Factors affecting the success of resynchronization protocols with or without progesterone supplementation in dairy cows
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The objective of this study was to investigate factors that influence the success of resynchronization protocols for bovines with and without progesterone supplementation. Cow synchronized and not found pregnant were randomly assigned to two resynchronization protocols: ovsynch without progesterone (P4) supplementation (n = 66) or with exogenous P4 administered from Days 0 to 7 (n = 67). Progesterone levels were measured on Days 0 and 7 of these protocols as well as 4 and 5 days post-insemination. Progesterone supplementation raised the P4 levels on Day 7 (p < 0.05), but had no overall effect on resynchronization rates (RRs) or pregnancy per artificial insemination (P/AI). However, cows with Body Condition Score (BCS) > 3.5 had increased P/AI values while cows with BCS < 2.75 had decreased P/AI rates after P4 supplementation. Primiparous cows had higher P4 values on Day 7 than pluriparous animals (p = 0.04) and tended to have higher RRs (p = 0.06). Results of this study indicate that progesterone supplementation in resynchronization protocols has minimal effects on outcomes. Parity had an effect on the levels of circulating progesterone at initiation of the protocol, which in turn influenced the RR.

Keywords: ovsynch, progesterone, resynchronization

Introduction

High circulating progesterone (P4) concentrations before artificial insemination (AI) have been shown to enhance pregnancy rates [4,8] due to improved synchronization of ovulation [8,15] and a higher number of good quality embryos [20]. Moreover, post-insemination uterine function can be negatively affected by low P4 concentrations before AI [3]. In a study by Cerri et al. [3], low P4 concentrations during the development of ovulatory follicles prematurely increased estrogen receptor-α abundance and Prostaglandin F₂α (PGF₂α) release by the uterus. Forde et al. [13] observed altered expression of multiple endometrial genes with low P4 concentrations.

Resynchronizing non-pregnant cows following early pregnancy diagnosis is an efficient tool for optimizing herd management while reducing culling rates and time until pregnancy. Cows subjected to the ovsynch program for synchronization can benefit when exogenous progesterone is added to the protocol [18] since the risk of high progesterone metabolism or luteal insufficiency might suppress fertility in high yielding dairy cows [21]. A 7 to 10% rise in pregnancy per AI (P/AI) compared to control cows was recently observed in two studies in which supplementary P4 was blindly administered as part of a resynchronization ovsynch protocol [1,9]. However, the positive effects of additional P4 administered with a synchronization protocol are not consistent and can be affected by hormonal manipulations before initiating the actual protocol. In a previous study [11] evaluating P4 supplementation during a synchronization protocol, improved pregnancy rates were achieved. However, this effect could not be reproduced when a presynchronization protocol was implemented, probably due to differing proportions of cyclic cows [11].

It is still largely unknown if previously performed synchronization methods can influence resynchronization protocol outcomes. Depending on the synchronization protocol, different effects on the ovaries can be expected. Moreover, ovarian status of the animals influences the success of a
Fig. 1. Resynchronization protocols with or without P4 supplementation. GnRH: gonadotropin-releasing hormone, PGF2α: prostaglandin F2α, TAI: timed artificial insemination, PRID: progesterone-releasing intravaginal device, p.i.: post insemination, B: blood samples, US: ultrasonography.

synchronization protocol. It is accepted that ovsynch results in greater pregnancy rates if initiated between Days 5 to 12 of the estrous cycle [23]. In a study by Bisinotto et al. [1], cows that started ovsynch with high P4 values (diestrus) had higher P/AI rates than cows with low P4 levels or anovular animals. The main objective of the current study was to determine if P4 supplementation at the initiation of a resynchronization protocol would increase the proportion of resynchronized and pregnant cows after timed AI (TAI). Additionally, factors like hormonal manipulations preceding resynchronization and progesterone levels at different time points were examined to determine their effects on the efficacy of the resynchronization protocol as well as pregnancy rates.

Materials and Methods

Animals and experimental design

Details of the study herd and examination protocols have been previously reported [14] as two consecutive studies were performed. This investigation was approved (research permit no. 22-2684-04-15-102/08) by the independent ethics committee of the Thuringia Federal State Office for Consumer Protection (TLV), Bad Langensalza, (Germany). For the first experiment, an ovsynch protocol was initiated between Days 52 to 63 after calving. Each cow was given Gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH, 100 μg of gonadorelin diacetate tetrahydrate, Ovarelin; CEV A Tiergesundheit, Germany) followed 7 days later by Prostaglandin F2α (PGF2α, 25 mg of Dinoprost; 33.6 mg Dinoprost-Trometamol, Enzaprost T; CEV A Tiergesundheit). Thereafter, cows were blocked by parity and randomly allocated into two groups: one that underwent the traditional ovsynch protocol with a second dose of GnRH 48 h after PGF2α administration, and one that was subjected to a modified protocol with a second dose of GnRH 60 h after PGF2α treatment (OVS60 group). On Day 4 after TAI1, a 50% of the cows were randomly supplemented with PRID alpha 1.55 g (Progesterone 1.55 g; CEVA Tiergesundheit) for 14 days (until 18 days post-insemination). The rest of the cows were untreated.

Pregnancy was diagnosed on Day 33 after TAI1. After a negative pregnancy diagnosis, a total of 145 cows were resynchronized of which 12 were eliminated during the study because of culling (n = 3), loss of the PRID device (n = 5), or a lack of appropriate documentation (n = 4). Thus, 133 cows were eligible for further analysis (Fig. 1). The cows were randomly divided into two groups: one that received the traditional ovsynch protocol as described above (P4– group, n = 66) and one that received additional PRID alpha supplementation from Days 0 to 7 of the resynchronization protocol (P4+ group, n = 67). All cows were bred again by timed artificial insemination (TAI2) 14 to 20 h after the second GnRH administration.

On Days 0 (day of GnRH treatment) and 7 (day of PG treatment) of the resynchronization program and 4 and 5 days after TAI, a 4-mL blood sample from a random 50% of each group (41 from the P4– group and 31 from the P4+ group) was taken from the Vena caudalis mediana after disinfecting the area. The samples were stored on ice until being centrifuged (3,500 × g for 15 min at 4°C) within 60 min after collection. The plasma was recovered and stored at −20°C until hormone analyses. Plasma P4 levels were measured by a standard enzyme immunoassay according to Prakash et al. [19]. Briefly, P4 was directly measured in 2 mL of plasma (antibody: P-1922 monoclonal anti-P4; Sigma, USA; enzyme: 4-pregnen-3,20-dione-3-O-carboxymethyloxime horseradish peroxidase; Prof. H. H. D. Meyer, Physiology Weihenstephan, Technische Universität Muenchen, Germany). The assay samples were incubated overnight. A standard curve from 0.2 to 12.5 ng/mL was generated, and quality controls (1.0 ng/mL and 3.0 ng/mL) were within the linear range of measurement. Validation of the assay revealed a lower detection limit of 0.5 ng/mL and an upper limit of 4.0 ng/mL. All samples with initially higher concentrations were measured again after dilution. The intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were < 10%. In an initial study by our group [14], it was confirmed that blood sampling performed directly after intravaginal application of the PRID without a change of gloves leads to contamination of the samples with P4. Therefore, only samples from P4– cows
were used to measure P4 concentrations on Day 0 of the resynchronization protocol (first GnRH treatment).

**Ultrasonography and resynchronization rate**

Transrectal ultrasonography of the ovaries was performed with a 5 MHz linear-array transducer (SonoVET 2000; OsteoSys, Korea) on the day of the second GnRH administration and on the day after TAI2 (Fig. 1). Results of the ultrasound examinations were used to classify the cows according to synchronization status. Cows that had a follicle size between 15 and 25 mm on the day of the second GnRH dose that was not observed on the day after TAI were considered resynchronized. Pregnancy was diagnosed on Days 33 to 40 after TAI. A cow was defined as pregnant if an embryonic heartbeat was detected. Absence of a heartbeat with an otherwise normal pregnancy led to a second examination 10 days later.

**Documentation of measurements and exclusion**

Documentation and exclusion criteria used in this study have been previously reported [14]. Briefly, all animals with a record of severe or life-threatening illness were excluded from the study. During late puerperium, animals should not have either incomplete uterine involution or purulent/mucopurulent intrauterine fluid as diagnosed by transrectal palpation and vaginoscopy. Body condition score of the cows on the day of resynchronization initiation (BCS100) was graded from 1 to 5 in 0.25 increments as previously described by Edmonson et al. [10]. The 100-day milk production (MP100) of each cow was calculated using HERDE (dsp-Agrosoft, Germany) and was included in the statistical analysis as a continuous variable. The season was included in the analysis as a binary variable with the months of April to September defined as summer and those between October and March as winter. Additionally, the cows were divided into two parity groups: primi- and pluriparous animals.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were conducted using the Statistical Analysis System (ver. 9.3; SAS Institute, USA). When a univariate analysis was performed, differences in binary variables were evaluated with the use of a chi-square analysis (PROC FREQ). A set of variables was evaluated in the statistical model to determine their effect on pregnancy and RR: MP100, parity, season, previous or current synchronization schemes, or P4 supplementations (Table 1). Only parity had a strong tendency to affect the RR with cows in the first lactation (n = 42) being resynchronized more efficiently than animals in later (n = 91) lactations (90.5% vs. 76.9% respectively, \( p = 0.06 \)).

**Results**

**Resynchronization rate (RR)**

The overall RR was 81.2% (108/133). RRs were not influenced by season (summer vs. winter), MP100, BCS100, previous or current synchronization schemes, or P4 supplementations (Table 1). Only parity had a strong tendency to affect the RR with cows in the first lactation (n = 42) being resynchronized more efficiently than animals in later (n = 91) lactations (90.5% vs. 76.9% respectively, \( p = 0.06 \)).

**Table 1. Uncorrected resynchronization rates (RRs) and \( p \) values for the variables under consideration**

| Variable and class | Number | Uncorrected RR (%) | \( F \)-value | Pr > \( F \) |
|---------------------|--------|---------------------|---------------|-------------|
| Season              |        |                     |               |             |
| Summer              | 52     | 84.62               | 0.43          | 0.51        |
| Winter              | 81     | 79.01               |               |             |
| MP100               |        |                     |               |             |
| Parity              |        |                     |               |             |
| 1                   | 42     | 90.48               | 3.61          | 0.06        |
| > 1                 | 91     | 76.92               |               |             |
| Ovsynch             |        |                     |               |             |
| 48                  | 64     | 81.25               | 0.04          | 0.84        |
| 60                  | 69     | 81.16               |               |             |
| P4 p.i.             |        |                     |               |             |
| Yes                 | 76     | 82.89               | 0.66          | 0.42        |
| No                  | 57     | 78.95               |               |             |
| BCS100              |        |                     |               |             |
| Resynch             |        |                     |               |             |
| P4+                 | 67     | 79.10               | 0.74          | 0.39        |
| P4−                 | 66     | 83.33               |               |             |

MP100: 100-day milk production, BCS100: body condition score assessed on the day resynchronization began (90 to 100 days postpartum), Ovsynch; previous synchronization protocol, Resynch; resynchronization, P4 p.i.; previous supplementation with progesterone (P4) post-insemination, P4+; resynchronization with P4 supplementation, P4−; resynchronization without P4 supplementation.
Table 2. Uncorrected PRs and p values for the variables under consideration

| Variable and class | Number | Uncorrected PR (%) | F-value | Pr > F |
|--------------------|--------|---------------------|---------|--------|
| Season             |        |                     |         |        |
| Summer             | 52     | 44.23               | 0.21    | 0.64   |
| Winter             | 81     | 39.51               | 0.02    | 0.88   |
| MP100              |        |                     |         |        |
| Parity             |        |                     |         |        |
| 1                  | 42     | 50.00               | 0.35    | 0.55   |
| > 1                | 91     | 37.36               |         |        |
| Ovsynch            |        |                     |         |        |
| 48                 | 64     | 39.06               | 0.07    | 0.79   |
| 60                 | 69     | 43.48               | 0.05    | 0.81   |
| P4 p.i.            |        |                     |         |        |
| Yes                | 76     | 42.11               | 2.02    | 0.15   |
| No                 | 57     | 40.35               | 0.94    | 0.33   |
| BCS100             |        |                     |         |        |
| Resynch            |        |                     |         |        |
| P4+                | 67     | 37.31               |         |        |
| P4−                | 66     | 45.50               |         |        |

P/AI

The overall P/AI was 41.4% (55/133). This rate was not influenced by season, MP100, previous synchronization protocol, or P4 supplementation post insemination (p.i.). These variables were omitted from the model (Table 2). Although more cows in the first lactation were synchronized and achieved better P/AI rates, this variable was also insignificant in the final model. P/AI values were similar between cows that underwent the two resynchronization protocols (37.1% vs. 45.5% for P4+ vs. P4−, respectively; p = 0.33). BCS100 had no influence on P/AI (p = 0.15), but an interaction between BCS100 and P4 supplementation on the resynchronization protocol was apparent (p = 0.01). Specifically, animals with low body condition scores (2.25 to 2.75) had better P/AI rates when not supplemented with P4 compared to supplemented cows. The opposite was evident in cows with BCS equal to or above 3.5 (Fig. 2). No other two-way interactions with the resynchronization protocol were significant. The same results were obtained when animals that were not synchronized (n = 25) were excluded from the analysis. Only four out of 25 cows (16%) classified as not being synchronized became pregnant compared to 47.2% of the synchronized cows (p = 0.004).

P4 values

When the cows that received P4 supplementation during resynchronization were excluded from the analysis, P4 values (n = 41) on day of resynchronization initiation tended to be influenced by the previous synchronization protocol (OVS48 4.06 ± 0.72 ng/mL vs. OVS60 5.87 ± 0.82 ng/mL, p = 0.09). On the day of PG treatment, P4 values where affected only by parity (1st 6.02 ± 1.49 ng/mL vs. > 1st 4.67 ± 0.54 ng/mL, p = 0.04). No factor influenced progesterone values on Day 4 or 5 p.i. When P4+ animals were added to the analysis, a significant effect of P4 supplementation on P4 values measured on the day of the PG treatment (P4+ 7.57 ± 0.89 vs. P4− 4.93 ± 0.52, p = 0.01) was observed, but not on Days 4 or 5 p.i. In cows not supplemented with PRID and having P4 values above 1 ng/mL on Day 0, better RRs were observed compared to animals with lower P4 values (88.6% vs. 50% respectively, p = 0.02). The same was noticed for P/AI rates (54.3% vs. 33.3%) although the difference was not significant due to the very small number of animals with low P4 levels on Day 0 (n = 6). For animals that did not receive P4 supplementation, numerical differences for RRs and P/AI rates were found on Day 7 between animals with high (> 1 ng/mL) and low P4 concentrations (85.3% vs. 71.4% for RR and 55.9% vs. 28.6% for P/AI, respectively). Again, these differences were not significant due to very few animals having low P4 levels (n = 7).

Discussion

In the present study, P4 supplementation as part of a resynchronization protocol based on ovsynch did not affect P/AI or RRs. In several recent studies, a positive effect was documented when cows were supplemented with P4 during synch- or resynchronization protocols [4,8,9,18]. In most of these investigations, a modest rise in P/AI of 5 to 10% was achieved. However, the health status of the genital system was not extensively assessed as it was in our experiment. This could be a reason why control animals in the aforementioned studies generally achieved just above 30% (or even as low as 20–25%).
P/AI compared to our control group that had a P/AI rate of 45%. Studies in which the control group achieved satisfactory P/AI often failed to show any positive effect of P4 supplementation [5,11]. It is possible that underlying health problems can lead to luteal insufficiency and adding progesterone can partially compensate for these abnormalities. Moreover, the 305-day milk yield at the farm where the experiment took place was approximately 9,700 kg, which is less than those reported in most US studies. This fact could have resulted in milder progesterone metabolism in our study. It could also be the reason why no overall negative effects of milk yield and/or BCS on P/AI were observed since most animals have a positive energy balance after 100 days of lactation [7].

In addition, Dewey et al. [9] attributed improvement resulting from P4 supplementation to better synchrony of the estrous cycle. As concluded by Chebel et al. [4], adding P4 during a synchronization protocol seems beneficial because it reduces the incidence of early ovulation before PGF2α treatment. However, in our study the resynchronization rates were equal and efficiently high among P4-supplemented and non-supplemented cows. Dewey et al. [9] suggested that it is rather unlikely to use successfully the previous synchronization protocol to predict the ovarian status of the animals at any other time afterwards. Many factors, namely synchronization rate or early embryonic losses, can distort the timing of cyclicity of a dairy cow. Nevertheless, when P4 supplementation is administered after first insemination in a large proportion of the study animals, as in our case, one can expect tighter estrous synchronization of non-pregnant cows [6] and fewer embryonic losses [24]. According to Sartori et al. [22] who summarized the findings of many studies, the cycle of modern dairy cows is 23 to 24 days. Thus, most cows in our study were theoretically in an optimal period (Days 9–10) for synchrony. Actually, there was a limited number of animals not bearing a Corpus luteum (CL) on Day 0 (33 days post-TAI1) or with low P4 values on Day 7. The importance of initiating a synchronization protocol when P4 values are high was evident in our investigation as well as other studies [8,23]. Additionally, in agreement with Martins et al. [17] we found that animals with higher P4 levels on Day 7 had better P/AI and RRs, probably due to the fact that induced luteolysis is more efficient when a mature CL is present.

In the previous study by our working group at the same farm and with the same animals, we noticed an interaction between BCS and P4 supplementation p.i. on P/AI [14]. This finding was attributed to the fact that animals with low BCS cannot profit from P4 supplementation as they are not in an optimal physical state for fertilization or pregnancy maintenance. However, in the present study we noticed a similar pattern for cows that received P4 supplementation, but also found relatively steady and high P/AI values for the control group in all BCS categories. It is rather difficult to interpret the very good P/AI rates of thin cows in the P4+ group. Since animals probably have a positive energy balance by Day 100, acceptable fertility could be expected even in cows that have still not regained body reserves. On the other hand, the reason for low P/AI rates of animals with a lower BCS only in the supplemented group is unclear as it presupposes a negative action of progesterone. Folman et al. [12] have described a negative effect of progesterone on conception rates of cows with an already high P4 concentration. Although we would expect to see no or even a negative effect of low BCS on P4 levels [11,14], contamination of our samples taken on Day 0 did not allow us to perform such an analysis. Since this study was the continuation of a synchronization study, a small sample size (especially regarding two-way interactions) could not be avoided. This fact has led to results that deserve further research in order to draw more concrete conclusions.

Another factor that influenced outcomes in this study was the previous synchronization protocol since cows for which the time interval from PGF2α to the second GnRH treatment was previously extended from 48 to 60 h had greater P4 values by the initiation of resynchronization. Our working group has shown that such a modification promotes luteal blood flow 7 days post-estrus [2] and slightly elevates P4 levels on Day 4 [14] although P/AI is not directly affected. Thus, a carry-over effect of better functioning ovaries cannot be excluded. Moreover, parity influenced many parameters in our study. Cows in the first lactation had greater P4 values on Day 7, which probably resulted in increased RRs and P/AI values. There is also a direct effect of luteinizing on circulating steroids [22]. Primiparous cows have lower milk production as well as reduced dry matter intake and thus are expected to have lower steroid metabolism than pluriparous cows. In a number of studies, primiparous cows had greater P4 values [1,17] along with higher synchronization [11] and pregnancy rates [1,11,17] than pluriparous cows in accordance with our results.

In conclusion, our data failed to demonstrate any advantage of P4 supplementation as part of a resynchronization protocol in terms of RRs or P/AI. A very large proportion of the animals in the present study was cyclic, and had a corpus luteum and/or elevated P4 values by initiation of the protocol, probably due to hormonal manipulations preceding resynchronization. Based on our results, simple resynchronization protocols initiated 33 days after the first AI can work efficiently in healthy and metabolically unstressed animals. Thus, a more rational use of hormones is indicated.
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