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hsa_circ_0084811 Regulates Cell Proliferation and Apoptosis in Retinoblastoma through miR-18a-5p/miR-18b-5p/E2F5 Axis
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Background. Retinoblastoma (RB) is the commonest primary intraocular malignancy during childhood. Circular RNAs (circRNAs) act as regulators in RB development, and hsa_circ_E2F5 (circ_0084811 in this study) was found to be highly expressed in RB cells, so we wanted to identify its detailed molecular mechanism.

Methods. The expression level of circ_0084811 in RB cells was tested by RT-qPCR and its effects on RB cells were evaluated through functional assays. The regulatory mechanism that circ_0084811 may exert in RB progression was tested through mechanism experiments.

Results. High circ_0084811 expression in RB cells facilitated cell proliferation but inhibited cell apoptosis. The enrichment of acetylation of histone 3 lysine 27 (H3K27ac) in circ_0084811 promoter induced circ_0084811 upregulation. Moreover, circ_0084811 regulated E2F transcription factor 5 (E2F5) expression via sponging microRNA-18a-5p (miR-18a-5p) and microRNA-18b-5p (miR-18b-5p).

Conclusion. circ_0084811 modulated RB progression via the miR-18a-5p/miR-18b-5p/E2F5 axis.

1. Background

Retinoblastoma (RB) is defined as a malignant tumor which derives from the developing retina, and it accounts for 3% of all childhood cancers [1, 2]. The commonest signs of RB include white eye reflex and strabismus [3]. Although RB is a rare cancer among children, it is widely considered to be the most frequent primary intraocular malignancy during childhood [4]. According to statistics, the survival rate of RB patients has been improved a lot in developed countries but remains low in developing countries [5]. In this regard, exploring the development of RB from the perspective of molecular mechanism may be of great value to the treatment of RB [6].

Noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) are defined as a heterogeneous class of RNAs which is limited in coding proteins. As a common type of ncRNAs, circular RNAs (circRNAs) are defined as covalently closed RNA molecules produced by back-splicing. Growing evidence has identified the great importance of circRNAs in tumors [7]. For instance, Liu et al. have proposed that hsa_circ_001783 accelerates the development of breast cancer through sequestering miR-200c-3p [8]. Lu et al. have proved that circSLC8A1 plays a suppressive role in bladder cancer via the crosstalk with the miR-130b/miR-494/PTEN axis [9]. Yu et al. have mentioned that circRNA_100876 exacerbates the proliferation and metastasis of gastric cancer via enhancing MIEN1 expression [10]. Moreover, circRNAs have been reported to participate in ocular diseases including RB [11]. For example, Jiang et al. have disclosed that circ_0000034 exacerbates the malignant development of RB through the miR-361-5p/ADAM19 axis [12]. Zhao et al. have uncovered that circ_0075804 boosts cell proliferation in RB through recruiting HNRNPK protein and stabilizing E2F3 mRNA [13]. Xing et al. have implied that hsa_circ_0001649
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modulates RB malignancy through modulation of the AKT/mTOR signaling pathway [14].

The E2F family of transcription factors has been illustrated as a key regulator related to the proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis of a variety of tissues [15]. E2F transcription factor 5 (E2F5), a member of E2F family, has been characterized as a transcriptional repressor which can regulate cell proliferation through its interaction with the RB protein for inhibition of target gene transcription. In addition, it has been documented to play critical roles in cancer development, including RB [16, 17]. Since circRNAs can be transcribed together with their parental genes and, in turn, they can regulate the transcription of the parental gene or related genes [18], we explored whether circ_0084811 may regulate its host gene E2F5 in RB.

2. Methods

2.1. Cell Culture. RB cells (HXO-Rb44, Y79, SO-Rb50, and WERI-Rb-1) and human retinal pigment epithelial ARPE-19 cell line were selected for this study. Y79, WERI-Rb-1, and ARPE-19 cells were bought from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA). HXO-Rb44 cell line was obtained from Zishi Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) while SO-Rb50 cell line was bought from Huatuo Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shenzhen, China). The four RB cell lines were cultivated in RPMI-1640 medium while ARPE-19 cell line was cultured in DMEM: F12 medium. All the above mediums were treated with 10% FBS in humidified air, with the culture condition set as 37°C, 5% CO2.

2.2. Cell Transfection. The shRNAs targeting CBP (sh-CBP#1/2), circ_0084811 (sh-circ_0084811#1/2/3), or E2F5 (sh-E2F5#1/2) were designed and synthesized by RiboBio (Guangzhou, China). For the overexpression of E2F5, the sequence was subcloned into pcDNA 3.1 vectors with empty pcDNA3.1 vector as the negative control. Besides, miR-18a-5p mimics/inhibitor, miR-18b-5p mimics/inhibitor, and their respective negative controls (control mimics/NC inhibitor) were also constructed by RiboBio. Transfection
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was performed by using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen) for 48 h.

2.3. Total RNA Extraction and Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-qPCR) Analysis. In line with the instruction of TRIZol reagent (Invitrogen), the isolation of total RNA samples was extracted in RB cells. RNA concentration was detected by NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific, USA). Synthesis of complementary DNA (cDNA) was carried out using the PrimeScript™ RT master mix (Takara, Japan). RT-qPCR reaction was achieved with SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) followed by the 2^ΔΔCT method. GAPDH and U6 were used as internal controls. The experimental procedure was independently carried out in triplicate. Detailed sequences are provided in Supplementary Table 1.

2.4. MTT Assay. Transfected cells were seeded in 96-well plates (200 μL, 3 × 10^3 cells/well) added with 10 μL MTT (5 mg/mL) in each well. After incubation for 4 h, the precipitates were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 100 μL). The absorbance was measured at 490 nm under a microplate spectrophotometer. Experiments were independently carried out in triplicate.

2.5. Soft Agar Assay. After transfection, RB cells were plated in 6-well plates. After 2-4 weeks, cells were maintained in an upper layer of 0.35% agarose (Lonza Rockland) in DMEM added with 10% FBS. With the utilization of 0.5% basal agar and 10% FBS, suspend cells were overlaid and kept under room temperature until the solidification of agarose. Finally, images of cell colonies were taken. The experiment went through three independent repeats.

2.6. Subcellular Fractionation. PARIS™ Kit (Ambion, Austin, TX) was used to separate cytoplasmic and nuclear elements in accordance with the user guide. Cell cytoplasm was isolated by adding the cell fractionation buffer, and cell disruption buffer was used to collect cell nucleus. GAPDH was the cytoplasmic control and U6 was the nuclear control. The assay went through three independent repeats.

2.7. Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization (FISH) Assay. The circ_0084811-specific RNA FISH probe (CTGAGATAGC ACCTGTAAG-biotin) was procured from RiboBio for cellular analysis according to the instruction of the provider. The fixed cell samples were rinsed in PBS and then dehydrated. After that, the air-dried cells were hybridized with FISH probe in hybridization buffer and then treated with DAPI staining reagent. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI, and finally, an Olympus fluorescent microscope was applied for image observation. The assay went through three independent repeats.

2.8. RNA Pull-Down Assay. RB cells were treated with a biotinylated circ_0084811 probe. Magnetic beads were then added into cells. The precipitated product collected by beads was purified for RT-qPCR analysis. The RiboBio (Guangzhou, Guangdong, China). Cells were lysed with lysis buffer, and then, the lysates were incubated with specific biotin-labeled probes for 2 h. Then, the mixtures were incubated with the streptavidin beads to pull down the biotin-labeled RNA complex for another 4 h. After washing, the RNA complex was extracted with TRIzol and subjected to three independent repeats.

2.9. RNA Immunoprecipitation (RIP) Assay. In accordance with the user guide, Magna RIP RNA-Binding Protein
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Immunoprecipitation Kit (Millipore, Bedford, MA) was used for RIP assay. Cells were lysed with RNA lysis buffer, and then, Ago2 antibody (Abcam, ab186733; 1/30-1/50) and IgG antibody (Abcam, ab172730; 1-2 μg/mL) were used to immunoprecipitate cell lysates. Finally, the RNA complexes were extracted for RT-qPCR analysis. The experiment was subject to three independent repeats.

2.10. Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) Assay. ChIP assay was implemented utilizing ChIP Assay Kit (Beyotime, Shanghai, China). In short, BC cell lysates were sonicated to be fragments and immunoprecipitated using anti-H3K27AC (BioVision, 6869-25, 1-2 μL) or anti-CBP (Abcam, ab154532; 1/500-1/10000) overnight at 4°C. The immunoprecipitated DNA was extracted for RT-qPCR analysis. The experiment went through three independent repeats.

2.11. Luciferase Reporter Assay. The fragments of circ_0084811 or E2F5 mRNA covering wild-type (WT) and mutant-type (Mut) miR-18a-5p or miR-18b-5p binding sites were inserted into pmiRGO dual-luciferase vector to construct pmiRGO-circ_0084811-Wt/Mut and pmiRGO-E2F5 3'UTR-Wt/Mut, respectively. Later, the reporter gene went through the cotransfection with control mimics and miR-18a-5p or miR-18b-5p mimics into RB cells for 48 h. Dual-luciferase reporter assay system (Promega) was eventually applied to measure the luciferase activity. The assay was subject to three independent repeats.

2.12. Western Blot Assay. Total cell lysates were extracted using RIPA lysis buffer (Thermo Fisher, USA), followed by using a BCA protein assay reagent (Beyotime) to confirm the protein concentration. After being separated through SDS-PAGE (sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis), proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes and cultured in 5% skim milk. The membrane was incubated with the primary antibodies, including CBP (ab119488, Abcam; 1/500-1/3000), BCL2 (ab196495, Abcam; 1/1000), Bax (ab32503, Abcam; 1/1000-1/10000), Cleaved caspase-3 (ab2302, Abcam; 1/500), total caspase-3 (EPX01A-12012-901, Thermo Fisher; 1/500), E2F5 (ab59769, Abcam; 1/5000-1/20000), BCL2 (ab6276, Abcam; 1/5000-1/16000), and GAPDH (ab8245, Abcam; 1/500-1/10000) overnight at 4°C. Subsequently, the membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-labeled secondary antibodies. By using Clarity Max Western ECL Substrate (Bio-Rad), we confirmed the protein bands. The experiment was subject to three independent repeats.

2.13. Statistical Analysis. All the experiments went through three independent repeats. The analysis of data was conducted by SPSS 22.0 statistical software package. All data were exhibited as mean ± standard deviation (SD). The group differences between two or more groups were analyzed using Student’s t-test or one-way ANOVA. The significance of statistics was set at P < 0.01 (⁎) or P < 0.01 (⁎⁎).

3. Results

3.1. circ_0084811 Was Highly Expressed in RB Cells, and Its Loop Structure Was Confirmed. As we have illustrated before, we have known that E2F5 is associated with RB, and we wanted to find the potential circRNA which was cyclized from E2F5 and their interaction in RB, so we performed experiments with the aim to verify the target circRNA whose host gene is E2F5 in RB cells and to further verify their regulatory mechanism. Through the circBank database (http://www.circbank.cn/index.html), we found four circRNAs whose gene symbol was E2F5, which were hsa_circ_E2F5_001 (circBase_id: circ_0084811), hsa_circ_E2F5_002 (circBase_id: circ_0137212), hsa_circ_E2F5_003 (circBase_id: circ_0137213), and hsa_circ_E2F5_004 (circBase_id: circ_0137214) (Figure 1(a), left). Then, we examined their expression in RB cell lines (HXO-Rb44, Y79, SO-Rb50, and WERI-Rb-1) and normal ARPE-19 cell line and found that only circ_0084811 was with obviously high expression in RB cell lines (Figure 1(a), right). The schematic
**Figure 4: Continued.**

Panel (a) shows a bar graph representing the total percentage of circ_0084811, GAPDH, and U6 in the nucleus and cytoplasm for Y79 and WERI-Rb-1 cells. The x-axis represents the cell lines, and the y-axis represents the total percentage (%). The bars illustrate the percentage distribution, with the colors indicating the respective compartments (nucleus, cytoplasm).

Panel (b) displays fluorescence images of Y79 and WERI-Rb-1 cells stained with circ_0084811, GAPDH, U6, and Hoechst, with merged images for each component.

Panel (c) presents the relative expression levels of E2F5. The x-axis represents the cell lines Y79 and WERI-Rb-1, and the y-axis represents the relative expression level. The bars indicate the expression levels for sh-NC, sh-circ_0084811#1, and sh-circ_0084811#2, with error bars showing the standard deviation.

Panel (d) illustrates the relative protein levels of E2F5. The x-axis represents the cell lines Y79 and WERI-Rb-1, and the y-axis represents the relative protein level. The bars represent the protein levels for sh-NC, sh-circ_0084811#1, and sh-circ_0084811#2, with error bars showing the standard deviation.
diagram of the genomic location of circ_0084811 is demonstrated in Figure 1(b). After RNase R treatment, linear-E2F5 level was observably reduced while circ_0084811 level had no variation, which verified the loop structure of circ_0084811 (Figure 1(c)). The specific convergent and divergent primers were, respectively, designed to amplify the linear and back-splicing forms of E2F5, and circ_0084811 was only amplified by cDNA templates instead of genomic DNA (gDNA) templates (Figure 1(d)). After adding actinomycin D (Act D), circ_0084811 displayed a longer half-life in comparison with linear E2F5, indicating its stable form (Figure 1(e)).

3.2. H3K27ac Activated circ_0084811 Expression in RB Cells. Increasing studies have elaborated the critical role of histone acetylation in gene expression [19]. Moreover, many reports have illustrated that RNAs are upregulated by H3K27ac modification at promoter region to transcriptionally activate the expression of these RNAs, which suggests the crucial role of H3K27ac in cancer progression [20–23]. Therefore, we wanted to explore the H3K27ac level in the promoter region of circ_0084811. According to the search results of UCSC (http://genome.ucsc.edu/), H3K27ac was enriched in the promoter of circ_0084811 (Figure 2(a)). The result of ChIP assay also suggested that H3K27ac was highly enriched in the promoter region of circ_0084811 in RB cells (Figure 2(b)). Additionally, we observed that after the treatment of C646, the histone acetyltransferase inhibitor, circ_0084811 expression decreased (Figure 2(c)). CBP is a crucial factor in chromatin acetylation, so we made a conjecture that CBP might also contribute to the acetylation. CBP was tested to be with high expression in RB cells (Figure 2(d)), and circ_0084811 expression was reduced after sh-CBP#1/2 transfection was made in RB cells (Figures 2(e)–2(g)). Next, the considerable enrichment of CBP in the circ_0084811 promoter showed the binding ability between them, as exhibited by ChIP assay (Figure 2(h)). We found that CBP deficiency impeded the binding between circ_0084811 promoter and H3K27ac (Figure 2(i)). In a word, the upregulation of circ_0084811 in RB cells was mediated by H3K27ac acetylation.

3.3. circ_0084811 Knockdown Hampered the Proliferation and Induced the Apoptosis of RB Cells. We tried to evaluate the impact circ_0084811 may exert on RB progression through a series of functional assays. First of all, we transfected sh-circ_0084811#1/2/3 in RB cells, and a favorable interference efficiency was observed (Figure 3(a)). It was confirmed from MTT assay and soft agar assay that cell viability as well as proliferation was declined by circ_0084811 depletion (Figures 3(b) and 3(c)). Moreover, the protein levels of apoptosis-related factors (BCL2, Bax, and Cleaved caspase-3) were confirmed via western blot, and results suggested that circ_0084811 downregulation decreased BCL2 protein level while Bax along with Cleaved caspase-3 displayed increased protein expression (Figure 3(d)). Overall, circ_0084811 promoted cell proliferation while it inhibited cell apoptosis in RB.

3.4. circ_0084811 Modulated E2F5 Expression. According to subcellular fractionation detection as well as FISH assay, the majority of circ_0084811 was in the cytoplasm of transfected cells (Figures 4(a) and 4(b)). It was then verified that E2F5 expression and protein level were lessened upon circ_0084811 silencing (Figures 4(c) and 4(d)). In view of the fact that E2F5 functions as a common transcription factor, we conducted RT-qPCR assay to verify whether E2F5 may influence the expression of circ_0084811. The expression of E2F5 was firstly knocked down by the transfection of sh-E2F5#1/2 plasmids, and then, we found that circ_0084811 expression was not influenced by E2F5 (Figures 4(e) and 4(f)). To conclude, E2F5 was positively regulated by circ_0084811 while E2F5 could not regulate the expression of circ_0084811.

3.5. circ_0084811 Sponged miR-18a-5p and miR-18b-5p to Regulate E2F5 Expression. With the application of starBase (http://starbase.sysu.edu.cn/), we could see that there were...
Circ_0084811-Wt: 5’
acuUUUGGCAAUU-CAGGCACCUCuc
3’
miR-18a-5p: 3’
gauAGAC---GUGAUCAGGGAu 5’
circ_0084811-Mut: 5’
acAUACGCUGUA-CUGGUGGAAc
3’
miR-18b-5p: 3’
gauagacgugaucuAGUGGAAu 5’
E2F5-Wt: 5’
ataaaauagauguaccACCUu 3’
miR-18a-5p: 3’
gauagacgugaucuAGUGGAAu 5’
E2F5-Mut: 5’
ataaaauagauguaccGUGGAAu 3’
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for later rescue experiments (Figure 6(a)). At pressed in Y79 cells via using the pcDNA3.1/E2F5 vector, E2F5 was overexpressed via transfection with sh-circ_0084811 and sh-circ_0084811/miR-18a-5p inhibitor or miR-18b-5p inhibitor plasmids. Each assay went through three biological replicates. The sample number \((n) = 3\). *\(P < 0.05\) and **\(P < 0.01\).

3 potential miRNAs (miR-654-3p, miR-18a-5p, and miR-18b-5p) which had binding possibility to circ_0084811 and E2F5 (Figure 5(a)). We found through RNA pull-down assay that miR-18a-5p and miR-18b-5p were dramatically accumulated in the circ_0084811 group while no change could be seen in the miR-654-3p group (Figure 5(b)). Figure 5(c) exhibited related binding sequences between these miRNAs. After that, RIP assay was carried out, and it was observed that circ_0084811/miR-18a-5p, miR-18b-5p, and E2F5 were all enriched in Ago2 antibody rather than IgG antibody (Figure 5(d)). The expression of these two miRNAs was elevated in RB cells, and it was then observed that after their overexpression, the wild type of the circ_0084811 group and E2F5 3’ UTR group both exhibited declined luciferase activity, while the according mutant groups were barely affected (Figures 5(e) and 5(f)). After that, miR-18a-5p and miR-18b-5p expression was reduced in RB cells (Figure 5(g)), and then, the expression of E2F5 in different transfection groups was analyzed. As shown by the results, decreased E2F5 expression after circ_0084811 silencing was partially restored by miR-18a-5p inhibitor or miR-18b-5p inhibitor (Figures 5(h) and 5(i)). Taken together, circ_0084811 targeted E2F5 via sequestering miR-18a-5p and miR-18b-5p.

3.6. circ_0084811 Promoted RB Progression via Modulating the miR-18a-5p/miR-18b-5p/E2F5 Axis. E2F5 was overexpressed in Y79 cells via using the pcDNA3.1/E2F5 vector for later rescue experiments (Figure 6(a)). At first, we found through MTT assay that circ_0084811 downregulation inhibited cell viability, but this impact was partially counteracted by the treatment of miR-18a-5p inhibitor or miR-18b-5p inhibitor, while E2F5 overexpression could fully reverse this effect (Figure 6(b)). The similar result was also seen in the soft agar assay (Figure 6(c)), which indicated that RB cell proliferation upon circ_0084811 silencing was regulated by the miR-18a-5p/miR-18b-5p/E2F5 axis. Subsequently, we adopted RT-qPCR as well as western blot assays to analyze RB cell apoptosis under different conditions, and it was shown that the declined BCL2 expression and protein levels caused by circ_0084811 silencing was partially counteracted by miR-18a-5p inhibition or miR-18b-5p inhibition while it was greatly recovered by E2F5 overexpression. Besides, the expression and protein levels of Bax and Cleaved caspase-3 showed opposite results (Figure 6(d)). The above results indicated that promoted cell apoptosis induced by circ_0084811 deficiency could be partially counterbalanced by the knockdown of miR-18a-5p or miR-18b-5p while it could be greatly counteracted by E2F5 upregulation. To sum up, the circ_0084811/miR-18a-5p/miR-18b-5p/E2F5 axis contributed to the progression of RB.

4. Discussion

In recent years, the significance of circRNAs in RB has been highlighted [24]. E2F5 has been commonly considered to act as an oncogene in cancers, which include prostate cancer, non-small-cell lung cancer, and ovarian cancer [25–27]. Moreover, it has been confirmed that E2F5 can boost RB progression by affecting cell proliferation, invasion, and tumor formation [17]. More importantly, it has been
Figure 6: Continued.
reported that some circRNAs can be transcribed together with their parental genes and in turn regulate the transcription of the parental gene or related genes [18], so we speculated that circRNAs which were cyclized from E2F5 might also exert certain impact on RB progression. In our study, circ_0084811 was discovered to be distinctly overexpressed in RB cells, and it was verified through mechanism experiments that the upregulation of circ_0084811 in RB cells was induced by the enrichment of H3K27ac in the circ_0084811 promoter. Functionally, inhibition of circ_0084811 diminished RB cell proliferation and stimulated cell apoptosis. 

circRNAs functioning as miRNA sponges to influence mRNA translation or stability, thereby participating in the cellular activities in human cancers, have been widely documented [28]. Through our investigation, the cytoplasmic distribution of circ_0084811 in RB cells was identified, and we discovered that circ_0084811 also positively regulated the expression of E2F5. Hence, we speculated that circ_0084811 might sequester certain miRNAs to modulate E2F5 expression. With the application of the starBase database, 3 potential miRNAs were predicted. At the same time, miR-18a-5p and miR-18b-5p were selected to be the downstream target genes, which contributed to the malignant progression of RB. miR-18a-5p has been proven to inhibit the malignancy of ovarian cancer and hepatocellular carcinoma [29, 30]. Besides, miR-18b-5p has been demonstrated to repress lung adenocarcinoma, ovarian cancer, and liver cancer [31–33]. Through our investigations, we revealed the interaction of circ_0084811, miR-18b-5p, and E2F5 in RB and demonstrated that circ_0084811 had the further value to be studied as a competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA). Circular RNAs (circRNAs) sponging miRNAs (microRNAs) to further regulate the downstream gene expression have been well-elucidated by many researches [34]. In RB, the ceRNA model of circRNA_100782 sponging miR-574-3p to further modulate Rb expression is confirmed [35] and circ-E2F3 sponges miR-204-5p and positively regulates ROCK1 expression to promote cancer progression [36]. circ_0000527 functioning as a ceRNA to directly target miR-646 and positively regulate LRP6 expression in RB cells has also been elucidated [37]. What we have demonstrated about the ceRNA network in RB cells may help to enrich the current exploration of this regulatory mechanism in the regulation of RB malignancy.

5. Conclusion

All in all, circ_0084811 was demonstrated to be mediated by H3K27ac acetylation, and high circ_0084811 expression in RB cells hindered the malignant cell behaviors in RB. Furthermore, circ_0084811 aggravated the progression of RB through the miR-18a-5p/miR-18b-5p/E2F5 axis. Lack of clinical investigation is a main limitation of the current study. We will collect clinical samples to elaborate the clinical significance of the circ_0084811/miR-18a-5p/miR-18b-5p/E2F5 axis in RB in our future study.

Abbreviations

RB: Retinoblastoma

circRNAs: Circular RNAs

E2F5: E2F transcription factor 5
miR-18a-5p: MicroRNA-18a-5p
miR-18b-5p: MicroRNA-18b-5p
ncRNAs: Noncoding RNAs
ceRNA: Competing endogenous RNA
ATCC: American Type Culture Collection
RT-qPCR: Quantitative reverse transcription real-time polymerase chain reaction
FISH: Fluorescent in situ hybridization
RIP: RNA immunoprecipitation
ChIP: Chromatin immunoprecipitation
IgG: Immunoglobulin G
Wt: Wild type
Mut: Mutant type
SD: Standard deviation
gDNA: Genomic DNA
Act D: Actinomycin D.

Data Availability
The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Conflicts of Interest
The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Authors’ Contributions
Guangwei Jiang and Mingxuan Qu are co-first authors.

Acknowledgments
We sincerely thank all lab personnel for the help provided in this research.

Supplementary Materials
Supplementary Table 1: related sequences utilized in this study. (Supplementary Materials)

References
[1] C. Rodriguez-Galindo, D. B. Orbach, and D. VanderVeen, “Retinoblastoma,” Pediatric Clinics of North America, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 201–223, 2015.
[2] P. R. Mendoza and H. E. Grossniklaus, “The biology of retinoblastoma,” Progress in Molecular Biology and Translational Science, vol. 134, pp. 503–516, 2015.
[3] R. Rao and S. G. Honavar, “Retinoblastoma,” Indian Journal of Pediatrics, vol. 84, no. 12, pp. 937–944, 2017.
[4] I. D. Fabian, Z. Onadim, E. Karacal et al., “The management of retinoblastoma,” Oncogene, vol. 37, no. 12, pp. 1551–1560, 2018.
[5] R. L. Lumbroso-Le, “Retinoblastoma,” La Revue du praticien, vol. 67, no. 8, pp. 888–891, 2017.
[6] M. Jagadeesan, V. Khetan, and A. Mallipatna, “Genetic perspective of retinoblastoma: from present to future,” Indian Journal of Ophthalmology, vol. 64, no. 5, pp. 332–336, 2016.
[7] I. L. Patop and S. Kadener, “circRNAs in cancer,” Current Opinion in Genetics & Development, vol. 48, pp. 121–127, 2018.
[8] Z. Liu, Y. Zhou, G. Liang et al., “Circular RNA hsa_circ_001783 regulates breast cancer progression via sponging miR-200c-3p,” Cell Death & Disease, vol. 10, no. 2, p. 55, 2019.
[9] Q. Lu, T. Liu, H. Feng et al., “Circular RNA circSLC8A1 acts as a sponge of miR-130b/miR-494 in suppressing bladder cancer progression via regulating PTEN,” Molecular Cancer, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 111, 2019.
[10] X. Yu, W. Xiao, H. Song, Y. Jin, J. Xu, and X. Liu, “CircRNA_100876 sponges miR-136 to promote proliferation and metastasis of gastric cancer by upregulating MIE1 expression,” Gene, vol. 748, article 144678, 2020.
[11] Y. Y. Qu, R. Zhao, H. L. Zhang et al., “Inactivation of the AMPK-GATA3-ECHS1 pathway induces fatty acid synthesis that promotes clear cell renal cell carcinoma growth,” Cancer Research, vol. 80, no. 2, pp. 319–333, 2020.
[12] Y. Jiang, F. Xiao, L. Wang, T. Wang, and L. Chen, “Circular RNA has_circ_0000034 accelerates retinoblastoma advancement through the miR-361-3p/ADAM19 axis,” Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry, vol. 476, no. 1, pp. 69–80, 2021.
[13] W. Zhao, S. Wang, T. Qin, and W. Wang, “Circular RNA (circ-0075804) promotes the proliferation of retinoblastoma via combining heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein K (HNRNPK) to improve the stability of E2F transcription factor 3 E2F3,” Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, vol. 121, no. 7, pp. 3516–3525, 2020.
[14] L. Xing, L. Zhang, Y. Feng, Z. Cui, and L. Ding, “Downregulation of circular RNA hsa_circ_0001649 indicates poor prognosis for retinoblastoma and regulates cell proliferation and apoptosis via AKT/mTOR signaling pathway,” Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy, vol. 105, pp. 326–333, 2018.
[15] J. C. Kusek, R. M. Greene, and M. M. Pisano, “Expression of the E2F and retinoblastoma families of proteins during neural differentiation,” Brain Research Bulletin, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 187–198, 2001.
[16] H. Xie, Y. Kang, S. Wang et al., “E2F5 is a versatile transcriptional activator required for spermatogenesis and multiciliated cell differentiation in zebrafish,” PLoS Genetics, vol. 16, no. 3, article e1008655, 2020.
[17] Y. Zhang, X. Zhu, X. Zhu et al., “MiR-613 suppresses retinoblastoma cell proliferation, invasion, and tumor formation by targeting E2F5,” Tumour Biology, vol. 39, no. 3, 2017.
[18] J. C. Qi, Z. Yang, T. Lin et al., “CDK13 upregulation-induced formation of the positive feedback loop among circCDK13, miR-212-5p/miR-449a and E2F5 contributes to prostate carcinogenesis,” Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research, vol. 40, no. 1, p. 2, 2021.
[19] H. Fukuda, N. Sano, S. Muto, and M. Horikoshi, “Simple histone acetylation plays a complex role in the regulation of gene expression,” Briefings in Functional Genomics & Proteomics, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 190–208, 2006.
[20] H. Dong, J. Hu, K. Zou et al., “Activation of LncRNA TINCR promotes Trastuzumab resistance and epithelial-mesenchymal transition by targeting MicroRNA-125b in breast cancer,” Molecular Cancer, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 3, 2019.
[21] M. Han, Y. Gu, P. Lu et al., “Exosome-mediated lncRNA AFAP1-AS1 promotes Trastuzumab resistance through binding with AUF1 and activating ERBB2 translation,” Molecular Cancer, vol. 19, no. 1, p. 26, 2020.
[22] X. Meng, Y. Zhao, B. Han et al., “Dual functionalized brain-targeting nanoinhibitors restrain temozolomide- resistant
glioma via attenuating EGFR and MET signaling pathways,” *Nature Communications*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 594, 2020.

[23] E. Zhang, L. Han, D. Yin et al., “H3K27 acetylation-activated long non-coding RNA CCAT1 affects cell proliferation and migration by regulating SPRY4 and HOXB13 expression in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma,” *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 3086–3101, 2017.

[24] C. Zhang, J. Hu, and Y. Yu, “CircRNA is a rising star in researches of ocular diseases,” *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology*, vol. 8, p. 850, 2020.

[25] D. Karmakar, J. Maity, P. Mondal et al., “E2F5 promotes prostate cancer cell migration and invasion through regulation of TFPI2, MMP-2 and MMP-9,” *Carcinogenesis*, vol. 41, no. 12, pp. 1767–1780, 2020.

[26] D. Ma, Y. Qin, C. Huang et al., “Circular RNA ABCB10 promotes non-small cell lung cancer progression by increasing E2F5 expression through sponging miR-584-5p,” *Cell Cycle*, vol. 19, no. 13, pp. 1611–1620, 2020.

[27] Q. Li, J. Shi, and X. Xu, “MicroRNA-1271-5p inhibits the tumorigenesis of ovarian cancer through targeting E2F5 and negatively regulates the mTOR signaling pathway,” *Panminerva Medica*, vol. 63, no. 3, 2021.

[28] Y. Zhong, Y. Du, X. Yang et al., “Circular RNAs function as ceRNAs to regulate and control human cancer progression,” *Molecular Cancer*, vol. 17, no. 1, p. 79, 2018.

[29] J. Zhang, L. Wang, J. Jiang, and Z. Qiao, “The IncRNA SNHG15/miR-18a-5p axis promotes cell proliferation in ovarian cancer through activating Akt/mTOR signaling pathway,” *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*, vol. 121, no. 12, pp. 4699–4710, 2020.

[30] Y. Zheng, P. Nie, and S. Xu, “Long noncoding RNA linc00467 plays an oncogenic role in hepatocellular carcinoma by regulating the miR-18a-5p/NEDD9 axis,” *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*, vol. 121, no. 5–6, pp. 3135–3144, 2020.

[31] M. Xue, W. Tao, S. Yu et al., “IncRNA ZFPM2-AS1 promotes proliferation via miR-18b-5p/VMA21 axis in lung adenocarcinoma,” *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*, vol. 121, no. 1, pp. 313–321, 2020.

[32] F. Xue, Y. H. Xu, C. C. Shen, Z. L. Qin, and H. B. Zhou, “Noncoding RNA LOXL1-AS1 exhibits oncogenic activity in ovarian cancer via regulation of miR-18b-5p/VMA21 axis,” *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy*, vol. 125, article 109568, 2020.

[33] W. Chen, L. Huang, J. Liang, Y. Ye, S. Yu, and Y. Zhang, “Long noncoding RNA small nucleolar RNA host gene 15 deteriorates liver cancer via microRNA-18b-5p/LIM-only 4 axis,” *IUBMB Life*, vol. 73, no. 2, pp. 349–361, 2021.

[34] J. Wang, X. Zhao, Y. Wang et al., “circRNA-002178 act as a ceRNA to promote PDL1/PD1 expression in lung adenocarcinoma,” *Cell Death & Disease*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 32, 2020.

[35] D. Xin and Z. Xin, “CircRNA_100782 promotes proliferation and metastasis of gastric cancer by downregulating tumor suppressor gene Rb by adsorbing miR-574-3p in a sponge form,” *European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences*, vol. 24, no. 17, pp. 8845–8854, 2020.