Abstract. [Purpose] A number of different stimulation devices are used in basic and clinical research studies, and their frequencies of use vary. However, whether or not they are equally effective has not been investigated. The purpose of the present study was to investigate neural activity in the brain during the illusion of motion evoked by stimulating the tendons of the wrist extensor muscles using various vibration devices. [Subjects] Twelve right-handed university students with no history of nervous system disorder or orthopedic disease participated in the study. [Methods] The wrist extensor tendon was stimulated using 3 different devices: 1) a vibration stimulation device (SL-0105 LP; Asahi Seisakusho Co., Ltd., Saitama, Japan), frequency 80 Hz; 2) a handy massager (YCM-20; Yamazen Corporation, Osaka, Japan), frequency 70 Hz; and 3) a handy massager (Thrive MD-01; Thrive Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan), frequency 91.7 Hz. Brain activity was recorded during stimulation by using functional near-infrared spectroscopy. [Results] Increased neural activity was observed in both the premotor cortices and the parietal region in both hemispheres in all 3 cases. The level and localization of neural activity was comparable for all 3 stimulation devices used. [Conclusion] This suggests that subjects experience the illusion of motion while the tendon is being stimulated using any vibration device.
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INTRODUCTION

In recent years, several neuroimaging techniques have been employed to investigate the neural activity associated with motor imagery and the illusion of motion. The human brain is able to recognize changes in limb position and movement from both visual and somatosensory stimuli, and the information conveyed to the brain by limb position and musculoskeletal movement plays a vital role in forming a representational body image within the brain. Collectively, these senses are referred to as kinesthesia. In this context, it has been reported that the illusion of motion evoked by vibrating the tendon of a limb can be effective in stimulating motor control and that it can aid motor learning.

The illusion of motion elicited by vibrating the tendon of a limb at the appropriate frequency is mediated by firing of Ia afferent fibers that occurs in response to muscle spindle activity. The muscle spindle receptors are particularly sensitive to the direction and speed of limb movements; thus, during tendon vibration, subjects experience an illusion of motion, such as stretching of the affected muscles. Previous research has shown that the tendon vibration frequency optimal for eliciting the illusion of motion lies between 70 and 100 Hz. One research group used magnetoencephalography (MEG) to record brain activity while subjects experienced the illusion of motion evoked by vibrating the tendon of a limb revealed that this type of stimulation activated regions within the primary somatosensory cortex, supplementary motor area, and angular gyrus. Another research group used functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to measure neural activity during the same illusion of motion and reported neural activation in the contralateral primary sensory motor cortex, dorsal premotor cortex, supplementary motor area, cingulate motor cortex, and ipsilateral cerebellum. These motor areas are also known to be activated during both active limb movements and motor imagery.

With regard to clinical implications, Gay et al. reported that patients with complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS)
type I experienced an improvement in both their pain symptoms and range of motion following vibration of the tendon of the affected limb and the associated illusion of motion\(^9\). Goble et al. reported an improvement in standing balance after vibration of the triceps tendon\(^9\). Moreover, Roll et al. reported that the stimulation of illusory movements prevented the cortical disruption normally caused by immobilization in healthy people and that the illusion of motion evoked by vibrating the tendon of a limb could likely prove to be an effective prophylactic treatment following surgery and cast immobilization with conservative therapy\(^10\).

These basic and clinical research studies used a number of different stimulation devices, and the optimal vibration frequency used to evoke the illusion of motion varied between these studies. While the neural activation patterns occurring during the illusion of motion have been described previously, differences in brain activity associated with the use of different vibration stimulation devices, or with varying vibration frequencies, have not yet been investigated. In a clinical setting, it is important to determine the most effective method of stimulation, as well the optimal stimulation frequency to evoke a beneficial illusion of motion; if the therapy can be delivered in a simple and cost-effective manner, it can be made available to a larger number of patients. Therefore, in this study, we aimed to compare the effects of a conventional vibration stimulation device with those of 2 handy massagers, which are widely available at electronics stores. We used functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) to compare the patterns of neural activity occurring during the illusion of motion evoked by vibrating a tendon with these 3 devices.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Twelve right-handed college students (7 women and 5 men; mean age, 21.6 years; range, 20–22 years) with no previous history of neurological or other diseases participated in this study. All subjects provided written informed consent after being fully informed of the protocols and purpose of the study. This study was approved by the institutional ethics review board.

For each subject, the extensor tendon of the wrist was vibrated under 3 different conditions. Condition 1 was use of a vibration stimulation device (SL-0105 LP; Asahi Seisakusho Co., Ltd, Saitama, Japan) set at 80 Hz, which was determined to be the optimal frequency in a previous study\(^3\); condition 2 was use of a handy massager (YCM-20; Yamazen Corporation, Osaka, Japan) at a frequency of 70 Hz; and condition 3 was use of a handy massager (Thrive MD-01; Thrive Co., Ltd, Osaka, Japan) set at a frequency of 91.7 Hz. The frequency of the 2 massage devices were limited by their factory settings. The order in which the devices were used on each subject was randomized.

During the trial, subjects were instructed to relax in a sitting position with their eyes closed. It has been reported that the illusion of motion is unlikely to occur until the muscle is relaxed\(^9\). Subjects were also instructed not to open their eyes until instructed to do so, as visual information can disrupt the illusion of motion\(^10\). The location selected for vibration stimulation was the common digital extensor tendon of the right wrist joint. The stimulation protocol consisted of 15 s of rest followed by 30 s of stimulation and was applied three times for each condition. The intensity of the illusion evoked was assessed by each subject using a Visual Analog Scale (VAS) immediately after each condition. The illusion of motion was confirmed to reproduce movement of the wrist, and the range of the illusion was measured using an electrical goniometer (SG150; Biometrics Ltd., Newport, United Kingdom). We defined the “range of the illusion” as the range of tendon vibration at which subjects experienced the illusion of motion. As a control, the skin surrounding the extensor tendon of the wrist was stimulated using the same protocol. This allowed us to subtract the brain activity evoked by skin irritation and excitement of Meissner and Pacinian receptors from the brain activity evoked by the illusory motion\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^6\).

We measured neural activity in the brain by using an fNIRS system (FOIRE-3000; Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). The fNIRS system, which had continuous wave laser diodes with wavelengths of 780, 805 and 830 nm, was used to record cortical activity at a sampling rate of 5 Hz. In brief, we employed a 49-channel system with 30 optodes (15 light sources and 15 detectors). This system detects changes in cortical concentrations (mM · mm) of oxygenated hemoglobin (oxyHb), deoxygenated hemoglobin, and total hemoglobin, by applying the modified Beer-Lambert law. The optodes were positioned according to the International 10/20 system, with Cz located beneath the 7th light source and the other optodes located at intervals of 3.0 cm, centering around the 7th light source. The fNIRS topographic map covered the front parietal area, which was divided into 8 different regions of interest (ROIs, Fig. 1), based on the functional anatomy of the parietal and prefrontal regions. The left sensorimotor cortex was covered by channels 17, 18, 21, 22, 26, and 27; the right sensorimotor cortex was covered by channels 14, 15, 19, 20, 23, and 24; the left and right premotor cortices were covered by channels 30, 31, 35, and 36, and by channels 28, 29, 32, and 33, respectively; the left and right prefrontal cortices were cov-
Table 1. Range of illusion and illusion intensity under all conditions

| Condition | Range of illusion (°) | Illusion intensity (VAS; mm) |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1         | 42.8 ± 16.8          | 75.2 ± 21.9                 |
| 2         | 38.3 ± 13.2          | 70 ± 20.4                   |
| 3         | 38.8 ± 14.0          | 70.9 ± 19.9                 |

Mean ± SD. VAS: Visual Analog Scale

Table 2. Correlation between range of illusion and illusion intensity

| Condition | Range of illusion | Condition 1 | Condition 2 | Condition 3 |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1         | 0.83**            | 0.85**      | 0.92**      |
| 2         | 0.83**            | **p<0.05    |
| 3         | 0.87**            | 0.95**      |

*p<0.05; **p<0.01. VAS: Visual Analog Scale

RESULTS

Under all 3 conditions, all 12 subjects experienced the illusion of motion. There was no significant difference in the range of illusion or in the intensity of the illusion between the 3 conditions (p > 0.05) (Table 1). Under all 3 conditions, the illusion intensity and range of illusion were significantly correlated (p < 0.05) (Table 2).

Analysis of neural activity showed a significant increase in oxyHb level in the left premotor cortex (p < 0.05), left and right sensory motor cortices, and parietal area (p < 0.01) under all 3 conditions (Table 3). Multiple comparison analysis revealed no significant difference in brain activity among the different vibration stimulation devices used.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we found no significant difference in the intensity of the evoked illusion or in the range of the illusion of motion between the 3 conditions; furthermore, the illusion intensity and range of illusion of motion were significantly correlated under all 3 conditions (p > 0.05) (Table 1). Under all 3 conditions, all 12 subjects experienced the illusion of motion, and there was no significant difference in the illusion intensity or range of illusion between the 3 conditions (p > 0.05) (Table 2). Analysis of neural activity showed a significant increase in oxyHb level in the left premotor cortex (p < 0.05), left and right sensory motor cortices, and parietal area (p < 0.01) under all 3 conditions (Table 3). Multiple comparison analysis revealed no significant difference in brain activity among the different vibration stimulation devices used.
activity as that produced using more sophisticated vibration stimulation devices.

Previous studies have shown that the illusion of motion evoked by vibration stimulation of the tendon activates the same brain areas as those activated during both active movement and motor imagery7, 8). During active movement, efferent sensory information is input to the brain as the muscle contracts, and information from the muscle spindles plays an important role in the perception of movement and of changes in limb position. The primary motor cortex is crucial for kinesthetic information processing and perception of movement and position1, 22). Here, we observed increased activity in the primary motor cortex during the illusion of motion. This was most likely due to afferent input from muscle spindles, as well as the illusion of motion caused by vibration stimulation of the wrist tendon. Thus, we think that active movement and illusion of motion are associated with similar patterns of brain activity.

In addition, previous studies showed similar brain activity patterns for motor imagery and the illusion of motion evoked by vibration stimulation applied to a tendon8). Notably, it has been reported that motor imagery can be effective in promoting functional recovery of the upper limb in patients with stroke28). Further, the intensity of the illusion can be decreased or increased by actively evoking motor imagery and applying vibration stimulation to the appropriate tendon at the same time8, 26). Thus, by employing a combination of the illusion of motion evoked by vibration stimulation and active motor imagery, the potential beneficial effects in a clinical setting can be maximized for both the techniques. Previous studies have also shown that illusory movements prevent the cortical disruption normally caused by immobilization in healthy people10) and that the pain symptoms and range of motion of patients with CRPS type I improved after they experienced the illusion of motion evoked by vibrating the tendon of the affected limb8).

Given the above findings, we believe that the illusion of motion evoked by vibration stimulation of a tendon could be effectively utilized in a clinical setting for prophylactic and rehabilitative purposes. Furthermore, our result showing that the illusion of motion can be reliably evoked using a handy massager within the optimal stimulation frequency indicates the possibility of making the technique easily accessible and affordable for a large number of patients in a variety of clinical settings.
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