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ABSTRACT: Lung transplant recipients (LTxRs) with acute rejection (AR) and chronic rejection (bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome [BOS]) induce circulating exosomes known to contain donor human leukocyte antigens and lung-associated self-antigens. Here, we sought to identify proteomic signatures in circulating extracellular vesicles (EVs) that differentiate LTxRs in 4 groups: stable, AR, BOS, or respiratory viral infection (RVI). EVs were isolated from plasma from patients in each group via ultracentrifugation. EV protein cargoes were prepared for shotgun proteomics using liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry. We identified 2 unique proteins for AR, 4 for RVI, 24 for BOS, and 8 for stable LTxRs. Differential analysis of AR, BOS, RVI, and stable proteins identified significantly deregulated proteins (p < 0.05, log2(fold change) > ±1) in each condition (31, 2, and 2, respectively). EVs from LTxRs with AR contained proteins involved in immunoglobulin, complement regulation, coagulation, and innate and adaptive immune response pathways. EVs from LTxRs with BOS revealed enriched immunoglobulin receptors and a carboxypeptidase N catalytic chain. EVs from LTxRs with RVI had an enriched macrophage-stimulating factor. We found unique signatures in LTxRs with AR, BOS, and RVI, highlighting complex immune mechanisms underlying lung allograft rejection. Proteomic signatures in LTxRs’ circulating EVs provided insights into immunological mechanisms of graft rejection and RVI.

1. INTRODUCTION

Lung transplantation (LTx) is a treatment option for patients with end-stage respiratory disease such as idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cystic fibrosis, and other interstitial lung disease.1 Despite immunosuppressive measures, post-LTx recovery can be challenged by acute rejection (AR) or chronic rejection. The median survival after LTx is just 5.8 years, and this is the shortest survival compared with all other solid organ transplants, results primarily from chronic rejection.2 Several risk factors for AR or chronic rejection have been proposed, including immune responses to human leukocyte antigen (HLA), development of donor-specific antibody (DSA) against HLA, immune response to lung-associated self-antigens (SAgs), and respiratory viral infection (RVI).3–5 The contribution and interplay of factors underlying each of these mechanisms in modulating the immune responses of LTx recipients (LTxRs) has not been fully characterized.6

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) play an important role in defining the immunopathogenesis of lung disease.7 EVs are a family of particles released by cells, and they are involved in various physiological functions. EVs vary in size, morphology, and content and are classified based on the mechanism of their release. Exosomes, which are membrane-bound EVs, result from the fusion of late endosomes with the plasma membrane.
(<200 nm in diameter), whereas microvesicles and apoptotic bodies (typically 200–1000 nm in diameter) are the result of vertical trafficking of molecules to the plasma membrane, where molecules are shed in the form of EVs into the extracellular space. Several reports demonstrated that EVs carry a diverse cargo of proteins, lipids, metabolites, and RNAs (mRNAs and noncoding RNAs, including microRNAs). EVs have also been shown to contribute to intercellular communication, with critical roles in cancer, the spread of infection, and physiological processes such as stress, inflammation, wound healing, coagulation, and angiogenesis. We recently reported that circulating exosomes isolated from LTxs with AR or bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome (BOS) contain DSA, lung SAgS, major histocompatibility complex class II molecules, costimulatory molecules CD80 and CD86, transcription factor NF-kB, CIITA, and 20S proteasome. Recent studies have also shown that EVs released after LTx remain unknown. The goal of this study is to identify, compare, and contrast proteomic signatures in the circulating EVs from patients in 4 conditions after lung transplant.

### 2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 2.1. EV Protein Cargo Characterization in LTxs.

Proteomic analysis of circulating EVs identified a total of 2834 peptides mapping to 236 nonredundant proteins. Overall, 136 proteins (57.6%) were common to all conditions (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Venn diagram of proteins identified in extracellular vesicles isolated from patients in 4 conditions after lung transplant. Abbreviations: AR, acute rejection; BOS, bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome; and RVI, respiratory viral infection.](image)

and 8, 24, 2, and 4 proteins were unique to LTxs in the stable, BOS, AR, and RVI groups, respectively. An average of 164 proteins was identified in BOS (n = 3) with an average of 78% mapping to ExoCarta. In patients with AR (n = 3), an average of 128 proteins was identified and 79% were present in ExoCarta. An average of 145 proteins was identified in RVI (n = 3) and 76% were in ExoCarta. For stable patients (n = 5), an average of 143 proteins was identified with 79% annotated in ExoCarta. (Table 1). Eight proteins uniquely identified in EVs from stable LTxs include ACTR2, EFEMP1, IGKV1–16, IGKV1D–39, IGLV2–23, GNL1, PFKPA, and S100-A12; (Figure 1 and Table 2) are involved in the transport of small molecules, signal transduction, hemostasis, and extracellular matrix organization. Two proteins uniquely identified in AR (CFHR2 and IGKV1–33; Figure 1 and Table 2) are associated with immunoglobulin and complement regulation. The 24 proteins uniquely identified in LTxs with BOS (ATP2A3, CANX, CD36, CPB2, ENDO1D, F9, GANAB, GP1BB, HIST1H4A, HSPA5, IGKV1–3, IGKV3D–20, IGLV4–60, ITGA6, ITGB1, MYH9, MYL6, PDI3A, PF4, PSMA2, PSMA7, PTGS1, TTYH3, and YWHAZ; Figure 1 and Table 2) are associated with immune activation, including immunoglobulin synthesis, programmed cell death, and DNA repair. EVs from LTxs with RVI harbored 4 unique proteins (GPX3, HSPG2, IGHD, and IGLV9–49; Figure 1 and Table 2) linked to macrophage and immune activation.

#### 2.2. Differential Analysis of EV Proteomes from LTxs.

Subgroups. Differential analysis across stable LTxs and those with BOS, AR, and RVI revealed significantly (p < 0.05, log fold change (FC) > ±1) upregulated or downregulated proteins listed in Table 3 and visualized on the volcano plots (Figure 2). BOS showed an increase in the carboxypeptidase N catalytic chain (CPN1, p = 0.01) and a decrease in the polymeric immunoglobulin receptor (PIGR, p = 0.02), while AR showed an increase in several complement proteins including C3 (p = 0.006), C6 (p = 0.0009), C7 (p = 0.01), CFI (p = 0.005), CFB (p = 0.03), CFH (p = 0.03), and C8B (p = 0.04) and a decrease in immunoglobulin-associated proteins (IGLV2–18 (p = 0.01), IGLV7–46 (p = 0.01), and IGKV6D–21 (p = 0.04)). EVs from LTxs with RVI harbored 4 unique proteins (GPX3, HSPG2, IGHD, and IGLV9–49; Figure 1 and Table 2) linked to macrophage and immune activation.

#### 2.3. LTxs Derived EVs Contain Distinct Functional Signatures.

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) identified pathways and biological processes enriched in stable LTxs and LTxs with AR, BOS, or RVI (Figure 3). In LTxs with AR, upregulated proteins contributed to a positive enrichment of metabolic processes, cell proliferation, and cytoskeleton organization. In BOS, upregulated proteins contributed to the enrichment of immune response, viral infection, and signaling pathways. In RVI, upregulated proteins contributed to the enrichment of immune response, viral infection, and signaling pathways. In stable LTxs, upregulated proteins contributed to the enrichment of metabolic processes, cell proliferation, and cytoskeleton organization.
of 4 gene sets (hallmark coagulation, innate immune system, regulation of intracellular transduction, and activation of immune response). Downregulated proteins were associated with a negatively enriched functional gene set (small molecule metabolic process; Figure 3A).

Fifteen gene sets were significantly enriched in LTxRs with BOS (Figure 3B). Twelve sets were negatively enriched in LTxRs with BOS; of these, 2 gene sets are associated with cellular death. The top 3 negatively enriched sets are associated with catabolic processes. Of the 3 positively enriched sets, 2 are associated with regulation of the extracellular matrix (matrisome-associated and extracellular matrix regulators) and the third is associated with complement system response (lectin pathway).

In LTxRs with RVI, GSEA identified 10 positively and 2 negatively enriched gene sets, associated with upregulated and downregulated proteins, respectively (Figure 3C). Four of the positively enriched sets are associated with lipid metabolism.
Compared to Stable Condition Using Immunoblot. Cellular component organization is negatively enriched in LTxRs with RVI. Negative regulation of system is positively enriched in the setting of AR and is associated with immune response (innate immune system, metabolic process, lipid metabolic process, and regulation of cytokines and production of reactive oxygen species).

We previously published our observation of increased levels of donor HLA, lung SAgs, transcription factor NF-Kβ, CIITA, and 20S proteasome in circulating exosomes of LTxRs with AR and BOS. In the current study, we demonstrated that circulating EVs from LTxRs with AR contained significantly increased levels of immunoglobulin and complement regulatory proteins, along with coagulation factors and plasminogen, compared to stable LTxRs. A report by Naesens et al. showed that renal transplant biopsies classified as cellular rejection contain B lymphocytes capable of producing antibodies (Abs) against donor antigens, along with C3, CD20, and peritubular deposition of C4D. In agreement, our results also showed humoral-pathway activation in LTxRs diagnosed with cellular AR. We demonstrated the upregulation of proteins associated with complement, immunoglobulins, coagulation, and inflammation in LTxRs with cellular rejection. Complement activation is known to have a strong correlation with tissue injury and antibody-mediated rejection, and our results suggest that complement-mediated tissue injury can occur even in patients diagnosed with cellular rejection. Furthermore, our result raises the possibility that complement proteins in circulating EVs can be markers to differentiate cellular rejection with a mixed phenotype (i.e., cellular and humoral) from only having a cellular phenotype.

Extracellular vesicles isolated from LTxRs with BOS showed increased amounts of CPN1, a zinc metalloprotease with a known protective function against vasoactive and inflammatory peptides. CPN levels are associated with complement activation; therefore, higher levels of CPN1 in LTxRs with BOS indicate increased complement activation. EVs from LTxRs with BOS also showed altered levels of PIGR. PIGR binds and transports polymeric immunoglobulin A and immunoglobulin M across the epithelial barrier to mucosal surfaces. Studies have shown that gastroesophageal reflux increases the risk of BOS after LTx. We therefore propose that PIGR may be a contributing factor for gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), increasing the risk of BOS.

Extracellular vesicles isolated from LTxRs with symptomatic RVI (e.g., respiratory syncytial virus, coronavirus, rhinovirus, and influenza virus) showed significantly increased levels of MST1, a macrophage-stimulating protein also known as hepatocyte growth factor-like protein, compared to stable LTxRs. MST1/2 is a mediator of innate immune response against viruses, eliciting macrophage phagocytosis as well as cytokines and production of reactive oxygen species. Upregulation of MST1 in EVs isolated from LTxRs with RVI suggests that circulating EVs can be involved in the activation of innate immune responses, which leads to increased cytokine and reactive oxygen species production toward controlling the infection. MST1 is also likely to play an important role in lung tissue regeneration after RVI, as suggested by Narasaraju et al. Thus, our finding of increased levels of MST1 in EVs from LTxRs with RVI strongly suggests

| Table 3. Significant Differentially Abundant Proteins in EVs of LTxRs: Significant Differentially Abundant Proteins (p < 0.05 and log2(FC) > ± 1) in Each Rejection Condition Compared to Stable |
|---|---|---|---|
| gene names | p-value | p-Adj (BH) | log2(FC) |
| C6 | 0.001 | 0.0834 | 1.312 |
| AMBP | 0.0025 | 0.055 | 1.295 |
| FBLN1 | 0.0026 | 0.0767 | 1.169 |
| ITIH4 | 0.003 | 0.1732 | 1.975 |
| CFI | 0.0054 | 0.0973 | 1.745 |
| C3 | 0.0064 | 0.0808 | 1.443 |
| APOC3 | 0.0077 | 0.0934 | 1.799 |
| VWF | 0.0103 | 0.1732 | 1.395 |
| C7 | 0.0115 | 0.0371 | 1.492 |
| CFB | 0.0364 | 0.0543 | 1.055 |
| CHH | 0.0382 | 0.0543 | 1.116 |
| ECM1 | 0.0419 | 0.1732 | 1.522 |
| F11 | 0.0431 | 0.1732 | 1.372 |
| ITIH1 | 0.044 | 0.1732 | 1.104 |
| C8B | 0.0477 | 0.1732 | 1.109 |
| CLU | 0.0001 | 0.1732 | −1.247 |
| VTN | 0.0011 | 0.134 | −1.451 |
| GAPDH | 0.0012 | 0.1732 | −3.914 |
| PON1 | 0.0036 | 0.0178 | −1.805 |
| KLKB1 | 0.0079 | 0.0834 | −1.313 |
| IGLV2-18 | 0.0179 | 0.1732 | −2.568 |
| IGLV7-46 | 0.0181 | 0.1732 | −1.8 |
| APOA1 | 0.02 | 0.0371 | −1.162 |
| IGLC7 | 0.0295 | 0.1279 | −1.201 |
| VTN | 0.0303 | 0.0578 | −3.215 |
| IGLV1-40 | 0.0322 | 0.1732 | −1.114 |
| APOA2 | 0.035 | 0.1732 | −1.412 |
| IGHM | 0.0353 | 0.1279 | −1.421 |
| ACTB | 0.0361 | 0.1732 | −2.068 |
| IGKV6D-21 | 0.0445 | 0.1732 | −2.414 |
| TNL1 | 0.0477 | 0.0371 | −3.413 |

LTxRs with AR vs stable LTxRs

LTxRs with BOS vs Stable LTxRs

| p-value | p-Adj (BH) | log2(FC) |
|---|---|---|
| CPN1 | 0.0141 | 0.945 | 1.134 |
| PIGR | 0.0243 | 0.945 | −1.403 |

LTxRs with RVI vs Stable LTxRs

| MST1 | 0.0109 | 0.865 | 1.114 |
| IGLC7 | 0.0407 | 0.865 | −1.324 |

Abbreviations: EVs, extracellular vesicles; LTx, lung transplant; LTxRs, lung transplant recipients; AR, acute rejection; BOS, bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome; RVI, respiratory viral infection.
that this protein may be involved in the repair of damaged lung epithelium caused by a viral infection. Furthermore, we propose that in LTxRs, MSTI contained in EVs can be used as a protein signature specific to RVI and could be a useful marker for the challenging differential diagnosis of RVI from acute cellular rejection.

We are identifying proteins in the EV, which are diagnostic for AR, BOS, and RVI. However, these samples were obtained at the time of diagnosis. Further studies are needed to determine the value of these proteins in the EV as a noninvasive biomarker, which will require testing serial samples from a larger cohort. Further, chronic rejection following human LTx is now termed as chronic lung allograft dysfunction, which consists of restrictive allograft syndrome (RAS) and BOS. During this study, we have analyzed only BOS but not RAS and therefore further analysis of EV from RAS needs to be carried out to determine the potential value of unique proteins that may be present in RAS, which may provide further clues to the pathogenesis of RAS vs BOS.

The low number of LTxR specimens from which EVs were characterized is a limitation of this study. This is particularly true for LTxRs with AR, as our data suggest a mixed cellular and humoral phenotype for the LTxRs with AR analyzed thus far. Therefore, follow-up studies should include additional LTxRs diagnosed either with cellular rejection or antibody-mediated rejection. Another shortcoming of this study is that we analyzed only rhinovirus, coronavirus, and metapneumovirus. Inclusion of LTxRs with other common infections associated with increased risk for BOS (e.g., respiratory syncytial virus and cytomegalovirus) should be considered in future analysis. According to guidelines from the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation, chronic lung allograft dysfunction is classified as BOS or RAS, and our analysis has been restricted only to BOS.24

3. CONCLUSIONS

The presence of unique proteins and their association with pathways in the EVs isolated from plasma samples of LTxRs with AR, BOS, and RVI provide important mechanistic information about rejection after LTx. In summary, proteomic findings from this study clearly demonstrate not only novel mechanisms for rejection but also the potential of EV protein cargo to distinguish different forms of AR (cellular vs humoral vs mixed). Our results highlight the role of immunoglobulins and complement proteins in BOS, which emphasizes the importance of Abs in the pathogenesis of BOS. The abundance

Figure 2. Volcano plots of differentially abundant proteins in LTxRs. log₂(FC) vs −log₁₀(p-value) for (A) AR vs stable, (B) BOS vs stable, and (C) RVI vs stable. Green dots (−log₁₀(p-value) > 1.301 and log₂(FC) > ±1). Orange dots (log₂(FC) > ±1). Blue (−log₁₀(p-value) > 1.301).

Figure 3. Significantly enriched GSEA-enriched (p-value <0.05) terms from gene ontology biological processes (GOBP) associated with the 3 described conditions vs stable LTxRs. (A) AR vs stable, (B) BOS vs stable, and (C) RVI vs stable. Abbreviations: AR, acute rejection; BOS, bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome; and RVI, respiratory viral infection. The computed normalized enrichment score shows GOBP terms that are increased (orange) or decreased (blue).
of MST1 in EVs from LTxRs with RVI suggests that MST1 can be a potential marker to differentiate RVI from AR.

The presence of immunoglobulin and complement proteins in circulating EVs isolated from LTxRs with cellular AR provides a means to differentiate cellular rejection from mixed (i.e., cellular and humoral) rejection. The presence of immunoglobulins in EVs from LTxRs with BOS suggests an important role for Abs, both against DSA and lung-associated SAgS, in the pathogenesis of BOS, as several studies have demonstrated that development of DSA and Abs to lung SAgS is an important risk factor for BOS after LTx.20−23 In addition, validation using Western blots of several proteins (C3, CPN1, and MST1), which are differentially present in LTxRs with AR, BOS, and RVI, suggests that differentiating between acute/chronic rejection and viral infection after LTx is possible using this subset of protein markers.

4. MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.1. Patient Population. Lung transplant recipients at St. Joseph’s Hospital and Medical Center in Phoenix, Arizona, and from Washington University Medical School in St. Louis, Missouri, were selected based on clinical diagnosis of AR, RVI (rhinovirus, metapneumovirus, and coronavirus), BOS, and stable per criterion established by ISHLT.24 This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of St. Joseph’s Hospital and Medical Center (IRB #PHXB16-0027-10-18), and patient samples were collected after informed consent was obtained.

4.2. Sample Collection. Blood samples were collected from patients in acid citrate dextrose solution, and plasma was isolated within 24 h of collection and stored at −80 °C. LTxR demographics are summarized in Table 4.

4.3. EV Enrichment. Extracellular vesicles were isolated from 500 μL of plasma by ultracentrifugation, as previously described.25 Briefly, the samples were centrifuged at 2000 rcf (20 min, 4 °C) followed by 2 cycles of centrifugation at 10 000 rcf (40 min, 4 °C). The supernatant was diluted with 0.5 mL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and spun for 100 000 rcf (120 min, 4 °C). EV pellets were resuspended in PBS. This final centrifugation step was repeated, and the EV pellets were recovered and stored at −80 °C. EV size distribution was determined using NanoSight (Malvern Panalytical, Malvern, United Kingdom; Figure 5), and EVs used in this report ranged in size from 50 to 200 nm.26

4.4. Chemicals and Reagents. The chemicals and solvents used were procured from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) or Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA). All chemicals were analytical reagent grade. Formic acid and solvents were liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS) grade. Halt protease and phosphatase-inhibitor cocktail (100×) was obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA). Sequencing-grade enzymes were purchased from Promega (Madison, WI).
Table 4. Demographic Characteristics of 14 Lung Transplant Recipients

| variable                      | N (%) | time of sample collection |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|
| mean age, years ± SD          | 50.3 ± 12.2 |
| male sex                      | 7 (50) |
| white race                    | 14 (100) |
| bilateral LTx                 | 14 (100) |
| post-LTx diagnosis            |       |
| BOS                           | 3 (21) | 1.5–2.0 years |
| AR                            | 3 (21) | 6 months |
| RVI                           | 3 (21) | 12–36 months |
| stable                        | 5 (36) | 12–36 months |
| reason for LTx by post-LTx     |       |
| diagnosis                     |       |
| BOS                           |       |
| IPF                           | 3/3 (100) |
| AR                            |       |
| COPD                          | 1/3 (33) |
| IPF                           | 2 (67) |
| RVI                           | 2/3 (67) |
| COPD                          | 1/3 (33) |
| IPF                           |       |
| stable                        | 3/5 (60) |
| IPF                           | 2/5 (20) |

“Abbreviations: LTx, lung transplant; BOS, bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome; AR, acute rejection; RVI, respiratory viral infection; IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.”

Figure 5. Representative image of size determination of exosomes using NanoSight.

4.5. Protein Extraction and Digestion. Pelleted EVs were thawed and proteins were extracted in 250 μL of 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate buffer (NH₄HCO₃), supplemented with 1% sodium deoxycholate, 1X halt protease, and phosphatase-inhibitor cocktail. Samples were lysed by sonication for 1 min, followed by centrifugation at 21 000 rcf (10 min, 4 °C) to pellet any debris. The supernatant was transferred to 10 kD Amicon Ultrafilters (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) to perform filter-aided sample preparation for shotgun proteomic analysis.27,28 Briefly, extracted proteins were denatured with 8 M urea, reduced in 10 mM dithiothreitol, and alkylated in 50 mM iodoacetamide. Samples were subjected to a buffer exchange in 50 mM NH₄HCO₃, followed by overnight digestion using trypsin at a 1:50 enzyme to protein ratio at 37 °C. Peptides were extracted from the filter at 14 000 rcf, and the flow-through was vacuum-dried and stored at −80 °C until LC–MS/MS analysis was performed.

4.6. LC–MS/MS Analysis. Tryptic peptides were reconstructed in 0.1% formic acid and quantified by the biocinchoninic acid assay for LC–MS/MS analysis on an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid (Thermo, Waltham, MA) interfaced with a nanoAcquity UPLC system (Waters, Milford, MA). For each sample acquired in technical triplicates, 800 ng of peptides was first loaded on a trapping column (Acquity UPLC M-Class Trap, two-dimensional (2-D) Symmetry C18, 100 Å pore size, 5 μm particle size, 20 mm length) and washed for 10 min with 99.5% Solvent A (0.1% formic acid in water) and 0.5% solvent B (0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile) at a flow rate of 7.5 μL/min. The trapped peptides were transferred to an analytical column (Acquity UPLC M-Class Peptide BEH C18, 130 Å pore size, 1.7 μm particle size, 100 mm length) and eluted at a flow rate of 500 nL/min using the following gradient: 3–7% B in 1 min, 7–25% B in 25 min, 25–45% B in 10 min, 45–90% B in 0.5 min, 90% B for 1 min, back to initial condition in 0.5 min, and re-equilibration for 10 min. Data-dependent acquisition was performed in Top Speed mode with a duty cycle of 3 s and the following parameters: spray voltage of 1900 V, ion-transfer tube temperature of 275 °C, survey scan in the Orbitrap at a resolution of 120 K at 200 m/z, scan range of 400–1500 m/z, AGC target of 2E5, and maximum ion injection time of 50 ms. Every parent scan was followed by a daughter scan using high-energy collision dissociation of top abundant peaks and detection in the ion trap with following settings: quadrupole isolation mode, isolation window at 1.4 m/z, AGC target of 5E4 with maximum ion injection time of 50 ms, and high-energy collision energy of 35%. Dynamic exclusion was set to 60 s.

4.7. Data Analysis. Mass spectra were matched to the Homo sapiens database (2017, SwissProt/UniProtKB) using the Andromeda search engine (MaxQuant v1.6.1.0)29 with a false discovery rate (FDR) <1%, allowing up to 2 missed cleavages from trypsin. All other MaxQuant settings were set to default. Cysteine carbamidomethylation was set as a fixed modification, and variable modifications of N-terminus acetylation and methionine oxidation were allowed. The peptides were identified using the match between runs feature enabled, and protein intensities were computed using the MaxQuant label-free quantitation (LFQ) algorithm. Iterative rank order normalization (IRON)30 was used to normalize LFQ intensities across all samples. For each patient, intensities were averaged if the protein was identified in 3 out of 3 technical replicates, otherwise the protein was removed from that patient. Protein intensity was log₂-transformed, and fold change for each protein was calculated between the stable LTxR and each of the three rejection groups. Proteins annotated by MaxQuant as hits to the reverse database (n = 6 proteins), potential contaminants (n = 19 proteins), or without a unique peptide (n = 3 proteins) were not included for differential analysis. Statistical significance of the change in protein abundance between each pairwise analysis (stable LTxR vs each of the three rejection groups) was determined using Student’s t-test. A Benjamini–Hochberg test for multiple corrections was also calculated. Proteins with a log₂(fold change) > ±1, corresponding to a 2-fold change from the stable, and a t-test p-value <0.05 were deemed significantly differentially abundant. Proteins were annotated using the ExoCarta reference database.31 To identify enriched processes and pathways in each rejection condition compared to stable
LTxRs, each protein was ordered by ranking score (RS = −log_{10} (p-value) ± 1, where the directionality matches the fold change) from highest to lowest. Functional enrichment analysis was performed using GSEA against the Gene Ontology Resource (downloaded April 2019). 

4.8. Western Blots. Extracellular vesicles isolated with ultracentrifugation25 were lysed using radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer containing protease inhibitor cocktails. Protein was estimated using the bicinchoninic acid assay. Western blot was performed to validate the signatures identified by mass spectrometry. Briefly, 30 μg of protein from EVs was resolved via polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, and the proteins were transferred into a poly(vinylidene difluoride) membrane. The membrane was blocked with 5% nonfat milk in 1× PBS and was probed with Abs to C3 (Abcam, anti-rabbit), CPN1 (Abcam, anti-rabbit), MST1 (Cell Signaling Technology, anti-rabbit), and CD9 (Biolegend, anti-rabbit) containing protease inhibitor cocktails. Secondary Abs conjugated with horseradish peroxidase were used for detection. The blots were washed with PBS-Tween (Thermo Fisher Scientific), developed using the chemiluminescent horseradish peroxidase substrate (Amerham ECL Western Blotting Detection Kit), and exposed using an Odyssey CLx Imaging System (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE).
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