Genetic Variation for Nine Autosomal Short Tandem Repeat (STRs) in Egyptian Population
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Abstract

Allele frequencies for 9 autosomal short tandem repeat loci (STRs) D3S1358, VWA, FGA, THO1, TPOX, CSF1PO, D5S818, D13S317, D7S820 and a locus allowing for sex discrimination were determined in 1000 unrelated Egyptians. Blood samples were collected after obtaining an informed consent. The DNA was extracted by using the standard phenol-chloroform extraction method and then amplified using commercial available kit (AmpF LSTR profiler kit, Applied Bio systems) by the polymerase chain reaction, and subsequently typed using capillary electrophoresis (3130X1 Genetic Analyser, Applied Bio systems). All loci met Hardy-Weinberg expectations and no mutations were found among subjects.
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Introduction

Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) typing is widely used for personal identification and knowing the source of biological samples found in the crime scene [1]. In view of their high level of variability, autosomal short tandem repeats (STRs) are very useful as markers of forensic and Population genetics studies [2].

STRs loci have repeat units of 3-5 bp and allele sizes of 100-300 bp. Some loci have alleles differing by a number of complete repeat units, whereas others display complex polymorphism with some alleles differing in size by only 1 bp [3].

Federal Bureau of Investigation has recommended using 13 STR loci, known as CODIS 13, as the loci of choice for forensic use. This recommendation has been accepted by forensic laboratories all over the world, thus the DNA typing can be compared to each other [4].

Population

Blood samples were taken from 1000 unrelated samples form Egyptian Populations.

Methodology

DNA extraction

DNA was isolated from whole EDTA blood using (QiA amp™ DNA blood by Qiagen-Gmph). Genomic DNA was extracted through phenol-chloroform extraction procedure and amplified using Amp FISTR identifier™ and Amp FISTR Yfier™ kits. Genotyping was performed on ABI 3130 Genetic Analyser and the data was analysed using Gene Mapper ID v4 software. Statistical evaluation was done.

The peak height threshold was 50 RFU for heterozygous and 200 RFU for homozygous alleles. All steps were followed using kit instructions.

Typing

Separation made by capillary electrophoresis in an ABI 3130 Genetic Analyser using the separation medium Performance Optimized Polymer (POP) 7 and 36 cm capillaries array (Applied Bio systems). The LIZ labelled ladder (Gene Scan 500 LIZ) was used for sizing the determinations of the amplified fragments in combination with Gene Mapper ID v4 (Applied Bio systems). Allelic calls and genotyping carried out by comparison to the reference allelic ladder included in the kit, using Gene Mapper ID v4 (Applied Bio systems).

Results

The results for the 9 STRs are presented in Table 1.

Discussion

The frequency of each allele for each locus and the observed heterozygosity (Ho) was calculated from the number of observed genotypes in the sample (direct gene counting). Expected heterozygosity (He) was estimated as 1-Pi p2i where pi is the frequency of the ith allele in the locus. Microsoft Excel-Power status program (Promega cooperation) [5] http://www.promega.com/geneticid tools/powerstats to calculate: [Match probability (MP), Typical paternity index (TPI), Polymorphism information content
Power of exclus and Power of discrimination (PD) was used for calculation of the results.

SPSS test (Pearson's chi-square test) was used to calculate Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium followed by correction using Bonferroni Correction [6]. In addition, two sided tests for LD were used to measures P-value to calculate the linkage equilibrium (result were considered significant when P<0.05, highly significant P<0.01 and externally significant when P<0.001). Finally, FST genetic distance was used to calculate locus by locus using Arlequin program to measure F statistics (for inbreeding coefficient) [7].

| Allele | D3S1358 | vWA | FGA | TH01 | TPOX | CSF1PO | D5S818 | D13S317 | D7S820 |
|--------|---------|-----|-----|------|------|--------|--------|---------|--------|
| 5      | -       | -   | -   | 0.002| -    | -      | -      | -       | -      |
| 5.3    | -       | -   | -   | 0.001| -    | -      | -      | -       | -      |
| 6      | -       | -   | -   | 0.222| 0.012| -      | -      | -       | 0.001  |
| 6.3    | -       | -   | -   | 0.001| -    | -      | -      | -       | -      |
| 7      | -       | -   | -   | 0.2   | 0.004| 0.005 | -      | -       | 0.017  |
| 8      | -       | -   | -   | 0.118| 0.472| 0.015 | 0.03   | 0.133   | 0.162  |
| 8.3    | -       | -   | -   | 0.005| -    | -      | -      | -       | -      |
| 9      | -       | -   | -   | 0.332| 0.175| 0.022 | 0.078  | 0.066   | 0.094  |
| 9.2    | -       | -   | -   | -    | -    | -      | -      | -       | 0.001  |
| 9.3    | -       | -   | -   | 0.085| 0.001| -      | -      | -       | -      |
| 10     | -       | -   | -   | 0.037| 0.087| 0.284 | 0.115  | 0.047   | 0.316  |
| 11     | -       | 0.001| -   | -    | 0.231| 0.301 | 0.246  | 0.273   | 0.231  |
| 12     | 0.002   | 22- | -   | -    | 0.02 | 0.307 | 0.332  | 0.349   | 0.148  |
| 13     | 0.006   | 0.004| -   | -    | 0.001| 0.06  | 0.184  | 0.109   | 0.031  |
| 14     | 0.059   | 0.075| -   | -    | -    | 0.008 | 0.014  | 22      | 0.002  |
| 15     | 0.264   | 0.137| -   | -    | -    | 0.001 | 0.002  | 0.003   | -      |
| 16     | 0.273   | 0.246| -   | -    | -    | -    | 0.001  | -       | -      |
| 17     | 0.251   | 0.271| -   | -    | -    | -    | -      | -       | -      |
| 18     | 0.137   | 0.183| 0.009| -    | -    | -    | -      | -       | -      |
| 18.2   | -       | -   | -   | 0.001| -    | -    | -      | -       | -      |
| 19     | 0.01    | 0.073| 0.062| -    | -    | -    | -      | -       | -      |
| 20     | -       | 0.01 | 0.09 | -    | -    | -    | -      | -       | -      |
| 20.2   | -       | -   | 0.001| -    | -    | -    | -      | -       | -      |
| 21     | -       | 0.003| 0.134| -    | -    | -    | -      | -       | -      |
| 21.2   | -       | -   | 0.001| -    | -    | -    | -      | -       | -      |
| 22     | -       | -   | 0.163| -    | -    | -    | -      | -       | -      |
| 22.2   | -       | -   | 0.003| -    | -    | -    | -      | -       | -      |
| 23     | -       | -   | 0.177| -    | -    | -    | -      | -       | -      |
| 23.2   | -       | -   | 0.002| -    | -    | -    | -      | -       | -      |
| 24     | -       | -   | 0.169| -    | -    | -    | -      | -       | -      |
| 24.2   | -       | -   | 0.001| -    | -    | -    | -      | -       | -      |
| 25     | -       | -   | 0.107| -    | -    | -    | -      | -       | -      |
The results that have been drawn from the present study include that the number of different alleles observed across the Egyptian Population was found to be 92 alleles with a predicated number of 3.7332 × 10^15 possible genotypes. In addition, the highest allele frequencies occurred in the allele 8 for TPOX locus (0.472) and allele 12 for D13S317 (0.349). The most common alleles at the other seven loci were allele 16 for D3S1358 (0.273), allele 9 for TH01 (0.332), allele 12 for D5S818 (0.332), allele 10 for D7S820 (0.316), allele 12 for CSF1PO (0.307), allele 17 for VW A (0.271) and allele 23 for FGA (0.177). While the FGA locus showed the largest number of alleles (8 alleles). Results also revealed that the heterozygosity of the nine STR loci ranged from 62% to 86% (mean value 74%), the locus with the highest heterozygosity was FGA (83.4%), while locus TPOX has the lowest heterozygosity (66.3%).

The power of discrimination values for all tested loci was above 84% for the CSF1PO and TPOX loci, from 90% to 96% for TH01, D13S317, D3S1358, D7S820, VWA, FGA and D5S818. The locus with the highest discrimination power was FGA (0.965), while locus TPOX has the lowest discrimination power (0.853). The locus with the highest Power of exclusion was FGA (0.773), while locus TPOX has the lowest Power of exclusion (0.390). All loci are highly polymorphic (PIC over 0.5) [8]. Locus with the highest polymorphism information content was FGA (0.863), while locus TPOX has the lowest polymorphism information content (0.621). In addition, the locus with the highest Matching probability was FGA (0.31), while locus TPOX has the lowest Matching probability (0.14).

None of the tested loci showed significant departure for HWE except TPOX, so, they are statistically independent. For locus TPOX, an excess of homozygotes substantially more often observed than expected. Other reasons for the deviation observed at the TPOX locus include inbreeding, Population substructure, selection, or “silent” alleles [9].

The combined probability of exclusion, power of discrimination, probability of matching value for all the 9 STR loci were 0.999875, 1.436 × 10^-11 and 1.7 × 10^-10, respectively. Also, frequencies occurred in the allele 8 for TPOX locus (0.472) and allele 12 for D5S818 (0.332), allele 10 for D7S820 (0.316), allele 12 for CSF1PO (0.307), allele 17 for VW A (0.271) and allele 23 for FGA (0.177). While the FGA locus showed the largest number of alleles (8 alleles). Results also revealed that the heterozygosity of the nine STR loci ranged from 62% to 86% (mean value 74%), the locus with the highest heterozygosity was FGA (83.4%), while locus TPOX has the lowest heterozygosity (66.3%).

Table 1: Description of 9 STRs. H1: Observed Heterozygosity; H2: Observed Homozygosity; PD: Power of Discrimination; PE: Power of Exclusion P: Probability Values of Exact for Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium; MP: Matching Probability; PI: Polymorphism Information Content; Typical PI: Typical Paternity Index.

| Locus  | H1  | H2  | PD  | PE  | MP  | PI  | Typical PI |
|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------|
| TH01   | 0.775 | 0.806 | 0.834 | 0.672 | 0.663 | 0.727 | 0.753 | 0.758 | 0.767 |
| D13S317 | 0.225 | 0.195 | 0.1665 | 0.328 | 0.337 | 0.273 | 0.247 | 0.242 | 0.233 |
| D7S820 | 0.902 | 0.923 | 0.965 | 0.89 | 0.853 | 0.872 | 0.907 | 0.91 | 0.92 |
| CSF1PO | 0.522 | 0.602 | 0.773 | 0.447 | 0.39 | 0.463 | 0.562 | 0.592 | 0.581 |
| D5S818 | 0.391 | 0.12 | 0.601 | 0.86 | 0 | 0.401 | 0.841 | 0.98 | 0.92 |
| MP     | 0.74 | 0.756 | 0.883 | 0.713 | 0.621 | 0.672 | 0.741 | 0.751 | 0.738 |
| PI     | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.17 | 2.57 | 1.43 | 1.97 | 2.19 | 1.55 | 2.19 |
Conclusion

The results of this study will add to the data bank of various studies conducted on the Egyptian Population. With respect to the distribution of alleles at each STR locus, the loci were found to be substantially polymorphic in this Population indicating good credibility of 9 STRs markers.
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