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Scientific research is and was at all times a transnational (global) activity. In this respect it crosses several borders: national, cultural, and ideological. Even in times when physical borders separated the scientific community, scientists kept their minds open for ideas created on the other side of the walls and tried to communicate despite all obstacles. An example of such activities in the field of physics is the travel in the year 1838 of a group of three scientists through the Western Europe: Andreas Ettingshausen (professor at the University of Vienna), August Kunzek (professor at the University of Lviv) and P. Marian Koller (director of the observatory in Chremsminster, Upper Austria).

155 years later a vivid scientific exchange between physicists from Austria and Ukraine and in particular between the Institute for Condensed Matter Physics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine in Lviv and the Institute for Theoretical Physics of Johannes Kepler University Linz began. This became possible due to programs financed by national institutions, but it had its scientific background in already knotted historic scientific networks, when Lviv was an international center of mathematics and in Vienna the ‘School of Statistical Thought’ arose. Due to the new collaboration, Ukraine became the first state after the breakup of the Soviet Union that joined Middle European Cooperation in Statistical Physics (MECO), founded in the early 1970s with the aim of bridging the gap between scientists from the Eastern and Western parts of Europe, separated by the iron curtain.

In this paper, we discuss the above examples of scientific cooperation pursuing several goals: to record less known facts from the history of science in a general culturological context, to trace the rise of studies that resulted, with a span of time, in an emergence of statistical and condensed matter physics, to follow development of multilayer networking structures that join scientists and enable their research. It is our pleasure to submit this paper to the Festschrift devoted to the 60th birthday of a renowned physicist, our good colleague and friend Ihor Mryglod. In fact, his activities contributed a lot into strengthening the networks we describe in this paper.
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1. Introduction

Science is rooted in conversation.
Werner Heisenberg [1].

Knowledge propagates.
Stuart Kauffman [2].

Apart from exceptional, but nevertheless famous examples in history of scientists hiding their research, the community of scientists nowadays would agree with Heisenberg’s opinion cited above [3]. But private communication is only one (maybe the most intimate) way of spreading ideas and knowledge. There are other networks permitting propagation of knowledge the most relevant the educational networks on different levels. One important here is the academic geneological network, then the networking due to publications, talks on conferences, free or forced movements
of scientists in their carrier, connections due to national and international programs, organizing the transfer between disciplines and between research and application. Each of these processes is nowadays object of interdisciplinary research field of the science of science, see for example [4] and [5]. Moreover since creating networks cost money their supporters are interested in the evaluation of such networks [6, 7].

Here, we will consider two examples separated by a time period of 155 years. Both are taken from the field of physics and concern communication between scientists from the regions of origin of the authors of this paper. Doing so we pursue several goals: to record less known facts from the history of science in a general culturological context, to trace the rise of studies that resulted, with a span of time, in an emergence of statistical and condensed matter physics, to follow development of multilayer networking structures that join scientists and enable their research. It is our pleasure to submit this paper to the Festschrift devoted to the 60th birthday of a renowned physicist, our good colleague and friend Ihor Mryglod. In fact, his activities contributed a lot into strengthening the networks we will speak about in this paper.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section 2 we describe in more details the above two examples of scientific cooperation: a travel of three scientists (from Vienna, Lviv and Chremsminster near Linz) in 1838 through leading centers of European scientific thought and a collaboration between the Institute of Theoretical Physics of the Johannes Kepler University in Linz and the Institute of Condensed Matter Physics in Lviv that started 155 years later. Section 3 collects some facts about developments in statistical physics related to the above collaboration and Section 4 contains some general reflections.

2. Scientific travelling and research cooperation

The authors of this paper are from two different institutions: the Institute of Theoretical Physics (ITP) of the Faculty of Engineering & Natural Sciences of the Johannes Kepler University Linz in Austria and the Institute for Condensed Matter Physics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine (ICMP) in Lviv. It happens that in 2019 both institutions celebrate their jubilees: ITP as one of the Faculty institutions as well as the first department of ICMP were founded in 1969. In the meantime, a tight collaboration in the field of statistical and condensed matter physics has been established between our institutions. This was initiated by our first common projects back in 1993 and became possible due to programs financed by national institutions. Obviously, such collaboration has its scientific background in already knotted historic scientific networks, when Lviv was an international center of mathematics [8, 9] and in Vienna the ‘School of Statistical Thought’ arose [10].

Figure 1. The three traveling companions: P. Marian Koller, Prof. August Kunzek and Prof. Andreas Ettingshausen. ©Bildarchiv Austria ÖNB.

To shed more light on the origin, development and possible perspectives of common scientific
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inquiries, we decided as a case study to consider in more details two examples of cooperation between scientists from our regions. The first example is the travel in the year 1838 of a group of three scientists through Western Europe. These were Andreas Ettingshausen (professor at the University of Vienna), August Kunzek (professor at the University in Lviv) and Pater Marian Koller (director of the observatory in Chremsminster, near Linz in Upper Austria). The second example is given by the above mentioned cooperation between the ITP and the ICMP that began 155 years later.

As it becomes apparent from the further account, heroes of our stories had connections to different institutions, that emerged and disappeared in the course of their life (see Fig. 2). Moreover, the countries disappeared and reappeared too, eliminating old borders and establishing new ones. The city of Lviv (Lwów in Polish and Lemberg in German) had its university since 1661, presently the Ivan Franko National University of Lviv. Linz attained its university in 1966 first as a University of Social and Economic Sciences, later enlarged by further faculties. Earlier, in Linz at the protestant “Landschaftsschule” taught Johannes Kepler mathematics and afterwards, in 1777 the catholic Lyceum was founded here (both schools are considered as forerunners of the university). Moreover the nearby monastery of Chremsminster was an important educational center with its schools and a research center of astronomy and natural sciences. Its astronomical and geophysical observatory (“Mathematical Tower”) was built in 1749–1756 and well connected to other observatories in Europe.

There is no direct connection between the two examples of cooperation of the scientists we will discuss in this section. The common feature however is the openness to new ideas and the basis of a common network apart from the specific topic. This could be seen for the first example as the academic network in the Habsburg university landscape to which the scientists belonged and in the second case the European academic background which developed in the time of cooperation. The field of critical phenomena, properties of condensed systems and the theory of statistical mechanics was developed during the time frame between the two examples.

2.1. Three men cross Europe in the year 1838

The plan for this journey came from Andreas v. Ettingshausen (1796 - 1878) professor for physics at the University of Vienna and should include Pater Marian Koller (1792-1866) director of the observatory of the monastery Chremsminster and Andreas Baumgartner (1793 - 1865) also professor of the University of Vienna. The main purpose was to collect as much information on current scientific projects, new instruments and teaching facilities as possible. The fields of interest were broad according to the different interest of the participants. The preparation started almost a year earlier in order to get the official permission and the financing for the journey. Due to the health problems, Andreas Baumgartner was forced already in 1833 to reduce his teaching activities, therefore he had to withdraw his participation in the journey and was replaced by August Kunzek (1795-1865) professor of physics at the University of Lviv. In Fig. 2 we show a diagram sketching succession and mobility of scientists in the institutions under discussion and displaying in this way the continuity of academic tradition and knowledge propagation.

The journey of three colleagues-scientists through Europe occurred in 1838. P. Koller reported regularly news from the different places to his assistant in the observatory P. Reslhuber. A detailed description of the journey can be found in P. Reslhuber’s biography of P. Koller. The stay of the scientists in Berlin is also documented in the recollections of Rudolf Wolf. We collect some data about the journey in Table 1, giving there the time table, names of the cities visited and of the scientists and instrument makers met there. When the journey was over, the Austrian newspaper Der Adler of November 6, 1838 wrote (p. 1045): “... A few days ago, the professor of physics in Lemberg, Dr. August Kunzek, returned from his scientific journey through

1 He was pupil of the gymnasium at the monastery Chremsminster.
2 He also taught physics at the monasterial gymnasium and was director of the Physical Cabinet at the observatory.
3 Both Baumgartner and Kunzek taught at the Lyceum in Olomouc before they became professors at the respective universities.
Germany, Belgium, England and France, which he undertook with the professors of physics A. von Ettingshausen and Marian Koller. The professors of the local philosophical school arranged a feast in the casino pub to receive him, in order to testify their participation in the great scientific exploitation of the learned travelers, and the promotion of science thereby effected....

Kunzek traveled abroad at his own expense, especially to Germany, France, and England, where he expanded his knowledge by visiting scientific institutes, museums, and laboratories. Many achievements in Lviv had their basis on these visits. When the technical academy was to be established in Lviv, he was also entrusted by the Government with the drafting of a plan to organize it, and in the following his proposals were indeed realized. When in 1844, the k. & k. Technical Academy with technical and trade departments was opened in Lviv, August Kunzek was beneath 14 persons, who applied for the position of its director, but the position went to Florian Schindler, teacher at the Joanneum in Graz ([15] p. 103). In 1847 Kunzek obtained the chair of physics and applied mathematics at the University of Vienna [16]. Boltzmann visited the following lectures by Kunzek: WS 1863/64 Light and heat; SS 1864 Statics of liquid bodies; WS 1864/65 Magnetism [17].

One of the priorities of the journey was to visit observatories to get information in the field of astronomy, but also other fields of research as meteorology, and primarily geomagnetism were of interest. Meteorological and geomagnetic measurements were made since the 18th century in the observatory and in the year 1839 due to the cooperation with Karl Kreil (1798–1862) (in Prague) the measurement station of the observatory became a part of the international network of the ‘Göttinger Magnetischen Vereins’ [18]. In addition in his publication *Ueber den Gang der Wärme*...
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| Date   | Place         | Persons                                                                 |
|--------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 18. 07. | Prague        | F. Hessler, K. Wersin, Ch. Doppler                                       |
| 25. 07. | Berlin        | J. G. Galle, J. H. A. Oertling, F. W. Schiek, W. Hirschmann, J. H. Mädler, E. Mitscherlich, R. Rieß, G. Magnus |
| 27. 07. | Hamburg       | K. Rümker, H. Ch. Schuhmacher, J. Herschel, H. Kessels, Repsold, A.C. Petersen |
| 03. 08. | London        | J. Herschel, F. Baily, Ch. Babage, J. D. Roberton, G. Dollond, M. Faraday, S. W. Stratford, G. Airy |
|         | Antwerpen     | visit of town only                                                       |
| 21. 08. | Brüssel       | J. Quetelet                                                             |
| 24. 08. | Paris         | H.-P. Gambey, L.C. Breguet, F. Arago, A. Bouvard, F. Savary, S. D. Poisson, Ch.-F. Sturm, E. Chevreul, A. Dumeril, A. Brogniart, J. B. B. St. Vincent, J. Babinet Ch. C. de la Tour, A. Humboldt, J. Péclet, C. Pouillet, A. Cauchy, Ch. Chevalier |
| 16. 09. | Freiburg      | L. von Buch, W. Buckland, K. F. Martius, Ch. F. Schönbein, G. W. Munke, G. Osann, W. Eisenlohr |
| 21. 09. | Augsburg      | Stark                                                                   |
| 27. 09. | München       | Steinheil, Lamont                                                        |

Table 1. Map and time table of the scientific journey and the scientist and instrument makers met at the different stations. In blue the travel to Vienna, in black and red the different stages of the journey.
in Österreich ob der Enns. P. Marian Koller “...imparted the farsighted lesson that the future of climatological research would require cooperation on a large scale, following the example set by Humboldt (whom he met in Paris, see Tab. 1) and Gauss for geomagnetism...” (see [20] chapter 3, p. 68).

Let us point to another French scientist they met in Paris, connected to the research, which was 155 years later a common topic of cooperation between University of Linz and the ICMP in Lviv. This was at the meeting of the Academy where they got to know Charles Cagniard de la Tour (1777–1859), who discovered 1822 in liquids special effects at a certain point (in the temperature – pressure plane), later named as a critical point [21]. The behavior of matter near such a peculiar point was then named critical phenomenon and opened a large field of research in physics spreading out to other fields even outside natural sciences as economics, sociology or even humanities [22, 23]. However at the time of the journey of the three scientists other topics were in discussion from fields as astronomy, meteorology, and most notably optical, electrical and magnetic phenomena. They led in 1865 to Maxwell’s electrodynamics unifying those three phenomena in one field theory.

![Figure 3. Natterer tube with CO\textsubscript{2} used in lectures to show critical opalescence. ©Collection in the Observatory of the Monastery Chremsminster](image)

In 1854 in Vienna Johannes Natterer tried to liquify air by increasing the pressure but failed. The reason was that he was unaware of the concept of the critical point, an explanation was first given by Dmitrij Mendelev’s theory of the absolute boiling point of liquids and Thomas Andrews experiments with CO\textsubscript{2} gas [21, 25]. In order to liquify the temperature of the gas has to be below the critical temperature. So it happened only by chance to succeed by increasing the pressure and for cases where the method failed the gases were named permanent gases. In 1858 a device of Natterer for demonstrating critical phenomena came to Chremsminster. The famous Natterer tube was mentioned in the publications of Andrews in 1869 and Smoluchowski in 1911. It shows the disappearance of the meniscus between the gaseous and liquid phase at the critical temperature by increasing the temperature from lower temperatures and the critical opalescence by reducing the temperature coming from higher temperatures to the critical one.

### 2.2. Science as a collective enterprise

The organization of scientific research changed considerably between the time of Koller’s, Kunzek’s and Ettingshausen’s travel and the begin of the Linz - Lviv cooperation in 1993. Derek J. de Solla Price characterized it shortly as change from Little Science to Big Science or as one may say from the study room to large-scale research. The main changes are beginning about 1900 but especially after the two World Wars: (1) an increase in the number of researchers and publications [7] (2) an increase of publications with coauthors, (3) a change in the local organization of research from individuals to teams, (4) globally an increased cooperation in common research programs between different countries and (5) a spread of knowledge due to displacement of scientists because of political reasons but above all of antisemitism [8]. Together with this the possibilities of individual communication between scientists were speeded up from sending letters to sending emails in the mid-1980-ies.

---

7 Apart from a short reduction due to the boycott against central scientists [28, 29].

8 It is the task of the science of science to quantify this development and create models to give predictions regarding the success of this processes [30].
On one hand the development was supported in Europe by the forming of the European community, on the other hand hindered by the Cold War and its manifestation by the Iron Curtain. Nevertheless scientists tried to overcome these obstacles and to make the most of keeping in touch over the borders. Among numerous initiatives and forms of support for scientific collaboration, we would like to emphasize several initiatives that helped a lot in establishing and strengthening Linz-Lviv collaboration. These are the Middle European Cooperation in Statistical Physics (MECO) and European Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST).

An idea to organize regular meetings of scientists from both sides of the Iron Curtain, where also young scientists could take part, emerged in the early 1970s [27]. Very soon MECO became one of the most influential meeting places where the state-of-the-art ideas in statistical and condensed matter physics were born and discussed. The topics of ferroelectricity and structural phase transitions, the soft mode concept and the problem of a central peak, new theoretical aspects of Wilson’s and field-theoretical renormalization group and much more were subjects of vivid and fruitful discussions at the first MECO meetings. These were exactly the topics that comprised a core of Linz-Lviv collaboration. With a span of time, due to more and more active participation of Ukrainian scientists Lviv became the first city in the former Soviet Union that hosted the MECO meeting too, see the sketch of widening of the MECO network in Fig. 4.

The main goal of COST is to enable researchers from different fields and different countries to work together in open networks that transcend borders. In particular, COST is funding Actions – a network dedicated to scientific collaboration, complementing national research funds.

The first action in Physics was COST P1 - Soft condensed matter it started 1997 and ran until 2001. The set of subsequent Actions centered upon applications of physical ideas in the fields far beyond physics in its traditional sense essentially contributed to maintaining and strengthening Linz-Lviv cooperation too. In particular, these were: Physics of Risk (P10, 2005-2007), Physics of Competition and Conflicts (MP0801, 2008-2013), Analyzing the dynamics of information and knowledge landscapes (TD1210, 2013-2017).

The first contacts between ITP and ICMP (see Fig. 5 where we show current locations of these institutions) started by personal visits in 1992-93 and were further developed during the Ukrainian-French Symposium ‘Condensed Matter Science & Industry (Feb 20 - 27 1993, Lviv), Ukrainian-Polish and East-European Workshop on Ferroelectricity and Phase Transitions (18-24 Sept., 1994, Uzhhorod- V.Remety) and many more meetings that were commonly attended or
organized. The first common paper was written in 1995 \cite{31} and since then we have a good hundred of common publications both reporting original research, reviewing it and making popular to a wider community. Of course, a measure for cooperation of two institutions, which is visible to all the community, is the number of papers with coauthorship from both institutions. But there is in addition an less visible cooperation just from the exchange of informations privately, in discussions sometimes visible in papers by acknowledgements. Moreover authors of the common papers are themselves embedded in coauthorship networks leading to a larger network which is the basis for possible flow of information. All these we encountered in our collaboration.

All cooperations were financed by grants from of the Austrian ‘Wissenschaftsfonds’ (FWF)\footnote{P19583-N20 Critical phenomena in pure and disordered Systems (2007-2011), P18592-N20 Phase transitions and correlations in complex fluids (2006-2008), P16574-N08 Critical Phenomena in disordered systems (2003-2007), P15247-N03 Dynamics of Complex Fluids(2001-2004), 12422-PHY (1997-2000)} one project by The Anniversary Fund of the Oesterreichische Nationalbank (OeNB)\footnote{No.7694 Critical Phenomena (1999-2003)}, one by the Ministry of Science, Research and Art\footnote{Grant Microscopic theory of dynamic properties of magnetic liquids (1994-1996)} shorter stays were supported by the OeAD. Mutual lecturing and guest professorships in Lviv and in Linz enabled further development of our contacts and involved young colleagues to future collaboration. Besides lecturing, we initiated translation of textbooks written in a native language in one country in order to be used for students of other countries \cite{32}. In Table 2 we list the names of colleagues involved in this collaboration.\footnote{The poster below the table was produced by Oleaya Mryglod, ICMP Lviv, to commemorate a benchmark in the collaboration.} Although we do not specify participation of each of them in the specific part of collaboration projects, we think it is proper to note, that it was Ihor Mryglod who made the first visit from the ICMP to the ITP, followed by Yulian Vysochanskii from Uzhhorod University and by numerous subsequent contacts.

The topics of our common research covered various fields of statistical and condensed matter physics. Beneath the objects of interest there were ferroelectrics, regular and structurally disordered magnets, magnetic liquids, superconductors. We were interested in static and dynamic critical phenomena, crossover critical behavior, self-organization and emergence of new features in complex systems. And indeed some of the phenomena that were in the core of our interest were the subject of discussion between traveling companions we spoke about in the former subsection. Moreover, the period in between gave raise to the new field of physics - statistical physics, that enabled thorough theoretical analysis of these and other phenomena that occur in systems of many interacting particles. In our research we developed and learned methods of renormalization group, non-equilibrium statistical operator, functional integration and diagrammatic expansions, resum-
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ITP Linz | ICMP Lviv | ITP Linz | ICMP Lviv
--- | --- | --- | ---
R. Folk | Yu. M. Vysochanskii* | T.-C- Dinh | M. Dudka
G. Moser | I. M. Mryglod | I. Nasser | V. Blavats'ka
F. Schinagl | Yu. Holovatch | A. Abdel-Hady | O. Prytula
H. Iro | T. Yavors'kii" | G. Flossmann | V. Palchykov
W. Fenz | I. Omelyan |

Table 2. Group members involved in the collaboration from the ITP Linz and the ICMP Lviv apart from two exceptions: "from the University of Uzhhorod, "from the Ivan Franko National University of Lviv. The figure shows some of the traveling companions from Lviv that visited Johannes Kepler University Linz in the course of this collaboration.

...mation of asymptotic series and creating algorithms for computer simulations. And again some of the mathematics involved had to do with our predecessors from the regions we discuss in this paper. In the next section we will give some references to the key persons who created these fields and worked and lived in our regions.

3. What happened in between?

In this section, we do not give a thorough and comprehensive description of rise and development of statistical physics ideas, we rather concentrate of some personalities mentioning their affiliations, mobility and/or institutional and intellectual connections. Doing so we will emphasize those facts that are mostly linked to the ITP-ICMP collaboration.

3.1. Vienna school of statistical thought

The first severe regress in intensification of scientific connections was the breakdown of the Habsburg Empire after the World War I. The developing academic network was destroyed and the survival of several universities was questioned. It is interesting that together with the demand to build Ukrainian university in Lviv in 1917 a similar application was placed for a German speaking university in Linz [33].

Statistical physics, a science that uses probabilistic methods in solving physical problems of behaviour of many-particle interacting systems, as a separate field of research was born in the middle of 19th century. As noted by Mark Kac, a former student of Hugo Steinhaus in Lviv University, “About the middle of the nineteenth century attempts were begun to unite the disciplines of mechanics and thermodynamics” [34]. He named Boltzman besides Maxwell and Gibls as roots of these far-reaching achievements in science. Indeed, it is hard to overestimate the role of Vi-
The Vienna school in creating and developing statistical physics. Elliott W. Montroll noted on the AIP Conference Random Walks and Their Applications in the Physical and Biological Sciences in 1982: “A remarkably large number of pioneers in the evolution of the statistical style of thought in modern physical and indeed biological science may trace their family tree back to the Vienna school... I must confess that not a drop of Wienerblutt (sic!) flows in my veins nor have any of my teachers been leaves on the Vienna Family Tree. However, my life has been very much enriched by my friends and colleagues who are leaves identified or not identified on the tree.” Montroll’s family tree follows some of the roots to the leaves. In Fig. 6 on one hand we have corrected and reduced and on the other hand extended the tree with respect to topics of the collaboration between the ITP and ICMP. Due to the fate of Jewish scientists like Ehrenfest and Herzfeld the thought of this school was scattered all over the world as far as USA and China. Moreover the period of the Nazi-Regime after 1938 further increased the brain drain to other countries.

Many of the scientists named in the tree, contributed to the understanding of critical phenomena in liquids, later to the magnetic phase transition and the understanding of ferromagnetism. Of great importance was the struggle to solve the Ising model suggested 1920 by Wilhelm Lenz and first attacked by Ernst Ising, although with a solution only for the one dimensional model. However the success made by Onsager 1944 by the solution of the two dimensional model was based on results developed by Wannier and Kramers, a leave of Montroll’s tree. These works advanced research in critical phenomena and related fields considerably, see and references therein.

Scientists left Vienna and got new positions in other countries/institutions and thus enlarged the branches (indicated at their names). Let us follow some branches in the tree in order to follow the propagation of the thoughts and see how they reached Linz and Lviv.

After his thesis 1895 M. Smoluchowski went on a tour through Europe where he worked in Glasgow with Lord Kelvin. After returning to Vienna he habilitated and looked for a permanent position and got end of the year 1899 the chair of Mathematical (Theoretical) Physics at the University in Lviv.

---

13Less known is that Touschek, a Jewish student from Vienna lived in Nazi-time undercover in Hamburg in the flat of Lenz and later 1957 taught Di Castro Statistical Physics using the textbook of Erwin Schrödinger. Di Castro is one of the first advisory board members of MECO.

14His talk for this occasion had the title: “The energy distribution in the spectrum of a black body.”
K.F. Herzfeld after a stay 1919 in Munich left Europe and got a visiting professorship 1926 at the Johns Hopkins University in Baltimore, Maryland and 1933 a chair at The Catholic University of America in Washington, DC. One of his descents, R.A. Ferrell made important contributions related to the further development of statistical physics and critical phenomena and stimulated also the connections within this field in Europe. This leads also to an example for the touch of two different leaves of the tree in later times in the coauthor network, when since 1967 a series of “United Nations papers” by Ferrell and visitors from Hungary (Nora Menyhard and Peter Szepfalusy), Germany (Hartwig Schmidt) and Austria (Franz Schwabl) on scaling theory in critical dynamics appeared. Some of the authors where later involved in organizing the above mentioned MECO conferences. F. Schwabl was appointed a chair at the University of Linz in 1973 and initiated these studies at the ITP.

P. Ehrenfest left Vienna 1906 and became 1912 after stays in Göttingen, St. Peterburg the successor of H.A. Lorentz at the University of Leiden (see [41]). There his descendant made also important contributions to the understanding of critical phenomena. After he left Vienna a meeting with Schrödinger is reported, where he introduced him to the work of Langevin and Weiss [42]. This stimulated Schrödinger’s early on Studien über Kinetik der Dielektrika, den Schmelzpunkt, Pyro- und Piezoelektrizität where he coined the term ferroelektrisch (ferroelectric). This type of phase transitions was one of the main topics in the first MECO conference 1974 and the topics, which lead to a cooperation with Prof. Yulian Vysochanskii from the Uzhhorod National University and lead to a common ‘European’ publication [43]. It was at the Ukrainian, Polish and East-European Workshop on Ferroelectricity and Phase transitions 1994 in Uzhhorod–V. Remety where the authors of this paper met first.

E. Schrödinger considered himself a follower of Ludwig Boltzman through his teacher Franz Exner and foremost, Fritz (Friedrich) Hasenöhrl. “…His intention to extend the explanatory range of statistical theory guided his choice of topics” [45]. Although Schrödinger had the opportunity to stay at the University of Vienna he left Austria but returned 1936 to the University of Graz. After the ‘Anschluß 1938’ he could not stay longer in Graz and fled to Dublin, where he stayed until his retirement in 1955 and then returned again to Austria to the University of Vienna.

3.2. Lviv school of statistical physics

The roots of theoretical physics in Lviv date back to 1850 [46]. An important step was an appointment of M. Smoluchowski 1899 to the chair of Mathematical (Theoretical) Physics at the University of Lviv [47]. In the 1908 paper Molekular-kinetische Theorie der Opaleszenz von Gasen im kritischen Zustande, sowie einiger verwandter Erscheinungen he linked the critical density fluctuations, demonstrated near the critical point in Natter’s tube, to the fluctuations in the refraction index and thus to the scattering in the fluid. Mark Kac described Smoluchowski’s scientific output in the following -relying [49]: “…while directed toward the same goal how different the Smoluchowski approach is from Boltzmann’s. There is no dynamics, no phase space, no Liouville theorem – in short none of the usual underpinnings of Statistical Mechanics. Smoluchowski may not have been aware of it but he began writing a new chapter of Statistical Physics which in our times goes by the name of Stochastic Processes. It is the probabilistic point of view in contradistinction to the statistico-mechanical one that is also clearly present in Smoluchowski’s first paper on Brownian motion and in a paper on the mean free path which just preceded it. … The underlying idea proved enormously fruitful and it gradually permeated much of statistical physics; permeated it, in fact, so well that few of us realize today that much of modern problematics (notably that related to so called master equations) is directly traceable to ideas first promulgated by Smoluchowski in the early years of this century”.

Indeed as it was recognized later it is the appearance of fluctuations

---

15 He was one of the first to comment E. Ising’s paper 1925. In the same year he published his book on kinetic theory and statistical mechanics, which became a graduate-level textbook in German-speaking universities, see also [40].

16 During this stay he connected the Vienna school of statistical thought to the Lviv school shown in Fig. 7 in seminars together with Ioffe. He also published in this time together with his wife Tatyana Afanasyeva the famous review about statistical physics.
and correlations of all sizes which make the liquid opaque for all optical wavelength. This ‘lost of scale’ or better invariance of scale at $T_c$ is fundamental to scaling theory with all its consequences 50.

Stanislaw Ulam characterized the situation of Smoluchowski in Lviv in the following way 51: “It is interesting to see how it was possible for a person of his exceptionally high ability, to get to the forefront of European thought in physics, even though the milieu in which he worked as a young professor was relatively isolated and without tradition in science. Nevertheless, it was possible to start pioneering work in a relatively new field (statistical mechanics) and get to the forefront of world science in it, once a catalyzing contact with other minds had been made 17.” And he immediately compared this with the situation of the Lviv Mathematical School 8 between the World Wars to which he, as well as the aforementioned Marc Kac belonged. Activity of the Mathematical School made Lviv a center of several evolving fields, functional analysis and set theory being among them. Stanislaw Ulam invented a method, that currently has grown up to a broad class of computational algorithms widely used in physics in general and in statistical physics in particular: in 1940-ies together with John von Neuman he suggested a Monte Carlo method. The application to the magnetic transition in the Ising model was presented by K. Binder 1974 at the first MECO conference, K. Binder remembers 52: “I was the only person studying Ising systems in Europe at the time and he [David Landau] was the only one doing the same in the United States. So he read my papers when they appeared and we agreed to meet in a conference, which was a magnetism conference in 1973 in Moscow.”

In the year 1962 Mark Kac, G. E. Uhlenbeck, and P. C. Hemmer started a series of papers on van der Waals theory to make the theory less qualitative. The concept of a critical region in contrast to a critical point has often been discussed especially in order to explain various anomalous critical phenomena which apparently were in conflict with the van der Waals equation. They also mentioned the effect of gravity on critical phenomena and the attempt of G. Bakker 53 to deduce a critical region instead of just a critical point. Reason for the controversial views are the interpretations

17Accentuation by the authors.
of the disappearance of the meniscus around the critical temperature observed in Natterer’s tube. Also the shape of the coexistence curve was under discussion.

Besides Lviv University and Polytechnic, physics has been developing in the Shevchenko Scientific Society - a prototype of the first Ukrainian National Academy of Sciences [54, 55]. In this way the scientists could create what nowadays is called a scientific community, a community with an own identity extending over national and cultural borders. It was first of all for theoretical physicist the time of creating modern quantum mechanics and nuclear physics. It was also the time of upcoming displacements and strengthening the global identity of the scientists community. A more detailed description of this process is given by M. Desser in his book Between Scylla and Charybdis The ‘scientific community of physicists 1919 - 1939’ [56].

World War II changed the academic landscape in Lviv, also in the field of theoretical physics. Ihor Yukhnovskii recalls: “...Bogolyubov’s impact on the Lviv school of theoretical physics was induced by his well-known book Problems of dynamic theory in statistical physics that was published in 1946. It was a young scientist Abba Glauberman, a postgraduate from Leningrad (now St.Peterburg), who in 1948 turned our attention upon this book here in Lviv [18]. “ Having hand-written my candidate dissertation in a nice big notebook, I brought it to Moscow University to find the seminar conducted by Bogolyubov... This is how Bogolyubov directly entered my life and the life of theoretical physicists in Lviv.” [61]. This was the start of the new period in development of statistical physics in Lviv, which gave rise to the ICMP and to the Lviv-Linz collaboration. A detailed description of ICMP organizational and intellectual development may be found in a recently published book [62].

4. Instead of Conclusions

This very short and selected history of scientific cooperation between academic institutions in Austria and Ukraine shows in our opinion several characteristics: (1) Historical roots of cooperation can survive over long periods. (2) Common language (Latin in Europe and German in Habsburg Empire in the past and English now) in publications, conferences facilitates to overcome easily cultural differences [20]. (3) Transnational activities by general European programs or created on a smaller scale are essential to intensify and enlarge such cooperations. But at the beginning of such a process one always has a personal decision to leave common paths and try new adventures in solving physical problems.

So one of us (R.F.) thanks Ihor Mryglod for capturing the opportunity for a fruitful cooperation between ITP and ICMP, whereas the other one (Yu.H.) joins his thanks also for interesting discussions and time spent together working and traveling. We thank Haralf Iro and anonimous referees for useful suggestions, Yu.H. acknowledges OeAD scholarship ICM-2018-11442.
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