Potential of Human Urine as a Nutrient Medium for the Biomass Production of Microalga Scenedesmus sp.
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ABSTRACT

Microalgae have value-added chemicals, but their biomass production is costly due to the expensive nutrient chemicals. Meanwhile human urine (HU) is considered wastewater and has basic nutrients for autotrophs. This study tested the potentials of being cheaply available in HU as a nutrient source for the biomass production of microalga Scenedesmus sp. HU was collected, sterilized, and made different dilutions. Microalga of Scenedesmus sp., was isolated from the urine contaminated site, purified, inoculated into the HU medium, and incubated under sunlight for 7 days at 25 °C. The maximum growth was observed in 15 % HU as 0.795 OD670nm with 0.57 g/L biomass production with a significant difference (p<0.01). The productivity of 81 mg/L/day was reached. The concentrated urine of more than 20 % was not supporting the growth of microalga. This study concluded that human urine can be used as a nutrient medium for microalgal growth at certain dilution.
Introduction

Microalgae are under the limelight for their value-added products (food, feed, fuel, bioremediation, medicine, and cosmetics) (Levasseur et al., 2020; Suresh and Benor, 2020). However, its marketable production is still in infancy due to the production costs and related drawbacks (Fasaei et al., 2018). Nutrients are the main cost contributor for the growth of microalgae (34% in total cost).
than harvesting (20-30 % in total cost) and followed by the processing for algal-based products (Xin et al., 2016; Fasaei et al., 2018). Specially, nitrogen and phosphorus are major nutrients for autotrophs, annually 100 million and 14 million metric tonnes are used in agriculture alone, and primarily (80 %) from synthetic inorganic fertilizers, respectively (Erisman et al., 2008, Liu et al., 2008). Nonetheless, recent estimates confirm that phosphate reserves are expected to be exhausted in 50–100 years (Cordell, D., 2009).

Urine is nutrient-rich, readily available form, sustainable, cheap, and can promote microalga growth (Suresh et al., 2019; Tuantet et al., 2014). Generally, urine is considered wastewater and volume generated at 0.8 to 2 litre per day and reach 500 litres annually for an adult, which releases 2.5-4.3 kg nitrogen, 0.7-1.0 kg phosphorus, and 0.9-1.0 kg potassium annually per adult (Kirchmann, H. and Pettersson, S., 1995). Besides, shortage of fresh water and nutrients reservoirs, it was suggested that the integration of microalgae production to remediation of wastewater is considered a more economic, sustainable, and eco-friendly option (Yang et al., 2011). Not many studies have used HU as the growth medium for microalgal cultivation (Adamsson, 2000; Feng et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2008 a,b; Chang et al., 2013; Tuantet et al., 2014; Jaatinen et al., 2019). Among previous studies, yet no clear picture that which concentration is optimum for the growth of microalgae, for instance, some found more concentrated (50 %) (Tuantet et al., 2014), others found more diluted (<2%) and shown different biomass production (Feng et al., 2006; Chang et al., 2013). This difference was attributed to the algae strain used and the specific purposes. Besides, given the great diversity of microalgae, it was recommended that naturally adapted to a particular environment, and native species are potential candidates for the purpose (Wilkie et al., 2011; Gelebo et al., 2020). Hence, this study conducted the growth potentials of urine-adapted microalga (Scenedesmus sp.) in HU, and find out the dilution factor, and supporting the fact of HU as an efficient growth medium for the viable microalgae biomass production. This preliminary work was an attempt to support the utilization of HU for sustainable biomass production through the utilization of nutrients in line with ecological sanitation practices.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Urine has basic nutrients for the growth of autotrophic organisms include plants and microalgae (Viskari et al., 2018; Suresh et al., 2019). Currently, those nutrients are polluting the ecosystem, not been effectively used, besides it is economical, available globally. Microalgae has many value-added chemicals and grow faster than plants, grow in any water, do not need arable land, and have many advantages over plants (Suresh and Benor, 2020). However, the production of microalgae biomass is costly, more in terms of cultivation, especially nutrients cost than others.

**METHODS**

**Microalga Culture**

Microalga sample was collected from the restroom runoff at AASTU campus, building no. 71, and inoculated into 100 mL Bold’s Basal Medium (BBM). The inoculated flask was incubated under Sunlight at 25 °C for 15 days. The grown culture was purified using the spread plate method and the genus was identified using a light microscope followed the manual of Janse van Vuuren et al., (2006). The purified culture was maintained in a 100 mL BBM medium.

**Human Urine Collection**

One litre of fresh urine sample was collected from the student’s voluntary participants in a clean water bottle and mixed well. The collected urine was sterilized using autoclave, then diluted and used immediately.

**Experiment**

Sterilized urine was diluted with sterile distilled water from 0 %, 5 %, 10 %, 15 %, 20 %, and 100 %. The 100 mL of the diluted HU medium was inoculated with 1 mL of 15 days BBM grown algae culture, and incubated under Sunlight at 25 °C for 15 days. The flasks were mixed manually twice a day. After 7 days, 2 mL was used for optical density analysis at OD₆70nm using a spectrophotometer (Biochrom, Libra S80PC, UK), the 20 mL was used for dry weight. All experiment was performed in triplicate. The zero-day OD was measured (~0.100 OD₆70nm). The one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA: single factors) was performed using Microsoft Excel to determine the differences between the treatments.
like harvesting and processing (Fasaei et al., 2018). For example, 34% of the cost is used for the nutrient chemicals such as urea, dipotassium phosphate, and micronutrients (Xin et al., 2016). It has been exhibited that focusing on boosting biomass productivity is more important rather than value-added chemicals. In this preliminary study, a Microalgae sample collected from HU contaminated site was observed few genera (Scenedesmus sp., Diatoms, Chlorella sp., and Chlamydomonas sp.,) of microalgae in the raw sample as well as in the BBM grown culture (Figure 1a). However, the dominant group of microalgae was Scenedesmus sp.

Figure 1: Micrograph of microalgae sample collected from human urine contaminated site (a) and purified the dominated Scenedesmus sp., (b)

The purified Scenedesmus sp., (Figure 1b) culture was used to check the growth potential in the diluted HU and observed copious growth in the specific dilutions (10 and 15%) visibly (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Growth of microalgae (Scenedesmus sp.,) in human urine at different dilutions for 7 days under Sunlight at room temperature
The maximum growth was reached at 0.795 OD$_{670\text{nm}}$ after 7 days in 15% human urine (Figure 3). The limited growth was observed (0.395 OD$_{670\text{nm}}$) in 5% HU and increased to 0.789 OD$_{670\text{nm}}$ in 10% and reach a maximum of 15% HU. The growth decreased to 0.328 OD$_{670\text{nm}}$ at 20% HU, and no growth was observed in the raw HU. Whereas the microalgae growth medium of BBM was shown 1.01 OD$_{670\text{nm}}$ in the same conditions. The dry weight has also shown that the maximum of 0.567 g/L and 0.75 g/L biomass was produced in 15% HU, and BBM in 7 days of incubation, respectively. The productivity was reached 81 and 107 mg/L/day in 15% HU and BBM medium, respectively. The growth was significantly (p<0.05) differed among the diluted HU medium.

In comparison with previous studies, (Adamsson, 2000; Jaatinen et al., 2019), this study found appreciably more biomass production in 7 days (Table 1). This copious growth was attributed to the alga culture used in this study was adapted to utilize the nutrients from the HU source as well as less diluted than previous studies. Previous studies also found that a similar concentration was good for microalgae biomass production using cow urine (Suresh et al., 2019). Moreover, this study found that the concentrated HU was not supporting the growth as little and no growth was observed in 20% and raw HU, respectively. Curiously, some studies found that the 1:1 diluted HU supported the growth of the microalgae of Chlorella sp., significantly, however, suggested 1:5 dilution was better (Tuantet et al., 2014). Further study is needed for the effective utilization of the nutrients in the HU in terms of optimization in specific concentrations, specific value-added strains and products, and cost analysis.
**Table 1: Comparison of microalgae biomass production in human urine**

| HU* concentration | Microalgae       | Maximum Biomass (g/L) | Days | Biomass productivity (mg/l/day) | Reference               |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 5%                | *Scenedesmus* sp. | 0.33 ± 0.06           | 7    | 48                              | This study              |
| 10%               | *Scenedesmus* sp. | 0.55 ± 0.04           | 7    | 79                              | This study              |
| 15%               | *Scenedesmus* sp. | 0.57 ± 0.06           | 7    | 81                              | This study              |
| 20%               | *Scenedesmus* sp. | 0.4 ± 0.04            | 7    | 57                              | This study              |
| 2%                | *Scenedesmus* sp. | 0.16                  | 10   | 16                              | Adamsson, 2000          |
| 0.60%             | *Spirulina* sp.  | 2.32                  | 14   | 166                             | Feng & Cheng, 2006      |
| 0.80%             | *Spirulina* sp.  | 0.81                  | 7    | 116                             | Chang et al., 2013      |
| 0.8 % SHU         | *Spirulina* sp.  | 0.75                  | 7    | 107                             | Chang et al., 2013      |
| 1%                | *Chlorella* sp.  | 0.6                   | 21   | 29                              | Jaatinen et al., 2019   |

**CONCLUSION**

This study concluded that the HU was suitable for the production (0.57 g/L) of microalgae *Scenedesmus* sp., at 10-15% dilution with significant productivity (81 mg/L/day). HU can serve as a cheap nutrient medium for sustainable biomass production eventually bring down the cost of their value-added products. Further studies are needed for cost analysis and nutrient manipulation for better biomass production.
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