Handmade endoloop knotting technique without knot pusher for appendicular stump closure in laparoscopic appendectomy: an experience
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ABSTRACT

Background: The objective of the study was to devise a handmade endoloop knotting technique without the use of knot pusher thereby reducing the overall cost of laparoscopic appendectomy.
Methods: This prospective study was conducted in MMIMSR Ambala from September 2016 to August 2018. All operations were performed by the same surgical team. Mesoappendix was coagulated with bipolar cautery and cut with scissors. Two handmade Meltzer loops were placed on base of appendix and tightened using conventional graspers.
Results: Around 50 patients were included in the study. The time spent for preparing and tying one loop was approximately 20-25 seconds. None of the patients had leakage from the appendicular stump. Only one polyglactin suture was used to make three loops. Knot pusher was not used in any of the patients.
Conclusions: Handmade endoloop used in this prospective study for securing appendicular stump using conventional laparoscopic graspers was found to be equally safe and can be easily mastered by any laparoscopic surgeon, which will reduce his dependency upon preformed endoloops making the procedure cost effective.
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INTRODUCTION

Laparoscopic appendectomy offers advantages of being safer with fewer chances of infection, early recovery and minimal scarring. However, a steep learning curve, difficult hand eye coordination, 2 dimensional vision, and limited freedom of movements makes laparoscopic approach challenging. In addition, intra-abdominal suturing and knot tying are areas of great concern to a laparoscopic surgeon because of lack of dexterity in the beginning of their career. Knots are the weakest link in the process of suturing and if a knot fails to function accurately, results can be disastrous in the form of massive bleeding, faecal peritonitis, septicemia and even death. Only a few surgeons practice optimal knot tying technique, majority, however employ the usual square knots which later become untied. The fear of unreliable intracorporeal appendicular base suturing technique lead to development of novel techniques of tissue approximation including extracorporeal knots using knot pushers, preformed endoloops, bipolar cautery devices, harmonic scalpels and tissue staplers. These novel techniques are easier to use, save operative times and have lesser learning curve issues. But have added to the overall cost of procedure. Moreover when the appendix is significantly inflamed, these ultra-modern devices cannot be relied upon in serving their function. A simple way of lowering costs is preferring a hand-made loop instead of an endoloop or stapler and using conventional laparoscopic instruments to tighten the loop instead of
using knot pushers which are not readily available in every hospital in developing countries.

METHODS

This prospective study was conducted in MMIMSR Ambala from September 2016 to August 2018. All operations were performed by the same surgical team. Each patient was given preoperative antibiotics in the form of inj. cefoperazone 1gm intravenously. Torcars placed were supraumblical 10 mm, suprapubic 5mm and left lower abdomen 5mm. Operating table was tilted 15 degree Trendelenberg position and tilted 15 degree to the left. Mesoappendix was coagulated with bipolar cautery and cut with scissors. Two handmade loops were placed on base of appendix and tightened. We used handmade Meltzer’s knot using 2-0 polyglactin suture. Both the limbs were cut, the long limb kept relatively longer than the short limb for easy identification inside abdomen. The loop was introduced inside abdomen via 5 mm port and looped around the appendix near the base [Figure 1]. The long limb was held with a grasper introduced via suprapubic port while the knot was pushed gently by a Maryland grasper introduced via left lateral port, with the convex side of Maryland grasper facing the knot [Figure 2, 3]. Second loop was placed in a similar manner 2-3 mm distal to first one. A third loop was similarly placed 5 mm away from the second and the appendix cut with scissors in between the 2nd and 3rd loop. The specimen was retrieved in a finger glove endobag via suprapubic port. Thorough lavage was given using 500 ml – 1 litres of normal saline and a Nasogastric tube drain kept in pelvis via suprapubic port.

RESULTS

Around 50 patients were included in the study. 30 (60%) of them were males and 20 (40%) were females. Average age was 30 years, ranging from 18 to 60 years (Table 1). There was no conversion to open procedure. Mean duration of operating time was 40 mins (30-60 mins). The time spent for preparing and tying one loop was approximately 20-25 seconds. During intra-operatively no any complication found like, bleeding, etc. The period of stay in hospital was 1.5 (1-2) days. Incision site infection was observed at the supraumbilical trocar site and at the left lower quadrant site in one patient (1.9%) developed during the post-operative period. Two patients had small pelvic collections (3.7%) which were managed conservatively with regular wound care and antibiotics. None of the patients had leakage from the appendicular stump. Only one polyglactin suture was used to make three loops. Knot pusher was not used in any of the patients for tightening of appendicular stump.

| Age  | Male | Percentage (%) | Female | Percentage (%) |
|------|------|----------------|--------|----------------|
| 18-30| 15   | 30             | 10     | 20             |
| 31-40| 12   | 24             | 8      | 16             |
| 41-50| 2    | 4              | 2      | 4              |
| 51-60| 1    | 2              | 0      | 0.00           |
| Total| 30   | 60             | 20     | 40             |

Table 1: Distribution pattern according to age and sex.

Figure 1: Handmade loop inserted inside abdomen.

Figure 2: Loop being tightened with conventional grasper and Maryland’s.
The small number of the study population forms the main limitation of this study. The lack of control cases operated on with open appendectomy may also be regarded as a limitation; however, significant cost advantage makes the handmade endoloop tightened with conventional instruments the preferred operative method.

CONCLUSION

Securing the appendicular stump with handmade endoloop using conventional laparoscopic graspers is safe, cost effective, and easy to master.
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