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**Abstract**

We present a genome assembly from an individual male *Macaria notata* (the peacock moth; Arthropoda; Insecta; Lepidoptera; Geometridae). The genome sequence is 394 megabases in span. The majority of the assembly (99.98%) is scaffolded into 29 chromosomal pseudomolecules with the Z sex chromosome assembled. The complete mitochondrial genome was also assembled and is 15.4 kilobases in length.

**Keywords**

*Macaria notata*, peacock moth, genome sequence, chromosomal, Geometridae

This article is included in the Tree of Life gateway.
Species taxonomy
Eukaryota; Metazoa; Ecdysozoa; Arthropoda; Hexapoda; Insecta; Pterygota; Neoptera; Endopterygota; Lepidoptera; Glossata; Ditrysia; Geometroidea; Geometridae; Ennominae; Macaria; Macaria notata (Linnaeus, 1758) (NCBI:txid688445).

Background
The peacock moth, Macaria notata (Linnaeus, 1758) is a moth in the family Geometridae. This species has wings with a greyish-white ground colour dorsally, and whiter coloration with many small brown spots and stripes on the underside. The dorsal forewing has some brown patches along the costal margin, and a darker-brown patch covering part of the postmedial line. The forewing also has a notable brown, crescent-shaped concavity just below the apex. The hindwing margin is slightly elongated at the end of vein M3 (Friedrich, 2022).

This species thrives in Holarctic deciduous forests and meadows rich in thick brush. Caterpillars tend to feed on leaves of deciduous trees such as oak, birch, alder, poplar, and blackthorn (Friedrich, 2022). Its flight season ranges from May to September, with two generations in central Europe (Friedrich, 2022). The moth is often attracted to light and can be collected commonly. Genomic research for this species could be used to determine zoogeographical patterns within multiple European states, and its relationship to other closely related species (Can et al., 2018; Ôunap et al., 2011).

Genome sequence report
The genome was sequenced from a single male M. notata collected from Wytham Woods, Berkshire, UK (Figure 1). A total of 58-fold coverage in Pacific Biosciences single-molecule HiFi long reads and 104-fold coverage in 10X Genomics read clouds were generated. Primary assembly contigs were scaffolded with chromosome conformation Hi-C data. Manual assembly curation corrected 1 misjoin, reducing the scaffold number by 3.13%.

Table 1. Genome data for Macaria notata, ilMacNota1.1.

| Project accession data                                                                 |                |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Assembly identifier                                                                  | ilMacNota1.1   |
| Species                                                                              | Macaria notata |
| Specimen                                                                             | ilMacNota1 (genome assembly, Hi-C) |
| NCBI taxonomy ID                                                                     | 688445         |
| BioProject                                                                           | PRJEB48591     |
| BioSample ID                                                                         | SAMEA7746623   |
| Isolate information                                                                   | Male. Abdomen tissue (genome assembly); head/thorax tissue (Hi-C) |

| Raw data accessions                                                                 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| PacificBiosciences SEQUEL II                                                         ERR7254658    |
| 10X Genomics Illumina                                                               ERR7253245-ERR7253248 |
| Hi-C Illumina                                                                        ERR7253249      |

| Genome assembly                                                                     |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Assembly accession                                                                   | GCA_927399415.1 |
|                                                                                       | GCA_927399385.1 |
| Span (Mb)                                                                            | 394            |
| Number of contigs                                                                    | 33             |
| Contig N50 length (Mb)                                                               | 13.8           |
| Number of scaffolds                                                                  | 31             |
| Scaffold N50 length (Mb)                                                             | 13.8           |
| Longest scaffold (Mb)                                                                | 19.3           |
| BUSCO* genome score                                                                 | C:98.5%[S:97.6%, D:0.9%], F:0.4%, M:1.1%, n:5,286 |}

*BUSCO scores based on the lepidoptera_odb10 BUSCO set using v5.3.2. C = complete [S = single copy, D = duplicated], F = fragmented, M = missing, n = number of orthologues in comparison. A full set of BUSCO scores is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ilMacNota1.1/dataset/CAKMJI01/busco.
phased, the assembly deposited is of one haplotype. Contigs corresponding to the second haplotype have also been deposited.

**Methods**

**Sample acquisition and nucleic acid extraction**

A single *M. notata* specimen (ilMacNota1) was collected using a light trap from Wytham Woods, Berkshire, UK (latitude 51.772, longitude -1.338) by Douglas Boyes (University of Oxford). The specimen was identified by Douglas Boyes and snap-frozen on dry ice.

DNA was extracted at the Tree of Life laboratory, Wellcome Sanger Institute. The ilMacNota1 sample was weighed and dissected on dry ice with tissue set aside for Hi-C sequencing.
Abdomen tissue was disrupted using a Nippi Powermasher fitted with a BioMasher pestle. Fragment size analysis of 0.01–0.5 ng of DNA was then performed using an Agilent FemtoPulse. High molecular weight (HMW) DNA was extracted using the Qiagen MagAttract HMW DNA extraction kit. Low molecular weight DNA was removed from a 200-ng aliquot of extracted DNA using 0.8X AMPure XP purification kit prior to 10X Chromium sequencing; a minimum of 50 ng DNA was submitted for 10X sequencing. HMW DNA was sheared into an average fragment size between 12–20 kb in a Megaruptor 3 system with speed setting 30. Sheared DNA was purified by solid-phase reversible immobilisation using AMPure.

**Figure 3. Genome assembly of Macaria notata, ilMacNota1.1: GC coverage.** BlobToolKit GC-coverage plot. Scaffolds are coloured by phylum. Circles are sized in proportion to scaffold length. Histograms show the distribution of scaffold length sum along each axis. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ilMacNota1.1/dataset/CAKMJI01/blob.
PB beads with a 1.8X ratio of beads to sample to remove the shorter fragments and concentrate the DNA sample. The concentration of the sheared and purified DNA was assessed using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer and Qubit Fluorometer and Qubit dsDNA High Sensitivity Assay kit. Fragment size distribution was evaluated by running the sample on the FemtoPulse system.

**Figure 4.** Genome assembly of *Macaria notata*, iIMacNota1.1: cumulative sequence. BlobToolKit cumulative sequence plot. The grey line shows cumulative length for all scaffolds. Coloured lines show cumulative lengths of scaffolds assigned to each phylum using the buscogens taxrule. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/iIMacNota1.1/dataset/CAKMJI01/cumulative.

**Sequencing**
Pacific Biosciences HiFi circular consensus and 10X Genomics Chromium read cloud sequencing libraries were constructed according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Sequencing was performed by the Scientific Operations core at the Wellcome Sanger Institute on Pacific Biosciences SEQUEL II (HiFi) and Illumina NovaSeq 6000 (10X) instruments. Hi-C data were
Table 2. Chromosomal pseudomolecules in the genome assembly of *Macaria notata*, ilMacNota1.1.

| INSDC accession | Chromosome | Size (Mb) | GC%  |
|-----------------|------------|-----------|------|
| OV656725.1      | 1          | 19.26     | 36.2 |
| OV656726.1      | 2          | 18.04     | 36   |
| OV656727.1      | 3          | 15.55     | 36.8 |
| OV656728.1      | 4          | 15.28     | 35.9 |
| OV656729.1      | 5          | 15.11     | 37.1 |
| OV656730.1      | 6          | 14.9      | 37.1 |
| OV656731.1      | 7          | 14.52     | 36.3 |
| OV656732.1      | 8          | 14.51     | 36   |
| OV656733.1      | 9          | 14.45     | 36.2 |
| OV656734.1      | 10         | 14.24     | 36.4 |
| OV656735.1      | 11         | 14.22     | 35.9 |
| OV656736.1      | 12         | 13.78     | 36.2 |
| OV656737.1      | 13         | 13.78     | 36.2 |
| OV656738.1      | 14         | 13.76     | 35.9 |
| OV656739.1      | 15         | 13.31     | 36.2 |

| INSDC accession | Chromosome | Size (Mb) | GC%  |
|-----------------|------------|-----------|------|
| OV656740.1      | 16         | 13.29     | 35.8 |
| OV656741.1      | 17         | 13.13     | 35.8 |
| OV656742.1      | 18         | 13.07     | 35.7 |
| OV656743.1      | 19         | 12.89     | 36.5 |
| OV656744.1      | 20         | 12.66     | 36.1 |
| OV656745.1      | 21         | 12.18     | 36.3 |
| OV656746.1      | 22         | 11.75     | 36.4 |
| OV656747.1      | 23         | 10.85     | 36.4 |
| OV656748.1      | 24         | 10.42     | 35.6 |
| OV656749.1      | 25         | 9.63      | 36.1 |
| OV656750.1      | 26         | 9.31      | 35.3 |
| OV656751.1      | 27         | 9.01      | 36.1 |
| OV656752.1      | 28         | 7.58      | 36.1 |
| OV656724.1      | Z          | 23.25     | 36.4 |
| OV656753.1      | MT         | 0.02      | 20.6 |
| -               | Unplaced   | 0.08      | 40.4 |

Figure 5. Genome assembly of *Macaria notata*, ilMacNota1.1: Hi-C contact map. Hi-C contact map of the ilMacNota1.1 assembly, visualised in HiGlass. Chromosomes are arranged in size order from left to right and top to bottom. The interactive Hi-C map can be viewed at https://genome-note-higlass.tol.sanger.ac.uk/l/?d=Ng_bHCybQ9aZia8aC3a4w.
generated in the Tree of Life laboratory from head and thorax of ilMacNota1 using the Arima v2 kit and sequenced on a NovaSeq 6000 instrument.

Genome assembly
Assembly was carried out with Hifiasm (Cheng et al., 2021); haplotypic duplication was identified and removed with purge_dups (Guan et al., 2020). One round of polishing was performed by aligning 10X Genomics read data to the assembly with lrongranger align, calling variants with freebayes (Garrison & Marth, 2012). The assembly was then scaffolded with Hi-C data (Rao et al., 2014) using YaHS (Zhou et al., 2022). The assembly was checked for contamination as described previously (Howe et al., 2021). Manual curation was performed using HiGlass (Kerpedjiev et al., 2018) and Pretext.

The mitochondrial genome was assembled using MitoHiFi (Uliano-Silva et al., 2021), which performs annotation using MitoFinder (Allio et al., 2020). The genome was analysed and BUSCO scores generated within the BlobToolKit environment (Challis et al., 2020). Table 3 contains a list of all software tool versions used, where appropriate.

Ethics/compliance issues
The materials that have contributed to this genome note have been supplied by a Darwin Tree of Life Partner. The submission of materials by a Darwin Tree of Life Partner is subject to the Darwin Tree of Life Project Sampling Code of Practice. By agreeing with and signing up to the Sampling Code of Practice, the Darwin Tree of Life Partner agrees they will meet the legal and ethical requirements and standards set out within this document in respect of all samples acquired for, and supplied to, the Darwin Tree of Life Project. Each transfer of samples is further undertaken according to a Research Collaboration Agreement or Material Transfer Agreement entered into by the Darwin Tree of Life Partner, Genome Research Limited (operating as the Wellcome Sanger Institute), and in some circumstances other Darwin Tree of Life collaborators.

Table 3. Software tools used.

| Software tool | Version | Source |
|---------------|---------|--------|
| Hifiasm       | 0.15.3  | Cheng et al., 2021 |
| purge_dups    | 1.2.3   | Guan et al., 2020 |
| lrongranger align | 2.2.2 | https://support.10xgenomics.com/ genome-exome/software/pipelines/ latest/advanced/other-pipelines |
| freebayes     | 1.3.1-17-gaa2ace8 | Garrison & Marth, 2012 |
| MitoHiFi      | 2.0     | Uliano-Silva et al., 2021 |
| YaHS          | 1.0     | Zhou et al., 2022 |
| HiGlass       | 1.11.6  | Kerpedjiev et al., 2018 |
| PretextView   | 0.2.x   | https://github.com/wtsi-hpag/ PretextView |
| BlobToolKit   | 3.2.6   | Challis et al., 2020 |
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Boyes and colleagues report the genome sequence of peacock moth *Macaria notata* (Linnaeus, 1758). This species can been found in woodland habitat in England and Wales, and there are also reports for its occurrence in Scotland and Ireland. Molecular data of this species are scarce prior to this report, and are mainly confined to COI sequences (plus a few other genes) deposited to the NCBI database. This new genome resource will be very useful for further studies, including but not limited to understanding their evolutionary relationships with other moths, identifying whether there could be cryptic species, or revealing population structure in relations to habitats or geographical locations.

This genome resource is excellent from the summary statistics, with high BUSCO numbers, high sequence continuity (contig and scaffold N50), and majority of sequences contained on the 29 pseudochromosomes (plus mitochondrion). To sum up, this is a valuable contribution.
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The authors report a genome assembly for the moth species *Macaria notata*, representing a mosaic of the two haplotypes present in the sampled individual. The alternate mosaic of haplotypes is also made available. The sequencing technologies used, and assembly methodologies applied are appropriate for generating very high-quality genome representations. Some phenotypic features and ecological background of the organism is given for context. The inclusion of a photograph of the sequenced specimen is nice, and the plots are informative of the data collected and the quality assessments performed. The interactive plots are a nice touch, and useful for taking a closer look at the data, particularly the Hi-C maps. The DNA extraction methodology is described in a good level of detail. The underlying raw data appears to be accessible. The authors provide some standard genome quality assessments such as the BUSCO score and coverage vs GC plots. BUSCO analysis shows a very low number of missing, fragmented and duplicated genes, suggesting that genic regions are complete and an accurate haploid representation has been produced.

I have a few comments:

The date that the individual was sampled may be useful metadata to include if available.

A copy or even rough reference version of the code used for the assembly itself and the subsequent QC steps would be useful. I think the assembly portion is largely reproducible from the written description, however the exact parameters used for the various programs is important. The manual curation steps are a little opaque (e.g. which underlying scaffold was broken and where & what the resulting scaffolds are called), but I'm sympathetic to the difficulty of publishing a perfectly reproducible genome assembly (especially given the scale of DToL). Also, the availability of multiple intermediate genome assemblies for the sake of complete transparency can create unnecessary confusion.

One of the chromosomes/pseudomolecules is labelled as the Z chromosome, but I do not see a description of how this assignment was made. This may be important detail for researchers.
interested in Z evolutionary history. For this reason, I scored the “replication detail” question as partial. Inclusion of the Z chromosome assignment methodology would improve the replicability.

There are some useful plots presented in the Tree of Life QC webpages that would be nice to include in these genome notes. In particular, the k-mer results from the HiFi data, for a justification of the resulting assembled genome size and to give an estimate of polymorphism, repetitiveness (although the latter points may be beyond the scope of a genome note).

The snail plot is an aesthetically appealing presentation, but the radial layout combined with the multiple layers might make it tricky to interpret for a general audience.
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