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Risks of and risk factors for COVID-19 disease and development of a risk prediction model in people with diabetes in Scotland: A cohort study
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Abstract

Background: The objectives were to i) ascertain the cumulative risk of fatal or CCU treated COVID-19 in those with diabetes and compare it to those without diabetes ii) among those with diabetes to investigate risk factors for, and build a cross-validated predictive model of, fatal or CCU treated COVID-19.

Methods: In the total population of Scotland we ascertained all persons who had developed fatal or critical care unit-treated COVID-19 (hereafter F/CCU-COVID-19) between 1st March and July 31st 2020 from the nationwide virology, critical care unit, hospital discharge and register of deaths databases. Among those with F/CCU-COVID-19, diabetes status was ascertained by linkage to the national diabetes register. The cumulative incidence of F/CCU-COVID-19 in those with and without diabetes was compared using logistic regression. Among those with diabetes, data on potential risk factors for F/CCU-COVID-19 were obtained from diabetes register and other linked health administrative databases. Among those with diabetes we tested association of these factors with F/CCU-COVID-19 and constructed a prediction model using stepwise regression and 20-fold cross-validation.

Findings: 1082 (0.3%) of all those with diabetes in Scotland (n=319349) developed F/CCU-COVID-19; the age and sex adjusted odds ratio was \textsuperscript{[OR]} 1.395 95\% CI: 1.304-1.494, p<0.001 overall compared to the risk in total population of Scotland without diabetes (n=4081 cases in 5143951 persons). The OR was 2.396 (1.815-3.163) in those with F/CCU-COVID-19 compared to the risk in total population of Scotland without diabetes. Among those with diabetes who developed F/CCU-COVID-19, 90\% were ≥60 years old. Among those with diabetes, adjusted for age, sex, diabetes duration and diabetes type, those who developed F/CCU-COVID-19 were more likely to be male, live in residential care, or live in a more deprived area, have a condition already listed as a COVID-19 risk condition such as heart or lung disease, have retinopathy or reduced renal function, have worse glycaemic control, have a prior diabetic ketoacidosis or hypoglycaemia hospitalisation in the past five years, be on more diabetes and other type of drugs, and to have been a smoker (all p<0.001). Those with F/CCU-COVID-19 were less likely to be on an antihypertensive and had lower systolic blood pressure than those without F/CCU-COVID-19 (both p<0.001). The relationship with BMI was J-Shaped. The cross-validated predictive model of F/CCU-COVID-19 in those with diabetes, retained 11 factors in addition to age, sex, diabetes type and duration and had a C-statistic of 0.85 (95\% CI 0.83,0.86).
Interpretation: Overall risks of F/CCU-COVID-19 are substantially elevated in those with type 1 and type 2 diabetes compared to the background population. The risk of F/CCU-COVID-19, and therefore the need for special protective measures, varies widely among those with diabetes but can be predicted reasonably well using prior clinical history.

Funding: none

Research in Context

Evidence before this study

We searched PubMed and the META database for studies examining risks of COVID-19 associated with diabetes that had appropriate comparator populations and for studies exploring among those with diabetes what risk factors predict COVID-19 using the terms (COVID-19 OR “novel coronavirus” OR SARS-CoV-2) AND diabetes, through 5th October 2020. Case-series have reported a high prevalence of diabetes among those hospitalised or a high test-positivity rate for diabetes among those tested. However, diabetes is common, so to quantify the risk ratios for COVID-19, comparison to the background population is needed. Only four such studies have reported; these found relative risks of relative risks of 2.04 for type 2 diabetes and 3.5 for type 1 diabetes for COVID-19 hospitalised death and 1.9 and 2.4 for COVID-19 hospitalisation and hospitalised death respectively for all diabetes in the others. The range of potential determinants explored in these studies has been limited.

Added value of this study

In this study, we captured the data encompassing the first wave of the epidemic i.e. from March 1st 2020 when the first case was identified to 31st July 2020 on which date infection rates had dropped sufficiently that shielding measures were officially terminated. Including CCU treated and out of hospital deaths from COVID-19 for the first time, as well as hospitalised deaths, we have shown that the risk of F/CCU-COVID-19 is increased 2.4-times in type 1 diabetes and 1.4-times in type 2 diabetes. For the first time we have shown that those with recent admissions history for hypoglycaemia and diabetic ketoacidosis have an increased risk of severe or fatal disease. Ever smokers also had increased risks. Prior specific comorbidities including heart disease, liver disease, and chronic lower respiratory disease increased risk. We have shown for the first time that being exposed to more drug classes and having more prior hospital admissions are markers of risk. A risk prediction model achieved a C-statistic of 0.85. We have provided a Shiny app to give the reader a sense of how individual risk factor profiles in those with diabetes translate into elevated risks compared to those without diabetes.

Implications of all the available evidence

During phases of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, when the effective reproduction number is high, those people with diabetes most at risk may warrant special protection measures. A risk prediction score based on past history can usefully identify those with diabetes most at risk and we provide an example of such a score.
Background

Initial case series of people hospitalised with COVID-19 in several countries found over-representation of people with diabetes[1–8]. More than a quarter of those admitted for COVID-19 in the UK had diabetes[9].

Just four studies, three from the UK, have compared risks in defined populations with and without diabetes and all found increased risks in those with diabetes for in-hospital and total deaths[10–14]. Guidelines accordingly describe all those with diabetes as being at elevated risk[8,15] but it is likely that among those with diabetes some are at very high risk warranting special protection measures and that others not at much more risk than the background population. As we enter the second wave of the epidemic a greater understanding of variation in COVID-19 risk in those with diabetes is needed to tailor protection measures and inform vaccine strategies.

Only one study has explored determinants of risk of COVID-19 among those with diabetes to any extent with Black and South Asian ethnicity, lower socioeconomic status, poorer glycaemic control and prior cardiovascular disease reported to increase risks[13,14]. BMI was the only predictor of outcome of hospitalised COVID-19 beyond age, sex and diabetes duration in a large French case series[16].

In this study we have used data from the first wave of the epidemic in Scotland i.e. from March 1st 2020 when the first case was identified to 31st July 2020 on which date infection rates had dropped sufficiently that shielding measures were officially terminated. For the total population of Scotland we aimed to i) compare the cumulative risk of fatal or critical care unit treated (F/CCU) COVID-19 in all those with and without diabetes ii) among those with diabetes, to ascertain which factors were associated with F/CCU-COVID-19 and iii) to build a cross-validated risk prediction model. Our focus was on F/CCU-COVID-19 as rates of testing positive or being hospitalised with COVID-19 are biased due to selective testing and hospitalisation policies.

Methods

The participants were the total population of Scotland (n=5 463 300) including all those with diabetes nationwide (n=319 349) alive three weeks before the start of the epidemic in Scotland (estimated as Feb 7th 2020). The study period was from March 1st 2020 to the 31st July 2020.

COVID-19 case ascertainment

For the total population of Scotland evidence of any detected COVID-19 was defined as having had a positive RT-PCR test for SARS-CoV-2 or a hospital discharge code for COVID-19, or a COVID-19 code (U071 or U072) anywhere on the death certificate. The databases used were the Electronic Communication of Surveillance in Scotland (ECOSS) database that captures all NHS virology testing, the RAPID database of daily hospitalisations, the Scottish Morbidity Records-01 of hospital discharges and the National Records of Scotland (NRS) death registrations data. All such health related databases in Scotland are linkable as they all use the Community Health Index (CHI) unique identifier. The CHI database also yielded data on age, sex, residential postcode, and residential care home status. For all cases whether critical care had been provided was obtained by linkage to the Scottish Intensive Care Society and Audit Group (SICSAG) database. Critical care included all admissions to intensive (ICU), high dependency (HDU) or combined ICU/HDU care. Fatal COVID-19 was defined based on there being a U071 or U072 code anywhere on the death certificate, OR any death within 28 days of testing positive. These are the official death definitions used by National Register of Scotland and Public Health Scotland.

Diabetes database

To identify diabetes status among all those COVID-19 we linked the COVID-19 data to the national diabetes register (Scottish Care Information (SCI)-Diabetes) and its associated research platform. As described elsewhere[17,18], the diabetes research database has >99% coverage of all those with a diabetes diagnosis in Scotland. Inception into SCI-Diabetes occurs when a diagnostic code for diabetes is assigned in primary or secondary care across Scotland. Nightly uploads of key data items from primary, secondary and community clinical care into a federated national health system (NHS) database follows. These data include diabetes type and clinical measurements such as body mass index (BMI), blood pressure, laboratory results, smoking history and annual screening retinopathy grade that we have used in our analysis. Regular extracts from this database are linked to other datasets including hospitalisations (Scottish Morbidity Record (SMR) 01), dispensed prescriptions (PrescribingInformation System-PIS), renal registry, deaths and other routine datasets, using the CHI number then anonymised and imported into the research platform. Records for all persons alive in the register at the start of the epidemic were used in this analysis (n=319 349). See Supplement Methods for a detailed description of key variables from the database used in the analysis.
Demographic data

All those with diabetes were assigned to which quintile of the residential postcode-based indicator, the Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation (SIMD), they belonged[19]. Self-assigned ethnic group and residential care home status were captured from SCI-diabetes and CHI databases respectively.

Other morbidity and drug prescribing

From the diabetes research platform, all hospital discharge codes from SMR01 over the past five years and Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) codes[20] from PIS data for the past three years were extracted and used to define co-morbid conditions and prior drug exposures. We derived history of a specific list of conditions and drug classes that have been included as risk conditions for COVID-19 by public health agencies, hereafter termed “listed conditions”[15] (see Supplement Methods for details of the codes used).

Statistical methods

Cumulative incidence of F/CCU-COVID-19 in those with and without diabetes between March 1st 2020 and July 31st 2020

For calculating cumulative incidence (risk) we used the age and sex specific counts of F/CCU-COVID-19 in those with and without diabetes over the study period. The age and sex distribution of those with diabetes as of three weeks before the first observed positive test nationally was available from SCI-Diabetes (n=319 349). To obtain the at risk population for the population without diabetes we used the most recent publicly available one-year age and sex band counts of the total Scottish population (Mid 2019 n=5 463 300) available from National Records of Scotland[21]. We assumed these counts pertained at the start of the epidemic. From this, we subtracted the numbers alive in the diabetes register in each sex specific age band to give the population without diabetes (n=5 143 951). We summarised the relative difference in cumulative incidence of F/CCU-COVID- up to 31 July 2020 in those with and without diabetes by sex as the odds ratio from a logistic regression model using one-year age band and sex specific counts of cases and denominators.

Excess deaths

For the population with diabetes, the weekly counts of total deaths for the at risk population in each of the past five years was available from the SCI-Diabetes research. We plotted the total number of deaths per week in 2020 in those with diabetes along with the weekly average for the same week over the period 2015-2019 with the difference representing excess deaths.

Associations of risk factors for F/CCU-COVID-19 among those with diabetes

Using the SCI-Diabetes research platform we first described sociodemographic variables, the “listed conditions”[15] and potential vascular and diabetes specific risk factors in those with diabetes who did and did not develop F/CCU-COVID-19. Association of each risk factor with F/CCU-COVID-19 was then reported adjusting for age, sex, diabetes duration and type of diabetes using logistic regression. In total, associations of 35 variables were tested as listed in Table 2. We report p-values unadjusted for multiplicity. Global P-values were calculated using a likelihood ratio test, comparing models with and without the variable using R stats[22] ‘drop1’ function. For regression, missing variables were imputed using chained equations assuming data were missing at random using the ‘Amelia’[23] package (see supplement for details).

Construction of risk prediction model for F/CCU-COVID-19 among those with diabetes

Using the same data on 35 covariates, we constructed a multivariable risk prediction model of F/CCU-COVID-19 among those with diabetes. Age, sex, diabetes type, diabetes duration were fitted simultaneously as the baseline model. The 35 covariates and interaction terms for age:sex, age:diabetes type, sex:diabetes type were made available for selection into the final model. We used the mfp package in R[24,25] to first ascertain whether any of the continuous variables should be fitted with any additional polynomial terms because of departure from linearity (see supplement for details). We then used stepwise regression, alternating between forward and backward steps, implemented in the R function stats::step, so as to maximize the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), selecting any additional potential factors as being predictive of F/CCU-COVID-19. The predictive performance of the base model and then the final model were evaluated by 20-fold cross validation with performance calculated over all test folds as the C-statistic and also as the expected information for discrimination using the wevid package (see supplement for additional detail)[26].

The COVID-age for a person with diabetes can be defined as the age at which the risk of COVID-19 in a non-diabetic individual of the same sex equates to the risk in the diabetic individual under study. This can be derived from the final risk model in those with diabetes and the modelled risks in those without diabetes (see supplement methods). To enable a user to calculate this COVID-age for a person with diabetes and a given set
of characteristics, we generated a Shiny application located at https://diabepi.shinyapps.io/covidrisk/ (Online; Accessed 11th November 2020). The purpose of the Shiny app to give the reader a sense of how individual risk factor profiles in those with diabetes translate into elevated risks compared to those without diabetes (see supplement for further details). This study is registered as an International Standard Randomised Controlled Trial number ISRCTN45562523.

Results

Cumulative incidence (risk) of F/CCU-COVID-19 in those with and without diabetes 1 March to 31st July 2020
Risk and excess death in those with diabetes

Among those 319,349 persons in the population with diabetes at the start of the epidemic, by 31st July 2020 2724 had any evidence of COVID-19. Of the 2724 persons with any evidence of COVID-19, 1082 had developed F/CCU-COVID-19 (0.3% of all those with diabetes) of whom 963 died. More details of case ascertainment and severity ascertainment is given in Supplement (Supplement Figure 1 and associated Supplement Results text).

Table 1 shows the distribution of risk of F/CCU-COVID-19 in the population with diabetes. The risk of F/CCU-COVID-19 increased with age. Just 30 of 1082 with F/CCU-COVID-19 were below age 50 years (all of these were age >20 years). The overall risk was 0.4% in males and 0.3% in females. Overall, 0.1% of those with type 1 and 0.4% of those with type 2 diabetes developed F/CCU-COVID-19.

Figure 2 shows the total deaths among those with diabetes in every 7 day-window since 1/1/2020 plotted against the average number of deaths in that same seven day interval in 2015-19. The difference in these two is excess deaths during the period. The grey zone depicts how much was attributable to COVID-19. Total deaths exceeded the average from early March and returned to the average by early June. Altogether in this first wave of the epidemic between March 1st and July 31st 2020 there were 1228 excess deaths in comparison to the average for this period in the preceding years. Of these, 963 (78.45%) were due to COVID-19.

Comparison of risk in those with and without diabetes

In the total population of Scotland without diabetes (n=5,143,951) 4081 (0.08%) developed F/CCU-COVID-19 in the same period (Supplement Table 1). Figure 1 shows the risk of F/CCU-COVID-19 in those with and without diabetes by sex. The diabetes associated increase in risk was apparent in both sexes and at all age bands.

Adjusted for age and sex in a logistic regression, as of 31st July 2020, diabetes was associated with an odds ratio of 1.395 (95% CI 1.304-1.494, p<0.001) for F/CCU-COVID-19 with similar odds ratio found in males and females (Supplement Table 2). The OR was 2.396 (1.815-3.163) in type 1 and 1.369 (1.276-1.468) in type 2 diabetes. There was a statistically significant interaction between diabetes and age on risk of F/CCU-COVID-19 (p<0.001) with the OR being 2.494 (2.032-3.061) for those age 0-59, 1.764 (1.457-2.136) for those 60-69 years and 1.327 (1.227-1.434) in those aged 70 upwards for example. When the analysis was limited to various time points since the start of the epidemic the OR associated with diabetes was highest at end of March 1.770 (1.566-2.002) and fell to 1.446 (1.343-1.557) by end of April and then to the July 31st value of 1.395 (1.304-1.494).

Associations of risk factors with F/CCU-COVID-19 among those with diabetes

Table 2 shows the crude unadjusted characteristics of those with diabetes who did and did not develop F/CCU-COVID-19. Supplementary Tables 3 and 4 show these data by type of diabetes. Table 3 shows the odds ratios for F/CCU-COVID-19, 95% confidence intervals and p-values for age, sex, diabetes type and duration and then for each other risk factor separately adjusted for age, sex diabetes type and duration. The comments below are based on these adjusted data. Data for continuous variables divided into categories including missingness are given in Supplementary Tables 5 and 6.

Sociodemographic factors

As shown in Table 3 older age, male sex and longer diabetes duration were all associated with statistically significant increased risk of F/CCU-COVID-19. Adjusted for these factors, the type of diabetes was not associated with any statistically significant difference in risk. Living in a residential care home was associated with very large and statistically significant increased risk of F/CCU-COVID-19 (OR 16.570, 95% CI 14.326, 19.165 p<0.001). Sociodemographic (SIMD) quintile showed a strong statistically significant gradient in risk falling from the most to least deprived quintile. There was no statistically significant variation in risk by ethnic group. Note however, that the prevalence of non-White ethnicities in this diabetes population (Table 2) is too low, commensurate with the background general population of Scotland, to have any power to detect ethnic variation in COVID-19 risk among those with diabetes.
Co-morbid conditions and clinical factors

As shown in Table 3, the number of prior hospitalisations for hypoglycaemia, diabetic ketoacidosis and for reasons other than these over the past five years was strongly associated with F/CCU-COVID-19. Each of the co-morbid conditions listed as risk conditions for COVID-19 showed strong, highly statistically significant associations with F/CCU-COVID. Risk increased with increasing HbA1c. There was no statistically significant linear relationship between BMI and disease (OR 1.002, 95% CI 0.991, 1.013, p=0.706, Table 3). However, the multivariable fractional polynomials analysis revealed evidence for a statistically significant non-linear J-shaped relationship with BMI (See Supplement Figure 2 for a plot of the relationship from the mfp analysis). Those who developed F/CCU-COVID-19 had statistically significantly lower systolic blood pressure than those who did not. Being on any antihypertensive was associated with a statistically significantly lower risk of F/CCU-COVID-19 (OR 0.801, 95% CI 0.705, 0.909 p=0.001). More detailed exploration of type of antihypertensives (see Supplement Tables 5 and 6) showed that the point estimate for the OR for each antihypertensive subclass was below 1, other than for the rarely used “centrally-acting” class. In those who developed F/CCU-COVID, eGFR was statistically significantly lower and prevalence of albuminuria was higher than those who did not. Having retinopathy was statistically significantly associated with developing F/CCU-COVID-19. Having a history of smoking was associated with a significantly increased risk of F/CCU-COVID-19 though risk was not statistically significantly higher in current versus never smokers.

Statistically significant increased risks were found in recipients versus non-recipients of several drug classes including non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, proton-pump inhibitors and anti-coagulants. The more diabetes drug subclasses used in the past three years, the greater the risk of F/CCU-COVID-19 disease. Having been on insulin or sulphonylureas was associated with the highest risks (Supplement Table 6). As shown in Table 3 the number of different types of drugs other than those used for diabetes that the person had been exposed to in the past three years was strongly associated with F/CCU-COVID.

Distribution of characteristics in those with and without F/CCU-COVID-19 by type of diabetes is given in Supplement Tables 3 and 4. Broadly the same pattern of associations is found in both type 1 and type 2 diabetes. The main differences were that DKA and hypoglycaemia admission rates, and the differences between those with and without F/CCU-COVID-19 were greater, for type 1 diabetes than type 2. A sensitivity analysis restricted to the fatal cases showed the same pattern of associations as was found for F/CCU-COVID-19.

Risk prediction model for F/CCU-COVID-19 among those with diabetes

Table 4 shows the final set of covariates retained in the stepwise selection model, on top of age, sex, diabetes type and duration which were entered as fixed covariates. The multivariable fractional polynomials analysis indicated that the association with number of hospital admission was best entered into the model as log (admissions) and that terms for both BMI and log BMI should be included. Note that selection is based on the AIC not p-values. Terms for interactions between age and type of diabetes, sex and type, and age by sex were not selected. The C-statistic for the baseline model containing just age, sex, diabetes type and duration was 0.76 (95% CI:0.75-0.76). The cross-validated stepwise model retained a further 11 factors and had a C-statistic of 0.85 (95% CI:0.83-0.85) (Supplement Figure 3). The cross-validated model was well calibrated (Supplement Figure 4) and the Hosmer Lemeshow test was not statistically significant at p=0.378.

The Shiny app that uses the prediction model and the risk data in the population without diabetes to return the COVID-age can be found at https://diabepi.shinyapps.io/covidrisk/ (Online; Accessed 11th November 2020).

Discussion

Risk of F/CCU-COVID-19 in diabetes

This report highlights the elevation in risk of F/CCU-COVID-19 with diabetes. The elevation in risk relative to the population without diabetes adjusted for age and sex was higher for type 1 (2.4 times) type 1 than for type 2 (1.4 times). This greater elevation in type 1 diabetes is likely accounted for by longer duration of diabetes since in the older age bands cumulative incidence was higher in type 1 than type 2 and since, among those with diabetes, no significant difference in risk by type was found once age, sex and diabetes duration were adjusted for. The lower overall age distribution in type 1 than type 2 diabetes and the strong association of older age with risk however meant that overall a lower proportion of those with type 1 than type 2 developed F/CCU-COVID-19. Although there were no cases of F/CCU-COVID-19 under age 20 years in those with diabetes, above this age an elevation in the risk associated with diabetes was apparent.

In terms of absolute risk three people with diabetes in every 1000 developed F/CCU-COVID-19 up to July 2020. The impact on the weekly deaths was clearly discernable and peaked in early April. Of note the excess was not all explained by COVID-19 designated deaths; this may represent under-ascertainment of COVID-19 deaths but may also reflect the knock on effect on health services of the epidemic[27].
We also found strong associations with NSAIDS and proton pump inhibitors which are among the most commonly prescribed drugs and are often markers of polypharmacy. They were more likely to have smoked. We found a J shaped relationship with BMI. Surprisingly, although strong associations of hypertension with COVID-19 have been reported elsewhere[5–7], we found that those who developed F/CCU-COVID-19 had slightly lower blood pressures than those who did not and that being on anti-hypertensives was associated with a lower risk than not being on any antihypertensive. Among the specific anti-hypertensive drug classes thiazides and angiotensin II receptor antagonists/blockers had the lowest odds ratios for F/CCU-COVID-19. Similar associations of age, sex diabetes duration, socioeconomic status, prior CVD, renal status, blood pressure and glycaemic control with COVID-19 death were found in the English National Audit study[13,14]. In that study non-white ethnicity was found to be associated with COVID-19 death whereas in Scotland the prevalence of non-White ethnic groups is too low to allow detection of any ethnic differences in COVID-19; just 2.9% of those with diabetes may have adopted social distancing measures more stringently than those without diabetes which could bias the odds ratio downwards. Indeed, consistent with this, when the analysis was limited to various time points since the start of the epidemic the OR associated with diabetes was highest at end of March 1.770 (1.566-2.002) falling to 1.395 (1.304-1.494) by end July. Depletion of the most susceptible early in the epidemic could also cause the odds ratio to fall over time this since the susceptibility is higher in those with diabetes. However, we have no direct data to prove these potential explanations.

There are few other studies with general population denominators allowing the relative risk of COVID-19 in those with diabetes relative to the background population to be estimated. In the OpenSAFELY study primary care records in England were linked to death certification records. The age, sex-adjusted OR for COVID-death associated with diabetes was 1.6 for those with an HbA1c < 58 mmol/mol and was 2.6 for those with HbA1c above this level. Type of diabetes was not differentiated[11]. An analysis of the UkBiobank study diabetes was associated with a relative risk of 1.91 for COVID-19 hospitalisation[28]. In the English National Audit cohort[13,14] risk ratios of COVID-19 death for type 1 and type 2 diabetes were 3.51 and 2.03 and were attenuated in Whites (3.06 for type 1 and 1.91 for type 2). In a matched case control study of the total population of Scotland from earlier in the epidemic and not including cases derived solely from hospital admissions (as these weren’t yet available) we reported slightly higher conditional odds ratios of 2.75 (1.96-3.88) for type 1 and 1.60 for type 2 (1.48-1.74)[29]. All these studies are therefore consistent in finding elevations in risk for type 1 and type 2 diabetes in the same range. However, the OR for diabetes will vary somewhat depending on the stage of the epidemic and with the ethnicity distribution as well as whether out of hospital deaths are captured. Studies that do not capture out of hospital deaths may preferentially omit older cases and will report a higher summary OR since the OR varies with age.

**Risk factors association with F/CCU-COVID-19 in diabetes**

We found that risk of F/CCU-COVID-19 rose steeply with age and was higher in males as has been reported in many other populations[30]. More than a third of those with diabetes who developed F/CCU-COVID-19 lived in residential care homes emphasizing the critical importance of protecting such vulnerable individuals during the remainder of this epidemic. There was a strong socioeconomic gradient.

We showed that adjusted for age, sex, diabetes duration those who developed F/CCU-COVID-19 on average had worse profiles for almost every clinical measure we examined; they were more likely to have other co-morbidities and evidence of diabetic microvascular disease (with more impaired renal function and retinopathy). On average they had worse glycaemic control, were more likely to have had a prior diabetic ketoacidosis or hypoglycaemia hospitalisation and other hospitalisations in the past five years. They were on more diabetes and non-diabetes drugs. We also found strong associations with NSAIDS and proton pump inhibitors which are among the most commonly prescribed drugs and are often markers of polypharmacy. They were more likely to have smoked. We found a J shaped relationship with BMI. Surprisingly, although strong associations of hypertension with COVID-19 have been reported elsewhere[5–7], we found that those who developed F/CCU-COVID-19 had slightly lower blood pressures than those who did not and that being on anti-hypertensives was associated with a lower risk than not being on any antihypertensive. Among the specific anti-hypertensive drug classes thiazides and angiotensin II receptor antagonists/blockers had the lowest odds ratios for F/CCU-COVID-19. Similar associations of age, sex diabetes duration, socioeconomic status, prior CVD, renal status, blood pressure and glycaemic control with COVID-19 death were found in the English National Audit study[13,14]. In that study non-white ethnicity was found to be associated with COVID-19 death whereas in Scotland the prevalence of non-White ethnic groups is too low to allow detection of any ethnic differences in COVID-19; just 2.9% of those with diabetes are known to be of South Asian origin and 0.5% of Black origin. We found that being on antihypertensive drugs was associated with a lower risk but they found an increased risk. However, that higher risk was driven by a large effect in South Asian and Mixed ethnicity groups and was not seen in whites or other ethnic groups. The U-shaped association with BMI in the English Audit Study was stronger than the J-shaped relationship we found. This difference is likely also driven by the different ethnic mix in the studies since the relationship of higher BMI to higher risk was most apparent in those of non-White ethnicity in the English Audit Study. The increased risk at lower BMI including underweight in both studies likely reflects comorbid effects related to F/CCU-COVID-19 that are associated with weight loss. Given the elevation in BMI among people with type 2 diabetes, it would not be surprising to see such comorbid effects resulting in the nadir of the curve being around the average BMI of 30kg/m² as was found here. In the English Audit Study, as in ours, ex-smokers were at increased risk but they reported that current smokers were at reduced risk, but we did not. This difference may reflect that their smoking effects were reported adjusted for all other variables including possible mediators such as CVD. The extensive data on other factors we examined were not evaluated in the English Audit Study.
Such minimally adjusted associations that we have reported are useful as a prelude to building the predictive model of F/CCU-COVID-19 discussed further below. They are also useful for suggesting possible causal mechanisms. Thus the SIMD differential may be partly mediated through higher levels of smoking, and worse glycaemic control and onward effects on CVD and other co-morbidities but may also relate to other unmeasured factors determining infection such as overcrowding or occupation. However much more extensive modelling methods[31] are needed to infer causality for each of the associated factors and is out of scope here. Such methods are especially needed to understand drug associations which are hugely susceptible to confounding by indication. Meanwhile it is worth considering which of the associations we report, if causal, would be modifiable. Improved protection in residential care homes, smoking cessation, improved glucose control, reduction of BMI, optimised management of comorbidities, medication reviews of polypharmacy are possible interventions to reduce risk suggested by these analyses but require formal analysis. It is interesting that the data suggest protective effects of anti-hypertensives but this also requires more detailed causal analyses.

**Prediction model and estimation of COVID-age**

We obtained a reasonable predictive accuracy in our multivariable model with a C-statistic of 0.85; thus faced with a case and non-case pair the model would correctly assign the case as being at higher risk 85% of the time. This level of predictive accuracy disproves the notion all those with diabetes are of similar risk. The variables retained in the model are those that are most predictive and not necessarily causal; some of the most valuable predictors include the number of prior hospital admissions in past five years, number of diabetes drugs and number of non-diabetes drugs which were not evaluated in other diabetes COVID-19 studies.

The absolute risk of F/CCU-COVID-19 will mostly reflect the stage of the epidemic and the current effective R number in the population[32]. Accordingly we have produced the Shiny app to convert the absolute risk score produced by the prediction model to the COVID-age i.e. the age at which the same absolute risk was observed in a person of the same sex in the population without diabetes at the same stage of the epidemic. This concept of COVID-age is becoming increasingly used in occupational health[33] and is more interpretable than scores that produce absolute risks such as the QCovid score[34]. COVID-age should be less susceptible to the prevailing R than absolute risk but we will monitor the need the need to recalibrate the underlying models as the pandemic unfolds.

**Limitations and advantages**

Some key strengths of our study are the total population coverage, the inclusion of out-of-hospital deaths, the inclusion of those who might have died without CCU, the much more extensive exploration of potential prior risk factors than conducted previously and the development of the shiny app for COVID-age. Limitations of our study are potential biases noted above and that we, as others, lack quality control data on assignation of COVID-19 deaths. Furthermore we lack the detailed clinical data needed to define severe cases according to the World Health Organisation criteria[35] or to capture all possible co-morbidities. Another limitation is that we had to make an assumption age and sex bands population numbers won’t have changed much between mid-2019 and the start of the epidemic in Feb/March 2020. This is however a very reasonable assumption: between 2018 and 2019 the overall change in the Scottish population size was just +0.5% with no change in those aged 75+ years[21]. An important limitation is that we have not been able access any other datasets in which to externally validate the risk prediction model. Therefore, its presentation here is primarily to facilitate an understanding of the magnitude of increase in risk that occurs with different risk factor combinations that is not easily intuited from looking a table of odds ratios associated with specific risk factors or markers. We also hope that it serves as an illustration to those in other countries of an approach they might usefully adopt that could help those with diabetes and their clinicians to make shielding decisions during the rest of the epidemic. It is likely that our data are relevant to many developed settings but in developing countries the background mix of other infections and non-infectious diseases among those with diabetes may vary considerably. Also, for many countries, the low prevalence of non-White people in our population means that potentially important ethnicity effects that may pertain are not represented in our model.

**Policy Implications**

Both type 1 and type 2 diabetes are associated with substantial increases in the risk of COVID-19 disease compared with the risks seen in people of the same age in the background population. However, it is important to consider the absolute number of persons with diabetes in our population that have developed severe or fatal disease: 3 in 1000 persons have had fatal or CCU treated disease. We have shown that among those with diabetes risk of severe disease varies widely and is predictable. This should inform shielding policies and vaccine prioritisation strategies. The Shiny app has been provided for illustrative purposes only to allow a greater understanding of how a prediction model broadly translates into COVID-age in individuals with diabetes. External validation, regulatory approval and appropriate licensing would be required before this could be used in clinical practice.
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Figure 1: Risk of F/CCU-COVID-19 in the National population of Scotland with and without diabetes by age band and sex by 31st July 2020. High/low bars indicate the 95% confidence interval of the risk.
Figure 2: Weekly deaths from all causes and from causes other than COVID-19 in people with Diabetes in Scotland during 2020 compared with average deaths in that week from 2015 to 2019.
Table 1: Cumulative incidence of F/CCU-COVID-19 in the Scottish population with diabetes, by age, sex and diabetes type by 31st July 2020

| Age Band (years) | 0-39 | 40-49 | 50-59 | 60-69 | 70-79 | 80+ | Total |
|------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-------|
| **All**          | 22264| 24863 | 58438 | 81606 | 80909 | 51269| 319349|
| F/CCU-COVID-19   | 5(0.0)| 25(0.1)| 80(0.1)| 134(0.2)| 306(0.4)| 532(1.0)| 1082(0.3)|
| **Male**         | 11821| 14402 | 34968 | 40001 | 46201 | 24093| 180486|
| F/CCU-COVID-19   | 2(0.0)| 15(0.1)| 54(0.2)| 99(0.2)| 206(0.4)| 281(1.2)| 657(0.4)|
| **Female**       | 10443| 10461 | 23470 | 32605 | 34708 | 27176| 138863|
| F/CCU-COVID-19   | 3(0.0)| 10(0.1)| 26(0.1)| 35(0.1)| 100(0.3)| 251(0.9)| 425(0.3)|
| **Type 1**       | 14732| 5747  | 6333  | 4486  | 2227  | 858 | 34383|
| F/CCU-COVID-19   | 2(0.0)| 5(0.1)| 10(0.2)| 7(0.2)| 14(0.6)| 13(1.5)| 51(0.1)|
| **Type 2**       | 6507 | 18072 | 50273 | 75031 | 76792 | 49285| 275960|
| F/CCU-COVID-19   | 2(0.0)| 17(0.1)| 68(0.1)| 125(0.2)| 285(0.4)| 511(1.0)| 1008(0.4)|
| **Other**        | 1025 | 1044  | 1832  | 2089  | 1890  | 1126 | 9096 |
| F/CCU-COVID-19   | 1(0.1)| 3(0.3)| 2(0.1)| 2(0.1)| 7(0.4)| 8(0.7)| 23(0.3)|

Data are shown in N or N(%)
Table 2: Characteristics of all those with diabetes in Scotland who did and did not develop F/CCU-COVID-19 by 31st July 2020

| Characteristic                                      | No F/CCU-COVID-19 | F/CCU-COVID-19 | Total diabetes population |
|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| **Total included**                                  | 318267 (99.66)    | 1082 (0.34)    | 319349                   |
| **Sociodemographic**                               |                   |                |                          |
| Age (years)                                         | 66.7 (56.3, 75.8) | 79.9 (71.4, 85.7) | 66.7 (56.3, 75.8)       |
| Diabetes duration (years)                           | 10.5 (5.7, 16.6)  | 13.5 (8.0, 19.2) | 10.5 (5.7, 16.6)        |
| Carehome resident                                   | 5897 (1.9)        | 397 (3.6)      | 6294 (2.0)              |
| Deprivation index                                   |                   |                |                          |
| Quintile 1 (most deprived)                         | 73188 (23.0)      | 322 (29.8)     | 73510 (23.0)            |
| Quintile 2                                          | 71102 (22.3)      | 264 (24.4)     | 71366 (22.3)            |
| Quintile 3                                          | 63401 (19.9)      | 188 (17.4)     | 63589 (19.9)            |
| Quintile 4                                          | 56203 (17.7)      | 174 (16.0)     | 56376 (17.7)            |
| Quintile 5 (least deprived)                        | 46251 (14.5)      | 102 (9.4)      | 46353 (14.5)            |
| Unknown                                             | 8122 (2.6)        | 33 (3.0)       | 8155 (2.6)              |
| **Ethnicity**                                       |                   |                |                          |
| White                                               | 237205 (74.5)     | 870 (80.4)     | 238075 (74.6)           |
| South Asian                                         | 9218 (2.9)        | 16 (1.5)       | 9234 (2.9)              |
| Black                                               | 1589 (0.5)        | 5 (0.5)        | 1594 (0.5)              |
| Chinese                                             | 1205 (0.4)        | 4 (0.4)        | 1209 (0.4)              |
| Other                                               | 12103 (3.8)       | 30 (2.8)       | 12133 (3.8)             |
| Unknown                                             | 56947 (17.9)      | 157 (14.5)     | 57104 (17.9)            |
| **Comorbidities**                                   |                   |                |                          |
| Any DKA admission in past 5 years                  | 6623 (2.1)        | 23 (2.1)       | 6646 (2.1)              |
| Any hypoglycaemia admission in past 5yrs           | 5769 (1.8)        | 73 (6.7)       | 5842 (1.8)              |
| No. of other hospital admissions in past 5 years   | 1.0 (0.0, 3.0)    | 5.0 (2.0, 11.0) | 1.0 (0.0, 3.0)          |
| Any heart disease                                   | 100482 (31.6)     | 696 (64.3)     | 101178 (31.7)           |
| Asthma or chronic lower airway disease             | 105666 (33.0)     | 504 (46.6)     | 105570 (33.1)           |
| Neurological and dementia (excluding epilepsy)     | 15076 (4.7)       | 232 (21.4)     | 15308 (4.8)             |
| Liver disease                                       | 3075 (1.0)        | 29 (2.7)       | 3104 (1.0)              |
| Immune disease or on immunosuppressants            | 4076 (1.3)        | 24 (2.2)       | 4100 (1.3)              |
| Any listed condition                               | 165813 (52.1)     | 896 (82.8)     | 166709 (52.2)           |
| **Other clinical measures**                         |                   |                |                          |
| Insulin pump use                                    | 4811 (1.5)        | 1 (0.1)        | 4812 (1.5)              |
| Flash glucose monitor use                           | 5769 (1.8)        | 73 (6.7)       | 5842 (1.8)              |
| HbA1c (mmol/mol)                                    | 57 (47.90)        | 58 (47.71)     | 57 (47.90)              |
| BMI (kg/m²)                                         | 30 (27.35)        | 29 (25.33)     | 30 (27.35)              |
| Systolic BP (mmHg)                                  | 134 (124,142)     | 132 (122,142)  | 134 (124,142)           |
| Diastolic BP (mmHg)                                 | 77 (70.82)        | 74 (67.80)     | 77 (70.82)              |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L)                          | 4 (4.5)           | 3 (3.5)        | 4 (4.5)                 |
| eGFR (mL/min/1.73m²)                                | 83 (65.97)        | 64 (44.82)     | 83 (65.97)              |
| **Albuminuric status**                              |                   |                |                          |
| Normal                                              | 131192 (41.2)     | 300 (27.7)     | 131492 (41.2)           |
| Micro                                               | 55417 (17.4)      | 235 (21.7)     | 55652 (17.4)            |
| Macro                                               | 11353 (3.6)       | 77 (7.1)       | 11430 (3.6)             |
| Unknown                                             | 120305 (37.8)     | 47 (4.3)       | 120775 (37.8)           |
| Retinopathy                                         |                   |                |                          |
| None                                                | 200428 (63.0)     | 618 (57.1)     | 201046 (63.0)           |
| Non referable / eye clinic                          | 48624 (15.3)      | 160 (14.8)     | 48784 (15.3)            |
| Referable / eye clinic                              | 28170 (8.9)       | 134 (12.4)     | 28304 (8.9)             |
| Unknown                                             | 41045 (12.9)      | 170 (15.7)     | 41215 (12.9)            |
| Tobacco smoking status                              |                   |                |                          |
| Current smoker                                      | 50734 (15.9)      | 111 (10.3)     | 50845 (15.9)            |
| Ex smoker                                           | 153181 (48.1)     | 679 (62.8)     | 153860 (48.2)           |
| Never smoked                                        | 111292 (35.0)     | 287 (26.5)     | 111579 (34.9)           |
| Unknown                                             | 3060 (1.0)        | 5 (0.5)        | 3065 (1.0)              |
| **Drug exposures**                                  |                   |                |                          |
| Lipid lowering                                      | 210701 (66.2)     | 806 (74.5)     | 211507 (66.2)           |
| Proton Pump Inhibitors                              | 132581 (41.7)     | 582 (53.8)     | 133163 (41.7)           |
| NSAIDS                                              | 143947 (45.2)     | 698 (64.5)     | 144645 (45.3)           |
| Anti-coagulants anti-platelets                      | 112983 (35.5)     | 667 (61.6)     | 113650 (35.6)           |
| Antihypertensives (any)                             | 198117 (62.2)     | 713 (65.9)     | 198830 (62.3)           |
| Number of ATC level 3 drug classes (excluding DM)   | 8.0 (4.0, 12.0)   | 11.0 (8.0, 15.0) | 8.0 (4.0, 12.0)        |
| Number of DM drug classes prescribed                | 1.0 (1.0, 2.0)    | 1.0 (1.0, 2.0) | 1.0 (1.0, 2.0)          |

Data are shown in N(%) for categorical values and median interquartile range for continuous values.
Table 3: Logistic regression of association of characteristics with having F/CCU-COVID-19 in people with diabetes. Diabetes duration was adjusted for age. Sex and diabetes type were adjusted for age and diabetes duration. All other associations were adjusted for age, sex, diabetes duration and diabetes type.

| Predictor                                      | Odds Ratio | 95% CI           | P-Value |
|------------------------------------------------|------------|------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                                    | 1.076      | (1.071, 1.082)   | <0.001  |
| Sex (global)                                   |            |                  | <0.001  |
| Male                                           | 1 (reference) |                  |         |
| Female                                         | 0.705      | (0.623, 0.798)   | <0.001  |
| Diabetes type (global)                         | 0.693      |                  |         |
| Type 2 diabetes (1 (reference))                |            |                  |         |
| Type 1 diabetes                                | 1.087      | (0.789, 1.498)   | 0.610   |
| Other diabetes types                           | 0.869      | (0.574, 1.317)   | 0.509   |
| Diabetes duration (years)                      | 1.016      | (1.009, 1.022)   | <0.001  |
| Carehome resident                              | 16.570     | (14.326, 19.165) | <0.001  |
| Any hypoglycaemia admission in past 5yrs       | 3.178      | (2.480, 4.072)   | <0.001  |
| Deprivation index (global)                     |            |                  | <0.001  |
| Quintile 1 (most deprived)                     |            |                  |         |
| Quintile 2                                     | 0.736      | (0.622, 0.862)   | <0.001  |
| Quintile 3                                     | 0.545      | (0.455, 0.653)   | <0.001  |
| Quintile 4                                     | 0.556      | (0.462, 0.669)   | <0.001  |
| Quintile 5 (least deprived)                    | 0.379      | (0.303, 0.473)   | <0.001  |
| Ethnicity (global)                             | 0.086      |                  |         |
| White                                          |            |                  |         |
| South Asian                                    | 0.616      | (0.368, 1.033)   | 0.066   |
| Black                                          | 1.770      | (0.727, 4.311)   | 0.209   |
| Chinese                                        | 0.784      | (0.267, 2.295)   | 0.656   |
| Other                                          | 0.740      | (0.513, 1.066)   | 0.160   |
| Any DKA admission in past 5 years              | 2.869      | (1.846, 4.460)   | <0.001  |
| Any hypoglycaemia admission in past 5yrs       | 3.178      | (2.480, 4.072)   | <0.001  |
| Ever admitted to hospital in past 5 years      | 3.307      | (2.789, 3.922)   | <0.001  |
| Asthma or chronic lower airway disease         | 1.691      | (1.500, 1.907)   | <0.001  |
| Neurological and dementia (excluding epilepsy)  | 3.810      | (3.284, 4.421)   | <0.001  |
| Liver disease                                  | 3.021      | (2.082, 4.384)   | <0.001  |
| Immune disease or on immunosuppressants        | 2.334      | (1.552, 3.510)   | <0.001  |
| Any listed condition                           | 3.167      | (2.701, 3.713)   | <0.001  |
| Insulin pump use                               | 0.330      | (0.046, 2.372)   | 0.271   |
| Flash glucose monitor use                      | 0.414      | (0.176, 0.973)   | 0.043   |
| HbA1c (mmol/mol)                               | 1.010      | (1.006, 1.014)   | <0.001  |
| BMI (kg/m$^2$)                                 | 1.002      | (0.991, 1.013)   | 0.706   |
| Systolic BP (mmHg)                             | 0.986      | (0.982, 0.990)   | <0.001  |
| Diastolic BP (mmHg)                            | 0.994      | (0.987, 1.001)   | 0.074   |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L)                     | 1.035      | (0.974, 1.100)   | 0.267   |
| eGFR (mL/min/1.73m$^2$)                        | 0.992      | (0.988, 0.995)   | <0.001  |
| Albuminuric grade (global)                     |            |                  | <0.001  |
| Normal                                         | 1 (reference) |                  |         |
| Micro                                          | 1.352      | (1.155, 1.583)   | <0.001  |
| Macro                                          | 1.922      | (1.519, 2.430)   | <0.001  |
| Retinopathy grading (global)                   |            |                  | <0.001  |
| None                                           | 1 (reference) |                  |         |
| Non referable                                  | 1.161      | (0.975, 1.382)   | 0.094   |
| Referable or eye clinic                        | 1.672      | (1.377, 2.032)   | <0.001  |
| Tobacco smoking (global)                       |            |                  | 0.001   |
| Never smoked                                   |            |                  |         |
| Ex smoker                                      | 1.296      | (1.126, 1.491)   | <0.001  |
| Current smoker                                 | 1.133      | (0.907, 1.416)   | 0.270   |
| Any lipid lowering                             | 1.126      | (0.981, 1.293)   | 0.091   |
| Any proton pump inhibitor                      | 1.412      | (1.252, 1.593)   | <0.001  |
| Any NSAID                                      | 1.848      | (1.630, 2.097)   | <0.001  |
| Any anticoagulants                             | 1.663      | (1.466, 1.887)   | <0.001  |
| Any antihypertensive                           | 0.801      | (0.705, 0.909)   | 0.001   |
| Number of ATC level 3 drug classes (excluding DM) | 1.079  | (1.068, 1.091)   | <0.001  |
| Number of DM drug classes prescribed           | 1.139      | (1.083, 1.199)   | <0.001  |
Table 4: Stepwise logistic regression of association of characteristics with F/CCU-COVID-19 in people with diabetes.

| Predictor                                | Odds Ratio | 95% CI       | P-Value |
|------------------------------------------|------------|--------------|---------|
| Age (years)                              | 1.044      | (1.036, 1.051)| <0.001 |
| Sex                                      |            |              | (global) <0.001 |
| Male                                     | 1 (reference) |            | <0.001 |
| Female                                   | 0.535      | (0.470, 0.608)|        |
| Diabetes type                            |            |              | (global) 0.618 |
| Type 2 diabetes                          | 1 (reference)|            | 0.501   |
| Type 1 diabetes                          | 1.119      | (0.806, 1.553)| 0.504   |
| Other diabetes types                     | 0.866      | (0.567, 1.321)| 0.504   |
| Diabetes duration (years)                | 0.998      | (0.990, 1.006)| 0.595   |
| Carehome resident                        | 10.828     | (9.251, 12.675)| <0.001 |
| Deprivation index                        |            |              | (global) <0.001 |
| Quintile 1 (most deprived)               | 1 (reference)|            | 0.052   |
| Quintile 2                                | 0.848      | (0.718, 1.002)|        |
| Quintile 3                                | 0.619      | (0.514, 0.744)| <0.001 |
| Quintile 4                                | 0.656      | (0.542, 0.793)| <0.001 |
| Quintile 5 (least deprived)              | 0.484      | (0.385, 0.607)| <0.001 |
| log(No. of other hospital admissions + 1) | 1.595      | (1.481, 1.717)| <0.001 |
| Neurological and dementia (excluding epilepsy) | 1.273      | (1.081, 1.499)| 0.004   |
| HbA1c (mmol/mol)                          | 1.005      | (1.001, 1.009)| 0.008   |
| BMI (kg/m²)                               | 1.091      | (1.047, 1.136)| <0.001 |
| log(BMI (kg/m²))                          | 0.080      | (0.022, 0.291)| <0.001 |
| eGFR (mL/min/1.73m²)                      | 0.992      | (0.989, 0.995)| <0.001 |
| Systolic BP (mmHg)                        | 0.994      | (0.990, 0.998)| 0.004   |
| Any antihypertensive                     | 0.792      | (0.687, 0.913)| 0.001   |
| Number of DM drug classes prescribed      | 1.065      | (1.004, 1.129)| 0.036   |
| Number of ATC level 3 drug classes (excluding DM) | 1.027      | (1.013, 1.041)| <0.001 |

Age, sex, diabetes duration and type of diabetes were entered as the baseline model. The remaining variables were retained by the stepwise procedure using the Akaike information criterion. The C-Statistic (95%CI) for the base and full models were 0.76(0.75,0.77) and 0.85(0.83,0.86) respectively. The expected information for discrimination $\Lambda$ was 0.75 bits for the base model and 1.54 for the full model. Model coefficients are provided in supplementary table 7.
Supplementary materials

Supplement Methods

*Derivation of variables used from the SCI-Diabetes research platform*

Clinician assigned diabetes type in SCI-Diabetes was accepted unless contradicted by available data on age at diagnosis and prescription history. Those who were assigned type 1 were reassigned as type 2 if they had a contradictory drug history and age at diagnosis >40 years. A prescription history that contradicts type 1 diabetes was more than 1-year of non-metformin oral diabetes drug use or more than a 1-year interval from diagnosis to insulin. This rule reassigned 10.8% of type 1 diabetes as type 2. Those who were initially assigned as type 2 were reassigned to type 1 only if they have insulin from diagnosis and an age of onset below age 30 years (0.8% were reassigned). Smoking was categorised according to the categories in the electronic health record front end to SCI-Diabetes; never/current/ex or unknown. Albuminuria was defined based on urinary albumin concentration in urines collected at routine clinic visits and categorised as normo-, micro- or macroalbuminuric according to the albumin/creatinine ratio (ACR) falling in the intervals 0–3.39 mg/mmol, 3.39–33.9 mg/mmol, or above 33.9 mg/mmol, based on two out of three most recent consecutive measurements. Retinopathy was defined based on grading of most recent retinal image at screening and categorised as none; non referable which is mild background; referable or at clinic which is pre-proliferative or worse retinopathy or maculopathy or prior referral to eye clinic because of retinopathy.

The Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation (SIMD) ranks geographic areas by a single index capturing seven domains of deprivation and is summarised in quintiles. Here, for those with diabetes postcode of residence obtained from the CHI database was assigned to the SIMD quintile it belonged and was used as a measure of socioeconomic status. Residential care home status for those with diabetes was available via the care home flag for postal address in the CHI database

*ICD-10 Hospital Discharge codes from Scottish Morbidity Record-01 and ATC Drug codes from Prescribing Information System used for deriving “Listed conditions”*
| Grouping | BNF | ATC regex | ICD10 | ICD10 Regex | OPCS4 Code | OPCS4 Regex |
|----------|-----|-----------|-------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Heart disease | Ischaemic heart disease | 2.6.1 Nitrates | `^V03AB22|^C03D A(028|14)|^C05 A0(12)|*^D04X0 [78]|^C01D1X6|^ C01E1[78]` | I20-125 | `^I20|^I21|^I22|^I23` | K40-K44 CABG K49 K59 PTCA |
| | | 2.6.3 Other antianginal drugs | | | | Collapse: | `^I2[0-5]` | |
| | Other heart disease | 2.3 Anti-arrhythmic drugs | `^C01BA[0-3]|^C01B00[27]|^C01D00|*^D04A|"N01 B802|^N02AD02` | I00-102 | `^I00|^I01|^I02|^I03|^I04|^I05|^I06|^I07|^I08|^I09` | K57 |
| | | | | | | Collapse: | `^I0[01256789]|^I1[0-5]|^I2[6-8]|^I3[0-9]|^I4[0-9]|^I5[0-2]` | |
| Nervous system | 4.9 Drugs used in parkinsonism and related disorders | 4.11 Dementia | `^G02CB03|^G04B E07|^N03A0X01|^ N04A0[124]|^N0 A4C01|^N04A002|*^N04B00[12]|^N 04BC0[245679]|^ N04B00[27]|^N 04B00[12]|^N0 4B03|*^N04X02|^N04A03|^N07X X0[268]|^N05A00 1|^N06B03|*N0 6A0X2|*N0 6DX1` | G10-G14 | `^G10|^G11|^G12|^G13` | G20-G26 |
| | | | | | | Collapse: | `^G2[0-6]|^G3|*^G32|^G33|^G34|^ G35|^G36|` | |
| | | 4.12 Other degenerative diseases of the nervous system | G30-G32 | `^G31|^G32|^G33|^G34|^ G35|^G36|^G37|^G70|^G71|^G 72|` | G80-G83 |
| | | | | | | Collapse: | `^G8[0-6]|^G9[0-9]|^G90|^G91|^G92|^G93|^G94|^ G95|^G96|^G97|^G98|^G99` | |
| | | 4.13 Demyelinating diseases of the central nervous system | G35-G37 | `^G70|^G71|^G72|` | G80-G83 |
| | | | | | | Collapse: | `^G8[0-6]|^G9[0-9]|^G90|^G91|^G92|^G93|^G94|^ G95|^G96|^G97|^G98|^G99` | |
| | | 4.14 Polynuropathies | G60-64 | `^G70|^G71|^G72` | G80-G83 |
| | | 4.15 Diseases of myoneural junction and muscle | G70-G73 | `^G70|^G71|^G72` | G80-G83 |
| | | 4.16 Cerebral palsy and other paralytic syndromes | G80-G83 | `^G70|^G71|^G72` | G80-G83 |
| G90-G99 Other disorders of the nervous system | D-4)G7(0-3)|G8(0-3)|G9(0-9) |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Asthma and chronic lower respiratory disease** | 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 |

- J40 = Bronchitis, not specified as acute or chronic
- J41 = Simple and mucopurulent chronic bronchitis
- J42 = Unspecified chronic bronchitis
- J43 = Emphysema
- J44 = Other chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
- J45 = Asthma
- J46 = Status asthmaticus

Collapsed: J4[0-12347] | J6[0-9] | J70 | J8[0-6] | J9[0-9] | G47.34

- J40 | J41 | J42 | J43 |

- J44 | J45 | J46 |

- J60-J70 Lung diseases due to external agents
- J80-J84 Other respiratory diseases principally affecting the interstitium
- J85-J86 Suppurative and necrotic conditions of lower respiratory tract
- J90-J94 Other diseases of the pleura
- J95-J99 Other diseases of the respiratory system

- G47.3 = Sleep apnoea
| Chronic liver disease including hepatocellular ca | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Nothing specific available | C22.0 hepatocellular carcinoma | I85.0 Oesophageal varices | K70.0 Alcoholic fibrosis and sclerosis of liver |
|  | I98.3 Oesophageal varices | K70.3 Alcoholic cirrhosis of liver | K70.4 Alcoholic hepatic failure |
|  | K71.2 Toxic liver disease with fibrosis and cirrhosis of liver | K72.0 Acute and subacute failure of the liver | K72.1 Chronic hepatic failure |
|  | K72.3 Hepatic coma | K73 Chronic hepatitis, not elsewhere classified | K74.0 Hepatic fibrosis |
|  | K74.2 Hepatic fibrosis with hepatic sclerosis | K74.3 Primary biliary cirrhosis | K74.4 Secondary biliary cirrhosis |
|  | K74.5 Biliary cirrhosis, unspecified | K74.6 Other and unspecified cirrhosis of liver | K76.7 Hepatorenal syndrome |
|  | K76.8 Ascites | |

| Chronic kidney disease | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CKD 4 or worse | N18.4 Chronic kidney disease stage 4 | N18.5 Chronic kidney disease stage 5 | Z490 = Care involving dialysis |
|  | Z491 = Care involving dialysis | Z492 = Care involving dialysis | M011 Autotransplantation of kidney |
|  | M012 Allotransplantation of kidney from live donor | | |
Z940 = Kidney transplant status  
Z992 = Dependence on renal dialysis

M013 Allotransplantation of kidney from cadaver nec
M014 Allotransplantation of kidney from cadaver heart-beating
M015 Allotransplantation of kidney from cadaver non-heart-beating
M018 Other specified transplantation of kidney
M019 Unspecified transplantation of kidney

Immunodeficiency and immunosuppression

5.3.1 HIV  
8.2 Drugs affecting immune response

B20 – B23 = Human immunodeficiency virus [HIV] disease
| Any lipid drug |  |
|----------------|-------------------------|
| 2.12 Lipid regulating drugs |  |
|  |  |
| Any anticoagulant or antiplatelet drug |  |
| 2.8 Anticoagulants and protamine |  |
| 2.9 Antiplatelet drugs |  |
|  |  |
| Any anti-hypertensive drug |  |
| 2.5.1 Vasodilator antihypertensive drugs |  |
|  |  |
| 2.5.2 Centrally-acting antihypertensive drugs |  |
| Section | Medication Class | Notes |
|---------|------------------|-------|
| 2.5.3  | Adrenergic neurone blocking drugs | ^C02CC0[24] |
| 2.5.4  | Alpha-adrenoceptor blocking drugs | ^C02CA0[124] | ^C04AB01 | ^C04AX01 | ^G04CA03 |
| 2.5.5.1 | Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors | ^C09A | ^C09B |
| 2.5.5.2 | Angiotensin-II receptor antagonists | ^C09C | ^C09D |
| 2.5.5.3 | Remin inhibitors | ^C09X005 |
| 2.6.2 | Calcium-channel blockers | ^C01EB15 | ^C05A | ^E03 | ^C08 |
| 10.1.1 | Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs | Any NSAID |
| 1.3.5 | Proton pump inhibitors | ^A02BC | Any proton pump inhibitors |

Any NSAID
**Missing data imputation**

We used the Amelia II package in R to impute missing values for continuous and categorical variables.[1] This package uses an EMB (bootstrapped expectation maximization) algorithm for imputation under the assumption that data are missing at random. Here we used it to generate 10 imputed datasets and for each individual the mean of the imputed values across these 10 datasets was then used in subsequent analyses including the means of the indicator (dummy) variables for categorical variables.

**Selection of the functional form for continuous variables in the stepwise prediction model**

We cannot assume that treating continuous variables as linear in regression will maximize prediction. We therefore used the R package mfp to find the functional form for continuous variables being entered into the stepwise prediction model.[2,3] Mfp uses a backwards elimination step with an adaptive algorithm to select the best fractional polynomial transformation for each continuous variable. The resulting transformed variables were then made available to the step procedure.

**Further details of stepwise regression for building the prediction model for F-/CCU-COVID-19**

For building the predictive model of F/CCU-COVID-19 we used stepwise regression, alternating between forward and backward steps, implemented in the R function stats:step to select across the 35 variables listed in Table 2 as well as terms for age:sex, age:diabetes type, sex:diabetes type. For variable selection the step function maximizes the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC).[4] The AIC is a trade off between the goodness of fit of a model and its simplicity or sparsity. We set a stringent penalty of $4p - 2\log$ likelihood where $p$ is the number of parameters.

**Assessment of model predictive performance**

The predictive performance of the base model and then the model incremented with diabetes specific factors was evaluated by 20-fold cross validation. The dataset was split randomly into 20 equal sized disjoint test folds. For each test fold, the remaining observations constitute the training fold. The predictive performance of the model learned on each training fold was then evaluated on the corresponding test fold. The predictive performance was summarised over the entire dataset as the C-statistic and as the expected information for discrimination (lambda) using the wevid package[5,6].

**Sensitivity analyses of the final predictive model**

We tested whether using a co-morbidity index (the Charlson Index)[7] rather than the individual co-morbidities we considered improved prediction. In addition we evaluated whether an improved predictive performance was obtained using a LASSO penalized regression but not forcing any baseline covariates into the model. We also tested whether modelling only fatal cases resulted in any change in the variables selected or predictive performance.

**Derivation of COVID-age**

The risk prediction model for F/CCU-COVID-19, when applied to an individual with diabetes with any given set of covariate values, outputs the logit of the probability (i.e. the log odds) of F/CCU-COVID-19 by 31st July 2020. For the Scottish population without diabetes, we fitted a generalised additive model (GAM) with a logistic link function and binomial likelihood to the one-year age and sex-specific counts of F/CCU-COVID-19. This GAM model returns the logit of probability of having developed F/CCU-COVID-19 by 31st July 2020 in the non-diabetic population at any given age and sex and given the risk factor distribution in that population. The COVID-age for a person with diabetes is then defined as the age at which the logit of the probability of disease in a non-diabetic individual of the same sex equates to the logit of probability in the diabetic individual under study. To enable a user to calculate this COVID-age for a person with diabetes and a given set of characteristics, we generated a Shiny application located at https://diabepi.shinyapps.io/covidrisk/ (Online; Accessed 11th November 2020). The purpose of the Shiny app to give the reader a sense of how individual risk factor profiles in those with diabetes translate into elevated risks compared to those without diabetes.

[1] https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/Amelia/vignettes/amelia.pdf Online; Accessed 11th November 2020
[2] https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/mfp/mfp.pdf Online; Accessed 11th November 2020
[3] Sauerbrei W, Royston P (1999) Building multivariable prognostic and diagnostic models: transformation of the predictors by using fractional polynomials. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society (Series A) 162: 71–94. Online; Accessed 11th November 2020
[4] https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/stats/versions/3.6.2/topics/step Online; Accessed 11th November 2020
[5] https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/wevid/index.html Online; Accessed 11th November 2020
[6] McKeigue P. Quantifying performance of a diagnostic test as the expected information for discrimination:Relation to the C-statistic: Statistical Methods in Medical Research 2018. doi:10.1177/0962280218776989.
Supplemental results

Source of ascertainment of the cases

Supplement Figure 1 shows the source of ascertainment of the cases; Of all those with F/CCU-COVID-19, there had been a positive test in 71% (768/1082), 3.6% (39/1082) were ascertained from hospital discharge without a prior positive test and 25% (275/1082) were ascertained only from a COVID-19 mention on death certification. Almost all those with any evidence of COVID-19 were evaluable for F/CCU-COVID-19 status in that there were only 26 of those declared as not having had CCU or died that were still within 28 days of diagnosis by time of data extraction. Of those defined as having had a COVID-19 death, just 8/963 were people who died within 28 days of diagnosis but did not have a COVID-19 mention on death certificate.

Variable missingness

Only ethnicity (14.5%) and albuminuria (37.8%) had substantial missingness (Table 2). For continuous variables missingness was as follows: HbA1c 6%, BMI 10%, SBP 7%, DBP 7%, Total cholesterol 9% BMI 10.2% (Supplement Table 4). BMI was the only variable with substantial differences in missingness between those who did (17.4%) and did not (10.2%) develop F/CCU-COVID-19.

Prediction model sensitivity analyses

Using LASSO regression gave a similar prediction performance to the step procedure (C-statistic 0.836) but was much less sparse than the stepwise model therefore not the preferred model. Feeding the Charlson comorbidity index, rather than each individual listed conditions, to the model did not improve prediction performance (C-statistic 0.841) and was also less sparse. When the modelling was restricted to fatal cases only a similar pattern of associations was seen for each variable, the same variables were retained in the prediction model and it had a similar predictive performance (C-statistic 0.87).
Glossary

SCI-Diabetes: Scottish Care Information Diabetes system of clinical and network applications
NSAIDs: Non steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
SGLT2 inhibitors: Sodium glucose transport 2 inhibitors
PPI: Proton pump inhibitors
ATC: Anatomical therapeutical classification system
GLP1: Glucagon-like peptide 1 agonists
DPP4: Dipeptidyl peptidase-4
TZD: Thiazolidinediones
RRT: Renal replacement therapy
BMI: Body mass index
HbA1c: Glycated haemoglobin
eGFR: Estimated glomerular filtration rate
Supplementary Figure 1: Study flow diagram of ascertainment of F/CCU-COVID-19 as of 31st July 2020
Supplementary Figure 2: Relationship of BMI with F/CCU-COVID-19 as modelled by the ‘mfp’ R package showing the estimated risk of F/CCU-COVID-19 on the y-axis for BMI values on the x-axis.
Supplementary Figure 3: ROC curve detailing sensitivity and specificity of the F/CCU-COVID-19 predictive model in people with diabetes (C-statistic 0.85)
Supplementary Figure 4: Calibration plot showing predicted versus observed risk of F/CCU-COVID-19 by decile of risk
Supplementary Table 1: Risk of F/CCU-COVID-19 in the National population of Scotland age with and without diabetes by age band and sex

| Sex   | National Population | 0-39 | 40-49 | 50-59 | 60-69 | 70-79 | 80+ | Total |
|-------|---------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-------|
| All   | No Diabetes (Total) | 2576253 | 654864 | 733937 | 562011 | 395025 | 221861 | 5143951 |
| All   | No Diabetes F/CCU-COVID-19 (N %) | 104 (0.00%) | 170 (0.03%) | 374 (0.05%) | 484 (0.09%) | 817 (0.21%) | 2132 (0.96%) | 4081 (0.08%) |
| All   | Diabetes (Total)    | 23106 | 26026 | 60276 | 82126 | 79782 | 48033 | 319349 |
| All   | Diabetes F/CCU-COVID-19 (N %) | 5 (0.02%) | 28 (0.11%) | 78 (0.13%) | 137 (0.17%) | 310 (0.39%) | 524 (1.09%) | 1082 (0.34%) |
| Male  | No Diabetes (Total) | 1298091 | 316511 | 348638 | 262021 | 174951 | 82305 | 2482517 |
| Male  | No Diabetes F/CCU-COVID-19 (N %) | 58 (0.00%) | 93 (0.03%) | 246 (0.07%) | 308 (0.12%) | 475 (0.27%) | 859 (1.04%) | 2039 (0.08%) |
| Female| Diabetes (Total)    | 12317 | 15991 | 36077 | 49291 | 45274 | 22436 | 180486 |
| Female| Diabetes F/CCU-COVID-19 (N %) | 2 (0.02%) | 18 (0.12%) | 52 (0.14%) | 101 (0.20%) | 209 (0.46%) | 275 (1.23%) | 657 (0.36%) |
| Female| No Diabetes (Total) | 1278162 | 338353 | 385299 | 299990 | 220074 | 139556 | 2661434 |
| Female| No Diabetes F/CCU-COVID-19 (N %) | 46 (0.00%) | 77 (0.02%) | 128 (0.03%) | 176 (0.06%) | 342 (0.16%) | 1273 (0.91%) | 2042 (0.08%) |
| Female| Diabetes (Total)    | 10789 | 10935 | 24199 | 32835 | 34508 | 25597 | 138863 |
| Female| Diabetes F/CCU-COVID-19 (N %) | 3 (0.03%) | 10 (0.09%) | 26 (0.11%) | 36 (0.11%) | 101 (0.29%) | 249 (0.97%) | 425 (0.31%) |
Supplementary Table 2: Logistic regression of those with diabetes versus those without diabetes developing F/CCU-COVID-19 by 31st July 2020

| Group                | DM F/CCU-COVID-19 | DM no F/CCU-COVID-19 | No DM F/CCU-COVID-19 | No DM no F/CCU-COVID-19 | OR  | 2.5%  | 97.5%  | P-Value |
|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----|-------|-------|---------|
| All                  | 1082              | 318267               | 4081                 | 5139870                 | 1.395 | 1.304 | 1.494 | <0.001  |
| Male                 | 657               | 179829               | 2039                 | 2480478                 | 1.449 | 1.325 | 1.584 | <0.001  |
| Female               | 425               | 138438               | 2042                 | 2659392                 | 1.339 | 1.205 | 1.487 | <0.001  |
| All Aged < 60        | 111               | 109297               | 648                  | 3964406                 | 2.494 | 2.032 | 3.061 | <0.001  |
| All Aged 60-70       | 137               | 81989                | 484                  | 561527                  | 1.764 | 1.457 | 2.136 | <0.001  |
| All Aged 70+         | 834               | 126981               | 2949                 | 613937                  | 1.327 | 1.227 | 1.434 | <0.001  |
| Other diabetes       | 23                | 8983                 | 4081                 | 5139870                 | 1.262 | 0.836 | 1.905 | 0.268   |
| Type 1 diabetes      | 51                | 34332                | 4081                 | 5139870                 | 2.396 | 1.815 | 3.163 | <0.001  |
| Type 2 diabetes      | 1008              | 274952               | 4081                 | 5139870                 | 1.369 | 1.276 | 1.468 | <0.001  |

Associations were adjusted for age and sex, with the exception of sex, which was adjusted for age.
Supplementary Table 3: Characteristics of all those with type 1 diabetes in Scotland who did and did not develop F/CCU-COVID-19 by 31st July 2020

| No F/CCU-COVID-19 | F/CCU-COVID-19 | Total diabetes population |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| **Total included**| 34332(99.85)  | 51(0.15)                 | 34383                     |
| **Sociodemographic** |                |                          |                           |
| Age (years)       | 44.5(29.7,58.3)| 71.4(56.4,80.6)          | 44.5(29.7,58.3)           |
| Diabetes duration (years) | 19.5(9.6,30.6) | 27.0(17.1,39.8) | 19.5(9.6,30.6) |
| Carehome resident | 194(0.6)    | 20(39.2)                 | 214(0.6)                  |
| Deprivation index |                |                          |                           |
| Quintile 1 (most deprived) | 659(19.2) | 13(25.5)                | 6612(19.2)                |
| Quintile 2         | 672(19.6)   | 13(25.5)                 | 6741(19.6)                |
| Quintile 3         | 6407(18.7)  | 8(15.7)                  | 6415(18.7)                |
| Quintile 4         | 6223(18.1)  | 5(9.8)                   | 6228(18.1)                |
| Quintile 5 (least deprived) | 5768(16.8) | 5(9.8)                   | 5773(16.8)                |
| Unknown            | 2607(7.6)   | 7(13.7)                  | 2614(7.6)                 |
| **Comorbidities**  |                |                          |                           |
| Any DKA admission in past 5 years | 5015(14.6) | 14(27.5)                | 5029(14.6)                |
| Any hypoglycaemia admission in past 5yrs | 2099(6.1) | 15(29.4)                 | 2114(6.1)                 |
| No. of other hospital admissions in past 5 years | 1.0(0.0,2.0) | 7.0(2.5,13.5) | 1.0(0.0,2.0) |
| Any heart disease | 4816(14.0)  | 31(60.8)                 | 4847(14.1)                |
| Asthma or chronic lower airway disease | 8680(25.3) | 24(47.1)                 | 8704(25.3)                |
| Neurological and dementia (excluding epilepsy) | 1378(4.0) | 12(23.5)                 | 1390(4.0)                 |
| Liver disease      | 160(0.5)    | 0(0.0)                   | 160(0.5)                  |
| Immune disease or on immunosuppressants | 626(1.8) | 3(5.9)                   | 629(1.8)                  |
| Any listed condition | 12155(35.4)| 43(84.3)                 | 12198(35.5)               |
| **Other clinical measures** |              |                          |                           |
| Insulin pump use   | 4811(14.0)  | 1(2.0)                   | 4812(14.0)                |
| Flash glucose monitor use | 11711(34.1) | 6(11.8)               | 11717(34.1)               |
| HbA1c (mmol/mol)   | 67(57.79)   | 69(57.87)                | 67(57.79)                 |
| BMI (kg/m\(^2\))  | 26(23.30)   | 27(24.32)                | 26(23.30)                 |
| Systolic BP (mmHg) | 130(120,140)| 132(124,143)             | 130(120,140)              |
| Diastolic BP (mmHg)| 76(70,82)   | 72(65,80)                | 76(70,82)                 |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L) | 4(4.5) | 4(4.5)                  | 4(4.5)                    |
| eGFR (mL/min/1.73m\(^2\)) | 100(83,116) | 72(44,87)                 | 100(83,116)               |
| **Albuminuric status** |             |                          |                           |
| Normal            | 13076(38.1) | 16(31.4)                | 13092(38.1)               |
| Micro             | 4300(12.5)  | 10(19.6)                 | 4310(12.5)                |
| Macro             | 1121(3.3)   | 4(7.8)                   | 1125(3.3)                 |
| Unknown           | 15835(46.1) | 21(41.2)                 | 15856(46.1)               |
| **Retinopathy**   |                |                          |                           |
| None              | 11384(33.2) | 21(41.2)                | 11405(33.2)               |
| Non referable     | 9101(26.5)  | 11(21.6)                 | 9112(26.5)                |
| Referable / eye clinic | 7272(21.2) | 14(27.5)                | 7286(21.2)                |
| Unknown           | 6575(19.2)  | 5(9.8)                   | 6580(19.1)                |
| **Tobacco smoking status** |         |                          |                           |
| Current smoker    | 6195(18.0)  | 7(13.7)                  | 6202(18.0)                |
| Ex smoker         | 12555(36.6)| 26(51.0)                 | 12581(36.6)               |
| Never smoked      | 13669(40.4)| 17(33.3)                 | 13886(40.4)               |
| Unknown           | 1713(5.0)   | 1(2.0)                   | 1714(5.0)                 |
| **Drug exposures**|                |                          |                           |
| Lipid lowering    | 13038(38.0) | 37(72.5)                 | 13075(38.0)               |
| Proton Pump Inhibitors | 8574(25.0) | 23(45.1)               | 8597(25.0)                 |
| NSAIDS            | 11238(32.7)| 32(62.7)                 | 11270(32.8)               |
| Anti-coagulants anti-platelets | 5909(17.2) | 33(64.7) | 5942(17.3) |
| Antihypertensives (any) | 12070(35.2) | 30(58.8) | 12100(35.2) |
| Number of ATC level 3 drug classes (excluding DM) | 5.0(2.0,9.0) | 12.0(9.5,14.5) | 5.0(2.0,9.0) |

Data are shown in N(%) for categorical values and median interquartile range for continuous values.
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Supplementary Table 4: Characteristics of all those with type 2 diabetes in Scotland who did and did not develop F/CCU-COVID-19 by 31st July 2020

| Total included | No F/CCU-COVID-19 | F/CCU-COVID-19 | Total diabetes population |
|----------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| Sociodemographic |                  |                |                          |
| Age (years)     | 68.4(59.1,76.8)   | 80.2(72.2,86.1)| 68.4(59.1,76.9)          |
| Diabetes duration (years) | 10.0(5.5,15.6) | 13.3(7.9,18.6) | 10.1(5.5,15.6)          |
| Carehome resident | 555(2.0)         | 367(3.6)       | 592(2.1)                 |
| Deprivation index |                |                |                          |
| Quintile 1 (most deprived) | 6449(23.5) | 302(30.0)      | 6479(23.5)               |
| Quintile 2      | 6240(22.7)       | 246(24.4)      | 6264(22.7)               |
| Quintile 3      | 5519(20.1)       | 178(17.7)      | 5536(20.1)               |
| Quintile 4      | 4836(17.6)       | 163(16.2)      | 4852(17.6)               |
| Quintile 5 (least deprived) | 3918(14.3) | 95(9.4)        | 3928(14.2)               |
| Unknown         | 530(1.9)         | 24(2.4)        | 553(1.9)                 |
| Comorbidities   |                  |                |                          |
| Any DKA admission in past 5 years | 1320(0.5) | 8(0.8)         | 1328(0.5)                |
| Any hypoglycaemia admission in past 5 yrs | 3391(1.2) | 52(5.2)        | 3443(1.2)                |
| No. of other hospital admissions in past 5 years | 1.0(0.0,3.0) | 5.0(2.0,10.0) | 1.0(0.0,3.0)            |
| Any heart disease | 93240(33.9) | 651(64.6)      | 93891(34.0)              |
| Asthma or chronic lower airway disease | 467(33.9) | 467(46.3)      | 93704(34.0)              |
| Neurological and dementia (excluding epilepsy) | 1324(48.4) | 214(21.2)      | 13460(49.4)              |
| Liver disease   | 267(1.0)         | 27(2.7)        | 294(1.0)                 |
| Immune disease or on immunosuppressants | 3143(11.1) | 18(1.8)        | 3161(11.1)               |
| Any listed condition | 148879(54.2) | 833(82.6)      | 149812(54.3)             |
| Other clinical measures |          |                |                          |
| HbA1c (mmol/mol) | 56(48.68)       | 57(47.70)      | 56(48.68)                |
| BMI (kg/m²)     | 31(27.35)        | 29(25.33)      | 31(27.35)                |
| Systolic BP (mmHg) | 134(125,142)   | 132(122,142)   | 134(125,142)             |
| Diastolic BP (mmHg) | 77(70,82)       | 74(67,80)      | 77(70,82)                |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L) | 4(4.5)         | 4(3.5)         | 4(4.5)                   |
| eGFR (mL/min/1.73m²) | 82(64,95)       | 63(45,81)      | 82(64,95)                |
| Albuminuric status |             |                |                          |
| Normal          | 114978(41.8)    | 279(27.7)      | 115257(41.8)             |
| Micro           | 49925(18.2)     | 221(21.9)      | 50146(18.2)              |
| Macro           | 10008(3.6)      | 72(7.1)        | 10080(3.7)               |
| Unknown         | 10004(36.4)     | 436(43.3)      | 100477(36.4)             |
| Retinopathy     |                  |                |                          |
| None            | 183660(66.8)    | 584(57.9)      | 184244(66.8)             |
| Non referable   | 38540(14.0)     | 145(14.4)      | 38685(14.0)              |
| Referable / eye clinic | 2043(7.4)    | 118(11.7)      | 20554(7.4)               |
| Unknown         | 32316(11.8)     | 161(16.0)      | 32477(11.8)              |
| Tobacco smoking status |          |                |                          |
| Current smoker  | 4260(15.5)      | 101(10.0)      | 42751(15.5)              |
| Ex smoker       | 13700(49.8)     | 641(63.6)      | 137644(49.9)             |
| Never smoked    | 94352(34.3)     | 262(26.0)      | 94614(34.3)              |
| Unknown         | 947(0.3)        | 4(0.4)         | 951(0.3)                 |
| Drug exposures  |                  |                |                          |
| Lipid lowering  | 193144(70.2)    | 754(74.8)      | 193898(70.3)             |
| Lipid lowering  | 119879(43.6)    | 541(53.7)      | 120420(43.6)             |
| NSAIDS          | 128843(46.9)    | 650(64.5)      | 129493(46.9)             |
| Anti-coagulants anti-platelets | 104534(38.0) | 621(61.6)      | 105155(38.1)             |
| Antihypertensives (any) | 181786(66.1) | 668(66.3)      | 182454(66.1)             |
| Number of ATC level 3 drug classes (excluding DM) | 8.0(5.0,12.0) | 11.0(8.0,15.0) | 8.0(5.0,12.0) |
| Number of DM drug classes prescribed | 1.0(1.0,2.0) | 1.0(1.0,2.0) | 1.0(1.0,2.0) |

Data are shown in N(%) for categorical values and median interquartile range for continuous values.
Supplementary Table 5: Additional characteristics described in categories and showing missingness and detailed drug exposures of all those with diabetes in Scotland who did and did not develop F/CCU-COVID-19 by 31st July 2020

|                        | No F/CCU-COVID-19 | F/CCU-COVID-19 | Total diabetes population |
|------------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| **HbA1c (mmol/mol)**   |                   |                |                          |
| < 53                   | 116898(36.7)      | 421(38.9)      | 117319(36.7)             |
| 53-58                  | 40527(12.7)       | 110(10.2)      | 40637(12.7)              |
| > 58-75                | 86790(27.3)       | 289(26.7)      | 87079(27.3)              |
| > 75-85                | 24963(7.8)        | 83(7.7)        | 25046(7.8)               |
| > 85                   | 29852(9.4)        | 126(11.6)      | 30978(9.4)               |
| Unknown                | 19237(6.0)        | 53(4.9)        | 19290(6.0)               |
| **BMI (kg/m²)**        |                   |                |                          |
| < 20                   | 6262(2.0)         | 55(5.1)        | 6317(2.0)                |
| 20-25                  | 40604(12.8)       | 185(17.1)      | 40789(12.8)              |
| > 25-30                | 91486(28.7)       | 280(25.9)      | 91766(28.7)              |
| > 35-40                | 40444(12.7)       | 101(9.3)       | 40545(12.7)              |
| > 40                   | 27615(8.7)        | 64(5.9)        | 27679(8.7)               |
| Unknown                | 32449(10.2)       | 188(17.4)      | 32637(10.2)              |
| **eGFR (mL/min/1.73m²)**|                   |                |                          |
| < 30 or RRT            | 7620(2.4)         | 96(8.9)        | 7716(2.4)                |
| 30-60                  | 50543(15.9)       | 386(35.7)      | 50929(15.9)              |
| > 60-90                | 126804(39.8)      | 438(40.5)      | 127242(39.8)             |
| > 90                   | 111661(35.1)      | 141(13.0)      | 111802(35.0)             |
| Unknown                | 21639(6.8)        | 218(19.4)      | 21857(6.8)               |
| **Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)** |                   |                |                          |
| < 130                  | 121838(38.3)      | 473(43.7)      | 122311(38.3)             |
| 130-160                | 160235(50.3)      | 486(44.9)      | 160721(50.3)             |
| > 160                  | 11742(4.3)        | 64(5.9)        | 11806(4.3)               |
| Unknown                | 22452(7.1)        | 59(5.5)        | 22511(7.0)               |
| **Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)** |                   |                |                          |
| ≤ 80                   | 207412(65.2)      | 820(75.8)      | 208232(65.2)             |
| > 80                   | 88392(27.8)       | 202(18.7)      | 88594(27.7)              |
| Unknown                | 22463(7.1)        | 60(5.5)        | 22523(7.1)               |
| **Total cholesterol (mmol/L)** |                   |                |                          |
| ≤ 5                    | 222234(69.8)      | 770(71.2)      | 223004(69.8)             |
| > 5                    | 66874(21.0)       | 180(16.6)      | 67054(21.0)              |
| Unknown                | 29159(9.2)        | 132(12.2)      | 29291(9.2)               |

**Drug exposures**

**Diabetes drugs**
- Insulin
  - Total: 68811(21.6) 270(25.0) 69081(21.6)
- Biguanides
  - Total: 194242(61.0) 631(58.3) 194873(61.0)
- Sulfonylureas
  - Total: 83950(26.4) 361(33.4) 84311(26.4)
- TZD’s
  - Total: 13363(4.2) 44(4.1) 13407(4.2)
- Acarbose
  - Total: 192(0.1) 1(0.1) 193(0.1)
- DPP4 inhibitors
  - Total: 49040(15.5) 184(17.0) 49588(15.5)
- GLP1 agonists
  - Total: 17559(5.5) 53(4.9) 17592(5.5)
- SGLT2 inhibitors
  - Total: 41432(13.0) 96(8.9) 41528(13.0)

**Antihypertensive drugs**
- Vasodilator
  - Total: 26915(8.5) 52(4.8) 26967(8.4)
- Central acting
  - Total: 303(1.0) 10(0.9) 304(1.0)
- Alpha adrenergic
  - Total: 19194(6.0) 67(6.2) 19261(6.0)
- Angiotensin inhibitor
  - Total: 124024(39.0) 449(41.5) 124473(39.0)
- Angiotensin antagonist
  - Total: 47644(15.0) 149(13.8) 47793(15.0)
- Renin inhibitor
  - Total: 7(0.0) 0(0.0) 7(0.0)
- Thiazides
  - Total: 47158(14.8) 129(11.9) 47287(14.8)
- Calcium channel blocker
  - Total: 89359(28.1) 340(31.4) 89799(28.1)

Data are shown in N(%) for categorical values and median interquartile range for continuous values.
Supplementary Table 6: Logistic regression of association of additional categories and drug exposures with F/CCU-COVID-19 in people with diabetes. Associations were adjusted for age, sex, diabetes duration and type.

| Predictor                        | Odds Ratio | 95%CI     | P-Value |
|----------------------------------|------------|-----------|---------|
| **HbA1c (mmol/mol)**             |            |           |         |
| <53                              | 1 (reference) | (global) <0.001 |         |
| 53-58                            | 0.808      | (0.660, 0.988) | 0.037   |
| >58-75                           | 1.073      | (0.923, 1.246) | 0.359   |
| >75-85                           | 1.302      | (1.023, 1.655) | 0.032   |
| >85                              | 2.065      | (1.682, 2.536) | <0.001  |
| **BMI (kg/m^2)**                 |            |           |         |
| <20                              | 2.403      | (1.773, 3.259) | <0.001  |
| 20-25                            | 1 (reference) | (global) <0.001 |         |
| >25-30                           | 0.801      | (0.671, 0.956) | 0.014   |
| >30-40                           | 0.928      | (0.772, 1.115) | 0.425   |
| >40                              | 0.966      | (0.758, 1.229) | 0.776   |
| eGFR (mL/min/1.73m^2)            |            |           |         |
| <30 or RRT                       | 2.372      | (1.761, 3.194) | <0.001  |
| 30-60                            | 1.320      | (1.040, 1.675) | 0.022   |
| >60-90                           | 0.980      | (0.794, 1.210) | 0.849   |
| >90                              | 1 (reference) | (global) <0.001 |         |
| **Systolic BP (mmHg)**           |            |           |         |
| <130                             | 0.655      | (0.578, 0.741) | <0.001  |
| 130-160                          | 0.862      | (0.662, 1.211) | 0.267   |
| >160                             | 1 (reference) | (global) 0.776 |         |
| **Diastolic BP (mmHg)**          |            |           |         |
| <80                              | 0.978      | (0.836, 1.143) | 0.776   |
| >80                              | 1 (reference) | (global) 0.119 |         |
| **Total cholesterol (mmol/L)**   |            |           |         |
| ≤5                               | 1.140      | (0.969, 1.341) | 0.114   |
| >5                               | 1 (reference) | (global) <0.001 |         |
| **Diabetes drugs**               |            |           |         |
| Biguanides                       | 1.051      | (0.925, 1.195) | 0.446   |
| Insulin                          | 1.964      | (1.670, 2.309) | <0.001  |
| Sulfonylureas                    | 1.310      | (1.149, 1.492) | <0.001  |
| TZD’s                            | 0.898      | (0.662, 1.218) | 0.488   |
| Acarbose                         | 1.078      | (0.150, 7.729) | 0.941   |
| DPP4 inhibitors                  | 1.092      | (0.929, 1.283) | 0.286   |
| GLP1 agonists                    | 1.407      | (1.059, 1.868) | 0.018   |
| SGLT2 inhibitors                 | 1.124      | (0.904, 1.398) | 0.293   |
| **Antihypertensive drugs**       |            |           |         |
| Vasodilator                      | 0.680      | (0.511, 0.905) | 0.008   |
| Central acting                   | 1.256      | (0.672, 2.349) | 0.476   |
| Alpha adrenergic                 | 0.746      | (0.582, 0.957) | 0.021   |
| Angiotensin inhibitor            | 0.951      | (0.842, 1.075) | 0.421   |
| Angiotensin antagonist           | 0.721      | (0.606, 0.857) | <0.001  |
| Thiazides                        | 0.615      | (0.512, 0.740) | <0.001  |
Supplementary Table 7: Predictor variables and coefficients for risk prediction of F/CCU-COVID-19

| Predictor                                      | Coefficient |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| (Intercept)                                    | -8.74644373579780 |
| Age (years)                                    | 0.04259134072428 |
| Sex male                                       | 0.00000000000000 |
| Sex female                                     | -0.62642258060175 |
| Type 2 diabetes                                | 0.00000000000000 |
| Type 1 diabetes                                | 0.11245287638435 |
| Other diabetes types                           | -0.14409417993123 |
| Diabetes duration (years)                      | -0.06209419600862 |
| Carehome resident                              | -2.38217027053367 |
| Deprivation quintile 1 (most deprived)         | 0.00000000000000 |
| Deprivation quintile 2                         | -0.16490767973292 |
| Deprivation quintile 3                         | -0.48028099889677 |
| Deprivation quintile 4                         | -0.42229894439757 |
| Deprivation quintile 5 (least deprived)        | -0.72620380743347 |
| log(No. of other hospital admissions + 1 (5yrs)) | 0.46662994412763 |
| Neurological and dementia (excluding epilepsy) | 0.24132804884094 |
| HbA1c (nmol/mol)                                | 0.00907982471972 |
| BMI (kg/m²)                                    | 0.08680921484269 |
| log(BMI (kg/m²))                                | -2.53147951308967 |
| sGFR (mL/min/1.73m²)                            | -0.00770941564453 |
| Systolic BP (mmHg)                              | -0.00567062648956 |
| Any antihypertensive                           | -0.23298518580490 |
| Number of DM drug classes prescribed            | 0.06281305073017 |
| Number of ATC level 3 drug classes (excluding DM) | 0.02632838543546 |