Effect of Low Electric Fields on Alpha Scintillation Light Yield in Liquid Argon
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DarkSide-50 is a dark matter experiment searching for Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs) using a two-phase Time Projection Chamber (TPC) containing a liquid argon (LAr) target mass of roughly 50 kg. When ionizing radiation interacts with the LAr, two signals are produced in the TPC. First, the argon undergoes deexcitation and recombination, and produces primary scintillation (S1). Second, ionization electrons are drifted to the surface of the liquid by an electric field (drift field) and extracted by a second electric field (extraction field) to produce secondary scintillation in a layer of argon gas above the liquid (S2). Both the S1 and S2 signals are detected by arrays of 19 photomultiplier tubes (PMTs) at the top and bottom of the TPC. The signals are measured in terms of photoelectrons (PE), calibrated with a low-intensity laser. A more detailed description of the detector can be found in [1, 2].

The S1 light yield (hereafter referred to as simply light yield) for an interaction is defined as \( LY = S1/Q \), where \( Q \) is the energy deposited in the argon during the interaction.

For beta particles, the presence of an electric field reduces the light yield [3]. This is typically explained as a result of the field pulling away electrons from the positive argon ions, resulting in less recombination and thus less primary scintillation. DarkSide-50 reports a beta light yield of 7.9 PE/keV for 0 V/cm drift field and 7.0 PE/keV for 200 V/cm drift field [1].

However, this relationship does not hold true for all types of ionizing particles. The light yield of liquid argon as a function of drift field has been measured for many different forms of radiation, including neutrons [3], fission fragments [4], alpha particles [4, 5], and helium ions [5]. Alphas and helium ions were found to behave differently from the rest; the light yield increased as the drift field increased, until it reached a peak when the drift field was on the order of 1 kV/cm, after which the light yield began to decrease.

The purpose of this paper is to report similar findings of the light yield behavior for alpha induced scintillation in DarkSide-50. A sample of alpha decays is obtained through the detection of naturally occurring radon daughters present in the liquid (Figure 1). Despite its low level of radon contamination (\( \mu \text{Bq/kg} \)), DarkSide-50 has collected enough statistics to resolve the peaks in the \(^{222}\text{Rn} \) decay chain spectrum.

For the dark matter search, DarkSide-50 operates with a drift field of 200 V/cm. However, a substantial amount of data has been collected with drift fields in a range from 0 to 200 V/cm for calibration purposes, and these data sets provide us with a means of comparing the alpha light yield at different field strengths.

Alphas produce S1 signals ("pulses") that are very large (>10000 PE), and they saturate the CAEN V1720 digitizers used for DarkSide-50's dark matter search. To recover these interactions, CAEN V1724 digitizers receiving a lower-gain signal from the PMTs were used in parallel with the V1720s [6, 7]. The V1724 pulse integrals

FIG. 1. The \(^{222}\text{Rn} \) decay chain with the energy of the decay product and the half-life of each state. The energies listed are for the primary (>99.9%) alpha decay modes.
were converted to units of PE using a conversion factor derived from a linear mapping of V1724 to V1720 pulse integrals at lower, unsaturated levels.

A correction was applied to S1 that depends on the vertical (z) position of each alpha event within the TPC to account for a z-dependence of the light collection efficiency within the detector. The z-position of an event is typically measured using drift time (the time between S1 and S2), but in this case, where a comparison is being made to data taken with no field, drift time could not be used. A parameter called top-bottom asymmetry (TBA) was used instead. It is defined as:

$$\text{TBA} = \frac{\text{S1 from top PMTs} - \text{S1 from bottom PMTs}}{\text{S1 from all PMTs}}$$

A scatter plot of TBA and drift time in a 200 V/cm drift field can be seen in Figure 2. The feature at high drift time is a result of the geometry of the detector; events that are at the maximum drift time (the bottom surface of the TPC) have a TBA that is heavily biased depending on whether the event occurs above a PMT or above the PTFE reflector separating the PMTs. Events occurring directly above the reflector have more light reflecting into the top PMT array, biasing the TBA upward. There is no equivalent feature at low drift time because the liquid is separated from the upper surface of the TPC by a layer of argon gas. This effect introduces a small systematic error into the TBA correction. Since systematic errors from the TBA correction do not depend on the drift field, we eliminate these errors in the final result by presenting the light yield at each drift field relative to the 0 V/cm light yield.

The TBA distribution is not symmetric about 0 due to partial internal reflection from the liquid-gas interface at the top of the TPC.

The top spectrum after correcting for the event’s z-position to make a correction to the energy. See the text for an explanation of the feature at high drift time.

The S1 correction factor for a given TBA is derived from a calibration using the endpoint energy of the $^{39}$Ar spectrum. The magnitude of the correction ranges from roughly $-10\%$ to $+10\%$ of S1.

The alpha spectrum after the TBA correction is shown in Figure 3. The three peaks identifiable in the final spectrum are labelled based on their relative energies, from left to right, as $^{210}$Po, $^{222}$Rn, and $^{218}$Po. The long tail toward lower energies in the $^{210}$Po peak is attributed to the origin of the $^{210}$Po being beneath the thin layer of wavelength shifter on the surface of the detector. This causes the alpha to lose a fraction of its energy depending on its angle of ejection from the wall. $^{210}$Po is not expected to be in secular equilibrium with $^{222}$Rn and $^{218}$Po because the chain is separated by $^{210}$Pb, which has a 22yr half-life, and can be directly introduced to the detector through various means, such as the purified water used to clean the detector surfaces [8]. The alphas from $^{214}$Po normally fall within the data acquisition window or dead time from the immediately preceding ($\tau = 237\mu s$) beta from $^{214}$Bi so these alphas are not tagged as S1 and do not appear in the spectrum.

Cosmogenic backgrounds, such as muons, were tagged using a liquid scintillator veto and water Cherenkov detector surrounding the TPC. Events occurring in the 2s following a muon detection were cut. Further details about the DarkSide-50 veto detectors can be found in [9].

The only other background in the alpha energy range are events where the S1 pulse was missed due to dead time in the data acquisition and the data acquisition triggered on the subsequent S2, which commonly measure in the tens of thousands of PE. These events can be easily removed with a pulse-shape cut because S2 pulses have a longer rise-time than S1 pulses. The pulse-shape parameter used for the cut is called $f_{390}$ and is defined as:

$$f_{390} = \frac{\text{S2}}{\text{S1}}$$

FIG. 2. Top-bottom asymmetry (TBA) vs. drift time for Po-218 events in a drift field of 200 V/cm. TBA is used as a measure of an event’s z-position to make a correction to the energy. See the text for an explanation of the feature at high drift time.

FIG. 3. The alpha energy spectrum taken with a 200 V/cm drift field. Top: The spectrum after incorporating low-gain digitizer data to reconstruct the saturated pulse. Bottom: The top spectrum after correcting for the event’s z-position within the detector, as determined by the top-bottom asymmetry.
the integral of the first 90 ns of the pulse divided by the integral of the entire pulse. The $f_{90}$ is 0.6-0.8 for alpha S1s and 0-0.1 for S2s. Events with $f_{90} < 0.5$ were cut, providing a clean sample of alpha S1s.

The light yield at each drift field is calculated with a fit to the $^{218}$Po peak. No conclusions were drawn from the larger $^{210}$Po peak because the $^{210}$Po alphas are coming from decays on the surfaces, and the electric field along the surfaces is not as well understood as in the bulk. The $^{222}$Rn peak was not used due to leakage from the broad $^{210}$Po peak.

The alpha spectra for drift fields of 0, 50, 100, 150, and 200 V/cm can be found in Figure 4. The histograms are defined with a bin width of 250 PE. The $^{218}$Po peak is fit with a Gaussian+linear function over the domain (42000 PE, 46000 PE). To assist in the fit of the intermediate drift fields with lower statistics, an assumption was made that the peak width remains constant over the relatively small increase in mean. Then, a binned log likelihood fitting method was used across all the histograms simultaneously, with the peak width constrained to be the same while the mean was allowed to vary.

The results show that alpha light yield in DarkSide-50 increases as the drift field increases from 0 V/cm to 200 V/cm. The light yield of $^{218}$Po alphas at 200 V/cm is $1.020 \pm 0.002$ times the light yield at 0 V/cm. This ratio for each drift field is plotted in Figure 5. The errors are statistical only, with the 0 V/cm point equal to 1 by definition.

The systematic errors from the choice of binning and fitting region were studied and found to be much smaller than the statistical errors.

In the previously mentioned study by Hitachi et al. [5], a similar effect was observed with $^{210}$Po alphas (5.3 MeV). Although their study measures the dependence over a larger range (up to 6 kV/cm), their first data point is not until 540 V/cm (with S1/S10 = 1.03). Their following points at higher electric field strengths show a decreasing light yield. Our study, in addition to confirming the phenomenon for $^{218}$Po alphas, fills in the valuable region at low field strengths where the light yield is still increasing.

The cause of the increasing alpha light yield is not fully understood. As a possible explanation, Hitachi et al. suggest that the electric field may help drift the electrons out of the dense ionization tracks characteristic of alphas and helium ions, and allow some of the recombination to occur in regions of lower ionization density where non-radiative quenching processes have a reduced effect.

* The $f_{90}$ for alphas is determined using a tagging method that identifies alphas from $^{212}$Po based on its coincidence with $^{214}$Bi.
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