Testing the emission models of blazar jets with the MAGIC telescopes

J. Becerra-González1,2, A. Stamerra3, K. Saito4, D. Mazin5, F. Tavecchio6, L. Maraschi6, E. Prandini7, J. Sitarek8 and K. Berger1 on behalf of the MAGIC collaboration.

1 Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias
2 Universidad de La Laguna
3 Universita di Siena and INFN Pisa
4 Max-Planck-Institut fur Physik
5 Institut de Física d’Altes Energies, Barcelona
6 INAF National Institute for Astrophysics
7 Universita di Padova and INFN
8 University of Lodz

jbecerra@iac.es

Abstract: The MAGIC telescopes discovered very high energy (VHE, E > 100 GeV) gamma-ray emission coming from the distant Flat Spectrum Radio Quasar (FSRQ) PKS 1222+21 (4C +21.35, z=0.432). It is the second most distant VHE gamma-ray source, with well measured redshift, detected until now. The observation was performed on 2010 June 17 (MJD 55364.9) using the two 17 m diameter imaging Cherenkov telescopes on La Palma (Canary Islands, Spain). The MAGIC detection coincides with high energy MeV/GeV gamma-ray activity measured by the Large Area Telescope (LAT) on board the Fermi satellite. The averaged integral flux above 100 GeV is equivalent to 1 Crab Nebula flux. The VHE flux measured by MAGIC varies significantly within the 30 minutes of exposure implying a flux doubling time of about 10 minutes. The VHE and MeV/GeV spectra, corrected for the absorption by the extragalactic background light, can be described by a single power law with photon index $\gamma = 2.72 \pm 0.34$ between 3 GeV and 400 GeV, consistent with gamma-ray emission belonging to a single component in the jet. The absence of a spectral cutoff at 30-60 GeV (indeed, one finds a strict lower limit $E_c > 130$ GeV) constrains the gamma-ray emission region to lie outside the broad line region, which would otherwise absorb the VHE gamma-rays. Together with the detected fast variability, this challenges present emission models from jets in FSRQs.
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1 Introduction

Blazars are active galactic nuclei hosting powerful relativistic jets pointing toward the observer. They are characterized by strong non-thermal emission extending across the entire electromagnetic spectrum, from radio up to γ-rays. The blazar PKS 1222+21 (also known as 4C 21.35) belongs to the class of Flat Spectrum Radio Quasars (FSRQs) and is located at a redshift of $z = 0.432$ [1]. FSRQs display luminous broad emission lines, often accompanied by a “blue bump” in the optical-UV region which is associated with the direct emission from the accretion disk. This optical-UV emission is believed to be re-processed by the Broad Line Region (BLR) clouds, filling the BLR with a dense optical-UV radiation field which can interact with VHE γ-rays causing internal absorption in the VHE domain [6]. PKS 1222+21 is the third FSRQ detected in VHE γ-rays after PKS 1510-08 [2] and 3C 279 [3, 4] at the redshifts of $z = 0.36$ and $z = 0.536$, respectively. It is thus the second most distant VHE source after 3C 279 with well measured redshift (the BL Lac 3C 66A with an estimated redshift $z = 0.444$ [5] would occupy this position of the ranking, but the measurement has a large uncertainty).

In this proceeding, we will present the MAGIC discovery of this source which was detected during a γ-rays flare announced by the Fermi/LAT collaboration and its physics implications.

2 MAGIC discovery

MAGIC consists of two 17 m diameter Imaging Atmospheric Cherenkov Telescopes (IACTs). The data were taken at zenith angles between 26° and 35°. Stereoscopic events, triggered by both telescopes, were analyzed in the MARS analysis framework [8]. Details on the analysis can be found in [23] whereas the performance of the MAGIC
PKS 1222+21 was observed by MAGIC on June 17 (MJD 55364) for 30 minutes as part of a Target of Opportunity program triggered by an increased flux in the Fermi energy band [9]. During this detection by MAGIC the source was close to the brightest flare ever observed by the Fermi Large Area Telescope (LAT) [22]. The signal evaluation was performed using the $\theta^2$ distribution (squared angular distance between the true and reconstructed source position), see Fig. 1. We got an excess of 190 $\gamma$-like events (6 $\gamma$/min.) above a background of 86 events, which corresponds to a statistical significance of 10.2 $\sigma$ using eq. 17 in [7]. The energy threshold of this analysis is $\approx 70$ GeV.

2.1 Very High Energy Spectrum

The differential energy spectrum measured by MAGIC extends from 70 GeV to 400 GeV (Fig. 2) and it is well-described by a simple power law of the form:

$$\frac{dN}{dE} = N_{200} \left( \frac{E}{200 \text{ GeV}} \right)^{-\Gamma}$$

with a photon index $\Gamma = 3.75 \pm 0.27_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.2_{\text{sys}}$ and a normalization constant at 200 GeV of $N_{200} = (7.8 \pm 1.2_{\text{stat}} \pm 3.5_{\text{sys}}) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{ TeV}^{-1}$, yielding an integral flux $(4.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ($\approx 1$ Crab Nebula flux) at $E > 100$ GeV. For energies higher than 400 GeV no significant excess was found but two upper limits corresponding to 95% confidence level (C.L.) have been derived. The method used for the spectral reconstruction is the so-called “Tikhonov” unfolding algorithm [15], which takes into account the finite energy resolution of the instrument and the biases in the energy reconstruction. The systematic uncertainty of the analysis (studied by using different cuts and different unfolding algorithms) is shown by the striped area.

The energy spectrum is shown by the grey squares in Fig. 2, where the EBL model of [11] has been used. It is well fitted by a power law with an intrinsic photon index of $\Gamma_{\text{int}} = 2.72 \pm 0.34$ between 70 GeV and 400 GeV. Uncertainties caused by the differences between the EBL models are represented in Fig. 2 by the grey shaded area. The corresponding spread is smaller than the systematic uncertainties of the MAGIC data analysis.

Using the $\chi^2$ difference method we studied the possibility of a cut-off in the energy spectrum. We tried to fit the spectrum by a broken power law with different photon indexes and values for the cut-off. We concluded from this study that with the available statistics, at the 95% C.L. we cannot exclude the presence of a cut-off above 130 GeV for a photon index 2.4 (the lowest possible value compatible with fit uncertainties and with the Fermi/LAT data, see Fig. 4) or above 180 GeV for a photon index 2.7.

2.2 Variability in Very High Energy $\gamma$-rays

Thanks to the strength of the signal even if the observation time is as short as 30 minutes, a variability study is possible. In Fig. 3 the light curve binned in 6 minute long intervals is shown. It reveals a flux variation within the 30 minutes of observation time. The hypothesis of a constant flux is rejected ($\chi^2/\text{NDF} = 28.3/4$) with high confidence (probability $< 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$). The flux of the background events surviving the $\gamma$/hadron selection cuts is compatible with being constant and hence we can exclude a variation of the instrument performance during the observation.
To quantify the variability time scale we performed an exponential fit (solid black line in Fig. 3). A linear fit is also acceptable but does not allow us to define a time scale unambiguously. For the exponential fit the doubling time of the flare is estimated as $8.6^{\pm 1.1}$ minutes. The derived timescale corresponds to the fastest time variation ever observed in an FSRQ in the VHE range and in any other energy range [20], and is amongst the shortest measured from any TeV emitting source [21, 17].

3 Spectral Energy Distribution

The high-energy Spectral Energy Distribution (SED) is shown in Figure 4 where the MeV/GeV energy range spectrum from Fermi/LAT and the GeV/TeV spectrum measured by MAGIC are combined. The source showed a significant flare in the Fermi band lasting ~3 days, with a peak flux on 2010 June 18 (MJD 55365) [22]. During the 30 minutes MAGIC observation there is a gap in the LAT exposure, so we analyzed the closest data available, a period of 2.5 hr (MJD 55364.867 to 55364.973) before and after the MAGIC observation. Given the short observation time (chosen in order to be as much contemporaneous as possible with the MAGIC data) there is no detection above 2 GeV in the Fermi/LAT data, but an upper limit at the 95% C.L. in the energy range $2 - 6.3$ GeV has been calculated together with the spectral points up to 2 GeV and combined with the MAGIC data in the SED shown in Fig. 4.

If we extrapolate the intrinsic MAGIC spectrum to lower energies we can see that there is a potentially smooth connection between the Fermi/LAT and MAGIC extrapolated data and the photon index steepens from 1.9 in the Fermi/LAT range to 2.7 in the MAGIC range. These results agree with the analysis of larger temporal intervals during this flare and during the whole active period, in which the source spectrum is well described by a broken power law with an energy break between 1 and 3 GeV [22]. Furthermore it is found that the high energy tail ($E > 2$ GeV) of the Fermi/LAT spectrum of 4C +21.35 extends up to 50 GeV, with a photon index in the range 2.4-2.8.

4 Physical implications on the jet emission models

As we have discussed, the almost simultaneous VHE and GeV spectra are consistent with a single power law with index $\sim 2.7 \pm 0.3$ between 3 GeV and 400 GeV, without a strong intrinsic cutoff and with a smooth connection to the lower energy spectrum. This evidence suggests that the 100 MeV - 400 GeV emission belongs to a unique component, peaking at $\approx 2 - 3$ GeV, produced in a single region of the jet. Considering the inverse Compton scattering on external photons and relativistic electrons in the jet as the emission process, as it is usually assumed, we have two possible scenarios. The emitting jet region could be inside of the Broad Line Region (BLR), where the external photons field would be the UV photons from the BLR or we can assume this emitting region to be outside of the BLR where the photon field would be composed of the IR photons coming from the torus. There are two important effects that need to be taken into account: the decreased efficiency of the IC scattering occuring in the Klein-Nishina (KN) regime and the absorption of $\gamma$-rays through pair production.
The energy above which the KN effects and γ-ray absorption become important in the case of UV external photons from the BLR is \( \sim \) tens of GeV, and thus we would expect a cut-off at these energies if the emitting jet region is inside the BLR. But if we consider as target photons the ones coming from the IR torus this effect would start to be appreciated only at much higher energies above \( \approx 1 \) TeV. Since there is no evidences of a cut-off at low energies we can conclude that the emission should come from outside of the BLR, as has been proposed by the “far dissipation” scenarios [e.g. [19]].

Besides, the other important result of the MAGIC observation is the fast variability, \( t_{\text{var}} \sim 10 \) minutes which indicates an extremely compact emission region. This is difficult to reconcile with the “far dissipation” scenarios if the emission takes place in the entire cross section of the jet since in this case the emitting region should be close to the central black hole and thus inside of the BLR.

Some explanations have been already proposed in order to solve this kind of incongruities, invoking the presence of very compact emission regions embedded within the large scale jet [18, 24] or the possibility of a very strong jet recollimation [e.g. [16]].

In conclusion the MAGIC observation of VHE emission from the FSRQ PKS 1222+21 sets severe constraints on the emission models of blazar jets.
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