Estradiol in the Preoptic Area Regulates the Dopaminergic Response to Cocaine in the Nucleus Accumbens
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The sex-steroid hormone estradiol (E2) enhances the psychoactive effects of cocaine, as evidenced by clinical and preclinical studies. The medial preoptic area (mPOA), a region in the hypothalamus, is a primary neural locus for neuroendocrine integration, containing one of the richest concentrations of estrogen receptors in the CNS and also has a key role in the regulation of naturally rewarding behaviors. However, whether estradiol enhances the neurochemical response to cocaine by acting in the mPOA is still unclear. Using neurotoxic lesions and microdialysis, we examined whether the mPOA modulates cocaine-induced neurochemical activity in the nucleus accumbens. Tract tracing and immunohistochemical staining were used to determine whether projections from the mPOA to the ventral tegmental area (VTA) are sensitive to estrogen signaling. Finally, estradiol microinjections followed by microdialysis were used to determine whether estrogenic signaling in the mPOA modulates cocaine-induced changes of dopamine in the nucleus accumbens. Results showed that lesions of the mPOA or microinjections of estradiol directly into the mPOA increased cocaine-induced release of dopamine in the nucleus accumbens. Immunohistochemical analyses revealed that the mPOA modulates cocaine responsiveness via projections to both dopaminergic and GABAergic neurons in the VTA, and that these projections are sensitive to estrogenic stimulation. Taken together, these findings point to a novel estradiol-dependent pathway that modulates cocaine-induced neurochemical activity in the mesolimbic system.
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INTRODUCTION

The sex-steroid hormone estradiol (E2) enhances the psychoactive effects of cocaine, as evidenced by clinical and preclinical studies (Carroll et al, 2004; Festa and Quinones-Jenab, 2004). Women self-report lower cocaine cravings during the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle, when progesterone is high, and they self-report relatively greater cravings during the follicular phase, when estrogen is rising and progesterone is low (Evans and Foltin, 2010). Rodent studies point to a similar steroid-dependent effect. Female rats self-administer more cocaine and reach a higher break point during the estrus phase of their cycle than in the proestrus or diestrus phase (Roberts et al, 1989). Congruently, E2 replacement restores the rate of cocaine self-administration in rats that exhibited decreased responding following ovariectomies (Hu et al, 2004). Although E2 clearly looks to increase the incentive value of cocaine reinforce-ment by acting in the mesolimbic reward system, whether regions outside of the mesolimbic system also mediate this increased response is still not clear.

*Correspondence: Professor JM Dominguez, Department of Psychology, The University of Texas at Austin, 108 E Dean Keeton, Mail Stop A8000, Austin, TX 78712-1043, USA, Tel: +1 512 232 8050, Fax: +1 512 471 6175, E-mail: dominguez@utexas.edu
Received 16 August 2015; revised 1 December 2015; accepted 1 December 2015; accepted article preview online 9 December 2015

The medial preoptic area (mPOA) lies just rostral to the anterior hypothalamus and caudal to the diagonal band of Broca. It is the most extensively studied brain region relating to the regulation of maternal and sexual behaviors, in part, because it is also a primary neural locus for the integration of endocrine stimulation. It contains one of the highest concentrations of cells expressing sex-steroid hormone receptors, including but not limited to estrogen receptor-α (ERα), progesterone receptors (PR), and membrane-bound G-protein estrogen receptors (GPERs) (Brailoiu et al, 2007; Lauber et al, 1991). By virtue of its role as a center of neuroendocrine activity, the mPOA receives steroid hormone stimulation that aids in the regulation of maternal (Lonstein et al, 2015; Stolzenberg and Numan, 2011) and sexual behaviors (Graham and Pfaus, 2013; Pfaus et al, 2015). Given its role in these behaviors, it is also not surprising that the mPOA has robust anatomical interactions with brain pathways regulating reward response. Namely, the mPOA reciprocally innervates the mesolimbic dopamine (DA) system, particularly the ventral tegmental area (VTA) (Ikemoto, 2007; Simerly and Swanson, 1988), to modulate reward (Numan and Smith, 1984).

With its sensitivity to E2, its role in natural reward, and its robust anatomical interactions with the mesolimbic system, the mPOA is a logical neural locus in which estradiol should act to modulate cocaine response, yet little is known about the effects of E2 in the mPOA on cocaine response. To this

...
end, we used a female rat model to determine (1) whether the mPOA modulates cocaine-induced DA release in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) (2) whether mPOA-VTA efferents are sensitive to sex-steroid hormones, and (3) whether E2 acts in the mPOA to modulate cocaine-induced DA activity in the NAc.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

See Supplementary Methods for a complete description of methodology and statistical analysis.

Subjects

Adult female Sprague–Dawley rats (PN 65–74, 200–224 g; Harlan Laboratories, Indianapolis, IN) were double housed in a temperature-controlled room (22 °C, 30–70% humidity) on a reverse light/dark cycle (12 h light/12 h dark; lights off at 1000 hours), and food and water were freely available. All experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at The University of Texas at Austin and were in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guidelines for the Use of Animals in Research.

Procedural Relationship

Experiment 1: mPOA lesions and NAc microdialysis for DA. To determine whether the mPOA modulates cocaine-induced DA release in the NAc, female rats (n = 30; sham n = 15, lesion n = 15) received N-methyl-D-aspartate lesions of the mPOA, cannulation above the NAc shell-core border, and ovarioectomies plus chronic systemic E2 replacement to control for E2-mediated DA release (Cummings et al., 2014). Once animals recovered from surgery (2–3 weeks), the microdialysis probes were inserted and all subjects received an injection of saline (1 ml/kg, intraperitoneally) followed by an injection of cocaine (10 mg/kg, intraperitoneally). Animals were then killed and probe and lesion placement were assessed with methyl green and NeuN staining, respectively (Figure 1a).

Experiment 2: mPOA to VTA projections. A set of 16 female rats were ovarioectomized, received iontophoretic injections of fluorogold (FLG) into the VTA, plus chronic systemic E2 replacement. Ten days after FLG injection, these subjects were killed and their brains collected to examine the presence of sex-steroid hormone receptors in mPOA neurons projecting to the VTA. FLG-positive cells were colocalized with ERα, PR, and GPER, a membrane-bound estrogen receptor involved in fast non-genomic signaling of estrogen (Brailoiu et al., 2007). A second set of females (n = 6) received biotinylated dextran amine (BDA) and FLG injections into the mPOA and NAc, respectively, along with ovarioctomies plus E2 replacement. Ten days after injections, these subjects were killed and their brains were removed. Figure 2a and b provide an experimental timeline.

RESULTS

Lesions of the mPOA Facilitate Cocaine-Induced DA Release in the NAc

All animals included in the data analyses were checked for lesion placement (Figure 1d). Histological analysis of lesions revealed that 9 of 15 were successful. Of these nine lesions, most were localized in the central mPOA. There was no significant difference (t(15) = 0.40, p = 0.69) in probe recovery between probes used in the sham lesion (17.18 ± 4.99%) and lesion (20.09 ± 4.83%) groups. Additionally, microdialysis probes were all placed in the NAc, with 50% placement in the shell region of the NAc, 41% in the shell-core border, and 9% in the core (Figure 1c). There were no regional differences in average percent change in DA in response to either saline (F(2,16) = 0.54, p = 0.59) or cocaine (F(2,16) = 0.27, p = 0.77).

Analysis of DA in the NAc with mixed-effects regression revealed a significant time × lesion interaction (F(1,164) = 5.74, p < 0.05) as well as a significant drug × lesion interaction (F(1,164) = 8.71, p < 0.01; Figure 1e). Decomposition of the drug × lesion interaction revealed that lesioned subjects exhibited a more robust increase in cocaine-induced DA release (lesion vs sham: 304.84 ± 41.12 vs 199.53 ± 54.40; t(19) = −2.0, p = 0.057), but there was no lesion difference in response to saline (percent change in lesion vs sham: 163.66 ± 36.19 vs 121.01 ± 47.89; t(19) = −0.92, p = 0.37). For both sham- (t(19) = −4.76, p < 0.001) and lesion- (t(21) = −3.34, p < 0.01) treated subjects, NAc DA was higher in response to cocaine compared with saline. Decomposition of the time × lesion interaction revealed there was not a...
significant effect of time for either lesion ($F_{(1,71)} = 0.24, p = 0.62$) or sham lesioned ($F_{(1,95)} = 1.27, p = 0.26$) subjects. This finding is not surprising given the quadratic nature of percent change DA in response to cocaine and that mixed-effects regression treats time as a continuous variable and not a factor. When time is held constant, however, lesioned subjects had a greater percent increase in DA 30 min ($t_{(19)} = 1.89, p = 0.07$) and 45 min ($t_{(19)} = 2.62, p < 0.05$) after cocaine administration, although some of these time points do not reach the significance threshold. Finally, it is worth noting that one lesion subject had an abnormally high increase in percent DA 30 min after saline injection (20.84 SDs above the mean with this observation excluded). However, DA concentrations from this subject were not radically different from other subjects in the lesion group at all other time points and cocaine administration increased...
mPOA Efferents to the VTA Appose Tyrosine Hydroxylase-Containing Cells that Project to the NAc

Cells in the mPOA project to the VTA, but the specific downstream targets of these efferent fibers have not been definitively identified. Consistent with previous studies (Ikemoto, 2007), the vast majority of cells in the VTA that project to the NAc were dopaminergic (ie, tyrosine hydroxylase (TH)-ir; 77.3%). Here we discovered that of the VTA projections to the NAc, 45.4% were apposed by mPOA efferents and 41.3% of the VTA cells apposed by mPOA efferents contained TH-ir. A moderate percentage (45.6%) of the TH-ir cells in the VTA, regardless of the area they projected to, were apposed by fibers originating from cells in the mPOA. All cells and apposition percentages were quantified ipsilaterally to the site of tract tracer injections. See Figure 2e–g for a summary of these results.

E2 Microinjections into the mPOA Enhance Cocaine-Induced DA Release in the NAc

Microinjection and microdialysis-probe placement were histologically verified for all subjects (Figure 3b and c). Twenty-six of 31 microinjections were located in the mPOA; however, two of these animals contained visibly infected brain tissue and were excluded. Additionally, one subject had a moderately sized lesion in the mPOA and was excluded. Interestingly, this subject had a greater percent increase in DA in response to cocaine than most other subjects; however, direct comparison with the previous lesion study would be unwarranted. Microdialysis probes were all placed in the NAc, with the majority (47.8%) in the shell region of the NAc, 43.5% in the shell-core border, and 8.7% in the core. There were no regional differences in average percent change in DA in response to either saline ($F_{(2,28)}=0.26$, $p=0.77$) or cocaine ($F_{(2,20)}=0.06$, $p=0.94$). A four-way mixed ANOVA was used to examine the effects of hormone pretreatment, drug, E2 microinjection, and time on percent change in NAc DA. There was no significant four-way interaction ($F_{(3,133)}=2.03$, $p=0.11$). However, there was a significant three-way interaction and several two-way interactions present in the saturated model. These interactions were subsequently interpreted using models lacking higher order interactions.

A mixed ANOVA, excluding the fourth-order term, revealed that the only significant third-order interaction was between hormone pretreatment, drug, and time.
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(F(3,136) = 3.83, p < 0.05; Figure 3d). Decomposition of this interaction revealed that there were no two-way interactions between hormone pretreatment and drug at any of the four time points. There was an interaction between time and hormone pretreatment in response to cocaine (F(3,63) = 5.14, p < 0.01) but not saline (F(3,63) = 0.72, p = 0.54). Furthermore, there was a significant drug × time interaction (F(3,77) = 6.40, p < 0.001) for subjects pretreated with E2 and a marginal effect for subjects pretreated with vehicle (F(3,70) = 2.30, p = 0.08). Decomposition of the drug × time interaction
revealed that E2 pretreatment modulated the time course of DA response to cocaine but not saline. Specifically, post hoc tests revealed that the percent change in DA was greater 15 min after cocaine administration compared with 45 min (z = -4.47, p < 0.001) and 60 min (z = -4.91, p < 0.001) after cocaine administration for subjects who received E2 pretreatment leading up to microdialysis. These results are recapitulated by the hormone treatment × time interaction described above.

There was also a significant drug × E2 microinjection interaction (F(1,21) = 4.71, p < 0.05), whereas neither the hormone pretreatment × drug (F(1,20) = 0.58, p = 0.46) nor the hormone pretreatment × E2 microinjection (F(1,19) = 1.64, p = 0.21) interactions were significant. All other significant second-order interactions included the time factor and were non-orthogonal to the results described above. Decomposition of this interaction revealed that, in response to cocaine, E2-treated animals exhibited a greater percent increase in DA than vehicle-treated animals (t(21) = 2.26, p < 0.05; 469.2 ± 100.0 vs 200.76 ± 36.7), but there was no effect of E2 microinjection in response to saline (t(21) = 1.65, p = 0.12; 178.48 ± 49.4 vs 83.54 ± 12.8). Compared with saline, cocaine increased the percent change in DA for both E2- (t(11) = 4.45, p < 0.001) and vehicle- (t(9) = 3.60, p < 0.01) treated animals.

Visual examination of the data also suggested that combination of E2 pretreatment and an E2 microinjection facilitated cocaine-induced DA in the NAc, specifically at C1 in Figure 3d. However, as noted above, there were no four-way interactions. Tukey corrected comparisons of between-group differences at the eight time points revealed no significant differences between subjects in E2 pretreatment/E2 microinjection group and the other three groups at time point C1. However, there were notable trend differences between several groups: E2/E2 vs Veh/Veh (p = 0.0503) and E2/E2 vs E2 pretreatment/Veh microinjection (p = 0.0906).

DISCUSSION

Here we demonstrated that the mPOA modulates dopaminergic response to cocaine in the NAc. Specifically, our experiments showed that lesions of the mPOA facilitate cocaine-induced DA release in the NAc. Because the major source of DA to the NAc is the VTA, we then analyzed mPOA–VTA interactions and found that efferents to the VTA from the mPOA are both constrained to rostral and central subregions and are also responsive to E2, as evidenced by the presence of ERα and GPER in these cells.

With regard to the downstream targets of these cells, we discovered that a large number of them apposed TH-positive cells in the VTA, which then also project to the NAc. These findings pointed to possible influences of E2 in the mPOA on mesolimbic DA activity. To this end, we then determined that E2 microinjections into the mPOA indeed enhance cocaine-induced release of DA in the NAc. Taken together, these data suggest that E2 in the mPOA facilitates cocaine-induced DA activity in the mesolimbic system.

Although the majority of evidence points to the mesolimbic DA system as a necessary neurobiological end point when describing cocaine’s effects, this system does not operate in isolation, and in fact input from other structures may have a significant role in cocaine-induced activity. Indeed, here we provide evidence describing a novel estradiol-dependent input into the mesolimbic system that modulates cocaine-induced neurochemical activity, likely via γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) input, as suggested previously (Tobiansky et al., 2013). Our findings demonstrate that neurotoxic lesions of the mPOA increased cocaine-induced DA release in the NAc, that many of the neurons projecting from the mPOA to the VTA are sensitive to E2, and that E2 microinjections into the mPOA 24 h before cocaine administration increased cocaine-induced DA release in the NAc. These results are in line with earlier work from our laboratory, demonstrating that lesions of the mPOA enhanced cocaine-induced conditioned place preference and cocaine-induced neural activity in the NAc (Tobiansky et al., 2013).

With this evidence in hand, we postulate that the mechanism through which the mPOA regulates cocaine neurobiological response is via its projections to the mesolimbic system, particularly inhibitory projections to the VTA. Although it is true that the mPOA contains few efferents directly to the NAc (Simerly and Swanson, 1988), it does nevertheless contain strong reciprocal connections with the VTA (Miller and Lonstein, 2009), suggesting indirect regulation of NAc DA via the VTA. These projections from the mPOA to the VTA are at least partly inhibitory, as the primary amino acid produced by preoptic neurons is GABA (Herbison et al., 1992). Although it is possible that some projections are also glutamatergic, these are likely far fewer than GABAergic since cells containing glutamate decarboxylase (GAD), the enzyme that catalyzes the synthesis of GABA, are more prevalent than glutamate-producing cells in the mPOA (Tsuneoka et al., 2013). Expression of genes that encode GAD are also highly expressed in the mPOA (McHenry et al., 2015). Maternal behavior increases Fos-ir of GABA-producing cells in the mPOA of virgin (Tsuneoka et al., 2013).
et al, 2013) and lactating female rats (Lonstein and De Vries, 2000), suggesting that naturally rewarding behaviors are also modulated via GABAergic projections from the mPOA. Finally, inhibition of the mPOA with GABA agonists increases locomotion (Arrati et al, 2006), a behavioral correlate of increased DA release in the NAc (Costall et al, 1984). Our present findings demonstrate substantial apposition between mPOA terminals and TH-ir perikarya of mesolimbic efferents in the VTA. Consequently, when taking previously published work and the present findings into account, we believe it reasonable to propose that mPOA efferents inhibit activity of VTA DA cells via GABAergic projections.

Given the similarity of results between the lesion and E2-microinjection experiments, namely the increased release of cocaine-induced DA, we also propose that estrogen in the mPOA facilitates cocaine-induced DA in the NAc by inhibiting GABAergic output from the mPOA to the VTA. The preponderance of available evidence points in this direction. GABAergic neurons within the mPOA are sensitive to estrogens (Sar et al, 1983). Estrogen increases GABA concentration, the uptake of GABA, and the expression of GABA_A receptors within the mPOA (Herbison, 1997). Additionally, studies in the hippocampus have demonstrated that estrogen modulates synaptic plasticity of GABAergic neurons (Naftolin et al, 1996). Estrogen treatment has also been shown to modulate the electrophysiology of GABAergic neurons in the mPOA in a biphasic manner (Wagner et al, 2001). Specifically, estrogen prevents baclofen-induced hyperpolarization before the luteinizing hormone surge, whereas, during the luteinizing hormone surge, estrogen treatment decreases GAD_67 immunoreactivity (Wagner et al, 2001).

It is worth noting that subjects who were pretreated with systemic E2 exhibited a greater increase in cocaine-induced DA release 15 min after cocaine administration. This suggests that, while E2 regulates cocaine-induced DA release by acting in the mPOA, E2 also facilitates cocaine-induced DA release by acting elsewhere in the brain. These results are not surprising as E2 has been shown to also modulate DA activity within the NAc. Specifically, basal levels of striatal DA vary across the estrous cycle (Becker and Hu, 2008). Additionally, ovariectomies attenuate, and E2 restores, amphetamine-induced DA release (Becker and Hu, 2008). However, most of the direct effects of E2 on NAc DA activity occur rapidly (within 30 min of E2 administration) (Becker and Hu, 2008), whereas the present study found an increased DA response 5 days after the last systemic dose of E2. A significant decrease in circulating sex-steroid hormones following OVX alters synaptic connectivity in other brain regions such as the hippocampus (Day and Good, 2005), increases GFAP-ir, a protein found in astrocytes, in the VTA and prefrontal cortex, but not the NAc, 4 weeks after OVX (Flores et al, 1999). Additionally, ovariectomies decreased the firing rate of VTA DA neurons (Zhang et al, 2008). Thus, it is likely that a 3.5-week absence of E2 altered the connectivity between the NAc and other estrogen-sensitive brain regions. Finally, subjects treated with systemic E2 before microinjections also exhibited a greater percent change in DA in response to a saline injection; however, this effect was not statistically significant. This trend may suggest that systemic E2 also modulates dopaminergic response to an acute...
stressor. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no studies examining the interaction between acute stress and E2 administration on accumbal DA levels.

E2 modulates behavior via both rapid molecular cascades and classical long-lasting genomic actions (McEwen and Alves, 1999). Estrogen-sensitive behaviors regulated by hypothalamic nuclei such as eating, drinking, maternal behavior, and female sexual behaviors are typically displayed 1–2 days after E2 administration, suggesting that they are regulated by classical estrogen signaling (Graves et al., 2011; Hull and Dominguez, 2012; Stolzenberg and Numan, 2011). In the present study, we chose to examine cocaine-induced DA release 24 h after the administration of E2, as previous literature suggests that feeding behavior is modulated by E2 microinjections into the mPOA 24 h after injection (Santollo et al., 2011). Additionally, female rats are most sensitive to cocaine on the day of estrus (Sell et al., 2005), hours after the natural estrogen surge (McEwen and Alves, 1999), suggesting that these behavioral changes are modulated, at least in part, by steroid hormone receptors. The present study found colocalization of ERα and mPOA-VTA efferents. However, there was also substantial colocalization of these VTA afferents and cells expressing GPER. While the present results are likely not due to the rapid action of estrogens, it is always possible that estrogens modulate the mPOA through GPER, as well as ERα. E2 activation of GPER leads to an increase in protein kinase Cö activity, which in turn results in decreased sensitivity of GABAA receptors and therefore greater neuronal excitability (Roepke et al., 2009). Since not all mPOA neurons express TH-ir cells in the VTA, the increased activity of the GPER-containing neurons might be inhibiting GABAergic interneurons in the VTA (van Zessen et al., 2012), thereby disinhibiting dopaminergic output.

Consistent with the present findings, we previously showed (Tobiansky et al., 2013) that the mPOA innervates the VTA in a region-specific manner, and that lesions of the mPOA augmented both cocaine-induced Fos expression in the NAc and cocaine-induced conditioned place preference. We also showed that ~68% of mPOA-VTA efferents release GABA, over 75% are sensitive to DA as evidenced by colocalization with DA receptors, and that nearly 60% of these contain both DA receptors and GABA (Tobiansky et al., 2013).

In this study, we now demonstrate that E2 in the mPOA influences cocaine-induced DA release in the NAc. Our results further indicate that the mPOA provides inhibitory input to the mesolimbic system, as lesions increased accumbal DA release in response to cocaine, when compared with the sham lesion animals. Moreover, our data support studies demonstrating E2-mediated enhancement of cocaine response (Evans and Foltin, 2010; Hu et al., 2004; Jackson et al., 2006). Here we also obtained immunohistochemical evidence indicating that this modulation occurs via nuclear and membrane-associated estrogen receptors in VTA afferents from the mPOA. E2 microinjections into the mPOA also support the idea that estrogen receptors in the mPOA are influencing activity in VTA afferents and, ultimately, the accumbal DA response to cocaine. These results underline the importance of the mPOA as an integral region involved in the processing of reinforcing stimuli, both natural and drug-related (see Figure 4 for a summary of this model).
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