Radiological Efficacy of Cervical Lateral Mass Screw Insertion and Rod Fixation by Modified Magerl's Method (Yoon's Method) with Minimum 2 Years of Follow-up
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Objective: Cervical lateral mass screw insertion and rod fixation is a useful method for stabilizing the cervical disease, so various modified techniques were present. Many surgeons had reported the biomechanical safety according to the screw positioning method in the cervical spine, but the modified Magerl's method (Yoon's method) was not well studied. So, this study assessed the radiological efficacy of the modified Magerl's method with long-term follow-up.

Methods: This study retrospectively reviewed 323 lateral mass screws of 50 patients who had followed-up at least 2 years. Radiologic data were analyzed as parameters of complications after operation, including kyphotic or lordotic change, bone fusion, pull-out or malposition of screw, foraminal stenosis, adjacent disc degeneration or aggravation, pseudoarthrosis, and vertebral artery injury.

Results: The mean follow-up period was 32 (24 to 52) months. There were kyphotic changes in 4.0% (2 of 50 cases). Unsuccessful bone fusion occurred in 4.0% (2 of 50 cases). Among the 323 screws, screw pull-out (4.0%, 2 of 50 cases, 3 of 323 screws), foraminal invasion (1.2% of total screws), and facet injury (0.6% of total screws) occurred.

Conclusion: The lateral mass screw insertion and rod fixation by the modified Magerl's method (Yoon's method) is a safe and reliable technique with low rate of complication related to instruments in minimum 2 years follow-up.
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INTRODUCTION

Posterior cervical fixation by lateral mass screw insertion and rod fixation has been frequently used to manage instability caused by trauma, extensive laminectomy state, and destruction by tumors. This technique was first described by Roy-Camille et al.[21], and several modified techniques of lateral mass screw insertion had been introduced to avoid the related complications. Among them, the most common techniques for lateral mass screw insertion were the Roy-Camille and Magerl's techniques.[8,14,19] Based on these techniques, new insertion angle techniques for deep screw insertion were modified, and their clinical and radiological safety data had been reported previously. But, Yoon's method, one of the modified Magerl's technique, was not precisely studied in long-term follow-up yet, although it was reported safe method[27]. For this reason, this study assessed the radiological efficacy of the cervical lateral mass screw insertion and rod fixation by Yoon's method with minimum 2 years follow-up.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This retrospective analysis study reviewed total 50 consecutive patients who were treated by lateral mass screw insertion and rod fixation between January 2005 and December 2007. The cases presented cover multiple pathologies including 14 cases of fracture/dislocation, 3 cases of spondylolysis, 5 cases of ossification of posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL), 16 cases of cord contusion, and 12 cases of tumors. These all patients were operated on by a surgeon (Yoon SH), and total 323 screws of all patients were treated with the modified
Magerl’s method according to the modified trajectory described by Yoon et al.27.

Cervical lateral mass screw insertion and rod fixation was done as usual method of posterior cervical fusion. All patients were taken a prone position with the head hold slightly flexed using three-pin skull fixation. Standard midline incision was performed and all cervical lateral masses of interest were exposed to facilitate fusion and allow for accurate screw trajectory. The lateral masses were drilled and tapered using the technique of lateral screw insertion trajectories described by a modified Magerl’s technique (Yoon’s method). The entry point was initiated at a point 1mm medial and 1mm superior to the mid-portion of the lateral mass, and preceded along a course 20-30° cephalic and about 20° laterals from C3 to C7. There were 12 cases of transpedicle screw insertion on the thoracic spine due to a C6-7 level injury, but thoracic screws were not included in this study. After lateral screw inserted to lesion sites, rods were connected along the screws. Arthrodesis was completed by burring the exposed bone surfaces and placing allogene bone graft into and around the lateral mass. After surgery, Philadelphia collar was routinely applied to all patients for neck protection and motion limitation to promote the cervical fusion until 6 to 8 weeks after the operation.

Postoperative assessment of lateral mass screw insertion and rod fixation was performed according to the previous study11. Routine neurological assessment was performed after surgery. At 1, 6, 12 and 24 months after surgery, routine anterior-posterior and flexion-extension lateral radiographs were obtained for each visit to our clinic, and we checked the sagittal angle of lateral mass screws on both sides and the presence of screw loosening or breakage. A thin section CT scan focused on the lateral mass fixed area during immediate and last follow-up periods, and the lateral angle of the lateral mass screws on both sides and the position of the screw tip in relation to the vertebral foramen were recorded. The screws with the tip penetrated into the vertebral foramen (foraminal invasion) or into the spinal canal (cord invasion) were defined as mal-position of screw. Cervical lordosis or the instrument level angle were checked by the sagittal Cobb’s angle between C2 and C7 (Fig. 1A) or the upper and lower endplate of lateral mass fixated cervical levels (Fig. 1B) in the neutral cervical lateral radiographies. Cervical or segmental kyphosis of instrument level were defined as more than 10 degrees of the sagittal Cobb’s angle between C2 and C7 or 10 degrees up increment in sagittal Cobb’s angle between the upper and lower level of lateral mass fixated levels. In this study, the criterion for fusion is the presence of bony trabecular continuity between the vertebral bodies, and non-union was defined as a visible gap, graft collapse, and motion of greater than 5° with dynamic radiographies.

**RESULTS**

Total 50 patients (40 males and 10 females) were included in this study. The age of patients at the time of operation ranged from 16 to 80 years (mean 52 years). Mean follow-up period was 32 months (range 24 months to 52 months). There were no statistically difference between the surgical levels, composition of cervical disease, and sizes of cervical lateral masses of lesions by the age or sex of patients. Also, no neurologically deterioration was observed during the follow-up period. All patients were stabilized with screws and rods, and total screw cases were 323 screws implanted in 50 patients.

Cervical lordosis and segmental angle of instrument levels were summarized in Table 1. Cervical lordosis by Cobb angle between C7 and C7 was 18.81±10.72° in immediate post-operated status, and it significantly increased to 14.76±8.47° in 24 months follow-up (p<0.001). The instrument level Cobb angle was not significantly change in immediate post-operated and 24 months follow-up status (7.01±4.38°, 6.99±2.80°, respec-
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Fig. 2. Immediately after operation (A), the screws were well-positioned. Flexion lateral cervical spine radiographs (B) showing a pulled-out proximal screw (arrow).

Fig. 3. An axial image of a CT scan showing a screw which protruded into the vertebral foramen without vascular penetration. Further operation was not necessary.

Fig. 4. A sagittal section of a CT scan showing the malposition of a lateral mass screw, which violated the adjacent facet (arrow).

Fig. 5. An axial CT scan showing a screw which deviated toward the medial wall in the thoracic spine.

tively). Flexibilities checked by proximal and distal kyphosis were markedly increased from immediate operated status to follow-up. Proximal kyphosis was increased from 4.00±2.29° to 5.91±3.17° (p<0.001), and distal kyphoses was increased from 3.17±2.89° to 4.45±2.84° (p<0.001). Junctional kyphosis between immediate postoperative and last follow-up lateral x-ray was observed in 4.0% (2 of 50 cases) including one case with non-fusion. Unsuccessful bone fusion occurred in 4.0% (2 of 50 cases), but did not need further operation.

Among the 323 screws, screw pull-out was observed in 2 patients (4.0%) with 0.9% of case by screw rate (3 of 323 screws) (Fig. 2), foramen invasion without definite vertebral artery injury was exhibited in 1.2% (4 of 323 screws) (Fig. 3). The radiographs revealed facet injury occurred in 2 patients (0.6%) (Fig. 4). One patient experienced screw pull-out, foraminal stenosis, and aggravation of adjacent disc protrusion simultaneously. Other complications such as pseudoarthrosis and vertebral artery injury were not observed during this follow-up.

Fig. 4. An axial CT scan showing a screw which deviated toward the medial wall in the thoracic spine.

Fig. 5. An axial CT scan showing a screw which deviated toward the medial wall in the thoracic spine.

**DISCUSSION**

Since Roy-Camille first introduced cervical lateral mass screw fixation in 1979, many modified techniques for screw insertion, including Magerl, Anderson, An, and Yoon have been published in an effort to reduce the risk of neurovascular and facet injury. Previously introduced lateral mass screwing methods have reported some neurovascular complications related to the trajectory of screw. Heller et al. reported that biomechanical limitations of lateral mass screwing came from the small amount of bony purchase availability such as screw loosening or avulsion. Currently, many researchers have introduced a modified trajectory of the lateral mass screw to supplement the limitation of this method.

Heller et al. reported the result of 654 screws inserted in 78 patients. Complication rates as a function of the number of inserted screws which included nerve root injury, facet violations, vertebral artery injury, broken screw, screw avulsion, and screw loosening were below 1.1%. Lali reported that the complication rate was 0.7%.

The authors had introduced a modified lateral mass screwing method, a reduced sagittal (43.9±4.5°), and a lateral angle of screw (19.6±3.5°) performed with a concept based on Magerl’s method which resulted in a deeper depth of the screw (13.5±2.1 mm). The principle of this technique involved deeper insertion of screws safely while avoiding cord or root violation. This modified from Magerl’s technique ascertained evidence that our consecutive lateral mass screwing method was safe and efficient. In 50 patients, 323 lateral mass screws were inserted. The mean follow-up period was 32 (24
to 52) months. There were kyphotic changes in 4.0% (2 of 50 cases) and among 323 screws, screw pull-out (4% of 50 cases or 0.9% of total screws), foraminal invasion (1.2% of total screws), and facet injury (0.6% of total screws) occurred. There was no vertebral artery injury on postoperative CT scan or screw loosening on dynamic x-ray follow up. This study showed that lateral mass fixation is a safe and reliable method of posterior stabilization with a modified trajectory of the lateral mass screw.

Junctional kyphosis related to the thoracolumbar spinal fusion has been considered a major drawback and has been reported in several studies\(^5,16,20\). However, even though there is a long level fusion, posterior lateral mass screw fixation of the cervical spine has a lower rate of junctional problem than that of the lumbar spine. Heller et al. reported that the adjacent segment degeneration after cervical lateral mass screw fixation was 3.8%\(^{13}\), and Lali described the occurrence of adjacent segment degeneration as one in 143 patients (0.6%)\(^22\). In the current series, we found that junctional problems in cervical lateral mass fixation occurred at a rate of 4.0%. The main cause of this may be lower motion of the upper-lower lateral mass screw on the cervical spine than that on the lumbar spine or lower weight of loading on the cervical spine than on the lumbar spine.

Actually, the modern posterior cervical stabilizing techniques was divided into pedicle screw fixation and lateral mass screw fixation. Pedicle screw fixation of the cervical spine has been considered as an effective procedure for stabilizing unstable spine injury\(^9\). Most researchers report a high success rate of stabilization of the cervical spine after pedicle screw fixation however, it also has the potential to injure the nerve root or vertebral artery and there is a high mal-position rate of screw and therefore, the safety of this technique is still in doubt\(^20,26\). Abumi et al. reported complications of cervical pedicle screw fixation. For example, the pedicle perforation rate was 6.2% (45 out of 667 screws) and neurovascular complications occurred in two screws. Yoshimoto et al., Yukawa et al., and Kasts et al. reported the pedicle perforation rates were 11.1% (15 out of 134 screws), 14.3% (59 out of 417 screws), and 30% (28 out of 94 screws), respectively, including eight screws with critical breaching\(^2,15,28,29\).

Lateral mass screw fixation of cervical spine also provides an effective bone fusion for stabilizing unstable spinal injury compared to pedicle screw fixation. Wang et al. evaluated the fusion rate of C1 lateral mass and C2 pedicle screws\(^23\). They concluded that bone fusion between C1 lateral mass and C2 pedicle screws were achieved in all 319 cases (100%). Liu et al. reported that 38 consecutive patients with symptomatic anterior cervical pseudarthrosis were managed successfully with posterior lateral mass screw fixation and fusion (100%)\(^9\).

In our study, kyphosis and/or unsuccessful bone fusion occurred in 6.0% (3 of 50 cases), but did not need further operation. Further, the rate of screw pull-outs was 4.0% (2 of 50 cases, 3 of the 323 screws). Lateral mass screws may be considered a safe and efficient method for stabilization because this method shows a relatively lower complication rate than pedicle screw fixation and there is not much difference between the two with respect to the rate of bone fusion or screw failure (biomechanical stability).

We believe that this study has not provided a newer technique for lateral mass screwing as compared to previous method. Rather, our technique is more safe and efficient than those previous. This study was a retrospective report based on a patient’s medical record. Therefore, it should include follow-up on both clinical and anatomical bases using cadavers for comparison in future studies.

**CONCLUSION**

The lateral mass screw insertion and rod fixation by the modified Magerl’s method (Yoon’s method) is a safe and reliable technique with low rate of complication related to instruments in minimum 2 years follow-up.
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