Quality of life in a sample of Egyptian renal transplant recipients
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Abstract

Background: Poor quality of life has been reported after renal transplantation. So, we aimed to identify the quality of life and its demographic and clinical correlates among Egyptian renal transplant recipients.

A cross-sectional observational study of 230 post-renal transplantation recipients (PRTRs) who were recruited from Ain Shams University Specialized Hospital and Nasser Institute nephrology clinics. All cases were subjected to a designed questionnaire for PRTRs, the semi-structured questionnaire for renal transplant recipients and the Arabic version of the World Health Organization Quality of Life Questionnaire (WHOQOL-100).

Results: All the PRTRs had unsatisfactory social quality of life (QoL) while 97.8% had unsatisfactory overall QoL; moreover, 92.6% were not satisfied as regards environmental and independence QoL. Psychological dissatisfaction was met in 75.7% of all subjects, whereas the least dissatisfaction rate was the spiritual QoL (15.2%). Younger age groups were the most who complained of unsatisfactory quality of life in all domains except the spiritual QoL. All domains of QoL were found not statistically associated with gender, marital status, or social class. Subjects who received higher education had better psychological and independence QoL. The overall QoL and physical QoL were found to be correlated only with age. The psychological and independence QoL were positively correlated with age, sex, educational level, and occupation while the environmental QL was found to be positively correlated with occupation.

Conclusion: The prevalence of unsatisfactory quality of life is quite high among PRTRs. Our findings pointed to the need of recognizing quality of life among renal transplant recipients, and we suggest that mental health professionals should be included in the multidisciplinary team.
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Background

The World Health Organization defined quality of life (QoL) as “the individual’s perception of their life status concerning the context of culture and value system in which they live and their goals, expectations, standards, and concerns” [1]. It is thus a concept that entails several meanings and relates to the individual’s level of satisfaction in different spheres of life [2, 3]. Quality of life can be assessed using both general and specific instruments [4].

Kidney transplantation is the treatment of choice for patients suffering from end-stage renal disease (ESRD), with recipients experiencing increased longevity and improved quality of life relative to patients on dialysis [5]. It is expected that in successful transplantation, the recipient’s QoL improves in all aspects [6], yet since the first organ transplantation in the 1950s, there have been reports that patients who underwent organ transplantation had a poor quality of life and prognosis if they were depressed and/or anxious prior to transplantation [7]. Psychiatric disorders such as depression and anxiety may be seen after a successful renal transplantation, and
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its frequency is quite higher in renal transplantation patients in comparison to others [8].

Health-related quality of life (HRQOL) has become recognized as an important outcome measure in patients with organ transplantation. Successful renal transplantation provides a better patient outcome in terms of HRQOL. Evidence shows that HRQOL of renal transplant recipients improved significantly when compared with their HRQOL in the preoperative dialysis period [9]. The improvement in HRQOL after renal transplantation may be attributable to many factors, such as effective functioning of renal graft, fewer medical complications, and lifestyle changes. On the other hand, there are several factors in renal transplant recipients which have a negative impact on HRQOL [10]. This includes fear of rejection, the immune-suppressive therapy, and the shifts in family dynamics and the emotional difficulties from coping problems and readjustment into the society [11, 12].

The psychological profile of kidney transplant recipients indicates higher levels of depression, anxiety, and an overall lower quality-of-life score relative to the greater population [13]. Furthermore, adult kidney transplant recipients score lower than the general population on health-related quality-of-life assessments in physical and emotional capacity, overall health, vitality, and social functioning [14, 15].

Rationale of the study

Despite the fact that PRTRs were estimated to have a better QoL after the operation, most studies done on that patient groups found that a low level of QoL was observed.

This research is a part of a series of research done to evaluate Egyptian renal transplant recipients in multiple aspects [16].

This cross-sectional observational study aimed to identify the quality of life among renal transplant recipients and its psycho demographic and clinical correlates.

The study was approved by the ethical committee of Ain Shams University, and also approvals and ethical clearance were obtained from the authority of the two selected hospitals.

Methods

Subjects

The study was conducted at the nephrology clinic of Ain Shams University Specialized Hospital and Nasser Institute, where patients were followed up after undergoing surgery. Renal transplant recipients coming for follow up were recruited. The study sample was a stratified random sample. The sample size was calculated by statistician using Epi-Info program version 6 and the expected prevalence accordingly is 18.4%. Therefore, the sample size was calculated to be 230 subjects.

Methods

Cases were collected from the nephrology clinics of Nasser Institute on Saturdays and Wednesdays every week and from the nephrology clinics of Ain Shams University Specialized Hospital that were held from Saturday to Wednesday after obtaining relevant authority permission. There were no inclusion or exclusion criteria. A written consent was taken from the patients before they participated in the study, and confidentiality was ensured and explanation of nature of the research was done.

In a session of 3 h, all cases were subjected to the following:

1. A designed questionnaire: a simple questionnaire was designed including yes/no, multiple choice questions, and closed-ended questions based on the sheet of the Institute of Psychiatry, Ain Shams University, and it assess the domains of age, sex, occupation, education, social class, and marital status.
2. The semi-structured questionnaire for renal transplant recipients: a semi-structured questionnaire based on the Structured Interview for Renal Transplantation SIRT [17] to determine the medical condition of the PRTRs and the circumstances of surgery.
3. The Arabic version of the World Health Organization Quality of Life Questionnaire (WHOQOL-100): [18] it is a cross-cultural measurement tool to examine overall quality of life and general health perceptions. The Arabic translation was translated and validated by the Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University.

Statistical analysis

The results were analyzed using the statistical package for the social sciences [19]. Qualitative data were described using frequency and percentage while quantitative data were described using mean and standard deviation. Continuous variables were compared using the Student’s (t test) and chi-square to compare categorical variables. Pearson’s (r) correlation was used for correlation of variables. A statistical level of significance was set at 0.05.

Results

Description of the study sample

The study investigated 230 patients: 165 (72%) males and 65 (28%) females; their mean age was 37.3 ± 7.6 years. The majority belonged to low middle social class category. Most of them were married and received considerable education with 23% of the sample unemployed
and about 8% housewives. The length of hemodialysis therapy before renal transplantation ranged from 1 to 7 years. As regards the transplant, 30% received the renal graft from a relative donor, whereas 70% received it from non-relative donors. The time elapsed since the surgery was ranging from 3 to 18 months. In all cases, the operations were sponsored by the government or insurance.

**Quality of life among post-renal transplant recipients (PRTRs)**

All the PRTRs had unsatisfactory social QoL while 97.8% had unsatisfactory overall QoL. Moreover, 92.6% were not satisfied as regards environmental and independence QoL. Psychological dissatisfaction was met in 75.7% of all subjects, whereas the least dissatisfaction rate was the spiritual QoL 15.2% (Fig. 1).

**Age**

Younger age groups were the most who complained of unsatisfactory quality of life in all domains except the spiritual QoL. Patients from 40 to 50 years were the least dissatisfied in all domains except the spiritual one (Fig. 2).

Table 4 shows that there is a significant negative correlation between age of recipients with physical, psychological, independence, and overall domains of quality of life ($P > 0.05$).

**Sex, marital status, and social class**

All domains of QoL were found not statistically associated with gender, marital status, or social class (Table 1).

The correlation between the PRTRs and gender is displayed in Table 4. It indicates that sex was correlated only with the independence and psychological aspects of QoL.

**Education**

Subjects who received higher education as bachelor or high institute and also those who received secondary school education had better psychological and independence QoL than the other types of educational level. Other domains of QoL as physical, social, and environmental showed no significant differences as regards types of education received.

There is significant positive correlation between psychological and independence, QoL with the education received by the recipients Table 4.

**Occupation**

Table 2 shows that the type of job has a significant relationship with QoL domains. The unsatisfactory physical QoL was more significantly encountered among the unemployed patients (96.2%) and least among the housewives (61%). Subjects who were unskilled laborers were the commonest who had unsatisfactory psychological QoL (84.4%).

The worse scores in the independence QoL were among those who were unemployed (85%). On the other hand, the least scores were encountered in housewives.

There is a significant correlation between the type of job with the independence and environmental QoL (Table 4).

**Medical variables**

Studying different medical variables in relation to QoL domains revealed non-significant relation between
duration of hemodialysis, medical comorbidity, side effects of received medication, time elapsed since the transplantation operation, or the type of donors with different domains of QoL (Table 3).

**Quality of life correlation with different variables**

The overall QoL and physical QoL were found to be correlated only with age. Whereas psychological and independence QoL were correlated with age, sex, educational level, and occupation while the environmental QoL was found to be correlated with occupation (Table 4).

**Discussion**

Renal transplantation is the only effective treatment in end-stage renal disease, which provided better wellbeing but at the same time triggers numerous psychological implications [20–22]. There is variation in the quality of life, coping, and psychiatric morbidity among renal transplant recipients [12].

QoL refers to the social, physical, and psychological domains of health, which influenced by the patient’s perception of the culture and value system [23], and in relation to his goals and expectation [21].

Thus, evaluation of the QoL in PRTRs has been considered as an important way to determine the impact of transplantation operation on those patients [24].

This study was dedicated to exploring the quality of life and its correlates among Egyptian renal transplant recipients. The study revealed that PRTRs had unsatisfactory social QoL, and the majority had unsatisfactory overall, independence, environmental, physical, and psychological aspects of QoL. We are in contrast with previous investigators who reported better QoL after the operation in Bangladesh and India [12, 25]. In another community [26], a study also reported improvement of
Table 1 Quality of life among PRTRs in relation to sex, marital status, and social class

| WHOQoL-100 | Sex | Males | Females | P   |
|------------|-----|-------|---------|-----|
|            |     | n = 165 | n = 65  |     |
| Physical   | Poor| 131(79.3%) | 63(96.9%)| > 0.05 |
|            | Good| 34(20.6%)  | 2(3%)   |     |
| Psychological | Poor| 113(68.4%) | 61(93.8%)| > 0.05 |
|            | Good| 48(29%)     | 4(6%)   |     |
| Social     | Poor| 165(100%)  | 65(100%)| > 0.05 |
|            | Good| 0(0%)       | 0(0%)   |     |
| Independence | Poor| 87(52.7%)  | 43(66.1%)| > 0.05 |
|            | Good| 72(43.6%)   | 22(33.8%)|     |
| Environmental | Poor| 150(90.9%) | 63(96.9%)| > 0.05 |
|            | Good| 15(9%)      | 2(3%)   |     |
| Spiritual  | Poor| 26(15.7%)  | 9(13.8%)| > 0.05 |
|            | Good| 139(84.2%) | 54(83%) |     |
| Overall    | Poor| 159(96.3%) | 62(95.3%)| > 0.05 |
|            | Good| 6(3.6%)     | 3(4.6%) |     |

| WHOQoL100 | Marital status | Single n = 54 | Married n = 168 | Divorced n = 5 | Widow n = 3 | P   |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|-----|
| Physical  | Poor           | 49(90.7%)      | 142(84.5%)      | 2(40%)        | 1(33.3%)    | > 0.05 |
|            | Good           | 5(9.3%)        | 26(15.5%)       | 3(60%)        | 2(66.7%)    |     |
| Psychological | Poor| 36(66.7%)    | 136(80.9%)      | 2(40%)        | 0(0%)       | > 0.05 |
|            | Good           | 18(33.3%)      | 32(19.1%)       | 3(60%)        | 3(100%)     |     |
| Social    | Poor           | 54(100%)       | 168(100%)       | 5(100%)       | 3(100%)     | > 0.05 |
|            | Good           | 0(0%)          | 0(0%)           | 0(0%)         | 0(0%)       |     |
| Independence | Poor| 38(70.3%)   | 91(54.2%)       | 2(40%)        | 0(0%)       | > 0.05 |
|            | Good           | 16(29.7%)      | 9(5.8%)         | 3(60%)        | 3(100%)     |     |
| Environmental | Poor| 50(92.5%)   | 158(94%)        | 4(80%)        | 1(33.3%)    | > 0.05 |
|            | Good           | 4(7.5%)        | 10(6%)          | 1(20%)        | 2(66.7%)    |     |
| Spiritual  | Poor           | 10(18.5%)      | 25(14.8%)       | 0(0%)         | 0(0%)       | > 0.05 |
|            | Good           | 44(82.5%)      | 143(85.2%)      | 5(100%)       | 3(100%)     |     |
| Overall    | Poor           | 51(94.4%)      | 166(98.8%)      | 4(80%)        | 2(66.7%)    | > 0.05 |
|            | Good           | 3(5.6%)        | 2(1.2%)         | 1(20%)        | 1(33.3%)    |     |

| WHOQoL100 | Social class | Very low n = 10 | Low n = 110 | Low middle n = 110 | P   |
|-----------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------|-----|
| Physical  | Poor         | 7(70%)          | 90(81.8%)   | 97(88.2%)          | > 0.05 |
|            | Good         | 3(30%)          | 20(18.2%)   | 13(11.8%)          |     |
| Psychological | Poor| 3(30%)        | 83(75.5%)   | 88(80%)            | > 0.05 |
|            | Good         | 7(70%)          | 27(24.5%)   | 22(20%)            |     |
| Social    | Poor         | 10(100%)        | 110(100%)   | 110(100%)          | > 0.05 |
|            | Good         | 0(0%)           | 0(0%)       | 0(0%)              |     |
| Independence | Poor| 5(50%)        | 56(50.9%)   | 70(63.6%)          | > 0.05 |
|            | Good         | 5(50%)          | 54(49.1%)   | 40(36.4%)          |     |
| Environmental | Poor| 8(80%)        | 101(91.8%)  | 104(94.5%)         | > 0.05 |
QoL in all of his patients by using a multidimensional QoL scale (WHOQL Brief).

Our finding was in congruence with another study by Beard [27] who reported that QoL is seriously affected by transplant operation, and another study by Johnson et al. [28] also highlighted that African American PRTRs achieve less improvement in QoL than Caucasian Americans.

Table 1  Quality of life among PRTRs in relation to sex, marital status, and social class (Continued)

|              | Good | Poor |
|--------------|------|------|
| Physical     | 2(20%) | 9(82.2%) | 6(5.5%) |
| Spiritual    | 0(0%) | 10(91.9%) | 25(22.8%) |
| Good         | 10(100%) | 100(90.9%) | 85(77.2%) |
| Overall      | 9(90%) | 106(96.3%) | 108(98.2%) |
| Good         | 1(10%) | 4(3.7%) | 2(1.8%) |

Table 2  Quality of life among PRTRs in relation to educational level and occupation

| WHOQoL100 | Education |
|-----------|-----------|
|           | Uneducated | Primary | Preparatory | Secondary | 2 years institute | Bachelor |
|           | n = 41 | n = 25 | n = 44 | n = 54 | n = 20 | n = 66 |
| Physical  | Poor | 40(97.6%) | 22(88%) | 37(84%) | 47(87%) | 17(95%) | 31(67.3%) |
| Good      | 1(2.4%) | 3(12%) | 7(16%) | 3(13%) | 3(5%) | 15(33.7%) |
| Psychological | Poor | 40(97.6%) | 19(76%) | 41(93.1%) | 31(57.4%) | 14(70%) | 29(63%) |
| Good      | 1(2.4%) | 6(24%) | 3(6.9%) | 19(42.5%) | 6(30%) | 17(37%) |
| Social    | Poor | 41(100%) | 25(100%) | 44(100%) | 54(100%) | 20(100%) | 46(100%) |
| Good      | 0(0%) | 0(0%) | 0(0%) | 0(0%) | 0(0%) | 0(0%) |
| Independence | Poor | 23(50%) | 16(64%) | 25(56.8%) | 30(55.5%) | 11(55%) | 26(56.5%) |
| Good      | 18(44%) | 9(36%) | 19(43.2%) | 24(44.5%) | 9(45%) | 20(43.5%) |
| Environmental | Poor | 39(95%) | 23(92%) | 37(84%) | 51(94.4%) | 37(94.4%) |
| Good      | 2(5%) | 8(32%) | 7(16%) | 3(5.6%) | 0 | 3(6.6%) |
| Spiritual  | Poor | 10(24.3%) | 4(16%) | 5(11.3%) | 8(14.8%) | 3(15%) | 5(10.8%) |
| Good      | 31(75.6%) | 21(84%) | 29(88.7%) | 44(85.2%) | 17(85%) | 41(89.2%) |
| Overall   | Poor | 31(75.6%) | 24(96%) | 43(97.7%) | 52(96.2%) | 19(96%) | 45(97.8%) |
| Good      | 10(24.3%) | 1(4%) | 1(2.3%) | 2(3.8%) | 1(4%) | 1(2.2%) |

WHOQoL100 Occupational levels

| WHOQoL100 | Occupational levels |
|-----------|--------------------|
|           | Unemployed | Unskilled | Semi-skilled | Semi-professional | Professional | House wives |
|           | n = 53 | n = 58 | n = 26 | n = 50 | n = 25 | n = 18 |
| Physical  | Poor | 51(96.2%) | 49(84.4%) | 23(88.4%) | 43(86%) | 37(72%) | 17(68%) | 11(61%) |
| Good      | 2(3.8%) | 9(15.6%) | 3(11.6%) | 7(14%) | 8(32%) | 7(39%) |
| Psychological | Poor | 41(77.3%) | 49(84.4%) | 19(73%) | 41(82%) | 13(52%) | 11(61%) |
| Good      | 12(32.3%) | 9(15.6%) | 7(27%) | 9(18%) | 12(48%) | 7(39%) |
| Social    | Poor | 53(100%) | 58(100%) | 26(100%) | 50(100%) | 25(100%) | 18(100%) |
| Good      | 0(0%) | 0(0%) | 0(0%) | 0(0%) | 0(0%) | 0(0%) |
| Independence | Poor | 45(85%) | 31(53.4%) | 8(30.7%) | 31(62%) | 12(48%) | 4(22.2%) |
| Good      | 8(15%) | 27(46.6%) | 18(69.3%) | 19(38%) | 13(52%) | 14(77.8%) |
| Environmental | Poor | 49(92.4%) | 55(94.8%) | 25(96.1%) | 44(88%) | 23(92%) | 17(94.4%) |
| Good      | 4(7.6%) | 3(5.2%) | 1(3.9%) | 6(12%) | 2(8%) | 15(6.6%) |
| Spiritual  | Poor | 10(18.8%) | 4(6.8%) | 7(27%) | 10(20%) | 2(8%) | 2(11.1%) |
| Good      | 43(81.2%) | 54(93.2%) | 19(73%) | 40(80%) | 23(92%) | 16(88.9%) |
| Overall   | Poor | 51(96.2%) | 57(98.2%) | 25(96.1%) | 49(98%) | 24(96%) | 17(94.4%) |
| Good      | 2(3.8%) | 1(1.8%) | 1(3.9%) | 1(2%) | 1(4%) | 6(5.6%) |
| Items                                | Physical | Psychological | Social | Independence | Environmental | Spiritual | Overall |
|--------------------------------------|----------|---------------|--------|--------------|---------------|-----------|---------|
|                                     | Poor     | Good          | Poor   | Good         | Poor          | Good      | Poor    | Good    |
| Duration of hemodialysis             | < 1 year | 89(89%)       | 11(11%)| 80(80%)      | 20(20%)       | 100(100%) | 0(0%)  | 56(56%) | 44(44%) |
|                                     | 1–4 years| 90(84.1%)     | 17(15.9)| 87(81.3%)   | 20(18.7%)     | 107(100%) | 0(0%)  | 67(62.6%)| 40(37.4%)| 104(97.1%)| 3(2.9%) |
|                                     | 4–7 years| 15(62.2%)     | 8(37.8)| 7(30.5%)    | 16(69.5%)     | 23(100%)  | 0(0%)  | 8(37.8%)| 15(62.2%)| 17(73.9%)| 6(16.1%) | 0(0%)  | 23(100%)| 20(86.9%) | 3(13.1%) |
|                                     | P value  | > 0.05        | > 0.05 | > 0.05      | > 0.05        | > 0.05   | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 |
| Medical disorders                    | Present  | 78(89.9%)     | 9(10.1%)| 62(74%)     | 25(26%)       | 87(100%)  | 0(0%)  | 43(49.5%)| 44(50.5%)| 80(92%) | 7(8%)   | 13(14.8%)| 74(85.2%)| 80(92%) | 7(8%)  |
|                                     | Absent   | 116(80.9%)    | 27(19.1%)| 112(78.5%) | 31(21.5%)     | 143(100%) | 0(0%)  | 88(61.5%)| 55(38.5%)| 133(93.1%)| 10(6.9%) | 22(15%) | 121(85%)| 143(100%)| 0(0%)  |
|                                     | P value  | > 0.05        | > 0.05 | > 0.05      | > 0.05        | > 0.05   | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 |
| Side effects of drugs                | Present  | 118(84.2%)    | 22(15.8%)| 91(65%)    | 49(35%)       | 140(100%) | 0(0%)  | 74(52.6%)| 66(47.4%)| 139(99.3%)| 1(0.7%)  | 15(12.2%)| 123(87.8%)| 138(97.6%)| 2(1.4%) |
|                                     | Absent   | 76(84.4%)     | 14(15.6%)| 83(92.2%)  | 7(7.8%)       | 90(100%)  | 0(0%)  | 57(63.3%)| 33(36.7%)| 84(93.4%) | 6(6.6%)  | 20(22.3%)| 70(77.7%)| 85(94.4%)| 5(5.6%) |
|                                     | P value  | > 0.05        | > 0.05 | > 0.05      | > 0.05        | > 0.05   | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 |
| Time since renal transplantation     | < 1 year | 34(82.9%)     | 7(18.1%)| 25(56%)    | 16(44%)       | 41(100%)  | 0(0%)  | 22(53.6%)| 19(46.4%)| 40(98.2%) | 1(1.8%)  | 2(4.9%)  | 39(95.1%)| 40(98.2%)| 1(1.8%) |
|                                     | 1–4 years| 77(81.8%)     | 27(18.2%)| 87(92.3%)  | 7(7.7%)       | 94(100%)  | 0(0%)  | 59(62.1%)| 35(37.9%)| 91(96.5%) | 3(3.5%)  | 20(11.2%)| 74(78.8%)| 91(96.5%)| 3(3.5%) |
|                                     | 4–8 years| 60(87.4%)    | 11(12.6%)| 42(70.2%)  | 29(29.8%)     | 71(100%)  | 0(0%)  | 47(77.2%)| 24(22.8%)| 62(90.2%) | 9(9.8%)  | 11(12.6%)| 60(87.4%)| 70(98.6%)| 1(1.4%) |
|                                     | 8–17 years| 23(92%)   | 1(8%)   | 20(85.4%)  | 4(4.6%)       | 24(100%)  | 0(0%)  | 3(12.4%)| 21(87.6%)| 20(85.4%) | 4(4.6%)  | 2(10.1%) | 22(89.9%)| 22(89.9%)| 2(10.1%) |
|                                     | P value  | > 0.05        | > 0.05 | > 0.05      | > 0.05        | > 0.05   | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 |
| Type of donors                       | Relative | 60(84.5%)    | 11(15.5%)| 61(85.9%)  | 10(14.1%)     | 71(100%)  | 0(0%)  | 48(67.6%)| 23(32.4%)| 67(94.3%) | 5(5.7%)  | 10(14.1%)| 61(85.9%)| 69(97.1%)| 2(2.9%) |
|                                     | Non-relative| 134(84.2%)| 25(15.8%)| 113(71%)  | 46(29%)       | 159(100%) | 0(0%)  | 73(45.9%)| 86(54.1%)| 146(91.8%)| 13(8.2%) | 25(22.1%)| 124(77.9%)| 154(96.8%)| 5(3.2%) |
|                                     | P value  | > 0.05        | > 0.05 | > 0.05      | > 0.05        | > 0.05   | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 | > 0.05 |
The life after kidney transplantation is a life with uncertainty [12]. There is unrealistic expectation which lowered the perception of the transplant receipts of their quality of life and made them less satisfied with the outcome. Moreover, the transplant recipients have to cope with fear of death, fear from graft’s rejection, and fear from non-return to work. Thus, they suffer from damage to their self-esteem in that their relationships with significant people are reduced to hostile and/or dependent attachments [29].

Another factor contributed to the poor quality of life is the need of receiving immunosuppressive medication with adverse side effects [22].

**Sociodemographic variables**

Long-term QoL in recipients depends on many factors include the subject’s age, education received, marital condition, living situation, and employment [6].

**Age**

In our study, age was negatively correlated with QoL, the younger age group had unsatisfactory QoL in all domains except the spiritual one. They had more worry regarding the future graft, their life is never anxiety-free, and their continuous concern about body functions triggers an intense fear which impacts their QoL [22, 30].

There is variation in the relation between age and QoL in the PRTRs, while Shah et al. 2006 [31] have reported that QoL in PRTRs was not correlated with age, and other study in Thai society found that the older recipients had unsatisfactory QoL due to their inability to carry out various activities [32]. The differences in this data may be related to the trans-cultural differences or the tools used.

**Gender**

It was found that gender was not related to QoL. Our findings yielded support to previous data reported by several researches [20, 32–34]. It is contrary to that of Johnson who reported poor QoL among men [28].

**Table 4** Correlation between quality of life domains with sociodemographic and some clinical variables

| Items            | Age | Sex | Educational level | Occupation | Social class | Duration of hemodialysis | Time since renal transplantation |
|------------------|-----|-----|-------------------|------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Physical QoL     | 0.29 < 0.05 | 0.16 > 0.05 | 0.17 > 0.05 | 1.1 > 0.05 | 0.04 > 0.05 | 0.14 > 0.05 | 0.40 > 0.05 |
| Psychological QoL| 0.30 < 0.05 | −0.5 < 0.01 | −0.5 < 0.01 | 1.4 > 0.05 | 0.11 > 0.05 | −0.02 > 0.05 | −0.12 > 0.05 |
| Social QoL       | −0.15 > 0.05 | 0.17 > 0.05 | 0.17 > 0.05 | 1.3 > 0.05 | −0.06 > 0.05 | 0.06 > 0.05 | 0.16 > 0.05 |
| Interdependence QoL| 0.29 < 0.05 | 0.26 < 0.05 | 0.26 < 0.05 | 3.6 < 0.05 | 0.10 > 0.05 | 0.21 > 0.05 | 0.11 > 0.05 |
| Environmental QoL| 0.12 > 0.05 | 0.10 > 0.05 | 0.10 > 0.05 | 2.9 < 0.05 | 0.10 > 0.05 | 0.10 > 0.05 | 0.11 > 0.05 |
| Spiritual QoL    | 0.15 > 0.05 | −0.19 > 0.05 | −0.19 > 0.05 | 1.6 > 0.05 | 0.13 > 0.05 | 0.12 > 0.05 | 0.17 > 0.05 |
| Overall QoL      | 0.34 < 0.05 | −0.14 > 0.05 | −0.14 > 0.05 | 1.9 > 0.05 | 0.13 > 0.05 | 0.22 > 0.05 | 0.21 > 0.05 |

**Marital status**

There was no relationship between QoL and marital status. This may reflect that care giving in Egyptian families may be carried out via the extended families. The same findings were found in Indian community [35].

**Level of education**

We found positive correlation of satisfactory QoL with the years of education received. This may be due to the impact of learning on development of coping strategies.

Tennen and Affleck [36] stated that low education and the perception of medical care as being a substantial economic burden predict poor coping and independence and poorer functional status; moreover, Eryilmaz et al. [37] found that lower education is considered as negatively effective factor on the QoL of PRTRs.

**Employment**

The unsatisfactory QoL was found more among the unemployed and unskilled laborers with significant correlation with independence and environmental domains of QoL.

**Medical data**

Despite that different publications [4, 30] have proved that medical comorbidity, side effects of immune suppressant drugs, duration of hemodialysis prior to the operation or time elapsed since the surgery, and type of donors may affect the recipients QoL, yet we did not find such relation in our PRTRs. The difference may be related to different sample or tools used.

**QoL domains**

In concordance with previous study, we proved that physical QoL was correlated significantly with age [38]. The psychological and independence QoL were correlated with age, sex, education level, and occupation. These findings may point that those patients may feel less positive about themselves.
Conclusion
Despite that renal transplantation is the only potentially curative treatment for end-stage renal failure; it was found that quality of life become poorer after renal transplantation in almost all domains.

We highlighted some associated sociodemographic and clinical factors; thus, our findings pointed to the need of recognizing quality of life and its psychosocial correlates among renal transplant recipients.

Accordingly, the multidisciplinary team should integrate mental health professionals to encourage the patients for better coping.

Strength and limitation
The strength of this study is attributed to being one of the few studies in Egypt addressing the QoL after renal transplant operation; however, it is limited by the cross-sectional design and the limited recruitment from only two governmental hospitals which limit the generalizability of the obtained data.
We did not highlight the risk factors, and we also did not explore some variables as past psychiatric history, coping strategies, and perceived social support. These points should be taken into consideration in future research.
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