Removal and recovery of U(VI) from aqueous effluents by flax fiber: Adsorption, desorption and batch adsorber proposal
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Highlights
- Removal and recovery of uranium were investigated in a batch process.
- Adsorbent characteristics were scientifically analyzed.
- The maximum obtained U(VI) removal was ≈94.50% at pH of 4 and adsorbent dose of 1.2 g.
- Adsorption data were analyzed using kinetic, isotherm and thermodynamic models.
- Full scale batch adsorber unit was recommended.

Abstract
Flax fiber (Linen fiber), a valuable and inexpensive material was used as sorbent material in the uptake of uranium ion for the safe disposal of liquid effluent. Flax fibers were characterized using BET, XRD, TGA, DTA and FTIR analyses, and the results confirmed the ability of flax fiber to adsorb uranium. The removal efficiency reached 94.50% at pH 4, 1.2 g adsorbent dose and 100 min in batch technique. Adsorption results were fitted well to the Langmuir isotherm. The recovery of U (VI) to form yellow cake was investigated by precipitation using NH4OH (33%). The results show that flax fibers are an acceptable sorbent for the removal and recovery of U (VI) from liquid effluents of low and high initial concentrations. The design of a full scale batch unit was also proposed and the necessary data was suggested.
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Introduction
Environmental pollution is deemed one of most serious issues that should be taken care of due to its catastrophic influences on human health and environment [1]. Therefore, many countries have paid considerable attention to avert or treat environmental pollution [2,3]. Pollutants of water and waste water industries such as heavy metals have been treated using different physical and chemical processes. Compared to all the different wastewater industries, water containing radioactive pollutants (uranium and thorium) is the most dangerous wastewater. Thus, researchers are still investigating different methods to remove radioactive elements from liquid wastes for safe disposal [4–6]. Uranium (U) is a...
very significant toxic and radioactive element that is utilized in many nuclear applications. However, it has negative effects on the environment and needs to be removed from radioactive waste water [7]. Uranium from nuclear industrial processes seeps into the environment, pollutes water or soil and enters plants and from comes in contact with human bodies, causing severe damage to the kidneys or liver that lead to death [8]. Various processes, such as precipitation, evaporation, ion exchange, liquid-liquid extraction, membrane separation [9–13], have been used to treat the radioactive liquid wastes. However, these methods are not successful or cost-effective, especially when dealing with the great volumes of liquid waste includes low concentrations of radioactive pollutants [14]. For that reason, many researchers considered adsorption to be one of the most efficient processes to treat this limits of pollutants. Adsorption process has been considered to be an advantageous technique (simple construction and operation) and it uses a variety of adsorbent materials such as modified rice stem [15], codoped graphene [16], nanographite powder [17], iron/magnetite carbon composites [18] and sporangiospores of mucor circinelloides [19], to adsorb pollutants from the liquid phase. Flax fibers are obtained from agriculture as a by-product. It is composed of fibers, cellulose, hemicelluloses, lignin containing functional groups in their chemical composition such as carboxyl, hydroxyl group which have a major role in facilitating adsorption processes. The current work, deals with the treatment of high concentrations of uranium ions discharged from nuclear processes (mining, nuclear fuel manufacture and application), which must be treated to the lowest concentration before being transferred to the relevant processing units such as the Hot Labs Center, Atomic Energy Authority, Cairo. In this research, the focus was be an advantageous technique (simple construction and operation) and it uses a variety of adsorbent materials such as modified rice stem [15], codoped graphene [16], nanographite powder [17], iron/magnetite carbon composites [18] and sporangiospores of mucor circinelloides [19], to adsorb pollutants from the liquid phase. Flax fibers are obtained from agriculture as a by-product. It is composed of fibers, cellulose, hemicelluloses, lignin containing functional groups in their chemical composition such as carboxyl, hydroxyl group which have a major role in facilitating adsorption processes. The current work, deals with the treatment of high concentrations of uranium ions discharged from nuclear processes (mining, nuclear fuel manufacture and application), which must be treated to the lowest concentration before being transferred to the relevant processing units such as the Hot Labs Center, Atomic Energy Authority, Cairo. In this research, the focus was on the use of natural degradation materials such as flax fibers to remove and recover the U element from the liquid wastes. The factors affecting the batch sorption (pH, sorbent dose, initial feed concentration, contact time, and temperature) were optimized and the results were evaluated using isotherm and kinetics models.

Materials & methods

Materials

Flax fiber was obtained from flax industry, Tanta, Egypt. Flax fiber was prepared as follows: they were cut into <3–5 mm pieces and washed by hot water many times to remove wax and foreign matters. Washing was continued until all contaminants were removed and clear water was obtained. After that, flax fibers were dried at 378 K to dry the fibers. Liquid samples of experiments were prepared from uranyl acetate (UO₂(OCOCH₃)₂·6H₂O). Feed and final uranium concentrations (mg/l) were determined spectrophotometrically (Shimadzu UV–VIS-1601 spectrophotometer) using arsenazo (III) [20]. All chemicals and reagents used in this research were analytical grades.

Methods

To study the adsorption performance of the prepared flax fibers, sorption of U (VI) ions was investigated in a batch system. A known weight of adsorbent was agitated at 250 rpm with 60 ml uranium sample in a thermostatic shaker water bath of type (Julabo, Model SW –20 °C, Germany) at different conditions (Table 2). 0.1 M HNO₃ or 0.1 M NH₄OH solutions were utilized to adjust pH (Metrohm E-632, Heisau, Switzerland). The fiber was separated by filter paper and the sample was spectrophotometrically analyzed. Maximum uptake capacity qₑ (mg/g) and adsorption percent [R (%)] were determined by following equations.

\[
R(\%) = \frac{\text{feed concentration}}{\text{final concentration}} \times 100
\]

\[
q_e = \frac{ ([\text{feed concentration} - \text{final concentration}] \times \text{Volume of sample})}{\text{Mass of flax fiber}}
\]

Sorption kinetics

Three kinetic models were used to explain and estimate the uptake of uranium ions on flax fiber by linear and nonlinear techniques [21]. Non-linear technique is a better system to acquire the parameters of kinetic models.

Pseudo-first-order model

This model [22], is explained by the following equations:

Non-linear : \[q_t = q_e \left(1 - \exp^{(-k_1 t)}\right)\] (3)

Linear : \[\ln(q_e - q_t) - \ln(q_e - (1 - K_1/2.303)t)\] (4)

Pseudo-second-order model

The model is explained by equations [23]:

Non-linear : \[q_t = K_2q^2_e t / (1 + K_2 q_e t)\] (5)

Linear : \[t/q_t = (1/K_2 q^2_e) + (1/q_e)t\] (6)

where, qₑ and qₑ are the sorption capacity at final and any time t (mg/g) and K₁ (L/min) and K₂ (g/mg.min) are the constants of the pseudo-first and second order models, respectively.

The Elovich kinetic model

The Elovich model is used to illustrate the chemisorption process assuming that the sorbent surfaces are vigorously heterogeneous, but the equation does not suggest any specific mechanism for sorbate–sorbent and is explained by equation [24]:

Non-linear : \[dq_t/dt = \alpha \exp^{(-\beta q_t)}\] (7)

The parameters of α and β are the Elovich constants which refer to the sorption rate (mg/g, min), and the capacity of flax fiber (g/mg), respectively. The Elovich equation was given in linear form by the eq.:

Linear : \[q_t = (1/\beta) \ln(xβ) + (1/\beta) \ln(t)\] (8)

Results & discussion

Characterization

Chemical composition

Cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin (Fig. 1) are the main components of flax fibers [26]. Lignin acts as a bonding material. The composition (cellulose, hemicelluloses, lignin and ash) of Fax fibers were analyzed using the process developed by Aravantinos-Zafiris et al. (1994) [25]. The chemical compositions of flax fiber are shown in Table 1.

BET analysis

Fig. 2 shows N₂ sorption–desorption isotherms (NOVA 2200E BET Surface Area Analyzer, Quantachrome Instruments) of flax
fiber, which is described as IV- style with a hysteresis loop, which indicates a mesoporous nature of flax fiber. The hysteresis loop have a quick adsorption and desorption nature, representing a narrow mesopore size distribution. Flax fiber possesses a large surface area of 51.54 m²/g and a pore volume of 0.41 cm³/g. The active sites of flax fiber were provided by a high surface area. The active adsorptive sites result from the mesoporous nature of flax fiber leading to its the high adsorption capacity of uranium ions onto the fiber.

**Fourier transformed infrared spectroscopy analysis (FTIR)**

The FTIR (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) of the flax fiber (Fig. 2) describes the properties of material components. The band at 3483 cm⁻¹ refers to O–H group and C–H bonds in the alkyl groups at 2910 cm⁻¹. The band at 1735 cm⁻¹ and 1642 cm⁻¹ explains that there is a C=O group of hemicellulose and ketenes, respectively [15]. The bands at 1465 and 1433 cm⁻¹ represent symmetric —CH₂ vibrations and C—H group at 1387 cm⁻¹ of methyl group. The band near 1165–1130 cm⁻¹, refer to asymmetric C—O—C. The bands at 1032 cm⁻¹ refer to the ether group of C—O ether [27]. After the process of adsorption, changes were made in O–H group, C—H bonds and C=O group to 3490, 2923 and 1653 cm⁻¹, respectively. These shifts indicate that there is a correlation between the uranium ions and the functional groups that make up the flax fibers by the ion exchange of H⁺ on the surface of fibers with UO₂²⁻ which changes the vibration strength and peak wavenumber [15]. The shifts in wavelength and the alteration in absorption intensity of O–H group, C—H bonds and C=O groups

| Component | Cellulose | Hemicelluloses | Lignin | Ashes | others |
|-----------|-----------|----------------|--------|-------|--------|
| Weight (%)| 85.3      | 8.3            | 3.5    | 1.03  | 1.67   |

**Fig. 1.** Cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin.

**Fig. 2.** N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherm (a) and pore-size distribution (b) of flax fiber and FTIR spectrum of flax fiber before (c) and after uptake (d).
can be correlated to the mechanism of adsorption. The presence of O—H stretching vibration may be attributed to the components of cellulose and lignin that may required in UO$_2^+$ binding during ion exchange and/or complexation mechanisms [28].

**X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis**

Fig. 3 (a and b), shows the XRD pattern of flax fiber before and after adsorption was performed by X-ray diffractometer (Philips instrument PW 1730). In the raw flax fiber four patterns of diffraction are presented at 2θ = 14.82°, 16.56°, 22.76°, and 33.99°, which refer to the planes of (1 1 0), (1 1 0), (2 0 0), and (0 0 4), respectively, indicating the crystalline structure of cellulose after adsorption [29]. Similar diffraction peaks were observed, and additionally new peaks at 2θ = 33.22°, and 74.55° referred to planes of (1 1 1) and (3 1 1), respectively. The appearance of new peaks and decreasing of the crystal structure after the uranium uptake may owe to the uptake of U(VI) by flax fibers, which causes part of the particle construction to modify from crystal to amorphous [11].

**Thermal analysis**

Thermal analysis was performed by DTA-50 Differential Thermal Analyzer, Japan. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) shows a degradation percent of 3.3% within 304–501 K, of dehydration reactions of water content [30]. The degradation percent of flax fiber begin at 502 K and increase with increasing the temperature to 80% between 502 K and 683 K (Fig. 3C). The degradation percent within 684–798 K was 6.3%, of char degradation [31]. Differential thermal gravimetry analysis (DTG) shows two peaks at 565 and 648 K which corresponding to light and heavy materials, respectively. DTG curve indicates that the maximum degradation happened at the temperature 648 K with the rate of 0.68 mg/min.

Thermal analysis indicates that there are two steps are involved in the degradation of flax fiber. The first step is the hemicellulose degradation [31], between 565 K and 598 K of percent 18.6% (Fig. 3C). The second step of degradation begin at 598 K and is finished at 648 K.

**Sorption studies**

Sorption time, pH, initial U(VI) concentration, dose and temperature were optimized and expressed as removal percent (R%) of U(VI) ion on the adsorbent. The uptake of uranium increases with increasing time until it reaches a certain time (100 min), no noticeable change occurs with increase in time due to saturation of adsorption sites [32,33]. The pH parameter is very important in the adsorption of U(VI) ions because of its ability to change the ionic forms of uranyl ions. Uranium uptake was raised with increasing the pH until reaching a maximum value at pH 4 and then decreased (Table 2). Lower adsorption of uranium ions at low pH values is due to the competition with H$^+$ on the surface of flax fiber [34]. When pH values increase beyond pH 4 the percentage removal decreases due to the creation of other forms (UO$_2$(OH)$_2$) or precipitation. Also, the effect of ionic strength on

| Parameter | Removal percent (R%) |
|-----------|---------------------|
| pH:       |                     |
| 2.0       | 42.32               |
| 3.0       | 75.24               |
| 4.0       | 92.21               |
| 5.0       | 89.31               |
| 6.0       | 83.50               |
| 7.0       | 65.11               |
| 8.0       | 51.50               |

| Initial concentration (mg/l): |       | 50–100 |
|-------------------------------|-------|--------|
| Conditions: pH = 4, 100 min, 303 K | 600   | 100    |
|                                | 700   | 92.2   |
|                                | 800   | 80.5   |
|                                | 900   | 71.6   |
|                                | 1000  | 64.4   |

| Adsorbent dose (g):          |       | 0.2    |
|-------------------------------|-------|--------|
| Conditions: 700 mg/l, 100 min, pH = 4 | 0.4   | 65.34  |
|                                | 0.8   | 73.40  |
|                                | 0.9   | 92.20  |
|                                | 1.0   | 94.50  |
|                                | 1.1   | 94.58  |
|                                | 1.2   | 94.32  |
|                                | 1.3   | 94.45  |

| Temperature (K) :            |       | 301    |
|-------------------------------|-------|--------|
| Conditions: 700 mg/l, 100 min, 1.0 g, pH = 4 | 313   | 94.50  |
|                                | 323   | 97.41  |
|                                | 328   | 99.22  |
|                                | 333   | 89.90  |

Fig. 3. XRD spectra of flax fiber before (a) and after (b) adsorption (C) TGA and DTG curves of raw flax fiber (N$_2$ atmosphere at 283 K).
U(VI) adsorption was studied and the result indicates that the uptake of U(VI) ions on flax fibers is feeble reliant on ionic strength along the pH range. Table 2, demonstrates that the removal percent of uranium ions remains at its maximum value; 100%, between 100 and 600 mg/l initial concentration and then it decreases as U (VI) concentration is raised, due to a decrease in the adsorption sites on the surface of flax fiber [35]. The effect of flax fiber dose on the U(VI) uptake was explained in the range 0.2 to 1.6 g. Table 2, shows that the removal percent increased with increasing the dose due to the increase in sorption sites. Until it reaches a certain limit (1.0 g) there will be no further increase in the uptake percentage [36,37]. Keeping all other parameters constant, the uptake of uranium increased slightly with increasing the uptake percentage [36,37].

Isotherms studies

Five isotherm models (Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin, Redlich-Peterson and Jovanovic model) were used to explain the equilibrium uptake of uranium ions on flax fiber and the isotherm parameters were estimated by linear and nonlinear systems. The achieved isotherm parameters determined by nonlinear methods are better fitting than those acquired by linear methods because the non linear methods overcome the inaccuracy of the results using the original isotherm equations [38,39].

Langmuir model

This isotherm is used to determine the monolayer uptake of U (VI) onto flax fiber and is described by the following equations [35]:

Non - linear: \( q_e = \frac{(Q_c K_c)}{(1 + K_c C_e)} \) (9)

Linear: \( C_e / q_e = 1 / (Q_c K_c) + C_e / Q_L \) (10)

where, \( C_e \) is the U(VI) concentration at equilibrium (mg/L). \( Q_c \) (mg/g) and \( K_c \) (L/mg) are constants of Langmuir isotherm.

Freundlich model

This isotherm [40] explains the intensity of U (VI) adsorption on the adsorbent by eq:

Non - linear: \( q_e = K_F C_e^{1/n} \) (11)

Linear: \( \ln q_e = \ln K_F + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e \) (12)

\( K_F \) (mg\(^{1-1/n}\)L\(^{1/n}\)g\(^{-1}\)) is Freundlich constant and \( n \) is a value that refers to the intensity of U(VI) adsorption onto flax fiber.

Temkin model

Temkin model supposes that adsorption heat reduces with the decline of adsorption capacity and described by the following equations [15,40]:

Non - linear: \( q_e = \frac{(RT/H)}{\ln K_T C_e} \) (13)

Linear: \( q_e = \frac{(RT/H)}{\ln K_T} + \left(\frac{RT}{H}\right)\ln C_e \) (14)

where \( K_T \) (L/g), \( R \) and \( H \) (J/mol) are constants of Temkin model (L/g), universal gas constant (8.314 J/mol/K), temperature (K) and constant related to sorption heat (J/mol), respectively.

Redlich-Peterson model

This model describes adsorption equilibrium in excess of adsorbate concentration which is appropriate in either homogenous or heterogeneous processes and expressed by the following eq. [37]:

Non - linear: \( q_e = K_{RP} C_e / (1 + AC_e^b) \) (15)

Linear: \( \ln \left[ K_{RP} / (C_e - 1) \right] = \ln A + \beta \ln C_e \) (16)

where \( K_{RP} \) (L/g) and \( A \) (L/mg)\(^b\) are the constant of Redlich-Peterson model. The item \( \beta \) is the exponent related to adsorption energy.

Jovanovic model

Jovanovic model is predicated on the assumptions limited in the Langmuir model, but also the option of a little mechanical associates among the sorbate and sorbent and expressed by the following eq. [40]:

Non - linear: \( q_e = q_{max}(1 - \exp(K_J C_e)) \) (17)

Linear: \( \ln q_e = \ln q_{max} - K_J C_e \) (18)

where \( q_{max} \) is maximum uptake of sorbate (mg/g), and \( K_J \) is the Jovanovic constant (L/mg).

The linear and nonlinear parameters of adsorption isotherms are listed in Table 3. The results of the linear analysis show that the Langmuir model appears to be the best fitting model for U (VI) on flax fiber with higher correlation coefficient (R\(^2\)) than other models indicating that U(VI) ions are adsorbed onto flax fiber as monolayer surface adsorption. Fig. 4 shows the plot of nonlinear isotherms obtained at 323 K. The results obtained by the non-linear method confirmed that the Langmuir model is the most suitable model than other models for the adsorption process as the adsorption capacity results are consistent with the results of experiments and also the value of correlation coefficient (R\(^2\)) and chi-square analysis (\(\chi^2\)) are greater than other isotherms.

| Parameters | Adsorption linear | Isotherms | Non-linear |
|------------|------------------|-----------|------------|
| Experimental q\(_e\) (mg/g) | | Langmuir | Freundlich |
| \( q_0 \) (mg/g) | 42.721 | 41.221 |
| \( K_c \) (L/mg) | 0.0511 | 0.0612 |
| \( R^2 \) | 0.949 | 0.984 |
| \( \chi^2 \) | 3.210 |
| Freundlich | | | |
| \( K_F \) (mg(1-1/n)L(1/n)g^-1) | 2.577 | 4.680 |
| \( n \) | 3.481 | 3.410 |
| \( R^2 \) | 0.921 | 0.935 |
| \( \chi^2 \) | 17.75 |
| Temkin | | | |
| \( K_T \) (L/g) | 1.110 | 1.055 |
| \( H \) (J/mol) | 334 | 338 |
| \( R^2 \) | 0.912 | 0.950 |
| \( \chi^2 \) | 9.079 |
| Redlich-Peterson | | | |
| \( K_{RP} \) (L/g) | 8.541 | 11.23 |
| \( A \) (L/mg)^b | 0.622 | 0.891 |
| \( \beta \) | 0.791 | 0.780 |
| \( R^2 \) | 0.885 | 0.901 |
| \( \chi^2 \) | 6.231 |
| Jovanovic | | | |
| \( K_J \) (L/mg) | 0.0002 | 0.0451 |
| \( q_{max} \) | 35.760 | 37.430 |
| \( R^2 \) | 0.413 | 0.831 |
| \( \chi^2 \) | 18.82 |
Adsorption kinetics

The results of the linear and non linear kinetic studies (Table 4), show that the value of theoretical adsorption capacity \( q_e \) of pseudo first order kinetics and Elovich model do not fit the experimental result. But, a good agreement was obtained with pseudo second order rate (Fig. 5). For pseudo second order model, the parameters are similar to those achieved by the linear technique. The These results explain that the process of uranium uptake on flax fibers corresponds or follows the pseudo second order model and the higher value of correlation coefficient confirm this result.

Thermodynamic studies

Enthalpy change \( \Delta H^\circ \), Free energy change \( \Delta G^\circ \) and entropy change \( \Delta S^\circ \) were calculated from the following eqs. \[32,35\]:
\[
\Delta G^\circ = -RT\log K_c
\]

Fig. 4. Non-linear isotherm models for U (VI) adsorption by flax fiber at 323 K.

Table 4
Results of linear and nonlinear kinetic models at 323 K.

| Kinetic models            | Linear | Non-linear |
|---------------------------|--------|------------|
| Experimental \( q_e \) (mg/g) | Pseudo-first-order kinetics | 24.81 | 36.99 |
| \( q_e \) (mg/g) | 0.0051 | 0.088 |
| \( R^2 \) | 0.5985 | 0.913 |
| \( \chi^2 \) | 2.750 | |
| Pseudo-second-order kinetics | 41.6 | 41.42 |
| \( q_e \) (mg/g) | 0.0023 | 0.003 |
| \( K_2 \) (g/mg min) | 0.995 | 0.996 |
| \( \chi^2 \) | 0.329 | |
| Elovich model | 0.398 | 0.455 |
| \( \alpha \) (mg/g min) | 6.912 | 6.905 |
| \( \beta \) (g/mg) | 0.9607 | 0.954 |
| \( \chi^2 \) | 1.618 | |

Fig. 5. Non-linear kinetic models for U (VI) adsorption by flax fiber at 323 K.
\[ \Delta G^o = \Delta H^o - T\Delta S^o \]  

\[ \log K_c = \Delta S^o / 2.303R - \Delta H^o / 2.303RT \]

where:

- \( T \): Temperature (K)
- \( R \): Gas constant (8.314 J/mol·K)

\[ K_c = C_{Fe}/C_{Se} \]

where \( C_{Fe} \) and \( C_{Se} \) are uranium concentrations at flax fiber and in liquid sample (mg/l), respectively at equilibrium.

In this section \( \Delta H^o \) and \( \Delta S^o \) were determined from Van’t Hoff graph (Fig. 6). If \( \Delta H^o > 0 \) (positive) the process is endothermic in nature and the U(VI) uptake increases with rise the temperature. On the other hand, if \( \Delta H^o < 0 \) (negative) the process is exothermic in nature and the U(VI) uptake decreases with rise in the temperature as a result of breaking the bonds formed by high temperature [7]. Table 5, shows that \( \Delta G^o \) was negative and increases by increasing the temperature from 301 to 323 K (Fig. 6a), then decreased after 323 K (Fig. 6b), which indicate the favorability of uranium uptake at lower temperature. The reason for the endothermic nature (from 301 to 323 K) is the increase in the pores of the fiber by heating effect, which leads to the emergence of active sites on the surface of the fiber which increase the interaction of \( \text{UO}_2^{2+} \) with the functional groups (O−H group, C−H bonds and C=O group) of the cell walls of flax fibers by the ion exchange of H\(^+\) on the surface with \( \text{UO}_2^{2+} \). Besides, spread free \( \text{UO}_2^{2+} \) into the pores of the fibers (electrostatic interaction) [41]. While the exothermic system (from 323 to 333 K) is due to the release of uranium ions from the active sites on the fiber surface due to weak or broken in the interaction between \( \text{UO}_2^{2+} \) and the functional groups responsible for bonding. The positive \( \Delta H^o \) from 301 to 323 K, refers to an endothermic behavior, and negative \( \Delta H^o \) in the range 323 to 333 K, indicates

**Fig. 6.** Van’t Hoff plot of U (VI) adsorption by flax fiber: (a) at (301–323 K) and (b) at (323–333 K).

**Table 5**

| Temperature (K) | \( K_c \) | \( \Delta G^o \) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) | \( \Delta H^o \) (J mol\(^{-1}\)) | \( \Delta S^o \) (J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)) |
|-----------------|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Endothermic     |        |                               |                               |                                |
| 301             | 17.18  | -58.43                        | 46.21                         | 176.12                          |
| 313             | 18.61  | -55.07                        |                               |                                 |
| 323             | 37.61  | -56.84                        |                               |                                 |
| Exothermic      |        |                               |                               |                                |
| 323             | 42.9   | -58.60                        |                               |                                 |
| 328             | 9.33   | -57.72                        |                               |                                 |
| 333             | 4.29   | -58.60                        |                               |                                 |

**Fig. 7.** Effect of different eluting agents on U (VI) desorption from loaded Flax fiber.

**Fig. 8.** ESEM scanning of sintered precipitate of yellow cake.
an exothermic behavior. Positive $\Delta S^\circ$ refers to random uptake of uranium ions onto flax fibers.

**Desorption process**

The recovery of U (VI) from loaded adsorbent material (flax fiber) was performed using five different desorption solutions (HNO$_3$, HCl, H$_2$SO$_4$, Na$_2$CO$_3$ and H$_2$O) at room temperature (Fig. 7). Firstly, loaded flax fiber was treated with 50 mL (1.5 M of HNO$_3$, HCl, H$_2$SO$_4$, and Na$_2$CO$_3$) of each eluting solution in thermostatic shaker bath for 1 h at 301 K. Water has a weak effect as eluting agent in the desorption of uranium ions from fibers because it removes the uranium ions of very weak interaction with both pores and surface. Proton exchanging agent is the main mechanism of desorption process. The HNO$_3$ is also able to dissolve uranium to form the soluble form. Desorption process occurs by the replacement of uranium ions on the surface and pores of flax fiber by $\text{H}^+$ and U(VI) ions are released to the bulk solution. Fig. 7, shows higher desorption when HNO$_3$ is used. Therefore, HNO$_3$ was selected as the best desorbing agent for recovering U (VI) ions. Desorption (%) was calculated according to the following eq.:

$$\text{Desorption} \% = \frac{\text{desorption ions}}{\text{adsorption ions}} \times 100 \tag{22}$$

**Recovering process**

Uranium ion in desorption liquid was recovered by adding ammonium solution, NH$_4$OH (35%) until reaching to pH 8. The form product (ammonium diuranate) was then filtered and heated at 1073 K to obtain uranium oxide [34]. The residue after cooling is screened and examined by environmental scanning electron microscope (ESEM) (Fig. 8). This analysis indicates that the content of uranium as U$_3$O$_8$ in the sintered yellow cake reached 98.83%.

**The regeneration and reuse of the adsorbent material**

The regenerated flax fibers were reused in the recycle process to study the change in its adsorption capacity. The results of adsorption – desorption cycles are given in Table 6. The results show a

---

**Table 6**

| No. of cycle | Adsorption (%) | Adsorption capacity $q_e$ (mg/g) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 1           | 93.50          | 27.27                           |
| 2           | 88.50          | 25.80                           |
| 3           | 83.71          | 24.78                           |
| 4           | 80.45          | 23.33                           |
| 5           | 78.23          | 21.44                           |

**Table 7**

| Adsorbents                                    | Adsorption condition | Adsorption capacity (mg/g) |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Graphene oxide-activated carbon [3]         | pH=5.3, t=30 min, d=0.01 g | C=50 mg/l, T=298 K         |
| Orange peels [7]                             | pH=4.0, t=60 min, d=0.30 g | C=25-200 mg/l, T=303 K     |
| Silicon dioxide nanopowder [14]             | pH=5.0, t=20 min, d=0.30 g | C=50-100 mg/l, T=303 K     |
| Modified Rice Stem [15]                     | pH=4.0, t=180 min, d=0.20 g | C=5-60 mg/l, T=298 K       |
| N, P, and S Codoped Graphene [16]           | pH=5.0, t=25 min, d=0.01 g | C=5-100 mg/l, T=298 K      |
| Nanogoethite powder [17]                    | pH=4.0, t=120 min, d=1.00 g | C=5-200 mg/l, T=298 K      |
| Iron/magnetite carbon composites [18]       | pH=5.4, t=50 min, d=0.15 g | C=50-250 mg/l, T=303 K     |
| Aluminum oxide nanopowder [23]              | pH=5.0, t=40 min, d=0.15 g | C=50-250 mg/l, T=303 K     |
| Powdered corn cob [36]                       | pH=5.0, t=60 min, d=0.30 g | C=25-100 mg/l, T=303 K     |
| Natural clay [37]                            | pH=5.0, t=120 min, d=0.15 g | C=5-40 mg/l, T=298 K       |
| Flax fiber (The present work)               | pH=4.0, t=100 min, d=1.00 g | C=50-1000 mg/l, T=323 K    |

**Fig. 9.** Block diagram of removal and recovery of U (VI) by flax fibers.
lowering in adsorption percent with increase in desorption cycles. Table 7, shows the U(VI) uptake by flax fiber and other adsorbents from liquid waste. The comparison of adsorption capacity values between flax fibers and other materials confirms that flax fibers exhibit an acceptable absorption capacity of U(VI) from aqueous solutions. The block diagram of U(VI) uptake using flax fiber in the batch technique was shown in Fig. 9.

**Design of batch adsorber**

The data required to design a full scale of batch unit for removal of uranium ion from liquid wastes were determined from the results of the best adsorption isotherm model which [36]. In this work, a full-scale unit of batch technique was designed from data of Langmuir isotherm. Fig. 10a shows a technique of batch-unit for U (VI) adsorption using flax fiber.

If that a liquid volume $V \text{ (m}^3\text{)}$ of U (VI) of initial concentration $C_0 \text{ (mg/l)}$, was treated to a final concentration $C_e \text{ (mg/l)}$ using adsorbent mass $M \text{ (g)}$. Adsorption capacity of flax fiber was increased from $q_0$ at time 0 to $q_e$ at equilibrium. The balance equation of batch-unit, was determined as follows:

$$V(C_0 - C_e) = M(q_e - q_0) = Mq_e$$

When, $q_0 = 0$, Eq. (14) be in the form:

$$M/V = (C_0 - C_1)/q_1 \quad M/V = (C_0 - C_e)/q_e$$

$q_e$ was determined from Langmuir equation (6) as follows:

$$q_e(1 + KqC_e) = QLKC_e$$

$$q_e = QLKC_e/(1 + KqC_e)$$

By substituting $q_e$ in Eq. (15) the following equation is obtained:

$$M/V = (C_0 - C_e)/(1 + KqC_e)/(QLKC_e)$$

Eq. (22) is used to determine both flax fiber doses and the volume of wastewater introduced in the full scale batch unit (Fig. 10b). Design data indicated that flax fiber has a good potential for adsorbing high concentrations of U (VI) ions from liquid wastes.

**Conclusion**

Flax fiber showed to be an acceptable adsorbent material for removal and recovery of U (VI) with higher liquid concentrations. Equilibrium uranium capacity of flax fiber was 40.9 mg/g at pH 4 and 323 K. Thermo studies showed that the uptake of U(VI) is an endothermic process between 301 K and 323 K and exothermic in nature from 323 K to 333 K. The adsorption data obtained by linear and nonlinear showed both the Langmuir and pseudo second order models are the best fitting models. Regeneration process of flax fibers have proved a lowering in adsorption percent with increase in desorption cycles. A full scale batch adsorber unit is designed using the best adsorption isotherm model.
