Species-Level Salivary Microbial Indicators of Well-Resolved Periodontitis: A Preliminary Investigation
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Objective: To profile the salivary microbiomes of a Hong Kong Chinese cohort at a species-level resolution and determine species that discriminated clinically resolved periodontitis from periodontally healthy cases.

Methods: Salivary microbiomes of 35 Hong Kong Chinese subjects’ under routine supportive dental care were analyzed. All subjects had been treated for any dental caries or periodontal disease with all restorative treatment completed at least 1 year ago and had ≤3 residual pockets. They were categorized based on a past diagnosis of chronic periodontitis into “healthy” (H) or “periodontitis” (P) categories. Unstimulated whole saliva was collected, genomic DNA was isolated, and high throughput Illumina MiSeq sequencing of 16S rRNA (V3-V4) gene amplicons was performed. The sequences were assigned taxonomy at the species level by using a BLASTN based algorithm that used a combined reference database of HOMD RefSeqV14.51, HOMD RefSeqExtended V1.1 and GreenGeneGold. Species-level OTUs were subjected to downstream analysis in QIIME and R. For P and H group comparisons, community diversity measures were compared, differentially abundant species were determined using DESeq2, and disease indicator species were determined using multi-level pattern analysis within the R package “indicspecies.”

Results: P subjects were significantly older than H subjects (p = 0.003) but not significantly different in their BOP scores (p = 0.82). No significant differences were noted in alpha diversity measures after adjusting for age, gender, and BOP or in the beta diversity estimates. Four species; Treponema sp. oral taxon 237, TM7 sp. Oral Taxon A56, Prevotella sp. oral taxon 314, Prevotella sp. oral taxon 304, and Capnocytophaga leadbetteri were significantly more abundant in P than in the H group. Indicator species analysis showed 7 significant indicators species of P group. Fusobacterium sp oral taxon 370 was the sole positive indicator of P group (positive predictive value = 0.9, p = 0.04). Significant indicators of the H category were Leptotrichia buccalis, Corynebacterium matruchotii, Leptotrichia hofstadii, and Streptococcus intermedius.
Conclusion: This exploratory study showed salivary microbial species could discriminate treated, well-maintained chronic periodontitis from healthy controls with similar gingival inflammation levels. The findings suggest that certain salivary microbiome features may identify periodontitis-susceptible individuals despite clinical disease resolution.
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INTRODUCTION

Salivary microbiomes show temporal stability in health (Belstrøm et al., 2016). Discernible microbial community patterns at baseline are shown to predict increased risk of inflammatory disease onset in a skin model (van Rensburg et al., 2015). Similarly, whether some microbial “traits” in the oral microbiome are associated with past disease experience or future risk is not established. Subgingival microbiota is most relevant to periodontitis. Distinguishable subgingival microbial clusters have been associated with active chronic periodontitis (Kirst et al., 2014), but not consistently (Koren et al., 2013). Others found subgingival plaque microbiomes did not vary measurably after periodontal therapy (Schwarzberg et al., 2014). Several investigations have shown that salivary microbial profiles can also differentiate both active caries and periodontal disease (Yang et al., 2011; Belstrøm et al., 2015; Chen H. et al., 2015). One plausible reason for these findings is the passage of microbiota from periodontal pockets and carious lesions into saliva. In salivary flora, the proportion of taxa shared with dental plaque is minor but seems sufficient to discriminate disease. As such, the salivary microbiome composition has been found comparable to pooled subgingival plaque in terms of its “representativeness” of the subgingival microbiota (Belstrøm et al., 2017). Others, however, have noted no significant impact of periodontal disease or periodontal therapy on salivary microbiota and attributed this to a low volume of microbial ingress from the subgingival niche (Yamanaka et al., 2012; Takedsita et al., 2016). A previous study from our group found no significant differences in the salivary burden of specific periodontal pathogen abundances between treated, clinically resolved chronic periodontitis and healthy groups (Acharya et al., 2015). However, if no differences existed in the salivary microbiome assemblages between these two groups was unknown. The host-microbiome link is bi-directional, and as inherent features of oral microbiota affect immune programming (Madhwani and McBain, 2016), they may also associate with susceptibility to infection. A stable “core” oral microbial community structure that persists over years has been demonstrated (David et al., 2014) and such ecological stability is linked closely to an individual’s salivary composition (Rosier et al., 2018). Plaque biofilm accumulation and its consequent compositional shift to a dysbiotic state is key to the initiation of periodontitis-associated inflammation in susceptible individuals. In resilient individuals, host-immune traits such as salivary nitrate levels and microbial community traits such as bacteriocin levels or anti-inflammatory cytokine stimulation may sufficiently counter disease-related shifts (Rosier et al., 2018). In a carbohydrate challenge experiment, Benítez-Páez et al. (2014) found a caries-resistant individual did not show microbial community shifts similar to caries-susceptible individuals. Arguably, inherent microbial susceptibility “traits” may be reflected in states of clinically resolved periodontal disease. Salivary microbiome differences between well-treated periodontitis and healthy subjects with comparable periodontal health could potentially reflect stable ecological patterns that predict past or future periodontal disease.

Any analysis of microbiome features is highly sensitive to the level of taxonomic resolution achieved. The standard OTU based taxonomy assignment pipelines for 16S rRNA sequence data provide genus-level resolution and have comprised the dominant approach in saliva microbiome studies using 16S rRNA sequencing (Schloss and Westcott, 2011). A novel pipeline reported a taxonomic resolution to the species–level using oral 16S rRNA reads (Al-Hebshi et al., 2015, 2016). This approach was based on the extended human oral microbiome database (HOMD) (Chen et al., 2010). Improved taxonomic feature resolution may enable better discrimination of microbial community fingerprints. In the present study, we profiled the salivary microbiomes of a Hong Kong Chinese cohort using a species-level resolution approach and sought the discriminant species of treated, well-maintained periodontitis from periodontally healthy cases.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subject Selection

39 Hong Kong Chinese (HK) adult subjects enrolled in a routine dental maintenance program as a part of a previous multicenter study were (Acharya et al., 2015). All study procedures were conducted at The Prince Philip Dental Hospital, Hong Kong after obtaining Institutional Ethical Committee Approval (HKU/HA: UW 13359) and were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (World Medical Association, 2013). Subjects who had been treated for any caries and periodontal disease, and had completed all active therapies, with the restorative phase completed for at least 1 year, were eligible for recruitment. Inclusion criteria were: age > 30 years and good general health. Exclusion criteria were: presence of >3 residual pockets with PPD > 4 mm, past or current smoking or tobacco chewing, pregnancy, regular use of any medication, having a history of trauma, dental extractions, oral infections, antibiotic, steroidal or osteroidal anti-inflammatory drug consumption within the...
past 3 months, known systemic diseases (HIV, tuberculosis, hepatitis, known history of any other infectious disease, diabetes mellitus, ischemic heart disease, hypertension, thyroid or other hormonal disorders, autoimmune disease, and cancer) or history of radiation therapy. Subjects were categorized based on their past dental records. A past diagnosis of chronic periodontitis diagnosis at their initial visit according to clinical criteria by Armitage (1999) was categorized as periodontitis (P). Subjects were categorized as healthy (H) if diagnosed as periodontally healthy at the initial visit.

Saliva Collection, DNA Purification, and Sequencing

Unstimulated whole saliva was collected during the morning as described before (Acharya et al., 2015). In brief, unstimulated saliva was collected before 11 a.m. in the morning. Subjects had refrained from eating or drinking for a minimum of 1 h before saliva collection. Saliva was allowed to passively pool intraorally and was expectorated into sterile collection tubes, which were kept on ice and immediately transported for storage at −80°C until further analysis. Saliva samples were thawed, equilibrated to room temperature before DNA extraction. Salivary cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 10 min and the supernatant was carefully removed. Salivary genomic DNA was extracted using the column extraction method with the QiAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit®, following the manufacturer’s instructions. The DNA concentration was assessed using a NanoDrop® spectrophotometer and a Qubit® Fluorometer, while its integrity was determined using agarose gel electrophoresis. 16S rRNA V3-4 gene amplification and MiSeq (300 base-paired end) sequencing was performed using the standard Illumina dual-indexing protocol (Fadrosh et al., 2014). The primer sequences used were; Forward: CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG Reverse: TACNVGGGTATC

Data Analysis

Sequencing data were quality filtered. Demultiplexing, barcode, linker-primer sequences trimming, and raw reads quality filtering (minimum Q-value = 20, with removal of reads with 20% or higher of read original length with low quality, removal of reads contaminated by adapter, with ambiguous or N basecall, and those with low complexity as indicated by 10 consecutive same bases) was done using MISEQ reporter (v 2.0) software. Raw FASTQ sequences were deposited in the SRA BioProject database (BioProject ID Number PRJNA337949). Samples with <500 read counts were excluded in all analysis. Read pairs were merged to single sequences with a script (join_paired_ends.py) provided by the Quantitative Insights into Microbial Ecology (QIIME) package version 1.9.1 (Caporaso et al., 2010), using default settings. The merged reads were then taxonomically assigned to the species-level based on a previously published algorithm (Al-Hebshi et al., 2015), with additional steps to further identify potential novel species. The taxonomy assignment pipeline is depicted in Figure 1. Briefly, merged sequence reads were BLASTN-searched against a panel of full-length 16S rRNA sequences. These consisted of 889 sequences from HOMD RefSeqV14.51, 495 from HOMD RefSeqExtended V1.1, 3,940 from GreenGeneGold, and 18,620 from NCBI 16S rRNA Reference. The version of the 16S rRNA gene reference sequence database used by this manuscript is dated 20170422 and is available online together with the documentation: http://www.homd.org/ftp/NGS_Pipeline/Species_Level_BLASTN/.

This combined reference set has a total 20,699 sequences and represents a total of 13,640 oral and non-oral microbial species. The first step was a BLASTN-search with a matching criteria of ≥98% identity and ≥98% read length coverage. Reads matching multiple species or unmatched reads were further processed where de novo Chimera were filtered using USEARCH (Edgar, 2010) with (98% identity cutoff), short reads (<200 nt), and singletons were removed. De-novo OTUs (operational taxonomy units) were clustered at a 98% identity cutoff. USEARCH (version v8.1.1861_i86linux32) was used for taxonomy assignment and those with <98% match were discarded. If sequences with the same scientific name had different upper-level taxonomy nomenclature in the combined reference set, we choose a single taxonomy with the following priority: HOMD+HOME-EXT, GreenGene Gold, and then NCBI Taxonomy. Manual curation was also performed to resolve issues including mis-spelling, non-alpha numeric characters, and missing information. The algorithm for taxonomy assignment is illustrated in Figure 1 and documented in the online resource: http://www.homd.org/ftp/NGS_Pipeline/Species_Level_BLASTN/20170422/Species_Level_BLASTN_QIIME_Pipeline_Doc_20170422.pdf.

After the taxonomy assignment, species-level OTUs were subject to down-stream bioinformatics analyses, including alpha and beta diversity analyses using the QIIME pipeline. Species-level taxonomic plots were generated. Alpha diversity measures were computed, rarefaction curves were drawn and comparisons made between P and H groups with linear regression controlling for Age, Gender, and BOP as co-predictors. Beta diversity measures, phylogenetic (weighted and unweighted unifrac) and Bray-Curtis metrics were determined, Principal coordinate analysis plots were drawn and comparisons between P and H categories made using permutational multivariate analysis of variance using “Adonis” (R package “vegan” version 2.2-1) ($p$-values generated at 5,000 permutations). Similar comparisons were made to analyse community differences related to Gender, Age group, and BOP.

To determine species associated with subject characteristics, 2 approaches were adopted using the species-level OTU abundance table as input. Firstly, the differential abundance (DA) of species between sample groupings (Periodontal status; P vs. H, Gender: Male vs. Female, Age: >50 years vs. ≤50 years, BOP: >25% vs. ≤25%) were each determined using DESeq2 with $p$-value adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini–Hochberg method (cut-off = adjusted $p < 0.05$) (Love et al., 2014). Secondly, “indicator species” were determined by multi-level pattern analysis. For this purpose, the “multipatt” and “indval.g” functions in the R package “Indicspecies” were used (De Caceres
The indicator approach measures the predictive ability of community features for a group category (McGeoch and Chown, 1998). The indicator statistic represents the probability of group fidelity. Ideal indicator species are characterized by high exclusivity and fidelity. The function “indVal.g” accounts for unbalanced between-
group size variances. Indicator species with significant 
$p$-values ($<0.05$, computed using 5,000 permutations) were
determined (De Cáceres et al., 2012).

**RESULTS**

**Clinical Data, Sequencing Output, Processing and Taxonomy Assignment**

Salivary microbiomes of 35 subjects were analyzed after 4
subjects were excluded due to having <500 reads per sample.
The read count details are presented in Table S1. The clinical
and demographic data for these 35 subjects are summarized in
Table 1. A total of 63,834 assigned reads and 418 species-level
taxa were determined at $\geq 98\%$ sequence identity and $\geq 98\%$
read length coverage to the reference sequences. Of these, 56,399
reads were assigned to 358 single species, 7,413 reads were
assigned to 51 taxa that contain multiple species due to tied
sequence identity to reference sequences of multiple sequences,
and 22 were assigned to 6 potential novel species due to $<98\%$
sequence identity to all the reference sequences (Table S2). The
genera with the most number of taxa assigned with multiple
species were *Fusobacterium* (11 species, ranging from 2 to 5
dspecies), *Streptococcus* (11 species, ranging from 2 to 15 species),
and *Prevotella* (6 species, ranging from 2 to 4 species). Six novel
species were assigned to a very small number (22) of reads: *Flavobacteria* genera, *Bergeyella* sp. *Oral* taxon 907 (97.42%
identity) and *Capnocytophaga* multispecies (96.35% identity),
*Clostridiales* multispecies (96.21% identity), *Sporomusoaceae*
multispecies (87.50% identity), *Leptotrichia* multispecies (97.99%
identity), *Neisseria* sp. *Oral* taxon 018 (97.67% identity). The
number of species observed per sample ranged from 43 to 186
(mean = 89.1, $sd$ = 29.0). Species shared among individuals
were considered as representing a “core microbiome.” Seventy-
ine species were shared by 50% individuals and 26 by 80%
individuals including species from *Streptococcus*, *Gemella*,
*Rothia*, *Actinomyces*, *Fusobacterium*, *Leptotrichia*, *Prevotella*,
*Porphyromonas*, *Neisseria*, *Capnocytophaga*, *Haemophilus*
and *Lautropia* (Figure 2). Five species were present in 95%
individuals; *Rothia* mucilaginosa, *Prevotella* melaninogenica,
*Streptococcus* multispecies spp24_14, *Veillonella* parvula,
*Haemophilus* parainfluenzae (Table S3).

**Community Differences Between Periodontitis and Health Groups**

There were no significant differences observed in mean alpha
diversity measures for the P and H groups, which was reflected
in the rarefaction plots (Figures 3A,B). However, the P group
showed lower alpha diversity measures compared to the H

---

**TABLE 1 | Clinical and demographic characteristics.**

| Group          | H ($n = 20$) | P ($n = 15$) | Comparison | Total ($n = 35$) |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|------------|-----------------|
| Mean Age (st.dev) (years) | 47.3 (14.5)  | 60.9 (7.0)   | $W = 62.5$, $p = 0.003^*$ | 53.8 (13.6)   |
| Gender         | 15F, 5M     | 11F, 4M     | $X^2 = 0.013$, $p = 1$    | 26F, 9M       |
| Mean % BOP positive sites (st.dev) | 15.4 (13.8)  | 16.7 (20.1)  | $W = 157.5$, $p = 0.82$   | 16.0 (15.5)   |

$W$, Mann Whitney Wilcoxon's test statistic; $X^2$, Chi-squared test statistic; *$p < 0.05$, significant.*

**FIGURE 2 | Phylogenetic relationship of 21 species shared by 80% of the subjects. Phylotypes assigned to single HOMD entity are shown. Five phylotypes assigned to multiple species are not shown (Granulicatella multispecies spp49 2, Streptococcus, multispecies spp1 2, Streptococcus multispecies spp24 14, Streptococcus multispecies spp32 2).**
group. Linear regression analysis (controlling for the effects of age, gender, and BOP) showed that a periodontal disease status did not have a significant impact on salivary alpha diversity measures (Table 2, Table S4). Comparison of beta diversity measures; Bray–Curtis ($f = 0.56, p = 0.93$) and Unifrac measures (unweighted Unifrac: $f = 0.78, p = 0.66$; weighted Unifrac: $f = 0.25, p = 0.97$) revealed no significant differences in community composition between the P and H groups. Correspondingly, no evident clustering of P or H cases was noted in the ordination plots (Figure 4). Similarly, no significant differences in beta diversity were associated with gender, BOP or Age categories (age > 50 years, age ≤ 50 years).

Figure 5 shows the mean relative abundances and phylogenetic relationships of the indicator and DA species that discriminated the P and H groups. Using DeSeq2, 4 species were found to be significantly more abundant in the P group as compared to the H group (Table 3, Table S5). These were Treponema sp. oral taxon 237, TM7 sp. Oral Taxon A56,
Prevotella sp. oral taxon 314, Prevotella sp. oral taxon 304, Capnocytophaga leadbetteri. However, no species had significant differences in abundance between males and females, or between the two age categories. A BOP value of >25% was associated with a significantly higher abundance of Fusobacterium multispecies spp 48_2 (log2 fold change = 2.32, adjusted p < 0.001). Indicator analysis showed 7 species as significant indicators of the P group (Table 4, Table S6). Among these, 6 were negative indicators whereas Fusobacterium sp oral taxon 370 was the only positive indicator. Four species emerged as significant H group indicators (Table 4). TM7 G.1 sp oral taxon 347 and Fusobacterium sp oral taxon 370 had low sensitivity but high positive predictive values (>0.9) for P group.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we conducted an exploratory investigation to assess the salivary microbiome in P and H subjects within a Hong Kong Chinese cohort. The pattern of shared “core” species was similar to past reports (Takeshita et al., 2016; Mason et al., 2018) and particularly resonated with a previous report in Chinese subjects (Huang et al., 2011). The use of a smaller, curated reference sequence set may have enabled better accuracy of species-level taxonomical assignment. Arguably, higher taxonomic resolution may improve the discrimination of host trait-microbiota associations. We noted significant differences in the relative abundances of select salivary species and identified several indicator species discriminatory of P and H groups.

The ordination or beta diversity analysis of our data appeared to be in agreement with Takeshita et al. (2016) who found no association between salivary microbiome composition and periodontal disease. However, unconstrained beta diversity ordinations reflect only the major sources of variation in composition. Salivary community diversity measures were not significantly linked to any of the clinical and demographic variables, although a trend for lower alpha diversity was notable in the P group. The small sample and restrictive inclusion criteria very likely limit the detection of differences in microbiome composition related to subject background. As such, most subject characteristics have small effect-sizes on microbiome composition and very few factors like geographical location and lifestyle are known to have large effect sizes (Lazarevic et al., 2010).

P group subjects were significantly older but did not differ in levels of BOP from H subjects and had 3 or less periodontal pockets, reflecting well-resolved periodontitis with low levels of residual disease activity. Treated periodontitis cases can vary in the level of residual periodontal disease. As influx from inflamed subgingival niches is likely to be a major source of periodontitis-associated bacteria in saliva (Saygun et al., 2011; Belstrøm et al., 2015), the selection criteria were designed to exclude P subjects with significant numbers of residual periodontal pockets. Similar levels of residual inflammation and lack of residual pockets in the P group could be one factor why no major ecological differences from H subjects were noted. The most dominant factor in microbiome composition is interpersonal variation (Lazarevic et al., 2010).

&FIGURE 4 | Principal coordinate ordination plots based on the weighted Unifrac beta diversity distance. P, blue color; H, red color. No clustering of P and H samples was evident.
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FIGURE 5 | Phylogenetic relationship of Indicator (H indicators in green, P indicators in red) and DA species (in orange). Bars represent mean relative abundances.

et al., 2010). A large prospective study analyzed microbial communities in periodontal disease and health (Chen et al., 2018) and found disease-linked microbiomes are more heterogeneous in both pre- and post-treatment situations. Further studies are needed to understand the extent to which salivary microbiomes may be stable and resistant to periodontal treatment.

We used two approaches to analyse microbial features that distinguished the P and H categories. The DESeq2 approach utilized here is more robust in small sample sizes as compared to non-parametric approaches. The “indicator species” analysis is based on the “Indicator Value” index (De Caceres and Legendre, 2009) which reflects the association of a feature with grouping category. None of the significant indicator species were “ideal” or had sensitivity and specificity values close to 1. While these would need validation in larger cohorts, this finding may also reflect the difficulty of individual microbial features which strongly reflect a periodontitis phenotype, considering the complex, polymicrobial nature of the disease and variations in both health and disease linked microbiome compositions. Despite comparable levels of gingival health, the P group was distinguishable by specific salivary microbial species, which largely aligned with past reports (Abusleme et al., 2013; Pérez-Chaparro et al., 2014). Among the
significantly raised species in P, *Treponema sp. oral taxon 237* has been implicated as a periodontal pathogen (Abusleme et al., 2013). Two *Prevotella* species *Prevotella sp. oral taxon 314* and *Prevotella sp. oral taxon 304* were significantly more abundant in P subjects. *Prevotella* species have previously been associated with both periodontal health and disease. A considerable amount of species and strain level genomic diversity (Ibrahim et al., 2017), as well as variability in their response to periodontal therapy, is documented (Schwarzberg et al., 2014). An increase in salivary anaerobic species in treated periodontitis may imply greater potential systemic risk due to extraoral translocation (Endo et al., 2015; Vieira Colombo et al., 2016). Some *Prevotella* species, in particular, have been linked to new-onset rheumatoid arthritis (Scher et al., 2012). A past study, reported the anaerobic bacillus *Capnocytophaga leadbetteri* in periodontitis and hematological infections but not in periodontally healthy mouths (Ehrmann et al., 2013).

*Fusobacterium sp oral taxon 370* has been linked to fermentative function, and has been found to be raised in periodontal disease (Dabdoub et al., 2016). Furthermore, *Fusobacterium multispecies spp48_2* species was significantly more abundant in subjects with high BOP, independent of past periodontal status. With the exception of *Fusobacterium sp oral taxon 370*, all other P state indicator species were “negative” indicators characterized by greater mean abundance in H subjects. Indicator analysis identified several species that were lower in P as having significant indicator value. Notably, several of these negative indicator species were from genera previously identified as associated with periodontitis (Pérez-Chaparro et al., 2014), which seems somewhat paradoxical considering they were found in lower relative abundance in resolved disease compared to health. Hypothetically, it may reflect differences in microbiome assembly in plaque and saliva caused by periodontal therapy (Chen et al., 2018). *Campylobacter concisus* emerged as a negative indicator for P cases. This species is recognized as oral commensal and implicated in gastric and inflammatory bowel disease (Liu et al., 2018). The oral cavity is considered a potential reservoir (Zhang et al., 2010). It can act as an opportunistic pathogen in immune-inflammatory and epithelial cell dysregulation (Deshpande et al., 2016; Brunner et al., 2018). A rise in its abundance after successful periodontitis therapy has been reported (Tanner et al., 1987), again supporting the premise that periodontal treatment may result in specific differences in microbiome composition. The indicator species *Lachnoanaerobaculum orale* lies within *Lachnospiraceae* which were previously reported by Chen L. et al. (2015) as inversely linked to caries in Chinese subjects. Some *Lachnospiraceae* members are newly recognized in periodontitis and some species correlate with gingival inflammation (Abusleme et al., 2013; Kistler et al., 2013). *Lachnospiraceae* contains many genera that are butyrate producers, a trait associated with anti-inflammatory properties in the gut (Lopetuso et al., 2013) but also with periodontitis progression (Tsuda et al., 2010). The low-abundance species *Atopobium parvulum* has been linked to halitosis (Kazor et al., 2003). Several *Haemophilus* species are members of core oral microbiome (Mok et al.,

### TABLE 3 | Differential abundance of significantly different species between P and H groups (DeSEQ2 test).

| Species | Log2 fold change P vs. H (se) | Test statistic | Adjusted p-value | Total reads assigned to species |
|---------|-------------------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| *Treponema sp. oral taxon 237* | 1.68 (0.41) | 4.15 | <0.01* | 56 |
| *TM7 sp. Oral Taxon A56* | 1.80 (0.45) | 4.01 | <0.01* | 519 |
| *Prevotella sp. oral taxon 314* | 1.54 (0.39) | 3.94 | <0.01* | 143 |
| *Prevotella sp. oral taxon 304* | 1.57 (0.41) | 3.86 | <0.01* | 62 |
| *Capnocytophaga leadbetteri* | 1.45 (0.42) | 3.43 | 0.02 * | 357 |

*p < 0.05, significant.

### TABLE 4 | Indicator species of P and H groups.

| Species | Test-statistic | p-value | Positive predictive value | Sensitivity | Total reads assigned to species |
|---------|----------------|---------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| *Leptotrichia buccalis* | 0.80 | 0.01* | 0.85 | 0.75 | 107 |
| *Corynebacterium matruchotii* | 0.79 | 0.03* | 0.82 | 0.75 | 128 |
| *Leptotrichia hofstadii* | 0.72 | 0.04* | 0.87 | 0.60 | 126 |
| *Streptococcus intermedius* | 0.71 | 0.03 * | 0.77 | 0.65 | 43 |
| **Group P (number of indicator species = 7)** | | | | | |
| *Campylobacter concisus* | 0.86 | 0.02* | 0.74 | 1.00 | 291 |
| *Atopobium parvulum* | 0.79 | 0.03* | 0.71 | 0.87 | 416 |
| *Leptotrichia sp oral taxon 215* | 0.76 | 0.04* | 0.79 | 0.73 | 213 |
| *Lachnoanaerobaculum orale* | 0.76 | 0.04* | 0.86 | 0.67 | 193 |
| *Haemophilus parahaemolyticus* | 0.74 | 0.03* | 0.82 | 0.67 | 127 |
| *TM7.G1 sp oral taxon 347* | 0.56 | 0.04* | 0.94 | 0.33 | 26 |
| *Fusobacterium sp oral taxon 370* | 0.55 | 0.04* | 0.90 | 0.33 | 16 |

*p < 0.05, significant.
2017). *TM7 G.1 sp oral taxon 347* was increased in subgingival plaque of active periodontal disease (Griffen et al., 2011). Overall, the indicator analysis seemed in particular agreement with a past report that found subgingival *Capnocytophaga, Corynebacterium, Haemophilus, Leptotrichia,* and *Streptococcus* had significant negative associations with clinical periodontal measures (Camelo-Castillo et al., 2015).

The present findings must be considered in light of the major limitations of the study; the subject groups were small, not balanced for size, demographics and past periodontal disease parameters including disease severity, which limit the generalizability of these results. Importantly, the small sample size of 35 allows only preliminary findings and these require validation in large sampled studies. Because of the primarily exploratory focus of this study, the downstream analysis was based on a minimum read count per species of 1 and aimed to capture the maximal ecological variation feasible. On the same lines, the 6 novel species reported were based on a very small number of reads. These findings may be considered as a basis for a hypothesis that variations in the oral microbiome related to a periodontitis phenotype are retained even after clinical disease resolution. Another question that arises is whether oral species can discriminate periodontally susceptible individuals before clinical disease onset or during very early disease. Longitudinal and interventional investigations in larger cohorts are essential to test such hypotheses. Translational implications of such findings could be the development of improved microbiome-based risk-markers or therapeutics, considering saliva is an attractive sampling biofluid. Other implications include an improved understanding of host-microbiome interactions in the context of periodontal disease. We did not analyse how the salivary ecological composition represented that of the dynamic salivary species. The exact biological relevance of these differences warrant further investigations. The issues of sampling variance and compositional nature of microbiome data need to be considered when viewing the generalizability of these results. Importantly, the small sample size of 35 allows only preliminary findings and these require validation in large sampled studies. Because of the primarily exploratory focus of this study, the downstream analysis was based on a minimum read count per species of 1 and aimed to capture the maximal ecological variation feasible. On the same lines, the 6 novel species reported were based on a very small number of reads. These findings may be considered as a basis for a hypothesis that variations in the oral microbiome related to a periodontitis phenotype are retained even after clinical disease resolution. Another question that arises is whether oral species can discriminate periodontally susceptible individuals before clinical disease onset or during very early disease. Longitudinal and interventional investigations in larger cohorts are essential to test such hypotheses. Translational implications of such findings could be the development of improved microbiome-based risk-markers or therapeutics, considering saliva is an attractive sampling biofluid. Other implications include an improved understanding of host-microbiome interactions in the context of periodontal disease. We did not analyse how the salivary ecological composition represented that of the subgingival niche, which should be addressed in future studies. The issues of sampling variance and compositional nature of microbiome data need to be considered when viewing the generalisability of the particular signature taxa, which were based on a single replicate in our study. Technical differences in saliva collection methods, storage, handling, DNA extraction, choice of sequencing technology or 16s rRNA gene hypervariable region, sequencing depth, and bioinformatic pipeline can each cause induce variation in the outcomes (Mascitti et al., 2019) and precludes a generalization of these specific findings. Here, we collected unstimulated saliva, which varies in its microbial profile from stimulated saliva and is shown to harbor a greater diversity of species (Gomar-Vercher et al., 2018). Besides, other influencing factors such as diet, body-mass index, and multiple lifestyle factors which impact microbiome assemblages were not considered in this preliminary analysis and could be a source of confounding. In addition, a single time point sampling precludes the differentiating between stable and dynamic salivary species. The exact biological relevance of the discriminant microbial features also remains unclear. While taxonomical information is valuable, functional aspects of the host-microbiome interaction are central to disease processes. Whole-genome, transcriptome, and metabolome approaches may better answer how saliva microbiome is linked to periodontal susceptibility.

**CONCLUSION**

Species-level analysis of the salivary microbiome in well-resolved periodontitis cases revealed no differences in global community diversity metrics as compared with periodontally healthy subjects. However, differentially abundant and indicator species were discriminable between these groups. These findings suggested differences in oral microbiota may persist despite acceptable clinical resolution of periodontitis. The nature and implication of these differences warrant further investigations.

**ETHICS STATEMENT**

This study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations of Declaration of Helsinki, World Medical Association with written informed consent from all subjects. All subjects gave written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of The University of Hong Kong/Hospital Authority Hong Kong West Cluster (HKU/HA HKW IRB) (ref: IRB number UW 13359).

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

AA aided the study design, collected the samples and clinical data, performed the laboratory steps, statistical analysis, and compiled the study findings as a manuscript. TC performed the sequence data processing, taxonomy assignments to the species-level and edited the manuscript. YC contributed to the study design and strategy for the statistical analysis. RW contributed to the study concept and design, supervision of laboratory steps, manuscript preparation and revision. NM contributed to the study concept and design, overall supervision, clinical management, and revised the manuscript. LJ contributed to the study concept and manuscript editing.

**FUNDING**

This study was funded by The University of Hong Kong.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors acknowledge The University of Hong Kong for financial support for this study and Dr. Mei Leng Koh for assistance in the clinical data collection.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00347/full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00347/full#supplementary-material)
REFERENCES

Abusleme, L., Dupuy, A. K., Dutzan, N., Silva, N., Burlson, J. A., Straublahg, L. D., et al. (2013). The subgingival microbiome in health and periodontitis and its relationship with community biomass and inflammation. ISME J. 7, 1016–1025. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.174

Acharya, A., Koh, M. L., Kheur, S., Watt, R. M., Jin, L., and Mattheos, N. (2015). Salivary IL-1β and red complex bacteria as predictors of the inflammatory status in sub-peri-implant niches of subjects with peri-implant mucositis. Clin. Oral Implants Res. 27, 662–667. doi: 10.1111/clr.12713

Al-Hebshi, N. N., Abdulquz, A., Albarrag, A., Basode, V. K., and Chen, T. (2016). Species-level core oral bacteriome identified by 16S rRNA pyrosequencing in a healthy young Arab population. J. Oral Microbiol. 8:31444. doi: 10.3402/jom.v8.31444

Al-Hebshi, N. N., Nashed, A. T., Idris, A. M., and Chen, T. (2015). Robust species taxonomy assignment algorithm for 16S rRNA NGS reads application to oral carcinoma samples. J. Oral Microbiol. 7:28934. doi: 10.3402/jom.v7.28934

Armitage, G. C. (1999). Development of a classification system for periodontal diseases and conditions. Ann. Periodontol. 4, 1–6. doi: 10.1902/annals.1999.4.1.1

Belstrøm, D., Sembler-Møller, M. L., Grande, M. A., Kirkby, N., Cotton, S. L., Belstrøm, D., Holmstrup, P., Bardow, A., Kokaras, A., Fiehn, N.-E., and Paster, B. J. (2013). The subgingival microbiome in health and periodontitis and its relationship with community biomass and inflammation. ISME J. 7, 1016–1025. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.174

De Caceres, M., and Legende, P. (2009). Associations between species and groups of sites: indices and statistical inference. Ecology 90, 3566–3574. doi: 10.1890/08-1823.1

De Caceres, M., Legende, P., Wiser, S. K., and Brotons, L. (2012). Using species combinations in indicator value analyses. Methods Ecol. Evol. 3, 973–982. doi: 10.1111/j.2041-210X.2012.00246.x

Deshpande, N. P., Wilkins, M. R., Castaño-Rodríguez, N., Bainbridge, E., Sodhi, N., Riordan, S. M., et al. (2016). Campylobacter concisus pathotypes induce distinct global responses in intestinal epithelial cells. Sci. Rep. 6:34288. doi: 10.1038/srep34288

Edgar, R. C. (2010). Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. Bioinformatics 26, 2460–2461. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq461

Ehrmann, E., Handal, T., Tamanai-Shacoori, Z., Bonnare-Mallet, M., and Fosse, T. (2013). High prevalence of -lactam and macrolide resistance genes in human oral Capnocytophaga species. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 68, 381–384. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkt350

Endo, S., Mishima, E., Takeuchi, Y., Ohi, T., Ishida, M., Yanai, M., et al. (2015). Periodontitis-associated septic pulmonary embolism caused by Actinomyces species identified by anaerobic culture of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid: a case report. BMC Infect. Dis. 15:552. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-1286-0

Fadros, D. M., Ba, B., Gajer, P., Sengamalay, N., Ott, S., Brotman, R. M., et al. (2014). An improved dual-indexing approach for multiplexed 16S rRNA gene sequencing on the Illumina MiSeq platform. Microbiome 2:6. doi: 10.1186/2049-2618-2-6

Gomar-Vercher, S., Simó-Soro, A., Montoli-Company, J. M., Almerich-Silla, J. M., and Mira, A. (2018). Stimulated and unstimulated saliva samples have significantly different bacterial profiles. PLoS ONE 13:e0198021. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0198021

Griffen, A. L., Beall, C. J., Campbell, J. H., Firestone, N. D., Kumar, P. S., Yang, Z. K., et al. (2011). Distinct and complex bacterial profiles in human periodontitis and health revealed by 16S pyrosequencing. ISME J. 6, 1176–1185. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.191

Huang, S., Yang, F., Zeng, X., Chen, J., Li, R., Wen, T., et al. (2011). Preliminary characterization of the oral microbiota of Chinese adults with and without gingivitis. BMC Oral Health 11:33. doi: 10.1186/1472-6831-11-33

Ibrahim, M., Subramanian, A., and Anishetty, S. (2017). Comparative pan genome analysis of oral Prevotella species implicated in periodontitis. Funct. Integr. Genomics 17, 513–536. doi: 10.1007/s10142-017-0550-3

Kazor, C. E., Mitchell, P. M., Lee, A. M., Stokes, L. N., Loesch, W. J., Dewhirst, F. E., et al. (2003). Diversity of bacterial populations on the tongue dorsa of patients with halitosis and healthy patients. J. Clin. Microbiol. 41, 558–563. doi: 10.1128/jcm.41.2.558-563.2003

Kirst, M. E., Li, E. C., Alfant, B., Chi, Y.-Y., Walker, C., Magnusson, I., et al. (2014). Dysbiosis and alterations in predicted functions of the subgingival microbiome in chronic periodontitis. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 80, 783–793. doi: 10.1128/aem.02712-14

Kistler, J. O., Booth, V., Bradshaw, D. J., and Wade, W. G. (2013). Bacterial community development in experimental gingivitis. PLoS ONE 8:e71227. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0071227

Koren, O., Knights, D., Gonzalez, A., Waldron, L., Segata, N., et al. (2014). Analysis of oral Prevotella species implicated in periodontitis. Funct. Integr. Genomics 14, 1–6. doi: 10.1007/s10142-017-0550-3

Kirst, M. E., Li, E. C., Alfant, B., Chi, Y.-Y., Walker, C., Magnusson, I., et al. (2014). Dysbiosis and alterations in predicted functions of the subgingival microbiome in chronic periodontitis. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 80, 783–793. doi: 10.1128/aem.02712-14

Kistler, J. O., Booth, V., Bradshaw, D. J., and Wade, W. G. (2013). Bacterial community development in experimental gingivitis. PLoS ONE 8:e71227. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0071227

Koren, O., Knights, D., Gonzalez, A., Waldron, L., Segata, N., Knight, R., et al. (2013). A guide to enterotypes across the human body: meta-analysis of microbial community structures in human microbiome datasets. PLoS Comput. Biol. 9:e1002863. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002863

Lazarovic, V., Whitson, K., Hernandez, D., Francois, P., and Schrenzel, J. (2010). Study of inter- and intra-individual variations in the salivary microbiota. BMC Genomics 11:523. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-11-523

Liu, F., Ma, R., Wang, Y., and Zhang, L. (2018). The clinical importance of Campylobacter concisus and other human host Campylobacter Species. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 8:243. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2018.00243

Lopetuso, L. R., Scaldaferri, F., Petito, V., and Gasbarrini, A. (2013). Commensal Clostridia: leading players in the maintenance of gut homeostasis. Gut Pathog. 5:23. doi: 10.1186/1757-4749-5-23

Love, M. I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 15:550. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

Madhwani, T., and McBain, A. J. (2016). The application of magnetic bead selection to investigate interactions between the oral microbiota and salivary immunoglobulins. PLoS ONE 11:e0158288. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0158288

Silla, J. M., and Mira, A. (2018). Stimulated and unstimulated saliva groups of sites: indices and statistical inference. Ecology 90, 3566–3574. doi: 10.1890/08-1823.1
Mascitti, M., Togni, L., Troiano, G., Caponio, V., Gissi, D. B., Montebognoli, L., et al. (2019). Beyond head and neck cancer: the relationship between oral microbiota and tumour development in distant organs. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 9:232. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2019.00232

Mason, M. R., Chambers, S., Dabdoub, S. M., Thikkurissy, S., and Kumar, P. S. (2018). Characterizing oral microbrial communities across dentition states and colonization niches. *Microbiome* 6:67. doi: 10.1186/s40468-018-0443-2

McGeoch, M. A., and Chown, S. L. (1998). Scaling up the value of bioindicators. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 13, 46–47.

Mok, S. F., Karuthan, C., Cheah, Y. K., Ngeow, W. C., Rosnah, Z., Yap, S. F., et al. (2017). The oral microbiome community variations associated with normal, potentially malignant disorders and malignant lesions of the oral cavity. *Malays. J. Pathol.* 39, 1–15.

Pérez-Chaparro, P. J., Gonçalves, C., Figueiredo, L. C., Faveri, M., Lobão, E., Tamashiro, N., et al. (2014). Newly identified pathogens associated with periodontitis. *J. Dent. Res.* 93, 846–858. doi: 10.1177/002203451452468

Rosier, B. T., Marsh, P. D., and Mira, A. (2018). Resilience of the oral microbiota in health: mechanisms that prevent dysbiosis. *J. Dent. Res.* 97, 371–380. doi: 10.1177/0022034517742139

Saygun, I., Nizam, N., Keskiner, I., Bal, V., Kubar, A., Açikel, C., et al. (2011). Salivary infectious agents and periodontal disease status. *J. Periodontal Res.* 46, 235–239. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0765.2010.01335.x

Scher, J. U., Ubeda, C., Equinda, M., Khanin, R., Buischi, Y., Viale, A., et al. (2012). Periodontal disease and the oral microbiota in new-onset rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 64, 3083–3094. doi: 10.1002/art.34539

Schloss, P. D., and Westcott, S. L. (2011). Assessing and improving methods used in operational taxonomic unit-based approaches for 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 3219–3226. doi: 10.1128/aem.02810-10

Schwarzberg, K., Le, R., Bharti, B., Lindsay, S., Casaburi, G., Salvatore, F., et al. (2014). The personal oral human microbiome obscures the effects of treatment on periodontal disease. *PLoS ONE* 9:e86708. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0086708

Takekita, T., Kageyama, S., Furuta, M., Tsuibo, H., Takeuchi, K., Shibata, Y., et al. (2016). Bacterial diversity in saliva and oral health-related conditions: the Hisayama Study. *Sci. Rep.* 6:22164. doi: 10.1038/srep22164

Tanner, A. C., Drink, J. L., Ebersole, J. L., and Socransky, S. S. (1987). *Wolinella recta, Campylobacter concisus*, Bacteroides gracilis, and *Eikenella corrodens* from periodontal lesions. *J. Periodontal Res.* 22, 327–330. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0765.1987.tb01593.x

Tsuda, H., Ochiai, K., Suzuki, N., and Otsuka, K. (2010). Butyrate, a bacterial metabolite, induces apoptosis and autophagic cell death in gingival epithelial cells. *J. Periodontal Res.* 45, 626–634. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0765.2010.01277.x

van Rensburg, J. J., Lin, H., Gao, X., Toh, E., Fortney, K. R., Ellinger, S., et al. (2015). The human skin microbiome associates with the outcome of and is influenced by bacterial infection. *MBio* 6:e01315-15. doi: 10.1128/mbio.01315-15

Vieira Colombo, A. P., Magalhães, B. C., Hartenbach, F. A. R. R., Martins do Souto, R., and Maciel da Silva-Boghossian, C. (2016). Periodontal-disease-associated biofilm: a reservoir for pathogens of medical importance. *Microb. Pathog.* 94, 27–34. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2015.09.009

World Medical Association (2013). World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA* 310, 2191–2194. doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.281053

Yamanaka, W., Takeshita, T., Shibata, Y., Matsuo, K., Eshima, N., Yokoyama, T., et al. (2012). Compositional stability of a salivary bacterial population against supragingival microbial shift following periodontal therapy. *PLoS ONE* 7:e42806. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0042806

Yang, F., Zeng, X., Ning, K., Liu, K.-L., Lo, C.-C., Wang, W., et al. (2011). Saliva microbiomes distinguish caries-active from healthy human populations. *ISME J.* 6, 1–10. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.71

Zhang, L., Budiman, V., Day, A. S., Mitchell, H., Lemberg, D. A., Riordan, S. M., et al. (2010). Isolation and detection of *Campylobacter concisus* from saliva of healthy individuals and patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 48, 2965–2967. doi: 10.1128/jcm.02391-09

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

*Copyright © 2019 Acharya, Chen, Chan, Watt, Jin and Mattheos. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.*