Hundred Most Cited Articles in Perioperative Neurocognitive Disorder: A Bibliometric Analysis
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Abstract

**Background:** In line with aging populations and increased application of anesthesia and surgery, perioperative neurocognitive disorder (PND) has received growing attention worldwide. Considerable research into PND is being conducted; however, the quantity and quality of such research have not been reported. Through a retrospective bibliometric analysis, this study aimed to identify and characterize the top 100 cited publications on PND.

**Methods:** We searched the Web of Science database to find the top 100 cited articles focusing on PND. We collected bibliographic information, including year of publication, country of origin, article type, published journal, citation count, and authorship. To determine changes with time, we compared older and newer articles.

**Results:** The top 100 cited articles were published between 1955 and 2016; the number of citations ranged from 111 to 1248. The United States had the most published papers; clinical trials were the most common article type. The specialty journals of *Anesthesiology* and *Anesthesia & Analgesia* were the two most cited journals. Newer papers had a comparable number of citations to older articles, but the former had higher citation rates, greater funding disclosures, more focus on basic research, and more open access publications.

**Conclusions:** This study provides a comprehensive overview of the most cited articles and highlights the increasing attention on PND. High-quality clinical trials with a greater journal impact factor received more citations. However, there has been growth in the number of basic science studies as an area of research with respect to the pathogenesis of PND.

**Background**

Formerly known as postoperative delirium (POD) and postoperative cognitive dysfunction (POCD), perioperative neurocognitive disorder (PND) is an overarching concept for identifying cognitive impairment during the preoperative or postoperative period (1). PND is one of the most common perioperative complications observed in older individuals who receive surgery under general or regional anesthesia; it is evident as disorders in executive function, memory, and other cognitive aspects for a period ranging from hours to months. This clinical syndrome was first reported in 1955 by Bedford (2). Since then, many studies have examined PND from multiple perspectives, including risk factors, prevention, treatment, probable mechanisms, and with a focus on humans, rodents, and cells. PND is currently one of the most frequently studied areas in perioperative medicine.

Bibliometric studies are important tools in evaluating research performance and identifying influential papers in a particular field. One investigation conducted a bibliometric analysis of publications on POCD between 2000 and 2019; it identified publication trends and hot spots in POCD research over the 20-year period (3). However, a bibliometric analysis of high quality, top-cited articles on PND has yet to be carried out. By comparing changes in the citation trends of published papers, it is possible to better understand the current research situation and determine the direction for future efforts. The present study aimed to assess the 100 most cited publications on PND using bibliometric analysis to identify the nature, content, and shifts with time.

**Materials And Methods**
Search strategy

We examined publications focusing on PND from 1955 to 2019 using the Web of Science database. The key words we applied were “postoperative cognitive dysfunction,” “postoperative delirium,” “perioperative neurocognitive disorder,” “surgery,” “surgical,” “anesthetic,” and “cognitive dysfunction” combined with AND and OR Boolean operators. We limited the search to English-language publications. We conducted the article search using the Science Citation Index Expanded database of the Web of Science Core Collection to obtain the 100 most cited articles that focused on PND. We collected the following bibliometric information: year of publication; country of origin; article type (basic research, clinical randomized controlled trial, clinical cohort study, clinical case-control study, clinical case series, narrative review or expert opinion, and systematic review or meta-analysis); published journal; citation count; and authorship. We applied no exclusion criteria. Further, we sorted the articles by date of publication; to evaluate the research characteristic shifts over time, we divided into them into older 50 articles (before February 2008) and newer 50 articles (after June 2008).

Statistical analysis

We performed statistical analysis using SPSS software (version 21.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The data were expressed as the mean (range) or number (%). We analyzed categorical variables using a $\chi^2$ test and continuous variables with an independent-sample t test. We calculated correlation coefficients ($r$) and P values using Spearman’s test. A P value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Year and country of publication

The publication year ranged from 1955 to 2016, with the majority of papers being published in the 2000s (n = 59). Overall, the number of published papers showed a steady growth trend. Notably, from 2006 to 2013, the number of high-citation articles was over six per year. Most studies were published in 2009 (n = 11; Fig. 1A). The authors from the United States published the most papers (n = 63); it was followed by England (n = 20), the Netherlands (n = 12), and China (n = 11). The authors from Germany contributed seven articles; it was followed by Canada, Denmark, and Sweden, which each had six (Fig. 1B). In all, 25 countries accounted for the 100 most cited articles that focused on PND (Fig. 1C).

Study characteristics

The most common article types were clinical trials (n = 54) and experimental studies (n = 28); they were followed by narrative reviews (n = 12) and systematic reviews or meta-analyses (six articles) (Fig. 2A). Over half of the high-citation articles were clinical studies; thus, we further classified such studies. Half of those studies (27 trials, 50%) examined mid-aged and older adult patients; 20 studies (37%) investigated older adult patients. Only four studies (7.4%) investigated developmental children, and three (5.6%) examined adults. The type of surgery reported in 54 studies could be divided into four categories: cardiac surgery (15 trials, 28%); orthopedic surgery (14 trials, 26%); major non-cardiac surgery (12 trials, 22%); and other (13 trials, 24%). With respect to research themes, 32 of 54 trials (59.3%) investigated POD; 16 trials (29.6%) examined POCD. The
authors of two studies used the term “neurocognitive disorder (NCD)”; two other studies reported on both POD and POCD (Fig. 2B).

**Citations**

Overall, the citation count ranged from 111 to 1248 citations; the annual citation rate (ACR) ranged from 3.8 to 97.5 citations/year. The top 10 cited articles appear in Table 1. By count, the most cited article was a multicenter, prospective cohort study by Moller et al. Published in 1998 and entitled “Long-term postoperative cognitive dysfunction in the elderly ISPOCD1 study,” this *Lancet* article had 1248 citations and an ACR of 56.7 citations/year (4). The second-most cited paper (cited 1233 times and 64.9 citations/year) was “Longitudinal assessment of neurocognitive function after coronary-artery bypass surgery” by Newman et al., published in 2001 in *New England Journal of Medicine* (5). The paper with the highest ACR was a randomized controlled clinical trial entitled “Neurodevelopmental outcome at 2 years of age after general anaesthesia and awake-regional anaesthesia in infancy (GAS): an international multicentre, randomised controlled trial” by Davidson et al.; published in 2016 in *Lancet*, it was the seventh-most cited paper (6). Almost half of the articles (45 articles) were cited more than 200 times.
Table 1
Top 10 most cited articles focusing on cognitive change associated with anesthesia and surgery

| Rank | Citations* | ACR  | Year   | First Author | Title                                                                                                           | Journal                                      | Country       |
|------|------------|------|--------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|
| 1    | 1248       | 56.7 | 1998   | Moller, JT   | Long-term postoperative cognitive dysfunction in the elderly: ISPOCD1 study                                       | Lancet                                        | United States |
| 2    | 1233       | 64.9 | 2001   | Newman, MF   | Longitudinal assessment of neurocognitive function after coronary-artery bypass surgery                         | New England Journal of Medicine               | United States |
| 3    | 679        | 56.6 | 2008   | Monk, TG     | Predictors of cognitive dysfunction after major noncardiac surgery                                              | Anesthesiology                               | United States |
| 4    | 568        | 21.8 | 1994   | Marcantonio, ER | A clinical prediction rule for delirium after elective noncardiac surgery.                                      | JAMA                                          | United States |
| 5    | 451        | 41.0 | 2009   | Steinmetz, J | Long-term Consequences of Postoperative Cognitive Dysfunction                                                 | Anesthesiology                               | Denmark       |
| 6    | 403        | 20.2 | 2000   | Marcantonio, ER | Delirium is independently associated with poor functional recovery after hip fracture                          | Journal of the American Geriatrics Society   | United States |
| 7    | 390        | 97.5 | 2016   | Davidson, AJ | Neurodevelopmental outcome at 2 years of age after general anaesthesia and awake-regional anaesthesia in infancy (GAS): an international multicentre, randomised controlled trial | Lancet                                        | Australia     |
| 8    | 388        | 38.8 | 2010   | Cibelli, M   | Role of Interleukin-1 beta in Postoperative Cognitive Dysfunction                                              | Annals of Neurology                          | England       |

*, the citations times is according to WoS Core; ACR, annual citation rate, citation/year
| Rank | Citations* | ACR  | Year | First Author  | Title                                                                 | Journal                                                                 | Country       |
|------|------------|------|------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| 9    | 371        | 37.1 | 2010 | Terrando, N   | Tumor necrosis factor-alpha triggers a cytokine cascade yielding postoperative cognitive decline | PNAS                                                      | United States |
| 10   | 369        | 21.7 | 2003 | Morrison, RS  | Relationship between pain and opioid analgesics on the development of delirium following hip fracture | The journals of gerontology. Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences | United States |

*, the citations times is according to WoS Core; ACR, annual citation rate, citation/year

**Journals**

The articles were published in 49 journals. The top 10 cited journals and their impact factors in 2019 appear in Table 2. Of the 49 journals, the most frequently cited journal was *Anesthesiology* (n = 17); it was followed by *Anesthesia & Analgesia* (n = 9), *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* (n = 6), *Lancet* (n = 6), *JAMA* (n = 4), and *Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery* (n = 4). Of the top 10 cited journals, seven were American and three were British.
Table 2
The top 10 sources contributing to the top 100 publication

| Rank | Journal                                                      | No. of top 100 articles | Country of origin | Impact factor 2019 |
|------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 1    | Anesthesiology                                              | 17                      | United States     | 7.067              |
| 2    | Anesthesia & Analgesia                                       | 9                       | United States     | 4.305              |
| 3    | Journal of the American Geriatrics Society                   | 6                       | United States     | 4.18               |
| 4    | Lancet                                                       | 6                       | England           | 60.392             |
| 5    | JAMA: the journal of the American Medical Association        | 4                       | United States     | 45.54              |
| 6    | Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery               | 4                       | United States     | 4.451              |
| 7    | Annals of Neurology                                          | 3                       | United States     | 9.037              |
| 8    | British Journal of Anaesthesia                               | 3                       | England           | 6.88               |
| 9    | Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica                          | 3                       | England           | 2.05               |
| 10   | Psychosomatics                                               | 3                       | United States     | 2.00               |

Authors

The top 10 authors published most articles for PND and the number of citations appear in Table 3. The author with the highest number of cited articles was Marcantonio, with eight papers (first author in four of them). Marcantonio was followed by Xie, with seven papers (five as the last author). In joint third place were Maze, Rasmussen, Grosby, and Culley: they each had six articles. Maze was the last author in five of the six articles. Rasmussen's articles received the highest number of total citations (2668). In fourth place were Moller, Hanning, and Dong; they each had five articles. Both Moller and Hanning obtained a considerable number of citations (2217 each). Their citation number followed that of Marcantonio (2362). In fifth place, Ma had four articles, and he was the last author in one of them.
Table 3
Most highly cited authors of papers included in the 100 most-cited

| Name          | Total Publications | First Author | Co-Author | Last Author | Total Citations | Mean Citations/paper |
|---------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Marcantonio ER| 8                  | 4            | 1         | 3           | 2362            | 295                 |
| Xie ZC        | 7                  | 1            | 1         | 5           | 1067            | 152                 |
| Maze M        | 6                  | 0            | 1         | 5           | 1787            | 298                 |
| Rasmussen LS  | 6                  | 1            | 4         | 1           | 2668            | 445                 |
| Crosby G      | 6                  | 0            | 4         | 2           | 1004            | 167                 |
| Culley DJ     | 6                  | 2            | 4         | 0           | 1004            | 167                 |
| Moller JT     | 5                  | 1            | 0         | 4           | 2217            | 443                 |
| Hanning CD    | 5                  | 0            | 5         | 0           | 2217            | 443                 |
| Dong YL       | 5                  | 0            | 5         | 0           | 776             | 155                 |
| Ma DQ         | 4                  | 0            | 3         | 1           | 1218            | 305                 |

**Newer versus older articles**

To evaluate changes in PND research areas with time, we divided the top 100 cited articles into the 50 older and 50 newer articles as mentioned in 2.1 (Table 4). Compared with older articles, newer papers had statistically higher ACRs (24.9 versus 15.3 citations/year; \( P = 0.001 \)), more funding disclosures (76% versus 46%; \( P = 0.002 \)), more open access publication (62% versus 18%; \( P < 0.001 \)), and different article focus \((P < 0.05)\). Compared with older articles, newer papers focused more on basic science outcomes (40% versus 16%), less on clinical outcomes (46% versus 62%), and there were fewer review articles (including expert opinions and meta-analysis articles; 14% versus 22%). We observed no significant differences in the total number of citations, number of authors, the involvement of multiple institutions, and research areas (all \( P > 0.05 \)).
Table 4
Comparison of articles published between older articles (before 2008) and newer ones (after 2008)

| Parameter                     | Older group (n = 50) | Newer group (n = 50) | P value |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Quantitative (mean, range)    |                     |                      |         |
| Total Citations, number       | 268.6 (122–1248)    | 201.6 (111–451)      | 0.055   |
| Citations/year, number        | 15.3 (3.8–64.9)     | 24.9 (10.3–97.5)     | 0.001   |
| Author, number                | 6.9 (1–20)          | 8.1 (1–20)           | 0.190   |
| Qualitative (n, %)            |                     |                      |         |
| Multiple institutions         | 28 (46%)            | 35 (70%)             | 0.147   |
| Article focus                 |                     | 0.027                |         |
| Clinical outcomes             | 31 (62%)            | 23 (46%)             |         |
| Basic science outcomes        | 8 (16%)             | 20 (40%)             |         |
| Review article*               | 11 (22%)            | 7 (14%)              |         |
| Research areas                | 0.086               |                      |         |
| Anesthesiology                | 19 (38%)            | 18 (36%)             |         |
| General & Internal. Medicine  | 11 (22%)            | 8 (16%)              |         |
| Geriatrics & Gerontology      | 9 (18%)             | 5 (10%)              |         |
| Neurosciences & Neurology     | 2 (4%)              | 10 (20%)             |         |
| Other topics                  | 9 (18%)             | 9 (18%)              |         |
| Funding disclosed             | 23 (46%)            | 38 (76%)             | 0.002   |
| National/institutional        | 23/23 (100%)        | 36/38 (95%)          |         |
| Industry                      | 0/23 (0%)           | 2/38 (5%)            |         |
| Open access*                  | 9 (18%)             | 31 (62%)             | 0.001   |

The median year of publication was 2008 (range 1992 to 2016). Bold type indicated statistical significance. #, Both of expert opinions and meta-analysis articles are regarded as review articles here. * Open access journals are available for free public access.

**Citations per year**

The total number of annual citations among the journals showed a steady increase from 1990 to 2019. From 2016 to 2019, the total number of citations per year exceeded 2000 (Fig. 3A).

**Correlation analysis**
The impact factors of the journals were positively correlated with the number of cited articles \((r = 0.491, P < 0.001; \text{Fig. 3B})\). However, the number of citations showed no correlation with the year of publication \((r = -0.174, P > 0.05; \text{Fig. 3C})\).

**Discussion**

In this study, we identified the top 100 cited PND articles and analyzed their nature, content, and changes with time. The results of our bibliometric analysis indicated that among the articles, clinical trials accounted for over half; the papers focused mainly on mid-aged and older adult patients; the research theme was largely cardiac surgery and POD. As evident by citation frequency, the nature of high-impact studies has changed with time. The changes included higher citation rates, greater funding disclosures, more focus on basic research, and more open access publications; those trends indicate the possible future direction of research in this field.

Among the 100 most cited papers, the publication year ranged from 1955 to 2016. The number of published papers showed steady growth, reflecting the progressive development of research into PND. The greatest number of citations occurred in the 2000s, indicating that this research area gained considerable attention in that decade. Expansion in the number of PND studies may be associated with the multicenter, prospective cohort ISPOCD1 study published by Moller et al. in 1998 (4); which was the most cited paper in PND, and it had tremendous historical significance. Other groundbreaking works had a similar impact. One was the earliest paper to appear on our list when Bedford reported the occurrence of dementia in older adults following operations under general anesthesia in 1955 (2). It was a milestone paper in PND research, highlighting cerebral complications during the perioperative period. Another paper, dating from 2018, examined the nomenclature of cognitive changes associated with anesthesia and surgery. That paper recommended using the term “PND” as an overarching concept to describe preoperative or postoperative cognitive impairment; it led to PND becoming listed as a neurocognitive diagnosis in Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-5 (1).

In our analysis, we identified the United States as the leading country in total number of publications, followed by England. Among the top 10 journals with the most number of publications (58 published papers), seven were in the United States (46 published papers), and the other three journals were in England (12 published papers). The authors of the top 100 cited papers also showed a relatively concentrated pattern. The top 10 most highly cited authors of the 100 most cited papers had 58 publications, contributing more than half.

Among the top 100 cited articles, 54 were clinical trials; of those, 50% investigated mid-aged and older adult patients and 37% examined older adult patients. This finding may be related to aging of the global population and vulnerability of older adults to postoperative cognitive impairment. Interestingly, 7.4% of the articles focused on developmental children. The GAS study published in *Lancet* in 2016 (6) had 390 citations; it ranked seventh among the 10 most cited studies and had the highest ACR (97.5 citations/year). This result suggests that the influence of anesthesia on children’s neurological development is a key research area in PND. The pediatric anesthesia neurodevelopment assessment (PANDA) study was another highly cited paper, focusing on young children aged under 36 months and published in *JAMA* (7). It had the second-highest ACR (69.25 citations/year), but it was not included in the top 10 cited papers because of its publication year of 2016.
Among the 54 clinical trials, 28% investigated patients who underwent cardiac surgery; 26% examined orthopedic surgery and 22% chose non-cardiac surgery. This finding is consistent with the view that major surgery constitutes a risk factor for PND (8). Among the clinical trials, 59.3% focused on POD and 29.6% on POCD. That result may be related to differences in the trials with respect to onset period, incidence, and diagnostic criteria. POD is an acute event, comprising a set of fluctuating changes in attention, mental status, and level of consciousness; it reportedly occurs in 10–60% of older surgical patients, varying by surgical procedure (9). POCD is cognitive decline diagnosed up to 30 days after a procedure; its incidence is approximately 10–12% (10). The scales of Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) or the CAM adapted for the intensive care unit are mostly used to diagnose POD; POCD diagnosis requires more complex tests (11). With its relatively acute course, higher incidence, and simpler diagnosis, POD is more often the focus as the primary outcome in clinical trials.

When comparing newer with older articles, we found that newer papers had higher ACRs. This finding indicates that PND received considerable attention among researchers and that cognitive function drew increased interest in perioperative medicine. The greater number of funding disclosures reflects the support for this area of research, and greater open access publication increased the impact of findings. The stronger focus on basic science outcomes in newer articles indicates that the main area of PND research changed from clinical phenomena to underlying mechanisms, expecting to have better prevention or therapy for PND. This result also suggests future PND research directions.

Our bibliometric analysis has inherent limitations. Some newly published, high-quality articles were unable to gain sufficient citations to appear among the top 100 (12). Articles published in journals with higher impact factors may gain greater attention and thus have more citations (13). To evaluate any inherent bias, we analyzed the correlation between the number of citations of articles in our list and the impact factors of journals where the papers were published; we also examined the correlation between the number of citations and year of publication. We observed a positive correlation between the number of citations and the journals’ impact factors, which may be reflective of the inherent bias of high impact factors; we found no correlation between the number of citations and year of publication in the current study.

Conclusion

We compiled a comprehensive list of the 100 most cited articles dealing with PND to examine the current status and global trends in PND research. Despite its limitations, our bibliometric analysis found a steadily growing focus on PND. Compared with older articles, newer papers have higher ACRs, more funding disclosures, more open access publications, and greater focus on basic science. High-quality clinical trials with a stronger journal impact factor appear to receive more citations; however, basic science studies may increase as a future area of research in the pathogenesis of PND.
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PND, perioperative neurocognitive disorder; POD, postoperative delirium; POCD, postoperative cognitive dysfunction; ACR, annual citation rate.
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