AtHD2D Gene Plays a Role in Plant Growth, Development, and Response to Abiotic Stresses in Arabidopsis thaliana
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The histone deacetylases play important roles in the regulation of gene expression and the subsequent control of a number of important biological processes, including those involved in the response to environmental stress. A specific group of histone deacetylase genes, HD2, is present in plants. In Arabidopsis, HD2s include HD2A, HD2B, HD2C, and HD2D. Previous research showed that HD2A, HD2B, and HD2C are more related in terms of expression and function, but not HD2D. In this report, we studied different aspects of AtHD2D in Arabidopsis with respect to plant response to drought and other abiotic stresses. Bioinformatics analysis indicates that HD2D is distantly related to other HD2 genes. Transient expression in Nicotiana benthamiana and stable expression in Arabidopsis of AtHD2D fused with gfp showed that AtHD2D was expressed in the nucleus. Overexpression of AtHD2D resulted in developmental changes including fewer main roots, more lateral roots, and a higher root:shoot ratio. Seed germination and plant flowering time were delayed in transgenic plants expressing AtHD2D, but these plants exhibited higher degrees of tolerance to abiotic stresses, including drought, salt, and cold stresses. Physiological studies indicated that the malondialdehyde (MDA) content was high in wild-type plants but in plants overexpressing HD2D the MDA level increased slowly in response to stress conditions of drought, cold, and salt stress. Furthermore, electrolyte leakage in leaf cells of wild type plants increased but remained stable in transgenic plants. Our results indicate that AtHD2D is unique among HD2 genes and it plays a role in plant growth and development regulation and these changes can modulate plant stress responses.
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INTRODUCTION

In higher plants, transcriptional regulation of gene expression plays an important role in plant life. Post-translational modifications of histones, including acetylation, methylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitinylation, and adenosine diphosphateribosylation, result in modulating dynamic changes
in chromatin configuration and gene activity (Berger, 2002; Reyes et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2005). Among these modifications, histone acetylation regulates a number of fundamental biological processes in plants. Histone acetylation levels are regulated by the action of histone acetyltransferases (HAT) that catalyze hyper acetylation and histone deacetylases (HDACs) that catalyze deacetylation by removing the acetyl group from the core histones. HDACs are an ancient group of enzymes that are widely spread in various organisms.

HDACs in plants can be divided into three families based on phylogenetic analysis. They are reduced potassium dependency 3(RPD3) or histone deacetylase 1 (HDA1), silent information regulator 2 (SIR2), and histone deacetylase 2 (HD2, also HD-tuins; Taunton et al., 1996; Wu et al., 2000; Tian and Chen, 2001; Pandey et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2014). The HD2 family is specific to plants and is unrelated to the RPD3/HDA1 and SIR2 HDAC types (Sridha and Wu, 2006; Grandperret et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2014). The first HD2 was isolated as an acidic nuclear phosphoprotein from maize embryos (Lusser et al., 1997).Expressed sequence tag (EST) homology searches identified four HD2 genes in Arabidopsis, namely, HD2A, HD2B, HD2C, and HD2D (also known as HDT1, HDT2, HDT3, and HDT4, respectively; Dangl et al., 2001; Pandey et al., 2002; Zhou et al., 2004). Bioinformatics analysis of the HDAC domains of these HD2 proteins revealed a series of highly conserved motifs. A phylogenetic analysis of the nucleotide sequences indicates that a single HD2 gene led to the development of all HD2 genes in both monocot and dicot plants (Pandey et al., 2002).

It was demonstrated that AtHD2A, AtHD2B, and AtHD2C were able to mediate transcriptional repression (Wu et al., 2000, 2003; Zhou et al., 2004). The findings that AtHD2A was required for deacetylation and subsequent methylation of H3 Lys9 supported the idea that HD2 proteins repressed gene expression by modifying histone (Lawrence et al., 2004). Research showed that HD2A, HD2B, and HD2C were all localized in the nucleolus (Lusser et al., 1997; Lawrence et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2004) and were expressed globally in Arabidopsis (Lawrence et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2004; Hollender and Liu, 2008). Gene expression mediated by HD2A, 2B, and 2C can regulate several aspects of plant development. It was shown that the repression of AtHD2A expression led to the development of a short silique and the abortion of seeds (Lagacé et al., 2003). Ectopic expression of AtHD2A created a mutant phenotype, characteristics of which included the narrowing and curling of leaves, abnormal flower development, and delayed blooms (Lagacé et al., 2003). AtHD2B expression was up-regulated by low temperature and after-ripening (dry storage of mature seed) treatments that are known to break seed dormancy, so suppression of AtHD2B expression was important in maintaining seed dormancy (Yano et al., 2013). In tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum), NhHD2a and NhHD2b were found to act as negative regulators of cell death induced by the elicitor cryptogein (Bourque et al., 2011). During longan (Dimocarpus longan) fruit senescence under different storage conditions, a histone deacetylase 2-like gene (DhD2) may interact with an ethylene-responsive factor-like gene (DIERF1) to regulate expression of genes involved in fruit senescence (Kuang et al., 2012).

Several studies have shown that certain HD2s are involved in plant responses to abiotic stresses. Sridha and Wu (2006) showed that expression of AtHD2C was largely repressed by abscisic acid (ABA), a hormone that regulates plant response to abiotic stresses. Over-expression of AtHD2C in Arabidopsis resulted in plants that were insensitive to ABA. Further, transgenic AtHD2C plants exhibited reduced transpiration and increased tolerance to salt and drought stresses compared to wild-type plants. Luo et al. (2012a) showed that several hd2c mutants were more sensitive to ABA and NaCl during seed germination. The plants with HD2C insertion mutation displayed decreased tolerance to salt stress. Using both BiFC and co-immuno precipitation assays, the study found that HD2C physically interacted with HDA6, another type of HDAC, and the abiotic stress response was modulated through the HD2C/HDA6 complex. Further, research showed that histone H3 was a target of the HD2C/HDA6 complex. A more recent study showed that there existed at least two HD2 genes in rice, HDT701 and HDT702. Overexpression of HDT701 in rice resulted in an increased tolerance to salt and drought during seedling stage (Zhao et al., 2015). These studies suggest that plant responses to abiotic stresses are controlled by an antagonistic mechanism of histone acetylation and deacetylation on expression of target genes and that HD2s play unique roles in plant reaction to environmental stresses.

Previous research indicated that the expression profile of HD2D gene was largely different from other HD2 genes. The expression HD2A, HD2B, and HD2C was detected in ovules, embryos, shoot apical meristems, and primary leaves and the expression of these genes were strongly induced during somatic embryogenesis. On the other hand, HD2D transcript was only detected in the stems and flowers with young siliques (Zhou et al., 2004). The authors suggest that HD2D may have adopted different functions. At this time, little is known about the specific expression and function of the HD2D gene in plants. In this study, we provided evidence that Arabidopsis AtHD2D is localized in the nucleus and it is involved in regulating the growth of roots and flowers in Arabidopsis. When AtHD2D was overexpressed, Arabidopsis plants displayed more tolerance to drought, salt, and cold stresses compared to wild-type plants. The research indicates that HD2D is an important protein in plants for environmental stress response.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Gene and Protein Sequence Analyses**

The AtHD2 gene sequence analysis was conducted using the ExPaSy net (Bioinformatics Resource Portal (http://www.expasy.ch/tools/#proteome)). DNAMAN (Version 5.5.2, Lynnon Biosoft) was used for sequence alignment analysis of AtHD2 coding-sequence (CDS). Considering HD2s belong to one family and share certain similarities, we used Maximum Parsimony method for phylogenetic tree construction. Bootstrap was used to test the developed trees. MEGA 6.0 was used in the analysis. The bioinformation of AtHD2 gene expression product was predicted by primary structure analysis. Two software programs, ProtParam, and PSORT II Prediction, were used to predict the subcellular localization of AtHD2.
proteins. In addition, the tool for transmembrane regions and signal peptide sequence analysis, ProtComp 9.0 tool (http://linux1.softberry.com/berry.phtml?topic=protcomp&group=programs&subgroup=proloc) fuzzy k-nearest neighbors (k-NN) algorithm (version 41.0), and TMHMM Server v. 2.0 were used for AtHD2 protein analysis.

**Plant Materials and Growth**

*Arabidopsis thaliana* (ecotype, Columbia) was used in this research. *Arabidopsis* seeds were surface-sterilized in 70% ethanol for 1, 10 min in 10% v/v sodium hypochlorite, and rinsed 5 times with sterile distilled water. The seeds were sown on MS medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962; Sigma-Aldrich, Lenexa, KS, USA) in Petri dishes. The plants were maintained in a tissue culture room with 16 h of light at 25°C/8 h of dark conditions at 22°C. Germinated seedlings were transferred into soil (PRO-MIX “BX” with Mycorise PRO, Primer Horticulture, Grower Services Canada). Plant seedlings were grown in a growth chamber under 16 h of light at 25°C and 8 h of dark conditions at 22°C (unless for stress treatments which are described specifically). Each overexpression independent line of *Arabidopsis* was grown in one of conjoined pots (9 × 12 cm, height × diameter). Each pot was planted with 12 seedlings, all from the same clone. The overexpression seedlings of the same independent line were planted into pots randomly for different stress treatments. After plants were transferred into soil, each pot was covered with transparent film for 4 days to avoid rapid water loss.

**DNA Constructs**

The putative HD2D mRNA complete coding sequence (CDS) was obtained from the NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide/145360405?report=genbank&log$=nucltop) and TAIR (The *Arabidopsis* Inform- ation Resource (http://www.arabidopsis.org/servlets/TairObject?type=locus&name=AT2G27840) databases. According to the coding sequence, the 35S:AtHD2D vector for overexpression and 35S:AtHD2D-γfp vector for HD2D subcellular location were constructed. *AtHD2D* was amplified using the primer pair of pr1 (5′-ACCGAATCTATGGAGGTTTGGGTATCGAG-3′) and pr2 (5′-CCACATGTCATTATTTGCAAGAGGGACCAC-3′) and fusion *AtHD2D-γfp* was amplified using the pr1 and pr3 (5′-CCACATGTCATTATTTGCAAGAGGGACCAC-3′; Supplementary Table 1) and all with appropriate restriction sequences (BglII and SpeI). Phusion high-fidelity DNA polymerase (F-530S, 100U New England Biolabs, Inc. USA) was used in the PCR reaction to minimize undesired mutations in the sequences. The construct for subcellular location of AtHD2D was made by in-frame fusion of complete *AtHD2D* CDS with the γfp sequence in which the stop codon (TAG) of *AtHD2D* CDS was deleted. The resulting PCR products were cloned to pGEM®-T and pGEM®-T Easy Vector Systems (A1360 Promega Corporation) digested by BglII and SpeI and then transferred into the pCAMBIA1302 binary vector containing the hptII coding for hygromycin resistance which was used as the selectable marker for plant transformation selection. The constructs were introduced into *Agrobacterium* strain GV3101/pMP90 by electroporation using the GENE PULSER II system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

**Plant Transformation**

The 35S:AtHD2D vector and 35S:AtHD2D-γfp vector were introduced into *Arabidopsis* separately for overexpression studies and for subcellular location studies, respectively. *Arabidopsis* transformation followed the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998). T1 seeds were harvested, dried at 25°C and germinated on MS medium containing 30 μg mL⁻¹ hygromycin to select transgenic seedlings, and 50 μg mL⁻¹ carbenicillin was added to prevent Agrobacterium contamination. Seeds obtained from the primary transgenic lines were germinated on antibiotic-containing medium, and PCR analyses were performed on resistant plants. Surviving and PCR analysis positive T1 plantlets were transferred to soil to harvest T2 seeds. T2 seeds were germinated as described before and T3 seeds were harvested. Plants developed from T3 seeds were used as the materials for all experiments.

To produce the *Arabidopsis* plants for confocal fluorescence observation, seeds were sown on MS medium in vertical plates and the plants were grown for 6 days. Root tips were harvested and used for observation. *Nicotiana benthamiana* were grown as described by Han et al. (2013), and plants were maintained in growth chambers for investigating the subcellular localization of HD2D-GFP in transient gene expression experiments. The chamber conditions were set at 14 h light and 10 h dark at 21°C. Young leaves were infiltrated with *Agrobacterium* GV3101/pMP90 containing the *HD2D-γfp* construct and observations were conducted 48–72 h after infiltration.

**Molecular Analysis of Plants**

Total genomic DNA was extracted from plant leaves using a CTAB method as described by Wang et al. (1993). Total RNA was isolated from leaves using TRIzol reagent (InVitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) following manufacturer's instructions. Primers specific to the hptII gene for a 563 bp PCR product (Supplementary Table 1) were used in PCR analysis of plants. The pr1 and pr2 primers specific for HD2D, and the pr1 and pr3 primers specific for HD2D-γfp were also used in PCR analysis. The primer for the Actin2 gene of *Arabidopsis* (Czechowski et al., 2004) was used as an internal control.

All PCR reactions were performed with 1 unit of Taq polymerase (GenScript, the Biology Co, USA), 0.2 mM dNTPs, and a pair of primers (0.1 μM each) in a final volume of 20 μl. PCR was conducted at 94°C for 2 min followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 15 s, 55–57°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 45 s, with a final polymerization step at 72°C for 3 min.

For RT-PCR analysis, 2 μg of each total RNA sample was treated with the RNase-free DNase according to manufacturer's instructions (Promega). Treated RNA samples were desalted (to prevent carry-over of magnesium) before cDNA synthesis using Microcon-100 spin columns (Millipore). The first-strand cDNA was synthesized using random hexamers and SuperScriptII reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen), according to manufacturer's instructions, and subsequently diluted with nuclease-free water (Sigma) to 12.5 ng μl⁻¹ cDNA. Reactions were run on a
Plant Growth Evaluation
Only seed batches that had been harvested and stored at the same time and under the same conditions were used. Seeds were sown on MS medium, vernalized at 4–8°C and then transferred to a tissue culture room under 16 h of light at 25°C/8 h of dark at 22°C. The germination rate (defined as the emergence of the hypocotyl axis through the seed coat) was determined at different days after seeds were placed for germination. Experiments were conducted in triplicate with 180 seeds for each experiment. For investigation of root growth, seeds were sterilized and grown on vertically oriented MS agar plates in a tissue culture room. Transgenic plants and wild-type plants were grown in adjacent parallel rows in the same Petri dishes (9 cm), 10 transgenic seedlings in one row and 10 wild-type seedlings in the other. After photos were taken, the number of lateral roots was counted under a dissecting microscope. The plants were placed on a flat glass and then main roots and lateral roots were straightened as much as possible using a forceps. A vernier calliper was used for measuring the length of main and lateral roots. For determination of root and shoot ratio, 10 day old plants were removed from plates, medium residues were cleaned from plants and plants were blotted dry using filter papers. Roots were cut off from stems (with leaves) and roots and shoots were dried in an oven. The dry matters were weighed and root:shoot ratio was calculated. For flowering assessment, 5 days after seed germination on MS medium, the seedlings were transferred into soil-containing pots. Twenty-six days after germination when plants started to show the rosette stage of leaf growth and plants showed sign of flowering, flowering was evaluated, which was based on plant bolting and the formation of flower buds. All tests were replicated three times and each replicate consisted of at least six independent lines.

Stress Treatment
Stress treatments were initiated after plants were grown for 10–13 days in soil under regular conditions. Each treatment included six independent lines with three replicates for overexpression and wild type. The results presented in figures were calculated based on the values of all six independent lines and three replicates. The drought treatment was conducted by withholding water after seedlings were transplanted into pots. For cold treatment, plants were moved to a growth chamber at 2°C, and leaf samples were collected every 2 days. For salt stress, water containing 300 mmol L of NaCl was evenly sprayed on the soil surface and leaf samples were collected every 3 days.

With the time extension under stresses, plant leaves gradually turned brown then dark brown. When 50% leaf area had turned brown, the leaf was counted as brown leaves. Leaves under salt stress gradually turned yellow and wilted. When 50% leaf area had turned yellow the leaf was recorded as withered leaves.

Detection of GFP Signals by Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy
Transient expression and stable expression of 35S:GFP and 35S:AtHD2D-GFP fusion protein in N. benthamiana leaves and Arabidopsis root tips were detected using laser fluorescence microscopy (Sparkes et al., 2006). Photographs were taken using a confocal fluorescent microscope (Leica Microsystems, Germany) under excitation at 450–490 nm, emission at 520 nm and dichroic mirror at 510 nm.

Malondialdehyde (MDA) and Electrolyte Leakage and Analysis
Malondialdehyde (MDA) content and electrolyte leakage were used to analyze stress damage to plants (Campos and Nunes, 2003). For MDA analysis, the cell injury level was expressed as the content of MDA according to Zhang et al. (2005). Fresh leaves from each treatment were homogenized in 5 mL of 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA), and then centrifuged at 4000x g for 20 min. To each 2 mL aliquot of the supernatant, 2 mL of 0.6% thiobarbituric acid in 10% TCA was added. The mixtures were heated at 100°C for 15 min and then quickly cooled in an ice bath. After centrifugation at 10,000x g for 20 min, the absorbance of the supernatant was recorded at 532 and 450 nm. Lipid peroxidation was expressed as the MDA content in nmol per g FW. For electrolyte leakage analysis, freshly cut leaf discs of 0.5 cm² each were rinsed 3 times (2–3 min) with demineralized water and subsequently floated on 20 mL of demineralized water. The electrolyte leakage in the solution was measured after 24 h room temperature using a conductivity meter (Smart Stability, Orion, Thermo Scientific U.S.A.). Total conductivity was obtained after the flasks were maintained in an oven (90°C) for 2 h.

Statistical Analysis
Data analysis was performed using SPSS 16.0.2 computer statistical program (IBM SPSS, 2008). The data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation of the mean (SD) and were tested for significant difference using Student’s t-test. p < 0.05 was selected as the point of minimal significant difference in all of the analyses.

RESULTS
Bioinformatics Analyses of AtHD2 Genes and Proteins
DNA sequence analysis showed that the overall homology of AtHD2 genes is 35% (Figure 1A). Sequence homology of AtHD2A and AtHD2B was 59% which is the highest. AtHD2D is distantly related to the other AtHD2 genes, and this observation is supported by the sequence homology value of only 35%. Meanwhile the phylogenetic tree showed that the genetic distance (GD) between AtHD2A and AtHD2B was 0.042, next was AtHD2C, and the largest GD was AtHD2D with a value of 0.056 (Figure 1B). Protein sequence alignment showed that the overall similarity of the HD2 proteins was 51% (Figure 1C). The phylogenetic tree showed that AtHD2A and AtHD2B were the closest, the GD being the smallest. On the other hand, the...
AtHD2D protein was furthest among the HD2 proteins with a GD-value of 0.481 (Figure 1D).

The analysis of HD2 genes showed that HD2D is largely different from other HD2 genes. The molecular weight of HD2D is significantly lower compared to other HD2 genes (Supplementary Table 2). While HD2A, HD2C genes contain the zinc finger domain, the HD2D lacks this important region. The HD2D showed the lowest random coil value and the highest values of β-turn, pI and extended strand (Supplementary Table 2).

**Plant Transformation and Transgene Gene Expression Analyses**

Plants of 30 randomly selected independently lines developed on medium containing hygromycin were analyzed by PCR and RT-PCR using specific primers (Supplementary Table 1, Figure 2A). All the lines analyzed were PCR positive for HD2D using pr1 and pr2 primer pair as shown in a representative image Figure 2B. The RNA expression of transgene was analyzed for randomly selected independent lines using RT-PCR using transgene specific primers (Figures 2A,C, Supplementary Table 1) and the actin2 gene was used as the internal control. The RNA expression of AtHD2D was increased in 35S:AtHD2D transgenic lines comparing to the control (Figure 2C). Plants transformed with AtHD2D-gfp showed expression of the fused gene (Figure 2C) but no expression of AtHD2D-GFP was observed in the non-transformed wild type. Expression of actin2 as the internal control was consistent in both non-transformed wild types and transformed plants (Figure 2C). These results confirmed the expression of transgenes in plants developed following transformation.

**Expression Localization of HD2D**

Two software programs, ProtComp and PSORT II Prediction, were used to predict the subcellular location of AtHD2D protein. The HD2D protein was positioned in the cell nucleus but with the lowest value compared to other HD2 genes (Supplementary Table 3) and it does not have a membrane spanning domain nor a signal peptide. Meanwhile, HD2D showed a high possibility to localize in cytoplasm and the highest possibility to be distributed in cytoskeleton, mitochondria, and peroxisome. AtHD2D also had the lowest integral prediction value. The actual location of the AtHD2D protein was studied via gene expression experiments. N. benthamiana leaves were infiltrated with a construct containing AtHD2D fused with gfp and the expression was observed 48–72 h after the infiltration. The gfp gene alone driven by CaM35S promoter showed constitutive protein expression activity in plant cells (Figure 3A). The expression of AtHD2D-GFP was mainly localized in nucleus (Figures 3B,C). There were halos around the fusion protein, as opposed to clear boundaries which were very sharp and without a fringe or halo, as seen in other AtHD2 proteins.

AtHD2D subcellular localization was further studied via stable expression of the gene in Arabidopsis. Two transgenic lines with higher levels of AtHD2D-gfp expression were selected in the study. Stable expression of AtHD2D gene in transgenic Arabidopsis root cells was observed. Plants transformed with gfp driven by 35S promoter showed constitutive expression in root cells (Figure 3D). Plants transformed with a construct carrying the fusion AtHD2D-gfp showed that GFP was expressed in the nucleus (Figures 3E,F). Both transient and stable expression studies indicated that the location of AtHD2D is mainly in the cell nucleus.
FIGURE 2 | Expression analysis of AtHD2D and of AtHD2D-gfp in transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana plants. (A) Diagram of the 3SS:AtHD2C-gfp construct. AtHD2D CDS was fused with the gfp-coding region driven by a 3SS promoter. The primers, pr1, pr2, and pr3, used to analyze AtHD2D and AtHD2D-gfp in transgenic plants were shown. (B) PCR analysis of 3SS:AtHD2D-gfp transgenic and wild-type (WT) plants using primer pairs of pr1 and pr3. No PCR product was found in WT. (C) RT-PCR analysis of AtHD2D and AtHD2D-gfp expression in transgenic and wild-type plants using the primer pairs of pr1 and pr2 or pr1 and pr3. No RT-PCR product of AtHD2D-gfp was found in WT.

FIGURE 3 | Subcellular localization analyses of 3SS:AtHD2D and 3SS:AtHD2D-GFP. (a) Fluorescence image, (b) With white light, (c) Merge of fluorescence and bright images. (A) Subcellular localization of 3SS:GFP in N. bentamiana. (B) Subcellular localization of AtHD2D-GFP in N. bentamiana. (C) General view of subcellular localization of AtHD2D-GFP in N. bentamiana. (D) Subcellular localization of 3SS:GFP in root tip of transgenic Arabidopsis. (E) Subcellular localization of 3SS:AtHD2D-GFP in root tip of transgenic Arabidopsis. (F) General view of subcellular localization of 3SS:AtHD2D-GFP in root tip of transgenic Arabidopsis.
Involvement of AtHD2D in Plant Growth

Seed germination was evaluated for plants overexpressing the AtHD2D. Germination of seeds from transgenic plants was slower compared to the wild-type (Figure 4A). While germination of the wild type seeds was 75.6% in 2 days and 98.2% by day 4, germination of transgenic seeds was delayed by 5–6 days.

Flowering time was significantly delayed in transgenic plants (Figure 4B). During the 12 day observation period, transgenic plants consistently showed lower flowering rates compared to wild type plants. By day 12, about 90% of WT plants showed bloom development, but transgenic plants were largely still in a vegetative growth stage (Figures 4C–F).

Ten days after germination on MS medium, the root development was evaluated. The plants overexpressing AtHD2D had shorter main roots and longer lateral roots (Figures 5A,C). In contrast, the wild type plants under the same growth conditions had obviously longer main roots but shorter lateral roots (Figures 5B–D). Transgenic plants also had more lateral roots compared to wild type plants (Figures 5A,C). The root:shoot ratio of transgenic plants was higher than that of wild type plants (Figure 5D).

Involvement of HD2D for Stress Tolerance

Plants overexpressing AtHD2D showed increased tolerance to cold, drought, and salt stresses (Figure 6). Under cold and drought conditions, the HD2D overexpressing plants grew normally and retained normal green color in leaves (Figures 6A,B,D,E). However, wild type plants grew slowly and the leaves wilted and turned brown and showed stressed phenotypes. Under salt stress, wild type plants became yellow in color and plants were obviously injured but plants overexpressing HD2D grew relatively normally and produced flowers (Figures 6C,F).

Based on Campos and Nunes (2003), MDA and electrolyte leakage were conducted. MDA levels in transgenic plants overexpressing AtHD2D increased slowly under cold, drought and salt conditions, while MDA levels in wild type leaves increased rapidly over the time (Figures 7A–C). Analysis of electrolyte leakage from leaves (an indicator of cell membrane damage) during the course of abiotic stresses showed that electrolyte leakage of wild type plants increased steadily, while electrolyte leakage in transgenic plants overexpressing AtHD2D increased slowly and remained relatively stable (Figures 7D–F).

DISCUSSION

HDACs function by removing the acetyl groups from lysine residues, thus allowing the nucleosomes to pack more tightly which consequently represses transcription (Song et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2014). Silencing gene expression by HDACs is selective and altered gene expression controls certain biological processes, especially plant development and response to environmental stresses (Kim et al., 2010; Yano et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2015; Pi et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2015). Even in the earliest phases of plant development, such as embryogenesis, the histone
code is necessary to establish the correct developmental pathways (Tai et al., 2005; Köhler and Makarevich, 2006; Long et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2010; Wickramasuriya and Dunwell, 2015). During later stages of the plant life cycle, histone modifications influence growth phase change (Vlachonasios et al., 2003; Chua et al., 2005; Rossi et al., 2007; Yano et al., 2013), flowering timing (He and Amasino, 2005; Deng et al., 2007; Luo et al., 2015), and maternal imprinting and fertilization (Guitton and Berger, 2005; Köhler and Makarevich, 2006).

The first HD2 was discovered in maize (Lusser et al., 1997). A comparative analysis of the HD2 type of HDAC proteins in higher plants revealed three HD2 genes in maize and four genes in Arabidopsis (Dangl et al., 2001). The uniqueness and specificity of HD2s to plants suggests this type of HDACs possess specific features and functions (Grandperret et al., 2014). Previous studies have focused on HD2A, HD2B, and HD2C and less research has been done for HD2D. Limited research indicates, to certain extent, that HD2D is different from other HD2 genes in expression and potential functions (Zhou et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2004). Our research studied AtHD2D, especially with respect to plant development and plant response to environmental stresses.

Bioinformatics analysis and prediction are based on the information accumulated in molecular biology databases. We did a series of bioinformatics analysis on AtHD2D. The DNA sequence analysis showed that AtHD2D tends to be distantly related to other AtHD2 genes. The phylogenetic tree also shows the genetic distance of AtHD2D is the furthest. Protein sequence alignment also showed that AtHD2D protein is less related to other HD2 proteins. The analysis of AtHD2 gene composition shows that AtHD2D appears to be different from other AtHD2 genes in several categories. All these suggest that AtHD2D is relatively unique among AtHD2 genes. Although it retains certain characteristics typical of AtHD2 genes, AtHD2D probably branched off from AtHD2s a long time ago during evolution.

AtHD2s have a conserved N-terminal EFWG (well-conserved motif) amino acid region which is required for repression of gene expression, followed by a central acidic region rich in glutamic and/or aspartic acids. In AtHD2A, this acidic region is essential for catalytic activity, since the deletion of this region resulted in a compromised HDAC activity (Wu et al., 2000). HD2A and HD2C contain a single C2H2 type zinc finger domain in the carboxyl terminus, which may enable high affinity DNA-binding or mediate protein-protein interactions (Aravind and Koonin, 1998; Wu et al., 2000; Dangl et al., 2001; Zhou et al., 2004). Previous research showed that while other AtHD2A, AtHD2B, and AtHD2C exhibited an effective repression of gene expression, AtHD2D was much weaker to repress reporter gene expression (Zhou et al., 2004). As AtHD2B also lacks the zinc finger domain, the zinc finger may not be essential for repression of gene expression.

The analysis revealed that there is no signal peptide in the full coding sequence of AtHD2D, therefore, HD2D is not a secretory or mosaic protein in membranes. Bioinformatics analysis indicated that the products of AtHD2D tend to be in cytoplasm. However, transient expression analysis in N. benthamiana and stable expression in Arabidopsis suggested that AtHD2D-GFP was mainly localized in the nucleus of cells in both plant species. A study by Luo et al. (2012b) showed HD2D interacted with RPD3 family proteins of HDA6 and HDA19 and the signals were observed in cell nucleus, suggesting nucleus localization of HD2D. AtHD2D was not diffusely distributed in the nucleoplasm but was localized in approximately half the nucleus. The results also indicate that sequence analysis predictions do not reflect the real expression and localization of AtHD2D in cells and these outcomes are different from other AtHD2 proteins. A recent study by Zhao et al. (2015) showed that different members of tomato SiHDACs had different subcellular localizations and they proposed that...
AtHD2D Plays a Role in Plant Stresses

FIGURE 6 | Full view of wild type (WT) and 35S:AtHD2D transgenic plants under abiotic stresses. The error bars represent the SD. The data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation of the mean (SD) and were tested for significant difference using Student's t-test (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01). (A) WT and 35S:AtHD2D transgenic plants under cold stress for 8 days. (B) WT and 35S:AtHD2D transgenic plants under drought stress conditions for 9 days. (C) WT and 35S:AtHD2D transgenic plants under salt stress conditions for 18 days. (D) Browning levels in leaves of WT and 35S:AtHD2D transgenic plants under cold stress. (E) Browning levels in leaves of WT and 35S:AtHD2D transgenic seedlings under drought stress. (F) Browning levels in leaves of WT and 35S:AtHD2D transgenic seedlings under salt stress.

different SiHDAC genes have distinct functions. Bioinformatics analysis and gene expression localization study results suggest that AtHD2D is unique among AtHD2s with respect to gene and protein composition as well as the localization of gene expression (Lawrence et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2004; Sridha and Wu, 2006). The differences in these categories suggest the HD2D may have some different functions among HD2s.

Plant phenotypical characteristics of root development showed that 35S-AtHD2D transgenic plants had more lateral roots compared to wild-type. Root:shoot ratio was also higher in transgenic plants. Nguyen et al. (2013) showed that histone deacetylase inhibitors impeded root development including primary root elongation as well as lateral root emergence. The research further showed that the lateral root growth was significantly reduced in hda19 seedlings in which the HDA19 was mutated. More lateral roots and higher root:shoot ratios as shown in this study suggest that such structures can help plants to obtain more nutrients and water, which enable plants to cope with unfavorable conditions, especially environmental stresses. The results indicated that transgenic plants expressing higher levels of HD2D may adopt more plasticity in response to abiotic stresses, particularly drought stress.

Histone modification appears to act by integrating signals from different environment stimuli in order to regulate plant growth and development (Stockinger et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2005). Published data also show that each HDAC may have special functions during plant development or upon exposure to certain environmental stimulations, and moreover, one HDAC enzyme can also affect several processes in plant growth (Pi et al., 2015, Kim et al., 2015). The switch from vegetative growth to flowering is a major developmental transition that is initiated by environmental signals, such as the cold weather (vernalization) and changes in day length. Several studies have directly or indirectly indicated involvement of HDACs in plant flowering (Sheldon et al., 1999, 2000; Bastow et al., 2004; Sung and Amasino, 2004; He and Amasino, 2005; Deal et al., 2007; Yu et al., 2011; Krogan et al., 2012; Luo et al., 2015). However, not all HDACs are involved in plant development and flowering, and further, the mechanisms by which a HDAC is involved in plant growth and development may be different for different HDAC genes (Nguyen et al., 2013; Van Zanten et al., 2014). Our study showed that AtHD2D overexpressing plants exhibited delayed seed germination. The flowering time was also delayed in plants overexpressing AtHD2D. A recent study by Farhi et al. (unpublished results) showed that a significantly higher number of rosette leaves was observed in AtHD2D-overexpressing Arabidopsis plants when these plants exhibited delayed flowering (Farhi and Tian, unpublished results). This indicates the late flowering is due to longer vegetative plant growth. Our study demonstrates that AtHD2D
FIGURE 7 | Malonaldehyde (MDA) and electrolyte leakage levels in WT and 35S:AtHD2D transgenic plants under abiotic stresses. The error bars represent the SD. The data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation of the mean (SD) and were tested for significant difference using Student’s t-test (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01). (A) MDA level under cold stress condition. (B) MDA level under drought stress condition. (C) MDA level under salt stress condition. (D) Electrolyte leakage level under cold stress. (E) Electrolyte leakage level under drought stress. (F) Electrolyte leakage level under salt stress.

directly influenced plant development. A longer period of vegetative growth or delayed onset of flowering can help plants to absorb more nutrients for later reproductive growth to cope with environment stresses. Further study is needed to reveal the mechanisms by which HD2D is involved in plant growth and development.

We conducted further research to test AtHD2D responses to abiotic stresses. Transgenic 35S:AtHD2D plants were grown under drought, cold, or salt stress conditions. AtHD2D transgenic seedlings displayed a decreased sensitivity to drought, cold, and salt stresses. Further, changes of MDA and electrolyte leakage were analyzed in 35S:AtHD2D transgenic plants and control plants. Higher content of MDA inhibits cell metabolism and can lead to cell death (Campos and Nunes, 2003). When wild type seedlings were exposed to stresses, MDA content increased quickly. On the other hand, MDA in the 35S:AtHD2D transgenic seedlings increased slowly and the values remained lower throughout stress treatments. Electrolyte leakage in leaves under stress indicates membrane damage and subsequent cell damage (Rolny et al., 2011), with electrolyte leakage increasing as damage increases. Analysis showed that electrolyte leakage of 35S:AtHD2D transgenic seedlings were not largely affected under different stresses while the electrolyte leakage in controls increased along with the stress treatments. These results indicate that AtHD2D is positively involved in plant response to different environmental stresses.

A recent study showed that Athd2c T-DNA insertion mutants (hd2c-1 and hd2c-3) were more sensitive to ABA and salt during seed germination (Luo et al., 2012a). Sridha and Wu (2006) showed that while Arabidopsis overexpressing AtHD2C exhibited an increased tolerance to drought and salt stresses, the expression of endogenous AtHD2C was decreased in response to ABA treatment. It would be interesting to investigate if involvement of AtHD2D in stress tolerance is regulated by ABA or by other signals. At this time, the number of studies on the relationship of HD2D as well as other HD2s and ABA are very limited. Along with more research in this area, the relationships of HD2D/HD2s with ABA will be elucidated.

Although several HDACs have been shown to involve in plant response to stresses, research also indicates individual HDACs play different roles in plant stress reaction and certain HDACs can interact each other as well as interact with other proteins to exert the full function in stress response pathways in plants (Luo et al., 2012a; Perrella et al., 2013). A study by Luo et al. (2012b) using bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay indicated that HD2D interacted with RPD3 family members HDA6 and HDA19. A recent study by Farhi and Tian showed that HD2D interacted with HD2A and HD2C via BiFC assay (Farhi and Tian, unpublished results). These studies suggest that there might exist a protein complex that modulates gene expression for plant development and plant response to stresses. Indeed, plant growth, especially plant...
growth and development under environmental stress situations should be a complicated process and could need the function of multiple and related proteins, such as HDACs/HD2s. At this time, the studies only demonstrated there were physical interactions among HDAC proteins, research has not shown the function of the protein interactions yet. Further study should aim to elucidate the relationship of HDACs and HD2s in plant development and in plant response to abiotic stresses.

Genome sequencing of many plant species has provided a fundamental base to study the mechanisms underlining plant life at molecular level. Nevertheless, it has become clear that the DNA sequence itself may not be the determining factor in genetic control of plant life. Epigenetic factors are important in regulating plant phenomena. HD2s are plant specific epigenetic factors that are heavily involved in a number of plant processes. In our study with HD2D gene sequence and protein composition analyses showed that HD2D is less related to the other HD2 genes. HD2D is involved in the control of plant development including seed germination, root development and flowering. Our research further showed that AtHD2D is also involved in plant responses to environmental stresses, including drought, cold, and salt stimuli. Recent studies have showed several HDAC and HD2 proteins interacted each other in BIFC assay (Luo et al., 2012a,b), indicating certain HADC/HD2s may coordinate to function in response to stress. Further research, especially on the aspect of protein interaction may elucidate the biological mechanisms of HD2D in plants, and that knowledge will be used to manipulate gene expression to increase plant resistance to environmental stresses.
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