Deformation source revealed from leveling survey in Jigokudani valley, Tateyama volcano, Japan
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Abstract
We modeled the vertical deformation detected from a leveling survey in Jigokudani valley, Tateyama volcano, central Japan. In Jigokudani valley, uplift of 4 cm/year was previously detected during the period from 2007 to 2010 by interferometric synthetic aperture radar (InSAR). To confirm whether this inflation has continued to present day, we have conducted leveling surveys in Jigokudani valley since 2015. Most bench marks showed a subsidence of up to 5.6 cm during the 4-year period from October 2016 to September 2020, while a bench mark located at the center of the leveling route uniquely showed an uplift of 1.6 cm. We applied a dislocation source model to the deformation using a grid search method. A crack with a length of 650 m, a width of 425 m, a strike of N18° E and a dip of 67° is located at a depth of 50 m near the center of Jigokudani valley (Koya jigoku and the new fumarolic area) where higher activity has been observed recently. Closing of the crack of 59 cm yields a volume decrease of 163,000 m³. The closing direction of the crack is parallel to the line of old explosion craters (Mikurigaike and Midorigaike ponds) and corresponds to the current maximum compressive stress field in the region of the Hida Mountains, including Tateyama volcano. The deformation source of the previous period from 2007 to 2010 detected from InSAR was estimated to be at a depth of 50 m and a gas chamber was correspondingly found in an audio-frequency magnetotelluric (AMT) survey. The AMT survey also revealed that thermal fluid is accumulating from a magma chamber and the location of our crack is similar to uppermost part of the thermal fluid path. During the period from 2015 to 2016, the crack opened and the inflation stopped during the next 1 year period from 2016 to 2017. During the period from 2017 to 2020, the crack began closing, probably because of the increase in emissions of volcanic fluid or gas with the formation of a new crater at the western side of Jigokudani valley during the period from 2017 to 2018.
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Fig. 1 (Upper left) Location map of Tateyama volcano (solid triangle). (Lower right) Enlarged map around Jigokudani valley (north area of the map). Open circles are remaining old leveling bench marks set in 2015 and solid circles are new leveling bench marks renewed in 2016. Ellipses with orange dashed lines represent fumarolic areas.
Introduction
Tateyama (or Midagahara) volcano is an andesitic volcano located in the Hida Mountains, central Japan (Fig. 1). Although this volcano has not experienced magmatic eruptions in historical times, at least four phreatic eruptions have occurred over the past 10,000 years (Kobayashi 1980). The last phreatic eruption is presumed to have occurred in 1836 (Nakano and Ito 1998). Such eruptions have created many craters, including Mikurigaike and Midorigaike ponds, with a WNW–ESE orientation. Currently, fumarolic activity is continuing in Jigokudani valley or “Jigokudani”, meaning “hell valley” in Japanese, which was formed over approximately 40,000 years (Harayama et al. 2000). The fumarolic activity became violent in 2011, making the region around Jigokudani valley a keep-out area (Japan Meteorological Agency 2013). Before that, ground uplift of up to 4 cm/year was detected by interferometric synthetic aperture radar (InSAR) during the period from 2007 to 2010 (Kobayashi 2018). The deformation was revealed to have been caused by inflation of the deformation source at a depth of approximately 50 m from the surface (Kobayashi 2018). The deformation source was interpreted to correspond to the shallow gas chamber detected from the audio-frequency magnetotelluric (AMT) survey, which was found at a depth of approximately 50 m beneath Jigokudani valley (Seki et al. 2016). The AMT survey also revealed that thermal fluid is accumulating from a magma chamber at a depth of approximately 4 km, which was estimated from a low-velocity seismic zone (Matsumoto et al. 2000) toward the shallow gas chamber. After 2011 when the fumarolic activity level increased, significant deformation was no longer detected from InSAR due to accuracy limitations. To confirm whether this inflation has continued to the present, we have conducted leveling surveys in Jigokudani valley since 2015. Since admittance to the valley is prohibited owing to the presence of volcanic gas, as mentioned above, we obtained permission from the Ministry of the Environment and used gas masks and protective goggles to ensure safety while conducting the surveys. The leveling surveys have been repeated every year and uplift or subsidence of several centimeters has been detected around active fumarolic areas of Hyakusho jigoku, Koya jigoku and the new fumarolic area (Fig. 1).

In the present study, we model and interpret vertical deformation during the period from 2016 to 2020 detected from leveling surveys. Then, we investigate and discuss the temporal change in inflation/deflation based on obtained source and previous leveling data, which has accumulated every year since 2015.

Observation
Leveling surveys in Jigokudani valley have been conducted every year since 2015 by the University of Toyama. The leveling route in Jigokudani valley was set in 2015, but was renewed in 2016 because some benchmarks were lost due to corrosion by volcanic gases. The locations of benchmarks are shown in Fig. 1. We used Leica GFL-4S leveling staffs and a Leica Sprinter 250M auto level. To avoid seasonal changes in leveling data, the leveling surveys were conducted in autumn (September or October) every year. We assumed the relative height of each benchmark and observation error with respect to BM. 1 using the least squares method of net adjustment.

The change in relative height with respect to BM. 1 for each benchmark after 2016 is shown in Fig. 2. Observation errors are less than 0.21 cm. Koya jigoku and the new fumarolic area (BM. 7–11) showed slight uplift during the period from 2016 to 2017, which subsequently turned into continuous subsidence. Hyakusho jigoku (BM. 14–20) showed continuous subsidence. The subsidence rates for both areas are not constant, but instead vary every year. Between these areas in Kajiya jigoku (especially BM. 12), continuous uplift was observed, except for the period from 2017 to 2018. Since BM. 2 showed abnormal subsidence that was different from other nearby benchmarks, probably due to the collapse of a concrete block where the benchmark is located, we excluded the data for this benchmark in the following analysis.

Analysis and results
Deformation source and residual evaluation
The relative vertical deformation with respect to BM. 1 during the period from October 2016 to September 2020 is shown in Fig. 2. Most benchmarks showed subsidence of up to 5.6 cm during the 4 year period.
BM. 12, which is located at the center of the leveling route, showed an uplift of 1.6 cm, unlike the other benchmarks. The characteristics of the vertical deformation indicate a closing crack, i.e., both sides of the crack subside, whereas the area directly above the crack uplift. Therefore, we applied a dislocation source model (Okada 1992) to the detected vertical deformation. We used the weighted residual sum of squares (WRSS) to evaluate the residual, which is defined as:

\[
\text{WRSS} = \sum_i \left( \frac{U_{i}^{\text{obs}} - U_{i}^{\text{cal}}}{\sigma U_{i}^{\text{obs}}} \right)^2,
\]

where \(U_{i}^{\text{obs}}\) and \(U_{i}^{\text{cal}}\) are, respectively, the observed and calculated vertical displacement at BM. \(i\) with respect to BM. 1; and \(\sigma U_{i}^{\text{obs}}\) is the observation error at BM. \(i\). Since the Poisson's ratio of the ground for Jigokudani is currently unknown, we considered five values of 0.05, 0.15, 0.25, 0.35 and 0.45 (according to Gercek (2007), Poisson’s ratio for most rocks ranges from 0.05 to 0.45). Assuming the surface to have a flat topography (we ignored topographical effects because the elevation difference within the leveling route is at most 26 m and can be approximated as flat), we searched for the optimal combination of north–south and west–east locations, depth, dip, strike, length, width and opening of the dislocation source model that minimize the WRSS value by a grid search method for each value of Poisson's ratio. We set the range and step of the grid for each parameter shown in Table 1.

### Results

The minimum WRSS values for Poisson’s ratios of 0.05, 0.15, 0.25, 0.35 and 0.45 are 178.50, 196.51, 259.15, 175.08 and 137.47, respectively. Therefore, we selected the combination of the optimal values for a Poisson’s ratio of 0.45. The obtained optimal values are shown in Table 1; and the location of the crack and a comparison of the observed and calculated vertical deformation are shown in Fig. 3. A crack with a length of 650 m, a width of 425 m and a dip of 67° is located at a depth of 50 m near Koya jigoku and the new fumarolic area, which has recently become highly activated. The strike of the crack is N18° E. The closing of the crack of 59 cm yields a volume decrease of 163,000 m³. The observed vertical deformation can be well explained by the obtained crack closure.

### Discussion

The obtained crack is striking perpendicular to the line of Mikurigaike and Midorigaike lakes, which are old explosion craters. The compressive stress field in the WNW–ESE direction in this region (Mikumo et al. 1988) is accord with the alignment of explosion craters, such as the Mikurigaike and Midorigaike ponds. This direction is consistent with the crack closing direction. This compressive field might cause crack opening/closing in the WNW–ESE direction and the crack might be filled with

---

**Table 1** Search range and step for the model parameters and their optimal values with uncertainties (square brackets) for a Poisson's ratio of 0.45

| Parameter         | Range      | Step  | Optimal value |
|-------------------|------------|-------|---------------|
| N–S location      | −1000–0 m  | 25 m  | −325 [−325,− 325] m |
| E–W location      | −1000–0 m  | 25 m  | −650 [−650,− 650] m |
| Depth from the surface | 0–500 m   | 25 m  | 50 [50, 75] m |
| Length            | 25–1000 m  | 25 m  | 650 [625, 675] m |
| Width             | 25–1000 m  | 25 m  | 425 [150, 1000] m |
| Dip               | 0–90°      | 1°    | 67 [61, 72]° |
| Strike            | N0–359° E  | 1°    | N18 [17, 19]° E |
| Opening           | −500–500 cm| 1 cm  | −59 [−47 to − 43] cm |

The origin of the horizontal coordinate is BM. 1 (137.597735° E, 36.58748187° N). The uncertainties are the 99% confidence intervals estimated from an F-test (Árnadóttir and Segall 1994). The position is at the center of the top edge of the fault. The dip is clockwise from the horizontal, and the strike is clockwise from the north.

---

**Fig. 3** Location of the obtained dislocation source (rectangle with brown line) and a comparison of the observed (black vector) and calculated (white vector) vertical displacements with respect to BM. 1 (solid star). Error ellipses are 3σ. The thick line of the brown rectangle represents the top of the obtained dislocation source. The rectangle with a navy-blue dashed line represents the estimated sill from InSAR in 2007–2010 reported by Kobayashi (2018). The ellipse with orange dashed line represents Yahata jigoku, where a new crater was formed between 2017 and 2018.
volcanic fluid or gas. The crack closed by 59 cm in total during the period from 2016 to 2020 due to recent active fumarole activities.

During the previous period from 2007 to 2010, a deformation source was found at a depth of 50 m using InSAR (Kobayashi 2018). The deformation source was interpreted to correspond to a gas chamber, which was found from AMT at a similar depth and location (Seki et al. 2016). The obtained crack, however, cannot explain the past inflation during 2007–2010 detected by InSAR. Opening of the crack causes ground uplift not only in the western side of the crack (inside Jigokudani where uplift was detected in 2007–2010), but also in the eastern side. The source obtained from a previous deformation is a sill at a depth of 50 m. The estimated crack lies at deeper depths from 50 to 464 m (50 [m] + 450 [m] sin 67°). This might be because the inflation/deflation source during 2007–2010 and 2016–2020 is different. The sill identified by InSAR in 2007–2010 was interpreted as the shallow gas chamber found from the AMT survey by Seki et al. (2016) (Kobayashi 2018). The AMT survey also revealed that thermal fluid is accumulating from a magma chamber at a depth of approximately 4 km, indicated by the presence of a low-velocity seismic zone (Matsubara et al. 2000) toward the shallow gas chamber. The location of the crack is similar to the uppermost part of the thermal fluid path. The current deformation of Jigokudani may be caused by the fluid path being deeper from 2007 to 2010.

We applied the obtained crack to the previous vertical deformation for 1-year periods to investigate the temporal change in crack opening. The cumulative opening during the period from 2015 to 2020 is shown in Fig. 4a. The data for each 1-year period can be explained within a difference of approximately 1 cm by the estimated opening/closing (Fig. 4b). The crack opened (i.e., inflated) 9 cm during the period from 2015 to 2016. Opening of the crack stopped during the next 1-year period from 2016 to 2017. Then the crack started closing between 2017 and 2018. The crack has continued closing (i.e., deflation) since then although the rate of closure varies from 2 to 33 cm/year. This deflation might be caused by an increase in fumarole activity due to the formation of a new crater at Yahata jigoku (northwest of BM. 17 and 18; Fig. 1) during the period from 2017 to 2018, and thus emission of volcanic fluid or gas may have increased since then. As a result, the crack, which may correspond to the uppermost part of the thermal fluid path and inflated until 2016, began deflating between 2017 and 2018.

In the present study, we used the simple elastic model for the dislocation source reported by Okada (1992). However, plasticity or poroelasticity should be taken into account for more realistic modeling (e.g., Currenti et al. 2010). In addition, we used leveling data with only one component of the three-component displacement. We started GPS observations in Jigokudani valley in 2020. Three-dimensional displacement of GPS data will be taken into account in a future study.

**Conclusions**

From leveling surveys in Jigokudani valley, which have been conducted every year since 2015, uplift/subsidence of up to 3 cm per year was detected. A crack was identified at a depth of 50 m from the surface near Koya jigoku and the new fumarolic area where fumarolic activity is increasing. The crack may correspond to the uppermost part of the thermal fluid path. Due to emission of volcanic gas or fluid by violent fumarolic activity, the uppermost part of the thermal fluid path might have started deflating between 2017 and 2018 when a new crater was formed and continued until at least 2020, causing an increase in activity.
Abbreviations
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