Association between extranodal natural killer/T-cell lymphoma and hepatitis B viral infection: a case-control study
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Abstract

Extranodal natural killer/T-cell lymphoma, nasal type (ENKTL) is a rare subtype of lymphoma that is often associated with poor clinical prognosis. Several studies have shown that hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection may be associated with increased risk of B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma; however, because of the rarity of ENKTL, little is known about its association with HBV. Our study aimed to assess whether HBV infection was associated with increased odds of ENKTL. We conducted a hospital-based case-control study including 417 ENKTL cases and 488 age- and sex-matched subjects with nonmalignant diseases unrelated to HBV infection. Multivariable unconditional logistic regression analyses were performed to estimate adjusted odds ratios [AOR] and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI). The results of the multivariable analysis showed that after adjustment for a set of known risk factors, patients previously infected with HBV (HBsAg-seronegative/anti-HBc-seropositive) and naturally immune to HBV (anti-HBs-seropositive/anti-HBc-seropositive) were at greater odds of being diagnosed with ENKTL (AOR, 1.497; 95% CI 1.098-2.042, P=0.033 and AOR, 1.871; 95% CI 1.302-2.689, P=0.001, respectively). After adjusting for other factors, significantly greater odds of being diagnosed with ENKTL were observed among cases who reported ever drinking alcohol (AOR, 1.675; 95% CI 1.054-2.660, P=0.029). The odds of ENKTL diagnosis were not significantly associated with ABO blood type, cigarette smoking status or family history of cancer. The results of our study suggest that patients previously infected with HBV and naturally immune to HBV were at greater odds of being diagnosed with ENKTL.
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Introduction

Extranodal natural killer/T-cell lymphoma, nasal type (ENKTL) is a unique subtype of lymphoma that is often associated with poor clinical prognosis.[1] ENKTL is relatively prevalent in East Asia, Mexico and South America, accounting for between 5% and 15% of all lymphomas identified in these countries.[2, 3]
3] but quite rare in Caucasian populations, accounting for only 0.5% of the non-Hodgkin lymphomas (NHL) identified in the United States.[4, 5] The results of population-based studies have supported the notion that both the genetic and environmental risk factors play a role in the incidence of ENKTL.[6] By performing comparative genomic hybridization, researchers have discovered that deletions on chromosome 6q21 may lead to downregulation of tumor suppressor genes in ENKTL malignancies.[7, 8] Somatic mutations of JAK3,[9] TP53,[10, 11] and DDX3X[12] were detected in NKTL via genome sequencing in previous studies, thereby implicating several specific pathways (JAK-STAT, NF-κB, and MAPK) in tumor pathogenesis. Besides, Hiroyuki et al. reported HLA-class I phenotype is associated with the EBV-lymphomagenesis, because HLA-A alleles but HLA-A*0201 is in relation with escape of immune surveillance by host cytotoxic lymphocyte to latent membrane proteins (LMPs) of EBV.[13] Some environmental factors have been reported played causative role in ENKTL. Previous epidemiological studies showed an increase in the number of individuals exposed to pesticides.[14, 15] ENKTL has been found to have a strong association with Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), and the EBV-encoded early small RNAs (EBERs) have been concurrently detected in ENKTL tumor cells.[16, 17] Additionally, recent studies have indicated that the presence of a high EBV-DNA load in plasma was positively associated with clinical stage and negatively associated with survival.[18, 19] However, discussions regarding the roles of other viral infections in the progression of ENKTL have been limited.

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) is a hepatotropic virus that can also infect and replicate in lymphoid cells.[20] HBV infection is highly prevalent in the Asia-Pacific region, especially in China.[21] According to recently published data, HBsAg-positive subjects are accounted for 7.2% in the general population.[22] A large number of studies have suggested that chronic HBV infection may play a role in the etiology of tumors, such as hepatocellular carcinoma, cholangiocarcinoma and B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL).[23-27] An anti-HBs immune response can be induced by vaccination [anti-HBs(+)/anti-HBc(-)] or by a natural response to HBV exposure [anti-HBs(+)/anti-HBc(+)]. One study found anti-HBs serum positivity to be inversely associated with B-cell NHL.[25] Some previous studies showed that ENKTL patients with HBsAg-positive status was associated with inferior OS and PFS[28] and might increase the risk of acute pancreatitis during the treatment[29], however, no case-control study had addressed the association between ENKTL and HBV infection or HBV-induced immune responses, as the performance of such studies has been limited by the low incidence of ENKTL. Furthermore, Ye and colleagues recently published a case-control study in which a significant association between HBV infection and nasopharyngeal carcinoma was identified, which, similar to ENKTL, is an EBV-related malignancy.[30] This finding indicated that interactions between HBV and EBV may affect tumorigenesis.

To this end, we hypothesized that HBV infection might be associated with increased odds of ENKTL in southern China. We conducted a hospital-based case-control study to test this hypothesis.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study population**

The present study was a longitudinal cohort study of 417 patients with newly diagnosed ENKTL at the Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center in Guangdong, China from 2004 January to 2015 December. All patients included in the study had a diagnosis of ENKTL that has been histologically confirmed according to the 2008 World Health Organization (WHO) criteria. All the patients meet the typical features included positive in the detection of CD3, CD56, and cytotoxic molecules (such as TIA-1, perforin, and granzyme B) by immunohistochemical, and EBERs by in situ hybridization in NK/T cell. The control group consisted of patients who had sought care for nonmalignant diseases at the same hospital. Patients with nonmalignant diseases were identified based on the assignment of discharge diagnoses believed to be irrelevant to HBV infection, including breast fibroadenomas, benign adrenal tumors, gallbladder polyps, hepatic cysts, renal cysts, polycystic kidney disease, benign neoplasms of the thyroid gland, and uterine fibroids. A total of 488 age- and sex-matched eligible benign tumor controls were selected at random. All cases in ENKTL and control groups were free of infection of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), had no immunodeficiency diseases or usage of any immunosuppressive agents, and never received blood transfusion.

This case-control study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and the guidelines of the Institutional Review Board of our center.

**Data collection**

Clinical data for all ENKTL patients and control cases were gathered from medical records, including sex, age, smoking status (ever or never), ABO blood
type, alcohol drinking (ever or never), family history of other cancer and year of diagnosis.

**Laboratory test for HBV infection**

A 3-mL blood sample was routinely collected from both case and control subjects prior to the provision of any treatment, and samples were submitted to the clinical laboratories of our hospital to test for HAV, HBV, HCV, HDV, HEV, and HIV infection. Plasmas levels of hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), antibodies to HBsAg (anti-HBs), hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg), antibodies to HBeAg (anti-HBe), and hepatitis B core antibody (anti-HBc) were measured using an ELISA kit (Kehua Bio-Engineering, Shanghai, China). Assay quality control (QC) was performed according to the protocols provided by the manufacturer. In addition, we performed daily routine external quality assessments of HBV serology testing using pooled serum provided by the Ministry of Health of the PR China.

The results of the aforementioned HBV assays were used to classify patients into the following groups: HBsAg seronegative and anti-HBc seropositive patients were classified as never infected with HBV; HBsAg seropositive and anti-HBc seropositive patients were classified as chronic carriers; and anti-HBc seropositive but HBsAg seronegative patients were classified as previously infected with HBV (resolved hepatitis B).[30, 31]

Patients were categorized into HBV immune response groups using the anti-HBs and anti-HBc results. Patients were considered to be naturally immune to HBV if they were both anti-HBs and anti-HBc seropositive. Patients were considered to lack an immune response to HBV when they were both anti-HBs seronegative and anti-HBc seropositive. Patients who were anti-HBs seropositive and anti-HBc seronegative were considered to have become HBV immune following vaccination.[32]

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS statistical package version 24.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Statistical significance was defined as a P-value less than 0.05 by two-tailed test. Pearson’s χ2 tests and Student’s t-tests were used to compare the baseline parameters between cases and controls. Unconditional logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the associations between ABO blood type, HBV infection status, smoking status (ever or never), alcohol drinking status (ever or never), and family history of other cancers with ENKTL status. Multivariable unconditional logistic regression analyses were performed to assess the odds ratios [ORs], adjusted odds ratios [AORs] and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals [CIs] for HBV infection status after adjustment for age, sex, ABO blood type, cigarette and alcohol consumption and family history of cancer.

**Results**

**Characteristics of ENKTL patients and cancer-free controls**

A total of 417 ENKTL patients and 488 cancer-free controls were included in this case-control study. The demographic characteristics of included subjects, including gender and age, are described in Table 1. Of the 417 ENKTL patients, 67.4% were male and 32.6% were female. As expected, the sex distribution of controls was comparable to that of ENKTL patients (P=0.481). The mean age (±SD) of the ENKTL patients was 42.25 (±14.68) years for ENKTL cases, and the mean age (±SD) of the controls was 42.78 (±14.76) years. The age distributions of the ENKTL patients and controls did not differ significantly (P=0.756).

| Table 1. Baseline characteristics of study populations | Cases (N=417) | Controls (N=488) | p     |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|
| Sex                                                  |              |                 | 0.481 |
| Male                                                 | 281          | 318             | 0.674 |
| Female                                               | 136          | 170             | 0.348 |
| Age, y                                               | 14           | 19              | 0.756 |
| <18                                                  | 14           | 19              | 0.341 |
| 18-39                                                | 169          | 182             | 0.373 |
| 40-59                                                | 176          | 212             | 0.434 |
| >60                                                  | 58           | 75              | 0.154 |

**HBV infection status and the odds of ENKTL diagnosis**

Detailed HBV serology data are included in Table 2. In the unadjusted model, HBcAb seropositive patients were at 1.34 times greater odds of being diagnosed with ENKTL than their HBcAb seronegative counterparts (95% CI 1.041-1.786, P=0.024). In the multivariable model, anti-HBc(+) patients were also more likely to be diagnosed with ENKTL (AOR, 1.352; 95% CI 1.025-1.782, P=0.0033) than anti-HBc(-) patients after adjusting for other factors. However, the odds of ENKTL diagnosis were not associated with HBsAg, anti-HBs, HBeAg and anti-HBe status.

The results of the unconditional logistic regression analysis revealed that patients who had been previously exposed to HBV were at significantly greater odds of being diagnosed with ENKTL (AOR, 1.497; 95% CI 1.098-2.042, P=0.033). Conversely, no association between chronic HBV carrier status and
the odds of ENKTL diagnosis was identified (AOR, 1.092; 95% CI 0.708-1.683, P=0.690).

We then compared the correlation of HBV infection status and clinical characteristics of patients with ENKTL. As shown in table 3, HBV infection status was found to be in significant correlation with Ann Arbor stage (P = 0.044), ALT elevation (P = 0.024), AST elevation (P = 0.004).

**Immune response to HBV and the odds of ENKTL diagnosis**

In the model comparing ENKTL patients with cancer-free controls, we found that individuals who were naturally immune to HBV were significantly more likely diagnosed ENKTL (AOR, 1.871; 95% CI 1.302-2.689, P=0.001). However, a lack of immune response to HBV was not associated with increased ENKTL odds (AOR, 1.193; 95% CI 0.803-1.773, P=0.383). In the analysis of the effect of vaccination-associated immunity, we found that individuals who were not been immunized by vaccination were more likely to be diagnosed with ENKTL (AOR, 1.503; 95% CI 1.139-1.985, P=0.004).

**Table 2. The associations of HBV infection with the risk of NK/T cell lymphoma.**

| Variable | Cases (N=417) | Controls (N=488) | Univariable | Multivariable |
|----------|---------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|
|          | No. |
| HBV infection status |   | No. | % | No. | % | OR | 95% CI | p | AOR | 95% CI | p |
| HBsAg(+) | 617 | 78.65 | 432 | 66.57 | 1.051 | 0.7001-1.557 | 0.810 | 1.004 | 0.6621-1.525 | 0.984 |
| HBsAg+ | 50 | 11.99 | 56 | 11.5 | 1.352 | 0.9931-1.779 | 0.056 | 1.343 | 0.9081-1.809 | 0.525 |
| HBV infection status |   | No. | % | No. | % | OR | 95% CI | p | AOR | 95% CI | p |
| HBsAg(-)/anti-HBe(-) | 241 | 80.31 | 320 | 65.67 | 1.041 | 0.841-1.464 | 0.224 | 1.352 | 1.025-1.782 | 0.033 |
| HBsAg(-)/anti-HBe(+) | 48 | 11.6 | 56 | 11.5 | 1.138 | 0.7481-1.733 | 0.546 | 1.092 | 0.7081-1.683 | 0.690 |
| HBsAg(-)/anti-HBe(+) | 126 | 30.4 | 112 | 23.0 | 1.494 | 1.102-2.026 | 0.010 | 1.497 | 1.098-2.042 | 0.011 |
| Immune response for HBV |   | No. | % | No. | % | OR | 95% CI | p | AOR | 95% CI | p |
| anti-HBs(+)/anti-HBc(-) | 147 | 35.3 | 216 | 44.3 | 1.041 | 0.841-1.464 | 0.224 | 1.352 | 1.025-1.782 | 0.033 |
| anti-HBs(+)/anti-HBc(+) | 104 | 24.9 | 83 | 17.4 | 1.138 | 0.7481-1.733 | 0.546 | 1.092 | 0.7081-1.683 | 0.690 |
| anti-HBs(-)/anti-HBc(-) | 70 | 16.8 | 82 | 16.8 | 1.254 | 0.8561-1.837 | 0.244 | 1.213 | 0.815-1.804 | 0.341 |
| anti-HBs(-)/anti-HBc(+) | 96 | 23.0 | 105 | 21.5 | 1.343 | 0.949-1.901 | 0.096 | 1.436 | 1.006-2.050 | 0.047 |

The AOR was adjusted by sex, age (as a continuous variable), ABO blood type, smoking status, alcohol drinking and a family history of cancers.
Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; AOR, adjusted odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; anti-HBs, hepatitis B surface antibody; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; anti-HBe, hepatitis B e antibody; anti-HBc, hepatitis B core antibody.
† Never infected with HBV; ‡ Chronic carrier of HBV; § Previously infected with HBV (resolved hepatitis B); ¶ Immune via vaccine; # Naturally immune to HBV infection; & Lack of immune response to HBV infection.

**Table 3. Correlation of HBV infection status and clinical characteristics of patients with ENKTL (n=407).**

| Variable | HBsAg(-)/anti-HBc(-) | HBsAg(+)/anti-HBc(+) | HBsAg(-)/anti-HBc(+) | p |
|----------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---|
| Age >60 | 27(50.0%) | 5(9.3%) | 22(40.7%) | 0.21 |
| Age ≤60 | 209(59.2%) | 42(11.9%) | 102(28.9%) | 0.33 |
| Gender | male | 152(55.7%) | 35(12.8%) | 86(31.5%) | 0.87 |
| Female | 84(42.7%) | 129(0.0%) | 38(28.4%) | 0.67 |
| ECOG score | 0-1 | 226(57.2%) | 45(11.4%) | 124(31.4) | 0.067 |
| ≥2 | 108(33.3%) | 216(5.7%) | 0(0.0%) | 0.059 |
| B symptoms | yes | 121(57.1) | 28(13.2%) | 63(29.7) | 0.341 |
| no | 115(59.0%) | 19(9.7%) | 61(31.3%) | 0.21 |
| Ann Arbor stage | I- II | 184(55.4%) | 38(11.4%) | 110(33.1%) | 0.044 |
| III-IV | 52(69.3%) | 9(12.0%) | 14(18.7%) | 0.059 |
| ALT elevation | yes | 55(50.9%) | 20(18.5%) | 33(30.6%) | 0.024 |
| no | 181(60.5%) | 27(9.0%) | 91(30.4%) | 0.33 |
| AST elevation | yes | 39(54.9%) | 16(22.5%) | 18(22.5%) | 0.004 |
| no | 197(58.6%) | 31(9.2%) | 108(32.1%) | 0.33 |
| LDH elevation | yes | 76(56.7%) | 19(14.2%) | 36(24.1%) | 0.503 |
| no | 160(58.6%) | 28(10.3%) | 85(31.1%) | 0.33 |
| IPI | 0-1 | 183(56.0%) | 37(11.3%) | 107(32.7) | 0.133 |
| ≥2 | 53(66.3%) | 10(12.5%) | 17(21.3%) | 0.059 |
Environmental and hereditary factors and the odds of ENKTL diagnosis

We then analyzed the associations between environmental and hereditary factors and the odds of ENKTL diagnosis (Table 4). After adjusting for other factors, cases who reported ever drinking alcohol were at 1.675 times greater odds of ENKTL diagnosis than were cancer-free controls (95% CI 1.054-2.660, \( P=0.029 \)). However, ABO blood type, cigarette smoking and first-degree relatives of other cancers were not significantly associated with ENKTL.

In the multivariable model including age, gender, ABO blood type, HBV infection status, smoking status, alcohol drinking and family history of other cancers, the variable for the interaction between anti-HBc serostatus and alcohol drinking status was found to be significantly associated with the odds of ENKTL diagnosis (Table 5). In the adjusted model, anti-HBc(-) subjects who reported ever drinking and anti-HBc(+) subjects were at 2.013 (95% CI 1.124-3.604, \( P=0.019 \)) and 1.598 (95% CI 1.149-2.222, \( P=0.005 \)) times greater odds of ENKTL diagnosis, respectively, when compared with anti-HBc(-) subjects who reported never drinking. The greatest AOR was observed among subjects who were both anti-HBc(+) and reported ever drinking (2.123, 95% CI 1.121-4.020, \( P=0.021 \)).

**Table 4.** The associations of ABO blood type, cigarette smoking, alcohol drinking and family history of cancer with the risk of NK/T cell lymphoma.

| Variable                           | Cases (N=417) | Controls (N=488) | Univariable | Multivariable |
|------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|
|                                    | No. % OR 95%CI | No. % OR 95%CI   | p AOR* 95%CI | p             |
| ABO blood type                     |               |                  |             |               |
| A                                  | 96 24.6 0.769 | 132 27.0 1.115   | 1.056 1.096 | 1.081 0.772   |
| B                                  | 101 25.4 0.759 | 122 25.0 1.390   | 0.248 1.387 | 0.798 0.257   |
| AB                                 | 32 8.0 0.795 | 31 6.4 1.101     | 0.569 1.081 | 0.772 0.650   |
| O                                  | 166 41.8 0.790 | 203 41.6 1.101   | 0.569 1.081 | 0.772 0.650   |
| Cigarette smoking                  |               |                  |             |               |
| Never                              | 316 75.8 1.071 | 367 75.2 1.134   | 0.841 0.762 | 0.517 0.762   |
| Ever                               | 101 24.2 0.715 | 121 24.8 0.969   | 0.841 0.762 | 0.517 0.762   |
| Alcohol drinking                   |               |                  |             |               |
| Never                              | 352 84.4 1.089 | 433 88.7 1.454   | 0.057 1.675 | 1.054 0.029   |
| Ever                               | 65 15.6 0.989 | 55 11.3 1.454    | 0.057 1.675 | 1.054 0.029   |
| Family history of cancer           |               |                  |             |               |
| No                                 | 383 91.8 1.087 | 453 92.8 1.101   | 0.579 1.194 | 0.719 0.494   |
| Yes                                | 34 8.2 0.703 | 35 7.2 1.149     | 0.579 1.194 | 0.719 0.494   |

The AOR* was adjusted by sex, age (a continuous variable), ABO blood type, HBV infection status, smoking status, alcohol drinking and a family history of cancers. Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; AOR, adjusted odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

**Table 5.** The associations of HBV infection status and alcohol drinking with the risk of NK/T cell lymphoma.

| Variable                           | Cases (N=417) | Controls (N=488) | Univariable | Multivariable |
|------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|
|                                    | No. % OR 95%CI | No. % OR 95%CI   | p AOR* 95%CI | p             |
| anti-HBc(-) and never drinking     | 206 49.4 1.006 | 289 59.2 1.674   | 2.013 1.24-3.604 | 0.019 |
| anti-HBc(-) and ever drinking      | 37 8.9 1.006 | 31 6.4 1.674     | 2.013 1.24-3.604 | 0.019 |
| anti-HBc(+) and never drinking     | 146 35.0 1.063 | 144 29.5 1.422   | 1.149 1.149-2.222 | 0.005 |
| anti-HBc(+) and ever drinking      | 28 6.7 0.922 | 24 4.9 1.637     | 1.121 1.121-4.020 | 0.021 |

The AOR* was adjusted by sex, age (a continuous variable), ABO blood type, HBV infection status, smoking status, alcohol drinking and a family history of cancers. Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; AOR, adjusted odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.
The results of previous case-control studies and meta-analyses have generally supported the presence of an association between HBV and the risk of NHL, especially of the large B cell lymphoma subtype.[26, 27, 32] Similarly, our data showed that anti-HBc status was associated with ENKTL status, implying that past or previous exposure to HBV was a risk factor for ENKTL diagnosis. However, the potential mechanisms underlying the association between HBV infection and NHL risk are unknown. In a cohort study conducted in Taiwan, Fwu and colleagues reported that the association between chronic HBV infection status and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC) was stronger than the association between chronic HBV infection status and NHL. The authors postulated that the mechanism of HBV pathogenesis may be closely associated with active HBV replication in ICC patients but chronic immune stimulation in NHL patients.[33] Consistent with this hypothesis, our data showed that the odds of ENKTL diagnosis were neither associated with HBsAg nor HBeAg seropositivity, both of which serve as markers of viral replication. Therefore we postulated the increase risk of ENKTL was partly due to the indirect role of chronic inflammation induced by HBV. Previous research has shown that HBV infection often induced systemic inflammation, which has been reported to be characterized by increases in the levels of several cytokines.[34] Under inflammatory conditions, proinflammatory mediators are known to stimulate the proliferation of cells and increase the production of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species, thereby resulting in DNA damage and oncogene mutations, such as JAK3 mutation.[9, 35, 36]

Interestingly, in the analysis in which never exposure to HBV was used as the reference group, our results showed that individuals with previous HBV infection were at increased odds of ENKTL diagnosis; however, a similar association was not identified in chronically HBV infected subjects. Previous infection indicates past exposure to and subsequent clearance of HBV, while chronic HBV carriers are persistently HBsAg-seropositive. [37, 38] This result indicates that factors that facilitate HBV clearance may be associated with increased odds of ENKTL development. Recently, Li et al. reported that the rs9277378*A risk, which is located in HLA-DPBI, was associated with increased risk of NKTL, especially among patients who did not have concurrent HBV infection. Previous research has also indicated that HLA-DPBI SNPs were associated with some inflammatory and immune-mediated diseases and that the rs9277378 SNP was in near-complete linkage disequilibrium with the rs9277535 SNP, which has been found to be associated with HBV clearance. Therefore, the authors suspected that persons with higher HBV clearance ability would be at higher risk of NKTCL development.[39] In our study, we confirmed this hypothesis, finding that subjects with high HBV clearance ability were at greater odds of being diagnosed with ENKTL. Thus, we speculate that the SNPs associated with host immunity and inflammation response to HBV may play an important role in the development of ENKTL.

The host immune response also plays an important role in HBV clearance. While anti-HBs status was not statistically associated with the odds of ENKTL diagnosis in our study, individuals with natural immunity to HBV were at increased odds of being diagnosed with ENKTL (AOR, 1.871; 95% 1.302-2.689). However, similar results were not identified among individuals who lacked an immune response to HBV. This finding is inconsistent with previous data regarding the effect of immune responses on B-cell NHL. Marcucci et al. demonstrated that a lack of immune response was positively associated with B-cell NHL.[25] Kleinstern et al. concluded that patients who lacked an immune response were at increased risk of DLBCL.[32] We propose that immunity may play different roles in the induction and promotion of pathogenesis in different lymphomas because of the discrepancies in their associated genetic mutations, including SNPs.

Ye and colleagues reported that anti-HBc seropositivity might be associated with an increased risk of EBV-related nasopharyngeal development among HBV patients. [30] Previous studies have indicated that ENKTL was strongly associated with EBV.[40, 41] Therefore, it is possible that HBV interacts with EBV to induce ENKTL pathogenesis. However, mechanisms potentially underlying the interaction between HBV and EBV are unknown. It is evident that both of HBV and EBV can efficiently infect B lymphoblastic cells.[20, 42, 43] Though Kurth J et al. showed that adults carry 1 to 50 EBV-infected B cells per 10^6 cells, the EBV enter a state of latency to escape the NK or T cell-mediated immune response.[44] B-cells can be activated when an individual is infected with HBV,[45] which may potentially activating latent EBV. Furthermore, activated B-cells that are infected with EBV may shed increased numbers of EBV virions,[30] thereby increasing the probability of infection to NK or T cells, which are attempting to kill an EBV-infected cell target.[46]

The study’s strengths include the inclusion of the large cohort of ENKTL patients to date and the examination of several hepatitis biomarkers, enabling differentiation between the effects of HBV exposure, viral clearance and host immune responses on ENKTL.
status. However, there are some limitations in the present research that should be considered. First, we failed to present socio-economic status (SES) information of the patients which is known to be related to the prevalence of HBV infection in some countries.\[47\] However, such an effect should be minimal, since previous studies from our area suggest no strong association between the virus prevalence and SES.\[48\] Second, due to the rarity of ENKTL, we were unable to conduct a population-based prospective trial to evaluate the role of HBV. Third, because HBV-DNA load data were not available for most patients, we could not precisely measure HBV replication.

In conclusion, we conducted a case-control study to identify the association between HBV and ENKTL in an epidemic area. We identified an association between prior HBV infection and ENKTL status. This finding suggests that HBV clearance and host immune response to HBV may be associated with ENKTL development. Future prospective studies are warranted to confirm these findings, and experimental, epidemiological studies are necessary to reveal the mechanisms underlying this association.
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