Transcolonic endoscopic appendectomy: a novel natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES) technique for the sessile serrated lesions involving the appendiceal orifice
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MESSAGES
With the advancement in endoscopic technology, the discovery of novel endoscopic techniques such as endoscopic full-thickness resection (EFTR) provides new contents for natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES) and endoscopic therapeutic options for patients with gastrointestinal lesions. Here, we present transcolonic endoscopic appendectomy as an expansion of full thickness resection with extraluminal dissection of the appendix for minimally invasive treatment of the sessile serrated lesions (SSLs) deeply involving the appendiceal orifice; final closure is done by endoloop and clip placement. The procedure was successful in four patients with good follow-up results at the 3 months colonoscopic control. Generally, transcolonic endoscopic appendectomy extends endoscopic resection technique and will promingly replace combined endoscopic and laparoscopic surgery technique. This technique should be studied further.

IN MORE DETAIL
SSLs are colorectal lesions with malignant potential and should be removed as accurately as possible. In dilemma, more than half of the appendiceal adenomas are SSLs and therapeutic methods are controversial: endoscopic resection, extended laparoscopic appendectomy or combined laparoscopic appendectomy is traditionally optional. Surgical resection remains the standard and endoscopic resection is sometimes performed as an alternative to surgical intervention. However, conventional endoscopic resection of SSLs involving the appendical orifice has very critical limitations: endoscopic resection into the appendiceal orifice deeply itself carries a risk of appendicitis and it cannot guarantee a negative deep margin for lesions growing into the lumen of the appendix. To overcome these disadvantages, we present a novel NOTES technique, transcolonic endoscopic appendectomy, for the SSL involving the appendiceal orifice. This technique takes advantage of EFTR technique, which includes the endoscopic full-thickness incision and defect closure techniques. Here, we report a series of patients with the SSLs deeply involving the appendiceal orifice removed by transcolonic endoscopic appendectomy technique (figure 1). Patient demographics, procedure details, outcomes, histology and follow-up after transcolonic endoscopic appendectomy are shown in table 1. No special patients’ history and family history were found. Before the procedure, contrast-enhanced CT of the abdomen and three-dimensional reconstruction technique were employed to evaluate the condition of the appendix and understand its relationship with neighbour organs (figure 1A). After the procedure, the size of the specimen was calculated and examined (figure 1B). The pathological examination was also performed (figure 1K). All patients received intravenous antibiotics for 6 days postoperatively. Clear fluids were resumed at postoperative day 6 (average, table 1). All of the patients felt no discomfort and were discharged at postoperative day 8 (average, table 1). All patients were recommended a colonoscopy in 3 months after the procedure and the follow-up results were also shown in figure 1J and table 1.

DESCRIPTION OF TECHNOLOGY
The patient was under general anaesthesia and endotracheal intubation. During the procedure, single and double channel endoscope (PCF-Q260J and GIF-2TQ260M, Olympus, Japan) were used. The high-frequency generator (VIO200D, ERBE, Germany) was prepared for the procedure and a carbon dioxide (CO₂) insufflator (Olympus) was employed for CO₂ gas insufflations. HOOK knife (KD620QR/UR, Olympus, Japan), IT knife (KD-611L, Olympus, Japan), hot biopsy forceps (HBF-23/2000, Micro-Tech Nanjing, China), injection needles (VIN-23, COOK, USA), snares (DPS-A-28/23, Micro-Tech Nanjing, China), metal clips (D26-195C, Micro-Tech Nanjing, China) and endoloop (MAJ-340, Olympus, Japan) were also prepared. A mixed solution of normal saline containing 0.4% indigo carmine and 0.025 mg/mL epinephrine was used for submucosal injection. Procedure steps were described as follows:

Step 1: intestinal cleaning: A transparent cap was attached to the tip of the endoscope. Terminal ileum, cecum and ascending colon were washed repeatedly to clean the operative region and to avoid the intestinal juice leaking into the abdomen.

Step 2: lesion evaluation: Before the procedure, the lesion was also evaluated again, by using white light, narrow band interference technique and indigo carmine dyeing.

Step 3: EFTR: After a mixture solution was injected into the submucosa, a circumferential...
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Figure 1  Transcolonic endoscopic appendectomy for the SSL involving the appendiceal orifice. (A) Three-dimensional reconstruction images showing the appendix (yellow arrow) and adjacent bowels and vessels. (B) An endoscopic white-light image showing the SSL involving the appendiceal orifice. (C) An image from chromoendoscopy following indigo carmine dye spraying clearly showing the SSL. (D) An intraprocedural view showing endoscopic full-thickness resection of the cecum tissue around the appendiceal orifice. (E) Endoscopic dissection of the mesoappendix along the appendix by an IT knife. (F) The cecal deflection. (G) An intraprocedural endoscopic image showing clips and endoloop used for closing the cecal defect (yellow arrow: the dental-floss assistance). (H) The cecal defect was perfectly closed by clips and endoloop after transcolonic endoscopic appendectomy. (I) The specimen was calculated and examined. (J) An endoscopic follow-up image showing the cecum in 3 months after discharge (yellow arrow: the wound healing scar). (K) Pathological confirmation and diagnosis of the SSL (bar: 100 μm): increased gland diameter and enlarged opening; microbubble-like mucous cells; jagged crypts, widened and inverted crypt base.

Table 1  Patient demographics, procedure details, outcomes, histology and follow-up

| No | Sex | Age (years) | Lesion size (cm) | Procedure time (minutes) | Additional surgery | Adverse events | Fasting time (days)* | Hospital stay (days)* | Histological findings | 3-month follow-up |
|----|-----|-------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 1  | M   | 36          | 1.5              | 115                      | No                 | No             | 7                   | 10                   | Appendix and orifice SSL; margin: pHM0, pVM0 | Wound healed; no recurrence |
| 2  | M   | 66          | 1.5              | 106                      | No                 | No             | 4                   | 7                    | Appendix and orifice SSL; margin: pHM0, pVM0 | Wound healed; no recurrence |
| 3  | F   | 71          | 1.8              | 110                      | No                 | No             | 4                   | 7                    | Appendix and orifice SSL; margin: pHM0, pVM0 | Wound healed; no recurrence |
| 4  | F   | 63          | 2.0              | 120                      | No                 | No             | 5                   | 8                    | Appendix and orifice SSL; margin: pHM0, pVM0 | Wound healed; no recurrence |

*Fasting time and hospital stay indicate days after procedure.

F, female; M, male; SSL, sessile serrated lesion.
COMMENTS

The treatment of the SSLs involving the appendiceal orifice is controversial. Surgical resection or laparoscopic appendectomy is thought to be the standard therapeutic method before. With the development of endoscopy techniques, endoscopic resection could be used in most colorectal adenomatous lesions. However, common endoscopic resection techniques for the treatment of SSLs growing into the lumen of the appendix are incompetent. Transcolonic endoscopic appendectomy is a novel NOTES technique initiated by EFTR and provides an alternative for the SSLs deeply involving the appendiceal orifice. Another potential advantage of transcolonic endoscopic appendectomy is that the resection range is more accurate. This is important because we have no need of laparoscopic appendectomy with full cecal mobilisation to afford amaximal cecal cuff.

The cecal defect closure after endoscopic appendectomy is an important part of this novel technique. In this study, the defect in the wall was closed by an endoloop through a double-channel endoscope. The loop was opened around the defect and endoclips (inserted through the other channel) were applied over the loop at several locations. The loop was then closed to approximate the edges of the defect. This closure technique is effective and has been widely accepted in clinical practice of EFTR techniques.\(^5\)\(^6\)\(^7\) During the procedure, a 20mL syringe with 10mL normal saline can be used to insert into the right lower quadrant to release the gas (CO\(_2\)). This puncture syringe can be kept in place until the defect closure has been completed. After the defect closure has been completed, CO\(_2\) was injected to check closure effect. Notably, better closure techniques should be developed to simplify the procedure and ensure the success of this novel NOTES technique in the future.

As a consequence, this novel NOTES technique has a clear potential for clinical use. We believe that transcolonic endoscopic appendectomy provides a precise and safe way to treat the SSLs involving the appendiceal orifice. Furthermore, the endoloop-clip technique provides a safe way to close the cecal defect. Future studies with a larger number of patients are necessary to confirm the outcomes of the transcolonic endoscopic appendectomy technique and develop better closure techniques.
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