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Abstract—This article presents the design of a fully-custom integrated circuit (IC) suitable for adjusting the complex impedance of individual meta-atoms to enable programmability of metamaterial systems. Implemented in a 0.18 μm mixed-signal CMOS process, the circuit utilizes four integrated complex impedance elements, one each with 216 available states. The impedance elements are optimized between 2–6 GHz, thus covering the entire S-band as well as half of the C-band. An asynchronous digital control circuit based on Quasi Delay Insensitive (QDI) circuits has been employed, offering basic communication capabilities and software programmability, but most importantly, the clockless operation allows for extreme scalability, ultra-low static power consumption and low electromagnetic (EM) radiated emissions. An array of meta-atoms utilizing the ICs can form metasurfaces with arbitrary sizes and shapes, on both rigid and flexible substrates, adjusting their surface impedance without interfering with incoming EM waves. Measurement results of the 2.2 mm × 2.2 mm IC demonstrate that it achieves a reconfiguration frequency of 1 MHz for all loading elements, whilst consuming 324 μW static power consumption.

Index Terms—Asynchronous circuit design, asynchronous control circuit, integrated circuit, integrated complex impedance, programmable meta-atom, programmable metasurface, quasi delay insensitive, scalable metasurface.

I. INTRODUCTION

Metamaterials are artificial structures that exhibit electromagnetic (EM) properties not found in nature. In normal materials, permittivity (ε) and permeability (μ) are mostly positive. Some metals have negative ε or negative μ at short wavelengths but there are no materials in nature having both ε and μ negative. It was initially shown in [1] that materials with negative refractive index could be engineered. The first experiments carried out in [2] and since then, metamaterials have shown extraordinary EM properties such as cloaking [3], [4], [5], superlensing [6], and improved Radio Frequency (RF) and microwave systems [7], [8], [9].

Metasurfaces (MSF) are the two-dimensional versions of metamaterials and they have also demonstrated extraordinary functionalities such as wave-front shaping [10], EM field focusing [11], anomalous reflection [12], perfect absorption [13], asymmetric transmission [14], polarization control [15] and more. Electronically tunable MSF systems have also been studied, whereby each subwavelength element, also known as meta-atom, is individually controlled by mainly lumped elements [16], [17] although other means of tunability like magnetic [18] and optical [19], [20], [21] have been explored as well. Tunability is mainly explored at the GHz range [22], [23], targeting modern telecommunication frequencies, however, systems at the THz range [24], [25], [26] are also being developed for the ultimate goal of manipulating EM waves from the visible light spectrum. Despite their rapid growth, all metamaterial and MSF systems to-date, are tailored only for a single or maybe a few applications and with limited tuning range. Some steps have been made towards programmable and reconfigurable metamaterials [27], with systems aiming to tune the metamaterial using PIN diodes [28], [29], varactor diodes [30], MEMS [31], [32] and liquid crystals [33], but these systems require bulky electronics and their programmability is limited. The limitations of metamaterials arise from the enablers themselves, since discrete component-based approaches consist of only passive components that do not have the capabilities of actively adjusting the EM settings, like an IC would do.

The next step in metamaterial systems is the real-time programmability of MSFs, whereby the metamaterial or MSF is seen as an array of meta-atoms with discrete values for both amplitude and phase. Some practical examples have been described in [34], [35], [36], [37]. A theoretical approach is described in [38] whereby using networks of custom-designed chips, the metamaterial can be programmatically adjusted via a computer interface to achieve such high performance. A design approach of a software-defined metasurface is presented in [39]. Even though this is the natural progress of research, there are many restrictions and constraints for the design of such complicated, multidisciplinary metamaterial. They arise from both the application at high frequencies and the limitations in state-of-art manufacturing processes. The restrictions and constraints are explored throughout the article.
In this article, the design and implementation of a custom Integrated Circuit (IC) for programmable MSFs is presented. The IC incorporates integrated impedance Loading Elements (LE) including integrated inductors, which adjust the surface impedance of an MSF meta-atom. The chip also includes digital circuits for inter-chip communication with neighboring chips. The bias voltages of the LEs are conveyed digitally to the chips, and the internal Digital-to-Analog Converters (DAC) convert them to analog values and set the bias voltage of the LE lumped components. The surface impedance of each meta-atom is controlled locally in a decentralized approach. Moreover, the surface impedance of an MSF is programmatically controlled by controlling both amplitude and phase of an array of meta-atoms that utilize the capabilities of the ICs.

A. General Structure of the Programmable Meta-Atom

The general structure of a meta-atom utilizing the IC, is shown in Fig. 1, whereby the IC is part of an example meta-atom with four square metallic patches. The MSF is considered as an array of these meta-atoms placed in a symmetrical pattern. The design of this meta-atom is presented in details in [40] describing the choices for the meta-atom’s size and shape, along with its simulated performance. Here, the IC is placed at the bottom side of the Printed Circuit Board (PCB) and through-vias are used to connect the chip’s ports to the metallic patches, which are placed at the top/front side of the PCB. At the bottom/back side, there are signal wires to convey the configuration data between the chips. The design of the PCB is described in details in [41] showing the asymmetric layer stack-up, while the manufacturing of the PCB is described in [42] detailing the techniques used to manufacture wires with 45 μm width and blind vias with 150 μm diameter on high-frequency substrates. Although the presented system is simple and symmetric, there are important constraints added to the design of the IC from the application and the operation at GHz frequencies, which are described below. Note that these constraints affect any type of MSF with ICs as part of their structure and not only the particular example presented in this article.

The meta-atom size affects both the size and the cost of the IC. The former limits the circuits that can be added to the chip’s footprint, thus intelligent algorithms and network systems might be restricted as the operation frequency is increasing. As an example, at 60 GHz, the meta-atom size must be 1 mm × 1 mm since \( c = \frac{\lambda}{f} \) and it is recommended to have five meta-atoms per wavelength (\( \lambda \)). In this limited footprint, the meta-atom must accommodate signal routings, vias, board-to-board connections and the IC itself. In addition, the IC must accommodate integrated LEs for tuning the surface impedance of the meta-atom, and control circuit/s for communication to convey packets between neighboring ICs. The latter (cost of IC) is increasing as the chip’s size increases. Also, the MSF requires thousands of ICs to cover a large surface. For example, to construct a 1 m × 1 m MSF with meta-atoms of 1 mm × 1 mm, one would require 1000\(^2\) chips! As can be seen, there is a direct trade-off between the die cost and the complexity of the control circuit, where the designers have to consider during the early stages of the design.

Following the IC size, the package affects the performance of the LEs and the cost of the packaged die. Typical die packages include wire bonding the die to the package, introducing parasitics, especially inductance, between the pads and the package. For most general applications, the added inductance is insignificant to the performance of the circuit but, as the LEs are sensitive RF circuits, any parasitics added will change the impedance range significantly. This issue, is minimized with the use of Wafer Level Chip Scale Packaging [43] or WLCSP for short. This package technology has die pads with solder spheres added at the wafer-level and can be directly bonded to the printed circuit boards, with arrays of meta-atoms. This package also keeps the size of the packaged chip the same as the bare die whilst most of the packaging technologies increase the size of the bare die. Finally, with WLCSP, the wire-bond procedure is not required thus at large quantities, the cost is lowered. However, the WLCSP technology limits the available I/O pins. For example, the state-of-the-art WLCSP technology at the time of this writing, allows a total of twenty-five pins for a 2 mm × 2 mm die. As it is shown in Section II, it was necessary to use serial communication between the ICs to accommodate for this issue.

Finally, the meta-atom design should not include any other external component besides the IC because any external component that is placed on the meta-atom, would require large quantities and there needs to be enough space to include them on the MSF. By avoiding other components on the PCB, the cost of the MSF manufacturing and population on the PCB is minimized while at the same time increasing MSF reliability.

B. Inter-Chip Communication With Asynchronous Circuits

The vast majority of state-of-the-art MSFs use global tuning of their meta-atoms, imposing limitations to their systems because of the lack of local control over the MSF impedance. To achieve programmability, variable LEs must be included locally, to control the impedance of each meta-atom. By interconnecting chips with communication capabilities in all meta-atoms, each meta-atom can be adjusted accordingly to manipulate the incidence EM wave in a reconfigurable way. This implies that
for high frequency EM applications, a large number of chips has to be interconnected, resulting in a challenging and unique configuration with high chances of electrical and mechanical failures. One of the main requirements that arises, is to be able to dynamically scale the grid array so that it can be adopted by arbitrary MSF sizes and also allow dynamic board-to-board expansion. This will enable MSF systems that can dynamically cover irregular shaped surfaces, using a flexible MS that has numerous chips embedded in the system, to execute various reconﬁgurable functionalities, by receiving commands from a dedicated computer software. Finally, all these requirements impact the cost, power, EM noise and speed of the implementations.

As evident by tunable MSF systems [44], [45] they require bulky electronics e.g., control stations, and in conjunction with the high power consuming discrete elements, the power consumption is in the order of Watts at best for an MSF of a few square centimeters.

Fig. 2 illustrates a possible conﬁguration of a scalable system, using the presented IC, that can be adopted to eliminate the previously described constraints. The example system is divided in tiles, with each tile having a 4 × 4 array of ICs (as an example for illustration purposes). Notice that each dot represents an IC or a meta-atom. At the edges of each tile there are connectors that allow connections between the tiles. Also, all edge-connectors have power and ground connections to allow distribution of the supply from many locations, if required. The input port to the tile is located at the bottom left corner whereby a digital device like a ﬁeld programmable gate array (FPGA) sends the conﬁguration bits to the MSF. This tile conﬁguration can be a simple shift-register with its size analogous to the number of chips. The input port to the shift-register is located at the bottom-left corner where the ﬁrst chip of the MSF is located.

The main contributions of this article are summarized as follows. Firstly, a detailed asynchronous, transistor-level, control circuit design is presented. Secondly, an elaborate on the testing methodology and the design’s measured performance.
The IC architecture proposed in this article can be adopted by a variety of MSFs as long as the PCB consists of the appropriate wiring to connect the ICs together in a network configuration and the connections between the metal patches and the LEs. The ICs can be easily programmed through software, allowing easy migration to various MSF systems.

III. CIRCUIT DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION

A. RF Loading Elements

The LEs are designed to operate at the sub-6 GHz frequency. The choice of the impedance tuning range is set for perfect absorption operation at 5 GHz frequency however, the circuits provide decent tuning range for lower frequencies as well. Fig. 4 shows the schematic diagram of the circuit. A MOSFET varistor (M1) and a MOSFET varactor (M2) are the main components for creating the complex impedance required at the output port. The two are placed in parallel configuration. A DC block capacitor (C1) is placed in series with M1 to prevent the capacitance bias voltage (VC) from shorting out through M1. VR is the bias voltage of M1. Lastly, the RF choke inductor (L1) is used to bias the M2 without affecting the total impedance. The varactor M2 imposes a limiting factor for the available impedance range because it affects both its real and imaginary part. As explained in details in [34] the quality factor of the varactor, affects the equivalent parallel resistance of the circuit. In [51], it was

Fig. 3. Architecture of the complex impedance IC.
shown experimentally that the quality factor can be improved by breaking the varactor in fingers and reducing the length of each finger. This is caused by the n-well resistance reduction. Another limiting factor for the circuit is the size of the inductor since in integrated bulk processes, there is not much space for high quality inductors. Its size is chosen to fit between the pads with some clearance for routing and to possess high equivalent parallel inductance and resistance. The DC block capacitor is implemented using multiple MiM (Metal-Insulator-Metal) capacitors in parallel, because they offer better performance and smaller layout compared to their MoM (Metal-Oxide-Metal) alternatives.

B. Asynchronous Shift Register + Digital-to-Analog Converters

The IC operates using a Dual Rail (DR) representation for the data with a ‘1-of-2’ asynchronous communication protocol to implement a quasi-delay-insensitive (QDI) scheme [52]. This circuit methodology has the advantage of making timing assumptions on the propagation delay of the signals that fan-out to multiple gates, but it makes no assumptions on gate delays. The circuit uses three types of asymmetric C-elements, which are shown in Fig. 5. The C-element of Fig. 5(a) outputs a logic ‘1’ when all four inputs (A, B, C, D) are logic ‘1’. However, it outputs a logic ‘0’ when inputs A and B are ‘0’ with C and D being ‘don’t cares’ for a ‘1’ to ‘0’ transition. The feedback inverter holds the output until one of the two cases described above is presented at the inputs. For any other case, the output is kept to its previous value. Input ‘rp’ corresponds to the negative triggered reset signal for the C-element. When the ‘rp’ signal is of logic ‘0’, the p-type transistor is turned-on and forces the output to become ‘0’. To avoid conflict between logic ‘1’ and ‘0’, in case the C-element is outputting a ‘1’ while a reset is forced, signal ‘rn’ disconnects the ground from the n-type transistors path during reset. The C-element of Fig. 5(b) outputs a logic ‘1’ when input B is ‘1’, ignoring input A. On the other hand, it outputs a logic ‘0’ when both A and B are ‘0’. For any other case, the output holds its previous state. The third asymmetric C-element used is shown in Fig. 5(c) and it requires all three inputs to be either logic ‘1’ or logic ‘0’ for the output to become ‘1’ or ‘0’ respectively. For any other case, the output holds its previous state. This C-element has reset signals ‘rp’ and ‘rn’ as in the case of the circuit in Fig. 5(a).

The CC is shown in Fig. 6. The input signals (‘in.t’ and ‘in.f’) are connected to an OR gate (OR1), generating the request signal when the input signals have valid tokens. A valid token is considered for cases ‘01’, ‘10’ and ‘11’ although case ‘11’ is ‘illegal’ in the DR communication and by definition not used under any circumstances. In parallel, the input signals go to the input of the first, out of sixty-four D-flip-flops connected in series configuration forming a shift-register. All flip-flops are enabled when a new valid token arrives and pushes all stored bits to the right by one position. The sixty-fourth bit moves to the output of the CC and enters as input to the next CC. The delay block (DELAY1), matches the delay of the propagation time of the flip-flop path, so that signal ‘Q64’ is guaranteed to reach the C-element (C1) before signal ‘in_v’ does. C-elements C3 and C4 are used for generating acknowledge and returning all signals to their starting/zero state. Specifically, C3 generates acknowledge for the previous stage (‘in.a’), when a token is received by this stage (OR1 outputs ‘1’) and an acknowledge signal from the successor stage has come back and reached C3 (‘out.a’ is ‘1’). Notice that C4 outputs high at the beginning of a cycle. For the signals to return to their reset state and become available for new tokens, ‘out.a’ and ‘en’ become ‘0’, making C1 and C2 output ‘0’. The output token becomes empty (case ‘00’) and eventually makes ‘out.a’ ‘0’ and ‘en’ ‘1’. Signal ‘in.a’ becomes ‘0’ when the previous stage issues empty token, which means ‘in.t’ and ‘in.f’ became ‘0’. Signals ‘Q1…Q64’ are directly connected to the inputs of eight DACs for their conversion to an analog bias voltage for the impedance LEs. The DAC design in illustrated in Fig. 7. The resistor string is divided in two segments whereby one segment, namely ‘coarse resistor string’, is used for the four most significant bits and the other segment, namely ‘fine resistor string’, is used for the four least significant bits. Each segment is read individually and at the end the two segments form the 8-bit digital word.

The ‘coarse resistor string’ is implemented with sixteen identical resistors (CR0-15) with value equal to 5K Ohms each. The voltage is divided as (Vref+ − Vref−)/16 where Vref+ and Vref− are the positive and negative voltage references respectively. Each of the sixteen nodes is connected to two analog switches implemented as transmission gates and serve as a two to one multiplexer. The four input bits for the most significant bits of the 8-bit input word, namely ‘Digital 4-7 or D47’, are decoded by a 4:16 decoder and close one of the switches (CSO-15) according to the value of the input bits. When the switch closes, the voltage across the corresponding resistor is transferred to the ‘fine resistor string’ as the VH and VL voltages which set the high and low voltages of the ‘fine resistor string’ respectively. The ‘fine resistor string’ is implemented with sixteen identical resistors (FR0-15) with value equal to 20K Ohms each. As a result, the voltage is further divided to a total of 256 segments. A second 4:16 decoder decodes the input bits for the least significant bits of the 8-bit input word, namely ‘Digital 0-3 or D03’, and one of the switches (FR0-14) closes to form the output analog voltage. Note that in parallel to the ‘FR’ switches, there are dummy switches which are always ON in order to compensate the impedance of the switches from the ‘coarse resistor string’. The output voltage
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**Fig. 5.** Asymmetric Muller-C elements. (a) Inputs ‘A’ and ‘B’ are complementary contributing to both rising and falling transitions while inputs ‘C’ and ‘D’ contribute only to the rising transition. ‘Rn’ and ‘Rp’ are reset inputs. (b) Input ‘A’ contributes only to the falling transition while input ‘B’ contributes to both rising and falling transition. (c) Inputs ‘A’, ‘B’ and ‘C’ contribute to both transitions. ‘Rn’ and ‘Rp’ are reset inputs.

**Fig. 6.** Detailed schematic of the chip’s control circuit.

**Fig. 7.** Circuit diagram of the digital-to-analog converter circuit.

is calculated by the following expression:

\[
\text{DAC}_{\text{out}} = \Delta V \times \left( \frac{(D47)_{10}}{16} \right) + \Delta V \times \left( \frac{(D03)_{10}}{256} \right)
\]  

(1)

where \( \text{DAC}_{\text{out}} \) is the analog output voltage in Volts, \((D47)_{10}\) is the decimal value of the four most significant bits, \((D03)_{10}\) is the decimal value of the four least significant bits and \( \Delta V = V_{\text{ref}} + V_{\text{ref}} \). As an example, the binary word \((01101100)_{2}\) with supply of 1.8 V and 0 V has the following analog value:

\[
D47 = 0110 \Rightarrow (D47)_{10} = 6
\]  

(2)

\[
D03 = 1100 \Rightarrow (D03)_{10} = 12
\]  

(3)

\[
\text{DAC}_{\text{out}} = (1.8 - 0) \times \left( \frac{6}{16} \right) + (1.8 - 0) \times \left( \frac{12}{256} \right)
\]  

(4)

\[
= 0.7594 \text{ V}
\]  

(5)

**IV. MEASUREMENT RESULTS**

The proposed programmable complex impedance IC was designed and fabricated in 0.18 \( \mu \)m mixed-signal CMOS process technology. The design of the complete circuit includes in-house designed input/output buffers, pads and Electrostatic Discharge (ESD) protection circuits. The ESD circuit of the digital I/O consists of a Silicon-Controlled Rectifier (SCR) based circuit [53].
including a series resistor to limit the current and a secondary device for extra protection at low voltages. The RF terminals utilize only the SCR circuit without the series resistor and secondary device, to minimize the added resistance and capacitance to the LEs. Fig. 8 shows the chip microphotograph. The missing pad is intentionally left empty to create a visual asymmetry so that the die orientation is easily recognizable. Since the IC consists of analog, digital and RF circuits, it was necessary to use multiple tools for simulating the individual parts. The DACs and the CC were implemented using full-custom design in Cadence and were simulated at transistor-level with Spectre simulation platform. The RF parts of the IC i.e., the LEs, were designed and simulated using Cadence and verified in Keysight ADS. The total die area is 2.2 mm × 2.2 mm including package since it is at wafer level with WLCSP technology. Both core and I/O circuits operate at a 1.8 V power supply.

The experimental results of the IC are divided in two parts: Section IV-A presents the test-setup and measurement results of the RF LEs, showing the achieved complex impedance range of the IC. Section IV-B to IV-F present the test-setup and measurement results for the CC and finally a comparison with various discrete-based circuits that are used to provide tunability and programmability on the meta-atoms is presented.

A. Complex Impedance Range

The test set-up for measuring the impedance range of the LEs is shown in Fig. 9. The IC was populated on a four-layer high frequency substrate material (RO4350), with the top layer being the metasurface layer with the metallic patches, the bottom layer being the communication layer with the tracks connecting the chips together, and the two intermediate layers being the supply voltage and ground. The DUT (Device-Under-Test) includes 50 Ω coplanar waveguides with ground (CPWG) transmission lines connecting the four LEs to coaxial connectors. In their turn, the connectors are connected to a four port Vector-Network-Analyzer (VNA) to acquire the scattering parameters of the signals. The use of a high frequency substrate is necessary for microwave frequencies and is relatively expensive material, however this is compensated by the chips, since they undergo design choices that favor system cost. Specifically, the chips are designed and fabricated in a mature and relatively cost-effective process technology. They utilize the WLCSP packaging technology and they operate without the need of any external components on the meta-atom (e.g., crystal oscillators).

The measurements were taken in an ESD protected environment at stable temperature (27 °C). De-embedding boards were used to compensate and remove the loading of the DUT board using the through-reflect-line method. The impedance range achieved by the measured ICs is seen on the Smith Chart of Fig. 10. The Smith Chart shows the perimeter of the impedance values measured at frequencies between 2 GHz up to 6 GHz. The area is formed by digitally controlling the output voltage of the digital-to-analog converters that bias the LEs at the VR and VC nodes. The adopted LEs have a resistance range between 25 Ω and 290 Ω whilst the capacitance range is between 1.7 pF and 4.4 pF with the optimum values for perfect
absorption at 5 GHz being \( R = 41 \Omega \) and \( C = 2.7 \text{ pF} \). The tuning range of the LEs directly affect the Smith chart coverage map, which means that any added parasitics introduced in the system, shift the coverage map. This includes the impedance added from the PCB after population of the chips. With the Smith Chart, “a microwave engineer can develop a good intuition about transmission line and impedance-matching” [54] as well as easily plot the perimeter of the available impedance points of a particular system, which is why it is preferred for the LE tuning range.

### B. Chip Latency

The latency of the proposed IC is defined as the time delay from placing a token at the input of the IC until the IC is ready to receive the next token. The measurements include the delays from the output buffers, the solder spheres and the tracks on the DUT board. Asynchronous circuits run at their maximum speed possible without being limited by any global synchronization signal i.e., the clock. Thus, the latency of the IC cannot be accurately determined by sending input tokens from an FPGA, since it samples only at specific time intervals. To do so, the following test has been performed:

A ring topology was used by taking the output data signal and inserting it back to the chip as an acknowledge signal. Also, at the input side, the acknowledge signal is going through a logic inverter and inserted back to the input as new data (Fig. 11(a)). Doing so, the IC continuously sends data to itself at the fastest rate possible. The inversion of the signal is performed by the FPGA, which also provides power to the chip and operates as a logic analyzer with a sampling rate of 400 MSamples/second to read the signal transitions. The delays added to the measurement by the FPGA and the external wires are compensated as follows. The FPGA’s output port with the inverted signal is fed back to the chip, the acknowledge signal is going through a logic inverter since they represent the acknowledge and the input data signals respectively. Consequently, the bit rate and packet frequency of the chip can be calculated by inverting the bit and packet cycles respectively. The measurements are taken at the input and output of the inverter since they represent the acknowledge and the input data signal of the IC, respectively. The results are shown in Table I.

### C. Static and Dynamic Power Consumption

To measure the power consumption of the DUT, a Source-Measure-Unit (SMU) device was used. The SMU delivers the power to the DUT and simultaneously calculates the average current drawn by the DUT. The FPGA development board sends the configuration data to the IC, which is defined by the user, via a custom written Graphical-User-Interface (GUI) and software on the PC. During static conditions, the average current measured by the SMU is 180 \( \mu A \). Static condition is defined as the state, which the IC is operational with a constant value applied on the four LEs but there is no other signal transition. The static power consumption along with the energy consumption per bit and packet delivered to the chip are summarized in Table II.

### D. Scalability Considerations

To verify that the manufactured ICs can communicate and exchange data, two DUTs, each one with a chip soldered on, have been connected in series configuration and the FPGA sends various bits at the input side of the first DUT until the sequence sent is seen at the output side of the second DUT. In this test, the chips are not running at their fastest speed since they are limited by the speed of the FPGA and the corresponding custom-written software for communication with the dual-rail asynchronous protocol. Fig. 12 shows measured results at the output of the second DUT. The sequence presented here is (AAAAAAABBBBBBBB)\text{HEX} which corresponds to alternating between the binary values ‘1’ and ‘0’. Signals ‘data.true’ and ‘data.false’ correspond to the output signals of the second chip. Signal ‘data.ack’ corresponds to the output.
TABLE IV

|                        | This work  | Ref. [28] | Ref. [29] | Ref. [30] |
|------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| **Tunability Enablers**| Integrated Loading Elements | PIN Diodes | PIN Diodes | Varactor Diodes |
| **Enable Type**        | Mixed-Signal with Asynchronous Digital IC | DC feeders | Multi-way electric source | Electronic Control System |
| **Control Type**       | De-centralized with ICs | Centralized with FPGA | Centralized with Control Station | Centralized Voltage Source |
| **Tuning Method**      | Local      | Global    | Local     | Local     |
| States per meta-atom   | 1 LE   | 2 LE   | 4 LE   | 2 $2^6$ | 2 $2^7$ | 2 $2^8$ | 205 (0.5 – 20 V) |
| Operating Frequency    | 2-6 GHz | 3.5 GHz | 7.25 GHz | 9-11 GHz |
| Static Power Consumption per meta-atom | 1 LE | 2 LE | 4 LE | ON | OFF | ON | OFF | 2.2 μW max |
|                        | 81 μW | 162 μW | 324 μW | 250 mW Negl. | 3 W Negl. |
| Dynamic Scalability    | Yes (plug-and-play) | Yes (FPGA re-cabling and re-coding) | Yes (Add more voltage sources) | Yes (Add more voltage sources) |

Fig. 12. Transient response from the output of the second chip when two chips are connected in series. The signals are sent to the chips via the FPGA. The chips are not operating at maximum speed because they are limited by the speed of the FPGA.

The acknowledge signal of the second chip. During this test, the second chip requires approximately 6.5 μs to output a packet of 64 bits. Each bit-cycle requires approximately 80 ns and is calculated from the time that the input token/signal rises until the acknowledge signal falls.

In addition to measuring the response of two chips in series configuration, the calculations for two metasurfaces are presented, showing their potential reconfiguration delay and power consumption. Table III presents the results for a 100 × 100 meta-atom surface array and the results for a 1000 × 1000 meta-atom surface array. Since these are merely calculations, measured results may deviate slightly.

E. DAC Response

Fig. 13 plots the input digital code in decimal form, versus the analog voltage produced at the output. The step size of the DAC is 7.03 mV. The worst-case settling time of the DAC is 366 ns. Note that during one packet cycle, the DAC values are unstable since the control circuit exchanges bits faster than the settling time of the DACs. Once these transient signals finish the chip operates at static conditions and each DAC draws 22 μA of current which corresponds to 39.6 μW. Thus, the power consumption from all eight DACs in one IC is 316.8 μW, which is the major power consumer circuit.

F. Comparison With Other Tunable Elements

Table IV compares various characteristics of the fabricated integrated LEs with other tunable elements. The proposed MSF “enabler” is a standalone chip containing both the LEs and the control circuit in a single die. This allows local and decentralized control of each meta-atom’s surface impedance due to the inter-chip communication capabilities. Each of the four LEs has $2^{16}$ available states, which is a huge upgrade from the discrete-component-based MSF “enablers”. The static power consumption of the chip is at the microwatts range, which makes it able to cover walls of a few square meters without power limitations. Furthermore, the ICs allow for plug-and-play expansion since they do not need to be connected on a centralized FPGA. Although all systems compared can be expanded, in the case of the IC approach, this is done by simply connecting the boards together, which can be done by users that may not be familiar with the technical aspects of the system. In addition,
the communication grid can be used on many types of MSFs because it only requires one layer on the PCB along with through-vias to connect the chips to the metallic patches. These benefits also eliminate the requirement for long cables and bulky electronics for biasing. The IC described in this article and its improved performance compared to other enabling circuits, enables a new generation of programmable MSFs that can be incorporated in telecommunication systems. A natural progress in the field of programmable MSFs, is the development of real-time programmable MSFs that will pave the way for extraordinary applications that seemed impossible until now, e.g., cloaking of moving objects, dynamic holograms and real-time manipulation of incident waves.

V. CONCLUSION

In this article, the design and implementation of a programmable complex impedance IC for MSFs is presented. The implemented programmable IC stores incoming digital bits and sets the analog bias of its integrated varistors and varactors. These in turn form complex impedances at four output ports of the IC that adjust the surface impedance of up to four meta-atoms. The procedure for changing all four LEs of a chip takes less than $1 \mu s$ and the complete IC consumes only 324 $\mu W$ during static conditions. In addition, with its ability to send and receive data to other ICs, a system can be formed which can dynamically scale and form communication grids on surfaces. The IC is a standalone device i.e., it does not rely on external circuits such as crystal oscillators or antennas to operate. This also enhances the decreased cost for the design of the overall system; this way making an IC enable MSF a promising candidate for high performance, low power, scalable and cost-effective programmable MSFs. In this work, focus is mainly given on the chip design and its features. For elaboration on the EM aspects and capabilities of metasurfaces consisting of these chips, the reader is encouraged to read [34], [35], [36], [37], [38], [39], [40], [41], [42].

The presented work paves the way towards sub-$\mu m$ scale devices to be used on metamaterials systems. The chips can control the surface impedance of up to four meta-atoms, acting as nanoscale hardware that can be used in large quantities for enabling the implementation of software-defined metamaterial systems.
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