Optomechanically-induced-transparency cooling of massive mechanical resonators to the quantum ground state
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1 Introduction

Cavity optomechanics provides a perfect platform not only for the fundamental study of quantum theory but also for the broad applications in quantum information processing and high-precision metrology [1–3]. For most applications it is highly desirable to cool the mechanical motion to the quantum ground state by suppressing thermal noise. In the past few years numerous efforts have made strides towards this goal through backaction cooling [4–13]. However, the cooling limit is subjected to quantum backaction, and ground state cooling is possible only in the resolved sideband condition in a pure optomechanical system with two mechanical modes coupled to the same optical cavity mode. We show that ground state cooling is achievable for sideband resolution $\omega_m/\kappa$ as low as $\sim 0.003$. This provides a new route for quantum manipulation of massive macroscopic devices and high-precision measurements.
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examine the multiple input cascaded OMIT cooling which further suppresses the quantum backaction heating. This renders quantum optomechanics with low optical-Q cavities and low mechanical frequency resonators.

2 Model

In a generic optomechanical system, as shown in Figure 1(a), we consider an optical cavity mode \( a \) coupled to two mechanical resonance modes \( b \) and \( c \), where \( b \) is the mode to be cooled and \( c \) is a control mode. The cavity is driven by a cooling laser and a control laser, with frequencies \( \omega_0 \) and \( \omega_1 \), respectively. In the frame rotating at the cavity resonance frequency \( \omega_c \), the system Hamiltonian reads:

\[
H = H_b + H_c,
\]

\[
H_b = \omega_m b^\dagger b + g a^\dagger a (b + b^\dagger) + (\Omega_b a e^{i \omega_b t} + H.C.),
\]

\[
H_c = \omega_{mc} c^\dagger c + g_c a^\dagger a (c + c^\dagger) + (\Omega_c a e^{i \omega_c t} + H.C.).
\]

Here \( H_b (H_c) \) describes the Hamiltonian related with mode \( b \) (c); \( \omega_m (\omega_{mc}) \) is the resonance frequency of mode \( b \) (c); \( g \) and \( g_c \) denote the single-photon optomechanical coupling rates; \( \Omega_0 (\Omega_1) \) represents the driving strength and \( \Delta_0 = \omega_0 - \omega_c \) \( (\Delta_1 = \omega_1 - \omega_c) \) is the frequency detuning between the cooling (control) laser and the cavity mode. For strong driving, the linearized system Hamiltonian is given by

\[
H_L = \omega_m b^\dagger b + [G^{(0)} a^\dagger a + G^{(0)} a^\dagger a (b + b^\dagger)] + \omega_{mc} c^\dagger c + [G_c^{(0)} a^\dagger a + G_c^{(0)} a^\dagger a] (c + c^\dagger) .
\]

Here the operators \( a_1, b_1 \) and \( c_1 \) describe the quantum fluctuations around the corresponding classical mean fields after the linearization; \( G^{(0)} = g (a_0 e^{-i \omega_b t} + a_1 e^{i \omega_b t}) \) and \( G_c^{(0)} = g_c (a_0 e^{-i \omega_c t} + a_1 e^{i \omega_c t}) \) are the light-enhanced optomechanical coupling strengths, with modified detunings \( \Delta_0' = \Delta_0 + \Delta_{om} \) and \( \Delta_1' = \Delta_1 + \Delta_{om} \) and \( \Delta_{om} = 2 g^2 / \omega_m + g_c^2 / \omega_{mc} \| \{a_0\}^2 + \| \{a_1\}^2 \| ; \) \( \alpha_0 \) and \( \alpha_1 \) are the intracavity field contribution from the control and laser inputs; \( \kappa, \gamma (\equiv \omega_m / Q_m) \) and \( \gamma_c \) \( (\equiv \omega_{mc} / Q_{mc}) \) are the energy decay rates of the modes \( a \), \( b \) and \( c \).

3 Quantum noise spectrum

The optical force acting on mode \( b \) takes the form \( F = -[G^{(0)} a^\dagger + G^{(0)} a] / \chi_{FF} \), where \( \chi_{FF} \) is the zero-point fluctuation. The quantum noise spectrum of the optical force \( S_{FF}(\omega) \equiv \int d\omega_i \langle F(\omega) F(0) \rangle \) is calculated to be

\[
S_{FF}(\omega) = \sum_{j=0}^{1} S_{FF}^{j}(\omega),
\]

\[
S_{FF}^{j}(\omega) = \frac{g^2}{\chi_{FF}} \left| \tilde{x}_j c(\omega)^2 \right|^2 \times \left[ \kappa + g_c^2 \sum_{k=0}^{1} |\alpha_k|^2 \tilde{\chi}_{mc}(\omega + \Delta_j' - \Delta_k') \right], \quad (3)
\]

where \( \tilde{x}_j c(\omega) = x_j c(\omega) + g_c^2 \sum_{k=0}^{1} |\alpha_k|^2 \left[ \tilde{\chi}_{mc}(\omega + \Delta_j' - \Delta_k') + \tilde{\chi}_{mc}(\omega - \Delta_j' + \Delta_k') \right] \sqrt{\chi_{mc}(\omega)^2 + \chi_{mc}(\omega - \Delta_j')^2 + \chi_{mc}(\omega + \Delta_j')^2}; \) \( \tilde{x}_j c(\omega) = -i (\omega + \Delta_j') + \kappa / 2 \) and \( \tilde{x}_j c(\omega) = -i (\omega - \Delta_j') + \gamma_c / 2 \), with integers \( j \) and \( k \) being the summation indices. Here \( \tilde{x}_j c(\omega) \) represent the optical response to the input light and \( \tilde{\chi}_{mc}(\omega) \) is the response function of the control mechanical mode: \( \tilde{n}_b = 1 / [e^{\beta \omega_m (\tilde{\chi}_{mc} / \kappa)} - 1] \) and \( \tilde{n}_c = 1 / [e^{\beta \omega_{mc} (\tilde{\chi}_{mc} / \kappa)} - 1] \) are the thermal phonon numbers of modes \( b \) and \( c \) at the environmental temperature \( T \).

In conventional single mechanical mode approach, the quantum noise spectrum exhibits a standard Lorentzian curve [15]. However, here due to the interaction between the control mechanical and the optical cavity modes, the noise spectrum (eq. (3)) is modified to a non-Lorentzian lineshape. This originates from the quantum interference manifested by OMIT. As shown in Figure 1(b), the system here contains a series of three-level subsystems relevant with OMIT for heating suppression. In the presence of the control field, the transition amplitude between the two pathways (red dashed arrow and blue dotted arrow) destructively interfere, leading to the suppression of the heating transition.

For the unresolved sideband regime \( (\omega_m \ll \kappa) \), the quantum noise spectra are plotted in Figure 2 with parameters \( \omega_{mc} / Q_{mc} \) and \( \kappa / \omega_m \). Figure 1 (a) Sketch of a typical optomechanical system with two mechanical modes \( b \) and \( c \) coupled to the same optical cavity mode \( a \). The cavity is driven by a cooling laser and a control laser. (b) Energy level diagram of the system. \( |n, m, m\rangle \) denotes the state of \( n \) photons, \( m \) \( c \)-mode phonons and \( m \) \( b \)-mode phonons in the displaced frame. The red solid (dashed) arrow denotes the cooling (heating) process of mode \( b \). The blue dotted arrow denotes the control laser enhanced coupling between mechanical mode \( c \) and the optical cavity mode \( a \).
\[ \Delta = \omega_{mc} - \omega_{mc} \]

\[ \omega_{mc} = 5 \times 10^{-3}, \quad \alpha_{0} = 10^{-4}, \quad Q_{mc} = 10^{5} \]

Note that \( S_{g} \) and \( S_{g} \) are relevant, since\( \frac{dS_{g}}{dt} \) are the rates for absorbing and emitting a \( b \)-mode phonon by the cavity field, corresponding to the cooling and heating of \( b \), respectively.

For the appropriate value of the two-photon detuning at \( \delta = \omega_{mc} + \omega_{mc} \), the OMIT linesshapes in \( S_{FF}(\omega) \) can be tuned to appear at \( \omega = \omega_{mc} \), as shown in Figures 2(c) and (d). At \( \omega = \omega_{mc} \), it exhibits a deep OMIT window, which reveals the suppression of heating process, originating from the destructive interference. Although a shallow dip also appears at \( \omega = -\omega_{mc} \), it only slightly decreases the mode density. The reason is that, with \( |\Delta'_{1}| \gg |\Delta'_{0}| \), this dip is located far away from the center (\( \omega = -\Delta'_{1} \)) of the Lorentzian background in \( S_{FF}(\omega) \), as shown in Figure 2(b).

4 Covariance approach

To verify the destructive quantum interference effect, we next solve the quantum master equation and use covariance approach [34,35] to obtain exact numerical results. The master equation is given by

\[ \dot{\rho} = i[\hat{\rho}, H_{1}] + \kappa D[a_{1}\hat{1}] \rho + \gamma(n_{b} + 1)D[b_{1}\hat{1}] \rho + \gamma_{th}D[c_{1}\hat{1}] \rho + \gamma_{c} n_{c} D[c_{1}^{\dagger}] \rho, \]

where \( D[\hat{a}] \rho \) denotes the the standard dissipator in Lindblad form. In Figures 3(a) and (b) we plot the time evolution of the mean phonon number \( n_{b}(t) \) for typical parameters. For single mechanical mode case in the unresolved sideband regime, with red detuning input laser, the mechanical motion is only slowly cooled with a small cooling rate (net optical damping rate) \( \Gamma_{opt} \approx A_{-} - A_{+} \), without reaching the ground state. However, in the presence of the control mode and the control laser, the cooling rate can be enhanced for more than two orders of magnitude (Figure 3(c)), and ground state cooling with mean phonon number \( n_{b} < 1 \) is achievable, even for sideband resolution \( \omega_{mc}/\kappa \) as small as 0.02. It should be emphasized that in this case the cooling laser is blue detuned, which is quite different from the single mechanical mode approach. For the latter, blue detuning leads to amplification instead of cooling of the mechanical motion. The blue-detuning cooling is the unique property originating from the quantum interference which modifies the
noise spectrum of the optical force. The OMIT lineshape can be viewed as the inverse of the standard Lorentzian, thus the detunings for cooling are just opposite to that for the single mechanical mode case.

5 Cascaded OMIT cooling

To further suppress quantum backaction heating, we propose the use of additional coherent laser inputs, resulting in cascaded OMIT cooling. For N inputs, the quantum noise spectrum of the optical force takes the same form as eq. (3) except that the summation indices (j, k) run from 0 to N – 1. As displayed in Figures 4(a)–(d), for two inputs, the suppression of heating for mode b is the contribution of suppressed $A^b_3 \equiv S^{FF}_b (-\omega_m) x_{2PP}^2$, while $A^1 = S^{FF}_b (\omega_m) x_{2PP}^2$ is also slightly suppressed. In the presence of the third input laser with detuning $\Delta_2 = \Delta_s - 2(\omega_m + \omega_m)$, the interaction involved with the control mode results in the suppression of $A^1 \equiv S^{FF}_b (-\omega_m) x_{2PP}^2$ and $A^2 \equiv S^{FF}_b (\omega_m) x_{2PP}^2$. This results in the net optical damping rate $\Gamma_{sp} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (A^k - A^{k-1}) = A^2 - A^0$ (Figures 4c and d). More generally, for N inputs with detuning $\Delta_N = \Delta_s - k(\omega_m + \omega_m)$ (k = 1, 2, . . ., N–1), we obtain $\Gamma_{sp} \sim A^0 - A^{N-1}$. Note that the remaining heating rate $A^{N-1}$ is much smaller than the original heating rate due to the large detuning $\Delta_N$ for the (N – 1)-th input. In Figure 4(e) we compare the cooling dynamics between two inputs and three inputs for typical parameters, which shows that the cascaded OMIT cooling enables larger cooling rate and lower cooling limit, with ground state cooling achievable even for $\omega_m/k = 0.01$.

6 Cooling limits

In Figure 5 the fundamental cooling limits $n_{min}$ as functions of the sideband resolution $\omega_m/k$ are plotted. The exact numerical results are obtained from master equation simulations. The black dotted curve shows the best result for conventional single mechanical mode approach, given by $n_{min} \sim k/(4\omega_m)$, which is obtained when $\Delta_s = -k/2$ [14]. It reveals the great advantage of OMIT cooling and cascaded OMIT cooling, with possibility for ground state cooling even when $\omega_m/k \sim 3 \times 10^{-3}$, which goes beyond the resolved sideband limit by nearly 3 orders of magnitude. Note that Figure 5

Figure 3 (a) Time evolution of the mean phonon number $n_0(t)$ with control mode (red closed circle) for $\omega_m/k = 0.05$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2$, $\omega_m/k = 2
shows the cooling limits increase as $\omega_m/\kappa$ increases from $\sim 0.02$ to a larger value. This is a result of blue detuning induced heating, which becomes significant when $\omega_m/\kappa$ is large.

7 Experimental feasibility

It should be stressed that the OMIT cooling described here adds little complexity to the existing optomechanical system, which is crucial in the experimental point of view. Compared with the conventional backaction cooling approach, the additional requirement here is a control mechanical mode and one (or more) input laser. It is experimentally feasible for various optomechanical systems with current technical conditions. On one hand, many optomechanical systems possess abundant mechanical modes with different resonance frequencies, since the oscillation have different types and orders. This situation can be found in optomechanical systems using whispering-gallery microcavities [36,37], photonic crystal cavities [38,39], membranes [40,41], nanostrings [42] and nanorods [43,44] amongst others. Usually only one mechanical mode is used in most optomechanical experiments, while exciting an additional mechanical mode is often unintended. On the other hand, composite optomechanical systems, containing two independent mechanical resonators, are also conceivable. For example, in Fabry-Pérot cavities, the motion of one mirror acts as an control mechanical mode while the other mirror is to be cooled (Figure 1(a)). In the near-field optomechanical system [42], to cool the nanostrings, the control mode can be selected from the vibration of the microtoroid.

8 Conclusion

In summary, we have presented the OMIT cooling scheme allowing ground state cooling of mechanical resonators beyond the resolved sideband limit. It is demonstrated that by employing the OMIT interference, quantum backaction heating can be largely suppressed, extending the fundamental limit of backaction cooling. The scheme is experimentally feasible, which requires another control mechanical mode and multiple laser inputs. Such a M-O-M system (M, mechanical mode; O, optical mode) studied here offers potential for cooling enormous mass scale resonators [45,46], which possess small resonance frequencies. Together with the recently examined multi-optical-mode [28,47–50] and multi-mechanical-mode [51–55] systems, it is shown that such interference effect in multi-mode cavity optomechanics provides unique advantage for both fundamental studies and broad applications. Recently we noticed a related work [56], but here we use the covariance approach to examine the fundamental cooling limits and present a detailed analysis of cascaded OMIT cooling. This paves the way for the manipulation of macroscopic mechanical resonators in the quantum regime.
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