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**Abstract**

**Background:** Avidity is defined as the strength of binding between immunoglobulin G (IgG) and its specific target epitope. IgG of high avidity is established during affinity maturation. Failure to achieve high avidity IgG may result in a lack of protective immunity towards infection and disease. It is known that the interaction between SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and its cellular receptor is driven by high affinity. Therefore, it is predictable that protective antibodies towards SARS-CoV-2 should show high affinity/avidity.

**Avidity after SARS-CoV-2 infection:** Recent findings by several groups demonstrate that the serological response towards infection with SARS-CoV-2 and seasonal coronaviruses is characterized by incomplete avidity maturation, followed by a decline of the serological response. This response might facilitate reinfection, prevent herd immunity and potentially allow repeated cycles of infection.

**Consequences for vaccination towards SARS-CoV-2:** Therefore, the sole focus on antibody titers reached after vaccination towards SARS-CoV-2 might not be sufficient to evaluate the degree of achieved protection. Rather, it is suggested to include avidity determination to optimize vaccination protocols and achieve high avidity IgG directed towards SARS-CoV-2 through vaccination. Avidity determination might also be useful to control for truly protective immunity towards SARS-CoV-2 in individual cases.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Infectious Diseases. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

**Protection towards viral infection or disease requires high avidity IgG**

The avidity of immunoglobulin G (IgG) is determined by its affinity and denotes the strength of binding to its target epitope. High avidity is reached after affinity/avidity maturation and reflects the best fit between IgG and epitope. Avidity of IgG is low during acute infection and reaches high values several weeks or months later (Hedman et al., 1997; Bauer, 2021). Memory B cells express and utilize high avidity IgG to establish effective anamnestic responses (Eisen, 2014).

High avidity of neutralizing antibodies plays an important role in antibody-mediated protection against viral infections. Protection against viral infections and/or resultant diseases seems to fail if avidity maturation of IgG directed towards the virus is incomplete. Junker and Tilley (1994) showed that low avidity of IgG towards varicella zoster virus (VZV) was associated with the risk of repeated chickenpox, and Martin et al. (1994) reported on the risk of pregnant women with low avidity anti-VZV IgG for acquiring chickenpox. Boppana and Britt (1995) concluded that failure to generate high avidity IgG towards cytomegalovirus (CMV) plays a role in the intrauterine transmission of CMV. Boppana and Britts’ conclusions agree with the findings of Lazzarotto et al. (2009), Seo et al. (2009) and Kaneko et al. (2017), Kontio et al. (2012) reported that the concentration of IgG directed towards the measles virus correlated with the avidity inherent to this IgG, and therefore concluded that waning antibody levels and low avidity might be the cause of reinfections. The significance of IgG avidity for protective immunity towards the measles virus has also been demonstrated by Paunio et al. (2005).

Puschnik et al. (2013) demonstrated that IgG avidity correlates with the neutralizing capacity of IgG directed towards the Dengue virus. Delgado et al. (2009) presented evidence that the failure of the formalin-treated vaccine against the respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) was not, as previously assumed, due to the destruction of essential epitopes by formalin. Rather, it was due to the vaccine’s failure to induce an antibody response of high avidity. Immunization studies with Simian human immunodeficiency virus (SHIVD) in macaques showed a strong correlation between the avidity of IgG directed towards the viral envelope protein and protection against infection (Lai et al. 2007). These findings have been
confirmed by Pegu et al. (2013), who demonstrated that the avidity of IgG towards the envelope protein was higher in immunized animals that were protected from infection with SHIVD than in non-protected animals.

**Biophysical data indicate that neutralizing antibodies towards SARS-CoV-2 should show high affinity (avidity)**

The interaction between the receptor-binding domain of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 (ACE2) on target cells is the initial step in infection (Barnes et al., 2020a, b). The binding between the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of SARS-CoV-2 and the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) is characterized by high affinity (Khatri et al., 2020). Therefore, Khatri et al. concluded that efficient neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 would require antibodies of high avidity, adding to our classical concept of neutralizing antibodies the additional requirement for high affinity/avidity.

**Incomplete avidity maturation of IgG after natural infection with SARS-CoV-2 and other coronaviruses**

In a review published in May 2020, the author of this perspective critically evaluated 16 publications on serological findings related to the diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infections (Bauer, 2021). The review outlined that the kinetic patterns of IgM and IgG responses towards SARS-CoV-2 were characterized by a high degree of variability, which did not allow acute and past SARS-CoV-2 infections to be distinguished merely by determining IgM and IgG. The review (i) summarized similar findings in many other viral systems; (ii) proposed a model to explain the observed variability; (iii) suggested introducing avidity determination for an unequivocal serological diagnosis. The latter suggestion was based on the premise that the serological response towards SARS-CoV-2 would show classical avidity maturation, as observed before for many other viruses.

The subsequent analysis of outpatients with Covid-19 and SARS-CoV-2 infection confirmed by positive polymerase chain reaction (PCR) led, however, to a rather unexpected result (Bauer et al., 2021a, b). In contrast to the regular interaction between the humoral immune system and viruses, the avidity of IgG towards SARS-CoV-2 antigens seemed to remain low in the majority of patients, even several months after the onset of clinical symptoms. Bauer et al. (2021a) demonstrated that (i) the avidity of IgG directed towards SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein (NP), RBD and spike glycoprotein 1 (S1) was increasing with time; but that (ii) the final level of avidity was much lower in most sera than found for other viral sera. Importantly, however, in a few sera, high avidity was reached. These essential positive controls of high avidity allowed the conclusion that the low avidity found in most sera was not due to trivial reasons such as incompatibility of SARS-CoV-2 antigens with urea treatment during avidity determination. Bauer et al. (2021a) defined a model of analysis that should allow the use of avidity determination to discriminate between acute and past SARS-CoV-2 infections despite low overall avidity. In a follow-up study with 93 sera from 70 SARS-CoV-2-infected Covid-19 outpatients, the frequent incomplete avidity maturation of IgG towards SARS-CoV-2 NA, RBD and S1 was confirmed (Bauer et al., 2021b). This study showed that the low avidity found in most cases was due to discontinuous avidity maturation after an initial increase rather than to unusually slow avidity maturation. The kinetic analysis demonstrated that the point of abrogation of avidity maturation correlated with the IgG production breakpoint and the beginning IgG concentration decline. Waning IgG titers after SARS-CoV-2 infection, including for neutralizing antibodies, have also been shown by other groups (Long et al., 2020; Beaudoin-Bussières et al., 2020; Seow et al., 2020). Compared to the Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) (a classical system for the application of avidity determination), SARS-CoV-2 infection is similar in low avidity during the first few weeks of acute infection but completely different in avidity reached in past infection. Less than 15% of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients reached avidity indices >0.6 several months after infection, in contrast to more than 90% in the case of EBV. Similar high avidity indices have been reported for past infections with hepatitis A virus (HAV) (Roque-Afonso et al., 2004), hepatitis C virus (HCV) (Gaudy-Graffin et al., 2010), West Nile virus (Levett et al., 2005; Fox et al., 2006) and other viruses (Bauer, 2021).

Our findings and conclusions are in line with reports from several other groups. Strömer et al. (2020a, b) also describe the predominant occurrence of low avidity IgG towards SARS-CoV-2-antigens while using the prototype and final version of our assay system (Bauer et al., 2021a). Liu et al. (2020) reported that IgG towards S1 and RBD of SARS-CoV-2 showed avidity indices <|0.3 within the first 45 days after onset of disease, except for 1 serum with an avidity index of approximately 0.8 before day 10.

Benner et al. (2020) stated that (i) antibody avidity increased over the duration of infection and remained elevated; (ii) antibody avidity correlated with infection duration and higher neutralizing titers. However, the dissociation constant (DC)_50 values for urea during avidity determination, as shown for convalescent sera in their study, had a median between 4–5 M for IgG towards the spike protein and 6 M for NP. These values indicate that at 7 M urea, the discriminative concentration used in our studies, an avidity index of <0.5 would have been determined for most sera. Therefore, despite the measurable avidity maturation, these sera remained at low or borderline avidity.

Klein et al. (2020) show (their Figure 2B) that their 126 convalescent sera were below an avidity index of 0.4 at 7 M urea, except for 3 sera. Luo et al. (2020) report on an increase over time in the avidity of IgG towards SARS-CoV-2 RBD. This kinetics is useful for the differentiation between acute and past SARS-CoV-2 infections. However, their assay was performed with 3 M urea, so the high avidity indices measured are converted to the low avidity range, where 7 M urea is used instead of 3 M. Therefore, their finding also supports the view that avidity maturation is frequently at a low level, which is best explained by incomplete avidity maturation, according to our findings.

**Incomplete avidity maturation: an essential strategy of coronaviruses?**

Incomplete avidity maturation was found for the serological response towards SARS-CoV-2 and the immune responses towards 4 seasonal coronaviruses (Bauer et al., 2021a, b). It is also known that the immune responses towards seasonal coronaviruses are characterized by a relatively rapid decline after an initial burst (Callow et al., 1990; Edridge et al., 2020). It seems that these 2 characteristics of the immune response towards seasonal coronaviruses, i.e. declining antibody concentrations and frequent low avidity, are connected with the high probability of repeated waves of reinfestations with these viruses (Edridge et al., 2020; Galanti and Shaman, 2021).

Revisiting the data published for SARS CoV-1, where complete avidity maturation had been claimed (Chan et al., 2005), revealed that the analysis had been performed with 4 M urea. This low concentration of urea does not lead to sharp discrimination between low and high avidity, unlike 7 M urea which has been established as a standard concentration in most other studies. Therefore, the humoral response towards SARS CoV-1 also seems to be restricted to IgG of lower avidity.
These data allow to speculate that coronaviruses interfere with avidity maturation to ensure incomplete protection towards reinfection, allowing replication through repeated waves of infection in the host population.

**Suggested goals for vaccination programs towards SARS-CoV-2**

Incomplete avidity maturation after SARS-CoV-2 infection, combined with waning IgG titers, may prevent herd immunity and potentially enable repeated cycles of reinfection. Reinfections with SARS-CoV-2, despite specific humoral immune responses after primary infection, have been reported (Overbaugh, 2020; To et al., 2020; Tillett et al., 2020; Gupta et al., 2020).

The concept of a coronavirus strategy that renders immune responses non-protective, combined with the biophysical data on the high affinity between SARS-CoV-2 RBD and its cellular receptor, allow us to propose that vaccination should induce certain qualities of IgG in order to establish protective immunity. Vaccination programs should achieve:

i) sufficiently high and long-lasting IgG concentrations that specifically target viral structures that are relevant for binding to cellular receptors, such as RBD;

ii) completed avidity maturation of neutralizing IgG towards SARS-CoV-2, and

iii) the potential of these antibodies to prevent the binding of the virus to the cells.

Therefore, the suggested goal is that immunization achieves a response that outcompetes the quality of the immune response reached after natural infection.

**Monitoring the avidity of IgG may have the potential to control the success of vaccination**

Can high avidity be reached after vaccination, even if natural infection fails to reach this state in most cases? Abrogated avidity maturation after natural infection with SARS-CoV-2 infection is conceivably due to (i) an insufficient supply of the immune system with viral antigen, thus preventing a sufficient number of cycles of hypermutation and clonal selection of B cells; or (ii) a suppressive effect of SARS-CoV-2 on the immune system (Zhou et al., 2020), potentially also affecting avidity maturation (Kaneko et al., 2020). Both mechanisms of suppression of avidity maturation have been demonstrated to occur in vivo in the case of HIV infections (Nair et al., 2009; Re et al., 2010). Therefore, vaccination towards SARS-CoV-2 with defined antigens might provide optimal antigen concentrations, and this would occur independently of the potential negative immunomodulatory effects associated with viral infection. Therefore, the induction of high avidity protective IgG through vaccination seems to be feasible. This conclusion has been confirmed in experiments performed after submission.

In this respect, it is encouraging to see that the mRNA-based vaccine BNT162b1 elicits antibody titers much higher than the titers reached after natural infection (Mulligan et al., 2020; Şahin et al., 2020). Avidity determination in these studies should also clarify whether high avidity has been achieved.

Quantitative measurement of avidity might also provide answers to whether protection towards Covid-19 through immunization towards SARS-CoV-2 is based on sterile immunity or whether the state of protection towards disease is paralleled by limited replication of the virus not sufficient to establish disease. Resolving these questions may be instrumental for further understanding and controlling the spread of SARS-CoV-2 in the human population.
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