Optogenetic activation of parvalbumin and somatostatin interneurons selectively restores theta-nested gamma oscillations and oscillation-induced spike timing-dependent long-term potentiation impaired by amyloid β oligomers

Kyerl Park¹, Jaedong Lee¹, Hyun Jae Jang¹, Blake A. Richards², Michael M. Kohl³ and Jeehyun Kwag¹*

Abstract

Background: Abnormal accumulation of amyloid β₁₋₄₂ oligomers (AβO₁₋₄₂), a hallmark of Alzheimer’s disease, impairs hippocampal theta-nested gamma oscillations and long-term potentiation (LTP) that are believed to underlie learning and memory. Parvalbumin-positive (PV) and somatostatin-positive (SST) interneurons are critically involved in theta-nested gamma oscillogenesis and LTP induction. However, how AβO₁₋₄₂ affects PV and SST interneuron circuits is unclear. Through optogenetic manipulation of PV and SST interneurons and computational modeling of the hippocampal neural circuits, we dissected the contributions of PV and SST interneuron circuit dysfunctions on AβO₁₋₄₂-induced impairments of hippocampal theta-nested gamma oscillations and oscillation-induced LTP.

Results: Targeted whole-cell patch-clamp recordings and optogenetic manipulations of PV and SST interneurons during in vivo-like, optogenetically induced theta-nested gamma oscillations in vitro revealed that AβO₁₋₄₂ causes synapse-specific dysfunction in PV and SST interneurons. AβO₁₋₄₂ selectively disrupted CA1 pyramidal cells (PC)-to-PV interneuron and PV-to-PC synapses to impair theta-nested gamma oscillogenesis. In contrast, while having no effect on PC-to-SST or SST-to-PC synapses, AβO₁₋₄₂ selectively disrupted SST interneuron-mediated disinhibition to CA1 PC to impair theta-nested gamma oscillation-induced spike timing-dependent LTP (tLTP). Such AβO₁₋₄₂-induced impairments of gamma oscillogenesis and oscillation-induced tLTP were fully restored by optogenetic activation of PV and SST interneurons, respectively, further supporting synapse-specific dysfunctions in PV and SST interneurons. Finally, computational modeling of hippocampal neural circuits including CA1 PC, PV, and SST interneurons confirmed the experimental observations and further revealed distinct functional roles of PV and SST interneurons in theta-nested gamma oscillations and tLTP induction.

Conclusions: Our results reveal that AβO₁₋₄₂ causes synapse-specific dysfunctions in PV and SST interneurons and that optogenetic modulations of these interneurons present potential therapeutic targets for restoring hippocampal network oscillations and synaptic plasticity impairments in Alzheimer’s disease.
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Background
Alzheimer's disease is a neurodegenerative disease characterized by a progressive decline in cognitive and mnemonic functions [1, 2]. Abnormal accumulation of amyloid β42 oligomers (AβO1–42) is a hallmark of Alzheimer's disease [1–4] and AβO1–42-induced impairments of gamma oscillations [5–10] and long-term synaptic plasticity [3, 4, 11, 12] are believed to contribute to the memory deficits observed in Alzheimer's disease. In particular, hippocampal theta-nested gamma oscillations observed during spatial memory processing [13–15] have been shown to support the induction of long-term potentiation (LTP) [16–19]. Thus, AβO1–42 may impair memory by disrupting GABAergic inhibitory circuits, which underlie oscillogenesis [14, 20–25]. Indeed, there is now increasing experimental evidence showing that AβO1–42 reduces GABA synaptic transmission [26–28], causes excitation/inhibition imbalances [9, 12, 27, 28], and even diminishes the number of GABAergic synapses/taxa onto pyramidal cells [29]. Also, parvalbumin-positive (PV) and somatostatin-positive (SST) interneurons, the two major subtypes of hippocampal interneurons [30] that are critically involved in oscillogenesis [24, 25, 31], are reported to be impaired in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease [5–8, 27, 32, 33]. PV interneurons' spike amplitude, membrane potential, and firing rate are decreased [5, 7] while SST interneurons' structural plasticity and axonal sprouting are impaired in Alzheimer's disease mouse models [27, 32]. Surprisingly, the neural circuit mechanism by which dysfunction of PV and SST interneurons contributes to AβO1–42-induced impairment of oscillogenesis and LTP is unclear. If uncovered, it could help researchers find novel targets for Alzheimer's disease. Recently, optogenetic stimulation of channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2)-expressing hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cells (PCs) at theta-frequency was shown to induce in vivo-like theta-nested gamma oscillations in the CA1 area of acute hippocampal slices in vitro [34]. This provides a novel model in which to perform targeted whole-cell patch-clamp recordings and selective optogenetic modulation of PV or SST interneuron activity during optogenetically induced theta-nested gamma oscillations and LTP induction. We have used this approach to investigate neural circuit dysfunction in hippocampal slices treated with AβO1–42. We found that AβO1–42 caused selective dysfunctions in reciprocal synapses between PC and PV interneurons, which impaired gamma oscillations and desynchronized the spike phases of PC and PV interneurons relative to gamma oscillations. While AβO1–42 had no effect on PC-to-SST or SST-to-PC synapses, it specifically disrupted SST interneuron-mediated disinhibition to PC resulting in the impairment of theta-nested gamma oscillation-induced spike timing-dependent LTP (tLTP). Selective optogenetic activation of PV interneurons restored gamma oscillations while selective optogenetic activation of SST interneurons restored theta-nested gamma oscillation-induced tLTP. These results demonstrate that AβO1–42-induced synapse-specific dysfunctions in PV and SST interneurons may explain the concomitant impairments of hippocampal gamma oscillations and synaptic plasticity in Alzheimer's disease. Moreover, using a computational network model of PC, PV, and SST interneurons, we further demonstrate that PV and SST interneurons targeting different compartments of the CA1 PC have distinct functional roles in oscillogenesis and tLTP induction.

Results
AβO1–42 impairs in vivo-like, optogenetically induced theta-nested gamma oscillations in hippocampal slices
To create an in vitro model of AβO1–42-induced pathology in hippocampal slices, we prepared AβO1–42 by oligomerizing Aβ1–42 following a previously described protocol [4] (see the “Methods” section). Generation of AβO1–42 was confirmed by Western blot analysis of SDS-PAGE (Fig. 1a) and native PAGE (Additional file 1: Figure S1). To induce blue light-induced theta-nested gamma oscillations, we injected adeno-associated virus (AAV) carrying ChR2 (AAV-CaMKII-ChR2-mCherry) into the CA1 area of the hippocampus (Fig. 1b), which led to the expression of ChR2 in CA1 PCs in hippocampal slices in vitro (Fig. 1c). We optically stimulated ChR2-expressing PCs using 5 Hz sinusoidal blue light (470 nm, Fig. 1d) in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)-treated hippocampal slices which reliably reproduced theta-nested gamma oscillations as observed in the band-pass filtered local field potential (LFP) (Fig. 1e, black traces, top) and in the spectrogram [34] (Fig. 1e, bottom) that persisted for over 40 min (Additional file 2: Figure S2). However, 20-min treatment of AβO1–42 (200 nM) in the same slice significantly decreased the power of gamma oscillations in the LFP (Fig. 1f, red traces, top) and in the spectrogram (Fig. 1f, bottom), while 20-min
treatment of \(A\beta_{40-1}\), an inactive peptide control for \(A\beta_{42-1}\), in the same slice of DMSO-treated slices had no effect (Fig. 1g, magenta). Power spectral density (PSD) analysis of theta-nested gamma oscillations (Fig. 1h) revealed that peak power of gamma oscillations in the DMSO-treated slice (Fig. 1i, black) was impaired by \(A\beta_{42-1}\) (Fig. 1i, red), but not by \(A\beta_{40-42}\) (Fig. 1i, magenta), while peak frequency was spared in all conditions (Fig. 1j). Moreover, phase-amplitude coupling analysis of gamma oscillations to the trough of theta cycle (Fig. 1k) revealed that the coupling strength, quantified by the modulation index (see the “Methods” section), was significantly decreased by \(A\beta_{42-1}\), but not by \(A\beta_{40-42}\), compared to that in the DMSO-treated slices (Fig. 1l). We replicated these effects in different slices treated with \(A\beta_{42-1}\) for 20 min before performing field recording (Additional file 3: Figure S3); thus, the reduction in oscillatory activity was not caused by recording duration. These results show that \(A\beta_{40-42}\)-treated slices with optical stimulation of ChR2-expressing CA1 PCs can replicate gamma oscillations impairment as observed in Alzheimer’s disease mouse models in vivo [5–8].
AβO1-42 causes synapse-specific dysfunction of PC-to-PC, but not PC-to-SST synapses

To determine whether alterations to either PV or SST interneurons contributed to the reduction in peak power of gamma oscillations in the AβO1-42-treated slices, we expressed ChR2 in CA1 PCs and enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (eYFP) in either PV or SST interneurons in PV-Cre (Fig. 2a) or SST-Cre mice (Fig. 2b), respectively.
We then performed current-clamp recordings to record spikes in CA1 PCs, eYFP-expressing PV, and SST interneurons during blue light-induced theta-nested gamma oscillations (Fig. 2c). We found that all neuronal types spiked at gamma-frequency in DMSO-treated slices (Fig. 2c, black traces, Fig. 2d). AβO1–42 had no effect on neither spike frequencies (Fig. 2c, red traces, Fig. 2d), nor the intrinsic membrane properties (Additional file 4: Figure S4) of PV and SST interneurons, which could explain why the peak frequency of gamma oscillations was intact even after AβO1–42 treatment (Fig. 1j). However, the number of spikes per theta cycle was reduced only in PV interneurons (Fig. 2e).

Since the spiking of hippocampal CA1 interneurons is in large part driven by CA1 PC’s excitatory inputs to the interneurons [35], we investigated whether the treatment of AβO1–42 affected CA1 PC’s excitatory inputs to PV and SST interneurons. We performed voltage-clamp recordings in eYFP-expressing PV or SST interneurons during blue light-induced theta-nested gamma oscillations in DMSO-treated and AβO1–42-treated slices (Fig. 2f). We found that the amplitude of CA1 PC’s excitatory postsynaptic current (EPSC) to PV, but not SST interneuron, was significantly decreased in AβO1–42-treated slices (Fig. 2f, g), while EPSC frequency was unaffected (Fig. 2h). To characterize the AβO1–42-induced synaptic dysfunctions at CA1 PC-to-PV synapse and CA1 PC-to-SST synapse, we first investigated how AβO1–42 affected the stimulus-response (S-R) curve of these synapses by electrically stimulating the axons of CA1 PC in the alveus of CA1 at different intensities (10, 50, 100, 150, 200, and 300 μA) and recording the corresponding PC-evoked EPSCs in eYFP-expressing PV interneuron (Fig. 2i, j) or in eYFP-expressing SST interneuron (Fig. 2m, n). Analysis of the S-R curve revealed that, for each stimulation intensity, AβO1–42 significantly increased the amplitudes of PC-evoked EPSCs in PV (Fig. 2j, right), but not those in SST interneurons (Fig. 2n, right). These results indicate that AβO1–42 increases the initial neurotransmitter release probability of PC-to-PV synapse. To investigate the synaptic locus of EPSC changes, we stimulated the CA1 PC axons using a half-maximal stimulus (based on the S-R curve in Fig. 2j, n, right; 115–210 μA) and an inter-stimulus interval of 20 ms (50 Hz, 10 stimulus) for the analysis of paired-pulse ratio (PPR), total charge, and short-term plasticity of PC-evoked EPSCs in PV (Fig. 2k, l) and SST interneurons (Fig. 2o, p). Paired-pulse facilitation of PC-evoked EPSCs in PV interneurons, as observed in DMSO-treated slices, was converted to paired-pulse depression in AβO1–42-treated slices (Fig. 2k, right). The total charge of PC-evoked EPSCs in PV (Fig. 2l, left), analyzed by the area of the PC-evoked EPSCs in Fig. 2k (left), was significantly decreased by AβO1–42. Furthermore, short-term facilitation of PC-evoked EPSCs in PV interneurons, as observed in DMSO-treated slices, was converted to short-term depression in AβO1–42-treated slices (Fig. 2l, right). These results indicate that AβO1–42 causes presynaptic depression at PC-to-PV synapse, which led to a decrease in CA1 PC-evoked excitatory synaptic inputs onto PV interneurons. Thus, AβO1–42-induced gamma oscillation impairment may be due to dysfunction of presynaptic mechanisms at PC-to-PP synapses. In contrast, AβO1–42 had no effect on PPR, total charge, or short-term plasticity of CA1 PC-evoked EPSCs in SST interneurons (Fig. 2o, p). Therefore, AβO1–42 causes presynaptic dysfunctions at CA1 PC-to-interneuron synapses which is target-specific.

AβO1–42 causes synapse-specific dysfunction of PV-to-PC synapses, but not SST-to-PC synapses

Blue light-induced theta-nested gamma oscillations are most likely generated by reciprocal synapses between PCs and interneurons [34], according to the pyramidal-interneuron network gamma (PING) model [14, 21, 23]. In accordance with this model, voltage-clamp recordings in CA1 PCs during blue light-induced gamma oscillations (Fig. 3a, top) revealed that inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) occurred at gamma-frequencies in DMSO-treated slices (Fig. 3a, bottom, black trace, Fig. 3f), which were GABAA receptor-mediated as they were completely blocked by GABAzine (SR95531, 5 μM, Fig. 3a, bottom, gray trace; Fig. 3f, g). AβO1–42 significantly increased the amplitude of these IPSCs (Fig. 3a, bottom, red trace; Fig. 3g), potentially accounting for the observed reduction in peak power of gamma in AβO1–42-treated slices (Fig. 1h, i). To determine which interneuron subtype was responsible for the reduction of IPSC in PC in AβO1–42-treated slices, we optogenetically inactivated either PV or SST interneuron during gamma oscillations by co-injecting two different AAV viruses to CA1, one carrying ChR2 and the other carrying enhanced Arch (AAV-DIO-Arch-eYFP) in order to express ChR2 in PCs and Arch in either PV (Fig. 3b) or SST interneurons (Fig. 3c). During theta-nested gamma oscillations in DMSO-treated slices, inactivation of Arch-expressing PV interneurons (Fig. 3d) and Arch-expressing SST interneurons (Fig. 3e) by yellow light (590 nm) had no effect on IPSC frequency in CA1 PCs (Fig. 3f). However, IPSC amplitude in CA1 PC was significantly reduced only by inactivation of Arch-expressing PV interneurons in the DMSO-treated slices (Fig. 3g), which was similar to that recorded in AβO1–42-treated slices (Fig. 3a, red trace, Fig. 3g). Inactivation of Arch-expressing PV interneurons in AβO1–42-treated and DMSO-treated slices had the same effect in reducing IPSC amplitudes (Fig. 3d, red trace, Fig. 3g) while inactivation of Arch-expressing SST interneurons in...
AβO₁₋₄₂-treated slices significantly reduced the IPSC amplitude compared to that in the DMSO-treated slices (Fig. 3e, red traces, Fig. 3g). Moreover, the peak power of gamma oscillations was also decreased only by inactivation of Arch-expressing PV interneuron (Additional file 5: Figure S5) while inactivation of Arch-expressing SST interneuron had no effect on gamma oscillations (Additional file 6: Figure S6), indicating AβO₁₋₄₂-induced reduction of IPSC in CA1 PCs as well as the reduction of peak power of gamma oscillations may be due to dysfunction of PV interneurons. To rule out the possibility of yellow light having any direct effects on the reduction of gamma oscillation power via activation of ChR2 in CA1 PCs, we recorded synaptic currents in ChR2-expressing PCs and LFPs in the nearby tissue during sinusoidal (5 Hz) blue (470 nm), green (565 nm), and yellow light (590 nm) stimulation (Additional file 7: Figure S7a-c). We found that green light...
induced synaptic currents and gamma oscillations in the LFP while yellow light stimulation had no effect on either of them (Additional file 7: Figure S7d, e). In order to characterize the AβO1 toxin-induced synaptic dysfunctions at PV-to-CA1 PC synapse and SST-to-CA1 PC synapse, we expressed ChR2 in PV (Fig. 3h) and SST interneurons (Fig. 3m) and analyzed the S-R curve of these synapses by optically stimulating ChR2-expressing PV interneurons (Fig. 3i) and ChR2-expressing SST interneurons (Fig. 3n) at different light powers (5, 10, 25, 50, 75, 100% of maximal light power (15 mW)) and recorded the corresponding PV-evoked IPSCs in PC (Fig. 3j) and SST-evoked IPSCs in PC (Fig. 3o). Analysis of the S-R curve revealed that, for each stimulation intensity, AβO1 toxin significantly increased the amplitudes of PV-evoked IPSCs in PC (Fig. 3j), but not SST-evoked IPSCs in PC (Fig. 3o), suggesting that AβO1 toxin increases the initial neurotransmitter release probability of PV-to-PC synapse. To investigate the synaptic locus of IPSC changes, we optically stimulated ChR2-expressing PV interneurons and ChR2-expressing SST interneurons using a half-maximal light power (based on S-R curve in Fig. 3j), 0.375–9 mW) and an inter-stimulus interval of 20 ms (50 Hz, 10 stimulus) for the analysis of PPR, total charge, and short-term plasticity of PV-evoked IPSCs (Fig. 3k, l) and SST-evoked IPSCs (Fig. 3p, q). AβO1 toxin significantly enhanced the paired-pulse depression in PV-evoked IPSCs in PC, as observed in DMSO-treated slice (Fig. 3k, right). The total charge of PV-evoked IPSCs in PC was significantly decreased by AβO1 toxin (Fig. 3l, left). Furthermore, short-term depression of PV-evoked IPSCs in PC, as observed in DMSO-treated slice was even more enhanced in AβO1 toxin-treated slices (Fig. 3l, right) while it had no effect on SST-evoked IPSCs (Fig. 3p, q). Together, these results indicate that AβO1 toxin specifically disrupted reciprocal PC-to-PV and PV-to-PC synapses, which would likely impair gamma oscillations, while AβO1 toxin had no effect on PC-to-SST or SST-to-PC synapses.

**Optogenetic activation of PV interneurons restores AβO1 toxin-induced impairment of theta-nested gamma oscillations**

We then asked whether optogenetic activation of PV interneurons could rescue theta-nested gamma oscillations in AβO1 toxin-treated slices. If so, it would be strong evidence that the dysfunction of PV interneurons was the ultimate cause of reduced theta-nested gamma oscillations in AβO1 toxin-treated slices. We co-injected AAV viruses carrying ChR2 and C1V1 (AAV-DIO-C1V1-eYFP) (Fig. 4a), an opsin that opens a cation channel with peak excitation centered around green light (565 nm), in order to express ChR2 in CA1 PC and C1V1 in PV interneurons (Fig. 4b). Since green light activates ChR2-expressing PCs (Additional file 7: Figure S7), we optically stimulated C1V1-expressing PV interneurons using yellow light (590 nm), which activated C1V1-expressing PV interneurons reliably (Additional file 8: Figure S8). Using this preparation, we optically stimulated C1V1-expressing PV interneurons with yellow light in AβO1 toxin-treated slices during blue light-induced theta-nested gamma oscillations (Fig. 4c, d). PV interneuron activation successfully restored the peak power of gamma oscillations in AβO1 toxin-treated slices (Fig. 4d–f) to the level observed in DMSO-treated slices while maintaining frequency at gamma (Fig. 4g). Phase-amplitude coupling of gamma oscillations to theta cycle in AβO1 toxin-treated slices was also increased by PV interneuron activation to the level observed in DMSO-treated slices (Fig. 4h, i).

Since CA1 PC spike phases relative to gamma oscillations are important for hippocampal spatial information processing [36, 37], we investigated the phase of spikes and postsynaptic currents (PSCs) relative to the gamma cycle. Following the PING model [14, 21, 23], gamma oscillations triggered the activation of CA1 PC spikes, EPSCs in PV interneurons, PV interneuron spikes, then EPSCs in CA1 PCs in sequence (Fig. 4j), with distinct phases relative to ongoing gamma cycles in DMSO-treated slices (Fig. 4k, black bars). The phase-locking of spike/synaptic current was abolished in AβO1 toxin-treated slices, making it difficult to detect a clear peak in the event phase probability (Fig. 4k, red bars). Nonetheless, optical stimulation of C1V1-expressing PV interneurons in AβO1 toxin-treated slices restored phase-locking of spikes/synaptic currents (Fig. 4k, yellow bars). The strength of phase-locking, as measured by the length of the resultant vector in the phase vector plot, was indeed restored by optical stimulation of C1V1-expressing PV interneurons (Fig. 4l, m). The mean vector phases were also rescued by optical stimulation of C1V1-expressing PV interneurons (Fig. 4n). These data show that optogenetic activation of PV interneurons restores gamma power and resynchronizes spikes/synaptic inputs to gamma cycles. This supports the idea that AβO1 toxin-induced reductions in theta-nested gamma oscillations power are caused by PV interneuron dysfunction.

**Optogenetic activation of SST interneurons restores AβO1 toxin-induced impairment of theta-nested gamma oscillation-induced tLTP**

Theta-nested gamma oscillations have been shown to support the induction of LTP at Schaffer collateral (SC) synapses [16–19], but a direct experimental demonstration of how CA1 PCs and PV/SST interneurons partake in LTP induction at CA3-to-CA1 synapses during theta-nested gamma oscillations is lacking. To remedy this, we paired presynaptic SC stimulation-evoked excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) with postsynaptic spike bursts (4 spikes at 100 Hz repeated at 5 Hz) at a delay (Δt) of + 10 ms, thereby mimicking CA3 inputs onto...
CA1 PCs during theta-nested gamma oscillations (Fig. 5a, b) [38]. We found that this protocol reliably induced robust tLTP at CA3-to-CA1 synapses in DMSO-treated slices (Fig. 5c, f, black filled bar), which was NMDA receptor (NMDAR)-dependent, as it was blocked by NMDAR antagonist, D-AP5 (50 μM, Fig. 5d, f, black dotted bar). However, NMDAR-dependent tLTP was completely blocked in the AβO_{1-42}-treated slices (Fig. 5e, f, red filled bar). Since PV and SST interneurons’ spikes were concurrently activated during theta-
nested gamma oscillations (Fig. 2c) and by alveus stimulation of CA1 PC axons (Additional file 9: Figure S9), AβO1–42-induced synaptic dysfunctions of either PV or SST interneurons may have contributed to the observed tLTP impairment. To test this hypothesis, we expressed ChR2 in either SST or PV interneurons in SST-Cre or PV-Cre mice (Fig. 5g) and optically stimulated ChR2-expressing SST or PV interneurons with blue light (470 nm) during theta-nested gamma oscillation-like tLTP induction in AβO1–42-treated slices (Fig. 5h–j). We found that optogenetic activation of SST interneurons in AβO1–42-treated slices could fully restore NMDAR-dependent tLTP (Fig. 5h, k, green filled bar) that was blocked by D-AP5 (Fig. 5i, k, green dotted bar). However, optogenetic activation of PV interneurons in AβO1–42-treated slices could not restore tLTP (Fig. 5j, k, purple filled bar). AβO1–42 causes selective dysfunction of SST interneuron-mediated disinhibition to CA1 PC

How could SST activation have contributed to the restoration of NMDAR-tLTP induction during theta-nested gamma oscillations? SST interneurons, such as oriens lacunosum-moleculare (OLM) cells, inhibit the distal dendrites of PCs in CA1 [39], but they also provide disinhibition of feedforward inhibition activated by SC input to CA1 PC’s proximal dendrites [39]. Moreover, optical stimulation of SST interneuron-mediated disinhibition during LTP induction has been shown to enhance LTP [39]. Thus, one possibility is that AβO1–42 impairs SST interneuron-mediated disinhibition of proximal dendrites of CA1 PCs, and thereby, tLTP. To investigate this possibility, we recorded SC stimulation-evoked IPSCs from CA1 PCs and compared them with SC stimulation-evoked IPSCs paired with CA1 PC spikes.
evoked by alveus stimulation (4 spikes at 100 Hz, repeated at 5 Hz), which mimics theta-nested gamma oscillation-like tLTP induction, as in Fig. 5b (Fig. 6a, b, Additional file 10: Figure S10). The amplitude of SC stimulation-evoked IPSCs significantly decreased when it was paired with alveus stimulation (Fig. 6c, g, black bar), showing that SST interneurons activated by alveus stimulation resulted in SST interneuron-mediated disinhibition. SST interneuron-mediated disinhibition was significantly decreased in AβO₁–O₂-treated slices (Fig. 6d, g, red bar), but it was fully restored by optical stimulation of ChR2-expressing SST interneurons to a level similar to that in DMSO-treated slices (Fig. 6e–g, blue bar). In addition, when SC stimulation was paired with 50-ms-long optical stimulation of ChR2-expressing SST interneurons alone, the amplitude of SC stimulation-evoked IPSCs was similar in both DMSO-treated and AβO₁–O₂-treated slices (Additional file 11: Figure S11), further supporting our hypothesis that optical restoration of SST interneuron-mediated disinhibition underpins the restoration of tLTP induction in AβO₁–O₂-treated slices.

Distinct functional roles of PV and SST interneurons in gamma oscillogenesis and theta-nested gamma oscillation-induced tLTP

Our data supports the following hypothesis about how CA3 inputs impinging on CA1 PCs during hippocampal oscillations undergo LTP in a healthy brain [16–19]: gamma-frequency spikes of CA1 PCs during theta-nested gamma oscillations generated by perisomatic-targeting PV interneurons recruits SST interneurons, which in turn disinhibits CA1 PCs’ perisomatic dendrites, creating a window of opportunity for tLTP induction. To test this hypothesis, we built a computational network model consisting of CA1 PC, PV, and SST interneurons, together with CA3 input synapsing onto proximal dendritic spines of the CA1 PC providing feedforward inhibition to CA1 PC by activating an inhibitory interneuron (IN) (Fig. 7a). A PV interneuron was reciprocally connected to the CA1 PC while a SST interneuron disinhibited the IN. Parameters were tuned to reflect the in vitro-recorded firing rate-input current relationship (Fig. 7b, Additional file 4: Figure S4c, l). The excitatory CA3-CA1 synapse was modeled to undergo a deterministic intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration ([Ca²⁺]i)-dependent tLTP induction (Fig. 7c). In this model, sinusoidal 5-Hz current input that mimics blue light stimulation delivered to ChR2-expressing CA1 PC (Fig. 7d) activated the reciprocally connected PV interneuron to entrain CA1 PC and SST interneuron spikes at gamma oscillations, as shown in the spike raster plot (Fig. 7e). Such gamma-frequency-entrained SST interneuron’s spikes inhibited the IN from spiking (Fig. 7e, IN), and when CA3 input was activated at the rising phase of theta oscillations, SST interneuron-mediated disinhibition allowed the [Ca²⁺]i of CA1 PC spike to cross the threshold for tLTP induction (Fig. 7h). In contrast, in a network model without SST interneuron (Fig. 7f), CA3 input-activated feedforward inhibition (Fig. 7f, IN) blocked tLTP induction (Fig. 7g, h). Modulation of SST interneuron activation had no effect on the entrainment of PV interneurons at gamma-frequency and phase-locking of their spikes relative to CA1 PC-generated gamma-frequency spikes (Additional file 12: Figure S12). These results further underscore the differential roles of PV and SST interneurons in hippocampal theta-nested gamma oscillations and tLTP induction, respectively, and suggest how the optogenetic activation of PV and SST could have restored gamma oscillations and tLTP in AβO₁–O₂-treated slices.

Discussion

Here we have provided the first experimental evidence on how AβO₁–O₂ causes synapse-specific dysfunction in
hippocampal inhibitory circuits to impair theta-nested gamma oscillations and theta-nested gamma oscillation-induced tLTP. Aβ_{1–42} selectively disrupted reciprocal PC-to-PV and PV-to-PC synapses, which decreased the peak power of theta-nested gamma oscillations and desynchronized the phase of spikes and synaptic currents relative to gamma cycles (Fig. 1, 2, 3, 4). In contrast, Aβ_{1–42} had no effect on either PC-to-SST synapse or SST-to-PC synapses, but it did selectively disrupt SST interneuron-mediated disinhibition to block NMDAR-mediated tLTP at CA3-to-CA1 synapses induced by theta-nested gamma oscillation-like stimulation (Figs. 5 and 6). Importantly, optical stimulation of PV and SST interneurons selectively restored theta-nested gamma oscillations and oscillation-induced tLTP, respectively, which strongly supports the conclusion that these phenomena were the result of synapse-specific dysfunctions of PV and SST interneurons induced by Aβ_{1–42}.

Based on our in vitro experimental observations, we built a computational network model of CA1 PC, PV, and SST interneurons which allowed us to infer possible reasons for why hippocampal oscillations are conducive to LTP in a healthy brain [16–19]. From our simulation results, we were able to see how perisomatic-targeting PV interneurons entrain both CA1 PC and SST interneurons at gamma-frequency which allowed for the SST interneuron to disinhibit CA3 input-activated feedforward inhibition onto CA1 PC’s proximal dendrites, creating a time window for tLTP induction (Fig. 7). Thus, PV and SST interneurons have distinct functional roles in the induction of synaptic plasticity in different compartments of the CA1 PC, and the accumulation of Aβ_{1–42} seen in Alzheimer’s disease may cause memory deficits due to impairment of these synaptic plasticity mechanisms.

Although all of our experiments are conducted in vitro, the gamma oscillation impairment observed in our study shares many similarities with the effects of Aβ on kainate-induced gamma oscillations in vitro [9] as well as gamma oscillations recorded in vivo in mouse models of Alzheimer’s disease [5–8]. Also, our finding that optical stimulation of PV interneurons can restore gamma oscillations is consistent with previous results showing that manipulations of PV interneurons [5, 8] or PV-like fast-spiking interneurons were able to restore...
gamma oscillations in Alzheimer’s disease mouse models in vivo [7]. However, unlike previous studies using animal models with the late phase of Alzheimer’s disease [5, 7, 8], the acute effects of AβO1–42 that we uncovered here may only account for the early phase of Alzheimer’s disease. In Alzheimer’s disease mouse models such as APP/PS1 mice [40] and hAPPJ20 mice [5], spike firing rates and membrane potentials of PV interneuron are increased while in early phase of Alzheimer’s disease, pathological effects of AβO1–42 are mainly limited to synaptic dysfunctions with the intrinsic neuronal properties are spared [41], which is consistent with our results (Figs. 2 and 3 and Additional file 4: Figure S4). Thus, optogenetic activation of PV interneurons could have restored theta-nested gamma oscillations by directly depolarizing PV interneurons, which in turn compensate for the AβO1–42-induced reduced PV interneuron-evoked EPSCs to CA1 PC (Fig. 2) to resynchronize CA1 PC spikes during theta-nested gamma oscillations (Fig. 4), consequently leading to the restoration of theta-nested gamma oscillations. In addition to the reduction in gamma oscillation power, epileptic hyper-synchronous activities are widely observed in human patients with Alzheimer’s disease [6, 42] and in genetically modified Alzheimer’s disease mouse models [5, 6, 27, 43, 44]. Since the occurrence of epileptic activities in Alzheimer’s disease mouse models requires the abnormal aggregation of Aβ fibrils [43] and tau protein [44], but not AβO1–42 [43], it may be that hyper-synchrony may develop with Alzheimer’s disease progression [6, 45]. In fact, it is well established that AβO1–42 causes hyperexcitability in excitatory neurons [26]. Also, the increase in EPSC and decrease in IPSC amplitudes in CA1 PC during kainate-induced gamma oscillations under AβO1–42 pathology was observed in vitro [9]. Thus, it may be that the balance between excitation and inhibition is disrupted in Alzheimer’s disease but how the same neural circuit alternates between hypo- and hyper-synchrony requires further investigation.

Although many studies manipulated PV interneurons in Alzheimer’s disease studies [5, 7, 8], our study is the first to directly show how manipulation of SST interneurons could alleviate Alzheimer’s disease-related dysfunctions. In contrast to many studies targeting dysfunctional excitatory synapses [46–49] or LTP induction-related intracellular cascades in order to restore LTP in Alzheimer’s disease mouse models [49–51], we show that reinstating SST interneuron-mediated disinhibition [39] is sufficient for restoring LTP in AβO1–42–treated slices in vitro (Figs. 5 and 6). In fact, SST interneuron-mediated disinhibition unmask the back-propagating spike required for the induction of LTP [52, 53]. Thus, our results suggest that SST interneurons’ neural circuit dysfunction could explain the LTP impairment caused by acute application of AβO1–42 resembling early stages of Alzheimer’s disease, further supported by our in silico hippocampal network simulation (Fig. 7, Additional file 12: Figure S12). Although we did not get to identify the interneuron subtype that provides disinhibition to CA1 PC through SST interneuron activation, CCK-positive interneurons such as Schaffer collateral-associated cells [54–56] or bistratified cells [39] that are located in the stratum radiatum could be potential candidates. Thus, identifying the interneuron subtypes involved in disinhibition could help target the disinhibitory synapse that is impaired by AβO1–42 pathology. A recent study reported that optogenetic activation of OLM interneurons can induce type 2 theta oscillations in vivo [31], indicating that SST interneurons may also contribute to the generation of theta oscillations in addition to providing disinhibition to CA1 PC in vivo. Since we optically stimulated theta oscillations in order to induce gamma oscillations in vitro, our data cannot resolve the individual contribution of PV or SST interneurons on theta oscillation impairment in Alzheimer’s disease [57, 58]. Moreover, it is possible that theta-nested gamma oscillations could play a role in the induction of synaptic plasticity in interneurons [59]; thus, the neural circuit mechanism linking theta-nested gamma oscillations and LTP may be more intricate than suggested in the present study (Fig. 7). Interestingly, a recent study reported re-emergence of LTP in aged Tg2576 Alzheimer’s disease mice which correlates with a decrease in PV interneuron number [60]. Thus, the specific manner in which PV and SST interneurons are affected as the pathologies of Alzheimer’s disease progress with age in vivo to disrupt synaptic plasticity requires further investigation. Nonetheless, our data suggests that targeted manipulation of interneuron populations in the hippocampus may be a promising approach for treatments of early-stage Alzheimer’s disease.

Although the optogenetic manipulation technique we adopted in this study targeted CA1 PV and SST interneurons, in CA1 alone, there are more than 20 interneuron subtypes [61, 62] and PV and SST interneurons do not relate to specific interneuron types, nor indeed are these two markers entirely non-overlapping in CA1 [63–68]. PV can be expressed in both axo-axonic and fast-spiking interneurons, and SST can be found not only in oriens lacunosum-molecular interneurons, but in various long-range projecting interneurons, too. Indeed, bistratified cells (found in stratum oriens) express both PV and SST [54, 69–71]. Therefore, care is warranted in interpreting our results.

Conclusions
In summary, by optogenetically manipulating PV and SST interneurons, here we showed for the first time that AβO1–42 causes synapse-specific dysfunctions in PV and
SST interneurons’ synapses, which allows us to uncover how AβO₁₋₄² causes concomitant impairments of hippocampal theta-activated gamma oscillations and oscillation-induced tLTP at CA3-to-CA1 synapses. Thus, our findings provide crucial insight that will help guide future studies aimed at identifying the molecular target that gives rise to AβO₁₋₄²-induced synapse-specific dysfunctions, potentially leading to novel therapeutic targets for Alzheimer’s disease.

Methods

Animals

Three different lines of mice, C57BL/6 mice, PV-Cre knock-in mice (C57BL/6 background, Jackson Laboratory, stock #017320), and SST-IRES-Cre (C57BL/6 background, Jackson Laboratory, stock #013044) knock-in mice (4–11 weeks old) were used [72]. All animals were kept in 12:12-h light-dark cycles with food and water available ad libitum. All animal care and experimental procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Korea University (KUIA-CUC-2017-112).

Viruses

AAV particles were purchased from the UNC Vector Core. To express ChR2 [73] selectively in CA1 PC, AAV5-CaMKII-hChR2(E123T/T159C)-p2A-mCherry-WPRE (3.8 × 10¹² virus molecules/ml, 1μl) was injected in all three different lines of mice bilaterally into the hippocampus. For the selective expression of eYFP, Arch, ChR2, or C1V1 on PV or SST interneurons, AAV2-EF1a-DIO-EYFP (4.6 × 10¹² virus molecules/ml, 1μl), AAV5-EF1a-DIO-eArch30-EYFP (5 × 10¹² virus molecules/ml, 1μl), AAV5-EF1a-DIO-hChR2(E123T/T159C)-p2A-mCherry-WPRE (3.8 × 10¹² virus molecules/ml, 1μl), or AAV2-EF1a-DIO-C1V1(E162T)-TS-p2A-EYFP-WPRE (3 × 10¹² virus molecules/ml, 1μl) were injected bilaterally into the hippocampus of in PV-Cre or SST-Cre mice.

Stereotaxic virus injections

Mice were deeply anesthetized under 2% isoflurane (2 ml/min flow rate) and head-fixed into a stereotaxic frame (Stoelting Co.). Craniotomies were made bilaterally to target CA1 area of the hippocampus for viral injections (from bregma: anteroposterior − 2.70 mm, lateral ± 2.50 mm, and dorsoventral − 1.75 mm or antero-posterior − 2.56 mm, lateral ± 2.6 mm, and dorsoventral − 1.85 mm). One microliter of each virus suspension was injected into the CA1 area of the hippocampus at a rate of 0.15 μl/min through a Hamilton syringe using a motorized stereotaxic injector (Stoelting Co.). The syringe was left in the brain for more than 5 min to allow for virus diffusion. The scalp was sutured and disinfected with antibiotic, after which the mice were returned to their home cage for recovery for at least 14 days.

Preparation and treatment of AβO₁₋₄² to hippocampal slices

Soluble AβO₁₋₄² was prepared following methods in Lambert et al. [4] with a slight modification [74]. Aβ₁₋₄₂ or Aβ₁₋₄₁ powder (Bachem) was dissolved in 1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP, Sigma Aldrich) for monomerization at a final concentration of 1 mM and incubated for 90 min. HFIP was evaporated under vacuum condition (SpeedVac). The remaining thin and clear film was left in the brain for more than 5 min to allow for virus diffusion. The scalp was sutured and disinfected with antibiotic, after which the mice were returned to their home cage for recovery for at least 14 days.

Western blot analysis

Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)

AβO₁₋₄² were prepared as described above and resolved on a nonreducing 4–15% tris-glycine–SDS-PAGE gels with LDS sample buffers [75]. The gel was transferred on to a 0.2-μm PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s recommendation. Membranes were blocked in 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in tris-buffered saline containing 0.01% Tween 20 for 1 h at room temperature. Blots were incubated in the primary antibody mOC64 (rabbit monoclonal against amino acid residues 3–6 of Aβ; Cat# ab201060, Lot# GR3235744-4, RRID: AB_2818982, Abcam) [76] at 1:200 dilution over-night at 4 °C. Immunoreactivity was detected with enhanced chemiluminescence (Bio-Rad) and imaged using Fluorchem E system (ProteinSimple). Molecular weight values were estimated using Precision Plus Protein™ Dual Color Standards (Bio-rad).

Native PAGE

AβO sample was diluted with native PAGE sample buffer (Bio-rad) and then subjected to native PAGE using a 4–15% tris-glycine gel with the tris-glycine running buffer (Bio-rad). Following transfer to PVDF membrane, membranes were blocked in 5% BSA in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.01% Tween 20 for 1 h at room...
temperature. Blots were probed using rabbit monoclonal Aβ antibody (mOC64, 1:200, Cat# ab201060, Lot# GR3235744-4, RRID: AB_2818982, Abcam) overnight at 4 °C. Immunoreactivity and imaging were performed as described above.

**In vitro hippocampal slice preparation**

Mice were deeply anesthetized using 1.25% Avertin solution (8 g of 2, 2, 2-Tribromoethanol and 5.1 ml of 2-methyl-2-butanol in 402.9 ml saline, Sigma Aldrich) at a delivery rate of 0.2 ml/10 g body weight and perfused with ice-cold cutting solution (containing (in mM): 180 sucrose, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 25 NaHCO3, 11 glucose, 2 MgSO4, and 1 CaCl2 at pH 7.2–7.4 oxygenated with 95% O2/5% CO2). Either coronal or horizontal hippocampal slices (300–400 μm) were cut using a vibrotome (VT 1000 S, Leica Microsystems). Slices were allowed to recover for 20 min in a mixture of cutting solution and aCSF solution at 1:1 ratio, after which the slices were further incubated in aCSF for at least 1 h at 30–32 °C before performing electrophysiological recordings. To compare between DMSO and AβO1–42 conditions in the same slice (Fig. 1, Fig. 4c–i), hippocampal slice was first treated with 2% DMSO in aCSF for 20 min and then the same hippocampal slice was treated with 100 μM AβO1–42 or AβO42–1 in aCSF by diluting to a final concentration of 200 nM for 20 min. In all other experiments (Figs. 2, 3, 5, and 6 and Additional file 3: Figure S3, Additional file 4: Figure S4, and Additional file 11: Figure S11), hippocampal slices were treated with either 2% DMSO or 100 μM AβO1–42 or AβO42–1 in aCSF by diluting to a final concentration of 200 nM for 20 min before performing electrophysiological recordings.

**In vitro field and patch-clamp recordings**

Slices were moved to a recording chamber filled with aCSF (30–32 °C), and CA1 area of the hippocampus was identified under the guidance of differential interference contrast microscopy (BW51W, Olympus). LFP was recorded in the CA1 PC layer using a borosilicate glass electrode (2–4 MΩ) filled with aCSF (Figs. 1, 2, 3, and 4 and Additional file 2: Figure S2, Additional file 3: Figure S3, Additional file 5: Figure S5, Additional file 6: Figure S6, and Additional file 7: Figure S7). In some experiments (Figs. 2c–h, 3a–g, and 4j–n), LFP recordings were simultaneously performed with whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from either CA1 PC, PV, or SST interneurons using borosilicate glass electrode (4–8 MΩ) in either voltage-clamp or current-clamp mode. All synaptic currents were recorded in voltage-clamp recordings with electrodes filled with internal solution containing (in mM) 115 Cesium methanesulfonate (CsMSF), 8 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 0.3 GTP-NaCl, 4 ATP-Mg, 0.3 EGTA, 5 QX-314, and 10 BAPTA (pH 7.3–7.4 and 280–290 mOsm/L). IPSC and EPSC were recorded at the holding potential of +10 mV and −80 mV, respectively. In recording spikes and intrinsic membrane properties in current-clamp recordings, electrodes were filled with intracellular solution containing (in mM) 110 K-gluconate, 40 HEPES, 4 NaCl, 4 ATP-Mg, and 0.3 GTP-NaCl (pH 7.2–7.3 and 270–300 mOsm/L). Intrinsic membrane properties such as spike probability, sag, and rebound potential were measured at resting membrane potential of the neuron in response to current steps (0 pA to ±200 pA for 500 ms in 20 pA steps). Input resistance (MΩ) and membrane time constant (τ) were analyzed based on the voltage response to 50 ms-long negative current step (5 pA) by fitting an exponential curve,

\[
R_{in} = \frac{(V_0 - V_{steady})}{I}
\]

\[
V = V_0 + Ae^{(-t/T)}
\]

where \(V_0\) is the initial voltage, \(V_{steady}\) is the steady state voltage of the first exponential curve fit, \(A\) is the amplitude constant, and \(I\) is the amplitude of the current step. To record EPSCs evoked by PCs in PV or SST interneurons, a stimulation electrode was placed in the alveus of the subiculum side of the CA1 area to stimulate the axons of PC with a radial cut made between CA1 and subiculum to block the activation of CA3 axons (Fig. 2i–p). To analyze the S-R curve of PC-evoked EPSCs in PV or SST interneurons, alveus was stimulated using a single electrical stimulation pulse (100 μs) at six different intensities (10, 50, 100, 150, 200, and 300 μA, Fig. 2j, n). The alveus stimulation intensity which gave 50% of the maximal EPSC response (half-maximal stimulus, 115–210 μA) was used in subsequent experiments measuring PPR and short-term plasticity, for which a train of ten stimulation pulses at 50 Hz (100 μs; 115–210 μA) were delivered (Fig. 2k, o). Total charge of PC-evoked EPSCs was calculated by integrating the area under the EPSC curve (Fig. 2l, p). All signals were amplified (MultiClamp 700B amplifier, Molecular Devices), low-pass filtered at 10 kHz, and acquired at 5 kHz using ITC-18 data acquisition interface (HEKA Elektronik). Igor Pro software (WaveMetrics) was used for generating command signals, acquiring data as well as data analysis. In current-clamp recordings, only cells with resting membrane potential negative to ~50 mV and with input resistance in the range of 100–400 MΩ were included in the analysis. Reported voltages are corrected for the liquid junction potential, which was calculated as ~10 mV. In voltage-clamp recordings, 10 min was allowed after breakthrough for stabilization before recordings commenced. Series and input resistance were monitored throughout
the experiment, and cells with > 20% change in series resistance were discarded.

**Light-induced theta-nested gamma oscillations and gamma phase analysis**

For the induction of theta-nested gamma oscillations, ChR2-expressing PCs were activated by sinusoidal (5 Hz) blue light (470 nm) [34] (Fig. 1, 2, 3, and 4 and Additional file 2: Figure S2, Additional file 3: Figure S3, Additional file 5: Figure S5, Additional file 6: Figure S6, and Additional file 7: Figure S7). Blue light was delivered using a digital micromirror device (DMD, Polygon400, Mightex) through the objective (× 40) of the microscope (BX51W, Olympus), which covered the 550-μm diameter circle of the CA1 area with the center of the illumination positioned at the field electrode. The intensity of the blue light varied between 0 to a maximum intensity of 15 mW, which was controlled using a custom-made Arduino-based controller. Igor Pro was used to control DMD and synchronize optical stimulation with the electrophysiological recordings. LFP data were first down-sampled to 1 kHz and band-pass filtered between 20 and 120 Hz for gamma oscillations. Welch’s power spectral densities (PSD) of gamma oscillations (3 repetitions of 1-s theta-nested gamma oscillations) were analyzed to quantify the peak power and peak frequency (Figs. 1h–j and 4e–g and Additional file 2: Figure S2, Additional file 3: Figure S3, Additional file 5: Figure S5, Additional file 6: Figure S6, and Additional file 7: Figure S7). Spectrumogram of gamma oscillations was generated using short-time Fourier transform with window size = 100 ms and step size = 1 ms. Phase histogram (Fig. 4k) of spike or PSC was generated by calculating the instantaneous phase of spikes or PSCs using the Hilbert transform of simultaneously recorded gamma oscillations. The zero phase of gamma oscillations was defined as the peak of the gamma cycle. Probability of spike or PSC as a function of the phase of reference gamma oscillations was obtained using 20 bins. Resultant vectors were calculated from the phase histogram and plotted in the polar plot (Fig. 4l) from which vector length (Fig. 4m) and vector phase (Fig. 4n) were calculated. Mean value and statistical significance of vector phase were calculated using the Circular Statistics Toolbox in MATLAB (R2018a) [77]. To generate phase-amplitude comodulograms of theta-nested gamma oscillations (Figs. 1k and 4h and Additional file 3: Figure S3, Additional file 5: Figure S5, and Additional file 6: Figure S6), theta phase was calculated using Hilbert transformation and binned into 20 phase bins with 18° intervals. At each theta bin, the power spectrogram of gamma oscillations was calculated using short-time Fourier transform. The zero phase of theta oscillations was defined as the peak of the theta cycle. To analyze the phase-amplitude coupling strength of theta-nested gamma oscillations (Figs. 1l, 4i, Additional file 3: Figure S3, Additional file 5: Figure S5 and Additional file 6: Figure S6), we calculated the modulation index which is defined as the normalized Kullback-Leibler distance between probability distribution of gamma amplitude per each theta phase bin (18 bins with 20° intervals) and uniform distribution [78]. To obtain the probability distribution of gamma amplitude, mean amplitude of gamma oscillations for each bin was normalized by the sum of gamma amplitude of total bins. Modulation index value of 0 indicates the absence of phase-amplitude coupling, and the higher modulation index value indicates the stronger phase-amplitude coupling.

**Optical modulation of opsin-expressing PV and SST interneurons during patch-clamp recordings**

We expressed Arch or C1V1 in PV and SST interneurons and ChR2 in PC in the same hippocampal slice to optically inactivate (Fig. 3b–e, Additional file 5: Figure S5, and Additional file 6: Figure S6) or activate (Fig. 4a–d) interneurons during theta-nested gamma oscillations, respectively. The optimal wavelength for stimulating Arch is a green-colored 565-nm light. However, since 565-nm green light also induced excitatory synaptic currents by activating ChR2-expressing PCs (Additional file 7: Figure S7b, d) as well as inducing gamma oscillations in the LFP (Additional file 7: Figure S7b, e) while 590-nm yellow light had no direct effect on ChR2-expressing PC (Additional file 7: Figure S7c, d), we used 590-nm yellow light in activating both Arch- and C1V1-expressing interneurons during blue light-induced theta-nested gamma oscillations. The effectiveness of 590-nm yellow light on Arch-expressing PV and SST interneurons was tested by performing whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings in PV-Cre or SST-Cre mice, respectively (Additional file 8: Figure S8). For the inactivation of Arch-expressing interneurons during theta-nested gamma oscillations (Fig. 3d, e, Additional file 5: Figure S5, and Additional file 6: Figure S6), a tonic yellow light of a fixed light intensity (1 s, 3 mW) was delivered using the DMD. For the activation of C1V1-expressing PV interneuron during theta-nested gamma oscillations (Fig. 4c, d), a sinusoidal (5 Hz) yellow light (590 nm) was delivered through DMD with the intensity of light sinusoidally varied between 0 and 3 mW using a custom-made Arduino-based controller. To record IPSC evoked by PV and SST interneurons in CA1 PC, ChR2-expressing PV and SST interneurons were optically stimulated with blue light (470 nm) in PV-Cre and SST-Cre mice, respectively, during whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings with the membrane held at + 10 mV (Fig. 3i, n). To analyze the S-R curve of PV/SST interneuron-evoked IPSCs in CA1 PC, a single light pulse (470 nm, 5 ms) was delivered to ChR2-expressing PV or SST
interneurons at different light powers (5, 10, 25, 50, 75, 100% of maximal light power (15 mW), Fig. 3j, o). The light power which gave 50% of the maximal IPSC response (half-maximal stimulus, 3.75–9 mW) was used for the subsequent PPR and short-term plasticity analysis, for which a train of ten blue light pulses at 50 Hz were delivered (470-nm light, 5-ms duration, Fig. 3k, p; 3.75–9 mW). The total charge of PV/SST-evoked IPSCs was calculated by integrating the area under the IPSC train (Fig. 3l, q).

**Theta-nested gamma oscillation-induced tLTP induction protocol**

In order to induce theta-nested gamma oscillation-induced tLTP at CA3-CA1 synapse during theta-nested gamma oscillation-like activity, we paired the presynaptic EPSP evoked by SC stimulation with postsynaptic bursts (4 spikes at 100 Hz, each spike elicited with 3 ms current steps, 800 pA) with a 10-ms time window repeated at 5 Hz for 200 times. EPSPs were evoked every 6 s using two stimulating electrodes placed in the stratum radiatum of the CA1 region to activate SC, one for monitoring EPSPs in the control pathway and one for test pathway (Fig. 5a, b). Test and control pathways were stimulated 2 s apart. EPSP amplitudes were in the range of 3–5 mV (150–400 μA, 20–80 μs, Digitimer Ltd.) and were recorded at membrane voltage held at −75 mV. Following 10 min of baseline EPSP recordings of both pathways, tLTP induction protocol was delivered to the test pathway, after which EPSPs were evoked every 6 s in both pathways in either DMSO-treated or AβO1–42-treated hippocampal slices prepared from C57BL/6 mice (Fig. 5c–e). To investigate the effect of activation of PV and SST interneurons on tLTP in AβO1–42-treated hippocampal slices, we expressed ChR2 in either PV or SST interneurons and optically stimulated ChR2-expressing PV or SST interneurons using tonic blue light (470 nm, X-cite 110LED, Excelitas Tech., 100% light intensity) during the tLTP induction in AβO1–42-treated hippocampal slices prepared from PV-Cre or SST-Cre mice, respectively (Fig. 5g–j). tLTP induction was repeated in the presence of 50 μM D-AP5 to see if the tLTP is NMDA receptor-dependent (Fig. 5d, i). The slope of EPSP was calculated as an index of synaptic efficacy, measured by performing a linear fit on the rising slope of the EPSP between time points corresponding to 20 and 80% of the EPSP peak amplitude. Changes in synaptic efficacy were estimated as percentage change relative to the mean EPSP slope during the first 10 min of baseline recordings. To compare synaptic efficacy between neurons and experimental conditions, the mean of the normalized EPSP slope in the time period between 25 and 30 min after the tLTP induction was calculated (Fig. 5f, k).

**SST interneuron-mediated disinhibition**

To measure SST interneuron-mediated disinhibition during tLTP induction, we performed whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings in PC to record SC stimulation-evoked IPSC before and during tLTP induction. tLTP induction was performed by pairing of presynaptic EPSP and postsynaptic PC spikes by stimulating the SC and evoking postsynaptic spikes by stimulating the CA1 axons in the alveus at 100 Hz (4 pulses) with 10-ms time window, repeated at 5 Hz for 20 times (Fig. 6b, Additional file 10: Figure S10). All recordings were performed in the presence of D-AP5 (50 μM) to prevent synaptic plasticity during tLTP induction. To test if alveus stimulation can elicit spikes in PV and SST interneurons similar to that during blue light-induced theta-nested gamma oscillations as in Fig. 2c, we performed current-clamp recordings in PV and SST interneurons and stimulated alveus at 100 Hz (4 stimuli) repeated at 5 Hz (Additional file 9: Figure S9b, d, top). To ensure that alveus stimulation activated PC axons and is not a result of direct stimulation of other pathways, we repeated the experiments in the presence of D-AP5 (50 μM) and CNQX (20 μM) to block NMDA and AMPA receptors (Additional file 9: Figure S9b, d, bottom). Since alveus stimulation can activate both PV and SST interneurons to provide direct inhibition to PC, we isolated the SC stimulated IPSC during tLTP induction (Additional file 10: Figure S10b, (4), gray) by subtracting the IPSC evoked by alveus stimulation alone (Additional file 10: Figure S10b, (2) Alveus stim, light brown) from the IPSC evoked by pairing SC stimulation with alveus stimulation (Additional file 10: Figure S10b, (3) SC + alveus stim, brown). In calculating the SST interneuron-mediated disinhibition, we took the difference between the IPSC amplitude evoked by SC stimulation alone (Additional file 10: Figure S10b, (1) SC stim, black) and IPSC amplitude calculated in (4) (Additional file 10: Figure S10b, gray). In order to directly test the effect of the activation of SST interneurons on SC stimulation-evoked IPSC, we optically activated ChR2-expressing SST interneurons simultaneously with SC stimulation in the DMSO-treated and AβO1–42-treated hippocampal slices prepared from SST-Cre mice (Additional file 11: Figure S11).

**Drugs**

CNQX, SR95531 (GABAzine), and D-AP5 were purchased from Tocris, PBS, Urea, and Aβ1–42/Aβ1–42−1 powder were purchased from Gibco, Affymetrix, and Bachem, respectively. DMSO and the other reagents were all purchased from Sigma. For western blot analysis, rabbit monoclonal antibody mOC64was purchased from Abcam (Cat# ab201060, Lot# GR3235744-4, RRID: AB_2818982). Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-rabbit antibodies (Cat# 170–6515, Control# 64170140, RRID: AB_2617112), Mini-PROTEAN TGX
4–15% tris-glycine gels, 4x Laemmli sample buffer, Native sample buffer, and running buffer were all purchased from Bio-Rad.

**Fluorescence imaging**

To confirm the expression of opsins in PC, PV, and SST interneurons, hippocampal slices were post-fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C and subsequently washed in PBS. Washed slices were mounted with CUBIC mount solution [79], a tissue clearing technique that removes lipids from the sample to enhance transparency in imaging. Images were acquired using a confocal microscope (LSM-700, ZEISS) under a × 10 and × 20 objective.

**CA3-CA1 hippocampal network model**

To test whether SST interneuron-mediated disinhibition is required for the theta-nested gamma oscillation-induced tLTP at CA3-CA1 synapse in a computational model, we modeled CA3-CA1 hippocampal network consisted of a multi-compartment PC, single-compartment PV interneuron (PV model), SST interneuron (SST model), and a feedforward inhibition-mediated interneuron (IN model) as the Hodgkin-Huxley neuron model [80] (Fig. 7a). The PC model was composed of a soma, an apical dendrite, and a dendritic spine, containing leakage (g_L), Na⁺ (g Na⁺), delayed-rectifier K⁺ (g KDR), A-type K⁺ (g_A), L-type Ca²⁺ (g Cal), M-type K⁺ (g KM), afterhyperpolarization-activated (g_AHP), and hyperpolarization-activated (g_h) channels. PV, SST, and IN models contain leakage (g_L), Na⁺ (g Na⁺), delayed-rectifier K⁺ (g KDR), and A-type K⁺ (g_A) channels. Spike activities of PV and SST models were calibrated to replicate the in vitro-measured firing rate-current relationship (Fig. 7b, Additional file 4: Figure S4c, l). All morphological, passive, and active parameters of models are shown in Additional file 13: Table S1. CA3-CA1 synapse was modeled at the PC spine located at 100 μm from PC soma. CA3 input evoked an EPSP in PC through AMPA and NMDA receptor models. AMPA receptor was modeled as a single-exponential model, and NMDA receptor was modeled with voltage-dependent magnesium block using the following equations,

\[
I_{\text{AMPA}} = g_{\text{AMPA}} \times (e^{-t/\tau_{\text{rise}}}) \times (V_m - E_{\text{AMPA}}),
\]

\[
I_{\text{NMDA}} = g_{\text{NMDA}} \times \frac{(e^{-t/\tau_{\text{rise}}} - e^{-t/\tau_{\text{decay}}}) \times (V_m - E_{\text{NMDA}})}{(1 + \left[\frac{mg}{m}\right])} \times e^{-t} \times V_m
\]

where \(V_m\) is the membrane potential, \(I\) is the synaptic current, \(g\) is the maximal conductance (AMPA, 0.3 pS; NMDA, 1 nS), \(\tau\) is time constants (AMPA, 7 ms; \(\tau_{\text{rise}}\) for NMDA, 4 ms; \(\tau_{\text{decay}}\) for NMDA, 21 ms), \(E\) is the reversal potential (0 mV), and \([mg]\) is the magnesium concentration (0.5 mM). Maximal conductance of AMPA and NMDA was modeled to fit AMPA/NMDA ratio recorded in vitro [81]. Excitatory and inhibitory synapses between PC, PV, SST, and IN models were modeled using a double-exponential model [82]. All excitatory and inhibitory synapses had \(t_{\text{rise}}\) of 3 ms and \(t_{\text{decay}}\) of 15 ms and 40 ms, respectively. For tLTP simulation, we used a deterministic \(Ca^{2+}\)-dependent STDP model (Fig. 7c) [83]. tLTP was considered to be induced when intracellular \(Ca^{2+}\) concentration ([\(Ca^{2+}\]) is greater than 4 μM which triggered a potentiation detector (P). Synaptic weight of CA3-CA1 AMPA synapse was determined by the readout variable (W). To simulate theta-nested gamma oscillation-induced spikes in PC, we injected oscillatory current (5 Hz, 20 pA) superimposed with a tonic step current (15 pA) onto PC soma. For tLTP induction, we paired CA3 input with PC spikes with a time window of 10 ms (Δt, Fig. 7d). The pairing was repeated five times, and all parameters of the STDP model are listed in Additional file 14: Table S2. In order to investigate whether the presence of SST interneurons in the network model has any effect on the entrainment of PV interneuronal spikes at gamma-frequency, firing rates of PC and PV were calculated for the first and the successive theta cycles (Additional file 12: Figure S12a, b). Also, the spike phases of PV interneurons were calculated relative to the PC spike timing where the interspike interval of PC spikes were considered as a period of gamma-frequency and each spike was considered as the trough of gamma cycle (Additional file 12: Figure S12c, d). All simulations were repeated 10 times with Gaussian white noise that generated membrane voltage fluctuations (\(\sigma = 50\) pA, peak-to-peak amplitude of fluctuation = ~5 mV, [84]). All simulations were performed using the NEURON simulator [85] with a sampling rate of 10 kHz. The model is available on GitHub (https://github.com/kuncl/thetagamma_tLTP).

**Data analysis**

All data analysis was conducted using Igor Pro or MATLAB with custom-written scripts. Excel (Microsoft) and SPSS (IBM) software were used for statistical analyses.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are represented as mean with individual data values or mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was measured using Student’s t test or one-way, one-way repeated-measures, and two-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey’s test. p value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical significance of spike phases was tested using Watson-Williams multi-sample circular test [86].
**Supplementary information**

**Supplementary information** accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12951-019-0732-7.

**Additional file 1 : Figure S1.** Western blot of native PAGE showing AβO1-42 after incubation at 4 °C for 18 h.
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