AWAKENING CHARACTERISTICS AND RECOVERY OF COGNITIVE FUNCTION IN PATIENTS UNDERGOING SHORT SURGICAL PROCEDURES: COMPARISON BETWEEN DESFLURANE AND PROPOFOL
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: Early awakening and recovery of the cognitive function improves post-operative outcome and early discharge of the patients. Desflurane and Propofol offer rapid emergence from anaesthesia. We compared the awakening and recovery of cognitive function between these two agents.

Methods: A total of 50 patients aged 30–60 years belonging to ASA 1 and 2 were studied. In both the groups baseline, Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) score was recorded and were induced with Target controlled infusion (TCI) of Propofol to achieve plasma site concentration (Cp) of 6 mcg/ml. Group P continued with TCI Propofol Cp 3 mcg ml−1 and in group D, TCI was stopped and started Desflurane 6% end tidal concentration followed by Desflurane 3%. Hemodynamic variables were noted and after stopping the agent, time to eye open, squeeze hands, removal of laryngeal mask airway, state name, and modified Aldrete score were noted. MMSE score was recorded 1, 6, and 24 h postoperatively.

Results: Awakening time was significantly shorter in duration in Desflurane group compared to Propofol. The mean time to eye open in the Propofol group was 10.41±2.31 min and Desflurane group was 06.21±0.142 min (**p=0.000). There was an increase in the mean MMSE score postoperatively at 6 h and 24 h interval when compared to the baseline within the groups. However, there was no difference in recovery of cognitive function between the two groups.

Conclusion: The use of inhalational agent Desflurane provided shorter awakening time than intravenous Propofol in short surgical procedures but the recovery of cognitive function was comparable. There were no significant side effects.
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INTRODUCTION

Awakening of the patient is liberating the patient from the state of anaesthesia when the requirement of anaesthesia is no longer needed. It should be smooth, quick and must have only minimal residual effect to the patient. There is an increasing trend toward day care surgeries in recent times [1-5]. Induction agent, the opioid and the muscle relaxant used should have faster onset and shorter duration of action. Desflurane has been attributed to have a faster offset of action due to its low blood gas partition coefficient 0.42 [6]. Its use is associated with a lower incidence of postoperative delirium [7]. Propofol has faster emergence from anaesthesia due to its pharmacokinetic properties and has been used for short surgical procedures [8]. Several studies compared the intravenous anesthetics and inhalational anesthetics with conflicting results [2,8,9]. Hence, we designed this study using these anesthetic agents with rapid onset and offset of actions using different methods of administration to provide faster emergence and less post-operative cognitive dysfunction in short surgical procedures.

METHODS

The study was conducted at a tertiary care hospital between February 2015 and May 2017 after approval from the institutional research and human ethics committee. (MGMCR- PG/2014/120). Of the expected 600 elective short surgical cases, 50 patients were sampled by a computer generated randomization. Subjects between 30 and 60 years of age belonging to ASA 1 and 2 posted for short surgeries with surgical time <60 min were included in the study. Patients with a body mass index (BMI) more than 30, history of alcohol intake, smoking, on anti-psychiatric medication, and those allergic to the general anesthetic were excluded from the study.

After obtaining written informed consent, patients were explained about Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE). Baseline MMSE score was recorded. Patients with score <23 were excluded from the study. Patients were advised a fasting period of 6 h and received Tab. Ranitidine 150 mg, Tab. Metoclopramide 10 mg and Tab. Alprazolam 0.5 mg orally the night before and on the morning of surgery.

After shifting to operation theatre patients were intravenously cannulated with 18G venflon, preloaded with 10ml/kg of Hartmann’s solution/normal saline, standard basic monitors were connected, and the baseline vitals recorded. Patients received Inj. Midazolam 0.05 mg.kg−1 and Inj. Fentanyl 2 mcg.kg−1 intravenously. They were randomized by a sealed envelope technique into two groups (n=25) Group D and Group P.

All the patients received mixture of intravenous lignocaine 1 ml and propofol (NEDROF ® - Neon Lab) through target controlled infusion (TCI) pump (Injectomat ® TIVA Agilia, Fresenius Kabi) to achieve a plasma site concentration (Cp) of 6 mcg.ml−1 (Marsh model). After loss of verbal response, appropriate size I GEL was inserted. The correct position of I GEL was confirmed by absent gastric insufflation, bilateral air entry on auscultation, and capnography. The patients were connected to the circle system with a flow rate of 3 L/min (N2O: O2 2:1).

In Group P patients, propofol was stopped and Desflurane (SUPRANE ® - Baxter) concentration was kept at 6% to achieve 1 Minimum Alveolar Concentration. After 10 min, the flow rate was reduced to 1 L/min and dial setting was adjusted to maintain End Tidal Desflurane concentration 3% with 50% N2O. The patients were kept
spontaneous. In Group P, the maintenance of anesthesia was continued using Propofol 1 Cp 3 mcg.ml⁻¹. The flow rate was at 5 L/min (N₂O: O₂; 2:1) for 10 min and then 1 L/min (50% N₂O).

The heart rate (HR), mean blood pressure (BP), and saturation (SPO₂) were recorded at baseline, induction, and during laryngeal mask airway (LMA) insertion for every 5 min. End-tidal CO₂ respiratory rate was recorded after LMA insertion every 5 min. Bradycardia and hypotension (≤20% from baseline) treated with a decrease in Desflurane dial concentration by 0.5% in Group D and decrease in Cp of Propofol by 0.5 mcg/ml in Group P.

In Groups D and P, the agents were stopped at the end of surgery along with N₂O. This was noted as time 0. The flow rate of O₂ was increased to 6 L/min. Awakening time was defined as the time taken from 0 to eye open, hand grip, LMA removal and state name to call every min. LMA was removed after assessing hand grip. These were noted by a different observer. The patient was shifted to post anesthesia care unit (PACU) and the time recorded as Time 0 PACU admission. The modified Aldrete score was noted for the readiness to discharge. The MMSE score was recorded at 1 h, 6 h, and 24 h postoperatively. Post-operative cognitive dysfunction was defined as a decrease in score of 2 from the baseline. Postoperatively, shivering and pain were treated with Inj. Tramadol 1 mg.kg⁻¹, nausea and vomiting were treated with Inj. Ondansetron 4 mg.

Sample size calculation
Based on the previous studies where standard deviation of 3.64 to demonstrate a mean difference of 3.5 min time to response to call with a power of 0.8 and alpha at 0.05.

\[ n = \frac{(Z_{\alpha/2} + Z_{\beta})^2 \times \Delta^2}{\sigma^2} \]

where \( n \) is the required sample size, \( Z_{\alpha/2} \) is 1.96 and \( Z_{\beta} \) is 0.8416, \( \sigma \) is standard deviation, and \( \Delta \) is the mean difference in time. 25 in each group were taken as the sample size.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS version 16.0 (IBM SPSS, US) software. Regression Modules installed. Age, weight, height, BMI, duration of surgery, time to eye open, time to squeeze hand, time to removal of LMA, time to state name, time from removal of LMA to PACU admission, Modified Aldrete score, and MMSE score which followed normal distribution were compared using independent sample t-test. Mean BP and MMSE score were compared using paired sample t-test to find statistical difference within the groups.

Consort diagram
The study was completed in 50 patients undergoing elective minor surgical procedure.

RESULTS
The demographic data were comparable between the two groups (Table 1). The HR was recorded at Baseline, Induction, LMA insertion and every 5 min thereafter. There was no significant difference in HR between the groups (Fig. 1). The mean BP was recorded at Baseline, Induction, LMA insertion every 5 min thereafter. There was a fall in BP after induction in both the group (**p≤0.001). There was no significant difference in BP between the groups (Fig. 2). The EtCO₂ was recorded at Induction, LMA insertion and every 5 min thereafter. EtCO₂ was maintained between 30 and 35 mmHg. There was no significant difference between the groups.

The awakening time was noted from time of stopping of agent to eye open, squeeze hand, LMA removal and state name. The mean awakening time was faster in the Desflurane group when compared to propofol group and found to be statistically significant (**p≤0.001). The mean time to eye open, squeeze hand, removal of LMA, state name in the propofol and Desflurane group was 10.41±2.31 min versus 9.21±0.42 min, 11.39±2.41 min versus 7.42±0.23 min, 12.32±2.50 versus 8.18±2.28 min, and 14.12±3.37 min versus 10.18±2.23 min, respectively (Fig. 3).

The time from stopping the agent and removal of LMA to PACU admission was noted. The mean time from stopping the agent to PACU admission (**p≤0.001) and from removal of LMA to PACU admission (p=0.039) was faster in the Desflurane group (Fig. 4). All the patients were found to be eligible to be discharged from PACU at the time of admission by Modified Aldrete Score. There were no difference between the group (p=0.365). MMSE taken preoperatively, postoperatively 1 h, 6 h, and 24 h showed no difference between the groups. Within the groups the MMSE scores increased from the baseline post 6 h and 24 h which were statistically significant in both Groups (**p≤0.001) but clinically insignificant (Fig. 5).

DISCUSSION
There are limited studies comparing the awakening time and recovery of cognitive function between Desflurane and Propofol due to the unavailability of specialized Desflurane vaporizer in many centers in India. In our study we have used the two rapid acting agents which offer rapid emergence, Propofol and Desflurane.

We have excluded patients with a BMI more than 30 and BMI was comparable between the two groups. This is of importance because Propofol is lipid soluble drug and has different pharmacokinetic properties than Desflurane. Juvín et al [10] found that Propofol delayed the recovery in morbidly obese patients. Servin et al [11] found that elimination of propofol did not change from obese patients to lean patients. Ingrande et al. [12] concluded that the dose of propofol should be scaled according to the lean body weight.

Studies conducted on Desflurane on the duration of surgeries concluded that the length of surgery has no influence on the recovery profile of Desflurane [13,14]. However, two studies conducted on propofol concluded that the length of surgery might have an influence on the pharmacokinetics of propofol and may delay recovery [15,16]. To avoid this bias, we had selected only surgeries which needed anesthesia of <60 min.

In our study, we found that the HR and mean BP were comparable between the groups. Mahli et al. [17] found that there was significant increase in the HR and mean BP in the Propofol group when compared...
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with the Desflurane group. The increase in HR was because the patients had not received any premedication in their study.

The recovery from the state of anesthesia can be divided as Immediate, Intermediate and Long term. Immediate recovery refers to the patient who awakens from anesthesia and has regained his protective airway and motor reflex. The time to eye open, state name and extubating have been used as a measure to assess the immediate recovery. Intermediate recovery refers to the patient who regains his coordination ability and is not dizzy. In day care surgeries patient may be considered for discharge with a responsible attendant at this stage. Post-operative Aldrete score, post-operative quality recovery scale has been used to measure the intermediate recovery. Long-term recovery refers to the patient who has attained full recovery and is able to take care of his own activities. Post-operative cognitive function tests and telephonic questionnaire have been used. The long-term recovery may be delayed postoperatively to several weeks or months [18].

Our study showed faster awakening time with Desflurane than Propofol which is similar to previously published literature [1,2,4,10,19,20]. However, a study conducted by Larsen et al. [8] where only propofol group patients received Remifentanil showed faster awakening time in Propofol when compared to Desflurane (3.7±2.6 min vs. 6.2±2.6 min). This could be due to Remifentanil pharmacokinetics. Faster awakening time lowers the risk of aspiration [21]. Our study found no incidence of breath holding, intractable coughing or restlessness during emergence similar to the study conducted by Lebenbom-Mansour et al. [4].

Modified Aldrete scoring system was used in our study. The patients were ready to be discharged from PACU at the time of admission and there were no difference between the groups. Similar results have been shown in other studies [1-3,19,22]. Juvin et al. [10] showed more Desflurane patients could be discharged earlier from the PACU compared with the Propofol group in morbidly obese patients. The lipid soluble nature of Propofol in obese individuals may explain this difference.
After discontinuation of the agent and removal of LMA, the patients spent considerable time in the operation theater waiting to state their names which has led to late shifting of patients to the PACU. Hence, we could not achieve any difference in Aldrete score. To avoid this bias, Mahmoud et al. [23] shifted the patient to the PACU with the LMA in situ.

MMSE Score was used to identify postoperative cognitive function. A score <2 from the baseline was considered to have mild cognitive defect. In our study, MMSE were comparable between the groups. In the propofol group, we have 3 out of 25 patients who had a decline of score <2 from baseline when taken 1 h post-operative indicating these patients have a mild cognitive defect. However, we did not have any patients in the Desflurane group who had a fall in score of 2. However, when comparing the mean Mini Mental score from the baseline between Propofol and the Desflurane we did not achieve any statistical significance. Our study showed 8 out of 25 and 7 out of 25 patients had an improvement in the score when taken from the baseline in Propofol and Desflurane group, respectively. This phenomenon has been addressed in a study by Galasko et al. [24] on Alzheimer’s patients. This was due to the practice effect where the patient learnt the answers to the question when questioned repetitively.

Limitations of the study
After discontinuation of the agent and removal of LMA, the patients spent considerable time in the operation theatre waiting to state their names which has led to late shifting of patients to the PACU. Hence, we could not achieve any difference in Aldrete score. Both these drugs are relatively new and used in day care surgeries. Desflurane is by far the latest inhalational agent and its used and compared with established drug like Propofol.

CONCLUSION
We conclude that Desflurane has an advantage in providing brief awakening time than Propofol in short surgical procedure. However, recovery of cognitive function was comparable between the two groups. There were no adverse side effects during emergence in both the groups.
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