Is Routine Prophylaxis against Pneumocystis jirovecii Needed in Liver Transplantation? A Retrospective Single-Centre Experience and Current Prophylaxis Strategies in Spain
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Abstract: In liver transplant (LT) recipients, Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia (PJP) is most frequently reported before 1992 when immunosuppressive regimens were more intense. It is uncertain whether universal PJP prophylaxis is still applicable in the contemporary LT setting. We aimed to examine the incidence of PJP in LT recipients followed at our institution where routine prophylaxis has never been practiced and to define the prophylaxis strategies currently employed among LT units in Spain. All LT performed from 1990 to October 2019 were retrospectively reviewed and Spanish LT units were queried via email to specify their current prophylaxis strategy. During the study period, 662 LT procedures were carried out on 610 patients. Five cases of PJP were identified, with only one occurring within the first 6 months. The cumulative incidence and incidence rate were 0.82% and 0.99 cases per 1000 person transplant years. All LT units responded, the majority of which provide prophylaxis (80%). Duration of prophylaxis, however, varied significantly. The low incidence of PJP in our unprophylaxed cohort, with most cases occurring beyond the usual recommended period of prophylaxis, questions a one-size-fits-all approach to PJP prophylaxis. A significant heterogeneity in prophylaxis strategies exists among Spanish LT centres.
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1. Introduction

*Pneumocystis jirovecii*, formerly *Pneumocystis carinii*, is a ubiquitous, opportunistic fungus that causes *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia (PJP) in immunocompromised individuals, including solid organ transplant (SOT) recipients. This infection leads to substantial morbidity and mortality and, prior to the broad implementation of prophylaxis, the risk of developing PJP among SOT recipients was approximately 5–15% [1]. This figure exceeds the recommended incidence threshold of 3–5% for using prophylaxis [2] and, accordingly, current guidelines recommend anti-PJP prophylaxis for at least 6–12 months for all SOT recipients due to the higher degree of immunosuppression during these first months [1,3–5]. For lung and small bowel transplant recipients requiring higher intensity of immunosuppression or in case of prior PJP infection or chronic cytomegalovirus infection, guidelines recommend considering prolonged prophylaxis [1]. Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMX) is the prophylactic drug of choice with two meta-analyses reporting a reduction in the risk of PJP occurrence of 85–91% in non-human immunodeficiency virus immunocompromised patients when compared to no prophylaxis [2,6].

The evidence supporting the use of anti-PJP prophylaxis in liver transplant (LT) recipients, however, is less clear. PJP incidence varies with the type of organ transplanted, the geographic region, the immunosuppressive regimen utilized, and the period studied [1,7]. The high incidences of PJP in the absence of prophylaxis reported in LT cohorts from the 1980s [8,9] contrast with those from recent series in which PJP incidence is below 3% [10–14] and even similar to incidences from LT recipients using prophylaxis (Table 1) [15–25]. Moreover, only one study concerning LT patients was included in the two meta-analyses reporting the efficacy of TMP-SMX prophylaxis, and this randomized clinical trial did not include a control group without prophylaxis as it assessed the efficacy and safety of weekly sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine compared with daily TMP-SMX [18]. These data question the risk–benefit ratio of a systematic PJP prophylaxis in LT recipients and may lead to variability in prophylactic strategies among centres. Few data are available in this latter regard and, to our knowledge, are restricted to the paediatric SOT setting [26,27].

In this report, we aim to examine the incidence and characteristics of PJP in LT recipients followed at our transplant centre where routine prophylaxis has not been practiced since the beginning of our LT program in 1990, and to define the prophylaxis strategies currently employed for PJP prevention among LT units in Spain.
### Table 1. Large studies evaluating the incidence of *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia in liver transplant recipients in the presence or absence of prophylaxis *

| Author and year | n  | Study Period and Type | Prophylaxis | CI (%) | Mortality (%) | Comments |
|-----------------|----|-----------------------|-------------|--------|---------------|----------|
| Kusne et al, 1988 [8] | 101 | 1984–1985 | Prospective | No | 10.9 | 27.3 | All Cases Occurred Within the First 6 Months and The Three Deaths Had Simultaneous CMV Infection. IR 10 Per 1000 PTY. |
| Hayes et al, 1994 [9] | 154 | 1986–1992 | No | 5.2 | 12.5 | All Cases Occurred Within the First 6 Months. High-Risk Patients: ≥1 Episode of Rejection, OKT3 Treatment, Or Allograft Dysfunction. |
| Wade et al, 1995 [10] | 284 | 1990–1993 | No | 0.7 | 0 | Both Cases Occurred Within the First 3 Months. |
| Hadley et al, 1995 [13] | 124 | 1990–1992 | Retrospective | Since July 1991, TMP-SMX q.d. | 0 | NA | No Prophylaxis Before July 1991 |
| Singh et al, 1997 [16] | 130 | 1989–1995 | Prospective | TMP-SMX q.d. indefinitely | 0 | NA | |
| Gordon et al, 1999 [17] | 265 | 1987–1996 | Retrospective | 1992–1996: TMP-SMX 1 year | 3.8 | NS | |
| Torre-Cisneros et al, 1999 [18] | 120 | 1987–1995 | RCT | TMP-SMX q.w. (n = 60) | 1.6 | 0 | |
| Neuman et al, 2002 [19] | 646 | 2000–2003 | Retro | TMP-SMX t.i.w. until 4 weeks after discharge | 1.2 | 87.5 | |
| Akamatsu et al, 2007 [20] | 180 | 1997–2007 | Prospective | TMP-SMX in 22% guided by BDG levels (≥40 pg/mL) | 1.1 | 0 | |
| Trotter et al, 2008 [21] | 853 | 2001–2006 | Retrospective | TMP-SMX t.i.w. (first 3 months) | 0 | NA | |
| Pappas et al, 2010 [22] | 378 | 2001–2008 | Prospective | NS | 0 | NA | |
| Orlando et al, 2010 [23] | 203 | Retrospective | NS | 0 | 50 | All Living Donor Liver Transplants. Low Positive Predictive Value Of BDG. All Cases Within the First 6 Months. Side Effects Of TMP-SMX In 28%. |
| Ohkubo et al, 2012 [24] | 156 | 2001–2011 | Retrospective | TMP-SMX guided by BDG levels (≥40 pg/mL) | 2.6 | 50 | |
| Wang et al, 2012 [25] | 436 | Retrospective | No | 1.2 | 20 | |
| Sarwar et al, 2013 [13] | 611 | 2000–2012 | Retrospective | No | 1.1 | 71.4 | |
| Iriart et al, 2015 [14] | 345 | 2004–2010 | Retrospective | TMP-SMX t.i.w. the first 6 months | 1.4 | NS | |
| Desoubeaux et al, 2016 [14] | 285 | 2011–2014 | Retrospective | No | 2.1 | 50 | |
| Neofytos et al, 2018 [26] | 567 | 2008–2016 | Retrospective | 354 (62.4%) received prophylaxis | 0.7 | NS | |

* The minimum number of patients to consider a study as large is 100. Abbreviations: CI, cumulative incidence; CMV, cytomegalovirus; IR, incidence rate; PTY, person transplant year; OKT3, monoclonal antibody targeted at the CD3 receptor; TMP-SMX, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; q.d., daily; NA, not applicable; t.i.w., three times a week; SOT, solid organ transplantation; LT, liver transplantation; SLF-PYT, sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine; q.w., once a week; IS, immunosuppression; BDG, β-D-Glucan; TRANSNET, Transplant-Associated Infection Surveillance Network; PJP, Pneumocystis jirovecii. |
2. Experimental Section

2.1. Patients

The Marques de Valdecilla University Hospital (Santander, Cantabria, Spain) is an urban, academic tertiary care centre with great expertise in organ transplantation. We conducted a retrospective review regarding PJP infection of all LT performed at our institution since the beginning of our adult LT program in November 1990 to October 2019. In the initial years, our centre performed all LT, not only from Cantabria, but also from several other Spanish autonomous communities such as Galicia, Basque Country, Canary Islands, Asturias, La Rioja and Castile and Leon. Over the following 12 years these regions progressively developed their own LT programs, and since 2009 our program has been responsible for all LT performed in Cantabria and La Rioja. The organ donation activity in these two Spanish autonomous communities is the highest of our country (above 80 donors per million of population) and, as of January 1, 2019, their combined population was 895,212 inhabitants. All patients received an ABO-compatible primary orthotopic LT from deceased donors using the piggyback operation [28] and no prophylaxis against PJP was undertaken, except for some patients with combined liver-kidney transplant.

In order to evaluate the local prevalence of PJP infection in other solid organ transplant (SOT) recipients at our institution, a retrospective review regarding this infection was also conducted in recipients of kidney (KT), heart (HT), and lung transplantation (LuT). Universal anti-PJP prophylaxis with TMP-SMX for 6 months has been indicated in all KT recipients since 1996, while this prophylaxis was prolonged for life in all LuT recipients since the beginning of the program. In contrast, the HT program has never applied prophylaxis against PJP.

2.2. Cases

PJP cases were defined by the following criteria: (1) new onset of respiratory symptoms; (2) radiological findings consistent with PJP infection; (3) microbiological demonstration of PJP infection (i.e., real-time quantitative PCR, and/or Grocott methenamine silver stain performed in samples from bronchial alveolar lavage (BAL), sputum (spontaneous or induced), and transbronchial/open lung biopsy) (Figure 1). Of note, PJP testing has always been systematically performed on BAL from LT recipients. Grocott methenamine silver stain was the standard method used at the beginning of the program, but hereinafter, PJP testing also routinely included PCR. In contrast, (1,3)-β-d-glucan detection is rarely used in the LT setting at our centre. Cases without microbiological confirmation were also included if the clinical (fever ± respiratory symptoms) and radiological picture (fine, bilateral, perihilar, diffuse infiltrates that progress to an interstitial alveolar butterfly pattern) supported the diagnosis of PJP. Information on demographics, indication for LT, time period between LT and PJP, diagnostic method, clinical presentation, treatment and outcome of PJP, co-existing infections, immunosuppressive regimens used at PJP diagnosis, and previous acute or chronic rejection were retrieved for all LT patients.

The identification of PJP cases was performed using three approaches: (1) individual review of the medical records of each LT recipient; (2) list of all laboratory-confirmed PJP cases from the Department of Microbiology; (3) hospital discharge records. The latter consisted of a list of all patients admitted to our hospital with diagnosis upon discharge of PJP registered as code 136.3 of the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM), and as code B59 or J17.3 of the ICD-10 (this replaced ICD-9-CM from January 2016). These microbiological and discharge records were cross-referenced by medical record number against a secure intramural database of all LT recipients transplanted at our centre. The search of PJP cases in the other SOT recipients did not include the individual review of their medical records and was limited to data obtained from the microbiological and discharge records, and also from each SOT database.
Since 2008, inhibitors of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTORi) were generally used in case of intolerance to MMF and/or development of de novo malignancy after LT. In the last decade, induction therapy with the interleukin-2 receptor blockers (basiliximab) was given as a calcineurin-sparing agent to patients with prior or postoperative significant renal impairment (i.e., creatinine clearance <60 mL/min). In contrast, induction therapy with antithymocyte globulin has never been used. This is also the case for desensitization, since all patients received an ABO-compatible primary orthotopic LT. Long-term immunosuppression was adjusted to the recipient characteristics, etiology of primary liver disease, and magnitude of alloimmune activation, with the aim of minimizing immunosuppression as much as possible. In the event of moderate and severe TCMR, management consisted of pulses of steroids (typically 1 g of methylprednisolone daily for 3 days) and an increase in calcineurin inhibitor therapy with or without addition of other agents (antimetabolites or mTORi). Mild TCMR was generally treated by increasing calcineurin inhibitor therapy.

As far as the cytomegalovirus (CMV) prophylaxis protocol is concerned, our CMV-seronegative recipients who receive an organ from a CMV-seropositive donor (D+/R−) receive antiviral prophylaxis with valganciclovir 900 mg po once daily for 3–6 months. This drug is started within the seventh and tenth day after LT. Pre-emptive therapy with valganciclovir (900 mg po b.i.d. in recipients with normal renal function) is used instead in CMV R+ patients. In these LT recipients CMV viral load (quantitative nucleic acid testing) is measured weekly until discharge and then once every two weeks for the first three months. The viral threshold we use to initiate pre-emptive therapy is 4000 IU/mL, and it is maintained until no viral load is detected [30].

The study protocol conformed to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki as reflected in a priori approval by the Ethics Committee for Clinical Research of Cantabria (Internal code: 2020.225). A waiver of informed consent was provided since the study was considered a retrospective review.

Figure 1. Diagnostic specimens for microbiological demonstration of Pneumocystis jirovecii infection. (A) Lung biopsy showing intra-alveolar proteinaceous exudates with the presence of numerous Pneumocystis jirovecii cysts. Grocott methenamine silver stain (at ×400 magnification). (B) Induced sputum showing the presence of numerous Pneumocystis jirovecii cysts. Grocott methenamine silver stain (at ×100 magnification).
2.4. Prophylaxis Strategies against Pneumocystis jirovecii in Spanish Liver Transplant Units

All the 25 adult LT units in Spain were asked via email to describe their current prophylaxis strategy against PJP. Specifically, they were asked the following: do you apply a prophylaxis strategy against *Pneumocystis jirovecii* in liver transplant recipients? If so, please detail whether it is universal or in specific cases (must be defined), and specify the drug of choice, dosage, and duration. Otherwise, argue the reasons for not implementing a prophylaxis strategy.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Quantitative variables were expressed as median and interquartile range and qualitative variables as proportions. Cumulative incidence was determined by the number of new PJP cases during the study period divided by the size of the population at risk (i.e., patients transplanted) per 100 (%). Incidence rate of PJP was determined in units of the reciprocal of person transplant years (PTY) calculated up to April 2019, death, or loss to follow-up. Statistical analysis was performed with IBM SPSS Statistics v22.0 for Mac (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Incidence of Pneumocystis jirovecii in Liver Transplant Recipients

From November 1990 to October 2019, 683 LT procedures were carried out on 631 patients. The most frequent liver disease and indication of LT was alcoholic liver disease and decompensated cirrhosis, respectively. Fifty-two patients were retransplanted and 29 received other transplants, the most frequent of which was combined kidney-liver transplantation (Table 2). Prophylaxis against PJP was established in 21 of these 29 recipients of other additional transplants (20 KT and one bone marrow transplantation) following the corresponding protocols of each program. All of them were given TMP-SMX and none developed PJP. These patients were excluded from the analysis. The other patient who received a bone marrow transplantation died early after the third day and no prophylaxis was undertaken. The reason for not initiating prophylaxis in the remaining KT patients could not be clarified after reviewing the medical records. In the whole LT cohort five cases of PJP were identified, giving an overall cumulative incidence of 0.82% and an incidence rate of 0.99 cases per 1000 PTY.

3.2. Clinical Presentation and Outcome of Pneumocystis jirovecii Infection in Liver Transplant Recipients

The risk factors for PJP, clinical features, treatment, and outcome of the five LT patients that developed PJP are shown in Table 3. Of the five patients, only one was diagnosed within the first 6 months post-LT and in two the infection occurred several years after LT. Three cases were diagnosed in the 1990s and had more intense immunosuppressive regimens following the common practice at that time. Pulse steroid therapy for moderate/severe TCMR preceded PJP in two cases and co-existing infections were present in all but one patient. The most frequent symptom and radiological finding were fever with productive cough and ground glass opacities, respectively. In two cases no microbiological confirmation could be achieved, and diagnosis was based on clinical and radiological findings after discarding other aetiologies. In another patient a lung biopsy was needed in order to rule out everolimus-induced interstitial lung pneumonitis. PJP was severe in two patients, causing death in one of them. Except for one, all patients with severe pancytopenia were treated with TMP-SMX.

3.3. Pneumocystis jirovecii in Other Solid Organ Transplant Recipients

Table 4 shows the number of transplants, cumulative incidence, and outcome of PJP infection in each type of SOT. KT had the highest cumulative incidence (0.9%). Eight of the 14 KT recipients had been transplanted before the implementation of universal prophylaxis with TMP-SMX for the first 6 months in 1996. In these patients, PJP infection was diagnosed within 6 months in five of them (62.5%). From this period onwards, only one of the 6 cases of PJP (16.7%) was diagnosed within this period.
time frame. Mortality was high regardless of the duration of time since KT. Only one PJP case was identified in LuT and HT, with a cumulative incidence of 0.16% and 0.14%, respectively. The LuT patient received prophylaxis with pentamidine due to sulphonamide allergy. Both cases occurred within the first 6 months and could be successfully treated.

Table 2. Baseline characteristics of liver transplant recipients.

| Variable * | Population (n = 610) |
|------------|----------------------|
| **Age (Years)** | 55.3 (48.0–61.1) |
| **Gender (Male)** | 451 (73.9) |
| **Race (Caucasian)** | 604 (99.0) |
| **Primary Liver Disease** | |
| Alcohol | 280 (45.9) |
| Hepatitis C | 128 (21.0) |
| Alcohol + Hepatitis C | 48 (7.9) |
| Hepatitis B | 36 (5.9) |
| Primary Biliary Cholangitis | 21 (3.4) |
| Autoimmune Hepatitis | 13 (2.1) |
| Toxic | 10 (1.6) |
| Other | 74 (12.1) |
| **Indication of Liver Transplantation** | |
| Decompensated Cirrhosis | 332 (54.4) |
| Hepatocarcinoma | 200 (32.8) |
| Acute Liver Failure | 35 (5.7) |
| Acute-On-Chronic Liver Failure | 3 (0.5) |
| Other | 40 (6.6) |
| Retrasplant | 52 (8.5) |
| Hepatic Artery Thrombosis | 14 (26.9) |
| Recurrence of Primary Liver Disease | 10 (19.2) |
| Biliary Complications | 9 (17.3) |
| Hepatocarcinoma | 1 (1.9) |
| Other | 18 (34.6) |
| Other Transplants | 8 (1.3) |
| Renal (Simultaneous/Consecutive) | 5 (0.8)/1 (0.2) |
| Bone Marrow | 1 (0.2) |
| Heart | 1 (0.2) |
| Death | 297 (48.7) |
| Lost Follow-up ** | 35 (5.7) |
| **Median Time of Follow-up (years)** | 6.3 (1.6–12.8) |

* Quantitative variables were expressed as median and interquartile range and qualitative variables as absolute value (proportion). ** All these lost were due to change of residence to another region—follow-up was undertaken by the corresponding liver transplant unit.
Table 3. Characteristics of patients with *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia.

| Variable                                      | Case 1         | Case 2         | Case 3         | Case 4         | Case 5         |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Age at Diagnosis (Years)/Sex                  | 65.7/Male      | 51.5/Male      | 47.4/Male      | 68.6/Male      | 69.3/Male      |
| Etiology of Liver Disease                     | Hepatitis C    | Alcohol        | Alcohol        | Alcohol        | Alcohol        |
| Indication Of LT                              | Hepatocarcinoma| Decomp. Cirrhosis| Decomp. Cirrhosis| Decomp. Cirrhosis| Decomp. Cirrhosis|
| MELD/Child-Pugh (Points)                      | 11/5           | 23/9           | 15/7           | 14/10          | 19/10          |
| Year Of LT                                    | 1995           | 1997           | 1998           | 2005           | 2015           |
| Time from LT (Months)                         | 7.6            | 11.1           | 3.0            | 169.4          | 50.4           |
| Significant Comorbidities                     | No             | No             | Psoriasis      | Graves’ disease + COPD | Liver Allograft Cirrhosis |
| D/R CMV Serological Status                   | D+/R+          | D/+R           | D+/R+          | D+/R+          | D+/R+          |
| Immunosuppression                             | CsA + Steroids + Azathioprine | CsA + Steroids + Azathioprine | CsA + Everolimus | CsA + Everolimus | Tacrolimus + MMF + Everolimus |
| Acute Rejection Pre-Pneumocystis              | No             | No             | Yes            | No             | Yes            |
| Treatment of Acute Rejection                  | No             | No             | Yes            | No             | Yes            |
| Chronic Rejection                             | No             | No             | No             | Yes            | No             |
| Co-Existing Infections                        | Ophthalmic zoster | CMV           | Clostridium difficile | CMV           | Clostridium difficile |
| Symptoms                                      | Yes            | Yes            | Yes            | Yes            | Yes            |
| Fever                                         | Yes            | Yes            | Yes            | Yes            | Yes            |
| Cough                                         | Yes            | Yes            | Yes            | No             | No             |
| Dyspnea                                       | Yes            | No             | No             | Yes            | No             |
| Thoracic Pain                                 | Yes            | No             | No             | No             | No             |
| Leucocytes (X 10^3/M)                         | 5.5            | 6.2            | 3.8            | 6.2            | 3.0            |
| Lymphocytes (X 10^3/M)                        | 0.5            | 1.5            | 0.9            | 2              | 0.1            |
| Polymorphonuclear (X 10^3/M)                  | 4.7            | 4.1            | 2.4            | 3.5            | 2.5            |
| Chest CT                                      | No             | No             | Yes            | No             | Yes            |
| Radiological Findings                         | Ground Glass Opacities | Ground Glass Opacities | Consolidations + Ground Glass Opacities | Consolidations + Ground Glass Opacities | Consolidations + Ground Glass Opacities |
| Bronchoscopy                                  | No             | No             | Yes            | Yes            | Yes            |
| Stain                                         | Positive       | Negative       | Negative       | Negative       | Negative       |
| PCR                                           | No             | No             | No             | Positive       | Positive       |
| Lung Biopsy                                   | No             | No             | No             | Yes            | No             |
| Treatment of Pneumocystis                     | TMP-SMX + Corticoids | TMP-SMX + Corticoids | TMP-SMX        | TMP-SMX + Corticoids | Pentamidine |
| ICU Admission                                 | Yes            | No             | No             | No             | No             |
| Death from Pneumocystis                       | No             | No             | No             | No             | Yes            |

Abbreviations: COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CT, computed tomography; CMV, cytomegalovirus; CsA, cyclosporine; decomp, decompensated; D/R, donor/recipient; ICU, intensive care unit; LT, liver transplantation; MMF, mycophenolate mofetil; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; SBP, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis; TMP-SMX, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole.
Table 4. Cumulative incidence of *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia in other types of solid organ transplantation.

| Variables * | Kidney Transplant | Lung Transplant | Heart Transplant |
|-------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Number of Patients | 1600 ** | 642 | 705 |
| Number of Transplants | 2085 | 653 | 720 |
| PJP Cases | 14 | 1 | 1 |
| Cumulative Incidence (%) | 0.88 | 0.16 | 0.14 |
| Time from Transplant to PJP Diagnosis (Months) | 17.8 (2.0–103.6) | 1.5 | 6.0 |
| PJP Diagnosis Within 6 Months | 6 (42.9) | 1 (100) | 1 (100) |
| Death Due To PJP | 3 (21.4) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |

* Quantitative variables were expressed as median and interquartile range and qualitative variables as absolute value (proportion). ** Among these, 60 consisted of combined kidney-pancreas transplantation and 26 combined kidney-liver transplantation. Abbreviations: PJP, *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia.

3.4. Prophylaxis Strategies against *Pneumocystis jirovecii* in Spanish Liver Transplant Units

All 25 adult LT units in Spain responded to our query, the majority of which provide PJP prophylaxis (80%). All of these centres reported TMP-SMX as their drug of choice and all use the same dosage—160 mg of TMP and 800 mg of SMX (i.e., double strength) orally three-times weekly. Duration of PJP prophylaxis, however, varied: 12 months (n = 4, 16%), 6 months (n = 12, 48%), 3 months (n = 2, 8%), and between 6 and 12 months (n = 2, 8%). These latter two centres maintain prophylaxis for 12 months if steroids are not stopped at 3 months in one centre and at 6 months in the other. Otherwise, prophylaxis is stopped at 6 months. In contrast, five centres (20%) do not indicate prophylaxis. In one of these five centres, TMP-SMX prophylaxis is only applied in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection and in another centre only if antithymocyte polyclonal antibodies are used (1 case out of the last 200 LT at this centre). All LT units not performing prophylaxis argued a perceived low incidence of PJP at their institution as the primary reason for not employing prophylaxis.

4. Discussion

In liver transplant recipients, PJP is most frequently reported before 1992 when immunosuppressive regimens were more intense [8,9]. As these regimens have evolved over time, it is uncertain whether universal PJP prophylaxis is still applicable in the contemporary LT setting. The results of the present study show, in the second largest unprophylaxed LT cohort published to date, a very low incidence of PJP over a 30-year period, with most cases occurring beyond 6 months and during the first decade of the program when higher immunosuppression was prescribed. The survey to LT units in Spain indicates that, while anti-PJP prophylaxis with TMP/SMX is generally implemented in most centres, there is a wide degree of variability within that practice, and there is also an increasing number of centres that do not apply prophylaxis. The low incidence of PJP in our cohort is in line with recent series in which this infection occurred in less than 3% of LT recipients in the absence of prophylaxis [10–14]. These figures are below the recommended threshold for establishing anti-PJP prophylaxis in SOT patients [1,2], suggesting that previously reported incidence rates, on which the current practice of PJP prophylaxis is based, may have lost validity due to less aggressive immunosuppression regimens and to improvements in the quality of the pre- and post-transplant patient care. It must be highlighted, however, that immunosuppressive regimens vary greatly among centres, with some of them using more intense immunosuppression. Indeed, the use of induction regimens with interleukin-2 receptor blockers and antithymocyte globulins occurs in as many as ~20% and 5% of US liver transplant centres, with ~60% of them applying triple immunosuppression [31]. In contrast, our centre uses less aggressive immunosuppression regimens and, therefore, our findings cannot be extrapolated to centres with higher immunological risk. Two of our cases occurred far beyond the first year which is in agreement with increasing reports of late-onset PJP [24,25]. Both of them had risk factors for its development, which include low total and CD4+ lymphocyte counts, cytomegalovirus infection, hypogammaglobulinemia, graft rejection, and patient age [1,9,24]. In these high-risk patients, many centres tailor PJP prophylaxis by
continuing or reinstituting prophylaxis during the period of increased susceptibility [1]. These risk factors, however, do not provide an accurate individual risk assessment and explains why some centres such as our own do not apply prophylaxis even in high-risk patients. In order to decrease the morbidity of this infection but also to avoid unnecessary chemoprophylaxis because of its associated toxicity, well-standardised criteria to establish PJP prophylaxis are most needed. Local PJP prevalence should also be taken into account when assessing this risk, as outbreaks of PJP may occur in nosocomial settings, possibly due to person-to-person spread [1,14]. Our data support a negligible nosocomial transmission at our institution given the absence of outbreaks and the low PJP incidence in the other SOT.

This change in the epidemiology of PJP in LT recipients may lead to different prophylactic strategies among transplant centres. Based on the responses of our survey, there is a lack of consistent or unified approach across LT units in Spain. In line with current guidelines, most of the centres (80%) employ universal anti-PJP prophylaxis, but there is large variability regarding its duration, with a trend towards a shorter period of treatment. This is not surprising, as duration of prophylaxis has relied on expert consensus and not on high-quality evidence [1]. All these centres used the same drug and dosage, TMP-SMX (160 mg/800 mg) three-times weekly. The most striking finding was that 20% of the units do not prescribe prophylaxis due to a perceived low incidence of PJP infection at their institutions.

The main limitations of our study are related to its retrospective design and to the fact that we do not provide risk factors to better identify patients at high risk for PJP. Our low incidence, however, makes this latter analysis unreliable. Given the thorough examination and the nonrestrictive case definition for PJP (we included patients without microbiological confirmation) we believe in the accuracy of the reported incidence among LT recipients. Nevertheless, we acknowledge that liver recipients who died at other centres would not have been captured in our analysis and that PJP incidence might be underestimated in the other SOT patients, as the identification of PJP cases was based solely on administrative and microbiological records. It must be highlighted, however, that these sources have proved to be acceptably reliable since they identified 80% of PJP cases in LT recipients. Finally, we did not investigate the impact of our strategy on the occurrence of infections caused by other agents sensitive to TMP-SMX. Indeed, TMP-SMX has the potential advantage of being effective at preventing not only other opportunistic infections (e.g., Toxoplasma gondii or Nocardia spp), but also some respiratory, gastrointestinal, and urinary tract bacterial infections. However, the effectiveness of this additional preventive effect has not been adequately addressed and the routine use of prophylaxis favours the appearance of adverse effects of TMP-SMX. These include increase in serum creatinine, severe hyperkalemia, gastrointestinal complaints, Stevens–Johnson’s syndrome, drug-induced liver injury, interstitial nephritis, and concern for the development of TMP-SMX-resistant Pneumocystis jirovecii strains [1].

In conclusion, our findings demonstrate both a low incidence of PJP in our unprophylaxed transplant cohort, with infection occurring in most cases beyond the usual recommended period of prophylaxis, and a significant heterogeneity among prophylaxis strategies across Spanish LT centres. These data do not support a one-size-fits-all approach to PJP prophylaxis and call for new studies that allow for a better characterization of high risk PJP groups in which prophylaxis should be implemented.
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Abbreviations

BDG \(\beta\)-D-Glucan
CI Cumulative Incidence
CMV Cytomegalovirus
HT Heart Transplantation
ICD-9-CM International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification
IS Immunosuppression
KT Kidney Transplantation
LT Liver Transplant
LuT Lung Transplantation
MMF Mycophenolate Mofetil
mTORi Inhibitors of The Mammalian Target of Rapamycin
NA Not Applicable
OKT3 Monoclonal Antibody Targeted At The CD3 Receptor
PJP Pneumocystis Jirovecii Pneumonia
PTY Person Transplant Years
q.d. Daily
q.w. Once A Week
SLF-PYT Sulfadoxine/Pyrimethamine
SOT Solid Organ Transplant
TCMR T Cell-Mediated Rejection
t.i.w. Three-Times A Week
TMP-SMX Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole
TRANSNET Transplant-Associated Infection Surveillance Network
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