Impact of COVID-19 lockdown on food habits, appetite and body weight in Tunisian adults
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Abstract

Tunisia recorded the highest rate of COVID-19 positive cases and deaths in Africa but no studies assessed the impact of the pandemic on eating patterns as in the case of several countries. The objective of the present study was to investigate the perception of changes in food habits, appetite and body weight in Tunisian adults of both genders aged 20–74 years old. A cross-sectional study has been carried out with a non-probabilistic sampling method based on an online self-administered survey. Of overall 1082 adults included in the study, 57.8% reported a change in their eating habits: 21.2% an increase in their consumption of fresh fruits, vegetables, pulses, pasta and bread, while 36.6% an increase of homemade cakes and biscuits, sweets, processed meat, sugary drinks and alcoholic drinks. In addition, tea, coffee and herbal tea have been reported as excessively consumed during the lockdown period. More than half experienced appetite variations (34.6% increased appetite and 23.0% appetite loss). Inequality detrimental to women was reported regarding eating habit changes (women consumed more unhealthy foods than men). Elderly subjects (over 60 years) were less likely to negatively change food habits in comparison with young adults (20–25 years), while ungraduated respondents were more prone to negatively change their food habits. Almost half reported weight gain. As the negative influence of the lockdown period on eating habits with the increase of obesity risk has been detected, health policy may be advised to focus on using mass media campaigns to promote healthy eating habits, in particular for illiterate and young people.
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Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has been considered as the most serious public health concern of the century since the second world war⁴). In fact, a new class of coronavirus, known as SARS-CoV-2 (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2) has been discovered in December 2019 in China causing a new respiratory disease named COVID-19⁵). The worldwide spread of the disease among human populations led to a global health crisis causing more than 6 358 899 deaths⁵). In Tunisia, the COVID-19 emerged first in March 2020. Overall, the country experienced five pandemic waves. On 29 July 2021, the country recorded the highest rate of positive cases and deaths in Africa with nearby 300 daily deaths⁶).

Prior to vaccines which is being now the best way to control the pandemic, lockdown measures have been adopted as a first virus spread preventive strategy. This strategy prevented public access to supermarkets, shops and recreation facilities. Hence, lockdown restricted outdoor physical activity as well as people’s access to fresh food causing major dietary changes. Therefore, there had been great interest in literature on the impact of lockdown on dietary changes in various population groups. Over the two last years, many surveys were conducted online with the aim of studying eating habits and lifestyle changes during
general confinement. In a recent review, it has been shown that throughout Europe and worldwide, COVID-19 lockdown impacted dietary practices both negatively and positively. In fact, results that have been reported among different countries were controversial with regards to consumption of alcohol, fresh produce such as fruits and vegetables as well as comfort foods including sweets, fried food, snack foods and processed foods. In addition, we still do not know the consequence of the COVID-19 infection in terms of long-term symptoms. Due to the fact that smell/taste symptoms have been also involved in changes of food habits.

Unlike European populations, scarce is known about the impact of confinement measures on the dietary habits in Tunisia. Research studies have focused mainly on issues related to changes in food purchasing behaviour and food preparation practices or food budget and waste. Only one study included Tunisia among seventeen other countries from the Middle East and North Africa region to assess eating habits and lifestyle during the coronavirus 2019. However, no conclusion can be ruled with this study since Tunisian nationals represented only 2.6% of the studied population. This work provides a first description of how the pandemic caused by COVID-19 changed the eating habits in Tunisia. This could help decision makers to better manage the public health consequences of the health crisis.

Methods

Participant’s selection and study design

The study was carried out by SURVEN research team (Nutrition Surveillance and Epidemiology in Tunisia) from the National Institute of Nutrition and Food Technology in Tunisia. It is a cross-sectional study based on a self-administered questionnaire with a non-probabilistic sampling method that was conducted in adults of both genders aged 20–74 years old. In fact, based on studies conducted in Mediterranean countries, a Google Forms questionnaire was designed and disseminated to Tunisian adults through social networks (Facebook and Instagram) as well as institutional and private mailing lists. To calculate the sample size, the data published by the INS in 2021 were taken as reference. Tunisian population was estimated at 12,069,872 million inhabitants. Accordingly, the calculation of the sample size was carried out with a 95% confidence level and a 3% of precision, since the expected proportion of the change in population was unknown. The theoretical sample size was 1067 subjects. Participation in the study was completely free, voluntary and anonymous with the informed consent of the participants on the data sharing and confidentiality policy. No personal data has been requested, in accordance with the laws on the protection of personal data and the guarantee of digital rights. Therefore, this online survey does not require ethics committee approval.

Data collection and statistical analysis

The participants filled in the forms directly connected to the Google platform. Once completed, each response was sent to this platform and the final database was uploaded as a Microsoft Excel sheet. The questionnaire was disseminated over 2 months (between 17 May and 20 July 2021); this period coincided with the start of the fourth wave of COVID-19 in Tunisia. No general lockdown measure has been instigated during that period, but intercity movements were prohibited, and a curfew was imposed from 10 pm to 5 am.

Descriptive results are expressed as means for continuous variables, and as proportions for categorical variables. Shapiro–Wilk and Skewness–Kurtosis tests were performed to assess normality. The $\chi^2$ and Fisher’s exact tests were used to assess distribution equality between groups. In contrast, Mann–Whitney and Kruskal–Wallis U tests were applied to compare continuous variables between two or more groups,
respectively, when normality was not confirmed. Where relevant, post hoc tests were applied for more precise analyses. The Spearman correlation coefficient was calculated for the associated continuous variables. The association between independent variable coded as two category response variables (virus infection or exposure) and socio-demographic variables (age, sex, region, education, professional activity) was assessed using binary logistic regression models (odds ratio, OR). For independent variable coded as more than two category response variables (perceived changes in eating habits, appetite, weight), we used multinomial logistic regression models (relative risk ratio, RRR) to estimate the association with socio-demographic co-variates. The type I error risk was set at 0.05 for all analyses. Statistical analyses were performed using Stata (StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 14.0. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP. 2015).

Results

Characteristics of the participants

According to the sample size calculation, 1067 people are expected to respond to our survey. As the survey was conducted online, 1121 participants filled the questionnaire, 39 questionnaires were rejected because they were from ineligible people (age under 20 years) and 1089 were validated. Participants were classified into three groups according to their age: young adult (age 20–25 years), adults (26–60 years) and elderly (over 60 years).

Socio-demographic data

As shown in Table 1, women represented 74.3 % of the population. Adults were the most predominant group for both genders. Elderly subjects were the least represented group in the sample. The study sample covered all regions of Tunisia, with comparable distribution for both genders.

Anthropometric and medical data

Data regarding anthropometric measurements and the participant health status are summarised in Table 2. Mean age of the sample was 32.5 ± 12 years. BMI was calculated, 48.8 % of respondents had a normal weight, 31.1 % were pre-obese and 14.5 % were obese, with no gender difference (Table 2). Most of the respondents (78.7 %) did not declare any chronic illness. For the rest, the most cited diseases were endocrine, metabolic, haematological and cardiovascular diseases. The great majority of the respondents reported spontaneous eating with no special diet. For COVID-19 incidence, nearby 68 % of the respondents confirmed not being infected with SARS-CoV-2 at the moment of the survey, 15.4 % confirmed to have contracted the disease in its mild form, 1.2 %

| Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of the participants |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Total population** | **Women** | **Men** |
| **n (%)** | **n (%)** | **n (%)** |
| Habitation region | | | |
| Greater Tunis | 482 (44.6) | 350 (43.5) | 132 (47.5) |
| North-East | 154 (14.2) | 120 (14.9) | 34 (12.2) |
| North-West | 93 (8.6) | 72 (9.0) | 21 (7.5) |
| Centre-East | 195 (18.0) | 151 (18.8) | 44 (15.8) |
| Centre-West | 44 (4.1) | 31 (3.9) | 13 (4.7) |
| South-East | 90 (8.5) | 67 (8.3) | 23 (8.3) |
| South-West | 24 (2.2) | 13 (1.6) | 11 (4.0) |
| Age category | | | |
| Young adult (20–25 years) | 339 (31.3) | 277 (34.4) | 62 (22.3) |
| Adults (25–60 years) | 691 (63.9) | 503 (62.6) | 188 (67.6) |
| Elderly (60 years and over) | 52 (4.8) | 24 (2.9) | 28 (10.1) |
| Graduation status | | | |
| Non-graduated | 4 (0.4) | 1 (0.1) | 3 (1.1) |
| Primary school graduation | 9 (0.8) | 6 (0.7) | 3 (1.1) |
| Secondary school graduation | 76 (7.0) | 37 (4.6) | 39 (14.0) |
| University graduation | 993 (91.8) | 760 (94.5) | 233 (83.8) |
| Occupational status | | | |
| Unemployed or Housewives | 145 (13.4) | 131 (16.3) | 14 (0.5) |
| Student | 423 (39.1) | 346 (43.0) | 77 (27.7) |
| Worker | 71 (6.6) | 40 (5.0) | 31 (11.1) |
| Intermediate executive | 132 (12.2) | 84 (10.4) | 48 (17.3) |
| Upper executive | 272 (25.1) | 186 (23.1) | 86 (30.9) |
| Retiree | 39 (3.6) | 17 (2.1) | 22 (8.0) |
| Household size (N= 1059) | | | |
| Living alone | 29 (2.7) | 17 (2.1) | 12 (4.3) |
| Small size family (≤4 members) | 798 (73.8) | 604 (75.1) | 194 (69.8) |
| Large size family (>4 members) | 232 (21.4) | 171 (21.3) | 61 (21.9) |
| Unspecified | 23 (2.1) | 12 (1.5) | 11 (4.0) |

a Data are expressed as the total number of respondents with percentages between brackets (%).
b Number of respondents specifying their household size.
* P-value: null hypothesis of same distribution between both genders (χ² and Fisher exact test); null hypothesis rejected at P < 0.05.
experienced a severe form of COVID-19 with no gender difference ($P=0.55$). Only 21.7% declared being vaccinated against the virus during the survey period (Table 2).

### Perception of eating habits changes during lockdown periods

As shown in Table 3, during lockdown periods, 42.1% of the respondents declared no change in eating habits. However, 21.2% reported an increase of their consumption of fresh fruits, vegetables, pulses, pasta and bread (positive change), while 36.6% an increase of homemade cakes and biscuits, sweets, processed meat, sugary drinks and alcoholic drinks (negative change) (Table 3). In parallel, nearby 45% reported no change in the number of meals consumed per day, 16% declared skipping a main meal, while only 5.4% reported skipping snacks. Meals and snack’s addition had been reported by 7.7 and 9.2% of the participants, respectively. Excess snacking was declared by 16.3%.

With the onset of the pandemic, 29.2% consumed supplementary foods. According to our study, virus exposure or infection would have increased the consumption of dietary supplements (virus exposure: OR 2.28; confidence interval (95% CI) 1.58–3.30; $P<0.001$, virus infection: OR 3.60; 95% CI 2.53, 5.12; $P<0.001$).

Besides, gender significant differences ($P<0.001$) were noted for eating habit changes as well as the number of meals per day variation (Table 3). Our results showed that the COVID-19 pandemic impacted the eating habits of women negatively as compared to men. Indeed, the percentage of women (40%) varying negatively their eating habits was significantly higher than men (26.6%). On the other side, 50.7% of men confirmed no change in eating habits vs. 39.2% of

### Table 2. Anthropometrics and medical data of the participants

| Total population | Women | Men |
|------------------|-------|-----|
| $n$ (%) | $n$ (%) | $n$ (%) |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Age (years)$^b$ | 32.5 | 12.0 | 31.1 | 10.8 | 36.5 | 14.2 |
| Weight (kg)$^b$ | 71.1 | 15.2 | 67.8 | 13.9 | 80.5 | 14.8 |
| Height (m)$^b$ | 1.68 | 0.08 | 1.64 | 0.06 | 1.77 | 0.06 |
| BMI (kg/m$^2$)$^b$ | 25.1 | 4.9 | 25 | 5.0 | 25.4 | 4.4 |
| Nutritional status$^a$ | | | | | | |
| Underweight | 60 (5.5) | 50 (6.2) | 10 (3.6) |
| Normal weight | 528 (48.8) | 396 (49.2) | 132 (47.5) |
| Pre-obesity | 337 (31.1) | 241 (30.0) | 96 (34.5) |
| Obesity | 157 (14.5) | 117 (14.6) | 40 (14.4) |
| History of Chronic diseases (number of diseases)$^a$ | | | | | | |
| No chronic disease | 852 (78.7) | 632 (78.6) | 220 (79.1) |
| One disease | 195 (18.0) | 143 (17.8) | 52 (18.7) |
| Multiple diseases | 35 (3.2) | 29 (3.6) | 6 (2.1) |
| History of chronic diseases (type of diseases)$^a$ | | | | | | |
| Cardiovascular diseases | 25 (10.9) | 14 (8.1) | 11 (19.0) |
| Endocrine, nutritional or metabolic diseases | 56 (24.3) | 37 (21.5) | 19 (32.7) |
| Respiratory and ENT diseases | 14 (6.1) | 8 (4.6) | 6 (10.3) |
| Digestive diseases | 13 (5.7) | 12 (7.0) | 1 (1.7) |
| Haematological diseases | 26 (11.3) | 26 (15.1) | 0 (0.0) |
| Genealogy, urinary and kidney diseases | 9 (3.9) | 5 (2.9) | 4 (6.8) |
| Cancer | 2 (0.9) | 2 (1.2) | 0 (0.0) |
| Other diseases | 50 (21.7) | 39 (22.7) | 11 (19.0) |
| Morbid health status (more than three diseases) | 35 (15.2) | 29 (16.9) | 6 (21.3) |
| Diet$^a$ | | | | | | |
| Spontaneous feeding with no diet | 960 (88.7) | 713 (88.7) | 247 (88.8) |
| Healthy diet | 23 (2.1) | 15 (3.2) | 8 (1.4) |
| Weight-loss diet | 34 (3.1) | 29 (3.6) | 5 (1.8) |
| Reduced sugar/salt diet | 20 (1.8) | 8 (1.0) | 12 (4.3) |
| Therapeutic diet | 13 (1.2) | 11 (1.4) | 2 (0.7) |
| Vegetarian diet | 2 (0.2) | 2 (0.2) | 0 (0.0) |
| Not specified | 30 (2.8) | 26 (3.2) | 4 (1.4) |
| Incidence of COVID-19$^a$ | | | | | | |
| Not exposed | 734 (67.8) | 539 (67.0) | 195 (70.1) |
| Suspected infection | 168 (15.5) | 132 (16.4) | 36 (12.9) |
| Mid-form infection | 167 (15.4) | 124 (15.4) | 43 (15.5) |
| Severe form infection | 13 (1.2) | 9 (1.1) | 4 (1.4) |
| Occurrence of family death due to COVID-19$^a$ | | | | | | |
| No | 886 (81.9) | 659 (82.0) | 227 (81.6) |
| Yes | 196 (18.1) | 145 (18.0) | 51 (18.4) |
| Vaccination against COVID-19$^a$ | | | | | | |
| No | 847 (78.3) | 638 (79.3) | 209 (75.2) |
| Yes | 235 (21.7) | 166 (20.7) | 69 (24.8) |

$^a$ Data are expressed as the total number of respondents with proportions between brackets for categorical variables.

$^b$ Data are expressed as mean with standard deviation in separate columns.

$^c$ P-value: null hypothesis of same distribution between both genders ($\chi^2$ and Fisher exact test); null hypothesis rejected at $P<0.05$. 
women. The logistic regression test showed that men were less likely to negatively vary their eating habits relative to women (RRR 0.5; 95% CI 0.36, 0.7; P < 0.001).

For changes in the number of daily meals during periods of confinement, women reported a greater tendency to over snack (18.2%) than men (10.8%). Logistic regression analysis showed that compared to women, men were less likely to skip meals (RRR 0.60; 95% CI 0.39, 0.91; P = 0.018), to add snacks (RRR 0.52; 95% CI 0.3, 0.9; P = 0.020) and to snack excessively (RRR 0.42; 95% CI 0.27, 0.66; P < 0.001).

Furthermore, Kruskal–Wallis test results showed that age factor made a significant difference in perception of eating habits change (P = 0.012). In fact, as shown in Table 3, for 63.5% of the elderly, eating habits were stable compared to young adults (41%). These latter ones reported more tendency to negatively change their eating habits (43.4%) compared to adults (34.6%) and elderly (19.2%). Adults were more prevalent (24.3%) in reporting a positive change in eating habits as compared to young adults (15.6%) and elderly (17.3%). The multinomial regression test showed that the elderly subjects were less likely to negatively change food habits in comparison with young adults (RRR 0.27; 95% CI 0.12, 0.58; P = 0.001), while adults were more likely to vary their habits positively (RRR 1.49; 95% CI 1.02, 2.16; P = 0.035) than the younger.

Graduation was also found to make a significant difference (P = 0.0106) for the eating habits change. In fact, 75% of ungraduated respondents were more prone to negatively change their eating habits against 44.4% and 31.6% for primary and secondary-graduated participants. Reversely, graduated respondents reported positive change in eating habits as the following: 33.3% for primary level, 10.5% for secondary level, 22.0% for university level, whereas none of the ungraduated participants reported a positive change of eating habits.

Finally, our results showed that household size made a significant difference (P = 0.039) in eating habit changes. Among those who specified their household’s size (1059 participants), subjects declaring no change in eating habits during the periods of confinement were more prevalent among large households (number of people >4) with a percentage of 48.7%. This percentage is higher than the percentage of those living in small-sized households (40.7%) as well as those living alone (37.9%). The latter ones were mostly perceived to

### Table 3. Perception of eating habits, appetite and body weight changes during COVID-19 lockdown periods

|                        | Total population n (%) | Women n (%) | Men n (%) |
|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| **Eating habits change**|                        |             |           |
| No change              | 456 (42.1)             | 315 (39.2)  | 141 (50.7)|
| Negative change        | 396 (36.6)             | 322 (40)    | 74 (26.6) |
| Positive change        | 230 (21.2)             | 167 (20.8)  | 63 (22.6) |
| **Appetite change**    |                        |             |           |
| Stable appetite        | 459 (42.4)             | 306 (38.1)  | 153 (55.0)|
| Appetite loss          | 249 (23.0)             | 182 (22.6)  | 67 (24.1) |
| Appetite gain          | 374 (34.6)             | 316 (39.3)  | 58 (20.9) |
| **Weight change**      |                        |             |           |
| Stable weight          | 385 (35.6)             | 258 (32.1)  | 127 (45.7)|
| Weight loss            | 176 (16.3)             | 129 (16.0)  | 47 (16.9) |
| Slight weight gain     | 374 (34.6)             | 289 (35.9)  | 85 (30.6) |
| Noticeable weight gain | 147 (13.6)             | 128 (15.9)  | 19 (6.8)  |
| **Change in number of meals** |                 |             |           |
| No change              | 491 (45.4)             | 333 (41.4)  | 158 (56.8)|
| Skipping main meal     | 174 (16.0)             | 136 (16.9)  | 38 (13.7) |
| Skipping snacks         | 58 (5.4)               | 44 (5.5)    | 14 (5.0)  |
| Main meal addition     | 83 (7.7)               | 64 (8.0)    | 19 (6.8)  |
| Snacks addition        | 100 (9.2)              | 81 (10.1)   | 19 (6.8)  |
| Excessive snacking     | 176 (16.3)             | 146 (18.2)  | 30 (10.8) |
| **Consumption of supplementary foods** |              |             |           |
| No search              | 500 (46.2)             | 343 (43.7)  | 157 (56.5)|
| Search in media (TV, Radio, …) | 214 (19.8)    | 166 (20.7)  | 48 (17.3) |
| Search in social media | 294 (27.2)             | 232 (28.9)  | 62 (22.3) |
| Consulting nutrition expert | 65 (6.0)     | 57 (7.1)    | 8 (2.9)   |
| Not specified           | 9 (0.8)                | 5 (0.6)     | 4 (1.4)   |
| **Sport practice frequency** |               |             |           |
| No sport practice      | 675 (62.5)             | 529 (65.7)  | 146 (52.5)|
| 1 to 2 times per week  | 235 (21.7)             | 164 (20.4)  | 71 (25.5) |
| 3 to 4 times per week  | 125 (11.5)             | 84 (10.5)   | 41 (14.8) |
| More than 5 times per week | 47 (4.3)     | 27 (3.4)    | 20 (7.2)  |

* Data are expressed as the total number of respondents with percentages between brackets (%).

* Negative change refers to an increase in consumption of fresh fruits, fish, vegetables, pulses, pasta and bread.

* Positive change refers to an increase in consumption of homemade cakes and biscuits, sweets, processed meat, sugary and alcoholic drinks.

* P-value: null hypothesis of same distribution between both genders (χ² and Fisher exact test); null hypothesis rejected at P < 0.05.
vary their eating habits negatively (Table 3). On the other hand, participants belonging to small-sized households were prevalent to shift positively their eating habits during confinement (22-4 %) in comparison with solitary people (17-2 %) and large households (16-3 %).

With regards to health parameters, skipping meals during confinement periods was observed at higher percentage among the underweight class (23 %) and at lower percentage for pre-obese subjects (12-2 %). Excessive snacking was more reported by obese (22-3 %), and pre-obese (19-6 %) subjects compared to normal (13 %) and underweighted (10 %) subjects. Moreover, the population reporting stability in number of daily meals were predominantly among those following a healthy diet (52-1 %) and a diet reduced in salt and sugar (55 %). Surprisingly, those who reported being on a weight loss or therapeutic diet were most prone to add daily meals and snacks.

**Perception of appetite changes during lockdown periods**

With regards to appetite change, 42-4 % of our participants declared no appetite change, 23-0 % perceived a loss of appetite and 34-6 % a gain of appetite (Table 3). Gender significant differences (P < 0-001) were noted as well for perception of appetite change. Indeed, a higher percentage of women (39-9 %) declared gaining appetite as compared to men (20-9 %). In addition, 55-0 % of men reported no change in appetite v. 38-1 % of women.

Multinomial logistic regression showed that men were less likely to perceive gain appetite as compared to women (RRR 0-42, 95 % CI 0-29, 0-6; P < 0-001).

Kruskal–Wallis test results showed that age factor also made a significant difference for perception of appetite variation (P < 0-001). In fact, those who reported no change in appetite were mostly elderly (82-7 %) compared to young adults (34-1 %) and adults (43-3 %). On the other hand, those who declared loss of appetite were predominantly young adults and adults (24-8 and 22-9 %, respectively) v. 13-5 % for the elderly. Finally, young adults reported a gain appetite with a higher percentage (40-7 %) than adults (33-9 %) and elderly (3-8 %). The multinomial logistic regression test proved that elderly respondents were less willing to lose their appetite during periods of confinement compared to young adults (RRR 0-13; 95 % CI 0-049, 0-35; P < 0-001). Adults and elderly were also less willing to have an appetite gain relative to youth (adults: RRR 0-52; 95 % CI 0-38, 0-72; P < 0-001, elderly: RRR 0-011; 95 % CI 0-001, 0-866; P < 0-001).

Furthermore, our results showed that professional status made a significant difference (P < 0-001) in appetite change. In fact, no change in appetite was mostly reported by retired respondents (84-6 %) as compared to students (38-5 %) and workers (46-5 %). Gain appetite was predominantly perceived among unemployed and housewives’ subgroups as well as students with percentages of 39-3 and 38-8 %, respectively. Logistic regression showed that the retired respondents were less likely to change appetite either by loss (RRR 0-22, 95 % CI 0-06, 0-8; P = 0-024) or by gain (RRR 0-13; 95 % CI 0-038, 0-047; P = 0-002) in comparison with unemployed and housewives’ subgroup.

Moreover, underweighted subjects declared mostly no change in appetite (55-0 %) as compared to other BMI classes (44-7 % for subjects with normal weight, 38-9 % for obese and 38, 3 % for pre-obese). Conversely, appetite gain was preponderant among obese (40-1 %) or pre-obese (40-5 %) compared to subjects with normal weight (30-7 %) and underweighted subjects (18-3 %). Logistic regression test showed that normal weighted, pre-obese and obese subjects were more willing to gain appetite during confinement compared to underweighted (normal weight: RRR 2-21; 95 % CI 1-07, 4-6; P = 0-032, pre-obesity: RRR 3-76; 95 % CI 1-77, 7-97; P = 0-001, obesity: RRR 3-9; 95 % CI 1-74, 8-71; P = 0-001).

**Perceptions of body weight changes during lockdown periods**

Regarding perception of body weight change, 35-6 % reported weight stability, 16-3 % reported losing body weight v. 48-2 % reporting body weight gain (Table 3). Similarly, to eating habits and appetite change, gender significant differences (P < 0-001) were found for perception of body weight change. In fact, a higher percentage of women participants reported a significant body weight gain (15-9 %) as compared to men (6-8 %). Moreover, body weight stability was reported by 45-7 % of men participants v. 32-1 % of women. The test of logistic regression showed that men have lower risk of notably gaining body weight (RRR 0-34; 95 % CI 0-19, 0-59; P < 0-001) comparatively to women. According to age factor, a significant difference (P < 0-001) in perception of body weight change was found. For elderly, 57-7 % of the respondents perceived body weight stability during periods of confinement against 35-6 % for adults and 32-2 % for young adults. The body weight loss affected more significantly elderly subjects (23-1 %) compared to adults (15-6 %) and young adults (16-5 %). By contrast, young adults and adults had tendency to gain weight in higher percentage than elderly as shown in Table 3. The logistic regression test highlighted that compared to young adults, adults were less likely to gain weight slightly (RRR 0-64; 95 % CI 0-46, 0-89; P = 0-009) and significantly (RRR 0-55; 95 % CI 0-34, 0-88; P = 0-013). Similarly, elderly subjects were at lower risk of weight gain (RRR 0-15; 95 % CI 0-069, 0-36; P < 0-001). In agreement with those results, the Spearman test confirmed a negative significant association between age and perception of body weight change during confinement periods (r = −0-075, P = 0-013). Employment status made a difference statistically significant for perception of body weight change (P = 0-001). In fact, 61-5 % of the retired subgroup reported a weight stability against only 29-7 % for the unemployed and housewives’ subgroup and 40-0 % for upper executives. Those who reported noticeable weight gain were for the greater majority among the class of unemployed. The multinomial regression test showed a low risk of slight weight gain for the subgroup of retirees (RRR 0-33; 95 % CI 0-13-0-85; P = 0-022) in comparison to unemployed and housewives’ subgroup. The retired subgroup remained as well less exposed to noticeable weight gain (RRR 0-092; 95 % CI 0-011, 0-74; P = 0-025) along with the subgroup of senior executives (RRR 0-45; 95 % CI 0-24, 0-86; P = 0-016) as compared to unemployed subjects.
Along with previously cited socio-demographic parameters, nutritional status made a difference statistically significant for the body weight change ($P < 0.001$). In fact, a stable weight was predominantly reported by underweighted (48.3%) and normal weighted (43.8%) subjects compared to pre-obese (27.3%) and obese (21%). However, noticeable weight gain was reported at higher percentage among obese subjects (29.9%) as well as pre-obese (20.8%) compared to subjects with a normal weight (5.7%) and underweighted (0%). The latter ones were rather the population most affected by body weight loss with a percentage of 36.7% for normal weight, 17.2% for pre-obese, and 12.1% for obese.

The logistic regression test showed that relative to underweighted, the risk of weight gain increased proportionally with BMI (normal BMI: RRR 2.56; 95% CI 1.17, 5.60; $P = 0.018$, pre-obese: RRR 5.46; 95% CI 2.42, 12.31; $P < 0.001$, obese: RRR 6.97; 95% CI 2.87, 16.89; $P < 0.001$). Spearman’s test confirmed the positive and statistically significant association between body weight change perception and BMI class ($r = 0.30$, $P < 0.001$). In addition, the health status had a significant impact on body weight change perception ($P = 0.0213$). Having a morbid health status did not favour weight stability, as the percentage of those reporting stable weight was low in subjects with morbid health status (11.4%) compared to healthy subjects (35.7%) or those with a single chronic disease (39.5%). Morbid health status rather seems to favour weight change either by loss (28.6% vs. 14.9% for healthy subjects) or by gain (57% weight gain was reported for subjects with morbid health status vs. 49.4% for healthy subjects). The logistic regression test showed that having a morbid health status increased the risk of losing weight (RRR 6.6; 95% CI 1.92, 22.62; $P = 0.003$) or gaining it significantly (RRR 6.77; 95% CI 1.82, 25.20; $P = 0.004$) as compared to healthy participants. In addition, the association between weight gain and changes in eating habits was assessed. The logistic regression test confirmed that as compared to those who did not change their eating habits, increasing consumption of unhealthy food and decreasing consumption of healthy food (negative change) increased the risk of gaining weight slightly (RRR 5.62; 95% CI 3.89, 8.09; $P < 0.001$) and notably (RRR 18.5; 95% CI 10.93, 31.28; $P < 0.001$). Physical activity during lockdown period was also assessed. Most of the participants (62.5%) were at sedentary status. Practicing physical activity more than five times a week during lockdown period presented an inverse association with body weight increase (RRR 0.22; 95% CI 0.05, 0.97; $P = 0.046$).

Perception of food consumption change during confinement periods

According to Fig. 1, foods that consumption had increased during the periods of confinement were coffee, tea and herbal tea, homemade pastries, fruit, eggs, fresh vegetables, cheese, bread, pasta and cereals. On the other hand, the foods that consumption was reduced were bakery products, sweets, ham and processed meat as well as sweet beverages.

Furthermore, with regards to socio-demographic parameters, our results showed that both EHI and EHD scores
were associated neither with gender, nor with habitation zone, graduation status or household size. However, professional status had a significant influence \((P < 0.001)\) on the EHI. Indeed, as shown in Fig. 2, we noticed that the subgroup of retirees has the highest average of EHI while the lowest scores were prevalent among unemployed and housewives’ subgroup. But no significant difference \((P = 0.06)\) was found for EHD score. For this latter score, Spearman test showed a negative significant association between age and EHD score \((r = -0.106, P = 0.0005)\). According to Fig. 3, average EHD score was higher for young adults than adults and elderly. Reversely, as shown in Fig. 4, elderly scored higher EHI as compared to young, and adults’ respondents.

According to anthropometric and medical parameters, Fig. 5 showed that the highest EHD were noticed for pre-obese and obese subjects. Finally, it should be stressed out that the Spearman test showed a positive significant association between EHD and increased appetite \((r = 0.32, P < 0.001)\). Similarly, a significant positive association was found with body weight change \((r = 0.27, P < 0.001)\). However, the
test indicated a negative significant association between EHI and body weight change \( (r = -0.09, P = 0.0027) \).

Discussion

The present study investigated the perceived changes in food habits, appetite and body weight in Tunisian adults of both genders aged 20–74 years old. It should be noted that regarding gender and age, our sample distribution is different from the national distribution characterised by an equal gender distribution for all ages\(^{(22)}\). In our sample study, nearly two-thirds of the responses were recorded by women. This predominance has been reported in several other studies\(^{(5–7)}\). For age parameter, youth predominance in our sample could be explained by a higher percentage of internet and social network users among adults aged under 35 years old\(^{(23)}\). Compared with national statistics\(^{(18)}\), the territorial coverage of our sample corresponds to the national distribution for almost all regions, except for the Centre and South-West which were under-represented and Greater Tunis which was
by contrast over-represented. This could be justified by a regional disparity of connectivity that probably displayed a concentration of Tunisian Internet users on Greater Tunis with a percentage of 33.7% largely superior to other regions whose percentages are between 7.9% and 5.4% [23].

Our survey results showed that more than half of the respondents changed their eating habits, with only 21.2% reporting that the change was positive, i.e. an increase in consumption of fresh fruits, vegetables, pulses, pasta and bread. A comparable percentage (30.9%) of participants reporting improvement in dietary habits was also reported by a large study including participants from the MENA region [24]. Negative change i.e. an increase of homemade cakes and biscuits, sweets, processed meat, sugary drinks and alcoholic drinks was reported by a higher percentage of respondents. A recent study reported that food consumption and meal patterns were unhealthier during COVID-19 confinement [25]. Along this line, an increase in unhealthy foods and snacks during the COVID-19 home confinement was reported by Bhutani et al. [26]. Changes in diet and physical activity can lead to an increase in the prevalence of several chronic diseases such as obesity, diabetes that are considered risk factors for mortality in patients with COVID-19 [27].

According to our study, appetite gain was predominant in subjects with obesity or at risk of obesity. The addition of meals and excessive snacks during the confinement period was also more prevalent for obese especially those following therapeutic or weight-loss diet population. Laitinen et al. [27] reported that stress eating (defined as trying to feel better by eating or drinking in a stressful situation) was significantly associated with obesity, primarily in women. Moreover, stress leads to ‘food craving’ defined as overeating, especially ‘comfort foods’ rich in sugar [28]. Those foods, mainly rich in simple carbohydrates, can reduce stress as they encourage serotonin production with a positive effect on mood [29].

Our study showed that men were less likely to change their eating habits towards the negative and had a lower risk of appetite gain and weight gain compared to women. Men also seemed to be less adept at skipping meals, adding snacks and snacking excessively compared to women. There may be a gender-specific response to stress, with women more likely to use food to cope with stress, whereas men are more likely to use other oral behaviours such as drinking or smoking [30]. A Spanish longitudinal study carried out during the first periods of the lockdown, showed that women started the confinement with a higher level of negative emotions (stress and avoidance symptoms) than the men group [31]. Similarly, Wang et al. [32] reported a significant association between gender and high levels of stress, anxiety and depression during the confinement periods.

Our study also showed that retirees were less likely to vary their eating habits and appetite and were the least likely to gain weight. According to Ingram et al. [7], an association between changes in occupational status during the pandemic and changes in dietary habits and diet can be established. Indeed, only subjects who did not experience any change in occupational activity during the confinement period were able to maintain their dietary habits stable [7]. Furthermore, retirees had the highest EHI score average, while the highest EHD score average was observed in young adults. A possible explanation could be given by the Spanish study which showed that participants aged 18–45 years had higher stress levels during the pandemic period than participants over 60 years [33]. The absence of daily routines was more frequent in the youngest group especially students and unemployed people. Their main activity was suddenly interrupted, and many uncertainties appeared around it. Mental status and negative mood may accordingly explain the increased risk of appetite variation either by loss or gain as well as the risk of weight gain associated in our study with the occurrence of a family death from COVID-19 and the fact of living alone [31, 33].

It was recently suggested that in times of restrictions due to the COVID-19 pandemic, breaking up prolonged sitting with simple measures, such as alternating between sitting and standing for 30 min periods, may result in meaningful increases in energy expenditure [34]. Meanwhile, our study showed that physical activity superior to five times per week presented an inverse association with body weight increase. This result should be utilised for further research and development in public health promotion against sedentary behaviour.

Tunisian adults tend to cook more. The consumption of homemade products such as pastries and bread has increased. By contrast, the consumption of bakery products, sweets, ham, processed meat as well as sweet beverages decreased. Similar changes were observed in the Italian population with an increase in the consumption of homemade desserts, bread and pizza and a decrease in the consumption of soft and sweet drinks along with salty snacks and processed meats [35]. Along with healthy food increase consumption, nearby the third of our participants reported a tendency to consume supplementary foods during the pandemic particularly after exposure or infection with SARS-CoV-2. A comparable percentage (26.2%) of multivitamin consumers was reported by Abouzid et al. [24] for the MENA population. This was explained by the recommendations of vitamin C [35], vitamin D [36] and Zinc [37] supplementation for COVID-19 prevention and healing.

The present study is the first to be conducted in our country, and thus, it would enrich other studies on the socio-economic impact of the pandemic on Tunisian households. However, the main study limitations are that self-reported data online can be subject to bias and misreporting. In addition, the use of snowball sampling through social media implies that the sample cannot be considered representative of the general Tunisian population, which leads to selection bias. Another limitation is that around one-third of patients who recovered from COVID-19 may have persistent loss of their smell/taste sense [14] and these disturbances may have had impact on the reported dietary changes. Finally, to increase our confidence in the generalisability of the study, it would have to be repeated with the same exercise programme but with different providers in different settings and yield the same results.

**Conclusion**

The present study showed that lockdown measures may have increased the stress load and led to an alteration in eating
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