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Abstract. Generalizing Block and Weinberger’s characterization of amenability we introduce the notion of uniformly finite homology for a group action on a compact space and use it to give a homological characterization of topological amenability for actions. By considering the case of the natural action of $G$ on its Stone-Čech compactification we obtain a homological characterization of exactness of the group.

There are two well known homological characterizations of amenability for a countable discrete group $G$. One, given by Johnson [8], states that a group is amenable if and only if a certain cohomology class in the first bounded cohomology $H^1_b(G, \ell^1_0(G)^{**})$ vanishes, where $\ell^1_0(G)$ is the augmentation ideal. By contrast Block and Weinberger [2] described amenability in terms of the non-vanishing of a homology class in the 0-dimensional uniformly finite homology of $G$, $H^0_{uf}(G, \mathbb{R})$. The relationship between these characterizations is explored in [3].

Amenable actions on a compact space were extensively studied by Anantharaman-Delaroche and Renault in [1] as a generalization of amenability which is sufficiently strong for applications and yet is exhibited by almost all known groups. A group is amenable if and only if the action on a point is amenable and it is exact if and only if it acts amenably on its Stone-Čech compactification, $\beta G$, [7, 6, 10]. It is natural to consider the question of whether or not the Johnson and Block-Weinberger characterizations of amenability can be generalized to this much broader context. In particular Higson asked for such a characterization of exactness.

In [4] we showed how to generalize Johnson’s result in terms of bounded cohomology with coefficients in a specific module $N_0(G, X)^{**}$ associated to the action. In this paper we turn our attention to the Block-Weinberger theorem, studying a related module $W_0(G, X)$ (the standard module of the action), and define the uniformly finite homology of the action, $H^*_uf(G \curvearrowright X)$ as the group homology with coefficients in $W_0(G, X)^{**}$. The modules $N_0(G, X)^{**}$ and $W_0(G, X)^{**}$ should be thought of as analogues of the modules $(\ell^\infty(G)/\mathbb{R})^*$ and $\ell^\infty(G)$ respectively, which play a key role in the definition of the uniformly finite homology for groups. The two characterizations are intimately related, and we consider this relationship in section 5.
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In the case of Block and Weinberger’s uniformly finite homology the vanishing of the 0-dimensional homology group is equivalent to vanishing of a fundamental class \([ \sum g ] \in H^u_0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\), however the homology group \(H^u_0(G \acts X)\) is rarely trivial even when the action is topologically non-amenable. Indeed if \(X\) is a compactification of \(G\) then the homology group is always non-zero, see Theorem 6 below. A similar phenomenon can be observed for controlled coarse homology [9], which is another generalization of uniformly finite homology: only the vanishing of the fundamental class has geometric applications. Here we show that topological amenability is detected by a fundamental class \(\{ \sum g \} \in H^u_0(G \acts X)\) for the action, and we obtain a homological characterization of topological amenability generalizing the Block-Weinberger theorem, Theorem 9 which may be summarized as follows:

**Theorem.** Let \(G\) be a finitely generated group acting by homeomorphisms on a compact Hausdorff topological space \(X\). The action of \(G\) on \(X\) is topologically amenable if and only if the fundamental class \(\{ \sum g \} \) is non-zero in \(H^u_0(G \acts X)\).

When the space \(X\) is a point, the uniformly finite homology of the action \(H^u_0(G \acts X)\) reduces to \(H^u_0(G, \mathbb{R})\), the uniformly finite homology of \(G\) with real coefficients [2], recovering the characterization proved by Block and Weinberger.

### 1. The uniformly finite homology of an action

Let \(G\) be a group generated by a finite set \(S = S^{-1}\), acting by homeomorphisms on a compact Hausdorff space \(X\).

The space \(C(X, \ell^1(G))\) of continuous \(\ell^1(G)\) valued functions on \(X\) is equipped with the sup \(-\ell^1\) norm

\[
\|\xi\| = \sup_{x \in X} \sum_{g \in G} |\xi(x)(g)|.
\]

The summation map on \(\ell^1(G)\) induces a continuous map \(\sigma : C(X, \ell^1(G)) \to C(X)\), where \(C(X)\) is equipped with the \(\ell^\infty\) norm. The space \(N_0(G, X)\) is defined to be the pre-image \(\sigma^{-1}(0)\) which we identify as \(C(X, \ell^1_0(G))\), while, identifying \(\mathbb{R}\) with the constant functions on \(X\) we define \(W_0(G, X)\) to be the subspace \(N_0(G, X) + \mathbb{R} = \sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{R})\). Restricting \(\sigma\) to the subspace \(W_0(G, X)\) we can regard it as a map \(W_0(G, X) \to \mathbb{R}\), and with this convention we may regard \(\sigma\) as an element of the dual space \(W_0(G, X)^*\).

Given an element \(\xi \in C(X, \ell^1(G))\) we obtain a family of functions \(\xi_g \in C(X)\) indexed by the elements of \(G\) by setting \(\xi_g(x) = \xi(x)(g)\).

In this notation, the Banach space \(C(X, \ell^1(G))\) is equipped with a natural action of \(G\),

\[
(g \cdot \xi)_h = g \ast \xi_{g^{-1}h},
\]

for each \(g, h \in G\), where \(\ast\) denotes the translation action of \(G\) on \(C(X)\): \(g \ast f(x) = f(g^{-1}x)\) for \(f \in C(X)\). We note that with these actions on \(C(X, \ell^1(G))\) and \(C(X)\), the map \(\sigma\) is equivariant which implies that \(N_0, W_0\) are \(G\)-invariant subspaces.
**Definition 1.** We call \( W_0(G, X) \), with the above action of \( G \), the standard module of the action of \( G \) on \( X \).

We have the following short exact sequence of \( G \)-modules:

\[
0 \rightarrow N_0(G, X) \overset{i}{\longrightarrow} W_0(G, X) \overset{\sigma}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 0.
\]

It is also worth pointing out that when \( X \) is a point we have \( W_0(G, X) = \ell^1(G) \) and \( N_0(G, X) = \ell^0(G) \). The above modules and decompositions were introduced, with a slightly different but equivalent description, in [4] for a compact \( X \) and in [5] in the case when \( X = \beta G \), the Stone–Čech compactification of \( G \).

Recall that if \( V \) is a \( G \)-module then \( V^* \) is also a \( G \)-module with the action of \( G \) given by \((g\psi)(\xi) = \psi(g^{-1}\xi)\) for \( \psi \in V^* \) and \( \xi \in V \). With this definition we introduce the notion of uniformly finite homology for a group action.

**Definition 2.** Let \( G \) be a finitely generated group acting by homeomorphisms on a compact space \( X \). We define the uniformly finite homology of the action by setting

\[
H^{uf}_n(G \acts X) = H_n(G, W_0(G, X)^*),
\]

for every \( n \geq 0 \), where \( H_n \) denotes group homology.

A certain homology class in the uniformly finite homology of the action will be of particular importance to us.

**Definition 3.** Let \( G \) act by homeomorphisms on a compact space \( X \). The fundamental class of the action, denoted \([G \acts X]\), is the homology class in \( H^{uf}_0(G \acts X) \) represented by \( \sigma \).

As noted above, when \( X \) is a point we have \( W_0(G, X) = \ell^1(G) \), so \( W_0(G, X)^* = \ell^\infty(G) \). Hence \([G \acts X] = [\sum_{g \in G} g]\), and

\[
H^{uf}_0(G, \mathbb{R}) \cong H_0(G, \ell^\infty(G)) \cong H^{uf}_0(G, W_0(G, pt)^*) = H^{uf}_0(G \acts pt).
\]

Consider the dual of the short exact sequence of coefficients above:

\[
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^* \overset{\sigma^*}{\rightarrow} W_0(G, X)^* \rightarrow N_0(G, X)^* \rightarrow 0.
\]

The map \( \sigma^* \) is always split as a vector space map, and hence its dual \( \sigma^{**} \) is also split. We now consider the question of when we can split the map \( \sigma^* \) equivariantly. Identifying \( \mathbb{R}^* \) with \( \mathbb{R} \), the map \( \sigma^* \) takes 1 to \( \sigma \), hence the condition that \( \mu : W_0(G, X)^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) splits \( \sigma^* \) is the condition \( \mu(\sigma) = 1 \). Hence a \( G \)-equivariant splitting of \( \sigma^* \) can be regarded as a \( G \)-invariant functional \( \mu \in W_0(G, X)^* \) such that \( \mu(\sigma) = 1 \). But this is precisely an invariant mean for the action as described in [4, definition 13], so we obtain:

**Lemma 4.** Let \( G \) be a group acting by homeomorphisms on a compact Hausdorff space \( X \). Then the action is topologically amenable if and only if there is a \( G \)-equivariant splitting of the map \( \sigma^* \) in the short exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^* \overset{\sigma^*}{\rightarrow} W_0(G, X)^* \rightarrow N_0(G, X) \rightarrow 0.
\]
Applying this lemma to the long exact sequence in group homology arising from the short exact sequence above we obtain:

**Corollary 5.** If the group $G$ acts topologically amenably on the compact Hausdorff space $X$, then for each $n$ there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to H_n(G, \mathbb{R}) \to H_n(G, W_0(G, X)^+) \to H_n(G, N_0(G, X)^+) \to 0,$$

mapping the fundamental class $[1] \in H_0(G, \mathbb{R})$ to the fundamental class $[G \curvearrowright X]$ of the action. This gives us an isomorphism

$$H_n^af(G \curvearrowright X) \cong H_n(G, \mathbb{R}) \oplus H_n(G, N_0(G, X)^+).$$

In Theorem 9 we characterize topological amenability in terms of the 0-dimensional homology. In particular when the action is not topologically amenable we will show (Corollary 10) that $H_0^af(G \curvearrowright X)$ is isomorphic to $H_0(G, N_0(G, X)^+)$. 

2. **Non-vanishing elements in $H_0^af(G \curvearrowright X)$**

Unlike the Block-Weinberger case, vanishing of the fundamental class does not in general imply the vanishing of $H_0^af(G \curvearrowright X)$.

**Theorem 6.** Let $X$ be a compact $G$ space containing an open $G$-invariant subspace $U$ on which $G$ acts properly. Then $H_0^af(G \curvearrowright X)$ is non-zero. In particular $H_0^af(G \curvearrowright \overline{G})$ is non-zero for any compactification $\overline{G}$ of $G$.

**Proof.** If $G$ is finite, and the action of $G$ on $X$ is trivial, then $H_0^af(G \curvearrowright X) = W_0(G, X)^+$ which is non-zero.

Otherwise we may assume that the action of $G$ on $U$ is non-trivial, replacing $U$ with $X$ if $G$ is finite. Thus we may pick a point $x_0 \in U$, and $x_1 = g_1x_0$ in $Gx_0$ with $x_0 \neq x_1$. Let $f \in C(X)$ be a positive function of norm 1, with $f(x_0) = 1$ and with the support $K$ of $f$ contained in $U \setminus \{x_1\}$. By construction $x_0 \notin g_1^{-1}K$.

Define $\xi \in W_0(G, X)$ by $\xi_e = f, \xi_{g_1} = -f$, and $\xi_{g} = 0$ for $g \neq e, g_1$. We note that $\xi$ is in $W_0(G, X)$ as required, indeed it is in $N_0(G, X)$, since $\sum_{g \in G} \xi_g$ is identically zero. We now form the sequence

$$\xi^n = \sum_{k \in G} \phi_n(k)k \cdot \xi, \text{ where } \phi_n(k) = \max \left\{ \frac{n - d(e, k)}{n}, 0 \right\}.$$ 

If $\xi_n^g(x)$ is non-zero then $x$ is in $gK$ or $g^{-1}K$. By properness of the action there are only finitely many $h \in G$ such that $hK$ meets $K$. Let $N$ be the number of such $h$. If $x \in hK$, then $x \in gK \cup g^{-1}K$ for at most $2N$ values of $g$. Hence for each $x \in X$, the set of $g$ with $\xi_n^g(x) \neq 0$ has cardinality at most $2N$. Since $|\xi_n^x(x)| \leq 2$ for each $g, n, x$ it follows that $||\xi^n|| \leq 4N$ for all $n$.

For $s \in S$ consider

$$\xi^n - s \cdot \xi^n = \sum_{g \in G} \phi_n(g)(g \cdot \xi - sg \cdot \xi) = \sum_{g \in G} (\phi_n(g) - \phi_n(s^{-1}g))g \cdot \xi.$$
The operator $\delta$ is non-zero for at most 4 values of $h$. On the other hand, for a given $x$, $(\xi^n - s \cdot \xi^n)_h(x)$ is non-zero for at most $4N$ values of $h$, hence $\|\xi^n - s \cdot \xi^n\| \leq 4N/\eta$. We thus have a sequence $\xi^n$ in $W_0(G,X)$ with $\|\delta\xi^n\| \to 0$. It follows that if $\xi$ is a weak-* limit point of $\xi^n$ in $W_0(G,X)^*$ then $\delta^* \xi = 0$, so $\xi$ is a cocycle defining a class $[\xi]$ in $H^0(G, W_0(G,X)^*)$.

Let $ev_{e,x_0} \in W_0(G,X)^*$ be the evaluation functional $\eta \mapsto \eta_e(x_0)$, and consider the homology class $[ev_{e,x_0}] \in H^0_{uf}(G \curvearrowright X)$. We have

$$ev_{e,x_0}(\xi^n) = \xi^n_e(x_0) = \phi_e(e \cdot \xi)_e(x_0) + \phi_e(g_1^{-1} \cdot \xi)_e(x_0)$$

since the other terms in the sum vanish. The first term is $\phi_e(e) f(x_0) = 1$, while $(g_1^{-1} \cdot \xi)_e(x_0) = (g_1^{-1} \ast \xi_{e1})(x_0) = 0$ since $x_0$ is not in $g_1^{-1} K$. Thus $ev_{e,x_0}(\xi^n) = 1$ for all $n$. It follows that the pairing of $[ev_{e,x_0}]$ with $[\xi]$ is 1, hence $[ev_{e,x_0}]$ is a non-trivial element of $H^0_{uf}(G \curvearrowright X)$. \hfill \square

We remark that there is a surjection from $H^0_{uf}(G \curvearrowright X)$ onto $H_0(G,N_0(G,X)^*)$, induced by the surjection $W_0(G,X)^* \to N_0(G,X)^*$, and the non-trivial elements constructed in the proposition remain non-trivial after applying this map.

3. Characterizing amenability

We recall the definition of a (topologically) amenable action.

**Definition 7.** Let $G$ be a group acting by homeomorphisms on a compact Hausdorff space. The action of $G$ on $X$ is said to be topologically amenable if there exists a sequence of elements $\xi^n \in W_0(G,X)$ such that

1. $\xi^n_g \geq 0$ in $C(X)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g \in G$,
2. $\sigma(\xi^n) = 1$ for every $n$,
3. $\sup_{s \in S} \|\xi^n - s \cdot \xi^n\| \to 0$.

Universality of the Stone-Čech compactification leads to the observation that a group acts amenably on some compact space if and only if it acts amenably on $\beta G$, which is equivalent to exactness. Amenable actions on compact spaces (lying between the point and $\beta G$) form a spectrum of generalized amenability properties interpolating between amenability and exactness. We will return to this point later.

Now consider the coboundary map

$$W_0(G,X) \xrightarrow{\delta} \left( \bigoplus_{s \in S} W_0(G,X) \right)_\infty,$$

where

$$(\delta \xi)_s = \xi - s \cdot \xi,$$

for $\xi \in W_0(G,X)$, where the (finite) direct sum is equipped with a supremum norm. The operator $\delta$ is clearly bounded. Since $S$ is finite the dual of $\delta$ is

$$W_0(G,X)^* \xleftarrow{\delta^*} \left( \bigoplus_{s \in S} W_0(G,X)^* \right)_1.$$
where the direct sum is equipped with an $\ell^1$-norm and the adjoint map is given by
\[
\delta^* \psi = \sum_{s \in S} \psi_s - s^{-1} \cdot \psi_s.
\]
The functional $\sigma$ can be used to detect amenability of the action.

**Theorem 8.** Let $G$ be a finitely generated group acting on a compact space $X$ by homeomorphisms. The following conditions are equivalent:

1. the action of $G$ on $X$ is topologically amenable,
2. $\sigma \notin \text{Image}(\delta^*)$,
3. $\sigma \notin \text{Image}(\delta^*)$.

**Proof.** (1) $\implies$ (2). Assume first that the action is amenable. Take $\mu$ to be the weak-* limit of a convergent subnet of $\xi_\beta$ as in the definition of amenable actions. Then
\[
\mu(\sigma) = \lim_{\beta} \sigma(\xi_\beta) = 1,
\]
and in particular $\sigma$ is not in the kernel of $\mu$. On the other hand
\[
|\mu(\delta^* \psi)| = \lim_{\beta} |\delta^* \psi(\xi_\beta)| = \lim_{\beta} |\psi(\delta \xi_\beta)| \leq \lim_{\beta} \left( \|\psi\| \sup_{s \in S} \|\xi_\beta - s \cdot \xi_\beta\| \right) = 0,
\]
for every $\psi \in \bigoplus_{s \in S} W_0(G, X)^*$. Thus
\[
\text{Image}(\delta^*) \subseteq \ker \mu.
\]
Since $\ker \mu$ is norm-closed, we conclude
\[
\text{Image}(\delta^*) \subseteq \ker \mu.
\]
Thus $\sigma \notin \text{Image}(\delta^*)$ and (2) follows.

(2) $\implies$ (3) is obvious.

To prove (3) $\implies$ (1) we suppose there exists a constant $D > 0$ such that
\[
(\dagger) \quad \|\delta \xi\| \geq D|\sigma(\xi)|
\]
for all $\xi$, and seek a contradiction. Consider a functional $\psi : \delta(W_0(G, X)) \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by
\[
\psi(\delta \xi) = \sigma(\xi).
\]
This is well defined, since $\delta : W_0(G, X) \to \bigoplus_{s \in S} W_0(G, X)$ is injective. By inequality $(\dagger)$, $\psi$ is continuous on $\delta(W_0(G, X))$ and, by the Hahn-Banach theorem, we can extend it to a continuous functional $\Psi$ on $\bigoplus_{s \in S} W_0(G, X)$. By definition, for $\xi \in W_0(G, X)$ we have
\[
[\delta^* (\Psi)](\xi) = \Psi(\delta \xi) = \psi(\delta \xi) = \sigma(\xi),
\]
hence $\sigma$ is in the image of $\delta^*$, contradicting (3).

It follows that there is no $D > 0$ such that inequality $(\dagger)$ holds for all $\xi \in W_0(G, X)$, hence there exists a sequence $\xi^n \in W_0(G, X)$ such that $\sigma(\xi^n) = 1$ for all $n$, and $\|\delta \xi^n\| \to 0$. Since $W_0(G, X)$ is dense in $W_0(G, X)$, we may assume without loss of generality that $\xi^n \in W_{00}(G, X)$, and applying the standard normalization argument we deduce that the action is amenable. $\Box$
We are now in the position to prove the main theorem, which is stated here in a more general form. The reduced homology $\overline{H}_n^G(G \acts X) = \overline{H}_n(G, W_0(G, X)^*)$ in the statement is defined, as in the context of $L^2$-(co)homology, by taking the closure of the images in the chain complex.

**Theorem 9.** Let $G$ be a finitely generated group acting by homeomorphisms on a compact space $X$. The following conditions are equivalent

1. the action of $G$ on $X$ is topologically amenable,
2. $[G \acts X] \neq 0$ in $\overline{H}_0^G(G \acts X)$,
3. $[G \acts X] \neq 0$ in $H_0^G(G \acts X)$,
4. the map $(i^*)_*: H_0^G(G \acts X) \to H_0(G, N_0(G, X)^*)$ is not injective,
5. the map $(i^*)*: H_1^G(G \acts X) \to H_1(G, N_0(G, X)^*)$ is surjective.

**Proof.** The equivalence (1)$\iff$(2)$\iff$(3) follows from Theorem 8. Indeed, we have $H_0(G, M) = M_G$, where $M_G$ is the coinvariant module, namely the quotient of $M$ by the module generated by elements of the form $g \cdot m - m$. Since $G$ is finitely generated it is enough to consider only sums of elements of the form $s \cdot m - m$, where $s$ are the generators. Indeed, if $g = s_1 s_2 \ldots s_n$ for $s_i \in S$, we can write

$$g \cdot m - m = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} s_i \cdot m_i - m_i\right) + s_n \cdot m - m,$$

where $m_i = (s_{i+1} \ldots s_n) \cdot m$ for $i \leq n$. Hence $W_0(G, X)^*_G$ is exactly the quotient $W_0(G, X)^*$ by the image of $\delta^*$.

As in the proof of Corollary 5 the short exact sequence of coefficients yields a long exact sequence which terminates as

$$\to H_0(G, \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} H_0(G, W_0(G, X)^*) \xrightarrow{i^*} H_0(G, N_0(G, X)^*) \to 0,$$

and in which the fundamental class $[1] \in H_0(G, \mathbb{R})^*$ maps to the class $[G \acts X]$. Thus $[G \acts X] \neq 0$ if and only if the map $\sigma^*$ is non-zero, or equivalently the kernel of $i^*$ is non-zero. Thus it follows that (3) is equivalent to (4).

Also by exactness of the sequence $[G \acts X] \neq 0$ if and only if $[1]$ is not in the image of the connecting map, or equivalently the connecting map is zero, and we obtain the equivalence of (3) and (5).

Combining this with Corollary 5 we obtain:

**Corollary 10.** Let $G$ be a group acting by homeomorphisms on a compact Hausdorff topological space $X$.

$$H_0^G(G \acts X) \cong \begin{cases} H_0(G, \mathbb{R}) \oplus H_0(G, N_0(G, X)^*) & \text{when the action is amenable,} \\ H_0(G, N_0(G, X)^*) & \text{when the action is not amenable.} \end{cases}$$
4. Functoriality

We return to the remark that we made earlier that the actions of $G$ on compact spaces form a spectrum, with the single point at one end of the spectrum and the Stone-Čech compatification of $G$ at the other end. We can make sense of this statement homologically as follows.

Suppose that $G$ is a finitely generated group acting by homeomorphisms on two compact spaces $X, Y$. Given a continuous, equivariant map $X \to Y$ of compact $G$-spaces we obtain induced continuous maps $f^*: C(Y, \ell^1(G)) \to C(X, \ell^1(G))$ and $f^*: C(Y) \to C(X)$ defined by $f^*(\xi) = \xi \circ f$. Let $\sigma_X : C(X, \ell^1(G)) \to C(X), \sigma_Y : C(Y, \ell^1(G)) \to C(Y)$ denote the summation maps. Summation is compatible with the pull-backs in the sense that $\sigma_X \circ f^* = f^* \circ \sigma_Y$, hence $f^*$ restricts to maps $W_0(G,Y) \to W_0(G,X)$ and $N_0(G,Y) \to N_0(G,X)$. Note that equivariance of $f$ implies equivariance of $f^*$.

Let $\xi \in C(Y, \ell^1(G))$ we have

$$||f^*\xi|| = \sup_{x \in X} \sum_{g \in G} |\xi_g(f(x))| \leq \sup_{y \in Y} \sum_{g \in G} |\xi_g(y)| = ||\xi||$$

so when $f$ is surjective, we have equality, and $f^*$ is an isometry onto its image. Dualising the restriction of $f^*$ to $W_0(G,Y) \to W_0(G,X)$ we obtain a continuous linear map which we denote by $f_* : W_0(G,X)^* \to W_0(G,Y)^*$. Equivariance of this map follows from equivariance of $f^*$.

As the map $f_*$ is equivariant, it induces a map on group homology (also denoted $f_*$):

$$f_* : H_n^{uf}(G \curvearrowright X) \to H_n^{uf}(G \curvearrowright Y)$$

In the special case that $f$ is surjective, as $f^*$ is an isometry onto its image it follows that $f_*$ is surjective, so we obtain a short exact sequence of $G$-modules

$$0 \to W_0(G,f)^* \to W_0(G,X)^* \xrightarrow{f_*} W_0(G,Y)^* \to 0$$

where $W_0(G,f)$ denotes the quotient space $W_0(G,X)/f^*W_0(G,Y)$.

This induces a long exact sequence in group homology from which we extract the following fragment.

$$\cdots \to H_0^{uf}(G \curvearrowright X) \xrightarrow{f_*} H_0^{uf}(G \curvearrowright Y) \to 0.$$ 

Thus surjectivity of $f$ implies surjectivity of the map $f_*$ on homology in dimension 0.

In general, whether $f$ is surjective or not, the fundamental class $[G \curvearrowright Y]$ is in the image of $f_*$. Specifically we have $f_*[G \curvearrowright X] = [G \curvearrowright Y]$ which follows from the identity $\sigma_X \circ f^* = f^* \circ \sigma_Y$.

It follows that if $[G \curvearrowright Y]$ is non-trivial then so is $[G \curvearrowright X]$, recovering the statement that if the action on $Y$ is topologically amenable then so is the action on $X$.

Now suppose that $X$ is an arbitrary compact space on which $G$ acts by homeomorphisms so by universality there are equivariant continuous maps

$$\beta G \to X \to \{ \ast \}.$$
It follows that if $G$ is amenable then the action on $X$ is topologically amenable. On the other hand if the action on $X$ is topologically amenable then the action on $\beta G$ is also topologically amenable, hence $G$ is exact. Hence we recover two well known facts.

Consider again the general situation of a continuous $G$-map $f : X \to Y$. We have seen that topological amenability automatically transfers from $Y$ to $X$, but in general it does not transfer in the opposite direction. In order to transfer it from $X$ to $Y$ we need to place additional constraints on the map $f$.

**Definition 11.** Let $G$ be a group and $X, Y$ be compact Hausdorff topological spaces on which $G$ acts by homeomorphisms. A continuous $G$-equivariant map $f : X \to Y$ induces a $G \times C(Y)$-module structure on $C(X)$ by pullback. The map $f$ is said to be amenable if there is a bounded $C(Y)$-linear $G$-equivariant map $\mu : C(X) \to C(Y)$ with $\mu(1_X) = 1_Y$.

Amenability of the map $f$ implies that $f$ is surjective, hence $f^\ast$ is topologically injective and $\mu$ is a splitting of $f^\ast$.

When $G$ is the trivial group this reduces to the classical definition of an amenable map, while if $Y$ is a point then the map $X \to Y$ is amenable if and only if the action of $G$ on $X$ is co-amenable.

**Proposition 12.** Let $G$ be a group and $X, Y$ be compact Hausdorff topological spaces on which $G$ acts by homeomorphisms. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an amenable continuous $G$-equivariant map. If the action of $G$ on $X$ is topologically amenable then so is the action of $G$ on $Y$.

**Proof.** We use the isomorphism between the space $C(X, \ell^1(G))$ and the completed injective tensor product $C(X) \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \ell^1(G)$ (see, e.g., [11, Theorem 44.1]) to identify $W_0(G, X)$ as a subspace of $C(X, \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \ell^1(G)$ and $W_0(G, Y)$ as a subspace of $C(Y, \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \ell^1(G)$. Since $f$ is amenable we have a $G$-equivariant splitting $\mu : C(X) \to C(Y)$ of the map $f^\ast$, giving a map $\mu \otimes_\varepsilon 1 : C(X, \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \ell^1(G) \to C(Y, \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \ell^1(G)$. This restricts to a map $W_0(G, X) \to W_0(G, Y)$ since $\mu$ takes constant functions on $X$ to constant functions on $Y$.

The corresponding dual map $W_0(G, Y)^* \to W_0(G, X)^*$ induces a map on homology that, abusing notation, we will denote $\mu^* : H_0(G \curvearrowright Y) \to H_0(G \curvearrowright X)$. By construction this splits the map $f_* : H_0(G \curvearrowright X) \to H_0(G \curvearrowright Y)$, and since $\mu(1_X) = 1_Y$, $\mu^*([G \curvearrowright Y]) = [G \curvearrowright X]$. It follows that if the fundamental class $[G \curvearrowright X]$ is not trivial then neither is $[G \curvearrowright Y]$, and so topological amenability of the action on $X$ implies topological amenability for the action on $Y$ as required. □

5. THE INTERACTION BETWEEN UNIFORMLY FINITE HOMOLOGY AND BOUNDED COHOMOLOGY

We conclude with some remarks concerning the interaction of the uniformly finite homology of an action and the bounded cohomology with coefficients introduced in [4]. These illuminate the special role played by the Johnson class in $H_b^1(G, N_0(G, X))^*$ and the fundamental class in $H_0^b(G \curvearrowright X)$ and extend the results in [3] which considered the special case of the action of $G$ on a point.
In [4] we showed that topological amenability of the action is encoded by triviality of an element \([J]\) in \(H^1_b(G, N_0(G, X)^\ast\ast)\), which we call the Johnson class for the action. This class is the image of the class \([1] \in H^0_b(G, \mathbb{R})\) under the connecting map arising from the short exact sequence of coefficients

\[
0 \rightarrow N_0(G, X)^\ast\ast \rightarrow W_0(G, X)^\ast\ast \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 0
\]

which is dual to the short exact sequence appearing in the proof of Theorem[9].

By applying the forgetful functor from bounded to ordinary cohomology, we obtain a pairing of \(H^1_b(G, N_0(G, X)^\ast\ast)\) with \(H_1(G, N_0(G, X)^\ast)\), and clearly if the Johnson class \([J]\) is trivial then its pairing with any \([c] \in H_1(G, N_0(G, X)^\ast)\) is zero.

Now suppose that every \([c] \in H_1(G, N_0(G, X)^\ast)\) pairs trivially with the Johnson class. Since the Johnson class \([J]\) is obtained by applying the connecting map to the generator \([1]\) of \(H^0_b(G, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}\), pairing \([J]\) with \([c] \in H_1(G, N_0(G, X)^\ast)\) is the same as pairing \([1]\) with the image of \([c]\) under the connecting map in homology. As this pairing (between \(H^0(G, \mathbb{R}) = H^0_b(G, \mathbb{R})\) and \(H_0(G, \mathbb{R})\)) is faithful, it follows that the image of \([c]\) under the connecting map is trivial for all \([c]\), so the connecting map is zero, which we have already noted is equivalent to amenability of the action. Thus in the case when the group is non-amenable, the non-triviality of the Johnson element must be detected by the pairing.

On the other hand, we can run a similar argument in the opposite direction: if pairing \([G \rightharpoonup X]\) with every element \([\phi] \in H^0_b(G, W_0(G, X)^\ast\ast)\) we get zero, then since \([G \rightharpoonup X] = (\sigma^\ast)_* [1]\), we have that the pairing of \((\sigma^\ast)_* [\phi] \in H^0_b(G, \mathbb{R})\) with \([1] \in H_0(G, \mathbb{R})\) is trivial, whence \((\sigma^\ast)_* [\phi] = 0\) (again by faithfulness of the pairing). Thus, by exactness, the connecting map on cohomology is injective and the Johnson class is non-trivial. So when the action is amenable, (and hence the Johnson class is trivial), non-triviality of \([G \rightharpoonup X]\) must be detected by the pairing.
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