Design and Analysis of Native Photorespiration Gene Motifs of Promoter Untranslated Region Combinations Under Short Term Abiotic Stress Conditions
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Quantitative traits are rarely controlled by a single gene, thereby making multi-gene transformation an indispensable component of modern synthetic biology approaches. However, the shortage of unique gene regulatory elements (GREs) for the robust simultaneous expression of multiple nuclear transgenes is a major bottleneck that impedes the engineering of complex pathways in plants. In this study, we compared the transcriptional efficacies of a comprehensive list of well-documented promoter and untranslated region (UTR) sequences side by side. The strength of GREs was examined by a dual-luciferase assay in conjunction with transient expression in tobacco. In addition, we created suites of new GREs with higher transcriptional efficacies by combining the best performing promoter-UTR sequences. We also tested the impact of elevated temperature and high irradiance on the effectiveness of these GREs. While constitutive promoters ensure robust expression of transgenes, they lack spatiotemporal regulations exhibited by native promoters. Here, we present a proof-of-principle study on the characterization of synthetic promoters based on cis-regulatory elements of three key photorespiratory genes. This conserved biochemical process normally increases under elevated temperature, low CO$_2$, and high irradiance stress conditions and results in $\sim$25% loss in fixed CO$_2$. To select stress-responsive cis-regulatory elements involved in photorespiration, we analyzed promoters of two chloroplast transporters (AtPLGG1 and AtBASS6) and a key plastidial enzyme, AtPGLP using PlantPAN3.0 and AthaMap. Our results suggest that these motifs play a critical role for PLGG1, BASS6, and PGLP in mediating response to elevated temperature and high-intensity light stress. These findings will not only enable the advancement of metabolic and genetic engineering of photorespiration but will also be instrumental in related synthetic biology approaches.
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INTRODUCTION

The construction and expression of multiple genes simultaneously are necessary to engineer complex genetic circuits and regulatory networks underlying almost every metabolic, developmental, and signaling pathway in plants (Lozada et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2020; Ma et al., 2021). To facilitate such complex multigene engineering, the development of suites of unique
A number of plant derived promoters within the same experimental setup using co-transformation or sequential transformation techniques (Moellenbeck et al., 2001; Manickavasagam et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2014). Increasing evidence has indicated that these traditional strategies suffer from inherent pitfalls due to the complex segregation pattern of transgenes, and random integration of multiple transgenes resulting in wide variation in transgene expressions (Betts et al., 2019). Transforming single vectors containing multiple expression cassettes has emerged as an efficient method for the simultaneous introduction of multiple genes.

Golden Gate assembly is a widely used method for the introduction of multiple genes which offers modular regulatory units and a simple, inexpensive, and rapid way to clone and express multiple genes in plants (Weber et al., 2011; Engler et al., 2014). One of the prerequisites for building multi-gene vectors is the expression of multiple transgenes using unique GREs to avoid co-suppression events (Daniell and Dhingra, 2002). Although numerous constitutive and inducible promoters have been characterized over the last decades, very few studies have analyzed promoter competency of viral, bacterial, and plant-derived promoters within the same experimental setup using multi-gene constructs.

The cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter (35SCaMV) is one of the most widely used constitutive promoter in plants along with the bacterial Nopaline synthase (pNOS) promoter (Amack and Antunes, 2020). The major benefit of using viral or bacterial sequences (35SCaMV and pNOS) is to obtain strong expression of transgenes in most tissues independent of developmental or environmental constraints (Koncz et al., 1983; Amack and Antunes, 2020). However, a growing number of studies have reported unintended pleiotropic effects in transgenic plants harboring transgenes driven by the 35SCaMV promoter such as growth retardation, delayed germination, and seed dormancy (Tong et al., 2009; Estrada-Melo et al., 2015). A strong promoter like 35SCaMV often overloads the regulatory machinery in a targeted cell and leads to gene silencing (Matzke and Matzke, 1995). Additionally, usage of viral and bacterial genetic elements also poses potential biosafety and ethical issues during the future commercialization of transgenic crop plants (Lassen et al., 2002). Such adverse effects are why plant-derived constitutive promoters are favored over viral and bacterial promoters. A number of plant housekeeping genes promoters like ubiquitin (UBQ), ACTIN, Eukaryotic elongation factor (EF1) alpha, and RUBISCO have been successfully used for constitutive expression of transgenes (McElroy et al., 1990; Park et al., 2010; Feike et al., 2019).

Moreover, recent evidence indicated that AtUBQ10 promoter was more stable and persistent than CaMV 35S in Arabidopsis, tobacco and rice (Grefen et al., 2010; Behera et al., 2015; Peramuna et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2021). While few studies have demonstrated that plant-derived promoters display similar efficacies in driving gene expression in both monocot and dicot species, not all promoters behave similarly (Christensen et al., 1992; Behera et al., 2015; Jores et al., 2021). This necessitates comparing the activity of plant promoters derived from both monocots and dicots to be tested within an assay. However, baring studies by Beringer et al. (2017) and Feike et al. (2019), most studies did not compare plant-derived constitutive promoters from various species within a single assay. Besides, plant-derived constitutive promoters, another excellent option to achieve robust and precise expression is the usage of synthetic promoters (Peramuna et al., 2018; Ali and Kim, 2019; Cai et al., 2020; Jores et al., 2021). Since synthetic promoters are assembled linking cis-regulatory elements of various promoter regions upstream of the core promoter (TATA box), one can combine tissue specificity with heightened expression within the same promoter construct. Unfortunately, unlike yeast or animal genomics, very few studies have been devoted to identifying core cis-regulatory elements underlying developmental and biochemical pathways in plants to help us generate an array of synthetic promoters for gene stacking in crop plants (Kaplan et al., 2006; Kaur et al., 2017; Laxa and Fromm, 2018; Eseverri et al., 2020; Jores et al., 2021; Kakei et al., 2021). In addition to promoters, upstream and downstream untranslated regions (UTRs) also have a profound effect on gene expression (Diamos and Mason, 2018; Yamamoto et al., 2018; de Felippes et al., 2020).

Synthetic biology provides tools to characterize not only the effects of regulatory elements on multi-gene construct design but also provides opportunities to engineer solutions to global challenges in plant productivity. There is a pressing need to increase the photosynthetic efficiency of crops to provide for the world’s increasing population growth as well as higher demands for plant-based biofuels while accounting for the reduction in arable lands due to urbanization (Ort et al., 2015). One method in improving photosynthetic efficiency is to minimize the loss of CO₂ in the process of photorespiration (South et al., 2018; Batista-Silva et al., 2020). Photorespiration is a multi-organelle process that recycles inhibitory metabolites resulting from Rubisco’s oxygenase activity and is only second to photosynthesis in carbon flux in plant primary metabolism. Furthermore, elevated temperature, drought stress and high light intensities greatly increase photorespiration rates, thereby resulting in an even further reduction in crop yields (Sharkey, 1988; Bauwe et al., 2012; Slattery and Ort, 2019).

Despite the knowledge of the core photorespiratory enzymes very little is known about how they are regulated (Rojas et al., 2012; Saji et al., 2017). Recent reports have shown the potential of utilizing cis-regulatory elements (CREs) of endogenous genes to fine-tune transgene expression for
preserving spatiotemporal regulatory characteristics (Kaur et al., 2017; Cai et al., 2020; Jores et al., 2021; Kakei et al., 2021; Melo et al., 2021). Synthetic promoters designed with single or multiple CREs identified from native photorespiratory genes can provide valuable insights into the potential positive and negative regulators of photorespiration. The introduction of synthetically designed alternative photorespiratory (PR) bypasses for improving photosynthetic efficiency have attracted a great deal of attention in recent years. Such modified PR pathways have been introduced into Arabidopsis, tobacco and rice; all of which displayed enhanced photosynthetic efficiency (Basu and South, 2019). Using tobacco transient expression in conjunction with a dual-luciferase reporter assay we have characterized both the L0 modules, L1 and L2 plasmids used in this study are as follows

The GoldenGate modular cloning system was used for cloning and assembly of desired constructs using standardized overhangs (Weber et al., 2011; Patron et al., 2015). Level 0 (L0) gene fragments or circular plasmids (promoters, UTRs, coding regions) were synthesized either in collaboration with the Engineering Nitrogen Symbiosis for Africa ENSA group (University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom) or Twist Biosciences (San Francisco, CA, United States), containing defined Golden Gate compatible overhangs. In the case of linear fragments, traditional digestion followed by ligation was performed with Golden Gate compatible acceptors. Next, L1 and L2 plasmids harboring gene cassettes were assembled by combining L0 modules into expression units containing the promoter- UTR (PU) coding sequence (SC) and terminator (T) modules using type IIs restriction enzyme BsaI-HF and T4 ligase (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA, United States). Finally, combining L1 modules, level 2 plasmids containing multiple gene expression cassettes were constructed using type IIs restriction enzyme BbsI-HF and T4 ligase (New England Biolabs). All L0, L1 and L2 plasmids constructs synthesized for this paper are confirmed by diagnostic digestion followed by sequencing the end-junctions of the inserts. The details of the L0 modules, L1 and L2 plasmids used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1. Acronyms of various GREGs are as follows: 

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant Materials and Growth Conditions**

*Nicotiana benthamiana* seeds were planted in Sungrow mix soil and grown in 12 h day/night cycles in a Percival growth chamber with light intensity set for 150 μmol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) at a temperature of 22°C for day temperature and 20°C night temperature with relative humidity set at 50%. Plants used in this study were between 4 and 6 weeks old. Ambient growth condition involves ~400 ppm of CO\(_2\), 150 μmol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) light intensity, and 22°C of temperature, whereas elevated temperature denotes 32°C and high light intensity denotes 1200 μmol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). For experiments dealing with high light intensity stress has a temperature regime of 25°C. The low CO\(_2\) levels were generated by passing air through soda lime and CO\(_2\) levels were monitored using a sensor.

**In silico-Analysis of Promoter Regions**

To examine and identify the CREs in the promoter region of the core PR genes AtPGLP, AtBASS6 and AtPLGG1 a two

**Impact of Elevated Temperature on Constitutive Promoters and UTRs**

For experiments dealing with high light intensity stress, the CO\(_2\) concentration was lowered to 400 ppm. The light intensity was increased to 150 μmol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) with a temperature regime of 25°C. The low CO\(_2\) levels were generated by passing air through soda lime and CO\(_2\) levels were monitored using a sensor.

**Analysis of Promoter Regions**

The GoldenGate modular cloning system was used for cloning and assembly of desired constructs using standardized overhangs (Weber et al., 2011; Patron et al., 2015). Level 0 (L0) gene fragments or circular plasmids (promoters, UTRs, coding regions) were synthesized either in collaboration with the Engineering Nitrogen Symbiosis for Africa ENSA group (University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom) or Twist Biosciences (San Francisco, CA, United States), containing defined Golden Gate compatible overhangs. In the case of linear fragments, traditional digestion followed by ligation was performed with Golden Gate compatible acceptors. Next, L1 and L2 plasmids harboring gene cassettes were assembled by combining L0 modules into expression units containing the promoter- UTR (PU) coding sequence (SC) and terminator (T) modules using type IIs restriction enzyme BsaI-HF and T4 ligase (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA, United States). Finally, combining L1 modules, level 2 plasmids containing multiple gene expression cassettes were constructed using type IIs restriction enzyme BbsI-HF and T4 ligase (New England Biolabs). All L0, L1 and L2 plasmids constructs synthesized for this paper are confirmed by diagnostic digestion followed by sequencing the end-junctions of the inserts. The details of the L0 modules, L1 and L2 plasmids used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1. Acronyms of various GREGs are as follows:

- **pNOS**: Agrobacterium Nopaline Synthase promoter
- **pMAS**: Mannopine Synthase promoter
- **pCaMV35SFL**: full length CaMV promoter
- **pCaMV35SFL or p35SFL**: single CRE of CaMV
- **pOsR1G1B, Oryza sativa R1G1-domain-dehydrogenase**: pOsEIF5, Oryza sativa Initiation factor; pOsAPX, Oryza sativa ascorbic peroxidase; pOsCc1, Oryza sativa cytochrome-c; pOsR1G1B, Oryza sativa R1G1-domain-containing protein; LjUBI, Lotus japonicus polyubiquitin gene; pAtSCPL30, Arabidopsis thaliana Serine carboxy peptidase-like; pAtRbcS, Arabidopsis thaliana ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase small subunit; 5’ADH, alcohol dehydrogenase; 5’AGP21, Arabinogalactan 21; 5’CAP22L, chlorophyll a/b binding gene from petunia; CaMV35SST or 35ST, CaMV terminator; NOST, Agrobacterium Nopaline Synthase; OCST; Octopine synthase; MAST, Mannopine Synthase; HSP, heat shock protein; AgGT7, Agrobacterium-derived Ag7 terminator, CPMV, Cowpea mosaic virus; RbcST, Arabidopsis thaliana ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase small subunit terminator; ACT2T, Arabidopsis thaliana ACT2 terminator.
independent web-based promoter analysis tools, AthaMap (Hehl et al., 2016) and PlantPAN 3.0 (Chow et al., 2019) were used. Briefly, 2-kb upstream regions of the predicted start codon (ATG) were submitted. The common transcription factor binding sites were identified by selecting the co-occurrence analysis using the ‘Gene group analysis’ function of PlantPAN 3.0 with the following settings: the threshold for co-occurrence support was kept at >90% confidence and distance constraint at 100 bp. We selected seven different classes of stress-responsive CREs each with four different motifs for further analysis.

**Agrobacterium tumefaciens Transformation**

*Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 was transformed with 500 ng of each plasmid by electroporation. Transformants were rescued in 1 ml LB with shaking at 28°C for 1.5–2 h and plated onto LB agar containing 50 µg/µl carbenicillin and 50 µg/µl gentamycin. A single colony from each construct was inoculated after 2 days of growth at 28°C.

**Agrobacterium-Mediated Transient Expression**

*Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 transformed with each construct was grown at 28°C overnight in 5 ml of LB medium supplemented with appropriate antibiotics. Transformed Agrobacterium cells were resuspended in 1 ml of *Agrobacterium* Infiltration Medium (10 mm MES pH 5.8, 10 mm MgCl$_2$ with 150 µM acetosyringone) and incubated for 2 h at 28°C. Each target strain was maintained at and an optical density of OD$_{600}$ = 0.5 per strain and was co-infected with OD$_{600}$ = 0.3 Nano-LUC plasmid and OD$_{600}$ = 0.3 of p19 gene from Tomato bushy stunt virus for suppression endogenous silencing. Leaves of 4- to 6-week-old plants were then infiltrated with the culture mixture, and the plants were grown for 3 days after infiltration before leaf disks were recovered for analysis. In case of elevated temperature, high light intensity treatments, or low CO$_2$ treatment, infiltrated tobacco plants were subjected to the stress treatment conditions 2 days after infiltration for indicated timespan.

**Luciferase Image Analysis**

Imaging was performed as described in Yu et al. (2007) with minor modification. Infiltrated tobacco leaves were left for 3 days, the abaxial sides of the infiltrated leaves were sprayed with luciferin (1 mM luciferin and 0.01% Triton X-100) and then kept in the dark for 10 min. Luminescence was also visualized using a CCD camera (ChemiDoc XRS; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, United States) with 2 × 2 binning settings for all images. Images were acquired with a 5-min exposure time followed by quantifying the luminescence intensity of each leaf by the integrated density method in ImageJ.

**Promoter Activity Assay**

Leaf disks from infiltrated leaves were assayed using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega, E1910, Madison, WI, United States), following the manufacturer's instructions. Three independent trials, each with three biological replicates, were performed for each construct. Briefly, 3–4 leaf disks per infiltrated leaves were homogenized in 150 µL of extraction buffer (20 mm Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 5 mM DTT). Twenty microliters of crude extract were mixed with 75 µL of LUC assay buffer, and the LUC activity was monitored spectrometrically using a plate reader (Synergy LX multi-mode plate reader; Biotek). Next, 75 µL of Stop and Glow buffer was added for the measurement of the Renilla luciferase activity. For the final transcriptional activity, the firefly luciferase and Renilla luciferase were measured sequentially, and the Fluc:Rluc ratio was calculated. Normalized data are presented as the ratio of the luminescent signal intensity for reporter versus internal control reporter (pNOS) from three independent biological samples.

**RNA Isolation and Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR) Analysis**

Total RNA was extracted from 3-week-old leaves of *Nicotiana benthamiana* using the RNA Plus Kit (Macherey-Nägel, Allentown, PA, United States) following manufacturer's instructions. gDNA contaminations were removed with the NucleoSpin gDNA removal columns included in the kit. Next, equal amounts of RNA samples from each time point and treatment (1000 ng) were reverse transcribed to synthesize cDNA was using QuantiTogo Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, United States) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Finally, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) analysis was performed on a QuantStudio 3 real-time PCR instrument (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, United States) using PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, United States) with primer pairs specific to genes of interest, along with the reference genes. *NbL23* and *NbEF1a* genes were used as an internal control, a geometric mean of the two reference genes was used for normalizing expression levels of *NbPLGG1*, *NbBASS6*, *NbPGLP* genes (Li et al., 2012). Relative transcript levels were measured in three independent experiments and each reaction with three technical triplicates using the ddCt method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). The following thermoprofile: 50°C 2 min, 95°C 10 min followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min and 95°C for 1 s was opted. All qPCR primers are listed (Supplementary Table S2).

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis and graphing were performed with GraphPad Prism version 7.04. The error bars presented in the figures are standard deviations (±sd). In all cases, statistically significant differences were considered at *p* < 0.05. Statistical significance was determined by Welch’s unpaired *t*-test (two groups), one-way ANOVA (more than two groups) or two-way ANOVA (more...
than two groups with two variables). All ANOVA analyses were followed by Turkey's multiple comparisons.

**Accession Numbers**

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL databases under the following accession numbers:

- `pAtAct2 P (LR782544.1)`, `AtUBQ (LR782545)`, `AtRbcS1B (NM_123203)` `OsAPX (AK068430)`, `OsSCPI (AK101133)`, `OsPGD1 (AK065920)`, `OsR1G1B (AF503583)`, `OsEIF5 (Ak060387)`, `OsCcl (AK060267)`, `LjUB1I (AP009383.1)`, `NbEF1α (AY206004)`, `NbL23 (XM_016579891)`, `PsRbc5T- (JN903571.1)`, `AtHSP18.2 (NM_125364.3)`, `AtADH (AY366888)`, `AtH4T (M17132.1)` `AtAct2 T (U41998.1)`, `AtAGP21 (NM_104409.3)`, `AtSCP30L (LR782545.1)`, `Oidium heveae-WY7 (MN889519.1)`, `Petunia gene for chlorophyll a/b binding protein cab 22L (X02359.1)`.

**RESULTS**

**Evaluation of Diverse Promoters and Untranslated Regions on Luciferase Activity**

Of the numerous factors that can influence transgene gene expression, promoters are perhaps the most important. We developed a rapid screening method to test the strength and efficacies of several promoters and UTRs by employing a dual luciferase-based transient transcriptional activity assay in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. A ratiometric dual-luciferase assay was selected to account for the variation in transformation events between agroinfiltrations (Solberg and Krauss, 2013). Furthermore, we have incorporated another step, *in vivo* luminescence imaging, to ensure reliable luciferase activity among replicates. To validate reproducibility and robustness of our transient reporter assay, agroinfiltrated leaves harboring luciferase gene under the control of well-characterized constitutive promoters were imaged for luminescence after 2-day post infiltration. As expected, *p35SFL:LUC* showed strong luciferase activity followed by *pAtUBQ10-PU:LLUC* and *pAtRbc5S:LUC* (Supplementary Figures S1A,B). A strong correlation was observed between *in vivo* and *in vitro* luciferase activity upon testing the same constitutive promoters (Supplementary Figures S1B, S2A). To capture maximum luciferase activity, we performed a time-resolved luminescence assay by collecting infiltrated leaf samples at indicated days post infiltration. Monitoring time-course luciferase activity indicates that 48–72 h post agroinfiltration is ideal for assessing promoter and UTR efficacies. As shown in Supplementary Figure S2B, the activity of the *pAtUBQ10-PU* promoter showed a peak at 48 h that lasts past 72 h (~6-fold) followed by a gradual decline at 84 h (~4.8-fold).

We systematically evaluated the ability of several constitutive promoters and UTRs of various origins in gene expression strength using transient quantitative luciferase assay as illustrated in Supplementary Figure S2C. We have divided promoters into the following categories – (i) non-plant promoter, (ii) promoter-5′UTR combinations (PU), (iii) plant-derived promoters-5′UTR combinations (PU), and (iv) plant-derived promoter. In the case of discrete promoter sequences, 5′UTR from the genomic RNA of tobacco mosaic virus, known as the omega leader (5′-UTR-QTMV), was incorporated. Among non-plant promoters, fungal promoter *pWY7* (Wang et al., 2020) displayed the highest luciferase activity (~9.7-fold), followed by *p35SFL (~6.8-fold) (Odell et al., 1985) and *pNOS (~5-fold)* (Sanders et al., 1987; Figure 1A). As expected, barely any luciferase activity was detected for minimal promoter (MP), which contains no activation elements upstream of the TATA motif. In contrast, *1Xp35SCE (~1.9-fold)* displayed a slightly higher activity compared to MP (~0.97-fold), as it contains a CRE activation element from the CaMV35S gene, upstream of the TATA motif (Cai et al., 2020). Next, we tested non-plant promoters fused to their native 5′UTRs to compare upstream gene regulatory elements effectively. As shown in Figure 1B, the viral promoter-5′UTR combinations (*p35SPU; ~7-fold*) drove the highest luciferase activity followed by two bacterial promoters *pNOSPU (~5.1-fold)* and *pMASPU (~4.9-fold)* (De Bolle et al., 2003).

Very few studies have compared dicot and monocot promoters side-by-side (Feike et al., 2019). This led us to examine diverse promoter-5′UTR combinations, including *pAtUBQ10PU*, *pOsUB13PU*, *pOsEIF5PU*, *pOsAPXPU*, *pOsPGD1PU*, *pOsR1G1BPU*, *pOsSCP1PU*, *pOsCcl1PU*, *pOsACT2PU*, *LjUBIPU*. All plant-derived promoters led to detectable luciferase activity to a similar extent (4.7- to 5.3-fold), regardless of the species of origin (Figure 1C). Finally, among promoters derived from Arabidopsis, *pAtSCPL3 (~6.5-fold)* (An et al., 1996; Jiang et al., 2018) exhibited the highest luciferase activity followed by *pAtACT2 (~5.2-fold)* and *pAtRbc5 (~5.1-fold)* (Martínez-Hernández et al., 2002; Figure 1D). However, as expected, none of the plant-derived promoters displayed luciferase activity comparable to *p35SFL (~8.5-fold)* (Feike et al., 2019). Considered together, our study demonstrates that among non-plant promoter’s the fungal promoter (*pWY7*) displayed the highest luciferase activity (~20% higher than *p35SFL*). Furthermore, plant-derived promoters obtained from dicots, legumes, or monocots have similar luciferase activity.

**Analysis of 5′ and 3′ Untranslated Region Combinations on Luciferase Activity**

While promoters are often considered the most predominant regulatory element of gene expression by recruiting transcription factors, untranslated regions at the 5′ and 3′ regions of a gene play a critical role in regulating gene expression (Srivastava et al., 2018). However, the influence of UTRs on gene expression has not been investigated to its fullest potential. Toward this goal, we have generated constructs to evaluate the effect of several non-plant and plant-derived 5′ and 3′ UTRs on luciferase activity. The 5′UTR plays a prominent role in enhancing mRNA translation (Satoh et al., 2004; Yamasaki et al., 2018a,b). The most widely used 5′UTR in plant gene expression are viral leader sequences (Gallie and Walbot, 1992; Fan et al., 2012). Here, we have tested the efficacies of well-characterized non-plant (ΩTMV
FIGURE 1 | Efficacy comparison of diverse constitutive promoters using a transient dual luciferase reporter assay. (A) Strength of viral, bacterial and fungal constitutive promoters as assessed by quantitative luciferase activity. (B) Strength of viral and bacterial promoter-5′UTR combinations as assessed by quantitative luciferase activity. (C) Strength of diverse plant-derived promoters-5′UTR combinations as assessed by quantitative luciferase activity. (D) Strength of three Arabidopsis constitutive promoters compared to the p35SFL as assessed by quantitative luciferase activity. Luciferase activity within the infiltrated tobacco epidermal cells was quantified by measuring light emission in a luminometer. Promoter activity is expressed as the relative activity of firefly luciferase versus Renilla luciferase (Fluc/Rluc). Box-whiskers plots represent data from three independent experiments, each with three biological replicates. Letters indicate statistical significance based on a one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple component analysis (p < 0.05); samples sharing letters are not significantly different. Error bar represents a mean ± standard deviation. The UTRs used are indicated by the line at the bottom. The insets in all panels represent schematic representation of constructs used for testing efficacies of constitutive promoters used in this study. Acronyms used are defined in the ‘Materials and Methods’ section.

5′UTR) and plant-derived UTRs namely, AtAGP21, AtADH and CAB22L 5′UTR side by side (Satoh et al., 2004; Wever et al., 2010; Matsui et al., 2012). As shown in Figure 2A, two plant-derived 5′UTRs, AtAGP21 (~5.8-fold) and AtADH (~5.2-fold) resulted in higher luciferase activity compared to viral ΩTMV 5′UTR (~4.9-fold). Additionally, CAB22L 5′UTR (~5-fold) derived from petunia exhibited similar luciferase activity as that of ΩTMV 5′UTR. As expected, the lowest luciferase activity was detected in 1Xp35SCE (~1.9-fold) followed by MP (~0.91-fold).

Like 5′UTRs, the 3′UTR also plays important role in regulating gene expression by controlling precise transcriptional termination and mRNA processing (Bernardes and Menossi, 2020). This led us to investigate the efficacies of 11 different 3′UTRs of various origins. Two 3′UTRs, namely ADHT (~9.1-fold) and HSPT (~8.8-fold) showed the highest luciferase activity followed by H4T (~7-fold) and RbcST (~6.8-fold) (Nagaya et al., 2010; Figure 2B). As expected, the lowest luciferase activity was detected in MP (~0.98-fold).
FIGURE 2 | Evaluation of the effect of diverse untranslated (UTR) gene elements on translation as assessed by transient dual luciferase reporter assay. (A) Comparison of four diverse 5′ UTR elements. (B) Comparison of 11 diverse 3′ UTR elements. All 5′ UTR elements containing gene cassettes comprises of pAtACT2; Fluc: 35ST whereas, all the 3′ UTR containing gene cassettes comprised of pAtUBQ10; ΩTMV; Fluc. The common promoters and UTRs used are indicated by the line at the bottom. Data analyzed as described in Figure 1. Box-whiskers plots represent data from three independent experiments, each with three biological replicates. Letters in the indicate statistical significance based on a one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple component analysis (p < 0.05); samples sharing letters are not significantly different. Error bar represents a mean ± standard deviation. The insets in all panels represent schematic representation of constructs used for testing efficacies of UTRs used in this study. Acronyms used are defined in the ‘Materials and Methods’ section.

Taken together, our results indicate that 5′ UTR AtADH and AtAGP21 and 3′ UTR HSPT and ADHT displayed enhanced luciferase activity (~9–12% higher) among the corresponding UTRs tested (Figure 2).

Effect of Elevated Temperature and High Light Intensity on the Efficacy of Selected Constitutive Promoter and Untranslated Region Combinations

Amongst all the abiotic stresses that adversely affect plant yield in fields, elevated temperature stress condition has gathered immense attention due to concerns over climate change effects on plant growth. A number of studies have attributed global yield losses in key crop plants to increases in temperature during growing seasons (Hatfield and Prueger, 2015; Zhao et al., 2017; Agnolucci et al., 2020; Moore et al., 2021). Thus, transgenic plants that are grown in greenhouse or field conditions may experience elevated temperature stress. To get a better understanding of commonly used constitutive promoters under abiotic stress conditions we tested the expression strength of selected constitutive promoters and UTR combinations in response to elevated temperature and high light intensity. Two days post agroinfiltration, transformed leaves with indicated promoters-UTR combinations were shifted to elevated temperature (32°C) for 3 h. All the selected...
constitutive promoters exhibited expression trends of luciferase activity under elevated temperature conditions as they were comparable to expression under ambient controlled conditions (25°C) (Supplementary Figures S3A,B). Likewise, selected 5′ and 3′UTR also did not display any discernable differences in their strength to drive luciferase activity in response to elevated temperature compared to ambient conditions (Supplementary Figures S3C,D). Overall, our results revealed that luciferase activity driven by the selected constitutive promoters and UTRs remain unaffected by elevated temperature stress at 32°C for a duration of 3 h.

Assessment of New Combinations of Constitutive Promoters and Untranslated Regions

Based on our results in Figures 1, 2, we hypothesize that an enhanced gene expression can be achieved if we select and combine the best performing isolated promoters and (pWY7 and pAtSCPL30), 5′UTRs (pADH and pAGP21) and 3′UTRs (ADHT and HSPT). This led us to construct nine different gene expression cassettes to test their combined effects. For effective comparison, we compared luciferase activity driven by p35SFL-ΩTMV: 35ST as a control. The pWY7 promoter with plant-derived 5′UTRs (pADH and pAGP21) or 3′UTRs (ADHT and HSPT) displayed comparable luciferase activity compared to pWY7 with viral UTRs, ΩTMV and 35ST (Figure 3A). Next, we investigated the efficacy of plant promoter pAtSCPL30 linked with plant-derived UTRs. Like pWY7, plant promoter pAtSCPL30, also showed similar luciferase activity when combined with either of the two 5′UTRs or 3′UTRs in comparison to the viral UTRs (Figure 3B). However, consistent with our previous findings, pWY7 and p35SFL displayed higher luciferase activity (~20–35%) than pAtSCPL30, irrespective of UTR combinations. Collectively, plant-derived UTRs showed comparable luciferase activity when linked to either fungal pWY7 or plant pAtSCPL30 promoters, compared to viral UTRs. This provides an opportunity to replace viral UTRs with more desirable plant-derived UTRs.

To examine the promoter-UTR combinations under abiotic stress conditions, 2-day post agroinfiltration, transformed leaves with indicated promoters-UTR combination were shifted to either elevated temperature (32°C) for indicated time-points or high light intensity (1200 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) for 3 h. Consistent with previous findings, under ambient conditions (25°C; 150 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) pWY7 promoter with plant-derived UTRs displayed comparable luciferase activity compared to viral UTRs (Supplementary Figure S4A). When subjected to elevated temperature, only pWY7E (5′UTR-ADH-ADHT), exhibited higher luciferase activity (~4%) among all different combinations compared to ambient conditions. Unlike pWY7E, a reduced luciferase activity (~5%) was displayed in pWY7G (5′UTR-AGP21-HSPT) combinations, compared to ambient conditions (Supplementary Figure S4A). Contrary to luciferase activity in response to elevated temperature, upon high light intensity stress, only pWY7D (5′UTR-AGP21-HSPT), displayed higher luciferase activity (~7%) compared to ambient conditions (Supplementary Figure S4A). Similar to elevated temperature response, pWY7G displayed reduced luciferase activity (~5%) in response to high light stress. Next, we examined plant promoter, pAtSCPL30 (pS) with various combinations of UTRs. In response to elevated temperature, only pSC (ΩTMV-35ST) and pScD (5′UTR-AGP21-HSPT) displayed reduced luciferase activity (~5%) compared to ambient conditions (Supplementary Figure S4B). Upon high light intensity stress, a reduced luciferase activity (~5%) was observed in pSD (5′UTR-AGP21-HSPT) combinations, whereas pSA (ΩTMV-HSPT) displayed an increase in luciferase activity (~7%) compared to ambient conditions. Neither elevated temperature nor high light intensity stress conditions have any noticeable change on luciferase activity driven by the 35SFL promoter. Taken together, plant UTRs seemed to be more sensitive toward high temperature and high light stresses compared to viral UTRs.

Effect of Elevated Temperature, High Light Intensity, and Low CO₂ on Expression of Selected Photorespiratory Genes

Since overexpression of a transgene driven by constitutive promoters often results in unintended pleiotropic effects, there has been a considerable drive to study synthetic promoters (Kaur et al., 2017; Ali and Kim, 2019; Cai et al., 2020; Jores et al., 2021). Synthetic promoters are typically chimeric, they are built with TATA box sequence, upstream single or multiple identical or different CREs obtained from selected non-native or native promoters, and intervening spacer sequences. As a proof-of-principle, we have chosen to identify and characterize cis-regulatory elements using genes involved in photorespiration. Photorespiration is a highly conserved metabolic process that lies at the crossroads of primary metabolism, environmental stress response and crop improvement. Although, considerable progress has been made to biochemically characterize the genes involved in photorespiration the mechanism of regulation of these genes in response to unavoidable environmental cues is at its inception (Laxa and Fromm, 2018). A number of recent reports have suggested that key photorespiratory genes, including PLGG1, GOXI, PGLP1, HPRI are differentially regulated by elevated temperature and high light intensity (Song et al., 2014; Balfagón et al., 2019; Timm et al., 2019; Anderson et al., 2021; Moore et al., 2021).

Recently, Balfagón et al. (2019) reported that both AtPLGG1 and AtPGLP1 are differentially expressed in response to heat stress or high light stress, albeit to varying degrees. Herein, we analyzed whether tobacco orthologs are also differentially regulated in response to low CO₂, elevated temperature and high light intensity. Since many of the photorespiratory mutants have been identified or characterized under low CO₂ or in absence of CO₂, we first examined the expression of above mentioned three genes in response to low CO₂ (200 ppm) compared to ambient CO₂ conditions (400 ppm). None of these genes exhibited any noticeable change in the transcript levels when subjected to low CO₂ for 24 h compared to ambient conditions (Figure 4A).
Next, we turned our attention to the impact of high temperature stress on the expression of these three genes. In response to elevated temperature for 1 h, we observed a slight increase in transcript abundance of *NbPGLP*, although it was not statistically significant (Figure 4B; left panel). In contrast, when subjected to an elevated temperature (32°C) for 3 h, a moderate reduction in transcript levels of *NbPGLP* (∼40%) was observed compared to ambient conditions (22°C) (Figure 4B; left panel). Our findings are corroborated by the data from the publicly available transcriptome database (eFP browser) which *AtPGLP* seems to be specifically reduced in response to high temperature (Winter et al., 2007; Supplementary Figure S5A). The reduction in *NbPGLP* transcript levels did not recover after shifting the plants in ambient conditions for 1.5 h. Conversely, *NbBASS6* transcript levels were slightly upregulated (∼10–15%) in response to elevated temperature stress both at 1 h and 3 h compared to ambient conditions (Figure 4B; middle panel). Interestingly, the increase in transcript levels of *NbBASS6* reverts to wild-type
FIGURE 4 | Expression of NbPGLP, NbBASS6 and NbPLGG in response to low CO2, elevated temperature and high light intensity. (A) Plants were grown under continuous illumination with 400 ppm of CO2 before shifting them to low CO2 (200 ppm) for 24 h. (B) Plants were subjected to elevated temperature at 32°C for indicated timepoints. Post 3 h high temperature stress, plants were shifted back to ambient temperature for recovery for 1.5 h (R1.5h). Plants maintained in ambient temperature (22°C) were included for comparison and denoted (ambient). (C) Plants were subjected to high light intensity 1200 in µmol/m²s for 3 h. Control plants were maintained at 120 in µmol/m²s⁻¹. Box-whiskers plots represent relative expression values that were normalized to NbEF1α and NbL23 reference genes and their ratios relative to those of unstressed plants. Data presented are representative of three independent experiments and error bar represents a mean ± standard deviation. Letters indicate statistical significance based on a (B) one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple component analysis (p < 0.05) (A,C) Welch’s t-test (p < 0.05); samples sharing letters are not significantly different.
levels during the recovery period. Unlike \( NbPGLP \) and \( NbBASS6 \), \( NbPLGG1 \) expression levels remain unchanged compared to control under all-time points (Figure 4B; right panel).

When subjected to high light intensity stress (1200 \( \mu \text{mol} \) photons \( \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)) for 3 h, reduced transcript levels (\( \sim 30\% \)) of \( NbPGLP \) were observed compared to ambient conditions (150 \( \mu \text{mol} \) photons \( \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)) (Figure 4C; left panel). In contrast, neither \( NbBASS6 \) (Figure 4C; middle panel) nor \( NbPLGG1 \) (Figure 4C; right panel) genes displayed any discernable changes in their transcript levels when subjected to high light intensity.

Taken together, our results indicate that \( NbPGLP \) is downregulated in response to both elevated temperature and high light intensity, even though photorespiration rates increase in both conditions.

**Efficacy Assessment of Synthetic Promoters Containing Native Stress-Responsive Cis-Regulatory Elements Derived From Selected Photorespiratory Genes**

To gain insight into the regulation of the key stress-associated photorespiratory (PR) genes, we performed an *in silico* analysis using two web-based promoter analysis tools, AthaMap (see text footnote 1; Steffens et al., 2005) and PlantPAN 3.0 (see text footnote 2; Chow et al., 2019). Next, we selected a functionally diverse class of PR genes, namely \( AtPGLG1 \) and \( AtBASS6 \) (transporters), and \( AtPGLP1 \), an essential PR enzyme for further analysis. Our analysis selected seven different CREs each offer binding sites for seven well-characterized classes of transcription factors. To test the function of these seven putative stress-responsive CREs, we created synthetic promoters containing four copies of individual CREs along with a single copy of \( 33\text{SCRE} \) (\( 1\text{x}33\text{SCE} \)) followed by a TATA motif fused to a luciferase reporter gene (Figure 5A). For a thorough comparison of the strength of these CREs, a multiple CRE (\( MCRM \)) comprising all the seven different CREs was included as well as a construct with \( 1\text{x}33\text{SCE} \) and MP. It is widely accepted that photorespiration is stimulated under elevated temperature, low CO\(_2\) and can be modulated under high light intensity (Huang et al., 2015). This prompted us to investigate whether any of these stress-responsive CREs are responsible for influencing luciferase activity in response to high temperature, high light intensity, or low CO\(_2\).

In response to ambient CO\(_2\) (400 ppm), \( MCRM \) (\( \sim 8\% \)) followed by \( MYB-CRE \) (\( \sim 7\% \)) exhibited higher luciferase activity, compared to synthetic promoters with single CRE (Figure 5B). Interestingly, in comparison to ambient conditions, a modest increase in luciferase activity (\( \sim 5\%–10\% \)) was observed in \( GATA-CRE \), \( EIN3-CRE \), \( bHLH-CRE \) in response to low CO\(_2\) (200 ppm) (Figure 5C). In contrast, in response to low CO\(_2\), \( MCRM \) (\( \sim 20\% \)) and \( bZIP-CRE \) (\( \sim 5\% \)) displayed a reduction in luciferase activity, compared to ambient conditions (400 ppm). The highest luciferase activity was observed in \( p35\text{SFL} \) (\( \sim 10\% \) to \( 12\% \)) regardless of the time points treatments.

Next, under ambient conditions (22°C), \( MCRM \) displayed heightened luciferase activity (\( \sim 8\% \)) compared to synthetic promoters with single CRE (\( \sim 5\% \)) except for \( MYB-CRE \) (Figures 6A–C). Among the different classes of single CREs, \( MYB-CRE \) displayed the strongest luciferase activity (\( \sim 8\% \)) followed by \( bZIP-CRE \) (6.5-fold) under ambient conditions (22°C). No discernable change in luciferase activity was observed under ambient conditions over time in any of the promoter combinations, except for \( GATA-CRE \) (Figures 6B,C and Supplementary Figure S6). Next, we examined the effect of elevated temperature (32°C) on luciferase activity driven by these synthetic promoters. Interestingly, 1 h post elevated temperature stress, \( MCRM \) (\( \sim 15\% \)), \( MYB-CRE \) (\( \sim 10\% \)) and \( bZIP-CRE \) (\( \sim 6\% \)) displayed reduced luciferase activity, compared to ambient conditions (Figure 6D). At 3 h post elevated temperature treatment, \( bHLH-CRE \) showed the highest luciferase activity (\( \sim 40\% \)) (Figure 6E). However, none of the other CREs exhibit any significant change in luciferase activity, irrespective of the time-points. Contrary to \( bHLH-CRE \), a modest reduction in luciferase activity was observed in \( MCRM \) (\( \sim 20\% \)), \( MYB-CRE \) (\( \sim 30\% \)) and \( bZIP-CRE \) (\( \sim 10\% \)) in response to 3 h of elevated temperature stress.

Besides low CO\(_2\) and elevated temperature, photorespiration is modulated in response to high light intensity stress. This prompted us to test the response of high light intensity on the strength of these CREs driving luciferase activity. Consistent with previous data (Figure 5, \( MCRM \) (\( \sim 10\% \)) followed by \( MYB-CRE \) (\( \sim 8\% \)) exhibited higher luciferase activity, under ambient conditions (Figure 7A). In response to high light intensity stress, \( AP2-CRE \) (\( \sim 30\% \)) and \( GATA-CRE \) (\( \sim 5\% \)) displayed higher luciferase activity, compared to ambient conditions (Figure 7B). Similar to elevated temperature, \( MCRM \) high light intensity stress also exhibited a modest reduction in luciferase activity (\( \sim 15\% \)), compared to ambient conditions.

Except for modest reduction of luciferase activity driven by \( p35\text{SFL} \) (25%) in response to elevated temperature compared to 22°C, a heightened luciferase activity was observed irrespective of treatments and time points (Figures 5–7 and Supplementary Figure S6). Consistently, the lowest luciferase activity was displayed by \( MP \) followed \( 1\text{x}33\text{SCE} \), in all the conditions and time points tested and no discernable change in luciferase activity was observed under any stress conditions (Figures 5–7). Overall, the data presented in Figures 5–7 supports the hypothesis that most if not all CREs differentially influence luciferase activity under the three stress conditions, albeit to varying extents. These results imply that these motifs play a critical role for \( PLGG1 \), \( BASS6 \), and \( PGLP \) in mediating response to elevated temperature and high-intensity light.

**DISCUSSION**

It has been clearer that the possession of a well-equipped toolbox of promoters, UTRs, CREs and protein targeting signaling peptides is vital to achieving gene stacking for manipulating complex agronomic traits. For rapid high throughput analysis of GREs, a tobacco transient expression system was adopted to gauge the efficacies of GREs in a non-invasive reliable manner. Undoubtedly, generating transgenic lines, especially
non-model plants, is quite a time-consuming and labor-intensive affair. Therefore, it is wise to examine the efficacies of the promoter-UTR combinations in a transient assay before embarking on generating stable transgenic crops. Here, we have provided a comprehensive comparison between a suite of constitutive promoters of various origins within a single assay (Figure 1). Most studies dealing with examining genetic elements for gene expression focus only on promoters. However, several reports have demonstrated that upstream and downstream untranslated regions play a critical role in influencing the expression of a transgene (Jeong et al., 2006; Bartlett et al., 2009; Karthikeyan et al., 2009; Matsui et al., 2012; Diamos and Mason, 2018; Srivastava et al., 2018; Yamasaki et al., 2018a). To this end, we have demonstrated that plant-derived UTRs outperformed viral UTRs under ambient conditions, consistent with previous findings (Figures 2, 3;
FIGURE 6 | Effect of elevated temperature on the efficacies of synthetic promoters derived from CREs of core photorespiratory genes. (A) Relative luciferase activity driven by synthetic promoters under ambient condition at 0 h. (B) Relative luciferase activity driven by synthetic promoters under ambient condition at 1 h. (C) Relative luciferase activity driven by synthetic promoters under ambient condition at 3 h. (D) Relative luciferase activity driven by synthetic promoters in response to elevated temperature (32°C) for 1 h. (E) Relative luciferase activity driven by synthetic promoters in response to elevated temperature (32°C) for 3 h. Constructs (see Figure 5A) were agroinfiltrated and 2-day post infiltration one set of transformed plants were shifted to a growth chamber with elevated temperature (32°C) for 1 h, and 3 h. After 3 h, plants treated at high temperature are shifted back to ambient condition for 1.5 h (1.5hR). Control plants were kept at 22°C. The UTRs used are indicated by the line at the bottom. Data analyzed as described in Figure 1. Box-whiskers plots represent data from three independent experiments, each with three biological replicates. Error bar represents a mean ± standard deviation. Letters indicate statistical significance based on a two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple component analysis (p < 0.05); samples sharing letters are not significantly different. Acronyms used are defined in the ‘Materials and Methods’ section.

Nagaya et al., 2010). However, plant-derived UTRs are more sensitive to environmental stress conditions compared to viral-UTRs (Supplementary Figures S3, S4). One of the key concepts of synthetic biology is the development of modular parts that can be used in different contexts, to this end, we have successfully generated new promoter-UTR combinations by combining plant UTRs with non-plant promoters (Figure 3). Since the final aim of crop engineering is to create improved
high light intensity conditions we have presented several significantly (Lu et al., 2017; Moore et al., 2021). Therefore, can adversely affect photosynthetic efficiency and reduce yield elevated temperature and high irradiance assaults both of which under field conditions. Field-grown plants frequently encounter lines, it is imperative that these transgenic lines be tested under field conditions. Field-grown plants frequently encounter elevated temperature and high irradiance assaults both of which can adversely affect photosynthetic efficiency and reduce yield significantly (Lu et al., 2017; Moore et al., 2021). Therefore, by testing the variation in luciferase activity driven by new promoter-UTR combinations under elevated temperature and high light intensity conditions we have presented several options for tailoring transcriptional activity for genetic circuits (Supplementary Figures S3, S4).

Constitutive promoters offer an opportunity for overexpression, but they have their limitations. For instance, constitutive promoters often remain associated with stress tolerance and defense responses. Usage of such promoters may lead to unintended phenotypes, including unexpected activation of defense pathways in the absence of pathogens, and in turn incurs fitness costs (Hernandez-Garcia and Finer, 2014; Ali and Kim, 2019). Furthermore, the lack of spatiotemporal regulations offered by constitutive promoters makes understanding of native promoters an obvious alternative (Ali and Kim, 2019; Cai et al., 2020). However, since native promoters tend to contain multiple functional CREs it is difficult to dissect the role of each of these CREs. Synthetic promoters containing native CREs provide an excellent solution as they lack the complexity of native promoters enabling us to fine-tune the expression pattern of a desired gene or genes in a predictable way. Synthetic promoters based on native CREs can allow us to investigate the roles of specific CREs and shed some light on their cognate transcriptional modulators under both ambient and stress conditions effectively. There have been previous reports on the identification and functional characterization of native CREs using specific key genes to gain insights into a complicated defense response or metabolic pathways (Kaplan et al., 2006; Kaur et al., 2017; Kakei et al., 2021). To the best of our knowledge, no study has been reported on synthetic promoters based on key photorespiratory genes from Arabidopsis. Photospiration offers an attractive test case as it is intricately associated with the efficiency of photosynthesis, nitrogen metabolism, and stress responses (Voss et al., 2013; Bloom, 2015; Sunil et al., 2019).

In addition to considerable progress made in the functional characterization of PR genes, few studies have been dedicated to analyzing the regulation of photorespiratory genes at the promoter level (Vauclare et al., 1998; Laxa et al., 2016; Laxa, 2017). Since no common CRE was identified upon examining all characterized PR genes, we decided to focus on key genes (PGLP, PLGG1 and BASS6) involved in the initial steps of the process (Laxa and Fromm, 2018). We demonstrated that in response to both elevated temperature and high light intensity stress conditions, MYB-CRE and bZIP-CRE displayed a reduction in luciferase activity most likely by recruiting transcriptional repressors (Figures 6, 7). On the contrary, bHLH-CRE and AP2-CRE represent predominantly positive influencers of luciferase activity in response to elevated temperature and high irradiance, respectively. It is important to note that in creating MCRM, we generated one construct without prioritizing motif location and, thereby cannot infer the positional effect of each element with respect to its neighboring motif (Cai et al., 2020). Since we have characterized the seven stress-responsive CREs shared by the PR genes using a transient reporter assay in tobacco, we relied on the endogenous transcription factors of tobacco as opposed to orthogonal transcription factors. A strong support for our in silico analysis was provided by the RNA-seq analysis performed using known PR mutants in response to a short-term reduction of the external CO₂ concentration (Eisenhut et al., 2017). Consistent with our findings, Eisenhut et al. (2017) reported that AP2,
bHLH, and C2H2 as differentially upregulated transcription factors while bZIP as one of the predominantly downregulated transcription factors. The bZIP and MYB transcription factors have been implicated to be downregulated in response to heat stress (Yang et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2021).

While many of the photorespiratory genes were shown to be are transcriptionally responsive to light, nutrients, metabolites and pathogens, the underlying mechanism of integrating these signals on the promoters of the key genes are highly complex and poorly understood (Laxa and Fromm, 2018). We expected an induction in NbPGLP upon high temperature stress, as it is widely accepted that rate of photorespiration increases at elevated temperature. Intriguingly, we observed downregulation of NbPGLP in response to high temperature and high light intensity stresses (Figures 4B,C). Contrary to our findings, Timm et al., 2019 reported a modest increase in PGLP expression levels in Arabidopsis after 1-and 3-day of high temperature stress at 30°C. Such difference in the PGLP expression profile may be attributed to the difference in short-term versus long-term response to elevated temperature. Furthermore, after 7-day of high temperature treatment reduction in PGLP expression levels was observed. Another independent study demonstrated the induction of PGLP in response to elevated temperature in Poplar trees (Song et al., 2014). Such disparity in differential thermal response may be attributed to widely diverse species and the adoption of promoter elements from different species could lead to differential expression needed in synthetic biology designs. Low [CO2] also triggers higher photorespiratory rates but at 200 ppm of CO2, we did not detect any discernable difference in the transcript levels of any of the three genes tested (Figure 4A). One plausible explanation is that 200 ppm may not be a low enough [CO2] level to trigger a transcriptional change in any of these genes.

Collectively this study presents a versatile, toolbox for multigene transformation that will not benefit designing alternative photorespiratory bypasses but also can be adopted for various synthetic biology approaches in plant biotechnology.
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