Modelling the spectra of the kilonova AT2017gfo – I: The photospheric epochs
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ABSTRACT

The kilonova (KN) associated with the binary neutron star (BNS) merger GW170817 is the only known electromagnetic counterpart to a gravitational wave source. Here we produce a sequence of radiative transfer models (using TARDIS) with updated atomic data, and compare them to accurately calibrated spectra. We use element compositions from nuclear network calculations based on a realistic hydrodynamical simulation of a BNS merger. We show that the blue spectrum at +1.4 days after merger requires a nucleosynthetic trajectory with a high electron fraction. Our best-fitting model is composed entirely of first $r$-process peak elements (Sr & Zr) and the strong absorption feature is reproduced well by Sr

\[ X_{\text{Sr}} = \frac{[\text{Sr}]}{[\text{Fe}]} \]

and Zr absorption. At this epoch, we set an upper limit on the lanthanide mass fraction of $X_{\text{Ln}} \leq 5 \times 10^{-3}$. In contrast, all subsequent spectra from +2.4 – 6.4 days require the presence of a modest amount of lanthanide material ($X_{\text{Ln}} = 0.05^{+0.05}_{-0.02}$), produced by a trajectory with $Y_e = 0.29$. This produces lanthanide-induced line blanketing below 6000 Å, and sufficient light $r$-process elements to explain the persistent strong feature at $\sim 0.7 – 1.0 \mu m$ (Sr$n$). The composition gives good matches to the observed data, indicating that the strong blue flux deficit results in the near-infrared (NIR) excess. The disjoint in composition between the first epoch and all others indicates either ejecta stratification, or the presence of two distinct components of material. This further supports the ‘two-component’ kilonova model, and constrains the element composition from nucleosynthetic trajectories. The major uncertainties lie in availability of atomic data and the ionisation state of the expanding material.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Mergers of binary neutron star systems have long been mooted as an ideal location for the synthesis of rapid neutron capture ($r$-process) elements (Metzger 2017). Many different theoretical simulations have shown that the extreme ejecta properties, coupled with the large neutron fraction in the expelled material is sufficient for the $r$-process to be viable (Lattimer & Schramm 1974; Eichler et al. 1989; Freiburghaus et al. 1999; Rosswog et al. 1999; Goriely et al. 2011, 2013, 2015; Korobkin et al. 2012; Perego et al. 2014; Wanajo et al. 2014; Just et al. 2015). However, spectrophotometric observations of real mergers are needed to confirm the predicted properties of these models.

The first discovery of gravitational waves from a BNS merger was made by the LIGO–Virgo scientific collaboration in 2017 (GW170817; Abbott et al. 2017). This led to the discovery of the kilonova AT2017gfo, the electromagnetic counterpart to the BNS merger (see Abbott et al. 2017; Andreoni et al. 2017; Arcavi et al. 2017; Chornock et al. 2017; Coulter et al. 2017; Cowperthwaite et al. 2017; Drout et al. 2017; Evans et al. 2017; Kasliwal et al. 2017; Lipunov et al. 2017; Nicholl et al. 2017; Pian et al. 2017; Shappee et al. 2017; Soares-Santos et al. 2017; Smartt et al. 2017; Tanvir et al. 2017; Troja et al. 2017; Utsumi et al. 2017; Valentti et al. 2017). There have been numerous attempts to interpret the evolution of the early spectra and photometry of AT2017gfo, with many authors invoking two components.

In a two-component model, two distinct components of ejecta material are produced. First, ejecta material is expelled dynamically, either during or immediately after the merger (on ~ millisecond timescales). This is followed by a second component of ejecta material (on timescales of ~ a second), that is partially blown away from a newly formed accretion disk surrounding the merged remnant (see Kasen et al. 2017, or any of the subsequent references, for more on the two-component model). The expected mass of dynamical ejecta from simulations of BNS mergers lies in the region $10^{-4} < M_{\text{dyn}} < 10^{-2} M_{\odot}$ (e.g. Ruffert et al. 1996; Rosswog et al. 1999; Goriely et al. 2011; Korobkin et al. 2012; Bauswein et al. 2013; Hotokezaka et al. 2013; Palenzuela et al. 2015; Foucart et al. 2016;
et al. (2018, 2020) show that the SEDs produced from low
et al. 2022). Models presented by Kasen et al. (2017) and Tanaka
and use those to model the broad spectral shapes. There are a num-
ber of different groups working on theoretical atomic data that can
produce with a lanthanide-poor ejecta composition, with the early
entire KN ejecta, and find that the AT2017gfo spectra can be re-
duce the solar r-process abundance pattern (e.g. Freiburghaus et al.
Goriely et al. 2011; Korobkin et al. 2012; Bauswein et al.
2013; Perego et al. 2014; Wanajo et al. 2014; Just et al. 2015;
Radice et al. 2018; Ardevol-Pulpillo et al. 2019; Just et al.
2022a; Kullmann et al. 2022). Main challenges include the modelling of
the different mass ejection channels of a merger and, in particular,
the exact distribution of the electron fraction \(Y_e\) in the different ejecta
components, which strongly affects the range of r-process elements
being produced. Also, different nuclear physics models determining
the reaction and decay rates of the r-process lead to recognizable
variations of the elemental abundances and associated decay heating
(e.g. Goriely et al. 2013; Goriely 2015; Mendoza-Temis et al. 2015;
Martin et al. 2016; Giuliani et al. 2018; Lemaitre et al. 2021; Zhu
et al. 2021).

Radiative transfer spectral modelling works focussed on
AT2017gfo have broadly followed two paths. The first has been to try
to directly identify features in the early spectra that belong to specific
elements, and use these to infer information about the ejecta material.
Smartt et al. (2017) suggest that the early spectral absorption can be
attributed to Te i and Cs i, which are both second r-process peak ele-
ments. Further analysis by Watson et al. (2019) suggests that the early
spectra of AT2017gfo can be explained by lighter r-process material.
Specifically, they attribute the same absorption feature in the early
spectra to Sr ii, a first r-process peak element. Both studies point
to the presence of r-process material, although they disagree over
which elements are dominating the early spectra. Gillanders et al.
(2021) searched for signatures of platinum and gold in the spectra,
using new atomic data from McCann et al. (2022), but no defini-
tive identification was forthcoming. Domoto et al. (2021) model the
entire KN ejecta, and find that the AT2017gfo spectra can be re-
produced with a lanthanide-poor ejecta composition, with the early
spectral absorption dominated by Sr ii (corroborating the finding of
Watson et al. 2019). Perego et al. (2022) explore the production of
light elements \((Z < 20)\) and Sr in early-phase KN ejecta, and present
some comparisons to AT2017gfo. They show that He may contribute
to absorption in the early spectra of AT2017gfo, although the mass
needed to produce a prominent feature exceeds the predicted synthe-
sised mass of He by around an order of magnitude. The predicted
synthesised mass of Sr is in agreement with the Sr mass inferred by
Watson et al. (2019).

The second approach has been to generate theoretical atomic data
and use those to model the broad spectral shapes. There are a num-
ber of different groups working on theoretical atomic data that can
be used to investigate BNS mergers (e.g. Kato et al. 2021; Olsen
et al. 2022). Models presented by Kasen et al. (2017) and Tanaka
et al. (2018, 2020) show that the SEDs produced from low \(Y_e\) mate-
rial can reproduce the rising NIR flux observed in the evolution of
AT2017gfo. They show that the presence of lanthanides in particular
critically affect the shape of the spectrum, resulting in a significant
shift of the emission to the NIR. Since these studies use atomic data
that are generally not calibrated to laboratory observed transitions,
there will be some systematic uncertainty in both the wavelength
and strengths of transitions in their models. Line blending due to the
high velocities and the multitude of transitions of these elements also
add to the difficulty in clear identification of particular ions. While the
Kasen et al. (2017) and Tanaka et al. (2018, 2020) models are
valid for modelling the overall shape of the spectra and inferring the
likely element mix, they are less effective in providing direct line
identification. A further complication is understanding the physics
of the radioactive heating and thermalisation mechanisms (Barnes
et al. 2021). Fontes et al. (2020) tabulated wavelength-dependent
opacities for all lanthanides and uranium, which have been used by
several authors (Even et al. 2020; Wollaeger et al. 2021; Korobkin
et al. 2021) to generate SEDs to compare with AT2017gfo, but this
method also does not allow identification of specific transitions.

To date, there is no published model that reproduces all the high
quality, accurately calibrated, daily spectra of AT2017gfo consist-
tently with a physically plausible mix of r-process elements. In this
work, we use such an element mix from nucleosynthetic trajectories
in BNS mergers, and calculate new radiative transfer models with all
publicly available (mostly calibrated) atomic data for the elements
beyond the iron group. Our spectral models reproduce the overall
shapes of the observed spectra, and we also identify the atoms and
ions that have the most prominent effects (absorption/emission) on
the spectra. In Section 2 we motivate our choice of spectral data to
model. In Section 3, we present our compiled atomic data set, and
in Section 4 we present the composition profiles from hydrodynamic
nucleosynthesis calculations. In Section 5, we describe the method-
ology for our models, and present the main results in Section 6. We
follow up with some discussion and our interpretation in Section 7.
Finally, we conclude in Section 8.

This paper focuses on the spectra which we consider to be still
within the photospheric phase and therefore valid for TANS modelling,
as described in Section 5. A second paper (Gillanders et al.
2022, in prep.) will analyse the spectra taken \(\gtrsim 7\) days. We refer to
this as Paper II throughout this manuscript.

2 THE OBSERVED SPECTRAL SEQUENCE OF AT2017gfo

Throughout this work, we present comparisons of models to the
observed spectra of AT2017gfo. We primarily use the set of ten
X-shooter spectra originally published by Pian et al. (2017) and
Smartt et al. (2017), and re-reduced and re-calibrated by the EN-
GRAVE collaboration (Ackley et al. 2020). These spectra have been
flux-calibrated to a compiled set of photometric measurements taken
from the published values of Andreoni et al. (2017); Arcavi et al.
(2017); Chornock et al. (2017); Cowperthwaite et al. (2017); Drout
et al. (2017); Evans et al. (2017); Kasliwal et al. (2017); Pian et
al. (2017); Smartt et al. (2017); Tanvir et al. (2017); Troja et al.
(2017); Utsumi et al. (2017); Valenti et al. (2017). This spectral data set
is publicly available on the ENGRAVE webpage\(^1\), and on WISErep\(^2\)
(Yaron & Gal-Yam 2012).

We also include the +0.5 d optical spectrum from Shappee et al.
\(^1\) www.engrave-eso.org/AT2017gfo-Data-Release
\(^2\) https://wiserep.weizmann.ac.il
(2017) in our analysis (no X-shooter data were taken on that night). This is a featureless, blue spectrum with no identifiable features, which we model to demonstrate consistency back to this very early epoch. We checked the flux calibration, and verified that it agrees well with the photometry available at this epoch.

We use the X-shooter set of observed spectra as they are a complete sequence taken daily for ten days, with good signal-to-noise (using an 8 m aperture telescope), full wavelength coverage across all wavelengths accessible from the ground (~ 0.3–2.5 μm), and they are well calibrated. While spectra were taken with other telescopes at the time (Andreoni et al. 2017; Chornock et al. 2017; Kasliwal et al. 2017; McCully et al. 2017; Nicholl et al. 2017; Shappee et al. 2017; Smartt et al. 2017; Troja et al. 2017), no other instrument provides as complete wavelength coverage, daily temporal coverage, and sensitivity for AT2017gfo. Excellent agreement between the observed photometry and synthetic photometry measured on the flux calibrated X-shooter spectra (from the ENGRAVE re-calibrations) indicate that this is the definitive spectral data sequence that should be used for modelling AT2017gfo.

3 ATOMIC DATA

Our modelling work is heavily dependent on accurate and reliable atomic data. As such, we have amassed a comprehensive data set that encompasses the species of interest for our study here. The main data set we use is the default data set that comes with TARDIS (STANDARD), which is based on Chianti data for H and He (Dere et al. 1997; Del Zanna et al. 2021), and Kurucz for all other elements (Kurucz 2017). This atomic data set contains line information for the lowest few ionisation states for all elements up to the first r-process peak (Z ~ 40). Beyond this, it contains some information but it is very incomplete, and is missing many ions of interest for our modelling. This STANDARD data set contains only transitions between known (and laboratory measured) energy levels. Although the wavelengths for all these transitions are reliable, the line list will be incomplete, since it will be missing transitions between levels that have not been experimentally measured.

Since we are especially interested in r-process species, we added the extended Kurucz atomic data (http://kurucz.harvard.edu/atoms.html) for Sr i–III, Y i–II and Zr i–III (ATOMS). These extended line lists contain transitions between both measured and theoretically predicted levels, and so they contain many more lines, albeit with potentially somewhat uncertain wavelength values. Table 1 illustrates the number of lines that were added to our data set by expanding our list to include the predicted lines for the first r-process peak elements.

Due to the complications involved with generating atomic data for heavier elements, with more complex structures beyond Z ~ 40, the Kurucz data base is, of course, incomplete. To combat this, we have supplemented these data sets with others that have coverage for some of these heavy species. The Database on Rare Earths At Mons university (dream, Biémont et al. 1999; Quinet & Palmeri 2020) contains atomic line info for some of the lowest ionisation stages of each of the lanthanides, and Lu (57 ≤ Z ≤ 71). This database contains line information for transitions between experimentally measured levels, and so all the lines will have reliable wavelengths.

We ingested all the data available, and preferentially used these values over any line data that were available within the STANDARD data for the same species. Table 1 contains information on the increased number of lines added by including the DREAM data. Finally, we also use new data for neutral, singly and doubly ionised platinum and gold, calculated by McCann et al. (2022) and previously used by Gillanders et al. (2021). The number of lines for these species are also included in Table 1 (QUB).

Using all these atomic data sources, we generated an atomic data set for use by TARDIS (see Section 5). For this, we used the CARUS package, which extracted the level energies and statistical weights, and also the Einstein A-values for all transitions. The ionisation information for all species included in our atomic data set are extracted from the National Institute of Standards and Technology Atomic Spectra Database (NIST ASD; Kramida et al. 2020).

| Species | Line list |
|---------|-----------|
|        | STANDARD | ATOMS | DREAM | QUB |
| H – Rb | 263204 | – | – | – |
| 38Sr i | 74 | 3359 | – | – |
| 38Sr II | 106 | 1341 | – | – |
| 38Sr III | 0 | 15541 | – | – |
| 39Y i | 328 | 68263 | – | – |
| 39Y II | 186 | 43434 | – | – |
| 40Zr i | 693 | 256457 | – | – |
| 40Zr II | 500 | 167948 | – | – |
| 40Zr III | 0 | 38197 | – | – |
| 41Nb – 56Ba | 6772 | – | – | – |
| La | 542 | – | 1767 | – |
| Ce | 2553 | – | 16018 | – |
| Pr | 577 | – | 13937 | – |
| Nd | 1279 | – | 3693 | – |
| Pm | 0 | – | 0 | – |
| Sm | 1577 | – | 752 | – |
| Eu | 489 | – | 1277 | – |
| Gd | 1399 | – | 1443 | – |
| Tb | 107 | – | 900 | – |
| Dy | 1180 | – | 2332 | – |
| Ho | 90 | – | 1158 | – |
| Er | 902 | – | 1453 | – |
| Tm | 752 | – | 9946 | – |
| Yb | 385 | – | 7548 | – |
| Lu | 154 | – | 206 | – |
| Hf – Ir | 5277 | – | – | – |
| Pt i | 157 | – | 1737 | – |
| Pt II | 0 | – | 14868 | – |
| Pt III | 0 | – | 65468 | – |
| Au i | 61 | – | 152 | – |
| Au II | 0 | – | 2872 | – |
| Au III | 0 | – | 6792 | – |
| Hg – Ra | 169 | – | – | – |
| Ac | 0 | – | – | – |
| Th | 2035 | – | – | – |
| Pa | 0 | – | – | – |
| U | 1140 | – | – | – |

Table 1. Number of lines belonging to various species and elements of interest. We preferentially included the ATOMS, DREAM and QUB data over that included within the STANDARD atomic line list for all species and elements listed below. The QUAD data are described by McCann et al. (2022).
4 COMPOSITION PROFILES

One of the primary aims of modelling the spectra of AT2017gfo is to determine which elemental species are responsible for the observed features. We also want to determine if the overall shape of the spectra, and their evolution, is consistent with compositions expected for BNS mergers. From a hypothetical standpoint, one can imagine undertaking a study where the abundance of every element is treated as a free parameter, with the aim to empirically constrain the composition of the ejecta of AT2017gfo at different epochs, in an effort to understand its evolution. However, this approach is not feasible in practice. It would lead to too many free parameters, taking too long to explore, as well as wasting computational resources. Therefore, to save time, we opted to not treat each element as a free parameter, but instead to base our modelling on theoretical work, with compositions obtained from nucleosynthetic calculations from hydrodynamical simulations of BNS mergers. This approach greatly reduces the number of parameters under investigation and provides physically motivated and realistic compositions.

We based our work on the composition extracted from the dynamical mass ejection simulated by a realistic hydrodynamical simulation of a BNS merger (as presented by Goriely et al. 2011, 2013, 2015; Bauswein et al. 2013), which we artificially modify to obtain a larger variation in the composition. Specifically, we adopt the hydrodynamical evolution from a smooth particle hydrodynamics simulation of a merger of two stars, both with $M = 1.35 \text{M}_\odot$, employing the SFHo equation of state (Steiner et al. 2013). Since we use smooth particle hydrodynamics, the simulation provides a number of fluid element trajectories representing the ejecta. For those, we set the initial $Y_e$ by hand, which we choose to be proportional to the inclination angle $\theta$ of the given fluid element, to resemble a higher $Y_e$ towards the poles. More specifically, we assign $Y_e = \theta/\pi$, with the inclination angle $\theta$ measured from the equator, such that we obtain $0 \leq Y_e \leq 0.5$. Finally, we bin all trajectories by angle; i.e. effectively by $Y_e$, where we still distinguish ejection towards the northern and southern hemispheres, which features some degree of statistical fluctuation. This setup does not represent a fully self-consistent description of the merger ejecta, but it provides more flexibility with regards to the composition, and still maintains a certain degree of realism. We also remark that we do not include trajectories of matter becoming unbound on longer timescales, since our simulation ends a few tens of milliseconds after merging.

We run nuclear network calculations for every trajectory, and sum up the composition mass-averaged within the different bins. The nucleosynthesis calculation starts as soon as the temperature drops below $T = 10^{10}$ K, and the density is below the neutrino-drip density ($\rho_{\text{drip}} \approx 4.2 \times 10^{11} \text{g cm}^{-3}$), at which point the initial abundances of heavy nuclei are determined by nuclear statistical equilibrium at the given electron fraction, density and temperature. For the first 10 ms after merging, the density history is consistently followed by the numerical simulation. Afterwards, the ejected matter is assumed to expand freely with constant velocity. The radii of the ejecta clumps thus grow linearly with time, $t$, and consequently, their densities evolve approximately proportional to $t^{-3}$. As soon as the full reaction network is initiated, the temperature evolution is determined on the basis of the laws of thermodynamics, allowing for possible nuclear heating through $\beta$-decays, fission, and $\alpha$-decays (Meyer 1989).

The nucleosynthesis is followed with a full reaction network, including all 5000 species from protons up to $Z = 110$, lying between the valley of $\beta$-stability and the neutron-drip line (for more details, see Goriely et al. 2011; Bauswein et al. 2013; Just et al. 2015). All charged-particle fusion reactions on light and medium-mass elements that play a role when the nuclear statistical equilibrium freezes out are included, in addition to radiative neutron captures and photodisintegrations. The reaction rates on light species are taken from the NETGEN library, which includes all the latest compilations of experimentally determined reaction rates (Xu et al. 2013). By default, experimentally unknown reactions are estimated with the TALYS code (Goriely et al. 2008) on the basis of the HFB-21 nuclear masses (Goriely et al. 2010). Fission processes, including neutron-induced fission, spontaneous fission, $\beta$-delayed fission, as well as $\beta$-delayed neutron emission, are considered as detailed in Goriely (2015). The $\beta$-decay rates are taken from the mean field plus the relativistic QRPA calculation of Marketin et al. (2016), when not available experimentally.

The different $Y_e$ regimes produce starkly different compositions, as shown in Figure 1, and this is why we consider the compositions via angle/$Y_e$ bins; it provides us with a diverse range of composition profiles for use in our radiative transfer modelling. The bins are named corresponding to their average $Y_e$ value, and have either the suffix a or b, depending on whether the compositions were extracted from above or below the equatorial plane. In Table 2, we present lists of the top ten most abundant elements (as well as a few other elements of interest), and their relative mass fractions, for each of the twelve compositions we have extracted from the simulation. We also present the ‘Average’ composition for reference, which represents the composition averaged across the entire simulation.

These different compositions provide ‘representative’ compositions that are feasible for BNS mergers. However, we wish to highlight the following points. First, since the bulk of the material is ejected along the equatorial plane, and the nucleosynthesis calculations are based on a sample of massive tracer particles, the ejecta are generally better sampled for equatorial bins; i.e., lower $Y_e$. As we move towards the poles, the amount of material (and thus number of simulated nucleosynthesis tracer particles) in these angle bins drops off significantly, leading to more poorly sampled compositions, because of the significantly smaller solid angle. This leads to some larger scatter within the composition of these bins, which will get worse for bins with higher $Y_e$. This becomes most apparent when comparing our high $Y_e$ compositions, $Y_e \sim 0.44a$ and $Y_e \sim 0.44b$. These composition bins should be comparable, yet the iron abundance varies significantly ($0.313$ in $Y_e \sim 0.44a$, versus $0.0108$ in $Y_e \sim 0.44b$).

Second, we wish to clarify that we are not using these compositions to represent viewing angle. We have extracted these compositions in this manner to provide us with a diverse range, or selection, of composition profiles that could feasibly be produced from a BNS merger, as opposed to summing up the entire ejecta from the simulation, which would provide a single composition. This set of compositions are what we base our sequence of spectral models upon.

Finally, it should be emphasised that the thermodynamic properties of each trajectory is given by the hydrodynamical simulation. In particular, each of the twelve $Y_e$ bins is found to be ejected with a rather similar average expansion velocity, $\langle v \rangle \approx 0.25 \pm 0.05 \text{c}$, except along the pole, where larger average velocities $\sim 0.4 \text{c}$ are found. Similarly, each of the twelve $Y_e$ bins are characterised by initial entropies per nucleon of $\sim 25 \pm 5 k_B$. For this reason, in each bin, the electron fraction is the key property governing the nucleosynthesis.

5 SPECTRAL ANALYSIS METHOD

Numerous attempts have been made to interpret the early spectra of AT2017gfo. Many of these have invoked the two-component model (rapidly expanding, low opacity, blue component, followed
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Figure 1. Mass fractions of the ejecta material at $t = 1\, \text{d}$, as a function of mass number, $A$ (left panels) or atomic number, $Z$ (right panels), divided into the twelve $Y_e$ composition bins. The solar system $r$-process abundance distribution (open circles) from Goriely (1999), arbitrarily normalised, is shown for comparison.

by a slower moving, high opacity, red component) to explain the evolution of the early SEDs (Chornock et al. 2017; Cowperthwaite et al. 2017; Kasen et al. 2017; Coughlin et al. 2018). However, other works dispute multi-component models being necessary to explain the early evolution of AT2017gfo. For example, Smartt et al. (2017) and Waxman et al. (2018) argue that the lightcurve and spectra can be adequately reproduced with a single ejecta component, with low-to-moderate opacity. Throughout this work, we use single zone models to calculate theoretical spectra of AT2017gfo. Using different compositions from the hydrodynamical simulations allows us to test if a single component, or multiple, are required to explain the observed spectral evolution.

For all the modelling we present in this work, we use the 1D Monte Carlo radiative transfer code, TARDIS (Temperature And Radiative Diffusion In Supernovae; Kerzendorf & Sim 2014; Kerzendorf et al. 2018). This code is capable of rapidly generating synthetic spectra of explosive transients. TARDIS begins by assuming a spherically symmetric explosion, with ejecta material that is expanding homologously. The code assumes an inner boundary (the properties of which are determined from user inputs), beneath which the ejecta material is completely optically thick. The line-forming region is the expanding material above this boundary. TARDIS assumes that the radiation emerging from this boundary perfectly resembles a blackbody. This inner boundary, or ‘photospheric’, approximation greatly reduces the complexity of the simulation, since we do not consider the internal powering mechanism. It also reduces the velocity space we need to simulate, since the code need only simulate the ejecta properties above the inner boundary. This assumption of a sharp photosphere within our TARDIS models can impact the results inferred from modelling. In a real astrophysical explosion, there is no single position where the material transitions between being optically thick and thin. In reality, this position will vary with wavelength, and so the position of this sharp inner boundary within TARDIS is unphysical, and affects the model SED.

One would expect to be able to ‘see’ deeper within the ejecta in the NIR than in the optical or the UV. Therefore, if the photosphere is
Table 2. Ten most abundant elements in each of the twelve $X_e$ compositions, plus some other elements of interest. We have also included the abundance averaged across the entire simulation (“Average”) for completeness. The final row of the table provides the sum of the lanthanide mass fraction ($X_{\text{Eu}}$) in each of the $X_e$ compositions. Column headers: El. – Element symbol, M.F. – Mass Fraction. The values are presented in scientific notation, where the bracketed number is the exponent; e.g., $3.13 \times 10^{-1}$. A complete version of this table containing the entire abundance lists is included in the supplementary materials.

| $X_e$ | $Y_e$ | $X_e$ | $Y_e$ | $X_e$ | $Y_e$ | $X_e$ | $Y_e$ | $X_e$ | $Y_e$ |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Fe $^{56}$ | 1.00(-1) | Ni $^{56}$ | 6.05(-5) | Zr $^{90}$ | 6.15(-5) | Ce $^{144}$ | 4.95(-6) | Nd $^{144}$ | 9.03(-2) |
| Fe $^{56}$ | 1.00(-1) | Ni $^{59}$ | 4.72(-3) | Zr $^{90}$ | 1.48(-5) | Ce $^{144}$ | 3.20(-5) | Nd $^{144}$ | 1.03(-2) |
| Fe $^{56}$ | 1.00(-1) | Ni $^{63}$ | 1.58(-5) | Zr $^{90}$ | 2.60(-6) | Ce $^{144}$ | 9.64(-3) | Nd $^{144}$ | 1.03(-2) |
| Fe $^{56}$ | 1.00(-1) | Ni $^{65}$ | 4.95(-6) | Zr $^{90}$ | 2.60(-6) | Ce $^{144}$ | 9.64(-3) | Nd $^{144}$ | 1.03(-2) |
| Fe $^{56}$ | 1.00(-1) | Ni $^{67}$ | 2.70(-2) | Zr $^{90}$ | 2.60(-6) | Ce $^{144}$ | 9.64(-3) | Nd $^{144}$ | 1.03(-2) |
| Fe $^{56}$ | 1.00(-1) | Ni $^{69}$ | 2.70(-2) | Zr $^{90}$ | 2.60(-6) | Ce $^{144}$ | 9.64(-3) | Nd $^{144}$ | 1.03(-2) |
| Fe $^{56}$ | 1.00(-1) | Ni $^{71}$ | 2.70(-2) | Zr $^{90}$ | 2.60(-6) | Ce $^{144}$ | 9.64(-3) | Nd $^{144}$ | 1.03(-2) |
| Fe $^{56}$ | 1.00(-1) | Ni $^{73}$ | 2.70(-2) | Zr $^{90}$ | 2.60(-6) | Ce $^{144}$ | 9.64(-3) | Nd $^{144}$ | 1.03(-2) |

positioned such that it agrees with the data in the UV and optical parts of the spectrum, then we will lose information from NIR photons beneath this boundary. This will lead to disagreements with data at NIR wavelengths. To combat this problem, the inner boundary are used to compute a synthetic spectrum.

Figure 2 schematically illustrates how TARDIS functions, which are typically the focus for spectroscopic studies of SNe).

Throughout this paper, where we mention the term ‘interaction’, we are referring to the photon–ion/atom interactions within our TARDIS simulations, unless otherwise stated.

\[ \rho(v, \lambda_{\text{exp}}) = \rho_0 \left( \frac{I_0}{\lambda_{\text{exp}}} \right)^3 \left( \frac{v}{v_0} \right)^{-\Gamma} \]
how the model parameters control the shape of the SED and ionisation of the ejecta material. Although a fixed temperature throughout our model ejecta is simplistic, we note that our largest systematic uncertainty does not arise from this, but is due to the incompleteness of the atomic data and the simple excitation/ionisation assumptions. Our model temperatures at each epoch were deduced by fitting a TARDIS model continuum to the observed spectrum, allowing some minor deviation if it improved the overall fit to observations, when the effects of line interactions were considered. In this approach, our model luminosity is not a free parameter, and is computed via

\[ L = 4\pi (v_{\text{min}} \times t_{\text{exp}})^2 \sigma_\text{B} T^4 \]  

assuming homologous expansion, where \( \sigma_\text{B} \) is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant and \( T \) is the model temperature. Finally, \( t_{\text{exp}} \) was well-constrained at all epochs from the GW detection associated with AT2017gfo (Abbott et al. 2017).

As a check, we compare the density profile from the hydrodynamic simulation used in the nucleosynthesis calculations (Section 4) with the analytic density profile formulated in Equation 1. The hydrodynamical simulation was binned into twelve discrete velocity bins, which span a large velocity range (0.03 – 0.93 \( c \)). Assuming homologous expansion, the corresponding densities for each bin have been derived at 1 day post-explosion. The TARDIS density has been computed for the same epoch and we compare them in Figure 3. The grey shaded region encompasses the velocity space we are sensitive to in our best-fitting TARDIS models for the +1.4 – 4.4 d spectra of AT2017gfo, that we present in Section 6. Our TARDIS model density is a factor of \(~5\) lower than in the hydrodynamic simulation, although the exponents of both profiles are comparable. This discrepancy is clearly evident in Figure 3, where the TARDIS model density has been scaled by a factor of 5 (within the velocity range our TARDIS models are sensitive to). We see that this resembles the density profile extracted from the hydrodynamic simulation. The discrepancy between our TARDIS and hydrodynamic simulation density profiles arises from our method of selecting the density profile in TARDIS. We treated the TARDIS density profile as a free parameter, and converged towards the solution that is best able to reproduce the observations across a range of epochs (see Section 6). From this discrepancy, it is apparent that we require less ejecta material in the TARDIS line-forming region to reproduce the observations than the hydrodynamic simulation predicts. A more in-depth analysis exploring this discrepancy should be undertaken, but is outside the scope of this paper.

When discussing our model results in Section 6, we will explore the Spectral element DEComposition (SDEC) plots for our models. These plots are produced by the TARDIS code to illustrate the contribution each interaction type has on our model spectrum. The SDEC plot assists in highlighting the last interaction the escaping packets have, which allows us to determine which component of the model ejecta has the most prominent effect on our synthetic spectra. Absorption is illustrated by the coloured regions beneath the Flux = 0 level, and the strength of the absorption feature is proportional to its size. Different colours correspond to different ions in the model, with only the most prominent ones highlighted, for clarity. Emission from the last interaction is indicated above the Flux = 0 level, with free electron scattering and the inner boundary also contributing to our emergent spectrum. These plots are vital for highlighting the contribution different species have on our emergent spectrum.

When presenting our results in Section 6, we refer to our ‘best-fitting’ TARDIS models. All our model comparisons have been performed using a ‘\( \chi \)-by-eye’ approach, as is commonly performed in SN studies (see e.g. Stehle et al. 2005). This approach suffers from the issue that it can be difficult to determine the validity of simi-
6 SPECTRAL ANALYSIS RESULTS

Here we present the results of our TARDIS modelling for the spectra of AT2017gfo during its earliest epochs. As the transient exhibits rapid spectroscopic evolution, AT2017gfo may remain in a photospheric regime only for the first few days post-explosion. Beyond this, the single-temperature blackbody photospheric approximation used within TARDIS may not be capable of reproducing the spectra in a physically meaningful way. We attempt to replicate the spectra taken within the first week after explosion, but caution that the models for the later epochs (beyond ~ 4 days) may not be reliable. All epochs and spectra are referred to by the time from the gravitational wave merger time for GW170817.

6.1 Epoch 0: +0.5 day spectrum

This spectrum from Shappee et al. (2017) is the earliest available, taken just 0.5 days after the gravitational wave detection associated with AT2017gfo. We show the spectrum in Figure 4 and it appears to be hot, blue and featureless. We find a good fit to the observations with a TARDIS continuum temperature, $T = 10000$ K, which is also plotted. Beyond constraining the temperature at this epoch, we cannot deduce much else about the transient. We cannot directly constrain the composition, due to the lack of observed spectroscopic features. For completeness, we take our best-fitting composition profiles for the subsequent spectra ($Y_e = 0.37a$ for the +1.4 d X-shooter spectrum, and $Y_e = 0.29a$ for the +2.4 – 7.4 d spectra; see Sections 6.2 – 6.6), and evolve them backwards to this epoch to see if we should expect to see any observable features at this epoch. These models are also plotted in Figure 4. The models that we present are identical, apart from their composition. For both, we use $T = 10000$ K, $\rho_0 = 1.2 \times 10^{-14} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, and $\nu_{\text{min}} = 0.30 \text{ c}$. Our TARDIS model spectra exhibit no strong absorption features at the wavelengths covered by the data, as the ejecta are much too hot. The absence of any absorption features is in agreement with the data. We note that our TARDIS models exhibit strong absorption at ultra-violet (UV) wavelengths ($\lambda \lesssim 3000 \text{ Å}$), indicating that UV observations of future events are likely to be required if one wants to constrain the ejecta composition during the first 12 – 24 hours. It will be challenging to confidently identify a counterpart around trigger and acquire high quality spectra from one of the Hubble Space Telescope’s UV spectrometers (COS or STIS) within the first day of observation of a future kilonova.

6.2 Epoch 1: +1.4 day spectrum

6.2.1 Best-fitting $Y_e$ composition

The spectral evolution of AT2017gfo from a hot, featureless blackbody, to a redder spectrum peaking between $0.7 – 1.1 \mu\text{m}$ within 2.4 days is unlike any previously observed extragalactic transient. The first X-shooter spectrum, obtained at +1.4d, is cooler than the spectrum at +0.5d, but still much bluer than all subsequent spectra. This spectrum almost certainly represents the transient while it is in a photospheric regime, making it a good candidate for accurate TARDIS modelling (as previously demonstrated by Smartt et al. 2017; Watson et al. 2019; Gillanders et al. 2021; Perego et al. 2022).

The SED of the observed spectrum can be reasonably well-approximated by a TARDIS model continuum with $T = 4500$ K. Using the composition profiles discussed in Section 4, we initially find that the best-fitting composition for our TARDIS model at this epoch is $Y_e = 0.37a$, which is dominated by elements from the first $r$-process peak (see Table 2). The broad absorption feature present in the spectrum between $\sim 7000 – 10000 \\text{Å}$ can be reproduced well by strontium, which is the dominant element by mass fraction in this $Y_e = 0.37a$ composition. Specifically, the absorption feature is caused
by the Sr \( \text{i} \ 4d - \ 5p \) triplet. This is not a new result; Watson et al. (2019) previously identified Sr \( \text{i} \) as a viable candidate for reproducing this feature in the same X-shooter spectrum of AT2017gfo, also using TARDIS.

Assuming this feature is produced predominantly by Sr \( \text{i} \) absorption, we are able to replicate the feature shape with a model minimum ejecta velocity, \( v_{\min} = 0.28 \text{c} \). To get the model absorption between \( \sim 7000 - 10000 \text{ Å} \) to match observation (while keeping the composition ratios fixed), we require \( \rho_0 = 1.2 \times 10^{-14} \text{ g cm}^{-3} \), corresponding to a Sr \( \text{i} \) model mass (in the TARDIS line-forming region) of \( M_{\text{Sr \text{i}}} = 1.6 \times 10^{-7} \text{ M}_\odot \). Table 3 contains all relevant model input parameters, as well as information on the total mass in the TARDIS model, and masses for some of the dominant elements and ions. We highlight again that all masses quoted here, and throughout this manuscript, represent only the mass within the computational domain of the TARDIS models, illustrated as the line-forming region in Figure 2. From TARDIS modelling, we cannot independently constrain either the composition or total mass beneath the inner boundary. That is best estimated from the evolution of total luminosity, since that measures total radioactive powering and integrated opacity. (e.g. Smartt et al. 2017; Villar et al. 2017; Waxman et al. 2018; Nicholl et al. 2021).

Our best-fitting \( Y_e = 0.37a \) model spectrum is shown in the top panel of Figure 5. To highlight the effects of radiation transport though the expanding material, we also plot the TARDIS model continuum; i.e. the input spectrum from the inner boundary before photon packet interaction occurs in the line-forming region. The differences between this continuum model and our best fitting TARDIS model are due to photon–ion/atom interactions, and so they highlight which regions of the spectrum are most affected by the expanding material.

Despite achieving quite good agreement between the model and observations between 0.5 – 1.25 \( \mu \text{m} \), and in the region of the Sr \( \text{i} \) absorption feature, there are noticeable discrepancies between the model and the data. Recall that the spectrum is accurately calibrated to photometric measurements across the full wavelength range. The disagreement in the NIR is likely due to the photospheric approximation within TARDIS (see Section 5.) In our TARDIS models, we have tuned the position of the photosphere such that it agrees with the data in the UV and optical parts of the spectrum. The code does not simulate anything beneath this boundary, and so we lose any information from NIR photons beneath this boundary. Therefore, we expect our model continua at wavelengths, \( \lambda \gtrsim 12000 \text{ Å} \), to be under-luminous relative to observations, since our NIR photon count is lower than we expect from a real astrophysical explosion. Because of this, we highlight that any difference between observations and our TARDIS models beyond this wavelength should not be interpreted as support for a real NIR excess in the data, in the sense of the excess proposed by two-component kilonova models (as in Chornock et al. 2017; Cowperthwaite et al. 2017; Kasen et al. 2017; Coughlin et al. 2018).

The disagreement in the UV and optical part of the spectrum is more informative with respect to the composition of the ejecta. Our \( Y_e = 0.37a \) model spectrum exhibits too much absorption below \( \sim 5000 \text{ Å} \). We have tuned the amount of material in the simulation (through the density parameter \( \rho_0 \)) such that the Sr \( \text{i} \) feature matches the spectrum shape, but this leads to too much material causing significant absorption in the blue, which is not observed. Assuming that the feature between \( \sim 7000 - 10000 \text{ Å} \) really is Sr \( \text{i} \), this implies that the amount of Sr in our composition is too low, relative to the other elements in the \( Y_e = 0.37a \) composition profile. If we drop the total amount of material in the ejecta to reduce absorption in the blue (by reducing \( \rho_0 \)), and better match the data at wavelengths \( \lesssim 6000 \text{ Å} \), the Sr \( \text{i} \) absorption feature becomes much too weak. This strongly implies that the data require a composition with higher Sr abundance than that in \( Y_e = 0.37a \) (e.g. \( Y_e = 0.37b \) and \( Y_e = 0.44b \)).

### 6.2.2 Other \( Y_e \) compositions

A similarly good fit can be obtained using the \( Y_e = 0.37b \) composition, with parameters similar to those used for the best-fitting \( Y_e = 0.37a \) model presented in Figure 5 (\( v_{\min} = 0.28 \text{c} \), \( T = 4500 \text{ K} \), and \( \rho_0 = 10^{-14} \text{ g cm}^{-3} \) for the \( Y_e = 0.37b \) model, versus \( v_{\min} = 0.28 \text{c} \), \( T = 4500 \) K, and \( \rho_0 = 1.2 \times 10^{-14} \text{ g cm}^{-3} \) for our best-fitting \( Y_e = 0.37a \) model). This is to be expected, since \( Y_e = 0.37a \) and \( Y_e = 0.37b \) exhibit similar compositions, at least across the dominant few elements (see Figure 1 and Table 2 for a comparison between the ten most abundant elements in each of the \( Y_e \) composition profiles). The abundance of Sr increases by a factor \( \sim 1.7 \) from \( Y_e = 0.37a \) to \( Y_e = 0.37b \), which is somewhat reflected in the drop in \( \rho_0 \) needed to fit the Sr \( \text{i} \) feature in the observed spectrum with these composition profiles.

However, this \( Y_e = 0.37b \) model suffers from the same issue as our best-fitting \( Y_e = 0.37a \) model, namely that there is still too much absorption in the blue, which can be mostly attributed to Y and Zr (see the SDEC plot in the lower panel of Figure 5). While the increase in Sr abundance from \( Y_e = 0.37a \) to \( Y_e = 0.37b \) is favourable (0.194 to...
Table 3. Input model parameters and inferred masses in the line-forming regions of the TARDIS models for the first four epochs, which we are reasonably confident are in a photospheric regime. The masses present in this region for relevant species are also listed. The model parameters are illustrated and represented in Figure 2. The model parameters in bold correspond to our preferred best-fitting model for each epoch.

| Epoch (days) | $v_{\text{min}}$ (c) | $T$ (K) | $\rho_0$ ($10^{-15}$ g cm$^{-3}$) | Composition profile | $M_{\text{yr}}$ ($10^{-6}$ M$_\odot$) | Sr II (Sr) mass ($10^{-6}$ M$_\odot$) | Y II (Y) mass ($10^{-6}$ M$_\odot$) | Zr II (Zr) mass ($10^{-6}$ M$_\odot$) |
|--------------|-----------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1.4          | 0.28            | 4500  | 12                            | Y$_e$-0.37a       | 400            | 0.16 (47)      | 1.83 (7.4)     | 14 (31)        |
| 2.4          | 0.20            | 3600  | 4.0                           | Y$_e$-0.29a (1 ≤ Z ≤ 92) | 200            | 1.9 (6.5)      | 1.5 (1.6)      | 14 (15)        |
| 3.4          | 0.15            | 3400  | 4.0                           | Y$_e$-0.29a (1 ≤ Z ≤ 92) | 300            | 6.1 (9.9)      | 2.4 (2.4)      | 22 (22)        |
| 4.4          | 0.12            | 3200  | 4.0                           | Y$_e$-0.29a (1 ≤ Z ≤ 92) | 380            | 11 (12)        | 3.0 (3.1)      | 28 (28)        |
| 0.331), the accompanying abundance increase in Zr (0.129 to 0.166) is disfavourable. The Y abundance decreases slightly, from 0.031 in Y$_e$-0.37a, to 0.029 in Y$_e$-0.37b. This small decrease does not significantly impact our model spectra. Although the increase in Sr abundance means we can now fit the Sr II absorption feature with less total ejecta material, an increase in the abundance of Zr counteracts any intended improvement we would hope to see in the blue end of the spectrum. We plot this Y$_e$-0.37b model alongside the +1.4 d X-shooter spectrum in the upper panel of Figure 6.

This pronounced line-blanketing effect seen in our models is not too surprising. Both Y and Zr are open d-shell elements, with many low-lying energy levels, which in turn leads to significant absorption. Kawaguchi et al. (2021) show that the opacity contribution from Y and Zr (as well as the lanthanides), can act as strong flux suppressors at near-UV and optical wavelengths. Ristic et al. (2022) conclude the same, at least for the Zr case.

For completeness, we explore what our models predict with our high (Y$_e$-0.44a & Y$_e$-0.44b) and low (Y$_e$-0.05a & Y$_e$-0.05b) Y$_e$ composition profiles. We plot the model spectra corresponding to our best-fitting model parameters (for our best-fitting Y$_e$-0.37a model presented in Section 6.2.1), with both Y$_e$-0.44a and Y$_e$-0.44b composition profiles, in the upper panel of Figure 6, alongside the +1.4 d X-shooter spectrum of AT2017gfo. The composition profiles represented by Y$_e$-0.44a and Y$_e$-0.44b have the lightest element compositions, and are relatively rich in Fe-group elements. These model spectra both produce an absorption feature centred at ~6500 Å. This is produced by the Ca II 3d – 4p NIR triplet. This Ca II triplet is analogous to the Sr II triplet due to their similar electronic configurations, and is commonly observed in supernova spectra. Despite Ca only being present in small quantities in our Y$_e$-0.44a and Y$_e$-0.44b compositions (~1.5 and 0.4 per cent, respectively), the triplet transitions are prominent in the model spectrum, and act as a strong argument against the high Y$_e$ composition profiles. This result is in agreement with that presented by Domoto et al. (2021), where they found that the Ca II NIR triplet was also prominent in their lanthanide-poor KN models. Although these models are a poor fit to the data, they do serve to highlight what future KN events may look like at this epoch (assuming it is blue and dominated by ‘light’ ejecta material).

We also calculated TARDIS model spectra for the Y$_e$-0.05a and Y$_e$-0.05b composition profiles (which have X$_e$ = 0.38 and 0.34, respectively), and these models are plotted in the upper panel of Figure 6. These models are significantly redder, as they have much stronger absorption in the optical, and they fail to produce the ~1 μm Sr II feature. Clearly these models do not match observation, but they do demonstrate how early spectra may appear if lanthanide-rich kilonovae are found in the future (and there is no blue component). These peak at wavelengths between ~7000 – 8000 Å, indicating the i-band is likely to be the ‘sweet spot’ for searching for distant, lanthanide-rich kilonovae. Finally, we note that the heavy element Y$_e$-0.05a and Y$_e$-0.05b composition profiles contain significant platinum and gold, and, in agreement with Gillanders et al. (2021), we find no signatures of these in the AT2017gfo spectra.

6.2.3 First r-process peak model

The dominant elements in our best-fitting Y$_e$-0.37a model spectrum at the blue end (λ ≤ 6000 Å) of the spectrum are Y and Zr. This is clear from looking at the SDEC plot in the lower panel of Figure 5. Our model clearly exhibits strong absorption from Y and Zr at the blue end, and prominent Sr absorption between ~7000 – 10000 Å. From various r-process studies (see Arnold et al. 2007, for a review on the r-process), we expect that if Sr is synthesised, then these other two first r-process peak elements must also be synthesised. However, if we tune the amount of Sr to match the data, while keeping the mass fractions fixed, then this leads to too much absorption from Y and Zr. This implies that the relative ratios of Sr : Y : Zr in our Y$_e$-0.37a composition (and, by extension, in Y$_e$-0.37b) may not represent what is observed in AT2017gfo. We thus generated models consisting purely of these three species, and freely varied their relative ratios, and $\rho_0$, while keeping all other model parameters from our best-fitting Y$_e$-0.37a model fixed.

We found that to fit the observations, we need a value of $\rho_0 \approx 4 \times 10^{-15}$ g cm$^{-3}$, a factor of 3 lower than our best-fitting
To reproduce the overall shape of the spectrum with just Sr and Zr (see the discussion in Section 7.2 on the uncertainty in Sr ionisation).

Figure 5 compares the best-fitting $Y_e = 0.37a$ TARDIS model with the +1.4 d X-shooter spectrum of AT2017gfo. Plotted alongside is our best-fitting model composed purely of Sr and Zr, which we refer to as our ‘1$^\text{st}$ r-peak’ model. Removal of additional species outside of the first r-process peak, as well as adjusting the relative abundances of the first r-process peak elements, improves the agreement between the model and observations. Quite a satisfactory fit is found with this simple composition.

### 6.3 Epoch 2: +2.4 day spectrum

The second X-shooter spectrum of AT2017gfo, taken +2.4 d after the GW trigger, exhibits a significantly redder SED than that observed a day earlier. This rapid colour evolution is most pronounced between the first and second epochs; subsequent spectra have SEDs similar to this +2.4 d spectrum. Here, we can broadly reproduce the SED with a much cooler continuum, where $T = 3600$ K. Our best-fitting composition profile for this epoch is $Y_e = 0.29a$. This composition profile contains significantly more heavy elements than $Y_e = 0.37a$ (a factor of $\sim 430$ higher), which produce strong line-blanketing at the bluer wavelengths. It is this strong absorption that produces the observed flux suppression at wavelengths $\leq 7000$ Å. Figure 7 shows our best-fitting $Y_e = 0.29a$ model compared to observation. We are again able to reproduce the strong absorption feature between $\sim 7000 – 10000$ Å with the Sr ii triplet. Fitting this feature with Sr constrains the minimum ejecta velocity, $v_{\text{min}} = 0.20c$, and $\rho_0 = 4.0 \times 10^{-15}$ g cm$^{-3}$. This corresponds to a Sr ii mass, $M_{\text{Sr} ii} = 1.9 \times 10^{-6} M_\odot$.

The TARDIS model produces a prominent P-Cygni line profile from the Sr ii transitions at this epoch, with the model emission component peaking at $\sim 1.0 \mu m$. It provides a reasonable but not perfect match to the shape of the observed spectrum, and indicates that even a small amount of Sr results in a strong observed feature. Recall that we are using the macroatom line interaction treatment, which accounts for fluorescence effects that may impact the relative strengths of the absorption and emission components of this Sr ii P-Cygni feature. This further supports the results presented by Watson et al. (2019), who proposed Sr ii as the ion producing the absorption and the P-Cygni line in these first two X-shooter spectra, although their TARDIS models did not produce a strong emission component. Our match to the continuum in the NIR suffers from the same issue as discussed previously in Sections 5 and 6.2.1, and cannot necessarily be interpreted as a NIR excess.

As in Section 6.2.1, we also plot the continuum from our best-fitting model to highlight the effects of the line-forming region on the emergent spectrum. To demonstrate the important effect that the heavy r-process elements have on our best-fitting model, and continuum suppression at blue wavelengths, we remove all transitions belonging to any species heavier than Ba ($Z > 56$), and re-generate our best-fitting model. Figure 7 shows that without the inclusion of the lanthanides ($57 \leq Z \leq 70$), we cannot reproduce the flux distribution below $\sim 7000$ Å in the observed spectrum. The ions that dominate the line blanketing are the lanthanides Ce ii, Nd ii, Sm ii, Eu ii, and the lanthanide mass fraction in this composition is $X_{\text{La}} = 0.05$. This result is in contrast to the TARDIS models presented by Watson et al. (2019), where they proposed that no heavy element ($Z > 38$) contribution was necessary in their models to reproduce the blue ends of the +2.4 – 4.4 d X-shooter spectra.
6.4 Epoch 3: +3.4 day spectrum

The evolution of the SED of AT2017gfo between epochs 2 and 3 is not as pronounced as that observed between epochs 1 and 2. The spectrum still contains the broad P-Cygni feature between \( \sim 7000 - 10000 \) Å. It also appears to have strong flux suppression at the blue end of the spectrum. We were again able to fit this epoch with the same composition, but with cooler ejecta, and a slower-moving inner boundary (\( T = 3400 \) K and \( v_{\text{mir}} = 0.15 \) c). We find a reasonable match to the feature between \( \sim 7000 - 10000 \) Å with the same \( \rho_0 \) value as the previous epoch (\( \rho_0 = 4.0 \times 10^{-15} \) g cm\(^{-3}\)), which corresponds to a \( \text{Sr} \, \text{ii} \) mass, \( M_{\text{Sr,ii}} = 6.1 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \) in the line-forming region.

The shape of the spectrum at wavelengths \( \leq 6000 \) Å is not matched exactly, but the lanthanides in the \( Y_e = 0.29a \) composition play a significant role. The best-fitting model is compared to the observed spectrum in Figure 8. As in Section 6.3, we generate a comparison model with no lines from species with \( Z > 56 \), to highlight the importance of these heavy species. We propose that the presence of lanthanides is a requirement to suppress the flux between \( \sim 4500 - 7500 \) Å through line blanketing. Without these ions the spectrum is significantly different, with elevated flux levels in the blue, as demonstrated in Figure 8. The NIR region of the spectrum suffers from the same issue as the previous models, and we note that there are now two emission features prominent above the continuum, to which we will return in Paper II.

As in Section 6.2.2, we generate some models for our high \( Y_e = 0.44a \) & \( Y_e = 0.44b \) and low \( Y_e = 0.05a \) & \( Y_e = 0.05b \) \( Y_e \) composition profiles, to demonstrate that neither are viable compositions (see the lower panel of Figure 6). As in the +1.4 d case, the \( Y_e = 0.44a \) model produces a strong absorption feature due to the \( \text{Ca} \, \text{ii} \) NIR triplet. This feature is not prominent in the \( Y_e = 0.44b \) model, likely because of the lower Ca abundance (\( \sim 1.5 \) per cent in \( Y_e = 0.44a \), versus \( \sim 0.4 \) per cent in \( Y_e = 0.44b \)). Both of the high \( Y_e \) compositions exhibit a very pronounced \( \text{Sr} \, \text{ii} \) NIR triplet absorption feature, which is much stronger than the equivalent feature in the observed spectrum at this epoch. Our low \( Y_e \) models (\( Y_e = 0.05a \) and \( Y_e = 0.05b \)) have much stronger absorption in the optical, and fail to produce the \( \sim 1 \mu m \) emission feature (likely the \( \text{Sr} \, \text{ii} \) triplet P-Cygni emission). This is due to the absence of any substantial amount of \( \text{Sr} \) material in these composition profiles. They have a strong flux deficit in the blue and a peak at \( \sim 9000 \) Å (in the \( \zeta \) band filter). This set of models act to highlight what future observations of either lanthanide-poor or lanthanide-rich KN events may look like, a few days after explosion.

6.5 Epoch 4: +4.4 day spectrum

Due to the photospheric approximation within \( \text{tardis} \), the code is incapable of reliably modelling the spectra of AT2017gfo beyond the time when the inner regions of the ejecta become optically thin. The rapid evolution of AT2017gfo could potentially present a problem...
with interpreting the spectra beyond a few days. It is not immediately clear beyond what epoch the spectra can no longer be adequately modelled with a single-temperature blackbody photosphere. Hence, for the +4.4 d spectrum, we do not focus on iterating over model parameters in detail, but simply evolve our best-fitting model from the second and third epoch. We modified only the ejecta temperature and minimum velocity of the ejecta to which we are sensitive, and find reasonable agreement between the observed spectrum and our TARDIS model, using $T = 3200$ K and $v_{\text{min}} = 0.12$ c (and using the $Y_e=0.29a$ composition with $\rho_0 = 4.0 \times 10^{-15}$ g cm$^{-3}$). The resultant best-fitting model is presented in Figure 9.

The strong absorption feature, present in the +1.4 – 3.4 d spectra between $\sim 7000 – 10000$ Å has evolved into a strong and prominent P-Cygni feature that is in net emission. The lower panel of Figure 9 contains the SDEC plot for our best-fitting $Y_e=0.29a$ model, and this P-Cygni feature is clearly still reproduced in our model spectra by the Sr ii triplet, as in the previous epochs. With a Sr ii mass, $M_{\text{Sr ii}} = 1.1 \times 10^{-5} M_\odot$, our model seems to obtain reasonable agreement with the emission component, with an associated weak absorption component. The spectrum shape around the absorption trough is not quantitatively well reproduced by our model, although the velocity distribution of the material broadly appears to represent what is observed. The shape of the line-blanketed region of the spectrum at wavelengths $\leq 7500$ Å does not exactly match the observed data, but again, the inclusion of a lanthanide mass fraction, $X_{\text{L}} = 0.05$, in the $Y_e=0.29a$ composition is required to significantly suppress the otherwise blue flux that would be produced by a continuum with $T = 3200$ K.

As we have done for the previous two epochs, in Figure 9 we plot the best-fitting $Y_e=0.29a$ model, but with all contributions belonging to elements with $Z > 56$ removed, illustrating the essential requirement of strong line absorption by these heavy elements, and the lanthanides in particular. Given the uncertainties in the line lists and atomic data for the lanthanides, their heavy influence on the data, and uncertainty of the photospheric assumption at this epoch, we suggest that this is a satisfactory reproduction of the data. The NIR region of the spectrum suffers from the same issue as previous models, and the two emission features noted in the previous epoch spectrum are still prominent above the continuum. We discuss these further in Paper II.

6.6 Epochs 5 – 7: +5.4 – 7.4 day spectra

In Section 6.5, we highlighted the uncertainty that potentially arises from the photospheric approximation within TARDIS. It is not clear whether beyond $\sim 3$ days AT2017gfo remains in a fully photospheric regime (also see discussion in Gillanders et al. 2021). Despite this, we managed to find a reasonable match to the spectrum at +4.4 days (Figure 9), which we take to imply that the material is still in the diffusion phase. In a similar vein, we evolve our best-fitting TARDIS model further in time, to explore how well our models continue to resemble the observed spectra. To this end, we forward-evolve our TARDIS models to about one week post-explosion.

Our best-fitting models for the X-shooter spectra of AT2017gfo at +5.4, +6.4 and +7.4 d are shown in Figure 10. Our values for $v_{\text{min}}$ continue to decrease, as is the trend exhibited across all epochs we have modelled thus far. The temperature also follows a general trend of decreasing with time, apart from the fifth epoch, where we find good agreement with the same temperature as the previous epoch ($T = 3200$ K, $v_{\text{min}} = 0.09$ c and $\rho_0 = 4 \times 10^{-15}$ g cm$^{-3}$). As in the previous cases, we also plot the continua of our best-fitting models, and our models with all transitions from species with $Z > 56$ removed. These model spectra are all plotted in Figure 10, alongside the +5.4 d AT2017gfo spectrum, for comparison.

Comparing with the +5.4 d spectrum of AT2017gfo, the model spectrum still produces a strong Sr ii triplet feature. This is in reasonable agreement with the persistent feature at the same wavelength in the observational data. However, the absorption in the TARDIS model is much stronger than that in the observed spectrum (if indeed the observed spectrum even exhibits absorption). Clearly there is either less absorption in the observations than in our model, or there is something ‘boosting’ the flux in the observed data at the position of the Sr ii triplet absorption. As has been shown for the previous epochs, removing the heavy elements ($Z > 56$) negatively impacts the fit to the data at wavelengths $\leq 8000$ Å.

The evolution through to +6.4 d follows the same trend as previous epochs, with a good fit obtained using the $Y_e=0.29a$ composition profile, and the same density profile as before. The values for $T$ and $v_{\text{min}}$ decrease, as expected. Our best-fitting model ($T = 3100$ K, $v_{\text{min}} = 0.07$ c and $\rho_0 = 4 \times 10^{-15}$ g cm$^{-3}$) is presented in Figure 10. We also illustrate a model with all elements with $Z > 56$ removed from the line-forming region. As previously demonstrated, there is significantly more flux produced at wavelengths $\sim 6000 – 8000$ Å when these heavy elements (specifically the lanthanides) are removed. While we are unsure if the inner boundary approximation within our TARDIS model is still valid, this model broadly resembles the observed spectrum. However, it is beginning to fail to accurately
reproduce the shape around the feature we attribute to Sr ii at earlier epochs. The absorption present in the model P-Cygni Sr ii feature is not present at all in the observed spectrum. Additionally, the emission component is too strong, compared with the observational data.

Finally, we present our model evolved forward to the same epoch as the +7.4 d X-shooter spectrum of AT2017gfo. At this epoch, the agreement between our model and the observed spectrum is poor. Our model produces a Sr ii emission feature that peaks at the same wavelength as the prominent emission feature in the observed data, but the shape of the blue side of the feature does not match observation. Additionally, the model still shows an absorption component from the Sr ii triplet, while there is no longer any evidence of absorption in this region of the observed spectrum. For this model, we have $T = 2900$ K, $v_{\text{min}} = 0.05$ c and $p_0 = 4 \times 10^{-15}$ g cm$^{-3}$. In general, beyond matching the peak of the Sr ii feature, the model does not agree with the observations.

The models appear to no longer capture the broad appearance of AT2017gfo beyond $\sim 6 - 7$ days, and so we opted to cease modelling any subsequent spectra using tاردس. It appears that the spectra taken from +0.5 - 4.4d are able to be physically reproduced by tاردس, with its inner boundary, single-temperature blackbody approxima-

tion. The +5.4 and 6.4 d spectra appear to be in a transition regime, where the code can still adequately reproduce the observations, with some caveats as highlighted above. However, by +7.4 d, our tاردس model fit is poor, implying that tاردس is no longer suitable for modelling the ejecta.

The H and K-band regions of the spectrum have developed two interesting features (as previously noted in Sections 6.4 and 6.5). There are two broad emission line profiles, centred in each band, which we will return to quantitatively in Paper II. Despite the difficulty tاردس has with reproducing a reliable continuum in the NIR (as discussed in Sections 5 and 6.2.1), these features appear to be broad line profiles in emission, enhancing the NIR flux over the pseudo-thermal continuum.

7 DISCUSSION & INTERPRETATION

7.1 Composition

Our analysis shows that the X-shooter spectrum taken +1.4 d after merger is notably different from the subsequent epochs. The observed spectrum is blue and the continuum from our two most closely fitting models ($T = 4500$ K and $v_{\text{min}} = 0.28$ c) broadly reproduces the observed spectral shape from the near-UV through the optical region (Figure 5). We can produce a fairly satisfactory model with a composition composed entirely of first r-process peak species. We confirm that the broad absorption feature between ~7000 - 10000 Å can be reproduced by the Sr ii NIR triplet, as first proposed by Watson et al. (2019). However, our best-fitting model requires a ratio of first r-process peak elements that deviates from the solar r-process composition, with mass fractions of 75 per cent Sr, 25 per cent Zr and zero Y (which we labelled ‘1st r-peak’ in Section 6.2.3). Employing the mass fractions in the $Y_e = 0.37a$ composition model indicates that even modest amounts of Y (3.1 per cent) and Zr (12.9 per cent) are enough to cause excess absorption at wavelengths $\leq 5000$ Å, impairing the quality of the fit (Figure 5). The ratio of these three elements is close to solar in our $Y_e = 0.37a$ composition profile. Therefore, either the true composition is somewhat different to the solar ratio, or the ionisation fractions of these elements are not being captured correctly (which we explore further in Section 7.2).

The $Y_e = 0.37a$ composition has a very low lanthanide mass fraction ($X_{\text{Lan}} \approx 10^{-4}$), and our 1st r-peak composition, by definition, has $X_{\text{Lan}} = 0$. The presence of even moderate quantities of heavy lanthanide species is enough to significantly alter the model at wavelengths $\leq 6000$ Å. To illustrate this, we generated a model with the $Y_e = 0.29a$ composition profile, which contains a modest lanthanide mass fraction ($X_{\text{Lan}} = 0.05$), and plot this against the +1.4 d X-shooter spectrum of AT2017gfo (see Figure 11). We also plot the same model, but with all transitions from species with $Z > 56$ removed, for completeness. Finally, we also include our best-fitting 1st r-peak tاردس model, presented in Section 6.2.3. Clearly, even modest quantities of the complex lanthanide elements is enough to cause the model to deviate strongly from the observations. The absorption feature, which we attribute to the Sr ii triplet feature, is not reproduced by this $Y_e = 0.29a$ model, as there is not enough Sr ii present. Although increasing the total amount of material in the model (through increasing $p_0$) would produce this feature, the deviation between the model and observed spectra at wavelengths blueward of this Sr ii feature would become even more pronounced.

This indicates that there cannot be any significant quantity of lanthanide material present in the line-forming region that produces the +1.4 d spectrum. Further analysis to constrain the amount of
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Figure 11. +1.4 d X-shooter spectrum of AT2017gfo plotted alongside our $Y_e=0.29a$ composition model (blue), and the same model, but with all transitions from species with $Z > 56$ removed (red). Also plotted is our best-fitting 1st $r$-peak model (orange), for comparison.

Figure 12. X-shooter spectra of AT2017gfo, taken +2.4, +3.4 and +4.4 d after explosion, compared with our forward modelled best-fitting $Y_e=0.37a$ (blue) and 1st $r$-peak (red) models for the +1.4 d X-shooter spectrum. The observed spectra and corresponding models have been offset for clarity ($0.6 \times 10^{-16} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ Å}^{-1}$ for +2.4 d, and $0.3 \times 10^{-16} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ Å}^{-1}$ for +3.4 d).

Lanthanides that can be permitted at this epoch provided an upper limit on the mass fraction of lanthanide material, $X_{\text{IN}} \leq 5 \times 10^{-3}$. This is in reality expected to be lower, since, at this model temperature, most of our lanthanide material is doubly ionised, and our atomic data is quite sparse for these ions. All of the above supports the idea that the earliest optical emission (up to $\sim 1$ – 2 days after merger) is free from any significant quantity of lanthanide material, and is dominated by light (first $r$-process peak) material.

However, we find a distinct difference in the required composition for all subsequent epochs, compared to the first epoch composition. For the spectra at +2.4 – 4.4 d, we find good agreement with the data using the $Y_e=0.29a$ composition profile. We find that it is now necessary to have some modest amount of lanthanide material ($X_{\text{IN}} = 0.05$) to produce the required level of line absorption at wavelengths $\leq 7500$ Å, to replicate the observed spectra. To highlight this point further, we generated models for epochs 2 – 4, with the compositions that worked best for the first epoch spectrum (the $Y_e=0.37a$ composition profile with $X_{\text{IN}} \approx 10^{-4}$, and our 1st $r$-peak model). Figure 12 shows that the models fail to match the data, and they suffer from the same issue as the $Y_e=0.29a$ models with all transitions belonging to species with $Z > 56$ removed (presented in Sections 6.3 – 6.5).

To determine how sensitive our models are to the presence of lanthanide material, we varied $X_{\text{IN}}$ in our best-fitting $Y_e=0.29a$ composition profile models, across epochs 2 – 7. We find that our models can tolerate a variation in $X_{\text{IN}}$ of a factor $\leq 2$. The optimal lanthanide mass fraction varies slightly from epoch to epoch, but typically our TARDIS models are insensitive to variations in $X_{\text{IN}}$ within the range $0.03 \leq X_{\text{IN}} \leq 0.10$. Here we again note that our analysis is subject to systematic uncertainties introduced from relying on incomplete atomic data for these lanthanide species. Although we are now in a regime where most of the lanthanide material is singly ionised (for which we have DREAM data), this data is expected to be quite incomplete. However, since the DREAM atomic line lists are obtained experimentally, they are likely to preferentially feature stronger lines, which we naïvely expect to dominate the spectrum. How much of an effect the many weaker lines that we have not accounted for would have cannot be quantitatively determined. As a result, our lanthanide mass fraction constraints may be higher than we would infer if we had access to a complete line list for all lanthanide species. Despite such uncertainties, we argue that we have placed meaningful constraints on $X_{\text{IN}}$. Domoto et al. (2021) compare model lightcurves for AT2017gfo, one generated from an incomplete atomic line list, and the other generated from theoretical line lists. They showed that the incompleteness of atomic data does not substantially affect their model lightcurves or synthetic spectra at +1.5 days. Additionally, Kasen et al. (2017) show that they can broadly reproduce the lightcurves and SEDs of AT2017gfo with two components, one of which has a lanthanide mass fraction, $X_{\text{IN}} \approx 10^{-1.5} \pm 0.03$, which is consistent with the value we invoke for our modelling (0.03 $\leq X_{\text{IN}} \leq 0.10$). Coughlin et al. (2018) also present two-component models which they use to model both the lightcurve and early spectra of AT2017gfo. From their models, they infer $\log_{10}(X_{\text{IN}}) = -1.61^{+0.47}_{-1.04}$ ($X_{\text{IN}} \approx 0.025$) from their lightcurve analysis, and $\log_{10}(X_{\text{IN}}) = -1.52^{+0.97}_{-0.98}$ ($X_{\text{IN}} \approx 0.030$) from their spectral modelling. Both of these values are similar to those invoked by Kasen et al. (2017), and lie close to our inferred values.

Considering all of the above, we reach the strong conclusion that without the heavy lanthanide elements present in some moderate quantity ($X_{\text{IN}} \approx 0.05^{+0.05}_{-0.02}$), the $Y_e=0.29a$ model spectra cannot produce enough absorption at wavelengths $\leq 7500$ Å to match the observations, from +2.4 – 7.4 days.

The flux-calibrated X-shooter spectra between +2.4 – 4.4 d are dis-
ntically red, with the bulk of the flux emitted at wavelengths $\geq 8000$ Å (as originally noted by Chornock et al. 2017; Kasliwal et al. 2017; Pian et al. 2017). Our analysis suggests that this is a direct result of the strong line absorption of the lanthanides. In our models it is the result of cerium, neodymium, samarium and europium in particular, but without complete atomic data, it is hard to positively identify which species will dominate, and which we can rule out. It is the absorption deficit in the blue that is the primary cause of the ‘NIR excess’ and the apparent ‘red kilonova’ appearance from +2.4 d onward. Previous theoretical works (e.g. Barnes & Kasen 2013; Kasen et al. 2013; Tanaka & Hotokezaka 2013; Fontes et al. 2015; Kasen et al. 2017; Wollaeger et al. 2018) had predicted that such line absorption by the complex lanthanide ions would be likely in kilonovae, and that it would significantly alter the spectrum shape. However, we note that our lanthanide mass fraction requirement is relatively modest ($X_{\text{Ln}} \approx 0.05^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$), and we can reject a very lanthanide-rich composition.

Therefore, we have evidence supporting a disjointed composition, in which the first X-shooter spectrum, at +1.4 d, requires a different composition to all the others, and the +2.4 – 7.4 d spectra are reasonably well reproduced with a consistent abundance profile. The ejecta in the first 1 – 2 days after merger appear to be dominated by a light, lanthanide-free component, travelling at high velocities. This is followed by another, slower moving component, with a modest (but significant) lanthanide mass fraction that contributes significantly to the observed spectra $\geq 2$ days. This could be indicative of stratified ejecta. At very early times, a significant portion of the inner ejecta material will be optically thick. As a result, any emergent spectra will be dominated by continuum contribution, with the only deviation arising from the small amount of optically thin material at the outer edges of the ejecta. However, with increasing time, the ejecta material will expand and cool, such that we can ‘see’ deeper into the ejecta, where the heavier elements would reside. Therefore, we would expect to see some composition evolution as the ejecta material expands and cools with time, lending credence to the idea of stratified material.

Alternatively, this could be evidence in favour of the two-component model for BNS mergers (e.g. as presented by Kasen et al. 2017), with the blue component dominating at $+1.4$ d, and the red component dominating beyond $\geq 2$ d. This temporal separation (between 1 – 2 days) of the blue and red components is also consistent with the photometric analysis of the lightcurve by Cowperthwaite et al. 2017), with the blue component dominating at +1.4d, and the red componentmodelforBNSmergers(e.g.aspresentedbyKasenetal.

material expands and cools with time, lending credence to the idea of stratified material.

In this work, we find agreement with the primary result presented by Watson et al. (2019), where they identify Sr $\alpha$ as the source of the strong absorption feature between $\sim 7000 – 10000$ Å in the early spectra of AT2017gfo. As we include all available atomic data for the second $r$-process peak, we also agree with Watson et al. (2019) that this absorption is not due to neutral Cs or Te, as originally proposed by Smartt et al. (2017). However, our conclusions differ from the other tardis modelling conclusions of Watson et al. (2019). For the +1.4 d X-shooter spectrum, we find good agreement between observation and our tardis models by invoking a composition dominated by first $r$-process peak elements. Specifically, we find that Zr absorption is required to match the blue end of the spectrum ($\lambda \leq 5000$ Å) and we produce quite a satisfactory fit across all wavelengths. The Watson et al. (2019) tardis model fails to reproduce the observed spectrum bluestripe of the Sr $\alpha$ feature, being under-luminous at $\sim 6000$ Å, and lacking absorption below $\sim 4500$ Å. The subsequent tardis models for the +2.4 – 4.4 d spectra presented by Watson et al. (2019) are incomplete matches to the data, as they fail to reproduce the emission of the Sr $\alpha$ P-Cygni line, and the inclusion of the heavier $r$-process elements (in solar abundance ratios) produces too much blue absorption.

This is not a criticism of the Watson et al. (2019) tardis work, since their primary aim was to show that the Sr $\alpha$ line formation in a tardis model produced consistent results with the simpler P-Cygni line formation calculation, and that conclusion appears quite solid. Here we have performed a more systematic and thorough analysis, and have considered the entire wavelength range, with consistent compositions and tardis densities and velocities. Thus, we consider that we have confirmed the primary result of Watson et al. (2019), but have gone further by illustrating that either a layered or two-component model is required, with a lanthanide mass fraction, $X_{\text{Ln}} \approx 0.05^{+0.05}_{-0.02}$ required from +2.4 d onward, and a lanthanide-free composition ($X_{\text{Ln}} \leq 5 \times 10^{-3}$) required at +1.4 d and earlier.

If the prominent $\sim 7000 – 10000$ Å feature is indeed produced by the Sr $\alpha$ triplet, then, as the ejecta evolve to become optically thin, we might expect the [Sr $\alpha$] doublet to appear in emission (at 6738.4 and 6868.2 Å, in air). This is the equivalent of the strong [Ca $\alpha$] triplet at 7291.5 and 7232.9 Å doublet (in air) that is prominent in the nebular spectra of many supernovae. The electronic configurations of these ions are similar (they are both Group 2 elements) and the forbidden doublet is produced from the de-excitation of the lower levels of the Sr $\alpha$ triplet ($4p^54d^2$D to ground). This is not observed in the X-shooter spectra, and we discuss this problem in more detail in Paper II.

### 7.2 Ionisation and atomic data uncertainties

Here we explore how ionisation uncertainties may affect our model parameters. We generally have judged the quality of our fits based on the required line-blanketing at wavelengths $\lesssim 6000$ Å, and the fit to the Sr $\alpha$ absorption feature. At +1.4 d, with our best-fitting temperature and density, it is important to note that almost all of the Sr is doubly ionised (Sr $\alpha$). The two models which most closely match the data at +1.4 d ($\lambda \approx 0.37$ Å and $\lambda^4$ $r$-peak) contain $4.7 \times 10^{-3}$ and $6.0 \times 10^{-3}$ $M_\odot$ of Sr in the line-forming region, only $\sim 0.3$ and 0.2 per cent of which is Sr $\alpha$ (see Table 3). However, these two best-fitting models for the first epoch contain much more total Sr mass than the subsequent models, due to the relatively high temperature in the line-forming region ($T \approx 4500$ K), and the low fraction of Sr in the singly ionised state. The relative mass fraction of Sr required in our best-fitting composition profiles drops from $\sim 20$ and 75 per cent to $\sim 3$ per cent, between the first epoch and the next three (see Table 3). Therefore, we should be careful with inferring the details of the composition in the first epoch primarily from the appearance of Sr $\alpha$ absorption, when Sr $\alpha$ is a trace ion in the ejecta.

The exact amount of Sr $\alpha$ in the line-forming region is strongly sensitive to small variations in temperature (and to a lesser extent, density). Reducing $T$ or increasing $\rho_0$ leads to more Sr $\alpha$ present in
the model (and less Sr, since both of these modifications act to reduce the ionisation of the ejecta material). Uncertainty in either of these model parameters, or in the ionisation approximation in our TARDIS models, strongly affect the relative ratio of Sr/Fe. Without more rigorous constraints on the ionisation state of the plasma, we cannot determine whether our ionisation approximation within TARDIS is sufficiently accurate. For these models, we suffer from the lack of additional features that we can tie to other species. If we could constrain the identification of one (or both) of the strong NIR emission features to some species, then we may be able to better infer properties of the plasma from how highly ionised the ejecta needs to be to produce this species.

This (potential) uncertainty in the ionisation of Sr is not as significant an issue for the later models, where the mass of Sr is comparable to, or larger than, the mass of Sr. Despite this, we are reasonably confident in the estimate of the amount of Sr required to produce the observed absorption feature between ~ 7000 – 10000 Å in the +1.4 d spectrum, but with some uncertainty in the total Sr mass. It is clear that the mass of Sr increases with time across epochs 1 – 7 (see Table 3 and Figure 13). In Figure 13, we plot the Sr mass distributions for all of our best-fitting TARDIS models presented in this work. The Sr mass has been binned into shells, with width 0.01 c, and so the plot illustrates the total mass of Sr in each shell in the model. The mass of Sr increases with time, both in each shell (due to the drop in ionisation, which leads to a drop in the amount of Sr in the model), and across the entire line-forming region (primarily due to the recession of the inner boundary).

Here we note the one exception to this trend. In our fifth epoch model, the ionisation of Sr is higher than our model for the fourth epoch, which leads to less Sr material in the outer velocity bins. This is simply a result of our model temperature remaining constant between epochs four and five (T = 3200 K). Since the ionisation of the ejecta material is sensitive to changes in T and ρ, and our model temperature remains constant, the model ionisation is impacted by the small decrease in density, which acts to slightly increase the ionisation. Considering all of the above, this indicates that, despite our uncertainty in the ionisation of Sr (especially at the first epoch), our Sr mass evolution with time behaves as expected. We also conclude that, since Sr is a trace element in the +1.4 d model, the uncertainty in the ionisation state of the element means that we cannot be definitive in ruling out a solar abundance ratio of Sr : Zr (as discussed in Section 6.2.3). A better understanding of the ionisation of Sr, beyond a simple LTE approximation, would increase the reliability of our Sr mass estimate (and therefore our total mass estimate) at early times.

The DREAM database is an extremely useful source of experimentally verified lanthanide data. Although it may be incomplete, the important contribution from the lanthanides is expected to be at blue wavelengths (≤ 6000 Å), due to line blanketing from a multitude of similar strength lines between low-lying levels (Barnes & Kasen 2013; Kasen et al. 2013; Tanaka & Hotokezaka 2013; Tanaka et al. 2020). Similar behaviour is expected for all lanthanide species, and so, having incomplete data for some of these species (at UV and optical wavelengths) may not cause a significant problem in our modelling. It is a multitude of lines that create the depressed continuum, rather than a small number of transitions shaping broad line profiles. Therefore, we expect our constraint on the total mass fraction of lanthanide material to be reasonably secure, but do not place any particular focus on the mass fractions of individual species.

The largest source of uncertainty in our analysis is the lack of complete and reliable atomic data. There is a serious lack of any data for some potentially important elements in our modelling. Specifically, we are lacking good atomic data for the second r-process peak elements that are dominant in our Y = 0.29a composition profile, e.g. Ru, Sn and Te. We also suffer from incomplete data for many others. For example, only three of the lanthanide species that we included from DREAM have data for transitions with λ > 1 μm (La, Pr and Yb). This prevents us from exploring any NIR modelling with the majority of the lanthanide species. Further spectroscopic modelling of AT2017gfo (and future KNe) would benefit from new atomic data calculations, with a particular focus on extending current data sets beyond 1 μm, and on calibration, so the data have precise wavelengths and transition strengths. Without complete and well-calibrated atomic data for all of the species of interest in BNS mergers, it is impossible to make a definitive line identification for observed features within the spectra of KNe. Additionally, accurate line identification is affected by the large expansion velocities associated with the ejecta of KNe. These high velocities make line-blending in the observed spectra common, making definitive line identification difficult, which will in turn affect accurate line identification studies.

### 7.3 Stratified ejecta

For all TARDIS models, we can obtain good agreement with the data using a continuous density profile (where ρ0 = 4 × 10^-15 g cm^-3;
see Table 3) and a uniform composition for each individual epoch of interest, albeit with a different requirement for the composition for the first epoch. We opted against over-complicating our {	extsc{tardis}} models, and so did not pursue further investigation of multi-zone and stratified models. We took this approach as there are already a large number of free parameters in our model ejecta properties (including composition). Attempting to further fine-tune our composition at different velocities, while obtaining meaningful and objective conclusions would be unrealistic, given the nature of our analytic approach. We acknowledge that our approach may seem formally inconsistent, where we invoke uniform compositions in our models, but with a different uniform composition for the first epoch. Because of this, here we will demonstrate that it is possible to obtain an equivalently ‘good’ fit using a two-zone, stratified ejecta composition approach.

In Figure 14, we present one such example of a stratified ejecta model, for the $+2.4\text{ d}$ spectrum. Here we have used the $Y_e=0.29a$ composition for ejecta in the velocity range $0.20 \leq v < 0.28\,c$. This velocity range corresponds to the recession of the inner boundary velocity between our best-fitting models for the first and second epochs, presented in Sections 6.2 and 6.3. We then used our $1^{\text{st}}$ r-peak model composition for the ejecta in the higher velocity range, $0.28 \leq v \leq 0.35\,c$. For velocities $\geq 0.28\,c$, this model has an identical composition to our best-fitting model for the $+1.4\text{ d}$ X-shooter spectrum of AT2017gfo, and for velocities $< 0.28\,c$, it has an identical composition to our best-fitting model for the $+2.4\text{ d}$ AT2017gfo spectrum (and all subsequent spectra). Also plotted in Figure 14 is our best-fitting $Y_e=0.29a$ model presented in Section 6.3, for comparison. Clearly, both the uniform single zone model, and this stratified model, are equally good at replicating the observations at this epoch.

However, to get the stratified model to agree with the observed spectrum, we needed to reduce the density of the ejecta with $v \geq 0.28\,c$ by a factor of 10. We require a value of $\rho_0 = 4.0 \times 10^{-16}\,\text{g cm}^{-3}$, which is a factor of 10 lower than the value of $\rho_0$ used for the equivalent line-forming region for the first epoch, $1^{\text{st}}$ r-peak model. This reduction in $\rho_0$ is necessary, as our outer composition contains a large quantity of Sr, and, due to the cooler ejecta temperature at this second epoch ($3600\,\text{K}$, versus $4500\,\text{K}$ for the first epoch model), it is now no longer completely dominated by Sr $\text{ii}$. The line-forming region in this stratified model contains much more Sr $\text{ii}$ than is required to reproduce the $\sim 7000 - 10000\,\text{Å}$ absorption feature. Hence, we found it necessary to drop the overall density to obtain a satisfactory match.

This model indicates that it would be possible to replicate the early observational data using this approach. However, the disjoint in both the composition and the density profile that we have invoked to achieve consistency across the first and second epochs, makes our model choices somewhat arbitrary. The fact that a stratified model with a discontinuous density profile can also reproduce the observed spectra indicates that the physical properties of the line-forming region (including its composition) are changing with time. However, we cannot distinguish whether this is just ejecta with non-uniform composition (i.e. stratified material), or physically distinct ejecta components (i.e. the two-component KN model).

Finally, it is worth highlighting that, although we originally allowed $\rho_0$ to vary as a free parameter to improve fit quality at each epoch, we found that our best-fitting models all have a consistent value ($\rho_0 = 4 \times 10^{-15}\,\text{g cm}^{-3}$). The fact we converged towards a consistent value across all epochs increases the credibility of our modelling efforts.

8 CONCLUSIONS

The main aim of this work was to generate a sequence of spectroscopic models, using {	extsc{tardis}}, that could accurately reproduce the evolution of the early spectra of the kilonova, AT2017gfo. We compared these {	extsc{tardis}} models to precisely calibrated $0.3 - 2.5\,\mu\text{m}$ high-quality X-shooter spectra, taken on a daily basis over the first ten days of the evolution of this exceptional transient. To constrain the composition of the ejecta, and attempt identification of individual elements and ions, we used compositions reflective of realistic nucleosynthetic trajectories calculated for a binary neutron star merger.

No specific information on the ejecta composition could be extracted at very early times ($\leq 1\,\text{day}$) due to the lack of absorption features at the wavelengths covered by the spectra. However, we predict strong absorption in the UV, and so we determine that UV observations of future events may be able to provide useful information on the composition of the first material ejected.

The first X-shooter spectrum, taken $+1.4\text{ d}$ after merger, is significantly bluer than all subsequent epochs. We find good agreement to the data with a {	extsc{tardis}} model with ejecta temperature, $T = 4500\,\text{K}$, and a composition that is dominated by the elements at the first r-process peak. We require an inner boundary velocity, $v_{\text{min}} = 0.28\,c$, at the base of the line-forming region. We reproduce and confirm the main result of Watson et al. (2019), namely that the broad absorption feature between $\sim 7000 - 10000\,\text{Å}$ can be quantitatively explained by the Sr $\text{ii}$ NIR triplet. We find that it is impossible to reproduce the shape of the spectrum taken at $+1.4\text{ d}$ with lanthanide material present in any significant quantity (lanthanide mass fraction, $X_{\text{lan}} \leq 5 \times 10^{-3}$). The multitude of transitions from low-lying levels of these species result in strong absorption at blue wavelengths ($\lambda \leq 6000\,\text{Å}$), precluding their presence in the line-forming region at this early epoch. Formally, the composition which produces the closest agreement with the data is one composed entirely of first r-process peak elements (75 per cent Sr and 25 per cent Zr). While this deviates somewhat from the solar ratio of these elements, the uncertainty in the ionisation states (particularly Sr $\text{ii}$), and the uncertainty in the available atomic data, prevent stronger conclusions. We propose that a composition dominated by first r-process peak elements broadly explains this first X-shooter spectrum.

However, the second and all subsequent X-shooter spectra require
quite a different ejecta composition. The SED changes significantly between 1.4 and 2.4 days, becoming rapidly redder and peaking at \( \sim 1 \mu m \). We require a modest amount of lanthanide material \((X_{\text{LN}} = 0.05 \pm 0.05, X_{\text{Nd}} = -0.02 \pm 0.01)\) to reproduce the observed flux deficit at wavelengths \( \leq 7000 \) \( \AA \) with line absorption. We interpret the prominent feature between \( \sim 7000 \) – \( 10000 \) \( \AA \) as a P-Cygni line from the Sr \( \text{II} \) triplet. We can reproduce this strong line profile in \text{TARDIS}, and simultaneously fit the blue deficit with a realistic composition profile extracted from a hydrodynamical simulation of a BNS merger, with an intermediate electron fraction that produces a mix of first-\( r \)-process peak species, and heavier material (our \( Y_e=0.29a \) composition).

The spectra at \( +3.4 \) and \( +4.4 \) d can be replicated well with exactly the same \( Y_e=0.29a \) composition, indicating that there is no strong deviation in composition from \( \sim 2 \) – \( 5 \) days post-merger. A single zone, uniform composition is sufficient to satisfactorily reproduce the spectral evolution, while maintaining a consistent density profile, and a receding photosphere and cooler ejecta with increasing time (as expected for homologous expansion). We can reasonably reproduce the \( +5.4 \) and \( 6.4 \) d spectra by consistent forward modelling, but we note that by \( +7.4 \) d, the transient appears to no longer be in a photospheric regime, and so \text{TARDIS} is no longer suited to model the spectra.

We find a strong quantitative argument for a disjoint in the composition of the ejecta. This may suggest either stratified material, or observations of two distinct components of ejecta material. We find that a high velocity ‘blue kilonova’ component is prominent only for the first \( \leq 1 \) – \( 2 \) days, and has a composition dominated by first-\( r \)-process peak material (Sr and Zr), with virtually no lanthanide species \((X_{\text{LN}} \ll 5 \times 10^{-3})\). Additionally, we find that all subsequent spectra show strong line blanketing below \( \lesssim 7500 \) \( \AA \), which is due to the lanthanides being present with a total mass fraction, \( X_{\text{LN}} \approx 0.05 \). This represents a ‘red kilonova’ component, with signatures of line blanketing by cerium (Ce\( _{\text{II}} \)), neodymium (Nd\( _{\text{II}} \) and Nd\( _{\text{III}} \)), samarium (Sm\( _{\text{II}} \)) and europium (Eu\( _{\text{II}} \)), among others. Thus, the red kilonova is distinguished more by a blue flux deficit, rather than a near-infrared excess.

The largest uncertainty in our analysis arises from the lack of a complete atomic data set. We again stress that without complete and well-calibrated atomic data for all of the species of interest in BNS mergers, it is impossible to make a definitive line identification for observed features within the spectra of KNe. For the compositions we favour for the ejecta of AT2017gfo in this work, the elements we most pertinently need better atomic data are those belonging to the second \( r \)-process peak, e.g. ruthenium, tin and tellurium.
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