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**Objective.** To conduct a comprehensive PRISMA-compliant systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the efficacy and safety of Chinese medicines (CMs) as an adjuvant therapy for unresectable HCC during transarterial chemoembolization (TACE).

**Methods.** Main databases were searched up to October 2012 for randomized controlled trials (RCTs) evaluating the effects of CMs plus TACE on unresectable HCC compared with TACE alone. References of relevant reviews and eligible studies were also assessed. Risk ratios with 95% confidence intervals and mean difference were calculated. Heterogeneity and publication bias were examined. Results. Sixty-seven trials (N = 5,211) were included in the meta-analysis. Sensitivity analysis and random-effects model were performed for assessing significant heterogeneity. CMs plus TACE showed beneficial effects on tumor response, survival at 6, 12, 18, 24, and 36 months, quality of life, and TACE toxicity reduction compared with TACE alone. Conclusion. The results show that the use of CMs may increase the efficacy and reduce the toxicity of TACE in treating patients with unresectable HCC. These findings suggest that CMs could be considered as an adjuvant therapy for unresectable HCC patients during TACE. Larger-scale RCTs using standard methods and long-term follow-up are warranted to confirm these findings.

1. Introduction

Liver cancer, mainly hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), ranks the sixth most common cancer and the third leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide [1, 2]. Annually, more than 748,000 new cases are diagnosed and 695,000 died with liver cancer. HCC is mostly unresectable as many were detected at advanced stage with poor liver function, high tumor recurrence rate, and metastasis [3]. As most HCC patients are not suitable candidates for curative resection, transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) is the most commonly used for unresectable HCC patients as a primary and palliative therapy because of improvement in survival [4–6]. However, severe side effects including liver and renal failure, bone marrow depression, postembolization syndrome, and liver abscess were observed with the use of TACE [4, 7].

Chinese medicines (CMs) were commonly used in treating HCC with side effects seldom reported. Increasing number of studies was conducted in assessing the effects of CMs on HCC. Experimental studies found the chemopreventive effects and anti-HCC properties of CMs mainly through the induction of apoptosis and autophagy and cytotoxicity on cancer cells [75–78]. Although three systematic reviews evaluating the efficacy of CMs on HCC had been published [79–81], the effect of CMs combined with TACE in treating HCC remains uncertain. No systematic review was conducted...
according to the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) [82]. Moreover, two of these reviews included nonrandomized controlled trials which probably overestimated the beneficial effects of CMs [79, 81]. Another review had not focused on specific stage of HCC [80]. In addition, a significant proportion of related randomized controlled trials (RCTs), especially those published recently (2007 afterward, 27 studies), were not included in these reviews. Therefore, we conducted a comprehensive and PRISMA-compliant systematic review and meta-analysis to investigate the efficacy of CMs on unresectable HCC including updated trials published after 2007. Specifically, we aim to critically appraise the efficacy and safety of CMs as an adjuvant therapy for unresectable HCC patients during TACE treatment focusing on outcomes of survival, tumor response, quality of life (QoL), and TACE toxicity.

2. Methods

This systematic review was conducted according to the PRISMA statement [82].

2.1. Search Strategies. Main electronic databases including MEDLINE (1946–2012), EMBASE (1947–2012), AMED (1985–2012), CINAHL Plus (1937–2012), PubMed (January 1966–2012), the Cochrane Library (1996–2012), Chinese Biomedical CD Database (CBM, January 1980–2012), China Network Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI, 1991–2012), TCM Online (1949–2012), Chinese Medical Current Contents (CMCC, 1994–2012), and WanFang Data (1989–2012) were searched for eligible studies. The latest search was performed on October 2012. References of relevant reviews and eligible studies were also checked.

The search terms used were “liver cancer,” “hepatocellular carcinoma,” “primary liver carcinoma,” “Chinese medicine,” “herbal medicine,” “traditional medicine,” and “complementary medicine” without restriction on publication language and publication type. Free-text and MeSH terms were used when allowed. The search strategies in Chinese and English were slightly adjusted to suit the instructions of different databases.

2.2. Study Selection Criteria. Eligible RCTs examining the efficacy of CMs plus TACE in treating unresectable HCC were assessed. Inclusion criteria were as follows: (a) RCTs; (b) participants in treatment group received combination therapy consisting of CMs and TACE and TACE alone in control group; (c) participants had unresectable or stage II or above primary HCC which were confirmed by cytological or pathological results, or met the criteria of the European association for the study of the liver guideline; (d) reported data on at least one of the outcomes including survival, tumor response, QoL using the Karnofsky performance scale (KPS), or TACE-related toxicity.

Primary outcomes were 6-month, 12-month, 18-month, 24-month, and 36-month survival and tumor response. Secondary outcomes included KPS (QoL) and TACE toxicity. Survival was defined as the number of patients in each intervention group who were alive at 6, 12, 18, 24, or 36 months. Tumor response has to be assessed using the World Health Organization (WHO) criteria, which were commonly used to evaluate therapeutic efficacy on solid tumors [83, 84]. According to the results of CT and/or MRI, the efficacy of anticancer agents was classified as follows: complete response (CR) refers to the disappearance of all visible tumor lesions; partial response (PR) refers to 50% or more decrease in the lesions; no change (NC) refers to either less than 50% decrease in total tumor size or at least 25% increase in the lesions; and progressive disease (PD) refers to at least 25% increase in the size of the lesions. Tumor response was defined as CR plus PR and compared before and after treatment. TACE-related toxicity including gastrointestinal and bone marrow toxicities was evaluated using the 5-point WHO scale (grade 0–4) on reporting acute and subacute toxic effects [85].

Exclusion criteria included the following: (a) using other complementary medicines in treatment or control group; (b) metastatic HCC; (c) inconsistency of reporting on methods, results, or both; and (d) duplicated or redundant publications.

2.3. Study Selection. All searched titles and abstracts were screened independently by two authors (Fan Cheung and Xuanbin Wang) according to the predefined eligibility criteria. Disagreements were resolved by consensus or consulting a third author (Yibin Feng). Full texts of the potentially eligible studies were retrieved and further assessed by these two authors (Fan Cheung and Xuanbin Wang) using the same method.

2.4. Data Extraction. Data of the included studies were extracted independently and cross-checked by two authors (FC and XBW) using a standardized extraction form which was generated at the protocol stage. The extracted items comprised (1) authors and year of publication; (2) study design; (3) participant characteristics; (4) intervention details, and (5) outcome measures.

2.5. Study Quality Assessment. Study quality was independently evaluated by two authors (Fan Cheung and Xuanbin Wang) using the six dimensions of Cochrane “risk of bias” assessment [114]. The assessment criteria included sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome data, and other bias. Each dimension was rated as “yes” (low risk of bias), “unclear” (unclear risk of bias), or “no” (high risk of bias). Studies with 3 or more “yes” were classified as high quality with low risk of bias and 0–2 poor quality with high risk of bias. As bias of blinding may be more severe for subjective outcomes (e.g., QoL) than for objective outcomes (e.g., survival and tumour response), separate analyses for different outcomes were conducted as recommended by Cochrane collaboration [114].

2.6. Statistical Analysis. Review Manager 5.1 (The Nordic Cochrane Centre, Copenhagen, Denmark) was used for data analysis. Risk ratios (RRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and mean difference (MD) were calculated for dichotomous and continuous data, respectively. Heterogeneity was
assessed using $X^2$ test and $I^2$ statistic with $P < 0.1$ or $I^2 > 50\%$ was treated as substantial heterogeneity [114]. Significant statistical heterogeneity was further assessed using sensitivity analyses and results were estimated using random-effects model. In contrast, a fixed-effect model was used for homogeneous studies. Publication bias was examined using funnel plots [115] and Egger's test [116] (STATA 10.0, StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). $P$ values lower than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

A total of 2802 potential trials were identified for this review, of which 891 were duplicate records and 1514 were excluded because of narrative/systematic review, nonclinical trials, irrelevance, no comparison group, or not meeting the inclusion criteria of this study (Figure 1). The full text of 397 articles was retrieved for further evaluation, of which 330 were excluded for the reasons of not RCTs ($n = 101$), not according to the inclusion criteria ($n = 225$), incomplete outcome data ($n = 3$), or duplicate publication ($n = 1$). Finally, 67 RCTs with a total of 5211 patients (study sample size ranged from 25 to 236) [8–74] were included in this study. Two of the included studies were retrieved from the relevant reviews and studies [20, 21].

3.1. Study Descriptions. All studies were conducted in hospital settings in China, of which 6 were multicentre studies [18, 36, 39, 57, 58, 67] and the remaining were single-centre studies (Table 1). All studies adapted parallel-arm group design. Nearly, all studies, except one [18], were published in Chinese from 1999 to 2011. Participants aged from 18 to 78 years old. Near half ($n = 32$) described the enrollment criteria (diagnosis, inclusion and exclusion criteria).

3.2. Methodological Quality. Of the 67 included studies, only 15 studies reported the methods of allocation sequence generation, which included using a random number table [11, 26, 43, 60, 65], drawing of lots [17], shuffling envelopes [28, 56], stratified randomization [30, 63], and referring to the sequence of admission [12, 49, 64, 69, 70]. The remaining 52 studies described that the participants were “randomly allocated,” but the allocation procedures were not reported. None of the studies mentioned the method of allocation concealment. Twenty studies reporting objective outcomes were rated as at low risk of blinding bias. Most studies (82%) reported no significant difference of baseline characteristics. No study described intention-to-treat analysis. Only 6 studies [21, 38, 47, 59, 60, 65] reported the information of dropouts, in which 3 studies [21, 38, 59] provided reasons of withdrawal. Forty studies were rated as at low risk of bias for incomplete
Table 1: Characteristics of the included studies.

| Study                  | Sample size (T/C) | Design (sequence generation) | Baseline characteristics | TACE | Intervention Experimental CMs | Duration | Outcome measures                                                                 |
|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------|-------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ayi and Liu 2011 [8]   | 108 (54/54)       | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): 56 (28–77) Disease stage: NA Child-Pugh score: C KPS: >60 | 5-FU, HCPT, LP | Ai Di injection (60L/d) | 56 ds | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) Survival at 6/12/24 mons (3) KPS (QoL increase) (4) AE |
| Bao 2007 [9]           | 54 (28/26)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): 51 (25–68) Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: A, B KPS: NA | 5-FU, DDP, MMC, HCPT, EPI, LP, GSP | Kang Ai injection (40–60 mL/d) | 1 mon | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) AE |
| Cao et al. 2005 [10]   | 100 (50/50)       | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): NA Disease stage: NA Child-Pugh score: A KPS: NA | 5-FU, MMC, LP | Gan Fu Kang capsule (1 capsule, t.i.d.) | 60–80 ds | (1) Survival at 6/12/24/36 mons |
| Chen and Ding 2007 [11]| 60 (32/28)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (random number table) | Age range: 36–70 Disease stage: NA Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: >60 | 5-FU, MMC, OX, LP, GSP | Ai Di injection (60 mL/d) | 42 ds | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) KPS (QoL increase) (3) AE |
| Dan et al. 2007 [12]   | 70 (35/35)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (sequence of admission) | Age range: 29–70 Disease stage: II, III, IV Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA | 5-FU, DDP, THP, LP | Fu Zheng Ping Gan Xiao Liu Tang (1 dose/d) | 1–6 mons | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) Survival at 6/12/18 mons (3) KPS (QoL increase) |
| Deng et al. 2009 [13]  | 40 (20/20)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age: 52 (26–66) Disease stage: III, IV Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: >70 | THP, LP | Fu Fang Ku Shen injection (20 mL/d) | 2 mons | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) KPS (QoL increase) (3) AE |
| Dong et al. 2007 [14]  | 65 (33/32)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age: 56.5 Disease stage: II, III, IV Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: >60 | 5-FU, DDP, THP, LP | Ai Di injection (80–100 mL/d) | 56 ds | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) KPS (QoL increase) (3) AE |
| Dong et al. 2008 [15]  | 133 (67/66)       | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): 56 (28–77) Disease stage: NA Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: >60 | 5-FU, THP, LP | Jing Long capsule (1 g, t.i.d.) | 56 ds | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) Survival at 6/12/24 mons (3) KPS (QoL increase) (4) AE |
| Han 2009 [16]          | 48 (30/18)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): 49.9 (32–70) Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA | ADM, MMC, CBDCA, LP, GSP | Blood-activating and stasis-resolving herbs (NA) | NA | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) KPS (QoL increase) |
| Study                  | Sample size (T/C) | Design (sequence generation)                  | Baseline characteristics                                      | Intervention | Experimental CMs                                                                 | Duration | Outcome measures                               |
|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Hou and Lu 2009 [17]  | 72 (36/36)       | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (drawing of lots) | Age range: 34–72 <br>Disease stage: NA <br>Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: >70 | TACE         | CMs given according to CM syndrome differentiation (1 dose/d)                    | 4 wks    | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) <br>(2) KPS (QoL increase) <br>(3) AE |
| Huang et al. 2002 [18]| 57 (30/27)       | Multicentre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): 59.5 (35–70) <br>Disease stage: II, III <br>Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA | 5-FU, MMC, HCPT, LP | Kang Lai’Te injection (200 mL/d) plus Bai Hua She She Cao injection (30 mL/d) | 2–4 mons | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) <br>(2) Survival at 12 mons <br>(3) AE |
| Huang 2008 [19]       | 50 (30/20)       | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): NA <br>Disease stage: II, III <br>Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA | 5-FU, DDP, MMC, HCPT, LP | Ci Dan capsule (5 capsules, q.i.d.)                                               | 4 mons   | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) <br>(2) Survival at 12 mons <br>(3) AE |
| Jia et al. 2003 [20]  | 66 (34/32)       | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): NA <br>Disease stage: T3-4N0M0 <br>Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: ≥60 | 5-FU, DDP, ADM, MMC, LP, GSP | Brucea javanica oil Injection (30 mL/d)                                           | 2–4 mons | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) <br>(2) AE |
| Li et al. 2009 [21]   | 64 (32/32)       | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): NA <br>Disease stage: II, III <br>Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: ≥60 | 5-FU, DDP, ADM, LP | Kang Lai’Te capsule (6 capsules, q.i.d.)                                          | 42–63 ds | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) <br>(2) AE |
| Li 2007 [22]          | 36 (20/16)       | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): 50.9 (32–70) <br>Disease stage: II, III <br>Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA | MMC, THP, CBDDA, LP, GSP | CMs for fortifying the spleen and activating the blood (1 dose/d)                 | NA       | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) <br>(2) KPS (QoL increase) |
| Li and Fan 2008 [23]  | 128 (64/64)      | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): NA <br>Disease stage: NA <br>Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: ≥70 | 5-FU, EPI, MMC, LP | Fu Zheng Kang Ai’ Tang (1 dose/d)                                                  | 3 mons   | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) <br>(2) Survival at 6/12/24 mons <br>(3) AE |
| Liang et al. 2005 [24]| 68 (35/33)       | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Age range: 29–70 <br>Disease stage: II, III <br>Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA | DDP, MMC, EPI, LP | Matrine injection (150 mL/d)                                                       | 28 ds    | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) <br>(2) AE |
Table 1: Continued.

| Study             | Sample size (T/C) | Design (sequence generation) | Baseline characteristics                      | Intervention | Outcome measures                           | Duration            |
|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Liang et al. 2008 [25] | 121 (64/57)       | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): 44.8 (30–70) 
Disease stage: II, III 
Child-Pugh score: NA 
KPS: ≥60 | 5-FU, ADM, MMC, CBDCA, LP | Gi Dan capsule (5 capsules, q.i.d.) | 2 mons     |
| Liang et al. 2005 [26] | 146 (75/71)       | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (random number table) | Mean age (range): 50.7 (20–74) 
Disease stage: III, IV 
Child-Pugh score: A, B, C 
KPS: NA | MMC, EPI, CBDCA, LP | Bu Zhong Yi Qi Tang (1st–3rd month: 1 dose/d; 4th–6th month: 2 doses/w) | 6 mons     |
| Ling 2010 [27]    | 128 (64/64)       | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Age range: 39–62 
Disease stage: II, III, IV 
Child-Pugh score: NA 
KPS: NA | 5-FU, DDP, EPI, LP | Xiao Liu Tang (1 dose/d) | 2–3 mons  |
| Liu et al. 2007 [28] | 70 (34/36)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (shuffling envelopes) | Mean age (range): 50.7 (28–67) 
Disease stage: II, III 
Child-Pugh score: NA 
KPS: NA | 5-FU, DDP, ADM, MMC, HCPT, LP, GSP | Kang Ai injection (40 mL/d) | 20 ds     |
| Lu and He 2009 [29] | 48 (24/24)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Age range: 28–68 
Disease stage: II 
Child-Pugh score: NA 
KPS: NA | DDP, MMC, EPI, LP | Experience CMs formula (NA) | 3–12 mons |
| Lu et al. 2010 [30] | 60 (30/30)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (stratified randomization) | Mean age: 49.4 
Disease stage: II, III, IV 
Child-Pugh score: NA 
KPS: NA | DDP, ADM, MMC, LP | Yang Gan Kang Ai Wan (9 g, t.i.d.) | 135–270 ds |
| Lu et al. 2007 [31] | 63 (33/30)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Age range: 18–71 
Disease stage: II, III 
Child-Pugh score: A, B, C 
KPS: 50–90 | DDP, GC, LP | Kang Ai injection (40 mL/d) | 40 ds     |
| Meng 2008 [32]    | 148 (75/73)       | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age: 56 
Disease stage: II, III | 5-FU, THP, LP | Ai Di injection (50 mL/d) | 28 ds     |
Table 1: Continued.

| Study                  | Sample size (T/C) | Design (sequence generation)                          | Baseline characteristics | Intervention | Experimental CMs | Duration | Outcome measures                  |
|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|
| Shi and Sun 2005 [33]  | 50 (30/20)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): 52.3 (37–65) Disease stage: NA Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA | TACE 5-FU, MMC, LP, GSP | Tan Re Qing injection (40 mL/d) | ≥14 ds  | (1) KPS (QoL increase)           |
| Qiao 2010 [34]         | 40 (20/20)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Age range: 18–65 Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: >50 | TACE 5-FU, DDP, ADM, LP | Ai Tong Xiao granule (1 pack/d) | 56 ds   | (1) KPS (QoL increase) (2) AE    |
| Sun et al. 2002 [35]  | 236 (118/118)     | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): 51.4 (26–74) Disease stage: NA Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA | TACE MMC, EPI, CBDCA, LP | Hua Chan Su injection (20 mL/d) | 1–24 Ks | (1) Survival at 12/24/36 mons (2) AE |
| Tang et al. 2010 [36] | 50 (30/20)        | Multicentre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age: 54.1 Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: >60 | TACE ADM, MMC, LP, GSP | Fu Gan injection (20 mL/d) | 2 mons  | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) KPS (QoL increase) |
| Tian et al. 2001 [37] | 43 (23/20)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): 52.2 (23–73) Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: 60–80 | TACE 5-FU, DDP, ADM, LP | Fu Zheng Jie Du Tang (1 dose/d) | 18–88 ds | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) KPS (QoL increase) |
| Tian 2006 [38]        | 72 (36/36)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): 53 (33–75) Disease stage: NA Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: ≥70 | TACE 5-FU, DDP, ADM, MMC, LP | Ai Yi Shu injection (0.5 mg/d) | NA      | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) Survival at 6/12/18/24 mons (3) KPS (QoL increase) (4) AE |
| Wang et al. 2002 [39] | 95 (47/48)        | Multicentre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): 50.5 (28–73) Disease stage: NA Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: ≥60 | TACE 5-FU, ADM, MMC, THP HCPT, CBDCA, LP, GSP | 960 mixture (NA) | 42–210 ds | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) KPS (QoL increase) (3) AE |
| Wang and Cheng 2009 [40] | 57 (27/30) | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): 48 (30–65) Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: ≥70 | TACE 5-FU, DDP, ADM, LP, GSP | Fu Fang Ku Shen injection (20 mL/d) | ≥20 ds  | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) Survival at 12/24/36 mons (3) KPS (QoL increase) |
| Wang and Yang 2002 [41] | 60 (30/30) | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age: 55.7 Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: >60 | TACE ADM, DDP, MMC, LP | Gan ji granule (1 pack, b.i.d.) | 3–4 mons | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) Survival at 6/12 mons |
| Wang et al. 2008 [42] | 86 (43/43)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age: 55.56 Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: >60 | TACE DDP, ADM, MMC, LP | Jian Pi Qing Gan He Ji (200 mL, b.i.d.) | 2 mons  | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) |
| Study               | Sample size (T/C) | Design (sequence generation) | Baseline characteristics | Intervention | Experimental CMs | Duration | Outcome measures                        |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|------------------|----------|----------------------------------------|
| Wang et al. 2007    | 43 (22/21)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (random number table) | Mean age (range): 64 (35–78) Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA | TACE | ADM, MMC, CBDDA | 56 ds   | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) KPS (QoL increase) (3) AE |
| Wang 2008          | 59 (30/29)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): 61 (38–78) Disease stage: NA Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA | 5-FU, ADM/EPI, MMC, LP, GSP | Experience CMs formula (1 dose/d) | >2 mons | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) KPS (QoL increase) |
| Weng et al. 2008    | 96 (55/41)       | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age: 51.4 Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA | Hua Chan Su injection (20 mL/d) | 20 ds   | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) Survival at 6/12 mons (3) AE |
| Wu et al. 2000      | 80 (36/44)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age: 51.4 Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA | 5-FU, DDP, MMC, LP, GSP | Hu Gan Ruan Jian Fang (NA) | NA      | (1) Survival at 36 mons |
| Wu 1999            | 25 (13/12)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): 55.4 (38–69) Disease stage: II Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA | 5-FU, DDP, MMC, LP | Yi Guan Jian Jia Wei (NA) | 24 wks  | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) Survival at 6/12 mons |
| Wu et al. 2003      | 60 (30/30)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): 51.9 (28–72) Disease stage: II, III | 5-FU, ADM (or DDP), MMC, LP | Fu Zheng Jie Du Tang (1 dose/d) | NA      | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) Survival at 6/12 mons |
| Xu et al. 2006      | 57 (30/27)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (sequence of admission) | Mean age (range): 52.3 (39–72) Disease stage: NA Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA | 5-FU, MMC, HCPT, LP | 2–4 mons | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) Survival at 12 mons |
| Xu et al. 2007      | 52 (32/20)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Age range: 38–75 Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA | 5-FU, DDP, THP, LP | Ai Di Injection (50 mL/d) | 4 wks   | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) KPS (QoL increase) (3) AE |
| Xu et al. 2007      | 60 (40/20)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Age range: 35–72 Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA | 5-FU, DDP/OX, HCPT, LP | CMs for fortifying the spleen and resolving dampness and activating the blood and detoxifying (1 dose/d) | 2 mons  | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) AE |
| Xue et al. 2002     | 70 (34/36)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): 51.3 (26–72) Disease stage: III, IV Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA | 5-FU, DDP, MMC, LP | Si Jun Zi Tang (1 dose/d) | NA      | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) Survival at 12/24/36 mons (3) AE |
| Study                  | Sample size (T/C) | Design (sequence generation)                                                                 | Baseline characteristics                                                                 | Intervention                                                                 | Experimental CMs                                                                 | Duration | Outcome measures                                                                 |
|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Yang 2010 [53]        | 50 (25/25)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported)                                       | Mean age (range): 54 (31–74) Disease stage: NA Child-Pugh score: A, B KPS: NA              | TACE                                                                          | Lian Hua Qing Gan Yin (1 dose/d)                                                | NA       | (1) Survival at 12/24 mons                                                      |
| Yang 2006 [54]        | 62 (31/31)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported)                                       | Age range: 27–68 Disease stage: NA Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA                            | 5-FU, DDP, EPI, LP GSP                                                      | Ai Di injection (50 mL/d)                                                      | >1 mon   | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness)                                                 |
| Yang 2006 [55]        | 50 (28/22)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported)                                       | Mean age (range): 50.6 (26–75) Disease stage: II, III, IV Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: >60 | 5-FU, DDP, MMC, THP, LP                                                     | Ai Di injection (50 mL/d)                                                      | 32 ds    | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness)                                                 |
| Yi et al. 2008 [56]   | 67 (36/31)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (shuffling envelops)                                | Mean age (range): 53.9 (25–69) Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: >60     | 5-FU, ADM, HCPT, LP GSP                                                     | Kang Ai injection (40 mL/d)                                                     | 12 wks   | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness)                                                 |
| Yu and Kang 2010 [57] | 96 (48/48)        | Multicentre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported)                                        | Mean age (range): 53.1 (30–69) Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA       | 5-FU, ADM, HCPT, LP GSP                                                     | Fu Fang Ku Shen injection (20 mL/d)                                             | 45 ds    | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness)                                                 |
| Yuan et al. 2010 [58] | 62 (31/31)        | Multicentre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported)                                        | Mean age (range): NA Disease stage: NA Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA                      | 5-FU, DDP, MMC, THP, LP GSP                                                 | CMs for soothing the liver, fortifying the spleen, and tonifying the kidney (NA) | 3 mons   | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness)                                                 |
| Yuan and Yu 2005 [59] | 73 (35/38)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported)                                       | Age range: 34–69 Disease stage: NA Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: >50                         | 5-FU, DDP, MMC, HCPT                                                        | Ai Di injection (50 mL/d)                                                      | >20 ds   | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness)                                                 |
| R. Q. Zhai and H. Y. Zhai 2010 [60] | 62 (32/30) | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (random number table)                              | Mean age (range): 55.3 (28–72) Disease stage: III, IV Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: ≥50     | MMC, EPI, CBDCA, LP                                                          | Hu Gan Xiao Zheng Tang (1st–3rd month: 1 dose/d; 4th month: 1 dose/2 d) plus San Jie Xiao Tong Gao (plaster therapy; 1 dose/2 ds) | 4 mons   | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness)                                                 |
| Zhang et al. 2005 [61] | 224 (116/108)    | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported)                                       | Mean age (range): 51.3 (29–76) Disease stage: NA Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA           | MMC, EPI, CBDCA, LP                                                          | Jing Long capsule (4 capsules, t.i.d.)                                         | ≥3 yrs   | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness)                                                 |
| Study                          | Sample size (T/C) | Design (sequence generation)                        | Baseline characteristics | Intervention | Experimental CMs | Duration | Outcome measures                      |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|
| Zhangetal. 2007 [62]          | 60 (30/30)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): 50 (24–75) Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: ≥60 | 5-FU, DDP, ADM, MMC, LP, GSP | Chai Shao Liu Jun Zi Tang (1 dose/d) | >1 mon  | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) KPS (QoL increase) (3) AE |
| Zhangetal. 2008 [63]          | 61 (31/30)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (stratified randomization) | Mean age (range): 50.2 (24–67) Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: A, B, C KPS: NA | 5-FU, MMC, THP, LP | CMs given according to CM syndrome differentiation (1 dose/d) | ≥2 mons | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) Survival at 6/12/18/24 mons (3) KPS (QoL increase) |
| Zhangetal. 2008 [64]          | 64 (31/33)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (sequence of admission) | Age range: 39–73 Disease stage: II, III, IV Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: ≥60 | 5-FU, DDP, HCPT, OX, LP | Jian Pi Fu Gan Tang (1 dose/d) | >2 mons | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) Survival at 6/12/18 mons (3) KPS (QoL increase) |
| Zhangetal. 2007 [65]          | 112 (58/54)       | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (random number table) | Mean age (range): 57.2 (18–70) Disease stage: II Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: ≥50 | 5-FU, DDP, EPI, VDS | Gu Ben Yi Liu II (NA) | ≥2 mons | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) Survival at 6/12/24 mons (3) KPS (QoL increase) (4) AE |
| Zhang 2011 [66]               | 49 (25/24)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): 49.9 (33–71) Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: ≥60 | NA | Blood-activating and stasis-resolving herbs (NA) | 2-3 mons | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) KPS (QoL increase) |
| Zhangetal. 2000 [67]          | 95 (50/45)        | Multicentre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Age range: 29–60 Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA | 5-FU, DDP/ADM, MMC, LP | CMs for soothing the liver and regulating Qi, fortifying the spleen and harmonizing the stomach, tonifying the liver and kidney, and softening hardness and dissipating binds (1 dose/d) | ≥2-3 mons | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) Survival at 6/12/24 mons |
| Zhao and Huang 2005 [68]      | 60 (30/30)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Age range: 38–72 Disease stage: II Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA | 5-FU, EPI, HCPT, LP | Can Qi capsule (NA) | NA | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) AE |
| Study          | Sample size (T/C) | Design (sequence generation) | Baseline characteristics | TACE               | Intervention Experimental CMs | Duration | Outcome measures |
|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------|------------------|
| Zhao et al. 2006 [69] | 94 (48/46)       | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (sequence of admission) | Mean age (range): 52.8 (40–64) Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: >70 | 5-FU, DDP, ADM, HCPT, LP, GSP | Liu Jun Zi Tang (1 dose/d) | 126–168 ds | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) Survival at 12/24/36 mons |
| Zhou et al. 2002 [70] | 228 (118/110)    | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (sequence of admission) | Age range: 28–72 Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA | 5-FU, DDP, ADM, MMC, LP, GSP | Liu Jun Zi Tang (1 dose/d) | NA       | (1) Survival at 6/12/24/36 mons |
| Zhou et al. 2010 [71] | 64 (32/32)       | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age (range): 49.7 (22–70) Disease stage: NA Child-Pugh score: A, B KPS: ≥60 | MMC, BLM-A5, LP, GSP | Kang Ai Fang (1 dose/d) | 3 mons   | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) Survival at 12 mons (3) KPS (QoL increase) |
| Zou 2004 [72] | 50 (25/25)        | Single centre, parallel group, unblinded RCT (unreported) | Mean age: 41 Disease stage: II, III Child-Pugh score: NA KPS: NA | 5-FU, MMC, HCPT, LP | Fu Fang Ku Shen injection (16 mL/d) | 40 ds    | (1) TR (short-term effectiveness) (2) AE |

5-Fu: fluorouracil; AE: TACE toxicity; b.i.d.: two times a day; BLM-A5: bleomycin A5; C: control group; CBDCA: carboplatin; CM: Chinese medicine; d: day; DDP: cisplatin; EPI: epirubicin; GC: gemcitabine; HCPT: hydroxycamptothecin; KPS: Karnofsky performance scale; MMC: mitomycin; mon: month; NA: not available; OX: oxaliplatin; q.i.d.: four times a day; QoL: quality of life; T: treatment group; TACE: transarterial chemoembolization; THP: pirarubicin; t.i.d.: three times a day; TR: tumor response; VDS: vindesine; wk: week; yr: year.
Table 2: Risk of bias assessment.

| Study                      | Sequence generation | Allocation concealment | Blinding: outcomes | Blinding | Incomplete outcome data | Selective outcome data | Other bias | Risk of bias score |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| Ayi and Liu 2011 [8]       | U                   | U                      | Y                  | Y        | /                       | Y                      | Y          | 3                 |
| Bao 2007 [9]               | U                   | U                      | /                  | /        | N                       | N                      | U          | Y                 |
| Cao et al. 2005 [10]       | U                   | Y                      | /                  | /        | /                       | Y                      | Y          | 4                 |
| Chen and Ding 2007 [11]    | Y                   | U                      | /                  | Y        | N                       | N                      | Y          | 3                 |
| Dan et al. 2007 [12]       | N                   | U                      | Y                  | N        | /                       | N                      | Y          | 2                 |
| Deng et al. 2009 [13]      | U                   | U                      | /                  | Y        | N                       | /                      | Y          | 2                 |
| Dong et al. 2007 [14]      | U                   | U                      | /                  | Y        | N                       | /                      | Y          | 1                 |
| Dong et al. 2008 [15]      | U                   | U                      | Y                  | Y        | N                       | N                      | N          | Y                 |
| Feng 2002 [73]             | U                   | U                      | /                  | Y        | /                       | Y                      | Y          | 3                 |
| Guo et al. 2005 [74]       | U                   | U                      | /                  | /        | Y                       | N                      | U          | 1                 |
| Han 2009 [16]              | U                   | U                      | /                  | /        | N                       | /                      | N          | Y                 |
| Hou and Lu 2009 [17]       | Y                   | U                      | /                  | Y        | N                       | N                      | U          | Y                 |
| Huang et al. 2002 [18]     | U                   | U                      | Y                  | Y        | /                       | N                      | Y          | 1                 |
| Huang 2008 [19]            | U                   | U                      | Y                  | Y        | /                       | N                      | N          | U                 |
| Jia et al. 2003 [20]       | U                   | U                      | /                  | Y        | /                       | N                      | Y          | 3                 |
| Li et al. 2009 [21]        | U                   | U                      | /                  | Y        | /                       | N                      | N          | Y                 |
| Li 2007 [22]               | U                   | U                      | /                  | Y        | N                       | /                      | N          | U                 |
| Li and Fan 2008 [23]       | U                   | U                      | Y                  | Y        | /                       | N                      | N          | U                 |
| Liang et al. 2005 [24]     | U                   | U                      | /                  | Y        | /                       | Y                      | U          | Y                 |
| Liang et al. 2008 [25]     | U                   | U                      | Y                  | Y        | N                       | /                      | U          | Y                 |
| Liang et al. 2005 [26]     | Y                   | U                      | Y                  | Y        | /                       | /                      | Y          | Y                 |
| Ling 2010 [27]             | U                   | U                      | /                  | Y        | N                       | /                      | N          | Y                 |
| Liu et al. 2007 [28]       | Y                   | U                      | /                  | Y        | N                       | N                      | N          | Y                 |
| Lu and He 2009 [29]        | U                   | U                      | /                  | Y        | N                       | /                      | Y          | Y                 |
| Lu et al. 2010 [30]        | Y                   | U                      | Y                  | Y        | /                       | /                      | Y          | Y                 |
| Lu et al. 2007 [31]        | U                   | U                      | /                  | Y        | N                       | N                      | N          | Y                 |
| Meng 2008 [32]             | U                   | U                      | Y                  | Y        | Y                       | N                      | Y          | Y                 |
| Qiao 2010 [34]             | U                   | U                      | /                  | N        | N                       | N                      | U          | Y                 |
| Shi and Sun 2005 [33]      | U                   | U                      | /                  | /        | N                       | /                      | N          | Y                 |
| Sun et al. 2002 [35]       | U                   | U                      | Y                  | /        | /                       | N                      | N          | U                 |
| Tang et al. 2010 [36]      | U                   | U                      | Y                  | Y        | N                       | /                      | N          | Y                 |
| Tian et al. 2001 [37]      | U                   | U                      | Y                  | Y        | N                       | /                      | N          | Y                 |
| Tian 2006 [38]             | U                   | U                      | Y                  | Y        | N                       | U                      | N          | Y                 |
| Wang et al. 2002 [39]      | U                   | U                      | /                  | Y        | N                       | N                      | N          | Y                 |
| Wang and Cheng 2009 [40]   | U                   | U                      | Y                  | Y        | N                       | /                      | N          | Y                 |
| Wang and Yang 2002 [41]    | U                   | U                      | Y                  | Y        | /                       | /                      | Y          | 3                 |
| Wang et al. 2008 [42]      | U                   | U                      | /                  | Y        | /                       | /                      | Y          | 3                 |
| Wang et al. 2007 [43]      | Y                   | U                      | /                  | Y        | Y                       | N                      | Y          | 4                 |
| Wang 2008 [44]             | U                   | U                      | /                  | Y        | N                       | /                      | N          | Y                 |
| Weng et al. 2008 [45]      | U                   | U                      | Y                  | Y        | N                       | U                      | N          | Y                 |
| Wu et al. 2000 [46]        | U                   | U                      | Y                  | Y        | /                       | N                      | N          | Y                 |
| Wu 1999 [47]               | U                   | U                      | Y                  | Y        | /                       | /                      | Y          | U                 |
| Wu et al. 2003 [48]        | U                   | U                      | Y                  | Y        | /                       | /                      | Y          | U                 |
| Xu et al. 2006 [49]        | N                   | U                      | Y                  | Y        | /                       | /                      | Y          | U                 |
| Xu et al. 2007 [50]        | U                   | U                      | /                  | Y        | N                       | N                      | N          | Y                 |
| Xu et al. 2007 [51]        | U                   | U                      | /                  | Y        | N                       | N                      | N          | Y                 |
| Study                       | Sequence generation | Allocation concealment | Blinding: outcomes | Blinding | Incomplete outcome data | Selective outcome data | Other bias | Risk of bias score |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| Xue et al. 2002 [52]       | U                   | U                      | Y                 | Y        | Y                       | Y                      | Y          | Y                 |
| Yang 2010 [53]             | U                   | U                      | Y                 | Y        | Y                       | Y                      | U          | N                 |
| Yang 2006 [54]             | U                   | U                      | /                 | Y        | N                       | N                     | Y          | Y                 |
| Yang 2006 [55]             | U                   | U                      | /                 | Y        | /                       | Y                     | U          | U                 |
| Yi et al. 2008 [56]        | Y                   | U                      | /                 | Y        | N                       | N                     | U          | N                 |
| Yu and Kang 2010 [57]      | U                   | U                      | /                 | Y        | N                       | N                     | Y          | Y                 |
| Yuan et al. 2010 [58]      | U                   | U                      | /                 | Y        | /                       | Y                     | Y          | Y                 |
| Yuan and Yu 2005 [59]      | U                   | U                      | /                 | Y        | /                       | Y                     | U          | Y                 |
| R. Q. Zhai and H. Y. Zhai 2010 [60] | Y              | U                      | Y                 | Y        | N                       | N                     | N          | Y                 |
| Zhao et al. 2005 [61]      | U                   | U                      | /                 | Y        | N                       | Y                     | Y          | Y                 |
| Zhang et al. 2007 [62]     | U                   | U                      | /                 | Y        | N                       | N                     | Y          | Y                 |
| Zhang et al. 2008 [63]     | Y                   | U                      | Y                 | Y        | N                       | /                     | N          | Y                 |
| Zhang et al. 2008 [64]     | N                   | U                      | Y                 | Y        | N                       | /                     | N          | Y                 |
| Zhang et al. 2007 [65]     | Y                   | U                      | Y                 | Y        | N                       | N                     | Y          | Y                 |
| Zhang 2011 [66]            | U                   | U                      | /                 | Y        | N                       | N                     | U          | Y                 |
| Zhang et al. 2000 [67]     | U                   | U                      | /                 | Y        | Y                       | /                     | Y          | Y                 |
| Zhao and Huang 2005 [68]   | U                   | U                      | /                 | Y        | /                       | Y                     | Y          | Y                 |
| Zhao et al. 2006 [69]      | N                   | U                      | Y                 | Y        | /                       | Y                     | U          | U                 |
| Zhou et al. 2002 [70]      | N                   | U                      | Y                 | /        | /                       | Y                     | Y          | U                 |
| Zhou et al. 2010 [71]      | U                   | U                      | Y                 | Y        | N                       | /                     | N          | Y                 |
| Zou 2004 [72]              | U                   | U                      | /                 | Y        | /                       | N                     | N          | Y                 |

AE: transarterial chemotherapy toxicity; KPS: Karnofsky performance scale. Y: yes; N: no; U: unclear.

outcome reporting, 5 (7%) at high risk, and 22 could not be rated due to insufficient information. Thirty-nine studies (58%) were rated as at low risk of bias for selective outcome data, 3 (4%) at high risk, and 25 (37%) did not provide sufficient information to permit judgment. Consequently, 29 studies were assigned as high quality with a low risk of bias (Table 2).

3.3. Meta-Analysis of Primary Outcomes

3.3.1. Tumor Response (Short-Term Effectiveness). Fifty-eight RCTs involving 4482 participants reported tumor response as an outcome for testing the short-term effect of CMs plus TACE (combination therapy). The combination therapy was found to be superior to TACE alone in increasing the short-term effectiveness (RR = 1.33; 95% CI = 1.25 to 1.41; \( P < 0.00001 \)) (Figure 2). The fixed-effect model was used to combine the data, whereas both \( X^2 \) and \( I^2 \) test suggested a low risk of heterogeneity (\( P = 1.00; I^2 = 0\% \)).

3.3.2. Survival (Long-Term Effectiveness). Thirty-two trials presenting 3038 participants reported the number of patients surviving for 6 to 60 months. Survival at 48 and 60 months were not evaluated as only 1 study [45] reported the results on this. Significant increases of survival at 6, 12, 18, 24, and 36 months for combination therapy were found with corresponding RRs (95% CI) of 1.12 (1.07 to 1.16), 1.39 (1.31 to 1.48), 1.89 (1.44 to 2.49), 1.75 (1.55 to 1.97), and 2.51 (1.97 to 3.19), all \( P < 0.00001 \) (Figure 3). The results were homogenous although significant heterogeneity was observed for survival at 18 months (\( P = 0.03; I^2 = 63\% \)). However, similar estimates (RR = 2.52; 95% CI = 1.67 to 3.82; \( P < 0.0001 \)) and homogeneity (\( P = 0.66; I^2 = 0\% \)) were observed in sensitivity analysis by excluding a study with outlier.

3.4. Meta-Analysis of Secondary Outcomes

3.4.1. KPS. KPS was measured in 36 studies for assessing the effect on QoL, in which continuous data was reported in 9 studies (\( n = 477 \) participants) and dichotomous data (KPS > 10) reported in 27 studies (\( n = 2041 \) participants). Significant differences in favor of combination therapy were found for continuous outcome of KPS (MD = 9.12; 95% CI = 4.17 to 14.07) (Figure 4). Random-effects model was used as heterogeneity was observed (\( P < 0.00001; I^2 = 95\% \)). Heterogeneity was reduced (\( P = 0.03; I^2 = 18\% \)) after excluding studies with outliers and the significant difference between treatment and control groups was robust (RR = 3.94; 95% CI = 2.30 to 5.59; \( P < 0.00001 \)).
| Study or subgroup | Treatment Events | Control Events | Weight | Risk ratio M-H, fixed, 95% CI | Risk ratio M-H, fixed, 95% CI |
|------------------|------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Total            | 2248             | 2126           | 100.0% | 1.33 [1.25, 1.41]           |                             |
| Weight           | 1212             | 861            |        |                             |                             |
| Heterogeneity:   |                  |                |        |                             |                             |
| $\chi^2 = 29.83$, df = 57 ($P = 1.00$); $I^2 = 0$ | | | | |
| Test for overall effect: | $Z = 9.01$ ($P < 0.00001$) | | | |

Figure 2: Results of Forest plots of comparison of CMs plus TACE versus TACE alone on tumor response (complete response + partial response) for HCC patients at middle and late stages. M-H: Mantel-Haenszel estimates; CI: confidence interval; CMs: Chinese medicines; TACE: transcatheter arterial chemoembolization.
### 3.6.1 6 months

| Study or subgroup | Treatment | Control | Risk ratio | Risk ratio |
|------------------|-----------|---------|------------|------------|
|                  | Events    | Total   | Events     | Total      |
|                  | Weight    | M-H, fixed, 95% CI |          | M-H, fixed, 95% CI |
| **Total events** | **1138**  | **1167** | **118**    | **118**    |
| **Subtotal (95% CI)** | | | | |

### 3.6.2 12 months

| Study or subgroup | Treatment | Control | Risk ratio | Risk ratio |
|------------------|-----------|---------|------------|------------|
|                  | Events    | Total   | Events     | Total      |
|                  | Weight    | M-H, fixed, 95% CI |          | M-H, fixed, 95% CI |
| **Total events** | **1111**  | **1111** | **110**    | **110**    |
| **Subtotal (95% CI)** | | | | |

### 3.6.3 18 months

| Study or subgroup | Treatment | Control | Risk ratio | Risk ratio |
|------------------|-----------|---------|------------|------------|
|                  | Events    | Total   | Events     | Total      |
|                  | Weight    | M-H, fixed, 95% CI |          | M-H, fixed, 95% CI |
| **Total events** | **42**    | **42**  | **32**     | **32**     |
| **Subtotal (95% CI)** | | | | |

### 3.6.4 24 months

| Study or subgroup | Treatment | Control | Risk ratio | Risk ratio |
|------------------|-----------|---------|------------|------------|
|                  | Events    | Total   | Events     | Total      |
|                  | Weight    | M-H, fixed, 95% CI |          | M-H, fixed, 95% CI |
| **Total events** | **454**   | **454** | **42**     | **42**     |
| **Subtotal (95% CI)** | | | | |

---

**Figure 3: Continued.**
KPS >10 indicated that the results of KPS increased more than 10 points after treatment. A superior effect on the improvement of QoL in combination therapy compared with TACE alone was observed (RR = 1.74; 95% CI = 1.57 to 1.93; \(P < 0.00001\)) (Figure 5). As the result was homogenous (\(P = 0.83; I^2 = 0\%\)), fixed-effect model was used.

**3.4.3. CMs-Related Side Effects.** CMs-related side effects were rarely reported. Only 3 studies (4%) [20, 60, 74] reported low-grade fever (2 cases), dizziness (1 case), gastrointestinal discomfort (28 cases), and mild skin itch and rashes (3 cases). These symptoms were generally alleviated or recovered after symptomatic treatment. No severe side effects associated with CMs were reported in the included trials. The long-term side effects of the treatment were uncertain as only short-term effects were measured.
### 3.5. Risk of Bias across Studies.

Risk of publication bias was assessed using funnel plot to compare symmetry for all studies except for one with outliers (Figure 7). Results of Egger’s test suggested no significant publication bias of the included studies \((t = 1.99, P = 0.051)\).

### 3.6. Common Herbs.

The top 10 most frequently used herbs in the included trials were listed in Table 3 together with the potential pharmacological properties. Although the constituents of the formulae were varied across the trials, there was a general consensus in diagnosis based on unique Chinese medicine theory. Reinforcing healthy Qi and blood, clearing fire toxin, and resolving dampness were the most concerned therapeutic principles which were associated with improvement in short-term and long-term effectiveness.

Radix Astragali (Huang Qi) \((n = 35)\) was the most frequently used herb in the trials, followed by Poria Cocos, Rhizoma Atractylodis Macrocephalae, Radix Ginseng, Radix Bupleuri, Radix Codonopsis, Semen Coicis, Herba Oldenlandia Diffusa, Radix Paeoniae Alba, and Rhizoma Curcumae. These 10...
| Study or subgroup | Treatment Events | Control Events | Total Events | Weight | Risk ratio M-H, fixed, 95% CI | Risk ratio M-H, fixed, 95% CI |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| **3.9.1 Nausea and vomiting** |                |                |              |        |                               |                               |
| Hou 2009         | 7               | 12             | 36           | 3.8%   | 0.58 [0.26, 1.31]             |                               |
| Liu 2007         | 17              | 18             | 36           | 5.5%   | 1.00 [0.63, 1.60]             |                               |
| Wu 2000          | 30              | 33             | 44           | 9.3%   | 1.11 [0.89, 1.39]             |                               |
| Xu 2007a         | 18              | 16             | 20           | 6.2%   | 0.70 [0.48, 1.02]             |                               |
| Xu 2007b         | 20              | 17             | 37           | 7.1%   | 0.59 [0.41, 0.84]             |                               |
| Xue 2002         | 18              | 25             | 36           | 7.6%   | 0.76 [0.52, 1.12]             |                               |
| Yi 2008          | 29              | 26             | 35           | 8.7%   | 0.96 [0.77, 1.20]             |                               |
| Zhang H. 2007    | 24              | 28             | 30           | 8.8%   | 0.86 [0.70, 1.05]             |                               |
| Zou 2004         | 6               | 5              | 25           | 1.6%   | 1.20 [0.42, 3.43]             |                               |
| **Subtotal (95% CI)** | 303             | 278            |              | 58.4%  | 0.86 [0.76, 0.96]             |                               |

Total events: 169 180

Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 13.43$, df = 8 ($P = 0.10$); $I^2 = 40$

Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.58$ ($P = 0.010$)

| **3.9.2 ALT** |                |                |              |        |                               |                               |
| Liu 2007       | 15              | 24             | 36           | 7.3%   | 0.66 [0.42, 1.03]             |                               |
| Tang 2010      | 3               | 5              | 20           | 1.9%   | 0.40 [0.11, 1.49]             |                               |
| **Subtotal (95% CI)** | 64              | 56             |              | 9.2%   | 0.61 [0.40, 0.93]             |                               |

Total events: 18 29

Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.53$, df = 1 ($P = 0.47$); $I^2 = 0$

Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.28$ ($P = 0.02$)

| **3.9.3 Bone marrow depression** |                |                |              |        |                               |                               |
| Meng 2008       | 36              | 49             | 73           | 15.5%  | 0.72 [0.54, 0.95]             |                               |
| Xu 2007b        | 10              | 9              | 20           | 3.8%   | 0.56 [0.27, 1.14]             |                               |
| Yu 2010         | 26              | 37             | 48           | 11.6%  | 0.70 [0.52, 0.95]             |                               |
| Zou 2004        | 5               | 5              | 25           | 1.6%   | 1.00 [0.33, 3.03]             |                               |
| **Subtotal (95% CI)** | 188             | 166            |              | 32.4%  | 0.71 [0.58, 0.86]             |                               |

Total events: 77 100

Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.81$, df = 3 ($P = 0.85$); $I^2 = 0$

Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.41$ ($P = 0.0007$)

**Total (95% CI)** 555 500 100.0% 0.79 [0.71, 0.87]

Total events: 264 309

Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 21.61$, df = 14 ($P = 0.09$); $I^2 = 35$

Test for overall effect: $Z = 4.71$ ($P < 0.00001$)

Test for subgroup differences: $\chi^2 = 4.38$, df = 2 ($P = 0.11$), $I^2 = 54.3$

**Figure 6:** Results of Forest plots of comparison of CMs plus TACE versus TACE alone on TACE toxicity (grade 1–4) for HCC patients at middle and late stages. M-H: Mantel-Haenszel estimates; CI: confidence interval; CMs: Chinese medicines; TACE: transcatheter arterial chemoembolization.
herbs are worthy of additional investigation to examine the possible active components for the use in HCC treatment.

4. Discussion

TACE is one of the few therapeutic treatments for unresectable HCC patients. Although CMs are increasingly used to enhance the treatment effects of TACE and reduce the side effects, the effectiveness is uncertain as the updated evidence has not been systematically summarized.

This is the first PRISMA-compliant systematic review and meta-analysis for examining the efficacy of CMs plus TACE (combination therapy) in treating unresectable HCC patients. Sixty-seven studies involving 5,211 participants met the study selection criteria. The meta-analysis showed that the combination therapy was significantly better than TACE alone in increasing tumor response, prolonging survival, improving QoL, and reducing TACE toxicity. CM-related side effects were mild and rarely reported in the studies. The above findings suggested that CMs might play a potentially beneficial role in assisting TACE therapy to improve tumor response, survival, and QoL, as well as reduction in TACE toxicity.

The results are robust as the analyses were based on 67 RCTs with a large sample size of more than 5000 subjects, and both short-term (tumor response, QoL, and TACE toxicity reduction) and long-term (survival) effectiveness were assessed. Although most of the included studies \((n = 66)\) were published in Chinese, the trials in this review represent the best available evidence on the efficacy of CMs as an adjuvant therapy for unresectable HCC patients during TACE treatment. Moreover, this review was conducted using comprehensive, rigorous, and PRISMA-compliant methods. An extensive search was conducted for RCTs published before October 2012. Between-study heterogeneity was further assessed by sensitivity analysis and random-effects model. Publication bias was investigated by both visual funnel plots and Egger’s test.

Considerable variety in the ingredients of the CM formulae was found in this review which might be due to different TCM diagnosis and the CM practitioners’ personal experience. However, a common consensus in TCM diagnosis and treatment principle was observed among the included trials. According to our review, CM herbs might enhance the tumor response by inhibiting tumor angiogenesis and cancer cell proliferation, inducing apoptosis, and increasing immune response (Table 3). The enhancement of tumor response may contribute to the improvement of survival. Moreover, CMs may reduce the acute and subacute adverse reactions induced by TACE, thus improve the QoL. Further investigation on the therapeutic mechanism, pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics and their possible active components of the frequently used herbs could bring new insight into the treatment of HCC.

4.1. Limitations. Although extensive searches and strict methods were used to select studies and estimate the effects, there are several potential limitations. First, only studies published in English or Chinese were included, and studies published in other languages cannot be assessed. Second, as most studies were conducted among Chinese, the generalizability to other population needed to be further assessed. Third, clinical heterogeneity may be detected as CM preparations, dose, and treatment duration are varied across the included studies. Further studies are warranted to investigate the effects of different CM preparations in treating middle or late stage HCC. Fourth, sample size, selection criteria of subjects, and TACE drugs varied across the included studies, and the heterogeneity was not reflected in the data analyses. Fifth, most of the studies did not report the method of randomization, and all studies failed to report the method of allocation concealment and blinding (subjective outcomes), which might lead to the potential selection bias. Moreover, reasons for dropouts and withdrawals were mostly not described. Overall, these items were mostly at unclear or high risk of bias which could bias the findings of this review resulting in overestimation of the CMs beneficial effects.
**Table 3: The top 10 most frequently used CMs of the included studies.**

| CM herb | Latin name (Chinese Pinyin) | No. | TCM diagnosis | Pharmacological properties |
|---------|-----------------------------|-----|--------------|---------------------------|
| Radix Astragali (Huang Qi) | Radix Astragali (Huang Qi) | 35  | Qi deficiency | (1) Suppresses the oncogenic transformation of cancer cells [86]  
(2) Induces apoptosis [87]  
(3) Induces macrophage, LAK and NK cell activity [88, 89]  
(4) Inhibits T-helper cell type 2 cytokines [89] |
| Poria Cocos (Fu Ling) | Poria Cocos (Fu Ling) | 25  | Dampness accumulation | (1) Induces apoptosis [90, 91]  
(2) Cytotoxicity against cancer cell lines [90]  
(3) Inhibits tumor angiogenesis [92] |
| Rhizoma Atractyloides Macrocephalae (Bai Zhu) | Rhizoma Atractyloides Macrocephalae (Bai Zhu) | 23  | Qi deficiency | (1) Induces apoptosis [93, 94]  
(2) Inhibits tumor cell proliferation [96]  
(3) Cytotoxicity against cancer cell lines [97, 98]  
(4) Inhibits tumor angiogenesis [99] |
| Radix Ginseng (Ren Shen) | Radix Ginseng (Ren Shen) | 19  | Qi deficiency | (1) Induces apoptosis [95, 96]  
(2) Inhibits tumor cell proliferation [96]  
(3) Cytotoxicity against cancer cell lines [97, 98]  
(4) Inhibits tumor angiogenesis [99] |
| Radix Bupleuri (Chai Hu) | Radix Bupleuri (Chai Hu) | 19  | Qi stagnation | (1) Induces apoptosis [100]  
(2) Activates macrophages, NK and LAK cells [101]  
(3) Downregulates TNF-α, IL-6, and NF-κB p65 expression [102] |
| Radix Codonopsis (Dang Shen) | Radix Codonopsis (Dang Shen) | 18  | Qi deficiency | (1) Inhibits cancer cells invasion and migration [103]  
(2) Enhances T cell, B cell, and macrophage production, and activates macrophages [104] |
| Semen Coicis (Yi Yi Ren) | Semen Coicis (Yi Yi Ren) | 15  | Dampness accumulation | (1) Induces apoptosis [105]  
(2) Inhibits NF-κB signaling and protein kinase C activity [106]  
(3) Stimulates T cell proliferation [107] |
| Herba Oldenlandia Diffusa (Bai Hua She She Cao) | Herba Oldenlandia Diffusa (Bai Hua She She Cao) | 14  | Fire toxin | (1) Inhibits cancer cell proliferation and induces apoptosis [108, 109] |
| Radix Paeoniae Alba (Bai Shao) | Radix Paeoniae Alba (Bai Shao) | 13  | Blood deficiency | (1) Inhibits angiogenesis and induces apoptosis [110, 111] |
| Rhizoma Curcumae (E Zhu) | Rhizoma Curcumae (E Zhu) | 12  | Blood stagnation | (1) Inhibits cancer cell proliferation and angiogenesis, induces cell cycle arrest and apoptosis [112]  
(2) Inhibits platelet aggregation [113] |

CM: Chinese medicine; LAK: lymphokine activated killer; NF-κB: nuclear factor kappa-light-chain enhancer of activated B cells; NK: natural killer; No.: number of studies; TCM: traditional Chinese medicine; TNF-α: tumor necrosis factor-alpha; IL: interleukin.

4.2. **Implications for Practice and Research.** As most included studies have poor quality, future trials should be rigorously implemented using standard procedures following a standardized trial protocol (e.g., consolidated standards of reporting trials statement) [117, 118]. Another crucial issue is the quality control of CM preparations which consist of various CMs from different batches. As different properties of CMs might exist in different batches in the same CM formula, the quality control of further CM preparations should be established based on scientific practice including chemical and bioresponse fingerprint to ensure the quality and consistency of CM preparations and the validity of study results [119, 120]. In addition, CMs should be provided by a consistent and reliable supply to maintain the effective treatment effect of CM preparations. Given that oral administration and intravenous injection of CMs were used by all included studies, further reviews should compare the effects between these routes. Moreover, as no data on the possible interaction between TACE and CMs preparations was reported, the interaction should be assessed further. Only a small number of studies (33%) showed that the results of at least 12-month survival (the long-term effectiveness) of CMs treatment need to be determined in more RCTs with long-term follow-up. Acute and subacute CMs-related side effects in the studies were slight and alleviated spontaneously after symptomatic treatment. However, these were only reported in few studies (4%). Only short-term CMs-related side effects were reported. And all the side effects were not measured by standard criteria. Additional researches should evaluate both acute and chronic CMs-related side effects according to standard criteria to confirm the safety of CMs treatment in treating patients with HCC.

5. **Conclusion**

The positive results in this meta-analysis show that CMs treatment appears to increase the efficacy of TACE by prolonging survival, increasing tumor response, improving QoL, and reducing TACE toxicity for unresectable HCC. Although making a definitive recommendation is currently premature with low quality of the most studies, these findings suggest that CMs could be considered as an adjuvant therapy for unresectable HCC patients during TACE treatment. RCTs with rigorous methods, long-term follow-up, and standard
reporting (consolidated standards of reporting trials statement) are recommended to further evaluate the clinical effects of combining CMs and TACE use for HCC patients [117, 118].

**Abbreviations**

ALT: Alanine transaminase  
CBM: Chinese biomedical CD database  
CIs: Confidence intervals  
CMs: Chinese medicines  
CMCC: Chinese medical current contents  
CNKI: China network knowledge infrastructure  
CR: Complete response  
HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma  
KPS: Karnofsky performance scale  
MD: Mean difference  
PR: Partial response  
PRISMA: Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses  
QoL: Quality of life  
RCT: Randomized controlled trial  
RRs: Risk ratios  
TACE: Transarterial chemoembolization  
CM: Chinese medicine  
CMs: Chinese medicines  
WHO: World health organization.

**Conflict of Interests**

All the authors declare no conflict of interests.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors are grateful for the support of Professors Yung-chi Cheng, Sun-Ping Lee, Sai-Wah Tsao, and Allan SY Lau. The authors would like to express thanks to Miss Oiyee Chow for her technical support. The study was financially supported by Grants from the research council of the University of Hong Kong (Project code: 10401764) and Hong Kong Hospital Authority’s funding for Chinese Medicine (Project code: 20006345).

**References**

[1] A. Faruque, J. F. Perz, S. Kwong, P. M. Jamison, C. Friedman, and B. P. Bell, “National trends and disparities in the incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma, 1998–2003,” Preventing Chronic Disease, vol. 5, no. 3, p. A74, 2008.

[2] A. Jemal, F. Bray, M. M. Center, J. Ferlay, E. Ward, and D. Forman, “Global cancer statistics,” CA: Cancer Journal for Clinicians, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 69–90, 2011.

[3] I. J. Lee and J. Seong, “Radiotherapeutic strategies in the management of hepatocellular carcinoma,” Oncology, vol. 81, no. 1, pp. 123–133, 2011.

[4] N. N. Rahhari, A. Mehrabi, N. M. Mollberg et al., “Hepatocellular carcinoma: current management and perspectives for the future,” Annals of Surgery, vol. 253, no. 3, pp. 453–469, 2011.

[5] Z.-M. Zhang, J.-X. Guo, Z.-C. Zhang, N. Jiang, Z.-Y. Zhang, and L.-J. Pan, “Therapeutic options for intermediate-advanced hepatocellular carcinoma,” World Journal of Gastroenterology, vol. 17, no. 13, pp. 1685–1689, 2011.

[6] M.-F. Yuen, A. O.-O. Chan, B. C.-Y. Wong et al., “Transarterial chemoembolization for inoperable, early stage hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with Child-Pugh grade A and B: results of a comparative study in 96 Chinese patients,” American Journal of Gastroenterology, vol. 98, no. 5, pp. 1181–1185, 2003.

[7] A. O. Chan, M.-F. Yuen, C.-K. Hui, W.-K. Tso, and C.-L. Lai, “A prospective study regarding the complications of transcatheter intraarterial lipiodol chemoembolization in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma,” Cancer, vol. 94, no. 6, pp. 1747–1752, 2002.

[8] X. G. Ayi and J. Liu, “Clinica l I efficacy o f A i Di Injection combined with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization in 108 patients with primary hepatic carcinoma,” Xian Dai Zhong Liu Yi Xue, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 111–114, 2011.

[9] L. Q. Bao, “Aidi Injection on intermediate/advanced hepatocellular carcinoma,” Hubei Zhong Yi Xue Yuan Xue Bao, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 54–55, 2007.

[10] G. W. Cao, X. C. Wang, F. L. Zhang, H. F. Ning, Y. Q. Sun, and L. F. Yan, “To evaluate the effect of the hepatocellular carcinoma treated by TACE combined with Gan Fu Kang capsule,” Shandong Yi Yao, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 13–14, 2005.

[11] S. C. Chen and J. K. Ding, “Ai Di Injection and hepatic arterial chemoembolization in treating 32 cases of primary hepatic carcinoma,” Fujian Zhong Yi Xue Yuan Xue Bao, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 14–16, 2007.

[12] Z. S. Dan, X. F. Xu, R. Ye et al., “Clinical observation of Fu Zheng Ping Gan Xiao Liu Tang combined with TACE on hepatocellular carcinoma with middle and late stage,” Zhongguo Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Za Zhi, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 83–84, 2007.

[13] L. Deng, Z. W. Li, C. T. Wu et al., “Therapeutic effect of continuous infusion of compound Ku Shen Injection combined with hepatic arterial chemoembolization for advanced liver cancer: an observation of 20 cases,” Xin Zhong Yi, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 28–29, 2009.

[14] H. T. Dong, Y. H. He, W. P. Lu, and W. Zhao, “Clinical observation of Ai Di Injection combined with transarterial chemoembolization in treating 33 patients with hepatocellular carcinoma,” Zhongguo Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Za Zhi, vol. 14, no. 12, pp. 62–63, 2007.

[15] H. T. Dong, W. Zhao, W. P. Lu et al., “Clinical observation of Jing Long Capsule combined with transarterial chemoembolization in treating 133 patients with hepatocellular carcinoma,” Zhongguo Zhong Liu Lin Chuang, vol. 35, no. 7, pp. 378–380, 2008.

[16] W. Q. Han, “Combination of Chinese and Western medicine in treating 30 patients with hepatocellular carcinoma,” Zhongguo Zhong Yi Yao Xian Dai Yuan Cheng Jiao Yu, vol. 7, no. 2, p. 103, 2009.

[17] E. C. Hou and Y. X. Lu, “A clinical trial of Chinese herbal medicine combined with transarterial chemoembolization in treating primary hepatocellular carcinoma,” Zhongguo Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Za Zhi, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 225–227, 2009.

[18] J. Y. Huang, J. P. Li, Z. G. Jiang, and J. K. Zhu, “Short-term effect of Kang Lai Te plus Bai Hua She She Cao Injection combined with transarterial chemoembolization in treating advanced hepatocellular carcinoma,” Shi Yong Zhong Liu Za Zhi, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 352–353, 2002.

[19] J. Y. Huang, “Short-term clinical observation of Ci Dan Capsule combined with transarterial chemoembolization on advanced
hepatocellular carcinoma," Shi jie Zhong Yi Yao, vol. 3, no. 5, p. 271, 2008.
[20] Y. S. Jia, S. Q. Wu, Z. Q. Qin, and L. Q. Lu, “Clinical analysis of Ya Dan Zi oil combined with interventional chemotherapy in the treatment of primary hepatocellular carcinoma," Zhong Guo Zhong Yao Za Zhi, vol. 28, no. 7, pp. 683–684, 2003.
[21] D. J. Li, X. Xu, D. Bao, F. Xue, and D. Dai, “Effects of Kang Lai Te Capsules combined with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) on patients with mid- or late-stage primary hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC),” Chinese-German Journal of Clinical Oncology, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 65–68, 2009.
[22] M. Li, “Clinical observation of Jian Pi Huo Xue herbs combined with TACE on hepatocellular carcinoma," Zhongguo Yi Yao Dao Bao, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 40–41, 2007.
[23] R. T. Li and Q. L. Fan, “Chinese herbal medicine combined with TACE in treating 64 patients with hepatocellular carcinoma," Hunan Zhong Yi Za Zhi, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 60–61, 2008.
[24] J. X. Liang, W. D. Ceng, K. L. Zhu, and P. Q. Ma, “Observation on the combination of matrine injection and TACE operation in the treatment of advanced liver carcinoma," Guang Zhou Yi Yao, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 45–47, 2005.
[25] M. Liang, Y. H. Zhao, J. Y. Li, and S. C. Li, “Clinical efficacy of Ci Dan Capsule combined with transcatheter hepatic arterial chemoembolization (TACE) for patients with advanced hepatocarcinoma," Zhongguo Yao Fang, vol. 19, no. 33, pp. 2619–2621, 2008.
[26] T. J. Liang, C. Y. Qin, A. W. Wang, and C. Q. Zhang, “Jian Pi Yi Qi combined with TACE in treating 75 hepatocellular carcinoma patients at middle and late stage," Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine, vol. 16, no. 6, p. 146, 2005.
[27] Z. Q. Ling, “Integrative medicine on hepatocellular carcinoma," Ji Lin Yi Xue, vol. 31, no. 15, p. 2284, 2010.
[28] H. Q. Liu, S. X. Chen, Y. Li, and R. W. Huang, “Clinical observation of Ai Di Injection combined with transcatheter chemoembolization in treating 34 hepatocellular carcinoma patients," Hangnan Yi Xue, vol. 18, no. 8, pp. 19–20, 2007.
[29] A. P. Lu and X. F. He, “Yi Qi Huo Xue Kang Ai Tang combined with transcatheter chemoembolization in treating 24 hepatocellular carcinoma patients," Zhongguo She Qu Yi Shi, vol. 20, no. 11, p. 167, 2009.
[30] R. M. Lu, J. B. Wang, X. Y. Han, J. X. Liu, and H. J. Nie, “Chinese herbal medicine combined with TACE for improving the immunological function of hepatocellular carcinoma," Zhong Yi Yao Xin Xi, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 33–35, 2010.
[31] Y. X. Lu, D. P. Huang, E. C. Hou, B. Qin, and Y. Huang, “Clinical observation of Kang Ai Injection combined with transcatheter chemoembolization in treating hepatocellular carcinoma," Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi, vol. 32, no. 24, pp. 2655–2656, 2007.
[32] S. X. Meng, “Clinical observation of Ai Di Injection combined with transcatheter chemoembolization in treating hepatocellular carcinoma," Zhongguo Zhong Yi Ji Zheng, vol. 17, no. 11, pp. 1523–1524, 2008.
[33] G. J. Shi and H. X. Sun, “The effect of Tan Re Qing Injection on interventional therapy of primary liver carcinoma," Henan Zhong Yi Xue Yuan Bao, vol. 20, no. 120, pp. 37–38, 2005.
[34] L. J. Qiao, “The syndrome and clinical research of Aitongxiao Decotion with TACE on primary hepatic carcinoma," Zhong Yi Xue Bao, vol. 25, no. 148, pp. 393–395, 2010.
[35] Z. J. Sun, C. E. Pan, and G. J. Wang, “Clinical observation of Hua Chan Su combined with TACE in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma," Zhong Liu Fang Zhi Yan Jiu, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 67–68, 2002.
[36] X. Y. Tang, Y. J. Tang, C. H. Li, and J. Tian, “Observation on the effect of Fu Gan Injection in treating intermediate and advanced hepatocellular carcinoma," Xin Zhong Yi, vol. 42, no. 11, pp. 78–80, 2010.
[37] H. Q. Tian, G. W. Liang, Y. Tao, Z. Q. Huang, S. Y. Yu, and W. Y. Ye, “Clinical study of Chinese medicine combined with interventional therapy in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma," Henan Zhong Yi Yao Xue Kan, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 47–48, 2001.
[38] X. L. Tian, “Observation of combined Ai Yi Shu injection and interventional therapy in the treatment of 36 cases of mid- and late-stage hepatocellular carcinoma," Zhong Yan Yi Kan, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 32–34, 2006.
[39] C. J. Wang, Z. J. Liao, Q. Q. Chen, H. Zhang, L. Deng, and Q. H. Lu, “Herbal medication 960 mixture combined with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization for advanced liver cancer," Zhongguo Zhong Yi Yi Jie He Xiao Hua Za Zhi, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 211–214, 2002.
[40] H. M. Wang and X. M. Cheng, “Composite Ku Shen Injection combined with hepatic artery embolism on unreactable primary liver cancer," Xian Dai Zhong Yi Yi Jie He Za Zhi, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 1334–1335, 2009.
[41] R. P. Wang and C. Yang, “Observation on the effect of Gan Ji Granule combined with inventive therapy in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma," Anhui Zhong Yi Xue Yuan Bao, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 9–11, 2002.
[42] R. P. Wang, C. L. Zhu, and Y. Pan, “Effect of Jian Pi Qing Gan He Ji combined with TACE on hepatocellular carcinoma analysed by Cox model," Nanjing Zhong Yi Yao Da Xue Xue Bao, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 130–132, 2008.
[43] Y. G. Wang, D. J. Hu, J. B. Yuan, and C. Z. Su, “The effect of Chinese herbal medicine combined with transarterial chemoembolization/supportive treatment on late stage hepatocellular carcinoma," Lin Chuang Hui Cui, vol. 22, no. 19, pp. 1429–1430, 2007.
[44] Z. X. Wang, “The clinical observation of TCM combined with interventional therapy in treating advanced primary hepatic cancer," Zhongguo Shi Yong Yi Yao, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 37–38, 2008.
[45] H. Wen, Z. Gu, Z. P. Qu, and J. Lu, “Effect of internal and external use of Chinese herbal medicine combined with TACE in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma," Sichuan Zhong Yi, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 59–60, 2008.
[46] H. M. Wu, D. Z. Zhu, C. Q. Ling, and Z. Chen, “Clinical observation of hepatic artery intubation with Hua Chan Su infusion chemotherapy in treatment of liver cancer," Liaoning Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 127–128, 2000.
[47] J. X. Wu, “Observation of long-term effectiveness of Yi Guan Jia Wei combined with TACE in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma," Zhejiang Zhong Yi Yi Jie He Za Zhi, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 100–101, 1999.
[48] X. D. Wu, X. J. Jin, S. M. Liu, and Y. D. Wang, “Observation of Hu Gan Ruan Jian Fang in increasing effectiveness and reducing toxicity of transcatheter arterial chemoembolization in stage II and III hepatocellular carcinoma," Beijing Zhong Yi Yao Da Xue Xue Bao, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 67–68, 2003.
[49] J. X. Xu, Z. F. Guo, and Y. X. Sui, “Observation on the short-term effect of Fu Zheng Jie Du Tang combined with transarterial chemoembolization in treating intermediate and advanced hepatocellular carcinoma," Nei Meng Gu Yi Xue Za Zhi, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 180–181, 2006.
Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine 23

[50] Y. Q. Xu, R. Ding, J. W. Xu, and Y. Luo, "Clinical observation of Aidi Injection combined with transarterial chemoembolization in treating 32 patients with hepatocellular carcinoma," *Shi Zhen Guo Yi Guo Yao*, vol. 18, no. 9, pp. 2238–2239, 2007.

[51] Y. Q. Xu, J. W. Xu, and R. Ding, "Treatment of primary liver cancer by TCM formula for Jianpi Huashi Huoxue Jiedu associated with interventional therapy: a clinical observation of 40 cases," *Xin Zhong Yi*, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 86–87, 2007.

[52] Y. B. Xue, X. Y. Zhou, and S. L. Shan, "Observation on the efficacy of transcatheter arterial chemoembolization combined with traditional Chinese medicine treating middle-advanced liver cancer," *Xian Dai Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Za Zhi*, vol. 11, no. 22, pp. 2218–2219, 2002.

[53] L. J. Yang, "Clinical observation of Lian Hua Qing Gan Yin combined with transarterial chemoembolization in treating 25 patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma," *Hei Long Jiang Zhong Yi Yao*, no. 1, pp. 7–8, 2010.

[54] J. M. Yang, "Transcatheter hepatic arterial chemoembolization and Ai Di injection in treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma," *Yi Yao Lun Tan Za Zhi*, vol. 27, pp. 26–27, 2006.

[55] X. L. Yang, "Ai Di Injection combined with transarterial chemoembolization in treating 28 patients with intermediate/advanced hepatocellular carcinoma," *Zhong Yi Yan Jiu*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 30–31, 2006.

[56] J.-Z. Yi, Y.-C. Xie, and X.-H. Deng, "Clinical observation on the effect of Kang’ai injection combined with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization on hepatocellular carcinoma in 36 patients," *Zhong Liu*, vol. 28, no. 11, pp. 997–1000, 2008.

[57] M. L. Yu and X. H. Kang, "Clinical trial of Fu Fang Xu Can Injection combined with transarterial chemoembolization on primary liver cancer," *Zhongguo Yi Yao Zhi Nan*, vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 123–125, 2010.

[58] C. J. Yuan, Q. Tang, Q. Z. Kong, L. Q. Xu, and R. S. Chen, "Clinical observation of Chinese medicine syndrome differentiation combined with transarterial chemoembolization on intermediate and advanced hepatocellular carcinoma," *Hubei Zhong Yi Xue Yuan Xue Bao*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 50–51, 2010.

[59] H. X. Yuan and Z. J. Yu, "Ai Di Injection combined with transarterial chemoembolization in treating hepatocellular carcinoma," *Lin Chuang Zhong Liu Xue Za Zhi*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 64–66, 2005.

[60] R. Q. Zhai and H. Y. Zhai, "Clinical observation of Chinese medicine combined with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization in treating 32 cases of advanced primary hepatic carcinoma," *Shanghai Zhong Yi Yao Za Zhi*, vol. 44, no. 11, pp. 48–50, 2010.

[61] C. Q. Zhang, T. J. Liang, and M. J. Yuan, "Clinical studies of the combination therapy with Jin Long capsule and chemical therapy and embolization by hepatic artery catheterization on primary hepatic carcinoma," *Beijing Yi Xue*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 357–359, 2005.

[62] H. Zhang, Y. Y. Wang, Z. F. Zhang, and T. X. Xie, "Clinical observation of Chai Shao Liu Jun Zi Tang Ia Wei on the Gan Yi Pi Xu type of hepatocellular carcinoma for the prevention of the post-TACE syndrome," *Hunan Zhong Yi Yao Da Xue Xue Bao*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 55–57, 2007.

[63] H. T. Zhang, C. T. Fang, Z. Y. Huang, and G. Wang, "Chinese medicine combined with transarterial chemoembolization in treating 31 patients with hepatocellular carcinoma," *Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Gan Bing Za Zhi*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 178–180, 2008.

[64] J. M. Zhang, G. X. Ma, and Y. Zhao, "Clinical research of Jian Pi Fu Gan Tang combined with transarterial chemoembolization in treating 31 patients with intermediate/advanced hepatocellular carcinoma," *Shi Yong Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Lin Chuang*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 20–21, 2008.

[65] Q. Zhang, H. C. Chi, J. Yu, X. M. Wang, and W. S. Zhao, "Clinical study of Gu Ben Yi Liu II combined with chemicals arterial perfusion for advanced hepatoma of 58 cases," *Zhong Yi Za Zhi*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 235–236, 2007.

[66] Y. F. Zhang, Z. M. Jiao, Q. Y. Mi, and P. W. Li, "Chinese herbal medicine combined with transarterial chemoembolization in treating intermediate/advanced hepatocellular carcinoma," *Zhongguo Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Wai Ke Za Zhi*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 179–180, 2000.

[67] X. W. Zhao and K. J. Huang, "Observation of effectiveness of Shen Qi capsule combined with interventional therapy in the treatment of primary hepatocellular carcinoma," *Zhong Yi Yao Xue Bao*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 28–29, 2005.

[68] Z. H. Zhao, X. Du, B. S. Wang, and C. Y. Li, "Clinical study of Chinese herbal medicine Jian Pi Li Qi combined with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization in treating intermediate/advanced hepatocellular carcinoma," *Zhongguo Zhong Yi Yao Xin Zi Za Zhi*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 63, 2006.

[69] X. Y. Zhou, X. D. Wu, X. Zuo, R. Ge, and Y. B. Xue, "Clinical research of TACE combined with Chinese medicine in the treatment of 118 cases of mid- and late-stage hepatocellular carcinoma," *Jiangsu Zhong Yi Yao*, vol. 23, no. 11, pp. 15–17, 2002.

[70] X. Z. Zhou, X. F. Sun, W. F. Ma et al., "Clinical observation on anti-cancer decoction combined with TACE for HCC in Qi-deficiency and blood stasis," *Huatan Zhong Yi Yao Da Xue Xue Bao*, vol. 30, no. 11, pp. 55–57, 2010.

[71] X. F. Guo, Z. X. Wu, and X. L. Cao, "A clinical observation of Huai Er Ke Li combined with interventional therapy in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma," *Zhong Xi Zhong Yi Xue Yuan Xue Bao*, vol. 5, pp. 51–52, 2002.

[72] T. S. Guo, X. F. Huang, and X. L. Cao, "A clinical observation of Huai Er Ke Li combined with interventional therapy in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma," *Shi Yong Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Lin Chuang*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 1846–1847, 2005.

[73] L. Ji, K. Shen, P. Jiang, G. Morahan, and Z. Wang, "Critical roles of cellular glutathione homeostasis and jnk activation in androgapholide-mediated apoptotic cell death in human hepatoma cells," *Molecular Carcinogenesis*, vol. 50, no. 8, pp. 580–591, 2011.

[74] N. Wang, W. Pan, M. Zhu et al., "Fangchinoline induces autophagic cell death via p53/sestrin2/AMPK signalling in human hepatocellular carcinoma cells," *British Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 164, no. 2 B, pp. 731–742, 2011.

[75] J. Kim, H.-L. Ha, H.-B. Moon et al., "Chemopreventive effect of *Curcuma longa* Linn on liver pathology in HBx transgenic mice," *Integrative Cancer Therapies*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 168–177, 2011.

[76] F. Qi, A. Li, L. Zhao et al., "Cinobufacini, an aqueous extract from *Bufo bufo gargarizans* Cantor, induces apoptosis through a mitochondria-mediated pathway in human hepatocellular carcinoma cells," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 128, no. 3, pp. 654–661, 2010.
T. Kikuchi, E. Uchiyama, M. Ukiya et al., "Cytotoxic and...

H.-L. Huang, C.-C. Chen, C.-Y. Yeh, and R.-L. Huang, "Reac-

L. Wei, J. Liu, X. C. Le et al., "Pharmacological induction...

W.C.S. Cho and H.-Y. Chen, "Transcatheter arterial chemoe-
mobilization with or without Chinese herbal therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma: meta-analysis," Expert Opinion on Investigational Drugs, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 617–635, 2009.

X. Shu, M. McCulloch, H. Xiao, M. Broffman, and J. Gao, "Chemical constituents and pharmacological properties of Poria cocos," Journal of Natural Products, vol. 74, no. 2, pp. 137–144, 2011.

W. C. S. Cho and K. N. Leung, "In vitro and in vivo anti-tumor effects of Astragalus membranaceus," Cancer Letters, vol. 252, no. 1, pp. 43–54, 2007.

M. McCulloch, C. See, X.-J. Shu et al., "Astragalus saponins induce apoptosis via an ERK-independent NF-κB signaling pathway in the human hepatocellular HepG2 cell line," International Journal of Molecular Medicine, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 189–196, 2009.

C. Xu, Y. Liu, G. Yuan, and M. Guan, "The contribution of side chains to antitumor activity of a polysaccharide from Codonopsis pilosula," International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 891–894, 2012.

Y. Lu, B.-Y. Zhang, Z.-X. Jia, W.-J. Wu, and Z.-Q. Lu, "Hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cell apoptosis and caspase-8 and Bcl-2 expression induced by injectable seed extract of Coix lacryma-jobi," Hepatobiliary & Pancreatic Diseases International, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 303–307, 2011.
and the activations of caspase-9 and caspase-3 in HL-60 cells,”
*Biological & Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 1082–1086, 2006.

[111] S.-J. Lee, I.-S. Lee, and W. Mar, “Inhibition of inducible nitric oxide synthase and cyclooxygenase-2 activity by 1,2,3,4,6-penta-O-galloyl-β-D-glucose in murine macrophage cells,”
*Archives of Pharmacal Research*, vol. 26, no. 10, pp. 832–839, 2003.

[112] J. J. Lu, Y. Y. Dang, M. Huang, W. S. Xu, X. P. Chen, and Y. T. Wang, “Anti-cancer properties of terpenoids isolated from Rhizoma Curcuma—-a review,”
*Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 143, no. 2, pp. 406–411, 2012.

[113] Q. Xia, X. Wang, D.-J. Xu, X.-H. Chen, and F.-H. Chen, 
“Inhibition of platelet aggregation by curdione from *Curcuma wenyujin* essential oil,”
*Thrombosis Research*, vol. 130, no. 3, pp. 409–414, 2012.

[114] J. P. T. Higgins and S. Green, “Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0,”  The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011, http://handbook.cochrane.org/.

[115] J. A. C. Sterne and M. Egger, “Funnel plots for detecting bias in meta-analysis: guidelines on choice of axis,”
*Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, vol. 54, no. 10, pp. 1046–1055, 2001.

[116] M. Egger, G. D. Smith, M. Schneider, and C. Minder, “Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test,”
*British Medical Journal*, vol. 315, no. 7109, pp. 629–634, 1997.

[117] D. Moher, S. Hopewell, K. F. Schulz et al., “CONSORT 2010 explanation and elaboration: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials,”
*British Medical Journal*, vol. 340, p. c869, 2010.

[118] K. F. Schulz, D. G. Altman, and D. Moher, “CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials,”
*British Medical Journal*, vol. 340, p. c332, 2010.

[119] Y.-H. Yu, H.-P. Kuo, H.-H. Hsieh et al., “*Ganoderma tsugae* induces S phase arrest and apoptosis in doxorubicin-resistant lung adenocarcinoma H23/0.3 cells via modulation of the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway,”
*Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2012, Article ID 371286, 13 pages, 2012.

[120] R. Tilton, A. A. Paiva, J.-Q. Guan et al., “A comprehensive platform for quality control of botanical drugs (PhytomicsQC): a case study of Huangqin Tang (HQT) and PHY906,”
*Chinese Medicine*, vol. 5, article 30, 2010.