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Garment Waste Recycled Cotton/Polyester Thermal and Acoustic Properties of Air-Laid Nonwovens
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This research paper reports a study on thermal and sound insulation samples developed from garment waste recycled cotton/polyester fiber (recycled cotton/PET) for construction industry applications. In this research work, the piece of clothing waste recycled cotton and polyester fiber is a potential source of raw material for thermal and sound insulation applications, but its quantities are limited. To overcome the above problems, apparel waste recycled cotton fiber was mixed with recycled/PET fiber in 50/50 proportions in the form of two-layer nonwoven mats with chemical bonding methods. The samples such as cotton (color and white), polyester (color and white), and cotton–polyester blend (color and white) were prepared. All the samples were tested for thermal insulation, acoustic, moisture absorption, and fiber properties as per the ASTM Standard. Also, the behavior of the six recycled cotton/polyester nonwoven samples under high humidity conditions was evaluated. The sound absorption coefficients were measured according to ASTM E 1050 by an impedance tube method; the acoustics absorption coefficients over six frequencies of 125, 250, 500, 1000, 2000, and 4000 Hz were calculated. The result revealed that recycled/PET/cotton garment waste nonwoven mats were absorbing the sound resistance of more than 70% and the recycled nonwoven mats provided the best insulation, acoustic, moisture absorption, and fiber properties. The recycled pieces of clothing waste cotton/polyester nonwoven mats have adequate moisture resistance at high humidity conditions without affecting the insulation and acoustic properties.

1. Introduction

The concern over the environment induced a large number of companies to start developing the manufacturing process using alternative materials for their products and seeking new markets. With the significant production of waste fibrous materials, different companies are looking for applications wherein waste materials may represent an added-value material [1–3]. Thermal insulation plays an important role in contributing to the energy savings in the building by heat gains and losses through the building envelope [4]. A study reported that effective building insulation alone will save over one hundred times the impacts of the carbon footprint from material usage and disposal, irrespective of the materials used [5]. Knitted waste can be converted into short fibers by the application of mechanical processes. A series of trials have been undertaken in the course of a research project aimed at more or less complete reuse of fibers from end-of-life textiles. First of all, knitted waste is crushed with a shredder [1]. The use of recycled polyester nonwovens has many advantages compared to conventional sound absorbers, including reduced product cost, good handling, and environmental protection. The sound absorption coefficient of the recycled polyester nonwovens was determined by a two-microphone impedance measurement tube; the determination of the noise absorption coefficient is nothing more than the absorption energy rate of the material against the incidence energy. They have determined the relationship between the acoustic absorption values measured and the nonwoven parameters including fiber
properties and web properties [6]. A study was conducted on the sound absorbency of a novel knitted spacer fabric that can be applied to automotive interior parts and has the potential for greater sound absorbency than conventional plain knitted fabrics [7, 8]. Recently, noise absorbent textile materials, especially nonwoven structures or recycled materials, have been widely used because of the low production costs and they are being aesthetically appealing [9–11]. Recycled polyester (RPET) fiber is derived from the post-consumer waste of plastic bottles which are a potential source of raw material for reducing environmental pollution [12]. A study has been reported on the development of insulation materials from recycling cotton fiber with comparable properties as that of conventional materials [13]. In another recent study, the authors highlighted the quantity issues of alternative recycled polyester materials available in the market to meet the demand for the building sector, although recycled cotton materials are very good insulators.

The absorption of sound mainly results from the dissipation of acoustic energy due to viscosity and heat conductivity of the medium. Differences in pore structure due to different fiber orientation and random arrangement of fibers produce samples with small pores and a higher amount of fiber-to-fiber contact points which ends in better sound absorption properties [14]. In this study, chemically bonded nonwovens were manufactured from reclaimed fiber and tested for the sound absorption performance. The sound absorption influencing factors such as thickness, density, air permeability, porosity, and thermal conductivity were measured according to the ASTM Standard and the purpose of construction industry applications.

2. Experimental Work

2.1. Materials. The raw materials used in this research are “cut and sew” knitwear production waste materials. The waste materials were collected from knitwear garment industries then segregated depending on their colors and prepared for recycling to process in waste recycling machines. These wastes are then fed into the reused fabric opener machine to obtain recycled fibers. The recycled fiber is then converted into a web structure with different density by using the mechanical carding process in the carding machine to form air-laid webs, and the binder used here is polyvinyl acetate (PVA) as shown in Figure 1. The binder saturates on the surface layers and does not penetrate far in the structure which is normally quite thick.

The spray adhesive bonding is an exact measure of the number of binders applied, uniform binder distribution, and a soft fabric handle. The adhesive add-on percentage is taken care of to maintain at 20%. Precaution is taken to avoid the excessive or lesser flow of adhesive through the sprayer. By the calendar roller pressure, the fibrous layer is converted into nonwoven fabric [15]. The produced recycled cotton/polyester samples such as cotton (color and white), polyester (color and white), and cotton–polyester blend (color and white) WC, CC, WP, CP, WC/P, and CC/P samples were prepared. The preferred samples of proportions with 6–8 mm thick, 80 mm wide, and 200 mm long were developed to measure the sound absorption coefficient. Figure 2 shows the prepared samples of color (a) and white adhesive-bonded (b) recycled nonwovens.

The physical properties of nonwoven are fabric thickness, density, porosity, air permeability, and thermal conductivity were tested according to the ASTM standard, and physical properties were tested to measure the influence of the acoustic absorption coefficient of recycled nonwovens.

2.2. Methods of Testing

2.2.1. Thickness. The thickness tester is a specialized equipment to determine the thickness of nonwoven fabrics; the mean value of all the readings of thickness that were determined to the nearest 0.01 m is calculated, and the result is the average thickness of the sample under test. The fabric thickness was determined by ASTM D 5729 standard method.

2.2.2. Density. A study by Koizumi et al. [16] showed the increase of sound absorption value in the middle and higher frequency as the density of the sample increased. The specimen with 12 cm diameter and 80 cm² areas were cut out randomly and weighed. Average of 20 observations was taken for each sample and expressed in kg/m². The density was calculated by using the following relationship:

\[
\text{density} = \frac{W_t}{V_m},
\]

where \( w \) is the weight of the sample per unit area, determined following the standard method ASTM D 3776. \( m \) = mass, and \( V \) = volume. The SI unit of density is kg/m³. Water of 4°C is the reference \( \rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3 = 1 \text{ kg/dm}^3 = 1 \text{ kg/l} \) or 1 g/cm³ = 1 g/ml.

2.2.3. Porosity. The porosity of a porous material is defined as the ratio of the volume of the voids in the material to its total volume is stated by Allard et al. [17]. The following equation defines porosity as

\[
\text{porosity} (H) = \frac{V_a}{V_m},
\]

where \( V_a \) = volume of the air in the voids and \( V_m \) = total volume of the sample of the acoustical material being tested. The porosity was found out with ASTM B 809.
2.2.4. **Air Permeability.** The rate of airflow passing perpendicularly through a known area of fabric is adjusted to obtain a prescribed air pressure differential between the two fabric surfaces and it is generally expressed in terms of cm³/s/cm² calculated at operating conditions. From this rate of airflow, the air permeability of the fabric is determined under ASTM Test Method D 737.

2.2.5. **Thermal Conductivity.** Thermal conductivity coefficient of specimens was measured using Lee’s disk method principle (Saleem [18]):

\[
Q = \frac{VI}{a_A T_A + a_A T_A + T_A + A_B T_B + A_c T_c},
\]

\[
K = \frac{Q t_s}{T_B - T_A} \left( \frac{2 T_A (t_A + t_s)}{r} + \frac{t_s T_B}{2r} \right),
\]

where heat transfer is the measurement of the thermal energy transferred when an object having a defined specific heat and mass undergoes a defined temperature change. Heat transfer = (mass) (specific heat) (temperature change). 

\[
Q = mc \Delta T,
\]

where \( Q \) = heat content in joules and \( m \) = mass. The thermal conductivity of samples was then calculated theoretically by using the Maxwell model as illustrated above where comparisons between theoretical and experimental results were accomplished. The thermal conductivity was determined following ASTM D 6343.

2.2.6. **SEM Analysis Test.** Morphological analysis was performed as per the ASTM D 256 Standard using a JEOL SEM instrument, on cryogenically fractured surfaces of nonwoven samples. The developed nonwovens’ fractured surfaces after tensile testing are examined using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) JEOL JSM-6480LV as shown in Figure 3.

2.2.7. **Measurement of Sound Absorption Coefficient.** The normal incident sound absorption coefficients \( a_c \) were measured according to the ASTM E 1050-10 Standard test method by using an impedance tube as shown in Figure 4, which was kindly provided by Automotive Research and Testing Center (ARTC, Taiwan). When a sound wave is an incident on a material, it can be absorbed, reflected, and transmitted by the material; all three phenomena are possible depending upon the types of materials. Absorbing the incident sound wave is an effective way to control the noise.

The frequency ranges used for the measurement were 50–4000 Hz. The frequency range was divided into three different classes: low (50–1000 Hz), medium (1000–2000 Hz), and high (2000–4000 Hz) ranges. Ten readings were taken randomly from each sample for evaluating acoustic properties. The sound resistance or insulation by the recycled nonwoven fabric samples can be calculated by the following derivation by Teli [19] and Sengupta [20]:

\[
SR \% = \frac{dB_{wos} - dB_{w_s}}{dB_{wos}} \times 100,
\]

where \( SR \) is the sound reduction, \( dB_{wos} \) is the sound level without sample, and \( dB_{w_s} \) is the sound level with sample.

3. **Results and Discussion**

3.1. **Sound Absorption Property.** One of the objectives was to obtain superior sound absorption property in the samples in addition to the thermal insulation property. The garment waste recycled cotton/polyester samples such as cotton (color and white), polyester (color and white), and cotton–polyester blend (color and white) were prepared. The physical properties of the recycled nonwovens have the following results as shown in Table 1.

All developed recycled nonwoven samples showed better sound absorption properties in the overall frequency range (50–4000 Hz). Sound absorption coefficients \( a_c \) of the samples in various frequency ranges are shown in Figure 5. The sound absorption depends upon the thickness of the material amongst other factors [21, 22]. The reason can be attributed to the fact that the kinetic energy of the incident sound wave gets converted to a low level of heat energy when it passes through a thicker structure.
Thicker structure absorbs sound waves by causing frictional loss between sound wave and fiber, thereby dampening the effects of the propagating sound wave. Another factor was the tortuosity component. Recycled cotton/polyester-based nonwoven samples can be observed that while frequency increases, the sound absorption coefficient (SAC) of all samples WC, CC, WP, CP, WC/P, and CC/P also increases. Similarly, while thickness increases, the sound-absorbing performance also increases. At the highest frequency of 4000 Hz, the SAC values of WC, CC, WP, CP, WC/P, and CC/P are 0.4, 0.68, 0.4, 0.65, 0.55, and 0.72, respectively. The calculated average SAC values of WC, CC, WP, CP, WC/P, and CC/P which are 0.156, 0.312, 0.182, 0.331, 0.232, and 0.361 also reveal the same. Fibers interlocking in nonwovens are the frictional elements that provide resistance to acoustic wave motion. To design a recycled nonwoven web to have a high sound absorption coefficient, porosity should increase along with the propagation of the sound wave [23]. The recycled nonwoven porous structure possessed excellent performance for the absorption of high-frequency sound waves, especially above 4000 Hz [16, 24].

3.2. SEM Analysis. Figure 4 shows the SEM image of reclaimed nonwoven fabric from which the perimeters are measured with Scalex Plan Wheel XLU. The recycled cotton/polyester fibers’ nonwoven samples are measured three times, and the final average values were taken as a fiber perimeter. The surface area of the fibers was calculated by multiplying the perimeter and the total fiber length in the fabric. The surface area of the nonwoven fabrics of 25 × 4 was obtained as per the ASTM Standard ASTM E 2809. The same finding was observed by [17, 25].

3.3. Influence of Thickness. In this study, sound absorption in porous materials was concluded as low-frequency sound absorption has a direct relationship with thickness. This study shows a high increase of sound absorption at low frequencies, as the material gets thicker, the sound absorption property decreases as shown in Figure 6. However, at higher frequencies, thickness has an insignificant effect on sound absorption. The results revealed that the thicker the material, the better sound absorption values on the middle value of the thickness of the sample. The color cotton/polyester nonwoven CC/P with a thickness of 13.1 mm results with an average SAC of 0.361 which is higher than CC, WC, WP, CP, and WC/P nonwoven fabrics. When the thickness of recycled nonwoven is less than 3.5 mm, little sound absorption is achieved; if the thickness is more 9.03 mm, best sound absorption is achieved. The same finding was experimented by Shoshani and Yakubov [23].

3.4. Influence of Density. Figure 7 shows that as the density increases, the sound absorption coefficient of the sample increases. A study by Koizumi et al. [16] showed an increase of sound absorption values in the middle and higher frequency as the density of the samples was increased. When there is an increase in areal density, there is increase in sound absorption.
3.5. Influence of Porosity. The porosity of a porous material is defined as the ratio of the volume of the voids in the material to its total volume stated by Allard et al. [17]. Porosity \( (H) \) is given by

\[
H = \frac{V_a}{V_m},
\]

where \( V_a \) = volume of the air in the voids and \( V_m \) = total volume of the sample of the acoustical material being tested.

Figure 8 shows the influence of porosity on sound absorption of recycled cotton/polyester-based nonwoven upon being color and white. Samples’ values are 137.74, 136.56, 142.56, 139.56, 140.56, and 138.97; the results exposed that when comparing the porosity and microspores, the microspores satisfy the diffusion sound waves. The SAC of the porous materials was highly dependent on the permeability of the materials. Less porosity and less air permeability of the samples permit the sound frequency lesser amount at low-frequency level, but at a higher frequency, the sound enters the fine pores and experience friction between the fibers and adhesiveness, thus performing with higher absorption of sound energy.

3.6. Influence of Airflow Resistance. Figure 9 shows the relationship between specific airflow resistance and sound absorption coefficient. It can be inferred that higher airflow resistance always gives better sound absorption values. The sound absorption property was influenced by a high increase in airflow. With a high increase of airflow resistance and an increase in density of fabric, the sound absorption property is also highly affected. The airflow resistance of the color nonwoven is about 35.6–38.9 cc/s/cm\(^2\) with SAC of 0.31 to 0.36. It is clear that where the fabric density increased, the airflow resistance decreased due to increased resistance to airflow caused by the consolidation of the web, but also increases the short fiber content which will occupy the air voids. The color polyester sample has the highest airflow resistance value with the SAC of 0.33, which is greater than that of WC, CC, WP, CP, WC/P, and CC/P; the same result was obtained by Teli [19], Fera [26], and Sengupta [20]. It can be inferred that higher airflow resistance always gives better sound absorption values.

3.7. Influence of Thermal Conductivity. The thermal insulation properties of the samples were measured in terms of the thermal conductivity. The thermal conductivities of various samples are shown in Figure 10. The better the thermal conductivity, the better the insulation property.

Low values of the thermal conductivity imply higher resistance to conduction of the heat through the material. With the increase in temperature, the thermal conductivity
increases for all samples. Two-layer mats with 50% recycled cotton fiber along with 50% recycled fiber provided one of the best insulation properties. These results showed that it is possible to develop samples that show similar thermal conductivity as that of 100% recycled cotton and polyester fiber. The thermal conductivity for the color polyester material is about 0.13 W/mK, which has SAC of 0.33 which is higher than that of the WC, CC, WP, CP, WC/P, and CC/P. These samples were suitable for roof ceiling insulation applications in a building. The study has been conducted in [22].

3.8. Sound Resistance Performance of the Recycled Cotton/Polyester Nonwovens. The chemically bonded nonwovens while tested for the sound resistance with 30 dB to 70 dB showed that the increase in the number of the layer increases the sound resistance. The average sound resistance
percentage values for the three-decibel values are shown in Figure 11. The nonwovens of recycled color and white cotton, color and white polyester, and color and white cotton–polyester blend show approximately 15%, 27%, and 35% sound resistance with fabric to source distance of 25 cm, 50 cm, and 75 cm. These results also reveal that the sound resistance increases the distance between the fabric and the source increases as stated by [20].

4. Conclusion

The automotive and building interiors made up of recycled fibers are in potential market growth. The recycled fiber nonwoven as thermal insulation and acoustic absorption material were developed by using the fibers recycled from the waste fabrics of cotton (color and white), polyester (color and white), and cotton–polyester blend (color and white) collected from the garment industries. The nonwovens are tested for acoustic absorption by ASTM E 1050. It is observed that polyester fiber nonwoven has the highest absorption coefficient in lower frequency levels and higher frequency levels. The recycled polyester nonwoven fabrics are having high total surface area, which is influenced by the denier and cross-sectional structure of the fibers in the nonwoven fabrics. Recycled polyester/cotton mats (CC and CC/P) showed the best thermal insulation and acoustic absorption. CP and WC/P nonwoven mats were absorbing more than 70% of the incident noise (50–4000 Hz). There were no significant changes in the thermal insulation and acoustic properties of the recycled nonwoven mats when evaluated under high humidity conditions. Similarly, while thickness is increased, sound-absorbing performance of polyester samples WP, CP, and CC/P also increases, at the highest frequency of 4000 Hz. The SEM images of fibers are detached from the resin surface due to poor interfacial bonding. Pulled-out fibers are visible for composites with 5 wt.% fiber content and 3 mm length. However, the composite with 15 wt. % fiber and 12 mm length shows good matrix/fiber adhesion. Hence, it is concluded that the nonwoven made of recycled polyester with its closer structure and higher sound-absorbing percentage of 72% is much suited for interiors in building and automotives. The cotton (color and white), polyester (color and white), and cotton–polyester blend (color and white) are also having sound absorption percentage of 73% is much suited for...
interiors in sound absorption of 76% and 82% at 4000 Hz. The major application of these developed nonwoven products may be suggested for floor covering and wall coverings.
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