Renormalization & improvement of the tensor operator for $N_f = 3$ QCD in a $\chi$SF setup
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We present preliminary results of the non-perturbative renormalization group (RG) running of the flavor non-singlet tensor operator. We employ the $\chi$SF scheme for $N_f = 3$ QCD using ensembles generated by the ALPHA collaboration for the computation of the quark mass running. The $\chi$SF property of automatic $O(a)$ improvement prevents the $O(a)$ mixing of the correlation functions.
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1. Flavor non-singlet tensor operator

A non-perturbative determination of renormalisation group running between hadronic and electroweak scales for the flavor non-singlet tensor operator

\[ T^a_{\mu \nu} (x) = i \bar{\psi}(x) \sigma_{\mu \nu} \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} T^a \psi(x) \]  

is very interesting from both phenomenological and theoretical points of view. The tensor enters the amplitudes of effective Hamiltonians, which describe, for example, rare heavy meson decays, neutron beta decays and possible Beyond Standard Model effects:

\[ \mathcal{A} = \langle f | H_{eff} | i \rangle = C_W (\mu) \langle f | \mathcal{O} (\mu) | i \rangle \]  

Moreover, the computation of the scale dependence of the renormalization factor completes the ALPHA renormalization and improvement programme of the bilinear operators. For \( N_f = 0, 2 \) such a study has appeared in ref. [1]. For \( N_f = 3 \), preliminary results of the RG-running in the relatively high energy range \( 2 \) GeV \( \leq \mu \leq 128 \) GeV have been reported in ref. [2]. \( N_f = 3 \) renormalisation factors at different scales are also presented in ref. [3].

2. RG flow

We employ a \( \chi \)SF setup (see [4–8]), which is a mass-independent renormalization scheme. Such schemes are characterized by RG equations of the following form:

\[ \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} T_R (\mu) = \gamma (g_R (\mu)) T_R (\mu), \quad T_R (\mu) = Z_T (\mu) T, \]  

where \( g_R \) is the running coupling. The anomalous dimension \( \gamma \) has the perturbative expansion

\[ \gamma (g_R) \sim -g_R^2 (\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 g_R^2 + \gamma_2 g_R^4 + \mathcal{O}(g_R^6)), \]

with a universal coefficient \( \gamma_0 \). The solution \( T_R (\mu) \) is expressed in terms of an integration constant \( T_{\text{RGI}} \), which is renormalization group invariant (RGI):

\[ T_{\text{RGI}} = T_R (\mu) \left[ \frac{g_R^2 (\mu)}{4 \pi} \right] \frac{\gamma_0}{\text{log}} \exp \left\{ - \int_0^{\frac{g_R (\mu)}{\beta (g)}} \frac{\gamma (g)}{\beta (g) - \gamma_0} \right\}. \]

It is possible to factorize the running in many evolutions between two scales:

\[ T_R (\mu) = \frac{T_R (\mu)}{T_R (\mu_n)} \cdots \frac{T_R (\mu_2)}{T_R (\mu_1)} \frac{T_R (\mu_1)}{T_{\text{RGI}}}, \]

leading naturally to the definition of the step scaling function:

\[ \sigma_T (s, u) = \frac{T_R (\mu_2)}{T_R (\mu_1)} = \frac{Z_T (\mu_2)}{Z_T (\mu_1)}. \]
where \( s = \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} \) and \( u \equiv g_R^2(\mu_1) \). A common and convenient choice is to take successive scales at a fixed ratio \( s = 2 \):

\[
\sigma_T(u) = \sigma_T(2, u) = \exp \left\{ \int_{g_R(\mu)}^{g_R(\mu/2)} dg \frac{\gamma(g)}{\beta(g)} \right\}. \tag{10}
\]

On the lattice, the scale evolution can be studied non-perturbatively as a finite size scaling, with the renormalization scale identified as the inverse of the lattice size \( \mu = \frac{1}{L} \):

\[
\mu = \frac{1}{L}, \quad u \equiv g_R^2(L) \tag{11}
\]

\[
\sigma(u) = \lim_{a \to 0} \Sigma(u, a/L) \quad \Sigma(u, a/L) = g_R^2(2L) \tag{12}
\]

\[
\sigma_T(u) = \lim_{a \to 0} \Sigma_T(u, a/L) \quad \Sigma_T(u, a/L) = \frac{Z_T(g_R^2, a/2L)}{Z_T(g_R^2, a/L)}, \tag{13}
\]

where \( a \) is the lattice spacing. The renormalization constants \( Z_T(g_R^2, a/L) \) are defined imposing renormalization conditions on the correlation functions, as shown in eqs. (22,23) of the next section.

Our actual RG flow materializes in a sequence of many lattices. We used the same gauge configurations generated by the ALPHA collaboration for the determination of the quark mass running (see [9] for details of the simulations). They refer to \( N_f = 3 \) massless Wilson-clover fermions with Schrödinger Functional (SF) boundary conditions. The simulation parameters correspond to a RG evolution from an hadronic scale \( \mu_{had} \) of about 200 MeV to a perturbative scale \( \mu_{pt} \) around 128 GeV. The peculiarity of this flow is the change of schemes at the intermediate scale \( \mu_0 \approx 2 \text{ GeV} \): in the high energy region the running coupling is defined in the SF scheme (\( g_R \approx g_{SF} \)) [10–12], while in the low energy region it is defined in the gradient flow (GF) scheme (\( g_R \approx g_{GF} \)) [13, 14]:

| \( \mu_{had} \) | \( \approx 200 \text{ MeV} \) | \( \mu_0/2 \approx 2 \text{ GeV} \) | \( \mu_{pt} \approx 128 \text{ GeV} \) | \( \mu \) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| GF scheme       |                 | SF scheme       |                 |                 |

We impose the same definition of \( Z_T(g_R^2, a/L) \) at all scales, which implies that the anomalous dimension, which is a different function of \( g_{SF}^2 \) and of \( g_{GF}^2 \), has the same value at a given renormalisation scale \( \mu \):

\[
\gamma(\mu) = \gamma_{SF}(g_{SF}^2(\mu)) = \gamma_{GF}(g_{GF}^2(\mu)). \tag{14}
\]

### 3. \( \chi \)SF Chirally Rotated Schrödinger Functional

In our study we adopt a mixed action approach (see also [15, 16]): while the sea quarks obey the standard SF boundary conditions, for the valence quarks we impose \( \chi \)SF boundary conditions. In the continuum and chiral limit, the SF and \( \chi \)SF setups are equivalent, being connected by a chiral flavor transformation [4]:

\[
R = \exp \left( \frac{i}{2} \gamma_S \tau^3 \right) \bigg|_{\alpha = \pi/2} \quad \begin{cases} \psi \to \psi' = R\psi \\ \bar{\psi} \to \bar{\psi}' = \bar{\psi} R \end{cases} \quad \tag{15}
\]

\[
P_\pm = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \gamma_0) \to Q_\pm = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm i\gamma_0 \gamma_5 \tau^3), \tag{16}
\]
where \( P_\perp \) and \( Q_\perp \) are the SF and the \( \chi \) SF projectors acting on fermionic fields at the boundaries.

At finite lattice spacing however, \( \chi \) SF breaks the parity-flavor symmetry \( \mathcal{P}_3 = i\gamma_0\gamma_5r^3 \), which is recovered by introducing an extra boundary counterterm of dimension 3 with coefficient \( z_T \). The parameters \( z_T \) and the bare mass \( m_0 \) must be tuned non-perturbatively to their critical values in order to restore parity-flavor and chiral symmetries up to discretisation effects. In practice the two tunings can be done independently, so we inherited the value of the critical hopping parameter \( \kappa \) from the SF simulations [9], while we fixed \( z_T \) imposing the vanishing of \( g^{ud}_A \), a \( \mathcal{P}_3 \)-odd correlation function:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\left. m = \frac{\hat{a}_0 f_A^{u,d}(x_0)}{2g^{u,d}_A(x_0)} \right|_{x_0 = L/2} = 0 & \quad m_{cr} \text{ tuning} \\
\left. g^{u,d}_A(x_0) \right|_{x_0 = L/2} = 0 & \quad z_T \text{ tuning}.
\end{aligned}
\]

Here \( m \) stands for the SF-PCAC quark mass, \( f_A \) and \( f_P \) are the usual SF correlation functions of the improved axial current and the pseudoscalar density, while \( g^{ud}_A \) is the \( \chi \) SF correlation function involving the axial current with flavors \( u, d \). See Table 1 for a brief overview of the correlation functions. Some details of our tuning procedure can be found in ref. [16]

A boundary improvement counterterm proportional to the coefficients \( d_s \) is also needed in order to cancel \( O(a) \) discretisation effects originating at the time borders.

Once these requirements are fulfilled, the argument of automatic \( O(a) \) improvement is achieved in \( \chi \) SF [4, 5]: the \( \mathcal{P}_3 \)-even correlation functions receive corrections only at second order in the lattice spacing, whereas the \( \mathcal{P}_3 \)-odd ones are pure lattice artefacts:

\[
\begin{aligned}
g_{\text{even}} &= g_{\text{even}}^{\text{continuum}} + O(a^2) \\
g_{\text{odd}} &= O(a) .
\end{aligned}
\]

This property turns out to be particularly advantageous for the tensor operator, because \( O(a) \) improvement does not require mixing with bulk counterterms in the correlation functions. For \( l_T \) with flavor combination \( ud \), for example, the improvement coefficient \( c_T \) is irrelevant, since the vector correlation function \( l_V \), being \( \mathcal{P}_3 \)-odd, is \( O(a) \). Therefore the Symanzik correction, being \( O(a^2) \), may be dropped:

\[
\begin{aligned}
l_{\mu\nu}^V &= T_{\mu\nu}^V + c_T(g_0^2) \ a \ (\tilde{\partial}_\mu V_\nu - \partial_\mu V_\nu) , \\
l_{\mu\nu}^{ud,T} &= l_{\mu\nu}^{ud} + c_T(g_0^2) \ a \ (\tilde{\partial}_\mu V_\nu - \partial_\mu V_\nu).
\end{aligned}
\]

The rich variety of correlation functions is an interesting feature of \( \chi \) SF, offering the possibility of several definitions of \( Z_T \), for example through the renormalization condition on the electric tensors as well as on the magnetic ones:

\[
\begin{aligned}
Z_T(g_0, a/L) & \frac{l_{\mu\nu}^{ud}(L/2)_{\text{re}}} {\sqrt{l_{\mu\nu}^{ud}}}_{1} = \frac{l_{\mu\nu}^{ud}(L/2)_{\text{re}}} {\sqrt{l_{\mu\nu}^{ud}}} \left|_{\text{Tree Level}} \right. ~ \text{“electric” tensor } T_{0k} \quad (22) \\
Z_T(g_0, a/L) & \frac{l_{\mu\nu}^{u\mu}(L/2)_{\text{im}}} {\sqrt{l_{\mu\nu}^{u\mu}}}_{1} = \frac{l_{\mu\nu}^{u\mu}(L/2)_{\text{im}}} {\sqrt{l_{\mu\nu}^{u\mu}}} \left|_{\text{Tree Level}} \right. ~ \text{“magnetic” tensor } T_{0k} = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{0kij} T_{ij} \quad (23)
\end{aligned}
\]
This demonstrates the continuum SF-renormalization with perturbation theory at two loops (gray line) \[1\] and with the data obtained in a purely SF setup continues limit is illustrated in the left panel of Fig. 2. Our data (red circles) are in agreement and parametrized by the coupling \[\gamma\] with \[\gamma\] and the same SF renormalization condition in the continuum. In fact, the continuum equivalence of the SF-theory is demonstrated by the results at different couplings and lattice spacings: the continuum limit of the tensor lattice step scaling function.

4. Results

Our preliminary results are based on the determination of \(Z_T\) from eq. (22). We obtain the continuum limit of the tensor lattice step scaling function \(\Sigma_T(u, a/L)\) performing global fits of the data at different couplings and lattice spacings:

\[
\Sigma_T(u, a/L) = \frac{Z_T (g_0^2, a/2L)}{Z_T (g_0^2, a/L)} = \sigma_T(u) + \rho_T(u) \left( \frac{a}{L} \right)^2,
\]

with \(\sigma_T(u)\) and \(\rho_T(u)\) parameterized by polynomials. Fig. 1 shows \(\Sigma_T\) as a function of \((a/L)^2\), and parameterized by the coupling \(u\) (in different colours) for the high energy region. The relative continuum limit is illustrated in the left panel of Fig. 2. Our data (red circles) are in agreement with perturbation theory at two loops (gray line) [1] and with the data obtained in a purely SF setup (circles in black) [2, 17]. This demonstrates the continuum SF-\(\chi\)SF universality. The results at

\[
\begin{array}{c|c}
\text{flavors} & f_i f_j = u, d, u', d' \\
\text{bulk operators} & X = V_0, A_0, S, P \\
& Y = V_2, A_4, T_{20}, T_{40}
\end{array}
\]

| SF | \(\chi\)SF |
|---|---|
| \(f_X(x_0) = -\frac{1}{4} (X f_{\frac{1}{2}}^2) O^x_{\frac{1}{2}}(f)\) | \(g_X(x_0) = -\frac{1}{4} (X f_{\frac{1}{2}}^2) Q^x_{\frac{1}{2}}(f)\) |
| \(k_Y(x_0) = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1} O^x_{\frac{1}{2}}(f) O^x_{\frac{1}{2}}(f)\) | \(k_Y(x_0) = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1} Q^x_{\frac{1}{2}}(f) Q^x_{\frac{1}{2}}(f)\) |
| \(f_i = -\frac{1}{6} \sum_{k=1} O^x_{\frac{1}{2}}(f) Q^x_{\frac{1}{2}}(f)\) | \(g_i = -\frac{1}{6} \sum_{k=1} Q^x_{\frac{1}{2}}(f) Q^x_{\frac{1}{2}}(f)\) |
| \(Q^x_{\frac{1}{2}} = a^b \sum_y \bar{y} y y y y P_{y} g f (x)\) | \(Q^x_{\frac{1}{2}} = a^b \sum_y \bar{y} y y y y P_{y} g f (x)\) |
| \(Q_{\frac{1}{2}} = a^b \sum_y \bar{y} y y y y P_{y} g f (x)\) | \(Q_{\frac{1}{2}} = a^b \sum_y \bar{y} y y y y P_{y} g f (x)\) |
| \(P_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \gamma_0)\) | \(Q_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm i \gamma_0)\) |

**Table 1:** Brief overview of correlation functions in SF and \(\chi\)SF setups.
low energies are shown in the right panel of the same figure. We have extracted the anomalous
dimension $\gamma$, relying on the formula:

$$
\sigma_T(u) = \exp \left\{ \int d\gamma \frac{\gamma(g)}{\beta(g)} \right\}.
$$

(28)

In Fig. 3 we then finally show our preliminary results for $\gamma_{SF}$ and $\gamma_{GF}$, over the full range of
couplings available.

**Figure 1:** The step scaling function $\Sigma_T(u, a/L)$ in the high energy region

**Figure 2:** The continuum step scaling function $\sigma_T(u)$ at high energies (on the left) and at low energies (on
the right). The two energy regions correspond to different definitions of the running coupling: $u = g_{SF}^2$
[10–12] and $u = g_{GF}^2$ [13, 14].
\textbf{Figure 3:} The anomalous dimensions $\gamma_{SF}(u)$ and $\gamma_{GF}(u)$. The two schemes SF and GF correspond to different definitions of the running coupling: $u = g_{SF}^{2}$ \cite{10–12} and $u = g_{GF}^{2}$ \cite{13, 14}.

\section{Conclusions}

We have presented preliminary results for the RG running of flavor non-singlet tensor operator in $N_f = 3$ QCD, using the gauge configurations generated by the ALPHA collaboration \cite{9}. The data span, in a fully non-perturbative way, a range of energies of about three orders of magnitude, going from hadronic to electro-weak scales. We obtained the anomalous dimension of the tensor operator, aiming to complete the computation of the non-perturbative RG running of all dimension 3 bilinear operators.
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