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Abstract—Wireless sensor networks (WSNs) have experienced enormous growth, both in terms of the technology used and their practical applications. In order to understand the features of WSNs that make the solution suitable for a specific purpose, one needs to be aware of the theoretical concepts behind and technological aspects of WSNs. In this paper, the significance of WSNs is illustrated, with a particular emphasis placed on their demands and on understanding research-related problems. A review of the literature available is presented as well. Detailed discussions concerning sensor node architecture, different types of sensors used and their relevance for various types of WSNs is presented, highlighting the need to achieve application-specific requirements without degrading service quality. Multipath and cluster-based routing protocols are compared in order to analyze QoS requirements they are capable of satisfying, and their suitability for different application areas is reviewed. This survey highlights the performance of different routing protocols, therefore providing guidelines enabling each of the routing techniques to be used, in an efficient manner, with factors such as specific network structure, protocol operation and routing path construction taken into consideration in order to achieve better performance.
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1. Introduction

WSNs are an evergreen technology due to the fact that they support different types of applications, which makes them perfectly suited for use in such areas as security and surveillance, environmental monitoring, health monitoring, factory process automation, home automation, as well as tracking animals, objects, vehicles, and humans [1], [2]. The sensors used in WSNs are very small and offer limited processing power and computing capabilities. These sensors may collect or process information, and transmit it to the sink node [3]. When sensors are deployed at remote and difficult-to-access locations, wireless communication is used to transfer the data to a base station (BS). A battery is the primary source of power for a sensor node. Alternatively, power may be harvested using solar panels. Considerable amount of research has been conducted on the design of various data routing mechanisms, with strict WSN resource-related restrictions taken into consideration. The latest advances in the field of micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS) and the ever-changing application-related demands require that the manner in which all layers of a WSN protocol stack are designed be modified as well. Quality of service (QoS) demands pose a serious challenge while designing routing mechanisms that boost performance, due to the unique characteristics and specific features of WSNs.

1.1. Characteristics of WSNs

The routing protocols of a WSN are unique in order to satisfy the requirements of various applications. These include, for instance:

- limited resource availability (power, storage capacity, computing capacity),
- deployment in a hostile environment causes difficulty with recharging batteries of sensor nodes,
- self-organizing nature of sensor nodes causes frequent topology changes,
- heterogeneous nature of WSNs may cause interoperability problems,
- routing mechanism-based reconfiguration in the case of topology changes due to node failures, link breakups,
- scalability is an issue in massive network deployments,
- processing of unattainable operation is necessary in various application environments.
Common WSN-related research issues focus on ensuring high bandwidths, low energy consumption, QoS provisioning, node mobility, congestion control, congestion detection, congestion mitigation, reliability, end-to-end and hop-by-hop packet recovery, cache ACK/NACK, scalability, synchronization, data cache, data aggregation, computational overhead, availability, data security, and integrity [4], [5]. The provision of QoS support in WSNs while ensuring energy efficiency is an emerging area of research that needs to take into consideration numerous challenges [6].

The following WSN-related challenges have been identified while working on an efficient protocol design [7], [8]:

- **Limited resource constraints.** An efficient routing protocol needs to consider the energy status of the node, available bandwidth, storage, limited buffer size and computing capabilities in order to achieve high data rates with limited transmission power.

- **Heavy node-to-sink traffic.** This demands efficient utilization of available bandwidth and load balancing.

- **Redundant data transmission.** Data redundancy helps achieve reliability but increases power consumption.

- **Network dynamics.** Routing protocols need to periodically route packets which may suffer from a node or a link failure, and must establish links dynamically.

- **Node energy conservation.** Data transmission through the same path, retransmission of lost data and control messages depletes node energy.

- **Transmission power.** A heterogeneous environment with multimedia data demands minimization of transmission power.

- **Scalability.** The network has to attain desired performance levels, even with an increase in network size.

- **Time constraint transmission.** Critical and non-delay tolerant applications demand the transfer of data within a specified deadline.

- **Security.** For secure data transmission, several cryptographic, steganographic and other techniques are used.

Due to the many constraints in the traditional WSNs, new technologies need to be considered to overcome these and many other issues [9] and to offer a better understanding of the underlying sensor network.

The remaining part of the paper is constructed as follows. Section 2 presents details about sensor network architecture. Section 3 details the classification of WSNs based on node deployment type, delivery model, location, type, and nature. Section 4 specifies the applications of WSNs and in Section 5, routing is discussed. Section 6 describes two broad routing categories and security aspects, while Section 7 presents the conclusions.

### 2. Sensor Network Architecture

WSNs consist of a vast number of sensor nodes that are distributed throughout the areas of interest, as shown in Fig. 1. WSNs comprise lightweight, low powered sensor nodes with the capability of acquiring, computing and communicating data (wirelessly). These sensor nodes communicate with each other and with the destination node that is also referred to as a base station or sink.

Sensor nodes have some computing capability as well. They may be stationary or mobile, homogenous or heterogeneous. Homogenous sensor nodes are characterized by the same capacity in terms of power, computation and communication. Heterogeneous sensor nodes may perform sensing activities and other tasks, such as data relaying.

![Fig. 1. Structure of WSNs.](image)

The basic components of sensor nodes are shown in Fig. 2.

![Fig. 2. Basic structure of sensor node.](image)

The battery may be a disposable or a rechargeable power source. Since the nodes are deployed at a remote location, the design must ensure that the network is capable of functioning over long periods of time, with power preserved to extend the network lifetime [3].

The transceiver unit facilitates the radio link with neighboring nodes, or internally, within a subsystem of a nodes. This unit supports several operational states (sleep, idle or active) for efficient resource utilization. The transceiver unit may support different transmission media, such as radio frequency (RF), infrared, and laser [10].
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The processing unit is the core element of a node that determines its computational and performance capabilities. It contains a microcontroller, a digital signal processor or field-programmable gate arrays (FPGAs). It performs various functions, such as turning the sensor on, converting data to the digital format, aggregating data, as well as processing data [11]. The sensing unit consists of a sensor and an analog-to-digital converter (ADC). It collects data from the environment. The ADC serves as an interface between the sensor and the processor [12].

The sensors are classified as physical, chemical and biological sensors:

- **Physical sensors** are used for measuring vibration, acceleration, ultra-sound, water level, stress, flex, bend, and strain.
- **Environmental sensors** are used to measure air temperature and humidity, soil humidity, humidity effect on leaves, wind direction and speed, air pressure, etc.
- **Gas sensors** allow to measure e.g. CO, CO$_2$, CH$_4$, NH$_3$, O$_2$, NO$_2$, SH$_2$ and pollution levels.
- **Optical sensors** are used to measure sunlight, infrared and ultraviolet radiation. These sensors detect human presence using the IR spectrum. They are also used in agriculture, where sunlight, ultraviolet and radiation sensors measure the amount of energy and sunlight absorbed by plants.
- **Biometric sensors** perform electrocardiograms (ECG) and measure perspiration and pulse to prevent heart attacks.

Data sensed by the sensor node will be collected and routed to a more powerful node called the sink node.

3. Classification of WSNs

WSNs are categorized based on deployment type, delivery model, location of deployment, type and nature of node. Two deployment types are distinguished: deterministic and non-deterministic. Non-deterministic deployment means that nodes are scattered randomly and creates an ad-hoc infrastructure, thus forming an unstructured WSN. Detecting sensor node failures and managing connectivity is difficult in unstructured WSNs. In this scenario, sensor nodes communicate with each other directly establish a link with BS. Deterministic deployment allows the sensor nodes to be manually placed in a pre-planned manner at specific locations, in order to guarantee the coverage of a specific region and forming a structured WSN. In this scenario, data is routed through predetermined nodes. Data is transferred using a specific data delivery model that is application dependent and classified as continuous, query-driven, event-driven or hybrid (Fig. 3). In the continuous data delivery model, each node sends the data periodically. The event-driven model uses triggering based on a specific event determined in the target tracking application. In the query-driven model, data is transmitted in a reply to a query generated by the sink, in environment or habitat monitoring applications. The hybrid model combines the aforementioned features.

![Data delivery model](image)

**Fig. 3.** Data delivery model.

WSNs are classified into different types based on the location of their deployment [2], [13]:

- **Terrestrial.** WSNs of this particular type rely on either ad-hoc or pre-planned deployment. In an ad-hoc deployment, the nodes are randomly dropped into the specific target area. Pre-planned implementation is based on an optimal placement grid;
- **Underground.** The network is formed by sensor nodes placed in mines or below ground to monitor underground conditions. The equipment required here has to support reliable communication through rocks, water, soil, etc.;
- **Mobile.** This network is formed by sensor nodes positioned in vehicles. Long propagation delays, limited bandwidth and signal fading are the most important issues here;
- **Body area.** Networks of this type are formed by the deployment of disposable, inside-the-body or on-the-body, low cost sensor nodes equipped with cameras.

Next, we may classify WSNs based on the type of nodes used. In a homogenous network, all nodes have an equal capacity in terms of power, communication and computation. A heterogeneous network, in turn, may sense different parameters, such as humidity, temperature and pressure. Its sensors may also detect motion and capture images, videos, etc.

Finally, networks may be of the static variety, with nodes fixed at a predetermined location, or of the mobile variety, using frequent topology changes.
4. WSN Applications

WSNs are applied in a wide range of fields. Specific applications may be divided into two broad categories, as shown in Fig. 4 and summarized in Table 1 [14]–[24].

![Fig. 4. WSN applications.](image)

The performance of a WSN system may be evaluated by the use of a testbed, with specific performance parameters considered [9]. A WSN testbed is costly, difficult to design and time-consuming [25]. Hence, simulations may be performed using either an emulator or the simulator discussed in [26].

In consideration of the above, the design of a WSN depends, to a significant degree, on its specific application. It should also consider such factors as the environment, design objectives, hardware required, cost and overall system constraints [3], [27]. As WSN applications require the deployment of sensor nodes in a harsh environment, continuous functioning of the nodes is needed even in the event of a network infrastructure failure [4]. This necessitates that the network be designed in a proper manner, from deployment to data routing, with energy conservation being one of the most critical and challenging issues.

5. State-of-the-Art Routing

A vast literature survey concerning WSNs helps understand that an energy-efficient routing protocol is desirable in WSNs, as the lifetime of the network depends on the battery life of its sensor nodes. The essential energy conservation technique consists in choosing a proper network topology. Increased reliability [28] of the transmitted information may be achieved by routing it through a flexible path, with the ability to re-establish the route in the event of a node or link failure.

In the flat network topology (Fig. 5), all nodes perform identical functions [29] and communicate directly with the sink node, increasing transmission-related energy consumption and the communication overhead. Flat network topologies include, for instance, sequential assignment routing (SAR) [30], sensor protocols for information via negotiation (SPIN) [31] and greedy perimeter stateless routing (GPSR) [32]. All of them are based on application-related requirements and rely on star, mesh or tree topologies.

Cluster-based routing (Fig. 6) is formed by subdividing a flat network into a set of small individual clusters. Each cluster selects a node with the maximum energy level as its cluster head (CH), and all remaining nodes are considered to be respective cluster members (CMs). The primary task of the CH is to collect data from CMs within the cluster and to transmit the aggregated data to the next hop neighboring CH, until it reaches the BS [33]. Such an approach allows to save energy, as the CH is the only node that needs to be active all the time and the CMs are only used for sensing and may be periodically turned on and off to save energy. The role of the CH is assumed, alternately, by different CMs to avoid an early shutdown of a single CH due to it being continuously used for transmission purposes. Time-driven CH rotation is simple and offers a fixed cluster setup time. Time-driven CH rotation is a highly efficient solution.

![Fig. 5. Scheme of WSN with flat-based routing protocols.](image)

Reliability of information transmission is improved by multipath routing. This technique is used for balancing the load to avoid congestion, and to reduce the repeated route inquiries. It offers the lowest overall routing overhead and provides enhanced robustness against failure of a node, to achieve reliability and energy efficiency [34]. A lot of routing protocols are cluster-based or multipath-based in order to meet the service quality requirements. Designing a routing protocol in a manner that satisfies the requirements of a given application, simultaneously taking into consideration energy conservation and service quality, is a challenging task.

![Fig. 6. An example of a WSN with cluster-based routing.](image)
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Table 1

| Application area | Monitoring | Tracking |
|------------------|------------|----------|
| Military         | Friendly troop status [19], vehicles and their movements | Availability of equipment on the battlefield [20], [21] |
| Industrial control | Monitoring applications follows the data-driven model to monitor material flows, quality, and safety in order to reduce human-made errors and the cost of manufacturing [22] | Changes in plant security, machine processes, or mishandling by operator [23] |
| Surveillance     | Used to monitor airports, public parks, and railway stations [24] | Tracking of intruders |
| Environmental    | • detect volcano eruptions [14], • detect forest fires by collaboration of solar-powered sensor nodes [15], • estimate floods by monitoring water level in rivers [16] | • identifying air pollution levels caused by increasing traffic volumes, • movement of birds, animals and insects |
| Agriculture      | Monitor water, humidity and temperature levels in order to achieve good quality farming produce [17] | Tracking the movement of animals |
| Health           | Sensors monitor blood oxygen level, blood pressure, body temperature and the glucose level [18] | Assisting doctors in emergencies |

The main objective of routing in WSNs is to conserve energy. Therefore, energy-efficient routing protocols are desired in WSNs, as the lifetime of the network relies on the battery life of each node. The well-known underlying protocol, referred to as low energy adaptive clustering hierarchy (LEACH) [35], allows CHs to communicate with sink directly. A cluster formed by a set of sensor nodes is based on the signal strength of the nodes and uses CH as a router-to-sink, with the constraint that a maximum of 5% of nodes may serve as CHs of a given network. LEACH offers lower energy consumption than direct communication, as it establishes a distributed system without any global knowledge. LEACH is not relevant for large size networks where selecting the CH is difficult. Its specific variant, LEACH-F, has a fixed number of clusters and alternately assigns the role of the CH to different nodes within the clusters. However, it does not support energy saving, meaning that it is not suitable for mobile applications.

An increase in the distance between the CH and the sink, in turn, increases communication cost and shortens network lifetime. Communication distance between the nodes is minimized by dividing the network into an optimal number of clusters, as specified in the optimal number of clusters algorithm (ONCA) [36]. Power-efficient gathering in sensor information systems (PEGASIS) is a technique that uses multi-hop routing by forming a chain of sensor nodes and selecting only one node to transmit to the sink, instead of multiple nodes, as in LEACH. It outperforms LEACH by aggregating data from the nodes. One drawback of PEGASIS is the increased delay caused by the chain formation process. This challenge is overcome by the hierarchical variety of PEGASIS. This version uses simultaneous transmission of messages, which may lead to collisions that are avoided by the use of signal coding.

Chain-based CDMA-capable protocols support parallel transmission of data to minimize delay, but need dynamic topology adjustments to conserve energy [37]. A threshold-sensitive energy-efficient sensor network (TEEN) is an example of a hierarchical protocol that responds to a sudden change in the sensed attributes, such as temperature. It is useful in time-critical applications relying on networks operating in the reactive mode. The closest nodes from a given cluster communicate with each other and the process is repeated from the second level, until the base station is reached. The protocol uses either a soft or a hard threshold to trigger the sensor node to switch its transmitter on. Data transmission is controlled by varying these thresholds. TEEN is not useful for periodic reporting applications [38].

The adaptive threshold-sensitive energy-efficient sensor network protocol (APTEEN) captures periodic data and responds to time-critical events. The performance of TEEN is better than that of LEACH and APTEEN [39]. The hybrid energy-efficient distributed protocol (HEED) is useful in ad-hoc sensor networks. HEED assumes that all nodes have the same energy initially. Then, all nodes appoint themselves cluster heads based on their remaining power and based on the degree of a given node relied upon for cluster election. It is a cluster-based multi-hop routing protocol. HEED is characterized by good load balancing and uniform CH distribution. HEED does not offer balanced energy consumption due to the fact that a higher number of CHs is generated [40]. A useful way to save energy is to schedule data transmission processes that reduce the amount of sensing power and
6. Classification of Routing Protocols

Based on extensive work on routing techniques in WSNs, routing protocols may be classified into the following broad categories: flat, hierarchical, location-based, multipath based, query-based, negotiation-based, QoS-based, coherence-based, proactive, reactive and hybrid.

The classification of routing protocols distinguishes also data-centric based, location-based, cluster-based, node mobility-based, multipath-based and service quality-based varieties [4]. The best routing protocol must offer the maximum residual energy of nodes, negligible packet drop rates, lower energy consumption, lower delays and load fairness.

6.1. Multipath Routing Protocols

One of the most significant advantages of multipath routing is that it minimizes route updates, balances the traffic and reduces the energy consumption of each sensor node. The comparison of multipath routing protocols presented in Table 2 highlights the strong points of the solution. In [49], the author proposed a maximally radio-disjoint multipath routing (MR2) that uses an incremental approach which helps choose alternate paths but leads to higher delays with increased overhead compared to hop count multipath routing (HCMR). HCMR is characterized by higher energy usage compared to the energy efficient interference-aware multipath routing (EEIAMR) protocol. It determines short alternate paths to minimize delay and energy consumption by relying on the large network size. With an increase in node count, MR2 and EEIAMR generate higher overhead compared to HCMR. Sensor protocol for information via negotiation (SPIN) provides robustness in the event of path failures, by relying on topology changes [44]. Reliable, real-time routing protocol (3R) considers both multipath and time constraints. This protocol [45] uses packet reception rate estimations to calculate the necessary number of forwarding paths. The disjoint path routing protocol [46] finds the first k-shortest route to the sink and sends periodic messages to keep these paths alive. Performance improvements and fault-tolerance are achieved by equal division of load among the nodes.

| Protocol   | Number of paths built | Interference awareness | Mean delay | Energy consumption | Overhead |
|------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------|--------------------|----------|
| MR2 [49]   | 6–8                   | Yes                    | Higher     | Lower              | Higher   |
| HCMR [9]   | 2–4                   | No                     | Lower      | Higher             | Lowest   |
| EEIAMR [9] | 1                     | Yes                    | Lowest     | Lowest             | Lower    |
| SPIN [44]  | 1 or more             | No                     | Higher     | Lower              | Lowest   |
| 3R [45]    | More                  | No                     | Lowest     | Higher             | Lower    |
| Disjoint path [46] | K                   | No                     | Lower      | Higher             | Higher   |

6.2. Cluster-Based Routing Protocol

In WSNs, the nodes are grouped into a cluster to enable load balancing, network scalability, to minimize the routing table size at the level of an individual node, to spare the communication bandwidth by inter-cluster interaction with CHs, and to ensure a stabilized network topology [45]–[48]. For energy conservation purposes, activities within the cluster are scheduled by the CH which toggles between sleep and active state of the nodes. Another advantage of clustering consists in the fact that CH aggregates data from all sensors in its respective cluster to reduce the count of packets to be sent [49]. Advantages of the cluster-based routing protocols are presented in Tables 3 and 4.
The majority of location-based protocols are not very energy efficient or reliable and sacrifice QoS requirements, which leads to transmission delays. They are not applied as frequently in healthcare, but may be useful for environmental monitoring or target tracking, provided that newly designed protocols place a greater emphasis on energy efficiency and reliability-related aspects. Cluster-based and mobility-based routing protocols are best suited for healthcare and military applications which require reliable transfers and a continuously operational environment. This requirement is met by mobile devices with batteries whose power capacity is higher compared to the conventional sensors.

Multipath based protocols are suited for military and medical applications, since they ensure high reliable data delivery rates. Appropriate cluster design and the selection of CH minimize energy consumption while communicating and aggregating messages and are highly significant design features. Data transmission is performed to conserve energy and achieve QoS with an efficient routing technique, in order to ensure efficient use of the sensor.

Application-related QoS requirements may be satisfied by assigning different priority levels to different types of applications, users, frames, data flows and packets through resource sharing. High quality of service is ensured by such parameters as delay, bandwidth, and packet loss [50]–[59]. Service quality takes into consideration hard and soft QoS factors. Routing protocols with hard QoS must meet strict requirements concerning delay, packet loss, and bandwidth. On the other hand, routing protocols with soft QoS may violate these provisions. Both hard and soft QoS are guaranteed through service differentiation. The two service differentiation models are the integrated service model (IntServ) [60] and the differentiated service model (DifServ) [61]. They are presented, along with their key features, in Table 5.

### Table 3
Cluster-based routing protocols with varying packet delivery ratio and end-to-end delay

| Protocol   | Feature supported                                                                 | Delivery ratio | End-to-end delay |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| LEACH [11] | Minimizes energy consumption but incurs more delay by using large buffer size     | Medium         | Higher           |
| CBEEQR [10]| Uses large buffer size, leading to lower packet drop rates                       | Increased      | Lower            |
| ONCA [12]  | Increases network lifetime by dividing the network into an optimal number of clusters | Higher         | Lower            |
| TEEN [38]  | Responds to a sudden change in a sensed attribute, based on a hard or soft threshold. Supports event-driven applications and is not suitable for periodic applications | High           | Lower            |
| APTEEN [39]| Captures data on a periodic basis and reacts to the time-critical events. Supports three types of queries historical, one-time and persistent | High           | Lower            |

### Table 4
Cluster-based routing protocols with varying energy consumption

| Protocol   | Time [s] | Number of nodes alive | Routing type | Energy consumption |
|------------|----------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| LEACH [5]  | 800      | 0                     | Proactive    | High               |
| Leach-C [36]| 800      | 20                    | Proactive    | Medium             |
| TEEN with a hard threshold [37]| 800      | 95                    | Proactive    | Lower              |
| TEEN with a soft threshold [38]| 800      | 100                   | Proactive    | Lowest             |

The majority of location-based protocols are not very energy efficient or reliable and sacrifice QoS requirements, which leads to transmission delays. They are not applied as frequently in healthcare, but may be useful for environmental monitoring or target tracking, provided that newly designed protocols place a greater emphasis on energy efficiency and reliability-related aspects. Cluster-based and mobility-based routing protocols are best suited for healthcare and military applications which require reliable transfers and a continuously operational environment. This requirement is met by mobile devices with batteries whose power capacity is higher compared to the conventional sensors.
Table 6
Cluster-based security protocols

| Protocol               | Security method                                                                 | Type of security achieved                  | Computation overhead                          | Communication overhead |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| CHiMAC [63]            | Message divided into two blocks and encrypted. Each block added to message with source, destination, and cluster ID | Authentication and integrity              | High due to encryption and decryption         | Lower due to clustering |
| Sybil attack model [64]| A malicious node uses all of its Sybil identities to join each cluster. RSSI and positioning using three points to defend against the novel attack has been considered. | Detects 99.8% of Sybil nodes with 0.08% false detection rate | High                                      | Lower                  |
| HMAC [65]              | Hash-based message authentication code (HMAC) authentication followed by pair-wise key establishment used during data aggregation for two different types of node set up processes. It uses a pair-wise key generation process in which each node randomly generates a unique key with combining private and public key components. | Authentication                              | Lower                                      | Lower                  |
| KCLP [66]              | To protect the location of the real source and the sink, a fake source and a fake sink have been used along with k-means clustering to elongate the routing path and to boost safety. The use of different routing patterns of the fake and the real packet has reduced network delay. | Jamming attack, node collaboration         | High                                      | High                   |
| DH method [67]         | Security guaranteed at each hop by using a binary hop count and end-to-end node authentication relying on Huffman coding. Dijkstra’s algorithm finds the nodes with maximum energy level and optimum distance path. | Message and node authentication. Integrity achieved by encryption | Medium                                      | Lower                  |

Table 7
Different types of attacks

| Type of attack         | Classification into specific attack type | Description                                                                 | Security methods applied                                                                 |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Common attack          | Eavesdropping                           | Attacker retrieve information from transmitted data                          | Encryption technique and time stamp                                                      |
|                        | Message modification                     | Packet data modified while data in transmission                              | Provide authentication and identification of traffic, pushback                           |
|                        | Message replay                           | Adversary retransmit the contents and packet                                  |                                                                                          |
| Denial of service      | Node collaboration                       | Some nodes act as maliciously and prevent message transmission to other nodes in the network | Use multiple disjoint routing paths and diversity coding                                  |
| attack [63]            | Jamming attack                           | An adversary jams communication channel                                       |                                                                                          |
|                        | Exhaustion power                        | Repeated packet request lead to depletion of battery power                    |                                                                                          |
| Node compromise        | Node compromise                         | The attacker gain control of sensor node and launch attacks from compromised nodes | Trusted key server checks for node identification                                         |
| attack                 | Impersonation                           | Malicious node impersonates a legitimate node and uses its identity to mount an active attack such as Sybil [64] or node replication. | BS or key server authenticates every node on the network                                 |
| Protocol specific      | Spooled routing                          | Adversary spoof routing information by corrupting routing table content        | Provide access to the authenticated node                                                 |
| attack                 | Selective forwarding                     | Selectively forwarding of packets that traverse a malicious node depending on some criteria | Use multiple disjoint routing paths                                                       |
|                        | Wormhole attack                          | Creation of wormhole that replays information from one location to other location | Each message is forwarded individually, choosing the next-hop node to be the neighbor closest to the ultimate destination |
|                        | Hello flood attack                       | Hello flood attack create false control packets                              | Check bi-directionality of the local links                                               |
their large-scale use in remote sensing applications. Our smartphones offer a diverse range of sensors as well and, consequently, they may operate a variety of mobile apps relevant for the IoT [68]. The most challenging task is to process huge amounts of data generated by sensors, taking into account security-related issues, energy and network limitations, as well as various types of uncertainties.

7. Conclusion

The architecture constrained sensor node for use in a different application domain demand an energy efficient routing protocol without losing service quality. From the comprehensive study of routing protocols, most of the location-based protocols are not much energy efficient, reliable, and sacrifice QoS requirements leading to a delay in transmission. Thus they are not appropriate for healthcare applications but can be useful for environmental monitoring or target tracking application if new protocols designed considering energy efficiency and reliability issues. Cluster-based and mobility-based routing protocols are best for healthcare and military applications. These applications require a reliable and continuously functioning environment that can be achieved by a mobile device equipped with a higher amount of battery power compared to conventional sensors like biosensors. Multipath-based protocols are suited for military and medical applications since they ensure reliable data delivery. Appropriate design of cluster and selection of CH minimize energy consumption during message communication and aggregation, which is one of the most significant design issues. To develop an efficient protocol, one must combine the best features of protocols of different routing categories.
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