Phosphorylation and nuclear transit modulate the balance between normal function and terminal aggregation of the yeast RNA-binding protein Ssd1
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ABSTRACT Yeast Ssd1 is an RNA-binding protein that shuttles between the nucleus and cytoplasm. Ssd1 interacts with its target mRNAs initially during transcription by binding through its N-terminal prion-like domain (PLD) to the C-terminal domain of RNA polymerase II. Ssd1 subsequently targets mRNAs acquired in the nucleus either to daughter cells for translation or to stress granules (SGs) and P-bodies (PBs) for mRNA storage or decay. Here we show that PB components assist in the nuclear export of Ssd1 and subsequent targeting of Ssd1 to PB sites in the cytoplasm. In the absence of import into the nucleus, Ssd1 fails to associate with PBs in the cytoplasm but rather is targeted to cytosolic insoluble protein deposits (IPODs). The association of Ssd1 either with IPOD sites or with PB/SG requires the PLD, whose activity is differentially regulated by the Ndr/LATS family kinase, Cbk1: phosphorylation suppresses PB/SG association but enhances IPOD formation. This regulation likely accrues from a phosphorylation-sensitive nuclear localization sequence located in the PLD. The results presented here may inform our understanding of aggregate formation by RBP in certain neurological diseases.

INTRODUCTION Many proteins in the eukaryotic cell are targeted to specific subcellular compartments, and this targeting is often critical for the execution of the proteins’ functions and for survival of the cell. Organelles, such as mitochondria, Golgi, and secretory vesicles, are separated from the cytoplasm by a closed intracellular membrane. However, other distinct cellular structures are not bounded by membranes but comprise specific cytoplasmic complexes of discrete proteins and RNAs. The best studied of these are processing bodies, or P-bodies (PBs), and stress granules (SGs) (Anderson and Kedersha, 2009; Decker and Parker, 2012). PBs are conserved from yeast to mammals and contain a core of proteins consisting of the mRNA decapping machinery, including the decapping enzyme Dcp1/Dcp2 and the activators of decapping Dhh1, Scd6, and Edc3, as well as mRNAs (Eulalio et al., 2007; Decker and Parker, 2012; Jain and Parker, 2013). These components function in both translation repression and mRNA degradation and compete with the assembly of translational factors (Bhattacharyya et al., 2006; Buchan, 2014). PBs are present in cells during normal growth but increase in number in cells subjected to stress, such as nutrient deprivation, heat shock, or other events that lead to a reduction in translation initiation. SGs are present only in cells subjected to stress or in which translational initiation has been abrogated. Their composition overlaps that of PBs but in addition includes translation initiation factors, poly(A) RBP, and the 4OS ribosomal subunit (Decker and Parker, 2012).

PBs are conserved from yeast to mammals and contain a core of proteins consisting of the mRNA decapping machinery, including the decapping enzyme Dcp1/Dcp2 and the activators of decapping Dhh1, Scd6, and Edc3, as well as mRNAs (Eulalio et al., 2007; Decker and Parker, 2012; Jain and Parker, 2013). These components function in both translation repression and mRNA degradation and compete with the assembly of translational factors (Bhattacharyya et al., 2006; Buchan, 2014). PBs are present in cells during normal growth but increase in number in cells subjected to stress, such as nutrient deprivation, heat shock, or other events that lead to a reduction in translation initiation. SGs are present only in cells subjected to stress or in which translational initiation has been abrogated. Their composition overlaps that of PBs but in addition includes translation initiation factors, poly(A) RBP, and the 4OS ribosomal subunit (Decker and Parker, 2012).

Both PBs and SGs are dynamic structures whose components rapidly exchange with their cytoplasmic counterparts. Recent evidence suggests that they may be liquid phase droplets that
form as a result of a phase transition process driven by weak multivalent interactions among the components of the aggregates (Weber and Brangwynne, 2012). Both low complexity sequences, such as prion-like domains (PLDs), and RNA components of these aggregates contribute to the multivalent interactions, and the nature and extent of these components can dictate the location and physical properties of the aggregates (Guo and Shorter, 2015; Zhang et al., 2015). Consistent with this observation, RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) comprise a significant proportion of these aggregates and such proteins often encompass a PLD. Moreover, a disproportionate number of such RBPs are associated with pathogenic aggregates in a variety of neurodegenerative diseases, such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and Parkinson’s disease (Ramawasmy et al., 2013).

More recent observations have added to the number of distinct nonmembrane bound cytoplasmic compartments. Narayanaswamy et al. (2009) showed that a large fraction of normally soluble metabolic enzymes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae forms discrete aggregates in the cytoplasm upon nitrogen starvation, and Shah et al. (2014) showed that a significant number of kinases in Saccharomyces cerevisiae form cytoplasmic aggregates upon transition into stationary phase. Some of these aggregates overlap with PBs and SGs, but others comprise different distinct foci. Like SGs, these aggregates disperse upon the cells’ return to normal growth.

Although the nonmembrane bound cytoplasmic compartments described above serve at least in part as transitory storage sites for mRNAs and proteins to be reused after cessation of stress, several discrete sites serve as cellular deposition sites for misfolded proteins that arise from proteotoxic stress. In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, several such sequestration sites exist: insoluble protein deposits (IPDs), intranuclear or juxtanuclear quality control compartments (INQs/JUNQs), and aggregates and cytoplasmic Q-bodies (CytoQs) (Kaganovich et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009; Miller et al., 2015; Sin and Nollen, 2015). They are distinguished by their location in the cell, with IPDs located adjacent to the vacuole, INQs in the nucleus adjacent to the nucleolus, and CytoQs as dispersed aggregates in the cytoplasm. The sites are also distinguished by the type of misfolded proteins and the specific chaperones associated with them. For instance, IPDs preferentially form from amyloidogenic proteins, such as prions, and recruit the chaperone system consisting of the Hsp70 member, Hsp104, and specifically the Hsp40 member, Sis1 (Miller et al., 2015). Aggresomes are specific sites at the spindle pole body, forming only upon expression of human huntingtin exon 1 with an expanded polyglutamine domain. What targets RBPs to their deposition sites versus the ribonucleoprotein (RNP) granules described above is not clear. Here we show that nuclear import and PLD phosphorylation combine to specify to which cytoplasmic particle the yeast RBP Ssd1 localizes.

Ssd1 is a nucleocytoplasmic shuttling protein with multiple functions in the life cycle of its bound mRNAs, particularly those that are destined for polarized localization and translation (Kurischko et al., 2011b). Ssd1 contains a single canonical nuclear localization signal (NLS), and once Ssd1 enters the nucleus it binds through its RNA-binding domain (RBD) to a set of ∼50 mRNAs, a majority of which encode cell wall proteins. Ssd1 exports these mRNAs out of the nucleus and delivers them to sites of polarized growth (Figure 1A; Uesono et al., 1997; Hogan et al., 2008; Jansen et al., 2009; Kurischko et al., 2011a,b; Mitchell et al., 2013). Upon stress, Ssd1 and its bound mRNAs localize to SGs and PBs likely through direct interaction between Ssd1 and components of PBs and SGs (Tarassov et al., 2008; Kurischko et al., 2011a; Richardson et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2014). Ssd1 is the essential substrate of Cbk1, a highly conserved tumor suppressor Ndr/LATS kinase. Deletion of CBK1 or elimination of the phosphorylation sites on Ssd1 targets it and its bound mRNAs irreversibly to PBs and is lethal (Jansen et al., 2009; Kurischko et al., 2011a). Here we show that PB/SG components play a role in the nuclear export of Ssd1, indicating that interaction between Ssd1 and PB components occurs initially in the nucleus. Moreover, in the absence of association with these components in the nucleus, Ssd1 is targeted to IPODs. Both the association with PB/SG and with IPODs requires the N-terminal PLDs and these associations are inversely affected by Ssd1’s phosphorylation status (Figure 1B). Similar processes may contribute to aggregate formation of RBPs in certain neurological diseases such as ALS (Ramawasmy et al., 2013).

**RESULTS**

**P-body and stress granule localization of yeast Ssd1 depends on its PLDs**

PLDs potentiate protein–protein interactions often as a means of directing proteins to their appropriate functional sites within a cell (Hennig et al., 2015; March et al., 2016).
The N-terminus of Ssd1 carries several potential PLDs, as determined by a hidden Markov model for predicting prions (Figure 1B; Alberti et al., 2009). Two of these, aa 1–23 and aa 48–154, are nearly contiguous and we consider this a single domain and refer to aa 1–162 as PLD1. PLD1 corresponds to the domain that binds the phosphorylated C-terminal domain of RNA polymerase II (Phatnani et al., 2004). A second potential PLD is predicted for aa 163–250, accordingly. Because Ssd1 GFP proteins expose an unfavorable N-terminal amino acid sequence and are highly unstable in the cell (Bachmair et al., 1986), we inserted three glycine residues at the N-termini (Ssd1GGG163–1250, Ssd1GGG332–1250), which stabilized the proteins. As previously reported, a portion of wild-type Ssd1 protein, expressed under control of the GPD1 promoter, associated with the PB/SG components even under unfavorable growth conditions (Kurischko et al., 2011a). We observed that glucose starvation, essentially all Ssd1 protein formed cytoplasmic foci, many of which colocalized with PB component Edc3 (Figure 2). We then examined the localization of Ssd1 lacking one or both of the PLDs. No cytoplasmic foci were observed for Ssd1GGG163–1250-GFP in glucose medium. After shifting cells to glucose-free medium, most of the Ssd1 remained dispersed in the cytoplasm although a few faint foci appeared, but only after longer exposure to glucose deprivation. Some of these foci colocalized or overlapped with Edc3 (Figure 2). P\_GPD1-SSD1GGG332–1250-GFP, which lacks both PLDs, showed similar behavior as observed for Ssd1GGG163–1250-GFP (Supplemental Figure S1). These experiments document that PLD1 plays a critical role in promoting association of Ssd1 with PBs.

Aggregate formation and nuclear export of Ssd1 require PB components

Kurischko et al. (2011a) previously showed that Ssd1 physically associates with PB components. Because certain PB components are crucial for both PB and SG formation, we asked if Ssd1 also depends on them for its association with these structures. Accordingly, we examined the localization of Ssd1 in strains lacking individual PB components. Deletion of EDC3, PAT1 or reduced expression of NOT1 (DAmP-not1) eliminates Ssd1 cytoplasmic foci formation although PBs form, albeit at a reduced rate, in edc3Δ and pat1Δ strains (Figure 3A; Decker et al., 2007; Teixeira and Parker, 2007). In addition, Ssd1 fails to form foci in cells lacking the SG component Pbp1. However, Ssd1 foci formation occurs normally in cells lacking the SG component Pub1 (Figure 3B). This indicates that association of Ssd1 with a PB requires the presence of several PBs and some SG components. Furthermore, as is evident from Figure 3A, Ssd1 in a wild-type background exhibits no detectable accumulation in the nucleus, but in those strains in which Ssd1 fails to form foci, a significant fraction of the protein resides in the nucleus. Thus cytoplasmic foci formation correlates with export from the nucleus. Although this correlation might point to a requirement for functional PBs or SGs to provide a sink to trap Ssd1 in the cytoplasm and thus facilitate its nuclear export and target it for cytoplasmic PB granules. Consistent with this hypothesis, Pat1, Not1, and Pbp1 are nucleocytoplasmic shuttling proteins (Collart and Struhl, 1994; Kumar et al., 2002; Haimovich et al., 2013b). Thus we surmise that at least three PB components and one SG protein likely facilitate Ssd1’s transport out of the nucleus.

**FIGURE 2:** Ssd1 PLDs are required for Ssd1 association with PBs. Fluorescence micrographs of strain FLY2184 (ssd1\Δ) harboring CEN ARS plasmids carrying pRP1574 (YCp-EDC3-RFP) and either FLE1019 (pAG415-P\_GPD1-SSD1-GFP; top panels) or B3053 (pAG415-P\_GPD1-SSD1GGG163–1250-GFP; bottom panels). Images were taken of cells growing in SC-Leu-Ura+2% glucose (+gluc) or 12 min following a shift to SC-Leu-Ura lacking glucose (-gluc). Bar, 5 μm.
and generally presenting as a single aggregate per cell, they often appear as rings or donut shapes. In some cases, they appear honeycombed, perhaps reflecting the compound aggregation of multiple rings. These aggregates form in the absence of PB components (Figure 4), further distinguishing them from PBs as well as from wild-type Ssd1. Rather, these aggregates resemble previously described IPODs.

To investigate whether Ssd1\(^{[417-427]11A}\) aggregates comprise IPODs, we examined the colocalization of Ssd1\(^{[417-427]11A}\) with Hsp104 and Sis1, a disaggregate and a cooperating protein chaperone that function in protein disaggregation in IPODs. As shown in Figure 5, Hsp104 presents a variety of localization patterns toward Ssd1\(^{[417-427]11A}\). Hsp104 either fills the cavity of a Ssd1\(^{[417-427]11A}\) ring, surrounds large aggregates, or resides adjacent to smaller foci and rings. In some cases multiple small foci of Ssd1\(^{[417-427]11A}\) surround a large “aggregate” of Hsp104 (see also Supplemental Figure S2 and Supplemental Movies S1–S4). Sis1 also localizes to Ssd1\(^{[417-427]11A}\) aggregates, either surrounding or permeating them (Figure 5, Supplemental Figure S3, and Supplemental Movies S5–S8). Finally, by staining cells expressing Ssd1\(^{[417-427]11A}\)-GFP with FM4–64, we determined that these Ssd1 aggregates reside in the cytoplasm adjacent to but outside of vacuoles (Supplemental Figure S4), consistent with the previously reported localization of IPODs (Petroi et al., 2012; Buchan et al., 2013; Tardiff et al., 2013; Miller et al., 2015). In sum, these data are consistent with Ssd1\(^{[417-427]11A}\) aggregates as IPODs.

PLD1 of Ssd1 is essential for IPOD formation

Given that IPODs are preferential sites for misfolded and/or amyloid proteins, we asked whether PLDs of Ssd1 are instrumental in forming IPODs. We constructed an Ssd1 variant that combined NLS\(^{[417-427]11A}\) with the deletion of PLD1 (Ssd1\(^{SSD1-Δ}\)) harboring plasmids FLE1019 (pAG415-P\(_{GPD1}-SSD1\)-GFP) and B3070 (pRS426-NLS-RFP) grown on SC+2% glucose lacking leucine and uracil. Arrowheads indicate bud neck and bud cortex localization of Ssd1-GFP.
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**FIGURE 3:** Aggregate formation and nuclear export of Ssd1 require PB components but not all SG components. (A) Fluorescence micrographs of strains FLY2184 (“wt”), BY4741 edc3Δ (“edc3Δ”), BY4741 not1:DAmP-NOT1 (“DAmP-not1”), BY4741 pat1Δ (“pat1Δ”), and BY4741 pbp1Δ (“pbp1Δ”) harboring plasmids FLE1019 (pAG415-P\(_{GPD1}-SSD1\)-GFP) and B3070 (pRS426-NLS-RFP) grown on SC+2% glucose lacking leucine and uracil. Bar, 2 μm. (B) Fluorescence micrographs were taken of strain BY4741 pub1Δ (“pub1Δ”) harboring plasmids FLE1019 (pAG415-P\(_{GPD1}-SSD1\)-GFP) and, bottom panel only, B3070 (pRS426-NLS-RFP) grown on SC+2% glucose lacking leucine and uracil. Arrowheads indicate bud neck and bud cortex localization of Ssd1-GFP.

Cytoplasmically restricted Ssd1 forms IPODs

Kurischko et al. (2011b) previously identified a single NLS within Ssd1 located at amino acids 417–427. They reported that the mutant protein in which all 11 of these amino acids were converted to alanines, Ssd1\(^{[417-427]11A}\), failed to enter the nucleus and accumulated in cytoplasmic aggregates. Although these aggregates colonized with Edc3, they are morphologically distinct from PBs or SGs (Figure 4; Kurischko et al., 2011b). Besides being larger than PBs

Phosphorylation of PLDs regulates aggregate formation of Ssd1

Phosphorylation has been recently shown to affect the function of some PLDs (Gardiner et al., 2008; Hennig et al., 2015). The N-terminal 336 amino acids of Ssd1 that overlap the PLDs contain nine Cbk1 phosphorylation sites. We assessed the consequence of phosphorylation of Ssd1 on its PB/SG and IPOD formation. We examined the behavior of Ssd1 in which all the phosphorylation sites were mutated. Despite the deletion of PLD1 (Ssd1\(^{Δ}\)), we found that Ssd1\(^{Δ}\) forms IPOD aggregates (Figure 8). Thus we conclude that phosphorylation of the PLD in Ssd1 diminishes PB/SG association of the otherwise wild-type protein but enhances IPOD formation of the cytoplasmically restricted protein.

Dephosphorylated Ssd1, arising either from inactivation of Cbk1 kinase or from elimination of all N-terminal phosphotyrosines (Ssd1\(^{579\Delta}\)), constitutively localizes to the PB, where it traps mRNAs, and is highly toxic to the cell (Kurischko et al., 2011a). However, while Ssd1 lacking its NLS forms IPODs, we found that such a protein that also lacks the PLD phosphorylation sites fails...
to form IPODs and, as shown below, is no longer toxic. Rather, Ssd1SSD1SSD1SSD1AA forms small uniform aggregates only incidentally coinciding with PB markers (Lsm1, Edc3, and Dcp2) in both unstressed and stressed cells, while significantly overlapping SG markers (Pab1 and Pub1) in nutrient-stressed cells (Figure 9, A and B), similar to the behavior of wild-type Ssd1. These observations additionally indicate that Ssd1SSD1SSD1AA retains an ability to respond to stress signaling. In sum, we conclude that dephosphorylation of the PLD sites enhances PB/SG association but not IPOD formation.

**Growth properties of cells with PLD and NLS mutations of SSD1**

We analyzed the growth properties of cells expressing various Ssd1 mutants described above (Figure 10, A and B, and Table 1). Cells lacking SSD1SSD1SSD1SSD1 are mildly temperature sensitive and sensitive to the cell wall damaging agent calcofluor white (CFW). Cells carrying a mutant protein lacking a functional NLS (ssd1GGG336–1250) as the sole copy of the gene show the same phenotype, indicating that the NLS mutant fails to complement the deletion (Figure 10A). However, this mutant protein is not simply nonfunctional because its overexpression inhibits cell growth (Figure 10B), perhaps as a consequence of aggregate formation. The fact that deletion of PLD1 from the NLS mutant protein (SSD1GGG163–1250SSD1SSD1AA) prevents aggregate formation, but only partially suppresses the overexpression growth inhibition (Figure 10B), supports the conclusion that both the lack of nuclear functions and aggregate formation contribute to the phenotypes of Ssd1SSD1SSD1AAAA. Interestingly, the deletion of one or both PLDs from the otherwise wild-type Ssd1 (SSD1GGG163–1250) and Ssd1SSD1AAAA exacerbates the CFW sensitivity and the overexpression toxicity. This observation indicates that the capacity of Ssd1 to associate with PBs fulfills essential functions. Conversion of the phosphosites to phosphomimetic residues combined with mutated NLS (Ssd1SSD1SSD1AAAA) does not alter the phenotypes observed for cells expressing the NLS mutated protein. However, conversion of the phosphosites to nonphosphorylatable residues (Ssd1SSD1SSD1AAAA) completely suppresses the temperature sensitivity and the CFW sensitivity (Figure 10A). Thus the double-mutant protein functions essentially as well as the wild-type protein. This is consistent with the restoration of the subcellular localization properties of the double-mutant protein to those exhibited by the wild-type protein. As previously reported and confirmed here, expression of Ssd1SSD1AAAA completely inhibits cell growth, due apparently to irreversible sequestration of essential mRNAs in PBs or SGs (Kurischko et al., 2011a). This toxicity is partially rescued by the mutated NLS. We conclude that the 9A mutations not only suppress the NLS phenotypes but also that the NLS mutations suppress the 9A phenotypes.

**PLD1 contains a phosphorylation-sensitive cryptic NLS**

The above results indicate that the absence of N-terminal phosphorylation of Ssd1 suppresses the aggregation phenotype arising from mutation of the protein’s NLS. One possible explanation for suppression is that the N-terminal region possesses a phosphorylation-sensitive NLS, such that the protein in its dephosphorylated state could gain entry to the nucleus. To test whether the N-terminal region could facilitate entry into the nucleus, we tagged endogenously expressed Ssd1 after aa 1–200 or aa 1–336 with GFP and analyzed the localization of the protein fragments. As is evident from Figure 11A, these N-terminal fragments are sufficient to localize GFP to the nucleus, demonstrating that a functional NLS resides in the N-terminal domain.

These results demonstrate that the N-terminal domain of Ssd1 (aa 1–200) encompasses a functional NLS, whereas the results obtained with the phosphosite mutants suggest that the activity of this NLS is regulated by Cbk1-mediated phosphorylation. To test this assumption directly, we constructed strains carrying NIC96-mCherry as a nuclear marker and in which the only chromosomal copies of...
This conclusion gains support from a number of additional observations. First, Kurischko et al. (2011b) reported that inhibition of Cbk1 kinase by treating a cbk1-as mutant with 1NA-PP1 enhanced the nuclear appearance of the N-terminal Ssd11–450 fragment. Second, nuclear localization of Ssd1 is crucial for suppressing the lethality of ssd1Δ sit4Δ (Figure 11C): expression of Ssd1 but not Ssd111A fails to fully complement ssd1Δ, due to the failure to enter the nucleus to acquire and deliver mRNAs and perhaps as a consequence of IPOD formation. Third, Ssd111A fails to fully complement ssd1Δ, due to the failure to enter the nucleus to acquire and deliver mRNAs and perhaps as a consequence of IPOD formation. However, Ssd111A promotes growth under normal and stressed conditions as well as wild-type Ssd1 (Figure 10). These observations support our hypothesis above that activation of an N-terminal NLS through inhibition of Cbk1-mediated phosphorylation of the protein, by either inactivation of Cbk1 or elimination of its phosphorylation sites, provides an alternate means of importing Ssd1 into the nucleus.

**DISCUSSION**

Protein trafficking and aggregate formation

Results presented here demonstrate that Ssd1 can reside in three distinct cytoplasmic compartments: uniformly dispersed throughout the cytoplasm, incorporated into discrete P-body or stress granule aggregates, or targeted for degradation/recycling in IPOD-like aggregates. Our results also clarify the molecular basis for targeting to the different locales. First, we find that the PLDs of Ssd1 are required for association of Ssd1 with PBs and SGs, consistent with previous observations (Reijns et al., 2008), but in addition, we find that the PLD is required for Ssd1 incorporation into IPOD-like aggregates, or targeted for degradation/recycling in IPOD-like aggregates. Previous studies have identified the N-terminal region of Ssd1 as a potential PLD but failed to document that this region could form amyloid aggregates (Alberti et al., 2009). This is not inconsistent with our results, because we have shown here that amyloid formation requires phosphorylation of the Ssd1 PLD1 domain, which would not
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Our studies of Ssd1 functional domains provide insight into the role of nuclear interactions in directing RBPs and their associated mRNAs to their appropriate cytoplasmic location in the cell. Under normal conditions Ssd1 enters the nucleus through the action of its single NLS (417–427). We suggest that once Ssd1 enters the nucleus, PLDs in the N-terminus of Ssd1 promote its association with pCTD of pol II transcribing certain specific mRNAs. The interaction of Ssd1 with the pCTD would bring Ssd1 into proximity of mRNAs as they are being synthesized, thereby adding an Ssd1-targeted subcellular address to certain mRNAs at the time of initiation of synthesis. Among the mRNAs that Ssd1 binds are those that encode proteins required for cell wall stability and response to cell wall stress, some of which exhibit polarized localization to the daughter cell. This model is consistent with previous data demonstrating an affinity of PLD1 of Ssd1 for the pCTD (Phatnani and Greenleaf, 2004). Moreover, the interaction between RBPs and pCTD of pol II may be a common mechanism for cotranscriptional RNA binding, as was shown for Yra1, a
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FIGURE 6: PLD1 of Ssd1 is required for IPOD formation. Fluorescence micrographs of strain FLY2184 (ssd1Δ) harboring plasmid B3068 (pAG415-PGPD1-ssd1GGG163-1250, (417–427)11A-GFP). Images were taken of cells growing in SC-Leu+2% glucose. Bar, 5 μm.

FIGURE 7: Phosphomimetic mutations of Ssd1 diminish association with PBs. Fluorescence micrographs of strain FLY2184 harboring pRP1574 (Ycp-EDC3-RFP) and either pAG415-PGPD1-SSD1-GFP ("Ssd1") or pAG415-PGPD1-ssd1S/T9D ("Ssd1S/T9D") grown on SC-Leu+2% glucose (+gluc) or 12 min after a shift to SC-Leu lacking glucose (−gluc). Bar, 5 μm.
nuclear poly(A) RNA-binding protein that is required for nuclear export of mRNA (MacKellar and Greenleaf, 2011).

Ssd1 interaction with the pCTD may also promote its association with the PB complex. Recent data have documented that the PB complex interacts with the promoter region of certain genes (Sun et al., 2012; Dahan and Choder, 2013; Haimovich et al., 2013a,b). Thus Ssd1 through its association with the pCTD of pol II could engage the PB complex as early as initiation of transcription. Deletion of PLDs eliminates association of Ssd1 with PB even though Ssd1 lacking PLD1 can still bind to PB components (data not shown). This suggests that interaction between Ssd1 and PB components in the nucleus as a consequence of the PLD-mediated association with the pCTD may be a prerequisite for subsequent cytoplasmic localization of Ssd1.

Our results further demonstrate that the interaction of Ssd1 with the components of the mRNA decay machinery in the nucleus is required for efficient export of Ssd1 and subsequent delivery of Ssd1 and its cargo mRNA to the cytoplasm and to PBs and SGs. To the best of our knowledge, this is a novel nuclear role for PB components toward the nuclear export of RBPs. We found that the absence of any of several PB components, the inhibition of Ssd1 nuclear import, or the deletion of regions of the protein required for interaction with pCTD of pol II prevent subsequent association of Ssd1 with PBs. Moreover, the failure of Ssd1 to engage in this process by blocking nuclear import leads to PLD-mediated aggregation of the protein into IPODs, which attract the chaperone complex comprising Hsp104 and the Hsp40 member, Sis1. Thus the interaction of Ssd1 with the PB complex in the nucleus is permissive for subsequent association with PB/SG in the cytoplasm, but presentation of Ssd1 to the complex in the cytoplasm without prior interaction in the nucleus does not lead to productive association. This may simply result from the contribution of mRNA acquired by Ssd1 in the nucleus to the multivalent interactions necessary for liquid phase aggregate formation resulting in PB or SG association. Alternatively, assembly of Ssd1 into PB complex may be an ordered process that is predicated on initial interaction in the nucleus. We summarize the model based on our results in Figure 12.

Ssd1 as a model for neuropathic proteins

The dependency of aggregate formation on PLDs is well documented for human RBPs, such as TDP-43, FUS, and hnRNPA1 (Blokhuis et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2013). We hypothesize that IPODs have similarities with aggregates of FUS and TDP-43 in motor neurons of patients suffering from ALS. The majority of ALS-related mutations in FUS, which promote formation of large cytoplasmic inclusions, occur in its C-terminal NLS. Moreover, deletion of the NLS from FUS in a mouse model leads to aggregate formation (Shelkovnikova et al., 2013a). Although these aggregates contain SG proteins, it is not clear if such structures are related to SGs (Bentmann et al., 2013; Blokhuis et al., 2013). Rather, nuclear import of FUS and its attendant binding to SG proteins may prevent it from association with large aggregates (Shelkovnikova et al., 2013b; Farrawell et al., 2015). By similarity with Ssd1, SG proteins may adhere to large aggregates of mutated FUS or TDP-43, when cells are subjected to stress imposed by the mutated FUS (Farg et al., 2012; Vance et al., 2013). Accordingly, further analysis of the structure-function relationship of Ssd1 could shed light on the pathology of neurotoxic proteins. Moreover, as we see with Ssd1, stimulating alternate trafficking of FUS or TDP-43 might alleviate aggregate formation with attendant therapeutic effect.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Yeast strains and growth conditions

Standard yeast genetics and culture methods were used (Amberg et al., 2005). For glucose depletion, 1.5 ml of cells was harvested by centrifugation for 30 s and the cell pellet was resuspended in 1 ml glucose-free medium. Cells were incubated for 1 min with
repeated inversion of the Eppendorf tube. Cells were again spun down for 30 s and resuspended in a small volume of the supernatant. Images were taken immediately and over a time course for up to 15 min.

Strain FLY2184 (MATα his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0 ssd1Δ::NATMX) (Kurischko et al., 2011a) was derived from strain BY4741 (Brachmann et al., 1998) by replacement of the SSD1 coding region with the NATMX cassette. BY4741-based deletions of EDC3, PAT1, PBP1, and PUB1 were obtained from the yeast deletion collection. Strain DAmP-NOT1 was obtained from GE Healthcare Dharmacon. BY4741-based strains with GFP tags inserted after amino acids 200 (Y4183) and 336 (Y4184) of Ssd1 were constructed by transformation with PCR-based cassettes (Longtine et al., 1998). For strains carrying ssd1Δ1–200, SSA1-GFP::KANMX or ssd1Δ1–200, S5A-GFP::KANMX as the only chromosomal copy of SSD1, the NAT cassette of FLY2184 was replaced with the corresponding fragments. These strains were crossed to Nic96-mCherry::URA3 (Yves Barral, ETH Zurich, Switzerland) to incorporate a nuclear marker. Strain sit4Δ::KANMX ssd1Δ::NATMX [B2937] was constructed by crossing single deletion strains and transforming the diploid with B2937 (pRS426-sit4–102) before sporulation and tetr dissection.

**Plasmid construction and molecular biology**

PCR fragments of Ssd1GGG163–1250 and Ssd1GGG336–1250 for Gateway cloning were obtained using the following oligonucleotides:

F for GGG163 5′-GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGC-TTCATGGGTGGT GGTCATTCTTTAGGTCTAAA-3′

F for GGG332 5′-GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGC-TTCATGGGTGGT GGTAATAACGGAGGTGGACG-3′

R for SSD1 5′-GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGGTCT-ACCCCTCTTCATGAATGGATTTAA-3′

pENTRY-Ssd1GGG163–1250 and pENTRY-Ssd1GGG336–1250 plasmids were constructed by inserting the PCR products into pDONR221 according to the Gateway cloning protocol (Alberti et al. 2007).

pENTRY-Ssd1S79A(417–427)11A, pENTRY-Ssd1S79D(417–427)11A, and pENTRY-Ssd1GGG163–1250(417–427)11A plasmids were constructed by restriction enzyme–mediated cloning to introduce the NLS(417–427)11A fragment into existing Ssd1S79A, Ssd1S79D, and Ssd1GGG163–1250 vectors. Tagged Ssd1 variants were constructed by LR Gateway cloning into destination vectors (Alberti et al., 2007).

Plasmids encoding RFP-tagged PB and SG proteins were obtained from Roy Parker (University of Colorado, Boulder) and Charles Cole (Dartmouth Medical School, Hanover, NH). Plasmids carrying HSP104-mCherry and SIS1-mCherry were provided by S. Alberti, FIGURE 9: Elimination of Ssd1 phosphorylation prevents IPOD formation of NLS mutant protein. (A) Fluorescence micrographs of strain FLY2184 harboring plasmid B3048 (pAG415-PGPD1-ssd1S79A, (417–427)11A-GFP) and a plasmid carrying DCP2-RFP, EDC3-RFP, or LSM1-RFP. Images were taken of cells growing in SC-Leu-Ura+2% glucose (“+gluc”) or 1 min after a shift to SC-Leu-Ura lacking glucose (“−gluc”). Ssd1S79A, (417–427)11A-GFP spots were assessed for their colocalization with PB marker proteins. Bar, 5 μm. (B) Fluorescence micrographs of strain FLY2184 harboring plasmid B3048 (pAG415-PGPD1-ssd1S79A, (417–427)11A-GFP) and a plasmid carrying PAB1-RFP or PUB1-RFP. As Pab1 and Pub1 were not detected in glucose medium, images were taken only of cells in glucose-free medium. Ssd1S79A, (417–427)11A-GFP spots were assessed for their colocalization with SG marker proteins.
TABLE 1: Summary of phenotypes associated with Ssd1 variants.
**FIGURE 11:** The Ssd1 N-terminal domain encompasses an NLS. (A) Fluorescence micrographs of strain Y4183 in which GFP has been inserted in frame following amino acid 200 ("pSSD1-ssd11–200") or amino acid 336 (strain Y4184, "pSSD1-ssd11–336"), grown on SC-Leu+2% glucose. Nuclei are identified by staining with Hoechst 33342 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Bar, 5 μm. (B) Nuclear-cytoplasm ratios are presented in histograms for wild-type (ssd11–200), nonphosphorylatable (ssd11–200, S5A), and phosphomimetic (ssd11–200, S5D) versions of the N-terminal Ssd1 fragment. Both wild-type and S5A differ significantly from S5D, as indicated by p-values (9.91e-7 and 4.74e-4, respectively). (C) Viability of sit4Δ ssd1Δ cells depends on the nuclear localization of Ssd1. Strain sit4Δ ssd1Δ [pRS426-sit4–102] was transformed with pRS415 (vector), FLE1019 (pAG415-PGal1-SSD1-GFP), FLE1213 (pAG415-PGal1-ssd1(417–427)11A-GFP), B3048 (pAG415-PGal1-ssd1S/T9A, (417–427)11A-GFP), B3050 (pAG415-PGal1-ssd1S/T9D, (417–427)11A-GFP), B3068 (pAG415-PGal1-ssd1GGG163–1250, (417–427)11A-GFP), or B3068 (pAG415-PGal1-ssd1GGG163–1250, (417–427)11A-GFP), or B3068 (pAG415-PGal1-ssd1GGG163–1250, (417–427)11A-GFP), or B3068 (pAG415-PGal1-ssd1GGG163–1250, (417–427)11A-GFP). Ten times dilutions were spotted on SC+2% glucose medium without leucine and SC+2% glucose medium without leucine plus 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA) for the counterselection of pRS426-sit4–102. Cells were allowed to grow for 3 and 6 d, respectively.

**Dilution series to define growth capacity**

Cell densities of overnight cultures of strains BY4741 [pRS415], BY4741 [pRS416], and ssd1Δ containing the indicated pAG415-PGal1-SSD1-GFP or pAG416-PGal1-SSD1-GFP variants were counted and adjusted to equal numbers of cells/ml. Four microliters of undiluted and serial 10× dilutions was spotted on agar plates containing either 2% glucose or 2% galactose. The plates were incubated for 3 d at 22°C. The same procedure was applied to overnight cultures of strains BY4741 [pRS415], ssd1Δ [pRS415], and ssd1Δ containing the indicated pAG415-PGal1-SSD1-GFP variants. Four microliters of undiluted cultures and 10× dilutions was spotted for growth on SC-Leu+2% glucose, SC-Leu at 37°C, and SC-Leu at 22°C with 15 μg/ml CFW agar plates. Images were taken after 3 d. The same protocol was applied for the plasmid shuffle experiment to define the capacity of Ssd1 versions to support the viability of sit4Δ ssd1Δ cells.
Deconvolution microscopy

Cells were imaged on a wide-field inverted microscope (DeltaVision; Applied Precision, Issaquah, WA) at Penn State College of Medicine with a charge-coupled device camera (CoolSNAP HQ; Roper Scientific, Tucson, AZ), using a 100x oil-immersion objective, or at Rockefeller University on a DeltaVision Image Restoration Microscope (Olympus IX70 inverted microscope with a pcO.edge sCOS camera) using a 100x oil-immersion objective. The percentage transmittance for GFP and RFP channels and the exposure times were individually adjusted to the brightness of the signals, as all signals were plasmid based. Eighteen to twenty 0.2-μm z-stacks were taken of each focal plane using the DeltaVision softWoRx software. Where indicated, deconvolution of the z-stacks was performed. For each strain, at least 50 cells were imaged.

Definition of nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio (N/C)

The fluorescence intensities of individual nuclei and the adjacent cytoplasm were measured by Metamorph software as described previously (Kurischko et al., 2011b). In detail, a defined area in nuclei (N), identified by the nuclear pore marker Nic96-mCherry, was measured in a single plane of deconvolved z-stacks in the GFP channel. The same sized area was measured in the adjacent cytoplasm (C) and outside of cells (background B). The ratios of N/B/C-B were calculated for ~100 nuclei per strain and processed statistically by the Wilcoxon rank sum test.

FM4–64 staining of vacuole membranes

The procedure is a variation of previously published protocol (Vida and Emr, 1995). Five-milliliter cultures were spun down and resuspended in 500 μl YPD. One microliter FM4–64 solution in dimethyl sulfoxide (Molecular Probes Life Technology) was added to a final concentration of 32 μM and incubated in the darkness for 30 min at room temperature (RT). Cells were spun down, washed to remove the excess of FM4–64, and resuspended in synthetic complete growth medium for yeast (SC). Images were taken after 30′ to analyze colocalization of membranes and Ssd1-GFP proteins.
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