Diversity, distribution, and conservation status of Rubiaceae species in Peñablanca Protected Landscape and Seascape, Luzon, Philippines

RACHEL D. BIAG 1,2,* GRECEBIO JONATHAN D. ALEJANDRO 1,3

1 The Graduate School and Research Centre for the Natural and Applied Sciences, University of Santo Tomas. España Blvd., 1015 Manila, Philippines.
2 Department of Natural Sciences, College of Arts and Sciences, Cagayan State University. Cagayan, Philippines.
3 Department of the Biological Sciences, College of Science, University of Santo Tomas. España Boulevard, 1015 Manila, Philippines.

*email: biagrachel27@ust@gmail.com, rachel.biag@yahoo.com

Abstract. Biag RA, Alejandro GJD. Diversity, distribution, and conservation status of Rubiaceae species in Peñablanca Protected Landscape and Seascape, Luzon, Philippines. Biodiversitas 22; 3627-3636. Peñablanca Protected Landscape and Seascape (PPLS) is the largest protected area (PA) in the province of Cagayan, Luzon, Philippines. As part of the Sierra Madre Mountain Range and being contiguous with the Northern Sierra Madre Natural Park, there is no doubt that this PA would display species endemism and richness. Hence, botanical surveys through purposive sampling in seven barangays/districts were conducted in the PPLS to determine the species diversity and distribution of Rubiaceae. This study also aims to identify the endemic species and determine their conservation status. Forty-two species of Rubiaceae belonging to 19 genera and 13 tribes were documented in the current study. The most species-rich tribes are Spermacoeciae (9 sp.), followed by Psychotrieae (7 sp.), Pavetteae (6 sp.), Naucleeae (5 sp.), Ixoreae (4 sp.), Aleisancheae (2 sp.), Mussaenae (2 sp.), and Vanguerieae (2 sp.). The rest of the tribes, i.e., Augusteae, Coffeaeae, Gardenieae, Knoxiae, and Morindeae, are represented by a single species. Minanga, Nabbabalayan and Sisim harbor the most species. Results show that 19 Philippine endemic species are thriving in the PPLS. Of these, four are threatened species; one is near threatened, three being least concern, two as data deficient, and the rest were not evaluated.

Keywords: Conservation, Peñablanca Protected Landscape and Seascape, purposive sampling, Rubiaceae, species richness
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INTRODUCTION

Peñablanca is a first-class municipality in the province of Cagayan, Philippines. The town is blessed with natural resources, such as rich forests, abundant biodiversity in its lakes and rivers, and vast fertile agricultural lands. Peñablanca Protected Landscape and Seascape (PPLS), formerly called Callao Cave National Park, is the largest protected area (PA) in Cagayan province in Northern Philippines. It is located on the border of Isabela province, contiguous with the Northern Sierra Madre Natural Park (NSMNP). This PA is well known for its numerous limestone formations found within its 300 cave systems, the most popular of which are Callao Cave and Sierra Cave. It is traversed by the Pinacanauan River, a major tributary of the Rio Grande de Cagayan (DENR 2016).

Surveys done in the area proved that Peñablanca PLS is home to a unique community of species of plants, such as the filmy ferns Hymenophyllum reinwardtii Bosch, and ground orchids (Cytostis sp.), and animals, such as the Philippine eagle Pithecophaga jefferyi), the Whiskered Pitta (Pitta kochi), and the Panayan Shrew Mouse (Arctomys musseri). The PA covers the largest block of forest under conservation in the province. It comprises old-growth forest, secondary forest, and mossy forest and is ideal for studies on plant and animal diversity (DENR 2009). The Rubiaceae is a component of Peñablanca PLS, particularly in the secondary growth forest on the limestone karst substrates. In the Philippines, few studies on this forest type have been conducted (Tolentino et al. 2020). The presence of Rubiaceae species has been revealed in the limestone forests in the Philippines, particularly in Dinagat Island (Lillo et al. 2019), Eastern Samar (Ordas 2019), Rajah Sikatuna Protected Landscape, Bohol (Aureo 2020), Paran, Samar Island Natural Park (Villanueva et al. 2021), Metropolitan Ilocos Norte Watershed Forest Reserve (Batuyong 2020). The high floral richness that has been recorded on this type of forest is still underestimated (Clements et al. 2006; Tolentino et al. 2020).

Rubiaceae is the fourth largest family of Angiosperms (Robbrecht 1988; Barbhuiya et al. 2014; Tobgay and Sridh 2019; Ly et al. 2020; Batuyong et al. 2021 and Biag and Alejandro 2021) and exist as trees, shrubs, climbers, or herbs. They comprise 604 accepted genera (POWO 2021) and approximately 13,100 species (Delprete and Jardim 2012). Of the 535 species in about 80 genera found in the country, 443 species (83%) are endemic (Banag 2017). The family has the highest number of indigenous species among Philippine eudicots with four endemic genera, i.e., Antherostele Bremer., Greeniopsis Merr., Kanapia Arriola.
There are numerous unresolved generic complexes, many undescribed genera, and several hundred undescribed species in this plant family (Robbrecht and Manen 2006; Alejandro 2007; Kainulainen et al. 2013). The need for further research on Rubiaceae is great.

Protected areas are the cornerstone of the global conservation strategies (Clerici et al. 2007; Munoz-Brenes et al. 2018; Geldmann et al. 2019; CBD 2020) and constitute a unique laboratory for research (Sinsin 2012). We do not want anonymous extinction to happen, i.e., species disappear before being formally named. To address one of the management programs of the PA focused on biodiversity protection and conservation, a floristic survey of the highly diverse Rubiaceae family as an initial step was conducted. This study specifically aimed to determine the species diversity of Rubiaceae in the Peñaflanca PLS. Further, this study intended to determine which part of the PA these species are distributed. Lastly, it sought to determine which of these are Philippine endemic and give their corresponding conservation status.

**Study area**

The survey was conducted at Penablanca PLS, the southernmost part of Cagayan province at 121°49' to 122°13’ E and 17°32’ to 17°50’ N covering seven barangays/districts, i.e., Aggugaddan, Cabasan, Nabbabalayan, San Roque, and Sisim on the western side and Mangga and Minanga on the eastern side. The boundaries of Peñaflanca PLS are the municipality of Baggao, Cagayan, in the north; the Pacific Ocean in the east; the province of Isabela in the south and by Tuguegarao City and the municipality of Iguig, Cagayan, in the west (Figure 1). Peñaflanca PLS has a total area of 103,801 ha of land and was declared a protected area under Proclamation No. 416 signed by then-President Fidel V. Ramos on June 29, 1994. The collection was particularly done in secondary-growth forests on limestone karst substrates covering an area of 7,000 ha. Profile of surveyed barangay is presented in Table 1.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area**

The survey was conducted at Penablanca PLS, the southernmost part of Cagayan province at 121°49’ to 122°13’ E and 17°32’ to 17°50’ N covering seven barangays/districts, i.e., Aggugaddan, Cabasan, Nabbabalayan, San Roque, and Sisim on the western side and Mangga and Minanga on the eastern side. The boundaries of Peñaflanca PLS are the municipality of Baggao, Cagayan, in the north; the Pacific Ocean in the east; the province of Isabela in the south and by Tuguegarao City and the municipality of Iguig, Cagayan, in the west (Figure 1). Peñaflanca PLS has a total area of 103,801 ha of land and was declared a protected area under Proclamation No. 416 signed by then-President Fidel V. Ramos on June 29, 1994. The collection was particularly done in secondary-growth forests on limestone karst substrates covering an area of 7,000 ha. Profile of surveyed barangay is presented in Table 1.

**Figure 1.** A. Map of South East Asia showing the Philippines. B. Map of the Philippines showing the location of Peñaflanca. C. Map of Peñaflanca showing the Peñaflanca PLS. D. Surveyed sites are secondary growth forests on limestone karst substrates and are marked yellow
Table 1. Profile of the surveyed barangays covered by the Peñablanca Protected Landscape and Seascape, Philippines

| Barangay/ District | Elevation (m asl.) | Slope (DENR 2016) | Soil Type (DENR 2016) | Climate (Coronas 1920, Kintanar 1984) | Coordinates | Threats |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Aggugadan           | 88-110            | UR                | SSCR                  | Type III                           | 17°42’12”N, 121°48’11”E | Proximity to a tourist spot |
| Cabasan             | 60-98             | UR                | SSCR                  | Type III                           | 17°35’17”N, 121°49’56”E | None has been observed |
| Nabbabalayan        | 43-101            | UR                | SSCR                  | Type III                           | 17°42’30.4’N, 121°50’51.8”E | None has been observed |
| San Roque           | 284-314           | UR                | SSCR                  | Type III                           | 17°39’58”N, 121°49’36”E | None has been observed |
| Sisim               | 333-344           | UR                | SSCR                  | Type III                           | 17°38’18”N, 121°49’30”E | Land conversion, slash-and-burn farming |
| Mangga              | 97-144            | RM                | UM                    | Type IV                            | 17°42’4”N, 121°51’37”E | Land conversion |
| Minanga             | 111-171           | RM                | UM                    | Type IV                            | 17°42’50”N, 121°52’33”E | Slash-and-burn farming, Timber poaching |

Note: Slope (UR: Undulating to rolling, RM: Rolling to moderately steep), Soil type (SSCR: Sandy loam, silt loam, clay loam, and rocky land, UM: Undifferentiated mountain soil)

Sampling, collection, and processing of specimens

This botanical survey was conducted in three field expeditions. Two western barangays i.e. Aggugadan and Nabbabalayan were surveyed on September 19-23, 2016. Meanwhile, surveys were done in Cabasan, San Roque, Sisim, Mangga, and Minanga on February 18-22, 2017. Some of the collections lacked the reproductive parts, so we decided to revisit those areas on April 3-9, 2019. Many of these Rubiaceae species were having already flowers and fruits. Explorative inventory through purposeful sampling was employed in the study. The collection was done along approximately 10 m adjacent to trails at the study sites (Ordas et al. 2019). These collections were pressed, dried, and mounted following standard procedures for herbarium vouchers. All specimens were deposited at the University of Santo Tomas Herbarium (USTH).

Identification of plant materials

Comprehensive morphological examinations of each Rubiaceae sample were carried out using a foldable magnifier and cordless Prepscope compound microscope to ensure that proper identification of the species is achieved. Character states presented for each species were based on Beentje (2016). Observation of herbarium specimens from local herbaria, such as the Philippine National Herbarium (PNH), University of the Philippines Herbarium (PUH), University of Santo Tomas Herbarium (USTH), and Environmental Information Center Herbarium-Isabela State University, Cabagan (EICH-ISUC) was also done.

Further, we also compared our materials with images in the “Co’s Digital Flora of the Philippines” (Pelser et al. 2011 onwards) and digital herbarium specimens available through Plants of the World Online (POWO 2021) (http://www.plantsoftheworldonline.org/) and Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF) (https://www.gbif.org/). With these, most of the collected samples could be identified. Consultation of the World Checklist of Selected Plant Families (WCSF 2021), International Plant Names Index (IPNI 2021), and Plants of the World Online (POWO 2021) was done to provide the correct scientific name for each species.

Species endemicity, in this case, the Philippine endemic was determined using Co’s Digital Flora of the Philippines (Pelser et al. 2011 onwards) and research papers on Rubiaceae, particularly published by the Thomason Angiosperm Phylogeny and Barcoding Group (TAPBG 2017, 2019). Meanwhile, the conservation status of these endemics was known using the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2021-1, DENR Administrative Order (DAO) 2017-11, and reference material by Sohmer and Davis (2007).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Diversity and distribution of Rubiaceae species in Peñablanca PLS

Forty-two Rubiaceae species belonging to 19 genera and representing 13 tribes were determined in this study. Of the 42 species, 32 are the actual collections, and the remaining ten species are the previously known Rubiaceae recorded in the Peñablanca PLS. Four collections were not identified up to the species level due to the lack of reproductive parts. Five others have the said parts, but it’s diverging from the characters of the different species under that genus; hence, they can be species new to science. The list of these species is given in Table 2. Among these species, 24 species (57%) are shrubs, ten species (24%) are herbs, seven species (17%) are small trees, and one species (2%) is a climber. This datum agrees with Watson et al. (1992), who stressed that the shrub habit is the most common in the family, but Rubiaceae can also be trees, lianas, and herbs. Twenty-nine species were identified as native to the Philippines, while four species are introduced. The most species-rich tribes are Spermacoceae (9 sp.), followed by Psychotrieae (7 sp.), Pavetteae (6 sp.), Naucleae (5 sp.), Ixoreae (4 sp.), Aleisiantheae (2 sp.), Mussaendaeae (2 sp.) and Vanguerieae (2 sp.). A single species represents the remaining tribes, including Augusteae, Coffeaeae, Gardeniaceae, Knoxiaeae, and Morindeae. Psychotrieae (9 sp.) and Spermacoceae (8 sp.) are also the most species-rich tribes in NSMNP, as observed by Biag and Alejandro (2021). The genera include Coffea L., Greeniiopsis Merr., Hedyotis L., Isora L., Kanapia Arriola & Alejandro, Knoxia L., Morindea L., Mussaenda Burn. ex L., Nauclea L., Neonauclea Merr., Oldenlandia L., Pavetta L., Psychotria L., Psydrax Gaertn., Spermacoceae L., Tarentaa Gaertn., Tarrenoiidea Tiveng. & Sastre, Uncaria Schreb. and Wendlandia Bartl. ex DC.
| Species | Habit Distribution Status | Coordinates Lat. (N), Lon. (E) | Acc. No. |
|---------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|
| *Wendlandia luzoniensis* DC. | T N N 17°41'47.6" 121°49'51.4" | USTH 016361 |
| Mi | 17°41'258" 121°55'399" | - |
| S 17°40'430" 121°51'797" | - |
| **Tribe Aleisanthieae** | | | |
| *Greeniopsis pubescens* Merr. | T N N 17°41'41.8" 121°49'49.6" | USTH- 016332 |
| *Greeniopsis multiflora* (Elmer) Merr.++ | T Mi N | - |
| **Tribe Coffeae** | | | |
| *Coffea arabica* L. | S SR I 17°38.337' 121°50.255' | USTH- 016331 |
| A 17°41'41.8" 121°49'49.6" | - |
| **Tribe Gardeniaeae** | | | |
| *Tarennoidea wallichii* (Hook.f.) Tirveng. & Sastre | S S I 17°38.285' 121°50.400' | USTH 016354 |
| **Tribe Isoreae** | | | |
| *Ixora auriculata* Elmer++ | S - N | - |
| *Ixora cumingiana* S. Vidal* | S N N 17°41'42.3" 121°49'51.6" | USTH 016351 |
| *Ixora macrophylla* Bartl. ex DC. | S A N 17°42'18.1" 121°49'10.5" | USTH 016352 |
| *Ixora sp.* | S S - 17°38.285' 121°50.400' | USTH 016353 |
| **Tribe Knoxieae** | | | |
| *Knoria suamarensis* (Retz.) DC.*, | H Mi N | - |
| **Tribe Morindeae** | | | |
| *Morinda citrifolia* L. | S C N 17°36.153' 121°49.545' | USTH 016355 |
| **Tribe Mussaendaeae** | | | |
| *Mussaenda philippica* A. Rich var. *philippica* | S Mi N N 17°42'25.3" 121°48'59.7" | USTH 016356 |
| *Mussaenda sp.* | S C Mi 17°41'191" 121°55'399" | - |
| **Tribe Naucleae** | | | |
| *Neonauclea reticulata* (Havil.) Merr. | T Mi N 17°40.977' 121°53.760' | USTH 016358 |
| *Neonauclea media* (Havil.) Merr.++ | T SR N 17°38.285' 121°50.401' | - |
| *Nauclea orientalis* (L.) L.* | T - N | - |
| *Nauclea sp.* | T N | - |
| *Uncaria perrottetii* (A. Rich.) Merr.* | C Mi N 17°41'41.8" 121°49'49.8" | USTH 016359 |
| | 17°41'140" 121°55'397" | USTH 016360 |
| **Tribe Psychotrioeae** | | | |
| *Psychotria luzoniensis* (Cham. & Schldl.) Fern.-Vill.* | S C N 17°36.153' 121°49.377' | USTH 016342 |
| *Psychotria gittingensis* Elmer* | S N N 17°41'47.6" 121°49'51.4" | USTH 016343 |
| *Psychotria frakei* Sohmer & A.P. Davis* | S N N 17°42'25.3" 121°48'59.7" | USTH 016344 |
| *Psychotria nitens* (Merr.) Merr.* | S S N 17°38.276' 121°50.390' | USTH 016345 |
| *Psychotria sp.* | S SR N 17°38.337' 121°50.280' | USTH 016346 |
| *Psychotria diffusa* Merr. var. *diffusa*++ | S - - | - |
| *Psychotria weberi* Merr.++ | S Mi N | - |
| **Tribe Pavetteae** | | | |
| *Pavetta barnesii* Elmer ex Merr. | S Mi N 17°41.127' 121°55.391' | USTH 016347 |
| *Pavetta sp.* | S Mi - 17°41.135' 121°55.397' | USTH 016348 |
| *Pavetta indica* L.* | S N I 17°41.45.4" 121°49.52.2" | - |
| *Tarenna elongata* Merr.* | S N N 17°41.43.6" 121°49.51.9" | USTH 016349 |
| *Tarenna sp.* | S S - 17°38.285' 121°50.400' | USTH 016350 |
| *Tarenna cumingiana* (S. Vidal) Elmer++ | S Mi N | - |
| **Tribe Spermacoceae** | | | |
| *Hedyotis bimbausetorum* Merr.* | H Mi N 17°40.406' 121°51.793' | USTH 016333 |
| *Hedyotis simplex* Merr.* | H Mi N 17°40.720' 121°53.193' | USTH 016334 |
| *Hedyotis sp.1* | H Mi - 17°41.45.4" 121°49.52.2" | USTH 016335 |
| *Hedyotis sp.2* | H Mi - 17°40.733' 121°54.127' | USTH 016336 |
| *Spermacoce alata* Aubl. | H M I 17°40.404" 121°51.793" | USTH 016337 |
| *Spermacoce ocyrnoides* Burm. f. | H Mi N 17°40.731' 121°54.126' | USTH 016338 |
| *Spermacoce remotum* Lam. | H Mi N 17°40.725' 121°54.190' | USTH 016339 |
| *Spermacoce sp.* | H Mi N 17°40.408' 121°51.703' | USTH 016340 |
| *Oldenlandia corymbosa* L. | H Mi N 17°40.404" 121°51.793" | USTH 016341 |
| **Tribe Vangeriellae** | | | |
| *Kanapia montrousia* (A. Rich.) Arriola & Alejandro** | S N N 17°41.43.3" 121°49.51.5" | USTH 016330 |
| *Psyrax gynochthodes* (Baill.) Arriola, Yayen & Alejandro | S N N | - |

Note: Habitus: (T: Tree, S: Shrub, H: Herb, C: Climber), distribution (A: Aguiguddan, C: Cabasan, M: Mangga, Mi: Minanga, N: Nabbabalayan, S: Sissim, SR: San Roque), status (N: Native, I: Introduced). Names with * and + are Philippine endemics and the previously recorded Rubiaceae species in the Peñablanca PLSS, respectively.
It is also worth mentioning that *Coffeea arabica* L., a species not native to the Philippines, was found in the middle part of the limestone forest of barangays San Roque and Aggugaddan. According to the tour guides, individuals of this species were grown by the local people for human consumption. Nineteen Philippine endemic species (Table 2, Figure 2) were documented in this study. This number of endemics supports the fact that Southeast Asia’s karsts support a very high level of endemic species (Clements et al. 2006; Schilthuizen et al. 2005; Latinne et al. 2011; Tolentino et al. 2020). In a study on the tower karst limestone formation in Selangor, Malaysia (Kiew 2014), a total of 269 species of vascular plants were recorded, of which 51 are endemic. In the Philippines, the Calumpang (2014) recorded 23 endemics out of the 61 species collected in Baladingan, Ticao Island.

The species were collected at the secondary-growth forests on limestone karst substrates at low elevations ranging from 43-344 m asl. Ly et al. (2020) cited that Rubiaceae are especially abundant in lowland humid tropical forests. They further added that this family is the most species-rich of the woody plant families. A checklist by Ordas et al. (2019) also proved that species belonging to Rubiaceae could also be found in forests over the limestone of Eastern Samar, Visayas.

Among the surveyed barangays, Minanga harbored the most genera and species. This number of species is substantial and can be added to the previous collections (4 sp.), giving 18 species in the barangay mentioned above (Table 3). One factor that might explain this is its remoteness, about 6 km from human settlements; thus, it is less likely to be exploited than humans can easily access. This coincides with the citation of Carver (2020) about the Madagascar forest, which was long protected by its remoteness, but data say that its biodiversity is now threatened. According to Carver (2020), between 1996 and 2006, Tseratanana Reserve in Northern Madagascar lost only about 0.1 % of its forest cover to deforestation per year. From 2006 to 2016, however, the level of deforestation increased to about 0.5 % per year and the rate has been 1.3% or higher every year since 2016. Construction of roads in remote rural areas improves livelihoods but poses negative environmental impacts such as increased deforestation (Barber et al. 2014; Charlery et al. 2016). Also, the presence of large and sharp in Minanga hinders any person from exploring the area. Though, it is not completely spared from anthropogenic influences amidst its distance, as evident by marks of timber poaching and slash-and-burn agriculture. The next species and the genera-rich area is Nabbabalayan, which is inaccessible via land transportation. Previous records show that one species thrives in this site, and adding up to the actual number of collections which is ten species, would give a total of 11 species all in all in this barangay. People cannot just explore the area unless they ride on a motorized boat. This can be a means of protecting the biodiversity of the area. Although barangay Sisim also experiences human disturbances like slash-and-burn farming to cultivate preferred fruiting trees and crops as directly observed by the researchers and was further explained by a staff of the DENR, the area still ranked third in terms of the number of genera and species. Through shifting cultivation, the plants affected by the slash-and-burn farming are allowed to regrow after growing the preferred fruit-bearing trees and crops. For the rest of the collection sites, less than five genera and species were recorded. It has to be noted that there were previously recorded species listed in Table 2 with no specific collection sites. Literature just cited the general information that these species were collected in the Penablanca PLS.

According to Watson et al. (1992), Rubiaceae are known to tolerate a broad array of environmental conditions such as soil types, altitudes, community structures and do not specialize in one specific habitat type. This plant family is abundant, diverse, and well represented in all tropical vegetation layers (Delprete and Jardim 2012). Very few researches have been conducted correlating species richness of Rubiaceae with environmental conditions. A study by Tobgay and Sridith (2019) suggests that while the Rubiaceae species with a narrow distribution range were found concentrated at the lower altitude exhibiting endemic nature, the herbaceous species exhibiting maximum distribution range were dominant at the higher altitudinal range.

### Conservation status of endemic species

Of the 19 endemic species thriving in the Peñablanca PLS (Table 4), four are threatened species, with one Critically Endangered (CR), one Endangered (EN), and two Vulnerable (VU) species. In addition, One is near threatened (NT), three being least concern (LC), two as data deficient (DD), and the rest were not evaluated (NE). Though the researchers did not assess the conservation status, those threatened floras were observed as a single individual in the study sites. Species under the category DD were evaluated as such due to the limited distributional data and lack of information on population size, trends, or threats to the species in the Philippines. The PA hosts one genus endemic to the Philippines, the *Greeniopsis*. Since endemic species are particularly sensitive to climate change, an understanding of climatic and ecological requirements and distribution constraints of endemic species is very crucial in developing conservation strategies that are robust to future climate changes (Ohlemußer et al. 2008; Fluitgaard et al. 2010; Banag et al. 2015, Case et al. 2015; Sintayehu 2018; Dagnino et al. 2020; Manes et al. 2021). *Ixora* is one of the genera that can be significantly affected. Banag et al. (2015) have proven this in their study focused on *Ixora* affected by climate change. *Ixora auriculata* and *Ixora bartlingii* are vulnerable to climate change and will eventually lead to shrinkage or shift in geographical range. Both species will likely shift their geographic distributions southwards under predicted levels of climate change. The most frequently reported changes in plant ecology in response to climate change are changes in species’ geographical distributions, which are likely to be preceded by a change in plant growth (Morecroft and Keith 2020). This climate change-related phenomenon will be
evident in Luzon, Philippines, because of the increasing extreme rainfall in the coming years, as PAGASA (2018) projected and exposure to tropical cyclones. In Cagayan, where Peñablanca is found, an increase in the seasonal rainfall for December, January, and February 2020 has been observed, with a value of 304 mm compared to the observed baseline (1971-2000) for the same months, which is 284.4 mm. It is projected that an additional increase of 14.6% of rainfall for these months in Cagayan will be noticeable in 2050 (PAGASA 2011, 2018). Luzoni’s loss of suitable areas will force the *Ixora* species to shift to potential zones towards the western Visayas and Mindanao (Banag et al. 2015).

Climate change projections by PAGASA (2011) for Cagayan Province indicate a temperature increase of 0.8 to 1.0°C in 2020 and 1.8 to 2.2°C in 2050, with dry months becoming drier and wet months wetter. The recorded temperature (30°C & above) for the province this 2021 (PAGASA 2021) surpassed the projected temperature in 2020 (25.3°C). Continuous warming will be experienced in the future. According to PAGASA (2018), the country’s average mean temperature could increase by as much as 0.9°C -1.9°C and 1.2°C -2.3°C in the mid-21st century (2036-2065). The temperature and rainfall changes will greatly impact agriculture, forestry, water resources, fisheries and marine resources, human settlements, biodiversity, and ecosystems in general. In biodiversity, for instance, filmy ferns like *Hymenophyllum reinwardtii*, a flora of the Peñablanca PLS, will be affected by the increase in temperature. Being an indicator of super moist soil and wet places such as stream banks and waterfalls will, likewise, migrate up the mountain slopes along watercourses, terminating their upward migration to the vicinity of headwaters. Once these headwaters dry up, it will signal the start of their local extinction (DENR 2016). All these environmental changes provide a grave threat to the Peñablanca PLS.

In a vulnerability assessment study (DENR 2016) conducted in the Peñablanca PLS, 109 plants were vulnerable to climate change, of which nine species belong to the family Rubiaceae. These are the species under the Philippine Penablanca Sustainable Reforestation Project (PPSRP) reforestation and enhancement. The rubiaceous species are *Canthium monstruosum* Merr. now *Kanapia monstrosa* (A. Rich.) Arriola & Alejandro, *Nauclea orientalis* (L.) L., *Neonauclea media* Merr., *Neonauclea reticulata* Merr., *Pavetta indica* L., *Psychotria gitingensis* Elmer, *Psychotria luzoniensis* Fern.-Vill., *Tarenna cumingiana* Elmer, and *Wendlandia luzoniensis* DC. var. *membranifolia* (Elmer) Cowan. All of which were assessed to be moderately sensitive to climate change. Aside from the typhoons that occur every year, other hazards that provide additional threats to the Peñablanca PLS include flooding and rain-induced landslides. Peñablanca PLS is further ravaged by deforestation due to timber poaching, slash-and-burn farming, and conversion of forests into agricultural lands. In November 2020, the worst massive flooding was experienced in Cagayan province, particularly in Tuguegarao City, and what caused it is a confluence of factors. According to the PPLS Management Plan (2016), this flooding problem in the province is largely influenced by Peñablanca PLS because the rivers draining to the flood-prone areas come from the PA. Therefore, the vegetative cover of Peñablanca PLS greatly determines the degree of flooding in Cagayan. If areas are flooded, this can be attributed to the declining vegetation of the PA. Botanical surveys in the PA are urgent as many species await discovery and proper identification before becoming prey to extinction.

### Table 4. List of endemic species and their conservation status

| Species                               | Status | References                  |
|---------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|
| *Wendlandia luzoniensis* DC.          | NE     | -                           |
| *Greeniopsis pubescens* Merr.         | CR     | DAO 2017-11                 |
| *Greeniopsis multiflora* (Elmer) Merr. | LC     | IUCN 2021-1                 |
| *Ixora auriculata* Elmer               | NE     | -                           |
| *Isora cumingiana* S. Vidal           | NE     | -                           |
| *Musaenda philippica* A. Rich var. philippica | LC | IUCN 2021-1               |
| *Neonauclea media* (Havil.) Merr.     | NE     | -                           |
| *Uncaria perrottetii* (A. Rich.) Merr. | NE     | -                           |
| *Psychotria luzoniensis* (Cham. & Schltld.) Fern.-Vill. | LC | Sohmer and Davis (2007) |
| *Psychotria gitingensis* Elmer         | DD     | -                           |
| *Psychotria frakei* Sohmer & A.P. Davis | EN | Sohmer and Davis (2007) |
| *Psychotria nitens* (Merr.) Merr.     | DD     | -                           |
| *Psychotria diffusa* Merr. var. diffusa | NT | Sohmer and Davis (2007) |
| *Psychotria weberi* Merr.             | VU     | IUCN 2021-1                 |
| *Tarenna elongata* Merr.              | NE     | -                           |
| *Tarenna cumingiana* (S. Vidal) Elmer  | NE     | -                           |
| *Hedyotis bambusetorum* Merr.         | VU     | DAO 2017-11                 |
| *Hedyotis simplex* Merr.              | NE     | -                           |
| *Kanapia monstrosa* (A. Rich.) Arriola & Alejandro | NE | -                            |

Note: NE: Not Evaluated, DD: Data Deficient, OT: Other Threatened Species, LC: Least Concern, V: Vulnerable, EN: Endangered, CR: Critically Endangered
Figure 2. The endemic species recorded in Peñablanca PLS. A. *Ixora cumingiana*, B. *Mussaenda philippica* var. *philippica*, C. *Hedyotis simplex*, D. *Hedyotis bambusetorum*, E. *Greeniopsis pubescens*, F. *Psychotria gittingensis*, G. *Uncaria perrottetii*, H. *Tarenna elongata*, I. *Ixora cumingiana*, J. *Wendlandia luzoniensis*, K. *Psychotria frakei*, L. *Psychotria luzoniensis*, M. *Psychotria nitens*, N. *Neonauclea media*, O. *Tarenna cumingiana*, P. *Psychotria diffusa*, Q. *Kanapia monstrosa*, R. *Greeniopsis multiflora*. Photos taken by R. Biag. Photos of the previously recorded species in the area (I, N, O, P, Q and R) were obtained from the Co’s Digital Flora of the Philippines (Pelser et al 2011 onwards).
In conclusion, the Peñablanca PLS harbors 42 species of Rubiaceae belonging to 19 genera and representing 13 tribes. The most species-rich tribes are Spermacoceae (9 sp.), followed by Psychotriaceae (7 sp.), Pavetteae (6 sp.), Nauclease (5 sp.), Ixoreae (4 sp.), Aleisantheae (2 sp.), Mussaendaeae (2 sp.), and Vanguerieae (2 sp.). The rest of the tribes, i.e., Augusteae, Coffeae, Gardenieae, Knoxiae, and Morinidae, are represented by a single species. Minanga, Nabbabalayan, and Sisim gave the highest number of species with 14, 10, and 5, respectively. Less than 5 species were recorded for Agguagadan, Cabasan, Manga, and San Roque. Of the total number, 19 species are endemic to the Philippines. It is worth mentioning that four of these species are threatened with one CR, one EN, and two VU species. Noteworthy is the presence of one NT, three LC, and two DD species. The rest, however, were not evaluated.

The Department of Environment and Natural Resources, through the Protected Area Management Board (PAMB), should establish a partnership with state colleges and universities to better monitor and assess its biodiversity. The same governing body, the DENR, and the local government of Peñablanca should allocate funds for the management mentioned above program to encourage more researchers to engage in environmental protection and biodiversity conservation activities. Publication of the researches conducted is also encouraged. Development and dissemination of Instruction, Education, and Communication (IEC) materials of the species could be carried out to develop people’s awareness of these species particularly the endemic ones. The identified threatened species in this botanical survey urgently need our attention. As a response, regular monitoring should be done, but there should be a creation first of the map bearing the distribution of these species under threat. This could be a great step that could save them from the verge of extinction.
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