Physical properties of polyacrylamide gels probed by AFM and rheology
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Abstract – Polymer gels have been shown to behave as viscoelastic materials but only a small amount of data is usually provided in the glass transition. In this paper, the dynamic moduli $G'$ and $G''$ of polyacrylamide hydrogels are investigated using both an AFM in contact force modulation mode and a classical rheometer. The validity is shown by the matching of the two techniques. Measurements are carried out on gels of increasing polymer concentration in a wide frequency range. A model based on fractional derivatives is successfully used, covering the whole frequency range. $G'_0$, the plateau modulus, as well as several other parameters are obtained at low frequencies. The model also predicts the slope $a$ of both moduli in the glass transition, and a transition frequency $f_T$ is introduced to separate the gel-like behavior with the glassy state. Its variation with polymer content $c$ gives a dependence $f_T \sim c^{1.6}$, in good agreement with previous theories. Therefore, the AFM data provides new information on the physics of polymer gels.
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Introduction. – Polymers exhibit interesting rheological behavior for they can successively behave as liquids, elastic materials showing a rubbery plateau, then undergo a glass transition before reaching the solid domain \cite{1}. These processes are temperature dependent. Because of this broad range of properties, polymers are widely used in industrial applications, as well as biological processes. However, it is often difficult to characterize their material properties, as the range of relevant frequencies covers several decades \cite{3,2}. Techniques such as classical rheometry, diffusing-wave spectroscopy (DWS) \cite{4}, dynamic light scattering \cite{5} or ultrasonic experiments have been used to describe the complex behavior of polymers each in its own range of frequencies \cite{6,7}. In particular, an important way to extend the linear viscoelastic behavior (LVE) is to use the time-temperature superposition principle, wherein results obtained at various temperatures are shifted onto a reference temperature master curve \cite{3}. These observations have motivated quite a lot of theoretical studies. Different models providing relaxation spectra have been proposed, ranging from multiple Maxwell models to continuous relaxation spectra \cite{2}, involving both liquid and glassy modes. The concept of soft glassy rheology \cite{8,9} appeared recently and provides another alternative suited for many systems. Indeed it is based on the idea that sub-elements in the microstructure are linked via weak interactions, in a disordered metastable state. Based on this concept, many complex fluids can be described thanks to this model, in particular packed colloidal suspensions, the cell cytoskeleton \cite{10} as well as foams or slurries.

Due to their cross-linked network, polymer gels share similar properties \cite{8} with polymers. They can be characterized using microrheology techniques \cite{11,12}, as applied...
Gels were synthesized by mixing acrylamide (30\% w/w) and a crosslinker, and compared to previous theories [23,24]. The higher frequencies [3] will be used to describe the plateau modulus \( G'_0 \) [19] and prove the mechanical properties locally [16], was developed and validated up to 10 Hz for polymers [15].

Here, we will extend it up to 500 Hz by checking the overlap of the AFM and rheology data. We will choose to characterize polyacrylamide hydrogels due to their widely used mechanical properties [20,21], in particular in biology. These gels exhibit viscoelastic properties [21], depending on concentration or crosslinking density.

A theoretical model based on a continuous relaxation spectrum modified using fractional derivatives for the higher frequencies [3] will be used to describe the whole rheology spectrum. In the classical rheology domain [0.001 Hz–2 Hz], information on the plateau modulus \( G'_0 \) [22] will show an increase with a power dependence with acrylamide concentration. Other model parameters will be also discussed. Further data in the high-frequency range [1 Hz–500 Hz] will allow to investigate the glass transition regime (slope \( a \) of dynamic moduli) using AFM–microrheology. In particular, a new transition time \( \lambda_T \) between the gel-like region and the glass regime will be introduced and compared to previous theories [23,24].

**Experiments and modelling.**

**AFM and rheology measurements.** Polyacrylamide gels were synthesized by mixing acrylamide (30\% w/w) at four different weight concentrations (5-7.5-10-15\%), and \( N,N \)-methylene-bisacrylamide 1\% w/w at a fixed concentration 0.03\% in deionized water. This means that hydrogels were slightly crosslinked. Polymerization was then initiated by incorporating \( N,N,N,N \)-tetramethyleneethylene-diamine (TEMED, Sigma) and ammonium persulfate 10\% solution (APS), as described earlier [20]. Gels of thickness 70\%nm were prepared on a pre-treated glass Petri dish for a better adhesion [20]. Gels were always kept in humid conditions, so that they were swollen and in equilibrium. The Petri dish containing the gel was set onto the AFM (JKP Instruments, Berlin) equipped with an inverted microscope (Zeiss, model D1, Berlin). The AFM chips (Bruker, MLCT, pyramid shape) had 4 sharp sides with an average tip half-angle \( \theta = 20^\circ \). The radius of curvature at the tip was around 20 nm. The stiffness constants used were \( \sim 0.01 \) N/m when mounted onto the AFM glass block and were calibrated using the thermal fluctuations method. Then an initial indentation \( \delta_0 \) of the sample was made under a controlled force \( F_0 \), given by Hertz model:

\[
F_0 = \frac{3E\tan \theta}{4(1-\nu^2)}\delta_0^2,
\]

where \( E \) is the Young modulus, \( \nu \) the Poisson ratio (usually assumed to be close to 0.5 for such gels [25]) and \( \theta \) as defined above. It has been shown [26] that the effect of the tip curvature does not affect measurements as long as the penetration depth \( \delta_0 \) is large, usually higher than 300 nm. Therefore, \( \delta_0 \) was chosen large enough (usually around 500 nm) allowing a sufficient contact area.

In order to carry out microrheology measurements, a small perturbation \( \delta(\omega) \) (frequency \( f \) from 1 Hz to 0.5 kHz, and \( \omega = 2\pi f \) is the angular frequency) was superposed to the initial indentation. The perturbation being small, eq. (1) can be linearized about the equilibrium. By the correspondence principle of LVE, in the \( \omega \)-domain, one operates with complex quantities. Let \( F^*, G^* \) be the complex indentation and force. Substracting the hydrodynamic drag \( i\omega b(0) [16] \), the complex shear modulus \( G^*(\omega) \) is given by

\[
G^*(\omega) = \frac{1}{3\delta_0} \tan \theta \left( \frac{F^*(\omega)}{\delta^*(\omega)} - i\omega b(0) \right),
\]

where \( b(h) \) is a function accounting for the geometry of the tip and depends on the height \( h \) from tip to sample, and was measured [16] by extrapolation of the function at \( h = 0 \). Checking of the data was also carried out using other MLCT cantilevers on the same chip, and the same results were obtained (data not shown). Frequencies were varied from 1 Hz to 500 Hz, and signals corresponding to \( \delta(\omega) \) and \( F(\omega) \) were recorded, showing that 500 Hz is the upper frequency limit, beyond which spurious effects occur as we get close to the resonant frequency of the cantilever.

As it is possible that inhomogeneous structures appear within such polymer networks (depending on concentration and crosslinker), AFM experiments were repeated several times. At least 16 experiments per gel were made in the frequency range. Further indentation tests were also carried out to obtain the Young modulus \( E \) from eq. (1). Histograms of \( E, G' \) and \( G'' \) (at 1 Hz) are shown (10\% concentration gel) in fig. 1. The data show that heterogeneities do not affect the rheological measurements so much, and confirms that \( E \sim 3G' \) (at low frequencies), as expected for incompressible elastic materials (\( \nu \sim 0.5 \)).

Rheometry measurements were carried out on a controlled stress rheometer (Malvern, Gemini 150) at low frequencies [0.001 Hz–2 Hz] in the linear regime (deformation of 1\%), and possible slip was avoided. Interestingly, an excellent agreement was found between these measurements and AFM microrheology experiments for all gels, as seen in fig. 2 where matching occurs around 2 Hz for the 10\% gel. Note that with an adequate AFM equipment operating at lower frequencies, all data should be obtained only with the AFM. Still it is interesting here to use rheometry and match both sets of data.

The variations of the dynamic moduli (fig. 2) showed a constant elastic plateau modulus \( G'_0 \) at low frequencies.
(\(G_{N}^{G} \approx 2300 \text{ Pa}\)). The gel underwent a glassy transition in the higher-frequency regime as the AFM measurements did show. The slopes of both moduli \(G'\) and \(G''\) vs. \(\omega\) (slightly smaller than 1.0), were similar above 100 Hz.

**Rheological modelling.** To predict the observed behavior, a rheological model was used. The complex modulus \(G^*(\omega)\) was related to a relaxation function \(H(\lambda)\) using the formalism introduced by Winter and collaborators [2]:

\[
G^*(\omega) = \int_{0}^{\infty} H(\lambda) \frac{1}{1+i\omega\lambda} d\lambda. \tag{3}
\]

\(H(\lambda)\) is the continuous relaxation spectrum, the expression of which is shown in this work to model the LVE response from flow to glassy state. In particular, the flow regime was described by the function \(H_f(\lambda)\), and associated complex modulus \(G_f^*(\omega)\) from eq. (3):

\[
H_f(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
    n_1 \left( \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{\text{max}}} \right)^{n_1}, & \text{if } \lambda \leq \lambda_{\text{max}}, \\
    0, & \text{if } \lambda > \lambda_{\text{max}}.
\end{cases} \tag{4}
\]

This power law behavior can describe the continuous relaxation time distribution required to model the plateau regime observed for \(G'\) in fig. 2 at low frequencies, while

\[
G''(\omega) = G_{1} \left( \frac{i\omega\lambda_{\text{max}}}{1+(i\omega\lambda_{\text{max}})^{n_1}} \right)^{b}, \tag{5}
\]

where \(a\) and \(b\) are the orders of fractional derivatives. Compatibility with thermodynamics [27] requires \(0 < a \leq b\). This type of model accounts for the slopes in the glassy transition, as observed in the current data.

The coupling of the two linear models is insured by the simple relationship \(G^*(\omega) = G_f^*(\omega) + G_{N}^{G}(\omega)\), and covers the whole frequency spectrum. The parameters of this global model are \(G_{N}^{G}\), \(\lambda_{\text{max}}, n_1, a, b, G_{1}\) and \(\lambda_{1}\). Figure 3 presents the role of each parameter, in a frequency range comparable with our experiments. \(G_{N}^{G}\) appears naturally to be the classical elastic plateau modulus (fig. 3). \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum relaxation time corresponding to the flow domain. In the case of gels, this specific time is out of reach since gels do not actually flow and exhibit a plateau even at very low frequencies [28]. \(-n_1\) is the slope of \(G''\) at low frequencies, in a log-log plot and is found to lie between \(-0.73\) and \(-0.06\). \(a\) represents the slopes of \(G'\) and \(G''\) in the glass transition regime (with \(a = b\) in fig. 3). \(G_{1}\) is the high-frequency modulus of \(G'\), and is far above our data, so complementary rheological experiments would be necessary to reveal such high values of the limiting modulus [3]. \(\lambda_{1}\) is a relaxation time related to the fine microstructure and is not shown in fig. 3. Actually \(1/\lambda_{1}\) is a typical crossover frequency between the glass and solid

\[
\text{Fig. 1: Histograms showing the } 10\% \text{ gel rheological properties: elastic modulus (}E\text{) and dynamic moduli } G' \text{ and } G'' \text{ at } 1 \text{ Hz. } E = 7111 \text{ Pa } \pm 34 \text{ Pa}, G'(1 \text{ Hz}) = 2015 \text{ Pa } \pm 25 \text{ Pa. Note that } E \sim 3G'. \]

\[
\text{Fig. 2: Superposition of rheometrical (open circles) and AFM measurements (stars, with standard deviations shown). The acrylamide content is } 10\% \text{ in this case. } T = 25^\circ \text{C.}
\]

\[
\text{Fig. 3: Significance of the model parameters: } G_{N}^{G} \text{ (plateau modulus), } n_1 \text{ (slope of } G'' \text{ at low frequencies), and } a = b \text{ (slopes of } G' \text{ and } G'' \text{ at high frequencies). } \omega \sim 1/\lambda_{\text{max}} \text{ corresponds to the intersection of } G' \text{ and } G'' \text{ occurring for very low frequencies (not shown). } G_{1}\text{ corresponds to a higher plateau modulus in } G' \text{ for } \omega \sim 1/\lambda_{1}, \text{ but is well above our data.}
\]
Table 1: Best-fitting values of parameters used in the model for the different gels of concentrations 5%, 7.5%, 10% and 15%.

| Gel | $G_N^0$ (Pa) | $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (s) | $n_f$ | $\lambda_1$ (s) | $G_1$ (Pa) | $a = b$ |
|-----|--------------|-----------------|------|----------------|------------|--------|
| 5%  | 336          | $1.5 \times 10^4$ | 0.73 | $1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.0 \times 10^4$ | 0.82   |
| 7.5%| 710          | $2.0 \times 10^5$ | 0.18 | $2.4 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.0 \times 10^4$ | 0.85   |
| 10% | 2307         | $9.0 \times 10^9$ | 0.08 | $2.4 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.0 \times 10^4$ | 0.97   |
| 15% | 8801         | $1.0 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.06 | $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | $5.9 \times 10^4$ | 0.98   |

Fig. 4: Rheology of the 5% acrylamide gel. Rheometrical (open circles) and AFM (stars, with standard deviations shown) experimental data. Solid lines are the best model fits.

Fig. 5: Rheology of the 7.5% acrylamide gel. Rheometrical (open circles) and AFM (stars, with standard deviations shown) experimental data. Solid lines are the best model fits.

Fig. 6: Rheology of the 10% acrylamide gel. Rheometrical (open circles) and AFM (stars, with standard deviations shown) experimental data. Solid lines are the best model fits.

Fig. 7: Rheology of the 15% acrylamide gel. Rheometrical (open circles) and AFM (stars, with standard deviations shown) experimental data. Solid lines are the best model fits.

Method. The initial guesses followed the discussion on the role of each single parameter (see fig. 3).

The best-fitting values of the parameters are reported in table 1 and the associated curves are presented in figs. 4–7. Very good agreement between the predictions and the model were obtained.

Discussion. Let us look at the behavior of the plateau modulus $G_N^0$, which increases with $c$, the acrylamide concentration. $G_N^0$ is shown in log-log scale in fig. 8. A power law dependence $G_N^0 \sim c^{3.0 \pm 0.3}$ is found from the
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Fig. 8: Evolution of gel modulus $G_N^0$ vs. concentration. The slope of the power law exponent corresponds to 3.0 ± 0.3.

Fig. 9: Evolution of transition frequency $f_T$ vs. concentration. The power law exponent is 1.6 ± 0.1.

data. This exponent can be compared to other values from the literature. Indeed, previous observations using combined light scattering and mechanical tests [22] report an exponent 2.55 using dynamic mechanical measurements (or 2.35 using dynamic light scattering) as compared to the theory of de Gennes giving 2.25 for good solvents [23]. The exponent for $G_N^0$ is close to the exponent 2.55, found for collagen gels [29,30] but larger than 1.4, obtained for entangled actin solutions [31].

The longest relaxation time $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ does not seem to play a significant role, as it is related to a possible crossover of the $G'$ and $G''$ moduli at low frequencies which does not occur for such gels (in our frequency range) since they do not flow at low frequencies. However, $-n_t$, the low-frequency slope of $G''$ vs. $\omega$, is a parameter of interest, and becomes closer to 0, as gel concentration increases. This emphasizes the fact that high-concentration gels exhibit moduli with almost flat $G'$ and $G''$ moduli (see gels in figs. 6, 7 at 10% and 15% acrylamide concentration). Note that values of $G'$ and $G''$ at low frequencies (0.001 Hz) using classical rheometry were difficult to obtain, due to the long experimental times required, therefore a larger uncertainty is unavoidable for $n_t$. Nevertheless, $n_t$ increases as $c$ decreases, meaning that a lower crosslinking could eventually lead to a crossing of $G'$ and $G''$ at lower frequencies.

At higher frequencies, $G'$ and $G''$ vs. $f$ exhibit slopes $a$ and $b$ between 0.82 and 0.98 (see table 1). In all cases, the optimum was found for $a = b$, and in the optimisation method. Both $G'$ and $G''$ exhibit a slope $a$, related to the fractional derivatives in the model. The dependence of $G'$, $G'' \sim \omega^{1/4}$ suggested in the literature for semiflexible polymers [32] is therefore close to our data.

$\lambda_1$ was found to be almost constant $\sim 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ s, within experimental error, revealing no clear difference in the entanglement relaxation processes of the polyacrylamide gels at very high frequencies. Therefore, all four networks show similar trends at high frequencies.

Finally, the onset of the glass transition can be linked to the crossing of the $G'$ and $G''$ moduli, at a typical frequency $f_T$. Above this crossing frequency, both moduli increase with a similar power dependence $G' \sim \omega^a$ and $G'' \sim \omega^b$, up to 500 Hz. This frequency is associated to a transition time $\lambda_T = 1/2\pi f_T$. As can be seen in figs. 4–7, when $c$ increases, $G'$ exhibits a longer plateau, and the crossing frequency $f_T$ increases between 40 Hz and 190 Hz. It is therefore a signature of the gel. This frequency $f_T$ can be found by inspection of $G'$. On the plateau, $G' \sim G_N^0$, then for higher frequencies, using eq. (5) and $a = b$, we find $G' \sim G_1 \cos(\pi a/2)(\omega \lambda_1)^a$ since $\omega \lambda_1 \ll 1$ in this domain. Therefore, the transition frequency $f_T$ reads

$$f_T = \frac{1}{2\pi \lambda_1} \left( \frac{G_N^0}{G_1 \cos(\pi a/2)} \right)^{1/a}. \quad (6)$$

The increase of $f_T$ with $c$ is confirmed by inspection of table 1. $G_N^0$ increases with $c$, since $G_N^0$ varies faster than $G_1$ with $c$, while $\cos(\pi a/2)$ decreases, thus the ratio $G_N^0/G_1 \cos(\pi a/2)$ increases and $1/a$ increases with $c$ as well. Since $\lambda_1$ is almost constant, we deduce that $f_T$ increases with polymer concentration. $f_T$ can be understood as an important parameter characterizing the transition from a polymeric gel to a system where single chains may fluctuate between crosslinks. In this respect, it has been shown that polymeric systems undergo transitions, in the context of the Rouse model [23] or considering hydrodynamic interactions [24]. These processes involve a correlation length $\xi$, i.e., a characteristic length scale which estimates the fluctuations and is usually measured by elastic and light scattering measurements. The correlation length $\xi$ usually varies like $\xi \sim c^{-0.75}$ [23], as verified experimentally for polyacrylamide gels [33]. The theoretical frequency $f_T$ possibly varies as $f_T \sim c^{2.75}$ [23] or $c^{2.25}$ [24] for entangled polymeric networks. In the context of gels, these features may show differences and have not yet been tested. Our measurements provide accurate data on the crossing of $G'$ and $G''$, thanks to AFM measurements. As the bisacrylamide concentration is small, one can expect the correlation length to be rather constant, on the order of 10 nm as shown previously [34], thus $\xi$ and $f_T$ will depend mainly on polymer concentration $c$. A careful analysis of the data in fig. 9 shows a power behavior $f_T \sim c^{1.6±0.1}$. This exponent is slightly below the exponent predicted previously [24].
Nevertheless, the change in $f_T$ was captured, as an important network parameter —sensitive to concentration $c$— accessible from such measurements. Thus, our AFM technique, coupled with classical rheology, brings together important information on the dynamics of such gels.

**Conclusions.** — In this paper, we showed the ability of the AFM-based microrheology technique to extend classical rheological properties of gels. Both methods were used and matched on the elastic plateau, with higher-frequency data obtained thanks to the AFM technique.

A model was proposed to predict the experimental data. It includes a multiple relaxation spectrum at low frequencies combined with a fractional model for higher frequencies. The model parameters are related to the gels physical properties. In particular, a new characteristic frequency $f_T$ has been introduced at the onset of the glass transition and was compared to previous theories. Further applications of the model may be considered with other physical (or chemical) gels, as well as the study of biological systems, such as actin, tubulin networks, and finally living cells [16]. Indeed, this model, coupled with the use of high-frequency AFM measurements, may allow to investigate more complex materials and provide simple parameters to distinguish them.
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