Ukrainian early (pre-1850) historical weather observations

Oleg Skrynyk1,2 | Jürg Luterbacher3 | Rob Allan4 | Dmytro Boichuk1,5 | Vladyslav Sidenko1 | Olesya Skrynyk1,6 | Angelika Palarz7 | Dmytro Oshurok1 | Elena Xoplaki8,9 | Volodymyr Osadchyi1

1Ukrainian Hydrometeorological Institute, Kyiv, Ukraine
2Center for Climate Change C3, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Tarragona, Spain
3Science and Innovation Department, World Meteorological Organization (WMO), Geneva, Switzerland
4ACRE/Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, UK
5Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv, Ukraine
6National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine
7Department of Climatology, Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland
8Department of Geography, Climatology, Climate Dynamic and Climate Change, Justus Liebig University Giessen, Giessen, Germany
9Center of International Development and Environmental Research, Justus Liebig University Giessen, Giessen, Germany

Abstract

In this paper, we describe the results of a climate data rescue (DARE) activity conducted at the Ukrainian Hydrometeorological Institute (Kyiv, Ukraine) in cooperation with the Justus Liebig University Giessen (Giessen, Germany), the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) and Met Office Hadley Centre (Exeter, United Kingdom). The focus of our work is on pre-1850 sub-daily meteorological observations conducted on the territory of modern Ukraine. Data from eight stations (stored in special hard copy tables/books, with handwritten records) have been digitized during the DARE process, resulting in 291,103 rescued values in total. More than half of this number (165,980, ~57%) are related to air temperature data, 124,376 data values (~42.7%) concern atmospheric pressure records and 747 values (~0.3%) are precipitation data. Simple quality control of the digitized data has been conducted, including an intercomparison between stations as well as comparison with monthly temperature data previously digitized from other paper sources. The quality control procedures revealed fairly good agreement between rescued time series on the monthly time scale as well as with the monthly data from independent sources. However, several periods for a few stations should be used with caution due to relatively large discrepancies that were found. The rescued digital database can be used for extreme value analysis for the pre-1850 period in comparison with today’s climate, regional climatological studies and will be used for future Reanalysis. In addition, for the first time, we...
1 | INTRODUCTION

Detection and quantitative assessment of the recent climate change on global and regional scales is mainly based on data from instrumental measurements conducted on meteorological/climatological stations all over the world (Hartmann et al., 2013). The records of such measurements are an extremely important source of information related to variations in the state of the atmosphere. Longer and more detailed records are the most valuable for climate research and applications through assessing long-term trends, variability and extremes and comparing historic and current conditions that researchers can build robust and consistent picture of what is happening across the planet. However, not all climatological data (derived from meteorological measurements/observations) are available to researchers (e.g. Brönnimann et al., 2019), since their oldest part often still exists only in hard copy form that need to be rescued, digitised and quality controlled first. Rescue, recovery, digitization and quality control of historical meteorological/climatological data is of extraordinary importance for the climatological community (e.g. Brázdil et al., 2010; Allan et al., 2011; Kwok, 2017; Brönnimann et al., 2018a, b; Brönnimann et al., 2019; Brönnimann and Wintzer, 2019; Wilkinson et al., 2019; Brunet et al., 2020; Craig and Hawkins, 2020). Data rescue activities include the ongoing process of preserving data that are of risk of being lost due to deterioration of the medium and digitizing current and past data into computer compatible form for easy access (I-DARE, 2019).

It is also worth noting that modern climate research, applications and services seek to operate with information (including its historical part) regarding climate variability at a high temporal resolution. Therefore, daily or even sub-daily (original measurements/observations) meteorological data are currently becoming the main base for climate research, extreme analysis and impact studies (e.g. Brönnimann et al., 2019 and references therein). For instance, quantitative approaches to climate risk management, such as mapping or impact modeling, rely on past meteorological data with daily or sub-daily resolution (Brönnimann et al., 2018b). Nevertheless, a large fraction of such data has not been available for scientific analysis. Thus, many initiatives and projects on international (e.g. the Mediterranean climate data rescue (MEDARE, 2007), the Historical instrumental climatological surface time series of the Greater Alpine Region (HISTALP, Auer et al., 2007), the Atmospheric Circulation Reconstructions over the Earth (ACRE, Allan et al., 2011), the European meteorological services network – Data rescue (EuMetNet-DARE, 2018), the International data rescue (I-DARE, 2019)) and national (e.g. NOAA, 2019) levels have been launched in order to digitize these historical records of instrumental meteorological observations (Brunet and Jones, 2011; Brönnimann et al., 2018b; Brönnimann et al., 2019). In February 2020, Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) launched a new Data Rescue Portal which aims to make historical observations available via the Climate Data Store. Ultimately, C3S’s goal is to combine assets from archives all around the world to make one comprehensive dataset that anyone can use, containing every single observation that has ever been found anywhere (https://climate.copernicus.eu/new-portal-allows-sharing-historical-weather-observations-climate-research). Such activity by historical climatologists has resulted in many datasets of meteorological records being rescued/digitized from all over the world. For instance, the databases of historical observations of different meteorological variables have been created for countries and regions such as Italy (Camuffo and Bertolin, 2012; Camuffo et al., 2013; Camuffo et al., 2017; Camuffo et al., 2020), Switzerland (Pfister et al., 2019), Portugal (Alcoforado et al., 2012), Spain (Domínguez-Castro et al., 2014; Rodrigo, 2020), UK (Hawkins et al., 2019; Craig and Hawkins, 2020), Mediterranean North Africa and the Middle East area (Brunet et al., 2014; Ashcroft et al., 2018), Canada (Slonosky, 2014) and Australia (Ashcroft et al., 2014). The most comprehensive global inventory of pre-1850 data rescue activities has been published recently by Brönnimann et al. (2019).

Some parts of the Ukrainian historical (up to the end of 19th century) climatological data have recently been made available for scientific analysis as a result of several initiatives (for instance, Osadchy et al., 2013; Osadchy et al., 2018; Skrynyk et al., 2019; Boichuk et al., 2019). However, these studies have mainly focused on monthly mean, minimum and maximum values of air temperature. To the best of our knowledge, original meteorological observations conducted in Ukraine in the pre-industrial period have not been used in any analysis. The main objective of this paper is to present results of data rescue activity conducted recently by the Ukrainian Hydrometeorological Institute (UHMI, Kyiv, Ukraine), in close collaboration with the Justus Liebig University Giessen.
(Giessen, Germany), the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) and the Met Office Hadley Centre (Exeter, United Kingdom). Our focus was concentrated mainly on original sub-daily pre-1850 meteorological observations made at eight meteorological/climatological stations located on the territory of modern Ukraine. These eight meteorological stations are the only ones with pre-1850 data that have been found in a specialized archive of the observation institution of the Ukrainian Weather Service the Central Geophysical Observatory (CGO).

2 MATERIALS/DATA AND METHODS

The recovered and processed data are from following eight meteorological stations: Kyiv, Kharkiv, Poltava, Kamyanets-Podilsky, Lugansk, Dnipro, Kherson and Odesa. The stations are named after the cities/towns of their location. All these stations or, more precisely, stations with the same names are currently operating, and they belong to a modern regular network of the national meteorological monitoring system. Their geographical coordinates and altitudes are presented in Table 1 and in Figure 1 can be seen their distribution in the territory of Ukraine.

2.1 Original paper data medium (tables, books and handbooks) with records of weather observations

The data from all stations were stored on paper (hard copy) format in special tables/books/handbooks where meteorological records were recorded by hand (manually). However, not all of them seem to be ‘original’ tables (written directly by observers). In several cases, it looks like the records were rewritten (manually copied) into the tables at a later date, but it is not clear when exactly. There is no information about the current location of the ‘original’ records (probably, they were lost). Information about the numbers of tables/books/handbooks with data that were found for every station is seen in Table 1. Their total number is 38. In Figure 2 can be seen an example of the books/handbooks. The quality of the records in the paper sources can be considered acceptable: in the majority of cases, written numbers are clearly visible and can be digitized without problems. Many tables/books have some text information for providing explanations about their contents. This information in the different books is written in different languages (old Russian, German or French). There is also no unique format of the data records in the tables. They differ from each other with regard to the number of observed/measured meteorological variables, time of observations, and their frequency during a day etc. Examples of the paper tables with the observations are presented in Figure 3.

According to the station histories, published in KHMO (1968), the earliest meteorological observations in Ukraine were conducted mainly at universities or other educational institutions/organizations (e.g. the nautical school in Kherson). These observations were usually organized and conducted by volunteers (by professors or students).

Worth mentioning are several historical events, which, probably, had some influence on both the state of the climatological archive of the Ukrainian Weather Service and the volume of information preserved. The most significant of these is two World Wars and associated events. For instance, during World War II Kyiv, where the archive has been located, was occupied. According to URHMI (1970), the main part of the archive was evacuated from Kyiv before the occupation and it was returned later after the end of the war. As reported in URHMI (1970), some parts of the hard copy books, documents etc. were lost during these relocations. Around 1960, the archive was relocated one more time to a new building and part of the original historical records may

TABLE 1 Details on the rescued Ukrainian meteorological stations

| Station          | Geographical coordinates | Altitude ASL, m | Number of books with records | Beginning of observations in the rescued books |
|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Kyiv             | 50°23'32" 30°32'11"      | 166             | 2                            | 1812                                          |
| Kharkiv          | 49°55'36" 36°16'44"      | 154             | 6                            | 1840                                          |
| Poltava          | 49°36'34" 34°32'41"      | 160             | 6                            | 1824                                          |
| Kamyanets-Podilsky | 48°41'36" 26°36'31"    | 217             | 1                            | 1844                                          |
| Lugansk          | 48°33'56" 39°13'39"      | 59              | 9                            | 1827                                          |
| Dnipro           | 48°21'36" 35°05'06"      | 142             | 6                            | 1833                                          |
| Kherson          | 46°44'18" 32°42'30"      | 47              | 2                            | 1808                                          |
| Odesa            | 46°26'27" 30°46'13"      | 42              | 6                            | 1839                                          |

Note: The geographical coordinates and altitudes are given for modern meteorological stations. These metadata are slightly different for the rescued stations because all of them were relocated to new places in every town/city.
have been lost during this relocation as well. The influence of all these events/episodes on the archive should be studied in more details in the future in order to assess the impact on the quantity and quality of the remaining records.

2.2 Data rescue/digitization

Because the records are handwritten, there is no possibility to automate the digitization process. According to the recommendations of the World Meteorological Organization (WMO, 2016), Wilkinson et al. (2019) and Brunet et al. (2020), in order to facilitate the digitization and further application and usage of these newly found books and data, all pages of the tables/books were photographed firstly. This allowed us to create a database of images of all the paper sources found. Two versions of the database are now stored in UHMI and CGO (Ukraine). During this rescue process, we tried to maintain a balance (by choosing an appropriate resolution of photographs) between the quality of the images and the size of memory required to store each photograph. After the creation of the database of the images, data were digitized manually by the authors during the 7-month period September 2018 to March 2019. In the course of the digitizing process, we followed recommendations outlined by the WMO (2016) with the main rule of ‘key as you see’ (Ashcroft et al., 2018; Wilkinson et al., 2019; Brunet et al., 2020). However, the suggested double-keying method (Brönnimann et al., 2006) was not applied due to limited financial resources. We digitized values of three variables: air temperature, atmospheric pressure (station level) and amount of precipitation. Other information (mainly short text descriptions of the state of the atmosphere, wind direction etc.) has not been processed. Missing values were marked as ‘-999.9’. The digitized values were stored in Excel tables. In total, eight (+1 for Kharkiv, University, see below explanations in section 3.1.2) Excel files were created (one file for every station) where data (temperature, pressure and precipitation) were stored/organized by years (that is, data for particular years stored on separate sheets). Years with no
data were not included in the files. An example of the Excel tables with digitized data is presented in Figure 4.

2.3 | The quality control of the rescued data

Several quality assurance (QA) procedures were performed in order to check the quality of the digitization process and reliability of the rescued data. First of all, the simplest check on very large (positive and negative) and not numeric values was carried out by means of Excel instruments/tools by using appropriate filters. This allowed us to locate and correct very crude digitization mistakes and errors. In addition, we conducted two kinds of comparison of the rescued data on the monthly time scale. Firstly, we compared monthly air temperature data, calculated from the rescued records and monthly averages digitized previously by Osadchyi et al. (2018) from another paper source (URHMI, 1953). This
source is a special handbook containing Ukrainian historical (pre-1950) monthly air temperature data. Unfortunately, Ukrainian monthly atmospheric pressure data for the same period were not published in special issues or at least, we were not able to find them. Therefore, it was only possible to perform this comparison for air temperature. The second QA procedure conducted on the monthly scale data was a mutual comparison of rescued stations by means of the HOMER software (Mestre et al., 2013). HOMER is a homogenization software designed to remove artificial artefacts (abrupt breaks, gradual trends, outliers, etc.) from climatological time series with monthly time resolution. However, it also contains a QA module, which allows one to perform quality control of data based on a mutual comparison of stations (Mestre and Aguilar, 2011).

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The amounts of rescued data for every station and every year are summarized in Table 2. In total, we rescued 291,103 values. This includes 165,980 rescued air temperature records (~57% of the total), 124,376 atmospheric pressure measurements (~42.7% of the total) and 747 precipitation values (~0.3% of the total). Due to a small number of precipitation data, they were not processed further. Tables 3 and 4 display the completeness of temperature and pressure time series for each year starting since 1808, which is the earliest year with available records. The percentages were calculated based on a supposed number of measurements per year defined using the number of observations per day. Precipitation data were not clearly indicated in the original books as numbers but mainly as text description. Only books/tables from Kharkiv station include atmospheric precipitation data in a numeric form and the 747 rescued precipitation records belong to this station. As can be seen in Table 2, there is the 3-year gap (1820–1822) with no records at all. No records of air temperature were found for 1820–1822, whereas atmospheric pressure data were completely missing for 1816, 1819–1822 and 1828. More detailed information on the rescued data for each station is presented below.

3.1 Details of the DARE activity for the stations

3.1.1 Kyiv

According to a historical description of meteorological observations at Kyiv (KHMO, 1968), the earliest measurements in the capital of Ukraine date back to 1,770. However, we were able to find sub-daily data only from February 1812 until February 1841. Moreover, two periods (1820–1822 and 1826–1836) are missing. During the whole period, observations were conducted three times per day, though the exact time of the day is reported only since 1837. In earlier records, only the fraction of the day (morning, midday and evening) was specified. During the period of 1812–1825, only temperature records were reported (in Reaumur degree). These three temperature measurements for every day were also accompanied by very short information regarding the general state of the atmosphere (such as ‘clear’ and ‘cloudy’) and written information regarding wind direction. The directions were marked by capital letters ‘N’ (North), ‘S’ (South), ‘O’
## Table 2

Number of rescued values

| Year | Kyiv | Kharkiv-University | Kharkiv | Poltava | Kamyanets-Podilskyi | Lugansk | Dnipro | Kherson | Odesa | Total |
|------|------|--------------------|--------|---------|--------------------|---------|--------|---------|-------|-------|
| 1808 | 0    | 0                  | 0      | 0       | 0                  | 0       | 0      | 270     | 0     | 270   |
| 1809 | 0    | 0                  | 0      | 0       | 0                  | 0       | 3,284  | 0       | 3,284 |
| 1810 | 0    | 0                  | 0      | 0       | 0                  | 0       | 2,456  | 0       | 2,456 |
| 1811 | 0    | 0                  | 0      | 0       | 0                  | 0       | 1,359  | 0       | 1,369 |
| 1812 | 983  | 0                  | 0      | 0       | 0                  | 0       | 3,150  | 0       | 4,133 |
| 1813 | 1,092| 0                  | 0      | 0       | 0                  | 0       | 3,285  | 0       | 4,377 |
| 1814 | 1,095| 0                  | 0      | 0       | 0                  | 0       | 3,276  | 0       | 4,371 |
| 1815 | 1,095| 0                  | 0      | 0       | 0                  | 0       | 3,004  | 0       | 4,099 |
| 1816 | 1,096| 0                  | 0      | 0       | 0                  | 0       | 0      | 1,096   |       |
| 1817 | 1,095| 0                  | 0      | 0       | 0                  | 0       | 2,472  | 0       | 3,567 |
| 1818 | 1,094| 0                  | 0      | 0       | 0                  | 0       | 531    | 0       | 1,625 |
| 1819 | 1,002| 0                  | 0      | 0       | 0                  | 0       | 0      | 1,002   |       |
| 1820 | 0    | 0                  | 0      | 0       | 0                  | 0       | 0      | 0       |       |
| 1821 | 0    | 0                  | 0      | 0       | 0                  | 0       | 0      | 0       |       |
| 1822 | 0    | 0                  | 0      | 0       | 0                  | 0       | 0      | 0       |       |
| 1823 | 93   | 0                  | 0      | 0       | 0                  | 0       | 1,194  | 0       | 1,287 |
| 1824 | 1,098| 0                  | 0      | 1,098   | 0                  | 0       | 549    | 0       | 2,745 |
| 1825 | 1,095| 0                  | 0      | 1,095   | 0                  | 0       | 2,365  | 0       | 4,462 |
| 1826 | 0    | 0                  | 0      | 1,095   | 0                  | 0       | 2,190  | 0       | 3,285 |
| 1827 | 0    | 0                  | 0      | 1,095   | 0                  | 622     | 0       | 1,086   | 0     | 2,803 |
| 1828 | 0    | 0                  | 0      | 1,098   | 0                  | 337     | 0       | 0       | 0     | 1,435 |
| 1829 | 0    | 0                  | 0      | 1,095   | 0                  | 1,461   | 0       | 0       | 0     | 2,556 |
| 1830 | 0    | 0                  | 0      | 1,092   | 0                  | 2,189   | 0       | 0       | 0     | 3,281 |
| 1831 | 0    | 0                  | 0      | 1,095   | 0                  | 2,190   | 0       | 0       | 0     | 3,285 |
| 1832 | 0    | 0                  | 0      | 1,095   | 0                  | 2,169   | 0       | 0       | 0     | 2,169 |
| 1833 | 0    | 0                  | 0      | 2,118   | 0                  | 2,190   | 0       | 0       | 0     | 4,308 |
| 1834 | 0    | 0                  | 0      | 2,167   | 2,190   | 0         | 0       | 0       | 4,357 |
| 1835 | 0    | 0                  | 0      | 2,190   | 2,188   | 0         | 0       | 0       | 4,378 |
| 1836 | 0    | 0                  | 0      | 2,190   | 0                  | 1,284   | 2,195   | 0       | 5,489 |
| 1837 | 574  | 0                  | 2,190  | 0       | 4,652   | 2,190   | 720     | 0       | 10,326 |
| 1838 | 2071 | 0                  | 2,190  | 0       | 5,840   | 2,190   | 2,184   | 0       | 14,475 |
| 1839 | 1,411| 0                  | 2,190  | 0       | 5,834   | 2,190   | 1,086   | 2,923   | 15,634 |
| 1840 | 2,164| 2,385              | 2,196  | 0       | 5,360   | 2,196   | 0       | 4,012   | 18,313 |
| 1841 | 344  | 0                  | 2,190  | 0       | 5,840   | 2,190   | 0       | 3,983   | 14,547 |
| 1842 | 0    | 0                  | 2,190  | 0       | 5,840   | 2,190   | 0       | 3,066   | 13,286 |
| 1843 | 0    | 4,320              | 4,391  | 2,190   | 0       | 5,344   | 0       | 3,276   | 19,521 |
| 1844 | 0    | 4,293              | 0      | 2,200   | 5,854   | 0       | 0       | 3,292   | 15,638 |
| 1845 | 0    | 4,484              | 2,190  | 2,920   | 5,840   | 0       | 0       | 3,284   | 18,718 |
| 1846 | 0    | 4,496              | 2,190  | 2,919   | 5,592   | 0       | 0       | 3,281   | 18,478 |
| 1847 | 0    | 4,522              | 2,190  | 2,920   | 5,838   | 0       | 0       | 3,157   | 18,627 |
| 1848 | 0    | 0                  | 2,196  | 2,680   | 5,856   | 0       | 0       | 3,293   | 14,025 |
| 1849 | 0    | 0                  | 2,184  | 0       | 5,591   | 0       | 0       | 2,853   | 10,628 |
| 1850 | 0    | 0                  | 2,190  | 0       | 5,740   | 2007    | 0       | 1,470   | 11,407 |
| Total| 17,309|20,207             |8,684   |39,249   |13,639   |95,748   |23,916   |34,461   |37,890   |291,103 |
| Year | Kyiv | Kharkiv-University | Kharkiv | Poltava | Kamyanets-Podilskyi | Lugansk | Dnipropetrovsk | Kherson | Odesa |
|------|------|--------------------|--------|---------|---------------------|---------|----------------|---------|-------|
| 1808 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 9.0     | 0.0   |
| 1809 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 100.0   | 0.0   |
| 1810 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 74.8    | 0.0   |
| 1811 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 41.3    | 0.0   |
| 1812 | 89.5 | 0.0                | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 95.6    | 0.0   |
| 1813 | 99.7 | 0.0                | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 100.0   | 0.0   |
| 1814 | 100.0| 0.0                | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 99.7    | 0.0   |
| 1815 | 100.0| 0.0                | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 91.3    | 0.0   |
| 1816 | 99.8 | 0.0                | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1817 | 100.0| 0.0                | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 75.2    | 0.0   |
| 1818 | 99.9 | 0.0                | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 16.2    | 0.0   |
| 1819 | 91.5 | 0.0                | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1820 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1821 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1822 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1823 | 8.5  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 25.2    | 0.0   |
| 1824 | 100.0| 0.0                | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 16.7    | 0.0   |
| 1825 | 91.5 | 0.0                | 0.0    | 100.0   | 0.0                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 99.4    | 0.0   |
| 1826 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 100.0   | 0.0                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 100.0   | 0.0   |
| 1827 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 100.0   | 0.0                 | 85.2    | 0.0            | 49.6    | 0.0   |
| 1828 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 100.0   | 0.0                 | 30.7    | 0.0            | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1829 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 100.0   | 0.0                 | 0.0     | 0.0            | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1830 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 99.7    | 0.0                 | 100.0   | 0.0            | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1831 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 100.0   | 0.0                 | 100.0   | 0.0            | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1832 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0                 | 98.8    | 0.0            | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1833 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0                 | 96.7    | 100.0          | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1834 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0                 | 99.0    | 100.0          | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1835 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0                 | 100.0   | 99.9           | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1836 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 91.5    | 0.0                 | 58.5    | 100.0          | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1837 | 26.4 | 0.0                | 0.0    | 100.0   | 0.0                 | 94.1    | 100.0          | 32.9    | 0.0   |
| 1838 | 98.3 | 0.0                | 0.0    | 100.0   | 0.0                 | 100.0   | 100.0          | 100.0   | 0.0   |
| 1839 | 66.6 | 0.0                | 0.0    | 100.0   | 0.0                 | 100.0   | 100.0          | 49.6    | 62.6  |
| 1840 | 100.0| 60.9               | 0.0    | 100.0   | 0.0                 | 91.5    | 100.0          | 0.0     | 99.8  |
| 1841 | 16.1 | 0.0                | 0.0    | 100.0   | 0.0                 | 100.0   | 100.0          | 0.0     | 99.5  |
| 1842 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 100.0   | 0.0                 | 100.0   | 100.0          | 0.0     | 90.0  |
| 1843 | 0.0  | 95.4               | 99.7   | 100.0   | 0.0                 | 91.5    | 0.0            | 0.0     | 99.6  |
| 1844 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 95.1   | 0.0     | 75.1                | 100.0   | 0.0            | 0.0     | 99.9  |
| 1845 | 0.0  | 99.7               | 0.0    | 100.0   | 100.0               | 100.0   | 0.0            | 0.0     | 100.0 |
| 1846 | 0.0  | 99.4               | 0.0    | 100.0   | 99.9                | 91.5    | 0.0            | 0.0     | 99.5  |
| 1847 | 0.0  | 99.9               | 0.0    | 100.0   | 100.0               | 99.9    | 0.0            | 0.0     | 95.1  |
| 1848 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 100.0   | 91.5                | 100.0   | 0.0            | 0.0     | 100.0 |
| 1849 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 99.7    | 0.0                 | 91.5    | 0.0            | 0.0     | 86.8  |
| 1850 | 0.0  | 0.0                | 0.0    | 100.0   | 0.0                 | 96.6    | 99.7           | 0.0     | 44.7  |
**Completeness of the rescued air pressure time series for every year (in %)**

| Year | Stations |
|------|----------|
|      | Kyiv     | Kharkiv-University | Kharkiv | Poltava | Kamyanski-Podilskyi | Lugansk | Dnipro | Kherson | Odesa |
| 1808 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0    | 9.0     | 0.0   |
| 1809 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0    | 100.0   | 0.0   |
| 1810 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0    | 74.7    | 0.0   |
| 1811 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0    | 41.3    | 0.0   |
| 1812 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0    | 95.6    | 0.0   |
| 1813 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0    | 100.0   | 0.0   |
| 1814 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0    | 99.7    | 0.0   |
| 1815 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0    | 91.5    | 0.0   |
| 1816 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1817 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0    | 75.3    | 0.0   |
| 1818 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0    | 16.2    | 0.0   |
| 1819 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1820 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1821 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1822 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1823 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0    | 41.9    | 0.0   |
| 1824 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0    | 16.7    | 0.0   |
| 1825 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0    | 100.0   | 0.0   |
| 1826 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0    | 100.0   | 0.0   |
| 1827 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0    | 49.6    | 0.0   |
| 1828 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0    | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1829 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 33.4   | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1830 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 99.9   | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1831 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 100.0  | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1832 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 100.0   | 98.7   | 0.0     | 0.0   |
| 1833 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 96.7   | 100.0   | 0.0   |
| 1834 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 98.9   | 100.0   | 0.0   |
| 1835 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 0.0                | 0.0     | 100.0  | 99.9    | 0.0   |
| 1836 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 0.0     | 91.5               | 0.0     | 58.5   | 99.9    | 0.0   |
| 1837 | 26.0      | 0.0                | 0.0     | 100.0   | 0.0                | 94.1    | 100.0  | 32.9    | 0.0   |
| 1838 | 90.9      | 0.0                | 0.0     | 100.0   | 0.0                | 100.0   | 100.0  | 99.5    | 0.0   |
| 1839 | 62.3      | 0.0                | 0.0     | 100.0   | 0.0                | 99.8    | 100.0  | 49.6    | 51.8  |
| 1840 | 97.1      | 32.2               | 0.0     | 100.0   | 0.0                | 91.5    | 100.0  | 0.0     | 82.9  |
| 1841 | 15.3      | 0.0                | 0.0     | 100.0   | 0.0                | 100.0   | 100.0  | 0.0     | 82.4  |
| 1842 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 100.0   | 0.0                | 100.0   | 100.0  | 0.0     | 50.0  |
| 1843 | 0.0       | 95.4               | 96.3    | 100.0   | 0.0                | 91.5    | 0.0    | 0.0     | 50.0  |
| 1844 | 0.0       | 99.7               | 95.6    | 0.0     | 75.1               | 0.0     | 99.9   | 0.0     | 50.0  |
| 1845 | 0.0       | 99.7               | 0.0     | 100.0   | 0.0                | 100.0   | 0.0    | 0.0     | 50.0  |
| 1846 | 0.0       | 99.4               | 0.0     | 100.0   | 0.0                | 100.0   | 0.0    | 0.0     | 50.0  |
| 1847 | 0.0       | 100.0              | 0.0     | 100.0   | 100.0              | 100.0   | 0.0    | 0.0     | 49.0  |
| 1848 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 100.0   | 91.5               | 100.0   | 0.0    | 0.0     | 50.0  |
| 1849 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 99.7    | 0.0                | 100.0   | 0.0    | 0.0     | 43.4  |
| 1850 | 0.0       | 0.0                | 0.0     | 100.0   | 0.0                | 100.0   | 83.6   | 0.0     | 22.4  |
(East from German ‘Ost’), ‘W’ (West) or their combinations such as ‘SO’ (Southeast) etc. Since 26 September 1837 data were recorded in new tables (book/format): starting from this date, atmospheric pressure was also reported. The supporting text (some explanations) and some observations (including a state of the atmosphere) were given in German. The units for atmospheric pressure records were not reported clearly. However, based on the comparison of the rescued values with similar data from other stations (Poltava, Lugansk and Dnipro), we can assume that this variable was measured in Russian semi lines (R.s.l.). According to (Shostin, 1975; Lamb, 1986) this unit can be converted into millimetres based on the relation 1 R.s.l. = 1.27 mm. That is, 1,000 hPa = 1,000 mbar = 750.06 mmHg = 590.60 R.s.l.

An example of the rescued time series collected at Kyiv is shown in Figure 5. Here, air temperature in 1816, known as ‘the year without summer’ (Brugnara et al., 2015; Luterbacher and Pfister, 2015; Raible et al., 2016), is presented for three periods during each day. Though the exact time of the measurements was not specified in the original hard copy tables, we assume that the measurements were conducted at 06:00, 14:00 and 22:00 (local time), as was pointed out in the paper sources for later periods. The modern climate sub-daily data with measurement times closest to the supposed observations in 1816 are also shown in the figure for comparison. We depicted time series for each year of the 1981–2010 period as well as mean data averaged over 1981–2010. As can be seen from the figure, 1816 conditions are comparable with the present climate and probably the climate conditions in Ukraine in 1816 were not impacted significantly by the Tambora eruption in contrast to other parts of Europe (Luterbacher et al., 2004; Xoplaki et al., 2005; Luterbacher and Pfister, 2015; Brugnara et al., 2015). It will be interesting to compare these time series with similar air temperature data for other places in Europe for the post-Tambora period (Brugnara et al., 2015).

3.1.2 | Kharkiv (Kharkiv, University)

The earliest meteorological observations in Ukraine were, probably, organized in Kharkiv in 1738 (KHMO, 1968). However, only several years of observations prior to 1850 were discovered in the archive: 1840 and 1843–1847. Two stations, namely Kharkiv and Kharkiv, University, seemed to be operating in the pre-1850 period in this city. Such a conclusion can be made based on the hard copy books with

---

**FIGURE 5** Time series of sub-daily air temperature measurements at Kyiv in 1816. Temperature data were converted to the Celsius scale based on the relation $1^\circ C = 1^R * 5/4$. The present climate sub-daily data (1981–2010) measured at the times closest to the supposed historical observations are also shown for comparison.
3.1.4 | Kamyanets-Podilskyi

This is the station with the lowest number of rescued values and years. Just one hard copy book with data records covering the period of 1844–1848 was found in the archive. The year 1844 is also mentioned as the beginning of the station (KHMO, 1968). Only two years, 1849 and 1850, are missing from the pre-1850 time frame. The meteorological measurements at Kamyanets-Podilskyi were performed four times a day: at 08:00, 12:00, 16:00 and 20:00 (local time). Similarly to other stations, air temperature was measured based on the Reaumur scale. Atmospheric pressure was recorded in inches. The values of these two meteorological variables were the only numeric meteorological information recorded in the handbook. Short verbal information regarding the wind and a state of the atmosphere is also provided.

3.1.5 | Lugansk

The largest amount of values of meteorological variables, covering the longest period of consecutive years, was recovered for this station (see Table 2). According to the rescued paper sources, original records of meteorological observations were found for 1827–1850 (continuous). Year 1827 is also acknowledged (KHMO, 1968) as the beginning of meteorological observations in Lugansk. The number of the meteorological observations per day at this station changed several times. During the first year 1827, not more than two measurements were taken each day, usually in the morning and at midday, or only at midday. In the period of 1828–March 1837, three measurements were performed, while in the period of April 1837–1850 it was specified that eight measurements a day were made. Air temperature was measured based on the Reaumur scale during the whole period. Atmospheric pressure has been recorded since 1829, and values were given in inches during the period of 1829–1838. However, during nine months in 1837, as well as other years starting from 1839, pressure was recorded in R.s.l.. Similarly to other stations, short textual information on wind and a state of the atmosphere was also provided in the hard copy books. It is also worth noting that Lugansk is the only station where its relocation in 1843 is clearly specified (KHMO, 1968).

3.1.6 | Dnipro

According to the published description of the station history (KHMO, 1968), meteorological observations in Dnipro started in 1833. The rescued paper sources also contain meteorological records since that year; however, the period of 1843–1849 is missing. During the whole period, the measurements were performed at specified times three times per day. Air
temperature was recorded in Reaumur degrees. Atmospheric pressure was firstly (1833–1838) recorded in inches, but later (1839–1842, 1850) R.s.l. were used as units for this variable. Additional textual information (like a short description of wind direction) was also included in the hardcopy books.

3.1.7 | Kherson

The hardcopy books for this station contain the oldest records of meteorological observations conducted in Ukraine. The data cover the period of 1808–1839. However, there are several substantial missing periods (1816, 1819–1822 and 1828–1836). It also should be mentioned that according to KHMO (1968), meteorological observations in Kherson started in 1801, but we could not find these records for the period 1801–1807 in the archive. The number of measurements per day varied during available years. In the period 1808-February 1825, three measurements (with the time specified as ‘morning’, ‘midday’ and ‘evening’) were performed, while in the month of March 1825–1827 only two measurements were conducted (at 10 a.m. and 10 p.m. of local time). The rest of the available years (1837–1839) was again specified with three measurements per day. Atmospheric pressure was recorded since 1808 using inches as units. Two values of air temperature during 1808-February 1825 were recorded every time of measurement, and both of them were digitized. These two values of temperature were marked in the paper source as ‘on S’ and ‘on N’, probably meaning ‘on South’ and ‘on North’ walls. The differences between these two temperatures are very small. Such temperature time series seem to be something like ‘parallel’ measurements. However, no detailed information was found regarding these slightly different temperature values. During the period of March 1825–1827, along with two values of temperature, measured at 10 a.m. and 10 p.m., values of minimum and maximum air temperature were also provided in the hardcopy book. They were also digitized. Finally, during 1837–1839 three values at regular measurement times (again specified as ‘morning’, ‘midday’ and ‘evening’) were recorded in the book. All temperature values (during all mentioned periods) were measured according to the Reaumur scale. Some additional information was also provided in the rescued books; however, it was mainly short verbal description of the state of the atmosphere.

3.1.8 | Odesa

According to KHMO (1968), meteorological observations in Odesa started in 1821. However, the hardcopy books contain data records only since 1839 with no missing years until 1850. Supporting information in the books is written in French. The records of the observations at this station are characterized by the high variability of a number of measurements per day. Moreover, the numbers of air temperature and atmospheric pressure measurements and their times are different in many cases. For instance, during April of 1839 four records of both meteorological variables can be found in the book, while in May 1839 five measurements for pressure and seven for temperature were reported. Air temperature was measured using the Reaumur scale; atmospheric pressure is presented in inches. However, there are additional values in the books, which represent the same atmospheric pressure in R.s.l. Such ‘parallel’ data can be used for defining the conversion formula. It is also interesting to note, that the data for 1842–1850 do not have a strict table structure but are organized as simple text lines of numeric and character information.

3.2 | Results of QA procedures

3.2.1 | Comparison of rescued data with previously digitized values (on monthly time scale)

Monthly mean data of air temperature for Ukrainian stations until 1950 were published in the special issue in 1953 (URHMI, 1953). The content of this handbook was prepared by climatologists of the Ukrainian Research Hydrometeorological Institute (the former name of UHMI) and was published by the National Academy of Sciences of the former Ukrainian Soviet Republic under supervision of one of the government Ministries. The handbook is an official edition that reflects the current (based on 1950) state of Ukrainian monthly air temperature data (their availability in archives, their checking by QA procedures existing at that time, etc.). Therefore, the handbook can be considered as a reliable source of climatological information. However, the introductory part of URHMI (1953) contains very short and fairly general metadata information regarding sources of meteorological observations/measurements (where monthly data were calculated from), their quality, calculating algorithm, etc. Therefore, there is no possibility to check the correctness of the data based on original paper sources and we should acknowledge that errata, mistakes and wrong data might be present in the datasets. Nevertheless, we believe that these data can be used in order to verify the rescued records and to get an idea about their quality.

We note, that according to the preface of URHMI (1953), the monthly temperature data were published in this handbook with corrections in order to adjust daily averages, calculated based on a limited number of sub-daily records, to ‘true’ daily values. The magnitudes of correction factors were reported in URHMI (1953) for every station, month and year.
along with the corrected data. However, there is no information regarding the methodology that was used to calculate the corrections. In our comparison study, we used uncorrected ‘original’ data: the correction factors were subtracted from the time series. The monthly data from the rescued material were calculated in several steps. Firstly, we calculated daily averages based on sub-daily records. In order to increase the reliability of the comparison, we computed daily values only for those days when no missing sub-daily measurements were reported. Then, the monthly data were calculated based on the WMO ‘3 and 5’ rule (WMO, 1989). Finally, the monthly averages were adjusted/transformed to the Celsius scale based on the relation $1^\circ C = 1^\circ R \times 5/4$, because data in URHMI (1953) were reported in degrees Celsius.

The scatter diagrams, where monthly data URHMI (1953) are depicted against similar values calculated from the rescued dataset, are presented in Figure 6. As can be seen, the rescued data from Poltava station are in very good agreement with monthly data compiled and published in 1953, while the largest differences are observed at two stations, Kamyanets-Podilskyi and Kherson. This probably means that the rescued data for these two stations should be used with caution due to the relatively large discrepancies between the monthly averages and the similar values published in URHMI (1953).
At the majority of stations (apart from Kyiv, Kamyanets-Podilskyi and Kherson), deviations/anomalies between the two kinds of monthly data increase with temperature magnitude (see Figure 6). This leads to the significant seasonal/periodic course of the anomalies that is shown in Figure 7. Moreover, for all these stations, monthly values calculated from the rescued data are overestimated compared with data from URHMI (1953). Such peculiarities (including the seasonal change) of the calculated deviations are difficult to explain. One of the possible reasons might be a limited and small number of sub-daily measurements per day. For instance, three measurements (say at 07:00, 14:00 and 21:00 as at the Poltava station in 1838–1850) approximate a ‘true’ daily averaged value in summer in a different way (with different error) compared with winter.

It is also interesting to note that at several stations (Kyiv, Kharkiv and Lugansk) the magnitudes of the deviations are substantially different during different time periods. For
instance, at the station Kyiv the anomalies in 1837–1841 are much larger than in the rest of the years. For the stations, Kharkiv and Lugansk such periods are 1842–1843 and 1836–1839, respectively.

Below we provide several additional reasons, which could have some influence on the discrepancies between the two different monthly temperature data. (1) Algorithms for calculating monthly values from sub-daily measurements might be different in the two datasets. (2) Probably, the monthly data in URHMI (1953) for some stations were adjusted/recalculated to the ‘New Style’ of the calendar (Gregorian calendar), while monthly data calculated from the rescued values were obtained in the ‘Old Style’ (Julian calendar). We calculated the monthly data according to the

FIGURE 8 Graphical output of the HOMER QA procedure for air temperature at Kyiv and Lugansk. The plots show time series of air temperature anomalies at a candidate station (red dots linked with red lines) and reference stations (black crosses) for each month (01–12). The anomalies are calculated as the deviations of monthly averages from corresponding spatially mean values.
dates in the original records (without any time shift). The transition from ‘Old’ to ‘New’ style (shift on 13 days) in the territory of modern Ukraine was performed only in 1918. However, this does not explain why we have a fairly good accordance between monthly values at several stations and time periods.

In general, we conclude that it is challenging to provide more detailed explanations of the observed discrepancies due to scarce information or metadata provided with the datasets in URHMI (1953).

### 3.2.2 Mutual comparison of rescued stations on the monthly time scale (air temperature)

The QA part of the HOMER software allows us to perform a mutual evaluation of monthly time series and to localize outliers. As an example, we present the graphical output of the HOMER QA procedure for stations Kyiv and Lugansk (Figure 8). In such figures/outputs, the deviations of monthly averages from spatially local mean values are presented for

![Graphical output of the HOMER QA procedure for atmospheric pressure at Poltava and Odesa. The plots show time series of atmospheric pressure anomalies at a candidate station (red dots linked with red lines) and reference stations (black crosses) for each month (01–12). The anomalies are calculated as the deviations of monthly averages from corresponding spatially mean values.](image)
every month and every year. The red dots linked with red lines represent the analysed station and black crosses denote reference ones. In our case, we used in the calculations all the rest of the stations as reference. As can be seen from the figure, the monthly data at station Kyiv for the periods of 1824–1825 and 1837–1841 look like outliers for most months, while the similar data at Lugansk seem to be in more or less satisfactory accordance with measurements at other stations. However, due to the large number of missing values along with the small number of stations analysed (see SM1 with the HOMER diagnostic file for more details) the results of such evaluation do not seem to be so reliable. Nevertheless, the data for all suspicious months on all stations were checked one more time in the hardcopy sources in order to ensure that there were no digitization errors. In general, we can conclude that the mutual evaluation of the rescued air temperature time series on the monthly time scale by means of the HOMER software supports the conclusions drawn with the previous QA procedure.

3.2.3 Mutual comparison of rescued time series on monthly time scale (atmospheric pressure)

Mutual comparison of the rescued atmospheric pressure time series seems to be the only choice to evaluate the quality of the data. Similar to air temperature, we conducted such comparisons on the monthly scale by means of the HOMER software. In order to perform, the evaluation we adjusted/transformed all pressure data to the same unit (R.s.l.). The problem was that records of atmospheric pressure in the paper sources for different stations and on different periods were reported in different units, which were not clearly specified. In such cases, we defined the units by a comparison with other stations where they were specified.

An example of graphical outputs of the HOMER QA procedure is presented in Figure 9, where just two stations (Poltava and Odesa) are considered. The analysis of all figures suggests that the rescued atmospheric pressure data on almost all stations are comparable between each other. The only exception is the pressure data at Kyiv, which are slightly overestimated in comparison with other stations (see SM2). The reason for such overestimation is not completely clear and should be studied further. Besides, it looks like the time series from several stations have break points. For instance, a shift in ~1847 at Poltava (Figure 8) in almost all months is clearly seen. However, no metadata for this year and station were found in the station history (KHMO, 1968). Similarly to air temperature data, all suspicious values, which looked like outliers, were checked one more time in the original paper sources in order to account for any digitization errors. Generally, we can summarize, that the more detailed evaluation of the quality of the rescued pressure records by means of the HOMER software is difficult to perform due to the large number of missing values and the limited number of stations analysed (see SM2 with the HOMER diagnostic file for more details).

4 CONCLUSION

In this contribution, we reported about the DARE activities of the pre-1850 meteorological observations conducted at eight Ukrainian climatological stations. The data rescue was performed at the Ukrainian Hydrometeorological Institute in close collaboration with several international partners. To the best of our knowledge, these original sub-daily data of meteorological observations that were conducted in Ukraine in the pre-1850 period are made available for the first time to scientific analysis. According to the QA procedures performed, the rescued air temperature data from two stations, namely Kamyanets-Podilskyi and Kherson, should be used with caution due to relatively large discrepancies between the monthly data calculated from the rescued records and those published in other paper sources. The same conclusion applies to the rescued data from the station Kyiv for the period of 1837–1841, Kharkiv for 1843 and Lugansk for the period until 1839. The temperature data at the monthly time scale from the other stations and other periods are in good agreement with data from URHMI (1953), which can be considered as an indirect proof of their quality. The HOMER QA procedure generally supports this conclusion.

The comparison of the rescued atmospheric pressure time series by means of the HOMER software (on monthly time scales as well) showed good agreement between the pressure data at different stations. The only exception was the station Kyiv, where the pre-1850 pressure data are slightly overestimated compared with other stations. The presence of possible break points in the pressure time series at several stations was also reported. However, we also acknowledge that the HOMER QA procedure did not provide conclusive information regarding the quality of the rescued records due to the large amount of missing data and the very limited number of the climatological stations considered. Nevertheless, the rescued dataset can be used for different meteorological and climatological purposes including the analysis of extreme events, the contribution to the creation of reanalysis products and can be considered as an important supplement to existing digitized archives of original meteorological measurements performed in the first half of 19th century.
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