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ABSTRACT

Techniques for the cavity measurement of the electrical characteristics of the materials are well established using the approximate method due to its simplicity in material insertion and fabrication. However, the exact method which requires more comprehensive mathematical analysis as well, owing to the practical difficulties for the material insertion, is not mostly used while performing the measurements as compared to approximate method in most of the works. In this work the comparative analysis of both the approximate as well as Exact method is performed and accuracy of the Exact method is established by performing the measurements of non-magnetic material Teflon within the cavity.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The microwave engineering and electromagnetism is established as a mature field since the basic principles of electromagnetics were established nearly a century ago and its widely used application radar is in place from Second World War arena. Despite the older standing in the field of engineering, the innovative development in solid state devices at higher frequencies, radio frequency technology, microwave integrated circuits and the extensive consumer related applications of microwave system makes microwaves engineering a vibrant and active field.

The paper deals with measurement of the relative permittivity of non-magnetic material Teflon using cylindrical cavity resonator by the perturbation techniques. Once established and confirmed with Teflon which is a non-magnetic material, the technique can later be employed for the measurement of the permittivity of other materials. This can subsequently be used for manufacturing of the materials of the desired permittivity \( \varepsilon \), and hence impedance \( Z(\text{since } = 377\sqrt{\varepsilon_r}) \) for different microwaves application.

In this paper we have simulated the cavity resonator to ascertain the proper mode excitation inside the cavity and later designed the cylindrical cavity in practice and performed the dielectric permittivity measurement for consistency.

The cylindrical cavity resonator acts as an electromagnetic boundary value problem subjected to boundary conditions, whose solution in terms of
Magnetic and Electric fields are derived from the Maxwell Equations or the Wave Equations. However, there are many field configurations called modes which are solutions of Maxwell Equations. The predominant modes are TEM, TE and TM modes. These modes determine the resonant frequency of the cavity. They can be excited inside the cavity by coupling energy through a magnetic loop (magnetic dipole) or through an electric probe (electric dipole) protruding inside the cavity. The resonant frequency of the cavity is required to determine the dimensions of the cavity to be designed.

Perturbation techniques are applied upon the cavity resonator causing a change in resonant frequency. The change in resonant frequency can be used to determine the permittivity of samples. The cavity is designed for TM_{010} mode causing the maximum change in the resonant frequency after perturbation and hence accurate measurement of the permittivity [1].

Before fabrication and actual measurements, the design is simulated on Electromagnetic simulation tool HFSS to confirm the proper TM_{010} mode excitation at the desired frequency with the loop as well as probe excitation. This is verified from the Electric, Magnetic Field and the Current distribution generated by HFSS. Based upon the accuracy of loop excitation, it is then employed for the actual permittivity measurements.

Finally the actual measurements are performed to determine the permittivity of the material by two configurations called the Approximate and Exact Method respectively. The results obtained by the two methods are finally compared and the accuracy of the Exact Method over the Approximate Method, through which most of the measurements subjected to its simplicity in manufacturing and sample insertions, is established.
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Cylindrical cavity resonator is a boundary value problem and Maxwell or the Wave equations can be employed to get electric and magnetic field components in the cylindrical coordinates.

A step by step design procedure is described in [14]. Owing to the field distribution of current TM<sub>0n0</sub> cylindrical cavities are widely used and the TM<sub>010</sub> mode is most widely used that has the lowest cutoff frequency, also called as the dominant mode. The resonant frequency of this mode is independent upon the resonator length.

\[ f_r = f_{npq} = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{\mu \varepsilon}} \sqrt{\left(\frac{x_{np}}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{q\pi}{d}\right)^2} \]  

(1)

Here q is the number of half cycle variations in the direction of propagation, a is the cavity radius and d is the cavity length where \( x_{np} \) is the zeroth of Bessel Function. Equation (1) is used to design the cavity resonator of the desired frequency for any TM mode. The maximum change in the electric field will take place when the sample is inserted in the cavity centre so would be the resonant frequency of the cavity on inserting with the sample [15-16].

3. SIMULATIONS AND ANALYSIS ON HFSS

TM<sub>010</sub> mode excitation was chosen for the design purpose as the field pattern suggests that the maximum change in resonant frequency will take place after the sample insertion, thus enabling accurate measurement of the electrical characteristics of the inserted sample [17-18]. The mode can be excited in the cavity using a metallic loop. Simulations on HFSS are performed to confirm the proper mode excitation at the desired frequency.

The cylindrical cavity excited for TM<sub>010</sub> mode through the loop coupling is shown in Fig. 2. The resonant frequency of the TM mode is given by Equation (1). For TM<sub>010</sub> mode, n=0; p=1; q=0. Also from Bessel chart given in Table 1, \( x_{np} = 2.405 \). By substituting the values for the designed frequency, \( f_{npq} = 1 \text{GHz}, \ a=115 \text{ cm} \). In order to achieve the maximum Q, \( d=2a=230 \text{ cm} \).

The cavity is showing the resonance at 1.012 GHz in Fig. 3. The field patterns in Fig. 4(a) suggest that TM<sub>010</sub> is properly excited. Electric field is maximum at the centre and minimum at the cylindrical boundary. Similarly magnetic field is minimum at the centre and maximum at the boundary.
The current consists of the conduction current along the conducting surface and displacement current between the upper and the lower walls as shown in Fig. 4(b). With all these simulations (Figs. 2-4), it is confirmed that TM_{010} mode is properly excited in the empty cavity and resonant nearly at the desired frequency.

The loop-coupled cavity is perturbed with Teflon which is placed at the centre of the bottom conducting plate [19-20]. The electric field is maximum at this location to ensure the maximum change in the resonant frequency. The sample size inserted is comparable to the cavity size to ensure the accuracy of the method as shown in Fig. 5.

**TABLE 1. ROOTS FOR TM MODES**

| (βρ,α) | 0   | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   |
|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1      | 2.405 | 3.832 | 5.316 | 6.380 | 7.588 | 8.771 |
| 2      | 5.502 | 7.106 | 8.417 | 9.761 | 11.065 | 12.339 |
| 3      | 8.645 | 10.173 | 11.620 | 13.015 | 14.372 |
| 4      | 11.792 | 13.324 | 14.796 |

**FIG 3. S_{11} LOOP COUPLED CYLINDRICAL CAVITY**

**FIG 4. CURRENT AND FIELD DISTRIBUTION OF LOOP COUPLED CYLINDRICAL CAVITY**
The resonant frequency of the cavity is changed after the sample placement to 0.9817 GHz. Additional unwanted mode is also excited at nearly 1.011 GHz as shown in Fig. 6.

The strength of the electric and magnetic field has increased due to the sample introduction. The electric field is still maximum at the centre and minimum at the boundary as per the boundary conditions as shown in Fig. 7(a).

The magnetic field has also increased at the boundary after the sample positioning and is minimum at the centre as shown in Fig. 7(b).

The surface current density will converge on the top surface and diverge at the bottom surface owing the direction of the magnetic as shown in Fig. 7(c).
The approximation for the change in the resonant frequency after the perturbation is calculated for $\varepsilon_r = 1.77$ while actual $\varepsilon_r = 2.55$ with %age error 13%, which shows that the method employed for the simulation purpose gives the permittivity with acceptable error and can be used for the actual permittivity calculations. Owing to less error due to loop coupling in the simulation results, it is employed for the actual permittivity measurements.

4. PHYSICAL IMPLEMENTATION AND ACTUAL MEASUREMENTS

The cavity obtained has the following dimensions $a = 107.75$ mm, which using Equation (1) gives $f_{010} = 1.067285$ GHz. The HFSS Model which is used for actual cavity resonator is shown in Fig. 8. The test bed and the Measurement Setup in the lab are shown in Fig. 9. The observations are recorded with an Agilent Network Analyzer.

The cylindrical cavity resonator of the desired dimension is designed and excited through the $M_{010}$. The sample under test is placed exactly at the centre of the cavity. The response of the cavity is measured through the network analyzer and resonant frequency observed is 1.0629 GHz as shown in Fig. 10(a). After perturbation with the Teflon, the new resonant frequency is measured on the network analyzer and is recorded to be 1.03732 GHz as shown in Fig. 10(b).

The actual and the measured resonant frequency are given as $\omega = 1.03732$ GHz while $\omega_0 = 1.0629$ GHz. And

FIG. 8. HFSS MODEL OF ACTUAL CAVITY RESONATOR

NETWORK ANALYZER MEASUREMENT SETUP

FIG. 9. NETWORK ANALYZER MEASUREMENT SETUP
the change in the resonant frequency after perturbation is given as \( \frac{\omega - \omega_0}{\omega} \) [21], which evaluates permittivity to be \( \varepsilon_r = 1.66 \), while \( \varepsilon_r = 2.05 \), leading to a 20% error. The error contributed by this approximate method is beyond the acceptable limit and cannot be employed for the actual permittivity calculations. For the method to be accurate the sample should be placed exactly at the centre. The error can be reduced by increasing the volume of the sample or by reducing the volume of the cavity. In order to improve the measurement accuracy, measurement is done using the Exact Method which ensures the accurate placement of the sample in the centre.

In order to further investigate the problem for the permittivity calculation additional method called the exact method is employed in which concentric rod of material under test of the same height as that of cavity is inserted in the cavity instead of sample placed at the bottom of the cavity as in the previous case. The rod radius is \( c \) and height. This method will give the exact frequency of resonance as shown in Fig. 11.

The solution to the boundary value problem is provided in Time Harmonic Electromagnetic Fields by Harrington.

\[
\frac{1}{\eta} \frac{J_o'(\beta c)}{J_o(\beta c)} = \frac{1}{\eta_0} \left[ \frac{N_o(\beta a)J_o'(\beta c) - N_o'(\beta a)J_o(\beta c)}{N_o(\beta a)J_o(\beta c) - N_o'(\beta a)J_o(\beta c)} \right]
\]

(2)

Where \( J_o \) is Bessel Function of First Kind and \( N_o \) is Bessel Function of Second Kind. The Teflon sample inserted has radius \( c = 6.25 \text{ cm} \) while the cavity radius is given as \( a = 107.75 \text{ mm} \). \( \beta \) is the propagation constant. Solving in Equation (2) on Matlab gives the exact resonant frequency to be 1.058 GHz as shown in Fig. 12.

---

**FIG. 10. NETWORK ANALYZER MEASUREMENT OF RESONANT FREQUENCY**

**FIG. 11. EXACT METHOD FOR PERMITTIVITY MEASUREMENT**

**FIG. 12. RESONANT FREQUENCY OF EXACT METHOD**
Since the excitation mode is TM$_{010}$, the resonant frequency which is independent upon the cavity length, the cavity is cut into half so the sample can be properly placed in the centre along its length as shown in Fig. 13. The resonant frequency is independent upon the cavity length and measured to be 1.0621GHz as shown in Fig. 14.

The response of the cavity after the perturbation by placing the sample along the entire length of the cavity is $\omega = 1.055$GHz. Here $\omega = 1.055010246$ GHz and $\omega_0 = 1.062125329$ GHz, which evaluates $\varepsilon_r = 2.23$, while actual $\varepsilon_r = 2.05$, with 9% error. The error contributed by the exact method is substantially less than Approximate Method.

All the above results are summarized in Table 2.

### 5. CONCLUSION

The cavity perturbation method was employed to determine the relative permittivity of non-magnetic material (Teflon). Simulations were performed to confirm the proper mode excitation and later physical cavity was designed to measure the actual response and the actual permittivity. However the percentage error found using the method was beyond the acceptable limits, so Exact Method was employed in the actual measurements and recalculation were done for the permittivity. There was a substantial improvement in the permittivity. Thus this method described can be established as accurate and more precise for the unknown permittivity measurements of the materials.

![FIG. 14. NETWORK ANALYZER MEASUREMENT OF RESONANT FREQUENCY FOR PERTURBED CAVITY WITH EXACT METHOD](image)

| Method (Loop Coupled Cavity) | Measured Resonant Frequency of Unperturbed Cavity (GHz) | Measured Resonant Frequency of Perturbed Cavity (GHz) | Measured Permittivity | Actual Permittivity | Percentage Error (%) |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Approximate                 | 1.0629                                               | 1.03732                                             | 1.66                  | 2.05                | 20                   |
| Exact                       | 1.0621                                               | 1.055                                               | 2.24                  | 2.05                | 9                    |
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