INTRODUCTION

Diverticular disease was first described in the early 20th century[1] but was rarely encountered at that time. Since then, the prevalence of this disease increased from 5%-10% in 1930[2] and to 35%-50% in 1969 according to an autopsy series[3]. No subsequent population-based studies have been reported. At this point, the etiology and disease course of this disease have been sufficiently well researched to allow for diagnosis and treatment of this condition. However, due to the lack of research evidence, treatment options are not well defined. For example, many patients presenting with acute diverticulitis are treated conservatively and receive antibiotics as a standard course of care. A recent study, however, has shown that outcomes for patients treated with antibiotics were not significantly different from patients treated with only observation[4]. This suggests that there is considerable room for improvement in our understanding of this disease and optimal treatment plans.

CLINICAL CLASSIFICATION OF DIVERTICULAR DISEASE

Currently, there is no universally accepted classification system for the development of diverticular disease. When patients with diverticulosis become symptomatic, they are said to have diverticulitis. Diverticular disease is currently described as symptomatic uncomplicated disease, recurrent symptomatic disease or complicated disease[5] (Table 1).

Symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease
Symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease is characterised by non-specific episodes of lower abdominal
Patients with diverticula and the absence of any sign or symptom of diverticular inflammation is beyond the scope of the current paper, however, a summary of this debate can be found in a recent review by Morini [5,9]. Other, less prevalent, complications include abscess, phlegmon, perforation of the intestinal wall, purulent or fecal peritonitis, stricture, fistula and small-bowel obstruction due to post-inflammatory adhesions [6]. The Hinchey's grading system [6,9], describes all complications related to perforated diverticular disease.

Although a clinical classification system for acute diverticulitis has not yet been clearly defined, patients generally present with acute abdominal pain and gastrointestinal symptoms, combined with signs and symptoms of diverticula inflammation with or without complications [10]. Clinical features of acute diverticulitis may, therefore, include constant abdominal pain, localized abdominal tenderness, nausea, vomiting, constipation or diarrhea, fever and leucocytosis. Additionally, patients may occasionally present with urinary symptoms, such as dysuria and increased frequency, related to bladder irritation.

Finally, a causal relationship between diverticulosis and colorectal cancer (CRC) has been suggested [11]. This topic is currently receiving much attention and not all studies support this suggestion [12]. Analysis of this question is beyond the scope of the current paper, however, a summary of this debate can be found in a recent review by Morini et al [12]. The view of Morini and co-workers is that the available data are not yet strong enough to suggest a more aggressive CRC prevention in diverticular as compared with nondiverticular subjects.

### MEDICAL TREATMENT OF DIVERTICULAR DISEASE

When a patient develops the symptoms of diverticular disease, medical therapy is generally required. The main objectives of treatment include improving symptoms, resolving any infection or consequences of inflammation, preventing recurrence of symptoms, and preventing or limiting the development of serious complications. While there is consensus on the approach for medical treatment of symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease, a clinical challenge is present for the treatment of acute diverticulitis. A surgical approach is traditionally used when treating acute, recurrent diverticulitis, and is usually recommended after two or more prior episodes [13]. However, recent data suggest that surgery may not always be necessary, as diverticula inflammation can be present without other complications [14,15]. Moreover, it is quite common in clinical practice to observe patients with raised inflammatory indices (e.g. high erythrosedimentation rate, C-reactive protein and calprotectin) and endoscopic evidence of inflamed diverticula, but with no other disease-related complications. From a diagnostic perspective, clinical, radiological (computerized tomography (CT)), endoscopic and laboratory findings can differentiate between uncomplicated and complicated acute diverticulitis. This is important in clinical practice, as patients with uncomplicated diverticulitis (and indeed patients with uncomplicated diverticular disease) may be managed successfully as outpatients using pharmaceutical therapy, whereas patients with complicated disease typically require urgent hospital admission and surgical intervention [16]. The current therapeutic approach to symptomatic diverticular disease is summarized in Table 2.

### CURRENT PRACTICE IN DIVERTICULAR DISEASE

**Asymptomatic diverticulosis**

Therapeutic intervention is not usually necessary in pa-
tients with uncomplicated asymptomatic diverticulosis. Many physicians advise patients with diverticulosis to adopt a high-fiber, low-fat diet and increase their physical activity, even though controlled clinical trials are lacking and the evidence that this may help to prevent the development of diverticular disease is not conclusive.\[17-19\].

**Symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease**

The initial treatment of diverticular disease is usually pharmaceutical, since the large majority of patients respond very well and emergency surgery is not normally required.\[20\]. The first stage of treatment for mild symptoms may involve diet modification or fiber supplementation, along with antibiotic therapy.\[20\]. However, it may also be necessary to let the bowel rest. It is usually advised that patients with uncomplicated diverticular disease should start a clear-liquid diet, while patients with severe or complicated disease (who may be experiencing nausea and vomiting) should change to parenteral nutrition alone.\[7,13,21,22\]. The second, and usually concomitant step, is to prescribe an appropriate antibiotic to resolve infection and bacterial overgrowth. This treatment is critical for preventing and/or reducing disease complications.\[6,14\]. Ideally, the choice of antibiotic should be based on an individual patient’s fecal flora, since bacteremia is often associated with acute diverticulitis. The most commonly isolated bacteria include coliforms (e.g. *Escherichia coli*), *Bacteroides* spp. (e.g. *B. fragilis*) and *Clostridia* spp.\[6,22,23\]. Given the potential involvement of these micro-organisms, it is advisable to use a single broad-spectrum antibiotic with activity against both Gram-negative and anaerobic bacteria. The choice of antibiotic is usually based on clinical experience, since well-controlled, comparative antimicrobial trials are rare in this setting. In contrast, there is new evidence suggesting that the poorly absorbable, broad-spectrum, oral antibiotic, rifaximin, is effective against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative aerobic and anaerobic bacteria.\[24\]. Multiple studies have shown that rifaximin can effectively improve symptoms and maintain periods of remission in patients with uncomplicated diverticular disease, while also being well tolerated.\[25-29\]. Rifaximin may therefore be deemed an appropriate and effective therapy for uncomplicated diverticular disease, especially when used in addition to dietary fiber supplementation.\[30\].

**Acute diverticulitis**

It is reasonable to start empirical treatment immediately in patients with clinical signs and symptoms that are strongly suggestive of acute diverticulitis. Outpatient therapy is usually appropriate in patients with mild-to-moderate, uncomplicated, non-recurrent disease, who can tolerate oral hydration/administration, who do not have appreciable fever, excessive vomiting, or marked peritonitis, providing there is the opportunity for follow-up.\[30\]. In these patients, oral, broad-spectrum antibiotics are usually given for 7-10 d, with clinical improvement expected within 2-3 d.\[21,22\]. If opioid analgesics are required, meperidine is the preferred option since morphine causes colonic spasm and may accentuate colonic hyper-segmentation.\[22\]. Hospitalization, with intravenous antibiotic treatment, is usually recommended if the above conditions cannot be met, or if the patient fails to improve using outpatient therapy.\[24\].

Various antibiotics are used in the treatment of acute diverticulitis. Patients with severe or complicated disease are commonly treated with ampicillin, gentamicin, metronidazole, piperacillin, clindamycin, third-generation cephalosporins (e.g. ceftazidime, cefotaxime and ceftriaxone) or tazobactam.\[31-33\]. Use of these antibiotics ensures complete coverage against aerobic, anaerobic and Gram-negative flora, especially *E. coli* and *Bacteroides* spp.\[32\]. In a North American survey of 373 fellows of The American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons, second-generation cephalosporins (27%) and ampicillin–sulbactam (16%) were the most commonly used antibiotics in patients with uncomplicated diverticulitis.\[34\]. While the combination of ciprofloxacin and metronidazole is also a common treatment option for uncomplicated diverticulitis,\[32\], these particular
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**Table 2 Symptomatic diverticular disease: Current therapeutic approach**

| Symptomatic uncomplicated | Uncomplicated diverticulitis | Complicated diverticulitis |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Fibers                   | Mild + familiar support       | Abscess                   |
| Spasmolytics             | Outpatients treatment         | Drainage (CT)             |
| Anti-cholinergic          | Liquid diet                   | Drainage + surgery        |
| Antibiotics              | Oral antibiotics              | Perforation and fistulas   |
| Not recommended (ACG, ASCRS Guidelines) | Improvement within 2/3 d | Surgery                   |
| Rifaximin                | Severe, comorbidity, elderly, immunodepression | Acute obstruction |
|                          | Inpatients treatment         | Conservative therapy      |
|                          | ’Bowel rest’                  | Chronic obstruction       |
|                          | L.V. antibiotics              | Endoscopic treatment      |
|                          | Oral antibiotics at discharging (ACG, ASCRS Guidelines) | Surgery                   |

**Bowel rest**

[i.v.] antibiotics

**Drainage**

[i.v.] antibiotics

**Drainage + surgery**

[i.v.] antibiotics

**Perforation and fistulas**

[i.v.] antibiotics

**Surgery**

[i.v.] antibiotics

**Acute obstruction**

[i.v.] antibiotics

**Conservative therapy**

[i.v.] antibiotics

**Chronic obstruction**

[i.v.] antibiotics

**Endoscopic treatment**

[i.v.] antibiotics

**Surgery**

[i.v.] antibiotics

**Bleeding**

[i.v.] antibiotics

**Conservative therapy**

[i.v.] antibiotics

**Angiography**

[i.v.] antibiotics

**Colonoscopy**

[i.v.] antibiotics

**Surgery**

[i.v.] antibiotics

---
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antibiotics may be poorly tolerated by some patients due to their high systemic absorption. In addition, single-agent use of these treatments is not recommended because, when used on their own, ciprofloxacin and metronidazole do not provide coverage against all potentially pathogenic bacteria\cite{36}. Ciprofloxacin and metronidazole monotherapy should, therefore, not be regarded as an adequate first-line treatment option. On the contrary, after resolution of acute diverticulitis, rifaximin can reduce its recurrence\cite{35}.

If patients have severe or unresponsive diverticulitis despite adequate outpatient treatment, or are unsuitable for outpatient treatment, hospitalization may be required\cite{10,21,22}. Patients who are unsuitable for outpatient treatment may include the very elderly or immunosuppressed patients, patients with multiple and/or severe comorbidities and those with no home support or who are unable to tolerate oral hydration/antibiotics. It is usually recommended that hospitalized patients are given broad-spectrum, intravenous antibiotics for 7-10 d\cite{10,22,23}. Furthermore, all hospitalized patients should undergo a multi-slice CT scan of the abdomen and pelvis within 48 h of admission. A CT scan not only confirms the diagnosis, but also helps to assess the risk of imminent complications by measuring the thickness of the colonic wall, small pericolic or retrocolonic abscesses, collections and localized perforations. CT scan also has therapeutic potential; in the case of a localized pericolonic abscess or contained collection, CT-guided drainage can be performed. In this way, acceleration of the effect of the antibiotics can be achieved and emergency surgery can be avoided\cite{34}. Clinical improvement should be observed within 2-4 d\cite{10,34}. Once the acute episode has resolved, patients should be advised to maintain a high-fiber diet in 70%-100% of patients\cite{37}. However, recent data suggest reconsideration of the role of antibiotics in treating acute diverticulitis. In a retrospective audit of 311 patients that were hospitalized for AD, Hjern et al\cite{40} observed patients initially treated conservatively with observation and restriction of oral intake. Patients receiving antibiotics (n = 118) were compared with patients treated with observation and restriction of oral intake only (n = 193). When initially treated with antibiotics, 3 patients (3%) failed to respond to treatment and had to undergo surgery. There were 7 (4%) failures in patients initially treated without antibiotics, and antibiotics were then added. During follow-up, 29% of patients treated with antibiotics had further events (recurrent acute diverticulitis and/or subsequent surgery) compared with 28% (P = NS) among those treated without antibiotics. In a multivariate analysis, the risk of a further event was not influenced by antibiotic treatment (OR: 1.03, 95% CI: 0.61-1.74). These surprising results indicate that antibiotics are not always mandatory in mild acute diverticulitis, and that treatment without antibiotics appears to be safe and seems not to change the rate of occurrence of further events. Another important point to consider is that approximately one-third of patients will experience recurrent diverticulitis, often within 1 year of the first episode\cite{20,21,24} (19%-54% recurrence rate within 5 years\cite{37}). Treatment for repeat episodes may follow the same course, however, a surgical approach is traditionally used when treating acute, recurrent diverticulitis, and is usually recommended after two or more prior episodes\cite{37}. This suggests that current medical therapy could still be improved.

The medical treatment of symptomatic uncomplicated diverticulitis and uncomplicated diverticulitis is evolving. Antibiotics are likely to remain the mainstay of therapy, particularly for inducing remission. However, a greater understanding of the pathophysiology of diverticulitis disease, in particular the implication of colonic microflora and chronic inflammation, has resulted in the investigation of new medical treatment strategies.

### NEW INSIGHTS INTO THE PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF DIVERTICULAR DISEASE

The pathophysiology of diverticular disease is extremely complicated due to multiple contributing factors, including diet, colonic wall structure intestinal motility and possible genetic predispositions\cite{37}.

However, recent observations suggest that the natural history of the disease bears many similarities to that of chronic IBD\cite{6,8}. For example, some of the cellular mechanisms that underlie the development of chronic inflammation and inflammatory complications are common to both diverticulitis and IBD\cite{39}. As in IBD, diverticula inflammation appears to be generated by an increased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, a reduced production of anti-inflammatory cytokines and enhanced intramucosal synthesis of nitric oxide\cite{38}. Furthermore, many clinical and laboratory findings associated with uncomplicated acute diverticulitis, such as...
abdominal pain, change in bowel habits, and occasionally fever and leucocytosis with or without increasing inflammatory indices, overlap with those of acute IBD. Other studies have shown that, in addition to promoting diverticula formation, a fiber-deficient diet may also bring about a change in the colonic microflora, resulting in a decrease in healthy flora and an increase in pathogenic bacteria. Indeed, both fiber supplementation with wheat bran and diet composition (Western vs Japanese or UK vs African) have been shown to alter the composition of human fecal flora. As in IBD, altering the intestinal micro-ecology can lead to reduced influence on the immune response of the host and decreased bacterial production of short-chain fatty acids, which results from degradation of soluble fibre. This may permit chronic inflammation and epithelial cell proliferation to develop in the colonic mucosa, as observed in acute diverticulitis. The degree of inflammation appears to be related to the severity of the disease. If severe and/or persistent, the inflammation may lead to focal necrosis and ultimately micro- or macro-perforation. The altered microflora and diminished immunological tolerance to commensal bacteria may also permit bacterial overgrowth, a phenomenon linked with colonic ischemia, diverticula and peri-diverticula inflammation, increased exposure to intraluminal antigens and toxins, and changes in bacterial flora related to stasis.

These new insights into the pathophysiology of diverticular disease may explain recent data demonstrating the effectiveness of new medical interventions. If, as in IBD, chronic inflammation and bacterial overgrowth occur as consequences of a change in the colonic microflora, it stands to reason that "normalising" the flora or administering an anti-inflammatory agent (with proven effectiveness in IBD) may help to treat the symptoms of diverticular disease, prevent the onset of acute diverticulitis and/or reduce the risk of symptomatic recurrence. This has led to research on the potential of 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA) and probiotics as adjunctive treatments for diverticular disease.

Mottlity changes in diverticular disease are well documented and have given rise to research on the potential involvement of neurotransmitter disorders. Indeed, an increased presence of serotonin-producing cells has been identified in resected colonic specimens with diverticulitis. However, it is unknown if this is a causative association. An additional study has identified increased serotonin expression in symptomatic patients with diverticula. A third group has attempted to implicate hypersensitivity to acetyl-choline, caused by decreased activity of choline acetyltransferase. Additionally, decreased nitric oxide activity in the longitudinal muscle of diverticular colon could account for decreased relaxation and increased muscle spasm in this condition. While these observations provide insufficient evidence that the pathogenetic mechanisms of diverticular disease operate at a neurotransmitter level, they suggest that further research in this area may be productive.

**NEW THERAPEUTIC APPROACHES TO DIVERTICULAR DISEASE**

New therapeutic approaches for treating diverticular disease are now under investigation. In particular, 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA) and probiotics are currently being investigated. However, some interesting data are available and the rationale for treating diverticular disease with 5-ASA and/or probiotics is summarized in Figure 1.

**5-ASA in diverticular disease**

5-ASA is the primary therapy used for induction and maintenance of remission of mild-to-moderately severe inflammatory bowel diseases, particularly ulcerative colitis (UC). This treatment acts topically, rather than systemically, on the colonic mucosa to reduce inflammation. Various oral and rectal 5-ASA formulations are available with proven efficacy in treating symptoms and maintaining periods of remission in patients with UC, while also displaying excellent tolerability. In general, newer non-sulphur-containing therapies are preferred over the traditional 5-ASA pro-drug, sulphasalazine, because of their improved side-effect profiles. Newer 5-ASA therapies include mesalazine (of which there are multiple formulations with different release and delivery characteristics) and the 5-ASA pro-drugs olsalazine and balsalazide. The mechanisms of action of 5-ASA and its active metabolite N-acetyl-5-ASA are not well understood. However, there is some evidence that 5-ASA therapies may have both anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory properties.

The influence of mesalazine on the signs and symptoms of diverticular disease has been investigated in a number of studies. Overall, the results of these studies suggested...
that mesalazine, given either continuously or in cycles, may be effective at reducing symptoms (including abdominal pain, gastrointestinal symptoms and rectal bleeding) and improving health-related quality of life in patients with symptomatic, uncomplicated diverticular disease. Furthermore, maintenance therapy with mesalazine may also help to maintain disease quiescence. Evidence suggests that combining mesalazine with a broad-spectrum antibiotic may result in complementary and possibly synergistic effects. Indeed, the combination of mesalazine and rifaximin has been shown to be significantly more effective than rifaximin alone at preventing disease recurrence and improving symptoms in patients with symptomatic uncomplicated diverticulitis and mild-to-moderate colonic obstruction. This complementary effect was probably mediated via the respective influences of rifaximin and mesalazine on the colonic microflora (which seems to play a key role in determining both disease-related symptoms and diverticula inflammation) and the inflammatory cascade. Notably, in patients suffering from recurrent attacks of symptomatic uncomplicated diverticulitis, continuous administration of mesalazine appeared to be more effective than cyclical administration at maintaining remission. While the clinical studies discussed thus far have shown a consistent benefit of mesalazine, they all utilized an open-label design.

To address this, a recent, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter, 6 wk study was carried out to assess the efficacy and tolerability of mesalazine granules (Salofalk Granustix®, Dr. Falk Pharma GmbH, Freiburg, Germany) in 117 patients suffering from painful, uncomplicated diverticular disease. All patients were experiencing moderate-to-severe abdominal pain caused by diverticular disease. The intention-to-treat results have been summarized in a recent abstract and showed a trend towards improved pain relief (in terms of pain intensity score, time to complete pain relief and patients with complete pain relief) with mesalazine compared with placebo, although this did not reach statistical significance. Patients receiving mesalazine reported a greater improvement in their combined median symptom score over the 6 wk compared with patients receiving placebo (P = 0.06), and patients treated with mesalazine expressed a greater satisfaction with treatment compared with those on placebo (P = 0.03). When the per-protocol population was analyzed, patients receiving mesalazine experienced a statistically significant improvement in most endpoints compared with patients receiving placebo. Currently, two other double-blind, placebo-controlled studies are being conducted. The first is comparing mesalazine 3 g/d vs placebo in order to investigate the effect of mesalazine on symptoms, inflammation and neurological alteration in adult patients suffering from symptomatic diverticular disease. The second, subdivided into two different trials including the USA and the rest of the world, is comparing SPD476 MMX mesalazine 1.2 g extended release tablets, provided in three groups under treatment with different doses of mesalazine (1.2 g/d, 2.4 g/d, 4.8 g/d, respectively), in order to assess whether mesalazine is able to reduce the recurrence rate of diverticulitis compared to placebo.

There is a need for further, well-powered, controlled studies to clarify the role of single-agent mesalamine in the treatment of diverticular disease. Additionally, research to identify optimal drugs and dosing schedules in specific patient populations is required.

Probiotics in diverticular disease

Probiotics are living micro-organisms that, if consumed in sufficient numbers, can alter the host microflora and exert specific health benefits without increasing the risk of antibiotic resistance. These micro-organisms have been investigated for the treatment of various digestive disorders and prevention of antibiotic-related gastrointestinal side effects. Probiotics commonly include the bacteria Bifidobacterium spp., Lactobacillus spp., and certain strains of E. coli, and the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Probiotic micro-organisms appear to have multiple modes of action, including inhibition of pathogen adherence, stimulation of immunoglobulin A secretion in Peyers patches and enhancement of immune system activity by controlling the balance of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines. Moreover, probiotics may also interfere with pathogen metabolism.

Following early demonstration of activity against post-diverticuliticstenoses and other complications of diverticulosis, three subsequent open-label studies have investigated the efficacy of probiotics, given alone or in combination with a broad-spectrum antibiotic or 5-ASA, in preventing recurrence of symptomatic, uncomplicated diverticular disease. In the first study, the addition of non-pathogenic E. coli (Nissle strain) to antibiotic therapy (dichlorochininol) and an intestinal absorbent (active coal tablets) resulted in greater symptomatic improvement and longer periods of disease quiescence than with the antibiotic/absorbent regimen alone.

The remaining two studies investigated the efficacy of Lactobacillus casei DS (Enterolactis®, SOFAR S.p.A., Trezzano Rosa, Italy) or in patients with acute uncomplicated diverticular disease in remission or in patients with acute uncomplicated diverticulitis in remission, respectively. The rationale for this approach is that inflammation is targeted in two ways: 5-ASA acts on the inflammatory cascade to inhibit pro-inflammatory factors; and probiotics maintain a balanced colonic microflora that prevent bacterial overgrowth and pathogen metabolism, and reduce pro-inflammatory cytokines.

In both studies, the probiotic/5-ASA combination performed better at
preventing disease relapses and improving symptoms than the single-agent regimens.\(^\text{[6]}\)

**CONCLUSION**

Recent data strongly implicate chronic inflammation and abnormal colonic microflora in the pathogenesis of diverticular disease. This new pathogenic theory suggests that diverticular disease is predominantly an inflammatory mucosal disease, similar to IBD.

Currently, the treatment for diverticular disease requires dietary modification with antibiotic therapy and it is likely that antibiotic therapy will remain the principal component of medical therapy for diverticular disease.

The pivotal role of inflammation in the pathogenesis of diverticular disease suggests that new therapeutic tools may be required. If we consider diverticular disease to be a chronic inflammatory disease, then we need to understand how to optimally treat all aspects of the condition. As such, the final results of several ongoing, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III studies will be awaited with interest.

New studies are required to further investigate the classification of diverticular disease. Moreover, further large studies are required to investigate new treatment options. Once this information becomes available, the classification and medical treatment of diverticular disease may be transformed.
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