Supersymmetric Yang-Mills quantum mechanics in various dimensions
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Supersymmetric Yang-Mills quantum mechanics (SYMQM) results from the dimensional reduction of the Yang-Mills field theory in $D$ space-time dimensions to a single point in the $D - 1$ dimensional space. It can be also viewed as the effective quantum mechanics of zero momentum modes of the original theory [1]. These systems were first considered in 80’s [3] as simple models with supersymmetry [5]. Independently, zero-volume field theories (especially pure Yang-Mills) were employed as the starting point of the small volume expansion, which is an important theoretical tool complementary to early lattice calculations [4,5,6]. Later the models attracted a new wave of interest after the hypothesis of the equivalence, between the $D = 10, SU(\infty)$ SYMQM and a M-theory of D0 branes [7,8,9,10].

In this talk some new results obtained for the $D = 4$ system with SU(2) gauge symmetry will be presented.
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The reduced quantum-mechanical Yang-Mills model is described by nine canonically conjugate pairs of bosonic coordinates and momenta $x^i_a(t)$, $p^i_a(t)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, $a = 1, 2, 3$ and six independent fermionic coordinates composing a Majorana spinor $\psi^\alpha_a(t)$, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, 4$, $a = 1, 2, 3$. The Hamiltonian reads
\begin{equation}
H = \frac{1}{2} p^i_a p^i_a + \frac{g^2}{4} \epsilon_{abc} \epsilon_{ade} x^i_b x^j_c x^j_d + \frac{ig}{2} \epsilon_{abc} \psi^\dagger_a \Gamma^k \psi_b x^k_c ,
\end{equation}
in $D = 4$, $\Gamma^k$ are the standard Dirac $\alpha^k$ matrices.

Even though the three-dimensional space was reduced to a single point, the system still has an internal Spin(3) rotational symmetry, inherited from the original theory, and the residual of the local gauge transformation. It is also invariant under the supersymmetry transformations with Majorana generators
\begin{equation}
Q_\alpha = (\Gamma^k \psi_a) a p^i_a + ig \epsilon_{abc} (\Sigma^j \psi_a) a x^j_b x^k_c .
\end{equation}

There exists a powerful method to compute the complete spectrum and eigenstates of polynomial hamiltonians with a "reasonably" large number of bosonic and fermionic variables [11, 12, 13, 14]. It exploits the eigenbasis of the number operators associated with all individual degrees of freedom. Beginning with the empty (fermionic and bosonic) state, $|0\rangle = |0_F, 0_B\rangle$, we construct the basis of the physical (gauge-invariant) Hilbert space by acting on $|0\rangle$ with gauge invariant polynomials of all creation operators. The basis is explicitly cut by restricting the total number of all bosonic quanta\(^1\). We then calculate analytically the matrix representation of the hamiltonian and obtain numerically the spectrum and the eigenstates. The procedure is then repeated for higher cutoffs until the results converge. Originally the analytical part of the calculation was done in Mathematica by defining an explicit representation of the Fock states and all relevant operators. In Ref. [13] this was replaced by a fast, recursive calculation of all matrix elements. Where possible conservation laws were used. For example, the fermionic number is conserved in $D = 2$ and $D = 4$ models, and the whole procedure was carried out independently in each fermionic sector. In the four dimensional model we reduced the problem even further by using composite creators with fixed fermion number and angular momentum. In the present paper, we report yet more precise results, obtained by replacing the Mathematica implementation of the latter algorithm with an optimized C++ program. This allowed us to obtain the energies of the first 10-20 states, in every $(F, j)$ channel, with the four digit precision.

1. Results

Maybe the most surprising property of these models is the coexistence of the discrete and continuous spectrum in the same range of energies. For purely bosonic systems the flat directions of the bosonic potential\(^1\) are blocked by the energy barrier induced by quantum fluctuations in the transverse directions [15]. For supersymmetric systems, however, the above fluctuations cancel between fermions and bosons, and the continuous spectrum appears [16]. All these features were observed [11] and confirmed with high accuracy in Refs [13]. Moreover, it was found that the continuum spectrum appears only in certain channels, while the localized states exist for all fermion
\footnote{The number of fermionic quanta is finite in reduced theories.}
numbers and angular momenta. As a consequence, in some channels localized and non-localized states exist in the same energy range (see later).

The method outlined above allowed to reach such a values of the cutoff where the discrete spectrum and eigenstates have already converged for all practical purposes. The continuous spectrum is also well understood. It follows a simple scaling law which allows to extract physical information \[18\]. In particular, using this scaling, a dispersion relation for the scattering states was confirmed \[17\] and obtained for more complicated fermionic sectors. Other results obtained in \[13\] include study of the restoration of supersymmetry with increasing cutoff and calculation of the Witten index.

As an illustration I would like to discuss in more detail identification of dynamical supersymmetric multiplets in this model.

### 1.1 Supersymmetry fractions

In order to see explicitly the supersymmetric structure it is convenient to work with the Weyl generators

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}^{+}_{\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{1}{2} (Q_1 - iQ_2 + Q_3 + iQ_4), \\
\mathcal{D}^{+}_{-\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{1}{2} (iQ_1 + Q_2 - iQ_3 + Q_4),
\end{align*}
\]

which carry definite fermionic number \(F\) and angular momentum \((m = \pm \frac{1}{2})\). In the gauge invariant sector they satisfy SUSY algebra

\[
\{\mathcal{D}_m, \mathcal{D}^+_n\} = 4H \delta_{mn}, \quad \{\mathcal{D}^+_m, \mathcal{D}^+_n\} = \{\mathcal{D}_m, \mathcal{D}_n\} = 0.
\]

It implies that supersymmetry generators can move eigenstates of \(H\) only between four channels:

\[
\begin{align*}
(F, j + 1/2) & \\
(F, j) & \\
(F + 1, j + 1) & \\
(F, j - 1/2)
\end{align*}
\]

which form a diamond in the \(F, j\) plane. Such a diamond is then nothing but a dynamical supermultiplet with all four states having necessarily the same eigenenergy. From now on we shall be labelling such a supermultiplet as \([F, j]\).

The algebra \((1.2)\) has therefore two consequences on the spectrum: a) states from different channels should be degenerate according to the pattern \((1.3)\), and b) their supersymmetric images, via \(\mathcal{D}^+_m\) and \(\mathcal{D}^+_n\), should coincide with others, well defined, members of a supermultiplet.

It is therefore natural to define the *supersymmetry fractions* as the reduced matrix elements of Weyl generators

\[
q(F + 1, j'; i'|F, j, i) \equiv \frac{1}{4E_{jj}} \left| \langle F + 1, j'; i'| \mathcal{D}^+_i | F, j, i \rangle \right|^2,
\]

where \(i\) enumerates states in a given \((F, j)\) channel. We have found that in the limit of exact supersymmetry and no mixing, susy fractions become simply

\[
\begin{align*}
q(F+1, j+\frac{1}{2}|F, j) &= q(F+2, j|F+1, j+\frac{1}{2}) = j + 1, \\
q(F+1, j-\frac{1}{2}|F, j) &= q(F+2, j|F+1, j-\frac{1}{2}) = j,
\end{align*}
\]

and therefore are quite useful in identifying supermultiplets.
1.2 Dynamical supermultiplets

Figure 1 summarizes our knowledge about the spectrum of the $D = 4$, SU(2) system. It shows a sample of eigenenergies in different channels defined by two conserved quantum numbers: $F$ and $j$. Allowed $(F,j)$ channels are lying on the vertices of the auxiliary mesh displayed on the top and the bottom of the figure. Corresponding eigenenergies are marked by black dots.
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**Figure 1**: The discrete spectrum of the $D = 4$ SU(2) supersymmetric Yang-Mills quantum mechanics. States are grouped in supermultiplets, colored in red if the continuum spectrum is present in the channel, in blue otherwise.

The levels from different channels group into diamonds with the same energy. These are the supersymmetric multiplets identified using both signatures discussed above. For presently available cutoffs (30-40) states within supermultiplets are degenerate to four digits, for lower levels, and up to two digits for the highest displayed level. Due to the particle-hole symmetry the diagram is symmetric with respect to the $F = 3$ plane. This is clearly seen for diamonds with low $E$ and $j$. For higher supermultiplets some partners have been omitted in order not to obscure this already busy plot. Only localized states (i.e. ones from the discrete spectrum) are shown. The continuous spectrum is found exclusively in the central, i.e. $F = 3$, supermultiplets and only for even $j$ (marked with the yellow color at the top and the bottom of the figure). However in these channels the localized states exist as well, as discussed above, and again form supermultiplets. They are marked...
The continuous spectrum extends all the way to zero (not shown in the Figure), consequently the SUSY vacuum must lie in the continuum with \( j = 0 \). There are two such states with \( F = 2 \) and \( 4 \), hence there are two SUSY vacua in this model. This is in correspondence with the general result for the unreduced SU(N) field theory, that the number of vacua equals to \( N \) \([19, 20]\).

Figure 2 shows density plots of supersymmetry fractions, normalized to 1, for two typical channels, i.e., transitions between pairs of adjacent points in the \((F, j)\) plane. Both channels show transitions between discrete states; for \((2_F, 1_j) \leftrightarrow (3_F, 1/2_j)\) we can distinguish transitions belonging to a \([3, 1]\) supermultiplets (100\% fraction, red) and to a \([2, 1/2]\) supermultiplet (75\% fraction, yellow), see Eq. (1.4). The channel \((2_F, 0_j) \leftrightarrow (3_F, 1/2_j)\) also shows transitions between continuum states, where the fraction is “spread out” between several states and remains below 50\% (cyan and green); this is expected, and one should perform a scaling analysis to extract quantitative results, much in the same way as for eigenenergies. Such an analysis is in progress.
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