Polymorphisms and Pharmacogenomics for the Clinical Efficacy of Methotrexate in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis
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Methotrexate (MTX) is widely used and considered a first-line disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug (DMARD) for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Many of the relevant genes have been investigated to estimate the association between gene polymorphisms and MTX effectiveness in RA patients, although inconsistent results have been reported. A systematic review and meta-analysis were performed to identify genetic variants associated with MTX efficacy. A total of 30 publications that included 34 genes and 125 SNPs associated with the transporters, enzymes, and metabolites of MTX or the progression of RA were included in the systematic review (SR), and 21 studies were included in 9 meta-analyses. Associations between MTX response in RA patients in MTHFR 1298A > C (rs1801131), ATIC 347C > G (rs2372536), RFC-1 180G > A (rs1051266), SLC19A1 A > G (rs2838956) and SLC19A1 G > A (rs7499) genetic polymorphisms were found, but not observed between the MTHFR 677C > T (rs1801133), TYMS 28 bp VNTR (rs34743033), MTRR 66A > G (rs1801394), and ABCB1 3435C > T (rs1045662). However, for the polymorphisms not being associated following meta-analysis could still be associated if larger cohorts were used, and studies of other polymorphisms are necessary in large cohorts and a rigorous way, which may provide more accurate results for the effect of the gene polymorphisms on the MTX response.

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a systemic autoimmune disease characterized by chronic synovial joint inflammation, which leads to disability and diminished quality of life1,2. The main objectives for managing RA are to control pain, prevent or control joint damage and avoid long-term loss of function. Disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs) are mainstay treatments for controlling the symptoms of RA and modifying its radiographic progression3. There are several DMARDs available; however, since the re-introduction of methotrexate (MTX) in the early 1980s, MTX has become the most highly effective, fast-acting, disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug and is one of the most widely used and the first-line DMARD for the treatment of RA4,5. Accumulating evidence has indicated that earlier treatment with DMARD therapy improves long-term outcomes; therefore, identifying stable and reliable predictors of the MTX response is important for RA treatment6,7.
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Although the combined efficacy and continuation rates for MTX are superior to that of other DMARDs, considerable interpatient and intrapatient variability has been observed. Estimates indicate that up to one-third of patients fail to respond to treatment because of a lack of efficacy, and this variation in response limits the treatment options for certain patients. Various factors, including individual patient factors, disease-specific factors and genetic factors, have been shown to influence treatment response. Therefore, consistently reliable clinical or molecular markers are not available to accurately predict the response to MTX therapy. Pharmacogenomics refers to the study of the entire genome (covering transcriptomic and proteomic fields) and the expression levels of individual genes (mRNA) to identify the genetic factors influencing RA responses to MTX treatment. Researchers believe that pharmacogenetic markers may offer a strategy to help identify patients who are more likely to respond to MTX, although this hypothesis requires clinical evidence.

The actual mechanisms of action of low-dose MTX in treating RA are not fully understood. Many of the relevant genes involved in the metabolism of MTX and progression of RA have been investigated to estimate the association between gene polymorphisms and MTX effectiveness in RA patients. However, these studies have produced mixed results because of their small sample size and poor statistical power. A meta-analysis can provide a potential solution to this problem because these evaluations combine the results from several studies. Indeed, one of the major advantages of using meta-analyses is the ability to evaluate larger sample sizes, which reduces the likelihood of random errors producing false-positive or false-negative associations. Therefore, to overcome the limitations of individual studies, resolve inconsistencies, and increase precision, we performed a meta-analysis in our study to determine whether the gene polymorphisms in the evaluated studies can predict non-responsiveness to MTX therapy in patients with RA.

Over the past 10 years, seven meta-analyses on the association between polymorphisms and the clinical efficacy of MTX in RA patients were published in the PubMed and Embase databases. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first systematic review (SR) summarizing all of the available studies on the association between SNPs or VNTR polymorphisms and responsiveness to MTX in RA patients. In the present study, we focused on studies that reported the effects of MTX monotherapy and utilized pharmacogenetics, or the analysis of an individual’s genetic variations to predict RA responses to MTX treatment. We updated the meta-analysis of the MTHFR (677C>T (rs1801131) and 1298A>C (rs1801131)), ABCB1 3435C>T (rs1045642), RFC-1 80G>A (rs1051266), and ATIC 347C>G (rs2372536) polymorphisms, completed the first meta-analysis on the association between SLC19A1 A>G (rs7499), SLC19A1 A>G (rs2838956), TYMS 28 bp VNTR (rs34743033) and MTRR 66A>G (rs1801394) polymorphisms and the effectiveness of MTX in RA patients. The MTHFR 677C>T (rs1801133), MTHFR 1298A>C (rs1801131), RFC-1 80G>A (rs1051266) and ATIC 347C>G (rs2372536) polymorphisms were included in the homology subgroup analysis.

**Results**

**Study selection.** Figure 1 shows the study selection process. The initial search identified 696 publications (PubMed: 235; and Embase: 461). The full text of 80 articles was reviewed in detail, and 50 articles were further excluded for the following reasons: letter or comment (n = 6), MTX combined with other DMARDs (n = 2), no response data (n = 6), no genotype data (n = 35), and repeated publication (n = 1). Ultimately, 30 publications were included in the SR and 21 studies were included in 9 meta-analyses.

**Study characteristics.** For the analyzed studies, the characteristics and detected genes are shown in Table 1. The number of patients from Europe and South Asia accounts for a large population of the total number of publications (Fig. 2). All of the included studies were published in the last ten years.

**Pharmacogenetic markers of RA response to MTX treatment.** A total of 34 genes with 125 gene SNPs associated with the transporters, enzymes, and metabolites of MTX or the progression of RA were evaluated to explore the association between the gene polymorphisms and the patient responses to MTX in previous studies (Table 1).

The main action of MTX is to inhibit the folate pathway and exert antiproliferative and anti-inflammatory effects in RA. An analysis of the MTX metabolic pathway showed that MTX enters target cells through reduced folate carriers (SLC16A7, SLC19A1 (RFC-1), SLC46A1, SLC22A11 and SLCO 1B1) and effluxes from target cells through ATP-binding cassettes (ABCs), predominantly ABCC1-4, ABCB1 and ABCG2. MTX is polyglutamated by the enzyme FPGS, and this type of polyglutamation can be reversed by the enzyme GGH. Polyglutamated MTX (MTX-PG) is retained within the cells and can competitively inhibit the activity of DHFR and reduce dihydrofolate to tetrahydrofolate (THF). THF is the precursor of the biologically active folate cofactor 5-methyl-THF, and this conversion is catalyzed by MTHFR. MTHFR, SHMT and other enzymes in one carbon pool (MS and MTRR) are not directly inhibited by MTX, although their expression level may contribute to the antifolate effects of MTX through subtle alterations in the folate pools. MTX-PG can inhibit the TYMS (TSER)-mediated conversion of deoxyuridylate to deoxythymidylate in the de novo pyrimidine biosynthetic pathway and can also inhibit the activity of the enzyme ATIC and promote the intracellular accumulation of adenosine (AICAR), which through a series of enzymatic reactions, leads to the generation of adenosine and increased extracellular concentrations of adenosine, an anti-inflammatory agent. This pathway includes the intermediates inosine monophosphate and inosine triphosphate and the key enzymes ITPA, IMP (IMPDH) and AMP (AMPD1 and ADA). CCND1 controls cell progression through the G1/S phase and is also involved in the regulation of TYMS (TSER) and DHFR.

Gene polymorphisms associated with RA progression, including AIF-1, ESR a (ESR1) and ESR b (ESR2), PTPN22, HLA-DRB1 and HLA-DQB1, TGFb1, TLR4, CXCL9 and CXCL10, were also included in the pharmacogenetic marker studies of MTX response in RA patients.
The aforementioned genes are commonly used as important candidate gene polymorphisms in studies of RA response to MTX treatment. All of the genes and pathways included in the present SR are summarized in Fig. 3, where they were highlighted in green; the polymorphisms included in the present meta-analysis were highlighted in red or blue, and the SNPs in red showed associations with the MTX effectiveness in RA patients.

**MTHFR 677C > T (rs1801133).** Ten studies were included in the meta-analysis of MTHFR 677C > T (rs1801133), which contained data from a combined total of 579 responders and 677 nonresponders and included six European studies (423 responders and 438 nonresponders) and three South Asian studies (94 responders and 208 nonresponders). The characteristics of these studies are described in Table 2.

When all of the samples were included, the association between the frequency of 3 MTHFR 677C five > T (rs1801133) alleles (CC, CT and TT) and MTX response was not significant in pre allele (OR = 0.969, 95% CI: 0.768–1.222, Z = 0.26, P = 0.792), dominant (OR = 0.937, 95% CI: 0.734–1.197, Z = 0.52, P = 0.604), recessive (OR = 0.851, 95% CI: 0.564–1.285, Z = 0.77, P = 0.444), codominant (OR = 1.128, 95% CI: 0.884–1.439, Z = 0.97, P = 0.332), and homozygotic model (OR = 1.092, 95% CI: 0.703–1.696, Z = 0.39, P = 0.696). Moreover, significant between-study heterogeneity was not observed in all of the five models (Table 3).

Stratification by ethnicity did not identify a significant association between the MTHFR 677C > T (rs1801133) 3 allele frequency (CC, CT and TT) and MTX response in the European or South Asian populations in all of the five models (Table 3).

**MTHFR 1298A > C (rs1801131).** Eight studies were included in the meta-analysis of MTHFR 1298A > C (rs1801131), which contained data from a combined total of 425 responders and 527 nonresponders and included four European studies (270 responders and 288 nonresponders), two East Asian studies (79 responders and 48 nonresponders) and two South Asian studies (76 responders and 191 nonresponders). The characteristics of these studies are described in Table 4.

When all of the samples were included, the association between the MTHFR 1298A > C (rs1801131) 3 allele frequency (AA, AC and CC) and MTX response status was not significant in pre-allele model (OR = 1.004, 95% CI: 0.749–1.346, Z = 0.03, P = 0.972), dominant model (OR = 0.908, 95% CI: 0.596–1.382, Z = 0.45, P = 0.652), recessive model (OR = 0.861, 95% CI: 0.494–1.503, Z = 0.53, P = 0.599), codominant model (OR = 1.205, 95%
| Study                  | Number of patients | Patient Countries (Ancestry) | Evaluation of efficacy | Genotyping method | Genes                                                                 | Individual study results |
|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Lima A et al. 26       | 233                | Portugal (European)          | DAS28 ≤ 3.2            | Sequenom          | SLCl6A7 A > T (rs1763980), SLC16A7 T > G (rs10877333), SLC19A1 G > A (rs7499), SLC19A1 G > A (rs1051266), SLC19A1 A > G (rs2838956), SLC19A1 A > G, ABCCI G > A (rs3784868)(rs2838956), SLC19A1 G > A (rs3788209), SLC22A11 T > A (rs11231809), SLC16A1 G > A (rs2239907), SLC19A1 T > C (rs1449056), ABCB1 C > T (rs1045642), ABCB1 C > T (rs1128503), ABCB1 G > T (rs2032582), ABCCI T > C (rs35592), ABCCI A > G (rs246240), ABCCI G > C (rs2074807), ABCCI G > A (rs3784864), ABCCI G > A (rs717620), ABCCCI C > T (rs4148596), ABCG2 T > C (rs13120401), ABCG2 G > A (rs17731538) | The results demonstrated that SLC22A11 rs1231809 T carriers were significantly associated with more than five-fold increased risk for non-response to MTX. Regarding SNPs in ABCs, ABCCI rs262460 G carriers and ABCCI rs3784864 G carriers were associated with MTX non-response. |
| Muralidharan N et al. 27  | 327                | India (South Asia)           | Improvement of ≥ 1.2 in DAS28 score and a DAS of ≤ 2.6 (remission) on follow-up | PCR-RFLP          | TYMS 28 bp (rs1347303), TGER (rs2853542 and rs34740303), TYMS 1944d (rs34499327) | Considering TYMS genotypes, 3R3R, 3CRC3RG and 6bp2 carriers were associated with non-response to MTX. |
| Salazar J et al. 23     | 61 of 124          | Spain (European)             | DAS28 ≤ 3.2 and Improvement from baseline was ≥ 1.2 or 3.2; DAS28 ≤ 5.1 together with an improvement between 0.6 and 1.2 | Real-Time PCR     | MTHFR 28 bp (rs13360561, rs9651118, rs11121832, rs4840652, rs17421511, rs1801133, rs1801131, rs1476413), DHFR (Q512Q1.28), TRS (rs1685997, rs14643650); TYMS 2194d (rs2847153, rs2847150, rs10498303); ATIC (2q35) (rs10197559, rs16853782, rs2372536, rs12995526, rs7589696, rs2177735, rs16853786), CCND1 (11q13) (rs9344, rs491921) | Two SNPs in the MTHFR gene, rs1474613 and one in the DHFR gene, rs1643650, were significantly associated with response to MTX treatment in rheumatoid arthritis. We also found that two SNPs in the ATIC gene, rs16853786 and rs11019559, were associated with toxicity. |
| Lima A et al. 24        | 233                | Portugal (European)          | DAS28 ≤ 3.2            | PCR-RFLP          | TYMS 28 bp (rs1347303), TGER (rs2853542 and rs34740303), TYMS 1944d (rs34499327) | Considering TYMS genotypes, 3R3R, 3CRC3RG and 6bp2 carriers were associated with non-response to MTX. |
| Pawlik A et al. 26      | 221                | Poland (European)            | DAS28 ≤ 2.4 (patients with remission of disease symptoms) | TaqMan            | AIF1 C > T (rs2269475), AIF1 G > A (rs2736182), AIF1 A > C (rs2259571) | The results of this study suggest that the patients with the rs2259571 CC/AIF1 genotype have a poorer response to therapy with MTX. |
| Jekic B et al. 25       | 184                | Serbia (European)            | DAS281 ≤ 3.2 and Δ DAS28 ≥ 2.3 or 3.2 < DAS282 ≤ 5.1 and 0.6 < Δ DAS28 ≤ 1.2 | PCR-RFLP          | GGH G2C > T, GGH -354 G > T, CCND1 780A > G, TYMS 2R/3R, TYMS 3R/3G/3R | The 3G/3G genotype of the TYMS gene may indicate predisposition of poor response to MTX and GG genotype of GGH – 354 T > G polymorphism may have high predictive value for myelosuppression in RA patients. |
| Owen SA et al. 44       | 147 (309)          | UK (European)                | Physician statement of good response plus a stable dose of MTX for at least 6 months, with an ESR of 20 and/or normal CRP | Sequenom          | ATIC rs7563206 C > T, ATIC rs3813535 G > T, ATIC rs12995526 C > T, ATIC rs16853834 C > T, GGH rs12681874 T > C, SLC19A1 rs170242 T > C, SLC19A1 rs2838956 A > G, SLC19A1 rs7499 G > A, SLC19A1 rs2274808 C > T, SLC19A1 rs9977286 C > T, SLC19A1 rs7279445 C > T | Associations were detected with efficacy including four SNPs in the ATIC gene (rs12995526, rs3813535, rs7563206 and rs16853834), six SNPs in the SLC19A1 gene region (rs11702425, rs2838956, rs7499, rs2274808, rs977268 and rs7279445) and a single SNP within the genome (rs12681874), the results suggest that genetic variations in several key MTA pathway genes may influence response to MTX in the RA patients. |
| Milic V et al. 47       | 125                | Serbia (European)            | DAS281 ≤ 3.2 and Δ DAS28 ≥ 2.3 or 3.2 < DAS282 ≤ 5.1 and 0.6 < Δ DAS28 ≤ 1.2 | PCR-RFLP          | DHFR 216 T > C (rs6151599), DHFR 317 A > G (rs4060626), ATIC 129 T > G (rs4535042) | RA patients with DHFR-317AA genotype had less favourable response to MTX. |
| Majorczyk E et al. 28   | 308                | Poland (European)            | ACR20                  | PCR-RFLP          | PTPN22 1858C > T (rs2476601) | The response of RA patients to MTX treatment does not seem to depend on this SNP. |

Continued
| Study                      | Number of patients | Patient Countries (Ancestry) | Evaluation of efficacy | Genotyping method | Genes                                                                 | Individual study results                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Xiao H et al.             | 110                | China (East Asian)          | ACR20                  | TaqMan            | MTHFR 677C > T (rs1801133), MTHFR 1298A > C (rs1801131), MTHFR G > A  | While rs1801131/A/C genetic polymorphism is associated with the clinical response, rs1801133/C/T and rs2274976/A/G genetic polymorphisms are associated with MTX-related AEs in the treatment of RA. |
| Sharma S et al.           | 273 of 281         | India (South Asia)          | DAS28-3<3.2            | PCR-REP           | ATIC 347C > G (rs237556), AMPLID1 C > T (rs17602729), ADA C > G (rs1789980), ADA A > G (rs244076), ADORA2A T > C (rs5751867) | Genes from all the three pathways seem to contribute to MTX response in the Indian population.                                                                 |
| Ghodke Y et al.           | 34                 | India (South Asia)          | ARC20                  | PCR-RFLP          | MTHFR 677C > T (rs1801133), MTHFR 1298A > C (rs1801131), TS3 UTR 2R/3R, TS 3' UTR – > +6bp | Our findings do not suggest a significant association of MTHFR/TS allele/genotype with MTX response in our ethnically distinct Asian (Indian) RA patients. |
| Takatori R et al.         | 124                | Japan (East Asian)          | Last maintenance dosage of MTX was ≤6mg/week | Real-Time PCR     | ABCB1 3435C > T (rs1045642), RFC-1 80G > A (rs1051266), ATIC 347C > G (rs372536), TYSM 3'UTR – > +6bp | There were no significant differences in MTX sensitivity among the genotypes of RFC1, ATIC and TYSM genes, the results of this study indicated that patients with ABCB1 3435C and RFC1 3435C showed higher therapeutic effects of MTX. |
| Ali AA et al.             | 91                 | Pakistan (South Asia)       | 50% or greater reduction in ESR, Ritchie index, number of swollen joints and morning stiffness compared with index at entry | PCR-SSP           | HLA-DRB1 '01 '03 '04 '07 '08 '09 '10 '11 '12 '13 '14 '15 '16; HLA-DQBI '02 '03 '04 '05 '06 | RA susceptibility in most Pakistani patients is associated with the HLA-DRB1*01/DQBI*06 genotype. HLA-DRB1*01 was found to be significantly more common among non-responders to MTX treatment suggesting that Pakistani patients with this genotype are less likely to benefit from MTX. |
| Mohammad Perwaiz Iqbal et al. | 67               | Pakistan (South Asia)       | 50% or greater reduction in ESR, Ritchie index, number of swollen joints and morning stiffness compared with index at entry | PCR-RFLP          | MTHFR 677C > T (rs1801133), MTHFR 1298A > C (rs1801131) | MTHFR C677T and A1298C polymorphisms are not associated with response to MTX in a population of Pakistani RA patients. |
| Kooloo WM et al.          | 205                | Netherlands (European)      | DAS ≤2.4               | Real-Time PCR     | DHFR – 829C > T (rs34764978); ABCB1 3435C > T (rs2066440); ITTPA IVS2 +21A > C (rs7279101); HLA-G – > + ATTGTGTCATGCCT (–14 bp > +14 bp) (rs163675); TGFBI +8069T > C (rs1982073); TLRA +896A > G (rs4986790); IMPDH2 +787C > T | No significant associations or replications of these genetic variants with MTX efficacy were demonstrated |
| Aggarwal P et al.         | 150                | India (South Asia)          | DAS28<3.2              | PCR-RFLP          | MTHFR 677C > T (rs1801133) | Our findings suggest that C677T polymorphism in the MTHFR gene is not predictive of toxicity or efficacy of MTX treatment in RA patients receiving folate supplementation. |
| Drozdzik M et al.         | 174                | Poland (European)           | ACR20                  | PCR-RFLP          | RFC-1 80G > A (rs1051266) | The patients with RFC-1 AA genotype responded to the therapy more effectively than carriers of AG and GG genotypes. |
| Shen S.-H et al.          | 121                | China (East Asian)          | ACR20                  | Real-Time PCR     | MTR2756A > G (rs1805087); MTRR 66A > G (rs1801394) | MTR AG and MTRR G allele seems association with the poor response of MTX in RA patients. The cumulative genotypes of MTR and MTRR may be used for the index to predict the good clinical response of patients who take MTX. |
| Murashidhuran N et al.    | 319                | India (South Asia)          | An improvement of >1.2 in DAS28 score and a DAS of ≤2.6 (remission) on follow-up | PCR-RFLP          | ATIC 347C > G (rs2372536) | The genotype and allele frequencies of ATIC 347C > G SNP did not differ between good and nonresponders and hence this SNP was not found to be associated with MTX treatment response |
| Ghodke-Puranik Y et al.   | 217                | India (South Asia)          | ACR50                  | PCR-RFLP          | MTHFR 677C > T (rs1801133); MTHFR 1298A > C (rs1801131); TS3 UTR repeat and 3'UTR deletion) (rs3448927); MDR1 3455C > T (rs1046542); MDR1 1224C > T (rs1128503); RFC1 80G > A (rs1051266); MS 2756A > G (rs1805087); MTRR 66A > G (rs1801394); GCH -40C > T (rs3758149); ATIC 347C > G (rs2372536); SHMT1 1420C > T (rs1972877) | MTHFR 1298A allele (AA-AC) were more likely to have better MTX efficacy relative to those with MTHFR 1298C. Similarly, with an RFC1 80A allele (AA-GA) had better response to MTX than those with the RFC1 80G genotype. None of the other studied SNPs were associated with MTX efficacy in our RA population. |
| Uribarri M et al.          | 68                 | Italy (European)            | Continued MTX therapy  | TaqMan            | MTHFR 677C > T (rs1801133) | The results confirm an increased probability of MTX monotherapy discontinuation for RA patients carrying the homogenous 677T variant allele in the MTHFR gene. |

Continued
Table 1. Reports of associations between polymorphisms and responses to MTX in RA. DAS28: Disease activity score with 28 joints; DAS44: Disease activity score with 44 joints. ACR20: American College of Rheumatology 20% response criteria. ACR50: American College of Rheumatology 50% response criteria.

![Figure 2. Distribution of ancestry in 28 studies that measured the association between polymorphisms and the response to MTX in RA.](image)

CI = 0.772–1.882, Z = 0.82, P = 0.412, and homozygotic model (OR = 0.987, 95% CI = 0.626–1.554, Z = 0.06, P = 0.954). Moreover, significant between-study heterogeneity in codominant model (AC vs. AA + CC) was observed (I^2 = 55.0%, χ^2 = 15.54, P = 0.030) and East Asian subgroup in pre-allele model (I^2 = 76.6%, χ^2 = 4.28, P = 0.039) but not in other models (Table 3).

Stratification by ethnicity identify a significant association between the MTHFR A1298C (rs1801131) 3 allele frequency (AA, AC and CC) and MTX response in the South Asian populations in recessive (OR = 0.454, 95% CI: 0.228–0.906, Z = 2.24, P = 0.025) (Fig. 4) and codominant model (OR = 2.319, 95% CI: 1.317–4.086, Z = 2.91, P = 0.004) (Fig. 5) but not in other models and other populations.

**ATIC 347C > G (rs2372536).** Five studies were included in the meta-analysis of ATIC 347C > G (rs2372536), which contained data from a combined total of 458 patients and 398 controls and included two
European studies (132 responders and 134 nonresponders), one East Asian study (72 responders and 33 nonresponders) and two South Asian studies (254 responders and 231 nonresponders). The characteristics of these studies are described in Table 5.

When all of the samples were included, a significant association between the ATIC 347C > G (rs2372536) allele frequency (CC, CG and GG) and MTX response status was identified in dominant model (OR = 1.612, 95% CI: 1.168–2.224, Z = 2.91, P = 0.004) (Fig. 6) and codominant (Fig. 7) model (OR = 0.634, 95% CI: 0.468–0.858, Z = 2.95, P = 0.003), but not in pre-allele model (OR = 1.263, 95% CI: 0.958–1.666, Z = 1.65, P = 0.098), recessive model (OR = 1.068, 95% CI: 0.699–1.630, Z = 0.30, P = 0.762) and homozygotic model (OR = 1.229, 95% CI: 0.749–2.015, Z = 0.82, P = 0.415). Moreover, significant between-study heterogeneity was not observed in all of the five models (Table 3).

Stratification by ethnicity identified a significant association between the ATIC 347C > G allele frequency (CC, CG and GG) and MTX response status in Europeans in pre-allele model (OR = 1.736, 95% CI: 1.176–2.564, Z = 2.77, P = 0.006), dominant model (OR = 2.346, 95% CI: 1.407–3.910, Z = 3.27, P = 0.001), and codominant model (OR = 0.458, 95% CI: 0.274–0.764, Z = 2.99, P = 0.003) but not in other model and in the South Asian populations (Table 3).

**TYMS 28 bp VNTR (rs34743033).** Three studies were included in the meta-analysis of TYMS 28 bp VNTR (rs34743033), which contained data from a combined total of 335 responders and 264 nonresponders. The characteristics of these studies are described in Table 6.

When all of the samples were included, the association between the TYMS 28 bp VNTR (rs34743033) and MTX response status was not significant in pre-allele model (OR = 1.174, 95% CI: 0.811–1.697, Z = 0.85, P = 0.396), dominant (OR = 1.238, 95% CI: 0.794–1.929, Z = 0.94, P = 0.347), recessive (OR = 0.787, 95% CI: 0.377–1.644, Z = 0.64, P = 0.524), codominant (OR = 1.093, 95% CI: 0.666–1.794, Z = 0.35, P = 0.724), and homozygotic
### Study Design and Characteristics of the Analyzed Studies

| Study          | Study design          | Responders | Nonresponders | Mean age, years | Mean disease duration, years | MTX dose (mg per week) | Date of end point (week) |
|---------------|-----------------------|------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Salazar et al. | Prospective cohort    | 21         | 17            | 5.55           | 5.55                      | 7.5–25                 | 24                       |
| Xiao et al.   | Prospective cohort    | 38         | 11            | 44 ± 13.1      | 10–15                    | 24                     |
| Mohammad et al.| Prospective clinical trial | 19        | 2             | 13 ± 4.0       | No information            | 7.5–17.5               | 24                       |
| Ghodke et al. | Prospective cohort    | 38         | 11            | 43.8 ± 13.5    | Good responder: 6.2 (4.8); Poor responder: 7.0 (4.6) | 15–25                  | 24                       |
| Soukup et al. | Prospective cohort    | 27         | 3             | 58.4 ± 11.1    | 9.7 ± 7.8                | 7.5–15                 | 24                       |
| Wessels et al.| Prospective cohort    | 39         | 9             | 54.6 ± 13.3    | < 2 years                | 7.5–15                 | 24                       |

### Summary of the Analyzed Studies and the Distribution of Methylene tetrahydrofolate Reductase MTHFR 677 C > T (rs1801133) Genotypes

**Table 2.** Summary of the analyzed studies and the distribution of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase MTHFR 677 C > T (rs1801133) genotypes.

**MTRR 66A > G (rs1801394).** Two studies were included in the meta-analysis of MTRR 66A > G (rs1801394), which contained data from a combined total of 126 responders and 198 nonresponders. The characteristics of these studies are described in Table 7.

When all of the samples were included, the association between the MTRR 66A > G (rs1801394) allele frequency (AA, AG and GG) and MTX response status was not significant in pre-allele (OR = 1.088, 95% CI: 0.744–1.591, Z = 0.43, P = 0.664), dominant (OR = 1.165, 95% CI: 0.668–2.031, Z = 0.54, P = 0.590), recessive model (OR = 0.961, 95% CI: 0.502–1.841, Z = 0.12, P = 0.905), codominant model (OR = 0.897, 95% CI: 0.531–1.516, Z = 0.40, P = 0.686), and homozygotic model (OR = 1.188, 95% CI: 0.555–2.454, Z = 0.44, P = 0.657). Moreover, significant between-study heterogeneity was not observed in all of the five models (Table 3).

**RFC-1 80G > A (rs1051266).** Four studies were included in the meta-analysis of RFC-1 80G > A (rs1051266), which contained data from a combined total of 298 responders and 423 nonresponders. The characteristics of these studies are described in Table 8.

When all of the samples were included, the association between the RFC-1 80G > A (rs1051266) allele frequency (GG, GA and AA) and MTX response status was significant in pre-allele (OR = 0.716, 95% CI 0.545–0.941, Z = 2.39, P = 0.017), dominant (OR = 0.645, 95% CI: 0.449–0.926, Z = 2.38, P = 0.017), recessive (OR = 1.653, 95% CI: 1.115–2.451, Z = 2.5, P = 0.012), and homozygotic model (OR = 0.548, 95% CI: 0.311–0.926, Z = 2.42, P = 0.016), and South Asian populations in Pre-allele model (OR = 0.705, 95% CI 0.523–0.951, Z = 2.29, P = 0.022), dominant model (OR = 0.642, 95% CI 0.415–0.993, Z = 1.99, P = 0.046), and homozygotic model (OR = 0.473, 95% CI 0.252–0.887, Z = 2.33, P = 0.020), but not in other models (Table 3, Figs 8–11).

**SLC19A1 G > A (rs7499).** Two studies were included in the meta-analysis of SLC19A1 G > A (rs7499), which contained data from a combined total of 246 responders and 224 nonresponders. The characteristics of these studies are described in Table 9.

When all of the samples were included, the association between the SLC19A1 G > A (rs7499) 3 allele frequency (GG, GA and AA) and MTX response status was significant in pre-allele (OR = 1.536, 95% CI 1.176–2.005, Z = 3.15, P = 0.002), dominant (OR = 1.681, 95% CI: 1.146–2.467, Z = 2.66, P = 0.008), recessive (OR = 0.528, 95% CI: 0.316–0.884, Z = 2.43, P = 0.015), and homozygotic model (OR = 2.397, 95% CI: 1.359–4.229, Z = 3.02, P = 0.003), but not in the codominant model (OR = 0.861, 95% CI: 0.596–1.242, Z = 0.80, P = 0.423). Moreover, significant between-study heterogeneity was not observed in all of the five models (Table 3).
| Outcome | No. of Included studies | Pooled OR | 95% CI   | Z      | P for Z test | I² (%) | Chi-squared | P for Chi-squared |
|---------|-------------------------|-----------|----------|--------|-------------|--------|-------------|------------------|
| **MTHFR C677T (rs1801133)** | | | | | | | | |
| C vs. T (Pre-allele model) | European | 6      | 1.010   | 0.731–1.396 | 0.06 | 0.951 | 52 | 10.43 | 0.064 |
|                        | East Asian | 1      | 0.823   | 0.446–1.519 | 0.62 | 0.533 | — | 0.00 | — |
|                        | South Asian | 3      | 0.875   | 0.522–1.467 | 0.51 | 0.612 | 0 | 1.31 | 0.520 |
|                        | Overall | 10     | 0.969   | 0.768–1.222 | 0.26 | 0.792 | 28 | 12.50 | 0.186 |
| C vs. T (Recessive Model) | European | 6      | 0.649   | 0.242–1.741 | 0.86 | 0.390 | — | 0.00 | — |
|                        | East Asian | 1      | 0.823   | 0.446–1.519 | 0.62 | 0.533 | — | 0.00 | — |
|                        | South Asian | 3      | 0.875   | 0.522–1.467 | 0.51 | 0.612 | 0 | 1.31 | 0.520 |
|                        | Overall | 10     | 0.969   | 0.768–1.222 | 0.26 | 0.792 | 28 | 12.50 | 0.186 |
| **ATIC 347C > G (rs2372536)** | | | | | | | | |
| C vs. G (Pre-allele model) | European | 2      | 1.736   | 1.176–2.564 | 2.77 | 0.006 | 0 | 0.13 | 0.720 |
|                        | East Asian | 1      | 1.503   | 0.752–3.005 | 1.15 | 0.248 | — | 0.00 | — |
| Continued | | | | | | | | |
| Outcome | No. of Included studies | Pooled OR | 95% CI | Z   | P for Z test | I² (%) | Chi-squared | P for Chi-squared |
|---------|------------------------|-----------|--------|-----|--------------|--------|-------------|-------------------|
| South Asian | 2 | 0.980 | 0.730–1.316 | 0.13 | 0.895 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.942 |
| Overall | 5 | 1.263 | 0.958–1.666 | 1.65 | 0.098 | 30.3 | 5.74 | 0.220 |
| CC vs. CG + GG (dominant model) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| European | 2 | 2.346 | 1.407–3.910 | 3.27 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.654 |
| East Asian | 1 | 1.474 | 0.632–3.436 | 0.90 | 0.369 | — | 0.00 | — |
| South Asian | 2 | 1.187 | 0.734–1.921 | 0.70 | 0.485 | 0 | 0.53 | 0.467 |
| Overall | 5 | 1.612 | 1.168–2.224 | 2.91 | 0.004 | 8.2 | 4.35 | 0.360 |
| GG vs. CC + GG (recessive Model) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| European | 2 | 0.770 | 0.309–1.918 | 0.56 | 0.575 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.882 |
| East Asian | 1 | 0.824 | 0.340–1.994 | 0.43 | 0.667 | — | 0.00 | — |
| South Asian | 2 | 1.277 | 0.772–2.113 | 0.95 | 0.342 | 0 | 0.57 | 0.451 |
| Overall | 5 | 1.068 | 0.699–1.630 | 0.30 | 0.762 | 0 | 2.65 | 0.618 |
| CC vs. GG + CC (codominant Model) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| European | 2 | 2.346 | 1.407–3.910 | 3.27 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.654 |
| East Asian | 1 | 1.474 | 0.632–3.436 | 0.90 | 0.369 | — | 0.00 | — |
| South Asian | 2 | 1.187 | 0.734–1.921 | 0.70 | 0.485 | 0 | 0.53 | 0.467 |
| Overall | 5 | 1.612 | 1.168–2.224 | 2.91 | 0.004 | 8.2 | 4.35 | 0.360 |
| TYMS 28-bp repeat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2R vs. 3R (Pre-allele model) | 3 | 1.174 | 0.811–1.697 | 0.85 | 0.396 | 55.5 | 4.49 | 0.106 |
| 2R2R vs. 2R3R + 3R3R (dominant model) | 3 | 1.238 | 0.794–1.929 | 0.94 | 0.347 | 0 | 0.75 | 0.686 |
| 3R3R vs. 2R3R + 2R (recessive Model) | 3 | 0.787 | 0.377–1.644 | 0.64 | 0.524 | 72.4 | 7.24 | 0.027 |
| 2R3R vs. 3R3R (codominant Model) | 3 | 1.093 | 0.666–1.794 | 0.35 | 0.724 | 50.7 | 4.06 | 0.132 |
| 2R2R vs. 3R3R (homozygotic Model) | 3 | 1.400 | 0.675–2.906 | 0.90 | 0.366 | 49.1 | 3.93 | 0.14 |
| MTRR66A > G (rs1801394) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A vs. G (Pre-allele model) | 2 | 1.088 | 0.744–1.591 | 0.43 | 0.664 | 0 | 0.41 | 0.523 |
| AA vs. AG + GG (dominant model) | 2 | 1.165 | 0.668–2.031 | 0.54 | 0.590 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.864 |
| GG vs. AG + AA (recessive Model) | 2 | 0.961 | 0.502–1.841 | 0.12 | 0.905 | 0 | 0.83 | 0.362 |
| AG vs. AA + GG (codominant Model) | 2 | 0.897 | 0.531–1.516 | 0.40 | 0.686 | 0 | 0.07 | 0.792 |
| AA vs. GG (homozygotic Model) | 2 | 1.188 | 0.555–2.545 | 0.44 | 0.657 | 0 | 0.60 | 0.439 |
| RFC 1 80G > A (rs1051266) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G vs. A (Pre-allele model) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| European | 1 | 0.561 | 0.356–0.884 | 2.49 | 0.013 | — | 0.00 | — |
| East Asian | 1 | 1.104 | 0.615–1.981 | 0.33 | 0.740 | — | 0.00 | — |
| South Asian | 2 | 0.705 | 0.523–0.951 | 2.29 | 0.022 | 5.9 | 1.06 | 0.303 |
| Overall | 4 | 0.716 | 0.545–0.941 | 2.39 | 0.017 | 30.0 | 4.29 | 0.232 |
| GG vs. GA + AA (dominant model) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| European | 1 | 0.480 | 0.204–1.130 | 1.68 | 0.093 | — | 0.00 | — |
| East Asian | 1 | 1.086 | 0.376–3.135 | 0.15 | 0.878 | — | 0.00 | — |
| South Asian | 2 | 0.642 | 0.415–0.993 | 1.99 | 0.046 | 34.7 | 1.53 | 0.216 |
| Overall | 4 | 0.645 | 0.449–0.926 | 2.38 | 0.017 | 0 | 2.92 | 0.405 |
| AA vs. GA + GG (recessive Model) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| European | 1 | 2.343 | 1.169–4.694 | 2.40 | 0.016 | — | 0.00 | — |
| East Asian | 1 | 0.824 | 0.322–2.109 | 0.40 | 0.687 | — | 0.00 | — |
| South Asian | 2 | 1.705 | 0.980–2.964 | 1.89 | 0.059 | 0 | 0 | 0.952 |
| Overall | 4 | 1.653 | 1.115–2.451 | 2.50 | 0.012 | 2.9 | 3.09 | 0.378 |

GA vs. GG + AA (codominant Model)

Continued
Table 3. Associations between gene polymorphisms and MTX efficacy in RA patients.

**SLC19A1 A > G (rs2838956).** Two studies were included in the meta-analysis of SLC19A1 A > G (rs2838956), which contained data from a combined total of 246 responders and 225 nonresponders. The characteristics of these studies are described in (Table 10).

When all of the samples were included, the association between the SLC19A1 A > G (rs2838956) 3 allele frequency (AA, AG and GG) and MTX response status was significant in pre-allele model (OR = 1.366, 95% CI 1.051–1.776, Z = 2.33, P = 0.020), recessive model (OR = 0.592, 95% CI = 0.361–0.969, Z = 2.08, P = 0.037) and homozygotic model (OR = 1.973, 95% CI = 1.131–3.443, Z = 2.39, P = 0.017), but not in the dominant (OR = 1.426, 95% CI = 0.965–2.109, Z = 1.78, P = 0.075) and the codominant model (OR = 0.98, 95% CI = 0.635–1.512, Z = 0.09, P = 0.927). Moreover, significant between-study heterogeneity was not observed in all of the five models (Table 3).

**ABCB1 3435C > T (rs1045642).** Two studies were included in the meta-analysis of ABCB1 3435C > T (rs1045642), which contained data from a combined total of 177 responders and 161 nonresponders. The characteristics of these studies are described in (Table 11).

When all of the samples were included, the association between the ABCB1 3435C > T (rs1045642) allele frequency and MTX response status was not significant in pre-allele (OR = 1.714, 95% CI 0.650–4.522, Z = 1.09, P = 0.276), dominant (OR = 1.755, 95% CI 0.573–5.372, Z = 0.99, P = 0.325), recessive (OR = 0.429, 95% CI = 0.093–1.985, Z = 1.08, P = 0.279), codominant model (OR = 1.033, 95% CI = 0.666–1.602, Z = 0.15, P = 0.884), and homozygotic model (OR = 2.973, 95% CI = 0.401–22.016, Z = 1.07, P = 0.286). Moreover, significant between-study heterogeneity was observed in pre-allele (I² = 86.9%, χ² = 7.63, P = 0.006), dominant (I² = 75.4%, χ² = 4.07, P = 0.044), recessive (I² = 83.4%, χ² = 6.04, P = 0.014) and homozygotic model (I² = 86.6%, χ² = 7.48, P = 0.006) (Table 3).
Publication bias. Publication bias for meta-analysis of MTHFR 677C > T (rs1801131). The Begg’s funnel, presented in Fig. 12, did not indicate any evidence of publication bias. Neither Egger’s test ($t = -1.23$, $P = 0.253$) nor Begg’s test ($Z = -0.98$, $P = 0.325$) produced a statistically significant result.

Table 4. Summary of the analyzed studies and the distribution of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase MTHFR 1298A > C (rs1801131) genotypes.

| Study                  | Study design                        | Genotype counts | Mean age, years | Mean disease duration, years | MTX dose (mg per week) (range or mean ± s.d.) | Date of end point (week) |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Salazar J et al.       | Prospective cohort                  | AA 24, AC 16, CC 5 | 55.62 ± 1.297 | 5.55                        | 7.5–25                                       | 24                       |
| Xiao H et al.          | Prospective cohort                  | AA 37, AC 24, CC 1 | 49.2 ± 13.4 | 44 ± 13.1                   | 10–15                                        | 24                       |
| Ghodke Y et al.        | Retrospective cohort                | AA 6, AC 8, CC 3 | No information | No information              | 7.5–17.5                                    | 24                       |
| Mohammad P Iqbal et al.| Prospective clinical trial          | AA 2, AC 19, CC 6 | 42.87 ± 13.5 | 5.6 ± 4.9                   | 15–25                                        | 48                       |
| Ghodke-Puranik Y et al.| Retrospective cohort                | AA 12, AC 29, CC 8 | 43.8 ± 10.4 | 5.6 ± 4.9                   | 15.0 ± 3.9                                   | 48                       |
| Soukup T et al.        | Prospective cohort and retrospective cohort | AA 38, AC 33, CC 9 | 58.5 ± 12.6 | No information              | 11.7 ± 2.9                                   | 24                       |
| Kurzawski M et al.     | Prospective cohort                  | AA 16, AC 31, CC 11 | 58.4 ± 11.1 | 9.7 ± 7.8                   | 7.5–15                                       | 24                       |
| Wessels JA et al.      | Prospective cohort                  | AA 41, AC 36, CC 10 | 54.6 ± 2 years | <2 years                   | 7.5–15                                       | 24                       |

Figure 4. Forest plot showing the association between the MTHFR 677C > T (rs1801131) single-nucleotide polymorphism and the efficacy of methotrexate (CC vs. AC + AA (recessive Model)). % weight: the percentage weight attributed to each study in the meta-analysis; OR: odds ratio. Point estimates of the ORs for each study (black squares) and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) (horizontal lines) are shown, with the size of the black square representing the relative weight of the study. The diamonds represent the overall pooled estimate.
Publication bias for meta-analysis of MTHFR 1298A > C (rs1801131). The Begg’s funnel, presented in Fig. 13, did not indicate any evidence of publication bias. Neither Egger’s test ($t = -0.84$, $P = 0.433$) nor Begg’s test ($Z = -0.49$, $P = 0.621$) produced a statistically significant result.

Publication bias for meta-analysis of ATIC 347C > G (rs2372536). The Begg’s funnel, presented in Fig. 14, did not indicate any evidence of publication bias. Neither Egger’s test ($t = 1.30$, $P = 0.286$) nor Begg’s test ($Z = 0.98$, $P = 0.327$) produced a statistically significant result.

Publication bias for meta-analysis of RFC-1 80G > A (rs1051266). The Begg’s funnel, presented in Fig. 15, did not indicate any evidence of publication bias. Neither Egger’s test ($t = 0.47$, $P = 0.686$) nor Begg’s test ($Z = 0.68$, $P = 0.497$) produced a statistically significant result.
Discussion

The pathogenesis of RA is not well understood, and there are considerable challenges in the design of effective medicines to cure RA. MTX is still the gold standard drug for RA and plays antiproliferative and anti-inflammatory roles in RA therapy\textsuperscript{11,16}. Although the factors influencing interpatient variability in MTX efficacy remain unclear, genetic factors related to drug metabolism and disease progression may play an important role in this variability. In recent years, extensive pharmacogenomics investigations have been performed to optimize MTX therapy for RA patients through genotyping and/or gene-expression-based tests. These tests were primarily based on mRNA and included transporters, enzymes, metabolites and disease associated genes\textsuperscript{11}; however, the majority of the findings were inconclusive and inconsistent, even for classical candidate gene polymorphisms. Thus, developing effective and practical biomarkers to aid in the prediction of MTX responses in routine clinical practice remains a challenge. The present study performed an SR on the association between polymorphisms and the clinical efficacy of MTX in RA patients using papers published in the PubMed and Embase databases. Furthermore, this review focused on studies that reported the effects of MTX monotherapy and utilized pharmacogenetics, or the analysis of an individual's genetic variation, to predict RA responses to MTX treatment.

Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) is the best studied gene in the MTX cellular pathway and encodes a protein with several important roles, including the conversion of the prominent circulatory form of folate, 5, 10-methylenetetrahydrofolate required for purine and thymidine synthesis, to 5-methyl tetrahydrofolate, which acts as a carbon donor for the re-methylation of homocysteine to methionine by methionone synthase\textsuperscript{3}. MTHFR 677C>T (rs1801133) and 1298A>C (rs1801131) are the most well described two non-synonymous genetic variants, both of which have been reported to be associated with altered phenotypes. Patients with MTHFR 1298AA and MTHFR 677CC were reported to show a greater clinical improvement with MTX\textsuperscript{17}. MTHFR 677C>T is a nonsynonymous polymorphism that results in the substitution of alanine with valine at codon 222 of the MTHFR enzyme\textsuperscript{3}. It was reported that MTHFR 677TT carriers were statistically significant associated with more than 4-fold increased risk for nonresponse to MTX when compared to MTHFR677C carriers\textsuperscript{17}. MTHFR 1298A>C is another nonsynonymous polymorphism that leads to the substitution of glutamine with alanine in the C-terminal regulatory domain of the MTHFR enzyme, which results in decreased enzyme activity\textsuperscript{3}. In recent years, extensive investigations have been performed to identify the association between these two SNPs and MTX efficacy; however, the results were inconsistent. Ghodke-Puranik Y \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{15} reported that MTHFR 1298AA allele (AA-AC) were more likely to have better MTX efficacy relative to those with MTHFR 1298 CC in Indian (South Asian) patients. However many other investigations did not shown an association between MTHFR 1298A>C allele and the MTX response in RA patients. The variability in individual study findings may
arise due to the fact that each includes a small sample size thereby reducing the power to accurately estimate effect sizes. In the last decade, three meta-analyses were performed in relatively large samples, and the results suggested that both of the two SNPs were not associated with the efficacy of MTX in RA. The present study updated the meta-analysis, and a significant association was not observed between either the 677C>T (rs1801133) allele (CC, CT and TT) or the 1298A>C (rs1801131) allele (AA, AC and CC) in all of the analyzed models when all of the samples were included. However, the significant association was founded in the South Asian populations in recessive (OR = 0.454, 95% CI: 0.228–0.906, Z = 2.24, P = 0.025) and codominant model (OR = 2.319, 95% CI: 1.317–4.086, Z = 2.91, P = 0.004) but not in other models and other populations. This is the first positive result in meta-analysis in MTHFR 1298A>C gene polymorphism in recent years.

ATIC is an important gene in the adenosine pathway, which is involved in the de novo synthesis of purine and converts aminomimidazole carboxamide adenosine ribonucleotide (AICAR) into formyl-AICAR and has been mapped to chromosome 2q35. MTX is polyglutamylated to form MTX polyglutamates after entering cells

---

**Figure 7.** Forest plot showing the association between the ATIC 347C>G (rs2372536) single-nucleotide polymorphism and the efficacy of methotrexate (CG vs. CC + GG (codominant Model)). % weight: the percentage weight attributed to each study in the meta-analysis; OR: odds ratio. Point estimates of the ORs for each study (black squares) and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) (horizontal lines) are shown, with the size of the black square representing the relative weight of study. The diamond represents the overall pooled estimate.

**Table 6.** Summary of the analyzed studies and the distribution of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase TYMS 28 bp VNTR (rs34743033) genotypes. *3R4R genotype (n = 4) was excluded from the analyses because of the low frequency.

| Study             | Study design | Genotype counts | Mean age, years | Mean disease duration, years | MTX dose (mg per week) (range or mean ± s.d.) | Date of end point (week) |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Wessels JA et al.10 | Retrospective cohort | 2R2R 2R3R 3R3* | 55.3 ± 14; Nonresponder: 53.6 ± 13 | <2 years | 7.5–15 | 24 |
| Lima A et al.18    | Retrospective cohort | 2R2R 2R3R 3R3* | 52 ± 11.9 | Median disease duration: 8 | 15.0 (median) range 2.5–25.0 | MTX median disease duration: 28 months |
| Jekic B et al.25    | Prospective cohort | 27 82 37 7 20 11 | 58.04 ± 10.20 | 48.95 ± 39.95 months | 10.72 ± 2.83 | 24 |
and directly inhibits ATIC, which leads to an intracellular accumulation of AICAR, and causing the release of adenosine into the extracellular space. The adenosine released diminishes the adherence of neutrophils and inhibits the function of natural killer cells, monocytes/macrophages and T-lymphocytes, thus producing potent anti-inflammatory effects.

### ATIC 347C/G (rs2372536) polymorphism

The ATIC 347C/G (rs2372536) polymorphism on exon 5 is the most commonly studied ATIC polymorphism in RA, and resulting in threonine to serine substitution at position 116 of the gene. Wessels JA *et al.* reported that individuals carrying the AMPD1 T allele and the ITPA 94CC and ATIC 347 CC genotypes were two to three times more likely to have a good clinical response to MTX. However, a lack of association has been reported between the ATIC 347C>G gene polymorphism and the MTX treatment response.

### Summary of Studies

#### Table 7. Summary of the analyzed studies and the distribution of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase MTRR 66A > G (rs1801394) genotypes.

| Study                | Study design      | Genotype counts | Mean age, years | Mean disease duration, years | MTX dose (mg per week) (range or mean ± s.d.) | Date of end point (week) |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Shen S.-H *et al.*   | Prospective cohort| AA AG GG AA AG GG | 50 23 4 18 9 3 | 50.1 ± 13.8 3.8 ± 2.4 | 10–15                                         | 24                      |
| Ghodke-Puranik Y *et al.* | Retrospective cohort | 13 22 14 41 82 45 | 43.8 ± 10.4 5.6 ± 4.9 | 15.0 ± 3.9 | 48                  |

#### Table 8. Summary of the analyzed studies and the distribution of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase RFC-1 80G > A (rs1051266) genotypes.

| Study                | Study design      | Genotype counts | Mean age, years | Mean disease duration, years | MTX dose (mg per week) (range or mean ± s.d.) | Date of end point (week) |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Muralidharan N *et al.* | Prospective cohort | GG GA AA GG GA AA | 34 64 21 35 59 12 | 42.73 ± 0.56 3.76 ± 0.23 | 16.75 ± 4 | 16                  |
| Takatori R *et al.*  | Prospective cohort | 14 41 17 6 18 9 | 59.2 4.04 | 6 | 8                  |
| Ghodke-Puranik Y *et al.* | Retrospective cohort | 13 25 11 74 70 24 | 43.8 ± 10.4 5.6 ± 4.9 | 15.0 ± 3.9 | 48                  |
| Drozdzik M *et al.*  | Prospective cohort | 8 28 22 29 63 24 | 21–70 7.9 | 7.5–15 | 24                  |

![Figure 8. Forest plot showing the association between the RFC-1 80G > A (rs1051266) single-nucleotide polymorphism and the efficacy of methotrexate (G vs. A (Pre-allele model)). % weight: the percentage weight attributed to each study in the meta-analysis; OR: odds ratio. Point estimates of the ORs for each study (black squares) and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) (horizontal lines) are shown, with the size of the black square representing the relative weight of study. The diamond represents the overall pooled estimate.](image)
One meta-analysis found that the ATIC 347C > G polymorphism may be associated with non-responsiveness to MTX in Caucasian patients but not in Asian RA patients. In the present meta-analysis, when all of the samples were included, a significant association between the ATIC 347C > G (rs2372536) allele frequency (CC, CG and GG) and MTX response status was identified in dominant and codominant model but not in pre-allele (CC vs. CG + GG), recessive model (GG vs. CG + CC) and homozygotic (CC vs. GG) model. Further more, stratification by ethnicity identified the significant associations between the ATIC 347C > G (rs2372536) allele frequency (CC, CG and GG) and MTX response status in Europeans in pre-allele, dominant and codominant model (CG vs. CC + GG). The results were consistent with the results of a previous study despite differences in the ethnicity classification method.

Figure 9. Forest plot showing the association between the RFC-1 80G > A (rs1051266) single-nucleotide polymorphism and the efficacy of methotrexate (GG vs. GA + AA (dominant model)). % weight: the percentage weight attributed to each study in the meta-analysis; OR: odds ratio. Point estimates of the ORs for each study (black squares) and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) (horizontal lines) are shown, with the size of the black square representing the relative weight of study. The diamond represents the overall pooled estimate.

Figure 10. Forest plot showing the association between the RFC-1 80G > A (rs1051266) single-nucleotide polymorphism and the efficacy of methotrexate (AA vs. GA + GG (recessive Model)). % weight: the percentage weight attributed to each study in the meta-analysis; OR: odds ratio. Point estimates of the ORs for each study (black squares) and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) (horizontal lines) are shown, with the size of the black square representing the relative weight of study. The diamond represents the overall pooled estimate.
TYMS is a key enzyme in de novo thymidylate synthesis, and it is directly inhibited by MTX-PG. The TYMS gene has a tandem repeat polymorphism (two or three repeats of a 28 bp unit) in the enhancer region in the 5′-UTR. Lima A. et al.\textsuperscript{24} reported that the TYMS 28 bp VNTR (rs34743033) 3R3R polymorphism was associated with non-response to MTX. However, Wessels JA. et al.\textsuperscript{19} and Jekic B et al.\textsuperscript{25} did not find an association between this gene and the response to MTX. Three studies with a total of 603 European RA patients were included in the

| Study | Study design | Genotype counts | Mean age, years | Mean disease duration, years | MTX dose (mg per week) (range or mean ± s.d.) | Date of end point (week) |
|-------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Lima A et al.\textsuperscript{34} | Retrospective cohort | GG | Mean age: 52 ± 11.9 | Median disease duration: 8 | 15.0 (median) range 2.5–25.0 | MTX median disease duration: 28 months |
| Owen SA et al.\textsuperscript{46} | Retrospective cohort | AA | Mean age: 54.2 | Median disease duration: 15.0 (median) range 2.5–25.0 | >15 | 24 |

Table 9. Summary of the analyzed studies and the distribution of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase SLC19A1 A > G (rs7499) genotypes.
present meta-analysis\textsuperscript{19,24,25} and significant associations were not observed. Until now, Asian RA patients were not included in the research into this SNP.

MTR and MTRR participate in folate metabolism and are also involved in the metabolism of adenosine. MTRR is an auxiliary factor of MTR and catalyzes the regeneration of the methylcoamine, maintains sufficient activation of MTR, and is indirectly involved in the process of \textit{in vivo} methylation. It was reported that the MTRR 66A > G gene polymorphism might affect the activity of the enzyme and the pharmacological effects of MTX, and MTR AG and MTRR G allele seems association with the poor response of MTX in RA patients\textsuperscript{26}. Two studies were included in the present meta-analysis, including one for East Asian RA patients (n = 107) and another for South Asian RA patients (n = 217), and no significant association was observed between the MTRR 66A > G (rs1801394) genotype and MTX effectiveness.

Solute carriers (SLCs; especially SLC19A1/RFC-1) and ABCs (ABCC1-4, ABCB1 and ABCG2) are two groups of MTX transporters that influence cellular MTX uptake and efflux. The RFC-1 80G > A (rs1051266), SLC19A1 G > A (rs7499), SLC19A1 A > G (rs2838956), and ABCB1 3435C > T (rs1045642) polymorphisms were included in the present meta-analysis.

Table 11. Summary of the analyzed studies and the distribution of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase ABCB1 3435C > T (rs1045642) genotypes.

| Study         | Study design | Genotype counts | Mean age, years | Mean disease duration, years | MTX dose (mg per week) (range or mean ± s.d.) | Date of end point (week) |
|---------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Takatori R et al.\textsuperscript{35} | Prospective cohort | CC 34, CT 32, TT 6 | 59.2 | 4.04 | 15 | 8 |
| Lima A et al.\textsuperscript{34} | Retrospective cohort | CC 27, CT 30, TT 26 | 52 ± 11.9 | 15.0 (median) range 2.5–25.0 | MTX median disease duration: 28 months |

Figure 12. Funnel plot of studies that examined the association between the MTHFR 677C > T (rs1801133) polymorphism genotypes and MTX response.

Figure 13. Funnel plot of studies that examined the association between the MTHFR 1298A > C (rs1801131) polymorphism genotypes and MTX response.
For RFC-1 80G > A (rs1051266), RFC-1 is a constitutively expressed folate transport protein that has high affinity for MTX and is involved in transport of folate and MTX into the cell; the 80G > A variant maps within exon 2 of the RFC1 gene on chromosome 21 and encodes a substitution of histidine for the arginine at amino acid position 27. Ghodke-Puranik Y et al. reported that those with an RFC1 80A allele (AA-GA) had better response to MTX than those with the RFC1 80 GG genotype. Drozdzik M et al. found that the patients with RFC-1 AA genotype responded to the therapy more effectively than carriers of AG and GG genotypes. Five studies with a total of 403 responders and 551 nonresponders were included in the present meta-analysis, and significant associations was observed between the allele frequency (GG, GA and AA) and MTX response status in pre-allele, dominant, recessive and homozygotic model, but not in codominant model when all of the samples were included. Moreover, stratification by ethnicity identified a significant association between the RFC-1 80G > A polymorphism and MTX response status in Europeans in pre-allele, recessive and homozygotic model, and South Asian populations in pre-allele, dominant model and homozygotic model. This result was consistent with two previous meta-analyses, which found that the RFC-1 80G > A polymorphism is associated with responsiveness to MTX therapy, even though the inclusion and exclusion criteria are different. In the present study, we only focused on the association between gene polymorphisms and the response to MTX monotherapy in RA patients and did not investigate toxicity and gene-gene interactions. In addition, combined MTX and biologic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug (bDMARD) treatment studies and reviews were excluded from the meta-analysis of the RFC1 80G > A (rs1051266) polymorphism. Remarkably, the same SNP (rs1051266) was identified by a different name (SLC19A1 G > A) in the research from Lima A. et al., but was included in the present meta-analysis because it did not conforming to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

The present meta-analysis of SLC19A1 A > G (rs2838956) found significant associations between the SLC19A1 A > G (rs2838956) 3 allele frequency (AA, AG and GG) and MTX response status in pre-allele recessive (A vs. G) and homozygotic model (AA vs. GG). For the SLC19A1 G > A (rs7499) gene polymorphism, this meta-analysis showed a significant association between the frequency of 3 alleles (GG, GA and AA) and MTX response status in pre-allele, dominant, recessive and homozygotic model when all 480 patients were included in the present study. However, because of the small sample size, the association between SLC19A1 (rs2838956 and rs7499) and the response to MTX in RA patients require further verification.
Furthermore, the ABCB1 3435C > T (rs1045642) polymorphism, Takatori R et al.35 found that patients with ABCB1 3435CC and 3435CT showed higher therapeutic effects of MTX, which is inconsistent with the results of Lima A et al.34. When all of the samples were included in the present study, the association between the ABCB1 3435C > T (rs1045642) 3 allele frequency and MTX response status was not significant. This result is consistent with a previous meta-analysis that showed a negative association between the ABCB1 C3435T polymorphism and RA susceptibility or responsiveness to MTX3.

In addition to the above MTX transporter genes, an increased likelihood of non-response has been reported to be associated with SLC22A11 rs11231809 T carriers; ABCCI rs246240 G carriers; ABCCI rs3784864 G carriers; the CCG haplotype for ABCCI rs35592, rs2074087 and rs3784864; and the CCG haplotype for ABCCI rs35592, rs246240 and rs378486434.

Many RA progression genes have been included in research investigating the association between gene polymorphisms and MTX response. SNPs in AIF-136, ESR a (ESR1) and ESR b (ESR2)37, PTPN2238, HLA-DRB1 and HLA-DQB139, TGFBI40, TLR440, CXCL9 and CXCL1041 have been evaluated, although most of these studies showed a negative association between these polymorphisms and MTX effectiveness in RA patients with the exception of the AIF1 CC (rs2259571) genotype, which showed a poorer response to therapy with MTX38, and HLA-DRB1*03, which Ali AA et al.39 found to be significantly associated with nonresponders to MTX treatment, and suggested that Pakistani patients with this genotype are less likely to benefit from MTX.

The lack of a significant association in this meta-analysis may represent a true association, but the possibility of a false-negative finding requires consideration. Certain limitations of our meta-analysis warrant consideration. First, the possibility of publication bias is always a concern. Although our analysis did not observe clear evidence of such a bias, it should be recognized that publication bias is difficult to exclude with certainty, especially when the number of incorporated studies is small. Second, publication bias could have distorted our meta-analysis because of the small number of included studies. We included 10, 8, 5, 3, and 4 studies in the meta-analysis of the AIF1-1, ESR a (ESR1) and AIF1-5, PTPN228, HLA-DRB1 and HLA-DQB19, TGFBI40, TLR440, CXCL9 and CXCL1041.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

The following inclusion criteria have been used: (1) evaluation of the associations between the gene polymorphism (or nucleotide tandem repeat) and the efficacy of MTX treatment in adult patients with RA; (2) detailed genotype data could be acquired to calculate the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs); (3) per-reviewed publications in English or Chinese. Exclusion criteria include (1) duplication of previous publications; (2) comment, review, editorial and conference abstract; (3) inability to ascertain the number of null and wild genotypes or alleles; (4) studies not conforming to Hardy-Weinberg
equilibrium; (5) studies with no SNP site or no gene sequence; (6) non-English or Chinese publications. Each study was screened in duplicate by two independent reviewers (QQ and HJ) per the guidelines of the Human Genomic Epidemiology (HuGE) Review Handbook. Of note, for studies of MTX efficacy, all measures of disease activity were accepted, which mainly included the 44-joint count Disease Activity Score (DAS44) or the 28-joint count DAS (DAS28) or Physician's global assessment of disease (VAS score) and the ACR 20% or ACR 50% improvement response criteria (ACR20 or ACR50)1.

Data extraction. References were screened and data were extracted independently by 2 authors (QQ and HJ) using a predetermined data collection template. To resolve discrepancies on the inclusion of studies and interpretation of data, a third investigator (XC) was consulted, and consensus was reached by discussion. The following data were recorded: first author's last name, year of publication, location of study, inclusion and exclusion criteria, sample size, MTX dose, SNP analysis results, treatment duration, demographic details of patients, follow-up period, and outcomes.

Statistical analyses. Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was accessed for each study by Chi-square test in response groups, and P < 0.05 was considered a significant departure from HWE. Studies that did not use a categorical outcome for response or did not publish necessary genotype counts per response category were excluded, if these data could not be obtained directly from the authors. The gene SNPs detected in more than two studies were included in the meta-analysis. Genotype frequencies for the MTHFR (677C > T (rs1801133) and 1298A > C (rs1801131)), ATIC 347C > G (rs2372536), TYMS 28 bp VNTR (rs34743033), MTRR 66A > G (rs1801394), RFC-1 80G > A (rs1051266), SLC19A1 (G > A (rs7499) and A > G (rs2838956)), and ABCB1 3435C > T (rs1045642) polymorphisms were determined. In this process pre-allele, dominant, recessive, codominant, and homozygotic model were performed and allowed for the inclusion of a maximum number of studies12,43,44. For each study, the point estimate of risk, the OR and the corresponding 95% CIs of MTX responders versus nonresponders were calculated. Then, the overall pooled OR and corresponding 95% CIs were estimated using the Mantel–Haenszel method, and the fixed effect was the absence of moderate inconsistency (>25%) across studies. A fixed effect framework assumes that the effect of allele frequency is constant across studies and between-study variations are caused by chance or random variation. The random effects model was used when heterogeneity > 25% and the fixed effect model was used when heterogeneity < 25%, and it assumes different underlying effects, considers both within- and between-study variation and is advantageous because it accommodates diversity between studies and provides a more conservative estimate. The odds ratio (OR) was pooled using inverse variance methods to generate a summary OR and 95% confidence interval (CI). We assessed the heterogeneity between the included studies using the χ²-based Cochran's Q statistic. The percentage of across-study variability attributable to heterogeneity beyond chance was estimated using I² statistic. Differences in the pooled ORs were compared using a Z test. Potential publication bias was assessed with the Egger’s test and represented graphically with Begg's funnel plots of the natural log of the OR versus its standard error. A two-sided P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant for all analyses. All statistical meta-analyses were completed with STATA (version 13.0; Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA)45.
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