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Abstract: Due to the variety of properties of the composites produced, determining the choice of the appropriate cutting technique is demanding. Therefore, it is necessary to know the problems associated with cutting operations, i.e., mechanical cutting (blanking), plasma cutting plasma, water jet cutting, abrasive water jet cutting, laser cutting and electrical discharge machining (EDM). The criterion for choosing the right cutting technique for a specific application depends not only on the expected cutting speed and material thickness, but it is also related to the physico-mechanical properties of the material being processed. In other words, the large variety of composite properties necessitates an individual approach determining the possibility of cutting a composite material with a specific method. This paper presents the achievements gained over the last ten years in the field of non-conventional cutting of metal-based and polymer-based composite materials. The greatest attention is paid to the methods of electrical discharge machining and ultrasonic cutting. The methods of high-energy cutting and water jet cutting are also considered and discussed. Although it is well-known that plasma cutting is not widely used in cutting composites, the authors also took into account this type of cutting treatment. The volume of each chapter depends on the dissemination of a given metal-based and polymer-based composite material cutting technique. For each cutting technique, the paper presents the phenomena that have a direct impact on the quality of the resulting surface and on the formation of the most important defects encountered. Finally, the identified current knowledge gaps are discussed.
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1. Introduction

Composites are structures made by combining two or more materials with different physico-mechanical properties. One of the components is the matrix that binds the composite together, and the other is the construction material responsible to give the composite an appropriate strength. The composite strength is the result of the properties of the component phases, the volume fraction of the phases, the method of distribution of the dispersed phase in the matrix and the geometric features of the dispersed phase [1,2]. An appropriate combination of the constituent materials and their orientation allows for obtaining a material with properties impossible to obtain with conventional methods of producing homogeneous materials [3]. Composites are widely used in a variety of applications in the automotive [4], aerospace [5,6] and medical industries [7] as well as sporting and consumer goods [8]. Approximately 57% of the original Boeing 787 Dreamliner structure consists of composites [9]. Subramanian and Cook [10] stated that 40% of the material loss takes place...
in drilling operations in the aviation industry, which justifies the need for research in the field of composite separation operations. Stone and Krishnamurthy [11] concluded that the rejection of parts made of composite laminates due to delamination damage in drilling operations during final assembly is as high as 60%.

Depending on the matrix type, composite materials are divided into metal-based and non-metal (polymer, ceramic) composites. Due to the type of reinforcing phase, there are composites reinforced with fibres or particles or in a dispersion manner. The main groups of composites include the metal matrix composite (MMC), ceramic matrix composite (CMC), aramid fibre-reinforced plastics (AFRP) or polymer-based composite materials (carbon fibre-reinforced plastics—CFRP, glass fibre-reinforced plastics—GFRP) [12]. Aramid fibre-reinforced polymer (AFRP) composites are used when the combination of low weight and high toughness is the main application criterion. CFRP composites show much higher stiffness than AFRPs.

Due to the heterogeneous and anisotropic properties of composites, the cutting of composite panels is different from the machining of metals. Moreover, despite the combination of the fibres and the matrix into a single structure, the constituent materials retain their original mechanical and thermal properties, which usually differ substantially [13]. For this reason, multilayer structures should be classified as difficult-to-machine materials. The popularisation of composite materials requires effective methods of their processing. The character of the production of composites allows the formation of structures with a shape corresponding to the finished product. However, operations such as edge trimming, cutting to size and cutting holes are in many cases necessary [14,15]. Machining processes are widely used but require special attention in terms of the choice of tool material and machining parameters. Among the disadvantages of the mechanical cutting of fibrous composites, i.e., delamination, drawing of the fibres and inadequate quality (surface roughness) of the cut surface, the delamination is critical from the point of view of the mechanical strength of the composite [16,17].

In addition to conventional machining using cutting tools, the methods of high-energy cutting (laser cutting) and water jet techniques are widely used. These methods are also used for cutting and making holes in composite plates. Other methods that have attained a certain level of success for the drilling of metal-based and polymer-based composite materials include drilling with pilot hole [18], high-speed drilling [19], orbital drilling [20], ultrasonic drilling [21], use of cutting fluid during drilling [22], rotary ultrasonic machining [23], vibration-assisted twist drilling [24], rotary ultrasonic elliptical machining [25], grinding drilling [26], use of a back-up plate during drilling [27], etc.

Reinforcing fibres and other matrix components, such as glass, aluminium oxide and silicon carbide, are very hard and highly abrasion-resistant. Ensuring the optimal processing of composites using machining requires the use of special tool materials and tools with the appropriate blade geometry [28]. The non-contact cutting techniques, i.e., with a laser or a high-pressure water jet, do not have these limitations. Moreover, these methods do not require the use of lubricants that (i) can chemically react with the components of the composite and (ii) must be removed at subsequent stages of the production process [29].

When cutting composites, it is necessary to take into account the disturbance of the filler continuity, which worsens the material properties [1]. Another problem is ensuring the appropriate processing temperature by controlling the temperature below the resin curing temperature. The mechanical processing of composites is associated with the phenomenon of loss of cohesion between the component layers or loss of cohesion between individual fibres. Laser cutting provides a solution to some of these problems.

The unlimited possibility of producing composites with a wide range of properties and different workability for processing means that the selection of the appropriate cutting technique should be made individually. The use of an inadequate cutting technique may lead to delamination of the composite structure in the area of the cut edge, leading to a reduction in the structure’s resistance to long-term fatigue loads. In aerospace composite applications, such as wing skins, tail and frames, the quality of the cut surface plays a
key role in ensuring the aircraft’s durability and structural reliability. This article reviews the latest advances in the non-conventional cutting methods of metal-based and polymer-based composite materials that have emerged over the last ten years. This paper is focused on high energy cutting methods and the abrasive jet cutting technique. The methods of electrical discharge machining and ultrasonic cutting, which are used to a limited extent, are also taken into account. This systematic review has been conducted according to the systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [30].

2. Laser Cutting

The laser cutting technique is a method of the thermal separation of material, which occurs through the interaction of a beam of continuous or pulsed laser radiation, which causes local melting or the melting and evaporation of the material being cut [31,32]. The melt is blown out of the cutting zone by a stream of reactive or inert gas. The accompanying gas pressure depends on the thickness and type of material to be cut and can reach 8 bar [33]. Depending on the grade of cut material, the accompanying gases in the cutting process are nitrogen, argon, air or oxygen [33,34]. Among the many available sources of the laser beam, the most frequently used are lasers whose active medium is a neodymium-doped yttrium aluminium garnet laser, denoted Nd:YAG [35], with a power of up to approximately 20 kW, in which the yttrium aluminium garnet rod is pumped with diode lasers. In neodymium glass (Nd:Glass) lasers the active medium are optical glass rods doped with Nd$_2$O$_3$ with a power of up to about 4 kW. High power diode lasers (HDPLs) use a power not exceeding 4 kW, where the laser source is light emitting diodes, mainly with GaAs doped with Al, In or P. Increasingly used, mainly in medicine and precision mechanics, are excimer lasers in which the active medium is a mixture of CO$_2$, CO, N$_2$, O$_2$, He, Xe compounds and compounds F and Cl, and emit a beam in the range of ultraviolet radiation. Typical examples of excimer lasers are XeF excimer lasers (wavelength of 351 nm), XeCl excimer lasers (wavelength of 308 nm), KrF excimer lasers (wavelength of 248 nm) and ArF excimer lasers (wavelength of 193 nm) [36].

Lasers, as a non-contact and, therefore, frictionless cutting technique, eliminate tool wear, vibration and cutting forces [34,37]. Laser cutting can be easily automated and performed at high speed. In the early decades of using lasers to cut CFRPs, serious obstacles in the industrial use of laser cutting were the excessive heat-affected zone (HAZ), carbonisation, chemical degradation of the resin and delamination due to different thermal expansion of the composite components. The HAZ is mainly related to the input parameters of the laser cutting process [38,39]. Advances in the form of increased laser power, high beam quality and well-modulated ultra-short pulse systems make it possible to shorten the time the laser beam interacts with the composite. Laser processing systems can cooperate with six-axis industrial robots implementing a cutting trajectory in three-dimensional space. In other design solutions, a swivel head is used.

While cutting metal-based fibre metal laminates (FMLs) is technically possible at speeds corresponding to the cutting of a homogeneous metal, which is due to a very large difference in the thermophysical properties of the laminate components, qualitative cutting of these materials is extremely difficult. Thus, overburning of the polymer-, glass fibre layer is usually expected.

The anisotropic properties of fibre-reinforced composite materials opens up special application possibilities for asymmetrically loaded components. The selective reinforcement of the structure in the preferred directions increases the stiffness of the structure and enables the production of structures with a low coefficient of thermal expansion. A unique feature of many FMLs and fibre-reinforced composites (FRCs) is their high tendency to dampen vibrations. This leads to a better absorption of the vibration energy in the material and reduces the transmission of noise and vibrations to adjacent structural elements. Among the many parameters (Figure 1) affecting the laser cut edge, the most important of them are laser power, cutting speed, gas type, pulse duration, pulse energy, pulse repetition rate, gas pressure and the material thickness.
During the laser cutting of CFRPs, the phenomenon of sublimation occurs, during which the cut material is spontaneously evaporated in the cutting kerf as a result of the action of a high-intensity laser beam and blown out from the cutting kerf due to the high pressure of cutting gas. In the presence of sufficient power density during the cutting of polymer-based composites $10^3 \text{ W/cm}^2$, the temperature of the cut material exceeds its melting point. The vapors and gases generated, especially during the processing of polymer composites, may be toxic and hazardous to the operator's health, therefore, appropriate and safe working conditions must be ensured. The cut edges of the composite are smooth and there is no fraying of the fibres typical of machining.

Laser beam machining (LBM) technology is one of the most important methods employed to cut composites because it is a non-contact cutting method and it does not require much force to fix the workpiece [40,41]. LBM technology is successfully used to cut sugar palm fibre-reinforced unsaturated polyester (SPF-UPE) composites cut with a CO$_2$ laser [42].

Compared to the high-pressure water jet cutting process, laser cutting allows for narrower cut kerfs at higher cutting speeds, while also ensuring a more accurate cut close to the edge of the composite. In relation to fibre-reinforced polymers (FRPs), laser treatment causes typical damage such as the delamination of layers, conical surface of the cutting kerf, formation of craters and occurrence of a heat-affected zone. The occurrence of these defects lowering the static and fatigue strength of the composite structure depends on the parameters of the laser beam, the assisting gas and the properties of the cut material. Thermosetting resins existed in FRPs are removed from the cut zone by chemical degradation that requires higher temperature and energy compared to thermoplastics. Reinforcing fibres generally require higher temperatures and energy to evaporate compared to the resin.

Quantitatively, the state of the cut edge can be characterised on the basis of the values of the following parameters (Figure 2) [43]:

- $W_{he}$—the total width of the HAZ on the side of the beam entering the material;
- $W_{bw}$—total width of the HAZ on the side of the beam exit from the material;
- $W_{we}$—the width of the kerf on the side of the beam entering the material;
- $W_{sw}$—the width of the kerf on the side of the beam exit from the material.

Due to the large number of types of composites and possible defects related to the interaction of the laser beam with the composite material, as well as the influence of the configuration of the materials on the quality of the cut, universal guidelines for the assessment of cut edges have not been developed. The studies by Caprino and Tagliafferi [44] are a step forward; they proposed three quantitative-qualitative classes of edge quality:
Lasers emit radiation with a non-uniform power density distribution, which can be optimised for a given technological process by appropriate optical systems. In the cutting process, the non-uniform Gaussian distribution is used with the highest value of the radiation power density in the axis of the laser beam [46]. The technological parameters that determine the quality of cutting composites, in particular FRPs, are the laser power related to the power density distribution and the cutting speed. Increasing the cutting speed and reducing the laser power leads to a reduction in the size of the heat-affected zone and a reduction in the width of the cutting kerf. At the same time, the faster cutting speed reduces the excessive heating of the material and its carbonisation. The width of the heat-affected zone near the cutting kerf ranges from 100 μm to 1000 μm, mainly depending on the intensity of the laser beam, the power density distribution and the thickness of the composite.

Glass and graphite fibres show a much longer evaporation time than the matrix. The phenomenon of evaporation in combination with the high thermal conductivity of carbon fibres leads to a deterioration of the cut quality of composites containing glass or carbon fibres compared to composites containing aramid fibres [45]. AFRP composites are laser cut to a thickness of 9.5 mm. The processing speed is 2–2.5 times faster than the cutting speed obtained in machining. FRPs generally show high light absorption in the infrared region, so deep penetration of the laser beam occurs at a much lower power intensity value (100–1000 W/cm²) than for metals (10⁶ W/cm²).

Lasers emit radiation with a non-uniform power density distribution, which can be optimised for a given technological process by appropriate optical systems. In the cutting process, the non-uniform Gaussian distribution is used with the highest value of the radiation power density in the axis of the laser beam [46]. The technological parameters that determine the quality of cutting composites, in particular FRPs, are the laser power related to the power density distribution and the cutting speed. Increasing the cutting speed and reducing the laser power leads to a reduction in the size of the heat-affected zone and a reduction in the width of the cutting kerf. At the same time, the faster cutting speed reduces the excessive heating of the material and its carbonisation. The width of the heat-affected zone near the cutting kerf ranges from 100 μm to 1000 μm, mainly depending on the intensity of the laser beam, the power density distribution and the thickness of the composite.

- class A (good quality)—\( w_{sz} \leq d \) (\( d \)—diameter \( d \) of the laser beam); length of exposed fibres \( L_w \leq 50 \, \mu m \), no carbonisation of the cut surface;
- class B (acceptable quality)—all of the laser beam diameter \( d \), \( w_{sz} \leq d \), \( 50 \, \mu m \leq L_w \leq 150 \, \mu m \), visible carbonisation of the edges;
- class C (unsatisfactory quality)—\( w_{sz} > d \), \( L_w > 150 \, \mu m \), significant carbonisation of the edges.

Figure 2. A typical composite surface after laser cutting (adapted with permission from Ref. [43]. 1988, copyright Taylor & Francis).
on the intensity of the laser beam, the power density distribution and the thickness of the composite. The thermal load on the composite material during laser cutting can be reduced by using multi-pass strategies [47,48].

It is assumed that the parameter responsible for the quality of the cut is the ratio of the laser power to the cutting speed. The minimum value of this factor when cutting FRPs is particularly dependent on the thickness of the composite and the type of fibres. CFRP composites require a high value, and AFRP composites require a low value of the ratio of laser power to cutting speed, with the same cutting thickness and the same volume fraction of reinforcing fibres. For cutting CFRPs, pulsed lasers are preferred, which can generate short bursts of high-power energy. The effect of a beam with such characteristics reduces the time of temperature impact on the cut material and significantly improves the cutting quality of FRPs. The size of the HAZ is proportional to the pulse energy. Higher beam intensity of Nd:YAG lasers, shorter interaction time and better focusing contribute to a lower thermal load on the cut material compared to CO\textsubscript{2} lasers. The HAZ can be limited to a few micrometers when cutting with pulsed lasers with pulses in the picosecond or femtosecond range. A limitation in the economic industrial use of lasers with such pulse frequency is their low power. Excimer lasers emitting ultraviolet (UV) radiation significantly reduce thermal damage to the edges of the composites; however, the cutting speeds obtained are an order of magnitude lower than in the case of an infrared beam [49].

The fibre orientation and the lay-up sequence influence the temperature distribution in FRP composites and, thus, the quality of laser cutting. When cutting in the direction perpendicular to the fibres, the heat from the laser beam is dissipated by the fibres outside the cutting zone and, therefore, the efficiency of the process is lower compared to cutting in the direction parallel to the fibres, where the heat of the laser beam is used to preheat the material [50]. The different thermal expansion coefficients of carbon fibres in the radial and longitudinal directions leads to the significant difference between the thermophysical properties of the fibres and the polymer matrix of CFRPs. The high thermal conductivity of carbon fibres is the main source of problems related to damage of the cut edge during laser cutting.

The laser beam can also be used, in addition to cutting, to prepare the surface of CFRP composites for gluing by removing the top layer of the material, which improves the adhesion of the adhesive to the surfaces to be joined. Composite materials with a thickness from 1–8 mm are most often cut with a laser. In the case of thicker materials, a more advantageous solution is to use abrasive water jet cutting [51]. Laser cutting is feasible for cutting stainless steel and C/Epoxy composites, but the cutting surface has very low quality [52]. However, the development of gases from the burning epoxy matrix led to the spitting of melted metal around the location of the cut.

Multiple-pass cutting using a high beam quality continuous wave mode fibre Nd:YAG laser is effective to minimise delamination of CFRPs at low power level and high scanning speeds [33]. A novel technique using mixing of reactive and inert gases to minimise the matrix recession was introduced by Negarestani [53]. Nanosecond pulsed Nd:YAG laser cutting allowed to reduce the thermal damage as compared to the fibre laser while retaining high processing rate. Moreover, nanosecond pulsed beam multiple-pass cutting reduced the matrix recession.

Limited studies have been found with the aim of improving the HAZ of natural fibre-reinforced composites. Masoud et al. [42] examined the HAZ of sugar palm fibre-reinforced unsaturated polyester (SPF-UPE) composites cut with a CO\textsubscript{2} laser. Results of the Taguchi statistical method and analysis of variance show that the minimum levels of laser power and the highest levels of traverse speed and gas pressure gave the optimum response to the HAZ. Tewari et al. [54] evaluated the HAZ response of kenaf-reinforced high-density polyethylene composite that was cut with a low-power CO\textsubscript{2} laser. It was found that that traverse speed and laser power are the influential parameters on the HAZ in the laser-drilled kenaf-reinforced composite. Tamrin et al. [39] evaluated the HAZ during low-power CO\textsubscript{2} laser cutting of cotton fibre laminate-reinforced phenolic resin. As a response to
input parameters, laser power, traverse speed, stand-off distance and the number of beam passes were considered as input parameters in analysis of variance along with Taguchi experimental design. HAZ is most influenced by the traverse speed and laser power. The HAZ depth is inversely proportional to traverse speed.

Lau et al. [55] performed experiments on the efficiency of the Nd:YAG laser and the excimer laser for CFRP cutting. Their results indicate that intermittent cuts produce a poorer surface finish, and cutting speed is critical. Sobru et al. [56] found that assisted gas pressure, laser power, pulse ratio and focal plane position were the most influenced parameters for affecting the depth of cut by adapting the spiral trepanning drilling strategy for the cutting of multi-directional multi-layer CFRP. Hirsh et al. [57] applied the single mode fibre laser to cut GFRP, CFRP and continuous fibre-reinforced thermoplastic composites. The formation of the HAZ was found to be dependent on the laminate type and break time. During cutting perpendicular to the fibre axis and with a higher break time, the HAZ is reduced. The main cutting mechanisms for the CFRPs are vaporisation-induced ablation, thermochemical decomposition and melting, while the matrix polymer is removed during the laser cutting of GFRPs.

3. Water Jet and Abrasive Water Jet Cutting

Because of its remarkable advantages for machining metal or composite-based materials, water jet (WJ) and abrasive water jet (AWJ) technologies have been attractive manufacturing techniques for the space, aircraft, boating and automotive industries. Water jet machining, also called water jet cutting, is an unconventional machining process that performs based on the idea of water erosion to remove materials from the workpiece’s surface or to cut it into two sections by a high-velocity water jet. Soft materials, such as plastic and rubber, can be cut with water jet machining, and hard metals can be cut or milled by an AWJ. Using abrasive material in the water during the machining operation to cut materials is referred to as abrasive waterjet machining. The purpose of the abrasive particles is to enhance the cutting ability of the water jet. Garnet, aluminium oxide and sand are the most widely utilised abrasive particles in abrasive water jet machining; however, glass beads are also employed. Pure water jet machining is used to cut softer materials, but abrasive water jet machining is used to cut harder materials by mixing abrasive particles with the water. During cutting with a WJ or AWJ, the stream of the working medium is directed to the surface of the processed material through a nozzle with a diameter from 0.1–0.4 mm, causing erosive detachment of the processed material particles. The advantage of water jet cutting is the elimination of high temperature impact on the edge of the composite [58].

Water jet cutting is widely used for processing composite materials, plastics, elastomeric materials, wood, fabrics and mats [59,60]. This cutting technique consumes less energy compared to other cutting techniques (laser, plasma), and during the cutting process itself, no harmful substances are emitted. WJ technology has been developed with an AWJ, abrasive suspension jet (ASJ) and abrasive suspension jet micro-cutting (μASJ) techniques.

Current efforts of manufacturers of WJ cutting machines are aimed at further reducing the diameter of the hole in the nozzle and the diameter of the mixing nozzle with an exit hole less than 200 μm, as well as increasing the service life of the heads. Currently, properly operated mixing nozzles, depending on the quality of workmanship, can work for up to 140 h. The smallest parts that can be processed with μAWJ are approximately from 200–300 μm. The current intention of the manufacturers of machining machines is to process parts with dimensions from 50–100 μm. To ensure high shape and dimensional accuracy of the workpiece, the cutting jet leaving the nozzle is at a speed of nearly 3 times the speed of sound in air [61].

Many essential parts make up the water jet machining construction, as shown in Figure 3. A water tank serves to store the water needed for the machining process. During the machining process, a hydraulic pump is employed to circulate the water from the water tank. The low-pressure water is delivered to the intensifier via the pump. A booster raises the initial water pressure before flowing it to the intensifier in some conditions. The
An accumulator temporarily stores high-pressured water and supplies it when a massive amount of pressure energy is needed to eliminate pressure fluctuation. The control valve controls the pressure and direction of the water, and the flow regulator valve controls the amount of water that flows through it. A mixing chamber, also known as a vacuum chamber, is a tube that mixes the abrasive particles with water. In water jet machining, the pressure energy of water converts into kinetic energy by the nozzle, which converts the pressure into a high-velocity beam. The tip is often made with diamond to keep the nozzle from erosion. After machining, the debris and the machined particles were separated from the water by the drain and catcher unit. Before returning the water to the water tank for reuse, it filters away metal particles and other undesirable particles.

**Figure 3.** General schematic illustration of an abrasive type of water jet cutting system.

The basic element of the head of AWJ machines is the nozzle, which determines the quality and efficiency of the cutting process [62]. The structure of a typical AWJ nozzle is shown in Figure 4. Water jet cutting heads usually use ruby nozzles, which, under optimal processing conditions, ensure a period of proper operation up to 100 h. Sapphire nozzles are rarely used for AWJ cutting due to their rapid wear. Ruby or diamond nozzles have a service life of up to 100 and up to 2000 h, respectively, under WJ cutting conditions. In the micro abrasive water jet (μAWJ) technique, the diameter of the working stream is up to 0.1 mm. Meanwhile, the outlet diameter of the water jet nozzle is from 0.18–0.4 mm. The small diameter of the nozzle enables cutting curved shapes with a minimum radius of rounding of approximately 0.1 mm. Depending on the type of material and the size of the cut shape, the cutting tolerance is ±0.01 mm. Higher speed of the water jet increases the accuracy of cutting and cutting efficiency, while reducing water and abrasive consumption.

During AWJ cutting of CFRP composites, the cut edge is slightly damaged by the abrasiveness or becomes wet, which can cause the fibres to detach from the matrix [63]. AWJ cutting is recommended for processing brittle composite materials. The edges of the cut elements, unlike high-energy processing methods (e.g., laser, plasma), do not undergo thermal deformation and are not subject to structural changes caused by temperature because the water temperature during material separation does not exceed 40 °C. The advantages of high-pressure WJ cutting are that there is no need to sharpen the cutting tool and low deformations of the workpiece is observed. The high quality of the cut surface, with no burrs, makes the WJ and AWJ cutting techniques effective for use for processing FMLs. Delamination in water jet cutting is caused by the deposition of abrasive particles at the interface of two different materials and water wedging and shock waves in the initial phase.
Figure 4. Construction of a typical AWJ cutting nozzle.

The problem that needs to be overcome is the AWJ treatment of composite materials composed of very soft and hard layers [51]. With the increase in the difference in hardness between the layers, the level of difficulty in water cutting increases, related to ensuring the appropriate surface quality on the entire surface of the cut. The water jet passing through the soft layer hits the hard layer and is partially reflected from it, penetrating the cut edge of the soft material. Doluk et al. [64] confirmed the possibility of using an AWJ for cutting composite sandwich structures (aluminium alloy + CFRP based on epoxy resin). It was determined that the jet pressure is the key parameter influencing the quality of the cut surfaces.

Monoranu et al. [65] compared conventional milling and the AWJ to machine CFRP laminates and discovered that the AWJ specimens have higher surface roughness than conventional milled specimens. The difference was considered to be statistically significant \( p = 0.05 \). Surface morphology analysis of AWJ-machined samples revealed considerable damage. Pits and transverse micro-fractures in the jet direction were observed along the initial damage zone at the jet entrance.

Alberdi et al. [62] investigated the impact of the AWJ process parameters on affected cut quality (taper and surface roughness) of two CFRP composite materials of varying thicknesses. The conclusion is that the machinability index of various composite materials varies greatly, necessitating separate investigations. To compare the machinability of different metals, the machinability index is utilised. For different cutting techniques, the machinability of two or more metals may change.

Demiral et al. [66] investigated the damage caused by AWJ cutting on a CFRP cross-ply laminate. They concluded that tensile matrix cracking started when the cutting of AWJ particles started, causing debonding from the top interlayer to the lower layers in a row. Furthermore, the fibre degradation was restricted to only the top-most layer. The low cutting speed of the particles causes layer damages to be more distributed along with the
laminate thickness. As the impact angle increases, cutting the laminate gets more difficult due to the abrasive particles’ inability to cut it easily.

The effects of AWJ machining on carbon nanotube-reinforced aluminium alloy AA7475 composites were investigated by Kumar et al. [67]. According to the findings of this study, the machining tests on 9% carbon nanotube (CNT) composites revealed, that, as the proportion of CNT increases, the surface and mechanical roughness increases, requiring a higher abrasive flow rate to remove material. The abrasive flow rate will have the greatest impact on the multi-response performance index if the CNT composite is 3%. The traverse feed will significantly impact the CNT composite if it is 6%. Flow rate and water pressure can considerably affect surface roughness and mechanical roughness. Finally, 9% CNT-reinforced composites are stronger, wear-resistant and easier to machine when the abrasive flow rate and parameters are optimised.

Asawthnarayan et al. [68] investigated the effect of abrasive friction nanographene on the wear behaviour of glass–epoxy composites under different conditions. In the composites studied, wear volume loss was considered to be a linear function of abrasion load and the composition. Furthermore, abrasion wear resistance was observed to be better with 1 wt% nanographene than with more significant loadings of the same nanofiller. Moreover, the composites’ hardness, good mechanical characteristics and enhanced degree of crystallinity resulted in good abrasion wear behaviour. According to the frictional study, when nanographene is added at lower pressure, the coefficient of friction (COF) drops at first, but the composites reverse their behaviour when the pressure is increased.

Shunmugasundaram et al. [69] used the Taguchi technique to improve machining parameters for cutting aluminium-based metal matrix composites using an AWJ machining process. Water pressure, abrasive flow rate and traverse velocity were chosen as input parameters for machining, with material removal speed and surface roughness as output responses. The response analysis by means of signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio revealed that traverse speed has a more significant influence on material removal rate than the other two machining parameters.

The impact of process variables, including water pressure, abrasive flow rate, feed rate and stand-off distance on the properties of the cut surfaces of the Ti6Al4V alloy during AWJ, have been investigated by Abushanab et al. [70]. In comparison to other process parameters, the abrasive flow rate contributed 29.32%, and the stand-off distance contributed 61.77% to control surface roughness.

In the AWJ cutting of stainless steel 304, Karthik et al. [71] aimed to optimise the machining settings where the water jet pressure, feed rate and abrasive flow rate are the key input parameters. They discovered that water pressure and feed rate have the most significant influence on material removal rate, with greater feed rates and lower abrasive flow rates producing the smallest kerf top width.

Joel et al. [72] adopted response surface methods to calculate abrasive feed machining parameters in order to optimise the machining operational parameters of AWJ cutting on AA6082. The actual and anticipated response surface values of AA6082 for hardness, metal removal rate and surface roughness are assessed using the quadratic equation. This study successfully overcame the optimisations of AA6082 utilising response surface methodology. The effect of material removal rate of 0.1548 g/s, surface roughness of 4.90 µm in Ra and a hardness of 92.86 BHN were substantially closer to optimal values in a confirmatory experiment using a combination of ideal parameters projected for AA6082 for verification.

To cut the tungsten plate for the fusion device, Wang et al. [73] employed a pre-mixed abrasive water jet, as depicted in Figure 5. It was concluded that the transverse speed significantly impacted the surface roughness. As a result, lowering the transverse speed reduces surface roughness and improves section quality. The surface roughness was less affected by the jet pressure. Increasing the jet pressure can enhance the overall section quality by increasing the depth of the smooth area.
The abrasive water jet is proven to be an economical and efficient process for machining of metal-based and polymer-based composite materials in various manufacturing industries [74]. The main advantage of the AWJ to other cutting processes is the reduction of thermal defects during machining. Thus, carbon fibre-reinforced thermoplastics (CFRTPs) and CFRPs can be machined without matrix removal [75–77]. Previous studies [78] have confirmed the presence of higher fatigue strength in the AWJ machined and peened surfaces. Srinivas and Babu [79] have studied the jet penetration ability on SiC particles in MMCs with an abrasive water jet. The most influencing factors during the cutting of these types of composites is the traverse rate and water jet pressure. The increase in the hardness of the material led to a decrease in the contribution of the pressure of the water jet. Cutting performance in terms of kerf formation, surface morphology and kerf wall features of CFRP samples have been studied by Dhanawade et al. [80]. Based on the response surface methodology (RSM), they found that surface roughness decreases with the decrease in the traverse rate and stand-off distance and increase in the hydraulic pressure and abrasive mass flow rate. CFRP surfaces machined by an AWJ are of better quality as compared to the surfaces machined by a diamond edge cutter. El-Hofy et al. [75] investigated jet pressure, stand-off distance and feed rate in terms of the kerf taper, the bottom kerf width and surface characteristics in the AWJ cutting of two lay-up configurations of multidirectional CFRP laminates. Based on the analysis of variance it was found that for a smaller kerf taper, it is recommended to use high pressure, small stand-off distance and high feed rate. On the other hand, the kerf width at the top of the cut increases with water jet pressure and stand-off distance and decreases with feed rate.

The main parameter influencing the conicity of the cut is the combination of the stand-off distance and the feed rate. Sambruno et al. [81] examined the influence of cutting parameters on the kerf taper generated during water jet machining of a thin-walled thermoplastic composite material (carbon/thermoplastic polyurethane, C/TPU). Analysis of variance analysis (ANOVA) showed that water pressure and feed rate are the most significant parameters in AWJ cutting. The walls of the slot become more vertical at high pressures and low feed rates. This is due to the greater concentration of energy affecting the processed composite, which translates into greater material removal. The results of Sambruno et al. [81] are in line with the findings of Dhanawade et al. [82], who used ANOVA to determine the effect of processing parameters on the taper angle. They found that the most influential parameter on the conicity of the cut was hydraulic pressure.
Increasing this parameter increases the kinetic energy of the water jet and, thus, reduces the conicity of the cut.

Abrasives play a vital role in machining operation by the AWJ machine tool [83,84]. An experiment shows that the depth of the cut increases with an increase in the hardness of the abrasives [85]. The influence of process parameters on kerf taper in AWJM of a Kevlar epoxy composite was studied by Kumar and Kant [86]. Examination of the influence of four process parameters (stand-off distance, abrasive flow rate, traverse speed and water pressure) on kerf taper angle led to a conclusion that the most important process parameters significantly affecting the kerf taper angle are the traverse speed of the water pressure. Kerf taper of a Kevlar 49 epoxy composite machined by AWJM is significantly influenced by the water pressure and traverse speed [87].

Thakur et al. [88] investigated delamination factor measured as a ratio of the maximum width of cut and actual width of cut at the entry and exit side of a hole produced on a hybrid carbon/glass composite by changing the traverse rate, stand-off distance and water jet pressure. It was found that stand-off distance was the most effective parameter for the delamination factor at the entry of the hole, while traverse rate was the most effective parameter for the delamination factor at the exit of the hole. Li et al. [89] investigated the hole cutting on CFRP laminates using both AWJ and WJ machining. It was found that limited entry/exit delamination was found in AWJ hole cutting compared to the pure WJ. High jet pressure or low traverse rate is responsible for diameter offset in the AWJ cutting process [90].

4. Plasma Cutting

Plasma is a highly ionised gas in the form of a beam ejected from a plasma nozzle at a speed close to the speed of sound wave propagation in air [91] or even higher. The plasma beam temperature depends on the intensity of the electric current and the type of plasma gas and amounts to between 10 [92] and 30 [93] thousand Celsius. The flow of plasma gas through the glowing electric arc causes its ionisation, and due to the high concentration of power, a plasma stream concentrated in the nozzle is generated [94]. A highly concentrated plasma arc of high temperature and high kinetic energy, glowing between the non-consumable electrode and the workpiece, ejects the metal from the cutting kerf [95]. Plasma cutting is not widely used in the processing of composite materials. Studies by Iosub et al. [96] show that it is possible to plasma cut sandwich composites composed of aluminium layers separated by a polyethylene core. However, due to the much lower melting point of polyethylene, it is necessary to use high cutting speeds to minimise the exposure of the polyethylene to the plasma arc.

5. Electromachining

Electrical discharge machining (EDM) as a schematic is illustrated in Figure 6. Developed in the late 1940s [97], it is one of the most extensively used manufacturing technologies for manufacturing complex products in roughing and finishing operations [98]. EDM is an alternative to machining and is used to drill holes, primarily in metal matrix composites. EDM and wire electrical discharge machining (WEDM) are used to form composite matrices with complex internal surfaces, with an accuracy of 2 µm [99,100]. EDM was established based on removing material from a workpiece using a dielectric fluid and a series of repetitive electrical discharges among tools called electrodes and the workpiece [101]. The electrode moves toward the workpiece until the space between them is small enough to ionise the dielectric with the impressed voltage. Short-duration discharges are formed in a liquid dielectric gap between the tool and the workpiece. Electrical discharges from the tool and workpiece erode the material, thereby removing it [102,103]. Parameters controlling the performance of the WEDM method are shown in Figure 7. Controlling of the discharge current is crucial while machining FMLs. A high current results in an intensified heat generation at the work surface, which can either elongate the continuous fibres, melt or char the binder and cause debonding between the matrix and the binders. At larger pulse
duration, the electrode wear is low and material removal rate is high. However, large pulse
duration leads to high surface roughness of the cutting edge [104].

**Figure 6.** Schematic of electric discharge machining (EDM) (Reprinted with permission from Ref. [105]. 2019, copyright Nature Switzerland AG).

During EDM, the allowance is removed from the composite material as a result of the phenomena accompanying electrical discharges (heat release, temperature rise, evaporation, melting and tearing of the material) in the area between the electrode and the workpiece [106]. EDM and WEDM reduce the occurrence of post-machining stresses in the workpiece. The problems accompanying WEDM cutting process are, however, the low cutting efficiency and the formation of the undesirable so-called white layer on the treated surface [99], the thickness of which depends on the type of composite and the processing conditions. Ceramic composites with complex shapes can be electromachined as long as they have the appropriate electrical conductivity, which can be obtained by adding TiC, TiN or TiB₂, to result in, for example, ZrO₂-TiN, Si₃N₄-TiN, B₄C-TiB₂. The resistance of materials subjected to EDM cutting should not exceed 100 Ω·cm.

**Figure 7.** Parameters affecting WEDM (Reprinted with permission from Ref. [104]; 2022, copyright Elsevier Ltd.).
Current trends in WEDM of metal–matrix composites (MMCs) has been provided by Gore and Patil [100]. Due to low accuracy, poor machineability, huge amount of tool wear and poor surface quality, the conventional machining processes (i.e., drilling, milling) are not recommended for cutting MMC’s. Instead of conventional machining, the authors [107–110] recommended non-conventional machining processes for the cutting of MMCs.

The use of WEDM to cut polymer composite materials (PCMs) has been investigated by various researchers [111–113] who found that the conductivity of PCMs is limited. High temperature and lack of effective cooling can lead to the destruction of the resin at the edges of the holes in PCMs. The problems with the quality and accuracy of the holes in the poorly conductive material can be overcome by regulating the conductive layer over the non-conductive PCM [114,115]. Abyaz et al. [113] found that pulse duration of voltage and their interactions are the significant factors affecting the machining of the PCMs.

Recently, Abdallah et al. [116] analysed the cutting process of unidirectional CFRPs using WEDM to study the effects of pulse-on time, pulse-off time, gap voltage and current on the top and bottom cut-width (kerf), workpiece edge damage and material removal rate (MRR). It was found that pulse-off time and current were statistically important parameters in terms of material removal rate. However, the only factor affecting cut-width on the top surface was current.

Dutta et al. [117] investigated the CFRP composite cutting using a modified version of WEDM. The problems with deviation in machining path and incomplete cut have been overcome by using metal plates (H13 steel) as assisting-electrodes. The results showed that increasing the current reduced the cutting time while keeping all other parameters constant. WEDM with the aid of sandwich-assisting electrodes showed damages such as matrix cracking, fibre–matrix debonding and breakage of carbon fibres.

Abdallah et al. [118] studied the influence of operating parameters and cut direction (perpendicular and parallel to fibre orientation) when WEDM was used to cut unidirectional CFRP composites. Workpieces machined parallel to fibre direction (Figure 8a) were generally free of any major edge defects, in contrast to severe delamination observed on the bottom surfaces of specimens cut perpendicular to fibre orientation (Figure 8b). The higher electrical conductivity of the workpiece along the fibre length leading to greater discharge energies and, consequently, the maximum MRR. A ~16% increase in maximum MRR was achieved when machining parallel to fibre direction compared to cutting perpendicular to the fibres. WEDM of CFRP laminates conducted by Yue et al. [119] resulted in ~18% higher cutting speed due to the increased thermal conductivity of fibres in the axial compared to transverse orientation.

Sheikh-Ahmed [120] investigated the material removal mechanisms and surface delamination in EDM drilling of CFRP with respect to varying current and pulse-on time. It was found that pulse-on time had a major effect on the resulting degree of HAZ and hole tapering while delamination was significantly influenced by discharge current.

Wu et al. [121] proposed a preheating-assisted WEDM technique (Figure 9) for machining undirected CFRP by sandwiching the composite workpiece between carbon steel plates, which acted as assisting layers. Both analytical modelling and experimental results showed that the method proposed can effectively cut 4-mm-thick CFRPs (32 layers of pre preg plies with the same carbon fibre orientation) by removing uncut epoxy resin via heating the wire electrode based on the introduced conductive assist matters. It was found that the intense spark discharges occurring at the metallic layers were sufficient to melt the low conductivity resin matrix of the CFRP.
Ultrasonic Cutting

Figure 8. Schematic illustration of material removal mechanism when cutting (a) parallel and (b) perpendicular to fibre orientation. Reprinted from Ref. [118] (this is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons CC-BY license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited).

Figure 9. Schematics of the proposed preheating-assisted WEDM technique (Reprinted with permission from Ref. [121], 2020, copyright Elsevier B.V.).
6. Ultrasonic Cutting

Ultrasonic treatment is an erosive treatment with abrasive grains freely suspended in a working fluid and receiving energy from a vibration source. The task of the working fluid is to transfer ultrasonic waves, remove particles of the crushed material from the treatment zone and cool the treatment zone [122,123]. The accuracy of ultrasonic machining, mainly used for drilling holes in ceramics and composites, depends mainly on the grain size of the abrasive, the vibration frequency (19–25 kHz) and vibration amplitude (10–50 µm) of the tool. As proposed by Hahn et al. [124], the optimal ultrasonic cutter requires 70% less cutting force than the conventional cutter to cut a ceramic composite material. The cutting surface is much cleaner with no crack and delamination compared with the application of the conventional (titanium, aluminium or stainless steel) cutter. Many researchers have investigated the frequency characteristics of various ultrasonic machines considering the geometrical characteristics of the horn; however, they did not even consider the various geometrical design variables simultaneously [125–127]. Ultrasound assistance dramatically reduces the creation of a built-up edge, decreases the cutting forces and lowers the process heat [128]. For very fine shapes in electrically non-conductive materials and conductive materials, a micro ultrasonic machining (µUSM) process was developed where a micro-sized tool is used during the machining [129]. The parameters affecting ultrasonic machining are shown in Figure 10.

![Figure 10. Parameters affecting the performance of ultrasonic machining (Reprinted with permission from Ref. [104]. 2022, copyright Elsevier Ltd.).](image)

A variety of ultrasonic machining are used to make holes with a drill using Rotary Ultrasonic Machining (RUM). A high number of typical and widely utilised difficult-to-cut materials have been successfully machined by RUM, such as the SiCp/Al composite [130], the CFRP/Ti [131] and the CFRPs [23,132]. The RUM is most often used for machining CFRP composites and has many advantages compared to drilling holes with the conventional methods, i.e., lower cutting resistance, lower surface roughness of the machined surface, less tool wear and a limited delamination.

Baraheni and Amini [133] determined the effect of drilling and material variables comprising feed rate, cutting velocity, plate thickness and ultrasonic vibration on delamination and thrust force during rotary ultrasonic machining (Figure 11a) of the CFRPs. Based on the ANOVA results it was concluded that the lowest delamination is observed in lower feed rate and cutting velocity by applying ultrasonic vibration on the thinner composite laminate.
When cutting using Rotary Ultrasonic Surface Machining (RUSM), which combines both ultrasonic machining and surface machining processes, a cooling lubricant (liquid or cold air) is supplied to the machining area (Figure 12). For the first time, a comparison between RUSM and conventional surface grinding (CSG) of CFRPs and the effect of process parameters on surface roughness, torque and axial and infeed-directional cutting forces was conducted by Ning et al. [135]. It was found that RUSM exhibited larger surface roughness compared with CSG resulting from the occurrence of surface damages induced by the vertical ultrasonic vibration.

Recently, research focus has shifted to the vibration-assisted drilling (VAD) of FRC materials. Cutting mechanisms of vibration-assisted drilling and conventional machining are fundamentally different from each other. VAD has many unique characteristics such as impacting, separating, changing speed and changing angle during the drilling process [136]. According to Debnath et al. [136], there are four different VAD processes: RUM, vibration-assisted twist drilling (VATD) [137,138], ultrasonic drilling (UD) [139] and rotary ultrasonic elliptical machining—RUEM (Figure 11b) [140]. Rotary-mode ultrasonic drilling (RMUD) is a non-contact-type machining process in which the rotation of the workpiece takes place. Debnath et al. [136] conducted research on drilled holes in lass-epoxy laminates to improve the quality (in terms of push-down type of delamination and hole-edge quality) and the productivity (in terms of number of holes per hour). The major contribution of their
investigations was the development of a novel method of making clean-cut damage-free holes in the FRC laminates.

Applying vibration may reduce the amount of thrust force, delamination and wear of the tool [141]. When cutting GFRPs, applied frequencies are lower than 60 Hz and applied vibration amplitudes are up to 20 µm [142]. When cutting CFRPs, the increase in cutting temperature leads to a change of powder chips into chips as a result of resin melting, thus, causing the resin to degrade when the cutting temperature exceeds its glass transition temperature [143]. Xu et al. [144] pointed out that in view of thermal conductivities of Ti (i.e., 7.99 W/mK) and CFRP (i.e., 1.0 W/mK) and the cutting heat developed in drilling cannot be substantially released by the workpiece and chips, resulting in great heat concentrating around the hole wall. The temperature reduction in the cutting zone can be achieved by cooling with the minimum quantity liquid (MQL), air or coolant liquid. Helmy et al. [145] proposed different fluid delivery methods on ultrasonic assisted machining of multidirectional CFRPs using diamond abrasive tools. It was found that the use of mist coolant caused an increase in cutting forces compared to flood coolant because of insufficient removal of dust and heat from the cutting zone.

Debnath and Singh [146] proposed low-frequency modulation-assisted drilling (MAD) for carbon-epoxy composite laminates. One of the striking features of the MAD is that the drill and the laminate engage and disengage alternatively during processing. This is one of the plausible reasons of the lower value of average force during MAD as compared to the conventional drilling (Figure 13). Two types of delamination are formed during the drilling of composite laminates, peel-up (Figure 14a) and push-down (Figure 14b) delamination that occur at the entry and exit side of the hole, respectively. The other defects connected with the drilling of composite laminates are shown in Figure 15. Review of the current literature on the drilling-induced delamination for composite laminates has been provided by Geng et al. [9]. Apart from the deterioration of the hole surface quality, the delamination deteriorates the properties of the composite. It has also been established that the push-down delamination is more prone to in-service failure than the peel-up delamination [146]. MAD process allowed to decrease the delamination factor as a ratio of hole and damage area and hole area.

![Figure 13. Effect of frequency of rotation on thrust force during drilling with 4-facet drill (Reprinted with permission from Ref. [146], 2016, copyright The Society of Manufacturing Engineers. Published by Elsevier Ltd.).](image-url)
Defects connected with the drilling of composite laminates are shown in Figure 15. Review of the current literature on the drilling-induced delamination for composite laminates has been provided by Geng et al. [9]. Apart from the deterioration of the hole surface quality, the delamination deteriorates the properties of the composite. It has also been established that the push-down delamination is more prone to in-service failure than the peel-up delamination [146]. MAD process allowed to decrease the delamination factor as a ratio of hole and damage area and hole area.

Figure 13. Effect of frequency of rotation on thrust force during drilling with 4-facet drill (Reprinted with permission from Ref. [146], 2016, copyright The Society of Manufacturing Engineers. Published by Elsevier Ltd.).

Figure 14. (a) peel-up and (b) push-down delamination of composite laminates (Reprinted with permission from Ref. [146], 2016, copyright The Society of Manufacturing Engineers. Published by Elsevier Ltd.).

Figure 15. Exit defects of Al₂O₃/GFRP composite plate processed using low-frequency axial vibration drilling (Reprinted with permission from Ref. [147], 2020 copyright Elsevier Ltd.).

Dahnel et al. [148] applied the ultrasonic-assisted drilling (UAD) process to drill CFRP/Ti laminates by single-shot with cutting fluid. The tool wear obtained in UAD including major edges chipping, abrasive wear and titanium adhesion can be effectively restrained in the UAD process. Shao et al. [149] applied the UAD to drilling CFRP/Ti laminates without cooling, which achieved higher hole quality and productivity compared with conventional drilling (CD). Cong et al. [150] found that the variable feed rate, compared with the constant feed rate, can achieve a better effect during ultrasonic drilling of CFRP/Ti laminates. Zheng et al. [147] proposed low-frequency (LF) vibration drilling (Figure 16) combined with a thin-wall diamond trepanning bit to achieve the constant feed rate drilling of a Al₂O₃/GFRP laminated composite plate. It was found that compared with the CD, low-frequency axial vibration drilling can achieve the constant feed rate drilling of GFRP with better drilling quality. LF axial vibration drilling is a promising technology for drilling difficult-to-cut materials [151–155], which forms intermittent chips and promotes relative vibration between the workpiece and the tool. The LF vibration drilling reduces the delamination defect and the cutting force [156,157].
Longitudinal torsional coupled rotary ultrasonic-assisted drilling (LTC-RUAD) technology using a tapered drill-reamer is introduced to improve the surface finish of the hole wall of CFRPs induced by large thrust force and torque during CD [158]. Compared with CD, the torque and maximum average thrust force were reduced by approximately 40% and 30%, respectively. The surface roughness of the drilled hole with LTC-RUAD was better than that obtained by adopting the conventional drilling process under the same process parameters.

CFRP is the most studied material in vibration-assisted drilling. Arul et al. [159] and Zhang et al. [160] studied the effect of process parameters on the delamination when vibratory drilling of CFRPs with the thickness $t = 4.0–4.8$ mm. Makhdum et al. [161] cut the CFRP in ultrasonically-assisted drilling. The holes drilled with UAD show better circularity, improved surface roughness and result in a lower delamination area in UAD when compared to CD. Debnath and Singh [146] and Pecat and Brikeksmeier [162] drilled CFRPs using low frequency modulation-assisted drilling and low frequency vibration-assisted drilling, respectively.

Chen et al. [163] developed two-dimensional rotatory ultrasonic combined with electrolytic generating machining, which organically combined electrolysis and an ultrasonic effect with a high-speed rotating electrode. The method proposed was tested when cutting ceramic-reinforced metal matrix materials. The authors provided and successfully verified a new concept for high-performance machining of difficult-to-cut materials.

7. Summary

Due to the large variety of composite properties, determining the possibility of cutting a composite material with a specific method requires an individual approach. The basic criterion is the required accuracy of the cut surface and the physical properties of the composite, which determine the technical feasibility of cutting with a given method. In the case where the composite has a direction-oriented sandwich structure, the cutting efficiency depends on the orientation of the cut line and the stacking order of the layers. The most important observations from the review presented in this article are as follows:

- The main limitations in conventional machining of metal matrix composites are the difficulty in ensuring the integrity of the treated surface against cracking, the increase in machining costs due to high tool wear, long machining cycle and low machining efficiency;
- Applying ultrasonic vibration to the cutting tool reduces both the frictional force between the workpiece and the tool, the cutting force required and the stress on the workpiece;
- Current investigations are focused on the optimisation of machining parameters and the development of hybrid methods combining ultrasonic treatment with other methods, such as electromachining or electrolysis;
- Conventional processing of PCMs by milling or drilling results in vibrations that cause the fibres to be pulled out, reducing the operational quality of products, especially in the aircraft industry. WEDM of PCMs is a potential candidate for the preparation of small parts with high accuracy;
- Requirements for the individualisation of equipment and machine performance to the specific composite material produces laser cutters with a different degree of automation, power of the laser source and size of the working area;
- Unconventional treatment methods such as chemical and electrochemical treatment are hazardous to the environment;
- Despite many disadvantages, such as thermal deterioration of the matrix material, composite processing techniques, such as laser cutting, plasma cutting, laser cutting and ultrasonic cutting, are considered beneficial when machining accuracy is not the primary concern.
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