Proteasomal Degradation of p53 by Human Papillomavirus E6 Oncoprotein Relies on the Structural Integrity of p53 Core Domain
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Abstract

The E6 oncoprotein produced by high-risk mucosal HPV stimulates ubiquitylation and proteasome-dependent degradation of the tumour suppressor p53 via formation of a trimeric complex comprising E6, p53, and E6-AP. p53 is also degraded by its main cellular regulator MDM2. The main binding site of p53 to MDM2 is situated in the natively unfolded N-terminal region of p53. By contrast, the regions of p53 implicated in the degradation by viral E6 are not fully identified to date. Here we generated a series of mutations (Y103G, Y107G, T155A, T155V, T155D, L264A, L265A) targeting the central folded core domain of p53 within a region opposite to its DNA-binding site. We analysed by in vitro and in vivo assays the impact of these mutations on p53 degradation mediated by viral E6 oncoprotein. Whereas all mutants remained susceptible to MDM2-mediated degradation, several of them (Y103G, Y107G, T155D, L265A) became resistant to E6-mediated degradation, confirming previous works that pointed to the core domain as an essential region for the degradation of p53. In parallel, we systematically checked the impact of the mutations on the transactivation activity of p53 as well as on the conformation of p53, analysed by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR), circular dichroism (CD), and antibody probing. These measurements suggested that the conformational integrity of the core domain is an essential parameter for the degradation of p53 by E6, while it is not essential for the degradation of p53 by MDM2. Thus, the intracellular stability of a protein may or may not rely on its biophysical stability depending on the degradation pathway taken into consideration.

Introduction

The p53 protein is a key regulator of cell proliferation. In non-dividing cells, p53 is tightly maintained at a steady-state level, which decreases when cells enter S-phase to undergo proliferation [1,2]. Various factors of deregulated cell proliferation, such as genotoxic stress or oncogene expression, induce both the accumulation of p53 and the modulation of its activities [3]. This results in activation of a variety of molecular pathways leading either to cell-cycle arrest, senescence, or apoptosis. Due to its central role in cell cycle regulation, p53 is systematically deregulated in cancers and other pathologies involving abnormal cell proliferation.

P53 is a multifunctional 393-residue protein (fig. 1A). The central core domain (residues 98–292) constitutes a single folded unit that bears the sequence-specific DNA-binding activity of p53. The core domain is flanked by modulatory regions including an N-terminal transactivation domain (residues 1–42), a proline-rich domain (63–97), a helical tetramerisation domain (324–355) and a C-terminal regulatory domain (363–393). These regions modulate the transcriptional activity of the core domain in response to various ligands (proteins, damaged DNA) or modifications such as phosphorylation or acetylation [4]. The three-dimensional structure of the core domain bound to its double-stranded DNA target has unravelled the structural basis of p53 transcriptional activity [5].

Genotoxic stress-induced p53 accumulation mainly results from post-translational stabilisation [6–8]. Therefore, both the physiological and pathological mechanisms of p53 turnover have been extensively studied. The cellular MDM2 protein, first known as a transcriptional target of p53, was found to act as an E3 ubiquitin-ligase, which transfers ubiquitin (Ub) onto p53, thereby targeting it to proteasome-mediated degradation [9,10]. Perturbation of MDM2 function leading to enhanced degradation of p53 is a key event in numerous cancers [11–14]. Enhancement of p53 degradation has also been recognised as one of the strategies used by oncoviruses that stimulate cell proliferation for the sake of their own life cycle [15]. Adenoviral proteins E1b 55k and E4 34k have been shown to form a stable complex with p53 leading to its enhanced ubiquitination and subsequent proteasome-mediated
degradation [16,17]. The E6 oncoprotein of “high-risk” HPV types has also been demonstrated to catalyse the ubiquitin-mediated degradation of p53 [18–20]. E6 is a small protein of about 150 residues composed of two 70-residue zinc-binding domains [21–23]. E6 interacts with E6AP, a 850-residue E3 ubiquitin-ligase [24–26]. E6AP contains an E6-binding site within a central 18-residue stretch comprising the “LxxLL” motif that is found in several other targets of E6 [27,28]. p53 has been proposed to contain two distinct E6-binding sites [29–31]. The C-terminal modulatory domain (residues 356–393) has been suggested to contain a primary E6-binding site recognised by all E6 proteins of both low- and high-risk HPV types, but this interaction has no role in E6-induced p53 degradation [32,33]. The core domain of p53 appears to contain a secondary E6-binding site restricted to E6s of high-risk HPV types. E6 binding to this secondary site is E6AP-dependent and is required for p53 ubiquitination and subsequent degradation [34]. The precise location of this site within the p53 core domain structure is still unknown.

Li and Coffino [30] showed that aminoacids 100–150 of p53 contain a degradation domain and hypothesized this region as the second binding site for high risk E6. Additionally, Gu et al. [29] proposed that p53 has a unique sequence element within the core domain (aminoacids 92–112) that acts as a signal for MDM2-mediated degradation and the binding of oncoproteins, which direct p53 degradation towards proteasome pathway. This secondary site was also required for E6/E6AP-mediated p53 ubiquitination and subsequent degradation, whereas the primary site was dispensable for these activities [29]. More recently a secondary MDM2 binding site was suggested to exist in the flexible loop which links S9–S10 β-strands of the p53 core domain and proposed as a regulatory element modulating p53 ubiquitination [35]. NMR and fluorescence anisotropy measurements have also suggested that a second MDM2 binding site is located within p53 core domain [36]. On the other hand, Bech-Otschir et al. [37] observed that phosphorylation of T155, a threonine residue also situated in the core domain, by the COP9 signalosome (CSN) appeared to be important for p53 degradation by the Ub-26S proteasome system and that replacement of T155 by valine stabilized p53 in HeLa cells.

Remarkably, when the above-mentioned mutations are plotted on the structural model of p53, it can be observed that they are all focused on the same region of the p53 core domain. A fragment of the region defined by Gu et al. in 2001 [29], spanning approximately residues 99–107, forms an extended N-terminal tail structure that runs on the surface of the core domain. This N-
terminal tail is anchored to the rest of the core structure via aromatic residues Y103 and Y107, which interact with residues L264 and L265 that belong to the loop connecting β-strands S9 and S10 (Fig. 1B). Moreover, the amino acid T155 highlighted by Bech-Otschir et al. in 2001 [37] is also located in the vicinity of these residues.

In the present work, we generated p53 constructs bearing various mutations on key residues situated within the above mentioned region of p53 core domain. E6 and MDM2 dependent degradation and transactivation activity of the mutated p53 proteins were analysed both in vitro within cell extracts and in vivo within a p53-null cell line. In addition, the incidence of the mutations on the structural integrity of p53 was studied by circular dichroism (CD) and NMR 1H-15N correlation spectroscopies. While mutants T155A, T155V and L264A were still degraded by E6, the mutants Y103G, Y107G, T155D and L265A became resistant to E6 mediated degradation. By contrast, all mutants were still degraded by MDM2. Among the E6-resistant mutants, Y107G, T155D and L265A appeared to have completely lost the native p53 fold, whereas Y103G displayed a folded yet significantly altered conformation.

Results

Mutation L265A protects p53 from E6-mediated degradation

According to observations made by Shimizu et al. [35], the aminoacids S261, L264 and F270 of p53 seem to be implicated in the MDM2-dependent ubiquitination. On the other hand, residues L264 and L265 are spatially close to the sequence segment 92–112 that has been previously described as an important region for the E6 mediated degradation via the 26S proteasome [29]. This prompted us to generate two single-point mutants of p53: p53-L264A and p53-L265A. HPV16 E6 as well as the wild-type (WT) and mutant p53 proteins were synthesised as [35S]-labeled proteins in a rabbit reticulocyte lysate translation system. Lysates containing the appropriate labeled proteins were then combined to analyse the E6-mediated degradation of the p53 mutants in vitro. p53-WT along with p53-L264A were efficiently degraded in the presence of HPV16 E6, whereas p53-L265A was found to be resistant to degradation in the same conditions (Fig. 2A and B). Mutant L265A was also found to be resistant to HPV16 E6 degradation in vivo, in H1299 p53-null cells cotransfected with vectors coding for the p53 mutant and HPV16 E6 protein (Fig. 2C). Remarkably, in this assay the E6 cellular levels were strongly increased in the presence of the proteasome inhibitor ALLN (Calpain inhibitor I) (Fig. 2C, compare intensity of E6 band between lanes 3 and 4 or between lanes 7 and 8), and this effect was also observed in the absence of p53 (Fig. 2C, compare intensity of E6 band between lanes 9 and 10). This result is consistent with previous observations [30] demonstrating that E6 is ubiquitinated and degraded by the proteasome.

Mutations Y103A and Y107G protect p53 from E6 degradation

The sequence segment 92–112 that has been previously described as an important region for the E6 mediated degradation via the 26S proteasome [29]. Furthermore, residues Y103 and Y107 of this segment are spatially close to residue L265 which we have just identified as a key residue for E6 mediated p53 degradation (Fig. 1B). We first generated the multiple mutant p53-99-107 in which the sequence SQKTYQGSY [37] was replaced by the sequence GAGAGAGAG [37]. Similar to p53-L265A, this mutant was resistant to HPV16 E6-mediated degradation both in vitro and in vivo (Fig. 3A and 3B). We then generated single point mutants in which Y103 and Y107 were substituted by glycine. Both p53-Y103G and p53-Y107G were resistant to HPV16 E6-mediated degradation in vivo (Fig. 3C).

Mutation T155D but not T155A or T155V, protects p53 from degradation by HPV16 E6

The phosphorylation of T155 can modulate p53 stability via the COP9 signalosome (CSN), which participates in p53 ubiquitination. The phosphorylation state of T155 was also described as important in high-risk E6 induced degradation of p53 [37]. We generated mutants p53-T155V, p53-T155A and p53-T155D. The replacement of T155 by alanine or valine aimed to inactivate the putative phosphorylation site, whereas its replacement by aspartate aimed to mimic a constitutively phosphorylated threonine. The mutant proteins p53-T155A and p53-T155V were degraded in presence of HPV16 E6 in transfected H1299 cells, whereas p53-T155D was resistant to degradation mediated by E6 (Fig. 3D).

All p53 core mutants are still degraded by MDM2

We also examined whether the various mutations altered the MDM2-dependent degradation of p53 in H1299 cells. The major binding site of MDM2 on p53 is located in the N-terminal transactivation domain (residues 14–27) [39,40]. A secondary putative MDM2-binding site has been reported in the p53 core domain which seems to play a regulatory role in modulating p53 ubiquitination [35,36,41]. A vector expressing MDM2 was cotransfected with vectors expressing the different mutants of core domain of p53 full length in H1299 cells. All mutated proteins were degraded in the presence of MDM2 demonstrating that none of these mutations prevented MDM2 to bind and to degrade p53. However, L265A, Y103G, Y107G, and the double mutant Y103G/Y107G appeared slightly less sensitive to MDM2-mediated degradation as compared to p53-WT (Fig. 4). As a control, we used a vector encoding mutant p53-mutMDM2, bearing the multiple mutations F19A/W23A/L26A altering the primary binding site of p53 to MDM2. In contrast to all the core domain mutants, p53-mutMDM2 was fully resistant to MDM2-mediated degradation (Fig. 4).

Mutations Y107G, T155D and L265A, but not T155A, T155V and Y103G, fully disrupt the capacity of p53 to transactivate the p21 promoter

Next, we tested the ability of the p53 mutants to transactivate the p21 gene promoter. First, the transactivation capacities of WT and mutated p53 proteins were examined in the presence of the p21 gene promoter using a luciferase reporter construct. The p53-T155A, p53-T155V, and p53-Y103G mutants remained able to transactivate the p21 luciferase reporter construct while p53-Y107G, p53-T155D and p53-L265A failed (Fig. 5A). To confirm these results, p53 mutant proteins were expressed ectopically in H1299 cells and crude extracts were submitted to SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis. In H1299 cells, the expression of endogenous p21 protein was induced in the presence of p53-WT, p53-Y103G, p53-T155A or p53-T155V, but not in presence of p53-Y107G, p53-T155D or p53-L265A (Fig. 5B).

L265 belongs to the loop connecting strands S9 and S10, which is distal from the DNA-binding domain of p53. Therefore we can exclude that the mutagenesis of these residues altered the transactivation property by directly modifying the DNA-binding site. More likely, the mutations T155D, L265A, and Y107G may
Figure 2. Degradation of p53 wild type and indicated mutants via E6. (A) Radiolabeled p53 (wild type and indicated mutants) and HPV16 E6 proteins produced in rabbit reticulocyte lysates were incubated together at 28°C. Aliquots were removed at the indicated times before separation by 12% SDS-PAGE and exposition to photographic film. (B) Same experiment as in A, but levels of radiolabeled p53, after exposition to photographic film, were quantified by densitometry (BIO-RAD, Quantity One Software). Mean values (AU) ± standard deviation for three independent experiments are shown. (C) H1299 cells were co-transfected by vectors for transient expression of HPV16 E6 and p53 proteins. Where indicated, 4 h prior to harvesting, the medium was supplemented with the 26S proteasome inhibitor ALLN at a final concentration of 100 μM. 24 h after transfection, extracted proteins were separated by 12% SDS-PAGE and analysed by Western-blotting using monoclonal anti-p53 antibody, polyclonal anti-actin antibody and monoclonal anti-16-E6 antibody.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0025981.g002

Figure 3. Behaviour of p53 mutants in presence of E6. (A) Radiolabeled p53 (wild type and indicated mutants) and HPV16 E6 proteins produced in rabbit reticulocyte lysates were incubated together at 28°C. Aliquots were removed at the indicated times before separation by 12% SDS-PAGE and exposition to photographic film. (B, C and D) H1299 cells were co-transfected by vectors for transient expression of HPV16 E6 and p53 proteins. Where indicated, 4 h prior to harvesting, the medium was supplemented with the 26S proteasome inhibitor ALLN at a final concentration of 100 μM. 24 h after transfection, extracted proteins were separated by 12% SDS-PAGE and analysed by Western-blotting using monoclonal anti-p53 antibody, polyclonal anti-actin antibody and monoclonal anti-16-E6 antibody.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0025981.g003
have introduced conformational changes in the core domain which indirectly disrupted the DNA binding activity of p53, subsequently inactivating the capacity of p53 to induce p21 gene transactivation.

p53-Y107G, p53-T155D and p53-L265A exhibited a structural mutant conformational state

To investigate whether the Y107G, T155D and L265A mutations altered the fold of p53, we used anti-p53 antibodies known to distinguish between "native" (i.e., wild-type) and "mutant" (i.e., conformationally altered) conformations of p53 core domain [43].

The Pab 1620 antibody recognises preferentially wild-type p53 with native fold whereas the Pab 240 antibody recognises a buried epitope on β-strand S7, which becomes accessible only upon unfolding of this region [43,44]. Both p53-WT and p53 mutants were transiently expressed in H1299 cells and the crude extracts immunoprecipitated using either Pab 1620 or Pab 240 antibody and detected by Western blot analysis (Fig. 6). p53-WT was recognised exclusively by Pab 1620 antibody, indicating that it was in its native conformation state. Similarly, the p53-Y103G and the p53-T155V point mutants bound predominantly to Pab 1620 antibody which selectively recognises native p53. p53-T155V is recognised by both antibodies which suggests a mixture of native and non-native conformation. p53-Y103G is of particular interest as this mutant has lost the capacity to be degraded by E6, yet seems to retain a native-like conformation since it is preferentially recognised by Pab 1620 antibody. By contrast, p53-L265A, p53-Y107G and p53-T155D were predominantly recognised by Pab 240 suggesting that they have lost the native p53 conformation.

The mutant p53-Y103G core domain displayed a native conformation

In order to confirm the observations obtained with conformation-sensitive antibodies, core domains of the human p53-WT protein (p53core-WT), and the mutants p53-L265A (p53core-L265A) and p53-Y103G (p53core-Y103G) were overexpressed in E. Coli, purified and analysed by biophysical methods. Then, the biophysical status of p53core-L265A and p53core-Y103G mutants was examined and compared to p53core-WT.

We first recorded far-UV CD spectra of the three purified samples (Fig. 7A). Usually, far-UV CD spectra are recorded in order to estimate secondary structure contents. An accurate estimate of contents requires recording data at wavelength as low as possible and at least below 192 nm. However, we were not able to reach such a low wavelength with the p53core-L265A sample due to the relatively high salt concentration that was required for the stability of the sample. Nevertheless, comparison of CD spectra of mutated p53 core domain samples with WT is sufficient to know whether the secondary structure content is perturbed or not. P53core-WT and p53core-Y103G spectra could be well superimposed, suggesting a similar secondary structure content (Fig. 7A). By contrast, the p53core-L265A spectrum dramatically changed compared to WT, suggesting that mutation strongly altered the conformation of the core domain.

Thermal denaturation was also assessed in order to check and compare thermal stability of the domains (Fig. 7B). Thermal denaturation midpoints were 40 and 36°C for p53core-WT and
The NMR 1H-15N correlation spectrum of the p53core-WT core domain showing a HSQC spectrum of unfolded p53core-WT see indicated that the domain was in a non-native conformation (for a random coil conformation, together with a decrease in signal domain, and instead displayed signals in the region typical for L265A had lost the characteristic peak distribution of wild-type protein. By contrast, the spectrum of the p53core-L265A mutant displayed a very broad non cooperative transition centred around 25°C. This observation suggests that p53core-L265A is unfolded, displaying mainly random conformations.

To further analyse the effect of the mutations on p53 conformation, and validate the observations obtained with antibodies, we produced 15N-labeled samples of p53 core domain. The NMR 1H-15N correlation spectrum of the p53core-WT core domain recorded by NMR spectrometry matched formerly published spectra (for reference and peak assignment see Wong et al. [45]) (Fig. 7C). The p53core-WT spectrum displayed a well dispersed peak pattern with a homogeneous linewidth characteristic of a folded protein. By contrast, the spectrum of the p53core-L265A had lost the characteristic peak distribution of wild-type domain, and instead displayed signals in the region typical for random coil conformation, together with a decrease in signal intensities as well as linewidth broadening. These observations indicated that the domain was in a non-native conformation (for a reference showing a HSQC spectrum of unfolded p53core-WT see Rudiger et al. [46]). However the purified p53core-L265A core domain was soluble and monodisperse after gel filtration and could be concentrated to relatively high concentration for NMR investigation. p53core-T155V and p53core-Y107G point mutants also displayed spectral characteristics of non-folded proteins, although their purification and concentration resulted more difficult than for p53core-L265A (data not shown).

The 1H-15N correlation spectrum of p53core-Y103G mutant core domain displayed a dispersed peak pattern characteristic of a folded protein, and retained at least 80% of the cross peaks observed for the p53-WT core domain. However, the linewidth of the cross peaks was more heterogeneous than for the wild-type protein, suggesting that some regions in the mutant may be prone to conformational exchange and/or self-association. In addition, close to 20% of the original cross-peaks of the WT core domain were not found, whereas some very intense additional peaks appeared in a region characteristic for residues in random conformations (8 to 8.5 ppm). Interestingly, most of the cross peaks of the p53-WT spectrum which were no more observed in the mutant p53core-Y103G spectrum corresponded to residues of the β-strand S10 directly in contact with the loop 92–112 described by Gu et al. [29] and containing the Y103 and Y107 residues. These observations suggest that the p53-Y103G mutant core domain is folded yet presents significant conformational alterations as compared to the wild type core domain. Most probably, these conformational alterations mainly concern residues spatially close to residue 103, i.e. residues situated in the N-terminal segment and in β-strand S10.

**Discussion**

In the present work we studied the importance of residues within a region of p53 core domain that has been reported to contain a binding site for E6 from high-risk HPVs [29,30,37], and MDM2 [35,36,41]. Consistent with previous reports where chimeras of p53 with the 92–112 region from p73 were resistant to HPV16 E6-mediated degradation [29], we observed that multiple point mutations of the stretch 99–107 was sufficient to prevent p53 degradation in the presence of HPV16 E6 both in *vivo* and *in vitro*. In the structure of p53 core domain Y103 and Y107 are in contact with L264 and L265 situated in the linker between S9 and S10 segments. The single point mutation of Y107 to glycine protected p53 from HPV16 E6-mediated degradation, probably due to the alteration of the fold of the core domain. Indeed, mutant Y107G failed to transactivate the p21 promoter and it did not bind to the Pab 1620 antibody that selectively recognises the folded conformation of p53, whereas it bound to the Pab 240 antibody directed against a buried epitope on β-strand S7 (residues 212–217), which becomes accessible upon unfolding of this region. On the other hand, replacement of Y103 by glycine was found to protect from the E6-mediated degradation, and although it impacted on the conformation of the resulting protein, it did not disrupt its ability to fold.

The replacement of amino acid L264, reported to be implicated in the ubiquitination of p53 by MDM2 [35], to alanine had no effect on p53 degradation in the presence of HPV16 E6 or MDM2 (data not shown). However, replacement of L265 to alanine protected p53 from HPV16 E6-mediated degradation *in vitro*. Interestingly, when transiently expressed in H1299 p53-null cells, p53-L265A mutant retained its susceptibility to MDM2-mediated degradation but resisted to HPV16 E6-mediated degradation and displayed an impaired transcriptional activation capacity. Further investigation using Pab 240 and Pab 1620 [43] showed that p53-L265A had a “mutant” conformation. Both CD and NMR results showed that the p53core-L265A had a mainly unfolded conformation. Taken together these results show that a p53 mutant possessing an unfolded core domain remains capable of interacting with and be degraded by MDM2. However, we noticed that p53core-Y103G and p53core-Y107G, were less
efficiently degraded by MDM2 as compared to p53 wild-type. This may be explained by a decreased binding of MDM2 to its putative target site within the core domain [47], which may influence the ubiquitination level of p53 [35]. Alternatively, the unfolding or conformational alteration of the core domain due to these mutations might provoke some aggregation of the mutants in vivo, thereby decreasing the efficiency of their recognition and degradation by MDM2.

p53 is subjected to an array of post-translational modifications that regulate its stability and consequently half-life that in turn influences the expression of p53 target genes. In particular phosphorylation generally results in its stabilization [4,48,49]. It has been reported that the phosphorylation status on T155 is important for the E6-mediated degradation of p53 in HeLa cells [37]. We observed that the replacement of T155 by aspartate protected p53 from HPV16 E6-mediated degradation but not when T155 was substituted by alanine or valine, and that all mutant proteins were still degraded by MDM2. When transfected in H1299 cells, p53-T155A and, to some extent p53-T155V, transactivated the p21 promoter but not p53-T155D. These data suggested a possible effect of the p53-T155D mutation on p53 fold. Indeed, while p53-T155A and, to some extent p53-T155V, were

---

**Figure 7. Biophysical analysis of Wt and mutant p53 core domain.** (A) Comparison of different p53 core domain proteins (WT, L265A, Y103G) with respect to secondary structure content by CD. The spectra were recorded in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 6.8), 20 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT at 10°C. The far-UV spectrum of the p53wt and p53Y103G are similar and show characteristics of folded proteins with a minimum at 201 nm while the spectrum of the L265A p53 core domain suggests a large proportion of unfolded protein as indicated by the shift of the minimum towards smaller wavelength and a negative signal at 200 nm. (B) Thermal denaturation of the p53 core domain proteins monitored by far-UV CD spectroscopy at 210 nm. The spectra were recorded in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 6.8), 50 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT. For clarity, spectra have been offset by 10 mdeg between each curve. (C) $^1$H,$^15$N correlation spectra of p53 core domain (residues 94–312) acquired at 10°C on a Bruker DRX600 spectrometer equipped with a z-gradient triple resonance cryoprobe. The p53WT core domain is represented in black (left panel), the p53L265A core domain in red (middle panel) and the p53Y103G core domain in blue (right panel).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0025981.g007
recognised by the Pab 1620 as the wild-type core domain, p53-T155D mutant was recognised by Pab 240 specific of "mutant" conformation. However, our observations regarding p53-T155V differ to previous work that indicated this mutant was stabilized in HeLa cells [37]. In our experiments p53-T155V was partially recognised by both antibodies Pab 1620 and 240. Moreover, according to 1D NMR spectra, this mutant adopted a folded conformation (data not shown). In addition, p53-T155V and HPV16 E6 coding vectors were co-transfected in H1299 p53-null cells, while in the previous study only p53-T155V mutant protein was ectopically expressed in HeLa cells. The increase in stability of p53-T155V observed by Bech-Otschir et al. may be due to a fraction of p53 produced with an altered conformation that was resistant to HPV18 E6 or to a titration of endogenous HPV18 E6.

Interestingly, the three unfolded mutants p53-Y107G, p53-T155D, and p53-L265A were still degraded by MDM2. Several studies positioned a second binding site for MDM2 in the core domain of p53 [35,36,41,50,51] but none of them used a mutagenesis approach. Our results suggested that changes induced by mutants p53-L265A, p53-Y107G, and p53-T155D in the folding of the core domain did not disturb the putative secondary docking site of MDM2 on p53 core domain nor inhibit MDM2-dependent ubiquitination of p53. In light of our observations we can hypothesize that the second binding site of MDM2 might be a flexible segment of the core domain, which does not require a fully folded context for binding to its partners.

While all core domains mutants of p53 remained susceptible to MDM2-mediated degradation regardless of their conformational status, we found that all the mutants which became protected against degradation by the viral E6 oncoprotein presented an unfolded or conformationally altered core domain. On the one hand, this indicates that the conformation of the core domain is an essential parameter for the degradation of p53 by E6. On the other hand, it is possible that some of the residues which we have mutated participate in the binding interface between E6 and p53. However, at present we cannot draw any definite conclusion on this point. It is important to notice that, would we have skipped all the experiments aimed at analysing p53 folding and conformational status, we would have probably concluded that all the residues whose mutation inactivated the susceptibility of p53 to E6 degradation, were directly involved in the E6-p53 interface. This emphasizes the strategic importance of "fold-checking" biophysical experiments for the correct analysis of mutagenesis data, and also points to the limitations inherent to site-directed mutagenesis approach. To get definite answers on the E6-p53 interaction interface, we may have to wait for high-resolution structural studies of the E6-E6AP-p53 complex.

Materials and Methods

Plasmids

Using standard PCR protocols and the plasmid vector pCMVneo-bam coding for p33 as the DNA template (pC53-SN3) [52], DNA sequences encoding the various forms of human p53 were obtained. These sequences were inserted in the expression vector plasmid pCDNA3-Amp. Several mutants were produced and named p53-T153A, p53-T153D, p53-T155V, p53-L264A, p53-L265A, p53-Y101G, p53-Y107G, p53-Y103G/Y107G, and p53-99-107 (where the region 99SQKTYQGSY107 was replaced by 99GAGAGAGAGG107). HPV16 E6 sequence was inserted into pXJ10 allowing expression of E6 (158 amino acids) controlled by SV40 promoter. The expression vector pCDNA-E6K2 encoding the HPV16 E6 protein has previously been described by Giovanne et al. [53]. For the transfection of MDM2, we used the expression vector pCOC-MDM2 described previously by Haupt et al. [54] and kindly provided by Professor Moshe Oren (Weizmann Institute, Rehovot, Israel).

GST fusion protein expression vectors were constructed using the pETM30 expression vectors kindly provided by Gunter Stier (EMBL, Heidelberg, Germany). DNA oligomers encoding the p53 wild-type and mutant core domain were inserted in to the pETM30, a modified pET24d vector containing a N-terminal His6-GST tag and a TEV protease cleavage site. This gave rise to the vectors pETM30-WTcore, pETM30-L265Acore, and pETM30-Y103Vcore.

Cells and transfection

H1299 cells (p53-null human non-small-cell lung adenocarcinoma cells) were kindly provided by Professor Ingrid Hoffmann (DKFZ, Heidelberg, Germany) and were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum, Hepes and antibiotics. Various combinations of plasmids were transfected into the H1299 cells by use of lipofectamine (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For the co-transfection assays, we used 1 μg of each plasmid, except for MDM2 where 5 times more was used.

Western blot analysis

The level of p53, HPV16 E6, actin or MDM2 was determined by Western blotting. The cells were extracted in 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM tris HCl (pH 8), 5 mM EDTA, 1% NP40, 2 mM DTT and an antiprotease cocktail (Complete EDTA-free, Roche). After a short sonication step, the extract was clarified by centrifugation at 16000×g at 4°C for 20 min. Extracted proteins were then separated by 12% SDS-PAGE and analysed by Western blot. The membrane was incubated with different antibodies: mouse monoclonal anti-p53 antibody (DO-1, Calbiochem), rabbit polyclonal anti-p53 antibody (FL-393, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), rabbit polyclonal anti-p21 antibody (C-19, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), rabbit polyclonal anti-actin antibody (A2066, Sigma-Aldrich), mouse monoclonal anti-HPV16-E6 antibody (6F4, Euromedex) and analysed by enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham Biosciences). For proteasome inhibitor assays, the cells were treated for 11 h with 100 μM of calpain inhibitor ALN-L in 0.1% DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich). The cells were analysed 24 h after transfection.

Immunoprecipitation analysis

Immunoprecipitation of p53 with antibodies Pab 1620 and Pab 240 (Calbiochem) was performed on H1299 total cell extracts. 25 μL of protein A sepharose resin was mixed on a wheel with one antibody for two hours at 4°C in buffer B (0.2% v/v NP40, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM tris, pH 7.5 and 2 mM EDTA), and then the lysates containing the p53 mutant were added over night. Subsequently, beads were washed three times with buffer B, three times with buffer G (0.2% v/v NP40, 500 mM NaCl, 10 mM tris, pH 7.5, and 2 mM EDTA) and once with 10 mM tris, pH 7.5.

In vitro degradation assay

35S-Labeled proteins were obtained by in vitro-translation using the TNT Quick Coupled Transcription/Translation rabbit reticulocyte lysate system (Promega) following the manufacturers instructions and using Promix 35S-labeled Cysteine and Methionine (Promega). The lysates containing translated E6 protein (25 μL) and the indicated translated p53 protein (10 μL) were incubated at 28°C in the presence of 3 mM DTT, 100 mM NaCl, 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5 in a final volume of 50 μL. At the
indicated times, 5 μL aliquots of these reactions were added to SDS sample buffer. Samples were separated by 12% SDS-PAGE and the gel was incubated for 45 min in fix buffer (20% v/v ethanol, 10% v/v acetic acid) and dried before exposure to a BioMax MR film (Kodak). Levels of radiolabeled p53 were quantified by densitometry (BIO-RAD, Quantity One Software).

Luciferase reporter gene assays

H1299 cells were transfected with a p21-luciferase plasmid and with pCDNA-p53c WT or mutants. Twenty-four hours after transfection, the cells were washed with PBS and scrapped in 1 mL of ice-cold PBS. After centrifugation, the cell pellet was resuspended in 100 μL of buffer (100 mM KH2PO4, pH 7.8, 1 mM DTT) and incubated 20 min on ice and subsequently cleared by centrifugation at 16000× g. A Bradford assay was performed to determine the protein concentration of the cell lysates. 50 μL of the cell lysate were incubated with 50 μL of luciferase reaction buffer (100 mM KH2PO4, 6 mM ATP, 0.75 mM CoenzymeA, 15 mM MgSO4, 1 mM luciferine). The luciferase activity was then measured by a luminometer.

Expression and purification of GST-p53 core domains

The core domain (residues 94–312 [45]) of the human p53 WT protein (p53c-WT) and the mutants p53-L265A (p53c-L265A) and p53-Y103G (p53c-Y103G) were cloned into pET30 and expressed in E. coli strain BL21 (DE3). Overexpression cultures were grown in unlabeled medium (CD) or in M9 minimal medium with 15N labeled NH4SO4 as a sole nitrogen source (used for NMR 1H-15N correlation spectroscopy) at 37°C until OD600 reached 0.8. The cultures were then transferred to 20°C and induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) overnight. After induction of protein expression, bacteria were collected by centrifugation and resuspended in buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT) and induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG)

NMR Spectroscopy

Samples of p53-WTc (100 μM), p53c-L265Ac (70 μM) and p53c-Y103Gc (125 μM) for NMR experiments were prepared in 20 mM phosphate buffer (pH 6.8), 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT and 10% D2O. Approximately 250 μL of samples were transferred to 8 mm Shigemi tubes for data acquisition. In order to investigate the folding state of the p33 core domain, NMR experiments were conducted at 10°C on a Bruker DRX600 spectrometer equipped with a z-gradient triple resonance cryoprober. 1H-15N SOFAST-HMQC [55] data were acquired with 1024 complex points and a spectral width of 4000 Hz in F2 (1H) and 128 complex points with 2400 Hz in F1 (15N). The recycle delay was 0.25 s and the number of transients used was 1024. Data were processed using XWINNMR (Bruker). Assignments are available within the BMRB ID 1TSR.

Circular dichroism

All the CD experiments were followed with a Jasco J-815 spectropolarimeter (Easton, MD) fitted with an automatic 6-position Peltier thermostated cell holder. The instrument was calibrated with 10-camphorsulfonic acid. Far-UV CD data of p53core-Y103G and p53core-WT were obtained using a 0.1 mm path length cell (Quartz-Suprasil, Hellma UK Ltd) at 10.0°C±0.1°C. Spectra were acquired using a continuous scan rate of 50 nm/min and averaging at least ten scans. The response time was 2 sec. The absorbance of the sample and buffer were kept as low as possible: p53core-WT spectrum was carried out in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 6.8), 10 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT and recorded between 180 to 260 nm. Due to the presence of precipitations of the p53core-L265A in low salt solution, the spectrum was recorded between 190 to 260 nm in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 6.8), 50 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT. Each spectrum was at least repeated with fresh samples. All spectra were corrected by subtraction of the corresponding solvent spectrum obtained under identical conditions. The signal is expressed in mean residue ellipticity (deg.cm².dmol⁻¹).
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