Natural-resin *in-situ*-forming gels: Physicochemical characteristics and bioactivities

El Mon Khaing¹, Torsak Intaraphairo²,³, Wichai Santimaleeworagun³,⁴, Yaowalak Phorom⁵, Tiraniti Chuenbarn¹, Thawatchai Phaechamud¹

¹ Department of Pharmaceutical Technology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Silpakorn University, Nakhon Pathom, Thailand
² Department of Biopharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Silpakorn University, Nakhon Pathom, Thailand
³ Natural Bioactive and Material for Health Promotion and Drug Delivery System Group (NBM), Faculty of Pharmacy, Silpakorn University, Nakhon Pathom, Thailand
⁴ Office of Secretary, Faculty of Pharmacy, Silpakorn University, Nakhon Pathom, Thailand

ABSTRACT

An injectable *in situ*-forming gel (ISG) is a promising approach as a drug delivery system. In this study, natural resins including aloe, benzoin and propolis dissolved in *N*-methyl pyrrolidone (NMP) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were investigated as ISG systems for their ability to form matrices in phosphate buffer. Regarding their functions as ISG, their pH values, viscosities, flow behaviours, surface tensions and injectabilities were tested. Benzoin and propolis exhibited greater matrix formation than aloe, owing to their higher resin contents. Benzoin and propolis in DMSO formed matrices with a faster solvent exchange rate compared with those in NMP. The former had a higher viscosity than the latter, but both of them exhibited similar densities and surface tensions. The 35% and 40% w/w benzoin in DMSO exhibited dominant matrix formations with acceptable injectability via syringe. The 35% w/w benzoin and propolis ISG in DMSO efficiently inhibited the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains, and the propolis ISG also exhibited a clear inhibition zone against *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, whereas aloe did not. From the cell viability assay, propolis exhibited a higher toxicity against HCT116 colon cancer cells (IC₅₀=95 µg/ml) compared with benzoin (IC₅₀=400 µg/ml), whereas the resin from aloe showed no toxic effect on this cell. Due to their rapid insoluble matrix formation after contacting aqueous fluid as well as their antibacterial and cytotoxic activities against colon cancer cell, the 35% w/w benzoin and propolis in DMSO have the potential for use as a matrix former for ISGs.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Over the last decade, injectable *in situ*-forming gel (ISG) systems have been widely used in the delivery of drugs. ISG is in liquid state before administration and transform into gels *in situ* within physiological fluid or with environmental stimuli such as solvent exchange, UV-irradiation, pH or temperature change. The solvent exchange-induced ISG comprises a water-insoluble polymer dissolved in biocompatible solvents such as *N*-methyl-pyrrolidone (NMP) or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)¹⁻³. Solvents for ISGs must have properties such as high water affinity, safety and the ability to dissolve both the drug and polymer⁴. Typically, the basic solvents for ISGs are water-miscible liquids, including DMSO and NMP, owing to their low toxicity and suitability for administration via syringe⁵⁻⁶. The phase inversion of a dissolved polymer into a semisol or a solid-like material occurs by the diffusion of an organic solvent into the environment and the diffusion of an aqueous physiological fluid into the system. Various polymers have been investigated for improving of solvent exchange-induced ISG systems⁷⁻⁸. Because they are non-toxic, economical, potentially biodegradable and locally...
available, some natural products such as gums, mucilage and resins have attracted interest for use in drug delivery systems\textsuperscript{9}. Bleached shellac, the scarlet resinous secretion of several species of lac insects, has been recently used as a matrix former of ISG systems for periodontitis treatment\textsuperscript{2,10}.

Benzoin, a water-insoluble resin obtained from styrax species, has been widely used in perfume, antiseptic and topical adhesive agents. The major constituents of benzoin resin are coniferyl benzoate, benzoic acid, cinnamic acid and p-carmyl cinnamate, as well as triterpenoids as minor compounds\textsuperscript{11}. These components exhibit antibacterial and antioxidant activities\textsuperscript{12}. Propolis collected by bees from plant resins has been used as a traditional medicine for centuries. Typically, the compounds found in propolis include polyphenol, phenolic aldehydes, ketones, ascorbic acid and some organic compounds\textsuperscript{13}. Propolis has been utilised for a wide range of biological applications, including antibacterial, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antiviral, anticancer and antitumor\textsuperscript{14}. Moreover, it has been used as a lipid material in the preparation of solid lipid nanoparticles comprising diclofenac for intranasal delivery\textsuperscript{15}. Aloe resin is a solid residue obtained by transversely cutting the leaf of aloe ferox\textsuperscript{16}. The middle latex layer in an aloe leaf comprises various metabolites, including glycosylated anthrones (up to 35% aloin A and aloin B), glycosylated chromones (aloesin and aloe resin), glycosylated anthraquinones and polyphenols\textsuperscript{17}. Various compounds that have been isolated from aloe resins are used in pharmaceutical applications, such as anti-inflammatory treatments\textsuperscript{18}, antimicrobial activity\textsuperscript{19} and antioxidant effects\textsuperscript{20}.

Some natural resins can dissolve in NMP and DMSO; therefore, the aim of this study was to prepare aloe, benzoin and propolis ISG systems and then investigate their properties including pH, viscosity, rheology, injectability, gel formation and antimicrobial activities. The MTT assay was performed to determine the cytotoxic effect of the natural-resin ISG systems on HCT116 colon cancer cells. The MTT assay is a colorimetric assay for assessing cell metabolic activity. The enzymes of cell are capable of reducing the tetrazolium dye MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) to its insoluble formazan, which has a purple color.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Materials

Propolis was purchased from Bee Product Industry Co., Ltd., Chiang Mai, Thailand, while aloe and benzoin were purchased from the Kanchana Crude Drug store, Nakhon Pathom, Thailand and DMSO (lot no.453035, Fluka, Switzerland) and NMP (lot no. A0251390, Fluka, New Jersey, USA) were used as received. Mitis Salivarius agar (lot no.0118681), tryptic soy broth (lot no. 8091999) and tryptic soy agar (lot no.7341698), from Difco, USA, as well as brain heart infusion (lot no.0270845) and brain heart infusion agar (lot no.0298038), from Bacto\textsuperscript{TM}, USA, were used as media for the antimicrobial tests. Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) pH 6.8 was used to simulate gingival crevicular fluid\textsuperscript{21}. The antimicrobial susceptibility test disc, ampicillin 10 μg/disc (Becton Dickinson & Company, USA), was used as the positive control for the antibacterial tests. Moreover, HCT116 colorectal carcinoma cell line from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), USA, was used to determine the cytotoxic activity of the resins. The culture medium components, including fetal bovine serum, L-glutamine, DMEM, penicillin and streptomycin, were purchased from Invitrogen, Paisley, UK.

2.2. ISG preparation

The ISG systems were prepared using different concentrations of natural resins (25, 30, 35, 40, 45, and 50% w/w) dissolved in NMP and DMSO, and they were stirred for 24 h at room temperature before evaluation.

2.3. Physical appearance, pH and density

The pH values of the prepared resin ISG systems were determined using a pH meter (Ultra Basic UB-I0, Denver Instrument, Bohemia, New York) (n=3), and their density values were measured using a pycnometer (Densito 30PX, Mettler Toledo (Thailand) Ltd, Portable Lab TM) (triplicate testing; n=3).

2.4. In vitro gel formation

The formation of the gel or solid-like resin matrix was observed by injecting 0.5 ml of resin ISG in different solvents via an 18-gauge needle into transparent glass test tubes containing a 5 ml PBS pH 6.8. The gel formation of the prepared resin ISG systems was photographed at various times (0, 1, 5 and 30 min).

2.5. Viscosity and rheological behaviour studies

The apparent viscosity and stress rate/shear stress curves of the resin ISG systems were measured using a Brookfield DV-III Ultra programmable rheometer (Brookfield Engineering Laboratories Inc, Middleboro, MA, USA) in triplicate. The flow parameters were determined using an exponential equation in which N is the exponential constant (Farrow’s constant) and η’ is the viscosity coefficient, as method previously reported by\textsuperscript{22}. 
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2.6. Injectability test

The injectability test was determined using a texture analyser in compression mode (TA.XT plus, Stable Micro Systems, UK) to gauge the ease of administering the prepared ISG via injection by needle, as previously described by23. The resin ISG was inserted into a 1 ml syringe with a 27-gauge needle that was clamped to a stainless stand. Then, the upper probe of the texture analyser was moved downwards at a constant speed (1.0 mm s⁻¹) while applying a constant force of 0.1 N to the base, and this force at a distance of 10 mm was used for the analysis. The expulsive force was determined based on the area under the resulting curve, with a lower force required for injection signifying good injectability. This measurement was performed in triplicate for each system.

2.7. Surface tension study

The surface tension of the prepared resin ISG systems was determined using the drop-shape analysis method and a goniometer (FTA 1000, First Ten Angstroms, USA) (pump-out rate 2.58 ul/s) (n=6).

2.8. Rate of matrix formation

The resin matrix was determined due to the phase separation of the aqueous insoluble resin during solvent exchange. The water diffusion rate into the prepared systems was determined by measuring the distance of the change from a sol into a turbid gel or matrix phase in an agarose gel (0.6% agarose dispersed in PBS pH 6.8). This test was conducted by adding 150 µl resin ISG systems into a 6 mm diameter agarose well and taking a photograph with a stereo microscope (Motic SMZ-171 Series). The water diffusion distance was measured in triplicate based on the visible change in the system from transparent to opaque as the water diffused into the system, recording observations every 5 min for 30 min, and the diffusion rate was calculated.

2.9. Antimicrobial activity studies

Agar-cup diffusion method was employed to test the antimicrobial activity of the prepared ISG systems against standard microbes (Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli and Candida albicans), other strains of Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus ATCC 25923, S. aureus DMST 6903 and S. aureus ATCC43300) and Porphyromonas gingivalis ATCC 33277. For P. gingivalis, the antibacterial test was conducted using an anaerobic incubator (Forma Anaerobic System, Thermo Scientific, Ohio, USA), as previously described2. The turbidity of the microbes was adjusted with 0.5 McFarland standard. Then, the obtained inoculate was thoroughly plated on agar. Afterwards, 100 µL of ISG was filled into a stainless cup, which was placed on the surface of this inoculated agar plate. The prepared plates were then incubated at 35°C for 24-48 h. An inhibition zone margin of some millimetres was detected with the unaided eye. All tests were performed in triplicate.

2.10. Determination of cytotoxic activity

Cell line HCT116 was cultured in DMEM 4.5 g/l glucose supplemented with 1% glutamine, 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, 5 µg/ml streptomycin and 150 U/ml penicillin and was incubated in a humidified incubator at 37°C, 5% CO₂. For MTT assay using colorectal HCT 116 cell line, three independent passages of HCT116 were seeded at a density of 10,000 cells/well in 100 µl medium in a 96-well plate. Twenty-four hours after seeding, the culture medium was changed to a 100 µl medium containing 0 to 800 µg/ml resin prepared from 35% w/w three resins in DMSO with serial dilution. The medium was employed as a control group. After 72 h incubation, the viability of each well was determined by adding 10 µl MTT and further incubating at 37°C for 3 h. After the incubation, the medium was gently removed, and 100 µl of DMSO was mixed into each well, and the absorbance was measured by a microplate reader at 550 nm. The toxic concentrations of solvents were determined and compared (repetition testing with a sample size of n=9). To investigate the cell viability of the compounds released from the resin, 1 g of ISG was inserted into a dialysis tube (Spectra/Por, molecular weight cutoff: 6,000–8,000) and immersed into 100 ml of PBS pH 6.8 at 37°C at a rotational speed of 50 rpm. Then, 10 ml aliquots from the release medium were withdrawn at different time intervals and replaced with 10 ml of fresh medium. The obtained release medium was tested for cell viability using the method described above.

2.11. Statistical analysis

The statistical significance of the obtained data was determined using one-way analysis of variance, followed by a least-significant-difference post-hoc test. The significance level was set at P<0.05, and the analysis was performed using SPSS software for Windows (version 11.5).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Physical appearance, pH and density

The resin solutions in NMP and DMSO were yellowish, and their pH and density data are presented in Figure 1. The pH values decreased with an increasing concentration of resins in both solvents owing to the
presence of an acidic group, such as carboxylic acid, in the components of benzoin and propolis. The pH values of all the ISG systems ranged between 5.386 and 7.197. The pH test results were close to neutral, which indicates that the formulations were compatible for application to body fluids or human tissues. The density of the prepared systems slightly increased when the resin amount was increased in the solvents. The density of a substance is the ratio of its mass to its volume, which is related to its apparent viscosity. Aloe ISG systems showed a higher density than the benzoin and propolis ISG systems. The densities of the resins in DMSO were slightly higher than those in NMP due to the lower density of NMP.

3.2. In vitro gel formation

Figure 2 shows the matrix formations of the benzoin and propolis ISG systems. The ISGs became more matrix-like mass as the concentration of resin increased from 25-50%. However, the 25%-35% benzoin and 25% of propolis in NMP did not form into a gel after injection into PBS. The use of lesser amounts of resins in organic solvents did not result in a sudden matrix formation from phase separation. In contrast, increasing the resin ratio resulted in a rapid transformation into a matrix. The transformation characteristics that depend on the polymer concentration in polymer-based ISGs have been previously described. Moreover, rapid gel formation was evident for the resin ISG systems using DMSO as the solvent. The solvent polarity might affect the gelling properties of a polymer in buffer solutions, and the polarity of DMSO is higher than that of NMP. Therefore, DMSO could rapidly diffuse into water and influence a solvent exchange within one minute. Aloe showed an insufficient matrix formation (data not shown) because of its low content of aqueous insoluble resin. Only 16% aloin and Cape aloe occurred as the main resins in aloe from plant extract, whereas 50% resin in propolis and 90% benzoin resin have been reported in the stems of Styrax officinalis.
3.3. Viscosity and rheological behaviours

Table 1 presents the viscosities and flow indexes of the resin ISG systems in NMP and DMSO, in which a high resin concentration promoted a more viscous environment for which their viscosities also significantly increased, especially at 50% w/w (P<0.05). Viscosity is an internal property of a fluid affecting many ISG properties based on the solvent exchange mechanism. The viscous nature of semisolid delivery systems typically retards the diffusion rate as well as the penetration of the drug molecule and solvent. A suitable solvent must enhance the solvent-substance interactions required for promoting a high amount of drug dissolution. Benzoin and propolis dissolved in DMSO featured higher viscosities compared to when in NMP due to the DMSO higher viscosity. However, the viscosity of aloe in NMP was higher than that of aloe in DMSO. The resin in aloe dissolved not quite completely in NMP, thus increasing the viscosity of aloe in NMP. The viscosities of 25%-50% w/w benzoin in NMP and DMSO were 6.61-171.46 cP and 19.77-137.03 cP as compared to propolis, 11.91-155.60 cP and 80.96-577.90 cP, respectively. The resin concentrations less than 40% ISG systems could be easily administered via injection because their viscosities were lower than the viscosity threshold for the injection of 300 cP. Typically, a higher viscosity in concentrated solutions requires a higher injectable force. The resins with appropriate concentrations of 35% and 40% w/w were selected for further investigation. The flows of ISGs comprising benzoin and aloe were Newtonian because their exponent constant was close to 1. Newtonian fluids with shear stress that is independent of the shear rate have an n value close to 1. In contrast, the propolis ISG system had an n value less than 1, which indicates shear thinning or pseudoplastic behaviour. The flow behaviour must be either Newtonian or pseudoplastic for ease of injection.

3.4. Injectable and surface tension

When developing ISG systems, injectability into the target site is a crucial consideration. Normally, injectability involves the pressure or force required for injection, the flow smoothness and the absence of any blockage from the syringe needle. Typically, a linear relationship exists between the force required for injection and the viscosity of the formulation being injected. If the viscosity value is very high, there is difficulty with injection. The aloe ISGs and propolis in DMSO ISGs were not tested for injectability owing their too high viscosity and inability to extrude through the needle. The 35%-40% w/w propolis and benzoin were
selected for this investigation because of their appropriate viscosity with rather high resin concentration for enough matrix formation. The forces required to inject benzoin dissolved in NMP and DMSO were similar (Table 2). All formulations had an acceptable injection force lower than 50 N. The surface tensions of the benzoin and propolis ISG systems were lower than those of their solvents because the dissolved resins reduced the cohesive forces, such as the hydrogen bonding of solvent molecules (Table 2). The low surface tension values of these ISG systems indicated that they could spread onto a contact surface. The surface tension of propolis in DMSO was not determined because of the high viscosity.

3.5. Matrix formation rate

The resin matrix formation of the prepared ISG systems occurred due to the water influx and solvent efflux. This solvent exchange occurred when the PBS medium in agarose diffused into the ISG and the solvent in the ISG diffused into agarose-containing PBS. Immediately, the precipitated resin appeared as an opaque ring of resin matrix from the outer rim expanding into the inner well. The diffusion rate of environmental aqueous of agarose gel inducing resin matrix formation was higher in the case of 35% and 40% w/w benzoin ISGs in DMSO than that of in NMP (Figure 3A) due to the strong water miscibility of DMSO. Their matrix formation distances are presented in Figure 3B. DMSO diffuses rapidly through aqueous environments when in contact with the aqueous environment. The resin in NMP took a longer time to become opaque during matrix formation than that in DMSO because NMP is less water-miscible. The solubility parameters of DMSO and NMP have been reported to be 26.7 (J/cm3)\(^{1/2}\) and 23.2 (J/cm3)\(^{1/2}\), respectively. Solvents with high water affinities increase the phase separation rate. The matrix formation rate significantly decreased for all systems at 30 min. A high formation rate was observed within 5 min in the highest-concentrated-resin ISG system in DMSO, and it decreased slightly with time due to the dense resin matrix network and phase-transition equilibrium.

3.6. Antimicrobial activities

The 35% w/w benzoin, propolis and aloe ISG systems using DMSO as a solvent were tested for their antimicrobial activities against different microbes. The inhibition zone diameters of the control group (DMSO) against S. aureus, E. coli and C. albicans were 10.5±1.3, 12.5±0.4 and 17.8±0.8 mm, respectively, and (Table 3). However, the control group. The high solubility of DMSO enables it to penetrate cell membranes by reducing the cell division rate in bacterial cells. In contrast, the resin ISG systems did not inhibit E. coli because the cell wall of Gram-negative bacteria is more complex and has a higher lipid concentration to retard drug penetration. The inhibitory effect of benzoin against C. albicans was significantly higher than that of propolis (P<0.05), whereas they had similar inhibition-clear-zone diameters for the S. aureus strains. The propolis and benzoin ISG systems showed antimicrobial activities that inhibited the growth of three Staphylococcus aureus strains (S. aureus ATCC25923, S. aureus ATCC43300 and S. aureus DMST 6903), but only the propolis ISG inhibited P. gingivalis (the major Gram-negative anaerobic bacteria of chronic periodontitis). The aloe ISG had a slight antimicrobial effect only on S. aureus. The isolated compounds, namely aloe-emodin and aloin from aloe excelsa leaves, demonstrated antibacterial activity against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial strains, including S. aureus. Benzoin resin containing an isolated compound such as an essential oil can reportedly inhibit Gram-positive S. aureus and Gram-negative E. coli. The presence of considerable numbers of the flavonoids and esters of phenolic acids in propolis are responsible for its antibacterial activities. Dentine-containing propolis has been reported to reduce contamination by the standard S. mutans strain on toothbrush bristles. Inhibition against C. albicans and S. aureus by propolis has also been reported. Furthermore, owing to its antimicrobial activity, propolis with metronidazole microparticles based on methylcellulose has been reported to enhance antimicrobial synergism for periodontal treatment, compared with metronidazole. From the above results, the antimicrobial activities of benzoin and propolis ISG systems are attractive for use in the delivery of antimicrobial agents, particularly for the treatment of periodontitis.

3.7. Cytotoxicity assay

In the MTT assay, propolis and benzoin ISG systems in DMSO showed toxicity to HTC116 colon cancer cell (Figure 4). The aloe exhibited no toxic effect on this cancer cell line. Some researchers have reported that extract compounds from dried exudates of aloe had no significant cytotoxicity on HepG2 cells. However, propolis showed a higher toxicity (IC\(_{50}\)=95 μg/ml) than benzoin (IC\(_{50}\) 400 μg/ml). Propolis extracts from the stingless bee Trigona pagdeni showed toxicity against four human cancer cell lines (KB, HepG2, Caco-2 and SK-MEL-28) with IC\(_{50}\) values ranging from 33.38 μg/ml to 80.81 μg/ml, owing to the presence of the active compound of mangostine. Dichloromethane extracts of propolis from the Trigona sirindhornae bee have demonstrated cytotoxic activities to head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cell lines. These results reveal that propolis and benzoin ISG systems exhibited anticancer activity. Owing to the interesting decreased
cell viability of benzoin, the MTT test for the release medium from benzoin ISG was conducted at different time points after the release test; the percentage cell viability decreased from 21.3% (after 1 h release) to 11.7% (after 72 h release) (Figure 5). Thus, this cytotoxicity might be due to the compound liberated from benzoin ISG which could inhibit HCT116. The isolated triterpenoids compounds in benzoin resin have also been reported to show toxicity against HL-60 cell growth, with IC_{50} values ranging from 4.03 µg/ml to 48.37 µg/ml\(^49\).

4. CONCLUSION

In this study, natural-resin ISG systems were prepared by dissolving benzoin, aloe and propolis in NMP and DMSO. Propolis and benzoin in DMSO transformed into a matrix in PBS more rapidly than those

Table 1. Viscosity and flow index of aloe, benzoin and propolis ISG systems in NMP and DMSO solvents.

| Resin   | Conc. (%) | Viscosity (cP) | Flow index (n) |
|---------|-----------|----------------|----------------|
|         | in NMP    | in DMSO        |                |
|         |           |                | in NMP         | in DMSO       |
| Aloe    | 25        | 15.88 ± 0.00   | 8.48 ± 0.43    | 0.74 ± 0.01   | 1.28 ± 0.08 |
|         | 30        | 28.84 ± 0.70   | 21.56 ± 0.61   | 0.98 ± 0.05   | 0.95 ± 0.08 |
|         | 35        | 59.26 ± 0.46   | 38.62 ± 0.46   | 0.97 ± 0.00   | 1.02 ± 0.02 |
|         | 40        | 135.96 ± 0.46  | 73.56 ± 0.46   | 0.91 ± 0.01   | 1.01 ± 0.01 |
|         | 45        | 336.83 ± 1.17  | 160.03 ± 0.46  | 0.96 ± 0.03   | 0.79 ± 0.19 |
|         | 50        | 765.46 ± 1.22* | 366.17 ± 0.46^c| 0.98 ± 0.00   | 0.99 ± 0.01 |
| Benzoin | 25        | 6.61 ± 0.46    | 19.77 ± 0.46   | 1.55 ± 0.05   | 0.86 ± 0.03 |
|         | 30        | 13.76 ± 0.46   | 27.78 ± 0.00   | 1.13 ± 0.02   | 0.96 ± 0.01 |
|         | 35        | 24.78 ± 0.46   | 31.62 ± 0.40   | 0.96 ± 0.04   | 0.16 ± 0.05 |
|         | 40        | 40.48 ± 0.00   | 62.71 ± 0.80   | 1.10 ± 0.03   | 0.93 ± 0.04 |
|         | 45        | 81.22 ± 0.46   | 80.96 ± 0.80   | 0.92 ± 0.01   | 1.04 ± 0.03 |
|         | 50        | 171.46 ± 0.75^c| 137.03 ± 0.46^c| 0.94 ± 0.01   | 1.13 ± 0.00 |
| Propolis| 25        | 11.91 ± 0.00   | 80.96 ± 1.59   | 0.36 ± 0.10   | 0.58 ± 0.02 |
|         | 30        | 22.76 ± 0.46   | 121.93 ± 0.92  | 0.35 ± 0.02   | 0.55 ± 0.03 |
|         | 35        | 40.22 ± 0.46   | 173.53 ± 0.49  | 0.46 ± 0.05   | 0.51 ± 0.10 |
|         | 40        | 57.68 ± 1.21   | 234.20 ± 0.80  | 0.60 ± 0.03   | 0.43 ± 0.04 |
|         | 45        | 104.80 ± 1.39  | 387.10 ± 2.39  | 0.66 ± 0.08   | 0.39 ± 0.04 |
|         | 50        | 155.60 ± 0.00***| 577.90 ± 0.80^c| 0.90 ± 0.00   | 0.34 ± 0.01 |

The data represent the mean (±S.D.) of three replicates.
The asterisks (*,**,***) and superscript a, b and c represent a significant difference (P<0.05)

Table 2. Insectabilities (n=3) and surface tensions (n=6) of benzoin and propolis ISG systems (n=3). All data represent mean values (±S.D.).

| Formula       | Conc. (%) | Force (N) | Work (N.mm) | Surface tension (mN/m) |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------------------|
| Benzoin in NMP| 35        | 0.786 ± 0.069 | 1.462 ± 0.098 | 40.98 ± 0.23 |
|               | 40        | 1.027 ± 0.084 | 2.808 ± 0.367 | 41.81 ± 0.22 |
| Benzoin in DMSO| 35      | 0.723 ± 0.076 | 1.786 ± 0.445 | 40.26 ± 0.08 |
|               | 40        | 1.120 ± 0.165 | 3.297 ± 0.622 | 39.75 ± 0.10 |
| Propolis in NMP| 35      | 0.963 ± 0.118 | 1.900 ± 0.529 | 35.95 ± 0.50 |
|               | 40        | 4.330 ± 0.290 | 37.624 ± 1.927 | 34.95 ± 0.25 |

Table 3. Antimicrobial activities of aloe, propolis and benzoin ISG systems against different microbes (n = 3).

| Formula       | Clear zone diameter (mm.) (mean ± S.D.) |
|---------------|----------------------------------------|
|               | S. aureus | E. coli | C. albicans | P. gingivalis | S. aureus ATCC 25923 | S. aureus ATCC 43300 | S. aureus DMST 6903 |
| Aloe          | 7.50 ± 0.40 | -       | -          | -            | -                     | -                     | -                     |
| Propolis      | 11.50 ± 0.90 | -       | 13.70 ± 1.20 | 25.67 ± 0.57 | 12.00 ± 0.00 | 8.00 ± 0.00 | 8.00 ± 0.00 |
| Benzoin       | 11.00 ± 0.06 | -       | 21.00 ± 1.70 | -            | 12.00 ± 0.00 | 10.00 ± 0.00 | 8.00 ± 0.00 |
| DMSO          | 10.50 ± 1.30 | 12.50 ± 0.50 | 17.80 ± 0.80 | -            | -                  | -                     | -                     |
Figure 3. Matrix formation rate (A) and matrix formation distance (B) of benzoin in NMP and DMSO at different time intervals (n=3).

Figure 4. Relative viability (%) with concentrations of aloe, benzoin and propolis on HCT 116 cell line.

Figure 5. Relative viability (%) with released samples from 40%w/w benzoin at different time intervals (n=3).
prepared with NMP, whereas aloes in these solvents did not transform into a resin matrix in PBS. The 35-40% w/w concentration of benzoin and propolis systems presented suitable properties with their low viscosities, good injectabilities and ability to form gels. The 35% propolis and benzoin in DMSO demonstrated antimicrobial activity and cytotoxicity against colon cancer cell. The in vitro gel formation and matrix formation rates indicate that propolis and benzoin have good potential as matrix formers, which can be incorporated with active compounds such as anticancer drugs or antimicrobial drugs, and the formed product may provide a treatment effect complementary to that of the main drugs.
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