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**PEA-15 unphosphorylated at both serine 104 and serine 116 inhibits ovarian cancer cell tumorigenicity and progression through blocking β-catenin**

J Lee¹,², C Bartholomeusz¹,², S Krishnamurthy³, P Liu⁴, H Saso¹,², TA LaFortune¹,², GN Hortobagyi¹,² and NT Ueno¹,²

Ovarian cancer is a major cause of death among women; there remains an urgent need to develop new effective therapies to target this cancer. Phosphoprotein enriched in astrocytes (PEA-15) is a 15-kDa phosphoprotein that is known to bind ERK1/2, thus blocking cell proliferation. The physiological activity of PEA-15 is dependent on the phosphorylation status of serine 104 (Ser104) and Ser116. However, little is known about the impact of PEA-15 phosphorylation on tumor progression. We have previously shown that overexpression of PEA-15 has an antitumor effect against both breast and ovarian cancer cells. Here, we report that using a human ovarian cancer tissue microarray, we found that tissues from patients with ovarian cancer were significantly more likely than adjacent normal tissues to express PEA-15 phosphorylated at both sites. Using phosphomimetic and nonphosphorylatable mutants of PEA-15, we found that mutant double-unphosphorylated PEA-15 in which Ser104 and Ser116 were substituted with alanine (PEA-15-AA) had a more potent antitumorigenic effect in ovarian cancer than did phosphomimetic PEA-15 in which Ser104 and Ser116 were substituted with aspartic acid (PEA-15-DD). Further, we observed that the antitumorigenic effect of PEA-15-AA was a result of inhibition of the migration capacity of cells and inhibition of in vivo angiogenesis. This inhibition was partially dependent on inhibition of β-catenin expression and nuclear translocalization. Taken together, our results suggest that phosphorylated PEA-15 is an important contributor to the aggressiveness of ovarian cancer and justify the development of PEA-15-AA as an effective therapeutic molecule in the treatment of ovarian cancer.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Ovarian cancer is a major cause of death among women in the United States (15 460 deaths and 21 990 new cases estimated in 2011).¹ Improved treatments are urgently needed for patients with advanced-stage ovarian cancer who do not respond to chemotherapy.

Phosphoprotein enriched in astrocytes (PEA-15) is a 15-kDa phosphoprotein that contains an N-terminal death effector domain and a C-terminal tail with an irregular structure.² In breast cancer, PEA-15 expression inhibits invasion by binding to ERK and preventing its nuclear translocation, and reduced PEA-15 expression was seen in metastatic breast cancer cells.³ These observations suggest that PEA-15 is a suppressor of metastasis.

We previously reported that the antitumor activity of the adenovirus protein E1A in ovarian cancer was dependent on PEA-15 expression⁴ and that women whose ovarian tumors had high PEA-15 expression survived longer than women whose ovarian tumors had low PEA-15 expression.⁵ In human breast cancers, overexpression of PEA-15 inhibited growth, reduced DNA synthesis and suppressed tumor growth in a xenograft model.⁶ The 92-kDa oncoprotein β-catenin is an important regulator of cellular adhesion and the Wnt signaling pathway.⁷ In fully differentiated cells, β-catenin mediates cell–cell adhesion via the transmembrane E-cadherin/catenin complex.⁸,⁹ Abnormal signaling of β-catenin has been linked to the malignant events in colon cancer, melanomas and ovarian cancer.¹⁰ Abnormal transcriptional activity of β-catenin is mediated by insulin, insulin-like growth factor-1, lysophosphatidic acid and Wnt glycoproteins through the β-catenin/T-cell factor complex in the nucleus.¹¹,¹² In addition, inhibition of glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK-3) by Wnt activation leads to inactivation of the tumor suppressor complex TSC1/2 and results in activation of the mammalian target of rapamycin signaling pathway.¹³,¹⁴ Thus, the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway can induce target gene expression via both transcriptional and translational mechanisms. These target genes are closely related to malignant behavior.

The physiological activity of PEA-15 is dependent on the phosphorylation status of serine 104 (Ser104) and Ser116.¹⁵ For example, phosphorylation of PEA-15 at these Ser residues inhibited PEA-15 binding to ERK1/2.¹⁶ However, little is known about the phosphorylation status of PEA-15 at Ser104 and Ser116 in tumors, and the impact of PEA-15 phosphorylation at Ser104 and Ser116 on tumor progression. In the work reported here, we found using a human ovarian cancer tissue microarray that PEA-15 was phosphorylated at both serines 104 and 116 and that overexpression of PEA-15 was associated with improved survival in ovarian cancer patients.
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phosphorylated at both Ser104 and Ser116 predominantly existed in tumor tissue rather than cancer-adjacent normal tissue. Further, we sought to develop a more potent form of PEA-15 by modifying its two major phosphorylation sites. In a panel of ovarian cancer cell lines, we observed that double-unphosphorylated PEA-15 in which Ser104 and Ser116 were substituted with alanine (PEA-15-AA) strongly suppressed tumorigenicity in vitro and in vivo. This effect was significantly stronger than that observed with wild-type PEA-15 (PEA-15-WT) or PEA-15 in which Ser104 and Ser116 were substituted with aspartic acid, which mimics phosphorylation (PEA-15-DD). We found that PEA-15-AA suppressed ovarian cancer cell proliferation, cell network formation (which correlates with migration) and tumor growth and that these effects were partially dependent on inhibition of β-catenin. Taken together, our current results provide novel insights into the role of PEA-15 in tumorigenicity and suggest that double-unphosphorylated PEA-15 may be a novel effective therapeutic molecule to treat ovarian cancer.

RESULTS
PEA-15 phosphorylated at both Ser104 and Ser116 is highly expressed in human ovarian cancer tissues
We previously reported that high expression level of PEA-15 correlated with good prognosis in ovarian cancer patients. However, the level of phosphorylated PEA-15 in human cancer remains unknown. We therefore measured the level of phosphorylated PEA-15 in patients with ovarian cancer using a human ovarian cancer tissue microarray. Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Statistical analysis revealed that total expression of PEA-15 phosphorylated at both Ser104 and Ser116 was significantly higher in high-grade ovarian tumor tissue (grade II, P = 0.002; grade III, P = 0.001) than in cancer-adjacent normal ovarian tissue (Figure 1; Table 2). We also observed that PEA-15 phosphorylated at Ser104 occurred only in tumor tissue (P < 0.0001). There was no significant difference between tumor tissue and cancer-adjacent normal tissue in the prevalence of expression of the other forms of phosphorylated PEA-15 or β-catenin expression. Taken together, our data indicated that PEA-15 in ovarian tumor cells exists predominantly in the double-phosphorylated form.

PEA-15 overexpression inhibits human ovarian cancer cell proliferation in vitro
On the basis of the results of our tissue microarray analysis, which indicated that PEA-15 phosphorylated at both Ser104 and Ser116 may be involved in human ovarian cancer progression, we investigated whether artificially modified PEA-15 was capable of inhibiting ovarian cancer cell proliferation.

To choose suitable cell lines for overexpression of artificially modified PEA-15, we first determined the endogenous level of PEA-15 in a panel of ovarian cancer cell lines. Five cell lines—2774, KOC-7c, OVCAR420, RMG-1 and SKOV3.ip1—showed lower expression of endogenous PEA-15 than the other cell lines (Supplementary Figure S1). Next, we tested the effect of PEA-15-WT, PEA-15-AA (double-unphosphorylated) and PEA-15-DD (mimics phosphorylation) on cell proliferation in low-PEA-15-expressing cell lines using the trypan blue exclusion assay. We observed that proliferation of KOC-7c and SKOV3.ip1 cells was significantly inhibited by PEA-15-WT (KOC-7c, 21%; SKOV3.ip1, 31%), PEA-15-AA (KOC-7c, 29%; SKOV3.ip1, 40%) and PEA-15-DD (KOC-7c, 24%; SKOV3.ip1, 28%, P < 0.05), whereas proliferation of OVCAR420 cells was significantly inhibited only by PEA-15-AA (23%, P < 0.05, Figure 2a).

To further study the role of the PEA-15 double mutants in ovarian cancer, we selected SKOV3.ip1 cells, because these low-PEA-15-expressing cells exhibit an increased ability to migrate and increase metastatic potential compared with the other low-PEA-15-expressing ovarian cancer cells that we studied. After establishing stable transfectants of PEA-15-WT, PEA-15-AA and PEA-15-DD in SKOV3.ip1 cells, we performed the soft agar assay. Both PEA-15-AA and PEA-15-DD inhibited SKOV3.ip1 cell colony formation by more than 80% compared with the vector control (P < 0.001, Figure 2b).

PEA-15-AA and PEA-15-DD inhibit projection formation and migration of SKOV3.ip1 cells in three-dimensional (3D) culture
Three-dimensional Matrigel cell cultures serve as a good model to study physiologically relevant aspects of the behavior of nonmalignant and malignant cells ex vivo. We investigated the effects of the PEA-15 double mutants on the projection formation of SKOV3.ip1 cells in 3D Matrigel cultures. PEA-15-AA and PEA-15-

Table 1. Patient characteristics

| Characteristics | No. of patients (%) |
|-----------------|---------------------|
| Age (y)         |                     |
| Range, 17–70    |                     |
| Median, 51      |                     |
| Histopathologic diagnosis (adenocarcinoma) |                     |
| Serous          | 47/60 (78.3)        |
| Others (endometrioid, mucinous) | 13/60 (21.7) |
| Normal (cancer-adjacent normal tissue) | 10 |
| Grade           |                     |
| I               | 38/60 (63.3)        |
| II              | 18/60 (30.0)        |
| III             | 3/60 (5.0)          |
| IV              | 1/60 (1.7)          |
| p-PEA15-S104 expression |                    |
| 1 (low)         | 12/60 (100)         |
| 2 (moderate)    | 22/60 (100)         |
| 3 (high)        | 26/60 (100)         |
| p-PEA15-S116 expression |                    |
| 1 (low)         | 24/60 (100)         |
| 2 (moderate)    | 20/60 (60)          |
| 3 (high)        | 16/60 (60)          |
| β-Catenin expression |                    |
| 0 (no expression) | 5/60 (8.3)  |
| 1 (low)         | 34/60 (50)          |
| 2 (moderate)    | 14/60 (50)          |
| 3 (high)        | 7/60 (11.7)         |
DD reduced projection formation by about 80–90% (P<0.01) compared with the vector control (Figure 2c). Time-lapse video data clearly showed an inhibitory effect of PEA-15-AA on 3D projection formation compared with the vector control (Supplementary Movies 1 and 2).

As PEA-15-AA and PEA-15-DD strongly inhibited projection formation, we hypothesized that they would also influence SKOV3.ip1 cell migration. To test this concept, we performed a migration assay in SKOV3.ip1 stable transfectants. We observed that PEA-15-AA and PEA-15-DD reduced cell migration by 60–80% (P<0.05) compared with the vector control (Figure 2d). Taken together, these data demonstrated that PEA-15-AA and PEA-15-DD inhibit cell-to-cell interaction and migration of SKOV3.ip1 ovarian cancer cells.

PEA-15-AA inhibits tumor growth in an ovarian cancer xenograft model

In an attempt to identify a form of PEA-15 that would be more potent against ovarian cancer, we examined the effects of PEA-15-AA and PEA-15-DD in vivo. When stable transfectants of SKOV3.ip1 cells stably transfected with vector control, PEA-15-AA or PEA-15-DD were injected intraperitoneally into nude mice, we found that 90–100% of the mice injected with the cells stably transfected with vector or PEA-15-DD but only 40% of the mice injected with cells stably transfected with PEA-15-AA developed tumors (Figures 3a and b, P = 0.02). To confirm these findings, we tested one more stable clone of each stable cell line (PEA-15-WT, PEA-15-DD-3 and PEA-15-AA-1). We observed results similar to the results shown in Figure 3a, further confirming that PEA-15-AA has a strong

---

**Figure 1.** PEA-15 phosphorylated at Ser104 (pPEA-15-S104) and PEA-15 phosphorylated at Ser116 (pPEA-15-S116) were highly overexpressed in high-grade ovarian tumor tissues. Immunohistochemical staining shows the expression level of pPEA-15-S104, pPEA-15-S116 and β-catenin in ovarian tumor tissue and cancer-adjacent normal tissue. Original magnification, ×200 (insets, ×40).
antitumor effect compared with PEA-15-WT or PEA-15-DD (P < 0.0001, Supplementary Figure S4).

Transition into an aggressive cancer cell requires alterations in morphology, cellular architecture, adhesion and migration capacity.\(^9\) We hypothesized that the observed suppression of SKOV3.ip1 cell projection formation and migration by PEA-15-AA and PEA-15-DD (Figures 2c and d) involves inhibition of the epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) pathway. To test this hypothesis, we investigated EMT gene expression patterns by western blotting. We observed that both PEA-15-AA and PEA-15-DD inhibited E-cadherin, N-cadherin and vimentin expression compared with vector control in SKOV3.ip1 cells in 3D Matrigel culture (data not shown). However, only PEA-15-AA strongly inhibited b-catenin, p-Ser9, p-Ser107 and p-Ser87 (data not shown), which is a key molecule involved in the Wnt signaling pathway and is important in EMT, cellular proliferation and differentiation.\(^1,19\) We then used immunohistochemical staining to test the expression level of b-catenin and Ki-67 (cell proliferation marker) in the tumors that formed in the nude mice injected with stably transfected SKOV3.ip1 cells. As expected, expression of b-catenin and Ki-67 was inhibited in PEA-15-AA-expressing tumors compared with vector control or PEA-15-DD-expressing tumors (Figure 3c). These data suggested that PEA-15-AA inhibits tumor growth by targeting the b-catenin pathway.

Table 2. Summary of statistics for phospho-PEA-15 and b-catenin expression\(^*\)

| Analysis categories | Total PEA-15-pS104 | Tumor n (%) | Normal n (%) | P | Normal vs tumor |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|---|----------------|
|                     | High | Low | | | |
| Tumor grade         |       |     | | | |
| I                   | 10/13 | 3/13 | | | 0.0004 |
| II                  | 20/26 | 6/26 | | | <0.0001 |
| III                 | 18/21 | 3/21 | | | <0.0001 |
| Total PEA-15-pS116  | 36/60 (60.0) | 24/60 (40.0) | 9/10 (90.0) | 0.08 |
| b-catenin           | 21/60 (35.0) | 39/60 (65.0) | 5/10 (50.0) | 0.48 |
| Double phosphorylation status |       |     | | | |
| PEA-15 high-pS104/low-pS116 | 17/60 (25.8) | 1/10 (0.0) | 0.1043 |
| PEA-15 low-pS104/high-pS116 | 2/60 (3.3) | 9/10 (90.0) | |
| Tumor grade         |       |     | | | |
| I                   | 0/13 | | | | <0.001 |
| II                  | 2/26 | | | | <0.001 |
| III                 | 0/21 | | | | <0.001 |
| PEA-15 high-pS104/high-pS116 | 33/60 (55) | 0 (0.0) | |
| Tumor grade         |       |     | | | |
| I                   | 5/13 | | | | 0.046 |
| II                  | 15/26 | | | | 0.002 |
| III                 | 13/21 | | | | 0.001 |
| PEA-15 low-pS104/low-pS116 | 8/60 (13.3) | 1/10 (10.0) | 1 |

Note: Bonferroni method was used to adjust for multiple comparisons, therefore only P-values < 0.05/9 = 0.0056 was considered statistically significant in the above table. The P-values highlighted in bold are considered significant. *Samples are categorized according to their expression of markers (low intensity = 0 or 1 and high intensity = 2 or 3).

Next, to examine the effects of PEA-15 mutants on the transcriptional regulation of b-catenin, we performed quantitative RT–PCR analysis. We observed no significant changes in b-catenin mRNA level in the cell lines stably expressing PEA-15-AA or PEA-15-DD (Figure 4d). As unphosphorylated GSK-3\(\beta\) is known to phosphorylate b-catenin, targeting it for proteosomal degradation, we checked the phosphorylation status of GSK-3\(\beta\) at Ser9. PEA-15-AA inhibited Ser9 phosphorylation by approximately 90%, whereas PEA-15-DD inhibited Ser9 phosphorylation weakly (~50%, Figure 4e). As b-catenin stability depends on its phosphorylation status at Ser residues, we investigated the phosphorylation status of b-catenin in the different Ser residues (Ser33, Ser37, Ser41, Ser45, Ser552 and Ser675) in stably transfected SKOV3.ip1 cells. PEA-15-AA inhibited b-catenin phosphorylation at Ser675 by approximately 60–80% (Figure 4e) but did not affect the other Ser residues (data not shown); PEA-15-DD had no effect on the phosphorylation status of the Ser residues (Figure 4e and data not shown). These data indicated that PEA-15-AA may regulate b-catenin expression through signal pathways other than GSK3-\(\beta\).

PEA-15-AA suppresses migration capacity through b-catenin and suppresses angiogenesis in vivo

Our observation that PEA-15-AA inhibited b-catenin expression in xenograft tumor tissue samples (Figure 3c) prompted us to further investigate the mechanism of b-catenin regulation by PEA-15-AA in SKOV3.ip1 cells. We first performed an immunoblot assay with total and fractionized cell lysates from stably transfected SKOV3.ip1 cells. Compared with vector-transfected cells, PEA-15-AA-expressing cells showed a 47% reduction of total b-catenin amount (Figure 4a) and a 66% inhibition of translocation of b-catenin into the nucleus (Figure 4b). These results were further confirmed by immunofluorescence staining (Figure 4c).

Our in vitro and in vivo data (Figures 3 and 4) indicated that PEA-15-AA-mediated depletion of b-catenin correlated with decreased migration, decreased cell-to-cell interaction and tumor growth suppression. To confirm the impact of b-catenin on proliferation and projection formation in SKOV3.ip1 cells, these cells were treated with small interfering RNA (siRNA) against b-catenin. The cells in which b-catenin was knocked down proliferated approximately 30–40% more slowly than scrambled-siRNA-treated cells (Figure 5a, \(P < 0.05\)). In addition, cells with b-catenin knockdown showed approximately 60% less projection formation than the control cells (Figure 5b, \(P = 0.001\)). To confirm that b-catenin knockdown results in reduction in 3D projection formation, we treated cells with the Wnt/b-catenin inhibitor pyridium pamoate.
Cells treated with the Wnt/β-catenin inhibitor exhibited approximately 50% less projection formation than control cells (Figure 5c, $P = 0.01$). Next, we examined whether this suppression of 3D projection formation was β-catenin dependent by restoring β-catenin expression in cells stably transfected with PEA-15-AA. Indeed, PEA-15-AA cells transiently transfected with β-catenin plasmid recovered projection ability (approximately 40%, $P = 0.003$) and colony formation ability ($P = 0.003$) more than the vector control cells (Figures 5d and e). These data indicated that cell migration capacity is partially dependent on β-catenin.

Activation of Wnt signaling results in subsequent stabilization of β-catenin and translocation into the nucleus. Within the nucleus, β-catenin activates target genes such as c-myc,20 cyclin D,21 matrix metalloproteinase-7 (MMP-7)22 and cyclooxygenase-2.23 We tested the effect of PEA-15-AA-regulated β-catenin inhibition on expression of genes involved in angiogenesis and invasion, including c-met, c-myc and MMP-7, using quantitative RT–PCR (c-met and c-myc) or immunohistochemistry (MMP-7). We found that c-met, c-myc and MMP-7 were significantly reduced in PEA-15-AA-expressing cells or primary mouse tumor tissues compared with control cells transfected with vector (Supplementary Figure S2, $P < 0.01$). On the basis of these observations indicating that PEA-15-AA reduced expression of angiogenesis- and invasion-related genes, we hypothesized that PEA-15-AA inhibits new microvessel formation in a SKOV3.ip1 xenograft model. To test this hypothesis, we assessed the extent of microvessels in xenograft tumor samples using immunohistochemical staining with CD31, an endothelial cell surface marker that reflects new blood vessel formation.24 We observed that PEA-15-AA reduced the number of CD31-stained cells by 80% compared with vector control or PEA-15-DD (Figure 5f, $P < 0.05$). These data indicated that PEA-15-AA suppresses β-catenin expression and inhibits angiogenesis.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we found that PEA-15 phosphorylated at both Ser104 and Ser116 is a potential contributor to the aggressiveness of ovarian cancer. We showed that expression of PEA-15 phosphorylated at both Ser104 and Ser116 was significantly more common in high-grade ovarian tumor tissue than in adjacent normal ovarian tissue. Further, PEA-15-AA, but not PEA-15-DD, strongly inhibited tumor growth compared with vector control in an in vivo xenograft model. This ovarian cancer tumorigenicity suppression by PEA-15-AA was a result of inhibition of β-catenin expression and nuclear translocalization and subsequent inhibition of
angiogenesis. Suppression of migration capacity by PEA-15-AA was partially dependent on β-catenin. The structure of PEA-15 suggests that phosphorylation status could regulate its intracellular signaling pathway. The C-terminus of PEA-15 contains two major Ser residues, Ser104 and Ser116, that are required for ERK binding. Our group and others have shown that PEA-15 reduces the transcriptional activity of ERK by inhibiting the transcription factor Elk-1, which regulates ERK-dependent transcription.4,25–28 Renganathan et al.29 reported that phosphorylation at Ser104 blocks ERK binding to PEA-15 in vitro and in vivo. These authors also demonstrated that double phosphorylation of PEA-15 at Ser104 and Ser116 switches the binding specificity of PEA-15 from ERK to FADD. Trencia et al.30 observed that protein kinase B/AKT can bind and phosphorylate Ser116 on PEA-15, thereby stabilizing its antiapoptotic function. They demonstrated that simultaneous increases in PEA-15 cellular levels and AKT activity might function cooperatively in tumorigenesis or tumor progression in humans. These findings indicated that PEA-15 phosphorylation status at Ser104 and at Ser116 may be important in transduction of survival signals, which supports an important role of PEA-15 in the cellular regulation of apoptotic programs. Therefore, we asked ourselves whether PEA-15 with modulated phosphorylation status at Ser104 and Ser116 could result in more potent suppression of tumorigenicity than wild-type PEA-15 in ovarian cancer cells. In the study reported here, we found that only the double-unphosphorylated form, PEA-15-AA, strongly inhibited tumor growth and Ki-67 expression, which is strictly associated with cell proliferation. These data support the hypothesis that PEA-15-AA could be specifically developed as a therapeutic agent for ovarian cancer.

Our in vitro data showed that PEA-15-AA and PEA-15-DD had similar inhibitory effects in a 2D culture system. However, our in vivo data showed that only PEA-15-AA had a strong antitumor effect. Cukierman et al.31 observed that 3D culture condition enhanced cell adhesion, thereby more than doubling cell proliferation compared with 2D culture condition. Furthermore, Formisano et al.32 reported that PEA-15 phosphorylated at S104 and S116 interacted with laminin receptor, resulting in cell adhesion, migration and proliferation. Thus, although we do not have direct evidence to explain the difference in results between the 2D culture system and the in vivo test condition, we speculate that the difference is due to tumor microenvironmental condition. β-Catenin expression is tightly regulated by an APC/GSK-3β/Axin protein complex through a ubiquitin-proteasome pathway.33,34 Without Wnt pathway activation, GSK-3β induces β-catenin phosphorylation at Ser33, Ser37 and Thr41; thereby, β-catenin is constantly degraded by the proteasome.35 GSK-3 is one of the primary downstream targets of the PI3 kinase/AKT axis. AKT-mediated phosphorylation of GSK-3β-Ser21/GSK-3β-Ser9 induced cell survival by destruction of β-catenin regulation.36 Our data showed that although both PEA-15-AA and PEA-15-WT suppressed and PEA-15-DD weakly suppressed phosphorylation of GSK-3 at Ser9, only PEA-15-AA dramatically inhibited total expression and translocation of β-catenin. These data

| No. of mice | Incidence of tumor formation (%) |
|------------|---------------------------------|
| Vector     | 9/10 90%                        |
| PEA-15-AA  | 6/15 40%                        |
| PEA-15-DD  | 16/16 100%                      |

Figure 3. PEA-15-AA has a strong antitumor effect in an ovarian cancer xenograft model. (a) Upper panel, incidence of tumor formation. Lower panel, tumor weights were significantly smaller with PEA-15-AA than with vector and PEA-15-DD. (b) Representative images showed tumor formation of SKOV3.ip1-vector, SKOV3.ip1-PEA-15-AA and SKOV3.ip1-PEA-15-DD cells in mouse peritoneal area. White arrows indicate tumors. (c) Immunohistochemical staining of HA, β-catenin and Ki-67 in tumor samples from the xenograft model. H&E, hematoxylin-eosin. Scale bars: 50 μm. Statistical significance was evaluated by paired t-test using GraphPad Prism software.
indicated that PEA-15-AA-mediated β-catenin inhibition could be regulated by a pathway other than GSK-3 regulation. Other groups previously reported that protein kinase B/AKT can phosphorylate β-catenin at Ser552 and that cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA) phosphorylates β-catenin at Ser552 and Ser675.37–39 Phosphorylation at Ser552 and Ser675 induces β-catenin translocation into the nucleus from the cytosol and increases its transcriptional activity.39,40 Cheadle et al.41 reported that the PKA-α subunit exhibited hyperproliferative growth in ovarian cancer cells. Thus, although we do not provide direct evidence of involvement of PKA signaling in PEA-15-AA-mediated β-catenin inhibition, we speculate that the Ser675 residue on β-catenin is a major target in PEA-15-AA-induced β-catenin inhibition in ovarian cancer cells. Further studies are needed to determine whether PEA-15-AA-induced β-catenin inhibition results in suppression of PKA activity.

We showed here that PEA-15-AA strongly suppressed translocalization of β-catenin into the nucleus. Nuclear β-catenin has a transcriptional activity with its co-activator, T-cell factor/lymphocyte enhancer factor-1.11 Wnt/β-catenin target genes are related to invasion/metastasis, angiogenesis and EMT.42 Rosano et al.43 reported that endothelin-1 promotes EMT and enhances invasive phenotype in ovarian cancer cells. Previously, Yoshida et al.44 reported that β-catenin target genes, including c-myc, PPAR, VEGF and axin-2, are correlated with poor prognosis of epithelial ovarian carcinoma. Skurk et al.45 showed that GSK-3β/β-catenin promotes angiogenesis through VEGF in endothelial cells. Zohny and Fayed46 suggested that circulating MMP-7 is a marker for diagnosis of epithelial ovarian carcinoma. MMP-7 is involved in shedding, activation or releasing of bioactive molecules, including TNF-α, HB-EGF, VEGF and angiotensin.47 Our findings are consistent with the above findings as PEA-15-AA inhibited cell-

Figure 4. PEA-15-AA inhibited β-catenin expression and translocalization. (a) Total β-catenin expression level in stably transfected SKOV3.ip1 cells. Fifteen micrograms of lysate was loaded. The expression levels of β-catenin for each cell line were evaluated using LI-COR software. (b) PEA-15-AA inhibited translocalization of β-catenin into the nucleus. Cytosolic and nuclear fractions were prepared as described in Materials and methods. For loading control, tubulin (for cytosolic fraction) and U1 snRNP 70 (for nuclear fraction) were used. (c) Immunofluorescence staining. Stably transfected SKOV3.ip1 cells were grown on the four-well slide chamber for overnight, and immunofluorescence staining was performed as described in Material and methods. (d) Quantitative RT–PCR analysis of β-catenin mRNA level in stably transfected SKOV3.ip1 cells. (e) Western blotting. Effect of different forms of PEA-15 on GSK-3β phosphorylation at Ser9 and β-catenin phosphorylation at Ser675.
Inhibitory effect of PEA-15- AA on ovarian cancer proliferation, migration capability and angiogenesis is dependent on β-catenin inhibition. (a) β-Catenin siRNA inhibited SKOV3.ip1 cell proliferation. After 48 h transfection with siRNA, cells were trypsinized, and 1×10^5 cells were plated. Total cell numbers were counted after 48 h. (b) β-Catenin siRNA inhibited SKOV3.ip1 cell projection formation. Cells were pretreated with 1 μM of pyridium pamoate (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 h, and 3D projection formation assay was performed. (d, e) Rescue of β-catenin expression in PEA-15-AA stable clones recovered projection formation ability (d) and colony formation ability (e). Cells were transiently transfected with β-catenin plasmid, and then 3D projection formation assay and colony formation assay were performed. (f) PEA-15-AA strongly reduced the number of CD31-positive cells. Columns, mean; bars, standard error. Scale bars: 50 μm. Statistical significance was evaluated by paired t test using GraphPad Prism software. Data shown are representative of three experiments with similar results.

Figure 5. Inhibitory effect of PEA-15- AA on ovarian cancer proliferation, migration capability and angiogenesis is dependent on β-catenin inhibition. (a) β-Catenin siRNA inhibited SKOV3.ip1 cell proliferation. After 48 h transfection with siRNA, cells were trypsinized, and 1×10^5 cells were plated. Total cell numbers were counted after 48 h. (b) β-Catenin siRNA inhibited SKOV3.ip1 cell projection formation. Cells were pretreated with 1 μM of pyridium pamoate (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 h, and 3D projection formation assay was performed. (d, e) Rescue of β-catenin expression in PEA-15-AA stable clones recovered projection formation ability (d) and colony formation ability (e). Cells were transiently transfected with β-catenin plasmid, and then 3D projection formation assay and colony formation assay were performed. (f) PEA-15-AA strongly reduced the number of CD31-positive cells. Columns, mean; bars, standard error. Scale bars: 50 μm. Statistical significance was evaluated by paired t test using GraphPad Prism software. Data shown are representative of three experiments with similar results.
PEA-15-AA is more potent than PEA-15-DD in suppressing ovarian cancer cell growth in vitro and in vivo through β-catenin inhibition. Our findings from the tissue microarray study provide a foundation for investigating whether PEA-15 phosphorylated at both Ser104 and Ser116 can serve as a novel biomarker for predicting clinical outcomes in patients with ovarian and breast cancer and for developing innovative PEA-15-AA-based therapy for ovarian cancer.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell lines**

Human ovarian cancer cell lines 2774, HEY, KOC-7s, OVCA420, OVCA432, OVTOKO, RMG-1 and SKOV3.ip1 were provided by Dr Robert Bast of MD Anderson Cancer Center. Cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 or DMEM/F12 medium containing 10% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA) at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂.

**Transfection**

SKOV3.ip1 cells were transfected with expression plasmids containing empty vector (pcDNA3-HA), PEA-15-WT (pcDNA3-HA-PEA-15-WT), PEA-15-AA (pcDNA3-HA-PEA-15-AA) or PEA-15-DD (pcDNA3-HA-PEA-15-DD) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Two days after transfection, medium was exchanged for selective medium containing G418 (Invitrogen) at a final concentration of 800 µg/ml. Thereafter, selective medium was exchanged for fresh selective medium every 3 days. Ectopic expression of each gene in the stable cell lines was confirmed by western blot analysis with anti-HA antibody (Covance, Princeton, NJ, USA).

**Antibodies**

Antibodies were purchased as follows: PEA-15, CD31, p-PEA-15-S104, GSX-3, α-phospho-histone H3, β-catenin and phospho-β-catenin, Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA; anti-HA, Covance; β-actin, (clone AC-15), Sigma-Aldrich; Ki-67, Lab Vision, Kalamazoo, MI, USA; pPEA-15-S116 and Alexa Fluor 488, Invitrogen.

**In vitro cell proliferation assay**

For in vitro cell proliferation experiments, trypan blue exclusion assay was performed using Vi-CELL series cell viability analyzers (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Western blot analysis**

Western blot analysis was performed as described in Supplementary methods.

**Immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence**

Immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence studies were performed as described in Supplementary methods.

**Small interfering RNA**

Three different sequences of human β-catenin siRNA (accession no. NM_001098209) were used (Sigma-Aldrich): S’-UGUGGCGACA[dT][dT]-3’, S’-CUACAGUGUGUCUGUAU[dT][dT]-3’ and S’-GAAUGAAGGUUGGCGCA[dT][dT]-3’. Cells with 60–70% confluence were transfected for 7 days with a final concentration of 100 nM β-catenin siRNA or nonspecific control pooled siRNAs using Lipofectamine RNaMAX transfection reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Human tumor samples**

We obtained tissue microarray slides containing specimens of primary ovarian cancer and cancer-adjacent normal ovarian tissues from US Biomax, Inc (Rockville, MD, USA). We only included in our analysis patients who had serous, mucinous or endometrioid adenocarcinoma and a disease stage of I–III. Variables available for analysis included histopathologic diagnosis (based on World Health Organization criteria), nuclear grade (based on Gynecologic Oncology Group criteria) and disease stage (according to the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics system). The levels of PEA-15 phosphorylated at Ser104 and S116 and β-catenin were reported.

**Quantitative RT–PCR**

Quantitative RT–PCR was performed as described in Supplementary methods.

**In vivo tumorigenicity assay**

Four- to six-week-old female athymic BALB/c nu/nu mice were purchased from the Department of Veterinary Medicine and Surgery at MD Anderson. Mice were housed under specific pathogen-free conditions and were treated in accordance with the National Institutes of Health guidelines. To establish ovarian cancer xenografts, SKOV3.ip1 cells stably transfected with vector, PEA-15-WT, PEA-15-AA or PEA-15-DD were trypsinized, washed with PBS and centrifuged at 1200 r.p.m. for 5 min. Cell pellets were resuspended in PBS at 4 × 10⁶ cells/ml and then cell suspension (0.5 ml) was injected intraperitoneally under aseptic conditions into nude mice. After 6 weeks, all mice were euthanized, and samples of tumors were collected at biopsy and analyzed for PEA-15, β-catenin or CD31 by immunohistochemical staining.

**In vitro 3D Matrigel projection formation assay**

Three-dimensional Matrigel projection formation assays were performed in triplicate using μ-Slide plates (ibidi GmbH, Planegg, Germany) as described previously. SKOV3.ip1 cells stably transfected with vector, PEA-15-WT, PEA-15-AA or PEA-15-DD were trypsinized, washed with PBS and then resuspended with complete medium. For the bottom layer, 10 µl of Matrigel was added on the μ-Slide plate, and the plate was incubated in a CO₂ incubator for 10 min. Then, 50 µl of cell suspension solution (2 × 10⁴ cells in 2% Matrigel/complete medium) was added on the bottom layer. After a 24-h incubation in the CO₂ incubator, cell network images were captured and analyzed for tube formation using S.CORE analysis software (S.CO LifeSciences GmbH, Hoehenkirchen, Germany).

**Migration assay**

Migration assays were performed in triplicate using a 48-well microchemotaxis chamber for 6 h. The bottom wells were filled with 10% fetal bovine serum as an attractant. Results were quantitated by counting migrated cells in five randomly chosen high-power fields under a light microscope in triplicate.

**Soft agar assay**

Cells (2 × 10⁴ cells/well) were resuspended in 2 ml of 0.4% agarose solution in DMEM/F12 medium and overlayed onto the bottom agar layer (1%) in six-well plates. The plates were incubated for 25 days, and colonies were stained with 200 µl of iodo-nitrotetrazoliumchloride (1 mg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich) overnight. The number of stained colonies greater than 80 µm in diameter was counted using the GelCount colony counting system (Oxford Optronix, Oxford, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Means and standard deviations were calculated on the basis of the colony counts from triplicate wells.

**Nuclear and cytosolic protein fractions**

To isolate nuclear and cytosolic protein fractions from whole-cell lysates, cells were first trypsinized and washed with PBS. Cell pellets were resuspended with a hypotonic buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 3 mM CaCl₂, MgOAC, 0.1 mM EDTA and protease inhibitors). After incubation for 15 min on ice, 10% NP-40 solution was added to make a 0.5% final concentration, and then the solution was vortexed for 1 s. The homogenate was centrifuged at 5000 r.p.m. for 5 min to produce a cytosolic fraction and a crude nuclear fraction (pellet). The nuclear pellet was washed with hypotonic buffer and resuspended with 50 µl of buffer B (20 mM HEPES, pH 8.0, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 20 mM KCl and 0.2 mM EDTA). And to this solution was added 50 µl of buffer C (20 mM HEPES, pH 8.0, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 800 mM KCl, 0.2 mM EDTA, 25% glycerol and 1% NP-40). After sonication, lysates were centrifuged at 12,000 g for 15 min. Supernatant were collected for analysis of nuclear fraction.

**Statistical analysis**

For experimental outcomes, descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation) were summarized for each group. An analysis of variance model
was used to compare the mean outcome values among the tested groups. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. For tissue microarray data, descriptive statistics were summarized for the ovarian tumor group and the normal tissue group. The percentage of tumors with positive biomarker staining was compared between the two groups using Fisher’s exact test. Bonferroni method was used to adjust to multiple comparisons for each biomarker. Spearman’s correlation coefficient was used to examine the correlation between any two biomarkers’ positive staining percentages. Analyses were performed using SAS software, version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).
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