Modelling and control of solid oxide fuel cell generation system in microgrid
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Compared with other kinds of fuel cells, solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) has been widely used in microgrids because of its higher efficiency and longer operation life. The weakness of SOFC lies in its slow response speed when grid disturbance occurs. This paper presents a control strategy that can promote the response speed and limit the fault current impulse for SOFC systems integrated into microgrids. First, the hysteretic control of the bidirectional DC-DC converter, which joins the SOFC and DC bus together, is explored. In addition, an improved droop control with limited current protection is applied in the DC-AC inverter, and the active synchronization control is applied to ensure a smooth transition of the microgrid between the grid-connected mode and the islanded mode. To validate the effectiveness of this control strategy, the control model was built and simulated in PSCAD/EMTDC.

Key words: bidirectional DC-DC converter, fault current, microgrid, mode transition, solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC)

1 Introduction

Nowadays, distributed generations (DGs) have been increasingly applied in modern electrical distribution systems because of challenges, such as the increasing demand for energy, environmental problems, and increasing reliability of power systems [1, 2]. The high penetration levels of DGs cause problems, such as inverse power flow, voltage fluctuation, and protection problem in the distribution system. Microgrids, which contain a number of systematically organized DGs, different types of loads, and energy storage devices, have emerged as a framework to address these problems [3–7]. Microgrids are autonomous subsystems with control systems that provide guaranteed power quality for local loads. A microgrid provides local control and power quality support that allows for the integration of local resources and loads into the existing power grid and enables a high penetration of DGs.

Fuel cells are one of the suitable potential alternative DG sources for supplying electric energy to residential and commercial loads. Given the shortage of fossil fuel resources, clean fuel cells have been exploited and utilized to meet the energy needs due to their clean, quiet, and efficient specifications [8–10]. Compared with other kinds of fuel cells, solid oxide fuel cells (SOFCs) have more advantageous features, such as having no leakage, higher comprehensive utilization, and longer service life, among others. Consequently, SOFCs have become a key research field and attracted attention among researchers [11, 12].

Voltage-source inverters (VSIs) are normally used as power interfaces to set the frequency and voltage up to maintain the reliability of the operation of the local loads. Microgrids can operate either in grid-connected or islanded mode through the control of VSIs. In islanded mode, local loads such as hospital, apartments, economic centers, and universities, should be supplied by the DGs, whereas the loads are supplied by the grid in grid-connected mode. Many control strategies of VSIs have been investigated, where the droop control is considered a preferred option due to its flexibility. Although previous reports [13–15] studied a model of grid-connected SOFC generation power system whose voltage and frequency stability are supported by the grid, the control strategy of the inverter was not introduced. The control strategy for islanded mode is proposed in literature [16–18]. The control strategies without considering switching between the grid connection and islanded mode and the protection of the power electronic devices in microgrid. To address these problems, the present paper proposes a simple coordinated control method for smoothly transferring from islanded to grid-connected mode and protecting power electronic units in microgrid.

2 Modeling of SOFC power generation system

The configuration of the SOFC generation system along with its basic control structure is shown in Fig. 1. As shown in Fig. 1, the SOFC generation system contains an SOFC stack, a boost converter, a DC-AC inverter, a battery energy storage system (BESS), and a bi-directional DC-DC converter [19]. The primary power source is SOFC, which generates DC power. The boost converter can raise the DC voltage of SOFC to a stable value. The DC-AC inverter can detect the difference between the reference voltage and the output voltage to maintain the output voltage at the desired value. The storage battery is in parallel with the SOFC stack at the DC side of the inverter through the bi-directional DC-DC
converter, which is used to achieve the charging and discharging controls of the energy storage battery.

2.1 Modeling and Characteristics of SOFC stack

2.1.1 Modeling of SOFC stack

The different types of fuel cells are generally classified according to their electrolyte, which also determines their operating temperature. SOFC uses dense yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ), which is a solid ceramic material as its electrolyte. These cells operate at high temperature in the range of 800°C to 1000°C. Owing to its high efficiency, SOFCs are the most common types of fuel cells used in DG applications.

A single cell consists of an anode, a cathode, and solid oxide electrolyte. Oxidant reduction and fuel oxidation occur in the cathode and anode, respectively. Both poles contain a catalyst that accelerates the electrochemical reaction in the electrode. This catalyst is equivalent to a DC power supply, whose anode is the negative power supply and cathode is the positive power supply. The anode and cathode reactions of the SOFC are as follows

Anode reaction: \( \text{H}_2 + \text{O}^{2-} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{e}^- \)
Cathode reaction: \( \text{O}_2 + 4e^- \rightarrow 2\text{O}^{2-} \)

The fuel cell voltage is determined by chemical reactions because combustion does not occur in a fuel cell. The amount of the reaction enthalpy that can be converted to electricity in a fuel cell corresponds to Gibbs free energy. In this paper, the widely used SOFC dynamic model is taken as the study object, as shown in Fig. 2, [11, 12, 20] where \( V_{fc} \) is the stack output voltage, \( I_{fc} \) is the stack current, \( p_{\text{H}_2} \), \( p_{\text{O}_2} \), and \( p_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \) are the pressure values of the hydrogen, the oxygen, and the water vapor in atm, respectively, \( E_0 \), \( \eta_{\text{ohm}} \), \( \eta_{\text{act}} \), and \( \eta_{\text{con}} \) represent the standard electromotive force, the ohmic polarization loss, the activation polarization loss, and the concentration polarization loss of the battery units, respectively, \( q_{\text{H}_2}^{\text{in}} \) and \( q_{\text{O}_2}^{\text{in}} \) are the input flows of oxygen and hydrogen (mol/s), respectively. Applying Nernsts equation, the SOFC stack output voltage \( V_{fc} \) is described as follows

\[
V_{fc} = V_0 - \eta_{\text{ohm}} - \eta_{\text{con}} - \eta_{\text{act}}
\]  

where

\[
V_0 = N_0 \left( E_0 + \frac{RT_s}{2F} \ln \frac{p_{\text{H}_2}p_{\text{O}_2}^{0.5}}{p_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}} \right),
\]

\[
p_{\text{H}_2} = \frac{1}{K_{\text{H}_2}} (q_{\text{H}_2}^{\text{in}} - 2k_r I),
\]

\[
p_{\text{O}_2} = \frac{1}{K_{\text{O}_2}} \frac{1}{1 + \tau_{\text{O}_2}} (q_{\text{O}_2}^{\text{in}} - 2k_s I),
\]

\[
p_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = \frac{1}{K_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}} (\tau_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} + 2k_r I),
\]

\[
\eta_{\text{ohm}} = r I, \quad \eta_{\text{con}} = -\frac{RT_s}{2F} \ln \left( 1 - \frac{I}{I_L} \right),
\]

\[
\eta_{\text{act}} = a + b \log \frac{I}{I_{fc}}.
\]

The electrical response time in the fuel cells is generally fast and mainly associated with the speed of the chemical reaction that restores the charge drained by the load. Therefore, the first-order transfer function is a time constant \( (T_e, 0.8 \text{ s}) \). The chemical response is associated with the time to change the chemical reaction parameters after a change in the flow of reactants. As such, the chemical response in the fuel processor is always slow, which stood by a first-order transfer function with a 5-s time constant \( (T_f) \). The hydrogen flow can be generated with stack current \( I \), fuel efficiency \( u_{\text{opt}} \), and hydrogen reaction coefficient \( K_r \). Therefore, the oxygen flow can be obtained according to the oxygen-hydrogen ratio \( \tau_{\text{OH}} \).

Two kinds of control mode for SOFCs exist: one is the constant fuel flow mode, and the other is the constant fuel utilization mode. For the constant fuel flow mode, the input fuel flow is constant and is not affected by the load current. In the constant fuel utilization mode, see the blocks with dotted lines in Fig. 2, \( T \) the rate of fuel utilization remains unchanged, and the load current is fed back to control the rate of fuel flow, which builds a link between the load and the fuel input quantity. In this paper, the constant fuel utilization mode is chosen as the control method of the SOFC model.

**2.2.1 Characteristic Analysis of SOFC**

The steady state characteristics \( (V-I) \) and \( P-I \) characteristics of the two control modes are shown in Fig. 3. In the constant fuel flow mode, the \( V-I \) characteristic of the SOFC is nonlinear.

In the constant fuel flow mode, the voltage drops at the low current region, which is dominated by activation loss. As the load current increases, the voltage drop is proportional to the current, where ohmic loss dominates. When the load current exceeds a certain value \( (760 \text{ A}) \), the voltage drops sharply due to concentration loss. At the start, higher output power can be achieved at a higher load current, and a critical load current \( (600 \text{ A}) \) is achieved when the output power reaches the maximum value. However, the voltage slope in the constant fuel utilization mode is lower than that in the constant fuel flow mode, and the output power shows an increasing trend with increasing current. This result can be attributed to the increased module between the input hydrogen and oxygen flow in
making the input hydrogen and oxygen flow, which can be increased in the same proportion. In practical applications, the flow of hydrogen and oxygen cannot infinitely increase; however, this paper does not consider this situation. According to Fig. 3, the \( V-I \) and the \( P-I \) curves of the SOFC model under the two control modes are consistent with the changing trends in literature [20], indicating that the SOFC model proposed in this paper can effectively and accurately reflect the internal characteristics of fuel cells.

Figure 4 shows the changing curves of the output voltage, power, input hydrogen, and oxygen flow under the constant fuel utilization mode when time is \( t = 50 \) s, and the load current jumps from 300 A to 500 A. As the load current has a step change, the hydrogen and oxygen flow both reach a new steady-state value within approximately 15 s, which are two parallel lines. This increment is due to the fact that the hydrogen and oxygen flows are determined by the feedback load current in the constant fuel utilization mode. As the load current increases, the voltage drops sharply, and then slowly increases to a new steady-state value, which can be attributed to the flow of hydrogen and oxygen reaching their new steady-state values. Simultaneously, the output power slowly increases to 110 kW value. Owing to the long chemical reaction time, the response times of voltage and power are slow, which takes nearly 200 s to fully reach the steady-state values.

2.2 Control of SOFC Power Generation System

Owing to the electrochemical properties of fuel cells, the output DC voltage shows a large variation range as the load or temperature changes. Therefore, a boost conversion is applied in the backward stage, which meets the DC bus voltage requirement and ensures the steady operations of the inverter. In the foregoing analysis, the power regulation speed of fuel cells is still too slow; thus, the control system cannot follow the rapid change of the
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The control system of the boost converter is shown in Fig. 1. The duty ratio can be obtained through the PI link with the DC voltage deviation signal, and the switch signal $S_{fc}$ is generated from the PWM modulation. Figure 5 shows the block diagram of the closed-loop transfer function of the boost converter. A PI hysteresis compensation is used in the voltage feedback controlled function $G_c(s)$. Then, the open loop transfer function of the boost converter control system can be described as follows

$$G_o(s) = \frac{G_c(s)G_Va(s)}{V_m} = \frac{k_p + \frac{k_i}{s}}{V_m} \frac{(1-D)V_{dcN}[1-\frac{S_f}{(1-D)Ls}]}{LCs^2 + \frac{k_i}{s} + (1-D)^2}$$

(7)

where $D = 1 - V_{dc N}/V_{dc N}$ is the duty ratio of the boost converter under rated operation condition (the subscript N stands for the rated value); $L$ and $C$ are the input inductance and the output capacitance, respectively; $R$ is the equivalent resistance of the load; $V_m = 1.0$ is the sawtooth amplitude of the PWM modulator; and $k_p$ and $k_i$ are the parameters of the PI controller.

The phase margin and gain margin curves of the open-loop transfer function in the boost conversion system are shown in Fig. 6, where the curves change with $k_p$ and $k_i$ (detailed parameters in Tab. 1). In Figs. 6(a) and (b), A and B correspond to the gain margin $G_m = 10$ dB and phase margin $P_m = 45^\circ$, respectively. The phase and gain margins show downward trends along with the increase of $k_p$ and $k_i$. In Fig. 6(a), the phase margin begins to decline after the peak point C at $k_p = 0.083$. The system with a smaller stability margin has a bigger overshoot and a longer settling time. The parameters are set at $k_p = 0.08$ and $k_i = 2$ to ensure that the DC conversion has enough phase and gain margin.

2.2.2 Bi-directional DC-DC Converter Control

To improve the power regulation speed of SOFC system, the storage battery that operates as a fast responder is in parallel with the fuel cells at the DC bus side through the bi-directional DC–DC converter. The topology structure of the bi-directional (buckboost) DC–DC converter is shown in Fig. 1.

Bi-directional DC/DC converter is used to ensure the voltage of DC bus to meet the inverter's requirements. The parameter selection method for the voltage feedback PI control of bi-directional DC/DC converter is the same with that in Section 2.2.1. The charging/discharging frequency of the storage battery will influence its lifetime. In this paper, the hysteresis control strategy for the DC bus voltage is used. This control strategy helps to reduce the switching (charging/discharging) times and improve the lifetime of the whole system. Figure 7 shows the block diagram of the voltage hysteresis control mode, and time constant $T_u$ of the low-pass filtering link is set as 0.02 s. The procedure for the judging are as follows

Step 1: Compare the DC voltage with the reference voltage ($V_{upref} \& V_{downref}$). If the dc voltage $V_{dc} = V_{upref}$, please proceed to Step 2; else if $V_{dc} = V_{downref}$, please proceed to Step 3; if $V_{downref} < V_{dc} < V_{upref}$, please proceed to Step 4.

Step 2: Operate on charging mode. If $V_{dc} = V_{upref}$, the output of charging/discharging mode signal is 0, and DC converter works in buck mode with power switch $S_{up}$ shutting off and $S_{down}$ working, as shown in Fig. 4.

Step 3: Operate on discharging mode. If $V_{dc} = V_{downref}$, the output of charging/discharging mode signal is 1. DC converter works in boost mode with power switch $S_{down}$ shutting off and $S_{up}$ working, as shown in Fig. 4.

Step 4: Operating mode concurs with the initial state. That is, the mode is charging mode when the initial state is charging mode, or the mode is discharging mode when the initial state is discharging mode.

In this paper, the setting value of $V_{downref}$ and $V_{upref}$ are 780 and 820 V, respectively.
the SOFC generation system, respectively; \( P \) and \( Q \) where the droop characteristics are shown as follows (Fig. 8).

The droop control with “real power versus supply frequency” and “reactive power versus voltage” droop characteristics. Using these concepts, this section proposes a droop control strategy in the DC–AC inverter. In theory, the flow of real power \( P \) between the two nodes can be controlled by varying the supply frequency, whereas the flow of reactive power \( Q \) can be controlled by changing the voltage magnitude. Using these concepts, this section proposes a droop control with “real power versus supply frequency \( P-f \)” and “reactive power versus voltage \( Q-E \)” droop characteristics.

To allow for the normal operations of the SOFC system and the smooth switching between the two modes (ie, grid-connected and islanded modes of the microgrid), \( E-f \) droop control strategy is used in the DC–AC inverter. The droop characteristics are shown as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
    f &= f_0 - m(P_0 - P_{\text{dg}}), \\
    E &= E_0 - n(Q_0 - Q_{\text{dg}})
\end{align*}
\]  

where \( P_{\text{dg}} \) and \( Q_{\text{dg}} \) are the active and reactive powers of the SOFC generation system, respectively; \( P_0 \), \( Q_0 \), \( f_0 \), and \( E_0 \) are the active and reactive reference powers, the rated frequency, and the voltage at point of common coupling (PCC) when the microgrid is under grid-connected mode, respectively [21].

Figure 9 shows the droop characteristic, and the \( P-f \) and \( Q-E \) droop slopes \( m \) and \( n \) can be calculated as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
    m &= \frac{f_0 - f_{\text{min}}}{P_0 - P_{\text{max}}}, \\
    n &= \frac{E_0 - E_{\text{min}}}{Q_0 - Q_{\text{max}}}
\end{align*}
\]  

where \( f_{\text{min}} \) and \( E_{\text{min}} \) are the minimum allowable frequency and voltage at PCC, and \( P_{\text{max}} \) and \( Q_{\text{max}} \) are the maximum active and the reactive powers, respectively.

By reasonably setting the droop coefficients, we can ensure that the change in output voltage magnitude and frequency of SOFC system is minimal under different operation conditions. The synchronization block for safe reconnection between the microgrid and the large power system is shown in Fig. 1. The voltage amplitude deviation \( \Delta E \) and phase deviation \( \Delta \), the correcting signals of both sides of PCC, are compensated to the output references \( E \) and \( f \) in droop control to realize synchronization in advance [22].

In Fig. 1, the double closed loop control (ie, inner current and outer voltage controls) are used in the DC–AC inverter. A current limiting protection is set in the inner current loop, which effectively limits the inverters fault current (Fig. 10).

The reference dq axis current \( i_{\text{dqref}} \) of the outer voltage loop will be evaluated to determine whether the current exceeds the limitation after park transformation. Once the output current exceeds the given limit, the three-phase reference current will be limited to a saturation current \( i_{\text{satref}} \). The amplitude of the saturation current is a given value, and the phase must be determined according to the power control strategy of the inverter system. In this research, the phase of the saturation current should be the same with grid voltage. In addition, the limit current amplitude is 1.5 times larger than that of the rated current. The current limit control not only helps to avoid the damage to the power switch caused by the excessive instantaneous current, but also allows the microgrid system to revert to normal operational conditions once faults are cleared.

---

**Fig. 8.** Control flowchart of the DC-AC inverter

**2.2.3 DC-AC Inverter Control**

As shown in Fig. 8, the controller contains the outer voltage control loop, the inner current control loop, and the real and reactive power control loops. In theory, the flow of real power \( P \) between the two nodes can be controlled by varying the supply frequency, whereas the flow of reactive power \( Q \) can be controlled by changing the voltage magnitude. Using these concepts, this section proposes a droop control with “real power versus supply frequency \( P-f \)” and “reactive power versus voltage \( Q-E \)” droop characteristics.

To allow for the normal operations of the SOFC system and the smooth switching between the two modes (ie, grid-connected and islanded modes of the microgrid), \( E-f \) droop control strategy is used in the DC–AC inverter. The droop characteristics are shown as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
    f &= f_0 - m(P_0 - P_{\text{dg}}), \\
    E &= E_0 - n(Q_0 - Q_{\text{dg}})
\end{align*}
\]  

where \( P_{\text{dg}} \) and \( Q_{\text{dg}} \) are the active and reactive powers of the SOFC generation system, respectively; \( P_0 \), \( Q_0 \), \( f_0 \), and \( E_0 \) are the active and reactive reference powers, the rated frequency, and the voltage at point of common coupling (PCC) when the microgrid is under grid-connected mode, respectively [21].

Figure 9 shows the droop characteristic, and the \( P-f \) and \( Q-E \) droop slopes \( m \) and \( n \) can be calculated as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
    m &= \frac{f_0 - f_{\text{min}}}{P_0 - P_{\text{max}}}, \\
    n &= \frac{E_0 - E_{\text{min}}}{Q_0 - Q_{\text{max}}}
\end{align*}
\]  

where \( f_{\text{min}} \) and \( E_{\text{min}} \) are the minimum allowable frequency and voltage at PCC, and \( P_{\text{max}} \) and \( Q_{\text{max}} \) are the maximum active and the reactive powers, respectively.
The microgrid model is established in PSCAD/EMTDC (shown as Fig. 11), and the system parameters are shown in Table 1. Based on the microgrid model, this paper studies the dynamic simulations under the grid-connected mode, the islanded mode, and the transition process.

### 3 Results of simulation

The microgrid model is established in PSCAD/EMTDC (shown as Fig. 11), and the system parameters are shown in Table 1. Based on the microgrid model, this paper studies the dynamic simulations under the grid-connected mode, the islanded mode, and the transition process.

### 3.1 Transition between grid-connected and islanded modes

At $t = 6$ s, the microgrid switches from grid-connected mode to islanded mode. Under the islanded mode, SOFC serves the microgrid and the storage battery automatically charges and discharges through the bi-directional DC–DC converter. Their output powers match each other to guarantee a stable power supply for the microgrid. At $t = 7.7$ s, the voltage amplitude and phase of the microgrid side at PCC begin to synchronize with the main system. At $t = 8$ s, the microgrid reverts to the grid-connected mode.

Figure 12(a) shows the changes of the output power of the SOFC power generation system from $t = 6$ s (when the system disconnects from the power grid) to $t = 8$ s (when the system reconnects to the grid). Under the grid-connected mode, the local load of the microgrid can absorb a part of the power from the main grid. However, when the local load switches to islanded mode, the microgrid cannot obtain power from the large power grid, and thus, the fuel cells have to increase their output power to meet the local load needs. Therefore, after decoupling and turning into the islanded mode, the output active and reactive powers of the SOFC generation system increase, and the output power $P_{fc}$ of the SOFC stacks increases as well, as shown in Fig. 12. However, the variation tendency of the output active power of the SOFC generation system is gentler during the transition process due to the smoothing effect of the power electronic devices.

When the microgrid switches its operation mode, the frequency of the system voltage changes, as shown in Fig. 13. Under the islanded mode, without the support of the large power grid, the islanded microgrid is still able to operate in a stable manner, owing to the interaction between the SOFC stacks and the storage battery. Furthermore, the microgrid frequency decreases slightly based on the active power increment and the droop coefficients. Meanwhile, in the reconnection and synchroniza-

---

### Table 1. Parameters of the microgrid containing the SOFC generating system

| Variable          | Value | Unit |
|-------------------|-------|------|
| $L_{f_c}$         | 0.6   | mH   |
| Boost converter   |       |      |
| $C_{f_c}$         | 3000  | μF   |
| $k_p$             | 0.08  | –    |
| $k_i$             | 0.2   | –    |
| Bi-directional    |       |      |
| $L_{bess}$        | 3     | mH   |
| DC-DC converter   |       |      |
| $k_p$             | 0.1   | –    |
| $k_i$             | 1.2   | –    |
| DC-AC             |       |      |
| $m$               | 0.04  | Hz/kW|
| $n$               | 0.36  | V/kVar|
| Inverter          |       |      |
| $L_f$             | 0.9   | mH   |
| $C_f$             | 250   | μF   |
| Lines             |       |      |
| $Z_L$             | $0.642 + j0.083$ | Ω/km |
| $L_1$             | 3     | Km   |
| $L_2$             | 1     | Km   |

---

### Fig. 11. Microgrid structure

### Fig. 12. Output power of generation system and SOFC stack: (a) – generation system, (b) – SOFC stack
Fig. 13. Frequency response when switching from islanded mode to grid-connected mode.

Fig. 14. Synchronization progress of voltage magnitude and phase at PCC.

Fig. 15. Current and voltage of SOFC stack when subjected to a fault: (a) – current waveform, (b) – voltage waveform.

Fig. 16. Hydrogen flow when subjected to a fault.

The frequency drops for a short period at the beginning of the synchronous process, given that the origin values of the phase angle deviation $\Delta \delta$ between both sides of the PCC is negative. As shown in Fig. 14, after a 0.3-s synchronous process, the voltage phase and amplitude of the PCC on the microgrid side remains consistent with those on the main grid side. After a smooth reconnection, the output power of the SOFC drops back to the level before the islanded mode.

### 3.2 Fault Characteristics

When the microgrid is operating on islanded mode, a three-phase short circuit fault occurs at the load node when $t = 15$ s. At $t = 15.5$ s, the fault is cleared, and the system returns to the normal operating state. This paper studies the dynamic characteristics of the fuel cells and the overall system during this process.

Figure 15 shows the stack current and voltage. The stack current of the SOFC cell suddenly drops to 0 when the fault occurs. When the fault is cleared, the current first rises up and then decreases and reverts to the initial state. When the fault occurs at the load node on islanded mode, the loads suddenly drop to 0. Given that the voltage of the fuel cells changes slowly due to the longer chemical response time as compared with the transient response time, the current of the fuel cells declines and reaches 0. Then, the current of fuel cells returns to the normal state after the fault is cleared. Simultaneously, the voltage slightly increases from 245 V to 272 V.

Figure 16 shows that the input hydrogen flow $q_{\text{in}}$ of the SOFC drops substantially from 1.02 mol/s to nearly 0.53 mol/s at $t = 15$ s when the fault occurs. After $t = 15.5$ s when the fault is cleared, the input hydrogen flow gradually rises to 1.02 mol/s. The input hydrogen flow decreases slowly according to the two first-order transfer
functions, which indicate the electrical and the chemical response times, as shown in Fig. 2. Hence, before the input hydrogen flow drops to 0, the fault is cleared and input hydrogen flow reverts to normal value.

When the three-phase short circuit fault occurs, the DC voltage and the current of the SOFC change considerably, which will then affect the SOFC stacks and the quality and life of the fuel cells. Under the three-phase short circuit fault, the inner loop current of the inverter suddenly exceeds the limit value given by the current limit protection link. Then, the current limit protection takes effect.

Figure 17 shows the output current curves of the SOFC system under two conditions: the green line represents the output current for the system with a current limit protection link, while the blue line stands for the current without current limit protection. The current-limit protection is found to act rapidly at 15 s when the short circuit fault occurs. When operating without the current-limit protection, the inverter current will be twice as large as the rated current, and thus, the inverter must be cut off to protect electronic devices.

During the fault, the storage battery operates under Buck charging mode due to the rise of the DC bus voltage. Meanwhile, when the fault is clearing at the start, the storage battery works under boost discharging mode to quickly compensate for the insufficient output power of the fuel cell stacks. Figure 18 shows that the DC voltage rises beyond $V_{\text{upref}} = 820$ V at $t = 15$ s when the fault occurs, and then, the storage battery begins charging. After the occurrence of the fault, the DC voltage drops below $V_{\text{downref}} = 780$ V, and the storage battery begins to discharge. Then, after a short period of being charged, the storage battery finally returns to the standby state.

**4 Conclusion**

A complete control scheme designed for the SOFC power generation system operating under two modes (i.e., the constant fuel utilization mode and the constant fuel flow mode) was presented in this paper. First, the hysteresis control strategy for the DC bus voltage was proposed. The control coefficients are then selected according to the trend curves of the phase and the gain margins of the open loop transfer function. Finally, the dynamic response of the SOFC was increased through this strategy. In addition, the $V/f$ droop control with limiting current protection is designed and applied in the DC–AC inverter. This control effectively limits the fault current and ensures a smooth transition of the microgrid between the grid-connected and the islanded modes. Through the simulation test, the model is proven to precisely reflect the chemical properties of the SOFC, and the control strategy of the SOFC helps the microgrid operate in a stable manner under different conditions.
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