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ABSTRACT
The socioeconomic, cultural, therapeutic, and nutritional potential of Amazonian fruits has been limited due to the scarce information about its phytochemical profile. The aim of this study was to determine the total phenolic content profiles by Folin colorimetric miniaturized assay, the identification of phenolic compounds by UHPLC-HRMS, the antioxidant capacity by DPPH, ABTS, and NBT assays, as well as, the antiproliferative activity by sulforhodamine B assay of extracts obtained from ten native fruits to the Amazon region, some of these consumed especially by indigenous population. A strong positive correlation between the content of total phenolic compounds and antioxidant capacity was demonstrated. The antiproliferative activities against Caco-2 cell line didn’t necessarily be associated with a high antioxidant capacity and the total phenolic concentration, possibly, qualitative and quantitative differences in phenolic composition of these fruits influenced the antiproliferative activities. This research presented, for the first time, important characteristics of Amazon exotic fruits.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Amazon region is recognized for harboring the greatest biodiversity on the planet [1]. In this planet’s richest ecosystem, the fruit trees have gained attention due to the hundreds native edible fruit, the relevance of wild fruit due the highest nutritional content, the strong appeal through the exotic flavor, color, and texture, but, above all, the status of a functional food due to this bioactive composition and its possible therapeutic effect [2].

The strong bioactive activity in fruit is related with the substantial amounts on antioxidants compounds, especially the phenolic compounds that attribute them beneficial effects on human health through the reduction of the oxidative stress by the antiradical activities [3-5].

Epidemiological studies have repeatedly shown a strong relationship between the consumption of antioxidants compounds rich fruit and the protection against several chronic human diseases, as well as their beneficial bioactive activities related such as pro-apoptotic, antiaging, anticarcinogenic, overall inhibiting the cell proliferation processes [6-8].

The phenolic groups in polyphenols can accept an electron to form relatively stable phenoxy radicals, thereby disrupting chain oxidation reactions in cellular components [9]. The presence of reducing polyphenols and their metabolites in plasma may increase plasma antioxidant capacity by their effects upon concentrations of other reducing agents (sparing effects of polyphenols on other endogenous antioxidants), or by their effect on the absorption of pro-oxidative food components, such as iron [9,10].

An improved information of the chemical composition and biological properties of sub explored edible fruit could help to define and valorize those with a greater nutraceutical potential to suggest to consumers.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the phenolic compounds profiles, the antioxidant capacity, and the antiproliferative activity of extracts obtained from ten native fruits to the Amazon region, some of these consumed especially by the indigenous population.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS
2.1. Reagents and equipment
Ascorbic acid, gallic acid, Folin-Ciocalteu, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), 2,2′-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt (ABTS), Nitrotetrazolium Blue chloride (NBT), hypoxanthine (HX), K2S2O, xanthine oxidase (XOD) from bovine milk, were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Corp (Darmstadt, Germany). Fifty-three polyphenolic standards belonging to different families (phenolic acids, benzoic acids, cinnamic acids, phenolic aldehydes, phenolic terpenes, flavones, flavanols, proanthocyanidins, and stilbenes) were employed, all purchased from Sigma (Steinheim, Germany). LC-MS grade water, methanol, acetonitrile, formic acid (98-100%), and acetone were also purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, and hydrochloric acid (98%) was from Merck (Seelze, Germany). Stock standard solutions of all polyphenols (∼1000 mg/L) were prepared in LC-MS-grade methanol in amber-glass vials.

Deionized water (Milli-Q Millipore 18.2MΩ cm−1) was used in all experiments.

Spectrophotometric analyses were carried out in a Multiskan Ex Primary using an Ascent Software Version 2.6. Chromatographic analysis were carried out on an Accela UHPLC system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San José, CA, USA), coupled to a Q-Exactive Orbitrap HRMS system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) plus a heated electrospray ionization source (HESI-II) operated in negative ionization mode, according to the method proposed by Barbosa at al (2018). A C18 column (150 × 2.1 mm, 2.7 μm partially porous particle size) provided by Supelco (Bellefonte, PA, USA) was used for the compounds separation.

2.2. Sample Collection
The native fruits at the complete physiological maturity stage were collected in the following Brazilian states: Amazonas, Maranhão, and Roraima (Figure 1). The common mane, Latin name, family name and informations about the edible portions are presented in the Table 1. The traditionally fruit edible portions were lyophilized (Liotop, L101) and stored in the dark prior to extraction procedure.
Table 1. Agronomic information, classification and the portion analysed of the Amazonian fruits studied.

| Common name | Scientific name           | Family        | Portion analysed |
|-------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Abiu        | *Pouteria caimito*        | Sapotaceae    | pulp             |
| Açai        | *Euterpe oleracea*        | Areceae       | pulp             |
| Bacuri      | *Platonia insignis*       | Clusiaceae    | pulp             |
| Biribá      | *Rollinia orthopetala*    | Annonaceae    | pulp             |
| Buriti      | *Mauritia flexuosa*       | Areceae       | pulp             |
| Cupuaçu     | *Theobroma grandiflorum*  | Sterculiaceae | pulp             |
| Inajá       | *Maximiliana maripa*      | Areceae       | pulp             |
| Monguba     | *Pachira aquatica*        | Malvaceae     | seed             |
| Pajurá      | *Couepia bracteosa*       | Chrysobalanaceae | pulp         |
| Uxi         | *Saccoglotis uxi*         | Humiriaceae   | pulp             |

2.3. Antioxidant Potential

Shaking and ultrasound-assisted extraction procedures were previously tested in order to obtain higher inhibitions by DPPH assay, as well as the influence of time, temperature, amount of lyophilized samples and extraction solvent was evaluated. Thus, the following optimized extraction procedure was employed: 100 mg/mL of the lyophilized samples were shaked for 1 h in a sample mixer (HulaMixer, Invitrogen Dynal AS, Life Technologies) at ~4°C and protected from light. The supernatant was collected after centrifugation for 10 min at 5000 rpm (Hettich, Rotina 380 R).

All lyophilized samples were tested using DPPH, ABTS, NBT, and Folin miniaturized assays to calculate the 50% signal inhibition concentration (IC50 in mg/mL that means the concentrations of samples required to scavenge 50% of free radicals) and expressed in ascorbic acid equivalents.

All the assays were performed in triplicate in a microplate reader. DPPH, ABTS, NBT methods were performed according to Becker et al. [66], using the same solvents.
2.4. Cytotoxicity on Caco-2 cell line

Human colorectal adenocarcinoma Caco-2 cell line (TC7 clone) was kindly provided by Dr. Edith Brot-Laroche (Université Pierre et Marie Curie-Paris 6, UMR S 872, Les Cordeliers, France). Cells were maintained in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Cells (passages 20-50) were grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagles medium (DMEM) (Gibco Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum, 1% non-essential amino acids, 1% penicillin (1000 U/mL), 1% streptomycin (1000 μg/mL) and 1% amphotericin (250 μg/mL). Cell medium was replaced every three days and cells were passaged once a week with 0.25% trypsin-1 mM EDTA. Cell confluence (80%) was confirmed by microscopic observance. Assays were performed 24h post-seeding to avoid cell differentiation.

Caco-2 cells were seeded in 96-well plates at 4x10³ cells/well density and incubated overnight under above mentioned conditions. For treatment, lyophilized fruits were diluted in cell culture medium without fetal bovine serum to the required concentrations: 62.5, 125, 250, 500 and 1000 μg/mL. Cell culture medium was then replaced with fresh medium containing lyophilized fruits and cells were incubated during 72h. Mock-treated cells were used as negative control. Thereafter, cell proliferation was measured using the sulforhodamine B assay as described by Jiménez et al. [28]. Absorbance was measured with a scanning multiwell spectrophotometer (Biotek Synergy hT Sialfrtd, Vermont, USA) at 540/620 nm. Experiments were conducted in quadruplicate wells and repeated at least three times. The effect on cell proliferation was expressed as percentage of the control and calculated as % control and IC₅₀ value.

2.5. Phenolic Composition Profile

2.5.1. Folin-Ciocalteu assay

Total phenolic compounds content was determined using Folin-Ciocalteu colorimetric method with some modifications [67] in three different extracts: pH=7.4 PBS, pure ethanol, and 1:1 water/ethanol mixture, according to 2.3 item. The determination of phenol content was carried out mixing 125 μL 10 %/w/v Folin-Ciocatleu solution (FCS), 25 μL of the fruit extract, and 100 μL 7.8 %/w/v Na₂CO₃. The blank was determined in absence of the fruit extract and adding 25 μL of the solvent. The absorbance increasing, measured after 1 h at darkness, 400 rpm and 37°C was registered at λ = 750 nm. A calibration curve of gallic acid in a range from 1 to 15 μg/mL was constructed following the AOX reagents sequence addition, obtaining at 15 μg/mL an absorbance around 1.0 ± 0.1 unit at 750 nm. Absorbance measurements were performed in triplicate in a microplate reader, and the results were expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalents (GAE)/100 g of dry samples.

2.5.2. Polyphenols and phenolic acids chromatographic analysis

0.1 g of sample was extracted by sonication during 20 minutes at room temperature using 10 mL of acetone:water:hydrochloric acid (70:29.9:0.1 v/v/v) solution, followed by centrifugation (3500 rpm, 15 min). The supernatant was then collected, filtered (0.45 μm nylon filters, Whatman, Clifton, NJ, USA) and kept at -4°C until analysis.

In order to obtain the separation and identification of a greater variety of phenolic compounds, two methods of elution were employed, denominated Method 1 and 2, the mobile phase of Method 1 being the mixture of a 0.1% aqueous solution of CH₃O₂ (solvent A) and the mobile phase of Method 2 is a solution of C₂H₃N also containing 0.1% CH₃O₂ (solvent B). Elution of the compounds was carried out according to the following polarity gradient: 0-1 min, 10% isocratic conditions of A; 1 to 20 min, linear gradient from 10% to 95% A; 20 to 23 min, isocratic step to 95% A; 23 to 24 min back to the initial conditions at 10% A; and from 24 to 30 min, 10% isocratic conditions of A to rebalance the column. The same elution procedure was used for solvent B (Method 2). The mobile phase flow rate was 300 μL/min; injection volume (full loop mode) of 10 μL; nitrogen was used as a sheath gas, sweep gas, and auxiliary gas at flow rates of 60, 0, and 10 au (arbitrary units), respectively. HESI-II heater temperature at 350 °C and capillary voltage at -2.5 kV were applied. Instrument capillary temperature was set at 320 °C, and an SLens RF level of 50 V was used. The Q-Exactive Orbitrap HRMS system was tuned and calibrated using commercially available Thermo Fisher calibration solution every 3 days. The HRMS instrument was operated in full MS scan mode with a m/z range from 100 to 1500 at a mass resolution of 70 000 full width at half-maximum (FWHM) at m/z 200, with an automatic gain control (AGC) target (the number of ions to fill the C-Trap) of 1.0E6 with a maximum injection time (IT) of 200 ms. Full MS scan mode was followed by a data-dependent
scan operated product ion scan mode and applying for the fragmentation stepped normalized collision energies (NCE) of 17.5, 35, and 52.5 eV. Product ion spectra with an isolation window of 0.5 m/z and a fixed first mass of m/z 50 were registered. At this stage, a mass resolution of 17 500 FWHM at m/z 200, with an AGC target at 2.0e5, and a maximum IT of 200 ms were employed. Data-dependent scan was triggered with an intensity threshold of 1.0E5.

2.6. Statistical Analysis
The Origin Pro 8.6 software was used to perform the analysis of variance (ANOVA) in order to compare results, being accepted significance at $p < 0.05$. The data were previously self-scaled, before being submitted to Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA) because of the variety response, that is, they differ in the order of magnitude, thus assigning the same weight to all variables. The Euclidean distance was used to obtain the HCA dendrogram. The chemical analyzes were performed in triplicate, for the construction of the 9x30 data matrix (nine variables and ten samples, in triplicate).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
3.1. Antioxidant Potential
The different methods in the literature for the antioxidant capacity determination of biological systems involve different radicals/oxidant sources, consequently more than one chemical mechanism [11]. Additionally, the antioxidants chemical diversity allows the different behavior to eliminate the unpaired condition of the free radical or to chelate metals. In view of this, no single assay accurately reflects the mechanism of action of all radical sources or all antioxidants in a complex system [12], consequently, more than one antioxidant capacity method must be used for comparing the mode of action of crude or pure compounds [11,13].

In this work, DPPH, ABTS, and NBT methods were chosen based upon differing reaction mechanisms, with one utilizing the single electron transfer (SET), and the other the ability to hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) and/or SET mechanism utilizing the DPPH" and ABTS" synthetic radicals [12]. Ethanol and/or aqueous buffer were adopted in the samples antioxidants extractions after considering safety in handling, the human consumption in some food products such as beverages, wine, and liquors, as well as, the reference as a good solvent for antioxidant extraction [14].

Overall, the samples exhibited relevant antioxidant qualities and small amount of the extracts were enough to inhibit at 50% of the activity of its respectively free radicals (Table 2).

| Samples   | DPPH ETOH | ABTS ETOH | PBS ETOH | PBS:ETOH | NBT K-PBS | Cytotoxicity |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|--------------|
| Abiu      | 9.77±0.61a,b | 2.26±0.05a | 0.31±0.01a | 0.16±0.01a,b | 0.43±0.07a | > 1000       |
| Açai      | 9.77±0.06a,b | 0.81±0.03b | 0.89±0.01b | 0.06±0.01 | 0.50±0.01a | 272±32       |
| Bacuri    | 6.97±0.25a | 0.36±0.06c | 0.64±0.01 | 0.40±0.01c | 6.77±0.33b | 393±41d      |
| Biribá    | 5.36±0.07a | 0.58±0.01b,c | 1.71±0.12a | 0.51±0.02 | 7.62±0.20b | 233±20bc     |
| Buriti    | 79.74±6.90 | 13.37±0.22 | 1.49±0.02 | 0.90±0.01 | 7.34±0.19b | 349±34 ad    |
| Cupuaçu   | 27.59±0.10c | 9.15±0.17 | 0.82±0.01b | 0.77±0.03a | 5.31±0.29 | 1000         |
| Inajá     | 13.54±0.66a,b | 2.45±0.11a | 0.40±0.03a | 0.38±0.03c | 0.53±0.02a | 230±14c      |
| Monguba   | 20.70±0.63c | 4.96±0.10 | 0.35±0.01a | 0.11±0.01a | 0.35±0.01a | 213±49       |
| Pajurá    | 10.58±0.41a,b | 1.97±0.04 | 1.65±0.03c | 0.17±0.01b | 6.67±0.26b | < 125        |
| Uxi       | 106.14±12.44 | 3.65±0.01 | 1.15±0.12 | 0.82±0.03d | 16.07±1.23 | 353±31 ad    |
| Vit. C    | 62.26±2.13 | --        | --       | --       |           | 250±14       |

Means followed by the same letter in the columns do not differ significantly from each other by the Tukey test at the 5% probability level.

Table 2. The Antioxidant capacity by IC₅₀ (mg/mL) of the different Amazonian fruits extracts determined by the miniaturized spectrophotometric assays and the cytotoxicity on Caco-2 cell line by IC₅₀ (µg/mL). Vitamin C (Vit. C) has been added as a positive control. Cisplatin has been added as positive control in anti-proliferative assays.
A lower IC$_{50}$ value which corresponds to a higher antioxidant power was obtained in the biribá, and bacuri ethanolic extracts against the DPPH$^-$ (<6.97 mg/mL) and ABTS$^{+}$ (<0.58 mg/mL) radicals, followed by açaí, pajurá, abiu, and inajá. Therefore, it can be deduced that the hydrophobic antioxidant content is higher compared to hydrophilic antioxidants in such extracts. DPPH IC$_{50}$ values were higher when compared with the ABTS, even with the same solvent extraction, proving the need for higher amounts of samples to inhibit this radical. This occurs due to the DPPH higher stability and lower sensitivity to ABTS [10, 11], the presence of compounds which containing ABTS$^{+}$ radical scavenging capacity, not showing it against [15], difference in incubation time to reach a steady state, ratio of sample quantity to radical concentrations, among others [16], may have contributed to these results.

Abiu, açaí, inajá and monguba aqueous buffer extracts exhibited higher antioxidant capacity against O$_2^-$ radicals (IC$_{50}$ not exceeding 0.53 mg/mL, including açaí sample), and ABTS$^{+}$ radicals (IC$_{50}$<0.40 mg/mL), probably due to the higher hydrophilic antioxidant amount. In the biological point of view, NBT assay represents a more concise tool allowing investigators to assess antioxidant capacity against a specific, biologically relevant free radical. O$_2^-$ as an oxygen-derived species that are potentially cytotoxic and causes damage to DNA. Such chemical species have been usually related to a number of disorders such as Parkinson’s disease and cancer [17-20]. Thus, abiu, açaí, inajá, and monguba can be considered fruits with great pharmaceutical and industrial potential.

Abiu, monguba, and pajurá binary extracts showed the higher antioxidant capacity (IC$_{50}$<0.17 mg/mL) among all extracts and assays. In fact, the binary mixture solvent (1:1) showed to be the better antioxidants extractor in comparison to an unique solvent (buffer or pure ethanol), perhaps due to the polarity of the compounds [15,21].

The reference literature reports some relatively recent investigations on Amazonian fruits [22-24]. A comparison between the results showed here and these ones is complex because it involves fruits/vegetables obtained under different conditions (climate, soil, and moisture), and taxonomies variety (species, varieties, and cultivar). Moreover, laboratory procedures such as sample preparation, extraction, wavelength, standard antioxidants solutions and mode for calculation of the antioxidant capacity, have been quietly different during analysis.

Neves et al. [22] evaluated the antioxidant capacity by DPPH method of eight lyophilized species of Amazonian fruits, among them açaí, inajá, and uxi. The authors used methanol as extraction solvent and trolox as standard antioxidant. In despite the difference between the reaction time (25 min) and wavelength applied (517 nm), previous tests performed in this work showed no statistical difference (p < 0.05) between the results obtained with 20 min, this was confirmed by the end-point (20 and 30 min) most frequently used during DPPH reaction [25]. Also, previous tests performed here at 490 and 560 nm resulted in no statistical difference (p < 0.05) between the results, confirming the range of the absorption effective band of DPPH ethanolic solution, corroborating thus with Marinova et al. [25].

Canuto et al. [23] determined in fifteen wet samples of fruit pulps from Amazonia the physical and chemical characteristics, levels of bioactive compounds (ascorbic acid, total phenolics), and the antioxidant capacity by ABTS method after ultrasound system extraction. Different solvents were used during the procedure making difficult to measure the real antioxidant capacity, as well as, to make comparisons. The authors expressed the results in µmol/L trolox equivalents, and an increasing antioxidant capacity sequence could be established: açaí>buriti>abiu=bacuri=cupuaçu.

In general, the series of the in vitro tests of all Amazonian fruits exhibited significant antioxidant capacity by acting as donators of proton or electron in the DPPH, ABTS assays and possessed O$_2^-$ scavenging properties. This study yielded new data on the antioxidant activity of native fruits in the Amazon region.
3.2 Antiproliferative effect on Caco-2 cells

Given the current trend to obtain cytotoxic compounds derived from natural products with therapeutic purposes, along with the positive correlation between the consumption of fruits and vegetables and a decreased risk of colorectal cancer [26], the antiproliferative effect of Amazonian fruit fractions were evaluated on human colorectal adenocarcinoma Caco-2 cell line. Cells were incubated with an increasing range of concentrations (0-1000 µg/mL) of each fruit extract for 72h and the IC₅₀ value (Table 1) was obtained with the well-established sulforhodamine B assay.

Biribá, inajá, monguba, and pajurá extracts presented the lowest IC₅₀ values, showing thus a great ability to induce a decrease in cell proliferation of Caco-2 cells. On the other hand, abiu and cupuaçu extracts did not cause a significant reduction on cell viability, even at the highest concentration tested (IC₅₀>1000 µg/mL). Finally, bacuri, buriti and uxi displayed moderate antiproliferative activity when compared to the rest of the Amazonian fruits previously mentioned.

Caco-2 cells have been well characterized and used in numerous anticancer studies, allowing for direct comparison of efficacies between studies. In this line, positive antiproliferative tests on Caco-2 cell line by employing different plant extracts have been done [27]. Jiménez et al [28] achieved an IC₅₀ value of 250 µg/mL with roseehip extracts from Rosa canina, and then Gascón et al [29] found that Pinus pinea and Pinus pinaster bark extracts displayed IC₅₀ values of 40 and 30 µg/mL, respectively. In this work, the obtained results suggest that the anticancer effect of biribá, inajá and monguba could be comparable to that from roseehip extracts, whereas pajurá might be comparable with pine bark instead. Moreover, the antiproliferative effect of such fruits could not be limited to colorectal cancer and further evaluation on different cancer cell lines should be performed in the future. A recent study developed by Shalom and Cock [30], demonstrated the potential of the ethyl acetate, methanolic, and aqueous T. ferdinandiana fruit and leaf extracts to block proliferation of some cancer cells, including Caco-2 cell line. The Caco-2 cell IC₅₀ results in aqueous extracts were higher than 1000 µg/mL to fruit, and leaf (1520 and 1230 µg/mL, respectively), which shows that the majority of evaluated fruits show a higher anticancer effect.

Focusing on the antiproliferative effect of exotic fruits, Silva et al. [31] evaluated the anticancer activity of hydroalcoholic extracts of açaí toward a panel of cancer cell lines, including Caco-2. Authors noticed no significant changes in cell viability after 24 and 48h incubation with neither 10, 20 nor 40 µg/mL of the extracts. Since we have obtained an IC₅₀ value of 272±32 µg/mL after 72h incubation, it is not surprising that at such low concentrations and short incubation time they did not observe any decrease in cell viability. Regarding to the rest of the evaluated Amazonian fruit extracts, to the best of our knowledge this is the first time that they have been tested toward Caco-2 cells due to their anticancer potential.

This preliminary screening showed that the Amazonian fruit extracts are promising natural sources of bioactive compounds with antiproliferative effect against colorectal cancer Caco-2 cell line. Although the mechanism of action of the studied fruits remains unclear, some studies have reported that some antioxidant phytocompounds displayed cytotoxic effects toward a panel of cancer cell lines [32-35]. Therefore, a likely correlation between antioxidant capacity and antiproliferative activity must be considered. Moreover, since phenolic compounds are usually the main responsible of the antioxidant properties of fruits and vegetables [36], the observed antiproliferative effect, as well as the antioxidant capacity previously showed, could be related to the presence of these compounds as has been previously reported [28].

3.3 Phenolic Composition Profile

In order to identify and quantify the phenolic compounds responsible for the antioxidant capacity and the cytotoxic activity observed, the content of total phenolic compounds was determined (Table 3).
In all samples, the phenolic compounds extraction was dependent on the solvent used and its polarity. In the same way, the antioxidant capacities of the studied fruit extracts also showed a strong relationship to the employed solvent.

In fact, crude phenolic extracts contain complex mixtures of some classes of phenols, which are selectively soluble in the different solvents. In this sense, solvent polarity plays a key role in increasing phenol solubility [37]. Organic solvent-water mixtures have been reported as more efficient in extracting phenolic compounds than their respective pure organic solvents [38].

Based on the present experimental results, it was predicted that in all samples, the binary extraction allowed to obtain higher concentration of phenolic compounds, followed by the K-PBS buffer, and pure ethanol extractors. The probable explanation for this behavior is the better solvation of antioxidant compounds present in fruits, such as phenolic compounds, as a result of hydrogen bonds interactions between the polar sites of the antioxidant molecules and the solvent. Ethanol was less efficient in the extraction of phenolic compounds, probably because of the presence of the ethyl radical that is longer, resulting in a lower solvation of antioxidant molecules [38].

Bacuri extracts exhibited important phenolic content in different polarities, displaying diverse phenolic composition. Abiu, monguba, and pajurá fruits showed higher concentration in binary extraction, whereas abiu, bacuri, inajá, and monguba in K-PBS buffer extraction. On the other hand, all polarities extract of buriti and uxi fruits presented the lower phenolic content.

Following the example of Vasco et al. (2008) [39] who classified the phenolic content measured, other studies have established some range valuation categories [24, 40, 41]. In this view, the results obtained for the better extraction (EtOH:K-PBS) could be classified into three categories: low (<300 mg GAE/100g), moderate (300-600 mg GAE/100g), and high (>600 mg GAE/100g) phenolic content on dry matter.

The richest fruit in phenolic compounds were abiu, monguba, and pajurá indicating that these fruits are excellent phenolic sources which are well known for possessing anti-atherosclerotic, anti-inflammatory, antitumor, anti-thrombotic, anti-osteoporosis and antiviral activities [42]. Açaí, bacuri, biribá, cupuaçu, and inajá fruits can be classified as having moderate phenolic content, whereas buriti, and uxi fruits, low quantities.

Despite the different extraction procedure and solvents used, as well as the environmental conditions which reflect strongly on the fruit phenolic composition, recently studies yielded close findings for total phenol contents in Brazilian abiu fruit from Rondônia, BR (172.3 mg GAE/100g fresh weight) [43] and from Roraima, BR (900.2 mg GAE/100g dry weight) [44] in comparison to our results (220.2 mg GAE/100g fresh weight or 779 mg GAE/100g dry weight).

In another study, involving traditionally consumed palm fruits from Amapá, BR, the results after extraction steps involving acetone, methanol and water solvents, were higher to buriti (118±2 mg GAE/100g fresh weight) and lower to inajá (45±2 mg GAE/100g fresh weight) [45], considering our results in fresh weight 83.15 and 155.0 mg GAE/100g.

The knowledge of the content of phenolic compounds in fruits is important because it reflects the mechanism of adaptation and resistance of the plant to the environment and influences the flavor and the technological characteristics of the food, as well as the nutritive and functional potential of these fruits [46].

The chromatographic profile (Table 4) of the Amazonian fruits extracts were determined although the detection and identification of several known polyphenols.

| Samples       | Phenolic compound (mg GAE/100 g) | EtOH       | EtOH:K-PBS  | K-PBS       |
|---------------|----------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
|               |                                  | 134.4±9.1a | 779.0±56.7a | 333.5±17.3a |
| Abiu          | 95.7±7.6b                        | 353.2±24.6bc | 214.8±7.5b  |             |
| Açaí          | 331.5±4.5                        | 531.8±16.7d | 328.3±24.9a |             |
| Bacuri        | 62.1±2.5                         | 427.0±30.9bde | 139.3±13.7cde |             |
| Biribá        | 28.1±1.1b                        | 188.6±7.9f  | 170.4±7.3e  |             |
| Buriti        | 111.3±5.1b                        | 302.9±22.2c  | 241.9±3.5b  |             |
| Cupuaçu       | 121.7±4.3bc                       | 476.9±18.4fde | 351.7±7.1a  |             |
| Inajá         | 105.0±10.5b                       | 751.1±13.2a  | 324.1±13.2a |             |
| Monguba       | 69.9±1.7c                         | 800.6±24.00d | 140.0±0.2cde |             |
| Pajurá        | 44.1±2.9d                         | 157.8±6.3f  | 107.5±0.4e  |             |
| Uxi           |                                  |            |             |             |

Means followed by the same letter in the columns do not differ significantly from each other by the Tukey test at the 5% probability level.
Table 4. Chromatographic profile of the phenolic extracts, which were identified based on the retention times of the corresponding standards, using different methods.

| Phenolic compounds       | AB | AÇ | BA | BI | BU | CU | IN | PA | MO | UX |
|--------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| (-)-Epicatechin           | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid| X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| 3,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde| X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| 4-hydroxybenzoic acid    | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| 4-caffeoylquinic acid    | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| Arbutin                  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| Asiatic acid             |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Caffeic acid             | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| Carnosic acid            |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Chlorogenic acid         | X  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Cirsimaritin             | X  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| D(-)-quinic acid         | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| Ellagic acid             |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Ethyl gallate            | X  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Ferulic acid             | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| Gallic acid              | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| Genkwanin                | X  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Hesperidin               | X  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Homoplataginin           | X  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Homovanillic acid        | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| Kaempferol               | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| Morin hydrate            | X  | X  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Nepetin-7-glucoside      | X  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| P-coumaric acid          | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| Quercetin                | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| Quercitrin hydrate       | X  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Rosmanol                 | X  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Rosmarinic acid          |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Rutin hydrate            | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| Sinapic acid             | X  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Syringaldehyde           | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| Syringic acid            | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| Taxifolin                | X  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Trans-cinnamic acid      | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| Umbeliferon              | X  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Vanillic acid            | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| Vanillin                 | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| Veratric acid            | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |
| **TOTAL**                | 18 | 12 | 28 | 6  | 16 | 21 | 16 | 23 | 18 | 17 |
Table 5. Quantification of polyphenols and phenolic acids in Amazonian fruits extracts (mg/kg sample on a dry basis) by HPLC.

| Phenolic compound | Subclass | Chemical formula | Biribá (mg/kg) | Inajá (mg/kg) | Monguba (mg/kg) |
|-------------------|----------|------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|
| simple phenols – phenolic acids |          |                  |               |              |                |
| 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid | Hydroxybenzoic acids | C₇H₆O₄ | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 3,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde/4-hydroxybenzoic acid | Hydroxybenzaldehydes | C₇H₆O₃ | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.1 |
| Caffeic acid | Hydroxycinnamic acids | C₇H₆O₄ | 1.5 | 3.6 | 52.9 |
| Chlorogenic acid | Hydroxycinnamic acids | C₈H₇O₅ | 4.8 | 10.8 | 215.2 |
| Ethyl gallate | Hydroxybenzoic acids | C₆H₆O₃ | 46.2 | Nd | Nd |
| Ferulic acid | Hydroxycinnamic acids | C₇H₆O₄ | Nd | 2.1 | 11.5 |
| Gallic acid | Hydroxybenzoic acids | C₆H₆O₃ | Nd | Nd | 1.9 |
| Homogentisic acid | Hydroxyphenylacetic acids | C₇H₇O₃ | Nd | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Homovanillic acid | Hydroxyphenylacetic acids | C₇H₇O₃ | 414.3 | Nd | Nd |
| p-Coumaric acid | Hydroxycinnamic acids | C₆H₅O₄ | 0.3 | 1.4 | 11.0 |
| Quinic acid | Phenolic acids | C₆H₆O₃ | 1031.6 | 34.2 | 571.9 |
| Rosmarinic acid | Hydroxycinnamic acids | C₆H₈O₄ | Nd | 4.1 | Nd |
| Sinapic acid | Hydroxycinnamic acids | C₇H₆O₄ | Nd | 13.9 | 3.0 |
| polyphenols – flavonoids |          |                  |               |              |                |
| (-)-Epigallocatechin gallate | Flavanols | C₇H₉O₁₁ | Nd | 181.9 | Nd |
| (-)-Epicatechin | Flavanols | C₇H₈O₆ | 52.1 | 83.1 | 76.2 |
| Procyanidin-C1 | Flavanols | C₉H₆O₁₈ | 172.9 | 176.8 | 196.3 |
| Fisetin | Flavanols | C₇H₆O₆ | Nd | 55.9 | 9.6 |
| Kaempferol | Flavonoids | C₇H₇O₆ | Nd | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Morin hydrate | Flavonols | C₇H₆O₇ | Nd | 84.6 | 83.0 |
| Quercetin | Flavonols | C₇H₆O₆ | Nd | 4.4 | 10.0 |
| Rutin hydrate | Flavonoids | C₇H₈O₁₆ | 4.6 | 0.9 | 21.7 |
| Homoplantaginin | Flavonoid glycoside | C₇H₈O₁₁ | Nd | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Nepten-7-glucoside | Flavones | Nd | 45.1 | 65.1 |                |
| Procyanidin-A2 | proanthocyanidins (condensed tannins) | C₉H₆O₁₂ | Nd | 6.5 | 29.8 |
| polyphenols – stilbenes |          |                  |               |              |                |
| Polydatin | Stilbene | C₈H₁₂O₄ | Nd | 0.3 | 1.2 |
| Resveratrol | Stilbene | C₁₅H₁₄O₁ | Nd | 1.3 | Nd |
| other phenolic compounds |          |                  |               |              |                |
| Vanillin | Hydroxybenzaldehydes | C₇H₆O₃ | Nd | 24.2 | Nd |
| Arbutin | Chalcone | C₁₅H₁₄O₁ | Nd | 0.9 | Nd |

The results of the qualitative chromatographic profile evidenced a great diversity in phenolic substances, wherein 38 phenolic compounds could be separated and identified.

Comparing the evaluated methods, it can be affirmed that the chromatographic conditions established in Method 1 allowed the separation and identification of a larger number of phenolic compounds, being higher in the açaí and uxi samples (28, and 30 phenolic compounds, respectively). However, some compounds were only possible to identify using the Method 2 (morin hydrate and quercetin in abiu; vanillin in cupuacu; nepten-7-glucoside and rutin hydrate in inajá; homoplantaginin and p-coumaric acid in pajurá; umbelliferon in uxi sample).

Among the Amazonian fruits evaluated in this study, inajá, biribá, and monguba were selected to be used in phenolic compounds quantitative determination (Table 5) by HPLC based on that samples showed the highest antiproliferative activity against Caco-2 cell lines, as well as high/moderate total phenolic compounds contents.
Eleven phenolic compounds were quantified in biribá pulp, wherein quinic acids stand out due to its higher concentration (414, and 1032 mg/kg, respectively) as well as its significant biological activities. In the human body, quinic acid has been characterized as a pro-metabolite that leads to the induction of efficacious levels of nicotinamide and tryptophan in the gastrointestinal tract, being a source of those essential metabolic ingredients [47]. Besides that, quinic acid has potent broad-spectrum antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, hepatoprotective, and several other medicinal properties [48-51]. Regarding homovanillic acid, higher content was also obtained in bibibá fruit. Homovanillic acid is a major catecholamine metabolite occurring in human biofluids, and it was reported to exhibit antioxidant capacity [52]. In psychiatry and neuroscience, brain and cerebrospinal fluid levels are measured as a marker of metabolic stress caused by 2-deoxy-D-glucose [53].

Greater variability in phenolic compounds was observed in the inajá pulp, including 25 compounds which belong for the classes of flavonoids, stilbenes, and phenolic acids and have been identified in this plant for the first time. Among the phenolic compounds found in inajá pulp, an important (−)-epigallocatechin gallate (EGCg) concentration was verified. Such compound presents important medicinal properties, including beneficial effects in studies of Parkinson's and Alzheimer's diseases, stroke, obesity, diabetes, inhibition of cancer proliferation, cancer chemoprevention, and antioxidant activity [54,55]. EGCg is present in a limited number of plant-based foods and beverages. Arts et al. [56] determined the levels of some catechin, including EGCg in 24 types of fruits, 27 types of vegetables and legumes, some staple foods, and processed foods commonly consumed in the Netherlands, but none of the foods contained EGCg. In a recent research, 10 sugar apple (Annona squamosa L.) cultivar peels from Thailand were evaluated. The content of antioxidants was notably lower (ranging from 0.4 to 32 mg/kg) [57] than those obtained to the inajá pulp (181.9 mg/kg), and higher to the biribá pulp (<LOD) which belongs to the same botanic family. The inajá pulp showed a different stilbenes profile highlighting the resveratrol content, which inhibits the formation of free radicals and has antimutagenic activity [58].

After analysis of monguba seeds, 21 phenolic compounds were quantified, among other, higher levels in the phenolic acids, such as 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid gallic acid, homogentisic acid, p-coumaric acid, and especially chlorogenic acid. Chlorogenic acid is compound derived from caffeic acid and it exhibits several beneficial biological properties, including antibacterial, antiphlogistic, antiviral, and inhibitory effects on carcinogenesis in the large intestine and liver [59,60]. The chlorogenic acid values found in our study were higher than those contents reported for Gordon et al. [61] in açai pulp (0.2 to 16.4 μg/g dry basis).

3.4. Multivariate statistical analysis
The principal component analysis (PCA) was applied in order to characterize the ten Amazonian fruits according to their biological activity. Nine variables were measured in in such 10 matrices, in triplicate, being the phenolic content after the extraction in ethanol (Folin etOH), aqueous buffer (Folin K-PBS), and the both mixed 1:1 (Folin K-PBS:etOH), the antioxidant capacity by the different assays and its solvent extraction (DPPH, NBT, ABTS etOH, ABTS PBS, and ABTS PBS:etOH), as well as the results of cancer cell viability (ANTIPROLIFERATIVE).

The cumulative percentage of the total variance explained by the first two components was 70.35 %. A bidimensional plot was designed (Figure 1). The distribution of the varieties along PC1 and PC2 showed that samples could be divided into three main groups: group A, in which are included inajá, monguba, açai, bacuri, and abiu fruits positioned near to the central bidimensional plot; group B, which comprises biribá and pajurá; and group C, corresponding to buriti, uxi, and cupuáçu fruits. The results obtained by the PCA were confirmed through dendrogram obtained by the HCA (Figure 2), that presents three clusters formation.
Figure 2. PCA scatter diagram: distribution of 10 fruit, in triplicate, along principal components 1 (PC1) and 2 (PC2) using 9 variables.

Figure 3. Dendrogram representing the similarity relations using the Euclidean distance.
Group A included fruit that differed from the others due to their higher concentrations of phenolic compounds, better antioxidant capacity (lower IC_{50}), as well as an important capability to inhibit the growth of the cancer cells (lower IC_{50}). The group B (biritá, and pajurá) distinguished from A, and C due to their high antiproliferative effects, and the moderate antioxidant capacity and the phenolic compounds content. Indeed, a strong correlation between the total concentration of phenolic compounds and the antioxidant capacity was observed, such as r: -0.904 to Folin (K-PBS) and ABTS (PBS), r: -0.976 to Folin (K-PBS:etOH) and ABTS (PBS:etOH), r: -0.738 to Folin (K-PBS) and NBT (K-PBS). The correlation results were negative due to the different way to express the results, which was used IC_{50} to antioxidant capacity, while mg GAE/100g concentration to phenolic content. The analysis suggested that the phenolic content was accountable for the antioxidant capacity of the fruit extracts. The free hydroxyl groups in phenolics are reported to be the main responsible for antioxidant capacity [62,63], followed by other influence factors such as the character of substituents (carboxyl or acetyl group) and their position in relation to the hydroxyl groups.

On the other hand, the distinctive behavior shown by the group C, that is, the biological activity discrimination was caused especially by the lower phenolic contents, antioxidant capacities, and less important antiproliferative effects.

Cytotoxicity on Caco-2 cell line induced by fruit extracts showed no correlation with neither antioxidant capacity nor total phenolic content. Although a high concentration of an individual or a specific group of phenolic compounds could be correlated with a strong induction of high antiproliferative activity, this assertion should not be necessarily associated with a great antioxidant capacity and the total phenolic concentration, which, indeed, it depends on synergistic effects of the extracted phenolics, as well as, of the oxidant source used in the antioxidant capacity determination. Qualitative and quantitative differences in phenolic composition between the fruits possibly influenced the antiproliferative activities. Gascón et al. [29] evaluated isolated components of pine bark extracts, namely procyanidins B1 and B2, and evidenced a significant lower antiproliferative activity in comparison to whole extracts.

Considering the specific role of individual phenols in carcinogenesis that has been and still is very unclear due to controversial results, Salucci et al. [64] investigated the antioxidant capacity, the cytotoxicity, the cellular uptake, and the effect on Caco-2 cell cycle of some phenolic compounds. Epicatechin, epigallocatechin gallate, gallic acid and quercetin-3-glucoside showed a significant antioxidant effect, but only epigallocatechin gallate or gallic acid was able to interfere with the cell cycle in Caco-2 cell lines. The results suggested the antioxidant activity of flavonoids was not related to the inhibition of cellular growth. Once again it is evident that components with antioxidative characteristics cannot act alone on the effect of certain diseases, in general the synergistic combination of different compounds around this aim is necessary.

In this sense, the fruit extracts tested are crude and complex mixtures with antioxidant activity and that containing a large number of phenolic compounds, that can allow multiple mechanisms simultaneously within cells, such as the inhibition of Caco-2 cell line proliferation. The antioxidant capacity and antiproliferative activities derived from the synergy between phenolic compounds acting in concert in whole foods may be considered one of the main therapeutically exploitable polyphenolic actions [65]. Furthermore, a deeper research in order to determine the mechanism of action of fruit extracts as well as the kind of cell death triggered must be performed. The results derived from this research might influence the therapeutic strategies towards consumption of the evaluated functional foods.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this work were evaluated ten Amazonian fruits regarding their chemical composition, as well as their antioxidant and antiproliferative capacities. Inajá, monguba, açaí, bacuri and abiu fruits showed higher concentrations of phenolic compounds, antioxidant
capacity, as well as an important capability to inhibit the growth of Caco-2 cell line, while biribá, and pajurá fruits exhibited higher antiproliferative effects and moderate antioxidant capacity and phenolic compounds content.

A strong positive correlation between the content of total phenolic compounds and antioxidant capacity was evidenced. The antiproliferative activities against Caco-2 cell line didn’t necessarily be associated with a high antioxidant capacity and the total phenolic concentration.

The main quantified phenolic compounds in biribá, inajá, and monguba were part of the flavonoids, stilbenes, and phenolic acids group. These fruits showed one or more potential phenolic compounds with anticancer activity, such as EGCg, resveratrol, chlorogenic acid, morin hydrate, and gallic acid. This discovery of the anticancer potential of the Amazonian fruits studied may help in the development of drugs and may have therapeutic effects in the treatment of colon cancer. However, further studies are needed to confirm the Caco-2 cell death by an apoptotic pathway, to identify the specific compound(s) responsible for the cytotoxic activity, as well as the molecular target in the cell.

The profiles phytochemical, antioxidant capacity, and the antiproliferative activity for most Amazonian species are still scarce, and this limits the valuation of their nutritional content and industrial purposes. The results shown here represent a great contribution, since that evaluated fruits have shown promise to the rational pharmaceutical and industrial exploitation.
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