Entanglement Chern Number for an Extensive Partition of a Topological Ground State
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If an extensive partition in two dimensions yields a gapful entanglement spectrum of the reduced density matrix, the Berry curvature based on the corresponding entanglement eigenfunction defines the Chern number. We propose such an entanglement Chern number as a useful, natural, and calculable topological invariant, which is potentially relevant to various topological ground states. We show that it serves as an alternative topological invariant for time-reversal invariant systems and as a new topological invariant for a weak topological phase of a superlattice Wilson-Dirac model. In principle, the entanglement Chern number can also be effective for interacting systems such as topological insulators in contrast to $Z_2$ invariants.

The Chern number, which determines the quantized Hall conductivity in the integer quantum Hall effect as shown by Thouless et al.,$^{1,2}$ has become increasingly popular for condensed matter physicists owing to the recent remarkable development of the classification$^3$ of the topological phases of matter.$^7$ Even in three dimensions, a type of topological insulator can be characterized by the Chern number, called the mirror Chern number, if a system has mirror symmetry.$^{19}$ The numerical method of computing the Chern number has also been established$^{20}$ and widely applied to various systems with complicated multiband structures. The Chern number is a topological invariant for the bulk, whereas the number of edge states for a system with a boundary gives the same topological invariant. This is well known as the bulk-edge correspondence.$^{21}$

The entanglement spectrum of the reduced density matrix also informs us of the edge states along an artificial boundary introduced by a partition of the system.$^{22,23}$ Nowadays, this is widely used to clarify the property of topological insulators.$^{24-30}$ Recently, Hsieh and Fu have introduced the notion of the “bulk entanglement spectrum”.$^{31,32}$ By considering an extensive and translationally invariant partition in real space, they demonstrated that a topological ground state intrinsically has a hidden phase transition.

In this work, we study the bulk property of some topological ground states using the wave function of the entanglement Hamiltonian. Namely, we define the Chern number from the Berry curvature based on the entanglement wave function, which is referred to as the entanglement Chern number. For such a Chern number to be well-defined, we consider an extensive partition without a clear boundary between patches of the partition, which makes the entanglement spectrum gapped generically. We then show that this serves as an alternative topological invariant for a time-reversal invariant system. At the same time, it yields a new topological invariant for a system with some spatial structure such as a superlattice system.

To begin with, let us reconsider a typical model of the topological insulator, the Kane-Mele model,$^8$ $H_{KM}$, and exemplify the usefulness of the entanglement Chern number. This is one of models for the quantum spin Hall effect (QSHE), describing the electrons on the honeycomb lattice with spin-orbit couplings. When the Rashba spin-orbit coupling vanishes, the Hamiltonian is decoupled into spin-up and spin-down sectors such that $H_{KM} = \text{diag}(H_1, H_1)$, where $H_0$ is equivalent to the spinless Haldane model for the anomalous Hall effect.$^{33}$ These two sectors are transformed into each other under time reversal, making the model time-reversal invariant. The half-filled ground state of this decoupled model can be characterized by two Chern numbers $(c_1, c_1)$, being a trivial insulating state when they are $(c_1, c_1) = (0, 0)$, and the QSHE state (or anomalous Hall state from the viewpoint of the Haldane model) when $(c_1, c_1) = (\pm 1, -1)$. Although the Rashba spin-orbit coupling does not break time-reversal symmetry, it breaks the spin conservation. Therefore, it is no longer possible to define the set of Chern numbers $c_0$ for the generic Kane-Mele model. What we can know is only the total Chern number $c = c_1 + c_1$, but it is trivial $(c = 0)$ because of time-reversal symmetry.

If one gives up defining the Chern number in the Brillouin zone, a spin Chern number is available$^{34,35}$ using a spin-dependent twisted boundary condition. When the Rashba coupling vanishes, such a spin Chern number corresponds to $c_0$, as it should be. Even without disorder or interactions, however, we have to always compute it by definition in the coordinate space, which is impossible in the Brillouin zone.

The breakthrough was the $Z_2$ topological invariant introduced by Fu and Kane.$^{11}$ This is, roughly speaking, half the Chern number, i.e., the integration of the Berry curvature over half the Brillouin zone from which the Berry phase along the boundary is subtracted. In this definition, a specific gauge fixing between the wave function of the Kramers pair is needed.$^{11}$ The numerical method of computing the $Z_2$ invariant was also given,$^{36}$ which is just a straightforward generalization of the method for the Chern number. If the system has inversion symmetry, the above formula of the $Z_2$ invariant reduces to the product of the parity of the occupied bands at the time-reversal invariant momenta.$^{16}$

In what follows, we first propose an alternative invariant for the QSHE, the entanglement spin Chern number. It is similar to the spin Chern number via a twisted boundary condition: Indeed, they are manifestly equivalent when the Rashba coupling vanishes. Moreover, the entanglement spin Chern num-
ber can be defined in the Brillouin zone even without the spin conservation. To be concrete, let us regard the spin degrees of freedom as a partition of the system. Then, tracing out one spin sector from the density matrix yields an effective Hamiltonian, called the entanglement Hamiltonian, for the other spin sector. Since the partition in terms of the spin is manifestly extensive and maintains the translational invariance, the entanglement Hamiltonian can be represented in the Brillouin zone, as mentioned above. If the spectrum of such a bulk entanglement Hamiltonian has a gap, we can define a new Chern number different from $c (= 0)$ for $H_{KM}$. Here, note that the entanglement Hamiltonian thus obtained never has time-reversal symmetry. This implies that a nonzero Chern number can be expected in general. In the classification of the topological phases of matter, symmetry protection has surely been playing a crucial role.\(^5\)\(^6\) but symmetry constraints are sometimes too restrictive to define the corresponding topological invariants. Thus, the entanglement Chern number proposed in this paper allows for various possibilities to capture the characteristic feature of symmetry-protected topological phases. The entanglement Chern number so far discussed is useful not only for the QSHE (or more generically symmetry-protected topological states) but also some other systems with some internal or other degrees of freedom. For example, if the system has a spatial structure such as a superlattice, it gives a new topological invariant, as will be discussed in the latter part of this paper.

Let $|\Psi\rangle$ be a many-body ground state of a given noninteracting Hamiltonian $H$, and let $A$ and $B$ be the subsystems of the total system $A + B$. The reduced density matrix $\rho_A$ and the corresponding entanglement Hamiltonian $H_A$ are defined by tracing out $B$ such that

$$\rho_A \equiv \text{tr}_B|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi| = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-H_A},$$

where $Z$ is the normalization constant. In the case of noninteracting fermions, the entanglement Hamiltonian can be written as $H_A = \sum_{i,j\in A} \epsilon_i^A H_{A,i,j}^A$. Let us next introduce the correlation matrix

$$C_{ij} = \langle \epsilon_i^A \rho^A \rangle,$$

where $i$ and $j$ denote the sites as well as some internal degrees of freedom such as the spin or orbital. When $i$ and $j$ are restricted in $A$, the correlation matrix may be called $C_A$, and it is shown as\(^37\)

$$H_A^T = \ln(1 - C_A)/C_A.$$  

Thus, the eigenstates of $C_A$ are those of $H_A$.

As introduced by Hsieh and Fu\(^3^1\) we consider the extensive partition with translational symmetry. For example, in the case of the Kane-Mele model, we choose $A$ and $B$ as the spin-up and spin-down sectors. Then, the Fourier transformation gives

$$C_A(k) = P_A P_\ast(k) P_A,$$

where $P_\ast(k) = \psi(k)\psi(k)\ast$ is the projection operator to the occupied bands expressed by the single-particle multiplet wave functions $\psi(k) = \langle \psi_1(k), \psi_2(k), \ldots \rangle$, and $P_A$ is the projection operator to $A$. Solving the eigenvalue equation for $C_A(k)$,

$$C_A(k)\hat{\psi}\mu(k) = \xi_n(k)\hat{\psi}\mu(k),$$

we can define the (entanglement) Chern number as usual. To this end, let us first consider the spectrum $\xi_n(k)$. Without the projection operator $P_A$ in Eq. (4), $P_\ast(k)$ has only two obvious eigenvalues, i.e., 1 and 0, denoting the occupied and unoccupied states, respectively. The wave functions with the eigenvalue 1 are nothing but those of the ground state for the total system $A + B$. Because of the projection operator $P_A$ in Eq. (4), the eigenvalues $\xi_n$ of $C_A(k)$ are not restricted to 1 and 0. Here, we assume that some of them form bands at approximately $\xi \sim 1$ and others at approximately $\xi \sim 0$, and that there is a finite gap between these two bands. Then, their origin is clear: The former are occupied states and the latter are unoccupied states for the subsystem $A$. Therefore, it is natural to choose the entanglement Chern number of the upper bands to characterize the topological property of the ground state. In the examples we study below, $C_A(k)$ in Eq. (4) is a $2 \times 2$ matrix, and the behavior of its spectrum indeed exhibits such a property.\(^3^8\)

To be concrete, we introduce the Berry connection $\hat{A}_\mu(k) = \hat{\psi}(k)\partial\hat{\psi}(k)$ and the curvature $F_{12}(k) = \partial_\mu \hat{A}_{12}(k) - \partial_\nu \hat{A}_{1}\nu(k)$, where $\hat{\psi}(k)$ is the multiplet wave function of the upper bands $\hat{\psi}_n(k)$ with $\xi_n \leq 1$, and $\partial_\mu \equiv \partial/\partial k_\mu$. The entanglement Chern number is thus defined by

$$\tilde{c}_A = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int F_{12}(k) d^2k.$$  

(6)

The above procedure is quite easy to carry out numerically using the link and plaquette variables on the discretized Brillouin zone.\(^2^0\) In this calculation of the (entanglement) Chern number, it does not depend on the gauge of the (entanglement) wave function even for the QSHE with time-reversal symmetry.

Let us now compute the entanglement spin Chern number for the Kane-Mele model. As has already been discussed, this model has two phases, the QSHE phase and trivial insulating phase. They are distinguished by the $Z_2$ invariant or spin Chern number. Let us choose partitions $A$ and $B$ as the up-spin $\uparrow$ and down-spin $\downarrow$ states, respectively. These partitions are manifestly extensive and translationally invariant. From the numerical calculation,\(^2^0\) it turns out that the QSHE phase and trivial phase have the entanglement spin Chern numbers $(\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_1) = (-1, 1)$ and $(0, 0)$, respectively. Therefore, we conclude that the entanglement spin Chern number can distinguish the phases in time-reversal invariant classes with the conventional Chern number $c = 0$.

We now discuss some details of the numerical calculations. Although the entanglement spin Chern number indeed changes at the transition point between two phases, it is quite difficult to observe the gap closing in the entanglement spectrum, at least, on the discretized lattice of the Brillouin zone that we adopted in our calculation. Furthermore, the entanglement spectrum $\xi_n(k)$, and thus the entanglement entropy are similar on both sides of the transition point, even in the vicinity of the transition point. Such behavior of the entanglement spectrum is far from being the conventional one in a partition with a boundary showing the spectral flow of the edge states. This implies that we are studying indeed the bulk entanglement spectrum without boundaries. The change in the (entanglement) Chern number, however, should be due to the gap closing. Therefore, we surmise that it occurs in a singular way like the delta function at a few points on the Bril-
The entanglement Chern number $c$ is defined by

$$
0(0, 0) = c
$$

which is generically impossible to observe on the meshes of a discretized Brillouin zone. One reason why the computation of the entanglement spin Chern number needs a rather larger number of meshes (order of $100 \times 100$ meshes), than in the case of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ invariant (order of $10 \times 10$ meshes), near the transition points may be this singular behavior of the spectrum.

The next example is the superlattice model of the Wilson-Dirac type. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$
H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \sum_{i, \mu} (c_i^\dagger \sigma_\mu c_{i+\mu} - h.c.) + \sum_i m_i c_i^\dagger c_i + \frac{b}{2} \sum_{i, \mu} (c_i^\dagger \sigma_3 c_{i+\mu} + h.c. - 2 c_i^\dagger \sigma_3 c_i),
$$

(7)

where $\mu = 1, 2$ is the direction of the coordinates in two spatial dimensions, and $\hat{\mu}$ is the unit vector in the $\mu$ direction. For a uniform mass $m = m$, the half-filled ground state has $c = 1$ for $0 < m < 2b$, $c = -1$ for $2b < m < 4b$, and $c = 0$ otherwise. Therefore, we see that $m$ controls the topological phase. Then, what type of phase is realized when $m$ changes alternatively, according to the stripe geometry shown in Fig. 1(a), where one belongs to $c = 1$ and the other to $c = -1$? As reported in Ref. 39, there appears an interesting phase with nontrivial edge states despite the trivial Chern number $c = 0$. The physical situations are similar between the superlattice model and the Kane-Mele model: A $c = 0$ but a nontrivial phase is realized, since some degrees of freedom carry $c = 1$, whereas others carry $c = -1$. Their coupling, however, makes it impossible to distinguish each Chern number. The difference between the two models is in the symmetry: In the Kane-Mele model, the nontrivial QSHE phase is protected by time-reversal symmetry. On the other hand, there is no symmetry in the superlattice model (or more precisely, it has particle-hole symmetry, but it does not give any protection to the phase). This makes it difficult to characterize the nontrivial $c = 0$ phase of the superlattice Wilson-Dirac model.

To overcome this difficulty, here, we propose the entanglement Chern number $\tilde{c}$ by tracing out fermions with the mass $m_z$. We show in Fig. 1(b) the phase diagram as a function of $m_z$. The superlattice model has the same three phases as the uniform mass model, characterized by the Chern number $c = 0, \pm 1$. However, at the center of the phase diagram marked by $A$ in Fig. 1(b), there is a $c = 0$ phase sitting just in the overlapped region by the $c = \pm 1$ phases. As reported in Ref. 39, this phase shows nontrivial edgelike states localized along a boundary. We calculate the entanglement Chern number of this phase, $c(\tilde{c}_+, \tilde{c}_-) = 0 (1, -1)$, which is manifestly distinguishable from other $0(0, 0)$ states. In passing, we comment on another $c = 0$ phase denoted by $B$. In this phase, there appear nontrivial midgap states, as reported previously. 39 These states, however, can be deformed adiabatically into flatbands in the decoupling one-dimensional limit $m \to \infty$. Therefore, this phase has nothing to do with the entanglement, resulting in the trivial entanglement Chern number $0(0, 0)$. Thus far, we have shown some examples for which the entanglement Chern number is quite useful. However, there are, of course, cases in which it plays no role. For example, if we set $m_+ = m_- = m$, the superlattice model reduces to the conventional Wilson-Dirac model with a uniform mass term. In this case, one cannot define the entanglement Chern number by formally tracing out $m_+$ or $m_-$ fermions, since the entanglement spectrum is gapless, as proved by Hsieh and Fu. 31 In this case, the system has an exact translational invariance by one lattice spacing, whereas, in the case $m_+ \neq m_-$, it has a translational invariance only by two lattice spacings (by one unit cell). Therefore, the entanglement spectrum can be gapful in nonuniform mass cases.

Finally, let us mention a possible application of the entanglement Chern number for interacting systems. Even though the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ invariants of the topological insulators are quite useful for describing nontrivial topological phases with surface Dirac fermions, it cannot be applied for the interacting case, at least, directly. On the other hand, the entanglement Chern number can be calculated as the ground-state Chern number of the reduced entanglement Hamiltonian $\bar{H}_A = \text{Const.} - \ln \rho_A$. By the exact diagonalization for a finite system, this many-body Hamiltonian can be obtained numerically even with interaction. Also, it guarantees the topological stability of the entanglement Chern number and the corresponding topological phases against a small but finite amount of interaction assuming that the entanglement Hamiltonian is gapped. Previously, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ invariant for an interacting many-body system was proposed by Lee and Ryu. 41 Their idea is to construct a "Kramers doublet" for a many-body wave function. To be concrete, let us consider an $N$-site system with two orbitals $t = 1, 2$ under the twisted boundary conditions. Each electron is specified by the site $r = 1, \ldots, N$, the orbital $t = 1, 2$, and the spin $s = \uparrow, \downarrow$. Let us assume a $2N$ electron ground state with an excitation gap, and consider the wave functions of the excited states with $N$ electrons by creating $N$ holes with $t = 1$ and specific $s$ in the ground state. There are two independent states associated with $s = \uparrow, \downarrow$ generically. Since the twist angle obeys the same transformation law as the momentum, an appropriate basis in this two-dimensional space of the many-body wave function yields a "Kramers doublet in the momentum representation". Here, it is crucial to assume that $N$ is odd, since the Kramers doublet does not occur in an even-electron system. The "Kramers doublet" thus obtained may be interpreted, in our description, as the entanglement wave function by choosing the subsystems $A$ and $B$ as $t = 1$.

---

**Fig. 1.** (Color online) (a) Superlattice structure. On the blue and red lines, the mass parameter is set as $m_+$ and $m_-$, respectively. (b) Phase diagram of the superlattice Wilson-Dirac model with $t = 1$ and $b = 2$ as a function of $m_z$. The blue, red, and white regions correspond to $c = 1$, $c = -1$, and $c = 0$, respectively.
and $t = 2$. Contrary to this, our proposal in this paper is to choose the subsystems as the spins $s = \uparrow$ and $s = \downarrow$, and thus we do not need to assume that $N$ is odd. Moreover, the computational method of the Chern number is well-established compared with that of the $Z_2$ invariant. These are the practical advantages of our approach.

In summary, we have introduced the entanglement Chern number defined using the wave function of the entanglement Hamiltonian. For the entanglement spectrum to be gapful, we have considered an extensive and translational invariant partition. We have shown that a (time-reversal) symmetry-protected topological (QSHE) phase can be characterized by the entanglement spin Chern numbers $(\tilde{c}_\uparrow, \tilde{c}_\downarrow)$ obtained by introducing a (time-reversal) symmetry-breaking partition. We have also shown that a $c = 0$ but nontrivial phase in the superlattice generalization of the Wilson-Dirac model can be characterized by the entanglement Chern numbers $(\tilde{c}_\uparrow, \tilde{c}_\downarrow)$ obtained by introducing a natural superlattice partition. Although the entanglement entropy and spectrum have been used to clarify the properties of edgestates along the boundary between partitions $A$ and $B$, the entanglement Chern number proposed in this paper reveals the bulk topological properties by an extensive partition without a boundary. Since the bulk-edge correspondence in real space plays an important role in the study of a topological state, it is interesting to investigate the relationship between two types of partition also in the entanglement description of a topological phase.
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