The role of nucleus of the solitary tract glucagon-like peptide-1 and prolactin-releasing peptide neurons in stress: anatomy, physiology and cellular interactions

Marie K. Holt1 | Linda Rinaman2

1Department of Neuroscience, Physiology and Pharmacology, University College London, London, UK
2Department of Psychology and Program in Neuroscience, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA

Correspondence
Marie K Holt, Ph.D., University College London, Department of Neuroscience, Physiology and Pharmacology, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, UK.
Email: m.holt@ucl.ac.uk

Funding information
National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Grant/Award Number: DK100685; National Institute of Mental Health, Grant/Award Number: MH059911

Neuroendocrine, behavioural and autonomic responses to stressful stimuli are orchestrated by complex neural circuits. The caudal nucleus of the solitary tract (cNTS) in the dorsomedial hindbrain is uniquely positioned to integrate signals of both interoceptive and psychogenic stress. Within the cNTS, glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and prolactin-releasing peptide (PrRP) neurons play crucial roles in organising neural responses to a broad range of stressors. In this review we discuss the anatomical and functional overlap between PrRP and GLP-1 neurons. We outline their co-activation in response to stressful stimuli and their importance as mediators of behavioural and physiological stress responses. Finally, we review evidence that PrRP neurons are downstream of GLP-1 neurons and outline unexplored areas of the research field. Based on the current state-of-knowledge, PrRP and GLP-1 neurons may be compelling targets in the treatment of stress-related disorders.
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1 | INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE OF REVIEW

Faced with an ever-changing environment, the brain continuously monitors and prioritizes the needs of the body and in response orchestrates adaptations in physiology and behaviour that often are essential for survival. Stressors, defined here as imminent actual or perceived threats to survival or well-being, result in sympathetic arousal, suppression of feeding and other motivated behaviours, and activation of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis (Holt & Trapp, 2016; Ulrich-Lai & Herman, 2009). Molecularly diverse populations of neurons within the spinal cord, brainstem, hypothalamus and limbic forebrain form complex neural circuits that orchestrate stress responses (Ulrich-Lai & Herman, 2009) and our understanding of the components of these circuits and how they are organized is constantly expanding. In this article we review the current state of knowledge about two specific populations of stress-sensitive neurons located in the caudal nucleus of the solitary tract (cNTS), positioned within the dorsomedial hindbrain.
The first section of our review introduces the cNTS as an essential node in the brain’s response to both interoceptive and psychogenic stress. This is followed by a brief introduction to two specific and discrete populations of neurons contained within the cNTS (i.e. glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and prolactin-releasing peptide (PrRP)-expressing neurons) and a summary of emerging anatomical and functional evidence supporting their role in centrally-mediated stress responses. We next discuss the possibility that GLP-1 and PrRP neurons interact to modulate behavioural and physiological stress responses. Finally, we consider the future directions of this research field and the translational implications of the evidence discussed. Although GLP-1 and PrRP also are expressed in some peripheral tissues, the current review is focused on neural cell populations found in the cNTS. Thus, we do not discuss peripheral (i.e. intestinally-derived) GLP-1 signalling, which is quite distinct from central GLP-1 neural signalling (see this issue of British Journal of Pharmacology) (Brierley et al., 2021; Holt et al., 2020). We also do not in detail review the role of hindbrain GLP-1 and PrRP neurons in the regulation of food intake and body energy balance. Those important topics are discussed in depth in other articles within this themed issue of British Journal of Pharmacology and elsewhere (Dodd & Luckman, 2013; Gribble & Reimann, 2021; Grill, 2020).

2.1 | Interoceptive stressors

Interoceptive stressors generate signals that mark and the actual current threat to physiological well-being. For example, increased levels of circulating pro-inflammatory cytokines can signal an infection, unloading of cardiac baroreceptors can signal haemorrhage, and increased levels of free fatty acids coupled with falling insulin can signal starvation. Interoceptive stressors and the signals they generate are strikingly similar across mice, rats, humans and other mammalian species, and behavioural and physiological stress responses to these signals do not require experience or learning. Signals of interoceptive threat originate within the body and are conveyed to the CNS largely through sensory pathways that converge onto cNTS neurons (see above), which in turn modulate the activity of (1), reticular and spinal central pattern generators and pre-motor circuits that control behavioural outflow (Grill & Hayes, 2009), (2) parasympathetic neurons in the dorsal motor vagal nucleus and nucleus ambiguus (Broussard et al., 1998; Rinaman et al., 1989; Travaglì et al., 2006) and (3) sympathetic neurons via inputs to the hindbrain reticular formation and spinal cord (Llewellyn-Smith et al., 2015; Mtui et al., 1993; Zoccol et al., 2014). In addition, some cNTS neurons, which are sensitive to interoceptive stress signals, project to hypothalamic endocrine neurons to stimulate pituitary release of oxytocin, adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) and other hormones, whose circulating levels increase in rodents after stress exposure (reviewed in Maniscalco & Rinaman, 2017; Rinaman, 2007, 2010; Ulrich-Lai & Herman, 2009).

2.2 | Psychogenic stressors

Psychogenic stressors generate signals that portend a potential threat to physiological well-being; such threats can vary widely across mammalian species. Some psychogenic stressors and responses to them are innate (i.e. genetically coded), while others require experience-based learning. For example, while laboratory rats and mice typically have never experienced a natural predator, they respond innately to predator signals (e.g. odours and visual cues) by mounting stress responses that prepare to meet an impending threat to survival. Other sensory stimuli can become psychogenic stressors through conditioning, for example mice and rats display endocrine, autonomic and behavioural stress responses to previously neutral auditory, olfactory or visual stimuli after they are paired with footshock, resulting in conditioned fear. Learned stress responses require neural processing by thalamic and cortical regions that integrate multiple sensory modalities in coordination with hippocampal and prefrontal cortical regions that serve mnemonic and executive functions. Importantly, and similar to interoceptive stressors, psychogenic stressors also activate neurons within the cNTS (Furlong et al., 2014; Holt, Pomeranz, et al., 2019; Maniscalco et al., 2015; Maniscalco & Rinaman, 2017). However, while most interoceptive stressors recruit cNTS neurons via interoceptive ‘bottom-up’ signalling pathways, psychogenic stressors recruit cNTS neurons through descending projections from brain regions that include the medial
prefrontal cortex, central nucleus of the amygdala, bed nucleus of the stria terminalis and paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (PVN) (Dayas et al., 2004; Dayas, Buller, Crane, et al., 2001; Dayas et al., 2001; Li et al., 1996; McKlveen et al., 2015; Ulrich-Lai & Herman, 2009; van der Kooy et al., 1984). Stressor-induced recruitment of these descending inputs to the cNTS mediate the ability of psychogenic stressors to suppress eating, to reduce vagal tone and to increase sympathetic outflow (see Sections 4.3-4.5). Interestingly, neuroendocrine and emotional/affective responses to at least some psychogenic stressors require ascending projections from the cNTS back to the hypothalamus and limbic forebrain (Maniscalco & Rinaman, 2017). Thus, the cNTS is a critical network hub that receives not only interoceptive signals of threat to homeostasis, but also top-down psychogenic stress signals; in both cases, efferent projections from the cNTS appear integral for generating and modulating behavioural, autonomic and endocrine stress responses.

2.3 | Cell types within the caudal nucleus of the solitary tract—Focus on glucagon-like peptide-1 and prolactin-releasing peptide neurons

The cNTS houses a number of intermixed populations of neurons defined by distinct connectional and molecular phenotypes. As reviewed elsewhere (Andresen et al., 2007; Maley, 1996; Riche et al., 1990; Rinaman, 2007, 2010; Sawchenko et al., 1990), different subpopulations of cNTS neurons express different combinations of amino acid, peptide and biogenic amine signalling molecules. These molecularly distinct cNTS subpopulations include locally-projecting GABAergic neurons involved in hindbrain vagal sensory-motor functions and projection neurons that target spinal, brainstem, hypothalamic and limbic forebrain regions implicated in behavioural and physiological stress responses. Of these various neural populations, two stress-sensitive populations of particular interest (and the subject of this review) project both locally and to distant stress-related CNS targets: GLP-1 and PrRP neurons. GLP-1- and PrRP-positive neurons are restricted to the cNTS and one or two additional discrete locations, as described in the following section.

3 | GLP-1 AND PRRP NEURON DISTRIBUTION: OVERLAPPING BUT DISTINCT POPULATIONS IN THE CNTS

The distribution of GLP-1 and PrRP neurons in the rodent brain is shown in Figure 1. GLP-1-immunopositive neurons reside within the medial and commissural subnuclei of the cNTS and within the intermediate reticular nucleus, positioned subjacent to the cNTS (Figure 1) (Llewellyn-Smith et al., 2011; Maniscalco et al., 2015; Merchenthaler et al., 1999; Rinaman, 1999b). The cNTS and reticular GLP-1 populations extend from the upper cervical spinal cord through the level of the mid-area postrema (Llewellyn-Smith et al., 2011). In rodents, an additional distinct group of GLP-1 neurons is present in the olfactory bulb (Figure 1) (Merchenthaler et al., 1999; Thiebaud et al., 2019). Since these are locally-projecting interneurons, GLP-1 fibres and terminals throughout the rest of the CNS are assumed to...
PrRP, a neuropeptide originally named for its ex vivo stimulatory effect on prolactin release from the isolated anterior pituitary (Hinuma et al., 1998; Lawrence et al., 2000), is expressed not only by medial and commissural cNTS neurons, but also by neurons within the dorsomedial hypothalamus and the ventrolateral medulla (Figure 1) (Hinuma et al., 1998; Iijima et al., 1999; Maruyama et al., 1999; Morales et al., 2000; Roland et al., 1999). PrRP neurons within the cNTS comprise a subpopulation of the tyrosine hydroxylase (TH)- and dopamine β hydroxylase (DBH)-positive A2 noradrenergic cell group (Morales et al., 2000), such that the majority of A2 neurons positioned caudal to the rostrocaudal level of the mid-area postrema co-express PrRP (Ellacott et al., 2002; Maruyama et al., 2001).

Importantly, although GLP-1- and PrRP-expressing neurons are similarly distributed within the cNTS, they comprise two distinct populations (Figure 1). PrRP neurons do not express GLP-1 (Card et al., 2018; Morales et al., 2000) and GLP-1 neurons do not express either PrRP (Card et al., 2018) or TH (Hisadome et al., 2010; Maniscalco et al., 2012). Published evidence in rats indicates that most caudal A2 neurons (the majority of which are PrRP-positive) and most or all GLP-1 neurons are glutamatergic (Stornetta et al., 2002; Zheng et al., 2015). The glutamatergic phenotype of GLP-1 neurons also has been confirmed in mice (Liu et al., 2017).

### 3.1 Central targets of glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and prolactin-releasing peptide (PrRP) neurons

In general, the central distribution of GLP-1-immunopositive fibres and terminals (which arise exclusively from neurons within the cNTS and closely adjacent medullary reticular formation) is largely similar to the central distribution of PrRP-positive fibres and terminals. PrRP signals primarily through the G protein-coupled receptor 10 (GPR10), although high affinity also has been demonstrated for the neuropeptide FF (NPFF) 2 receptor (Ma et al., 2009). GPR10 and GLP-1 receptors are widely distributed throughout the brain, with high expression levels in stress-relevant areas that contain GLP-1- and PrRP-positive fibres and terminals. These regions include but are not limited to the locus coeruleus, PVN and bed nucleus of the stria terminals (Cork et al., 2015; Merchenthaler et al., 1999; Roland et al., 1999). It should be noted that while PrRP-positive fibres arise from the cNTS A2 cell group, some fibres may also originate from the other two PrRP-containing nuclei: the ventral medullary A1 cell group and non-catecholaminergic neurons in the dorsomedial nucleus of the hypothalamus (Figure 1c) (Morales et al., 2000). However, the axonal projections of hypothalamic PrRP neurons have not been reported.

The extensive axonal projections of hindbrain GLP-1 neurons have been thoroughly mapped in both mice (Llewellyn-Smith et al., 2011, 2013, 2015) and rats (Gu et al., 2013). These projection targets include many CNS regions implicated in physiological and behavioural responses to stress. As an example, within the brainstem, GLP-1 fibres innervate the rostral ventrolateral medulla, the locus coeruleus, the parabrachial nucleus, the raphé pallidus and the periaqueductal grey (Llewellyn-Smith et al., 2011, 2013). GLP-1 neurons also innervate sympathetic preganglionic neurons in the spinal cord (Llewellyn-Smith et al., 2015). Comparatively less is known regarding the brain-wide distribution of PrRP-positive fibres in rats and mice; initial studies reported PrRP immunolabeling only in rats (Iijima et al., 1999; Maruyama et al., 1999), without delineating the relative contributions of cNTS, ventrolateral medulla and dorsomedial hypothalamic PrRP neurons to terminal fields within various brain regions. One report documented an absence of PrRP immunolabeling within the lumbar dorsal horn and concluded that the rat spinal cord lacks PrRP fibres (Kalliomäki et al., 2004, however it is unclear whether all spinal levels were examined in that study.

Stress-related forebrain regions that receive axonal input from the cNTS and also contain GLP-1-positive fibres, PrRP-positive fibres and DbH-positive (i.e. noradrenergic) fibres, which include the paraventricular thalamus, the PVN and the bed nucleus of the stria terminals (Iijima et al., 1999; Llewellyn-Smith et al., 2011; Maruyama et al., 1999). However, the relative density of GLP-1- versus PrRP-positive fibres can differ within these regions. For example, in rats, PrRP-positive fibres are particularly dense within the anterior ventral bed nucleus of the stria terminals and are more sparsely distributed within the anterior dorsal bed nucleus of the stria terminals (Iijima et al., 1999; Maniscalco et al., 2015; Maruyama et al., 1999), whereas GLP-1-positive fibres are distributed more densely within the dorsal region of the anterior bed nucleus of the stria terminals and are sparser within the ventral region (Gu et al., 2013; Rinaman, 2010). However, differences in innervation density are not necessarily linked to differences in the functional impact of innervation. In many cases, the postsynaptic targets of GLP-1 and PrRP inputs to specific brain regions remain undefined and may include the distal dendrites and/or axons of target cells located outside of that region. In addition, neuropeptides are well-established to signal through volume transmission, in which the peptide diffuses some distance and binds to receptors located far from the release site (van den Pol, 2012). Indeed, this phenomenon is suggested to underlie documented effects of GLP-1 in the hippocampus (Hsu et al., 2015), a brain region that does not receive axonal input from GLP-1 neurons.

In addition to multiple long-range projection targets, GLP-1 fibres are present within the cNTS, where some lie in close apposition to both PrRP and GLP-1 neurons (Card et al., 2018). The existence of functional synaptic connections between these cell groups remains to be investigated. A moderate number of neurons within the cNTS express GLP-1 receptors (Cork et al., 2015; Farkas et al., 2020; Jensen et al., 2018; Merchenthaler et al., 1999), including PrRP neurons in rats (Card et al., 2018), but excluding GLP-1 neurons in mice (Card et al., 2018; Hisadome et al., 2010) and rats (Card et al., 2018). Thus, and as further discussed in Section 6 below, GLP-1 signalling may participate in driving the activation of PrRP neurons within the cNTS.
4 | A ROLE FOR CENTRAL PRRP AND GLP-1 IN STRESS MODULATION?

We propose that three requirements must be met for a given neuropeptide to participate in modulating stress responses under physiological conditions: (1) the activity of the cells producing the neuropeptide must be modulated in response to stress-related stimuli, (2) the neuropeptide, when injected into the brain, should be able to elicit stress-related behaviours and/or physiological responses and (3), endogenous neuropeptide signalling must contribute to the behavioural or physiological responses to stress. We argue that all three of these requirements are met by both GLP-1 and PrRP neurons. In the following paragraphs we summarise evidence that PrRP and GLP-1 neurons are broadly sensitive to physiologically and emotionally stressful stimuli (Table 1), that both PrRP and GLP-1 administered centrally can elicit endocrine, autonomic and behavioural responses associated with stress and that endogenous PrRP and GLP-1 signalling are necessary for a number of these stress responses.

4.1 | cFos labelling as a marker of stimulus-induced ‘activation’

In published studies examining stress-induced recruitment of central neural circuits, including our own, stimulus-induced ‘activation’ of neurons within the cNTS and other central regions is often determined through immunohistochemical localization of nuclear cFos. cFos is the product of the immediate-early response gene, cfos, which is activated transiently and rapidly in response to neural stimulation. The design in most of these studies includes exposing an animal to an experimental treatment or stimulus with a controlled onset, followed by tissue harvest 1–2 h later in order to visualise cFos immunolabeling.

Table 1  Stimuli which activate GLP-1, PrRP and A2 neurons in the cNTS determined from either cFos (or pSTAT3 for leptin) immunoreactivity, patch-clamp electrophysiology (ex vivo), or calcium imaging (ex vivo)

| Stress-related stimulus | GLP-1 neurons | PrRP neurons | A2 neurons | References |
|-------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| CCK (high dose, 5–100 µg, i.p.) | + | + | + | (Hisadome et al., 2011; Holt et al., 2017; Lawrence et al., 2002; Maniscalco et al., 2020; Maniscalco & Rinaman, 2013; Rinaman, 1999b; Rinaman et al., 1995; Wall et al., 2020) |
| LiCl (0.15 M, 2% BW, i.p.) | + | + | + | (Lachey et al., 2005; Lawrence et al., 2002; Rinaman, 1999b) |
| LPS (100µg, i.p.) | + | ND | + | (Bienkowski & Rinaman, 2011; Gaykema et al., 2009; Rinaman, 1999b) |
| IL-6 (ex vivo) | + | ND | ND | (Anesten et al., 2016) |
| Haemorrhage (18–25% blood loss) | ND | + | + | (Dun et al., 1993; Uchida et al., 2010) |
| Footshock | ND | + | + | (Morales & Sawchenko, 2003; Zhu & Onaka, 2002) |
| Restraint | + | + | + | (Adachi et al., 2005; Maniscalco et al., 2015; Maruyama et al., 2001; Palkovits et al., 1997; Sun et al., 2005; Terrill et al., 2019) |
| Elevated platform exposure | + | + | + | (Maniscalco et al., 2015) |
| Conditioned fear | – | + | + | (Edwards et al., 2021; Yoshida et al., 2014; Zhu & Onaka, 2002, 2003) |

| Non-stress-related stimulus | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Gastric distension | + | ND | + | (Vrang et al., 2003) |
| CCK (low dose, 1–3 µg) | – | + | + | (Maniscalco & Rinaman, 2013; Wall et al., 2020) |
| CCK (ex vivo) | + | ND | ND | (Hisadome et al., 2011; Holt et al., 2017) |
| Oxytocin | + (ex vivo) | ND | + | (Brierley et al., 2021; Ho et al., 2014) |
| Sweetened milk | + | ND | + | (Gaykema et al., 2009) |
| 5-HT (ex vivo) | + | ND | ND | (Holt et al., 2017) |
| Leptin (in vivo and GLP) | + (mouse); − (rat) | − (mouse); − (rat) | +/− (rat) | (Dodd et al., 2014; Hisadome et al., 2010; Holt et al., 2017; Huo et al., 2008; Maniscalco & Rinaman, 2014; Williams et al., 2009) |
| Feeding | + | + | + | (Kreisler et al., 2014; Kreisler & Rinaman, 2016) |
| Leucine | ND | + | ND | (Tsang et al., 2020) |

Note: For leptin, significant species differences have been reported. For clarity, the species is indicated. In a few instances, there were discrepancies in the literature, which is indicated by +/−. TH-positive A2 neurons are included, because they include PrRP neurons and these data provide important insight when data is lacking for PrRP neurons. ND: Not determined.
in regions of interest. As a binary index of neural activation, the presence or absence of visible cFos labelling poorly reflects the degree of activation and provides no information about stimulus-induced changes in spike frequency. Nonetheless, quantitative analyses of neural cFos expression in animals exposed to experimental versus control conditions permit assessment of stimulus-induced activation of phenotypically identified neurons in defined anatomical regions, making it an ideal approach for testing hypotheses regarding neural sensitivity and function in vivo.

4.2 Prolactin-releasing peptide (PrRP) and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) neurons are co-activated following interoceptive and psychogenic stress

Extensive quantitative analyses of cFos expression have revealed that a variety of acute psychogenic stressors activate PrRP and GLP-1 neurons in rats and mice (Holt & Trapp, 2016; Maniscalco & Rinaman, 2017; Morales & Sawchenko, 2003). Substantial evidence also indicates that interoceptive stressors activate GLP-1 neurons in both rodent species, whereas conclusions regarding the sensitivity of PrRP neurons to interoceptive stress are less secure. For example, exogenously administered cholecystokinin (CCK) at doses exceeding 5 μg·kg⁻¹ body weight (BW) serves as an interoceptive stressor that activates the HPA stress axis in a dose-dependent manner in rats (Kamilaris et al., 1992) and such doses activate both GLP-1 and PrRP neurons in rats and mice (Lawrence et al., 2002; Maniscalco et al., 2020; Maniscalco & Rinaman, 2013; Rinaman, 1999b). PrRP signalling via GPR10 contributes to the hypophagic effect of CCK administered at 15 μg·kg⁻¹ in mice (Becholdt & Luckman, 2006), although concurrent CCK-induced activation of the HPA axis in mice and the contribution of GPR10 to that potential activation has not been reported. The nauseogenic agent lithium chloride (LiCl; 0.15 M, 2% bodyweight) and the pro-inflammatory cytokine stimulus lipopolysaccharide (LPS, 100 μg·kg⁻¹) represent two additional interoceptive stressors that robustly activate GLP-1 neurons in rats (Rinaman, 1999b). LPS-induced activation of PrRP neurons has not been reported, but LPS treatment suppresses food intake similarly in wildtype and GPR10 knockout mice (Becholdt & Luckman, 2006), suggesting that PrRP signalling is unnecessary for the stress-related hypophagic response to LPS. In addition, the same dose of LiCl that activates GLP-1 neurons in rats and mice (Lachey et al., 2005; Rinaman, 1999b) was reported to not elicit cFos expression in PrRP neurons in rats (Lawrence et al., 2002). Based on those findings, Luckman and colleagues proposed that PrRP neurons are not activated by interoceptive stressors in general. However, PrRP neurons within the rat cNTS are activated by hypotensive haemorrhage, a powerful interoceptive stressor (Morales & Sawchenko, 2003). It will be important to confirm interoceptive stressor-specific neural responses (or lack thereof) in both rats and mice, and to determine whether PrRP neurons in one or both rodent species are recruited in response to other types of interoceptive stress.

Several lines of evidence support the view that endogenous GLP-1 signalling contributes to a broad range of neural responses to interoceptive stress. Central delivery of GLP-1 receptor agonists in rats elicits conditioned flavour avoidance and pica, two behavioural responses typically observed following malaise-inducing interoceptive stress (Kinzig et al., 2002; van Dijk & Thiele, 1999). In addition, central GLP-1 signalling in rats is necessary for LPS- and LiCl-induced hypophagia (Grill et al., 2004; Lachey et al., 2005; Rinaman, 1999a), and also is necessary for the ability of LiCl to support conditioned taste avoidance (Seeley et al., 2000), to suppress dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens (Fortin et al., 2016) and to activate the HPA axis (Kinzig et al., 2003). Conversely, central administration of exogenous PrRP in rats does not support conditioned flavour avoidance and suppresses food intake without disrupting the behavioural satiety sequence (Lawrence et al., 2002). The latter finding suggests that central PrRP-induced hypophagia reflects engagement of physiological satiety mechanisms rather than simply disrupting ingestive behaviours. Thus, the collective results from studies using rats indicate that stress and malaise contribute to the hypophagic effect of centrally administered GLP-1 (Kinzig et al., 2002; van Dijk & Thiele, 1999), but not to the hypophagic effect of centrally administered PrRP (Lawrence et al., 2002). On the other hand, knockdown of either PrRP or GLP-1 expression within the cNTS rescues bodyweight loss and hypophagia in a rat tumour growth model, evidence that both neuropeptides contribute to cancer-induced anorexia (Borner et al., 2018; Navarro I Batista et al., 2020). Thus, while the rat data clearly indicate central GLP-1 signalling in hypophagia associated with stress and malaise, additional research is needed to resolve the basis of PrRP-induced hypophagia.

A potentially important species difference in the role of central GLP-1 signalling is worth noting here. As mentioned above, GLP-1 neurons are activated in both rats (Lachey et al., 2005; Rinaman, 1999b) and mice (Lachey et al., 2005) following LiCl treatment. However, while blockade of central GLP-1 signalling reduces the hypophagic effect of LiCl in rats (Rinaman, 1999a), GLP-1 receptor signalling is not required for LiCl-induced hypophagia or conditioned taste aversion in mice (Lachey et al., 2005). Furthermore, and similar to the pharmacological effects of exogenous PrRP delivered into the brain in rats, chemogenetic activation of GLP-1 neurons in mice suppresses food intake without disrupting the behavioural satiety sequence and does not support conditioned flavour avoidance (Brierley et al., 2021; Gaykema et al., 2017). Results from parallel chemogenetic studies in rats have not been reported, but the chemogenetic data in mice are inconsistent with earlier pharmacological studies in rats and mice, in which central administration of GLP-1 or agonist does support conditioned flavour avoidance (Kinzig et al., 2002; Lachey et al., 2005; Rinaman, 1999a). Additional research will be necessary to reconcile these differences, but the available data suggest that central GLP-1 signalling plays a larger role in behavioural responses to malaise-inducing interoceptive stressors in rats than in mice.

As indicated at the beginning of this section, GLP-1 and PrRP neurons within the cNTS are highly responsive to psychogenic
stressors. For example, restraint stress activates GLP-1 neurons in both rats and mice (Holt, Richards, et al., 2019; Maniscalco et al., 2015; Terrill et al., 2019). Similarly, restraint activates PrRP neurons in rats (Adachi et al., 2005; Maruyama et al., 2001; Sun et al., 2005) and increases PrRP mRNA expression within the rat cNTS (Mera et al., 2007). PrRP neurons also express cFos in rats following acute footshock (Morales & Sawchenko, 2003), following brief exposure to an elevated open platform (Maniscalco et al., 2015) or following exposure to conditioned fear cues (Edwards et al., 2021; Yoshida et al., 2014; Zhu & Onaka, 2003). Conversely, while GLP-1 neurons also are activated in rats after elevated platform exposure (Maniscalco et al., 2015), exposure of rats to conditioned fear cues (e.g. previously neutral cues that were paired with footshock) activates PrRP but not GLP-1 neurons within the cNTS (Edwards et al., 2021; Maniscalco et al., 2015). As such, conditioned fear cues appear to be the only type of psychogenic stress stimulus examined thus far which does not lead to co-activation of PrRP and GLP-1 neurons in rats. Given the otherwise overwhelming overlap in GLP-1 and PrRP neural sensitivity to psychogenic stressors, the differential responses of these neurons to conditioned fear cues is somewhat surprising. However, as compared to unconditioned primary stressors (e.g. restraint or footshock), conditioned fear cues and other types of secondary stressors are likely to engage different, if partially overlapping, central pathways. Further research is needed to understand the potential implications of the relatively higher sensitivity of PrRP versus GLP-1 neurons to conditioned stressors.

With the exceptions noted above, the available evidence indicates that GLP-1 and PrRP neurons within the cNTS generally are co-activated after several distinct types of interoceptive and psychogenic stress, suggesting that these neural populations share similar inputs and/or that one neural population is functionally ‘downstream’ of the other. The idea that one cell population recruits the other is discussed in more detail in Section 6. Importantly, while neural activation (i.e. cFos expression) in response to stressful stimuli indicates that such stimuli recruit GLP-1 and PrRP neurons, that evidence alone does not address the question of whether peptide signalling molecules released from these neurons are necessary to drive behavioural and physiological responses to stress. The literature summarized below suggests that the answer to this question often is yes.

4.3 Hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis modulation by prolactin-releasing peptide and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1)

Activation of the endocrine HPA axis is a hallmark response to both psychogenic and interoceptive stressors (Ulrich-Lai & Herman, 2009). Corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH)-expressing neurons in the parvocellular PVN drive the release of ACTH from the anterior pituitary into the blood. ACTH elicits synthesis of corticosterone (cortisol in humans) within the adrenal cortex, which then enters the circulation. There is solid evidence to support a role for both PrRP and GLP-1 in stimulating HPA axis activity. The axon terminals of PrRP and GLP-1 neurons appear to synapse directly onto CRH neurons within the PVN (Bechtold & Luckman, 2006; Larsen et al., 1997; Liu et al., 2017; Maruyama et al., 2001; Matsumoto et al., 2000; Sarkar et al., 2003) and these CRH neurons express receptors for GLP-1 (Li et al., 2019) and PrRP (Dodd & Luckman, 2013; Takayanagi & Onaka, 2010). This is evidence that both cNTS neural populations are well positioned to drive the HPA axis. In fact, A2 and GLP-1 neurons together make up the majority of cNTS neurons that are retrogradely labelled from the PVN (Riche et al., 1990; Rinaman et al., 1995; Sawchenko & Swanson, 1982). PrRP activates CRH neurons ex vivo and in vivo. Firstly, PrRP decreases miniature GABAergic postsynaptic currents in parvocellular PVN neurons (Ma et al., 2009), likely including the CRH subpopulation. Secondly, CRH is released from hypothalamic explants in response to PrRP (Seal et al., 2002), and thirdly, intracerebroventricular (i.c.v.) injection of PrRP leads to cFos expression in CRH neurons (Bechtold & Luckman, 2006; Matsumoto et al., 2000). Similarly, GLP-1 activates PVN CRH neurons ex vivo and in vivo: (1) GLP-1 receptor signalling increases the firing frequency of PVN neurons (Acuna-Goycolea & van den Pol, 2004), (2) optogenetic activation of GLP-1 neuron terminals elicits excitatory postsynaptic currents in PVN CRH neurons (Liu et al., 2017) and (3) chemogenetic activation of GLP-1 neurons, as well as i.c.v. injection of GLP-1, leads to cFos expression in CRH neurons (Larsen et al., 1997; Liu et al., 2017). Additionally, i.c.v. administration of either neuropeptide leads to increased plasma levels of ACTH and corticosterone (Gil-Lozano et al., 2010; Kinzig et al., 2003; Larsen et al., 1997; Matsumoto et al., 2000; Mera et al., 2007; Seal et al., 2002), effects which are mediated by CRH signalling (Gil-Lozano et al., 2010; Matsumoto et al., 2000). Interestingly, HPA axis activation is enhanced by central co-administration of noradrenaline and PrRP (Maruyama et al., 2001; Uchida et al., 2010), suggesting that these co-expressed transmitters act in concert to increase stress hormone release. A similar interaction has been reported between GLP-1 and the major co-transmitter of GLP-1 neurons, glutamate: patch clamp electrophysiological recordings and evidence from behavioural experiments suggest that GLP-1 signalling enhances trafficking of AMPA/Kainate receptors into the membrane of CRH neurons in the PVN, thereby facilitating glutamatergic signalling (Liu et al., 2017).

Several additional lines of evidence suggest that endogenous GLP-1 and PrRP signalling contributes to stress-induced activation of the HPA axis. First, central injection of PrRP antibodies is sufficient to prevent stress- or nociception-induced activation of PVN neurons (Mera et al., 2006; Zhu & Onaka, 2003), supporting our findings that selective lesioning of noradrenergic cNTS neurons with axonal inputs to the PVN (which include PrRP inputs) attenuates PVN activation and reduces plasma corticosterone levels in rats following CCK, LPS or LiCl treatment (Bienkowski & Rinaman, 2008; Rinaman, 2003; Rinaman & Dzmura, 2007). Second, mice lacking PrRP display no increase in plasma ACTH following re-exposure to a conditioned fear stimulus (Yoshida et al., 2014) and GPR10 knockout mice have reduced levels of plasma corticosterone following a hypoglycaemic challenge (Laurent et al., 2005). Conversely, PrRP knockout mice displayed normal increases in PVN cFos and plasma ACTH, but blunted
corticosterone release, in response to restraint stress (Mochiduki et al., 2010). These seemingly inconsistent findings indicate that additional research will be required to clarify the role of endogenous PrRP in HPA axis regulation. Regarding GLP-1, mice lacking GLP-1 receptors within the PVN display reduced plasma corticosterone responses following restraint stress (Ghosal et al., 2017), whereas whole-organism knockout of Gp1r promotes increased plasma corticosterone responses to stress (Maclusky et al., 2000), suggesting that the effect of GLP-1 signalling on corticosterone levels depends on the site of action. A relatively recent study found that chemogenetic activation of GLP-1 neurons was by itself insufficient to increase plasma levels of corticosterone in mice (Gaykema et al., 2017). Interestingly, selective knockdown of Gp1r in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis enhanced the corticosterone response to restraint stress in rats, suggesting that GLP-1 signalling in this nucleus may provide a negative feedback signal that limits the extent or duration of the neuroendocrine stress response (Zheng et al., 2019). Considered together, these findings provide compelling yet inconclusive evidence that central GLP-1 and PrRP signalling pathways contribute to stress-induced HPA axis activation.

4.4 | Sympathetic activation by glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and prolactin-releasing peptide (PrRP)

Following exposure to a current or imminent threat, the brain rapidly increases sympathetic outflow and suppresses vagal tone, resulting in increased heart rate and blood pressure, hyperthermia and hyperglycaemia. Similarly, administration of either GLP-1 or PrRP into the brain increases heart rate, blood pressure and body temperature (Barragán et al., 1999; Beiroa et al., 2014; Davis & Grill, 2018; Ellacott et al., 2003; Horiuichi et al., 2002; Lawrence et al., 2000, 2004; Lee et al., 2018; Lockie et al., 2012; Samson et al., 2000; Yamada et al., 2009; Yamamoto et al., 2002), most likely via a combination of reduced vagal tone and increased sympathetic outflow (Baggio et al., 2017; Ellacott et al., 2003; Griffioen et al., 2011; Holt et al., 2020; Lee et al., 2018; Yamamoto et al., 2002). Similarly, chemogenetic activation of GLP-1 neurons increases heart rate in anaesthetised and in awake, behaving mice (Holt et al., 2020), and direct application of GLP-1 to the thoracic spinal cord (T7-T8) is sufficient to increase heart rate in mice (Holt et al., 2020). Thus, the known spinal projections of cNTS GLP-1 neurons may serve to increase sympathetic outflow (Llewellyn-Smith et al., 2015), although it is likely not the only contributing pathway. The cardiovascular effects of PrRP appear to be dependent on downstream signalling through CRH neurons, as well as PrRP signalling via the neuropeptide FF2 receptor rather than through GPR10 (Ma et al., 2009; Yamada et al., 2009), although interpretation of these pharmacological data should be strengthened by using selective GPR10 and neuropeptide FF2 receptor knockout strategies. Microinjection of PrRP into the ventrolateral medulla is sufficient to increase heart rate, blood pressure and sympathetic nerve activity in rats (Horiuichi et al., 2002). Interestingly, chronic ablation or acute chemogenetic inhibition of GLP-1 neurons has no measurable effect on baseline heart rate or mean arterial blood pressure in mice (Holt et al., 2020), whereas PVN GLP-1 receptors mediate restraint stress-induced increases in heart rate in rats (Ghosal et al., 2017). Thus, central GLP-1 and PrRP signalling pathways serve to increase cardiovascular outflow and may do so specifically in response to stressful stimuli.

4.5 | Role of central glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and prolactin-releasing peptide (PrRP) in behavioural stress responses

Along with neuroendocrine and autonomic responses, stress elicits substantial changes in motivated behaviour, including suppressed feeding, reduced exploration and increased vigilance (Holt & Trapp, 2016; Maniscalco & Rinaman, 2017, 2018). When injected into the brain, both GLP-1 and PrRP robustly suppress food intake (Lawrence et al., 2000; Turton et al., 1996). While the physiological significance of those pharmacological data are unclear, additional evidence points to a role for endogenous central GLP-1 in stress-induced hypophagia. For example, while disruption of GLP-1 signalling from cNTS neurons does not alter ad libitum feeding at dark onset in mice (Brierley et al., 2021; Cheng et al., 2020; Holt, Richards, et al., 2019), central GLP-1 receptor signalling is necessary for stress-induced hypophagia in both rats and mice (Maniscalco et al., 2015; Terrill et al., 2018, 2019; Williams et al., 2018), and chemogenetic inhibition of GLP-1 neurons rescues stress-induced suppression in food intake in mice (Holt, Richards, et al., 2019). Given the availability of a transgenic Prlh-Cre mouse (Dodd et al., 2014), future studies could use a similar chemogenetic approach to examine whether PrRP signalling from the cNTS also contributes to stress-induced hypophagia. In this regard, chemogenetic inhibition of PrRP neurons could help confirm and extend published evidence that PrRP knockdown in the cNTS reduces cancer-related hypophagia (Navarro I Batista et al., 2020), whereas LPS-induced hypophagia persists in whole-body GPR10 knockout mice (Bechtold & Luckman, 2006).

In addition to the apparent role of central GLP-1 in stress-induced hypophagia, GLP-1 signalling is implicated in other stress-relevant behaviours. For example, stimulation of GLP-1 receptors in the central amygdala or supramammillary nucleus reduces the time that rats spend in the centre of an open field, interpreted as an increase in anxiety-like avoidance behaviour (Kinzig et al., 2003; López-Ferreras et al., 2020). Interestingly, Gp1r knockout in the supramammillary nucleus produced the opposite (i.e. anxiolytic) effect in the open field assay in female rats, but not in males (López-Ferreras et al., 2020). In another study, knockdown of Gp1r in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis suppressed light-enhanced acoustic startle responses in male rats (females were not examined) (Zheng et al., 2019), consistent with a reduced level of ‘anxiety’. Similar investigations have not been reported for PrRP, although one paper reported that whole-body GPR10 knockout had no impact on the behaviour of mice in several tests designed to assess anxiety-like behaviours (Laurent et al., 2005). Collectively these data point to a role for GLP-1 in driving stress-
induced behavioural changes, while additional work will be necessary to explore a similar role for PrRP neurons.

5 | AFFERENT INPUTS UNDERLYING PSYCHOGENIC STRESS-INDUCED ACTIVATION OF GLP-1 AND PRRP NEURONS

The neural pathways mediating the activation of PrRP and GLP-1 neurons in response to psychogenic stress are largely unknown. Central sources of axonal input to the region of the cNTS that contains PrRP and GLP-1 neurons are similar in rats and mice, and arise from every major division of the CNS (Holt, Pomeranz, et al., 2019; van der Kooy et al., 1984; Veening et al., 1984). We recently utilized Cre-conditional viral tracing approaches in mice to identify sources of input that specifically target GLP-1 neurons in the cNTS (Holt, Pomeranz, et al., 2019). Documented sources of direct synaptic input to GLP-1 neurons include primary vagal afferents in the nodose ganglion, of which a large proportion express receptors for oxytocin (Brierley et al., 2021), other NTS neurons, spinal sensory neurons in the dorsal horn and neurons within the PVN, lateral hypothalamic area, parasubthalamic nucleus, lateral division of the central nucleus of the amygdala, bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, Barrington’s nucleus, raphé nuclei, periaqueductal grey, Kölliker-Fuse nucleus, area postrema and medullary reticular formation. Additional sources of indirect input to GLP-1 neurons include the prefrontal cortex, hippocampal formation, thalamus, basomedial amygdala and spinal trigeminal nucleus. These polysynaptic inputs presumably are relayed through one or more of the aforementioned sources of monosynaptic input to GLP-1 neurons. While the excitatory versus inhibitory nature of most of these inputs remains to be determined, neurons within the lateral hypothalamus, PVN, and Barrington’s nucleus that target the cNTS were found to express cFos in mice after restraint stress (Holt, Pomeranz, et al., 2019), perhaps contributing to stress-induced activation of postsynaptic GLP-1 neurons. In this regard, neurons in the lateral central nucleus of the amygdala that provide synaptic input to the cNTS do not express cFos in mice after restraint stress. These neurons presumably are GABAergic, based on evidence in rats (Saha et al., 2000), and so their lack of activation is consistent with the concurrent state of GLP-1 neural activation. Interestingly, however, neurons within the medial amygdala (which do not directly innervate the cNTS) are necessary for the ability of conditioned fear cues to activate PrRP neurons (Yoshida et al., 2014). This circuit from medial amygdala to PrRP neurons within the cNTS is not yet defined, but could include a relay through GLP-1 neurons that receive polysynaptic input from the basomedial amygdala (Holt, Pomeranz, et al., 2019).

It will be important to identify central sources of input to the cNTS that commonly or uniquely target GLP-1 and PrRP neurons. Studies using conditional viral tracing approaches to isolate sources of synaptic input to GLP-1 neurons have not been performed in rats and similar studies examining inputs to PrRP neurons have not been performed in either species. We do know that vagal afferent neurons in the nodose ganglia provide direct synaptic input to GLP-1 neurons (Brierley et al., 2021; Hisadome et al., 2010; Holt, Pomeranz, et al., 2019) and also to A2 neurons, the latter presumably including PrRP neurons (Appleyard et al., 2007; Rinaman et al., 1989), although it is not known whether individual vagal afferent neurons target both cNTS populations. In mouse in vitro slice preparation, glutamatergic visceral afferent signals produce tightly synced, large-amplitude excitatory postsynaptic currents in A2 and GLP-1 neurons, providing high-fidelity transmission of sensory nerve activity (Appleyard et al., 2007; Hisadome et al., 2010).

6 | ANATOMICAL AND FUNCTIONAL EVIDENCE FOR A DIRECT LINK BETWEEN GLP-1 AND PRRP NEURONS

The evidence discussed above outlines a variety of central and peripheral sources of input to GLP-1 and PrRP neurons that may mediate interoceptive and psychogenic stress-induced activation of these cell populations. In addition, substantial local connectivity exists within the cNTS and the clear overlap in the sensitivity of GLP-1 and PrRP neurons to stressful stimuli suggests that one neural population could be functionally downstream of the other. While this question has not been directly addressed using electrophysiological approaches, we summarise here previous findings pointing to a direct link between GLP-1 and PrRP neurons.

In our recent study using rabies and pseudorabies viruses to map mono- and polysynaptic inputs to GLP-1 neurons in mice (Holt, Pomeranz, et al., 2019), we found no evidence for either direct or indirect input arising from TH+ cNTS neurons, which would include PrRP neurons (Holt, Pomeranz, et al., 2019). It currently is unknown whether GLP-1 neurons express the PrRP receptor (GPR10). Conversely, PrRP neurons express GLP-1 receptor mRNA in rats (Card et al., 2018), consistent with other evidence that TH+ neurons within the cNTS express GLP-1 receptors (Cork et al., 2015), and also consistent with evidence that TH+ neurons within the cNTS and PrRP-positive neurons are closely apposed by GLP-1 fibres and terminals (Card et al., 2018; Llewellyn-Smith et al., 2013).

A limitation of both in situ hybridisation and genetic models is the inability to determine the subcellular localisation of the GLP-1 receptor protein. Based on results using a now well-validated GLP-1 receptor antibody, 7F38A2 (Jensen et al., 2018), a recent report stated (albeit without showing the evidence) that GLP-1 receptor protein was not colocalized with PrRP immunolabeling within the rat cNTS (Gabery et al., 2020). It is possible that GLP-1 receptors do not accumulate in the soma but instead are transported to the distal processes of PrRP neurons. In support of this, a recent study of the ultrastructural localisation of GLP-1 receptor protein demonstrated very little on cell bodies within the NTS of male rats (Farkas et al., 2020). While the collective data reviewed above indicate that PrRP neurons express GLP-1 receptor mRNA and are anatomically poised to respond to GLP-1 released by fibres and terminals in close proximity, it remains unclear whether such signalling occurs within the...
cNTS (e.g. at the cell body or local dendrites) and/or at distant locations where GLP-1 and PrRP axon terminal fields are co-distributed (e.g. within the PVN). An additional interesting question is whether the small population of PrRP-expressing neurons in the dorsomedial hypothalamus express Glp1r and receive close appositions from GLP-1 neurons. This is a compelling idea, based on three key pieces of evidence: (1) the dorsomedial hypothalamus is perhaps the densest innervation target of NTS GLP-1 neurons (Llewellyn-Smith et al., 2011), (2) dorsomedial hypothalamic PrRP neurons drive thermogenesis (Dodd et al., 2014) and (3) dorsomedial hypothalamic GLP-1 receptor stimulation increases body temperature (Lee et al., 2018). Future studies using transgenic Prlh-Cre mice (Dodd et al., 2014) could help to address whether dorsomedial hypothalamic PrRP neurons mediate the thermogenic effects of central GLP-1, perhaps playing a role in the thermogenic effects of acute stress.

In support of a functional role for a GLP-1-to-PrRP signalling pathway, we reported that central (i.c.v.) administration of a selective GLP-1 receptor antagonist (exendin-9) significantly reduced the ability of restraint stress to activate PrRP neurons in rats (Maniscalco et al., 2013). The current lack of selective antagonists for GPR10 makes it challenging to similarly examine whether endogenous PrRP signalling can recruit GLP-1 neurons and their downstream targets. However, indirect evidence addressing this issue is provided by the observation that neurochemically selective destruction of A2 neurons in the cNTS (including those that express PrRP) does not block the ability of a large systemic dose of CCK to activate GLP-1 neurons (Rinaman, 2003). Considered together, these data support the view that while GLP-1 and PrRP neurons appear to have many common sources of input, PrRP neurons also are downstream of GLP-1 neurons, whereas the converse may not be true.

7 | CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

We have presented here the current evidence that GLP-1 and PrRP neurons are similarly sensitive to a wide range of interoceptive and psychogenic stressors, and that these neurons contribute to stress-induced neuroendocrine, behavioural and autonomic changes (Figure 2). We discuss the hypothesis that PrRP neurons are likely to be downstream of GLP-1 neurons, perhaps explaining their partially overlapping sensitivity to stressful stimuli and their generally similar functional contributions to stress responses. Based on decades of research, however, it is unlikely that GLP-1 and PrRP neurons strictly and only are important under conditions of stress. Indeed, both cell populations respond to non-stressful feeding-related sensory feedback (Table 1) and both populations contribute to suppression of food intake under certain conditions that presumably are not stressful (Dodd & Luckman, 2013; Holt, Richards, et al., 2019). We also do not argue that PrRP and GLP-1 neurons are necessary for coordinating all aspects of stress responsiveness. Instead, the evidence we presented help to establish working hypotheses regarding potentially unique roles played by these molecularly distinct populations of cNTS neurons, and how these roles are integrated within the broader and more complex array of neural systems that organize behavioural and physiological responses to real and perceived threats to homeostatic
well-being. While the role of endogenous GLP-1 has been relatively well-studied through genetic mouse models and the use of pharmacological, chemogenetic and optogenetic approaches, comparatively less is known regarding the physiological conditions during which endogenous PrRP signalling is important. The availability of Prlh-Cre mice (Dodd et al., 2014) as well as a recently reported adeno-associated virus for knocking down PrRP expression in rats (Navarro I Batista et al., 2020) will undoubtedly facilitate these investigations and may further elucidate the role of the apparent GLP-1-to-PrRP pathway. In addition, the potential differential contribution of distinct subpopulations of cNTS PrRP and GLP-1 neurons in different aspects of stress responses, that is, endocrine versus behavioural, is currently unknown.

Several aspects of the basic science research summarized in this review remain largely unexplored, including the translational value of these preclinical findings for human stress response coordination and how we might leverage available data from mice and rats in order to develop new pharmacological approaches to treat stress-related clinical disorders. Translation to humans is hampered by a variety of factors, including (1) the prevalent but incorrect view that because clinical signs of stress and anxiety are rarely observed in humans receiving systemic delivery of GLP-1 receptor agonists (e.g. liraglutide, Byetta; Bode et al., 2010; O’Neil et al., 2017; Strawn et al., 2008), it follows that central GLP-1 signalling pathways are a poor therapeutic target for stress-related disorders and (2), the inherent difficulty of studying the function of PrRP and other neuropeptidergic systems in humans. It is possible that while peripheral delivery of GLP-1 receptor agonists has largely beneficial cardiovascular effects in humans (Oyama & Node, 2014; Sun et al., 2015), central GLP-1 may contribute to elevated cardiovascular risk in chronically stressed individuals. This also leaves us with the question of whether PrRP and GLP-1 neurons are involved in neural maladaptations to chronic stress, an arguably more translationally relevant condition than the brief interoceptive and psychogenic stressors discussed in this review. There is relatively little research on how chronic stress exposure affects PrRP and GLP-1 neurons in rodents, but we do know that (1) chronic stress induces long-lasting activation of cNTS neurons (Flak et al., 2012), including A2 neurons, (2) central stress modulates GLP-1 expression in the cNTS via glucocorticoid receptor signalling (Zhang et al., 2010) and (3) PrRP mRNA (and TH) levels increase in response to repeated restraint stress in male rats (Toth et al., 2008). Additional focused research is needed to establish a role for both neuropeptides in the maladaptive consequences of chronic stress exposure, including cardiovascular pathologies and affective disorders.

7.1 Nomenclature of targets and ligands
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