Remarks on the mixed Ramond–Ramond, open string scattering amplitudes of BPS, non-BPS and brane–anti-brane
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Abstract From the world-sheet point of view we compute three, four and five point BPS and non-BPS scattering amplitudes of type IIA and IIB superstring theory. All these mixed S-matrix elements including a Ramond–Ramond closed string (RR) in the bulk and a scalar/gauge or tachyons with all different pictures (including an RR in asymmetric and symmetric pictures) have been carried out. We have also shown that in asymmetric pictures various equations must be kept fixed. More importantly, by direct calculations on the upper half plane, it is realised that some of the equations (which must be true) for BPS branes cannot be necessarily applied to non-BPS amplitudes. We also derive the S-matrix elements of \( \langle V^{−2}C V^{0} V^{0} V^{0} \rangle \) and clarify the fact that in the presence of the scalar field and an RR, the terms carrying momentum of an RR in the transverse directions play an important role in the entire form of the S-matrix and their presence is needed in order to have gauge invariance for the entire S-matrix elements of type IIA (IIB) superstring theory.

1 Introduction

In a very important paper [1], it has been extensively clarified that the sources for all different kinds of D-branes are Ramond–Ramond (RR) fields. It is worthwhile looking at some concrete references regarding RR fields [2,3]. Besides them, RR fields play a very crucial effect in understanding the phenomenon of dielectric branes which was first demonstrated by Myers in [4]. Having employed several RR couplings of [5], we could explore and interpret the \( N^{3} \) entropy of \( M5 \) branes as well.

It is also well known that if one wants to work with the effective actions of type IIA, IIB string theory, then one needs to deal with DBI and Chern–Simons effective actions, which can be accordingly found in [6–9].

By making use of the scattering theory of D-branes in the world volume of BPS branes in type II string theory we have also explored various new Chern–Simons couplings including their all order \( \alpha' \) corrections to the low energy effective actions of D-branes. In fact it is explained in detail that for BPS (non-BPS) branes all the corrections to D-brane effective actions can just be derived by having the entire form of S-matrix and not by any other tools like T-duality transformation. The reason for this sharp conclusion is that by having the S-matrix we are able to actually fix all the coefficients of the effective field theory couplings (and also their higher order \( \alpha' \) corrections) without any ambiguities (see [11,12] for BPS and [13,14] for non-BPS branes). In fact, all the three different ways of obtaining the couplings in the effective field theory involving pull-back, Taylor expansions and new Wess–Zumino terms (the generalisation to Myers action) have also been explained in [11].

It is emphasised in [15–17] that to get to the effective theory of non-supersymmetric cases or non-BPS branes one has to integrate out all the massive modes and needs to effectively work just with the massless strings such as scalar and gauge fields and also employ the real components of tachyon fields.

There are various motivations to perform scattering theory of all BPS and non-BPS branes; basically one of the main reasons to employ it, is to actually have the entire form of S-matrix elements which is a physical quantity; and the other motivation would be to deal with its strong potential of gain-

---

1 Some of the new curvature corrections of type II have been recently obtained in [10].
ing new terms including their corrections (of course without any ambiguity) to string theory’s effective actions.

Having set up this formalism, one may end up obtaining several new couplings for all BPS branes in the RNS formalism [18–23] and eventually one could investigate a proposal for the corrections to some of the couplings [24]. In particular it is shown that some of these couplings must be employed to be able to work with some of the applications of either M-theory [25,26] or gauge–gravity duality [27].

One might look for various applications in the world volume of non-BPS branes as some of them were comprehensively pointed out in [13,14], but for concreteness we highlight some of them again.

As an instance in [28] it has been illustrated that as long as the effective field theory description holds, in the large volume case (despite having the non-supersymmetric cases), the AdS minima are indeed stable vacua. Not only the phenomena of the production of the branes are investigated in [29–34] but also inflation in the language of D-brane and anti-D-brane systems in string theory was shown to occur [35–38].

It is also worth mentioning that tachyons of type IIA (IIB) superstring theory (with odd-parity) have been taken into account to gain various insights in some holographic QCD models [39,40] as well.

In [41] various issues on the scattering amplitudes have been extensively discussed, however, one has to be concerned about some other issues on the mixed amplitudes involving an RR and some other open strings for which some of them have been empirically addressed in [24]. The content of this paper is beyond what has been appeared in those references. Indeed we would like to understand in the presence of a symmetric and an asymmetric picture of an RR, a scalar field and an RR, what happens to the terms that carry momentum of an RR and some other open strings for which some of them have been empirically addressed in [24]. The content of this paper is beyond what has been appeared in those references. Indeed we would like to understand in the presence of a symmetric and an asymmetric picture of an RR, a scalar field and a tachyon five point functions of either brane/anti-brane or non-BPS systems.

We then start calculating all the four point non-BPS functions of type II in their all different pictures \((T^{(−1)}T^{(0)}C^{(−1)})\), \((T^{(0)}φ^{(0)}C^{(−1)})\), and \((T^{(0)}φ^{(0)}C^{(−2)})\). In the case of \((T^{(−1)}φ^{(0)}C^{(−1)})\) we see the term that carries momentum of an RR in the transverse direction disappears after applying a Bianchi identity equation, however, we claim that one has to be careful about these transverse \((p^i, p^j)\) terms in higher point functions as their presence plays a crucial role in the gauge invariance of the higher point mixed amplitudes. There is a non-zero correlation function between an RR and the first part of the vertex operator of the scalar field in the zero picture and therefore one needs to think about those terms that carry momentum of an RR in the transverse direction \((p^i, p^j)\) as they cannot be derived by any duality transformation [11].

Indeed by direct computations of scattering amplitudes of BPS branes, we observe that several Bianchi identities must hold for the BPS cases, whereas we show that these equations cannot be necessarily true for non-BPS branes (say for \((T^{(0)}T^{(0)}T^{(0)}C^{(−2)})\), otherwise the whole non-supersymmetric S-matrix vanishes. For completeness we perform \((φ^{(0)}A^{(0)}C^{(−2)})\), \((φ^{(−1)}A^{(0)}C^{(−1)})\) and \((φ^{(0)}A^{(−1)}C^{(−1)})\) as well.

We also would like to go over to some of the mixed RR, scalar and tachyon five point functions of either brane/anti-brane or non-BPS branes to see what happens, if we carry them out in both a symmetric and an asymmetric picture of

\[ \langle \phi^{(0)}A^{(0)}C^{(−2)} \rangle, \langle \phi^{(−1)}A^{(0)}C^{(−1)} \rangle \text{ and } \langle \phi^{(0)}A^{(−1)}C^{(−1)} \rangle \text{ as well.} \]

This is so because the polarisation of the scalar field is in the bulk and there is a non-zero correlator between an RR and the first term of the scalar vertex operator in the zero picture as \((e^{ig_i\phi}x_1x_1(x_1))\) is non-zero. Basically one needs to be concerned about the terms that carry momentum of the RR in the transverse directions \((p^i)\).\(^3\)

\(^3\) This is so because the polarisation of the scalar field is in the bulk and there is a non-zero correlator between an RR and the first term of the scalar vertex operator in the zero picture as \((e^{ig_i\phi}x_1x_1(x_1))\) is non-zero. Basically one needs to be concerned about the terms that carry momentum of the RR in the transverse directions \((p^i)\).

\(^4\) In fact in order to get the final answer for these S-matrices as fast as possible, one could put an RR and a gauge field in the \((-1)\) picture and the other tachyons/gauges in the zero picture.

\(^2\) The three point function from the world-sheet point of view and two point function from the space-time prospective.
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\(^3\) This is so because the polarisation of the scalar field is in the bulk and there is a non-zero correlator between an RR and the first term of the scalar vertex operator in the zero picture as \((e^{ig_i\phi}x_1x_1(x_1))\) is non-zero. Basically one needs to be concerned about the terms that carry momentum of the RR in the transverse directions \((p^i)\).

\(^4\) In fact in order to get the final answer for these S-matrices as fast as possible, one could put an RR and a gauge field in the \((-1)\) picture and the other tachyons/gauges in the zero picture.
an RR accordingly. In fact for a scattering amplitude of the brane–anti-brane system (including a scalar, tachyons and an RR), we have three different choices. Indeed for these particular amplitudes there is no Ward identity and a priori one does not know which specific picture gives us the correct S-matrix, where we claim and establish the fact that already at the level of S-matrix for a brane/anti-brane, one needs to know some generalised Bianchi identities to be able to produce all the effective field theory couplings.

Most importantly, we show that the terms that carry momentum of an RR in the transverse directions \((p', p'')\), which are singular in \((\phi(0)T(-1)T(0)C(-1))\) and \((\phi(0)T(0)T(0)C(-2))\) amplitudes remain after taking integrations properly on the upper half plane, while these terms are absent in \((\phi(-1)T(0)T(0)C(-1))\). Hence in order to remove all the apparent singularities of the brane/anti-brane, we introduce new Bianchi identities at the level of the world-sheet.

We then move on to obtain the \((T(0)T(0)T(0)C(-2))\) S-matrix and determine the fact that if we apply some of the Bianchi identities of BPS branes to this non-BPS amplitude then the whole S-matrix disappears; so this clearly confirms that those Bianchi equations of BPS branes must be true for non-BPS amplitudes. The reason is that there are non-zero field theory couplings in the world volume of non-BPS branes that have to be produced by a non-zero S-matrix of an RR field theory couplings in the world volume of non-BPS branes for non-BPS amplitudes. The reason is that there are non-zero terms of one scalar field and one RR in type IIA (IIB) string theory.

We deal with an RR in the asymmetric picture of one scalar and an asymmetric RR closed string. That is, we consider its vertex of an RR in an asymmetric picture. For the amplitude respects the Ward identity associated to the gauge field we need to come up with some ideas. That is why we look at the same S-matrix in an asymmetric picture of an RR \((V_C^{-1}V_T^0V_A^0)\), where in this picture upon considering the known Bianchi identities not only we observe that the amplitude satisfies the Ward identity associated to the gauge field, but also we are able to obtain the whole contact interactions of the related S-matrix.

We may wonder why we cannot see the term that carries momentum of an RR in the transverse direction in four point functions, say \((V_C^{-1}V_T^0V_A^1)\). The answer is that in these functions we do have that particular term, however, after gauge fixing the integral should be taken on the whole space-time (from \(-\infty\) to \(\infty\)) and since the integrand (including the \(p'\) term) is odd, the final result naturally is zero. But this does not happen for five point functions any more; basically, for five and higher point functions after fixing \(SL(2, R)\) invariance we need to take the integrals on the position of closed string and the remaining terms involving \((p', p'')\) terms are not vanishing. Even these terms might not satisfy the Ward identity. The resolution to this is to either introduce some new Bianchi identities or calculate all of the mixed S-matrices in symmetric/asymmetric pictures. Let us address the technical parts by computing three point functions.

### 2 The \(\phi(0) - C(-2)\) amplitude

In this section we are going to derive the full S-matrix elements of one scalar field and one RR in type IIA (IIB) string theory, where for some reasons we would like to keep an RR in its asymmetric picture. That is, we consider its vertex operator in terms of its potential (not its field strength) so we deal with an RR in the \(C^{-3/2}/1/2\) picture.

The motivation for doing this computation in different pictures is that there is no Ward identity for the mixed RR and a scalar field, and one might wonder what happens in higher point functions of all mixed amplitudes including an RR, scalar field and tachyons (but not gauge fields), or one might ask which particular picture is going to give us the complete S-matrix elements including all the infinite contact interactions of string theory.

Note that our notations are such that \(\mu, v, \ldots\) run over the whole space-time, \(a, b, c, \ldots\) and \(i, j, k, \ldots\) are world volume and transverse directions, respectively.

Thus, this four point function from the world-sheet point of view (three point function, from the space-time point of view) of one scalar and an asymmetric RR closed string is given by the following correlation function:

\[
A_{C(-2)}(\phi(0)) \sim \int dx dz d\bar{z} (V_{RR}^{(-2)}(z, \bar{z}) V_{\phi}^{(0)}(x)).
\]

Note that the vertex of an RR in an asymmetric picture has been first proposed by [49] so the vertices can be read off as follows:

\[
V_{\phi}^{(0)}(x) = \xi_{i j} (\bar{\phi}' X(x) + i a' k \psi \psi' (i))(e^{i a' i k X(x)}),
\]

\[
V_{RR}^{(-2)}(z, \bar{z}) = (P \phi (n-1) M_\mu) y^2 e^{-3\phi(\bar{z})/2},
\]

\[
S_{\phi}(z) e^{-i p X(z)} e^{-\phi(\bar{z})/2} S_{\bar{z}}(\bar{z}) e^{i p \bar{z}} D X(\bar{z}).
\]
We are considering the disk level amplitude so the RR has to be put in the middle of the disk, while the scalar field needs to be replaced just in its boundary. On-shell conditions for scalar and RR are\(^6\)
\[
k^2 = p^2 = 0, \quad k \xi_1 = 0.
\]

For simplicity it is really useful to just make use of the world-sheet’s holomorphic elements, which means that we employ some useful change of variables as follows:
\[
\tilde{X}^\mu (\tilde{z}) \to D_\nu^\mu X^\nu (\tilde{z}), \quad \tilde{\psi}_\nu (\tilde{z}) \to D_\nu^\mu \psi^\nu (\tilde{z}), \quad \tilde{\phi} (\tilde{z}) \to \phi (\tilde{z}),
\]
and \(\tilde{S}_\alpha (\tilde{z}) \to M_{\alpha}{}^\beta S_\beta (\tilde{z})\).

Some definitions might be important to highlight as well.\(^7\)

Applying those vertex operators and the Wick theorem, our desired S-matrix can be written down:
\[
\int dx_1 dx_4 dx_5 (P_+ \mathcal{C}_{(n-1)} M_p) \psi^\phi (x_{45}) 3/4 \xi_{1 i} \times (I_1 + (2ik_{1a}) I_2),
\]
so that \(x_4 = z + iy, x_5 = \bar{z} = x - iy\) and
\[
I = |x_{14} x_{15}|^{\frac{1}{2} k_{1, p}} |x_{45}|^{\frac{1}{2} p \cdot D \cdot p},
\]
\[
I_1 = ip^i |x_{54}| - 1/4 C_{a \mu} |x_{14} x_{15} |^{3/4},
\]
\[
I_2 = (x_{2}, y_{2}) : S_{\alpha} (x_5) : \psi_{a}^\phi \psi^\phi (x_1) : = 2^{-1} (x_{14} x_{15})^{-1} (x_{45})^{-1/4} (\Gamma_{\mu \nu} C_{-1})_{a \beta}.
\]

If we would replace the above correlators inside the amplitude then we could see that our S-matrix does respect the \(SL(2, R)\) invariance. We gauge fix it as \((x_1, z, \bar{z}) = (\infty, i, -i)\), so that the final result of our S-matrix in this certain picture is
\[
A^{\phi, C_{-2}} = [-ip^i \text{Tr}(P_+ \mathcal{C}_{(n-1)} M_p)] + ik_{1a} \text{Tr}(P_+ \mathcal{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{a \beta}).
\]

As can be seen from the above S-matrix, it seems to have two different terms in our amplitude, while below we show that some crucial subtleties are needed. Note that after the derivation of the S-matrix, one could start writing all its field theory couplings to be compared with the amplitude, while before doing so, we claim that one has to know the correct form of the S-matrix. Hence let us carry out this amplitude in the other picture \((C(-1) \phi(-1))\) in the next section and get back to the subtlety associated to Eq. (5) afterwards.

It is also worth to derive the S-matrix of one RR and a gauge field in the asymmetric picture of an RR.\(^8\)

### 3 The \(C^{-1} - \phi^{-1}\) amplitude

The three point function from the world-sheet perspective (two point function from the space-time point of view) of one RR and a real tachyon of type II super string in their different pictures has been done.\(^9\)

This three point function from the world-sheet perspective with both RR and scalar field in the \((-1)\) picture is given by
\[
A^{\phi, \text{RR}} \sim \int dxdz \langle V_{\phi}(-1)(x)V_{\text{RR}}(-1)(z, \bar{z}) \rangle.
\]

\(^6\) The definition of projector and the field strength of closed string is \(P_+ = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma^{11})\), \(H_{(n)} = \frac{a_n}{n!} H_{[a_1 ... a_n] \gamma^{a_1} ... \gamma^{a_n}}\) where for type II A (type II B) \(n = 2, 4, a_n = i (n = 1, 3, 5, a_n = 1)\) with \((P_+ \mathcal{H}_{(n)}) \psi^\phi = C_{0 \beta} (P_+ \mathcal{H}_{(n)}) \psi^\phi\) notation for a spinor.

\(^7\) We have
\[
D = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{0, p}^- & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
and M_p = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{1, p}^- \\ \xi_{3, p}^- \\ \xi_{5, p}^- \end{pmatrix} \text{ for even,} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{1, p}^- \\ \xi_{3, p}^- \\ \xi_{5, p}^- \end{pmatrix} \text{ for odd},
\]
where now the propagators for all world-sheet fields are
\[
\langle X^\mu (z) X^\nu (w) \rangle = \frac{\alpha'}{2} \eta^{\mu \nu} \log(z - w),
\]
\[
\langle \psi^\phi (z) \psi^\phi (w) \rangle = \frac{\alpha'}{2} \eta^{\mu \nu} (z - w)^{-1},
\]
\[
\langle \phi (z) \phi (w) \rangle = -\log(z - w).
\]

\(^8\) Let us just mention the finial answer
\[
A^{\phi, C_{-2}} = \xi_{1a} [-ip^i \text{Tr}(P_+ \mathcal{C}_{(n-1)} M_p)] + ik_{1a} \text{Tr}(P_+ \mathcal{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{a \beta}).
\]

Note that the first term in the above S-matrix makes definitely no contribution to the amplitude, because if we apply momentum conservation along the world volume of the brane, \((k_1 + p)^\mu = 0\), and the on-shell condition for the gauge field gives rise to the vanishing of the first part of the S-matrix. Indeed the second part of the S-matrix can be produced by the \(2\pi a' \int C_{(n)} \wedge F\) coupling, as the three point function of a symmetric RR and one gauge field in the \((-1)\) picture was given by
\[
V_{A^{-1}}(-1)(x) = e^{-\phi(x)} e^{i\phi(x)} e^{2q_{X}(x)} A^{-1} \sim 2^{-1/2} \xi_{1a} \text{Tr}(P_+ \mathcal{H}_{(n)} M_p \Gamma^{a \beta}).
\]

\(^9\) We have
\[
A^{C^{-1} \tau^{-1}} \sim -2i \text{Tr}(P_+ \mathcal{H}_{(n)} M_p)
\]
and also
\[
A^{C_{-2}, \tau} \sim 2i \int (2ik_{1a}) \text{Tr}(P_+ \mathcal{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{a \beta});
\]
now if we apply momentum conservation along the world volume of the brane \((k_1 + p)^\mu = 0\), extract the trace and use \(p \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{H}\) (up to a normalisation constant) we get the same S-matrix in both pictures, where this S-matrix can be generated with a \(2\pi a' \beta' M_p \int C_{(n)} \wedge DT\) coupling in field theory.
The vertices are written down so that an RR is considered in terms of its field strength in the symmetric picture. They are presented as follows:

\[ V_{\phi}^{(-1)}(x) = e^{-\phi(x)} \xi_i \psi^i(x) e^{2i\phi X(x)}, \]

\[ V_{RR}^{(-1)}(z, \bar{z}) = (P - \dot{H}_{(n)} M_p) \alpha^\beta e^{-\phi(\bar{c})/2}, \]

\[ S_d(z) e^{\frac{1}{4} p \cdot X(z)} e^{-\phi(\bar{c})/2} S_d(\bar{z}) e^{\frac{1}{4} p \cdot X(\bar{z})}. \]

Obviously all the previous definitions of the first section for projector, holomorphic components and the other field contents have been kept here as well. Once more we substitute the defined vertex operators into Eq. (6) and the amplitude reduces to

\[ \int d\chi_1 d\chi_2 dx_3 (P - \dot{H}_{(n)} M_p) \alpha^\beta (x_{14})^{-1/2} (x_{45})^{-1/4} \xi_1 I \]

\[ \times \langle S_d(x_4) : S_\beta(x_5) : \psi^i(x_1) : \rangle \]

where the result for the following correlation function is needed:

\[ \langle S_d(x_4) : S_\beta(x_5) : \psi^i(x_1) : \rangle = -2^{-1/2} (x_{14})^{-1/2} (x_{45})^{-3/4} (y^C - C^{-1})_{\alpha \beta}. \]

The \( SL(2, R) \) invariance of the S-matrix can be readily checked and we did gauge fixing as \( (\infty, i, -i) \).

The final result of the S-matrix of one scalar and one RR closed string in this symmetric picture is

\[ A^{C^{-1} \phi^{-1}} = 2^{-1/2} \text{Tr}(P - \dot{H}_{(n)} M_p y^\gamma) \xi_1 I. \]  

One should pay particular attention to the conservation of momentum along the world volume of the brane as \( k_0^\alpha + p^\alpha = 0 \). Let us first reproduce the field theory of the above S-matrix. The amplitude might be normalised by a coefficient \( 0 \). Let us first reproduce the field theory of the above S-matrix (7); therefore we claim that the second term of Eq. (5) has no contribution to the S-matrix of one scalar and one RR at all. Hence the prescription for removing or getting rid of the second term of Eq. (5) is as follows.

We first apply the momentum conservation along the world volume of the brane to the second term \( (k_0^\alpha + p^\alpha = 0) \) and then extract its trace as follows:

\[ \text{Tr}(\mathcal{C}_{(n-1)} M_p y^\gamma) \delta_{p+2,n} = \pm \frac{32}{(p + 1)!} e^{\bar{a}_0 - a_{p-1}} \]

\[ C_{a_{0} \cdot a_{p-1}} \delta_{p+2,n}, \]

and more importantly, in order to get to the same S-matrix as \( A^{C^{-1} \phi^{-1}} \), we understand that the following Bianchi identity must hold for the BPS branes:

\[ p^\alpha e^{\bar{a}_0 - a_{p-1}} = 0. \]

However, this is not the full story; as we will see in the next sections for the higher point functions of string amplitudes, one has to generalise all the Bianchi identities. Let us now turn to some of the four point functions and obtain new Bianchi identities.

4 The \( T^{-1} - \phi^0 - C^{-1} \) amplitude

The four point function from the world-sheet perspective (three point function from the space-time point of view) of one closed string RR and two real tachyons of type II super string with their all different pictures can been done.

The four point function of one tachyon, a scalar and one RR from the world-sheet point of view has been performed.

---

10 We set \( \alpha' = 2 \).

11 By the trace with \( y^{11} \) it can be shown that all the above results are kept even for the following case:

\[ p > 3, H_{a} = *H_{10 - a}, n \geq 5. \]
in detail in [48]. Indeed both the S-matrix and its field theory part in the following picture $T^0 - \phi^{-1} - C^{-1}$ have already been computed. Truly, after carrying out the gauge fixing as $(x_1, x_2, z, \bar{z}) = (x, -x, i, -i)$ the S-matrix is given by

$$4k_1 a_1 \xi_1 \int_\infty dx (2x)^{-2x-1/2((1 + x^2)})^{-1/2 + 2a} (\text{Tr}(P - \mathcal{H}(a) M_p G_{\alpha})).$$

The result of this non-supersymmetric amplitude is read off as

$$A_{T^0, \phi^{-1}, C^{-1}} = (\pi \beta' \mu_p')^2 2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma[-u + 1/4] \Gamma[3/4 - u]$$

$$\text{Tr}(P - \mathcal{H}(a) M_p G_{\alpha}),$$

where $(\pi \beta' \mu_p'/2)$, $\beta'$ and $\mu_p'$ are defined as normalisation constants, $WZ$ and the RR charge of the brane. In effective field theory it was shown that this S-matrix can be precisely reproduced by the following coupling of type II string theory:

$$2i\beta' \mu_p' (2\pi a')^2 \int_{\Sigma_{p+1}} (\text{Tr}(\delta_i C_p \wedge DT \phi')),$$

and all its infinite corrections were derived in [48].

Let us carry it out in the other picture so if we use the above vertex operators and perform all the correlators with the same techniques as have been explained in the previous section, then one explores the final form of the S-matrix in this picture thus:

Footnote13 continued

$$\mathcal{A}^{C^{-1}A^{-1}A^0 \sim} 4k_1 a_1 \xi_1 \int_\infty dx (2x)^{-2x-1/2((1 + x^2)})^{-2x-1/2} \times [k_1 a_1 \text{Tr}(P - \mathcal{H}(a) M_p G_{\alpha})].$$

Now in order to get to the same S-matrix element for this four point world-sheet amplitude as appeared in Eq. (11), one has to apply the momentum conservation $(k_1 + k_2 + p)^\alpha = 0$ and keep in mind the following Bianchi identity as well:

$$p' \epsilon_{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p} H_{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_2} + p'' \epsilon_{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p} H_{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_2} = 0.$$ 

Finally let us calculate this S-matrix in an asymmetric picture of an RR and make some essential comments about this four point function.

Notice that there is a non-zero coupling between two gauge fields and one RR in the world volume of BPS branes of type II string theory.

5 The $T^0 - \phi^0 - C^{-2}$ amplitude

The four point function of an asymmetric RR, a scalar and an open string tachyon can be investigated by the following correlation function:

$$A_{T^0, \phi^0, C^{-2}} \sim \int dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 (V_{a}(0))(x_1) V_{a}(0)(x_2) V_{a}(0)(x_3)$$

where the tachyon, scalar field and RR vertex operators are given as

$$V_{a}(0)(y) = \alpha ' i k_1 \cdot \psi(y) e^{\eta' k_1} X(y) \lambda \otimes \sigma_1,$$

$$V_{a}(0)(x) = \xi_1 i k_1 \cdot \psi \lambda \otimes \sigma_1,$$

$$V_{a}(0)(z) = (P - \mathcal{C}_{(a-1)} M_p) \alpha^0 e^{-3\phi(z)/2} S_0(2) e^{z/2} P \cdot X(z)$$

Applying the Wick theorem, the amplitude can be explored as follows:

$$\int dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 (P - \mathcal{C}_{(a-1)} M_p) \alpha^0 \langle (x_4) \rangle (4i k_2 a) \xi_1 \times (I_5 + (2i k_2) I_4) I_5$$

16 In [52] it is shown that

$$\mathcal{A}^{C^{-1}A^{-1}A^0 \sim} 2^{-3/2} k_1 a_1 \xi_1 \int_{\infty} dx (2x)^{-2x-1/2((1 + x^2)})^{-2x-1/2}$$

$$\times [k_1 a_1 \text{Tr}(P - \mathcal{H}(a) M_p G_{\alpha})].$$

where obviously the last three terms of the S-matrix make no contribution to the amplitude, because the integration must be taken over the whole space and the integrand is odd.

17 For the Chan–Paton factors see [48].
with
\[ I_5 = [x_{12}]^{\alpha_2 k_1 k_2} [x_{14} x_{15}]^{\alpha_2 k_3 p} [x_{24} x_{25}]^{\alpha_2 k_2 p} [x_{45}]^{\alpha_2 p} D_{-p} , \]
\[ I_3 = i p^j 2^{-1/2} (x_{24} x_{25})^{-1/2} (x_{45})^{-3/4} (\gamma^a C^{-1})^\alpha_3 \gamma^b x_{54} ^{x_{14} x_{15}} . \]
\[ (17) \]

Now using Wick-like rule one gets to the following correlator:
\[ I_4 = \langle \Sigma_0 (x_4) \Sigma_0 (x_3) : \psi^c \psi^d (x_1) \psi^d (x_2) \rangle \]
\[ = -2^{-3/2} (x_{24} x_{25})^{-1/2} (x_{14} x_{15})^{-1} (x_{45})^{1/4} \]
\[ \times \left[ (\Gamma^{ai c} C^{-1})^\alpha_3 \gamma^b + 2 Re [x_{14} x_{25}] x_{12} x_{45} \gamma^{a c} (\gamma^i C^{-1})^\alpha_3 \right] . \]

By applying the above correlators to this four point amplitude we can easily observe that the integrand or S-matrix is \( SL(2, R) \) invariant. We do the proper gauge fixing as \((x_1, x_2, z, \tilde{z}) = (x, -x, i, -i)\), and taking \( u = -\frac{2}{\pi} (k+2)^2 \) we obtain the S-matrix as
\[ A_T^{\phi^0 C^{-2}} = A_1 + A_2, \]

such that
\[ A_1 T^{\phi^0 C^{-2}} = 2^{3/2} \xi_4 k_2 p^j \text{Tr}(\mathcal{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \gamma^a) \]
\[ \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (2x)^{-2t-1/2}(x^2 +1)^{2t-1/2} \]
\[ = 2^{3/2} \xi_4 k_2 p^j \text{Tr}(\mathcal{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \gamma^a) \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma[-u + 1/4]}{\Gamma[3/4 - u]} . \]
\[ (18) \]

Now if we use the momentum conservation \((k_1 + k_2 + \rho) = 0\) and the Bianchi identity \(p^a e^{\rho a \rho - \rho a} = 0\), then we come to the point that up to a coefficient of \(2^{3/2}\) this part of the S-matrix exactly produces (11). The second part of the S-matrix is found to be
\[ A_2 T^{\phi^0 C^{-2}} = 2^{3/2} \xi_4 k_2 k_2 p^j \text{Tr}(\mathcal{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \gamma^a) \]
\[ \times \left[ 2 \gamma^{a c} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \gamma^i) \frac{-x^2}{4xi} + \text{Tr}(\mathcal{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{ai c}) \right] . \]
\[ (19) \]

where the first term in (19) is indeed zero, because the integral is taken over the whole space, while the integrand is odd, so naturally the answer for the first term of Eq. (19) is zero\(^{18}\)

\[ ^{18} \text{We have} \]
\[ 2^{3/2} \xi_4 (-u - 1/4) \text{Tr}(\mathcal{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \gamma^i) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + x^2)^2}{4x^2} \frac{1}{4x^2} - 1 - x^2 \]
\[ (x^2 +1)(4xi) = 0 . \]
\[ (20) \]

However, clearly, the result for the second term of Eq. (19) is non-zero, that is,
\[ A_2 T^{\phi^0 C^{-2}} = 2^{3/2} \xi_4 k_1 k_2 \text{Tr}(\mathcal{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{ai c}) \]
\[ \times \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma[-u + 1/4]}{\Gamma[3/4 - u]} . \]
\[ (21) \]

Therefore we might think of this term as the extra contact interaction to the S-matrix; however, after applying momentum conservation along the world volume of the brane and using Bianchi identities,\(^{19}\) it becomes clear for us that this term has a zero contribution to the S-matrix of an asymmetric RR, a scalar and a tachyon.\(^{20}\)

6 The \( \phi^0 - A^0 - C^{-2} \) amplitude

The four point function of an asymmetric RR, a scalar and a gauge field can be carried out by the following correlation function:
\[ A_T^{\phi^0 A^0 C^{-2}} \sim \int d\xi 1 d\xi 2 d\xi 3 (V_{\phi}^{(0)}(x_1) V_{A}^{(0)}(x_2) V_{RR}^{(-2)}(z, \tilde{z})) , \]
\[ (22) \]

where the scalar field and RR vertex operators are given in the previous sections, and for the gauge field we have
\[ V_{A}^{(0)}(x) = \xi_2 \left( \partial^a X(x) + i \alpha^a k_\rho \psi^{\rho^a}(x) \right) e^{2j_k X(x)} . \]

Having set the Wick theorem, the amplitude may have been written down as
\[ \int d\xi 1 d\xi 2 d\xi 3 (P \mathcal{C}_{(n-1)} M_p) \Gamma^{ai c} (x_{45})^{-3/4} \xi_4 \xi_2 \]
\[ \times (J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4) I_5 \]

and also
\[ I_5 = [x_{12}]^{\alpha_2 k_1 k_2} [x_{14} x_{15}]^{\alpha_2 k_3 p} [x_{24} x_{25}]^{\alpha_2 k_2 p} [x_{45}]^{\alpha_2 p} D_{-p} , \]
\[ (23) \]

where by applying the generalisation of a Wick-like rule one can obtain all the correlators as follows:
\[ J_1 = i p^j \frac{x_{54}}{x_{14} x_{15}} (l_a)(x_{45})^{-5/4} (C^{-1})_a^\beta \]
\[ J_a = -ik_{1a} \frac{x_{14}}{x_{12} x_{24}} + \frac{x_{15}}{x_{12} x_{25}} \]
\[ J_2 = -p^j k_{2c} \frac{x_{54}}{x_{14} x_{15}} (x_{24} x_{25})^{-1} (x_{45})^{-1/4} (\Gamma^{ai c} C^{-1})_a^\beta \]
\[ J_3 = (l_a) i k_{1b} (x_{14} x_{15})^{-1} (x_{45})^{-1/4} (\Gamma^{ib} C^{-1})_a^\beta \]
\[ ^{19} \text{We have} \]
\[ p^j e^{\rho a \rho - \rho a} = p^j e^{\rho a \rho - \rho a} = 0 . \]
\[ ^{20} \text{It is important to point out that this term by itself without applying any Bianchi identity equation could be meant to be non-zero and might have been confused in that it plays the role of the whole infinite contact interactions/surface terms or total derivatives where clearly it does not make any contribution to the whole S-matrix.}
\[ J_4 = -k_1 k_2 (x_{14} x_{15} x_{24} x_{25})^{-1} (x_{45})^{3/4} \]
\begin{align*}
& \times \left[ (F^{abc} C^{-1})_{a\beta} + (2\eta^{bc} (\Gamma^{ai} C^{-1})_{a\beta} \\
& - 2\eta^{ab} (\Gamma^{ci} C^{-1})_{a\beta} \right] \right] \frac{Re[x_{14} x_{25}]}{x_{12} x_{45}} \right]. \quad (24)
\end{align*}

If we now apply Eq. (24) into this four point amplitude we can easily determine that the S-matrix is \(SL(2,R)\) invariant. We do the proper gauge fixing as Eq. (26), because the low energy expansion is the second term (up to a coefficient of 2/3) 
\[ \times \frac{1 - x^2}{x} (-i p^j k_{1a} \text{Tr}(P_\gamma C_{(n-1)} M_p) \\
+ k_{1a} k_{1a} \text{Tr}(P_\gamma C_{(n-1)} M_p P^{ai}) \\
+ \eta^{bc} \text{Tr}(P_\gamma C_{(n-1)} M_p P^{ai}) \\
- \eta^{ab} \text{Tr}(P_\gamma C_{(n-1)} M_p P^{ci}) \\
+ 2i k_{2c} p^i \text{Tr}(P_\gamma C_{(n-1)} M_p P^{aci}) \\
- 2i k_{1b} k_{2c} \text{Tr}(P_\gamma C_{(n-1)} M_p P^{abci}) \right]
\end{align*}

(25)

where the first, second, third and fourth term do not make any contribution to the S-matrix because the integration is taken on the whole space and the integrand is odd. If we use the momentum conservation \(t = \frac{1}{2} (k_1 + k_2)^2\) to actually get to the entire S-matrix as
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}^0 \mathcal{A}^0 C^{-2} &= -\xi_{1i} \xi_{2a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx (1 + x^2)^{2t-1} (2x)^{-2t} \\
& \left[ \frac{1 - x^2}{x} (-i p^j k_{1a} \text{Tr}(P_\gamma C_{(n-1)} M_p) \\
+ k_{1a} k_{1a} \text{Tr}(P_\gamma C_{(n-1)} M_p P^{ai}) \\
+ \eta^{bc} \text{Tr}(P_\gamma C_{(n-1)} M_p P^{ai}) \\
- \eta^{ab} \text{Tr}(P_\gamma C_{(n-1)} M_p P^{ci}) \\
+ 2i k_{2c} p^i \text{Tr}(P_\gamma C_{(n-1)} M_p P^{aci}) \\
- 2i k_{1b} k_{2c} \text{Tr}(P_\gamma C_{(n-1)} M_p P^{abci}) \right] \\
& \times \left[ \frac{1 - x^2}{x} (k_{1a} \text{Tr}(P_\gamma H_{(n-1)} M_p) p^i) \\
- 2i k_{2b} \text{Tr}(P_\gamma H_{(n-1)} M_p P^{abi}) \right] \right] \right] \frac{1}{x} \\
& \times \frac{1 - x^2}{x} (k_{1a} \text{Tr}(P_\gamma H_{(n-1)} M_p) p^i) \\
- 2i k_{2b} \text{Tr}(P_\gamma H_{(n-1)} M_p P^{abi}) \right] \right] \end{align*}

(26)

Finally one explores this amplitude in its last picture as follows:
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}^0 \mathcal{A}^0 C^{-1} &= 2^{1/2} \xi_{1i} \xi_{2a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx (1 + x^2)^{2t-1} (2x)^{-2t} \\
& \times \left[ \frac{1 - x^2}{x} (k_{1a} \text{Tr}(P_\gamma H_{(n-1)} M_p) p^i) \\
- 2i k_{2b} \text{Tr}(P_\gamma H_{(n-1)} M_p P^{abi}) \right] \\
& \times \left[ \frac{1 - x^2}{x} (k_{1a} \text{Tr}(P_\gamma H_{(n-1)} M_p) p^i) \\
- 2i k_{2b} \text{Tr}(P_\gamma H_{(n-1)} M_p P^{abi}) \right] \right] \frac{1}{x} \\
& \times \left[ \frac{1 - x^2}{x} (k_{1a} \text{Tr}(P_\gamma H_{(n-1)} M_p) p^i) \\
- 2i k_{2b} \text{Tr}(P_\gamma H_{(n-1)} M_p P^{abi}) \right] \right] \end{align*}

(27)

where in Eq. (27), one has to apply momentum conservation to its first term and use the Bianchi identity
\[ p_\phi \epsilon^{a_0 \cdots a_{p-1} a_{p-2} b a} H_{a_0 \cdots a_{p-2}} + p_\phi \epsilon^{a_0 \cdots a_{p-1} \bar{a}} H_{a_0 \cdots a_{p-1}} = 0 \]

(28)
to actually get to the entire S-matrix as appeared in (26).

Therefore in this particular picture, again we are just left with one term for the final answer of the RR, a gauge and a scalar field and this term is necessary because this S-matrix has to be produced by a non-zero coupling, \(2\pi t \alpha'\), \(\mu \int H_\gamma \gamma_{a_0 \cdots a_{p-2}} F_{a_{p-1} a_p} \phi^i\) of effective field theory where the scalar field comes from a Taylor expansion.

Note that by comparing this S-matrix with field theory, we begin to understand that there should not be any other term in effective field theory coming from the pull-back of the brane.

Now in order to obtain the other non-trivial Bianchi identities, we are going to consider one of the simplest five point functions and deal with more subtleties as regards perturbative string theory.

### 7 The five point world-sheet S-matrix of the brane–anti-brane system

It is known that the world volume of the brane–anti-brane system must have two real tachyon fields.\(^{21}\) The complete form of the amplitude of a gauge, two real tachyons and an RR of a brane–anti-brane system for the various \(p, n\) cases \(\langle V_A^{(1)} (x_1) V_T^{(0)} (x_2) V_T^{(0)} (x_3) V_R^{(1, -\frac{1}{2})} (z, \bar{z}) \rangle\) has been derived in [54].

For completeness we have done this amplitude in the following picture as well:
\[ \langle V_A^{(0)} (x_1) V_T^{(1)} (x_2) V_T^{(0)} (x_3) V_R^{(1, -\frac{1}{2})} (z, \bar{z}) \rangle, \]

and the final result for the amplitude is exactly the same as appeared in [54] so that it satisfies the Ward identity associated to the gauge field. It is also worth explaining that the S-matrix elements of one RR field, one scalar field and two tachyons on the world volume brane–anti-brane system in

\(^{21}\) We have in [53] it is discussed in detail that brane–anti-brane system should be investigated by means of the effective field theory techniques, which seems to be the proper way of realising the classical case, or even the case for loop divergences for which anti-brane dynamics in the presence of some of background fields may play a major role.
the following picture have also been computed in detail in [55]22

\[ (V_{\phi}^{(-1)}(x_1)V_T^{(0)}(x_2)V_{\phi}^{(0)}(x_3)) \left( -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right)(z, \bar{z}). \tag{31} \]

The final form of this S-matrix in this particular picture is given as

\[ \mathcal{A}^{(-1)}\phi^{(-1)}T_{P(0)}^{(0)} = A_1 + A_2 \tag{32} \]

where

\[ A_1 \sim -8\xi_1/k_{2a}k_{3b}2^{-3/2}\text{Tr}(P_-H_{(a)}M_p\Gamma^{(b)}a)1_L, \]
\[ A_2 \sim 8\xi_12^{-3/2}[\text{Tr}(P_-H_{(a)}M_p\Gamma^l)]L_2, \tag{33} \]

where \( L_1, L_2 \) are written down below just in terms of Gamma functions (no hypergeometric function is needed):

\[ L_1 = (2)^{-2(t+s+u+1)}\pi \times \frac{\Gamma(-u)\Gamma(-s+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(-t+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(-t-s-u)}{\Gamma(-u-t+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(-t-s-u+\frac{1}{2})}, \]
\[ L_2 = (2)^{-2(t+s+u+1)}\pi \times \frac{\Gamma(-u+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(-s+\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(-t+\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(-t-s-u-\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(-u-t+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(-t-s+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(-s-u+\frac{1}{2})}. \tag{34} \]

where in [55] all the infinite \( u \) channel gauge poles of \( L_1 \) and the \( t + s' + u' \) channel scalar poles of this S-matrix have been precisely produced, in addition to all the infinite higher derivative corrections to two scalars--two tachyons of the world volume of the brane–anti-brane, which have also without any ambiguity been discovered.

Now let us deal with this S-matrix in the other pictures of both closed–open strings to see what happens to the complete form of the S-matrix and also explore all its contact interactions.

The following vertices with their correct Chan–Paton factors of D-brane–anti-D-brane are kept:

\[ V_{\phi}^{(1)}(x) = \xi_1\psi^i(x)e^{2i\eta X(x)}e^{-\phi(x)} \otimes \sigma_3, \]
\[ V_T^{(0)}(y) = 2ik_{L}\psi(y)e^{2i\bar{X}(y)} \otimes \sigma_1, \]
\[ V_{\phi}^{(0)}(\bar{z}) = (P_-H_{(a)}M_p\Gamma^l)\phi^a e^{-\phi(x)/2}S_{\phi}(t) \epsilon^{(p) D X} \otimes \sigma_3, \tag{29} \]

so that \( k^2 = 1/4 \) is the condition for tachyons in type II string theory; the following definitions for the Mandelstam variables are used:

\[ s = -\frac{\alpha'}{2}(k_1 + k_2)^2, t = -\frac{\alpha'}{2}(k_1 + k_2)^2, u = -\frac{\alpha'}{2}(k_2 + k_3)^2. \tag{30} \]

8 \( C^{(-2)}\phi^{(0)}T^{(0)}T^{(0)} \)

The S-matrix element of an asymmetric RR field, one scalar field and two tachyons on the world volume of the brane–anti-brane system can be found as follows.23 Replacing the vertex operators and performing all the correlators by using the Wick theorem, one can investigate the whole of the amplitudes as follows:

\[ \mathcal{A}^{(-2)}\phi^{(0)}T^{(0)}T^{(0)} \sim \int dx_1dx_2dx_3dx_4dx_5 \left( p_{\phi}C_{(n-1)}M_p \right)^{\alpha\beta} \xi_{1i}x_{45}^{-3/4}(-8k_{2b}k_{3c})I \left( \left( ip_{T}X_{54}^{(3)} \right) I_{1c} + 2ik_{1a}I_{2}^{\alpha\beta} \right) \tag{36} \]

where \( x_{ij} = x_i - x_j \),

\[ I = |x_{12}^{(3)}k_{1}k_{2}|x_{13}^{(4)}k_{3}k_{5}|x_{14}x_{15}|x_{21}^{(4)}k_{2}k_{3} \times \left| x_{24}x_{25}^{(2)}k_{3}k_{5} \left| x_{24}x_{25}^{(2)} \right| x_{45}^{(D, p)} \right. \tag{37} \]

Note that we have already generalised the Wick theorem to get to the fermionic correlations in the presence of currents so that one can find the following correlators:

\[ I_{1c}^{cb} = (\left\{ S_{a}(x_4) : S_{b}(x_5) : \psi(\bar{x}_2) : \psi^{c}(x_3) : \right\} ) = 2^{-1}x_{45}^{-1/4}(x_{24}x_{25}x_{34}x_{35})^{-1/2} \times \left( \Gamma^{cb}C^{-1}_{a\beta} - 2\eta^{ba}Re[x_{24}x_{35}]x_{23}^{(C^{-1})}a_{\beta} \right) \]

and also

\[ I_{2}^{ch} = (\left\{ S_{a}(x_4) : S_{b}(x_5) : \psi^a \psi^i(x_1) : \psi(\bar{x}_2) : \psi^{c}(x_3) : \right\} ) = 2^{-1}x_{45}^{-1/4}(x_{24}x_{25}x_{34}x_{35})^{-1/2}(x_{14}x_{15})^{-1} \times \left( \Gamma^{cb}C^{-1}_{a\beta} + 2\eta^{ba}Re[x_{14}x_{35}]x_{13}^{(C^{-1})}a_{\beta} \right) \]

\[ -2\eta^{bc}Re[x_{24}x_{35}]x_{23}^{(C^{-1})}a_{\beta} \right\} \right). \]

If we substitute all the above correlations in the amplitude, then one can show that the property of \( SL(2, R) \) invariance is in order in our investigation. Here we are working with a five point function and to the best of our knowledge the best

22 The following vertices with their correct Chan–Paton factors of D-brane–anti-D-brane are kept:

23 The following vertices with their correct Chan–Paton factor for D-brane–anti-D-brane are taken into account:
gauge fixing for this amplitude is to fix the locations of all three open strings as follows:

\[ x_1 = 0, \quad x_2 = 1, \quad x_3 \to \infty. \]

If we do so, then we get the entire form of the S-matrix in terms of some integrations on the upper half plane, so that the following integrations for various cases need to be performed:

\[
\int d^2z |1 - z|^a |z|^b (z - \bar{z})^c (z + \bar{z})^d.
\]  

(38)

with \( d = 0, 1, 2, \) and \( a, b, c \) must be just given in terms of the Mandelstam variables. Notice that the result of the above integrations for \( d = 0, 1 \) is got from [54,56], while for \( d = 2 \) the result is given in [13,14].

If we do gauge fixing, make use of various pure algebraic simplifications and most particularly make use of the results of the integrals that are pointed out in [13,14,54,56] then we can write down the final result of the amplitude (36) in this asymmetric picture as follows:

\[
A_{C^{-2}T_0^0T_0^0} = A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4
\]  

(39)

where

\[
A_1 \sim i \xi_1 l_1 (4k_2k_3k_4) Tr(P_- \mathcal{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{cb} ) L_1,
\]

\[
A_2 \sim 4i \xi_1 l_2 ( Tr(P_- \mathcal{C}_{(n-1)} M_p) ) L_2,
\]

\[
A_3 \sim -4i \xi_1 l_3 ( Tr(P_- \mathcal{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{bic} ) ) L_1,
\]

\[
A_4 \sim 4i \xi_1 l_4 ( Tr(P_- \mathcal{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{hi} ) ) L_2 (k_1b + k_2b + k_3b)
\]  

(40)

Note that here in (40) we have dealt with the five point world-sheet scattering of the brane–anti-brane in an asymmetric picture and in this particular picture we found the terms that carry momentum of an RR in the transverse directions. These terms no longer vanish and indeed these terms potentially have something to do with some of the issues on the perturbative string theory on the upper half plane. We believe that these terms are related to taking the integration on different moduli space as has been pointed out in a series of papers [44–47].

Now if we compare the S-matrix in this asymmetric picture (40) with (33), then we might think of the fact that the last two terms of Eq. (40) are extra singularities. Indeed if we do not apply the Bianchi identity and momentum conservation along the brane to (40) as a matter of fact these two terms would be extra terms by themselves. However, if we compare (40) with (33), simultaneously extract the trace in the last term of Eq. (40) and make use of the momentum conservation along the world volume of the brane as follows:

\[
(k_1 + k_2 + k_3)^2 = -p^a,
\]  

(41)

we come to the conclusion that the last term in (40) is an apparent singularity and this should be removed, in the other words, upon applying the Bianchi identity

\[
p^a e^{a_0 \cdots a_{p-1}} C^i_{a_0 \cdots a_{p-1}} = 0
\]  

(42)

the last term of Eq. (40) vanishes.

Note that below we show that the above Bianchi identity cannot be applied to the correlators,

\[
(V_T^{(0)}(x_1)V_T^{(0)}(x_2)V_T^{(0)}(x_3)V_{RR}^{(-\frac{1}{2} - i\frac{1}{4})}(z, \bar{z}))
\]

of non-BPS branes. Indeed after gauge fixing, \((x_1, x_2, z, \bar{z}) = (0, 1, \infty, z, \bar{z})\), we obtain the following non-BPS amplitude:

\[
A_{C^{-2}T_0^0T_0^0} = -16i (2.5^{3/2} k_{1a} k_{2b} k_{3c}) (P_- \mathcal{C}_{(n)} M_p) \alpha \beta
\]

\[
\int d^2z |1 - z|^2 |z|^2 (z - \bar{z})^{-2(3/2 + u - 1)}
\]

\[
\times \left[ (\Gamma^{ca} \Gamma^{-1})_{\alpha \beta} + \frac{(z + \bar{z})}{2(z - \bar{z})} (2\eta_{ac}(y^{b} C^{-1})_{\alpha \beta}) - (2\eta^{ac}(y^{b} C^{-1})_{\alpha \beta}) + \frac{1}{(z - \bar{z})} \right]
\]

\[
+(2\eta^{ab}(y^{c} C^{-1})_{\alpha \beta}) |z|^2 \right].
\]  

(43)

We make use of various pure algebraic simplifications to write down the final result for the above amplitude in this asymmetric picture as follows:

\[
A_{C^{-2}T_0^0T_0^0} = A_1 + A_2
\]

where

\[
A_1 \sim -16i (2.5^{3/2} k_{1a} k_{2b} k_{3c}) (P_- \mathcal{C}_{(n)} M_p \Gamma^{cb} ) N_1
\]

\[
A_2 \sim -16i (2.5^{3/2}) (P_- \mathcal{C}_{(n)} M_p \Gamma^{cb} ) N_2 (k_{1a} + k_{2a} + k_{3a})
\]

where the functions \( N_1, N_2 \) are given as

\[
N_1 = (2)^{-2(3/2 + u - 1)} \pi
\]

\[
\Gamma(-u) \Gamma(-s) \Gamma(-t) \Gamma(-t - s - u - \frac{1}{2})
\]

\[
\Gamma(-u - t) \Gamma(-t - s) \Gamma(-s - u)
\]

\[
N_2 = (2)^{-3} \pi
\]

\[
\Gamma(-u + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(-s + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(-t + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(-t - s - u - 1)
\]

\[
\Gamma(-u - t) \Gamma(-t - s) \Gamma(-s - u)
\]  

(43)

Note that if we use momentum conservation \( p^a = -(k_1 + k_2 + k_3)^a \) for both the first and the second part of the above non-BPS S-matrix we see that

\[
p^a e^{a_0 \cdots a_{p-3}} C_{a_0 \cdots a_{p-3}} e^{a_0 \cdots a_{p-3}} C_{a_0 \cdots a_{p-3}}
\]

\[
p^a e^{a_0 \cdots a_{p-1}} C_{a_0 \cdots a_{p-1}}
\]

are not zero. In particular in order to make sense of non-supersymmetric amplitudes in the world volume of non-BPS
branes, the equations
\[ p^a \epsilon^{a_0 \cdots a_{p-3} b c} = p^a \epsilon^{a_0 \cdots a_{p-1} d} \]  
must be non-zero.

Hence for non-supersymmetric amplitudes first we must extract the traces and keep in mind the above points, that is, the equations that we found for some of the BPS branes cannot be applied to non-BPS amplitudes in the presence of a scalar field and an RR. Indeed we expect to see that behaviour because the equations that hold for the BPS cases not necessarily obtain for the non-supersymmetric cases, whereas for the BPS branes the equations seem to be more manifest, while this may have been changed after symmetry breaking. The lesson is that for scattering of the mixed scalars–tachyons in the presence of an RR (in its asymmetric picture), one needs to break several identities that necessary hold for BPS branes.

What about the third term of Eq. (40)? One might add it to the first term of Eq. (40) and find that
\[ k_{2b}k_{3c}k_{4d}(p^i \epsilon^{a_0 \cdots a_{p-2} b c} C^{i}_{a_0 \cdots a_{p-2} + p^a \epsilon^{a_0 \cdots a_{p-3} b c} C^{i}_{a_0 \cdots a_{p-3}} \right)
should vanish; however, from (33) we know that the first term of Eq. (40) holds and plays a crucial role in effective field theory. Thus we need to explore a new Bianchi identity for the third term of Eq. (40). In fact if we actually apply momentum conservation to the third term of Eq. (40) and because of the antisymmetric property of \( \epsilon \) we conclude that the equation \( p^a \epsilon^{a_0 \cdots a_{p-3} b c} C^{i}_{a_0 \cdots a_{p-3}} \right)\) vanish must vanish for brane–anti-brane amplitudes.

Therefore, the lesson we have learnt is as follows. In the presence of an asymmetric RR, a scalar and some tachyons, one needs to find new Bianchi identities to get the same S-matrix elements as obtained by a symmetric RR, a scalar and some tachyons. This is because we have no gauge field to check the gauge invariance of the amplitude and, more accurately, there is no Ward identity for the scalar field.

Now let us address the same S-matrix of a symmetric RR, two tachyons and a scalar field in the zero picture, \( \langle C^{(-1)} \phi^{(0)} T^{(-1)} T^{(0)} \right)\).

9 \( C^{(-1)} \phi^{(0)} T^{(-1)} T^{(0)} \)

Finally this S-matrix element of a symmetric RR field, one scalar in the zero picture and two tachyons on the world volume of the brane–anti-brane system can be written down:
\[ \mathcal{A}^{C^{(-1)} \phi^{(0)} T^{(-1)} T^{(0)} \right) \sim \int \ldots \times \langle V^{(0)}(x_1) V^{(-1)}(x_2) V^{(0)}(x_3) V^{(-1)}(z, \bar{z}) \right) \]

with the following vertices
\[ V^{(-1)}(y) = e^{-\phi(y)} e^{2i k \cdot X(y)} \otimes \sigma_2 \]

we just write down the amplitude in its compact form as
\[ \mathcal{A}^{C^{(-1)} \phi^{(0)} T^{(-1)} T^{(0)} \right) \sim \int \ldots \times \langle (i p^i x_{14} \left| x_{15} \right| x_{14} x_{15} )^{-1/2} (x_{24} x_{25})^{-1/2} I \right) + 2i k_{1a} l_{2a} \]

where \( x_{ij} = x_i - x_j \),
\[ I = \ldots \times \left| x_{12} x_{13} x_{14} x_{15} x_{24} x_{25} \right| \]

and also
\[ P^{b i a} = \langle S_{\alpha}(x_4) : S_{\beta}(x_5) : \psi^b(x_3) : \right) \]

\[ = 2^{-1/2} x_{14} x_{35}^{-1/2} (x_{34} x_{35})^{-1/2} (y^b C^{-1}) \alpha \beta \]

Notice that, given the above correlations, one may easily investigate the \( SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \) transformation of the S-matrix. We performed gauge fixing by just fixing the location of the open strings so that the final integration needs to be done over the closed string RR's position on the upper half complex plane.\(^{24}\)

Once more after gauge fixing one finds the same sort of integration as we discussed earlier.\(^{25}\)

Having calculated all the desired integrals, one could explore the result for the S-matrix in its particular picture as follows:
\[ \mathcal{A}^{C^{(-1)} \phi^{(0)} T^{(-1)} T^{(0)} \right) = A_1 + A_2 + A_3 \]

in such a way that all its components are given by
\[ A_1 \sim -2i x_{12} x_{13} x_{14} x_{15} x_{24} x_{25} \]
\[ A_2 \sim -2i x_{12} x_{13} x_{14} x_{15} x_{24} x_{25} \]

\(^{24}\) We have
\[ x_1 = 0, \quad x_2 = 1, \quad x_3 \to \infty, \quad dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \to x_3^2. \]

\(^{25}\) We have
\[ \int d^2 z |1 - z|^2 |z - \bar{z}| (z + \bar{z})^d. \]
where the $L_1$, $L_2$ functions are already given in (34). If we compare (48) with (33), then we might wonder whether the first term of $A_{C^{-1}\phi^{-1}A^0 T^0}$ is an extra term, because it is also related to all infinite singularities. However, it is worthwhile pointing out that we have already produced all the infinite $u$ channel gauge poles by taking into account the second term of Eq. (48). Therefore one has to find or generalise new Bianchi identities to actually remove the first extra term of Eq. (48). Hence we apply momentum conservation, $k_{1a} = -k_{2a} - k_{3a} - p_a$, to the second term of (48), extract the traces, use the antisymmetric property of the $\epsilon$ tensor and eventually add the first and second components of the amplitude to derive the following Bianchi identity:

$$\xi_1 i k_{3b}(-p_a \epsilon^{ab_0\cdots ab_{p-2}} H^{i}_{b_0\cdots ab_{p-2}} + p^i \epsilon^{a_0\cdots a_p-1b} H_{b_0\cdots a_p-1}) = 0. \quad (49)$$

Thus by holding (49) and keeping in mind momentum conservation along the world volume of the brane we are precisely able to obtain the same S-matrix as appeared in (33), so we find the following crucial fact as regards this five point function of the brane–anti-brane system.

If we consider an RR in the asymmetric picture $C^{-3/2, -1/2}$ and a scalar field in the zero picture with some other open string tachyons then we must find all new Bianchi identities to be able to remove all the extra apparent singularities of asymmetric picture of the brane–anti-brane systems.

In the next section we will reveal some features for non-BPS branes to actually restore the Ward identity associated to the gauge field. Indeed if we replace $\xi_2a \rightarrow k_{2a}$ inside (52), then the first term of Eq. (52) is automatically zero because $k_{2a}k_{3a} \epsilon^{b_0\cdots b_{p-2}c} = 0$;

replacing $\xi_2a \rightarrow k_{2a}$ inside the second, third, fourth and fifth term of Eq. (52) we also get a zero result for the whole S-matrix so gauge invariance is satisfied. Thus based on just replacing $\xi_2a \rightarrow k_{2a}$ of the gauge field, the whole S-matrix vanishes.

Having explained all the needed ingredients of the S-matrices, in the following we would like to change the vertex of the scalar field and see what happens to the gauge invariance of a mixture of five point world-sheet amplitudes of a

$$\langle V_{\phi^{-1}}(x_1) V_{\phi}(x_2) V_T^{(0)}(x_3) \rangle_V \frac{(1)}{(1, 2)}, \quad (50)$$

with the following vertex operators:

$$V_{\phi^{-1}}(y) = \xi_1 \psi(y) e^{\epsilon_{\phi^{-1}} X(y)} e^{-\phi(y)} \otimes \sigma_3,$$

$$V_{\phi}(x) = \tilde{\xi}_2 \partial^\mu X(x) + i a' \eta_\psi \psi \eta_\psi(x) e^{\phi_{\psi}(x)} \otimes I,$$

and $u' = (-u - \frac{1}{2})$ and also

$$L_1' = (2)^{-2(t+i+u)} \frac{\Gamma(-u + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(-u - \frac{1}{2})} \Gamma(-t + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(-u - \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\Gamma(-s + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(-s - \frac{1}{2})} \Gamma(-u + \frac{1}{2})$$

$$L_3' = (2)^{-2(t+i+s)} \frac{\Gamma(-u - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(-u + \frac{1}{2})} \Gamma(-t - \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(-u - \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\Gamma(-s - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(-s + \frac{1}{2})} \Gamma(-u - \frac{1}{2}).$$

27 We have

$$k_{2a} \tilde{\xi}_1 (u' - u') Tr (P_{\phi} H_{(a)} M_p \eta_\phi \eta_\phi) = 0,$$

$$k_{3a} \tilde{\xi}_1 (u' - u') Tr (P_{\phi} H_{(a)} M_p \eta_\phi \eta_\phi) = 0.$$



\[ A_3 \sim -2i^{1/2} \xi_{i1} [\text{Tr} (P_{\phi} H_{(a)} M_p \gamma^i)] L_2 \quad (48) \]

The final result in this symmetric picture was read off as

$$A_{C^{-1}\phi^{-1}A^0 T^0} = A_1 + A_2 \quad (51)$$

where

$$A_1 \sim 2\xi_{i1} \xi_{2a} k_{3a} k_{2a} \text{Tr} (P_{\phi} H_{(a)} M_p \gamma^a) L_1',$$

$$A_2 \sim (2L_3') [-i \text{Tr} (P_{\phi} H_{(a)} M_p \gamma^a \xi_{2a} \xi_1) u' - 2tk_{3a} \xi_2 \text{Tr} (P_{\phi} H_{(a)} M_p \gamma^a \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \xi_1) + \text{Tr} (P_{\phi} H_{(a)} M_p \gamma^a \gamma_3 \xi_1) (-2t(k_3 \xi_2) + 2u' k_1 \xi_2)]. \quad (52)$$

10 $C^{-1}\phi^0 A^{-1} T^0$ S-matrix

In [48] the five point world-sheet amplitude of a symmetric RR with one scalar, a gauge field and a tachyon in the world volume of non-BPS branes of type II string theory $\langle C^{-1}\phi^{-1}A^0 T^0 \rangle$ was achieved and all the correlators were found.\[26\]

\[ 26 \text{ We have } A_{C^{-1}\phi^{-1}A^0 T^0} \sim \int dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dz \]
symmetric RR with one scalar in the zero picture, a gauge field and a tachyon in the world volume of non-BPS branes of type II string theory. This \( (C^{-1} \phi^0 A^{-1} T^0) \) amplitude is given by the following correlation functions:

\[
A_{C^{-1} \phi^0 A^{-1} T^0} \sim \int \mathrm{d}x_1 \mathrm{d}x_2 \mathrm{d}x_3 \mathrm{d}x_5 (P_\mathcal{H}(\alpha M_p)^{ab}) \\
\times \xi_{\ell} \psi^a(x_1) \psi^b(x_2) \psi^c(x_3) \psi^d(x_4) \psi^{e}(x_5) \\
\times \langle : \psi^a(x_1) \psi^b(x_2) \psi^c(x_3) \psi^d(x_4) \psi^{e}(x_5) : \rangle I_{\xi \xi_2} \xi_{\ell},
\]

(53)

Let us write down the rest of the vertex operators, including their CP factors,

\[
V^{(-1)}(y) = \xi_{\ell} \psi^a(x) e^{i\phi(x)} \otimes \sigma_3, \\
V^{(0)}(x) = \xi_{\ell} (\hat{\phi}^i(X(x) + i \alpha k \psi^i(x))) e^{i\phi(x)} \otimes I
\]

(54)

where the on-shell conditions for scalar, gauge and tachyon hold.

Once more we deal with just the holomorphic elements of all fields involving \( X^\mu \psi^\mu \phi \), so that the S-matrix is now given by

\[
A_{C^{-1} \phi^0 A^{-1} T^0} \sim \int \mathrm{d}x_1 \mathrm{d}x_2 \mathrm{d}x_3 \mathrm{d}x_4 \mathrm{d}x_5 (P_\mathcal{H}(\alpha M_p)^{ab}) \\
\times \xi_{\ell} \xi_{\ell_2} (\hat{X}^i(x_1) \psi^a(x_2, X_2) \psi^b(x_3) \psi^c(x_4) \psi^d(x_5)) \\
\times \langle : \phi^a(x_1) \phi^b(x_2) \phi^c(x_3) \phi^d(x_4) \phi^{e}(x_5) : \rangle I_{\xi \xi_2} \xi_{\ell_2},
\]

(55)

where \( x_{ij} = x_i - x_j \). Let us find all the fermionic and bosonic correlators:

\[
I_1 = \langle : \phi^a(x_1) \phi^b(x_2) : \phi^c(x_3) \phi^d(x_4ubernetes/tex} \phi^e(x_5) : \rangle \\
I_2 = \langle : \phi^a(x_1) \phi^b(x_2) : \phi^c(x_3) \phi^d(x_4) \phi^e(x_5) : \rangle
\]

(56)

We need to use the Wick-like rule [50] to get all the generalisations of the correlation functions of two spin and two fermion operators such as the following:

\[\text{We have} \quad k^2 = q^2 = p^2 = 0, \quad k_3^2 = 1/4, \quad q, \xi_1 = k_2, \xi_1 = 0.\]

\[\text{We have} \quad I = |x_{12}| \psi^a(x_1) \psi^b(x_2) \psi^c(x_3) \psi^d(x_4) \psi^{e}(x_5) : \rangle
\]

\[\text{with the following Mandelstam variables:} \quad s = -\frac{\alpha'}{2} (k_1 + k_3)^2, \quad t = -\frac{\alpha'}{2} (k_1 + k_2)^2, \quad u = -\frac{\alpha'}{2} (k_2 + k_3)^2.\]

\[\text{See [56].}\]
$$A_2 \sim \left\{ t_1 \xi_1 p (4k_3 \xi_2) \text{Tr}(P_- \mathcal{H}_{(n)} M_p) + 4 \left( u + \frac{1}{4} \right) k_3 \xi_1 \text{Tr}(P_- \mathcal{H}_{(n)} M_p \Gamma^{ci}) k_1 \xi_2 - 4t k_3 \xi_2 k_1 b \xi_1 \text{Tr}(P_- \mathcal{H}_{(n)} M_p \Gamma^{ib}) - 2t \left( u + \frac{1}{4} \right) \xi_1 \xi_2 a \text{Tr}(P_- \mathcal{H}_{(n)} M_p \Gamma^{ai}) \right\} L_3', \quad (64)$$

Note that we have already analysed all infinite $u'$ tachyon and massless $i$ channel scalar poles of the amplitude in [48].

In this picture after replacing $\xi_2 a \rightarrow k_2 a$ (due to the terms $\xi_1 p$) the amplitude does not satisfy the Ward identity associated to the gauge field and indeed the second and third terms would remain whereas $L_3'$ cannot be removed by $L_1'$. Let us compare the result of this amplitude (63) with (52) to make a statement on mixed closed and open string amplitudes including one scalar and one RR and some other open tachyons. The last term in (64) is exactly the second term of Eq. (52), the fourth term in (64) is exactly the last term of Eq. (52). Note that if we add the third and fourth term of (52) and use the momentum conservation along the world volume of the brane, then the result is precisely equivalent with the fifth term of Eq. (64). Once more by using momentum conservation in the world volume direction the first term of Eq. (64) is exactly equivalent with the first term in (52).

However, the second and the third terms of Eq. (64) are extra terms and in particular if we use anti-commutator relation of $\gamma$ matrices these two terms cannot cancel each other due to the fact that $L_3'$ is different from $L_1'$.

Indeed if we replace $\xi_2 a \rightarrow k_2 a$ inside (64) and use momentum conservation along the brane, the first term is automatically zero because

$$k_2 a k_3 k_1 d \epsilon^{a_0 \ldots a_p \gamma_{-2 \ldots a}} = 0$$

By replacing $\xi_2 a \rightarrow k_2 a$ inside the fourth, fifth and sixth terms of Eq. (64) appropriately we also get zero result as follows

$$- 2t u' \xi_1 (k_2 a + k_1 a + k_3 a) \epsilon^{a_0 \ldots a_{p-1} \gamma} = 0$$

Thus the second and third terms give rise the amplitude not to be gauge invariant unless one finds some new Bianchi identities.

$^{31}$ The resolution for this problem (to get satisfied gauge invariance of the above S-matrix) is to add up the third term of (64) with the other terms in $A_2$ of (64) and also to add the first and second term of Eq. (64) together to actually get the so-called new identities as follows:

$$\xi_1 (p \epsilon^{a_0 \ldots a_{p-1}} H_{a_0 \cdots a_{p-1}} - p_1 \epsilon^{a_0 \ldots a_{p-1}} H_{a_0 \cdots a_{p-1}}) = 0$$

$$\xi_1 k_3 k_2 a (-p a_0 \epsilon^{a_0 \ldots a_{p-3}} a_{p-3} H_{a_0 \cdots a_{p-3}} + p' a_0 \epsilon^{a_0 \ldots a_{p-2} a} H_{a_0 \cdots a_{p-2}}) = 0.$$  

10.1 $C^{-2} \phi^0 A^0 T^0$ S-matrix

One can do the same CFT methods to actually derive the entire S-matrix of the above strings in the asymmetric picture. Hence the final answer for the five point world-sheet amplitude of a RR (in an asymmetric picture) with one scalar, a gauge field and a tachyon in the world volume of non-BPS branes of type II string theory $(C^{-2} \phi^0 A^0 T^0)$ is

$$A_{C^{-2} \phi^0 A^0 T^0} = A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4$$  

where

$$A_1 = 2^{3/2} i \xi_1 \xi_2 a k_3 k_2 a L_1' \left( p' \text{Tr}(P_- \mathcal{C}_{(n)} M_p \Gamma^{cab}) - k_1 \text{Tr}(P_- \mathcal{C}_{(n)} M_p \Gamma^{cab id}) \right)$$

$$A_2 = 2^{3/2} i \xi_1 \xi_2 a L_1' \left( (2t k_3 \xi_2 (-k_3 - k_2) + 2k_1 k_3 - tu \xi_2 a) \right)$$

$$A_3 = 2^{3/2} i \xi_1 \xi_2 a L_1' \text{Tr}(P_- \mathcal{C}_{(n)} M_p \Gamma^{c id})$$

$$A_4 = 2^{3/2} i \xi_1 \xi_2 a L_1' tu \xi_2 a \text{Tr}(P_- \mathcal{C}_{(n)} M_p \Gamma^{c ai})$$

$$+ (k_3 + k_1 + k_2)$$

with $u' = (-u - \frac{1}{2})$ and the same introduced $L_1', L_3', L_1$ has infinite contact interactions and $L_3'$ has infinite $t, t'$ scalar-tachyon channel poles accordingly.

The nice thing about this asymmetric S-matrix is that without introducing any further Bianchi identity this amplitude automatically satisfies the Ward identity associated to the gauge field, which means that if we replace $\xi_2 a \rightarrow k_2 a$ the whole S-matrix vanishes where the following points are needed. In the first term of $A_2$ one has to apply momentum conservation along the world volume of the brane $-k_3 - k_2 = p_c + k_1 a$ and apply the following identity:

$$p_1 \epsilon^{a_0 \ldots a_{p-1} a} = 0.$$  

We need to apply momentum conservation in $A_3$'s first term, that is, $-k_1 a - k_2 a = p_i + k_3 a$ and then draw particular attention to the fact that this part of the S-matrix involves $k_3 a k_2 a \epsilon^{a_0 \ldots a_{p-2} a} C_{a_0 \cdots a_{p-2}}$, which is zero because of the antisymmetric property of the $\epsilon$ tensor. Likewise, we need to replace $k_3 a + k_2 a = -p_c - k_1 a$ and $k_1 a k_3 a \epsilon^{a_0 \ldots a_{p-2} a} C_{a_0 \cdots a_{p-2}} = 0$. Finally, we apply momentum conservation to the last term of Eq. (67) and note that $p_1 \epsilon^{a_0 \ldots a_{p-2} a} C_{a_0 \cdots a_{p-2}} = 0$ plays the crucial role in checking the Ward identity.

The last remark about the asymmetric picture of the S-matrices is that one finds all the entire contact interactions of string theory amplitudes properly. For instance this amplitude...
includes several contact interaction terms like the first term and the last terms of Eq. (67), which could be missed in its symmetric picture of (64).

11 Conclusion

We have derived scattering amplitudes of all three, four and five point BPS and non-BPS mixture of a closed string Ramond–Ramond, a scalar field, gauge and tachyons in all their different pictures of both world volume and transverse directions (for the general $p$, $n$ cases) of type IIA (IIB) string theory.

In particular we have shown that if we carry out the calculations of higher point functions in an asymmetric picture of Ramond–Ramond (taking its vertex operator in terms of its potential $C^{-2}$) and scalar field in the zero picture, then various equations must be kept fixed for BPS branes, the entire contact interactions can be definitely obtained and, most importantly, the Ward identity associated to the gauge field is also satisfied.

More accurately, by direct calculations on the upper half plane, we have also observed that some of the Bianchi identities (which must be true) for BPS branes cannot be necessarily applied to non-BPS amplitudes, otherwise the whole S-matrix might vanish. Indeed in the presence of the scalar field and RR, the terms carrying momentum of an RR in the transverse directions ($p_i$, $p_j$) play an important role in the entire form of the S-matrix and one has to keep them in five point functions.

We expect it to be true for higher point functions of string theory amplitudes and it would be nice to check it directly. It would also be important to deal with some other subtleties of perturbative string theory [44–47].
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