Sensitive and specific identification by polymerase chain reaction of *Eimeria tenella* and *Eimeria maxima*, important protozoan pathogens in laboratory avian facilities
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**Eimeria tenella** and **Eimeria maxima** are important pathogens causing intracellular protozoa infections in laboratory avian animals and are known to affect experimental results obtained from contaminated animals. This study aimed to find a fast, sensitive, and efficient protocol for the molecular identification of *E. tenella* and *E. maxima* in experimental samples using chickens as laboratory avian animals. DNA was extracted from fecal samples collected from chickens and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis was employed to detect *E. tenella* and *E. maxima* from the extracted DNA. The target nucleic acid fragments were specifically amplified by PCR. Feces secreting *E. tenella* and *E. maxima* were detected by a positive PCR reaction. In this study, we were able to successfully detect *E. tenella* and *E. maxima* using the molecular diagnostic method of PCR. As such, we recommended PCR for monitoring *E. tenella* and *E. maxima* in laboratory avian facilities.
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but rather the preparation of the DNA from oocysts [16]. The oocyst wall of avian coccidiosis is particularly rigid and resistant to chemical and mechanical forces [2,17]. A number of methods to rupture the coccidial oocyst wall have been suggested [18-20], but the most widespread technique is to add glass beads to the oocyst suspension and then vortex until the glass-bead grinding ruptures the oocysts [8,16,21-23].

E. tenella and E. maxima are important pathogens known to cause avian coccidiosis in laboratory avian animals and to influence experimental results obtained from contaminated animals [1,24]. This study aimed to find a fast, sensitive, and efficient protocol for the molecular identification of these important protozoan pathogens, in laboratory avian facilities.

This research was conducted using three-day-old chickens (n=10) at the animal facility of the Center for Animal Resources Development, Wonkwang University, Korea. Animals were acclimatized and kept in an animal facility room with regulated temperature (28±2°C), humidity (50±5%), and light/dark cycle (12/12 h). The chickens were fed commercial post-broiler diet without antibiotics and coccidiostat (Hanil Feed Co, Yongin, Korea). Tap water was available ad libitum. The chickens were kept in wire-floored grower cages throughout the study period. All studies were performed in accordance with the Guide for Animal Experimentation and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Wonkwang University. All efforts were made to minimize pain or discomfort to study animals.

E. tenella and maxima were kindly provided by professor Wongi Min at Gyeongsang National University in Korea. E. tenella and E. maxima were cleaned by flotation with 5.25% sodium hypochlorite and washed three times with phosphate buffered saline. Chickens were treated orally by gavage using a 24-gauge, stainless steel animal feeding tube (Popper & Sons, New York, USA) attached to a 3-mL syringe. The oral infectious dose of approximately 10³ oocysts each of E. tenella and E. maxima in 1 mL of saline was administered. Fecal materials were collected from 6 to 10 days post-infection and analyzed for the presence of coccidial oocysts using a standard fecal flotation technique [25]. Briefly, 5 mL from each sample was pelleted by centrifugation at 1,500 g for 5 min. The resulting pellet was resuspended by centrifugation at 1,500 g for 5 min. The resulting pellet was resuspended in saturated KCl, washed with water, and centrifuged at 1,500 for 5 min to eliminate debris and then digested with DNAse-free RNase A (20 µg/mL) at 37°C for 1 h. A further digestion with proteinase K (100 µg/mL) and sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS, 0.5%) was performed at 50°C for 2 h. The DNA was then extracted once with one volume of phenol, phenol/ chloroform, and precipitated with ethanol and ammonium acetate. The pellet was washed with 70% ethanol. The DNA was eluted in Tris-EDTA buffer (pH 8.0), and an aliquot was used for PCR amplification. The template DNA (50 ng) and 20 pmol of each primer were added to a PCR mixture tube (AccuPower™ PCR PreMix; Bioneer, Daejeon, Korea) containing 2.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase, 250 µM each of deoxynucleoside triphosphate, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 40 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, and the gel loading dye. The volume was adjusted with distilled water to 20 µL.

The primers of E. tenella were amplified from genomic DNA using a species-specific forward primer (5'-AATTTAGTCATCAGCAACCCCTG-3') and reverse primer (5'-GCAGCGCTCCTGCATCCGACA-3'). The primers of E. maxima were amplified from genomic DNA using a species-specific forward primer (5'-GCGGACTCGTGATGATGGGG-3') and reverse primer (5'-ACCAGCATGGCCTCACAACCCC-3'). The reaction mixtures were amplified from genomic DNA using a species-specific forward primer (5'-ATTAGTCATCAGCAACCCCTG-3') and reverse primer (5'-CGAGCGCTCCTGCATCCGACA-3'). The reaction mixtures were subjected to denaturation at 96°C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, annealing at 58 or 65°C for 30 sec, and extension at 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension step of 72°C for 3 min. Samples were kept at 4°C until analyzed. Reactions were conducted using My Genie 32 Thermal Block PCR (Bioneer). Each sample (10 µL) was analyzed by electrophoresis on 2% agarose gels stained with 0.5 µg/mL ethidium bromide. Necropsies were conducted and the intestines were submitted for gross examination and trimming. The trimmed tissue was fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, and embedded in paraffin. Four µm sections were made and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for histopathological examination.

A PCR assay, based on the amplification of internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1) regions of ribosomal DNA, was developed for the chicken coccidial species E. tenella and
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**Figure 1.** Amplification of sample DNA by species-specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for *Eimeria tenella* was identified using 2% agarose gel electrophoresis. Lane P: positive control, N: negative control, T1-T5: DNA extracted from fecal samples of *E. tenella*-infected chickens.

**Figure 2.** Amplification of sample DNA by species-specific PCR for *Eimeria maxima* was identified using 2% agarose gel electrophoresis. Lane P: positive control, N: negative control, M1-M5: DNA extracted from fecal samples of *E. maxima*-infected chickens.

*E. maxima.* *E. tenella* was successfully identified by a distinct 278 base pair (bp) band on agarose gels (Figure 1). Also, amplicons were obtained for 205 bp by species-specific PCR for *E. maxima*. Positive PCR reactions were obtained with fecal samples (Figure 2). Histopathologic findings of the *Eimeria*-infected intestines revealed severe villous destruction and large numbers of coccidia oocysts in villous epithelial cells (Figure 3).

Coccidiosis of domestic fowl is a worldwide disease caused by obligatory intracellular protozoa of the genus *Eimeria*. The disease is responsible for important economic losses in poultry production. *E. tenella* and *E. maxima* are important pathogens causing avian coccidiosis in laboratory avian animals and known to affect experimental results obtained from contaminated animals [1,24]. The disease is characterized by enteric lesions of variable extent and severity, which reduce the absorptive function of the intestinal mucosa, thus leading to weight loss, diarrhea, poorer feed conversion, and a higher mortality in affected flocks [26]. Due to the thick and resistant oocyst wall of *Eimeria* species [17] several means of breaking down the oocyst wall have been described, including sonication [26], hot phenol incubation [6,27], repeated freezing and thawing [19], enzyme digestion after sodium hypochlorite incubation [27], passage through a high pressure cell [18], grinding in liquid nitrogen [7,19] and grinding by glass beads [16,21,23]. The use of glass beads is effective and is the most commonly used procedure, although reported bead sizes and grinding times may differ. The grinding efficacy depends on oocyst contact with the glass beads, the container wall, and/or each other. When the oocyst concentration is low, the oocyst grinding may be less efficient and thus a limiting factor. Another limiting factor may be the amount of fecal remains in a sample. When heavy burdens of fecal remains are present, the final fraction of oocysts requires a longer time to grind, possible due to interference by the fecal debris. Consequently, the yield of DNA may not be directly proportional to the amount of oocysts. In a practical situation the oocysts in field material are isolated by the saturated sodium chloride flotation technique [28]. Our study shows that depending on the quality of the sample, repeated flotation might be necessary to obtain a sufficiently clean test sample to prevent fecal inhibition. Traditional methods for species differentiation of chicken *Eimeria* species are not only time-consuming, cumbersome, and labor intensive, but they are insensitive and highly subjective. The advantage of using PCR compared to traditional methods is its high sensitivity and, if optimal, objectivity, making it ideal for applied parasitology such as diagnostics, prevention, and control of disease.

In this study, the PCR analysis used as a molecular diagnostic method was able to successfully detect *Eimeria* species. Thus, PCR is recommended for monitoring *E. tenella* and *E. maxima* in laboratory animals with avian coccidiosis.
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