Obtaining and Corrosion Performance of Composite Zinc Coatings with Incorporated Carbon Spheres
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Abstract: The present work describes one possible way to prepare a stable aqueous suspension of carbon sphere particles with a positive charge that is suitable for simultaneous electrodeposition with zinc on steel substrate. In order to stabilize the suspension against aggregation, tri-block amphiphilic copolymer Pluronic F127, which is commercially available, was adsorbed on the surface of carbon sphere particles. This polymer contained poly (ethylene oxide) blocks as hydrophilic segments and poly (propylene oxide) blocks as the hydrophobic part. Scanning electron microscopy and visual observations confirmed the stability of the obtained suspension. The carbon sphere particles were embedded into the zinc coating by the co-electrodeposition process. The surface morphology of the composite coating was investigated using scanning electron microscopy. The influence of the carbon spheres on the cathodic and anodic processes was evaluated with cyclic voltammetry studies. The electrochemical investigations were realized in a model corrosion medium (5% NaCl solution with pH 6.7) by application of selected methods such as polarization resistance, potentiodynamic polarization, and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, which revealed higher protective ability of the composite coating against corrosion in an aggressive environment.
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1. Introduction

Zinc is generally applied to ensure galvanic protection against corrosion of steel as it exhibits an anodic character with respect to iron and dissolves first in an aggressive environment. Dissolution of this metal in a neutral corrosion medium containing Cl⁻-ions leads to the creation of a layer of corrosion products on the surface. These products are characterized by a low solubility value (zinc oxides, hydroxides, hydroxide chlorides, etc.) and impede the penetration of corrosive agents inside the underlying metal [1–3]. Incorporation of nano- or microparticles, such as SiO₂, TiO₂, ZrO₂, polytetrafluorethylene (PTFE), and polyaniline-SiO₂ (PANI-SiO₂) particles, enhances the protective characteristics of the ordinary zinc coatings [4–8]. The reason for this phenomenon seems to be the appearance of a mixed layer that simultaneously contains the corrosion products and the incorporated particles. This mixed layer demonstrates better properties as a result of synergistic effects, which cannot be achieved by each component separately. Composite zinc coating has also been electrodeposited on mild steel using dispersed nanosized (5–10 nm) carbon black particles [9].

There are several papers that have studied the application of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) in composite zinc coatings on steel [10–12]. However, the results about CNTs role in corrosion protection of steel are to a certain degree contradictory. The electrochemical studies by Praveen et al. revealed higher
resistance of the composite zinc coating to corrosion in 3.5% NaCl solution [7]. The incorporation of CNTs in the zinc coating was found to shift the potential toward a more positive direction, which means that the composite coating possesses more reducing power and the dissolution of zinc is slowed down. The addition of CNTs into the zinc coating was also suggested to provide a physical barrier to the corrosion medium as the carbon nanotubes can fill the microholes of the metal surface, which are usually active sites for metal dissolution. In contrast, the study by Peshova et al. showed about 25% lower corrosion resistance of a composite zinc coating containing CNTs [13]. The appearance of galvanic couples as a result of inhomogeneous distribution of the CNTs into the zinc matrix was suggested as one reason for the accelerated corrosion attack [13].

Generally, ionic and non-ionic surfactants are used in electroplating solutions to improve the distribution of the CNTs in the metal matrix. As CNTs are strongly hydrophobic, they are inclined to aggregate if dispersed in water. For that reason, the preparation of stable CNTs dispersions in water is of great significance and still a challenge for their application as reinforcing particles in composite coatings. The surfactant molecules may be adsorbed on the CNT surface with their hydrophobic parts next to the surface and the hydrophilic parts facing outward in the solution. Different surfactants may affect the properties of the composite coatings in different ways [14–16]. For example, the addition of an anionic surfactant in the depositing bath was found to increase the corrosion resistance of a Ni-CNT composite coating, in contrast to the addition of a cationic surfactant [11]. On the other hand, Praveen et al. used a cationic surfactant for the preparation of a Zn-CNTs composite coating, which presented better anticorrosion effect compared to the standard zinc coating [7].

Non-ionic surface modifications were developed to increase the dispersion of the carbon particles despite the relatively weak interaction between their surfaces and the modifiers (providing steric stabilization of suspensions) [17]. Amphiphilic block copolymers, which can form micelles in the selective solvents, have been used to disperse the carbon particles. For example, a series of nonylphenol ethoxylates, having a constant hydrophobic group composition and varying numbers of ethylene oxide groups, were shown to stabilize carbon black suspension against aggregation [18]. A polystyrene–polyethylene oxide copolymer was also found to increase the stability of carbon black particles in water [19]. The amount and structure of PEO–PPO–PEO (PEO: poly(ethylene oxide), PPO: poly(propylene oxide)) block copolymers (Pluronics) adsorbed onto hydrophobic carbon black nanoparticles in water has also been studied [15,20]. The effect of surfactants on dispersion of CNTs and carbon black particles was recognized due to decrease in the particle sizes.

The aim of this investigation was the fabrication of homogeneous zinc–carbon composite coating from a slightly acidic sulfate solution containing well dispersed microsized carbon spheres (CSP). The latter have properties similar to those of graphite [21]. The availability of relatively easy and efficient methods for carbon sphere preparation was one reason for their application as additives in the composite zinc–carbon coating. Our interest in them also resulted from the uniformity, hydrophobic surfaces, and chemical inertness of the spheres. The composite coating was electrodeposited on mild steel, applying the non-ionic polymer Pluronic F127 as a dispersing agent for the carbon particles. We demonstrated the feasibility of the carbon spheres for enhancing the protective efficiency against corrosion of ordinary zinc coating on steel.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Materials and Methods

Carbon spheres (CSP) were synthesized by pyrolytic decomposition of xylene in an iron container according the procedure described in [22]. The container was hermetically closed by a spherical steel cover with a pressure of 100 Pa. The heating of the container was done in a furnace with the temperature rising at a rate of 20 °C/min. The synthesis continued for 15 min at 600 °C/min followed by cooling at a rate of 30 °C/min. For graphitization, sphere particles thus obtained were heated in vacuum at 2000 °C for 15 min. Synthesis in a closed space resulted in the formation of particles with diameters
ranging from 1 to 12 µm [22]. Figure 1 shows carbon spheres before and after their graphitization. The average diameter of the carbon spheres used in the presented study is approximately 5.4 ± 1.2 µm, determined by scanning electron microscopy.

![Figure 1. SEM images of carbon spheres before and after (inset) their graphitization.](image)

Commercially available non-ionic polymer PEO100PPO65PEO100 of $M_w = 12.6$ kDa, which is known as Pluronic® F127, was delivered by Sigma-Aldrich (Darmstadt, Germany). Its critical micelle concentration is 7 g/L at 25 °C [15]. Analytical grade chemicals ZnSO$_4$·7H$_2$O, NH$_4$Cl, H$_3$BO$_3$, HCl, NaOH, and NaCl are also supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (Darmstadt, Germany).

2.2. Polymer Stabilization of Carbon Sphere Suspension

Carbon sphere suspension was prepared by a dispersion of 0.1 g particles in 1 L distilled water at 30 min sonication. The electrophoretic mobility of the carbon spheres was followed as a function of pH from 3.0 to 6.0 to determine the $\zeta$-potential values, which are considered to be the necessary minimum to obtain stable suspension [23]. For stabilization of the carbon sphere suspension against aggregation during co-electrodeposition with zinc on the steel sample, Pluronic F127 solutions with concentrations varied from 0.9 to 20 g/L (0.9, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 15, and 20 g/L) were added to the suspensions of 0.1 g/L concentration and sonicated for 3, 5, 20, and 30 min. Visual stabilization of the suspension was registered at concentrations higher than 5 g/L.

2.3. Electrophoretic Mobility of Carbon Spheres

The electrophoretic mobility of the particles ($U_e$) was measured as a function of pH by application of the Rank Brothers Mark II device. The measurements were repeatable and the error was less than 10%. Using Smoluchowski’s relationship:

$$\zeta = U_e \eta / \varepsilon$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\eta$ and $\varepsilon$ are the viscosity and dielectric constant of the medium, respectively. The $\zeta$-potential values of the particles were calculated from the electrophoretic mobility data.

2.4. Electrodeposition of Composite Coating on Steel

A stable suspension containing carbon spheres with concentrations of 0.1 and 7 g/L Pluronic F127 (sonicated for 30 min) was selected for electrodeposition with zinc at pH 4.5–5.0. The slightly acidic zinc electrolyte solution contained 150 g/L ZnSO$_4$·7H$_2$O, 30 g/L NH$_4$Cl, and 30 g/L H$_3$BO$_3$. A glass cell of 300 mL volume was used for the electrodeposition process. The latter was carried out in a
room temperature of about 25 °C, with a current density of 2 A/dm² and an application of soluble metallurgical zinc anodes [2]. The concentration of the CSp in the electrolyte to obtain the composite coating was $5 \times 10^{-2}$ g/L. Ordinary zinc and composite zinc coatings were electrodeposited on low carbon steel samples with sizes $20 \times 10 \times 1$ mm and composition as follows (wt.%): C—0.05–0.12; S ≤ 0.04; P ≤ 0.35; Mn—0.25–0.5; Cr ≤ 0.1; Si ≤ 0.03; Ni ≤ 0.3; Cu ≤ 0.3; As ≤ 0.08; Fe-balance. The thickness of the obtained coatings was calculated to be ~11 µm. The coatings were dried in air before their corrosion behavior was tested.

2.5. Methods for Corrosion Characterization of the Coatings

Corrosion resistance of the coatings was evaluated by several electrochemical methods such as: polarization resistance (Rp); potentiodynamic polarization curves (PD); electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS); and cyclic voltammetry polarization (CVA). All the methods have been realized with computerized PAR unit VersaStat 4 (Princeton Applied Research, Oak Ridge, TN, USA). The investigations have been realized by application of a three-electrode glass cell with a volume of 300 mL. The reference electrode was saturated calomel electrode (SCE), while a platinum plate was recognized as a counter. The working electrode was the tested sample (zinc or composite coating) for Rp, PD, and EIS. For CVA investigations, a Pt-rod with an area of 0.16 cm² was applied. The principles and features of the methods used are described in more detail elsewhere [8,13].

2.6. Surface and Composition of the Coatings

The zinc and composite coating surfaces were studied with a scanning electron microscope (JEOL JSM 6390 and INCA Energy 350 unit, Tokyo, Japan). The XRD method was applied in order to register the chemical composition of the samples before and after their corrosion treatment in the model medium by application of Apparatus EMPYREAN (Almelo, The Netherlands). The PANalytical program, Version 3.0d(3.0.4.), (PANalytical B.V., Almelo, The Netherlands was used for data processing.

2.7. Corrosion Medium and Reproducibility

The investigations were held in a model corrosion medium of 5% NaCl solution at pH 6.7 and at ambient temperature. The reproducibility of the tests is an average of 5 samples per sample type.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Stabilization of Carbon Particle Suspension by Addition of Pluronic F127

Figure 2 shows the variation of $\zeta$-potential values of carbon sphere particles as a function of pH in the aqueous suspension. The electrokinetic measurements were carried out at a particle concentration of 0.1 g/L. It can be seen that the particles are positively charged at pH < 3.8 and negatively charged at the higher pH values (isoelectric point of the suspension is at pH ≈ 3.8). This result is similar to those found for the $\zeta$-potential of the carbon nanotubes and carbon black particles [24–26]. Depending on the source, heat treatment, and manufacturing process of the particles, different isoelectric point values are cited in the literature [27,28].

In aqueous media (pH > 4), the carbon sphere surface is negatively charged due to the dissociation of surface OH− and COOH− groups resulting from the treatment of the initial material. The $\zeta$-potential of the carbon particles increases with increasing pH of the suspension as a result of a rise in the degree of dissociation of the weakly acidic surface functional groups. In acidic media (pH < 4), the particles are positively charged because of proton attachment to hydroxyl and carboxyl surface groups. Poor solubility of carbon particles is found around pH values close to the isoelectric point, which is attributed to the strong van der Waals attraction forces between particles and water. Increased solubility further from the isoelectric point is mainly attributed to the increased number of functional groups at active sites of the carbon particles’ surfaces. Electrostatic repulsion between relatively strongly charged particles ($\zeta$-potential > 30 mV) is believed to ensure suspension stability far from the isoelectric point [23].
Co-electrodeposition of zinc and carbon spheres on steel substrate was performed at pH 3.25. The carbon particles are positively charged at this pH and can be deposited on a cathode (steel) surface. When a stable suspension of carbon particles (with ζ-potential ≈ 30 mV) was added to the zinc sulfate solution, however, strong aggregation was observed because of the high ion concentration in the zinc sulfate solution (≥1 M). To prevent the aggregation, 7 g/L Pluronic F127 was added to the stable carbon sphere suspension of 0.1 g/L concentration at pH 6.0. Adsorption of Pluronic F127 micelles seems to be the main reason for stabilization of the suspension. As expected, the particles remained negatively charged after the addition of the non-charged polymer (see Figure 2). However, we found that after mixing of the above suspension with ZnSO₄ solution of concentration 1 M, the pH of the mixed system strongly decreased (below pH 3.8), which means that the carbon particles acquired positive surface charge, i.e., they became suitable for electrodeposition on a cathode surface. The carbon particles remained well dispersed in the suspension during the next 24 h.

The used polymer also played the role of a wetting agent needed for the preparation of the uniform composite coating. In addition, entrapment of free PEO-PPO-PEO micelles into a standard zinc coating was found to improve its corrosion resistance [29,30]. Coordination bonds between Zn²⁺ ions and PEO-blocks of the polymer are suggested to be the cause of the electrodeposition of Pluronic F127 micelles on the steel substrate.

3.2. Morphology of the Zinc–Carbon Sphere Coating

The surface morphology of the composite ZnCSp coating on steel is presented in Figure 3. The scanning electron image (Figure 3—right) shows that the greater part of the coating is covered by needle-like formations, most probably with a metallic character. This could be a result of changes in the zinc phase orientation during the electrodeposition process in the presence of the particles. Incorporation of the latter into the zinc matrix is better seen from the backscattered part of the image (Figure 3—left). It shows the incorporation of carbon spheres into the composite coating having almost the same size as in the starting suspension (inset in Figure 3).
3.3. Electrochemical Tests

3.3.1. Polarization Resistance Investigations

The polarization resistance measurements of both coating types—composite ZnCSp and ordinary zinc—during a 60-days stay in the model 5% NaCl solution are presented in Figure 4. It is obvious that, from the start of the investigation, the Rp values of ZnCSp sample are still greater in the range of 800 Ω cm². Thereafter, the polarization resistance of the composite coating increases up to the 15th day, followed by a slight decrease until the 40th day. However, the Rp values of this sample are higher compared to the ordinary zinc throughout the whole testing period. At the end of the investigation, ZnCSp demonstrate an Rp value of ~1750 Ω cm² and the ordinary zinc coating demonstrates an Rp value of ~1100 Ω cm².

![Figure 3. Surface morphology of zinc–carbon sphere coating on steel. Inset: carbon sphere at high magnification.](image)

![Figure 4. Polarization resistance measurements of Zn and ZnCSp coatings.](image)

As discussed elsewhere [8,29], the reason for the behavior of the composite coatings in a neutral corrosive medium seems to be the appearance of a mixed protective layer of zinc corrosion products, the latter also containing the incorporated particles—CSp in our case. Generally, according to SEM, CSp seem to be relatively randomly distributed in the metal matrix and physically hinder (barrier effect) the penetration of the corrosive agents (Cl⁻-ions) deep inside, thus demonstrating additional protective influence.
3.3.2. Potentiodynamic (PD) Polarization

The potentiodynamic polarization curves of the investigated coatings are demonstrated in Figure 5. Ordinary Zn and composite ZnCSp coatings practically have very close corrosion potential (E_{corr}) values: −1.063 and −1.074 V, respectively. Generally, such an observation could be assumed to be due to the predominantly metallic character of both samples.

![Figure 5. Potentiodynamic polarization curves of Zn and ZnCSp coatings.](image)

Somewhat unexpectedly, the corrosion current densities (I_{corr}) of the ordinary zinc coating are lower (−1.6 × 10^{-5} A/cm^2) compared to those of the composite (−5.9 × 10^{-5} A/cm^2), i.e., about 3.7 times lower. The reason for such a phenomenon in conditions of external anodic polarization could be the presence and distribution of CSp in the metal matrix, which will increase the current intensity on the metallic part of the coating compared to the non-metallic one. In addition, the anodic curve of ZnCSp is characterized by a decreased rate in the potential area between −1 and −0.95 V compared to the ordinary Zn. The most probable reason for this could be the appearance of more CSp on the surface (as a result of the selective anodic dissolution of the surrounding zinc), leading to an increased barrier effect.

3.3.3. Electrochemical Impedance (EIS) Measurements

The results obtained from the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy for both investigated coatings are shown in Figure 6. It is obvious that the ZnCSp sample distinguishes with greater Rp value (measured on the X axes)—about 250 Ω. For comparison, this parameter for the ordinary Zn is ≈110 Ω.

![Figure 6. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements of Zn and ZnCSp coatings.](image)
These results correspond qualitatively to the case of PD curves and polarization resistance and can be explained with the appearance of surface layers of corrosion products, the latter protecting the substrate from the penetration of corrosion agents. Concerning the composite coating, it must be mentioned that the protective layer is “mixed” with CSp particles, which additionally improve the protective action due to the apparent physical barrier effect.

3.3.4. Cyclic Voltammetry (CVA)

These experiments were carried out in the starting electrolytes for the electrodeposition of ordinary Zn and composite ZnCSp coatings—Figure 7. The incorporated CSp particles strongly affect the course of the cathodic and anodic branches of the experimental curves. The electrodeposition of both sample types starts at very close potential values of about –1.07 V. Nevertheless, the deposition rate of the composite at potential value of –1.4 V (vertex potential) is obviously slower (–0.004 mA) compared to the same parameter of the ordinary zinc, which is –0.05 mA, i.e., about 12 times lower. Most probably, this effect could be explained by the assumption that CSp particles, being of greater scale compared to zinc ions, will cover a larger cathodic area, thus hindering the electrodeposition of the zinc.

![Cyclic voltammetry polarization (CVA) investigations of Zn and ZnCSp coatings.](image)

Figure 7. Cyclic voltammetry polarization (CVA) investigations of Zn and ZnCSp coatings.

Generally, the anodic areas of the curves correspond to the cathodic ones in regard to the current values and the quantity of the electrodeposited coatings. However, the anodic dissolution peak of ZnCSp is placed at a more negative potential value (–0.88 mV) compared to that of the ordinary zinc (–0.72 V), i.e., this coating will dissolve earlier, producing as a result a mixed layer with CSp to protect the underlying substrate.

3.3.5. XRD Investigations

The results from the XRD investigations of ZnCSp coating before (down) and after (middle) corrosion treatment during the 60 days in the model corrosion medium are presented in Figure 8. For comparison, the XRD pattern of ordinary zinc treatment is also presented (up). As is well known from previous investigations, the main reason for the improved corrosion resistance of the Zn-based coatings in such a medium is the appearance of corrosion products with a low product of solubility value—mainly zinc hydroxide chloride (ZHC) [2,8,29]. However, in that case, XRD patterns obtained for corrosive treated composite and ordinary Zn also present additional peaks of other compounds such as zinc hydroxide carbonate Zn3(OH)6(CO3)2, ZnO, and Zn(OH)2, which contribute to the appearance of the protective mixed layer with barrier properties. It is obvious that the amount of corrosion products is greater in the case of the composite coating (better expressed peaks). This observation could be assumed to be a reason...
for the better corrosion resistance of ZnCSp, keeping in mind that the abovementioned compounds will improve the barrier properties of the protective layer.

![XRD patterns of corrosive treated ordinary Zn (up) as well as of ZnCSp coating before (down) and after (middle) corrosion treatment.](image)

**Figure 8.** XRD patterns of corrosive treated ordinary Zn (up) as well as of ZnCSp coating before (down) and after (middle) corrosion treatment. *—Zn(OH)_2;□—Zn(OH)_2; x—ZnO; x—Zn(OH)_2/CO_3/2.

4. Conclusions

These investigations demonstrate the effect of the incorporation of modified carbon sphere particles on the protective properties and corrosion characteristics of composite zinc coating with incorporated carbon spheres on steel substrate. The main results can be summarized as follows:

1. Steric stabilization of a colloidal suspension of positively charged CSp particles was realized by applying non-ionic amphiphilic tri-block copolymer Pluronic F127 at concentrations of 7 g/L. SEM investigations clearly demonstrate the uniform distribution of CSp particles in the metallic zinc matrix of the composite coating.

2. The Rp, PD, and EIS methods clearly presented the positive effect of CSp particles on the protective properties of the composite for 60 days and at conditions of external polarization.

3. The main reason for the improved corrosion resistance and protective ability in that medium seems to be the forming of a mixed layer, the latter simultaneously containing zinc corrosion products and CSp particles, which leads to the appearance of an additional physical barrier against the penetration of the corrosive agents deep inside.
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