Rural/Urban differences in health services deficits among U.S. adults with arthritis: A population-based study
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Abstract

Introduction: More than 21% of adults in the United States (U.S.) have self-reported physician diagnosed arthritis with the prevalence increasing to 70% for adults aged 75 years and older. Despite significant disease burden of arthritis, little research has been conducted to examine health service deficits experienced by U.S. adults with this disease. Since U.S. rural residents experience more disease and greater health and health care disparities than urban residents, this study sought to ascertain the prevalence of health service deficits in U.S. adults with arthritis and to explore whether rural residents experienced greater deficits than urban residents.

Methods: Multivariate techniques were performed on 2011 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System data to determine if there were differences in the prevalence of health service deficits in rural versus urban adults with arthritis and if rural residency was an independent risk factor for health service deficits after controlling for socioeconomic status and race/ethnicity. The population of interest was U.S. adults who self-reported having arthritis diagnosed by a health care provider.

Results: Bivariate analysis revealed and logistic regression confirmed that among U.S. adults > 45 years of age, rural residency was a predictor for a higher prevalence of arthritis as well as for health service deficits among those with arthritis. Overall about 1 in 3 individuals > 45 years of age suffering from arthritis experienced a health deficit. The two most common health service deficits were not having a routine medical checkup within the last 12 months and deferring health care because of cost. Rural residency emerged as an independent risk factor for health service deficits after controlling for socioeconomic status and race/ethnicity.

Conclusions: Many individuals with arthritis experience a health service deficit and rural residents are at greater risk when compared to their urban counterparts. Given the burden of disease from arthritis the results suggest that there is considerable potential for improving services and perhaps improving outcomes for individuals with arthritis. The finding that the most common health service deficits were delaying care because of cost and not having seen a provider within the last 12 months suggests that finances rather than simply the availability of services is a key factor.
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1 Introduction
Arthritis is a condition involving pain or dysfunction of one or more joints. While there are over 100 different types or forms of arthritis, osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common [1]. Many note that arthritis is a large and growing public health problem due, in part, to an aging population in the U.S. In a recent analysis of data from the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) more than 21% of U.S. adults (46.4 million persons) had self-reported physician-diagnosed arthritis [2]. For people older than 75 years, the prevalence estimate for OA rises to between 70% - 90% [1].

While the etiology of OA is multifactorial, the condition is a result of the gradual degeneration of articular cartilage that normally cushions the bones in a joint. When healthy, cartilage replaces itself. This replacement process is disrupted when OA occurs. The damaged cartilage can lead to boney outgrowth and joint damage as the cushion of cartilage disappears. OA is most commonly found in joints that bear weight, such as knees, hips, spine as well as hands [1, 3].

OA can cause substantial disability among older adults [1]. With the exception of cardiovascular disease, the risk of disability for older adults attributable to knee OA alone is greater than any other medical disorder [4]. Almost 80% of patients with OA have some limitations in movement and about one in four report some limitations in their activities [5, 6]. Multiple risk factors are associated with OA including: heredity, age, being female, trauma, and low bone density [7]. In addition, obesity is considered a major risk factor for both the development and progression of OA [8].

Despite its significant disease burden, health service deficits experienced by U.S. adults with arthritis have not been examined. A health service deficit is an evolving analytic concept for use in health service-related research [9] that facilitates the examination of how a group or population uses of health services relevant to their condition. Four common factors that contribute to a health service deficit are: lacking health insurance, lacking a healthcare provider, deferring medical care because of cost, and failure to obtain a routine medical exam, all within the last 12 months [9].

There is also an increasing recognition that location matters, that geographic locale may affect individuals’ risk for health service deficits and that where a person lives can impact their health and health care [10, 11]. In particular, many health and health care disparities across the age continuum for rural populations have been documented. In addition to being a medically underserved population, U.S. rural residents experience more disease and greater health and health care disparities than urban residents [12, 13]. Specifically, compared to the urban population, rural populations have higher prevalences of uninsured adults, adults with chronic conditions such as diabetes and cardiovascular disease, adolescent and adult smoking, and below-guideline consumption of fruits and vegetables [12-19]. Other important disparities in social determinants of health have also been detected for rural U.S. residents including higher poverty levels and lower levels of attained education. Finally, rural communities bear a heavier mental health burden than urban communities and research has repeatedly shown that poorly treated depression and other mental health conditions contribute to a higher burden of subjective pain and disability [20-23]. A recent publication points out that rurality itself should be considered a fundamental or root cause of poor health [11].

The purpose of this study was to ascertain the prevalence of health service deficits in U.S. adults with a self-reported health care provider diagnosis of arthritis and to explore whether rural residents experienced greater health service deficits than urban residents. By analyzing data from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), a large national surveillance database, this study sought to fill in an important epidemiological gap regarding arthritis and health service deficits. Furthermore, examining data collected by a large national surveillance system such as BRFSS allows for an analysis that incorporates an array of covariates not available from clinically-based data alone. Identifying potential gaps
in service for chronic conditions such as arthritis is useful for developing targeted strategies for those in need of care and not receiving it.

2 Methods

This study analyzed 2011 BRFSS data to examine health service deficits as an important dimension of arthritis epidemiology and to determine if there were differences in the prevalence of health service deficits in rural versus urban adults with arthritis. The study used 2011 BRFSS data because they were the most recently available BRFSS data.

BRFSS is the largest surveillance system in the world. BRFSS data are collected using a random-digit telephone survey targeting adults 18 through 99 years of age. These data are collected under the aegis of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in collaboration with all US states and most U.S. territories. Once collected, BRFSS data are weighted by state or territory to represent the non-institutionalized U.S. adult population. BRFSS data are cross-sectional and are focused on health risk factors and behaviors as well as chronic diseases. A detailed description of the survey design and sampling measures can be found elsewhere.

For this analysis the population of interest was U.S. adults who answered yes to the question: Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional ever told you that you had arthritis. All analyses were performed on weighted data as is recommended by the CDC. The weighting, calculated by the CDC, uses the most recently available census data to provide a stratified representation of the nation’s non-institutionalized population.

In the analyses presented here a number of variables were either re-coded or computed. All re-coding entailed collapsing categories and removing the responses don’t know and refused. Computed variables included health service deficits, socioeconomic status (SES), and race/ethnicity.

The dependent variable, health service deficits, was constructed from four variables included in the BRFSS database—lack of health insurance, not having a healthcare provider, deferring medical care because of cost and having had no routine medical exam within the past 12 months. These variables were chosen because they all impact how individuals interact and access the health care system. A health service deficit was defined as having at least one of these present.

SES, also a computed covariate, was one of the primary independent variables in this research. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), SES is one of the strongest determinants of health. While SES is a commonly used term in analyses across disciplines (e.g., sociology, social epidemiology, social psychology), many have noted that no general consensus exists about how to either define or measure the construct. Typically SES refers to a combination of household income and other social measures such as attained educational level indexed into a single variable. The most important purpose of SES is to provide a means for comparing relative position with regard to others. Almost always, SES is computed as a three-level variable (i.e., low, middle and high). Others have noted that various measures of SES are not interchangeable and that each one assesses a different aspect of SES that reflects the intent and approach of the investigator. In the current study, SES is comprised of two categorical variables: education and income. In keeping with convention, data categories from each of these individual variables were coded as one of low, mid-range or high and numbered 1, 2 or 3 respectively. The variables with numbered factors or categories were then added together to create the composite variable of SES. Categories for education included: low = less than high school (coded as 1), mid-range = high school graduate (coded as 2), and high = at least some college (coded as 3). Categories for income were, low = <$25,000 (coded as 1), mid-range = $25,000 - <$50,000 (coded as 2), and high = $50,000 (coded as 3). The possible range for the SES variable was 2–6 points. Subsequently, these points were indexed in the following manner: low = 2-3 points, middle-range = 4-5 points and high = 6 points. These cut-points were purposive. For the lowest range of the index, 2 points were the floor (smallest possible point assignment), for the mid-range of the index, 4 points was the floor and for the high.
range of the index, 6 points was the floor. Any points below the floor for the mid-range were assigned to the lowest index category just as any points below the floor for the highest index category were assigned to the mid-range index category.

The race/ethnicity variable was calculated from participant responses to two separate survey questions—one regarding race and the other regarding Latino/Hispanic ethnicity. All race/ethnicity categories were computed as mutually exclusive entities: Caucasian, African American, Hispanic and Other/multiracial. All respondents who chose white as their racial classification were coded as Caucasian; those who chose black as their racial classification were coded as African American. Respondents who chose other racial classifications including more than one race were coded as Other/multiracial. If a respondent identified themself as Hispanic or Latino they were classified by that ethnic category regardless of any additional racial classification.

This study used the Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) variable included in BRFSS to define geographic locale as either rural or urban. MSA was re-coded by collapsing categories into those of rural and urban. Rural residents were defined as persons living either within an MSA that had no city center or outside an MSA. Urban residents included all respondents living in a city center of an MSA, outside the city center of an MSA but inside the county containing the city center, or inside a suburban county of the MSA.

Bivariate and multivariate analyses were performed on the 2011 BRFSS data. To describe the U.S. adult population with arthritis a contingency table analysis was performed with the study variables by geographic locale using a chi square as the test statistic. Since this descriptive analysis yielded results similar to other studies indicating a higher prevalence for arthritis in U.S. adults > 45 years of age all subsequent analyses were performed on this subpopulation. Bivariate analysis was performed to examine the relationship between health service deficits and SES by race/ethnicity and geographic locale. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to examine health service deficits experienced mutually adjusting for SES, race/ethnicity and geographic locale.

Additionally, we examined the distribution of health service deficits by U.S. state. ArcMap version 10.0 (ESRI, Redlands, CA) was used to map the prevalence of health service deficits for U.S. rural adults > 45 years of age with arthritis for each U.S. state’s rural population. The prevalence of health service deficits for the relevant population was displayed by equal tertile percentages in order to visually identify the states with the lowest, middle, and highest prevalence range.

Statistical Package for Social Scientists (SPSS, IBM, Chicago, IL, version 19.0) was used to complete all statistical analyses. Alpha was set at $p<0.05$ for all statistical analyses. No Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval was required since this was a data only study.

## 3 Results

Table 1 displays the characteristics of adults $\geq 18$ years of age with arthritis by geographic locale (rural vs. urban). All differences assessed by a chi square test were significant at $p < 0.05$ (not shown on table). For both geographic locales, high proportions of adults with arthritis were unemployed and not seeking employment. Rural adults, however, had a higher proportion in this category than urban adults. A higher proportion of rural adults self-reported their health as fair to poor compared to urban adults. Additionally, a significantly higher proportion of rural adults rated their physical health as not good 14 or more days in the past 30 days compared to urban adults. Moreover, a higher proportion of rural adults reported being inactive, as well as having activity limitations.

A greater proportion of rural adults were classified as lower SES, as not having health insurance, and as having deferred medical care because of cost. Furthermore, a higher proportion of rural adults had at least one health service deficit. For both geographic locales, adults $\geq 45$ years of age constituted the majority of arthritis cases.
Table 1. Characteristics of U.S.

| Variables                  | Factors                        | Urban (%) | Rural (%) |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|                            | n=38,419,558*                  |           | n=11,259,237*          |
| Gender                     | Male                           | 38.6      | 41.1      |
|                            | Female                         | 61.4      | 58.9      |
| Marital Status             | Married or Living with a Partner| 57.4      | 60.9      |
|                            | Not Married or Partnered       | 42.6      | 39.1      |
| Race and Ethnicity         | Caucasian                      | 74.8      | 86.2      |
|                            | African American               | 10.9      | 6.1       |
|                            | Hispanic                       | 8.7       | 3.5       |
|                            | Other/Multiracial              | 5.5       | 4.2       |
| Age                        | 44 Years And Younger           | 12.8      | 13.6      |
|                            | 45 Years And Older             | 87.2      | 86.4      |
| Employment Status          | Employed for Wages             | 35.1      | 31.7      |
|                            | Unemployed Seeking Employment  | 6.4       | 5.5       |
|                            | Not Employed/Not Seeking       | 58.5      | 62.8      |
| Health Status              | Good to Excellent              | 66.3      | 61.6      |
|                            | Fair to Poor                   | 33.7      | 38.4      |
| Days Physical Health       | Fewer Than 14 Days             | 73.8      | 71.3      |
|                            | 14 Or More Days                | 26.2      | 28.7      |
| Physical Activity          | Active                         | 48.3      | 42.8      |
|                            | Inactive                       | 51.7      | 57.2      |
| Physical Activity Limited  | Not Limited                    | 49.8      | 47.5      |
|                            | Limited                        | 50.2      | 52.5      |
| Socioeconomic Status       | Lower SES                      | 44.7      | 55.6      |
|                            | Middle SES                     | 43.4      | 38.8      |
|                            | High SES                       | 11.9      | 5.7       |
| Health Insurance Status    | Do Not Have Health Insurance   | 8.8       | 10.9      |
|                            | Have Health Insurance          | 91.2      | 89.1      |
| Healthcare Provider        | Do Not Have Healthcare Provider| 7.4       | 8.1       |
|                            | Have Healthcare Provider       | 92.6      | 91.9      |
| Deferment of Medical Care  | Did not Defer Care Because of Cost | 84.6  | 82.8     |
|                            | Deferred Care Because of Cost  | 15.4      | 17.2      |
| Last Routine Medical Checkup| Within Past 12 Months         | 79.5      | 77.7      |
|                            | Longer than 12 Months Ago      | 20.5      | 22.3      |
| Health Service Deficits    | No Health Service Deficits     | 66.1      | 62.5      |
|                            | At Least One Health Service Deficit | 33.9 | 37.5     |

Note. Adults ≥18 Years of Age with Arthritis by Geographic Locale (Urban/Rural), 2011 BRFSS (n=49,678,795*)
* weighted n

An examination of the data to ascertain arthritis prevalence by geographic locale yielded that rural adults ≥45 years of age had greater odds of having arthritis than urban adults (OR = 1.150, 95% CI = 1.149 – 1.151, weighted n = 106,778,771). Additionally, an analysis of the data to ascertain if there were differences in the prevalence of health service deficits for this population revealed that rural adults ≥45 years of age with arthritis had greater odds of having at least one health service deficit (OR = 1.116, 95% CI = 1.115 – 1.117, weighted n = 42,905,402).

Table 2 displays the results of an analysis of geographic locale and race/ethnicity by SES for U.S. adults ≥45 years of age with arthritis and at least one health service deficit. There is a clear gradient across SES categories among rural adults as well as for African American and Hispanic adults. For example, a greater percentage of rural residents were in the lower SES category than their urban counterparts (55.9% vs. 45.0%), while the rate of high SES residents in rural locales was...
half that of urban locales (5.8% vs. 11.6%). Further, African Americans and Hispanic adults accounted for a higher proportion of respondents with the lower SES than Caucasians (66.8% and 75.1%, respectively).

Table 2. U.S. Adults >45 Years of Age with Arthritis and at Least One Health Service Deficit—Geographic Locale and Race and Ethnicity by Socioeconomic Status. 2011 BRFSS Data

| Variable          | Factors     | Socioeconomic Status |
|-------------------|-------------|----------------------|
|                   |             | Lower SES (%) | Middle SES (%) | High SES (%) |
| Geographic Locale | Urban       | 45.0         | 43.3         | 11.6        |
|                   | Rural       | 55.9         | 38.3         | 5.8         |
|                   | Caucasian   | 44.3         | 44.9         | 10.8        |
| Race And Ethnicity| African American | 66.8     | 28.7         | 4.5         |
|                   | Hispanic    | 75.1         | 21.4         | 3.5         |
|                   | Other/Multiracial | 45.7    | 38.7         | 15.6        |

Table 3 displays the results of a logistic regression analysis performed using at least one health service deficit as the dependent variable for U.S. adults ≥ 45 years of age with arthritis. SES, geographic locale and race/ethnicity were the covariates included into the model. This analysis yielded that in comparison to high SES individuals, those who were low and/or middle SES had greater odds of having at least one health service deficit. All categories of race/ethnicity had greater odds of having at least one health service deficit when compared to Caucasians. Rural adults ≥ 45 years of age with arthritis also had greater odds of having at least one health service deficit than similar urban adults.

Table 3. Logistic Regression of Having At Least One Health Service Deficit (HSD) Among U.S. Adults > 45 Years of Age with Arthritis by Race/Ethnicity, Socioeconomic Status and Geographic Locale. 2011 BRFSS Data

| Independent Variable and Factors | Mutually Adjusted Odds Ratio for at Least One HSD (95% CI) |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Socioeconomic Status             |                                                          |
| Low SES                          | 2.070 (2.065, 2.076)                                     |
| Middle SES                       | 1.275 (1.272, 1.279)                                     |
| High SES                         | —*                                                       |
| Race and Ethnicity               |                                                          |
| African American                 | 1.136 (1.133, 1.138)                                     |
| Hispanic                         | 1.618 (1.614, 1.622)                                     |
| Other/Multiracial                | 1.304 (1.300, 1.308)                                     |
| Caucasian                        | —*                                                       |
| Geographic Locale                |                                                          |
| Rural                            | 1.139 (1.138, 1.141)                                     |
| Urban                            | —*                                                       |

* Reference category

Table 4 displays the percent of US rural adults with arthritis who had at least one health service deficit by state. The percentages ranged from a low of 24.22% in Delaware to a high of 54.96% in Alaska. In four states, Alaska, Montana, Nevada and Wyoming, 50% or greater of the population of interest had at least one health service deficit. In 21 states 40% or greater of the population of interest had at least one health service deficit. Figure 1 geographically displays equal tertile health service deficit percent ranges by state for U.S. adults ≥ 45 years with a self-reported health care provider arthritis diagnosis. Three administrative units (the District of Columbia, Rhode Island and New Jersey) had no data for rural vs. urban comparison.

4 Discussion

Descriptive analysis revealed that for U.S. adults > 45 years of age with arthritis a number of disparities exist. For example, U.S. adults > 45 years of age with arthritis and at least one health service deficit have higher proportions of low
SES individuals. The multivariate analysis revealed that, similar to rural residency, low and middle SES and non-Caucasian race/ethnicity (African American, Hispanic, and other/Multiracial) were predictors for health service deficits for U.S. adults > 45 years of age with arthritis.

**Table 4.** Percent of U.S. Rural Adults > 45 Years with Arthritis with at least one Health Service Deficit by State. 2011 BRFSS Data (weighted n=4,224,806)

| State          | Rural Health Service Deficit (%) |
|----------------|----------------------------------|
| Alabama        | 35.59                            |
| Alaska         | 54.96                            |
| Arizona        | 43.46                            |
| Arkansas       | 40.93                            |
| California     | 41.06                            |
| Colorado       | 44.32                            |
| Connecticut    | 40.83                            |
| Delaware       | 24.22                            |
| Florida        | 37.71                            |
| Georgia        | 41.95                            |
| Hawaii         | 38.85                            |
| Idaho          | 43.27                            |
| Illinois       | 38.76                            |
| Indiana        | 39.13                            |
| Iowa           | 28.03                            |
| Kansas         | 33.49                            |
| Kentucky       | 39.23                            |
| Louisiana      | 37.63                            |
| Maine          | 31.93                            |
| Maryland       | 26.55                            |
| Massachusetts  | 27.35                            |
| Michigan       | 34.79                            |
| Minnesota      | 33.72                            |
| Mississippi    | 41.33                            |
| Missouri       | 41.69                            |
| Montana        | 50.00                            |
| Nebraska       | 38.12                            |
| Nevada         | 54.22                            |
| New Hampshire  | 28.45                            |
| New Mexico     | 47.33                            |
| New York       | 30.19                            |
| North Carolina | 33.70                            |
| North Dakota   | 37.10                            |
| Ohio           | 33.89                            |
| Oklahoma       | 40.82                            |
| Oregon         | 41.31                            |
| Pennsylvania   | 29.66                            |
| South Carolina | 41.48                            |
| South Dakota   | 38.24                            |
| Tennessee      | 41.12                            |
| Texas          | 47.12                            |
| Utah           | 45.92                            |
| Vermont        | 30.27                            |
| Virginia       | 34.11                            |
| Washington     | 45.16                            |
| West Virginia  | 38.44                            |
| Wisconsin      | 35.34                            |
| Wyoming        | 50.32                            |

*There is no available rural data for Rhode Island, New Jersey and District of Columbia*
The most significant finding was that after controlling for factors such as SES and race/ethnicity, rural adults age 45 years or older with arthritis were about 14% more likely to experience a health service deficit than their urban counterparts. We believe this finding is important for several reasons. First, a critical initial step to addressing health care disparities is an appreciation of who may be at risk \cite{28, 29} and who may not be accessing services. This notion is grounded in the understanding that societies shape patterns of disease and that these patterns change over time in response to multiple factors \cite{30, 31}. A growing body of literature indicates the complex social and economic interaction neighborhoods contribute to health disparities independent of individual-level risk factors \cite{32}. While disparities in health and health care among minorities and those of low SES is a well-recognized problem, rural health disparities is becoming more recognized \cite{11, 33, 34} and suggests that rural culture may be a health determinant \cite{11, 35}. Our findings add to this growing body of knowledge establishing rural residency as a risk factor and heightens an appreciation of the need to develop strategies that incorporate geography into programs that target the management of a chronic disease such as arthritis.

Understanding health service deficits is also important because it provides an indication how many individuals may not be receiving care. This study found that overall about 1 in 3 individuals suffering from arthritis experienced a health deficit. Given the burden of disease from arthritis this suggests that there is substantial potential for improving services and perhaps outcomes for individuals with arthritis. The two most common health service deficits were not having a routine medical checkup within the last 12 months and deferring needed health care because of cost. Having to delay seeking care because of cost likely affects not having seen a provider within the last 12 months and suggest that finances rather than simply the availability of services is a stronger contributor for seeking care.

Similar to other studies \cite{36} we found that rural adults were more likely to report having arthritis. This difference may be explained, in part, by the fact that rural residents are more likely to be overweight or obese and older as compared to urban residents \cite{11}. These two factors are also associated with OA development and progression. Moreover, farmers are at a significantly higher risk of developing OA as compared to other occupationally active men \cite{37}. While the percent of rural
residents who farm has decreased over the past few decades farming still represents a substantial portion of the rural workforce.

While there is no cure for OA, the most common type of arthritis, experiencing a health service deficit can contribute to more poorly managed disease. OA is linked to a poor quality of life [5] and pain associated with suboptimal management likely impacts the quality of life for those with this type of arthritis. As noted in the introduction, there is a close interdependence between untreated pain and depressive symptoms, both of which can contribute to poor quality of life and disability [20-23]. Planned care that optimizes quality of life, prevents progression of the disease, manages acute flare-ups and judiciously manages coexisting conditions can benefit individuals with OA [38].

Since rural residents are at greater risk for arthritis, policies targeted toward these individuals might be beneficial. In addition to providing better access to clinicians, programs that improve rural residents’ access to nutrition counseling, structured exercise programs, such as aquatic therapy, and health care providers such as occupational therapists and physiotherapists, might prove beneficial. Also, the rural built environment may not be as accommodating as the urban built environment to those individuals with arthritis who could benefit from physical activity an accommodating built environment might provide.

5 Limitations
Several potential limitations to this study should be noted. First, the survey is based on telephone interview derived data and may be skewed because those who could not be reached by phone could not participate in the survey. For example, the wide-spread use of answering machines and caller ID allow people to filter their telephone calls potentially leading to a passive refusal to participate in health surveillance surveys such as the BRFSS. However, the use of answering machines and caller ID to filter out “unwanted” or “unfamiliar” callers is beyond the control of survey administrators. In addition, some persons of lower SES may have been excluded because of lack of telephone access, but the fact that the vast majority of U.S. residents live in households with telephones minimizes this bias. Furthermore, U.S. cell phone numbers are now included in the pool of phones contacted for the survey.

A second limitation is that the survey used close-ended questions, which limit responder’s options to fully explain response choices. However, the survey questions were worded such that the answer choices covered a wide range of response possibilities. A third and related limitation is that the answers are self-reported, which introduces the possibility of exposure and outcome misclassification on the part of the survey participants.

A fourth limitation is that only those variables available from the survey questions could be used and these questions may not reflect a fully comprehensive measure of the concept health service deficits. Finally, this study analyzed cross-sectional data, limiting assessment of causal relationships. At best associations are detectable in cross-sectional studies such as the one presented here. Furthermore, at this point we are uncertain as to whether identified associations are differential with respect to individual health service deficits or number of health service deficits. Further analysis will examine those associations.

6 Conclusions
Understanding health service deficits is important because it provides an indication how many individuals may not be receiving care. Rural geographic residency was an independent predictor for a higher prevalence of health service deficits for U.S. adults > 45 years of age with arthritis. Furthermore, having to delay seeking care because of cost affects not having seen a provider within the last 12 months and suggests that finances rather than simply the availability of services is a strong contributor for seeking care. Given the burden of disease from arthritis the results suggest that there is
considerable potential for improving services and perhaps improving outcomes for individuals with arthritis, especially those from rural settings.
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