In 2019, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) emerged in China, ultimately causing the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic. Many persons with SARS-CoV-2 infection have since flown into and out of COVID-19–affected areas. Some countries quarantine arriving passengers. Airports are also screening passenger body temperatures before boarding and after arrival. Recent investigations have shown that SARS-CoV-2 can be transmitted before symptom onset, posing a challenge to outbreak control. Although risks for SARS-CoV-2 transmission have been extensively investigated, in-flight transmission of the virus has not been formally confirmed. Airline staff members have voiced concerns over acquisition of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Given that flights are still departing to and from COVID-19–affected countries, determining whether in-flight transmission of SARS-CoV-2 occurs is essential.

The Study
We examined public records for 1,110 persons with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 in Hong Kong, China, recorded from January 23 through June 13, 2020; we used Centre for Health Protection (CHP) public records and the Vote4HK COVID-19 in HK database for case-patients who had traveled before diagnosis. At the time, the Hong Kong government had not yet introduced mandatory quarantine and airport screening. We identified a cluster of 4 persons with COVID-19 (henceforth referred to as patients A-D) associated with a commercial flight that departed from Boston, Massachusetts, USA, on March 9 and arrived in Hong Kong on March 10, 2020. The airplane, a Boeing 777-300ER, flew for ≈15 hours and carried a maximum of 294 passengers. The cluster comprised 2 passengers and 2 cabin crew members. Although these persons did not fulfill the criteria for SARS-CoV-2 testing at the time of arrival, results of reverse transcription PCR conducted in local healthcare settings within 5–11 days of arrival were positive. All 4 case-patients subsequently recovered.

Patients A and B were a married couple. Patient A was a 58-year-old man with underlying disease who sat in a window seat in business class on the airplane. On March 10, fever and productive cough developed; on March 13, he had mild abdominal discomfort, followed by diarrhea 2 days later. His 61-year-old wife, patient B, also had underlying illness. She sat directly in front of him in a business class window seat. On March 14, the airplane flew for 15 hours and carried a maximum of 294 passengers. The cluster comprised 2 passengers and 2 cabin crew members. Although these persons did not fulfill the criteria for SARS-CoV-2 testing at the time of arrival, results of reverse transcription PCR conducted in local healthcare settings within 5–11 days of arrival were positive. All 4 case-patients subsequently recovered. Before the flight and within the 14-day incubation period, they visited
Toronto, Ontario, Canada (February 15–March 2); New York, New York, USA (March 2–5); and Boston (March 5–9). CHP classified the couple as imported cases into Hong Kong.

Patient C was an asymptomatic 25-year-old man identified through contact tracing by the Hong Kong government and the airline as a close contact of patients A and B. He was a Hong Kong-based business class flight attendant who served patients A and B during the flight. After patients A and B received their diagnoses, the airline informed patient C, and he attended an outpatient clinic on March 16. He was positive for SARS-CoV-2 on March 17 and was subsequently quarantined and hospitalized. Patient C stayed in Boston during March 5–9. Patient D was a 51-year-old female Hong Kong-based flight attendant on the same flight. Fever and cough developed on March 18, SARS-CoV-2 test result was positive on March 21, and patient D was hospitalized. There is no publicly available information of her travel history before the flight or her contacts with the other patients on or after the flight. Descriptions of the disease experienced by patients C and D were unavailable. CHP categorized patients C and D as close contacts of a person with an imported case.

Figure. Phylogenetic tree of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) viruses isolated from passengers and airline crew members who traveled on the same flight from Boston, Massachusetts, USA, to Hong Kong, China. Human SARS-CoV-2 WIV04 is selected to be the root of this phylogenetic tree. The tree was constructed by using the neighbor-joining method. Only bootstrap values >80 are shown. Representative viruses from clades L, S, V, G, GH, GR, and O (others) are included in the analysis. Virus sequences from patients A–D reported in this study are grouped to clade G (GISAID [http://platform.gisaid.org] accession nos. EPI_ISL_476801 to EPI_ISL_476804). EPI ISL accession nos. for sequences retrieved in GISAID (http://platform.gisaid.org) are provided. Scale bar indicates estimated genetic distance.
To generate genetic evidence for transmission between the 4 patients, we sequenced their viruses. Samples were collected under public health authority, and individual patient identities are known to CHP. Retrospective analysis of leftover samples without individual consent was permitted under local regulations and approved by the institutional review board of the University of Hong Kong/Hospital Authority West Cluster (reference UW 20-168) and the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Ethics Committee (reference 22384). Stored upper respiratory samples were sent to a World Health Organization reference laboratory at the University of Hong Kong. We deduced near full-length genomes (sequence length ≥29,760 nt) by using the Illumina sequencing method and previously described primers and protocol (7). All deduced sequences had a minimum coverage of 100. While sequencing and analyzing the specimens, we were blinded to patient status as passenger or crew.

The near full-length viral genomes from all 4 patients were 100% identical and phylogenetically grouped to clade G (Figure). Other than these 4, none of the 189 viral sequences deduced from samples collected in Hong Kong (January 21–May 12, 2020; GISAID, http://platform.gisaid.org), belong to this clade (data not shown) (K.S. Leung et al., unpub. data, https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.03.30.20045740v2). Conversely, in March 2020, virus sequences related to those of patients A–D with only 2 nt differences were isolated in Toronto, New York City, and Massachusetts (Table), making it plausible that patients A and B acquired a similar virus during their visit. Worldwide during January 10–June 13, ≈30,000 complete SARS-CoV-2 genomes with high coverage were deposited into the GISAID database. None shares 100% identity with the sequences of the viruses in the cluster reported here.

Table. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in the SARS-CoV-2 virus sequences from 4 patients on the same flight from Boston, Massachusetts, USA, to Hong Kong, China*

| GISAID accession no. | Source | Sample collection date | Nucleotide positions† | Nucleotide difference‡ |
|----------------------|--------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| 402124               | Reference sequence WIV04† | 2019 Dec 30            | C C C G G C A       | 6                      |
| 476802               | Patient A | 2020 Mar 14          | T T T T T§ T      | G# 0                   |
| 476801               | Patient B | 2020 Mar 15          | T T T T T§ T      | G# 0                   |
| 476803               | Patient C | 2020 Mar 17          | T T T T T§ T      | G# 0                   |
| 476804               | Patient D | 2020 Mar 19          | T T T T T§ T      | G# 0                   |
| 460471               | Massachusetts, USA | 2020 Mar 27          | T T C G T∥ T      | G# 2                   |
| 427528               | New York, USA | 2020 Mar 12         | T T C G T∥ T      | G# 2                   |
| 418354               | Ontario, Canada | 2020 Mar 15      | T T C G T∥ T      | G# 2                   |

*These 4 viral genomes are unique among the sequences deposited into the GISAID database (http://platform.gisaid.org) January 10–June 13, 2020. They contain 6 polymorphisms compared with the WIV04 reference sequence of SARS-CoV-2, 3 of which are nonconservative. SARS-CoV-2 genomes that differ from these by 2 nt had been reported from Massachusetts, USA; New York, USA; and Ontario, Canada, in March 2020. SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.
†A 2019 reference sequence from a patient in Wuhan, China (hCoV-19/Wuhan/WIV04/2019). The nucleotide positions shown are relative to this reference sequence (GISAID accession ID EPL_ISL_402124).
‡No. nucleotide differences relative to the virus genomes of patients A–D.
§Nonconservative polymorphism at nucleotide position 11083, which corresponds to a Leu (TTG) to Phe (TTT), L37F, amino acid change in the NSP6 protein.
¶Nonconservative polymorphism at nucleotide position 14408, which corresponds to a Pro (CCT) to Leu (CTT), P323L, amino acid change in the NSP12 protein.
∥Nonconservative polymorphism at nucleotide position 14408, which corresponds to a Pro (CCT) to Leu (CTT), P323L, amino acid change in the NSP12 protein.

Conclusions

Given the case histories and sequencing results, the most likely sequence of events is that one or both of passengers A and B contracted SARS-CoV-2 in North America and transmitted the virus to flight attendants C and D during the flight. The only location where all 4 persons were in close proximity for an extended period was inside the airplane. Passengers and cabin crew do not generally go through the same check-in process at airports before boarding. Although we cannot completely rule out the possibility that patients C and D were infected before boarding, the unique virus sequence and 100% identity across the whole virus genome from the 4 patients makes this scenario highly unlikely. Patient D may have acquired infection from patient C, but because their test results were positive within 1 incubation period, it is more likely that patient D was infected by patient A or B. We therefore conclude that these 4 patients belong to the same in-flight transmission chain.

Our results strongly suggest in-flight transmission of SARS-CoV-2. No other COVID-19 cases associated with this flight have been identified. We were unable to quantify the virus attack rate on this flight because not all passengers were tested.

Previous reports of probable in-flight transmissions of SARS-CoV-2 lack genetic evidence (8,9).
During January–March 2020, the International Air Transport Association received 3 reports of suspected in-flight transmission (10). Contact tracing of 2 passengers who flew from China to Canada has yielded no indication of secondary infections from the flight (11). Nonetheless, SARS-CoV-2 test results have been positive for hundreds of flight attendants and pilots; at least 2 have died (12,13). Our results demonstrate that SARS-CoV-2 can be transmitted on airplanes. To prevent transmission of the virus during travel, infection control measures must continue.
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Appendix

Methods

Database search and case identification

In this study, we used publicly available data on COVID-19 cases in Hong Kong, including government records compiled by the Centre for Health Protection (CHP), Department of Health in Hong Kong, the Vote4HK “COVID-19 in HK” public database and newspaper reports. The CHP regularly releases reports of COVID-19 cases diagnosed in Hong Kong. These CHP public reports do not have personal identifiers and contain information on the cases’ symptoms, date of onset, date of diagnosis, number of close contacts, the presence of pre-existing conditions (yes/no) and travel history, including inbound travelers’ seats on aircrafts. The Vote4HK “COVID-19 in HK” database collates data on the CHP records and provides links to newspaper reports on government briefings of COVID-19 cases. We examined 1110 cases in the Hong Kong between 23 January and 13 June 2020, and identified passengers and cabin crew who had previously travelled in the same aircraft.

Specimen collection and laboratory analysis

This paper describes four RT-PCR-confirmed COVID-19 cases on a flight that landed in Hong Kong on 10 March 2020. Since 19 February 2020, the Hospital Authority and the CHP have been running the Enhanced Laboratory Surveillance Programme and offered free testing for SARS-CoV-2 to patients with fever and respiratory symptoms or mild chest infection, especially those who travelled outside Hong Kong within 14 days.(1) From 8 March 2020, all inbound travelers arriving at the Hong Kong International Airport have to complete health declaration form (2). Respiratory samples from persons meeting the case definition are tested for SARS-CoV-2 using RT-PCR at the Public Health Laboratory Services, a WHO reference laboratory at
CHP (3). These include nasopharyngeal aspirates, nasopharyngeal swabs, throat swabs and saliva.

The four cases in our transmission cluster did not fulfil the criteria for SARS-CoV-2 testing upon arrival, but were tested in local healthcare settings within 5-11 days. Stored samples of these cases were then sent to a WHO reference laboratory at the University of Hong Kong for full genome analyses. Near full-length genomes (N≥ 29760 nucleotides) were deduced by Illumina sequencing method using the primers and protocol previously described by us (4). All the deduced sequences had a minimum coverage of 100 or above. The specimens were sequenced and analyzed blind to the passenger/crew/case status of the four individuals.

Representative sequences from each phylogenetic clade of SARS-CoV-2 (G, GH, GR, L, O, S and V) were retrieved from GISAID. Viral sequences were aligned and phylogenetically analyzed using BioEdit and MEGA-X, respectively. A phylogenetic tree was constructed by the neighbor-joining method with bootstrap testing (N=1,000). Metadata from 191 Hong Kong viral sequences deposited in GISAID were also used in the analyses.

Data sharing

The virus genome sequencing results can have been deposited into the GISAID database (http://platform.gisaid.org). The accession numbers are EPI_ISL_476801 to EPI_ISL_476804.

Bias and missing data

In the absence of mandatory SARS-CoV-2 screening for all the passengers and crew members from the 9 March 2020 Boston to Hong Kong flight, only symptomatic COVID-19 cases and known contacts of those and other cases were identified, leading to a potential underestimation of the true number of cases who acquired the infection on board. Hong Kong has an active surveillance system in place to track and trace every COVID-19 case within its territory. However, it is possible that individuals with asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic infection are missing from the public databases.
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Appendix Figure 1. Timeline of events surrounding in-flight transmission of SARS-CoV-2 to 4 passengers who traveled on the same airplane from Boston, Massachusetts, USA, arriving in Hong Kong, China, on March 10, 2020. Because patient C was asymptomatic at the time of diagnosis, no incubation period has been indicated.
Appendix Figure 2. Seating plan and locations of COVID-19 patients on the Boeing 777-300ER aircraft during the Boston to Hong Kong flight. Index patients A and B were business class passengers sitting in adjacent rows in individual window-facing seats. Patient C was a business class flight attendant who served patients A and B during the flight. Patient D was working as a flight attendant on the same flight, but there is no public information on the aircraft sections that the attendant worked in. This diagram is based on information from the airline’s website. Econ., economy.