Angiogenesis in primary colorectal cancer and matched metastatic tissues: Biological and clinical implications for anti-angiogenic therapies
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Abstract. Metastasis remains a notable issue in patients with newly diagnosed colorectal carcinomas (CRC). Although anti-angiogenic therapies target metastatic diseases, hypoxia-inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) status are routinely evaluated in primary tumors as metastatic sites are infrequently biopsied. The present study aimed to investigate the expression and significance of HIF-1α, VEGF and microvascular density (MVD) in primary tumors and corresponding metastatic CRC tissues. HIF-1α, VEGF and CD34 status were analyzed via immunohistochemistry analysis in 46 patients who underwent surgical resection of primary CRC (35 colon and 11 rectum) and matched metastases (lymph node and liver metastases) in Shandong Cancer Hospital. The association between selected biomarker status and clinicopathological characteristics was analyzed, and expression levels in primary tumors and corresponding metastases were compared. A total of 46 paired colorectal primary tumor and synchronous metastases samples were acquired for analysis using a standardized HIF-1α, VEGF and CD34 immunohistochemical procedure. The results demonstrated that the positive rates of HIF-1α and VEGF in primary CRC were 70 and 73.9%, respectively. HIF-1α (60.9%) and VEGF (58.7%) expression decreased in the lymph metastatic samples compared with primary CRC. Conversely, the level of MVD in primary tumors was significantly higher compared with metastatic tumors. No significant differences were demonstrated between HIF-1α and VEGF expression and the different clinicopathological features in primary CRC and corresponding metastases. Primary carcinomas and matched metastatic tissues demonstrated a moderate level of consistent immunoreactivity for HIF-1α and VEGF. HIF-1α, VEGF and CD34 were expressed in both primary tumors and corresponding metastases of CRC, suggesting that they may be involved in the development of metastasis. HIF-1α and VEGF expression in primary sites was consistent with that observed in metastases; however, it varied from that exhibited in MVD. The current analysis will improve the current understanding of the metastasis models and provide further evidence for evaluating the response to HIF-1α and VEGF inhibitors.

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common neoplasms and constitutes a major cause of cancer-associated mortality worldwide (1). The incidence of CRC in 2018 was 6.1% (1). In CRC, ~60% of patients have unresectable or metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis (2). The lymph nodes and liver are common sites of metastasis in metastatic (m)CRC (3).

Advancements in targeted therapies developed against angiogenesis have improved survival in mCRC as angiogenesis is known to play a key role in the development of several types of tumor (4). Hypoxia-inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) are both notable regulators of angiogenesis that are essential for tumor growth in CRC (5). HIF-1α exists in the microenvironment in several tumor entities as the rapid proliferation of tumor cells outpaces the rate of angiogenesis (6). Overexpression of HIF-1α is associated with tumor aggressiveness, invasiveness and resistance to radiotherapy and chemotherapy in CRC (7). VEGF is the principal pro-angiogenic growth factor, and its expression is mediated by HIF-1α during hypoxia (8). VEGF markedly increases vascular permeability, promotes the formation of...
new blood vessels and the induces metastases in CRC (9). Thus, inhibiting HIF-1α and VEGF expression has demonstrated promise for tumor anti-angiogenesis therapy in both animal models and patients with cancer (10).

In order for a biomarker to be clinically applied, the relevance of its status in both the primary tumor and metastatic sites needs to be understood. Currently, the understanding of cancer predominantly stems from the comparative study of normal tissues, paracancerous tissues and cancerous lesions (11). Only a few comparative studies on primary and metastatic tumors depend on the assumption that primary and metastatic sites are pathologically concordant in clinical practice (12). However, research has determined that different metastatic sites may have different molecular mechanisms of metastasis (13). To the best of our knowledge, the difference in HIF-1α and VEGF expression between primary tumors and corresponding metastases has not yet been fully investigated in CRC.

The present study assessed HIF-1α, VEGF and CD34 status via immunohistochemistry (IHC) analysis on samples obtained from primary tumors and paired metastatic sites of CRC. The primary aim was to analyze the differential HIF-1α, VEGF and microvascular density (MVD) status between primary tumors and corresponding metastatic tissues at the protein level, and provide a potential predictive mechanism to inform the use of anti-angiogenic agents in the treatment of mCRC.

Materials and methods

Patient characteristics. The present study was approved by the Ethics and Scientific Committees of Shandong Cancer Hospital (Shandong, China), and written informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to the study start. A total of 46 patients (20 men and 26 women) who underwent surgical resection of both the primary CRC and the corresponding metastatic sites (lymph nodes and liver) synchronous between April 2010 and June 2017 in Shandong Cancer Hospital, and had complete clinical data, were reviewed in this retrospective analysis. The median age of patients was 62 years (age range, 40-82 years). The clinical and histopathological characteristics of the patients are presented in Tables I-III. All patients provided available tissues, including primary tumors and matched metastases. None of the cases underwent adjuvant therapy prior to surgery. Tumors were staged according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) pathological tumor node metastasis (TNM) classification (14).

IHC assessment. Prior to pairing, both the primary tumors and metastases tissues in each patient were assessed by two independent pathologists at the pathology department of Shandong Cancer Hospital in a blinded manner. Staining was evaluated as reported by Qiu and Zhou (10), with a semi-quantitative analysis incorporating both the proportion of positively stained cells and the staining intensity. The immunoreactions for HIF-1α were divided into four groups as follows: 0, <1% of tumor cells exhibiting nuclear immunostaining; 1, 1-10% of tumor cells; 2, 11-50% of tumor cells and 3, >50% of tumor cells exhibiting nuclear immunostaining. For VEGF, the evaluation was as follows: 0, <10% of tumor cells exhibiting cytoplasmic immunostaining; 1, 11-25% of tumor cells; 2, 26-50% of tumor cells and 3, >50% of tumor cells exhibiting cytoplasmic immunostaining. The intensity of HIF-1α and VEGF staining was also determined semi-quantitatively on a scale of 0-3 as follows: 0, negative; 1, weakly positive; 2, moderately positive; and 3, strongly positive. The final staining score was determined by combining the percentage scores and staining intensities, as follows: 0 (negative), + (1-4), ++ (5-8) and +++ (9). Final staining scores of 0 or + were classified as negative expression, while final staining scores of ++ and +++ were classified as positive expression. Microvessel density was visualized using immunohistochemical detection of CD34 antigen and subsequently quantified using Image Capture software (Panasonic Corporation; version 3.7) (17,18). Briefly, tumor sections were observed under a low power light microscope (magnification, x40) in three areas with the greatest degree of vascularization (hot spots of vascularization). These three hot spots were then examined at high magnification (x200) and the mean MVD for each specimen was calculated. Stained endothelial cells or endothelial cell clusters clearly separated from adjacent microvessels by tumor cells and/or stroma elements were considered a single countable microvessel. Microvessels were counted in three hot spots, and MVD was divided into low and high groups, according to a median MVD value from all samples from the present study.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 17.0; SPSS, Inc.). Data were expressed as the means ± standard deviation. The associations between HIF-1α and VEGF expression, and the clinicopathological characteristics of patients with CRC were assessed using the χ2 test. Pearson's correlation test and McNemar test were used to compare HIF-1α and VEGF staining between primary tumors and associated metastatic sites (perfect correlation, 1.0).
P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference and all statistical tests were two-sided.

Results

Association between HIF-1α, VEGF and CD34 expression in primary and metastatic sites of CRC and clinicopathological characteristics. Positive HIF-1α staining was predominantly located in the nucleus or cytoplasm with brown or brown yellow granules (Fig. 1), while positive VEGF staining was indicated by the presence of brownish-yellow granules in the cytoplasm or cell membrane (Fig. 2) and CD34 staining was observed in the vascular endothelium as brown sediments (Fig. 3). HIF-1α was detectable (++ to +++) in 70.0% of the primary tumors, 60.9% of the metastatic lymph nodes and 65.2% of the liver metastases. VEGF was detectable (++ to +++) in 73.9% of the primary tumors, 58.7% of the metastatic lymph nodes and 67.4% of the liver metastases. The mean microvessel density was 16.2 (standard deviation=9.3), with a range of 4-37. For the high group of MVD, it accounted for 78.3% of the primary samples, 32.6% of the metastatic lymph nodes and 41.3% of the liver metastases. Although HIF-1α and VEGF expression levels were frequently positive in primary tumors compared with corresponding metastases, no significant difference was observed. However, MVD scores demonstrated a significant difference between primary CRC and matched metastases (all P<0.05). Univariate analysis demonstrated that no significant associations were observed between HIF-1α and VEGF expression, and clinicopathological characteristics in primary lesions and metastatic sites (all P>0.05; Tables I-III).

Association in individual tumor marker expression between primary tumors and corresponding metastases. The association between HIF-1α and VEGF status in primary tumors and paired metastatic lesions, and histological sections demonstrated consistency (Table IV). A total of 38 patients (83%) with HIF-1α and 35 patients (76%) with VEGF exhibited concordance in expression between primary tumors and matched lymph node metastases. The correlation coefficient of HIF-1α and VEGF were (K=0.62; P<0.001) and (K=0.48; P=0.001), respectively. Conversely, a total of 34 patients (74%) with HIF-1α and 39 patients (85%) with VEGF exhibited concordance with regards to expression levels in primary tumors and liver metastases. The correlation coefficient of HIF-1α and VEGF were (K=0.41; P=0.005) and (K=0.64; P<0.001), respectively.

Minor differences between primary tumors and metastatic lesions were still presented. Regarding HIF-1α expression, eight cases demonstrated a discordance in expression between primary tumors and lymph node metastases (P=0.29; Table IV),

| Characteristic | HIF-1α expression in primary tumors | VEGF expression in primary tumors |
|---------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Patient, n    | Negative 14  Positive 32 | Negative 12  Positive 34  |
| Sex           | Male (n=20) 6 14 | Male (n=20) 6 14  |
|               | Female (n=26) 8 18 | Female (n=26) 6 20  |
| Age, years    | <60 (n=30) 9 21 | <60 (n=30) 8 22  |
|               | ≥60 (n=16) 5 11 | ≥60 (n=16) 4 12  |
| Sites         | Colon (n=35) 11 24 | Colon (n=35) 7 28  |
|               | Rectum (n=11) 3 8 | Rectum (n=11) 5 6  |
| Tumor diagnosis | Low (n=14) 4 10 | Low (n=14) 3 11  |
|               | Moderate (n=22) 7 15 | Moderate (n=22) 6 16  |
|               | High (n=10) 3 7 | High (n=10) 3 7  |
| Depth of invasion | pT3 (n=22) 9 13 | pT3 (n=22) 8 14  |
|               | pT4 (n=24) 5 19 | pT4 (n=24) 4 20  |
| Primary tumor size, cm | ≤3 (n=25) 7 18 | ≤3 (n=25) 5 20  |
|               | >3 (n=21) 7 14 | >3 (n=21) 7 14  |

HIF-1α, hypoxia-inducible factor 1-α; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; pT, pathological stage of primary tumor.
while 12 cases indicated discordance between primary tumors and liver metastases (P=0.29; Table IV). Among these, HIF-1α immunostaining were negative expression in the primary tumor and positive expression in the metastatic lesions in seven cases, while opposing results were exhibited in the remaining 13 cases. Regarding VEGF expression, 11 cases demonstrated discordance in pairs of primary tumors and matched lymph node metastases (P=0.45; Table IV), while seven cases indicated discordant between primary tumors and matched liver metastases (P=0.29; Table IV). Among these, four cases exhibited positive expression in metastasis but negative expression in primary tumors, while opposing results were exhibited in the remaining 14 cases.

Table II. Association between HIF-1α and VEGF expression in metastatic lymph nodes and the clinicopathological characteristics of patients with colorectal carcinomas (n=46).

| Characteristic | HIF-1α expression in metastatic lymph nodes | VEGF expression in metastatic lymph nodes |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
|               | Negative | Positive | χ²-value | P-value | Negative | Positive | χ²-value | P-value |
| Patient, n    | 18       | 28       | 2.97     | 0.09    | 19       | 27       | 1.87     | 0.17    |
| Sex           |          |          |          |         |          |          |          |         |
| Male (n=20)   | 5        | 15       |          |         | 6        | 14       |          |         |
| Female (n=26) | 13       | 13       |          |         | 13       | 13       |          |         |
| Age, years    |          |          |          |         |          |          |          |         |
| <60 (n=30)    | 10       | 20       | 1.22     | 0.27    | 14       | 16       | 1.02     | 0.31    |
| ≥60 (n=16)    | 8        | 8        |          |         | 5        | 11       |          |         |
| Sites         |          |          |          |         |          |          |          |         |
| Colon (n=35)  | 12       | 23       | 1.44     | 0.23    | 15       | 20       | 0.15     | 0.70    |
| Rectum (n=11) | 6        | 5        |          |         | 4        | 7        |          |         |
| Tumor differentiation |          |          | 4.74     | 0.09    |          |          | 5.68     | 0.06    |
| Low (n=14)    | 6        | 8        |          |         | 3        | 11       |          |         |
| Moderate (n=22) | 11      | 11       |          |         | 13       | 9        |          |         |
| High (n=10)   | 1        | 9        |          |         | 3        | 7        |          |         |
| Depth of invasion |          |          | 0.71     | 0.40    |          |          | 0.00     | 0.96    |
| pT3 (n=22)    | 10       | 12       |          |         | 9        | 13       |          |         |
| pT4 (n=24)    | 8        | 16       |          |         | 10       | 14       |          |         |
| Primary tumor size, cm |          |          | 0.23     | 0.64    |          |          | 2.58     | 0.11    |
| ≤3 (n=25)     | 9        | 16       |          |         | 13       | 12       |          |         |
| >3 (n=21)     | 9        | 12       |          |         | 6        | 15       |          |         |

HIF-1α, hypoxia-inducible factor 1-α; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; pT, pathological stage of primary tumor.

Discussion

Advanced colorectal cancer continues to present a major health problem worldwide. Similar to other types of malignant tumor, CRC is a systemic disease. Eliminating metastatic lesions allows for successful comprehensive surgical treatment. Hypoxia and angiogenesis are a common phenomenon in solid tumors, which play key roles in cancer progression (19). HIF-1α and VEGF are the most potent angiogenic proteins, which promote malignant transformation, angiogenesis and metastatic dissemination (20). Currently, patients with mCRC resistant to chemotherapy benefit from anti-angiogenesis-targeted therapies, such as bevacizumab, which has been widely applied in clinical practice (21). Unlike epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), angiogenesis inhibitors do not have specific target populations and present no clear indications for clinical application in CRC. The benefit of angiogenesis inhibitors is that the unselected patient population are modest (22). However, whether primary CRC and their associated metastases have similar levels of angiogenesis has not yet been determined. This gap in the literature is primarily attributable to the incorrect assumption that primary CRC and metastatic lesions are pathologically consistent (12). Thus, the comparative analysis of HIF-1α, VEGF and MVD differences between primary and metastatic tumors may improve understanding of the changes of metastases, promote research and application of novel targeted drugs and provide information to predict whether anti-angiogenesis targeted drugs may benefit patients with mCRC.

According to published data, HIF-1α and VEGF upregulation in CRC at the protein level ranges from 55-65 and 44-64%, respectively, while less expression is observed in normal tissues (23,24), and relatively little is known about the role of hypoxia and angiogenesis in metastases. In the present study, hypoxia and angiogenesis in lymph node and
liver metastases tissues of 46 patients with mCRC were investigated and compared with the primary tumor. HIF-1α, VEGF and CD34 were positively expressed at both sites, indicating that they were closely associated with the occurrence and development of mCRC (25). Further research and analysis demonstrated no significant difference in the expression levels of HIF-1α and VEGF across different ages, sex, tumor sizes and degrees of histological differentiation. The current results are consistent with previous findings of the majority of studies published to date (26,27). A previous study reported that HIF-1α and VEGF expression are frequently associated with depth of invasion (28). Although the positive rate of HIF-1α and VEGF in patients with pT4 was slightly higher than that of patients with pT3 in both primary tumors and metastases in the present study, no significant difference was observed. These results are also inconsistent with findings reported in the literature and may be associated with all patients with distant metastasis in stage IV of the present study.

In order to fully utilize individualized treatment in molecular targeted therapies, an immunohistochemical evaluation of target molecule expression at the primary tumor site, as well as at metastatic sites is required to provide value for

| Characteristic               | HIF-1α expression in liver metastases | VEGF expression in liver metastases |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Patient, n                  | Negative 16  Positive 30              | Negative 15  Positive 31             |
| Sex                         | Male (n=20) 6  14                  | Male (n=20) 4  16                  |
|                             | Female (n=26) 10  16             | Female (n=26) 11  15               |
| Age, years                  | <60 (n=30) 12  18                  | <60 (n=30) 10  20                  |
|                             | ≥60 (n=16) 4  12                  | ≥60 (n=16) 5  11                   |
| Sites                       | Colon (n=35) 14  21                | Colon (n=35) 12  23                |
|                             | Rectum (n=11) 2  9                  | Rectum (n=11) 3  8                 |
| Tumor differentiation       | Low (n=14) 6  8                    | Low (n=14) 5  9                    |
|                             | Moderate (n=22) 5  17               | Moderate (n=22) 7  15               |
|                             | High (n=10) 5  5                    | High (n=10) 3  7                    |
| Depth of invasion           | pT3 (n=22) 9  13                   | pT3 (n=22) 7  15                   |
|                             | pT4 (n=24) 7  17                   | pT4 (n=24) 8  16                   |
| Primary tumor size, cm      | ≤3 (n=25) 10  15                   | ≤3 (n=25) 11  14                   |
|                             | >3 (n=21) 6  15                    | >3 (n=21) 4  17                    |

HIF-1α, hypoxia-inducible factor 1-α; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.

Figure 1. Immunohistochemical staining of hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha. (A) Primary tumor, (B) lymph node metastasis and (C) liver metastasis demonstrated concordance from the same patient with T3N2M1 (all positive, A and C showing ++ and B showing +++). Scale bar, 100 µm. Magnification, x200. TNM, tumor-node-metastasis.
therapeutic decisions and decrease high costs (29). However, regarding the expression of angiogenesis markers in metastatic sites of CRC, only a few cases have been reported in the literature, and the research results are inconsistent. For example, Shim et al (30) demonstrated that HIF-1α expression is higher in metastatic lymph nodes compared with primary lesions in breast cancer. However, Fraga et al (31) reported that there is no difference in HIF-1α protein expression between primary lesions and metastatic sites in the upper aerodigestive tract of patients with cancer. Due to the fact that metastases are not easily accessible from the same patients in clinical work, several aspects of the molecular mechanism of CRC metastasis are yet to be elucidated. The results of the present study demonstrated that HIF-1α and VEGF expression levels in corresponding metastases were lower than in primary tumors; however, only the difference in MVD value was statistically significant. This indicates that compared with metastases, there may be less oxygen in primary tumors, and hypoxia may provide the stimulus that upregulates HIF-1α and VEGF transcription and malignancy (27). In most types of human malignant tumor, the vasculature is a direct result of angiogenesis. MVD is one marker of tumor angiogenesis (32). The present study used CD34 to detect MVD in primary colorectal tumors and matched metastases, and it was revealed that the metastases were poorly vascularised compared with the primary tumors. This was not consistent with the trends seen in HIF-1α and VEGF expression. In CRC, the primary tumor suppresses the vascularization of distant metastases, and the vascular density of the primary tumor is higher than its metastases (33). Furthermore, a variety of internal environmental factors may affect angiogenesis in metastatic lesions. For example, in lymph node metastases, which may have a good blood supply, the growth of metastatic lesions in the lymph nodes is not dependent on angiogenesis (34). Additionally, anti-tumor immunity in lymph nodes may be associated with the inhibition of neovascularization, thereby explaining the low MVD (35,36). In clinical studies, although patients with CRC received neoadjuvant chemotherapy and bevacizumab, lymph node metastases were still observed at the time of surgery and pathological evaluation, suggesting a lack of response of lymph node metastasis to anti-angiogenic therapy (37). The replacement pattern is one of three growth patterns of liver metastases of CRC, in which tumor cells simply replace hepatocytes, and where the liver architecture and sinusoidal blood vessels provide an angiogenic prosperous environment for metastatic tumor growth (38,39). Additionally, blood vessels surrounding liver metastasis are heterogeneous, and the relatively high levels of oxygen in the highly vascular liver that cause MVD are less of an angiogenic driving force (40,41). MVD is different in primary CRC and metastatic lesions, suggesting that close attention should be paid to the changes of molecular indicators in the course of disease progression, which may inform the treatment strategy of patients. Thus, the blood vessels of metastases may respond in a different way to anti-angiogenic therapy and partially explain the unsatisfactory therapeutic effect of anti-angiogenic and/or anti-vascular treatments, such as bevacizumab, supporting the low MVD measured in previous studies of metastases (41,42). Nevertheless, HIF-1α and VEGF were highly expressed in corresponding metastases in the present study, supporting the notion of targeted therapies, such as anti-HIF-1α/anti-VEGF monoclonal antibodies, which may be involved in the metastasis of CRC and may serve as a novel target to treat mCRC (43).
Whether HIF-1α and VEGF expression between primary and metastatic colorectal cancer is consistent has rarely been reported in the literature. Shimomura et al (19) reported that HIF-1α expression levels in liver metastases is significantly associated with that in the corresponding primary tumor. Furthermore, Nakamoto et al (44) analysed VEGF tissue samples from pairs of primary tumors and corresponding metastatic liver tumors and reported that the primary and associated metastatic liver tumors demonstrated discordant immunoreactivity for VEGF in CRC. Kobayashi et al (45) also reported that VEGF expression in hepatic metastatic tumors is positively associated with its expression level in primary tumors in CRC. The results of the present study demonstrated that in 46 pairs of primary tumors and matched metastases, 38 patients (83%) for HIF-1α and 35 cases (76%) for VEGF demonstrated concordance in lymph node metastases, and 34 patients (74%) for HIF-1α and 39 cases (85%) for VEGF indicated consistency in liver metastases. This suggests that patients with high angiogenesis activity in primary cancer also exhibit a high degree of angiogenesis in the corresponding metastatic sites. Concordance may indicate that primary tumors and corresponding metastases have the same genomic status and that cancer cells remain notably stable in metastatic tumors (13). Thus, the expression profiles of HIF-1α and VEGF during the metastatic process were mainly unchanged. The data suggest that detection of HIF-1α and VEGF expression in either a primary tumor or metastases may be reliable indicator used to inform treatment decisions with HIF-1α and/or VEGF inhibitors (19).

Table IV. HIF-1α and VEGF expression between primary tumors and corresponding metastases.

A, HIF-1α expression between primary tumors and corresponding lymph node metastases (n=46)

| Primary tumor | Regional lymph node metastasis | Concordance, n/total n (%) | Discordance, n/total n (%) | McNemar P-value |
|---------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Negative, n   | 12/46 (83)                     | 8/46 (17)                   | 0.29                        |
| Positive, n   | 6/46 (26)                      |                             |                             |

B, HIF-1α expression between primary tumors and synchronous liver metastasis (n=46)

| Primary tumor | Synchronous liver metastasis | Concorance, n/total n (%) | Discordance, n/total n (%) | McNemar P-value |
|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Negative, n   | 9/46 (74)                   | 12/46 (26)                | 0.29                        |
| Positive, n   | 7/46 (25)                   |                           |                             |

C, VEGF expression between primary tumors and corresponding lymph node metastases (n=46)

| Primary tumor | Regional lymph node metastasis | Concorance, n/total n (%) | Discordance, n/total n (%) | McNemar P-value |
|---------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Negative, n   | 10/46 (76)                    | 11/46 (24)                | 0.45                        |
| Positive, n   | 9/46 (25)                     |                           |                             |

D, VEGF expression between primary tumors and synchronous liver metastasis (n=46)

| Primary tumor | Synchronous liver metastasis | Concorance, n/total n (%) | Discordance, n/total n (%) | McNemar P-value |
|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Negative, n   | 10/46 (85)                  | 7/46 (15)                 | 0.29                        |
| Positive, n   | 2/46 (29)                   |                           |                             |

HIF-1α, hypoxia-inducible factor 1-α; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; N, negative; P, positive.
Minor differences were observed between primary tumors and metastatic lesions in the present study. The molecular mechanism by which metastasis occurs is hypothesized to exist in a small population of cells in the primary tumor, and these changes result in the emergence of metastases (12). This hypothesis would predict a degree of difference in protein expression between primary tumors and metastases. Additionally, the expression of biomarkers is prone to be influenced by several clinicopathological and local microenvironments of the liver and lymph node (46). Thus, a certain degree of difference in protein expression may exist between primary tumors and corresponding metastases. In the present study, when matched tissue sets were compared on an individual basis, eight cases demonstrated discordant HIF-1α expression, and 11 cases exhibited discordant VEGF expression, in 46 pairs of primary tumors and paired metastatic lymph nodes. A discordant rate of 26 and 15% were observed for HIF-1α and VEGF expression between primary tumors and liver metastases, respectively, with no statistical difference. Thus, major concordance and minor differences are observed between primary CRCs and corresponding metastases, suggesting that the inhibitors of HIF-1α and VEGF are effective in mCRC.

In conclusion, HIF-1α and VEGF were overexpressed in both primary and matched metastatic tissues of CRC. HIF-1α and VEGF expression status of the primary tumor were discordant with corresponding metastases, suggesting that the markers are stable in the process of metastasis and provide a reliable basis for predicting the angiogenic activity of metastases by analysing the primary tumor. Based on the present data, the results represent important implications for understanding the biology of metastasis in mCRC, providing evidence for further use of inhibitors of HIF-1α and VEGF. However, the local microenvironment of metastases may affect the angiogenesis of tumor cells. Differences in angiogenesis of different metastatic sites may have different therapeutic consequences when treatment with anti-angiogenic therapy is considered, thus further research is required.
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