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Abstract
Racial, ethnic, and gender representation in an academic setting means that teachers, professors, and other leaders reflect the demographics of the student body in the educational and professional spaces that they serve. This form of representation, which is often intersectional, strengthens communities and improves student outcomes, from as early as primary and secondary education, through to college education and beyond. Representation matters because it can shape the reputation and self-image of women and Black, Indigenous, and People of Color (BIPOC) within environments dominated by over-represented majorities (ORMs). From the perspective of BIPOC women trainees, the lack of BIPOC faculty who are visible minorities, particularly at the most senior level positions, often conjures questions of whether academia is a realistic career path for aspiring minority students. This article focuses on the key component of representation in the United States (U.S.), highlighting our vision for a solution for the so-called “leaky pipeline” for BIPOC in science, technology, engineering, and mathematic with action items to end it.
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Introduction
“You can only aspire for what you can see yourself in,” was a common refrain of the few Black academics in the United States (U.S.) who, against several odds, found themselves in a position of authority. The percentage of Black academics dwindles as one moves further up the academic hierarchy of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) education and respective careers. This could be attributed to a “leaky pipeline,” a simple analogy that describes this percentage decline for Black people in STEM [1–3]. Data shows that in the U.S., representation of underrepresented minorities (URM) decreases at each degree level for STEM when compared to White and Asian students [4]. In 1988, women graduate students in science-related programs composed of 32% of their class, and this figure rose only by 4% when reexamined in 1993 [5]. However, women were less likely to pursue science-related employment, resulting in only 24% of science faculty in that same year [5]. In 2010, URM accounted for only 14.7% of STEM bachelor’s degree recipients and a dismal 8.3% of STEM doctorate degree recipients. When looking at women alone
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receiving doctoral degrees in STEM, there has only been an increase of 12.1% from 1999 to 2019 [6]. The portion of Black, Indigenous, and People of Color (BIPOC) women in STEM receiving degrees around this time has risen at a significantly lower rate. Only 31% Black women and Latina women and 4.7% Asian women received STEM bachelor’s degrees from about 2008 to 2018 [6]. Natives were 0.2% of STEM bachelor’s degrees, with Native women being underrepresented in engineering and computer science specifically [7].

The data is discouraging, especially when the amount of URM STEM data drastically narrows as educational level increases and is depicted inconsistently [6]. We optimistically examine history in hope that these numbers would trend in a more positive manner, but we are clearly missing a driving force to push BIPOC women into these careers. Psychological and social disparities often perpetuate the leaky “pipeline” that leads to decreased representation of minorities in higher education [4]. URMs often come from families in lower socioeconomic groups where education may not be highly emphasized due to a more immediate need to work and contribute financially to the household [8]. Students from these socioeconomic groups often must work full or part-time while in school, limiting their engagement in their studies [9]. These additional stressors and responsibilities can impact academic achievement by limiting time to study and time to participate in STEM research programs. Students who are interested in STEM then additionally deal with a stigmatizing academic culture that does not see them as capable of success in these predominantly White fields [10]. All these issues can deter URM students from pursuing higher degrees and/or faculty positions in STEM. The lack of URM STEM faculty then continues to create these unideal environments for URM, further propelling the cycle.

Ironically, despite that fact that existing data shows Black STEM underrepresentation, there is a dearth of addressing the social determinants hoisted from unresolved structural racism that lead to this underrepresentation [8]. Underrepresentation does not start and stop with a think-piece on the pipeline analogy where we have chosen to identify a problem and accept mediocre changes [8]. A triadic theory centered on critical race theory, gender theory, and sense of community belonging suggests that many factors play a role in the underrepresentation in the STEM field among urban youth [11]. The complexity of factors that lead to underrepresentation of Black students in STEM further supports the necessity of a framework of social determinants of education. This complexity further highlights the reason why seeking a panacea solution to Black STEM underrepresentation, such as adding more young Black students, is ineffective.

Of the over-represented majorities (ORM) in the scientific community, we have failed to consider if opportunities for involvement in and aspiration to STEM academic careers for Black and Brown communities truly exist. There are no existing models to emulate for Brown or Black youth who might have considered STEM. Families of low socioeconomic status are unable to access placement in the “pipeline” in the first place. Obstacles, such as registration fees, limited literacy skills, a scarcity of resources to acquire the necessary scientific knowledge, and access to the application processes, all severely limit access to the pipeline from the start [12]. Additionally, little effort has been placed to determine the existing problems preventing Black students in low socioeconomic school districts from attending school. Despite the lack of effort to uncover the root of the problem, the outcome (defined as a limited number of BIPOC participants) is often blamed on “lack of interest.” This is a cycle of its own because this racial bias takes the form of discrimination and persists across each generation of leadership.

The usual solution, often stated for the leaky pipeline problem, is to increase the number of students from the very beginning, but this alone is not sufficient, particularly in the case of Black STEM students [13]. Being Black in countries like the U.S. and receiving the education and credentials necessary to be eligible for academic positions like professor or investigator means navigating societal determinants that influence the accessibility of STEM education for Black people [14].

A lack of social and/or economic capital alone is more than enough to prevent students at any age from attending school; supplementary after school STEM programs can therefore be out of question entirely. For URMs who make it as far as graduate school, dedicated mentoring programs and the support of an understanding mentor is vital for their success. For BIPOC women like us, matching a mentor with a mentee should not only be based on shared backgrounds and scientific interests. Someone who serves as a mentor for BIPOC students and us should be well-equipped to handle this terrain, be present, and give guidance and support, while advocating and assisting in charting the mentee’s career path.

**Perspective**

**Kyeara N. Mack: Lack of Representation in Graduate School**

In 2018, I started as a graduate student at Weill Cornell in the Pharmacology PhD program. I was one of two Black female students in my incoming year. Looking around at the upper years in my program I could count on one hand how many other current Black students there were (all Black women). The representation of women in my program is phenomenal, and there is decent representation when it comes to other POC. However, the representation of Black people, and especially Black men, is very poor.

One may think that the solution to this problem of lack of representation would be to recruit more Black students into the program. However, this alone is not enough, and more focus should be placed on providing the tools and
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It is an unfortunate reality that many young Black people often fail to recall someone in their personal life that has a STEM career, and we must address this frankly in order to fix this [20]. Several complex factors contribute to this lack of representation. In the first place, a significant number of Black students will never receive an equitable opportunity to pursue and receive an undergraduate or graduate level STEM education. Once a Black person overcomes such hurdles and begins pursuing their academic degree or started their career in STEM, they are vigorously met with an onslaught of racial abuse that can manifest in feeling intellectually inferior by colleagues, being antagonized, or even physically attacked [20]. Young Black students have and increasingly reported their feelings of unwantedness in their academic spaces and the toll of energy they must exert to feel safe in these spaces [21–23]. Safety, and lack thereof, has been a significant player that we see as a lack of Black STEM representation. Black
people endure abuse and experience racial trauma, and usually have to rely on their own communities to support them through these difficult chapters in their life as they struggle to make meaningful contributions in STEM [24]. These negative experiences can be mitigated immensely with proper support in place to remind and reaffirm Black students their right to occupy space and to succeed. This support would also become significantly strengthened by mentors (even if not specifically trained to do so) recognizing its pitfalls and imperfections and making an ongoing effort to commit to learning from their mistakes and committing to improving the situation for their mentees.

Academic institutions retain their Black students at a significantly lower rate than their White students, but question why there are low numbers of Black students enrolled [25]. Academic institutions then double down on their advertising and financial investment to encourage more Black students to enroll in their courses or work in their buildings. But once they are accepted, the institutions do not offer any strategies to address the students’ specific needs or help them to achieve success or feel wanted. In many cases, these institutions fail to recognize that there is even a problem to solve [25]. This phenomenon and the adverse effects of feeling unwanted and unwelcome in the academic community have become incredibly evident in the past year with the mental health of young Black students significantly improving because they were not required to physically attend school due to the COVID-19 pandemic [26, 27]. For Black students, physically occupying the same institutions that many non-Black students attended means fielding racist comments from their classmates and intimidation from over-policing in their hallways [23, 28].

Black students, who are already burdened with the mental and physical fatigue associated with summoning the endurance to fight through oppressive academic systems, are often not given a compass to use in their academic journeys. Black trainees are rarely provided the opportunity to receive the mentorship they need that offers them perspective on what they should do to be successful as Black students in STEM [29, 30]. This is perpetuated in some areas of low socioeconomic status where institutions do not have adequate funding to support extracurricular programming that would connect Black students interested in STEM to professionals in the fields they are dreaming about pursuing [31]. Even in institutions where said programs are created, adequate mentorship is not often provided to Black students [32]. If a trainee is passionate about pursuing a specific career and she/he/they often have the option of either having a mentor that is White (or does not match their ethnic/racial/cultural identity) or no mentor at all, receiving advice from someone who cannot relate to the barriers you face daily is comparable to the idea of losing access to privilege and power. Representation is power and privilege, and it takes a resilient individual to have to continue fighting and advocating for the support they need and deserve when the rest of the world thinks they have solved the problem already.

A mentor is responsible for providing resources to their mentees, like how teachers in academic settings are responsible for providing the resources that their students need because their students are in positions of vulnerability. Accountability inherently lies with the mentor, not the mentee. The mentee, as autonomous as they are, places their trust in their mentor. A mentor who may advise you to network and without understanding the anxiety you have with cold calling or a mentor that may link you to another individual that has their own implicit biases could prevent this mentor from being helpful [33].

### #ShutDownSTEM

On June 10, 2020, researchers across the country joined forces in a strike against academic institutions in the wake of the murders of Black people in the country [34–37]. These researchers came from dozens of institutions and participated in a national protest systemic racism rooted in their academic research. Anti-Blackness and prejudice are embedded in many publications of academic research [38–40]. The strike was a day of action; reading and listening materials were solely dedicated to racism against Black people. Several prominent hashtags such as #ShutDownSTEM, #ShutDownAcademia, and #StrikeforBlackLives circulated on social media. #ShutDownSTEM yielded several outcomes in favor of this movement. For example, several organizations such as Nature [41], the American Physical Society [34], and the American Association for the Advancement of Science [34] were in full support of the demonstration. Likewise, the School of Science at Massachusetts Institute of Technology participated by forming programs and discussions across different institution departments in an effort to push for advocacy [42].

#ShutDownSTEM was a wake-up call and demonstrated the layers of anti-Blackness in the field of STEM that persisted from high school students to senior investigators in major academic institutions. To understand the sentiments of the academics participating in the protest is to recognize the big picture: systemic racism has and continues to infiltrate all levels of education. Even those who have gained tenure in their respected careers must endure the consequences of a system that has actively deterred their success. So far, efforts to advocate for antiracism on an institutional level have not been well received. A group of physicists known as Particles for Justice, stated the following: “We are not asking people to sit through another training about implicit bias…” [37]. To assume that one may solve the issues deeply rooted in systemic racism with programs like implicit bias training is ludicrous. The daily hardships experienced by Black and Brown academics, and those looking to enter the field, cannot be understood through one session of training, one book, one lecture or one video.
Conclusion

The tools we have tried to use to educate our institutions and communities on antiracism need major change. Institutions need to live and breathe antiracism. Our terminology needs to be more forthcoming and explicit. We do not need to work on addressing bias, but on addressing and eradicating the racism that exists in our institutions and prevents us from serving our communities through the people in power that affect them. Black students need to feel safe speaking up against racism and their voices need to not only be uplifted but said in tandem with other supportive antiracist non-Black students [43]. This is especially important for Black students and professionals who need to relive their trauma to educate others without their consent. There should be more platforms created for reporting racism, as well as increased accessibility. These platforms can take the form of drop boxes, forums, check-ins, or more. More importantly, they should offer participants anonymity and protection from retaliation. Black students and professionals need to be offered the opportunity of sitting at the head of an institutional organization where they can show their community that they have their best interests at heart. Individuals in supervisory roles need redesigned accountability systems with clear descriptions of conduct outlining what is acceptable and unacceptable. The implicit bias training programs that institutions provide needs to be overhauled to introduce daily accountability and activism, not an annual online module that needs to be completed by only most of the department. Each supervisory role, be it a mentor, manager, or principal investigator, needs to be comfortable feeling uncomfortable with the work that it takes to be antiracist. As mentioned in this article, “You can only aspire for what you can see yourself in,” therefore, representation matters when you are looking at diversity and inclusion. Representation is needed to encourage retention of BIPOC in science and research, as well as to get people interested and involved at a young age. We all need to work with being actively antiracist to avoid being complicit to the oppressive systems hurting our communities and disvaluing the worth of those within them. Most importantly, do not rely on Black people to relive their trauma to educate you.
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