Fabrication of Ag and Mn Co-Doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ Thin Film
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Abstract: Ag and Mn dopants were incorporated into Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin film to reduce defects in thin film and improve thin film properties. Sol–gel and spin-coating techniques were employed to deposit Ag and Mn co-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin films. The structures, compositions, morphologies, and optical properties of the co-doped thin films were characterized. The experimental results indicate the formation of kesterite structure without Ag and Mn secondary phases. The amount of Ag in the thin films is close to that in the sols. The co-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin films have an absorption coefficient of larger than $1.3 \times 10^4$ cm$^{-1}$, a direct optical band gap of 1.54–2.14 eV, and enhanced photoluminescence. The nonradiative recombination in Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin film is reduced by Ag and Mn co-doping. The experimental results show that Ag and Mn incorporation can improve the properties of Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin film.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, the quaternary semiconducting Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ has been recognized as a candidate to replace Cu(In,Ga)Se$_2$ absorber due to its promising optical and electronic properties and its earth-abundant and non-toxic component elements [1,2]. The absorption coefficient of Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ in the visible region is larger than $1 \times 10^4$ cm$^{-1}$. The direct band gap of Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ is around 1.50 eV, which matches with the suitable value for absorber application in solar cells [3,4].

The record conversion efficiencies of Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ and Cu$_2$ZnSn(S,Se)$_4$ thin-film solar cells are 11.0% [5] and 12.6% [6], respectively, which are still lower than that of competitive Cu(In,Ga)Se$_2$ thin-film solar cell. Further improvement is necessary for Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$-based thin-film solar cell. The low efficiency of Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin-film solar cell is attributed to the poor crystallinity of Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$, easy formation of secondary phases in Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$, defect states in Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ and heterojunction interface, unfavorable band alignment at the buffer/absorber and absorber/back electrode interfaces, etc. [7].

Cation substitution is a useful method to enhance the properties of Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin film and solar cell. The Cu$^+$, Zn$^{2+}$, and Sn$^{4+}$ ions in Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ can be substituted by extrinsic ions. Ag doping can occupy the Cu site in the Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ lattice to reduce the harmful Cu$_{Zn}$ anti-site and V$_{Cu}$ defects because the formation energy of Ag$_{Zn}$ defect is higher than that of Cu$_{Zn}$ defect [8–11]. In addition, the band gap of Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ can be adjusted by Ag doping [12,13].

The full or partial substitution of Zn by Cd, Fe, Mn, Mg, or Co has also been reported to increase the absorption of Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$, reduce the Cu$_{Zn}$ anti-site defect and ZnS secondary phase, and enhance the crystallinity of Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ and conversion efficiency of Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin-film solar cell [14–18]. The efficiency of Cd-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ solar cell has exceeded 11% [16].

Up to now, most of reports about cation substitution of Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ have utilized single doping, that is, only one dopant is used for substitution. Although the single substitution has demonstrated
beneficial effects on Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$, there are still some issues. Ag substitution increases the band gap of Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$, leading to reduced wavelength of the absorption edge of Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$. The effect of reduced defects by Zn site substitution is limited. The optimum substitution ratio of single doping is in a narrow range. It needs precise composition regulation during thin film preparation. Compared with single doping, if different cations dope in Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ to substitute different sites with different mechanisms, this is expected to further improve the properties of Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin film. To the best of our knowledge, there is only one report about Ag and Cd co-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ [19]. However, Cd is a toxic element.

In this work, Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin films were co-doped by Ag and Mn dopants using sol–gel and spin-coating methods. The Ag and Mn atoms substitute the Cu and Zn sites, respectively. Ag is commonly used for Cu substitution in Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$. Mn is earth-abundant and non-toxic. The sol–gel process has an advantage in thin film doping because the chemical homogeneity of sol can reach molecular or even atomic level. The structural, compositional, morphological, and optical properties of Ag and Mn co-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin films were characterized.

2. Materials and Methods

Figure 1 illustrates the fabrication process of Ag and Mn co-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin films. Cu(CH$_3$COO)$_2$·H$_2$O, Zn(CH$_3$COO)$_2$·2H$_2$O, SnCl$_2$·2H$_2$O, CH$_3$N$_2$S, and C$_6$H$_4$O$_2$ were purchased from Shanghai Aladdin Bio-Chem Technology Co., LTD, Shanghai, China; Mn(CH$_3$COO)$_2$·4H$_2$O, (CH$_2$OH)$_2$, and AgNO$_3$ were purchased from Guangzhou Chemical Reagent Factory, Guangzhou, China, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China, and Guangdong Guanghua Sci-Tech Co., Ltd., Shantou, China, respectively. Cu(CH$_3$COO)$_2$·H$_2$O, Zn(CH$_3$COO)$_2$·2H$_2$O, Mn(CH$_3$COO)$_2$·4H$_2$O, and SnCl$_2$·2H$_2$O were sequentially dissolved in an organic (CH$_3$OH)$_2$ solvent, and then stirred at 60 °C for 2 h to obtain a milky solution, which was labeled as solution A. AgNO$_3$ and CH$_3$N$_2$S were added into a mixed solution of (CH$_2$OH)$_2$ and C$_5$H$_8$O$_2$ (the volume ratio of (CH$_2$OH)$_2$ to C$_5$H$_8$O$_2$ was 5:1). After stirring for 2 h at 60 °C, a homogeneous yellow solution labeled as solution B was formed. Then, solution A was added into solution B and stirred at 60 °C for 15 min to obtain a homogeneous precursor sol. The sol samples with different Ag amounts were prepared with atomic ratios of Ag/(Ag + Cu) = 0, 1/3, 2/3, and 1, Mn/(Mn + Zn) = 1/3, (Ag + Cu)/(Mn + Zn + Sn) = 0.85, (Mn + Zn)/Sn = 1.15, and S/(Ag + Cu) = 4. The prepared sols were aged for 96 h at atmospheric pressure without heating. After that, the sols were spin-coated onto FTO (fluorine-doped tin oxide)-coated glass substrates to fabricate Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ precursor films. The spin-coating was performed at a rotation speed of 800 rpm for 20 s and then 3500 rpm for 35 s. The films were then dried on a hot plate at 250 °C for 4 min to remove residual organics. The coating and drying were repeated 10 times. Finally, the Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ precursor films were sulfurized to obtain the final co-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin films. The precursor films and 1 g sulfur powders were putted in a quartz boat which was placed in the center of a tube furnace. The sulfurization was performed in a N$_2$ + S atmosphere; the sulfurization temperature and sulfurization time were 530 °C and 60 min, respectively.

The structures of prepared thin films were measured by X-ray diffractometer (XRD, D/Max-Ultima IV, Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan) using Cu-kα radiation with a wavelength of 0.154 nm. A Raman scattering spectrometer (FEX, NOST Company Limited, Seongnam, Korea) was used for better detection of phase structure in the thin film. The excitation wavelength of Raman measurement was 532 nm. A field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, SU8010, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) was used to observe the surface and cross-section morphologies of the co-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin films. The atomic ratios of thin films were measured by energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS, SDD3030, IXRF Systems, Austin, TX, USA) which was attached to FESEM. The reflectance and transmittance of thin films were identified by a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (UV-3600 Plus, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan and TU1810, Fgeneral, Beijing, China). The photoluminescence (PL) properties of Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ were measured by a fluorescence spectrophotometer (Fluorolog-3, HORIBA Instruments Incorporate, Irvine, CA, USA).
Figure 1. Flow chart for the fabrication process of Ag and Mn co-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin films.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 2 shows the XRD patterns of Ag and Mn co-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin films with different Ag amounts and the standard XRD peaks for Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$, Cu$_2$MnSnS$_4$, Ag$_2$ZnSnS$_4$, SnS$_2$, and SnO$_2$. The XRD pattern of Mn-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin film without Ag shows the strongest peak at 28.3°, which is between the positions of the peaks attributed to the (112) plane of Cu$_2$MnSnS$_4$ (28.2°) and the (112) plane of Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ (28.5°). The diffraction peak at 47.2° in the pattern is attributed to the (220) plane of Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$. These results indicate the incorporation of Mn into Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ lattice and the preferred orientation along the (112) plane. The peaks belonging to the secondary phase of SnS$_2$ are detected at 14.7° and 49.4°. The SnO$_2$ peaks originate from the FTO substrate. When Ag is introduced into Mn-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ with Ag/(Ag + Cu) = 1/3, the dominant diffraction peak is still located at 28.3° and becomes stronger. In addition, a weak peak appears at 27.8°, which is between the standard (112) peak of Ag$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ (27.3°) and the (112) peak of Cu$_2$MnSnS$_4$ (28.2°). Therefore, Ag and Mn co-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ structure is formed. When the atomic ratio of Ag/(Ag + Cu) increases to 2/3, the two peaks at around 28° slightly shift to the low diffraction angle direction due to the increase in the amount of Ag. The left side peak at 27.4° is stronger than that at 28.2° because the Ag amount is greater than the Mn amount in this sample. For the thin film with full substitution of Ag, the peak at 28.2° disappears. A main but weak peak located at 27.6° is related to Ag$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ or Cu$_2$MnSnS$_4$ phases. All the co-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin films have preferred orientation along the (112) plane. Secondary phase of SnS$_2$ can be detected in all thin films.
Cu$_2$MnSnS$_4$ phases. All the co-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin films have preferred orientation along the (112) plane. Secondary phase of SnS$_2$ can be detected in all thin films.

Figure 2. XRD patterns of Ag and Mn co-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin films with different Ag/(Ag + Cu) ratios and the standard XRD peaks for Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$, Cu$_2$MnSnS$_4$, Ag$_2$ZnSnS$_4$, SnS$_2$, and SnO$_2$.

Figure 3 shows the Raman spectra of Ag and Mn co-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin films with different Ag amounts. The strongest Raman characteristic peak of Mn single-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin film is located at 327 cm$^{-1}$, matching with the reported position of the Cu$_2$MnZnS$_4$ peak [20,21]. The thin films with Ag/(Ag + Cu) = 1/3 and Ag/(Ag + Cu) = 2/3 show a Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ or Cu$_2$MnZnS$_4$ peak at 331 cm$^{-1}$ [20,22,23]. For the thin film with full Ag substitution, the Raman peak at 342 cm$^{-1}$ is near the reported Ag$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ peak [24]. The weak peaks at 277 cm$^{-1}$ in all thin films originate from the vibrational mode of Cu$_2$MnZnS$_4$ [23] or ZnS [25]. The strongest Raman peak shifts to a higher Raman shift direction with increasing Ag amount due to the change of phase structure from Cu$_2$MnZnS$_4$ to Ag$_2$ZnSnS$_4$. The SnS$_2$ secondary phase detected by XRD has Raman shifts of 215 cm$^{-1}$ and 315 cm$^{-1}$ [26]. However, all the prepared thin films do not show the Raman peak of SnS$_2$. Since Raman scattering measurement is surface-sensitive, the SnS$_2$ phase may locate near the back of thin film and cannot be detected by Raman measurement. Ag or Mn secondary phase is absent in the Raman spectra. The measured results of XRD and Raman confirm the successful formation of Ag and Mn co-doped kesterite Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin films without a secondary phase related to Ag or Mn.
The calculated atomic ratios of Ag/(Ag + Cu), Mn/(Mn + Zn), (Cu + Ag)/(Mn + Zn), and S/(Ag + Cu) of each sample are also given in Table 1. During EDS measurement, only the Ag, Cu, Mn, Zn, Sn, and S elements in the thin film were considered and the Si, O, and other elements were ignored. It is noted that the atomic percentage of Sn in the thin film is lower than the measured value because the Sn element in FTO contributes to the measured result. The Ag/(Ag + Cu) ratios of Cu2ZnSnS4 thin films are close to those of sols, indicating the effective incorporation of Ag into Cu2ZnSnS4 thin films. However, the measured Mn/(Mn + Zn) ratios of thin films are lower than those of sols due to the precipitation of Mn during sol preparations. The value of S/(Ag + Cu) approaches the stoichiometry of 2 with increasing Ag amount.

Table 1. The compositions of Ag and Mn co-doped Cu2ZnSnS4 thin films.

| Composition | Ag/(Ag + Cu) in Sol |
|-------------|---------------------|
| Ag (at.%)   | 0                   |
| Cu (at.%)   | 17.46 ± 0.13        |
| Mn (at.%)   | 2.29 ± 0.15         |
| Zn (at.%)   | 7.06 ± 0.21         |
| Sn (at.%)   | 25.89 ± 0.30        |
| S (at.%)    | 47.31 ± 0.52        |
| Ag/(Ag + Cu)| 0                   |
| Mn/(Mn + Zn)| 0.24                |
| (Cu + Ag)/(Mn + Zn)| 1.87 |
| S/(Ag + Cu)| 2.71                |

Figure 3. Raman spectra of Ag and Mn co-doped Cu2ZnSnS4 thin films with different Ag/(Ag + Cu) ratios.

Figure 4 shows the surface SEM images of Ag and Mn co-doped Cu2ZnSnS4 thin films with different Ag amounts. In Figure 4a, for the Mn-doped Cu2ZnSnS4 thin film without Ag doping, grains with size of about 300 nm distribute on the thin film surface. After Ag incorporation with Ag/(Ag + Cu) = 1/3, the thin film surface becomes more compact and the grain size is about 280 nm. When Ag/(Ag + Cu) = 2/3, the surface of thin film is crack-free and consists of isolated, discontinuous, and large grains with a maximum size of around 2 \mu m and small grains with size of about 300 nm.
EDS mapping was further performed for this sample and the results are given in Figure 5. Although there is slight inhomogeneity of element distribution in the thin film, all the six elements distribute in both large and small grains. Therefore, both grains are Ag and Mn co-doped Cu2ZnSnS4. In Figure 4d, when Cu is fully substituted by Ag the grain size significantly reduces and the grains become fuzzy. Meanwhile, pinholes increase and enlarge in the thin film surface. As revealed from XRD results, the co-doped thin films contain a secondary phase of SnS2. Since SnS2 is volatile at high temperature, these pinholes may result from the evaporation of SnS2 during thin film fabrications. There is residual SnS2 in the final thin film.

Figure 4. Surface SEM images of Ag and Mn co-doped Cu2ZnSnS4 thin films with (a) Ag/(Ag + Cu) = 0; (b) Ag/(Ag + Cu) = 1/3; (c) Ag/(Ag + Cu) = 2/3; and (d) Ag/(Ag + Cu) = 1.

Figure 5. EDS mapping results for Ag and Mn co-doped Cu2ZnSnS4 thin film with Ag/(Ag + Cu) = 2/3.

The cross-section SEM images of Cu2ZnSnS4 samples in Figure 6 show Cu2ZnSnS4/FTO/glass stacked structure. The thin film with Mn single doping has homogeneous, smooth, and compact cross-section morphology, but the grain is hard to detect. After Ag co-doping, grainy morphologies can be seen in the cross-sections of thin films. The thickness of co-doped Cu2ZnSnS4 thin films is 650 nm.
There are some pinholes in the co-doped thin films and at the Cu2ZnSnS4/FTO interfaces, which may result from the evaporation of SnS2 secondary phase as mentioned previously.

**Figure 6.** Cross-section SEM images of Ag and Mn co-doped Cu2ZnSnS4 samples with (a) Ag/(Ag + Cu) = 0; (b) Ag/(Ag + Cu) = 1/3; (c) Ag/(Ag + Cu) = 2/3; and (d) Ag/(Ag + Cu) = 1.

Figure 7 shows the reflectance (R), transmittance (T), absorption coefficient (α), and (αhv)2 versus hv relations of prepared thin films, where hv is photon energy. The reflectance of all Cu2ZnSnS4 samples in the wavelength range of 500 to 1000 nm is lower than 37%. The average reflectances of Cu2ZnSnS4 thin films with Ag/(Ag + Cu) ratios of 0, 1/3, 2/3, and 1 are 16.7%, 15.0%, 21.5%, and 27.5%, respectively. The transmittance of samples decreases with the reduction of wavelength due to the absorption in Cu2ZnSnS4. The absorption coefficient of thin film is calculated by the following equation [27]:

\[
\alpha = \frac{-1}{d} \ln \left( \frac{T^2 - (1 - R)^2 + \sqrt{4T^2 + ((1 - R)^2 - T^2)^2}}{2T} \right)
\]

where \(d\) is the thickness of thin film. As shown in Figure 7c, the absorption coefficients of Ag and Mn co-doped Cu2ZnSnS4 thin films are larger than \(1.3 \times 10^4\) cm\(^{-1}\) for photon energy greater than 1.3 eV. Compared with Mn single-doped thin film, Ag co-doping with a suitable amount can increase the absorption coefficient of Cu2ZnSnS4 thin films. According to the relation of \((αhv)^2 = C(hv - E_g)\), where C is a constant and \(E_g\) is direct optical band gap, the \(E_g\) of Cu2ZnSnS4 thin film can be obtained from the \((αhv)^2 – hv\) curves in Figure 7d. The intercept on the \(hv\) axis of the extrapolation of the linear region of \((αhv)^2 – hv\) curve gives the \(E_g\) values of 1.67, 1.54, and 1.78, and 2.14 eV for Cu2ZnSnS4 thin films with Ag/(Ag + Cu) ratios of 0, 1/3, 2/3, and 1, respectively. The \(E_g\) of co-doped Cu2ZnSnS4 thin film increases with the increasing Ag/(Ag + Cu) ratio due to Ag doping. It is reported that the band gap of Ag single-doped Cu2ZnSnS4 thin film becomes increasingly wide with the increase of Ag concentration [12,13].
Figure 7. The (a) reflectance; (b) transmittance; (c) absorption coefficient; and (d) \((\alpha h\nu)^2\) versus \(h\nu\) relations of Ag and Mn co-doped Cu\(_2\)ZnSnS\(_4\) thin films with different Ag/(Ag + Cu) ratios.

Figure 8 shows photoluminescence spectra of single-doped and co-doped Cu\(_2\)ZnSnS\(_4\) thin films. Compared with the Mn single-doped Cu\(_2\)ZnSnS\(_4\) thin film, all the Ag and Mn co-doped Cu\(_2\)ZnSnS\(_4\) thin films show enhanced photoluminescence, especially the thin films with Ag/(Ag + Cu) ratios of 2/3 and 1. This indicates that Ag and Mn co-doping can reduce the harmful defect states and nonradiative recombination in Cu\(_2\)ZnSnS\(_4\) thin film by double cation substitutions.

Figure 8. Photoluminescence spectra of Mn single-doped and Ag and Mn co-doped Cu\(_2\)ZnSnS\(_4\) thin films with different Ag/(Ag + Cu) ratios.
Compared with the Mn single-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin films in this study and previously reported results [28–30], a further incorporation of Ag with suitable doping concentration can promote grain growth, increase the absorption coefficient of thin film, expand the adjustment range of the band gap of thin film, and reduce the nonradiative recombination in the thin film.

4. Conclusions

Ag and Mn co-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin films with different Ag amounts were successfully fabricated on FTO-coated glass substrates by sol–gel and spin-coating techniques. The XRD and Raman measurements reveal the formation of Ag and Mn co-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ with preferred orientation of the (112) plane and without Ag or Mn secondary phase. The atomic ratios of Ag/(Ag + Cu) in the Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin films are close to those of original sols. Ag co-doping can enhance the grain size and absorption coefficient of Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin films. The thickness and direct optical band gap of Ag and Mn co-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin films are 650 nm and 1.54–2.14 eV, respectively. The Ag and Mn co-doped Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin films show an enhanced photoluminescence property, indicating the beneficial effects of reduced defects and nonradiative recombination by Ag and Mn co-doping. This work reveals potential advantages of Ag and Mn co-doping on Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ thin film.
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