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Variants in ampD and dacB lead to in vivo resistance evolution of Pseudomonas aeruginosa within the central nervous system
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Sir,

The evolution of resistance that arises within individual patients remains an important clinical problem in certain clinical situations. In such cases, it is important to consider pharmacokinetic properties such as tissue penetration and half-life time of the drugs to avoid compartmentalization issues that can increase the likelihood of antibiotic resistance evolving. 1 Here we describe a case of an acute Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection where compartmentalization within the CNS was associated with the in vivo evolution of resistance to multiple drugs, including piperacillin/tazobactam. We collected isolates from a single patient over the course of the infectious process, and sequenced and assembled their genome to identify the genomic changes leading to resistance.

At our institution, case reports are exempt from independent review. Individually identifying information, including patient age, sex, specific dates and comorbidities, was not disclosed to protect the patient’s identity.

We report a case of a patient in their early 20 s diagnosed with AML 3 months prior to admission. After a third cycle of high-dose Ara-C consolidation chemotherapy, the patient was brought to the emergency department with a 39.5°C fever and tachycardia but normal blood pressure. Admission blood cultures were positive for P. aeruginosa. Empirical treatment with piperacillin/tazobactam, vancomycin and micafungin was initiated (Figure 1a) which led to a rapid resolution of the bacteraemia. However, fevers persisted and the patient subsequently developed confusion and altered sensorium.

On Day 10, the patient became more lethargic and developed acute left-sided weakness. On the same day, brain MRI revealed small foci of restricted diffusion in the right frontal lobe suggestive of an infiltrative inflammatory process (Figure 1b). The patient was...
Figure 1. Summary of clinical course, antibiotic treatment and genomic analysis of *P. aeruginosa* isolates. (a) Course of antibiotic treatment during hospitalization (white background) and as an outpatient (grey area). (b–e) MRI or CT angiogram images of the patient’s brain taken at different stages of disease progression. Grey dashed lines extending from panel (a) to (b–e) indicate the hospitalization day in which the images were taken. (f) Antibiotic susceptibility profiles of the *P. aeruginosa* isolates OT4, OT7 and OT8. BAL, bronchial lavage fluid. (g) Identified genomic variants in OT4, OT7 and OT8 over the entire closed genomes of the isolates. The functional annotation of each gene is given on the right side of the heat map. Annotation of each variant is indicated in Human Genome Variation Society (HGVS) format. Antibiotic doses in (a): piperacillin/tazobactam, 4.5 g IV q8h; ampicillin, 2 g IV q4h; cefepime, 2 g IV q8h; ciprofloxacin, 400 mg IV q8h; meropenem, 2 g IV q8h; vancomycin was not dosed consistently; and micafungin, 150 mg IV q24h.
transferred to the ICU and intubated for respiratory protection. The antibiotic treatment was then transitioned to cefepime, ampicillin, and vancomycin (Figure 1a). On hospital Day 11 a bacterial culture from bronchoalveolar lavage fluid grew P. aeruginosa (representative isolate OT7) with similar antibiotic susceptibilities to the earlier blood culture collected on admission (representative isolate OT4; Figure 1f). A bacterial culture of the CSF taken on the same day grew P. aeruginosa (representative isolate OT8) resistant to multiple antibiotics, including piperacillin/tazobactam and cefepime (Figure 1f). Antibiotic therapy was changed to meropenem and ciprofloxacin (Figure 1a). A second brain MRI taken on hospital Day 17 demonstrated an increase of the inflammation in the right parasagittal frontal lobe with blood products around the area of restricted diffusion, consistent with the presence of an abscess (Figure 1c). A CT cerebral angiogram performed on hospital Day 18 demonstrated an 8 × 6 mm right middle cerebral artery aneurysm in the location of the brain abscess (Figure 1d). On the same day, the patient underwent frontal craniotomy for evacuation of subdural empyema and resection of the infected aneurysm.

Fevers resolved after surgery, and the patient improved clinically with resolution of left-sided weakness. The patient was treated with 2 more weeks of meropenem and ciprofloxacin, followed by an additional 6 weeks of meropenem monotherapy, partially as outpatient parenteral antimicrobial therapy. Brain MRI performed 8 weeks after surgery demonstrated sequelae of the infectious changes with minimal reactive changes (Figure 1e).

To identify the genetic changes between the three isolates taken from the patient (OT4, OT7 and OT8) we performed WGS using Illumina HiSeq 125 bp paired-end data, as well as long-read sequencing with the Oxford Nanopore MinION technology. Across the entire high-quality, closed genomes of all three isolates (assembled genomes are available at the NCBI database with the BioProject accession number PRJNA598709) we confidently identified just five variants in different genes. To determine the ancestral and derived state of the five variants, we compared each bacterial sample to the reference strain P. aeruginosa PA01 (Figure 1g). The OT4 isolate had a frameshift deletion in the gene pslI [p.(S16RfsTer37)], while the remaining isolates shared PA01’s genotype. pslI is part of the polysaccharide psl locus, which encodes 15 co-transcribed genes predicted to synthesize Psl, a mannose- and galactose-rich exopolysaccharide required for the formation of structurally sound biofilms.4 Despite having a similar antimicrobial susceptibility profile to OT4, OT7 showed two additional variants in genes coding for an uncharacterized transmembrane protein [PA1625; p.(Y173A_R174delfsTer188)] and an uncharacterized protein belonging to the MBL superfamily, respectively [PA0057; p.(V185I)] (Figure 1g).

The MDR OT8 isolate had missense variants in the genes dacB [p.(T428P)] and ampD [p.(T139A)] (Figure 1g). Complete knockouts of dacB and ampD have been associated with the deregulation of the β-lactamase AmpC, which led to high levels of resistance to almost all β-lactams, with the exception of the carbapenems.5 Several distinct variants in ampD have previously been reported to increase resistance to the β-lactams in clinical isolates of P. aeruginosa, highlighting the mutational diversity of this site.6,7 Indeed, 80 distinct non-synonymous SNPs in ampD were found in at least 1 of 99 clinical isolates of P. aeruginosa with varying levels of resistance to the β-lactams.7 Similarly, 36 non-synonymous SNPs in dacB were found in the same database.7

In humans, the effective concentration of piperacillin and tazobactam in the central nervous system is not commonly achieved as it can be highly variable among patients and usually lower than required for effective treatment.8 Previous studies have shown that piperacillin, alone and in combination with tazobactam, systematically leads to the derepression of AmpC. Thus, the variability in the concentration of both drugs within the CNS could have allowed selection for mutations in dacB and ampD that cause derepression of this β-lactamase. Additionally, the patient had a reduced capacity to fight the infection, which could have resulted in a larger population size, thereby increasing the probability of resistance emergence.9,10 Consistent with this interpretation, imaging showed P. aeruginosa established itself in high numbers within the CNS (visible in the MRI and CT scans in Figure 1b–e), subsequently acquiring two mutations leading to clinical levels of resistance to all β-lactams tested, except for meropenem (Figure 1g).

The link between theoretical principles and this patient’s clinical course was evident after the fact, but predicting evolution remains as challenging in patients as it is in all other aspects of biology.11 This case demonstrates the need to improve these efforts and suggests that integrating evolutionary principles into clinical risk prediction models may be fruitful.
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Sir,
A number of drugs, including antibiotics, are notorious for causing sometimes rapid deterioration in patients with myasthenia.1 To guide the clinician’s choice there are lists of substances that can interfere with neuromuscular transmission.2–4 However, some of the substances in such a list may have been included because of only a few reports. Moreover, a substance not listed could indeed be safe or excluded because of an arbitrary threshold of reports in the literature. Ideally, the clinician would appreciate a white list of drugs explicitly labelled as carrying a low risk of worsening myasthenia.

As an alternative to lists of substances to avoid, the relative risk of all antimicrobial options may be estimated from pharmacovigilance databases. For the statins, this approach was pursued recently.5 However, that study addressed whether statins per se carry a risk of worsening myasthenia. Thus, all drugs other than statins were used as a reference in that study. In contrast, we compared the myasthenia-worsening risk only within the group of antibiotics. Therefore, we used all other antibiotic drugs from a predefined list as a reference to calculate the reporting OR (ROR) for a given antibiotic.

Via VigiAccess,6 we accessed VigiBase®, a database of suspected adverse drug reactions (ADRs) reported to the WHO Programme for International Drug Monitoring maintained by the Uppsala Monitoring Centre7 (accessed date: 25 May 2020). For a specific antibiotic drug D0 the database provides the number $n_{D0 \text{, all}}$ of all ADRs reported for that drug. We compiled a list of antibiotic drugs for which $n_{D0 \text{, all}}$ was at least 5000. For antibiotic drugs from that list, the target ADRs were found by searching for ‘Myasthenia’, ‘Myasthenic reaction’ and ‘Myasthenic crisis’ in the output. We added the number of these three entries to obtain:

$$a = n_{D0 \text{, Myasthenia}}$$

and from that:

$$c = n_{D0 \text{, not Myasthenia}} = n_{D0 \text{, all}} - n_{D0 \text{, Myasthenia}}.$$  

By adding the corresponding number of reports for all other drugs D in our list of antibiotics (omitting the antibiotic D0 under consideration) we found:

$$b = N_{\text{not D0, Myasthenia}} = \sum_{D \neq D0} n_{D \text{, Myasthenia}}$$

and:

$$d = N_{\text{not D0, not Myasthenia}} = \sum_{D \neq D0} n_{D \text{, not Myasthenia}}$$

and finally, the $RORD_{D0}$ as:

$$RORD_{D0} = \frac{a/c}{b/d}. \quad (5)$$

ROR is the OR derived from a $2 \times 2$ contingency table as illustrated in Table S1 (available as Supplementary data at JAC Online). If $RORD_{D0} < 1$, a particular drug is less likely to be reported as causing worsening of myasthenia than all other antibiotics. Whether this difference was significant or not was assessed with the standard $\chi^2$ test (1 degree of freedom), with $\chi^2 = 3.841$ corresponding to $P = 0.05$.8