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Abstract
We present WARP-Text, an open-source web-based tool for annotating relationships between pairs of texts. WARP-Text supports multi-layer annotation and custom definitions of inter-textual and intra-textual relationships. Annotation can be performed at different granularity levels (such as sentences, phrases, or tokens). WARP-Text has an intuitive user-friendly interface both for project managers and annotators. WARP-Text fills a gap in the currently available NLP toolbox, as open-source alternatives for annotation of pairs of text are not readily available. WARP-Text has already been used in several annotation tasks and can be of interest to the researchers working in the areas of Paraphrasing, Entailment, Simplification, and Summarization, among others.

1 Introduction
Multiple research fields in NLP have pairs of texts as their object of study: Paraphrasing, Textual Entailment, Text Summarization, Text Simplification, Question Answering, and Machine Translation, among others. All these fields benefit from high quality corpora, annotated at different granularity levels. However, existing annotation tools have limited capabilities to process and annotate such corpora. The most popular state-of-the-art open source tools do not natively support detailed pairwise annotation and require significant adaptations and modifications of the code for such tasks.

We present the first version of WARP-Text, an open source web-based annotation tool, created and designed specifically for the annotation of relationships between pairs of texts at multiple layers and at different granularity levels. Our objective was to create a tool that is functional, flexible, intuitive, and easy to use. WARP-Text was built using PHP and MySQL standard implementation.

WARP-Text is highly configurable: the administrator interface manages the number, order, and content of the different annotation layers. The pre-built layers allow for custom definitions of labels and granularity levels. The system architecture is flexible and modular, which allows for the modification of the existing layers and the addition of new ones.

The annotator interface is intuitive and easy to use. It does not require previous knowledge or extensive annotator training. The interface has already been used in the task of annotating atomic paraphrases (Kovatchev et al., 2018) and is currently being used on two annotation tasks in Text Summarization. The learning process of the annotators was quick and the feedback was overwhelmingly positive.

The rest of this article is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the Related Work. Section 3 describes the architecture of the interface, the annotation scheme, the usage cases, and the two interfaces: administrator and annotator. Finally, Section 4 presents the conclusions and the future work.

2 Related Work
In the last several years, the NLP community has shown growing interest in tools that are web-based, open source, and multi-purpose: WebAnno (Yimam and Gurevych, 2013), Inforex (Marcinczuk et al., 2017), and Anafora (Chen and Styler, 2013). Other popular non web-based annotation systems include...
GATE (Cunningham et al., 2011) and AnCoraPipe (Bertrán et al., 2008). These systems are intended to be feature-rich and multi-purpose. However, in many tasks, it is often preferable to create a specialized annotation tool to address problems that are non-trivial to solve using the multi-purpose annotation tools. One such problem is working with multiple texts in parallel. While multi-purpose annotation tools can be adapted for such use, this often leads to a more complex annotation scheme, complicates the annotation process, requires additional annotator training and post-processing of the annotated corpora. Toledo et al. (2014) and more recently Vivi Nastase and Frank (2018), Vuk Batanovi and Nikoli (2018), and Arase and Tsujii (2018) emphasize the lack of a feature-rich open-source tool for annotation of pairs of texts. Some of these authors develop simple custom-made tools with limited re-usability, designed for for carrying out one specific annotation task. WARP-Text aims to address this gap in the NLP toolbox by providing a feature rich system which could be used in all these annotation scenarios.

To the best of our knowledge, the only existing multi-purpose tool that is designed to work with pairs of text and allows for detailed annotation is CoCo (España Bonet et al., 2009). It has already been used for annotations in paraphrasing (Vila et al., 2015) and plagiarism detection (Barrón-Cedeño et al., 2013). However, CoCo is not open source and is currently not being supported or updated.

3 WARP-Text

By addressing various limitations of existing tools, WARP-Text fills a gap in the state-of-the-art NLP toolbox. It offers project managers and annotators a rich set of functionalities and features: the ability to work with pairs of texts simultaneously; multi-layer annotation; annotation at different granularity levels; annotation of discontinuous scope and long-distance dependencies; and the custom definition of relationships. WARP-Text consists of two separate web interfaces: annotator and administrator. In the administrator interface the project manager configures the annotation scheme, defines the relationships and sets all parameters for the annotation process. The annotators work in the annotator interface.

WARP-Text is a tool for qualitative document annotation. It provides a wide range of configuration options and can be used for fine-grained annotation. It is best suited to medium sized corpora (containing thousands of small documents) and is not fully optimized for processing, analyzing, searching, and annotating large corpora (containing millions of documents). WARP-Text has full UTF-8 support and is language independent in the sense that it can handle documents in any UTF-8 supported natural language. So far it has been used to annotate texts in English, Bulgarian (Cyrillic), and Arabic.

WARP-Text is a multi-user system and provides two different forms of interaction between the different annotators. In the collaborative mode, multiple annotators work on the same text and each annotator can see and modify the annotations of the others. In the independent mode, the annotators perform the annotation independently from one another. The different annotations can then be compared in order to calculate inter-annotator agreement.

3.1 Annotation Scheme

The atomic units of the annotation scheme in WARP-Text are relationships. The properties of the relationships are label and scope. The scope of a relationship is a list of continuous or discontinuous elements in each of the two texts. The granularity level of the scope determines the element type. An element can be the whole text, a sentence, a phrase, a token, or can be defined manually. A layer in WARP-Text is a set of relationships, whose scopes belong to the same granularity level. The definition of relationships and their grouping into layers is fully configurable through the administrator interface. WARP-Text supports multi-layer annotation. That is, the same pair of texts can be annotated multiple times, at different granularity levels and using different sets of relationships.

See also the discussion about looking for tools for annotating pairs of texts in the Corpora Mailing List (May 2017): http://mailman.uib.no/public/corpora/2017-May/026526.html - http://mailman.uib.no/public/corpora/2017-May/026619.html

There is no one-to-one correspondence between granularity level and annotation layer. Each annotation layer is a sub-task in the main annotation task. Multiple annotation layers can work at the same granularity level. For example: at layer (1) the annotator annotates the semantic relations between the tokens in the two texts; at layer (2) the annotator annotates the scope of negation and the negation cues in the two texts. Both layer (1) and layer (2) work at the token granularity level.
3.2 Administrator Interface

The administrator interface has three main modules: a) the dataset management module, b) the user management module, and c) the layer management module. In the dataset management module the project manager can: a) import a corpus, in a delimited text format, for annotation; b) monitor the current annotation status and statistics; and c) export the annotated corpus as an SQL file or an XML file. In the user management module the project manager creates new users and modifies existing ones. In this module the project manager also distributes the tasks (pairs) among the annotators. In the layer management module the project manager configures each of the layers and determines the order of the layers in the annotation process. The project manager configures for each individual layer: 1) the granularity level; 2) the relationships that belong to the layer; 3) the sub-relationships or properties of the relationships; 4) optional parameters such as “sentence lock” and “display previous layers”.

3.3 Annotator Interface

The annotator interface has three main modules: a) the annotation statistics module, b) the review annotations module, and c) the annotation panel module. In the annotation statistics module the annotator monitors the progress of the annotation and sees statistics such as the number of annotated pairs, and the remaining number of pairs. In the review annotations module the annotator reviews the text pairs (s)he already annotated and introduces corrections where necessary. The annotation panel module is the core of the annotator interface. One of our main objectives in the creation of WARP-Text was to make it easier to use for the annotators and to optimize the annotation time. For that reason we have made the annotation panel module as automated as possible and have limited the intervention of annotators to a minimum. The annotation panel module is generated dynamically, based on the user and project configuration. It loads the first text pair, assigned to the current annotator and guides the annotator through the different layers in the order specified by the project manager. Once the text pair has been annotated at all configured layers, the module updates the database, loads the next pair and repeats the process.

We illustrate the annotation process with the interface configuration that was used in the annotation of the Extended Typology Paraphrase Corpus (ETPC) (Kovatchev et al., 2018). The annotation scheme of ETPC consists of two layers: one layer that is configured for annotation at the text granularity level; and one layer that is configured for annotation at the token granularity level.

![Figure 1: Annotating relationships at textual level.](image)

The textual layer (Figure 1) displays the two texts and allows the annotator to select the values for an arbitrary number of relationships between the texts. In the case of ETPC, the two textual relationships that we were interested in were: 1) “The semantic relationship between the two texts”: “Paraphrases” or “Non-paraphrases”; and 2) “The presence of negation in either of the two sentences”: “Yes” or “No”. In ETPC, both relationships had two possible options, however WARP-Text supports multiple options for each relationship. In this first layer, the scope of the relationship is the whole text.

The second layer (Figure 2) has five functional parts, labeled in the figure with numbers from 1 to 5. The annotator can see the two texts in (1), the annotation at the previous layers in (2), and at the annotation at the current layer in (4). (3) is the navigation panel between the different layers. Finally, (5)
is where the annotator can choose to add a new relationship. The list of possible relationships is defined by the project manager in the administrator interface. In the case of ETPC we organized the relationships in a two-level hierarchical system based on their linguistic meta-category. The token-layer annotation is more complex than the textual-layer annotation as it requires the annotation of scope in addition to the annotation a label. When the annotator chooses a relationship, the “Add Type” button goes to the scope selection page (Figure 3). The scope can be discontinuous and can include elements from one of the texts only or from both. In the case of ETPC, the elements that the annotator can select are tokens. In other configurations, they can be phrases or sentences.

Figure 3: Scope selection page.

The flexibility of WARP-Text makes it easy to adapt for multiple tasks. The textual layer can be used in tasks such as the annotation of textual paraphrases, textual entailment, or semantic similarity. The atomic level annotation layer has even more applications. As we showed in ETPC, it can be used to annotate fine-grained similarities and differences between pairs of texts. It can also be used for tasks such as manual correction of text alignment. Another possible use is, given a summary or a simplified text, to identify in the reference text the exact sentences or phrases which are summarized or simplified.

4 Conclusions and Future Work

In this paper we presented WARP-Text, a web-based tool for annotating relationships between pairs of texts. Our software fills an important gap as the high quality annotation of pairwise corpora at different

---

4 The token level annotation layer is an instance of the more general “atomic level annotation layer”. The organization and work flow described here are the same when the granularity level is “paragraph”, “sentence”, “phrase”, or custom defined.
granularity levels is needed and can benefit multiple fields in NLP. Previously available tools are not well suited for the task, require substantial modification, or are hard to configure. The main advantages of WARP-Text are that it is feature-rich, open source, highly configurable, and intuitive and easy to use.

As future work, we plan to add several functionalities to both interfaces. In the administrator interface, we plan to offer project managers tools for visualization and data analysis, and automatic calculation of inter-annotator agreement. In the annotator interface, we plan to fully explore the advantages of multi-layer architecture. By design, WARP-Text can support parent-child dependencies between layers. However, the pre-built modules available in this first release of the tool use only independent layers. That is, the annotation at one layer does not affect the configuration of the other layers. We also plan to explore the possibility of incorporating external automated pre-processing tools.
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