Joint effects of risk factors on adverse events associated with adult blood donations
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Abstract
The process for blood donation is considered safe, but some adverse events have been reported. Risk factors for adverse events were assessed in this study.

A prospective case-control study was conducted to investigate the risk factors for adverse events after blood donation between 2010 and 2013. Variables such as gender, age, body mass index (BMI), donation status, donation volume, donation site, pre-donation systolic blood pressure (SBP), and pre-donation diastolic blood pressure were compared between donors with and without adverse events.

Multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to assess the joint effects of age, gender, and donation status on adverse events. The incidence of adverse events among adult blood donations was 1287/1,253,678 (0.1%). On multivariate logistic regression analysis, blood donors aged <35 years (odds ratio [OR], 2.99, 95% confidence interval [CI], 2.57–3.48), of female gender (OR, 3.30, 95% CI, 2.62–4.15), and with first-time donor status (OR, 6.40, 95% CI, 5.17–7.93), donation of 500 mL (OR, 2.22, 95% CI, 1.83–2.69), predonation SBP <124 mm Hg (OR, 1.25, 95% CI, 1.05–1.48) and BMI <24 kg/m² (OR, 1.67, 95% CI, 1.42–1.96) were associated with increased likelihood of adverse event. Further analysis with joint effects method revealed that first-time female donors aged <35 years are associated with the highest odds of adverse events when compared with repeat male donors aged ≥35 years (OR, 100.57, 95% CI, 48.45–208.75).

The findings of our study should prove useful in identifying donors at risk and planning appropriate strategies for the prevention of adverse effects.

Abbreviations: AMT = applied muscle tension, AT2R = angiotensin II type two receptor, BMI = body mass index, BP = blood pressure, CI = confidence intervals, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, EBV = estimated blood volume, Hb = hemoglobin, ORs = odds ratios, RAS = renin angiotensin system, RSNA = renal sympathetic nervous activity, SBP = systolic blood pressure, SOP = standard operating procedure, VVR = vasovagal reaction, VVS = vasovagal syncope, WB = whole blood.
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1. Introduction
Volunteer blood donors must be healthy to donate blood. Donating blood is generally safe, but during or upon completion of the blood donation process adverse events may occur.

Adverse events of blood donation can be divided into 2 types: acute reaction and chronic reaction. Hematoma or nerve damage may occur during venipuncture. The most common acute reaction is vasovagal reaction (VVR) or vasovagal syncope (VVS). VVS is a syncope syndrome, characterized by transient loss of consciousness, associated with hypotension and relative bradycardia.[1] VVS can result in an unexpected fall which can lead to injuries. The prevalence of adverse events of blood donation has been reported to be as high as 1%. Among them, VVRs account for approximately 75%.[2] VVRs and VVS are
The results of this study showed that young blood donors, first-time donors, and donors with low body mass index (BMI), low estimated blood volume (EBV), high blood pressure (BP) before blood collection, rapid pulse, or insufficient amount of sleep are associated with risk of VVR. Factors linked to the risk of VVR can be broadly divided into 3 categories—observable donor characteristics (eg, age, gender), unobservable donor characteristics (eg, low BP, insufficient sleep duration), and contextual factors (eg, season, longer bleeding time). VVRs can lead to serious accidents after blood donation. The highest injury rates per donation, occurring in ambulating donors, are 0.07 and 0.09/1000 donations for male and female donors, respectively.

There are 2 donation types in Taiwan: whole blood (WB) and apheresis platelets. Previous studies have shown that VVRs are more frequent after WB donation. Depending on the criteria for eligible blood donors, WB donations are collected in volumes of 250 mL or 500 mL.

There are different selection criteria, donation volumes, and policies for reducing the adverse events of blood donations in different countries. Therefore, the incidences of adverse events may differ among countries. Although only a small number of blood donors experience adverse events after WB donation, such events may threaten the health of donors or discourage them from donating again. Therefore, preventing adverse events in blood donors is an important issue. To reduce the risk of adverse events and maintain the blood supply, the aim of this retrospective study was to investigate the incidences of adverse events and their important risk factors such as age, gender, donation status, and BP to improve the safety of the blood donation process.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study population and design

There was no change in the standard procedure for blood donations during this study. We determined the risk factors of adverse events and analyzed the joint effects of major risk factors for WB donations as the incidence of adverse events was higher among WB donations than among other types of donations. The aim of this study was to identify the risk factors most associated with adverse events for educating blood donation staff to identify donors who are at risk and to reduce the incidences of adverse events.

The population of the Taichung Blood Center’s service area, located in central Taiwan, is about 4.5 million with approximately 350,000 blood donations received each year. This center serves more than 150 medical institutions. There are 2 types of blood donation: WB and apheresis platelets. The criteria for blood donors are in accordance with Taiwan’s Ministry of Health and Welfare guidelines.

In Taiwan, blood donors are recommended not to fast. If donors have been fasting, we ask them to eat a meal before donation. When the interval between the last meal and donation time is over 4 hours, we offer snacks and milk.

Donor selection was based on blood donor registration forms, which include demographic information such as height, weight, gender, donation history, and date of birth. Interview regarding lifestyle and habits and limited physical examination such as BP measurement were conducted. Those reporting insufficient sleep were deferred from donating blood. The health status questionnaire included present and past medical/surgical history. Blood donors are required to be from 17 to 65 years old. In Taiwan, the legal adult age is 20. Therefore, in this study, the age group 20 to 65 years was selected.

The criteria for WB donors is a bodyweight of ≥50 kg and a hemoglobin (Hb) level of ≥13.0 g/dL for males and a bodyweight of ≥45 kg and an Hb level of ≥12.0 g/dL for females.

Hb screening was based on copper sulfate density. Systolic blood pressure (SBP) was defined as 90 to 160 mm Hg and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) as 50 to 95 mm Hg. Pulse rate was to be between 60 and 100 beats per minute. There were 2 volumes of WB donations, 250 mL and 500 mL. Donation intervals were 2 months for 250 mL and 3 months for 500 mL. The maximum amounts of WB donation were 1500 mL for males and 1000 mL for females per annum, adjusted by date of birth. All the participants in this study were eligible to donate blood. After blood donation, we offered blood donors snacks, coffee, juice or milk and asked them to rest for 10 to 15 minutes. This study was conducted over a 4 year period, from January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2013.

Subjects with a height of less than 140 cm, weight of more than 130 kg and missing data were excluded.

2.2. Data collection

Donor records were obtained from the Taichung Blood Center. They included collection date, collection status, collection site, donation volume, and so on. To understand whether hot weather is associated with the risk of adverse events, compared to non-hot weather, we defined March to August as hot weather season and September to February as non-hot weather season.

BMI was defined as body mass divided by the square of body height and EBV was calculated using the following equations (height, in meters; weight, in kg).

Female donors: blood volume (L) = 0.3561 (Height)\(^3\) + 0.03308 (Weight) + 0.1833

Male donors: blood volume (L) = 0.3669 (Height)\(^3\) + 0.03219 (Weight) + 0.6041

The control group was randomly selected from donors without adverse events at a ratio of 4:1 (control: no adverse events vs. case: adverse events). After excluding donations with missing data, there were 5083 donations in the control group and 1255 donations in the adverse events group in this study. The study recruitment flowchart is shown in Figure 1. The adverse events are summarized occurring during the study period in Figure 2.

Ethics approval was obtained from the Ethical Review Board of the Taiwan Blood Services Foundation (PM-103-TC-131). This research was performed in accordance with “Declaration of Taipei” ethical guidelines.

2.3. Ascertainment of adverse events

Adverse events such as VVRs are reported on standardized forms that include location where the adverse reaction occurred, symptoms, and whether the donor was sent to hospital. Adverse events that occur on-site can be managed by the collection staff, including physicians. Off-site reactions are rarely observed by blood center staff. Off-site reaction information is reported by donors and occasionally accompanied by reports from hospitals or relatives of affected blood donors. Severe cases are sent to hospital for treatment. We kept a systematic record of events and followed upon them.

VVRs were classified as mild when donors presented with pallor,
sweating, dizziness, and fatigue but not loss of consciousness; moderate when there was loss of consciousness for less than 60 seconds, vomiting or hypotension; and severe when there was loss of consciousness for 60 seconds or more, convulsions, rigidity and urine/fecal incontinence. In this study, all VVRs were recorded according to the standard operating procedure.

2.4. Statistical analysis

The variables of age, BMI, predonation SBP, predonation DBP, and EBV were dichotomized by their median values.

All statistical analyses in this study were conducted using SPSS statistical software (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL; PASW Statistics 18.0). Chi-squared test and t test were respectively used for binary variables and continuous variables to compare the baseline demographic characteristics of the case and control groups. A univariate logistic regression model was then used to analyze the strength of association between potential factors and adverse events. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. Multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to identify the independent risk factors for adverse reactions to adjust for potential important confounders. At last,

**Figure 1.** Flowchart of the recruitment procedure. BMI = body mass index, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, EBV = estimated blood volume, SBP = systolic blood pressure.
we explored the joint effects of the risk factors with greatest magnitude of strength of association. The $P$-value of the test was 2-tailed with a level of significance ($\alpha$) = .05. A $P$-value of less than .05 indicated statistical significance.

3. Results

3.1. Adverse events and multivariate analysis

During the 4-year study period, 1,473,292 blood donations were enrolled from the Taichung Blood Center. After excluding platelet apheresis donations, the number of WB donations by donors aged 20 to 65 was 1,253,678. Among them, 1,252,391 donations were without adverse event and 1287 were with adverse event. The incidence of adverse events was 1287/1,253,678 (0.1%).

The characteristics of the adverse events and control groups are shown in Table 1. The variables in Table 1 include gender, age, donation status, donation volume, donation site, season, predonation SBP, predonation DBP, BMI, and EBV. Table 1 shows that age $< 35$ years, first-time donor, $500\text{mL}$ donation volume, donation at mobile site, predonation SBP $< 124\text{mm Hg}$, predonation DBP $< 75\text{mm Hg}$, BMI $< 24\text{kg/m}^2$, and EBV $\geq 4085\text{mL}$ are associated with significantly higher proportions of adverse events when compared with controls (all $P < .05$). The summary of the adverse events and the characteristics of these donors was showed in Table 3.

Adverse events occurred in donors who were significantly younger ($30.28$ years old vs $38.03$ years old) and had lower mean BMI ($23.62\text{kg/m}^2$ vs $24.37\text{kg/m}^2$), predonation SBP ($120.49\text{mm Hg}$ vs $125.29\text{mm Hg}$) and predonation DBP ($73.59\text{mm Hg}$ vs $75.77\text{mm Hg}$) (all $P < .001$). The mean EBV was higher in the adverse events group than in the control group ($4255\text{mL}$ vs $4058\text{mL}$) ($P < .001$) Table 2.

3.2. Donors with adverse events

Although, no deaths occurred among donors with adverse events, adverse events affect the safety of blood donors and decrease donors’ willingness to donate again. Thus, understanding risk factors of adverse events is important.

All demographic characteristics converted to dichotomous variables were coded as 0 or 1 by the medium values. Risk factors identified as predicting a responsive outcome from the donors with adverse events were the age $< 35$ years old ($\text{OR} = 3.72$, 95% CI $= 3.72–4.27$, $P < .001$), the first-time donation ($\text{OR} = 6.41$, 95% CI $= 5.30–7.74$, $P < .001$), the $500\text{mL}$ of donation volume ($\text{OR} = 1.16$, 95% CI $= 1.02–1.31$, $P = .023$), the mobile of donation sites ($\text{OR} = 1.62$, 95% CI $= 1.40–1.87$, $P < .001$), the predonation SBP $< 124\text{mm Hg}$ ($\text{OR} = 1.64$, 95% CI $= 1.44–1.86$, $P < .001$), the predonation DBP $< 75\text{mm Hg}$ ($\text{OR} = 1.45$, 95% CI $= 1.28–1.65$, $P < .001$), the BMI $< 24\text{kg/m}^2$ ($\text{OR} = 1.36$, 95% CI $= 1.20–1.54$, $P < .001$), and the EBV $\geq 4085\text{mL}$ ($\text{OR} = 1.67$, 95% CI $= 1.47–1.90$, $P < .001$) (Table 4).

Results of multiple logistic regression analysis are shown in Table 5. Multivariate analysis revealed that the age $< 35$ years old ($\text{OR} = 2.99$, 95% CI $= 2.57–3.48$, $P < .001$), the female ($\text{OR} = 2.99$, 95% CI $= 2.57–3.48$, $P < .001$), the first-time donation ($\text{OR} = 6.40$, 95% CI $= 5.17–7.93$, $P < .001$), the $500\text{mL}$ of donation volume ($\text{OR} = 2.22$, 95% CI $= 1.83–2.69$, $P < .001$), the mobile of donation sites ($\text{OR} = 1.66$, 95% CI $= 1.42–1.95$, Table 6.


table 1. The characteristics of the adverse events and control groups

| Variable       | Adverse Events | Control Group |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Gender         | Female        | Male          |
| Age            | $< 35$ years  | $\geq 35$ years |
| First-time donation | Yes       | No            |
| Donation volume | $500\text{mL}$ | $\geq 600\text{mL}$ |
| Donation site   | Mobile        | Station       |
| Season         | Summer        | Winter        |
| Predonation SBP| $< 124\text{mm Hg}$ | $\geq 124\text{mm Hg}$ |
| Predonation DBP| $< 75\text{mm Hg}$ | $\geq 75\text{mm Hg}$ |
| BMI            | $< 24\text{kg/m}^2$ | $\geq 24\text{kg/m}^2$ |
| EBV            | $< 4085\text{mL}$ | $\geq 4085\text{mL}$ |


"Figure 2. The summary of the adverse events occurring during the study period."
Table 1
Characteristics of study subjects.

| Variables        | Adverse events n (%) | No adverse events n (%) | P-value |
|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Gender           |                      |                         |         |
| Male             | 720 (57.4%)          | 2994 (58.9%)            | .324    |
| Female           | 535 (42.6%)          | 2089 (41.1%)            |         |
| Age, yr          |                      |                         | <.001   |
| <35              | 937 (74.7%)          | 2247 (44.2%)            |         |
| ≥35              | 318 (25.3%)          | 2836 (55.8%)            |         |
| Donation status  |                      |                         | <.001   |
| First-time       | 283 (22.5%)          | 221 (44%)               |         |
| Repeat           | 972 (77.5%)          | 4062 (55.6%)            |         |
| Donation volume, mL |                  |                         | .023    |
| 500              | 523 (41.7%)          | 1941 (38.2%)            |         |
| 250              | 732 (58.3%)          | 3142 (61.8%)            |         |
| Donation sites   |                      |                         | <.001   |
| Fixed            | 268 (21.4%)          | 1551 (30.5%)            |         |
| Mobile           | 987 (78.6%)          | 3532 (69.5%)            |         |
| Season           |                      |                         | .631    |
| Hot weather season |                  |                         |         |
| (March–August)   | 667 (53.1%)          | 2663 (52.4%)            |         |
| Non-hot weather season |                |                         |         |
| (September–February) |             |                         |         |
| Predonation SBP, mm Hg |                |                         | <.001   |
| <124             | 750 (59.8%)          | 2141 (47.6%)            |         |
| ≥124             | 505 (40.2%)          | 2658 (52.4%)            |         |
| Predonation DBP, mm Hg |                |                         | <.001   |
| <75              | 746 (59.9%)          | 2557 (50.3%)            |         |
| ≥75              | 509 (40.9%)          | 2526 (49.7%)            |         |
| BMI, kg/m²       |                      |                         | <.001   |
| <24              | 715 (57.0%)          | 2509 (49.4%)            |         |
| ≥24              | 540 (42.9%)          | 2574 (50.6%)            |         |
| EBV, mL          |                      |                         | <.001   |
| <4085            | 500 (40.0%)          | 2670 (52.5%)            |         |
| ≥4085            | 755 (60.0%)          | 2413 (47.5%)            |         |
| Adverse events   |                      |                         |         |
| Mild             | 832 (66.3%)          | 130 (25.9%)             |         |
| Moderate         | 301 (24.0%)          | 659 (13.1%)             |         |
| Severe           | 122 (9.7%)           | 106 (2.1%)              |         |

BMI = body mass index, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, EBV = estimated blood volume, SBP = systolic blood pressure.
* P-value from Chi-square test.

For each fixed site, the predonation SBP <124 mm Hg (OR = 1.14, 95% CI = 1.03–1.25, P = .001), BMI <24 kg/m² (OR = 1.17, 95% CI = 1.02–2.24, P < .001), female gender (OR = 1.51, 95% CI = 1.29–1.76, P < .001), and first-time donation (OR = 1.17, 95% CI = 1.01–1.31, P = .041) appeared to be independent risk factors for adverse events after blood donation.

Variables Gender, age, and BMI are independent risk factors for adverse events after blood donation (Table 6).

Table 2
Mean biomarkers for donors with and without adverse events.

| Variables       | Adverse events | No adverse events | P-value |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|---------|
|                 | n = 1255       | n = 5083          |         |
|                 | (mean ± SD)    | (mean ± SD)       |         |
| Age, yr         | 30.28 ± 3.52   | 30.03 ± 3.53      | <.001   |
| BMI, kg/m²      | 23.62 ± 3.52   | 24.37 ± 3.53      | <.001   |
| EBV, mL         | 4256.18 ± 731.84 | 4058.46 ± 588.27 | <.001   |
| Predonation SBP, mm Hg | 120.49 ± 14.76 | 25.29 ± 15.68    | <.001   |
| Predonation DBP, mm Hg | 73.59 ± 9.55 | 75.77 ± 10.36    | <.001   |

BMI = body mass index, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, EBV = estimated blood volume, SBP = systolic blood pressure.
* P-value from 2-sample t-test.
Table 4
Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals from univariate logistic regression analysis in the adverse events.

| Demographic characteristics | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|-----------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Overall                     |             |         |
| Season                      |             | <.001   |
| Hot weather season          | 1.03 (0.91–1.17) | <.631   |
| Non-hot weather season      | 1.00        |         |
| Age, yr                     |             |        |
| <35                        | 3.72 (3.72–4.27) | <.001   |
| ≥35                        | 1.00        |         |
| Gender                      |             | <.001   |
| Male                       | 1.00        |         |
| Female                     | 1.07 (0.94–1.21) | <.324   |
| Donation status             |             | <.001   |
| First-time                  | 6.41 (5.30–7.74) | <.001   |
| Repeat                     | 1.00        |         |
| Donation volume, mL         |             | <.001   |
| 500                        | 1.16 (1.02–1.31) | <.023   |
| 250                        | 1.00        |         |
| Donation sites              |             | <.001   |
| Fixed                      | 1.00        |         |
| Mobile                     | 1.62 (1.40–1.87) | <.001   |
| Predonation SBP, mm Hg      |             | <.001   |
| <124                       | 1.64 (1.44–1.86) | 1.00    |
| ≥124                       | 1.00        |         |
| Predonation DBP, mm Hg      |             | <.001   |
| <75                        | 1.45 (1.28–1.65) |         |
| ≥75                        | 1.00        |         |
| BMI, kg/m²                  |             | <.001   |
| <24                        | 1.36 (1.20–1.54) |         |
| ≥24                        | 1.00        |         |
| EBV, mL                     |             | <.001   |
| <4085                      | 1.00        |         |
| ≥4085                      | 1.67 (1.47–1.90) | <.001   |

BMI = body mass index, CI = confidence interval, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, EBV = estimated blood volume, OR = odds ratio, SBP = systolic blood pressure.

For mobile sites, the results of multivariate analysis revealed that age <35 years (OR = 3.20, 95% CI = 2.70–3.79, P < .001), female gender (OR = 1.45, 95% CI = 1.19–1.75, P < .001), first-time donation (OR = 5.77, 95% CI = 4.57–7.31, P < .001), 500 mL donation volume (OR = 2.82, 95% CI = 1.86–4.29, P < .001), predonation SBP <124 mm Hg (OR = 1.33, 95% CI = 1.10–1.62, P = .003), and BMI <24 kg/m² (OR = 1.21, 95% CI = 1.01–1.43, P = .035) are independent risk factors for adverse events after blood donation (Table 7).

 Apparently, the independent risk factors of predonation SBP <124 mm Hg and BMI <24 kg/m² contribute more to adverse events at mobile donation sites.

3.3. Joint effects of age, gender, and donation status on adverse events

The ORs of 3 major risk factors (age, gender, and donation status) were analyzed simultaneously as demonstrated in Table 8. Male repeat donors aged ≥35 years were treated as the reference group. Male repeat donors aged <35 years (age effect) were associated with increased odds of adverse events (OR, 2.48, 95% CI, 2.27–3.40), as were male first-time donors aged ≥35 years (donation status effect) (OR, 5.67, 95% CI, 1.71–18.79) and female repeat donors aged ≥35 years (gender effect) (OR, 3.08, 95% CI, 2.02–4.70). In terms of the joint effects of any 2 of the 3 intermediate risk factors, the magnitude of association became much greater: age and gender effect OR, 9.34, 95% CI, 6.31–13.83; age and donation status effect OR, 14.57, 95% CI, 19.36–115.72; and gender and donation status effect OR, 47.33, 95% CI, 19.36–115.72. Female first-time donors aged <35 years (joint effects of age, gender, and donation status) were associated with increased odds of adverse events compared with male repeat donors aged ≥35 years (OR, 100.57, 95% CI, 48.45–208.75).

4. Discussion

The incidence of adverse events during or after WB donation was 0.1% over a 4-year period. Incidence of adverse events after blood donation was an important quality indicator monitored monthly to set a strategy for lowering the incidences of adverse events.

Our findings showed that the most significant risk factor for adverse events is first-time blood donor. Gender and age were the other 2 significant factors with moderate to strong association. In Taiwan, first-time donors are not recommended to donate 500 mL WB or apheresis platelets to prevent adverse reaction. From 2010 to 2014, 1.86% of males and 0.013% of females aged 20 to 65 years donated 500 mL as first-time donors at the Taichung Blood Center. From the findings of this study, factors associated with adverse events related to blood donation include lower age, BMI, predonation SBP, and predonation DBP.
First-time donors might be more anxious and fearful than repeat donors as they have had no experience donating blood. Anxiety has direct emotional consequences that can lead to VVR.\(^{[10]}\) More experienced blood donors have less fear.\(^{[11]}\) Fear may be a predictor of adverse events.\(^{[12,13]}\) Almutairi et al.\(^{[14]}\) also reported that first-time donors have a 2.2-fold increased risk of VVR than those who donated at fixed site.

Many studies have shown that female gender is associated with VVRs, highlighting the gender differences in incidences of adverse reactions.\(^{[15]}\) Gender differences in autonomic functions are associated with differences in BP. There are also gender differences in the renin angiotensin system and the effects of bound angiotensin II type 2 receptor on renal vascular resistance. Renal sympathetic nervous activity is the main cause of vascular resistance in the evaluation of BP in female subjects.\(^{[17]}\) In this study, we also found a higher risk of VVR among female donors than among male donors.

The results of our study also showed that blood donors less than 35 years old have higher risk of VVRs. Baroreflex sensitivity (BRS) is negatively correlated with age. In 1 study, BRS was found to be positively correlated with healthy, young females.\(^{[18]}\) Therefore, young blood donors have higher BRS than older blood donors. When blood donors experience physical or psychological stress, there is increased pulse rate or arterial pressure, leading to vagal stimulation, which produces bradycardia and hypotension. High BRS in young people can explain why younger blood donors are at higher risk of adverse events than older blood donors.

In addition, blood donors who donated at mobile site had higher risk of VVR than those who donated at fixed site. The reasons may be less space and less relaxed environment at mobile sites. It is important to ensure that mobile sites have adequate ventilation and space for blood donors to rest for 10 to 15 minutes.

We also observed that BP and BMI are significantly associated with adverse events, consistent with the findings of previous studies. BMI and BP were lower in the adverse events group compared to the control group.\(^{[6,19]}\)

Our study showed a higher EBV in adverse events group than in control group. These results differed from the findings of a previous study.\(^{[20]}\) There are 3 possible reasons for this discrepancy. First, we excluded WB donors aged 17 to 19 years. EBV is associated with the risk of adverse events in this age group.\(^{[21]}\) Second, we considered different EBV formulas for males and females in this study. The cutoff points of EBV were the same for males and females, such that we did not consider the differences in EBV distributions between genders. Third, donation volume divided by EBV should be considered in adverse events.

To illustrate the joint effect of the 3 most significant factors, a multiple logistic regression model was used to assess the combinations of age, gender, and donation status. We found that the combined effects of any 2 or 3 factors result in stronger associations than any 1 factor alone.

Adverse events are thought to be caused by various physical (eg, standing up after donating blood) and psychological reasons (eg, pain, fear).\(^{[14]}\) Moreover, neurally mediated reflex, relative
Table 8

| Demographic characteristic          | Adverse events | No adverse event | Total | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------|-------------|---------|
| Overall n=1255                     |                |                 |       |             |         |
| ≥35, male and repeat               | 186            | 1686            | 1872  | 1.00        |         |
| <35, male and repeat               | 411            | 1201            | 1612  | 2.48 (2.27–3.40) | <.001   |
| ≥35, male and first-time           | 5              | 15              | 20    | 5.67 (1.71–18.79) | .005    |
| ≥35, female and repeat             | 95             | 1083            | 1178  | 3.08 (2.02–4.70) | <.001   |
| <35, female and repeat             | 280            | 892             | 1172  | 9.34 (6.31–13.83) | <.001   |
| ≥35, male and first-time           | 92             | 118             | 210   | 14.57 (13.83–15.72) | <.001   |
| ≥35, female and first-time         | 52             | 32              | 84    | 47.33 (19.36–15.72) | <.001   |
| <35, female and first-time         | 128            | 62              | 190   | 100.57 (48.45–208.79) | <.001   |

CI = confidence interval, OR = odds ratio.

5. Conclusion and recommendation

In conclusion, younger (<35 years old), female, first-time donors and those with a donation volume of 500 mL, donation at mobile site, lower predonation SBP (<124 mm Hg) and lower BMI (<24 kg/m²) are at risk of adverse event. In addition, a novel finding of this study is that female first-time donors aged <35 years have 100.54 fold risk of adverse event when compared with male repeat donors aged ≥35 years.

Moreover, drinking 500 mL of water or isotonic drink before donation is useful for preventing adverse reactions in blood donors. At blood donation sites of the Taichung Blood Center, blood donors are suggested to drink water before phlebotomy to promote better intravascular volume.

After controlling for other important demographic and health factors, VVRs are more likely to occur among fearful blood donors. First-time donors might be more anxious and fearful than repeat donors. At Taichung Blood Center donation sites, first-time donors are given a silicone bracelet to wear before phlebotomy. This bracelet reminds staff members to pay more attention to them. They explain the process and chat with donors to divert their attention and reduce psychological stress. Providing a comfortable and friendly environment for donors is important.

Further, if appropriate interventions such as practicing applied muscle tension for increasing BP are carried out, we speculate that incidences of adverse reactions such as nerve injury or pain.
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