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Abstract. For the partial sums \((S_n)\) of independent random variables we define a stochastic process \(s_n(t) := (1/d_n) \sum_{k \leq \lfloor nt \rfloor} (S_k/k - \mu)\) and prove that

\[(1/\log N) \sum_{n \leq N} (1/n) \mathbb{I}\{s_n(t) \leq x\} \to G_t(x) \quad \text{a.s.}\]

if and only if \((1/\log N) \sum_{n \leq N} (1/n) \mathbb{P}(s_n(t) \leq x) \to G_t(x)\), for some sequence \((d_n)\) and distribution \(G_t\). We also prove an almost sure functional limit theorem for the product of partial sums of i.i.d. positive random variables attracted to an \(\alpha\)-stable law with \(\alpha \in (1, 2]\).

1. Introduction and main result

In the past two decades many interesting extensions of the classical central limit theorem (CLT) have been obtained. One of the extensions is known as almost sure central limit theorem (ASCLT) which is discovered by Brosamler (1988) and Schatte (1988) and has been extensively studied for independent random variables as well as dependent variables. Motivated by ASCLT, almost sure versions of many limit theorems in probability and statistics have been obtained in the past. It is known that for i.i.d. r.v.’s ASCLT holds under the same assumptions as CLT but in general, the existence of the weak limit does not always imply the almost sure limiting result. For more discussions about the early results on ASCLT we refer to Berkes [1].

In this note we consider the product of partial sums, denoted by \(S_n\), of a sequence of random variables attracted to a stable distribution and its limit distributions. Rempala and Wesolowski [9] established the limit distribution of the product of partial sums of a sequence of i.i.d. positive r.v.’s with mean \(\mu\) and variance \(\sigma^2\):

\[
\left( \prod_{k=1}^{n} S_k \right) \frac{\mu^{\sigma \sqrt{\mu}}}{n! \mu^n} \xrightarrow{d} e^{\mathbb{N}(0, 1)},
\]

Zhang and Huang [11] proves a weak invariance principle of (1) for i.i.d. r.v.’s. Recently, Kosiński [8] has shown that the weak invariance principle still holds when the partial sums are attracted to an \(\alpha\)-stable law with \(\alpha \in (1, 2]\) which also generalizes the earlier result by Qi [10].
Throughout this paper, log log \(x\) and log \(x\) stand for \(\ln(\ln(\max\{x, e^e\}))\) and \(\ln(\max\{x, e\})\) respectively. We also use the notations \(a_n \ll b_n\) for \(a_n = O(b_n)\) and \(I(A)\) for the indicator function on a set \(A\).

Our main result in this note is to establish an almost sure version of the result by Kosiński [8] that can generalize the early results by Gonchigdanzan and Rempała [4] and Gonchigdanzan [5, 6].

Recall that a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.’s \(\{X_n : n \geq 1\}\) is said to be in the domain of attraction of a stable law \(L\) if there exist sequences \((a_n)\) and \((b_n)\) such that

\[
\frac{S_n - b_n}{a_n} \overset{d}{\to} L_{\alpha},
\]

where \(L_{\alpha}\) is one of the stable distributions with index \(\alpha \in (0, 2]\). Moreover, let \(\{L_{\alpha}(s) : s \geq 0\}\) be the \(\alpha\)-stable Lévy process corresponding to \(L_{\alpha}\), that is \(L_{\alpha}(1) \overset{d}{=} L_{\alpha}\).

The following theorem is well known (see, e.g., Hall [7]).

**Theorem 1** (Stability Theorem). The general stable law is given, to within type, by a characteristic function of one of the following forms:

1. \(\phi(t) = \exp(-t^2/2)\) (normal case, \(\alpha = 2\));
2. \(\phi(t) = \exp(-|t|^\alpha(1 - i\beta \text{sgn}(t)\tan(\frac{1}{2}\pi\alpha)))\) \((0 < \alpha < 1\) or \(1 < \alpha < 2\), \(-1 \leq \beta \leq 1)\);
3. \(\phi(t) = \exp(-|t|(1 + i\beta \text{sgn}(t)2/\pi \log |t|))\) \((\alpha = 1, -1 \leq \beta \leq 1)\).

It is worth mentioning that in Theorem 1 \(\beta\) is the skewness parameter. In our paper, \(\beta = 1\) since \(X_1\) is a positive random variable.

The first result of this note is the following almost sure functional limit theorem:

**Theorem 2.** Let \(\{X_n : n \geq 1\}\) be a sequence of i.i.d. positive random variables with \(E X_1 = \mu\) in the domain of attraction of an \(\alpha\)-stable law \(L_{\alpha}\) with \(\alpha \in (1, 2]\) and characteristic function as in Theorem 1. Define a process \(\{\pi_n(t) : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}\) by

\[
\pi_n(t) := \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \frac{S_k}{\mu_k}\right)^{\mu/a_n},
\]

where \((a_n)\) is a sequence of positive numbers that satisfies \((S_n - \mu_n)/a_n \overset{d}{\to} L_{\alpha}\) as \(n \to \infty\). Then for any real \(x\)

\[
\frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n} I(\pi_n(t) \leq x) \overset{a.s.}{\to} F_t(x) \quad \text{as} \quad N \to \infty,
\]

where \(F_t\) is the distribution function of the random variable \(\exp \left(\int_0^t \frac{L_{\alpha}(s)}{s} ds\right)\).

**Remark 1.** If \(X_1\) has finite variance equal to \(\sigma^2\) then \(\alpha = 2\), \(L_{\alpha} \overset{d}{=} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)\) and \(a_n \sim \sigma \sqrt{n}\), thus Theorem 2 implies the main result of Gonchigdanzan and Rempała [4] which in particular yields the result of Gonchigdanzan [6] Theorem 2 since it is easy to verify that

\[
\int_0^1 \frac{L_{\alpha}(s)}{s} ds \overset{d}{=} \sqrt{2} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).
\]
Moreover, Kosiński [8] showed that for any $\alpha \in (1,2]$ 
\[ \int_0^1 \frac{\mathcal{L}_\alpha(s)}{s} \, ds \equiv \left( \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \right)^{1/\alpha} \mathcal{L}_\alpha, \]
hence Theorem 2 also yields the result of Gonchigdanzan [5] Theorem 1.1.

Our next result is the following Berkes-Dehling type of theorem (Berkes and Dehling [2, Theorem 2]).

**Theorem 3.** Let $\{Y_n : n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables and $S_n = Y_1 + \cdots + Y_n$. Let $(d_n)$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that

\[ \frac{d_l}{d_k} \gg \left( \frac{l}{k} \right)^{\gamma} \quad (l \geq k \geq n_0) \]

for some $\gamma > 0$ and $n_0 \geq 1$ and

\[ E \left| \frac{S_n - \mu n}{d_n} \right| \ll e^{\gamma'(\log n)^{1-\varepsilon}} \]

for some constant $\mu$ and $\gamma' \in (0, \gamma)$. Then for any distribution $G_t$,

\[ \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1} \left( \frac{1}{d_n} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} \left( \frac{S_k}{k} - \mu \right) \leq x \right) \mathcal{L}_\alpha(s) \, ds \to G_t(x) \quad \text{as} \quad N \to \infty. \]

if and only if

\[ \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{P} \left( \frac{1}{d_n} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} \left( \frac{S_k}{k} - \mu \right) \leq x \right) \to G_t(x) \quad \text{as} \quad N \to \infty. \]

2. **Auxiliary results**

The following three lemmas are needed for the proof of our main result.

**Lemma 4** (Lemma 2.3, Gonchigdanzan [5]). Under the assumption of Theorem 2 we have

\[ \left| \frac{\mu}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} \log \left( \frac{S_k}{\mu k} \right) - \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} \left( \frac{S_k}{k} - \mu \right) \right| \mathcal{L}_\alpha(s) \, ds \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \]

**Lemma 5.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 2 we have

\[ \frac{1}{d_n} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} \left( \frac{S_k}{k} - \mu \right) \to \int_0^t \frac{\mathcal{L}_\alpha(s)}{s} \, ds \quad \text{in} \quad D[0,1]. \]

**Proof.** This is a particular case of Theorem 2 in Kosiński [8] when $f(x) = x$. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 6.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 2 we have

\[ E \left( \frac{1}{d_n} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \log \left( \frac{n+1}{j} \right) (Y_j - \mu) \right| \right) \ll \log n \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \]

**Proof.** The first part is Lemma 1 in Gonchigdanzan [6] valid for any sequence of random variables. The second part is Lemma 1 in Berkes and Dehling [2] combined with the assumption (3). \hfill \Box
3. Proofs of the main results

To prove Theorem 2 we need the result in Theorem 3. Let us prove Theorem 3 first, then Theorem 2 for convenience.

Proof of Theorem 3. According to Berkes and Dehling (p. 1648 [2]) it suffices to prove that for any bounded Lipschitz function $g$ on $D[0,1]$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \left( g\left( \frac{s_k}{d_k} \right) - \mathbb{E} g\left( \frac{s_k}{d_k} \right) \right) \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,
$$

where $s_n := s_n(t) = \sum_{k \leq |nt|} (S_k/k - \mu)$.

It turns out that the following estimate is indeed sufficient for (6) (see p. 1648 [3] for the proof):

$$
\mathbb{E}\left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} |\xi_k| \right)^2 \ll \log^2 n (\log \log n)^{-1-\varepsilon} \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon > 0,
$$

where $\xi_k = g(s_k/d_k) - \mathbb{E} g(s_k/d_k)$.

Observe that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} (S_k/k - \mu) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k,n}(Y_k - \mu)$ where $b_{k,n} = \sum_{j=k}^{n} 1/j$. It can be easily seen that

$$
s_l - s_k = b_{|k|+1,|l|} (S_{|k|} - |k|\mu) + (b_{|k|+1,|l|}(Y_{|k|+1} - \mu) + \cdots + b_{|l|,|l|}(Y_{|l|} - \mu))
$$

for $l \geq k$.

Obviously $s_l - s_k - b_{|k|+1,|l|} (S_{|k|} - \mu|k|)$ is independent of $s_k$, so we get

$$
\text{Cov}\left( g\left( \frac{s_k}{d_k} \right), g\left( \frac{s_l - s_k - b_{|k|+1,|l|} (S_{|k|} - \mu|k|)}{d_l} \right) \right) = 0 \text{ for } l \geq k.
$$

Since $g$ is a bounded Lipschitz it follows that

$$
|\mathbb{E}(\xi_k \xi_l)| = \left| \text{Cov}\left( g\left( \frac{s_k}{d_k} \right), g\left( \frac{s_l - s_k - b_{|k|+1,|l|} (S_{|k|} - \mu|k|)}{d_l} \right) \right) \right|
\leq \mathbb{E}\left( \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{|s_k + b_{|k|+1,|t|} (S_{|k|} - \mu|k|)|}{d_l} \right)
\leq \mathbb{E}\left( \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{|s_k|}{d_l} \right) + \mathbb{E}\left( \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{|b_{|k|+1,|t|} (S_{|k|} - \mu|k|)|}{d_l} \right)
= \frac{d_k}{d_l} \left( \mathbb{E}\left( \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{|s_k|}{d_k} \right) + \mathbb{E}\left( \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{|b_{|k|+1,|t|} (S_{|k|} - \mu|k|)|}{d_k} \right) \right).
$$

Moreover, noticing $\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} b_{|k|+1,|t|} = \log(1/k)$ and applying Lemma 3 we get

$$
|\mathbb{E}(\xi_k \xi_l)| \leq \frac{d_k}{d_l} \left( \mathbb{E}\left( \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{1}{d_k} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor k \rfloor} b_{i,k} (Y_i - \mu) \right) \right) + \log(l/k) \mathbb{E}\left( \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{|S_{|k|} - \mu|k||}{d_k} \right)
= \frac{d_k}{d_l} \left( \mathbb{E}\left( \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{1}{d_k} \sum_{i=1}^{j} b_{i,k} (Y_i - \mu) \right) \right) + \log(l/k) \mathbb{E}\left( \max_{0 \leq j \leq k} \frac{|S_j - \mu|}{d_k} \right)
\leq \log l \frac{d_k}{d_l} \mathbb{E}\left( \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \frac{|S_j - \mu|}{d_k} \right) \ll \log l \left( \frac{k}{l} \right)^{\gamma} \log k e^{\gamma (\log k)^{1-\varepsilon}} =: c_{k,l}.
$$
On the other hand we also have $\mathbb{E}(\xi_k \xi_l) \ll 1$ because $\xi_k$ is bounded. Hence we estimate $\mathbb{E}(\xi_k \xi_l)$ as follows:

$$
\mathbb{E}(\xi_k \xi_l) \ll \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } l/k \leq \exp\left((\log n)^{1-\varepsilon}\right) \\
c_{k,l}, & \text{if } l/k \geq \exp\left((\log n)^{1-\varepsilon}\right)
\end{cases}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is any positive number.

Thus we get

$$
\mathbb{E}(\xi_k \xi_l) \ll \frac{1}{k} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{l/k \leq \exp((\log n)^{1-\varepsilon})} 1 \ll \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \log^{1-\varepsilon} n \ll \log^{2-\varepsilon} n
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{E}(\xi_k \xi_l) \ll \frac{1}{k} \sum_{l/k \geq \exp((\log n)^{1-\varepsilon})} 1 \ll \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{1}{k} \exp((\log n)^{1-\varepsilon}) \ll \log^{2-\varepsilon} n,
$$

where the last estimation follows because $\gamma' \in (0, \gamma)$.

Since

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \xi_k\right)^2 \ll \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{1}{kl} |\mathbb{E}(\xi_k \xi_l)|
$$

by (8) and (9) it follows (7).

Before proving Theorem 2, recall that it is well known that the sequence $(a_n)$ in Theorem 2 can be written as $a_n = n^{1/\alpha} L(n)$ where $L$ is a slowly varying function.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** We first show the equivalence of (4) and (5) under the conditions of Theorem 2 setting $d_n := a_n$. In fact (4) is a direct consequence of Theorem 6.2 in DeAcosta and Giné [3]. (2) can be easily verified using the facts that $a_n = n^{1/\alpha} L(n)$ and $L(k)/L(n) \ll (k/n)^\varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ where $L$ is a slowly varying function. Thus by Theorem 3 (4) is equivalent to (5) with $Y_n \overset{d}{=} X_n$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2. Now applying Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 we get

$$
\frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \log \left(\frac{S_k}{\mu k}\right) \leq x\right) \overset{a.s.}{\to} \mathbb{P}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\zeta(s)}{s} ds \leq x\right)
$$

as $N \to \infty$.
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