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Abstract

Background: We have shown that Ethiopian primary healthcare providers refer only half of the severely sick children who, according to guidelines, should get an urgent referral. Frequently parents of referred ill children don’t bring their children to the next level. We aimed to describe the referral of severely ill Ethiopian children based on primary healthcare register reviews and explore health care providers’ and parents’ perceptions regarding factors that hinder or enhance referral.

Methods: A mixed-methods study was conducted in 11 districts and a town administration of the Hadiya zone in Ethiopia’s Southern region from May to June 2019. Data collection included interviews and focus group discussions with healthcare providers, key informant interviews with parents of sick children who had been referred, and reviewing registers of sick children treated during the last 12 months at health posts and health centres. We analysed the association between healthcare providers’ and sick children’s characteristics and providers’ compliance with referral guidelines for sick children 0–59 months old. Content analysis was undertaken to explore the perceived factors that influenced referral and adherence to referral from providers’ and parents’ perspectives.
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Results: Healthcare providers did not refer nearly half of the severely ill children that should have been referred, according to guidelines. Providers who had received in-service training on child healthcare were more likely to adhere to referral guidelines. The severity of the child’s illness and mobile phone communication and transport availability were perceived to be positively associated with adherence to referral guidelines. Lack of knowledge of treatment guidelines and skills, and high health worker workload, were among the factors perceived to be linked to lower adherence to guidelines. The healthcare providers considered parents of referred sick children as having low compliance with the referral advice. In contrast, parents had the opinion that compliance with a referral for sick children was high. Perceived awareness of severity of the child’s illness, ability to afford referral costs, and availability of transport or ambulance services were perceived to motivate parents to take their children to the referral facility. Traditional illness perceptions, lack of confidence in the referral site’s medical care, and a long distance were perceived to hurdle caregivers’ referral compliance.

Conclusions: We found that the healthcare providers’ adherence to referral guidelines was not optimal. Care providers and parents had divergent opinions on parents’ compliance with referral advice. Factors related to the health system, family economy, and available ambulance services influence whether care providers and parents pursued severely ill children’s referral. Adequate referral of sick children is an aspect of primary healthcare quality that is essential to avoid unnecessary under-five deaths.
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Background

More than half of the global 5.3 million under-five deaths occurred in Sub-Saharan Africa in 2018. Infectious diseases remained the leading causes of death [1]. Despite a remarkable improvement during the Millennium Development Goal era [2], Ethiopia still has a relatively high under-five and neonatal mortality at 55 and 30 deaths per 1,000 live births, respectively [3]. Lower respiratory tract infections, diarrhoeal diseases, and neonatal causes (mainly preterm birth complications, asphyxia, and neonatal sepsis) were the leading causes of death [4].

The integrated management of newborn and childhood Illnesses (IMNIC), the integrated case management of childhood illnesses (iCCM) and the community based newborn care (CBNC) programmes were among the strategies implemented in Ethiopia to reduce under-five deaths [5–7]. Referral of children with severe illnesses is a critical element in these programmes. At first- and referral-level facilities, adherence to referral guidelines by healthcare providers and users is essential [8]. However, studies in Ethiopia and Malawi showed that only around half of the severely sick children, who should get urgent referral, were sent to the next health system level [9–11].

Different studies in low-income countries have revealed that frequently referred patients do not reach the receiving health facilities [12–14]. High referral compliance is an important indicator of an effective referral system. It involves multiple steps; from the initial recognition of a disease that needs access to specific services to the successful management of the illness at the higher-level facility [15].

Parents’ acceptance that the child needs referral and their compliance with this recommendation is influenced by various factors [8]. The perceived need of a referral (disease severity), the experiences or perceptions of the referral facility (quality) and the cost (time and resources) may determine referral compliance [8, 16].

A South African study revealed that caregivers’ compliance with the primary-level referral was less than half [17]. Younger caregivers were more likely to comply. In a Ugandan study, referral completion was higher among children with danger signs [18]. However, when children received pre-referral treatment, they were less likely to complete the transfer to the next level.

The most commonly reported reasons for non-compliance with referral include transportation problems, family-related reasons (e.g., mother ill, nobody caring for other children, nobody accompanying the sick child, no permission to go), and perceived poor quality of care at the referral facility (e.g., unskilled staff, no medicines) [18–20].

In Ethiopia, research is scarce on healthcare providers’ adherence to referral guidelines and parents’ compliance with the referral advice they received for their severely ill children. Hence, this study aimed to explore factors associated with primary healthcare providers’ adherence to referral guidelines for severely ill children and parents’ compliance with the referral advice.

Methods

Study design and setting

This study employed a mixed-methods approach, including a facility-based cross-sectional quantitative study and a phenomenological qualitative study.
methods design was used to obtain more comprehensive and convincing evidences [21]. For the quantitative data, the Ethiopian community-based newborn care, the integrated community case management, and the integrated management of newborn and childhood illnesses guidelines [22, 23] were used as the gold standard to evaluate whether the healthcare providers at the primary health care level adhered to the referral guidelines. These guidelines were used to examine whether the severely ill children were appropriately referred or not (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2).

The qualitative data collection allowed us to further explore and identify reasons linked with referral adherence. The qualitative data was also used to triangulate findings with different participants and also with the quantitative data. The qualitative study also investigated the perceived enablers and barriers to the caregivers’ compliance with referral advice. In a previous study, we found similar referral practices across a large number of districts in the four largest Ethiopian regions [24]. The present study is limited to one zone in the Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples region, but may anyhow have sick child referral practices that resemble other Ethiopian regions.

The study was conducted in Hadiya zone of the Southern region of Ethiopia. The survey and qualitative study were conducted from May to July, 2019. The study area had a total population of nearly 1.7 million, and an under-five population of 263,675 at the time of the survey. There were 377 health posts and 62 health centres providing child health services [25]. The quantitative study covered all 11 districts and one town administration. The qualitative study was performed in two districts of the zone, namely Soro and Mirab Soro.

**Study population**

The study units for the quantitative component of this study were selected health care providers who treated sick under-five children in the sampled primary health care units of the study area. In addition, records were reviewed of all under-five children with severe childhood illnesses during the last 12 months before the study. Information about referral was collected and compared with the relevant referral guidelines.

For the qualitative component, caregivers of under-five children with referral histories in the last 6 months before data collection were included. They shared their lived rich experiences and perceptions of factors that facilitate or hinder compliance with referral advice. Two health care providers serving at child health departments in the sampled health facilities were also included in the qualitative sub-study.

**Sample size**

The sample size of the quantitative component was determined using a single population proportion formula with the following assumptions: a significance level of 5 %, 95 % confidence interval level, 50 % proportion of under-five children with severe illnesses who were actually referred, a design effect size of 2, and a non-response rate of 10 %. Thus, records of a total of 845 under-five children with severe disease classification were reviewed. In addition, the health facilities along with their health care providers were surveyed.

**Sampling procedure**

A multistage sampling technique was used. A total of 377 health posts and 62 health centres providing child health services in Hadiya zone in 2018-19 were included. Based on a list of these primary healthcare facilities in all 12 districts of the zone, it was possible to select a random sample of health centres and health posts to represent the study districts. The samples were proportionally allocated to the districts and health facilities, using a multistage sampling.

The expected number of sick child consultations in Hadiya zone in the year 2018 -19 was estimated to be 131,838. Similarly, the expected number of sick young children requiring referral was estimated to be 13,184, considering that 10 % of the consulted under-five children required referral to higher levels [26]. The sample was randomly selected proportional to the under-five patient flow; 43 health posts providing the integrated community case management and the community-based newborn care, and 20 health centres providing the integrated management of newborn and child illnesses. The record review of sick children was performed until the required sample size from each facility was secured.

For the qualitative component, a purposive criterion-based sampling was employed. Accordingly, two districts with high under-five patient flow were selected. After that, a sample of two health centres and four health posts from each district was selected. Hence, for in-depth interviews, a total of 24 parents (two from each health facility), a total of 12 per each district, whose children had been referred during the last 6 months, were selected from the child healthcare registers. The parents were traced in their respective communities with the assistance from local women’s development group leaders and health extension workers.

Similarly, 24 healthcare providers (12 from health centres and 12 from health posts) who provided care to under-five children were selected from the two districts for focus group discussions (FGD) (a total of four FGDS).

The final sample size in the qualitative study was based upon the principle of saturation [27, 28].
Data collection
We collected the quantitative and qualitative data at the same time and integrated our findings based on our study aims [21]. For the quantitative component, the record review of under-five children from treatment registers was employed to analyse whether children with severe illnesses or dangers signs were referred or not according to guidelines. The records of the sampled sick child consultations in the previous 12 months were reviewed. Information was obtained on children’s background, residence, signs and symptoms, disease classification or diagnosis, and referral status.

We interviewed healthcare providers using a pre-tested structured questionnaire. In order to complement the findings from the healthcare providers’ interviews, we performed two FGDs to explore the perceived factors that enable or hinder adherence to the existing referral guidelines.

In-depth interviews were performed with 18 parents using semi-structured interview guides to explore the lived experiences of referral and compliance with the referral advice. In addition, two additional FGDs were performed with healthcare providers to explore their perceptions of parents’ referral compliance. The interviews and FGDs were continued until data saturation was achieved.

With permission from the participants, the in-depth interviews and the FGDs were audio-recorded. Time and place of interviews were selected to be convenient for the study participants. The in-depth interviews were performed in the local language, Hadiya. In the FGDs, both Amharic and Hadiya languages were used. Local translators translated the Hadiya records into Amharic. Finally, the Amharic translation was transcribed into English by the first author.

Data were collected by experienced data collectors who were at least a college graduates and trained in the national ICCM/CBNC and IMNCI guidelines. Data collectors received a five-day intensive training on study procedures, questionnaires, data collection techniques, clinical guidelines, quality-assurance procedures, and study ethics. The data collection tools were pre-tested on the final day of the training. The first author supervised the data collection process.

Data analyses
All questionnaire data were cleaned, checked for completeness and coded before entry. Descriptive statistics included frequency distributions, and proportions. Chi-square tests were employed to identify factors associated with healthcare providers’ adherence to the existing referral guidelines. Level of significance was set at $p$-value less than 0.05 using 95% confidence level. Statistical analyses were done in Stata version 15.1 (Stata Corp LP, College Station, Texas, USA).

For the qualitative part, data were manually analysed using a qualitative content analysis according to Graneheim and Lundman [29]. This process included open coding, abstracting, and creating classes. All individual interviews and FGDs were transcribed and the texts were read several times. Initial codes were determined and similar codes were allocated to the same category or theme. The categories or themes were grouped to form larger themes. The data analysis took differences and similarities between informants into consideration.

With the mixed-methods approach, the results of the quantitative and qualitative components were compared, synthesized and discussed.

Results
Characteristics of informants
Among the healthcare providers who participated in the quantitative study, 81% were women, 54% were below the age of 30 and a third had served less than 5 years. Data were collected from 43 health extension workers and 20 nurses providing child healthcare in 11 districts across Hadiya zone. In addition, data on 110 severely sick 0–59 days young infants and 733 severely sick children aged 2–59 months were abstracted from treatment registers of the 43 health posts and 20 health centres. The study also included the intended 18 key informant interviews with caregivers and four focus group discussions with healthcare providers. Among the caregivers included in the qualitative study, the majority were above the age of 30 and were more frequently parents of girls.

Health facilities
A majority of the health posts offered community-based newborn care (CBNC) and integrated community case management (ICCM) services. All health centres offered integrated management of newborn and childhood illnesses (IMNCI) services. Nearly a fifth of the health posts and health centres had no treatment guidelines required to have for the management of sick 0–59 days and 2–59 months old children. More than half of the health facilities did not have any observed records of quality assurance activities for child health services. Nearly half of the health facilities did not have any referral transportation for sick children (Table 1).

Healthcare providers
A significant proportion of the healthcare providers had not received in-service training on sick child treatment guidelines (Table 1). Only a few of these providers had got on-the-job supportive supervision from a higher health system level. Only two-thirds of the healthcare
Table 1 Characteristics of health facilities and care providers in Hadiya zone, Ethiopia (May – July 2019)

| Characteristics                                                                 | n  | %   |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|-----|
| **Type of health facility**                                                     |    |     |
| Health post                                                                     | 43 | 68  |
| Health centre                                                                   | 20 | 32  |
| **Health facilities offering child health services**                            |    |     |
| Community-based newborn care at health posts (N = 43)                            | 35 | 81  |
| Integrated community case management at health posts (N = 43)                    | 31 | 72  |
| Integrated management of newborn and childhood illnesses (N = 20)                | 20 | 100 |
| **Availability of treatment guidelines of**                                     |    |     |
| Community-based newborn care                                                    | 35 | 81  |
| Integrated community case management                                            | 36 | 84  |
| Integrated management of newborn and childhood illnesses                         | 16 | 80  |
| **Profession of health care providers working in child health department**      |    |     |
| Health extension worker                                                         | 43 | 68  |
| Nurse                                                                           | 18 | 29  |
| Health officer                                                                  | 2  | 3   |
| **Age of health care provider in years**                                        |    |     |
| 19–29                                                                           | 34 | 54  |
| => 30                                                                           | 29 | 46  |
| **Sex of health care provider**                                                  |    |     |
| Man                                                                              | 12 | 19  |
| Woman                                                                           | 51 | 81  |
| **Service period of health care providers (years)**                              |    |     |
| < = 5                                                                            | 22 | 35  |
| 6–10                                                                            | 17 | 27  |
| => 11                                                                           | 24 | 38  |
| **Healthcare providers received in-service training on care and treatment of**  |    |     |
| 0–59 days sick young infants                                                     | 38 | 60  |
| 2–59 months sick children                                                       | 38 | 60  |
| **Healthcare providers received supportive supervision on care and treatment of**|    |     |
| 0–59 days sick young infants                                                     | 14 | 22  |
| 2–59 months sick children                                                       | 16 | 37  |
| **Healthcare providers perceived regular adherence to the treatment guidelines of**|    |     |
| 0–59 days sick young infants                                                     | 42 | 67  |
| 2–59 months sick children                                                       | 32 | 74  |
| **Availability of transportation facility for referral of sick children**       |    |     |
| No                                                                                | 27 | 43  |
| Yes                                                                               | 36 | 57  |
| **Health providers made advance call to alert the next level of care when referral of the last child was done?** |    |     |
| No                                                                                | 27 | 43  |
| Yes                                                                               | 36 | 57  |
| **Experience of back referral by health facilities**                             |    |     |
| No                                                                                | 52 | 82  |
| Yes                                                                               | 11 | 18  |
providers perceived that they adhered to the existing sick young infant guidelines and three-quarters to the sick child guidelines. Nearly half of the healthcare providers claimed that they made an advance call to alert the next level of care when they had referred a sick child last time. More than half of the surveyed healthcare providers perceived that caregivers often did not comply with the referral advice.

**Referral rate and caregivers’ referral compliance**

Out of the 1872 sick 0–59 days sick young infants who consulted the facilities for their illnesses, 110 (6 %) had possible severe bacterial infections that required referral. Of these, 60 % were referred to the next level of care. Similarly, 733 (3 %) of the older children who consulted the health facilities had severe diseases that required referral and 54 % of these were appropriately referred to the next level of care.

More than half of the caregivers of the referred children were, according to the healthcare providers, less likely to adhere to the referral advice (Table 1). However, the qualitative key informant interview showed that the majority of parents claimed that they had taken their referred children to the referral health facility.

**Adherence to referral guidelines**

There were no differences in adherence to the referral guidelines across the different professions, age groups, gender, periods of service, or between facilities with and without ambulances (Table 2). There were no any differences in appropriate referral between health facilities with records of quality control activity and those without (Table 2).

The adherence to referral guidelines of the older sick children was higher when healthcare providers had received in-service training (p = 0.015), Table 2.

In the focus group discussions, the severity of the child’s illness, availability of healthcare providers who were trained on the CBNC, ICCM and IMNCI guidelines, availability of ambulance services, and assignment of a focal person for managing sick children were factors mentioned to enhance adherence to the referral guidelines.

The healthcare providers also suggested that lack of knowledge and skills were barriers to follow the treatment guidelines.

“I feel that some of the referred cases could have been managed by ourselves at the health centre level. However, we sometimes rush to refer the sick child to hospital as we feel that we lack the knowledge and skills since we are not trained in some child health programs such as community-based newborn care, integrated management of newborn and childhood illnesses and severe acute malnutrition programs. Some of us are working with the knowledge and skills we acquired while we were in college, without any further update” (Clinical nurse, 24 years, focus group discussion).

The healthcare providers also mentioned that a high workload, lack of ambulances, scarcity of essential medicines and diagnostics, but also carelessness of some health workers could lead to inappropriate referral.

“While I was working at the rural health centre, I remember that there were times the health
Table 2 Health facility and child factors associated with adherence by healthcare providers to referral guidelines for 0 – 59 months old sick children in Hadiya zone of Ethiopia

| Characteristics                                                                 | Healthcare providers’ adherence to referral guideline for sick 0 – 59 days sick young infants | Healthcare providers’ adherence to referral guideline for sick 2 – 59 months sick children |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
|                                                                                 | Appropriate decision N = 66 n (%) | Inappropriate decision N = 44 n (%) | P-value | Appropriate decision N = 397 n (%) | Inappropriate decision N = 336 n (%) | P-value |
| Profession of health care providers working in child health department          |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |
| Health extension worker                                                          | 38 (58)                                                                                       | 28 (42)                                                                                       | 0.690   | 218 (55)                                                                                      | 180 (45)                                                                                      | 0.890   |
| Nurse                                                                           | 28 (63)                                                                                       | 16 (37)                                                                                       |         | 179 (53)                                                                                      | 156 (47)                                                                                      |         |
| Age of healthcare provider in years                                             |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |
| 19 – 29                                                                         | 35 (55)                                                                                       | 29 (45)                                                                                       | 0.308   | 195 (49)                                                                                      | 207 (51)                                                                                      | 0.179   |
| => 30                                                                           | 31 (67)                                                                                       | 15 (33)                                                                                       |         | 202 (61)                                                                                      | 129 (39)                                                                                      |         |
| Sex of healthcare provider                                                       |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |
| Male                                                                             | 50 (61)                                                                                       | 32 (39)                                                                                       | 0.766   | 265 (53)                                                                                      | 231 (47)                                                                                      | 0.675   |
| Female                                                                           | 16 (57)                                                                                       | 12 (43)                                                                                       |         | 128 (58)                                                                                      | 93 (42)                                                                                       |         |
| Service years of healthcare providers in years                                   |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |
| <= 5                                                                             | 21 (53)                                                                                       | 19 (47)                                                                                       | 0.561   | 144 (49)                                                                                      | 149 (51)                                                                                      | 0.151   |
| 6 – 10                                                                           | 22 (61)                                                                                       | 14 (39)                                                                                       |         | 86 (48)                                                                                       | 95 (52)                                                                                       |         |
| => 11                                                                            | 23 (68)                                                                                       | 11 (32)                                                                                       |         | 167 (64)                                                                                      | 92 (36)                                                                                       |         |
| Availability of ambulance or other transport for referral of sick children       |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |
| No                                                                               | 28 (62)                                                                                       | 17 (38)                                                                                       | 0.710   | 128 (56)                                                                                      | 101 (44)                                                                                      | 0.836   |
| Yes                                                                              | 38 (58)                                                                                       | 27 (42)                                                                                       |         | 269 (53)                                                                                      | 235 (47)                                                                                      |         |
| Facilities have records of quality assurance activity                            |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |
| No                                                                               | 40 (61)                                                                                       | 26 (39)                                                                                       | 0.910   | 122 (43)                                                                                      | 161 (57)                                                                                      | 0.089   |
| Yes                                                                              | 26 (59)                                                                                       | 18 (41)                                                                                       |         | 275 (61)                                                                                      | 175 (39)                                                                                      |         |
| Healthcare providers received in-service training on child care and treatment    |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |
| No                                                                               | 30 (55)                                                                                       | 25 (45)                                                                                       | 0.217   | 152 (46)                                                                                      | 180 (54)                                                                                      | 0.015   |
| Yes                                                                              | 36 (65)                                                                                       | 19 (35)                                                                                       |         | 245 (61)                                                                                      | 156 (39)                                                                                      |         |
| Healthcare providers received supportive supervision on child care and treatment  |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |
| No                                                                               | 52 (59)                                                                                       | 36 (41)                                                                                       | 0.797   | 331 (55)                                                                                      | 272 (45)                                                                                      | 0.701   |
| Yes                                                                              | 14 (64)                                                                                       | 8 (36)                                                                                       |         | 66 (51)                                                                                       | 63 (49)                                                                                       |         |
| Age of sick child                                                                |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |
| 1st week                                                                         | 13 (57)                                                                                       | 10 (43)                                                                                       | 0.605   |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |
| 2 – 4 weeks                                                                      | 22 (55)                                                                                       | 18 (45)                                                                                       |         |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |
| 5 – 8 weeks                                                                      | 31 (66)                                                                                       | 16 (34)                                                                                       |         |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |
| 2 – 11 months                                                                    | 114 (54)                                                                                      | 97 (46)                                                                                       | 0.985   |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |
| 12 – 23 months                                                                   | 114 (55)                                                                                      | 95 (45)                                                                                       |         |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |
| 24 – 59 months                                                                   | 169 (54)                                                                                      | 144 (46)                                                                                    |         |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |
| Sex of sick child                                                                |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |
| Boy                                                                              | 38 (61)                                                                                       | 24 (39)                                                                                       | 0.776   | 218 (55)                                                                                      | 181 (45)                                                                                      | 0.762   |
| Girl                                                                             | 28 (58)                                                                                       | 20 (42)                                                                                       |         | 179 (54)                                                                                      | 155 (44)                                                                                      |         |
| Caregivers’ compliance with referral advice as perceived by healthcare providers |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |                                                                                              |                                                                                              |         |
| Low                                                                              | 28 (61)                                                                                       | 18 (39)                                                                                       | 0.889   | 152 (56)                                                                                      | 158 (4)                                                                                       | 0.661   |
| High                                                                             | 38 (59)                                                                                       | 26 (41)                                                                                       |         | 245 (53)                                                                                      | 218 (47)                                                                                      |         |
professionals of the health centre took risks to treat the severely sick children that deserved referral care only for the caregivers’ economic situation. We were taking the risk only to prevent them from returning back to home, without getting any cure for their sick children” (Clinical nurse, 28 years, focus group discussion).

Factors associated with parents’ referral compliance
More than half of the parents of the referred children were perceived by the healthcare providers to have a low compliance with referral advices. However, in the interviews, the vast majority of the parents claimed that they had taken their referred children to the referral health facility. These interviewees stressed the importance of complying with a referral advice.

The FGDs of the healthcare providers and the in-depth interviews with parents of the referred children showed that caregivers were encouraged to comply with referral advice when they had the ability to afford referral costs and were members of a community-based health insurance scheme (CBHI). CBHI is a scheme in which households are required to enrol to protect them against catastrophic out-of-pocket healthcare expenditure. The availability of ambulances, a good-quality health extension program, and a perceived availability of better care at the referral site were thought to encourage parents to comply with a referral advice.

There were factors that hinder parents from taking their referred children to the referral facility. Healthcare providers mentioned poverty; families that could not afford referral costs.

“Because of financial problems, families of sick children sometimes do not accept the referral and prefer to take their severely sick children back home or to the traditional healer” (Health extension worker, 28 years, focus group discussion).

They could also object to the referral advice due to traditional illness perceptions and practices. Also, a long distance to the referral site could demotivate caregivers from complying with referral advice.

“ Severely sick children who were coming from rural settings were more affected by the absence of ambulance services” (Clinical nurse, 28 years, focus group discussion).

Unavailability of transportation, lack of confidence in medical care at the referral site, and perceived disrespectful care were perceived as hurdles to parents’ referral compliance.

“Nowadays, more emphasis has been given to the pregnant or labouring mothers. The children are getting less attention. Hence, this situation should be corrected. Although there are ambulance services in our area, they are only serving pregnant and labouring mothers. No services for severely sick children and other critical conditions.” (A health extension worker, 38 years, focus group discussion).

In the in-depth interviews with mothers, lack of money was mentioned to be the most prominent reason for non-compliance with referral advice. Other reasons mentioned were lack of hope of improvement of the severely sick child, and that nobody took care of the children remaining at home.

An association of severe illnesses with perceptions like the evil eye was also mentioned to be a barrier for referral compliance.

A mother of a 3-years-old child, who had been referred for a severe illness, stated:

“I was told by my neighbours not to take my sick child to the health post and that my daughter’s problem was the evil eye. Some people in our community believe that severely sick children with such kind of illnesses (cough and high fever) will die if they are given an injectable medication. I took my daughter to the referral site despite all this.” (Mother, 30 years, key informant interview).

Discussion
We found that over half of the healthcare providers complied with referral guidelines when managing 0–59 months old children with severe illnesses. Healthcare providers who had received in-service training on child treatment were more likely to appropriately refer severely sick older children according to guidelines. Some factors were perceived to be positively associated with referral adherence according to guidelines: the severity of the child’s illness, availability of a healthcare provider who had been trained on treatment guidelines, and availability of referral logistics. Other factors were considered to be linked to lower adherence to guidelines: high workload, lack of ambulances, stock-out of essential medicines and diagnostics. Parents of referred severely sick children were more ready to accept the referral advice if they recognised the severity of the child’s illness. Compliance was also related to ability to afford cost of transportation, medicines and care at the referral site. Being a member of a community-based health insurance scheme could also influence compliance. Availability of transport or ambulance services and a strong health extension program were also mentioned to motivate parents’ referral compliance. Families could also object to a
referral advice due to traditional illness perceptions and practices. A lack of confidence in the medical care at the referral site and a long distance could be a hurdle to comply with referral.

We found that the healthcare providers did not refer more than a quarter of sick young infants and older children with severe illnesses that require referral. In a previous study in four Ethiopian regions, we found that nearly half of the severely sick young infants were not referred [24]. Poor adherence to guidelines could result in misuse of antibiotics, missing treatment, and increased lethality in severe cases [30]. Hence, actions to improve adherence to referral guidelines are needed as part of improved quality-of-care.

Several reasons were stated for the lack of adherence of the healthcare providers to referral guidelines. At the health worker level, despite knowing the need for referral they may act differently. In a study in Tanzania, only 25% of children classified to be severely ill at the primary care level were referred. In that setting, the healthcare providers disagreed with the guidelines and considered many of children with severe illnesses that require referral. In a previous Ethiopian study [36], we found that the healthcare providers did not refer children to the referral facility. This finding was similar to a Malawian study, where lack of essential drugs and supplies were among the main challenges in the implementation of the integrated management of childhood illnesses [38].

As expected, the qualitative study showed that parents as well as service providers perceived the severity of the child’s illness to motivate the caregivers to take their referred children to the referral facility. This finding was consistent with studies in Uganda [18] and Burkina Faso [15]. Hence, this calls for enhancing the awareness of the communities to identify children with signs and symptoms of severely sick children requiring urgent referral.

According to the healthcare providers, lack of willingness to accept and objection of the referral advice were among the barriers that were perceived to negatively affect caregivers’ referral compliance. Hence, effective counselling to the caregivers on the whole referral process, including the reason and importance, may counteract these problems [39].

Like mentioned in previous studies in Ethiopia [32], and India [40], longer distance to the referral site may hinder caregivers from taking their severely sick children to the referral site. Similarly, lack of money for transportation and health care costs was among the conditions that discouraged the caregivers from complying. This was also found in Burkina Faso [15], Uganda [18], and Bangladesh [41]. Hence, improving the coverage of enrolment in the existing community-based health insurance schemes may help to reduce this problem.

An Ethiopian health economics study indicated that an ambulance-based referral system was highly cost-effective for emergency obstetric and newborn care [42]. We found that ambulances were often not available for sick children but dedicated for pregnant and labouring mothers. The unavailability of ambulances for sick child referral was perceived to inhibit caregivers from taking their severely sick children to the referral site. Hence, measures need to be taken so that all critical and emergency cases including newborns and under-five children could benefit from ambulance services to avoid delays and avoidable deaths.

This study also found that perceived poor quality of care at the referral site could demotivate parents to take their children to the referral site. This finding was
consistent with previous studies from Afghanistan [19], and Bangladeshi [43]. The quality of care for newborns and under-five children both at the referring and receiving health facilities need to be enhanced.

In this study we triangulated the quantitative findings with qualitative results to answer our research questions on referral compliance. It is probably the first Ethiopian study that assessed referral compliance using a mixed-methods approach. Though we have referral adherence information from the healthcare providers and caregivers’ compliance with a referral advice for severely sick children, we have not followed individual children and captured their information to evaluate compliance and outcome. Recall bias might have been a concern of this study. We tried to counter this problem by collecting some of the data from records, when applicable. As this study was performed in only one zone of the southern region of Ethiopia, the generalisability is limited. It should, however, be noted that we in an earlier study of sick child referral found limited variation across four Ethiopian regions [24]. Only a few associations between background characteristics and healthcare providers’ adherence to the referral guidelines were observed in the quantitative analyses. The relatively small sample size may have limited the possibility of displaying such associations.

Conclusions
This study has shown that adherence of the healthcare providers to referral guidelines and compliance of parents with a referral advice were not optimal. Several family and health system related factors hampered the referral system. Healthcare providers’ adherence to care and treatment guidelines need to be enhanced by supportive supervision and clinical mentoring, and structural barriers to a well-functioning referral system should be removed. Community engagement may improve compliance with referral decisions. Further research is needed to identify strategies to improve the referral component in a well-functioning primary healthcare system.
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Operational definitions
A referral was defined as a process in which a health worker at a one level of the health system, having insufficient resources (drugs, equipment, skills) to manage a clinical condition seeks the assistance of a better or differently resourced facility at the same or higher level to assist in, or take over the management of, the client’s case.

Referral compliance or adherence was defined as the number or proportion of referred children who are treated at the referral/receiving health facility

Initiating facility (or Referring facility) is a health facility that initiates the referral process that sends the patient.

Receiving facility is a health facility that receives patients or clients from referring health facility and ensures that required care is given to the client and returns the patient with feedback.

Case management service is the assessment, treatment, and referral services in CBNC, ICCM and IMNCI program. In addition, they include case management services for acute malnutrition and newborn illness.

An appropriate referral decision is defined as a provider’s referral decision which is consistent with the recommendations in the guideline, given that there has been a correct diagnosis.

Inappropriate referral decision is defined as a provider’s referral decision which is inconsistent with the recommendations in the guideline. When the diagnosis is incorrect, the case is not submitted to the appropriateness assessment.

General danger signs - Not able to drink/breastfeed, vomits everything, convulsions, and lethargy.

ICCM - the concurrent management of more than one common childhood illness. ICCM in Ethiopia is integrated management by an HEW at the community level of the following childhood illnesses: pneumonia, diarrhea, malaria, malnutrition, measles, anemia, and ear infection.

Major CBNC/iCCM/IMNCI illnesses - Pneumonia, diarrhea, malaria, measles, malnutrition, and danger signs.

Severe illness - A child was considered to have a severe illness that require urgent referral if the 0-59 days sick young infant had any of the following signs: According to these guidelines, babies 0-59 days who are very preterm (< 32 weeks), very low birth weight (< 1500g), preterm (32-37 weeks), low birth weight (1500-2500gm), have a possible severe bacterial infection, severe jaundice, severe dehydration, severe persistent diarreha, or dysentry require an urgent referral. Similarly, children 2-59 months with a possible severe bacterial infection, severe dehydration, severe pneumonia, possible severe bacterial infections, severe persistent diarreha, very severe febrile disease, severe complicated measles, mastoiditis, severe anaemia, and complicated severe acute malnutrition require an urgent referral [21, 22].
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