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Abstract. In this paper we present integral conductor inequalities connecting the Lorentz $p, q$-(quasi)norm of a gradient of a function to a one-dimensional integral of the $p, q$-capacitance of the conductor between two level surfaces of the same function. These inequalities generalize an inequality obtained by the second author in the case of the Sobolev norm. Such conductor inequalities lead to necessary and sufficient conditions for Sobolev-Lorentz type inequalities involving two arbitrary measures.

1. Introduction

During the last decades Sobolev-Lorentz function spaces, which include classical Sobolev spaces, attracted attention not only as an interesting mathematical object, but also as a tool for a finer tuning of properties of solutions to partial differential equations. (See [Alb], [AFT1], [AFT2], [BBGGPV], [Cia], [CP], [Cos], [DHM], [HL], [KKM], [ST], et al.)

In the present paper we generalize the inequality

$$\int_0^\infty \text{cap}_p(M_{at}, M_t) d(t^p) \leq c(a, p) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^p \, dx$$

(1)

to Sobolev-Lorentz spaces. Here $f \in \text{Lip}_0(\Omega)$, i.e. $f$ is an arbitrary Lipschitz function compactly supported in the open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, while $M_t$ is the set $\{x \in \Omega : |f(x)| > t\}$ with $t > 0$. Inequality (1) was obtained in [M1]. (See also [M3, Chapter 2].) It has various extensions and applications to the theory of Sobolev-type spaces on domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, Riemannian manifolds, metric and topological spaces, to linear and nonlinear partial differential equations, Dirichlet forms, and Markov processes etc. (See [Ad], [AH], [AP], [AX1], [AX2], [Ai], [CS], [DKX], [Dah], [Fi], [FU1], [FU2], [Gr], [Haj], [Han], [HMV], [Ka], [Ko1], [Ko2], [Mal], [M1], [M2], [M4], [M5], [MN], [MP], [Ne], [Ra], [Ta], [V1], [V2], [Vo], et al.) In the sequel, we prove the inequalities

$$\int_0^\infty \text{cap}(M_{at}, M_t) d(t^p) \leq c(a, p, q) \|\nabla f\|_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, m_n; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p$$

(2)

when $1 \leq q \leq p$ and

$$\int_0^\infty \text{cap}_{p,q}(M_{at}, M_t)^{q/p} d(t^q) \leq c(a, p, q) \|\nabla f\|_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, m_n, \mathbb{R}^n)}^q$$

(3)

when $p < q < \infty$

for all $f \in \text{Lip}_0(\Omega)$.
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The proof of (2) and (3) is based on the superadditivity of the $p, q$-capacitance, also justified in this paper.

From (2) and (3) we derive necessary and sufficient conditions for certain two-weight inequalities involving Sobolev-Lorentz norms, generalizing results obtained in [M4] and [M5]. Specifically, let $\mu$ and $\nu$ be two locally finite nonnegative measures on $\Omega$ and let $p, q, r, s$ be real numbers such that $1 < s \leq \max(p, q) \leq r < \infty$ and $q \geq 1$. We characterize the inequality

$$
\|f\|_{L^{r,\max(p,q)}(\Omega,\mu)} \leq A \left( \|\nabla f\|_{L^{p,q}(\Omega,m_n;\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|f\|_{L^{s,\max(p,q)}(\Omega,\nu)} \right)
$$

restricted to functions $f \in Lip_0(\Omega)$ by requiring the condition

$$
\mu(g)^{1/r} \leq K(\text{cap}_{p,q}(\mathcal{G})^{1/p} + \nu(G)^{1/s})
$$

to be valid for all open bounded sets $g$ and $G$ subject to $\overline{g} \subset G, \overline{G} \subset \Omega$. When $n = 1$ inequality (4) becomes

$$
\|f\|_{L^{r,\max(p,q)}(\Omega,\mu)} \leq A \left( \|f\|_{L^{p,q}(\Omega,m_1)} + \|f\|_{L^{s,\max(p,q)}(\Omega,\nu)} \right).
$$

The requirement that (6) be valid for all functions $f \in Lip_0(\Omega)$ when $n = 1$ is shown to be equivalent to the condition

$$
\mu(\sigma_d(x))^{1/r} \leq K(\tau^{(1-p)/p} + \nu(\sigma_{d+\tau}(x))^{1/s})
$$

whenever $x, d$ and $\tau$ are such that $\overline{\sigma_{d-\tau}(x)} \subset \Omega$. Here and throughout the paper $\sigma_d(x)$ denotes the open interval $(x - d, x + d)$ for every $d > 0$.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let us introduce some notation, to be used in the sequel. By $\Omega$ we denote a nonempty open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, whereas $m_n$ stands for the Lebesgue $n$-measure in $\mathbb{R}^n$, where $n \geq 1$ is integer. For a Lebesgue measurable $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, supp $u$ is the smallest closed set such that $u$ vanishes outside supp $u$. We also define

$$
\text{Lip}(\Omega) = \{ \varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} : \varphi \text{ is Lipschitz} \}
$$

$$
\text{Lip}_0(\Omega) = \{ \varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} : \varphi \text{ is Lipschitz and with compact support in } \Omega \}.
$$

If $\varphi \in \text{Lip}(\Omega)$, we write $\nabla \varphi$ for the gradient of $\varphi$. This notation makes sense, since by Rademacher’s theorem ([Fed, Theorem 3.1.6]) every Lipschitz function on $\Omega$ is $m_n$-a.e. differentiable.

Throughout this section we will assume that $m \geq 1$ is a positive integer and that $(\Omega, \mu)$ is a measure space. Let $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a $\mu$-measurable function. We define $\mu_{[f]}$, the distribution function of $f$ as follows (see [BS, Definition II.1.1]):

$$
\mu_{[f]}(t) = \mu(\{x \in \Omega : |f(x)| > t\}), \quad t \geq 0.
$$

We define $f^*$, the nonincreasing rearrangement of $f$ by

$$
f^*(t) = \inf\{v : \mu_{[f]}(v) \leq t\}, \quad t \geq 0.
$$

(See [BS, Definition II.1.5].) We notice that $f$ and $f^*$ have the same distribution function. Moreover, for every positive $\alpha$ we have

$$
(|f|^\alpha)^* = (|f^*|^\alpha).
$$
and if \(|g| \leq |f|\) a.e. on \(\Omega\), then \(g^* \leq f^*\). (See [BS, Proposition II.1.7].) We also define \(f^{**}\), the \textit{maximal function} of \(f^*\) by

\[
 f^{**}(t) = m_{f^*}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s)ds, \quad t > 0.
\]

(See [BS, Definition II.3.1].)

Throughout this paper, we will denote the Hölder conjugate of \(p \in [1, \infty]\) by \(p'\).

The \textit{Lorentz space} \(L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu; \mathbb{R}^n)\), \(1 < p < \infty, 1 \leq q \leq \infty\), is defined as follows:

\[
 L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu; \mathbb{R}^n) = \{f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n : f \text{ is } \mu\text{-measurable and } ||f||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu; \mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty\},
\]

where

\[
 ||f||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu; \mathbb{R}^n)} = ||f||_{p,q} = \begin{cases} 
 \left( \int_0^\infty \left( t^{1/p} f^*(t) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} & 1 \leq q < \infty \\
 \sup_{t>0} t^{1/p} f^*(t) & q = \infty.
\end{cases}
\]

(See [BS, Definition IV.4.1] and [SW, p. 191].) We omit \(\mathbb{R}^n\) in the notation of function spaces for the scalar case, i.e. for \(n = 1\).

If \(1 \leq q \leq p\), then \(|| \cdot ||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu; \mathbb{R}^n)}\) represents a norm, but for \(p < q \leq \infty\) it represents a quasinorm, equivalent to the norm \(|| \cdot ||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu; \mathbb{R}^n)}\), where

\[
 ||f||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu; \mathbb{R}^n)} = ||f||_{(p,q)} = \begin{cases} 
 \left( \int_0^\infty \left( t^{1/p} f^{**}(t) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} & 1 \leq q < \infty \\
 \sup_{t>0} t^{1/p} f^{**}(t) & q = \infty.
\end{cases}
\]

(See [BS, Definition IV.4.4].) Namely, from [BS, Lemma IV.4.5] we have that

\[
 ||f||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu)} \leq ||f||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu)} \leq p' ||f||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu)}
\]

for every \(q \in [1, \infty]\) and every \(\mu\)-measurable function \(f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n\).

It is known that \((L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu; \mathbb{R}^n), || \cdot ||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu; \mathbb{R}^n)})\) is a Banach space for \(1 \leq q \leq p\), while \((L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu; \mathbb{R}^n), || \cdot ||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu; \mathbb{R}^n)})\) is a Banach space for \(1 < p \leq \infty, 1 \leq q \leq \infty\).

\textbf{Remark 2.1.} It is also known (see [BS, Proposition IV.4.2]) that for every \(p \in (1, \infty)\) and \(1 \leq r < s \leq \infty\) there exists a constant \(C(p, r, s)\) such that

\[
 ||f||_{L^{p,s}(\Omega, \mu)} \leq C(p, r, s) ||f||_{L^{p,r}(\Omega, \mu)}
\]

for all measurable functions \(f \in L^{p,r}(\Omega, \mu; \mathbb{R}^n)\) and all integers \(n \geq 1\). In particular, the embedding \(L^{p,r}(\Omega, \mu; \mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^{p,s}(\Omega, \mu; \mathbb{R}^n)\) holds.

\textbf{2.1. The subadditivity and superadditivity of the Lorentz quasinorms.} In the second part of this paper, we will prove a few results by relying on the superadditivity of the Lorentz \(p,q\)-quasinorm. Therefore we recall the known results and present new results concerning the superadditivity and the subadditivity of the Lorentz \(p,q\)-quasinorm.

The superadditivity of the Lorentz \(p,q\)-norm in the case \(1 \leq q \leq p\) was stated in [CHK, Lemma 2.5].
Proposition 2.2. (See [CHK, Lemma 2.5].) Let \((\Omega, \mu)\) be a measure space. Suppose \(1 \leq q \leq p\). Let \(\{E_i\}_{i \geq 1}\) be a collection of pairwise disjoint measurable subsets of \(\Omega\) with \(E_0 = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} E_i\) and let \(f \in L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu)\). Then
\[
\sum_{i \geq 1} ||\chi_{E_i} f||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu)}^p \leq ||\chi_{E_0} f||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu)}^p.
\]

We obtain a similar result concerning the superadditivity in the case \(1 < p < q < \infty\).

Proposition 2.3. Let \((\Omega, \mu)\) be a measure space. Suppose \(1 < p < q < \infty\). Let \(\{E_i\}_{i \geq 1}\) be a collection of pairwise disjoint measurable subsets of \(\Omega\) with \(E_0 = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} E_i\) and let \(f \in L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu)\). Then
\[
\sum_{i \geq 1} ||\chi_{E_i} f||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu)}^q \leq ||\chi_{E_0} f||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu)}^q.
\]

Proof. For every \(i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\) we let \(f_i = \chi_{E_i} f\), where \(\chi_{E_i}\) is the characteristic function of \(E_i\). We can assume without loss of generality that all the functions \(f_i\) are nonnegative. We have (see [KKM, Proposition 2.1])
\[
||f_i||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu)}^q = p \int_0^\infty s^{q-1} \mu(f_i)(s)^{q/p} ds,
\]
where \(\mu(f_i)\) is the distribution function of \(f_i\), \(i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\). From the definition of \(f_0\) we have
\[
\mu(f_0)(s) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \mu(f_i)(s) \text{ for every } s > 0,
\]
which implies, since \(1 < p < q < \infty\), that
\[
\mu(f_0)(s)^{q/p} \geq \sum_{i \geq 1} \mu(f_i)(s)^{q/p} \text{ for every } s > 0.
\]
This yields
\[
||f_0||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu)}^q = p \int_0^\infty s^{q-1} \mu(f_0)(s)^{q/p} ds \geq p \int_0^\infty s^{q-1} \left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \mu(f_i)(s)^{q/p}\right) ds = \sum_{i \geq 1} ||f_i||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu)}^q.
\]
This finishes the proof of the superadditivity in the case \(1 < p < q < \infty\).

We have a similar result for the subadditivity of the Lorentz \(p,q\)-quasinorm. When \(1 < p < q \leq \infty\) we obtain a result that generalizes [Cos, Theorem 2.5].

Proposition 2.4. Let \((\Omega, \mu)\) be a measure space. Suppose \(1 < p < q \leq \infty\). Let \(\{E_i\}_{i \geq 1}\) be a collection of pairwise disjoint measurable subsets of \(\Omega\) with \(E_0 = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} E_i\) and let \(f \in L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu)\). Then
\[
\sum_{i \geq 1} ||\chi_{E_i} f||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu)}^p \geq ||\chi_{E_0} f||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu)}^p.
\]
Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that all the functions \( f_i = \chi_{E_i f} \) are nonnegative. We have to consider two cases, depending on whether \( p < q < \infty \) or \( q = \infty \).

Suppose \( p < q < \infty \). We have (see [KKM, Proposition 2.1])
\[
||f_i||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu)}^p = \left( p \int_0^\infty s^{q-1} \mu_{[f_i]}(s)^{q/p} ds \right)^{p/q},
\]
where \( \mu_{[f_i]} \) is the distribution function of \( f_i \) for \( i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \). From (9) we obtain
\[
||f_0||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu)}^p = \left( p \int_0^\infty s^{q-1} \mu_{[f_0]}(s)^{q/p} ds \right)^{p/q} \leq \sum_{i \geq 1} \left( p \int_0^\infty s^{q-1} \mu_{[f_i]}(s)^{q/p} ds \right)^{p/q}
\]
\[
= \sum_{i \geq 1} ||f_i||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu)}^p.
\]

Suppose now \( q = \infty \). From (9) we obtain
\[
s^p \mu_{[f_0]}(s) = \sum_{i \geq 1} (s^p \mu_{[f_i]}(s)) \text{ for every } s > 0,
\]
which implies
\[
(10) \quad s^p \mu_{[f_0]}(s) \leq \sum_{i \geq 1} ||f_i||_{L^{p,\infty}(\Omega, \mu)}^p \text{ for every } s > 0.
\]

By taking the supremum over all \( s > 0 \) in (10), we get the desired conclusion. This finishes the proof. \( \square \)

3. Sobolev-Lorentz \( p, q \)-capacity

Suppose \( 1 < p < \infty \) and \( 1 \leq q \leq \infty \). Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) be an open set, \( n \geq 1 \). Let \( K \subset \Omega \) be compact. The Sobolev-Lorentz \( p, q \)-capacity of the conductor \((K, \Omega)\) is denoted by
\[
\text{cap}_{p,q}(K, \Omega) = \inf \{||\nabla u||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu; \mathbb{R}^n)}^p : u \in W(K, \Omega)\},
\]
where
\[
W(K, \Omega) = \{u \in Lip_0(\Omega) : u \geq 1 \text{ in a neighborhood of } K\}.
\]

We call \( W(K, \Omega) \) the set of admissible functions for the conductor \((K, \Omega)\).

Since \( W(K, \Omega) \) is closed under truncations from below by 0 and from above by 1 and since these truncations do not increase the \( p, q \)-quasinorm whenever \( 1 < p < \infty \) and \( 1 \leq q \leq \infty \), it follows that we can choose only functions \( u \in W(K, \Omega) \) that satisfy \( 0 \leq u \leq 1 \) when computing the \( p, q \)-capacity of the conductor \((K, \Omega)\).

Lemma 3.1. If \( \Omega \) is bounded, then we get the same \( p, q \)-capacity for the conductor \((K, \Omega)\) if we restrict ourselves to a bigger set, namely
\[
W_1(K, \Omega) = \{u \in Lip(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega}) : u \geq 1 \text{ on } K \text{ and } u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega\}.
\]

Proof. Let \( u \in W_1(K, \Omega) \). We can assume without loss of generality that \( 0 \leq u \leq 1 \). Moreover, we can also assume that \( u = 1 \) in an open neighborhood \( U \) of \( K \). Let \( \tilde{U} \) be an open neighborhood of \( K \) such that \( \tilde{U} \subset \subset U \). We choose a cutoff Lipschitz function
\(\eta, 0 \leq \eta \leq 1\) such that \(\eta = 1\) on \(\Omega \setminus U\) and \(\eta = 0\) on \(\tilde{U}\). We notice that \(1 - \eta(1 - u) = u\). We also notice that there exists a sequence of functions \(\varphi_j \in \text{Lip}_0(\Omega)\) such that
\[
\lim_{j \to \infty} (||\varphi_j - u||_{L^{p+1}(\Omega, m_n)} + ||\nabla \varphi_j - \nabla u||_{L^{p+1}(\Omega, m_n, \mathbb{R}^n)}) = 0.
\]
Without loss of generality the sequence \(\varphi_j\) can be chosen such that \(\varphi_j \to u\) and \(\nabla \varphi_j \to \nabla u\) pointwise a.e. in \(\Omega\). Then \(\psi_j = 1 - \eta(1 - \varphi_j)\) is a sequence belonging to \(W(K, \Omega)\) and
\[
\lim_{j \to \infty} (||\psi_j - u||_{L^{p+1}(\Omega, m_n)} + ||\nabla \psi_j - \nabla u||_{L^{p+1}(\Omega, m_n, \mathbb{R}^n)}) = 0.
\]
This, Hölder’s inequality for Lorentz spaces, and the behaviour of the Lorentz \(p,q\)-quasinorm in \(q\) yield
\[
\lim_{j \to \infty} (||\psi_j - u||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, m_n)} + ||\nabla \psi_j - \nabla u||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, m_n, \mathbb{R}^n)}) = 0.
\]
The desired conclusion follows.

\[\square\]

3.1. Basic properties of the \(p,q\)-capacitance. Usually, a capacitance is a monotone and subadditive set function. The following theorem will show, among other things, that this is true in the case of the \(p,q\)-capacitance. We follow [Cos] for (i)-(vi). In addition we will prove some superadditivity properties of the \(p,q\)-capacitance.

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose \(1 < p < \infty\) and \(1 \leq q \leq \infty\). Let \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n\) be open. The set function \(K \mapsto \text{cap}_{p,q}(K, \Omega)\), \(K \subset \Omega\), \(K\) compact, enjoys the following properties:

(i) If \(K_1 \subset K_2\), then \(\text{cap}_{p,q}(K_1, \Omega) \leq \text{cap}_{p,q}(K_2, \Omega)\).

(ii) If \(\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2\) are open and \(K\) is a compact subset of \(\Omega_1\), then
\[
\text{cap}_{p,q}(K, \Omega) \leq \text{cap}_{p,q}(K, \Omega_1).
\]

(iii) If \(K_i\) is a decreasing sequence of compact subsets of \(\Omega\) with \(K = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i\), then
\[
\text{cap}_{p,q}(K, \Omega) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \text{cap}_{p,q}(K_i, \Omega).
\]

(iv) If \(\Omega_i\) is an increasing sequence of open sets with \(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega_i = \Omega\) and \(K\) is a compact subset of \(\Omega_1\), then
\[
\text{cap}_{p,q}(K, \Omega) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \text{cap}_{p,q}(K, \Omega_i).
\]

(v) Suppose \(p \leq q \leq \infty\). If \(K = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} K_i \subset \Omega\) then
\[
\text{cap}_{p,q}(K, \Omega) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \text{cap}_{p,q}(K_i, \Omega),
\]
where \(k \geq 1\) is a positive integer.

(vi) Suppose \(1 \leq q < p\). If \(K = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} K_i \subset \Omega\) then
\[
\text{cap}_{p,q}(K, \Omega)^{q/p} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \text{cap}_{p,q}(K_i, \Omega)^{q/p},
\]
where \(k \geq 1\) is a positive integer.
(vii) Suppose \( 1 \leq q \leq p \). Suppose \( \Omega_i, \ldots, \Omega_k \) are \( k \) pairwise disjoint open sets and \( K_i \) are compact subsets of \( \Omega_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, k \). Then
\[
\text{cap}_{p,q}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} K_i, \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \Omega_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \text{cap}_{p,q}(K_i, \Omega_i).
\]

(viii) Suppose \( p < q < \infty \). Suppose \( \Omega_i, \ldots, \Omega_k \) are \( k \) pairwise disjoint open sets and \( K_i \) are compact subsets of \( \Omega_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, k \). Then
\[
\text{cap}_{p,q}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} K_i, \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \Omega_i)^{q/p} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \text{cap}_{p,q}(K_i, \Omega_i)^{q/p}.
\]

(ix) Suppose \( 1 \leq q < \infty \). If \( \Omega_1 \) and \( \Omega_2 \) are two disjoint open sets and \( K \subset \Omega_1 \), then
\[
\text{cap}_{p,q}(K, \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2) = \text{cap}_{p,q}(K, \Omega_1).
\]

Proof. Properties (i)-(vi) are proved by duplicating the proof of [Cos, Theorem 3.2], so we will prove only (vii)-(ix).

In order to prove (vii) and (viii), it is enough to assume that \( k = 2 \). A finite induction on \( k \) would prove each of these claims. So we assume that \( k = 2 \). Let \( u \in Lip_0(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2) \) and let \( u_i = \chi_{\Omega_i} u, i = 1, 2 \). We let \( v_i \) be the restriction of \( u \) to \( \Omega_i \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). Then \( v_i \in Lip_0(\Omega_i) \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). We notice that \( u_i \) can be regarded as the extension of \( v_i \) by \( 0 \) to \( \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). We see that \( u \in W(K_1 \cup K_2, \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2) \) if and only if \( v_i \in W(K_i, \Omega_i) \) for \( i = 1, 2 \).

Suppose first that \( 1 \leq q \leq p \). Since \( \Omega_1 \) and \( \Omega_2 \) are disjoint and \( u = u_1 + u_2 \) with the functions \( u_i \) supported in \( \Omega_i \) for \( i = 1, 2 \), we obtain via Proposition 2.2
\[
||\nabla u||^p_{L^p,q(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2; \mathbb{R}^n)} \geq ||\nabla u_1||^p_{L^p,q(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2; \mathbb{R}^n)} + ||\nabla u_2||^p_{L^p,q(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2; \mathbb{R}^n)}
\]
\[
= ||\nabla v_1||^p_{L^p,q(\Omega_1; \mathbb{R}^n)} + ||\nabla v_2||^p_{L^p,q(\Omega_2; \mathbb{R}^n)}.
\]

This proves (vii).

Suppose now that \( p < q < \infty \). Since \( \Omega_1 \) and \( \Omega_2 \) are disjoint and \( u = u_1 + u_2 \) with the functions \( u_i \) supported in \( \Omega_i \) for \( i = 1, 2 \), we obtain via Proposition 2.3
\[
||\nabla u||^q_{L^p,q(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2; \mathbb{R}^n)} \geq ||\nabla u_1||^q_{L^p,q(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2; \mathbb{R}^n)} + ||\nabla u_2||^q_{L^p,q(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2; \mathbb{R}^n)}
\]
\[
= ||\nabla v_1||^q_{L^p,q(\Omega_1; \mathbb{R}^n)} + ||\nabla v_2||^q_{L^p,q(\Omega_2; \mathbb{R}^n)}.
\]

This proves (viii).

We see that (ix) follows from (vii) and (ii) when \( 1 \leq q \leq p \). (We use (vii) with \( k = 2 \) by taking \( K_1 = K \) and \( K_2 = \emptyset \).) When \( p < q < \infty \), (ix) follows from (viii) and (ii). (We use (viii) with \( k = 2 \) by taking \( K_1 = K \) and \( K_2 = \emptyset \).) This finishes the proof of the theorem.

\( \square \)

Remark 3.3. The definition of the \( p,q \)-capacitance implies
\[
\text{cap}_{p,q}(K, \Omega) = \text{cap}_{p,q}(\partial K, \Omega)
\]
whenever \( K \) is a compact set in \( \Omega \). Moreover, if \( n = 1 \) and \( \Omega \) is an open interval of \( \mathbb{R} \), then
\[
\text{cap}_{p,q}(K, \Omega) = \text{cap}_{p,q}(H, \Omega),
\]
where $H$ is the smallest compact interval containing $K$.

4. Conductor inequalities

**Lemma 4.1.** Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open. Let $f \in \text{Lip}_0(\Omega)$ and let $a > 1$ be a constant. For $t > 0$ we denote $M_t = \{ x \in \Omega : |f(x)| > t \}$. Then the function $t \mapsto \text{cap}_{p,q}(M_{at}, M_{t})$ is upper semicontinuous.

*Proof.* Let $t_0 > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $u \in W(M_{at_0}, M_{t_0})$ be chosen such that

$$||\nabla u||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, m, \mathbb{R}^n)}^p < \text{cap}_{p,q}(M_{at_0}, M_{t_0}) + \varepsilon.$$  

Let $g$ be an open neighborhood of $M_{at_0}$ such that $u \geq 1$ on $g$. Since $g$ contains the compact set $M_{at_0}$, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ small such that $g \supset M_{a(t_0 - \delta_1)}$. Let $G$ be an open set such that $\text{supp} \ u \subset G \subset M_{t_0}$. There exists a small $\delta_2 > 0$ such that $\overline{G} \subset M_{t_0 + \delta_2}$. Thus we have $M_{a(t_0 - \delta)} \subset g$ and $\overline{G} \subset M_{t_0 + \delta}$ for every $\delta \in (0, \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\})$. From the choice of $g$ and $G$ we have that $u \in W(K, \Omega)$ whenever $K \subset g$ and $\overline{G} \subset \Omega$. This and the choice of $u$ imply that

$$\text{cap}_{p,q}(M_{a(t_0 - \delta)}, M_{t_0 + \delta}) \leq \text{cap}_{p,q}(M_{at_0}, M_{t_0}) + \varepsilon$$

for every $\delta \in (0, \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\})$. Using the monotonicity of $\text{cap}_{p,q}$, we deduce that

$$\text{cap}_{p,q}(M_{at}, M_{t}) \leq \text{cap}_{p,q}(M_{at_0}, M_{t_0}) + \varepsilon$$

for every $t$ sufficiently close to $t_0$. The result follows. \hfill $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $\Phi$ denote an increasing convex (not necessarily strictly convex) function given on $[0, \infty)$, $\Phi(0) = 0$. Suppose $a > 1$ is a constant.

(i) If $1 \leq q \leq p$, then

$$\Phi^{-1}\left(\int_0^\infty \Phi(t^q \text{cap}_{p,q}(M_{at}, M_{t})) \frac{dt}{t}\right) \leq c(a, p, q) \||\nabla \varphi||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, m, \mathbb{R}^n)}^p$$

for every $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_0(\Omega)$.

(ii) If $p < q < \infty$, then

$$\Phi^{-1}\left(\int_0^\infty \Phi(t^q \text{cap}_{p,q}(M_{at}, M_{t})^{q/p}) \frac{dt}{t}\right) \leq c(a, p, q) \||\nabla \varphi||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, m, \mathbb{R}^n)}^q$$

for every $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_0(\Omega)$.

*Proof.* The proof follows [M4]. When $p = q$ we are in the case of the $p$-capacitance and for that case the result was proved in [M4, Theorem 1]. So we can assume without loss of generality that $p \neq q$. Let $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_0(\Omega)$. We set

$$\Lambda_t(\varphi) = \frac{1}{(a - 1)t} \min\{(|\varphi| - t)_+, (a - 1)t\}.$$

From Lemma 3.1 we notice that

$$\Lambda_t(\varphi) \in W_1(M_{at}, M_{t}) \text{ and } \||\nabla \Lambda_t(\varphi)|| = \frac{1}{(a - 1)t} \chi_{M_t \setminus M_{at}} \||\nabla \varphi|| \text{ m}_n\text{-a.e.}$$

(11) The proof splits now, depending on whether $1 \leq q < p$ or $p < q < \infty$.

We assume first that $1 \leq q < p$. From (11) we have

$$t^p \text{cap}_{p,q}(M_{at}, M_{t}) \leq \frac{1}{(a - 1)^p} \||\chi_{M_t \setminus M_{at}} \nabla \varphi||_{L^{p,q}(\Omega, m, \mathbb{R}^n)}^p.$$
Hence
\[ \int_0^\infty \Phi(t^p \text{cap}_{p,q}(\overline{M_{at}}, M_t)) \frac{dt}{t} \leq \int_0^\infty \Phi(\frac{1}{(a-1)p} ||\chi_{M_t \setminus M_{at}} \nabla \varphi||_{L^p,q(\Omega,m,\mathbb{R}^n)}^p) \frac{dt}{t}. \]
Let \( \gamma \) denote a locally integrable function on \((0, \infty)\) such that there exist the limits \( \gamma(0) \) and \( \gamma(\infty) \). Then the identity
\[ \int_0^\infty (\gamma(t) - \gamma(at)) \frac{dt}{t} = (\gamma(0) - \gamma(\infty)) \log a \]
holds.
We set
\[ \gamma(t) = \Phi(\frac{1}{(a-1)p} ||\chi_{M_t \setminus M_{at}} \nabla \varphi||_{L^p,q(\Omega,m,\mathbb{R}^n)}^p). \]
Using the monotonicity and convexity of \( \Phi \) together with Proposition 2.2 and the definition of \( \gamma \), we see that
\[ \Phi(\frac{1}{(a-1)p} ||\chi_{M_t \setminus M_{at}} \nabla \varphi||_{L^p,q(\Omega,m,\mathbb{R}^n)}^p) \leq \gamma(t) - \gamma(at) \text{ for every } t > 0. \]
Since
\[ \gamma(0) = \Phi(\frac{1}{(a-1)p} ||\nabla \varphi||_{L^p,q(\Omega,m,\mathbb{R}^n)}^p) \text{ and } \gamma(\infty) = 0, \]
we get
\[ \int_0^\infty \Phi(t^p \text{cap}_{p,q}(\overline{M_{at}}, M_t)) \frac{dt}{t} \leq \log a \cdot \Phi(\frac{1}{(a-1)p} ||\nabla \varphi||_{L^p,q(\Omega,m,\mathbb{R}^n)}^p). \]
This finishes the proof of the case \( 1 \leq q < p \).
We assume now that \( p < q < \infty \). From (11) we have
\[ t^q \text{cap}_{p,q}(\overline{M_{at}}, M_t)^{q/p} \leq \frac{1}{(a-1)^q} ||\chi_{M_t \setminus M_{at}} \nabla \varphi||_{L^p,q(\Omega,m,\mathbb{R}^n)}^q. \]
Hence
\[ \int_0^\infty \Phi(t^q \text{cap}_{p,q}(\overline{M_{at}}, M_t)^{q/p}) \frac{dt}{t} \leq \int_0^\infty \Phi(\frac{1}{(a-1)^q} ||\chi_{M_t \setminus M_{at}} \nabla \varphi||_{L^p,q(\Omega,m,\mathbb{R}^n)}^q) \frac{dt}{t}. \]
As before, we let \( \gamma \) denote a locally integrable function on \((0, \infty)\) such that there exist the limits \( \gamma(0) \) and \( \gamma(\infty) \). We set
\[ \gamma(t) = \Phi(\frac{1}{(a-1)^q} ||\chi_{M_t \setminus M_{at}} \nabla \varphi||_{L^p,q(\Omega,m,\mathbb{R}^n)}^q). \]
Using the monotonicity and convexity of \( \Phi \) together with Proposition 2.3 and the definition of \( \gamma \), we see that
\[ \Phi(\frac{1}{(a-1)^q} ||\chi_{M_t \setminus M_{at}} \nabla \varphi||_{L^p,q(\Omega,m,\mathbb{R}^n)}^q) \leq \gamma(t) - \gamma(at) \text{ for every } t > 0. \]
Since
\[ \gamma(0) = \Phi(\frac{1}{(a-1)^q} ||\nabla \varphi||_{L^p,q(\Omega,m,\mathbb{R}^n)}^q) \text{ and } \gamma(\infty) = 0, \]
we get
\[ \int_0^\infty \Phi(t^q \text{cap}_{p,q}(\overline{M_{at}}, M_t)^{q/p}) \frac{dt}{t} \leq \log a \cdot \Phi(\frac{1}{(a-1)^q} ||\nabla \varphi||_{L^p,q(\Omega,m,\mathbb{R}^n)}^q). \]
This finishes the proof of the case \( p < q < \infty \). The theorem is proved.
Choosing \( \Phi(t) = t \), we arrive at the inequalities mentioned in the beginning of this paper.

**Corollary 4.3.** Suppose \( 1 < p < \infty \) and \( 1 \leq q < \infty \). Let \( a > 1 \) be a constant. Then (2) and (3) hold for every \( \varphi \in \text{Lip}_0(\Omega) \).

5. Necessary and sufficient conditions for two-weight embeddings

We derive now necessary and sufficient conditions for Sobolev-Lorentz type inequalities involving two measures, generalizing results obtained in [M4] and [M5].

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \( p,q,r,s \) be chosen such that \( 1 < p < \infty \), \( 1 \leq q < \infty \) and \( 1 < s \leq \max(p,q) \leq r < \infty \). Let \( \Omega \) be an open set in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and let \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) be two nonnegative locally finite measures on \( \Omega \).

(i) Suppose that \( 1 \leq q \leq p \). The inequality

\[
||f||_{L^r,p(\Omega,\mu)} \leq A \left( ||\nabla f||_{L^p,q(\Omega,\mu;\mathbb{R}^n)} + ||f||_{L^r,p(\Omega,\nu)} \right)
\]

holds for every \( f \in \text{Lip}_0(\Omega) \) if and only if there exists a constant \( K > 0 \) such that the inequality (5) is valid for all open bounded sets \( g \) and \( G \) that are subject to \( \overline{g} \subset G \subset \overline{G} \subset \Omega \).

(ii) Suppose that \( p < q < \infty \). The inequality

\[
||f||_{L^r,q(\Omega,\mu)} \leq A \left( ||\nabla f||_{L^p,q(\Omega,\mu;\mathbb{R}^n)} + ||f||_{L^r,q(\Omega,\nu)} \right)
\]

holds for every \( f \in \text{Lip}_0(\Omega) \) if and only if there exists a constant \( K > 0 \) such that the inequality (5) is valid for all open bounded sets \( g \) and \( G \) that are subject to \( \overline{g} \subset G \subset \overline{G} \subset \Omega \).

**Proof.** We suppose first that \( 1 \leq q \leq p \). The case \( q = p \) was studied in [M5]. Without loss of generality we can assume that \( q < p \). We choose some bounded open sets \( g \) and \( G \) such that \( \overline{g} \subset G \subset \overline{G} \subset \Omega \) and \( f \in W(\overline{g},G) \) with \( 0 \leq f \leq 1 \). We have

\[
\mu(g) \leq C(r,p) ||f||_{L^r,p(\Omega,\mu)}
\]

and

\[
||f||_{L^r,p(\Omega,\nu)}^* \leq C(s,p) \nu(G)
\]

for every \( f \in W(\overline{g},G) \) with \( 0 \leq f \leq 1 \). The necessity for \( 1 \leq q < p \) is obtained by taking the infimum over all such functions \( f \) that are admissible for the conductor \( (\overline{g},G) \).

We prove the sufficiency now when \( 1 \leq q < p \). Let \( a \in (1,\infty) \). We have

\[
a^p \int_0^\infty \mu(M_t)^{p/r} d(t^p) \leq a^p K_1 \left( \int_0^\infty (\text{cap}_{p,q}(M_t, M_t) + \nu(M_t)^{p/s}) d(t^p) \right).
\]

This and (2) yield the necessity for the case \( 1 \leq q < p \).

Suppose now that \( p < q < \infty \). We choose some bounded open sets \( g \) and \( G \) such that \( \overline{g} \subset G \subset \overline{G} \subset \Omega \) and \( f \in W(\overline{g},G) \) with \( 0 \leq f \leq 1 \). We have

\[
\mu(g) \leq C(r,q) ||f||_{L^r,q(\Omega,\mu)}
\]

and

\[
||f||_{L^r,q(\Omega,\nu)}^* \leq C(s,q) \nu(G)
\]
for every $f \in W(\mathbf{7}, G)$ with $0 \leq f \leq 1$. The necessity for $p < q < \infty$ is obtained by taking the infimum over all such functions $f$ that are admissible for the conductor $(\mathbf{7}, G)$.

We prove the sufficiency now when $p < q < \infty$. Let $a \in (1, \infty)$. We have

$$a^q \int_0^\infty \mu(M_{at})^{q/r} dt^{q} \leq a^q K_2 \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \operatorname{cap}_{p,q}(M_{at}^q, M_t)^{q/p} + \nu(M_t)^{q/s} \right) dt^{q} \right).$$

This and (3) yield the sufficiency for the case $p < q < \infty$. The proof is finished. □

We look for a simplified necessary and sufficient two-weight imbedding condition when $n = 1$. Before we state and prove such a condition for the case $n = 1$, we need to obtain sharp estimates for the $p,q$-capacitance of conductors $([a, b], (A, B))$ with $A < a < b < B$. This is the goal of the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.2.** Suppose $n = 1$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. There exists a constant $C(p, q) \geq 1$ such that

$$C(p, q)^{-1} (\sigma_1^{-1-p} + \sigma_2^{-1-p}) \leq \operatorname{cap}_{p,q}([a, b], (A, B)) \leq C(p, q)(\sigma_1^{-1-p} + \sigma_2^{-1-p}),$$

where $\sigma_1 = a - A$ and $\sigma_2 = B - b$.

**Proof.** By the behaviour of the Lorentz $p, q$-quasinorm in $q$ (see for instance [BS, Proposition IV.4.2]), it suffices to find the upper bound for the $p, 1$-capacitance and the lower bound for the $p, \infty$-capacitance of the conductor $([a, b], (A, B))$. We start with the upper bound for the $p, 1$-capacitance of this conductor.

We use the function $u : (A, B) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$u(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } a \leq x \leq b \\ \frac{x - A}{\sigma_1} & \text{if } A < x < a \\ \frac{B - x}{\sigma_2} & \text{if } b < x < B. \end{array} \right.$$ 

Then from Lemma 3.1 it follows that $u \in W_1([a, b], (A, B))$ with

$$|u'(x)| = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } a < x < b \\ \sigma_1^{-1} & \text{if } A < x < a \\ \sigma_2^{-1} & \text{if } b < x < B. \end{array} \right.$$ 

We want to compute an upper estimate for $\|u'|_{L^{p,1}((A, B), m_1)}$. We have

$$\|u'|_{L^{p,1}((A, B), m_1)} \leq \|\sigma_1^{-1}\|_{L^{p,1}((A, a), m_1)} + \|\sigma_2^{-1}\|_{L^{p,1}((b, B), m_1)} = p \left( \sigma_1^{-1+1/p} + \sigma_2^{-1+1/p} \right).$$

Therefore

$$\operatorname{cap}_{p,1}([a, b], (A, B)) \leq C(p)(\sigma_1^{-1-p} + \sigma_2^{-1-p}).$$

We try to get lower estimates for the $p, \infty$-capacitance of this conductor. Let $v \in W([a, b], (A, B))$ be an arbitrary admissible function. We let $v_1$ be the restriction of $v$ to $(A, a)$ and $v_2$ be the restriction of $v$ to $(b, B)$ respectively. We notice that $v'$ is supported in $(A, a) \cup (b, B)$. Therefore, since $v'$ coincides with $v_1'$ on $(A, a)$ and with $v_2'$ on $(b, B)$, we have that

$$\|v'|_{L^{p,\infty}((A, B), m_1)} \geq \max(\|v_1'|_{L^{p,\infty}((A, a), m_1)}, \|v_2'|_{L^{p,\infty}((b, B), m_1)}).$$

From ([Cos, Corollary 2.4]) we have

$$\|v_1'|_{L^{p,\infty}((A, a), m_1)} \geq 1/p' \cdot \sigma_1^{-1/p'} \|v_1'|_{L^1((A, a), m_1)}.$$
and
\[ \|v'_2\|_{L^p,\infty((b,B),m_1)} \geq 1/p' \cdot \sigma_2^{-1/p'} \|v'_2\|_{L^1((b,B),m_1)}. \]

Since
\[ \|v'_1\|_{L^1((A,a),m_1)} = \int_A |v'_1(x)| dx \geq 1, \]
we obtain
\[ (17) \quad \|v'_1\|_{L^p,\infty((A,a),m_1)} \geq 1/p' \cdot \sigma_1^{-1/p'}. \]

Similarly, since
\[ \|v'_2\|_{L^1((b,B),m_1)} = \int_B |v'_2(x)| dx \geq 1, \]
we obtain
\[ (18) \quad \|v'_2\|_{L^p,\infty((b,B),m_1)} \geq 1/p' \cdot \sigma_2^{-1/p'}. \]

From (16), (17) and (18) we get the desired lower bound for the \( p, \infty \)-capacitance. This finishes the proof. \( \square \)

Now we state and prove a necessary and sufficient two-weight imbedding condition for the case \( n = 1 \).

**Theorem 5.3.** Suppose \( n = 1 \). Let \( p, q, r, s \) be chosen such that \( 1 < p < \infty, 1 \leq q < \infty \) and \( 1 < s \leq \max(p, q) \leq r < \infty \). Let \( \Omega \) be an open set in \( \mathbb{R} \) and let \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) be two nonnegative locally finite measures on \( \Omega \).

(i) Suppose that \( 1 \leq q \leq p \). The inequality
\[ (19) \quad \|f\|_{L^{r,p}(\Omega,\mu)} \leq A \left( \|f'\|_{L^{p,q}(\Omega,m_1)} + \|f\|_{L^{s,q}(\Omega,\nu)} \right) \]
holds for every \( f \in \text{Lip}_0(\Omega) \) if and only if there exists a constant \( K > 0 \) such that the inequality (7) is valid whenever \( x, d \) and \( \tau \) are such that \( \sigma_{d+\tau}(x) \subset \Omega \).

(ii) Suppose that \( p < q < \infty \). The inequality
\[ (20) \quad \|f\|_{L^{r,q}(\Omega,\mu)} \leq A \left( \|f'\|_{L^{p,q}(\Omega,m_1)} + \|f\|_{L^{s,q}(\Omega,\nu)} \right) \]
holds for every \( f \in \text{Lip}_0(\Omega) \) if and only if there exists a constant \( K > 0 \) such that the inequality (7) is valid whenever \( x, d \) and \( \tau \) are such that \( \sigma_{d+\tau}(x) \subset \Omega \).

**Proof.** We only have to prove that the sufficiency condition for intervals implies the sufficiency condition for general bounded and open sets \( g \) and \( G \) with \( \overline{g} \subset G \subset \overline{G} \subset \Omega \). Let \( G \) be the union of nonoverlapping intervals \( G_i \) and let \( g_i = G \cap g_i \). We denote by \( h_i \) the smallest interval containing \( g_i \) and by \( \tau_i \) the minimal distance from \( h_i \) to \( \mathbb{R} \setminus G_i \). We also denote by \( H_i \) the open interval concentric with \( h_i \) such that the minimal distance from \( h_i \) to \( \mathbb{R} \setminus H_i \) is \( \tau_i \). Then \( H_i \subset G_i \). From Remark 3.3 we have that \( \text{cap}_{p,q}(\overline{g},G_i) = \text{cap}_{p,q}(\overline{h_i},G_i) \). Moreover, from Theorem 3.2 (ii) and Proposition 5.2 we have
\[ C(p, q)^{-1}\tau_i^{1-p} \leq \text{cap}_{p,q}(\overline{h_i},G_i) \leq \text{cap}_{p,q}(\overline{h_i},H_i) \leq 2 C(p, q)\tau_i^{1-p} \]
for some constant \( C(p, q) \geq 1 \). Since \( \overline{g} \) is compact lying in \( \cup_{i \geq 1} G_i \), it follows that \( \overline{g} \) is covered by only finitely many of the sets \( G_i \). This and Theorem 3.2 (ix) allow us to assume that \( G \) is in fact written as a finite union of disjoint intervals \( G_i \). Now the proof splits, depending on whether \( 1 \leq q \leq p \) or \( p < q < \infty \).
We assume first that \(1 \leq q \leq p\). We have
\[
\text{cap}_{p,q}(\overline{g_i}, G_i) \geq \sum_i \text{cap}_{p,q}(\overline{g_i}, G_i) = \sum_i \text{cap}_{p,q}(\overline{h_i}, G_i).
\]
\hspace{1cm} (21)

Using (7), we obtain
\[
\mu(g_i)^{p/r} \leq \mu(h_i)^{p/r} \leq K_1(\tau_i^{1-p} + \nu(H_i)^{p/s}) \leq K_1 C(p, q)(\text{cap}_{p,q}(\overline{g_i}, G_i) + \nu(G_i)^{p/s})
\]
where \(K_1\) is a positive constant independent of \(g\) and \(G\). Since \(s \leq p \leq r < \infty\), we have
\[
\mu(g)^{p/r} \leq \sum_i \mu(g_i)^{p/r}
\]
and
\[
\sum_i \nu(G_i)^{p/s} \leq \nu(G)^{p/s}.
\]
This and (21) prove the claim when \(1 \leq q \leq p\).

We assume now that \(p < q < \infty\). We have
\[
\text{cap}_{p,q}(\overline{g}, G)^{q/p} \geq \sum_i \text{cap}_{p,q}(\overline{g_i}, G_i)^{q/p} = \sum_i \text{cap}_{p,q}(\overline{h_i}, G_i)^{q/p}.
\]
\hspace{1cm} (22)

Using (7), we obtain
\[
\mu(g_i)^{q/r} \leq \mu(h_i)^{q/r} \leq K_2(\tau_i^{q(1-p)/p} + \nu(H_i)^{q/s}) \leq K_2 C(p, q)^{q/p}(\text{cap}_{p,q}(\overline{g_i}, G_i)^{q/p} + \nu(G_i)^{q/s})
\]
where \(K_2\) is a positive constant independent of \(g\) and \(G\). Since \(s \leq q \leq r < \infty\), we have
\[
\mu(g)^{q/r} \leq \sum_i \mu(g_i)^{q/r}
\]
and
\[
\sum_i \nu(G_i)^{q/s} \leq \nu(G)^{q/s}.
\]
This and (22) prove the claim when \(p < q < \infty\). The theorem is proved. \(\square\)
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