Clinical outcomes and survival analysis for petroclival meningioma patients receiving surgical resection: an analysis of 176 cases
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Purpose: The relationship of clinical results and survival analysis of operative patients with petroclival meningioma (PCM) was studied.

Patients and methods: Data from a total of 176 PCM patients receiving surgical resection were retrospectively collected. Follow-up was conducted through outpatient review by reexamination telephone calls and letters. Clinical outcomes, survival, and Karnofsky Performance Scale (KPS) data were analyzed.

Results: Seventy-two percent of patients (127/176) received only surgery, 8.5% (15/176) received surgery and adjuvant radiation therapy (RT) (surgery + RT), and 19.3% (34/176) received surgery and adjuvant gamma knife surgery (GKS) (surgery + GKS). Gross total resection (GTR) was performed in 34.7% of patients (61/176), subtotal resection (STR) in 58.0% (102/176), and partial resection (PR) in 7.4% (13/176). Recent follow-up KPS was higher than preoperative (80 vs 70, P<0.05) and postoperative KPS (80 vs 70, P<0.05). Cumulative survival was the same for 3, 5, 7, and 9 years, ie, 95%, and mean survival time (MST) was (110.83±2.55) months (95% CI: 105.83–115.83). Recurrence/progression (R/P)-free survival was 88.9%, 86.9%, 71.1% and 71.1%, respectively for 3, 5, 7, and 9 years, and MST was (100.58±3.82) months (95% CI: 93.11–108.06). R/P (HR: 5.486, 95% CI: 1.655–18.180), surgery + RT (HR: 0.125, 95% CI: 0.016–0.990) and WHO grade III (HR: 2.766, 95% CI: 1.146–6.676) were independently associated with cumulative survival. Lack of adhesion to and encasement of neurovascular structures was independently associated with R/P-free survival (HR: 2.002, 95% CI: 1.023–3.919).

Conclusion: Surgical treatment was safe and effective for PCM. R/P, surgery + RT, and WHO grade III were independently associated with cumulative survival. Lack of adhesion to and encasement of neurovascular structures was independently associated with R/P-free survival. These factors should be paid attention to in surgical treatment of PCM.
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Introduction

Petroclival meningiomas (PCMs) account for about 2% of posterior fossa meningiomas.1–4 As lesions arising from the petroclival junction at the upper two thirds of the clivus, PCMs are located medial to the trigeminal nerve.4,5 Resection of PCMs had high mortality and morbidity in the past, because of the vital structures adjacent to the origin of PCMs and the difficulty in approaching the petroclival region.6–10 However, clinical outcomes of resection of PCMs have been considerably
improved following advances in neuroimaging and introduction of refined skull base techniques.\textsuperscript{11–13} In this paper, the clinical outcomes of 176 PCM patients receiving surgical resection were reported and the survival analysis was conducted. The aim was to provide clues for improving the prognosis of PCM patients receiving surgical resection.

**Materials and methods**

**Patients**

A total of 176 PCM patients receiving surgical resection in Sanbo Brain Hospital between May 2006 and October 2015 were retrospectively collected. They included 52 males and 124 females with an average age of (48.8±12.1) years. Follow-up was completed in 163 patients (follow-up rate: 92.6%), and they included 48 males and 115 females with an average age of (48.3±12.4) years. Both gender and age were not statistically different between the total patients and the follow-up patients (both \(P<0.05\)). The flow diagram of patients for this study was shown in Figure 1. This study was approved by the ethics committee of Beijing Sanbo Brain Hospital. All follow-up patients provided written informed consent, and this study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Imaging evaluation**

Computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) were performed in all patients. Tumor equivalent diameter (TED) was calculated with the formula “\((D1\times D2 \times D3)^{1/3}\)”. PCM was classified as small (<1.0 cm), medium (1.0–2.4 cm), large (2.5–4.4 cm) and giant (≥4.5 cm) according to TED.\textsuperscript{5} Imaging evaluation also included skull base bone erosion/osteoproliferation, tumor vascularity, peritumoral edema and so on.

**Surgical resection**

Gross total resection (GTR) was performed for PCMs with Simpson grade I/II, subtotal resection (STR) for Simpson Grade III/IV and partial resection (PR) for Simpson grade IV. Adjuvant radiation therapy (RT) or gamma knife surgery (GKS) was performed for PCM patients with Simpson grade III/IV and WHO grade II/III according to World Health Organization 2016 Classification of Central Nervous System Tumors.\textsuperscript{14} Adjuvant RT or GKS was not performed for WHO grade I patients undergoing GTR. WHO II/III patients undergoing STR, PR, or GTR received adjuvant radiotherapy (RT) or GKS within 3 months after surgery. The surgical approaches included subtemporal transtentorial petrosalapex, retrosigmoid, presigmoid, subtemporal transtentorial petrosalapex combined with retrosigmoid, far-lateral transcondylar, frontotemporal/orbitalzygomatic osteotomy, frontotemporal combined with subtemporal transtentorial petrosalapex, and retrosigmoid combined with far-lateral transcondylar.

![Figure 1](image_url) Flow diagram of patients for this study.

**Abbreviations:** PCM, petroclival meningioma; R/P, recurrence/progression.
Ten surgeons were involved in the execution of the surgical removal of the petroclival meningiomas. All of them are experienced with such approaches. Chunjiang Yu, the corresponding author, is one of the leading experts of skull base surgery and established the first laboratory for microsurgery of the skull base in China. He has been engaged in neurosurgery for nearly 30 years and performed more than 10,000 cases of various intracranial tumor surgeries. Chunjiang Yu and his team have rich experience in skull base anatomy and surgical techniques to ensure good postoperative outcomes.

Follow-up method
Follow-up was conducted through outpatient review, telephones and letters. Survival data and Karnofsky Performance Scale (KPS) data at 1 month after surgery (postoperative KPS) and in July 2016 (recent follow-up KPS) were collected. 13 patients were lost follow-up because of changed postal addresses and telephone numbers.

Statistical analysis
The SPSS version 20.0 for Windows (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) was used to perform statistical analysis, and significance was set at \( P < 0.05 \). Quantitative data were tested for normality with Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, and normal data were expressed as mean ±SD and abnormal data were expressed as median IQR. Qualitative data were expressed as percentages or ratios. Univariate survival analysis was performed using Kaplan–Meier analysis, and multivariate survival analysis was performed using Cox regression model. The factors with \( P < 0.1 \) in univariate survival analysis were included in Cox regression model. Nonparametric test employed Mann–Whitney U test.

Results
Clinical characteristics
Among the total patients, 97.7% of patients (172/176) had the typical symptoms and signs of PCMs preoperatively, and 2.3% of patients (4/176) were asymptomatic whose lesions were discovered by accident by imaging examination. The duration of symptom onset at admission ranged from 1 to 240 months with a median of 12 months. The length of stay ranged from 9 to 281 days with a median of 24 days. Medium, large and giant PCMs accounted for 11.4% (20/176), 63.6% (112/176) and 25.0% (44/176), respectively, and none were small. Preoperative MRI/CT combined with intraoperative observation demonstrated that 16.5% (29/176) of patients had peritumoral edema. 88.6% of patients (156/176) belonged to WHO grade I, 10.2% (18/176) belonged to WHO grade II, and 1.1% (2/176) belonged to WHO grade III.

Treatment modalities
All patients received surgical resection. 72.2% of patients (127/176) received only surgery, 8.5% (15/176) received surgery and adjuvant RT (surgery + RT), and 19.3% (34/176) received surgery and adjuvant GKS (surgery + GKS). GTR was performed in 34.7% of patients (61/176), STR in 58.0% (102/176) and PR in 7.4% (13/176). Operative time ranged from 200 to 1070 minutes with a median of 390 minutes. Intraoperative bleeding ranged from 100 to 4000 mL with a median of 825 mL. Surgical approaches were chosen according to the origin of the tumor base, size of the lesion, involved scope of the skull base, preoperative imaging evaluation (CT/MRI).

Complications and neurological dysfunction
Major preoperative and postoperative symptoms and neurological dysfunctions were demonstrated in Table 1, and major preoperative and postoperative complications were demonstrated in Table 2. According to Table 1, early postoperative new neurological dysfunctions mainly included cranial nerve (CN) III (41.8%), CN VI (26.1%) and CN VII (30.7%). According to Table 2, early postoperative new complications mainly included subdural or subcutaneous (SD/SC) hydrops (29.9%), pneumonia (18.5%) and intracranial infection (ICI) (16.1%).

Survival analysis
The follow-up was up to July 2016. A total of 13 patients died, including 4 cases of surgery-related death, 3 cases of postoperative complications-related death, 1 case of lung
cancer-related death, and 5 cases of R/P-related death. For surgery-related deaths, 2 patients died from brain stem edema, 1 from infarction of the brain stem and thalamus, and 1 patient died from diffuse brain swelling. Postoperative complication-related deaths were mainly caused by respiratory failure associated with pulmonary infection. As shown in Figure 2, cumulative survival was the same for 3, 5, 7, and 9 years, ie, 95%, and mean survival time was (110.83±2.55) months (95%CI: 105.83–115.83).

**Cumulative survival analysis**

Kaplan–Meier analysis showed that R/P, existence of arachnoid membrane plane between neurovascular structures and tumor and WHO grading were significantly associated with cumulative survival (Figures 3, 4 and 5). Cox regression analysis showed that R/P (HR: 5.486, 95%CI: 1.655–18.180), surgery + RT (HR: 0.125, 95% CI: 0.016–0.990) and WHO grade III (HR: 2.766, 95% CI: 1.146–6.676) were independently associated with cumulative survival.

### Table 1 Major preoperative and postoperative symptoms and neurological dysfunction

| Symptoms | Preoperative* (%) | Postoperativeb (%) (1 month) | Recentc (%) |
|----------|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
|          | Improved (%)     | Worsened (%)                | New onset (%) |         |
| Dizziness | 49/176 (27.8)   | 2/49 (4.1)                 | 4/127 (3.1)  | 1/163 (0.6) |
| Epilepsy  | 7/176 (4.0)     | 1/7 (14.3)                 | 6/169 (3.6)  | 1/163 (0.6) |
| Ataxia    | 48/176 (28.1)   | 0                          | 6/130 (4.6)  | 36/163 (22.1) |
| M/H       | 27/176 (15.3)   | 0                          | 33/149 (22.1) | 40/163 (24.5) |
| CN II     | 30/176 (17.0)   | 1/30 (3.3)                 | 7/146 (4.8)  | 36/163 (22.1) |
| III       | 35/176 (19.9)   | 2/35 (6.0)                 | 59/141 (41.8) | 75/163 (46.0) |
| IV        | 22/176 (12.5)   | 0                          | 2/154 (15.6) | 39/163 (23.9) |
| V         | 94/176 (53.4)   | 1/94 (1.1)                 | 19/82 (23.2) | 106/163 (65.0) |
| VI        | 11/176 (6.3)    | 0                          | 43/165 (26.1) | 40/163 (24.5) |
| VII       | 36/176 (20.5)   | 15/36 (41.7)               | 43/140 (30.7) | 61/163 (37.4) |
| VIII      | 83/176 (47.2)   | 3/83 (3.6)                 | 5/93 (5.4)   | 78/163 (47.9) |
| IX/X      | 66/176 (37.5)   | 20/66 (30.3)               | 25/110 (22.7) | 57/163 (35.0) |
| XII       | 16/176 (9.1)    | 0                          | 13/160 (8.1) | 30/163 (18.4) |
| Dysarthria| 7/176 (4.0)     | 0                          | 23/169 (13.6) | 19/163 (11.7) |
| Gait      | 48/176 (27.3)   | 3/48 (6.3)                 | 3/128 (2.3)  | 34/163 (20.9) |

Notes: *Numbers of patients with neurological dysfunction before surgery. bNumbers of patients showing improved or worsened or new onset after surgery. cNumbers of patients having neurological dysfunction at follow-up.

Abbreviations: M/H, monoplegia or hemiplegia; CN, cranial nerve.

### Table 2 Major preoperative and postoperative complications

| Complications | Preoperative* (%) | Postoperativeb (%) (1 month) | Recentc (%) |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
|               | Improved (%)     | Worsened (%)                | New onset (%) |         |
| Hydrocephalus | 64/176 (36.4)    | 8/64 (12.5)                 | 6/112 (5.4)  | 0         |
| ICI           | 2/176 (1.1)      | 0                           | 28/174 (16.1) | 1/163 (0.6) |
| TH            | 15/176 (8.5)     | 1/15 (6.7)                  | 0           | 14/163 (8.6) |
| C/K/CU        | 3/176 (1.7)      | 1/3 (33.3)                  | 11/173 (6.4) | 9/163 (5.5) |
| ICH           | 1/176 (0.6)      | 0                           | 24/175 (13.7) | 0         |
| Gastric ulcer | 1/176 (0.6)      | 0                           | 11/175 (6.3) | 0         |
| CFL           | 0                | 0                           | 7/176 (4.0)  | 0         |
| DVT of extremity | 1/176 (0.6)   | 0                           | 2/175 (1.1)  | 2/163 (1.2) |
| Pneumonia     | 8/176 (4.5)      | 2/8 (25)                    | 31/168 (18.5) | 2/163 (1.2) |
| SD/SC hydrops | 2/176 (1.2)      | 1/2 (50)                    | 52/174 (29.9) | 0         |

Notes: *Numbers of patients with symptoms/complications before surgery. bNumbers of patients showing improved or worsened or new onset after surgery. cNumbers of patients still with symptoms/complications at follow-up.

Abbreviations: ICI, intracranial infection; TH, tonsillar herniation; ICH, intracranial hematoma; C/K/CU, conjunctivitis or keratitis or corneal ulcer; CFL, cerebrospinal fluid leak; DVT, deep venous thrombosis; SD/SC, subdural or subcutaneous.
Figure 2 Cumulative survival and R/P-free survival of PCM patients receiving surgical resection.
Abbreviations: PCM, petroclival meningioma; R/P, recurrence/progression; MST, mean survival time.

Figure 3 Kaplan–Meier analysis of cumulative survival for R/P using log rank test.
Abbreviations: R/P, recurrence/progression; MST, mean survival time.
Figure 4 Kaplan–Meier analysis of cumulative survival for existence of arachnoid membrane plane between neurovascular structures and tumor using log rank test.

**Abbreviations:** MST, mean survival time; BSR, brain stem region; CSR, cavernous sinus region; MCR, Meckel’s cave region.

Figure 5 Kaplan–Meier analysis of cumulative survival for WHO grading using log-rank test.

**Abbreviation:** MST, mean survival time.
Recurrence and progression
Tumor recurrence was defined as any newly identified lesion after GTR, and tumor progression was defined as increased residual tumor volume after STR or PR. 6.9% of GTR patients had recurrence (4/58), 16.5% of STR patients had progression (15/91), and 15.4% of PR patients had progression (2/13). As shown in Figure 3, mean survival time (MST) was significantly longer in patients without R/P than in patients with R/P. As shown in Figure 2, R/P-free MST of all patients was (100.58±3.82) months (95%CI: 93.11–108.06), and R/P-free survival was 88.9%, 86.9%, 71.1% and 71.1%, respectively for 3, 5, 7, and 9 years.

R/P-free survival analysis
Kaplan–Meier analysis showed that WHO grading and surgery or surgery + GKS were significantly associated with R/P-free survival (Figures 6 and 7), and GTR was almost statistically significant (Figure 8). Cox regression analysis showed that lack of adhesion to and encasement of neurovascular structures was independently associated with R/P-free survival (HR: 2.002, 95%CI: 1.023–3.919).

Karnofsky performance scale
Recent follow-up KPS was 80 (20), preoperative KPS was 70 (20), and postoperative KPS was 70 (30). Recent follow-up KPS was higher than preoperative and postoperative KPS (both P<0.05, Figure 9).

Discussion
Originally most PCMs were considered unresectable. Resection of PCMs had a mortality of 50% and a very high incidence of postoperative complications until the 1970s. Mayberg and Symon published the first report truly focusing on PCMs with relatively good results. The mortality for this series of patients was decreased to 9%. Moreover, they had a markedly better long-term functional status compared with previous reports, although new or worsened cranial nerve deficits usually occurred after resection.

Since the 1980s, neuromonitoring and improved neuroanesthesia have been applied in approaching the skull base lesions in the petroclival region. Brain MRI was employed to evaluate these lesions preoperatively, and the feasibility of RT applied to STR lesions was also discussed.

---

**Figure 6** Kaplan–Meier analysis of R/P-free survival for WHO grading using log rank test.

**Abbreviation:** MST, mean survival time; R/P, recurrence/progression.
In 1996, Sekhar et al visited this topic and emphasized the importance of MRI in describing these lesions. Especially they indicated that the tumors would lose the subarachnoid plane necessary for dissection and derive blood supply from the vertebrobasilar system when the pia was invaded. Therefore, the risk of major complications was much higher if GTR was performed. Thus they concluded that the lesions with pial invasion should be managed with STR which left a thin rim of tumor on the brain stem with an aim of avoiding permanent neurologic damage. In addition, they demonstrated that early postoperative neurologic symptoms usually worsened after resection of these tumors (60% of their patients), which was significantly associated with tumor size at the time of resection. However, the neurologic outcomes of these patients showed significant improvement with time, with only 16% among them having residual neurologic deficits.

The outcomes of irradiation applied to meningiomas were rather disappointing before the 1970s. Thus RT was thought valueless in managing meningiomas. However, several reports began to indicate that RT could be beneficial for patients with incompletely resected meningiomas. These studies demonstrated that postoperative RT could significantly reduce the incidence of local recurrence. The advent of radiosurgical therapy showed further promise for treating PCMs, especially after STR. Barbaro et al discussed the role of RT in subtotally resected meningiomas in all locations in 1987. The patients were divided into three groups according to treatment modalities: GTR, STR without adjuvant RT and STR with RT. The recurrence rates were 4%, 60% and 32%, respectively for GTR, STR without RT and STR with RT. In addition, the time to recurrence was significantly longer in patients receiving STR with RT than

![Figure 7 Kaplan–Meier analysis of R/P-free survival for treatment modalities using log rank test. Abbreviations: MST, mean survival time; RT, radiation therapy; GKS, gamma knife surgery; R/P, recurrence/progression.](image-url)
Figure 8 Kaplan-Meier analysis of R/P-free survival for resection modalities using log rank test.
Abbreviations: MST, mean survival time; GTR, gross total resection; STR, subtotal resection; RT, radiation therapy; R/P, recurrence/progression.

Figure 9 KPS scores of PCM patients receiving surgical resection.
Notes: °: outlier; *: outlier.
Abbreviations: KPS, Karnofsky Performance Scale, PCM, petroclival meningioma.
patients receiving STR without RT (125 months vs 66 months), and RT did not induce complications. This report indicated that GTR was difficult to perform in all patients without significant mortality and morbidity, and their data supported that RT was critical in delaying or preventing recurrence of meningiomas in subtotally resected tumors. Abdel Aziz et al further indicated that the optimal treatment for meningiomas was to resect the tumor as much as possible if safe, and meanwhile shape the residual tumor to an ideal radiosurgical target for adjuvant treatment. Therefore, in view of the reported data showing decreased yet still significant incidences of mortality and morbidity after GTR of PCMs, many researchers began to shift their treatment strategies away from aggressive resection to consideration of STR as an alternative.

Since 1998, GKS gradually gained significant popularity in managing PCMs, not only as an adjuvant therapy in STR lesions with sinus invasion or cranial nerve/vascular encasement but also as a primary treatment in symptomatic patients with small tumors. These results showed good tumor control and decreased mortality and morbidity. PFS at 5 and 10 years was better in benign skull base meningioma patients receiving GKS (98.5% and 97.2%, respectively) than in patients receiving microsurgical conventional RT, or LINAC-based radiosurgery. Another report showed that 10-year control rate of GKS was similar to that of resection in Simpson grade I benign meningiomas, which further confirmed efficacy and safety of stereotactic radiosurgery in newly found small symptomatic tumors. These reports also advocated a shift in surgical objective from radical resection to preservation of normal tissue as much as possible and preservation of function, with adjuvant GKS applied in treating the remaining tumor burden.

In this study, 72.2% of patients received only surgery, 8.5% received surgery + RT, and 19.3% received surgery + GKS. GTR was performed in 35.2% of patients, STR in 58.0% and PR in 7.4%. Recent follow-up KPS was higher than preoperative and postoperative KPS. Cumulative survival was the same for 3, 5, 7, and 9 years, ie, 95%, and MST was (110.83±2.55) months (95%CI: 105.83–115.83). R/P-free survival was 88.9%, 86.9%, 71.1% and 71.1%, respectively for 3, 5, 7, and 9 years, and MST was (100.58±3.82) months (95%CI: 93.11–108.06). Therefore, surgical treatment was safe and effective. In addition, Cox regression analysis showed that R/P, surgery + RT and WHO grade III were independently associated with cumulative survival, and adhesion and encasement to neurovascular structures was independently associated with R/P-free survival. In this study, adjuvant RT was applied to WHO grade II/III meningiomas undergoing GTR and residual meningiomas after STR or PR which were prone to recur and progress. Adjuvant GKS was applied to residual meningiomas after STR or PR which were prone to recur and progress and patients who refused to RT due to poor physical condition. Surgery alone was applied to WHO grade I meningiomas undergoing GTR and patients who refused to RT due to poor physical condition. More patients preferred to GKS because of its convenience and effectiveness. There was no significant difference between patients receiving adjuvant RT and GKS in terms of age (mean 45 vs 46 years, P=0.81), KPS (mean 72 vs 74, P=0.59), distribution of tumor grade (grade I 75 vs 80%, grade II 19 vs 17%, grade III 6 vs 3%, P=0.86), and distribution of extent of resection (GTR 12 vs 8%, STR 69 vs 78%, PR 19 vs 14%, P=0.68). Thus, GKS was at least, to a certain extent, better than RT despite the limitation of nonrandomization. As a retrospective analysis, the main limitation of this study was that grouping of participants was not randomized.

Conclusion

Surgical treatment was safe and effective for PCM. R/P, surgery + RT and WHO grade III were independently associated with cumulative survival. Lack of adhesion to and encasement of neurovascular structures was independently associated with R/P-free survival. These factors should be paid attention to in surgical treatment of PCM.
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