The nature of CR7 revealed with MUSE: a young starburst powering extended Lyman-α emission at z=6.6
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ABSTRACT
CR7 is among the most luminous Lyman-α emitters (LAEs) known at z = 6.6 and consists of at least three UV components that are surrounded by Lyman-α (Lyα) emission. Previous studies have suggested that it may host an extreme ionising source. Here, we present deep integral field spectroscopy of CR7 with VLT/MUSE. We measure extended emission with a similar halo scale length as typical LAEs at z ≈ 5. CR7’s Lyα halo is clearly elongated along the direction connecting the multiple components, likely tracing the underlying gas distribution. The Lyα emission originates almost exclusively from the brightest UV component, but we also identify a faint kinematically distinct Lyα emitting region nearby a fainter component. Combined with new near-infrared data, the MUSE data show that the rest-frame Lyα equivalent width (EW) is ≈ 100 Å. This is a factor four higher than the EW measured in low-redshift analogues with carefully matched Lyα profiles (and thus arguably HI column density), but this EW can plausibly be explained by star formation. Alternative scenarios requiring AGN powering are also disfavoured by the narrower and steeper Lyα spectrum and much smaller IR to UV ratio compared to obscured AGN in other Lyα blobs. CR7’s Lyα emission, while extremely luminous, resembles the emission in more common LAEs at lower redshifts very well and is likely powered by a young metal poor starburst.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Over the last years, new deep and wide-field extragalactic surveys have resulted in the discovery of relatively rare, bright galaxies at the end stages of cosmic reionisation (z > 6; Ouchi et al. 2013; Bowler et al. 2014; Matthee et al. 2015; Shibuya et al. 2018; Smit et al. 2018). These galaxies have UV luminosities that imply star formation rates about 25–50 M☉ yr⁻¹ and number densities around 10⁻⁶ cMpc⁻³. Besides being able to confirm their redshifts spectroscopically, it is also possible to spatially resolve the most luminous systems with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) and ALMA (e.g. Ouchi et al. 2013; Sobral et al. 2015; Bowler et al. 2017b; Matthee et al. 2017b,a; Hashimoto et al. 2019). Additional deep spectroscopy allows the first study of the properties of the interstellar medium (ISM) and stellar populations in these galaxies (Stark et al. 2015), and enables investigations on the fraction of light that is contributed by an active galactic nucleus (AGN; e.g. Laporte et al. 2017).

Studies based on rest-frame UV and rest-frame far infrared spectroscopy indicate that the ISM in bright galax-
ies at $z \geq 6$ is highly ionised (Inoue et al. 2016; Harikane et al. 2020; Arata et al. 2020) by hard ionising sources (Stark et al. 2015; Sobral et al. 2019) and contains either little dust and/or dust with a likely very high temperature (e.g. Faisst et al. 2017; Bakx et al. 2020).

Moreover, luminous galaxies at $z \geq 6$ appear to be complex assembling systems of multiple components identified from the UV emission of their young stars (e.g. Ouchi et al. 2013; Sobral et al. 2015; Bowler et al. 2017a; Tamura et al. 2018) and cold gas traced by far-infrared [CII]$_{158\mu m}$ line emission (e.g. Matthee et al. 2017b; Carniani et al. 2018a). Spatially resolved studies indicate varying line-ratios and line-to-continuum ratios (Carniani et al. 2017; Matthee et al. 2019; Bakx et al. 2020). [CII] emission is also reported to be significantly more extended than the UV continuum (e.g. Fujimoto et al. 2019; Ginolfi et al. 2020), possibly tracing past outflow activity (Pizzati et al. 2020).

The Lyman-$\alpha$ (Lyα) emission line has mostly been used to identify and confirm the redshifts of distant galaxies, but is now also starting to be used as a tool to study the gas content in and around galaxies. For example, Lyα halos detected around quasars and galaxies can be used to study the properties of the circumgalactic medium (CGM; e.g. Steidel et al. 2011; Matsuda et al. 2012; Borisova et al. 2016; Wisotzki et al. 2018), the ISM and continuum-undetected galaxy populations (e.g. Zheng et al. 2011; Mas-Ribas et al. 2013; Sobral et al. 2015; Bowler et al. 2017a; Tamura et al. 2018). Leclercq et al. (2017) report no correlations between the halo scale lengths and any observed galaxy properties nor redshift at $z \approx 3 - 5$. However, Momose et al. (2014) use a stacking analysis to show that Lyα halos have a larger scale length at $z = 6.6$ compared to $z < 6$, possibly an effect of incomplete reionisation.

Additionally, the observed spectral profile of the Lyα line has emerged as a promising tracer of gas kinematics and HI column density in the ISM and the related escape fraction of ionising photons (e.g. Verhamme et al. 2015; Izotov et al. 2018; Matthee et al. 2018). We know in some cases (from UV continuum or [CII]; e.g. Sobral et al. 2015; Carniani et al. 2018a; Hashimoto et al. 2019) that there are multiple components within luminous systems each with distinctly systemic redshifts. This makes the physical interpretation of a spatially unresolved Lyα spectrum difficult, making IFU observations in the rest-frame UV necessary (e.g. Matthee et al. 2020). An additional advantage of integral field spectroscopy is the possibility to define a pseudo-narrowband image which width can be optimised to maximise the signal-to-noise for a given target, which facilitates the detection of emission at low surface brightness.

One of the best sources to obtain detailed resolved Lyα observations is the Lyα emitter (LAE) COSMOS Redshift 7 (CR7, $z_{Ly\alpha} = 6.606$; Matthee et al. 2015; Sobral et al. 2015), which is one of the most luminous LAEs known at $z > 6$. CR7 stands out with respect to other galaxies known at this epoch because of its high Lyα luminosity and the tentative detection of the high ionisation HeII emission line (Sobral et al. 2015, 2019), which could point towards an extremely hot stellar population and/or an AGN (e.g. Sobral et al. 2015; Pallottini et al. 2015; Bowler et al. 2017b; Pacucci et al. 2017). Earlier studies revealed that CR7 is a multiple component system, consisting of (at least) three UV emitting components (Sobral et al. 2015) and four [CII] components (of which some overlap with UV components; Matthee et al. 2017b). Besides [CII], metal emission through the [OIII]$_{5008}$ line is plausibly present (Matthee et al. 2015; Bowler et al. 2017b), although the large point spread function (PSF) of the Spitzer/IRAC data challenges measurements of its spatial variations over the multiple components, particularly as this is degenerate with the stellar mass distribution (e.g. Agarwal et al. 2016).

In this paper, we present resolved Lyα data from the Multi Unit Spectroscopic Explorer (MUSE; Bacon et al. 2010) of CR7. We investigate the origin of the Lyα emission in CR7, how the Lyα surface brightness and line profiles compare to other galaxies. We also investigate which UV and [CII] components are responsible for the Lyα emission and take advantage of the 3D nature of IFU data to identify kinematically distinct components within the extended Lyα halo. This study is allowed by the availability of new deep, ground-layer adaptive-optics (GLAO) assisted observations with the MUSE integral field unit on the Very Large Telescope (VLT). These data are analysed in conjunction with a new analysis of HST and ground-based near-infrared data with significantly improved sensitivity compared to previous works (e.g. Bowler et al. 2017b; Sobral et al. 2019).

The structure of the paper is as follows. In §2 we first summarise earlier results and measurements on CR7 that are most relevant for our analysis. Then, in §3 we describe the data used in this paper, including VLT/MUSE observations, their reduction and the reduction of archival HST data. §4 presents the UV morphology. We explore CR7’s Lyα emission in 3D in §5, including the Lyα surface brightness profile, spatial offset compared to the UV and identification of variations in the Lyα line profile in the MUSE data. Spectroscopic and photometric flux measurements and the measurement of UV luminosity, slope and Lyα equivalent width are presented in §6. We discuss the spatial origin of the Lyα emission in §7 and in §8 we compare the Lyα surface brightness profile of CR7 to other galaxies. Finally, we discuss the powering origin of the Lyα emission in §9, focusing on comparisons to low-redshift analogues of high-redshift LAEs and on comparisons between CR7 and other bright sources of extended Lyα emission. Throughout the paper we use a flat ΛCDM cosmology with $\Omega_M = 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$ and $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$. Magnitudes are listed in the AB system (Oke & Gunn 1983).

2 EARLIER RESULTS ON CR7

Earlier work identified CR7 as a bright, extended LAE through Lyα narrow-band imaging taken with Suprime-Cam on Subaru (Matthee et al. 2015). HST/WFC3 imaging revealed three UV continuum emitting components (named A, B and C; see Fig. 1), of which the brightest component (A) roughly coincides with the peak Lyα emission Sobral et al. (2015). Slit spectroscopy revealed a narrow Lyα line at $z = 6.60$, which combined with the narrow-band and Y band photometry resulted in a Lyα luminosity of $8.5 \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and a rest-frame EW$_\alpha = 211 \pm 20$ Å (Sobral et al. 2015). The luminosity and EW implied extreme ionising sources, particularly as a significant fraction of the Lyα emission may have been absorbed by the opaque inter galactic medium (IGM), or not seen due to the surface brightness limits of the
narrow-band data. These would both indicate even higher Lyα luminosity and EW.

While sensitive ALMA observations do not detect any continuum emission (indicating a low dust content), [CII]$_{158.9\mu m}$ line emission is detected at various positions (Matthee et al. 2017b), see the red contours in the left panel of Fig. 1. The brightest [CII] component overlaps with UV component A with $z_{\text{CII}} = 6.601$. There are two nearby compact [CII] emitting sources at the position of component B with $z = 6.600$ and $z = 6.593$, respectively. There is no compact source of [CII] emission at the location of UV component C, but more diffuse emission is seen with a redshift $z = 6.598$. For the purpose of this paper we will use the redshift of the brightest component ($z = 6.601$) as the systemic redshift and as the rest-frame velocity.

The most recent analysis of the rest-frame UV spectroscopy with VLT/X-SHOOTER and the grism on HST/WFC3 has been presented in Sobral et al. (2019), who report a $3\sigma$ detection of HeII emission. The line peaks at $z = 6.604$ and is located 0.8$''$ away from clump A, roughly between clumps B and C. No other rest-frame UV lines are detected. Photo-ionisation modelling indicates that the spectrum can be explained by a relatively young metal-poor starburst and does not require PopII stars or an AGN.

3 DATA

3.1 VLT/MUSE

CR7 was observed in clear conditions for 4 hours with VLT/MUSE on March 5 and 7 and April 10, 2019 as part of GTO programs 0102.A-0448 and 0103.A-0272 (PIs Cantalupo/Lilly). Each of the four observing blocks consisted of four GLAO-assisted integrations with 900s exposure times. Individual exposures were dithered randomly by $≈ 2''$ and the position angle of the pointing was rotated by 90 degrees after each exposure to reduce the effects of systematics on the final datacube.

Standard reduction steps (bias, flat-fielding, illumination correction, geometrical calibration and barycentric wavelength and flux calibration), were performed with the standard MUSE pipeline version 2.6 (Weilbacher et al. 2014) implemented in ESOREX. Additionally, we registered the astrometric frame to the GAIA DR2 reference frame by shifting the coordinates of objects within 20$''$ from the center of the MUSE field of view (FoV) to the reference catalog (assuming no geometric distortions after the standard pipeline reduction). As no object in the GAIA DR2 catalogue (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018) is detected within the MUSE FoV, we use a wedding-cake approach by matching high signal-to-noise (S/N) detections in the MUSE white-light image to detections in the UltraVISTA DR4 $K_s$ band (which has been registered to the GAIA DR2 reference frame). Once the astrometry of all individual reduced cubes is matched, we use two iterations of CubEX (Cantalupo in prep.; see Cantalupo et al. 2019 for a description) for removal of sky-line residuals, additional flat-fielding and combination of individual exposures. The white-light image of the combined cube of the first iteration was used as source-mask for the second iteration. CR7 was added manually to this mask.

We measure the PSF at $\lambda_{\text{obs}} = 925$ nm, the wavelength of CR7’s Lyα emission, by fitting a Moffat profile to a bright star ($I = 17.9$) in the field of view (FoV). The profile is best characterised with a power index $\beta = 2.2$ and a full width half maximum FWHM$ = 0.47''$. Compared to non-GLAO observations (e.g. Bacon et al. 2017; Matthee et al. 2020) we find that while the core of the PSF is very narrow, the wing is somewhat more extended ($\beta = 2.8$ in those non-GLAO data).

We measure the depth of the data by placing PSF FWHM-sized apertures in 67 empty sky positions identified by eye from deep HST data (see below) and the MUSE white-light image and measuring the standard deviation in the aperture-fluxes. The combined data-cube has a limiting 5$\sigma$ point-source sensitivity of $6 \times 10^{-19}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ Å$^{-1}$ at $\lambda_{\text{obs}} = 925$ nm (including a factor 1.2 correction to account for cross-talk from the spectral resampling; see Weilbacher et al. 2020). We note that no strong skylines are present around CR7’s Lyα wavelength.

3.2 HST/WFC3

We compile all available near-infrared data in the STScI database on CR7 observed with WFC3 on HST. The data include observations in the F110W, F140W and F160W filters. The F110W data contains 1 orbit observed in March 2012 from program 12578 (PI: Forster Schreiber) and 2 orbits observed in March and November 2017 from program 14596 (PI: Fan). The F140W contains $≈ 1$ orbit worth of exposure time from grism pre-imaging in January and March 2017 from program 14405 (PI: Sobral). The F160W data consist of a total of 4 orbits obtained through the same programs as the F110W data.

The data are reduced following the method outlined in Matthee et al. (2019). This means that individual calibrated and flat-fielded images are registered to the astrometric reference frame of the GAIA DR2 data by matching the HST detections with $K_s$ band data (see above) and finding the best astrometric solution with SCAMP (Bertin 2006). Finally, we use SWARP (Bertin 2010) to combine individual images to a co-add with 0.064$''$ pixel scale using bilinear interpolation.
Comparing the positions of objects within the central 20″ of the MUSE data-cube to their positions in the HST/WFC3 data, we find no systematic astrometry offsets and an uncertainty of 0.02″ in the relative astrometry.

Using a similar method as described for the MUSE data, we measure that the HST data have 5σ point-source sensitivities F110W = 28.2, F140W = 27.3 and F160W = 27.9 and PSF FWHM ≈ 0.25″. We note that the bilinear interpolation introduces some smoothing, resulting in higher S/N at the cost of a slightly larger FWHM than the native FWHM.

3.3 Ground-based data

We also use the most recent release (DR4) of ground-based NIR data in the Y, J, H and K_s bands from UltraVISTA (McCracken et al. 2012) and NIR data in the Y_HSC band from the Hyper Suprime-Cam Subaru Strategic Program DR2 (Aihara et al. 2019). Compared to earlier ground-based data on CR7, the most significant improvement is in the K_s band data, where individual components of CR7 are now detected. The PSF FWHM of the ground-based data is ≈ 0.8″ and we measure 5σ point-source sensitivities of 26.2, 25.8, 25.5, 25.2 and 25.4 magnitudes in the Y_HSC, Y, J, H and K_s filters, respectively.

4 UV MORPHOLOGY

Here we aim to obtain a model that describes the UV continuum as observed with HST/WFC3 in order to have a baseline to interpret the Lyα morphology. We use the data in the F110W filter as these data have the best sensitivity.1

Following earlier work on the morphologies and sizes of high-redshift galaxies (e.g. Shibuya et al. 2015; Bowler et al. 2017a; Paulino-Afonso et al. 2018), we use exponential profiles (i.e. Sersic profiles with n = 1). For simplicity and to limit the number of free parameters, we assume circularly symmetric light-profiles. We use the following general parametrisation:

\[ I(a) = I_{\text{eff}} \exp\left(-b_0 \left(\frac{a}{r_{\text{eff}}}\right)^{1/n} - 1\right), \]

with n the Sersic index (set to n = 1 for an exponential profile), and b_0 is calculated from the incomplete gamma function (see Erwin 2015) such that r_{eff} is the effective (half-light) radius and I_{eff} is the surface brightness at the effective radius. We note that for an exponential profile the half-light radius is related to the scale length as r_{eff} ≈ 1.67835 r_e, where I(a) ∝ exp(−a/r_e).

Interestingly, besides the 3 previously known components, our new deeper HST data reveal weak clumpy emission somewhat north of clump A of CR7 (Fig. 1). This additional flux (named A-2) is seen in both F110W and F160W data, indicating it is continuum emission. The integrated S/N of component A-2 is 3.8. Here we model A-2 as an additional point-source for simplicity. We note that if we would allow the Sersic index or the ellipticity to vary in clump A instead of adding an extra component would not result in a good fit.

We model CR7’s UV continuum emission using a combination of 2 exponential profiles (clumps A and C) and 2 point sources (clumps A-2 and B, which are unresolved). We fit this morphological model with 14 free parameters (8 for the centroids of the four components, 2 for the total fluxes of clumps A-2 and B and 4 for the effective radii and the normalisations of clumps A and C) using IMFFIT-MCMC (Erwin 2015), which simultaneously accounts for PSF convolution and pixel-based noise properties based on the propagated HST weight image. We re-normalised the weight image to certify that the noise measured in PSF-sized apertures in the noise map is in agreement with the value measured using empty aperture measurements on the real data. IMFFIT-MCMC uses a differential evolution implementation of Monte Carlo Markov Chain (MCMC) (Vrugt et al. 2008) and the same number of Markov chains as the number of free parameters. We use 5000 iterations in the burn-in phase. Chains are run for a maximum of 100,000 generations, although we note convergence is typically reached after ≈ 30,000 iterations.

Initial parameter guesses were obtained from running a single iteration of IMFIT that uses the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm to find the best-fit parameters using a Poisson Maximum Likelihood statistic (see Erwin 2015 for details and comparisons to χ^2). Flat priors with wide boundary conditions are applied. The only boundary condition that is important applies to the central position of the four components, which are allowed to vary by 1 pixel (i.e. 3× the 1σ astrometric uncertainty). Within these boundary conditions, the results are well converged to a single local maximum in the likelihood space. Then, we use the median and 16th-84th percentiles of the marginalised posterior distribution to find the best-fit parameters and their uncertainties. We measure effective radii r_{eff} = 0.30^{+0.12}_{-0.07} kpc and r_{eff} = 0.30^{+0.17}_{-0.13} kpc for clumps A and C, respectively in the F110W data, but note that care must be taken in interpreting the size of clump A due to the nearby clump A-2. The distance between the center of A and A-2 is 2.2±0.4 kpc (≈ 0.41″). For the F110W data, the best-fit model and the residual image are shown in Fig. 1. The measurements for F160W are consistent within the 1σ uncertainties. We note that the contribution from clump A-2 to the total A+A-2 flux is comparable in the F110W and F160W filters (≈ 10 %).

5 CR7’S Lyα EMISSION IN 3D

In this section we use the advantage of the 3D data to optimally measure the morphology of the Lyman emission (§5.1) and spatial offsets to the UV continuum (§5.2). We also explore spatial variations in the spectral line profile (§5.3) and use those to unveil a second faint source of Lyα emission within the system (§5.4).

In Fig. 2 we show, for illustrative purposes, the Lyα image of CR7. We also show extracted 1D Lyα (MUSE) and [CII] (ALMA; Matthee et al. 2017b) spectra in various locations extracted in PSF-sized apertures. These will be discussed in §5.3. The Lyα image is an optimally extracted image obtained from the collapse of a three dimensional segmentation mask (see Borisova et al. 2016 for details). As the
number of wavelength-layers and hence the noise properties vary per pixel we do not use this image for quantitative measurements. For a proper comparison, we show contours of the UV continuum based on the best-fit intrinsic UV morphology convolved with the PSF of the MUSE data (see §4). Fig. 2 shows that CR7’s Lyα emission is rather smooth and peaks close to the main UV continuum emitting component (clump A), while it extends over ≈4″ in diameter, covering the other UV components (clumps B and C) in agreement with narrow-band data (Sobral et al. 2015). Lyα emission appears elongated in the direction of clump B, the component that is faintest in the UV continuum.

5.1 Lyα morphology

Here, we focus on describing the morphology of CR7’s Lyα emission, following the same method applied to the rest-frame UV imaging (i.e. using imfit-mcmc, see §4). We create a Lyα pseudo-narrowband image by collapsing over 12 layers from $\lambda_{\text{obs}} = 9242 - 9255$ Å (from ≈ −100 to +350 km s$^{-1}$ with respect to the peak of the Lyα emission). The continuum is subtracted using a pseudo-narrowband with same width from $\lambda_{\text{obs}} = 9284 - 9297$ Å ($\approx +1300$ to +1750 km s$^{-1}$ with respect to the Lyα peak), but we note this has a negligible effect due to the high observed EW. We also create a noise image based on propagating the variance cube provided by the MUSE pipeline. The noise image is renormalised to the level measured from empty-sky pixels in the narrow-band image. We aggressively mask pixels where there is a continuum detection of a foreground source in the HST data, as shown in the left panel of Fig. 3.

As shown by the UV continuum contours in Fig. 2 (which are convolved to have the same PSF-FWHM as the MUSE data with FWHM=0.47″), it is clear that the Lyα morphology is significantly different from the UV morphology. Following the methodology from Wisotzki et al. (2016), we describe the Lyα morphology as a combination of a (PSF-convolved) UV continuum model and an extended component. The UV continuum-like model is named the ‘core’ component from now on, while we name the extended component
the ‘halo’ component. We model the halo component with an exponential profile and allow for non-circularly symmetric light distributions by also fitting for ellipticity and the position angle. Ellipticity is defined as \( \varepsilon = 1 - b/a \), where \( a \) and \( b \) are the semi-major and semi-minor axes respectively. The circularised radius is related to these axes as \( r_{\text{circularised}} = \sqrt{ab} \). We note that we have experimented fitting the halo with a Sersic model with \( n \neq 1 \), but found that those fits do not converge without imposing a strong prior on \( n \).

The difficulty in modelling CR7’s Ly\( \alpha \) emission is that there are potentially three core components as CR7 consists of (at least) three UV emitting components. Fig. 2 however clearly shows that any Ly\( \alpha \) emission from clumps B and C appears subdominant in the total Ly\( \alpha \) image. We therefore do not include ‘core’ Ly\( \alpha \) emission at the positions of clumps B and C, and note that including such components would result in a worse reduced \( \chi^2 \).

Another possible complication arises from the faint clump A-2 that we have discovered close to the main clump A, see §4, particularly as the MUSE data do not resolve these substructures. As clump A-2 is more than 10 times fainter than clump A we choose to model the Ly\( \alpha \) core emission by using component A only, but we have verified that our results are unchanged within the uncertainties when incorporating component A-2 as well (but fixing the relative luminosities of components A and A-2 to the relative luminosity in the F110W data).

Hence, our two-component model of the Ly\( \alpha \) emission includes a circularly symmetric exponential component with \( r_{\text{eff}} = 0.30 \) kpc centred on the position of clump A and an extended halo-component. The position of the core component is allowed to vary by \( 2\sigma_{\text{astrometry}} \), where \( \sigma_{\text{astrometry}} = 0.02^\prime \), the uncertainty in the relative positions of objects in the MUSE and \( HST \) data (§3). The normalisation of the core is a free parameter. The position, scale radius and normalisation of the halo-component are allowed to vary freely. The fitted parameters and their 68-percentile confidence intervals for the two-component model are listed in Table 1. The exponential halo is characterised by a scale radius of 3.0\( ^{+0.3}_{-0.3} \) kpc and contributes more than half of the total (integrated) Ly\( \alpha \) emission, see the halo flux fraction listed in Table 1 that was derived from the posteriors. We note that forcing the core and the halo to be at the same positions (within 2 times the astrometric uncertainty) results in a best-fit with clear residuals in the centre of CR7 and worse \( \chi^2 \).

5.2 Positional offsets between UV and Ly\( \alpha \)

As described in §5.1, we allow for positional offsets between the compact (core-like) Ly\( \alpha \) emission, centred on the peak of the UV emission, and the Ly\( \alpha \) halo. Here we explore whether such offset is real.

As described in §3 and now shown in Fig. 4, we have tested the relative astrometry between the \( HST \) and the

![Figure 3](image-url). Zoomed-in images of CR7’s continuum-subtracted Ly\( \alpha \) emission as constructed with a pseudo-narrowband from the MUSE data. The left image shows the data, where the contours correspond to the 2, 4, 8, 16\( \sigma \) levels. Pixels with continuum emission in the \( HST \) data (besides CR7 itself) are aggressively masked and shown in white. The PSF-FWHM is illustrated as a black hashed circle in the bottom left. The middle panel shows the best-fit two-component model with an exponential halo. The contours are drawn at the same levels as in the left panel and are drawn for both the core component (solid lines) and halo component (dashed lines). The right panel shows that the best-fit model results in no substantial residuals.

| Property | Measurement |
|----------|-------------|
| \( HST \) ‘A’ + Exponential halo (§5.1) | Full NB imfit-MCMC |
| PA | 127\( ^{+4}_{-3} \) \( ^{+3}_{-2} \) \( ^{+1}_{-1} \) |
| \( \varepsilon \) | 0.46\( ^{+0.04}_{-0.04} \) |
| \( r_{\text{h},\text{halo}} \) | 3.0\( ^{+0.3}_{-0.3} \) kpc |
| Halo flux fraction | 71\( ^{+2}_{-2} \) % |
| Distance Ly\( \alpha \) - UV | 1.2\( ^{+0.2}_{-0.2} \) kpc |

\( ^2 \) Ly\( \alpha \) emission with a distinctly different Ly\( \alpha \) profile from the majority of Ly\( \alpha \) emission is observed around the position of UV component B (§5.4). This component however has a negligible flux and does not impact the overall morphology. We have verified this by analysing a Ly\( \alpha \) pseudo-narrowband collapsed over a narrower wavelength range that does not contain the additional redder Ly\( \alpha \) component. These results are fully consistent within the uncertainties.

---

\[ \text{Table 1. Best-fit parameters in our morphological core+halo model of CR7’s Ly\( \alpha \) emission.} \]

\[ \text{Property} \quad \text{Measurement} \]

\[ \text{\( HST \) ‘A’ + Exponential halo (§5.1) Full NB imfit-MCMC} \]

\[ \text{PA} \quad 127^{+4}_{-3}^{+3}_{-2}^{+1}_{-1} \]

\[ \text{\( \varepsilon \)} \quad 0.46^{+0.04}_{-0.04} \]

\[ \text{\( r_{\text{h},\text{halo}} \)} \quad 3.0^{+0.3}_{-0.3} \text{ kpc} \]

\[ \text{Halo flux fraction} \quad 71^{+2}_{-2} \% \]

\[ \text{Distance Ly\( \alpha \) - UV} \quad 1.2^{+0.2}_{-0.2} \text{ kpc} \]
white-light image of the MUSE data. There are no systematic offsets between the centroids of the 39 objects detected with S/N > 5 in both images within a radius of 20'' from CR7. The standard deviation of the relative offsets is 0.08'' in both the right ascension and declination directions. In Fig. 4 we also show the relative positions between the centre of the UV component A. The red diamond illustrates the position of the centre of the Ly\(\alpha\) emission when modelled with a single elongated component. The green diamond illustrates the position of the peak of the extended 'halo'-like Ly\(\alpha\) emission in the best-fit two-component model. Error-bars include the systematic uncertainty on the relative astrometry. For illustration, the contours of the F110W data on CR7’s main UV component are shown in the background.

5.3 Line profile variations

As illustrated in Fig. 2, the Ly\(\alpha\) line profile appears to vary throughout the system. In Appendix A we show the spatial dependence of the line-profile with pseudo-2D slit spectra extracted at various locations and with various position angles from the 3D data. Here, we explore in a model-dependent way how the Ly\(\alpha\) line profile varies within the system. In this model, we parametrise the line-profile with a skewed gaussian profile (e.g. Shibuya et al. 2014):

\[
f(v) = A \exp\left( -\frac{(v-v_0)^2}{2(a_{\text{asym}} (v-v_0) + d)^2} \right),
\]

where \(A\) is the normalisation, \(v_0\) is the velocity with respect to Ly\(\alpha\) peak at \(z = 6.601\), \(a_{\text{asym}}\) the asymmetry parameter. The parameter \(d\) controls the line-width and is related to the full-width half maximum as FWHM = \(\frac{2\sqrt{2\ln 2}a_{\text{asym}}}{\sqrt{\ln 10}}\). We convolve this line profile with the line spread function of the MUSE data, which is characterised by a gaussian profile with FWHM=70 km s\(^{-1}\) at the redshifted Ly\(\alpha\) wavelength (Bacon et al. 2017) when we fit the line-profile to the data.

Since the interpretation of standard moment maps is not intuitive for strongly asymmetric lines, we use a pixel-based fitting approach for our spatially resolved analysis. First, we smooth the MUSE data with a gaussian with \(\sigma = 1.5\) pixel (0.3'') to improve the S/N. Then, for each pixel within the 5\(\sigma\) contours of the Ly\(\alpha\) narrow-band image (e.g. Fig. 3), we extract the 1D spectrum from -750 to +1500 km s\(^{-1}\) with respect to \(z = 6.601\). We also extract 1D spectra in all empty sky pixels identified in §3 and compute the standard deviation to measure the uncertainty in each wavelength-layer. Finally, we use the PYTHON package LMFIT to find the best-fit combination of \(A, v_0, a_{\text{asym}}, d\) for each pixel. We note that because of smoothing and because of the PSF the results between neighbouring pixels are somewhat correlated. The pixel-based results are shown in Fig. 5. It can be seen that the fitted line becomes particularly redder, broader and more symmetric in the north-western part (i.e. around clump B) and similarly (but to a smaller extent and in a lower S/N region) in the southern part. Besides, in general it appears that the peak position is somewhat more redshifted in the outskirts of the system than in the centre around clump A.

To further explore the origin of the line-profile variations, we show two example 1D spectra (and their best-fits) in Fig. 6. The top panel shows the Ly\(\alpha\) line at the peak Ly\(\alpha\) emission (close to the peak UV emission; see §5.2), while the bottom panel shows the Ly\(\alpha\) line extracted in the region with the reddest peak position (i.e. around clump B). At peak emission, the Ly\(\alpha\) line is very well described by a skewed gaussian with \(v_0 = 204 \pm 4\) km s\(^{-1}\) with respect to \(z_{\text{Ly}\alpha} = 6.601\), \(a_{\text{asym}} = 0.285 \pm 0.014\) and FWHM= 246 ± 12 km s\(^{-1}\). Around clump B, the Ly\(\alpha\) line appears much broader without a clear single peak.

5.4 A second Ly\(\alpha\) emitting component

We have noticed that the line-shape in the region near UV component B is different from the rest of the Ly\(\alpha\) halo. We therefore hypothesise that there are two Ly\(\alpha\) emission lines (separated by roughly 200 km s\(^{-1}\)) at this position. Indeed, we find that a two-component fit is preferred over a single component with the same shape as component A (\(\chi^2 = 1.1\) versus \(\chi^2 = 1.5\)). Indications for a second Ly\(\alpha\) emitting component are also seen in pseudo-2D slit spectra shown in Appendix A. We show in Fig. 6 that it is possible to fit the profile as a combination of two skewed gaussians,
where we fix the peak position, asymmetry and FWHM of the bluer component to that of the Lyα line at the peak flux position, we require a minimum peak separation of 100 km s\(^{-1}\) and we fix the asymmetry of the redder component to the asymmetry measured at the peak flux. We find that the second peak is redshifted by 177 ± 24 km s\(^{-1}\) with respect to the main Lyα component, and has a FWHM= 114 ± 90 km s\(^{-1}\).

We generalise this method to our resolved pixel-based fitting and re-fit the 1D spectrum in each pixel both with a single skewed gaussian and a combination of two skewed gaussians where we fix the shape of the bluer line to the shape of the Lyα line at the peak flux, pose a minimum on the separation of the two lines and fix the asymmetry of the redder component as described above. For each fit, we calculate the difference in reduced \(\chi^2\) for the single and two-component fits and also measure the S/N of the red component (for example the S/N of the cyan line in the right panel in Fig. 6). From visual inspection of the fits, we determine that a second component is robustly fitted when the S/N of the second line is higher than 7.5 and the reduced \(\chi^2\) is improved. The left panel of Fig. 7 shows the pixels at which these two criteria are simultaneously met. Note that due to the additional S/N requirement, second components could in practice only be identified within the 10\(\sigma\) contour levels of the total Lyα narrow-band image.

As illustrated by the middle and right panels of Fig. 7, the integrated flux of the second component is much fainter than that of the main component, even at the location where the second component peaks. The second Lyα emitting component (peaking at \(z = 6.6105\)) has a Lyα luminosity of only \((9 ± 2) \times 10^{41}\) erg s\(^{-1}\), which is \(2\%\) of the total Lyα flux. The Lyα EW of this component is moderate EW \((≈ 20\ \AA\), see §6.3). The spatial offset between Lyα component 2 and the nearby UV component B should be taken with caution as we cannot exclude that the second Lyα component extends further to the north, where the S/N of the Lyα data is relatively low. We note that the tentative HeII line-emission observed in CR7 also peaks around this spatial location (Sobral et al. 2019).

There are two [CII] emitting components at \(z = 6.600\) and \(z = 6.593\) (Matthee et al. 2017b) that are spatially nearby Lyα component 2. If we interpret one of these two redshifts as systemic, then the peak of the second Lyα component would correspond to a velocity shift of \(+414 ± 24\) km s\(^{-1}\) and \(+689 ± 24\) km s\(^{-1}\), respectively. The most likely association is the one with the smaller velocity offset as it also has a smaller spatial separation between Lyα and [CII]. Nonetheless, both these velocity offsets are relatively high compared to the velocity offset measured at the peak of Lyα which is \(Δv_{\text{component}} = +204 ± 4\) km s\(^{-1}\) and also compared to other galaxies at \(z \approx 5 – 7\) (typically \(≈ +200\) km s\(^{-1}\); Matthee et al. 2020; Cassata et al. 2020). On the other hand, these offsets are not unseen in LAEs at \(z \approx 2 – 3\) (e.g. Erb et al. 2014). Regardless, the contribution of this component to the total Lyα flux is minimal.

We note that we do not find additional LAEs around CR7 in the MUSE data-cube, see Appendix C.

6 UV LUMINOSITY AND COLOURS

6.1 Spectrophotometry

We use the MUSE data and the newest ground-based and HST/WFC3 data to measure the Lyα equivalent width, the UV luminosity and the UV slope of CR7 as a whole and for its three UV components individually. We use circular 2” diameter apertures for the total photometry and 0.5” or 0.8” diameter apertures for resolved photometry of the three components in the space-based/ground-based imaging data. These aperture sizes were chosen as a compromise between optimising the S/N, minimising contamination and blend-
Aperture corrections are derived for each relevant measurement by improved sensitivity of the newer UltraVISTA and ground-based data (where larger apertures would have been more susceptible to blending and significantly lower S/N). We list the total photometry in Table 2 and resolved photometry in Table 3. For consistency with previous works we combine the models of A and A-2 and present their combined photometry.

The total Lyα flux corresponds to a luminosity \(5.34 \pm 0.11 \times 10^{43} \text{erg s}^{-1} \approx 5 \times L^* \) (Madau 1995), which is relevant for the HST and MUSE data and a factor \(2 - 2.5\) for the ground-based data. Differences are driven by improved sensitivity of the newer UltraVISTA and HST data used in this work and by the use of aperture-corrections based on the measured exponential profiles for clumps A and C, instead of assuming them to be point-sources.

### 6.2 Photometric model

We describe the spectral energy distribution with a simple model that contains the Lyα emission line and a UV continuum that breaks below the Lyα wavelength due to attenuation by the IGM (e.g. Madau 1995), which is relevant for the HST and F110W photometry. The UV continuum is characterised by a normalisation (\(M_{\alpha}\)), the absolute UV magnitude at \(\lambda_0 = 1500 \text{Å}\) and a single power-law slope (\(\beta\)). This model therefore ignores additional rest-frame UV emission and absorption features.

The best-fitted model parameters and their 68% confidence intervals are found by simulating a large grid of models with varying UV luminosity, UV slope and Lyα luminosity. Each model is shifted to \(z = 6.6\) and convolved with the filter transmission curves to be compared to the observed magnitudes of the ground-based and HST data. We compute the likelihood \(\mathcal{L} \propto \exp(-\chi^2/2)\) of each model by comparing the model to the observed magnitudes and their uncertainties and find the model with the highest likelihood. We note that we use the logarithmic Lyα luminosity in the \(\chi^2\) calculation for consistency with the use of magnitudes in the other photometry data. For each of the fitted parameters, uncertainties are derived from the 16th and 84th percentiles of the marginalised posterior distribution.

### Table 2. CR7’s total photometry measured with 2″ diameter apertures including aperture corrections based on the HST morphology (broad-band filters) and MUSE morphology (Lyα flux).

| Name    | \(\lambda_{\text{c,obs}} \text{[nm]}\) | Measurement |
|---------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| \(I_{\text{Ly} \alpha}\) | 924 | 10.74\(\pm\)0.29 \times 10^{-17} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} |
| F110W   | 1120 | 24.52\(\pm\)0.09 |
| F140W   | 1374 | 24.54\(\pm\)0.17 |
| F160W   | 1528 | 24.57\(\pm\)0.18 |
| Y_{HSC} | 976  | 24.48\(\pm\)0.27 |
| \(Y\)   | 1020 | 24.68\(\pm\)0.31 |
| \(J\)   | 1248 | 24.54\(\pm\)0.30 |
| \(H\)   | 1635 | 24.78\(\pm\)0.25 |
| \(K_s\) | 2144 | 24.74\(\pm\)0.24 |

\(\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 1.1\)

3 The results are unchanged when best-fit F160W model is used.
Resolved photometry as there is no significant coverage of wavelengths redder than Ly$\alpha$ in the MUSE data. We explore two different methods. In the first method we use the continuum level as measured in the UltraVISTA $Y$ band and extrapolate it to 1216 Å assuming a flat spectral slope ($\beta = -2$). This filter covers $\lambda_0 = 1280 - 1410$ Å at $z = 6.6$ and is therefore the closest in wavelength to the Ly$\alpha$ line, while not including the line itself. In the second method we use the results from the photometric modelling from §6.2 using MUSE and $HST$ data in order to estimate the continuum around Ly$\alpha$ by simultaneously modelling the UV slope. We use aperture-corrected photometry as described earlier. The results are listed in Table 5.

It is not straightforward to interpret the Ly$\alpha$ EWs for the individual components. As we showed in §5.1, the morphology of the Ly$\alpha$ emission is significantly different from that of the UV continuum emission. This indicates that the Ly$\alpha$ flux measured at the position of one of the components is not necessarily physically associated to this component. Therefore, we measure the total EW including all continuum and line emission, which is the only possible model-independent measurement. Comparing the total EW in the different methods, we measure $EW_{\alpha} = 107^{+28}_{-22}$ Å when the continuum is estimated with the $Y$ band and a lower $EW_{\alpha} = 74^{+16}_{-14}$ Å when the $HST$ data is used to estimate the continuum. This is caused by a fainter $Y$ band flux compared to the best-fitted continuum model (§6.2). In princi-
HST Y using the UltraVISTA Rest-frame Ly$\alpha$ to the UV continuum of clump A, we measure EWs that denote a distinct line-profile, see the effect of a small correction for Ly$\alpha$’s UV continuum emission and the total Ly$\alpha$ (for the two methods). Additionally, we also show that the Ly$\alpha$ differences be explained? Using a 3D analysis, we find that the Ly$\alpha$ emission in bright merging systems at $z \approx 6.5$ tends to be offset from the main UV component, while Ly$\alpha$ typically is co-spatial for LAEs with a single UV component. Ouchi et al. (2013) report that the Ly$\alpha$ emission in Himiko, a similar triple UV component system as CR7 at $z = 6.59$, peaks close to ($\approx 1$ kpc), but not exactly on top of, the brightest UV continuum component. In Himiko, the peak of the Ly$\alpha$ emission is perfectly co-spatial with a [CII] emitting component (Carniani et al. 2018b), while for CR7 it is not.

The resolved line-profile fitting (§5.3) indicates that (away from other UV components and the additional Ly$\alpha$ component) the main Ly$\alpha$ component becomes slightly redder as a function of distance from the Ly$\alpha$ centre (by $\approx 30–40$ km s$^{-1}$ at a distance of $\approx 3.5$ kpc; left panel of Fig. 5). This resembles recent results at $z \approx 3 – 4$ (Claeyssens et al. 2019; Leclercq et al. 2020) who report somewhat redder Ly$\alpha$ lines at lower surface brightness compared to the Ly$\alpha$ line profile at peak surface brightness, and which they suggest to be indicative of resonant scattering and to support the idea that most of the Ly$\alpha$ emission originates from the UV peak.

8 ON THE PROFILE AND BRIGHTNESS OF THE LY$\alpha$ HALO

In this section we compare the brightness, scale length and the ellipticity of the extended Ly$\alpha$ halo in CR7 to those of other LAEs studied in the literature.

For a first comparison, in Fig. 8 we show the (redshift dimming-corrected) 1D Ly$\alpha$ surface brightness profile of CR7 (see Appendix B for details) and the profiles of the five UV brightest LAEs at $z = 4.5–6.0$ observed with MUSE by Leclercq et al. (2017). These five LAEs have a typical UV luminosity of $L_{\text{UV}} \approx 2 \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and Ly$\alpha$ luminosity $1.5 \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and are thus a factor $\approx 3$ fainter than CR7 while having similar Ly$\alpha$ EW. Besides this normalisation difference, the SB profile of CR7 appears quite similar to the SB of the comparison sample. This is illustrated in particular by the dashed red line in Fig. 8, which as an example shows that the SB profile of the MUSE LAE with ID 1185 appears extremely similar to CR7’s profile, once rescaled for the luminosity difference. Other LAEs in the comparison sample have more compact core-emission compared to CR7, but this may be plausibly explained by their difference in UV luminosity and the relation between the UV size and UV luminosity (e.g. Shibuya et al. 2015).

Focussing on the scale length, we find that the scale length of the exponential halo in CR7 is very similar to the typical scale length ($r_s = 3.8^{+3.3}_{-2.1}$ kpc, 68th percentiles) measured in individual Ly$\alpha$ halos of fainter galaxies at $z = 3 – 5$. 

### Table 5. Rest-frame Ly$\alpha$ EW of CR7 for different scenarios.

| Scenario                  | EW$_{0,Y}$ [Å] | EW$_{0,HST}$ [Å] |
|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Total cont. & Total Ly$\alpha$ | $107^{+32}_{-29}$ | $74^{+16}_{-14}$ |
| A cont., Core-like Ly$\alpha$ | $141^{+27}_{-23}$ | $68^{+13}_{-16}$ |
| A cont., Total Ly$\alpha$ | $200^{+42}_{-33}$ | $101^{+13}_{-14}$ |
| A cont., Total Ly$\alpha$ of main line | $197^{+40}_{-33}$ | $99^{+11}_{-13}$ |
| B cont., Ly$\alpha$ component 2 | $29^{+4}_{-5}$ | $14^{+5}_{-6}$ |
| C cont., limit             | $< 9$           | $< 6$             |

Finally, we measure the EW assuming that the ionising radiation associated to the UV clump A is responsible for the production of the majority of Ly$\alpha$ photons by using clump A’s UV continuum emission and the total Ly$\alpha$ luminosity. This results in an estimated EW=101$^{+11}_{-9}$ Å to EW= 200$^{+42}_{-33}$ Å (for the two methods). Additionally, we also show that the effect of a small correction for Ly$\alpha$ component 2 (with distinct line-profile, see §5.4), is only marginal ($\approx 2$ %; see Table 5). If we only associate ‘core-like’ Ly$\alpha$ emission (§5.1) to the UV continuum of clump A, we measure EWs that are a factor $\approx 1.5$ lower. We discuss these measured EWs in §9.1.

7 A SINGLE SOURCE ILLUMINATING A COMPLEX STRUCTURE

In this section we combine our results and compare these to other studies to argue that clump A is the single prevalent powering source for the Ly$\alpha$ emission in CR7 that is making an extended gas distribution visible.

As illustrated in Fig. 2, the Ly$\alpha$ emission in CR7 appears to be rather smooth, particularly in comparison to the clumpy UV continuum and the [CII] line emission at matched resolution (Matthee et al. 2017b). How can such differences be explained? Using a 3D analysis, we find that the Ly$\alpha$ emission can be spectrally decomposed in a largely dominant extended component and a faint redder component whose position is close to faint components identified in UV and [CII] (Fig. 7). The dominant part of the Ly$\alpha$ emission peaks close from the brightest UV component and this Ly$\alpha$ component extends in the direction of the other UV components. The additional, much fainter, Ly$\alpha$ component appears to originate from UV clump B and no distinct Ly$\alpha$ component is observed around clump C.
(Leclercq et al. 2017). Additionally, the fraction of the Lyα flux that originates from the halo component is similar to the typical halo fraction of 66 ± 20 % in LAEs at z = 3 − 5. The scale length also resembles that of the extended Lyα emission measured in another bright LAE at z = 6.5 (Matthee et al. 2020).

These relatively compact scale lengths (although still a factor ≈ 10 larger than the UV continuum) are in contrast to the stacking results from Momose et al. (2014), who measure a significantly larger scale length of r_s = 12.6 ± 3.1 kpc in a stack of fainter LAEs at z = 6.3. These results are illustrated by the blue shaded region in Fig. 8, which is renormalised to the SB of CR7 at 7.5 kpc as this is roughly the radius where the SB profile traces the halo component almost exclusively. We note that Momose et al. (2014) only fit the halo component at r > 11 kpc (2″), so we have extrapolated these results slightly. The difference between the SB profile of CR7 and that measured by Momose et al. (2014) indicates that fainter LAEs have halos with larger scale length (see also Santos et al. 2016). However, we note that the average halo scale length measured by the stacking analysis from Momose et al. (2014) at z = 3 is also significantly larger than the average scale length measured in individual halos with MUSE by Leclercq et al. (2017), even though these systems have similar luminosity, indicating that differences in the data and the methodology may dominate the discrepancy.

We conclude that the scale length of CR7’s Lyα halo is rather typical at z = 4.5 − 6.0, particularly for LAEs for which Lyα halos have been measured individually with similar methodology and instrumentation as our measurements. This indicates that the CGM around CR7 is comparable to post-reionisation galaxies. The discrepancy to the results on fainter LAEs at z = 6.6 by Momose et al. (2014) can be resolved when individual halos in fainter LAEs at z = 6.6 are measured with MUSE, although this will require a significant investment of observing time.

The extended Lyα emission around CR7 is clearly elongated, with an ellipticity of ≈ 0.5 meaning that the semi-major axis is roughly twice the semi-minor axis. This is in contrast to the low ellipticity of ≈ 0.15 measured in another UV luminous LAE at z = 6.5 (Matthee et al. 2020). Most earlier studies of extended Lyα emission use circular symmetry (e.g. Steidel et al. 2011; Momose et al. 2014; Leclercq et al. 2017) motivated by visual inspection. Wisotzki et al. (2016) also impose circular symmetry, but confirm that their results are not influenced significantly by this assumption. Wisotzki et al. (2016) mention that roughly 75 % of their objects have axis ratios higher than 0.5 (ellipticity < 0.5) and displacements < 0.2″. This indicates that the elongated shape of the Lyα halo of CR7 is not very uncommon.

One possible explanation for the elongated shape of CR7’s Lyα halo is that CR7 is a multiple component galaxy, possibly due to a merger event or coeval clumps of star formation. This is unlike the LAEs in the sample from Wisotzki et al. (2016) that appear as single component systems. As Fig. 2 shows, the Lyα emission from CR7 is preferentially extended in the direction of fainter UV clumps, particularly the faintest UV components (clumps A-2 and B). As shown in §5.4, ≈ 98 % of the Lyα emission is observed with a line-profile that is similar in shape to the line profile at the peak position of the Lyα emission close to the brightest UV peak. This indicates that no significant amounts of Lyα photons originate from these fainter UV components. Therefore, it is more likely that the elongated shape of the Lyα halo is caused by the distribution of hydrogen gas that extends in the direction of the other UV clumps rather than being caused by multiple production sites of Lyα photons.

We note that the extended Lyα emission around Himiko also appears to be elongated along the direction where multiple UV components are seen (Ouchi et al. 2013), suggesting this could be a common scenario among bright LAEs that consist of multiple components.

9 WHAT IS THE POWERING SOURCE OF THE Lyα EMISSION?

In this section we combine the various measurements presented previously with earlier observations of CR7 (in particular ALMA observations and rest-frame UV spectroscopy; Matthee et al. 2017b; Sobral et al. 2019) to discuss what is the powering source of the high Lyα luminosity. In particular, we focus our discussion on distinguishing between Lyα emission that originates as recombination radiation powered by either young stars or an AGN.

9.1 On the high EW for CR7’s Lyα line profile

Here we estimate the intrinsic Lyα EW of CR7 in order to address whether star formation from clump A alone can
power the Lyα emission. The observed Lyα EW is related to the amount of Lyα photons that are produced and that are not destroyed by dust and furthermore not scattered by IGM gas intervening along our line of sight, relative to the UV continuum (e.g. Sobral & Matthee 2019). As such, the Lyα EW increases with increasing ionising photon production efficiency at fixed escape fraction (Maseda et al. 2020); related predominantly to age of the stellar populations, but also to metallicity, binary fraction and the shape of the initial mass function; IMF). Lyα EW decreases with increasing dust attenuation (Matthee et al. 2016), particularly if a high column density of neutral hydrogen leads to higher travelled path lengths of Lyα photons compared to UV continuum photons due to resonant scattering (e.g. Scarlata et al. 2009; Henry et al. 2015).

While it is challenging to directly interpret observed Lyα EWs without further information such as the Hα luminosity or the dust attenuation, it is possible to observe the EW to broadly address the nature of the ionising source. In particular, as discussed in e.g. Charlot & Fall (1993); Raiter et al. (2010), ‘normal’ star formation (i.e. Population II stars with a standard IMF) is expected to produce a maximum Lyα EW of ≈ 240 Å. A higher Lyα EW likely requires additional or more extreme sources of ionising photons such as a nearby AGN (e.g. Marino et al. 2018), very young starbursts (Masada et al. 2020) or exotic ionising photons such as a nearby AGN (e.g. Marino et al. 2018), very young starbursts (Masada et al. 2020) or exotic stellar populations (Raiter et al. 2010).

Sobral et al. (2015) measured a total Lyα EW$_{0}$ = 211 ± 20 Å for CR7 based on a combination of narrow-band imaging and spectroscopy. This would place CR7 in the regime of extreme stellar populations and/or an AGN contribution, particularly as it is likely that we are not observing the total intrinsic EW due to dust absorption in the ISM/CGM and scattering in the IGM. The estimate by Sobral et al. (2015) is based on shallower Y band photometry and a Lyα flux that is a factor ≈ 1.5 higher compared to the MUSE measurement. This can be attributed to an over-correction for the filter transmission at the wavelength at which CR7’s Lyα line is detected in the NB921 filter in Sobral et al. (2015). Note that despite our new and lower MUSE-based Lyα flux, we can still recover an EW as high as that reported in Sobral et al. (2015), but only if adopting the Y band photometry (which is only marginally consistent with the other broad-band photometry; Table 5). The Lyα EW that is estimated with a combination of the MUSE data and the full multi-band HST photometry indicates a lower observed EW$_{0}$ of 99±11 Å assuming that the vast majority of Lyα flux originates from clump A (see §6.3), which we consider in our discussion below.

It is likely that a low IGM transmission impacts the observed Lyα EW, particularly at z > 6. The simulation by Laursen et al. (2011) suggests that on average sight-lines at z = 6.6 the IGM transmission jumps from ≈ 0% at v < +100 km s$^{-1}$ (where v is the velocity with respect to the systemic), to ≈ 100% at velocities higher than 100 km s$^{-1}$. It is therefore likely that we are missing the entire blue part of the line, implying that the observed EW is lower than the intrinsic one (but see Matthee et al. 2018 for a rare counter example at z = 6.59). On the other hand, as almost all of CR7’s Lyα photons are observed at v > +200 km s$^{-1}$ with respect to the systemic (Fig. 9)$^4$, it is likely that the Lyα escape fraction of the Lyα photons that emerge on the red side of the systemic is mostly set by the ISM conditions in CR7.

To obtain an estimate of the intrinsic Lyα EW$_{\text{red}}$ (as opposed to the observed, rest-frame EW that we denote with EW$_{0}$), we compare the shape of CR7’s Lyα line to the Lyα properties of green pea galaxies (GPs), which are often considered analogues of high-redshift galaxies, with high quality rest-frame UV and optical spectroscopy (e.g. Amorín et al. 2010; Henry et al. 2015). As these galaxies are typically at z ≈ 0.2 – 0.3, it is reasonable to assume the IGM transmission of Lyα photons is 100%, even on the blue side of the systemic redshift. In particular, we select the four GPs from the sample by Yang et al. (2017) that are closest to CR7 in terms of ∆v$_{\text{red}}$, the peak velocity of the red part of the Lyα line. We do not impose additional criteria. We note that the UV and Lyα luminosities of these galaxies are roughly one order of magnitude lower than those of CR7 (M_{1500} = −19.4 to −21.2, L$_{\text{Lyα}}$ = 1 – 3 × 10^42 erg s$^{-1}$). These galaxies have gas-phase metallicities 12+log(O/H)≈ 8.0 and specific SFRTs ≈ 5 Gyr$^{-1}$, which is typical for galaxies at z ∼ 7 (Stark et al. 2013). Visually these galaxies appear to be dominated by a single bright clump in the rest-frame UV, but several show a secondary fainter component on ∼ 1 kpc distance (Yang et al. 2017).

$^4$Note that the spectral resolution of FWHM=70 km s$^{-1}$ smears a fraction of photons to the blue in intrinsically asymmetric profiles making them artificially appear at v < 200 km s$^{-1}$.

Figure 9. Observed Lyα profiles of CR7 (thick black line, from MUSE data) and four green pea galaxies at z ≈ 0.2 that are selected to be matched in ∆v$_{\text{red}}$ (coloured lines). Lyα profiles are normalised to the peak flux in the red part of the line. The grey shaded area shows the 1σ noise level in the MUSE data. The S/N and resolution of the MUSE data of CR7 at z = 6.6 are virtually indistinguishable to the observations of the green pea galaxies in the red part of the spectrum. This may be expected if they are intrinsically similar systems attenuated by (redshift dependent) IGM absorption in the blue. The Lyα profiles from the green pea galaxies are from HST/COS observations of J1137+3524, J1054+5238, J1018+4106 and J0822+2241. These profiles are adapted from Yang et al. (2017).
The Lyα profiles of these GPs and the Lyα line from CR7 (integrated over the total system\textsuperscript{5} are shown in Fig. 9. It is remarkable that, in addition to the velocity offset, the width and the shape of the red line are also well matched.\textsuperscript{6} This is likely a consequence of a correlation between the Lyα line width and the velocity shift that is well understood in resonant scattering models with simple geometries (e.g. Neufeld 1991; Verhamme et al. 2018). This result implies that the effective HI column densities (i.e. the sightline-averaged column density through which the observed Lyα photons scattered) in the ISM of CR7 is similar to that in the GPs with similar velocity shifts. It also supports the use of GPs as analogues of high-redshift galaxies.

A clear difference between CR7 and the GPs is that all low-redshift Lyα profiles show emission on the blue side of the systemic that contains between 16-33 % of the total Lyα flux (25 \% on average), while this is not seen in CR7. This is likely due to the impact of the IGM at \( z = 6.6 \), as discussed above, and implies that the red part of the Lyα line is not significantly affected by the IGM. Correcting for the average fraction of missing blue Lyα photons would result in a Lyα EW\( _{0} \approx 130 \) Å for CR7. In the GPs, the separations of the two Lyα peaks range from 250 to 520 km s\(^{-1}\) and, on average, suggest an escape fraction of ionising photons of \( \approx 2 \% \) (Verhamme et al. 2015; Izotov et al. 2018).

The most important difference between CR7 and the GPs is that the Lyα EWs of the GPs are significantly smaller (EW\( _{0,\text{red}} = 25\pm5 \) Å; here we only consider the flux in the red part of the line for a fair comparison). Jaskot et al. (2019) also report similarly low EW\( _{0} \) measurements in their sample of GPs with \( \Delta v_{\text{red}} \approx 200 \) km s\(^{-1}\). The EW difference could either be explained by a lower relative dust attenuation of Lyα photons compared to the UV continuum (i.e. a higher ratio of Lyα escape fraction to UV escape fraction) and/or a higher intrinsic Lyα EW in CR7 compared to the GPs.

We estimate the intrinsic Lyα EW\( _{\text{int, tot}} \) (including blue photons) of the GPs as follows:

\[
EW_{\text{int, tot}} = EW_{0,\text{red}} \times \frac{f_{\text{esc, continuum}}}{f_{\text{esc, Lyα, red}}},
\]

where \( f_{\text{esc, Lyα, red}} \) the Lyα escape fraction on the red side of the systemic, accounts for the attenuated Lyα photons and \( f_{\text{esc, continuum}} \) accounts for attenuation in the UV continuum level due to dust. Assuming a Calzetti et al. (2000) dust attenuation curve, this attenuation is proportional to \( f_{\text{esc, continuum}} = 10^{-0.4 \kappa (B-V)} \) where \( \kappa = 12 \) at the Lyα wavelength and where \( E(B-V) \) is estimated from the Balmer decrement (see Yang et al. 2017). The measured \( E(B-V) \) range from 0.04 to 0.20 and \( f_{\text{esc, Lyα}} \) ranges from 4–15 \%.\textsuperscript{7} As a result, we estimate a mean intrinsic EW\( _{\text{int, tot}} \) of 135 Å for the GPs (ranging from 75 to 200 Å), typically a factor \( \approx 4 \) higher than EW\( _{0} \). We find that the estimated intrinsic Lyα EW correlates well with the He EW, following \( EW_{\text{int, tot, Lyα}} \approx 1/4 \) EW\( _{0,\text{Ha}} \).

Now, we assume that the difference between the intrinsic and observed EW is similar in CR7, motivated by the fact that the (red parts) of the Lyα profiles of CR7 and the GPs are matched and therefore that the path length of Lyα photons relative to UV photons may be similar, which at fixed dust content, would imply similar relative attenuation and EW correction (e.g. Scarlata et al. 2009). This assumption would imply that CR7 has an intrinsic Lyα EW\( _{\text{int}} \approx 500 \) Å and He EW\( _{0} \approx 2000 \) Å. Such high intrinsic EW could be powered by a relatively young and low metallicity (\( \lesssim 3 \times 10^{7} \) yr, \( Z \lesssim 0.004 \)) starburst (Maseda et al. 2020). At \( z = 4 \to 5 \), such high He EWs are only observed in very faint LAEs with \( M_{1500} \approx -18 \) (Lam et al. 2019). Alternatively, the EW could also be elevated in CR7 compared to the GPs if there is less dust attenuation, which affects Lyα more than the continuum. Future observations of the He EW and dust attenuation through the Balmer decrement could fully distinguish between these scenarios.

9.2 Comparison to LABs and hidden AGN

Besides the flux, the morphology of the Lyα emission could also provide insights into the powering source of the Lyα emission. As discussed in §5.2, it appears that the Lyα emission does not peak exactly on the position of the brightest UV component, but slightly towards the north-west. This somewhat resembles well-known Lyα blobs (LABs) at \( z \approx 2-3 \) (e.g. Steidel et al. 2000; Nilsson et al. 2006), where the peak of Lyα typically does not coincide with a bright Lyman-break galaxy. CR7 has a similar Lyα luminosity as LABs and is only slightly less extended (30 kpc, versus the typical 50 kpc) even though surface brightness dimming at \( z = 6.6 \) is significant compared to \( z = 3 \). The separation between the brightest UV component and the Lyα peak in CR7 is however significantly smaller than those reported in typical LABs.

Based on a multi-wavelength study, Overzier et al. (2013) argue that it is likely that the majority of LABs at \( z \approx 2-3 \) are powered by an AGN. Such AGN can be heavily obscured by dust and therefore not seen in the rest-frame UV. This is for example seen in LAB1, where there is a bright (1 mJy) sub-mm source at the position of the Lyα peak that is not seen in the B-band with limiting \( R > 25.7 \) (Steidel et al. 2000; Geach et al. 2016). Alternatively, several luminous hot dust-obscured galaxies that are powered by AGN are observed to emit excess blue light, mimicking the colours of a typical Lyman-break galaxy (e.g. Eisenhardt et al. 2012; Assef et al. 2016).

How do such systems compare to CR7? Dust continuum emission is not detected in CR7 at \( \lambda = 160 \mu \text{m} \) (Matthee et al. 2017b) with a limiting flux density \( \lesssim 7 \mu \text{Jy} \), which results in an observed IR to UV flux density ratio \( \nu f_{\nu, 160 \mu \text{m}} / \nu f_{\nu, 1500} \lesssim 0.02 \). This limit is significantly lower than the central obscured source in LAB1, for which we estimate a limiting ratio \( > 4 \). The typical observed IR to UV flux density ratio in blue-excess hot dust obscured galaxies is \( \approx 4 \), similar to the limit for LAB1. If we would only take the faint UV flux of component A-2 as an extreme scenario, the limiting flux density ratio is still \( \lesssim 0.2 \).
indicates that the IR flux density in CR7 is at least a factor 10-20 lower than expected in case the Lyα emission is powered by an obscured AGN, implying this scenario is unlikely.

Besides the faintness in the IR, we also note that the Lyα line in CR7 is significantly narrower than the Lyα lines typically seen in LABs (e.g. Overzier et al. 2013; Sobral et al. 2018), which can have FWHM ≈ 1000 km s⁻¹ at peak surface brightness (e.g. Herenz et al. 2020). Finally, we note that while CR7’s Lyα SB (corrected for redshift dimming) at a radius of 10 kpc is comparable to the Lyα SB around bright quasars at z ≈ 3 (Borissova et al. 2016), the profile of the extended emission around CR7 is steeper by a factor ≈ 10, indicating differences either in the powering mechanism or in the gas distribution.

Combining the results from the Lyα SB profile, the Lyα line-profile and the UV to IR flux density ratios with earlier results from resolved rest-frame UV spectroscopy (see §2), we conclude that all observational data points towards a scenario where the main powering mechanism in CR7 is a young, metal-poor starburst.

10 SUMMARY
In this paper we presented sensitive spatially resolved spectroscopy of the Lyα emission surrounding the bright galaxy CR7 at z = 6.6 with VLT/MUSE, combined with the most recent near-infrared imaging data from HST/WFC3 and UltraVISTA. These data allow us to measure the Lyα and rest-frame UV continuum morphologies, to identify additional Lyα emitting components with a distinct line profile and to accurately measure the total Lyα flux and equivalent width. We use these measurements (combined with archival sub-mm data from ALMA) to investigate what is the most likely powering source of the Lyα emission by comparing to various other classes of objects such as low-redshift analogues of high-redshift galaxies and obscured AGN in Lyα blobs.

Our main results are the following:

- The MUSE data confirm the bright Lyα emission line in CR7 with S/N > 50 in 4 hours of integration time. The Lyα emission is well-resolved and extends over the three main UV emitting components of the galaxy. The total Lyα luminosity of (5.3 ± 0.1) x 10^{41} erg s⁻¹ is a factor 1.5 fainter than reported earlier, possibly due to uncertainties in previous near-infrared based flux measurements. Our rest-frame UV photometry based on new and deeper data is consistent with earlier measurements within the 14-86 % percentiles and shows a blue main bright component A with M_{1500} = -21.92^{+0.92}_{-0.93} and β = -2.35^{+0.10}_{-0.20} surrounded by two fainter components (B and C) with M_{1500} = -19.8, -20.6, respectively and poorly constrained UV slopes β ≈ ±2.0 ± 0.5. Our new analysis of HST data indicates a faint previously unseen component (‘A-2’) close to the geometric centre of the system and only ≈ 1 kpc away from clump A.

- While CR7 is very clumpy in the rest-frame UV, the extended Lyα emission appears rather smooth. We show that the Lyα morphology can be well described by a two-component UV core + extended halo profile with a halo scale length r_h = 3.0 ± 0.3 kpc and is mostly associated to the main UV component A. The peak of the extended Lyα emission is somewhat offset (≈ 1 kpc) from the peak of the UV emission in the direction of the fainter UV components A-2 and B.

- Using a spatially resolved line-fitting analysis, we show that the majority (≈ 98 %) of the Lyα light is well described by a single strongly skewed gaussian that appears to be slightly broader and more redshifted at the edges of the halo compared to the position of peak emission. We also identify additional faint Lyα emission that spatially coincides with UV clump B and that is redshifted by about 200 km s⁻¹ with respect to the main emission. The contribution of this component to the total Lyα flux is almost negligible and it does not impact the overall morphology.

- The extended Lyα emission is strongly elongated with a major axis that is twice the minor axis. The major axis is aligned with the axis along which the multiple UV components are found. We argue that this likely traces the underlying gas distribution and is not caused by multiple separated sources of Lyα emission.

- The surface brightness profile of CR7’s Lyα emission is similar to that observed in relatively bright LAEs at z = 4–5. The scale length of the Lyα halo is significantly smaller than scale lengths measured at z = 6.6 from stacking of fainter LAEs. This indicates that the CGM around CR7 is comparable to post-reionisation LAEs.

- We combine the rest-frame UV photometry with the Lyα luminosity to measure the EW of CR7. We note that the EW is strongly sensitive to choices in which way the continuum luminosity is estimated (due to possible systematic differences between data-sets). As the dominant part of the Lyα emission most likely originates from clump A, we use its HST photometry combined with the MUSE data as our best measurement and find an EW_0 = 101^{+11}_{-9} Å for clump A (not corrected for IGM absorption). The EW decreases to 74 Å if other continuum components are included.

- The detailed shape of the Lyα line is compared to the Lyα lines in four low-redshift analogues of high-redshift galaxies. These are selected to have a matched peak velocity of the red part of the Lyα line. As a result, the full profiles of the red Lyα lines of these analogues resemble CR7’s Lyα line remarkably well, indicating similar radiative transfer effects in the ISM in systems at widely different redshift, luminosity and possibly different stellar populations. On the contrary, the blue part of the Lyα lines that is seen in the analogues is not seen in CR7, indicating significant IGM attenuation. A significant difference is that the Lyα EW in the analogues are on average a factor 4 lower than the Lyα EW in CR7. This indicates either a younger and/or more metal poor starburst in CR7 compared to the analogues, or a smaller enhancement of Lyα dust attenuation compared to the UV continuum, or both. Regardless, in all cases CR7’s Lyα EW can be explained by a young metal-poor starburst and does not require additional ionising sources.

- Further indications against the need for a contribution from an AGN are seen when comparing CR7 to Lyα blubs and other Lyα emitting dust-obscured AGN at z ≈ 2 – 3. While these systems have similar Lyα luminosities and also show extended Lyα emission, they have much broader Lyα lines and typically host a dust-obscured object with an IR to UV flux ratio that is at least a factor 10 higher than the upper limit in CR7.

Thus, we conclude that while the Lyα emission of CR7 is
extremely luminous, its detailed properties such as the scale length of the Lyman-alpha halo, the spectral line-profile and the EW resemble more common LAEs at lower redshifts very well. The vast majority of Lyman-alpha emission appears to be powered by the brightest UV component. The relation between the elongation of the Lyman-alpha halo and the presence of other UV components suggests that we are seeing an extended gaseous environment nurturing several star forming regions. Significant AGN contribution or extreme stellar populations are not required to power the Lyman-alpha emission. CR7 is likely powered by a young metal poor starburst with properties typical of much fainter galaxies. As such, it achieves a high Lyman-alpha luminosity and EW before the onset of significant dust attenuation as opposed to what is seen in typical star-bursting sub-mm galaxies at high-redshift. In the future, deep spatially resolved rest-frame UV and optical spectroscopy with the James Webb Space Telescope and the Extremely Large Telescopes will be able to reveal the properties of the gas and stars in this system in exquisite detail.
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APPENDIX A: PSEUDO-2D SLIT SPECTRA

In Fig. A1 we show several pseudo-2D slit spectra extracted from the MUSE IFU data. The benefit of these 2D spectra (that are sometimes called position-velocity diagrams) is that they visualise the spatial variations in the Lyα profile in a model-independent way (c.f. §5.3 and §5.4) and without being limited to extractions at specific positions (e.g. Fig. 2).

The 2D spectra are extracted by averaging over one spatial direction within a rectangular slit with a width 0.6′′. The 2D spectra are convolved with a running median filter of 2x2 pixels which corresponds to a 0.4 arcsec kernel. We use this kernel to increase the S/N while simultaneously not smoothing out the detailed structures in the line-profile. The centres and position angles of the slit extractions are chosen to visualise the additional Lyα emitting component identified in §5.4.

In the top two rows in Fig. A1 we show the extractions along and orthogonal to the A-B axis (see Fig. 1). The slit is centred on component A and has a position angle of 133° along and orthogonal to the A-B axis. The centre of the slit is located between the two components and the position angle of the Lyα emission is more extended along the A-B axis than it is orthogonal to this axis, which visualises the elongation of the Lyα halo discussed in the main text. In general, the Lyα profile seems characterised by a single skewed gaussian profile. In the top row, it can also be seen that there are hints of additional Lyα emission that peaks around the spatial position of component B and around +400 km s⁻¹. This is not seen in the slit on the second row of Fig. A1, consistent with the analysis in §5.4 that suggests the additional component is located around clump B.

In the bottom two rows in Fig. A1 we show extractions along and orthogonal to the B-C axis. The centre of the slit is located between the two components and the position angle is 250 degrees along the A-B axis. It can clearly be seen that Lyα emission is more extended along the A-B axis than it is orthogonal to this axis, which visualises the elongation of the Lyα halo discussed in the main text. In general, the Lyα profile seems characterised by a single skewed gaussian profile. In the top row, it can also be seen that there are hints of additional Lyα emission that peaks around the spatial position of component B and around +400 km s⁻¹. This is not seen in the slit on the second row of Fig. A1, consistent with the analysis in §5.4 that suggests the additional component is located around clump B.
component B, but slightly more towards the peak of the Lyα emission, which is consistent with the results from §5.4.

APPENDIX B: 1D SURFACE BRIGHTNESS PROFILE

Besides modelling the Lyα emission in 2D, we also measure the one-dimensional surface brightness profile and fit this profile with a core and a halo component. This measurement acts particularly as a consistency check, but is also more comparable to several literature studies of extended Lyα emission (e.g. Steidel et al. 2011; Momose et al. 2014; Wisotzki et al. 2016).

As motivated by the Lyα morphology and the 2D results, we extract the one-dimensional surface brightness profile using ellipsoidal annuli. The annuli are centred on the central Lyα position identified in a single exponential component fit to the Lyα morphology (§5.1; i.e. the red point in Fig. 4), have an ellipticity 0.46 and position angle 127 degrees. We estimate the noise in each annulus from the standard deviation of the surface brightness measured in similar annuli placed in the empty sky positions identified in §3. Simultaneously, these annuli are used to check that the background is subtracted properly. By estimating the errors this way we empirically account for correlated noise and do not rely on the noise image as propagated by the data reduction pipeline (which was used in the 2D modelling). We note that the number of empty apertures is limited by the difficulty in obtaining totally empty sky regions in increasingly large radii.

In Fig. B1 we show the average surface brightness of CR7’s Lyα emission as a function of circularised radius. For comparison, we also show the best-fit core and halo models. We then also fit the observed 1D profile as a combination of the core component (an exponential component with effective radius $0.30$ kpc convolved with the Moffat profile of the MUSE PSF; §3) and an exponential halo. As listed in Table 1 and shown in Fig. B1, the best-fit halo scale radius ($r_{s,halo} = 2.9 \pm 0.2$ kpc) agrees very well with the fitted value using the 2D model.

APPENDIX C: NO NEIGHBOURING LAES IN THE MUSE DATA

We do not find any neighbouring LAEs with a luminosity $\gtrsim 3 \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$ within the FoV of the MUSE data (1 arcmin$^2$; $\approx 320$ pkpc$^2$ at $z = 6.6$) and between $\lambda_{obs} = 910 - 930$ nm ($z \approx 6.49 - 6.65$; $\Delta v \approx -4800$ to $+2000$ km s$^{-1}$ with respect to CR7). We estimate the completeness of our line-search using CubEx following the methodology detailed in Matthee et al. (2020). In short, we simulate fake LAEs with a truncated-gaussian line profile and a surface brightness profile following the mean profile of LAEs at $z \approx 5$ in Wisotzki et al. (2018). These simulated LAEs are convolved with the LSF and PSF of the data and injected in random positions at $z = 6.49 - 6.65$ in a continuum-subtracted data cube. We then perform recovery experiments with increasing Lyα luminosity and find a 50 % completeness for a Lyα luminosity of $4(2.5) \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$ for an assumed FWHM of 200 (100) km s$^{-1}$.
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Figure A1. Pseudo-2D slit spectra of CR7’s Lyα line extracted from the IFU data. The slit widths are 0.6″. The 2D spectra are convolved with a running median filter of 2×2 pixels (corresponding to 0.4″ by 80 km s$^{-1}$) to increase the S/N. The colour coding follows an asinh scale to highlight emission at lower surface brightness. The contours are drawn at linearly increasing values, with the outer contour corresponding to the 3σ level. The contours and colour coding are at the same scale in all rows. We highlight the spatial positions of components A, B and C with horizontal dashed lines. In the first two rows we show the 2D spectra along and orthogonal to the A-B axis. This pseudo-slit is centred on UV component A and has a position angle of 133 degrees. The last two rows show the 2D spectra extracted along and orthogonal to the axis of components B and C, with a position angle of 250 degrees and centred in between the clumps.
Figure B1. Surface brightness profile of CR7’s continuum-subtracted Ly$\alpha$ emission (black diamonds) extracted in elliptical annuli with ellipticity 0.46. The circularised radius is defined as $r_{\text{circularised}} = \sqrt{ab}$, where $a$ and $b$ are the semi-major and semi-minor axis, respectively. The blue shaded region shows the best-fitted core+halo model and the 68% confidence interval. For comparison, we also show the surface brightness profiles extracted on the best-fit core and exponential halo components from the two-component modelling in 2D (green and purple lines, respectively). We note that the PSF is similar to the profile of the core-component which is unresolved in the MUSE data. The 1σ noise level is shown in grey.