The Adverse Effect of Mobile Phone Radiations on Dorsal Root Ganglion of Albino Rats
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To assess the effect of Mobile Phone Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Radiation (RF-EMR) on the histological structure of dorsal root ganglia in albino rats.

Methods: Twenty-four albino rats divided into one control and three experimental groups were studied for four weeks. The experimental groups were exposed to three different levels of RF-EMR through complete missed calls of 80, 120 and 160 calls per day respectively, using a GSM mobile phone of 0.9GHz to 1.8 GHz in silent mode. The dorsal root ganglia of the sacrificed Rats were examined under light and transmission electron microscope (TEM).

Results: Dorsal root ganglions of exposed rats showed considerable histological changes like reduction in cell size, condensation of cytoplasm, peripherally located heterochromatin nucleus, loss of nucleolus and densely packed myelinated nerve fibers. No such changes were observed in control rats.
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1. INTRODUCTION

We live in an era where mobile phones have steadily and rapidly become one of the human necessities. Our generation considers mobile phones as a blessing to the human race. But along with the blessings comes the adversary of Radio frequencies – Electromagnetic radiations (RF-EMR), a technology on which mobile phones function. Radio frequencies are non-ionizing radiation ranging from 3Hz to 3THz, while mobile communication services typically use 900 MHz to 2.1GHz wavelengths for calling purposes [1]. The human race’s exposure to RF-EMR has significantly increased in the past decade due to the aggressive expansion of mobile phone technologies. In 2015 world had more than seven billion mobile phone subscriptions which is growing at an exponential rate [2]. The cautionary regarding possible damaging effects on health has nevertheless been expressed since the advent of RF-EMR. This all-round access to emerging technology has contributed to gigantic-scale health studies worldwide [3].

Dorsal root ganglion (DRG) is a collection of nerve cell bodies of sensory neurons in the dorsal root of a spinal nerve, which conveys sensory signals into the spinal cord. Other components of DRG are nerve fibers, satellite cells, macrophages, and fibroblast cells. DRG can be regarded as a gray matter of the spinal cord that became translocated to the periphery [4]. Although several investigational findings had already been accomplished in connection to RF-EMR with unfavorable results on the nervous system [5], yet there is a lack of information regarding the impact of RF-EMR on the dorsal root ganglia. We endeavored to deliberate the possible anticipated toxic impact of RF-EMR generated by mobile phones on the histological structure of dorsal root ganglia in albino rats.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study included twenty-four inbred adult healthy 180 - 200 grams weighing albino rats of both genders [6]. Three or four rats were maintained in each (36 cm × 23 cm × 21 cm) cage and fed with standard form pellet lab diet and water ad libitum. Temperature has been maintained at 26 °C in all cages by using a temperature controller (ATC-2000 world precision instruments (WPI) company, china). The rats were divided into four experimental groups (control, T80, T120, T160) based on the dosage of RF-EMR exposure they would receive throughout the experimental span. To achieve RF-EMR exposure, a 0.9 to 1.8 GHz mobile phone in complete silent mode with both ring tone and vibration switched off was kept in the cage and a full missed call was given to the mobile phone. The control group rats were not exposed to any missed calls. While T80, T120, and T160 groups were exposed to 80, 120, and 160 missed calls respectively per day for four weeks [7]. Later all experimental animals were sacrificed, rodent tissue was cleansed with salt and preserved by injecting Karnovsky fixative. After tissue fixation was evident, the vertebral column from cervical to the lumbar region was dissected through the back. The spinal cord alongside dorsal root ganglion of the experimental and control rats was delicately expelled and promptly immersed in Karnovsky fixative. The DRG tissue from the cervical up to the lumbar vertebrae was isolated and processed using standard histochemical tissue processing techniques for light and electron microscopy [8]. Multiple slides were prepared for light & electron microscopy using each DRG tissue. All tissue specimens were subjected to Haematoxylin-Eosin (H&E) and Cresyl violet stains, and a few tissue specimens out of the overall were treated with Toluidine blue stain to study detailed nuclear characteristics. Slides were examined under the light microscope and ultra-high-resolution transmission electron microscope [Model: Phillips EM-410LS, Holland]. Photomicrographs of slides were recorded and further studied.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Control Group

The Histomorphologic structure of DRG in control group rodents showed normal histologic features...
such as light to medium purple-stained large nerve cell bodies surrounded by dark purple-stained small satellite or glial cells (Fig. 1-A), while nerve fibers (axon and dendrites) were stained pink to red. The Schwann cell with dark red cytoplasm and purple nuclei were found around axons indicating myelinated nerve fibers (Fig. 2-A,B). Groups of pseudo unipolar neuronal cell bodies separated by bundles of myelinated nerve fibers with cell bodies possessing large euchromatic nucleus and centrally placed prominent nucleolus was a constant and normally expected feature observed among this group (Fig. 1-A). Electron microscopy revealed pseudo unipolar neurons comprising large cell bodies with predominantly centrally placed large euchromatic nucleus showing distinct nucleolus and perikaryon filled with cell organelles (Fig. 3-A). Satellite cells under electron microscopy appeared very much smaller than neuronal cell bodies and were found to be forming a capsule around neuronal cells, such satellite cells were filled with less perikaryal organelles while nuclei had very little chromatin (Fig. 3-B).

### 3.2 T80 Group

The histomorphologic structure of DRG in T80 group rodents showed minimal histologic changes in comparison to the control group, such as slightly smaller cells with condensed cytoplasm, the nucleus was found to be heterochromatin with loss of nucleolus (Fig. 4-A,B).

### 3.3 T120 Group

Unlike the control and T80 groups, the T120 group revealed considerable changes in the histomorphologic structure of DRG such as much smaller and darker nerve cell bodies with highly condensed cytoplasm and differentiation of nucleolus in the nucleus was not possible (Fig. 5-A,B).

### 3.4 T160 Group

Extensive histomorphologic changes in the structure of DRG was observed in this group. The series of changes included dark stained small neuronal cell bodies, high cytoplasmic condensation, irregular cell margins, undifferentiable nucleolus, while intermittent neuropils depicted rarefaction (Fig 1-B). Electron microscopy revealed minimal intercellular space between neuronal cell bodies and nuclear heterochromatin (Fig. 6-A) and cross-section showed darkly stained thick bundles of nerve fibers with few normal Schwann cells (Fig. 6-B).

---

Fig. 1. Representative Photomicrograph of dorsal root ganglion. (A) control group rat showing normal pseudo unipolar neuronal cell bodies, large euchromatic nucleus and prominent centrally placed nucleolus (Blue arrow) with many small-sized darkly stained satellite cells (Black arrow) surrounding neuronal cell bodies. Neuronal groups are separated by bundles of myelinated nerve fibers. (B) E-160 group rat showing shrunken neuronal cell bodies (Red arrow), unclear cell margins, condensed nuclear material containing ambiguous nucleolus. (Semithin resin sections, Toluidine stain, X1000)
Fig. 2. Representative Photomicrographs of dorsal root ganglion from control group rats. Distinct nucleus surrounded by cytoplasm can be appreciated. Large normal-looking ganglionic cells (red arrows) surrounded by small satellite cells (black arrows). (A - H&E stain, B - Cresyl violet stain, X400)

Fig. 3. Sample electron photomicrographs of dorsal root ganglion of control group rats. (A) single large neuronal cell body (red arrow) with centrally placed large euchromatic nucleus containing distinct nucleolus and perikaryon filled with organelles. (B) Schwann cell (black arrow) near myelinated nerve fibers, satellite cell (blue arrow)

Fig. 4. Representative Photomicrographs of dorsal root ganglion from E-80 group rats. Few cells are slightly smaller with condensed cytoplasm (red arrows). (A-H&E stain, B- Cresyl violet stain, X400)
DISCUSSION

The brain is a functionally precise and prompt neuronal organ with excellent efficiency in picking up even the smallest sensory input, hence we took caution not to overly upset the brain by sound and vibration of mobile phones during this experiment. It should be viewed that whatever changes been brought about in the histomorphologic structure of the rodent DRG is by the effect of RF-EMR as there was no other known physical or environmental triggering factor involved. Control group rats showed the normal microscopic structure of DRG. RF-EMR exposed rats of the T80 group depicted minimal cellular changes in DRG, while T120 and T160 groups revealed higher and extensive changes in the microscopic structure of DRG respectively. The above-observed increase in abnormal histologic changes of DRG was related to the increasing dosage of RF-EMR, clearly indicating the ability of electromagnetic radiation to bring about extra and intracellular modifications in the nervous tissue. Reduction in neuronal cell size with the condensation of cytoplasm, reduction of nuclear size, nuclear condensation, and non-differentiable nucleolus suggestive of heterochromatin and varying degree of demyelination were our experimental findings that accounted for the highly significant damaging effect of RF-EMR on DRG.

Highly significant damage to the nervous tissue has been reported on exposure to mobile phone
radiation [9]. Reduction in cell size can cause a reduction in functionality by affecting cell size-dependent metabolism leading to disorders and aging [10]. Change in the nuclear structure causing heterochromatin formation indicates changes in DNA [11]. A study on Chinese hamster cells showed structural DNA changes on exposure to microwaves radiation [12]. Another study on rats revealed changes in the DNA sequence of testicular and neuronal cells on long term exposure to microwaves of 2.5Ghz [13]. Breakage of DNA was noted in rat nerve cells on exposure to RF-EMR [14]. All this evidence is suggestive of cellular changes at the DNA level that can occur in nervous tissue on excessive and prolonged exposure to RF-EMR. Such cellular changes along with demyelination might lead to neuronal degenerative disorders or even cancerous conditions. Limitations of our study include lack of long-term exposure and genetic study of the DNA which would further provide information regarding the extent of genetic modification and might shed more light on the disease, disorder, and aging process.

5. CONCLUSION

Our study revealed that the Dorsal root ganglion of Albino rats exposed to different doses of Radiofrequency electromagnetic radiations from mobile phones exhibited extracellular as well as intracellular changes. Such changes were not observed in the control group rats. The severity of changes in the cell was dose-dependent. Further studies are required to demonstrate in-depth genetic and epigenetic alterations on long term exposure to RF-EMR.

6. RECOMMENDATIONS

We recommend to conduct similar researches in various weather based temperatures and different parts of central nervous system.
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