Atypical DNA methylation, sRNA size distribution and female gametogenesis correlate with genome compaction in Utricularia gibba
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Abstract

The most studied DNA methylation pathway in plants is the RNA Directed DNA Methylation (RdDM), a conserved mechanism that involves the role of noncoding RNAs to control the expansion of the noncoding genome. Genome-wide methylation levels have been reported to correlate with genome size. However, little is known about the catalog of noncoding RNAs and the impact on DNA methylation in compact plant genomes. Because the small genome size of the carnivorous plant *Utricularia gibba* we investigate the noncoding RNA landscape and global DNA methylation in a compact genome. Here, we report that, compared to other angiosperms, *U. gibba* has an unusual distribution of noncoding RNAs and reduced global DNA methylation levels, as determined by a novel strategy based on long-read DNA sequencing with the Pacific Bioscience platform and confirmed by whole-genome bisulfite sequencing. Moreover, reduced DNA methylation correlates with lack of a functional RdDM pathway, as *U. gibba* DICER-LIKE 3 (DCL3), encoding a DICER endonuclease that produces 24-nt small-interfering RNAs lost key domains required for complete function. Our findings unveil that lack of a functional DCL3 in *U. gibba* correlates with a decreased proportion of 24-nt small-interfering RNAs, low genome methylation levels, and developmental abnormalities during female gametogenesis that are reminiscent of RdDM mutant phenotypes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. It would be interesting to further study the biological implications of the DCL3 truncation in *U. gibba*, as it could represent an initial step in the evolution of apomixis in compact genomes.

Background

Epigenetic modifications are chemical additions to DNA and/or histones that are associated with changes in gene expression and can be heritable [1]. One of these epigenetic modifications is DNA methylation at the 5\(^\text{th}\) position of the cytosine base (m5C), an ancient evolutionary trait associated with gene and transposable element (TE) silencing in eukaryotes [2]. In plant genomes, m5C is a widely conserved epigenetic mark that modulates gene expression and plays a key role in many developmental processes and environmental responses [3,4].

Non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) are fundamental in regulating DNA methylation and the accessibility to genetic information [5]. For instance, mobile short RNAs (sRNAs) underlie shoot to root communication of methylation status [6] and long-noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) serve as scaffolds in *de novo* DNA methylation [7]. RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM) is the major small RNA-mediated epigenetic pathway in plants. The canonical pathway can be subdivided into 3 different phases: 1) RNA polymerase IV (Pol-IV) dependent biogenesis of small interfering RNAs (siRNAs), 2) RNA polymerase V (Pol-V) mediated *de novo* methylation, and 3) chromatin modifications [8]. In addition to Pol-IV and Pol-V, other key components in RdDM and other sRNA biogenesis pathways key protein families are the ARGONAUTE (AGO), DICER-LIKE (DCL), and RNA DEPENDENT RNA POLYMERASE (RDR). Specifically, in the production of siRNAs, RDRs synthesize the second RNA strand, DCLs process RNA precursors, and AGOs select one DNA strand and load sRNAs to a specific target [8,9].

A considerable number of plant methylomes have been analyzed by whole-genome bisulfite sequencing (BS-Seq) or by high-performance liquid chromatography [10,11], where the vast majority of these methylomes are from model flowering plants. Methylation analysis has revealed variation of methylation patterns in intergenic and gene body regions among different plant species [11,12] and these patterns could be related to genome architectural features such as genome size, rearrangements, duplications, and content/expansion of transposable elements (TEs), among others. Little is known about the relationship between genome methylation, number, type of ncRNA loci, and genome size, particularly for plant species with small genomes with reduced TEs and other repetitive sequences.

*Utricularia gibba* is an aquatic, carnivorous plant belonging to the asterid lineage that despite having undergone two recent whole genome duplication (WGD) events, has a remarkably small genome size (ca. 100 Mb) [13]. *U. gibba* is a rootless plant that harbors slender green stems that grow like stolons with alternate thread-like leaves and numerous complex trapping bladders that catch small invertebrate prey. *U. gibba* has a gene repertoire similar to other plants species with larger genomes, albeit with a reduced non-coding genome harboring short intergenic regions (IGR) and a low TE content [13]. Here, we took advantage of the small *U. gibba* genome to study the impact of genome size on the conservation of "non-coding DNA", especially on nature of genes coding for ncRNAs and the impact of the ncRNA landscape on genome methylation. Here, we report that *U. gibba* has, compared to Arabidopsis, a functionally incomplete canonical pathway for siRNAs biogenesis and *de novo* methylation that correlates with a rather unusual content and proportion of sRNA. In addition, our data suggests the interesting hypothesis that the unusual sRNA content and altered female gametogenesis in *U. gibba* could be due to the lack of a functional DCL3. Finally, we suggest that Pacific Bioscience (PacBio) Single Molecule Real-Time (SMRT) long read sequencing data to determine m5C methylation is a useful alternative to BS-seq.

Results

**U. gibba** IncRNAs has a reduced number of intergenic IncRNAs

IncRNAs were obtained from sequencing data of 12 RNA-Seq libraries, 10 from vegetative and 2 from trap tissue from plants subjected to the contrasting abiotic stress or hormone treatments (Additional file 1: Dataset S1). We produced over 19 million mapped reads per library (95.37% mapping to the genome) for a total of 330,258,977 million mapped reads (Additional file 1: Dataset S1). To identify IncRNAs, assembled transcripts were translated into the three potential reading frames and filtered by homology to proteins encoded in the *U. gibba* genome and other
plants in a non-redundant protein database [14], yielding 10,386 putative IncRNAs of at least 200-nt in length. Putative IncRNAs were then filtered to eliminate precursors of tRNAs, rRNAs, miRNAs among others. Putative IncRNAs were further filtered for coding potential in sense-direction, resulting in 4,295 putative IncRNAs (Additional file 2: Fig. S1). The vast majority of these IncRNA loci were relatively short, 89.05% were smaller than 500-nt, and only 1.81% longer than 800-nt (Fig. 1a). The IncRNA mean length was 336.9-nt and the largest one was 2134-nt (Additional file 2: Table S1; Additional file 3: Dataset S2). We also found that in U. gibba 86.51% of IncRNAs had a single exon structure and 13.49% had two or more exons, percentages like other plant species for which IncRNAs have been reported (Fig. 1b; Additional file 1: Dataset S1). When putative IncRNAs were mapped onto the U. gibba genome, we determined that 37.03% mapped to regions corresponding to exons of protein coding genes in antisense orientation, 10.89% to intronic regions, 25.26% overlapped gene bodies and IGRs, and 26.82% were located only in IGRs (Fig. 1c). As it is more complex to determine whether a non-coding transcript that completely overlaps with the transcript of a coding gene indeed corresponds to a lncRNA, we made only a comparison of intergenic IncRNAs (lincRNAs) with other plant species. By mapping directly RNA-Seq reads and assembled contigs, we found that this carnivorous plant, under all conditions tested, expresses a total of 1152 lincRNAs, which is significantly lower than that reported for other plant species that ranges between 1580 and 3100 lincRNAs (Additional file 2: Fig. S2). Additionally, we evaluated the expression profiles for coding and noncoding transcripts and we found a higher level of expression for mRNA than for the entire catalog of IncRNAs or lincRNAs (Fig. 1d). We found no structural differences between IncRNAs annotated as intergenic or intragenic; in both cases the predominant structure was 1 exon (Additional file 2: Fig. S3, S4). To visualize the distribution lincRNAs loci, reads were mapped onto the 18 largest contigs of the U. gibba genome (>1Mb), which included 4 putatively entire chromosomes. loci encoding IncRNAs were distributed across the entire genome with prevalence in high gene density regions, and low frequency in pericentromeric regions (Fig. 2), which can be more clearly seen in the four complete chromosomes (Fig. 2: dark grey color). IncRNAs density was similar in 17 of the largest contigs of the U. gibba genome [15], except in Unitig_8 (6.8 Mb) that has considerably lower density of IncRNA loci (Fig. 2).

**U. gibba has an atypical abundance of 24-nt sRNAs compared to other angiosperms**

To further characterize noncoding RNA diversity in U. gibba, we carried out small RNA-Seq analysis of RNAs extracted from green tissue and traps from plants grown under the same conditions described for lncRNA identification. We obtained a total of 23.6 million mapped reads, of which 19.9 million had lengths between 20 to 25-nt, which were selected for further analyses (Additional file 1: Dataset S1). Upon mapping the reads onto the U. gibba genome, we found that loci for 20 to 25-nt sRNAs are mainly located at pericentromeric regions, where TE density is higher, but with some peaks in regions of high gene content (Fig. 2). Similar results have been published previously for other plant species [16].

An interesting finding was that most sRNA sequencing reads corresponded to 21-nt sRNAs (52.8%) and only 14.4% to 24-nt sRNAs (Additional file 2: Fig. S5; Additional file 1: Dataset S1). This contrasts with sRNA size distribution for other angiosperms, for which the most abundant sRNA class is 24-nt [17,18]. To confirm that the sRNA size distribution in U. gibba differs from that of other angiosperms, we performed an analysis of sRNA size abundance in representative plants from different clades for which sRNAs have been characterized (Additional file 1: Dataset S1). In total, we analyzed sRNA datasets for 30 plant species, of which 21 were angiosperms and 9 were representative plants outside the angiosperms. Our results confirm that in both monocot and eudicot species, except for U. gibba, the most abundant sRNA class is 24-nt (Fig. 3a). For the case of green algae, the most prevalent sRNAs classes are of 21 to 23-nt, whereas in Volvox carteri the most abundant sRNAs are of 21-nt and 22-nt, in Chara corallinae the most abundant are 22-nt and 23-nt (about 30% of each size), and for Chlamydomonas reinhardtii the 21-nt class predominates (Fig. 3a). In early-branching land plants (Marchantia polymorpha, Physcomitrella patens, and Marsilea quadrifolia) and gymnosperms (Picea abies, Gynkgo biloba) the most abundant size class of sRNAs is 21-nt, except for Cycas rumphii, which has similar amounts of 21 and 24-nt sRNAs (Fig. 3a).

**A large number of 24-nucleotide small RNA loci produce a small proportion of sRNA reads and are associated preferentially with intergenic regions in U. gibba**

In general, sRNA sequence distribution in angiosperms is characterized by a major 24-nt peak containing primarily unique reads, and a 21-nt peak comprising many redundant reads [19]. As expected this is also true in model angiosperm species such as Arabidopsis [20], tomato [21] and rice [22]. For the three samples we sequenced (Additional file 2: Fig. S6), 21-nt sRNAs had higher redundancy (up to 97% of the reads are redundant) in comparison with 24-nt sRNAs, of which 30% were unique and 70% redundant (Additional file 1: Dataset S1). To better classify sRNA loci, we performed an analysis with ShortStack V2.0 [23] to identify in silico which DICER-like (DCL) genes are involved in the biogenesis of miRNAs or siRNAs. ShortStack analysis identified 7478 siRNA loci and only 80 miRNA loci (Fig. 3b; Additional file 4: Dataset S3), which produce nearly 1.5 million and 8 million mapped reads respectively, suggesting that a large number of siRNA loci accumulate a low number of reads (Fig. 3c). Of the 80 miRNA loci identified, 78 already were annotated in the miRBase V22.0 catalog of eukaryotic miRNAs, and 2 represent putative Utricularia specific miRNAs (Additional file 4: Dataset S3; Additional file 2: Fig. S6). miRNA loci grouped into 17 families, of which the mir166, mir156, mir159, mir319 and mir858 families made up 94% of the miRNA reads (Additional file 2: Fig. S7). These miRNA families are conserved in most plant species and produce high levels of mature miRNAs [18].

sRNA loci annotation reveals that the 24-nt sRNA loci are preferentially located at IGRs with 80% of them, while the sRNA loci of 20-nt to 22-nt were located in similar proportions at genic and intergenic regions and for the 23-nt sRNA class, 57% of loci are located at IGRs and 31% in genic
regions (Additional file 2: Fig. S8). The annotation is consistent with the distribution of sRNAs at the genome scale and can be clearly seen in unitig_0, in which 21-nt sRNA loci are distributed across the chromosome with significant peaks in regions with high gene density and 24-nt sRNA loci were found to be predominantly located in regions with high TE density, presumably pericentromeric regions (Fig. 3d).

**sRNA biogenesis and the RdDM pathway in *U. gibba***

The unusual proportions of 24-nt and 21-nt sRNAs observed in *U. gibba* suggest that its sRNA production machinery could differ somehow from those of other angiosperms. To explore this possibility, we focused on the presence of genes involved canonical miRNA biogenesis, genes that are part of the subunits of RNA Pol-IV and RNA Pol-V, homologs of AGO, DCL, RDR, and other key genes involved in siRNA biogenesis and de novo DNA methylation in *U. gibba*. We searched based on sequence homology (transcript and protein), protein domain conservation, synteny, and through phylogenetic analysis. Furthermore, we performed a comparison with representative plant species (both angiosperm and non-angiosperm) for which key RdDM pathway genes [24] and RNA polymerase compositions were previously reported [25].

We found that key genes involved in canonical miRNA biogenesis such as DICER LIKE 1 (DCL1), SERRATE (SE), HYPONASTIC LEAVES 1 (HYL1), HASTY 1 (HST1) and ARGONAUT 1 (AGO1) are conserved in *U. gibba* (Additional file 2: Table S2). Pol-IV and Pol-V are crucial in the RdDM pathway and are constituted by diverse DNA-DIRECTED RNA POLYMERASES IV AND V (NRPD/NRPE) proteins. Pol-IV and Pol-V differ from RNA polymerase II in their second, fourth, fifth, and seventh subunits (NRPD2, NRPD4, NRPE5, NRPD7, respectively). The *U. gibba* genome encodes NRPD1, NRPE1 and NRPD2 genes (Additional file 2: Fig. S9), which is consistent with the presence of siRNAs and their strong conservation in the land plant lineage. We also identified NRPD7 in the *U. gibba* genome (Additional file 2: Fig. S9), a subunit previously identified in green eukaryotes except in the algae Chlamydomonas, and NRPD5, found in gymnosperms and angiosperms but not in algae and ferns [25,26]. Only after an extensive search did we find evidence for an NRPD4 ortholog in *U. gibba*. Although this gene is classified as an orphan in *Plaza 4.0 (HOM04D168668)* for *Arabidopsis thaliana*, our analysis showed that this categorization is likely related to the *Arabidopsis thaliana* homolog being extremely divergent; even the *A. lyrata* ortholog was readily placed into a clear NRPD4 gene family (Additional file 2: Fig. S10). Interestingly, this subunit has been reported only in angiosperms and not in gymnosperms, early land plants or algae [25,26].

The AGO protein family in *U. gibba* has been subdivided into 4 clades: AGO2/3/7, AGO4/6/8/9, AGO1/10 and AGO5. The number of family members of this protein family ranges from 2 AGO proteins in *C. reinhardtii* to 10 in Arabidopsis and 20 in *Z. mays* [27]. We searched in the PhyloMe database v4 [28] for possible homologous genes in *U. gibba* and found evidence for at least one AGO for each clade, with the exception of AGO5 for which no homologs were found (Additional file 2: Fig. S11). Two genes were found for AGO clade 2/3/7 (Additional file 2: Fig. S12), two genes represented the AGO 4/6/8/9 clade (Additional file 2: Fig. S13), and four homologs grouped in the AGO 1/10 clade (Additional file 2: Fig. S14). Additionally, we performed our own exhaustive phylogenetic analysis with many plant genomes to assign each *U. gibba* AGO gene with more certainty into specific clades. We were able to identify the same 8 AGOs described above, wherein the 2 homologs of clade 2/3/7 apparently are AGO7 copies, the two 4/6/8/9 copies appear closer to AGO4 than AGO6. AGO8/9 are sister genes only in Brassicaceae. There is one *U. gibba* AGO10 and three homologs for AGO1 in the AGO1/10 clade (Additional file 2: Fig. S15).

In seed plants there are three RDR ortholog genes; two are conserved in all land plants, RDR1 and RDR6, and RDR2 is required for production of Pol-IV-siRNAs and is specific to seed plants. Aside from these RDRs, three additional members of this protein family, RDR3, RDR4, and RDR5, are present in Arabidopsis and other plants [29–31]. We found RDR6 (two copies), RDR1 and RDR2, but no evidence for the presence of RDR3/4/5 in the genome of *U. gibba* (Additional file 2: Fig. S16a). In angiosperms the DCL family has 4 members: DCL1, DCL2, DCL3, and DCL4 [32]; however, in lycophytes and ferns there is only evidence for the presence of DCL1, DCL3 and DCL4 [26]. Phylogenetic analysis of the DCL family permitted the identification in *U. gibba* of 4 DCL proteins (DCL1, DCL2, DCL3, DCL4), suggesting that has a DCL repertoire similar to other angiosperms (Additional file 2: Fig. S16b). Globally, were able to assign *U. gibba* homologs for the remaining key genes in the canonical RdDM pathway (Additional file 1: Dataset S1). However, although UgDCL3 phylogenetically groups very closely to tomato and Mimulus DCL3, UgDCL3 is missing its N-terminal region, where the conserved DEAD/DEAH, Helicase and Dicer dimerization domains are located (Fig. 4a; Additional file 2: Fig. S17). The absence of about 600 amino acids of the N-terminal region in UgDCL3 is evident in a multiple sequence alignment of DCL3 with other angiosperms (Fig. 4b; Additional file 2: Fig. S18). To confirm that the incomplete DCL3 does not represents mistake in the assemble/annotation of the *U. gibba* genome, we searched for the presence of DCL3 transcripts in the different RNA-Seq libraries and we confirm with a 5´ Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends (5´RACE) that in both cases the sequence of transcripts and 5´RACE sequence that the *U. gibba* DCL3 gene is missing the DEAD/DEAH domain (Additional file 2: Fig. S19).

**Female gametogenesis in *U. gibba* is reminiscent of Arabidopsis mutants affected in the RdDM pathway**

Mutations affecting most of the genes involved in the RdDM pathway have no obvious phenotype during the vegetative development of plants, but show defects in female gametogenesis, including the differentiation of supernumerary gametic precursors that often give rise to ectopic female gametophytes within the ovule [33,34]. To determine if the truncation of DCL3 and the unusual distribution of sRNAs could be related with female gametogenesis in *U. gibba* as has been reported for Arabidopsis, we first analyzed female gametogenesis in whole-mounted developing ovules, as no descriptions of ovule development have been previously reported for this species. Our results are described and illustrated in Fig. 4c-i and in Additional file 2: Table S3.
As for other species of *Utricularia* [35,36], the ovule of *U. gibba* is unitegmic, with a funiculus forming a raphe and merging into a voluminous placenta. The formation of differentiated gametes occurs after the formation of meiotically derived megaspores (megagametogenesis). Megagametogenesis occurs in ovules within ovaries having a diameter of 0.3 to 0.5 mm. Whereas 51.4% (n=142) of pre-meiotic ovules showed a single megaspore mother cell (MMC; Fig.4c), 42.9% showed from two to six differentiated cells resembling the MMC (Fig. 4d and e). In 5.7% of the ovules examined we could not identify a pre-meiotic precursor. Ovules contained in 0.5-1 mm ovaries had already undergone meiosis and often show a chalazal functional megaspore (FM; Fig. 4f) within which mitotic divisions will give rise to an 8-nucleated syncytium (Fig. 4g) that will cellularize before differentiating into a mature female gametophyte (FG). Although the degeneration of the FG prior to the end of megagametogenesis is uncommon (13.1%; n=76), in most cases (40.7%; n=76) the ovule contains a FG in which the micropylar region containing the egg apparatus expands outside the integument and grows within the placenta (Fig. 4g and 4h). Interestingly, 22.4% of ovules examined showed supernumerary gametic cells in the chalazal region, independent of the developing FG (Fig. 4h), and containing two independently developing FGs (Fig. 4i), suggesting that supernumerary gametic precursors can give rise to female gametophytes that may or may not originate from a meiotically derived cell. The presence of supernumerary gametic precursor cells and ectopic female gametophytes in *U. gibba* is reminiscent of phenotypes found in Arabidopsis mutants *dicer-like3* (*dcl3*), *argonaute4* (*ago4*), *argonaute9* (*ago9*), *ma-dependent ma polymerase6* (*rdr6*), and *nrpd1a*, all affected in key components of the RdDM pathway.

**U. gibba has a reduced levels of DNA methylation**

In plants, 24-nt siRNAs from repetitive DNA and TEs that are loaded by AGO4/6 trigger DNA methylation, which results in histone modifications such as the H3K9me2 [37,38]. Because of the unusual distribution of 24-nt siRNAs and the low proportion of TEs and other repetitive DNA in *U. gibba*, we decided to explore preliminarily the global DNA methylation patterns in this carnivorous plant using long-read DNA sequencing data with the technology of SMRT-Seq, recently reported [15]. This technology measures each base addition as an interpulse duration (IPD) or retention time ratio. The IPD will depend on whether the new base is incorporated by pairing to a modified or non-modified base in the template and the nature of the modification [39]. Therefore, analysis of the IPDs during SMRT-Seq can allow the identification of m5C in the DNA template without the need for commonly used bisulfite DNA chemical conversion methods. Since there are no previous reports of using SMRT-Seq data to determine m5C global methylation in plants, we tested as preliminarily the m5C identifications in *U. gibba* taking advantage of PacBio data from its genome (http://merlion.scelse.ntu.edu.sg/shares/pbio_HGYDGSKAA23/). Raw SMRT-Seq data was aligned against the reference genome and base kinetics information analyzed using the program SMRT-link V4.0 to identify base modifications and the theoretical IPD value for non-modified bases which is 1 was used.

The genome-wide depth with SMRT sequencing was ~70X and the mean coverage for all bases was 34X. We identified 1,590,729 putative methylated cytosines and 1,088,032 high-confidence m5Cs (IPD >= 1.7), which represents 3.88% and 2.69% of the Cs in the *U. gibba* genome, respectively. DNA methylation corresponding to all methylated cytosines in high confidence m5Cs for each context was scored: 37.3% CG methylation, 22.22% in CHG context, and 40.45% in CHH (Additional file 2: Fig. S20a). These preliminarily results suggests that PacBio data could be useful to obtain a methylation landscape and we decided to explore the methylation levels and gene body methylation (GbM). The methylation levels for each context were 10% for CG, 6% for CHG and 2.4% for CHH (Additional file 2: Fig. S20b), which are reduced levels in comparison with other plant genomes [40]. On other hand, in the GbM we found lower DNA methylation density levels in upstream/downstream regions than in gene bodies, with major peaks located near start/stop codon sites (Additional file 2: Fig. S20c).

Moreover, to confirm these preliminary m5C identifications we performed BS-Seq for two replicates of the whole-plant of *U. gibba*. The bisulfito conversion rates in both replicates were greater than 99.85% and the mean coverage for base was 26X. After sequencing, the clean reads were mapped against the reference genome obtaining around 85% of mapping rate. During the base calling we found a total of 1,789,535 and 1,777,410, m5Cs for each replicate. Of these, we assigned as high-confidence 1,281,545 and 1,302,422 m5Cs, respectively. In percentages of total cytosines in the genome, the global methylation is ranged from 4.09%-4.057% to 2.92%-3.17%, from total and high-confidence m5C in both replicates, respectively. The distribution of m5Cs at the chromosome level shows peaks near centromeric regions for both replicates of BS-Seq and for SMRT-Seq (Fig. 5a) with very similar distributions, similar to that reported in other plant genomes but with one of the lowest global methylation rates reported for a land plant [11,12]. Of the total of m5Cs identified, for the methylation context CG correspond 39.1%, 38.67%, for CHG 27.4% and 27.1% and for CHH context 33.5% and 34.23% (Fig. 5b). When comparing the methylation levels of each context related with the total of these contexts sequence at the genome, the methylation levels were 12% for CG, 8% for CHG and 2% for CHH (Fig. 5c), reduced levels in comparison with other angiosperms including *A. thaliana* [40]. The GbM in *U. gibba* was calculated 800bp upstream, downstream and in genic region and the results indicate lowest methylation in upstream and downstream region compared with gene body region where the CG methylation is the highest (Fig. 5d). These results correlates with GbM densities previously reported for other plants [40,41]. The analysis of TE body methylation showed lowered methylation levels near upstream and downstream regions such is in GbM and high methylation density is in CHH context (Fig. 5e), which can be explain the TE silencing in this genome.

To experimentally validate the predicted methylation densities, we performed Chop-qPCR analysis on two regions from one *U. gibba* chromosome (Unitig 0), one predicted to be highly methylated (GPoor, near the presumed centromeric region) and the other with lower methylation density at a
proposed euchromatin region (GRich) (Additional file 2: Fig. S21 above). PCR amplification of undigested DNA was used as positive controls to contrast with the amplification obtained from digested samples, where a decrease in amplification levels reveals a higher degree of methylation at CG and CHG sites in the tested regions. We observed for both enzymes that DNA methylation at the analyzed sites was over 2-fold more frequent in the GPoor region than in the GRich region (Additional file 2: Fig. S21). Our findings suggest that the SMRT-sequencing technology could be used effectively to estimate global methylation patterns in plant genomes and BS-Seq confirm several results obtained with SMRT-Seq.

Discussion

Genome rearrangements after WGD in *U. gibba* and their role in ncRNA content

The causes and mechanisms of WGD events and genome fractionation processes that lead to genome expansion and contraction in *U. gibba*, are still poorly understood. Moreover, the consequences of these processes on the repertoire of non-coding RNAs and epigenetic processes remain obscure. *U. gibba*, a carnivorous plant with an unusually small but dynamic genome that has experienced two relatively recent WGD events, represents a highly illustrative model to study the processes of genome contraction and its consequences on the diversity of ncRNAs, as well as its consequences on epigenetic processes. Our analysis of ncRNAs provides insights into possible reasons why this carnivorous plant has an unusual siRNAs distribution. One of our interesting observations is that in contrast to other angiosperms, such as Arabidopsis, maize, and tomato, for which IncRNA loci are preferentially located in centromeric regions, in *U. gibba* IncRNA loci are well distributed across the genome but with a lower density in centromeric regions (Fig. 2). Centromeres are genomic sites of spindle attachment essential for ensuring proper chromosome segregation during cell division. Despite their recognized functional importance, centromeres are not well defined at the sequence level in eukaryotic genomes except for some small fungal genomes [42]. In general, centromeres are accepted to be composed of high-copy tandem satellite repeats and/or the presence of centromeric chromoviruses, a lineage of Ty3/gypsy retrotransposons. Also, these sequences may be merely parasitic and tend to accumulate in recombination-poor centromeric regions to escape negative selection [43]. The low density of IncRNAs observed in the putative centromeric regions of the *U. gibba* genome could be related to the absence of both satellite repeats and paucity of centromeric chromoviruses in these regions [15]. Centromeres without long arrays of satellite DNA have been referred to as evolutionarily new centromeres [44]. It is possible that after the last WGD event in *U. gibba*, genome fractionation resulted in the formation of neo-centromeres targeted by few IncRNAs. These findings are consistent with the notion that epigenetic marks, in the form of stable, self-propagating chromatin states, rather than sequence specific structural features, define a functional centromere.

A truncated DCL3 gene correlates with the distribution of siRNAs and impact the developmental biology of *U. gibba*

TE proliferation shapes genome sizes among eukaryotes. In plants, one of the mechanisms to control this expansion is through TE silencing via 24-nt siRNAs [45,46]. In the siRNA biosynthesis pathways, at least three RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (RDR1, RDR2, and RDR6) are functional in plants [29,30]. RDR2 works with DCL3 to form chromatin associated siRNAs (mainly 24-nt) that are involved in sRNA mediated DNA methylation [8]. As expected from its known role in the biogenesis of 24-nt small RNAs, Arabidopsis and maize mutants are defective in RDR2 or DCL3 show a severe reduction in the production of the 24-nt size siRNA class, whereas the 21-nt class (miRNA) is not affected and indeed becomes overrepresented [47,48]. In rice, DCL3 mutations also affect the production of 24-nt siRNAs associated with TEs [49]. Here, we report that *U. gibba* has an unusually a siRNA size distribution more similar to early-branching land plants and gymnosperms than to other angiosperms and low content of 24-nt siRNAs (Fig. 3a). However, the accumulation of 24-nt siRNAs in *U. gibba* is similar to dcl3 mutants in Arabidopsis, rice and tomato [47,49,50], which correlates well with the presence in *U. gibba* of a truncated form of DCL3 (Fig. 4a,b). The loss of a fully functional DCL3 in *U. gibba* may be responsible for the reduction in the 24-nt class of siRNAs, as well as the reduced level of DNA methylation in its genome. It is possible that the loss of a fully functional DCL3 in *U. gibba* might have originally reflected lower selective pressure to silence fewer TEs. Furthermore, we report the first quantitative analysis of female gametogenesis in a member of the *Utricularia* genus and these developmental ovule defects have not been reported previously for *Utricularia* species [36,51] and this study reveals severe abnormalities in the ovule development of *U. gibba*.

Since the truncated DCL3 is structurally preserved by purifying selection, some function, perhaps regulatory, likely remains. Animal Dicer and plant DCL proteins dimerize at their RNase III domains [52,53]. It is possible that the truncated DCL3 acts as an interfering subunit in the DCL protein complex to generate a dominant negative phenotype [54]. As hypothesis, this dominant negative effect could suppress the production of the 24-nt class of siRNAs accompanied by defects that include the absence of a female gametophyte that lead to partial female sterility, or the differentiation of female ectopic precursors that give rise to supernumerary gametophytes. It would be interesting to further study the biological implications of the DCL truncation in *U. gibba*, as it could represent an initial step in the evolution of apomixis and further developmental and genetic studies are required to determine if the latter could eventually result in variable frequencies of unreduced gamete formation, polyploidization or apomixis.

SMRT-Seq as an alternative to decipher plant methylomes

All plant methylomes sequenced to date have been generated by BS-Seq [39]. In recent years, however, new techniques for sequencing has generated the possibility of direct DNA base modification detection without any chemical conversion [39]. Recently, genome-wide DNA methylation
on N-6 adenine (m6A) using SMRT-sequencing technology was reported for some animals and plants [55–57]. Our results of *U. gibba* BS-Seq support the use of SMRT sequencing technology to determine m5Cs on whole genomes. At least in qualitative terms, SMRT technology produces whole-genome m5C data similar in the percentages of methylated cytosines, the proportions of methylation context (CG, CHG and CHH), genome-wide m5C distribution and GbM to those obtained by bisulfite sequencing. Similar findings we obtain with SMRT-Seq preliminarily data and BS-Seq in the model plant *A. thaliana*, which strongly support our results (Additional file 2: Fig. S22). Some of the methylation variability between identification methods can be explained by dynamic DNA methylation among cells, individuals or natural variation [58–60], bias in libraries preparation strategies [61] or the differences between methods. BS-Seq has so far been the standard for methylome determination and has been quite useful to derive insights into the roles of DNA methylation on gene expression and chromatin remodeling. However, because BS-Seq is based on short-length sequencing reads that provide a statistical probability that a cytosine is methylated, it is difficult, if not impossible to determine which m5C modifications need to be present in the same molecule to influence gene expression and/or chromatin remodeling. Although further analyses will be required to ascertain the extent to which SMRT technology can generate robust quantitative data on m5C DNA modifications, the fact that methylation patterns can be established linearly for long-reads could serve to establish which m5C modifications are truly necessary to influence gene expression or chromatin modifications.

**Lower DNA methylation levels in *U. gibba* in comparison with other plant genomes**

Focusing on canonical genes in RdDM pathway, *de novo* DNA methylation involves Pol-IV, Pol-V and several key genes [8,25]. However, we could not uncover a direct AGO6 ortholog, and the DCL3 ortholog is truncated in its catalytic domains. In Arabidopsis *dcl3* and *ago6* mutants have reduced DNA methylation levels at RdDM targets [62,63]. Moreover, AGO4 and AGO6 in Arabidopsis are not as redundant as was originally thought. Indeed, physical interactions demonstrate that they have specific functions: AGO4 is colocalized with Pol-II, and AGO6 interacts with Pol-V [63]. Global DNA methylation of *U. gibba* ranges from 2.65 to 3.9%, lower than that reported for other plant species, which range between 5% in *Theobroma cacao* to 43% in *Beta vulgaris* [11]. Our results suggest that the reduced level of 24-nt siRNAs in *U. gibba* could be due to the presence of a truncated DCL3 and/or the absence of AGO6, in turn impacting DNA methylation, mainly in methylation level of CHH context which in this work reported as reduced compared with *A. thaliana* and other angiosperms.

**Conclusions**

First, this study on *Utricularia gibba*, a plant with a remarkably small genome size that has undergone drastic genome contraction after two recent events of WGD, sheds light on noncoding RNA landscape and its impact on DNA methylation in plants with compact genome size, which provides valuable information for future studies of plant genome engineering and functional genomics. Second, they provide a genome-wide picture of the DNA methylation in *U. gibba*, estimated by long-read sequencing with SMRT technology of PacBio and tested in the model plant *A. thaliana*, which also confirm that PacBio data potentially provide an overall picture of methylation status. Third, they report that *U. gibba* genome lacks a functional RdDM pathway - where a truncated DCL3 correlates with an unusual repertoire of sRNAs, low global DNA methylation and may impact the reproductive developmental biology of this carnivorous plant. Finally, we propose that alterations in the RdDM pathway could be the result a relaxed DNA methylation control of the low content of transposable elements in *U. gibba*.

**Methods**

**Plant material**

*U. gibba* was collected at the Umécuaro village in the municipality of Morelia, Mexico, in 2009 (Ibarra-Laclette et al, 2013) and since clonally propagated in our laboratory on liquid media MS (0.25X) at 22 C with 16 hours light and 8 hours of darkness. Subcultures, at day 14 of growth, were used in our experiments. We employed the following growth conditions and treatments: nutrient deficiency, exposure to plant hormones, high and low temperatures, continuous illumination and darkness, treatment with acidic and alkaline pH, osmotic stress, and saline stress. Traps and plant tissue were collected separately after short (48 and 72 hours) and long (7 and 14 days) time treatments for total RNA extraction and subjected to RNA-Seq (Additional file 1: Dataset S1).

**Total RNA extraction and sequencing**

Total RNA from three independent biological replicates for all the analyzed treatments was isolated using the TRizol reagent (Life technologies), except for trap tissue, which was isolated using the Direct-zol RNA kit (Zymo Research) because this protocol optimizes RNA extraction for low tissue quantities and improved RNA quality for these samples. Twelve RNA-Seq libraries were prepared with the TrueSeq (Illumina technologies) kit and sequenced by non-directional single-end mode.

**Mapping reads and IncRNAs identification**

Trimmed reads were mapped against the latest available version of the *U. gibba* genome (https://genomevolution.org/coge/GenomelInfo.pl? gid=28800). To potentiate the identification of IncRNAs in *U. gibba* we decided to merge mapped reads for each library in a single file to obtain a
core transcriptome assembly with Trinity v2.0 [64]. The identification works with three major filters: I) One of the main features for IncRNAs is size, then during the assembly the transcripts with less than 200 nucleotides in size were cut-off. The first step was to search protein orthologs against a database of non-redundant eukaryotic proteins [14], this step eliminates those transcripts that encode for proteins. II) Putative IncRNAs transcripts were filtered finding homologs with housekeeping RNAs such are transfer-RNAs (tRNAs), ribosomal-RNAs (rRNAs), micro-RNAs (miRNAs) and other types of structural RNA using the database Rfam v12.0 [65] and sequence homologs with precursors of small RNAs from U. gibba dataset. III) Third step relays in the evaluation of the coding potential of the remaining transcripts using the tools CPC2 [66] and CPAT v1.2.4 [67] using the corrected training for U. gibba model. The IncRNAs identification is described and summarized in Additional file 2: Fig. S1.

Small RNA sequencing and annotation

RNA was extracted independently from each sample and then equimolarly pooled to produce three small RNA libraries (Additional file 1: Dataset S1). Small RNA libraries were constructed using the TrueSeq Small RNA kit (Illumina Technologies) and sequenced using NextSeq (Illumina Technologies) using a 50-nucleotide single end runs. Cleaned reads were mapped against the U. gibba reference genome and the alignments were then run in ShortStack V2.0 [23]. ShortStack-count mode was used to find relative small RNA abundances of de novo-identified sRNAs loci. The sRNAs reads were mapped against mature sequences in the miRNA database miRBase V22.0 (blastn -word_size 7 –dust no –identity 90 –evalue 1000).

Phylogenetic analysis

Were identified the homologs among plant genomes in Phytozome version 12.1 (http://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/; [68]). For all sequences, homology analysis was performed (Blastx E-value < 0.001, Bit-Score > 70). Additionally, we performed a bidirectional blast analysis against Arabidopsis protein database from TAIR V10 (www.arabidopsis.org) using previous parameters. Protein multiple alignments were made using MAFFT V7.0 [69] and trimmed by trimAL [70]. Finally, the best model fit was selected to execute the phylogenetic inference with ProtTest V3.0 [71]. The Bayesian phylogenetic reconstruction was executed using the software MrBayes V3.2 [72] with 300000 generations. Also, likelihood phylogenetic reconstruction was estimated using RAxML back box on website CIPRES (https://www.phylo.org/) with GTR + G model and 1000 cycles for bootstrapping.

Cytological analysis of ovule development

For cytological examination of ovules, whole flowers were harvested and fixed in formalin acetic acid-alcohol solution (40% formaldehyde, glacial acetic acid, 50% ethanol; in a 5:5:90 volume ratio) for 24 hours at room temperature, and subsequently stored in 70% ethanol at 4° C. Fixed ovaries were dissected with hypodermic needles (1 mm insulin syringes), cleared, and observed by differential interference contrast microscopy using a Leica DMR microscope.

Identification of m5C with SMRT-sequencing and validation

Raw data was obtained from two sources: 1) The PacBio U. gibba SMRT sequencing data were provided by the authors of genome sequencing paper (http://merlion.scelse.ntu.edu.sg/shares/pbio_HGYDGSKAA23/) (4). 2) The Arabidopsis PacBio data was downloaded from PacBio public database for ler-0 ecotype (http://datasets. pacb.com.s3.amazonaws.com/2014/Arabidopsis/list.html). Each PacBio SMRT-cell provides raw data in bax.h5 format, these raw data were aligned against plant reference genome (A. thaliana or U. gibba) using pbaling program in base modification mode from SMRT-Link program designed by PacBio. For each case, to obtain more coverage and depth were merged the SMRT-cells (10 SMRT-cells for U. gibba and 40 SMRT-cell for Arabidopsis) and with loadPulses scripts (SMRT-link) the polymerase kinetics information for each base was obtained as average of IPD-ratio. Finally, the modification of cytosine was identified using ipdSummary.py script (SMRT-link).

The theoretical IPD value for non-modified bases is 1. We found that for non-modified cytosines the median of IPD ratio was 1.02 (S=0.19), whereas for modified cytosine (m5C) the median was 1.6699 and the mean 1.84, whereas for high confidence modified cytosine (m5C) the median was 1.89 (S=0.33) and average IPD was 2.02, which corresponds to the expected IPD for m5C. Automatically, the m5C high confidence position is identified and the m5C putative positions (modified bases) were identified performing a filter related with IPD-ratio >=1.79 (between median and average) of m5C high-confidence for non-centromeric regions. Raw data in bax.h5 format was aligned using pbaling in base modification mode. Polymerase kinetics information was further loaded after alignment using loadPulses scripts. Finally, m5Cs were identified using the ipdSummary.py script. We used a filter of 15X coverage to select for m5Cs. Parts of the analysis were done using customized scripts in R, MySQL, and Perl.

Whole-Genome Bisulfite Sequencing

Genomic DNA from U. gibba fresh tissue 14-days old was grinded with liquid nitrogen and purified with DNeasy Plant Maxi Kit (Qiagen). For the library preparation, DNA samples were fragmented into 200-400 bp using a sonicator S220 (Covaris). Then DNA fragments were blunt ended and, a da3’-end addition was performed prior to sequencing adapter ligation. Illumina methylated adapters were used according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Illumina). The DNA fragments were bisulfite treated with EZ DNA methylation Gold Kit (Zymo Research). The final DNA library was
obtained by size selection and PCR amplification. High-throughput pair-end sequencing was carried out using the Illumina HiSeq 2500 system according to manufacturer instructions. Clean reads were aligned to reference genome using Bismark software [73]. To identify the true methylated sites, methylated and unmethylated counts at each site from Bismark output was tested by binomial distribution.

**Comparison between sequencing platforms in Arabidopsis and U. gibba methylomes**

Once obtaining the m5C identification with SMRT-Seq in Arabidopsis, we used previous bisulfite sequencing dataset from our group [74] to compare both methods. We calculated the cytosine coverage per chromosome (the number of cytosines identified by sequencing method) with bisulfite sequencing and SMRT-sequencing to compare the depth for each identification method. Also, the percentages of m5C identification per chromosome (number of cytosines methylated/total of cytosines) were calculated. The methylation contexts were calculated from motif (3-bases) generated. Finally, the comparisons were reported as metaplot graphs of m5C density per chromosome corrected by Z-score analysis and barplot for each library of Bisulfite sequencing and SMRT-sequencing.

**Correlation analysis**

Briefly, data consist of methylation density estimation by BS-Seq and SMRT-Seq in 604 syntenic genomic windows, each one covering 100,000 bp for a total genome coverage of 60.4 Mb. Pearson correlation coefficient, r, was calculated for the whole set of syntenic regions to estimate correlation at genomic level as well as dividing the syntenic set by chromosome of origin. The normalized methylation density data were plotted in R. In each case the null hypothesis of zero correlation was tested.
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