Quantitative MRI and Spectroscopy of Bone Marrow
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Bone marrow is one of the largest organs in the human body, enclosing adipocytes, hematopoietic stem cells, which are responsible for blood cell production, and mesenchymal stem cells, which are responsible for the production of adipocytes and bone cells. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the ideal imaging modality to monitor bone marrow changes in healthy and pathological states, thanks to its inherent rich soft-tissue contrast. Quantitative bone marrow MRI and magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) techniques have been also developed in order to quantify changes in bone marrow water–fat composition, cellularity and perfusion in different pathologies, and to assist in understanding the role of bone marrow in the pathophysiology of systemic diseases (e.g. osteoporosis). The present review summarizes a large selection of studies published until March 2017 in proton-based quantitative MRI and MRS of bone marrow. Some basic knowledge about bone marrow anatomy and physiology is first reviewed. The most important technical aspects of quantitative MR methods measuring bone marrow water–fat composition, fatty acid composition, perfusion, and diffusion are then described. Finally, previous MR studies are reviewed on the application of quantitative MR techniques in both healthy aging and diseased bone marrow affected by osteoporosis, fractures, metabolic diseases, multiple myeloma, and bone metastases.

**Level of Evidence:** 3  
**Technical Efficacy:** Stage 2

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the ideal modality to monitor bone marrow changes in healthy and pathological states, thanks to its inherent rich soft-tissue contrast.1,2 In the routine diagnostic setting, conventional anatomical MRI (ie, T1-weighted, T2-weighted, and contrast-enhanced imaging) is being used to diagnose abnormal signal changes in joint injuries and musculoskeletal diseases3 and to diagnose bone marrow lesions and monitor treatment response in patients with a broad range of pathologies including bone marrow malignancies, bone metastases, multiple myeloma, and compression fractures.4,5 To overcome the limitations of signal variability in conventional anatomical MR images, quantitative bone...
marrow MR techniques have been also emerging in order to quantify changes in bone marrow water–fat composition, cellularity and perfusion in different pathologies, and to assist in understanding the role of bone marrow in the pathophysiology of systemic diseases (e.g. osteoporosis, fracture risk in diabetes).

The present review summarizes a large selection of studies published until March 2017 in quantitative MR of bone marrow. In the first part of this article, we review some basic knowledge about bone marrow anatomy and physiology, followed by a description of the most important technical aspects of quantitative MR techniques applied in bone marrow. In the second part of the article, we focus on presenting MR studies applying quantitative MR techniques in both healthy aging and diseased bone marrow.

**Literature Search**

Electronic searches in PubMed (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed) were performed up to March 2017 to identify relevant studies for the present review. Search terms used included the term “bone marrow” and one of the following terms: “quantitative MRI, MRS, fat fraction, diffusion MRI, perfusion MRI, fat unsaturation, osteoporosis, fractures, multiple myeloma.” The search was restricted to studies in humans. The reference lists of relevant articles were also screened.

**Bone Marrow Anatomy and Physiology**

Bone marrow cavities can be trabecularized or non-trabecularized, depending on their body location. Traditionally, two main types of bone marrow are differentiated: yellow and red bone marrow. The two types of bone marrow have distinct composition and vascularization.

Yellow bone marrow, named after the abundant carotenoid bodies in its fat cells, is primarily composed of fat cells (adipocytes) and its chemical composition is ~80% fat, 15% water, and 5% protein. Yellow bone marrow vasculature is scarce, containing only a few capillaries with continuous basement membrane. Yellow bone marrow is encountered primarily in the appendicular skeleton.

Red bone marrow, named after its richness in hemoglobin and erythrocytes, is composed of both hematopoietic cells and fat cells and its chemical composition can vary but is typically 40–60% lipids, 30–40% water and 10–20% protein. Red bone marrow is responsible for body blood cell production and has a rich vasculature, composed of a vast network of sinusoids. Red bone marrow is found in the
cavities of the skull, sternum, scapulae, vertebrae, ribs, pelvic bones, and the ends of the long bones near the joints in healthy adults.

Figure 1 shows an example of the bone marrow proton density fat fraction in the lower spine, pelvis, and femurs of a young female subject, and a representative spectrum from a red bone marrow region with trabeculae in the neck of the proximal femur (position a in Fig. 1), a yellow bone marrow region with trabeculae in the greater trochanter of the proximal femur (position b in Fig. 1), and a yellow bone marrow region without trabeculae in the distal femur (position c in Fig. 1).

Red bone marrow is converted to yellow bone marrow with aging in a process that continues throughout life following a pattern from the peripheral towards the central skeleton, as described by Neumann already in 1882.6 Within an individual bone, red to yellow marrow conversion occurs first in the epiphysis, then in diaphysis, and lastly in metaphysis, following a distal to proximal pattern. The adult red/yellow marrow state is reached around the age of 25 years. At that time, red marrow is restricted to the axial skeleton (spine, sternum, ribs, pelvis, skull) and in the proximal metaphyses of the femur and the humerus. The red to yellow marrow conversion in the axial skeleton continues throughout life and the resulting red marrow distribution over the entire skeleton is in general spatially heterogeneous.

The traditional classification of bone marrow into yellow and red marrow compartments has been based on the presence of hematopoietic cells. In addition, despite the general assumption that all marrow adipocytes are equivalent, in 1976 Tavassoli suggested that red marrow adipocytes may differ from yellow marrow adipocytes.7 Recent works have further suggested that bone marrow adipose tissue (MAT) can be classified into constitutive and regulated MAT based on the properties of bone marrow adipocytes.8–10 Specifically, according to the recent work of Scheller et al,9 regulated MAT (rMAT) refers to single adipocytes interspersed with active hematopoiesis, whereas constitutive MAT (cMAT) has low hematopoiesis, contains larger adipocytes, develops earlier and remains preserved upon systemic challenges.

Technical Aspects of Quantitative Bone Marrow MRI and MRS

We first review the most important technical aspects for quantitative bone marrow MRI and MRS.

Water–Fat Environment

Bone marrow is one of the very few tissues in the human body where both water and fat components can be equally present. The water–fat composition of bone marrow has two important implications when applying quantitative MR in bone marrow. First, MRI and MRS can be used to perform quantitative measurements of the fat fraction and characterize bone marrow water–fat composition. Second, quantitative MRI measurements of individual properties of the bone marrow fat or water components require to suppress or account for the presence of the other component. Figure 2 shows the effect of T2-weighting, T1-weighting, and diffusion weighting in representative vertebral bone marrow spectra. Water and fat peaks show different T2 decay, T1 recovery, and diffusion attenuation, depending on the underlying different T2 relaxation times, T1 relaxation times, and diffusion constants: bone marrow water has typically shorter T2, longer T1, and faster diffusion constant than bone marrow fat.11

Fat/Water Fraction Quantification

Fat fraction measurements were one of the first examples of quantitative MR techniques applied in vertebral bone marrow in the work by Schick et al in the early 1990s.12 Since the early applications of MRI and MRS to measure bone marrow signal-weighted fat fraction (SFF), significant
progress has been made in measuring the bone marrow proton density fat fraction (PDFF) in different bone marrow regions, thanks to similar developments occurring in abdominal MR-based fat quantification. The goal of most recent technical developments in MR-based fat quantification has been to remove the effect of confounding factors and derive the PDFF, which represents a truly standardized imaging biomarker. Two main families of techniques have been emerging for PDFF quantification: single-voxel proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) and chemical shift encoding-based water–fat imaging.

**SINGLE-VOXEL PROTON MRS.** Single-voxel proton MRS measurements have been extensively applied in measuring the bone marrow fat fraction averaged over a localized region. On the acquisition side, either point-resolved spectroscopy (PRESS) or stimulated echo acquisition mode (STEAM) MRS pulse sequence designs have been mostly employed. When comparing the two sequence designs, STEAM shows two distinct advantages compared to PRESS. First, STEAM has been shown to have reduced sensitivity to J-coupling effects of the fat peaks compared to PRESS. The reduced sensitivity of STEAM to J-coupling effects of the fat peaks can reduce quantification errors when performing an echo time (TE) series to correct for T2 decay effects in PDFF quantification. Second, the bone marrow water peak has short T2. STEAM allows shorter minimum echo times compared to PRESS and despite its 50% signal loss compared to PRESS can reduce the T2-weighted signal loss for the short T2 water peak.

Another acquisition consideration, especially when applying single-voxel MRS methods in regions with spatial heterogeneous bone marrow fat fraction distribution (e.g. proximal femur), is that the finite RF pulse bandwidths of the MRS sequence can induce chemical shift displacement effects in MRS voxel localization. For example, by selecting the resonance frequency on the water peak at 3T (chemical shift difference between water and main fat peak = 420 Hz) and with an RF pulse bandwidth of 2777 Hz in a typical STEAM MRS sequence, the chemical shift displacement error for the fat localization equals 15% of the voxel size in each dimension. Such effects can be reduced by selecting the center resonance frequency in the middle between the water peak and the main fat peak. Techniques with improved spatial localization, like semilocalized by adiabatic selective refocusing (semi-LASER), can reduce chemical shift displacement effects but have not been yet applied in bone marrow applications.

In the postprocessing of the acquired bone marrow MR spectra, the complexity of the fat spectrum, T2 decay effects, potential J-coupling effects, and the presence of broad baseline peaks need to be considered. First, all fat peaks need to be considered in the determination of the PDFF. Bone marrow spectra in trabecularized regions are characterized by broad peaks, complicating robust peak fitting. In order to robustly extract the overlapping water and fat peaks in bone marrow MRS, the absorption spectrum can be characterized on the basis of the magnitude of measurable fat peaks, and an a priori knowledge of the chemical structure of triglycerides should be employed in the MRS peak extraction, as proposed previously in the liver. Such constrained peak fitting methodologies have been recently performed in both the proximal femur and the vertebral bone marrow. Figure 3 shows examples of the constrained peak fitting results in the vertebral bone marrow of a young and an old subject.
CHEMICAL SHIFT ENCODING-BASED WATER–FAT IMAGING. Chemical shift encoding-based water–fat imaging overcomes the spatial resolution requirements of single-voxel MRS and enables the spatially resolved assessment of bone marrow fat fraction, especially in regions with heterogeneous red marrow distribution (eg, proximal femur, spine). Figure 1 shows an example of the nonuniform spatial distribution of the bone marrow fat fraction in the lower spine, pelvis, and femurs of a young female subject.

On the acquisition side, multiecho gradient echo pulse sequences have been employed using either monopolar or bipolar gradient readouts. To maintain a good noise performance in the water–fat separation, the echo time step of the multiecho gradient echo acquisition needs to result in a water–fat phase difference different from 0 and 2π. At 1.5T, the above condition is fulfilled by using sequence designs with monopolar gradient readouts acquiring all echoes in a single TR. At 3T, satisfying the above condition requires using sequence designs either with bipolar gradient readouts acquiring all echoes in a single TR or with monopolar gradient readouts acquiring all echoes in multiple TRs (time-interleaved).

On the postprocessing side, fat quantification techniques rely on parameter estimation based on the complex signal or its magnitude only. Complex-based techniques have been shown to possess superior noise performance compared to their magnitude-based counterparts and lower sensitivity to fat signal modeling errors. However, complex-based techniques are also prone to phase errors, induced by concomitant gradients, eddy currents, gradient delays, and other hardware sources. Such phase errors can introduce significant PDFF bias spatial patterns that depend on the spatial pattern of the underlying phase errors. For a given phase error, the relative phase error-induced PDFF bias may be in general smaller in bone marrow regions with moderate fat fractions (eg, close to 50%) compared to regions with high or low fat fractions.

In addition to the effect of phase errors, multiple confounding factors have to be addressed when measuring bone marrow PDFF with water–fat imaging, including the presence of multiple peaks in the fat spectrum, T1-bias, T2*-decay effects, and T2*-decay effects. First, the presence of multi-peaks in the fat spectrum should be addressed by incorporating a precalibrated multi-peak fat spectrum in the signal model. Many previous works have used the bone marrow fat spectrum of Ren et al. acquired in yellow bone marrow in the long axis of the tibia at 7T. Mean bone marrow fat spectra have been also derived from constrained peak fitting of single-voxel MRS measurements in bone marrow of the spine and the proximal femur. Susceptibility-induced fat resonance shift effects have not been reported in bone marrow applications. Second, T1 bias effects can be corrected using estimated T1 values and flip angle mapping techniques. However, T1 bias has been most frequently minimized by the selection of a small flip angle. Third, the presence of trabecular bone most importantly shortens the T2* of both the water and fat components, inducing a rapid decay of the measured gradient echo signal with echo time. Therefore, approaches with a single T2* correction or with a T2’ correction (where T2’ denotes the reversible spin dephasing relaxation constant) with a priori known water T2 have been used. A dual T2* correction might be not severely affected by noise effects, when the fat quantification is performed not on a voxel-by-voxel basis but on a region of interest (ROI) level. After correcting all confounding effects, a good agreement has been reported in vivo between MRS-based and imaging-based PDFF in both the proximal femur and spine.

Imaging-based and MRS-based bone marrow water and fat fraction measurements have been also validated against chemical analysis and histology. Specifically, an ex vivo study using trabecular bone specimens filled with water–fat emulsions of different fat fractions has shown an excellent agreement between imaging-based PDFF and the known PDFF of the emulsions. MacEwan et al. performed studies comparing water–fat imaging with histological examinations. Water–fat imaging in both studies resulted in vertebral bone marrow fat fraction and water fraction values, which showed good correlation with histological results. MRS-based vertebral bone marrow fat fraction has been also recently shown to be in good agreement with the bone marrow fat fraction from dual-energy computed tomography (CT). Finally, good reproducibility values have been reported for both imaging-based and MRS-based bone marrow fat fraction in the spine and the proximal femur.

Properties of Fat Component

In addition to measuring the fat fraction, MRS techniques have been employed to measure bone marrow fatty acid composition, with primary applications in osteoporosis and fracture risk assessment. In a typical MR spectrum, the unsaturation and polyunsaturation levels can be extracted from the olefinic (at 5.4 ppm) and diallylic (at 2.8 ppm) fat peak resonances, respectively. Many previous applications of MRS techniques to measure bone marrow fat unsaturation have focused on vertebral bone marrow using short TE PRESS sequences. The reliable extraction of the olefinic fat peak in vertebral bone marrow spectra is particularly challenging because of the overlapping strong water peak and the general broad linewidths in vertebral bone marrow spectra, especially in...
younger subjects (Fig. 3). Therefore, vertebral bone marrow fat unsaturation results based on short TE PRESS have shown relatively poor reproducibility. In addition, previous vertebral bone marrow fat unsaturation results based on short TE PRESS have not accounted for J-coupling effects. The olefinic and diallylic protons, like most lipid protons, are affected by J-coupling interactions. Minimization of such J-coupling interactions is critical in order to reliably quantify unsaturation and polyunsaturation levels based on the olefinic and diallylic fat peaks. Previous work in adipose tissue and liver has shown that PRESS with TE = 135 msec and PRESS with TE = 200 msec are suitable at 1.5T for minimizing J-coupling effects for the diallylic and olefinic fat peaks, respectively. Recent work in vegetable oils and bone marrow has shown that PRESS with TE = 200 msec and STEAM with TE = 100 msec and TM = 20 msec are also suitable at 3T for minimizing J-coupling effects for the olefinic fat peak.

Properties of Water Component
Relaxation, diffusion, perfusion, and magnetization transfer properties of the bone marrow water component have been previously reported.

\( T_1 \) AND \( T_2 \) RELAXATION. Early \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) relaxation time measurements of the vertebral water component were reported by Schick et al at 1.5T using MRS measurements. Recent work using \( T_2 \)-corrected MRS for the estimation of vertebral PDFF has also resulted in water \( T_2 \) relaxation times at 3T. An interesting inverse correlation between water \( T_2 \) relaxation time and PDFF has been reported at both 1.5T and 3T. A possible explanation of this result may be related to differences in water-cellular composition.

DIFFUSION. Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) can provide information about tissue microstructure with broad applications in bone marrow diseases, including diagnosing and monitoring bone marrow tumors and differentiating benign from malignant fractures. However, application of DWI in bone marrow faces some important technical challenges.

On the acquisition side, single-shot spin-echo echo planar imaging (EPI) sequences have been primarily used in most body applications, thanks to their robustness to motion effects. However, bone marrow regions are mostly included within cortical bone structures and given the magnetic susceptibility difference between bone and tissue water/fat, significant off-resonance effects occur when applying single-shot EPI for bone marrow DWI. Such off-resonance effects induce geometric distortions, especially as the spatial resolution increases (Fig. 4). Parallel imaging techniques can reduce the effect of geometric distortions, but their application depends on the coil sensitivity profiles of the employed coil array and is not always feasible (e.g. in the spine). One alternative technique previously applied in vertebral bone marrow imaging is single-shot reduced-field of view (FOV) EPI. Reduced-FOV imaging can be achieved by using outer volume suppression pulses or 2D RF

![FIGURE 4: Diffusion-weighted imaging of the spine in a 28-year-old male subject: T2-weighted TSE for anatomical reference, full-FOV DW single-shot EPI (b = 800 s/mm²), reduced-FOV DW single-shot EPI (b = 800 s/mm²), single-shot DW TSE (b = 800 s/mm²). Full-FOV single-shot EPI shows significant geometric distortions, which are reduced in reduced-FOV single-shot EPI and minimized in DW-TSE. DW-TSE shows increased blurring.](image-url)
excitation pulses. Single-shot reduced-FOV EPI can reduce geometric distortion effects (Fig. 4), but reduces signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and therefore require longer scan times. DW single-shot turbo-spin-echo (TSE) imaging can also minimize geometric distortions. To remove the effect of motion-induced phase errors in violating the Carr–Purcell–Meiboom–Gill (CPMG) condition, DW single-shot TSE requires the application of dephasing gradients as proposed by Alsop, which results in a 50% signal loss. In addition, DW single-shot TSE suffers from increased T2 blurring (Fig. 4). DW steady-state free-precession techniques have also shown good results in bone marrow applications. Their implementation is primarily challenged by the difficulties in relating the measured signal to the diffusion coefficient. A visual comparison of 3T DW images of the lumbar spine with single-shot reduced-FOV EPI, single-shot reduced-FOV EPI, and single-shot TSE is shown in Fig. 4.

On the diffusion signal modeling side, the effect of the presence of fat should be considered when applying DWI in bone marrow. In order to extract the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) of the water component, all fat signals need to be adequately suppressed. Traditional spectral suppression techniques can suppress the main fat peaks, but cannot suppress the olefinic and glycerol fat peaks (which have a resonance frequency in the proximity to the water peak). The incomplete olefinic/glycerol fat peaks suppression effect has been previously shown in DWI of skeletal muscle and fatty infiltrated liver. Dieckmeyer et al recently characterized the effect of the incomplete olefinic/glycerol fat peaks suppression in vertebral bone marrow DWI and proposed a methodology to reduce the associated bias. Techniques using an echo time-shifted DW acquisition approach coupled with a water-olefinic fat separation approach have been also recently proposed for skeletal muscle applications, but not yet applied in bone marrow.

**PERFUSION.** Perfusion MRI can provide information about bone marrow hemodynamic parameters, including blood volume, blood flow, and transit time constants. Perfusion has been extensively applied to study alternations in bone marrow perfusion using dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE) MRI techniques. DCE-MRI relies on the acquisition of contrast-media-induced signal increase in a T1-weighted acquisition.

Perfusion parameters can be extracted by semiquantitative or quantitative analysis of the T1-weighted signal. Semiquantitative analysis involves the extraction of descriptive parameters like the maximum enhancement or the slope of the signal–time curve. Quantitative analysis requires the additional measurement of an arterial input function and a tracer kinetic analysis to derive perfusion and permeability parameters. Previous works have primarily employed semiquantitative analysis on bone marrow DCE data and there are only a few studies using quantitative analysis tools and especially addressing the effect of the presence of the fat signal on the extracted perfusion metrics.

**MAGNETIZATION TRANSFER.** Pulsed magnetization transfer (MT) modules have been combined with PRESS spectroscopy pulse sequences to measure the magnetization transfer of the water component in hematopoietic red marrow. Spectra were acquired with and without MT prepulses. Water peak signals from marrow with increased content of extracellular water due to inflammation or edema revealed less magnetization transfer effects than marrow with increased intracellular water content due to cellularity differences.

**Properties Related to Trabecular Bone Matrix**

Quantitative bone marrow MRI has been also applied to indirectly measure properties of the trabecular bone matrix. High-resolution MRI can achieve sufficient spatial resolution to depict trabecular bone structure in peripheral skeletal locations, but suffers from low SNR in the spine and the red bone marrow of the proximal femur. An alternative approach for measuring trabecular bone matrix properties is by quantifying the line broadening of the bone marrow protons, induced by the magnetic susceptibility difference between trabecular bone matrix and bone marrow. The reversible spin dephasing relaxation constant R2' in trabecularized bone marrow has been shown to depend on the trabecular volume fraction and trabecular orientation. The measurement of R2' has been previously achieved by acquiring a series of gradient echoes around a spin echo. The reliable extraction of R2' in trabecularized bone marrow requires further addressing the presence of multiple fat peaks in yellow marrow regions and the presence of multiple fat peaks and the water peak in red marrow regions.

**Applications of Quantitative Bone Marrow MRI and MRS**

We next review the most important applications of quantitative MRI and MRS in characterizing bone marrow changes in healthy aging and different pathological states.

**Healthy Aging**

Aging-associated changes in bone marrow have been characterized based primarily on fat fraction and perfusion measurements. Multiple studies have investigated the anatomic, age, and gender variance of vertebral bone marrow fat fraction using single-voxel proton MRS and chemical shift encoding-based water–fat imaging. Baum et al performed water–fat imaging of the entire spine in 28 young, healthy subjects (17 males, 11 females, age: 26 ± 4 years). They observed an increase of vertebral bone marrow PDFF from the cervical to the lumbar spine. Furthermore, an age-related increase of vertebral bone marrow signal-weighted fat fraction was demonstrated in different sample sizes, eg, 20 males (age range: 30–65 years) and 24 females (age range: 20–65 years).
30–69 years), 87 154 healthy subjects (age range: 11–95 years), 85 and 259 healthy subjects (age range: 62–90 years). 84 These studies also observed a gender variance of the vertebral bone marrow fat, with greater values in male compared to female subjects. However, Griffith et al reported a reversal of these gender differences over the age of 60 years. 84 Vertebral bone marrow fat content in females over the age of 60 years was 10% greater than in males of the same age. The authors hypothesized that this finding results from an android pattern of fat deposition in postmenopausal women. A major challenge in grouping the results from all the above previous works is the derivation of a signal-weighted fat fraction, instead of the PDFF, and the dependence of the extracted signal-weighted fat fraction on the employed acquisition and data analysis (Fig. 5).

In consistency, female subjects demonstrated a greater marrow perfusion rate than men younger than 50 years and a more marked decrease than men older than 50 years. 89 Furthermore, MR perfusion amplitude decreased with age and showed an anatomical variance with a decrease from L1 to L5. 90 Lastly, little is known about the age-related changes of bone marrow diffusion. Hillengass et al reported no significant correlation of ADC of the lumbar bone marrow with age, while Yeung et al observed reduced ADC values in older women with normal BMD compared to young, healthy controls. 90, 91

**Primary Osteoporosis**

**CLINICAL BACKGROUND.** Osteoporosis is defined as a skeletal disorder characterized by compromised bone strength predisposing an individual to an increased risk for fracture. 92 Osteoporotic fractures, particularly at the spine and hip, are associated with a high mortality rate and generate immense financial costs. 93, 94 The assessment of fracture risk has traditionally relied on the measurements of bone mineral density (BMD) by using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA). However, BMD values of subjects with versus without osteoporotic fractures overlap. 95, 96 Therefore, the Fracture Risk Assessment Tool (FRAX) has been introduced which uses easily obtainable clinical risk factors to estimate a 10-year fracture probability in order to provide a better clinical guidance for treatment decisions. 97 Furthermore, extensive imaging research has been performed to assess the microarchitecture of the mineralized bone component by using high-resolution CT and MRI. Multiple studies demonstrated the ability of bone microstructure parameters as surrogate marker of bone quality to improve fracture risk prediction beyond BMD. 98, 99 The bone marrow as a nonmineralized bone component has recently drawn attention with regard to the pathogenesis of osteoporosis. 100, 101 The bone marrow affects bone metabolism in several ways. Most important, from the imaging perspective, pluripotent mesenchymal stem cells have the potential to differentiate to osteoblastic and adipocytic cell lines. 102 The composition of the bone marrow therefore shifts towards the presence of adipocytes with both increasing age and osteoporosis. Table 1 summarizes the most important effects previously reported in quantitative bone marrow MRI studies of osteoporosis.
| Effect                          | Study                     | Subjects | MRI/MRS technique                                      |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Fat fraction                   | Yeung et al, 2005         | 53 women | SFF with single-voxel PRESS (TE = 25 msec, 1.5T)       |
| Fat fraction ↓ in osteoporosis | Griffith et al, 2005      | 90 men   | SFF with single-voxel PRESS (TE = 25 msec, 1.5T)       |
| Fat fraction ↓ in osteoporosis | Griffith et al, 2006      | 103 women| SFF with single-voxel PRESS (TE = 25 msec, 1.5T)       |
| Fat fraction ↓ in osteoporosis | Li et al, 2011            | 51 women | SFF with single-voxel PRESS (TE = 37 msec, 3T)         |
| Fat fraction ↓ in osteoporosis | Kühn et al, 2013          | 51 subjects | PDFF with water–fat imaging (3T)                          |
| Fat fraction positively associated with prevalent vertebral fracture in men, even after adjustment for BMD | Schwartz et al, 2013 | 257 subjects | SFF with single-voxel PRESS (TE = 37 msec, 1.5T)       |
| Fat fraction negatively associated with failure load | Karampinos et al, 2015 | 10 specimens | PDFF with single-voxel STEAM (3T)                           |
| Fat fraction negatively associated with BMD | Li et al, 2016           | 83 subjects | PDFF with water–fat imaging (3T)                          |
| Fat unsaturation               | Yeung et al, 2005         | 53 women | single-voxel PRESS (TE = 25 msec, 1.5T)                |
| Fat unsaturation negatively associated with prevalence of fragility fractures | Patsch et al, 2013 | 69 women | single-voxel PRESS (TE = 37 msec, 3T)                |
| Diffusion                      | Yeung et al, 2004         | 64 women | Single-shot DW EPI (1.5T)                              |
| ADC ↓ in osteoporosis          | Griffith et al, 2006      | 103 women| Single-shot DW EPI (1.5T)                              |
| ADC negatively associated with fat fraction | Hatipoglu et al, 2007 | 51 subjects | Single-shot DW EPI (1.5T)                              |
| Perfusion                      | Griffith et al, 2005      | 82 men   | DCE-MRI (1.5T)                                         |
| Enhancement slope ↓ in osteoporosis | Griffith et al, 2006    | 103 women| DCE-MRI (1.5T)                                         |
| Enhancement slope ↓ and maximum enhancement ↓ in osteoporosis | Griffith et al, 2008 | 120 women | DCE-MRI (1.5T)                                         |
FAT FRACTION. Karampinos et al examined 10 vertebrae from human cadavers using single-voxel \(^1\)H-MRS to assess vertebral bone marrow PDFF and compared these measurements with CT-based BMD and trabecular bone microstructure parameters, and biomechanically assessed vertebral bone strength.\(^1\) They reported significant correlations between the MRS-based PDFF and multiscan CT (MDCT)-based parameters (up to \(r = -0.72\)), and between MRS-based fat PDFF and vertebral failure load (\(r = -0.77\)). That study demonstrated that bone marrow PDFF is negatively associated with BMD, trabecular bone microstructure, and bone strength. Griffith et al performed one of the first large-scale in vivo studies comparing vertebral bone marrow with water–fat imaging. Figure 6 shows the decreasing trabecular structure and the increasing PDFF values in PDFF maps of the lumbar spine in a 74-year-old healthy woman, a 57-year-old osteopenic woman, and a 59-year-old osteoporotic woman. Figure 7 shows the decreasing trabecular structure and the increasing PDFF values in proximal femur high-resolution trabecular bone images and corresponding PDFF maps of three postmenopausal women with increasing osteoporotic status according to DXA.

Different studies reported an association of osteoporosis with water–fat imaging-based PDFF.\(^2\) Kühn et al studied 51 patients (28 female; mean age 69.7 ± 9.0 years) who underwent DXA from L1 to L4 to measure BMD and chemical shift chemical encoding-based water–fat imaging to determine vertebral bone marrow PDFF.\(^4\) The vertebrae were divided into three groups (healthy, osteopenic, and osteoporotic) based on the DXA-derived \(t\)-score. The area under the curve to differentiate between normal and osteoporotic vertebrae was statistically significant, with an area under the curve of 0.656. Water–fat imaging offers the clear advantage that vertebral bone marrow fat quantification can be performed over a large range of the spine in an acceptable scan time, e.g. stacks of the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar spine with 3–4 minutes for each stack, respectively.\(^3\) Reproducibility of water–fat imaging-based PDFF has been reported to be excellent, e.g. absolute precision error of PDFF averaged over C3–L5 amounted to 1.7%.\(^2\)

Schwartz et al have shown that higher marrow fat correlated with lower trabecular BMD in older women but not men and that higher marrow fat was associated with prevalent vertebral fracture in men, even after adjustment for BMD.\(^6\) However, the performance of bone marrow PDFF quantification as an imaging biomarker beyond BMD remains still unclear and has to be further investigated in the future.

Figure 8 summarizes the most important previous studies measuring bone marrow fat fraction differences using MRS or imaging between healthy and osteoporotic subjects. Fat fraction differences between healthy and osteoporotic subjects have been previously based primarily on SFF measurements employing different experimental settings and data analysis techniques, resulting in a large variability on the reported fat fraction values (Fig. 8).

FATTY ACID COMPOSITION. The bone marrow fat unsaturation level has been also employed in osteoporosis assessment. Yeung et al recruited 53 older women (mean age of 70 years) and 12 young female controls (mean age of 28 years) who underwent DXA and single-voxel \(^1\)H-MRS of the lumbar spine.\(^7\) Water and lipid peak amplitudes were measured to determine vertebral bone marrow fat content and fat unsaturation level. Marrow fat fraction was significantly increased in osteoporotic (65.5% ± 10.0%) and osteopenic (63.5% ± 9.3%) subjects compared to normal subjects (56.3% ± 11.2%) and young controls (29.0% ± 9.6%). The fat unsaturation level was significantly decreased in osteoporotic (0.091 ± 0.013) and osteopenic (0.097 ± 0.014) subjects compared to normal subjects (0.114 ± 0.016) and young controls (0.127 ± 0.031). Furthermore, an inverse correlation was reported between the fat content and the unsaturation level (\(r = -0.53\)). Patsch et al performed single-voxel \(^1\)H-MRS at the lumbar spine in diabetic and nondiabetic postmenopausal women with and without fragility fractures.\(^8\) Consistent with Yeung et al, the prevalence of fragility fractures was associated with ~1.7% lower unsaturation levels and +2.9% higher saturation levels, while BMD measurements could not differentiate between fracture and nonfracture subjects.

DIFFUSION. Beyond proton MRS and chemical shift encoding-based water–fat MRI, MR diffusion imaging has
been also applied for the detection of osteoporosis-related changes in the bone marrow. Yeung et al performed one of the first studies investigating whether quantitative MR diffusion imaging reveals differences between subjects with postmenopausal osteoporosis and premenopausal controls. They recruited 44 consecutive women (mean age: 70 years) subdivided by DXA-based \( t \)-score and 20 controls (mean age: 28 years). They were examined with echo-planar diffusion imaging at 1.5T using \( b \) values of 0, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 200, 300, 400, and 500 s/mm\(^2\). Extravascular diffusion (D) and ADC were significantly decreased in osteoporotic subjects compared to controls, indicating increased diffusion restriction effects induced by the accumulation of fat cells due to osteoporosis. Further studies confirmed this finding with correlation of \( t \)-score and ADC up to \( r = 0.835 \). However, Griffith et al observed no significant ADC differences in the vertebral bone marrow between 18 female subjects with normal BMD, 30 females with DXA-based osteopenia, and 55 female subjects with DXA-based osteoporosis. In the light of these conflicting results, the relevance of MR diffusion imaging as an imaging biomarker for osteoporosis diagnostics remains unclear.

**PERFUSION.** The motivation of MR perfusion imaging in the context of osteoporosis is based on the pathophysiological changes in the osteoporotic bone with an increase in marrow fat and a reduction of bone marrow arteries and capillary sinusoids. Griffith et al recruited 82 male subjects (age range: 67–101 years) with normal, osteopenic, and osteoporotic DXA-based BMD status. They observed significantly...
decreased enhancement slope in the osteoporotic group (1.10%/sec ± 0.51%/sec) compared to the osteopenic group (1.45%/sec ± 0.51%/sec) and normal BMD group (1.70%/sec ± 0.52%/sec). Similar findings were reported in further studies investigating the vertebral bone marrow perfusion properties in postmenopausal women.24,113 MR perfusion imaging at the proximal femur revealed consistent results. Griffith et al performed MR perfusion imaging in 120 female subjects (mean age: 74 years) and observed in the femoral head, neck, shaft, and the acetabulum reduced maximum enhancement and enhancement slope in subjects with osteoporosis compared to those with osteopenia or normal BMD.114 Furthermore, reduced bone perfusion in proximal femur preferentially affected the femoral neck in osteoporotic subjects.115 This is of particular interest, as the femoral neck is one of the clinically most important fracture sites in osteoporosis. However, MR perfusion imaging as well as all the above-mentioned MR techniques lacks the evidence to be more predictive for bone loss than traditional risk factors, thus limiting so far their application in clinical practice.

**Metabolic Diseases**
Quantitative MRI and MRS of the bone marrow has emerged as a useful tool in evaluation of metabolic diseases, as various studies suggest an association of bone marrow adiposity with metabolism.

Studies on changes of bone marrow fat in metabolic diseases have predominantly used single-voxel MRS for bone marrow fat quantification. In obesity, single-voxel MRS showed that bone marrow fat is positively associated with visceral fat and inversely associated with bone mineral density and insulin-like growth factor 1 in obese women, stressing the detrimental effect of visceral fat on bone health.116 In addition, bone marrow fat has been shown to be positively associated with ectopic and serum lipids in obese subjects.117 However, in a dietary intervention study in obese women, MRS-determined bone marrow fat fraction was not statistically significantly changed after the intervention.118 In another recent study, bone marrow fat changes were observed after gastric bypass surgery only in women with diabetes compared to nondiabetic women.119

Moreover, in women with type 2 diabetes mellitus, MRS-based vertebral bone marrow fat correlated significantly with visceral adipose tissue and HbA1c levels, indicating that vertebral bone marrow fat content might be used as a biomarker for glycemic control. Men with diabetes had a higher bone marrow fat fraction compared to nondiabetic men. The correlation between bone marrow fat fraction and BMD
differed by diabetes status. With regard to fatty acid composition, a lower fat unsaturation in bone marrow fat was found in diabetic women compared to nondiabetic women. In women with anorexia nervosa, Bredella et al were able to demonstrate a paradoxical increase in bone marrow fat measured via single-voxel MRS, with higher fat fraction in anorectic women compared to healthy controls.

With MRS being a rather time-consuming method, limited in spatial resolution, chemical shift-encoding based water–fat MRI was used to determine bone marrow fat fraction in a study by Slade et al, showing that bone marrow adiposity was not increased in type 1 diabetes while there was a striking positive correlation between marrow adiposity and serum lipid levels. Grey et al used both MRS and water–fat imaging to measure changes of bone marrow fat in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus with and without short-term treatment with pioglitazone and found an increase in bone marrow fat with both techniques in the pioglitazone group.

Differentiating benign versus malignant fractures

**CLINICAL BACKGROUND.** The differentiation between benign osteoporotic and malignant fractures is crucial for individual therapy decision and diagnostic follow-up. A previous study has shown that in 5% of patients undergoing vertebroplasty after an assumed osteoporotic fracture, malignant tissue was found by histology. This underlines the necessity to assess fractures with imaging modalities with the highest sensitivity and specificity in order to ensure proper diagnosis before treatment. Since fractures associated both with osteoporosis and bone metastases often occur in older individuals, mostly without adequate trauma, the clinical differentiation between the two fracture types is challenging. Morphological MRI can provide information on the configuration of the bone, adjacent structures, and soft tissue as well as on bone marrow signal abnormalities representing, e.g. bone bruise or cellular infiltration and thus is superior to radiography and CT. Certain aspects of the mentioned morphological criteria have shown moderate to high sensitivity and specificity in the differentiation between, e.g. malignant and osteoporotic vertebral fractures in previous studies. Nevertheless, in cases in which features of both categories, malignant and osteoporotic fractures, are found, novel MRI techniques may be more accurate for differentiating between the two fracture types. Table 2 summarizes the most important effects shown in quantitative bone marrow MRI studies for differentiating benign versus malignant fractures.

**FAT FRACTION.** In-phase and opposed-phase imaging has been traditionally used to semiquantitatively assess water–fat composition changes in fractured skeletal sites. Normal bone marrow and marrow in vertebrae with osteoporotic fractures show a signal intensity loss between the in-phase and following opposed-phase images due to a balanced composition of bone marrow consisting of almost equal amounts of fat and water. Malignant processes are associated with the replacement of normal bone marrow fat by cancer cells with a consequent lack of signal intensity loss in the opposed-phase images compared to the in-phase images. Previous studies have calculated a cutoff of 0.75–0.8 for the ratio of opposed-phase signal to the in-phase signal in order to differentiate between malignant and benign processes in fractured vertebra. It has also recently been shown that the fat fraction based on chemical-shift encoding-based water–fat imaging could be used to allow a distinction between benign and malignant causes of focal bone marrow abnormalities when difficulty in the qualitative interpretation of conventional MR image arises.

**DIFFUSION.** Diffusivity is reduced in tissue with a high cellularity, e.g. in bone marrow invaded by tumor cells, due to a reduction of the extracellular fluid component. Consequently, malignant fractures present with a hyperintense signal on DW images, whereas benign fractures show less reduction in diffusivity and therefore present with an iso- or hypointense DWI signal. Bone marrow signal may vary on DWI due to, e.g. changes induced by radiation therapy; therefore, the signal of fractured bone structures needs to be assessed in relation to bone marrow of adjacent unaffected regions in order to avoid misinterpretation. Moreover, bone marrow edema, which is initially present in every
fractured bone structure to a certain extent, induces long T2 relaxation times, and since isotropic DWI is a T2-based technique, the so-called T2 shine-through effect, may be misleading in benign fractures. ADC mapping instead removes T2 shine-through effects. Using a DW single-shot TSE sequence, ADC calculated with b = 100, 250, and 400 s/mm² provided the best diagnostic performance with a sensitivity of 85% and a specificity of 84.6%, using an ADC cutoff of 1.7 × 10⁻³ mm²/s or less in order to differentiate a malignant vertebral fracture from a osteoporotic vertebral fracture.134 In a recent meta-analysis of previously published studies, osteoporotic vertebral fractures demonstrate significantly greater ADC values (typically between 1.2 and 2.0 × 10⁻³ mm²/s) than malignant vertebral fractures or lesions (typically between 0.7 and 1.3 × 10⁻³ mm²/s).62 DWI sequences without and with conventional fat saturation are in general highly influenced by bone marrow fat content,61 causing low signal in fat-containing bone marrow which may lead to misinterpretation in the differentiation of malignant and benign processes within the bone marrow.

**PERFUSION.** Bone marrow with malignant processes and fractures reportedly showed increased slope and maximum enhancement in comparison to benign fractures.135 Of note, interindividual variations of water and fat content within the different bone marrow regions reduce sensitivity and specificity, which is a limitation of this technique. In order to overcome this limitation, a quantitative assessment of bone perfusion and permeability of the bone marrow has been investigated.136 A current study showed significantly lower interstitial volume in malignant fractures and lower extracellular volume calculated using DCE images, compared to osteoporotic fractures.137 Moreover, extraction flow was shown to be significantly lower in malignant fractures compared to osteoporotic fractures with a cutoff of 6.52 mL/100 mL/min or less.137

### Hematological Diseases and Multiple Myeloma

MRI and MRS of bone marrow have been applied in the early years in the assessment of bone marrow cellularity (using fat fraction, diffusion, or magnetization transfer measurements) in patients with leukemia undergoing therapy or receiving bone marrow transplantation.138,139 Recently, quantitative MRI of bone marrow has been increasingly applied in patients with multiple myeloma. Table 3 summarizes the most important effects shown in quantitative bone marrow MRI studies in multiple myeloma.

### Clinical Background

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a hematological malignancy with the disease evolving from an asymptomatic stage, the monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) to smoldering multiple myeloma (SMM), and finally to MM. MM is characterized by a proliferation of monoclonal plasma cells in bone marrow and may ultimately result in symptomatic MM causing symptoms such as anemia and bone disease.140,141 Aside from the detection of monoclonal proteins in serum or urine, the detection of bone lesions is one of the main diagnostic criteria listed by the International Myeloma Working Group.142 Currently, MM is defined by the presence of a lesion (>5 mm) detected on morphological MR imaging.142 Yet previous studies have suggested including information on bone marrow composition and vascularization in addition to tumor size measurement for therapy monitoring in patients with MM.143,144 For the detection of bone marrow

---

**TABLE 2. Summary of the Most Important Effects Shown in Quantitative Bone Marrow MRI Studies for Differentiating Benign Versus Malignant Fractures**

| Effect                              | Study               | Subjects | MRI/MRS technique                        |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|------------------------------------------|
| Fat fraction                        | Yoo et al, 2016     | 120 patients | PDFF with water–fat imaging (3T)         |
| Diffusion                           | Yeung et al, 2004   | 46 patients | Single-shot DW TSE (1.5T)                |
| ADC ↓ in malignant compared to benign lesions | Dietrich et al, 2015 | Meta-analysis | Meta-analysis of 16 previous studies (1.5T–3T) |
| Perfusion                           | Tokuda et al, 2005  | 34 patients | DCE-MRI (1.5T)                         |
| Extracellular volume ↓ in malignant compared to benign fractures | Geith et al, 2013  | 44 patients | DCE-MRI (1.5T)                         |
infiltration, before bone destruction has occurred, MRI remains the most sensitive imaging modality. Conventional T1-weighted spin-echo sequences have been considered to be the most useful tool for the evaluation of the infiltration of bone marrow in clinical routine along with short tau inversion recovery (STIR), yet there is evidence that the detection rate of bone marrow abnormalities and therapy response using MRI can be enhanced by applying techniques such as DWI and DCE-MRI.

DIFFUSION. For the detection of bone marrow infiltration in MM, DW images have been previously generated with EPI sequences (b values: eg, 0, 200, 400, 600, 1000 s/mm²). As mentioned previously, tissues with high cellularity contain a small interstitial space, which causes restricted water motion. Therefore, either focal lesions or diffuse tumor cell infiltration of bone marrow can be visualized as hyperintense DW signal. In patients with normal bone marrow, yellow marrow content increases with age, with values between 50% and 60% for subjects older than 61 years, as measured using MRS. Due to the restriction of water diffusion induced by the tightly packed surrounding adipocytes in yellow marrow compared to red marrow, ADC values appear to be low in normal bone marrow with higher yellow marrow content, ranging from 0.2–0.6 × 10⁻³ mm²/s, whereas the wide measured range may be explained by experimental differences and differences in fat saturation. In MM progression, water proton diffusivity increases with the increasing water content of the bone marrow due to plasma cell infiltration causing consequent yellow marrow content decrease, therefore ADC values increase, as does the hyperintense signal on DW imaging obtained using high b-values. In a previous study assessing mean ADC values before and after therapy in MM patients, ADC increased by 20% in therapy responders. Horger et al found an increase of ADC by 63.9% (range, 8.7–211.3%) 3 weeks after therapy onset in therapy responders, similar to the results of previous studies. Therefore, ADC mapping has shown to be a useful tool for the assessment of the disease course of MM.

PERFUSION. Changes in vascular permeability and tumor blood flow through myeloma-induced angiogenesis can be detected using DCE MRI, eg, when using fat-saturated spin-echo T1-weighted sequences after intravenous administration of contrast medium. DCE MRI techniques can be enhanced through the tracking the temporal passage of the contrast bolus using time—intensity curves (TIC). During the first pass, the contrast agent flows directly from the intravascular to the extracellular space. Afterwards, the contrast agent leaks into tissue and renal clearance occurs; therefore, both the intravascular concentration of contrast agent drops below the interstitial level, and diffusion reverses until all contrast agent is eliminated. TICs therefore allow the semiquantitative and quantitative analysis of DCE imaging using pharmacokinetic models detecting the changes of tissue concentrations of contrast agent over time. Time—intensity curve parameters have been shown to be able to differentiate between different MM groups.

**Radiation Therapy Planning and Effects**

Insufficiency fractures and hematologic toxicity are clinically important complications of radiation therapy. Bone marrow water/fat quantification has been proposed for measuring the patient-specific bone marrow cellularity distribution in radiation therapy planning. The quantitative evaluation of radiation therapy-associated changes has only been performed in a limited number of studies. Changes of irradiated bone marrow reflecting fatty replacement are traditionally recognized by prolonged T₁ relaxation times.
Carmona et al recruited 19 subjects who received either highly myelotoxic treatment (radiation and chemotherapy) or less myelotoxic treatment (capecitabine-radiation therapy or no concurrent chemotherapy). Subjects underwent water–fat imaging of the entire spine at baseline, midtreatment, and posttreatment visits. Relative to the reference C3–T9, statistically significant changes in PDFF per visit were observed within L4–S2 (10.1%) and within T10–L3 (3.9%) in the highly myelotoxic group. No significant changes in PDFF were found in the less myelotoxic group. Thus, water–fat imaging is sensitive to changes of bone marrow composition induced by chemoradiation therapy. Similar findings were demonstrated by Bolan et al. They included 13 women with gynecologic malignancies who received radiation and/or chemotherapy. Water–fat imaging was performed at L4 and the femoral neck before treatment and at 6-month follow-up. Fat fraction increases at L4 (16.2%) and the femoral neck (4.5%) were statistically significant. In the future, it remains to be investigated whether these quantitative measurements can improve the risk prediction for hematologic toxicity and insufficiency fractures in patients undergoing radiation therapy.

**Future research directions**

Quantitative bone marrow MRI allows the noninvasive characterization of bone marrow with a broad range of applications including pathological states of the bone marrow mineralized component, the hematopoietic component, and the fat component. Regarding the fat component, given also the current recent activity on bone marrow adipose tissue physiology, the development of novel noninvasive bone marrow fat biomarkers remains necessary in order to characterize the different types of bone marrow adipocytes. Regarding the mineralized component, bone marrow characterization remains an important aspect of better understanding the pathophysiology of bone loss induced by both primary and secondary osteoporosis. In addition to the relationship between bone marrow fat fraction and BMD in primary osteoporosis, bone marrow fat changes have been also reported in secondary osteoporosis patients, like patients with chronic kidney disease, in prostate cancer patients treated with androgen deprivation therapy, and in women receiving estrogen.

For example, low BMD and increased fracture risk are increasingly recognized as significant sequelae of HIV
infection and treatment. However, while BMD differences between HIV-infected individuals and healthy controls are eliminated by statistical adjustment for weight, fracture incidence remains significantly elevated in HIV-infected individuals despite adjustment. Although the etiology of bone quality changes in HIV is unknown, an imbalance in bone and fat cell differentiation may be contributing to low bone quality. However, very little data exist on marrow adiposity in the context of HIV and even a positive relationship between bone quality and marrow adiposity has been previously reported, rather than the expected inverse association. One explanation of these findings could be related to abnormalities in the bone marrow in HIV patients that might be incorrectly categorized as hematopoietic or low fat fraction bone marrow. For example, our own preliminary data (Fig. 9) showed that an increased prevalence of marrow serous-like pattern in HIV-infected subjects could be misinterpreted as regions of hematopoietic bone marrow. In Fig. 9, hypointense regions are seen on the T1-weighted clinical TSE image, However, the fat-suppressed T2-weighted image reveals the presence of fluid in that region. This finding is confirmed by the PDFF map that shows almost no fat present in this region (hematopoietic marrow in the spine typically contains at least 46% to 66% fat). A high prevalence of serous-like patterns in individuals with HIV has been previously reported. Therefore, these findings emphasize the need for high-resolution fat fraction mapping.
Emerging techniques

Despite the recent progress on reliably and robustly measuring bone marrow fat fraction, many recently used bone marrow imaging biomarkers lack standardization and do not provide a comprehensive characterization of bone marrow properties, which are relevant to bone marrow physiology. The extraction of PDFF instead of SFF and the compensation for unsuppressed fat in bone marrow water ADC measurements constitute simple examples of imaging strategies on how to standardize bone marrow imaging markers. In addition, quantitative bone marrow MRI remains an area where significant new developments have been recently proposed, especially for measuring properties of fatty acid composition and the properties of the trabecular bone matrix.

Despite the significant interest in measuring bone marrow fat unsaturation, the measurement of fat unsaturation in red bone marrow regions remains challenging in the presence of strong water peaks and broad linewidths. Long-TE PRESS and STEAM sequences can reduce the strength of the water peak, but have to significantly prolong the TE in order to minimize J-coupling effects and therefore suffer from reduced SNR. Diffusion-weighted MRS has been proposed as an alternative way to reduce the water peak in regions with low fat fraction, but DW-MRS also requires large b-values to reduce the water peak given the low diffusion coefficient of bone marrow water. An alternative approach to reduce the water peak is using an inversion recovery-prepared MRS (Fig. 10). A joint fitting of variable inversion time spectra could be then employed to extract the water and olefinic fat peaks.

In addition, quantitative magnetic susceptibility (QSM) has been proposed for measuring the magnetic susceptibility in different body parts. Bone marrow QSM might become an alternative to overcome the limitations of bone marrow T2* mapping techniques on indirectly measuring trabecular bone density. Recent applications of QSM techniques have been shown in cortical and trabecular bone regions in the extremities. QSM algorithms rely on the inversion of the susceptibility-induced field map variations. Examples of the field map variations in bone marrow regions with different trabecular bone density are shown in Fig. 11.

In conclusion, quantitative MRI of bone marrow is a valuable tool for the assessment of the physiology and pathophysiology of trabecular bone matrix, the bone marrow adipocytes, and the bone marrow hematopoietic cells. The unique water–fat composition of bone marrow imposes some important technical challenges when measuring the properties of only its water and fat component, and many of the previously used quantitative MRI markers lack standardization, which hinders the comparison of results across studies and their translation to larger-scale clinical studies and eventually the clinical routine.
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