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Abstract: Today, the theme of environmental preservation plays an important role within the activities of the scientific community and influences the choices of politics and the common population. In this context, the use of non-fossil substances should be promoted for different reasons: to avoid the depletion and damage of the areas involved in the fossil fuel extraction, decrease the impact of emissions/by-products related to the industrial transformation of fossil-based products and possibly exploit residual biomasses as sources of carbon. This latter aspect also can be viewed as a way to valorize lignocellulose waste, generally destined to dump as putrescible matter or to be incinerated.

In this review, we are aiming to present a concise overview of the multiple functions of lignocellulose biomass in the broad field of catalysis for a sustainable development. The originality of the approach is considering the lignocellulose-derived matter in three different aspects: (i) as a precursor to convert into platform molecules, (ii) as an active material (i.e., humic-like substances as photosensitizers) and (iii) as a green support for catalytic applications. We find that this perspective can widen the awareness level of scientists involved in the catalysis field for the exploitation of residual biomass as a valuable and complementary resource.
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1. Introduction

“Skolstrejk för klimatet”: with this sentence pronounced in 2018, the young activist Greta Thunberg promoted a global movement, focused on a wide sensitization about climate and environmental changes [1]. Indeed, according to the report of National Center for Climate Restoration [2], the worst outlined scenario forecasts that, if the policymakers fail the fulfilment of the Paris Agreement and the restraint of greenhouse emissions, by 2050, the temperature will rise, provoking a progressive aridity/desertification and the melting of permafrost, which will increase the sea level [2,3]. In the meanwhile, exacerbated atmospheric phenomena will be able to devastate several ecosystems [2], with other direct consequences such as higher social disequilibria [2] and significant economic costs [4].

One of the most impacting factors on the environment is represented by the wide employment of non-renewable fossil resources (i.e., coal, gas and petroleum) [5], used to produce fuels, energy and chemicals, in turn transformed into consumables [6]. These latter ones comprise macro-categories such as plastics and rubber resins, organic solvents, pesticides, coatings, inks, adhesives, cleaning and personal care products [7]. The fossil fuel footprints on the environment are individuated in emissions of volatile organic compounds [7] and greenhouse gases mostly CO2 (76%), CH4, N2O, fluorinated gases [8,9] which are mainly generated by electricity and heat production, transformation industries and transportation [8]. Global emissions increased from 2 billion tons of carbon dioxide in 1900 to over 36 billion tons in 2017, whose 88% is generated by Asia, North America and
Europe [9]. Even the extraction of fossil resources exerts great effects on the environment and human health, changing the equilibrium of the so-called hydrogeological conditions (in terms of soil and surface/underground water contamination), producing polluting gaseous emissions and intensifying the seismicity [10].

Additionally, an underestimated aspect of fossil resources withdrawal are the risks taken by workers involved in extraction, transportation and processing [10].

As already outlined, considering the sources of water depletion by the fossil fuel chain and the climate changes, an equal supply of clean water is another of the hardest challenges of the contemporary world. Water is necessary for human consumption, agriculture, industry as well as recreation [11]; thus, when the access to drinking water and the sanitation conditions are inadequate, health and social problems arise [12,13].

Beside biological pathogens, water is contaminated by substances of geological or anthropogenic origin [11]. Groundwater moving through sedimentary rocks and soils may pick up a wide range of compounds, based on Mg, Ca, Fe and/or chlorides, arsenates, fluorides and nitrates, with potential polluting effects [11]. Man-made contaminants are mainly by-products of industry and agriculture, including heavy metals, dyes, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, nitrogen, phosphorous, and pesticides [14]. When the infrastructures are available, the wastewater depuration is a multistep procedure, briefly described in Table 1 [15]. Nevertheless, new kinds of not commonly monitored pollutants (Contaminants of Emerging Concern, CECs, as pharmaceuticals and personal care products [16]) detected at trace/sub-trace level in water bodies and often not removed by traditional depuration processes, represent a further hurdle to the achievement of high-quality water standards [14,17].

| Treatment Level | Scope | Strategies |
|-----------------|-------|------------|
| Pretreatment    | Enhancement of wastewater compatibility for subsequent treatment processes | Equalization, spill retention, pH neutralization, nutrient addition, toxins, oil and grease removal, and solids removal by flotation, sedimentation, or filtration |
| Primary         | Lowering of oxygen-demanding substances by other physical treatments | Screening, grit removal, and sedimentation |
| Secondary       | Further abatement of oxygen-demanding substances and disinfection | Biochemical oxidation: action of microorganisms and oxidizing agents as O₃, H₂O₂, MnO₄⁻ and chlorine compounds |
| Tertiary        | Removal of specific contaminants (especially the recalcitrant ones) to very low residue level | Precipitation, filtration, coagulation, flocculation, air stripping, ion exchange, adsorption, membrane processes, N-regulation and other advanced processes |

Table 1. Wastewater treatment levels [15,17].

The replacement of fossil feedstock and the remediation of compromised ecosystems, whose importance and interconnections have been above underlined, represent the framework in which this overview is articulated.

In particular, lignocellulosic biomass (LCB) has favorable characteristics to be exploited as a tool in different fields correlated to green chemistry and sustainable catalysis. LCB is a biopolymer, mainly composed of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin (see details in Section 2) and represents one of the most abundant materials on the earth, since it is a fundamental component of plants (see Figure 1) [18,19]. LCB fulfills the requirements of sustainability since it is a stable, renewable, biocompatible, biodegradable, low-cost and low-impact material [20].

Lignocellulosic materials have historically provided energy through ignition (i.e., wood) and by the 19th century, they have been the core of pulp and paper industry [21,22]. Since the late 20th century, such biomass, due to its composition, has been considered to be transformed and adopted in lieu of fossil feedstock, within the so-called Bio-refinery [22–24]. Indeed, LCB is a unique sustainable source of organic carbon and an equivalent of fossil
resources for the production of fuels and fine chemicals with net zero carbon emission [25]. In the fields of green and material chemistry, recent research showed the versatility of LCB, which have found other outlets as an adsorbent for aqueous pollutant removal [26,27], as a substrate in biocatalysis promoted by microorganisms for the production of commodity chemicals [28,29], as a precursor of biochar with multi-purpose applications [30] and reinforcing agent for plastics [31] and construction materials [32]. Moreover, the contemporary challenge is the exploitation of lignocellulose-based waste, instead of virgin plants: an example is the transition of the first-generation bio-refinery (which exploited ad hoc food crops) to the second-generation bio-refinery that treats residues and non-edible crops [33,34]. Indeed, the lignocellulose is at the base of nature, but can represent an environmental problem when is in the form of agricultural/domestic/industrial scrap and indiscriminately disposed [35,36]. For instance, the biodegradable fraction (kitchen refuses and green waste from gardens and parks) accounts for 30–50% of municipal solid waste in Europe [36] and the data concerning food waste reveal that every year 89 million tons are generated, whose 39% derives from food manufacturing process [37]. The biomass disposal in landfill is considered a bad practice due to the environmental and safety risks related to the generation of greenhouse gases (i.e., CH$_4$), space usage and leachate [36], containing high values of solids, organic matter, recalcitrant organic pollutants, ammonium and sulphur compounds, and heavy metals, which eventually escape into soil and groundwater [38]. The incineration is another method to get rid of such biowaste, but it cannot be considered advantageous due to the high water content, low calorific value (1.8–4 GJ/ton for food waste) and gaseous emissions [36,39]. Alternatively, biomass waste can be biologically treated by (an-)aerobic digestion, operated by different microorganisms. In aerobic composting, the waste is aerated by air flowing and the biomass is oxo-degraded, to form complex three-dimensional organic polymers, very similar to humic substances naturally present in soils [40,41]. The final product is named compost and can be used as soil improver in both gardening and agriculture [42]. Anaerobic digestion is carried out in an oxygen-deprived chamber, forming gases, particularly methane, which can be used to produce electricity [41,43].

Figure 1. Biomass distribution for abundant taxonomic groups according to Bar-on et al. [18]; the category labelled as “Other” includes Archaea, Protists and Viruses.

The environmental concerns related to a non-correct end of lignocellulosic waste can be faced through the principle of “closing the loop”, which is a perspective where all residues and wastes re-enter into production chain, creating high value (economic, social and environmental) [35,44], as corroborated by several Life Cycle Assessments (LCA) [24,45–47].

In the present overview, a focus on the potential contribution of LCB and waste-LCB in actual technological fields related to catalysis will be given. After the presentation of the chemical features, LCB will be described in three distinct sections on the basis of its applica-
tions: (i) as a feedstock for valuable chemicals; (ii) as a precursor of photoactive humic-like substances for water depollution; (iii) as a green support for a sustainable catalysis.

2. Chemistry of Lignocellulose Biomass

As other types of biomass, lignocellulosic matter derives from available atmospheric CO$_2$, water and sunlight through biological photosynthesis.

In Scheme 1, the structure of lignocellulose from plant cell walls is reported: its main components are cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin in different ratios and with different organization patterns depending on the plant origin [25] (see some examples in Table 2).

![Scheme 1](image-url)

**Scheme 1.** Lignocellulosic biomass from plant cell wall and its biopolymers, namely cellulose, lignin and the carbohydrates constituting hemicellulose.

Cellulose in cell walls is constituted by repeating units of cellobiose [48] in a stretched chain conformation [49], which is composed of one glucose molecule rotating 180° in relation to the next glucose, forming β (1–4)-linked residues [50]. Cellulose is present in the form of crystalline fibrils [48] alternated by amorphous regions [51]; the intramolecular hydrogen bonds within the cellulose chains are responsible for its rigidity and stability [50]. The shape, size, and crystallinity of these fibrils are important structural parameters that influence mechanical properties of the cell wall and are determinant in cellulose conversion processes [51]. Hemicellulose is the second most abundant polymer and, unlike cellulose, has a random and amorphous structure, which is composed of several heteropolymers (i.e., xylan, galactomannan, glucuronoxylan, arabinoxylan, glucomannan and xyloglucan) [25], branched and decorated by functionalities such as acetyl and methyl groups, cinnamic, glucuronic and galacturonic acids [49]. The amorphous hemicellulose covers the surface of rigid cellulose fibrils, stuck together by non-covalent bonds [49]. Moreover, hemicellulose hydrophobic moieties such as acetyl and methyl groups increase the affinity towards lignin, favoring the cohesion between the three lignocellulosic polymeric components [49]. Indeed, lignin is a complex and recalcitrant macromolecule composed of phenylpropanoic units linked by non-hydrolysable C-O-C and C-C bonds [52,53], that plays an important role in the growth and development of plants, improving the rigidity of plant cell wall, hydrophobic and transport properties, in addition to its function as barrier against pests and pathogens [54]. LCB also possesses a small content of pectins, inorganic compounds, proteins, waxes and lipids [49].
Table 2. Cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin percentages in different lignocellulosic biomasses.

| Biomass          | Cellulose (%) | Hemicellulose (%) | Lignin (%) | Ref. |
|------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------|------|
| Soybean Hulls    | 38–51         | 20–25             | 4–8        | [35] |
| Wheat Straw      | 34–40         | 20–25             | 20         | [55] |
| Sugarcane Bagasse| 35–42         | 25–31             | 17–19      | [56] |
| Rice Husks       | ca. 38        | ca. 18            | ca. 22     | [57] |
| Fruit peels      | 9–23          | 8–17              | 1–9        | [58] |
| Dried fruits shells | 22–51     | 22–32             | 20–52      | [59] |
| Pine Sawdust     | ca. 44        | ca. 26            | ca. 26     | [60] |

The reactivity of LCB in different applications is strictly correlated with the chemical features of the three main constituting macromolecules; for instance, the molecular weight and the availability of multiple functional moieties [19,35]. Likewise, LCB physical characteristics (surface morphology, specific surface area and porosity, mechanical stability, crystallinity) make this complex material very versatile [19,31]. Depending on the final application, a separation among cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin can be necessary. This generally occurs by chemical methods (i.e., hydrolysis, fractionation with ionic liquids, organosolv technique, etc.) and/or by an enzymatic approach [61–63].

3. Conversion of LCB into Valuable Chemicals

The transformation of LCB for the achievement of valuable bio-chemicals (Scheme 2) is considerably studied by different research groups since it represents an important issue of green chemistry in terms of eco-sustainability and environmental impact. Actually, the use of LCB, as a renewable commodity, can replace the fossil feedstock for chemicals and, being carbon-neutral, represents a promising strategy to support the green transition. Moreover, if the biomass conversion processes were also economically competitive, they would make LCB an industrial alternative to reduce the petroleum dependence [24].
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due to the possibility of an easy recovery, regeneration and reutilization of the catalyst and the decrease in the processing costs. The point of view for the description of the LCB conversion processes will be the choice of catalysts and the crucial operating parameters.

![Scheme 3. Cascade reactions of the conversion of LCB into platform molecules.](image)

Among the reactions involved in the synthesis of added-value products, the most common are acid-catalyzed (i.e., hydrolysis) or metal-catalyzed (i.e., hydrogenation).

5-HMF, FFR and LA can be considered starting molecules for a wide number of compounds applied as industrial feedstock (Scheme 4). 5-HMF and its derivatives can be applied as biomass-based alternatives for fuel components or polymers, pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, flavors and fragrances [66]. FFR can be selectively transformed into different furanic compounds and polyols, which find a wide range of applications in polymer industries for the production of polyesters and polyurethanes [67], as well as for the synthesis of fungicides, disinfectants and fine chemicals. LA is classified among the 12 main platform molecules [65] and is recognized as a building block for different bio-derived chemicals. Due to their properties, methyltetrahydrofurans and levulinate esters are addressed towards the fuel markets as gasoline and biodiesel additives. Tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol (THFAL) is an environmentally acceptable industrial solvent due to its biodegradable nature. Both furfuryl alcohol (FAL) and γ-valerolactone (GVL) are extremely important platform molecules, used in food industry as food additives [68], as intermediate for the production of chemicals (lysine, vitamin C) as well as a sustainable liquid, [69] fuel additive [70]. GVL can be also used as a green solvent due to its inertness toward oxygen and water, high boiling- and flashpoint, low melting point and vapor pressure [71].

As already mentioned, one of the first steps for the achievement of valuable products is the acid-catalyzed hydrolysis of LCB. It is well established that the use of homogenous mineral acids is not environmentally friendly due to the corrosion phenomena of industrial equipment, the difficult recovery of the homogeneous catalyst and the production of acidic waste. Another important drawback is the presence of free acids that induces many side reactions. The literature on the conversion of LCB into chemicals is extremely extensive and, recently, several reviews were published [66,72–75]. A large number of heterogeneous solid acid catalysts, including ion-exchange resins [76], sulfonic carbon-based materials [74], Fe₃O₄ magnetic Brønsted catalysts [74] have been studied. Just to streamline this overview, we focused the study only on a few types of solid acid catalysts, such as Amberlyst® resins [72], modified zeolite [77], heteropolyacids [78] and acidic silica-based materials [79]. Table 3 shows some comparative studies of selected solid acid catalyst activity under different reaction conditions. Since the focus is on the sustainable processes, most of the considered studies were performed in aqueous media.
Scheme 4. Conversion of 5-HMF (A), LA (B) and FFR (C) into valuable chemicals.

Table 3. Comparative studies on hydrolysis cellulose based materials of selected solid acid catalysts activity under different reaction conditions.

| Entry | Catalyst Type Material | Used LCB or Derivatives | Reaction Conditions | Main Results and Notes | Ref. |
|-------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------|
| 1     | Amberlyst® (HP)         | Spruce wood             | Amberlyst®-15, 100 °C, 5 h, ionic liquids | 1 TRS (21%) | [76] |
| 2     |                        | Fructose                | Amberlyst®-15, 100 °C, 3 h, 1,4-dioxane | 5-HMF (80%) | [80] |
| 3     |                        | Fructose                | Amberlyst®-15, 135 °C, 5 h, 2 MIBK/H₂O | 5-HMF (60%) | [81] |
| 4     |                        | Avicel-PH101            | Amberlyst®-70, 160 °C, 8 h, water | LA (28%) | [82] |
| 5     |                        | Paper towel             | Amberlyst®-36, 150 °C, 20 min, water | LA (34%) | [83] |
| 6     |                        | Microcrystalline cellulose (MCC) | Amberlyst®-70, 160 °C, 16 h, water | LA (69%) | [84] |
| 7     | Heteropolyacids (HP)   | MCC                     | HP based catalysts, 140 °C, 8 h, 2 MIBK/H₂O | 5-HMF (75%) | [85] |
| 8     |                        | MCC                     | HP based catalysts, 140 °C, 12 h, water | LA (63%) | [86] |
| 9     | Zeolite                | Oil palm frond          | Fe/HY-Zelite, 120 °C, 3 h, ionic liquid/water | 1 TRS (27%) | [87] |
| 10    |                        | Fructose                | MOR-Zelite, 135 °C, 5 h, 2 MIBK/H₂O | 5-HMF (10%) | [81] |
| 11    |                        | MCC                     | Zeolite based catalysts, 200 °C, 6 h, water | LA (91%) | [77] |
| 12    | Sulfonic oxides        | Rice straws             | SO₃H SBA-15, 180 °C, 1 h, water | Monosaccharide (38%) | [79] |
| 13    |                        | Cellulose               | Fe₂O₃-SBA-SO₃H, 150 °C, 3 h, water | Glucose (50%) | [88] |
| 14    |                        | Cotton Cellulose        | SO₃H metal oxide, 190 °C, 3.5 h, water | Glucose (27%) | [89] |
| 15    |                        | Fructose                | SO₃H MCM41, 190 °C, 3 h, water | 5-HMF (77%) | [90] |
| 16    |                        | Fructose                | SO₃H TiO₂, 130 °C, 1.5 h, 4 DMSO | 5-HMF (79%) | [91] |
| 17    |                        | Fructose                | SO₃H TiO₂ based catalysts, 165 °C, 3 h, water | 5-HMF (65%) | [92] |
| 18    |                        | Cotton straw            | SBA-SO₃H, 180 °C, 6 h, water | LA (18%) | [88] |
| 19    |                        | Cellulose               | SBA-SO₃H, 150 °C, 12 h, water | LA (53%) | [88] |

1 TRS = total recoverable sugars; 2 MIBK = methyl isobutyl ketone; 3 MOR = Mordenite; 4 DMSO = dimethylsulfoxide.
Amberlyst® found a widespread application in this type of reaction. In fact, due to the high acidity of the material, it can be used both for the transformation of LCB into sugars [76] or directly with cascade reactions to 5-HMF or LA [72]. This is shown in the Table 3, where several types of LCB were used as starting materials and, in almost all cases, the presence of Amberlyst® led to the formation of LA or 5-HMF as final products with high yields. In general, a high acidity of solid acid catalysts, as Amberlyst®, can represent not only an advantage for the achievement of added-value products, but, at the same time, an important disadvantage. In particular, the selective hydrolysis of cellulose into glucose or other monosaccharides (like fructose) by the hydrolytic cleavage of β-1,4 glycosidic bond is a crucial step in the conversion of biomass into valuable chemicals. This means that a high acid catalyst can catalyze most of the reactions of the cascade, losing the selectivity towards the single intermediate. For this reason, the research is oriented to the design and synthesis of selective heterogeneous catalysts addressed to obtain the products of the single reaction steps. Apart from the intrinsic characteristics of the chosen material, its activity is also influenced by the reaction conditions in which the solvent plays an important role for the formation of the desired products. In the case of Amberlyst®, the presence of water led to the formation of LA as a principal product and, in order to stop the cascade reactions, organic solvents such as ionic liquids or dioxane were necessary to obtain sugars (entry 1) or 5-HMF (entry 2), respectively. This behavior was confirmed also in the case of heteropolyacids and zeolites. In particular, when heteropolyacids were used in the conversion of microcrystalline cellulose, it was possible to isolate 5-HMF with a high yield (75%) only by adding of a biphasic solvent (entry 7), otherwise, the reaction was shifted towards the formation of LA (63%) (entry 8). In similar reaction conditions, zeolite-based catalysts (entry 11) were more efficient than heteropolyacids, achieving LA with a very high yield (91%). Analogously, the use of organic solvents in the presence of zeolites favored the isolation of the intermediates as sugars (27%, entry 9) and 5-HMF (10%, entry 10). In their experiments (entries 3 and 10), Ordomsky et al. [81] found that the activities of both Amberlyst® and Mordenite (MOR)-zeolites were strongly influenced by the Lewis and Brønsted acidities of the corresponding materials. In particular, the Brønsted acidity increased the selectivity towards the formation of 5-HMF and, at the same time, Lewis acidity had the opposite effect, inducing side reactions with the consequent formation of carbonaceous species and humins.

Sulfonic silica-based materials demonstrated to convert cellulose into sugars, 5-HMF and LA [72] maintaining water as a solvent. In particular, mesoporous sulfonic materials were able to catalyze the conversion of rice straw-LCB to monosaccharide by hydrolysis at 180 °C within 1-h reaction [79]. Sulfonated mesoporous MCM-41 [90], prepared by H₂SO₄ impregnation, induced an almost complete fructose conversion and a 77% 5-HMF selectivity at 190 °C. When the high surface area catalyst SO₃H-SBA was used at 150–180 °C in water (entries 18–19) [88], it was possible to isolate LA (18–53%) as a final product. In the same study, Lai et al. [88] improved the efficiency of the catalyst by the synthesis of magnetic Fe₃O₄-SBA-SO₃H, that could be easily separated from the reaction mixture, avoiding the energy-consuming process needed to isolate the solid phase. Moreover, this catalyst effectively hydrolyzed β-1,4-glucan, producing a glucose yield of up to 96% from cellulobiose, while a 50% yield of glucose could be obtained from the amorphous cellulose. Acid silica-based materials gained particular attention due to their high surface area and large pores, which allowed a more efficient contact between the reagents and the catalytic sites of the materials, together with a good thermal stability. These materials showed a versatile use in the achievement of different valuable chemicals, but their main drawback, in a view of industrial application, is the leaching of the sulfonic groups. For this reason, it is necessary to improve their chemical stability for several cycles: for instance, the use of microwaves as source of energy, instead of thermal one, can provide an enhanced stability of the sulfonic groups. This was confirmed in recent studies on the glycerol etherification [93,94], where, after several cycles, no leaching of the sulfonic group was found, maintaining unchanged both the acidity and the activity of the solid catalyst.
Analogously, sulfonic titania was prepared and tested in the conversion of fructose into 5-HMF (entries 16 and 17). When the reaction was carried out in an organic solvent as dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (entry 16), the yield of 5-HMF reached 79%, that decreased when water was used as solvent, due to the further decomposition of 5-HMF (entry 17). Testa et al. [92] found that TiO$_2$-SO$_3$H catalyzed a complete conversion (99%) of 1.1 M fructose to 5-HMF at 165 °C, showing a selectivity and a yield of 50% per each parameter. An important improvement of the 5-HMF selectivity (71%) was achieved when the reaction was carried out by using a lower fructose concentration (0.1 M) and a lower temperature (140 °C).

From catalytic conversion of the hemicellulose portion of LCB (see Scheme 3), it is possible to produce Furfural (FFR) that represents another important C5-based molecule for the production of bio-chemicals (see Scheme 4C). It was found that over 80 chemicals directly or indirectly derive from the conversion of FFR, making it a strategic building block. Through the catalytic reactions that involve the aromatic ring or the aldehyde group, it is possible to synthesize useful products such as furfuryl alcohol (FAL), tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol (THFAL), 2-methylfuran (2-MF), and 2-methyl tetrahydrofuran (2-MTHF). FFR can be selectively transformed in polyols [95], which have a wide range of commercial and industrial applications [67,96]. The chemical approach to produce polyols implies the break of C-O bond of the aromatic ring to obtain open chain compounds as alcohols or diols (see Scheme 4C). The sequence takes place in several steps through the formation of FAL and LA, thus different types of mechanisms, such as acid-catalyzed reactions, hydrogenations and breaking of C-O enlaces occur. Therefore, the research is now oriented towards new green strategies with multifunctional catalysts acting by one-pot reaction.

FFR is produced in bio-refineries starting from renewable materials rich in hemicellulose such as wood waste or sugar cane bagasse. The acid catalyzed process generally occurs by homogeneous reagents such as acetic acid [66]. Due to the undesired side reactions, such as the decomposition of FFR and the condensation or resinification of by-products (which leads to the formation of solid humin), the reaction was carried out at <200 °C with poor yields (<50%) [66]. Efforts have to be made for the implementation of efficient homogeneous acid catalysts operating in ecofriendly reaction conditions, in terms of solvent choice and temperature. The use of water as a solvent is not so common in the literature, due to the low solubility of both hemicellulose and FFR, but also due to the increase in side reactions that cause the deposition of bulky species on the surface of catalyst, leading to its poisoning. For this reason, most of the studies report the use of a biphasic system to carry out the conversion of hemicellulose into FFR and its derivatives, in which the organic solvent is able to isolate the chemicals, shifting the reaction towards the increase in the final products. On the contrary, water alone is often used as a reaction medium when homogenous acid catalysts (H$_2$SO$_4$, HCl, HCOOH) are employed [66]. The type of the chosen organic solvent is a fundamental parameter not only for its role on the solubility of the platform molecules but also because, in some cases, it participates in the reaction as H-donor. Table 4 reports some examples of acid catalysts (in pristine or metal-doped form) for the conversion of LCB to FFR or its derivatives (FAL, GVL).

| Entry | Catalyst Type | Used LCB or Derivatives | Reaction Conditions | Main Results and Notes | Ref. |
|-------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----|
| 1     | Amberlyst®    | xylose, toluene/water   | Amberlyst®-70, 160 °C, 20 h | FFR (60%)              | [97] |
| 2     | xylose        | H-Al-Amberlyst®-15, 100 °C, 8 h, dimethylformamide | FFR (46%)              | [98] |
| 3     | Zeolites      | Arabinose, 40 min, water/GVL (1:9) | H-β-Zeolite, 160 °C, 40 min, water/GVL (1:9) | FFR (73%)              | [99] |
| 4     | Corncob       | Al-β-zelite, 185 °C, 1.5 h, water/GVL (1:9) | Al-β-zelite, 185 °C, 1.5 h, water/GVL (1:9) | FFR (20%)              | [100] |
| 5     | Zeolites      | Xylose, 200 °C, 2 h, water | H-ZMS-5, 200 °C, 2 h, water | FFR (46%) | [101] |
| 6     | Xylose        | Xylose, 130 °C, 1 h, water/isopropanol (1:1) | β-Zeolite, 130 °C, 1 h, water/isopropanol (1:1) | FAL (75%) | [102] |
| 7     | FFR           | Ze-Al-β-zelite, 120 °C, 24 h, isopropanol/water (95:5) | Ze-Al-β-zelite, 120 °C, 24 h, isopropanol/water (95:5) | GVL (95%) | [103] |
Table 4. Cont.

| Entry | Catalyst Type Material | Used LCB or Derivatives | Reaction Conditions | Main Results and Notes | Ref. |
|-------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------|
| 8     | Sulfonic Silica based materials | Xylose | SO$_3$H-MCM41, 140 °C, 24 h, water | FFR (47%) | [104] |
| 9     |                        | Xylose | SO$_3$H-MCM41, 140 °C, 24 h, toluene/water | FFR (96%) | [104] |
| 10    |                        | Xylose | MethylPropyl/Sulfonic MCM41, 155 °C, 2 h, toluene/H$_2$O (1:1) | FFR (93%) | [105] |
| 11    |                        | Xylose | SO$_3$H-Ph-SBA15, 160 °C, 20 h, toluene/water | FFR (80%) | [97] |
| 12    |                        | Xylose | Cu/SO$_3$H-SBA15, 140 °C, 6 h, water/butanol (1:3) | FAL (63%) | [106] |
| 13    |                        | Xylose | Pt/SO$_3$H-SBA15, 130 °C, 6 h, water/isopropanol (1:1) | FAL (83%) | [107] |
| 14    | Zirconia based materials | Xylose | Pt/ZrO$_2$-SO$_4$, 130 °C, 1 h, water/isopropanol (1:1) | FAL (27%) | [108] |
| 15    |                        | FFR | ZrO$_2$-SBA15, 170 °C, 7 h, isopropanol | GVL (47%) | [109] |
| 16    |                        | FFR | Au/ZrO$_2$ + ZMS-5, 120 °C, 24 h, isopropanol | GVL (80%) | [110] |

As already mentioned, Amberlyst® is a very high acid solid catalyst with thermal and chemical stability. Amberlyst®-70 and Al-Amberlyst®-15 (entries 1 and 2) were tested in the conversion of xylose achieving 60% and 46% of FFR, respectively [97,98]. The Al-doping of the solid catalyst allowed to carry out the reaction at lower temperature (100 °C) and time reaction (8 h). Nevertheless, in both cases a relevant drawback was represented by the use of toluene and dimethylformamide that are not sustainable solvents.

Zeolites and sulfonic silica-based materials, whose activity is ascribable to both their high acidity and favorable structure, have been widely investigated for the production of FFR and its derivatives. Zeolites showed a high selectivity, thanks to their intrinsic structure and well-defined pore size (5–13 Å) that allowed xylose (used as biomass precursor) to go inside the pores and react with the catalytic functionalities with the formation of FFR (or FAL), avoiding any side reaction of condensation and resinification. Analogously, it was found [111] that the use of catalysts with small pores did not allow the sugar to enter in the pores, causing its accumulation on the surface of the solid material with the consequent formation of carbonaceous by-products and the poisoning of the catalyst surface. Regarding the solvent influence, in the literature, various authors [107,112] claimed that the presence of water had a negative effect on dehydration of xylose during the formation of FFR catalyzed by zeolites. This was evidenced also by O’Neil et al. [101] that carried out the conversion of xylose by using H-ZMS-5 in water at high temperature (200 °C), obtaining FFR with only 46% of yield (entry 5). In other two studies (entry 3 and 4), β-zeolites, in the bare form or Al-doped, were tested in the conversion of arabinose and corncob [99,100], showing significant differences. A higher FFR yield (73%) was achieved when H-β-Zeolite was used in milder reaction conditions (entry 3), namely lower temperature (160 °C) and less time (40 min). The corresponding Al-doped zeolite induced the formation of a lower FFR yield (20%) after 1.5 h at 185 °C. In this case, FAL (37%) was the main product due to the hydrogenation reaction of FFR to FAL, promoted by the metal-doped zeolite.

Due to the high versatility, given the possibility of their functionalization, sulfonic silica-based materials were extensively used for the reactions under examination. Dias et al. [104] studied the conversion of xylose into FFR, catalyzed by SO$_3$H-MCM41 in different solvents (entries 8 and 9). When water was used as solvent, only 47% of FFR was achieved, whereas the addition of toluene as co-solvent allowed the FFR yield to rise up to 96%. To avoid the leaching of sulfonic groups, different studies were aimed to improve the hydrothermal stability of the catalyst by the grafting of organic linkers. Methylpropyl sulfonic MCM41 was prepared and tested in xylose conversion, at 155 °C during only 2 h reaction, achieving a very high yield (93%) of FFR (entry 10). Agirrezabal-Telleria et al. tested the propylsulfonic SBA-15 [113] and the corresponding arenesulfonic SBA-15 [97] (entry 11) in a biphasic system toluene/water 1:1. In both studies, FFR yields were almost similar (82%), but the arenesulfonic derivative reached a higher stability during 4-cycle reaction. The presence of a higher amount of water caused the deactivation of these types of catalysts due to the loss of sulfonic groups, but, as previously described [93,94], changing the reaction conditions, it is possible to improve the stability of SO$_3$H silica-based materials.
Since FAL or GVL can be produced by both the acid-catalyzed and hydrogenation reactions (see Scheme 3), the catalytic materials should be prepared in order to be active in a multi-step sequence. The introduction of metal nanoparticles in the solid acid catalysts and the use of an alcohol as propanol/isopropanol/butanol as (co)-solvent for the conversion of xylose are suitable strategies to fulfil these requirements. For instance, when the acidic $\beta$-Zeolite was used in the presence of isopropanol, it catalyzed the dehydration of xylose to produce FFR and also the hydrogenation into FAL (75%) thanks to the isopropanol action as H-donor (entry 6). The doping of $\beta$-Zeolite with Zr and Al promoted the conversion of FFR into GVL, through the formation of FAL, LA, 4-hydroxypentanoate in one-pot catalytic conversion (entry 7) [103]. Additionally, in this case, isopropanol was essential as a solvent and H-donor, participating to the reaction mechanism. Moreover, Song et al. [103] found that a small amount of water (5%) improved the formation of GVL up to 95%, but a higher presence (10–15%) decreased the selectivity towards GVL, with the formation of other intermediates. When sulfonic SBA-15 materials were doped with Cu [106] and Pt [107], it was possible to achieve FAL (63 and 83%, respectively) by using xylose as starting molecule. Platinum-doped material was more efficient since a lower amount of organic solvent was used in similar reaction conditions (entries 12 and 13).

Finally, zirconia-based materials were used in the conversion of xylose and FFR to FAL and GVL. In all cases, isopropanol was used as H-donor. Due to its intrinsic characteristic, Pt-doped sulphated zirconia (entry 14) [108] was able to convert xylose to FAL (27%) in only 1h-reaction. When zirconia was associated to SBA or ZMS-5 (entries 15 and 16), 47 and 80% of GVL was obtained, respectively [109,110].

4. Photoactive Humic-Like Substances for Water Remediation

The fate of dead plants in nature is their degradation by microorganisms, whose metabolism induces the transformation of the constituted lignocellulose into the so-called humic substances (HS) [114]. Such substances regulate the global carbon and nitrogen cycles, act as nutrients/fertilizers and take part to soil and water depollution from xenobiotics by multiple mechanisms, i.e., redox reactions, sorption, complexation or photosensitization (if light-activated) [114]. HS persistency in the natural environment derives from the preservation of lignin-like structure, originated by LCB, that confer a high recalcitrance to enzymatic attack [115,116]. In general, HS chemical composition is characterized by aliphatic chains substituted by aromatic rings and multiple functionalities (carboxylic, phenolic, alcoholic, quinone, amino and amido groups), that represent the memory of the initial bio-organic matter (see Figure 2) [117,118]. Three are the fractions of humic substances, distinguished on the basis of water solubility and oxygen content: fulvic acids (FAs), soluble in water under all pH conditions, humic acids (HAs), insoluble in acidic water (pH < 2) but soluble at higher pH values and humin, insoluble in water at any pH value [114].

A convenient way to obtain humic-like substances is using biowaste sources after a proper transformation, promoted by microorganisms; for instance, this happens in waste treatment plants, where biowaste undergoes aerobic/anaerobic digestions, as anticipated in Section 1. In general, the process starts by selecting the biowaste fractions, i.e., humid, green (gardening and agricultural trimming residues), sewage sludge, which are eventually mixed and then digested [42,119]. To isolate HS, the obtained biomass is subjected to basic and acid treatments, followed by separation and purification steps [118]. The final product maintains analogous physical-chemical features with respect to natural HS [120], although it brings along the footprints of original heterogeneous waste [121–123]. For these similarities, waste-derived HS find a commercial employment for soil amendment and fertilization (compost) [119].

In this review, different kinds of humic substances (mainly from natural sources or LCB-derived green waste) will be considered in terms of their photosensitizing capability for water depollution purposes, inspired by HS behavior in nature [114]. Photosensitization can be conceptually associated to photocatalysis. Photocatalysis is defined as a “change
in the rate of a chemical reaction or its initiation under the action of ultraviolet, visible, or infrared radiation in the presence of a substance—the photocatalyst—that absorbs light and is involved in the chemical transformation of the reaction partners” [124]. On the other hand, a photosensitizer is defined as an agent that absorbs light and subsequently initiates a photochemical or photophysical alteration in the system [124]. Sensitizers absorb light and transfer their electronic excitation energy at a lower level to a substrate [125].

**Figure 2.** HS molecular fragment, inspired by Deganello et al. [121].

Humic substances show strong absorbance in the UV–visible region, due to the presence of aromatic chromophores and/or other organic compounds; the absorbance around 260-280 nm is commonly used to determine the relative abundance of aromatic C-C content because of the $\pi-\pi^*$ transitions in substituted benzenes or polyphenols [126,127]. When the HS act as photosensitizers and the target substrates are pollutants, the system works according to the following reaction mechanisms (Equations (1)–(7)).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HS} + h\nu & \rightarrow {^3}\text{HS}^* \\
\text{HS} + h\nu & \rightarrow \text{HS}^{\cdot\cdot} + e_{\text{aq}}^{-} \\
{^3}\text{HS}^* + \text{H-Substrate} & \rightarrow \text{HS}^* + \text{Substrate}^{\cdot\cdot} \\
\text{HSH}^* + \text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{HS} + \text{HOO}^* \\
e_{\text{aq}}^{-} + \text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{O}_2^{\cdot\cdot \cdot} \\
\text{O}_2^{\cdot\cdot \cdot} + \text{HOO}^* & \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \\
e_{\text{aq}}^{-} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{OH}^{\cdot\cdot} + \text{OH}^{-}
\end{align*}
\]

The proposed mechanism (Equations (1) and (2)) is the production of excited triplet states ($^3\text{HS}^*$) and solvated electrons ($e_{\text{aq}}^-$) [128]. Excited triplet state can react with organic substrate by two main mechanisms: hydrogen-transfer and energy-transfer [129,130]. The first hypothesis assumes that $^3\text{HS}^*$ extracts a hydrogen atom from donor organic substrate (Equation (3)). In the presence of oxygen and of the photogenerated electrons, the production of various Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS), such as hydroxyl radicals ($^\cdot\text{OH}$), anion superoxide ($\text{O}_2^{\cdot\cdot \cdot}$), hydrogen peroxide ($\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$), hydroperoxyl radical ($\text{HOO}^*$) and singlet oxygen ($^1\text{O}_2$) can take place (Equations (4)–(7)) [130,131]. ROS may initiate radical chain mechanisms that promote the substrate degradation. Therefore, HS photosensitizers can be conveniently applied in tertiary water treatments [132], and also for the challenging removal of CECs [133,134], within the so-called Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs) [135–137].

Several studies have been carried out using different types of HS in homogeneous conditions or in heterogeneous systems after a proper immobilization on solid supports [138]. In Table 5, some examples of HS-based systems degrading aqueous pollutants are listed,
together with the specifications of operating conditions. For the sake of brevity, photo-activated reactions mediated by other substances, as peroxymonosulfate, iodide, H$_2$O$_2$, Fe(III) or Mn(III), are not taken into account, although they can represent useful pathways to pursue water decontamination in presence of HS, as widely demonstrated by the literature [139–143].

As it possible to observe in Table 5, HS photosensitizer efficiency is variable depending on the substrates and the conditions and does not reach the level of other well-known heterogeneous photocatalysts (i.e., TiO$_2$, ZnO [144]). The main reasons are the light screen operated by colored HS molecules and the scavenging or quenching of ROS, causing a competition between HS themselves and the substrate [145]. Another critical point is related to the selection of specific HS fractions to optimize the photosensitizing performance. For instance, Brahmia and Boulkamh [146] irradiated different humic substances (humic and fulvic acids) extracted from four soils of different geographic origin (central Europe) and from three peats to degrade 1-naphthol [146]. They individuated three main factors influencing the photoinductive capacity: the raw material (soil or peat), its geographical locality and the fraction (humic or fulvic) [146]. Indeed, it has been already assessed that coal-related resources are made up of a higher amount of HS than regular soils and rivers, because of the dense plant-derived carbon materials from which coal is originated [116]. The ratio of humic to fulvic acids is also affected by soil type. In grasslands, humic acids are found at higher levels than fulvic acids; this may be due to the use of the land for stock farming, which involves different mechanisms by which plant materials decay [116]. In this regard, different chemical moieties identify humic and fulvic fractions: more carboxyl/hydroxyl groups and chromophores were detected in fulvic acid than those of humic acid, whereas humic acid molecule has a higher degree of humification (reflected in high ring condensation [147]) and a larger average molecular weight [148]. Moreover, variations of molecular compositions and aliphatic/aromatic ratios were characteristic of specific HS, extracted in different environments and by different methods [116]. All these structural and chemical factors influence the photoactivity: according to Brahmia and Boulkamh [146], a major role was attributed to O-C content, whose increment significantly reduced the half-life time of substrate disappearance for FA and, more pronouncedly, for HA, denoting the high impact of the carbon structure on the photoinductive capacity [146]. On the contrary, the aromatic component seemed to adversely influence the photoactivity: the explanation proposed was correlated to the antioxidant properties of a certain phenolic fraction of HS [146], also in accordance with other studies [149,150]. Such moieties within HS inhibited the substrate degradation by favoring the back-reduction of partially oxidized species [149]. Furthermore, as reported by Calza et al., the low-molecular weight fractions of HS should show a higher ability to form excited triplet states, whereas supra-molecular aggregates of smaller compounds are characterized by charge-transfer interactions that favor internal conversion at the expense of photophysical and photochemical reactivity [149]. Similarly, some fractions more than others resulted to lead to a shielding on light, due to particular functional groups as quinones [151].

Despite some drawbacks and variability of the mechanism, the exploitation of HS should be promoted due to their eco-sustainability and easy recovery from natural sources and, more conveniently, from biowaste. HS possess additional characteristics, as adsorptive and surfactant ones (given by multiple functionalities and amphiphilic nature, respectively), that have been revealed as complementary properties, useful for environmental remediation [14,138]. In particular, the heterogeneous form of HS (i.e., immobilized onto oxides) is highly desirable, even though the available literature is more limited. The main advantages of heterogeneous (photo-) catalysis are broadly recognized: easy and cost-effective separation and reutilization of the solid material, that make the process more sustainable, although at the expenses of higher activity and selectivity [152].

A novel frontier for humic substances is their exploitation in energy devices, as already reported by Vekariya et al., that explored humic acids as photosensitizers to include in dye-sensitized solar cells [153].
| Types of HS Substrate | Reaction Conditions | Main Results and Notes | Ref. |
|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------|
| Pahokee peat humic acids (5.0 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Ciprofloxacin (6.0 \(\times\) 10\(^{-5}\) M) Homogeneous reaction, in aerated medium and pH 7, \(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 365\) nm | 40 min < \(t_{1/2}\) < 60 min and ca. 90% abatement in 120 min | [154] |
| Humic acids from coal (5 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Chlorothalonil (5.0 \(\times\) 10\(^{-6}\) M) Homogeneous reaction, in aerated medium and pH 8, 300 < \(\lambda\) < 450 nm | Ca. 45% abatement in 4 h | [155] |
| Commercial humic acids (50 \(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\)) | \(\alpha\)-hexabromocyclododecane (4 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) Homogeneous reaction, neutral pH, \(\lambda > 420\) nm | Diastereoisomer-specific degradation, 40% in 6 h | [156] |
| Commercial humic acids (1.0 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) | Tetrabromobisphenol A (1.0 mM) Homogeneous reaction, 6.8 < pH < 9, \(\lambda > 300\) nm and \(\lambda > 400\) nm | Initial reaction rate: 7.03 \(\times\) 10\(^{-9}\) mol g\(^{-1}\) HA s\(^{-1}\). The rate increased with pH and light intensity. Time max: 480 s | [157] |
| Fulvic acids from Vouga River (10 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Metoprolol (1.46 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) M) Homogeneous reaction, \(\lambda > 290\) nm | Ca. 80% abatement in 72 h | [158] |
| Humic and fulvic acids from soils and peat (25 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | 1-naphthol (2.0 \(\times\) 10\(^{-6}\) M) Homogeneous reaction, pH 6.5, \(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 365\) nm | Max. degradation in presence of HA from soil, ca. 90% in 60 min | [146] |
| Humic acids from Dianchi Lake (5.0 mgC L\(^{-1}\)) | 17\(\alpha\)-ethynylestradiol (1.07 mg L\(^{-1}\)) Homogeneous reaction, pH 8, \(\lambda > 290\) nm | 5-h removal rate of 30%; detection of HA self-photobleaching | [159] |
| Humic acids from Dianchi Lake (5.0 mgC L\(^{-1}\)) | 17\(\alpha\)-ethynylestradiol (2 \(\mu\)M) Homogeneous reaction, pH 7.5, \(\lambda > 290\) nm | Photodegradation was enhanced by 2.12–7.29 folds with HA | [160] |
| Soil-humic acid fractioned by different molecular sizes (MS) | 2,4,6-Trimethylphenol (0.1 mM) Homogeneous reaction, pH 6.5, \(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 365\) nm | HA fractions with MS < 5 kDa reached the maximum photodegradation | [161] |
| Commercial humic acids (10 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | 2,4,6-Trimethylphenol (TMP, 0.3 mM) and furfuryl alcohol (FFA, 0.1 mM) Homogeneous reaction, 300 < \(\lambda\) < 450 nm | Ca. total abatement in 24 h for TMP and 60% for FFA | [162] |
| Fulvic acids, terrestrial and marine humic acids (50 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Phenol (20 mg L\(^{-1}\)) Homogeneous reaction in different water matrices, pH 8, \(\lambda > 340\) nm | Faster phenol degradation in seawater than in pure water, due to Cl\(^{-}\) and Br\(^{-}\) that scavenge 3HS*, forming higher reactive species. | [149] |
| Humic and fulvic acids from Aveiro Lagoon (20 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Estrone (500 \(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\)) Homogeneous reaction, \(\lambda > 290\) nm | Photodegradation of 66% in presence of HA, 74% for FA, in 6 h | [163] |
| Commercial humic acids (30–80 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Diclofenac (30 mg L\(^{-1}\)) Homogeneous reaction, pH 6.37, \(\gamma\)-radiation (dose 0–1.0 kGy) | HA competing effect: at 0.8 kGy, with 30 mg L\(^{-1}\) of HA, the degradation decreased of 20% | [164] |
| Commercial humic and fulvic acids (10 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Naproxen (5.0 \(\mu\)M) Homogeneous reaction, variable pH values, \(\lambda > 300\) nm | Photodegradation rates decreased with increasing pH. HA inhibited the degradation; FA accelerated it at lower substrate concentration and light intensity | [145] |
Table 5. Cont.

| Types of HS | Substrate | Reaction Conditions | Main Results and Notes | Ref. |
|-------------|-----------|---------------------|------------------------|------|
| Humic acids from Suwannee River (25 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Duloxetine, venlafaxine and bupropion (1 mM) | Homogeneous reaction, \(\lambda = 350\) nm | Higher degradation by HA (+10–25%) than direct photolysis. Time max: 7 h | [165] |
| Leonardite humic acids (0.5–20 mg L\(^{-1}\)), pristine or fractioned by molecular weight (MW) | Sulfamethoxazole (5 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Homogeneous reaction, pH 6.8, solar light simulated by xenon lamp | At the lowest concentration (0.5 mg L\(^{-1}\)), only the HA fraction with 25,000–100,000 MW increased the degradation rate | [151] |
| Commercial Humic Acids (20 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Mix of nonylphenol (NP), NP ethoxylates (NPEs), diclofenac, bisphenol-A (BPA), and others (2.0 \(\mu\)g L\(^{-1}\) each) | Homogeneous reaction, pH 7, under daily sunlight | NP, NPEs and BPA decreased by 70%, 15%, 55%, after 15 h; for more photo-sensitive pollutants, HA inhibited the degradation | [166] |
| Humic acids from sewage sludge/trimmings (25 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Irgarol 1051 (1.0 \(\times\) 10\(^{-5}\) M) | Homogeneous reaction, pH 6.5, \(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 365\) nm | The degradation rate increased with HA extracted after higher composting times | [167] |
| Commercial humic and fulvic acids (10 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Imipramine (10 \(\mu\)M) | Homogeneous reaction, pH 8, \(\lambda > 300\) nm | The photodegradation increased with increasing pH and with deoxygenation. | [168] |
| Humic acids from composted food/green waste (150 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Naphthalene sulfonates (20 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Homogeneous reaction, \(\lambda > 340\) nm | Depletion of 70–80% of mono- and disulfonated substrates after 24 h | [169] |
| Green waste-derived substances (500 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | 2-, 3- and 4-chlorophenol (CP, 1.0 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) M) | Homogeneous reaction, pH 9.8, \(\lambda > 340\) nm | Degradation rate 2-CP > 3-CP > 4-CP. Total degradation of 2-CP after 5 h. | [170] |
| Leonardite humic acids on magnetite nanoparticles (500 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Carbamazepine (2 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Heterogeneous reaction, pH 6, \(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 350\) nm | 16% substrate removal after 6 h | [171] |
| Green waste-derived substances on magnetite nanoparticles (100–1000 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Phenol (10 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Heterogeneous reaction, pH 3.5, \(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 365\) nm | Ca. total abatement in 24 h with 1000 mg L\(^{-1}\) | [172] |
| Green waste-derived substances on magnetite nanoparticles (500 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Diclofenac (10 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Heterogeneous reaction, \(\lambda > 300\) nm | Substrate decomposition of ca. 80% after 90 min | [173] |
| Green waste-derived substances on three different silicas (800 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | 4-methylphenol (10 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Heterogeneous reaction, \(\lambda > 340\) nm | 70–80% abatement in 8 h, completed in 15 h; higher stability achieved by the SBA hybrid | [138] |
| Green waste-derived substances on SBA-15 silica (800 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Orange II and Rhodamine B (OII and RH, 10 mg L\(^{-1}\)) | Heterogeneous reaction, pH 5.5, \(\lambda > 340\) nm | Initial adsorption followed by degradation: 45% for OII and 30% for RH. Time max: 6 h | [14] |
5. LCB as a Support for a Sustainable Catalysis

In the previous paragraphs, LCB has been described both as a substrate to be transformed (see Section 3) and as an active photocatalyst (see Section 4). In this section, LCB is presented as unconventional green support of the catalytic process. It is worth underlining that the following applications will be mainly focused on the cellulosic portion, since the modification of lignin has two main drawbacks: the lignin structure heterogeneity and the high reactivity of its functional groups under most of the extraction conditions, which make difficult to maintain the lignin intact during isolation procedures [174].

Most of the studies accounting for LCB as a coadjuvant in catalysis concern the more or less complex functionalization with metal or metal-based compounds [175,176], for a wide range of reactions, some examples of which are detailed here. Morshed et al. developed titania-loaded cellulose nanowhiskers (CN) as functional hybrid nanomaterials for photocatalytic degradation of aqueous methylene blue dye [177]. The authors claimed the formation of metal-organic functional core-shell catalyst where cellulose nanowhiskers were effective green carriers, which prevented the leaching of active TiO$_2$ and resulted in a good durability and reusability [177]. CN are non-toxic, easily available and low-cost materials, since they can derive from natural and renewable origins; they are also highly crystalline with a great surface area and good mechanical properties [177].

Zero-Valent Metal Nanoparticles (ZV-MNPs) based on Cu, Co, Ag, and Ni were supported on empty fruit bunch biomass residue (EFB) [178]. Among ZV-MNPs/EFB, Cu/EFB catalyst showed superior catalytic performance in the degradation of target model organic pollutants: dyes (methyl orange, congo red, methylene blue, acridine orange) and 4-nitrophenol, using NaBH$_4$ as a source of hydrogen and electrons [178]. Analogously, the reduction of nitrophenols has been the objective of several works employing cellulose-supported catalysts: Ag- and Au-MNP on cellulose nanocrystals (CNCs) (supported by nucleation via solid-state synthesis in the presence of ascorbic acid) [179]; Au-, Ag-, Ni-MNP on electrospun nanofibers of cellulose [180]; Ag-MNP anchored onto the CNCs coated with mussel-inspired polydopamine and further bonded to β-cyclodextrin [181]; Ag-doped cellulose microgels (obtained from paper cellulose treated with NaOH-urea solution) [182]. A strategy to reduce nitrobenzene to N-phenylhydroxylamine was proposed by Li et al. [183], who reported the performances of perfluoroalkyl-modified cellulose supported ultra-small Pd-MNPs, synthesized by a solid-state method. The obtained Pd-catalyst exhibited excellent reductive activity and selectivity under mild conditions, due to the controlled regulation of surface hydrophilicity (given by cellulose) and hydrophobicity (given by F-containing groups) [183].

Cellulose-based catalysts were employed for oxidation reactions, as well. Poly(ionic liquid)/polyoxometalate hybrids resulted to be efficient catalysts for oxidative desulfurization of fuels [184], achieving a complete conversion (for different cycles) of dibenzothiophene in model diesel, under mild condition in the presence of O$_2$ contained in air [184]. Other oxidation reactions catalyzed by metal-cellulose hybrids have been recently summarized by a comprehensive review [185]: glucose, dyes, sulfides, thiols, aldehydes, ethylbenzene and different alcohols have been subjected to oxidation.

Kempasiddaiah et al. immobilized palladium nanoparticles on cellulose fibers, isolated from waste banana pseudostem, as a dip catalyst for Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction between arylhalides and phenylboronic acid [186]. The isolated cellulose fibers from waste banana pseudostem showed some specific properties like water absorption ability, high Young’s modulus and high tensile strength [186]. Additionally, in this case, the positive effect of cellulose support was assessed, due to the bonding between palladium nanoparticles and cellulose surface hydroxyl groups, that acted as stabilizing agents and prevented the aggregation of metal nanoparticles [186]. The same cross-coupling reaction effectively proceeded also in the presence of ferrocene tethered N-heterocyclic carbene-Pd complex anchored on cellulose, whose activity was attributed to the straightforward control of steric properties, given by the arrangement of ferrocenyl group [187]. Similarly,
the Heck cross-coupling reaction was conducted by waste corn-cob cellulose-supported poly(amidoxime) Pd(II) complex, which showed a high stability and a remarkable catalytic activity (up to 97% yield). The material was repeatedly used up to seven times without any significant decrease in performance [188]. In most of the cases described, the excellent performances of such hybrid catalysts were attributed to the favorable characteristics of the surface support (area, porosity, exposed functionalities) that led to a stable and homogenous dispersion of the metal-based active phase.

Further modifications were conducted to establish catalytically active functionalities, directly on LCB, avoiding the use of metals and metal oxides. One example is represented by sugarcane bagasse, used to prepare lignocellulose-based AOPs catalyst, introducing acidic functionalities from citric acid [189]. The catalyst, assisted by H$_2$O$_2$, was successfully employed for oxidative degradation of the organics in waste sulphuric acid, to recover high-quality products [189]. A similar approach was adopted by Shaabani et al. [190]: in their work, a cellulose supported sulfuric acid was prepared by the dropwise addition of chlorosulfonic acid to cellulose in CHCl$_3$ at 0 °C. The prepared material was used to catalyze the selective oxidation of sulfides to sulfoxides and thios to disulfides using hydrogen peroxide as an oxidant [190]. Surface amination was also proposed in different studies [191,192]; for instance, ethylenediamine functionalized-cellulose was applied as a catalyst to synthesize nitroalkenes and 1,3-dinitroalkanes from aromatic aldehydes and nitromethane [193].

As already underlined, the sustainability of a catalytic process can be evaluated by the possibility to easily recover and reuse the catalyst. Although all the above-described materials are intrinsically employed in heterogeneous conditions, further modifications can favor the catalyst recycling, i.e., imparting magnetic properties. For instance, a magnetic system for Michael-type addition was developed [194]. This type of catalyzed reaction is a conjugate addition, which generally occurs in presence of strong acids—bases as well as stoichiometric amounts of Lewis acids [194]. However, in recent decades, under the impulse of green chemistry, ionic liquids have been employed as reaction media, due to their peculiar chemical and physical properties. According to Beiky et al. [194], a core-shell structure of γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ supported onto cellulose was modified with epichlorohydrin, hexamethyleneetetramine and polytungstophosphate, to produce an immobilized ionic liquid on a magnetic cellulose substrate. The results of Michael-type addition of aniline, phenol, and thiophenol to vinyl pyridine showed a very high yield (up to 95%) within 2 h reaction time at moderate temperature, with a good recyclability level [194]. El-Nahas et al. [195] fabricated nanocomposites from functionalized cellulose (from rice straw) and magnetite, via adsorption. The functionalization was carried out using different organic groups (acetate or succinate) and inorganic groups (phosphorylate or sulfonate), exploiting the availability of cellulose hydroxyl moieties [195]. The catalytic activity of the functionalized nanocellulose and cellulose-magnetite nanocomposites was evaluated towards the esterification of oleic acid with methanol for the production of methyl oleate (biodiesel) [195]. The sulfonated cellulose-magnetite nanocomposite showed the highest catalytic activity toward the esterification reaction (96%) due to the high dispersion of the Lewis acid sites resulted from the impregnation of magnetite in addition to the already presented Brønsted acid sites in the surface of the nanocellulose [195]. Another multicomponent catalyst, a copper (I) iodide supported on NH$_2$-cellulose-based nanomagnetite, was used as a biodegradable catalyst for the synthesis of 1,2,3-triazoles, demonstrating improved yields and regioselectivity [196]. The magnetic catalyst was recovered and reused at least four successive runs under the optimal reaction conditions, without appreciable loss of its activity [196].

More and more complex efforts to exploit LCB within high technological fields have been carried out, concretized in form of lignocellulosic micro-/nano-reactor [197,198] and cellulose nanocrystal nanomotors [199]. Micro-/nano-reactor technology implies the design of size-controlled microfluidic reactors with large surface-area-to-volume ratio to induce diffusion mixing of the reagents and fast dissipation of heat. The benefits are identifiable in terms of reaction selectivity, yield, sustainability, scalability, reproducibility and low-
cost production [197]. For instance, Santos de Sa et al. fabricated lignocellulose-based microreactors in form of hydrophilic microsized channels of bamboo, that were doped with copper to run Cu-catalyzed 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions for the continuous-flow synthesis of model 1,2,3-triazole derivatives in aqueous medium [197]. Other nanoreactors were developed from polyamidoamine (PAMAM) dendrimer-grafted cellulose nanocrystals (CNC–PAMAM) as supports for gold nanoparticles. Actually, PAMAM dendrimers were grafted onto oxidized CNCs and were able to act as reducing agents in the formation of the gold nanoparticles from the precursor gold chloride [198]. The immobilized gold nanoparticles displayed superior catalytic properties toward the reduction of 4-nitrophenol to 4-aminophenol, due to the improved dispersity and accessibility of gold nanoparticles within the PAMAM dendrimer domain [198]. On the other hand, nanomotors are molecular machines that use chemical energy to generate physical movement of molecules, triggered by different mechanisms. Most of the nanomotors reported are based on organic/inorganic or bimetallic materials, which have environmental issues related to toxicity, sustainability and lifetime. In this context, cellulose nanocrystals are valid candidates for such application. Beside their sustainability, they possess interesting physico-chemical properties such as high anisotropic elastic modulus, high surface-area, hydroxyl functionality, tunable optical birefringence properties and biocompatibility [199]. Dhar et al. produced cellulose nanocrystals derived from bamboo, decorated with catalytically active, magnetoresponsive nanomaterials (Fe₂O₃/Pd nanoparticles) to remove methylene blue [199]. The so-prepared nanomotors showed an improved motion, together with capability to in situ degrade pollutants and generate local heat through hyperthermia (in presence of alternating magnetic fields), enhancing the rate of degradation process in real time [199]. The hydrophilic nature of cellulose and its high hydroxyl functionality gave enhanced surface wettability characteristics, which fulfilled the requirement for nanomotor stabilization and a uniform dispersion of iron oxides and palladium. Therefore, the utilization of cellulose nanocrystals as substrates for fabrication of nanomotors with simultaneous self-propulsion and dye degradation capability provided an added value to traditional cellulose-based materials aimed to water purification [199].

6. Conclusions

Since the procrastination of effective measures to mitigate the environmental damages caused by human activities is no longer possible, we thought to this review as a vademecum to explore the multiple uses of lignocellulosic biomass for a sustainable catalysis. We also included food for thought for not deepened topics, since LCB is involved in a very complex scenario, due to its ubiquity and potentialities in several research fields. In particular, we strongly highlighted the importance of the valorization of waste LCB, which often represents a biohazard if not properly disposed. LCB and waste-LCB are inexpensive, easy-available and green raw materials. Despite of the variability among different lignocellulose biomasses, in general, they present convenient chemical-physical features that make them multipurpose compounds. LCB can be the “object” of the green catalysis, when their constituting macromolecules (cellulose and hemicellulose) are catalytically transformed into platform molecules and strategic building blocks, which can substitute some petroleum derivatives for the production of biochemicals and biofuels. Herein, we focused our attention on sustainable conditions, as the use of heterogeneous catalysts capable to catalyze one or multi-step sequences, as well as the choice of solvent that, in most of the cases, plays a significant role for the reaction outcome. Then, we analyzed the role of humic-like substances, derived from LCB and waste-LCB, as photo-catalytically active compounds, able to remove water contaminants under light irradiation, through the production of excited species. We reported several examples of degradation studies, carried out in presence of various humic-like compounds, whose activity was intimately correlated by their origin and their chemical structure. Lastly, LCB was considered as a support for metal catalysts and in other high-technological applications (nanomotors and micro/nano-reactors).
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