Human Breast Cancer Stem Cells Have Significantly Higher Rate of Clathrin-Independent and Caveolin-Independent Endocytosis than the Differentiated Breast Cancer Cells
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Abstract

Breast Cancer Stem (BCS) cells play critical roles in self-renewal, Multi Drug Resistance (MDR), differentiation and generation of secondary tumors. Conventional chemotherapy may efficiently kill the bulk of differentiated drug-sensitive breast cancer cells, but not the MDR self-renewable BCS cells, leading to enrichment of the MDR BCS cells. In order to target the MDR BCS cells, we have isolated: 1) BCS cells from either breast cancer cell lines or fresh breast cancer specimens; 2) ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporter group G number 2 (ABCG2)-specific aptamers; and 3) BCS cell-binding aptamers. Interestingly, ABCG2-specific aptamers labeled the membrane surface of the ABCG2-expressing baby hamster kidney (BHK) cells, but stained whole cells of the BCS cells derived from mammospheres, implying that BCS cells might have much higher rate of endocytosis than the ABCG2-expressing BHK cells. In addition, 5D3, a monoclonal antibody that recognizes the extracellular loops of ABCG2 protein, also stained whole BCS cells. Furthermore, BCS cell-binding aptamers stained whole BCS cells, but not the differentiated breast cancer MCF-7 cells. All these results support above conclusion that BCS cells might have high rate of endocytosis. Further experiments performed with aptamers and human transferrin or lactosylceramide showed that BCS cells do have much higher endocytosis rate than the differentiated breast cancer cells. Interestingly, clathrin dependent endocytosis inhibitors, such as monodansylcadaverine or genistein, can inhibit the internalization of transferrin or lactosylceramide into the differentiated breast cancer cells, but cannot block the internalization of these compounds into the BCS cells, suggesting that BCS cells undergo clathrin-independent and caveolin-independent endocytosis. Taken together, our data suggest that BCS cells have high rate of endocytosis and open the possibilities for delivering therapeutic agents directly into the MDR BCS cells with aptamer-coated liposomes.
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Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common malignancy in women [1,2]. Although surgical removal of breast cancer plays a very important role in treating the patients, the treatments with chemotherapy often fail to eradicate the tumor. In fact, many cancers, including breast cancer, initially respond well to chemotherapy. Very often the tumors become resistant to anticancer drugs during or shortly after the chemotherapy [3], presumably because of their abilities to eradicate the MDR cancer stem cells [4-6].

Cancer stem cells play very important roles in self-renewal, MDR and generation of secondary tumors [7-10]. Their self-renewal, growth, differentiation and epithelial to mesenchymal transition are regulated by Wnt, Notch, Hedgehog and transforming growth factor β signaling pathways [11-17]. For example, Wnt enhances C-myc and cyclin D1 expression [18,19] and induces oncogenic proliferation [20,21]. Wnt and Notch signaling pathways also play a very important role in regulating the expression of ABC transporters [22]. Down-regulating the Wnt signaling significantly decreased the expression of ABCB1 and ABCG2 [23-25]. In contrast, Wnt agonist enhances the expression of β-catenin and increases the protein levels of ABCB1 and ABCG2 [23-25]. ABCG2 [26-28] is universally expressed in the undifferentiated cancer stem cells [29,30] and its expression is shut down in many differentiated cells [29,31]. Thus, conventional chemotherapy may efficiently kill the bulk of differentiated drug sensitive breast cancer cells, but not the MDR self-renewable BCS cells, leading to enrichment of the MDR BCS cells. The enriched MDR BCS cells have abilities to renew themselves and to differentiate to cancer cells. Thus, without eliminating the MDR BCS cells, it is impossible to eradicate breast cancers.
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In order to target the ABCG2-expressing MDR BCS cells, we thought about to isolate ABCG2-specific ligands that recognize the extracellular portions of this trans-membrane protein so that we can selectively deliver therapeutic agents into BCS cells by using ABCG2-specific ligand-coated liposomes harboring therapeutic agents. However, since normal stem cells and other tissues, such as hepatic stem cells [32], lung stem cells [33], and placenta cells [34] etc, also express ABCG2, the treatment with ABCG2-specific ligand-coated liposomes harboring therapeutic agents might cause side effects. Thus, we thought about to isolate BCS cell-specific ligands. In this report, we have enriched and cloned ABCG2-specific aptamers and BCS cell-binding aptamers and found that BCS cells have significantly higher rate of clathrin-independent and caveolin-independent endocytosis than the differentiated breast cancer cells.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Aptamer library, primers, Texas Red (TR)-labeled primers and Fluorescein Iso Thio Cyanate (FITC) labeled primers were derived from Mayo Clinic Molecular Biology Core. Fresh human breast cancer specimens were derived from Mayo Clinic Arizona [collected by Dr. Pockaj upon written informed consent, based on her Institutional Review Board (IRB) # 2130-00 00 (Titled “Cancer Tissue Study”)]. Since isolation of BCS cells was performed in Dr. Chang’s laboratory, Dr. Chang was also required to get approval from Mayo Clinic IRB. Dr. Chang’s IRB number for this study is # 10-005974 (Titled “Roles of Wnt Signaling in Breast Cancer Stem Cells Self-renewal and Multidrug Resistance”) and there was a special note to indicate that “Patients must consent under IRB # 2130-00 00 in order to participate in this study. In addition, “the IRB approves waiver of specific informed consent in accordance with 45CFR 46.116 (d) as justified by the investigator and waiver of HIPAA authorization in accordance with applicable HIPAA regulations.” Furthermore, patients’ information was de-identified. Fetal bovine serum (FBS) was from Gemini Bio-Products (Sacramento, California, USA). Collagenase IV, Red-taq DNA polymerase, propidium iodide (PI), endocytosis inhibitors monodansylcadaverine (MDC), sucrose, genistein, methyl-β-cyclodextrin (MCD) and routine chemicals were from Sigma (St. Louis, Missouri, USA). Mounting media with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) was from Vector Laboratory (Burlingame, California, USA). Aldefluor kit, MammoCult media, supplementary bullet and 100 µm cell strainer were from StemCell Technologies (Vancouver, BC, Canada). HAM’s nutrient mixture F12 was from JRH Biosciences (Lenexa, Kansas, USA). DMEM/F-12, RPMI-1640 and OptiMEM, TOPO TA cloning vector, 2× glucose, 5 mM MgCl2 and 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) were eluted with aptamer binding buffer by incubating the samples at 95°C for 5 minutes and cooled down in dry-ice to get single stranded DNA (ssDNA). The ssDNA (10 nM) was mixed with aptamer binding buffer (0.1 mg/ml of yeast tRNA in wash buffer) and used to bind to the target BHK/ABCG2 cells on ice for 30 min. Upon washing away of the unbound aptamers with wash buffer (3 times), the bound aptamers were eluted with aptamer binding buffer by incubating the samples at 95°C for 5 minutes. The eluates, after centrifugation at 13,000×g for 5 minutes, were used to bind to the parental BHK cells (counter-selection). The unbond aptamers were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with forward primer 5’-ACGCTCGGATGCGACTACAG-3’. The PCR products were used to do another cycle of binding and counter-selection. To enrich BCS cell-binding aptamers, the mammosphere cells derived from MCF-7 were used to do the binding (with aptamer library) whereas the differentiated breast cancer MCF-7 cells were used to do the counter-selection. Once the PCR amplified aptamers reached the stage that they cannot bind to the negative control cells, these aptamers were cloned into TOPO TA cloning vector and sequenced.

Enrichment and cloning of ABCG2-specific aptamers or BCS cell-binding aptamers

The systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enriched DNA (SELEX) and RNA aptamers can specifically recognize their corresponding targets [37-42]. Based on the fact that BHK cells do not express human ABCG2 protein, whereas BHK/ABCG2 cells do [35], we have enriched the ABCG2-specific aptamers by using the protocol described [43-45]. Briefly, BHK/ABCG2 cells were washed with wash buffer ([25 mM glucose, 5 mM MgCl2, and 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin in phosphate buffered saline (PBS)] after incubation with aptamer library 5’-ACGCTCGGATGCGACTACAG-60 randomized nucleotides-CTCATGGACGTGCTGGTGAC-3’. The aptamer library was heated to 95°C for 5 minutes and cooled down in dry-ice to get single stranded DNA (ssDNA). The ssDNA (10 nM) was mixed with aptamer binding buffer (0.1 mg/ml of yeast RNA in wash buffer) and used to bind to the target BHK/ABCG2 cells on ice for 30 min. Upon washing away of the unbound aptamers with wash buffer (3 times), the bound aptamers were eluted with aptamer binding buffer by incubating the samples at 95°C for 5 minutes. The eluates, after centrifugation at 13,000×g for 5 minutes, were used to bind to the parental BHK cells (counter-selection). The unbond aptamers were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with forward primer 5’-ACGCTCGGATGCGACTACAG-3’, reverse primer 5’-GTCACCGACGCTCCATGAG-3’ and Red-taq DNA polymerase. The PCR products were used to do another cycle of binding and counter-selection. To enrich BCS cell-binding aptamers, the mammosphere cells derived from MCF-7 were used to do the binding (with aptamer library) whereas the differentiated breast cancer MCF-7 cells were used to do the counter-selection. Once the PCR amplified aptamers reached the stage that they cannot bind to the negative control cells, these aptamers were cloned into TOPO TA cloning vector and sequenced.
Aptamer binding and antibody staining

ABCG2-specific aptamers or BCS cell-binding aptamers were amplified by PCR with either FITC- or TR-labeled primers, denatured at 95°C for 5 minutes and cooled down on dry-ice to make ssDNA. The target cells were washed three times with PBS, incubated with aptamer binding buffer containing human Fc blocker on ice for 5 minutes and then mixed with FITC- or TR-labeled aptamers (125 ng/ml) and/or ABCG2 or CD44 specific mAbs on ice for 30 minutes in the dark. The stained cells were washed three times with ice-cold aptamer wash buffer, performed cytopsin (for mammosphere cells grown in suspension) to attach the cells to the charged slides, mounted with anti-fade mounting media containing DAPI and then evaluated with Carl-Zeiss confocal microscope. To separate the FITC-ABCG2/A12 aptamer bound and un-bound BHK/ABCG2 cells (Figure 1C and 1D), BHK and BHK/ABCG2 cells grown up in 100 mm plates were detached from the plates with rubber-policeman scratch, stained with FITC-ABCG2/A12 aptamer and sorted out by BD FACSAria flow cytometer. To test whether trypsin digestion (to destroy the extracellular portions of the membrane surface proteins) would abrogate the aptamer or antibody binding, cells were digested with 0.25% trypsin at 37°C for 5 minutes in the dark. The stained cells were washed three times with ice-cold aptamer wash buffer, performed cytopsin (for mammosphere cells grown in suspension) to attach the cells to the charged slides, mounted with anti-fade mounting media containing DAPI and then evaluated with Carl-Zeiss confocal microscope.

Mammosphere formation

Mammosphere formation assay was performed according to the method described [46]. Briefly, the cells sorted out by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) were plated in ultra-low attachment 96-well plates at a density of 1,000 cells per well in MammoCult media supplemented with growth factors. Numbers of mammosphere formation, after 10-14 days culture, were counted under a light microscope.

Confocal microscopy

Confocal imaging was carried out on a Carl-Zeiss laser scanning microscope equipped with Aprochromat 63×1.40 oil immersion objective lens that is suitable to evaluate fluorescence and differential interference contrast images. Excitation and emission wavelengths (nm) used for the following fluorophores were: DAPI, 360/405; BODIPY and FITC, 488/500-530; Alexa fluor 633, APC and TR, 543/633. Representative confocal images were acquired, analyzed by laser scanning microscope image browser and arranged in Adobe photoshop CS3 software.

Statistical analysis

The results in Figure 3K and Figure S3C were presented as means ± SD. The two-tailed P values were calculated based on the unpaired t test from GraphPad Software Quick Calcs. By conventional criteria, if P value is less than 0.05, the difference between two samples is considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Enrichment and cloning of ABCG2-specific aptamers

We had found that the parental BHK cells did not have ability to transport methotrexate across the biological membranes whereas the human ABCG2 cDNA transfected BHK cells did [35], suggesting that: 1) ABCG2 protein is located on the membrane surface; 2) the parental BHK cells do not express endogenous counterpart transporter. Indeed, it had been proved that the majority of human ABCG2 protein expressed heterogeneously was located on the cell surface [47]. Therefore, the pair of BHK cells, i.e., the parental BHK cells and the BHK/ABCG2 cells, provided good source of materials for us to enrich the ABCG2-specific aptamers. In order to do so, the ABCG2-expressing BHK/ABCG2 cells (used as binding materials) and the parental BHK cells (used as counter-selection materials) were used to enrich the human ABCG2-specific aptamers by employing the aptamer library and the
ALDH positive (ALDH+) cells can (Figure S3B), indicating that ALDH+ cells cannot form mammospheres (Figure S3C), implying that the majority of the cells in mammospheres were ALDH+ cells (Figure S3C), whereas the parental BHK cells were not (Figure 1C).

The enriched ABCG2-specific aptamers were cloned into TOPO TA cloning vector and used to stain the parental BHK cells and the ABCG2-expressing BHK/ABCG2 cells. The results in Figure 1 clearly indicated that ABCG2-specific aptamer clone #12 (ABCG2/A12, its nucleotide sequence is shown in Figure S2) bound to the surface of the ABCG2-expressing BHK/ABCG2 cells (Figure 1B), but not to the parental BHK cells (Figure 1A). Flow cytometry analysis of the ABCG2/A12-labeled cells indicated that the majority of the ABCG2-expressing BHK/ABCG2 cells were labeled with this aptamer (Figure 1D), whereas the parental BHK cells were not (Figure 1C).

Enrichment and cloning of BCS cell-binding aptamers

In order to enrich BCS cell-binding aptamers, we should have BCS cells. BCS cells had been enriched from breast cancer cell lines, such as MCF-7 or MDA-MB-231, or fresh breast cancer specimens by using Aldefluor assay which is based on the intracellular ALDH activity. The results shown in Figure S3 indicated that the ALDH negative (ALDH-) cells of the cells derived from mammospheres were used as counter-selection, the BCS cell-binding aptamers that bind to some of the cells derived from mammospheres (Figure S4B), but not to the cells derived from MCF-7 (Figure S4A). In addition, some of the cells recognized by BCS cell-binding aptamers also detected by the cells stained with BCS cell-enriched aptamers were also detected by the cells stained with BCS cell-enriched aptamers.

Since we planned to use the cells derived from mammospheres as binding materials for enrichment of BCS cell-binding aptamers, we’d like to know what percentage of the cells in mammospheres were ALDH+ cells. Interestingly, we found that only approximately 18% of the cells derived from mammospheres were ALDH+ cells (Figure S3C), implying that the majority of the cells in mammospheres were differentiated breast cancer cells. This result also suggested that we should separate the ALDH+ cells from the ALDH- cells and then use them to do the enrichment of BCS cell-binding aptamers. However, since we had found that MCF-7 cells have only approximately 0.7% of ALDH+ cells (Figure S3C), we could use them as counter-selection materials to bypass the FACS step. Indeed, by using the same protocol as the one used in the enrichment of ABCG2-specific aptamers, except that the cells derived from mammospheres were used as binding materials whereas the cells derived from MCF-7 were used as counter-selection materials, we had enriched (after 15 cycles of binding and counter-selection) the BCS cell-binding aptamers that bind to some of the cells derived from mammospheres (Figure S4B), but not to the cells derived from MCF-7 (Figure S4A). In addition, some of the cells recognized by BCS cell-binding aptamers also detected by mAb against ABCG2 (Figure S4B), suggesting that they might be the ABCG2-expressing BCS cells. Furthermore, the enriched BCS cell-binding aptamers detected more cells (indicated by the arrowheads in Figure S4B) than the human ABCG2-specific mAb 5D3, implying that some of the mammosphere cell-enriched aptamers might detect the early progenitor cells that did not over-express ABCG2, but were distinguishable from the differentiated breast cancer cells. Of note, the aptamers bound to the cells or the mAb 5D3 bound to the cells efficiently internalized (Figure S4B), suggesting that BCS cells might have high rate of endocytosis.

Regardless of whether they were BCS cells or early progenitor cells, the treatment with trypsin for short time completely abolished the aptamer binding and the ABCG2-specific mAb binding (Figure S4C), suggesting that trypsin digestion completely destroyed the mAb 5D3 binding site and the aptamers binding sites located on the BCS cell surface.

It has been demonstrated that human breast cancers contain a cell population with stem cell properties bearing the surface markers CD44+/CD24−/lin [48]. In order to test whether these aptamers would bind to the CD44+ BCS cells or not, the FITC-labeled BCS cell-enriched aptamers and the APC-conjugated CD44-specific mAb were used to co-stain the cells derived from mammospheres. As shown in Figure S5, the cells stained with BCS cell-enriched aptamers were also detected by CD44-specific mAb, suggesting that the BCS cell-enriched aptamers might bind to human BCS cells.

The enriched BCS cell-binding aptamers were cloned into TOPO TA cloning vector and used to stain the control MCF-7 cells and the cells derived from mammospheres. The results in Figure 2A clearly indicated that BCS cell-binding aptamer #35 (BCS/A35, its nucleotide sequence is shown in Figure S6) stained some cells (internalized) derived from mammospheres (Figure 2B), but not the cells derived from MCF-7 (Figure 2A). In addition, the results shown in Figure 2 indicate that BCS/A35 can neither stain the parental BHK cells (Figure 2C) nor the ABCG2-expressing BHK/ABCG2 cells (Figure 2D), suggesting that BCS/A35 recognized a BCS cell surface protein that is distinguishable from ABCG2.
Aptamer-stained cells can form mammospheres

Since ABCG2 is universally expressed in the undifferentiated cancer stem cells [29,30], we speculated that our ABCG2-specific aptamers should recognize the undifferentiated BCS cells. In order to test this hypothesis, FITC-labeled ABCG2/A12 was used to stain the control MCF-7 cells and the cells derived from mammospheres. The results in Figure 3A showed that ABCG2/A12 cannot stain the differentiated breast cancer MCF-7 cells, but clearly detected low percentage of the BCS cells derived from mammospheres (Figure 3B). In addition, those cells stained with ABCG2/A12 were also recognized by ABCG2-specific mAb 5D3 (Figure 3C). Of note, in contrast to the surface labeling of BHK/ABCG2 cells with ABCG2/A12 (Figure 1B), the bound ABCG2/A12 aptamers were efficiently internalized into the BCS cells (Figure 3B and 3C), suggesting that human BCS cells might have much higher endocytosis rate than the ABCG2-expressing BHK/ABCG2 cells.

In order to test whether this internalization is via the binding of ABCG2/A12 or ABCG2-specific mAb 5D3 to the extra-cellular loops of ABCG2 protein, the cells derived from mammospheres were digested with trypsin for a short time and then did the binding analysis. As shown in Figure 3D, short time trypsin digestion completely abrogated the co-staining of ABCG2/A12 and ABCG2-specific mAb 5D3, suggesting that trypsin digestion completely destroyed the ABCG2/A12 and the ABCG2-specific mAb 5D3 binding sites.

If the cells recognized by aptamers and/or ABCG2-specific mAb 5D3 are BCS cells, they should form mammospheres in vitro. Indeed, ABCG2/A12 negative cells (Figure 3G and 3I) or 5D3 negative cells (Figure 3H and 3J) sorted out by FACS barely formed mammospheres, whereas the ABCG2/A12 positive cells (Figure 3I) or the ABCG2-specific mAb 5D3 positive cells (Figure 3J) clearly formed multiple mammospheres, suggesting that the ABCG2/A12 bound cells or the ABCG2-specific mAb 5D3 bound cells are the undifferentiated BCS cells.
CDE inhibitors can inhibit the endocytosis in differentiated breast cancer cells, but not in BCS cells

The results in Figures 2, 3, S4B and S5 suggested that BCS cells might have high rate of endocytosis. In order to test whether this high endocytosis rate undergoes CDE or not, Alexa fluor 633-conjugated hTF and FITC-labeled BCS/A35 or FITC-labeled ABCG2/A12 were used to stain the cells derived from either MCF-7 or mammospheres in the presence or absence of CDE inhibitors. In the absence of CDE inhibitors, hTF clearly labeled the surface of MCF-7 cells, with certain amount of hTF located in cytoplasmic portion (Figure 4A). However, the labeling intensity in the cytoplasmic portion of MCF-7 cells in the presence of CDE inhibitors, such as MDC (amplified images in Figure 4B and S7B) or sucrose (amplified images in Figure 4C and S7C), was significantly lower than in the absence of CDE inhibitors (amplified images in Figure 4A and S7A). In contrast, the labeling of the cells derived from mammospheres with FITC-labeled BCS/A35 or ABCG2/A12 in the presence of CDE inhibitors, such as MDC (amplified images in Figure 4E or S7E) or sucrose (amplified images in Figure 4F or S7F), was similar to that the labeling in the absence of CDE inhibitor (amplified images in Figure 4D or S7D), suggesting that the internalization of the FITC-labeled aptamers in BCS cells may not be mediated by CDE. This conclusion was further confirmed by the co-staining of the cells derived from mammospheres with Alexa fluor 633-conjugated hTF and FITC-labeled BCS/A35 or ABCG2/A12 in the presence (Figure 4H and S7H or S7I) or absence of CDE inhibitors (Figure 4G or S7G) in which the cells heavily stained with aptamer BCS/A35 or ABCG2/A12 were, regardless of whether the CDE inhibitor is present or not, also heavily stained with hTF. In addition, the cells not stained with FITC-labeled aptamer BCS/A35 or ABCG2/A12 were lightly labeled with hTF (Figure 4G, 4H and 4I or S7G, S7H and S7I), suggesting that BCS cells have significantly higher rate of clathrin-independent endocytosis than the corresponding differentiated breast cancer cells.

CVDE inhibitors can inhibit the endocytosis in differentiated breast cancer cells, but not in BCS cells

In order to test whether the high rate of endocytosis in BCS cells undergoes CDE or not, BODIPY-labeled LacCer and TR-conjugated BCS/A35 or ABCG2/A12 were used to stain the cells derived from either MCF-7 or mammospheres in the presence or absence of CVDE inhibitors. In the absence of CVDE inhibitors, LacCer clearly labeled the surface and intracellular portion of MCF-7 cells (amplified images in Figure 5A or S8A). However, in the presence of CVDE inhibitors, such as MCD (amplified images in Figure 5B or S8B) or genistein (amplified images in Figure 5C or S8C), the labeling intensity in the cytoplasmic portion of MCF-7 cells was significantly lower than in the absence of CVDE inhibitors (amplified images in Figure 5A or S8A). Interestingly, the labeling of the cells derived from mammospheres with TR-conjugated aptamer BCS/A35 or ABCG2/A12 in the presence of CVDE inhibitors, such as MCD (amplified images in Figure 5E or S8E) or genistein (amplified images in Figure 5F or S8F), was similar to that the labeling in the absence of CVDE inhibitor (amplified images in Figure 5D or S8D), suggesting that the internalization of the TR-conjugated aptamers in BCS cells was not mediated by CVDE. This conclusion was further confirmed by the labeling of the cells derived from mammospheres with BODIPY-labeled LacCer and TR-conjugated BCS/A35 or ABCG2/A12 in the presence (Figure 5H and S8H) or absence of CVDE inhibitors (Figure 5G or S8G) in which the cells heavily stained with aptamer BCS/A35 or ABCG2/A12 were, regardless of whether the CVDE inhibitor is present or not, also heavily stained with LacCer. In addition, the cells not stained with TR-conjugated aptamer BCS/A35 or ABCG2/A12 were lightly labeled with LacCer (Figure 5G, 5H and 5I or S8G, S8H and S8I), suggesting that BCS cells may have significantly higher rate of caveolin-independent endocytosis than the corresponding differentiated breast cancer cells.

Discussion

As mentioned in introduction, cancer stem cells over-express ABC transporters, such as ABCB1 and ABCG2, and play very important roles in self-renewal, growth and generation of secondary tumors. Due to over-expression of ABC transporters, they become resistant to multiple anticancer drugs. Thus, conventional chemotherapy may kill the bulk of drug sensitive differentiated breast cancer cells, but not the MDR BCS cells. Their self-renewal, growth, differentiation and epithelial to mesenchymal transition are regulated by Wnt, Notch, Hedgehog and transforming growth factor β signaling pathways. Thus, knocking down, such as using small interfering siRNA, the crucial factor(s) in these signaling pathways might prevent their self-renewal and growth. However, the question is how to deliver these therapeutic
agents selectively into cancer stem cells. The report described here is to develop BCS cell-specific ligands so that we can selectively deliver therapeutic agents into the MDR BCS cells.

The following results clearly demonstrated that both BCS cell-binding aptamers and ABCG2-specific aptamers can be considered to be BCS cell-binding ligands: 1) BCS cell-enriched aptamers co-stained the potential BCS cells derived from mammospheres with either ABCG2-specific mAb 5D3 (Figure 4B) or CD44-specific mAb (Figure 5S); 2) BCS/A35 (Figure 2B) or ABCG2/A12 (Figure 3B) can bind to some of the cells derived from mammospheres, but not to the differentiated MCF-7 breast cancer cells (Figure 2A or 3A); 3) ABCG2/A12 or BCS/A35 and ABCG2-specific mAb 5D3 recognized the same cells derived from mammospheres (Figure 3C or 3E); 4) ABCG2/A12 positive cells or ABCG2-specific mAb 5D3 positive cells, sorted by FACS, can form mammospheres (Figure 3C or 3E), whereas ABCG2/A12 negative cells or 5D3 negative cells cannot (Figure 3G, 3I and 3K). Thus, these aptamers could be used as ligands to make the aptamer-coated liposomes to selectively deliver therapeutic agents into the MDR BCS cells.

Interestingly, the bound ABCG2-specific mAb 5D3 or the bound aptamers, regardless of whether ABCG2/A12 or BCS/A35 aptamer was used, were efficiently internalized into the BCS cells derived from mammospheres (Figure 2B, 3B, 3C, 3E, 5B and 5S). In addition, hTF or LacCer mainly bind to the surface of the differentiated breast cancer cells (Figure 4A and 7A or 5A and 8A), whereas the bound hTF or LacCer were efficiently internalized into the BCS cells derived from mammospheres (Figure 4G and 7G or 5G and 8G). These results strongly suggest that the un-differentiated BCS cells may have a significantly higher endocytosis rate than the differentiated breast cancer cells. This might be a common feature in cancer stem cells regardless of whether the cancer stem cells were derived from cancer cell line (Figure 2-5), fresh breast cancer specimen (Figure 5S) or BT-12 neurospheres (data not shown). Unlike the endocytosis occurred in murine L cells [49] or in retinal pigment epithelial D407 cells [50], the treatments of the BCS cells with either CDE inhibitors, such as MDC (Figure 4E, 4H, 7H and 7H) or sucrose (Figure 4F, 4I, 7T and 7S), or CVDE inhibitors, such as MCD (Figure 5E, 5H, 8E and 8H) or genistein (Figure 5F, 5I, 8F and 8I), did not inhibit the efficient internalization of the bound aptamers, hTF or LacCer, suggesting that BCS cells may have a high rate of clathrin-independent and caveolin-independent endocytosis [51].

ABCG2-specific aptamers, such as ABCG2/A12, clearly recognized the ABCG2-expressing BCS cells (Figure 3, 5S and 7S). Thus, they could be used to make ABCG2-specific aptamer-coated liposomes to selectively deliver therapeutic agents (harbored in the liposomes) into the ABCG2-expressing cells, such as BCS cells. However, since normal stem cells and other tissues, such as hepatic stem cells [32], lung stem cells [33], cardiac side population cells [52], mammary epithelia side population cells [53], skeletal muscle side population cells [54], neural stem cells [55], corneal side population cells [55], and placenta [34], also express ABCG2, the treatment with ABCG2-specific aptamer-coated liposomes harboring therapeutic agents might cause side effects. In contrast, if the aptamers, such as BCS/A35, enriched from human BCS cells (Figure 4B) mainly bind to human BCS cells, but not other cells (this point needs to be further confirmed), the treatment with BCS cell-specific aptamer-coated liposomes harboring therapeutic agents might have minimal side effect. Taken together, we have cloned BCS cell-binding aptamers and proved that BCS cells efficiently internalized the bound ABCG2-specific mAb, aptamers, hTF protein and LacCer. Thus, our findings opened the door for us to develop a novel therapeutic approach to target the pluripotent and MDR BCS cells.
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