Brain region volumes and their relationship with disability progression and cognitive function in primary progressive multiple sclerosis
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Abstract

Background and purpose: Evidence on regional changes resulting from neurodegenerative processes underlying primary progressive multiple sclerosis (PPMS) is still limited. We assessed brain region volumes and their relationship with disability progression and cognitive function in PPMS patients.

Methods: This was an MRI analysis of 43 patients from the prospective Understanding Primary Progressive Multiple Sclerosis (UPPMS) cohort study. MRI scans were performed within 3 months before enrollment and at month 12.

Results: Gray matter volume of decline and white matter volumes adjacent to left straight gyrus, right calcarine sulcus, and right inferior occipital gyrus significantly
Progressive neurological degeneration of PPMS may also affect cognitive functioning, leading to a more frequent and severe impairment than the relapsing-remitting course (Jonkman et al., 2015; Planche et al., 2016). Cognitive performance in PPMS patients seems to be associated with brain volumes, white/gray matter volumes, disease lesions, and certain brain structures (Jonkman et al., 2015; Tur, Penny, et al., 2011). Accumulation of T2 lesions and the consequent exhaustion of frontal lobe plasticity might also contribute to cognitive impairment in these patients (Rocca et al., 2010). Although some brain areas could be particularly involved in neuropsychological test performance, no specific region was clearly identified as a cognitive impairment predictor.

In light of the above, we further assessed brain volumes of specific regions of PPMS patients and their relationship with disability progression and cognitive function over one year.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study design and participants

This was an analysis of MRI scans from the prospective Understanding Primary Progressive Multiple Sclerosis (UPPMS) cohort study, which was conducted in the Neurology departments of 11 Spanish hospitals. The study was performed according to Good Pharmacoepidemiology Practices, the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki, all its amendments, and national regulations. It was approved by the ethics committee of Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre (Madrid, Spain), and all patients gave their written informed consent.
The study population included 43 patients aged ≥ 18 years, diagnosed with PPMS according to 2010 McDonald criteria, (Polman et al., 2011) and within ten years from its neurologic symptom onset. Patients must have had an MRI scan within the three months previous to their inclusion into the study and no disease-modifying treatment within the past six months. Participation in any clinical trial and any medical condition that prevented adequate diagnostic evaluation were exclusion criteria.

2.2 | Assessments

Clinical and MRI data were collected at patient enrollment (baseline) and after 12 months. These data included demographics, medical history of multiple sclerosis, MRI findings, neurological disability assessments, and cognitive function evaluations.

The baseline MRI scan was performed within the three months previous to enrollment as per inclusion criteria. When the investigator did not plan to conduct a 12-month MRI scan due to clinical reasons, it could be conducted for study purpose following clinical practice procedures and provided that the patient agreed. The following acquisition parameters were recommended: 1.5-3T, slice thickness < 2 mm, repetition time 9.7 ms, Echo time 4 ms, inversion time 20 ms, and acquisition T2-FLAIR. A copy of MRI scans was anonymized and sent to Brain Dynamics S.L. (Málaga, Spain) for central volume assessment through an online platform (BD-Neuroimaging Platform), which was designed to use images acquired from a wide range of machines and used a model for tissue classification achieving an accuracy of 93% (Feng et al., 2014). Every image was assessed under an individualized quality control system, based on the NiftyReg Library applied to the International Consortium for Brain Mapping (ICBM) atlas in the space MNI152. The system converted from DICOM to a more processable format and then applied inhomogeneity correction and intensity normalization techniques to reach the best 3D reconstruction, segmentation, and data extraction. Normalization of T1 images in MNI space and bias field correction were done according to (Tustison et al., 2010), tissue segmentation (white and gray matter) as per (Avants et al., 2011), and multi-atlas anatomical brain parcellation and labeling for data extraction as per (Wang et al., 2013). T1-weighted images were used to measure brain volume changes, including the computation of 252 anatomic brain region volumes, and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) images were used to locate and quantify brain lesions, thus avoiding the incorrect classification of lesion volumes as gray matter volumes (Chard et al., 2010). Hyperintense regions in T2-FLAIR images were assessed as lesion burden estimation according to parameters established in the literature (Ong et al., 2012). T1, FLAIR, and proton density sequences were needed to assess black holes. Brain volume changes were measured considering the whole brain, gray and white matter, and each anatomic brain region. Copies of MRI scans were also sent to Hospital Universitari I Politécnic La Fe (Valencia, Spain), where an experienced neuroradiologist (JCP) quantified the number of new or enlarged T2 lesions.

Disability progression was assessed according to the Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS; ≥1-point increase in patients with a baseline score ≥ 5.0 or ≥ 0.5 points in those with a baseline score ≥ 5.5 and confirmed ≥ 3 months later), 9-Hole Peg Test (9-HPT; ≥20% increase from baseline and confirmed ≥ 3 months later), and/or Timed 25-Foot Walk (T25-FW; ≥20% increase from baseline and confirmed ≥ 3 months later; Lublin et al., 2016).

The Brief Repeatable Neuropsychological Battery (BRNB) was used to assess patient cognitive function (Boringa et al., 2001). It included the Selective Reminding Test (SRT), which provided information on long-term storage (LTS), consistent long-term retrieval (CLTR), and selective reminding test-delayed recall (SRT-D), along with the Spatial Recall Test (SPART) and delayed recall (SPART-D), Symbol Digit Modalities Test (SDMT), Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test at a 3-s rate (PASAT3) and 2-s rate (PASAT2), and Word List Generation (WLG). Higher scores on these scales indicated a better cognition performance.

2.3 | Statistical analysis

The number of new/enlarged MRI lesions was described, and brain volume changes from baseline to month 12 were analyzed using Wilcoxon or t tests. The relationship of baseline and month-12 volumes with disability progression was analyzed using R language to perform a Kruskal–Wallis hypothesis test, estimating the difference between patients with and without progression and the optimal cutoff. Mean values and 95% confidence intervals were calculated using the bootstrapping technique, supporting the robustness of the analysis. Furthermore, baseline volumes and changes after 12 months were correlated with BRBN scores throughout the study using Spearman’s rank correlations. Correction for multiple comparisons was performed when assessing relationships/correlations in this study.

Missing data were not considered in the analyses, and a significance level of 0.05 was used for statistical testing. The statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25.

### TABLE 1 Baseline patient characteristics (N = 43)

| Patient characteristics                           | Value            |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Age (years), mean ± SD                           | 55.7 ± 9.5       |
| Sex, n (%)                                       |                  |
| Male                                             | 28 (65.1)        |
| Female                                           | 15 (34.9)        |
| Time since multiple sclerosis diagnosis (years), mean ± SD | 4.8 ± 5.4        |
| EDSS score, mean ± SD                            | 5.1 ± 1.6        |
| Relapses in the previous year, n (%)             | 1 (2.3)          |

Note: Abbreviations: EDSS, Expanded Disability Status Scale; SD, standard deviation.

*This patient experienced a relapse in the previous year with gait involvement.
3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Patient characteristics

Forty-three of the 55 patients enrolled in the UPPMS study between January and July 2017 had MRI scans available for brain volume assessment. Their mean age was 55.7 ± 9.5 years and 65.1% were male (Table 1). The mean time since multiple sclerosis diagnosis and EDSS score were 4.8 ± 5.4 years and 5.1 ± 1.6, respectively.

TABLE 2 Significant changes in MRI scans from baseline to month 12

| Volume (mm³)                                      | Baseline Mean (95% CI) | Month 12 Mean (95% CI) | p   |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----|
| WM adjacent to left straight gyrus                | 1,032.6 (959–1120)     | 934.0 (868–1040)       | .006|
| GM declive (V7)                                  | 1,192.0 (1130–1310)    | 1,106.3 (1020–1240)    | .016|
| WM adjacent to right calcarine sulcus             | 20,399.9 (18239–22560) | 17,157.5 (15347–18943) | .018|
| WM adjacent to right inferior occipital gyrus     | 2,290.3 (2050–2630)    | 2042.7 (1860–2330)     | .049|
| GM left transversal temporal gyrus                | 786.8 (719–872)        | 839.4 (771–918)        | .031|
| WM adjacent to left middle temporal gyrus (pole)  | 288.0 (252–315)        | 321.6 (280–350)        | .045|
| GM right precentral gyrus                        | 9,756.1 (9460–10200)   | 10,032.2 (9810–10600)  | .046|
| WM right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus    | 3,944.3 (3770–4420)    | 4,023.2 (3750–4390)    | .047|

Note: Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; GM, gray matter; WM, white matter.

3.2 | MRI assessment

Ten patients showed a mean of 1.8 ± 1.3 new/enlarged MRI lesions at month 12. Whole brain, gray matter, and white matter volumes did not change significantly, with mean absolute changes of −2596.8 ± 54,612.5, −795.4 ± 35,930.0, and −1801.3 ± 21,810.4 mm³, respectively. However, significant decreases from baseline to month 12 were shown in volumes of white matter adjacent to left straight
### TABLE 3  Significant volumetric differences according to disability progression and optimal cutoffs

| Variable | Disability progression Mean (95% CI) | No disability progression Mean (95% CI) | p | Cutoff | Value | Accuracy | Sensitivity | Specificity | AUC |
|----------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---|--------|-------|----------|------------|-------------|-----------|-----|
| Baseline volume (mm$^3$) | | | | | | | | | | |
| WM adjacent to right amygdala | 896.3 (842.7–1037.5) | 1,103.6 (1,116.9–1194.1) | .013 | 1,364.3 | 0.364 | 0.833 | 0.188 | 0.747 |
| WM adjacent to left cuneus | 3,245.0 (2,843.8–3,513.0) | 4,367.4 (4,053.2–4,302.0) | .018 | 2,740.0 | 0.727 | 0.333 | 0.875 | 0.734 |
| GM left cuneus | 4,888.1 (4,733.0–5,579.4) | 5,868.9 (5,475.5–5,853.6) | .005 | 5,414.8 | 0.682 | 0.750 | 0.656 | 0.779 |
| GM right parahippocampal gyrus | 6,797.9 (6,579.3–7,215.1) | 7,468.5 (7,457.5–7,824.7) | .012 | 7,926.6 | 0.419 | 0.833 | 0.258 | 0.750 |
| GM right insula | 9,321.1 (9,480.4–9,673.8) | 10,270.3 (10,240.2–10,390.1) | .022 | 11,009.1 | 0.372 | 0.909 | 0.188 | 0.733 |
| GM left superior frontal gyrus | 13,781.0 (13,923.6–14,717.3) | 12,532.4 (12,250.5–12,725.8) | .023 | 14,623.1 | 0.682 | 0.250 | 0.844 | 0.724 |
| GM declive (V7) | 1073.0 (952.5–1189.1) | 1,261.9 (1,210.0–1,348.8) | .034 | 1,143.7 | 0.636 | 0.583 | 0.656 | 0.711 |
| GM right inferior temporal gyrus | 14,595.4 (14,291.9–15,619.2) | 15,827.6 (15,773.6–16,413.2) | .035 | 15,705.3 | 0.636 | 0.833 | 0.563 | 0.708 |
| GM right superior temporal gyrus (pole) | 5,246.1 (4,838.0–5,369.0) | 5,644.3 (5,558.5–5,685.2) | .036 | 5,213.0 | 0.738 | 0.500 | 0.833 | 0.711 |
| GM right calcarine sulcus | 6,045.1 (5,828.6–6,306.2) | 6,620.6 (6,558.2–6,830.8) | .043 | 5,933.0 | 0.705 | 0.500 | 0.781 | 0.701 |

| 12-month volume (mm$^3$) | | | | | | | | | |
| WM left superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part) | 9.6 (9.7–10.3) | 5.3 (3.8–6.3) | .014 | 6.2 | 0.628 | 0.750 | 0.581 | 0.743 |
| WM adjacent to right globus pallidus | 1,006.6 (985.9–1,111.5) | 1,249.4 (1,196.3–1,278.2) | .020 | 1,205.5 | 0.595 | 0.818 | 0.516 | 0.739 |
| WM adjacent to left middle temporal gyrus | 9,584.6 (9,038.5–10,033.4) | 11,058.5 (10,101.8–11,276.7) | .028 | 8,551.9 | 0.636 | 0.167 | 0.813 | 0.716 |
| WM adjacent to left cuneus | 3,556.0 (3,308.1–4,037.0) | 4,326.8 (4,055.1–4,555.0) | .036 | 3,103.5 | 0.698 | 0.417 | 0.806 | 0.710 |
| WM adjacent to left lingual gyrus | 4,763.1 (4,241.4–4,911.8) | 5,394.8 (5,073.6–5,246.9) | .049 | 5,267.1 | 0.636 | 0.833 | 0.563 | 0.695 |
| GM left cuneus | 5,200.2 (5,012.9–5,572.0) | 5,971.1 (5,727.6–6,095.6) | .017 | 6,140.8 | 0.568 | 0.833 | 0.469 | 0.734 |
| GM left insula | 9,644.3 (9,508.9–10,104.6) | 10,478.2 (10,119.9–10,682.5) | .035 | 10,905.0 | 0.455 | 0.917 | 0.281 | 0.708 |
| GM left cingulate gyrus | 7,403.9 (7,670.2–8,199.0) | 8,380.3 (7,942.1–8,272.5) | .040 | 7,758.4 | 0.727 | 0.750 | 0.719 | 0.703 |

**Note:** Abbreviations: AUC, area under the curve; CI, confidence interval; GM, gray matter; WM, white matter.
Figure 2: Violin plots showing significant differences in baseline brain region volumes between patients with and without disability progression.
gyrus, gray matter declive, white matter adjacent to right calcarine sulcus, and white matter adjacent to right inferior occipital gyrus, with mean absolute changes of $-94.8 \pm 251.2$, $-80.6 \pm 298.3$, $-3197.7 \pm 56162.6$, and $-248.6 \pm 944.4$ mm$^3$, respectively (Table 2). Significant increases were also found in volumes of gray matter of left transversal temporal gyrus, white matter adjacent to left middle temporal gyrus (pole), gray matter of right precentral gyrus, and white matter of right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, with mean absolute changes of $53.6 \pm 152.3$, $32.9 \pm 99.9$, $226.4 \pm 739.4$, and $71.9 \pm 537.0$ mm$^3$, respectively (Table 2). Figure 1 depicts the location of main volumetric differences.

**FIGURE 3** Violin plots showing significant differences in 12-month brain region volumes between patients with and without disability progression.

### 3.3 Disability progression

Fifteen (34.9%) patients showed disability progression over the 1-year study follow-up. Baseline volumes of white matter adjacent to right amygdala and left cuneus significantly differed between patients with and without disability progression, as well as baseline gray matter volumes of left cuneus, right parahippocampal gyrus, right insula, left superior frontal gyrus, declive, right inferior temporal gyrus, right superior temporal gyrus (pole), and right calcarine sulcus (Table 3; Figure 2). Patients with baseline volumes below cutoffs described in Table 3 were at higher risk of...
disability progression after one year, except for gray matter volume of left superior frontal gyrus which was higher in patients who progressed. Moreover, 12-month volumes of white matter of left superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part) and adjacent to right globus pallidus, left middle temporal gyrus, left cuneus, and left lingual gyrus also differed between patients with and without disability progression, as well as gray matter volumes of left cuneus, left insula, and left cingulate gyrus (Table 3; Figure 3). Figure 4 depicts main volumetric differences at baseline and month 12 between patients with and without disability progression. Normalized volumetric differences were secondarily analyzed and shown in Table 4.

3.4 Cognition performance

Cognitive performance over the study is summarized in Table 5. Baseline gray matter volumes of right cuneus and right superior temporal gyrus (pole) positively correlated with SRT-D and WLG performance after the 1-year follow-up, respectively (Figure 5). Volume

FIGURE 4 Location of main volumetric differences between patients with and without disability progression at baseline (a) and month 12 (b). A, anterior; I, inferior; L, left; P, posterior; R, right; S, superior
TABLE 4 Significant normalized volumetric differences according to disability progression and optimal cutoffs

| Variable | Disability progression Mean (95% CI) | No disability progression Mean (95% CI) | P  | Cutoff Value | Accuracy | Sensitivity | Specificity | AUC |
|----------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----|--------------|----------|------------|-------------|-----|
|          | WM adjacent to right amygdala       | 0.1 (0.0–0.1)                          | 0.1 (0.1–0.1) | .001 | 0.1        | 0.738      | 0.727       | 0.742 | 0.824 |
|          | WM adjacent to left cuneus          | 0.2 (0.2–0.3)                          | 0.3 (0.3–0.3) | .023 | 0.3        | 0.682      | 0.750       | 0.656 | 0.724 |
|          | GM left cuneus                     | 0.3 (0.3–0.4)                          | 0.4 (0.4–0.4) | .021 | 0.4        | 0.705      | 0.667       | 0.719 | 0.727 |
|          | GM right parahippocampal gyrus      | 0.5 (0.4–0.5)                          | 0.5 (0.5–0.5) | .006 | 0.5        | 0.780      | 0.727       | 0.800 | 0.776 |
|          | GM right insula                    | 0.7 (0.6–0.7)                          | 0.7 (0.7–0.8) | .034 | 0.7        | 0.674      | 0.545       | 0.719 | 0.716 |
|          | GM left superior frontal gyrus      | 1.0 (0.9–1.0)                          | 0.9 (0.8–0.9) | .020 | 0.9        | 0.651      | 0.727       | 0.625 | 0.736 |
|          | GM right inferior temporal gyrus    | 1.1 (1.1–1.2)                          | 1.1 (1.1–1.2) | .049 | 1.1        | 0.568      | 0.500       | 0.594 | 0.695 |
|          | GM right culmen (C4–5)              | 0.5 (0.4–0.5)                          | 0.4 (0.4–0.4) | .033 | 0.5        | 0.682      | 0.667       | 0.688 | 0.711 |

12-month volume (mm³)

| Variable | Disability progression Mean (95% CI) | No disability progression Mean (95% CI) | P  | Cutoff Value | Accuracy | Sensitivity | Specificity | AUC |
|----------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----|--------------|----------|------------|-------------|-----|
|          | WM left cingulum (cingulate gyrus)  | 0.0 (0.0–0.1)                          | 0.1 (0.1–0.1) | .049 | 0.1        | 0.614      | 0.750       | 0.563 | 0.695 |
|          | WM adjacent to right putamen        | 0.3 (0.2–0.3)                          | 0.3 (0.3–0.3) | .043 | 0.3        | 0.705      | 0.667       | 0.719 | 0.701 |
|          | GM left cuneus                     | 0.4 (0.3–0.4)                          | 0.4 (0.4–0.4) | .014 | 0.4        | 0.705      | 0.667       | 0.719 | 0.740 |
|          | GM left posterior cingulate gyrus   | 0.2 (0.1–0.2)                          | 0.1 (0.1–0.2) | .041 | 0.2        | 0.738      | 0.545       | 0.806 | 0.710 |
|          | GM triangular part of right inferior frontal gyrus | 0.5 (0.4–0.5) | 0.4 (0.4–0.5) | .037 | 0.4        | 0.581      | 0.667       | 0.548 | 0.707 |
|          | GM left hippocampus                | 0.4 (0.3–0.4)                          | 0.4 (0.4–0.4) | .009 | 0.4        | 0.791      | 0.636       | 0.844 | 0.764 |
|          | GM right culmen (C4–5)             | 0.5 (0.4–0.5)                          | 0.4 (0.4–0.5) | .025 | 0.5        | 0.659      | 0.583       | 0.688 | 0.721 |

Note: Abbreviations: AUC, area under the curve; CI, confidence interval; GM, gray matter; WM, white matter.

TABLE 5 Overview of cognitive performance (N = 43)

| Neuropsychological battery scores, mean ± SD | Baseline | Month 12 |
|---------------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| LTS                                         | 39.4 ± 18.0 | 48.3 ± 14.6 |
| CLTR                                        | 29.6 ± 17.2 | 40.2 ± 16.8 |
| SRT-D                                       | 7.7 ± 2.6 | 9.3 ± 2.6 |
| SPART                                       | 14.2 ± 5.3 | 16.7 ± 5.5 |
| SPART-D                                     | 5.1 ± 2.4 | 5.6 ± 2.5 |
| SDMT                                        | 29.2 ± 12.9 | 30.7 ± 12.4 |
| PASAT3                                      | 33.9 ± 13.3c | 38.2 ± 15.2c |
| PASAT2                                      | 26.9 ± 10.5c | 28.0 ± 12.1c |
| WLG                                         | 20.4 ± 6.4 | 21.5 ± 6.2 |

Note: Abbreviations: CLTR, Consistent Long-Term Retrieval; LTS, Long-Term Storage; PASAT2, Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test 2 Second Trial; PASAT3, Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test 3 Second Trial; SD, standard deviation; SDMT, Symbol Digit Modality Test; SPART, Spatial Recall Test; SPART-D, Spatial Recall Test-Delayed; SRT-D, Selective Reminding Test-Delayed Recall; WLG, Word List Generation.

This MRI analysis from the UPPMS cohort study showed decreasing volumes of gray matter decline and white matter adjacent to left straight gyrus, right calcarine sulcus, and right inferior occipital gyrus over one year. Conversely, gray matter volumes of left transversal temporal gyrus and right precentral gyrus increased, as well as white matter volumes of right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus and adjacent to left middle temporal gyrus (pole). Although multiple sclerosis has been considered a predominantly white matter disease due to its demyelinating pathophysiology, gray matter involvement has also been recognized (Calabrese et al., 2015). Patterns of volume loss differ according to the clinical course of multiple sclerosis, with predominant atrophy around the cerebral ventricles in relapsing-remitting patients and cortical/subcortical regions in those with progressive disease (Pagan et al., 2005). Evidence on PPMS supports a widespread supratentorial and infratentorial tissue loss, including the gray matter of deep (basal ganglia, thalamus, and claustrum) and cortical regions (insular cortex and superior/inferior frontal, pre-/subcentral, posterior cingulated, parahippocampal, supramarginal, superior temporal, middle occipital, and inferior occipital gyri; Tavazzi changes from baseline to month 12 in gray matter of right superior semilunar lobe, white matter adjacent to left declive, and white matter adjacent to right cerebellar tonsil (C10) were also positively correlated with WLG scores after one year (Figure 5). Moreover, the change in gray matter volume of left inferior semilunar lobe positively correlated with SDMT performance at study end (Figure 5). Other correlations with rho coefficient > 0.4 are shown in Figure 5. Figures 6 and 7 depict main region volumes correlated with cognitive function.

4 | DISCUSSION
Volume change in white matter adjacent to right cerebellar tonsil (C10)

Volume change in white matter adjacent to left declive

Volume change in white matter adjacent to right caudate nucleus

Volume change in grey matter of left inferior semilunar lobe

Volume change in grey matter of right superior semilunar lobe

Volume change in grey matter of right middle temporal gyrus (pole)

Grey matter volume of left middle temporal gyrus (month 12)

Grey matter volume of left culmen (C4-5) (baseline)

Grey matter volume of right superior temporal gyrus (pole) (baseline)

Grey matter volume of left fusiform gyrus (baseline)

Grey matter volume of right cuneus (baseline)

**FIGURE 5** Correlation between MRI findings and cognitive function performance. Correlations with rho correlation coefficient > 0.4 are presented. *p < .05; **p < .01. CLTR, Consistent Long-Term Retrieval; LTS, Long-Term Storage; PASAT3, Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test 3-Second Trial; SDMT, Symbol Digit Modalities Test; SPART, Spatial Recall Test; SPART-D, Spatial Recall Test-delayed recall; SRT-D, Selective Reminding Test-Delayed Recall; WLG, Word List Generation

et al., 2015). Decreasing volumes of several deep gray matter regions (putamen, caudate, and thalamus) and some cortical or infratentorial areas (limbic, occipital, frontal and parietal lobes, and cerebellum) may be evident after one year (Sepulcre et al., 2006). The rate of volume loss affects different structures at variable rates, with the fastest involvement of cingulate gyri, adjacent precuneus, cerebellum, precentral gyri, thalami and insula in comparison with healthy controls over five years (Eshaghi et al., 2014). Several mechanisms have been proposed to underlie this neurodegeneration, such as immune-related, mitochondrial injury, or retrograde degeneration due to white matter damage (Calabrese et al., 2015). However, it is noteworthy that volume measurements can also be affected by other factors such as inflammation or edema (Cortese et al., 2019).

Neurological changes of PPMS need to be monitored due to their impact on patient health and daily activities. Indeed, our MRI analysis supports the relationship of brain region volumes with disability progression and cognitive performance over one year. Specifically, baseline volumes of white matter adjacent to right amygdala and left cuneus could predict disability progression, as well as baseline gray matter volumes of left cuneus, left superior frontal gyrus, right parahippocampal gyrus, right insula, right inferior temporal gyrus, right superior temporal gyrus (pole), right calcarine sulcus, and declive. In addition, patients with and without disability progression differed in their 12-month white matter volumes of left superior longitudinal fasciculus (temporal part) and adjacent to left middle temporal gyrus, left cuneus, left lingual gyrus, and right globus pallidus, as well as gray matter volumes of left cuneus, left insula, and left cingulate gyrus. Eshaghi et al. (2014) reported the association of volume loss in cingulate gyrus with 5-year clinical disability according to the Multiple Sclerosis Functional Composite in PPMS patients, without a significant association between the rate of gray matter volume loss and changes in EDSS scores or T2 lesion accrual per annum (Eshaghi et al., 2014). The contribution of cingulate region atrophy to this clinical disability was then attributed to motor control via motor cortex and spinal cord connections (Eshaghi et al., 2014). Gray matter atrophy in the right sensory-motor cortex of PPMS patients was also associated with greater upper limb disability according to 9-HPT, despite lacking association between gray matter damage and EDSS scores (Bodini et al., 2009). Another study found, however, that atrophy in the right middle frontal gyrus, right lateral fissure, left angular gyrus, and prefrontal cistern correlated with changes in EDSS scores and T1 lesion volumes after 15 months (Pagani et al., 2005).
In addition, the disability resulting from PPMS may be affected by demyelination and axonal loss in normal-appearing white and gray matter, (Bodini et al., 2009; Ramio-Torrenta et al., 2006; Rovaris et al., 2008; Tur, Khaleeli, et al., 2011) leading to suggest the combination of both white and gray matter damage to more accurately predict disability accrual (Tur, Khaleeli, et al., 2011). Furthermore,
brain and spinal cord atrophy can provide complementary information, as microstructural changes, lower cross-sectional cord area, and volume loss also contribute to neurological disability (Cortese et al., 2020; Rovaris et al., 2008; Tsagkas et al., 2019). Although these studies offer insights into the implications of neurological changes in PPMS disability, comparisons cannot be performed due to methodological differences and further information is still needed to confirm the role of each specific region.

With regard to cognition, our findings support that baseline volumes of certain regions such as gray matter of right cuneus and right superior temporal gyrus could predict 1-year cognitive performance according to WLG and SRT-D scores, respectively. Similarly, volume changes in gray matter of right superior semilunar lobe and white matter adjacent to left declive and right cerebellar tonsil also correlated with WLG scores, and gray matter volume change in left inferior semilunar lobe correlated with SDMT performance after one year. Changes in human connectome might translate into brain volume changes that affect specific function performance (Charalambous et al., 2019). Cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis results from a complex interplay of factors such as premorbid cognitive status, lesional/nonlesional tissue damage, and adaptive/maladaptive functional reorganization (Jonkman et al., 2015). MRI measures of white and gray matter injury have been reported as contributors to cognitive status across different multiple sclerosis types, with a dominant role of subcortical gray matter injury in PPMS patients (Jonkman et al., 2015). Other studies also suggested that cognitive impairment in these patients went beyond white matter damage, pointing at gray matter loss as the primary contributor (Gouveia et al., 2017; Tur, Penny, et al., 2011). Both neocortical and subcortical gray matter were involved in cognitive performance, though subcortical gray matter played a major role in information processing speed and verbal/visuospatial learning and memory (Gouveia et al., 2017). Gray matter loss in the thalamus was reported as a correlate of this cognitive impairment, (Gouveia et al., 2017) as well as other areas such as right superior temporal gyrus or anterior cingulate cortex (Riccitelli et al., 2011). In addition, functional MRI assessment showed higher activation of the cerebellum and cortical regions such as the insula or sensory-motor cortex in cognitively impaired PPMS patients, compensating the lower activation of other areas involved in working memory in a variable network recruitment process over time (Rocca et al., 2010). Furthermore, lesion burden seems to be involved in verbal memory (Tur, Penny, et al., 2011) and attention/speed of information processing (Penny et al., 2010) and might contribute to exhaustion of frontal lobe plasticity, (Rocca et al., 2010) and normal-appearing white or gray matter volume was associated with attention/speed of visual information (Penny et al., 2010; Tur, Penny, et al., 2011) and executive function performance, (Penny et al., 2010; Ramio-Torrenta et al., 2006) which underscore the complexity of neurological damage in cognitive disfunction of PPMS patients.

We acknowledge that the study has limitations that should be considered, including its uncontrolled design, relatively small sample size, short-term follow-up and absence of spinal cord evaluation, and uniform procedures when conducting the MRI scans. However, it provides longitudinal data on a large number of brain region volumes and their relationship with neurological and cognitive function in PPMS patients. Moreover, its prospective multicentre nature and the fact that the MRI scans were performed according to routine procedures of 11 participating sites favors the generalizability of our findings.

In conclusion, our MRI analysis provides a detailed description of region volume changes exhibited by PPMS patients over one year, as well as proposing specific white/gray matter region volumes as potential predictors of disability progression and cognitive performance. These include gray matter volume of right cuneus or right superior temporal gyrus as cognitive predictors, and white matter volume adjacent to right amygdala or left cuneus and gray matter volume of left cuneus, left superior frontal gyrus, right parahippocampal gyrus, right insula, right inferior temporal gyrus, right superior temporal gyrus (pole), right calcarine sulcus, or decline as disability progression predictors. However, further studies are needed to confirm our findings and verify their usefulness in clinical practice.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
The authors are extremely grateful to all the patients and their families for making the UPPMS study possible. Medical writing support was provided by Esther Álvarez-García at Dynamic Science S.L. during the preparation of this paper, funded by Roche Farma S.A. This work was supported by Roche Farma S.A. (ML39253).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST
The authors of this manuscript declare that Daniel Prefasi and Jorge Maurino are employees of Roche Farma S.A. Francisco Pérez-Miralles was part of the steering committee of the UPPMS study and has received compensation for serving on scientific advisory boards or speaking honoraria from Almirall, Biogen Idec, Genzyme, Merck-Serono, Mylan, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi-Aventis, and Teva, outside the submitted work. Antonio García-Merino has received consultant and/or lecture fees from Merck, Teva, Biogen, Novartis, Roche, and Sanofi. The remaining authors declare no conflict of interest to disclose.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION
All authors had complete access to all study data and assume complete responsibility for the integrity of the data and accuracy of the data analysis. FPM conceived and designed the study, recruited and completed the evaluations of the participants, led statistical analysis, wrote the first draft of the manuscript, and revised the draft. DP conceived and designed the study, led statistical analysis, wrote the first draft, and revised the draft. AGM, JRA, GI, VML, FGG, MLMG, LRT, LCF, OF, and SMG recruited and completed the evaluations of the participants and revised the draft. JM conceived and designed the study and revised the draft. JCP collected the MRI data. BC assessed in the study design and revised the draft. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.
DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
Qualified researchers may request access to individual patient-level data through the clinical study data request platform (www.clinicalstudydatarequest.com). Further details on Roche’s criteria for eligible studies are available here (https://clinicalstudydatarequest.com/Study-Sponsors/Study-Sponsors-Roche.aspx). For further details on Roche’s Global Policy on the Sharing of Clinical Information and how to request access to related clinical study documents, see here (https://www.roche.com/research_and_development/who_we_are_/who_we_work/clinical_trials/our_commitment_to_data_sharing.html).

REFERENCES
Antel, J., Antel, S., Caramanos, Z., Arnold, D. L., & Kuhlmann, T. (2012). Primary progressive multiple sclerosis: Part of the MS disease spectrum or separate disease entity? Acta Neurologica Scandinavica, 126, 627–638. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0404.2012.01953.x
Avants, B. B., Tustison, N. J., Wu, J., Cook, P. A., & Gee, J. C. (2011). An open source multivariate framework for n-tissue segmentation with evaluation on public data. Neuroinformatics, 9, 381–400. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12021-011-9109-y
Bodini, B., Khaleeli, Z., Cercignani, M., Miller, D. H., Thompson, A. J., & Ciccarelli, O. (2009). Exploring the relationship between white matter and gray matter damage in early primary progressive multiple sclerosis: An in vivo study with TBSS and VBM. Human Brain Mapping, 30, 2852–2861. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20713
Boringa, J. B., Lazeron, R. H., Reuling, I. E., Adér, H. J., Pfennings, L. E., Lindeboom, J., de Sonneville, L. M., Kalkers, N. F., & Polman, C. H. (2001). The brief repeatable battery of neuropsychological tests: Normative values allow application in multiple sclerosis clinical practice. Multiple Sclerosis Journal, 7, 263–267. https://doi.org/10.1177/135245850100700409
Calabrese, M., Magliozzi, R., Ciccarelli, O., Geurts, J. J., Reynolds, R., & Martin, R. (2015). Exploring the origins of grey matter damage in multiple sclerosis. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 16, 147–158. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3900
Charlambous, T., Tur, C., Prados, F., Kanber, B., Chard, D. T., Ourselin, S., Clayden, J. D., Wheeler-Kingshott, C. A. M. G., Thompson, A. J., & Toosy, A. T. (2019). Structural network disruption markers explain disability in multiple sclerosis. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry, 90, 219–226. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2018-318440
Chard, D. T., Jackson, S. J., Miller, D. H., & Wheeler-Kingshott, C. A. (2010). Reducing the impact of white matter lesions on automated measures of brain gray and white matter volumes. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 32, 223–228. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.22214
Cortese, R., Collorone, S., Ciccarelli, O., & Toosy, A. T. (2019). Advances in brain imaging in multiple sclerosis. Therapeutic Advances in Neurological Disorders, 12, 1756286419859722. https://doi.org/10.1177/1756286419859722
Cortese, R., Tur, C., Prados, F., Schneider, T., Kanber, B., Mocci, M., Wheeler-Kingshott, C. A. G., Thompson, A. J., Barkhof, F., & Ciccarelli, O. (2020). Ongoing microstructural changes in the cervical cord underpin disability progression in early primary progressive multiple sclerosis. Multiple Sclerosis Journal, 27(1), 28–38. https://doi.org/10.1177/1352458519900971
Eshaghii, A., Bodini, B., Ridgway, G. R., Garcia-Lorenzo, D., Tozer, D. J., Sahraian, M. A., Thompson, A. J., & Ciccarelli, O. (2014). Temporal and spatial evolution of grey matter atrophy in primary progressive multiple sclerosis. NeuroImage, 86, 257–264. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.09.059
Feng, D., Liang, D., & Tierney, L. (2014). A unified Bayesian hierarchical model for MRI tissue classification. Statistics in Medicine, 33, 1349–1368. https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.6018
Gouveia, A., Dias, S. P., Santos, T., Rocha, H., Coelho, C. R., Ruano, L., Galego, O., Diogo, M. C., Seixas, D., Sá, M. J., & Batista, S. (2017). Cognitive impairment and magnetic resonance imaging correlates in primary progressive multiple sclerosis. Acta Neurologica Scandinavica, 136, 109–115. https://doi.org/10.1111/anee.12702
Hawker, K. (2011). Progressive multiple sclerosis: Characteristics and management. Neurologic Clinics, 29, 423–434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ncl.2011.01.002
Jonkman, L. E., Rosenthal, D. M., Sormani, M. P., Miles, L., Herbert, J., Grossman, R. I., & Inglese, M. (2015). Gray matter correlates of cognitive performance differ between relapsing-remitting and primary-progressive multiple sclerosis. PLoS One, 10, e0129380. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0129380
Lublin, F., Miller, D. H., Freedman, M. S., Cree, B. A. C., Wolinsky, J. S., Weiner, H., Lubetzki, C., Hartung, H.-P., Montalban, X., Uitdehaag, B. M. J., Merschmeme, M., Li, B., Putzki, N., Liu, F. C., Häring, D. A., & Kappos, L. (2016). Oral fingolimod in primary progressive multiple sclerosis (INFORMS): A phase 3, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet, 387(10023), 1075–1084. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)01314-8
Ong, K. H., Ramachandram, D., Mandava, R., & Shuaib, I. L. (2012). Automatic white matter lesion segmentation using an adaptive outlier detection method. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 30, 807–823. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2012.01.007
Pagni, E., Rocca, M. A., Gallo, A., Rovaris, M., Martinelli, V., Comi, G., & Filippi, M. (2005). Regional brain atrophy evolves differently in patients with multiple sclerosis according to clinical phenotype. AJNR. American Journal of Neuroradiology, 26, 341–346.
Penny, S., Khaleeli, Z., Cipolotti, L., Thompson, A., & Ron, M. (2010). Early imaging predicts later cognitive impairment in primary progressive multiple sclerosis. Neurology, 74, 545–552. https://doi.org/10.1212/ WNL.0b013e3181cf6fa6
Planche, V., Gibelin, M., Cregut, D., Pereira, B., & Clavelou, P. (2016). Cognitive impairment in a population-based study of patients with multiple sclerosis: Differences between late relapsing-remitting, secondary progressive and primary progressive multiple sclerosis. European Journal of Neurology, 23, 282–289. https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.12715
Polman, C. H., Reingold, S. C., Banwell, B., Clanet, M., Cohen, J. A., Filippi, M., Fujihara, K., Havrdova, E., Hutchinson, M., Kappos, L., Lublin, F. D., Montalban, X., O’Connor, P., Sandberg-Wollheim, M., Thompson, A. J., Waubant, E., Weinschenker, B., & Wolinsky, J. S. (2011). Diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: 2010 revisions to the McDonald criteria. Annals of Neurology, 69, 292–302. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.22366
Popescu, V., Agosta, F., Hulst, H. E., Stümer, I. C., Knol, D. L., Sormani, M. P., Enzinger, C., Ropele, S., Alonso, J., Sastre-Garriga, J., Rovira,
in progressive multiple sclerosis versus amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: A voxel-based morphometry MRI study. *Neurology* 36, 371–377. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-014-1954-7

Tsagkas, C., Magon, S., Gaetano, L., Pezold, S., Naegelein, Y., Amann, M., Stöplich, C., Cattin, P., Wuerfel, J., Bieri, O., Sprenger, T., Kappos, L., & Parmar, K. (2019). Preferential spinal cord volume loss in primary progressive multiple sclerosis. *Multiple Sclerosis Journal*, 25, 947–957. https://doi.org/10.1177/1352458518775006

Tur, C., Khaleeli, Z., Ciccarelli, O., Altmann, D. R., Cercignani, M., Miller, D. H., & Thompson, A. J. (2011). Complementary roles of grey matter MTR and T2 lesions in predicting progression in early PPMS. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, 82, 423–428. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.2010.209890

Tur, C., Penny, S., Khaleeli, Z., Altmann, D. R., Ciccolotti, L., Ron, M., Thompson, A. J., & Ciccarelli, O. (2011). Grey matter damage and overall cognitive impairment in primary progressive multiple sclerosis. *Multiple Sclerosis Journal*, 17, 1324–1332. https://doi.org/10.1177/1352458511410341

Tustison, N. J., Avants, B. B., Cook, P. A., Yuanjie, Z., Egan, A., Yushkevich, P. A., & Gee, J. C. (2010). N4ITK: Improved N3 bias correction. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 29, 1310–1320. https://doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2010.2046908

Ukkonen, M., Dastidar, P., Heinonen, T., Laasonen, E., & Elvaara, I. (2003). Volumetric quantitation by MRI in primary progressive multiple sclerosis: Volumes of plaques and atrophy correlated with neurological disability. *European Journal of Neurology*, 10, 663–669. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1468-1331.2003.00617.x

Wang, H., Suh, J. W., Das, S. R., Pluta, J. B., Craigie, C., & Yushkevich, P. A. (2013). Multi-atlas segmentation with joint label fusion. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 35, 611–623. https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2012.143

Ziemssen, T., Rauer, S., Stadelmann, C., Henze, T., Koehler, J., Penner, I.-K., Lang, M., Poehlau, D., Baier-Ebert, M., Schieb, H., & Meuth, S. (2015). Evaluation of study and patient characteristics of clinical studies in primary progressive multiple sclerosis: A systematic review. *PLoS One*, 10, e0138243. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0138243

**How to cite this article**: Pérez-Miralles F, Prefasi D, García-Merino A, et al. Brain region volumes and their relationship with disability progression and cognitive function in primary progressive multiple sclerosis. *Brain Behav*. 2021;11:e02044. https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.2044