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In high-energy leptonic collisions well above the electroweak scale, the collinear splitting mechanism of the electroweak gauge bosons becomes the dominant phenomena via the initial state radiation and the final state showering. We point out that at future high-energy lepton colliders, such as a multi-TeV muon collider, the electroweak parton distribution functions (EW PDFs) should be adopted as the proper description for partonic collisions of the initial states. The leptons and electroweak gauge bosons are the EW partons, that evolve according to the unbroken Standard Model (SM) gauge group and that effectively resum potentially large collinear logarithms. We present a formalism for the EW PDFs at the Leading-Log (LL) accuracy. We calculate semi-inclusive cross sections for some important SM processes at a future multi-TeV muon collider. We conclude that it is appropriate to adopt the EW PDF formalism for future high-energy lepton colliders.

I. Introduction

With the discovery of the Higgs boson at the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC), the particle spectrum of the Standard Model (SM) is complete. The next target at the energy frontier will be to study the Higgs properties and to search for the next scale beyond the SM [1]. The physics potential for TeV-scale $e^+e^-$ linear colliders, such as the International Linear Collider [2] and the CERN Compact Linear Collider (CLIC) [3], has been studied to great details. More recently, due to the breakthrough in the cooling technology for a muon beam [4], there has been renewed interest in constructing a $\mu^+\mu^-$ collider reaching a center-of-momentum energy (c.m. energy) $\sqrt{s} \sim \mathcal{O}(10 \text{ TeV})$. Advancement of the wake-field electron acceleration technology [5] has also been encouraging to have stimulated our ambition for reaching multi-TeV threshold in leptonic collisions.

Lepton colliders provide a clean experimental environment for precision measurements of physical observables and for discovery of new particles. After a mass threshold, the $e^+e^-$ annihilation may produce a new particle singly in the s-channel, or a particle/anti-particle in pair. As the beam energy increases, the initial state radiation (ISR) becomes substantial. It not only degrades the colliding energies of the leptons, but also generates new reactions of the radiation fields. The most familiar phenomenon is the collinear photon radiation off the high energy charged particles given by the Weizsäcker-Williams spectrum [6, 7]

$$P_{\gamma,e}(x) \approx \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} P_{\gamma,\ell}(x) \ln \frac{E^2}{m_T^2},$$

where the splitting functions are $P_{\gamma,\mu}(x) = (1 + (1 - x)^2)/x$ for $\ell \to \gamma$ and $P_{\ell,\ell}(x) = (1 + x^2)/(1 - x)$ for $\ell \to \ell$, with an energy $xE$ off the charged lepton beam of energy $E$. This is the leading order effective photon approximation (EPA).

In Fig. 1 we show some representative production cross sections versus the $\mu^+\mu^-$ c.m. energy $\sqrt{s}$ for

$$\mu^+\mu^- \to W^+W^-, ZZ, t\bar{t}, ZH \text{ and } tH. \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

The dashed (falling) curves are for the direct $\mu^+\mu^-$ annihilation, and the solid falling curves (slightly above the dashed) include the ISR effects. The solid rising curves are for $\gamma\gamma$-EPA by Eq. (1) and the dashed rising curves are from the leading-order $\gamma$-PDF at $Q = \sqrt{s}/2$. 

![FIG. 1. Production cross sections in $\mu^+\mu^-$ collisions versus the c.m. energy. The dashed falling curves are for the direct $\mu^+\mu^-$ annihilation, and the solid falling curves (slightly above the dashed) include the ISR effects. The solid rising curves are for $\gamma\gamma$-EPA by Eq. (1) and the dashed rising curves are from the leading-order $\gamma$-PDF at $Q = \sqrt{s}/2$.](image-url)

where $s = \sqrt{s}$ is the c.m. energy at the collision and thus increases the cross section. At $\sqrt{s} = 10 \text{ TeV} (30 \text{ TeV})$, the cross section for $\mu^+\mu^- \to t\bar{t}$ production can be enhanced by 40% (60%) due to the ISR effects. Owing to the collinear enhancement, the two-photon ($\gamma\gamma$) fusion processes grow double-logarithmically. We calculate the total cross sections with the EPA spectrum in Eq. (1) for

$$\gamma\gamma \to W^+W^-, \ t\bar{t} \text{ and } tH. \hspace{1cm} (3)$$
Those processes take over the annihilation channels at higher energies $\sqrt{s} \approx 2.5, 4.5, 11 \text{ TeV}$ for $W^+W^-, t\bar{t}$ and $t\bar{t}H$ production, respectively, as shown in Fig. 1 by the rising solid curves labeled by EPA. At $\sqrt{s} \approx 30 \text{ TeV}$, the production rate for $\gamma\gamma \to t\bar{t}$ is higher by two orders of magnitude than that for $\mu^+\mu^- \to t\bar{t}$ annihilation.

However, this description becomes inadequate at some high scales. First, at high energies $E \gg m_\ell$, the collinear logarithm $(\alpha/2\pi) \ln (E^2/m_\ell^2)$ may be sizeable and needs to be resummed for reliable predictions. This leads to the QED analogue of the Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi (DGLAP) equations [9–11], the concept of QED parton distribution functions (PDFs) [12–14]. To estimate the resummation effects, we plot the cross sections with the leading-order $\gamma$-PDF with a scale $Q = \sqrt{s}/2$, where $\sqrt{s}$ is the $\gamma\gamma$ c.m. energy. As shown in Fig. 1 by the dashed rising curves below those of EPA, we see that the rates are lowered as expected, and could be smaller by about a factor of two at 30 TeV.

More importantly, as pointed out in Refs. [15,17] and explored in details [18], at scales $Q^2 \gg M_Z^2$, the SM gauge symmetry SU(2)$_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ is effectively restored. Consequently, the four EW gauge bosons ($W^\pm, B$) in the SM must be taken into account all together coherently with $B$-$W^3$ mixing and interference. The fermion interactions are chiral and the couplings and states evolve according to the SM unbroken gauge symmetry. One needs to invoke the picture of electroweak parton distribution functions (EW PDFs) [19–24] dynamically generated by the electroweak and Yukawa interactions. The longitudinally polarized gauge bosons capture the remnants of the EW symmetry breaking. The effects are governed by power corrections of the order $M_Z^2/Q^2$ [22,23], a measure of the Goldstone-Boson Equivalence violation [15,24], analogous to higher-twist effects in QCD.

### II. Electroweak Parton Distribution Functions

Below the EW scale $Q < M_Z$, the effects of the SU(2)$_L$ gauge bosons are suppressed by $g^2/M_Z^2$. The gauge boson radiation off a charged lepton beam $(\ell^\pm = e^\pm, \mu^\pm)$ is essentially purely electromagnetic. At the EW scale and above, all electroweak states in the unbroken SM are dynamically activated. The massless states involved at the leading order are

$$\ell_R, \ell_L, \nu_L \text{ and } B, W^{\pm,3}. \quad (4)$$

We will not include the Higgs sector in the initial state partons since the Yukawa couplings to $e, \mu$ are not relevant for the current consideration. However, we must include the effects of longitudinally polarized gauge bosons characterized by power corrections of the order $M_Z^2/Q^2$. Denote an EW PDF as $f_{\gamma}(x, Q^2)$ with $i$ labeling a particle with an energy fraction $x$ at a factorization scale $Q$.

The EW PDFs evolve according to the full EW DGLAP equations [16,25]

$$\frac{d f_i}{d \ln Q^2} = \sum_f \frac{\alpha_f}{2\pi} \sum_j q_{i,j} \otimes f_j, \quad (5)$$

where $I$ specifies the gauge group, and the $P^I_{ij}$ are the splitting functions for $j \to i$. The complete list of the EW splitting functions for the SM chiral states are available in Refs. [15,16,20]. The initial condition for a lepton beam is $f_{\ell}(x, m_{\ell}^2) \approx \delta(1-x) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ and it evolves as $\ln(Q^2/m_{\ell}^2)$. At the electroweak scale, the matching conditions are $f_{\gamma}(x, M_Z^2) = 0, f_{\gamma, Z}(x, M_Z^2) = 0$, with a general relation

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} f_B \\ f_{W^3} \\ f_{BW^3} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} c_{\ell W}^2 \\ c_{\ell W}^2 - s_{\ell W} s_{W} \\ 2 c_{\ell W} s_{W} - 2 c_{W} s_{W} c_{W} \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} f_{\gamma} \\ f_{Z} \end{array} \right)^T, \quad (6)$$

where $s_{W} = \sin\theta_W$ is the weak mixing angle. The mixed PDF $f_{\gamma,Z}$ (or $f_{BW^3}$) represents a mix state and is important to account for the interference between the diagrams involving $\gamma/Z$ (or $B/W^3$) [15,16,19]. Chiral couplings and their RGE running are fully taken into account including the correlation between the polarized PDFs and the corresponding polarized scattering amplitudes. With one-loop virtual corrections, our results are accurate at the leading-log (LL) order. In Fig. 2(a), we present EW PDFs for the states in Eq. (4) for $\ell = u$ with a scale $Q = 3 \text{ TeV}$ and 5 TeV. For completeness, we have also included the quarks $q = \sum_i q_i^{+} (q_i^--q_i^+)$ and gluons from the higher-order splittings. We give the averaged momentum fractions $\langle x_{f_i} \rangle = \int x_{f_i}(x) dx$ carried by various par- ticle species in Table 1. The two scale choices lead to less than 20% difference for the EW PDFs. As expected, the fermionic states sharply peak at $x \approx 1$, while the bosonic states peak at $x \approx 0$, reflecting the infrared behavior. It is noted that there is an enhanced rate at small $x$ for the fermions, deviating from the leading order behavior $\sim 1/(1-x)$. This is from the soft $\gamma^*/Z^*/W^*$ splitting at higher orders. Owing to the large flux of photons at low scales, the neutral EW PDFs are largest. Unlike all the other EW PDFs that scale logarithmically with $Q$, the longitudinal gauge bosons ($W_L, Z_L$) do not scale with $Q$ at the leading order [15,16,20] — an explicit example for Bjorken-scaling restoration.

### III. Cross sections for Semi-inclusive Processes in $\mu^+\mu^-$ Collisions

We write the production cross section of an exclusive final state $F$ and the unspecified remnants $X$ in terms of the parton luminosity $dL_{ij}/d\tau$ and the corresponding

| $Q$  | $\mu$ | $\gamma, Z, \gamma Z$ | $W^\pm$ | $\nu$ | $\ell_{sea}$ | $q$ | $g$ |
|-------|-------|-----------------|---------|------|-------------|-----|-----|
| $M_Z$ | 97.9  | 2.06            | 0       | 0    | 0.028       | 0.035  | 0.0062 |
| 3 TeV | 91.5  | 3.61            | 1.10    | 3.59 | 0.069       | 0.13  | 0.019 |
| 5 TeV | 89.9  | 3.82            | 1.24    | 4.82 | 0.077       | 0.16  | 0.022 |

TABLE I. Momentum fractions (%) carried by various parton species. The sea leptons include $\ell_{sea} = \bar{\nu} + \sum_i (\ell_i + \bar{\ell}_i)$ and $\nu = \sum (\nu_i + \bar{\nu}_i)$. The quark components include all 6 flavors.
and processes. For example, for an exclusive final state of \( \mu^+\mu^- \), we properly evolve the EW PDFs according to the c.m. energy. The production threshold is \( Q = \hat{s}/2 \) in calculating the EW PDFs. Contributions from the quark and gluon initial states are sub-leading as seen in the partonic luminosities in Fig. 2(b), and we do not include them in the cross section calculations throughout this letter. Since the collinear remnants are not observationally resolved, one cannot separate the \( \mu^+\mu^-/\nu\bar{\nu}K \) annihilations from the VBF. For this reason, we call such processes, i.e., \( \mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow t\bar{t} \) “semi-inclusive”. This is analogous to the \( t\bar{t} \) production at hadron colliders from the partonic sub-processes \( q\bar{q}, gg \) and the fermionic contributions by the dotted curves, respectively, below the solid curves. It is important to note that, although there is no logarithmic evolution for the \( W_L \) PDF, the partonic sub-process cross sections at a c.m. energy \( \sqrt{s} \) from 1 TeV to 30 TeV. We choose the factorization scale \( Q = \sqrt{s}/2 \) in calculating the EW PDFs.

In presenting our results for production of SM particles at a high-energy lepton collider, for definitiveness, we consider a future \( \mu^+\mu^- \) collider with multi-TeV energies. It is informative to first examine the parton luminosities as shown in Fig. 2(b) for \( \sqrt{s} = 30 \) TeV versus \( \sqrt{\tau} \), with a variety of partonic initial states. The upper horizontal axis labels the accessible \( \sqrt{s} \). Although we properly evolve the EW PDFs according to the unbroken SM gauge groups, we convert the states back for the sake of common intuition, shown in the figure for \( \mu^+\mu^- \), \( \nu\bar{\nu}K \), \( \gamma\gamma/\gamma Z/Z\gamma, W_T W_T \) and \( W_L W_L \). We see that the fermionic luminosities peak near the machine c.m. energy \( \tau \approx 1 \), while the gauge boson luminosities, generically called vector boson fusion (VBF) dominate at lower partonic energy \( \sqrt{s} \). As noted earlier, the neutral gauge boson luminosities are the largest, followed by \( W_T \) and \( W_L \).

We emphasize the “inclusiveness” of the production processes. For example, for an exclusive final state of \( t\bar{t} \) production, one needs to sum over all the observationally indistinguishable partonic contributions in the initial state \( \mu^+\mu^-; \gamma\gamma, \gamma Z, ZZ, W^+W^- \rightarrow t\bar{t} \). Contributions from the quark and gluon initial states are sub-leading as seen in the partonic luminosities in Fig. 2(b), and we do not include them in the cross section calculations throughout this letter. Since the collinear remnants are not observationally resolved, one cannot separate the \( \mu^+\mu^-/\nu\bar{\nu}K \) annihilations from the VBF. For this reason, we call such processes, i.e., \( \mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow t\bar{t} \) “semi-inclusive”. This is analogous to the \( t\bar{t} \) production at hadron colliders from the partonic sub-processes \( q\bar{q}, gg \) and the fermionic contributions by the dotted curves, respectively, below the solid curves. It is important to note that, although there is no logarithmic evolution for the \( W_L \) PDF, the partonic sub-process cross sections at a c.m. energy \( \sqrt{s} \) from 1 TeV to 30 TeV. We choose the factorization scale \( Q = \sqrt{s}/2 \) in calculating the EW PDFs.

In Fig. 3(a), we show the semi-inclusive production cross sections at a \( \mu^+\mu^- \) collider versus the collider c.m. energy \( \sqrt{s} \) from 1 TeV to 30 TeV. We choose the factorization scale \( Q = \sqrt{s}/2 \) in calculating the EW PDFs.

The solid curves are the total cross sections for the semi-inclusive processes for

\[ \mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow W^+W^-, H, ZH, t\bar{t}, HH \text{ and } ttH, \]  

(7)
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FIG. 3. Production cross section for semi-inclusive processes at a $\mu^+\mu^-$ collider versus the c.m. energy. The solid curves are for (a) the total cross sections and the dashed (dotted) curves from VBF ($\mu^+\mu^-$ annihilation) with EW PDF, and (b) for $t\bar{t}$ production decomposed to the underlying contributions from $\mu^+\mu^-, \gamma/Z/\gamma Z, W_T W_L, W_L W_L$ and $W_T W_T$.

The solid curves are for (a) the total cross sections and the dashed (dotted) curves from VBF ($\mu^+\mu^-$ annihilation) with EW PDF, and (b) for $t\bar{t}$ production decomposed to the underlying contributions from $\mu^+\mu^-, \gamma/Z/\gamma Z, W_T W_L, W_L W_L$ and $W_T W_T$.

central, while those from VBF are spread out, reflecting the boost due to the momentum imbalance between the two incoming partons.

We summarize our results utilizing the EW PDFs in Table II for a few characteristic processes for a muon collider with a few representative energies 3, 6, 10, 14 and 30 TeV. For the sake of illustration, we once again separate the partonic sub-processes by the fermionic annihilation and by VBF.

IV. Discussions and Conclusion

- The naive EPA is inadequate at high scales. The QED evolution of $\ln(Q^2/m_\ell^2)$ in the $\gamma$-PDF should capture the dominant effect at an appropriate physical scale $Q^2$. Although the $Z$ contribution is typically small until reaching a very high scale, the mixed state $\gamma Z (BW^3)$ needs to be taken into account that often interferes destructively.

- The EW PDF approach allows for calculating individual contributions from the polarized initial state partons, with correlations to the corresponding sub-process matrix elements. This is an important feature when polarization is needed for exploring a certain type of underlying dynamics. This option would be unavailable with the fixed order (FO) diagrammatic calculations [27–29].

- Although no logarithmic growth for the longitudinally polarized gauge boson PDFs, the large Yukawa coupling to the top quark and the scalar self-interaction of the Goldstone bosons makes the sub-processes substantially enhanced, as seen for the VBF production of $t\bar{t}, ttH, ZH$ and $HH$.

- For the PDFs of fermions with a bare SU(2) charge, due to the incomplete cancellation of the infrared divergence, they are not exactly factorizable. This is known as the violation of the Bloch-Nordsieck theorem [15, 20]. This does not pose a problem to the beam (valence) lepton because it is a numerically small higher-order correction. This could lead to an unphysical solution to the dynamically generated neutrinos. We impose an infrared cutoff as a regulator $\tau_{\text{max}} = 1 - M_Z/Q$, which assures the resummation to a double-log accuracy [16].

- We have not taken into account the effects of the final-state radiations (FSR). This could become one of the dominant features at very high energies, properly described by the “fragmentation functions” [15, 30]. We leave this topic for future explorations.

- We did not quantify the potentially large corrections near the threshold $Q^2 \geq 4m_\ell^2$. On the one hand, our for-
malism aims to address the physics far above the threshold $Q^2 \gg M_Z^2$. On the other hand, the infrared behavior of the gauge boson radiation tends to populate the events in the low-$Q^2$ region. We leave this topic for future investigations.

- Although we focused on a $\mu^+\mu^-$ collider in our presentation, the EW PDF formalism is equally applicable for $e^+e^-$ colliders. The only difference is the QED radiation effects, further enhanced by a factor $\ln(m_H^2/m_e^2)$. It is also straightforward to apply our formalism to the high energy hadron colliders, although the photon PDF of the proton at a low scale is more subtle [31, 32].

In summary, we advocated that all particles accessible under the SM interactions should be viewed as EW partons in high energy leptonic collisions well above the EW scale. We presented a systematic approach to define the EW PDFs for leptons and gauge bosons accurate to the order of LL under the unbroken gauge symmetry. We calculated the production cross sections for some characteristic SM processes at a high-energy muon collider in the EW PDF formalism. Polarized partonic cross sections can be evaluated individually that are desirable for exploring new physics beyond the Standard Model at future high energy colliders.
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| $\sqrt{s}$ (TeV) | $\sigma$ (fb) | 3 VBF $\mu\mu$ | 6 VBF $\mu\mu$ | 10 VBF $\mu\mu$ | 14 VBF $\mu\mu$ | 30 VBF $\mu\mu$ |
|-----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| $W^+W^- tt$    | 1300 540      | 2500 170       | 3800 73        | 4900 41        | 7800 11        |
| $ZH$           | 12 1.8        | 26 0.48        | 41 0.18        | 51 0.097       | 75 0.023       |
| $t\bar{t}HH$   | 1.2 –         | 2.5 –          | 3.8 –          | 4.8 –          | 7.6 –          |
|                | 0.036 0.45    | 0.12 0.15      | 0.22 0.065     | 0.32 0.037     | 0.64 0.010     |

**TABLE II.** Production cross sections at a muon collider in units of fb by VBF utilizing the EW PDF and by direct $\mu^+\mu^-$ annihilation with ISR effects.
[27] A. Costantini, F. D. Lillo, F. Maltoni, L. Mantani, O. Mattelaer, R. Ruiz, and X. Zhao, Vector boson fusion at multi-TeV muon colliders (2020), 2005.10289.
[28] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H. S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli, and M. Zaro, JHEP 07, 079 (2014), 1405.0301.
[29] W. Kilian, T. Ohl, and J. Reuter, Eur. Phys. J. C71, 1742 (2011), 0708.4233.
[30] C. W. Bauer, D. Provasoli, and B. R. Webber, JHEP 11, 030 (2018), 1806.10157.
[31] A. Manohar, P. Nason, G. P. Salam, and G. Zanderighi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 242002 (2016), 1607.04266.
[32] B. Fornal, A. V. Manohar, and W. J. Waalewijn, JHEP 05, 106 (2018), 1803.06347.