In vitro safety and performance evaluation of a seawater solution enriched with copper, hyaluronic acid, and eucalyptus for nasal lavage
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Background: The common cold is a viral infectious disease with symptoms such as runny nose, sore throat, and mainly, nasal congestion. State-of-the-art therapeutic approaches focus on alleviating the symptoms of this disease by non-invasive and simple-to-use methods. Nasal irrigation is one of the most accepted approaches to ease nasal congestion which, if left untreated, has a negative impact on the quality of life of patients.

Purpose: In this study, the safety and efficacy of a novel hypertonic seawater solution for nasal lavage enriched with hyaluronic acids, eucalyptus oil, copper, and manganese salts (Stérimar Stop & Protect Cold and Flu; SSPCF) have been investigated in vitro.

Methods: An in vitro 3D reconstituted human nasal epithelium tissue model, MucilAir™, has been used in this study to investigate the safety of SSPCF on nasal epithelium by measuring transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), and interleukin-8 (IL-8) secretion. The efficacy of SSPCF was measured by mucociliary clearance (MCC), ATP release, Alcian blue and aquaporin (AQP3) stainings.

Results: SSPCF treatment respected nasal epithelium tissue integrity and enhanced barrier function without inducing a cytotoxic response. Secreted LDH and IL-8 levels were similar to untreated controls. MCC rate was increased 2.5-fold and ATP release decreased 87% upon SSPCF treatment, indicating improved decongestion activity. SSPCF treatment after hypotonic stress helped recover cellular organization, as shown by Alcian blue and AQP3 stainings.

Conclusion: SSPCF appears as a safe and effective nasal irrigation formula that may alleviate the symptoms associated with common cold such as nasal congestion.
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Introduction

Common cold is defined as an acute rhinosinusitis disease with symptoms that last less than 10 days and affect the upper respiratory tract.1 It is transmitted by hand contact and/or inhalation of secretions from an infected person.2 Data from the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention indicate that adults may experience 2–3 common colds per year while for children frequencies are higher. Moreover, one in three cases require medical attention, while one in four cases cause work/school absenteeism, making the common cold a significant health burden for the community.3

Available treatments for the common cold are symptomatic, ie, aim to relieve symptoms non-specifically. Moreover, treatment effectiveness is also age-specific,
ie, some medications indicated for the adult population are not effective or could be potentially harmful to children. There is no conclusive evidence for or against the effectiveness of over-the-counter medicines to improve symptoms like a cough in children. Inhaled corticosteroids or oral prednisolone for mild episodic viral wheezing also show no significant benefits in children. In addition, commonly used medications in adults including vasoconstrictors such as pseudoephedrine and phenylephrine or bronchodilators such as ipratropium, have modest effects on symptom alleviation and duration. Furthermore, nasally administered vasoconstrictors such as oxymetazoline, pseudoephedrine, phenylephrine are classified as prescription medications in some countries. Other strategies to reduce common cold symptoms such as herbal remedies or steam inhalations have also been shown to have no significant effect on symptom improvement. Therefore, although current strategies to ease common cold symptoms are numerous, their effects are either mild or null.

The use of saline solution is recommended as a top-line strategy for alleviating common cold symptoms. The largest study on the effect of saline nasal irrigation for acute upper respiratory tract infections included 401 children (6–10 years old) with common cold or flu. Results showed that isotonic nasal irrigation improved pediatric rhinosinusitis symptoms. Considering the effect of saline washes on decreasing the use of prescription drugs and on alleviating common cold symptoms, it is important to investigate new formulations for nasal irrigation.

Hypertonic solutions have long been considered as natural decongestants as they have a higher salt concentration than body tissues and can help, through osmosis, both drain excess water from nasal epithelial and submucosal tissues and dilute the viscous mucous that accumulates during upper respiratory tract infections including common cold. Hypertonic seawater solutions have been proven to be particularly efficient in eliminating the symptoms of nasal congestion.

In this study, a novel formulation, SSPCF (Stérimar Stop & Protect Cold and Flu) for nasal application was investigated. SSPCF is composed of micro-filtered hypertonic seawater solution (2.3% NaCl), enriched with hyaluronic acids of different molecular weights, eucalyptus oil, as well as copper and manganese salts.

The primary objectives of this study were to evaluate the safety of SSPCF and its efficacy to enhance mucociliary clearance (MCC) rate and recovery from cellular stress in nasal epithelial cells.

Materials and methods

Biological model (test system) used for the in vitro experimental studies

The in vitro assays were performed in a 3D reconstituted human nasal epithelium model, MucilAir™ (Epithelix Sàrl, Geneva, Switzerland) for its great potential as a model to test respiratory sensitizers. For maintenance, inserts were incubated in 500 µL of MucilAir™ culture medium in a CO₂ incubator (37 °C, 5% CO₂, 100% humidity, Heracell, Waltham, MA, USA).

Methods

MucilAir™ model was used to assess the safety and the efficacy of the tested solutions by transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER), Lucifer yellow (LY) permeability, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) secretion, interleukin-8 (IL-8) secretion, MCC, ATP release quantification, aquaporin 3 (AQP3), and Alcian blue staining assays.

For TEER, LDH, IL-8 and MCC assays, tissues were treated with the solution (10 µL) twice a day within 8 hrs from the apical side for 4 days in 24-well plates. Each day, culture medium was frozen at −80°C for further endpoint analysis. The following endpoints were assessed: TEER: Days 1 and 3; Lucifer yellow: Day 3; LDH: Days 1, 2, 3, and 4; IL-8 and MCC: Days 1 and 4.

For ATP release quantification, AQP3 and Alcian blue stainings, Mucilair™-HF tissues (Mucilair™ co-cultured with human airway fibroblasts, Epithelix Sàrl, Geneva, Switzerland) were treated with hypotonic solution (300 µL, 1 mM CaCl₂ and 1 mM MgCl₂ water solution) for 5 mins in order to trigger ATP release and swelling. Then, immediately, tissues were incubated with 100 µL saline solution (control), or SSPCF for 2 mins at room temperature. For stainings, after treatment, tissues were rinsed with saline solution and fixed in adapted fixative. Samples were embedded in paraffin blocks and 5 µm sections were obtained. All experiments in this study were performed in a double-blind manner, and details of each experiment are described below.
TEER
TEER is a method used to study epithelium permeability and tight junction integrity.20 Tissues were treated with saline solution (0.9% NaCl) (n=2) or SSPCF (n=2). Measurements were performed using a Millicell ERS voltohmeter (Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA). Three measurements were performed per sample where the basal value was the measurement performed at Day 0. The resistance of the tissue was calculated by subtracting the blank resistance (insert with no tissue) from the read-out resistance (mean of three) and multiplying by the epithelium surface size (0.33 cm²).

Lucifer yellow
Lucifer yellow is a marker of cell integrity whose permeability is proportional to the damage levels of the tight junctions.21 After 3 days of treatment, with saline (0.9% NaCl) (n=2) or SSPCF (n=2), twice a day, 200 µL of Lucifer yellow (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was applied to the apical compartment of the tissues. Five hundred microliters of HBSS medium was added to the basolateral compartment. Lucifer yellow activity was measured using a spectrofluorimeter (Tecan Infinite M200, Tecan Group Ltd, Männedorf, Switzerland) following manufacturer’s instructions.

LDH secretion
LDH measurement is a widely utilized method for assessing toxicity and cell membrane integrity.22 LDH measurement was performed in untreated (n=2), SSPCF-treated (n=3) and 1% Triton X-100 in saline solution-treated tissues (positive control, Fluka Biochemika, NJ, USA, n=2) by Cytotoxicity Detection KitPLUS (LDH) (Roche, St. Louis, MO, USA) following manufacturer’s instructions.

IL-8 secretion
IL-8 is one of the most abundant pro-inflammatory mediators released by airway epithelial cells and its elevated levels indicate an inflammatory process.23 IL-8 secretion evaluation was performed by ELISA (BD OptEIA™, BD Bioscience, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) in untreated (n=2), SSPCF-treated (n=3) and Cytomix-treated (positive control, n=2) tissues. Cytomix was composed of 1% FCS (Amined, Cat 2-01F36-1, BioConcept Ltd, Allschwil, Switzerland), 0.2 mg/mL LPS (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) and 500 ng/ml TNF-α (GenTex, Irvine, CA, USA).

Mucociliary clearance
MCC represents the airway epithelial clearance mechanism that allows elimination of foreign particles, pathogens, and chemicals.24,25 To this end, 5 µm microbeads were added onto the apical surface of untreated (n=3), SSPCF-treated (n=3) and 50 µM isoproterenol-treated (positive control, n=3) tissues. For bead tracking, one-minute videos (images taken every second) were recorded using DMIRE2 microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with Ds-5 mc camera (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). A total of 200–500 beads were tracked (Image Pro Plus, Media Cybernetics, Rockville, MD, USA).

ATP release quantification
ATP is a paracrine regulator of airway epithelia functions and is released in response to various stimuli such as hypotonic stress-induced swelling.26 ATP was quantified by CellTiter-Glo® Luminescent Cell Viability Assay kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). 30 µl of CellTiter-Glo® reagent were added to 30 µl of collected apical solution in three biological replicates. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 20 mins and read in Tecan Infinite M200 (bioluminescence mode setting integration time of 1,000 ms). Each sample was measured in technical duplicate.

AQP3 staining
AQP3 is a water channel protein that permits rapid and selective water transport across the membrane of the human airway epithelium in response to osmotic gradients.27 Three paraffin slides per condition were deparaffinized, rehydrated, and incubated at 99°C for 8 mins in citrate buffer for antigen retrieval. Tissues were then incubated overnight with primary rabbit polyclonal AQP3 antibody (1:500 in 1% BSA in PBS), 30 mins with Histofine Simple Stain AP Multi (Nichirei Biosciences Inc, Tokyo, Japan), and with New Fuchsin chromogen (Nichirei Biosciences Inc, Tokyo, Japan) and examined under the Leica DM2500 microscope (40× magnification).

Alcian blue staining
Alcian blue is the preferred stain for mucins.28 Three slides per condition were deparaffinized, rehydrated with distilled water and stained with Alcian Blue solution for 30 mins. Counterstaining was performed with nuclear fast red solution for 5 mins. Tissues were dehydrated in 95% and 100%
alcohol, mounted with resinous mounting medium and analyzed under light microscopy (40× magnification).

**Results**

**Effects of SSPCF on tissue integrity and barrier function**

Treatment with SSPCF caused a statistically significant transepithelial electrical resistance decrease on Day 1 ($p=0.0024$) which was still above the tissue integrity limit. On Day 3, TEER values were statistically higher ($p=0.0033$) in SSPCF-treated tissues compared to saline control (Figure 1A and Table S1). In addition, there was no statistically significant increase in permeability after 3 days of SSPCF treatment in comparison to saline solution ($p=0.062$) (Figure 1B and Table S2). Together with TEER values, these data indicate that there is no increase in paracellular transport. This suggests maintenance of epithelium integrity and formation of an improved barrier in epithelium with SSPCF.

**Effects of SSPCF on cytotoxicity**

Tissues treated with SSPCF presented a similar LDH release profile to untreated tissues (Figure 2 and Table S3). There was no LDH secretion after treatment with SSPCF during 1, 2, 3, or 4 days, indicating no cytotoxicity, compared to tissues treated with Triton X-100 (positive control for cell lysis corresponding to 100% cytotoxicity).

**Pro-inflammatory effects of SSPCF**

Results in Figure 3 (Table S4) show that treatment with Cytomix induced a strong release of IL-8 both on days 1 and 4. However, treatment with SSPCF showed a similar IL-8 secretion profile to untreated tissues ($p=0.1043$ and $p=0.2106$; for Day 1 and Day 4, respectively).

These results indicate that treatment with SSPCF enhances the barrier effect of the nasal epithelial cells and is well tolerated by these cells as no cytotoxicity or inflammation activity was observed.

**Effects of SSPCF on mucociliary clearance**

Results in Figure 4 (Table S5) represent an average velocity of particle movement. MCC rates of cells treated with SSPCF and isoproterenol increased 2.5-fold compared to untreated cells one day after treatment (untreated vs SSPCF: $p=0.0035$; untreated vs isoproterenol: $p=0.0181$). In cells treated with SSPCF, this enhanced bead clearance rate was maintained 4 days after treatment similar to the effect observed in isoproterenol-treated tissues (untreated vs SSPCF: $p=0.0061$; untreated vs isoproterenol: $p=0.0007$).

**Effects of SSPCF on tissue morphology and physiology**

**ATP release**

Two-minute SSPCF treatment after stress decreased the stress-induced ATP release by 86.98%, compared to
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**Figure 1** Barrier-forming properties of SSPCF: TEER and Lucifer yellow assays. (A) SSPCF treatment caused a significant decrease in resistance after 1 day and a significant increase in resistance after 3 days, as compared to saline treatment. The increase in resistance at day 3 indicates maintenance of epithelium integrity. (B) As compared to saline treatment, SSPCF treatment did not cause any significant change in the membrane permeability measured by the LY permeability assay. This further indicates the maintenance of the integrity and functionality of the epithelium. **$p<0.01$. Error bars represent SEM.**

**Abbreviations:** SSPCF, Stérimar Stop & Protect Cold and Flu; TEER, transepithelial electrical resistance; LY, Lucifer yellow.
isotonic saline solution, which indicates the recovery from hypotonic stress (6.874 nM vs 52.789 nM, \( p = 1.22 \times 10^{-7} \)) (Figure 5A and Table S6).

**Alcian blue and AQP3 stainings**

As seen in Figure 5B (left panel), in control tissues, the expression of AQP3 is dominant in the basal layer (pink staining). Upon hypotonic stress and subsequent saline treatment, the AQP3 expression increases and spreads to the whole tissue, including ciliated epithelial cells. However, SSPCF treatment after hypotonic stress partially reduces the AQP3 expression in the whole treatment, especially in the basal layer. As seen in Figure 5B (right panel, shape of the cells in pink/red, mucin production in blue), saline-only negative control presented a clear and regular organization of cuboidal mucus-producing goblet cells and ciliated epithelial cells. A two-minute isotonic solution treatment after hypotonic stress reduced mucus formation on the surface compared to saline-only negative control. Moreover, cilia were damaged, and cells were elongated. On the other hand, a 2-min treatment with SSPCF after hypotonic stress partially restored cuboidal, mucus-producing goblet cells as well as ciliated epithelial cells. In addition, mucus formation was restored.

These results indicate that SSPCF treatment helped recover the tissues from hypotonic stress and that it performed better than the isotonic solution.

**Discussion**

Nasal irrigation is employed for a variety of conditions including nasal post-surgery care, allergic/non-allergic rhinitis, and acute or moderate rhinosinusitis. There is a limited number of studies on the efficiency of nasal saline irrigations against acute upper respiratory tract infections. The present in vitro study aims to test safety and efficacy of a hypertonic seawater saline solution with an association of hyaluronic acids, eucalyptus oil as well as copper and manganese salts (SSPCF). Hyaluronic acid present in the formulation is a key component of the extracellular matrix and is involved in morphogenesis, tissue repair, and wound healing. It is also used to recover the integrity of the epithelial tissue thanks to its film-forming capacity and can

**Figure 2** Secreted lactate dehydrogenase profile after treatment with SSPCF. Levels of LDH were measured in untreated, SSPCF-treated and Triton X-100-treated MucilAir™ epithelial cells for 1–4 days. SSPCF treatment did not cause an increase in the secreted LDH levels as compared to untreated tissues. This indicates the safety of SSPCF on the nasal epithelium in terms of cytotoxicity. The values were normalized to the levels of secreted LDH in the Triton-X 100-treated tissues (100%).

**Abbreviations:** SSPCF, Sterimar Stop & Protect Cold and Flu; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase.

**Figure 3** Effect of SSPCF on IL-8 secretion. Levels of IL-8 were measured in an ELISA assay in untreated, SSPCF-treated and Cytomix-treated MucilAir™ epithelial cells. SSPCF treatment did not cause a significant increase in the secreted IL-8 levels as compared to untreated tissues indicating the safety of use of SSPCF on the nasal epithelium in terms of pro-inflammation. Error bars represent SEM.

**Abbreviations:** SSPCF, Sterimar Stop & Protect Cold and Flu; IL-8, interleukin-8; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.
contribute to wound healing by serving as a scaffold. Moreover, in acute rhinosinusitis patients, it has been shown that hyaluronic acid significantly improves disease symptoms, mucociliary transport evaluation time and reduces neutrophil count on nasal cytology. Eucalyptus oil has been shown to have antimicrobial effects against a wide range of bacterial pathogens that cause respiratory infections, including *S. aureus*. Also, at low concentrations, eucalyptus oil has been shown to cause an increase in ciliary beat frequency which is partially related to MCC rate. Copper and manganese have been demonstrated to stimulate the body’s self-defense mechanisms.

To evaluate whether barrier properties are affected upon treatment with the SSPCF, changes in TEER should be considered together with the Lucifer yellow assay. Treatment with SSPCF increased TEER compared to saline treatment suggesting barrier effect enhancement. Transient decrease of TEER observed at Day 1 after SSPCF treatment may reflect ion channel activation as SSPCF, being hypertonic, contains high levels of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions. This result is further confirmed by the Lucifer yellow assay which showed that treatment with SSPCF only marginally increased Lucifer yellow permeability compared to saline

### Figure 4: Mucociliary clearance after treatment with SSPCF

Mucociliary clearance rates in untreated, SSPCF-treated, and isoproterenol-treated tissues. Both on Day 1 and Day 4, SSPCF and isoproterenol treatments increased MCC rates, as compared to untreated controls. The differences between SSPCF and isoproterenol on days 1 and 4 did not reach the statistical significance (Day 1: p=0.538; Day 4: p=0.717). This indicates an improvement in the mucociliary clearance upon SSPCF treatment. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001. Error bars represent SEM.

**Abbreviations:** SSPCF, Stérimar Stop & Protect Cold and Flu; MCC, mucociliary clearance.

### Figure 5: Evaluation of the ATP release and cell morphology effects of SSPCF

(A) ATP release (nM) after 2 mins of treatment following 5 mins of hypotonic saline solution pre-application. Hypotonic solution + saline treatment caused a significant increase in ATP release, as compared to saline-only control. On the other hand, the amount of ATP released upon hypotonic solution + SSPCF treatment was significantly lower compared to hypotonic solution + saline treatment. ***p<0.001. (B) Immunohistochemical staining of the tissues 2 mins after treatment, by AQP3 antibody and Alcian blue. These data indicate that SSPCF treatment helps recover the tissues from hypotonic stress. Error bars represent SEM.

**Abbreviations:** ATP, adenosine 5'-triphosphate; SSPCF, Stérimar Stop & Protect Cold and Flu; AQP3, aquaporin 3.
control (not statistically significant) indicating that there is no damage to tight junctions. These results suggest that SSPCF reinforces the epithelial barrier function. In addition to tissue integrity, treatment with SSPCF showed no effects on IL-8 and LDH secretion suggesting a good tolerability of the formula by nasal epithelial cells in vitro.

Given that SSPCF appears safe and well tolerated, the efficacy of SSPCF treatment was assessed by MCC rate measurements. MCC is a first line defense mechanism that aids the airway epithelium to clear foreign particles and chemicals, allowing the airways and lungs to remain healthy. It depends on cilia beating and the existence of a protective mucus layer acting to remove foreign inhaled particles and chemicals. During the MCC process, mucus that contains antimicrobial and antioxidant agents is secreted and microbes are trapped. Treatment with SSPCF caused a strong increase in the MCC rates that were maintained even 4 days after treatment compared to untreated cultures. Previous results from a clinical study had shown that administration of a 14.4% saline aerosol increased MCC in healthy and asthmatic subjects, compared to 0.9% saline aerosol, suggesting that salt concentration may have an impact on MCC. Since SSPCF contains a high NaCl concentration (2.3%), it is possible that the observed increase in MCC is due to the increase in salt content compared to less concentrated saline solutions.

It is assumed that hypertonic solutions, when used for nasal irrigation, naturally act as decongestants through draining water from the nasal epithelial tissues. Increased water in the nasal cavity may dilute mucus and enable clearance. In order to measure the water draining potential of SSPCF, ATP release quantification assay was used. Airway epithelial cells release ATP, a paracrine regulator, in response to stress situations such as hypotonic stress-induced swelling, loss of cell membrane integrity, etc. SSPCF treatment, after hypotonic stress, decreased the amount of ATP release by 87%, compared to treatment with an isotonic saline solution.

In addition to the effect of SSPCF treatment on recovery from hypotonic stress, the morphology of the tissues was monitored by aquaporin (AQP3) and Alcian blue staining. Aquaporins are membrane-bound water channel proteins with distinctive expression pattern in the nasal epithelium. They ensure rapid water transport across cell membranes in response to osmotic gradients. One member of aquaporins, AQP3, is expressed in the basolateral cells of the airway epithelial lining (nasal conchae, tracheal, and nasopharyngeal epithelium) which suggests that the modulation of airway surface liquid, air humidification, and generation of nasopharyngeal secretions involve a coordinated network of aquaporin water channels. On the other hand, Alcian blue stains the cell membrane and is used to visualize the cellular organization of the tissues. Using these approaches, Figure 5 shows that SSPCF treatment helped recover the tissue structure from hypotonic stress only 2 mins after application.

The limitations of the study include the low number of replicates used in the assays and the controls used in different experiments: ie, saline in TEER, LY, and ATP release assays, and untreated conditions in the rest of the experiments. Based on the positive outcomes, further experiments with higher number of samples and use of consistent inter-experiment controls should be conducted in the future.

Overall, the present study suggests that SSPCF, based on hypertonic seawater, is safe, well tolerated and effective in promoting and maintaining MCC and recovery from hypotonic stress, without affecting the cellular organization of the epithelial cells. Thus, we hypothesize that SSPCF works to alleviate symptoms of nasal congestion. In addition, since nasal saline solutions are non-prescription medicinal products, their use could limit the cost and frequency of medical visits and the irrational use of some medical solutions.

**Conclusion**

This study suggests the in vitro efficacy of SSPCF, a novel hypertonic seawater solution enriched with hyaluronic acids, eucalyptus oil, copper and manganese salts in MCC and recovery from hypotonic stress with good levels of tolerability. These collective findings suggest a potential for SSPCF to relieve symptoms of common cold patients by contributing to airway decongestion. Further studies are required to better understand the benefits of the SSPCF at a clinical level.

**Abbreviation list**

3D, three dimensional; AQP3, Aquaporin 3; ATP, adenosine 5′-triphosphate; BSA, bovine serum albumin; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; HF, human fibroblast; IL-8, interleukin 8; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; LY, Lucifer yellow; MCC, mucociliary clearance; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; SSPCF, Sterimar Stop & Protect Cold and Flu; TEER, transepithelial electrical resistance.
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## Supplementary materials

### Results of individual experiments

**Table S1 TEER**

|       | Sample 1 | Sample 2 | Sample 1 | Sample 2 |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Saline| 426.217  | 411.147  | 398.717  | 383.537  |
| SSPCF | 260.667  | 263.697  | 576.367  | 592.427  |

Abbreviations: TEER, Transepithelial electrical resistance; SSPCF, Stérimar Stop & Protect Cold and Flu.

**Table S2 LY**

|       | Day 3 |
|-------|-------|
|       | Sample 1 | Sample 2 |
| Saline| 0.196  | 0.191 |
| SSPCF | 0.269  | 0.238 |

Abbreviations: LY, Lucifer yellow; SSPCF, Stérimar Stop & Protect Cold and Flu.
### Table S3 LDH

|       | Day 1 | Day 2 | Day 3 | Day 4 |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|       | Sample 1 | Sample 2 | Sample 3 | Sample 1 | Sample 2 | Sample 3 | Sample 1 | Sample 2 | Sample 3 |
| Untreated | 1.068% | 1.419% | 2.035% | 1.454% | 1.345% | 1.882% | 1.071% | 1.021% | 0.816% |
| SSPCF | 2.443% | 2.185% | 2.139% | 2.139% | 1.964% | 1.127% | 0.874% | 0.816% | 0.641% | 0.834% |
| Triton-X 100 | 99.358% | 100.642% | 98.796% | 101.204% | 99.360% | 100.640% | 98.807% | 101.193% | 0.834% |

**Abbreviations:** LDH, Lactate dehydrogenase; SSPCF: Stérimar Stop & Protect Cold and Flu.

### Table S4 IL-8

|       | Day 1 | Day 4 |
|-------|-------|-------|
|       | Sample 1 | Sample 2 | Sample 3 | Sample 1 | Sample 2 | Sample 3 |
| Untreated | 4.248 | 6.882 | 9.657 | 48.734 | 14.350 | 10.861 |
| SSPCF | 8.095 | 12.625 | 199.767 | 12.399 | 10.909 | 282.729 |
| Cytomix | 202.440 | | | 273.197 | | |

**Abbreviations:** IL-8, Interleukin-8; SSPCF: Stérimar Stop & Protect Cold and Flu.
### Table S5 MCC

|       | Day 1          | Day 4          |
|-------|----------------|----------------|
|       | Sample 1 | Sample 2 | Sample 3 | Sample 1 | Sample 2 | Sample 3 |
| Untreated | 20.867 | 21.069 | 19.326 | 24.683 | 19.211 | 18.302 |
| SSPCF   | 57.746 | 42.760 | 45.949 | 60.619 | 48.099 | 42.866 |
| Isoproterenol | 73.661 | 49.214 | 44.136 | 46.390 | 46.086 | 52.484 |

**Abbreviations:** MCC, Mucociliary clearance; SSPCF, Stérimar Stop & Protect Cold and Flu.

### Table S6 ATP

|       | 5 mins +2 mins |
|-------|----------------|
|       | Sample 1 | Sample 2 | Sample 3 |
| Saline Only | 4.592 | 4.535 | 4.597 |
| Hypotonic + Saline | 53.851 | 51.875 | 52.641 |
| Hypotonic + SSPCF | 7.318 | 6.147 | 7.158 |

**Abbreviations:** ATP, Adenosine 5‘-triphosphate; SSPCF: Stérimar Stop & Protect Cold and Flu.