Ceftriaxone-induced toxic epidermal necrolysis mimicking burn injury: a case report
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Abstract

Introduction: Toxic epidermal necrolysis is a rare exfoliative disorder with a high mortality rate.

Case presentation: We present a 70-year-old woman of Iranian descent who presented with toxic epidermal necrolysis that was initially diagnosed as a scald burn. Further anamnesis prompted by spread of the lesions during hospitalization revealed that the patient had been receiving ceftriaxone for several days. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first case of ceftriaxone-induced toxic epidermal necrolysis in the English literature.

Conclusion: Toxic epidermal necrolysis is an acute, life-threatening, exfoliative disorder with a high mortality rate. High clinical suspicion, prompt recognition, and initiation of supportive care is mandatory. Thorough investigation of the pathogenetic mechanisms is fundamental. Optimal treatment guidelines are still unavailable.

Introduction

Toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) is a rare, potentially life-threatening disorder characterized by widespread epidermal death [1,2]. The majority of reported cases were the result of idiosyncratic drug reactions [3]. The severity of the syndrome, the anecdotal case reports, and the uncontrolled series presented in the English literature render accurate characterization of the syndrome difficult in terms of underlying pathogenic mechanisms and adequate treatment options.

We present a case of TEN diagnosed initially as a scald burn. The similar initial dermatological manifestations of these entities might be confusing to the clinician, especially when the patient is disoriented and an accurate anamnesis is difficult to obtain.

In the present case, TEN was caused by ceftriaxone therapy. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first case of ceftriaxone-induced TEN in the English literature.

Case presentation

A 70-year-old woman of Iranian descent was referred to our trauma unit for a major scald burn. The exact mechanism of injury was inconclusive. The patient had a history of diabetes mellitus type 2, ischemic heart disease, hypertension, hyperparathyroidism, hyperlipidemia, chronic bronchitis, glaucoma, and mild depressive disorder. She had been receiving treatment on a regular basis with the following medications: amitriptyline, enalapril, glyburide, verapamil, omeprazole, aspirin, simvastatin, theophylline, furosemide, metformin, citropram, dorzolamide hydrochloride eye drops, and latanoprost eye drops.
On admission, the patient was disoriented. Blood pressure was 90/60 mmHg. Cutaneous examination revealed a second-degree superficial burn involving both breasts, lateral aspect of the flanks, anteromedial aspect of the arms, medial aspect of the thighs, and the right scapular region. Diffuse erythema was noted, especially of the upper extremity and anterior trunk (Figs. 1, 2).

A presumptive diagnosis of a second-degree, superficial major scald burn affecting 26% of the total body surface area (TBSA) was made. Fluid resuscitation was initiated according to the Parkland formula [4]. A Foley catheter was inserted. Local treatment included wound debridement and application of saline-soaked gauze.

Physician examination 12 hours post-admission to the Burn Unit was remarkable for thin blisters in locations not affected on admission: back, neck, inguinal region, and both knees (Figs. 1, 2), ultimately effecting 35% of the TBSA. The worsened epidermolysis was accompanied by a positive Nikolsky sign.

On further questioning, burn was ruled out as a causal factor. The patient reported that 2 days prior to admission, she had been discharged from another hospital with a diagnosis of pneumonia, and she had been receiving ceftriaxone for 4 days.

The final diagnosis was TEN due to ceftriaxone intake. The mucous membranes were not involved. Treatment with intravenous hydrocortisone 500 mg was initiated. The hypoglycemia (glucose level-45 mg/dl) was successfully treated with intravenous dextrose 5%, and the oral hypoglycemic medications were discontinued. Laboratory studies revealed hypomagnesemia (1.32 mg/dl), for which intravenous MgSO4 was administered. Local treatment included Vaseline gauze dressings that were changed once a day.

On the second day of admission, the patient’s temperature began to rise. Complete blood count revealed leukopenia of 2,300 mg/dl. Incisional punch biopsy demonstrated widespread full-thickness epidermal necrosis (Fig. 3). The dermis was devoid of inflammatory cells. Histopathological findings were compatible with the diagnosis of TEN. The patient was referred to our intensive care unit (ICU), and treatment with intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIG) was initiated (0.5 g/kg daily for 4
days, the total daily dose of IVIG was 40 grams). The hemodynamic instability was successfully treated with inotropic agents and mechanical ventilation.

Blood culture results, obtained during the patient’s hospitalization in the ICU, were positive for Klebsiella pneumoniae, Proteus mirabilis, Enterobacter, Enterococcus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antibiotic treatment included vancomycin, levofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, ampicillin sulbactam, piperacillin tazobactam, and amikacin sulfate. The clinical course was complicated by adult respiratory distress syndrome, thrombocytopenia, and hypoglycemic episodes. Following prolonged ventilation, tracheostomy was performed. After 42 days in the ICU, the patient was found to be hemodynamically stable and afebrile, and was discharged to rehabilitation. Study of the cutaneous lesions demonstrated re-epithelialization with successful wound healing. Mild pigmentary alterations remained with no residual scars. Despite the favorable course of TEN in this case, the patient succumbed to intracranial hemorrhage 4 months later. This outcome was entirely unrelated to TEN.

Discussion
TEN is a rare exfoliative disorder with an estimated annual incidence of 1-2 per million [2]. Reported mortality rates vary from 20 to 60 percent [3]. The most common cause of TEN is idiosyncratic drug reaction, although viral, bacterial, and fungal infections, as well as immunization, have been described [3]. The drugs most frequently involved are nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents, chemotherapeutic agents, antibiotics, and anticonvulsants [3,5]. Among the cephalosporins, cefazidine [6], cefuroxime [7], cephalxin [7-10], and cephem [11] have been implicated. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported case of TEN induced by ceftriaxone.

The pathogenesis of TEN is still not fully clear. The widespread epidermal death is thought to be a consequence of keratinocyte apoptosis [12]. A pivotal role of cytotoxic T lymphocytes has been suggested [3]. Recent studies indicated that TEN may be an MHC-class-I-restricted specific drug sensitivity resulting in clonal expansion of CD8+ cytotoxic lymphocytes with potential for cytolysis. The cytotoxicity is apparently mediated by granzymes (serine proteinases that are components of cytotoxic cells and natural killer cell granules) [13].

The clinical course of TEN is characterized by a prodromal phase with influenza-like symptoms followed by intense erythema, urticarial plaques, and bullae which progress over a day or two to a more generalized epidermal slough [3]. There is often severe involvement of the mucosal surfaces that may precede the skin lesions. Functionally, mucosal involvement might entail impaired alimentation and higher vulnerability to infections, rendering the prognosis less favorable. As such, the absence of mucosal involvement in the case presented may have contributed to her favorable outcome. Progressive neutropenia and thrombocytopenia may develop within a few days and, together with septic complications, may lead to multi-organ failure and death. Apart from prompt withdrawal of the causative drug and rapid initiation of supportive care, strict therapeutic guidelines are still lacking. The benefit of individual treatment options is a matter of debate, and the reader is referred to the comprehensive review by Chave et al. [1]

Despite the controversial efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulins and corticosteroids, our patient was treated with both. We do not know, however, which agent was responsible for her clinical improvement.

Conclusion
TEN is an acute, life-threatening, exfoliative disorder with a high mortality rate. High clinical suspicion, prompt recognition, and initiation of supportive care is mandatory. Thorough investigation of the pathogenetic mechanisms is fundamental. Optimal treatment guidelines are still unavailable. Multi-institutional collaborative efforts to develop better treatment strategies are warranted.
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