Assessment of Radiation and Heavy Metals Risk due to the Dietary Intake of Marine Fishes (*Rastrelliger kanagurta*) from the Straits of Malacca
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Abstract

The environment of the Straits of Malacca receives pollution as a result of various industrial and anthropogenic sources, making systematic studies crucial in determining the prevailing water quality. Present study concerns concentrations of natural radionuclides and heavy metals in marine fish (*Rastrelliger kanagurta*) collected from the Straits of Malacca, since aquatic stock form an important source of the daily diet of the surrounding populace. Assessment was made of the concentrations of key indicator radionuclides (226Ra, 232Th, 40K) and heavy metals (As, Mn, Fe, Cr, Ni, Zn, Cu, Co, Sr, Al, Hg and Pb) together with various radiation indices linked to the consumption of seafish. The annual effective dose for all detected radionuclides for all study locations has been found to be within UNSCEAR acceptable limits as has the associated life-time cancer risk. The overall contamination of the sampled fish from heavy metals was also found to be within limits of tolerance.

Introduction

While humans are daily exposed to external radiation from cosmic and terrestrial radiation, radionuclides in the sea may more typically contribute to internal exposure via ingestion. Most of the radioactive progeny within the 238U and 232Th natural decay chains are γ-emitters, forming a major source of external exposures [1]. Conversely, some of their decay products such as 226Ra, 222Rn, 218Po, 210Po, 224Ra, 220Rn, 212Bi etc. are alpha emitters, added to which are beta emitters such as 234Pa, 214Bi, 228Ac, 212Bi etc., with 40K (decaying by β) forming yet another means of internal exposure from natural sources. The levels of these can be enhanced through anthropogenic activities, further added to by artificial sources of radioactivity introduced into the environment [1–3].
In the marine environment, radioactivity is contributed to by the natural processes of weathering and mineral recycling of terrestrial rocks, seabed movement arising from undersea earthquakes and underwater volcanic activity. Given the enormous contact with various types of minerals and geological materials such as igneous rocks and ores which often contain elevated concentrations of natural radionuclides, consequently \(^{226}\text{Ra} (^{238}\text{U}), {^{228}\text{Ra} (^{232}\text{Th})}\) decay series radionuclides are transferred to water through leaching action \([4]\). Anthropogenic activities such as combustion of fossil fuel, as for example from coal-fired power plants, production of natural gas and oil, and mining and processing of ores etc. are also known to enhance the naturally occurring radioactivity in the marine environment. In addition, there are anthropomorphic contributions from post-nuclear disposal of industrial and radioactive waste, underwater nuclear device tests, accidents including leaks from nuclear power plants and from reprocessing of spent nuclear fuel etc \([2, 5\text{–}7]\).

Although radionuclides in ocean shows a complex behaviour (for instance, uranium is quite soluble in sea water while thorium is almost totally insoluble, radium and radon is soluble in water), they can be transferred in the marine environment in the following ways: dissolved in the seawater, attached to plankton suspended in the seawater and attached to sediment on the seabed and contaminated the marine organism, including fish, shell fish etc \([8]\).

In addition to pathways from radioactivity in the marine environment into the human diet, a further concern is metal contaminants via consumption of marine products, with bioaccumulation leading to potential risks via long-term exposure \([9\text{–}13]\). Marine life can have considerable capability for bioaccumulation and biosorption (Biosorption is a property of certain types of inactive, dead, microbial biomass to bind and concentrate heavy metals from even very dilute aqueous solutions. It is particularly the cell wall structure of certain algae, fungi and bacteria which was found responsible for this phenomenon. Marine animal has tendency to burrow down in the bottom sea sediments and rocks, filtering on organic particles and algae along with tiny fishes and planktons, which may lead to increase the uptake of radioactive and heavy metals) of radionuclides and toxic/heavy metals from their surroundings, not least fish and shell fish relative to other marine life (e.g., molluscs, crustaceans, and fishes) \([2, 5, 14\text{–}19]\). Seafood (e.g., molluscs, crustaceans, and fishes) and their products can typically be one of the major sources of protein to populations in coastline regions, including those around the Straits of Malacca, one of the most important shipping lanes in the world, transporting about one-quarter of the world’s traded goods \([2, 5, 14, 16, 20, 21]\). Approximately three million barrels of crude oil are shipped through the Straits daily, subjecting the sensitive marine environment to the threat of accidental oil spillage; over the 10-year period 1981–199, an average of two to three oil spill incidents per year were recorded in these waters \([21]\). The distinct possibility of release of large quantities of metal contaminants from different sources includes association with transportation, with increasing tanker traffic adding to the concern, to which one can add offshore oil and gas exploration, the operation of power plants and other industrial activities, agricultural activities and the waste streams of urbanization, all of which may pose a significant danger to human health due to the non-biodegradability and accumulation of metals in the food chain \([20, 22]\). The metals include copper, zinc and iron, all essential within both marine and human metabolism while some others such as the heavy metals: mercury, cadmium, arsenic and lead have no known role in biological systems \([20]\). Together with essential metals, non-essential ones also taken up from water and solid nutrient sources, and can accumulate in the tissues \([23]\). Metals like Cd, Hg, As, Pb, Cr, Se, Ni etc. have been commonly found in human diets and have been reported to be carcinogenic and/or mutagenic in a broad spectrum of animal studies and short-term test systems, adding to the concern about the contribution of these elements to human carcinogenesis \([24, 25]\).
Distribution of radioactivity in seafood differs with respect to sites of origin and feeding habits [26]. With uptake in the human clearly depending on dietary habit, it is therefore of interest to note that the region of present research to have one of the highest marine fish consumption rates in the world, to the extent that information on radionuclide balance in seafish assumes proportionally greater importance [27]. Information on bioaccumulation and distribution of natural radionuclides and heavy metals in seafish and sea water within the available literature is still lacking. Given the importance of such knowledge, the objective of present research to determine the concentrations of natural radionuclides and heavy metals in edible marine life caught in Straits water, the daily intake of these radionuclides and heavy metals, the ingestion dose and carcinogenic risk for the public residing in and around the coastal area of peninsular Malaysia.

Materials and Methods

Study area

No specific permissions were required for these field studies (locations/activities), because the studied field locations are open and we have collected the fish sample from the fisherman of the corresponding locations who are fishing in the studied locations. The field studies did not involve any protected species of fish. We have collected the most commonly consumed fish in Malaysia.

The primary fish landing areas of the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia, facing out to the Straits of Malacca, partitioned into three regions: the northern region (Perlis, Kedah, Penang and Perak), the central region (Selangor, Kuala Lumpur and Putrajaya) and the southern region (Negeri Sembilan, Malacca and Johor) were chosen as the sampling locations. Over the period November 2011 to February 2012, the samples were collected from coastal locations of Pantai Remis (4.4500° N, 100.6333° E) in Perak, Port Klang (3.0000° N, 101.4000° E) in Selangor, and Bagan Lalang (5.4333° N, 100.3833° E) in Negeri Sembilan, all surrounded by many fishing villages (Fig 1). In each case, there are nearby large-scale industrial activities, and
a number of coal-, gas- and oil-fired power plants, including the 2295 MW Manjung coal-fired power plant in Perak, the 1400 MW Jimah coal-fired power plant in Negeri Sembilan and the 2420 MW Kapar coal-fired power plant in Klang, Selangor. Coal contains only a trace amount of radioactive uranium, barium, thorium and potassium, and are not known to create any major problem to the environment. However when coal is burned, in the fly ash that results, uranium and thorium are concentrated by up to 10 times their original levels [12, 28], and can be easily deposited and affecting the surrounding environment [29].

Collection and processing of sample

The samples were of two categories: fish and seawater. The fish, a particular species of Mackerel (local and scientific name, Ikan kembung and Rastrelliger kanagurta (Phylum: Chordata; Family: Scombridae; Genus: Rastrelliger; Species: R. kanagurta) respectively) were collected from coastal fishing jetties at various locations (Fig 1 and Table 1). The sampling informations are shown in Table 1. Same size and amount (125–130 g each) of fish samples (Fig 2) were collected and washed, cut into smaller pieces and dried in a furnace at 70 °C over a period of three days. The samples were then pulverized to obtain a fine powder and sieved for homogeneity. Sea water samples were collected from an approximate depth of one meter from the surface.
and filtered to remove any impurities. 120 to 218 g of each fish sample and 750 ml of each water sample were then sealed into Marinelli beakers and left for about 6–8 weeks to attain secular equilibrium between the $^{238}\text{U}$ and $^{232}\text{Th}$ precursors and their short-lived progenies.

**Measurements of radioactivity**

The radioactivities of the samples were determined using a high resolution, p-type coaxial HPGe $\gamma$-ray spectrometer (ORTEC; GEM-25P; Serial no. 46-TP22121A; 57.5-mm crystal diameter and 51.5-mm thickness; operating voltage: +2800 V) shielded by cylindrical lead. The detector relative efficiency was 28.2% and energy resolution of 1.67 keV-FWHM at the 1.33 MeV peak of $^{60}\text{Co}$. The detector was coupled to a 16 k MCA to determine the photo-peak area of the $\gamma$-ray spectrum and analyzed by Gamma Vision 5.0 software (EG&G Ortec). A cylindrical multi-nuclide source was used for detector energy calibration and efficiency determination [30,31]. The measured detection efficiencies were fitted by using a polynomial fitting function as described in ref. [3], and the fitted efficiencies were used in activity determination of the samples. The minimum detectable activity (MDA) of the $\gamma$-ray measurement system was calculated according to the procedure in [32]. Each sample was counted for 86400 s and similarly for background counts to obtain the net activity. Fig 3 represents a typical gamma-ray spectrum collected from a fish sample. Only strong and independent characteristic gamma lines (the $\gamma$-rays highlighted in bold in Table 2) of the respective radionuclides were used to determine the net activity concentrations to reduce the error in activity determination.

**Metals detection**

In this study, Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) (Agilent Technologies 7500 Series, USA) was used to determine the metal concentrations. For elemental analysis with ICP-MS, it is necessary to digest the sample completely. About 0.5 g of each powdered fish sample was digested in microwave digestion system (Multiwave 3000, PerkinElmer, USA) using a mixture of 5 ml of 65% concentrated $\text{HNO}_3$ (Spectrosol grade) and 3 ml of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ (30%) for 10 minutes using microwave heating. After digestion the samples solution were cooled in a water bath, filtered and brought up to a volume of 50 ml using ultra-pure water.
In all cases, 1 blank solution and 5 standards were run with the same reagents used under the same conditions to control possible contamination from digestion procedures.

Calibration of the ICP-MS was performed using multi-element calibration standard 2A solution (10 mg/l of each element) prepared by adequate mixing and dilution in 5% HNO of 5 standard solutions (Agilent Technologies, USA, part no. 8500–6940). Concentrations for Na, Mg, Al, K, Ca, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Cu, Zn, Cd, As, Se, Rb, Sr, Ba, Hg, Cd, Ni and Pb heavy metals were then determined by using ICP-MS. All the analyses were carried out in several times. The recovered values of all the metals ranged from 88% to 96% of the certified value.

The ICP-MS detection limits for Na, Mg, Al, K, Ca, Cr, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Rb, Sr and Se was 0.0002 mg kg$^{-1}$, while for Fe, Cd, Ba and Pb was 0.0001 mg kg$^{-1}$.

Calculations of activity and other radiation indices

**Radionuclide specific activity.** Direct assessment of $^{226}$Ra ($^{238}$U) and $^{228}$Ra ($^{232}$Th) via conventional $\gamma$-ray spectrometry is inappropriate due to the associated very low decay rates and absence of any intense characteristic $\gamma$-lines. However, since the progeny of $^{226}$Ra ($^{238}$U) and $^{228}$Ra ($^{232}$Th) remain in secular equilibrium with the parent, the activity of any such progeny represents the activity of the respective parent, a procedure described by among others, thus we assessed the radionuclide activity following the procedure described in ref. [3].

**Estimation of uncertainties.** The combined uncertainty in each sample activity was estimated by considering the following uncertainties: statistical uncertainty of the $\gamma$-ray counting (0.5–10%); uncertainties in the detection efficiency (~4%); uncertainties in sample weight (~1.5%), and; uncertainty in $\gamma$-ray intensity (~1%). These individual contributions, considered to be independent, were added in quadrature to obtain total uncertainties in the range 4.4–10.9%. The assessed radioactivities, together with their uncertainties, are presented in Table 3.

**Daily intake of radioactivities.** The daily intake of radioactivity from consumption of fish as the dominant diet, is assumed to accrue from an accumulation of the naturally occurring radionuclides $^{226}$Ra, $^{232}$Th and $^{40}$K in *Rastrelliger kanagurta*. Taking into account the annual...
The meaning of the sample codes are as in Table 2 above.

| Sample code | Radioactivity concentration of fish (Bq kg⁻¹) | Sample code | Radioactivity concentration of fish (Bq kg⁻¹) |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------|
|             | 226Ra                                      | 232Th       | 40K                                        |
|             | 226Ra                                      | 232Th       | 40K                                        |
| Fi_Bi-1     | 7.79±0.46                                  | 6.06±0.36   | 387.6±18.8                                |
| Fi_Bi-2     | 8.16±1.08                                  | 6.25±0.65   | 374.1±20.7                                |
| Fi_Bi-3     | 7.54±0.79                                  | 6.31±0.58   | 316.0±17.0                                |
| Mean        | 7.83±0.78                                  | 6.21±0.53   | 359.2±18.8                                |
| Fi_Ki-1     | 6.32±0.56                                  | 3.75±0.34   | 371.5±19.2                                |
| Fi_Ki-2     | 6.72±0.72                                  | 3.79±0.38   | 442.4±22.6                                |
| Fi_Ki-3     | 6.40±0.70                                  | 3.58±0.37   | 382.6±18.9                                |
| Mean        | 6.48±0.66                                  | 3.71±0.36   | 398.9±20.2                                |
| Fi_Re-1     | 4.37±0.46                                  | 1.62±0.21   | 268.7±13.8                                |
| Fi_Re-2     | 3.77±0.47                                  | 1.92±0.21   | 299.1±15.1                                |
| Fi_Re-3     | 4.02±0.51                                  | 2.25±0.30   | 296.6±15.5                                |
| Mean        | 4.05±0.48                                  | 1.93±0.24   | 288.1±14.8                                |
| Over all    | 6.31±0.65                                  | 4.13±0.39   | 348.7±18.0                                |

Table 3. Activity concentration (Bq kg⁻¹) of 226Ra, 232Th and 40K in marine fish and water in the Strait of Malacca.

Sample codes Fi-Bi, Fi-Ki and Fi-Re relate to fish (ikan Kembug) obtained off Bagan Lalang, Port Klang and Pantai Remis respectively, while the respective codes when prefixed by the letters Wa refer to water samples.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128790.t003

maritime fish landings of 1,472,240 tonnes (1 short ton = 907.188 kg) in 2012 in peninsular Malaysia [33] and a total adult population of 19.15 million in 2012 [34], the per capita daily intake of natural radionuclides via the consumption of seafood has been calculated using the equation [3,35] and presented in Table 4;

\[
D_{int} = \frac{A_i \times A_p \times F_c}{M_p \times 365}
\]

where, \(D_{int}\) is the daily intake of radioactivities (Bq) by individuals, \(A_i\) is the specific activity of

Table 4. Annual effective dose (μSv y⁻¹) and life time cancer risk due to the consumption of natural radionuclide from the marine fish.

| Sample code | Daily intake (Bq d⁻¹) | Effective dose (μSv y⁻¹) | Total effective dose (μSv y⁻¹) | Lifetime cancer risk (LCR) |
|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
|             | 226Ra     | 232Th     | 40K     | 226Ra     | 232Th     | 40K     | 226Ra     | 232Th     | 40K     |
| Fi_Bi-1     | 1.01     | 0.79     | 50.33   | 103.4     | 66.07     | 113.9   | 283.4     | 2.5x10⁻⁴  | 4.9x10⁻⁵  | 7.6x10⁻⁵  |
| Fi_Bi-2     | 1.06     | 0.81     | 48.58   | 108.3     | 68.14     | 109.9   | 286.4     | 2.6x10⁻⁴  | 5.1x10⁻⁵  | 7.3x10⁻⁵  |
| Fi_Bi-3     | 0.98     | 0.82     | 41.04   | 100.1     | 68.79     | 92.87   | 261.7     | 2.4x10⁻⁴  | 5.1x10⁻⁵  | 6.2x10⁻⁵  |
| Mean        | 1.02     | 0.81     | 46.65   | 103.9     | 67.67     | 105.6   | 277.2     | 2.5x10⁻⁴  | 5.0x10⁻⁵  | 7.0x10⁻⁵  |
| Fi_Ki-1     | 0.82     | 0.49     | 48.25   | 83.88     | 40.88     | 109.2   | 234.0     | 2.0x10⁻⁴  | 3.0x10⁻⁵  | 7.3x10⁻⁵  |
| Fi_Ki-2     | 0.87     | 0.49     | 57.45   | 89.19     | 41.32     | 130.0   | 260.5     | 2.1x10⁻⁴  | 3.1x10⁻⁵  | 8.6x10⁻⁵  |
| Fi_Ki-3     | 0.83     | 0.46     | 49.69   | 84.94     | 39.03     | 112.5   | 236.4     | 2.0x10⁻⁴  | 2.9x10⁻⁵  | 7.5x10⁻⁵  |
| Mean        | 0.84     | 0.48     | 51.90   | 86.00     | 40.41     | 117.2   | 243.6     | 2.1x10⁻⁴  | 3.0x10⁻⁵  | 7.8x10⁻⁴  |
| Fi_Re-1     | 0.57     | 0.21     | 34.89   | 58.00     | 17.66     | 78.96   | 154.6     | 1.4x10⁻⁴  | 1.3x10⁻⁵  | 5.3x10⁻⁵  |
| Fi_Re-2     | 0.49     | 0.25     | 38.84   | 50.04     | 20.93     | 87.90   | 158.9     | 1.2x10⁻⁴  | 1.6x10⁻⁵  | 5.8x10⁻⁵  |
| Fi_Re-3     | 0.52     | 0.29     | 38.52   | 53.35     | 24.53     | 87.16   | 165.0     | 1.3x10⁻⁴  | 1.8x10⁻⁵  | 5.8x10⁻⁵  |
| Mean        | 0.53     | 0.25     | 37.42   | 53.80     | 21.04     | 84.67   | 159.5     | 1.3x10⁻⁴  | 1.6x10⁻⁵  | 5.6x10⁻⁴  |
| World average | -         | -         | -       | 120       | 120       | 170    | 290       | -         | -         | -        |

The meaning of the sample codes are as in Table 2 above.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128790.t004
radionuclides of interest (Bq kg\(^{-1}\) dry weight), \(A_P\) is the annual production, \(F_c\) is the real fraction consumed, the average value of \(F_c\) being considered as 68\% (after a consideration of 32\% wastage and export) \[36\], \(M_P\) is the Malaysian population and 365 indicates the days/year.

**Committed dose from annual intakes.** Estimation of radiation induced health effects associated with the intake of radionuclides are proportional to the total dose delivered by the radionuclides. The committed effective dose to an individual from an intake of a radionuclide via ingestion of one type of food has been calculated by the following formula \[37, 38\],

\[
D_{\text{eff}} = A_s \times A_{\text{if}} \times D_{cf} \quad \text{(2)}
\]

where, \(D_{\text{eff}}\) is the annual effective dose to an individual (\(\mu\)Sv yr\(^{-1}\)); \(A_s\) is the specific activities of radionuclides (Bq kg\(^{-1}\)); \(A_{\text{if}}\) is the annual intake of food (kg yr\(^{-1}\)), where as mentioned in section 2.5.3, the per capita sea fish consumption for Malaysia for the year 2012 was 47.4 kg yr\(^{-1}\); and \(D_{cf}\) is the ingestion dose conversion factor (2.8\times10\(^{-7}\) Sv Bq\(^{-1}\) for \(^{226}\)Ra, 2.3\times10\(^{-7}\) Sv Bq\(^{-1}\) for \(^{232}\)Th, 6.2\times10\(^{-8}\) Sv Bq\(^{-1}\) for \(^{40}\)K) are taken from refs. [1, 39, 40]. The total dose (committed) via ingestion can be calculated using the following formula:

\[
D_{\text{total}}^{\text{eff}} = \left[(A_{Ra-226} \times D_{cf}) + (A_{Th-232} \times D_{cf}) + (A_{K-40} \times D_{cf})\right] \times \left[A_{\text{if}} \times F_c\right] \quad \text{(3)}
\]

**Daily intake of metals (DIM).** The daily intake of metals (Cd, Co, Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, Cu, Zn, and Pb) depends both on the metal concentration level and the amount of consumption. The \(DIM\) for adults was estimated using the following equation \[41\]:

\[
DIM = \frac{C_{\text{metal}} \times W}{m} \quad \text{(4)}
\]

where \(C_{\text{metal}}\) is the concentration of heavy metals in fish; \(W\) represents the daily average consumption of fish (130 g) and \(m\) is the adults body weight (70 kg).

**Carcinogenic risk.** With longevity contributing to greater radiation exposure and by association increasing cancer incidence, an effort was made to assess the lifetime cancer risk due to the ingestion of marine fish by the procedure proposed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, USEPA \[42\]. The following equation \[37, 43\] was used to calculate the mortality cancer risk and is shown in Table 4.

\[
LCR = A_{ir} \times A_{ib} \times R_c \quad \text{(5)}
\]

where \(LCR\), \(A_{ir}\), \(A_{ib}\) and \(R_c\) are the lifetime cancer risk, annual intake of radionuclide (Bq), average span of life (70 y) and mortality risk coefficient (Bq\(^{-1}\)), respectively. The values of mortality cancer risk coefficients included 9.56 \times 10\(^{-9}\) (Bq\(^{-1}\)) for \(^{226}\)Ra, 2.45 \times 10\(^{-9}\) (Bq\(^{-1}\)) for \(^{232}\)Th and 5.89 \times 10\(^{-10}\) (Bq\(^{-1}\)) for \(^{40}\)K, taken from the USEPA (1999) \[42\].

**Statistical analysis.** The data were statistically analyzed using SPSS 21 software (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Activity concentrations, daily intake and annual effective dose (using the fish samples data from the three study locations) were compared employing oneway analysis of variance (ANOVA). Post Hoc Tukey HSD test was conducted to verify statistically significant differences among individual means at \(p < 0.05\).

**Results and Discussion**

**Radioactivity concentrations**

In regard to the natural radionuclides, \(^{228}\)Ra (\(^{232}\)Th) concentration in sea water to be consistently lower than that of \(^{226}\)Ra (\(^{238}\)U). The result supports the solubility of uranium and
low-solubility of thorium in water [3, 44], offset to an extent by the greater abundance of thorium in the earth’s crust. In turn, the accumulation of thorium in sea fish can also be expected to be somewhat lower than that of the uranium chain nuclides; the dry weight basis activity concentrations of the investigated radionuclides in fish, and of that in water samples, along with their uncertainties, are summarized in Table 3. Present analysis shows greater concentrations of 226Ra than that of 232-Th in the fish for all three study areas. Statistical analysis (ANOVA) also shows significant variation (p < 0.05) in concentrations of 226Ra and 232-Th in the fish samples among the three studied locations. The greatest mean concentration of 226Ra (238U) was (7.83 ± 0.78 Bq kg⁻¹), being that in Bagan Lalang, Negeri Sembilan, while it was found to be least (4.05 ± 0.48 Bq kg⁻¹) in Pantai Remis. Similarly, for 228Ra (232-Th), the greatest mean concentration (6.21 ± 0.53 Bq kg⁻¹) was found in Bagan Lalang and lowest (1.93 ± 0.24 Bq kg⁻¹) in Pantai Remis. Of further note that the activity concentrations of 40K are significantly greater (p < 0.001) than that of the other radionuclides for all study locations (Table 3), the greatest mean activity concentration of 40K being 398.6 ± 20.2 Bq kg⁻¹, found in fish samples from Port Klang, Selangor and lowest at 288.1 ± 14.8 Bq kg⁻¹ in Pantai Remis. The appreciably greater values for 40K are in line with expectation, a considerable fraction of the weight of each sample being accounted for by the fish bones rich as they are in potassium.

From the results (Table 3), it is clear that concentrations of all detected radionuclides in fish samples was found to be greatest in areas surrounding Bagan Lalang. The possible reasons form a complex mix of factors, linked perhaps to the wide varieties of activity (e.g., housing, tourism, power generation plants, petroleum, chemical industries etc.) around the Bagan Lalang area, industrial and urbanization effluents perhaps increasing the concentrations of radionuclides in the marine environment. It is also important to note that here the Straits are rather more narrow than towards the less industrialized Pantai Remis.

In making comparisons with levels elsewhere, the range of specific activity of 226Ra, 232-Th and 40K in sea fish samples from the Black Sea Region of Turkey have been reported [22] as 0.06 ± 0.01 to 0.96 ± 0.36, 0.12 ± 0.04 to 1.03 ± 0.15 and 35.04 ± 0.24 to 127.41 ± 2.29 Bq kg⁻¹ respectively. A study [45] reported average activities of 226Ra, 232-Th and 40K in fish samples (anchovy) from Korea as < 0.049, 0.0381 and 15.45 Bq kg⁻¹ respectively. The average concentrations of 226Ra and 232-Th activity in fish samples from Nigeria have been reported [46] as 0.272 Bq kg⁻¹ and 0.115 Bq kg⁻¹ respectively. The range of activity concentration of 238U, 232-Th and 40K in marine fish samples from the Bay of Bengal, off the coast of Bangladesh, have been reported [47] as 0.11–1.94, 0.24–2.28 and 4.93–77.09, respectively. In all of these cases, the results are very much lower than that of present observations. Conversely, Ariffin et al. 2011 [48] have reported average activity ranges for 226Ra and 228Ra in the soft tissue of fish collected from Kapar, Klang, Malaysia (near to Port Klang and very near to the 2420 MW Kapar coal-fired power plant) of 11.82 ± 5.23 to 16.53 ± 6.53 Bq kg⁻¹ and 43.52 ± 16.34 to 53.57 ± 19.86 Bq kg⁻¹ respectively, being considerably greater than the present study.

The estimated daily intake of radionuclides and annual effective doses due to an intake of 226Ra (238U), 228Ra (232-Th) and 40K from the consumption of Rastrelliger kanagurta are presented in Table 4. On average, the daily intake of each radionuclide from Rastrelliger kanagurta in all study regions were estimated to be 0.80, 0.51 and 45.29 Bq for 226Ra, 232-Th and 40K, respectively.

By ANOVA analysis, the daily intake of 40K was found to be significantly greater (p < 0.001) than that of the other radionuclides. The intakes of 226Ra (1.7%), 232-Th (1.1%) are negligible compared with that of the radionuclide 40K (96.7%), the latter being a natural isotope of potassium, an essential element for vertebrates and one which is fairly constant as a result of homeostatic regulation [49].
The annual effective dose of $^{226}$Ra and $^{232}$Th varies significantly ($p < 0.05$) among the studied locations. The greatest annual effective dose for $^{40}$K (117.2 $\mu$Sv y$^{-1}$) was found in Port Klang followed by $^{226}$Ra (103.9 $\mu$Sv y$^{-1}$) in Bagan Lalang. Significantly ($p < 0.05$), the greatest total committed dose was found in Bagan Lalang (278.9 $\mu$Sv y$^{-1}$), while the least was found in Pantai Remis (160.6 $\mu$Sv y$^{-1}$). The average worldwide effective dose from the ingestion of uranium and thorium series nuclides is reported to be 120 $\mu$Sv y$^{-1}$, while for $^{40}$K it is 170 $\mu$Sv y$^{-1}$ [30, 36]. The annual effective dose for all detected radionuclides in all study locations are within the mentioned values. The lifetime cancer risk was found to vary from $1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ to $2.5 \times 10^{-4}$ for $^{226}$Ra, $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ to $5.0 \times 10^{-5}$ for $^{232}$Th and $5.6 \times 10^{-4}$ to $7.8 \times 10^{-4}$ for $^{40}$K, which are low compared with the acceptable cancer risk of $10^{-3}$ for radiological risk [37,43].

Metal concentrations

The concentrations determined for the metals Al, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Cu, Zn, As, As, Hg and Pb in the fish samples are presented in Table 5 and their Estimated Daily Intake (EDI) (body weight for 70 kg adults) are reported in Table 6 and in Fig 4.

A number of national environmental protection agencies report that an intake of 1.0 mg/day of inorganic arsenic (As) is sufficient to give rise to skin lesion after a few years [50]. The greatest mean As concentration observed herein was at Port Klang (0.748 mg/kg dry weight), varying with a factor of about 0.2 for other regions, the least value being found to be (0.325 mg/kg dry weight) at Bagan Lalang. The reported values are appreciably lower than that suggested European Community maximum permissible guideline of 2 mg/kg (dry weight) for marine fish [51] and that reported by Korkmaz Görür e (4.4 mg/kg) of Black Sea fish in Turkey [22].

| Sample location | Port Klang | PantaiRemis | BaganLalang |
|-----------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Sample name     |            |             |             |
| Na              | 233.1      | 115.1       | 231         | 193.1       | 220.9 | 219.5 | 223.5 | 221.3       | 260.7 | 250 | 255.4 |
| Mg              | 89.86      | 49.32       | 102.1       | 80.43       | 87.59 | 85.54 | 88.53 | 87.22       | 115.4 | 114.6 | 115.0 |
| Al              | 4.488      | 2.816       | 3.789       | 3.698       | 4.449 | 4.798 | 5.301 | 4.849        | 3.508 | 2.722 | 3.115 |
| K               | 483.2      | 290.2       | 623.4       | 465.6       | 464.7 | 459.7 | 456.6 | 460.3        | 439.4 | 436.4 | 437.9 |
| Ca              | 6899       | 2684        | 5592        | 5058        | 6980 | 6487 | 6675 | 6714         | 6614 | 6241 | 6427 |
| Cr              | 0.0432     | 0.0028      | 0.0624      | 0.0361      | 0.0453 | 0.0514 | 0.058 | 0.0516       | 0.0108 | - | 0.0108 |
| Mn              | 1.985      | 0.1642      | 0.3926      | 0.847       | 1.942 | 1.717 | 1.863 | 1.841        | 0.4598 | 0.4146 | 0.4372 |
| Fe              | 4.843      | 2.102       | 3.692       | 3.546       | 4.796 | 4.883 | 4.893 | 4.857        | 4.111 | 3.855 | 3.983 |
| Co              | 0.0027     | -           | 0.0027      | 0.0033      | 0.0033 | 0.0012 | 0.0038 | 0.0028        | 0.0007 | - | 0.0007 |
| Cu              | 0.0866     | 0.0314      | 0.0956      | 0.0712      | 0.0683 | 0.0679 | 0.0773 | 0.0712        | 0.2018 | 0.1936 | 0.1977 |
| Zn              | 17.02      | 1.888       | 3.502       | 7.470       | 15.17 | 14.96 | 15.91 | 15.35        | 5.577 | 5.637 | 5.607 |
| As              | 0.6061     | 0.5523      | 1.087       | 0.7485      | 0.5288 | 0.5305 | 0.5393 | 0.5329       | 0.3237 | 0.3262 | 0.325 |
| Se              | 0.0471     | 0.0311      | 0.0759      | 0.0514      | 0.034 | 0.0332 | 0.0379 | 0.035         | 0.0907 | 0.0969 | 0.0938 |
| Rb              | 0.2428     | 0.0647      | 0.1603      | 0.1559      | 0.2314 | 0.2298 | 0.2325 | 0.2312        | 0.098 | 0.0975 | 0.0978 |
| Sr              | 28.2       | 11.41       | 23.46       | 21.02       | 28.24 | 26.88 | 27.93 | 27.68         | 20.22 | 18.95 | 19.56 |
| Mo              | 0.5767     | 0.7037      | 1.407       | 0.8958      | 0.4084 | 0.3128 | 0.3034 | 0.3415        | 2.719 | 2.541 | 2.63 |
| Ba              | 0.2087     | 0.256       | 0.5616      | 0.3421      | 0.1845 | 0.1616 | 0.5075 | 0.2845        | 0.6917 | 0.6276 | 0.6597 |
| Bi              | 8.61       | 0.4822      | 3.338       | 4.143       | 9.181 | 1.066 | 1.821 | 4.023        | 2.426 | 0.7697 | 1.598 |
| Hg              | 0.056      | 0.014       | 0.004       | 0.025       | -     | -     | -     | -             | -     | -     | - |
| Pb              | -          | -           | -           | -           | -     | -     | -     | -             | 0.02 | -     | 0.02 |

The average concentration for each metal was used in the calculations of daily intake of metals.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128790.t005
Mercury (Hg), potentially carcinogenic, can also produce adverse effects during developmental stages as a result of acute or chronic exposure. While there is no known reported safe level [52], the Canadian Food Inspection Agency has set a standard for fish of 0.2 mg/kg dry weight [53]. In present study it has only been detected in fish collected from Port Klang, with values ranging from 0.004 to 0.056 mg/kg dry weight, almost certainly reflecting the

Table 6. Estimated daily intake (EDI) of heavy metals through consumption of fish.

| Sample location | Port Klang | Pantai Remis | Bagan Lalang | TDI (μg/kg/day) |
|-----------------|------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Average Concentration (mg/kg) dry weight | EDI (μg/kg) body weight per day | Average Concentration (mg/kg) | EDI (μg/kg) body weight per day | Average Concentration (mg/kg) | EDI (μg/kg) body weight per day |
| Al | 3.6977 | 6.8671 | 4.8493 | 9.0059 | 3.115 | 5.785 | 143 \textsuperscript{a} |
| Cr | 0.0361 | 0.0671 | 0.0516 | 0.0958 | 0.0108 | 0.0201 | 143 \textsuperscript{b} |
| Mn | 0.8473 | 1.5735 | 1.8407 | 3.4184 | 0.4372 | 0.8119 | 157 \textsuperscript{c} |
| Co | 0.0027 | 0.0050 | 0.0028 | 0.0051 | 0.0007 | 0.0013 | 20 \textsuperscript{d} |
| Cu | 0.0712 | 0.1322 | 0.0712 | 0.1322 | 0.1977 | 0.3672 | 142 \textsuperscript{c} |
| As | 0.7485 | 1.3900 | 0.5329 | 0.9896 | 0.3249 | 0.6035 | 2.14 \textsuperscript{a} |
| Hg | 0.0247 | 0.0458 | - | - | - | - | 0.57 \textsuperscript{a} |
| Pb | - | - | - | - | 0.02 | 0.0371 | 3.60 \textsuperscript{d} |

Sources:
\textsuperscript{a}Antoine et al. (2012)
\textsuperscript{b}Nutrition\textsuperscript{ATC} (2014)
\textsuperscript{c}Health Canada, (2007)
\textsuperscript{d}Zhuang et al (2009)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128790.t006

Mercury (Hg), potentially carcinogenic, can also produce adverse effects during developmental stages as a result of acute or chronic exposure. While there is no known reported safe level [52], the Canadian Food Inspection Agency has set a standard for fish of 0.2 mg/kg dry weight [53]. In present study it has only been detected in fish collected from Port Klang, with values ranging from 0.004 to 0.056 mg/kg dry weight, almost certainly reflecting the
cummulative activities of many Malaysian industries located in and around the region of the Klang valley. While the level in sampled fish is a factor of 10 below the Tolerable Daily Intake (TDI), the estimated daily intake of Hg from consumption of fish does represent a concern worthy of regular monitoring.

Lead (Pb), again a potential carcinogen, can cause adverse health effects [41] including lead poisoning, confusion, poor cognition and kidney damage. In present study, only in one case was Pb was detected, in fish obtained from Bagan Lalang, with a concentration of 0.02 mg/kg, being well below the suggested level of 2.0 mg/kg in fish [54]. While again this is presently at a level of limited concern, the value serves as an initial datum point from which future changes in levels in the region can be monitored. By way of comparison, [55] reported Pb levels from Turkey ranging from 0.09 to 6.95 mg/kg, from fish in the northern Mediterranean, while [22] reported a lower range of Pb (0.001–0.06 mg/kg) in the fish of the Black Sea of Turkey. The observed large variation between the EDI and TDI suggests Pb levels through consumption of fish is yet below the harmful level.

Chromium (Cr), a proven carcinogenic metal, if taken at a dose of 0.5 mg/kg of body weight per day via oral ingestion [56]. Cr was found with varying concentrations in all samples with the exception of some from Bagan Lalang, with values ranging from 0.003 to 0.052 mg/kg. By way of comparison, the Turkish study of [22] reported Cr at levels of between < 0.1 to 0.73 mg/kg dry weight of fish. Adequate Intake (AI) of 30 μg/kg body weight has been suggested for 70 years adult and above [53]. The results of present study represent a current safe level of exposure.

Elevated manganese (Mn) content showing negative effects on fertility, the central nervous system and embryo and fetal development [57]. In present study, Mn has been detected in all samples at low levels, with a range of 0.4–1.8 mg/kg dry weight, being not too dissimilar from that of two Turkish Black Sea studies, at 0.56–1.04 mg/kg [22] and 0.10–0.99 mg/kg of fish [58]. Comparing the upper TDI of this element (324 μg/kg bw) with the calculated EDI (3.4 μg/kg bw), present fish consumption remains at safe level.

Exposure to cobalt (Co) in the body organs has been noted to lead to complicated health problems [59]. Herein, a relatively low concentration of Co has been detected in all of the samples at low levels, with a range of 0.4 to 0.0028 mg/kg down to 0.0007 mg/kg, from Pantai Ramis and Bagan Lalang respectively, [60] reported a higher range (< 0.05 to 0.30 mg/kg dry weight) of Co in the fish from the coastal region of Turkey around the Black Sea.

Copper (Cu) is essential in all organisms intrace amount, and particularly serves as a constituent of respiratory enzyme complex in the human body. Due to its role in facilitating iron uptake, deficiency of Cu can lead to impaired growth, anemia-like symptoms, bone abnormalities, and vulnerability to infections. Cu has been found in all of the samples with concentrations ranging from 0.03 to 0.2 mg/kg dry weight of fish which is below the TDI set by joint experts of FAO/WHO as 3 mg/kg body weight [22] and the level of risk is yet insignificant. By way of comparison, reported a range of 0.4 to 1.5 mg/kg from a similar study conducted in Spain [61].

While the health effects of aluminium (Al) has not been widely reported, there is increasing evidence of its toxicity in relation to its gradual accumulation in the brain and subsequent effects on the nervous system [62,63]. In present study, the range of Al content in the sampled fish was 2.7 to 5.3 mg/kg dry weight. The WHO has recently revised its previous Provisional Tolerable Weekly Intake from 7mg/kg body weight to just 1 mg/kg body weight [63]. Comparing this revised WHO value with the estimated values from fish consumption, the current Al level per kg body weight can be considered to remain at a safe level.
Mineral elements

The marine diet represents a major source of many essential elements, exemplified by present results, with healthy concentrations of iron, zinc, calcium, sodium, magnesium, selenium, etc. The importance of these elements and of deficiency symptoms are well documented [64], and forms only a minor aspect of this work.

Conclusions

Owing to their pathway into the daily diet of the local populace, radioactivity and heavy metal concentrations have been assessed in marine life from the Straits of Malacca, west coast of Malaysia. The present investigation shows the radioactivity concentrations of $^{226}$Ra, $^{232}$Th and $^{40}$K in sea water samples in all study sites to be very much lower than that of sea fish samples obtained from the same areas.

Present study shows elevated radioactivity concentrations in the fish of the Straits of Malacca compared to that reported in similar studies in seas elsewhere. The results reflect the contribution of additional technologically enhanced naturally occurring radioactive material (TENORM) pollutants, largely expected to be a result of oil and gas waste streams, related to shipping activities, the route being regarded as the second busiest water channel in the world. In regard to comparison of results from the study locations, the Bagan Lalang area showed the greatest level of radioactivity; here, the narrowing of the Straits, together with a relatively high level of industrialisation, urbanization and the effluents that result from these factors are expected to lead to increased concentrations of radionuclides in the marine environment, including fish.

The annual effective doses received by individuals due to the dietary intake of $^{226}$Ra, $^{232}$Th and $^{40}$K via the consumption of fish, range from 154.6 to 286.4 μSv y$^{-1}$ with an average of 226.7 μSv y$^{-1}$, falling below the world average for annual effective dose. Accordingly, the carcinogenic risk was found to be well below the acceptable limit of $10^{-3}$. Present study indicates radionuclide intake from consumption of Straits of Malacca fish poses insignificant threat to public health.

Present study identifies the presence of a wide range of non-essential metals in the selected fish, albeit at relatively low levels compared to studies conducted elsewhere, varying in concentration from region to region and element to element. While again the variation is to be linked with industrial locations and types, the pattern does not agree with that found for NORM nuclides, with levels at Pantai Remis now typically recording the greater levels. Although there may not be internationally agreed safe levels for all of these metals, the overall contamination from the samples would seem to strongly indicate the samples to be well below harmful levels.
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