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Abstract

The present investigation entitled, “Response of muskmelon (Cucumis melo L.) cv. Durgapur Madhu to different levels of gibberellic acid and time of seed soaking on yield yield parameters and economics,” was carried out from February to May 2013 in the summer season at Horticulture Instructional Farm, College of Horticulture, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar. Experiment was laid out in randomized block design with factorial concept having four replications. There were five levels of GA3 (0 ppm, 250 ppm, 500 ppm, 750 ppm and 1000 ppm) with two soaking periods 16 and 24 hours. There was treatment combinations altogether ten. The results revealed that maximum fruit set per cent, maximum number of fruit per plant, maximum yield of fruit per plant was recorded with treatment GA3 at 750 ppm, whereas in case of time of seed soaking maximum fruit set per cent, minimum days taken for first harvest, maximum average weight of fruit was recorded with S1 treatments. Over all treatment combination G3S2 (GA3 750 ppm, 24 hours seed soaking) found to be beneficial.
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Introduction

Muskmelon is an annual climbing or creeping herb with large, soft, hairy leaves and elliptical fruits of varying size and colour. It is an important vegetable crop cultivated throughout India particularly in the hot and dry North Western part of the country. Muskmelon fetches premium price in the market compared to other vegetables and is a popular vegetable grown under both rainfed and irrigated conditions almost throughout the year. It has great importance due to its short duration and high production potential as well as high nutritive value. It contains 78.0 % edible portion, 95.2 g moisture, 0.3 g protein, 0.2 g fat, 0.4 g fiber, 0.11 mg riboflavin, 0.4 g minerals, 32 mg calcium, 26 mg vitamin C, 3.5 g carbohydrate per 100 g of fresh edible portion (Chaudhary, 2000).

In India area under muskmelon are about 41 (000) hectare with the production of 868 (000) MT (Anon. 2013). It is widely grown in Bihar, Uttar pradesh, Punjab, Rajasthan, Gujarat and some parts of Maharashtra.
(Chaudhary, 2000). It is grown on small scale but it has great prospects for large scale cultivation in the Gujarat state due to congenial soil and climatic conditions.

Materials and Methods

The investigation was conducted at Horticulture Instructional Farm, College of Horticulture, S. D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar during the year 2013. The experiment was laid out in four replications with Randomized Block Design with Factorial Concept. Five levels of gibberellic acid $G_0$ (0 ppm GA$_3$), soaking of seeds in distilled water), $G_1$ (250 ppm GA$_3$), $G_2$ (500 ppm GA$_3$), $G_3$ (750 ppm GA$_3$) and $G_4$ (1000 ppm GA$_3$) and two levels of seed soaking viz. 16 hours ($S_1$) and 24 hours ($S_2$) were taken singly and with combined form and thus total number of treatment combinations were altogether ten.

To raise the crop recommended package of practices was followed. The crop was sown on 21st February, 2013. The effect of different treatments was studied on three randomly selected plants. The mean values were subjected to statistical analysis following analysis of variance technique (Panse and Sukhatme, 1995).

Results and Discussion

Maximum per cent fruit set was recorded with treatment $G_3$, whereas in case time of seed soaking maximum per cent fruit set was recorded with treatment $S_2$. These findings are closely in accordance with Gopalkrishnan and Choudhury (1978) in watermelon, Biradar (2008) in bitter gourd and Mollier (2010) in cucumber.

Table 1: Response of musk melon (Cucumis melo L.) cv. Durgapur Madhu to different levels of gibberellic acid and time of seed soaking on yield parameters

| GA$_3$ | % Fruit set | Days taken for first harvest | Average fruit weight (g) | No. of fruits / plant |
|--------|-------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| $G_0$ | 51.77       | 77.73                       | 566.05                   | 4.25                  |
| $G_1$ | 56.85       | 76.47                       | 695.43                   | 5.31                  |
| $G_2$ | 58.46       | 76.21                       | 717.72                   | 6.83                  |
| $G_3$ | 62.18       | 75.25                       | 701.55                   | 7.46                  |
| $G_4$ | 59.03       | 75.93                       | 732.16                   | 6.51                  |
| $S_1$ | 1.23        | 0.56                        | 24.83                    | 0.35                  |
| $S_2$ | 56.34       | 77.18                       | 654.40                   | 5.95                  |
| $S_{.Em}±$ | 0.78 | 0.35                        | 15.71                    | 0.22                  |
| $CD @ 5 %$ | 2.26 | 1.02                        | 45.58                    | NS                    |
| $G X S$ | $S_{.Em}±$ | 1.74                        | 0.79                     | 35.12                 | 0.49                  |
| $CD @ 5 %$ | 5.06 | 2.28                        | 101.92                   | 1.43                  |
| $CV$% | 6.04        | 2.06                        | 10.29                    | 16.22                 |
Table 2 Response of musk melon (Cucumis melo L.) cv. Durgapur Madhu to different levels of gibberellic acid and time of seed soaking on yield and benefit cost ratio

| GA<sub>3</sub> | Yield /Plant (kg) | Yield /ha (q) |
|-------------|------------------|---------------|
| G          |                  |               |
| G<sub>0</sub> | 2.68             | 89.33         |
| G<sub>1</sub> | 3.91             | 130.45        |
| G<sub>2</sub> | 4.80             | 160.18        |
| G<sub>3</sub> | 5.31             | 177.14        |
| G<sub>4</sub> | 4.55             | 151.88        |
| S.Em±      | 0.87             | 10.02         |
| CD @ 5 %   | 0.30             | 29.04         |

Table 3 Response of musk melon (Cucumis melo L.) cv. Durgapur Madhu to different levels of gibberellic acid and time of seed soaking on economics

| Treat. No. | Cost of cultivation ₹/ha | Yield (q) per hectare | Gross income ₹/ha | Net income ₹/ha | Cost Benefit Ratio |
|------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| G<sub>0</sub>S<sub>1</sub> | 67418.46 | 69.09 | 138180 | 70761.54 | 1:1.04 |
| G<sub>0</sub>S<sub>2</sub> | 67418.46 | 109.56 | 219120 | 151701.54 | 1:2.25 |
| G<sub>1</sub>S<sub>1</sub> | 69370.46 | 112.30 | 224600 | 155229.54 | 1:2.23 |
| G<sub>1</sub>S<sub>2</sub> | 69370.46 | 148.60 | 297200 | 227829.54 | 1:3.28 |
| G<sub>2</sub>S<sub>1</sub> | 71322.46 | 149.56 | 299120 | 227797.54 | 1:3.19 |
| G<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> | 71322.46 | 170.79 | 341580 | 270257.54 | 1:3.78 |
| G<sub>3</sub>S<sub>1</sub> | 73274.46 | 175.71 | 351420 | 278145.54 | 1:3.79 |
| G<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub> | 73274.46 | 178.58 | 357160 | 283885.54 | 1:3.87 |
| G<sub>4</sub>S<sub>1</sub> | 75226.46 | 173.48 | 346960 | 271733.54 | 1:3.61 |
| G<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub> | 75226.46 | 130.27 | 260540 | 185313.54 | 1:2.46 |

Days taken for first harvest was influenced by time of seed soaking and minimum days required for first harvest was recorded with treatment S<sub>2</sub>. Similar result was observed by Ram et al., (2001 and 2003) in muskmelon. Maximum number of fruit per plant may be due to more number of hermaphrodite flower and better vegetative growth (Biradar et al., 2008). Maximum average weight of fruit was recorded with treatment G<sub>3</sub> whereas in case of time of seed
soaking, maximum average weight of fruit was recorded with treatment $S_2$. These findings are closely in accordance with the findings of Ram et al., (2001, 2003) in muskmelon and Hidayatullah et al., (2011) in cucumber (Table 1). Maximum yield of fruit per plant was recorded with treatment $G_3$ whereas in case of time of seed soaking, maximum yield of fruit per plant was recorded with treatment $S_2$. These findings are closely in accordance with the findings of Ram et al., (2001 and 2003) in muskmelon, Hidayatullah et al., (2011) in cucumber, Deepthi (2008) in muskmelon and Biradar (2008) in bitter gourd.

Yield of fruit per ha (q) was significantly influenced by different levels of GA$_3$. Maximum fruit yield per ha (q) was recorded with treatment $G_3$. These findings are closely in accordance with the findings of Deepthi, (2008) in muskmelon and Biradar (2008) in bitter gourd and Mollier (2010) in cucumber (Table 2).

The results summarized in table 3 indicated that maximum net income Rs. 2,83,885.54 ha$^{-1}$ and highest net CBR (1:3.87) was obtained with $G_3S_2$ (750 ppm GA$_3$, 24 hour seed soaking). The minimum net income Rs. 70,761.54 ha$^{-1}$ and lowest net CBR (1: 1.04) was obtained with $G_0S_1$ (0 ppm GA$_3$, 16 hour seed soaking).

From the investigation it can be concluded that different levels of GA$_3$ and time of seed soaking was significantly influenced yield and economics of muskmelon. The treatment $G_3$ (750 ppm GA$_3$) and treatment combination $G_3S_2$ (750 ppm GA$_3$, 24 hours seed soaking) found to be beneficial.
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