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The role of dynamical cosmological Casimir effect to phantom (constant \( w \)) and oscillating universe is discussed. It is shown explicitly that its role is not essential near to Big Rip singularity. However, the account of Casimir fluid makes the scale factor approach to Rip time to be faster. Rip time itself maybe changed too.
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I. INTRODUCTION.

The Dark Energy problem is one of the main task for theoretical physics of last years. Since it was suggested its existence in 1998, a lot of candidates have been proposed (for a review see [1]) in order to give a natural explanation of what we observe. One of these proposals deals with dark fluids, which possess an inhomogeneous Equation of State (EoS) that may depend on time (see Ref. [2]-[4]). In some works, the EoS is assumed to be periodic in time such that it could explain the so-called coincidence problem (see [5]-[7] and references therein). On the other hand, as some analysis of the observations suggest (Ref. [8]), the EoS parameter \( w \) for dark energy might have already (or nearly in the future) crossed the phantom barrier (\( w < -1 \)), which could imply some kind of future singularity (for a classification of future singularities see [9]). In the current work we study a constant and an oscillating dark energy fluid that crosses this barrier and drives the Universe evolution to a Big Rip singularity. We analyze the implications that a Casimir term could produce on the evolution, as it was pointed in Ref.[10] and [11], this Casimir effect could play an important role on the dynamics of the Universe. Then, the effects on the cosmological evolution and specially close to Big Rip singularity are explored.

II. THE REVIEW OF THE OSCILLATING DARK ENERGY UNIVERSE

First of all, we review the oscillating universe scenario (see [2], [3] and refs.therein).

\textsuperscript{*}E-mail:gorbunovaog@tspu.edu.ru
\textsuperscript{†}E-mail: saez@ieec.uab.es

We consider the universe filled with the ideal fluid (dark energy) where the equation of state (EoS) parameter \( w \) is time-dependent:

\[ p = w(t) \rho . \]

The conservation law is:

\[ \dot{\rho} + 3H (p + \rho) = 0 , \]

and the FRW equation

\[ \frac{3}{\kappa^2} H^2 = \rho , \]

where \( H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \), with \( a(t) \) the scale factor. The combination of (2) and (3) gives

\[ \dot{\rho} + \kappa \sqrt{3} (1 + w(t)) \rho^{3/2} = 0 , \]

which can be integrated as

\[ \rho = \frac{4}{3\kappa^2 \left( \int dt (1 + w(t)) \right)^2} . \]

Using (4), the Hubble rate may be found

\[ H = h(t) = \frac{2}{3 \int dt (1 + w(t))} . \]

When \( w \) is a constant (not equal to phantom divide value), the standard expression is recovered

\[ H = \frac{2}{3(1 + w) (t - t_s)} . \]

If \( \int dt (1 + w(t)) = 0 \), \( H \) diverges, which corresponds to the Big Rip type singularity, which occurs when \( t = t_s \). In case \( w > -1 \), for the expanding universe where \( H > 0 \), the cosmological time \( t \) should be restricted to be \( t > t_s \).

The situation where \( w(t) \) is time-dependent, periodic function may be of physical interest, as it is
pointed in several works (see [5] and [6]). An interesting example is given by

\[ w = -1 + w_0 \cos \omega t. \]  

(8)

Here, \( w_0, \omega > 0 \).

Then Eq. (5) gives

\[ H = \frac{2\omega}{3(w_1 + w_0 \sin \omega t)}. \]  

(9)

Here \( w_1 \) is a constant of the integration. When \( |w_1| < w_0 \), the denominator can vanish, which corresponds to the Big Rip singularity. When \( |w_1| > w_0 \), there does not occur such a singularity. Since

\[ \dot{H} = -\frac{2\omega^2 w_0 \cos \omega t}{3(w_1 + w_0 \sin \omega t)^2}, \]  

(10)

when \( w_0 \cos \omega t < 0 \) (\( w_0 \cos \omega t > 0 \)), the universe lives in phantom (non-phantom) phase where \( \dot{H} > 0 \) \( \left( \dot{H} < 0 \right) \).

### III. THE ACCOUNT OF CASIMIR FLUID IN OSCILLATING AND PHANTOM COSMOLOGY

A simple and natural way of dealing with the Casimir effect in cosmology is to relate it to the single length parameter in the \((k = 0)\) theory, namely the scale factor \( a \). It means effectively that we should put the Casimir energy \( E_c \) inversely proportional to \( a \). This is in accordance with the basic property of the Casimir energy, viz. that it is a measure of the stress in the region interior to the "shell" as compared with the unstressed region on the outside. The effect is evidently largest in the beginning of the universe's evolution, when \( a \) is small. At late times, when \( a \to \infty \), the Casimir influence should be expected to fade away. As we have chosen a nondimensional, we shall introduce an auxiliary length \( L \) in the formalism. Thus we adopt in model in which

\[ E_c = \frac{C}{2La}, \]  

(11)

where \( C \) is a nondimensional constant. This is the same form as encountered for the case of a perfectly conducting shell. In the last-mentioned case, \( C \) was found to have the value

\[ C = 0.09235. \]  

(12)

In the following we shall for definiteness assume \( C \) to be positive, corresponding to a repulsive Casimir force, though \( C \) will not necessarily be required to have the value (12). The repulsiveness is a characteristic feature of conducting shell Casimir theory, following from electromagnetic field theory under the assumption that dispersive short-range effects are left out. Another assumption that we shall make, is that \( C \) is small compared with unity. This is physically reasonable, in view of the conventional feebleness of the Casimir force. The expression (11) corresponds to a Casimir pressure

\[ p_c = \frac{-1}{4\pi(La)^2} \frac{\partial E_c}{\partial (La)} = \frac{C}{4\pi L^4 a^4}, \]  

(13)

and leads consequently to a Casimir energy density \( p_c \propto 1/a^4 \).

Repeating steps of (10) we have:

\[ p_c = \frac{C}{8\pi L^3 a^4}, \quad \rho_c = \frac{3C}{8\pi L^3 a^4}. \]  

(14)

Thus, FRW equation of motion looks as

\[ \frac{3}{\kappa^2} H^2 = \rho + \frac{C_1}{a^4}, \]  

(15)

as Eq. (2). Note that combination of two non-coupled fluids maybe considered as single inhomogeneous fluid (see [2] and [3]).

The conservation law Eq. (2) is the same, because fluids do not couple:

\[ \dot{\rho} + 3H(p + \rho) = 0. \]  

(16)

Substituting (16) into (10) one gets:

\[ \dot{\rho} + \kappa \sqrt{3}(1 + w(t))\rho \sqrt{(\rho + \frac{C_1}{a^4})} = 0. \]  

(17)

In general, this equation is very difficult to be solved analytically. Although, by deriving the Friedmann equation (15) respect \( t \) and combining with the energy conservation equation (16), it yields

\[ \dot{\theta} + \frac{1}{2}(1 + w(t))\theta^2 = -(1 - 3w(t)) \frac{3GC}{2L^4 a^4}, \]  

(18)

where \( \theta(t) = 3H(t) \). The case of viscous dark fluid (10) is very similar. This equation describes the dynamics of the Universe evolution for a given function \( w(t) \), and it is solved as \( a = a(t) \). It is easy to see that when the equation of state parameter \( w = 1/3 \),
the contribution of the Casimir effect to the dynamics of the Universe vanishes. Let us analyze first of all, a simple example when \( w(t) = w_0 \) is a constant. For this case, the equation (18) is rewritten as

\[
\ddot{a} + \frac{1 + 3w_0}{2} \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a} = -(1 - 3w_0) \frac{GC}{2L^4a^4}.
\]

And the general solution for this equation results:

\[
t - t_s = \frac{ada}{\sqrt{k}a^{1-3w_0} + \frac{GC}{L^4}},
\]

where \( k \) is an integration constant. When we have a phantom field with \( w_0 << -1 \), the solution takes the form:

\[
a(t) = B \left[ \left( \frac{1}{e^{\sqrt{k}B(t_s-t)}-1} \right)^{2} - 1 \right]^{1/3w_0},
\]

here \( B = \frac{GC}{L^4} \). Note that for \( t \to t_s \), the scale factor given by (21) diverges, such that the so-called Big Rip singularity takes place. On the other hand, if we neglect the Casimir contribution \( 0 < C << 1 \), the solution for the scale factor yields

\[
a(t) \sim (t_s-t)^{\frac{1}{4}+w_0} = (t_s-t)^{-\frac{1}{4}[1+w_0]},
\]

which is the solution in absence of the Casimir term (7). This solution grows slower than in the above case when the Casimir contribution is taken into account (21). Also note that the Rip time \( t_s \) for each case is different if the Casimir contribution is neglected in the second case, due to \( t_s \) depends on the content from the Universe as it can be seen by the first Friedmann equation \( t_s - t_0 = \int_{t_0}^{t_s} \frac{da}{H_{0}(a)\Omega_i(a)} \),

where \( t_0 \) denotes the current time and \( \Omega_i(a) \) is the cosmological parameter for the component \( i \) of the Universe.

Let us now to analyze the equation (18) when \( w = w(t) \) is a periodic function (8). By inserting (8) into (18), the equation turns much more complicated than the constant case studied above. As the Casimir contribution is assumed to be very small, we can deal the equation (18) by perturbation methods. Hence, the solution might be written as

\[
\dot{\theta}(t) = \theta_0 + C\dot{\theta}_1 + O(C^2),
\]

And by inserting (23) into (18), the zero and first order in perturbations can be separated, and it yields the following two equations:

\[
\ddot{\theta}_0 + \frac{1}{2} w_0 \cos \omega t \dot{\theta}_0 = 0,
\]

\[
\theta_1 + w_0 \cos \omega t \theta_0 \theta_1 = - \frac{3G}{2L^4} (4w_0 \cos \omega t) \frac{1}{a^4(t)},
\]

where \( a_0(t) = \exp \left( \int dt \frac{2w}{3(1+w_0) \sin \omega t} \right) \) is the solution for the zero order calculated in the previous section, see (9). We suppose for simplicity \( w_1 \sim w_0 \), such that a Big Rip singularity appears when \( 1 + \sin \omega t_s \rightarrow 0 \). As we are interested in the possible effects close to the singularity, we can expand the trigonometric functions as series around \( t_s \). Then, the second equation in (24) takes the form:

\[
\dot{\theta}_1 + \frac{4}{3 \omega^2} \frac{1}{t_s - t} \theta_1 = - \frac{3G}{2L^4} (4 + \frac{3w_0}{\omega}(t_s - t)) e^{rac{-i\omega t_s}{\omega}},
\]

whose solution is given by

\[
\theta_1(t) = k(t_s - t)^{\frac{1}{4x}} + (t_s - t)^{\frac{1}{4x}} g(1/t_s - t),
\]

where

\[
g(x) = \frac{3GC}{2L^4 B} \left[ 4x^A e^{-Bx} - \frac{4(4A+B)}{B} \int x^{A-1} e^{-Bx} dx \right].
\]

Here \( A = \frac{4-6w_0}{3\omega^2} \) and \( B = \frac{16}{3\omega^2} \). Then, we can analyze the behavior from the contribution to the solution at first order (20) when the Universe is close to the singularity. When \( t \to t_s \) (\( x \to \infty \)), the function (20) goes to zero, which means that the Casimir contribution has no effect at the Rip time.

Thus, we have demonstrated, that dynamical Casimir effect gives no essential contribution to (phantom oscillating) dark energy dynamics near to Rip singularity.

IV. DISCUSSION

We discussed the role of dynamical cosmological Casimir effect to phantom (constant \( w \)) and oscillating universes. It is shown explicitly that its role is not essential near to Big Rip singularity. Moreover, the Rip time \( t_s \) may change due to the account of Casimir fluid contribution. For oscillating universe approaching to finite-time singularity, the account of dynamical Casimir effect is made approximately, using perturbations technique. It is possible that only quantum gravity account [12] may prevent the occurrence of Big Rip singularity.
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