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INTRODUCTION

Estuarine and coastal ecosystems are among the most heavily exploited and threatened natural systems in the world (Worm et al., 2006; Halpern et al., 2008). The loss of coastal vegetation, biodiversity, and ecosystem functions, have additionally favored biological invasions, decreased water quality, and increased erosion from flooding and storm events (Halpern et al., 2008; Koch et al., 2009). Such pervasive degradation of coastal marine ecosystems has led to considerable interest in their protection and rehabilitation (e.g. Matthews & Minello, 1994; Beck et al. 2003). In addition, protecting the habitat for endangered and socio-ecologically important species is also a central conservation strategy (see Ceballos et al. 1998; Noss, 2000).

Changes in the integrity of the landscape can modify hydrologic connectivity, disrupt key ecological functions and the life histories of a broad spectrum of organisms, and cause dramatic losses in aquatic biodiversity (Pringle, 2003). Among wetland ecosystems, salt marshes have been manipulated by humans since the Middle Ages through the construction of physical barriers that alter tidal action, as well as with agricultural practices and land uses that cause impermeability of top soil layers and alter natural biogeochemical functions (Portnoy & Giblin, 1997; Gedan et al., 2009). At the biotic level, such tidal restrictions and impoundments have been shown to reduce or eliminate habitat use by many invertebrate, fish, and bird species, particularly of those using marshes for spawning, nursery habitats, and for feeding migrations (Warren et al., 2002; Sheaves, 2009). Williams & Zedler, (1999) found that fish assemblage composition was strongly associated to channel habitat characteristics, thus evidencing the importance of mimicking the natural hydrogeomorphology of the marsh when planning habitat rehabilitation projects. Enhanced tidal connectivity may also contribute to the effective control of alien plant species such as the common reed, Pragmites australis,
which outcompetes native vegetation and may further reduce the abundance of fish 
juveniles and larvae (Able & Hagan, 2000). The size and structural connectivity 
between estuarine and marine ecosystems are also central variables explaining fish 
catch data for many groups of commercial species and make imperative the 
conservation spatial habitat features in order to maintain sustainable fish stocks 
(Meynecke et al. 2007).

The Ebro Delta (NW Mediterranean) constitutes an example of a highly modified 
human area, with ca. 65% of previous salt marsh-estuarine ecosystems now being 
devoted to rice cultivation (Benito et al., 2014). Most of the remaining natural surface 
has been integrated into the Ebro Delta Natural Park, except for a fragment of salt marsh 
facing Alfacs Bay, which is not subjected to local management. This salt marsh habitat 
has been hydrologically isolated from the sea, as a result of former salt production and 
fish farming, and this may prevent migration and dispersal of aquatic fauna and alter the 
diversity and composition of local communities (Gedan et al., 2009).

The area is important because it hosts one of the main populations of the endangered 
Spanish toothcarp (Aphanius iberus), a cyprinodontid fish endemic to the Mediterranean 
coast of Spain that is considered in danger of extinction by the National Catalogue of 
Endangered Species and the Bern Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife 
and Natural Habitats (Doadrio, 2002). Aphanius iberus is characterized by a high 
degree of isolation among its populations and is often abundant in salt pans (Oliva- 
Paterna et al., 2006), such as in the salt marsh area described here, presumably because 
of natural dispersion from other bay regions and reduced competition with local and 
non-indigenous species at high salinities (Alcaraz et al., 2007a; 2008a). In addition, it 
typically displays a short life span (0-2 years; García-Berthou & Moreno-Amich, 1992)
and important variability in interannual recruitment (Fernández-Delgado et al., 1988; Vargas & De Sostoa, 1997).

Enhancing the hydrologic connectivity of the study area was one of the main goals of a wider project supported by the Life-Nature Program of the European Union aimed at rehabilitating two coastal lagoons in the Ebro Delta to the condition existing before major human intervention. However, this goal was potentially in conflict with the interest of the Ebro Delta Natural Park in preserving the Spanish toothcarp population within isolated ponds. Restoration works were preceded by a preliminary assessment of the population, aimed at assessing whether the abundance of individuals was determined by environmental factors (e.g. salinity), historic reductions in the number of hydrologic connections, or a combination of both. Results (also included in this study) indicated that factors related to human-made isolation, such as distance to the sea and the presence or absence of an artificial concrete bottom, were the most relevant in determining toothcarp densities. Then, we tested whether rehabilitation and conservation needs could be reconciled by designing a gradient of hydrologically connected areas, including isolated, semi-isolated, and shoreface-connected salt marsh-sea that could allow fish migration and yet provide a variable degree of confinement for A. iberus. The total fish community, including A. iberus, and the local environmental variables were monitored before and during three consecutive years after restoration efforts in order to track possible changes in fish assemblages and to elucidate causes of variability. More specifically, we hypothesized that: (1) enhanced hydrological connections will increase the diversity and richness of fish species in the salt marsh area; (2) restoration will favor the development of distinctive assemblages in terms of fish abundance and composition; and (3) isolation (in terms of distance from the sea) will still be a central variable controlling the diversity and structure of fish assemblages.
after restoration, with some influence of other environmental variables. For *A. iberus*, a decrease in the overall abundance of individuals was also expected due to potential dispersal throughout the salt marsh and into Alfacs Bay, although with higher numbers at farther distances from the sea due to the species’ preference for isolated areas.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area and restoration works**

The Sant Antoni salt marsh area comprises 147 ha (ca. 1.5 % of the Ebro Delta Natural Park) of *Salicornia* marshes and shallow ponds lying between the southern edge of the Tancada Lagoon and Alfacs Bay (Fig. 1). It is managed by private owners and constitutes one of the last fragments of impounded salt marsh habitat in the Ebro Delta. Before agricultural development in the 19th century, salt marshes stretched along the whole northern shore of the Alfacs Bay and connected it with the Tancada lagoon and another adjacent lagoon system (see Annex I). After agricultural development, the remaining salt marsh was used for salt and aquaculture production, which deeply altered the natural regime of seawater flooding (*Ibáñez et al., 1997*). Prior to habitat rehabilitation in 2011-2012 the study area consisted of 60 aquaculture ponds, some isolated and some interconnected (Fig. 1). A road separates the area into two zones (A, B) that differ in distance from the sea and connectivity with it (Fig. 1).

A preliminary assessment was first conducted to determine whether the high abundance of *A. iberus* was due to natural factors or a consequence of human isolation in artificial ponds. This information was critical for deciding the most appropriate restoration strategy to recover connectivity functions while preserving the *A. iberus* population. After the assessment, land works were conducted during 4 months between November 2011 and February 2012, using shovel loaders and crawler excavators in
order to enhance connectivity with the sea, particularly in Zone B. Six isolated ponds within Zone A were left intact as reservoirs for *A. iberus* and the rest were combined into 5 large ponds (Fig. 1). Extracted soil from the margins of aquaculture ponds was used to partially fill ponds, reducing water depth across the study area. The works were conducted from inland southwards towards the bay, and extreme care was taken during the reallocation of the extracted soil, in order to allow local fish and other benthic vagile fauna to move towards an adjacent area connected to another pond or bay canal. The fringing vegetation, before and after enhancement of hydrological connections, was a diverse community of halophytes and protected species of *Limonium* spp., whereas the submerged vegetation within ponds was mostly dominated by the spiral ditchgrass, *Ruppia cirrhosa*, and marine algae, particularly during the summer period. Removal of land around the ponds’ perimeter was also done taking into consideration, as much as possible, the distribution of *Limonium* spp. populations, which are protected by law.

**Fish sampling and environmental monitoring**

A field permit for fish capture was granted by the Ebro Delta Natural Park, which also provided logistical support and supervision at each sampling event once per year during four consecutive years (2011 to 2014). No individuals were sacrificed for the purpose of this study, and all fish were released at the site of capture immediately after species identification. During the multiple years of the project (2011-2014) April was chosen for sampling in order to prevent disturbance of *A. iberus* individuals during the breeding season, which occurs mainly from May to September (*Fernández-Delgado et al., 1988*; *Vargas & De Sostoa, 1997*). Fyke nets and not ponds, which were only present in 2011, were used as replicate units throughout the study period. All fyke nets were 1.4 m long with a hoop diameter of 0.5 × 0.35 m and 4.0 mm mesh size, appropriate for all benthic species at shallow depths and those using the vegetation for sheltering during the day. Depth was very shallow across the entire study area and fyke nets
traversed the whole water column avoiding otherwise potential bias in the capture of benthic vs. more pelagic species. In 2011, 3 fyke nets per pond were deployed across the study area (\(N = 60\) ponds, all of them sampled). Of the total of 180 nets, 95 in Zone A and 63 in Zone B were recovered and the rest were stolen by poachers. Ponds sampled in 2011 and reservoir ponds (2012-2014) were very large (1841 ± 104 m\(^2\)), so bias due to non-independent replication was unlikely because fyke nets were placed more than 30 m apart. In the three following years, the numbers of replicate nets recovered were 81 and 50 in 2012, 75 and 43 in 2013, and 61 and 38 in 2014, in Zone A and Zone B respectively. These replicate numbers were much higher than required, since the low number of species in the area resulted in a stable yield/effort curve at only 10 fyke nets per zone each year. All fyke nets were set in late afternoon and hauled the next morning thanks to a team of local volunteers, hence having an average soaking time of 12 h. The next day, all nets were removed and all the fish captured were sorted to species level and counted. Individuals of the locally abundant green crab *Carcinus maenas* that were present within the fyke net were also counted.

Physicochemical variables of water (pH, temperature, salinity, and dissolved oxygen (ODO)) were measured within a two-hour range with an YSI 6660 multiparametric probe (equipped with a 650 MDS data logger) placed beside each fyke net. Dissolved nutrients (NO\(_x\), NH\(_4\), and PO\(_4\)) were collected with 100 ml water bottles and kept frozen at -20°C until determination following the Koroleff method (*Koroleff, 1977*). Depth was measured to the nearest mm using a meter stick at the center of each pond (2011) and beside the mouth of each fyke net (2012-2014). Distance to the sea was calculated with Google Earth as the minimum distance that a fish would have to travel from a given pond to reach the sea (2011), and from the georeferenced points where physicochemical variables were collected to the sea (2012-2014). Abundance of submerged and riparian vegetation was visually estimated as percent cover (0, 25, 50, 75, and 100) in sites where fyke nets were deployed. The type of substrate was assessed as presence/absence of natural vs. artificial substrate (*i.e.* concrete), and the slope of the ponds (2011) or basin area (2012-2014) was determined as the angle between the ground and the
A full list of investigated environmental variables with mean values obtained per zone and year is provided in Table 1.

Species richness was calculated as the total number of species observed per fyke net, and species diversity was estimated from the Shannon index (hereafter SI) such that:

\[ H' = -\sum_{i=1}^{s} p_i \log p_i \]

where \( s \) is the total number of species and \( p_i \) is the proportion of species \( i \) observed in the sample.

## Data analyses

### Preliminary assessment

The association of toothcarp CPUE and fish community diversity (SI) with environmental (depth, distance to the sea, salinity, \( T \) °C, ODO, pH, slope and type of substrate) and biotic variables (riparian and submerged vegetation cover) before restoration efforts (2011) was analyzed with Generalized Linear Models (GLMs), assuming a Gaussian error and the identity link function. Among biotic variables, accompanying species present at abundances higher than 1 % of the total capture – \( C. maenas, Potamochistus microps, \) and \( Atherina boyeri \) – were also included. Zone was not used as a variable for the analyses because of its relationship with distance to the sea, which was used instead.

An information-theoretic approach was used to find the best approximating models (Burnham & Anderson, 2002). GLMs were built including all possible combinations of environmental and biotic variables, excluding interactions, due to the large number of variables included. Two additional criteria were used to define the candidate models: only those performing significantly better than the null model and those with a variance inflation factor (VIF) of \( \leq 5 \) were selected, in order to avoid multicollinearity effects in
regression models (Ibáñez et al., 2012). The degree of support for each candidate model was assessed with the second order AIC (AICc); AICc was rescaled to obtain \( \Delta \text{AICc} \) values \( \Delta \text{AICc} = \text{AICc}_i - \text{minimum AICc} \), since models with \( \Delta \text{AICc} > 7 \) have essentially no support and can be omitted from further consideration. Then, the relative plausibility of each candidate model was assessed by calculating Akaike's weights \( (w_i) \); \( w_i \) ranges from 0 to 1, and can be interpreted as the probability that a given model is the best model in the candidate set. Because no model was clearly the best one \( (i.e. \; w_i \geq 0.9) \), we calculated model-average regression coefficients as the result of a weighted average (by model \( w_i \)) of the regression coefficients across all models in which a given variable is present. The relative importance of each independent variable was also calculated by the sum of \( w_i \) for all models in which a given variable occurs (Burnham & Anderson, 2002). Finally, model-averaged estimates were compared with regression coefficients from the full model to assess the impact of model selection bias on parameter estimates (Whittingham et al., 2005). For all of candidate models residuals showed to be normally distributed according to the Shapiro–Francia normality test \( (W \geq 0.97, \; P \geq 0.33) \).

Prior to analysis, quantitative variables were transformed to improve linearity and homoscedasticity. Analyses were performed with R software version 3.1; the MuMIn 1.5.6 package was used for multi-model inference analysis.

**Fish community**

A 2-way ANOVA was used to investigate differences in fish species richness and diversity (SI) among years and between zones (Year and Zone as fixed factors). As in the preliminary assessment, an information-theoretic approach was used for assessing the relationship between the SI and environmental and biotic variables (except...
substrate type) at each study year after restoration, and for selecting the best approximating Generalized Linear Models (Burnham & Anderson, 2002; Ibáñez et al., 2012). Since the time lag between sampling events (once a year) was sufficiently long for considering independence between them, we built separate models for each study year. Isolated reservoir ponds were excluded from all the 2012-2014 analyses since they were not subjected to restoration.

The importance of temporal and spatial changes in the structure of fish assemblages after restoration works was investigated for the whole temporal series (2011 to 2014) using the PRIMER v6 software package (Clarke & Gorley, 2006). nMDS ordinations were used first to obtain a visual representation of assemblages’ groupings among years and zones, and further ANOSIM analyses were conducted to quantify the importance of observed differences. All multivariate analyses also included the green crab (C. maenas), due to its high abundance and the potential disturbance of soft sediments and submerged vegetation it can cause (Ropes, 1968; Davis et al., 1998), which could negatively affect hatching of A. iberus on benthic macrophytes (Clavero et al., 2007). All samples were standardized by the mean divided by standard deviation and log (x +1) transformed prior the analyses.

**Spanish toothcarp population**

Temporal and spatial trends in the abundance of A. iberus before and after restoration works were investigated with a 2-way ANOVA, with year (2011 to 2014) and zone (A and B) as fixed factors. The effect of natural factors not related to salt marsh rehabilitation in the abundance of A. iberus within isolated reservoir ponds was investigated with a 2-way ANOVA, with year (2011 to 2014) and pond (6 levels) as
fixed factors, due to specific location needs. SNK post hoc tests were conducted for all
significant ANOVA factors.

The association between *A. iberus* abundances and environmental and biotic
variables at each study year after restoration was also investigated using an information-
theoretic approach to find the best approximating models (*Burnham & Anderson, 2002*)
following the same criteria described for the fish community and the preliminary
assessment.

**RESULTS**

**Preliminary assessment**

The AICc-based model selection suggested 322 plausible models ($\Delta$AICc < 7) to
explain variability in *A. iberus* abundance within ponds (Table 2a). The best model
contained substrate type, distance to the sea, and *A. boyeri* plus the green crab *C. maenas* as accompanying species (see later for selection criterion). In contrast, the
diversity of the local assemblages was shown to be mostly influenced by salinity, depth,
and the percent cover of riparian vegetation, although patterns were less strong than
those observed for *A. iberus* (Table 2b).

**Fish community**

A total of 14 fish species were found over the two salt marsh zones during the four
study years (see abundances in Fig. 2). They belonged to 9 different families:
Anguilidae (*A. anguilla*); Cyprinodontidae (*A. iberus*); Fundulidae (*Fundulus heteroclitus*, only 1 individual in 2013); Atherinidae (*A. boyeri*); Mugilidae (*Mugil cephalus*, and *Liza* sp.); Blenniidae (*Salaria pavo*); Gobiidae (*P. microps, Gobius geniporus*, and *G. niger*); Sparidae (*S. aurata*); Moronidae (*D. labrax*); and Sygnatidae
(Sygnatus abaster, and S. acus). Additionally, invertebrate species such as the green crab (C. maenas, Portunidae) and shrimp (Palaemonetes sp.; Palaemonidae) were also captured in abundance.

Species richness and the Shannon index displayed significant differences among study years (Species richness: $F_{3, 439} = 93.52, P < 0.001$, in SNK: 2013 > 2012 > 2011 > 2014; SI: $F_{3, 439} = 9.92, P < 0.001$, in SNK: 2012 ≥ 2011 = 2013 = 2014) but not between zones ($P = 0.79$, and $P = 0.07$, respectively for species richness and SI). There was a significant Year × Zone interaction, with Zone A showing lower richness and SI in 2011-2012, and higher in 2013-2014 (Species richness: $F_{3, 439} = 10.08, P < 0.001$; SI: $F_{3, 439} = 19.77, P < 0.001$; see Fig. 3a,b).

Predictive models from the information–theoretic framework analysis ($N = 2$ to 67 with $\Delta AICc < 7$) consistently selected distance to the sea (2012 to 2014) and temperature (except for 2013) as important variables explaining variability in the SI. Water depth was also a significant variable, but only in the 2012 model (Table 3).

nMDS ordination showed no apparent groupings for samples belonging to each study year, but there were some differences between zones A and B (Fig. 4). Further ANOSIM results confirmed the low importance of annual differences ($R = 0.196, P = 0.001$) and the stronger significance of spatial differences between zones ($R = 0.541, P = 0.001$). In pair-wise comparisons, differences between 2011 and 2012 were the lowest ($R = 0.043, P = 0.001$), and increased during the two following years ($R = 0.33$ and $R = 0.30, P = 0.001$, respectively for 2011-2013 and 2011-2014). Differences between 2012-2013 and 2012-2014 were small ($R = 0.23$ and $R = 0.19, P = 0.001$, respectively), and larger than those of 2013-2014 ($R = 0.086, P = 0.001$).

**Spanish toothcarp population**
The abundance of *A. iberus* displayed significant differences among years ($F_{3, 439} = 47.25, P < 0.001$), with the highest numbers observed in Zone A in 2011, and no significant effects between years after restoration (in SNK: 2011 > 2012 = 2013 = 2014). There were also significant differences between zones ($F_{1, 439} = 47.25, P < 0.001$; in SNK: Zone A > Zone B), with sharper differences after 2011 (Year × Zone interaction; $F_{3, 439} = 47.25, P = 0.001$) (Fig. 5a). The number of individuals in reservoir ponds also showed a significant decline after 2011 and then increased abundances in 2013-2014 (5460, 369, 1511, and 1436 individuals in total within the six reservoir ponds, respectively from 2011 to 2014; $F_{3, 48} = 13.01, P < 0.001$) (Fig. 5b). Significant effects were observed among ponds ($F_{5, 48} = 4.6, P = 0.0015$), and among ponds and time (Year × Pond interaction; $F_{15, 48} = 6.85, P < 0.001$).

Results of the information-theoretic framework analysis provided predictive models of the effects of measured environmental variables on the abundance of *A. iberus* in each study area. Considering all the data collected from 2012 to 2014, the AICc-based model selection suggested 7 to 44 models for the whole area that could be considered as plausible models ($ΔAICc < 7$) to explain variability in *A. iberus* abundance. Consistently, the best AICc model was that containing distance to the sea and *A. boyeri*. Only in the 2014 model, the abundance of the crab *C. maenas* and salinity were also found to be significant variables in the model (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Restoration efforts considerably enhanced the number of hydrological connections with the sea, providing additional submerged habitat (see aerial photographs in Annex I) and improved aesthetic landscape features within the salt marsh. Although there were few overall differences in fish diversity and richness among study years, significant
temporal changes in spatial distribution were observed due to higher numbers of rare species being able to reach semi-isolated areas after restoration. Community structure also showed little influence of restoration, possibly because assemblages may require longer than 2-3 years to recover (Lorenz & Serafy, 2006; Warren et al., 2002). In fact, only ca. 5% of published projects targeting increases in fish populations achieve enhanced fish production, even though ca. 98% of them reach habitat quality goals (Smokorowski et al., 1998). The population of A. iberus was also strongly affected by distance from the sea, with higher numbers of individuals being found farther into the marsh, suggesting high site fidelity (Oliva-Paterna et al., 2006). Abundances showed an important decrease after restoration which could be attributed to both dispersal into adjacent shallow habitats (Alfacs bay) and to interannual differences in recruitment success (Fernández-Delgado et al., 1988; Vargas & De Sostoa, 1997). Overall, we are confident that enhancement of the hydrologic connectivity was the best strategy for habitat restoration in order to achieve a more natural functioning of the system while still hosting a large population of A. iberus.

Effects of isolation degree in fish communities

The capacity for biological recovery following habitat rehabilitation has often been discussed as a function of isolation, with more isolated environments displaying slower rates of recovery for both invertebrate and fish communities (Fuchs & Statzner, 1990; Bond & Lake, 2003). For instance, increases in the abundance of trout (Salmo trutta) and brook trout (Salvelinus fontinalis) after habitat rehabilitation occurs through dispersal of individuals from adjacent areas and over a relatively large scale (Gowan & Fausch, 1996). However, recovery effects may also depend on habitat characteristics and on the way that target species exploit the habitat resources (Lorenz & Serafy, 2006).
In our study, increasing distance from the sea was shown to be the most important variable explaining fish diversity and community structure before and after restoration of habitat connections. In 2011, fish diversity showed a negative relationship with distance, whereas a positive relationship was observed in 2013-2014, possible resulting from enhanced accessibility to remote areas of the saltmarsh, and from differences in residence time across habitats. In the particular case of 2012 (first year after restoration), the negative effect of distance on fish diversity, might be attributed to a period of recovery after restoration works.

Among common species in the Ebro Delta, mullets and European eel have a seasonal cycle marked by inshore migration to marshes and estuaries during the spring (Poole et al., 1990; Lebreton et al., 2011) and these taxa tended to be more abundant farther from the sea. Similarly, small species such as the common goby (P. microps) and the sand smelt (A. boyeri), which can be permanent residents within salt marshes (Veiga et al., 2006; Green et al., 2009), were also more abundant at greater distances to the sea (Zone A). For the Spanish toothcarp, higher numbers were consistently observed farther into the marsh, possibly because of lower accessibility to predators compared to areas adjacent to the bay (Clavero et al., 2007), or higher salinities (by ca. 10 units) also decreasing the presence of competitors and predators (Alcaraz & García-Berthou, 2007b; Alcaraz et al., 2008b). For instance, the green crab was more abundant in salt marsh areas adjacent to the sea (up to 19 individuals per fyke net), and showed significant negative effects on A. iberus abundances in regression models (2011 and 2014). These negative relationships may be due to substantial digging and cutting of the submerged vegetation (Ropes, 1968; Davis et al., 1998), which can result in a decrease in the hatching success of A. iberus, which typically deposits egg masses on aquatic plants (Clavero et al., 2007). Positive associations with A. boyeri abundances were
observed throughout the study, although they might be indicative of similar habitat requirements \cite{Clavero2005} rather than a causal relationship. The sea bream \cite{aurata} was found in higher abundances closer to the sea, possibly due to more optimal growth, osmoregulation and metabolic efficiency at brackish and seawater salinities \cite{Laiz-Carrion2005}. The remaining species, including members of the Sygnathidae, as well as \textit{S. pavo} and \textit{G. geniporus} are occasional visitors entering the salt marsh during the spring–summer period \cite{Franco2006}, but were present in low abundance and their abundance was not related to distance to the sea. Overall, enhancing the hydrological connections favored the free movement of fish farther into the salt marsh, although overall differences in species richness and diversity appear to be primarily driven by interannual variability \cite{Neill1994}.

**Interannual variability in fish community**

Year was also found to be an important factor driving fish community structure within the study salt marsh, possibly resulting from variability in the sign of some environmental variables (e.g., salinity, and temperature) through time among other indeterminate causes. Populations trends for the three most abundant fish species \textit{A. iberus}, \textit{P. microps}, \textit{A. boyeri} and the green crab \textit{C. maenas} suggest that periods longer than 3 years might be necessary to complete the recovery of estuarine assemblages (from 5 to 21 years according to \textit{Warren et al. 2002}), although some negative effects of restoration works on the abundance of individuals might have also occurred. \textit{A. iberus} was the most abundant species (0 to 3508 individuals per fyke net), but numbers declined by ca. 81\% from 2011 to 2012-2014, possibly due to dispersal to newly available salt marsh areas and/or the Alfacs Bay and to differences in interannual recruitment (see later), although some negative effects of restoration works cannot be
excluded. For *P. microps* a similar decrease was observed after restoration (ca. 92%), with similar patterns of decline also observed within reservoir ponds (15.2 to 1.2 individuals per fyke net from 2011 to 2014, respectively for each year; data not shown) which suggests the effects of environmental factors (*Dolbeth et al., 2007*) and/or dispersal. For instance, salinity and oxygen levels were found to be significant factors during the study and are known to affect the use and selection of microhabitat patches by fish species, particularly during early stages of development (*Baltz et al. 1993; Alcaraz et al., 2007b*). Equally, the cover of riparian vegetation was also an important factor for the fish community, possibly because it enhances structural refuges, thus increasing species richness by harboring different small benthic species (*Sabo et al., 2005*). Yet, as for *A. iberus*, potential negative effects of restoration works on this species, through enhanced turbidity or disturbance of the benthic habitat, cannot be discounted. In contrast, other common species such as *A. boyeri* and *C. maenas* showed similar abundance throughout the study (1–3 and 1–5 individuals per fyke net, respectively), which might be attributed to changes in resource availability or other sources of natural variation (*Pihl, 1985; Vizzini & Mazzola, 2005*).

**Spanish toothcarp population**

The population of *A. iberus* in the study area is one of the largest so far reported for the Spanish Mediterranean coast (*Clavero et al. 2006; Pou-Rovira et al., 2008; Rodríguez-Climent et al., 2012*), and reached the highest values in 2011 prior to restoration works. Although the turbidity associated to restoration efforts might have contributed to this pattern, abundances of individuals in isolated reservoir ponds also experienced a sharp decline after 2011, which suggest the influence of local natural factors such as climatic conditions and/or density-dependent population dynamics.
Seasonal and interannual fluctuations of over > 90 % \cite{Pou-Rovira2004}, may be caused by temperature (low winter values, and/or differences in the duration of the reproductive window) and/or heavy rain and flooding events (stress due rapid changes in salinity and/or changes in prey availability), among other possibilities \cite{Clavero2007, Green2009}. In particular in the study area, high-rainfall-driven episodic flood events during the winter period might have favored the movement of individuals to other adjacent areas outside the reservoir ponds and/or allowed the entrance of competitors and predators. For instance, although only one individual of \textit{C. maenas} and \textit{A. anguilla} one were found in isolated ponds in 2011, increased numbers were detected in 2012 (7 individuals of each species) and 2013 (107 and 29, respectively for \textit{C. maenas} and \textit{A. anguilla}), and none in 2014, evidencing some flux of individuals during flood events. The large temporal fluctuations in the abundance of \textit{A. iberus} were also consistent with the short life cycle of the species, which was found to be exclusively comprised by age 1+ individuals (Length based Cohort Analysis with the FiSAT II Software; data not shown), in agreement with previous age determinations in the Ebro Delta \cite{García-Berthou1992, Vargas1997}. Among other aspects influencing the abundance of \textit{A. iberus}, dilution of individuals due to increases in submerged area after restoration (11.3 % and 27.1 % in Zone A and B, respectively) is also possible, and would have required a greater sampling effort. More importantly, dispersal of individuals towards marine habitats is not rare \cite{Doadrio2002} and may have contributed to the decline of individuals within the salt marsh. In fact, despite its marked preference for isolated areas \cite{Oliva-Paterna2006, Verdiell-Cubedo2013, this study}, the genetic variation of \textit{A. iberus} populations from the Ebro Delta (Tancada, Canal Vell, and Gola del Migjorn) has been shown to diverge by 35.3 % from their original source and differences increase when compared to
other geographical locations (Araguas et al. 2007). This indirect evidence of fish dispersion, suggests that enhanced salt marsh connectivity may help to promote the genetic diversity of the species.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Restoration efforts created hydrological conditions and landscape configuration more similar to those occurring in the study habitat prior human alteration in the 19th century, except for the traversing road (Annex 1). Enhanced fish diversity and abundance goals were not met, suggesting that the duration of the study period might have been insufficient for detecting the growth of populations (Lorenz & Serafy, 2006; Warren et al., 2002). Yet, the richness and diversity of fish species that could reach the more inland areas of the salt marsh were increased after restoration, evidencing an enhanced number of pathways for transient species. For the two of the most abundant species, A. iberus and P. microps, restoration efforts were followed by a significant decrease in the abundance of individuals, but similar patterns were also detected in isolated reservoir ponds, thus suggesting the undergoing of natural factors (climatic factors, populations’ dynamics, etc.) rather than restoration works themselves. In particular, episodic flooding of isolated ponds during winter storms cannot be discarded, and might have been a process allowing the movement of individuals towards other more connected areas of adjacent saltmarsh. Given the marked preference of A. iberus for isolated environments, enhancement of hydrological connections may also benefit populations suffering from inbreeding depression and favor the long-term conservation of the species.
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Table 1 Environmental variables (mean ± standard error) measured in zone A and B of the study area before (2011) and after (2012-2013) habitat restoration. The number of connections and substrate type per pond are indicated prior pond removal in 2011. Nutrient data from 2014 are not available (NA).

| Environmental variables                             | Zone A                  | Zone B                  |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|                                                     | 2011 (± SE)             | 2012 (± SE)             | 2013 (± SE)             | 2014 (± SE)             | 2011 (± SE)             | 2012 (± SE)             | 2013 (± SE)             | 2014 (± SE)             |
| Distance to sea (m)                                 | 650.5 ± 21              | 698.8 ± 13.8            | 694 ± 22.1              | 757.7 ± 13.5            | 308.4 ± 16.5            | 245.8 ± 20.5            | 337.9 ± 23.4            | 356.8 ± 14.3            |
| Pond connections (N)                                | 0.9 ± 0.1               |                        | 1.18 ± 0.1              | 4.5 ± 2                 | 46.6 ± 2.7              | 53.8 ± 1.7              |                        |                        |
| Natural/ Artificial substrate                       | 50/2                    | 17/3                    | 19.3 ± 0.1              | 16.8 ± 0.6              | 19.6 ± 0.1              | 25.6 ± 0.1              |                        |                        |
| Depth (cm)                                          | 41.5 ± 1.3              | 53.6 ± 1.6              | 55.0 ± 0.5              | 65 ± 1.6                | 44.5 ± 1                | 45.5 ± 2                | 46.6 ± 2.7              | 53.8 ± 1.7              |
| Salinity                                            | 45.1 ± 2.8              | 45.2 ± 2.1              | 41.7 ± 2               | 39.8 ± 2.1              | 35.8 ± 1.2              | 34.8 ± 1.9              | 35.5 ± 0.1              | 36.1 ± 0.2              |
| Water T °C                                          | 20.6 ± 0.08             | 14.9 ± 0.2              | 17.7 ± 0.5             | 22.6 ± 0.1              | 19.3 ± 0.1              | 16.8 ± 0.6              | 19.6 ± 0.1              | 25.6 ± 0.1              |
| ODO (mg L⁻¹)                                        | 6.8 ± 0.4               | 9.5 ± 0.1               | 6.2 ± 0.2              | 9.4 ± 0.2               | 6.5 ± 0.3               | 9.9 ± 0.2               | 7.1 ± 0.07              | 11.6 ± 0.6              |
| pH                                                  | 8.1 ± 0.07              | 8.4 ± 0.04              | 8.1 ± 0.03             | 8.2 ± 0.02              | 8.2 ± 0.1               | 8.3 ± 0.03              | 8.1 ± 0.01              | 8.3 ± 0.1               |
| NO₃ (µg/L)                                          | 27.9 ± 6.5              | 30.8 ± 5.2              | 16.9 ± 6.2             | NA                     | 39.1 ± 10.5             | 33.1 ± 4.2              | 29.2 ± 6.8              | NA                     |
| NO₂ (µg/L)                                          | 5.5 ± 1.9               | 5.2 ± 1.2               | 7.1 ± 2.5              | NA                     | 5.7 ± 1.5               | 11.1 ± 2               | 9.6 ± 2.7               | NA                     |
| NH₄ (µg/L)                                          | 64.0 ± 11               | 86.6 ± 12               | 99.8 ± 26.1            | NA                     | 65.4 ± 14.5             | 90.7 ± 15.7             | 100.9 ± 26.1            | NA                     |
| PO₄ (µg/L)                                          | 19.4 ± 3.6              | 9.3 ± 3.6               | 17.7 ± 4.4             | NA                     | 13.2 ± 2.4              | 15.5 ± 1.3             | 8.8 ± 1.3               | NA                     |
| Submerged veg. (%)                                  | 40.8 ± 7.2              | 28.0 ± 6.2              | 28.2 ± 4.8             | 62.7 ± 4.9             | 62.4 ± 4.1             | 10.6 ± 2.4             | 7.5 ± 1.7               | 52.2 ± 5.6              |
| Riparian veg. (%)                                   | 80 ± 5.8                | 51.1 ± 6.5              | 31.6 ± 5.1             | 55.3 ± 4.6             | 90.9 ± 3.9             | 29.1 ± 5.8             | 8.75 ± 2.01             | 23.6 ± 4.2              |
| Slope (%)                                           | 33.6 ± 2.1              | 34.5 ± 2.3              | 32.3 ± 1.5             | 35 ± 1                 | 31.8 ± 2.3             | 31.0 ± 1.6             | 29.6 ± 2.5              | 25 ± 0                 |
Table 2 Results from the information-theoretic framework analyses aimed to evaluate the importance of environmental and biotic variables in: (A) *A. iberus* abundance within ponds; and (B) the diversity of the fish community (SI) before reconstruction works in 2011. Model-averaged regression coefficients ($\beta$) are parameter coefficients averaged by model weight across all candidate models ($\Delta$AICc < 7) in which the given parameter occurs; selection probability (SP) indicates the importance of an independent variable, and parameter bias is the difference between $\beta$ and the full model coefficients. The number (N) of candidate models ($\Delta$AIC < 7) and Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r) between observed and model predicted values are also shown. Parameters included in the best model, are indicated in **bold**. AB= *A. boyeri*, CM= *C. maenas*, PM= *P. microps*.

### A) *A. iberus* abundance

|                | Averaged model | N = 322, r = 0.78 |        |        |
|----------------|----------------|--------------------|--------|--------|
|                | $\beta$        | SP                 | Bias   |        |
| **Intercept**  | -6.018         | 0.126              |        |        |
| **AB**         | 0.550          | 0.630              | -0.098 |        |
| **CM**         | -1.401         | 1.000              | 0.122  |        |
| Water depth (cm)| -2.226        | 0.373              | -0.058 |        |
| Dissolved oxygen (mg/L) | 0.238      | 0.176              | 1.258  |        |
| **Distance to sea (m)** | 1.545     | 0.621              | 0.514  |        |
| **PM**         | 0.311          | 0.571              | -0.103 |        |
| Riparian vegetation (%) | -0.033   | 0.207              | 0.496  |        |
| Salinity       | 0.744          | 0.233              | -0.111 |        |
| Submerged vegetation (%) | -0.056  | 0.451              | 0.162  |        |
| **Substrate type** | **-1.037** | **0.974**          | **0.127** |        |
| Water temperature ( ºC) | 13.234   | 0.379              | 0.544  |        |

### B) SI

|                | Averaged model | N = 79, r = 0.66 |        |        |
|----------------|----------------|--------------------|--------|--------|
|                | $\beta$        | SP                 | Bias   |        |
| **Intercept**  | 0.511          | 2.194              |        |        |
| Distance to sea (m) | -0.076      | 0.280              | -0.069 |        |
| Dissolved oxygen (mg/L) | 0.158     | 0.402              | -0.018 |        |
| **Water depth (cm)** | **0.445**  | **0.770**          | **0.069** |        |
| **Riparian vegetation (%)** | **0.012** | **0.601**          | **0.042** |        |
| **Salinity**   | **-0.565**    | **1.000**          | **0.056** |        |
| **Submerged vegetation (%)** | 0.002     | 0.203              | 1.181  |        |
| Water temperature ( ºC) | -0.313    | 0.217              | 2.931  |        |
| **Substrate type** | 0.029     | 0.231              | 0.005  |        |
Table 3 Results from the information-theoretic analyses showing the importance of environmental and biotic variables in the Shannon index (SI) after restoration works (2012 to 2014), see Table 2 for details. Parameters included in the best model are highlighted in bold.

| Model Parameter | 2012 \( N = 44, r = 0.78 \) | 2013 \( N = 2, r = 0.36 \) | 2014 \( N = 67, r = 0.39 \) |
|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Interception     | 0.866 1.742              | 0.861 1.678              | -                        |
| Dist. to sea (m) | -0.288 0.991 0.138 0.848 | 1.000 0.187              | 0.138 0.57 0.126 0.187    |
| ODO (mg/L)       | 0.697 0.300 -            | 0.106 0.29 -             | -                        |
| Depth (cm)       | -0.380 0.657 0.009       | -0.173 0.36 -            | -                        |
| Riparian veg. (%)| 0.001 0.163 -            | 0.002 0.24 0.746         | -                        |
| Salinity (PSU)   | -0.149 0.203 1.763       | 0.334 0.26 1.334         | -                        |
| Submerged veg.   | 0.003 0.201 -            | 0.315 0.371 -0.894       | 0.001 0.22 0.887         |
| Water T°C        | 0.632 0.461 -            | -1.457 0.90 -            | -                        |
Table 4: Importance of environmental and biotic variables in ruling variations of *A. iberus* abundance at each year after restoration works (2012 to 2014), results from the information-theoretic analyses, see Table 2 for details. Parameters included in the best model are highlighted in **bold**.

| Model Parameter | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
|-----------------|------|------|------|
|                 | *N* = 84, *r* = 0.87 | *N* = 81, *r* = 0.83 | *N* = 172, *r* = 0.71 |
| **β**          | **SP** Bias | **β** | **SP** Bias | **β** | **SP** Bias |
| Intercept      | -0.739 | 16.210-5.324 | 0.350-15.889 | -0.578 |
| AB (cm)        | **0.978** 1.000-0.093 1.103 | **1.000** 0.080 0.744 | **1.000** 0.058 |
| CM (mg/L)      | -0.049 0.135-9.311 -0.305 | 0.194 0.472 **-0.411** | **0.521** 0.103 |
| Depth (cm)     | 0.927 0.196-0.215 0.936 | 0.273 0.350 0.115 | 0.205 0.777 |
| ODO (mg/L)     | -4.987 0.353-0.277 -1.048 | 0.226 1.511 -0.893 | 0.439 -0.273 |
| Dist. to sea (m) | **1.890** 1.000 **0.293** 2.545 | **1.000-0.017** 1.226 | **0.962** -0.016 |
| PM (m)         | -0.171 0.159 1.468 | 0.050 0.166-0.147 -0.254 | 0.251 -0.072 |
| Riparian veg. (%) | 0.023 0.181-0.029 -0.006 | 0.163-9.191 -0.014 | 0.235 -0.104 |
| **Salinity**   | 0.523 0.167 3.231 | 0.547 0.178-0.874 **9.516** | **0.750** -0.143 |
| Submerged veg. | -0.023 0.185-1.499 0.011 | 0.168 0.876 0.001 | 0.203 10.58 |
| Water T °C     | -3.699 0.442-0.590 -2.756 | 0.333-0.576 3.609 | 0.400 -0.180 |
Figure 1 Location of the study area in the Ebro Delta, NW Mediterranean, and detail of the pond structure before and after restoration efforts in 2011. The two study zones (A and B) above and below the main traversing road are indicated. Remaining coalescent ponds in restored Zone A are numbered. Reservoir ponds are indicated from R1 to R6.
Figure 2 Percent abundance of fish species at each study year (2011 to 2014) and Zone (A and B). AA = A. anguilla; PM = P. microps; AB = A. boyeri; AI = A. iberus; SA = S. aurata; MU = mullets; SP = S. pavo; SAb = S. abaster; Gbsp = Gobius sp. Other species observed (see text) were present in very low abundances and are not indicated. N_2011 = 39,739 (A) and 3,100 (B) individuals; N_2012 = 10,286 (A) and 231 (B) individuals; N_2013 = 7,381 (A) and 111 (B) individuals; N_2014 = 2,072 (A) and 141 (B) individuals.
Figure 3 A) Species richness and, B) Shannon diversity index for fishes and crustaceans collected with fyke nets in the two zones of the study area during the four study years. The dotted line indicates the moment at which restoration took place between 2011 and 2012. Error bars are the standard error.
**Figure 4** nMDS ordination showing differences in benthic assemblages (fish and crustaceans) found at the study area (Zones A and B) during the four year study period. Data were Log \((x + 1)\) transformed.
Figure 5 Number of *A. iberus* individuals captured within fyke nets. A) The two study zones. B) The six isolated ponds located within the zone A. Error bars are the standard error.