Removal of Salicylic and Ibuprofen by Hexadecyltrimethylammonium-Modified Montmorillonite and Zeolite
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Abstract: The removal of salicylic acid (SA) and ibuprofen (IB) by sorption onto HDTMA-modified montmorillonite (HM) and zeolite (HZ) was investigated at pH 7. The single sorption data were fitted well by the Freundlich, Langmuir, Dubinin−Radushkevich (DR), and Polanyi−Dubinin−Manes (PDM) models ($R^2 > 0.94$). The sorption affinity of Freundlich and the maximum sorption capacity of Langmuir and PDM models of pharmaceuticals onto HM were consistently higher than that of HZ mainly owing to the higher organic carbon content. In addition, the $K_F$, $q_{ML}$, and $q_m$ values were in the order of IB > SA owing to higher hydrophobicity and molar volume. Since the predominant speciation of SA and IB is anionic at pH 7 ($>\text{pKa}$), sorption onto HM occurs mainly by the two-dimensional surface adsorption onto the pseudo-organic medium in the HM, whereas the interaction of anionic pharmaceuticals with the positively charged “head” of HDTMA is responsible for HZ. Sorption isotherms were fitted well by the PDM model, which indicated that pore-filling was one of the dominating sorption mechanisms. The extended Langmuir model, modified Langmuir competitive model, and ideal adsorbed solution theory employed with Freundlich and Langmuir sorption models were applied to predict binary sorption. The effect of competition between the solutes was clearly evident in the characteristic curves; the maximum sorbed volume ($q_{v,m}$) was reduced, and the sorbed volume ($q_v$) had a wider distribution toward the sorption potential density.
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1. Introduction

The nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) constitute a class of active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) and have been widely used medications across the world [1]. NSAIDs can be classified as anti-inflammatory, analgesic, and antipyretic [2]. Aspirin (known as acetylsalicylic acid, ASA) and ibuprofen (IB) are commonly used in clinical medicine as pain relieving, analgesic, and anti-inflammatory drugs [3–5]. Aspirin is a widely sold “over the counter” drug and metabolized to salicylic acid (SA) [5]. A few NSAIDs including ibuprofen, naproxen, propyphenazone, and salicylic acid have been detected up to ppb levels in surface water over the countries [6]. SA, a phytohormone, has been also used in the growth and development of the whole plant [7]. Recently, most of the pharmaceuticals have no regulatory standards yet, but have received attention due to the potential negative effects of these compounds on ecosystems and public health. SA and IB are frequently found in significant quantities in the effluents from wastewater treatment plants in Europe and North America [2]. ASA and IB are frequently detected in Korean rivers [8].

Pharmaceutical pollutants in aquatic systems have been treated by physical, biochemical, and chemical processes. Although technologies based on membranes, reverse osmosis, ozonation,
and oxidation are highly efficient, the operational costs are high. To remove pharmaceuticals from aquatic environment, sorption is one of the main physical processes [9]. Sorbent materials (e.g., activated carbon [10,11], clay [12], and organoclay [12,13]) have been typically used to remove pharmaceuticals via sorption. An alternative is to use surfactant-modified clay minerals, namely organoclays. Natural clays (e.g., montmorillonite and bentonite) are inherently hydrophilic owing to the hydration of inorganic cations that exist in the interlayers of clay. The permanent negative charges in the natural clays can be modified by cationic surfactants. This surfactant-modified clay mineral enhances the removal of organic pollutants. Organoclays are prepared by simply exchanging inorganic cations on the clay surface by organic cations with long hydrocarbon chains such as the hexadecyltrimethylammonium (HDTMA) cation [14]. HDTMA modification of zeolites effectively sorbed hydrophobic organic contaminants such as benzene, phenol, and toluene [15]. Recently, several studies have suggested the potential application of organoclays for removing pharmaceuticals from water; amoxicillin, sulfamethoxazole, and trimethoprim [12], diclofenac sodium [13], and β-lactam [16]. Once the HDTMA cation is bound to the montmorillonite and zeolite, HDTMA is not leaching and thus not harmful for wildlife. Clay-bound HDTMA is significantly less toxic to bacteria than free HDTMA [17]. Li et al. [18] have also shown that HDTMA, when bound to the zeolite surface, was not toxic to microorganisms. HDTMA bound to the zeolite surface did not inhibit microbial growth [19].

Typically, the traditional Freundlich and Langmuir isotherm models have been used to predict pharmaceutical sorption on organoclays. Recently a few studies have reported on the use of the Dubinin–Radushkevich (DR) model to explain sorption mechanisms (physical versus chemical sorption) for ionizable organic compounds such as 4-chlorophenol [20] and herbicides [21] on tetrabutylammonium (DEDMAM)-montmorillonite. Fuller et al. [22] have applied the DR model for the sorption of nonionic organic solutes (benzene, CCl₄, TCE, and 1,2-DCB) on tetraalkylammonium bentonites. The Polanyi theory has been developed to explain sorption of contaminants by volume filling in micropores [23]. The “characteristic curve” of the Polanyi theory can describe the mechanistic sorption mechanisms. However, the applicability of Polanyi theory to evaluate pharmaceutical sorption onto organoclays has not been fully investigated yet. So far, a few studies have reported on the sorption of a single pharmaceutical onto organoclays [12,13,16]. However, only a few studies have investigated binary sorption of pharmaceuticals [24,25]. Anggraini et al. [24] analyzed the binary sorption of amoxicillin and ampicillin onto myristyltrimethylammonium (MTA)-montmorillonite sorption by a modified extended-Langmuir isotherm model. Ghemit et al. [25] also reported single and binary sorption mechanism of diclofenac and ibuprofen onto HDTMA-bentonite by Langmuir and Freundlich models.

In this work, the single and binary sorption behaviors of SA and IB on HM and HZ were investigated at pH 7. The single sorption data were predicted by Freundlich, Langmuir, DR, and Polanyi–Dubinin–Manes (PDM) models. The physicochemical properties of HM and HZ were correlated with the single-sorption model parameters to investigate the sorption mechanisms. The binary sorption of SA and IB was predicted by an extended Langmuir model (ELM), modified Langmuir competitive model (MLCM), and ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

The physicochemical properties of salicylic acid and ibuprofen are summarized in Table 1. ¹⁴C-radiolabeled salicylic acid (SA) with a specific activity of 0.1 mCi/mL and ibuprofen (IB) with a specific activity of 0.1 mCi/mL were obtained from ARC chemicals (Saint Louis, MO, USA) and used as radiotracers. To prepare stock solutions of unlabeled pharmaceuticals, SA (≥ 99%, Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany) dissolved in HPLC-grade methanol (99.9%, Merck, Munich, Germany) and IB (≥ 98%, Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland) dissolved in ultrapure water (Nex Power 1000, Human Corporation, Seoul, Korea) with high concentrations. Electrolyte solution used in experiments was prepared in
distilled and deionized water (DDI, MilliporeSigma™ Synergy™, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) containing 10 mM of KNO₃ (99%, Daejung Chemical Co., Siheung, Korea) with 1% of NaN₃ (99%, Duksan Chemical Co., Ansan, Korea) as a bacterial inhibitor. The solution pH was adjusted to 7 using a 10-mM phosphate buffer (4.3 mM NaH₂PO₄ (98.0–102.0%, Duksan, Ansan, Korea) + 5.7 mM Na₂HPO₄ (min. 98.0%, Duksan, Ansan, Korea), respectively.

2.2. Sorbents Preparation and Characterization

To prepare HM and HZ, the impurities in the montmorillonite-KSF (Aldrich Chemical Co., Munich, Germany) and zeolite (Wangpyo Chemical Co., Pohang, Korea) were removed by washing them several times with DDI water at 60 °C [26]. A cationic surfactant, hexadecyltrimethylammonium chloride (HDTMA chloride solution, 25 wt %, Aldrich Chemical Co., Munich, Germany), was used as an organic modifier. HM and HZ were prepared by the cation-exchange adsorption of HDTMA onto the washed montmorillonite and zeolite to the extent of 100% cation-exchange capacity (CEC) [26]. For preparing HM and HZ, 31 g of washed montmorillonite and zeolite was added into 1 L of a 5000 mg/L (for HM) and 1400 mg/L (for HZ) HDTMA solution in a 2-L glass beaker. The suspension was thoroughly mixed with a mechanical stirrer for 24 h at 250 rpm. Then, HM and HZ were washed again with ultrapure water to remove remaining free surfactant. The collected HM and HZ were dried in an oven for 1 d at 60 °C, sieved through a US standard No. 200 sieve (75 µm) and kept in an amber bottle until use.

Table 1. Physicochemical properties of salicylic acid (SA) and ibuprofen sodium (IB).

| Parameter                  | Salicylic Acid (SA) | Ibuprofen Sodium (IB) |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Molecular structure        | ![Salicylic Acid Molecular Structure](image) | ![Ibuprofen Sodium Molecular Structure](image) |
| Molar mass (g/mol)         | 138.12              | 228.26                 |
| Water solubility (mg/mL)   | 1.8                 | 100                    |
| Molar volume (cm³/mol)     | 95.717              | 221.61                 |
| log Kow at 20 °C           | 2.3                 | 3.5                    |
| log Dow at pH 7            | −1.13               | 2.82                   |
| Density (g/cm³)            | 1.443               | 1.03                   |
| pKa                        | 2.97                | 4.91                   |
| Molecular size (Å)         | 8.6                 | 6.7                    |

[1] [27]: molar volume is estimated as the ratio of molecular weight and density.

Organic carbon content (f_car) in the HM and HZ was determined by an elemental analyzer (Flash 2000, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA). Specific surface area (A_{BET}) was calculated by N₂ adsorption/desorption data fitted to the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) model (Autosorb-iQ and Quadrasorb Si, Quantachrome, Boynton Beach, FL, USA). The sodium acetate method (US EPA method 9081) was used to measure the CEC [28]. The pH of point of zero charge (pH_{PZC}) of the sorbents was determined by the method by Appel et al. [29]. The X-ray diffraction (XRD, X’pert PRO MRD, Malvern PANalytical, Malvern, Almelo, The Netherlands) with a Cu Ka source (40 kW, 25 mA) in range of 1–25°, a time per step of 1 s and analyzed by the Bragg equation (nλ = 2dsinθ) was used to determine d-spacing.
2.3. Sorption Isotherm of Pharmaceuticals onto HM and HZ

A subsample of the stock solution was diluted with an electrolyte solution to prepare a solution for sorption. The radiolabeled SA and IB were injected into an aqueous solution containing unlabeled pharmaceuticals to yield 2000 cpm/mL. Single-sorption experiments were conducted using 40-mL amber vials with Teflon-faced silicon septa (Kimble Chase, Vineland, NJ, USA) at 25 °C. At first, 0.5 g of HM or HZ was added into the vial and then filled with six different initial concentrations of SA (0.072–0.724 mmol/L) and IB (0.044–0.438 mmol/L) solution without headspace. The vials were shaken at 150 rpm for 2 d at 25 °C and centrifuged for 40 min at 1500 rpm to separate the aqueous solution. A total of 1 mL of the supernatant was mixed with 8 mL of an Ecolite+ liquid scintillation cocktail (MP Biochemicals, LLC., Irvine, CA, USA), and radioactivity (as a tracer of chemical concentration) in the aqueous phase was measured by a liquid scintillation counter (LSC, Tri-Carb 2900TR, Perkin-Elmer Co., Waltham, MA, USA).

For binary (SA/IB) sorption, binary solution was prepared with mixing SA and IB at an equal molar ratio. To measure each solute concentration, SA/IB mixture solution was prepared by i) injecting radiolabeled SA (14C-SA) into unlabeled SA/IB and ii) injecting radiolabeled IB (14C-IB) into unlabeled SA/IB, respectively. After sorption, the equilibrium concentrations of the binary solutions were determined by LSC. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

The sorption isotherm models were estimated by the single sorption models (Freundlich, Langmuir, DR, and PDM model) and the bisolute sorption models (ELM, MLCM, and IAST) as listed in Table 2. The sorption model parameters were estimated by non-linear regression using a commercial software, TableCurve 2D® (Version 5.01, SYSTAT Software, Inc.). The ELM and MLCM parameters were determined using a Matlab® curve-fitting toolbox (Version R2019a, The MathWorks, Inc.).

Table 2. Sorption isotherm models.

| Model/Equation          | Single-Solute Sorption Isotherm | Equation | Ref. |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|------|
| Freundlich              | $q = K_F C^{N_F}$               | (1)      |      |
| Langmuir                | $q = q_m b_L C / (1 + b_L C)$   | (2)      |      |
| Dubinin-Radushkevich   | $q = q_{mD} \exp \left(-\beta_D \epsilon^2 \right)$ | (3)      | [20,21] |
| Polanyi–Dubinin–Manes  | $q = q_{mP} \exp \left(-a \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon_w}\right)^b \right)$ | (5)      | [23,30–32] |

| Bi-Solute Sorption Isotherm | Equation | Ref. |
|-----------------------------|----------|------|
| Extended Langmuir model (ELM) | $q_i = \frac{q_{iNL} b_i C_i}{1 + \sum_j b_i C_j}$ | (6) | [33] |
| Modified Langmuir competitive model (MLCM) | $q_i = \frac{q_{iNL} b_i (C_i/\eta_i)}{1 + \sum_j b_i (C_i/\eta_i)}$ | (7) | [34,35] |

$$\pi = \int_{A_0}^{C_1} \frac{dC_1}{C_1} \pi_1 dC_1 = \int_{A_0}^{C_2} \frac{dC_2}{C_2} \pi_2 dC_2 = \cdots = \int_{A_0}^{C_N} \frac{dC_N}{C_N} \pi_N dC_N$$ (8) [36–39]
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of the Sorbents

The physicochemical properties of the sorbents, such as the f_{oc}, pore size, A_{BET}, CEC, and pH_{PZC}, are given in Table 3. Both f_{oc} and A_{BET} of HM (f_{oc} = 7.71%; A_{BET} = 25.39 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}) were higher than those of HZ (f_{oc} = 2.03%; A_{BET} = 24.17 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}), whereas the pore size of HZ (124.2 Å) was higher than that of HM (97.31 Å). The A_{BET} and pore size of HM and HZ affects the sorption properties [40]. The CEC of HZ (61.5 meq/100 g) was three times higher than that of HM (23.3 meq/100 g). The pH_{PZC} indicates that net charge of HM and HZ was negative when pH was higher than pH_{PZC}. The pH_{PZC} of HM and HZ was 1.9 and 6.2, respectively. At pH 7, anionic speciation was the dominant form for both IB (anionic speciation = 98.4%, pKa = 4.91) and SA (anionic speciation = 99.9%, pKa = 2.97) because the working solution pH 7 was greater than pKa, as shown in Figure S1. The working pH (7.0) of the aqueous solution was also higher than the pH_{PZC} of HM and HZ; thus, HM and HZ were negatively charged. Therefore the electrostatic attraction between the predominant SA and IB anions and the negatively charged HM and SA were less likely to occur.

Table 3. Physicochemical properties of the sorbents used.

| Parameter                   | HM     | HZ     |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|
| Organic carbon content (f_{oc}, %) | 7.71   | 2.03   |
| CEC (meq/100 g)             | 23.33  | 61.5   |
| BET surface area (A_{BET}, m^2/g) | 25.39  | 24.17  |
| Pore size (Å)               | 97.31  | 124.2  |
| Pore volume (cm^3/g)        | 0.095  | 0.103  |
| pH                          | 3.6    | 4.9    |
| PZC                         | <1.9   | 6.2    |

The XRD patterns of the washed montmorillonite and zeolite and HM and HZ are shown in Figure S2. The patterns of montmorillonite and HM at 20 < 10° was different indicating changes in interlayer size caused by the surfactant modification. The diffraction peak at 5.94° for the raw montmorillonite shifted to a lower angle at 4.9° after modification and the interlayer spacing of HM (18.0 Å) increased more than that of raw montmorillonite (14.8 Å). As the surfactant was inserted into the interlayer space, the interlayer spacing was expanded owing to surfactant modification [41]. HDTMA molecules appeared to combine to form an aggregate (or a pseudo-organic phase medium) in the lamellar spacing, which reduced the BET surface area (A_{BET}) [42]. Zeolite is a crystalline aluminosilicate with different cavity structures. The structure of HZ did not exhibit changes compared to that of zeolite (e.g., new peaks) due to the unchanged crystalline nature that remained after the HDTMA modification [43]. After the HDTMA modification, the BET surface area, A_{BET}, of sorbents (HM: 25.39 m^2/g, HZ: 24.17 m^2/g) decreased (montmorillonite: 125.7 m^2/g, zeolite: 53.69 m^2/g). The cation-exchange capacity (CEC) of washed montmorillonite and zeolite were 75.3 meq/100 g montmorillonite and 132.5 meq/100g zeolite (Table S1). After the HDTMA modification, the CEC of HM (23.3 meq/100 g) and HZ (61.5 meq/100 g) were less than those of washed montmorillonite and zeolite owing to the cation exchange with HDTMA.

The A_{BET}, BJH pore volume, and size analysis can explain the textural characterization of HM and HZ (Table 3). In Figure 1, the N\textsubscript{2} adsorption–desorption isotherms at 293 K of HM and HZ belongs to the type IV isotherm defined by the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) [44]. The isotherm exhibited a steep hysteresis loop of type H1 at a relatively high pressure (P/P_0 = 0.4–1.0), indicating that HM and HZ were mesoporous. The pore size distribution was uniform in the range of 1.0–15 nm and the average pore size of HM and HZ were 9.7 nm and 12.4 nm, respectively (Figure 1). The molecular size of SA (=0.86 nm) and IB (=0.67 nm) were less than the pore size of HM and HM, thus these molecules could be adsorbed by pore-filling. As shown in Figure S3, the DFT procedure
revealed that the micropore size distribution in the HM and HZ had a unimodal distribution with the majority of pore size of 1–15 nm. The determined ABET of HM (25.39 m²/g) was slightly greater than that of HZ (24.17 m²/g), whereas the pore volume of HM (0.095 cm³/g) was slightly smaller than that of HZ (0.103 cm³/g; Table 3).

![Figure 1. N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms of sorbents: (a) HDTMA-montmorillonite (HM) and (b) HDTMA-zeolite (HZ).](image)

3.2. Single Sorption

The single sorption of SA and IB onto HM and HZ was conducted at pH 7. At pH 7 (>pKa), anionic speciation was predominant (>99%) for both SA and IB (Figure S2). The sorption of SA and IB onto HM and HZ is shown in Figure 2; the Freundlich, Langmuir, DR, and PDM model parameters are summarized in Table 4. The sorption data were fitted well by all single-sorption models ($R^2 > 0.94$; Table 4). The IB had higher $K_F$ values than SA in both sorbents indicating the sorption affinity. The HM had consistently higher $K_F$ than HZ mainly owing to the higher organic carbon content ($f_{oc}$). The $N_F$ values of the two sorbents at equilibrium were in the range of 0.49–0.77, which showed that sorption was highly nonlinear and favorable [45]. The $q_{ml,s}$ of HM were higher than those of HZ for both SA and IB, which was attributed to the higher organic carbon content ($f_{oc}$) but not to CEC, $A_{BET}$, and pores size. The maximum sorption capacity ($q_{ml}$) of the Langmuir model in HM (SA: 42.4 mmol/kg; IB: 59.3 mmol/kg) was higher than that of HZ (SA: 23.8 mmol/kg; IB: 59.4 mmol/kg) for both SA and IB, corresponding to increase in $f_{oc}$ (HM: 7.71 > HZ: 2.03; Table 4). The $q_{ml}$ of IB in HM and HZ was approximately the same. A separation factor ($S_F = 1 / (1 + b_1C_0)$) was determined the fundamental characteristic of the Langmuir model [46]. The value of $S_F$ describes that the isotherm types can be irreversible ($S_F = 0$), unfavorable ($S_F > 1$), linear ($S_F = 1$), or favorable ($0 < S_F < 1$). All $S_F$ values were between 0.49 and 0.78 (Table 4 and Figure S4), which indicated that the sorption of SA and IB onto sorbents was favorable [46].
The DR model parameters are summarized in Table 4. The $q_{HDTMA}$ bilayer at the HZ surface. Sun et al. [47] and Krajišnik et al. [55] showed the net attractive interactions between anionic diclofenac and the positively charged HDTMA bilayer at the HZ surface. Dong et al. [52] reported the hydrophobic interaction between the benzene ring(s) and the C16 tails of HDTMA cations [52]. For HZ, the interaction between anionic pharmaceuticals and HDTMA with a positively charged “head” on both inner and outer layers is a part of the sorption mechanism [47,52–54]. Dong et al. [52] reported that sorption of anionic speciation of bisphenol A onto HZ occurs by interaction with the positively charged HDTMA. Xie et al. [53] also explained that anion species of bisphenol A, p-chlorophenol, and phenol sorption onto HZ is attributed to Coulombic interaction. Sun et al. [47] and Krajišnik et al. [55] showed the net attractive interactions between anionic diclofenac and the positively charged HDTMA bilayer at the HZ surface.

The sorption of SA and IB is attributed to interactions between the negatively charged SA and IB and the positively charged HDTMA bilayer [47]. The octanol/water partition coefficient ($\log K_{ow}$) of IB (=3.5) was higher than SA (=2.3), which was consistent with an increase in the $K_F$ of the Freundlich model and $q_m$ of the Langmuir model. The octanol:water distribution ratio ($\log D_{ow}$) for ionizable organic compounds (IOCs) has been used to express the hydrophobicity of the organic partition coefficient in environmental studies [48]. The log $D_{ow}$ was also in the order of IB (2.8) > SA (~1.1; Table 1). The DR model parameters are summarized in Table 4. The $q_mD$ values exhibited the same tendency as $K_F$ of Freundlich and $q_{ml}$ of Langmuir models. The $q_mD$ of IB was higher than SA in both sorbents; HM had consistently higher $q_mD$ than HZ for both SA and IB. The $q_mD$ was consistently lower than $q_{ml}$ of the Langmuir model for both HM and HZ owing to the difference in the sorption mechanisms. The $E$ values in the DR model can be used to predict whether the sorption mechanism occurs through ion-exchange or physical sorption. In this study, the estimated mean free energy, $E$ in Equation (4) were less than 8 KJ/mmol indicating that SA and IB were sorbing all sorbents mainly via physical sorption [49].

The sorption in HM occurs by the surface adsorption of SA and IB onto the two-dimensional surface of the pseudo-organic medium because the dominant speciation of SA and IB is anionic at pH 7 (>pKa) [50,51]. HDTMA modified aluminosilicate minerals (i.e., zeolite) can significantly enhance the sorption of IOCs, such as SA and IB used in this study, from aqueous solutions [42]. The hydrophobic benzene ring(s) in the SA and IB can be oriented to the inside of the HDTMA bilayers and stabilized by the hydrophobic interaction between the benzene ring(s) and the C16 tails of HDTMA cations [52]. For HZ, the interaction between anionic pharmaceuticals and HDTMA with a positively charged “head” on both inner and outer layers is a part of the sorption mechanism [47,52–54]. Dong et al. [52] reported that sorption of anionic speciation of bisphenol A onto HZ occurs by interaction with the positively charged HDTMA. Xie et al. [53] also explained that anion species of bisphenol A, p-chlorophenol, and phenol sorption onto HZ is attributed to Coulombic interaction. Sun et al. [47] and Krajišnik et al. [55] showed the net attractive interactions between anionic diclofenac and the positively charged HDTMA bilayer at the HZ surface.

Figure 2. Single sorption of SA and ibuprofen (IB) onto (a) HM and (b) HZ at pH 7. Lines indicate single-sorption model fitting.
Table 4. Model parameters for single sorption of Pharmaceuticals onto HM and HZ at pH 7.

| Sorbent | Solute | $K_F$ ((mmol/kg)/(mmol/L))$^{N_F}$ | $N_F$ (-) | $R^2$  | SSE   |
|---------|--------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|-------|
| **Freundlich** | | | | | |
| HM      | SA     | 57.148 ± 1.5973                  | 0.4850 ± 0.0168 | 0.9942 | 6.6340 |
|         | IB     | 153.10 ± 9.0869                  | 0.6868 ± 0.0216 | 0.9956 | 4.6873 |
| HZ      | SA     | 22.371 ± 1.4158                  | 0.5643 ± 0.0580 | 0.9484 | 13.311 |
|         | IB     | 73.983 ± 3.9861                  | 0.7719 ± 0.0276 | 0.9946 | 2.7769 |

| Sorbent | Solute | $q_{ML}$ (mmol/kg) | $b$ (L/mmol) | $R^2$  | SSE   | $S_F$ |
|---------|--------|-------------------|--------------|--------|-------|-------|
| **Langmuir** | | | | | | |
| HM      | SA     | 42.400 ± 2.1476    | 9.1078 ± 1.2636 | 0.9844 | 17.780 | 0.5233 |
|         | IB     | 59.319 ± 2.8827    | 10.465 ± 0.8633 | 0.9976 | 2.5771 | 0.4887 |
| HZ      | SA     | 23.773 ± 2.3076    | 3.3703 ± 0.7250 | 0.9679 | 8.2896 | 0.7479 |
|         | IB     | 59.373 ± 9.2778    | 2.7424 ± 0.6062 | 0.9916 | 4.3682 | 0.7848 |

| Sorbent | Solute | $q_{DL}$ (mmol/kg) | $\beta_D$ (mol$^2$/J$^2$) | $R^2$  | SSE   | $E$ (kJ/mol) |
|---------|--------|-------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------|-------------|
| **DR**  | | | | | | |
| MM      | SA     | 39.185 ± 1.2220   | 1.8253E−08 ± 1.2547E−09   | 0.9829 | 19.520 | 5.234       |
|         | IB     | 56.520 ± 1.6017   | 1.7807E−08 ± 5.5576E−09   | 0.9964 | 3.8266 | 5.299       |
| HZ      | SA     | 18.750 ± 0.7977   | 3.2292E−08 ± 3.1121E−09   | 0.9658 | 8.8333 | 3.935       |
|         | IB     | 35.042 ± 2.0262   | 2.7385E−08 ± 2.0399E−09   | 0.9818 | 9.4266 | 4.273       |

| Sorbent | Solute | $q_m$ (mmol/kg) | $a$ (mL$^2$/J$^2$) | $R^2$  | SSE   |
|---------|--------|----------------|-------------------|--------|-------|
| **PDM** | | | | | | |
| HM      | SA     | 63.966 ± 1.9805 | 7.458E−5 ± 2.544E−6 | 0.9950 | 5.698 |
|         | IB     | 412.08 ± 28.383 | 2.942E−4 ± 7.077E−6 | 0.9976 | 2.574 |
| HZ      | SA     | 31.435 ± 2.6393 | 1.037E−4 ± 9.417E−6 | 0.9634 | 9.454 |
|         | IB     | 307.55 ± 37.217 | 3.623E−4 ± 1.504E−5 | 0.9932 | 3.505 |
The PDM model was proposed to normalize the aqueous concentration to the water solubility of the compounds from the Polanyi theory. In this study, PDM was also attempted because solubility (S) and molar volume ($V_m$) are included as model parameters, considering that the solubility and molar volume of SA and IB are considerably different. The Polanyi theory has been used to describe the sorption process of organic compounds in highly meso- and microporous sorbents, which indicates that sorption occurs by the pore-filling mechanism [22]. In the PDM model (Equation (5)), the exponent $b = 2$ corresponds to a log-normal distribution of sorption energies [32]. The PDM model fitted well to the SA and IB sorption data ($R^2 > 0.963$), as shown in Figure 2. The PDM model parameters are summarized in Table 4. It was observed that the maximum sorption capacity ($q_m$) of SA was less than IB and the $q_m$ of HM (SA: 63.97 mmol/kg, IB: 412.08 mmol/kg) was higher than that of HZ (SA: 31.44 mmol/kg, IB: 307.55 mmol/kg).

The correlation or the “characteristic” curve, the plot of sorbed volume ($q_v$) against the Polanyi potential normalized by molar volume ($\epsilon_{sw}/V_m$), is shown in Figure 3, together with model fitting. Although the pore size of HM (97.3 Å) was less than that of HZ (124.2 Å; Table 3), the maximum sorbed volume ($q_{vm}$) of HM was higher than that of HZ for both SA and IB (Table 5). The maximum sorbed volume ($q_{vm}$) was also affected by the hydrophobicity of pharmaceuticals ($\log K_{ow}$) and $f_{oc}$ of HM and HZ organoclays. This indicates that pore-filling as well as two-dimensional surface sorption (HM) and hydrophobic attraction (HZ) are involved in the sorption process.

![Figure 3. Characteristic curves of SA and IB sorption onto HM and HZ.](image)

### Table 5. Summary of characteristic curves of single and binary sorption of SA and IB onto HM and HZ at pH 7.

| Sorbent | Solute | $q_{vm}$ (mL/kg) | $\alpha$ (-) | $R^2$ | SSE |
|---------|--------|-----------------|--------------|-------|-----|
| HM      | SA     | 6.123 ± 0.190   | 74.51 ± 2.541 | 0.9950 | 0.0522 |
|         | IB     | 91.32 ± 6.290   | 294.0 ± 7.070 | 0.9976 | 0.1264 |
| HZ      | SA     | 3.009 ± 0.253   | 103.6 ± 9.408 | 0.9634 | 0.0866 |
|         | IB     | 68.16 ± 8.248   | 361.9 ± 15.03 | 0.9932 | 0.1721 |
| HM      | SA in SA/IB | 3.668 ± 0.175   | 59.20 ± 1.884 | 0.9936 | 0.0143 |
|         | IB in SA/IB | 69.87 ± 4.306   | 266.4 ± 4.841 | 0.9979 | 0.0367 |
| HZ      | SA in SA/IB | 3.293 ± 0.123   | 79.50 ± 1.793 | 0.9966 | 0.0044 |
|         | IB in SA/IB | 32.28 ± 4.959   | 245.4 ± 13.42 | 0.9780 | 0.2723 |

This “characteristic” curve can be used to identify whether the Polanyi theory mechanistically captures the sorption mechanism of pharmaceuticals by sorbents based on two assumptions. One assumption is that there is no molecular sieving effect. As mentioned before, this is true because the pore size of HM (124.2 Å) and HZ (97.31 Å; Table 3) was greater than the molecular size of SA (8.6 Å) and IB (6.7 Å; Table 1). The second assumption is that the molar volume of sorbates affects...
the sorption [35]. Figure 3 clearly shows the separation of the correlation curve of two pharmaceuticals (SA and IB). Several literature reported similar results for sorbents such as carbon sorbents [31], natural soil [27], polymers [23], and organoclays [20,21]. PDM was useful to describe the individual sorption isotherm data because \( V_m \) is the constant used in the regression analysis.

The characteristic curves at different temperatures were on the same curve for HM and HZ, respectively, and in accordance with the sorbent structure [23]. Long et al. [23] also have shown that the characteristic curves of naphthalene on polymer sorbents at different temperatures fall onto a single curve. The characteristic curve of the sorption of pharmaceuticals onto HM and HZ at 293, 303, and 313 K is shown in Figure 4. According to the Polanyi theory, all curves were essentially a single curve and had the high coefficient of determination \( (R^2) \) of the PDM model \( (0.94 < R^2 < 0.98) \). Thus, to describe pharmaceutical sorption on HM and HZ, the Polanyi theory is mechanistically useful to indicate that pore-filling is also one of the dominating sorption mechanisms. The cause of differences in sorption affinity is the steric hindrance owing to the size and shape of solute molecules.

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4.** Effect of temperature on the characteristic curves of (a) SA and (b) IB sorption onto HM and HZ, respectively. Empty and filled symbols represent HM and HZ, respectively.

In summary, the sorption mechanisms are attributed to the (i) two-dimensional surface of the pseudo-organic medium sorption for HM and the interaction between anionic pharmaceuticals and the positively charged “head” of HDTMA for HZ and the (ii) pore-filling mechanism, which can be described by the PDM model, is also possible.

### 3.3. Binary Sorption

As expected, the sorbed amount in binary sorption was less than that in single sorption. The ELM (Equation (6)), MLCM (Equation (7)), and the IAST (Equation (8))-single-sorption models (Freundlich and Langmuir) were fitted to the binary sorption data of SA/IB on HM and HZ (Figure 5). The coefficient of determination \( (R^2) \), sum of square errors (SSE), and root mean square error (RMSE) values are listed in Table 6. The ELM \( (0.72 < R^2 < 0.95) \), MLCM \( (0.93 < R^2 < 0.99) \), and IAST \( (0.86 < R^2 < 0.99) \) models positively predicted the binary sorption data in SA/IB systems. The interaction coefficients, \( \eta \), of MLCM explain the suppression in sorption owing to competition. In the MLCM, the higher the interaction coefficient \( \eta \), the smaller is the inhibitory effect of pharmaceuticals on the sorption of other species [56]. The interaction coefficient \( \eta \) of SA (1) was consistently higher than that of IB (2) for both HM \( (\eta_1: 0.871, \eta_2: 0.532) \) and HZ \( (\eta_1: 0.341, \eta_2: 0.277) \), which explains that SA (1) was more affected than IB (2) in binary sorption (Table 6). The selectivity of IB \( (=K_{d,SA}/K_{d,IB}) \) at \( C_{\text{initial}} = 0.05 \text{mM} \) was less than SA for both HM and HZ (Table S2) in the binary sorption system.
Table 6. Model parameters for the binary sorption of SA(1) and IB(2) onto HM and HZ at pH 7 (solutes: SA/IB).

| Model       | Parameters | HM          | HZ          |
|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| ELM         | $R^2$      | 0.8115/0.9468 | 0.7180/0.7275 |
|             | SSE        | 118.35/44.263  | 104.86/170.40  |
|             | RMSE       | 3.0172/1.8452  | 2.8401/3.6204  |
| MLCM        | $\eta_1$   | 0.8711 ± 0.1769  | 0.3412 ± 0.0144  |
|             | $\eta_2$   | 0.5317 ± 0.0801  | 0.2774 ± 0.0366  |
|             | $R^2$      | 0.9368/0.9792  | 0.9976/0.9821  |
|             | SSE        | 15.440/6.670  | 0.3362/4.5010  |
|             | RMSE       | 1.0150/0.6900  | 0.1497/0.5478  |
| IAST-Fr     | $R^2$      | 0.9942/0.8553  | 0.7903/0.6645  |
|             | SSE        | 3.6540/120.36  | 77.967/209.80  |
|             | RMSE       | 5.302/3.0428  | 2.449/4.0172  |
| IAST-Lang   | $R^2$      | 0.9676/0.9293  | 0.7263/0.7004  |
|             | SSE        | 20.355/58.762  | 101.77/187.34  |
|             | RMSE       | 1.2513/2.1261  | 2.7980/3.7961  |

In addition, the Polanyi theory was analyzed for the SA/IB competition system. The correlation curve of $q_v$ versus $\epsilon_{sw}/V_m$ for the SA/IB competition system is shown in Figure 6. Compared to single sorption (Figure 6), a binary sorption curve (Figure 6) exhibited a wider distribution than a single-sorption curve owing to the competition for pore-filling. The $q_{v,m}$ of HM was higher than that of HZ for both SA and IB (Table 5) and the same as $q_{v,m}$ patterns in single sorption. In binary sorption, the $q_{v,m}$ of pharmaceuticals on HM (SA: 3.67 mL/kg, IB: 69.9 mL/kg) and HZ (SA: 3.29 mL/kg, IB: 32.3 mL/kg) were lower than those in single sorption on HM (SA: 6.12 mL/kg, IB: 91.3 mL/kg) and HZ (SA: 3.01 mL/kg, IB: 68.2 mL/kg), respectively.
would be also responsible for the sorption of phenolic compounds in HM and HZ.
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4. Conclusions

The sorption of SA and IB onto HDTMA-modified montmorillonite and zeolite was conducted at pH 7. This study investigated sorption behaviors of SA and IB on the HM and HZ. The single-sorption data were fitted well by the Freundlich, Langmuir, DR, and PDM models. The sorption of IB was higher than that of SA owing to its higher hydrophobicity (log $K_{ow}$ or log $D_{ow}$). The Freundlich constant ($K_F$) and the maximum sorption capacity ($q_{ml}$) of SA and IB on HM were slightly higher than those of pharmaceuticals on HZ, mainly owing to the higher organic carbon content ($f_{oc}$). The sorption capacity ($q_{ml}$) of SA (42.4 mmol/kg) and IB (59.3 mmol/kg) in HM was higher than that of SA (23.8 mmol/kg) and IB (59.4 mmol/kg) in HZ, respectively. At working solution pH 7, the anionic speciation of SA and IB are dominant. Therefore, the sorption mechanism of anionic pharmaceuticals was explained by the two-dimensional surface of the pseudo-organic medium adsorption for HM and by the interaction of anionic pharmaceuticals with the positively charged “head” of HDTMA for HZ. According to the Polanyi theory, the characteristic curves of pharmaceuticals onto HM and HZ at 293, 303, and 313 K fell on a single curve. The good fits of the PDM model in single solute sorption implicated that the sorption of pharmaceuticals onto HM and HZ also occurred by pore-filling. In the binary sorption, ELM, MLCM, and IAST coupled with single-sorption models fitted positively to the data ($0.66 < R^2 < 0.99$). Compared to single sorption, the $q_{v,m}$ of pharmaceuticals were reduced, and the characteristic curve exhibited a wide distribution owing to the competition in pore-filling. Therefore the pore-filling mechanism would be also responsible for the sorption of phenolic compounds in HM and HZ.
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Nomenclature

- \( a ((\text{mL})^{b+1} \text{(mol})^{b})^{-1} \): fitting parameters
- \( A \): interfacial area between the solution and solid sorbent
- \( b (-) \): fitting parameters
- \( b_l (\text{L/mmol}) \): site energy factor
- \( \beta_D (\text{mol}^2/\text{J}^2) \): Dubinin–Radushkevich constant
- \( E (\text{kJ/mol}) \): mean free energy
- \( \epsilon (\text{J/mol}) \): sorption potential \( (\epsilon = RT \ln(1 + 1/C)) \)
- \( \epsilon_{sw} (\text{J/mol}) \): effective sorption potential, Polanyi potential \( (\epsilon_{sw} = RT \ln(S_w/C)) \)
- \( K_F ((\text{mmol/kg})/((\text{mmol/L})^N_F) \): Freundlich sorption coefficient (sorption affinity)
- \( N_F \): linearity coefficient
- \( \eta_i \): constant interaction factor
- \( q_m (\text{mL/kg}) \): maximum sorption capacity
- \( q_{Dm} (\text{mg/kg}) \): the theoretical saturation capacity
- \( q_{ml} (\text{mmol/kg}) \): maximum sorption capacity
- \( q_{ml,i} (\text{mmol/kg}) \) and \( b_L,i (\text{L/mmol}) \): Langmuir model parameters obtained from single sorption
- \( R (\text{J/mol-K}) \): ideal gas constant
- \( S_w (\text{mmol/L}) \): solute solubility in water
- \( T (\text{K}) \): absolute temperature
- \( V_m (\text{mL/mol}) \): molar volume of solute
- \( \pi \): spreading pressure
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