Magnetic Nanoparticles based on Natural Silica as a Methyl Ester Forming Acid Catalyst
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Abstract

Natural silica has advantages in various fields such as catalysts because it is easily obtained and applied in the chemical reaction process. The synthesis of the natural silica-based magnetic acid catalyst, MSNP/SO4\(^{2-}\) (Sulfated Magnetic Silica Nanoparticles) aims to obtain a large yield from the reaction process between oleic acid and methanol to form methyl ester. Natural silica obtained from geothermal waste was washed with distilled water, then the sol-gel reaction was applied at pH of 4-6 to obtain SiO\(_2\) nanoparticles. Iron (III) chloride (FeCl\(_3\)) was added to achieve the magnetic properties, and the cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) is varied to attain optimal mesoporous size. Brunauer emmet teller (BET) results showed optimum results from 1:2 molarity ratio between silica and CTAB with a surface area of 520.94 m\(^2\)/g. The acidic properties were obtained by immersion using H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) 0.5 M. The catalyst was tested for the acidic and magnetic properties using temperature-programmed desorption ammonia (TPD-NH\(_3\)) and vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) characterization resulting in total acidity of 0.2488 mmol/g and soft-magnetic type, respectively. Application of MSNP/SO\(_4\)^{2-} as a catalyst for forming methyl esters obtained a percentage of 97.06% ester yield based on the gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) results. The selectivity of the MSNP/SO\(_4\)^{2-} was also relatively high towards the ester product. Based on the constant acid strength before and after reaction, the catalyst is potential to be reused.

© 2016 Indonesian Journal of Applied Chemistry. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-SA license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).

1. INTRODUCTION

Silica is an inorganic material that is abundantly available in nature. The synthesis of silica can be conducted by utilizing geothermal sludge as the precursor using the sol-gel method [1]. Silica generated using the sol-gel method has been initiated since 1968 by Stober, where hydrolysis-condensation reactions of silica oxide or halide gel resulted in a polymer system (silica gel) forming the so-called xerogel [2].

Magnetite oxide (Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) or Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)) has much potential as an additive in applications such as in pigmentation, as environment absorber, catalyst and photocatalyst. Using magnetic heterogeneous catalyst has much potential due to the strong acid strength and is readily separable from the
product [3]. Silica magnetite can be obtained by two approaches, the encapsulation of iron oxide into silica shell and the immobilization of nanoparticles into silica surface using sol-gel method [4]. The benefit of using magnetic silica nanoparticles (MSNP) can produce stabilization for magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$) hence prevent it to be easily oxidized and increasing the performance of magnetite [5].

The formation of magnetic silica nanoparticles pores can be obtained by the use of surfactants, such as CTAB. Previous study has used the CTAB surfactant as a template to synthesize mesoporous tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS)-based catalyst. With a TEOS and CTAB molarity ratio of 1:4 resulted in a surface area of the catalyst of 469 m$^2$/g compared to that without CTAB of 118 m$^2$/g [6]. Another study showed that magnetic mesoporous silica nanoparticles using CTAB and TEOS as the precursor generated a surface area of 78.319 m$^2$/g with a particle size of 7.44 nm [7]. To generate the heterogeneous acid catalyst, sulfonation or sulfated method may be applied for the mesoporous material. A study on the sulfated method has been reported which utilized 5 g of ZrO$_2$-bentonite/SO$_4^{2-}$ immersed in 75 mL of 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ 0.5 M for 24 h to maintain acidity [8].

In this research, we report the synthesis and characterization of magnetic silica nanoparticles (MSNP) its sulfated form (MSNP/SO$_4^{2-}$) derived from geothermal silica sludge. The nanoparticles were modified from iron salt and CTAB via the sol-gel method and modified by sulfuric acid to obtain the acid catalyst. Iron oxide was applied to obtain the magnetic material while CTAB was applied to obtain the mesoporous structure. The MSNP was characterized using BET, TPD-NH$_3$, and VSM. The catalyst based on sulfated magnetic silica nanoparticles was applied for the esterification of methanol and oleic acid whereas it was expected to provide a high percentage of total methyl esters observed using GC-MS.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1 Materials

Geothermal sludge was obtained from the geothermal power plant (PT Geodipa Energy, Dieng, Central Java), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), hydrochloric acid (HCl), ferric chloride hexahydrate (FeCl$_3$,$\cdot$6H$_2$O), cetyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), oleic acid, methanol (CH$_3$CH$_2$OH) and sulfuric acid (H$_2$SO$_4$) were provided from Merck.

2.2 Synthesis of MSNP

A total of 10 g of dry silica was added to 400 mL of 1.5 N NaOH, then mixed, homogenized, and heated at 90°C for 1 h. Subsequently, the mixture was filtered and the filtrate was taken. The formed Na$_2$SiO$_3$ was added with 5 g FeCl$_3$,6H$_2$O, and HCl 2 N to form a gel and added variations of CTAB 0; 0.2; 0.4; 0.6; 0.8 and 1.0 M for 18 h, washed using aquadest to neutral pH, filtered, the residue was taken and dried in the oven, annealed at 600°C, for 8 h [2,9,6]. The MSNP samples were analyzed by surface area analysis using BET method and the optimized MSNP was analyzed by VSM.

| Sample Code | Molar | CTAB | SiO$_2$ |
|-------------|-------|------|---------|
| MSNP0       |       | 0    | 1       |
| MSNP1       |       | 1    | 1       |
| MSNP2       |       | 2    | 1       |
| MSNP3       |       | 3    | 1       |
| MSNP4       |       | 4    | 1       |
| MSNP5       |       | 5    | 1       |

2.3 Modification of MSNP

A total of 5 g of MSNP was mixed with 75 mL H$_2$SO$_4$ 0.5 M. The mixture was homogenized for 24 h, filtered, dried overnight. The formed MSNP/SO$_4^{2-}$ was added to methanol and oleic Acid 1:8 vol/vol. The reaction was under reflux and homogenized at 60°C for 60 minutes, then separated [8]. The result of MSNP/SO$_4^{2-}$ was analyzed by TPD-NH3 for its acidity.

2.4 Application of MSNP/SO$_4^{2-}$

A total of MSNP/SO$_4^{2-}$ 3% was added in solution from oleic acid and methanol with volume ratio
of 1:8. Condensation of the mixture at 60°C for 60 minutes. The result of total percentage of changes oleic acid converted into methyl ester was analyzed by GC-MS [10,11]. The conversion of yield and selectivity of methyl ester were calculated to use the following equations, respectively.

### 2.5 Characterization

**Beurner-Emmet-Teller (BET)** analysis of the MSNP samples was conducted using Tristar II 3020 Micromeritics Instrument (USA) to obtain the specific surface area, pore volume, and pore size of the samples through nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms, performing at 77.3°K on a liquid nitrogen apparatus after degassing the sample at 110°C for 6 h.

The saturation magnetization and the surface magnetic field of the MSNP sample were performed to use a Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM 250 Dexiong Magnet Ltd).

**NH₃-Temperature Programmed-Desorption (NH₃-TPD)** of MSNP/SO₄²⁻ samples were performed using Micromeritics ChemiSorb 2750 Pulse Chemisorption System (USA). The analysis was carried out of Helium (He) gas of 10 mL/min. After each TPD measurement, the amount of ammonia adsorbed was determined from the calibration curve obtained from varying volumes of ammonia in He. The total acidities of MSNP/SO₄²⁻ samples were measured by comparing the NH₃-TPD spectra to that of the reference material.

**Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS)** of the raw material and esterification product were carried out on Agilent 19019S (Germany), column HP-5MS, 30 m × 250 μm, 0.25μm.

### 3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Synthesis of MSNP and MSNP/SO₄²⁻

The MSNP investigated in this study was based on the natural silica from geothermal waste. This geothermal silica, which is the side product of a geothermal plant, is used as the precursor for the fabrication of silica-based magnetic silica nanoparticles using the common approach of the sol-gel process [1]. The MSNP/SO₄²⁻ was generated by modifying MSNP with sulfuric acid.

The mechanism of the formation of MSNP and subsequently MSNP/SO₄²⁻ is shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. The synthesis of MSNP and MSNP/SO₄²⁻](image)

Figure 1 shows the synthetic mechanism of the sulfated magnetic silica nanoparticles (MSNP/SO₄²⁻). The geothermal silica (Si-geothermal), which was washed and dried prior to use, was applied as the precursor to form sodium silicate (Na₂SiO₃). The sodium silicate was subsequently added with FeCl₃, forming the Si-O-Fe, in which the silica (Si) would coat the iron (Fe) molecules. In this study, the presence of silanol groups on the silica surface can be modified with various functional groups [5]. The mixture was then added with HCl and CTAB to form Fe-SiO₂-CTAB. The CTAB was the template, which was varied to obtain the optimized mesoporous structure of the nanoparticle. The Fe-SiO₂-CTAB underwent aging for 18 h at room temperature, followed by annealing to remove the impurities such as surfactant and water molecules. In this study, the mesoporous silica nanoparticles with the highest specific surface area can be successfully obtained through the sol-gel process and the template method [6].

The modified MSNP/SO₄²⁻ was obtained by reacting MSNP with sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) at room temperature overnight. The MSNP/SO₄²⁻ had contained acid functional sites such as -OH (silanol group from silica) as a weak acid and -SO₃H (sulfate group from sulfuric acid) as strong acid [11]. The MSNP/SO₄²⁻ samples were further applied as heterogeneous catalyst in an esterification reaction.
3.2 Characterization of Magnetic Silica Nanoparticles (MSNP)

The characteristics of the magnetic silica nanoparticles were presented in Table 2 and Figure 2.

**Tabel 2.** Surface area analysis of MSNP

| Sample Code | Surface Area (m²/g) | Pore Volume (cm³/g) | Size (nm) |
|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| MSNP0       | 238.50              | 0.8003              | 25.157    |
| MSNP1       | 490.81              | 1.2911              | 12.225    |
| MSNP2       | 520.94              | 1.5970              | 11.518    |
| MSNP3       | 406.88              | 1.1205              | 14.746    |
| MSNP4       | 308.56              | 1.2543              | 19.445    |
| MSNP5       | 241.58              | 0.2580              | 24.836    |

Table 2 showed that at CTAB concentration of 2M the optimum surface area of the MSNP was generated of 520.94 m²/g. The surface area was affected by the pore volume and particle size, the larger the surface area, the lower its nanoparticle size. Using CTAB over than optimum result, i.e. MSNP3, MSNP4 and MSNP5 would enlarge the pore volume leading to the collapse of the porous structure of the material and the decrease surface area [12]. This result was higher than that studied by Loryuenyong, et al.[6]

**Figure 2.** Sample Hysterical Curve of MSNP

The magnetic properties of MSNP2 as the optimized nanoparticle was examined by VSM. The hysteresis loop of MSNP2 is shown in Figure 2. It showed that the maximum magnetization from the examination of MSNP2 was 0.54 emu/g and exhibits a low remanence magnetization value. The result showed that MSNP2 had soft-magnetic characteristics. The soft-magnetic characteristic was due to the higher concentration of SiO₂ than that of iron [11,13,14].

3.3 Application of Sulfated Magnetic Silica Nanoparticles (MSNP/SO₄²⁻)

The result of MSNP optimum (MSNP2) was further modified to form acid catalyst, by adding sulfuric acid-generating MSNP/SO₄²⁻. The catalyst would be used for the esterification of oleic acid and methanol. The products of esterification were presented in Table 3.

**Tabel 3.** The Result of GC-MS : The Product of Esterification from Oleic Acid and Methanol

| No. | Peak | Retention Time | Chemical Compound | Molecular Weight (g/mol) | Relative Compound (%) | Chemical Formula |
|-----|------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 1.  |      | 18.371         | Hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester (Methyl Palmitate) | 270.46                   | 1.53                  | C17H34O2       |
| 2.  |      | 19.996         | 9,12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-, methyl ester (Methyl Linoleate) | 294.47                   | 10.20                 | C19H34O2       |
| 3.  |      | 20.072         | 9- Octadecanoic acid (Z)-, methyl ester (Methyl Oleate) | 296.49                   | 85.41                 | C19H36O2       |
| 4.  |      | 20.261         | Methyl Stearate | 298.51                   | 2.85                  | C19H38O2       |
Table 3 showed that the product of the reaction was 9,12-octadecadienoic acid (Z, Z)-, methyl ester with a relative yield of 97.06% and selectivity of 85.41%. This confirms the esterification reaction has been successful using the MSNP/\(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) catalyst. The analysis showed that no reactant (e.g., oleic acid) was in the product, confirming the high obtained yield. The reaction between oleic acid and methanol produced 1.53% methyl palmitate, 10.2% methyl linoleate, methyl oleate, and 2.85% methyl stearate. This indicates the high selectivity of catalyst MSNP/\(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) towards the ester product.

3.4 Characterization of the catalyst of Sulfated Magnetic Silica Nanoparticles (MSNP/\(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\))

The acidity of the MSNP/\(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) catalyst before and after esterification reaction is shown in Table 4.

| Sample          | Total of sample (g) | Surface area of analysis (m²/g) | Mol NH₃ (mmol) | Acidity, mmol/g |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Before reaction | 0.0306              | 0.2307                          | 7.6131         | 0.2488          |
|                 |                     |                                 | *              |                 |
| After reaction  | 0.0324              | 0.2324                          | 7.6692         | 0.2367          |

The MSNP/\(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) was further tested for acidity to observe the reusability. Table 4 showed acid yield for the MSNP/\(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) before the reaction was 0.2488 mmol/g and after the reaction was 0.2367 mmol/g. The 0.0212 mmol/g difference in the acidity of the MSNP/\(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) before and after was significantly low, hence the acidity is relatively constant and the MSNP/\(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) catalyst could be reused. The acid site on the MSNP/\(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) catalyst resulted from sulfuric and also from silica and iron [15].

The result of the optimized MSNP was modified into sulfated magnetic silica nanoparticles (MSNP/\(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\)). The magnetic properties of MSNP had soft magnetic characteristics with surface area of 520.94 m²/g. The acidity of the MSNP/\(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) catalyst was 0.2488 mmol/g. The MSNP/\(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) was applied as heterogenous acid catalyst in the esterification reaction between oleic acid and methanol with calculated ester yield of 85.41%.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We have synthesized magnetic silica nanoparticles which were subsequently modified with sulfuric acid. The modified nanoparticle was used as a heterogeneous acid catalyst on esterification reaction of oleic acid and methanol. The catalyst was mesoporous due to the different concentrations of CTAB. The characterization was confirmed by surface area analysis using BET method and magnetic characterization using VSM. A total of 3% of MSNP/\(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) was used reaction mixture which resulted in 97.06% ester yield. The selectivity of the MSNP/\(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) was also relatively high towards the ester product. Based on the constant acid strength before and after reaction, the catalyst can be reused. The result from this work can open new opportunities for the development of magnetic silica nanoparticles catalyst from natural-based silica.
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