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Introduction to neuroendocrine neoplasms of the digestive system: definition and classification
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Summary

Neoplasms characterized by the expression of markers of neuroendocrine differentiation in neoplastic cells are defined neuroendocrine. This broad definition comprises tumors found at different sites of the body with similar morphology but different behavior and genetic background. From a clinical standpoint neuroendocrine neoplasms may be functioning, when they give rise to unregulated secretion of hormones. Functioning tumors account for about one-third of neuroendocrine neoplasms. From a pathological standpoint neuroendocrine neoplasm are classified by cancer category, cancer families/classes, cancer types, cancer grade and cancer stage. The category identifies the cancer major trait and thus defined as neuroendocrine neoplasia (NEN) to comprise all families/classes of neuroendocrine cancer. The cancer family/types are neuroendocrine tumors (NET) as well differentiated, and neuroendocrine carcinoma (NEC) as poorly differentiated forms. Cancer grade, based on proliferation measure by mitotic count and Ki-67%, and cancer stage, based on tumor size and invasion (T), node deposits (N) and distant metastases (M), complete the pathological classification. Site-specific differences are the rule. Still missing is a genetic classification tool to complement current pathological descriptors.
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Introduction

Neuroendocrine neoplasms represent a category of neoplasms defined by neuroendocrine differentiation, at histology based on positive immunolabelling for chromogranin A and synaptophysin. Neuroendocrine neoplasms may arise anywhere in the body either from endocrine organs, nerve structures or from the so-called diffuse neuroendocrine system. More than half are found in the gastro-entero-pancreatic (GEP) tract and, though relatively rare, their incidence has been steadily increasing in the last three decades. GEP neuroendocrine neoplasms are a distinct though heterogenous cancer category when considering their clinical behavior, morphology, specific types of cells involved, biological and genetic features. The classification of neuroendocrine neoplasms evolved along the last two decades to reflect our increased understanding of this disease including clinical and pathological classifications.

Classification principles

Clinical classification

Clinically NENs are separated into functioning and non-functioning.
Functioning neoplasms are less frequent, accounting for about one-third of GEP neuroendocrine neoplasms. Functioning neuroendocrine neoplasms are characterized by specific clinical symptoms defined by unregulated hormonal production (e.g., hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemia determined by insulinoma). The largest fraction of functioning neoplasm account for well-differentiated neoplasm, though paraneoplastic endocrine syndromes may associate with poorly differentiated forms. Non-functioning neuroendocrine neoplasms are more frequent composed of by tumor cells sometimes expressing hormones at immunohistochemistry but unable of unregulated release. Similar to any other epithelial neoplasms, clinical symptoms are determined by the effects of tumor mass and growth, including mucosal erosion, wall/nearby organs invasion and metastatic deposition, in turn reflecting tumor cell biology.

The distinction between functioning and non-functioning neoplasms is virtually impossible based on histology and may only be defined by clinical symptoms and blood tests. When considering well-differentiated neoplasms, tumor cell types composing both functioning and non-functioning neuroendocrine neoplasms usually follow the physiological distribution of their non-neoplastic counterpart (e.g., enterochromaffin-like cells in gastric neuroendocrine neoplasms, somatostatin-producing D cells in duodenum and pancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms).

**Pathological classification**

The pathological classification includes the definitions of cancer category, cancer families/classes, cancer types, cancer grade and cancer stage (Tab. I). Malignancy is implicit.

**Cancer category**

The category is defined as neuroendocrine neoplasia (NEN) to comprise all families/classes of neuroendocrine cancer. Neuroendocrine is defined when at least two markers of neuroendocrine differentiation (e.g., chromogranin A and synaptophysin) are both expressed in the vast majority of neoplastic cells. In case only a fraction of at least 30% of cancer cells are neuroendocrine in nature, the cancer category is defined as Mixed NEuroendocrine non-neuroendocrine Neoplasia (MiNEN).

**Cancer families/classes**

The class distinction is based on morphology and separates neuroendocrine neoplasms into well and poorly differentiated forms (WHO 2000). The well-differentiated neoplasms are currently defined as neuroendocrine tumors (NET) and poorly differentiated neoplasms as neuroendocrine carcinoma (NEC). At histology NETs substantially reproduce the structural (when present) and cytological features of their non-neoplastic counterparts. NETs are characterized by an “organoid” structure (so defined as resembling Langerhans islets), variably organized in nests, trabeculae and acini by epithelial cells with usually monomorphic nuclei, rare mitoses, in the absence of, or with only focal (spotty), necrosis (Fig. 1. A-E). The morphology of NETs overlaps at different anatomical sites of the digestive system. The site of origin may be defined only by the use of immunohistochemistry for site-specific transcription factors and/or hormones. Nonetheless, some growth patterns are more often observed for certain cell types at specific anatomical sites (e.g., solid islets for serotonin-producing enterochromaffin cell tumors of the small intestine).

The poorly differentiated neuroendocrine neoplasms, NECs, are characterized by the disorderly solid proliferation of severely atypical cells, with abundant/diffuse necrosis and evident, often atypical, mitoses (Fig. 1 F-J). Two variants are described: the small cell type characterized by large nuclei with salt and pepper chromatin and a thin rim of cytoplasm (i.e., high nucleus/cytoplasm ratio) and the large cell type with large nuclei with prominent nucleoli, salt and pepper chromatin and abundant cytoplasm.

**Cancer types**

In the digestive system, the NEN types overlap the Family/Class definitions, i.e., NET and NEC. At other anatomical site this may not be the case, e.g., in the lung well-differentiated NENs are defined as carcinoid. Variants are foreseen, e.g., the clear cell or cystic subtypes in pancreas NET.

**Cancer grade**

The current WHO classifications adopt a three-tiers grading system (G1-G3) for NET. NEC are by default G3, the grade being not to be specified to avoid confusion vs NET G3 (Fig. 1). The currently adopted grading system for NETs is based on proliferation assessment with incremental ranges of mitosis and Ki67 index (Tab. I). This tool directly stems from the European Neuroendocrine Tumor Society (ENETS) proposal that proved of prognostic significance and for this reason was subsequently adopted by WHO in 2010. The present grading system allows the identification of a NEN subgroup with a well-differentiated morphology and G3 proliferative values, isolating the most aggressive NET (Fig. 1). NET G3 display significant differences when compared to NEC in somatostatin receptor expression (diffuse in NET, almost absent in NEC).
prognosis (better in NET and invariably dismal in NEC) and in responsiveness to platinum-based chemotherapy (absent in NET, usually present in NEC).

**Cancer stage**
The Tumor Node Metastasis (TNM) staging tools are for NETs only and site-specific. Developed following...
the ENETS proposals ⁹,¹⁰, these are based on NET size and/or invasion for T definition, on the presence of one or more (depending on site) node deposits for N and on pathological evidence of distant metastases for M. NET staging tools differ from those adopted for the other digestive cancer which otherwise are to be used for NEC ⁶. MiNEN are assimilated to non-neuroendocrine cancer and are staged according to the corresponding non-neuroendocrine cancer staging tools depending on site.

Conclusions

The current NEN classification and its pathological grading and staging tools are built to emphasize the profound difference existing between NET and NEC families. This distinction well mirrors differences in genetic background. NETs show a low number of gene alterations, low mutational burden and almost no involvement of classic cancer drivers, while NECs show a high degree of gene abnormality, most often involving classical drivers including TP53 and Rb. Still missing is a genetic tool that may effectively complement or even surrogate the proven efficacy of current pathological descriptors.

References

¹ Leoncini E, Boffetta P, Shafir M, et al. Increased incidence trend of low-grade and high-grade neuroendocrine neoplasms. Endocrine 2017;58:368-79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12020-017-1273-x
² Dasari A, Shen C, Haperin D, et al. Trends in the incidence, prevalence, and survival outcomes in patients with neuroendocrine tumors in the United States. JAMA Oncol 2017;3:1335-1342. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.0589
³ Rindi G, Wiedenmann B. Neuroendocrine neoplasia of the gastrointestinal tract revisited: towards precision medicine. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2020;16:590-607. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-020-0391-3
⁴ Rindi G, Klimstra DS, Abodi-Ardekani B, et al. A common classification framework for neuroendocrine neoplasms: an International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) and World Health Organization (WHO) expert consensus proposal. Mod Pathol 2018;31:1770-86. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41379-018-0110-y
⁵ Solcia E, Klöppel G, Sobin LH. Histological typing of endocrine tumours. 2 ed. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag 2000.
⁶ WHO Classification of tumours editorial board. digestive system tumours. 5th ed. Vol. 1 Lyon: IARC Press 2019.
⁷ Travis WD, Brambilla E, Burke AP, et al. Pathology and genetics of tumours of the lung, pleura, thymus and heart. 4th ed. Vol. 7. Lyon: IARC Press 2015.
⁸ Lloyd RV, Osamura R, Kloppe G, Rosai J. WHO Classification of tumours of endocrine organs. 4th ed. Vol. 10. Lyon: IARC Press 2017.
⁹ Rindi G, Klöppel G, Alhman H, et al. TNM staging of foregut (neuro)endocrine tumors: a consensus proposal including a grading system. Virchows Arch 2006:449:395-401. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00428-006-0250-1
₁₀ Rindi G, Klöppel G, Couvelard A, et al. TNM staging of midgut and hindgut (neuro) endocrine tumors: a consensus proposal including a grading system. Virchows Arch 2007:451:757-62. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00428-007-0452-1
₁¹ Bosman F, Carneiro F, Huban RH, Theise ND. Pathology and genetics of tumours of the digestive system. 4th ed. Vol. 3. Lyon: IARC Press 2010.
₁² Amin MB. AJCC Cancer Staging Manual. VIII ed. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag 2017.