Effect of Ambient Temperature on the Thermoregulatory and Locomotor Stimulant Effects of 4-Methylmethcathinone in Wistar and Sprague-Dawley Rats
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Abstract

The drug 4-methylmethcathinone (4-MMC; aka, methedrone, MMCAT, “plant food”, “bath salts”) is a recent addition to the list of popular recreational psychomotor-stimulant compounds. Relatively little information about this drug is available in the scientific literature, but popular media reports have driven recent drug control actions in the UK and several US States. Online user reports of subjective similarity to 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA, “Ecstasy”) prompted the current investigation of the thermoregulatory and locomotor effects of 4-MMC. Male Wistar and Sprague-Dawley rats were monitored after subcutaneous administration of 4-MMC (1–10 mg/kg ) using an implantable radiotelemetry system under conditions of low (23°C) and high (27°C) ambient temperature. A reliable reduction of body temperature was produced by 4-MMC in Wistar rats at 23°C or 27°C with only minimal effect in Sprague-Dawley rats. Increased locomotor activity was observed after 4-MMC administration in both strains with significantly more activity produced in the Sprague-Dawley strain. The 10 mg/kg s.c. dose evoked greater increase in extracellular serotonin, compared with dopamine, in the nucleus accumbens. Follow-up studies confirmed that the degree of locomotor stimulation produced by 10 mg/kg 4-MMC was nearly identical to that produced by 1 mg/kg 3,4-methamphetamine in each strain. Furthermore, hypothermia produced by the serotonin 1A/7 receptor agonist 8-hydroxy-N,N-dipropyl-2-aminotetralin (8-OH-DPAT) was similar in each strain. These results show that the cathinone analog 4-MMC exhibits thermoregulatory and locomotor properties that are distinct from those established for methamphetamine or MDMA in prior work, despite recent evidence of neuropharmacological similarity with MDMA.

Introduction

Discussions of the recreational drug 4-methylmethcathinone (4-MMC; methedrone, “miaow-miaow”, MMCAT, etc.) started to increase in online user forums such as bluelight.ru in 2008 [1]. Google Trends shows that searches for methedrone accelerated sharply from mid 2009 through April of 2010 and news accounts spiked in the first quarter of 2010 as well [2]. This was due to increasing availability and use of the drug in the United Kingdom where it was legal to possess (marketed as “plant food”) until April of 2010. News or US Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) accounts of the drug show 4-MMC has also appeared in the United States in Oregon [3], Central Texas [4] and North Dakota [5]. A press release issued by the United States Office of National Drug Control Policy noted that six states had taken action to ban 4-MMC as of February 2011 [6] and the DEA has requested information on several novel cathinone-derivative drugs of abuse [7].

As was emphasized by the initial reports from the UK Advisory Council on the Misuse of Drugs [8] and the Europol-European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction [9], relatively little scientific information on any of the cathinone derivative compounds, and nothing specific for 4-MMC, was available until very recently [10–12]. An understanding of the effects of 4-MMC, at present, is therefore mostly by reference to the parent compound cathinone (found in khat, a plant material chewed for its stimulant properties in the Horn of Africa and Arabian peninsula) and the closely related analog, methcathinone. Cathinone is structurally similar to amphetamine and experience from the substituted amphetamine compounds suggests that modifica-
ctions to the core structure can confer very different pharmacological properties, subjective experiences, abuse liabilities and toxicological risks. It is therefore of paramount importance to understand more about 4-MMC as well as additional cathinone derivatives (e.g., 3,4-methylenedioxyethylcathinone; MDEA, “bath salts”) that are starting to emerge or might be likely to emerge as popular recreational drugs.

There are indications that 4-MMC disrupts thermoregulation in some users, with both excessive heat (complaints about sweating) and cold reported [13]. About 15% of one experienced-user sample reported cold extremities while 67% reported excessive perspiration as a consequence of using mephedrone [14]. This mixed effect on thermoregulation is reminiscent of 3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine which can produce elevated or reduced body temperature in rats, depending on the ambient temperature and the dose administered [15,16]; MDMA has also been shown to restrict blood flow to the periphery in rabbits [17] and rats [18]. Interestingly, some users report the subjective properties of mephedrone to be somewhat akin to those of MDMA [13,19,20]. This suggests the possibility that 4-MMC has properties similar to so-called empathogenic amphetamines whereas available data suggest that cathinone and methcathinone are more similar to classical amphetamine-type psychomotor stimulants [21,22]. The user experiences add support to the hypothesis that 4-MMC may have pharmacological properties that are distinct from the classic amphetamine psychostimulants.

Recent studies in vivo and in vitro confirm the MDMA-like character of 4-MMC but also illustrate some differences. For example 4-MMC increases extracellular serotonin in rat nucleus accumbens with about the same efficacy as MDMA, but to a greater extent than serotonin is increased by amphetamine (AMP) or methamphetamine (METH) [11,23]. Evidence on the effect of 4-MMC on extracellular dopamine is mixed since it increased dopamine about as much as did AMP but about 6-fold less than METH [11,23]. It was also found in those studies that 4-MMC stimulated locomotor activity to approximately the same extent as did MDMA, in both cases much less than AMP/METH. In vitro studies showed that although 4-MMC exhibits greater relative affinity for the dopamine transporter relative to the serotonin transporter, it is more potent inhibitor of serotonin versus dopamine uptake; 4-MMC also exhibits a 15-fold greater affinity for 5-HT2 receptor subtypes than D2 receptors [12]. A repeated, high-dose binge exposure regimen under high ambient temperature resulted in hyperthermia, decreases in serotonin and SERT function in the hippocampus but did not alter dopamine content or DAT function in the striatum [10]. Together these initial findings are similar to prior reports for MDMA [24–26].

A study was conducted to determine the effects of 4-MMC on thermoregulation and locomotor activity in rats. Prior studies have shown that temperature responses to METH and MDMA depend on ambient temperature with hyperthermia observed at or below normal laboratory temperatures of 22–24°C and hyperthermia above about 26°C in rats [15,16,27]; although this does not appear to be the case for monkeys or humans [28,29]. The goal was to evaluate broader dose-response functions under two different ambient temperature ranges given that Kehr et al (2011) and Baumann et al (2012) examined only 0.3–3 mg/kg in locomotor assays and Hadlock et al (2011) examined the thermoregulatory effects of repeated high doses (10 or 25 mg/kg, 4X per day at 2 hr intervals) at a single (high) ambient temperature. Since the available neurochemical data are limited to a highest dose of 3 mg/kg 4-MMC, an investigation of the neurochemical effects of the highest dose used in the telemetry studies was included. Finally, additional drug challenges were included as positive controls to compare the locomotor effects with those of d-methamphetamine, a prototypical locomotor stimulant drug of abuse, and the thermoregulatory effects observed with 6-hydroxy-

**Methods**

**Animals**

Male Wistar (N = 8; Charles River; New York) and Sprague Dawley (N = 8; Harlan; Livermore, California) were housed in a humidity and temperature-controlled (22°C±1) vivarium on a reverse light/dark cycle for the temperature and activity studies. Additional male Wistar Han (N = 3; Charles River; New York) and Sprague Dawley (N = 3; Charles River; New York) were housed in a humidity and temperature-controlled (22°C±1) vivarium on a regular light/dark cycle for the pharmacokinetic experiments. Rats were 9–10 weeks of age with an average bodyweight of about 300 grams at the start of the study. Animals had ad libitum access to food and water in the home cage.

**Ethics statement**

All procedures were conducted under protocols approved by the Institutional Care and Use Committees of The Scripps Research Institute and University of New England and consistent with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals [30]. Once exception to the latter is that the ambient temperature manipulation diverged from the recommendations of the Guide but was explicitly approved under the IACUC protocol.

**Surgery**

Sterile radiotelemetry transmitters (Data Sciences International CTA-F40) were implanted under general anesthesia (isoflurane 5% induction, 1–3% maintenance). The hair from the subxyphoid space to the pelvis was shaved and disinfected with povidone-iodine solution and alcohol and a sterile drape positioned. An incision was made along the abdominal midline immediately posterior to the xyphoid space, just large enough to allow passage of the miniature transmitter which was placed in the abdominal cavity. Absorbable sutures were used to close the abdominal muscle incision and skin was closed with non-absorbable suture. A minimum of 7 days was allowed for surgical recovery prior to starting the study.

**Procedure**

For experiments, the ambient temperatures were established for a given condition by space heater and monitored with a temperature probe connected to the telemetry system. Each subject was transported to the experimental room for recordings made via placement of the telemetry receiver plate under a normal shoebox style home cage. Animals were recorded for at least an hour prior to drug administration to establish a stable temperature baseline. Following drug administration the recording was continued for 3 hours; thereafter animals were returned to the home cage in the vivarium. Drug challenges were conducted no more frequently than twice per week with a minimum three day interval between challenges. The order of doses was randomized across individuals for each test compound; ambient temperature conditions were alternated for each successive challenge day in the 4-methylmethcathinone experiment.
Drugs

Drug doses were diluted in physiological saline and injected subcutaneously in a volume of 1 ml/kg. 6-Methamphetamine was provided by RTI International (Research Triangle Park, NC) under contract from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (Bethesda, MD). 8-Hydroxy-N,N-dipropyl-2-aminotetralin (8-OH-DPAT) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). The 4-methylmethcathinone used for this study was synthesized in gram quantities using a robust synthetic route based on literature precedent [31], with minor modifications, as follows.

α-Bromination. The preparation of 4-methylmethcathinone began from 4-methylpropiophenone (6.7 mmol) dissolved in glacial acetic acid (22 mL). To this solution, bromine (6.8 mmol) was added dropwise and the reaction stirred for two hours. After this time, the reaction was poured into a mixture of cold water and dichloromethane, and the organic layer separated. The organic layer was then repeatedly washed with aqueous sodium carbonate until the aqueous layer was basic on pH paper. The organic phase was then concentrated and the desired product isolated as colorless crystals after recrystallization from ether (1.4 g, quantitative).

4-MMC. 4’-Methyl-2-bromopropiophenone (22 mmol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (90 mL) and added dropwise to a solution of methylamine hydrochloride (1.5 g) and triethylamine (7 mL) in dichloromethane (200 mL). The reaction was then stirred at room temperature overnight, at which time aqueous HCl was added and the aqueous layer removed and washed with dichloromethane. The resulting aqueous solution was then made basic by addition of NaOH and the desired free amine extracted into dichloromethane. The solvent was then evaporated and resulting oil dissolved in anhydrous ether. HCl gas was then bubbled through the solution to generate a white solid, which was then recrystallized from isopropanol to give 4-MMC as a hydrochloride salt in 20% yield. The identity of the 4-MMC was confirmed by standard analytical methods (1H-NMR, 13C-NMR hydrochloride salt in 20% yield. The identity of the 4-MMC was confirmed by standard analytical methods (1H-NMR, 13C-NMR and MS) and the synthetic material is identical in all respects to that previously reported in the literature [31].

Pharmacokinetic studies

Drugs were administered in a volume of 1 ml/kg. Drugs were injected subcutaneously using a 27G needle. Blood samples were collected via the jugular catheters at timepoints 5, 15, 30, 60, 120 min and 3, 6 and 24 hrs after dosing. Plasma was stored at −80°C until analysis for drug concentrations via LC/MS/MS. Sample analysis was by LC/MS/MS with data analysis via the non compartmental analysis model of PK Solutions (Summit Research Services; Montrose, CO). The summary data for the Sprague-Dawley group have been previously described [32].

Neurochemical studies

For microdialysis studies, drug naïve rats were implanted with a microdialysis guide cannula and probe using previously published procedures [33]. A guide cannula (SciPro, Sanborn, NY) was implanted aimed at the NAc shell (AP = +1.6 mm, bregma; ML = ±2.0 mm, bregma; DV = −5.0 mm, dura) [34], and rats were allowed one week for recovery. On the evening prior to the experiment, a 2 mm microdialysis probe was inserted into the guide cannula and perfused overnight with artificial CSF (0.1 µl/min) composed of the following (in mM): 149 NaCl, 2.8 KCl, 1.2 CaCl2, 1.2 MgCl2, 0.25 ascorbic acid, 5.4 D-glucose. The following morning, the perfusion rate was raised to 0.6 µl/min and samples were collected in 15 min fractions following 1 hr of equilibration.

Data analysis

Analysis of the hourly averages of body temperature and summed activity data employed repeated measures analysis of variance (rmANOVA) with a between-subjects factor of rat strain, and within-subjects factors of drug treatment condition (dose) and time post-injection as outlined in the experiments. Post-hoc analyses of significant main effects for telemetry data were conducted using the Fisher’s LSD test including all pairwise comparisons and for the neurochemical data using the Dunnett procedure; the criterion for significance was p<0.05 in all analyses. Analyses were conducted with GB-STATV7.0; Dynamic Microsystems, Silver Spring MD.

Results

4-MMC

Temperature was decreased in Wistar rats, but not Sprague-Dawley rats, when challenged with 4-MMC under both 23°C and 27°C ambient temperature conditions (Figure 1). A mean temperature nadir of 36.74 (±0.187°C) was observed following the administration of 10 mg/kg in the Wistar rats under 23°C ambient and 37.35 (±0.115°C) under 27°C ambient. One of the Wistar rats developed an infection in a minor wound and was euthanized; thus this individual is missing data for the vehicle at 23°C and the 3.2 mg/kg 4-MMC at 27°C conditions. The statistical analysis of the hourly averages (Figure 2) confirmed main effects of drug treatment (F4,45 = 4.48; p<0.005), time post-injection (F3,42 = 79.97; p<0.0001), as well as the interaction of strain with time post-injection (F4,42 = 17.07; p<0.0001), the interaction of dose condition with time post-injection (F2,30 = 5.76; p<0.0001) and the interaction of all three factors (F4,36 = 6.05; p<0.0001) under standard laboratory ambient temperature of 23°C. Post-hoc comparisons confirmed that body temperature in Wistar rats was significantly lowered in the first hour after injection of 3.2, 5.6 and 10 mg/kg 4-MMC compared to the pre-treatment baseline for each dose, compared with the first hour after saline and compared with the same doses in Sprague-Dawley rats. In contrast, the body temperature of Sprague-Dawley rats was lower than both the pretreatment baseline and the comparable timepoint after vehicle injection only following 3.2 mg/kg (2, 3 hrs) and 5.6 mg/kg (3 hrs) 4-MMC.

When administered under 27°C ambient temperature conditions, 4-MMC again altered body temperature as confirmed by a significant main effect of time post-injection (F3,42 = 18.95; p<0.0001), as well as the interaction of dose condition with time post-injection (F4,42 = 2.38; p<0.0005). The analysis also confirmed a significant effect of the interaction of strain with time post-injection (F4,42 = 6.05; p<0.0005). Post-hoc comparisons confirmed that body temperature was decreased in Wistar rats relative to their own pretreatment baseline, a comparable timepoint after saline administration and compared with Sprague-Dawley rats in the first hour after 5.6 or 10.0 mg/kg 4-MMC. The body temperature of Sprague-Dawley rats was unchanged in the first hour after 4-MMC administration, however the post-hoc test confirmed a reliable decrease in temperature in the third hour after the 1.0 mg/kg dose relative to the pretreatment baseline and the vehicle condition at the same timepoint.

Locomotor activity was increased by 4-MMC in both strains of rats when challenged under either ambient temperature condition (Figure 1). The statistical analysis confirmed main effects of drug treatment (F4,45 = 16.34; p<0.0001), time post-injection (F3,42 = 56.76; p<0.0001) and the interaction of dose condition with time post-injection (F12,168 = 8.84; p<0.0001) under standard ambient conditions.
laboratory ambient temperature of 23°C (Figure 2). Activity in the first hour after 5.6 or 10.0 mg/kg 4-MMC was significantly elevated over the hour prior to injection and the respective timepoint after saline administration in each strain of rats. The Sprague-Dawley activity was also increased for these doses/timepoints relative to the Wistar group. A significant increase in locomotor activity was likewise observed in the second hour after 10.0 mg/kg relative to the respective vehicle condition in each strain and the effect was greater in Sprague-Dawley rats.

Nearly identical effects on locomotor activity were observed at the 27°C ambient temperature. The statistical analysis confirmed main effects of drug treatment (F_{4,56} = 12.80; p<0.0001), time post-injection (F_{3,42} = 80.52; p<0.0001) and the interaction of dose condition with time post-injection (F_{12,168} = 9.28; p<0.0001). The post-hoc test confirmed that activity was higher in Wistar rats after the 3.2, 5.6 and 10.0 mg/kg doses of 4-MMC relative to the hour before injection and the comparative timepoint after vehicle injection. Activity was higher in the Sprague-Dawley group in the first hour after 5.6 or 10.0 mg/kg 4-MMC in comparison with the hour pre-injection, the same timepoint after vehicle injection and the same dose/time points in the Wistar rats.
4-MMC versus d-Methamphetamine

Analysis was conducted to compare the effects of 1.0 or 5.6 mg/kg d-methamphetamine (METH) s.c. with the data collected for 0.0, 3.2 and 10.0 mg/kg challenge in the Wistar and Sprague-Dawley rats under 23°C ambient temperature conditions (i.e., the data illustrated in Figures 1 and 2). Body temperature was decreased by 4-MMC in the Wistar rats and increased by METH in the Sprague-Dawley rats as is illustrated in Figure 3. The statistical analysis of temperature data confirmed main effects of drug treatment ($F_{4,52} = 10.33; \, p<0.0001$), time post-injection ($F_{3,39} = 13.37; \, p<0.0001$), as well as the interaction of strain with time post-injection ($F_{3,39} = 13.22; \, p<0.0001$), the interaction of dose condition with time post-injection ($F_{12,156} = 9.41; \, p<0.0001$) and the interaction of all three factors ($F_{12,156} = 5.80; \, p<0.0001$).

The post-hoc test confirmed that body temperature of Wistar rats was lower than pretreatment baseline, then after vehicle at the same timepoint and compared to Sprague-Dawley rats in the first hour after either 3.2 or 10.0 mg/kg 4-MMC. The temperature of Sprague-Dawley rats was decreased relative to both vehicle at the same timepoint and the pre-treatment baseline in the second and third hours after 3.2 mg/kg 4-MMC but increased relative to vehicle in the second hour after 10.0 mg/kg 4-MMC. Body temperature was also significantly increased in the Sprague-Dawley rats relative to the pretreatment baseline and vehicle condition in the first hour after 1.0 mg/kg METH and for the first two hours after 5.6 mg/kg METH; for this latter dose, temperature was elevated in the third hour relative to the vehicle condition.

4-MMC at 23 & 27 °Celsius $T_A$

![Graph showing temperature and activity counts for Wistar and Sprague-Dawley rats](image)

Figure 2. Statistical analysis was conducted on hourly bins (mean temperature and summed activity counts) for three hours after injection. Post-hoc confirmation of a significant difference from the following conditions is indicated by: Vehicle condition at a given timepoint: †; Pretreatment value within dose condition: $; Pretreatment baseline and vehicle condition at that time point: #: Vehicle condition, pretreatment value and between strains: *; Pretreatment value and between strains: &; Vehicle condition at the respective timepoint and between strains: ‡; Between strains: @.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044652.g002
condition. The temperature in the second and third hour after 5.6 mg/kg METH was reliably increased relative to the temperature of Wistar rats.

Locomotor activity was increased by 4-MMC and by METH in each strain of rats as is illustrated in Figure 3. The statistical analysis of activity data confirmed main effects of drug treatment ($F_{4,52} = 15.45; p = 0.0001$), time post-injection ($F_{3,39} = 46.10; p = 0.0001$), as well as the interaction of dose condition with time post-injection ($F_{12,156} = 10.98; p = 0.0001$). Post-hoc analysis confirmed that locomotor activity was increased over pretreatment levels and vehicle in the first hour after 10.0 mg/kg 4-MMC or 1.0 mg/kg METH in both strains; greater activity was confirmed for the Sprague-Dawley rats relative to Wistar rats for both of these drug treatments. Activity was also reliably higher than pretreatment and the respective vehicle condition in the first hour after 3.2 mg/kg 4-MMC or 5.6 mg/kg METH in the Wistar rats. In both strains locomotor activity was suppressed in the second hour after 5.6 mg/kg METH.

8-hydroxy-N,N-dipropyl-2-aminotetralin (8-OH-DPAT)

The serotonin receptor 5-HT$_{1A,7}$ receptor agonist 8-OH-DPAT significantly reduced body temperature in each strain of rats (Figure 4). Following the 0.3 mg/kg dose, a mean temperature nadir of 35.62 ($\pm$0.177)°C was found in the Sprague-Dawley group. The statistical analysis confirmed main effects of drug treatment condition ($F_{3,36} = 41.08; p < 0.0001$) and time post-injection ($F_{3,36} = 128.34; p < 0.0001$) as well as an interaction of drug treatment with rat strain ($F_{3,36} = 5.99; p < 0.005$), drug treatment and time post-injection ($F_{9,108} = 25.12; p < 0.0001$) and the interaction of all three factors ($F_{9,108} = 2.26; p < 0.05$).

Post-hoc comparisons of these effects confirmed that 8-OH-DPAT (0.05, 0.1, 0.3 mg/kg) lowered body temperature in the first hour relative to the vehicle condition and the pre-treatment baseline in Sprague-Dawley rats; this effect persisted to the second hour for the 0.3 mg/kg dose. The hypothermia relative to the vehicle condition and the pre-treatment baseline was also observed in Wistar rats for two hours after dosing with all three doses of 8-OH-DPAT and for the highest two doses body temperature remained below that of the vehicle condition. Body temperature of Wistar rats was significantly higher than that of Sprague-Dawley rats for all timepoints in the vehicle challenge condition, for the pretreatment hour before the 0.1 mg/kg condition and for the second and third hours after 0.05 mg/kg 8-OH-DPAT.

Pharmacokinetic studies

The timecourse of elimination of 4-MMC from Sprague-Dawley and Wistar rats was similar, as is illustrated in Figure 5. The peak concentrations (Cmax) observed following a 5.6 mg/kg subcutaneous dose were 1206 (Sprague Dawley) and 868 (Wistar) ng/mL of plasma (Table 1).
In vivo Microdialysis

A study in 5 male Wistar rats demonstrated that 10 mg/kg 4-MMC s.c. increased extra-cellular dopamine (DA; \(F(15,60) = 41.01, p < 0.001\)) and serotonin (5-HT; \(F(15,60) = 10.80, p < 0.001\)) in the nucleus accumbens shell (Figure 6). The Dunnett post-hoc test confirmed significant increases over the pre-treatment average for DA (2 hrs) and 5-HT (1.5 hrs). The baseline sample averages ranged from 2.20–2.31 nM for DA, 0.45–0.46 nM for 5-HT and the relative 4-MMC-induced increase was much greater for 5-HT (~22-fold) than DA (~9-fold). Probe placements were verified histologically at the conclusion of the study.

Discussion

These experiments constitute a comprehensive investigation into the thermoregulatory and locomotor stimulant properties of 4-methylmethcathinone (4-MMC; aka mephedrone, MMCAT, “plant food”), a drug which is being used recreationally by humans [8,9,35]. The data show that 4-MMC has substantial effects on both body temperature and locomotor activity; it was found to lower body temperature in Wistar rats and act as a locomotor stimulant in both Wistar and Sprague-Dawley rats. It was found that 4-MMC is equally efficacious as d-methamphetamine (METH) in stimulating locomotion, however it was about tenfold less potent than METH.

The pronounced decrease in body temperature that was observed in the Wistar rats is reminiscent of the effects of 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine [15,16] and N-ethyl-3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine [36], both of which reduce the body temperature of rats under normal laboratory ambient temperature conditions of ~22–24°C. These observations contrast

| Table 1. Pharmacokinetic parameters for male Sprague Dawley (N = 3) and Wistar (N = 3) rats following subcutaneous administration of 5.6 mg/kg 4-MMC. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Strain          | Tmax (hr)       | Cmax (ng/mL)    | Cmax (nM)       | AUC (area) ng-hr/mL |
| Sprague-Dawley  | 0.25            | 1206            | 6806            | 1170              |
| Wistar          | 0.25            | 868             | 4901            | 870               |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044652.t001
with prior studies showing that methcathinone [37] and cathinone [38] increase body temperature in male Sprague-Dawley and Wistar rats, respectively. There are data showing that khat chewing increases the body temperature of humans [39], however it should be noted that MDMA consistently increases the body temperature of humans [39], however under low ambient temperature conditions under which rodent temperature responses are in the negative direction. What was perhaps most surprising was that the body temperature response to 4-MMC was not qualitatively altered when administered across a range of ambient temperatures at which MDMA converts from a decrease to an increase in body temperature [16]. The present results therefore indicate that 4-MMC may have thermoregulatory actions that are in some ways distinct from those of MDMA.

The more modest effect of 4-MMC on the body temperature of Sprague-Dawley (vs. Wistar) rats is more likely attributable to the pharmacology of 4-MMC interacting with strain differences rather than to a relative resistance in Sprague-Dawley rats to exhibit hypothermia under normal laboratory ambient temperature conditions, since the 5-HT1A/5-HT7 receptor agonist 8-OH-DPAT decreased the body temperature of both strains. More specifically, these data also suggest that unlike MDMA, 4-MMC was not qualitatively altered when administered across a range of ambient temperatures at which MDMA converts from a decrease to an increase in body temperature [16]. The present results therefore indicate that 4-MMC may have thermoregulatory effects of 4-MMC were more straightforward in that 4-MMC produced less locomotor stimulation than amphetamine and more than MDMA [11] likely used a submaximal 4-MMC dose (see Figure 1) and a dose of amphetamine that approximates the peak of a typical inverted-U dose-response function [49,50]. A recent finding in adolescent Wistar rats reported MDMA-like [51] thigmotaxis, and locomotor stimulation greater than that produced by 2 mg/kg METH following high dose (15–30 mg/kg) 4-MMC [52]; it was not made clear that the dose of METH was at the peak of the inverted U function for animals of that strain and age. Work by Lopez-Arnau and colleagues reported that 5 mg/kg 4-MMC was more potent than 5 mg/kg MDMA in stimulating open field activity [53]. Here we have examined a broad dose–response function and found locomotor stimulant effects of 4-MMC that are present up to 10 mg/kg without induction of stereotypy, similar to the effects of 10 mg/kg MDMA [51,54–56].

Our neurochemical data extend prior results [11,23] to a higher dose and confirm that extracellular serotonin in the nucleus accumbens is increased to a greater extent than is dopamine after a 10 mg/kg 4-MMC, s.c., dose, coinciding with maximum locomotor stimulant effects in our telemetry studies. We have recently shown that running wheel activity is suppressed by 4-MMC or MDMA whereas METH produces an inverted U pattern of increased, then decreased, wheel activity [57]. This latter finding suggests wheel-based locomotor assays may offer improved discrimination between dopamine-dominant classical stimulants and the MDMA-like stimulants which produce significant serotonergic alterations.

The pharmacokinetic (PK) data are consistent with the observed time course of effects on temperature and activity. Maximum plasma levels of 4-MMC were observed within 15 minutes of subcutaneous dosing and that the drug was mostly eliminated within two hours; the temperature and activity effects lasted about 90 minutes. A strain difference was observed in the PK experiment with Sprague Dawley rats achieving significantly higher peak drug levels 15–30 minutes after dosing. Although it would be tempting to attribute the strain difference in locomotor stimulation to this PK difference, the observation that Wistar rats’ body temperature was more affected advises caution. It may be the case that 4-MMC is converted to one or more active metabolites and a difference in this process might account for a different outcome on temperature and activity. Additional investigation will be needed to resolve the apparent difference in metabolism of 4-MMC in Wistar and Sprague Dawley rats.

In summary, this study provides the first experimental data on the thermoregulatory effects of 4-methylmethcathinone, a novel cathinone derivative being used recreationally under the name mephedrone. The data also showed that 4-MMC is a locomotor stimulant in rats with an efficacy similar to that of a peak locomotor stimulant dose (1 mg/kg) of methamphetamine, albeit with a several fold reduction in potency. Some caution is warranted, however, since 4-MMC and methamphetamine appear to be equally potent in improving visuo-spatial memory in rhesus monkeys, thus species differences may be critical [58].
The thermoregulatory impact of 4-MMC is to reduce body temperature (at least in Wistar rats). These effects are more similar to the 3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine (MDMA) amphetamine derivative and are likely related to indirect/direct serotonergic agonist properties; see [30] for review. It remains a question as to why 4-MMC did not produce any elevation of body temperature at the higher ambient temperature condition as would be expected for MDMA. These behavioral pharmacological data are consistent with biochemical and pharmacological evidence that 4-MMC acts to block or reverse the dopamine and serotonin transporters with potencies that are more similar to MDMA than to methamphetamine [10,11,23]; also see Figure 6. The clear strain differences in thermoregulatory effects are intriguing because they may indicate differential metabolism or selective differences in pharmacodynamic effects. Our pharmacokinetic data were similar, suggesting differences in pharmacodynamics may be the better target for future investigation. In conclusion, although some similarities to amphetamine class stimulants were observed and the locomotor effects were consistent with a limited prior literature on the effects of cathinone/methcathinone, clearly 4-MMC confers a unique constellation of behavioral and physiological properties in rodents. Further investigation is warranted to clearly delineate specific health risks of 4-MMC in the human recreational user.
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