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Abstract

In this paper, we develop the theory of nonlinear hybrid fractional differential equations involving Atangana–Baleanu–Caputo (ABC) fractional derivative. We construct the equivalent fractional integral equation and establish the existence results through it. Further, we build up the theory of inequalities for ABC–hybrid fractional differential equations and use it to examine the uniqueness, existence of a maximal and minimal solution and the comparison results.

Key words: Hybrid fractional differential equations, Atangana–Baleanu–Caputo fractional derivative, Existence and uniqueness, Fractional differential inequalities, Comparison results, Maximal and minimal solutions.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A33, 34A12, 34A40, 35B50, 34A99

1 Introduction

Lakshmikantham and Vatsala [1, 2] developed the primary theory of fractional differential inequalities with Reimann-Liouville and Caputo fractional derivative. Authors utilized the investigated fractional inequalities and the comparison results to study the existence of local, extremal and global solutions to nonlinear fractional differential equations (FDEs). Dhage and Lakshmikantham [3] initiated the study of first order hybrid differential equations and investigated the basic results pertaining to existence and uniqueness of solution. Further, differential inequalities obtained in connection with hybrid FDEs utilized to examine comparison results and qualitative properties of solution. Adopting the similar approach of [3], Zhao et al. in [4] extended the study of first order hybrid differential equations to hybrid FDEs involving Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. Further, different class of Hybrid FDEs subject to various initial and boundary conditions have also been studied by several researchers [5, 6, 7, 8, 9].

On the other hand, intending to eliminate the singular kernel in traditional fractional derivatives, Caputo and Fabrizio [10] presented a fractional derivative with the exponential kernel and Atangana–Baleanu [11] introduced a fractional derivative in the sense of Caputo with Mittag–Leffler function as its kernel, which notable as ABC–fractional derivative. The advantage of ABC–fractional derivative is that it is nonlocal and has a non-singular kernel.
Because of which it has numerous applications in demonstrating different problems that includes different diseases, such as, dengue fever outbreak [12], tumor-immune surveillance mechanism [13], the clinical implications of diabetes and tuberculosis coexistence [14], the free motion of a coupled oscillator [15], smoking models [16] and coronavirus [17]. For the fundamental development in the theory nonlinear ABC-FDEs, we refer the reader to the work of Jarad et al. [18], Baleanu et al.[19], Syam et al.[20], Afshari et al. [21], Shah et al. [22] and Ravichandran et al. [23, 24, 25, 26].

Motivated by the works of [3, 4] and in continuation of a past work we have done in [27], we develop the theory of nonlinear hybrid ABC-FDEs of the form

\[ \text{ABC}_0 D_{\tau}^\alpha \left( \frac{\omega(\tau)}{f(\tau, \omega(\tau))} \right) = g(\tau, \omega(\tau)), \text{ a.e. } \tau \in J, \]  
\[ \omega(0) = \omega_0, \]  
(1.1)  
(1.2)

where,

(i) \( J = [0, T], \ T > 0 \) and \( 0 < \alpha < 1, \)
(ii) \( \text{ABC}_0 D_{\tau}^\alpha \) denotes left ABC- fractional differential operator of order \( \alpha \) with lower terminal 0,
(iii) \( f \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( \text{ABC}_0 D_{\tau}^\alpha h \in C(J), \) where \( h(\tau) = \frac{\omega(\tau)}{f(\tau, \omega(\tau))}, \tau \in J. \)
(iv) \( g \in \mathcal{C} \) is such that \( g(0, \omega(0)) = 0, \) where
\[ \mathcal{C} = \{ h \mid h : J \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is contious}, \ h(\tau, \cdot) \text{ is measurable and } h(\cdot, \omega) \text{ is contious} \}. \]

The primary aim of the current study is to determine the equivalent fractional integral equation to ABC–hybrid FDEs (1.1)-(1.2) and explore the existence results. Further, we build up the theory of inequalities for ABC–hybrid FDEs and use it to examine the existence of a maximal and minimal solution and the comparison results.

The current paper is coordinated as follows. In section 2, we review essential definitions and results about ABC-fractional derivative. In section 3, we give equivalent fractional integral equations and derive existence result through it. In section 4, we acquire fractional differential inequalities for ABC–hybrid FDEs. Section 5 deals with the existence of maximal and minimal solutions of ABC–hybrid FDEs. In section 6, we determine comparison results relating to ABC–hybrid FDEs.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall the basic definitions and the results about ABC-fractional derivative which will be used later.

**Definition 2.1** A function \( \omega \in AC(J, \mathbb{R}) \) is said to be solution of ABC-hybrid-FDEs (1.1)–(1.2), if the mapping \( u \rightarrow \frac{u}{f(\tau, u)} \) is absolutely continuous for each \( u \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \omega \) satisfies ABC-hybrid-FDEs (1.1)–(1.2), where \( AC(J, \mathbb{R}) = \{ h \mid h : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is absolutely contious } \}. \)
Definition 2.2 [20] Let \( p \in [1, \infty) \) and \( \Omega \) be an open subset of \( \mathbb{R} \) the Sobolev space \( H^p(\Omega) \) is defined as

\[
H^p(\Omega) = \left\{ f \in L^2(\Omega) : D^\beta f \in L^2(\Omega), \text{ for all } |\beta| \leq p \right\}.
\]

Definition 2.3 [11] Let \( \omega \in H^1(0, T) \) and \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \), the left Atangana–Baleanu–Caputo fractional derivative of \( \omega \) of order \( \alpha \) is defined by

\[
ABC_0D_\tau^\alpha \omega(\tau) = \frac{B(\alpha)}{1-\alpha} \int_0^\tau E_{\alpha} \left[ -\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} (\tau - \sigma)^\alpha \right] \omega'(\sigma) d\sigma,
\]

where \( B(\alpha) > 0 \) is a normalization function satisfying \( B(0) = B(1) = 1 \) and \( E_{\alpha} \) is one parameter Mittag-Leffler function [28, 29] defined by

\[
E_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(n\alpha + 1)}.
\]

The associated fractional integral is defined by

\[
AB_0I_\tau^\alpha \omega(\tau) = \frac{1-\alpha}{B(\alpha)} \omega(\tau) + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)} 0I_\tau^\alpha \omega(\tau),
\]

where

\[
0I_\tau^\alpha \omega(\tau) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} \omega(\sigma) d\sigma,
\]

is the Riemann–Liouville fractional integral [28, 29] of \( \omega \) of order \( \alpha \).

Lemma 2.1 [30] If \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), then \( AB_0I_\tau^\alpha \left( ABC_0D_\tau^\alpha \omega(\tau) \right) = \omega(\tau) - \omega(0) \).

Lemma 2.2 [20, 23] The equivalent fractional integral equation to the ABC-FDEs

\[
ABC_0D_\tau^\alpha \omega(\tau) = f(\tau, \omega(\tau)), \tau \in J = [0, T], \ T > 0,
\]

\[
\omega(0) = \omega_0,
\]

is given by

\[
\omega(\tau) = \omega_0 + \frac{1-\alpha}{B(\alpha)} f(\tau, \omega(\tau)) + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} f(\sigma, \omega(\sigma)) d\sigma.
\]

Definition 2.4 [31, 32, 33] The generalized Mittag-Leffler function \( E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) \) for the complex numbers \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) with \( \text{Re}(\alpha) > 0 \) is defined as

\[
E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} \frac{z^k}{k!},
\]

where \((\gamma)_k\) is the Pochhammer symbol given by

\[
(\gamma)_0 = 1, \ (\gamma)_k = \gamma(\gamma + 1) \cdots (\gamma + k - 1), \ k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]
Note that, 
\[ E_{\alpha,\beta}^1(z) = E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\alpha,1}^1(z) = E_{\alpha}(z). \]

**Lemma 2.3** \[30\] Let \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \) and \( \beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \) \((Re(\beta) > 0)\). Then
\[ ABC_0 D_0^\alpha \left[ \tau^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\beta}^\beta (\lambda \tau^\alpha) \right] = \frac{B(\alpha)}{1-\alpha} \tau^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha,\beta}^{1+\beta} (\lambda \tau^\alpha). \]

**Lemma 2.4** \[27\] If \( m \) is any differentiable function on \( J \) such that \( ABC_0 D_0^\alpha m \in C(J) \) and there exists \( \tau_0 \in (0, T) \) with \( m(\tau_0) = 0, \ m(\tau) \leq 0, \ \tau \in [0, \tau_0) \), then \( ABC_0 D_0^\alpha m(\tau_0) \geq 0 \).

**Lemma 2.5** \[3\] Let \( S \) be a non-empty, closed convex and bounded subset of Banach algebra \( \Omega \) and let \( F_1 : \Omega \to \Omega \) and \( F_2 : S \to \Omega \) be two operators such that
(i) \( F_1 \) is Lipschitzian with Lipschitz constant \( \alpha \),
(ii) \( F_2 \) is completely continuous,
(iii) \( \omega = F_1 \omega F_2 \eta \implies \omega \in S \) for all \( \eta \in S \), and
(iv) \( \alpha M < 1 \), where \( M = \sup \{ \| F_2(\omega) \| : \omega \in S \} \),
then the operator \( F_1 \omega F_2 \omega = \omega \) has a solution in \( S \).

### 3 Existence result

In the following Theorem, we derive an equivalent fractional integral equation to ABC–hybrid FDEs \((1.1)-(1.2)\).

**Theorem 3.1** Let \( g \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \) and assume that, \( \omega \to \frac{\omega}{f(\tau, \omega)} \) is increasing in \( \mathbb{R} \) a.e. for each \( \tau \in J \). Then \( \omega \in AC(J, \mathbb{R}) \) is a solution of ABC–hybrid FDEs \((1.1)-(1.2)\) if and only if \( \omega \) is a solution of fractional integral equation
\[
\omega(\tau) = f(\tau, \omega(\tau)) \left[ \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} + \frac{1-\alpha}{B(\alpha)} g(\tau, \omega(\tau)) + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)(1-\alpha)} \int_0^\tau (\tau-\sigma)^{\alpha-1} g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma)) d\sigma \right], \tau \in J.
\] (3.1)

**Proof:** In the view of Lemma 2.2, if \( \omega \) is a solution of ABC–hybrid FDEs \((1.1)-(1.2)\), then \( \omega \) satisfies fractional integral equation
\[
\frac{\omega(\tau)}{f(\tau, \omega(\tau))} = \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} + \frac{1-\alpha}{B(\alpha)} g(\tau, \omega(\tau)) + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)(1-\alpha)} \int_0^\tau (\tau-\sigma)^{\alpha-1} g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma)) d\sigma, \tau \in J,
\] (3.2)
which gives Eq. (3.1). Conversely, let \( \omega \) satisfies Eq. (3.1). Then it can be written in the form of Eq. (3.2). Operating \( ABR_0 D^\alpha_\tau \) on both sides of Eq. (3.2), we obtain

\[
ABR_0 D^\alpha_\tau \left( \frac{\omega(\tau)}{f(\tau, \omega(\tau))} \right) = ABR_0 D^\alpha_\tau \left[ \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} + ABR_0 I^\alpha_\tau g(\tau, \omega(\tau)) \right] \\
= \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} ABR_0 D^\alpha_\tau (1) + g(\tau, \omega(\tau)) \\
= \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} \mathbb{E}_\alpha \left[ -\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \tau^\alpha \right] + g(\tau, \omega(\tau)), \ \tau \in J.
\]

This gives,

\[
ABR_0 D^\alpha_\tau \left( \frac{\omega(\tau)}{f(\tau, \omega(\tau))} \right) - \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} \mathbb{E}_\alpha \left[ -\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \tau^\alpha \right] = g(\tau, \omega(\tau)), \ \tau \in J.
\]

Using relation between fractional differential operators \( ABR_0 D^\alpha_\tau \) and \( ABC_0 D^\alpha_\tau \) given in Theorem 1[1], we obtain

\[
ABC_0 D^\alpha_\tau \left( \frac{\omega(\tau)}{f(\tau, \omega(\tau))} \right) = g(\tau, \omega(\tau)), \ \tau \in J.
\]

Now putting \( \tau = 0 \) in Eq. (3.2) and using the fact \( g(0, \omega(0)) = 0 \), we obtain

\[
\frac{\omega(0)}{f(0, \omega(0))} = \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)}.
\]

(3.3)

For each \( \tau \in J \), consider the mapping \( h_\tau : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) defined by,

\[
h_\tau(\eta) = \frac{\eta}{f(\tau, \eta)}, \ \eta \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

By assumption \( h_\tau : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is increasing and hence it is injective. Using definition of \( h_\tau \), Eq. (3.3) can be written as

\[
h_0(\omega(0)) = h_0(\omega_0).
\]

Since \( h_0 \) is injective, we have \( \omega(0) = \omega_0 \). This completes the proof of the Theorem. \( \square \)

To prove existence results for solution of ABC–hybrid FDEs (1.1)–(1.2), we need following assumptions on \( f \) and \( g \).

(H1) The function \( f \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \) is such that,

(i) \( |f(\tau, \omega) - f(\tau, \eta)| \leq L|\omega - \eta|, \ L > 0, \)

(ii) the mapping \( \omega \to \frac{\omega}{f(\tau, \omega)} \) is increasing in \( \mathbb{R} \) a.e. for each \( \tau \in J \).

(H2) The function \( g \in C \) is such that, \( |g(\tau, \omega(\tau))| \leq h(\tau), a.e. \tau \in J, \ h \in C(J, \mathbb{R}^+). \)

Theorem 3.2 Suppose the hypotheses (H1)–(H2) hold. Then ABC–hybrid FDEs (1.1)–(1.2) has a solution if

\[
L \left( \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} \right) + \left[ 1 - \alpha + \frac{T^\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right] \frac{\|h\|}{B(\alpha)} < 1.
\]

(3.4)
Proof: Let $\Omega = (C(J, \mathbb{R}), \| \cdot \|)$, where $\| \omega \| = \sup_{\tau \in J} |\omega(\tau)|$. Then $\Omega$ is Banach algebra with multiplication defined by

$$(\omega \eta)\tau = \omega(\tau)\eta(\tau), \; \omega, \eta \in \Omega, \; \tau \in J.$$ 

Define,

$$R = \frac{M_fL \left( \left| \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} \right| + \left[ 1 - \alpha + \frac{\tau^\alpha}{\tau - \alpha} \right] \frac{\|h\|}{B(\alpha)} \right)}{1 - L \left( \left| \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} \right| + \left[ 1 - \alpha + \frac{\tau^\alpha}{\tau - \alpha} \right] \frac{\|h\|}{B(\alpha)} \right)},$$

(3.5)

where $M_f = \sup_{\tau \in J} |f(\tau, 0)|$. In the view condition (3.4), $R > 0$.

Consider the set,

$$S = \{ \omega \in \Omega : \| \omega \| \leq R \}.$$

One can verify that $S$ is closed, convex and bounded subset of Banach algebra $\Omega$. Consider the operators $F_1 : \Omega \to \Omega$ and $F_2 : S \to \Omega$ defined by,

$$(F_1\omega)(\tau) = f(\tau, \omega(\tau)), \; \tau \in J,$$

(3.6)

$$(F_2\omega)(\tau) = \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} + \frac{1 - \alpha}{B(\alpha)} g(\tau, \omega(\tau)) + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)(1 - \alpha)} \int_0^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma))d\sigma, \; \tau \in J,$$

(3.7)

The equivalent fraction integral Eq. (3.1) to the ABC–hybrid FDEs (1.1)–(1.2) can be written in operator equation form given by

$$\omega = F_1\omega F_2\omega, \; \omega \in \Omega.$$ 

We prove that the operators $F_1$ and $F_2$ satisfies conditions of Lemma 2.5. The proof of the same have been given in following steps.

Step 1) $F_1$ is Lipschitz.

Using Lipschitz condition on $f$, for any $\omega, \eta \in \Omega$ and $\tau \in J$ we obtain,

$$|(F_1\omega)(\tau) - (F_1\eta)(\tau)| = |f(\tau, \omega(\tau)) - f(\tau, \eta(\tau))| \leq L|\omega(\tau) - \eta(\tau)|,$$

This gives,

$$\|F_1\omega - F_1\eta\| \leq L\|\omega - \eta\|, \; \omega, \eta \in \Omega.$$ 

Step 2) $F_2$ is completely continuous.

We show that $F_2 : S \to \Omega$ is a compact and continuous operator on $S$ into $\Omega$. First we show that $F_2$ is continuous on $S$. Let $\{ \omega_n \}$ be a sequence in $S$ converging to a point $\omega \in S$. Then by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (F_2\omega_n)(\tau) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1 - \alpha}{B(\alpha)} g(\tau, \omega_n(\tau)) + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)(1 - \alpha)} \int_0^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} g(\sigma, \omega_n(\sigma))d\sigma$$

$$= \frac{1 - \alpha}{B(\alpha)} \lim_{n \to \infty} g(\tau, \omega_n(\tau)) + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)(1 - \alpha)} \int_0^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} \left\{ \lim_{n \to \infty} g(\sigma, \omega_n(\sigma)) \right\} d\sigma$$

$$= \frac{1 - \alpha}{B(\alpha)} g(\tau, \omega(\tau)) + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)(1 - \alpha)} \int_0^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma))d\sigma.$$
Therefore, and hence we have

\[ \omega \in \Omega. \]  

Let any \( \tau \in J \). Next using hypothesis (H2), we have

\[ |(F_2\omega)(\tau)| \leq \left| \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} \right| + \frac{1 - \alpha}{B(\alpha)} |g(\tau, \omega(\tau))| + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)(1 - \alpha)} \int_0^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} |g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma))| d\sigma \]

\[ \leq \left| \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} \right| + \frac{1 - \alpha}{B(\alpha)} |h(\tau)| + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)(1 - \alpha)} \int_0^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} |h(\sigma)| d\sigma \]

\[ \leq \left| \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} \right| + \frac{1 - \alpha}{B(\alpha)} \|h\| + \frac{\|h\|}{B(\alpha)(1 - \alpha)} \tau^\alpha, \]

This gives,

\[ |(F_2\omega)(\tau)| \leq \left| \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} \right| + \left( 1 - \alpha + \frac{T^{\alpha}}{1 - \alpha} \right) \frac{\|h\|}{B(\alpha)}, \omega \in \mathcal{S}, \tau \in J, \quad (3.8) \]

which shows that \( F_2 \) is uniformly bounded on \( J \). Next we prove that \( F_2(\mathcal{S}) \) is equicontinuous set in \( \Omega \). Let any \( \omega \in \mathcal{S} \) and \( 0 \leq \tau_1 < \tau_2 \leq T \). Then we have

\[ |F_2\omega(\tau_1) - F_2\omega(\tau_2)| \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{B(\alpha)} |g(\tau_1, \omega(\tau_1)) - g(\tau_2, \omega(\tau_2))| \]

\[ + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)(1 - \alpha)} \left| \int_0^{\tau_1} (\tau_1 - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma)) d\sigma - \int_0^{\tau_2} (\tau_2 - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma)) d\sigma \right| \quad (3.9) \]

Since \( g(\tau, \omega) \) is continuous on compact set \( J \times [-R, R] \), it is uniformly continuous there and hence we have

\[ |g(\tau_1, \omega(\tau_1)) - g(\tau_2, \omega(\tau_2))| \to 0, \text{ as } |\tau_1 - \tau_2| \to 0, \text{ for each } \omega \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (3.10) \]

Next using hypothesis (H2), we have

\[ \left| \int_0^{\tau_1} (\tau_1 - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma)) d\sigma - \int_0^{\tau_2} (\tau_2 - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma)) d\sigma \right| \]

\[ \leq \int_0^{\tau_1} \{ (\tau_1 - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} - (\tau_2 - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} \} |g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma))| d\sigma + \int_0^{\tau_1} (\tau_2 - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} |g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma))| d\sigma \]

\[ \leq \int_0^{\tau_1} \{ (\tau_1 - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} - (\tau_2 - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} \} |h(\sigma)| d\sigma + \int_0^{\tau_1} (\tau_2 - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} |h(\sigma)| d\sigma \]

\[ \leq \|h\| \left( \int_0^{\tau_1} \{ (\tau_1 - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} - (\tau_2 - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} \} d\sigma + \int_0^{\tau_1} (\tau_2 - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} d\sigma \right) \]

\[ \leq \|h\| \left( (\tau_1^\alpha - (\tau_2 - \tau_1)^\alpha) + (\tau_2 - \tau_1)^\alpha \right) \]

\[ \leq 2\|h\|(\tau_2 - \tau_1)^\alpha. \quad (3.11) \]

Therefore,

\[ \left| \int_0^{\tau_1} (\tau_1 - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma)) d\sigma - \int_0^{\tau_2} (\tau_2 - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma)) d\sigma \right| \to 0, \text{ as } |\tau_1 - \tau_2| \to 0, \omega \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (3.12) \]
Therefore it follows from (3.9), (3.10) and (3.12) that

\[ |(\mathcal{F}_2\omega)(\tau_1) - (\mathcal{F}_2\omega)(\tau_2)| \to 0, \text{ as } |\tau_1 - \tau_2| \to 0, \text{ for each } \omega \in \mathcal{S}. \]

This proves \( \mathcal{F}_2(\mathcal{S}) \) is equicontinuous set in \( \Omega \). Since \( \mathcal{F}_2(\mathcal{S}) \) is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous set in \( \Omega \), by Ascoli-Arzela theorem \( \mathcal{F}_2 \) is completely continuous.

Step 3) Let any \( \eta \in \mathcal{S} \). For \( \omega \in \Omega \), consider the operator equation \( \omega = \mathcal{F}_2\omega \mathcal{F}_2\eta \). Our aim is to prove that \( \omega \in \mathcal{S} \).

Using hypothesis (H1) and condition (3.8), we have

\[
|\omega(\tau)| = |(\mathcal{F}_1\omega)(\tau)|||\mathcal{F}_2\eta)(\tau)| \\
\leq |f(\tau, \omega(\tau))| |f(\tau, 0)| \left( \left| \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} \right| + \left[ 1 - \alpha + \frac{T^\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right] \frac{\|h\|}{B(\alpha)} \right) \\
\leq \{L|\omega(\tau)| + M\} \left( \left| \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} \right| + \left[ 1 - \alpha + \frac{T^\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right] \frac{\|h\|}{B(\alpha)} \right), \tau \in J.
\]

This gives,

\[
|\omega(\tau)| \leq \frac{MfL}{1 - L} \left( \left| \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} \right| + \left[ 1 - \alpha + \frac{T^\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right] \frac{\|h\|}{B(\alpha)} \right) = R, \tau \in J.
\]

Therefore,

\[
\|\omega\| \leq R.
\]

This proves \( \omega \in \mathcal{S} \).

Step 4) The constants \( \alpha \) and \( M \) of Lemma 2.5 corresponding to the operators \( \mathcal{F}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{F}_2 \) defined in equations (3.6) and (3.7) respectively are

\[
\alpha = L \text{ and } M = \left| \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} \right| + \left[ 1 - \alpha + \frac{T^\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right] \frac{\|h\|}{B(\alpha)}.
\]

By condition (3.4), it follows that

\[
\alpha M = L \left( \left| \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} \right| + \left[ 1 - \alpha + \frac{T^\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right] \frac{\|h\|}{B(\alpha)} \right) < 1.
\]

From steps 1 to 4, it follows that all the conditions of Lemma 2.5 are satisfied. Therefore by applying it, the operator equation

\[ \omega = \mathcal{F}_1\omega \mathcal{F}_2\omega \]

has a fixed point in \( \mathcal{S} \), which is a solution of ABC–hybrid FDEs (1.1)–(1.2). This completes the proof of the Theorem. \( \square \)
4 ABC-hybrid fractional differential Inequalities

Theorem 4.1 Let \( f \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \), \( g \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \) and for each \( \tau \in J \) the mapping
\[
\omega \rightarrow \frac{\omega}{f(\tau, \omega)}
\]
is increasing a.e. on \( \mathbb{R} \). Let \( v, w \in C(J) \) are such that
\[
ABC_0^\alpha D^\alpha_\tau \left( \frac{v(\cdot)}{f(\cdot, v(\cdot))} \right), \quad ABC_0^\alpha D^\alpha_\tau \left( \frac{w(\cdot)}{f(\cdot, w(\cdot))} \right) \in C(J)
\]
and satisfies the ABC-hybrid fractional differential inequalities,
\[
(i) \quad ABC_0^\alpha D^\alpha_\tau \left[ \frac{v(\tau)}{f(\tau, v(\tau))} \right] \leq g(\tau, v(\tau)), \quad \text{a.e. } \tau \in J,
\]
\[
(ii) \quad ABC_0^\alpha D^\alpha_\tau \left[ \frac{w(\tau)}{f(\tau, w(\tau))} \right] \geq g(\tau, w(\tau)), \quad \text{a.e. } \tau \in J,
\]
where one of above inequality being is strict.

Then \( v(0) < w(0) \) implies
\[
v(\tau) < w(\tau), \quad \tau \in J.
\]

Proof: Suppose that the conclusion of the theorem does not holds. Since \( v, w \in C(J) \) there exits \( \tau_0 \in J \) such that
\[
v(\tau_0) = w(\tau_0) \text{ and } v(\tau) < w(\tau) \text{ for all } \tau \in [0, \tau_0).
\]

Then
\[
\frac{v(\tau_0)}{f(\tau_0, v(\tau_0))} = \frac{w(\tau_0)}{f(\tau_0, w(\tau_0))}
\]
and using increasing property of the mapping \( \omega \rightarrow \frac{\omega}{f(\tau, \omega)} \), we have
\[
\frac{v(\tau)}{f(\tau, v(\tau))} \leq \frac{w(\tau)}{f(\tau, w(\tau))}, \quad \tau \in [0, \tau_0).
\]

Let \( V(\tau) = \frac{v(\tau)}{f(\tau, v(\tau))} \), \( W(\tau) = \frac{w(\tau)}{f(\tau, w(\tau))} \), \( \tau \in J \). Define \( m(\tau) = V(\tau) - W(\tau) \), \( \tau \in J \).

Then \( m, \quad ABC_0^\alpha D^\alpha_\tau m \in C(J) \). Further, \( \tau_0 \in J \) is such that
\[
m(\tau_0) = 0 \text{ and } m(\tau) \leq 0 \text{ for all } \tau \in [0, \tau_0).
\]

Since \( m \) satisfies all assumptions of Lemma 2.4, we get, \( ABC_0^\alpha D^\alpha_\tau m(\tau_0) \geq 0 \).

This gives
\[
ABC_0^\alpha D^\alpha_\tau V(\tau_0) \geq ABC_0^\alpha D^\alpha_\tau W(\tau_0).
\]

Suppose that the inequality (i) is strict. Then we get
\[
g(\tau_0, v(\tau_0)) > ABC_0^\alpha D^\alpha_\tau V(\tau_0) \geq ABC_0^\alpha D^\alpha_\tau W(\tau_0) \geq g(\tau_0, w(\tau_0)),
\]
which is contradiction to \( v(\tau_0) = w(\tau_0) \). Therefore we must have

\[ v(\tau) < w(\tau), \text{ for all } \tau \in J. \]

This completes the proof of theorem. \( \square \)

**Theorem 4.2** Assume that the conditions of Theorem 4.1 holds with nonstrict inequalities (i) and (ii). Suppose that

\[ g(\tau, \omega) - g(\tau, \eta) \leq L \left( \frac{\omega}{f(\tau, \omega)} - \frac{\eta}{f(\tau, \eta)} \right), \text{ for all } \tau \in J; \omega, \eta \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } \omega \geq \eta, \ 0 < L < \frac{B(\alpha)}{1 - \alpha} \]  

(4.1)

Then \( v(0) \leq w(0) \) implies

\[ v(\tau) \leq w(\tau), \text{ for all } \tau \in J. \]

**Proof:** For any fix \( \epsilon > 0 \), we define

\[ \frac{w_{\epsilon}(\tau)}{f(\tau, w_{\epsilon}(\tau))} = \frac{w(\tau)}{f(\tau, w(\tau))} + \epsilon \mathbb{E}_\alpha(\tau^\alpha), \ \tau \in J. \]  

(4.2)

This gives, for \( \tau = 0 \)

\[ \frac{w_{\epsilon}(0)}{f(0, w_{\epsilon}(0))} = \frac{w(0)}{f(0, w(0))} + \epsilon > \frac{w(0)}{f(0, w(0))} \]

Again, using the Lipschitz condition on \( g \) and Eq. (4.2), we have

\[ g(\tau, w_{\epsilon}(\tau)) - g(\tau, w(\tau)) \leq L \left( \frac{w_{\epsilon}(\tau)}{f(\tau, w_{\epsilon}(\tau))} - \frac{w(\tau)}{f(\tau, w(\tau))} \right) = L \epsilon \mathbb{E}_\alpha(\tau^\alpha), \ \tau \in J. \]

Using condition on \( L \), from above inequality we obtain,

\[ g(\tau, w(\tau)) \geq g(\tau, w_{\epsilon}(\tau)) - L \epsilon \mathbb{E}_\alpha(\tau^\alpha) > g(\tau, w_{\epsilon}(\tau)) - \frac{B(\alpha)}{1 - \alpha} \epsilon \mathbb{E}_\alpha(\tau^\alpha), \ \tau \in J. \]  

(4.3)

In the proof of Theorem 3.6 \cite{27} it is produced that,

\[ ABC_0 D_\tau^\alpha (\mathbb{E}_\alpha(\tau^\alpha)) \geq \frac{B(\alpha)}{1 - \alpha} \mathbb{E}_\alpha(\tau^\alpha), \ \tau \in J. \]  

(4.4)

Since \( ABC_0 D_\tau^\alpha \frac{w(\cdot)}{f(\cdot, w(\cdot))} \), \( ABC_0 D_\tau^\alpha \mathbb{E}_\alpha \) \( \in C(J) \), we have \( ABC_0 D_\tau^\alpha \frac{w_{\epsilon}(\cdot)}{f(\cdot, w_{\epsilon}(\cdot))} \in C(J) \). Thus using the inequalities (ii), (4.3) and (4.4), for any \( \tau \in J \) we have

\[ ABC_0 D_\tau^\alpha \left( \frac{w_{\epsilon}(\tau)}{f(\tau, w_{\epsilon}(\tau))} \right) = ABC_0 D_\tau^\alpha \left[ \frac{w(\tau)}{f(\tau, w(\tau))} + \epsilon \mathbb{E}_\alpha(\tau^\alpha) \right] \]

\[ = ABC_0 D_\tau^\alpha \left( \frac{w(\tau)}{f(\tau, w(\tau))} \right) + \epsilon ABC_0 D_\tau^\alpha \mathbb{E}_\alpha(\tau^\alpha) \]
\[ \geq g(\tau, w(\tau)) + \epsilon \frac{B(\alpha)}{1-\alpha} E_{\alpha}(\tau^\alpha) \]
\[ > g(\tau, w(\tau)) - \epsilon \frac{B(\alpha)}{1-\alpha} E_{\alpha}(\tau^\alpha) + \epsilon \frac{B(\alpha)}{1-\alpha} E_{\alpha}(\tau^\alpha) \]
\[ = g(\tau, w(\tau)) \]

Therefore,
\[ ABC \, 0D^\alpha_\tau \left( \frac{w(\tau)}{f(\tau, w(\tau))} \right) > g(\tau, w(\tau)), \quad \tau \in J. \]

Since \( v(0) < w(0) \), by application of Theorem 4.1 with \( w(\tau) = w(\tau) \), for each \( \epsilon > 0 \) we have
\[ v(\tau) < w(\tau), \quad \tau \in J. \]
Taking limit as \( \epsilon \to 0 \), in the above inequality and utilizing equ. (4.2) we obtain
\[ v(\tau) \leq w(\tau), \quad \tau \in J. \]

\[ \Box \]

5 Existence of Maximal and Minimal solutions

In this section, we shall prove the existence of maximal and minimal solutions for the ABC–hybrid FDEs (1.1)-(1.2) on \( J \).

Definition 5.1 A solution \( r \) of the ABC–hybrid FDEs (1.1)-(1.2) is said to be maximal if for any other solution \( \omega \) to the ABC–hybrid FDEs (1.1)-(1.2) one has \( \omega(\tau) \leq r(\tau) \) for all \( \tau \in J \). Again, a solution \( \rho \) of the ABC–hybrid FDEs (1.1)-(1.2) is said to be minimal if \( \rho(\tau) \leq \omega(\tau) \) for all \( \tau \in J \), where \( \omega \) is any solution of the ABC–hybrid FDEs (1.1)-(1.2) on \( J \).

We give the proof only for the existence of maximal solution of the ABC–hybrid FDEs (1.1)-(1.2), as the proof of existence of minimal solution one can complete on similar lines. Given an arbitrary small real number \( \epsilon > 0 \), consider the following ABC–hybrid FDEs
\[ ABC \, 0D^\alpha_\tau \left[ \frac{\omega(\tau)}{f(\tau, \omega(\tau))} \right] = g(\tau, \omega(\tau)) + \epsilon, \quad \text{a.e.} \quad \tau \in J, \quad (5.1) \]
\[ \omega(0) = \omega_0 + \epsilon, \quad (5.2) \]
where \( g \in C \) is such that \( g(0, \omega_0 + \epsilon) = 0 \).

Theorem 5.1 Assume that the hypotheses (H1)-(H2) and the condition (3.4) holds. Then for every small \( \epsilon > 0 \), the ABC–hybrid FDEs (5.1)-(5.2) possesses a solution on \( J \).
Proof: By hypothesis,
\[ L \left( \frac{\omega_0}{f(0,\omega_0)} + \left| 1 - \alpha + \frac{T^\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right| \cdot \frac{||h||}{B(\alpha)} \right) < 1 \]

Then we can find \( \epsilon_0 > 0 \) such that
\[ L \left( \frac{\omega_0 + \epsilon}{f(0,\omega_0 + \epsilon)} + \left| 1 - \alpha + \frac{T^\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right| \cdot \frac{||h|| + \epsilon}{B(\alpha)} \right) < 1, \text{ for } 0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0. \]

Following similar steps as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, one can complete the remaining part of the proof. \qed

Theorem 5.2 Assume that the hypotheses (H1)-(H2) and the condition (3.4) holds. Then for each small \( \epsilon > 0 \), the ABC–hybrid FDEs (1.1)–(1.2) possesses a maximal solution on \( J \).

Proof: Let \( \{\epsilon_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \) be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers converging to 0 where \( \epsilon_0 \) is such that,
\[ L \left( \frac{\omega_0 + \epsilon_n}{f(0,\omega_0 + \epsilon_n)} + \left| 1 - \alpha + \frac{T^\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right| \cdot \frac{||h|| + \epsilon_n}{B(\alpha)} \right) < 1. \]

Using \( \epsilon_n \leq \epsilon_0, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \), it is easy to verify that
\[ L \left( \frac{\omega_0 + \epsilon_n}{f(0,\omega_0 + \epsilon_n)} + \left| 1 - \alpha + \frac{T^\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right| \cdot \frac{||h|| + \epsilon_n}{B(\alpha)} \right) < 1, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \]

Due to above condition, by Theorem 5.1 for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \), ABC–hybrid FDEs have a solution, say \( \omega(\tau, \epsilon_n) \), hence we get
\[ ABC_0^{\alpha} \left[ \frac{\omega(\tau)}{f(\tau, \omega(\tau))} \right] = g(\tau, \omega(\tau)) + \epsilon_n, \text{ a.e. } \tau \in J, \quad (5.3) \]
\[ \omega(0) = \omega_0 + \epsilon_n, \quad (5.4) \]

has a solution, say \( \omega(\tau, \epsilon_n) \), hence we get
\[ ABC_0^{\alpha} \left[ \frac{\omega(\tau, \epsilon_n)}{f(\tau, \omega(\tau))} \right] = g(\tau, \omega(\tau, \epsilon_n)) + \epsilon_n > g(\tau, \omega(\tau, \epsilon_n)) \text{ a.e. } \tau \in J, \quad (5.5) \]
\[ \omega(0, \epsilon_n) = \omega_0 + \epsilon_n, \quad (5.6) \]

The equivalent integral equation of above ABC–hybrid FDEs is
\begin{align*}
\omega(\tau, \epsilon_n) &= f(\tau, \omega(\tau, \epsilon_n)) \left[ \frac{\omega_0}{f(0,\omega_0 + \epsilon_n)} + \left| 1 - \alpha + \frac{T^\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right| \cdot \frac{g(\tau, \omega(\tau, \epsilon_n))}{B(\alpha)} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)(1 - \alpha)} \int_0^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma, \epsilon_n) + \epsilon_n) d\sigma \quad (5.7)
\end{align*}

Let \( u \) be any solution of ABC–hybrid FDEs (1.1)–(1.2), hence we get
\[ ABC_0^{\alpha} \left[ \frac{u(\tau)}{f(\tau, u(\tau))} \right] = g(\tau, u(\tau)), \text{ a.e. } \tau \in J, \quad (5.8) \]
\( u(0) = \omega_0, \quad (5.9) \)

Noting that, \( \omega(0, \epsilon_n) < u(0) \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \). Therefore using comparison Theorem \[ \text{Lemma 4.1} \] we have
\[
u(t) < \omega(t, \epsilon_n), \quad t \in J, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \quad (5.10)\]

Let \( \omega(\tau, \epsilon_m), \quad \omega(\tau, \epsilon_n) \) be the solutions of ABC–hybrid FDEs \((5.3)-(5.4)\) corresponding to the \( m^{th}, n^{th} \) term of the sequence \( \{\epsilon_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) with \( m > n \). Therefore we have,
\[
\begin{align*}
\omega(0, \epsilon_m) &= \omega_0 + \epsilon_m < \omega_0 + \epsilon_n = \omega(0, \epsilon_n) \\
ABC_0^D \alpha \left[ \frac{\omega(\tau, \epsilon_n)}{f(\tau, \omega(\tau, \epsilon_n))} \right] &= g(\tau, \omega(\tau, \epsilon_n)) + \epsilon_n \\
ABC_0^D \alpha \left[ \frac{\omega(\tau, \epsilon_m)}{f(\tau, \omega(\tau, \epsilon_m))} \right] &\leq g(\tau, \omega(\tau, \epsilon_m)) + \epsilon_n
\end{align*}
\]

Applying Lemma \[ \text{Lemma 4.1} \] to the above set of inequalities, we get
\[
\omega(\tau, \epsilon_m) < \omega(\tau, \epsilon_n).
\]

This verifies that \( \omega(\tau, \epsilon_m) \) decreasing sequence bounded below by any solution of ABC–hybrid FDEs \((1.1)-(1.2)\). Therefore \( \omega(\tau) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \omega(\tau, \epsilon_n) \) exists on \( J \). We show that this converges is uniform on \( J \). Therefore, it is enough to prove that the sequence \( \{\omega(\tau, \epsilon_n)\} \) is equicontinuous in \( C(J, R) \). Let \( \tau_1, \tau_2 \in J \) with \( \tau_1 < \tau_2 \) be arbitrary. Then,
\[
\begin{align*}
|\omega(\tau_1, \epsilon_n) - \omega(\tau_2, \epsilon_n)| &= \left| \frac{\omega_0 + \epsilon_n}{f(0, \omega_0 + \epsilon_n)} + \frac{1 - \alpha}{B(\alpha)} \epsilon_n \right| |f(\tau_1, \omega(\tau_1, \epsilon_n)) - f(\tau_2, \omega(\tau_2, \epsilon_n))| \\
&\quad + \frac{1 - \alpha}{B(\alpha)} |f(\tau_1, \omega(\tau_1, \epsilon_n))g(\tau_1, \omega(\tau_1, \epsilon_n)) - f(\tau_2, \omega(\tau_2, \epsilon_n))g(\tau_2, \omega(\tau_2, \epsilon_n))| \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)(1 - \alpha)} |f(\tau_1, \omega(\tau_1, \epsilon_n)) \int_0^{\tau_1} (\tau_1 - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} \{g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma, \epsilon_n)) + \epsilon_n\} d\sigma \\
&\quad - f(\tau_2, \omega(\tau_2, \epsilon_n)) \int_0^{\tau_2} (\tau_2 - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} \{g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma, \epsilon_n)) + \epsilon_n\} d\sigma |. \quad (5.11)
\end{align*}
\]

Since \( f, g \) are continuous on compact set \( J \times [-R, R] \), they are uniformly continuous there. Hence, for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \),
\[
|f(\tau_1, \omega(\tau_1, \epsilon_n)) - f(\tau_2, \omega(\tau_2, \epsilon_n))| \to 0, \text{ as } |\tau_1 - \tau_2| \to 0 \quad (5.12)
\]
\[
|f(\tau_1, \omega(\tau_1, \epsilon_n))g(\tau_1, \omega(\tau_1, \epsilon_n)) - f(\tau_2, \omega(\tau_2, \epsilon_n))g(\tau_2, \omega(\tau_2, \epsilon_n))| \to 0, \text{ as } |\tau_1 - \tau_2| \to 0 \quad (5.13)
\]

Let \( F = \sup_{(\tau, \omega) \in J \times [-R, R]} f(\tau, \omega) \). We find
\[
\begin{align*}
&\left| f(\tau_1, \omega(\tau_1, \epsilon_n)) \int_0^{\tau_1} (\tau_1 - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} \{g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma, \epsilon_n)) + \epsilon_n\} d\sigma \\
&\quad - f(\tau_2, \omega(\tau_2, \epsilon_n)) \int_0^{\tau_2} (\tau_2 - \sigma)^{\alpha - 1} \{g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma, \epsilon_n)) + \epsilon_n\} d\sigma \right|
\end{align*}
\]
This shows that, 

\[ \left| \int_0^{\tau_1} (\tau_1 - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} \{ g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma, \epsilon_n)) + \epsilon_n \} \, d\sigma \right| \]

\[ - \int_0^{\tau_1} (\tau_1 - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} \{ g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma, \epsilon_n)) + \epsilon_n \} \, d\sigma \]

\[ + \left| \int_0^{\tau_2} (\tau_2 - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} \{ g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma, \epsilon_n)) + \epsilon_n \} \, d\sigma \right| \]

\[ - \int_0^{\tau_2} (\tau_2 - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} \{ g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma, \epsilon_n)) + \epsilon_n \} \, d\sigma \]

\[ \leq F \left\{ \int_0^{\tau_1} (\tau_1 - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} - (\tau_2 - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} \right\} |g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma, \epsilon_n)) + \epsilon_n| \, d\sigma \]

\[ + \int_0^{\tau_2} (\tau_2 - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} |g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma, \epsilon_n)) + \epsilon_n| \, d\sigma \]

\[ + |f(\tau_1, \omega(\tau_1, \epsilon_n)) - f(\tau_2, \omega(\tau_2, \epsilon_n))| \int_0^{\tau_2} (\tau_2 - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} \{ g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma, \epsilon_n)) + \epsilon_n \} \, d\sigma \]

\[ \leq 2F \{ ||h|| + \epsilon \} (\tau_2 - \tau_1)^\alpha + \tau_2^\alpha \epsilon \}

This shows that, 

\[ \left| \int_0^{\tau_1} (\tau_1 - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} \{ g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma, \epsilon_n)) + \epsilon_n \} \, d\sigma \right| \]

\[ - \int_0^{\tau_2} (\tau_2 - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} \{ g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma, \epsilon_n)) + \epsilon_n \} \, d\sigma \]

\[ \to 0, \text{ as } |\tau_2 - \tau_1| \to 0. \]

Using inequalities \( (5.12), (5.13) \) and \( (5.14) \), we conclude from \( (5.11) \) that

\[ |\omega(\tau_1, \epsilon_n) - \omega(\tau_2, \epsilon_n)| \to 0, \text{ as } |\tau_2 - \tau_1| \to 0, \]

This shows that \( \{ \omega(\tau, \epsilon_n) \} \) converges uniformly to \( \omega(\tau) \) as \( n \to \infty \). Hence taking limit as \( n \to \infty \) of equation Equ.

\( (6.1) \), we get

\[ \omega(\tau) = f(\tau, \omega(\tau)) \left[ \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} + \frac{1 - \alpha}{B(\alpha)} g(\tau, \omega(\tau)) + \frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)(1 - \alpha)} \int_0^\tau (\tau - \sigma)^{\alpha-1} g(\sigma, \omega(\sigma)) \, d\sigma \right]. \]

Thus \( \omega(\tau) \) is a solution of ABC–hybrid FDEs \( (1.1)-(1.2) \). Taking limit as \( n \to \infty \) of inequality \( (5.10) \), we get \( u(\tau) \leq \omega(\tau), \tau \in J \). Hence ABC–hybrid FDEs \( (1.1)-(1.2) \) has a maximal solution.

\[ \square \]

6 Comparison Results

**Theorem 6.1** Suppose the hypotheses (H1)-(H2) and condition \( (3.4) \) hold. Also assume that the function \( g \) satisfies the condition \( (4.1) \). If there exists a function \( u \in AC(J, \mathbb{R}) \) such that

\[ \frac{1}{\rho(\tau)} \left[ \frac{u(\tau)}{f(\tau, u(\tau))} \right] \leq g(\tau, u(\tau)), \text{ a.e. } \tau \in J, \]

(6.1)
Then
\[ u(0) \leq \omega_0, \quad (6.2) \]

where \( r \) is maximal solution of the ABC–hybrid FDEs (1.1)–(1.2).

**Proof:** Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \) be arbitrary small. Then by Theorem 5.2 \( \omega(\tau, \varepsilon) \) is a solution of the ABC–hybrid FDEs (5.1)–(5.2). Therefore
\[
\begin{align*}
ABC_0 D_\tau^\alpha \left[ \frac{\omega(\tau, \varepsilon)}{f(\tau, \omega(\tau, \varepsilon))} \right] &= g(\tau, \omega(\tau, \varepsilon)) + \varepsilon, \text{ a.e. } \tau \in J, \\
\omega(0, \varepsilon) &= \omega_0 + \varepsilon
\end{align*}
\]

This gives,
\[
\begin{align*}
ABC_0 D_\tau^\alpha \left[ \frac{\omega(\tau, \varepsilon)}{f(\tau, \omega(\tau, \varepsilon))} \right] &> g(\tau, \omega(\tau, \varepsilon)), \text{ a.e. } \tau \in J, \\
\omega(0, \varepsilon) &> \omega_0
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore \( u \) is lower solution and \( \omega(\tau, \varepsilon) \) is upper solution of
\[
\begin{align*}
ABC_0 D_\tau^\alpha \left[ \frac{\omega(\tau)}{f(\tau, \omega(\tau))} \right] &= g(\tau, \omega(\tau)).
\end{align*}
\]

Further,
\[ u(0) \leq \omega_0 < \omega_0 + \varepsilon = \omega(0, \varepsilon). \]

By applying Theorem 4.1 we obtain
\[ u(\tau) < \omega(\tau, \varepsilon), \text{ for all } \tau \in J, \]

In limiting case as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), we get
\[ u(\tau) \leq \omega(\tau), \quad \tau \in J. \]

\[ \square \]

The proof of the following Theorem can be given in similar way as in the case of Theorem 6.1.

**Theorem 6.2** Suppose the hypotheses (H1)-(H2) and condition (3.4) hold. Also assume that the function \( g \) satisfies the condition (4.1). If there exists a function \( v \in AC(J, \mathbb{R}) \) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
ABC_0 D_\tau^\alpha \left[ \frac{v(\tau)}{f(\tau, v(\tau))} \right] &\geq g(\tau, v(\tau)), \text{ a.e. } \tau \in J, \\
\end{align*}
\]

The proof of the following Theorem can be given in similar way as in the case of Theorem 6.1.
Then

\[ \rho(\tau) \leq v(\tau), \ \tau \in J. \]

where \( \rho \) is minimal solution of the ABC–hybrid FDEs (1.1)–(1.2).

Using Theorem 6.1 we can prove the uniqueness result for ABC–hybrid FDEs (1.1)–(1.2). The detail of which is given in the following Theorem.

**Theorem 6.3** Suppose the hypotheses (H1)-(H2) and condition (3.4) hold. Also assume that there exists a function \( G : J \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) satisfying

\[ |g(\tau, \omega) - g(\tau, \eta)| \leq G \left( \tau, \frac{\omega}{f(\tau, \omega)} - \frac{\eta}{f(\tau, \eta)} \right), \text{for all } \tau \in J; \ \omega, \eta \in \mathbb{R}. \]

If identically zero function is the only solution of the ABC–hybrid FDEs

\[ ABC_0 D_\tau^\alpha m(\tau) = G(\tau, m(\tau)), \ a.e. \ \tau \in J, \ 0 < \alpha < 1, \]

\[ m(0) = 0, \]

Then the ABC–hybrid FDEs (1.1)–(1.2) has a unique solution on \( J \).

**Proof:** As the required assumptions (H1)-(H2) and the condition (3.4) hold, the ABC–hybrid FDEs (1.1)–(1.2) has a solution on \( J \). Suppose there exists two solutions \( \omega, \eta \) for ABC–hybrid FDEs (1.1)–(1.2).

Define

\[ m(\tau) = \left| \frac{\omega}{f(\tau, \omega)} - \frac{\eta}{f(\tau, \eta)} \right|, \ \tau \in J. \]

We find by using linearity

\[ ABC_0 D_\tau^\alpha \left( \frac{\omega}{f(\tau, \omega)} - \frac{\eta}{f(\tau, \eta)} \right) = ABC_0 D_\tau^\alpha \left[ \frac{\omega(\tau)}{f(\tau, \omega(\tau))} \right] - ABC_0 D_\tau^\alpha \left[ \frac{\eta(\tau)}{f(\tau, \eta(\tau))} \right] \]

\[ = g(\tau, \omega(\tau)) - g(\tau, \eta(\tau)) \leq |g(\tau, \omega(\tau)) - g(\tau, \eta(\tau))| \]

\[ \leq G \left( \tau, \frac{\omega}{f(\tau, \omega)} - \frac{\eta}{f(\tau, \eta)} \right) = G(\tau, m(\tau)), \ \tau \in J. \]

Again by using the fact that \(|f'| \leq |f'|\), we find

\[ ABC_0 D_\tau^\alpha m(\tau) = \frac{B(\alpha)}{1 - \alpha} \int_0^\tau \mathcal{E}_\alpha \left[ -\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} (\tau - \sigma)^\alpha \right] \left| \frac{\omega}{f(\tau, \omega)} - \frac{\eta}{f(\tau, \eta)} \right|' d\sigma \]

\[ \leq \frac{B(\alpha)}{1 - \alpha} \int_0^\tau \mathcal{E}_\alpha \left[ -\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} (\tau - \sigma)^\alpha \right] \left| \left( \frac{\omega}{f(\tau, \omega)} - \frac{\eta}{f(\tau, \eta)} \right) \right|' d\sigma \]
≤ \left| \frac{B(\alpha)}{1-\alpha} \int_0^\tau E_\alpha \left[ -\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} (\tau - \sigma)^\alpha \right] \left( \frac{\omega}{f(\tau, \omega)} - \frac{\eta}{f(\tau, \eta)} \right) \right| d\sigma \\
= ABC_0^\alpha D_\tau^\alpha \left( \frac{\omega}{f(\tau, \omega)} - \frac{\eta}{f(\tau, \eta)} \right)

(6.10)

Combining inequalities (6.9) and (6.10), we obtain

\[ ABC_0^\alpha D_\tau^\alpha m(\tau) \leq G(\tau, m(\tau)), \ \tau \in J. \]  

(6.11)

Using definition of \( m(\tau) \), we find

\[ m(0) = \left| \frac{\omega(0)}{f(0, \omega(0))} - \frac{\eta(0)}{f(0, \eta(0))} \right| = \left| \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} - \frac{\omega_0}{f(0, \omega_0)} \right| = 0 \]  

(6.12)

From equations (6.11) and (6.12), using assumption, we get \( m(\tau) = 0, \ \tau \in J \). From which we can easily show that

\[ \frac{\omega}{f(\tau, \omega)} = \frac{\eta}{f(\tau, \eta)}, \ \tau \in J. \]

Hence \( \omega = \eta \). This proves the uniqueness of solution. \( \square \)

**Conclusion**

Fractional integral inequalities and comparison results acquired in the present paper can utilized to analyze the various qualitative and quantitative properties of solutions for a different class of ABC–hybrid FDEs subject to enhanced initial and boundary conditions.
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