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**Abstract:** The surface urban heat island (SUHI), which represents the difference of land surface temperature (LST) in urban relativity to neighboring non-urban surfaces, is usually measured using satellite LST data. Over the last few decades, advancements of remote sensing along with spatial science have considerably increased the number and quality of SUHI studies that form the major body of the urban heat island (UHI) literature. This paper provides a systematic review of satellite-based SUHI studies, from their origin in 1972 to the present. We find an exponentially increasing trend of SUHI research since 2005, with clear preferences for geographic areas, time of day, seasons, research foci, and platforms/sensors. The most frequently studied region and time period of research are China and summer daytime, respectively. Nearly two-thirds of the studies focus on the SUHI/LST variability at a local scale. The Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM)/Enhanced Thematic Mapper (ETM+)/Thermal Infrared Sensor (TIRS) and Terra/Aqua Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) are the two most commonly-used satellite sensors and account for about 78% of the total publications. We systematically reviewed the main satellite/sensors, methods, key findings, and challenges of the SUHI research. Previous studies confirm that the large spatial (local to global scales) and temporal (diurnal, seasonal, and inter-annual) variations of SUHI are contributed by a variety of factors such as impervious surface area, vegetation cover, landscape structure, albedo, and climate. However, applications of SUHI research are largely impeded by a series of data and methodological limitations. Lastly, we propose key potential directions and opportunities for future efforts. Besides improving the quality and quantity of LST data, more attention should be focused on understudied regions/cities, methods to examine SUHI intensity, inter-annual variability and long-term trends of SUHI, scaling issues of SUHI, the relationship between surface and subsurface UHIs, and the integration of remote sensing with field observations and numeric modeling.
1. Introduction

The urban heat island (UHI)—a phenomenon in which temperature tends to be higher in urban zones than surrounding non-urban areas—represents a major anthropogenic alteration to the Earth’s environments [1]. The UHI phenomenon has been observed worldwide [2–6]. It has been widely attributed to the change in the energy exchange between urban land surfaces and the atmosphere, which is primarily caused by increased impervious surface cover replacing evaporative vegetation surfaces, and by anthropogenic heat releases [1,7]. The UHI has contributed to a suite of environmental changes such as regional climate [8–10], vegetation growth [11,12], and water and air quality [13]. These factors, in turn, affect human health and well-being dramatically and may potentially lead to increases in morbidity and mortality [14], energy consumption [15], and even violent incidents [16] in urban areas, which are home to 55% of the world’s population today [17]. The UHI effect and the associated consequences are expected to be more severe under a warming climate [10] and a rapidly urbanizing world [18]. This is particularly true in China, India, and Nigeria, which are projected to occupy 35% of the global urban population growth between 2018 and 2050 [17]. UHI, therefore, has gained considerable research interest and has been the subject of active investigation, especially in the last decade.

Intensive studies have been conducted to understand UHI, which, in general, can be classified into two broad categories based on the ways and heights that they are formed [1]: “air” (or “atmospheric”) and “surface” UHIs. Air UHI refers to UHI effects in the canopy (CLHI) or boundary (BLHI) layer. The CLHI is usually measured by in situ sensors mounted on fixed meteorological stations or traverses of vehicles [19–22], while more special platforms such as tall towers, radiosondes, and aircraft are needed to measure the BLHI [23]. The development and installation of these measurement devices are usually very time-consuming and expensive, and, thus, are only available in a few large cities worldwide to date [24]. Due to limited monitoring stations, the measured air UHIs usually fail to provide sufficient spatial details for urban land use planning and climate change research [25–28]. In contrast, the surface UHI (SUHI), which represents the radiative temperature difference between urban and non-urban surfaces, is primarily measured by satellite thermal remote sensing data [29]. It can provide consistent and repeatable observations of the Earth’s surface, which offers the ability to study the urban thermal environment at various spatial (from local to global scales) and temporal (diurnal, seasonal, and inter-annual) scales [28,30]. It can also be used to predict air UHI in a spatially-explicit manner [31,32] (see Section 5.4). Rao [33] reported in 1972 the first satellite-based observations of the SUHI phenomenon in the Eastern United States. Since then, advancements in remote sensing and spatial science have considerably boosted the number and quality of SUHI studies, which currently form the major body of the UHI literature. A thorough knowledge of past literature on SUHI can not only provide a basis for future research but also is crucial for formulating effective UHI mitigation and adaptation strategies.

Previous reviews of SUHI research have concentrated mostly on summarizing the methods, applications, and limitations of thermal remote sensing techniques based on representative literature [28,29,34–36] and/or a more general topic that only partially covered SUHI [7,8,24,37]. For example, Gallo et al. [34] reviewed several satellite-based SUHI studies between 1972 and 1993 and emphasized the application of Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) data in SUHI research. Arnfield [8] compared different types of UHI briefly while synthesizing progress in urban climatology during the 1980s and 1990s. Voogt and Oke [29] focused on the principles of thermal remote sensing, the relationship between surface and air UHIs, and the potential use of thermal remote sensing data in urban climate models. Rizwan et al. [7] presented a systematic review of the generation as well
as determination and mitigation of UHI based mainly on air UHI studies. Weng [28] reviewed the methods and applications of thermal remote sensing, but concentrated on land surface temperature (LST) retrieval, LST-vegetation relationships, and some typical applications of thermal infrared remote sensing data in SUHI studies. Huang and Lu [37] examined the literature trends of UHI research from 1991 to 2015 using bibliometric methods, regardless of UHI types. The most recent work by Deilami et al. [30] focused solely on SUHI, but reviewed only the spatial and temporal factors affecting the SUHI based on the publications after 2007. We extend this review literature by conducting a systematic review of the features, current status, and prospects of SUHI research.

This study aims to provide a comprehensive and broad review on the SUHI studies from their origin in 1972 to the present. The specific objectives of this review are to: (1) explore the characteristics of research output on SUHI, (2) review the space borne sensors and methods employed to measure the SUHI, and (3) outline the key research findings, challenges, and potential future directions of the SUHI research. The current review concentrates on the satellite-based SUHI studies, even though airborne sensors were also used to study SUHI in several cities. Our review will provide important insights not only for scientists on understanding the SUHI phenomenon, but also for practitioners to formulate the associated mitigation and adaptation strategies.

2. Historical Review

This section presents a historical review of SUHI studies via satellite data from their origin nearly 50 years ago to the present. The characteristics of research output including the historical trend, geographic areas, study time-of-day and season, and research foci were examined using a set of descriptive statistics of a carefully screened collection of the SUHI literature. The key milestones in remote sensing of SUHIs are then summarized.

2.1. Characteristics of Research Output

2.1.1. Criteria Used to Select Literature

We searched for research articles published in peer-reviewed journals in English from 1972 to August 2018 using the ISI Web of Science and Google Scholar databases. The following two combinations of keywords were used to collect the literature: (1) “urban heat island OR urban thermal environment OR urban climate” AND “remote sensing OR satellite OR MODIS OR Landsat OR AVHRR OR ASTER OR ATSR OR SEVIRI OR HCMM”, and (2) “land surface temperature OR thermal environment” AND “urbanization OR urban land OR land use change OR land cover change OR cities OR city OR metropolitan area”. The search yielded a total of >3000 journal publications. These were screened to check whether they studied urban surface temperature or thermal environment by reading the publication title and abstract. The screening resulted in 778 publications. All these papers were then downloaded and more carefully read to examine whether the publications (1) used satellite images to derive LST, and (2) explored the temperature variations in an urban environment. Studies that focused solely on LST retrieval (see reviews by Li et al. [38] and Mohamed et al. [39]) and downscaling methods [40,41], air UHI (see reviews by Unger [42], Stewart [43], Mirzaei [44], and Chapman, et al. [45]), and UHI mitigation strategies (see reviews by Gago et al. [46], Santamouris [47], Larsen [48], and Jamei et al. [49]) were not included in our literature analysis. This full-text check resulted in 492 eligible publications (references of all studies are listed in the Supplementary Materials and Table S1). All of the information, including the title, author, source journal, publication year, sensor types, study area, study time, and research foci, was extracted for further analysis.
2.1.2. Historical Trend

While Rao [33] first observed the SUHI phenomenon in 1972, SUHI research was not a highly active field between 1972 and 2005, with less than five studies published per year (Figure 1). SUHI publications started to grow exponentially in 2005. The number of studies from 2006 to 2010 was 40% higher than the total number of publications from 1972 to 2005 (74 vs. 53). The growth rate has continued to increase, with more than 75 articles published in recent years. This increase can be attributed, on one hand, to the increasing interest in this area associated with rapid urbanization [17] and global warming [10]. On the other hand, advancements of remote sensing techniques and computing power have dramatically enhanced the quantity and quality of remote sensing data, and, therefore, contributed to increased SUHI research [28]. In particular, the freely-available Landsat series data since 2008 and MODIS data have largely reduced the research costs in recent years.

![Figure 1. Number of studies in each year and the mean number of publications in each five-year interval. Note that the final year (2018) has data only for eight months (January–August).](image)

2.1.3. Geographic Patterns

There has been a large geographic bias of the cities being studied (Figure 2). The selected literature has focused predominantly on Asia (62%). North America had the next largest number of studies (24%), which was followed by Europe (15%). There has been relatively little SUHI research in Africa, South and Central America, and Oceania, with <37 publications, and these cities were mostly included in global-scale studies rather than having a particular focus. Studies on all continents increased dramatically through time, especially after 2010. North America dominated the SUHI literature before 2000 while Asia became the major focus thereafter. A country-based analysis indicates that China was the most actively-studied country of SUHI research (213), which was followed by the United States (106) and India (38). The high fraction of studies in China is due to the large number of Chinese researchers publishing on the subject. About 91% (194) of the studies on Chinese cities are led by Chinese scientists. Furthermore, a city-based analysis demonstrates that the SUHI was studied in more than 1400 cities worldwide, particularly in Chinese cities such as Beijing (72), Shanghai (51), Nanjing (35), Guangzhou (33), and Wuhan (32). The top 20 cities were nearly all in China. Indianapolis (22) and Phoenix (21) were the most active research sites in the United States. Paris (11) and Berlin (11) were the most active research sites in Europe, and Sao Paulo (5), Lagos (5), and Sydney (4) were the most active sites in South America, Africa, and Oceania, respectively.
2.1.4. The Study Time of Day and Season

There are strong biases in the study time of day and season of the selected SUHI literature. The majority of the research (69%) concentrated on the SUHI at a single time, especially the daytime (63%), while only 30% of the studies explored the SUHI during the day and night (Figure 3a). Furthermore, the data acquisition times of sun-synchronous satellites usually do not coincide with the time of day where the LST is at a minimum or maximum. With regard to the season, over half of the literature (55%) focused on the SUHI in an individual season, particularly summer (33%), and only 23% of the studies investigated the SUHI in all seasons (Figure 3b). Most of the remaining studies (18%) examined the SUHI in multiple seasons or used multi-season LST data due to data limitation. A few studies (4%) focused on annual mean SUHI or did not provide information on the season examined.
These temporal biases of SUHI research can be attributed to data limitations, and varying magnitudes of SUHI over time. On one hand, the most widely used satellite for SUHI detection (i.e., Landsat) only has daytime data. Additionally, thermal infrared images are strongly influenced by weather conditions, especially cloud cover, which result in a significant missing-data problem. More details on data limitations can be found in Section 6 below. On the other hand, the SUHI together with its adverse effects (e.g., heat stress) have been widely found to be most intense during the day in the summertime [4,6,52]. Therefore, this attracts greater research interest. However, several studies have confirmed that SUHI intensity and the underlying mechanisms vary dramatically through time [4,6,53], which highlights the necessity of more comprehensive evaluations on SUHIs across different times of day and seasons. For example, the LST spatiotemporal fusion schemes hold great potential to address this gap [54].

2.1.5. Research Foci

SUHI research has covered a wide range of topics, including SUHI variations at a local scale, SUHI variations among cities, the relationship between SUHI and air UHI, and others (Figure 3c). Local-scale SUHI variations were of the most interest to researchers (64%). Impacts of urban expansion and landscape structure on the SUHI had similar coverage, which accounted for 18% and 17% of the total publications, respectively. In contrast, less attention (14%) has been paid to the SUHI variations among cities at a regional or global scale. The correlations between surface and air UHIs have also become one of the most important topics of SUHI research (8%) because of their contrasting observation altitudes and methods. The remaining literature (14%) concentrated on other issues such as data quality, quantification methods, and health risks. These SUHI-related studies were published in 107 different journals, which suggests a wide variety of interests of scholars from various disciplines, particularly those in the fields of remote sensing, environment, urban landscape, and sustainability. Further details on the active journals can be found in Supporting Materials Text S1.

2.2. Milestones in Remote Sensing of SUHI

A series of milestones can be identified in the history of SUHI research in terms of data availability. P. Krishna Rao who is a research physical scientist of the National Environmental Satellite Service (NESS) of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) was the forerunner to study SUHI using satellite thermal data. He found evident urban signatures on ITOS-1 thermal images in the Eastern United States, and highlighted the potential of thermal remote sensing in SUHI research [33]. This led to further SUHI research, but at a relatively coarse spatial resolution (~1.1 km) and low accuracy through meteorological satellite imagery [55–57]. To facilitate the comparison analysis, high spatial (temporal) resolution data refer to the data with a resolution of <120 m (<1 day), while others are considered as coarse resolution data in this study. In 1990, Landsat data were first applied in SUHI research [58]. Even though only available in the daytime, the Landsat archive soon
became the major satellite record for the SUHI analyses because of its comparatively high spatial resolution (60–120 m), long time series, and high data quality, especially after the free release of the entire Landsat archive in 2008. The Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) LST product [59] constitutes another important data source for SUHI research due to its high temporal resolution, medium spatial resolution (1 km), global coverage, and free availability. MODIS enables the study of diurnal, inter-annual, and intra-annual variability of SUHI at various spatial scales. The standard MODIS LST data were initially released in 2000, while MODIS-based SUHI research was first published in English in 2004 [60]. Nichol [61] provided the first high-resolution (~90 m) study of SUHI during the day and night using the Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) data in 2005, even though the standard ASTER LST products has been available since 2001. The entire archive of ASTER data became available free of charge in 2016. Details of these data are reviewed in Section 3.

SUHI research has also been greatly advanced by a suite of breakthroughs in scientific knowledge. For example, Roth et al. [62] provided the first full discussion of the applications and limitations of thermal remote sensing in urban climate research. The metrological questions that they raised were then systematically reviewed by Voogt and Oke [29]. These two efforts provided critical overviews of the theoretic basis for studying SUHI. Gallo et al. [63] explored the relationship between SUHI and NDVI for the first time, which still constitutes one of the major indicators to measure the LST-vegetation relationship. Weng et al. [64] introduced the vegetation fraction, which is an alternative indicator of vegetation to SUHI research. They found that the vegetation fraction possessed a slightly stronger relationship with LST than NDVI in urban areas. Jin et al. [65] published the first study of the impacts of global urban areas on climate. Lu and Weng [66] explored the role of an impervious surface area (ISA) in influencing SUHI patterns for the first time, and this soon became one of the most widely studied land surface properties in SUHI research. Two years later, the landscape ecology methods were first applied in SUHI research [67]. Schwarz et al. [68] provided the first comprehensive comparison analysis of indicators for quantifying the SUHI intensity and emphasized the large uncertainties associated with the quantification methods. Recently, Hu et al. [69] made the first effort to evaluate the impact of directional anisotropy on the derived SUHI. They demonstrated that anisotropic effects can modify the SUHI by 25% to 50%. All of these works have promoted rapid progress in SUHI research.

3. Satellite Sensors for SUHI Studies

The satellite era of UHI studies begins in 1972 [62]. Table 1 shows the proportion of the reviewed studies using different sensors/satellites imagery. Those sensors possess a common specification. The sensors are passive and, thus, receive and measure both reflected shortwave radiation (non-thermal spectral bands) and emitted longwave radiation (thermal bands) by the Earth’s surface and atmosphere [39]. This is critical for SUHI studies as the understanding of drivers of SUHI is widely grounded in decoding the relationship between two key factors including the LST/SUHI intensity of a region and the associated underlying land cover/use composition and configuration. Remote sensing imagery is, thus, unique data for researchers to derive these factors for an entire city through processing a single data set (satellite imagery) [36]. In this subsection, we provide an overview of advantages and disadvantages of three satellite/sensors as the main data providers for SUHI studies (Landsat, MODIS, and ASTER). Less frequently used sensors such as AVHRR, SEVIRI, and GOES are not reviewed in this paper.

| Sensor          | Landsat Series | MODIS | ASTER | Multiple Sensors | AVHRR | Others 1 |
|-----------------|----------------|-------|-------|------------------|-------|----------|
| Proportion      | 53%            | 25%   | 7%    | 6%               | 4%    | 5%       |

1 SEVIRI, GOES, HCMM, HJ-1B, AATSR, ITOS-1, COMS, FY-2F, AMSR-E, AMSR2.
3.1. Landsat

As shown in Table 1, almost 53% of researchers have used either one or multiple Landsat images in their SUHI studies, which emphasized the importance of the Landsat constellation to the SUHI knowledge. Since the launch of Landsat in 1972, the satellite constellation has carried four generations of sensors including: (1) Multispectral Scanner (MSS) sensors, optical instruments, and video cameras aboard Landsat 1, 2, and 3, (2) MSS and Thematic Mapper (TM) sensors aboard Landsat 4 and 5, (3) Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus (ETM+) aboard Landsat 7, and (4) Operational Land Imager (OLI) and Thermal Infrared Sensor (TIRS) aboard Landsat 8 \cite{70,71}. From the TM sensor onward since 1982, the ability to measure thermal radiance and, thereby, the LST of the Earth’s surface has made a breakthrough in investigating SUHI.

There are three main reasons for Landsat’s popularity in SUHI studies. First, Landsat “is the longest running uninterrupted Earth observation program” \cite{72}. Second, researchers, as a result of a policy change in 2008, can freely obtain Landsat images. These features, therefore, provide a consistent, reliable, and voluminous archive of data for researchers to explore different aspects of SUHI. It is noteworthy to mention that, until 2008, scientists had to pay for Landsat data and the U.S. Government has again been considering charging users for access to the Landsat archive \cite{73}. Third, Landsat series 5, 7, and 8 capture the Earth’s surface in a 16-day repeat cycle with swath coverage of 185 km × 185 km. The temporal resolution provides a reasonable period to reflect the changes in SUHI or land cover/use of a city and the swath coverage is big enough that it allows scientists to process a single image to investigate an entire urban environment. These both increase time efficiency and accuracy of the SUHI studies. Note that the atmospherically corrected Landsat thermal data was not previously available as a standard product, and researchers either used top-of-atmosphere temperature values or employed various methods to atmospherically correct the data themselves \cite{39}. Currently, there is no operational Landsat LST product that combines data from the various Landsat sensors. Recently, Malakar et al. \cite{74} developed an operational algorithm for retrieving Landsat LST consistently for all sensors. The U.S. Geological Survey and the LST dataset will implement the algorithm, which is expected to be available at the Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center.

3.2. MODIS

MODIS imagery has been the second popular data source for SUHI studies (25%). MODIS is a key instrument aboard on the Terra (1999) and Aqua (2002) satellites launched by NASA to scan the Earth’s surface and atmosphere with a 36-band spectrometer and provide a global coverage every one to two days. MODIS records its images at a spatial resolution of 250 m (bands 1–2), 500 m (bands 3–7), and 1 km (bands 8–36). Thermal images are captured in the 1-km resolution. Due to the spatial resolution and swath dimension of MODIS—2330 km (cross track) by 10 km (along track at nadir)—its images are used for research of large study areas \cite{2–6,52,75,76}. A significant benefit of MODIS is the range of quality-checked data products that are generated by the MODIS team. These data products are processed images, which can be directly used for various research purposes. Examples of such products that have been widely employed in SUHI studies include daytime and nighttime LST and emissivity data from MOD11C3, MOD11A1, and MOD11A2 \cite{77}. The LST value in these products is retrieved by the generalized split-window algorithm \cite{78}. In the current Collection-6 MODIS LST products, a new LST product based on the ASTER Temperature Emissivity Separation (TES) algorithm (MOD21) has also been released. It was claimed that the new data could provide increased sensitivity to a land cover change compared to other emissivity products \cite{79}. However, the data have not been used in SUHI studies until now.

3.3. ASTER

In the reviewed studies, ASTER images have been the third most frequently-used data (7%). Such a small number arises from the fact that ASTER imagery had been costly from 1999 (Launch date)
to April 2016 even though, since then, ASTER imagery has been available to all users at no cost. ASTER collects data in 14 spectral bands and the images have spatial resolution of 15 m (visible and near infrared bands 1–3), 30 m (shortwave infrared bands 4–9), and 90 m (thermal infrared bands 10–14). Similar to MODIS, the ASTER team also provides a variety of products [80] such as Surface Kinetic Temperature (AST_08), which provides the LST of the Earth’s surface with an accuracy of 1.5 Kelvin [81,82]. It is noteworthy that this product is available for daytime and nighttime and, thereby, provides a significant opportunity to study diurnal variation of SUHI [82]. Past researchers also derived LST from different thermal bands of ASTER for various types of SUHI studies [83–85]. However, the wide application of ASTER imagery to SUHI research was largely impeded by high cost and a limited data archive compared to other sensors like Landsat and MODIS [86]. Taking into account that ASTER data are now free, it can be expected that the use of ASTER imagery for SUHI studies will increase in the coming years. Note that almost 6% of past studies used imagery from multiple sensors to compensate for drawbacks of any given sensor’s image.

4. Methods for Measuring the SUHI

Various methods have been developed to measure the SUHI and they, in general, can be grouped into three broad classes: LST as a proxy of SUHI, LST difference between the urban and reference areas, and non-parametric models. These three different types of methods are briefly reviewed below.

4.1. Using LST as a Proxy of SUHI

LST has been widely used to reflect SUHI variability, especially for local-scale studies based on Landsat series or ASTER data [66,87–107]. Such studies often concentrated on the LST variations and their relationships with spatial-temporal factors in a city [30]. The SUHI usually manifests itself in the form of hotspots [108] or higher LST of buildings/impervious surfaces than other surfaces [30]. The method can be employed to investigate the underlying driving mechanisms of SUHI at a local scale and, therefore, help urban planners formulate site-specific mitigation strategies. However, these studies usually do not estimate the intensity of SUHI, which makes comparisons of SUHIs among cities challenging.

4.2. LST Differences between Urban and Surrounding Reference Areas

The intensity of SUHI has been most commonly estimated by the LST difference between urban and surrounding reference areas, with the support of auxiliary land surface information, such as land cover and ISA [30]. SUHI intensity was typically quantified in two steps in those studies. First, urban and reference areas were defined and delineated from the land cover or ISA maps. Urban areas were usually referred to as the lands with a relatively higher portion of ISA [4,6,52,76], whereas reference areas were defined variously by different studies. Different-sized rural [52,53,76,109] and suburban [2,4,6,62,110–115] zones have been used as the reference areas. Other surface cover, such as water body [116,117], cropland [117–119], forest [120], and low-intensity ISA [121] have also been taken as the references in a few studies. Second, the area-weighted mean urban-reference LST differences were calculated to reflect the SUHI intensity or magnitude. A positive value of SUHI intensity indicated an urban heating effect, while a negative value represented a sink effect. Few studies also quantified the SUHI intensity using few representative pixels in urban and reference areas instead of the area-weighted mean value for the purpose of surface-air UHI comparison [122–124] or UHI attribution analysis [125,126]. The urban-reference difference method facilitates the comparison analysis of SUHIs among cities, in particular the SUHI distribution at a regional or global scale, but can be limited by the large uncertainties associated with urban and reference definitions [68]. This limitation is discussed further in Section 6.4.
4.3. Statistical Models

Statistical models have also been proposed to measure the SUHI by some researchers. Among these, a Gaussian surface model has been mostly utilized in previous studies [25,97,127–129] since it can provide not only the intensity but also the spatial extent and the central location of the SUHI in an area. The kernel convolution method has also been proposed to study urban heating effects because of its high efficiency in characterizing the temperature values over space in a continuous surface [130]. Recently, Li et al. [131] estimated SUHI intensity by the linear regression functions between LST and regionalized ISA. These statistical models could avoid the bias caused by the definitions of urban-rural areas or the choice of the representative pixels, and, thus, facilitate the SUHI comparisons among cities. However, they do not work in the cities partially covered by clouds and in arid cities, and, thus, have been only applied in a few SUHI studies to date.

5. Key Research Findings

5.1. Understanding the Energy Basics for SUHI

The SUHI is essentially caused by alterations of the surface energy balance after replacing natural land with an artificial surface [1,8,9]. The energy balance of a typical urban surface can be expressed as: incident solar radiation \( (Q_i) \) + incoming longwave radiation \( (Q_{L,i}) \) + anthropogenic heat releases \( (Q_f) \) = reflected solar radiation \( (Q_R) \) + outgoing longwave radiation \( (Q_{L,E}) \) + latent heat \( (Q_E) \) + sensible heat \( (Q_H) \) + heat storage \( (Q_S) \) (Figure 4). Satellite thermal sensors measure LST indirectly by detecting \( Q_{L,E} \) from the Earth surface [29]. The urban-induced SUHI, which represents an increase in \( Q_{L,E} \), and, in general, is dominated by different energy fluxes during the day and night [1,4,6]. The daytime SUHI is widely attributed to a reduction in reflected solar radiation and latent heat flux, which increases the sensible heat and upwelling thermal radiation received by thermal sensors [9]. In contrast, the nighttime SUHI was mainly contributed by the larger storage heat during the day for a later release at night [2,6]. The anthropogenic heat flux, as an urban-specific energy input, could increase the SUHI independent of the time-of-day by magnifying the upward thermal radiation and the heat storage [7]. Factors impacting any energy component of urban surface can influence the daytime and/or nighttime SUHI intensity and even convert the urban area from a source to a sink of heat.

![Figure 4. A diagram of the energy balance for a hypothetical urban area. Red and blue arrows designate energy input and partition in the urban system, respectively. The example values (units: W m\(^{-2}\)) were from Oke [132]. The values in red represent the energy change in the urban region relative to rural areas.](image-url)
5.2. SUHI Variations at a Local Scale and Their Drivers

Increasing studies on local-scale SUHI variations constitute the major body of SUHI literature (Figure 3c). More than 300 papers have been published and nearly 60% of these studies have appeared within the last five years. These efforts in general can be grouped into three main categories based on their studied drivers: land cover/land use and changes, urban site characteristics (USCs), and landscape composition and configuration. The USC term originally stems from Berger et al. [94] and is used here as a hypernym to summarize all kinds of quantitative descriptors helping to explain SUHI variations within cities.

Land cover/land use and their changes are inarguably some of the most important factors influencing SUHIs. Built-up areas, vegetation, and water are central to explain the spatio-temporal characteristics of urban LST [30]. The presence of buildings and impervious surfaces usually result in an increase of LST [66,95,105,106,133–135], while the opposite is true for urban vegetation [66,81,94,95,102,105,108,116,136–140] and water bodies [103,106,140–143]. The impact of bare soil areas on SUHI is ambiguous, with a few studies reporting both positive [95] and negative [116] correlations with LST. Overall, land cover alone can be decisive regarding whether an urban area forms a SUHI or an urban heat sink [30,144]. However, the sole consideration of land cover is sometimes not sufficient for explaining the LST variations within cities. Several studies indicate that land use is a better suited parameter since it takes into account human activities [145,146]. For example, industrial areas are often found to be among the hottest places in urban environments due to the associated high heat emissions [62,102]. Furthermore, the degree of correlation between the land cover and LST depends on the type of urban land use [94,99,101,147,148]. However, no matter which of the two variables is actually more important, research undoubtedly shows that land cover and land use can lead to not only urban-rural temperature gradients but also intra-urban temperature differences [30].

Dynamics of land cover and land use over time are of equal importance in shaping the SUHI patterns. For example, urban growth can increase the SUHI extent and intensity [95,149,151,152] by increasing proportions of built-up land and decreasing proportions of vegetated areas, especially in the earlier stages of urbanization [90] and/or regions undergoing rapid land cover and land use change [116]. Impacts of the three major urban land covers (built-up land, vegetated areas, and water bodies) have also been extensively studied by a wide variety of quantitative descriptors termed as USCs. The area covered by built-up land represents some of the main causes of SUHI formation [30]. Accordingly, a considerable amount of 2D indicators has been used to reflect the built-up land properties such as the ISA, the index-based built-up index (IBI), the normalized difference built-up index (NDBI), and the urbanization index (UI) [66,94,95,99,106,133,135,138,141,143,148]. The coverage, density, and materials of specific built-up land cover elements (e.g., buildings, roads, and parking lots) have also been related to SUHIs [82,94,153–157]. Furthermore, 3D indicators such as the building heights and volumes, the frontal area index (FAI), the floor area ratio (FAR), and the sky view factor (SVF) are regularly used to represent the effects of urban morphology on SUHI [94,102,153,156,158,159]. When comparing the linkage between 2D/3D USCs and SUHIs, it is worth noting that correlation statistics might be biased in favor of 2D USCs. This is the case when thermal infrared sensors with a nadir-pointing viewing geometry (e.g., Landsat ETM+, ASTER) are used to retrieve LST [62,94,154].

Cooling effects of vegetated areas on SUHI have also been studied in the form of various 2D and 3D USCs. The NDVI, vegetation fraction (VF), and the size of green spaces have by far received the most attention [66,81,95,98,103,108,134,137,138,140,141,143,147,148,152,153,160,161]. Cooling is reported to be the strongest when a city’s green coverage lies between 70% and 80% [162] and the size and density of the green infrastructure is sufficient [140,143,152,160,163]. Moreover, the shape and orientation of vegetated areas matter, even though there is no consensus on whether green spaces should be of regular or irregular form [95,103,137,141]. Under certain conditions, patches of vegetation can reduce the temperatures of their surroundings by up to 24 Kelvin [30] and within a
distance of 60 to 840 m [137,140,161]. Instead of analyzing all types of vegetation as a whole (i.e.,
trees, shrubs, and grass), many studies specifically focus on the abundance and characteristics of
urban (street) trees, parks, and forests [94,98,103,135,143,164]. Among these, the percentage of tree
cover is the most common used USC due to its higher potential to alleviate the SUHI than mixed
vegetation [157,165]. Apart from the proportion of urban trees, USCs describing their height, volume,
and species have also been found closely related to urban LST [30,94,137,147,164]. In addition to urban
vegetation, the presence and proximity of water bodies are known to have a cooling effect on urban
temperature [62,103,106,140–142,166]. However, impacts of the water body have been quantified by
few indicators such as the normalized difference water index (NDWI) [116,167] and the distance
between water bodies (or the coastline) and built-up land [142,158,165,168].

The SUHI variations have also been widely attributed to landscape composition and configuration
metrics at a local scale [81,82,98,101,102,145,146,155,157,163,166,169–172]. Some authors even state
that the patterns of built-up land and vegetation have the largest impact on urban LST [115].
It is frequently questioned whether landscape composition or configuration is more important.
The answer to this question depends on the city under investigation [173], the land cover/land
use, and the types of green space within the study area [99,101,172,174] as well as the landscape
metrics considered [171]. In general, composition is often found to be more important than
configuration [95,103,157,163,169,170,175]. This is particularly true when landscape metrics describing
composition and configuration are compared on an individual basis. However, when feeding several
metrics into a regression model to estimate LST, the combination of certain measures of landscape
configuration outperforms all other predictor sets [171,175]. In the same context, Du et al. [171] also
noted that the joint usage of multi-scale metrics (i.e., patch-level, class-level, and landscape-level
metrics) takes into account the hierarchical structure of urban landscapes, and, thus, can improve LST
modeling. It is, therefore, not without reason that a few studies suggest to choose one composition
metric and a small selection of configuration metrics to investigate SUHI variations [169,175,176].

5.3. SUHI Variations among Cities and Their Drivers

SUHI variations among cities had been a research focus since the beginning of the SUHI research
when the satellite data were only available at a coarse spatial resolution [33,55,57]. However, local-scale
SUHI studies soon dominated the SUHI literature and this has continued to the present with the advent
of high-resolution satellite data like Landsat and ASTER. As a result, there were very few studies
on large-scale SUHI variations before 2010. With advancing quality and quantity of remote sensing
data (in particular MODIS data) as well as increased computing power, more researchers started
to look at the SUHI phenomenon on a regional scale [114,120,139,177–179], a national scale like
China [6,53,75,76,109,115,121,126,180], the United States [3,52], and India [181,182], a continental
scale such as Europe [5,68,111,183], North America [125], and Asia [127], or a global
scale [2,4,50,65,184]. These studies have greatly enhanced our knowledge on large-scale SUHI
variations and their underlying potential drivers.

The previous efforts indicated a great deal of spatiotemporal variability of SUHIs, especially
during the daytime. SUHI intensity has been widely found to be the largest during the day in the
summertime with the maximum urban-rural LST difference >10 Kelvin [4,6,52,53,76,112,127].
In general, the daytime SUHI was larger in humid-hot cities than in their cold-drier counterparts,
and larger in the summer than in the winter [4,6,52,53,76,112]. In contrast, cooling effects have been
found in some arid cities during the summer season [52,181,185] and a few mid-high latitudinal
cities in the winter season [6,76]. Comparatively, SUHIs have been observed to be more pervasive
at night regardless of the background climate and/or season [6,76,181,182]. However, the mean
SUHI intensity was mostly estimated to be lower at night than during the day, especially in the
summer [4,6,52]. In addition, stronger nighttime SUHIs were often found in cold-drier cities than
humid-hotter cities [53,76,112]. In addition, seasonal variations of SUHIs were clearly smaller at night
than in the day [53], and the nighttime seasonal patterns remain controversial to date. For example,
Peng et al. [76] suggested more intensive nighttime SUHI in the summertime than in the wintertime for 285 cities in China. Zhou et al. [6,53] showed opposite trends for 32 major cities in China.

SUHI distributions had been attributed to a variety of factors (Figure 5) and some of them are still highly disputed. The climate-vegetation background was found to be the main cause of the spatiotemporal variability of SUHI over large areas [6,52,53,125]. Vegetation, which cooled the non-urban surface by evapotranspiration, was widely documented as a dominant factor in regulating the SUHI patterns during the summer [2,4,6,50,51,53,139,182,185]. Overall, it contributed little to SUHI variations in the winter due to low vegetation activity for most cities in the season [4,6]. However, it remains controversial whether vegetation contributes significantly to the SUHIs during summer nights [4,6,109,186]. Background climatic factors such as precipitation [6,53,125,178], temperature [6,109,111,114,178], wind speed [178], and solar irradiance [139] can significantly influence SUHIs since they can impact not only vegetation activity but also the energy balance of urban and rural areas [6,125]. In fact, the climate was usually considered the ultimate factor that shapes the spatiotemporal patterns of SUHIs over large areas [6,52,53,125].
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**Figure 5.** Potential impacts of the studied factors on SUHI’s variability among cities. The red solid and gray dashed arrows represent the direct and indirect effects, respectively. Energy components influenced by these factors directly were listed above the arrows.

Anthropogenic factors also contribute significantly to SUHI distributions. ISA, warming urban surface by trapping, storing, and emitting more heat, is positively correlated with SUHI in the summer and/or nighttime [2,6,177,180,183], and was shown to be the primary driver for urban heating effects at mid-latitudes to high-latitudes [50,52]. For example, Zhang et al. [50] showed that ISA explained more than 60% of the total LST variance for cities surrounded by forests at mid-high latitudes. However, a recent study by Zhou et al. [120] found an insignificant correlation between SUHI and ISA in a highly populated urban agglomeration area. Urban area size was also found positively and significantly related to SUHI [2,5,52,177,178,184] and even to be a dominant factor of SUHI [3,50]. For example, Li et al. [3] demonstrated that nearly 87% of the SUHI variations among cities in the United States could be explained by the urban area size, especially in the regions with relatively homogeneous land covers surrounding urban areas. At the same time, some studies have indicated a minor or insignificant effect of urban area size on SUHI [4,6,139].

Similarly, both significant positive [114,120,127,184,187] and insignificant [2,4,178,179] correlations have been found between SUHI and the urban population. Some studies have stressed the importance
of the urban landscape structure [5,115,177], while others showed that it made a weak contribution to SUHI variations among cities [2,184]. Other factors such as surface albedo [4,6,51,65,125], energy consumption or anthropogenic heat release [4,6,75,112,178], and atmospheric aerosols [126,181] have been suggested as major drivers for SUHIs. The contrasting data sources, methods, geographic regions, scales, and periods of past SUHI research caused these diverse and/or controversial results. This is discussed below in Sections 6 and 7.

5.4. Relationship between Surface and Air UHIs

Since air UHIs are commonly impeded by lacking sufficient spatial detail for urban land use planning [25–28], predicting the air temperature in both urban and rural areas indirectly from satellite observations has gained considerable interest [25,32,188–191]. Finding a simple and general relationship between SUHIs and air UHIs is not an easy task and the published relationships remain empirical [29]. Generally, the largest air UHI intensity is observed at night, while the SUHI peaks during daytime [62]. Air UHIs are often weak during the late morning and throughout the daytime and become more pronounced after sunset, due to the slow heat release from urban structures.

Surface and air UHI differences and the timing of their peaks depend on the urban and rural surface properties (e.g., moisture, aerodynamic roughness, albedo, emissivity, and thermal admittance), season, geography, and prevailing weather conditions [29,192]. Schwarz et al. [20] carried out a campaign in Leipzig, Germany, providing LST from airborne flights and air temperature ($T_{air}$) from weather stations and a mobile traverse. A higher SUHI magnitude was detected in the morning than in the evening, whereas the diurnal trend was opposite for the canopy layer heat island (CLHI) [20]. Zhang et al. [193] examined 48 cloudless, anti-cyclonic nights in Birmingham, UK, from 2002 to 2007 by using MODIS LST and $T_{air}$ from ground stations. The nighttime SUHI-CLHI comparison revealed a linear relationship, whose slope was partially explained by the built-up area fraction inside the satellite pixels [193]. For the city of Milan, Italy, surface UHIs, retrieved from 403 MODIS summer images available four times a day from 2007 to 2010, highlighted how the SUHI has a diurnal cycle, with the highest intensity in the early afternoon. This is halved during the night. By contrast, the CLHI is absent during the daytime and emerges after sunset showing features similar to the nighttime SUHI [25]. The same daytime/nighttime behavior was found by computing SUHI from MODIS and CLHI from meteorological stations across 300 urban areas in the United States [124]. Surface and air UHIs retrieved from a year of MODIS data over Beijing, China, showed agreement during the night regardless of the season, but an evident difference during the daytime, with the SUHI–CLHI intensity difference being small and negative during the cold months while being large and positive during the warm months [189]. Azevedo et al. [194], using $T_{air}$ from a meteorological network and MODIS LST during the summer months in 2013 in Birmingham, UK, demonstrated that SUHI is clearly linked to land use, whereas CLHI is more influenced by advective processes that cause different spatial patterns. Surface and air UHIs exhibited exponential decay trends moving away from the urban area of Wuhan, China, with different magnitudes. This was more evident in the summer than in the winter [195]. In the region of Hangzhou, China, the UHIs computed by $T_{air}$ from weather stations and LST from Landsat images were not comparable, which demonstrates the importance of the choice of dataset, acquisition time, and weather conditions for SUHI–CLHI comparison [196].

6. Research Challenges

Despite the unparalleled advantages of satellite images to explore the SUHI, researchers confront a series of difficulties in using them due to both data and methodological limitations. The main research challenges are summarized below.

6.1. Differences between Satellite-Derived LST and Air Temperatures

Remotely-sensed LST is completely different from air temperature in terms of observation principles and altitudes, which makes SUHI not directly comparable to air UHI [29]. Thermal sensors
measure surface temperature indirectly by detecting upward long-wave radiation from the Earth surface at a narrow solid angle of view (Figure 6). Thermodynamic and radiative properties, including surface energy input from the atmosphere and sun, surface moisture, and thermal admittance, surface emissivity, near-surface atmospheric conditions, and surface turbulent transfer can seriously affect the upwelling surface radiation [197]. The radiances received by remote sensors are further influenced by the sensor-viewing angle [29]. A large fraction of the urban surface may not be viewed by sensors because of the three-dimensional nature of the urban structure obscuring some of the surface from view, and the atmosphere may absorb a significant portion of the radiation [24]. At the same time, some atmospheric radiation may be received by sensors directly without interacting with the Earth surface or the target objects (Figure 6). The accuracy of LST estimates, therefore, depends strongly on corrections for atmospheric effects and an accurate estimate of surface emissivity [28,35,54,198]. These two, however, are often difficult to assess given large areas covered by remotely sensed imagery and the lack of detailed atmospheric parameters at the time of satellite overpass [38]. For example, surface emissivity was usually assumed to be the same across a heterogeneous urban area [35]. Furthermore, the interpretation of satellite-derived LST data is hampered because urban pixels are generally not homogeneous and isothermal. They usually include several active surfaces that have different thermodynamic and radiative properties and, thus, different temperatures. In addition to the internal errors of sensors and cosmic background noise, the pixel-based LST estimate is an ambiguous quantity found by inverting Planck’s law.

**Figure 6.** Illustration of the infrared radiance received by a satellite sensor. Path ①: radiance emitted directly by the target surface. Path ②: upward atmospheric thermal radiance. Path ③: upward solar diffusion radiance scattered by the atmosphere. Paths ④: downward atmospheric thermal radiance. Path ⑤: downward solar diffusion radiance. Path ⑥: direct solar radiance reflected by the surface. The radiance from paths ①, ④, ⑤, and ⑥ will be attenuated by the atmosphere before reaching the sensor. Among these channels, only the radiance from path ① is directly related to land surface temperature and the emissivity of interest. The solar-related items (paths ③, ⑤, and ⑥) are usually ignored in LST retrieval due to a negligible solar radiation infrared thermal window of 8 to 14 μm and 3 to 5 μm. Further details can be found in a review article by Li et al. [38].

Therefore, a considerable gap exists between the retrieved LST and air temperature, on which turbulence and wind have a significant effect [24]. Given the closer relationship of air temperature with the urban environment and human health than LST [31,32], various sensor-view models have been proposed to predict air temperature from LST data [199]. However, model performance needs to be examined, because of the highly complex interrelationships between surface and air temperatures.
It remains a grand challenge to apply the satellite-derived LSTs directly in air UHI detection, attribution, and modeling [28].

### 6.2. Impacts of Clouds and Other Factors on LST Data

Satellite-derived LST data are severely affected by clouds and other factors such as scene view angles and internal errors of sensors [24,200]. White-Newsome et al. [201] reported that, among 21 images they browsed for the study in Detroit, US, only one image was cloud-free. Hu et al. [69] found that there were significant thermal anisotropies in urban areas and the effects could be up to 9 K. To improve the accuracy of LST products, cloud-contaminated pixels are often removed by cloud-screening algorithms [202]. However, scene view angles and anisotropic effects have been overwhelmingly ignored in SUHI studies [203]. As a result, missing or low-quality pixels are not uncommon in LST products, especially over urban areas [204]. For example, Li et al. [205] found that the MODIS daily LST product generally covered less than 30% of the urban areas in the conterminous United States. The issue of missing pixels largely limits the application of LST in investigating the SUHI, which has significant spatial and temporal variations.

Temporal aggregation has been widely used to build a cloud-free and seamless remote sensing product. For instance, daily LST data can be aggregated to a coarser temporal resolution, such as 8-day and monthly composites. However, temporal variation of LST is reduced in the derived product, which results in the loss of temporal information of SUHI. The derived data may not be able to distinguish extreme events, such as heat waves, which are one of the most important issues in urban climate studies [206]. Additionally, such methods have been widely criticized for their associated accuracy losses [203,207–210]. Hu and Brunsell [207] suggested that temporal aggregation of LST increased the SUHI values substantially, especially during the daytime, in the summer, and in high SUHI regions, with higher biases in larger composite periods. Gawuc and Struzewska [203] and Lai et al. [209] confirmed that quality control can significantly impact the SUHI estimates either by removing poor-quality pixels or weighting the pixels according to their quality, especially in urban centers.

Therefore, it will be important for SUHI studies to fill gaps in LST data and develop a seamless daily LST product. New methods have been proposed for such a purpose in previous studies [211], such as integrating multi-source datasets from different sensors [212–215], daily merging using statistical relationships between observations at different overpass times [216], empirical relationships using auxiliary data (e.g., land cover and NDVI) [217], and geostatistic-based spatial-temporal interpolation [218,219]. These methods have been used individually or jointly to generate seamless LST products. For example, Crosson et al. [216] developed a daily merged MODIS Aqua–Terra LST dataset for the continental United States. Li et al. [205] developed a seamless LST product for urban areas in the continental United States by combining daily merge and spatial-temporal interpolation. However, there are challenges of efficiency and accuracy in these methods [211]. Large computing resources are needed in some of these methods, especially for large areas, while the uncertainties in the resulting LST products from other methods are large. Most importantly, the gaps in LST (e.g., cloud-contaminated pixels) are usually filled by the clear-sky observations, which may be higher than the actual LSTs under cloudy sky conditions [205,220]. In other words, SUHI estimates based solely on clear-sky LST values would overestimate the SUHI effect no matter whether gaps were filled or not. Recently, a few studies have successfully generated all-weather LST values by fusing MODIS and passive microwave data (AMSR-E) [221,222]. However, the coarse spatial resolution of AMSR-E (~25km) impedes its application in urban areas. In addition, even though the cloudy pixels have been removed, cloud effects on the adjacent non-cloud pixels still exist and will impact LST interpolation and downscaling methods [207]. New developments for improved approaches or the trade-off between efficiency and accuracy of the existing methods is still needed.
6.3. Trade-Off Between Spatial and Temporal Resolutions

A trade-off between spatial and temporal resolution is nearly always present in SUHI research due to technique limitations. Some sensors like Landsat series and ASTER can yield LST at a relatively high spatial resolution (60–120 m), but are restricted by the lack of data for the nighttime period and low temporal resolution. High-temporal resolution sensors such as AVHRR and MODIS can only provide LST at a medium or coarse resolution (≥1 km). The choice of the image was often determined with a research focus [223]. For example, high-spatial resolution images were preferred for understanding the SUHI variations within a city and, thus, provide insights for local city planners. In contrast, high-temporal resolution data were required to calculate energy parameters or to examine the relationships between SUHI and bioclimatic factors (e.g., air pollution).

However, the current satellite-borne sensors can never entirely meet the needs of scientific research and practitioners because of the high spatio-temporal variability of the SUHI phenomenon [108,224,225]. Sensors with a 50 to 100 m pixel size have been proven to be most suitable in urban thermal environment studies [39,226,227], which means that the resolution of the most widely used sensors known as Landsat TM/ETM+/TIRS is close to the optimal spatial resolution. However, they are commonly impeded by limited thermal calibration, no nighttime data, and a long revisiting cycle.

In addition, remote sensing satellites orbit the Earth in a sun-synchronous orbit and, thereby, show a region at a constant specific time of a day/night known as the local sun time. However, SUHI experiences great variations during the day/night. Chudnovsky et al. [228] showed that a SUHI study should cover the two times of day: early noon hours (12:00–13:00 p.m.) and early morning hours before sunrise (5:00 a.m.). However, satellite images are likely not available at these specific times. Geostationary satellites like GOES and SEVERI sensors serve as the ideal platforms for understanding diurnal cycles of SUHI, but their coarse spatial resolution, limited coverage area, and low accuracy have impeded their wide application in SUHI studies [129].

Some spatial downscaling and temporal upscaling algorithms have been proposed to increase the spatial [223,227,229,230] and temporal [208,210,231] resolutions of LST data, respectively. Those methods have revealed a wealth of information that can partially compensate for the resolution problems, but at the cost of accuracy [223]. Therefore, new satellite missions focusing on reducing pixel size and increasing temporal frequency should be the most fundamental way to promote SUHI research and practice. Besides the upcoming large satellite missions such as Landsat 9, increasing “small satellites” with high spatial, temporal, and spectral resolutions may provide valuable data sources for local or regional SUHI studies in the future [232].

6.4. Methods to Calculate SUHI Intensity

While various methods have been used to quantify SUHI, it is challenging to compare SUHIs across cities or studies due to the highly diverse SUHI estimates. For example, Schwarz et al. [68] compared 11 methods for quantifying SUHI in 263 European cities and found weak and even negative correlations between the calculated SUHI intensities in most cases, especially during the daytime. Yao et al. [233] indicated that the SUHI value averaged for 31 Chinese cities differed by a factor of two among the five commonly used methods. Zhou et al. [234] showed that different methods would lead not only to contrasting intensities but also to opposite signs (from a heat island to a cool island) of the SUHI estimates, particularly in arid cities and during the daytime.
The varying results from different methods can be attributed primarily to the large uncertainties associated with the definitions of urban and reference areas [235]. For example, the SUHI intensity would most likely be underestimated if referenced to nearby suburban areas, since the SUHI footprint usually extends far beyond the physical boundaries of urban areas [234]. The SUHI intensity, defined as the urban-rural LST difference, also varied considerably across cities and studies due to the strong control of agricultural activities (e.g., irrigation) on rural LST [186]. In highly urbanized metropolitan areas, nearby reference areas will very likely be influenced by the SUHI of neighboring cities [120]. Some methods such as the linear regression slope of LST with regionalized ISA [131] and the LST difference relative to natural vegetation condition [120] have been developed to avoid the bias caused by urban-rural definitions, but they are largely limited by data quality [131] or the natural vegetation coverage [120].

6.5. Concurrent Land Cover and Use Mapping

Rapid changes in land cover, especially urban extents, have been overwhelmingly ignored in large-scale SUHI studies, since it remains a challenge to obtain regional or global land cover data at an annual or timely manner [236]. As a result, most previous studies used outdated urban area maps [4,52,111] or a limited number of urban maps [6,53,109,234] to characterize the SUHI intensity over a long time period. For example, Peng et al. [4] studied global SUHI distribution using LST data between 2003 and 2008, but they used the land cover in 2000. Zhou et al. [53] estimated the SUHI intensity from 2008 to 2012 in 32 major Chinese cities using the land cover data in 2010. Zhao et al. (2016) reported that the SUHI intensity might be underestimated by 50% by using outdated urban-extent maps in China, especially during the daytime. This calls for an accurate and concurrent mapping of land cover that can appropriately characterize the changes in land cover in estimating SUHI intensity and its change over time, in particular for the rapidly urbanizing areas like cities in China and India [18]. For example, efforts such as global urban dynamics mapping that contributes to SUHI studies, especially over large areas, are encouraged [237].

6.6. Accuracy Assessment

Assessment of the accuracy of the satellite-derived LST and SUHI intensity is challenging and rare. Generally, LST can be verified by the temperature-based (T-based), radiance-based (R-based), and inter-comparison methods, but they all have limitations [39,202,238]. The T-based method, depending on in-situ LST measurements, is usually restricted to homogeneous surfaces (i.e., rural areas) in order to increase the representativeness of the observations at the satellite pixel scale. The R-based method, which relies on the simulated radiances at the top of the atmosphere, is largely limited by the accuracy of the model inputs, including land surface emissivity and in-situ atmospheric profiles. The inter-comparison method, which is based on other well-validated LST data, is strongly influenced by varying spatial scales, acquisition times, and viewing angles between sensors. Further details on LST validation can be found in a recent review by Mohamed et al. [39]. As a result, most of the SUHI studies did not report the accuracy of the retrieved LST, especially those using Landsat and ASTER data. Validation of SUHI estimates is more limited because of the lack of the urban-rural paired in-situ observations synchronized with image acquisition. Fortunately, a few validation studies suggested a relatively high accuracy of satellite-derived LST (relative error < 5%) and its capability in characterizing an urban thermal environment [202,224,239,240]. In addition, all the studies used the standard satellite products and the commonly-used LST retrieval algorithms. These together solidify the reliability of previous research findings on SUHI.

6.7. Methods to Attribute SUHI

Attributing SUHI is also challenging in SUHI research. Spatial-statistical methods such as the ordinary least squares regression [171], comparative analysis [133], and geographically weighted regression [241] have been used to examine the potential drivers of the SUHI. The statistical
relationships, on one hand, depend strongly on variables chosen, study scales, research time, and/or data availability. For example, Imhoff et al. [52] attributed the SUHI variations across cities in the continental United States to the ecological context. Peng et al. [4] emphasized the role of vegetation and surface albedo in driving global SUHI distributions during the daytime and nighttime, respectively. Zhou et al. [53] stressed the climate-vegetation control on the diurnal and seasonal cycles of SUHI in mainland China. Local-scale SUHI variations have been widely attributed to two-dimensional land surface properties such as ISA, NDVI, water body, surface material, population density, and landscape structure [30]. Contributions of the three-dimensional features of buildings [94], climatic conditions [126], and anthropogenic heat emissions [242], however, have been rarely investigated due to data limitations. In addition, there are strong interactions and serious multi-collinearity problems among different variables (Figure 5) [6]. For instance, a higher ISA was nearly always accompanied by a larger population density and lower vegetation activity at a local scale. The independent and combined effects of different drivers as well as their underlying mechanisms remain poorly understood. As a consequence, contributions of some factors such as the urban area size, population, and landscape structure remain highly disputed (see Section 5.3).

6.8. Methodological Problems and Recommendation

Uncertainties associated with the previously mentioned challenges have been further exacerbated by the methods widely used in the currently available SUHI publications [43]. The major issue is the analysis of limited data. For example, approximately 64% of the publications used a single-time-point data to represent the SUHI effect in a season or year. These publications focused on local-scale variability of SUHI based on Landsat and/or ASTER data. The fact is that the LST/SUHI values vary constantly and substantially by time due to changing climate/weather conditions, vegetation activities, and human activities [53,119]. Using a single or a limited number of LST images may be misleading especially for those studies concentrating on the temporal changes of SUHI. In addition, some studies retrieved the LST from the top-of-atmosphere radiances by employing only the emissivity correction [91,93,133,243,244]. As stressed in Section 6.1, both atmospheric and emissivity corrections are key for an accurate estimation of LST. Given the free availability of long-term archived data, the use of a large number of images or a time-series-analysis is greatly recommended in future studies [215,245]. In addition, reviewers of scientific manuscripts should ensure that these studies use sufficient data and perform the necessary atmospheric corrections for the interpretations made.

7. Future Directions

7.1. More Attention to the Understudied Regions or Cities

Given the large geographic biases of past literature (Figure 2), there is a clear need for more SUHI research in Africa, South America, and India due to their high urbanization potentials and/or climate sensitivity. Urban population growth (Figure 7) and land expansion are projected to occur mainly in Africa and Asia in this century, especially in Nigeria [17,18]. At the same time, Africa constitutes one of the most vulnerable areas to climate change impacts, particularly to heat stress effects due to resource scarcity [246]. South America, while less populated (Figure 7), is also very vulnerable to climate change because of its high level of poverty [246]. India, as the second most populous country, is expected to experience the largest population growth in the near future worldwide [17]. However, SUHI research in India remains scarce compared with that in China and the United States (Figure 2).
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in South Tyrol. Zhou et al. [120] reported that the largest SUHI intensity did not happen, as anticipated,
in the large cities of a highly populated urban agglomeration area in east China. This is due to the
stronger control of the local background climate on the SUHI variance among cities when compared
to urban size. Unfortunately, the vast majority of previous SUHI studies concentrated on large cities,
presuming no SUHI or weak SUHI in small cities. Therefore, more SUHI research should be directed
to medium-small cities, where urban areas are expanding more rapidly than large counterparts in
terms of the rate [248] and knowledge regarding SUHI, which is currently lacking [3].

7.2. New Methods to Quantify SUHI Intensity

As summarized in Sections 4 and 6.6, SUHI intensity may vary greatly due to differences in
the selected urban/reference areas. A classification to distinguish between different urban surface
components can help the selection of urban/reference areas to quantify SUHI. Stewart and Oke [235]
developed a new method of local climate zone (LCZ) to establish an urban landscape classification
system. A LCZ refers to an area with uniform urban land use, morphology, material, and metabolism,
and demonstrates a characteristic air temperature regime at screen height. This LCZ provides a
reference system for the selection of urban/reference areas to calculate SUHI intensity. This method
has been validated for the purposes of urban landscape classification in both surface and air UHI
studies [249]. At present, LCZ maps have been widely developed in numerous cities (http://www.
wudapt.org/cities). However, the LCZ method requires a large number of input datasets including
some that are not easy to obtain globally. Additionally, the derived SUHI intensity based on the
LCZ map still only represents inter-zone temperature differences. There remains a large uncertainty
associated with the selection of urban-rural reference zones [235].

With the development of new urban datasets and spatial analysis methods, more universal
methods have been proposed for the calculation of SUHI intensity, with the possibility to ease the
challenges to delineate the extent of urban/reference context. For example, a consistent method has
been proposed to determine urban and reference areas, which defines the surrounding buffer as having the same size as the urban area, by using nighttime light or land cover data [3,4,6]. SUHI intensity was also quantified based on the relationship between LST and urban indicators, such as urban fraction and ISA [131,250]. The relationship between SUHI and urban size can potentially be used to quantify SUHI intensity because SUHI intensity usually increases with the expansion of the urban extent [5]. Bonafoni and Keeratikasikorn [251] investigated the relationship between the spatial trend of LST and the distance to the city center using a ring-based buffer approach. They found that the daytime LST can be modeled using an inverse S-shape function, whereas the night-time LST can be modeled using a quadratic function in Bangkok, Thailand. The fitted LST functions against the distance that provides a potential way to quantify SUHI intensity. Compared with the traditional methods, these new methods consider more urban information (e.g., urban land cover and expansion) and can better represent the overall characteristic of SUHI. The uncertainties due to the selection of urban/reference areas in previous methods can be mitigated to a large extent. Therefore, the SUHI intensity calculated using these new approaches can be more reliable and more comparable to one another.

7.3. Interannual Variability and Long-Term Trends of SUHI

The spatial, diurnal, and seasonal variations of the SUHI have been extensively studied, but the inter-annual variability and long-term trends remain poorly understood primarily due to data limitations. The AVHRR sensor provides the longest record at a high temporal resolution, but it was utilized to analyze the SUHI in the early literature because of its coarse spatial resolution and low data quality [30,62]. The Landsat archive has provided LST observations for more than 30 years at a high spatial resolution, but the long revisiting cycle impedes the wide application in detecting the SUHI’s inter-annual variability of SUHI [119,213]. As a result, the previous efforts focused mainly on the impacts of urban expansion on LST using the data in a few representative years and days of the year [88,90,252–255]. The increasing duration of the MODIS LST record, with high temporal resolution and data quality, allow us to examine both inter-annual variability and long-term trends of SUHI after 2000. However, such efforts have been restricted to a few regions like China [76,109,121], India [256], Mediterranean [179], and Thailand [257] due to the relatively short observation time and coarse spatial resolution. An integrated use of multi-source data (e.g., MODIS and Landsat) could generate LST observations at both high spatial and temporal resolutions, but at the cost of accuracy [215,258]. It is foreseeable that the inter-annual variability and long-term trends of the SUHI will attract rising interests from researchers when more data and new data fusion techniques become available.

7.4. Scale Issues of SUHI

The variety of scales and their potential impact on study findings have generated a challenging dilemma for researchers to “determine the most appropriate scale and resolution of studying and assessing the effects of scale and resolution” [259]. This certainly applies to SUHI studies in which scientists inherently engage with all the scales from a UHI perspective and from LST data used to measure the associated SUHI. On one hand, UHI is formed by multiple processes on a small scale such as human metabolism to meso-scale phenomena like synoptic weather patterns [24]. The significance of a process depends strongly on the resolution of observation. Nevertheless, there is no feasible way to consider all-scale processes simultaneously due to data and knowledge limitations in characterizing the phenomenon at each scale. On the other hand, LST data vary greatly by sensors in terms of spatial and temporal resolutions, which suggests that SUHI results might be sensitive to the sensor choice. Some studies proved the scaling effects of SUHI formulation in terms of spatial extent and pixel size [171,173,225,260–263], but they concentrated mainly on the relationship between SUHI and land surface properties (e.g., landscape features, ISA, and NDVI) at a local scale. Several key scale issues remain unclear. For example, what are the differences in the factors contributing to the SUHI at local, regional, and global scales? Are there predictable differences in the magnitudes and patterns of SUHI derived from the LST observation at different spatial and temporal resolutions? What is the extent of a
metro city SUHI impact on the climate or SUHI of associated satellite cities? What is the optimal scale for conducting measures to mitigate SUHI? The previously mentioned discussion suggests caution with regard to the scale of study when interpreting the previous results, and thus warrants a significant need for a thorough investigation of SUHI from a scale perspective.

7.5. Relationship with Subsurface Temperature

While many efforts have been devoted to the study of surface and air UHIs, little research has been done in terms of the detection and understanding of the subsurface urban heat island (SubUHI). Although UHI effects mostly originate near the ground surface, the resulting urban heat fluxes will propagate both upward into the atmosphere and downward into the subsurface [264]. Analysis of the SubUHI pattern is also useful for understanding the UHI dynamic across the entire vertical profile (i.e., from the atmospheric boundary layer to subsurface) [265]. The SubUHI is less studied and poorly understood mostly because of the lack of measurements provided by a suitable observation sensor network. Typically, the subsurface temperature (SubST) is driven by the heat flow from the Earth’s interior and by the ground surface temperature [264,266]. Huang et al. [266] analyzed surface air temperature and borehole SubST records from a ground sensor campaign in Osaka, Japan. They found that air temperature heating is not as strong as the subsurface warming. Using two SubST observation stations in an urban and a rural area of Nanjing, China, a previous study showed that SubUHI intensity generally increased with increasing ground depth [267]. The analysis of temperature observations from a sensor network in shallow aquifers beneath six German cities showed that the derived SubUHI exhibited a more pronounced intensity with respect to the annual air UHI pattern [268]. The first attempt to detect the SubUHI by satellite remote sensing was described in Zhan et al. [265], where a three-time-scale model, able to produce SubST up to a depth of around 10 m, was applied to MODIS data. In this study, a comparison between SUHI and SubUHI was performed in Beijing, China, which showed that the land cover changes from natural to built-up surfaces have an impact on both LST and SubST. In addition, the time delay between SUHI and SubUHI in reaching the extreme intensities depends on the depth difference. Therefore, the use of satellite remote sensing for the simultaneous detection and analysis of surface and subsurface UHI patterns is a challenging opportunity that may become increasingly widespread in the future, through validation and calibration campaigns aimed to assess the reliability of satellite-derived SubST.

7.6. Integration of Remote Sensing with Field Observation and Numeric Modeling

Despite their advantages, remote sensing techniques for detecting SUHI wall-to-wall at multiple scales have clear shortcomings. Satellite-derived SUHI are not only different from air UHI, but also strongly influenced by the spatial-temporal resolutions of the data, satellite overpass time, and weather conditions [29,30,269]. Comparatively, direct observations can provide time-continuous and all-sky measurements of air UHI [8], while numerical modeling can help predict and attribute surface and air UHIs at a temporally and spatially seamless manner [24]. A combined use of these three techniques can certainly provide a more thorough picture of an urban thermal environment [30,125]. For example, we can use remote sensing data and field observations to parameterize, improve, and/or evaluate numeric models. An improved model can then be used to simulate and predict UHI based on various urban growth scenarios. These UHI projections are crucial for decision-makers to formulate the urban growth policies that aim to minimize the possible negative impacts of the UHI effect. However, such studies are very limited [270–272] because (1) there are large spatial (vertical and horizontal) and temporal heterogeneities in the energy budgets in an urban environment. (2) There is high inconsistency in measurement altitudes and resolutions among these three techniques. (3) It is still a challenge for remote sensing to quantitatively characterize the 3-D urban structure (e.g., urban canyon) and its spatiotemporal dynamics and (4) numerical models still need to be improved to be able to simulate the energy exchange processes associated with the complex urban structure. Accordingly, future studies should focus on promoting the comprehensive utilization of multiple methods to better...
understand the UHI phenomenon, and designing a decision-making framework to assess the influence of various urban planning policies on UHI.

8. Summary

This review shows an exponentially increasing trend in satellite-based SUHI publications since 2005, with large biases in the geographic areas, study time of day, study seasons, and research foci. Local-scale SUHI variations in the daytime and/or summer have received more research attention. Knowledge of SUHI variations and their relationship with air UHIs has been greatly enriched by the past SUHI studies even though some findings such as the relative significance of landscape composition, configuration, and the dominant factors for SUHI variations among cities remained highly disputed. UHI researchers currently face a series of challenges in using satellite LST data, including the differences between satellite-derived LST and air temperature, the impacts of clouds and other factors on LST data, the trade-off between spatial and temporal resolutions, the methods to quantify SUHI intensity, concurrent land cover, land use mapping, accuracy assessment, and attribution of SUHI. Worse still, the resultant uncertainties have been magnified by the wide applications of very limited datasets (e.g., using one image in a season or year) in the SUHI analyses. Given the availability of long-term archive/free data, a large number of images or time-series analyses are strongly recommended for future studies to increase the robustness of the findings. Considerable scope for improving the quality and quantity of LST data and analysis remains. We suggest that more attention be paid to the understudied regions/cities, the methods to calculate SUHI intensity, inter-annual variability and long-term trends of SUHI, scale issues of SUHI, SUHI-SubUHI relationships, and the integration of remote sensing data with field observation and numeric modeling in the future.
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Abbreviations

SUHI: Surface urban heat island
LST: Land surface temperature difference
UHI: Urban heat island
CLHI: Canopy layer heat island
BLHI: Boundary layer heat island
NDVI: Normalized difference vegetation index
ISA: Impervious surface area
USCs: Urban site characteristics
IBI: Index-based built-up index
NDBI: Normalized difference built-up index
UI: Urbanization index
FAI: Frontal area index
FAR: Floor area ratio
SVF Sky view factor
VF Vegetation fraction
NDWI Normalized difference water index
Tair Air temperature
LCZ Local climate zone
SubUHI Subsurface urban heat island
SubST Subsurface temperature
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