Study of half metallicity, structural and mechanical properties in inverse Heusler alloy \( \text{Mn}_2\text{ZnSi}_{(1-x)}\text{Ge}_x \) and a superlattice
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The electronic and magnetic properties of \( \text{Mn}_2\text{ZnSi}_{(1-x)}\text{Ge}_x \) (\( x = 0.0, 0.125, 0.25, 0.375, 0.5, 0.625, 0.75, 0.875, \) and \( 1.0 \)) inverse Heusler alloys and \( \text{Mn}_2\text{ZnSi}/\text{Mn}_2\text{ZnGe} \) superlattice have been investigated using first-principles calculations. All these alloys are stable in the fcc magnetic phase and satisfies the mechanical and thermal stability conditions as determined from the elastic constants and negative formation energy. The spin-polarized electronic band structures and the density of states indicate half-metallicity with 100% spin polarization at the Fermi energy level for \( x = 0.0, 0.125, 0.25, 0.50, \) and \( 1.0, \) with the integral values of the total magnetic moments per formula unit at their equilibrium lattice constants, following the Slater–Pauling rule. The electronic properties and the magnetic moments are mostly contributed by two Mn atoms and are coupled anti-parallel to each other, making them ferrimagnetic in nature. The presence of the half-metallic bandgap with an antiparallel alignment of Mn atoms makes these Heusler alloys a potential candidate for spintronic applications.

1. Introduction

Half-metals (HMs) are a type of materials where we observe a metallic nature for one kind of electron spin and a semiconductor gap at the Fermi energy level \( (E_F) \) for the other electron spin, thus having 100% spin-polarized electrons at \( E_F \). Such materials are a good source of spin-polarized electrons for applications in the trending field of spintronics, which manipulates the spin degrees of freedom of electrons in addition to their charges, and are finding large applications in new phenomena such as giant magnetoresistance,\(^{1,2} \) tunnelling magnetoresistance, and magnetic tunnel junctions.\(^3 \) Although the half-metallic properties have been observed in systems like oxides, managanites, double perovskites, and pyrites,\(^4,44 \) it is the half-metallic Heusler alloys that are getting more preference over others due to the similarity in the crystal structure with the primarily used binary semiconductors (GaAs) and comparatively high Curie temperature \( (T_C) \) (\( \text{Co}_2\text{FeSi}, T_C = 1100 \) K).\(^5,18 \) The half-metal (HM) nature has been predicted by several authors in a variety of Heusler alloys,\(^5,19 \) including full Heusler alloys with a general formula of \( \text{X}_2\text{YZ} \) (where \( \text{X} \) and \( \text{Y} \) are transition metals and \( \text{Z} \) is an sp block element), from their theoretical and experimental studies, with \( \text{Co}_2\text{MnSi(Ge)} \) being the first member.\(^15,16 \)

The Mn-based Heusler alloys in cubic and tetragonal phases have gained much interest among the Heusler alloys in the field of shape memory,\(^7 \) giant topological Hall effect,\(^8 \) spin-transfer torque,\(^19 \) and large exchange bias\(^20,21 \) owing to their stable half-metallicity with 100% polarization at \( E_F \) and high \( T_C \) with ferri/ferro-magnetism.\(^22-26 \) A compensating ferrimagnetic order of Mn also results in low saturation magnetization in these alloys,\(^27 \) which results in the reduction of power loss due to the absence of stray fields; also, the absence of inversion symmetry evolves interesting phenomena such as non-collinear magnetism, topological Hall effect, and skyrmions that are absent in the centrosymmetric Heusler structures like \( \text{Mn}_2\text{YGa} \) (\( \text{Y} = \text{Ti}, \text{V}, \text{Cr} \)).\(^27 \) A significant amount of theoretical and experimental investigations are in progress to explore the physical properties of Mn-based candidates\(^28 \) as observed from literature, but new Mn-based Heusler alloys are waiting to be discovered with better and untapped properties. Although detailed studies on \( \text{Mn}_2\text{ZnSi(Ge)} \) have been reported by previous authors,\(^29-32 \) there exist some discrepancies in their reports. In this context, Wei et al.\(^31 \) have estimated a smaller lattice constant of 5.75 Å for \( \text{Mn}_2\text{ZnGe} \) as compared to that of \( \text{Mn}_2\text{ZnSi} \) (5.80 Å),\(^29,30 \) which could be due to the larger atomic size of Ge than that of Si. A similar anomaly was also communicated in their electronic properties.\(^29,31 \) Lie et al.\(^32 \) suggested the occurrence of an energy band gap of 0.52 eV at the majority spin, inconsistent with the energy gap of 0.48 eV at the minority spin channel, as reported by Bhat et al.\(^29 \). Similarly, the asymmetric electronic structure has been reported for isoelectronic \( \text{Mn}_2\text{ZnSi} \) and \( \text{Mn}_2\text{ZnGe} \) in
contrast to the symmetric profile of analogous systems like Mn$_3$FeZ (Z = Al, Ga, Si, Ge, and Sn),$^{23}$ Mn$_3$VZ (Z = Al, Ge),$^{24}$ and Mn$_3$CoZ (Z = Al, Ga, Si, and Ge).$^{25}$

To remove the above anomaly observed in the physical properties of Mn$_2$ZnSi(Ge), we performed a detailed investigation of the structural and electronic properties using the well-known density functional theory (DFT). Since there are no experimental reports available for the title compounds, the results obtained for Mn$_2$ZnSi(Ge) were validated by systematically doping the Mn$_2$ZnSi system with Ge and subsequently comparing with the available theoretical data. A similar study of the substitution of Si by Ge in Fe$_2$MnSi$_{1-x}$Ge$_x$ was studied by Hamad et al.,$^{26}$ where the valence bands and the conduction bands shift to higher energies for 0.75 concentration of Ge, causing the bands to cross $E_F$ and resulting in the loss of half-metallicity. A similar behaviour for 0.75 concentration of Ge could also be expected and it motivated us to do similar studies on more finer concentrations of Ge, i.e., Mn$_2$ZnSi$_{1-x}$Ge$_x$ ($x = 0.0, 0.125, 0.25, 0.375, 0.5, 0.625, 0.75, 0.875, and 1.0$) to understand and verify whether such similar properties, due to the symmetry in the concentration of Si and Ge, exist.

It is also intended to construct a superlattice of Mn$_2$ZnSi/Mn$_2$ZnGe along the [001] direction of the parent (Mn$_2$ZnSi) fcc lattice. The half-metallicity and the Slater–Pauling rule in the superlattice of two Heusler alloys remained unaffected by the crystal directions, as shown by Azadani et al. along [001], [110], and [111] direction with various thickness.$^{27}$ Moreover, they have reported the presence of induced uniaxial magneto-crystalline anisotropy in the superlattice, which is prohibited in L2$_1$ and C1$_b$ structure of Heusler alloys due to their symmetry. These superlattices are also reported to be efficient in reducing the thermal conductivity ($\kappa$) in the thermoelectric materials by reducing the phonon contribution to $\kappa$, which is achieved by the additional photon scattering at the interface of the superlattice.$^{28}$

2. Computational details

The DFT based plane-wave pseudopotential (PW-PP)$^{29}$ and full potential-linearized augmented plane-wave (FP-LAPW) methods$^{30}$ were employed to investigate the results presented in this manuscript. The basis set was expanded in terms of plane waves in PW-PP, whereas in the FP-LAPW method, space was divided into non-overlapping muffin-tin (MT) spheres centred on the atomic sites and in an interstitial region (IR). The basis set inside the MT sphere consists of a linear combination of radial functions times spherical harmonics, whereas it consists of plane waves in the IR. The Kohn–Sham orbitals were described by expanding the kinetic energy with the cut-off value of 50 Ry in PW-PP and charge-density cut-off value of 500 Ry. The electron–ion interactions were described by the Vanderbilt ultrasoft potentials. The fermionic occupations were described by the Marzari–Vanderbilt$^{31}$ scheme of smearing, with a value of 7.4 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ Ry. The energy convergence was achieved in the FP-LAPW method by expanding the plane wave functions in IR, with a cut off $R_{MT} \times K_{\text{max}} = 8$, where $R_{MT}$ denotes the smallest muffin-tin sphere radius and $K_{\text{max}}$ gives the maximum value of the wave vector ($k$) in wave expansion. Different MT sphere radii ($R_{MT}$) were used with the value of 2.44 a.u., 2.40 a.u., 2.30 a.u., and 2.40 a.u. for Mn, Zn, Si, and Ge, respectively. For the non-spherical contributions, charge density and the potential inside the MT spheres were expanded up to $k_{\text{max}} = 14$, while the charge density and the potential were expanded as a Fourier series with the wave vectors up to $G_{\text{max}} = 14$. Integration over the Brillouin zone was carried out on a grid of $16 \times 16 \times 16$ with automatically generated k-points following the convention of Monkhorst and Pack$^{32}$ centred at $\Gamma$-point. The effect of exchange-correlation functional was treated with the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof scheme of generalised gradient approximation (PBE-GGA).$^{33}$

3. Results and discussions

3.1 Crystal structure and structural properties

Mn$_2$ZnSi(Ge) crystallizes in the fcc structure of prototype Hg$_2$CuTi-type (space group F$\bar{4}$3m), as shown in Fig. 1, where Mn occupies the position (0, 0, 0) and (1/4, 1/4, 1/4) labelled as MnI and MnII, respectively, while Zn occupies (1/2, 1/2, 1/2) and Si(Ge) occupies (3/4, 3/4, 3/4) of a unit cell, with the atomic sequence being Mn–Mn–Zn–Si(Ge).$^{34}$ The non-magnetic (NM) and magnetic (M) phases of the sample materials were optimized to verify the most stable configuration. The lattice constant ($a$) versus the total energy fitted into empirical Murnaghan’s equation of state is shown in Fig. 1(I). We can conclude that the sample materials crystallize in the magnetic phase ground state. The optimized $a$ for Mn$_2$ZnSi (5.79 Å) is in qualitative agreement with the previous report (5.80 Å); however, there exist discrepancy in the results for Mn$_2$ZnGe. We obtained an optimized $a = 5.93$ Å for Mn$_2$ZnGe, but Wei et al.$^{41}$ have mentioned that Mn$_2$ZnGe reflects HM behaviour, with the value of $a$ ranging from 5.69 to 5.80 Å and an equilibrium lattice constant of 5.75 Å. It can also be mentioned here that slightly higher $a$ is expected for Mn$_2$ZnGe as compared to Mn$_2$ZnSi, due to the addition of Ge having a bigger atom radius, as observed in analogous Fe$_2$MnZ (Z = Si, Ge, and Sn) reported by Jain et al.$^{42}$ where the crystal size increases with the replacement of Z from Si to Sn.

For doping with the Ge concentration of $x = 0.25, 0.5,$ and 0.75, a $1 \times 1 \times 1$ unit cell of Mn$_2$ZnSi, with 16 basis was used, giving 4 atomic positions to Si. All these 4 positions were equivalent due to symmetry, and any Si atom(s) can be replaced by Ge to give 0.25, 0.5, and 0.75 concentrations (Fig. 1(II)). However, the doping by 0.125, 0.375, 0.625, and 0.875 concentration of Ge needs a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercell with 32 atom basis, giving 8 atomic positions to Si. Now, for the Ge concentration of 0.125, any 1 Si atoms can be replaced, since all the positions are equivalent due to symmetry. However, for Ge concentration of 0.375, 3 Si atoms have to be replaced, which can be achieved in 56 ways. However, symmetry reduces the number of structures, giving a total of 5 types of different structures. For the Ge concentrations of 0.625 and 0.875, the different types of structures were obtained by exchanging the atomic positions between Si and Ge for 0.375 and 0.125, respectively. Subsequently, we computed the ground state energies of all the
possible structures for each doping, and the structure with minimum energy was considered for further studies. The ground state optimized a of the 1 × 1 × 1 unit cell for all doping concentrations along with the calculated a, from the Vegards law (eqn. (1)) are given in Table 1. The optimized values were found to be in qualitative agreement with that obtained from the Vegards law, which is given as:

$$a_s = 5.793(1 - x) + 5.926x$$  

where x is the doping concentration of Ge with a, as the corresponding Vegards lattice constant of 5.793 and 5.926 being the optimized lattice constants (in Å) of Mn2ZnSi and Mn2ZnGe, respectively.

For the construction of the superlattice of [Mn2ZnSi]s/ [Mn2ZnGe]s [for notation, refer 45], we used the technique used by Culbert et al.46 and Tirpanci et al.45 As described earlier in section 3.1, the structure of X2YZ inverse Heusler alloys with space group F43m is generally described as fcc with four atom basis. However, it can also be considered as a bcc layered structure along the [001] direction with two atoms in a layer (Fig. 1 of ref. 46). The lattice constant (a, subscripts for superlattice) of the layered superlattice and the lattice constant (a,) of the parent fcc are related as:

$$a_s = a_\ell = a/\sqrt{2}, \text{ while } c_s \text{ depends on the } n \text{ value. In the present case with } n = 1, \text{ the sequence of the atomic layer in the periodic superlattice is } \text{MnZn}_n-\text{MnSi}_n-\text{ZnMn}_n-\text{GeMn}_n-\text{MnZn}_n-\text{MnSi}_n-\text{ZnMn}_n\text{. For example, for the superlattices of lattices A and B, Tirpanci et al.45 took the lattice constant of the superlattice as the average of the lattice constants of A and B, whereas in the present study, we performed the volume optimization of the superlattice, which gives us } a_s = a_\ell = 4.091 \text{ Å, and } a = 5.786 \text{ Å for the parent fcc lattice. The } c \text{ value (Table 1) is exactly double of } a, \text{ and this exactly corresponds to a bcc structure for } n = 1. \text{ However, an intermediate } a \text{ value between that of Mn2ZnSi and Mn2ZnGe was expected.}

In the present study, all the structures obtained were optimized by using the force minimization method, and their stability was verified by calculating their formation energy (E_form) per atom. The formula E_form per atom of full Heusler alloys as given in ref. 47 and 48 was modified in our case Mn2ZnSi and Mn2ZnGe as:

$$E_{\text{form}} = \frac{E_{\text{MnZnSi}, Ge} - (x'E_{\text{Mn}} + y'E_{\text{Zn}} + (n-z)E_{\text{Si}} + zE_{\text{Ge}})}{(x' + y + n)}$$  

where E_{MnZnSi, Ge} is the ground state equilibrium energy of Mn2ZnSi, Ge compounds and E_{Mn}, E_{Zn}, E_{Si}, and E_{Ge} represent the equilibrium energy of individual Mn, Zn, Si, and Ge atoms, respectively, in the solid-state. x’, y’, (n-z), and z are the number of Mn, Zn, Si, and Ge atoms in the unit (or super) cell, respectively. Here, n is the total number of Z atoms in the unit (or super) cell. The obtained negative formation energy, presented in Table 1, indicates the thermal stability of the whole series of compounds and the possibility of their synthesis.

### 3.2 Mechanical properties

It is also very important to determine the elastic constants of a material to understand their significant properties in the solid-state, such as interatomic potential, mechanical deformation and stress, specific heat, and Debye temperature. The nature of the structural transitions can also be understood by observing the behaviour of parent phases near transitions. Hence, we calculated independent elastic constants (Cij) using the volume conservation technique,49 in which the strain was chosen in such a way that the total volume of the system remains constant. The cubic symmetry of the crystal reduces the total number of independent elastic constants from 21 to 3, namely, C11, C12, and C44. The mechanical stability of the structure, after the deformation of a cubic crystal with the F43m space group, were verified by the expression C11 > 0, C11 - C12 > 0, and C11 + 2C12 > 0.44 The calculated values of Cij are given in
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Table 1 The calculated equilibrium cell dimensions (\(a\)). Vegards lattice constant (\(a_o\)), difference between \(a\) and \(a_o\), and formation energy (\(E_{form}\))

(Note: for the superlattice, it is \(a_s = a/\sqrt{2} \) value, \(a\) of fcc lattice, and \(c_s\) of superlattice)

| Ge concentration (\(x\)) | Optimized \(a\) (\(\text{\AA}\)) | Vegards \(a_o\) (\(\text{\AA}\)) | Difference between \(a\) and \(a_o\) | \(E_{form}\) (eV) |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0.0                      | 5.793           | —               | —               | —               |
| 0.125                    | 5.798           | 5.809           | −0.001          | −2.866          |
| 0.25                     | 5.814           | 5.826           | −0.012          | −2.830          |
| 0.375                    | 5.837           | 5.843           | −0.006          | −2.738          |
| 0.5                      | 5.861           | 5.86            | 0.001           | −2.639          |
| 0.625                    | 5.883           | 5.876           | 0.007           | −2.532          |
| 0.75                     | 5.895           | 5.893           | 0.002           | −2.471          |
| 0.875                    | 5.913           | 5.909           | 0.004           | −2.417          |
| 1.0                      | 5.926           | —               | —               | −2.374          |
| Superlattice             | 4.091, 11.57    | —               | —               | −2.106          |

Table 2, and the values are found to fulfil the above stability conditions.

We also computed other parameters, as described in Table 2, from independent elastic constants. The isotropic \(B\) and \(G\) values for the samples were estimated from the Voigt–Reuss–Hill (VRH) approximation, where the \(B\) value defines the hardness of a material, and for pure compounds \((x = 0.0\) and \(1.0\)) it is comparable to that of Mn\(_2\)Zr\(_{1-x}\)Si \((B = 187.015 \text{ GPa})\) and Mn\(_2\)Zr\(_{1-x}\)Ge \((B = 175.478 \text{ GPa})\) and was found to be highest for 0.375 concentration of Ge among the studied alloys. Similarly, the analysis of Table 2 for resistant to plastic deformation, i.e., the value of \(G\) also suggest that Mn\(_2\)Zn\(_{1-x}\)Si has more resistance as compared to analogous Mn\(_2\)Zr\(_{1-x}\)Si \((G = 80.249 \text{ GPa})\), Mn\(_2\)Zr\(_{1-x}\)Ge \((G = 71.088 \text{ GPa})\), Fe\(_2\)Mn\(_{1-x}\)Si \((G = 73 \text{ GPa})\), and Fe\(_2\)Mn\(_{1-x}\)Ge \((G = 86 \text{ GPa})\), as the resistance becomes lower with the further addition of Ge and again becomes higher for \(x = 1.0\). The Young’s modulus \((Y)\) is a measure of the stiffness of a material, and the higher the Young’s modulus value, the stiffer is the material. Typically, pure elements are stiffer than the doped ones, and on comparing with analogous Mn\(_2\)Zr\(_{1-x}\)Si \((Y = 210.622 \text{ GPa})\), Mn\(_2\)Zr\(_{1-x}\)Ge \((Y = 187.189 \text{ GPa})\), Fe\(_2\)Mn\(_{1-x}\)Si \((Y = 198 \text{ GPa})\), and Fe\(_2\)Mn\(_{1-x}\)Ge \((Y = 202 \text{ GPa})\), it was observed that both of our sample materials are the stiffest of all.

It is known that Pugh’s ratio \((B/G)\) determines the brittleness or ductility of a material with its critical value of 1.75, and the nature of atomic bonding present in the material can be verified from its critical value of 0.26 for the Poisson’s ratio \((\nu)\).

We can note from Table 2 that both Mn\(_2\)Zn\(_{1-x}\)Si and Mn\(_2\)Zn\(_{1-x}\)Ge are brittle in nature with the directional covalent type of bonding, but the partial doping of Ge somehow make these alloys ductile in nature. The probability of developing microcracks or defects during the growth process of the crystal was expected for these materials, as observed from their Zener anisotropy factor \((A)\), which is much greater than unity. The thermodynamic property can also be studied from the elastic parameters at Debye temperature \((\Theta_D)\) and low temperature, with the crystal vibration being the acoustic type, where \(\Theta_D\) estimated from the elastic constant, was expected to describe their real value. It is evident from their values that \(\Theta_D\) decreases with the replacement of Si by Ge with a bigger atomic radius, and a similar feature was also observed for isotropic Fe\(_2\)Mn\(_{1-x}\)Z \((Z = \text{Si, Ge, and Sn})\).

3.3 Electronic properties and magnetic moments

A semi relativistic calculation of the energy bands of Mn\(_2\)Zn\(_{1-x}\)Si along the high symmetric directions of the Brillouin zone (BZ) was performed to understand the ground state electronic properties using the FP-LAPW and PW methods (Fig. 2a and b). The energy bands obtained from the two methods had a similar profile and were consistent with the previous reports.

Table 2 The independent constants \((C_{ij})\), Bulk modulus \((B)\), Shear Modulus \((G)\), Young’s modulus \((Y)\) in GPa, Debye temperature \((\Theta_D)\) in K, Poisson’s ratio \((\nu)\), and Anisotropy factor \((A)\)

| Ge doping \((x)\) | \(C_{11}\) | \(C_{12}\) | \(C_{44}\) | \(B\) | \(G\) | \(Y\) | \(\Theta_D\) | \(\nu\) | \(A\) |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------|------|------|-----------|------|------|
| 0.0             | 252.06    | 102.45    | 168.89    | 152.32| 121.81| 288.53| 594.75    | 0.18 | 2.26 |
| 0.125           | 205.35    | 153.3     | 76.75     | 170.65| 49.79 | 136.14| 385.64    | 0.37 | 2.95 |
| 0.25            | 148.79    | 101.09    | 112.82    | 116.99| 61.25 | 156.44| 415.99    | 0.28 | 4.73 |
| 0.375           | 212.38    | 185.22    | 97.14     | 194.68| 45.57 | 126.82| 359.41    | 0.39 | 7.32 |
| 0.5             | 202.24    | 182.44    | 104.28    | 189.11| 44.04 | 122.59| 349.76    | 0.38 | 10.59 |
| 0.625           | 132.97    | 102.97    | 105.69    | 112.97| 50.16 | 131.09| 364.55    | 0.31 | 7.05 |
| 0.75            | 95.38     | 48.06     | 67.92     | 63.89 | 44.61 | 108.56| 339.30    | 0.22 | 2.86 |
| 0.875           | 185.19    | 148.6     | 108.46    | 160.79| 54.45 | 146.78| 379.38    | 0.35 | 5.93 |
| 1.0             | 241.35    | 119.81    | 195.33    | 160.33| 122.54| 292.98| 548.33    | 0.19 | 3.21 |
Further, from Fig. 2, one can note that the majority spin channel had dense bands crossing $E_F$, which was set to 0.0 eV, giving a metallic nature for majority spin, whereas minority spin had a discontinuity with a distinct energy gap ($E_g$) at $E_F$, showing a semiconducting behaviour. The valence band maximum and conduction band minimum was found to be at the L symmetry point of the BZ, producing a direct bandgap of $E_g$.

The occurrence of a bandgap in the cubic inverse full Heusler compounds has been discussed by Skaftouros et al.\textsuperscript{11} The Zn atom has completely filled d orbitals that contribute to the core region ($-9$ eV to $-8$ eV). The p states of Si(Ge) contribute to the lower valence region, i.e., from $-5$ eV to $-3$ eV. The region close to $E_F$ arises from the Mn atoms due to the interaction of MnI and MnII atoms. Mn atoms have tetrahedral symmetry; therefore, the 3 $d_{eg}$ orbitals of MnI hybridize with the 3 $d_{dxz}$ orbitals of MnII atoms, giving 3 $d_{eg}$ bonding and 3 $d_{dxz}$ anti-bonding orbitals. In the same fashion, the 2 $d_{eg}$ orbitals of MnI atoms hybridize with the 2 $d_{eg}$ orbitals of the MnII atoms, again giving 2 $d_{eg}$ bonding and 2 $d_{eg}$ anti-bonding orbitals. Thus, we have five bonding and five anti-bonding d orbitals with $E_F$ just falling in between the two bands formed by these orbitals.

Furthermore, the sample material was doped with a slightly bigger Ge atom in the varying concentration. The presence of Ge in the 0.125, 0.25, 0.375, and 0.5 leads to similar results with a continuous band across $E_F$, thus showing metallic nature for majority spin, while a direct bandgap of 0.47 eV, 0.56 eV, 0.43 eV, and 0.40 eV was observed for $x = 0.125, 0.25, 0.375, and 0.5$, respectively, in the minority spin. At the Ge concentration of 0.625, 0.75, and 0.875, the band profile reflects their metallic nature (Fig. 4). Moreover, the $E_g$ value decreases linearly with the increase in the Ge concentration, and shows the metallic character for highest concentration, which is consistent with the previous report published by Hamad et al.\textsuperscript{36} describing Fe$_2$MnSi$_{1-x}$Ge$_x$, where the doping at $x = 0.75$ Ge results in the loss of HM, which is otherwise present for $x = 0.25$ and 0.50.

![Fig. 3](image-url) (a) Density of states and (b) Partial density of states of Mn$_2$ZnSi(Mn$_2$ZnGe).
The partial substitution of Ge in Si creates a discrete energy level below the conduction band edge and broadens the energy levels and hence, the $E_g$ shifts towards the conduction band edge, which results in the $n$-type semiconducting nature of the alloys. However, for the sufficiently high doping of Ge, the conduction and valence band overlap with each other, and $E_g$ vanishes.

To further analyse the electronic structure and to understand the cause for the loss of HM for higher Ge concentration, we plotted the total DOS in Fig. 5a for $x = 0.5$ and 0.75. The DOS reflects the features observed in bands, namely, HM for 0.5 Ge and metallic for 0.75 Ge. The two DOS almost appear the same in nature with a shift along the energy axis, except for the appearance of a flat valley between two peaks at $-1 \text{ eV}$ and $0 \text{ eV}$ for $x = 0.75$, which is absent between the two peaks at $-1.75$ and $-0.65$ for $x = 0.5$. The flat valley for $x = 0.75$ appears to originate from the slightly lower adjacent peak at $-1 \text{ eV}$ for $x = 0.5$, which is absent for $x = 0.75$, thus pushing the peak towards EF and eventually crossing $E_g$. This can be understood from the comparison of DOS of Mnl and MnII for $x = 0.5$ and 0.75 (Fig. 5b). The solid lines for Mnl and MnII for $x = 0.5$ show the asymmetry in their DOS and peaks at different positions. However, in the case of $x = 0.75$, the Mnl and MnII peaks appear almost in the same place but with different strengths.

As explained earlier, the $E_g$ in the minority spin was due to the hybridization between the d bands of Mnl and MnII with tetrahedral symmetry ($T_d$) that leads to the splitting of d bands into doubly degenerate $e_g$ and triply degenerate $t_{2g}$ bands (Fig. 3b). However, on higher doping of Ge, the symmetry of d orbitals was further lowered, and both Mnl and Zn exhibited the $D_{3h}$ symmetry (Fig. 5c and e), while MnII maintained the $T_d$ symmetry (Fig. 5d). The $D_{3h}$ symmetry splits the d orbitals into three bands, namely, singlet $Z^2$ and two doubly degenerate $X^2$, $Y^2$, $XY$, and $XZ$, $YZ$ bands. Moreover, due to the difference in the symmetry of Mnl and MnII atoms, there is a weaker hybridization between these atoms, resulting in the loss of $E_g$ in minority spin.
The electronic structure of the superlattice, presented in Fig. 6, shows the preservation of the HM character of the bulk Mn₂ZnSi(Ge), and on comparative analysis with the band structures of Mn₂ZnSi and Mn₂ZnGe, we found that the bandgap reduces to 0.22 eV with the shift in the bandgap from L to X. The reduction in the bandgap can be understood by comparing the minority band edge below and above $E_F$ of the bulk (Fig. 3a and b) and superlattice (Fig. 6b), as suggested by Ghaderi et al. There is a contribution from both MnI and MnII in the minority valence band edge below $E_F$ in the case of bulk Mn₂ZnSi(Ge). However, in the case of a superlattice of Mn₂ZnSi/Mn₂ZnGe, the contribution comes only from MnII atoms. This is due to the lowering of the coordination number of atoms at the interface of a superlattice with respect to the atoms of the bulk, which enhances the exchange and thus increases the splitting of majority and minority DOS, as observed by the number of finer peaks in Fig. 6b.

The calculated individual and total magnetic moments for pure and Ge-doped compounds are presented in Table 3, which depicts that the magnetic behaviour mainly arises from the Mn atoms as the magnetic moment of Zn and Si (Ge) are comparatively minuscule. The total magnetic moments of Mn₂ZnSi(Ge) per unit formula is 2.00 $\mu_B$, in accordance with the Slater-Pauling (SP) rule of $M_T = Z_T - 28$, where $M_T$ is the total magnetic moment and $Z_T$ is the number of valence electron of the material. This rule is in accordance with the hybridization mechanism explained above for the formation of $E_g$. The individual magnetic moments of MnI and MnII are aligned antiparallel to each other, which can be explained from their asymmetric spin-polarized DOS and PDOS of Mn₂ZnSi (Fig. 3a).

### Table 3

| Ge concentration ($x$) | Magnetic moment in ($\mu_B$) | MnI   | MnII  | Zn    | Si    | Ge    | Total |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                        | Mn₂ZnSi                     |       |       |       |       |       | 2.00  |
|                        | Present work                | −1.279| 3.213 | 0.009 | 0.059 | —     | 2.00  |
|                        | Others                      | −0.778(Ref. 29) | 2.664 | 0.268 | 0.032 | —     | 2.00  |
|                        |                             | −0.741(Ref. 30) | 2.596 | 0.020 | 0.032 | —     | 2.00  |
| 0.125                  |                             | −0.823| 2.703 | 0.028 | 0.035 | 0.032 | 15.969|
| 0.25                   |                             | −0.654| 2.573 | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 | 7.993 |
| 0.375                  |                             | −0.064| 2.583 | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.025 | 16.177|
| 0.5                    |                             | −0.542| 2.49  | 0.023 | 0.023 | 0.026 | 16.054|
| 0.625                  |                             | 1.248 | 2.185 | −0.009| −0.001| −0.03 | 27.8  |
| 0.75                   |                             | 0.73  | 2.543 | −0.012| −0.099| 0.007 | 13.282|
| 0.875                  |                             | 1.012 | 2.753 | −0.015| −0.005| 0.003 | 30.079|
|                        | Mn₂ZnGe                     |       |       |       |       |       | 1.98  |
|                        | Present work                | −1.181| 2.629 | −0.021| —     | 0.059 | 1.98  |
|                        | Others                      | 0.43 (Ref. 31) | −2.4  | −0.02 | —     | −0.01 | −2.00 |
and b). As a result of unoccupied 3d spin-up states of MnI and 3d spin-down states of MnII, the magnetic moments of the two Mn atoms are aligned anti-parallel to each other.

As shown in Fig. 7, we plotted the variation of individual magnetic moments of MnI, MnII, and total magnetic moment per formula unit of partially Ge doped compounds as a function of Ge concentration. The total magnetic moment per formula unit remains almost 2.0 μB up to 0.5 Ge, in accordance with the SP rule of M₁ = Z₁ – 28, confirming the HM for these dopings. The magnetic moment of MnII remains positive throughout the concentration of Ge, whereas it remains negative up to 0.5 Ge and thereafter, it becomes positive in the case of MnI. This can be explained in terms of Mn–Mn interaction, which depends upon the Mn–Mn distance. Mn magnetic moments couple anti-parallel to each other for small Mn–Mn distances and couple parallel to each other for large Mn–Mn distances, which is consistent with the report by Bethe and Slater. Hence, increasing the Ge concentration expands the crystal size, which in turn increases the Mn–Mn distances and favours the parallel alignment of the Mn moments, as reported by Galanakis et al. for Ni₃MnAl.

4. Conclusions

We have investigated the ground state electronic and magnetic properties of Mn₂ZnSi₁₋ₓGeₓ (x = 0, 0.125, 0.25, 0.375, 0.5, 0.625, 0.75, 0.875, and 1.0) Heusler alloys and Mn₂ZnSi/Mn₂Ge superlattice using DFT-based PW-PP and FP-LAPW methods. The stable ground state structures for these alloys were found to energetically favour the magnetic phase over the non-magnetic phases. The results so obtained using PW-PP and FP-LAPW were in good agreement with the previous studies. The elastic constants predicted the mechanical stability of these alloys at ambient conditions. The spin-polarized electronic band structures and DOS were also studied for pure, doped, and superlattice compounds. The analysis of the electronic band structures predicted half-metallicity with 100% spin-polarized electrons at E_F for the pure Heusler alloys. However, the partial doping of Ge resulted in HM for x = 0.125 to 0.5 and a higher concentration leads to a metallic state. A deeper analysis of DOS and PDOS for HM and metallic phases revealed the change in the symmetry of MnI and Zn from T₄ₐ to D₃h as the cause of the transition from HM to metallic nature. The PDOS also revealed the antiparallel coupling of Mn atoms and the interaction of the neighbouring Mn atoms, which is the cause of E_F. The electronic structure for the superlattice of Mn₂ZnSi/Mn₂ZnGe also conserves the HM of bulk Mn₂ZnSi(Ge). The total magnetic moments per formula unit for these alloys were close to the integral value and were in good agreement with the Slater–Pauling rule of M₁ = Z₁ – 28. Thus, the presence of a half-metallic bandgap with 100% spin-polarized electrons at E_F and the ferrimagnetic ordering of Mn atoms resulting in low saturation magnetic moments make these Mn₂ZnSi₁₋ₓGeₓ Heusler alloys suitable materials in spintronic applications.
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