud. FSPS removes the ionizing photons from the spectral energy distribution (SED) to enforce energy balance; in this work we assume an ionizing photon escape fraction of zero.

The set of 128 emission lines used in Byler et al. (2017) included 31, 51, and 45 lines in the UV, optical, and IR, respectively. To fully explore the emission properties in the UV, we have created an “extended” line list that includes 381 emission lines in total, with 142 emission lines in the UV ($\lambda \leq 3000$ Å), 122 emission lines in the optical ($3000 \, \text{Å} < \lambda < 10,000$ Å), and 117 in the IR ($\lambda \geq 10,000$ Å). While this list is not exhaustive, most of these additional emission lines are relatively weak, and there are thus no quantitative differences in the synthesized colors for populations using the 128-line list and populations using the 381-line list. The full list of emission lines is given in Appendix A, Table 5.

#### 2.2.1. Gas-phase Abundances

We assume that the gas-phase metallicity scales with the metallicity of the stellar population, given that the metallicity of the most massive stars should be identical to the metallicity of the gas cloud from which the stars formed.

For most elements, we use the solar abundances from Grevesse et al. (2010), based on the results from Asplund et al. (2009), and we adopt the depletion factors specified by Dopita et al. (2013).

We treat nitrogen and carbon differently, however, due to the likelihood that both these elements scale nonlinearly with metallicity (e.g., Vila Costas & Edmunds 1993; Henry et al. 2000; Berg et al. 2016). Nitrogen has known secondary nucleosynthetic production at high metallicity, wherein nitrogen is dredged up during the bottleneck step of the CNO cycle, which is directly dependent on metallicity (Cowley 1995). In the case of carbon, additional carbon is returned to the interstellar medium (ISM) through metallicity-dependent processes such as stellar winds (Garnett et al. 1999). This is not a nucleosynthetic process, and thus carbon is said to have a “pseudosecondary” production process.

To set the relationship between N/H and O/H, we use the following equation:

$$\log_{10}(N/O) = -1.5 + \log \left(1 + e^{12 \log_{10}(O/H)-8.5}\right),$$

and for C/H and O/H we assume

$$\log_{10}(C/O) = -0.8 + 0.14 \cdot (12 + \log_{10}(O/H)) - 8.0$$

$$+ \log \left(1 + e^{12 \log_{10}(O/H)-8.0}\right).$$

These choices are largely modeled after the empirical fits used by Dopita et al. (2013). However, we have found that the N/H and C/H relationship used in Dopita et al. (2013) is too steep at the lowest metallicities. We discuss this issue at length in Appendix B, given that the predicted emission lines are sensitive to the abundance prescription applied, especially for important coolants like C, N, and O.

The abundance for each element and applied depletion factors at solar metallicity are given in Table I.
changes with metallicity, since the efficiency of hot star winds is metallicity dependent.

We also highlight important emission lines, noted by their species name below the continuum. The strength of the various emission lines will depend on the gas-phase metallicity, the ionization parameter, and the hardnes of the ionizing spectra, which will change with the age and metallicity of the stellar population responsible for ionizing the surrounding gas.

The nebular model as implemented within FSPS self-consistently predicts the line and continuum emission for a stellar population with an arbitrary star formation history and chemical evolution history. However, in the construction of diagnostics, it is often useful to highlight the limiting cases: the instantaneous burst and continuous star formation. For all diagnostics presented in this work, we thus present a model with an instantaneous burst of star formation 1 Myr ago and a model with constant star formation over 10 Myr. We justify this choice in Section 3.3.

3.2. Measuring Emission and Absorption Line Features

One of the challenges associated with measuring the strength of absorption lines is defining the continuum. In the UV, this choice is especially difficult, since metals in the stellar atmosphere absorb heavily, masking the true continuum level. Without knowledge of the true continuum level, EW measurements will only place lower limits on the absolute line strength.

The high density of metal lines in the UV presents another difficulty for absorption line EW measurements, as absorption line indices often cover multiple blended absorption features. While blended features may still track the overall metallicity, many prominent absorption lines have complicated dependencies on stellar spectral type or individual abundance patterns (Maraston et al. 2009).

To measure absorption feature EWs, we adopt the set of 12 UV line indices defined by Leitherer et al. (2011), referred to as L11 in the remainder of this work. For each index, L11 defined a central bandpass and two flanking continuum bandpasses, given in Table 2.

Following L11, the continuum flux in a region is defined as the median value of the flux within the bandpass and is assigned to the midpoint wavelength. The continuum, $F_{\text{cont}}(\lambda)$, is then taken to be the straight line connecting the midpoints of the continuum bands on either side of a line. We then calculate the EW with

$$EW(\text{Å}) = \int_{\lambda_i}^{\lambda_f} \frac{F_{\lambda,\text{cont}}(\lambda) - F_{\lambda,\text{line}}(\lambda)}{F_{\lambda,\text{cont}}(\lambda)} d\lambda,$$

where $F_{\lambda,\text{line}}(\lambda)$ is the flux in the central bandpass spanning $\lambda_i < \lambda < \lambda_f$.

Much of the work analyzing the UV absorption line behavior of massive stars and stellar populations only considered the effects of stellar absorption and interstellar absorption (e.g., Rix et al. 2004; Maraston et al. 2009; Leitherer et al. 2011; Zetterlund et al. 2015). This assumption is reasonable for comparisons against observations of globular clusters and some young, massive star clusters. However, the UV spectrum of star-forming galaxies contains significant contributions from nebular lines and continuum emission from ionized gas.

The nebular continuum emits significantly in the UV blueward of the Balmer break,9 which acts to increase the

\[ \text{Table 1} \]

| Element | log$_{10}$(E/H) | log$_{10}(D)$ |
|---------|----------------|--------------|
| H       | 0              | 0            |
| He      | −1.01          | 0            |
| C       | −3.57          | −0.30        |
| N       | −4.60          | −0.05        |
| O       | −3.31          | −0.07        |
| Ne      | −4.07          | 0            |
| Na      | −5.75          | −1.00        |
| Mg      | −4.40          | −1.08        |
| Al      | −5.55          | −1.39        |
| Si      | −4.49          | −0.81        |
| S       | −4.86          | 0            |
| Cl      | −6.63          | −1.00        |
| Ar      | −5.60          | 0            |
| Ca      | −5.66          | −2.52        |
| Fe      | −4.50          | −1.31        |
| Ni      | −5.78          | −2.00        |

Note. Solar abundances are from Grevesse et al. (2010), and depletion factors are from Dopita et al. (2013).
continuum level for the entire spectrum, reducing the EW compared to a pure stellar prediction. In addition, prominent emission lines from the gas surrounding star-forming regions fall within many of the defined line and continuum bandpasses (as seen in Figure 3), which also affects the calculated EWs.

We show the effect of adding nebular line and continuum emission to a solar-metallicity single-burst stellar population in Figure 3, where we plot the UV spectrum near the absorption line and continuum bandpasses for the L11 AlIII_1670 absorption index. When nebular emission is included, the spectrum becomes brighter and contains line emission throughout the plotted wavelength range, including in the continuum and feature bandpasses. Nebular emission changes the expected EWs by 10%–50% in most cases, but by as much as an order of magnitude for cases like MgII_2800 where the central bandpass covers three emission lines. The effect of including nebular emission is most important for young populations; for bursts older than 10 Myr, the difference in the absorption index EW when compared to the stellar-only EW is less than 5%.

In what follows, we include the effects of both emission and absorption when computing absorption line EWs. For nebular emission line ratios, we use the full predicted flux from each line and do not reduce the emission line flux due to underlying stellar absorption, since this is already accounted for in most observational comparisons.

### 3.3. Age Sensitivity

The same O- and B-type stars that produce the absorption lines in Figures 1 and 2 are also responsible for providing the ionizing radiation incident to the surrounding natal gas cloud, producing nebular line and continuum emission. These stars are short-lived, so most of the UV spectral features are age-dependent. For nebular emission models, it is common to provide model grids at the two limiting cases of star formation: models where star formation occurs in one instantaneous burst and models where the star formation is constant with time (e.g., Dopita et al. 2000, 2006, 2013; Kewley et al. 2001; Levesque et al. 2012; Gutkin et al. 2016).

Instantaneous-burst models are only useful for young stellar populations and very recent starbursts. In the left panel of Figure 4, we show the time evolution of Hα for instantaneous-burst models at several metallicities. As expected, the emission is strongest at young ages and eventually disappears entirely as the massive star population required to ionize the surrounding gas evolves off of the MS. The exact timescales associated with strong nebular emission will depend on the underlying stellar evolutionary models. For models that do not include stellar rotation or multiplicity, significant nebular emission persists for at most 3–4 Myr. Models that include stellar rotation can extend the lifetime of nebular emission up to 5–7 Myr (e.g., MIST, used in this work; Byler et al. 2017; Choi et al. 2017). For evolutionary tracks that include the effects of stellar multiplicity, the lifetime of nebular emission can extend to greater than 10 Myr (e.g., BPASS; Eldridge & Stanway 2012; Stanway et al. 2014; Xiao et al. 2018).

For models with continuous star formation, the rate at which stars die and are born eventually equilibrates, and the ionizing spectrum reaches a “steady state” and the luminosity traces the SFR. In Byler et al. (2017), we showed that this...
steady state was reached around $\sim 4 \text{ Myr}$ for nonrotating stellar models. The right panel of Figure 4 shows the time evolution of H$\alpha$ emission for the CSFR models (1 $M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$). For the rotating MIST models used in this work, the steady state is reached between 7 and 10 Myr, which is consistent with the findings of Jaskot & Ravindranath (2016), who found that the rotating Geneva and BPASS models reached equilibrium much later than single-star models, as late as 20 Myr.

In the remainder of this work, we use the 1 Myr instantaneous burst and the 10 Myr CSFR models to represent the young starburst and “steady state” limits. We note that diagnostics including absorption or stellar features will be much more sensitive to the exact SFH over 1–300 Myr timescales than diagnostics using emission line ratios. However, beyond the instantaneous burst and the steady-state limits, the model parameter space increases massively. While a potentially interesting area to explore, it is outside the scope of this work.
3.4. Absorption Line Trends with Model Age and Metallicity

In Figure 5 we show the L11 absorption indices for our model spectra as a function of age for a single burst (top) and a constant SFR (bottom). The time and metallicity evolution of these absorption indices is frequently complex, due to their dependence on a combination of stellar photospheres, nebular emission, and stellar winds.

The indices that probe stellar wind features (SiIV\_1400, CIV\_1550) are strongest at young ages and gradually decrease with time, as the massive stars evolve off the MS. In particular, the C IV index at 1550 Å shows EWs as high as 12 Å in the first few million years, making it both easy to detect and highly age-sensitive.

Figure 5 also shows how each absorption index changes with metallicity. In general, the high-metallicity models have larger EWs, as line blanketing in the upper atmosphere of O- and B-type stars reduces the amount of emergent flux at high metallicities. This effect is clearest in the Fe\_2370 index, which shows dense photospheric absorption in the highest metallicity models, distinguishing low-metallicity stellar populations from the high-metallicity stellar populations.

For young, massive stars, radiatively driven winds produce significant mass loss on the MS (Kudritzki & Puls 2000). These winds are metallicity dependent; Vink et al. (2001) estimate that mass loss rates scale as $M \sim Z^{0.69,0.64}$ for O- and B-type stars. Thus, absorption indices that include stellar winds like CIV\_1550 and SiIV\_1400 will be strongly dependent on metallicity. For populations 10 Myr and younger, these indices have EWs that vary by factors of 2–6 between different metallicity models.

The AlIII\_1670 index is one of the indices that inadvertently includes emission lines in the feature bandpass ([O III] λ 1666, [Al II] λ 1670). However, this actually makes it a stronger metallicity diagnostic, since the emission line features are metallicity dependent as well.

3.5. Nebular Emission Features in the UV

We distinguish which emission lines are the most promising diagnostics by identifying relatively bright lines that also show significant variation in strength with metallicity and ionization parameter. These are calculated based on variation from a fiducial 10 Myr CSFR stellar population with log\(_{10}\)Z/\(_{\odot}\) = −0.5 and log\(_{10}\)U\(_{0}\) = −2.5. All emission lines tracked by CLOUDY are considered individually unless otherwise noted.
even if they are considered to be part of a doublet or multiplet. For visual clarity, however, some figures may only include a label for the brightest line in the series.

We first calculate $f_{\text{line}}$, the line strength relative to Hβ, to identify emission lines that are relatively bright. We then characterize the sensitivity of the lines to the ionization parameter or metallicity by

![Figure 5](image-url). Time evolution of absorption index equivalent widths at $\log \frac{Z}{Z_\odot} = -1.5, -1.0, -0.5$, and $+0.0$, for single-aged populations (top) and a constant SFR (bottom). The most promising absorption features show a large dispersion in equivalent width with metallicity. The CIV $\lambda 1551\,\text{Å}$ feature (middle row, second column) correlates strongly with metallicity at young ages.
Figure 6. Variance in emission line strength, $\sigma_{\text{line}}$, from changes in metallicity (left) and ionization parameter (right) as a function of $\log f_{\text{line}}$. These emission lines are potentially useful diagnostics for the gas-phase metallicity and ionization state of galaxies.

We determine which combination of emission lines from Section 2.2 will make useful emission line ratio diagnostics. We make a number of observationally motivated cuts to the list of emission lines considered for candidate line ratios. A total of 382 emission lines are included in the FSPS nebular model, 159 of which are in the UV. We remove 21 hydrogen Lyman series lines, because they are notoriously difficult to interpret due to resonant scattering effects and do not consider emission lines blueward of Ly$\alpha$, which will be observationally difficult to access. We then restrict the line list to elemental species with abundance by number relative to hydrogen of $\log n/H > -6$, which leaves 60 remaining emission lines. Finally, we restrict ourselves to lines with $\log [f_{\text{line}}/H\beta] > -4$, which is sufficient to catch the weak but temperature-sensitive auroral lines, leaving candidate emission lines to consider for possible line ratio diagnostics.

We first determine all possible nonrepeating combinations of the 42 emission lines. For each of these line ratios, we calculate the variance in the line ratio, $\sigma_{\text{ratio}}$, by taking the standard deviation of the line ratio across a range of model parameters. We consider variation in the line ratio due to ionization parameter and metallicity, using a fiducial 10 Myr CSFR model with $\log Z/Z_\odot = -0.5$ and $\log U_0 = -2.5$ as the fiducial model. To find ratios that are sensitive to changes in metallicity, we calculate $\sigma_{\text{ratio},Z}$ at fixed age and ionization parameter (10 Myr).
CSFR, $\log [L_0] = -2.5$). Similarly, we calculate $\sigma_{\text{ratio},L_i}$ at fixed age and metallicity to find ratios that are sensitive to changes in the ionization parameter (10 Myr CSFR, $\log [L_0] = -2.5$). For each pair of emission lines, we use the brighter of the two emission lines as the denominator, as determined by the median brightness relative to $H\beta$ over the range of models considered.

In Figures 7 and 8, we plot the variance in the brightest of the candidate emission line ratios. Figures 7 and 8 show the line ratio variance, $\sigma_{\text{ratio}}$, versus the median brightness relative to $H\beta$ of the less luminous emission line in the pair (the ratio numerator, by definition), which would be the observationally limiting line. Figure 7 shows variance in emission line ratios driven by metallicity changes ($\sigma_{\text{ratio},Z}$) at constant age and ionization parameter, while Figure 8 shows variance driven by ionization parameter changes ($\sigma_{\text{ratio},L_i}$) at constant age and metallicity, as noted in the upper right corner of the figure. As in Figure 6, emission line ratios in the upper right region of these figures will be the easiest to detect and should have the most sensitivity to changes in metallicity or ionization parameter. We limit our study to emission line ratios with $\sigma_{\text{ratio}} > 0.3$, which corresponds to line ratios that experience at least a factor of 4 difference over the parameters of interest.

As discussed in Section 3.5, the Ne3O2 emission line ratio was identified by Levesque & Richardson (2014) as an excellent probe of gas ionization state. The Ne3O2 ratio appears in the upper right part of Figure 8, confirming that this analysis can identify emission line ratios that are sensitive to metallicity and ionization parameter.10 Similarly, the metallicity-sensitive O3C3 ratio (e.g., Stark et al. 2015; Feltre et al. 2016; Gutkin et al. 2016; Ding et al. 2017; Du et al. 2017) appears in the upper right portion of Figure 7.

Other promising line ratios include [O III] λ5232/[C II] λ1236 (O3C2), [Si II] λ1263/[C II] λ1235 (Si2C2), [N III] λ1752/[O III] λ1666 (N3O3), and [Si III] λ1883/[C III] λ1907 (Si3C3); a full list is included in Table 4.

In Figure 9 we show each of these line ratios as a function of metallicity to highlight their utility as metallicity indicators. These ratios show several orders of magnitude variation with metallicity and ionization parameter. These emission line ratios

---

### Table 3

| $\log [L_0]$ | C IV | C IV | He II | O III | O III | Al II | N III | Si III | Si III | C III | C III |
|-------------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1548 Å      | 1551 Å | 1640 Å | 1666 Å | 1752 Å | 1883 Å | 1907 Å | 1909 Å |
| –4.0        | –5.3259 | –5.6272 | –2.6206 | –4.5499 | –4.1581 | –2.6228 | –4.7133 | –2.9867 | –3.2134 | –1.7065 | –1.8902 |
| –3.5        | –3.7689 | –4.0643 | –2.4183 | –3.7273 | –3.3355 | –2.5325 | –3.9159 | –2.6059 | –2.8317 | –1.3893 | –1.5728 |
| –3.0        | –2.6854 | –2.8647 | –2.3427 | –2.9249 | –2.9033 | –2.4825 | –3.5258 | –2.4548 | –2.6774 | –1.2797 | –1.4632 |
| –2.5        | –2.4156 | –2.4729 | –2.3021 | –3.1025 | –2.7121 | –2.4571 | –3.7394 | –2.4187 | –2.6303 | –1.2749 | –1.4583 |
| –2.0        | –2.3026 | –2.3483 | –2.2641 | –2.9781 | –2.5095 | –2.4446 | –3.2914 | –2.4054 | –2.5935 | –1.2792 | –1.4624 |
| –1.5        | –2.1895 | –2.2539 | –2.2261 | –2.8917 | –2.5093 | –2.4448 | –3.2333 | –2.3956 | –2.5561 | –1.2736 | –1.4564 |
| –1.0        | –2.0432 | –2.1298 | –2.1901 | –2.8149 | –2.4401 | –2.4382 | –3.1827 | –2.3782 | –2.5224 | –1.2555 | –1.4377 |

Note. The lines are given as log10\(([F_{\text{line 1}}]/[F_{\text{line 2}}])\). (This table is available in its entirety in machine-readable form.)
can be used by themselves to estimate the gas-phase metallicity of a galaxy, but with uncertainty due to the unknown ionization parameter. Alternatively, they can be combined with other emission line ratios to create “diagnostic diagrams” in the UV, like the well-used Baldwin Phillips Terlevich (BPT) diagram in the optical (Baldwin et al. 1981). These combinations of emission line ratios may better distinguish the metallicity and ionization parameter of the observed population than the use of a single line.

We show several promising emission line ratio combinations in Figure 10. The [Si II] λ1263/[C II] λ1335 (Si2C2) versus [O III] λ1666/[C III] λ1907 (O3C3) diagnostic diagram (left) is very sensitive to the gas-phase metallicity while remaining relatively insensitive to ionization parameter, due to combining species from several ionization states. The O3C3 ratio also pairs well with the [O III] λ2322/[O II] λλ3726,9 ratio for a diagnostic diagram (right) that has the potential to distinguish metallicity and ionization parameter, though the sensitivity to metallicity seems to saturate below log_{10}Z/Z⊙ = −1.5.

All of these diagnostic diagrams make use of emission lines that are relatively close in wavelength space to minimize the effects of reddening. In Figure 10 we show the direction that one magnitude of reddening (A_V = 1) would change the emission line ratios, assuming two different extinction laws, the canonical Calzetti extinction curve and the steeper SMC extinction curve, which may be more appropriate for low-metallicity galaxies.

### 3.5.2. Comparisons with Optical Line Ratios

In Figure 11, we compare several of the UV emission line ratios to well-known emission line ratios in the optical for the 10 Myr CSFR model grid. The top panel of Figure 11 highlights emission line ratios that are sensitive to ionization parameters. On the x axis, we show the optical log_{10}[O III] λλ5007/[O II] λ 3726,9 ratio, also referred to as O32 or O3_{2/1}, which is known to be sensitive to ionization parameter. We compare O3_{2/1} to two different UV line ratios: log_{10}[O III] λλ1666/C IV λλ1907 (O3C3; top left) and log_{10}[O III] λλ2322/C III] λλ2326 (O3C3; top right). Both UV ratios show similar sensitivity to ionization parameter and correlate positively with the optical O3C2 ratio. The UV ratio is more metal-dependent at fixed ionization parameter, but at low metallicity (below log_{10}Z/Z⊙ = −1) the sensitivities are comparable.

The bottom panel of Figure 11 shows emission line ratios that are sensitive to metallicity. We compare UV metallicity-sensitive emission line ratios to the optical log_{10}[N II] λ 6584/[O II] λ 3726,9 emission line ratio, which correlates strongly with metallicity and has little dependence on ionization parameter (Kewley & Dopita 2002). The UV log_{10}[N III]
λ1752/[O III] λ1666) ratio (N3O3; bottom left) shows a strong correlation with the optical N2O2 ratio, where larger values of both indicate higher metallicities. Neither ratio does particularly well at low metallicity, though. The UV log_{10}(Si III) λ1883/C III λ1907) (Si3C3; bottom right) is anticorrelated with the optical N2O2 ratio. The Si3C3 ratio is strongest at low metallicity and will thus have slightly different optimal applications.

### 3.6. UV Diagnostics Combining Emission and Absorption Features

For short-lived, massive stars, the metallicity of the stars should be nearly identical to that of the surrounding natal gas cloud, but also see Steidel et al. (2016) for a discussion of α-enhanced systems. There are notably fewer nebular emission lines in the UV compared to the optical, but we can gain additional metallicity leverage by considering combinations of stellar absorption features and nebular emission features. In this section, we identify useful combinations of emission and absorption lines that track well with the properties of the ionizing SSP and gas-phase metallicity. We use the strongest absorption features identified in Section 3.4 and combine them with the most promising diagnostic ratios determined in Section 3.5.

Figure 12 shows metallicity diagnostics using the combination of an emission line ratio and an absorption feature. Both of the absorption features strongly track the metallicity of the young stellar population and can provide complementary metallicity information where the emission line ratios may be less sensitive. For example, the Si4C2 emission line ratio excels at low metallicities where the SIV_{1400} absorption feature is weakest (top left panel). In comparison, the FeII λ1608 index has a better sensitivity to abundance changes at low metallicity, pairing nicely with the Si3C3 and N3O3 emission line ratios (top right and bottom left panels of Figure 9).
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Figure 10. Two possible UV diagnostic diagrams using combinations of emission line ratios for 10 Myr constant SFR populations. The blue lines connect models of constant ionization parameter, from log_10(U_0) = -1 in dark blue to log_10(U_0) = -4 in light blue. Models of constant metallicity span from log_10(Z/Z_☉) = -2 in purple to log_10(Z/Z_☉) = 0.0 in yellow. The gray and black arrows show one magnitude of extinction assuming SMC and Calzetti reddening laws, respectively.

Figure 12, respectively), which are most sensitive at moderate metallicities. The metallicity sensitivity of the AIII_1860 index correlates positively with the C2SiIII emission line ratio (bottom right), providing improved metallicity leverage.

We note that EW measurements are much more sensitive to the continuum level than emission line ratios. The diagnostics in Figure 12 represent model predictions that are appropriate for young, massive star clusters. The presence of a substantial old stellar population would increase model EWs above those presented here. To properly compare observations to the diagnostics that combine an EW and an emission line ratio, the contribution from any underlying old stellar population should be removed.

4. Observational Comparison

4.1. UV Spectra of Local Galaxies

Blue compact dwarfs (BCDs\textsuperscript{11}), originally defined by Sargent & Searle (1970), are characterized by blue optical colors, small sizes (<1 kpc), and low luminosities (M_B > -18). These galaxies have high specific star formation rates and low metallicities and have thus been proposed as nearby analogs of star formation in young galaxies observed at high redshift (Thuan 2008). The young ages associated with recent star formation make these objects very UV bright, and their optical spectra are often indistinguishable from H II regions.

4.1.1. Emission Lines

We compare our models with data from Berg et al. (2016), who presented both UV and optical spectra for a sample of seven nearby low-metallicity, high-ionization BCDs. In this analysis to connect UV and optical emission line properties, Berg et al. (2016) obtained UV spectra with the Cosmic Origins Spectrograph (COS) on the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) for a sample of galaxies with existing optical SDSS spectra and direct-method oxygen abundances. The UV spectra have ~0.6 Å resolution at 1600 Å, which is sufficient for the emission line ratios considered in this paper. We include 13 additional galaxies from the upcoming D. Berg et al. (2018, in preparation, private communication) paper.

The galaxies in Berg et al. (2016) are nearby (0.003 < z < 0.040), UV-bright (m_BUV < 19.5 AB), compact (D < 5″), low-metallicity (7.2 < 12 + log(O/H) < 8.0) dwarf galaxies. These galaxies have relatively low masses (~10^7 M_☉) and high sSFRs (~10^{-8} yr^{-1}). All of the galaxies in the sample have auroral line detections in the optical for direct-method calculations of the nebular temperature, density, and metallicity.

Emission lines of CIII\textsuperscript{1548,51, O III} 1661,6, CIII\textsuperscript{1907,9, O III} 1976,9 emission; for the remaining objects, we infer the total line strengths using the λ7320 and λ7329 line strengths using the λ7320,7330 lines.

In Figures 13–15, we show measured emission line ratios from the Berg et al. (2016) sample on various UV diagnostic diagrams. The diagnostic diagrams shown here are only a subset of those from Section 3.6, since we are limited to those diagrams where all four emission lines were measurable in the observed galaxies. To better compare our diagnostics with observations where emission line doublets are not resolved, we use the sum of CIV λ1548,51, [O III] λ1861,6, C III] λ1907,9, and [O II] λ3726,9 doublets in the remaining figures, as noted on the axis labels.

Figure 13 shows [O III] λ1861,6/C III] λ1906,9 (O3C3) versus [Si III] λ1883/C III] λ1906,9 (Si3C3) for both a 1 Myr burst and a 10 Myr constant SFR model. The model grid is able to reproduce the full range of observed line ratios. The data points cover a region of the model grid with low metallicities (log_10(Z/Z_☉) ~ -1.25 to -0.5, or log(O/H) + 12 ~ -7.5 to -8.0) and high ionization parameters (between log_10(U_0) ~ -2

\textsuperscript{11} Blue compact dwarf is used here instead of blue compact galaxy to avoid acronym confusion with brightest cluster galaxies.
and $-$1), both of which are consistent with estimates from the optical spectroscopy.

Figure 14 shows O3C3 versus [Ne III] $\lambda$3869/[O II] $\lambda$3726,9 (Ne3O2) for stellar populations assuming a 1 Myr burst and a 10 Myr constant SFR. The young burst and the constant SFR models are very similar, and both grids are able to reproduce the observed line ratios. The O3C3 and Ne3O2 line ratios are sensitive to ionization parameter, and the combination of them provides the most leverage for discriminating among models of constant ionization parameter. The BCDs in the O3C3 versus Ne3O2 diagram have line ratios consistent with ionization parameters around $\log U_0 = -2.0$. For the galaxies that appear in both Figures 13 and 14, the UV model grids predict ionization parameters that are consistent with one another and with the optically derived ionization parameters.

Figure 15 shows O3C3 versus C IV $\lambda$1548,51/[O III] $\lambda$1661,6 (C4O3: bottom row) and C4O3 versus Si3C3 (top row) for a 1 Myr burst and 10 Myr constant SFR. In the bottom row of Figure 15, we include the sample of nearby dwarf galaxies presented in Senchyna et al. (2017), which agree well with the Berg et al. (2016) sample. This comparison is only possible for Figure 15, since the Senchyna et al. (2017) sample only includes emission line measurements for C III] $\lambda$1906,9 (as a blend), [O III] $\lambda$1661,6, and C IV $\lambda$1548,51.

In contrast to Figures 13 and 14, these diagnostics are not able to fully reproduce the range of observed line ratios. In particular, the majority of the C4O3 ratios lie beyond the highest ionization parameters in the model grid, which could indicate that the models do not reach high enough ionization states or do not have hard enough ionizing spectra. However, the consistency of the other grids with $\log U_0 = -2$ suggests that instead this behavior is primarily driven by larger-than-predicted contributions from stellar wind emission to the C IV $\lambda$1548,51 flux. The grids in Figure 15 only include the nebular contribution, and the C4O3 ratio should thus be considered a lower limit when compared to the observed C4O3 ratios. We note that the C IV $\lambda$1548,51 lines in the Berg et al. [25x256]
spectra are in fact broader than the other nebular emission lines, which is consistent with wind contamination. Contribution from both nebular emission and stellar wind emission is a known issue for other UV emission lines as well (e.g., $\text{[He II]} \lambda 1640$). In theory, with sufficient resolution and signal-to-noise ratio, one could distinguish the two components by their different line profiles, as the nebular emission component should be narrow while the stellar wind emission component should be fairly broad. However, this measurement is difficult in the more common low-to-mid-resolution spectra. For this reason, we recommend limiting diagnostic diagrams to line ratios that include no more than one emission line with a well-known stellar emission contribution. For example, the commonly used $\text{[He II]} \lambda 1640/\text{C IV} \lambda 1548,51$ line ratio (e.g., Feltre et al. 2016; Nakajima et al. 2018) may be difficult to interpret due to the unknown contribution from stellar and nebular emission in both the numerator and denominator.

(2016) spectra are in fact broader than the other nebular emission lines, which is consistent with wind contamination. Contribution from both nebular emission and stellar wind emission is a known issue for other UV emission lines as well (e.g., $\text{[He II]} \lambda 1640$). In theory, with sufficient resolution and signal-to-noise ratio, one could distinguish the two components by their different line profiles, as the nebular emission component should be narrow while the stellar wind emission component should be fairly broad. However, this measurement is difficult in the more common low-to-mid-resolution spectra. For this reason, we recommend limiting diagnostic diagrams to line ratios that include no more than one emission line with a well-known stellar emission contribution. For example, the commonly used $\text{[He II]} \lambda 1640/\text{C IV} \lambda 1548,51$ line ratio (e.g., Feltre et al. 2016; Nakajima et al. 2018) may be difficult to interpret due to the unknown contribution from stellar and nebular emission in both the numerator and denominator.

4.1.2. Stellar and Nebular C IV Emission

The model grids in Figure 15 show the behavior expected for pure nebular emission. However, actual spectra will include both stellar and nebular components, along with strong features from stellar winds. These effects are particularly important for C IV, where the stellar C IV emission is shaped by the line-driven winds in massive stars, and will thus change strength with metallicity and time. Its line profile is also complex and has a P Cygni profile with a redshifted emission component and a blueshifted absorption component.

To estimate the total flux in the wind emission that would likely “contaminate” the nebular emission measurement at low spectral resolution, we fit a Gaussian profile to the emission component of the P Cygni profile in our model spectra. We then add this flux to the total flux of the C IV $\lambda 1548$ and C IV $\lambda 1551$ nebular emission lines to quantify the change in the C IV $\lambda 1548/\text{[O III]} \lambda 1661,6$ ratio. The fluxes are calculated...
assuming a total stellar mass of $10^7 M_\odot$ and a distance of 10 Mpc. The choice is ultimately unimportant, however, since we are primarily interested in comparing ratios of emission lines.

In Figure 16 we show the flux in the stellar C IV λ1551 wind emission as a fraction of the total nebular and stellar C IV flux as a function of time at different metallicities. The top panel shows instantaneous-burst models, while the bottom panel shows constant SFR models. At all metallicities, the nebular C IV emission decreases with time as the massive stars providing the ionizing radiation die. Nebular C IV emission is strongest at lower metallicities ($\log_{10} Z/Z_\odot < -0.5$) and is relatively weak in solar-metallicity populations.

It is clear from Figure 16 that stellar wind contamination will be strongest at solar-like metallicity, where line-driven winds are strong and nebular C IV emission is relatively weak. In models at solar metallicity and higher, stellar wind emission contributes 60% or more of the total C IV emission. While stellar wind emission also decreases with time, it decreases over slightly longer timescales than the nebular emission. The peak stellar wind emission changes with metallicity, with stellar emission peaking at later times in lower metallicity populations.
populations. Even at log_{10}\left(\frac{Z}{\odot}\right) = -1, stellar C IV emission can contribute as much as 30\% of the total C IV emission.

We show the resultant model grids in Figure 17, where we show the same O3C3 versus C IV λ1548,51/[O III] λ1661,6 diagnostic diagram from Figure 15 for 1 Myr instantaneous burst and 10 Myr CSFR populations. We show the original “nebular-only” C IV λ1548,51/[O III] λ1661,6 grid in gray and the same observed C IV λ1548,51/[O III] λ1661,6 ratios from Figure 15 for visual reference. The new model grid includes C IV λ1548,1551 and the stellar C IV λ1551 wind emission, which we label as C IV λ1548,51,w for clarity.

The model grids with winds show improved agreement with the data, due to the \sim 0.2–0.5 dex shift to higher C4O3 ratios. As expected, the C4O3 ratio changes dramatically at solar metallicity, where the wind emission contributes significantly and changes the C4O3 ratio by 0.3–2.0 dex. For populations with metallicities \sim -1 \leq \log_{10}\left(\frac{Z}{\odot}\right) \leq -0.5, the line ratio changes are more modest, typically 0.2–0.5 dex. At the lowest metallicities where wind emission is unimportant, the line ratio increases by at most 0.2 dex, driven by the C IV λ1551 nebular emission.

There are slight differences between the instantaneous burst and constant SFR grids, but both do a good job at reproducing

Figure 15. Berg et al. (2016) BCD sample (gold circles) compared to UV diagnostic diagrams for a 1 Myr burst (left) and a 10 Myr constant SFR (right). Observations from Senchyna et al. (2017) are shown with blue diamonds on the bottom two plots. The blue lines connect models of constant ionization parameter. Here log_{10}\left(\frac{Z}{\odot}\right) = -1 is shown in dark blue and log_{10}\left(\frac{Z}{\odot}\right) = -4 is shown in light blue. Models of constant metallicity are connected by the colored lines, from log_{10}\left(\frac{Z}{\odot}\right) = -1 in purple to log_{10}\left(\frac{Z}{\odot}\right) = 0.0 in yellow. Stellar wind emission can inflate the measured CIV1548, 1551 flux, and we indicate the direction of this with the blue arrow (see Figure 17). The gray and black arrows show three magnitudes of extinction assuming SMC and Calzetti reddening laws, respectively.
important at high metallicities, C IV total C IV emission (SFR wind model. The few off-grid points could be explained with modest perturbations to the difficulty of wind models. It is likely that the few off-grid points could be explained with modest perturbations to the wind model.

4.1.3. Absorption Indices

To evaluate the absorption line indices identified in Section 3.4, we compare our models to measurements of 14 nearby (0.003 < z < 0.03) BCDs presented in Zetterlund et al. (2015), observed using the HST COS spectrograph. This sample has metallicities derived from optical emission line ratios from KK04 based on the R23 diagnostic, giving an estimated accuracy of ~0.15 dex (Zetterlund et al. 2015). The accuracy of the R23 metallicity diagnostic is worse near 12 + log(O/H) ∼ 8.4, where the value of R23 rolls over, and may be biased high compared to stellar metallicities (e.g., Kudritzki et al. 2012).

There were no nebular emission features detected in this sample, due to sample selection effects and low signal-to-noise ratio (S/N ∼ 1–5). However, Zetterlund et al. (2015) did provide measurements of AlII_1670 and CIV_1550, two of the L11 absorption indices.

The Zetterlund et al. (2015) BCD spectra have not been corrected for the presence of an underlying old stellar population. For a typical dwarf galaxy with a burst of star formation in the last 300 Myr, most of the total stellar mass lies in old populations (3 Gyr or older), which contribute very little to the total UV flux, less than a percent. The assumption of continuous SFR over 4 Myr ultimately dominates the uncertainty in the stellar continuum, while SFR fluctuations over 10–100 Myr can produce continuum fluctuations at the 10% level.

In Figure 18 we show EWs of AlII_1670 and CIV_1550 as a function of galaxy metallicity. In general, the CIV_1550 index EWs are large and positive, as expected at high metallicities where stellar absorption dominates the index. The AlII_1670 index covers much weaker features, and the index measurements are quite noisy due to the low-S/N observations. The AlII_1670 takes both positive and negative values, potentially reflecting the influence of filling from emission lines (negative EWs), which is particularly problematic for this index, as discussed in Section 3.4.

Although one AlII_1670 measurement lies above our model predictions, we note that our models do not include the effects of interstellar absorption. This was considered in detail in Vidal-García et al. (2017), who found that interstellar line absorption near AlII λ1670 can be significant, especially at high metallicity.

4.2. UV Spectra of High-redshift Galaxies

Rest-frame UV spectra of distant galaxies are a powerful tool to study the star formation environment of young galaxies, and will become higher quality and increasingly commonplace with future optical and IR instruments on the next generation of telescopes. In this section, we compare the UV diagnostic diagrams presented in Section 3.6 to the rest-frame UV spectra of galaxies at intermediate and high redshift obtained with ground-based optical and IR instruments.

Before proceeding, we note that estimates of metallicity for these distant galaxies, when used, are determined from rest-frame optical emission line diagnostics observed in the IR. These diagnostics are calibrated against local galaxies, where the star-forming environment could be drastically different from the star-forming environment typical of distant galaxies, and for which the application of local metallicity diagnostics may not be appropriate. It is still interesting, however, to understand where and why the emission line models succeed or fail.

In Figure 19, we show several diagnostic diagrams with a sample of intermediate- and high-redshift galaxies compiled from Stark et al. (2014; black circles) and Christensen et al. (2012; white diamond). The CIV λ1548.51, [Si III] λ1883, [O III] λ1661.6, and C III] λ1906.9 emission lines are measured in both the local galaxy sample from Berg et al. (2016) and the lensed z ∼ 3 galaxy sample from Stark et al. (2014). We include the local galaxy observations in Figure 19 as gray circles. Stark et al. (2015) provides lower limits on the [Si III] λ1883 emission line in cases where it was not detected based on observational limits.

In the Si3C3 versus O3C3 diagram in Figure 19 (upper left), all of the high-redshift galaxies have emission line ratios consistent with the model predictions. Overall, the line ratios for the high-redshift galaxies tend toward higher ionization parameters and slightly higher metallicities than the local
This trend is likely driven entirely by the strength of the C III line, which is notably large in many of the high-redshift galaxies (Stark et al. 2014), but variations in [Si III] $\lambda$1883 (an $\alpha$ element) could also play a role. Again, the shift toward higher ionization parameters and higher metallicities in the high-redshift sample compared to local BCDs is not unexpected, given the bias toward more massive, high-SFR galaxies in the former.

We show the [He II] $\lambda$1640/C III] $\lambda$1906.9 ratio (He2C3) versus the [O III] $\lambda$1661.6/C III] $\lambda$1906.9 ratio (O3C3) in the upper right panel of Figure 19. All of the high-redshift galaxies have emission line ratios consistent with the model predictions. The high-redshift galaxies and the local galaxies occupy similar regions of the diagram, with no clear offset in metallicity or ionization parameter. However, all of the observations lie near models with higher metallicities than in previous diagnostic diagrams. The He2C3 ratio uses emission lines more widely spaced in wavelength than the other ratios in Figure 19, which could indicate that reddening corrections are responsible for the shift.

In the C4O3 versus O3C3 diagram in Figure 19 (lower left), the high-redshift galaxies occupy a region entirely beyond the model grid with large values of C4O3. The range of observed C4O3 and O3C3 values in the high-redshift galaxies is consistent with values observed in the local galaxy sample from Berg et al. (2016) and Senchyna et al. (2017), though the local sample extends to lower values of C4O3 and has a wider range in O3C3. These differences are not entirely unexpected, since the high-redshift sample is smaller and necessarily probes the brightest observable targets. The high C4O3 ratios observed...
in the high-redshift sample may support the idea that high-redshift galaxies have on average “harder” ionizing spectra, though we again note the important contribution stellar winds make to the C4O3 ratio, as noted by the blue arrow and discussed in Section 4.1.2 (Figure 17).

The NII λ1750,1752 emission doublet was not detected in any of the Stark et al. (2014) sample, but lower limits were provided based on observational constraints. Despite the current nondetection with more sensitive facilities, the NII λ1750/OIII λ1661,6 line ratio (N2O3) could become a promising diagnostic when paired with Si3C3, which we show in the bottom right panel of Figure 19.

5. Feasibility Estimates

In this section, we discuss the feasibility of measuring important UV lines with existing and planned observatories. We quantify the utility of the diagnostics from Section 4 with the launch of the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST), which will provide rest-frame UV spectra of galaxies at $z \gtrsim 4$, and we identify potential diagnostics for the redshift ranges probed by the JWST spectrograph.

In Figure 20, we show observable UV emission lines as a function of the desired redshift range to be probed with JWST. Each emission line is color coded by its strength relative to Hβ for a fiducial model assuming a 10 Myr constant SFR, $\log_{10} U_0 = -2.5$, and $\log_{10} Z/Z_\odot = -0.5$, so users can determine the brightest emission lines in their desired redshift range. We also highlight the range of redshifts where each of the emission line ratios presented in Table 4 are observable.

For the highest redshift galaxies expected to be discovered with JWST, $7 < z < 10$, low-resolution spectroscopy ($R \sim 10–100$) can be obtained with NIRSpec (Levesque 2015), allowing for the detection of the brightest emission lines like C III $\lambda 1906,1909$ and [O III] $\lambda 1661,1666$. In this regime, the O3C3 emission line ratio and the slope of the UV continuum are likely to be the most useful probes of the ISM properties. At these resolutions, the C III lines at 1906 and 1909 Å will be blended and likely include the blended contribution from the Si III line at 1883 Å.

For galaxies in the range $5 < z < 7$, NIRSpec will provide midresolution ($R \sim 1000$) spectroscopy for reasonable exposure times. With sufficient S/N, the O3C3, Si3C3, and Ne3O2 diagnostics can provide constraints on the ionization state and metallicity of the gas to better understand the properties of galaxies during the epoch of their formation. Other emission line ratios presented in this work (e.g., N3O3, C4O3, He2C3, Si2C2, O3O2) can be used in this regime, but the use of weak lines in these ratios is likely to prevent their application in all but the most extreme environments.

For a smaller subset of galaxies, high-resolution ($R \sim 2700$) spectra can be obtained. These spectra will be able to resolve stellar absorption features, enabling the simultaneous study of the nebular emission and the stellar population. With sufficient S/N to detect some of the weaker emission features, the diagnostics combining emission and absorption features will provide insight into the conversion of gas into stars, stellar feedback, and chemical enrichment.

6. Conclusions

We build upon the nebular model framework established in Byler et al. (2017), extending the self-consistent predictions for nebular line and continuum emission from stellar populations into the UV regime. With increasing sensitivity to high-redshift galaxies, better tools to interpret rest-frame UV spectra will be increasingly important. Our conclusions are as follows:

1. We have identified UV emission lines that correlate with the gas-phase metallicity and the hardness of the ionizing spectrum. We provide predicted UV emission line fluxes as a function of metallicity and ionization parameter in a machine-readable format. These will be fully integrated into FSPS and included in future releases.

2. We have identified combinations of emission lines that will be useful diagnostics for bulk properties like metallicity and ionization parameter. The combinations presented in this work are relatively bright and insensitive to reddening and correlate with metallicity and ionization parameter.

3. We present a joint analysis of stellar and nebular features in the UV. We determine which of the absorption line indices presented by Leitherer et al. (2011) are the most useful metallicity diagnostics and quantify the contribution from nebular emission to each absorption index. We identify combinations of stellar absorption features and nebular emission lines that can be used as metallicity and ionization parameter diagnostics. We also quantify the contribution from stellar wind emission to nebular emission line ratios.

4. We evaluate the emission and absorption diagnostics by comparing them with observed galaxies. We confirm that the diagnostics can reproduce observed emission and absorption from a sample of local BCDs. For line ratios
where the contribution from stellar wind emission plays a role in one of the emission lines (e.g., CIV or [He II]), the model predictions are unable to fully reproduce observations. We advise caution when interpreting line ratios where both emission lines could include a significant contribution from stellar winds, like CIV/He II.

5. We verify that the diagnostics hold across redshift by comparing them with observations of high-redshift galaxies. In general, the model grids are consistent within errors of high-redshift observations.

6. We recommend diagnostics for the redshift ranges probed by the JWST spectrograph. The midresolution ($R \sim 1000$) spectroscopy that NIRSpec will provide for galaxies in $5 < z < 7$ is ideal for the use of the emission line diagnostics presented here, including O3C3, Si3C3, S3C3, Si3N3, S3O3, and N3O3.

The analysis of both stellar and nebular features in the UV is a promising method to study the ISM in galaxies both locally and at high redshift. Future work fully considering the implications of variable star-formation histories and gas-phase abundances will anchor these diagnostics to physical conditions that may be more representative of those found in the early universe. We hope that these models can help guide future assessments of the UV spectra from star-forming galaxies.
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Figure 19. Stark et al. (2014) galaxies at $z \sim 2$ (gray squares) compared to various UV diagnostic diagrams, assuming a 10 Myr stellar population with constant SFR. The blue lines connect models of constant ionization parameter. Here $\log_{10} U_0 = -1$ is shown in dark blue and $\log_{10} U_0 = -4$ is shown in light blue. Models of constant metallicity are connected by the colored lines, from $\log_{10} Z/Z_\odot = -1$ in purple to $\log_{10} Z/Z_\odot = 0.0$ in yellow. The Berg et al. (2016) local BCD sample (gold circles) is included in the upper left, upper right, and lower left diagrams; the Senchyna et al. (2017) local BCD sample (blue diamonds) is included in the lower left diagram. The gray and black arrows show three magnitudes of extinction assuming SMC and Calzetti reddening laws, respectively. The model grids are mostly consistent with the Stark et al. (2014) data within error. The disagreement in the C4O3 ratio (lower left) may be the result of stellar wind emission inflating the measured CIV1548 flux; we indicate the direction of this with the blue arrow.
emission line measurements (D. Berg et al. 2018, in preparation). C.C. acknowledges support from NASA grant NNX13AI46G, NSF grant AST-1313280, and the Packard Foundation. N.B. acknowledges support from the University of Washington’s Royalty Research Fund Grant 65-8055 and the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for All Sky Astrophysics in 3 Dimensions (ASTRO3D), through project number CE170100013. We would like to thank the anonymous referee for thorough and constructive feedback that greatly improved this work.

Software: CLOUDY v13.03 (Ferland et al. 2013), FSPS v3.0 (Conroy et al. 2009; Conroy & Gunn 2010), cloudyFSPS v1.0 (Byler 2018), python-fsps v0.1.1 (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2014).

Appendix A

Emission Line List

In Table 5 we provide a list of the emission lines included in the CLOUDYFSPS nebular model. The list of emission lines used in this work contains a total of 381 emission lines, expanded from the original Byler et al. (2017) list of 128 emission lines. For each emission line, Table 5 provides the element name and ionization state, the wavelength in vacuum, and the name used to track the emission line within CLOUDY. Only a portion of the list is given in Table 5. The table is available online in a machine-readable format and is available for download at the CLOUDYFSPS project website (http://nell-byler.github.io/cloudyfsps/).

Appendix B

Sensitivity to C/O Prescription

Photoionization models must make various assumptions about the relative gas-phase abundances of different elements. In general, nebular models assume that the abundances of all elements increase linearly with increasing metallicity. Specific choices are usually motivated by the desire to match the observed properties of samples of H II regions. Most emission line strengths will depend linearly on their elemental abundances, to first order, but variations in important gas coolants like C, N, and O have additional effects on the H II region structure and temperature, which in turn complicate the response of emission lines to changes in their abundances.

The treatment of relative elemental abundances is further complicated by the likelihood that both C and N scale
nonlinearly with metallicity. Nitrogen has known secondary nucleosynthetic production at high metallicity, wherein nitrogen is dredged up during the bottleneck step of the CNO cycle, which is directly dependent on metallicity. In the case of carbon, the triple-$\alpha$ process is the only known production process, but it does not depend on metallicity. Instead, additional carbon is produced through metallicity-dependent processes such as stellar winds, rather than a nucleosynthetic process. Thus, carbon is said to have a “pseudosecondary” production process.

Nitrogen abundances are relatively easy to determine for local galaxies with optical spectra, and the relationship between N/O with metallicity has been well studied (e.g., Garnett 1990; van Zee et al. 1998; Berg et al. 2012). Based on existing data, most photoionization models adopt a functional form for the relationship between the oxygen abundance and the N/O ratio that matches observations of local dwarf galaxies, massive extragalactic H II regions, and starburst nucleus galaxies.

The C/O relationship is particularly relevant to this work, since C III is one of the strongest emission lines in the UV and is included in many of the emission line diagnostics presented in this work. Historically, carbon has been a difficult element to derive absolute abundances for, since few strong transitions exist in the optical or IR, and the optical recombination lines (RLs) become too faint to detect below $12 + \log(O/H) = 8$ (Esteban et al. 2014).

Recently, Berg et al. (2016) analyzed the relationship of C/O with metallicity in low-metallicity galaxies using collisionally excited lines for C and O in the UV. Berg et al. (2016) found that the C/O ratio was roughly constant across the metallicity range of their sample ($7 < 12 + \log(O/H) < 8$). However, when combined with C/O measurements based on optical recombination lines from galaxies at higher metallicities, C/O appears to increase with metallicity above $12 + \log(O/H) = 8$. These data are shown in the right panel of Figure 21.

In Figure 21, we show the existing N/O (left) and C/O (right) measurements along with the relationships between N/O and C/O used here and in various other nebular models. For both N/O and C/O, we have modified the Dopita et al. (2013) prescription such that it plateaus for $12 + \log(O/H) \lesssim 8$ to better match observations. The exact functional form is given in Equations (1) and (2) in Section 2.2 and is shown as the blue line in Figure 21. As noted in Steidel et al. (2014), the precise behavior of the N/O ratio with metallicity depends on the calibration sample and the details of the methods used to measure the abundances, and unsurprisingly there is a substantial range in the N/O versus O/H relationships applied in recent literature.

### B.1. Effect on UV and Optical Emission Lines

The behaviors of the N/O and C/O ratios are important for the UV emission line diagnostics presented in this work. In Figure 22 we show how the C III $\lambda$1907 emission line changes while varying C/O at constant oxygen abundance. The left panel of Figure 22 demonstrates that at constant $12 + \log(O/H)$, while C III $\lambda$1907 emission depends strongly on ionization parameter (varying from $10^{42}$ to $10^{48}$ erg s$^{-1}$), the strength of the line is essentially independent of the actual carbon abundance.

While C III $\lambda$1907 is not a direct measure of the carbon abundance on its own, when C III $\lambda$1907 is paired with [O III] $\lambda$1666, it becomes much more sensitive to the relative abundance of carbon. Figure 23 shows the [O III]/C III emission line ratio as a function of ionization parameter and carbon abundance at constant $12 + \log(O/H)$. The decreasing abundance of carbon ultimately inhibits cloud cooling, which raises the temperature of the nebula slightly and produces variations in oxygen line strength at constant $12 + \log(O/H)$. The O3C3 line ratio is very sensitive to ionization parameter and the C/O ratio while being relatively insensitive to gas density.

Despite our modifications to previous abundance prescriptions, our nebular model still produces BPT diagram line ratios that are consistent with observations. In Figure 24 we show model grids on several diagnostic diagrams assuming the three different abundance prescriptions considered in Figure 21: the abundance prescription used in this work, the Dopita et al. (2013) prescription (“D13”), and the Dopita et al. (2000) prescription (“D00”). The models are run for identical input
parameters, and the only modification is the mixture of gas-phase abundances specified in CLOUDY.

To show where observed galaxies lie in each of these diagnostic diagrams, we include a 2D histogram showing the number density of star-forming galaxies, as done in Byler et al. (2017). The star-forming galaxy sample is derived from galaxy spectra from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey Data Release 7 (SDSS DR7; York et al. 2000; Abazajian et al. 2009) and emission line fluxes measured from the publicly available SDSS DR7 MPA/JHU catalog (Kauffmann et al. 2003b; Brinchmann et al. 2004; Salim et al. 2007). We use the emission line sample presented in Telford et al. (2016), briefly summarized here. The sample includes ~135,000 galaxies with redshifts between 0.07 and 0.30. Galaxies are required to have S/N of 25 in the Hα line, 5 in the Hβ line, and 3 in the [S II] lines. Emission line fluxes are corrected for dust extinction using the Balmer decrement and the Cardelli et al. (1989) extinction law, assuming $R_V = 3.1$ and an intrinsic Balmer decrement of 2.86. AGNs are removed from the sample according to the empirical BPT diagram classification of Kauffmann et al. (2003a). We also show a random sample of SDSS galaxies (i.e., including AGNs) with black circles.

The top panel in Figure 24 shows the standard BPT diagram. The model grids shown are run using identical input parameters, differing only in the mixture of gas-phase abundances specified in CLOUDY. However, the resultant model grids vary by 0.4 dex in $\frac{[\text{O}\,\text{III}]}{\text{H}\alpha}$ abundance for a 10 Myr CSFR model at solar (left) and 10% solar (right) metallicity. The decrease in C abundance inhibits cloud cooling, raising the temperature of the nebula slightly, producing variations in oxygen line strength at constant $\frac{[\text{O}\,\text{II}]}{\text{H}\alpha}$. The C III] line ratio is very sensitive to ionization parameter, metallicity, and the C/O ratio.

Figure 22. Variation in C III] $\lambda 1907$ line strength as a function of ionization parameter as the abundance of C is changed at constant $12 + \log(\text{O/H})$ abundance for a 10 Myr CSFR model at solar (left) and 10% solar (right) metallicity. C III] is sensitive to ionization parameter and moderately sensitive to gas density. C III] is sensitive to the overall gas-phase metallicity; at fixed oxygen abundance, a two order of magnitude change in carbon abundance produces relatively little variation in line strength.

Figure 23. Variation in [O III] $\lambda 1666$/C III] $\lambda 1907$ (O3C3) emission line ratio as a function of ionization parameter as the abundance of C is decreased at constant $12 + \log(\text{O/H})$ abundance for a 10 Myr CSFR model at solar (left) and 10% solar (right) metallicity. The decrease in C abundance inhibits cloud cooling, raising the temperature of the nebula slightly, producing variations in oxygen line strength at constant $12 + \log(\text{O/H})$. The O3C3 line ratio is very sensitive to ionization parameter, metallicity, and the C/O ratio.
model is able to reproduce the optical star-forming sequence, but does not include the additional pseudosecondary contribution for carbon needed to explain the UV observations.

We note that Figure 24 is only intended to highlight how changes in the adopted relative elemental abundances can change emission line ratio predictions. The behavior displayed in Figure 24 is not representative of the other models’ ability to reproduce observed line ratios, as each of these models can and does adequately reproduce the locus of star-forming galaxies through adopting different physical parameters, compared to

![Model grids on the standard BPT diagram (top row) and optical diagnostic diagrams (middle and bottom rows). The gray-scale 2D histogram shows the number density of SDSS star-forming galaxies from the Telford et al. (2016) sample, and the black points show a random sample of SDSS galaxies. The solid black line is the extreme starburst classification line from Kewley et al. (2001), and the dashed line is the pure star-formation classification line from Kauffmann et al. (2003b). All models assume a 1 Myr instantaneous burst and otherwise identical input parameters. Each panel represents a model run with a different abundance prescription, as noted in the upper right corner of each plot, and as shown in Figure 21.](image)
