Molecular identification of Saint Louis encephalitis virus genotype IV in Colombia
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Saint Louis encephalitis virus (SLEV) is a member of the Japanese-encephalitis virus serocomplex of the genus Flavivirus. SLEV is broadly distributed in the Americas and the Caribbean Islands, where it is usually transmitted by mosquitoes of the genus Culex and primarily to birds and mammalian-hosts. Humans are occasionally infected by the virus and are dead-end hosts. SLEV causes encephalitis in temperate regions, while in tropical regions of the Americas, several human cases and a wide biological diversity of SLEV-strains have been reported. The phylogenetic analysis of the envelope (E) protein genes indicated eight-genotypes of SLEV with geographic overlap. The present paper describes the genotyping of two SLEV viruses detected in mosquito-pools collected in northern Colombia (department of Córdoba). We used reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction to amplify a fragment of the E-gene to confirm the virus identity and complete E-gene sequencing for phylogenetic analysis and genotyping of the two-SLEV viruses found circulating in Córdoba. This is the first report of SLEV genotype IV in Colombia (Córdoba) in mosquitoes from a region of human inhabitation, implicating the risk of human disease due to SLEV infection. Physicians should consider SLEV as a possible aetiology for undiagnosed febrile and neurologic syndromes among their patients who report exposure to mosquito-bites.
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Saint Louis encephalitis virus (SLEV) is a member of the Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV) serogroup of flaviviruses (family Flaviviridae) along with west Nile (WNV), Usutu and Murray Valley Encephalitis (MVEV) (Thiel et al. 2005). SLEV is generally transmitted by Culex mosquitoes (Culex pipiens, Culex tarsalis and Culex quinquefasciatus) and primarily to birds (Reisen 2003). However, this virus may utilise other mosquito vectors and vertebrate hosts in different geographical regions. For example, in Brazil, SLEV strains have been obtained from Culex declarator and Culex coronator (Hervé et al. 1986, Vasconcelos et al. 1991). There may be undiscovered vector species and alternative amplifier hosts besides birds.

SLEV is broadly distributed in the area from Canada to Argentina and is responsible for sporadic outbreaks in the United States of America (USA) (Reisen 2003), but it has a very low percentage of fatality (Tsai & Mitchell 1988). This epidemiological pattern is in contrast to the few human cases that have been reported in the tropical regions of the Americas (Pinheiro et al. 1981, Vasconcelos et al. 1998, Rocco et al. 2005), with only one exception of a SLEV outbreak in Córdoba, Argentina (Díaz et al. 2006, 2011).

No neurological signs or symptoms have been reported in the tropics and most cases involve acute febrile illness or dengue-like disease symptoms (Mondini et al. 2007a, b).

In Colombia, SLEV activity was demonstrated se- rologically in humans living in Acandí (department of Chocó), a municipality located in Uraba’s Gulf, Caribbean region, and San Vicente del Chucurí (department of Santander, Middle Magdalena Valley) (Groot 1964). In the latter locality, one passeriform bird among the 140 tested using neutralisation tests was found to be SLEV antibody-positive (Groot 1964). Mattar et al. (2005) reported that sentinel equines were exposed to SLEV in 2004 in Córdoba and Sucre and both localities are located in the Caribbean region of Colombia. Subsequently, in a study with more extensive sampling of sentinel horses in five departments of the Caribbean region (departments of Córdoba, Sucre, Bolívar, Atlántico and Magdalena) from 2006-2007, SLEV-neutralising antibodies were found in 34.1% of 971 horses without neurologic signs or encephalitis (Mattar et al. 2011). Unfortunately, there is little information about mosquitoes regarding the genotypes of the viruses circulating in Colombia to help understand the absence of human cases or epidemic outbreaks.

Since the first epidemic occurred in Saint Louis, Missouri (1933), samples of SLEV reflecting a wide geographic and temporal diversity of vertebrate hosts and mosquitoes have been collected (Baillie et al. 2008). These strains show varying levels of viraemia, neurovirulence and disease severity in mammalian and avian hosts (Monath et al. 1980). Phylogenetic analysis of complete envelope (E) gene sequences group SLEV strains into eight genotypes with geographic segregation (Kramer & Chandler 2001, Rodrigues et al. 2010).
reflecting the genetic similarity between nearby strains, but showing intermixing of some viral strains between countries such as the USA, Argentina and Brazil (May et al. 2008, Auguste et al. 2009, Díaz et al. 2011). The classification of SLEV genotypes shows no correlation with phenotypic characteristics such as virulence (Kramer et al. 1997). Given that SLEV strains are known to differ in pathogenicity, understanding which strains are circulating in a particular geographic region may be important from an epidemiological perspective.

From 2011-2013, during a research program on the viral ecology of emerging arboviruses in a region with large populations of migratory birds in northern Córdoba, we found natural infections of mosquitoes carrying SLEV. The aim of this study was to amplify the E gene to characterise the genotype of SLEV circulating in northern Córdoba, including representative sequences of other genotypes previously published and to assess the relevance from an epidemiological context in the geographical region of study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples - During entomological surveillance for the detection of emerging and re-emerging arboviruses between 2011-2013 in San Bernardo del Viento (Córdoba, Colombia) (9°21’30.97”N 75°58’37.28”W) (Fig. 1), mosquitoes were collected using a manual aspirator from resting sites inside mangroves, mounted and identified by morphological keys and two legs were collected for DNA barcode methodology (Hebert et al. 2003, Kumar et al. 2007, Cywinska et al. 2010). Later, the mosquitoes were collected using CDC-light traps with dry ice as bait. All the insects sampled were separated into pools based on the morphological characteristics of vouchers previously collected, identified and characterised by DNA barcode. Some mosquito specimens were collected from each pool and their legs were removed. If a pool was found to be positive for arbovirus, the legs were used for DNA extraction and amplification of the cytochrome c oxidase I-DNA barcode as a method to corroborate their taxonomic identity (Hebert et al. 2003). All of the pools were triturated in minimum essential medium supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 30 min.

Molecular characterisation - The supernatant from each pool was used for RNA extraction using the RNaseasy kit (Qiagen, USA) and total RNA extracted from SLEV-positive pools, following the methodology described by Sánchez-Seco et al. (2005), was used for reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) to amplify a region of the E gene using the following primers: SLE1497+(RYATGGGGAATGATGCCAG)/SLE2517-(CTCCTCCACAYTTARTCCACG). The RT-PCR products (cDNA) were used as the template for nested RT-PCR with the primers SLE2002+(TGGAYTGGAACRCGGTTGGAAG) and SLE2257-(CCATRGAATCCRAARTCCACG) and the resulting 234-nucleotide (nt) fragment was sequenced (Ré et al. 2008). For genotyping the detected strains of SLEV, we amplified the complete E gene from the positive pool supernatants using primers and conditions described by Kramer and Chandler (2001).

Sequence analysis - Sequences were aligned with homologous sequences from other SLEV strains and other flaviviruses such as JEV, MVEV and WNV available in GenBank using CLUSTALW (Larkin et al. 2007). The Neighbour-joining (NJ) method in MEGA v.6.0 was used to construct phylogenetic trees using the Tamura-Nei model and a gamma distribution (Saitou & Nei 1987, Tamura et al. 2013). The jModelTest v.2.1 program (Darriba et al. 2012) was used to determine the best evolutionary model of nt substitution to estimate the phylogenetic tree according to the parameters to increase the likelihood without including many variables [Akaike information criterion (AIC)] (Posada & Buckley 2004). The genotypic lineages were reconstructed by the maximum likelihood (ML) method using PHYML v.3.0 (Guindon et al. 2010) and previously estimated parameters of nt substitution. Bootstrap replication (n = 1000) was applied to provide confidence for the clusters and phylogenetic groupings (Felsenstein 1985) of the NJ dendrogram and phylogenetic trees. DNAsp v.5.0 (Librado & Rozas 2009) was used to establish polymorphic sites between E sequences characterised in our study and reference sequences of the genotypes to which these sequences belonged and the genetic distances were estimated.

RESULTS

Of the 2,059 pools of 18 mosquitoes tested for flavivirus, just two pools were positive for SLEV by NS5/RT-PCR (Table I); all of the species were identified with morphological characters and DNA barcoding, using the legs of certain specimens chosen randomly, two amplicons obtained corresponded by DNA barcoding to species Mansonia titillans and Culex erraticus. The flavivirus amplicons were sequenced by the Sanger method (Macrogen, Korea) and later sequences were identified as SLEV using BLASTN. We confirmed this
finding using nested RT-PCR and sequencing of a fragment of the \( E \) gene (Ré et al. 2008) (GenBank accessions KM103077-KM103078). DNA barcoding was accurate for the identified legs of specimens at the pool collected previously for RNA extraction and these sequences showed a high similarity percentage (> 99%) for species - \( Ma. \) titillans and \( Cx. \) erraticus, which were characterised by this methodology using voucher specimens collected in the same study zone.

The \( E \) gene sequences obtained from the two samples were 1,617 nts in length (GenBank accessions KM103079-KM103080). A comparison with other representative genotypic lineages showed a high nt identity (88.2-97.7%). The strain with the most nt similarity with respect to CDCCE2-3 and CDCCE8-2 was the gml902612 strain, which was isolated from Panama in 1973 from a pool of \( Haemagogus \) equinus mosquitoes (Fig. 2).

The consensus sequence obtained by aligning the \( E \) gene sequences was 1,498 nts in length and included representative viruses of the Japanese encephalitis serogroup that were used as outgroups. The best nt model substitution, according to the AIC, was GTR+I+G (-LnL = 11950.85, \( K = 140 \), AICc = 24208.46).

A phylogenetic tree of ML placed sequences CDCCE2-3 and CDCCE8-2 within SLEV lineage IV (Fig. 2) (bootstrap values > 90) and they were grouped with SLEV strains isolated in Panama from \( Haemagogus \) lucifer, \( Hg. \) equinus and \( Mansonia \) dyari.

Forty-four polymorphic sites were identified in SLEV genotype IV: five were comprised of single nts, 37 were dimers and two were trimers. A substitution in position 75 was unique for CDCCE2-3 and CDCCE8-2 (Table II). Our sequences showed patterns of substitution similar to that observed in other sequences within close genetic distances and thereby supporting the strong relationships within this lineage (Table III).

**DISCUSSION**

Our analysis indicated that the two SLEV sequences characterised in San Bernardo del Viento from pools of \( Ma. \) titillans and \( Cx. \) erraticus belong to lineage IV of the classification proposed by Kramer and Chandler (2001). This is the first report of genotype IV in Colombia and outside of Panama.

\( Ma. \) titillans is a species characterised by eclectic feeding habits where domestic animals (pigs, horses and cows) and sylvatic fauna (mainly birds and rodents) have been identified as hosts by different methodologies (Lorrosa et al. 2010, Navia-Gine et al. 2013). \( Cx. \) erraticus has a preference for a high diversity of birds, such as Passerinae and Ardeidae, followed by mammals with a high frequency of contact with humans, cows, foxes and also reptiles (Mendenhall et al. 2012). Unlike the preferences for birds by \( Cx. \) erraticus, for which there is strong evidence, \( Ma. \) titillans would be a candidate for bridge vector, bringing SLEV from sylvatic/rural to peri-domestic environment where it could infect humans. Vector competence and vectorial capacity studies would be needed to fully assess the potential risk presented by SLEV-infected \( Ma. \) titillans.

---

**TABLE I**

Mosquito species, pools tested and positive pools for Flavivirus in San Bernardo del Viento (Córdoba, Colombia)

| Mosquito species        | Pools tested (n) | Specimens tested (n) | Pools positive for SLEV (n) | Minimal infection rate |
|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| *Aedes aegypti*         | 60              | 1,150                | -                           | -                      |
| *Aedes scapularis*      | 30              | 512                  | -                           | -                      |
| *Anopheles albimanus*   | 10              | 35                   | -                           | -                      |
| *Anopheles aquasalis*   | 15              | 77                   | -                           | -                      |
| *Anopheles neomaculipalpus* | 5         | 31                   | -                           | -                      |
| *Culex corniger*        | 28              | 477                  | -                           | -                      |
| *Culex coronator*       | 18              | 222                  | -                           | -                      |
| *Culex erraticus*       | 666             | 8,510                | 1                           | 0,1175                 |
| *Culex group Salinarius*| 23              | 312                  | -                           | -                      |
| *Culex quinquefasciatus*| 67              | 1,322                | -                           | -                      |
| *Culex section Spissipes* | 19          | 234                  | -                           | -                      |
| *Deinocerites atlanticus* | 529         | 5,511                | -                           | -                      |
| *Deinocerites sp. 2*    | 237             | 1,777                | -                           | -                      |
| *Haemagogus section Splendes* | 71     | 757                  | -                           | -                      |
| *Mansonia titillans*    | 185             | 1,074                | 1                           | 0,9310                 |
| *Ochlerotatus taeniorhynchus* | 47       | 102                  | -                           | -                      |
| *Psorophora confinis*   | 41              | 622                  | -                           | -                      |
| *Sabethes cyaneus*      | 3               | 8                    | -                           | -                      |
| Total                   | 2,059           | 22,733               | 2                           | -                      |
Fig. 2: a phylogenetic tree estimated by the maximum likelihood analysis of 73 envelope sequences of Saint Louis encephalitis virus data under the GTR+I+G model of nucleotide substitution. Three outgroups were included in the analysis. The lineages are labelled according to the scheme of Kramer and Chandler (2001).
The results allow us to postulate the existence of an enzootic cycle of SLEV lineage IV transmission without discarding the possible existence of other genotypes circulating in this zone. Genotypes VA/III/II are widely dispersed, probably by migratory birds, in Brazil, USA, Mexico and Argentina (Kramer & Chandler 2001, Auguste et al. 2009, Diaz et al. 2011). Interestingly, genotype IV has not been associated with geographic dispersion or equine/human cases of encephalitis and has not been detected in countries other than Panama and Colombia. This finding suggests that genotype IV, similar to other genotypes, has low virulence for equines and humans, which is consistent with previous observations of SLEV isolated from the Palenque region (Mexico) (Kopp et al. 2013) and could explain the absence of neurological disease in SLEV seropositive equines in the Córdoba (Mattar et al. 2005, 2011) with the exception of the recent isolation of SLEV-genotype VB from a neurologically ill horse in Brazil (Rosa et al. 2013).

SLEV does not exhibit a high level of genetic diversity and has a maximum of 10.1% nt sequence divergence and ≤ 5.5% intralineage divergence (May et al. 2008). Low divergence within genotype IV was also found in our sequences of CDCCE2-3/CDCCE8-2 and other members of genotype IV (rank 0.3-2.8%). These lower values may be associated with a lower selection pressure in genotypic lineages, different ecological dynamics of cycle transmission in diverse geographical locations and infectivity and low transmission rates in sylvatic and epizootic strains (Kramer & Chandler 2001, Ewald 2004, Ciota et al. 2007, May et al. 2008, Kopp et al. 2013). In our study, the SLEV-positive mosquito pools were collected from an undisturbed coastal mangrove ecosystem without fragmentation or deforestation. However, farms, livestock and human settlements border the mangroves. Changes in natural ecosystems may cause variation in the community structures of vertebrate hosts and vector mosquitoes, increasing human-mosquito-pathogen con-
tact and resulting in occasional human cases with varied pathogenicity. In some situations, these changes may result in disease outbreaks, often referred to as “viral emergence” (Weaver 2005, Jones et al. 2008, Keessing et al. 2010, Weaver & Reisen 2010, Usme-Ciro et al. 2012).

The genetic characterisation of the E gene sequences of SLEV detected in mosquito pools provides an overview of the dispersion and evolution of SLEV in the Americas. This raises several questions about the ecology of SLEV that could identify driving factors in a future situation of viral emergence in human populations near conserved ecosystems with high significant diversity in reservoirs and mosquitoes. Additional research is needed on other aspects of the natural circulation of SLEV, such as temporal patterns, mammalian hosts, the relative roles of migratory vs. resident birds in the transmission cycle, mosquito vector species and blood preferences, with the ultimate goal of elucidating the viral traffic network and possible deviations that might result in the emergence of SLEV in northern Córdoba.
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