We demonstrate delicate control over the Kondo effect and its interplay with quantum interference in an Aharonov-Bohm interferometer containing one Kondo dot and one noninteracting dot. It is shown that the Kondo resonance undergoes a dramatic evolution as the interdot tunnel coupling progressively increases. A novel triple Kondo splitting occurs from the interference between constant and Lorentzian conduction bands that cooperate in forming the Kondo singlet. The device also manifests a highly controllable Fano-Kondo effect in coherent electronic transport, and can be tuned to a regime where the coupled dots behave as decoupled dots.
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The Kondo effect is a well-known strongly correlated phenomenon in condensed matter physics [1]. It describes a collective state known as Kondo resonance around the Fermi level due to the screening of an impurity spin by conduction electrons. Since its first experimental observation in quantum dots (QDs) [2], unprecedented control over this many-body effect has been systematically achieved by exploiting the tunable physical characteristics of QDs [3] and by fabricating complicated QD devices, such as coupled multiple dots [4] or dots embedded in an Aharonov-Bohm (AB) geometry [5, 6]. Interestingly, the Kondo effect in coherent transport through multipath geometries may get entangled with the Fano resonance [7], an interference effect between resonant and nonresonant channels.

Recently, double QDs consisting of one Kondo dot and one noninteracting dot have been suggested as a promising platform to achieve novel Kondo physics [8, 9, 10]. For example, a crossover from a non-Kondo dip to a sharp Kondo peak, followed by a triple splitting of the peak, and eventually the triple splitting reduces to a double splitting. Compared with previous studies [8, 9, 10] we emphasize that these features are continuously produced in a single geometry by only tuning the interdot tunneling (via a gate voltage in experiments). In particular, the novel triple Kondo splitting not reported previously is ascribed to the interference between the constant and Lorentzian components of the effective conduction band that have nearly equal contribution to screen the impurity spin. Moreover, our device gives even deeper insight into the interplay of many-body correlations and quantum interference, exhibiting an unambiguous Fano-Kondo effect with a highly tunable Fano parameter in the linear conductance, and accessing a striking regime where the coupled dots exhibit decoupled local and transport properties.

The device depicted in Fig. 1 can be modeled by an Anderson-like Hamiltonian $H = H_0 + H_D + H_V$, where $H_0 = \sum_{k,\sigma,\alpha} \varepsilon_k C_{k\sigma\alpha}^\dagger C_{k\sigma\alpha} (\alpha = L, R)$ describes the leads with constant DOS $\rho_0$, $H_D = \sum_{m,\sigma} \varepsilon_m d_{m\sigma}^\dagger d_{m\sigma} + U d_{1\uparrow}^\dagger d_{1\downarrow}^\dagger d_{1\uparrow} d_{1\downarrow}$ models the isolated dots, and $H_V = \sum_{k,\sigma} V_{d2\sigma} d_{2\sigma}^\dagger + \sum_{m,k,\sigma,\alpha} V_{ma} d_{m\sigma}^\dagger C_{k\sigma\alpha} + \text{H.c.}$ is the dot-dot ($J = |J|e^{i\phi_J}$) and dot-lead ($V_{ma} = |V_{ma}|e^{i\phi_{ma}}$) couplings. Here $d_{m\sigma}^\dagger (C_{k\sigma\alpha}^\dagger)$ creates a spin-$\sigma$ electron of energy $\varepsilon_m (\varepsilon_k)$ in dot $m$ (the $\alpha$ lead), and the on-site Coulomb repulsion $U$ is restricted within dot 1. The intrinsic linewidth of the dot levels due to tunnel coupling to the leads is $\Gamma_m = \sum_\alpha \Gamma_{ma}$ with $\Gamma_{ma} = \pi \rho_0 |V_{ma}|^2$. The magnetic flux $\Phi_0$ penetrating the $\alpha$ hopping loop introduces an AB phase $\phi_\alpha = 2\pi \Phi_0 / \Phi_0$, with $\Phi_0$ being the flux quantum, so that $\phi_\alpha - \phi_\alpha - \phi_J = (\delta_{\alpha L} - \delta_{\alpha R}) \phi_\alpha$.

This model describes an Anderson impurity (dot 1) embedded in a complex but noninteracting network (dot 2 and the leads). Its local and transport properties are completely determined by the dot-1 retarded Green’s function (GF), $G_1(\varepsilon)$, which we calculate using the equation of motion (EOM) approach [11, 12, 13]. The EOM for $G_1(\varepsilon)$ involves a high-order GF whose EOM produces
in turn more higher-order ones. We truncate this hierarchy by i) the Lacroix’s decoupling procedure \[11,12\] generalized to the case of arbitrary networks \[13\] and ii) neglecting higher-order GFs that contribute only at order $1/U^2$. By this two-step scheme \[14\], in the wide-band limit and taking $\Gamma_{mL} = \Gamma_{mR}$, the dot-1 GF is given by

$$G_1(\epsilon) = \frac{\Xi(\epsilon) - U[1 - n_1 - P(\epsilon)]}{\Xi(\epsilon)\Xi(\epsilon) - U[\Xi(\epsilon) + \Sigma_0(\epsilon)P(\epsilon) - Q(\epsilon)]},$$

where $\Xi(\epsilon) = \epsilon - \epsilon_1 - \Sigma_0(\epsilon)$, $\tilde{\Xi}(\epsilon) = \Xi(\epsilon) - \Sigma_0(\epsilon)$, and

$$n_1 = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int d\epsilon \, f(\epsilon) \text{Im}[G_1(\epsilon)],$$

$$P(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int d\epsilon' \frac{f(\epsilon')}{\epsilon - \epsilon'} \{ \Sigma_0(\epsilon) \text{Im}[G_1(\epsilon')] - \text{Im}[\Sigma_0(\epsilon')G_1(\epsilon')] \},$$

$$Q(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int d\epsilon' \frac{f(\epsilon')}{\epsilon - \epsilon'} \{ \Sigma_0(\epsilon) \text{Im}[\Sigma_0(\epsilon')G_1(\epsilon')] - \text{Im}[\Sigma_0(\epsilon') + \Sigma_0(\epsilon')G_1(\epsilon')] \},$$

$$\Sigma_0(\epsilon) = -i\Gamma_1 + \left| J \right|^2 - \Gamma_1\Gamma_2 \cos^2 \frac{\phi_0 + \phi_R}{2} - (i/8)|J|\sqrt{\Gamma_1\Gamma_2}(\cos \phi_L + \cos \phi_R)g_2(\epsilon).$$

Here $\Sigma_0(\epsilon)$ is the self-energy of $G_1(\epsilon)$ for $U = 0$, $f(\epsilon)$ represents the Fermi distribution function, and $g_2(\epsilon) = 1/(\epsilon - \epsilon_2 + i\Gamma_2)$ is the dot-2 GF in the absence of dot 1. Eqs. (1)-(5) can be solved self-consistently. We emphasize that Eqs. (1)-(4) are quite universal and applicable to an interacting dot embedded in general complex networks whose geometrical details are incorporated in $\Sigma_0(\epsilon)$. Our system is thus equivalent to an Anderson impurity with a structured hybridization function $\Delta(\epsilon) \equiv -\text{Im}\Sigma_0(\epsilon)$ which, in general, has an asymmetric peak-dip Fano profile, and effectively renormalizes the conduction DOS seen by the impurity, i.e., $\rho_0 \to \rho_0(\epsilon) \propto \Delta(\epsilon)$.

To achieve the most fascinating properties, we restrict ourselves in this work to a particular phase condition $\phi_L - \phi_R = (2l + 1)\pi$, $l = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$. Therefore Eq. (5) reduces to $\Sigma_0(\epsilon) = -i\Gamma_1 + \Omega_g(\epsilon)$ and thus $\Delta(\epsilon) = \Gamma_1 - i\text{Im}[g_2(\epsilon)]$, where $\Omega = |J|^2 - J_0^2 \cos^2(\phi/2)$ with $J_0 = \sqrt{\Gamma_1\Gamma_2}$ and $\phi = \sum_0 \phi_0$ being the total AB phase. In this case, by varying the interdot tunneling $|J|$, $\Delta(\epsilon)$ around $\epsilon = \epsilon_2$ can continuously evolve from a Lorentzian dip to a Lorentzian peak of width $\Gamma_2$. In the numerical results presented below, we fix $U = 12\Gamma_1 = 24\Gamma_2 = 0.12D$ with the half bandwidth $D = 1$. The dot levels $\epsilon_m$ are measured from the Fermi level $\epsilon_F = 0$ which lies at the middle of the band.

\[FIG. 1: Double-QD AB interferometer with direct interdot tunneling and two independent magnetic fluxes.\]

\[FIG. 2: Variation of the dot-1 DOS $\rho_1(\epsilon)$ with the interdot tunneling $|J|$ at AB phase $\phi = 0$. Left inset: Corresponding evolution of the hybridization function. Right inset: Triple Kondo splitting in $\rho_1(\epsilon)$ for different AB phases at $|J| = 1.56J_0$. Other parameters used are $\epsilon_1 = -U/2$, $\epsilon_2 = 0$ and the temperature $T = 10^{-6}\Gamma_1$.\]
complicated interference processes that deserve a careful analysis.

In the side-dot geometry [6, 10], the Kondo resonance on the correlated dot suffers a double splitting due to its interference with the single-particle resonance on the other dot. Considering our noninteracting DOS \( \rho_0(\varepsilon) \equiv -\frac{2}{\pi} \text{Im}(\Xi(\varepsilon))^{-1} \) for \( \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = 0 \), it exhibits one (two) peak(s) for weak (strong) interdot tunneling but by no means the three-peak structure. Therefore the picture of interference between the resonances on the two dots is insufficient to interpret the triple Kondo splitting predicted here. Instead of a single Lorentzian conduction DOS in the side-dot configuration [6, 10], we note that in our geometry, the effective DOS of conduction electrons \( \rho(\varepsilon) \) consists of a constant part \( \rho_0(\varepsilon) \) from the leads and a Lorentzian part \( -\frac{2}{\pi} \text{Im}(\rho_0(\varepsilon)) \) from dot 2. The system thus maps into an Anderson impurity coupled to two reservoirs with constant and Lorentzian DOS, respectively. Unlike the two-channel Kondo effect [13] where two independent reservoirs compete in forming the Kondo singlet, our two reservoirs actually cooperate to screen the localized spin in dot 1 because they allow exchange of electrons. As a result of this cooperation, the triple Kondo splitting occurs in the intermediate regime \( |J| \sim \Gamma_1 \) where the two conduction bands contribute equally. Equivalently, the triple splitting can also be viewed as a nontrivial consequence of the interference between the screening conduction electrons from the leads and dot 2. These electrons are spatially separated, having different phase shifts due to the magnetic fluxes penetrating the geometry. Therefore the AB effect can control the interference condition and thus significantly alter the lineshape of the triple splitting by transferring the spectral weight among the three peaks, as shown in the right inset of Fig. 2. For large \( |J| \), the interdot process (responsible for the Lorentzian conduction DOS) dominates the Kondo physics, similar two-peak behavior as in the side-dot geometry [6, 10] is thus recovered.

We now turn to the coherent electronic transport through the system. In linear response regime and at zero temperature, only single-electron elastic processes are allowed. The conductance is thus \( G = \frac{2e^2}{h} |T(\varepsilon_F)|^2 \) from the Landauer-Büttiker formula [10], where \( T(\varepsilon_F) \) is the transmission amplitude from left to right for electrons with energy \( \varepsilon_F \). \( T(\varepsilon_F) \) can be expressed in terms of the dot-1 GF as \( T(\varepsilon_F) = e^{i(\phi_F - \phi_L)}[\Gamma_2 g_2(\varepsilon_F) + \lambda G_1(\varepsilon_F)] \) with \( \lambda = \Gamma_1 e^{-i(\phi_L + \phi_R)} - g_2(\varepsilon_F)[\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 e^{-i(\phi_L + \phi_R)} - \Gamma_2 \Sigma_0(\varepsilon_F)] \). For \( |J| = 0, \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_F \), and \( \phi = 2\pi n \), so that \( \Delta(\varepsilon_F) = 0 \), one has \( G_1(\varepsilon_F) = 0 \), therefore \( G = 2e^2/h \) is irrelevant to \( \varepsilon_1 \). Otherwise, Fermi-liquid theory [11] yields the unitary condition \( \text{Im} \Sigma(\varepsilon) = -\Delta(\varepsilon_F) \) and the Friedel sum rule \( \langle \varepsilon_1 + |\Sigma(\varepsilon_F)|/\Delta(\varepsilon_F) = \cot(\pi n) \rangle = \bar{\varepsilon} \), where \( \Sigma(\varepsilon) \) is the self-energy of \( G_1(\varepsilon) \) for arbitrary \( U \) and \( \bar{n}_1 = n_1 + \frac{i}{\pi} \text{Im} \int_{-\infty}^{\varepsilon_F} d\varepsilon \frac{\partial \text{Im} G_1(\varepsilon)}{\partial \varepsilon} G_1(\varepsilon) \) is the displaced electron number per spin introduced by dot 1. With these Fermi-liquid relations, the linear conductance is exactly expressed by the Fano formula [2]

\[
G = \frac{2e^2}{h} T_2 \left| \varepsilon_1 + q^2 \right|^2, \\
(6)
\]

where \( T_2 \equiv \frac{\Gamma_2^2}{\varepsilon_2^2 + \Gamma_2^2} \) represents the transmission probability through dot 2 in the absence of dot 1 and the Fano parameter \( q \equiv \mu \varepsilon_2/\Gamma_2 + \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon_2^2/\Gamma_2^2} \sin \phi \) with \( \mu = 1 - \nu + \nu \cos \phi \) and \( \nu \equiv \Gamma_1/\Delta(\varepsilon_F) \). Note-worthily, \( q \) is a complex number due to the broken time reversal symmetry in the presence of the magnetic fields. The Fano effect described by Eq. (6) produces asymmetric lineshapes in \( G \) as a function of \( \varepsilon_1 \), resulting from the interference between resonant transmission through dot 1 and dot 2. Here dot 2 with its constant transmission amplitude serves as the continuum since \( \varepsilon_2 \) is fixed.

The linear conductance \( G \) is calculated in Fig. 3 as a function of the renormalized dot-1 level \( V_g \equiv 2\varepsilon_1 + U \) for different values of \( |J| \) at \( \phi = 0 \). As \( \varepsilon_2 \) shifts across the Fermi level, generically, we find asymmetric Fano lineshapes of peaks and dips around \( V_g = \pm U \) with reduced Kondo plateau at \( V_g = 0 \) [Figs. 3(b), 3(e), 3(d), 3(f), 3(g), and 3(h)]. However, transport through dot 2 is blocked by placing the resonance on dot 2 far away from the Fermi level, giving rise to the usual Kondo plateau of unitary transmission through dot 1 [Figs. 3(a) and 3(i)]. By contrast, when dot 2 is exactly in resonance with the leads, the Kondo plateau falls into a complete Kondo valley [Fig. 3(e)] due to destructive interference processes for transmission through the two dots. We emphasize that these characteristic lineshapes of Fano-Kondo effect are completely governed by the Fano parameter which, for \( \phi = 0 \), is \( q = \varepsilon_2/\Gamma_2 \) independent of the interdot tunneling \( |J| \). As a consequence, upon the variation of \( |J| \), the residual \( |J| \)-dependence of \( \varepsilon_1 \) enables only a tiny tuning of the peaks, dips, and plateaus while the essential Fano-Kondo profile remains robust [Figs. 3(b)-3(h)]. Furthermore, the conductance is even totally irrelevant to the interdot tunneling for \( |\varepsilon_2| \gg \Gamma_2 \) where dot 2 is effectively absent from the transmission [Figs. 3(a) and 3(i)].

To promote the tunability of this Fano-Kondo effect upon the interdot tunneling, a nonzero total AB phase is required such that \( q \equiv |J| \)-dependent and Im \( q \) comes into play. The case \( \varepsilon_2 = \Gamma_2 \), which gives a bare transmission probability \( T_2 = 0.5 \) through dot 2, yields the most striking properties shown in Fig. 4. Here, the nature of the interference and hence the Fano parameter change significantly as the interdot tunneling or the AB phase varies, giving rise to dramatic evolutions of the lineshape. While the sign of Im \( q \) is irrelevant, the lineshape of a dip to the left (right) of a peak is due to positive (negative) Re \( q \). Interestingly, Fig. 4 demonstrates a featureless lineshape at \( |J| = J_0/\sqrt{2} \) and \( \phi = 0.5\pi \) where one has exactly \( q = i \) and thus \( G = e^2/h \), meaning that the linear conductance of the device is determined by transport through dot 2 as if dot 1 is absent. In this regime, the nontrivial interplay
The Fano-Kondo effect in transport properties and even surprisingly decouples the two dots in a certain parameter regime. These results may promote the flexibilities for engineering QD-based devices with newly tailored properties.

Support from the NSFC and the Program for NCET of China is acknowledged.

[1] A. C. Hewson, *The Kondo Problem to Heavy Fermions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993).
[2] D. Goldhaber-Gordon et al., Nature (London) 391, 156 (1998); S. M. Cronenwett, T. H. Oosterkamp, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, Science 281, 540 (1998).
[3] L. Kouwenhoven and L. Glazman, Phys. World 14, 33 (2001); M. Pustilnik and L. Glazman, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 16, R513 (2004); M. Grobis, I. G. Rau, R. M. Potok, and D. Goldhaber-Gordon, arXiv:cond-mat/0611480v1.
[4] A. M. Chang and J. C. Chen, Rep. Prog. Phys. 72, 096601 (2009).
[5] W. G. van der Wiel et al., Science 289, 2105 (2000); Y. Ji et al., ibid. 290, 779 (2000); Y. Ji, M. Heiblum, and H. Shtrikman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 076601 (2002); U. F. Keyser et al., ibid. 90, 196601 (2003); A. Fuhrer et al., ibid. 93, 176803 (2004); R. Leturcq et al., ibid. 95, 126603 (2005); M. Zaffalon et al., ibid. 100, 226601 (2008).
[6] W. Höflstetter, J. König, and H. Schoeller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 156803 (2001); A. Aharony, O. Entin-Wohlman, and Y. Imry, ibid. 90, 156802 (2003); C. H. Lewenkopf and H. A. Weidenmüller, Phys. Rev. B 71, 121309(R) (2005); R. López et al., ibid. 71, 115312 (2005); P. Simon, O. Entin-Wohlman, and A. Aharony, ibid. 72, 245313 (2005); T. Kuzmenko, K. Kikoin, and Y. Avishai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 046601 (2006); V. Kashcheyevs et al., Phys. Rev. B 75, 115313 (2007); R. J. Heary, J. E. Han, and L. Zhu, ibid. 77, 115312 (2008); A. M. Lobos and A. A. Aligia, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 016803 (2008); E. Sela and I. Affleck, Phys. Rev. B 79, 125110 (2009); E. Vernek, N. Sandler, and S. E. Ulloa, ibid. 80, 041302(R) (2009).
[7] A. E. Miroshnichenko, S. Flach, and Y. S. Kivshar, arXiv:0902.3014v4 (to be published in Rev. Mod. Phys.).
[8] L. G. G. V. Dias da Silva et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 166806 (2009).
[9] L. G. G. V. Dias da Silva et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 096603 (2006); 99, 209702 (2007).
[10] L. Vaugier, A. A. Aligia, and A. M. Lobos, Phys. Rev. B 76, 165112 (2007); Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 209701 (2007).
[11] C. Lacroix, J. Phys. F 11, 2389 (1981).
[12] H. G. Luo, Z. J. Ying, and S. J. Wang, Phys. Rev. B 59, 9710 (1999); T. F. Fang, W. Zuo, and Y. G. Luo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 246805 (2008).
[13] O. Entin-Wohlman, A. Aharony, and Y. Meir, Phys. Rev. B 71, 035333 (2005); V. Kashcheyevs, A. Aharony, and O. Entin-Wohlman, Phys. Rev. B 73, 125335 (2006).
[14] Our scheme faithfully captures the Kondo physics at the particle-hole symmetric point where Ref. [13] encountered a severe drawback. The Kondo effect at the particle-

FIG. 3: Linear conductance $G$ as a function of the renormalized dot-1 level $V_g \equiv 2\varepsilon_1 + U$ for different values of $\varepsilon_2$ and $|J|$ at $\phi = 0$. The resulting Fano parameter is $q = -100, -2, -1, -0.5, 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 100$ from (a) to (i).
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