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Abstract: A comparative study between two solutions which they usually work as a working pairs in pumpless absorption cooling system is achieved analytically with aid of ESS (Engineering Equation Solver) 9.43 software. The pump in this system is replaced by a vertical pipe (lift tube) to ensure the pressure difference between the condenser and the evaporator. These working pairs are Lithium Bromide-Water and Lithium Chloride-Water. The study intends Iraqi summer weather as an ambient circumstances. A thermodynamics model is designed to find the energy and Exergy loss in each of system components. By solving the thermodynamic model, and assuming the same generator, absorber, condenser and evaporator temperatures in each case. It's found that the system coefficient of performance is relatively the same while the probability of agglomeration in case of Lithium Bromide-Water system is higher because of the large salt concentration. It's concluded that the Lithium Chloride-Water is most suitable in Iraqi circumstances in spite of high values of total exergy loss which is obtained in case of Lithium Chloride-Water system if it is compared with the exergy loss value in case of Lithium Bromide-Water system.
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1. Introduction
The cooling energy demand in Iraq particularly and all over the world generally is increased rapidly. International Institute of Refrigeration reports that approximately 15% of the total electric power generated in the world consumes by refrigeration and air conditioning systems [1]. Kumar et al. 2017 [2] deduced that (70% - 75%) house hold electrical energy is consumed by air conditioning system. This occurs due to the population growth, climate changes as well as the increase in standard living. All these pervious combined factors as well as the observed deficiency in electric power supply in Iraq particularly make the solar cooling is a perfect, economical, and clean solution which is able to solve such this big problem. Pumpless absorption system or (heat driven system) is a technique in which a vertical pipe (lift tube) is used to circulate solution through the system and to ensure pressure drop between evaporator and condenser, which makes this system more economical than other solar cooling systems. The absence of pump in these systems makes it more silent than other traditional solar absorption systems [3]. The system consists of different components: generator vessel which...
appendixes by lift tube and separator, condenser, capillary tube, absorber, solution heat exchanger and evaporator. If single lift tube is used the system will be more reliable for residential applications, for large scale applications a multiple lift tube systems must be used[4]. In case of the working pairs under consideration water works as a refrigerant, which makes the evaporator temperature in these systems limits to 5°C ultimately[5]. Lithium Chloride-water or Lithium Bromide-water solutions are the absorbent. The cycle events in this system start when the solar radiation converts by a solar concentrator (usually parabolic through collector is used) to thermal energy stored in a water storage tank. The hot water flows to generator and the weak Lithium chloride-water or Lithium Bromide-water solutions evaporates there. The refrigerant pushes the strong LiCl-H$_2$O or LiBr-H$_2$O solutions and the flow is considered as two phase flow through the lift tube. The separator separates these two phases. The water vapor condenses in the condenser while the strong solution flows to absorber through a heat exchanger to recover heat to the incoming weak solution. The condensed water then flows to evaporator through the capillary tube. The refrigerant evaporates in the evaporator, and the water vapor passes to the absorber where it is absorbed by the strong solution and the cycle will be repeated as the weak solution enters the generator vessel. The early studies of this type of cooling started during the mid of 17th century by William Cullen and Nariño’s attempt who they produced a small quantity of ice by using diethyl ether [6]. John Leslie 1810 used sulphuric acid and water vapor to produce cooling, to chill wine. In their technique sulphuric acid worked as an absorbent and water vapor as a refrigerant [7]. A periodic sulphuric acid recharging was necessary for continuous cooling. An ammonia and silver chloride pair was used by Michael Faraday 1824[8] to produce cooling. Faraday used silver chloride as absorbent while ammonia was the refrigerant. Significant developments in absorption cooling were continued later, although these previous two methods were considered as the principle of absorption cooling technique. Recently many studies that deal with solar absorption cooling are achieved. Some of these researches are experimental and aim to find the optimum design of each part in the system which ensure the best heat and mass transfer, invent hybrid cycles, and using of new working pairs. [9,10]. Perez, 1984[11] found that the performance of the absorption cooling systems is strongly affected by the thermo physical properties of working pairs. Horuz 1998 [12] compared the using of H$_2$O-NH$_3$ and LiBr-H$_2$O solution as a working pairs in absorption cooling system in term of system COP, cooling capacity, maximum and minimum system pressure. It was found that H$_2$O-NH$_3$ provided a better performance. Jeong et al. 1998 [13] produced a dynamic simulations of an absorption cooling systems. It was assumed that the mass of solution storage in the vessels, the thermal capacity heat storage, and the solution and vapor flow rates were calculated according to the differences in pressure between vessels. Recently, to improve the system COP double effect systems are used. Extra components add which are operated at high pressure like: a generator, a condenser, and a heat exchanger. She et al. 2015 [14] proposed hybrid double effect system. The working pair used was LiCl-H$_2$O on the high pressure region and LiBr-H$_2$O on the low pressure region because of the higher vapor pressure of LiCl-H$_2$O if it compared with LiBr-H$_2$O vapor pressure. Gogoi and Konwar 2016[15] compared LiCl-H$_2$O and LiBr-H$_2$O systems for same operating conditions. They
proved LiCl-H$_2$O system recorded higher COP value due to the thermodynamics properties of LiCl-H$_2$O. Bellos et al. 2017 [16] used LiCl-H$_2$O as a working pair for a double effect solar absorption cooling system. It was found 8% more cooling can be achieved if it compared with a LiBr-H$_2$O system at same circumstances. Kumar et al. 2017 [17] designed and fabricated a 0.5TR Lithium bromide-water vapor absorption system. They supplied solar energy to system through solar panel. Their system consisted of a generator, Absorber, Condenser, evaporator and pump. They considered that their system can be used for air-conditioning or food protection in offices, schools, or any other place where it in use through sun rise only. Singh and Verma 2019[18] used artificial neural networks ANN to analyze LiCl-H$_2$O absorption cooling system. They deduced that their analysis made less than 1% as a maximum difference between predicted and experimental results. In this study a thermodynamics analysis of pumpless absorption cooling system is achieved to compare LiCl-H$_2$O and LiBr-H$_2$O working pairs according to Iraqi weather.

By assuming a steady state operation, and the components are perfectly insulated and they are worked at constant temperature. The generator temperature is assumed to be 75°C, the condenser and the absorber are water cooled to record a temperature of 35°C, and the evaporator is in 7°C. The refrigerant (water vapor) that leaves the condenser and the evaporator considered as saturated liquid and saturated vapor respectively. The two phase flow regime in lift tube is considered as slug flow pattern in this analysis. From the mass conservation equation for whole system as in "Fig.1".

\[
\sum m_{in} - \sum m_{out} = 0 \tag{1}
\]

\[
m_1 = m_5 \tag{2}
\]

\[
m_2 = m_6 = m_7 = m_8 \tag{3}
\]

\[
m_1 - m_2 = m_3 = m_4 \tag{4}
\]

From energy conversation equation:

\[
\sum Q = \sum m_{out} h_{out} - \sum m_{in} h_{in} + W \tag{5}
\]

If the generator and its appendixes are assumed as a control volume then "(5)" will be:

\[
Q_G = m_2 h_2 + m_3 h_3 - m_1 h_1 \tag{6}
\]

\[
h_1 = h_{ws}(T_1, X_{ws}) \tag{7}
\]

\[
h_2 = h_{\text{super heated}}(T_2, P_{\text{con.}}) \tag{8}
\]

\[
T_1 = T_3 \left[ \frac{m_{ss}}{m_{ws}} \right] \eta_{HE} + T_5 \left[ 1 - \frac{m_{ss}}{m_{ws}} \right] \eta_{HE} \tag{9}
\]

Figure 1. Schematic Diagram of pumpless Absorption Cooling System
If the energy balance equation applied for a solution heat exchanger as a control volume:

\[ T_3 = T_{ss}(P_{con}, X_{ss}) \]  
(10)

The ratio of strong solution to refrigerant flow rates is called the lifting ratio (\( \lambda \)). It is calculated as:

\[ \lambda = \frac{m_3}{m_2} \]  
(11)

If the condenser is taken as a control volume and by applying energy balance equation then:

\[ Q_{con} = m_6(h_6 - h_2) \]  
(12)

\[ h_6 = h_{sat.liquid}(T_6) \]  
(13)

If the evaporator is taken as a control volume and by applying energy balance equation then:

\[ Q_{eva.} = m_8(h_8 - h_7) \]  
(14)

For an isenthalpic expansion through the capillary tube then:

\[ h_7 = h_6 \]  
(15)

\[ h_8 = h_{sat.vapor}(T_8) \]  
(16)

Absorber heat rejected can be calculated as:

\[ Q_{abs.} = -m_{ws}h_5 + m_s h_4 + m_{ref}h_8 \]  
(17)

If the heat gains by weak solution is assumed exactly the same as the heat rejects from strong solution in solution heat exchanger then:

\[ h_4 = h_3 - \frac{m_1}{m_3}(h_1 - h_5) \]  
(18)

\[ h_5 = h_{ws}(T_5, X_{ws}) \]  
(19)

\[ T_4 = T_3 - \eta_{HE}(T_3 - T_5) \]  
(20)

System coefficient of performance is calculated by:

\[ COP = \frac{Q_{eva.}}{Q_a} \]  
(21)

2.1 Exergy Analysis

Exergy or (availability) defined as the possible work that can be extracted from a given physical system if it is allowed to reach to the ambient conditions at \( P_0, T_0 \) at the end state[19]. For steady state system, the exergy balance in a control volume can be calculated by [20]:

\[ \Psi_{i} = \sum (m \varphi)_{in} - \sum (m \varphi)_{out} + \sum Q \left( 1 - \frac{T_o}{T} \right)_{in} - Q \left( 1 - \frac{T_o}{T} \right)_{out} + \sum W \]  
(22)

Specific exergy in each node or state in "Fig. 1" given by[20]:

\[ \varphi = (h - h_0) - T_0 (s - s_0) \]  
(23)

If "(22)" is applied at each component in the system under study the following set of equations are obtained:

\[ \Psi_{G} = m_1 \varphi_1 - m_2 \varphi_2 - m_3 \varphi_3 + Q_g \left( 1 - \frac{T_o}{T} \right) \]  
(24)
\[ \Psi_{\text{con}} = m_2(\varphi_2 - \varphi_6) - Q_{\text{con}} \left(1 - \frac{T_o}{T_6}\right) \]  
(25)

\[ \Psi_{\text{abs.}} = m_4\varphi_4 + m_8\varphi_8 - m_5\varphi_5 - Q_{\text{abs.}} \left(1 - \frac{T_o}{T_5}\right) \]  
(26)

\[ \Psi_{\text{eva.}} = m_8(\varphi_7 - \varphi_8) + Q_{\text{eva.}} \left(1 - \frac{T_o}{T_7}\right) \]  
(27)

\[ \Psi_{E_\text{V}} = m_7(\varphi_6 - \varphi_7) \]  
(28)

\[ \Psi_{\text{sol.HE}} = m_3\varphi_3 + m_5\varphi_5 - m_1\varphi_1 - m_4\varphi_4 \]  
(29)

Nondimensional Exergy loss(\(\theta\)) = \[\frac{\Psi_i}{\Sigma\Psi_i}\]  
(30)

For solution head \(l\) in the lift tube of length \(L\) Aman et al suggested a ratio of \(\frac{l}{L}\) as [22]:

\[ \frac{l}{L} = \left[1 - \alpha\right] \left[1 + \frac{f}{\alpha} \left(V'g + V'L\right)^2\right] \]  
(37)

Where \(f\) is calculated by knowing the solution Reynolds number as given by Reinemann et al [23]:

\[ f = \frac{0.316}{\text{Re}^{0.25}} \]  
(38)

\[ Q_G = m_g h_{fg} \]  
(39)

\[ h_{fg} = \text{heat of vaporization at } T_G \]  
(40)

### 2.2 Flow Analysis through the Lift Tube

For a slug flow pattern through the lift tube the void fraction is calculated by the relation given by Nicklin[21] as:

\[ \alpha = \frac{V'g}{C_0\left[V'L + V'g\right] + V'b} \]  
(31)

\(C_0 = 1.2\) for fully turbulent flow, or \(C_0 = 2\) in case of laminar flow.

\[ V'g = \frac{V_g}{A(gd)^{0.5}} \]  
(32)

\[ V'L = \frac{V_L}{A(gd)^{0.5}} \]  
(33)

\[ V' = \frac{m'}{\rho} \]  
(34)

\[ v'b = 0.352 \left[1 - 3.18 \Sigma -14.77 \Sigma^2\right] 2 \]  
(35)

\[ \Sigma = \sum \] is surface tension number which calculated as:

\[ \Sigma = \frac{\sigma}{\rho gd^2} \]  
(36)

2.3 Ideal System Coefficient of Performance

COPI is defined by Dalichaouch [24] as the Ideal system coefficient of performance and it’s calculated as:

\[ \text{COPI} = \left(\frac{T_{eva}}{T_e - T_{eva}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{T_o}{T_G}\right) \]  
(41)

The second law efficiency is defined as the ratio of the real to ideal coefficient of performance and given by Ezzine [25] as:

\[ \eta_{2nd\ law} = \frac{\text{COP}_{\text{COPI}}}{\text{COP}} \]  
(42)

### 3. Results and Discussions

The results in “Table 1” and “Table 2” are obtained by considering ESS 9.43 software data in order to find the thermodynamics properties of LiBr-H\(2\)O, LiCl-H\(2\)O solutions and water
vapor, and by solving the above thermodynamic model. The efficiency of the solution heat exchanger is assumed to be 80%, the lift tube length and diameter are 0.5 m and 10 mm respectively, and \( \frac{L}{L} \) ratio is 0.8. For the same generator, condenser, absorber, and evaporator temperatures of (70, 35, 35, and 7)°C respectively, it can be noted in “Table 1” Lithium chloride-water solution works at less weak and strong solution concentrations. This makes the probability of agglomeration (crystallization) of LiCl-H₂O solution highly lower than LiBr-H₂O solution. Since the solution concentration is a function of solution temperature and pressure and for a constant pressure there’s a noted increase in solution concentration will be accrue as the temperature increases, and according to Iraqi summer weather it is expected that the generator’s temperature reaches higher than 70°C so it is being clear that LiBr-H₂O solution is unfavorable in Iraqi circumstances. It can be observed also for the same generator temperature the LiCl-H₂O solution operate at higher heat and as the generator heat increases it

| state                  | T(°C) | m (g/s) | X%    | h(kJ/kg) | s(kJ/kg.K) |
|------------------------|-------|---------|-------|----------|------------|
| LiCl-H₂O               | 75    | 0.324   | 0.2211| 100(WV)  | 2640       |
| LiBr-H₂O               | 75    | 0.2211  | 0.324 | 100(WV)  | 2640       |
| generator exit (2)     |       |         |       |          |            |
| condenser exit (6)     | 35    | 0.324   | 0.2211| 100(WV)  | 146.6      |
| evaporator inlet (7)   | 7     | 0.324   | 0.2211| 100(WV)  | 29.42      |
| evaporator exit (8)    | 7     | 0.324   | 0.2211| 100(WV)  | 2513       |
| absorber exit (5)      | 35    | 10.49   | 12.5  | 54.08(WS)| 154.9      |
| LiCl-H₂O               | 75    | 0.2211  | 0.324 | 100(WV)  | 8.582      |
| LiBr-H₂O               | 75    | 0.324   | 0.2211| 100(WV)  | 8.582      |
| LiCl-H₂O               | 35    | 0.324   | 0.2211| 100(WV)  | 0.505      |
| LiBr-H₂O               | 35    | 0.2211  | 0.324 | 100(WV)  | 0.505      |
| LiCl-H₂O               | 146.6 | 100(WV) | 0.505 |          |            |
| LiBr-H₂O               | 146.6 | 100(WV) | 0.3087|          |            |
| LiCl-H₂O               | 29.42 | 100(WV) | 0.1063|          |            |
| LiBr-H₂O               | 29.42 | 100(WV) | 0.3087|          |            |
| LiCl-H₂O               | 2513  | 100(WV) | 8.973 |          |            |
| LiBr-H₂O               | 2513  | 100(WV) | 8.973 |          |            |
| LiCl-H₂O               | 8.973 | 100(WV) | 0.3087|          |            |
| LiBr-H₂O               | 8.973 | 100(WV) | 0.2184|          |            |

Table (1). Thermodynamics properties of LiBr-H₂O, LiCl-H₂O solutions and water.
4. Conclusions

1. The efficiency of lift tube in pump less absorption solar cooling systems is highly affected by working pair thermodynamics properties.

2. For the same system components temperature the LiCl-H$_2$O solution is more efficient than LiBr-H$_2$O solution in pump less absorption solar cooling systems for many reasons:
   a) Probability of solution agglomeration in LiBr-H$_2$O system.
b) A higher generator heat obtained in case of LiCl-H₂O.

c) A lower lifting ratio in LiCl-H₂O which means a higher refrigerant flow rate can be achieved which increases the cooling capacity.

3. The exergy loss in LiCl-H₂O system is higher if it compared with the values in LiBr-H₂O system.

5. Acknowledgements
This work is made by the support of Faculty Of Engineering, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq.

6. Abbreviations

| Symbol | Definition                                                                 |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A      | Surface area                                                                |
| COP    | Coefficient of performance                                                  |
| COPI   | Reversible coefficient of performance                                       |
| d      | Lift tube diameter                                                          |
| f      | Friction factor in lift tube                                                |
| g      | Gravitational acceleration                                                  |
| h      | Specific enthalpy                                                           |
| L      | Lift tube length                                                            |
| l      | Solution height in lift tube                                                |
| LiBr   | Lithium bromide                                                             |
| LiCl   | Lithium Chloride                                                            |
| m      | Mass flow rate                                                              |
| p      | Pressure                                                                    |
| Q      | Energy (heat transfer rate)                                                 |
| r      | Radius                                                                      |
| Re     | Reynolds number                                                             |
| s      | Specific Entropy                                                            |
| T      | Temperature                                                                 |
| V      | Volumetric flow rate                                                        |
| V'     | Non-dimensional volumetric flow rate.                                       |
| W      | Work                                                                        |
| X      | Solution concentration percentage                                           |

6.1 Greek letters

| Symbol | Definition                                                                 |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| α      | Void fraction                                                              |
| β      | Nondimensional availability l                                               |
| η      | Efficiency                                                                  |
| ρ      | Density                                                                     |
| σ      | Surface tension                                                            |
| φ      | Specific availability                                                     |
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