Hydrodynamic Escape of Water Vapor Atmospheres near Very Active Stars
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Abstract

When exposed to the high-energy X-ray and ultraviolet radiation of a very active star, water vapor in the upper atmospheres of planets can be photodissociated and rapidly lost to space. In this paper, I study the chemical, thermal, and hydrodynamic processes in the upper atmospheres of terrestrial planets, concentrating on water-vapor-dominated atmospheres orbiting in the habitable zones of active stars. I consider different stellar activity levels and find very high levels of atmospheric escape in all cases, with the outflowing gas being dominated by atomic hydrogen and oxygen in both their neutral and ion forms. In the lower activity cases, I find that the accumulation of O2 and increases in the D/H ratios in the atmospheres due to mass fractionation are possible, but in the higher activity cases, no mass fractionation takes place. Connecting these results to stellar activity evolution tracks for solar-mass stars, I show that huge amounts of water vapor can be lost, and both the losses and the amount of O2 that can be accumulated in the atmosphere depend sensitively on the star’s initial rotation rate. For an Earth-mass planet in the habitable zone of a low-mass M dwarf, my results suggest that the accumulation of atmospheric O2 is unlikely unless water loss can take place after the star’s most active phase.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Exoplanet atmospheres (487); Planetary atmospheres (1244); Upper atmosphere (1748); Water vapor (1791); Stellar activity (1580)

1. Introduction

Water is one of the most important molecules for planets and can significantly influence their surfaces, climates, and potential for sustaining life. In addition to being present in the atmospheres of solar system planets, water has been detected in the atmospheres of many exoplanets (e.g., Tinetti et al. 2007; Wakeford et al. 2013; Brogi et al. 2014; Tsiaras et al. 2019). A large number of physical processes take place in the upper atmospheres and exospheres of planets that cause water to be lost to space, most of which are driven by interactions with the central star. For example, nonthermal escape processes include ion pickup by stellar winds (Kislyakov et al. 2014b), cold ion outflows (Glocer et al. 2007), and the loss of photochemically produced high-energy particles (Amerstorfer et al. 2017). Thermal escape mechanisms include hydrodynamic escape, which takes place when the upper atmosphere is heated to such a temperature that the thermal pressure causes it to accelerate away from the planet at speeds exceeding the escape velocity. This can happen without any outside influences for very low-mass planets (Stökl et al. 2015), in response to the star’s bolometric radiation for planets on short-period orbits (Owen & Wu 2016), or most notably in response to the star’s X-ray and ultraviolet emission for planets orbiting active stars (Tian et al. 2005; Erkaev et al. 2016; Kubyschekina et al. 2018). Heating can also take place due to other mechanisms, mostly in response to the star’s wind (Cohen et al. 2014; Lichtenegger et al. 2016). For example, Chassefière (1996, 1997) suggested for the case of early Venus that energetic neutral atoms (ENAs) created in charge-exchange reactions between neutral atmospheric particles and the early solar wind could heat the atmosphere significantly and drive escape, though Lichtenegger et al. (2016) found that this mechanism might not lead to significant additional escape.

Water vapor in a planet’s upper atmosphere is photodissociated by the high-energy radiative spectrum of its host star, creating a large number of other chemical species such as OH, O3, and O4, and causing most of the thermosphere to be filled neutral and ionized hydrogen and oxygen atoms which can flow away from the planet hydrodynamically (Kasting & Pollack 1983; Guo 2019). Due to its lower mass, hydrogen is lost more rapidly than oxygen, though how different these loss rates are depends on several factors, including the total loss rate and which loss process dominates. This preferential loss of H has been suggested as a mechanism for producing significant amounts of O2 in an atmosphere (Wordsworth & Pierrehumbert 2014; Luger & Barnes 2015). In this scenario, a planet with a large amount of atmospheric H2O orbiting a very active star will undergo rapid escape but with much of the O remaining, leading to O2 buildup. For potentially habitable planets, it is expected that this buildup might be more significant for planets orbiting M dwarfs because these stars spend a very long time on the pre-main sequence, meaning that planets that will eventually be in the habitable zones when the star reaches the main sequence spend a long time inside the inner edge of the habitable zone where they are too hot for liquid surface water (Ramirez & Kaltenegger 2014).

The buildup of O2 can be prevented if oxygen is absorbed into the surface, which Wordsworth et al. (2018) showed could significantly reduce the buildup of O2 during a planet’s early magma ocean phase. In this case, the absorbed oxygen can still be released into the atmosphere later in the planet’s lifetime. Alternatively, as O2 accumulates, the mixing ratio of O in the upper atmosphere will increase, leading to a decrease in the difference between the H and O loss rates (Tian 2015). The loss of water can also be inhibited by the presence of a cold trap if noncondensing species such as N2 or CO2 make up a large fraction of the atmosphere (Wordsworth & Pierrehumbert 2013). An initially pure H2O atmosphere cannot have a cold trap, but a cold trap can form as O2 builds up, inhibiting H2O...
escape (Wordsworth & Pierrehumbert 2014). If the star’s activity is strong enough to drive rapid atmospheric escape, however, we might expect rapid oxygen escape to take place in such a case, possibly removing any accumulated atmospheric \( \text{O}_2 \). Studying the case of GJ 1132b, which is an Earth-mass planet orbiting a low-mass M dwarf, Schaefer et al. (2016) found that most of the \( \text{O}_2 \) produced by the dissociation and loss of water vapor is lost to space, whereas a much smaller fraction is absorbed into the planet.

Most atmospheric loss processes take place in response to the magnetic activity of the host star, which is responsible for winds and high-energy X-ray and ultraviolet radiation. A star’s X-ray and ultraviolet spectrum (referred to here as “XUV”) and defined as 1–400 nm is emitted by the photosphere and the magnetically heated chromosphere and corona (Fontenla et al. 2016). For a solar-mass star, the chromosphere and corona are responsible for the emission at wavelengths shorter than \( \sim 200 \text{ nm} \), and this radiation is absorbed high in the atmospheres of planets, driving heating and photochemistry. This emission depends sensitively on the rotation rate of the star (Reiners et al. 2014). Because rotation rates decline with age due to angular momentum removal by stellar winds, there is also a corresponding decline in XUV emission (Güdel et al. 1997). This means that when the solar system was young, the upper atmospheres of solar system planets were likely significantly hotter and more expanded than they are currently (Kulikov et al. 2007; Tian et al. 2008; Johnstone et al. 2018). However, the situation is complicated by the fact that stars can be born with different rotation rates, and stars born as slow rotators will evolve very differently from stars born as fast rotators (Johnstone et al. 2015a; Tu et al. 2015). A solar-mass star born as a rapid rotator will remain highly active for much longer than a star born as a slow rotator, which has important consequences for the subsequent evolution of planetary atmospheric escape (Johnstone et al. 2015b).

In this paper, I study the reaction of a water vapor atmosphere to the high-XUV spectrum of a very active star. The aim is to use a newly developed state-of-the-art physical upper atmosphere model to study hydrodynamically outflowing water vapor atmospheres and to understand the loss rates of hydrogen, deuterium, and oxygen. In Section 2, I describe the physical upper atmosphere model used here; in Section 3, I present the modeling results; in Section 4, I use these results to study the long-term evolution of water losses; and in Section 5, I discuss the significance of the results.

Tabulated output data from all atmosphere simulations presented in this paper, accompanied by Python scripts used for making all figures, are available.

2. Model

The system that I study in this paper consists of an Earth-mass planet with a pure water vapor atmosphere orbiting at 1 au around an active solar-mass star. Using my atmosphere model, I calculate the 1D atmosphere structure between altitudes of 50 and 100,000 km assuming a zenith angle of 0°. The zero zenith angle assumption is motivated by the assumptions made in Section 4 to calculate total atmospheric loss rates from the model results. The lower boundary altitude is largely arbitrary and our results are not sensitive to this choice because it is anyway negligible compared to the radius of the planet. At the lower boundary, I assume a gas composed of \( \text{H}_2 \text{O} \) and HDO, with a temperature of 250 K, corresponding approximately to the planet’s effective temperature, and a number density of \( 5 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3} \). The mixing ratio of HDO at the lower boundary is \( 1.6 \times 10^{-4} \), corresponding approximately to the value in Earth’s oceans (Eberhardt et al. 1995) and is typical for values in chondritic meteorites (Marty et al. 2016), though because the abundance of deuterium is too small to influence the model, any sufficiently small base HDO mixing ratio could have been chosen. The base number density is mostly arbitrary, and experiments with other values have shown that my results are not sensitive to this value as long as it is large enough that all of the absorption of the XUV spectrum takes place within the computational domain. The upper boundary altitude is also arbitrary and only chosen to be far enough from the planet that the hydrodynamic outflow is supersonic within the simulation domain; at this boundary, standard zero-gradient outflow conditions are used.

For the stellar XUV spectra in the wavelength range 1–400 nm, I use the method and codes developed for solar-mass stars by Claire et al. (2012). It is important to use realistic stellar spectra as the shape of a star’s XUV spectrum depends on its activity level: more active stars have hotter coronae (Johnstone & Güdel 2015), meaning that larger fractions of their X-ray and extreme ultraviolet emission are at the higher energy parts of the spectrum (Güdel 2004; Sanz-Forcada et al. 2011). In Figure 1, I show evolutionary tracks for the X-ray emission of solar-mass stars with different initial rotation rates, where the shaded region shows the range of activity levels that I study in this paper. In this paper, I use the X-ray flux, \( F_X \), at the planet’s orbit as the measure of stellar activity because the X-ray luminosity of a star is easily measured and often available for exoplanet hosts.

To calculate the atmospheric structures and loss rates, I use The Kompot Code, recently developed by Johnstone et al. (2018, 2019). This is a sophisticated general-purpose first-principles physical model for the upper atmospheres of planets, developed to take into account the range of physical processes taking place in the upper atmosphere. The model has been designed such that it can be applied to any type of planet with...
arbitrary atmospheric compositions. In Johnstone et al. (2018),
detailed descriptions of the physical model (Section 2) and
numerical methods (Appendices) can be found. As in
Johnstone et al. (2019), I have simplified slightly the model
presented in Johnstone et al. (2018) by removing the
assumption that the neutrals, ions, and electrons have separate
temperatures and instead only using a single temperature. I
have tested this simplification by rerunning the model for the
modern Earth’s upper atmosphere and find good agreement
with the models presented in Johnstone et al. (2018). Another
difference from the model is the chemical network which I
have changed in two ways. First, all chemical reactions and
species that contain elements other than hydrogen and oxygen
have been removed as I assume a purely H2O gas at the lower
boundary. Secondly, I have added chemical reactions and
species involving deuterium; the reactions included in the
model are taken from Liang & Yung (2009) and García Muñoz
(2007), with additional XUV photoreactions taken from the
PHIDRATES database (Huebner & Mukherjee 2015).

The model starts from a set of arbitrary initial conditions and
evolves the state of the system forward in time by a large
number of small time steps until it reaches a steady state. The
basic set of equations describing the evolution of the
atmospheric properties is

\[ \frac{\partial n_j}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ r^2 (n_j v + \Phi_{d,j}) \right] = S_j, \]

(1)

\[ \frac{\partial (n \nu)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ r^2 (n \nu^2 + p) \right] = -\rho g + \frac{2p}{r}, \]

(2)

\[ \frac{\partial e}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ r^2 \kappa_{cond} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + r^2 \kappa_{edd} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{g_{cp}}{c_{cp}} \right) \right]. \]

(3)

where \( r \) is the radius, \( n_j \) is the number density of the \( j \)th species, \( \rho \) is the total mass density, \( \nu \) is the bulk advection speed, \( \nu \rho \) is the momentum density, \( e \) is the energy density, \( T \) and \( \rho \) are the temperature and thermal pressure, \( \Phi_{d,j} \) and \( S_j \) are the diffusive particle flux and chemical source term of the \( j \)th species, \( g \) is the gravitational acceleration, \( Q_h \) and \( Q_c \) are the volumetric heating and cooling rates, \( \kappa_{cond} \) is the thermal conductivity, \( \kappa_{edd} \) is the eddy conductivity, and \( c_{cp} \) is the specific heat at constant pressure. Because chemistry and diffusion do not change the total mass density of the gas, Equation (1) implies the standard mass continuity equation.

The evolution of the chemical structure of the atmosphere is
described by Equation (1). The processes taken into account are
hydrodynamic advection, eddy and molecular diffusion, and
chemistry, including neutral and ion chemistry and XUV-
driven photochemistry. In total, 223 chemical reactions,
including 32 photoreactions, are considered, and the gas is
composed of 33 chemical species of which 14 are ions. The
chemistry is solved in a time-dependent way with no
assumption of chemical equilibrium. The full set of hydro-
dynamic equations is solved using the explicit scheme
described in Käppeli & Mishra (2016). This scheme is “well balanced” and can therefore accurately calculate the structure of an atmosphere that is in hydrostatic equilibrium. The evolution of the thermal structure of the atmosphere is described by Equation (3). The processes taken into account

are hydrodynamic advection, heating by stellar XUV and
infrared radiation, cooling by the emission of radiation to space, and thermal conduction. The effects of adiabatic cooling are present in the model. A strength of my model is the calculation of the heating rate, which is done from first principles without the use of arbitrary free parameters such as the heating efficiency. The XUV heating model includes the effects of direct heating by absorbed XUV photons, energy released by exothermic chemical reactions, and heating of thermal electrons through collisions with high-energy photo-
electrons created by photoionization reactions.

The cooling model includes the emission of radiation to space by H2O, H, and O. For H2O cooling, I use the method by
Hollenbach & McKee (1979) and summarized by Kasting & Pollack (1983), who used this method for calculating cooling from water vapor in planetary atmospheres; this model considers the excitation of water molecules by atomic hydrogen and takes into account nonlocal thermodynamic equilibrium effects. For H cooling, I consider the effects of Lyα emission to space using the method given by Murray-Clay et al. (2009) and Guo (2019). For O cooling, I consider emission at 63 and 147 μm using the parameterizations derived by Bates (1951) and commonly used for calculating cooling in the upper thermospheres of planets. In future work, I intend to implement in the model a more sophisticated treatment of radiative cooling.

Another change to the model that I make for this study is the way that the molecular diffusion coefficients are calculated. Molecular diffusion coefficients are different for different chemical species and depend on the composition of the background gas. In previous models, I used measured diffusion coefficients for several common species (see Equation (25) of Johnstone et al. 2018) assuming a background atmosphere composed of N2, and then made reasonable guesses for the values for all other species. As in Hobbs et al. (2019), I use in this paper the equation for the molecular diffusion coefficients for the \( i \)th species, \( D_i \), derived by Chapman & Cowling (1970), given by

\[ D_i = \frac{3}{8N[0.5(d_i + d)]} \left[ \frac{k_B T (m_i + m)}{2\pi m_i m} \right]^2. \]

(4)

where \( N \) is the total number density of the gas, \( d_i \) is the particle diameter of the \( i \)th species \(^8\), \( d \) is the average particle diameter of the entire gas, \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( T \) is the gas temperature, \( m_i \) is the molecular mass of the \( i \)th species, and \( \bar{m} \) is the average molecular mass of the entire gas. For both \( d \) and \( \bar{m} \), the number-density-weighted averages are used. The motivation for using this approach for diffusion coefficients is that in the atmospheres considered in this paper, molecular diffusion is especially important in a small region immediately above where H2O is photodissociated and the gas composition changes rapidly from H2O to H and O. In this region, which typically extends a few hundred kilometers in altitude, the composition of the background gas changes from being dominated by water molecules to being dominated by atomic hydrogen and oxygen, with several other molecules being abundant at different altitudes. Therefore, I consider a more general and theoretical approach for diffusion coefficients to be

\(^8\) The diameters of each chemical species was taken from the online chemical platform chemicalize.com developed by ChemAxon.
more appropriate here than using measured values that are only appropriate for specific background gases. However, the two approaches lead to very similar diffusion coefficients with values that are typically within a factor of $\sim 1.5$ at the altitudes at which molecular diffusion is important.

In Figure 2, I show an example simulation for a water vapor atmosphere of an Earth-mass planet orbiting an active solar-mass star. This is Case 5 presented in the following section. The strong stellar XUV field heats the gas to such a high temperature that it accelerates away from the planet in the form of a transonic Parker wind, with the point where the atmosphere becomes supersonic being also where the outflow speed exceeds the escape speed. The dissociation of the water molecules takes place very low in the atmosphere, at an altitude of $\sim 100$ km, and the upper atmosphere is dominated by atomic hydrogen and oxygen, and their ion equivalents.

3. Results

To understand the hydrodynamic outflow of water vapor atmosphere, I calculate 14 models for an Earth-mass planet with a fully H$_2$O atmosphere orbiting a solar-mass star at 1 au. The cases considered differ in the input stellar XUV spectrum calculated using the method and codes presented by Claire et al. (2012). Specifically, I use the their solar spectra corresponding to solar ages of 4.25, 4.30, 4.35, 4.40, 4.45, 4.46, 4.47, 4.48, 4.49, 4.50, 4.51, 4.52, 4.53, and 4.54 Gyr ago. Note, however, that the correspondence between age and activity presented in Claire et al. (2012) is likely incorrect given that the early activity of the Sun is not known and would have depended sensitively on the Sun’s initial rotation rate (Johnstone et al. 2015a; Tu et al. 2015). In Figure 1, three possible evolutionary tracks for the Sun’s rotation are shown, with the gray shaded area showing the range of solar X-ray fluxes at 1 au that I consider.

In Table 1, I give a summary for each considered case of the input XUV spectrum fluxes and the expected age ranges that the early Sun, or any solar-mass star, would have these fluxes. For the age ranges, I use the slow and rapid rotator tracks for X-ray emission shown in Figure 1. These tracks give the expected evolutions of solar-mass stars born at the 10th and 90th percentiles of the distribution of rotation rates. Therefore, for each input spectrum, the lower limit on the age is the age at which the slow rotator reaches the corresponding activity level of the spectrum and the upper limit is the age at which the fast rotator reaches this activity level. This is meant as an approximate measure of the expected age for a given X-ray flux and does not take into account the fact that stars may lie below 10th or above the 90th percentiles of the rotation distribution and does not take into account the large spread in X-ray luminosities for stars with a given mass, rotation rate, and luminosity (e.g., see Figure 3 of Reiners et al. 2014).

In Figure 3, the vertical structures of the gas temperature, outflow speed, and ionization fraction are shown for several of the cases calculated. In all cases, the absorption of the stellar XUV spectrum heats the gas to very large temperatures, causing the atmosphere to flow away from the planet hydrodynamically in the form of a transonic wind. At altitudes of $\sim 5000$–$20,000$ km, the outflow speeds exceed the escape velocity: this point is also the sonic point as required in a thermal-pressure-driven Parker wind and is marked by the crosses in Figure 3. In no case is the exobase found within the simulation domain.

The dependence on the input X-ray flux of the maximum gas temperature, the sonic point altitude, and the maximum ionization fraction are shown in Figure 4. In the higher activity
cases, the atmospheres are heated to higher temperatures, accelerated to larger outflow speeds, and become more ionized. In the highest activity cases, the temperature at the upper boundary of the simulation stops increasing with increasing activity and instead decreases due to adiabatic cooling, which can cause a negative temperature gradient in hydrodynamic outflows (Tian et al. 2008; see also Figure 1 of Johnstone et al. 2015b). This can be best seen in the upper panel of Figure 4, where the maximum temperature of ~20,000 K is reached at an input X-ray flux of ~250 erg s⁻¹ cm⁻². The sonic point altitude, shown in the lower panel of Figure 4, gets closer to the planet as the input XUV flux increases due to the more rapid acceleration of the wind, but at very high-activity cases appears to become approximately independent of activity with a value of ~500 km. The ionization fraction at the upper boundary continues to increase with increasing activity, even at a very high-activity level, and in the most active case considered is 93%, meaning that as it flows away from the planet, the gas is almost entirely ionized.

In Figure 5, I show the outward hydrodynamic mass flux as a function of input stellar X-ray flux. As expected, higher stellar activity cases have significantly higher atmospheric losses. In and near the range of input X-ray fluxes considered, the mass flux at the upper boundary of the simulation domain (at an altitude of 10⁵ km) can be described by

\[
\log F_{\text{mass}} = -0.239 \log F_X^2 + 1.982 \log F_X - 3.681,
\]

where the units of \( F_X \) are erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² and \( F_{\text{mass}} \) are 10⁻¹¹ g s⁻¹ cm⁻². As a note of caution, it is not trivial to estimate total atmospheric mass-loss rates from these fluxes, and this problem is discussed in more detail in Section 4. Because I calculate 1D hydrodynamic models for the direction pointing in the direction of the star, simply multiplying the mass flux by 4πR², where \( R \) is Earth’s radius plus 10⁵ km, would overestimate the mass-loss rates by a factor of a few. The outward particle fluxes for hydrogen and oxygen, and the hydrogen–oxygen and hydrogen–deuterium fractionation factors are also shown in Figure 5 and discussed below.

For Case 2 and Case 14, representing moderate- and high-activity cases, information about the chemical structures of the upper atmospheres is shown in Figure 6 for five neutral and two ion species. At the base of the simulation, the gas is composed entirely of H²O, which is then quickly photodissociated, creating several molecular and atomic species including H₂, O₂, H, and O. At slightly higher altitudes, H₂ and O₂ are then also photodissociated, and the gas becomes completely dominated by H and O. Between altitudes of approximately 100 and 1000 km, H⁻ and O⁻ start to become important, and in the high-activity case, H⁺, O⁺, and free electrons are the most abundant species by the upper boundary of the simulation domain. It is important to note that at no point in the atmosphere is the gas dominated by atomic hydrogen: while H has the highest number density throughout most of the atmosphere, the heavier O has the highest mass density of all species. In Figure 6, I also show the total mixing ratios of atoms and molecules in both simulations, showing where in the atmosphere most of the dissociation of molecules takes place.

In the upper-left panel of Figure 6, the dotted red line shows half of the hydrogen mixing ratio, indicating approximately the values expected if the dissociation products of H₂O were lost equally for Case 2. The lower values for O relative to this line in the upper thermosphere show that H is lost more efficiently than O, as expected given its lower mass. The reason for the different loss efficiencies of H, D, and O in the atmosphere is that in the region where H₂O is photodissociated, molecular diffusion is very important and separates the particles by mass. This is shown more effectively by the red line in the lower-left panel of Figure 6, which shows the ratio of the densities of oxygen atoms to hydrogen atoms (including atoms held in molecules and ions) divided by the base value. In this region around an altitude of ~100 km, initially H becomes less abundant relative to O because it is more rapidly transported upwards by molecular diffusion and then becomes more

### Table 1

| Case | \( F_X \) (erg s⁻¹ cm⁻²) | \( F_{\text{EUV}} \) (erg s⁻¹ cm⁻²) | \( F_{\text{FUV}} \) (erg s⁻¹ cm⁻²) | \( \Delta F_{\text{FUV}} \) (erg s⁻¹ cm⁻²) | Solar Age (Myr) | \( T_{\text{max}} \) (K) | \( v_{\text{max}} \) (km s⁻¹) | \( z_{\text{max}} \) (km) | \( X_{\text{sum,max}} \) | \( 10^3 F_{\text{mass}} \) (g s⁻¹ cm⁻²) | \( f_{\text{H/D}} \) | \( f_{\text{H/O}} \) |
|------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1    | 18.4             | 98.7            | 231.3           | 256.2           | 310–840        | 3.71             | 6.21             | 2.25            | 0.075           | 0.272           | 0.861           | 0.402           |
| 2    | 22.9             | 124.5           | 252.5           | 302.6           | 180–790        | 4.78             | 6.87             | 2.00            | 0.091           | 0.367           | 0.853           | 0.494           |
| 3    | 30.0             | 166.0           | 289.9           | 373.4           | 93–730         | 6.38             | 7.73             | 1.72            | 0.12            | 0.529           | 0.868           | 0.613           |
| 4    | 42.3             | 241.2           | 321.5           | 494.2           | 26–640         | 8.98             | 8.96             | 1.43            | 0.16            | 0.829           | 0.903           | 0.765           |
| 5    | 68.5             | 409.8           | 391.6           | 746.9           | 22–550         | 13.3             | 11.01            | 1.11            | 0.24            | 1.46             | 0.953           | 0.925           |
| 6    | 77.5             | 470.2           | 412.8           | 833.9           | 22–530         | 14.1             | 11.5             | 1.04            | 0.27            | 1.66             | 0.962           | 0.951           |
| 7    | 89.0             | 548.2           | 438.0           | 944.2           | 20–510         | 15.3             | 12.1             | 0.964           | 0.29            | 1.92             | 0.971           | 0.972           |
| 8    | 104.0            | 651.8           | 468.5           | 1088.0          | >490           | 16.3             | 12.8             | 0.875           | 0.32            | 2.45             | 0.980           | 0.990           |
| 9    | 124.2            | 794.7           | 506.6           | 1282.6          | >460           | 17.4             | 13.5             | 0.794           | 0.37            | 2.67             | 0.986           | 1.000           |
| 10   | 152.8            | 1001.7          | 555.5           | 1558.6          | >440           | 18.3             | 14.3             | 0.729           | 0.43            | 3.25             | 0.993           | 1.000           |
| 11   | 195.7            | 1321.9          | 621.4           | 1976.0          | >400           | 19.3             | 15.3             | 0.650           | 0.48            | 3.76             | 0.994           | 1.000           |
| 12   | 266.3            | 1866.0          | 715.8           | 2667.5          | >360           | 19.5             | 16.3             | 0.560           | 0.59            | 4.95             | 0.998           | 1.000           |
| 13   | 399.4            | 2933.9          | 865.2           | 3987.3          | >320           | 19.0             | 17.5             | 0.524           | 0.75            | 7.03             | 1.000           | 1.000           |
| 14   | 719.0            | 5632.0          | 1146.9          | 7217.5          | >250           | 15.1             | 19.1             | 0.482           | 0.93            | 11.3             | 1.000           | 1.000           |

**Notes.**

a For the fluxes at 1 au, I define the wavelength ranges for \( F_X \), \( F_{\text{EUV}} \), and \( F_{\text{FUV}} \) as >10 nm, 10–100 nm, and 100–200 nm respectively.

b The quantity \( \Delta F_{\text{FUV}} \) is the difference in the total XUV flux in the wavelength range considered in this paper (1–400 nm) between the upper and lower boundaries of the simulation domain.

c The solar ages give the range of ages when the Sun, or any Sun-like star, is likely to have this average activity level based on the 10th and 90th percentile X-ray evolutionary tracks calculated by Tu et al. (2015).
abundant for the same reason. A similar trend is seen in the D/H ratio, shown as the blue line in the lower-left panel of Figure 6, though the separation by mass is much less significant as the masses of D and H are much more similar.

This mass fractionation is much lower than we would expect for a fully hydrostatic atmosphere because molecular diffusion is only able to separate the species by mass in a small range of altitudes above the homopause. The homopause is defined as the altitude at which the effect of molecular diffusion exceeds the effect of eddy diffusion, and below the homopause, eddy...
thermosphere because this is where the rapid increase in temperature due to XUV heating causes a rapid increase in the molecular diffusion coefficients. Above the homopause, molecular diffusion separates the species by mass; however, in a hydrodynamically outflowing atmosphere, the hydrodynamic advection of the gas also has the effect of making the mixing ratios of each species uniform with altitude, and because this is the dominant effect in the upper thermosphere, very little mass separation takes place. The mass fractionation is quite small because the distance between the homopause and where the advection of species dominates is very small such that molecular diffusion does not have much of an opportunity to separate the species by mass. This is consistent with the results presented by Gillmann et al. (2009) for mass fractionation of noble gases in the atmosphere of early Venus.

As can be seen in the lower-right panel of Figure 6, for our highest activity case, almost no mass fractionation takes place. This is due to the much stronger hydrodynamic escape that takes place in this case. The fractionation factor between the two escaping species, \( f_{ij} \), is defined by \( f_{ij} = (F_j / F_i)(N_i / N_j) \), where \( F_i \) and \( N_i \) are the escape flux and total atmospheric abundance of the \( i \)th particle (Mandt et al. 2009). It is reasonable to assume that the term \( F_j / F_i \) is equal to the ratio of the densities \( (n_j / n_i) \) of the two particles at the upper boundary of the simulation and that \( N_i / N_j \) is equal to the ratio \( (n_i / n_j) \) at the base of the simulation, where in both cases the densities also include atoms contained within molecules. The fractionation factors between O and H, \( f_{\text{H,O}} \), and between D and H, \( f_{\text{H,D}} \), as functions of the input X-ray flux are shown in Figure 5. The value for \( f_{\text{H,O}} \) is 0.4 for the lowest activity case and quickly increases to 1.0 as the input XUV flux increases. Similarly, the value for \( f_{\text{H,D}} \) increases from \( \sim 0.9 \) to 1.0. Therefore, for extreme hydrodynamic escape driven by very active stars, no mass fractionation takes place, leading to no oxygen accumulation and no increase in the D/H ratio.

4. Evolution of Losses and Accumulation of \( \text{O}_2 \)

In this section, I study the evolution of losses from an Earth-mass planet orbiting at 1 au around a solar-mass star with a fully water vapor atmosphere between ages of 10 Myr and 1 Gyr. For all calculations, I assume that there is an infinite reservoir of water vapor available to the atmosphere to feed these losses. To calculate the mass-loss rates, I use Equation (5) to get the outward mass flux as a function of the input stellar XUV flux. These fluxes are calculated using the stellar activity evolution tracks calculated by Tu et al. (2015). However, care must be taken to ensure that the mass-loss rates are not overestimated because the simulations from which Equation (5) is derived give the mass flux in the direction of the star, which is likely a factor of a few higher than the average mass flux in all directions. As in Erkaev et al. (2013), I assume that the loss takes place over \( 3\pi \) steradians, which is based on the assumption that losses do not take place where the atmosphere is not irradiated by the star due to the planet’s shadow. As in Johnstone et al. (2015b), I then average the incoming stellar XUV energy over this \( 3\pi \) steradians, which is implemented in Equation (5) by inputting the \( f_{\text{XUV}} / 3 \) in place of the XUV flux and then calculating the mass-loss rate as \( \dot{M}_d = 3\pi R^2 f_{\text{XUV}} \), where \( R \) is the radius of the upper boundary of the simulation domain. Although this is a simple way to calculate the total atmospheric losses from 1D simulations, it has a good advantage in that it makes sense from an energy conservation
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Figure 5. Upper panel: outward hydrodynamic mass flux at \( 10^5 \) km altitude as a function of input stellar X-ray flux. Middle panel: outward hydrodynamic particle fluxes of oxygen and hydrogen atoms (including those contained in molecules and ions), with the solid lines and circles showing the simulation results and the dotted red line showing half the hydrogen flux, indicating the oxygen-loss rate expected if the dissociation products of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) were lost with the results and the dotted red line showing half the hydrogen molecules and ions. Same efficiency. Lower panel: fractionation factors for H and D, \( f_{\text{H,D}} \), and for H and O, \( f_{\text{H,O}} \), as a function of the mass flux. In all panels, the circles show the results of individual simulations.

diffusion forces all species that are not quickly created and destroyed by chemical reactions to have mixing ratios that are uniform with altitude. This is typically at the base of the

\[
\frac{df_{\text{H,D}}}{dF} \approx \frac{df_{\text{H,O}}}{dF} = \frac{n_i}{n_j} \frac{N_j}{N_i}
\]

where the advection of species dominates is very small such that molecular diffusion does not have much of an opportunity to separate the species by mass. This is consistent with the results presented by Gillmann et al. (2009) for mass fractionation of noble gases in the atmosphere of early Venus.

As can be seen in the lower-right panel of Figure 6, for our highest activity case, almost no mass fractionation takes place. This is due to the much stronger hydrodynamic escape that takes place in this case. The fractionation factor between the two escaping species, \( f_{ij} \), is defined by \( f_{ij} = (F_j / F_i)(N_i / N_j) \), where \( F_i \) and \( N_i \) are the escape flux and total atmospheric abundance of the \( i \)th particle (Mandt et al. 2009). It is reasonable to assume that the term \( F_j / F_i \) is equal to the ratio of the densities \( (n_j / n_i) \) of the two particles at the upper boundary of the simulation and that \( N_i / N_j \) is equal to the ratio \( (n_i / n_j) \) at the base of the simulation, where in both cases the densities also include atoms contained within molecules. The fractionation factors between O and H, \( f_{\text{H,O}} \), and between D and H, \( f_{\text{H,D}} \), as functions of the input X-ray flux are shown in Figure 5. The value for \( f_{\text{H,O}} \) is 0.4 for the lowest activity case and quickly increases to 1.0 as the input XUV flux increases. Similarly, the value for \( f_{\text{H,D}} \) increases from \( \sim 0.9 \) to 1.0. Therefore, for extreme hydrodynamic escape driven by very active stars, no mass fractionation takes place, leading to no oxygen accumulation and no increase in the D/H ratio.

In this section, I study the evolution of losses from an Earth-mass planet orbiting at 1 au around a solar-mass star with a fully water vapor atmosphere between ages of 10 Myr and 1 Gyr. For all calculations, I assume that there is an infinite reservoir of water vapor available to the atmosphere to feed these losses. To calculate the mass-loss rates, I use Equation (5) to get the outward mass flux as a function of the input stellar XUV flux. These fluxes are calculated using the stellar activity evolution tracks calculated by Tu et al. (2015). However, care must be taken to ensure that the mass-loss rates are not overestimated because the simulations from which Equation (5) is derived give the mass flux in the direction of the star, which is likely a factor of a few higher than the average mass flux in all directions. As in Erkaev et al. (2013), I assume that the loss takes place over \( 3\pi \) steradians, which is based on the assumption that losses do not take place where the atmosphere is not irradiated by the star due to the planet’s shadow. As in Johnstone et al. (2015b), I then average the incoming stellar XUV energy over this \( 3\pi \) steradians, which is implemented in Equation (5) by inputting the \( f_{\text{XUV}} / 3 \) in place of the XUV flux and then calculating the mass-loss rate as \( \dot{M}_d = 3\pi R^2 f_{\text{XUV}} \), where \( R \) is the radius of the upper boundary of the simulation domain. Although this is a simple way to calculate the total atmospheric losses from 1D simulations, it has a good advantage in that it makes sense from an energy conservation
point of view if a zero zenith angle is assumed in the simulations. The energy available to drive atmospheric losses is no greater than the total energy input into the atmosphere, and the XUV energy that does not directly drive losses is only lost because of real atmospheric processes that decrease how efficiently the absorbed XUV energy is converted into heat and

Figure 6. Chemical structures of the model atmospheres from Case 2 (left column) and Case 14 (right column). The top row shows the densities of several neutral and ion species, the middle row shows the total molecular and atom mixing ratios, and lower row shows the D/H and O/H ratios normalized to the base values. In the mixing ratio panel for Case 2, the dotted red line shows half the hydrogen mixing ratio, indicating the approximate mixing ratio structure that would be expected for atomic oxygen if the dissociation products of H$_2$O were lost with approximately the same efficiency.
how much of that heat drives losses, as opposed to energy being lost due to geometric assumptions. To get \( f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \) for this mass flux, I use a simple polynomial interpolation using the relation between the mass flux and \( f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \) shown in the left panel of Figure 5. The loss rates for oxygen and hydrogen atoms, \( N_0 \) and \( N_{\text{H}} \), are given by 
\[
N_0 = f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} M_{\text{at}} / (f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} m_0 + 2m_{\text{H}}) \quad \text{and} \quad N_{\text{H}} = 2M_{\text{at}} / (f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} m_0 + 2m_{\text{H}}),
\]
where \( m_0 \) and \( m_{\text{H}} \) are the masses of oxygen and hydrogen atoms.

In Figure 7, the total amount of atmosphere lost as a function of age in the first gigayear is shown for the cases of the slow, medium, and fast stellar rotator tracks, and for the case of a star that remains at the saturation threshold for the first billion years (dashed black line). For the slow stellar rotator case, the total atmospheric mass loss is \( \sim 1 \) Earth ocean \((1.4 \times 10^{24} \text{g})\). For the fast stellar rotator case, the total atmospheric mass loss is \( \sim 40 \) Earth oceans, which is much larger because the initially rapidly rotating star remains highly active for much longer. Due to the decay in activity after approximately 300 Myr, this is much less than the 120 Earth oceans lost if the star remains at the saturation threshold for the entire first gigayear as might be the case for a low-mass M star. In both the first 300 Myr for the fast rotator case and in the constantly saturated case, the rapid hydrodynamic escape means that the planet is unlikely to be able to hold onto any water vapor atmosphere, and water outgassed from the interior or delivered to the planet from external sources will be lost very rapidly. A water vapor atmosphere could survive if the planet is formed with a significant fraction of its mass as water and therefore a sufficiently large enough reservoir of water is available to compensate for the losses to space. Also, a water vapor atmosphere could form by outgassing after the star’s activity has decayed to more moderate levels.

The total losses of hydrogen and oxygen in Earth-ocean equivalents for each stellar rotator case is shown in Figure 8. I define an Earth-ocean equivalent for a given atom to be the number of those atoms in one Earth ocean. The differences between the dashed and solid lines shown in the upper and middle panels is due to the different loss efficiencies of H and O. The resulting buildup of \( \text{O}_2 \) molecules due to the slightly lower loss rates of O is shown in the lower panel of Figure 8. For the fast rotator case, no \( \text{O}_2 \) is able to build up in the atmosphere for the first \( \sim 400 \) Myr despite the very large atmospheric losses. This is due to the weak fractionation for atmospheres with very high loss rates, meaning that hydrogen and oxygen atoms are lost at a 2:1 ratio. After this phase, the mass loss decreases, leading to a large amount of \( \text{O}_2 \) remaining in the atmosphere, and by 1 Gyr, it is possible that \( \sim 300 \) bar of \( \text{O}_2 \) is accumulated. The mass fractionation in the slow rotator case is much more efficient early on due to the higher fractionation factors, but due to the much weaker overall mass loss, approximately the same amount of \( \text{O}_2 \) can be accumulated. Interestingly, the medium rotator case leads to the most significant accumulation of \( \text{O}_2 \) because in this case, the star’s activity spends much of the first gigayear at levels high enough to cause high escape rates while still low enough to allow fractionation factors below unity. The assumption that the star remains saturated for the first gigayear leads to no \( \text{O}_2 \) buildup in the atmosphere as the mass-loss rate remains high for the entire time. In all cases, the mass loss and the accumulation of \( \text{O}_2 \) can be limited if the reservoir of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) available to the atmosphere is exhausted. This is most likely to happen for the fast rotator case, and we might expect a much smaller accumulation of \( \text{O}_2 \) to take place; in this case, no \( \text{O}_2 \) accumulation might take place if the water in the atmosphere is entirely removed within the first 400 Myr and no significant amount of water is released into the atmosphere afterwards.

5. Discussion

In this paper, I use a sophisticated physical model for the upper atmospheres of planets to study the hydrodynamic losses of water vapor atmospheres on Earth-mass planets orbiting active stars with a range of activity levels. In all cases studied, the heating of the atmosphere is strong enough to cause strong hydrodynamic escape, leading to rapid water loss from the atmosphere. Because the water molecules are dissociated by XUV photoreactions, the outflowing material consists of atomic hydrogen and oxygen, which in the most active cases is mostly ionized. For the strongest outflows, I find that there is
no separation of the particles by mass in the outflow, meaning that the losses will not lead to a buildup of oxygen or a change in the atmosphere’s D/H ratio.

Connecting these results to evolutionary tracks for stellar XUV evolution, I study how much water vapor can be lost and how this might change the atmosphere’s composition. The results depend sensitively on the star’s activity evolution, which is determined by its mass and initial rotation rate. For solar-mass stars, I find that a fast rotator can remove several tens of Earth oceans of water in the first billion years if a large enough reservoir of water is available, whereas a slow rotator can remove $\sim$1 Earth ocean. The two cases, however, lead to very similar total amounts of oxygen accumulated, though if there is only a limited reservoir of atmospheric water vapor, then this buildup will be limited for the fast rotator case. In both cases, $\sim$300 bar of O$_2$ can be accumulated, whereas for the medium rotator case, a large amount of $\sim$450 bar is possible. This O$_2$ will not necessary remain in the atmosphere, but can be absorbed into the planet’s surface (Wordsworth et al. 2018), lost hydrodynamically when it starts to become abundant enough in the upper atmosphere (Tian 2015), or lost later through other processes such as stellar wind pickup (Kulikov et al. 2007). I also study the case of a star that remains at the saturation threshold, which is possible for fully convective M dwarfs which remain highly active for very long time periods, and in some cases even several billion years (West et al. 2008). In this case, very large amounts of water can be lost from their atmospheres, but no buildup of O$_2$ takes place as the loss takes place rapidly enough that there is no significant mass fractionation in the outflow. This means that the very large amount of O$_2$ accumulation that has been suggested for planets orbiting in the habitable zones of M dwarfs (e.g., Luger & Barnes 2015) could be unrealistic.

It is important to consider the possible sources of error in my results. While the model is the most sophisticated general-purpose aeronomy model that has been applied to problems of exoplanet upper atmospheres, there is a very large number of improvements that are possible. An obvious improvement would be the extension of the model to 3D geometries. While 1D models are able to accurately reproduce the upper atmospheres of solar system terrestrial planets, suggesting that considering more dimensions might be unnecessary, 3D models would be able to take into account the effects of different planetary rotation rates and planetary magnetic fields. Given that in the most active cases I consider in this paper the gas becomes almost entirely ionized, strong interactions with the planet’s intrinsic magnetic field (if present) might be possible, which has been shown to be able to drastically reduce mass-loss rates for hot Jupiters (e.g., Khodachenko et al. 2015). Another planned improvement to the model is the implementation of a more sophisticated model for atmospheric cooling, which could change the mass-loss rates. Finally, it is important to note that I have only considered the reaction of an atmosphere to stellar spectra of active solar-mass stars. While planets in the habitable zones of active lower-mass stars, such as fully convective M stars, will receive similar amounts of total X-ray and EUV radiation, they will have different spectral shapes (Fontenla et al. 2016). The most important difference is that the photospheric spectrum, which for a G star dominates the XUV emission at wavelengths longer than $\sim$160 nm, is shifted to much longer wavelengths for M stars. This could be important as this radiation is strongly absorbed by O$_2$ and O$_3$ molecules, which are created by the photodissociation of H$_2$O.

It is often stated in the literature that the photodissociation and heating of a water vapor outflow lead to a hydrodynamic outflow of H that drags O with it. This is a misleading interpretation of what is taking place and implies that thermal-
In hydrodynamic atmospheres, the outward advection of hydrogen occurs at altitudes. A major difference between hydrostatic and hydrodynamic atmospheres is that above this region owing atmospheres is that above this region any mass fractionation. The weak isotopic fractionation originating out of the rapid hydrodynamic escape is consistent with the results of Kasting & Pollack (1983). While they did not study such high solar-activity levels, they found decreasing mass fractionation of hydrogen with increasing activity level (see their Figure 13).

The strong outflows that I have calculated will lead to planets that are surrounded by a large cloud of particles (Kislyakova et al. 2013; Bourrier et al. 2015). If this cloud of particles is transiting the star, the escape might lead to observable signatures that could be used to constrain the properties of the outflow and of the atmosphere. The most obvious of these is transit observations in the host star’s Lyα line (Kislyakova et al. 2019), and such signatures have already been seen in several systems (e.g., Ehrenreich et al. 2008; Kislyakova et al. 2014a; Lavie et al. 2017). In this case, the star can be transited by neutral hydrogen atoms flowing out of the planet’s atmosphere and by ENAs created by charge exchange between neutral atmospheric particles and stellar wind protons.

In both cases, a supply of neutral hydrogen from the planet’s atmosphere is needed. In Figure 9, I show the outflow rates of neutral hydrogen and oxygen atoms. For low input XUV fluxes, the neutral hydrogen and oxygen outflows increase rapidly with increasing XUV flux; however, this breaks down at very high stellar activities due to the increasing ionization of the gas. For the most active cases, higher stellar activity leads to fewer neutral atoms flowing away from the planet, suggesting that the very high ionization fractions for the highest stellar activities might limit our ability to observe the outflow using Lyα absorption.

Given how weak the isotopic fractionation of hydrogen by rapid hydrodynamic escape is, it is interesting to consider how much water must have been lost in order to produce the very large D/H ratio found on Venus (e.g., Grinspoon 1993; Johnson & de Oliveira 2019). The total initial inventory of deuterium needed to produce the current D/H ratio in the atmosphere of Venus is given by $N_D^0 = N_D(R/R_0)^{1/(1-f_{\text{rot}})}$, where $N_D$ and $N_D^0$ are the current and initial inventories of D, respectively, and $R$ and $R_0$ are the current and initial D/H ratios, respectively. Although the D/H ratio of the source of water in Venus’ atmosphere is not known, it was likely much smaller than the current value, meaning that the term $R/R_0$ should be very large. Assuming an $R_0$ similar to that of the modern Earth gives $R/R_0 \sim 100$. In the lowest activity case calculated here, the value for $f_{\text{rot}}$ is 0.86, which gives $1/(1-f_{\text{rot}}) = 7.2$ and means that an unrealistically large initial inventory of water must have been lost from Venus’ atmosphere if extreme hydrodynamic escape was the primary mechanism for D/H enrichment. Instead, it is likely that the large D/H ratio is a consequence of water loss that took place after the Sun’s activity had declined significantly, which could have been either after the first few hundred million years if the Sun was a slow rotator or the first billion years if it was a fast rotator. The dominant loss process was likely either a much weaker hydrodynamic escape or nonthermal escape processes. This does not rule out the possibility that rapid hydrodynamic water loss took place early in Venus’ evolution and if so, it could have resulted in massive losses of water without any buildup of $O_2$ in the atmosphere.
This study was carried out with the support by the FWF NFN project S11601-N16 “Pathways to Habitability: From Disk to Active Stars, Planets and Life” and the related subproject S11604-N16.

ORCID iDs
C. P. Johnstone @ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6786-3615

References
Amerstorfer, U. V., Gröller, H., Lichtenegger, H., et al. 2017, JGRE, 122, 1321
Bates, D. R. 1951, PPSB, 64, 805
Bourrier, V., Ehrenreich, D., & Lecavelier des Etangs, A. 2015, A&A, 582, A65
Brogi, M., de Kok, R. J., Birkby, J. L., Schwarz, H., & Snellen, I. A. G. 2014, A&A, 565, A124
Chapman, S., & Cowling, T. G. 1970, The Mathematical Theory of Non-uniform Gases (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
Chassefière, E. 1996, IGR, 101, 26039
Chassefière, E. 1997, Icar, 126, 229
Claire, M. W., Sheets, J., Cohen, M., et al. 2012, ApJ, 757, 95
Cohen, O., Drake, J. J., Glocer, A., et al. 2014, ApJ, 790, 57
Eberhardt, P., Reber, M., Krankowsky, D., & Hodges, R. R. 1995, A&A, 302, 301
Ehrenreich, D., Lecavelier Des Etangs, A., Hebrard, G., et al. 2008, A&A, 483, 933
Erkaev, N. V., Lammer, H., Odert, P., et al. 2013, AsBio, 13, 1011
Erkaev, N. V., Lammer, H., Odert, P., et al. 2016, MNRAS, 460, 1300
Fontenla, J. M., Linsky, J. L., Witbrod, J., et al. 2016, ApJ, 830, 154
García Muñoz, A. 2007, P&SS, 55, 1426
Gillmann, C., Chassefière, E., & Lognonné, P. 2009, E&PSL, 286, 503
Glocer, A., Gombosi, T. I., Toth, G., et al. 2007, IGR, 112, A01304
Grinspoon, D. H. 1993, Natur, 363, 428
Güdel, M. 2004, A&AR, 12, 71
Güdel, M., Guinan, E. F., & Skinner, S. L. 1997, ApJ, 483, 947
Guo, J. H. 2019, ApJ, 872, 99
Hobbie, R., Shorthule, O., Madhusudhan, N., & Rimmer, P. 2019, MNRAS, 487, 2242
Hollenbach, D., & McKee, C. F. 1979, ApJS, 41, 555
Huemer, W. F., & Mukherjee, J. 2015, P&SS, 106, 11
Johnson, N. M., & de Oliveira, M. R. R. 2019, E&SS, 6, 1299
Johnstone, C. P., & Güdel, M. 2015, A&A, 578, A129
Johnstone, C. P., Güdel, M., Brott, I., & Lüftinger, T. 2015a, A&A, 577, A28
Johnstone, C. P., Güdel, M., Lammer, H., & Kisyakova, K. G. 2018, A&A, 617, A107
Johnstone, C. P., Güdel, M., Stökl, A., et al. 2015b, ApJL, 815, L12
Johnstone, C. P., Khodachenko, M. L., Lüftinger, T., et al. 2019, A&A, 624, L10
Käppeli, R., & Mishra, S. 2016, A&A, 587, A94
Kasting, J. F., & Pollack, J. B. 1985, Icar, 53, 479
Khodachenko, M. L., Shaikhislamov, I. F., Lammer, H., & Prokopov, P. A. 2015, ApJ, 813, 50
Kisyakova, K. G., Holmström, M., Lammer, H., Odert, P., & Khodachenko, M. L. 2014a, Sci, 346, 981
Kisyakova, K. G., Holmström, M., Odert, P., et al. 2019, A&A, 623, A131
Kisyakova, K. G., Johnstone, C. P., Odert, P., et al. 2014b, A&A, 562, A116
Kisyakova, K. G., Lammer, H., Holmström, M., et al. 2013, AsBio, 13, 1030
Kobylskina, D., Fossati, L., Erkaev, N. V., et al. 2018, A&A, 619, A151
Kulikov, Y. N., Lammer, H., Lichtenegger, H. I. M., et al. 2007, SSRv, 129, 207
Lavie, B., Ehrenreich, D., Bourrier, V., et al. 2017, A&A, 605, L7
Liang, M.-C., & Yung, Y. L. 2009, JGRE, 114, E00B28
Lichtenegger, H. I. M., Kisyakova, K. G., Odert, P., et al. 2016, IGR, 121, 4718
Luger, R., & Barnes, R. 2015, AsBio, 15, 119
Mandt, K. E., Waite, J. H., Lewis, W., et al. 2009, P&SS, 57, 1917
Marty, B., Avice, G., Sano, Y., et al. 2016, E&PSL, 441, 91
Murray-Clay, R. A., Chiang, E. I., & Murray, N. 2009, ApJ, 693, 23
Owen, J. E., & Wu, Y. 2016, ApJ, 817, 107
Ramirez, R. M., & Kaltenegger, L. 2014, ApJL, 797, L25
Reiners, A., Schüssler, M., & Passegger, V. M. 2014, ApJ, 794, 144
Sanz-Forcada, J., Micela, G., Ribas, I., et al. 2011, A&A, 532, A6
Schaefer, L., Wordsworth, R. D., Berta-Thompson, Z., & Sasselov, D. 2016, ApJ, 829, 3
Stökl, A., Dorf, E., & Lammer, H. 2015, A&A, 576, A87
Tian, F. 2015, E&PSL, 432, 126
Tian, F., Kasting, J. F., Liu, H.-L., & Roble, R. G. 2008, JGRE, 113, E05008
Tian, F., Toon, O. B., Pavlov, A. A., & De Sterck, H. 2005, ApJ, 621, 1049
Tinetti, G., Vidal-Madjar, A., Liang, M.-C., et al. 2007, Natur, 448, 169
Tsiaras, A., Waldmann, I. P., Tinetti, G., Tennyson, J., & Yurchenko, S. N. 2019, NatAs, 3, 1086
Tu, L., Johnstone, C. P., Güdel, M., & Lammer, H. 2015, A&A, 577, L3
Wakeford, H. R., Sing, D. K., Deming, D., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 435, 3481
West, A. A., Hawley, S. L., Bochanski, J. J., et al. 2008, AJ, 135, 785
Wordsworth, R., & Pierrehumbert. R. 2014, ApJL, 785, L20
Wordsworth, R. D., & Pierrehumbert. R. T. 2013, ApJ, 778, 154
Wordsworth, R. D., Schaefer, L. K., & Fischer, R. A. 2018, AJ, 155, 195

12