Characterization of metabolites in infiltrating gliomas using ex vivo 1H high-resolution magic angle spinning spectroscopy
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Gliomas are routinely graded according to histopathological criteria established by the World Health Organization. Although this classification can be used to understand some of the variance in the clinical outcome of patients, there is still substantial heterogeneity within and between lesions of the same grade. This study evaluated image-guided tissue samples acquired from a large cohort of patients presenting with either new or recurrent gliomas of grades II–IV using ex vivo proton high-resolution magic angle spinning spectroscopy. The quantification of metabolite levels revealed several discrete profiles associated with primary glioma subtypes, as well as secondary subtypes that had undergone transformation to a higher grade at the time of recurrence. Statistical modeling further demonstrated that these metabolomic profiles could be differentially classified with respect to pathological grading and inter-grade conversions. Importantly, the myo-inositol to total choline index allowed for a separation of recurrent low-grade gliomas on different pathological trajectories, the heightened ratio of phosphocholine to glycerophosphocholine uniformly characterized several forms of glioblastoma multiforme, and the onco-metabolite D-2-hydroxyglutarate was shown to help distinguish secondary from primary grade IV glioma, as well as grade II and III from grade IV glioma. These data provide evidence that metabolite levels are of interest in the assessment of both intra-grade and intra-lesional malignancy. Such information could be used to enhance the diagnostic specificity of in vivo spectroscopy and to aid in the selection of the most appropriate therapy for individual patients. © 2014 The Authors. NMR in Biomedicine published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
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INTRODUCTION

Gliomas are tumors of the central nervous system that are routinely graded on a scale of I to IV according to histopathological criteria developed by the World Health Organization (WHO) (1,2). Whereas grade I gliomas are considered to be benign and can typically be treated through surgical intervention, infiltrating gliomas of grades II–IV present a number of challenges in making an accurate diagnosis and in selecting the most appropriate therapy. Some of the complications associated with the management of infiltrating gliomas stem from the fact that individual lesions are spatially heterogeneous and small tissue samples obtained during biopsy or surgery may not represent the most malignant region of the tumor. This situation is accentuated following treatment, where a differential response to therapy and tumor progression can cause additional ambiguity. Because clinical outcomes for glioma can be quite variable, it is important to provide criteria to more accurately assess the characteristics that change both within and between pathological grades. A further complication is that grade II and III gliomas often undergo malignant transformation at the time of recurrence, which may necessitate more aggressive therapy (3–6). This raises the question of whether individual gliomas develop de novo (primary glioma) at a given grade or arise as a result of transformation from a less malignant lesion (secondary glioma) that was not detected previously. As lesions of the same histological grade may arise by different mechanisms, the designation of primary and secondary glioma may have important clinical consequences for the optimization of treatment (7).

Recent findings have indicated that the metabolite characteristics of the lesion are valuable for the investigation of underlying differences in malignancy. In vivo metabolite imaging provides a unique opportunity for the evaluation of spatial and temporal changes in the lesion and surrounding tissue that can be used to direct tissue sampling at the time of resection, as well as for the selection and monitoring of therapy. The lesion-wide data obtained using this approach are synergistic with recent results from genome-wide sequencing and informatics-driven analyses that can aid in the prediction of the malignant potential. The information obtained may be used directly for improved categorization of ex vivo tissue samples, or can be translated into an in vivo setting to provide non-invasive metabolite imaging methods to direct tissue sampling, to monitor therapy and to make informed decisions about the effectiveness of different treatment strategies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient population

Our institutionally approved study comprised 126 patients receiving surgical resection as a result of suspected new or recurrent WHO grade II, III or IV glioma, who provided informed consent for image-guided tissue samples to be taken from areas designated for resection on the basis of clinical criteria. The diagnosis of grade was subsequently confirmed by a single pathologist on the basis of standard histological criteria (Table 1).

Pre-operative MRI and MRS

Pre-operative MR examinations were conducted using either 1.5- or 3-T whole-body MR scanners (GE Healthcare Technologies, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA) with an eight-channel, phased-array head coil for signal reception (MRI Devices, Knaresborough, United Kingdom). The data obtained included three-dimensional $T_1$-weighted and $T_2$-weighted anatomic images, six-directional diffusion-weighted imaging acquired in the axial plane (TR/TE = 1000/108 ms; voxel size, $1.7 \times 1.7 \times 3$ mm$^3$; $b = 1000$ s/mm$^2$) and, in some cases, lactate (Lac)-edited three-dimensional $^1$H MRSI. The latter applied point-resolved spectroscopic selection (PRESS) for volume localization and very selective saturation (VSS) pulses for lipid (Lip) signal suppression (excited volume, $\sim 80 \times 80 \times 40$ mm$^3$; overpress factor, 1.5; TR/TE = 1104/144 ms; field of view, $16 \times 16 \times 16$ cm$^3$; nominal voxel size, $1 \times 1 \times 1$ cm$^3$; flyback echo-planar readout gradient in the superior-inferior direction; 712 dwell points; sweep width, 988 Hz) (14). Assessment of breakdown of the blood–brain barrier was made by administering a standard dose of Gd-DTPA (gadopentetate dimeglumine; Magnevist) prior to the acquisition of $T_1$-weighted inversion recovery spoiled-gradient echo (IRSPGR) images (TR/TE = 8.9/2.5 ms).

Post-processing of pre-operative MR examination

In vivo data from the pre-operative examination were transferred to a Sun Ultra 10 workstation (Sun Microsystems, Santa Clara, California, USA) and in-house software was applied to derive

| Table 1. Patient population. Patients and tissue samples were stratified according to original World Health Organization (WHO) grade and recurrence status |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Original WHO grade | Total (tissue samples) | Newly diagnosed (tissue samples) | Recurrent (tissue samples) |
| II | 54 (114) | 0 (0) | 54 (114) |
| III | 22 (39) | 5 (7) | 17 (32) |
| IV | 50 (101) | 35 (70) | 15 (31) |
| Total | 126 (254) | 40 (77) | 86 (177) |
estimates of diffusion and spectroscopic parameters. Maps of the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) were generated on a pixel-by-pixel basis (15). The $^1$H MRSI data were processed in order to quantify total choline (tCho) and N-acetylaspartate (NAA) levels, from which maps of the choline to NAA index (CNI) could be derived. The CNI values were generated from an iterative linear regression-based algorithm that sequentially removes outlying values (16), and represent changes in choline and NAA levels relative to the normal voxels from the same subject.

**Image-guided tissue sampling**

The acquisition of pre-surgical in vivo MR data enabled the locations for tissue sampling from individual lesions to be planned prior to resection. Targets were selected from within the anatomical lesion (gadolinium-enhancing region and/or area of hyperintensity on $T_2$-weighted images) that demonstrated an ADC value of less than 1.5 times the value in normal-appearing white matter and/or had elevated CNI values (CNI > 3.0) from $^1$H MRSI. Figure 1 depicts the selection of targets for a patient with a grade IV glioma. The CNI map highlights the relative elevation of choline species and reduction in NAA, which are consistent with malignant tumor. Two primary targets were defined, with one showing a local CNI maximum and the other corresponding to a region of hypointensity on the ADC map. Targets were designated from each patient as spherical regions of interest with a diameter of 5 mm on co-registered MR images using BrainLAB surgical navigation software (BrainLAB Inc., Munich, Germany). Image-guided navigation was applied to locate tissue corresponding to predefined targets, and the neurosurgeon provided samples from as close as possible to the target. The actual sample location was saved on the BrainLAB workstation, and the corresponding coordinates were transferred offline for subsequent reference. After excision, the tissue samples were immediately bisected: half was snap frozen in liquid nitrogen less than 1 min after removal and stored at −80 °C for $^1$H HR-MAS spectroscopy; the other half was fixed in 10% zinc formalin, dehydrated by graded ethanols and embedded in Paraplast Plus wax (McCormick Scientific, St. Louis, Missouri, USA) using standardized techniques for pathological analysis.

**Histological analysis of the tumor**

A pathologist evaluated the slides prepared from tissue samples with hematoxylin and eosin stains to determine the relative contribution of tumor cells to the overall cellularity. A score of ‘0’ denoted neuropil without tumor, ‘1’ indicated an infiltrating tumor margin containing detectable but not abundant numbers of tumor cells, ‘2’ denoted a more cellular infiltrated zone, and ‘3’ denoted highly cellular tumor with relatively few non-neoplastic cells. Tissue samples containing tumor cells (scores > 0) were classified according to WHO standards.

$^1$H HR-MAS spectroscopy

Tissue samples weighing between 0.87 and 37.86 mg (median, 9.77 mg) were evaluated. A 35-μL zirconia rotor (custom-designed by Varian, Varian Inc., Palo Alto, CA) was used for $^1$H HR-MAS spectroscopy. Spectra were recorded at 300 MHz using a Varian INOVA spectrometer equipped with a microcooled probe. Spectra were acquired using a PRESS localized sequence with 16 averages and a spectral width of 12,800 Hz. The total acquisition time was 15 min, followed by a 10 min relaxation period. Spectra were referenced to Cr (internal standard) and were calibrated to 6 ppm for chemical shift determination. The spectrum of the voxel highlighted in green is shown in Figure 1B. The corresponding subsets of spectra covering the lesion are shown on the right with CNI values; voxel highlighted in green is designated as a putative tumor region on the basis of elevated CNI within the contrast-enhancing lesion (A). The spectrum from the voxel highlighted in green is enhanced to show the relative metabolite levels contributing to the CNI (B). A 5-mm-diameter target planned for surgical navigation based on this elevated CNI is shown on the $T_1$-weighted post-contrast image (C). An adjacent target based on restricted diffusion is shown on an apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) map as a regional hypointensity (D). Cr, creatine; GPC, glycerophosphocholine; PC, phosphocholine; PCC, phosphocreatine; tCho, total choline ([Cho] + [PC] + [GPC]).
CHARACTERIZATION OF METABOLITES IN INFILTRATING GLIOMAS

Palo Alto, California, USA) was used with 3 μL of 99.99% atom-D deuterium oxide containing 0.75 wt% 3-(trimethylsilyl)propionic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA) for chemical shift referencing. Data were acquired at 11.7 T at 1 °C with a spin rate of 2250 Hz in a 4-mm gHMX probe with a Varian INOVA 500-MHz multi-nuclear spectrometer. The probe gHMX is an inverse probe, optimized for the direct detection of 3H and the indirect detection of X-nuclei (13C, 31P, 15N), and equipped with a magic angle gradient coil.

A rotor-synchronized, one-dimensional, Carr–Purcell–Meiboom–Gill (CPMG) pulse sequence was run with TR/TE = 4 s/144 ms, 512 scans, 40 000 acquired points, 90° pulse and spectral width of 20 kHz for a total time of 35 min. A relatively long TE was used to maximally suppress the macromolecular background for purposes of metabolite fitting. The electronic reference to access in vivo concentrations (ERETIC) method was used to generate an artificial electronic signal that served as an external standard for the estimation of metabolite levels (17).

Pre-processing of the spectra was performed in the time domain using the Java-based Magnetic Resonance User Interface (JMRIU) (18). The estimation of relative one-dimensional metabolite levels was achieved with the semi-parametric algorithm, high-resolution quantum estimation (HR-QUEST), which fits a customized basis set of metabolites to the spectrum (19). The basis set used in this study comprised spectra from 26 metabolite solutions commonly studied in the human brain (Sigma-Aldrich): NAA, free choline (Cho), phosphocholine (PC), glycerophosphocholine (GPC), ethanolamine (EtH), phosphoethanolamine (PE), creatine/phosphocreatine (Cr/PCr), myo-inositol (MI), scyllo-inositol (Si), glucose (Glc), glycine (Gly), total glutathione [tGSH: glutathione (GSH) + glutathione disulfide (GSGS)], glutamate (Glut), glutamine (Gln), 2HG, γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA), taurine (Tau), hypotaurine (hTau), threonine (Thr), acetate (Ace), Lac, alanine (Ala), betaine (Bet), aspartate (Asp), valine (Val) and succinate (Suc). It also included spectra for potential contaminants owing to the surgical sterilization agents methanol (MeOH) and ethanol (EtOH). Each spectrum was evaluated by an experienced spectroscopist to visually assess the goodness of fit, and to determine whether low spectral resolution or signal-to-noise ratio had compromised the evaluation of the metabolite levels. Tissue samples found to have ambiguous or unreliable results were eliminated from subsequent analyses.

Statistical analyses

Tissue samples with tumor scores of 1–3 and metabolite levels with Cramer–Rao error estimates of less than 11% were evaluated. To assess the association of the metabolite parameters with various pathological grades and inter-grade transitions, a proportional odds logistic regression model, adjusted for repeated measures, was applied to evaluate the probability of observing grade outcomes corresponding to different levels of malignancy. This model is written as:

\[
\text{logit}\{p(Y_{ij} \leq K | X_i, Z_i)\} = \alpha_K + X_i'\beta + Z_i'\beta_i; i = 1, \ldots, N\text{subjects}; \\
K = 1, \ldots, c-1
\]

where \(Y_{ij}\) is the ordinal outcome for subject \(i\) with biopsy measurement \(j\) (ranges from 1 to 4), \(c\) is the total number of levels of the ordinal variable, \(X_i\) is the design matrix for the fixed effects, \(Z_i\) is the design matrix for the random effects, \(\alpha_K\) are rows corresponding to the \(j\)th biopsy specimen, and \(\beta\) and \(\beta_i\) are the vectors of fixed and random parameters. The intercepts are fixed and category dependent. The odds ratio and \(p\) value for each variable are reported. The ordinal-valued outcome mixed effect models were analyzed using PROC GENMOD in SAS (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA) v9.2.

Statistical tests with \(p\) values of less than 0.05 were considered to be significant. Metabolites predictive of pathological grade when adjusted for repeated specimen sampling were deemed to be significant predictors and are presented in the results. Because of the exploratory nature of the study, no adjustment for type I error was included.

The second aspect of the analysis concerned the classification of glioma subtypes among relevant groups. Logistic ridge regression models with automatic feature selection were used to determine whether metabolite parameters derived from HR-MAS spectra could jointly predict pathological grades and inter-grade transitions. Classification accuracy was defined as: (number of true positives + number of true negatives)/(sample total). These accuracies were adjusted for multiple tissue samples per patient by repeatedly training the models (100 training sets per model) using only one randomly selected sample per patient, and testing them using the remaining samples. Two feature selection methods were applied. An information gain ratio filtering method was first employed to remove all variables that provided no gain in classification accuracy when evaluated individually, followed by a wrapper-based feature selection method for the evaluation of the worth of subsets of features and selection of the best parsimonious model that was encountered. Average receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were generated to visualize the classification performance of each model for the sampled cutoffs, and the average area under the curve (AUC) was calculated.

RESULTS

Summary of analyzed tumor tissue

The numbers of tissue samples that were determined by pathological analysis to contain tumor are summarized according to their grade at initial diagnosis in Table 1. A total of 254 tumor samples acquired from 126 subjects were evaluated using 1H HR-MAS spectroscopy.

Distinguishing metabolite profiles on the basis of primary glioma grade

To be included in the evaluation of profiles from primary grades, samples from recurrent lesions were required to have the same histological grade as that determined at initial diagnosis. As shown in Table 2, 43 of the samples were grade II, 25 grade III and 101 grade IV.

Results from the ex vivo 1H HR-MAS spectra were represented in three different ways. Figure 2A–C shows spectra normalized with respect to the area of the ERETIC peak and then averaged to provide a single mean spectrum for each grade. These composite spectra allowed a visual assessment of differences in the relative patterns of the peaks. It should be noted that the relatively high peaks corresponding to Lac were not considered in the comparative analysis as evidence indicates that they cannot reliably reflect in vivo levels (20). Figure 2D shows deviations in mean metabolite levels for samples from primary grade III and IV gliomas relative to the levels in primary grade II, with significant increases or decreases in metabolites being highlighted in red and green, respectively. Table 2 provides the
### Table 2. Metabolite levels of primary glioma. Relative metabolite levels for each subtype of glioma, displayed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM); no units are expressed owing to the $T_2$ dependence of the Carr–Purcell–Meiboom–Gill (CPMG) acquisition

|                | Grade II ($n = 43$) | Grade III ($n = 25$) | Grade IV ($n = 101$) | Overall | Grade II versus III | Grade III versus IV | Grade II versus IV |
|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| **Mean levels ± SEM** |                     |                       |                      |         |                     |                     |                     |
| Number of fitted spectral samples | 17 | 8 | 22 | 0.946 (0.906–0.989) | 0.01 | NS | 0.927 (0.875–0.981) | 0.009 | 0.929 (0.873–0.988) | 0.02 |
| NAA             | 29 ± 6              | 24 ± 6                | 12 ± 2               |         |                     |                     |                     |
| Cho             | 38 ± 7              | 64 ± 9                | 30 ± 4               |         |                     |                     |                     |
| PC              | 51 ± 7              | 83 ± 15               | 67 ± 8               |         |                     |                     |                     |
| tCho            | 144 ± 19            | 183 ± 18              | 112 ± 8              | 0.996 (0.992–0.999) | 0.02 | NS | 0.986 (0.984–0.996) | 0.0007 | 0.988 (0.981–0.994) | 0.08 |
| PE              | 110 ± 14            | 203 ± 31              | 132 ± 12             |         |                     |                      | NS 1.010 (1.003–1.018) | 0.008 | NS | NS |
| (P)Cr           | 187 ± 23            | 166 ± 20              | 95 ± 7               | 0.991 (0.986–0.995) | <0.0001 | NS | 0.989 (0.983–0.995) | 0.0001 | 0.988 (0.981–0.994) | 0.0002 |
| MI              | 382 ± 44            | 321 ± 40              | 176 ± 20             | 0.995 (0.992–0.999) | 0.04 | NS | 0.997 (0.994–0.999) | 0.02 | NS | NS |
| Gly             | 152 ± 23            | 269 ± 34              | 185 ± 20             |         |                     |                      | 0.07 |
| tGSH            | 35 ± 4              | 57 ± 20               | 61 ± 6               |         |                     |                      | NS 1.032 (1.007–1.058) | 0.01 | NS | NS |
| Glu             | 199 ± 21            | 278 ± 43              | 296 ± 28             |         |                     |                      | 0.09 |
| Gln             | 127 ± 21            | 209 ± 35              | 149 ± 9              |         |                     |                      | NS 1.019 (1.008–1.03) | 0.02 | NS | NS |
| 2HG             | 32 ± 4              | 34 ± 4                | 0*                   | 0.907 (0.869–0.947) | <0.0001 | NS | 0.832 (0.753–0.920) | 0.0003 | 0.827 (0.732–0.935) | 0.002 |
| Thr             | 39 ± 9              | 82 ± 18               | 74 ± 12              |         |                     |                      | 1.019 (1.008–1.03) | 0.02 | NS | NS |
| PC/GPC          | 111 ± 37            | 180 ± 81              | 229 ± 31             |         |                     |                      | NS 1.019 (1.008–1.03) | 0.02 | NS | NS |
| MCI             | 325 ± 27            | 192 ± 22              | 169 ± 14             | 0.993 (0.989–0.966) | 0.0002 | 0.02 | 0.992 (0.987–0.996) | 0.0005 | NS | NS |
| tCho/(P)Cr      | 98 ± 13             | 124 ± 14              | 140 ± 16             | 1.007 (1.000–1.013) | 0.04 | NS | 0.998 (0.987–0.999) | 0.08 | NS | NS |

2HG, d-2-hydroxylbutarate; Cho, free choline; Cr, creatine; Gln, glutamine; Glu, glutamate; Gly, glycine; GPC, glycerophosphocholine; GSH, glutathione; GSSG, glutathione disulfide; MCI, myo-inositol to total choline index; MI, myo-inositol; NAA, N-acetylaspartate; NS, not significant; PC, phosphocholine; PCr, phosphocreatine; PE, phosphoethanolamine; tCho, total choline ([Cho] + [PC] + [GPC]); tGSH, total glutathione ([GSH] + [GSSG]); Thr, threonine.

*Absence of 2HG resonances confirmed by visual inspection.
mean and standard error of individual metabolite levels estimated using HR-QUEST and tested for significant differences between grades.

The mean spectrum for primary grade II gliomas (Fig. 2A) showed prominent peaks corresponding to Cr/PCr, MI, GPC, PC, Cho and NAA, with clear composite peaks corresponding to 2HG, Glu and Gln. The tGSH resonances were relatively low. A key parameter that distinguished primary grade II lesions from the other primary grades (see Table 2) was the ratio of MI to tCho (MCI), which was higher than for both grade III ($p = 0.02$) and grade IV ($p = 0.0005$) lesions. Thr, which is a precursor to both Gly and acetyl-CoA, was either significantly lower or trending towards lower levels in primary grade II relative to primary grade III ($p = 0.07$) and grade IV ($p = 0.02$) lesions.

The mean spectrum from primary grade III glioma showed prominent peaks from GPC, PC and Cho, that were higher relative to Cr/PCr and NAA than for the mean spectrum from grade II glioma (Fig. 2B). There was also a more prominent Gly peak and relatively low peaks from MI. Resonances corresponding to 2HG, Glu and Gln were visible, as well as additional peaks from hTau. The statistical analysis showed that, although there was a general trend towards higher overall metabolite levels relative to primary grade II lesions (Fig. 2D), only the reduction in MCI ($p = 0.02$) and the increase in PE ($p = 0.008$) reached significance (Table 2).

The mean spectrum from primary grade IV glioma (Fig. 2C) showed relatively lower GPC, Cr/PCr and NAA peaks than both of the lower grades, and lower MI and Gly peaks relative to primary grade III glioma. There were no 2HG resonances seen in individual primary grade IV samples, but relatively more PC relative to GPC, and the presence of Lip peaks at 0.9 and 1.25 ppm that were either lower or absent in grades II and III. Tests of significance showed that the levels of NAA and Cr/PCr were lower than in both grade II ($p = 0.02$ and 0.0002) and grade III ($p = 0.009$ and 0.0001) gliomas. In addition, MCI was significantly lower ($p = 0.0005$) and the level of tGSH higher ($p = 0.01$) than in primary grade II glioma, and the levels of Cho, tCho and Gly were significantly lower than in grade III glioma ($p = 0.04$, 0.0007 and 0.02).

The classification models showed relatively high accuracy in categorizing the metabolite data according to grade (Table 3). There was an 84% accuracy in classifying primary grade II versus...
IV glioma, which underscored the utility of features such as 2HG, tGSH and MCI. Classification of primary grade II versus III glioma showed an accuracy of 73%, with the significant differences being in MCI, PE and Gly. Finally, grade III gliomas were separated from glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) with an accuracy of 92% based on higher levels of 2HG, tCho, NAA and Cr/PCr. ROC curves that demonstrate the sensitivity and specificity for these classifications are shown in Fig. 3A.

| Number of (patients, tissue samples) per grade or transformation | Classification model | Average classification accuracy ± SD (%) | Average ROC curve area |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Primary glioma                                                | II (21, 43)          | II versus III                           | 73.1 ± 4.2             | 0.761                      |
|                                                               | III (15, 25)         | III versus IV                           | 92.4 ± 2.8             | 0.960                      |
|                                                               | IV (50, 101)         | II versus IV                            | 84.4 ± 3.2             | 0.869                      |
| Recurrent low-grade glioma                                   | GII→II (21, 43)      | GII→II versus GII→III                   | 69.3 ± 4.4             | 0.746                      |
|                                                               | GII→III (26, 52)     | GII→III versus GII→IV                   | 72.5 ± 3.1             | 0.550                      |
|                                                               | GII→IV (8, 19)       | GII→IV versus GII→IV                    | 73.6 ± 5.7             | 0.745                      |
| Primary versus secondary grade III                          | III (15, 25)         | III versus GII→III                      | 76.7 ± 5.6             | 0.676                      |
| Primary versus secondary GBM                                 | IV (50, 101)         | IV versus GII→IV                        | 94.4 ± 0.8             | 0.854                      |
|                                                               | GII→IV (8, 19)       | IV versus GIII→IV                       | 95.6 ± 0.9             | 0.756                      |
|                                                               | GII→IV (7, 14, 19)   | IV versus GII→IV                        | 61.9 ± 4.1             | 0.698                      |

GBM, glioblastoma multiforme; TFD, gliomas that have transformed to a higher grade of malignancy.

IV glioma, which underscored the utility of features such as 2HG, tGSH and MCI. Classification of primary grade II versus III glioma showed an accuracy of 73%, with the significant differences being in MCI, PE and Gly. Finally, grade III gliomas were separated from glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) with an accuracy of 92% based on higher levels of 2HG, tCho, NAA and Cr/PCr. ROC curves that demonstrate the sensitivity and specificity for these classifications are shown in Fig. 3A.

**Figure 3.** Average receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for the logistic ridge regression classification models shown in Table 3: primary glioma (A), recurrent low-grade glioma transformation status (B), primary versus secondary grade III (C), and primary versus secondary glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) (D). The averaged curves represent the results of 100 training sets per classification model. FPR, false positive rate; TFD, transformed to a higher grade of malignancy; TPR, true positive rate.
Transformation status for recurrent grade II glioma

As can be seen in Table 3, many of the lesions with an original diagnosis of grade II glioma were found to have undergone transformation to a higher pathological grade at recurrence. The largest portion of the tissue samples (46%) showed transformation to grade III (GII→III, n = 52) and 16% to grade IV (GII→IV, n = 19).

Peaks from the mean spectra (see Fig. 4A–C) corresponding to MI, Cr/PCr and NAA consistently discriminated gliomas that had remained grade II (GII→II) from their transformed counterparts (GII→III or IV). As was the case for primary glioma samples, there was a transition from GPC to PC as the dominant choline resonance and an increase in the abundance of Lip for grade IV lesions. Figure 4D demonstrates that transformation to higher grade was associated with a progressive increase in metabolite levels. Of particular interest is that the levels of PC (p = 0.05 and 0.005) and tGSH (p = 0.02 and 0.003) were significantly higher for both transitions, and that 2HG levels were significantly higher for GII→IV (p = 0.01). MCI showed an opposite trend, being lower for both GII→II (p = 0.02) and GII→IV (p = 0.002) relative to GII→II.

The statistical analysis represented in Table 4 emphasizes the significance of these changes. The statistical models created to discriminate GII→II versus GII→III and GII→III versus GII→IV demonstrated classification accuracies of 69% and 73%, respectively (Table 3; Fig. 3B). Lower levels of tGSH in GII→III and elevated levels of PC/GPC in GII→IV were helpful in distinguishing GII→III. Modeling the optimal classification of GII→III versus GII→IV provided an accuracy of 74%, and selected the features of Asp, PC and tGSH as the strongest predictors of GII→IV, whereas high MCI strongly favored GII→II. When comparing all gliomas that had upgraded (GII→III,IV) with those that remained low grade (GII→II), the classification accuracy was 70%.

Primary versus secondary grade III glioma

There were 25 primary grade III (GIII) and 52 secondary grade III (GII→III) gliomas. Table 5 indicates that the primary lesions had significantly higher Cho (p = 0.02), Gly (p = 0.03) and PC/GPC (p = 0.03). The classification model of GIII versus GII→III yielded an accuracy of 70%.
Table 4. Metabolite levels of recurrent low-grade glioma. Relative metabolite levels for each subtype of glioma, displayed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM); no units are expressed owing to the \(T_2\) dependence of the Carr–Purcell–Meiboom–Gill (CPMG) acquisition.

|                  | Grade II \(\rightarrow\) II \((n = 43)\) | Grade II \(\rightarrow\) III \((n = 52)\) | Grade II \(\rightarrow\) IV \((n = 19)\) | Overall | Grade II \(\rightarrow\) II versus Grade II \(\rightarrow\) III | Grade II \(\rightarrow\) II versus Grade II \(\rightarrow\) IV | Grade II \(\rightarrow\) III versus Grade II \(\rightarrow\) IV |
|------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| **PC**           | 51 ± 7                                   | 88 ± 12                                  | 162 ± 41                                 | 1.010   | 0.007 (1.003–1.017)                              | 1.013 (1.000–1.026)                              | 1.018 (1.006–1.031)                              |
|                  | 33                                        | 44                                        | 14                                       |         | 0.05                                           | 0.005                                           | 0.08                                           |
| **tCho**         | 144 ± 19                                 | 243 ± 34                                 | 252 ± 43                                 | 1.003   | 0.06                                           | 0.08                                           | 1.005 (1.000–1.010)                              |
|                  | 39                                        | 52                                        | 17                                       |         | 0.03                                           | NS                                              | NS                                              |
| **PE**           | 110 ± 14                                 | 168 ± 22                                 | 211 ± 57                                 | 1.004   | 0.02                                           | 0.06                                           | 0.02                                           |
|                  | 29                                        | 41                                        | 15                                       |         | NS                                              | NS                                              | NS                                              |
| **Gly**          | 152 ± 23                                 | 194 ± 20                                 | 327 ± 83                                 | 1.004   | 0.006                                           | NS                                              | 0.08                                           |
|                  | 25                                        | 39                                        | 13                                       |         | NS                                              | NS                                              | 0.05                                           |
| **tGSH**         | 35 ± 0.05                                | 80 ± 11                                  | 109 ± 20                                 | 1.020   | 0.006                                           | 0.02                                           | 1.060 (1.020–1.101)                              |
|                  | 14                                        | 24                                        | 9                                        |         | 0.03                                           | NS                                              | NS                                              |
| **2HG**          | 32 ± 5                                   | 51 ± 8                                   | 66 ± 15                                  | 1.012   | 0.009                                           | 0.04                                           | 0.01                                           |
|                  | 17                                        | 33                                        | 13                                       |         | NS                                              | NS                                              | NS                                              |
| **Asp**          | 125 ± 0.018                              | 190 ± 23                                 | 277 ± 39                                 | 1.008   | 0.01                                           | 0.04                                           | 1.017 (1.005–1.030)                              |
|                  | 15                                        | 28                                        | 11                                       |         | NS                                              | NS                                              | 0.09                                           |
| **PC/GPC**       | 110 ± 37                                 | 79 ± 10                                  | 344 ± 104                                | 1.008   | 0.002                                           | 0.02                                           | 1.014 (1.002–1.026)                              |
|                  | 31                                        | 42                                        | 12                                       |         | NS                                              | NS                                              | 0.02                                           |
| **MCI**          | 325 ± 27                                 | 201 ± 21                                 | 128 ± 13                                 | 0.994   | 0.995 (0.990–0.998)                             | 0.995 (0.991–0.999)                             | 0.981 (0.970–0.993)                             |
|                  | 38                                        | 51                                        | 16                                       |         | 0.99 (0.989–0.999)                              | 0.002                                           | 0.995 (0.989–1.001)                             |
| **tCho/(P)Cr**   | 98 ± 13                                  | 161 ± 19                                 | 204 ± 34                                 | 1.005   | 0.02                                           | 0.06                                           | 1.005 (1.000–1.010)                              |
|                  | 37                                        | 49                                        | 17                                       |         | 0.02                                           | NS                                              | NS                                              |

2HG, D-2-hydroxyglutarate; Asp, aspartate; Cho, free choline; Cr, creatine; Gly, glycine; GPC, glycerophosphocholine; GSH, glutathione; GSSG, glutathione disulfide; MCI, myo-inositol to total choline index; NS, not significant; PC, phosphocholine; PCr, phosphocreatine; PE, phosphoethanolamine; tCho, total choline ([Cho] + [PC] + [GPC]); tGSH, total glutathione ([GSH] + [GSSG]).
Table 5. Metabolite levels of primary versus secondary grade III glioma. Relative metabolite levels for each subtype of glioma, displayed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM); no units are expressed owing to the T2 dependence of the Carr–Purcell–Melboom–Gill (CPMG) acquisition.

|                  | Primary grade III (n = 25) | Grade II → III (n = 52) | Primary versus secondary grade III |
|------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                  | Mean levels ± SEM          |                         | Odds ratios (95% CI)              |
|                  | Number of fitted spectral samples |                         | p values                           |
| Cho              | 64 ± 9                     | 40 ± 4                  | 1.021 (1.003–1.039)               |
| NAA              | 24 ± 6                     | 15 ± 3                  | 1.019 (1.000–1.103)               |
| Gly              | 269 ± 34                   | 194 ± 20                | 1.004 (1.001–1.008)               |
| PC/GPC           | 180 ± 81                   | 79 ± 10                 | 1.004 (1.000–1.008)               |

Cho, free choline; Gly, glycine; GPC, glycerophosphocholine; NAA, N-acetylaspartate; NS, not significant; PC, phosphocholine.

Figure 5. Metabolite profiles of primary versus secondary glioblastoma multiforme (GBM). Mean Carr–Purcell–Melboom–Gill (CPMG) spectra for glioma samples histologically defined as primary grade IV (n = 101) (A) and secondary grade III → IV (n = 14) (B) or grade II → IV (n = 19) (C). Deviation in quantified mean metabolite levels of grade II → III and II → IV glioma relative to grade II → II glioma; reported levels are unitless owing to the T2 dependence of the CPMG acquisition (D). Significant increases or decreases in the metabolite levels displayed as residuals are highlighted in red and green, respectively. Statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05 for the comparative analysis among subtypes using the proportional odds logistic regression analysis. MeOH and EtOH are contaminants resulting from surgical sterilization procedures. 2HG, δ-2-hydroxyglutarate; Ace, acetate; Ala, alanine; Asp, aspartate; Bet, betaine; Cho, free choline; Cr, PCr, creatine, phosphocreatine; Et, ethanolamine; EtOH, ethanol; GABA, γ-aminobutyric acid; Glc, glucose; Gln, glutamine; Gly, glycine; GPC, glycerophosphocholine; GSH, glutathione; GSSG, glutathione disulfide; hTau, hypotaurine; Ile, isoleucine; Lac, lactate; Leu, leucine; Lip, lipid; Lys, lysine; MCI, myo-inositol to total choline index; MeOH, methanol; Mi, myo-inositol; NAA, N-acetylaspartate; PC, phosphocholine; PE, phosphoethanolamine; SI, scyllo-inositol; Succ, succinate; Tau, taurine; tCho, total choline ([Cho] + [PC] + [GPC]); tGSH, total glutathione ([GSH] + [GSSG]); Thr, threonine; Val, valine.
Table 6. Metabolite levels of primary versus secondary glioblastoma multiforme (GBM). Relative metabolite levels for each subtype of glioma, displayed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM); no units are expressed owing to the $T_2$ dependence of the Carr–Purcell–Meiboom–Gill (CPMG) acquisition.

|                     | Grade IV (n = 101) | Grade III → IV (n = 14) | Grade II → IV (n = 19) | Overall | Primary GBM versus III → IV | Primary GBM versus II → IV | Grade III → IV versus II → IV |
|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Number of fitted spectral samples | tCho 112 ± 8 | 125 ± 27 | 252 ± 43 | 53 | 11 | 17 | 0.990 (0.984–0.996) | 0.0004 | NS | 0.989 (0.981–0.996) | 0.02 | 0.10 |
|                     | (P)Cr 95 ± 7 | 73 ± 14 | 143 ± 22 | 50 | 9 | 18 | 0.993 (0.987–0.999) | 0.03 | NS | 0.992 (0.985–0.998) | 0.01 | 0.05 |
|                     | 2HG 0* | 30 ± 3 | 66 ± 15 | 101 | 7 | 13 | 0.846 (0.803–0.892) | <0.0001 | 0.824 (0.747–0.910) | 0.830 (0.761–0.905) | <0.0001 | 0.08 |
|                     | Asp 162 ± 12 | 208 ± 34 | 277 ± 39 | 44 | 6 | 11 | 0.991 (0.986–0.996) | 0.001 | 0.994 (0.990–0.999) | 0.991 (0.985–0.996) | 0.01 | 0.002 | NS |
|                     | MI/(P)Cr 208 ± 23 | 334 ± 61 | 227 ± 24 | 62 | 6 | 16 | 0.995 (0.990–0.999) | NS | 0.02 | 0.994 (0.990–0.999) | 0.02 | 0.04 | NS |
|                     | tCho/(P)Cr 140 ± 16 | 207 ± 38 | 204 ± 34 | 76 | 9 | 17 | 0.995 (0.990–0.999) | 0.02 | 0.994 (0.990–0.999) | 0.991 (0.985–0.996) | 0.03 | NS |

2HG, α-2-hydroxyglutarate; Asp, aspartate; Cho, free choline; Cr, creatine; GPC, glycerophosphocholine; MI, myo-inositol; NS, not significant; PC, phosphocholine; PCr, phosphocreatine; tCho, total choline ([Cho] + [PC] + [GPC]).

*Absence of 2HG resonances confirmed by visual inspection.
accuracy of 77%, and indicated that there were relative elevations in GABA, Ala and GPC for primary lesions (Table 3; Fig. 3C).

**Primary versus secondary GBM**

There were 101 samples from primary grade IV and 33 from secondary grade IV (GIII→IV, n = 19; GIII→IV, n = 14) glioma. The most obvious differences in the mean spectra (Fig. 5A–C) were the variations in the levels and relative contributions of GPC and PC, the lack of 2HG and the increase in the abundance of Lip. Overall, metabolite levels appeared to be higher in the lesions that arose from grade II glioma (Fig. 5C, D). Statistical analysis indicated that tCho, 2HG and Asp were significantly higher in GIII→IV samples relative to the de novo GIV (Fig. 5D and Table 6). Cr/PCr (p = 0.05) and MCI (p = 0.04) were significantly different for GIII→IV samples. Figure 6 shows spectra from a primary grade IV glioma (red) and a secondary grade IV glioma (blue) that arose from a recurrent grade II lesion. Differences in the portions of spectra corresponding to resonances of 2HG are clearly visible.

The classification models for the discrimination between primary versus secondary grade IV glioma were highly accurate (Table 3; Fig. 3D), with the most valuable parameter being the level of 2HG. Accuracies for GIV versus GIII→IV and GIV versus GIII→IV models were 94% and 96%, respectively. Other parameters, such as tCho and Asp, were found to be useful for distinguishing the GIV versus GIII→IV subgroups. The comparison between secondary GBM subtypes (GIII→IV versus GIII→IV) showed an accuracy of only 62%.

**DISCUSSION**

This study has provided a characterization of the spectral profiles for infiltrating gliomas. A critical finding was that 1H HR-MAS spectroscopic techniques enabled an accurate classification of these diverse subtypes with respect to standard histological criteria and changes that are associated with malignant transformation at recurrence. This could be important for oncologists in selecting the most appropriate treatment for individual patients in cases in which there is no tissue available from the initial diagnosis. A further critical finding was that several of the ex vivo metabolite changes observed could be translated into the in vivo setting. These results are of interest for improving the characterization of glioma for non-invasive diagnosis, planning of tissue sampling and surgical resection (21–27).

Table 7 summarizes the important clinical implications of our study according to relevant metabolite findings. A key application of these data is the guidance of tissue sampling at the time of initial diagnosis, given the importance of locating the most malignant region of the tumor for pathological grading. This study suggests that tCho, NAA, Cr/PCr, MI and Lac levels offer the most compelling information for determination of the tumor grade from an in vivo perspective. These metabolites are also of particular interest for studying lesion heterogeneity, especially in the case of grade IV glioma, where representative tissue samples may be difficult to obtain at the time of surgical resection. Similar criteria are also proposed in Table 7 for directing tissue sampling with regard to the determination of whether recurrent low-grade gliomas have undergone transformation to a higher grade, and may therefore require more aggressive treatment.

The progressive reduction in MCI for lesions that have transformed from grade II to grade III or IV is a potentially important marker that can be monitored in vivo using short-echo 1H MRSI, and may be able to predict transformation to a higher grade or distinguish between recurrent tumor and reactive gliosis (28). Whether other in vivo metabolite data can be used

---

**Figure 6.** Representative spectra from primary versus secondary glioblastoma multiforme (GBM). Red trace represents the high-resolution magic angle spinning (HR-MAS) spectrum from a primary de novo GBM; blue trace represent the spectrum from a secondary GBM (grade II → IV). Spectra were normalized by the electronic reference to access in vivo concentrations (ERETIC) signal and tissue sample weights. This example shows the characteristic elevation of metabolites in secondary GBM relative to a primary counterpart, together with the distinguishing presence of the onco-metabolite 2HG. 2HG, D-2-hydroxyglutarate; Ace, acetate; Ala, alanine; Asp, aspartate; Cho, free choline; Cr, PCr, creatine, phosphocreatine; GABA, D-2-hydroxyglutarate; Ace, acetate; Ala, alanine; Asp, aspartate; Cho, free choline; Cr, PCr, creatine, phosphocreatine; GABA, γ-aminobutyric acid; Glc, glucose; Glu, glutamate; Gly, glycine; GPC, glycerophosphocholine; GSH, glutathione; GSSG, glutathione disulfide; hTau, hypotaurine; Lac, lactate; Lip, lipids; Lys, lysine; MII, myo-inositol; PC, phosphocholine; PE, phosphoethanolamine; SI, scyllo-inositol; Tau, taurine; tGSH, total glutathione ([GSH] + [GSSG]).
# Table 7. Summary of relevant clinical implications. Metabolites and indices found to provide potential diagnostic value from this study are summarized according to major clinical needs and challenges

| 1. Direction of tissue sampling at initial diagnosis | Major challenge | Metabolite information |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| **Imaging findings**                              | To distinguish grade II versus grade III (IV) | Elevation of MI/tCho suggests grade II |
| Non-enhancing lesion – usually grade II or grade III | | Target regions with highest tCho, low NAA, low Cr/PCr |
| Enhancing lesion, no necrosis – usually grade III or grade IV | To distinguish grade III (II) versus grade IV | Presence of 2HG implies grade II or III |
| Enhancing lesion, with necrosis – almost always grade IV | To obtain samples that are representative of tumor properties | Target regions with high tCho, low NAA, low Cr/PCr in enhancing region |

| 2. Prediction of malignant transformation | Most relevant metabolite parameters |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| **Clinical need**                       | Low MCI, high tCho, low NAA, low Cr/PCr, presence of lactate |
| Targeting most malignant region at surgery | Decrease in MCI and increase in tGSH and 2HG imply transformation from grade II to grade III or IV |
| Non-invasive assessment of tumor progression | Elevated PC/GPC implies transformation to grade IV |

| 3. Distinguishing between primary and secondary lesions | Most relevant metabolite parameters |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| **Clinical need**                                      | Elevated 2HG is evidence for a grade IV lesion having arisen from a prior grade II or grade III lesion |
| Selection of most appropriate therapy                  | Use temporal changes in tCho, Gly, MCI and PC/GPC to monitor therapy |
| Determination of how treatment influences malignant progression | |
CHARACTERIZATION OF METABOLITES IN INFILTRATING GLIOMAS

...to make a non-invasive diagnosis of malignant transformation will depend on the signal-to-noise ratio of the various peaks, the accuracy of the quantitative methods used and the magnitude of the changes that need to be detected. Although in vivo detection of tGSH and 2HG has also been reported (9, 29–33), these peaks have low signal-to-noise ratios and are located in regions with overlapping spectra from other metabolites. The relative contributions of GPC and PC (4, 27, 34, 35) are strong ex vivo marker for the detection of transformation to grade IV that may relate to specific oncogenic pathways (36), however their contributions to the tCho peak cannot be separated with in vivo 1H MRSI. One possibility which may therefore be of interest for future studies of malignant transformation is the use of ultrahigh-field MR scanners to obtain in vivo 31P MRSI spectra for assessing changes in PC/GPC.

The third application of this study (Table 7) is to distinguish between primary and secondary lesions, which is especially pertinent for selecting therapy and understanding the diversity of outcomes between patients who were originally thought to have similar pathology. We showed that secondary grade IV gliomas that arise from grade II (Fig. 3C, D) display a very unique profile that is visually characterized by elevations in 2HG, PC, PC/GPC ratio and hTau. The latter metabolite is an intermediate in the biosynthesis of Tau and a sulfur-bearing antioxidant like GSH, capable of reducing reactive oxygen species under conditions of oxidative stress (37, 38). A previous HR-MAS study has also indicated that hTau is an important ex vivo biomarker for the separation of grade IV glioma and metastatic lesions (39).

The relationship between the levels of 2HG and mutations in the IDH genes (IDH1 and IDH2) for up to 70% of lesions diagnosed as grade II glioma has important implications for distinguishing between primary and secondary gliomas (10). Although previous reports in the literature have indicated that 5–12% of primary grade IV gliomas carry IDH mutations (10, 11, 40), none of the tumors that presented as primary grade IV glioma in this study showed detectable levels of 2HG. This discrepancy may be a result of variations in patient populations between institutions and/or the time at which lesions were originally diagnosed. In either case, 2HG remains an important metabolite to monitor in vivo.

The evaluation of the genomic properties of primary and secondary grade III gliomas may also help in our understanding of how treatment history influences the processes associated with malignant progression. Although a substantial number of primary grade III glioma samples were found to be IDH mutant on the basis of immunohistochemistry, and to have detectable levels of 2HG, there were other differences in their metabolite profile relative to secondary anaplastic glioma, which included significantly lower levels of the tumor markers Gly (41) and PC/GPC. By contrast, transition from primary grade III to secondary grade IV glioma was mainly associated with an increase in tCho. This provides additional motivation for collecting tissue samples in the area of the lesion that is seen to have high tCho from 1H MRSI. Although it was not assessed in this ex vivo study, results from in vivo measurements of Lac suggest that this metabolite can also identify important targets for directed tissue sampling (42, 43).

Despite efforts to optimize the experimental design of this study, there were some inherent limitations that should be noted. The first concerns the possibility of the presence of differences in tumor cellularity between the portions of the samples that were used for histological and metabolite analysis. For this reason, we did not normalize metabolite levels by measures of tumor cellularity, but rather sought to identify robust patterns that were consistent across tumors of similar grade. The second is that the acquisition parameters used for this HR-MAS study meant that the estimated metabolite levels were weighted by their T₂ relaxation times. Despite this T₂ editing, the current literature has not indicated any statistically significant difference in T₂ values between gliomas of different grade (34, 44). Moreover, a CPMG sequence was employed with varying TEs to determine whether differences in metabolite T₂ values could be observed between grade II (n = 3) and grade IV (n = 4) samples, which represent opposite ends of the tumor cellularity spectrum, and no substantial difference was observed for the choline species, Cr/PCr and MI (data not shown).

A third limitation is that the number of samples analyzed in our study did not allow for further stratification on the basis of histological subtypes of low-grade glioma, such as astrocytoma, oligodendroglioma and the mixed oligoastrocytoma (1, 2). Previous studies have indicated that there are differences in metabolite parameters among these subtypes (45, 46). This may have influenced the accuracy with which we were able to distinguish between lesions that transformed from grade II to grade III versus those that remained grade II at recurrence. The fact that there was a higher proportion of lesions with an oligodendroglioma subtype in the population that remained grade II (57.1%) and a smaller proportion of lesions with this subtype in the group that transformed to grade III (36.0%) may explain sources of variance that were not adequately captured in the classification models of this study. Ongoing studies in our institution are collecting a larger number of samples in order to allow for a stratification of lesions by their respective histological subtype, and hence to observe how cellular origin relates to profiles of metabolism.

CONCLUSIONS

The results obtained in this study have elucidated the association between 1H MRSI and the standard histological grading of gliomas that is used clinically for the diagnosis of patients and the determination of appropriate treatments. Metabolite profiles were able to help differentiate between primary glioma subtypes, predict the transformation of recurrent grade II to either grade III or IV, and define the pathological trajectories of primary and secondary glioma of grades III and IV. These novel findings suggest that the discrete metabolomic profiles observed may be related to genomic or epigenomic abnormalities of specific glioma subtypes. Metabolites of significance from ex vivo analyses that can readily be observed using clinical MR scanners may also offer in vivo biomarkers to clinicians who are attempting to diagnose patients non-invasively and to monitor treatment. The metabolite profiles that have been identified could also help to characterize intra-lesional malignancy in a manner that is not currently addressed by the histopathological grading scheme, and may therefore aid in the delineation of targets for radiation planning and surgical intervention. This is critical for the clinical management of patients with glioma, and provides motivation for future studies that will examine the relationship between 1H MRSI and genetic, epigenetic and biological variations in these lesions.
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