Old and new order of linear invariant family of harmonic mappings and the bound for Jacobian
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Abstract. The relation between the Jacobian and the orders of a linear invariant family of locally univalent harmonic mapping in the plane is studied. The new order (called the strong order) of a linear invariant family is defined and the relations between order and strong order are established.

1. A harmonic mapping $f$ in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{ z : |z| < 1 \}$ has a representation:

$$ f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)} $$

where $h$ and $g$ are holomorphic functions in $\mathbb{D}$.

We assume that $f$ is locally univalent and sense-preserving in $\mathbb{D}$, which is equivalent to $J_f(z) > 0$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, where $J_f(z)$ denotes the Jacobian of $f$:

$$ J_f(z) = |h'(z)|^2 - |g'(z)|^2. $$

For the properties of harmonic mappings we can refer to surveys [1] and [2]. The notion of an affine and linear invariant family of univalent harmonic functions was proposed by Sheil-Small [6], and extended to local univalent mappings and then used efficiently by Schaubroeck in [5].
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For any holomorphic automorphism \( \varphi \) of \( \mathbb{D} \) (\( \varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D}) \)) we denote
\[
T_{\varphi}(f(z)) = \frac{f(\varphi(z)) - f(\varphi(0))}{\varphi'(0)h'(\varphi(0))},
\]
(1.3)
\[
A_{\varepsilon}(f(z)) = \frac{f(z) + \varepsilon f(z)}{1 + \varepsilon g'(0)}, \quad |\varepsilon| < 1, \quad \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}.
\]
(1.4)

The transformations (1.3) and (1.4) are called the Koebe transform and the affine transform of a locally univalent harmonic function \( f = h + \overline{g} \).

Put
\[
S_{\varphi,\varepsilon}(f(z)) = A_{\varepsilon} \circ T_{\varphi}(f(z))
\]
(1.5)

In what follows \( L \) denotes a family of locally univalent and sense-preserving harmonic functions \( f = h + \overline{g} \) in \( \mathbb{D} \) which have the expansion:
\[
f(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n(f)z^n + z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{-n}(f)\overline{z}^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.
\]
(1.6)

A family \( L \) is called an affine and linear invariant \( ALIF \) if for any \( f \in L \) the function \( T_{\varphi}(f) \) and \( A_{\varepsilon}(f) \) belong to \( L \) for all \( \varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D}) \) and all \( |\varepsilon| < 1 \).

A family \( L \) is called \( LIF \) (linear invariant family) if for any \( f \in L \) and all \( \varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D}) \) the function \( T_{\varphi}(f) \in L \).

The order of the family \( L \) is defined as \( \text{ord} L = \sup\{|a_2(f)| : f \in L\} \) (see [5] and [6]).

**Example.** The best known \( ALIF \) family is the class \( S_H \) of univalent harmonic mappings in \( \mathbb{D} \) preserving orientation, as well as the subclasses \( K_H \) of convex and \( C_H \) of close-to-convex mapping [2].

A simple example of a family which is \( LIF \) but not \( ALIF \) is the family of locally univalent holomorphic functions in \( \mathbb{D} \).

The properties of the transformation (1.3)–(1.5) have been used in [5] to obtain some bounds for the Jacobian \( J_f(z) \) in terms of the order of a linear invariant family.

In this paper we give an improvement of one result from [5] (Theorem 2.1) and establish the relations between \( \text{ord} L \) and the new order called the strong order \( \overline{\text{ord}} L \) defined below. Introduction of the new order \( \overline{\text{ord}} L \), allow us to prove Theorem 3.1 for arbitrary family \( L \) which is an extension of Theorem 2.1, while \( L \) is \( ALIF \) family.

These relations depend on the upper bound for the Jacobian \( J_f(z) \) in the terms of \( \text{ord} L \) and \( \overline{\text{ord}} L \).

We end this introduction with two definitions and one lemma.

**Definition 1.1.** The affine hull of the family \( L \) is defined as the set of functions
\[
A(L) = \{ A_{\varepsilon}(f) : f \in L, |\varepsilon| < 1 \}.
\]
Definition 1.2. The linear-affine hull of the family $L$ is defined as the set of functions

$$\mathcal{L} A(L) = \{ S_{\varphi, \varepsilon}(f) : f \in L, \varphi \in \text{Aut} (\mathbb{D}), \ |\varepsilon| < 1 \}.$$ 

Lemma 1.1. If $L$ is a linear invariant family of harmonic functions (LIF), then $A(L)$ is affine and linearly invariant (ALIF).

Proof. By the definition of $A(L)$ it is enough to prove that $A(L)$ is a (LIF). Every member of $A(L)$ has the form

$$f_\varepsilon(z) = \frac{f + \varepsilon \hat{f}}{1 + \varepsilon g'(0)} = h_\varepsilon + \overline{g}_\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}, \quad |\varepsilon| < 1,$$

where $f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g}(z) \in L$. The functions $h, g, h_\varepsilon$ and $g_\varepsilon$ are holomorphic functions in $\mathbb{D}$ and

$$h_\varepsilon(z) = \frac{h(z) + \varepsilon g(z)}{1 + \varepsilon g'(0)}, \quad g_\varepsilon(z) = \frac{g(z) + \overline{\varepsilon}h(z)}{1 + \varepsilon g'(0)}.$$ 

We have to prove that $T_\varphi(f_\varepsilon) \in A(L)$ for any

$$\varphi(z) = e^{i\theta} \frac{z + a}{1 + \overline{\alpha}z}, \quad a \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$ 

Let us fix $\varphi$. Denote $F_\varepsilon = T_\varphi(f_\varepsilon) = H_\varepsilon + \overline{G}_\varepsilon$, where

$$H'_\varepsilon(z) = \frac{h'(\varphi(z)) + \varepsilon g'(\varphi(z))}{(h'(\varphi(0)) + \varepsilon g'(\varphi(0)))(1 + \overline{\alpha}z)^2},$$

$$G'_\varepsilon(z) = \frac{g'(\varphi(z)) + \overline{\varepsilon}h'(\varphi(z))}{(h'(\varphi(0)) + \varepsilon g'(\varphi(0)))(1 + \overline{\alpha}z)^2} \cdot e^{2i\theta}.$$ 

Analogously, denote $T_\varphi(f) = F = H + \overline{G} \in L$, where

$$H'(z) = \frac{h'(\varphi(z))}{h'(\varphi(0))(1 + \overline{\alpha}z)^2}, \quad G'(z) = \frac{g'(\varphi(z))}{h'(\varphi(0))(1 + \overline{\alpha}z)^2} \cdot e^{2i\theta}$$

and

$$\varepsilon_1 = \frac{h'(\varphi(0))}{h'(\varphi(0))} \cdot e^{2i\theta}.$$ 

We can write that $A_\varepsilon(F) \in A(L)$ because writing $A_\varepsilon(F) = \Phi_\varepsilon(z) = H_\varepsilon + \overline{G}_\varepsilon$, where

$$H'_\varepsilon(z) = \frac{h'(\varphi(z)) + \varepsilon_1 g'(\varphi(z))}{(h'(\varphi(0)) + \varepsilon_1 g'(\varphi(0)))(1 + \overline{\alpha}z)^2} = H'_{\varepsilon_1}(z)$$

and

$$G'_\varepsilon(z) = \frac{g'(\varphi(z)) + \overline{\varepsilon_1}h'(\varphi(z))}{(h'(\varphi(0)) + \varepsilon_1 g'(\varphi(0)))(1 + \overline{\alpha}z)^2} \cdot e^{2i\theta} = G'_{\varepsilon_1}(z),$$

we have $\Phi_\varepsilon(z) = F_{\varepsilon_1} \in A(L)$, for any $\varepsilon_1, |\varepsilon_1| < 1$, due to the fact that $\varepsilon$ was arbitrary and $|\varepsilon| < 1$. \qed
Remark 1.1. As we see from the proof, the operators $T_\varphi$ and $A_\varepsilon$ do not commute, i.e. $A_\varepsilon \circ T_\varphi \neq T_\varphi \circ A_\varepsilon$. However, we have

$$\{A_\varepsilon \circ T_\varphi(f) : f \in L, |\varepsilon| < 1, \varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})\} = \{T_\varphi \circ A_\varepsilon(f) : f \in L, |\varepsilon| < 1, \varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})\}.$$ 

2. We start with a slight improvement of Theorem 3.3 from [5].

Theorem 2.1. If $L$ is ALIF, ord $L = \alpha$, $\alpha > 0$ and $f \in L$, then

$$\left(1 - |a_{-1}(f)|^2\right) \left(\frac{1 - r^{2\alpha - 2}}{1 + r^{2\alpha + 2}} \leq J_f(z)\right)$$

\[\leq \frac{(1 + r^{2\alpha - 2})}{(1 - r^{2\alpha + 2})} \left(1 - |a_{-1}(f)|^2\right), \quad |z| = r < 1.\]  

The bounds in (2.1) are sharp and the sign of equality holds for the function

$$f(z) = k_\alpha(z) + a_{-1}k_\alpha(z),$$

where

$$k_\alpha(z) = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left[\left(\frac{1 + z}{1 - z}\right)^\alpha - 1\right].$$

Observe that $f(z)$ is univalent for $\alpha \in (0, 2]$, which follows from univalence of $k_\alpha(z)$ for these $\alpha$ and the invariance of univalent harmonic functions w.r.t. operator $A_\varepsilon$.

Proof. (Theorem 2.1) The proof is exactly the same as in [5], only the value $J_f(0) = 1 - |a_{-1}(f)|^2$ has to be taken into account. Namely, using the inequality from [5]:

$$\frac{d}{dr} \log \left(\frac{1 - r}{1 + r}\right)^{2\alpha} \leq \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ \log \left( J_f(re^{i\theta}) \cdot (1 - r^2)^2 \right) \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{d}{dr} \log \left(\frac{1 + r}{1 - r}\right)^{2\alpha}, \quad z = re^{i\theta},$$

after integration along the segment $[0, r]$, $0 < r < 1$, we obtain (2.1).

For a linear invariant family $L$ of holomorphic functions the inverse theorem holds (see [4]), i.e. inequality (2.1) implies that ord $L \leq \alpha$. \qed

The next theorem is in some sense inverse to Theorem 2.1. We do not assume even the linear invariance of the family $L$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f \in L$ and assume that the upper bound in (2.1) holds for some $\alpha > 0$. Then there exists $\varepsilon, |\varepsilon| < 1$ such that

$$\left|a_2(f) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right| \leq \alpha.$$ 

The inequality (2.3) is sharp, which means that in the right side of the inequality $|\varepsilon| < 1$ we can not write any constant smaller than 1.
Proof. We will apply the same ideas from [3]. By the assumption, \( f \in L \) satisfies (2.1) which implies that for \( z = re^{i\theta} \),
\[
\log J_f(z) - \log J_f(0) \leq (2\alpha - 2) \log(1 + r) - (2\alpha + 2) \log(1 - r).
\]
For \( r = 0 \) the above inequality after differentiation gives
\[
(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{J_f(0)} \cdot \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial r} J_f(re^{i\theta}) \right|_{r=0} \leq 4\alpha.
\]
But
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial r} J_f(z) = \left[ h''(re^{i\theta})h'(re^{i\theta})e^{i\theta} - g''(re^{i\theta})g'(re^{i\theta})e^{i\theta} \right]
+ \left[ h''(re^{i\theta})h'(re^{i\theta})e^{i\theta} - g''(re^{i\theta})g'(re^{i\theta})e^{i\theta} \right].
\]
Therefore, by (2.4) for every real \( \theta \) we have
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( J_f(re^{i\theta}) \right) \bigg|_{r=0} = 2 \text{Re} \left\{ e^{i\theta} \left( h''(0)h'(0) - g''(0)g'(0) \right) \right\} \leq 4\alpha J_f(0).
\]
Thus
\[
\left| h''(0) - g''(0)g'(0) \right| \leq 2\alpha J_f(0),
\]
due to the fact that \( h'(0) = 1 \). The above inequality is equivalent to
\[
(2.5) \quad \frac{|a_2(f) - a_{-1}(f)\bar{a}_{-2}(f)|}{1 - |a_{-1}(f)|^2} \leq \alpha.
\]
Let us put
\[
\omega(z) = \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} = \frac{a_{-1}(f)}{1 - a_{-1}(f)\omega(z)} = 2(a_{-2}(f) - a_2(f)\bar{a}_{-1}(f))z + \ldots
\]
and
\[
\omega_0(z) = \frac{\omega(z) - \bar{a}_{-1}(f)}{1 - a_{-1}(f)\omega(z)} = \frac{2(a_{-2}(f) - a_2(f)\bar{a}_{-1}(f))}{z + \ldots}.
\]
Because \( \omega_0(0) = 0 \) and \( |\omega_0(z)| < 1 \) we have
\[
(2.6) \quad 2 \left| \frac{a_{-2}(f) - a_2(f)\bar{a}_{-1}(f)}{1 - |a_{-1}(f)|^2} \right| \leq 1.
\]
On the other hand, (2.5) can be rewritten as
\[
\left| \frac{a_2(f)(1 - |a_{-1}(f)|^2) + a_{-1}(f)(a_2(f)\bar{a}_{-1}(f) - \bar{a}_{-2}(f))}{1 - |a_{-1}(f)|^2} \right| \leq \alpha
\]
or
\[
\left| a_2(f) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right| \leq \alpha \quad \text{where} \quad |\varepsilon| = \left| a_{-1}(f)2\frac{a_2(f)\bar{a}_{-1}(f) - \bar{a}_{-2}(f)}{1 - |a_{-1}(f)|^2} \right| < 1.
\]

In order to prove the sharpness consider the function

\[ f_0(z) = h_0(z) + g_0(z), \]

where

\[ h_0(z) = k_{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}}(z), \quad g_0'(z) = k'_{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}}(z) \frac{z + x}{1 + xz}, \quad x \in (0, 1). \]

We have \( a_2(f_0) = \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \) and

\[
\frac{J_{f_0}(z)}{J_{f_0}(0)} = \frac{\left| k'_{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}}(z) \right|^2 \left( 1 - \frac{z + x}{1 + xz} \right)^2}{\left| k'_{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}}(0) \right|^2 (1 - x^2)} = \frac{(1 + z)^{2(\alpha - \frac{1}{2})}}{(1 - z)^{2(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})}} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|1 + xz|^2}.
\]

Moreover,

\[
\min_{|z|=r} \left( |1 - z|^2 |1 + xz|^2 \right) = \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ (1 - 2r \cos \theta + r^2)(1 + 2xr \cos \theta + x^2r^2) \right] = (1 - r)^2(1 + xr)^2,
\]

and the minimum is attained for \( \theta = 0 \). Therefore,

\[
\max_{|z|=r} \frac{J_{f_0}(z)}{J_{f_0}(0)} = \frac{(1 + r)^{2\alpha - 1}}{(1 - r)^{2\alpha + 3}} \frac{(1 - r)^2}{(1 + xr)^2} < \frac{(1 + r)^{2\alpha - 2 + \delta(x)}}{(1 - r)^{2\alpha + 2 + \delta(x)}},
\]

which implies that inequality (2.3) for \( f_0 \) can be written in the form

\[
\left| \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right| \leq \alpha + \delta(x),
\]

where \( 0 < \delta(x) \to 0 \) if \( x \to 1^- \), and

\[
\varepsilon = a_{-1}(f_0) - a_{-2}(f_0) = \frac{a_2(f_0)a_{-1}(f_0) - a_{-2}(f_0)}{1 - |a_{-1}(f_0)|^2} = x,
\]

because \( 2a_{-2}(f_0) = 1 - x^2 + 2a_2(f_0)x \). This makes the result of Theorem 2.2 sharp.

\[ \square \]

**Remark 2.1.** Theorem 2.2 is also valid for holomorphic functions \( f(z) \). In this case we have to put in the proof \( \varepsilon = 0 \).

**Remark 2.2.** From the above proof we see that in the statement of Theorem 2.2 it is sufficient to assume that \( f(z) \) satisfies only the right- (or left-) hand side of inequality (2.1).

**Corollary 2.1.** If the family \( L \) is LIF and for any \( f \in L \) inequality (2.1) holds, then \( \text{ord} L \leq \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \).
3. Now we introduce the definition of new order \( \overline{\text{ord}} L \) (we will call the strong order) of a linear invariant family (LIF) of harmonic mappings \( L \).

In terms of this new order one can formulate iff version of Theorem 2.1 without assuming family \( L \) to be affine.

**Definition 3.1.** Let \( L \) be LIF. The strong order \( \overline{\text{ord}} L \) of a family \( L \) of harmonic mappings \( f \) is defined by the formula

\[
\overline{\text{ord}} L = \sup_{f \in L} \frac{|a_2(f) - a_{-1}(f)\overline{a}^2(f)|}{1 - |a_{-1}(f)|^2}.
\]

**Remark 3.1.** In the case when \( f \) is holomorphic in \( \mathbb{D} \), definition (3.1) coincides with that introduced by Pommerenke in [4].

**Definition 3.2.** For any fixed \( f \in L \) we define

\[
\overline{\text{ord}} f = \sup_{\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})} \frac{|a_2(T_\varphi(f)) - a_{-1}(T_\varphi(f))\overline{a}^2(T_\varphi(f))|}{1 - |a_{-1}(T_\varphi(f))|^2}.
\]

Of course, if \( L \) is LIF, \( \overline{\text{ord}} L = \alpha \), then \( \overline{\text{ord}} f \leq \alpha \) for any \( f \in L \).

**Theorem 3.1.** If \( f \in L \), then \( \overline{\text{ord}} f \leq \alpha \) if and only if for every \( F = F_\psi := T_\psi(f) \) and any \( z \in \mathbb{D} \)

\[
(1-r)^{2\alpha-2} \leq \frac{J_{F}(z)}{J_{F}(0)} \leq \frac{(1+r)^{2\alpha-2}}{(1-r)^{2\alpha+2}}, \quad |z| = r < 1.
\]

**Proof.** Assume first that \( \overline{\text{ord}} f \leq \alpha \), \( \varphi(z) = \frac{z + \alpha}{1 + \overline{\alpha}z} \), \( z, \alpha \in \mathbb{D} \) and \( T_\varphi(f) = F = H + \overline{G}, \psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D}) \). Consider \( F_a(z) = T_\varphi(F) = H_a + \overline{G}_a \), where \( H, H_a, G \) and \( G_a \) are holomorphic functions in \( \mathbb{D} \). By direct calculations we find

\[
G'_a(z) = \frac{G'(\varphi(z))}{H'(a)} \cdot (1 + \overline{\alpha}z)^2, \quad H'_a(z) = \frac{H'(\varphi(z))}{H'(a)} \cdot (1 + \overline{\alpha}z)^2,
\]

and

\[
G'_a(0) = \frac{G'(a)}{H'(a)}, \quad G''_a(0) = \frac{G''(a)(1 - |a|^2) - 2\overline{\alpha}G'(a)}{H'(a)}, \quad H''_a(0) = \frac{H''(a)(1 - |a|^2) - 2\overline{\alpha}}{H'(a)}.
\]

One can easily verify that

\[
J_{F_a}(z) = \frac{J_F(\varphi(z))}{|H'(a)|^2|1 + \overline{\alpha}z|^4}.
\]

Moreover, for \( z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D} \) we have

\[
\left. \frac{\partial J_{F_a}(re^{i\theta})}{\partial r} \right|_{r=0} = 2 \text{ Re} \left\{ e^{i\theta} \left( H''_a(0) - G''_a(0)\overline{G'}_a(0) \right) \right\},
\]
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and by the definition of the order
\[
\frac{\partial J_{F_a}(re^{i\theta})}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=0} = 2 \text{Re} \left\{ e^{i\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} J_{F_a}(z) \right\} \bigg|_{z=0} = 2 \text{Re} \left\{ e^{i\theta} (2a_2(F_a) - 2\overline{a-2}(F_a)a_{-1}(F_a)) \right\} \leq 4\alpha (1 - |a_{-1}(F_a)|^2).
\]

Therefore, from (3.4) we obtain
\[
\frac{\partial J_{F_a}(re^{i\theta})}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=0} = 2 \text{Re} \left\{ e^{i\theta} \left[ H''(a)(1 - |a|^2) - 2\overline{a} \frac{G''(a)}{|H'(a)|^2} \left( G''(a)(1 - |a|^2) - 2\pi G'(a) \right) \right] \right\} = 2 \text{Re} \left\{ e^{i\theta} \left[ \left( 1 - |a|^2 \right) \frac{\partial J_{F_a}(a)}{|H'(a)|^2} - 2\overline{a} \left( 1 - \left| \frac{G'(a)}{H'(a)} \right|^2 \right) \right] \right\}.
\]

Choosing first \( \theta = a, \ a \neq 0 \), we obtain \( (a = \rho e^{i\theta}) \)
\[
\frac{\partial J_{F_a}(re^{i\theta})}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=0} = \frac{1}{|H'(a)|^2} \left[ (1 - |a|^2) \frac{\partial J_{F_a}(\rho e^{i\theta})}{\partial \rho} - 4\overline{a} J_{F_a}(\rho e^{i\theta}) \right].
\]

Applying (3.5), we get
\[
\frac{1}{J_{F_a}(\rho e^{i\theta})} \frac{\partial J_{F_a}(\rho e^{i\theta})}{\partial \rho} \leq 4(\alpha + \rho) \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2}.
\]

Choosing now \( \theta = \pi + a \), we obtain
\[
\frac{1}{J_{F_a}(\rho e^{i\theta})} \frac{\partial J_{F_a}(\rho e^{i\theta})}{\partial \rho} \geq \frac{4}{1 - \rho^2}.
\]

Writing together the above inequalities, we get
\[
2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left( \log(1 - \rho) \alpha - \log(1 + \rho) \alpha + 1 \right) \leq \frac{\partial(\log J_{F_a}(\rho e^{i\theta}))}{\partial \rho} \leq 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left( \log(1 + \rho) \alpha - \log(1 - \rho) \alpha + 1 \right).
\]

Integration over the interval \([0, r]\) implies (3.3).

Assume now that the right-hand side of (3.3) holds for some \( \alpha > 0 \) and \( r \in (0, 1) \). We have to prove now that \( \text{ord } f \leq \alpha \).

Because for the function \( F = T_\varphi(f) \) the inequality (3.3) holds, therefore we have
\[
\log J_F(z) - \log J_F(0) \leq (2\alpha - 2) \log(1 + r) - (2\alpha + 2) \log(1 - r).
\]

This implies, as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, the validity of equality (2.5) for the function \( F = T_\varphi(f) \), and we have \( \text{ord } f \leq \alpha \). □
From the proof of the above theorem we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.1.** If \( L \) is LIF, then \( \overline{\text{ord}} L \leq \alpha \) if and only if the right-hand side of \((3.3)\) holds for any \( f \in L \) and for every \( r \in (0, \delta) \), \( 0 < \delta < 1 \).

If \( L \) is LIF, then by Theorem 3.1 we can give an equivalent definition of the \( \text{ord} \ L \). Namely,

**Corollary 3.2.**

\[
\overline{\text{ord}} L = \inf \left\{ \alpha : \frac{J_f(z)}{J_f(0)} \leq \frac{(1 + |z|)^{2\alpha - 2}}{(1 - |z|)^{2\alpha + 2}} \right\}.
\]

(3.6)

for any \( f \in L \) and any \( z \in \mathbb{D} \).

From Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 we derive the next corollaries.

**Corollary 3.3.** If \( L \) is LIF, then

\[
\text{ord} L \leq \overline{\text{ord}} \, L + \frac{1}{2}.
\]

**Corollary 3.4.** If \( L \) is LIF, then

\[
\overline{\text{ord}} L \leq \text{ord} \, A(L), \quad \text{ord} \, A(L) \geq \text{ord} \, L.
\]

(3.7)

If \( L \) is ALIF, then

\[
\text{ord} L - \frac{1}{2} \leq \overline{\text{ord}} \, L \leq \text{ord} \, L.
\]

(3.8)

In particular, because the class \( S_H \) of harmonic univalent functions in \( \mathbb{D} \) is an ALIF, we have

\[
\text{ord} \, S_H - \frac{1}{2} \leq \overline{\text{ord}} \, S_H \leq \text{ord} \, S_H.
\]

Indeed, since \( A(L) \) is a LIF, then by Theorem 2.1 for any \( f \in A(L) \) inequality \((3.3)\) holds with \( \alpha = \text{ord} \, A(L) \) and we have \( \overline{\text{ord}} L \leq \text{ord} \, A(L) \).

The second inequality \( \text{ord} \, A(L) \geq \text{ord} \, L \) follows by the relation \( L \subset A(L) \).

From \((3.7)\) and the fact that \( \mathcal{L} \, A(L) = L \) if \( L \) is an ALIF, we have \((3.8)\).

**Remark 3.2.** The equality \( \text{ord} \, L = \overline{\text{ord}} \, L \) is possible. Take for example \( L = \mathcal{L} \, A(k_\alpha) \), where \( k_\alpha \) is given by \((2.2)\). However, the inequality \( \text{ord} \, L \neq \overline{\text{ord}} \, L \) can hold as well, as shows the following.

**Example.** Let \( k_\alpha(z) \) be the generalized Koebe function given by \((2.2)\).

Put \( f_\alpha(z) = k_\alpha(z) + g(z) \), where \( g'(z) = zk'_\alpha(z) \). Consider the family \( L = \mathcal{L}(f_\alpha) \), the linear– invariant hull of the function \( f_\alpha \), i.e.

\[
\mathcal{L}(f_\alpha) = \{ T_\varphi(f_\alpha) : \varphi \in \text{Aut} \, \mathbb{D} \}.
\]

If \( F \in \mathcal{L}(f_\alpha) \), then there exists \( \varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D}) \) such that

\[
F(z) = \frac{k_\alpha(\varphi(z)) - k_\alpha(\varphi(0))}{k'_\alpha(\varphi(0)) \varphi'(0)} + \frac{g(\varphi(z)) - g(\varphi(0))}{k'_\alpha(\varphi(0)) \varphi'(0)}.
\]
Denote \( \text{ord } F = \text{ord } \mathcal{L}(F) \). Therefore, \( \text{ord } F = \text{ord } k_\alpha = \alpha \) (see [4]) and \( \text{ord } L = \alpha \).

Taking \( \varphi(z) = \frac{z^2 + a}{1 + az}, \) \((a \in \mathbb{D})\) we find

\[
J_F(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{z^2 + a}{1 + az} \alpha - 1} \left( 1 - \frac{z^2 + a}{1 + az} \right)^{\alpha - 1} \cdot \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 + |az|^2}.
\]

(3.9)

For \( z = r > 0 \) and \( a \in (-1, 0), \) when \( a \to -1 \) the right-hand side of the latter expression tends to \( \frac{(1 + r)^{2\alpha - 1}}{(1 - r)^{2\alpha - 1}} \) and therefore, \( \text{ord } L \geq \alpha + 1 \) (in fact \( \text{ord } L = \alpha + 1 \)). So \( \text{ord } L \neq \text{ord } L \).

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume that \( f_1, f_2 \in L \) and \( L \) is LIF. If

\[
\frac{J_{f_1}(z)}{J_{f_1}(0)} = \frac{J_{f_2}(z)}{J_{f_2}(0)}
\]

for every \( z \in \mathbb{D}, \) then \( \text{ord } f_1 = \text{ord } f_2. \)

**Proof.** We conclude the proof of Theorem 3.2 by Corollary 3.2 because

\[
\text{inf} \{ \alpha : (3.3) \text{ holds for any } F \in \mathcal{L}(f_1) \} = \text{inf} \{ \alpha : (3.3) \text{ holds for any } F \in \mathcal{L}(f_2) \},
\]

where \( \mathcal{L}(f) \) is defined as above in the Example. \( \square \)

**Definition 3.3.** Put

\[
U^H_\alpha = \bigcup \{ L : L \text{ is LIF and } \text{ord } L \leq \alpha \}
\]

and call it the universal LIF of strong order \( \alpha \).

**Remark 3.3.** From the definition it is obvious that \( f \in U^H_\alpha \) iff \( \overline{\text{ord } f} \leq \alpha. \)

**Theorem 3.3.** The family \( U^H_\alpha \) is ALIF.

**Proof.** We have to prove that for any \( f \in U^H_\alpha \) and \( |\varepsilon| < 1, \) the function

\[
A_{\varepsilon}(f) = f_{\varepsilon}(z) = \frac{f(z) + \varepsilon f(z)}{1 + \varepsilon z f(z)} \in U^H_\alpha,
\]

i.e. \( \overline{\text{ord } f_\varepsilon} \leq \alpha. \) Putting

\[
\varepsilon = \varrho e^{i\beta}, \quad \varrho \in [0, 1), \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R},
\]

we can find that

\[
\frac{J_{f_{\varepsilon}}(z)}{J_{f_{\varepsilon}}(a)} = \frac{J_{f}(z)}{J_{f}(a)}, \quad z, a \in \mathbb{D}.
\]

(3.10)
Indeed, we have for any harmonic function \( f = u + iv \), where \( u \) and \( v \) are real functions: \( J_f = u_xv_y - u_yv_x \). Therefore, for \( f_\varepsilon = A_\varepsilon(f) \) we obtain

\[
J_{f_\varepsilon}(z) = \frac{1}{|1 + \varepsilon a_{-1}(f)|^2} \left| \begin{array}{cc}
u_x(1 + \varrho \cos \beta) + v_x \varrho \sin \beta & u_y(1 + \varrho \cos \beta) + v_y \varrho \sin \beta \\
u_x(1 - \varrho \cos \beta) + u_x \varrho \sin \beta & v_y(1 - \varrho \cos \beta) + u_y \varrho \sin \beta \end{array} \right| = \\
\frac{(1 - \varrho^2 \cos^2 \beta) J_f(z)}{|1 + \varepsilon a_{-1}(f)|^2},
\]

which implies (3.10). By Corollary 3.2 putting \(|z| = r\), we have

\[
\overline{\operatorname{ord}} f_\varepsilon = \inf \left\{ \alpha : \frac{J_{F_\varphi}(z)}{J_{F_\varphi}(0)} \leq \frac{(1 + r)^{2\alpha - 2}}{(1 - r)^{2\alpha + 2}} \text{ for any } F_\varepsilon = T_\varphi(f_\varepsilon), \varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D}) \right\}.
\]

But from (3.10)

\[
\frac{J_{F_\varphi}(z)}{J_{F_\varphi}(0)} = \frac{J_{f_\varphi}(z)}{J_{f_\varphi}(0)} |1 - \varphi^{-1}(0)|^4 = \frac{J_f(z)}{J_f(0)}, \quad F = T_\varphi(f).
\]

Therefore,

\[
\overline{\operatorname{ord}} f_\varepsilon = \inf \left\{ \alpha : \frac{J_f(z)}{J_f(0)} \leq \frac{(1 + r)^{2\alpha - 2}}{(1 - r)^{2\alpha + 2}} \text{ for any } F = T_\varphi(f), \varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D}) \right\}
\]

\[
= \overline{\operatorname{ord}} f \leq \alpha,
\]

because \( f \in U_\alpha^H \).

\[\square\]

**Corollary 3.5.** If \( L \) is LIF, then

\[
\overline{\operatorname{ord}} L \geq 1.
\]

**Proof.** Assume on the contrary that \( \overline{\operatorname{ord}} L < 1 \). Then from the left-hand side of (3.3) it follows that

\[
\frac{J_f(z)}{J_f(0)} \to +\infty, \quad \text{as} \quad |z| = r \to 1^-.\]

This implies that the numerator of the above expression, which is \(|h'(z)|^2 - |g'(z)|^2 \to +\infty\), and therefore, \(|h'(z)| \to +\infty\). This is in contradiction with the minimum principle because we would have

\[
\min_{|z|=r<1} |h'(z)| \leq 1,
\]

due to the fact that \( h'(0) = 1 \).

\[\square\]
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