CASE REPORT

A 18p11.23-p11.31 microduplication in a boy with psychomotor delay, cerebellar vermis hypoplasia, chorioretinal coloboma, deafness and GH deficiency
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Abstract

Background: Rearrangements involving the short arm of chromosome 18 have been extensively described. Here we report a microduplication of 320.5–431.5 Kb at 18p11.31-p11.23 in a 10 year-old boy.

Case presentation: In a 10 year-old boy with moderate psychomotor delay, hypoplasia of the cerebellar vermis, chorioretinal coloboma, deafness and growth hormone deficiency (GHD), an interstitial microduplication at 18p11.31-p11.23 was identified by array-CGH. This maternally inherited microduplication, encompasses three genes, namely ARHGAP28, LINCO0668 and LAMA1 (a gene involved in cerebellum and retinal development).

Conclusions: The genotype-phenotype is discussed with particular attention to the LAMA1 gene, although it is difficult, as in many other similar situations, to assess the causality of the detected duplication in the absence of further studies aiming to explore the presence of co-occurring variants that could explain the incomplete penetrance.
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Background
Rearrangements involving the short arm of chromosome 18 have been extensively described in the literature [1]. In most cases, patients carrying deletions of the whole arm (18p-syndrome), with breakpoints close to the centromeric region have been reported. The primary features of this condition include a cognitive impairment of varying severity, speech delay, short stature, holoprosencephaly, ptosis, pectus excavatum and dysmorphic features [2, 3]. Pituitary hormone deficiencies have also been reported [4, 5]. Conversely, 18p duplications are rare events in cytogenetic findings and only few cases are represented by pure duplications [1], being associated in most of the patients with 18q s or resulting from the unbalanced segregation of parental reciprocal translocations. For these patients, the phenotypic features could be the result of the excess of chromosome 18p material along with the imbalance of other chromosome regions.

Interestingly, there have been no reports of large interstitial duplication of 18p; nevertheless, with the introduction of microarrays some microduplications, with a likely pathogenic effect, have been identified. An 18p11.31-p11.32 duplication of 429.5 Kb, including four genes, among which the likely causative EMILIN2, has been identified in a family with porokeratosis of Mibelli [6]. In the same region, other authors [7] detected a larger overlapping 2.6 Mb microduplication involving at least nine genes in two siblings with variable levels of intellectual disability/developmental delay and behavioral difficulties. Finally, Kashevarova et. al [8] reported a
microduplication of 351 kb at 18p11.32 in a boy with autism and dysmorphisms.

Here we report a microduplication of 320.5–431.5 Kb at 18p11.31-p11.23 identified through array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH), not overlapping with the previously described one, encompassing three genes, namely ARHGAP28, LINC00668 and LAMA1 in a 10 year-old boy with moderate psychomotor delay, hypoplasia of the cerebellar vermis, chorioretinal coloboma, deafness and growth hormone deficiency (GHD). The functional role of laminin alpha 1 in cerebellum and retinal development suggests that the duplication of LAMA1 may be responsible for at least some of the patient’s clinical features.

Case presentation
A 7.5 year-old boy, the second child of non-consanguineous Caucasian healthy parents (father 33 years-old, with a height of 161.5 cm and mother 36 years-old, 166.1 cm) was referred to our Department because of short stature. His height was 110 cm (−2.25 standard deviation score (SDS)), weight 17 Kg (body mass index (BMI) −4.78 SDS) and bone age 6.5 years. An intrauterine growth restriction was documented by ultrasound scan between the 20th and 22nd week of gestation. Labor was induced due to interruption of fetal development and fetal distress at 33 weeks. The birth weight was 1,450 g (−1.81 SDS), birth length 41 cm (−1.49 SDS) and head circumference 28 cm (−2.29 SDS). Apgar scores were 6 and 9 at 1 and 5 min. He required intubation and mechanical ventilation because of pneumothorax. He was discharged from the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit after 37 days with the diagnosis of microcephaly, triangular face, high forehead, protruding low set ears, micrognathia, esotropia, epicanthus, right palpebral ptosis and flat feet (Fig. 1a).

At the age of 7.5 years, he was investigated because of short stature. After exclusion of celiac disease, malabsorption, inflammatory bowel disease, hypothyroidism and other chronic diseases, GH response to two pharmacological stimuli (arginine and glucagon) revealed a GHD (GH peaks: 4.8 and 8.1 ng/ml, respectively; normal values >10 ng/ml). No other pituitary hormone deficiencies were found to be associated. MRI of the hypothalamic-pituitary region revealed a flattened pituitary gland (Fig. 2a, b). Substitutive GH therapy (0.21 mg/Kg subdivided into 6 weekly subcutaneous injections) was started and led to a satisfactory increase in height (Fig. 1b).
Methods

Array Comparative Genomic Hybridization (aCGH) analysis

DNA was extracted from whole blood of the patient by salting out method and the Concentration and purity was measured with the NanoDrop spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Inc.). Array CGH was performed using the standard Agilent Human Genome G3 SurePrint 4 × 180 K Microarray (Agilent Technologies, California, USA), with median spacing of 13 Kb. Labeling and hybridization were carried out according to the manufacturer’s instruction (Agilent Technologies, California, USA).

The image of the array was acquired using the Agilent laser scanner G2565CA (Agilent Technologies, California, USA) and analyzed with the Agilent Feature Extraction software (v10.10.1.1.). Results were analyzed by Agilent Cytogenomics software (v2.5.8.11).

The presence of the duplication was confirmed in the patient and searched in his relatives through MLPA (Multiplex Ligation Probe Amplification) with a probe specifically designed within LAMA1 (chr18:7014093–7014542; GRCh37/hg19) using the SALSA MLPA probe-mix P200-A1 Human DNA Reference-1 (MRC-Holland).

Copy number variations (CNVs) reported in the Database of Genomic Variants [9] were excluded from further analysis.

We performed a search in DECIPHER database [10] and ClinGen [11] to search for patients carrying similar micro-duplications.

Sequencing

The CDH7 and LIG4 genes were screened for the presence of causative mutations by direct sequencing. Briefly, genomic DNA was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using primers designed to specifically amplify the coding regions and the intron/exon boundaries of each gene (see Additional file 1) The PCR products were visualized on a 2% agarose gel and purified using ExoSAP-IT enzymatic PCR clean up system (Affimetrix, Santa Clara, CA). The purified products were, then, sequenced with the Big Dye Terminator kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and the automatic sequencer ABI PRISM 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

Results

At the age of 8 years, short stature, reduced head circumference, cognitive delay and facial dysmorphisms led to investigation of the LIG4 gene involved in Dubowitz syndrome (OMIM #223370): no mutation was identified. Because of clinical features including chorioretinal coloboma, growth failure, developmental retardation and hearing loss, CHARGE syndrome (OMIM#214800) was suggested at the age of 9 years. Sequencing of the CHD7, whose mutation explains about 90% of cases of CHARGE syndrome, excluded this gene as the cause of the patient’s phenotype.

The array-CGH performed at the age of 10 years showed the presence of an interstitial microduplication at 18p11.31-p11.23 (6,813,085 × 2, 6,825,044–7,157,962 × 3, 7,193,872 × 2) of 332,380–380,7 Kb (Fig. 3a). The same duplication, tested through MLPA, was present in the mother of the patient, but not in the father and in the older sister (Fig. 3b). Actually, the apparently healthy mother upon a closer evaluation revealed some mild clinical features of the son, such as partially hearing loss and mild micrognathia. She had a normal head size and her performance at school was within the normal range.

The duplicated region was examined using the human resource websites at NCBI [12] and the archive Ensembl [13]. This region contains 3 genes, encoding for a member of the Rho guanosine triphosphatases (ARHGAP28), the laminin subunit α1 (LAMA1) and a
long intergenic non-coding RNA (LINC00668) of unknown function.

**Conclusions**

Most microdeletions and microduplications encompass several genes with various functions, creating a challenge in understanding the role of the genes involved in the phenotype. Occasionally, however, these mutations encompass only few genes. Such cases are of special interest in contributing to the understanding of effects of dosage variations of the included genes.

Here we report a 18p micro-duplication including three genes in a patient with intellectual disability, deafness, GHD and other clinical features. The duplication was inherited from the unaffected mother. In contrast to microdeletions, the interpretation of microduplications often remains unsolved as the functional consequences of these alterations are not well understood. However, analyzing the role of the three genes included in the rearrangement, namely ARHGAP28, LINC00668, LAMA1, might help to elucidate, at least in part, the genotype-phenotype relationship.

The ARHGAP28 encodes for a Rho GTPase activating protein (RhoGAP) that switches RhoA into its inactive form by stimulating the ability of Rho GTPase to hydrolyze GTP to GDP. The Rho GTPases are important in the regulation of reorganization of the actin during the formation of stress fibers. In particular it has been recently reported that in mice Arhgap28 might act as a negative regulator of RhoA, during the formation of stress fibers.
actin stress fibers in cells of mesenchymal origin [14]. LINC00668 encodes for a long intergenic non coding RNA whose function has not been reported but similarly to the other non coding RNA it might be involved in the regulation of gene expression.

Among the genes included in the duplication, the best known is LAMA1 as it has also been found mutated in humans and animal models. Laminins are a large family of heterotrimeric glycoproteins consisting of α, β and γ chain present in the extracellular matrix, essential for the basement membrane assembly and critical for early embryonic development [15]. LAMA1 encodes for the alpha-1 subunit of the Laminin-111 heterotrimer, formed by α1, β1 and γ1. Hypomorphic and null LAMA1 mutations in mice and zebrafish cause defects in the retinal inner, limiting membrane development, abnormal retinal blood vessel formation and progressive cell loss from the inner nuclear and ganglion cell layers [16, 17]. In humans, LAMA1 biallelic mutations have been identified in patients affected by cerebellar dysplasia with cysts, presenting defects in the retina development, including retinal dystrophy, absent pigment and atrophy [18]. However, both human and mice phenotypes are determined by inactivating mutations with the consequent absence of a functional product during retinal and cerebellum development, whereas here we detected a duplication of the gene containing region.

We can speculate that an excess of LAMA1 may be involved in the cerebellum and retinal defects observed in our patient by perturbing the interaction with the other subunits in the Laminin-111 formation, thus contributing to the cerebellar vermis hypoplasia and retinal coloboma. Alternatively, the long intergenic non-coding RNA (LINC00668) located downstream of the gene, might be involved in LAMA1 transcription regulation and the increased level of this non-coding transcript might alter the local chromatin structure and consequently affect transcription of the nearby genes [19].

Regarding the hearing loss, it is intriguing that laminins have been detected at multiple sites in human and rodent inner ears [20, 21]. Furthermore, the dy mouse, a mutant model for congenital muscular dystrophy defective for laminin-α2, also presents hearing loss [22], thus confirming the pleiotropic effect of laminins and reinforcing the evidence of their involvement in deafness.

Although duplications encompassing LAMA1 are not reported in the literature, seven patients with similar partial or complete overlapping imbalances, including this gene, are present in the DECIPHER [10] and ISCA Databases [11] (Fig. 3c). Remarkably, some of these patients present clinical features resembling those observed in our patient, such as hearing impairment in #285404 and #252483 and hearing impairment in association with hypoplasia of the cerebellar vermis in #285404 or Coloboma in nssv584041 and intellectual disability in #252391. In all these patients, the minimal critical region contains LAMA1 (Fig. 3c). However, against the involvement of this duplication in the clinical phenotype is the observation that duplications including LAMA1 also represent rare CNVs as reported in the DGV [9].

In any case, the interpretation of the role of this duplication remains challenging, also considering the paucity of similar rearrangements on 18p described in literature. To the best of our knowledge, there are only three interstitial submicroscopic duplications reported involving 18p and none of them overlaps with the one described here [6–8]. In all of these cases, the microduplication was either inherited from a healthy parent [7, 8], as in the here presented case, or it was present in unaffected family members [6], in accordance with a dominant model of inheritance with incomplete penetrance. The variability in the phenotype of patients carrying the same unbalances, ranging from severe disorders to healthy phenotype, might be explained with the presence of additional anomalies in the affected patients. This additional hit has been demonstrated in cases in which the aCGH revealed the presence of a second rearrangement that might operate through an additive model or by modifying the phenotype [23]. Further studies are required to establish the pathogenicity of this variation. Similarly to other imbalances with reduced penetrance and variable expressivity, it can be suspected that there might be other co-occurring variations not detectable with conventional aCGH. The complex phenotype of the here described patient could be the consequence of different and independent genetic defects and for example GHD could be determined by a causative mutation in some other gene.
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