Optical control of purinergic signaling
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Abstract
Purinergic signaling plays a pivotal role in physiological processes and pathological conditions. Over the past decades, conventional pharmacological, biochemical, and molecular biology techniques have been utilized to investigate purinergic signaling cascades. However, none of them is capable of spatially and temporally manipulating purinergic signaling cascades. Currently, optical approaches, including optopharmacology and optogenetic, enable controlling purinergic signaling with low invasiveness and high spatiotemporal precision. In this mini-review, we discuss optical approaches for controlling purinergic signaling and their applications in basic and translational science.
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Introduction
The concept of purinergic signaling was first proposed in 1972 when Burnstock stated that adenosine triphosphate (ATP) not only participates in the intracellular storage of energy but is also an extracellular transmitter/signaling molecule [13]. Subsequently, a range of purinergic receptors (Rs) was cloned and characterized: four types of P1Rs (G protein-coupled receptors, A₁, A₂A, A₂B, A₃) [21], seven types of P2XRs (ligand-gated cationic channels, P2X₁₋₇), and eight types of P2YRs (G protein-coupled receptors, P2Y₁, 2, 4, 6, 11–14) [1, 30, 31, 33] (Fig. 1A). Both P1Rs and P2YRs are G protein-coupled receptors and consist of seven transmembrane (TM) proteins. However, P1Rs are selectively activated by extracellular adenosine, which is obtained by dephosphorylation of its precursor entities: ATP, adenosine diphosphate (ADP), and adenosine monophosphate (AMP) [9], whereas P2YRs are activated by ATP, as well as by ADP [31]. In contrast with these G protein-coupled receptors, P2XRs are ligand-gating ion channels and characterized by two transmembrane (TM1 and TM2) proteins. P2XRs are only sensitive to ATP and undergo a conformational change in the channel upon ATP activation [30]. These purinergic receptors are widely distributed throughout the body and show great diversity in functions. If we want a high-resolution view of how individual purinergic receptor carries out specific tasks, we need high-resolution tools for controlling the activity of these receptors. In the past, many pharmacological drugs selectively targeting purinergic receptor subtypes have been developed, but they do not distinguish between the same purinergic receptors expressed in subtypes of neurons or different brain regions. Thus, the lack of tissue-specific selectivity may trigger undesirable side effects. For instance, therapeutic use of the A₂AR agonist, regadenoson, is always associated with off-side effects, including headache, nausea, chest discomfort, or dizziness.
Moreover, the temporal precision of these drugs is limited by the diffusion, transport, or metabolism of active compounds. Although genetic tools enable the knock-in or knock-out of purinergic receptor subtype genes in defined subtypes of neurons or brain regions, they have inherent limitations due to the lack of spatial precision. The lack of spatiotemporal precision prevents researchers from fully understanding the role of purinergic signaling in both physiological and pathological conditions and further designing effective therapies. Therefore, novel approaches with the ability of quickly and precisely controlling purinergic signaling are needed.

Recently, optical approaches to control receptor and channel activities by light are transforming neuroscience research [35, 50, 54]. The use of light can be advantageous as light is non-invasive, can be modulated in its intensity within femtoseconds, and can be delivered in a highly controlled manner in space and time, which can overcome some of the shortcomings of conventional techniques. Two main types of optical approaches have been used for the control of purinergic signaling: optopharmacology and optogenetics.

Optopharmacology, also known as photopharmacology, refers to confer light sensitivity to a freely diffusible ligand, rather than to a target protein [50]. Since it first emerged in the 1970s when several photoreactive ligands were synthesized [7, 36], optopharmacology has boomed in neuroscience in recent years. The simplest and most widely used photosensitive chemicals are caged compounds, which are chemically modified with
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In the past few years, chemists have developed various photosensitive drugs for the control of purinergic receptors, including caged compounds and photoswitchable compounds. Compared to conventional agonists or antagonists, such photochemicals offer great temporal and spatial precision. First, fast photolysis of caged compounds or light switching by photoswitchable compounds allows the control of purinergic receptors at a millisecond timescale, which is consistent with the temporal dynamics of endogenous cellular activity. Second, light delivered by the illumination device can be focused onto targeted areas of interest. Therefore, the spatiotemporal control of purinergic receptors by photosensitive chemicals permits a real-time link between the activity of purinergic receptors and a defined biological or physiological response in cells or living organisms.

Caged compounds

Caged ATP is widely utilized to control the activation of purinergic receptors (Fig. 1a). When added to the bath with a micropipette, caged ATP is biologically inert with the absence of light stimulation while it could produce free ATP within milliseconds [20]. With this strategy, Zemelman and coworkers found that photostimulation (26 mW·mm⁻² of optical power at wavelengths < 400 nm) of DMNPE-caged ATP could quickly activate heterologously expressed P2X₃Rs in hippocampal neurons and evoke membrane potentials of these neurons in a time-dependent manner [62]. DMNPE-caged ATP was also employed to control the activation of exogenous P2X₃Rs, which allows for assessing the fast activation kinetics of the whole-cell P2X₃-R-current [25]. Further, Fischer et al. found that photolysis of NPE-caged ATP with a 405 nm laser enabled the fast activation of P2Y₁₁Rs in mitral cells, thereby resulting in the increased neuronal network activity in the olfactory bulb, which contributed to our understanding of the physiological role of P2Y₁₁Rs in the central nervous system [20].

Recently, caged purinergic receptor agonists and antagonists have also been developed, enabling the control of specific purinergic receptor subtypes. For example, Gao and coworkers synthesized MRS2703, a caged form of a potent dual agonist of P2Y₁₁Rs and P2Y₁₂Rs (2-methylthio-ADP, (2-MeSADP)) [22] (Fig. 1a). It is inactive at both P2Y₁₁Rs and P2Y₁₂Rs prior to irradiation. However, upon irradiation at 360 nm for 5 s, photo-uncaging MRS2703 in washed human platelets could activate P2Y₁₁Rs and P2Y₁₂Rs expressed on the surface of platelets and facilitated the platelets aggregation. Another example is the synthesis of caged
A2A R antagonist MRS7145 [57] (Fig. 1a). In cultured cells transfecting with A2A R, photo-uncaging MRS7145 with 405 nm light rapidly activated A2A R and preclude A2A R agonist-induced cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) accumulation. Furthermore, after intraperitoneal injection of MRS7145 into mice, irradiation (405 nm) in the dorsal striatum of mice could significantly induce hyperlocomotion and counteracted haloperidol-induced catalepsy and pilocarpine-induced tremor [57]. These two examples also indicated that the photocontrol of purinergic receptors with caged compounds could provide a new strategy for clinical treatment. Although photolysis of caged compounds has proven useful for controlling purinergic receptors and dissecting the functions of different purinergic receptors, it also has some limitations. First, as the synthesis of caged compounds is usually complex, biologists are restricted to the few caged compounds that are commercially available or they must collaborate with academic laboratories that synthesize caged compounds [17, 18]. Second, it is still unclear whether the by-products (the cleavage product of the photoremovable protecting group) generate unpredictable cellular or extra-cellular responses. Considering this, it should be confirmed that these by-products are biologically inert and non-toxic before the experiments [34]. Third, the irreversible nature due to light-induced break of chemical bonds becomes the major limitation, for instance, when one seeks to investigate the opening and closing mechanism of P2XRs.

Photoswitchable compounds

The photolysis of caged compounds is an irreversible process. Photoswitchable compounds, in contrast, can be used to reversibly manipulate a wide range of biological targets, including G protein-coupled receptors, ion channels, transporters, and enzymes [5, 54, 63]. Light induces conformational changes in these photoswitchable compounds and thereby controls targeted receptors in a time-dependent manner.

Photoswitchable compounds have been successfully employed to optically control purinergic receptors. In two independent groups, photoswitchable compounds, named 4,4′-bis(maleimido)azobenzene (BMA) and maleimide ethylene azobenzene trimethyl ammonium (MEA-TMA), have been synthesized and then were covalently tethered into the outer ends of transmembrane helices of the P2X2 R at residue P329C and I328C, respectively [12, 35]. Light-controlled toggling between cis and trans isomers of azobenzene acts to bring the subunits closer or further apart, thus closing or opening the channel. Importantly, they found that rapid opening of P2X2 R channels allowed permeation of small cations, such as sodium and calcium ions, but not to chloride ions, indicating that tethered photoswitchable compounds did not alter cation selectivity of the P2X2 R channel [35]. Similarly, photoswitching has also been applied to manipulate P2X3 R and heteromeric P2X2/3 R. In P2X2 R with P320C mutation, after treatment with BMA, the light at 440 nm rapidly evokes desensitizing currents while light at 360 nm switches off these currents (Fig. 1b) [12]. These light-activated currents are like that activated by a maximal concentration of ATP. The heteromeric P2X2/3 R channels, which is formed by two P2X2 R(P320C) subunits and one P2X3 R subunit, also can be opened and closed by light illumination. This finding indicates that conformational change between only two P2X3 Rs subunits is sufficient for P2X2/3 R channel opening [12].

A recent study using photoswitchable tweezer to photo-control P2X2 R has contributed to our understanding of the gating mechanism (Habermacher et al., 2016). These photoswitchable tweezers hold strong ability to reveal details of how the subunits move to open or close the P2X2 R channel’s pore, which overcomes the shortcomings of X-ray crystallography. This strategy entailed the use of a synthesized maleimide azobenzene maleimide (MAM), a photoswitchable azobenzene cross-linker carrying two sulfhydryl-reactive maleimides known to cross-link pairs of an engineered cysteine residue. When attached between I328C from one subunit and S345C from another in P2X2 R, the cis isomer of MAM induced pore opening by a 525 nm light and the trans isomer induced a closing state by a 365 nm light (Fig. 1b). Combining the photoswitching with computational studies, they further found that the extent of the outer pore expansion is significantly reduced compared to the ATP-bound structure, and the inner and outer ends of adjacent pore-lining helices come closer during opening, likely through a hinge-bending motion.

Photoswitchable tweezers also provide useful molecular rulers to probe the permeation mechanism of P2XRs. Har-kat et al. synthesized a shorter, however, more rigid photoswitchable tweezer, named MAM-2 [26]. When this tweezer was covalently attached to residues I328 and S345 of P2X2 R, 365 nm light at these P2X2 R permits the flow of large synthetic cation, N-methyl-D-glucamine (NMDG +), as well as large natural cation, spermidine. As spermidine is known to modulate a number of ion channels, including synaptic N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors [44], the permeability of the P2X2 R for large cations offers new insights into the physiological function of P2X2 R.

These photoswitchable compounds can be successfully employed to manipulate the opening and closing state of P2XRs and help boost our understanding of their permeation and gating mechanisms. This is achieved by the photoconversion of azobenzenes, which can reversibly switch between a cis form and a trans configuration using two different wavelengths of light, classically near-ultraviolet (360–400 nm) and blue-green light (480–550 nm) [54, 63]. However, the toxicity of azobenzenes, which may stem from
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cleavage into carcinogenic aromatic amines and metabolic oxidation of amine-bearing azobenzenes to toxic species [41, 60], limits its application in vivo. In addition, the complete recovery of conformational change is not possible due to incomplete cis to trans photoisomerization [11, 40]. However, the recent evidence that silver nanowire antennas enhance the conversion efficiency from around 20 to up to 85% [61] may provide a new strategy to increase the yield of cis/trans isomers. Further, it is entirely possible that photoswitchable compounds could be extended to photocontrol other P2XRs since these P2XRs share similar structures and gating mechanisms.

**Optogenetics for controlling purinergic receptors signaling**

Although optopharmacological strategies have proven useful to control purinergic receptors, they have inherent limitations to be used in vivo. Optogenetics overcomes these limitations and has been successfully utilized in vivo. Furthermore, it also enables to control purinergic receptors signaling with spatial and temporal precision, which permits to investigate the behavioral responses upon the control of purinergic receptors signaling.

With the technical advance in opto-A2A R and transgenic strategy, optogenetics has been successfully applied to activate or inhibit A2A R signaling by light. The opto-A2A R is synthesized by retaining the extracellular and transmembrane domains of rhodopsin (conferring light sensitivity and eliminating the binding pockets of adenosine) and replacing the intracellular domain of rhodopsin with that of the A2A R (conferring specific A2A R signaling) [37]. When opto-A2A R is cloned into a viral vector carrying with cell-type-specific promoter, it can be typically introduced into specific subtype neurons in the targeted brain area by stereotoxic microinjection. After 2–3 weeks for the expression of opto-A2A R construct in the brain, 473 nm laser light could activate opto-A2A R and recruit A2A R signaling. As for transgenic strategy, A2A R-cre mice, in which the expression of cre recombinase is under the control of A2A R gene regulatory elements, are constructed. The use of a cre-dependent viral vector carrying ChR2 into A2A R-cre mice is capable of activating A2A R signaling by 473 nm light, while the application of a cre-dependent viral vector transforming NpHR into A2A R-cre mice enables inhibiting A2A R signaling by 593 nm light [28] (Fig. 1c). With these strategies, Oishi and coworkers found that photoactivation of A2A R signaling in the core region of the nucleus accumbens of A2A R-cre mice induced slow-wave sleep, while such a reaction did not occur when photoactivation was targeted to the shell region of the nucleus accumbens [48]. Hong et al. showed that optogenetic activation of A2A R-containing indirect medium spiny projection neurons in the dorsomedial striatum of A2A R-cre mice reduced ethanol-containing reward-seeking behavior, whereas optogenetic inhibition of these A2A Rs neurons reversed this behavior [28]. Similarly, optogenetic activation of A2A R signaling in the dorsomedial striatum selectively impairs the maintenance and retrieval of spatial working memory, but optogenetic activation of A2A R signaling in the media prefrontal cortex improves memory maintenance [38]. In addition, optogenetics has also been used to manipulate A2A Rs signaling in the hippocampus and striatopallidal pathway, revealing their role in memory and instrumental learning, respectively [27, 37].

Optogenetics has also been utilized to photocontrol P2Y1 Rs in the vagal nerve. For remote control of P2Y1 R neurons in the vagal nerve, transgenic P2Y1 R-ChR2 mice are generated by crossing P2Y1 R-cre mice with reporter mice containing a cre-dependent ChR2 allele. Focal illumination (473 nm laser) of the nerve trunk or particular nerve branches of P2Y1 R-ChR2 mice traps breathing in exhalation and does not impact heart rate (Fig. 1c) [14]. Further, Prescott et al. find that vagal P2Y1 R neurons also engage in an airway defense program. They show that photostimulation (473 nm laser) of P2Y1 R expressing neurons in the vagal nerve of P2Y1 R-ChR2 mice evokes a suite of protective reflexes, including apnea, vocal fold adduction, swallowing, and expiratory reflexes [53]. These outcomes suggest that optogenetics also enables a spatial and temporal control of purinergic signaling in peripheral nervous systems.

Clearly, optogenetics is an effective and meaningful tool to control purinergic receptors signaling both in central and peripheral nervous systems. But there are still some issues that are worth mentioning. For instance, it was demonstrated that the introduction of a viral vector for opsin expression could influence the transduction efficiency, tropism, and axonal transport in targeted areas [4, 51]. Meanwhile, opsins in the cells themselves may produce the potential immune response and cause the death of cells [42]. Particularly, surgical implantation of an optical fiber to deliver light to the targeted area produces tissue damage, which limits the application of optogenetics to study large-scale neural networks distributed to different parts of the brain. Although wireless optical equipment has provided an alternative solution [3, 45], optogenetics with low immune response and less invasiveness will allow further control of purinergic signaling and investigate their roles in physiological and pathological conditions.

**Conclusion**

As documented above, currently, two distinct types of optical approaches afford powerful and precise manipulation of purinergic signaling (Fig. 1): optopharmacology,
which relies on the synthesis of photosensitive chemicals (including caged and photoswitchable compounds), and optogenetics, which requires the genetic modification of the purinergic receptors. With the use of light, these methods enable fast and precise control of targeted purinergic receptors, such as P2X<sub>3</sub>Rs, P2X<sub>4</sub>Rs, P2X<sub>5</sub>Rs, P2Y<sub>1</sub>Rs, P2Y<sub>12</sub>Rs, and A<sub>2A</sub>Rs. They are also employed to explore the permeation and gating mechanisms of P2XR, and the role of adenosine receptors in distinct brain areas. They also offer the potential for defining pharmacological targets more precisely.

Although all three optical strategies have been proved powerful and helpful, there are still some problems that have to be solved. Firstly, the delivery of light to the region of interest often requires invasive surgery. Secondly, long-term light stimulation generates heat that leads to permanent tissue damage and affects cellular excitability [49, 52]. In view of that, we suggest the following two considerations when designing experiments: minimization of light power and duration and carefully planned control experiments that account for off-target effects of light delivery. Further, recent advances in magnetogenetics [46] and ultra-sensitive step-function opsin [24], which provide a minimally invasive approach to precisely manipulate neuronal activity in living animals, may overcome these limitations. Ultimately, we are convinced that the elucidation of physiological function and therapeutic potential of purinergic signaling will be further advanced with the development of more intricate and subtle optical tools.
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