Comparison between direct chairside and digitally fabricated temporary crowns
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The mechanical properties of temporary crowns are considered to be crucial in order to achieve successful definitive restorations. This study compared marginal fit, internal fit, fracture strength and mode of fracture of CAD/CAM temporary crowns to direct chairside counterparts. An upper left first premolar Frasaco tooth was prepared for all-ceramic crown. The materials used for comparison were VITA CAD-Temp®, ArtBloc®Temp, PMMA DISK and Acrytemp (control group). The crowns were divided into four groups (n=10). Each crown was investigated for the above parameters. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS v.20. The average marginal gap, internal gap and fracture strength showed statistically significant difference between groups (p<0.01). The fracture mode showed statistically non-significant difference (p>0.05) among experimental groups. The CAD/CAM temporary crowns demonstrated superior mechanical properties compared to direct handmade counterparts.
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INTRODUCTION

The demand for tooth colored restorations has increased significantly in recent years due to improved techniques, materials and also patient demand for aesthetic restorations. Therefore, using various modern restorative materials which have acceptable mechanical properties, are essential for both temporary and definitive restorations. A temporary restoration is an important part of prosthetic therapy procedures with fixed prostheses (i.e., crowns and bridges). It can be used as an intermediate stage for short or long-term placement on teeth between the time of tooth preparation until the definitive indirect restorations are fitted. Accurate temporary restorations are essential and serve various functions, including protection of the pulpal tissues, preventing bacterial contamination and preservation of the periodontal tissues. Therefore, well fabricated temporary restorations should provide a preview of the future prosthesis and enhance the health of the abutments and periodontium.

Polyethylene methacrylate (PMMA) resins and composite-based resins (CBR) are the most common materials used to fabricate temporary restorations. Previous studies have shown that insufficient mechanical properties are common causes of failure of temporary restorations such as fracture and poorly-fabricated prostheses. These issues can cause patient discomfort and pain in addition to economic loss. Thus, the mechanical properties of temporary materials are important and should be considered to ensure the clinical success of final restoration.

CAD/CAM technology, which is used to fabricate temporary and definitive prostheses, may solve some of these issues. CAD/CAM-fabricated temporary crowns could provide better outcomes regarding marginal fit, strength and fabrication in a shorter time. In addition, the emergence of the technology in dentistry has allowed the successful application of various superior materials. Using CAD/CAM systems to fabricate temporary prosthesis have now achieved more popularity in comparison to conventional counterpart. This technology permits shaping of materials with high precision that cannot be carried out effectively through a traditional method.

At present, there are few studies that have been published comparing the efficacy of the marginal fit, internal fit, fracture strength and mode of fracture of direct handmade temporary prosthesis relative to those fabricated with the CAD/CAM technique. Therefore, the primary objective of the present study was to compare the marginal fit, internal fit, fracture strength and mode of fracture of handmade fabricated temporary crowns with those of digitally fabricated crowns. The null hypothesis was twofold: it was hypothesized that digitally fabricated temporary crowns would provide better adaptation than direct handmade counterparts. In addition, it was also hypothesized that there is no difference between digitally fabricated and direct handmade temporary crowns in terms of fracture resistance property.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

An upper left first premolar phantom tooth on a model (Frasaco Franz Sachs, Tettnang, Germany) was used for tooth preparation. The protocol for all-ceramic preparation was followed with 1.5 mm occlusal reduction, the convergence angle of the wall was prepared to be approximately 6° and a round shoulder of 1 mm using...
a high speed handpiece operating with water coolant. A silicone index was used to permit easy visual inspection and provided stability during tooth preparation. The Williams periodontal probe was used to measure the preparation dimensions as per the protocol. A parallelometer was also used to achieve a standardised tooth preparation. An impression of the prepared tooth was made with vinyl-polysiloxane (Dublisil 15, Dreve Dentamid, Unna, Germany) to fabricate a die model from polyurethane base resin (AlphaDie™ MF, Schütz Dental Labortechnik, Roshbach, Germany).

The CEREC4 InEos system (Sirona, Bensheim, Germany) was used for scanning the preparation. The preparation was powdered with scan spray lab (Dentaco, Essen, Germany) to provide optimum scanning process. The preparation was then scanned and the crown designed using the CEREC4 SW4.4.1. Three CAD/CAM temporary blocks were used: VITA CAD-Temp® (VITA Zahnfabrik, H. Rauter, Bad Säckingen, Germany), ArtBloc®Temp (Merz Dental, Lütjenburg, Germany), and PMMA DISK (YAMAHACHI DENTAL MFG, Gamagori, Japan). Ten temporary crowns were milled for each group. The correlation mode was used with the spacer set at 10 microns for the three CAD/CAM groups and the default burs (1.2 mm cylinder bur and step bur) were used for the milling process. Following milling, the excess handles of the crown and the surface was smoothed with a carbide finishing bur. The restorations were used for the milling process. Following milling, the excess handles of the crown and the surface was smoothed with a carbide finishing bur. The restorations were checked for any defects. Any excess was removed and checked for any defects. Any excess was removed with a blade No.25 and scalpel.

Next petroleum jelly was applied to the proximal areas of adjacent teeth to allow easy removal of the crown from the seated position. The components of the Acrytemp restorative material were mixed in an automixing gun with 4:1 base/catalyst ratio and injected gently around the margins of the prepared tooth, followed injecting into the indexed impression. The impression silicone was carefully loaded and seated onto the prepared tooth with finger pressure occlusally to ensure adequate flow of temporary material on the prepared tooth. After 4 min from starting application of the Acrytemp at normal room temperature, the indexed impression was then removed. After that period, the direct temporary crown reached its elastic stage and permitted removal from the prepared tooth used plastic instrument with a gentle pressure at proximal sides.

To allow complete polymerization and hardening of the Acrytemp, the crowns were left at room temperature for 10 min. They were then checked for accurate adaptation followed by rubbing with ethyl alcohol to remove the oxygen inhibition layer. In addition, a digital caliper (Pearson Dental™, Vivid Labpro Dial Caliper, K2103, Y0-01201, Sylmar, CA, USA) was used to check the thickness and uniformity of manually prepared temporary crowns. All crowns were checked at different points such as buccal, palatal, mesial, distal, occlusal, axial, and marginal areas for accuracy. The ten direct temporary crowns were made by one operator. The crowns were then finished and polished used rotary rubber cups (Astropol, Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein) and inspected for any deformities circumferentially.

**Measurement of marginal and internal fit**

The marginal gap was evaluated by means of a replica technique and a luting agent. A light-bodied silicone rubber impression material (Aquasil LV™, green color, Dentsply-DeTrey) was used for cementation. Each crown was filled with the light-bodied material and placed on the corresponding replica with a constant force of 40 N for 3 min using a universal testing machine (EZ test, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). After setting of the silicone rubber, the crowns were removed from the die model. A thin film of the light bodied impression material was adhered to the inner surface of the crown in all cases. To support the thin film, a heavy bodied materials of contrasting colors (Aquasil putty, blue and orange color, Dentsply-DeTrey) was used. After setting, an index was marked on the heavy-bodied material to provide a consistent series of locations (mesial, distal, buccal, and palatal) for sectioning, and each silicone replica was sectioned into smaller segments for microscopic examination. The marginal gap and internal fit were measured at nine points seperately both buccopalatally [BP] and mesiodistally [MD]. In each specimen, 18 measurements were evaluated, amounting to a total of 720 measurements for four groups (n=40). The schematic illustration of silicone replica technique, which measured different points at interface cross sectional view from [BP] and [MD] directions, is shown in Fig. 1 using the stereomicroscope with 25x magnification.

**Measurement of fracture strength**

To perform the test a die model was fabricated to subject the fracture strength testing. To duplicate the prepared tooth and fabricate a die model, a convenient wax base was fabricated for the prepared tooth. The root portion of the Frasaco tooth was embedded into the wax. The full crown area was left on the outside of the wax base. The height and width of the base was approximately 14 and 20 mm respectively. A plastic cylinder was used to fabricate a new impression of the prepared tooth. The height and diameter of the cylinder was 50 and 30 mm respectively. Then the embedded prepared tooth was
placed and fixed at the bottom of the cylinder.

Next, the addition of vinyl-polysiloxane (Dublisil 15, Dreve Dentamid) impression material was used to fabricate a model impression mold for the prepared Frasaco tooth. The impression material consists of (A+B) components, the digital scaler (VIBRA AJ, SHINKO DENSISHI, Tokyo, Japan) was used to weight an adequate amount of components into the equal ratio following the manufacturer’s instructions. Mixing of the components was carried out in a plastic dish with a small plastic spatula for 45 s at normal room temperature. For each measurement the equipment was re-zeroed to achieve an optimum accuracy during measurement.

The mixed pastes were poured into the plastic cylinder and left for 30 min to set. The impression was then removed from the cylinder after dissection of the plastic cylinder from a side using a scalpel and No. 25 blade to release the impression free from distortion and tearing. Then, the fabricated silicone mold was separated from the tooth and its wax base.

After fabrication of a silicone mold, a die model was duplicated using polyurethane base resin (AlphaDie™ MF, Schütz Labortechnik). The material consisted of three main components: 200 mL base material, 100 mL hardener and 400 g filler. The contents were then weighed using a digital scaler and mixed. The mixing constituents’ ratio were prepared as a base: hardener (2:1) with approximately 15 g AlphaDie™ MF. The mixing process was carried out in a plastic dish used a plastic spatula for about 30 s at normal room temperature. The mixture was poured into the prepared mold and left for approximately 1 h to permit the setting process. The die model was then removed, and the excess borders were trimmed to adequate size.

Then, each crown was cemented to the die model using Integrity® TempGrip® (Dentsply Caulk, Milford, DE, USA). The components were mixed in dual barreled syringe with a material ratio of 1:1, following the manufacturer’s instruction to achieve a standardised time to allow complete setting of the cement. The crowns were filled with cement and seated on its corresponding die model which was represented as a prepared Frasaco tooth. The cemented crowns were immersed into water for 24 h to simulated an oral environment and subjected to static loading.

The samples were loaded under a standard compression load at a crosshead speed of 1 mm/min and the force recorded using the universal testing machine (EZ test, Shimadzu) with a 2,000 N loaded cell for 3 min. A plunger with a steel ball (ø 4.24 mm) was used to apply a compressive force until fracture occurred. The ball was directed to the mid occlusal plateau between the buccal and palatal cusps. A piece of rubber dam was placed as a stress breaker between occlusal surface of each crown and steel ball to reduce any potential stress concentration while applying the load. Loading was continued until fracture occurred and fracture loads were recorded. After a first loading test, the die model

| Types of fracture | Description of fracture pattern |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| Type I            | Minimal fracture or crack in crown |
| Type II           | Less than half of crown lost     |
| Type III          | Crown fracture through midline; half of crown displaced or lost |
| Type IV           | More than half of crown lost     |
was examined microscopically using stereomicroscope to detect any deformation or cracks from the occlusal surface and finishing line circumferentially.

The mode of fracture detected was also recorded for the samples. The record based on Burke’s classification, which comprises certain fracture types as shown in Table 1.

**Statistical analysis**

All data about the different fabrication techniques and materials used were analyzed using IBM SPSS v.20 (IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences, Armonk, NY, USA). The mean and standard deviations of the marginal gap, internal fit, and fracture strength were calculated used one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post hoc tests (Tukey’s test). The data of mode of fracture were compared using Chi-square test. The p-value less than 0.05 (p<0.05) was considered as statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Mean values and standard deviations (M±SD) of the marginal gap, internal gap and fracture strength for all groups are shown graphically in Figs. 2–5.

The average marginal gap for each group was: VITA CAD-Temp® 59.97±11.1 µm, ArtBloc®Temp 45.58±9.99 µm, PMMA DISK 62.19±12.9 µm and Acrytemp 138.6±10.1 µm. The statistical analysis of the results indicated that there was a statistically significant difference (p< 0.001) between the groups. The average internal fit for each group was: VITA CAD-Temp® group 117.8±15.58 µm, ArtBloc®Temp group 109.27±19.21 µm, PMMA DISK group 123.16±23.97 µm and Acrytemp group 140.1±26.53 µm. The results of the internal fit between all groups showed a statistically significant difference (p<0.001). The average fracture strength of each group was: VITA CAD-Temp® 347±30.71 Newton.
The aim of this study was to compare the digitally fabricated temporary crowns to direct handmade counterparts. Previous studies have reported that the digitally fabricated temporary restorations might be superior to the direct conventional method. The advancement in CAD/CAM technology permitted milling of the blocks with satisfied clinical performance and an easier approach for crown fabrication. This technology is not without shortcomings regarding fabrication of temporary restorations such as uneven preparation of crowns internally, particularly at the axial walls and occlusal plateau. Other studies have been reported that this technology and its materials are more reliable as an alternative to conventional handmade technique. In addition, CAD/CAM technology was progressed rapidly in the last three decades, with the initial limits of the chairside system having been overcome.

Certain parameters can govern the success and failure rates for fabricating temporary and permanent restorations such as marginal and internal accuracies. When a satisfactory marginal and internal fit has been achieved following fabrication crowns, it can be considered as a successful process, particularly, if the material can withstand the masticatory forces in oral environment.

Regarding the marginal adaptation, this is a crucial aspect, which should be considered carefully. Poor marginal adaptation will lead to damage of the surrounding tooth tissues, a situation that deteriorates the complete restoration seriously, causing exposed margins and poor aesthetics. Therefore, it is essential to fabricate temporary crowns in a way that will harmonize with the surrounding tissues until final restoration is placed.

The current study used a replica method to measure the gaps that were formed through digital and handmade fabrication temporary crowns. For measuring marginal and internal accuracies, there are various methods, which can be replica, embedded or sectioning techniques. The replica technique is a non-invasive and valid approach to measure the adaptation of a restoration to the tooth structure.

The current research has recorded the mean and standard deviations to evaluate fit accuracies of specimens from diverse points marginally and internally. The average marginal gap of digitally fabricated temporary crowns reported in the current study ranged from 46–138 µm. The previous study has recorded marginal gaps ranged between 55–193 µm as different materials and digital system were used. Despite achieving better results, however, the film thickness is still far from the basic requirements which was reported the range from 25–40 µm.

There was statistically significant difference between digitally fabricated crowns to the handmade counterpart when measuring marginal fit, with the former showing better marginal adaptation than the latter. The highest marginal opening was with Acrytemp 138 µm (control group). It is also interesting to note that PMMA DISK crowns have shown the lowest marginal integrity, while the ArtBloc has demonstrated the highest marginal fit among CAD/CAM temporary blocks. These outcomes have not been described previously.

Previous studies have been reported acceptable range of marginal opening for clinical cases which is less than 120 µm. The CAD/CAM materials in the present study could show the acceptable range of marginal gaps. However, handmade crowns have exceeded the mentioned range. The current result is consistent with previously reported literature.

The current outcomes of the digitally fabricated temporary crowns relating to marginal accuracy is consistent to permanent fabricated crowns such as zirconia and lithium disilicate materials that could provide marginal fit which considered within the clinical acceptable range. However, this is not the case for temporary handmade crowns as they have shown larger marginal openings. Therefore, it is possible to keep tooth tissues and its surrounding structures with digitally fabricated crowns until the temporary restoration will be replaced with a definite crown. Thus, the handmade temporary crowns should be used with caution especially when used as a long term temporary crown.

There is another shortcoming regarding polymerization shrinkage among temporary handmade crowns. This might be a causative factor for marginal discrepancy among temporary handmade crowns. This potential issue cannot be observed in CAD/CAM blocks. During the milling process, the blocks are not affected as they are completely pre-polymerized during fabrication process prior to machining. The present study has recorded better results than earlier investigations regarding shrinkage issue.

The use of silicone impression material, provides certain promising properties such as dimensional stability and accurate recording of contours. However, temporary handmade crowns presented with greater marginal openings than the digitally fabricated crowns. This fact may contribute to the shrinkage potential of handmade temporary crowns that was mentioned earlier.

Regarding the internal fit, the present data have shown 109–140 µm as an average internal gap. There was a statistically significant difference between evaluated groups. The ArtBloc®Temp temporary blocks demonstrated better internal fit. Contrary, the highest
internal gap was recorded with Acrytemp handmade crowns. The present study has recorded lower internal gaps among CAD/CAM and handmade temporary crowns compared to previous literature\(^{(17)}\).

The temporary cement was used to fix the crowns on the die model to simulate the oral environment. The outcome of the fracture strength has shown statistically significant differences between all tested groups (\(p<0.001\)). The mean fracture strength reported in the current study ranged from 284–375 N. The ArtBloc\(^{®}\)Temp demonstrated the highest fracture resistance among CAD/CAM temporaries. In the current investigation, VITA CAD-Temp has shown the lowest fracture resistance among CAD/CAM blocks 347 N. However, the amount of resistance force is still greater than the amount that applied to the direct handmade counterpart 284 N.

All tested materials were subjected to a load that was higher than the masticatory forces inside the individuals mouth. It has been reported that the force produced in the mouth varies between individuals. For example, different forces such as 40 N; 170–881 N; and 200–540 N have been recorded during swallowing; chewing nuts; and forces increase in the molar region, respectively\(^{(36)}\).

Regarding the fracture mode, the analyzed data have shown that there was no statistically significant difference between digital and direct handmade temporary crowns (\(p>0.05\)). The highest number of the fractured pattern was recorded as type II fracture (less than half of crown lost) and type III fracture (crown fracture through midline; half of crown displace or lost). This finding is inconsistent with earlier literature as the study reported type II fracture as a common pattern of crown fracture\(^{(37)}\).

It is important to note that the average cyclic load during an individual lifespan in actual oral environment may exceed \(1 \times 10^7\) cyclic load\(^{(37)}\), which may lead to 50% or more strength reduction\(^{(30)}\). Therefore, one of the limitations of the current study was that it was not used fatigue loading for the crowns before measuring fracture strength.

The clinical significance of this investigation is that the current outcomes could draw clinicians’ attention to the importance of selecting the right material for clinical cases. In addition, it provides a clear concept for clinicians to opt more accurate materials for fabricating temporary crowns.

CONCLUSION

Within the limitations of this study, the first part of the null hypothesis was accepted. The digitally fabricated temporary crowns demonstrated superior fit in comparison to direct handmade temporary crowns. The second part of the null hypothesis was rejected as there was a statistically significant difference between digitally fabricated and direct handmade temporary crowns in terms of fracture strength; the former materials have shown superior resistance.
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