Computer Learning in Early Childhood Education: Survey on Teacher Practice in Preschool

Abstract: This study was conducted to determine the practice of teachers in kindergarten in early childhood computer learning. The participants in the study were kindergartens who carried out early childhood computer learning chosen using purposive sampling method, the sample determined by researchers based on certain characteristics. This research utilized a descriptive approach, where information obtained through a questionnaire is distributed to 25 teachers in Yogyakarta. The questionnaire in this study was adapted from Yurt & Cevher-Kalburan (2011) concerned with the experience, frequency of use, purpose, and assessment of the activities that children performed with computers in computer learning. The results showed that all teachers obtained computer skills derived from reading documents about computers and exchanging information with their colleagues. This computer learning was carried out on average 1-2 times a week. In addition, the majority of activities held were games for children through computer introduction learning. Early childhood computer learning is more focused on the teacher for aspects of language and children cognitive development.
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Introduction

The abilities and skills to use computer in this era are increasingly important in the digital era. Although computer technology has been invented recently, it a prevalent impact on education system and human development (Kassymova et al, 2019; Atayeva et al., 2019). The importance of information and communication technology in all fields, it is undeniable that computers play an important role in the field of education which means that this becomes a central point in the formation of quality Human Resources (HR) in creating quality personal and independent (Novitasari, 2010). Some information technology can be used as a media of knowledge (educative game) which is the convergence of computers, telecommunications, and learning. The development of information technology itself has actually had a positive impact on the education system in Indonesia in creating a quality generation (Vitianingsih, 2016). This statement is proven
by several studies which suggest that computers have a positive impact, especially on cognitive, social and emotional aspects and increase children’s awareness of the development of current media (Clements & Sarama, 2003; Trifunović, Ćičević, Lazarević, Mitrović, & Dragović, 2018). Subsequent research stated that the main factor in children’s success in the future is to master information and communication technology, including computers (Ameliola & Nugraha, 2013). Not only that computers in learning also have an impact on the value of children’s affective, for example, coloring activities of the software provided for learning that can stimulate creativity (for example; color composition, new motifs displayed) and make children become enthusiastic, passionate, active, happy and feel satisfaction in learning (Suwarna, 2010), this proves that slowly the form of games with physical instruments in play continuously switches to visual and animated forms (Delima, Arianti, & Pramudiyawardani, 2015). In social-emotional growth, learning using computers involves children in cooperative learning processes because children face difficulties, interact, learning strategies, and group problem solving (Heft & Swaminathan, 2002).

The benefits that children gain from the teaching of computer introduction are very dependent on the teacher's skills in using and integrating technology (Gimbert & Cristol, 2004). The contribution of learning carried out can be maximized if the media can be used by educators in the presence of extensive knowledge, based on the curriculum used and the availability of software that supports learning (Sarama & Clements, 2006). In the introduction of computer learning, teachers are expected to be able to understand the social interactions that occur during the learning process, where the teacher only acts as scaffolding, which means the teacher's task is to help children understand and optimize their development (Greiffenhagen, 2012). It can be said that the teacher has an essential role in learning the introduction of computers to children, the teacher is also an example and role model for children in participating in learning with the teacher's ability to direct and guide learning (Gimbert & Cristol, 2004). Knowing the practices of teachers in kindergarten in early childhood computer learning is very influential for the success of the teaching that is carried out (Chen & Chang, 2006).

Computer learning, especially in Indonesia, has been introduced to learning and learning activities in kindergartens such as by using software designed on computer programs as a form of assistance for children in the ability to recognize letters and recognize numbers (Rochah, 2016). Some researchers have shown that computers can encourage the social development of children (Infante, C., Weitz, J., Reyes, T., Nussbaum, M., Gómez & Radovic, 2010). Therefore, researchers who have researched computer learning can be used as material for rethinking about the role of technology in early childhood development and result in the development of learning theory and curriculum that meets the needs of contemporary children (Yelland, Lee, O'Rourke, & Harrison, 2009). While research on teacher practices in kindergarten on early childhood computer learning, especially in regions in Indonesia, is still inadequate. As a result, the lack of data on how the implementation of computer learning conducted by teachers of computer learning in kindergarten. Therefore, this study aims to determine the practice of teachers in early childhood computer learning, especially in the Special Region of Yogyakarta.

Method

This study was conducted using quantitative approaches, and descriptive methods will enable the description of teacher practices in kindergarten towards early childhood computer learning. A total of 25 teachers from four kindergartens in Yogyakarta were selected as samples in the study using purposive sampling with the consideration that these four kindergartens have carried out and conducted computer learning. Data collection was obtained from filling out questionnaires distributed to kindergartens who were the subjects of the study. Then the information collected in the study is as follows.

| Variable          | Sub-Category     | f  | %  |
|-------------------|------------------|----|----|
| Educational Degree| D3               | 2  | 8  |
|                   | Bachelor Degree  | 23 | 92 |
| Age               | 21-25 Year-old   | 4  | 16 |
|                   | 26-29 Year-old   | 6  | 24 |
|                   | 30-34 Year-old   | 6  | 24 |
|                   | 35-39 Year-old   | 2  | 8  |
|                   | Above 40 Year-old| 7  | 28 |
As can be seen in table 1, 92% of teachers are educational bachelors and only 8% have graduated from D3. The highest average age percentage of teachers is 28% over 40 years, 24% respectively at ages 26-29 years and 30-35 years with a maximum length of teaching 60% over 5 years. In addition, 64% of kindergartens are in the state kindergarten and 36% are private kindergarten with 11-20 students participating in computer learning. 56% of computer introductory learning in kindergarten is included in obligatory learning activities that are not carried out in computer labs while 44% is included in extracurricular activities that are carried out in computer labs. In addition, all kindergartens in this study implemented the halfday program and did not provide internet network services in the implementation of these learning activities. In this study, general information obtained from questionnaires distributed with question items consisted of multiple choices that the teacher had to choose regarding the implementation of computer learning such as the data above. The questions contained in the questionnaire distributed were adapted from the research of Yurt & Cevher-Kalburan (2011) regarding the thoughts and practices of teachers learning computer introductions held in Turkey. The data obtained is used as statistical data to obtain the desired information, then analyzed using the SPSS 22.0 program and presented in the form of percentage and frequency distribution in each item.

### Findings and Discussion

The results of this study are divided into three categories; 1) Teachers’ perspectives on computer learning in early childhood education (Table 2); 2) The practice of computer learning; and 3) Evaluation activities in early childhood computer learning.

#### Table 2. Teacher Perspectives towards the Computer Learning in Early Childhood

| Question Itema | Response | f | % |
|----------------|----------|---|---|
| Is the learning of computer suitable for preschool students? | Suitable | 25 | 100 |
| Do the students achieve the learning objectives in computer learning? | Yes | 23 | 92 |
| | Sometimes | 2 | 8 |
| Is the activity performed in computer learning engaging for the students? | Yes | 21 | 84 |
| | Sometimes | 4 | 16 |
| Do teachers differentiate the activities for male and female preschool students? | No | 25 | 100 |

As shown in Table 2, all teachers stated that computer learning was suitable for implementation in early childhood education. In addition, it is evident that 92% of teachers stated that the goals in computer learning could also be achieved by children while there were 8% of teachers stating sometimes they could achieve these goals. 84% of teachers stated that the learning activities were engaging for children and 16% who stated that sometimes children enjoyed the activities. Further, in the implementation of computer learning, none of the teachers distinguish the types of activities carried out between male and female preschool students.

Previous studies demonstrated that teachers had positive perspectives and practices concerning computer learning in kindergarten (Yurta & Cevher-Kalburan, 2011). Moreover, further studies stated that teachers had a positive practice towards computer learning supported by several factors such as the
availability of classroom computers and others (Liu, Tokib, & Pangea, 2014). Children will show a positive attitude when they show interest, and positive reaction in computer learning activities carried out with their friends (Clements & Sarama, 2003). This statement supports that computer learning is essential to provide an environment that can help children in solving problems in daily life. Thus, the result of this study is in line with previous studies.

All children in the kindergartens learn on the computer once or twice a week and there are no learning activities for computer introductions carried out 3-4 times a week or every day. Activities undertaken in computer learning include (Table 3); 1) 36% said that sometimes and rarely doing musical activities in learning computer while as many as 28% had never done such activities; 2) 60% of teachers often provide writing and math preparation activities while 16% of teachers do this very often and only 24% sometimes give these activities to children; 3) 60% of teachers are very frequent and 40% often provide activities related to educational games for children; 4) the majority of teachers, 68% who often hold language activities; and 5) 77.3% of teachers who have never held drama and art activities in learning computer in kindergarten.

Table 3. Computer Learning Practices

| Questions Item                                      | Sub-Item                        | The Answer | f  | %  |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|----|----|
| How often are computer introductory learning activities held? | once or twice a week           | Yes        | 25 | 100 |
|                                                     | Yes                             | 8          | 32 |
|                                                     | No                              | 12         | 48 |
|                                                     | Sometimes                       | 5          | 20 |
|                                                     | Does not allow children to operate computers | No        | 11 | 44 |
|                                                     | Yes                             | 10         | 40 |
|                                                     | No                              | 11         | 44 |
|                                                     | Sometimes                       | 4          | 16 |
|                                                     | Twice or three times a month    | No         | 25 | 100 |
|                                                     | Yes                             | 10         | 40 |
|                                                     | No                              | 11         | 44 |
|                                                     | Sometimes                       | 4          | 16 |
|                                                     | Three and four times a week     | No         | 25 | 100 |
|                                                     | Yes                             | 10         | 40 |
|                                                     | No                              | 18         | 72 |
| What activities are often done in learning computer recognition? | Musical Activities              | Sometimes | 9  | 36 |
|                                                     | Rarely                          | 9          | 36 |
|                                                     | Never                           | 7          | 28 |
|                                                     | Writing and mathematics preparation | Always    | 4  | 16 |
|                                                     | Often                           | 15         | 60 |
|                                                     | Sometimes                       | 6          | 24 |
|                                                     | Education Games                 | Always     | 15 | 60 |
|                                                     | Often                           | 10         | 40 |
|                                                     | Language Activities             | Always     | 4  | 16 |
|                                                     | Often                           | 17         | 68 |
|                                                     | Sometimes                       | 4          | 16 |
|                                                     | Drama Activities                | Rarely     | 5  | 22.7 |
|                                                     | Never                           | 17         | 77.3 |
|                                                     | Art Activities                  | Rarely     | 5  | 22.7 |
|                                                     | Never                           | 17         | 77.3 |
| What aspects of development have you developed in computer learning activities? | Religious and Moral Values      | Sometimes | 8  | 32 |
|                                                     | Rarely                          | 7          | 28 |
|                                                     | Never                           | 10         | 40 |
|                                                     | Physical-Motor                  | Always     | 5  | 20 |
|                                                     | Often                           | 17         | 68 |
|                                                     | Sometimes                       | 3          | 12 |
|                                                     | Language                        | Always     | 5  | 20 |
|                                                     | Often                           | 20         | 80 |
|                                                     | Cognitiv                        | Always     | 5  | 20 |
|                                                     | Often                           | 20         | 80 |
|                                                     | Social-emotional                | Always     | 4  | 16 |
|                                                     | Sometimes                       | 11         | 44 |
|                                                     | Rarely                          | 10         | 40 |
|                                                     | Art                             | Rarely     | 7  | 28 |
|                                                     | Never                           | 18         | 72 |
Meanwhile, in stimulating the developmental aspects that children have, it is shown that by conducting learning activities in the introduction of computers 40% of teachers state they have never included religious and moral aspects, 28% are rare and 32% sometimes stimulate these aspects. As many as 68% of teachers stated aspects of physical-motor development that were objects of stimulation in the learning, 20% were very frequent and 12% rarely stimulated these aspects. In the aspect of language development as much as 80% of teachers stated often and 20% very often stimulated these aspects of development. Furthermore, 80% of teachers stated very often and 20% often stimulated cognitive aspects through learning computer recognition. Furthermore, 16% of teachers stated often, 44% sometimes and 40% stated that networks stimulated aspects of social emotional development in learning computer recognition. And 72% of teachers said they had never included art stimulation in computer lessons in kindergartens.

There is a statement that says children who practice computers 10 minutes a day can improve their academic abilities (Sarama & Clements, 2006). This shows that children who participate in these activities get academic improvement because the teachers have a positive attitude in the implementation of the learning. Therefore, the activities carried out by the teacher in computer learning for children can help the abilities they have. It's just that in this study aspects of the development of art, social-emotional and religious and moral values are not so important by the teacher in implementing computer learning for children in the kindergarten.

| Question Items | Sub-Item                                      | Respon | f  | % |
|----------------|----------------------------------------------|--------|----|---|
| What do you do in evaluating computer learning activities for children? | Question and Answer Method | Always | 4  | 16 |
| | | Often | 11 | 44 |
| | | Sometimes | 4 | 16 |
| | | Rarely | 6 | 24 |
| | Talking with children | Always | 6 | 24 |
| | | Often | 19 | 76 |
| | Asking children to paint about what they feel; | Sometimes | 1 | 4 |
| | | Rarely | 3 | 12 |
| | | Never | 21 | 84 |
| | Recording of all learning activities | Sometimes | 6 | 24 |
| | | Rarely | 10 | 40 |
| | | Never | 9 | 36 |
| | Record the child's actions on the observation sheet | Always | 13 | 56 |
| | | Often | 12 | 44 |
| | Seeing the response that children show when learning | Always | 9 | 36 |
| | | Often | 16 | 64 |
| | Record in all learning activities | Sometimes | 6 | 24 |
| | | Rarely | 10 | 40 |
| | | Never | 9 | 36 |
| | make a learning report | Always | 11 | 44 |
| | | Often | 14 | 56 |

As shown in table 4, we can see that as many as 16% of teachers are very frequent, 44% are frequent, 16% are sometimes and 24% are rarely used in conversational methods in evaluating computer learning activities for children. While 24% of teachers stated very often and 76% teachers often used the method of conversing in evaluating these activities. The majority of teachers never ask children to paint as evaluation material, as many as 40% of teachers are rarely and 36% never record learning activities of evaluation activities, most teachers 56% very often and 44% often use child observation sheets as evaluations of their learning.

Evaluation in learning is important in order to correct deficiencies that occur and to optimize aspects of development when children participate in learning (Hariwijaya & Sukaca, 2009). In the evaluation process, children should get knowledge and motivation from their teachers through strategies and results obtained in order to improve before the children get more recent information (Yurta & Cevher-Kalburan, 2011) supported by technology that guides teachers positively during children's evaluations. Teachers should make observations for each child to support computer learning that children do. This means that the teacher evaluates by observing the child in accordance with the results of the study that have been obtained in the research results.
Conclusion

Digital competence is great of importance nowadays; we need it in every aspect of lifespan. Intersubjective management is a leading aspect of the development of the dynamic abilities of educational enterprises in general (Aprentieva et al, 2019). This study aimed to describe computer learning in kindergarten especially in the practice of early childhood education. In this research, it is known that there are four kindergartens that involve computers as a means of learning and learning both in intactualicular and extracurricular activities. The teacher considers the activities carried out in these activities are in accordance with early childhood, with the availability of fun activities for children. The kindergarten is implementing a half day program and teachers who are trusted in teaching computer learning to the majority of children have taught for more than five years. In computer learning itself, it is done 1-2 times a week where activities such as educational games, writing and math skills, language skills are given more to children compared to drama and art. Computer learning is also more aimed at stimulating aspects of cognitive language development compared to other aspects of development possessed by children. Furthermore, the activities that teachers often do in evaluating children's learning activities are by noting the child's observation sheet. Meanwhile, to evaluate themselves in the activity the majority of teachers from table 4, we can see that as many as 16% of teachers are very frequent, 44% are frequent, 16% are sometimes and 24% are rarely using the method of conversing in the evaluation activities computer learning for children. While 24% of teachers stated very often and 76% of teachers often used the method of conversing in evaluating these activities. The majority of teachers never see the response that children give when the learning process takes place and make a daily report of the results of computer learning that is done.
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