Introduction

The early and intensive treatment of people with type 2 diabetes (T2D) is of key importance for reducing the risk of late diabetic complications, such as microvascular disease [1]. T2D is linked to obesity [2], and the cornerstone of treatment is lifestyle changes to promote weight loss and increase exercise [3]; however, because of the progressive nature of T2D, pharmacological therapy to address hyperglycaemia becomes necessary in almost all patients. Pharmacological treatment is, unfortunately, often associated with side effects such as weight gain (e.g. sulphonylureas, insulin and thiazolidinediones) [4,5], hypoglycaemia (e.g. sulphonylureas and insulin) [6,7], gastrointestinal (GI) discomfort [e.g. metformin and glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1RAs)] and genital infections [sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors] [8–10]. Notwithstanding the GI discomfort with GLP-1RAs, their introduction over the last decade has greatly improved treatment of T2D [11–14].

Human GLP-1 is a member of the incretin family of glucoregulatory hormones, and is secreted in response to food ingestion [15,16]. Glucagon-like peptide-1 has multiple effects that are desirable in the treatment of T2D, including: glucose-dependent increased insulin secretion; glucose-dependent decreased glucagon secretion; delayed gastric emptying; increased satiety; and, as shown in some animal studies, protection of $\beta$-cell mass [17,18].

Unfortunately, although intravenously infused GLP-1 can normalize plasma glucose concentrations in people with T2D [19,20], it has an extremely short half-life (1–2 min) [16] that limits its therapeutic value [21]. Multiple GLP-1RAs have been developed to recapitulate the physiological effects of GLP-1 but with an extended duration of action (achieved by various changes to the molecular structure) compared with the native peptide [22]. The present review examines the available evidence from published head-to-head clinical trials with GLP-1RAs, and contrasts the relative clinical benefits of the short- and longer-acting agents.

Characteristics of GLP-1RAs

Seven GLP-1RAs are included in the present review, all of which have been studied in phase III clinical trials. The GLP-1RAs are: exenatide twice daily (Byetta®, AstraZeneca; approved in Europe in November 2006 and 28 May 2005 in USA [23,24]); lixisenatide (Victoza®, Novo Nordisk; approved in Europe in June 2009 and 25 January 2010 in USA [25,26]); exenatide once weekly (Bydureon®, AstraZeneca; approved in Europe in...
June 2011 and 26 January 2012 in USA [27,28]); lixisenatide (Lyxumia®, Sanofi; approved in Europe in November 2014 and September 2014 in USA [30,31]); dulaglutide (Trulicity™, Lilly; approved in Europe in March 2014, in February 2013 in USA [32,33]); and taspoglutide (Ipsen/Roche). These have all now been approved for use in T2D, with the exception of taspoglutide, the development of which was halted because of serious hypersensitivity reactions and GI adverse events (AEs) during clinical trials; however, the available data for this compound are included in the present review to give a full picture of the GLP-1RA family.

As a drug class, the GLP-1RAs have proven efficacy for lowering glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) with a reduced risk of hypoglycaemia compared with insulin or sulphonylureas [34]. These characteristics underlie the inclusion of GLP-1RAs in various clinical practice guidelines. Their use as dual therapy with metformin after first-line metformin and as triple therapy (in combination with metformin and a sulphonylurea/thiazolidinedione/insulin) is part of the European Association for the Study of Diabetes/American Diabetes Association recommendations [34]. GLP-1RAs are recommended as monotherapy, dual therapy and triple therapy by the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists/American College of Endocrinology guidelines [35]. Nonetheless, they differ substantially in their molecular structure and degree of homology to endogenous GLP-1, both in their chemical and physiological properties and in their durations of action (Table 1).

Several GLP-1RAs (exenatide twice daily, exenatide once weekly and lixisenatide) are based on the exendin-4 molecule, a peptide with 53% identity to native GLP-1 [36,42,43], while others, such as liraglutide, albiglutide, dulaglutide and taspoglutide are classified as GLP-1RA analogues with 97, 95, 90 and 93% identity, respectively, to native GLP-1 [38–40]. The GLP-1RAs are, in addition, commonly considered to fall into two different classes based on their duration of receptor activation. The short-acting compounds, delivering short-lived receptor activation, comprise exenatide twice daily and lixisenatide once daily. The long-acting compounds, which activate the GLP-1 receptor continuously at their recommended dose, comprise liraglutide once daily, and the once-weekly formulations of exenatide, albiglutide, dulaglutide and taspoglutide (Table 1). These different durations of action largely explain variations among GLP-1RAs in their impact on fasting plasma glucose (FGP), 24-h glucose profile and postprandial plasma glucose (PPG) levels [60,61]. Delayed gastric emptying, for example, is more strongly associated with short-acting than longer-acting GLP-1RAs (Figure 1), and this may underlie the greater effects on PPG observed with short-acting GLP-1RAs. Meanwhile, the greater half-lives of the longer-acting compounds allow enhanced effects on the whole 24-h glucose level, including FPG. Longer-acting GLP-1RAs do not significantly affect gastric motility. Instead, they exert more of their effect via the pancreas, increasing insulin secretion and inhibiting glucagon secretion via paracrine release of somatostatin (Figure 1) [22].

Not only do GLP-1RAs differ from each other in terms of their duration of action [39,46–51], they also show varying levels of affinity for the GLP-1 receptor [62]. This difference between GLP-1RAs is also evident in their varying efficacy with regard to HbA1c reduction and weight loss, and differing tolerability profiles and potential for immunogenicity [22,63–65]. It is important to understand these specific characteristics to appropriately tailor the choice of GLP-1RA to the individual patient. Head-to-head clinical trials are the best way to elucidate variations in efficacy and tolerability, and a number of such studies have been conducted with GLP-1RAs in T2D.

**Head-to-head Comparison Trials**

To date, the results from nine phase III randomized trials that directly compare different pairs of GLP-1RAs have been published [12–14,40,54–56,66,67]. An overview of the designs of these studies is provided in Table 2. One large phase II study, comparing liraglutide and lixisenatide pharmacodynamics, is also included [61].

Of the GLP1-RAs in the head-to-head trials, exenatide twice daily and lixisenatide were the most common comparators (Table 2). The majority of the phase III studies included in the present review were of ~6 months’ duration, although several also had extension phases to give trial durations up to 12 months (Table 2). All of the phase III trials examined changes in HbA1c as the primary endpoint; the phase II study by Kapitza et al. [61], however, used changes in FPG exposure as the primary endpoint.

In general, baseline characteristics were similar across trial populations and between treatment groups within individual trials (Table 3). The mean age of participants ranged from 55 to 61 years across the studies, with mean duration of diabetes ranging from 6 to 9 years. Mean baseline HbA1c levels were in the range of 8.0 (64 mmol/mol) to 8.7% (72 mmol/mol) across the studies, except for the phase II study (in which HbA1c levels were lower) [61]. Glucose concentrations in the range of 9.1–9.9 mmol/l were determined in plasma and serum samples, and mean baseline weight was consistently in the range 91–102 kg, except in an Asian study, in which mean weight was lower [56] (Table 3). Differences in key study outcomes are discussed in the following sections.

**Glycaemic Measurements**

In all of the head-to-head trials, the GLP-1RAs studied led to notable reductions in HbA1c.

These reductions ranged between 0.3 (3 mmol/mol) and 1.9% (21 mmol/mol). Although data are not comparable across studies because of differences in study design and patient cohorts, there were some important differences between treatment arms in the magnitude of HbA1c reductions (Figure 2). In particular, in the DURATION-1, DURATION-5 studies and the study by Ji et al. [54–56], exenatide once weekly produced both more consistent and greater reductions in HbA1c levels than did exenatide twice daily (p < 0.0023). In the GetGoal-X study [12], meanwhile, exenatide twice daily showed a numerically greater HbA1c reduction than lixisenatide. Liraglutide, in
### Table 1. Comparative characteristics of the glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists.

|                        | Exenatide twice daily | Exenatide once weekly | Liraglutide once daily | Lixisenatide once daily | Albiglutide once weekly | Dulaglutide once weekly | Taspoglutide once weekly |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| **Percentage amino acid sequence similarity to native GLP-1** | 53% [36]              | 53% [36]              | 97% [37]               | ≈50%*                   | 95% [38]               | 90% [39]               | 93% [40]               |
| **Properties of the drug** | Resistant to DPP-4 cleavage, largely due to the substitution of alanine in position 2 by glycine [41] | Encapsulated in biodegradable polymer microspheres [42] | C-16 fatty acid confers albumin binding and heptamer formation [38] | Based on exenatide, but is modified by the deletion of one proline residue and addition of six lysine residues at the C-terminal [43] | The GLP-1 portion of the molecule is fused to an IgG4 molecule, limiting renal clearance and prolonging activity [39] | Modifications designed to hinder cleavage by DPP-4 and by serine proteases and also allows greater receptor binding [45] |
| **Half-life**          | 2.4 h [46]            |                      | 11–15 h [48]          | 2.7–4.3 h [49]          | 6–8 days [50]           | ≈5 days [39]           | 165 h [51]            |
| **T<sub>max</sub>**    | 2.1 h [46]            | 2.1–5.1 h during the first 48 h [47] | ≈9–12 h [48]          | 1.25–2.25 h [49]        | 72–96 h [50]           | 24–72 h [39]           | 4, 6 and 8 h at 1, 8 and 30 mg doses, respectively [51] |
| **Clearance**          | 9.1 l/h [52]          | Unpublished results  | 1.2 l/h [52]           | 21.2–28.5 l/h [49]      | 67 ml/h [53]           | 0.75 mg and 1.5 mg at steady state was 0.073 and 0.107 l/h, respectively [29] | Unpublished results |
| **Antibody formation** | • In head-to-head studies, antibodies were more common and titres were higher with exenatide once weekly compared with exenatide twice daily [54–56]. • Antibodies did not correlate with rates of reported AEs [54–56]. | | From six phase 3 studies, 8.7 and 8.3% of participants had low-titre antibodies to liraglutide 1.2 and 1.8 mg, respectively, after 26 weeks [57] | Antibodies developed in: • 56–60% of participants treated with 20 μg once daily [58] • 43% of participants treated with 10 μg once daily and 71% treated with 20 μg twice daily [59] | Antibodies developed in 3.7% of participants treated with albiglutide [14] | Antibodies developed in <1% of patients [13] | Detected in 49% of participants [40] |

**AE**, adverse event; **DPP-4**, dipeptidyl peptidase-4; **GLP-1**, glucagon-like peptide-1; **GLP-1RA**, GLP-1 receptor agonist; **IgG4**, immunoglobin 4; **T<sub>max</sub>**, time to maximum plasma concentration.

*Value based on similarity to exenatide.
Figure 1. Gastric-emptying effects of short-acting versus longer-acting glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1RAs). (A) Short-acting GLP-1RAs suppress gastric emptying, which prolongs the presence of food in the stomach and upper small intestine; the reduced transpyloric flow causes delayed intestinal glucose absorption and diminished postprandial insulin secretion. Short-acting GLP-1RAs may also directly suppress glucagon secretion. (B) Longer-acting GLP-1RAs do not significantly affect gastric motility, because of tachyphylaxis. Instead, longer-acting GLP-1RAs exert more of their effect via the pancreas, increasing insulin secretion, and inhibiting glucagon secretion via paracrine release of somatostatin. By targeting the central nervous system, both shorter- (A) and longer (B) -acting GLP-1RAs increase satiety and also may induce nausea. Adapted from Meier [22]. Adapted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature Reviews Endocrinology 2012;8(12):728–42, copyright 2012.

the DURATION-6 and LEAD-6 studies, led to greater HbA1c reductions than both exenatide twice daily and once weekly (p ≤ 0.02) [66,67]. Liraglutide also led to greater reductions in HbA1c than lixisenatide (p < 0.01) in the phase II study (although it was not the primary endpoint and the study duration was short [61]). Likewise, in the HARMONY 7 study [14], liraglutide led to greater reductions in HbA1c than albiglutide (however, the predefined non-inferiority criteria for albiglutide were not met). In the AWARD-6 study [13], the reduction in HbA1c did not differ between liraglutide and dulaglutide after 26 weeks of treatment. In the T-emerge 2 study, taspoglутide at 10 and 20 mg led to greater reductions in HbA1c than exenatide 10 μg twice daily (p < 0.0001) [40].

In addition, PPG and FPG were assessed in many of these trials. As expected, based on the delayed gastric emptying seen with the short-acting GLP-1RAs, exenatide twice daily and lixisenatide had greater effects on PPG than the longer-acting GLP-1RAs and this improvement was observed after the meal that followed the injection. For example, in the phase II study, lixisenatide administered before breakfast was associated with significantly greater reductions in maximum PPG excursion than liraglutide (∼3.9 mmol/l vs −1.4 mmol/l, respectively; p < 0.0001), resulting in PPG of 7.3 and 10.1 mmol/l, respectively, 2 h after starting breakfast [61]. The differential effect on PPG is evident in the 24-h plasma glucose profiles shown in Figure 3. These data are supported by results from another phase II study, which showed that lixisenatide had a significantly greater effect than liraglutide in reducing area under the PPG curve after a standardized solid breakfast. This difference was thought to be attributable to significant delays in gastric emptying with lixisenatide versus liraglutide, which reduced post-breakfast blood glucose exposure [68].

Similarly, in a comparison of exenatide twice daily and exenatide once weekly, the mean change from baseline in 2-h PPG was significantly greater with the twice-daily versus the once-weekly formulation (∼6.9 mmol/l vs −5.3 mmol/l, respectively; p = 0.0124), and the delay in gastric emptying was more pronounced with exenatide twice daily than with exenatide once weekly [54]. Furthermore, in a study conducted in Asian participants by Ji et al. [56], exenatide twice daily produced significantly greater reductions in postprandial blood glucose than exenatide once weekly based on assessments 2 h after each of the morning and evening meals (p < 0.001); however, in a sub-analysis of participants in the T-emerge 2 study, the longer-acting GLP-1RA taspoglутide had similar effects to exenatide twice daily on postprandial metabolism, although the mechanisms underlying this effect are not entirely clear [69].

Generally, the longer-acting GLP-1RAs improve glucose control via a downward shift of the whole 24-h glucose curve, which explains the greater overall efficacy compared with the short-acting exenatide twice daily and lixisenatide once daily. While the short-acting GLP-1RAs typically have an advantage with respect to PPG, the situation is reversed with FPG. Here, the longer-acting GLP-1RAs resulted in greater improvements.
Table 2. Design of published phase III (and one key phase II) randomized head-to-head studies of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists in type 2 diabetes.

| Study name          | Treatment arms                                      | Duration | Inclusion criteria                                                                 | Primary endpoint                     | Key secondary endpoints                                                                 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| DURATION-1 [54]     | Exenatide once weekly vs exenatide twice daily vs   | 30 weeks*| ≥16 years of age<br>Treatment with diet and exercise, or with 1–2 OADs (metformin, SU and/or TZD)<br>HbA1c 7.1–11.0%<br>FPG <16 mmol/l<br>BMI 25–45 kg/m² | Change in HbA1c                      | Participants achieving HbA1c targets ≤7.0% (53 mmol/mol), ≤6.5% (48 mmol/mol) and ≤6.0% (42 mmol/mol)<br>Changes in FPG, PPG, weight, BP, lipids and glucagon<br>Exenatide pharmacokinetics and paracetamol absorption<br>Safety and tolerability |
| DURATION-5 [55]     | Exenatide once weekly vs exenatide twice daily      | 24 weeks | ≥18 years of age<br>Treated with diet and exercise, or with metformin, SU, TZD or a combination<br>HbA1c 7.1–11.0%<br>FPG <15.5 mmol/l<br>BMI 25–45 kg/m² | Change in HbA1c                      | Participants achieving HbA1c targets ≤7.0% (53 mmol/mol) and ≤6.5% (48 mmol/mol)<br>Patients achieving FPG target ≤7.0 mmol/l<br>Changes in FPG, weight, BP and lipids<br>Safety and tolerability |
| Ji et al. [56]      | Exenatide once weekly vs exenatide twice daily      | 26 weeks | ≥20 years of age<br>Treated with 1–3 OADs (metformin, SU, TZD)<br>HbA1c 7.0–11.0%<br>BMI 21–35 kg/m² | Change in HbA1c                      | Participants achieving HbA1c targets ≤7.0% (53 mmol/mol), ≤6.5% (48 mmol/mol) and ≤6.0% (42 mmol/mol)<br>Changes in FSG, SMPG, weight, lipids, HOMA-β and insulin sensitivity<br>Safety and tolerability |
| DURATION-6 [67]     | Exenatide once weekly vs liraglutide once daily     | 26 weeks | ≥18 years of age<br>Treated with diet and exercise and OADs (metformin, SU, metformin + SU, or metformin + pioglitazone)<br>HbA1c 7.1–11.0%<br>BMI ≤45 kg/m²<br>Stable body weight | Change in HbA1c                      | Participants achieving HbA1c target ≤7.0%<br>Changes in FSG, weight, BP and lipids<br>Patient-reported outcomes<br>Safety and tolerability |
| LEAD-6 [66]         | Exenatide twice daily vs liraglutide once daily     | 26 weeks*| 18–80 years of age<br>Treatment with metformin, SU or both<br>HbA1c 7.0–11.0%<br>BMI ≤45 kg/m² | Change in HbA1c                      | Participants reaching HbA1c targets ≤7.0% (53 mmol/mol) and ≤6.5% (48 mmol/mol)<br>Changes in FPG, SMPG, weight, BP, lipids, glucagon and HOMA-β<br>Safety and tolerability |
| GetGoal-X [12]      | Exenatide twice daily vs lixisenatide once daily    | 24 weeks | 21–84 years of age<br>Treated with metformin<br>HbA1c 7.0–10.0% | Change in HbA1c                      | Participants achieving HbA1c targets ≤7.0% (53 mmol/mol) and ≤6.5% (48 mmol/mol)<br>Changes in FPG and weight<br>Safety and tolerability |
### Table 2. Continued

| Study name                  | Treatment arms                        | Duration | Inclusion criteria                                                                 | Primary endpoint                  | Key secondary endpoints                                                                 |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| HARMONY 7 [14]              | Albiglutide once weekly vs liraglutide once daily | 32 weeks | ≥18 years of age Treated with metformin, SU, TZD or a combination HbA1c 7.0–10.0% BMI 20–45 kg/m² | Change in HbA1c                | Participants achieving HbA1c targets [<7.0% (53 mmol/mol) and <6.5% (48 mmol/mol)] Changes in FPG and weight Safety and tolerability |
| AWARD-6 [13]                | Dulaglutide once weekly vs liraglutide once daily | 26 weeks | ≥18 years of age Treated with metformin HbA1c 7.0–10.0%                               | Change in HbA1c                | Participants achieving HbA1c targets [<7.0% (53 mmol/mol) and ≤6.5% (48 mmol/mol)] Change in FSG, SMPG, weight, BMI and HOMA-β Safety and tolerability |
| T-emerge 2 [40]             | Exenatide twice daily vs taspoglutide once weekly | 24 weeks* | 18–75 years of age Treated with metformin and/or TZD HbA1c 7.0–10.0% BMI 25–45 kg/m² Stable body weight | Change in HbA1c                | Changes in FPG and weight (assessed over 52 weeks) Changes in fasting pro-insulin, fasting pro-insulin/insulin ratio and HOMA-β (assessed over 52 weeks) Safety and tolerability |
| Kapitza et al. †[61]        | Lixisenatide once daily vs liraglutide once daily | 28 days  | 37–74 years of age Treated with metformin HbA1c 6.5–9.0%                             | Change in PPG exposure           | Changes in maximum PPG excursion after a standardized breakfast test meal Changes in premeal serum insulin, serum C-peptide and plasma glucagon levels 24-h plasma glucose profile Mean HbA1c Safety and tolerability |

All studies were open-label.

BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; FSG, fasting serum glucose; GLP-1RA, glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist; HbA1c, glycated haemoglobin HOMA-β, homeostasis model assessment of β-cell function; OAD, oral antidiabetic drug; PPG, postprandial glucose; SMPG, self-measured plasma glucose; SU, sulphonylurea; T2D, type 2 diabetes; TZD, thiazolidinedione.

*Also included an extension phase up to 52 weeks.

†Phase II study (n = 148).
Table 3. Baseline characteristics of participant populations in published phase III (and one phase II) randomized head-to-head studies of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists in type 2 diabetes.

| Study                | Exenatide 10 μg twice daily (n = 148) | Exenatide 2 mg once weekly (n = 147) | Exenatide 10 μg twice daily (n = 129) | Exenatide 2 mg once weekly (n = 123) | Ji et al. (26 weeks) [56] | Exenatide 2 mg once weekly (n = 340) | Exenatide 10 μg twice daily (n = 338) | LEAD-6 (26 weeks) [66] | Exenatide 2 mg once weekly (n = 461) | Exenatide 10 μg twice daily (n = 450) |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| **Age, years**       | 55 (10)                               | 55 (10)                              | 56 (11)                              | 56 (10)                              | 57 (9)                    | 57 (10)                              | 57 (11)                              | 56 (10)                              | 57 (11)                              | 56 (10)                              |
| **Male/female, %**   | 55/45                                 | 51/49                                | 60/40                                | 55/45                                | 54/46                     | 54/46                                | 55/45                                | 49/51                                | 55/45                                | 49/51                                |
| **Race, %**          |                                       |                                       |                                       |                                       |                           |                                       |                                       |                                       |                                       |                                       |
| White                | 83                                    | 73                                   | 45                                    | 47                                   | 46                        | 52                                    | 47                                    | 50                                   | 58                                   | 50                                   |
| Black/African American | 6                                   | 13                                   | 5                                     | 7                                    | 1                         | 1                                     | 1                                     | 5                                    | 6                                    |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 0                             | 1                                    | 4                                     | 4                                    | 1                         | 1                                     | 1                                     | <1                                   | 1                                    |
| Other*               | 11                                    | 14                                   |                                       |                                       | 2                         | 4                                     | 1                                     | 2                                    | 1                                    |
| Multiple†            | —                                     | —                                    |                                       |                                       | <1                        | 4                                     | —                                     | —                                    | —                                    |
| **Ethnic origin**    |                                       |                                       |                                       |                                       |                           |                                       |                                       |                                       |                                       |
| Hispanic/Latin American, % | 11                                 | 14                                   | 29                                    | 33                                   | 21                        | 22                                    | 11                                    | 14                                   |                                       |                                       |
| **BMI, kg/m²**       | 35 (5)                                | 35 (5)                               | 34 (6)                                | 33 (5)                               | 26 (4)                    | 27 (3)                                | 32 (6)                                | 32 (5)                               | 33 (6)                                | 33 (6)                               |
| **Weight, kg**       | 102 (19)                              | 102 (19)                             | 97 (21)                               | 94 (19)                               | 70 (12)                   | 70 (12)                               | 91 (20)                               | 91 (19)                              | 93 (20)                               | 93 (20)                               |
| **HbA1c, %**         | 8.3 (1.0)                             | 8.3 (1.0)                            | 8.5 (1.1)                             | 8.4 (1.2)                            | 8.7 (1.0)                 | 8.7 (1.0)                             | 8.5 (1.0)                             | 8.4 (1.0)                            | 8.1 (1.0)                             | 8.2 (1.0)                             |
| **Duration of diabetes, years** | 7 (6)                            | 6 (5)                                | 7 (5)                                 | 7 (5)                                | 9.4 (1.27)                | 9.4 (1.27)                           | 9.2 (1.27)                            | 9.3 (1.27)                           | 9.3 (1.27)                            | 9.4 (1.27)                             |
| **SBP, mmHg**        | 128 (1)†                              | 130 (1)‡                             | 130 (1)†                              | 130 (1)†                             | 131 (1)†                  | 132 (1)†                             | 134 (1)†                              | 134 (1)†                             | 134 (1)†                              | 134 (1)†                              |
| **DBP, mmHg**        | 78 (1)†‡                              | 80 (1)†‡                             | 78 (1)†‡                              | 77 (1)†‡                             | 79 (1)†‡                  | 80 (1)†‡                             | 79 (1)†‡                              | 80 (1)†‡                             | 79 (1)†‡                              | 80 (1)†‡                              |
For example, in DURATION-1, changes in FPG were significantly greater after 30 weeks with exenatide once daily than with exenatide twice daily ($-2.3$ mmol/l vs $-1.4$ mmol/l, respectively; $p < 0.0001$) [54]. Similarly, in DURATION-5, the mean change in FPG at 24 weeks was significantly greater with exenatide once daily than with exenatide twice daily ($-1.9$ mmol/l vs $-0.7$ mmol/l, respectively; $p = 0.0008$) [55]. The longer-acting GLP-1RA taspoglutide was also associated with a significantly greater reduction in FPG than short-acting exenatide twice daily at 24 weeks [40]. Accordingly, in the phase II study, changes in FPG were greater with liraglutide than with lixisenatide ($-1.3$ mmol/l vs $-0.3$ mmol/l, respectively; $p < 0.0001$) [61]. Likewise, the long-acting liraglutide once daily demonstrated greater improvements than exenatide twice daily ($-1.6$ mmol/l vs $-0.6$ mmol/l, respectively) [66]. Comparisons of once-daily liraglutide with once-weekly formulations produced a mixed pattern: liraglutide demonstrated superiority to exenatide once weekly ($-2.1$ mmol/l vs $-1.8$ mmol/l, respectively; $p = 0.02$) [67] and albiglutide once weekly ($-1.7$ mmol/l vs $-1.2$ mmol/l, respectively; $p = 0.0048$) [14] in lowering fasting serum glucose and FPG, respectively, but no significant difference compared with dulaglutide once weekly ($1.90$ mmol/l vs $1.93$ mmol/l, respectively) [13].

## Effects on Weight

As a class, the GLP-1RAs have all been shown to have a weight-reduction effect (Figure 4), and this effect is significantly greater than is typically seen with most other therapeutic classes [70]. Indeed, a systematic review of clinical trials involving exenatide twice daily, liraglutide and exenatide once weekly in participants with a body mass index (BMI) $\geq 25$ kg/m$^2$ (with or without T2D) found a greater reduction in weight with these compounds versus non-GLP-1RA-treated control compounds (weighted mean difference: $-2.9$ kg) [70].

The weight benefit varies among GLP-1RAs and studies. For example, Ji et al. [56] found that exenatide twice daily was associated with a significantly greater reduction in weight than exenatide once weekly ($p < 0.0001$) but, in DURATION-1 and DURATION-5 [54,55], weight loss was not significantly different between the two exenatide formulations. In the T-emerge 2 study, exenatide twice daily showed a greater (non-significant) reduction in weight than taspoglutide $10$ mg once weekly but showed no difference in weight loss compared with taspoglutide $20$ mg once weekly [40]. In the LEAD-6 study, exenatide twice daily and liraglutide treatment led to similar levels of weight loss (3.2 and 2.9 kg, respectively; $p = 0.2235$) [66]. Exenatide twice daily was associated with greater (non-significant) weight loss than lixisenatide in the GetGoal-X study [12], and liraglutide treatment led to greater weight loss than lixisenatide in the study by Kapitza et al. [61] ($p < 0.01$; Figure 3). Other head-to-head trials revealed significantly greater reductions in weight with liraglutide than the once-weekly treatments exenatide ($p = 0.0005$), albiglutide ($p < 0.0001$) and dulaglutide ($p = 0.011$; Figure 4) [13,14,67]. Between-treatment differences were $0.9$, $1.6$ and $0.7$ kg, respectively [13,14,67].

In the Ji et al. [56] and DURATION-6 studies [67], the greatest weight loss was observed in participants treated with...
Figure 2. Reductions in glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) in published phase III (and one phase II) randomized head-to-head studies of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists in type 2 diabetes. *Non-inferiority criteria met. †Non-inferiority criteria not met. ‡Phase II study. §A 1% change in HbA1c corresponds to a 10.93 mmol/mol change in The International Federation of Clinical Chemistry units.

Figure 3. Mean 24-h postprandial plasma glucose profiles at baseline and day 28. Data are mean ± standard error of the mean. Adapted from Kapitza et al. [61].

exenatide (once weekly or twice daily) or liraglutide with the highest baseline BMI. Furthermore, in a retrospective analysis of seven phase III trials from the liraglutide diabetes development programme, a slightly greater weight reduction was observed in participants treated with liraglutide, with a longer duration of GI AEs [71].

The exact mechanism by which GLP-1 exerts its anorectic effects is a matter of contention, but both peripheral and brain GLP-1 receptors seem to be involved [72,73]. It is also unclear whether the reduced weight loss with the large molecules, albiglutide and dulaglutide, compared with liraglutide can be explained by less direct activation of the GLP-1 receptors in the hypothalamic areas and brain stem. Indeed, compared with liraglutide, the larger molecular sizes of albiglutide and dulaglutide may hinder transport across the blood–brain barrier or through fenestrated capillaries [73]. Alternatively, it may be a question of suboptimum dosing of the once-weekly GLP-1RAs; this may also explain the differences in reduction in HbA1c level.

Cardiovascular Measurements

Blood Pressure

Improvements in both systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) have been reported in clinical trials
of GLP-1RAs. Indeed, a meta-analysis of trials involving exenatide once weekly, exenatide twice daily or liraglutide found that these treatments significantly decreased SBP: by $-1.79$ and $-2.39\text{mmHg}$ compared with placebo and active controls, respectively [74]. There was also a trend towards decreased DBP with GLP-1RAs, but reductions did not reach statistical significance.

Head-to-head trials have not found significant differences in effects on blood pressure (BP) among different GLP-1RAs [12–14,54–56,66,67]. For example, in the DURATION-1 study [54], both SBP and DBP were reduced over 30 weeks of treatment with exenatide once weekly and exenatide twice daily (mean change in SBP $-4.7$ and $-3.4\text{mmHg}$ and in DBP: $-1.7$ and $-1.7\text{mmHg}$, respectively). In the DURATION-5 study, larger reductions in SBP (mean change: exenatide once weekly $-7.9\text{mmHg}$ and exenatide twice daily $-7.7\text{mmHg}$) were observed in participants with elevated baseline SBP ($\geq130\text{mmHg}$) but this reduction was similar in the two treatment arms. In the LEAD-6 trial [66], exenatide twice daily and liraglutide were associated with similar reductions in SBP and DBP at 26 weeks (mean change in SBP $-2.0$ and $-2.5\text{mmHg}$; change in DBP $-2.0$ and $-1.1\text{mmHg}$, respectively); however, in the extension phases of DURATION-1 and LEAD-6, which continued to 52 weeks, participants switching from exenatide twice daily to either exenatide once weekly or liraglutide experienced further reductions in SBP ($-3.8\text{mmHg}$ in both studies) [75,76].

Reductions in SBP and DBP were also observed in the GetGoal-X trial of lixisenatide vs exenatide twice daily (mean change in SBP: $-2.5$ and $-2.9\text{mmHg}$; change in DBP: $-1.8$ and $-1.3\text{mmHg}$, respectively) [12], and in the AWARD-6 trial of dulaglutide vs liraglutide (mean change in SBP $-3.4$ and $-2.8\text{mmHg}$; change in DBP $-0.2$ and $-0.3\text{mmHg}$, respectively) [13]. Again, there were no statistically significant differences between treatments in either study.

Heart Rate

Increases in resting heart rate have been reported with GLP-1RAs [74]. Although the underlying physiological mechanisms have not yet been defined, the activation of the GLP-1 receptors in the sino-atrial node could play a role [77].

A meta-analysis of studies involving exenatide once weekly, exenatide twice daily or liraglutide found that these treatments increased heart rate by 1.86 beats/min (bpm) vs placebo and by 1.90 bpm vs active comparators [74]. Head-to-head trials have suggested that heart rate increases may be smaller with exenatide twice daily than exenatide once weekly or liraglutide [55,56,66]. Dulaglutide is also associated with a small increase in heart rate, of similar magnitude to that with liraglutide [13]. Lixisenatide and albiglutide did not appear to be associated with clinically relevant increases in heart rate [12,14]. Since heart rate was mostly estimated during daytime, 24-h monitoring was needed to understand the different effects of the short- and longer-acting GLP-1RAs on heart rate. In a phase II study by Meier et al. [68], liraglutide doses increased the mean ± standard error 24-h heart rate from baseline by $9\pm1$ bpm versus $3\pm1$ bpm with lixisenatide (p < 0.001) at week 8. Greater heart rate increases at week 8 with liraglutide were observed at night time, while heart rate increases with lixisenatide were greatest during the day.

Potential explanations for the increased heart rate observed in these studies could include a reflex mechanism compensating for vasodilation and lowering of BP [77]. Indeed, in a pooled analysis of six clinical trials, liraglutide was associated...
with significantly greater SBP reductions than glimepiride and insulin glargine and rosiglitazone [78].

Safety and Tolerability

Gastrointestinal Adverse Events

The most frequently observed AEs with GLP-1RAs are GI disorders, particularly nausea, vomiting and diarrhoea [11–14]. Nausea occurred less frequently with exenatide once weekly than exenatide twice daily or liraglutide [54–56,67]. Lixisenatide also showed reduced rates of nausea compared with exenatide twice daily [12]. Among the two most recently approved GLP-1RAs, albiglutide had lower rates of nausea than liraglutide [14], whereas dulaglutide had similar rates compared with liraglutide [13]; however, by far the highest rates of nausea were observed with taspoglutide: 53 and 59% with 10 and 20 mg once weekly, respectively, compared with 35% among participants treated with exenatide twice daily [40]. This was one of the key reasons why the clinical development of taspoglutide was halted.

Thyroid Safety and Calcitonin Levels

In rodent models, GLP-1RAs have been linked to the release of calcitonin, and the potential formation of thyroid tumours [79], but there is no evidence of a causal relationship between GLP-1RAs and thyroid tumours in humans. Across the phase III head-to-head studies described in the present review, mean calcitonin levels were largely unchanged, and only one case of treatment-emergent thyroid cancer was observed (a papillary thyroid carcinoma in a patient treated with liraglutide in AWARD-6) [13].

Pancreatitis

Concerns have been raised with respect to the potential pancreatic side effects associated with GLP-1RAs [80,81]. The 10 head-to-head studies discussed in the present review (Table 2) did not have sufficient power to detect differences between GLP-1RAs in the rates of these rare events. Indeed, in only one of these studies was there more than one case of pancreatitis: three cases were reported in the HARMONY-7 trial (one in the albiglutide group and two in the liraglutide group), from among 812 participants who received the study drug. There are limited published data on the effects of GLP-1RAs on pancreatic enzymes in the head-to-head studies, and it is not possible to compare lipase level among studies because different methods have been used in their evaluation; however, in an 8-week study comparing lixisenatide 20 μg once daily with liraglutide 1.2 and 1.8 mg once daily, a greater mean increase in lipase was reported with liraglutide compared with exenatide, while amylase was within the normal range for both GLP-1RAs [68].

The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA) have agreed that assertions concerning a causal association between incretin-based drugs and pancreatitis or pancreatic cancer, as expressed recently in the scientific literature and in the media, are inconsistent with current data; however, the FDA and the EMA have not reached a final conclusion regarding such a causal relationship [81]. Notably, the FDA requires evidence of cardiovascular safety of new glucose-lowering agents in large clinical endpoint trials, and, as a by-product of these trials, clinical events related to pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer will be assessed. The first results from the Evaluation of Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes After Acute Coronary Syndrome During Treatment With AVE0010 (Lixisenatide; ELIXA; NCT01147250) study comparing lixisenatide and placebo were presented at the American Diabetes Association 2015 Scientific Sessions. The 2-year follow-up data showed that the effect of lixisenatide compared with placebo was neutral for the primary composite endpoint: cardiovascular death, non-fatal myocardial infarction, non-fatal stroke or hospitalization for unstable angina (13.4% vs 13.2%). Furthermore, there was no elevation in pancreatitis and pancreas cancer with lixisenatide. Likewise, no elevation in heart rate was observed, probably explained by the short duration of action of lixisenatide given before breakfast. Lixisenatide led to a small change in HbA1c of 0.27%, in weight of 0.7 kg and in SBP of 0.8 mmHg vs placebo [82].

Following on from that study, the first results of the Liraglutide Effect and Action in Diabetes: Evaluation of Cardiovascular Outcome Results - A Long Term Evaluation in 2015 (LEADER; NCT01179048); the Exenatide Study of Cardiovascular Event Lowering Trial (EXSCEL; NCT01144338) study, comparing exenatide once weekly and placebo; and the Researching Cardiovascular Events With a Weekly Incretin in Diabetes (REWIND; NCT01394952) study, comparing dulaglutide and placebo, are estimated to end in 2015, 2018 and 2019, respectively.

Injection-site Reactions

It is difficult to compare injection-site reactions among the studies because of differences in methods of reporting outcomes. Overall, once-weekly GLP-1RAs appear to be associated with higher incidences of injection-site reaction than exenatide twice daily [40,54–56] or liraglutide once daily [14,67].

For example, in HARMONY-7, injection-site reactions occurred more frequently with albiglutide (13%) than with liraglutide (5%; \( p = 0.0002 \)) [14]. A similar observation was made in DURATION-6 [67], in which participants treated with exenatide once weekly versus liraglutide showed higher incidences of injection-site nodules (10% vs 1%, respectively), injection-site pruritus (3% vs <1%, respectively) and injection-site erythema (2% vs <1%, respectively). The exception appears to be dulaglutide once weekly, in AWARD-6, which was associated with lower rates (<1%) of injection-site reactions, similar to those observed with liraglutide [13]. The higher rates of injection-site reactions reported in these studies is consistent with results seen with other sustained-release injectable drug formulations that undergo in vivo degradation [83,84]. In a similar pattern, in the GetGoal-X study [12], lixisenatide once daily was associated with more injection-site reactions than exenatide twice daily (8.5% vs 1.6%).

Immunogenicity

As GLP-1RAs are peptides, antibody formation could potentially occur that results in injection-site reactions, loss of
The general attitude of patients to once-weekly GLP-1RA formulations has also been assessed [88]. In an online survey of adults with T2D, current injection users were more likely (p < 0.001) than non-injection users to perceive potential benefits of once-weekly treatment, such as greater convenience, better medication adherence and improved quality of life [88]. A total of 47% of respondents said that they would take an injectable once-weekly medication if it was recommended by their physician; current injection users were more likely than non-injection users to respond in this way (73% vs 32%, respectively; p < 0.001). Concerns about once-weekly medications in this population are related to consistency of dose over time, potential forgetfulness and cost.

Drug Administration
To date, while there have been studies comparing various pre-filled insulin injection pens, there are very few data available regarding the different injection devices for the GLP-1RAs. One aspect that has been investigated is the use of narrower versus wider needles for injection devices. Both exenatide twice daily and exenatide once weekly are administered using a 23-gauge needle while liraglutide is injected using a narrower 32-gauge needle, which has been suggested to decrease injection discomfort. In one study comparing insulin injection using two different NovoFine® needles, 58% preferred the NovoFine 32-gauge tip, 26% preferred the NovoFine 30-gauge tip, while 16% had no preference (p < 0.001 between needles) [89]. Although this study did not examine the use of GLP-1RAs, the results are nonetheless applicable to other injection devices, and suggest a strong patient preference for narrower needles.

Future Possibilities for GLP-1 RA Treatment
The use of GLP-1RAs is now well established in both the early and late stages of T2D. Further research is ongoing [90], and is presently focused on several key areas.

- **Further development and testing of once weekly GLP-1RAs.** Both albiglutide and dulaglutide are once-weekly formulations that were approved in Europe and the USA in 2014; hence, additional work will be required to better understand their clinical profiles in normal clinical practice. Meanwhile, new GLP-1RAs intended for once-weekly dosing, such as semaglutide, are in phase III trials.

- **Oral and inhaled formulations.** All of the currently available GLP-1RAs are administered by injection, but a desire to avoid needles, as noted with insulin administration, can be a barrier to starting injectable therapy in some people with T2D [91]. Alternative routes of administration, in particular oral and inhaled formulations, could therefore improve the acceptability of these therapies for some patients. Work is ongoing, but the key challenge will be to ensure adequate absorption and prolongation of action of inhaled GLP-1RAs.

- **Osmotic pump system.** The ITCA 650 is another alternative route to administration, a miniature osmotic pump system that is designed to deliver zero-order, continuous subcutaneous release of exenatide for up to 12 months with a single
Combination of GLP-1RAs with basal insulin therapy. In addition to additive glycaemic benefits, the weight loss and low hypoglycaemia risk associated with GLP-1RAs could at least partially offset the weight gains and risk of hypoglycaemia associated with insulin use [93]. Recent trials have shown the efficacy of combining insulin and GLP-1RAs in T2D [94,95]. Meanwhile, a fixed-ratio combination of insulin degludec and liraglutide has been developed as a once-daily injection, and resulted in improved glycaemic control compared with its components given alone in a phase III trial [96].

Potential use of GLP-1RAs in T1D. There is evidence to suggest that people with T1D can achieve weight loss and improved glycaemic control on less insulin without an increase in hypoglycaemia when a GLP-1RA is added to insulin therapy [97,98]. Further studies are ongoing.

Many other peptide hormones stimulate insulin secretion and regulate appetite by inducing satiety. Peptide YY (PYY) is produced in the intestinal L-cells and reduces appetite. Dual co-agonists developed in a single molecule and stimulating both the GLP-1 and PYY receptor pathways have, in preclinical investigations, reduced food intake [99]. Use of glucagon and GLP-1 co-agonists results in greater weight loss and improved glucose tolerance in obese rodents [100]. In human studies, co-administration of glucagon and GLP-1 ameliorate hyperglycaemia and increase energy expenditure in combination with a reduction in food intake [101,102]; thus, combination therapy with several hormones may open up new avenues for treatment of obesity and T2D.

Conclusions

Structural differences between the various GLP-1RAs result in unique clinical profiles; these treatments, therefore, differ from each other substantially with respect to glycaemic control, effects on weight, and safety and tolerability, as demonstrated in phase III head-to-head trials in T2D. These differences should be considered when selecting a GLP-1RA for an individual patient. Patient preference should be an important element of the treatment decision. Data on patient preference for the GLP-1RAs are currently limited, although glycaemic control and AEs are likely to be key factors [87].

The present review is limited by the small number of studies directly comparing the different GLP-1RAs. Indeed, most of these studies have an open-label design and have a relatively short duration of treatment in highly selected populations without major diabetes complications; therefore, long-term endpoint studies are needed that assess safety and efficacy, and include larger numbers of patients treated with polypharmacy; these data would help to define the place of GLP-1RAs in the T2D treatment algorithm.

The importance of the GLP-1RA therapeutic class seems poised to increase in the treatment of T2D. Meanwhile, ongoing assessments of novel GLP-1RAs and new delivery methods may lead to an even greater number of options in future.
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