Mycotoxin contamination in feeds and feed materials in China in year 2020
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A survey of mycotoxin contamination in feed commodities in China was performed and the regional differences of mycotoxin contamination in new season corn was assessed during January 2020–November 2020 in this research. 1,610 samples were analyzed for the major mycotoxins, namely aflatoxins, zearalenone (ZEN), trichothecenes type B, fumonisins (FUM), fusariotoxin T-2 (T-2) and ochratoxin A (OTA) using methods of liquid chromatography—tandem mass spectrometry and enzyme linked immunosorbent assay. Generally, aflatoxins occurred in 16% of all samples, and ZEN, trichothecenes type B and FUM were more prevalent with positive rates of 47, 72, and 63%, respectively. T2 and OTA were rarely detected. In new season corn, samples were also seriously contaminated with ZEN, trichothecenes type B, and FUM at positive rates of 47, 76, and 79%, respectively, and their averages of positives were 112, 735, and 3,811 µg/kg, respectively. The patterns of mycotoxin occurrence showed distinct regional trends in new season corn samples. Samples from Shandong province were highly contaminated with FUM, while special attention should be paid to aflatoxins in Anhui and Jiangsu provinces of East China. The contents of trichothecenes type B and ZEN from northern to southern provinces showed downward trends. In new season corn, co-occurrence of mycotoxins was widespread, and combinations of ZEN, trichothecenes type B, and FUM were frequently observed in this study. Trichothecenes type B and ZEN concentrations showed a positive correlation coefficient of 0.294, suggesting that toxicological interactions of these toxins deserve attention.
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Introduction

Mycotoxins are toxic fungal secondary metabolites and can be frequently found in the livestock industry as contaminants in feeds and feed raw materials. The most commonly discovered mycotoxins are aflatoxins (e.g., aflatoxin B1, AFB1), fumonisins (FUM), zearalenone (ZEN), trichothecenes (type B, e.g., deoxynivalenol, DON; type A, e.g., T-2 toxin, T-2), and ochratoxin A (OTA). When the concentrations of mycotoxins in feeds exceed a certain level, a variety of adverse effects can be caused on animals' health,
causing immunotoxicity and impairing the reproductive function in farm animals (1). In addition, exposure of tissues, such as the kidneys, liver, and intestines, to mycotoxins can exert histopathological changes that can interfere with animal growth and survival (2).

There are multiple factors that can affect the contamination of mycotoxins on feeds and raw materials. Different plant species possess different levels of susceptibility to fungal infestation, and environmental conditions, such as temperature and precipitation, can also determine the infestation of mycotoxin-producing fungi and the accumulation of mycotoxins (3).

Crop plants can be infested with multiple strains of fungi, and most fungi strains can produce multiple mycotoxins. Therefore, co-contamination of crop plants with multiple mycotoxins is frequently detected (4), and the multiple mycotoxins usually cause synergistic effects to cause more serious toxic effect on animals’ immunity and health (5).

Numerous countries have established maximum levels or guidance values to regulate the mycotoxins contamination in food and feeds. China, as one of the countries with serious mycotoxin problems, has formulated a series of standards on mycotoxins of AFB1, ZEN, DON, FUMs, OTA and T-2 in feeds, for further risk management of mycotoxins. Currently, to support the availability of mycotoxin risk data, annual mycotoxin surveys have been conducted in China to monitor the occurrence of regular mycotoxins in feeds and feed raw materials. Studies on these data have been published previously (6–8). In this study, we analyzed the contamination of aflatoxins, ZEN, trichothecenes type B, T-2, FUM and OTA toxins in 1,610 samples collected in 2020. We compared the pattern of mycotoxin occurrence of different regions in new season corn and analyzed the variation of mycotoxin concentrations in different kinds of feeds and raw materials in China. The aim of this annual survey was to provide the basic information to the mycotoxin contamination of feeds and raw materials in China.

Materials and methods

Samples and mycotoxin standards

A total of 1,610 samples of feeds and raw materials were collected from January 2020 to November 2020. The dataset comprised 505 samples of finished feed (124 in swine feed, 270 in poultry feed, 85 in TMR, and 26 in supplementary concentrates), 883 samples of feed raw materials (665 in corn, 72 in wheat, 49 in bran, 63 in soybean meal, 9 in rice bran meal, and 25 in peanut meal), 45 samples of corn by-product (15 in dried distillers’ grains and soluble fraction (DDGS), 27 in corn gluten meal and 3 in sprayed corn husk), and 177 samples of grasses (105 in silage, 30 in oat grass, 39 in alfalfa and 3 in soybean hull). The new season corn dataset comprised 214 samples collected from 9 provinces of Heilongjiang, Jilin, Liaoning, Hebei, Shandong, Henan, Gansu, Jiangsu and Anhui provinces in China. Complete notes with details surrounding the circumstances of the samples, including temperature, moisture and water content were submitted with the samples. One kg original samples were collected and kept at 4°C before transported to the analytical Romer Labs in Wuxi, China (8). Sampling, milling and homogenization of a 100 g representative sub-sample were performed as described by Kovalsky et al. (9).

Mycotoxin analysis

For 903 samples with relatively complex matrices, such as DDGS, finished feed, silage and TMR, a multi-mycotoxin analysis method of liquid chromatography—tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) was performed for the analysis of mycotoxin occurrence. Through this method, a total of 18 mycotoxins, including 4 kinds of aflatoxins (AFB1, AFB2, AFG1, AFG2), ZEN, 5 kinds of trichothecenes type B (DON, 3-Acetyl-Deoxyxivalenol, 15-Acetyl-Deoxyxivalenol, Nivalenol, Fusarenon X), 4 kinds of trichothecenes type A (T-2, HT-2 toxin, Diacetoxyscirpenol, Neosolaniol), 3 kinds of fumonisins (FB1, FB2, FB3) and OTA were detected simultaneously (9). Procedures of analysis and quality control were carried out as described previously (6). Limits of detection (LODs) of this method for each mycotoxin were listed as follows: 0.5 µg/kg for AFB1, 0.5 µg/kg for AFB2, 0.5 µg/kg for AFG1, 0.5 µg/kg for AFG2, 10 µg/kg for ZEN, 10 µg/kg for DON, 10 µg/kg for FB1, 10 µg/kg for FB2, 10 µg/kg for FB3, 0.5 µg/kg for OTA and 10 µg/kg for T-2 toxin, respectively.

For the remaining 707 samples of feed raw materials with relatively simple matrices, such as corn, wheat and bran, samples were detected using the method of enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Procedures of sample preparation and analyses were performed with commercially available test kits (AgraQuant® Assay, Romer Labs Diagnostic GmbH, Austria) according to their operating instructions. LODs were 2 µg/kg for AFB1, 25 µg/kg for ZEN, 250 µg/kg for DON, 250 µg/kg for FUM, 10 µg/kg for T-2, and 2 µg/kg for OTA, respectively.

For all analyzed samples, the threshold of mycotoxin concentration was defined as: >1 µg/kg for the sum of AFB1, AFB2, AFG1 and AFG2, >32 µg/kg for ZEN; >50 µg/kg for trichothecenes type B, >100 µg/kg for FUM, >30 µg/kg for T-2 toxin, and >2 µg/kg for OTA. Correlations between mycotoxin contaminations were analyzed with the ggpairs in the ggally package (10) using R software, version 3.3.0 (11). Results below the LODs were treated as zero values in the correlation analysis.
TABLE 1 Mycotoxin contamination in general and in new season corn in China in year 2020.

| Items                        | Aflatoxins | Zearalenone (ZEN) | Trichothecenes Type B | Fumonisins (FUM) | Ochratoxin A (OTA) | T-2 Toxin |
|-----------------------------|------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| **In general**              |            |                   |                      |                  |                    |           |
| Sample number               | 1,610      | 1,610             | 1,610                | 1,610            | 973                | 1,563     |
| Positive rate               | 16%        | 47%               | 72%                  | 63%              | 5%                 | 1%        |
| Average of positives (μg/kg)| 34         | 206               | 651                  | 1,564            | 7                  | 59        |
| Maximum (μg/kg)             | 482        | 11,245            | 10,426               | 30,872           | 30                 | 111       |
| Source of maximum           | Corn       | Corn gluten meal  | Silage               | Corn gluten meal | Corn gluten meal   | Corn      |
| **In new season corn**      |            |                   |                      |                  |                    |           |
| Sample number               | 214        | 214               | 214                  | 214              | 17                 | 187       |
| Positive rate               | 23%        | 47%               | 76%                  | 79%              | 0%                 | 2%        |
| Average of positives (μg/kg)| 52         | 112               | 735                  | 3,811            | 0                  | 77        |
| Maximum (μg/kg)             | 482        | 1,572             | 4,670                | 23,480           | 0                  | 111       |
| Source of maximum           | Jiangsu and Anhui | Hebei          | Heilongjiang         | Henan            | –                  | Jiangsu and Anhui |

Results

Mycotoxin occurrence in general and in new season corn

In this study, a total of 1,610 samples of feeds and raw materials, including 214 samples of new season corn, were collected throughout China in year 2020. The numbers of mycotoxin tested samples, the respective positive rates, the levels of average positives and maximum with regard to different types of mycotoxins were summarized in Table 1. The maximum level of aflatoxins detected was 482 μg/kg in a corn sample, and the maximum ZEN level was 11,245 μg/kg and detected in a corn gluten meal sample. Trichothecenes type B (72%) and FUM (63%) were highly prevalent among the 6 mycotoxins and the most serious contamination were found in a silage sample and a corn gluten meal sample with the concentrations of 10,426 and 30,872 μg/kg, respectively. T-2 and OTA, on the other hand, were rarely found in 5 and 1% of the samples, respectively. The highest T-2 toxin concentration was sourced in a corn sample with 111 μg/kg, and the highest OTA concentration was detected with concentration of 30 μg/kg in a corn gluten meal sample. The levels of average positives for aflatoxins, ZEN, trichothecenes type B, FUM, OTA and T-2 were 34, 206, 651, 1,570, 7, and 59 μg/kg, respectively.

In new season corn, the Fusarium mycotoxins ZEN, trichothecenes type B, and FUM were most prevalent and were detected in 47, 76, and 78% of samples, with highest concentrations of 1,572, 4,670, and 23,480 μg/kg, sourced in Hebei, Heilongjiang, and Henan provinces of China. Aflatoxins were detected with the positive ratio of 23%. OTA was not detected, and T-2 toxin was only found in 2% of the detected samples. The averages of positives were 52, 112, 735, and 3,811 μg/kg for mycotoxins of aflatoxins, ZEN, trichothecenes type B, and FUM, respectively.

Mycotoxin occurrence in different feed raw materials

The occurrence of mycotoxins in different feed materials was presented in Table 2. In corn samples, ZEN (42%), trichothecenes type B (73%) and FUM (62%) occurred more frequently, with the average positive concentrations of 124, 740, and 2,300 μg/kg, and maximum levels of 1,307, 3,930, and 23,480 μg/kg, respectively. Thirteen percentage samples were detected with contamination of aflatoxins with average level of 42 μg/kg in corn. In wheat, ZEN, trichothecenes type B, and FUM were found in 42, 33, and 29% of detected samples. The average of positives was 1,030 μg/kg for trichothecenes type B and the highest level was detected with concentration of 5,700 in one wheat sample. ZEN were prevalent in soybean meal with a high fraction (75%) at a relatively low average of positives of 50 μg/kg. In peanut meal, aflatoxins were found to be positive in all samples and the average of positives was 127 μg/kg, with a relatively high-risk level. Bran samples were mainly contaminated with trichothecenes type B (94%) at a positive average of 768 μg/kg. Rice bran meal was detected to be mainly contaminated with ZEN at positive rate of 100%. All samples of feed raw materials were rarely contaminated with OTA and T-2 toxins.

Mycotoxin occurrence in different corn by-products

As presented in Table 3, ZEN, trichothecenes type B and FUM were more prevalently detected, and their positive rates were almost 100% in the corn by-products samples in this survey. In DDGS, the positive average of trichothecenes type B was twice as that in the corn samples with the value of 1,536


TABLE 2 Mycotoxin occurrence in feed raw materials.

| Items          | Aflatoxins | Zearalenone (ZEN) | Trichothecenes type B | Fumonisins (FUM) | Ochratoxin A (OTA) | T-2 toxin |
|----------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Corn           |            |                   |                       |                  |                   |           |
| Sample number  | 665        | 665               | 665                   | 665              | 115               | 665       |
| Positive rate  | 13%        | 42%               | 73%                   | 62%              | 4%                | 1%        |
| Average of positives (µg/kg) | 42 | 124 | 740 | 2,300 | 9 | 69 |
| Maximum (µg/kg) | 482 | 1,307 | 3,930 | 23,480 | 26 | 111 |
| Wheat          |            |                   |                       |                  |                   |           |
| Sample number  | 72         | 72                | 72                    | 72               | 72                | 2         |
| Positive rate  | 0%         | 42%               | 33%                   | 29%              | 3%                | 0%        |
| Average of positives (µg/kg) | 0 | 81 | 1,030 | 308 | 4 | 0 |
| Maximum (µg/kg) | 0 | 116 | 5,700 | 710 | 4 | 0 |
| Soybean meal   |            |                   |                       |                  |                   |           |
| Sample number  | 63         | 63                | 63                    | 63               | 16                | 16        |
| Positive rate  | 14%        | 75%               | 0%                    | 0%               | 6%                | 0%        |
| Average of positives (µg/kg) | 3 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 2.4 | 0 |
| Maximum (µg/kg) | 6 | 96 | 0 | 41 | 2.4 | 0 |
| Peanut meal    |            |                   |                       |                  |                   |           |
| Sample number  | 25         | 25                | 25                    | 25               | 25                | 25        |
| Positive rate  | 100%       | 8%                | 4%                    | 0%               | 12%               | 4%        |
| Average of positives (µg/kg) | 127 | 49 | 76 | - | 3 | 35 |
| Maximum (µg/kg) | 217 | 61 | 76 | 54 | 3 | 35 |
| Bran           |            |                   |                       |                  |                   |           |
| Sample number  | 49         | 49                | 49                    | 49               | 9                 | 9         |
| Positive rate  | 14%        | 4%                | 92%                   | 2%               | 14%               | 4%        |
| Average of positives (µg/kg) | 3 | 83 | 768 | 121 | 6 | 0 |
| Maximum (µg/kg) | 4 | 84 | 2,180 | 121 | 6 | 0 |
| Rice bran meal |            |                   |                       |                  |                   |           |
| Sample number  | 9          | 9                 | 9                     | 9                | 9                 | 9         |
| Positive rate  | 44%        | 100%              | 56%                   | 33%              | 22%               | 0%        |
| Average of positives (µg/kg) | 9 | 105 | 305 | 283 | 5 | 0 |
| Maximum (µg/kg) | 15 | 283 | 431 | 351 | 7 | 0 |

µg/kg. In corn gluten meal, aflatoxins were detected in 37% of the samples with average of positives of 67 µg/kg, and the positive averages of ZEN and FUM reached 2,743 and 6,562 µg/kg, respectively. In 3 samples of sprayed corn husk, Fusarium toxins were concentrated with average positive values of 494, 4,187, and 3,377 µg/kg, in ZEN, trichothecenes type B, and FUM respectively. All samples of corn by-products were analyzed for OTA and T-2 contamination, and the levels detected were rather low.

Mycotoxin occurrence in different grasses

As exhibited in Table 4, aflatoxins, OTA and T-2 toxins showed negative in all samples of silages and grasses, except one sample of silage with aflatoxins concentration of 21 µg/kg, and one sample of oat with T-2 concentration of 53 µg/kg. In silages, ZEN, trichothecenes type B, and FUM showed positive rates of 42, 75, and 73%, respectively, with average of positives of 123, 1,114, and 510 µg/kg, respectively. Alfalfa samples were only found with contamination of ZEN with positive rates of 8% and positive average of 45 µg/kg. In oat grass, relatively low contamination level of ZEN, trichothecenes type B and FUM were found with average of positives of 144, 159, and 212 µg/kg, respectively. In 3 samples of soybean husk, one was found positive in trichothecenes, and another one is co-contaminated with ZEN and DON. The contamination levels were relatively low.

Mycotoxin occurrence in different finished feeds

Mycotoxin contamination in swine and poultry finished feeds was detected with similar patterns in this study (Table 5).
TABLE 3 Mycotoxin occurrence in corn by-products.

| Items                             | Aflatoxins | Zearalenone (ZEN) | Trichothecenes type B | Fumonisins (FUM) | Ochratoxin A (OTA) | T-2 toxin |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Distillers dried grains with solubles (DDGS) |            |                   |                       |                  |                  |           |
| Sample number                    | 15         | 15                | 15                    | 15               | 15               | 15        |
| Positive rate                     | 7%         | 100%              | 100%                  | 87%              | 40%              | 0%        |
| Average of positives (µg/kg)      | 23         | 115               | 1,536                 | 1,625            | 3                | 0         |
| Maximum (µg/kg)                   | 23         | 239               | 3,267                 | 6,160            | 5                | 0         |
| Corn gluten meal                  |            |                   |                       |                  |                  |           |
| Sample number                    | 27         | 27                | 27                    | 27               | 27               | 27        |
| Positive rate                     | 37%        | 100%              | 81%                   | 100%             | 41%              | 0%        |
| Average of positives (µg/kg)      | 67         | 2,743             | 383                   | 6,562            | 14               | 0         |
| Maximum (µg/kg)                   | 469        | 11,245            | 1,866                 | 30,872           | 30               | 0         |
| Sprayed corn husk                |            |                   |                       |                  |                  |           |
| Sample number                    | 3          | 3                 | 3                     | 3                | 3                | 3         |
| Positive rate                     | 0%         | 100%              | 100%                  | 100%             | 0%               | 0%        |
| Average of positives (µg/kg)      | 0          | 494               | 4,187                 | 3,377            | 0                | 0         |
| Maximum (µg/kg)                   | 0          | 727               | 6,753                 | 7,062            | 0                | 0         |

28 and 22% of samples were detected to be contaminated with aflatoxins, respectively, and ZEN infested more than 50% of samples with positive averages of 123 and 73 µg/kg, respectively, in poultry and swine finished feeds. Trichothecenes type B and FUM showed relatively high prevalence. Concentrations of trichothecenes type B reached positive averages of above 400 µg/kg, and FUM were detected at average concentrations of positives of 990 and 871 µg/kg in poultry and swine feeds, respectively. OTA and T-2 in finished feeds were either detected at rather low levels or not detected in this survey. In samples of cow supplementary concentrate, aflatoxins, ZEN, trichothecenes type B, FUM, and OTA were detected with positive rates of 8, 65, 92, 58, and 4%, at average concentrations of positives of 1, 102, 475, 400, and 2 µg/kg, respectively. In TMR, positive samples of ZEN, trichothecenes type B, FUM were at levels of 68, 96, and 88% with average concentration of 111, 456, and 370 µg/kg, respectively, and aflatoxins, OTA and T-2 were detected at relatively low mycotoxin risk levels.

Mycotoxin occurrence of new season corn in different regions of China

The regional patterns of mycotoxin contamination of new season corn were elucidated in Table 6. The whole dataset of corn samples was decomposed into the sub-datasets of 5 geographical regions including 9 major corn-producing provinces in China, i.e., Heilongjiang, Jilin, Liaoning provinces of Northeast China, Hebei province of North China, Henan province of Central China, Shandong, Jiangsu and Anhui provinces of East China, and Gansu province of Northwest China.

For Northeast China, aflatoxins, OTA and T-2 toxins were not detected. Trichothecenes Type B was found frequently (positive rate 96%) with positive average of 934 µg/kg. FUM were detected with positive rate of 57% and positive average of 1,651 µg, and the contamination level of ZEN was detected with the positive rate and average of 56% and 124 µg/kg, respectively.

For North China, aflatoxins were detected in 4% of samples at a positive average of 2 µg/kg. 68, 88, and 88% of the samples were found to be contaminated with ZEN, trichothecenes type B, and FUM, and their positive average concentrations were 169, 876, and 2,630 µg/kg, respectively. OTA and T-2 were not detected.

Aflatoxins were detected in 33% of samples from East China, and the average of positives was 100 µg/kg, which was the highest median concentration obtained for any region. The positive rate of ZEN was 37%, and trichothecenes type B and FUM were dominating with prevalence of 61 and 93%, and positive averages of 563 and 5,204 µg/kg, respectively. Six percentage of samples from east China were positive at T-2 with positive average of 70 µg/kg in this survey.

For Central China, aflatoxins were detected with relatively high positive proportion of 52%, and average concentration of 47 µg/kg. Trichothecenes type B and FUM were detected in 67 and 85% of the samples, respectively, and the positive average of FUM reached as high as 4,872 µg/kg. ZEN was detected in 37% of the samples.

In the 7 samples from Northwest China, occurrence of ZEN, trichothecenes type B, FUM and T-2 in samples from this region was 57, 43, 71, and 14% respectively. For trichothecenes type
TABLE 4 Mycotoxin occurrence in grasses.

| Items      | Aflatoxins | Zearalenone (ZEN) | Trichothecenes type B | Fumonisins (FUM) | Ochratoxin A (OTA) | T-2 toxin |
|------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Silages    |            |                   |                       |                 |                    |           |
| Sample number | 105        | 105               | 105                   | 105             | 105                | 105       |
| Positive rate | 1%         | 42%               | 75%                   | 73%             | 0%                 | 0%        |
| Average of positives (µg/kg) | 21         | 123               | 1,114                 | 510             | 0                  | 0         |
| Maximum (µg/kg)  | 21         | 654               | 10,426                | 2,473           | 0                  | 0         |
| Alfalfa     |            |                   |                       |                 |                    |           |
| Sample number | 39         | 39                | 39                    | 39              | 39                 | 39        |
| Positive rate | 0%         | 8%                | 0%                    | 0%              | 0%                 | 0%        |
| Average of positives (µg/kg) | 0          | 45                | 0                     | 0               | 0                  | 0         |
| Maximum (µg/kg)  | 0          | 82                | 0                     | 0               | 0                  | 0         |
| Oat grass   |            |                   |                       |                 |                    |           |
| Sample number | 30         | 30                | 30                    | 30              | 30                 | 30        |
| Positive rate | 0%         | 13%               | 10%                   | 3%              | 0%                 | 3%        |
| Average of positives (µg/kg) | 0          | 144               | 159                   | 212             | 0                  | 53        |
| Maximum (µg/kg)  | 0          | 288               | 298                   | 212             | 0                  | 53        |
| Soybean husk|            |                   |                       |                 |                    |           |
| Sample number | 3          | 3                 | 3                     | 3               | 3                  | 3         |
| Positive rate | 0%         | 67%               | 33%                   | 0%              | 0%                 | 0%        |
| Average of positives (µg/kg) | 0          | 69                | 151                   | 0               | 0                  | 0         |
| Maximum (µg/kg)  | 0          | 79                | 151                   | 0               | 0                  | 0         |

B, the highest level detected was 3,930 µg/kg and the positive average was 2,123 µg/kg. ZEN, FUM and T-2 were positive with the average levels of 78, 1,112, and 97 µg/kg, respectively, in this region.

Co-occurrence of mycotoxins in new season corn

To analyze the co-occurrence of mycotoxins in new season corn, the fractions of samples contaminated with either combination of two to four mycotoxins were calculated in this study (Figure 1). Since OTA and T-2 were not evaluated in all samples, this co-occurrence ratio was only calculated in the most common four mycotoxins in new season corn samples, that are aflatoxins, ZEN, trichothecenes type B and FUM. Most of the samples contained multiple mycotoxins with 2–3 classes (71%), and 6% of the samples were detected with contamination with all 4 mycotoxins. The combination of trichothecenes type B and FUM was most frequently observed, with the proportion of 64%. ZEN and trichothecenes type B, and ZEN and FUM co-occurred in 46 and 44%, respectively. Three mycotoxins combination of ZEN, trichothecenes type B and FUM was detected in 42% of the samples.

In Figure 2, any combination of two mycotoxins in the samples of new season corn were presented. A positive correlation of trichothecenes type B and ZEN concentrations was showed with a coefficient of 0.294. While other combinations showed correlation coefficients of either <0.2 or negative.

Discussion

Mycotoxins have been proved to reduce animal performance, threaten human health and bring huge economic loss to feed and food industry each year (1, 12). Considering the widespread contamination, aflatoxins, ZEN, trichothecenes type A represented by T-2, trichothecenes type B represented by DON, FUM, and OTA are among the most important and dominating mycotoxins. China, as one of the countries with serious mycotoxin pollution, has placed stricter regulations on mycotoxins in feeds and raw materials. However, surveys of mycotoxin contamination on certain feed commodities in China are limited. Thus, we conducted a series of survey to monitor the mycotoxin contamination and this study focused on the occurrence of the six major mycotoxins in feeds from different regions of China in year 2020.

In general, aflatoxins showed a relatively low prevalence in feeds and the levels of positive samples were mostly unthreatening for most of the samples complied with the current regulatory restrictions in China. Similar results were
also obtained previously. In a global survey of mycotoxin contamination performed for 10 years from 2008 to 2017, aflatoxins occurrence in East Asia were detected with a positive rate of 17.1% and a median of positives of 10 μg/kg (13). In another survey focused on China, the result of AFB1 contamination was also similar while AFB1 showed a relatively higher positive rate at 38.2% probably due to the climatic conditions of that year or the different source of collected samples (8). Aflatoxins were tested to be positive in all samples of peanut meal in this study, and the 100% positive rate was also found in the survey of year 2021 (6). These results should draw attention because aflatoxins synthetizing strains, Aspergillus flavus and A. parasiticus are particularly easily to infest corn and nuts according to previous studies (14).

Fusarium toxins dominated in the mycotoxin profiles in feed materials and products in this report. The occurrence of ZEN, trichothecenes type B and FUM in all samples ranged from 47 to 72%. Their levels were comparable in previous studies, and for the samples from East Asia, the positive rates of ZEN, trichothecenes type B and FUM were 58, 85, and 61%, respectively, with median concentrations of 90, 418, and 810 μg/kg, respectively (13). Corn is the most frequently used feed materials in China. The corn samples, in this research and in other previously published reports, showed high prevalence and high levels of FUM, DON, and ZEN (8, 15). Wheat samples were also mainly polluted by DON and additionally contained ZEN, and in rice, ZEN and DON were most frequently detected. These results are predictable because some certain fungal species have been well-proved to have associations with the fungal infestation of specific crops. For example, corn is prone to be polluted by FUM producer F. verticillioides, and wheat, rice, and barley can be easily infested by strains of Fusarium culmorum and F. graminearum which are well-known as DON and ZEN producers (16, 17).

Patterns of mycotoxin contamination was varied from region to region and year to year, and the variation could be traced back to the variation of weather conditions. Trichothecenes type B showed relatively high levels in the northeast and north regions of China, and their content in samples from northern to southern provinces of China showed a significant downward trend. This result was consistent with previous studies in which higher DON concentrations were detected in samples from the temperate regions, such as North America, Northern Europe, Central Europe, and East Asia (13). Mild temperatures and rainfall during the flowering and maturation periods would facilitate the infestation of corn with strains of F. graminearum and F. culmorum and subsequent DON contamination (18). Therefore, the high level of trichothecenes type B in Northeast China could be correlated with the increased rainfall caused by the attach of typhoons in August and September. The positive average of trichothecenes type B in Gansu province of Northwest China was also at

### TABLE 5  Mycotoxin occurrence in finished feeds.

| Items                  | Aflatoxins | Zearalenone (ZEN) | Trichothecenes type B | Fumonisins (FUM) | Ochratoxin A (OTA) | T-2 toxin |
|------------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------|
| Poultry feed           |            |                   |                       |                  |                   |          |
| Sample number          | 270        | 270               | 270                   | 270              | 270               | 270      |
| Positive rate          | 28%        | 56%               | 96%                   | 91%              | 5%                | 0%       |
| Average of positives (μg/kg) | 7        | 123               | 409                   | 990              | 5                 | 0        |
| Maximum (μg/kg)        | 51         | 1,094             | 1,173                 | 4,136            | 27                | 0        |
| Swine feed             |            |                   |                       |                  |                   |          |
| Sample number          | 124        | 124               | 124                   | 124              | 124               | 124      |
| Positive rate          | 22%        | 51%               | 95%                   | 95%              | 5%                | 1%       |
| Average of positives (μg/kg) | 9        | 73                | 442                   | 871              | 4                 | 33       |
| Maximum (μg/kg)        | 85         | 331               | 3,443                 | 4,511            | 5.4               | 33       |
| Total mixed ration (TMR) |          |                   |                       |                  |                   |          |
| Sample number          | 85         | 85                | 85                    | 85               | 85                | 85       |
| Positive rate          | 1%         | 68%               | 96%                   | 88%              | 0%                | 0%       |
| Average of positives (μg/kg) | 3        | 111               | 456                   | 370              | 0                 | 0        |
| Maximum (μg/kg)        | 3          | 719               | 3,047                 | 1,287            | 0                 | 0        |
| Supplementary concentrate |          |                   |                       |                  |                   |          |
| Sample number          | 26         | 26                | 26                    | 26               | 26                | 26       |
| Positive rate          | 8%         | 65%               | 92%                   | 58%              | 4%                | 0%       |
| Average of positives (μg/kg) | 1        | 102               | 475                   | 400              | 2                 | 0        |
| Maximum (μg/kg)        | 2          | 717               | 1,241                 | 1,321            | 2                 | 0        |
TABLE 6 Mycotoxin occurrence in new season corn in different regions of China.

| Items          | Aflatoxins | Zearalenone (ZEN) | Trichothecenes type B | Fumonisins (FUM) | Ochratoxin A (OTA) | T-2 toxin |
|----------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Northeast China|            |                   |                       |                   |                   |           |
| Sample number  | 68         | 68                | 68                    | 68                | 8                 | 41        |
| Positive rate  | 0%         | 56%               | 96%                   | 57%               | 0%                | 0%        |
| Average of positives (µg/kg) | 0           | 124               | 934                   | 1,651             | 0                 | 0         |
| Maximum (µg/kg) | 0           | 486               | 4,670                 | 7,073             | 0                 | 0         |
| North China    |            |                   |                       |                   |                   |           |
| Sample number  | 25         | 25                | 25                    | 25                | 0                 | 25        |
| Positive rate  | 4%         | 68%               | 88%                   | 88%               | –                 | 0%        |
| Average of positives (µg/kg) | 2           | 169               | 876                   | 2,630             | –                 | 0         |
| Maximum (µg/kg) | 2           | 1,572             | 1,950                 | 7,000             | –                 | 0         |
| East China     |            |                   |                       |                   |                   |           |
| Sample number  | 54         | 54                | 54                    | 54                | 4                 | 54        |
| Positive rate  | 33%        | 37%               | 61%                   | 93%               | 0%                | 6%        |
| Average of positives (µg/kg) | 100         | 107               | 563                   | 5,204             | 0                 | 70        |
| Maximum (µg/kg) | 482         | 592               | 1,660                 | 21,620            | 0                 | 111       |
| Central China  |            |                   |                       |                   |                   |           |
| Sample number  | 60         | 60                | 60                    | 60                | 5                 | 60        |
| Positive rate  | 52%        | 37%               | 67%                   | 85%               | 0%                | 0%        |
| Average of positives (µg/kg) | 25         | 60                | 371                   | 4,872             | 0                 | –         |
| Maximum (µg/kg) | 265         | 160               | 1,090                 | 23,480            | 0                 | 0         |
| Northwest China|            |                   |                       |                   |                   |           |
| Sample number  | 7          | 7                 | 7                     | 7                 | 0                 | 7         |
| Positive rate  | 0%         | 57%               | 43%                   | 71%               | –                 | 14%       |
| Average of positives (µg/kg) | 0           | 78                | 2,123                 | 1,112             | –                 | 97        |
| Maximum (µg/kg) | 0           | 113               | 3,930                 | 2,420             | –                 | 97        |

extremely high level of 2,123.33 µg/kg in 2020, and this could be due to the higher than usual rainfall in August in Gansu province. ZEN was observed to frequently co-contaminate corn with DON (4, 13, 19), and ZEN also showed a medium-high risk level in the northeast, north and east regions of China in this study. Which should be noted here is that the contamination levels of trichothecenes type B and ZEN were significantly increased in new season corn harvested in year 2021, especially in Shandong province of East China (6). These peaks corresponded with climate conditions of higher than usual rainfall and more frequent typhoon attacked in July and August in Shandong province, which furtherly confirmed the key impact of rainfall on DON and ZEN contamination levels.

FUM contaminations in East (Shandong, Anhui and Jiangsu provinces) and Central (Henan) China were significantly higher than in other regions surveyed in this study. Anhui, Jiangsu and Henan provinces showed relatively hot temperatures in summer season of 2020, and high temperature and low precipitation during the corn silking period could contribute to the *F. verticillioides* infestation and aggravate the pollution of FUM (20, 21). When compared to year 2020, FUM concentration was significantly higher in corn harvested in 2021, and this variation was magnified in Jilin province which is regarded as the main corn producing province in China (6). Considering the weather conditions, warmer temperature and the consequent lower amount of rainfall occurred in July and August, and this could contribute to the increased FUM contamination in Jilin province of that year.

The prevalence and pollution level of aflatoxins were significantly higher in samples from Jiangsu and Anhui provinces than other regions of China, and the positive values were found to be higher than in year 2021 (6). It has been well-demonstrated that *Aspergillus* spp. infestation and aflatoxins production can be favored by relatively high temperature and low humidity during the corn growing period (22), and the weather conditions feathering heavy precipitation in hot season of this region may facilitate the prevalence of aflatoxins. Especially in Anhui province, the phased drought condition occurred in early summer, followed by relatively heavier rainfall during the corn growing and harvest periods. It's these unusual weather conditions in Anhui province that might lead to a significant increasing level of aflatoxins contamination in year
FIGURE 1
Mycotoxin co-occurrence in new season corn.

2020. Moreover, in addition to climate conditions, timing of harvest, post-harvest handling and storage of feed raw materials may also have significant effects on the formation and accumulation of mycotoxins. This is worth noting for the feed safety.

As exhibited in this survey, trichothecenes type B and ZEN can be highly correlated in corn, especially in the temperate regions. This result has been widely found and can be expected because both mycotoxins can be produced by strains of *F. graminearum* and *F. culmorum* (8, 9, 13). Even though the exposure of animals to DON and ZEN are common, serious attention should be paid for the sake of animal health. When mycotoxins co-occur, their combined toxic effects, mainly additive or synergistic effects have been observed on different parameters of function in different species of animals. The immune function (23), the intestinal barrier function (24, 25), the liver health (26), the oxidative stress in spleen (23), brain (27) and kidneys (28) can all be affected with different levels in mice and pigs. The co-occurrence and interactions between other frequently detected mycotoxins were also regularly found (8, 13, 29). Results suggested that co-contaminates, such as aflatoxins and trichothecenes, ochratoxins and FUMs, could exhibit stronger toxic effects on animals when compared to each individual mycotoxin (30, 31).

In this study, it is very common to detect the co-contamination of trichothecenes type B, ZEN and FUM or aflatoxins and FUM in samples of new season corn. Considering finished feeds are commodities of different blended feed raw materials and then should contain a combination of mycotoxins, finished feeds can be expected to show a more complicated situation of co-contaminates. As a considerable number of samples are usually found to contain more than one mycotoxin, constant monitoring and continued research effort on prevention and mitigation of co-contamination of mycotoxin are therefore desperately necessary. Moreover, in case the negative effects of certain feeds and materials can be underestimated, the further investigation of the possible additive or synergistic effects of co-occurring mycotoxins on animals would be important to clarify. Since the current regulations on mycotoxins concentrations in China have not considered the co-contamination of mycotoxins the researches focusing on the toxicity of mycotoxin combinations are important.

Considering the annal climate parameters of 2020, due to the frequent extreme weather conditions, such as typhoons, the risk of mycotoxin contamination of raw materials and feed products should draw attention. In new season corn, ZEN, trichothecenes type B, and FUM were the most serious and dominating mycotoxins determined, and their contamination levels were also extremely high in finished feed products. Although China has established strict control regulations, there are also some samples tested with high concentrations of mycotoxins on the market. Since mycotoxins show both health and economic implications on animals and consumers, strict strategies of monitoring and supervision should be continued, and effective measures of prevention and control should be established. Application of targeted detoxification products is considered to be the most effective, safe and environmentally friendly measure to control the contamination of mycotoxins. In this way, the mycotoxin contamination at the sources of feed and food production can be minimized and even eliminated.
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