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Complex and exotic nuclear geometries are expected to appear naturally in dense nuclear matter found in the crust of neutron stars and supernovae environment collectively referred to as “nuclear pasta”. The pasta geometries depend on the average baryon density, proton fraction and temperature and are critically important in the determination of many transport properties of matter in supernovae and the crust of neutron stars. Using a set of self-consistent microscopic nuclear energy density functionals we present the first results of large scale quantum simulations of pasta phases at baryon densities \(0.03 \leq \rho \leq 0.10\ fm^{-3}\), proton fractions \(0.05 \leq Y_p \leq 0.40\), and zero temperature. The full quantum simulations, in particular, allow us to thoroughly investigate the role and impact of the nuclear symmetry energy on pasta configurations. We use the Sky3D code that solves the Skyrme Hartree-Fock equations on a three-dimensional Cartesian grid. For the nuclear interaction we use the state of the art UNEDF1 parametrization, which was introduced to study largely deformed nuclei, hence is suitable for studies of the nuclear pasta. Density dependence of the nuclear symmetry energy is simulated by tuning two purely isovector observables that are insensitive to the current available experimental data. We find that a minimum total number of nucleons \(A = 2000\) is necessary to prevent the results from containing spurious shell effects and to minimize finite size effects. We find that a variety of nuclear pasta geometries are present in the neutron star crust and the result strongly depends on the nuclear symmetry energy. The impact of the nuclear symmetry energy is less pronounced as the proton fractions increase. Quantum nuclear pasta calculations at \(T = 0\ MeV\) are shown to get easily trapped in meta-stable states, and possible remedies to avoid meta-stable solutions are discussed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The baryon matter in the Universe organizes itself based on the short range nuclear attraction and the long-range Coulomb repulsion. At densities much lower than the nuclear saturation density, \(\rho_0 \approx 0.16\ fm^{-3}\), the nuclear and atomic length scales are well separated, so nucleons bind into nuclei that, in turn, are segregated in a Coulomb lattice. All terrestrial materials as well as the matter in the outer layers of the neutron star crust are expected to harbor such sites. However, the density of matter inside the neutron star crust—as well as in the regions of supernovae—has a range that spans several orders of magnitude. In high-density regions, \(\rho \gtrsim \rho_0\), which are expected in the core of neutron stars, the short range nuclear interaction significantly dominates over the atomic length scales and the matter assumes a uniform phase. At sub-saturation baryon densities, \(0.1\rho_0 \lesssim \rho \lesssim 0.8\rho_0\), a region expected at the bottom layers of the inner crust, these two length scales become comparable, and the matter develops complex and exotic structures as a result of the so-called Coulomb frustration. In this case, there is a strong competition between the Coulomb and the strong interaction, which leads to the emergence of various complex structures with similar energies that are collectively referred to as “nuclear pasta”. A significant progress has been made in simulating this exotic region [1,2,3] since their initial prediction over several decades ago [4]. There are ongoing efforts aiming to determine the possible shapes of the nuclear pasta [3,4], as it is believed that the elastic and transport properties—such as electrical and thermal conductivities, shear and bulk viscosities—of nuclear pasta play crucial role for thermal evolution, magnetic field evolution, rotation, and oscillations of neutron stars [5,6,7]. Moreover, they can significantly impact neutrino opacities in the core-collapse supernovae, which in turn strongly influences the dynamics of the core collapse and the cooling of proto-neutron stars [8,9,10]. In this paper we will investigate large scale quantum simulations of nuclear pasta phases at baryon densities \(0.03 \leq \rho \leq 0.10\ fm^{-3}\), proton fractions \(0.05 \leq Y_p \leq 0.40\), and zero temperature by using a set of self-consistent microscopic nuclear energy density functionals, and discuss the role and impact of the nuclear symmetry energy.

The traditional approach to study nuclear pasta phases often involves symmetry arguments to determine what is the most favored structure at a given baryon density, \(\rho\), temperature, \(T\), and proton fraction, \(Y_p\). The system is then minimized by either adding an external guiding potential or with some other sorts of biased initialization that explicitly makes assumptions about the geometrical shapes of the nuclear pasta. Some exam-
model calculations include the use of the liquid-drop model [7, 18, 19], Thomas-Fermi and Wigner-Seitz cell approximations [9, 20, 23]. Perhaps some of the most exotic phases obtained using pre-assumed shapes are the gyroid and diamond morphologies [19, 24]. There are other approaches that do not explicitly assume any shape for the nuclear pasta phase. These include calculations based on the Thomas-Fermi approximation [21, 25-27], non-relativistic Skyrme Hartree-Fock methods [28-31], relativistic density-functional theory [29], relativistic mean field-approximation [32, 34], quantum molecular dynamics (QMD) [1, 2, 35, 39], and semi-classical molecular dynamics (MD) [3, 4, 10, 40, 41] simulations. Recently using MD simulations more exotic structures have also been identified, such as flat plates with a lattice of holes, termed as “nuclear waffles” [44], and flat plates that are connected by spiral ramps [45].

For small systems, these studies are often performed in the unit cell filled with neutrons, protons and electrons alongside the specific symmetry assumptions and boundary conditions. The pasta matter is then described as a lattice made of a large number of these unit cells. When performing numerical studies, it is important to consider the non-trivial role of the simulation volume. Since only periodic geometries that fit into the unit cell can be explored, the simulation space must be sufficiently large to contain at least one unit cell of the pasta structure. Even if this condition is fulfilled, finite size effects such as dependence on the geometry of the simulation space [17] and numerical shell effects [28] may appear. As a result, the simulation volume needs to be maximized to ensure that finite size effects are minimal. Advances in computational power in the last decade have allowed for sophisticated fully self-consistent calculations by using Skyrme Hartree-Fock (SHF) calculations at finite temperature [28, 31]. Whereas these computations showed a richer variety of pasta shapes than the original five geometries [8], consistent with results obtained by the MD simulations that use significantly larger simulation volumes, they are typically reproduced by assuming various symmetry arguments or an a priori assumed final pasta shapes. Moreover, due to the limitation of computational power, these calculations were often limited to a single periodic structure, therefore leading to the pasta shapes that may exhibit significant dependence on the finite size of the simulation box. Therefore, it is necessary to perform quantum simulations with a much larger number of nucleons to overcome finite size effects, as well as to minimize various numerical effects coming from different symmetry considerations. The progress in the high-performance computing in recent years allows us to take further steps in this direction, which is the main topic of this manuscript. Indeed, the recent decadal nuclear survey [48] puts forward that “high performance computing provides answers to questions that neither experiment nor analytic theory can address; hence, it becomes a third leg supporting the field of nuclear physics.”

Calculations with more than a few thousand nucleons so far were only manageable by considerably simplifying the nuclear interaction. That is what was done in previous works that study nuclear pasta using classical or quantum MD simulations. The advantage of MD simulations lies in their ability to simulate large systems where the length of the simulation space is several hundred fermis, and therefore significantly exceeds the size of a unit cell. This allows to study pasta structures that are less bound to the geometry and boundary conditions of the simulation volume. However, although MD approaches can include quantum effects qualitatively, the nuclear interaction is typically given by a schematic two-body potential. For self-consistent quantum calculations that account for Pauli blocking, spin-orbit forces and nucleon pairing, simulations using microscopic energy density functional theories (EDF) in the form of SHF are usually performed. As mentioned above the current drawback of these methods is their high computational cost. As a consequence, the size of the system is typically chosen to be much smaller than the one for MD methods. By using nuclear configurations that conserve reflection symmetry in the three Cartesian directions, Ref. [28] were able to simulate effectively larger quantum systems by performing the computation only in one octant of the unit cell. In this study we will not restrict our simulation with nuclear configurations that assume any kind of spatial symmetries. In particular, we will perform quantum simulations of nuclear pasta using Skyrme Hartree-Fock model [49] with no pre-assumed pasta geometries, and we will address the following main questions:

(a) what is the minimum size of the simulation volume necessary to minimize finite size effects?

(b) what is the role of nuclear symmetry energy in the nuclear pasta formation in neutron star crust and supernovae?

(c) how does the initial configuration of the system impact on the converged pasta structure?

We have organized the paper as follows. In Sec. II we review the essential details required to simulate nuclear pasta. First, we modify the density dependence of the symmetry energy in the Skyrme force interaction UNEDF1 by adapting two purely isovector parameters. We present predictions for the ground state properties of several closed-shell finite nuclei using the original and the modified parametrizations. Then, we discuss the impact of the grid spacing, accuracy considerations, and optimum simulation runtime. Special attention is paid to the impact of finite size effects, in which we identify the minimum simulation volume that contains at least one period of the pasta structure. In Sec. III we discuss the outcomes of our results. First, we provide predictions for nuclear pasta with low proton fractions corresponding to the crust of neutron stars. Second, we explore a range of proton fractions corresponding to the matter found in supernovae. Last, we discuss the non-trivial effect of initial
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1. Thomas-Fermi and Wigner-Seitz cell approximations [9, 20, 23].
2. The Thomas-Fermi approximation [21, 25-27].
3. Non-relativistic Skyrme Hartree-Fock methods [28-31].
4. Relativistic density-functional theory [29].
5. Relativistic mean field-approximation [32, 34].
6. Quantum molecular dynamics (QMD) [1, 2, 35, 39].
7. Semi-classical molecular dynamics (MD) [3, 4, 10, 40, 41].
8. Exotic structures identified in simulations [44, 45].
9. Finite size effects in periodic geometries [17].
10. Numerical shell effects [28].
11. Advances in computational power allowing for self-consistent calculations [28, 31].
12. Simulations showing a richer variety of pasta shapes [8].
13. Pre-assumed pasta shapes commonly used [44, 45].
14. Limitations of computational power in previous calculations [48].
15. High performance computing allowing for larger simulations [48].
16. Quantum calculations using microscopic energy density functionals (EDF) in SHF form [28, 31].
17. Use of symmetry preserving configurations in MD simulations [28].
18. Minimum size of the simulation volume necessary to minimize finite size effects.
19. Role of nuclear symmetry energy in nuclear pasta formation.
20. Impact of initial configuration on the converged pasta structure.
21. Review of essential details for simulating nuclear pasta.
configurations on the final pasta configuration. Finally, we
offer our conclusions in Sec. IV.

II. FORMALISM

A. Nuclear Interaction and Symmetry Energy

To simulate the nuclear pasta structures we use the
publicly available Skyrme TDHF code Sky3D that solves
the static Skyrme Hartree-Fock equations in a three-
dimensional Cartesian mesh with a damped gradient
iteration method on an equi-distant grid and without sym-
metry restrictions [49]. For the nuclear pasta simulations
we use periodic boundary conditions that also includes a
homogeneous negative electron background to ensure the
charge neutrality of the system. This so-called jellium
approximation is suitable for the nuclear pasta studies
as they are expected to be present in charge neutral en-
vironments, such as in the inner crust of neutron stars.
A screened Coulomb interaction is not considered, as its
influence should be very small for the box lengths consid-
ered in our study [50]. For a full description of the code
and the Skyrme Hartree-Fock method we refer the reader
to Ref. [49].

For the nuclear interaction we select a state-of-the-art
Energy Density Functional (EDF) of Skyrme type. The
total energy is given by

\[ E_{\text{tot}} = E_{\text{kin}} + E_{\text{Sk}} + E_{\text{C}}, \]

where \( E_{\text{kin}} \) is the kinetic energy, \( E_{\text{Sk}} \) is the Skyrme
contribution, and \( E_{\text{C}} \) is the Coulomb contribution. The
Skyrme energy function contains five contributions

\[ E_{\text{Sk}} = E_0 + E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + E_{ls}, \]

which are in detail

\[ E_0 = \int \mathrm{d}^3r \left( \frac{b_0}{2} \rho^2 - \frac{b_0'}{2} \sum_q \rho_q^2 \right), \]

\[ E_1 = \int \mathrm{d}^3r \left( b_1 \rho \tau - b_1' \sum_q \rho_q \tau_q \right), \]

\[ E_2 = \int \mathrm{d}^3r \left( -\frac{b_2}{2} \rho \Delta \rho + \frac{b_2'}{2} \sum_q \rho_q \Delta \rho_q \right), \]

\[ E_3 = \int \mathrm{d}^3r \left( \frac{b_3}{3} \rho^{\alpha+2} - \frac{b_3'}{3} \rho^{\alpha} \sum_q \rho_q^2 \right), \]

\[ E_{ls} = \int \mathrm{d}^3r \left( -b_{ls} \rho \nabla \cdot \vec{J} - b_{ls}' \sum_q \rho_q \nabla \cdot \vec{J}_q \right) \]

for time-independent calculations, where \( E_0 \) is known as
the zero-range term, \( E_1 \) as the effective mass term, \( E_2 \)
as the finite-range term, \( E_3 \) as the density dependent
term, and \( E_{ls} \) as the spin-orbit term. Here \( \rho \) is the total
particle density, \( \tau \) is the total kinetic density and \( \vec{J} \) is the
total spin-orbit density, and if a subscript \( q \) is present it
labels the densities of either neutrons or protons. The
Coulomb energy \( E_{\text{C}} \) consists of the standard expression
for a charge distribution in its own field plus the exchange
term in the Slater approximation:

\[ E_{\text{C}} = \frac{e^2}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^3r \frac{\rho_{p}(\vec{r}) \rho_{p}(\vec{r}')} \rho_{p}(\vec{r})}{\vec{r} - \vec{r}'} - \frac{3\alpha e^2}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}^3r \rho_{p}^3(\vec{r}) , \]

where \( e \) is the elementary charge. The parameters \( b_i \) and \( b_i' \), \( i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \) are fitted to experimental data.
We chose the UNEDF1 parametrization, whose parameters
were fitted to a selected set of nuclear masses, charge
radii, odd-even mass differences, and the experimental
excitation energies of fission isomers in the actinides [51].
Given that UNEDF1 was introduced to better study largely
deformed nuclei, we find this Skyrme force very suitable
for our studies of nuclear pasta that can take shapes of
strongly elongated nuclei, in particular.

Although the current extensive experimental database
is sufficient to constrain most of the parameters of the
nuclear interaction, many nuclear forces widely disagree
in their description of the isovector channel of the nu-
clear force due to poorly constrained isovector param-
eters. In the realm of nuclear matter this means that the
density dependence of the nuclear symmetry energy
remains poorly determined. Since nuclear pasta is ex-
pected to form in a neutron-rich environment, the role
of the nuclear symmetry energy on the pasta formation
and the pasta phase transition needs to be thoroughly
analyzed. It has been shown by Oyamatsu and Iida that
pasta formation may not be universal in the neutron star
crust and that its existence is intimately related to the
density dependence of the symmetry energy [52], where
the pasta regime was predicted to appear when the den-
sity slope of the symmetry energy is \( L \lesssim 100 \text{ MeV} \) (see
Ref. [53] for definitions of symmetry energy parameters).
Recently there have been several studies in the context
of the Thomas-Fermi approximation that analyzed the
impact of density slope of the nuclear symmetry energy
\( L \) on the pasta phase structure [27] [54] [55]. In particular,
it was found that whereas models with small value of \( L \)
hibit a variety of pasta structures, most of these structures
are faded away when one considers models with the
large value of \( L \) corresponding to the stiff nuclear sym-
metry energy.

Intensive efforts have been devoted to constrain the
density dependence of the nuclear symmetry energy in
recent years from using various approaches (please see
Refs. [56–58] and references therein). These efforts have
recently led to a close convergence of the value of symme-
try energy at saturation being around \( J \approx 30 \text{ MeV} \) and
its density slope of \( L \approx 60 \text{ MeV} \). Nevertheless, the associ-
ated error-bars from different approaches vary broadly
and the possibility that \( J \) and \( L \) parameters can be sig-
nificantly different from these currently inferred values
cannot be ruled out [58]. For this reason, we have mod-
ified two purely isovector parameters of the UNEDF1 by
following the tuning scheme as described in Ref. [59]. In
The large magnitude of the density slope which leads to greater neutron radii and thicker neutron matter at saturation density, for the larger L energy, respectively, 

\[ \langle L \rangle = 80 \text{ MeV} \]

in terms of the Skyrme parameters \( t_0, t_3, x_0 \) and \( x_3 \). The tuning method allows one to generate a family of model interactions that are almost indistinguishable in their predictions for a large set of the nuclear ground state observables that are mostly isoscalar in nature, yet predict different isovector observables. As a contrast to the original UNEDF1 model that has a relatively soft symmetry energy with \( L = 40 \) MeV, we generated a model that predicts a rather stiff symmetry energy of \( L = 80 \) MeV.

In Table I we present the nuclear matter bulk parameters for these two interactions. And in Table II we show the success of such tuning by presenting predictions for binding energies and charge radii of several closed shell nuclei. We also present the corresponding neutron skin thicknesses \( r_{\text{skin}} \) of these nuclei. It is worth mentioning that the measurement of \( r_{\text{skin}} \) in \( {^{48}}\text{Ca} \) and \( {^{208}}\text{Pb} \) are of enormous significance due to their very strong correlation to the slope of the symmetry energy around saturation density \( \rho_s \). The neutron skin thickness of \( {^{208}}\text{Pb} \) has been preliminarily measured by the PREX Collaboration at Jefferson Laboratory [65], and will be measured with higher accuracy by the PREX-II experiment [66] in 2017. An already approved CREX experiment on the other hand aims to measure the neutron skin thickness of \( {^{48}}\text{Ca} \). The calculations of Table II is performed using the Sky3D code with isolated boundary conditions, for the Coulomb force. The charge radius is calculated using the point-proton mean-square radius \( \langle r^2 \rangle_{pp} \) from Sky3D and the approximate analytic formula [68]

\[
\langle r^2 \rangle_{\text{ch}} = \langle r^2 \rangle_{pp} + \frac{N}{Z} \langle R_n^2 \rangle + \frac{3}{4M^2} \langle r^2 \rangle_{so},
\]

where \( \langle R_n^2 \rangle = 0.7658 \text{ fm}^2 \) and \( \langle r^2 \rangle_{so} = -0.1161 \text{ fm}^2 \) are the mean-square charge radii of the proton and the neutron, respectively. \( \frac{3}{4M^2} = 0.03312 \text{ fm}^2 \) is the so-called Darwin-Foldy term, and \( \langle r^2 \rangle_{so} \) is the relativistic spin-orbit correction. Notice, that the slope of the symmetry energy \( L \) is closely related to the pressure of pure neutron matter at saturation density, i.e., \( L \approx 3P(\rho_0)/\rho_0 \). Therefore, the larger \( L \) results in the higher neutron pressure which leads to greater neutron radii and thicker neutron skins as neutrons are pushed out against surface tension.

In Fig. I we display the resulting density dependence of the nuclear symmetry energy for these two interactions. The large magnitude of the density slope \( L \) ensures that at sub-saturation densities pertaining to the crust of neutron stars the nuclear symmetry energy acquires smaller values. Thus for large \( L \) it becomes energetically favorable for the system to become more neutron-rich at these densities. For the same reason, the proton fraction \( Y_p \) in the system increases, when \( L \) is small (soft symmetry energy).

### B. Grid Spacing and Accuracy Considerations

As noted above, in Sky3D the wave functions and fields are defined on a three-dimensional regular Cartesian grid [49]. In particular, in calculating the values of Table II we used a cubic box with size \( a = 24 \text{ fm} \) and grid spacing of \( \Delta x = 1.00 \text{ fm} \) in each direction. As shown in Ref. [81], changing the box size to larger values does not significantly change the total energies of the ground state. In fact, doubling the box size can add an additional energy of only less than 0.012%. On the other hand, the choice of the physical spacing between the grid points can be more important, especially when the grid spacing is larger than \( \Delta x = 1.00 \text{ fm} \). The calculations presented in Table II uses a very fine grid of \( \Delta x = 0.25 \text{ fm} \). However this comes at a significant cost on computational time. For accurate results in finite nuclei calculations a typical value was suggested to be taken as \( \Delta x \approx 0.75 \text{ fm} \). Indeed, when we used \( \Delta x = 1.00 \text{ fm} \), the error is less than 0.013%, whereas the calculation speeds up by about 100 times. Ideally, the computation time is expected to scale as \( n^3 \), where \( n \) is the total number of the grid points in one direction, \( n = a/\Delta x \). In Ref. [69], it was shown that the maximum grid spacing for nuclear pasta calculations may be taken as large as \( 1.30 \text{ fm} \). Notice that the simulation runtime also depends on the number of nucleons \( A \) and scales approximately as \( \sim A^2 \) due to diagonalization of the Hartree-Fock hamiltonian. Thus for a fixed average baryon density and fixed physical spacing between the grid points, doubling the simulation volume.
Bulk parameters characterizing the behavior of neutron-rich matter around saturation density \( \rho_0 \). Here \( x_0 \) and \( x_3 \) are the two pure isovector parameters of the Skyrme force UNEDF1 that have been re-fitted to obtain an interaction with the stiff symmetry energy, UNEDF1*. The quantities \( \varepsilon_0 \), \( K_0 \), and \( Q_0 \) represent the binding energy per nucleon, incompressibility coefficient, and the "skewness" coefficient of symmetric nuclear matter at \( \rho_0 \). Similarly, \( J \), \( L \), and \( K_{\text{sym}} \) represent the energy, slope, and curvature of the symmetry energy at saturation density. All quantities are in MeV, except for \( \rho_0 \) which is given in fm^{-3}. A detailed explanation of all these quantities may be found in Ref. [60].

| Nucleus | Observable | Experiment | \( L = 40 \text{ MeV} \) | \( L = 80 \text{ MeV} \) |
|--------|------------|------------|----------------|----------------|
| \(^{16}\text{O}\) | \( B/A \) (MeV) | -7.98 | -7.56 | -7.56 |
|        | \( r_{\text{ch}} \) (fm) | 2.70 | 2.81 | 2.81 |
|        | \( r_{\text{sk}} \) (fm) | — | -0.02 | -0.02 |
| \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) | \( B/A \) (MeV) | -8.55 | -8.52 | -8.52 |
|        | \( r_{\text{ch}} \) (fm) | 3.48 | 3.50 | 3.50 |
|        | \( r_{\text{sk}} \) (fm) | — | -0.04 | -0.04 |
| \(^{48}\text{Ca}\) | \( B/A \) (MeV) | -8.67 | -8.60 | -8.61 |
|        | \( r_{\text{ch}} \) (fm) | 3.47 | 3.53 | 3.52 |
|        | \( r_{\text{sk}} \) (fm) | — | 0.18 | 0.21 |
| \(^{90}\text{Zr}\) | \( B/A \) (MeV) | -8.71 | -8.72 | -8.72 |
|        | \( r_{\text{ch}} \) (fm) | 4.27 | 4.28 | 4.28 |
|        | \( r_{\text{sk}} \) (fm) | — | 0.08 | 0.10 |
| \(^{124}\text{Sn}\) | \( B/A \) (MeV) | -8.35 | -8.35 | -8.33 |
|        | \( r_{\text{ch}} \) (fm) | — | 4.72 | 4.72 |
|        | \( r_{\text{sk}} \) (fm) | — | 0.25 | 0.30 |
| \(^{208}\text{Pb}\) | \( B/A \) (MeV) | -7.87 | -7.88 | -7.86 |
|        | \( r_{\text{ch}} \) (fm) | 5.50 | 5.51 | 5.51 |
|        | \( r_{\text{sk}} \) (fm) | — | 0.18 | 0.23 |

(i.e \( A \to 2A \)) makes the simulation runtime approximately 8 times longer.

With the aim to maximize the volume of the nuclear pasta systems, we explored the optimal value of the physical grid spacings that allows one to perform nuclear pasta simulations without the loss of accuracy in energies. Notice that nuclear pasta phases are expected to be sensitive to the binding energy differences of as small as 0.01 MeV per nucleon. In Fig. 2 we display the absolute values of the binding energy per nucleon for a system with \( A = 800 \) nucleons as a function of the grid spacing. We initialize our system with all nucleons randomly distributed within the box, and the initial single-particle wave functions are given as a sum of 27 three-dimensional Gaussians with widths of \( \sigma = 2.5 \) fm that are centered at the nucleon coordinates with their closest images formed due periodic boundary conditions. As evident from Fig. 2—and as far as the binding energies are concerned—the accuracy of the results are maintained within 0.06\% for grid spacings of as large as \( \Delta x = 1.50 \) fm. Moreover, while the corresponding simulation runtime gets significantly reduced, an appreciable speed up in the convergence is not observed beyond \( \Delta x > 1.50 \) fm. And since the number of grid points must be chosen as even numbers to preserve the reflection symmetry, we ensure that our grid spacings are chosen as large as possible but not larger than \( \Delta x = 1.50 \) fm in our pasta calculations.

In Sky3D the coupled mean-field equations are solved iteratively. The wave functions are iterated with a gradient step method which is accelerated by the kinetic-energy damping (see Ref. [49] for details):

\[
\psi_{\alpha}^{(n+1)} = O \left\{ \psi_{\alpha}^{(n)} - \frac{\delta}{T + T_0} \left( \hat{h} \psi_{\alpha}^{(n)} - \langle \psi_{\alpha}^{(n)} | \hat{h} | \psi_{\alpha}^{(n)} \rangle \right) \psi_{\alpha}^{(n)} \right\},
\]

where \( \hat{T} = \hat{p}^2/2m \) is the operator of kinetic energy, \( O \) means orthonormalization of the whole set of new wave functions, \( \hat{h} \) is single-particle hamiltonian, and the upper index indicates the iteration number. The damped gradient step has two numerical parameters, the step size \( \delta \) and the damping regulator \( T_0 \). Ref. [39] suggests a value of \( \delta = 0.1 \ldots 0.8 \) and \( T_0 = 100 \) MeV should be optimal. Larger values of \( \delta \) yield faster iteration, but can run more easily into pathological conditions.

In an effort to optimize our simulation we introduced a variable step size that starts with an initial \( \delta = 0.2 \) and
is systematically increased by a factor of 1.005 if the new single-particle energies are smaller than the one from the previous iteration, otherwise it is decreased by a factor of 1.250. This ensures in average an about three times faster convergence than when a constant $\delta$ is assumed.

To avoid getting trapped in a metastable state we run our simulations very long and have chosen our convergence criterion to be $\Delta \epsilon_{\text{tot}} = \epsilon_{\text{tot}}^{(m)} - \epsilon_{\text{tot}}^{(n)} < -10^{-4}$ MeV, where $\epsilon_{\text{tot}}$ is total energy per nucleon at a given iteration, and $n = n + 10,000$. The total energy of the ground state is then found as $\epsilon_{\text{g.s}} = \epsilon_{\text{tot}}^{(m)}$.

C. Finite Size Effects and the Minimum Number of Nucleons

Having settled on the optimum choice of the grid spacing, in this subsection we explore the role of the finite size effects on the energetics and geometries of the nuclear pasta. In Fig. 3, we plotted the isosurface of proton densities for systems with $A = 400, 800, 1200, 1600$ and $2000$ nucleons, respectively, at a fixed average baryon density of $\rho = 0.05$ fm$^{-3}$ and proton fraction of $Y_p = 0.40$. In Table III we present the corresponding energetics and maximum local densities.

It turns out, that all of these systems are energetically very close to one another with accuracy of less than 0.0445 MeV in the binding energy per nucleon. Nevertheless, as depicted in Fig. 3, the corresponding pasta phases assume a seemingly different shape for each case. Considering that these systems obey periodic boundary conditions it is not difficult to see that most of them are in the nuclear waffle state with the exception of $A = 800$ and $A = 1200$, where there are additional 3D connections [44]. The existence of nuclear waffles as perforated plates was observed by Ref. [44] using MD simulations and also in [29], where it was denoted as the rod(2) shape. This phase is expected to lie in the transition between a phase made up of elongated cylindrical nuclei and a phase formed of a stack of parallel flat plates. Recently it was shown [31] that if the simulation is initialized from the single-particle wavefunctions constructed from a converged MD simulation, the waffle state remains stable even when quantum mechanical effects are considered. Even starting from a completely random initial configuration we confirm that the waffle state is a true stable nuclear pasta configuration in agreement with the results obtained by Ref. [29, 44]. Looking more closely at the individual energy components as given in Table III we realize that the highest percentage error comes from the Coulomb energy contribution. This is because the Coulomb force has long-range interaction and can extend much beyond the boundaries of smaller boxes. The individual energy terms from Skyrme force have also larger percentage errors as opposed to the total energy. This is primarily due to the fact that the ground state is, by definition, obtained by minimizing the total energy. Therefore individual terms can have different values stemming from different contributions.
TABLE III. Various contributions to the total energy of the system are given in units of MeV for nuclear pasta configurations with different number of nucleons, $A$, at a fixed average baryon density of $\rho = 0.05$ fm$^{-3}$ and proton fraction of $Y_p = 0.40$. To make a meaningful comparison between these systems, energies per nucleon are presented only. Also the maximum local total density $\rho_{\text{max}}^{\text{tot}}$ is given, as well as the maximum local proton density, $\rho_{\text{p}}^{\text{max}}$, within the pasta structure in units of fm$^{-3}$.

| $A$  | $E_{\text{tot}}$ | $E_{\text{kin}}$ | $E_{\text{v}}$ | $E_{\text{E}}$ | $E_{\text{p}}$ | $E_{\text{C}}$ | $\rho_{\text{max}}^{\text{tot}}$ | $\rho_{\text{p}}^{\text{max}}$ |
|------|------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 400  | -11.8565         | 18.9389        | -94.0201     | -0.3275      | 0.6632       | 62.3350      | -0.2240                   | 0.7780           | 0.1486            | 0.0612 |
| 800  | -11.8164         | 18.7521        | -92.4597     | -0.3081      | 0.7419       | 61.1158      | -0.2592                   | 0.6008           | 0.1491            | 0.0613 |
| 1200 | -11.8320         | 18.9109        | -93.6282     | -0.3226      | 0.6640       | 61.9873      | -0.2340                   | 0.7905           | 0.1497            | 0.0619 |
| 1600 | -11.8609         | 19.0713        | -94.8028     | -0.3240      | 0.7245       | 63.0520      | -0.2606                   | 0.6787           | 0.1515            | 0.0630 |
| 2000 | -11.8520         | 18.8880        | -93.4096     | -0.3150      | 0.7394       | 61.8989      | -0.2639                   | 0.6103           | 0.1529            | 0.0645 |

FIG. 4. (Color online) Proton fractions as a function of baryon density for a uniform neutron-star matter in two models discussed in the text. Also shown is the proton fractions at few fixed average baryon densities obtained directly from nuclear pasta simulations (diamonds).

from the competition between nuclear and electric forces and as a result of their overall effort to minimize the ground state energies. Thus, although the final ground state energies are close to one another, the final shape of the nuclear pasta depends on the system size as a result of such competition. Following Fig.3 where we obtained at least two pasta structures for a system with an average baryon density of $\rho = 0.05$ fm$^{-3}$, in the next part of our discussions we assume systems containing $A = 2000$ nucleons.

III. RESULTS

A. Neutron Star Crust: $Y_p = 0.05$

Every simulation described here has $A = 2000$ nucleons. These nucleons are initially randomly positioned within a cubic box with sides $a = \sqrt[3]{A/\rho}$ and corresponding initial single-particle wave functions are constructed by folding Gaussians over each nucleon. We present and discuss our results for a fixed proton fraction of $Y_p = 0.05$. This condition mimics the matter content in the neutron star crust. For a proper description of the neutron-star matter, one must obtain proton fractions self-consistently by using the condition of chemical equilibrium:

$$\mu_n = \mu_p + \mu_e,$$

where $\mu_q$ is the chemical potential of species $q = n, p, e$ for neutrons, protons and electrons, respectively. Assuming a uniform nuclear matter in beta-equilibrium we find that both interactions predict proton fractions to be less than 5% at densities of 0.03 fm$^{-3} < \rho < 0.10$ fm$^{-3}$ where the emergence of nuclear pasta is expected, see Fig.4

In this figure we also display proton fractions at a few fixed baryon densities which were obtained directly from the nuclear pasta simulations. For this we fixed the proton number at $Z = 14$ and varied the neutron number, $N = A - Z$, in search for the value of $A$ that satisfies the condition $[7]$. Notice that this search is quite exhausting as far as the simulation computing times are concerned. Moreover, for realistic results one must choose proton numbers to be $Z \gg 14$. We reserve to carry out such simulations in the future. However, we would like to point out that whereas at densities close to saturation the proton fractions closely match that obtained from a uniform matter distribution, at lower sub-saturation densities the realistic proton fractions can be larger due clusering effects as hinted by the left arrow in Fig.4. The question of whether exotic structure phases can develop in a proton-deficient environment was critically analyzed by Ref. [11]. In particular, they found an interesting behavior displayed in the structure factor $S(q)$ that could be indicative of significant structural changes in the system. Nevertheless, it was concluded that no clear evidence exists either in favor or against the formation of the nuclear pasta at the neutron crust. To our knowledge, no other full quantum numerical simulations have been carried out with proton fractions less than $Y_p = 0.1$.

In Fig.5 we plot the isosurface of proton densities for models with both soft, $L = 40$ MeV, and stiff, $L = 80$ MeV symmetry energies. At the lowest density of $\rho = 0.03$ fm$^{-3}$ considered in our simulations, we observe a combined total of 8 spherical and deformed nuclei, unequal in size, in both models. Their location is randomly distributed within the box and do not form
FIG. 5. (Color online) Isosurface of proton densities are plotted for the two model discussed in the text over the range of baryon densities at a fixed proton fraction of $Y_p = 0.05$. The total number of nucleons are fixed at $A = 2000$ and the side of the cubic box varies from $40.55 \text{ fm}$ down to $27.14 \text{ fm}$, corresponding to average baryon densities of $0.03 \leq \rho \leq 0.10 \text{ fm}^{-3}$, respectively.
TABLE IV. Some bulk properties of nuclear pasta with average proton fraction of $Y_p = 0.05$. Here $N_f$ represents the number of free neutrons and $Y_p^* = Z/(A - N_f)$ is defined as the effective proton fraction of the pasta structure. All densities are given in units of fm$^{-3}$.

| $\rho$ | Model   | $E_{tot}$ (MeV) | $\rho_{min}^{\text{tot}}$ | $\rho_{max}^{\text{tot}}$ | $N_f$ | $Y_p^*$   | ($\%$) |
|-------|---------|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------|-----------|--------|
| 0.03  | UNEDF1  | 1.731          | 0.0217                    | 0.1437           | 786   | 8.24      |        |
|       | UNEDF1* | 0.481          | 0.0225                    | 0.1376           | 508   | 6.70      |        |
| 0.04  | UNEDF1  | 2.118          | 0.0300                    | 0.1316           | 788   | 8.25      |        |
|       | UNEDF1* | 0.801          | 0.0312                    | 0.1275           | 581   | 7.05      |        |
| 0.05  | UNEDF1  | 2.522          | 0.0369                    | 0.1285           | 810   | 8.40      |        |
|       | UNEDF1* | 1.212          | 0.0405                    | 0.1232           | 674   | 7.54      |        |
| 0.06  | UNEDF1  | 2.937          | 0.0456                    | 0.1222           | 848   | 8.88      |        |
|       | UNEDF1* | 1.715          | 0.0490                    | 0.1132           | 770   | 8.13      |        |
| 0.07  | UNEDF1  | 3.356          | 0.0537                    | 0.1111           | 874   | 8.88      |        |
|       | UNEDF1* | 2.292          | 0.0594                    | 0.1034           | 902   | 9.11      |        |
| 0.08  | UNEDF1  | 3.778          | 0.0631                    | 0.1061           | 926   | 9.31      |        |
|       | UNEDF1* | 2.962          | 0.0711                    | 0.0975           | 978   | 9.78      |        |
| 0.09  | UNEDF1  | 4.229          | 0.0735                    | 0.1079           | 978   | 9.78      |        |
|       | UNEDF1* | 3.732          | 0.0828                    | 0.0924           | 1122  | 11.39     |        |
| 0.10  | UNEDF1  | 4.716          | 0.0870                    | 0.1071           | 978   | 9.78      |        |
|       | UNEDF1* | 4.601          | 0.0939                    | 0.1021           | 1218  | 12.79     |        |

FIG. 6. (Color online) Effective proton fractions $Y_p^*$ (top panel) and free neutron fractions $Y_{n, f}$ (bottom panel) are plotted as a function of total average baryon density for the two models discussed in the text.

As the average baryon density increases to $\rho = 0.04$ fm$^{-3}$, the nuclei come closer, get fused and merge into super-elongated nuclei of rod-like structure, see Fig.5. Whereas all of the 8 nuclei got merged to 3 rod-like structures in the model with the soft symmetry energy, only 1 rod-like structure and 5 nuclei are observed in the model with $L = 80$ MeV, thus harboring a coexistence of two structures: spherical nuclei and super-elongated nuclei of rod-like behavior. Note again that this result is likely due to the system being not equilibrated. At even higher density of $\rho = 0.05$ fm$^{-3}$, the former now has 2 rod-like structures only, whereas the latter has 3 rod-like structures and 2 nuclei within the simulation box. The corresponding effective proton fractions rise in both models, meaning there are more free neutrons in the system now (see Table IV). Since the symmetry energy rises faster as a function of density in the model with $L = 80$ MeV, the effective proton fraction also gets boosted further as evidenced by the results shown on Table IV and displayed in Fig.6.

At $\rho = 0.06$ fm$^{-3}$, in UNEDF1, the rod-like structures now start getting fused in the perpendicular direction. As density is increased to $\rho = 0.07$ fm$^{-3}$ rods get further fused and the system is comprised of a continuous crest-like structure (recall that the system is periodic). On the other hand, at $\rho = 0.06$ fm$^{-3}$, the phase co-existence between rods and nuclei continue to exist in UNEDF1*, whereas at $\rho = 0.07$ fm$^{-3}$, we observe a combination of $P$-surface [74] and a flat plate, also known as the lasagna phase. This means that pure rod-like structures in models with the stiff symmetry energy can only exist within a very narrow region of densities. Correspondingly, only a very thin layer of such pasta can exist in the neutron star crust.

a lattice structure of any kind. Notice that such density already corresponds to a deeper layer of the inner crust. The transition from the outer crust to the inner crust is predicted to occur at about $\rho > 0.00024$ fm$^{-3}$ [71, 72]. Whereas at the top layer of the inner crust one expects a Coulomb crystal of neutron-rich nuclei immersed in a uniform electron gas and a dilute neutron vapor [41], at $\rho = 0.03$ fm$^{-3}$ the neutron vapor becomes much denser, and the crystalline structure is already destroyed. These so-called gnocchi phase could be said to form a liquid-like (or amorphous) structure with an approximate average charge of $\langle Z \rangle \approx 12.5$. This likely is because the system is not equilibrated. These nuclei are well separated from one another, and their sizes and shapes are mostly dictated by the Coulomb repulsion between protons and the surface energy of the system, which are almost identical in both cases. The corresponding total energies per nucleon in these two models are surprisingly different (see Table IV). This difference primarily comes from the zero-range term $E_0$ and density dependent term $E_3$, whose values strongly depend on $x_0$ and $x_3$ Skyrme parameters, respectively [73]. Physically, a large slope parameter $L$ means that the symmetry energy at low densities is small, thus nuclei can easily become neutron-rich. On the other hand, the symmetry energy at $\rho = 0.03$ fm$^{-3}$ is larger for a model with small value of $L$, thus it becomes energetically favorable for the system to maintain larger proton fractions. We further examined the single-particle energies and have found that the number of free neutrons, $N_f$, identified as the number of neutrons with positive single-particle energies, is indeed smaller for $L = 80$ MeV than $L = 40$ MeV, see Table IV. Thus the system became effectively neutron-rich with an effective proton fraction $Y_p^* = Z/(A - N_f)$ being smaller in the former.
At \( \rho = 0.08 \) fm\(^{-3}\) in both systems we observe hollow-tubes, also known as the \textit{bucatini} phase. More neutrons become free than bound. The corresponding effective proton fractions, \( Y_p^* \), and free neutron fractions, \( Y_{n,f} = N_f / A \), as a function of density are plotted in the left and right panels of Fig. 7.

Finally, we observe spherical bubbles, also known as the \textit{Swiss cheese} phase, at densities of \( \rho = 0.09 \) fm\(^{-3}\) and \( \rho = 0.10 \) fm\(^{-3}\). The sizes of spherical bubbles get smaller as the density increases and also depend on the two models considered above.

Particularly interesting is to observe the density contrast, \( \Delta \rho = \rho_{\text{tot}}^{\text{max}} - \rho_{\text{tot}}^{\text{min}} \), within the nuclear pasta systems described above. Here \( \rho_{\text{tot}}^{\text{max}} \) and \( \rho_{\text{tot}}^{\text{min}} \) are the maximum and the minimum local baryon densities within the simulation volume. In particular, \( \rho_{\text{tot}}^{\alpha} \) is the baryon density at the central regions of pasta structures, whereas \( \rho_{\text{tot}}^{\text{min}} \) is the baryon density of the free neutron gas. The larger value of \( \Delta \rho \) suggests that the system organized itself into complex clusters, whereas \( \Delta \rho = 0 \) means the system is uniform. Considering Table IV and Fig. 7, we see that a soft symmetry energy exhibits a pasta-rich system throughout the neutron star crust, whereas the clustered matter transforms quickly into the uniform matter when the symmetry energy is stiff (see Table IV).

### B. Proto-Neutron Stars and Matter in Supernova

In cold neutron stars proton fractions of larger than \( Y_p > 0.05 \) can only occur at high densities and very low densities. At high densities pertaining to the core of the star the matter is uniform and no nuclear pasta phase is therefore expected. Similarly, at very low densities applicable to the outer crust, nucleons bind into nuclei that are then segregated in a crystal lattice. However, the low-density regions that contain proton fractions between \( 0.10 < Y_p < 0.40 \) can be present in dense proto-neutron stars (PNS) that are born subsequent to the core-collapse supernova explosion. The PNS is cooled primarily by neutrino emission which is driven by neutrino diffusion and convection within the PNS after the core bounces. It is therefore interesting to understand the role of the neutrino-matter interaction in the dynamics of the supernova explosion. The spectrum of neutrinos emerged from neutrino-sphere can be observed using the current and future terrestrial detectors as soon as the next galactic or near-galactic supernova goes off. This spectrum can provide a valuable information about the structure of the nuclear matter in these regions.

#### 1. Systems with \( Y_p = 0.10 \)

In proto-neutron stars, neutrinos are trapped for tens of seconds in the hot and dense nuclear medium. As neutrinos diffuse out of the PNS, the proton fraction in this beta equilibrium thermal matter also evolves. Therefore it is useful to explore a large range of proton fractions in the nuclear pasta formation. Notice that we use zero temperature in all of our simulations, whereas in reality, the temperature in the supernova environment can be from a few MeV to as high as \( k_B T = 10 \) MeV and even more.

At low densities, both models again feature similar geometries (see Fig. 3). We observe 8 elongated nuclei randomly located within the simulation box. The size of these structures vary from one another, and the average

| \( \rho \) | Model | \( E_{\text{tot}} \) (MeV) | \( \rho_{\text{tot}}^{\text{min}} \) | \( \rho_{\text{tot}}^{\text{max}} \) | \( N_f \) | \( Y_p^* \) (%) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.03 | UNEDF1 | −0.668 | 0.0159 | 0.1485 | 638 | 14.68 |
| | UNEDF1* | −1.537 | 0.0167 | 0.1423 | 394 | 12.45 |
| 0.04 | UNEDF1 | −0.519 | 0.0206 | 0.1382 | 630 | 14.60 |
| | UNEDF1* | −1.436 | 0.0239 | 0.1334 | 438 | 12.80 |
| 0.05 | UNEDF1 | −0.330 | 0.0265 | 0.1275 | 642 | 14.73 |
| | UNEDF1* | −1.258 | 0.0303 | 0.1310 | 496 | 13.30 |
| 0.06 | UNEDF1 | −0.137 | 0.0325 | 0.1256 | 654 | 14.86 |
| | UNEDF1* | −1.018 | 0.0393 | 0.1157 | 566 | 13.95 |
| 0.07 | UNEDF1 | 0.051 | 0.0399 | 0.1197 | 666 | 14.99 |
| | UNEDF1* | −0.713 | 0.0466 | 0.1126 | 644 | 14.75 |
| 0.08 | UNEDF1 | 0.252 | 0.0474 | 0.1136 | 698 | 15.36 |
| | UNEDF1* | −0.363 | 0.0591 | 0.1009 | 770 | 16.26 |
| 0.09 | UNEDF1 | 0.457 | 0.0623 | 0.1089 | 770 | 16.26 |
| | UNEDF1* | 0.068 | 0.0825 | 0.0989 | 878 | 17.83 |
| 0.10 | UNEDF1 | 0.688 | 0.0956 | 0.1073 | 830 | 17.09 |
| | UNEDF1* | 0.597 | 0.0975 | 0.1045 | 926 | 18.62 |
FIG. 8. (Color online) Isosurface of proton densities are plotted using the same prescription as in Fig. 5 except now the proton fraction of the system is $Y_p = 0.10$. 
charge of an individual structure is $\langle Z \rangle \approx 25$. These structures significantly differ from the unstable neutron-rich nuclear isotopes with the same proton number. For example, the most neutron-rich terrestrial radioactive Mn isotope (with $Z = 25$) known today has $N = 44$ neutrons. Surprisingly, the Coulomb frustration at $\rho = 0.03$ fm$^{-3}$ enables the formation of elongated nuclei with an average neutron number of $N \approx 145$ ($L = 40$ MeV) or 176 ($L = 80$ MeV). The concentration of free neutrons at this density now strongly depends on the interaction model (see Table VI). Although a significant fraction of neutrons carry positive kinetic energies, the overall energy of the ground state in this system remains negative.

At $\rho = 0.04$ fm$^{-3}$, both models exhibit a very similar geometry: two nuclei within the simulation box fuse together to form one long rod-like structure. Thus a total of four superdeformed rod-like nuclei are formed. As the density increases, at $\rho = 0.05$ fm$^{-3}$, we observe that rod-like structures arrange themselves in a net-like structure for the model with $L = 40$ MeV. Notice such structure was also observed for $Y_p = 0.05$ but at $\rho = 0.07$ fm$^{-3}$. On the other hand, for the system with $L = 80$ MeV we observe a structure that resembles fibrous roots. To have a better view of this structure, in particular, we show four periodic copies of the isosurface of proton densities along two directions, mainly $x$ and $y$, using the fact that our simulation volume is periodic. The resulting isosurface of proton densities are plotted in Fig. 9. Since the existence of many low-energy configurations is the benchmark of the backbone of a branched network of many frustrated systems such as the low-dimensional magnetic systems.

Next, at $\rho = 0.06$ fm$^{-3}$ we observe almost identical net-like structures in both models. At an even higher densities the threads of these nets structures get thicker as a result of compression and they turn into the complex shapes previously referred to as rod(3) structures [28-30,77]. Notice this structure continue to exist in the model with soft symmetry energy even at $\rho = 0.09$ fm$^{-3}$, whereas the pasta structure almost disappears for $L = 80$ MeV. And finally, at $\rho = 0.10$ fm$^{-3}$ both systems assume uniform phase.

2. Systems with $Y_p = 0.20$

Let us now analyze the more widely studied case of systems with larger proton fractions. Such systems display a rich-variety of nuclear pasta even at high sub-saturation densities. For example, even at $\rho = 0.10$ fm$^{-3}$ the density contrast in the system is as large as $\Delta \rho = 0.089$ fm$^{-3}$ for the model with soft symmetry energy (see Fig. 7 and Table VI). Although the overall binding energy of the system is negative, there are still some free neutrons found in this system with $Y_p = 0.20$ (see Table VI). Nevertheless, the fractional population of free neutrons are much less than found before in systems with lower proton fractions. The corresponding effective proton fractions therefore do not deviate very much from 20%. All pasta structures are energetically very close to one another, yet we observe structures that are radically different in topology. Indeed, it has been first speculated by Ref. [8] that the transition from the highly ordered crystal to the uniform phase must proceed through a series of changes in the dimensionality and topology only that depends on density but not on total energy. We also observe that the dependence on the symmetry energy is significantly reduced both in total energies and in topology, even though the

| $\rho$ | Model | $E_{\text{tot}}$ (MeV) | $\rho^{\text{tot}}_{\text{tot}}$ | $\rho^{\text{tot}}_{\text{tot}}$ | $N_L$ | $Y_p$ (%) |
|-------|-------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----|---------|
| 0.03  | UNEDF1 | $-5.190$               | 0.0046                 | 0.1438                 | 542 | 24.13   |
|       | UNEDF1' | $-5.304$              | 0.0036                 | 0.1426                 | 504 | 22.15   |
| 0.04  | UNEDF1 | $-5.247$               | 0.0065                 | 0.1382                 | 512 | 23.92   |
|       | UNEDF1' | $-5.537$              | 0.0062                 | 0.1349                 | 506 | 22.17   |
| 0.05  | UNEDF1 | $-5.358$               | 0.0071                 | 0.1354                 | 514 | 23.72   |
|       | UNEDF1' | $-5.629$              | 0.0101                 | 0.1306                 | 400 | 22.22   |
| 0.06  | UNEDF1 | $-5.462$               | 0.0092                 | 0.1296                 | 504 | 23.58   |
|       | UNEDF1' | $-5.731$              | 0.0153                 | 0.1274                 | 400 | 22.30   |
| 0.07  | UNEDF1 | $-5.565$               | 0.0105                 | 0.1302                 | 512 | 23.70   |
|       | UNEDF1' | $-5.800$              | 0.0209                 | 0.1213                 | 436 | 22.68   |
| 0.08  | UNEDF1 | $-5.662$               | 0.0143                 | 0.1253                 | 502 | 23.56   |
|       | UNEDF1' | $-5.864$              | 0.0284                 | 0.1161                 | 464 | 23.04   |
| 0.09  | UNEDF1 | $-5.763$               | 0.0182                 | 0.1194                 | 502 | 23.56   |
|       | UNEDF1' | $-5.899$              | 0.0370                 | 0.1107                 | 508 | 23.64   |
| 0.10  | UNEDF1 | $-5.851$               | 0.0329                 | 0.1222                 | 480 | 22.99   |
|       | UNEDF1' | $-5.897$              | 0.0887                 | 0.1077                 | 562 | 24.42   |

TABLE VI. Some bulk properties of nuclear pasta with an average proton fraction of $Y_p = 0.20$. Average and local baryon densities are given in units of fm$^{-3}$. 

FIG. 9. (Color online) Four periodic copies of pasta structure with $Y_p = 0.10$, $\rho = 0.05$ fm$^{-3}$ for model with $L = 80$ MeV are put together for a simulation box posed at a different angle for better visualization. The box dimensions are $68.4 \times 68.4 \times 34.2$ fm, and the isosurface of proton density at $\rho_p = 0.02$ fm$^{-3}$ is plotted.
FIG. 10. (Color online) Isosurface of proton densities are plotted using the same prescription as in Fig. 5 except now the proton fraction of the system is $Y_p = 0.20$. 
system is still relatively very neutron-rich. At \( \rho = 0.03 \text{ fm}^{-3} \) we no longer observe a system purely made of nuclei (gnocchi phase). Instead we observe a coexistence of nuclei and rod-like structures. When the model with the soft symmetry energy is used we observe two nuclei and one rod in the simulation volume. However, for \( L = 80 \text{ MeV} \) we observe just one nucleus and a rod structure that is bent to assume a disconnected hook-shaped structure. At \( \rho = 0.04 \text{ fm}^{-3} \), the first system now assumes a connected hook-shaped structures that make a wave pattern, whereas the latter one assumes a structure that resembles donuts which are connected through \( Y \)-junctions. The lowest non-zero local baryon densities shown in Table VI correspond to the density of background free neutron gas.

As we progressively increase the density, at \( \rho = 0.05 \text{ fm}^{-3} \) and \( \rho = 0.06 \text{ fm}^{-3} \), both systems proceed into having the donut-like shapes with less spatial separations. At higher densities the size of the openings become smaller making a transition to cylindrical holes at densities of \( 0.08 \text{ fm}^{-3} \) and eventually leading to spherical bubbles for models with the soft symmetry energy. A similar phase transition between pasta states is observed for models with the stiff symmetry energy, however the system becomes uniform at much lower densities. As can be seen from Table VI at the average baryon density of \( \rho = 0.10 \text{ fm}^{-3} \), where the local deviation of the density within the simulation box is no more than \( \Delta \rho = 0.019 \text{ fm}^{-3} \). This result is also depicted in the lower right panel of Fig. 10.

3. Systems with \( Y_p = 0.30 \)

Turning to increasingly symmetric matter, in Fig. 11 we display the isosurface of the proton densities of various pasta phases for \( Y_p = 0.30 \) using both models with \( L = 40 \) and \( L = 80 \text{ MeV} \). It is observed that such systems exhibit a series of many complex geometries. We no longer observe spherical nuclei at an average density of \( 0.03 \text{ fm}^{-3} \), which was chosen as the starting point of our simulations. Obviously, the gnocchi phase must have formed at an even lower density for \( Y_p = 0.30 \). At the lowest density considered in our simulation we observe fibrous root-like structures, at \( 0.04 \text{ fm}^{-3} \) we observe rod(3) structures. At densities of \( 0.05 \) and \( 0.06 \text{ fm}^{-3} \) the pasta system is composed of circular perforated complex systems, at \( 0.07 \) and \( 0.08 \text{ fm}^{-3} \) the nuclear pasta transitions to the bucatti phase, and finally at \( 0.09 \) and \( 0.10 \text{ fm}^{-3} \) they form the Swiss cheese. The pasta systems are strongly bound with binding energies ranging from \( -8.8 \text{ MeV} \) for systems with average baryon density of \( 0.03 \text{ fm}^{-3} \) to \(-10.1 \text{ MeV} \) for systems with \( \rho = 0.10 \text{ fm}^{-3} \) (see Table VII).

All neutrons strongly participate in forming the pasta structure, and there are no free neutrons left in the system. Thus the neutron gas background that was making the lowest density of the simulation box in the previous systems with lower proton fractions now simply vanishes. The vanishing of the neutron gas background for \( Y_p > 0.29 \) was also obtained earlier Ref. [74]. For this and larger proton fractions one can either plot the isosurface of proton densities or total densities that are both visually indistinguishable.

Perhaps the most interesting aspect of this system is to notice that the dependence on the nuclear symmetry energy has now become less prominent. The binding energies in all configurations are very close. The similarity of density contrasts for these configurations as predicted by both models suggest that the pasta structures should also be close to one another, which is confirmed by comparing them as displayed in Fig. 11. Thus while the symmetry energy plays a significant role for the nuclear pasta formation in the neutron star crust and for the the regions of Supernovae with low proton fractions its role becomes insignificant for pasta formation at \( Y_p \gtrsim 0.30 \). This result is one of the important findings of our work.

4. Systems with \( Y_p = 0.40 \)

Finally, we study the case of \( Y_p = 0.40 \). This proton fraction is roughly comparable to that found in the collapsing dense core of a supernovae, before the matter gets heated further by the shock wave. As confirmed in the previous subsection and given the fact that the matter is close to being isospin symmetric, the role of the symmetry energy becomes negligible. The maximum local density in the system is equal to that of the nuclear saturation density, \( \sim 0.16 \text{ fm}^{-3} \). At densities of \( 0.03 \) and \( 0.04 \text{ fm}^{-3} \) the pasta system is made of connected rod structures (see Fig. 12). At \( \rho = 0.05 \text{ fm}^{-3} \), rods merge to form complex structures with circular openings and at \( \rho = 0.06 \text{ fm}^{-3} \) they form states that closely resemble the perforated parallel plates, which are now connected along.

### Table VII

| \( \rho \) | Model | \( E_{\text{tot}} \) (MeV) | \( \rho_{\text{min}} \) | \( \rho_{\text{max}} \) |
|----------|-------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 0.03     | UNEDF1| -8.794                    | 0.0000         | 0.1489            |
|          | UNEDF1*| -8.782                    | 0.0000         | 0.1442            |
| 0.04     | UNEDF1| -9.650                    | 0.0000         | 0.1491            |
|          | UNEDF1*| -9.651                    | 0.0000         | 0.1470            |
| 0.05     | UNEDF1| -9.344                    | 0.0000         | 0.1423            |
|          | UNEDF1*| -9.337                    | 0.0002         | 0.1345            |
| 0.06     | UNEDF1| -9.621                    | 0.0000         | 0.1412            |
|          | UNEDF1*| -9.620                    | 0.0000         | 0.1360            |
| 0.07     | UNEDF1| -9.881                    | 0.0001         | 0.1406            |
|          | UNEDF1*| -9.843                    | 0.0002         | 0.1298            |
| 0.08     | UNEDF1| -10.133                   | 0.0002         | 0.1360            |
|          | UNEDF1*| -10.085                   | 0.0004         | 0.1267            |
| 0.09     | UNEDF1| -10.371                   | 0.0001         | 0.1351            |
|          | UNEDF1*| -10.308                   | 0.0003         | 0.1265            |
| 0.10     | UNEDF1| -10.601                   | 0.0001         | 0.1306            |
|          | UNEDF1*| -10.530                   | 0.0005         | 0.1194            |

TABLE VII: Some bulk properties of nuclear pasta with an average proton fraction of \( Y_p = 0.30 \). Average and local baryon densities are given in units of \( \text{fm}^{-3} \).
FIG. 11. (Color online) Isosurface of proton densities are plotted using the same prescription as in Fig. 5 except now the proton fraction of the system is $Y_p = 0.30$. 
their normal direction. Again, as density increases, the matter forms cylindrical holes at 0.07 and 0.08 fm$^{-3}$, and finally spherical bubbles are observed at higher densities.

The nuclear pasta at $Y_p = 0.40$ is strongly bound, no neutron background exists as in the case of $Y_p = 0.30$. The binding energy per nucleon is much smaller than that of the uniform matter. For example, at $\rho = 0.03$ fm$^{-3}$ we have $E/A = -11.05$ MeV, whereas the uniform nuclear matter predicts an almost twice smaller value of $E/A = -5.38$ MeV. When symmetric nuclear matter (SNM) is considered a similarly large difference in $E/A$ nuclear matter predicts an almost twice smaller value $\alpha$ where $\epsilon = E/A$.

The binding energy per nucleon is much smaller than in or-

\begin{equation}
\epsilon(\rho, \alpha) = \epsilon(\rho, 0) + S(\rho)\alpha^2 + \ldots ,
\end{equation}

where $\alpha = (p_n - p_p)/(p_n + p_p)$ is the isospin asymmetry, usually represents the energy cost per nucleon of changing all the protons in SNM into neutrons. We should be wary about the latter description because the ground state of matter at sub-saturation densities is not that of a uniform matter. Therefore in this description where the usage of SNM appears, one should explicitly state that a hypothetical uniform nuclear matter has been considered, which can easily cluster if left alone.

C. Sensitivity of the “Ground State” to the Initial Configurations

Notice that none of our simulations have produced parallel plates. One reason is because parallel plates might have formed in a very small density range not considered in our simulations. Indeed, using an almost 10 times smaller number of particles, but exploring a density range of $0.02 < \rho < 0.12$ fm$^{-3}$ with smaller steps of 0.025 fm$^{-3}$, Ref. [38] has observed parallel plates to appear within a very short density range. The other reason is because our simulation could significantly depend on the initial configurations of the system. In most of other previous full quantum mechanical studies the existence of stable plate configuration was usually confirmed by assuming that the initial state of the system is already in the plate configuration and by using certain guiding potentials that lead to this form. Since we have started from a completely random distributions of nucleons, it is not guaranteed that our final configurations are in the true ground state of the nuclear pasta, but the solutions are driven to a meta-stable state.

We start the analysis by comparing two identical configurations with $\rho = 0.05$ fm$^{-3}$, $Y_p = 0.40$, and $A = 2000$ that have started from different random initial configurations and different grid spacings. At the final converged stated we obtained $E/A = -11.852$ MeV and $E/A = -11.780$ MeV, respectively. While these states have similar energies, the final pasta shapes are not quite identical. The first one gives two parallel plates with wholes—nuclear waffle—whereas the second one gives perforated plates with complex 3D connections, see Fig. [13]. There could be two reasons behind this difference. First reason is that the grid spacings in two simulations were different, with the first one being a fine grid spacing of $\Delta x = 1.00$ fm, whereas with the second one being $\Delta x = 1.42$ fm. Our energy difference of 0.072 MeV at first suggests that perhaps a finer grid spacing should be sought in the future simulations. However, earlier in Section [14] and Fig. [2] we have shown that the dependence on the grid spacing should be minimal with energy difference of less that 0.007 MeV if started from the same initial configuration. Whereas the difference of 0.072 MeV is still tiny (about 0.6% only), the observed pasta topologies are quite different. The second reason for this could therefore be that the final state of the system is very sensitive to the initial configurations. In Fig. [14] we compare intermediate pasta states during the convergence at various iteration points. For the first $\sim 5000$ iterations the simulation converges quickly, and in the remaining $\sim 70000$ iterations we do not see a significant change in both the energy and the topology of the system. This suggests that the simulation gets trapped in a meta-stable state after the first few thousands iterations. For example, the energy difference of only $\Delta \epsilon_{\text{tot}} = \epsilon_{\text{tot}}^{(76000)} - \epsilon_{\text{tot}}^{(7600)} < -0.0084$ MeV is observed in the last 68,400 iterations corresponding to 28,700 CPU hours in the simulation runtime. This suggests that it is not important to run the Sky3D simulations over about, 10,000 iterations, which saves a considerable amount of CPU hours. The question then arises on how to find the true ground state of the nuclear pasta.

To further study this in more details we have explored three possibilities. In addition to an already dis-

| \( \rho \) | Model | \( E_{\text{tot}} \) (MeV) | \( \rho_{\text{min}} \) | \( \rho_{\text{max}} \) |
|------|-------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| 0.03 | UNEDF1 | -11.076 | 0.00000 | 0.1605 |
|      | UNEDF1* | -11.050 | 0.00000 | 0.1585 |
| 0.04 | UNEDF1 | -11.442 | 0.00000 | 0.1559 |
|      | UNEDF1* | -11.394 | 0.00000 | 0.1549 |
| 0.05 | UNEDF1 | -11.780 | 0.00000 | 0.1532 |
|      | UNEDF1* | -11.756 | 0.00000 | 0.1531 |
| 0.06 | UNEDF1 | -12.173 | 0.00000 | 0.1529 |
|      | UNEDF1* | -12.145 | 0.00000 | 0.1486 |
| 0.07 | UNEDF1 | -12.516 | 0.00000 | 0.1472 |
|      | UNEDF1* | -12.482 | 0.00000 | 0.1457 |
| 0.08 | UNEDF1 | -12.873 | 0.00000 | 0.1426 |
|      | UNEDF1* | -12.830 | 0.00000 | 0.1412 |
| 0.09 | UNEDF1 | -13.194 | 0.00000 | 0.1395 |
|      | UNEDF1* | -13.156 | 0.00000 | 0.1377 |
| 0.10 | UNEDF1 | -13.501 | 0.00000 | 0.1363 |
|      | UNEDF1* | -13.504 | 0.00000 | 0.1334 |

TABLE VIII. Some bulk properties of nuclear pasta with an average proton fraction of $Y_p = 0.40$. Average and local baryon densities are given in units of fm$^{-3}$. The symmetry energy definition of the symmetry energy or the SNM is in or-
FIG. 12. (Color online) Isosurface of proton densities are plotted using the same prescription as in Fig. 5 except now the proton fraction of the system is $Y_p = 0.40$. 
FIG. 13. (Color online) Nuclear pasta phases at $\rho = 0.05$ fm$^{-3}$ and $Y_p = 0.40$ that started out from two different initial configurations with nucleons randomly distributed in the box and the grid spacing of (a) $\Delta x = 1.00$ fm and (b) $\Delta x = 1.42$ fm.

FIG. 14. (Color online) Energy difference per 200 iterations versus the number of iterations is plotted for a total of 76,000 iterations. The inset pasta phases correspond the simulation phases at 800, 7600, and 76000 iterations, respectively.

FIG. 15. (Color online) Nuclear pasta simulations with proton fractions of $Y_p = 0.40$ for a cubic volume of fixed side $a = 33.6$ fm containing $640 < A < 4180$ nucleons. All systems are initialized with nucleons distributed randomly to form eight identical rods aligned on a face-centered site.
FIG. 16. (Color online) Nuclear pasta simulations with proton fractions of $Y_p = 0.40$ at average baryon densities of $0.01 < \rho < 0.10 \text{ fm}^{-3}$ corresponding to a cubic volume with $a \approx 33.6 \text{ fm}$. All systems are initialized with nucleons distributed randomly to form two identical parallel plates.

ture contains the same number of neutrons or protons, the total proton number $Z$ and neutron number $N$ were therefore chosen as multiples of eight. Furthermore, since the volume of the system was fixed, the average baryon density cannot be set arbitrarily but is determined by the number of nucleons, $A$. We considered a total of 13 configurations with the number of nucleons ranging from $640 \leq A \leq 4480$. The corresponding average baryon densities are $0.0169 < \rho < 0.1181 \text{ fm}^{-3}$. In Fig. 15 we display our results for these simulations. At very low densities the system arranges itself into eight $^{32}\text{Ge}$ isotopes. Notice that indeed the spaghetti phase remains stable in agreement with the MD simulations even when full quantum mechanical effects are considered. Whereas there is a qualitative agreement with the results displayed in Fig. 12 the overall topology is quite different in two cases. The complex perforated plates with normal connections are observed at densities of $\approx 0.05 \sim 0.06 \text{ fm}^{-3}$. At higher densities the nuclear pasta transitions into the bucatini phase (anti-spaghetti). It is very interesting to note that the cylindrical holes continue to exist even at a very high density of $\rho = 0.10 \text{ fm}^{-3}$, where we observe a phase coexistence between the cylindrical holes and spherical bubbles. Recall that when we initialized the system with a randomly distributed nucleons this phase got diminished already at $\rho = 0.09 \text{ fm}^{-3}$ (compare with Fig. 12). Moreover, to the best of our knowledge, cylindrical holes at densities as large as $0.10 \text{ fm}^{-3}$ have never been observed in the previous simulations that uses simplified interactions. And finally, regular spherical bubbles (anti-gnocchi) are formed at a very high density of $0.11 \text{ fm}^{-3}$. The system assumes a uniform phase at higher densities and we did not display our result here.

In the next case, we prepared our initial configurations assuming that all nucleons are evenly distributed to make two parallel plates. By folding Gaussians over each nucleon we constructed the initial single-particle wave functions and solved Hartree-Fock equations iteratively. When the simulation is converged we observe completely different topologies than the ones observed before (see Fig. 16). In particular, at a very low density of $0.01 \text{ fm}^{-3}$ we observe two super-elongated nuclei and two spherical nuclei (that resembles baseball bat and ball). At $0.02 \text{ fm}^{-3}$, a phase coexistence between two types of rods is observed. Particularly interesting is the nuclear waffle state that forms much earlier than observed before at the density of $0.03 \text{ fm}^{-3}$. And the initial lasagna phase remains stable over a large density region of $0.04 \lesssim \rho \lesssim 0.08 \text{ fm}^{-3}$. The anti-spaghetti phase is not observed at all within the density steps we considered in our simulations. After developing through spherical bubbles at $0.10 \text{ fm}^{-3}$, the pasta structure completely disappears at $\rho \gtrsim 0.11 \text{ fm}^{-3}$.

These results obtained above are the consequence of generic features of matter frustration that allows many different local energy minima, hence pasta topologies. Thus we have obtained a series of pasta geometries where matter got trapped in a quasi-ground state. In order to
determine which of these states represent the true ground state, in Fig. 17 we plot the (quasi-) ground state energies per nucleon as a function of average baryon density for all three cases considered above. As evident from the figure, energetically these pasta structures are very close to one another. A careful observation of energies suggests that at densities of $0.05 < \rho < 0.07 \text{ fm}^{-3}$, for example, the system favors the lasagna phase (See Fig. 18). However, considering that we explored only few possibilities, it is difficult to predict the true ground state of the system—hence the formation of other pasta geometries—just by comparing these energies alone.

As a final note, we would like to point out that one way to get a time-efficient convergence is to start solving the Hartree-Fock equations by initializing the single-particle wave functions from an already converged classical or quantum MD simulations that have shown to give a full qualitative picture of nuclear pasta topologies. This will significantly reduce the simulation runtime, which in turn allows to explore much larger simulation volumes. Our preliminary calculations show that the ground state energies are slightly lower when the simulation is initialized from a converged state of classical MD simulations. Clearly, much work remains to be done in these fronts to determine the true ground state of the nuclear pasta.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we performed large volume simulations of the nuclear pasta using the Skyrme Hartree Fock calculations with Sky3D. We considered a range of proton fractions with $Y_p = 0.05, 0.10, 0.20, 0.30$ and $0.40$ as well as the range of baryon densities from $0.03 < \rho < 0.10 \text{ fm}^{-3}$, applicable to the nuclear matter found in the neutron star crust and supernovae. We discussed the role of the nuclear symmetry energy in the pasta formation and have found that it strongly impacts the nuclear pasta geometries in the neutron star crust but has negligible effect on the nuclear pasta in the Supernovae, where the proton fraction is large. In particular, the crust of the neutron star contains a larger density regions with pasta if the nuclear symmetry energy is soft. Various nuclear pasta geometries exist even if the density slope of the nuclear symmetry energy is as large as $L = 80 \text{ MeV}$ in agreement with previous calculations. All pasta regions are found to be filled with the neutron gas background for proton fractions $Y_p < 0.30 \text{ fm}$. At higher proton fractions, neutron gas background vanishes, and all neutrons in the system strongly participate in forming the pasta topology.

Particularly interesting is the nuclear waffle formation. Independently from the classical MD simulations, we confirmed that the nuclear waffle state forms naturally even when full quantum mechanical effects are considered. The existence of disconnected rod structures with $Y$-shaped junctions hints that many of these pasta geometries can be in the quasi-ground state. We have explored three possible scenario in which the initial state of the system was prepared by assuming that nucleons are randomly distributed within (1) the full simulation volume, (2) eight parallel rods on a face-centered site, and (3) two parallel plates. The resulting energies of the system are very close to one another with $\Delta E/A < 0.1 \text{ MeV}$. Nevertheless, the final “ground” state in each scenario have distinct pasta geometries. Determination of the true ground state requires the exploration of all possible probes which is quite tedious in practice. As a possible alternative, we suggest to initiate simulations from the final state of various classical or quantum MD solutions. This way the system will be converged time-efficiently, MD pasta geometries can be tested for stability against density fluctuations by using full quantum simulation, and quantitatively accurate results can be presented.
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FIG. 17. (Color online) Total energy per nucleon as a function of density for pasta structures that are obtained from three different initial configurations.

FIG. 18. (Color online) The energy differences of final configurations shown in Fig. 17. Here $\Delta\epsilon_{\text{random}} \equiv (E/A)_{\text{random}} - (E/A)_{\text{plate}}$ and $\Delta\epsilon_{\text{rod}} \equiv (E/A)_{\text{rod}} - (E/A)_{\text{plate}}$. 