Clinical profile and outcome of septic shock in children admitted to a tertiary care referral hospital
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Abstract

Background: There is dearth of studies on detailed clinical profile and outcome of pediatric septic shock in India.
Objective: To describe clinical profile and outcome of septic shock in children.
Methods: This retrospective study was conducted from June 2010 to June 2013 in a tertiary care hospital. Case records of children aged 1 month to 18 years with diagnosis of septic shock were analyzed.
Results: A total of 94 (9%) out of 1035 admissions had shock and 53 (56.3%) of them had a diagnosis of septic shock. Analysis was done on 43 (M:F, 20:23). The mean age was 3 year (range 1 month–14 years). Maximum (48.83%) cases were in infancy. The common presenting symptom was fever (62.79%) followed by altered mental status in 30.23%. Pediatric SIRS criteria was met in 35 (81.3%). Most common abnormal lab parameters were elevated liver enzymes (86.04%) followed by anemia (62.79%) and leukocytosis (60.46%). Thrombocytopenia and Coagulopathy was seen in 55.81% and 60.41% respectively. Pneumonia was the commonest etiology (51.1%) followed by cellulitis/abscess in 30.2%. Blood culture was positive in 18.6% and Staphylococcus was the commonest organism.
Frequency of MODS was 90.69%. Most (74.41%) cases presented with decompensated shock and 97.67% required inotropes. Majority (88.37%) required mechanical ventilation. The mortality rate was 60.46% and mean duration of PICU was 8.3 days. Anemia, leucopenia, decompensated shock and need for mechanical ventilation were significantly associated with mortality (p<0.05).
Conclusion: Septic shock was the most common type of shock encountered in PICU and carries a high mortality. Maximum numbers of patients were below 1 year and pneumonia was the commonest underlying cause.
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Introduction

Sepsis is one of the most common causes of morbidity and mortality in infants and children worldwide particularly in developing countries [1]. The global data on sepsis in children are incomplete but it is estimated that infection accounts for more than 60% mortality under five children. According to World Health Organization (WHO) four big causes of death in children worldwide are severe Pneumonia (1.9 million deaths/year), severe diarrhea (1.6 million/year), severe malaria (1.1 million/year), severe measles (5,50,000 deaths/year). World Health Organization (WHO) used the term severe when children develop acidosis or hypotension or both [2]. Sepsis causes a release of inflammatory mediators, maldistribution of intravascular volume and depression of myocardial function resulting into septic shock. Septic shock is a clinical picture arising out of tissue hypoperfusion following microbial infection. The worldwide burden of pediatric septic shock is huge. Estimates of the incidence of sepsis suggest that there are more than 42,000 cases annually in the United States and millions worldwide and 5-30% of total sepsis patients develop septic shock [3]. The mortality rate in septic shock patients may be as high as 50%. The outcome in septic shock is worse when associated with co-morbidities and multiple organ dysfunctions [3].

Advances in pediatric intensive care guidelines for septic shock management improved survival of children overall. However septic shock continues to be an important cause of morbidity and mortality in the
In resource limited countries like India [1]. In 2001, a study was published on treatment of sepsis, which stated the importance of early recognition and treatment. In this study mortality rate was reduced by 16% by using a form of bundled care called early goal directed therapy. This early directed goal therapy consists of set of interventions based on evidence based practice [4]. Early directed goal therapy was adopted by surviving sepsis campaign to improve diagnosis, management and survival of patient with sepsis [4].

Patients presenting with sepsis or septic shock progress rapidly to serious states, and if left untreated, may rapidly progress to death. Even with treatment the mortality in septic shock may be as high as 50%. It is estimated that sepsis and septic shock is the 4th leading cause of hospital admission [5]. The severity and high mortality of this condition highlights that it is a major challenge in front of health care system. There is paucity of data on the epidemiology of shock in developing countries. Studies on pediatric septic shock from India are limited. This study was undertaken to analyze the clinical profile and outcome of septic shock in a tertiary care teaching hospital.

Material & Methods

This retrospective study was conducted in pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) of a tertiary care teaching hospital in western Maharashtra from June 2010-June 2013 (3 years). Our PICU is a referral center with 8 beds catering to districts in western Maharashtra and neighboring Karnataka. The unit has an average of 400 admissions per year.

A database of all admission to PICU during the study period was queried. Records of all children aged 1 month to 18 years with shock were reviewed. Those subjects who fulfilled the diagnostic criteria of septic shock on admission or who developed shock during hospital admission were included in the study.

Septic shock was defined as clinical suspicion presenting with hypothermia or hyperthermia accompanied by hypotension and / alteration in perfusion. Diagnosis of Severe inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS), sepsis and MODS were defined as per established criteria [6, 7]. Subjects whose records were incomplete or missing or who died within 4 hours were excluded. The demographic profile, clinical presentation, laboratory parameters and outcome were recorded. Details regarding organ dysfunction need for inotropes and mechanical ventilation were also obtained.

Statistical analysis: Continuous variables were summarized using means, while categorical variables were summarized using percentages. The Chi-square test was used to determine the association of different variables in survivors and non-survivors.

Results

Out of 1035 admissions to PICU during the study period 94 (9%) cases had shock. Hypovolemic and cardiogenic shock were seen in 37 (39.36%) and 4 (4.25%) cases respectively. Clinical diagnosis of septic shock was made in 53 (56.3%) out of 94 patients. Total of 43 cases (20 males, 23 females) were included in the final analysis after exclusion of 10 cases (4 case record not traceable, 4 incomplete charts, 2 died within 4 hours). The mean age was 3 year (range 1 month – 14 years). Maximum (48.83%) children were in the age group of 1 – 12 months.

The common presenting symptom was fever in 27 (62.79%) cases followed by altered mental status in 13 (30.23%) cases. Other presenting feature were breathlessness in 23.25%, cough in 18.60%, vomiting and abdominal distension in 9.3% each (Table I). Mean duration of symptoms was 6.4 days.

| Presenting symptoms    | Number of patients | Percentage |
|------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Fever                  | 27                 | 62.79%     |
| Altered Mental status  | 13                 | 30.23%     |
| Breathlessness         | 10                 | 23.25%     |
| Cough                  | 8                  | 18.60%     |
| Vomiting               | 4                  | 9.3%       |
| Abdominal distension   | 4                  | 9.3%       |
| Abdominal Pain         | 3                  | 6.97%      |
Table II: SIRS parameters.

| SIRS parameters | Number of Patients | Percentage |
|-----------------|--------------------|------------|
| Temperature     | 27                 | 62.79%     |
| TLC             | 36                 | 83.72%     |
| HR              | 32                 | 74.41%     |
| RR              | 35                 | 81.39%     |

Table III: Lab parameters.

| Parameters                  | Number of patients | Percentage |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Anemia (Hb < 10)            | 27                 | 62.79%     |
| Leucopenia (TLC < 5000)     | 6                  | 13.95%     |
| Leukocytosis                | 26                 | 60.46%     |
| Thrombocytopenia (< 1 lac)  | 24                 | 55.81%     |
| Coagulopathy                | 26                 | 60.46%     |
| Hypoglycemia                | 10                 | 23.25%     |
| Hyperglycemia (BSL > 150)   | 14                 | 32.55%     |
| Raised liver enzymes        | 37                 | 86.04%     |
| Base excess (> 5)           | 19                 | 44.18%     |

Table IV: Comparison between survivors and Non-survivors.

| Characteristic | Non-survivors (n-26) | Survivors (n-17) | Chi-square | p-value | Significance |
|----------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------|---------|--------------|
| AGE < 1 year   | 15                    | 10               | 0.005      | 0.941   | Not significant |
| > 1 year       | 11                    | 7                |            |         |              |
| SEX Male       | 12                    | 8                | 0.003      | 0.953   | Not significant |
| Female         | 14                    | 9                |            |         |              |
| SHOCK          |                       |                  |            |         |              |
| Compensated    | 3                     | 8                | 6.812      | 0.009   | Highly significant |
| Decompensated  | 23                    | 9                |            |         |              |
| LAB PARAMETERS |                       |                  |            |         |              |
| Anemia         | 20                    | 7                | 5.621      | 0.017   | Significant  |
| Leucopenia     | 5                     | 1                | 5.596      | 0.018   | Significant  |
| Leukocytosis   | 18                    | 8                | 2.113      | 0.145   | Not significant |
| Thrombocytopenia| 16                   | 8                | 0.873      | 0.349   | Not significant |
| Coagulopathy   | 14                    | 12               | 1.205      | 0.272   | Not significant |
| Hypoglycemia   | 6                     | 4                | 0.001      | 0.972   | Not significant |
| Hyperglycemia  | 10                    | 4                | 1.043      | 0.306   | Not significant |
| Liver dysfunction| 23                   | 14               | 0.319      | 0.571   | Not significant |
| Base excess    | 12                    | 7                | 0.103      | 0.747   | Not significant |
| MODS           |                       |                  |            |         |              |
| 2 – 4 organs   | 18                    | 14               | 2.106      | 0.146   | Not significant |
| > 4 organs     | 6                     | 1                |            |         |              |
| INOTROPE required| 26                   | 16               | 1.565      | 0.210   | Not significant |
| MECHANICAL VENTILATION required| 26 | 12 | 8.653 | 0.003 | Significant |

Pediatric SIRS criteria were met in 35 (81.3%) children with septic shock. Abnormal total leukocyte count and tachypnea were the most commonly observed parameters among the SIRS criteria (Table II). Most common hematological abnormalities observed were anemia (Hb < 10gm %) in 27 (62.79%) and leukocytosis in 26 (60.46%). Thrombocytopenia (Platelet < 1 lac) and Coagulopathy were seen in 55.81% and 60.41% respectively. Leucopenia was present only in 13.95% cases. Mean leukocyte count was 15212 (range 1200 - 39900). Other common biochemical
derangements included elevated liver enzymes in 86.04%, hyperglycemia in 14 (32.55%), hypoglycemia in 10 (23.25%) and deranged renal function tests in 6 (13.95%) (Table III). Pneumonia was the commonest cause (51.1%) followed by cellulitis/abscess in 30.2%. Other causes included meningitis (9.3%), intra-abdominal and urinary tract infections (4.65%) each.

Blood culture was positive only in 8 (18.6%) cases and coagulase positive Staphylococcus aureus was the commonest organism. Other organisms isolated were Pseudomonas, Acinetobacter and Enterococcus. Frequency of MODS was 90.69% and 32.55% had 4 or more organs involved. Majority (74.41%) cases presented with decompensated shock. Most (97.67%) patients were fluid refractory and inotrope dependent. Majority (88.37%) required mechanical ventilation. The mortality rate was 60.46%. Mean duration of PICU was 8.3 days (range 8 hours – 36 days). On comparing various clinical and laboratory parameters between survivors and non-survivors it was observed that mortality was significantly associated with anemia, leucopenia, decompensated shock and mechanical ventilation (Table IV).

Discussion

The frequency of shock in intensive care was 9% in this study. Septic shock was the most common type of shock followed by hypovolemic shock. According to western data shock occurs in 2% of hospitalized children while one Indian study reported frequency of 4.3% [8]. However there are no such data regarding incidence of shock in developing countries. Age distribution showed that nearly half (46.52%) of the patients were below one year. There was no gender related difference in the occurrence of septic shock. Our findings are consistent with the previous studies [2, 5, 8, 9].

Fever (62.79%) was the most common presenting symptom followed by altered mental status (30.23%). Other symptoms such as breathlessness and cough were seen in 23.25% and 18.60% respectively. A study from Libya reported fever (88%) as the most common presenting complaint followed by cough (38%), diarrhea (35%) and vomiting (28%) [9]. A Study from Romania also reported that most children (98%) presented with changes in core temperature followed by significant changes in heart rate and tachypnea [5]. Majority (81.3%) of patients diagnosed with septic shock in the present study met the SIRS criteria.

Total leukocyte count and temperature were the most common abnormalities observed in subjects fulfilling SIRS criteria. Militaru M et al observed that most (56%) patients fulfilled 3 out of 4 criteria of SIRS with most common abnormal criteria was temperature followed by leukocyte count [5].

Children with septic shock were found to present with a variety of abnormal laboratory parameters. Most common hematological abnormalities observed were anemia (62.79%) followed by leucocytosis (60.46%) and thrombocytopenia (55.81%). Elevated liver enzyme was the most (86.04%) common biochemical abnormality seen in this study. In a study from Libya, Benamer et al observed leukocytosis in 50%, anemia in 40%, leucopenia in 10% and raised liver enzymes in 43%. They observed thrombocytosis (38%) more frequently than thrombocytopenia (10%) [9]. As compared to the above study higher proportion of children in the present study had alteration in laboratory variables. Serum lactate and ionic calcium were not done in all patients during study period hence was not included in the analysis.

Pneumonia was the most common cause of septic shock in our study. Fisher JD et al and Gaines NN et al also reported predominance of respiratory illness and isolation of respiratory pathogen from the septic shock patients [10, 11]. A study from Romania also reported respiratory infection to be the most (64%) common etiology followed by digestive tract infection and urinary tract infection [5].

Blood culture yield in the present study was low (18.6%) and Staphylococcus was the most common organism isolated. Low yield of blood culture has been reported by earlier authors (5, 8). However some studies have reported higher culture positivity with predominance of gram negative organisms [12, 13, 14]. Low blood culture yield in the present study can be explained by the fact that most of our patients were referred and had prior antibiotic treatment.

Majority (74.41%) patients presented with hypotensive shock. They were fluid refractory and inotrope dependent. This clearly reflects the existing pattern of late referrals and lack of awareness among the health professionals. Most (90.69%) patients had multiple organ dysfunction and 32.55% patients had 4 or more organ involvement. Similar observation is reported in a
previous study [5]. High mortality (60.46%) was observed in the present study. The literature reports high mortality rate of over 50% in pediatric patients with septic shock [14]. An Indian study from PGI, Chandigarh also reported high (65.8%) mortality rate in fluid refractory septic shock [15]. Other Indian studies have reported mortality rates of 47% from Punjab, 50% from AIIMS, Delhi, 58% from Rohtak, Haryana [8, 16, 17]. Our findings are in line with other Indian studies. A study from Romania also reported mortality rate of 53% [5].

We compared various clinical and laboratory variables between survivors and non-survivors. Among the lab parameters anemia and leucopenia had significant association with mortality. Decompensated shock and need for mechanical ventilation were also significantly associated with mortality. Han YY et al reported that non-survivors were treated with more inotropic therapies as compared to survivors [18]. In a recent study from Rohtak where authors evaluated the hemodynamic and laboratory parameters which discriminated survivors from non survivors, it was found that mortality was not predicted by any individual factor like time lag to PICU transfer, duration of PICU stay, presence of MODS and PRISM score at admission [17]. However this study was limited by the small sample size.

Early detection and reversal of shock improves rate of survival [19]. This could be one of the main reasons for high mortality in our study as most of patients presented in decompensated shock. Interventional study had been done in rural India states the importance of early detection and intervention in which health-care workers gave 5 days course of oral co-trimoxazole and intramuscular gentamicin to neonate with signs of sepsis. This study found decreased mortality rate from 16% to 3% with early intervention [20]. Han YY et al also reported reversal of shock at a median time of 75 minutes associated with 96% survival and more than 9 fold increased odds of survival while each additional hour with persistent shock was associated with more than 2 fold increased odds of mortality [18]. Management of septic shock largely depends on early recognition, infrastructure availability, presence of committed staff and adherence to the management guidelines. Surviving sepsis campaign guidelines have been derived from developed countries and there is a need to have more simpler and practical guidelines for resource limited countries. Retrospective nature was the major limitation of this study. Variation in filling the patient records, completeness of data as well as variation in the management protocol could have influenced our findings. Adherence to early goal directed therapy could not be evaluated because of the study nature.

**Conclusion**

Septic shock in Indian children is associated with high mortality. Children below 1 year are the most vulnerable group and pneumonia is the commonest underlying cause. Early recognition, timely referral and aggressive treatment are critical in reducing the mortality. It is desirable to have customized protocol for each unit in line with surviving sepsis campaign guidelines. Larger well designed studies using uniform protocols are needed to study the epidemiology and outcome of septic shock in Indian children.
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