INTRODUCTION

Chronic renal failure (CRF) is one of the common clinical diseases with high morbidity and mortality. With the development of the disease, it can reduce the glomerular filtration rate and destroy the nephron to a certain extent.
and eventually cause irreversible kidney damage, or even renal failure. Therefore, it is important to implement effective treatment as soon as possible, which has a positive effect on improving the quality of life and prognosis of patients. A reliable and stable vascular approach is the necessary condition for maintenance hemodialysis in patients with ESRF, but the condition of such vessels is often poor, resulting in impossible arteriovenous fistula surgery. Semi-permanent catheter is the first choice for the establishment of vascular approach in such patients with diseased vessels and in elderly patients with CRF, characterized by large volume of dialyzed blood, rapid and simple operation and less pain. However, catheter is an exogenous foreign body and catheterization is an invasive operation, which may lead to catheter-related infections. This is also the main cause of clinical death in patients during maintenance hemodialysis. Therefore, in this study, the relevant infection and risk factors of patients undergoing semi-permanent catheter placement during maintenance hemodialysis were analyzed, so as to provide some reference for the clinical diagnosis and treatment.

METHODS

A total of 158 patients with ESRF CRF treated in our hospital from September 2018 to September 2021 were retrospectively analyzed. For all the patients with failure to achieve AV fistula or AV graft in internal fistula puncture caused by vascular factors and unable re-establishment of internal fistula, semi-permanent catheter was placed for during maintenance hemodialysis. The patients aged from 62 to 78 years (average, 63.29 ± 3.10 years), including 96 males and 62 females, with the dialysis duration time of 24-55 months (average, 38.62 ± 10.63 months). The primary diseases included polycystic kidney disease (n = 4), diabetic nephropathy (n = 60), obstructive nephropathy (n = 2), hypertensive nephropathy (n = 60), obstructive nephropathy (n = 2), diabetic nephropathy (n = 60), obstructive nephropathy (n = 2), diabetic nephropathy (n = 60), obstructive nephropathy (n = 2), diabetic nephropathy (n = 60), obstructive nephropathy (n = 2), diabetic nephropathy (n = 60), obstructive nephropathy (n = 2), diabetic nephropathy (n = 60), obstructive nephropathy (n = 2), diabetic nephropathy (n = 60), obstructive nephropathy (n = 2), diabetic nephropathy (n = 60), obstructive nephropathy (n = 2), diabetic nephropathy (n = 60), obstructive nephropathy (n = 2), diabetic nephropathy (n = 60), obstructive nephropathy (n = 2), diabetic nephropathy (n = 60), obstructive nephropathy (n = 2), diabetic nephropathy (n = 60), obstructive nephropathy (n = 2), diabetic nephropathy (n = 60), obstructive nephropathy (n = 2), diabetic nephropathy (n = 60), obstructive nephropathy (n = 2). Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the Third Clinical Medical College of Three Gorges University, Sinopharm Gezhouba Central Hospital on October 10, 2019 (No. [2019]036), and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Catheter placement: Under local anesthesia, all the patients were catheterized via the right jugular vein and intubated by Seldinger’s technique using the Dual-D-lumen Palindrome H Chronic Catheter Kit (heparin-coated, COVIDIEN, USA), with the length of 35-40 cm and 14.5 Fr. The patients were in the supine position with the head turned to the opposite side. At the puncture point, the artery was pressed and pushed medially with one hand, and the needle was inserted at 30°-45° between the skin and the lateral carotid artery with the other hand. When the outflow was dark red venous blood, the puncture needle was inserted with guide wire fixation. The cutaneous outlet and subcutaneous position of the catheter were evaluated. After the catheter was introduced by a tunnel needle, the catheter was expanded and inserted along the guide wire. The cutaneous outlets of the Cuff and catheter were kept 2-3 cm away, the subcutaneous tunnel was maintained within 10-15 cm, and the tip of the catheter was superficially located at the 3rd-4th rib. The skin was sutured and bandaged with a sterile dressing.

The occurrence of catheter-related infections in the patients were recorded. The patients with catheter-related infections were included in the infection group, and the others without infection in the non-infection group. The differences in hypertension, gender, diabetes, age, catheter indwelling time and dialysis time between the two groups were analyzed. The distribution of pathogens in the patients with infections was analyzed. The strains were isolated and cultured using the BACTEC 9050 culture system (Shanghai Qianchen Biotechnology Co., Ltd.), and the species and number of strains were counted. Statistical analysis: The data were analyzed using SPSS 19.0. The qualitative data were expressed as frequencies and percentages. The quantitative data were expressed as mean±standard deviation and analyzed by t-test. The factors for catheter-related infections were analyzed with Cox proportional hazards regression. P < 0.05 was considered as significant difference.

RESULTS

The patients were followed up for 13 to 36 months, with an average of (22.18 ± 6.09) months. Among the 158 patients undergoing semi-permanent catheter placement, 42 (26.58%) presented semi-with permanent catheter-related infections, including four cases of catheter-related bacteremia, 16 cases of tunnel infection and 22 cases of catheter exit-site infection. Among total of 42 strains of pathogens were isolated from the 42 patients with catheter-related infections, including 24 patients were with three
strains of Gram-positive cocci (57.14%) and 16 patients were with three strains of Gram-negative bacilli (38.10%), and two patients were with one strain of fungus as shown in Table-I. Statistically significant differences were found in dialysis time, hypoalbuminemia, average age, diabetes and catheter indwelling time between patients with and without catheter-related infections \((P < 0.05)\), as seen in Table-II. The factors with differences in the single-factor analysis were included in the Cox proportional hazards regression model, revealing that hypoalbuminemia, catheter indwelling time and diabetes were risk factors for catheter-related infections \((P < 0.05, \text{Table-III})\).

**DISCUSSION**

This study showed that among the 158 patients undergoing semi-permanent catheter placement, 42 (26.58%) presented semi-permanent catheter-related infections, including four cases of catheter-related bacteremia, 16 cases of tunnel infection and 22 cases of catheter exit-site infection, indicating that the main site of bacterial invasion was the skin where the catheter is inserted, which is related to catheter-related operation before and after dialysis and the wound at catheter puncture site. Consequently, the aseptic operation should be strictly carried out when sealing catheter with heparin and before and after dialysis, so as to reduce the risk of infections.8,9 The main pathogens were Gram-positive cocci, which is consistent with the relevant research at home and abroad.10,11 Relevant studies have shown that the

| Table-I: Distribution of pathogens in patients with catheter-related infections. |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Distribution of pathogenic strains | No. of strains | Proportion (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Gram-negative bacilli | 16 | 38.10 |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa | 6 | 14.29 |
| Escherichia coli | 6 | 14.29 |
| Acinetobacter baumannii | 4 | 9.52 |
| Gram-positive cocci | 24 | 57.14 |
| Enterococcus faecalis | 2 | 4.76 |
| Staphylococcus epidermidis | 8 | 19.05 |
| Staphylococcus aureus | 14 | 33.33 |
| Fungi | 2 | 4.76 |
| Candida paraplanatus | 2 | 4.76 |

patients with infections (n = 42):

- **Dialysis time (month)**: 45.18±6.20
- **Male / Female**: 22/20
- **Hypoalbuminemia**: 30 (71.43)
- **Average age (years)**: 66.75±2.34
- **Hypertension**: 16 (38.10)
- **Diabetes**: 36 (85.71)
- **Catheter indwelling time (month)**: 26.50±5.40

patients without infections (n = 116):

- **Dialysis time (month)**: 34.36±6.31
- **Male / Female**: 74/42
- **Hypoalbuminemia**: 60 (51.72)
- **Average age (years)**: 62.47±2.09
- **Hypertension**: 40 (34.48)
- **Diabetes**: 24 (20.69)
- **Catheter indwelling time (month)**: 16.22±5.37

\(t^2\): 16.387, 0.275, 9.342, 8.551, 0.076, 15.432, 20.419

\(P\): < 0.05, > 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, > 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05

Table-II: Single-factor analysis of catheter-related infections in patients.

| Group | Dialysis time (month) | Male / Female | Hypoalbuminemia | Average age (years) | Hypertension | Diabetes | Catheter indwelling time (month) |
|-------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|----------|-------------------------------|
| Patients with infections (n = 42) | 45.18±6.20 | 22/20 | 30 (71.43) | 66.75±2.34 | 16 (38.10) | 36 (85.71) | 26.50±5.40 |
| Patients without infections (n = 116) | 34.36±6.31 | 74/42 | 60 (51.72) | 62.47±2.09 | 40 (34.48) | 24 (20.69) | 16.22±5.37 |

\(t^2\): 16.387, 0.275, 9.342, 8.551, 0.076, 15.432, 20.419

\(P\): < 0.05, > 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, > 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05

Table-III: Regression analysis of factors influencing catheter-related infections.

| Influencing factor | Regression coefficient | \(P\) | OR | 95\%CI |
|--------------------|------------------------|-------|-----|-------|
| Hypoalbuminemia    | 1.261                  | 0.020 | 0.339 | 1.258~1.880 |
| Average age        | 0.668                  | 0.151 | 1.022 | 0.668~1.149 |
| Diabetes           | 1.169                  | 0.002 | 4.209 | 2.150~6.020 |
| Catheter indwelling time | 1.159 | 0.005 | 2.907 | 1.200~4.370 |
| Dialysis time      | 0.560                  | 0.217 | 0.969 | 0.568~1.238 |
main pathogens of deep venous catheter-related infection in most patients during maintenance hemodialysis are Gram-positive cocci carried in the nasal cavity and skin, mainly Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis. In addition, with the combination and variety of clinical antibiotics increase, the drug resistance and species of pathogens in patients are also increasing. In this study, there were two cases of fungal infection, suggesting that familiarity with the common flora of patients has a guiding role in the treatment, so that the infections can be controlled as soon as possible.

Patients with diabetes will suffer from hyperglycemia for a long time, leading to decreased transformation rate of lymphocytes, increased blood viscosity, reduced complements, antibodies and immunoglobulins, faster protein decomposition and decreased synthesis, which will affect the body’s defense function and immune response. It has been shown that if the body does not control blood glucose well, it will result in a decrease in complement C4 content, affecting the phagocytosis and chemotaxis of macrophages, and reducing cytokine contents. Moreover, hyperglycemia in the body is beneficial to bacterial reproduction, enhances bacterial virulence and accelerates the occurrence of infections. Some experts believe that the length of catheter indwelling time and the incidence of infections have a certain relationship, and the infection rate of patients will increase with the increase in catheter indwelling time. With the increase in catheter indwelling time, fibrin sheath is produced on the surface of the lumen, mainly composed of inflammatory factors, fibrin and platelets. Bacteria will migrate and colonize along the tissue around the catheter, and enter the body from the fibrin sheath, increasing the risk of catheter-related infections. Therefore, in the nursing of patients with high-risk infections, so as to increase the catheterization time and reduce the infection rate.
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