The brinker repressor system regulates injury-induced nociceptive sensitization in Drosophila melanogaster
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Abstract
Chronic pain is a debilitating condition affecting millions of people worldwide, and an improved understanding of the pathophysiology of chronic pain is urgently needed. Nociceptors are the sensory neurons that alert the nervous system to potentially harmful stimuli such as mechanical pressure or noxious thermal temperature. When an injury occurs, the nociceptive threshold for pain is reduced and an increased pain signal is produced. This process is called nociceptive sensitization. This sensitization normally subsides after the injury is healed. However, dysregulation can occur which results in sensitization that persists after the injury has healed. This process is thought to perpetuate chronic pain. The Hedgehog (Hh) signaling pathway has been previously implicated in nociceptive sensitization in response to injury in Drosophila melanogaster. Downstream of Hh signaling, the Bone Morphogenetic Protein (BMP) pathway has also been shown to be necessary for this process. Here, we describe a role for nuclear components of BMP's signaling pathway in the formation of injury-induced nociceptive sensitization. Brinker (Brk), and Schnurri (Shn) were suppressed in nociceptors using an RNA-interference (RNAi) “knockdown” approach. Knockdown of Brk resulted in hypersensitivity in the absence of injury, indicating that it normally acts to suppress nociceptive sensitivity. Animals in which transcriptional activator Shn was knocked down in nociceptors failed to develop normal allodynia after ultraviolet irradiation injury, indicating that Shn normally acts to promote hypersensitivity after injury. These results indicate that Brk-related transcription regulators play a crucial role in the formation of nociceptive sensitization and may therefore represent valuable new targets for pain-relieving medications.
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Introduction
Pain is an essential sensation that alerts us to potential tissue damage. If injury occurs, the process of nociceptive sensitization acts to reduce further tissue damage while the wound heals. Nociceptive sensitization occurs as a result of cytokine-mediated communication to the nervous system from injured tissues and causes an increased behavioral response to normally innocuous stimuli (allodynia) and/or to noxious stimuli (hyperalgesia). Ideally, hypersensitivity would only manifest until the injured tissue is healed. However, in some cases it persists even after healing has occurred, resulting in the formation of various chronic pain states.
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A 2016 study revealed that approximately 20% of the US adult population (50 million individuals) experienced chronic pain. Globally, the prevalence of chronic pain is approximately 20% with 10% newly diagnosed on an annual basis. The management of chronic pain is challenging and multifactorial. Clinically, chronic pain treatment modalities include behavioral modifications, opioid regimens, and anti-inflammatory mediated approaches, yet in many ways these therapeutics fail to directly target the root of the nociceptive hypersensitivity response. For decades, we have relied on opioid analgesics as a mainstay of therapy, despite their myriad of side-effects including significant potential for addiction. In 2017 alone, there were over 58 opioid prescriptions written for every 100 US citizens. Between the years of 1999 and 2018 there was a 400% increase in prescription-related opioid related overdose deaths. While we have made tremendous de-prescribing efforts within both the U.S. and globally, these alarming statistics demonstrate a need for alternative management strategies and improved understanding of the pathophysiology of chronic pain.

Nociceptive sensitization underlies chronic and neuropathic pain states at the cellular level, yet the mechanisms of this response have yet to be fully elucidated. A better understanding of the exact cellular mechanisms underlying nociceptive sensitization is likely to contribute to more targeted chronic pain management strategies.

*Drosophila melanogaster* represents a model that provides both substantial genetic similarity to humans, as well as a simplified platform and powerful genetic tools with which to explore the genetic underpinnings of disease. Previous studies have established an effective paradigm for studying nociceptive sensitization in *Drosophila* larvae, using ultraviolet (UV) induced injury to sensitize the primary nociceptive neurons, referred to here as nociceptors. Nociceptors detect thermal stimuli using thermosensitive ion channels such as Transient Receptor Potential (TRP) channels Painless and dTRPA1. After exposure to a defined UV dose, injured larvae exhibit heightened behavioral responses to both sub-noxious and noxious stimuli, suggesting that this model serves to effectively investigate both allodynia and hyperalgesia. Using this model, previous studies have demonstrated the necessity of Tumor Necrosis Factor alpha (TNF-α), Hedgehog (Hh), Tachykinin (Tk), and Bone Morphogenetic Protein (BMP) signaling in the formation of injury-induced behavioral hypersensitivity, suggesting that the nociceptive sensitization response to injury likely occurs through transcriptional regulation (Figure 1).

Dpp’s signal transducers Mad and Med exert their effects by activating transcription directly or by relieving the constitutive repression of the pathway’s target genes to axon guidance and proliferation. One extensively studied factor downstream of the Hh signaling pathway is Decapentaplegic (Dpp), a functional homolog of mammalian BMP 2/4 and a member of the TGF-β superfamily of signaling proteins. In *Drosophila*, Dpp is known to act as a graded morphogen and drives the development of stem cells, imaginal discs, and organizes dorsoventral symmetry. TGF-β signaling in general, and specifically Dpp signaling operates through activation of a tetrameric enzyme-linked receptor complex, leading to the phosphorylation of intracellular signaling molecule Mothers Against Decapentaplegic (Mad), which, with Medea (Med), then translocates to the nucleus. Previous work has revealed the necessity of Dpp, its receptors, and the signal transducers Mad and Med in the formation of injury-induced behavioral hypersensitivity, suggesting that the nociceptive sensitization response to injury likely occurs through transcriptional regulation (Figure 1).

**Figure 1.** Schematic of injury-induced nociceptor sensitization via the Bone Morphogenetic Protein (BMP) Pathway. Injury by ultraviolet light activates the BMP signaling pathway including ligands, receptors, transducers, other mediators and, as demonstrated by the described experiments, the transcriptional repressor Brinker (Brk) and recruited activator Schnurri (Shn). In response, transcription of effector genes is regulated to sensitize the nociceptor and thereby promote healing.
by the transcriptional repressor Brk. The Mad/Med complex is thought to recruit transcription regulator Shn that binds DNA and relieves Brk repression. It is hypothesized, then, that since knockdown of Dpp produces failure to sensitize after injury, knockdown of Shn may have the same effect, and knockdown of Brk may have an opposite effect, that is, hypersensitivity in the absence of injury.

In this study, tissue-specific RNAi-mediated suppression or “knockdown” was employed to establish a role for the transcriptional regulators Brk and Shn in the formation of nociceptive sensitization. Knockdown of Brk in nociceptors was found to be sufficient to produce behavioral hypersensitivity in the absence of tissue injury, while knockdown of Shn resulted in animals that were unable to form injury-induced allodynia. Although a direct mammalian homolog of Brk has yet to be identified, Shn resembles human HIV-EPI. Indeed, most members of the BMP pathway show strong structural and functional conservation, in the case of Dpp so strongly that insect and mammal gene sequences may be substituted so it may be predicted that BMP-related repressors like Brk still await discovery in mammals. Elucidation of these mechanisms acting in the nuclei of the nociceptors themselves may contribute to a more thorough description of the processes underlying chronic pain in humans.

**Materials and methods**

**Fly stocks and genetics**

Fly stocks were maintained at 25°C unless otherwise indicated, in a 12 h light: 12 h dark cycle throughout the duration of the experiments. All genotypes were reared on standard cornmeal-yeast-sucrose diet. The GAL4/UAS system was used to drive the expression of RNA-interference transgenes in the larvae in nociceptive neurons. The driver used was ppk1.9-Gal4,5 in which Gal4 is expressed in the pattern of the promoter of the pickpocket (ppk) gene, which is expressed nearly exclusively in the nociceptors; UAS-inverted repeat lines were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (BDSC) at Indiana University: UAS-BrkIR-1 (BDSC#51789), UAS-BrkIR-2(BDSC#37493), UAS-ShnIR-1 (BDSC#34689), UAS-ShnIR-2 (BDSC#82982). A ppk1.9-Gal4, UAS-mCD8-GFP line was used to visualize nociceptors in immunohistochemistry experiments (Figure 2). A ppk1.9-CD4-tdTomato (BDSC#35844) was used to visualize nociceptors in the Shn::GFP experiment. A pBAC{shn-GFP,FBTB} line (BDSC#42671) was used to observe GFP-tagged Shn (SHN::GFP) in nociceptor somata. Each GAL4/UAS experimental genotype was compared with two controls, one being the progeny of the Gal4 driver crossed with a line representing the genetic background of the UAS line, either w1118 or y1v1 (no UAS control). The other control consisted of the progeny of the UAS responder line crossed with w1118 (no Gal4 control). In all experiments, large foraging third instar larvae were selected for analysis.

**UV exposure**

Ultraviolet (UV) irradiation was used to induce tissue damage in 3rd instar larvae. Larvae were washed with water and anesthetized with diethyl ether. Anesthetized larvae were then placed dorsal side up (approximately 20–30 larvae), on a microscope slide using two-sided tape and subjected to 12–18 (mJ/cm²) of UV-C irradiation using a Spectronics Corporation Spectrolinker XL-1000 ultraviolet crosslinker. UV dosage was verified during each trial using a Spectronics Corporation Spectroline XS-254 UV-C photometer. After UV exposure, larvae were gently rinsed in a petri dish, collected, and placed in a vial containing approximately 1 ml of fly food. Vials were then stored in an incubator for 24 hours at 25°C before behavioral assay.

**Thermal nociception assay**

Noxious stimuli were administered using a thermal probe (ProDev Engineering, Missouri City, Texas) by an operator blind to genotype and/or treatment. The thermal probe was set to deliver a temperature of 41°C, the highest innocuous temperature, to test for allodynia, or 45°C to assess normal nociception. Noxious thermal stimuli were administered along the dorsal midline between abdominal segments 2 and 5. Withdrawal behavior was defined as at least one 360° roll in response to the stimulus. Response latency was recorded, and responses were categorized as: under 6 seconds as fast, 6–20 seconds as slow and more than 20 seconds as no response.

**Quantification of dendritic morphology**

In order to determine if observed changes in sensitivity of knockdown larvae are associated with changes in dendritic length and branching, class IV multidendritic neurons were measured for total dendritic length and dendritic branching. Third instar larvae measuring 4.5 to 4.9 mm in length were anesthetized with ether for 3 minutes then placed on a microscope slide in halocarbon-ether mixture (2:1). Using a Leica SP5 confocal microscope, nociceptors expressing ppk1.9-Gal4>UAS-mCD8-GFP were imaged between abdominal segments 4–6. Z stacks were taken using a 0.76 μm step size to capture the whole dendritic field. Images were taken at a size of 1024 × 1024. Using modifications previously described, images were skeletonized and
analyzed for parameters of dendritic length and dendritic branching in the open-source image-processing package Fiji.\textsuperscript{35,36}

**Localization of Schnurri GFP-tagged gene product**

A PBac\{shn-GFP.FBTB\} line\textsuperscript{33} (BDSC\#42671) was used to visualize Schnurri via fusion with GFP (SHN::GFP), while ppk-CD4-tdTomato (BDSC\#35844) allowed visualization of the nociceptor.

**Immunohistochemical analysis of Brinker expression**

Third instar larvae expressing eGFP within their nociceptors (via ppk1.9-Gal4>UAS-mCD8-GFP), were filleted as previously described\textsuperscript{11} and immediately fixated by 30-min incubation at room temperature (RT) with ice-cold 4\% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline solution (PBS). Fixation was followed by washes in 1\% PBT (1\% Triton X-100 in PBS), which included two 1-min washes, one 10-min wash, and one 1-hr wash at RT. Washed fillets were then blocked using PBT-B\(\frac{1}{2}\%\) (0.3\% Triton X-100) at RT. Washed fillets were then blocked using PBT-B\(\frac{1}{2}\%\) (0.3\% Triton X-100) at RT. Washed fillets were then blocked using PBT-B\(\frac{1}{2}\%\) (0.3\% Triton X-100) at RT. Following initial blocking, fillets were incubated overnight at 4°C using gentle rotation with guinea pig anti-BRK\textsuperscript{37} at a dilution of 1:500 in PBT-B. Overnight incubation was followed by two 30-min washes in PBT-B with rotation and then a second blocking for 1 hr using fresh PBT-B\(\frac{1}{2}\%\) normal goat serum (NGS) at RT. Following the second blocking, fillets were incubated for 2 hrs at RT with the fluorescently conjugated secondary antibody, goat anti-mouse AlexaFluor-647 (Catalog#: A-21236, Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc), diluted to 5\% NGS. Fillets were then washed three times in 0.3\% PBT (0.3\% Triton X-100) in PBS for 30-min, followed by two washes for 2 min with PBS. Fillets were mounted onto slides using Vectashield Antifade Mounting Medium with DAPI (H-1200, Vector Laboratories) for nuclear staining.

**Imaging and CTCF analysis**

nociceptors from third instar larvae fillets prepared for immunohistochemistry were imaged with a Leica TCS SP5 confocal laser scanning microscope using a 40x oil objective and a HyD detector. Z-stacks were obtained with a 0.38 μm step size, a scan format of 1024 x 1024, and using uniform acquisition settings across experimental and control samples for smart gain, laser power, zoom, frame averaging, and pinhole. Using Fiji\textsuperscript{35,36} five z-slices toward the mid-section of each nociceptor z-stack were sum projected and then cropped to remove the majority of dendritic structures and display the nociceptor soma primarily. Also, within Fiji, masks were made from these cropped sum projections that corresponded to either the nucleus, visualized by DAPI fluorescence, or the soma, visualized by GFP fluorescence, to obtain regions of interest (ROIs) specific to that portion of the cell. Any overlapping nuclei (visualized by DAPI) surrounding the nociceptor was also masked and made into an ROI which was then cleared from each soma and nuclear mask before obtaining the final ROIs used for measurement, to account for any anti-BRK fluorescence that could arise from cells close to the nociceptor. Nuclear and soma ROIs were then used to measure area and integrated density in Fiji for anti-BRK fluorescence within the cropped sum projections and corrected total cellular fluorescence (CTCF) was calculated using the following calculation described previously.\textsuperscript{38} CTCF equals integrated density minus (area of selected cells times mean fluorescence of background readings). The mean fluorescence of background was the average of three mean fluorescence measurements obtained using images of larval fillet controls that did not receive the primary antibody (anti-BRK). The CTCF for each sample/group was then averaged and a Student’s t test was applied for statistical analysis in Microsoft Excel (version 2104). The same CTCF method was used for quantifying SHN::GFP fluorescence in the nociceptor, however, three background measurements for GFP signal were taken from three ppk1.9-CD4-tdTomato control samples (instead of no primary control samples) imaged in the same session as the experimental samples and using the same confocal settings.

**Statistical analysis**

Mixed Logistic Regression (MLR) was performed to determine the predicted probability of reacting between different treatment groups in thermal probe behavioral assays. The response variable (reaction time) was compared to the explanatory variable (genotype, UV treatment) by generating a linear model and running MLR in bar graphs depicting allo-dynia and normal nociception experiments, black boxes denote fast responders (<6 seconds), gray boxes denote slow responders (6–20 seconds), and white boxes denote non-responders (>20 seconds). Whiskers indicate the standard error of the mean of at least three groups of larvae. On graphs: \( * = p < 0.05, \quad ** = p < 0.01, \quad *** = p < 0.001 \).

**Results**

Since Brk represses BMP-regulated transcription, and the BMP pathway is known to regulate injury-induced behavioral sensitization,\textsuperscript{11} we assessed whether nociceptor-specific knockdown of Brk would result in nociceptive hypersensitivity in the absence of injury. We used inverted repeat element Brk\textsuperscript{IR-1} driven by the
nociceptor-specific ppk-Gal4 driver to reduce Brk expression specifically in the nociceptor via RNA interference and verified the success of the knockdown by immunohistochemical analysis. Using an anti-BRK antibody to detect protein in fixed tissues, we observed Brk expression in the nociceptors, and a significant reduction in immunofluorescent signal in the nuclei of Brk-KD nociceptors, compared to normal controls (Figure 2).

The nocifensive responses of Brk knockdown (Brk-KD) animals were then compared with controls. Uninjured Brk-KD animals were significantly more responsive to an innocuous thermal stimulus (41°C) compared to both controls (Figure 3(a)) and therefore can be said to exhibit genetically-induced thermal allostynia. Another experiment was conducted using a second, nonoverlapping Brk inverted repeat line (BrkIR-2). Results validated initial findings, showing significant response of uninjured animals to a normally innocuous thermal stimulus (41°C), (Figure 3(b)). The sensitivity of injured larvae in which Brk was knocked down was not significantly different from that of uninjured Brk-knockdown larvae (not shown). Furthermore, uninjured Brk knockdown larvae were significantly more sensitive to a noxious thermal stimulus (45°C) than both controls, indicative of thermal hyperalgesia (Figure 3(c)).

We tested the possibility that nociceptors of larvae with altered BMP pathway activity also have altered morphology. To establish whether the observed changes in thermal nociceptive sensitivity were associated with an alteration of dendritic branching and/or dendritic length, nociceptors of Brk-KD and control animals were imaged using confocal microscopy in live animals expressing GFP in nociceptors using ppk-eGFP. Analysis detected no significant difference between the dendritic morphology of flies of control genotypes and those in which Brk was knocked down (Figure 2).

Brk repression is known to be relieved by the activator protein Shn. To determine that this transcriptional regulation system is present in the nociceptor, we used a line in which a GFP tag had been inserted in the C-terminus of the Shn reading frame using the P [acman] system. The Shn tagged GFP line was crossed with a line expressing tdTomato under the control of the pickpocket promoter in the nociceptors and progeny were imaged using confocal microscopy. CTCF analysis for determining signal over background revealed

---

**Figure 2.** Immunofluorescent visualization of Brinker protein (BRK) in Drosophila larval nociceptors in control and ppkl.9Gal4;UAS-BrkIR-1 animals. Nociceptors were visualized via ppkl.9-Gal4;UAS-mCD8- GFP (a, d, e, h, i, and l), nuclei via DAPI (b, d, f, h, j, and l), and BRK via anti-BRK primary antibody and AlexaFluor 647-linked secondary (c, d, g, h, k, and l). White outlines in c, g, and k represent nociceptor nuclear area, generated by a DAPI-staining mask in Fiji. Significant reduction in BRK immunoreactivity (via CTCF method) was observed in nociceptor nuclei (c, g, k, and m). ** represents p < 0.01, Student’s t-test.
localization of Shn within the nociceptor (Figure 4).

Nociceptors in Brk-knockdown larvae showed no significant difference in either dendritic branching or total dendritic length (Figure 6).

Since both Shn (Figure 4) and Brk (Figure 2) are expressed in the nociceptor, it might be predicted that knockdown of Shn would result not in hypersensitivity in uninjured animals, but in an inability to sensitize after injury compared to both controls, as shown by reaction to normally subthreshold temperature of 41°C. (a) Knockdown of Brinker using ppk1.9-Gal4-UAS-inverted repeat BrkIR-1 resulted in genetically-induced allodynia in the absence of injury, compared to both controls, as shown by reaction to normally subthreshold temperature of 41°C. (b) A second experiment conducted using ppk1.9-Gal4 and a non-overlapping Brk UAS-inverted repeat, BrkIR-2 showed less-pronounced but similar results. (c) Genetically induced hyperalgesia was demonstrated using a normally noxious temperature of 45°C. N = 90 for all groups. Response latencies were classified as follows: none (>20 s, white), slow (between 6 s and 20 s, gray) and fast (<6 s, black). Whiskers indicate Standard error of the mean (SEM) of at least three groups of larvae (N = 90–121). *** represents p < 0.001, * represents p < 0.05, analyzed via Fisher’s Exact test.

Figure 3. Knockdown of the repressor Brinker in nociceptors is sufficient for the formation of allodynia and hyperalgesia in uninjured animals. (a) Knockdown of Brinker using ppk1.9-Gal4-UAS-inverted repeat BrkIR-1 resulted in genetically-induced allodynia in the absence of injury, compared to both controls, as shown by reaction to normally subthreshold temperature of 41°C. (b) A second experiment conducted using ppk1.9-Gal4 and a non-overlapping Brk UAS-inverted repeat, BrkIR-2 showed less-pronounced but similar results. (c) Genetically induced hyperalgesia was demonstrated using a normally noxious temperature of 45°C. N = 90 for all groups. Response latencies were classified as follows: none (>20 s, white), slow (between 6 s and 20 s, gray) and fast (<6 s, black). Whiskers indicate Standard error of the mean (SEM) of at least three groups of larvae (N = 90–121). *** represents p < 0.001, * represents p < 0.05, analyzed via Fisher’s Exact test.

Figure 4. Transcriptional regulator Schnurri is expressed in the nociceptor. Schnurri (SHN::GFP33) expression in nociceptor was confirmed by colocalization using ppk-CD4-tdTomato expression in the nociceptor (red: a and d), DAPI indicating nuclei (blue: b and d) and SHN::GFP (green: c and d). White outline in c represents nociceptor nuclear area, generated by a DAPI-staining mask in Fiji. CTCF analysis comparing SHN::GFP fluorescence to background in tdTomato controls revealed SHN::GFP in the nuclei of nociceptors (e), and signal is also apparent in nociceptor somata, as well as in other unidentified cells (merge: d). N = 3 per group, * represents p < 0.05, Student’s t-test.

localization of Shn within the nociceptor (Figure 4). Nociceptors in Brk-knockdown larvae showed no significant difference in either dendritic branching or total dendritic length (Figure 6).
injury. This hypothesis was tested by stimulating injured Shn knockdown larvae at the highest innocuous temperature, 41°C. Knockdown of Shn expression using either ShnIR-1 (Figure 5(a)) or non-overlapping ShnIR-2 (Figure 5(b)) driven by the nociceptor-specific ppk-Gal4 driver resulted in larvae that were unable to produce injury-induced thermal allodynia, compared to both controls. To test whether this observed blockade of injury induced allodynia was the result of a general hyposensitivity to thermal stimuli, uninjured Shn knockdown larvae were also tested at the normally noxious temperature of 45°C. Uninjured animals with Shn knocked down in nociceptors using ppk1.9-Gal4-UAS-inverted repeat ShnIR-1 were not different from either control. Responses were classified as follows: none (>20 s, white), slow (between 6 s and 20 s, gray) and fast (<6 s, black). Whiskers indicate standard error of the mean (SEM) of at least three groups of larvae (N = 90–111). Results were analyzed by mixed logistic regression. *** indicates p < 0.001.

Figure 5. The transcriptional activator Schnurri (Shn) is required by the nociceptor for injury-induced allodynia. Knockdown of Shn using ppk1.9-Gal4-Shn UAS-inverted repeat (a) ShnIR-1 or (b) ShnIR-2 resulted in a failure to produce allodynia after injury, compared to both controls. Larvae were treated with either mock injury (−) or UV-injury (+), and then assayed with a thermal probe set to 41°C, 24 hours later. (c). Suppression of Shn in nociceptors does not alter sensitivity in the absence of injury. Normal nociception was assessed with a probe set to a normally noxious temperature of 45°C. Uninjured animals with Shn knocked down in nociceptors using ppk1.9-Gal4-UAS-inverted repeat ShnIR-1 were not different from either control. Responses were classified as follows: none (>20 s, white), slow (between 6 s and 20 s, gray) and fast (<6 s, black). Whiskers indicate standard error of the mean (SEM) of at least three groups of larvae (N = 90–111). Results were analyzed by mixed logistic regression. *** indicates p < 0.001.

In order to establish whether the observed changes in thermal nociceptive sensitivity in larvae with Shn knocked down were associated with an alteration of dendritic branching and/or dendritic length, nociceptors of live Shn-suppressed and control animals were imaged using confocal microscopy expressing GFP in nociceptors using ppk-eGFP. Analysis detected no significant difference between the dendritic branching of flies of control genotypes and those in which Shn was knocked down, however did detect a significant reduction in overall dendritic length (Figure 6). This suggests that the hypersensitivity observed in Shn knockdown genotypes may be, at least in part, a product of morphological changes produced by knockdown of Shn.

Discussion

Our findings indicate transcription regulators of the Dpp signaling pathway that are necessary for the control of nociceptive sensitivity. Previous studies have implicated other components of other upstream signaling pathways including Tk10 and Hh9 in the formation of nociceptor sensitization. Furthermore, epistasis studies have suggested that these pathways act in sequence and regulate the sensitization phenomenon through the TRP channels Painless and dTRPA1.8 Here, we show evidence of another level of complexity to this response, indicating that the nociceptive sensitization in class IV multidendritic sensory neurons, referred to here as nociceptors, acts through nuclear mechanisms to regulate downstream transcriptional targets.

The Brk transcriptional repressor system downstream of Dpp’s receptors and canonical intracellular
transducers is perhaps one of the best studied of all such complexes in nature. Before now, the Brk repressor had not been implicated in the formation of nociceptive sensitization, and had only been minimally studied in the nervous system. Before now, the Brk repressor had not been implicated in the formation of nociceptive sensitization, and had only been minimally studied in the nervous system. In order to investigate the mechanisms underlying nociceptive sensitization, we used the GAL4/UAS system to study this phenomenon in a tissue specific manner. The nociceptors, which extensively tile the inner surface of the Drosophila larval integument, are responsible for the avoidance response to noxious thermal and mechanical stimuli. Nociceptors express nocisensitive ion channels such as Pickpocket (Ppk), a DEG/ENaC channel necessary for the response to noxious mechanical stimuli. Drosophila Transient Receptor Potential A1 (dTRPA1) that senses thermal stimuli, and Painless (pain), a Transient Receptor Potential channel that detects both thermal and mechanical stimuli. Previous experiments have established that activity of dTRPA1 and pain are modulated by injury, but the connection to BMP signaling has yet to be detailed. This study adds to the knowledge of how transcriptional regulation controlled by BMP signaling leads to hypersensitivity.

After epidermal injury by ultraviolet light, cytokines are released from the epidermis onto underlying nociceptors and presumably trigger Dpp release, which then binds its receptors on the nociceptors. Dpp’s intracellular transducer molecules Mad and Med then enter the nucleus and there interact with Brk and Shn, with the effect of relieving repression by Brk, effectively releasing the pathway’s target genes for transcription.

When Brk expression was knocked down in nociceptors (Figure 2), we observed hypersensitivity in the absence of injury, indicating that even in the absence of canonical Dpp signaling or tissue damage, removal of Brk’s repression can cause allodynia and hyperalgesia phenotypes (Figure 3(a) and (b)). The observed hypersensitivity phenotype of Brk knockdown, coupled with our previous observations of the hyposensitivity resulting from Dpp knockdown, reflect the antagonistic relationship between Brk and Dpp, which is already known to determine expression limits during development. Nociceptor-specific knockdown of Brk was not associated with any detectable changes in dendritic morphology (Figure 6), suggesting that the observed hypersensitivity is not due to a morphogenetic effect.

When Shn, also expressed in the nociceptor (Figure 4), was knocked down in the nociceptor, we observed a failure to produce injury-induced allodynia (Figure 5(a) and (b)). This manipulation produced no significant changes to nociceptor dendritic branching, but a significant reduction in dendritic length (Figure 6). These results suggest that Shn is necessary to promote nociceptor hypersensitivity after injury, and that Shn may play a morphogenetic role in the nociceptor. Some inter-experiment differences in the responses of controls are noted (compare Figures 3 and 5), a known factor in this assay, which can be attributed to slight variation in operator technique.

The use of the UV injury model to investigate genetic involvement of the BMP signaling pathway in nociceptor sensitization represents significant clinical promise and a deeper understanding of this signaling process in nociceptors. This study implicates the nuclear steps of the BMP pathway in controlling nociceptor sensitivity, indicating a range of new targets for potential
pharmaceutical agents that could be used in the prevention and treatment of chronic pain.

Until this point, medicine has largely taken a reactive, rather than a proactive or preventative approach to chronic pain. Our current treatments, despite being effective in the short term, have failed millions of individuals and society as a whole globally due to their lack of long-term effectiveness and their detrimental side effect profiles. This data reveals the potential for developing more targeted approaches to chronic pain management, including considerations for identifying populations at risk for the development of chronic pain states, and the delivery of treatments that prevent the occurrence of this condition. Novel targets described here modulate nociceptive sensitivity of the peripheral nociceptors themselves, and their topical pharmacological manipulation may obviate the need for systemic opioid medications.
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