The effects of somatic cell count on milk yield and milk composition in Holstein cows
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Abstract
This study was conducted to assess the impact of somatic cell count (SCC) on the test day milk yield (TDMY) and milk composition parameters (fat, solids-not-fat, protein and lactose) of Holstein cows raised in the Kırşehir province of Turkey. A total of 1194 raw milk samples were collected from 195 Holstein cows at different stages of lactation (from 1 to 7), parities (from 1 to 3) and calving seasons (autumn, winter, spring and summer). Also, TDMY and milk composition traits were tested by SCC in four groups (<100×10^3, 100-200×10^3, 201-500×10^3 and >500×10^3 cells/mL). For statistical evaluation, SCC data were converted to log_{10} base. The overall means of log_{10}SCC, TDMY, fat, solids-not-fat (SNF), protein and lactose were determined as 4.95 ± 0.016, 33.36 ± 0.232 kg/day, 3.39 ± 0.021%, 9.04 ± 0.013%, 3.32 ± 0.005% and 4.92 ± 0.007%, respectively. Log_{10}SCC, TDMY, fat, SNF, protein and lactose were significantly affected by the stage of lactation, parity and calving seasons (P<0.05). An increase in the SCC was accompanied by a decrease in the milk yield as well as its content (P<0.05). Cows’ milk with high SCC (>201×10^3 cells/mL) had a lower TDMY than milk with lower SCC (<100×10^3 and 100-200 cells/mL). However, the lowest fat, SNF, protein and lactose were determined in milk with SCC >500×10^3 cells/mL. It was concluded that SCC in milk should not exceed 500×10^3 cells/mL for Holstein cows, suggesting that monthly control of SCC may be effective for improving milk production and milk quality in Holstein cows.
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Introduction
Somatic cell count (SCC) is the body's natural defense system and a measure of the white blood cell count in milk (ACATINCÂI et al., 2008). Somatic cells, which are mainly
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composed of macrophages, lymphocytes polymorph nuclear cells and some epithelial cells, are naturally present in milk (PAVEL and GAVAN, 2011). It has been revealed that SCC increases when mastitis is characterized by inflammation due to bacterial infections in the mammary gland (ACATINCĂI et al., 2008; MOSLEHISHAD et al., 2010). Elevated SCC may contribute to proteolysis and lipolysis of the milk constituents (RAMOS et al., 2015). Increased proteolysis reduces the economic value of milk by its negative impact on protein functionality. Therefore SCC, which is a universally accepted parameter in the evaluation of udder health status (GUO et al., 2010; CINAR et al., 2015), is widely used for monitoring milk quality and raw milk pricing in dairy farming (SERT et al., 2016).

High SCC causes great serious economic losses to both producers and the dairy industry (RAMOS et al., 2015). The main reason for these losses related to elevated SCC is decreased milk yield in cows (SERT et al., 2016). Besides, high SCC in milk adversely affects the quality of raw milk and dairy products, due to deteriorated flavor quality and shelf life (SOBCZUK-SZUL et al., 2015). The performance of processed milk and milk products are affected by milk quality (NAJAFI et al., 2009).

Milk yield and its composition can be very variable, and affected by multiple interrelated factors, including genetic and non-genetic factors (SOBCZUK-SZUL et al., 2015). Parity (ERDEM et al., 2007; KUL and ERDEM, 2008), stage of lactation (ERDEM et al., 2010; ATASEVER and STÁDNÍK, 2015) and season (BERTOCCHI et al., 2014) are the important non-genetic factors affecting the yield and composition of a cow’s milk. However, the correlations between these parameters and SCC in the milk from herds with low and high SCC is not fully understood.

There are few and scarce data regarding the relationships between SCC, milk yield and milk composition, and the factors that affect these in Holstein cows in Turkey. Thus, further studies are needed to determine regarding these field. The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of different SCC levels on raw milk on milk yield and composition, and the relationships between SCC, milk yield and milk composition in Turkish Holstein populations. Additional objectives were to investigate the parity, stage of lactation and seasonal factors that affect SCC, milk yield and milk components.

Materials and methods

A total of 1194 milk yield records of 195 Holstein cows were analyzed. Data were obtained from a private farm from November 2014 to July 2016 in the Kirşehir province of Turkey. The test day milk yield (TDMY) of each cow was recorded daily using a computerized system.

All animals were housed in a free stall and were in good health. Feeding management on the farms was not altered during the sampling. The cows were milked three times per day and each milking was recorded for each cow. Individual milk samples were collected
during the morning milking in sterile bottles of 50 mL using a special sample collecting cup, mounted on the automatic milking system, and thereafter kept in ice and immediately transported to the laboratory. The raw samples were stored at +4 °C, and then analyzed within 5-6 h of collection.

The SCC was determined with a DCC (DeLaval Cell Counter, DeLaval, Tumba, Sweden), while fat content, protein content, lactose and solids-not-fat (SNF) were determined using Lacto Star (Funke-Gerber, Labortechnik, Article No 3510, Berlin, Germany). The actual SCC were transformed using a log$_{10}$ transformation to avoid bias of the mean by extremely high raw SCC.

The cows were grouped as first, second and third parities. The milk samples were collected at 30 days intervals and at 30 ± 15, 60 ± 15, 90 ± 15, 120 ± 15, 150 ± 15, 210 ± 15 and 270 ± 15 days of lactation. The research material was divided into four groups according to the SCC values: <100$\times$10$^3$, 100-200$\times$10$^3$, 201-500 $\times$10$^3$ and >500$\times$10$^3$ cells /mL. To evaluate by calving season, four groups were formed: 1 = autumn (September to November); 2 = winter (December to February); 3 = spring (March to May); 4 = summer (June to August).

The following linear model was used to examine the influence of parity, stage of lactation and calving season on SCC, TDMY, fat content, SNF, protein content and lactose:

$$ Y_{ijkl} = \mu + A_i + B_j + C_k + \varepsilon_{ijkl} $$

where
- $Y_{ijkl}$ is the observation value,
- $\mu$ is the overall mean,
- $A_i$ is effect of parity ($i = 1, 2, 3$)
- $B_j$ is effect of lactation stage ($j = 30 \pm 15, 60 \pm 15, 90 \pm 15, 120 \pm 15, 150 \pm 15, 210 \pm 15, 270 \pm 15$)
- $C_k$ is effect of calving season ($k =$ autumn, winter, spring, summer)
- $\varepsilon_{ijkl}$ is random error.

Data were analyzed using the general linear model (GLM) procedure of SPSS 13.0 for Windows. The means were compared with Duncan’s multiple range test.

**Results and discussion**

As seen from Table 1, the effect of parity on TDMY was significantly important ($P<0.05$). The highest TDMY was determined in the third parity, and the lowest in the first parity ($P<0.05$). In other words, TDMY tended to increase with advancing parity (Table 1). ERDEM et al. (2010) and CINAR et al. (2015) found similar results and concluded that the effect of parity on milk yield was significantly important. YOON et al. (2004) reported that milk yield was lower ($P<0.01$) in the first parity than other parities.
Increasing TDMY with later parity may be explained by the advancing ages of the cows (ERDEM et al., 2010).

Table 1. Effects of parity on test day milk yield, somatic cell count and milk composition

| Parity | N    | TDMY (kg) | Log10SCC (cell/mL) | Fat (%) | SNF (%) | Protein (%) | Lactose (%) |
|--------|------|-----------|--------------------|---------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| 1      | 467  | 30.89 ± 0.319* | 4.93 ± 0.025b     | 3.35 ± 0.029b | 9.09 ± 0.019  | 3.34 ± 0.008a | 4.96 ± 0.011a |
| 2      | 470  | 33.98 ± 0.354* | 4.93 ± 0.025b     | 3.45 ± 0.036a | 9.04 ± 0.022a | 3.32 ± 0.008a | 4.93 ± 0.012a |
| 3      | 257  | 36.74 ± 0.566* | 5.03 ± 0.036b     | 3.34 ± 0.046b | 8.93 ± 0.025b | 3.27 ± 0.010b | 4.85 ± 0.015b |
| Total  | 1194 | 33.36 ± 0.232  | 4.95 ± 0.016      | 3.39 ± 0.021  | 9.04 ± 0.013  | 3.32 ± 0.005  | 4.92 ± 0.007  |

N - number of sample; TDMY - test day milk yield; Log10SCC - logarithmic somatic cell count; SNF - solids-not-fat; *b - differences between different superscript in the same column is significant (P<0.05)

Log10SCC related to the parity of the cows in the present study (P<0.05). Significantly higher log10SCC (P<0.05) was observed in third parity compared with first and second parities. Log10SCC increased with advancing parity and this result agrees with previous reports (ERDEM et al., 2007; KUL and ERDEM, 2008; NASR and EL-TARABANY, 2017). Unlike the report of SEVI et al. (2000), parity had no significant effect on SCC. Despite some opposing research, most research results clearly indicate that the rise in SCC with the progressive aging of cows is attributable to a higher incidence of permanent glandular impairment from retreated infections (NASR and EL-TARABANY, 2017).

As can be understood from Table 1, fat content increased in the second parity, but declined in the third parity (P<0.05). The highest SNF, protein content and lactose were found in the first and second parity than the third parity (P<0.05). Similar conclusions were reached by CINAR et al. (2015), who documented that the effect of parity on protein content and lactose was significantly important (P<0.01). MARTIN et al. (2006) reported that milk fat content was relatively higher in the first lactation and decreased thereafter. This finding is disagreement with the findings of GURMESA and MELAKU (2012) who mentioned that the fat, protein, SNF and lactose contents of milk were similar in primiparous and multiparous cows. As seen from Table 1, the milk components in the third lactation decreased with increasing milk yield in general.

The effect of stage of lactation on TDMY is shown in Table 2. The highest TDMY was determined in the first two months of lactation and it decreased linearly with following lactations (P<0.05). Similar results were determined by ERDEM et al. (2010) and ATASEVER and STÁDNÍK (2015). However, GURMESA and MELAKU (2012) declared that the highest yield was recorded in mid stage and the lowest in late stage lactation. This could be due to the dilution effect of increased milk yield during early lactation and declines in milk yield during mid and late lactation. These variations could correspond to the level of negative energy balance during the initial period of lactation (DUCHÁCEK et al., 2014). This agrees with previous research reporting that a gradually
decline could be seen in mean TDMY related to lactation persistency (ERDEM et al., 2010).

Table 2. Effects of lactation stage on test day milk yield, somatic cell count and milk composition

| Stage | N   | TDMY (kg) | Log$_{10}$SCC (cell/mL) | Fat (%) | SNF (%) | Protein (%) | Lactose (%) |
|-------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|---------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| 1     | 180 | 37.20 ± 0.558a | 4.85 ± 0.046a | 3.25 ± 0.058a | 8.91 ± 0.033a | 3.27 ± 0.013a | 4.85 ± 0.020a |
| 2     | 177 | 37.02 ± 0.483a | 4.91 ± 0.041a | 3.25 ± 0.052a | 8.87 ± 0.028a | 3.26 ± 0.011a | 4.83 ± 0.017a |
| 3     | 172 | 35.69 ± 0.520b | 4.94 ± 0.044c | 3.30 ± 0.056c | 8.96 ± 0.042a | 3.30 ± 0.013d | 4.89 ± 0.019b |
| 4     | 172 | 34.51 ± 0.523c | 4.95 ± 0.042bc | 3.33 ± 0.049c | 9.05 ± 0.028a | 3.32 ± 0.013c | 4.93 ± 0.017bc |
| 5     | 171 | 33.03 ± 0.518d | 4.96 ± 0.039bc | 3.34 ± 0.052c | 9.12 ± 0.031a | 3.35 ± 0.013ab | 4.97 ± 0.019bc |
| 6     | 170 | 28.97 ± 0.554c | 5.00 ± 0.037b | 3.51 ± 0.047b | 9.17 ± 0.029a | 3.37 ± 0.012a | 5.02 ± 0.018a |
| 7     | 152 | 25.46 ± 0.626f | 5.06 ± 0.041a | 3.82 ± 0.061d | 9.21 ± 0.033a | 3.38 ± 0.013a | 5.02 ± 0.020a |

Stage 1 - 30 ± 15 days of lactation; Stage 2 - 60 ± 15 days of lactation; Stage 3 - 90 ± 15 days of lactation; Stage 4 - 120 ± 15 days of lactation; Stage 5 - 150 ± 15 days of lactation; Stage 6 - 210 ± 15 days of lactation; Stage 7 - 270 ± 15 days of lactation; N - number of sample; TDMY - test day milk yield; Log$_{10}$SCC - logarithmic somatic cell count; SNF - solids-not-fat; a-f - differences between different superscript in the same column is significant (P<0.05)

As seen in Table 2, log$_{10}$SCC increased gradually until the end of lactation, while it was the lowest in the first month of lactation (P<0.05). YOON et al. (2004) reported that lower SCC was determined in the early and middle periods of lactation. The results obtained in the study were different to other study (ERDEM et al., 2010; ATASEVER and STADNIK, 2015) which reported that the effect of lactation on SCC was not significant. IKONEN et al. (2004) demonstrated that SCC was high at the beginning of the lactation period, then decreased steadily and dropped to minimal level at the second month, but increased thereafter, thus reaching its maximum level at the end of lactation. The increase in milk SCC towards the end of lactation may be attributed to the higher prevalence of mastitis, normal involution of the udder and decreased milk production, which causes less dilution of the milk leucocytes (OSTENSSON, 1993).

The effects of stage of lactation on fat content, SNF, protein content and lactose were also statistically important (P<0.05). In the present study, fat in milk did not differ until five months of lactation, then it increased linearly to the end of lactation (Table 2). However, this finding disagrees with the report of BOHMANOVA et al. (2009), who reported that milk fat content was the lowest especially in the late lactation period. A reasonable explanation is the low fat percentage in the early days of lactation results in the highest DMY during this period. The results of this study indicate that protein content, lactose and SNF were lower in the second month of lactation and increased gradually with the advancing stages of lactation, and this difference was statistically important (P<0.05). This finding disagreed with the results of GURMESA and MELAKU (2012) who reported that lactation stage
had no significant effect on milk composition. The decrease in fat and protein percentage in the peak period could be explained by the antagonist relationship between milk yield and the dry matter ratio of milk, as well as by the negative energy balance during the post-partum period (ATASEVER and STÁDNÍK, 2015). SOBCZUK-SZUL et al. (2015) stressed that the lowest protein and fat content and the highest yield were typical of milk from early stage of lactation. In this study, the milk yield increased in early lactation, however, milk components decreased. This case may be explained by the antagonistic relationships between milk yield and milk solids (FEDAKU et al., 2005).

Table 3. Effects of calving season on test day milk yield, somatic cell count and milk composition

| Season  | N   | TDMY (kg)  | Log₁₀ SCC (cell/mL) | Fat (%)  | SNF (%)  | Protein (%) | Lactose (%) |
|---------|-----|------------|----------------------|----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Autumn  | 305 | 33.52 ± 0.404 | 4.93 ± 0.028         | 3.48 ± 0.035 | 9.08 ± 0.024 | 3.33 ± 0.010 | 4.95 ± 0.014 |
| Winter  | 352 | 33.50 ± 0.422 | 5.05 ± 0.031         | 3.43 ± 0.040 | 9.08 ± 0.026 | 3.34 ± 0.009 | 4.95 ± 0.013 |
| Spring  | 294 | 34.00 ± 0.506 | 4.88 ± 0.032         | 3.22 ± 0.043 | 8.95 ± 0.024 | 3.28 ± 0.009 | 4.87 ± 0.014 |
| Summer  | 243 | 32.20 ± 0.541 | 4.91 ± 0.035         | 3.42 ± 0.048 | 9.02 ± 0.027 | 3.31 ± 0.011 | 4.91 ± 0.016 |

N - number of sample; TDMY - test day milk yield; Log₁₀ SCC - logarithmic somatic cell count; SNF - solids-not-fat; a-c - Differences between different superscript in the same column is significant (P<0.05)

As seen from Table 3, the significant effect of calving season on TDMY (P<0.05) was in agreement with the study results of RAJČEVIĆ et al. (2003) and YOON et al. (2004). The lowest TDMY was found in cows calving in the summer, but the highest in cows calving in the spring. In parallel with these findings, CATILLO et al. (2002) reported that the lowest milk production level was determined from cows calved in the summer. EL-TAHAWY and EL-FAR (2010) reported that milk yield was highest in the autumn and winter. YOON et al. (2004) emphasized that a reduced rate of metabolism, decreased dry matter and nutrient intake, and altered water metabolism all occur in response to heat stress. Unfortunately, responses to heat stress often have negative effects on the physiology of the cow and on milk yield (KOÇ, 2011).

Log₁₀ SCC was significantly affected by calving season (P<0.05). The highest Log₁₀ SCC (P<0.05) was observed in winter and the lowest in cows calving in the spring (Table 3). This was in agreement with many other studies (RAJČEVIĆ et al., 2003; BERTOCCHI et al., 2014). The highest SCC during autumn and winter was previously determined by EL-TAHAWY and EL-FAR (2010). In our study, SCC was much higher during the colder seasons because the cows were indoors during the winter. Contrary to our findings, some authors reported higher SCC in milk in the summer season (ERDEM et al., 2007; NAJAFI et al., 2009).

As seen from Table 3, the calving season significantly (P<0.05) affected all milk composition parameters (P<0.05). Milk fat, protein and SNF in cows calving in spring were lower compared to other seasons. Moreover, lactose was lowest in cows calving in
the spring and summer compared to those that calved in the autumn and winter (Table 3). GENCUROVA and HANU (1997) reported that the highest fat content in milk was determined in winter. The content of fat, protein and lactose could be influenced by the different food regimes in the summer and winter, besides the climate conditions (RAJČEVIČ et al., 2003). In the present study, the low milk components in the spring may be explained by the high milk yield in the spring season.

Changes to the milk components according to SCS thresholds are shown in Table 4. The effect of SCC on TDMY was significantly important (P<0.05). The results showed that SCC was negatively correlated with TDMY. Milk yield decreased in milk with SCC of 201-500×10^3 cells/mL, especially the highest milk yield losses were determined in milk with SCC >500×10^3 cells/mL (Table 4). These results are largely consistent with findings reported by other authors. MILLOGO et al. (2009) reported that high SCC led to lower milk yield. In the study by CINAR et al. (2015), milk yield decreased when the SCC increased. Unlike the current study, ERDEM et al. (2010) reported that the effect of SCC level on TDMY was not statically important.

Table 4. The effects of SCC in milk on milk yield and milk composition

| SCC  | N   | TDMY (kg) | Fat (%) | SNF (%) | Protein (%) | Lactose (%) |
|------|-----|-----------|---------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| <100 | 682 | 33.92 ± 0.295^a | 9.03 ± 0.017^a | 3.32 ± 0.006^a | 4.93 ± 0.009^a | 4.93 ± 0.009^a |
| 100-200 | 229 | 33.49 ± 0.502^a | 9.08 ± 0.028^a | 3.33 ± 0.011^a | 4.95 ± 0.017^a | 4.95 ± 0.017^a |
| 201-500 | 155 | 32.55 ± 0.693^b | 9.09 ± 0.037^b | 3.34 ± 0.015^b | 4.95 ± 0.022^b | 4.95 ± 0.022^b |
| >500 | 128 | 31.56 ± 0.868^b | 8.91 ± 0.041^b | 3.25 ± 0.018^b | 4.84 ± 0.025^b | 4.84 ± 0.025^b |

SCC- somatic cell count (cells/mL×10^3); N - number of sample; TDMY- test day milk yield; SNF- solids-not-fat; ^a-c - differences between different superscript in the same column is significant (P<0.05)

High SCC was associated with decreased fat content (P<0.05). Table 4 shows that fat content decreased to the lowest percentage with elevated SCC (SCC >500×10^3 cells/mL). NAJAFI et al. (2009) demonstrated that the fat content of the milk decreased with increased SCC. The results in the present investigation were different than the results of MILLOGO et al. (2009), who determined that fat content was higher in samples with SCC <200×10^3 cells/mL than samples with SCC >200×10^3 cells/mL. Nevertheless, some authors found no significant reduction in fat when correlated with increased SCC (MOSLEHISHAD et al., 2010; CINAR et al., 2015). Elevation of SCC significantly changed the fat content in the present study (P<0.05). This could be explained by the decrease in fat synthesis by the epithelial cells of the mammary glands (FERNANDES et al., 2004).

The lowest milk protein and SNF in the present study were determined in milk with SCC >500×10^3 cells/mL (Table 4). In other words, higher SCC caused a marked decline
in the content of protein of milk and SNF. The present results agree with those of CINAR et al. (2015), who found that SCC had a negatively and statically important effect on milk protein (P<0.01). Consistent with our findings, JAEGGI et al. (2003) reported that the milk protein was the lowest in milk with the highest SCC, disagreeing with the findings of ALBENZIO et al. (2005). According to GUO et al. (2010), decreases in protein content in cows responding to infection are mainly associated with the serum protein fraction. RAMOS et al. (2015) stressed that there was a higher proteolytic activity associated with high SCC. Therefore, casein synthesis during mastitis was usually reduced (RAMOS et al., 2015).

Lactose is the main determinant of milk volume and displays disorders in secretory tissues (GURMESA and MELAKU, 2012). Low lactose content shows metabolic and functional disorders in the udder tissues (RAJČEVIĆ et al., 2003). Similarly, SOBCZUK-SZUL et al. (2015) determined that lactose content decreased with increasing SCC in milk. The lowest lactose in the present study was determined in milk with SCC >500×10^3 cells/mL (Table 4). RAMOS et al. (2015) reported that inflammation of mammary glands results in a reduction in lactose synthesis. This is because the glandular edema makes it difficult for glucose to reach the udder gland (GARCIA et al., 2015). As seen in Table 4, SNF decreased with elevated SCC, and the lowest SNF was determined in milk with SCC >500×10^3 cells/mL (P<0.05). MOSLEHISHAD et al. (2010) found similar results regarding the relationships between high SCC and SNF. Higher SCC can cause higher enzymatic activity of proteinases, such as plasmin, lower synthetic ability of the mammary glands, and an increase in barrier permeability in mastitis milk, consequently lower quality milk (MOSLEHISHAD et al., 2010).

**Conclusion**

The results of this study indicate that parity, stage of lactation and calving season significantly (P<0.05) affected TDMY, log10SCC, fat, SNF, protein and lactose. The results obtained showed that elevated SCC negatively affected milk yield and milk composition parameters. Moreover, milk production markedly declined in cows with higher SCC (>500×10^3 cells/mL). In conclusion, the lowest milk yield and milk composition were observed in milk when SCC was more than 500×10^3 cells/mL, and it is suggested that monthly control of SCC may be effective for improving milk production and milk quality in Holstein cows.
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SAŽETAK

Ovim se istraživanjem želio ustanoviti utjecaj broja somatskih stanica (SCC) na dnevni prinosa mlijeka (TDMY) i sastav mlijeka (masnoća, suha tvar, bjelančevine i laktoza) krava holštajske pasmine u Kirşehiru u Turskoj. Ukupno 1194 uzoraka sirova mlijeka prikupljeno je od 195 krava holštajske pasmine u različitim fazama laktacije (od 1 do 7), pariteta (od 1 do 3) i različitim razdobljima teljenja (jesen, zima, proljeće i ljeto). Također, ispitivan je broj somatskih stanica s obzirom na dnevni prinos i sastav mlijeka u četiri skupine (<100 $10^3$, 100-200×$10^3$, 201-500×$10^3$ i >500×$10^3$ stanica po mililitru). Za statističku obradu podaci o broju stanica prikazani su u bazi 10 logaritma ($\log_{10}$). Ukupna srednja vrijednost $\log_{10}$ za SCC iznosila je 4.95 ± 0.016, za TDMY 33.36 ± 0.232 kg/day, za masnoću 3.39 ± 0.021 %, za suhu tvar 9.04 ± 0.013 %, za bjelančevine 3.32 ± 0.005 % i za laktozu 4.92 ± 0.007 %. Na ukupnu srednju vrijednost $\log_{10}$ SCC, dnevnog prinosa, masnoće, suhe tvari, bjelančevina i laktoze statistički znakovito (P<0,05) su utjecali faza laktacije, paritet i sezona teljenja. Porast broja somatskih stanica praćen je smanjenim dnevnim prinosom mlijeka kao i promjenom njegovog sastava (P<0,05). Krave s velikim brojem somatskih stanica (>201×$10^3$ stanica po mililitru) imale su manji dnevni prinos mlijeka nego one s manjim brojem somatskih stanica (<100×$10^3$ i 100-200 stanica po mililitru). Smanjen dnevni prinosa i manja količina masnoće, suhe tvari, bjelančevina i laktoze utvrđeni su u mlijeku s brojem somatskih stanica većim od 500×$10^3$ stanica po mililitru. Zaključeno je da broj somatskih stanica u mlijeku ne bi trebao biti veći od 500×$10^3$ stanica po mililitru te da bi mjesečna kontrola broja somatskih stanica u mlijeku krava holštajske pasmine mogla povećati proizvodnju i poboljšati njegovu kvalitetu.

Ključne riječi: krava holštajske pasmine; broj somatskih stanica; proizvodnja mlijeka; mliječna masnoća; mliječne bjelančevine; laktoza