Revision of the subfamily Opiinae (Hymenoptera, Braconidae) from Hunan (China), including thirty-six new species and two new genera
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Abstract

The species of the subfamily Opiinae (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) from Hunan (Oriental China) are revised and illustrated. Thirty-six new species are described: Apodesmia bruniclypealis Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., A. melliclypealis Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., Areotetes albiferus Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., Areotetes carinuliferus Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., A. striatiferus Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., Coleopioides diversinotum Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., C. postpectalis Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., Fopius dorsopiferus Li, van Achterberg & Tan, sp. n., Indiopius chenae Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., Opiognathus aulaciferus Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., O. brevibasalis Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., Opius carinuliferus Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., O. malarator Li, van Achterberg & Tan, sp. n., O. monilipalpis Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., O. pachymerus Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., O. songi Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., O. youi Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., O. zengi Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., Phaedrotoma acuticlypeata Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., P. angiclypeata Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., P. antenervalis Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., P. depressiclypealis
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Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., *P. flavisoma* Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., *P. negrisoma* Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., *P. protuberator* Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., *P. rugulifera* Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., *Li & van Achterberg, P. striatitona* Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., *P. termiculifera* Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., *Rhogadopsis latipennis* Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., *R. longicaudivisa* Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., *R. maculosa* Li, van Achterberg & Tan, sp. n., *R. obliqua* Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., *R. sculpturator* Li & van Achterberg, sp. n., *Utetes longinarinatus* Li & van Achterberg, sp. n. and *Xynobius notauliferus* Li & van Achterberg, sp. n. *Areotetes* van Achterberg & Li, gen. n. (type species: *Areotetes carinuliferus* sp. n.) and *Coleopioides* van Achterberg & Li, gen. n. (type species: *Coleopioides postpectalis* sp. n.) are described. All species are illustrated and keyed. In total 30 species of Opinia are sequenced and the cladograms are presented. *Neopius* Gahan, 1917, *Opionphalus* Fischer, 1972, *Opistomus* Fischer, 1972, and *Rhogadopsis* Brèthes, 1913, are treated as a valid genera based on molecular and morphological differences. *Opis viitata* Chen & Weng, 2005 (not *Opis viitatus* Ruschka, 1915), *O. ambiguus* Weng & Chen, 2005 (not Wesmael, 1835) and *O. mites* Chen & Weng, 2005 (not Fischer, 1963) are primary homonyms and are renamed into *Phaedrotoma depressa* Li & van Achterberg, nom. n., *Opis cheni* Li & van Achterberg, nom. n. and *O. wengi* Li & van Achterberg, nom. n., respectively. *Phaedrotoma terga* (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n., *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Ashmead, 1905) and *Biosteres paviita* Chen & Weng, 2005, are reported new for Hunan, *Opistomus aureliae* (Fischer, 1957) comb. n. is new for China and Hunan; *Xynobius maculipennis* (Enderlein, 1912) comb. n. is new for Hunan and continental China and *Rhogadopsis longuria* (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n. is new for Hunan. The following new combinations are given: *Apodesmia puncta* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n., *A. aucta* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n., *Areotetes laevigatus* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n., *Phaedrotoma dimidia* (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n., *P. improcera* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n., *P. amputata* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n., *P. larga* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n., *P. osculas* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n., *P. postuma* (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n., *P. rugulosa* (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n., *P. tabularis* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n., *Rhogadopsis api* (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n., *R. dimidia* (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n., *R. diuria* (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n., *R. longuria* (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n., *R. pratellae* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n., *R. pratensis* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n., *R. sculpta* (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n., *R. sukifer* (Fischer, 1975) comb. n., *R. tabidula* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n., *Xynobius complexus* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n., *X. inadaqatrix* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n., *X. multiarculatus* (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n.

The following (sub)genera are synonymised: *Snoflakopius* Fischer, 1972, *Jucundopius* Fischer, 1984, *Opioniotes* Fischer, 1998, and *Oeztalotenes* Fischer, 1998, with *Opistomus* Fischer, 1971; *Xynobiotes* Fischer, 1998, with *Xynobius* Foerster, 1862; *Alloptopus* Foerster, 1862, *Lennophilopius* Fischer, 1972, *Agnopius* Fischer, 1982, and *Cryptognathopius* Fischer, 1984, with *Apodesmia Foerster, 1862; Nasoapa Foerster, 1862, *Tolbia* Cameron, 1907, *Brachycentrus Szépligeti, 1907, Baecencentrum Schulz, 1911, *Hexaulax Cameron, 1910, Coeloreutes Roman, 1910, Neoedipolius Szépligeti, 1911, Euopius Fischer, 1967, Gerius Fischer, 1972, *Grinnirius Fischer, 1972, Hoenirius Fischer, 1972, Mimirus Fischer, 1972, Gastrosema Fischer, 1972, *Merostrahys Fischer, 1972, Phlebosema Fischer, 1972, Neophedrus Samanta, Tamili, Saha & Raychaudhuri, 1983, *Adontopus Fischer, 1984, Kainopaeopus Fischer, 1986, Millenioptius Fischer, 1996, and Neotropopius Fischer, 1999, with *Phaedrotoma* Foerster, 1862.
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**Introduction**

The large subfamily Opiinae (Braconidae), with 1,981 valid species according to Yu et al. (2012), is a common group containing generally small (2-5 mm) parasitoid wasps of mainly mining or fruit-infesting dipterous larvae. It has a worldwide distribution and the world fauna has been reviewed by Fischer (1972b, 1977, 1986, 1987). Currently about 35 genera are used; Wharton (1988, 1997), van Achterberg (1997b, 2004a, 2004b), van Achterberg and Salvo (1997) and van Achterberg and Chen (2004) published updates or some additions for the existing keys to the genera of the Opiinae, but the number of genera and the limits of several genera is still a matter of discussion. Chen and Weng (2005) published an overview of the Opiinae from China, but no Opiinae were listed for the province of Hunan of the total of 121 species known from China. Also in the review of the Hymenoptera of Hunan (You and Wei 2006) no Opiinae were listed for Hunan. Therefore, in 2009-2011 the first two authors made several short surveys to collect Opiinae; mainly in Badagong Mountains in northern Hunan. The collected species proved to be nearly all new to science, indicating the primordial status of our knowledge of Chinese Opiinae and the incompleteness of the review by Chen and Weng (2005) for China.

Opiinae are solitary koinobiont endoparasitoids of larvae of cyclorrhaphous Diptera, but oviposition may take place in the egg of the host (ovo-larval parasitoids). They may play an important role in the control of dipterous pests such as fruit-infesting Tephritidae and mining Agromyzidae. The parasitoid larva has its final development when the host larva has made its puparium and the adult parasitoid emerges from this puparium.

**Material and methods**

Specimens of Opiinae from Hunan were collected and directly killed in 70% alcohol. A selection was made of presumably different species for molecular analyses. After DNA extraction (see below) the specimens were prepared after chemical treatment (AXA method; van Achterberg 2009 and van Achterberg et al. 2010). The sequences have been uploaded to GenBank; the accession numbers of the analysed specimens are listed in Table 1.

For identification of the subfamily Opiinae, see van Achterberg (1990, 1993, and 1997a), for identification of the genera, see Chen and Weng (2005), Wharton (1988, 1997), van Achterberg (1997b, 2004a) and the key below, for references to the Opiinae, see Yu et al. (2012) and for the terminology used in this paper, see van Achterberg (1988, 1993). Measurements are taken as indicated by van Achterberg (1988). Additional non-exclusive characters in the key are bracketed. For additional taxonomic information the reader is referred to the Taxapad interactive catalogue (Yu et al. 2012). The synonomies proposed in this paper are by the second author.
Table 1. List of DNA vouchers and GenBank Accession numbers.

| RMNH INS. No. | Species                                      | Locality                  | GenBank Accession Numbers |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|               |                                              |                           | 16S          | 28S          | COI          |
| CVA04235      | *Apodesmia melliclypealis* sp. n.            | China: Hunan: Badagong Mts: Sangzhi | JQ736245   | JQ736272    | JQ736301    |
| CVA04236      | *Phaedrotoma* sp. (specimen lost)            | China: Hunan: Badagong Mts: Sangzhi | JQ736246   | JQ736273    | JQ736302    |
| CVA04237      | *Phaedrotoma rugulifera* sp. n.              | China: Hunan: Badagong Mts: Sangzhi | JQ736247   | JQ736274    | JQ736303    |
| CVA04238      | *Xynobius* sp. (specimen lost)               | China: Hunan: Badagong Mts: Sangzhi | JQ736248   | JQ736275    | JQ736304    |
| CVA04239      | *Phaedrotoma depresa* nom. n.                | China: Hunan: Badagong Mts: Sangzhi | JQ736249   | JQ736276    | JQ736305    |
| CVA04240      | *Xynobius notauliferus* sp. n.               | China: Hunan: Badagong Mts: Sangzhi | JQ736250   | JQ736277    | JQ736306    |
| CVA04241      | *Rhagodopsis obliqua* sp. n.                 | China: Hunan: Badagong Mts: Sangzhi | JQ736251   | JQ736278    | JQ736307    |
| CVA04242      | *Opium monilipalpis* sp. n.                 | China: Hunan: Badagong Mts: Sangzhi | JQ736252   | JQ736279    | JQ736308    |
| CVA04243      | *Opium youi* sp. n.                          | China: Hunan: Badagong Mts: Sangzhi | JQ736253   | JQ736280    | JQ736309    |
| CVA04244      | *Coleopoids diversinotatum* sp. n.           | China: Hunan: Badagong Mts: Sangzhi | -           | JQ736281    | JQ736310    |
| CVA04245      | *Pyttoma latilabris* (Chen & Weng)          | China: Shandong: Anjiu: Wenquan | JQ736254   | JQ736282    | -           |
| CVA04246      | *Fopius dorsopifer* sp. n.                   | China: Hunan: Nan Mts: Chengbu | JQ736255   | JQ736283    | JQ736311    |
| CVA04247      | *Opium monilipalpis* sp. n.                 | China: Hunan: Nan Mts: Chengbu | JQ736256   | JQ736284    | JQ736312    |
| CVA04248      | *Phaedrotoma rugulifera* sp. n.              | China: Hunan: Badagong Mts: Sangzhi | JQ736257   | JQ736285    | JQ736313    |
| CVA04249      | *Areotetes carinuliferus* sp. n.             | China: Hunan: Badagong Mts: Sangzhi | JQ736258   | JQ736286    | JQ736314    |
| CVA04250      | *Phaedrotoma vermiculiferus* sp. n.          | China: Hunan: Badagong Mts: Sangzhi | JQ736259   | JQ736287    | JQ736315    |
| CVA04251      | *Opium pachymerus* sp. n.                   | China: Hunan: Nan Mts: Chengbu | JQ736260   | JQ736288    | JQ736316    |
| CVA04252      | *Opium crenuliferus* sp. n.                 | China: Hunan: Badagong Mts: Sangzhi | JQ736261   | JQ736289    | JQ736317    |
| CVA04254      | *Phaedrotoma protuberator* sp. n.            | China: Chengbu/Hunan: Nan Mts | JQ736263   | JQ736291    | JQ736319    |
| CVA04255      | *Coleopoids postspectalii* sp. n.            | China: Anjiu/Shandong: Wenquan | -           | JQ736292    | JQ736320    |
| CVA04256      | *Phaedrotoma semiplanata* sp. n.             | China: Sangzhi/Hunan: Badagong Mts | JQ736264   | JQ736293    | JQ736321    |
| CVA04257      | *Apodesmia incisula* (Fischer)              | Netherlands: Waarder       | JQ736265   | JQ736294    | JQ736322    |
| CVA04258      | *Utetes testaceus*                          | Netherlands: Castricum     | -           | -           | JQ736323    |
| CVA04259      | *Neopius rudis* (Wesmael)                   | Netherlands: Waarder       | JQ736266   | JQ736295    | JQ736324    |
| CVA04260      | *Opognathus acretus* (Papp) comb. n.        | Slovakia: Predna Hora      | JQ736268   | JQ736297    | JQ736326    |
| CVA04262      | *Opium pallipes* Wesmael                    | Netherlands: Waarder       | JQ736269   | JQ736298    | JQ736327    |
| CVA04263      | *Biosteres carbonarius* (Nees)              | Slovakia: Predna Hora      | JQ736270   | JQ736299    | JQ736328    |
| CVA04264      | *Opognathus pactus* (Haliday)               | Netherlands: Waarder       | JQ736271   | JQ736300    | JQ736329    |
| Outgroup      | *Colastes braconius* Haliday                 | United Kingdom: England: nr Bristol | sequence from S. Yaakop | sequence from S. Yaakop |
Abbreviations for depositories

FAFU  Institute of Beneficial Insects, Plant Protection College, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, China

RMNH  Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

ZUH  Institute of Insect Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China.

Molecular analyses

DNA extraction. A total of 30 species were used for the molecular study. The analysed specimens and the Gen Bank accession numbers are listed in Table 1. The whole insect was used for DNA extraction, using a modified method from DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, California, U.S.A.); the modification is referred to as the ‘freezing method’ by Yaakop et al. (2009). The first to third steps from the original protocol were modified. According to the original protocol, samples should be cut into small pieces and added to 180 µl of buffer ATL + 20 µl of proteinase K, then the sample has to be incubated at 55°C. However, with the freezing method, the sample is soaked with 180 µl of buffer ATL + 20 µl of proteinase K without destroying the sample, followed by 10 minutes incubation at 55°C and then kept in a freezer at -22°C overnight. After that the general protocol was used for the remaining steps. The advantage from this modified method is that samples (vouchers) used in this molecular study still exist. If necessary, the specimen can be re-examined.

Selection of molecular markers. Three molecular markers were selected based on earlier successful use in entomology: n28S rDNA, D2 region, mt16S rDNA and COI, a mitochondrial protein coding marker. Gimeno et al. (1997) and Yaakop et al. (2009) showed that 28S and 16S were informative for resolving the relationships of the subfamily Opiinae. Belshaw et al. (1998) used the 28S for resolving the phylogeny of the Ichneumonidae. Shi et al. (2005) used 28S, 18S and 16S and morphological data to recover relationships in the family Braconidae, but Pitz et al. (2007) could not reproduce the results. Kambhampati et al. (2000) used 16S to resolve the relationships among genera of the subfamily Aphidiinae and Dowton et al. (1998) for the family Braconidae in general. COI was selected because it successfully resolved the phylogeny of the cyclostome subfamilies in Braconidae in combination with 28S and morphological datasets (Zaldívar-Riverón et al. 2006).

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR). PCR reaction volume was 25µl containing 18.75µl H2O, 2.5µl 10× buffer, 1µl of 10 pmol of each primer, 0.5µl of 0.2mM DNTPs, 0.25µl of 5 U of Taq DNA polymerase and 1µl specimen DNA. The primers used for all amplifications are presented in Table 2. The PCR amplification was conducted with Thermocycler Perkin Elmer 2400. Amplification conditions were: (i) COI: 45cycles of 15s at 94º, 30s at 55º, and 5min at 72º following the initial denaturation at 94º for 3min; (ii) 16SrDNA: 35cycles of 15s at 94º, 30s at 48º, and 7min at 72º following the initial denaturation at 94º for 3min; (iii) 28SrDNAD2: 45cycles of 15s at 94º, 30s at 55º, and 8min at 72º following the initial denaturation at 94º for 3min.
Sequence and data alignment. After electrophoresis, an amplified fragment was purified by Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (PROMEGA). Sequences obtained were edited using Sequencher 4.2 and were aligned using Clustal X 1.8 (Thompson et al. 1997). Alignments were manually adjusted to minimize informative sites and ambiguously aligned regions were defined as character sets for possible exclusion using MacClade 4.08. DNA sequences were compared with sequences of the GenBank database using the BLAST algorithm to verify the amplification of the correct gene. The resulting sequences were deposited in GenBank (accession numbers in Table 1).

Phylogenetic analysis

After alignment, phylogenetic analyses were performed by neighbor-joining (NJ) and maximum parsimony (MP) methods using PAUP*4.0b10 (Swofford 2002) and Bayesian Inference using MrBayes v3.2. The NJ method for obtaining a minimum-evolution tree with a bootstrap test was performed using the Clustal W program. The bootstrap values were based on 1,000 replicates. MP analyses were performed with gaps treated as missing data, heuristic parsimony search (Hillis et al. 1996) was performed using 100 replicates of random addition sequences and including the TBR (tree bisection reconnection) option for branch swapping. Statistical support was obtained by bootstrap analysis with 1000 replications (Felsenstein 1985). For the Bayesian analysis the same general models as identified by Modeltest were used. Analyses were initiated with random starting trees and run for 1.0 × 10^6 generations sampling the four Markov chains every 2500 generations resulting in 1000 sampled trees. Colastes braconius Haliday is used as an outgroup because it belongs to the subfamily Exothecinae which is probably the sistergroup of the Opiinae + Alysiinae (Gimeno et al. 1997) or even the sister of Opiinae in the Opiinae + Alysiinae clade with ≥ 0.95 posterior probability (Sharanowski et al. 2011); it has also a high morphological resemblance to the Opiinae. According to Gimeno et al. (1997) the bootstrap values for (Exothecinae (Opiinae + Alysiinae)) are 79-100 % and the genus Gnamptodon (Gnamptodontinae) is found to be more distantly related.

Table 2. List of primers sequences used in this study.

| Gene | Primer     | Sequences (5’-3’)         | Reference         |
|------|------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| COI  | Forward-L1490 | GGTCAA CAA ATC ATA AAG ATA TTG G | Folmer et al. 1994 |
|      | Reverse-H2198  | TAA ACT TCA GGG TGA CCA AAA AAT CA | Folmer et al. 1994 |
| 16S  | Forward-16Swb | CAC CTG TTT ATC AAA AAC AT | Dowton and Austin 1998 |
|      | Reverse-16Souter | CTT ATT CAA ATC GAG GTC | Whitfield 1997 |
| 28S  | Forward-28S3665 | AGA GAG AGT TCA AGA GTA CGT G | Belshaw and Quicke 1997 |
|      | Reverse-28S4047 | TTG GTC CGT GTT TCA AGA CGG G | Campbell et al. 1993 |
**Results and discussion**

Only nearly half of the new species could be sequenced because part of the material was too old and because of lack of time. Some European species were added of which *Opius pactus* Haliday is the type species of the subgenus *Opiognathus* Fischer, *Opius testaceus* Wesmael of the genus *Utetes* Foerster, *Opius pallipes* Wesmael of the genus *Opius* Wesmael, *Opius carbonarius* Nees of the genus *Biosteres* Foerster and *Opius rudis* Wesmael is the oldest name for the type species of the subgenus *Neopius* Gahan. The latest general keys to the genera of Opiinae are given by Fischer (1987) and Wharton (1997) and need updating; molecular data have not been used so far but should play a role here. Nevertheless, DNA sequences can never replace a sound morphological approach; it is an additional tool to check the outcome of the morphological analysis. Gimeno et al. (1997), Dowton et al. (1998, 2002) and Shi et al. (2005) included a few genera in their molecular studies but no larger surveys have been made up to now. GenBank contains only few taxa of Opiinae (considering the size of the subfamily) and possibly some of them are misidentified. Pitz et al. (2007) showed by using GenBank sequences of 16S, 18S and 28S of four genera (*Biosteres, Xynobius, Opius* and *Fopius*) that Opiinae are the sistergroup of Alysiinae and that *Biosteres* is the most basal genus of the four genera. The bootstrap percentages were below 60 within the Opiinae, indicating that the results are at best provisional. The results of the combined DNA analysis of 11-13 (sub)generic groups (Figs 10–12) show several interesting results which largely agree with still unpublished results of Dr S. Yaakop (Bangi, Malaysia) obtained from Malaysian Opiinae.

The parsimony results of the combined analyses of the three molecular markers (Figs 10–11) did not result in a well supported backbone of the cladogram; the confidence levels for the higher levels are acceptable. Bayesian analyses led to better supported results (Fig. 12) for most groups. Two species are always misplaced: *Opius youi* and *Phaedrotoma protuberator*, which may be the result of contamination and both species need to be re-sampled.

This is the first study of Opiinae that includes molecular data for 13 (sub)genera for phylogenetic analysis. Compared to the study by Gimeno et al. (1997), this study includes the following seven additional (sub)genera: *Coleopioides, Neopius, Apodesmia, Opiognathus, Rhogadopsis, Areotetes* and *Psyttoma*. Due to the limited number of analysed taxa, the results of Gimeno et al. (1997) are difficult to compare with our study. Our results partly corroborate Wharton’s (1988) view on the basal lineages of the Opiinae. Considering its morphology *Biosteres* is supposed to be one of the basal lineages of the Opiinae which we found in the combined Bayesian analysis (Fig. 12), but was the sistergroup of *Opius* s.s. in parsimony analysis (Figs 10–11). The group with the inner side of the hind tibia with a fine carinula baso-laterally (formerly the genus *Utetes* Foerster) is split in this paper because one group (here named *Areotetes* gen. n.) always came out distantly related to the other groups (*Utetes* s.s. and *Opiognathus*). The latter is not closely related to *Utetes* s.s. either (Fig. 3) and, therefore, this subgenus of the genus *Opius* is treated as a valid genus in this paper. The three groups are also morphologically different (see key below).
Figure 1. Phylogeny of the Opiinae based on 16S using NJ method (50% consensus tree). Numbers at nodes are bootstrap values (%).
Figure 2. Phylogeny of the Opiinae based on 28S using NJ method (50% consensus tree). Numbers at nodes are bootstrap values (%).
Figure 3. Phylogeny of the Opiinae based on COI using NJ method (50% consensus tree). Numbers at nodes are bootstrap values (%).
Figure 4. Phylogeny of the Opiinae based on 16S using MP method (50% consensus tree). Numbers at nodes are bootstrap values (%).
**Figure 5.** Phylogeny of the Opiinae based on 28S using MP method (50% consensus tree). Numbers at nodes are bootstrap values (%).
Figure 6. Phylogeny of the Opiinae based on COI using MP method (50% consensus tree). Numbers at nodes are bootstrap values (%).
Figure 7. Phylogeny of the Opiinae based on 16S using Bayesian method. Numbers at nodes are Bayesian posterior probabilities.
Figure 8. Phylogeny of the Opiinae based on 28S using Bayesian method. Numbers at nodes are Bayesian posterior probabilities.
Figure 9. Phylogeny of the Opiinae based on COI using Bayesian method. Numbers at nodes are Bayesian posterior probabilities.
Figure 10. Phylogeny of the Opiinae based on 16S, 28S and COI using NJ method (50% consensus tree). Numbers at nodes are bootstrap values (%).
Figure 11. Phylogeny of the Opiinae based on 16S, 28S and COI using MP method (50% consensus tree). Numbers at nodes are bootstrap values (%).
Figure 12. Phylogeny of the Opiinae based on 16S, 28S and COI using Bayesian method. Numbers at nodes are Bayesian posterior probabilities.
Individual molecular markers

Each individual dataset of a single gene resulted in a less resolved phylogeny of the Opiinae (Figs 1–9). Generally, the genus *Phaedrotoma* (formerly included in the genus *Opius*) is well separated from the genus *Opius* s.s. With 16S *Fopius* and *Areotetes* come out as basal groups if NJ and MP are used, but with Bayesian analysis *Fopius* groups with *Psyttoma* and is much less basal. With 28S *Xynobius* + *Coleopioides* and *Fopius* + *Biosteres* are the basal groups in NJ and parsimony analyses, but with Bayesian results *Xynobius* and *Coleopioides* group together and there are no distinct basal lineages. With COI and parsimony *Utetes, Lissosema, Apodesmia* and *Xynobius* are the basal groups (and with NJ also *Opiognathus*), but there are no distinct basal lineages in the Bayesian results. Only 28S gives basal groups having morphological character states corroborating a basal position (e.g., the included species of *Xynobius, Fopius* and *Biosteres* all have a dorsope on the first metasomal tergite). *Coleopioides* is, according to 28S, a derived group related to *Fopius* (grouping also recovered with COI, Fig. 9); having an elongate second submarginal cell of the fore wing. The type species of *Coleopioides* shares with the type species of *Fopius* the presence of a postpectal carina.

Combining data partitions

In this study, by combining datasets it was possible to obtain a better resolved phylogeny of the Opiinae (Figs 10–12). The results do not support the hypothesis that the Opiinae comprises two tribes: Opiini and Biosterini as suggested by Shi et al. (2005). In our study, the genus *Biosteres* has a variable position, compared to the *Xynobius*-group or the Opiini. Traditionally, the genus *Biosteres* is characterized by having a short second submarginal cell of the fore wing (Fischer 1972b). This character state is not very helpful because it is also present in the genus *Fopius* and several other genera of the Opiini (as defined by exclusion of the Biosterini). *Biosteres* needs, therefore, as pointed out by Wharton (1988), to be defined by a combination of characters. The *Xynobius*-group (consisting of *Fopius, Coleopioides* and *Xynobius*) is separated from *Biosteres* and Opiini in the Bayesian analysis (Fig. 12). However, no apomorphic character states were found to group these genera.

The short second submarginal cell of the fore wing is an apomorphy for the genera *Fopius* and *Utetes*, while the presence of the dorsope in the genus *Xynobius* is considered to be a plesiomorphy. The dorsope of the first tergite is absent in the Opiini. *Xynobius* contains parasitoids of leaf-mining larvae of Anthomyiidae and Scathophagidae as in *Biosteres* (Wharton 1997) and both have a dorsope. Wharton (1988, 1997) abandoned the use of tribes and subtribes in the Opiinae, using informal genus groups, and considering our results this seems still the best solution.
Subfamily Opiinae Blanchard, 1845  
http://species-id.net/wiki/Opiinae

Opites Blanchard, 1845: 157.  
Opioidae Foerster, 1862: 258.  
Baeocentrini Fahringer, 1928: 8.  
Ademonini Fischer, 1964: 207.  
Biosterina Fischer, 1970: 85.  
Coleopiina Fischer, 1970: 85.  
Pokomandyina Fischer, 1970: 87.  
Desmiostomatini Fischer, 1972b: 59.  
Bitomina Fischer, 1982: 29 (unavailable name).

Diagnosis. Prepectal carina absent (but partly developed in Ademon); posterior flange of propleuron present; maxillary palp with 6 segments and labial palp with 4 segments; hypoclypeal depression present or absent, if present then shallow, medio-ventral rim of clypeus near level of upper condyli of mandible; ventral part of clypeus not part of the hypoclypeal depression; labrum flat or weakly concave and glabrous, rarely distinctly concave; occipital carina usually present laterally, but nearly always widely interrupted medio-dorsally; notaunl often largely absent on mesoscutal disc, often with a medio-posterior depression (or “mid-pit”, but sometimes groove-like); pronope absent to very large, round or slit-like; vein M+CU1 of fore wing largely unsclerotized (only pigmented and not tubular); if completely tubular and fully sclerotized then laterope of first metasomal tergite distinct; fore tibia without row of pegs or spines, but sometimes bristly setose; dorsope of first metasomal tergite absent or present; first tergite with or without convex lateral parts and movably connected to second tergite; second tergite without transverse elevated area and usually with pair of oblique depressions basally and similarly or more strongly sclerotized than its epipleuron; ovipositor usually short (hardly or not protruding beyond apex of metasoma) in parasitoids of mining larvae and well protruding in parasitoids of larvae in fruits.

Biology. Koinobiont endoparasitoids of Diptera-Cyclorrhapha: Agromyzidae (including Phytobia species in woody branches), Anthomyiidae (Pegomya species), Drosophilidae, Psilidae (Chyliza species in galls), Lonchaeidae, Ephyridae (Hydrellia species in waterplants), Scathophagidae (Chylizosoma and Cleigastra species) and Tephritidae. Some species are used for biocontrol, e.g. Ptytalia and Utetes species against Ceratitis capitata Wiedemann and Opius pallipes Wesmael against leaf miners in greenhouses, but several others have a high potential for biocontrol.

Distribution. Cosmopolitan; frequently collected.
Key to genera and species of the subfamily Opiinae from Hunan

1 Marginal cell of fore wing open apically (Fig. 96); veins m-cu and r-m of fore wing absent (Fig. 96); occipital carina largely absent (Fig. 97); genus Indiopius Fischer, 1966 ....................................................

   – Marginal cell of fore wing closed apically (Figs 14, 146, 364); veins m-cu and r-m of fore wing present (Figs 14, 146); occipital carina present laterally, at least behind lower half of eyes (Figs 20, 147, 149) ........................................... 2

2 Second metasomal tergite distinctly (1.3–2.1 times) longer than third tergite and bordered posteriorly by a crenulate second metasomal suture (Fig. 421); third tergite with sharp lateral crease (Fig. 417); genus Orientopius Fischer, 1966 ....................................................

   – Second tergite about as long as third tergite (Figs 16, 32, 76) and if longer then without a crenulate second metasomal suture posteriorly (cf. Fig. 76); third tergite without sharp lateral crease (Figs 73, 105) ........................................... 3

3 Inner side of hind tibia with a more or less oblique fine carinula baso-laterally (Figs 39, 47, 59, 123, 378); propodeum often with medio-longitudinal carina anteriorly (Figs 35, 44, 55, 56, 383); [vein 3-SR of fore wing slightly curved; malar suture absent; pronotum short and vertical; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent or small; precoxal sulcus narrowly crenulate; clypeus obtuse ventrally] ...................................................................... 4

   – Inner side of hind tibia without a carinula baso-laterally (Figs 186, 190); propodeum usually without medio-longitudinal carina (Figs 16, 199, 249) 9

4 Clypeus obtuse and slightly concave ventrally (Figs 38, 48, 58); posterior face of propodeum with areolation (Figs 44, 56); vein m-cu of fore wing slightly postfurcal (Figs 33, 43, 53); [pronotum round or obsolescent, if slit-shaped then indistinctly developed; precoxal sulcus narrowly crenulate; epicnemial area smooth; medio-longitudinal carina of propodeum medium-sized]; Areotetes gen. n. .............................................................................................................. 5

   – Clypeus thin and protruding or truncate ventrally (Figs 111, 122, 379); posterior face of propodeum without distinct areolation (Figs 108, 118, 377); vein m-cu of fore wing usually distinctly postfurcal (Figs 106, 116), sometimes nearly interstitial (Fig. 375) .............................................................................. 7

5 Mesoscutum with a small medio-posterior depression (Figs 34, 55); second metasomal tergite distinctly costate striate medially (Figs 35, 56); apical third of antenna of female partly pale yellowish (Figs 36, 61); face yellowish-brown or pale yellowish (Figs 38, 58) .............................................................................. 6

   – Medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent (Fig. 44); second tergite smooth (Fig. 45); antenna of female subapically (Fig. 46) and face dark brown or blackish (Fig. 48); [first metasomal tergite at least partly smooth and shiny (Fig. 45)] .............................................................................. 8

Vein 3-SR of fore wing about 2.5 times as long as vein 2-SR and weakly curved (Fig. 53); head dorsally (except orbita) dark brown; apical third of
antenna of male dark brown; pterostigma comparatively narrow and longer (Fig. 53); [apical antennal segments of female brown (Fig. 61)]

.................................Areotetes striatiferus sp. n.

Vein 3-SR of fore wing about 1.7 times as long as vein 2-SR and nearly straight (Fig. 33); head dorsally (except sternmaticum and its surroundings) yellow; apical third of antenna of male pale yellowish (Fig. 36); pterostigma comparatively wide and short (Fig. 33)

.................................Areotetes albiferus sp. n.

Mandible abruptly narrowed submedially and more or less widened basally (Figs 112, 124); pronopae round (Fig. 121) or slit-shaped (Fig. 107); precoxal sulcus narrowly crenulate (Fig. 105); epicnemial area smooth (Fig. 105); [carina of hind tibia sinuate (Figs 113, 123); genus Opiognathus Fischer, 1972 ............

Mandible triangular, gradually narrowed apically (Figs 379, 380); preonae slit-shaped and deep (Fig. 381); precoxal sulcus widely crenulate; epicnemial area more or less crenulate; genus Utetes Foerster, 1862 sensu stricto; [vein m-cu of fore wing subinterstitial (Fig. 375); medio-longitudinal carina of propodeum complete (Fig. 377); ventral margin of clypeus truncate (Fig. 379); wing membrane subhyaline] ; genus Utetes Foerster, 1862 ............

.................................Utetes longicarinatus sp. n.

Mandible gradually widened basally (Fig. 112); hypoclypeal depression present (Fig. 111); medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum deep (Fig. 107); first metasomal tergite sculptured and less shiny (Fig. 108); propodeum coarsely reticulate and without smooth areas (Fig. 108)

.................................Opiognathus aulaciferus sp. n.

Mandible abruptly widened basally (Figs 122, 124); hypoclypeal depression absent (Fig. 122); medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent (Fig. 1117); first tergite largely smooth and shiny (Fig. 118); propodeum large smooth (Fig. 118)

.................................Opiognathus brevibasalis sp. n.

Vein 3-SR of fore wing shorter than vein 2-SR (Figs 85, 86); if up to 1.3 times longer than vein 2-SR then dorsope present (cf. Fig. 88); vein m-cu of hind wing present at least as a distinctly pigmented trace (Fig. 86); length of fore wing usually more than 3 mm

.................................Fopius dorsopiferus sp. n.

– Propleuron without a short subapical oblique carina; medio-ventrally postpectal carina absent; frons coarsely sculptured (Fig. 92); [mandible normal basally (Fig. 93)]; genus Fopius Wharton, 1987 ........

.................................Fopius dorsopiferus sp. n.

– Propleuron with short subapical oblique carina (Fig. 94); medio-ventrally postpectal carina coarsely developed (Fig. 94); frons coarsely sculptured (Fig. 92); [mandible normal basally (Fig. 93)]; genus Fopius Wharton, 1987 ........

.................................Fopius dorsopiferus sp. n.

Dorsope present; second metasomal tergite smooth; mandible somewhat widened basally in addition to a short ventral carina; [vein 3-SR of fore wing
2.0-2.7 times as long as vein r; apical half of scutellum finely densely rugulose (but more smooth in male from Hunan); hind coxa yellowish basally]; genus *Biosteres* Foerster, 1862 ....................... *Biosteres pavititus* Chen & Weng, 2005

– Dorsosce present (Fig. 389) ..............................................13

12 Dorsosce absent (Fig. 389) ..............................................13

– Dorsosce absent (Figs 16, 66, 129, 250, 267, 289) ................15

13 Hypoclypeal depression present, large (Fig. 388) and medially ventral margin of clypeus (just) above upper level of condyli of mandibles (“subcyclostome condition”; Fig. 390); mandible normal ventrally (Fig. 390); notauli complete or nearly so (Fig. 386); genus *Xynobius* Foerster, 1862 .......................14

– Hypoclypeal depression absent or narrow, and medially ventral margin of clypeus near upper level of condyli of mandibles (“mouth closed”); mandible widened ventro-basally; notauli largely absent or only posteriorly absent; [apical third of antenna of female dark brown or blackish; second tergite smooth]; genus *Opiostomus* Fischer, 1971....... *Opiostomus aureliae* (Fischer, 1957) comb. n.

14 Notauli completely crenulate and comparatively wide (Fig. 386); wing membrane without dark patch (Fig. 385); middle lobe of mesoscutum moderately setose (Fig. 386); apical half of antenna of female with 3-8 pale yellowish segments (Fig. 387); second metasomal tergite longitudinally costate-striate (Fig. 389).................................*Xynobius notauliferus* sp. n.

– Notauli smooth and narrow; wing membrane with conspicuous dark patch; middle lobe of mesoscutum densely setose; apical third of antenna of female dark brown; second tergite smooth; [scutellum strongly convex] ................

.................................................................*Xynobius maculipennis* (Enderlein, 1912) comb. n.

15 Propodeum with a transverse carina subbasally (Figs 65, 66, 76) and precoxal sulcus wide and crenulate (Fig. 73); second and third metasomal tergites enlarged, longer than following segments (Figs 63, 73); lateral margin of mesoscutum crenulate (Figs 63, 65, 73, 75, 83); scutellar sulcus wide and coarsely crenulate (Figs 65, 75, 83) ...............................................................16

– Propodeum without a transverse carina subbasally (Figs 16, 129, 217), if present (Figs 328, 336, 354) then precoxal sulcus narrow and smooth or nearly so (Figs 334, 351); second and third tergites normal, about as long as following segments or shorter (Figs 13, 157, 167, 318, 403); lateral margin of mesoscutum smooth or nearly so (Figs 15, 128, 217, 227, 366); scutellar sulcus variable, usually medium-sized or narrow (Figs 24, 128) ........18

16 Clypeus triangularly protruding ventrally; labrum concealed; frons, vertex and mesoscutum distinctly punctate; [genus not yet found in Hunan, but ... *Bitomus cheleutos* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n. occurs in Fujian] ........

.................................................................genus *Bitomus* Szépligeti, 1910

– Clypeus truncate ventrally (Figs 68, 78); labrum more or less exposed (Fig. 78); frons, vertex and mesoscutum largely smooth (Figs 63, 65); genus *Coleo-pioides* gen. n. ..................................................17
17 Notauli largely absent on mesoscutal disc and notaulic area smooth (Figs 66, 83); postpectal carina present (Fig. 82); second metasomal tergite granulate (Fig. 76); propleuron rugulose subposteriorly; first discal cell of fore wing comparatively transverse (Fig. 74); tegulae dark brown; antenna of female with 24–26 segments; occipital carina coarsely crenulate (Fig. 84) ........ Coleopioides postpectalis sp. n.

– Notauli nearly complete, narrow and finely crenulate (Fig. 65); postpectal carina absent; second tergite smooth (Fig. 66); propleuron smooth subposteriorly (Fig. 63); first discal cell of fore wing less transverse (Fig. 64); tegulae pale yellowish; antenna of female with 19 segments; occipital carina finely crenulate (Fig. 69) ......................... Coleopioides diversinotum sp. n.

18 Occipital carina above mandibular base curved towards and meeting (just) hypostomal carina (Figs 20, 29); vein m-cu of fore wing subinterstitial (Figs 14, 23); genus Apodesmia Foerster, 1862 .................................................. 19

– Occipital carina not or slightly curved ventrally and remain removed from hypostomal carina (Figs 132, 173, 203, 243, 292, 410); position of vein m-cu of fore wing variable, but rather frequently distinctly postfurcal (Figs 137, 146, 183, 248, 276, 287, 315, 325, 352, 364) ........................................ 20

19 Medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum present (Fig. 24); vein m-cu of fore wing slightly antefurcal (Fig. 23); clypeus yellowish-brown ventrally (Fig. 27); mesosoma robust (Fig. 22); vein SR1 of fore wing evenly curved (Fig. 23) ................................................................ Apodesmia melliclypealis sp. n.

– Medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent (Fig. 15); vein m-cu of fore wing slightly postfurcal (Fig. 14); clypeus dark brown ventrally (Fig. 18); mesosoma slender (Fig. 13); vein SR1 of fore wing sinuate (Fig. 14) ......

.................................................................................................................. Apodesmia bruniclypealis sp. n.

20 Apical half of mandible comparatively narrow and resulting in small teeth, mandible abruptly widened baso-ventrally (Figs 153, 155, 163, 172, 183, 193) and more or less tooth-like protruding basally and not only widened by a protruding carina; malar suture deep (Figs 182, 193); [medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; mesoscutum strongly shiny (Fig. 188)]; genus Opius Wesmael, 1835 sensu stricto .................................................. 21

– Apical half of mandible comparatively wide and resulting in comparatively robust teeth, basally mandible not or gradually widened, at most with a carina ventrally (Figs 203, 221, 234, 253, 340, 370), without tooth-like protuberance and basally symmetrical or nearly so; if gradually widened ventro-basally (Figs 203, 212, 282) then malar suture reduced (Figs 203, 212, 282) ........ 27

21 Hind femur robust (Fig. 161); third antennal segment of female about 3.4 times as long as wide (Fig. 165); area between malar suture and clypeus with some distinct punctures (Fig. 163) ....................... Opius pachymerus sp. n.

– Hind femur comparatively slender (Figs 130, 136, 140, 150, 171, 181, 190); third antennal segment of female 3.7–4.5 times as long as wide (Figs 134, 143, 145, 175, 191); area between malar suture and clypeus without distinct punctures or with irregular depressions (Figs 132, 142, 153, 193) ........... 22
22 Basal cell of hind wing and second submarginal cell of fore wing comparatively wide (Fig. 137); malar suture short (Fig. 142); clypeus wide and ventral margin acute (Figs 141, 142); hypoclypeal depression deep (Fig. 141)............. \textit{Opius malavator} sp. n.

– Basal cell of hind wing and second submarginal cell of fore wing comparatively narrow (Figs 127, 146, 178); malar suture longer (Figs 132, 173, 193) and sometimes partly absent or obsolescent; clypeus medium-sized (Figs 131, 192) and its ventral margin variable; hypoclypeal depression comparatively shallow (Figs 151, 182, 192)

23 Setose part of ovipositor sheath about 0.16 times as long as fore wing, half as long as hind tibia and 1.5 times as long as first tergite (Figs 186, 195); first tergite comparatively slender (Fig. 189) \textit{Opius zengi} sp. n.

– Setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.05-0.06 times as long as fore wing, 0.2–0.3 times as long as hind tibia and about half as long as hind tibia and about half as long as first tergite (Figs 126, 133, 154, 167); first tergite comparatively robust (Figs 129, 148, 170, 180)

24 Pronope deep and medium-sized (Fig. 176); pronotum yellowish-brown (Fig. 167); propodeum steep posteriorly (Figs 167) \textit{Opius songi} sp. n.

– Pronope absent or obsolescent (Figs 135, 152, 184); pronotum black, dark or chestnut brown (Figs 126, 145, 177); propodeum gradually lowered posteriorly (Figs 129, 145, 149, 177)

25 Clypeus flattened, comparatively large (Fig. 182) and its ventral margin slightly curved; first metasomal tergite yellowish as second tergite (Fig. 180)

\textit{Opius youi} sp. n.

– Clypeus convex and somewhat smaller (Figs 131, 151) and its ventral margin truncate; first tergite darker than second tergite (Figs 129, 148)

26 Oblique groove of pronotal side distinctly crenulate (Fig. 126); hind tibia comparatively wide (Fig. 130); vein m-cu of fore wing parallel to vein 1-M and slightly postfurcal (Fig. 127) \textit{Opius creniferus} sp. n.

– Oblique groove of pronotal side largely smooth (Fig. 145); hind tibia narrow (Fig. 150); vein m-cu of fore wing converging to vein 1-M posteriorly and distinctly postfurcal (Fig. 146) \textit{Opius monilipalpis} sp. n.

27 Propodeum with medio-longitudinal carina anteriorly (Fig. 289) and vein 1r-m of hind wing about 0.4 times as long as vein 1-M (Fig. 287); anterior groove of metapleuron smooth (Fig. 286) \textit{Phaedrotoma semiplanata} sp. n.

– Medio-longitudinal carina of propodeum variable, if present anteriorly (Figs 317, 325, 328, 353, 366) then vein 1r-m of hind wing 0.6–1.5 times as long as vein 1-M (Figs 316, 326, 335, 343, 352) and anterior groove of metapleuron crenulate (Figs 334, 342, 351)

28 Propodeum with medio-longitudinal carina anteriorly (Figs 317, 325, 328, 353, 366); vein m-cu of fore wing gradually merging into 2-CU1 and linear with vein 2-M or nearly so (Figs 287, 315, 335, 352, 364); vein 1r-m of hind wing less oblique and 0.6-1.0 times as long as vein 1-M (combined with a comparatively
wide hind wing; Figs 316, 326, 334, 343, 352, 364); anterior groove of metapleuron crenulate (Figs 315, Figs 334, 342, 351); vein CU1b of fore wing mediumsized (Figs 315, 335, 342, 343, 365); genus *Rhogadopsis* Brèthes, 1913

29

Medio-longitudinal carina of propodeum absent anteriorly (Figs 199, 240, 249, 266, 396); vein m-cu of fore wing angled with vein 2-M (Figs 197, 206, 228, 238, 276, 395), but rarely linear then angled with vein 2-CU1 (Fig. 248); vein 1r-m of hind wing usually distinctly oblique and 0.3-0.6 times as long as vein 1-M (Figs 197, 276, 296); at least dorsal half of anterior groove of metapleuron smooth (Figs 226, 295, 304); vein CU1b of fore wing usually short or absent (Figs 258, 305, 395), but sometimes moderately long (Figs 228, 258); genus *Phaedrotoma* Foerster, 1862

30

Medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent (Figs 325, 327, 336); posterior groove of pronotal side sometimes smooth (Fig. 334)

31

Vein m-cu of fore wing slightly longer than vein 2-SR+M (Fig. 352); basal cell of hind wing wide and vein 1r-m of hind wing 0.8-1.0 times as long as vein 1-M (Fig. 352); second and third tergites smooth (Fig. 354) or superficially aciculate

Vein m-cu of fore wing distinctly longer than vein 2-SR+M (Figs 315, 343, 364); basal cell of hind wing narrower and vein 1r-m of hind wing 0.6–0.7 times or about 1.5 times as long as vein 1-M (Figs 316, 343, 364); sculpture of second and third tergites variable

32

First tergite about 1.4 times as long as wide (Fig. 367); second and third tergites finely longitudinally rugulose-striate (Fig. 367); hind femur comparatively slender (Fig. 368); length of eye about 4 times temple in dorsal view.

33

Vein 1r-m of hind wing 0.6-0.7 times as long as vein 1-M (Fig. 343); mesoscutum slightly wider than long and with long medio-posterior depression
(Fig. 344); area below pterostigma slightly infuscate (Fig. 343); length of eye about 1.5 times temple in dorsal view .......... *Rhogadopsis maculosa* sp. n.

– Vein 1r-m of hind wing about 1.5 times as long as vein 1-M (Fig. 316); mesoscutum distinctly wider than long and with short medio-posterior depression (Fig. 317); area below pterostigma hyaline (Fig. 315); length of eye about 2.8 times temple in dorsal view (Fig. 322) .......... *Rhogadopsis latipennis* sp. n.

34 Pronotal side striate (Figs 295, 303); head strongly transverse and yellow (Fig. 302); clypeus very wide (Fig. 300); [second metasomal tergite smooth; female unknown] ........................................ *Phaedrotoma striatinota* sp. n.

– Pronotal side largely smooth or coriaceous (Figs 196, 205, 226); head at most moderately transverse and yellow or dark brown (Fig. 254); clypeus narrower (Figs 242, 252, 270, 281), but wide in *P. depressa* (Fig. 233) ............ 35

35 Vertex and mesosoma densely coriaceous; vein SR1 of fore wing about 3 times as long as vein 3-SR .............................................................

........................................ *Phaedrotoma terga* (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n.

– Vertex and mesosoma mainly smooth (Fig. 226); vein SR1 of fore wing shorter (Figs 197, 216, 238), except in *P. depressa* (Figs 228, 229) ............ 36

36 Vein SR1 of fore wing 3.4-4.0 times as long as vein 3-SR (Figs 228, 229); clypeus depressed ventrally and narrow sickle-shaped (Fig. 233); mesosoma (except black mesoscutum) orange-brown or largely dark brown (Figs 225–227); second and third metasomal tergite micro-sculptured and propodeum smooth (Fig. 231) ....................................................... *Phaedrotoma depressa* nom. n.

– Vein SR1 of fore wing 1.4-3.4 times as long as vein 3-SR (Figs 197, 216, 238, 248, 276); clypeus normal ventrally and semicircular or narrow and transverse (Figs 242, 252, 270, 281); mesosoma completely or largely black (Figs 198, 207, 239, 259) or entirely pale yellow (Figs 215, 249); second and third metasomal tergite variable, if micro-sculptured then propodeum rugulose (Figs 199, 218) ........................................ 37

37 Clypeus 3.3–4.0 times wider than high (Figs 252, 261) .................. 38

– Clypeus 2.0–3.1 times wider than high (Figs 202, 211, 220, 242, 270, 281, 309) .......................................................... 39

38 Antenna of ♂ with about 27 segments and 1.4 times as long as fore wing (Fig. 247); body brownish-yellow and head ivory (Figs 247, 249, 252); legs pale yellow (Fig. 247); vein SR1 1.9 times vein 3-SR (Fig. 248); vein 3-SR nearly twice as long as vein 2-SR (Fig. 248) ............. *Phaedrotoma flavisoma* sp. n.

– Antenna of ♂ with about 21 segments and about as long as fore wing (Fig. 257); body black (Figs 257, 259, 263); legs dark brown (Fig. 257); vein SR1 of fore wing 3 times as long as vein 3-SR (Fig. 258); vein 3-SR 1.7 times as long as vein 2-SR (Fig. 258) .................... *Phaedrotoma nigrisoma* sp. n.

39 First metasomal tergite longitudinally costate-striate (Fig. 307); anterior groove of metapleuron smooth or finely crenulate (Fig. 304); propodeum largely vermiculate-rugose (Fig. 307); clypeus slightly protruding medially (Fig. 309); apical half of first tergite widened apically and comparatively short
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– First tergite reticulate-rugose or granulate (Figs 199, 208, 218, 240, 267, 278); anterior groove of metapleuron often crenulate (Figs 196, 403); propodeum largely rugulose, rugose or smooth (Figs 199, 207, 217, 240, 267, 278); clypeus truncate medially (Figs 202, 211, 220, 242, 270, 281, 399); apical half of first metasomal tergite subparallel-sided (Figs 199, 208, 218, 240, 267, 397, 406) or diverging and more elongate (Figs 267, 278); occipital carina comparatively close to hypostomal carina (Figs 400, 410); [malar suture partly shallowly impressed or absent] Phaedrotoma vermiculifera sp. n.

40

– Anterior groove of metanotum smooth (Fig. 205); propodeum largely smooth and shiny posteriorly (Fig. 207); hind tarsus pale yellowish as basal half of hind tibia (Figs 205, 209); length of malar space 0.6 times basal width of mandible (Fig. 212); face mainly dark brown or brownish-yellow (Fig. 211); third antennal segment about 4.3 (female) times as long as wide; antenna 1.3 times longer than fore wing. If length of malar space 0.3 times basal width of mandible, face ivory and antenna 1.6 times longer than fore wing, cf. P. flavisoma sp. n. Phaedrotoma angliclypeata sp. n.

41

– Anterior groove of metanotum crenulate (Figs 196, 403); propodeum more or less rugulose or rugose and matt posteriorly (Figs 199, 217, 240, 267, 278); colour of hind tarsus variable, if slightly infuscate then darker than basal half of hind tibia (Fig. 237) Phaedrotoma rugulifera sp. n.

42

– Vein m-cu of fore wing postfurcal (Fig. 276); precoxal sulcus narrow, linear (Fig. 275); mesosoma dark brown or blackish laterally and ventrally (Fig. 275) Phaedrotoma rugulifera sp. n.

43

– Vein m-cu of fore wing slightly antefurcal (Fig. 216); precoxal sulcus somewhat wider, in elliptical depression (Fig. 215); mesosoma yellowish-brown laterally and ventrally (Fig. 215) Phaedrotoma antenervalis sp. n.

– Length of mesosoma 1.2–1.3 times its height (Figs 196, 264, 394, 403); antenna of female 1.5–1.7 times as long as fore wing (Figs 196, 403; male: 1.5–1.6 times); length of eye in dorsal view 1.5–2.8 times temple; clypeus convex medially (Figs 203, 271, 400, 410); sculpture of propodeum varia-
ble, from superficially granulate-rugulose (Fig. 266) to rather coarsely rugose (Fig. 199).

44 Pronotal side and mesopleuron superficially granulate; precoxal sulcus wide and comparatively shallow, densely finely sculptured (Fig. 196); hind tarsus slender and pale yellowish as femur (Fig. 200); length of eye in dorsal view about 2.8 times temple ...................... Phaedrotoma acuticlypeata sp. n.

– Pronotal side and mesopleuron largely smooth or nearly so; precoxal sulcus narrow and deep, sparsely finely sculptured or smooth (Figs 264, 394, 403); hind tarsus less slender and often slightly darker than femur (Figs 264, 268, 403), but sometimes similar to hind tibia (Figs 394, 398); length of eye in dorsal view about 1.5 times temple .......... Phaedrotoma protuberator sp. n.

Systematics

Genus Apodesmia Foerster, 1862
http://species-id.net/wiki/Apodesmia
Figs 13–31

Apodesmia Foerster, 1862: 259. Type species (by original designation): Apodesmia tae-
niata Foerster, 1862 [examined].

Allotypus Foerster, 1862: 259. Type species (by original designation): Opius irregularis
Wesmael, 1835 [examined]. Syn. n.

Lemnaphilopius Fischer, 1972b: 70. Type species (by original designation): Opius lem-
naphilae Muesebeck, 1939 [examined; according to Fischer (1999) a valid genus
with veins cu-a and 2-1A of fore wing reduced]. Syn. n.

Agnopius Fischer, 1982: 21. Type species (by original designation): Opius similis
Szépligeti, 1898 [examined]. Syn. n.

Cryptognathopius Fischer, 1984a: 34. Type species (by original designation): Opius uttoi
Fischer, 1971 [examined]. Syn. n.

Diagnosis. Occipital carina present laterally, above mandibular base curved towards and (just) meeting hypostomal carina (Figs 20, 29), near level of middle of eye straight or nearly so, without transverse carina or crest; face without tubercles (Figs 18, 27); scapus, fore coxa and trochanter at most weakly compressed; epistomal suture without large depressions; labrum normal, without emargination ventrally; clypeus not impressed; mandible not abruptly widened basally (but frequently rather gradually widened), without lamella, at most with a fine carina baso-ventrally; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum present (Fig. 24), but rarely absent (Fig. 15); scutellar sulcus wide to rather narrow; postpectal carina absent; first subdiscal cell of fore wing at least partly closed by vein 3-CU1 postero-apically; vein cu-a of hind wing nearly always present (Figs 14, 23); (but Nearctic species may have both veins cu-a of hind wing and CU1b of fore wing absent); vein 3-SR of fore wing distinctly longer than
vein 2-SR (Figs 14, 23); vein m-cu of hind wing usually absent or obsolescent, only distinct in type species; first tergite without dorsope (Figs. 16, 25); and basal half of third tergite without sharp lateral crease, if sometimes weakly developed then second tergite smooth; length of second and third tergites combined less than 0.7 times length of metasoma behind first tergite; vein 2-SR of fore wing present (Figs 14, 23), rarely reduced; fifth and following tergites partly exposed; ovipositor sheath more or less setose basally (Fig. 31); length of fore wing usually less than 3 mm.

**Biology.** Parasitoids of mining Agromyzidae, Anthomyiidae, Lonchaeidae, Scathophagidae and Tephritidae.

**Notes.** This Holarctic and Oriental genus has been used mostly as a subgenus (e.g., Fischer 1972b, Chen and Weng 2005 and Tobias 1998) but Papp (2002) used it as a valid genus. The definition in these papers is different; its main character, the curvature of the occipital carina, is used here for the first time. The mainly plesiomorphic character states used by other authors result in a mixed group; the curved occipital carina seems to be the best apomorphic character state to separate this group from *Opius* Wesmael s.l.

**Apodesmia bruniclypealis** Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:6B16C216-5B4A-4C8F-B6FC-5FD872FA1B55
http://species-id.net/wiki/Apodesmia_bruniclypealis

Figs 13–21

**Type material.** Holotype, ♂ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Chengbu, Nan Mt., Shaoyang, 1500 m, 10–11.VI.2009, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”.

**Diagnosis.** Mandible with a short ventral carina (Fig. 20); clypeus dark brown; hind tibia completely pale yellowish or brownish-yellow basally; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent (Fig. 15); mesosoma slender (Fig. 13); propodeum without a transverse carina subbasally (Fig. 16); vein m-cu of fore wing slightly postfurcal (Fig. 14).

**Description.** Holotype, ♂, length of body 1.6 mm, of fore wing 2.2 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 26 segments and 1.3 times as long as fore wing (Fig. 13); length of third segment 1.5 times fourth segment and without thyloids and shiny, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 5.3, 3.5, and 2.5 times their width, respectively (Fig. 21); length of maxillary palp 1.2 times height of head; labial palp segments rather short; occipital carina dorsally absent; median groove behind sternal carina absent; hypostomal carina narrow (Fig. 20); length of eye in dorsal view twice temple; frons flat and glabrous medially, smooth and laterally distinctly convex and glabrous; face smooth, medially slightly elevated (Fig. 18); width of clypeus 1.8 times its maximum height and 0.55 times width of face, clypeus convex, smooth and its ventral margin differentiated and slightly concave (Fig. 18); hypoclypeal depression medium-sized (Fig. 18); malar suture indicated as slightly impressed narrow groove; mandible triangular, moderately convex and with fine ventral carina (Fig. 20).
Mesosoma. Length of mesosoma 1.4 times its height; dorsal pronope absent (Fig. 19); pronotal side smooth, but posteriorly slightly superficially crenulate; epicnemial area smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus only medially distinctly impressed, narrow and smooth (Fig. 13); rest of mesopleuron smooth; pleural sulcus smooth, but ventrally slightly crenulate; mesosternal sulcus narrow and finely crenulate; notauli absent on disc, except for a short crenulate part anteriorly (Fig. 15); mesoscutum glabrous; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent (Fig. 15); scutellar sulcus narrow and finely crenulate; scutellum smooth and slightly convex; dorsal surface of propodeum largely smooth without medio-longitudinal carina and posteriorly mainly finely rugulose (Fig. 16).

Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 14): pterostigma sublinear; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.3 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 3:21:43; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 10:21:6; r slightly widened; 1-M straight; SR1 weakly sinuate; m-cu slightly postfurcal; cu-a slightly postfurcal and 1-CU1 slender; first subdiscal cell narrowly open, CU1b absent; apical quarter of M+CU1 sclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 14): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 10:9:5; cu-a straight, short; m-cu absent; 1-1A curved.

Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 4.6, 9.5 and 7.2 times as long as wide, respectively; setae of hind femur and tibia moderately long (Fig. 17).

Metasoma. Length of first tergite 1.3 times its apical width, its surface evenly convex medially and with longitudinal (partly superficial) fine rugae and dorsal cari-
Figures 14–21. *Apodesmia bruniclypealis* sp. n., male, holotype. 14 Wings 15 mesosoma dorsal 16 propodeum and 1\textsuperscript{st}-3\textsuperscript{rd} metasomal tergites dorsal 17 hind leg 18 head anterior 19 pronope dorsal 20 head lateral 21 antennae.
nae remain separated and up to middle of tergite (Fig. 16); basal quarter of second tergite with oblique striae medially (Fig. 16); second suture obsolescent; third and following tergites smooth.

Colour. Dark brown; palpi and tegulum pale yellowish; scapus, pedicellus and base of third segment, legs (but hind femur and tibia slightly darkened apically), metasoma baso-ventrally and apex of third-seventh tergites brownish-yellow; mesopleuron below precoxal sulcus chestnut-brown; pterostigma and veins mainly pale brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

Molecular data. None.

Distribution. *China (Hunan).

Biology. Unknown.

Etymology. Name derived from “brunneus” (Latin for brown) and “clypeus”, because of the brown clypeus.

Notes. Runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to Phaedrotoma larga (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n. It differs by having the occipital carina curved ventrally, the clypeus 1.8 times wider than high (2.6 times in P. larga) and the first tergite 1.3 times as long as wide apically (0.9 times).

Apodesmia melliclypealis Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:ACFE3F75-7C8F-4428-9C46-14A47E5B8E2E
http://species-id.net/wiki/Apodesmia_melliclypealis
Figs 22–31

Type material. Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Tian Ping Mt., 9–13.VII.2009, 550 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’10”. Paratypes (RMNH): 1 ♀ with same label data; 1 ♀, “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Longtanping, 4–5.VI.2009, 550 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”; 1 ♀, “S. China: Hunan, nr Chengbu, Nan Mt., Shaoyang, 1500 m, 10–11.VI.2009, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”; 1 ♀, “S. China: Hunan, nr Suining, Huangsang N.R., Shaoyang, 12–13.VI.2009, 1000 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”.

Diagnosis. Mandible with a fine ventral carina (Fig. 29); clypeus yellowish-brown ventrally; small medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum present (Fig. 24); mesosoma robust (Fig. 22); propodeum without a transverse carina subbasally (Fig. 25); vein m-cu of fore wing slightly antefurcal (Fig. 23).

Description. Holotype, ♀, length of body 1.7 mm, of fore wing 2.2 mm.

Head. Antenna with 28 segments and 1.4 times as long as fore wing (Fig. 22); length of third segment 1.2 times fourth segment and with thyloids and matt, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 4.0, 3.3, and 2.5 times their width, respectively (Fig. 30); length of maxillary palp 1.1 times height of head; labial palp segments rather short; occipital carina dorsally absent; median groove behind stemmaticum absent; hypostomal carina narrow (Fig. 29); length of eye in dorsal view 3.0 times temple; frons flat and glabrous medially, smooth and laterally distinctly convex and glabrous;
face smooth, medially slightly elevated (Fig. 27); width of clypeus twice its maximum height and 0.5 times width of face; clypeus moderately convex, largely smooth and its ventral margin differentiated and straight medially (Fig. 27); hypoclypeal depression medium-sized (Fig. 27); malar suture absent; mandible triangular, moderately convex and with fine ventral carina (Fig. 29).

**Mesosoma.** Length of mesosoma 1.2 times its height (Fig. 22); dorsal pronope obsolescent, small (Fig. 28); pronotal side smooth, but oblique groove finely crenulate, posteriorly largely smooth (Fig. 22); epicnemial area largely smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus only medially distinctly impressed, narrow and smooth (Fig. 22); rest of mesopleuron smooth; pleural sulcus entirely smooth; mesosternal sulcus narrow and finely crenulate; notauli absent on disc, except for an indistinct smooth depression anteriorly (Fig. 24); mesoscutum glabrous except some setae on imaginary notaulic courses (Fig. 24); medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum small, pit-shaped (Fig. 24); scutellar sulcus narrow and finely crenulate; scutellum smooth and flattened; surface of propodeum partly rugulose and partly smooth, without medio-longitudinal carina (Fig. 25).

**Wings.** Fore wing (Fig. 23): pterostigma elliptical; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.1 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 2:31:60; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 15:31:8; r slightly widened; 1-M straight; SR1 weakly sinuate; m-cu slightly antefurcal; cu-a slightly postfurcal and 1-CU1 slender; first subdiscal cell narrowly open, CU1b reduced, only pigmented; apical quarter of M+CU1 sclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 23): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 15:2:5; cu-a straight, short; m-cu absent; 1-1A curved.

**Legs.** Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 5.0, 10.6 and 5.3 times as long as wide, respectively; setae of hind femur long and tibia moderately long (Fig. 26).

**Metasoma.** Length of first tergite 1.5 times its apical width, its surface evenly convex medially and with longitudinal (mainly superficial) fine rugae and dorsal carinae remain separated and up to middle of tergite (Fig. 25); basal quarter of second tergite smooth medially; second suture absent; third and following tergites smooth; length of setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.08 times fore wing and 0.25 times hind tibia (Figs 22, 31).

---

**Figure 22.** *Apodesmia melliclypealis* sp. n., female, holotype. Habitus lateral.
Figures 23–31. *Apodesmia melliclypealis* sp. n., female, holotype. 23 Wings 24 mesosoma dorsal 25 propodeum and 1st-3rd metasomal tergites dorsal 26 hind leg 27 head anterior 28 pronope dorsal 29 head lateral 30 antennae 31 ovipositor sheath.
**Colour.** Dark brown; mesosoma and head dorsally blackish; palpi, tegulae and coxae pale yellowish; scapus, clypeus ventrally, remainder of legs (but hind tibia apically and hind tarsus slightly darkened), metasoma baso-ventrally and apex of third-seventh tergites brownish-yellow; mesopleuron below precoxal sulcus chestnut-brown; pterostigma and veins mainly brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

**Molecular data.** COI, 16S, 28S (CVA4235).

**Variation.** Length of body 1.5-1.9 mm, of fore wing 2.0-2.2 mm; antenna of ♀ with 25 (1), 26 (2), 27 (1) or 28 (1) segments.

**Distribution.** *China (Hunan).*

**Biology.** Unknown.

**Etymology.** Name derived from “melleus” (Latin for honey-coloured) and “clypeus”, because of the yellowish clypeus.

**Notes.** According to the molecular markers belongs to a clade with the Palaearctic *A. incisula* (Fischer, 1964) (DNA: CVA4257, sharing the indistinct pronope and a medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum) together with *Neopius rudis* (Wesmael). The new species has vein m-cu of fore wing antefurcal as in the very common Palaearctic *A. irregularis* (Wesmael, 1835), but it has the second tergite smooth basally and rather dark brown, medio-posterior depression of the mesoscutum minute and distinctly removed from the scutellar sulcus (more than diameter of depression from scutellar sulcus; less than length of depression in *A. irregularis*), the first tergite with distinct (but small) smooth knob medio-apically (absent or nearly so in *A. irregularis*).

It runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to *Apodesmia tracta* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n. It differs by having the eye 3 times longer than temple (twice in *A. tracta*), the first tergite with finer sculpture between its coarse rugae (absent), the second tergite without distinct sculpture (longitudinally striate) and the base of the second tergite comparatively wide between the oblique depressions (base narrow).

---

**Genus Areotetes van Achterberg & Li, gen. n.**

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:79C141F0-F174-4B6B-90D0-28FEFA95CB67

http://species-id.net/wiki/Areotetes

Figs 32–62

**Type species.** *Areotetes carinuliferus* sp. n.

**Etymology.** From “areola” (Latin for “room”) and the generic name *Utetes* Foerster, 1862, because it is similar but has an areolate propodeum. Gender: masculine.

**Diagnosis.** Hind tibia with a long nearly straight carinula basally (Figs 39, 47, 59); face without tubercles; in front of anterior ocellus without a distinct semi-circular or triangular depression; frons without a pair of distinct depressions above antennal sockets; occipital carina present laterally, not or slightly curved ventrally and remaining removed from hypostomal carina, near level of middle of eye straight or nearly so, without transverse carina or crest; clypeus more or less convex and high (Fig. 48);
labrum normal, without emargination ventrally; hypoclypeal depression distinct (Fig. 48); malar suture absent; scapus, fore coxa and trochanter at most weakly compressed; epistomal suture without large depressions; mandible normal, triangular (Fig. 51); pronotum short and subvertical; pronope absent or obsolete; side of pronotum anteriorly below groove with distinctly elevated area; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum rather small (Fig. 34) or absent (e.g. in A. carinuliferus); scutellar sulcus usually rather wide (Fig. 34); propodeum areolate and smooth between carinae, with medium-sized medio-longitudinal carina (Figs 35, 44, 56); precoxal sulcus smooth or finely crenulate; postpectal carina completely absent; vein 2-SR of fore wing present; first subdiscal cell of fore wing at least partly closed by vein 3-CU1 and short vein CU1b postero-apically (Figs 33, 43, 53); vein 1-M of fore wing straight or slightly curved and vein 1-SR short; vein cu-a of hind wing present and vein m-cu absent; vein 3-SR of fore wing distinctly longer than vein 2-SR (Figs 33, 43, 53); length of fore wing less than 3.5 mm; second tergite without sharp lateral crease, smooth or striate; length of second and third tergites combined less than 0.7 times length of metasoma behind first tergite; fourth and following tergites (at least partly) exposed; ovipositor sheath about 0.1 times as long as fore wing. According to Fig. 6 not closely related to the genus Utetes Foerster, despite having carinulae of the hind tibia in common.

**Biology.** Unknown, but the related genus Utetes Foerster, 1862, contains parasitoids of fruit infesting Tephritidae and to a lesser degree of Agromyzidae and Anthomyiidae; at least some of the host records may be the result of misidentification of the host or parasitoid.

**Notes.** Can be separated from Utetes Foerster as follows:

1. Epicnemial area crenulate; pronope slit-shaped and deep; clypeus thin and protruding ventrally; vein m-cu of fore wing far postfurcal; posterior face of propodeum without areolation; medio-longitudinal carina of propodeum short or absent; precoxal sulcus usually widely crenulate; length of fore wing often more than 3.5 mm..............................Utetes Foerster sensu stricto

   – Epicnemial area smooth; pronope round, if slit-shaped then indistinctly developed; clypeus obtuse and truncate ventrally; vein m-cu of fore wing slightly postfurcal; posterior face of propodeum with areolation; medio-longitudinal carina of propodeum medium-sized; precoxal sulcus smooth or finely crenulate; length of fore wing less than 3.5 mm...............Areotetes van Achterberg & Li, gen. n.

**Areotetes albiferus** Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:7F60EAB3-39FC-4449-A8BD-D8E16A219BEE
http://species-id.net/wiki/Areotetes_albiferus
Figs 32–41

**Type material.** Holotype, ♂ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Bamaoxi, 2–3.VI.2009, 540 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”.


Figure 32. Areotetes albiferus sp. n., male, holotype. Habitus lateral.
Figures 33–41. *Areotetes albiferus* sp. n., male, holotype. 33 Wings 34 mesosoma dorsal 35 propodeum and 1st-3rd metasomal tergites dorsal 36 antenna 37 hind leg 38 head anterior 39 base of hind tibia inner side 40 mandible 41 pronope dorsal.
**Diagnosis.** Second metasomal tergite distinctly striate medially (Fig. 35); second metasomal suture widely crenulate; antenna of ♀ unknown, probably apical third pale yellowish as in male (Fig. 36); head dorsally (except stemmaticum and surroundings) yellow; face yellowish-brown; frons with pair of small depressions above antennal sockets; pronotum short and vertical; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum small and deep (Fig. 34); precoxal sulcus smooth except for some crenulae (Fig. 32); vein 3-SR of fore wing about 1.7 times as long as vein 2-SR and nearly straight (Fig. 33); vein m-cu of fore wing angulate with vein 2-CU1.

**Description.** Holotype, ♂, length of body 1.8 mm, of fore wing 2.1 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 21 segments and 1.2 times as long as fore wing (Fig. 32); length of third segment 1.3 times fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 4.7, 3.7, and 2.7 times their width, respectively (Fig. 36); length of maxillary palp 1.3 times height of head; labial palp segments elongate (Fig. 32); occipital carina moderately close to hypostomal carina and dorsally absent (Fig. 40); median groove behind stemmaticum present; hypostomal carina narrow; length of eye in dorsal view 3.4 times temple; frons flat and glabrous medially, smooth and laterally convex and largely glabrous; face smooth, medially broadly elevated (Fig. 38); width of clypeus 1.6 times its maximum height and 0.4 times width of face, clypeus convex, smooth and its ventral margin not differentiated and concave (Fig. 38); hypoclypeal depression medium-sized (Fig. 38); mandible convex (Fig. 40).

**Mesosoma.** Length of mesosoma 1.5 times its height; dorsal pronope absent (Fig. 41); pronotal side smooth, but medial groove with ventral oblique carina posteriorly and a short carina perpendicularly connected to it and posterior groove obsolescent (Fig. 32); epicnemial area smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus only medially distinctly impressed, with few short crenulae (Fig. 32); rest of mesopleuron smooth; pleural sulcus smooth; mesosternal sulcus narrow and finely crenulate; notauli absent on disc, except for a short crenulate part anteriorly (Fig. 34); mesoscutum glabrous; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum rather small, deep (Fig. 34); scutellar sulcus moderately crenulate; scutellum smooth and flat; dorsal surface of propodeum largely smooth except for medio-longitudinal carina and a strong transverse carina behind it, posteriorly areolate (Fig. 35).

**Wings.** Fore wing (Fig. 33): pterostigma triangular; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.4 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 1:18:37; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 11:18:4; r widened; 1-M nearly straight; SR1 weakly curved; m-cu slightly postfurcal; cu-a slightly postfurcal and 1-CU1 widened; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b short; apical quarter of M+CU1 sclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 33): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 15:13:7; cu-a straight; m-cu absent.

**Legs.** Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 4.2, 8.0 and 4.3 times as long as wide, respectively; setae of hind femur and tibia moderately long (Fig. 37).

**Metasoma.** Length of first tergite 1.2 times its apical width, its surface evenly convex medially and with longitudinal (medially irregular) costae and dorsal carinae united in its anterior 0.3 and up to apex (Fig. 35); second tergite medially longitudinally costate (Fig. 35); second suture widely crenulate (Fig. 35); third and following tergites smooth.
**Colour.** Dark brown; apical third of antenna pale (3 penultimate segments pale yellowish, apical and other segments pale brownish; Fig. 36); palpi, face, mandible, malar space, tegulae, legs (but middle and hind tarsi somewhat brownish), apex of third-seventh tergites and metasoma ventrally whitish or pale yellowish; scapus, remainder of head (but stemmaticum and surroundings dark brown), prothorax, mesopleuron and mesosternum yellow; pterostigma and veins mainly brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

*Molecular data.* None.

**Distribution.** *China* (Hunan).

**Biology.** Unknown.

**Etymology.** Name derived from “albus” (Latin for “white”) and “fero” (Latin for “carrying”), because of the whitish mandibles and the pale apex of the antenna.

**Notes.** Does not run in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to any species of the group with a carinula on the hind tibia.

*Areotetes carinuliferus* Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:0E2F0F10-3941-4AD6-B0AB-1F0F5111144F8

http://species-id.net/wiki/Areotetes_carinuliferus

Figs 42–51

**Type material.** Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Longtanping, 4–5.VI.2009, 550 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”, “4249, sp. 15” [= DNA sample taken from this specimen]. Paratypes (RMNH): 1 ♂, same label data; 3 ♀, id., but Bamaoxi, 2–3.VI.2009, 540 m; 1 ♂, “S. China: Hunan, nr Chengbu, Nan Mt., Shaoyang, 1500 m, 10–11.VI.2009, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”.

**Diagnosis.** Second metasomal tergite smooth or nearly so (Fig. 45); apical third of antenna of ♀ dark brown subapically; face dark brown; frons without depressions above or between antennal sockets; pronotum short and vertical; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent (Fig. 44); scutellar sulcus finely crenulate; precoxal sulcus narrowly crenulate; propodeum areolate and smooth between carinae (Fig. 44); vein 3-SR of fore wing slightly curved and twice as long as vein 2-SR (Fig. 43); vein m-cu of fore wing gradually merging into vein 2-CU1; first metasomal tergite partly smooth and shiny (Fig. 45).

**Description.** Holotype, ♀, length of body 1.7 mm, of fore wing 2.0 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 21 segments and 1.2 times as long as fore wing; length of third segment 1.2 times fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 5.5, 4.5 and 3.5 times their width, respectively (Fig. 46); length of maxillary palp 1.3 times height of head; labial palp segments elongate; occipital carina moderately close to hypostomal carina and dorsally absent; median groove behind stemmaticum obsolescent; hypostomal carina narrow; length of eye in dorsal view 3.6 times temple; frons flat and glabrous medially, smooth and laterally convex and largely glabrous; face smooth, medially elevated (Fig. 48); width of clypeus 1.8 times
its maximum height and 0.5 times width of face, clypeus convex, smooth and its
dorsal margin not differentiated and straight medially (Fig. 48); hypoclypeal de-
pression medium-sized (Fig. 48); mandible slightly convex and with fine ventral
carina (Fig. 51).

**Mesosoma.** Length of mesosoma 1.5 times its height; dorsal pronope absent;
pronotal side smooth, but medial groove indistinctly crenulate posteriorly, with
ventral oblique carina posteriorly and posterior groove obsolescent (Fig. 42); ep-
icnemial area smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus only mediadly distinctly impressed,
Figures 43–51. *Areotetes cariniferus* sp. n., female, holotype. 43 Wings 44 mesosoma dorsal 45 propodeum and 1st–2nd metasomal tergites dorsal 46 antenna 47 hind leg, inner side 48 head anterior 49 ovipositor sheath 50 hind femur 51 mandible.
posteriorly with few indistinct crenulae (Fig. 42); rest of mesopleuron smooth; pleural sulcus smooth; mesosternal sulcus narrow and finely crenulate; notaui absent on disc, except for a short smooth part anteriorly (Fig. 44); mesoscutum glabrous; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent (Fig. 44); scutellar sulcus finely crenulate; scutellum smooth and flat; dorsal surface of propodeum smooth except for a medio-longitudinal carina connected to a pentagonal areola and complete costulae (Fig. 44).

**Wings.** Fore wing (Fig. 43): pterostigma nearly elliptical; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.5 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 2:33:56; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 14:33:10; r widened; 1-M, 3-SR and SR1 nearly straight; m-cu slightly postfurcal and gradually merging into vein 2-CU1; cu-a slightly postfurcal and 1-CU1 widened; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b short; apical quarter of M+CU1 sclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 43): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 10:11:5; cu-a straight; m-cu absent.

**Legs.** Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 5.2, 10.7 and 8.0 times as long as wide, respectively; setae of hind femur long and of tibia moderately long (Fig. 50); hind tibia with a long nearly straight carinula basally (Fig. 47).

**Metasoma.** Length of first tergite 1.1 times its apical width, its surface evenly convex medially, largely smooth, but with a few oblique weak carinae and dorsal carinae united in its anterior 0.3 and absent behind it (Fig. 45); second tergite smooth, but antero-medially with indistinct patch of sculpture; second suture smooth and shallowly impressed; third and following tergites smooth and partly desclerotized apically; length of setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.1 times fore wing and 0.3 times hind tibia (Fig. 49).

**Colour.** Dark brown; antenna dark brown but 4 basal segments brownish-yellow; palpi, mandible, tegulae, legs, base of second tergite and apex of third tergite pale yellowish; clypeus and malar space mainly, side of pronotum ventrally, propleuron and area below precoxal sulcus yellowish-brown; pterostigma and veins mainly brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

**Molecular data.** COI, 16S, 28S (CVA4249).

**Variation.** Length of body 1.4–1.7 mm, of fore wing 2.0-2.1 mm; antenna of ♀ with 20 (2) or 21 (2) segments, of ♂ with 21 (1) or 22 (1) segments; third and fourth antennal segments and face dark brown or yellowish-brown.

**Etymology.** Name derived from “carinula” (Latin for small carina or crest) and “fero” (suffix in Latin meaning carrying or having), because of the carinula on the inner side of the hind tibia.

**Notes.** Does not run well in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to any species of the group with a carinula of the hind tibia. It is similar to Areotetes laevigatus (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n., but it has the length of the mesosoma 1.5 times its height (1.7 times in A. laevigatus), the propodeum without a median carina posteriorly (present), vein 3-SR of fore wing about 2.4 times vein 2-SR (about twice) and length of the hind femur about 5 times as long as wide (4 times).
Areotetes striatiferus Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:8D1A6F6E-DBB8-4EC1-85F1-88FD0F59D5B7
http://species-id.net/wiki/Areotetes_striatiferus
Figs 52–62

Type material. Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Tian Ping Mt., 9–13.VII.2009, 550 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’10”. Paratype, ♂ (RMNH), same label data.

Diagnosis. Second metasomal tergite striate medially (Fig. 56); apical third of antenna of ♀ partly pale yellowish (Fig. 61), of ♂ dark brown; face brownish-yellow and head dorsally largely dark brown; frons with small pit between sockets and no depressions above antennal sockets; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum small, round (Fig. 55); scutellar sulcus finely crenulate; precoxal sulcus narrowly crenulate (Fig. 52); propodeum areolate and with smooth areas (Fig. 56); vein 3-SR of fore wing slightly curved and about 2.5 times as long as vein 2-SR; vein m-cu of fore wing gradually merging into vein 2-CU1; first metasomal tergite sparsely sculptured (Fig. 56).

Description. Holotype, ♀, length of body 1.8 mm, of fore wing 2.2 mm.

Head. Antenna with 24 segments and 1.4 times as long as fore wing; length of third segment 1.3 times fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 5.0, 4.0 and 2.6 times their width, respectively (Fig. 61); length of maxillary palp 1.3 times height of head; labial palp segments elongate; occipital carina moderately close to hypostomal carina and dorsally absent (Fig. 62); median groove behind stemmaticum obsolescent; hypostomal carina narrow; length of eye in dorsal view 5.5 times temple; frons flat and glabrous medially, smooth and laterally convex and largely glabrous; face smooth, medially elevated; width of clypeus twice its maximum height and 0.45 times width of face, clypeus convex, smooth and its ventral margin not differentiated and concave (Fig. 58); hypoclypeal depression medium-sized (Fig. 58); mandible slightly convex and with fine ventral carina (Fig. 62).

Mesosoma. Length of mesosoma 1.5 times its height; dorsal pronope absent; pronotal side smooth, but medial groove indistinctly crenulate, with ventral oblique carina posteriorly and posterior groove obsolescent (Fig. 52); epicnemial area smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus only medially distinctly impressed, with short crenulae (Fig. 52); rest of mesopleuron smooth; pleural sulcus smooth; mesosternal sulcus narrow and finely crenulate; notauli absent on disc, except for a short largely smooth part anteriorly (Fig. 55); mesoscutum glabrous; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum small, deep, round in a shallow linear depression (Fig. 55); scutellar sulcus finely crenulate; scutellum smooth and flat; dorsal surface of propodeum largely smooth except for a medio-longitudinal carina and a moderately developed transverse carina behind it, posteriorly areolate (Fig. 56).

Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 53): pterostigma nearly elliptical; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.5 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 1:25:43; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 10:25:6; r widened; 1-M nearly straight; SR1 weakly curved; m-cu slightly postfurcal; cu-a
slightly postfurcal and 1-CU1 widened; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b short; apical third of M+CU1 sclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 54): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 21:20:9; cu-a straight; m-cu absent.

Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 3.9, 11.4 and 6.3 times as long as wide, respectively (Fig. 60); setae of hind femur long and of tibia moderately long; hind tibia with a short nearly straight carinula basally (Fig. 59).
Figures 53–62. *Areotetes striatiferus* sp. n., female, holotype. 53 Fore wing 54 hind wing 55 mesosoma dorsal 56 propodeum and 1st–2nd metasomal tergites dorsal 57 ovipositor sheath 58 head anterior 59 base of hind tibia, inner side 60 hind leg 61 antenna 62 mandible.
Metasoma. Length of first tergite 1.4 times its apical width, its surface evenly convex medially and with few oblique costae and dorsal carinae united in its anterior 0.3 and absent behind it (Fig. 56); second tergite medially longitudinally costate striate (Fig. 56); second suture finely crenulate and not impressed (Fig. 56); third and following tergites smooth and partly desclerotized; length of setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.09 times fore wing and 0.25 times hind tibia (Figs 52, 57).

Colour. Blackish-brown; apical third of antenna pale (5 penultimate segments pale yellowish, 3 apical segments brownish; Fig. 61); palpi, mandible, malar space, tegulae, legs (especially coxae, rest mostly brownish-yellow), apex of third-seventh tergites and metasoma baso-ventrally pale yellowish; pedicellus brown; face, temple, orbita, scapus, side of pronotum ventrally, propleuron and area below precoxal sulcus yellowish-brown; pterostigma and veins mainly brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

Variation. Male paratype has length of fore wing 2.0 mm, and of body 1.7 mm; antenna with 21 segments, 1.3 times as long as fore wing and dark brown except for yellowish scapus; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum comparatively large and elliptical.

Molecular data. None.

Distribution. *China (Hunan).

Biology. Unknown.

Etymology. Name derived from “striatus” (Latin for “striated”) and “fero” (Latin for “carrying”), because of the coarsely striate second metasomal tergite.

Notes. Does not run well in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to any species of the group with a carinula of the hind tibia. It is similar to *Utetes acustratus* (Fischer, 1988) from New Guinea, but it has the length of the mesosoma 1.5 times its height (1.8 times in *U. acustratus*), the apex of the antenna dark brown (white or ivory); length of the eye about 5 times temple in dorsal view (twice) and vein m-cu of fore wing angled with vein 2-M (linear) and length of the hind femur about 4 times as long as wide (3 times).

**Biosteres Foerster, 1862**

http://species-id.net/wiki/Biosteres

*Biosteres* Foerster, 1862: 259; Fischer, 1972b: 485. Type species (by original designation): *Bracon carbonarius* Nees, 1834.

*Rhinoplus* Foerster, 1862: 258; Fischer 1972b: 540; Wharton 1988: 350 (synonymy). Type species (by original designation): *Rhinoplus laevigatus* Foerster, 1862 [examined].

*Rhabdospilus* Foerster, 1862: 259; Fischer 1972b: 485. Type species (by original designation): *Opium placidus* Haliday, 1837 [examined].

*Zetetes* Foerster, 1862: 258; Fischer 1972b: 486. Type species (by original designation): *Zetetes ultor* Foerster, 1862 [examined].

*Stenospilus* Foerster, 1862: 259. Type species (by original designation): *Stenospilus vagator* Foerster, 1862 [= *Opium bicolor* Wesmael, 1835; examined].
Opiellus Ashmead, 1900c: 368; Fischer 1972b: 486 (new name for Zetetus). Type species (by implication): Zetetes ultor Foerster, 1862.
Celiestiella Cameron, 1903: 343; Fischer 1972b: 486. Type species (by monotypy): Celiestiella testaceipes Cameron, 1903 [examined].

**Diagnosis.** Hypoclypeal depression absent or narrow, and medially ventral margin of clypeus near upper level of condyli of mandibles (“mouth closed”); clypeus comparatively sparsely and short setose, if rather long and dense then clypeus flattened; mandible with ventro-basal carina, rarely obsolescent or on a small protuberance (resembling a small tooth); epistomal suture present; if suture is shallow then basal half of vein M+CU1 of fore wing largely unsclerotized; vein 3-SR of fore wing 1.3 times vein 2-SR or less, if rarely 1.4-1.5 times then pronope very large or pterostigma triangular; mesosternum normal, much longer than fore coxa; hind coxae normal, rounded ventrally; second-fourth tarsal segments comparatively slender; telotarsus and arolium not enlarged; dorsope usually large and close to lateral margin of first tergite; hypopygium of female at most slightly incised.

**Biology.** Parasitoids of Anthomyiidae and Scathophagidae.

**Distribution.** Holarctic, Neotropical, Oriental, Australian.

*Biosteres pavitus* Chen & Weng, 2005
http://species-id.net/wiki/Biosteres_pavitus

*Biosteres pavitta* Chen & Weng, 2005: 31, 185.

**Distribution.** Oriental China: Hubei, Hunan.

**Notes.** One female from Hunan is very similar to *B. carbonarius* (Nees, 1834) with vein r of fore wing about as long as width of pterostigma and much longer than wide; vein 2-M of fore wing 1.9-2.2 times vein 3-SR; second metasomal tergite black, at most anteriorly brownish and first tergite finely and densely sculptured medially.

**Genus Coleopioides van Achterberg & Li, gen. n.**
http://species-id.net/wiki/Coleopioides
Figs 63–84

**Type species.** Coleopioides postpectalis sp. n.

**Etymology.** From the generic name “*Coleopius*” and the addition “oides”, because it is superficially similar to the genus *Coleopius* Fischer.

**Diagnosis.** Face without tubercles; scapus, fore coxa and trochanter at most weakly compressed; epistomal suture without large depressions; inner sides of antennal sockets normal, not protruding; labrum exposed; clypeus truncate ventrally and hypoclypeal depression present (Figs 68, 78); mandibles long and slender (Fig.
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79); scutellar sulcus usually rather wide (Figs 65, 75); at least part of postpectal carina present medio-ventrally, but absent in *C. diversinotum*; notauli complete (Fig. 65) or largely absent (Fig. 75); mesoscutum with medio-posterior depression (Fig. 75); propodeum with a transverse carina subbasally and with long medio-longitudinal carina (Figs 65, 76); precoxal sulcus wide and crenulate (Figs 63, 73); second submarginal cell elongate, vein 3-SR 1.5–1.8 times as long as vein 2-SR (Figs 64, 74); second and third metasomal tergites more or less enlarged, longer than following segments; dorsope absent; laterope distinct; second and third tergites enlarged, longer than following segments (Figs 66, 76); second metasomal suture absent or superficially impressed and smooth; third tergite more or less sculptured (but may be largely smooth); epipleuron of third metasomal tergite slightly differentiated from notum and without lateral crease; fourth metasomal tergite well exposed.

**Biology.** Unknown.

**Notes.** Both new species are similar to *Coleopius* Fischer because of the presence of the hypoclypeal depression, the short metasoma and the shape of the clypeus. The long second submarginal cell (vein 3-SR 1.5-1.8 times vein 2-SR; shorter in *Coleopius*), the similar third epipleuron and notum (epipleuron distinctly less sclerotized in *Coleopius*), and the third tergite about as long as second tergite and without sharp lateral crease (lateral crease present in *Coleopius*) indicate that they do not belong in *Coleopius*. The new species differ from the similar genus *Bitomus* Szépligeti by the same character states, except that the second submarginal cell is intermediate. The new genus *Coleopioides* belongs to a separate basal group in the tribe Opiini together with *Areotetes* gen. n., according to the molecular data of the nuclear 28S marker of both species. *Areotetes* gen. n. shares the medio-longitudinal carina and the areolate posterior part of the propodeum with *Coleopioides*, but differs by having the carinula of the hind tibia, the crenulate posterior groove of the pronotum and the absence of the malar suture and of the depressions behind the antennal sockets.

*Coleopioides diversinotum* Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:4071C1E7-C2EE-4F05-9E27-CC0BF4D1A1CB
http://species-id.net/wiki/Coleopioides_diversinotum
Figs 63–72

**Type material.** Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Tian Ping Mt., 9–13.VII.2009, 550 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’10”, “CVA4244, sp. 10”.

**Diagnosis.** Mandible without ventral carina; ventral rim of clypeus narrow and upcurved (Fig. 68); clypeus medium-sized; face rather tuberculate medio-ventrally (Fig. 68); pronotum without distinct pronope (Fig. 70); propleuron smooth subposteriorly; pronotum short; notauli nearly complete and finely crenulate (Fig. 65); precoxal sulcus present anteriorly and medially, wide and crenulate (Fig. 63); postpectal carina completely absent; propodeum with a transverse carina subbasally (Fig. 65); first discal
cell of fore wing less transverse than in *C. postpectalis* (Fig. 64); vein m-cu of fore wing twice longer than vein 2-SR+M; second tergite smooth (Fig. 66).

**Description.** Holotype, ♀, length of body 1.6 mm, of fore wing 2.0 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 19 segments and 0.8 times as long as fore wing; length of third segment 1.2 times fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 3.0, 2.5, and 2.2 times their width, respectively (Fig. 71); length of maxillary palp 0.9 times height of head; labial palp segments short; occipital carina rather close to hypostomal carina and dorsally and behind upper half of eye absent (Fig. 69); no depression behind stemmaticum; hypostomal carina medium-sized; length of eye in dorsal view 2.6 times temple; frons medially convex, depressed behind antennal sockets and laterally convex, entirely glabrous; face smooth, evenly convex; width of clypeus twice its maximum height and 0.55 times width of face, clypeus convex, smooth and its ventral margin differentiated, narrow, thin, straight and upcurved (Fig. 68); hypoclypeal depression rather large (Fig. 69); malar suture present; mandible normal, slightly convex and without ventral carina (Fig. 69).
Figures 64–72. *Coleopioides diversinotum* sp. n., female, holotype. 64 Wings 65 mesosoma dorsal 66 propodeum and 1st-2nd metasomal tergites dorsal 67 hind leg 68 head anterior 69 mandible 70 pronotae dorsal 71 antenna 72 ovipositor.
Mesosoma. Length of mesosoma 1.3 times its height; dorsal pronope absent (Fig. 70); pronotal side smooth, but distinctly crenulate posteriorly and a few crenulae anteriorly, no ventral oblique carina (Fig. 63); epicnemial area largely smooth dorsally, with few weak crenulae; precoxal sulcus anteriorly and medially present, wide, coarsely rugose-crenulate (Fig. 63); rest of mesopleuron smooth; pleural sulcus smooth, except for some indistinct crenulae ventrally; mesosternum rather wide and with few crenulae, without postpectal carina; notauli nearly complete, narrow and finely crenulate (Fig. 65); mesoscutum smooth and with some setae medially; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum round and small (Fig. 65); lateral margin of mesoscutum crenulate; scutellar sulcus widely and coarsely crenulate (Fig. 65); scutellum smooth and slightly convex; dorsal surface of propodeum short and with short medio-longitudinal carina, transverse carina strong, posterior surface largely smooth, with median and sublateral carinae (Fig. 65).

Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 64): pterostigma wide elliptical; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.5 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 2:23:37; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 15:23:7; r short and slender; 1-M nearly straight; SR1 slightly curved; m-cu postfurcal by half its length; cu-a postfurcal and 1-CU1 widened; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b short; apical quarter of M+CU1 sclerotized; first discal cell of fore wing comparatively short. Hind wing (Fig. 64): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 18:12:7; cu-a slightly reclivous; m-cu absent; 1-1A curved.

Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 4.8, 7.8 and 3.7 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur and tibia with moderately long setae (Fig. 67).

Metasoma. Length of first tergite equal to its apical width, its surface strongly convex gradually medially, coarsely rugose and with dorsal carinae united near apical fifth of tergite (Fig. 66); second suture absent; second and following tergites smooth; second and third tergites 0.6 times as long as metasoma after first tergite; length of setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.05 times fore wing and 0.2 times hind tibia (Figs 63, 72).

Colour. Dark brown; mandible, palpi, scapus, tegulae and legs (but telotarsi darkened) pale yellowish; clypeus, malar space and mesopleuron largely yellowish-brown; pterostigma and veins brown; wing membrane slightly infuscate below base of pterostigma, remainder subhyaline.

Molecular data. COI, 16S, 28S (CVA 4244).

Distribution. *China (Hunan).

Biology. Unknown.

Etymology. Name derived from “diversus” (Latin for “different”) and “notum”, because of the differently sculptured mesoscutum.

Notes. The new species runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to *Xynobius indagatrix* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n.; *C. diversinotum* differs by lacking a dorsopore (present in *X. indagatrix*), the length of the eye in dorsal view 2.6 times temple (4.2 times in *X. indagatrix*), length of the first tergite about equal to its apical width (1.3 times) and the propodeum with strong transverse carina and carinate (densely rugose and without distinct transverse carina).
**Coleopioides postpectalis** Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:2FBC0E1E-FCC4-4FE7-86A7-A59D04B47C5C
http://species-id.net/wiki/Coleopioides_postpectalis

Figs 73–84

**Type material.** Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “N. China: Shandong, Anqiu, Suotou Mt., 31.VII.2009, c. 120 m, Li Xi-Ying, RMNH’09”. Paratypes (RMNH): 3 ♀ + 1 ♂ with same label-data; 1 ♂, “S. China: Hunan, nr Suining, Huangsang N.R., Shaoyang, 12–13.VI.2009, 1000 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”.

**Diagnosis.** Mandible with long fine ventral carina (Fig. 84); rim of clypeus pointed downwards (Fig. 78); pronotum and mesopleuron dorsally superficially granulate; occipital carina finely crenulate; clypeus comparatively wide (Fig. 78); tegulae dark brown; propleuron rugulose subposteriorly; notauli only anteriorly distinctly impressed; precoxal sulcus wide and crenulate (Fig. 73); postpectal carina medio-ventrally coarsely developed; first discal cell of fore wing comparatively transverse (Fig. 74); vein m-cu of fore wing 5 times longer than vein 2-SR+M (Fig. 74); second metasomal tergite of ♀ granulate (Fig. 76).

**Description.** Holotype, ♀, length of body 2.0 mm, of fore wing 2.1 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 19 segments (incomplete; in paratypes 1.3 times as long as fore wing); length of third segment 1.2 times fourth segment, length of third and fourth penultimate segments 2.3 and 2.0 times their width, respectively (Fig. 81); length of maxillary palp equal to height of head; labial palp segments slender; occipital carina finely crenulate (Fig. 84), rather close to hypostomal carina, absent dorsally and behind upper third of eye; with distinct groove behind stemmaticum; hypostomal carina medium-sized; length of eye in dorsal view 3.0 times temple; frons medially narrowly convex between depressions behind antennal sockets, with depression between antennal sockets and laterally slightly convex, glabrous; face sparsely finely punctate, evenly convex (Fig. 78); width of clypeus 2.8 times its maximum height and 0.7 times width of face, clypeus rather flat, smooth except for some punctures and its ventral margin slightly differentiated, thin, straight and pointed downwards; hypoclypeal depression rather large (Fig. 78); malar suture present; mandible normal, slightly convex and sparsely punctate and with fine ventral carina (Fig. 79).

**Mesosoma.** Length of mesosoma 1.2 times its height; pronope absent (Fig. 83); pronotal side smooth, but distinctly crenulate posteriorly, superficially granulate dorsally and oblique groove finely crenulate, no ventral oblique carina (Fig. 73); propleuron rugulose subposteriorly (Fig. 73); epicnemial area largely smooth dorsally, with few crenulae anteriorly; precoxal sulcus anteriorly and medially present, wide, coarsely crenulate (Fig. 73); rest of mesopleuron smooth; pleural sulcus indistinctly crenulate dorsally and distinctly crenulate ventrally; mesosternal sulcus as a row of punctures, with strong postpectal carina medio-ventrally; notauli only anteriorly widely impressed (Fig. 75); mesoscutum smooth and only posteriorly with few setae; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum round and small (Fig. 83); lateral margin of mesoscutum crenulate; scutellar sulcus wide and coarsely crenulate (Fig. 75); scutellum smooth and slightly convex; dorsal surface of propodeum...
not differentiated and with long medio-longitudinal carina, transverse carina distinct, posterior surface largely reticulate-rugose, with sublateral carinae (Fig. 76).

Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 74): pterostigma triangular; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.7 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 3:27:42; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 15:27:12; r short and slender; 1-M and SR1 nearly straight; m-cu postfurcal by fifth of its length; cu-a subinterstitial and 1-CU1 hardly widened; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b short; apical quarter of M+CU1 sclerotized; first subdiscal cell of fore wing moderately long. Hind wing (Fig. 74): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 5:4:2; cu-a slightly recivous; m-cu absent; 1-1A curved.
Figures 74–81. *Coleopioides postpectalis* sp. n., female, holotype. 74 Wings 75 mesosoma dorsal 76 propodeum and 1st-2nd metasomal tergites dorsal 77 hind leg 78 head anterior 79 mandible 80 ovipositor sheath 81 antennae.
Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 3.2, 8.0 and 4.0 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur and tibia with long setae (Fig. 77).

Metasoma. Length of first tergite 0.9 times its apical width, its surface evenly gradually convex medially, longitudinally rugose and punctate posteriorly and with dorsal carinae separated and up to middle of tergite (Fig. 76); second suture obsolescent; second tergite as long as third tergite (Fig. 73) and densely and finely granulate-punctate with some fine striae (Fig. 76), its epipleuron slightly less sclerotized than notum; third tergite (except apically) superficially granulate and following tergites smooth; second and third tergites 0.7 times as long as metasoma after first tergite; length of setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.06 times fore wing and 0.2 times hind tibia (Figs 73, 80).

Colour. Dark brown (including tegulae and apex of antenna in paratypes); head dorsally and mesosoma black; palpi, scapus and legs (but telotarsi darkened) pale yellowish; mandible, clypeus and malar space yellowish-brown; pterostigma (but apically pale brown) and veins brown; wing membrane evenly slightly infuscate.

Molecular data. COI, 16S, 28S (CVA4255).

Variation. Length of body 1.7–2.0 mm, of fore wing 1.9–2.1 mm; antennal segments of ♀ 24 (1), 25 (1) or 26 (1) and of ♂ 24 (2); ventral rim somewhat upcurved or pointed downwards; pronotal side and second tergite dark brown or yellowish-brown;
third tergite of male only superficially granulate, second tergite largely sculptured as in female or superficially granulate.

**Distribution.** *China (Shandong, Hunan).

**Biology.** Unknown.

**Etymology.** Name derived from “postpectus” (Latin for “posterior part of breast”), because of the presence of the postpectal carina.

**Notes.** The new species does not run well in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) because it has the second tergite granulate (the choices in the key are longitudinally striate or smooth), but if it is assumed that the sculpture is superficial and the tergite is nearly smooth then it runs to *Xynobius indagatrix* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n.; *C. postpectalis* differs by having the length of the eye in dorsal view 3 times temple (4.2 times in *X. indagatrix*), no dorsope (present), length of the hind femur about 3 times its width (4.7 times), length of first tergite 0.9 times its apical width (1.3 times), the second tergite granulate-punctate with some fine striae (smooth) and the propodeum with a median carina and areolate (densely rugose and without carina).

**Diachasmimorpha Viereck, 1913**

http://species-id.net/wiki/Diachasmimorpha

? *Trigonospilus* Ashmead, 1900a: 134. Type species (by original designation): *Trigonospilus hopkinsi* Ashmead, 1900 [type lost?].

*Diachasmimorpha* Viereck, 1913b: 641. Type species (by original designation): *Diachasmimorpha comperei* Viereck, 1913 [? = *D. albobalteata* (Cameron, 1912)].

*Parasteres* Fischer, 1967: 3. Type species (by original designation): *Biosteres acidusae* Fischer, 1967 (= *Opius tryoni* Cameron, 1911).

**Diagnosis.** Face without tubercles; antenna with 35–67 segments; clypeus evenly curved ventrally, at most widely triangularly protruding, usually narrower, or longer, not impressed; no distinct hypoclypeal depression, at most with a narrow slit; mandible usually comparatively short and wide; occipital carina near level of middle of eye straight or nearly so, without transverse carina or crest; clypeus more or less convex and comparatively high; scapus, fore coxa and trochanter at most weakly compressed; epistomal suture without large depressions; scutellar sulcus usually rather wide; postpectal carina completely absent; vein 2-SR of fore wing present, rarely absent; first subdiscal cell of fore wing at least partly closed by vein 3-CU1 postero-apically; vein cu-a of hind wing nearly always present; vein 3-SR of fore wing shorter than vein 2-SR; if subequal then vein m-cu of hind wing present as a weakly pigmented trace and precoxal sulcus absent; vein 1-M of fore wing more or less curved posteriorly, but sometimes nearly straight; vein m-cu of fore wing slightly postfurcal; length of fore wing usually more than 3 mm; fourth tergite (at least partly) exposed; third tergite (largely) smooth; ovipositor long, usually as long as fore wing or longer.

**Biology.** Parasitoids of Tephritidae.

**Distribution.** Cosmopolitan, but no species known from Northwest Europe.
Diachasmimorpha longicaudata (Ashmead, 1905)
http://species-id.net/wiki/Diachasmimorpha_longicaudata

Biosteres longicaudatus Ashmead, 1905: 970.

Biology. Polyphagous parasitoid of Tephritidae, including Bactrocera, Carponmya, Da-
cus, Myopites, Philophylla, Procecidochares, Rhagoletis and Toxotrypana spp.

Distribution. Oriental and introduced in southern U.S.A., Neotropics, Pacific, southern
Europe and Australia for biocontrol.

Genus Fopius Wharton, 1987
http://species-id.net/wiki/Fopius
Figs 85–94

Fopius Wharton, 1987: 68-69 (as subgenus of Rhynchosteres Fischer, 1965), 1988: 353,
1997: 16–19 (genus rank), 1999: 50 (review with matrix of character states); van
Achterberg and Maetô 1990: 60 (genus rank). Type species (by original designa-
tion): Rhynchosteres (Fopius) silvestrii Wharton, 1987 [examined].

Diagnosis. Face without tubercles; propleuron with short subapical carina; clypeus
more or less convex ventrally and comparatively high, without distinct hypoclypeal
depression, at most with a narrow slit (Fig. 91); mandible robust (Fig. 93); occipital ca-
rina near level of middle of eye straight or nearly so, without transverse carina or crest;
scapus, fore coxa and trochanter at most weakly compressed; epistomal suture without
large depressions; scutellar sulcus wide (Fig. 85); postpectal carina more or less devel-
oped medio-ventrally; vein 2-SR of fore wing present; first subdiscal cell of fore wing
closed by vein 3-CU1 and short vein CU1b postero-apically (Fig. 86); vein cu-a of
hind wing present (Fig. 86); vein 3-SR of fore wing shorter than vein 2-SR; vein m-cu
of hind wing present as a weakly pigmented trace (Fig. 86); precoxal sulcus present;
vein m-cu of fore wing antefurcal or interstitial; length of fore wing usually more than
3 mm; dorsopleur of first tergite more or less present (Fig. 86); fourth tergite exposed;
third tergite (largely) smooth; ovipositor long, usually as long as fore wing or longer.

Biology. Parasitoids of Tephritidae, at least partly ovo-larval (Wharton 1997).

Fopius dorsopiferus Li, van Achterberg & Tan, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:BB415FA4-5FE0-4F47-98C8-F14290E7D0AE
http://species-id.net/wiki/Fopius_dorsopiferus
Figs 85–94

Type material. Holotype, ♂ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Chengbu, Nan Mt.,
Shaoyang, 1500 m, 10–11.VI.2009, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”, “CVA 4246, sp. 12”. 
Diagnosis. Oblique carina of propleuron present (Fig. 85); postpectal carina coarsely developed medio-ventrally (Fig. 85); length of fore wing more than 3 mm; vein 3-SR of fore wing shorter than vein 2-SR (Fig. 86); dorsope of first tergite present (Fig. 88).

Description. Holotype, ♂, length of body 3.6 mm, of fore wing 3.2 mm.

Head. Antenna with 39 segments and 1.8 times as long as fore wing; length of third segment 1.1 times fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 3.2, 2.8, and 2.3 times their width, respectively (Fig. 89); length of maxillary palp equal to height of head; labial palp segments slender (Fig. 94); occipital carina far from hypostomal carina and dorsally absent; median pit behind stemmaticum present (Fig. 91); hypostomal carina narrow; length of eye in dorsal view 2.5 times temple; frons medially flat, rugose and depressed near antennal sockets, rest setose, densely and coarsely punctate and slightly convex (Fig. 92); face largely coarsely punctate, medially indistinctly elevated (Fig. 91); width of clypeus 2.1 times its maximum height and 0.6 times width of face, clypeus flattened, largely smooth and its ventral margin differentiated, wide, thin and slightly curved (Fig. 91); hypoclypeal depression nearly absent (Fig. 91); malar suture present; mandible large, punctate, without ventral carina (Fig. 93).

Mesosoma. Length of mesosoma 1.3 times its height; dorsal pronope absent; pronotal side smooth dorsally and ventrally, remainder largely costate crenulate, no ventral oblique carina (Fig. 85); epinomial area largely smooth dorsally, with few weak crenulae; precoxal sulcus only medially distinctly impressed, wide, coarsely rugose-crenulate (Fig. 85); rest of mesopleuron smooth; pleural sulcus smooth, except for some indistinct crenulae ventrally; mesosternal sulcus hardly impressed but row of
Figures 86–94. *Fopius dorsopiferus* sp. n., male, holotype. 
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coarse punctures and posteriorly with strongly developed postpectal carina; notauli complete, deep and widely crenulate (Fig. 87); middle lobe with pair of longitudinal depressions, lobes largely densely setose and finely punctate (Fig. 87); medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; scutellar sulcus widely crenulate; scutellum sparsely punctate and slightly convex; dorsal surface of propodeum narrow and with short medio-longitudinal carina, surface rather coarsely reticulate-rugose (Fig. 88).

**Wings.** Fore wing (Fig. 86): pterostigma wide elliptical; 1-R1 not reaching wing apex and 1.5 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 10:18:73; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 25:18:13; r long and slender; 1-M nearly straight; SR1 sinuate; m-cu interstitial; cu-a slightly postfurcal and 1-CU1 widened; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b rather short; M+CU1 entirely sclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 86): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 30:23:14; cu-a straight; m-cu long; subbasal cell largely glabrous.

**Legs.** Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 3.2, 7.0 and 4.2 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur and tibia with moderately long setae (Fig. 90).

**Metasoma.** Length of first tergite 1.0 times its apical width, dorsope small, its surface rather flat, longitudinally striate (but basally smooth) and with dorsal carinae separated and up to basal 0.6 of tergite (Fig. 88); second tergite largely longitudinally aciculate (Fig. 88); second suture absent; third and following tergites smooth.

**Colour.** Yellowish-brown; mandible, palpi, tegulae and legs (but hind tarsus more or less dark brown) pale yellowish; antenna (except scapus), mesosoma (except mesoscutum, scutellum, pronotum dorsally and mesopleuron antero-dorsally), metasoma, pterostigma and veins dark brown; wing membrane slightly infuscate.

**Molecular data.** COI, 16S, 28S (CVA 4246).

**Distribution.** *China (Hunan)*.

**Biology.** Unknown.

**Etymology.** Name derived from “dorsope” (morphological term for the dorso-basal depression of first tergite) and “fero” (Latin for “carrying”), because of the distinctly impressed dorsope.

**Notes.** The new species runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to *Fopius vandenboschi* (Fullaway, 1952). *Fopius dorsopiferus* differs by having the length of the hind femur about 3 times its width (5 times in *F. vandenboschi*), length of first tergite equal to its apical width (0.8 times), the postpectal carina strongly developed (obsolescent or absent) and the first tergite with small dorsope (absent).

---

**Indiopius Fischer, 1966**

http://species-id.net/wiki/Indiopius

Figs 95–104

*Indiopius* Fischer, 1966: 154–155. Type species (by original designation): *Indiopius humillimus* Fischer, 1966 [examined].

**Diagnosis.** Marginal cell of fore wing open apically and long (Fig. 96); veins m-cu and r-m of fore wing absent (Fig. 96); vein 2-SR of fore wing absent (Fig. 96); first subdis-
cal cell of fore wing open postero-apically; vein cu-a of hind wing absent (Fig. 96); clypeus wide, short and impressed (Fig. 101); mandible long and slender (Fig. 102); occipital carina entirely absent; first-third metasomal tergites more or less coriaceous or rugulose (Fig. 98); fourth-six tergites largely retracted.

**Biology.** Unknown.

**Indiopius chenae** van Achterberg & Li, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:7576A822-B223-432D-A033-AAC11863C820
http://species-id.net/wiki/Indiopius_chenae
Figs 95–104

**Material.** Holotype, ♂ (ZUH), “China: Hunan, Changde, Taoyuan, Mao-zong-ling Xiang, Xian-feng, Ri-tou-wang, at light, CN 1032, 11.viii.2010, P.-P. Chen, RMNH’11”.

**Diagnosis.** Frons without elongate depression or punctures; antenna of ♂ with about 19 segments; occipital carina absent, at most with short ventral part present; head dorsally dark brown and mesoscutum chestnut-brown; scutellar sulcus wide (Fig. 97); vein 2-1A of fore wing pigmented; vein cu-a of fore wing postfurcal by its width or interstitial; vein 1-R1 of fore wing 1.0-1.3 times as long as pterostigma and vein 1-R1 of fore wing 1-4 times as long as distance between its apex and apex of fore wing; posterior margin of pterostigma straight; vein 1-SR present; vein 3-SR+SR1 pointing to apex of fore wing (Fig. 96); hind femur wider than middle femur (Fig. 99); fore femur about as wide as middle femur (Figs 99, 100); first tergite granulate or rugulose and about as long as wide apically.

**Description.** Holotype, ♂, length of body 1.3 mm, of fore wing 1.5 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 19 segments and 0.9 times as long as fore wing; length of third segment 1.4 times fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 3.4, 2.2 and 2.7 times their width, respectively (Fig. 103); length of maxillary palp 0.6 times height of head; labial palp segments slender (Fig. 101); short part of occipital carina far from hypostomal carina (Fig. 102), remainder largely absent; median depression behind stemmaticum absent; hypostomal carina narrow; length of eye in dorsal view 1.7 times temple (Fig. 97); frons medially with small pit, smooth and depressed near antennal sockets, glabrous (Fig. 97); face smooth except for some setiferous punctures (Fig. 101); width of clypeus 3.3 times its maximum height and 0.7 times width of face, clypeus flattened, largely smooth and its ventral margin not differentiated, thin and flat (Fig. 102); hypoclypeal depression medium-sized (Fig. 101); malar suture present as wide depression (Fig. 102); mandible large, hardly twisted, smooth and with narrow ventral carina (Fig. 102).

**Mesosoma.** Length of mesosoma 1.2 times its height; dorsal pronope absent; pronotal side smooth, no ventral oblique carina (Fig. 95); epicnemial area smooth; precoxal sulcus only medially and anteriorly impressed, narrow and distinctly cren-
ulate (Fig. 95); rest of mesopleuron smooth; pleural sulcus smooth, except for some indistinct crenulae ventrally; mesosternal sulcus impressed, crenulate and posteriorly without postpectal carina, but with short carina above base of middle coxa; notauli absent on disc, with a pair of short crenulate impressions anteriorly (Fig. 97); middle lobe with a shallow longitudinal depression anteriorly, lobes smooth and glabrous; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; scutellar sulcus moderately wide and distinctly crenulate, but narrowed laterally (Fig. 97); scutellum smooth and slightly convex, wide posteriorly (Fig. 97); surface of propodeum oblique and without medio-longitudinal carina, surface smooth, except some crenulae posteriorly (Fig. 97).

**Wings.** Fore wing (Fig. 96): pterostigma nearly triangular; 1-R1 not reaching wing apex and as long as pterostigma; veins r, 3-SR and SR1 not differentiated; 1-M straight; cu-a slightly postfurcal and 1-CU1 widened; first subdiscal...
Figures 96–104. *Indiopius chenae* sp. n., female, holotype. 96 Wings 97 head and mesosoma dorsal 98 propodeum and 1<sup>st</sup>–2<sup>nd</sup> metasomal tergites dorsal 99 hind leg 100 fore leg 101 head anterior 102 mandible 103 antenna 104 ovipositor sheath.
cell open, CU1b absent; M+CU1 only apically sclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 96): narrow, cu-a absent.

Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 3.3, 9.0 and 6.0 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur with long setae ventrally (Fig. 99).

Metasoma. Length of first tergite 1.1 times its apical width, dorsose absent, its surface rather flat, longitudinally finely rugulose (but basally smooth) and with dorsal carinae separated and up to apex of tergite (Fig. 98); second tergite largely superficially granulate and shiny (Fig. 98); second suture absent; third and following tergites smooth; setose part of ovipositor sheath short (Fig. 104), 0.04 times as long as fore wing.

Colour. Yellowish-brown; mandible, scapus ventrally, clypeus, palpi and legs (but telotarsi more or less infuscate) yellow; antenna (except scapus and pedicellus), head dorsally, laterally and posteriorly, and apical half of metasoma dark brown; tegulae, pterostigma and veins brown; mesoscutum and scutellum chestnut-brown; wing membrane slightly infuscate.

Molecular data. None.

Distribution. *China (Hunan).

Notes. Only one other species is known from China (Fujian): *Indiopius alutacius* Weng & Chen, 2001. It has the head yellowish-brown dorsally (with only the frons medially infuscate; dark brown in *I. chenae*), the scutellar sulcus is narrow (moderately wide) and the fore femur is moderately slender (robust).

Etymology. Named after its collector and well-known specialist of aquatic He-miptera, Dr Ping-Ping Chen (Tiel).

---

**Neopius Gahan, 1917 stat. n.**

*Neopius* Gahan, 1917: 203. Type species (by original designation): *Neopius carinaticeps* Gahan, 1917 (= *Opius rudis* Wesmael, 1835) [senior synonym examined].

Notes. Small Holarctic genus that has not yet been found in Hunan, but likely occurs in northern China. The type species occurs in Far East Russia and Korea (Yu et al. 2012). Traditionally *Neopius* Gahan has been included as synonym in the subgenus *Xynobius* (e.g. Fischer 1972b) and recently (Papp 2005) as a subgenus of the genus *Xynobius*. Provisionally, Quicke et al. (1997) included *Neopius* as a subgenus in *Phaedrotoma* because it has no apomorphies in common with *Xynobius* or *Opius* s.s. and *Phaedrotoma* was the “taxonomic dustbin genus” where it was included on the basis of plesiomorphies. The molecular data presented here indicate that it is not closely related to *Phaedrotoma* and, consequently, it is treated as independent genus with its own apomorphies (occipital carina secondarily complete dorsally (sometimes irregular and weak medio-dorsally) and frons distinctly granulate).
**Opiognathus** Fischer, 1972 stat. n.
Figs 105–125

**Opiognathus** Fischer, 1972b: 140 (as subgenus of *Opius* Wesmael). Type species (by original designation): *Opius pactus* Haliday, 1837 [examined].

**Diagnosis.** Hind tibia with a long to medium-sized and more or less curved carinula basally (Figs 113, 123); face without tubercles; in front of anterior ocellus without a distinct depression; frons with pair of shallow depressions above antennal sockets; occipital carina present laterally, not or slightly curved ventrally and remain removed from hypostomal carina (Figs 112, 124), near level of middle of eye straight or nearly so, without transverse carina or crest; clypeus more or less convex and high (Figs 111, 122); labrum normal, without emargination ventrally; hypoclypeal depression distinct; malar suture absent; scapus, fore coxa and trochanter at most weakly compressed; epistomal suture without large depressions; mandible gradually (Fig. 112) or abruptly widened baso-ventrally and apical half abruptly narrowed (Fig. 124) or not; pronotum short and subvertical; pronope absent (and with a deep slit-like depression in front of middle lobe of mesoscutum) or large; side of pronotum anteriorly below groove without a distinctly elevated area; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum large (Fig. 107) or absent (Fig. 117); scutellar sulcus rather wide to medium-sized; propodeum reticulate (Figs 108, 118) or largely smooth posteriorly, with a short or no medio-longitudinal carina and no posterior areola; precoxal sulcus coarsely or very finely crenulate; postpectal carina completely absent; vein 2-SR of fore wing present; first subdiscal cell of fore wing at least partly closed by vein 3-CU1 and comparatively long vein CU1b postero-apically (Figs 116, 127); vein 1-M of fore wing slightly to moderately curved and vein 1-SR medium-sized; vein cu-a of hind wing present and vein m-cu absent; vein 3-SR of fore wing distinctly longer than vein 2-SR; length of fore wing less than 3.5 mm; second tergite without sharp lateral crease, smooth; length of second and third tergites combined less than 0.7 times length of metasoma behind first tergite; fourth and following tergites (at least partly) exposed; ovipositor sheath about 0.1 times as long as fore wing. According to the molecular data, belongs at the base of a clade with *Opius*, *Phaedrotoma* and related genera.

**Biology.** The type species has been reported as a parasitoid of leaf-mining Tephritidae.

**Notes.** Unfortunately, Fischer designated thrice a type species of the subgenus *Opiognathus* Fischer, 1972b (not 1972a: 393, it is a nomen nudum in this paper because no type species was designated and more than one species was included (Int. Code Zool. Nom. Art. 13b)). Fischer (1972b) designated *Opius pactus* Haliday, 1837, which has the mandible only gradually widened basally, less than indicated in the general diagnosis. In 1978 Fischer designated a second type species for *Opiognathus*: *Opius mokotoensis* Fischer, 1968, which has indeed the mandible more distinctly widened basally, but this designation is invalid because in 1972 a valid type species was designated. In 1986 Fischer (p. 613) designated a third type species for the subgenus:
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Opius dewulfi Fischer, 1968, which is equally invalid. In this paper the original designation is accepted and the quotation about the widened mandible is considered to be a gradually widened mandible and Opiognathus is treated as a valid genus separate from the genus Utetes Foerster despite the presence of the carinula of the hind tibia because of morphological and molecular differences. Opiognathus can be separated as follows:

1. Mandible with large rectangular baso-ventral lobe (about as wide as mandible subbasally) or gradually widened baso-ventrally; pronope rather large and elliptical; in front of anterior ocellus flat, without a distinct impression........

...................................................................................

Opiognathus Fischer

– Mandible normal, without distinct baso-ventral lobe or tooth, at most slightly widened ventrally; pronope large groove- or slit-like or round, rarely absent; area in front of anterior ocellus variable ......................... Utetes Foerster

Opiognathus aulaciferus Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:A840EDA2-C9C0-4390-BA55-1BC8F19A0D60

http://species-id.net/wiki/Opiognathus_aulaciferus

Figs 105–114

Type material. Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Tian Ping Mt., 9–13.VII.2009, 550 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’10”.

Figure 105. Opiognathus aulaciferus sp. n., female, holotype. Habitus lateral.
Figures 106–114. *Opiognathus aulaciferus* sp. n., female, holotype. 106 Wings 107 mesosoma dorsal 108 propodeum and 1–3rd metasomal tergites dorsal 109 antenna 110 hind leg 111 head anterior 112 mandible 113 base of hind tibia inner side 114 ovipositor sheath.
Diagnosis. Mandible gradually widened basally (Fig. 112); hypoclypeal depression medium-sized; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum deep and large (Fig. 107); first tergite sculptured and rather matt (Fig. 108); propodeum coarsely reticulate and without smooth areas (Fig. 108); posterior groove of pronotum widely crenulate; propleuron slightly concave.

Description. Holotype, ♀, length of body 2.3 mm, of fore wing 2.3 mm. Head. Antenna with 26 segments and 1.1 times as long as fore wing; length of third segment 1.2 times fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 3.3, 2.4 and 2.2 times their width, respectively (Fig. 109); length of maxillary palp equal to height of head; labial palp segments moderately elongate; occipital carina moderately close to hypostomal carina and dorsally absent (Fig. 112); median groove behind stemmaticum obsolescent; hypostomal carina narrow; length of eye in dorsal view 2.4 times temple; frons flat and glabrous medially, smooth and laterally convex and setose; face smooth, medially slightly elevated (Fig. 111); width of clypeus twice its maximum height and 0.5 times width of face, clypeus convex, largely smooth and its ventral margin differentiated and slightly curved medially (Fig. 111); hypoclypeal depression medium-sized (Fig. 111); mandible slightly convex gradually widened ventro-basally and with fine ventral carina (Fig. 112).

Mesosoma. Length of mesosoma 1.3 times its height; dorsal pronope absent, but with deep slit-like depression in front of middle lobe of mesoscutum (as in type species); pronotal side smooth, no ventral oblique carina posteriorly and posterior groove widely crenulate (Fig. 105); propleuron slightly concave; epicnemial area smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus only medially distinctly impressed and moderately crenulate (Fig. 105); rest of mesopleuron smooth; pleural sulcus smooth; mesosternal sulcus medium-sized and coarsely crenulate; notaui absent on disc, except for a pair of short crenulate impressions anteriorly; mesoscutum glabrous; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum large, deep and elliptical (Fig. 107); scutellar sulcus wide and coarsely crenulate (Fig. 107); scutellum smooth and slightly convex; dorsal surface of propodeum short and with a short medio-longitudinal carina connected to an irregular transverse carina and with a nearly triangular areola, without costulae and remaining far from medio-longitudinal carina (Fig. 108).

Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 106): pterostigma elongate triangular; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.2 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 3:23:42; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 14:23:6; r slender; 1-M curved; 2-M and SR1 weakly curved; m-cu moderately far postfurcal and gradually merging into vein 2-CU1; cu-a slightly postfurcal and 1-CU1 widened; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b comparatively long; apical third of M+CU1 sclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 106): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 15:13:8; cu-a straight; m-cu absent.

Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 4.1, 7.1 and 5.2 times as long as wide, respectively (Fig. 110); setae of hind femur and tibia moderately long; carinula of hind tibia long and distinctly curved (Fig. 113).

Metasoma. Length of first tergite 1.1 times its apical width, its surface evenly convex medially and reticulate rugose; dorsal carinae remain separated from each other and reaching apex of tergite (Fig. 108); second and following tergites smooth; second suture
absent; third and following tergites partly desclerotized apically; length of setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.10 times fore wing and 0.35 times hind tibia (Figs 105, 114).

**Colour.** Black or blackish-brown; antenna dark brown but scape brownish-yellow; palpi, mandible, tegulae, legs and humeral plate pale yellowish; clypeus and malar space mainly, tegulum, base of second tergite and metasoma baso-ventrally yellowish-brown; propodeum and area below precoxal sulcus dark brown; pterostigma and veins mainly brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

*Molecular data.* None.

**Distribution.** *China (Hunan).*

**Biology.** Unknown.

**Etymology.** Name derived from “aulon” (Greek for “channel”) and “fero” (Latin for “carrying”), because of the anteriorly shallowly impressed notauli.

**Notes.** The new species runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to *Utetes antennbrevis* Weng & Chen, 2005. *Opignathus aulaciferus* differs by having the length of the pleural sulcus smooth (crenulate in *U. antennbrevis*), the second submarginal cell of the fore wing comparatively narrow (wide), length of the antenna 1.1 times fore wing (about 0.8 times) and head and mesosoma mainly blackish-brown (reddish-brown).

*Opiognathus brevibasalis* Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:8768DAB9-003E-4C7C-AE41-BE35F6CB257D
http://species-id.net/wiki/Opiognathus_brevibasalis
Figs 115–125

**Type material.** Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Bamaoxi, 2–3.VI.2009, 540 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”. Paratypes (RMNH): 3 ♀, same label data.

**Diagnosis.** Mandible abruptly widened basally (Fig. 122); hypoclypeal depression absent (Fig. 122); medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; first tergite largely smooth and shiny (Fig. 118); propodeum largely smooth, with square areola posteriorly, remaining far removed from short medio-longitudinal carina and from transverse subbasal carina (Fig. 118); posterior groove of pronotum smooth; propodeum flattened.

**Description.** Holotype, ♀, length of body 2.1 mm, of fore wing 2.0 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 24 segments and 1.2 times as long as fore wing; length of third segment 1.3 times fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 4.2, 3.2 and 2.2 times their width, respectively (Fig. 119); length of maxillary palp 0.9 times height of head; labial palp segments short, about twice as long as wide; occipital carina moderately close to hypostomal carina (Fig. 124) and dorsally absent; median groove behind stemmaticum obsolete; hypostomal carina rather narrow; length of eye in dorsal view 1.8 times temple; frons glabrous medially and laterally, smooth; face smooth, medially slightly elevated; width of clypeus twice its maximum height and 0.6 times width of face, clypeus convex, largely smooth and its ventral
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margin differentiated and nearly straight medially (Fig. 122); hypoclypeal depression absent (Fig. 122); mandible slightly convex, abruptly widened ventro-basally and with a short ventral carina (Fig. 124).

Mesosoma. Length of mesosoma 1.2 times its height; dorsal pronope large and round (Fig. 121); pronotal side largely smooth, oblique groove largely finely crenulate, with a ventral oblique carina posteriorly and posterior groove absent or nearly so, slightly finely crenulate (Fig. 115); propleuron flattened; epicnemial area smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus only medially distinctly impressed and finely crenulate (Fig. 115); rest of mesopleuron smooth; pleural sulcus smooth; mesosternal sulcus narrow and finely crenulate; notauli absent on disc, except for a pair of short partly crenulate impressions anteriorly; mesoscutum glabrous (Fig. 117); medio-posterior depression

Figure 115. Opiognathus brevibasalis sp. n., female, holotype. Habitus lateral.
Figures 116–125. *Opiognathus brevibasalis* sp. n., female, holotype. 116 Wings 117 mesosoma dorsal 118 propodeum and 1*-2*-metasomal tergites dorsal 119 antenna 120 hind leg 121 pronope dorsal 122 head anterior 123 base of hind tibia inner side 124 mandible 125 ovipositor sheath.
of mesoscutum absent; scutellar sulcus medium-sized and finely crenulate; scutellum smooth and slightly convex; dorsal surface of propodeum short and with a short medio-longitudinal carina connected to a rather regular transverse carina, largely smooth, posteriorly with small square areola, remaining far removed from medio-longitudinal carina and transverse carina (Fig. 118).

**Wings.** Fore wing (Fig. 116): pterostigma elongate triangular; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.2 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 1:22:44; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 14:22:6; r slender; 1-M curved; 2-M and SR1 nearly straight; m-cu moderately far postfurcal and angled to vein 2-CU1; cu-a interstitial; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b comparatively long; apical third of M+CU1 sclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 116): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 15:12:7; cu-a straight; m-cu absent.

**Legs.** Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 4.9, 8.8 and 4.7 times as long as wide, respectively (Fig. 120); setae of hind femur and tibia moderately long; carinula of hind tibia long and distinctly sinuate (Fig. 120).

**Metasoma.** Length of first tergite 1.1 times its apical width, its surface evenly convex medially but posteriorly protuberant and largely smooth, dorsal carinae remain separated from each other (except for a short ruga) and end near middle of tergite (Fig. 118); second and following tergites smooth; second suture absent; third and following tergites partly desclerotized apically; length of setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.06 times fore wing and 0.2 times hind tibia (Figs 115, 125).

**Colour.** Brownish-black; antenna dark brown but scapus brownish-yellow; palpi, mandible, clypeus and malar space mainly, tegulae, legs, propodeum, pronotal side postero-ventrally and metasoma ventrally pale yellowish; mesopleuron largely, metapleuron, metasoma largely dorsally yellowish-brown; pterostigma and veins mainly brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

**Molecular data.** None.

**Variation.** Length of body 1.7-2.1 mm and of fore wing 2.0-2.2 mm; antennal segments of ♀ 24 (1), 25 (1) or 26 (2); first metasomal tergite yellowish-brown or mainly dark brown.

**Distribution.** *China (Hunan).

**Biology.** Unknown.

**Etymology.** Name derived from “brevis” (Latin for “short”) and “basis” (Latin for “base”), because of the basally shortly widened mandibles.

**Notes.** The new species runs (with some difficulty) in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to *Rhogadopsis pratensis* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n. *Opiognathus brevisbasalis* differs by having a sinuate carinula on the hind tibia (absent in *R. pratensis*), the medio-posterior depression of the mesoscutum absent (present), the first tergite yellowish-brown apically and similar to second tergite (reddish-brown and darker) and length of first tergite 1.1 times its apical width (1.3 times).
Opiostomus Fischer, 1972 stat. n.

Opiostomus Fischer, 1972a: 389 (as subgenus of Opius Wesmael, 1835). Type species (by monotypy): Opius kovacsi Fischer, 1963.

Snoflakopius Fischer, 1972b: 70, 177 (as subgenus of Opius Wesmael, 1835) Type species (by original designation): Opius snoflaki Fischer, 1959 [examined]. Syn. n.

Jucundopius Fischer, 1984a: 34 (as subgenus of Opius Wesmael, 1835), 1998: 22, 24-25 (as subgenus in the genus Eurytenes Wesmael, 1836). Type species (by original designation): Opius (Jucundopius) jucundicola Fischer, 1984 [examined]. Syn. n.

Opiotenes Fischer, 1998: 23 (as subgenus of Eurytenes Wesmael, 1836). Type species (by original designation): Opius leptostigma Wesmael, 1835 [examined]. Syn. n.

Oetztalotenes Fischer, 1998: 22-23 (as subgenus of Eurytenes Wesmael, 1836). Type species (by original designation): Eurytenes (Oetztalotenes) oetztalicola Fischer, 1998 [examined]. Syn. n.

Diagnosis. Hypoclypeal depression absent or narrow, and medially ventral margin of clypeus near upper level of condyli of mandibles (“mouth closed”); mandible strongly twisted, its second tooth hardly visible in lateral view, and with a medium-sized to large ventro-basal tooth, but minute or obsolescent in the subgenus Snoflakopius Fischer (stat. n.); pronope usually absent and at most medium-sized; pterostigma sublinear; vein 3-SR of fore wing 1.4 times vein 2-SR or longer; hind coxae normal, rounded ventrally; second-fourth tarsal segments comparatively slender; telotarsus and arolium not enlarged; dorsope usually large and close to lateral margin of first tergite; hypopygium of female at most slightly incised.

Biology. Parasitoids of Agromyzidae.

Distribution. Holarctic, Afrotropical, northern Oriental.

Notes. Ranked as a genus, because it does not fit in Opius and, according to its morphology, it is different from other genera with dorsope present.

Opiostomus aureliae (Fischer, 1957) comb. n.
http://species-id.net/wiki/Opiostomus_aureliae

Opius aureliae Fischer, 1957: 343 [examined].

Additional material. 1 ♀ (HANC), “S. China: Hunan, Zhangjiajie, Forest Park, 1.VIII.1989, Benzhy Dai, No. 213”.

Biology. Unknown.

Distribution. Holarctic, North Oriental (China: Hunan). New for China.
Genus *Opius* Wesmael, 1835 sensu stricto
Figs 126–195

*Opius* Wesmael, 1835: 113; Fischer, 1972b: 67-69. Type species (designation by Muesebeck and Walkley (1951), validated by ICZN Opinion 1497 (1988): *Opius pallipes* Wesmael, 1835 [examined].

*Hypolabis* Foerster, 1862: 115. Type species (by original designation): *Opius pallipes* Wesmael, 1835 [examined].

*Cryptonastes* Foerster, 1862: 260. Type species (by original designation): *Cryptonastes tersus* Foerster, 1862 [examined].

*Misophthora* Foerster, 1862: 266. Type species (by original designation): *Misophthora laevigata* Foerster, 1862 [examined].

*Hypocynodus* Foerster, 1862: 260. Type species (by original designation): *Opius crassipes* Wesmael, 1835 [examined].

*Desmatophorus* Thomson, 1895: 2194. Type species (by Vieereck 1914b): *Bracon pygmaeator* Nees, 1812 [type lost].

*Stomosema* Fischer, 1972b: 70, 330. Type species (by original designation): *Opius mutus* Fischer, 1964.

*Nosopaeopius* Fischer, 1972b: 70, 206. Type species (by original designation): *Opius ochrogaster* Wesmael, 1835 [examined].

*Pendopius* Fischer, 1972b: 71, 409. Type species (by original designation): *Opius pendulus* Haliday, 1837 [examined].

*Allophlebus* Fischer, 1972b: 71, 416. Type species (by original designation): *Opius singularis* Wesmael, 1835 [examined].

*Opiothorax* Fischer, 1972b: 71, 441, 1995: 220-221 (key to Palaearctic species). Type species (by original designation): *Opius levis* Wesmael, 1835 [examined].

*Odontopoea* Fischer, 1987: 610. Type species (by original designation): *Opius (Nosopoea) epulatus* Papp, 1981 [examined].

**Diagnosis.** Face without tubercles; in front of anterior ocellus without distinct depression; frons without pair of distinct depressions above antennal sockets, but whole frons may be depressed; occipital carina present laterally, not or slightly curved ventrally and remain removed from hypostomal carina (Figs 132, 142, 153, 163, 173, 183, 193), near level of middle of eye straight or nearly so, without transverse carina or crest; clypeus more or less convex and higher, usually narrower, or longer, not impressed; labrum normal, without emargination ventrally; scapus, fore coxa and trochanter at most weakly compressed; epistomal suture without large depressions; mandible asymmetrical and more or less abruptly widened basally (Figs 132, 142, 153, 163, 173, 183, 193), with rectangular or acute angle, rarely in males less developed (e.g. *O. ochrogaster*); medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum variable; pronope round or wide elliptical, or pronotum only with a shallow transverse groove; scutellar sulcus usually rather wide; propodeum usually smooth or superficially sculptured; postpectal carina completely absent; vein 2-SR of fore wing present, rarely absent; first subdiscal cell of fore wing at least
partly closed by vein 3-CU1 postero-apically (Figs 127, 137, 146, 158, 168, 178, 187); vein 1-M of fore wing usually straight; vein cu-a of hind wing nearly always present; vein 3-SR of fore wing distinctly longer than vein 2-SR; if subequal then vein m-cu of hind wing or precoxal sulcus (almost) absent; length of fore wing usually less than 3.5 mm; second and basal half of third tergite without sharp lateral crease, if sometimes weakly developed then second tergite smooth; length of second and third tergites combined less than 0.7 times length of metasoma behind first tergite; fourth and following tergites (at least partly) exposed; ovipositor sheath more or less setose basally.

**Biology.** Parasitoids of mining larvae of Agromyzidae, Anthomyiidae, Drosophilidae, Tephritidae, Ephydridae and Cecidomyiidae.

**Notes.** The asymmetrical (excluding ventro-basal carina) and more or less abruptly widened mandible is essential for the recognition of this group. Some opiiine species may cause confusion because of a rather wide ventro-basal carina of the mandible but are excluded from *Opius* s.s. because the mandible itself (in ventro-lateral view) is gradually widened basally.

**Opius crenuliferus** Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:0B2F7D87-9042-4B7C-8DF2-00B28DAE794D
http://species-id.net/wiki/Opius_crenuliferus
Figs 126–135

**Type material.** Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Bamaoxi, 2–3.VI.2009, 540 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”, “CVA4252, sp. 18”.

**Diagnosis.** Oblique groove of pronotum largely crenulate; setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.06 times as long as fore wing and about 0.7 times as long as first tergite (Fig. 126); clypeus truncate ventrally; hypoclypeal depression narrow slit-shaped (Fig. 131); medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent (Fig. 128); vein CU1b of fore wing short (Fig. 127); vein r of fore wing short (Fig. 127); vein m-cu of fore wing parallel to vein 1-M (Fig. 127); hind tibia apically and tarsus brownish.

**Description.** Holotype, ♀, length of body 1.8 mm, of fore wing 2.1 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 25 segments and 1.2 times as long as fore wing; third segment 1.1 times as long as fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 4.0, 3.5 and 2.3 times their width, respectively (Fig. 134); length of maxillary palp 0.9 times height of head; labial palp segments petiolate, rather moniliform; occipital carina rather close to hypostomal carina and dorsally absent (Fig. 132); hypostomal carina medium-sized; length of eye in dorsal view 2.1 times temple; frons flattened and glabrous, smooth; face smooth, medially weakly elevated; width of clypeus 1.6 times its maximum height and 0.55 times width of face; clypeus flattened, smooth except for some punctures and its ventral margin thin and straight (Fig. 131); hypoclypeal depression slit-like, narrow (Fig. 131); malar suture present; without punctures between malar suture and clypeus; mandible abruptly widened baso-ventrally, with mediumsized and weakly protruding ventral carina (Fig. 132).
Mesosoma. Length of mesosoma 1.2 times its height; dorsal pronope obsolescent and pronotum oblique anteriorly (Fig. 135); oblique groove of pronotum largely crenulate, remainder of sides smooth and posterior groove largely absent (Fig. 126); epicnemial area smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus medially shallowly impressed, smooth as rest of mesopleuron; pleural sulcus smooth; mesosternal sulcus very narrow and very finely crenulate; notauli absent on disc, only anteriorly with short smooth impressions (Fig. 128); mesoscutum glabrous and strongly shiny; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; scutellar sulcus narrow and finely crenulate; scutellum smooth and slightly convex; surface of propodeum smooth, except for some short curved carinæ medio-posteriorly (Fig. 129).
Figures 127–135. *Opius crenuliferus* sp. n., female, holotype. 127 Wings 128 mesosoma dorsal 129 propodeum and 1<sup>st</sup>-2<sup>nd</sup> metasomal tergites dorsal 130 hind leg 131 head anterior 132 mandible 133 ovipositor sheath 134 antenna 135 pronope dorsal.
Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 127): pterostigma long elliptical; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.3 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 1:20:51; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 13:20:5; r -widened; 1-M straight and SR1 nearly so; m-cu slightly postfurcal; cu-a postfurcal and 1-CU1 hardly widened; first subdisical cell narrowly open, CU1b short; M+CU1 nearly completely unsclerotized; vein m-cu of fore wing parallel to vein 1-M. Hind wing (Fig. 127): M+CU:1-M:1-r-m = 15:16:8; cu-a straight; m-cu absent.

Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 4.6, 9.6 and 5.0 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur with long and tibia with medium-sized setae. (Fig. 130)

Metasoma. Length of first tergite 1.1 times its apical width, its surface distinctly convex medially and coarsely rugose (including basally between dorsal carinae) and dorsal carinae developed in basal 0.6 of tergite, straight (Fig. 129); second suture absent; second and following tergites smooth; length of setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.06 times fore wing and 0.2 times hind tibia (Figs 126, 133).

Colour. Dark or blackish-brown; scapus ventrally and pedicellus apically yellowish; palpi pale yellowish; clypeus ventrally, mandible, tegulae and legs (but hind tibia apically and hind tarsus slightly darkened) brownish-yellow; mesopleuron partly chestnut-brown; second tergite and metasoma baso-ventrally yellowish-brown; pterostigma and veins mainly brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

Molecular data. COI, 16S, 28S (CVA 4252).

Distribution. *China (Hunan).

Biology. Unknown.

Etymology. Name derived from “crenulatus” (Latin for “minutely notched”) and “ferum” (suffix in Latin meaning carrying or having), because of the crenulate oblique groove of the pronotal side.

Notes. A female from Tian Ping Mt. and sampled as CVA4253 is very similar but is according to the molecular data a different species. It has the crenulate oblique groove of the pronotum, but the propodeum is steep and the segments of the labial palp are less moniliform. Molecular data from COI place it near Opiognathus and from 28S near Opiognathus + Coleopioides.

The new species runs (with some difficulty) in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to Opius clusilis Weng & Chen, 2005. Opius crenuliferus differs by having the head roundly narrowed behind eyes in dorsal view (directly narrowed in O. clusilis), the dorsal pronope obsolescent (distinct), length of the first tergite 1.1 times its apical width (1.4 times) and length of the third antennal segment 4 times its width (2.6 times).

Opius malarator Li, van Achterberg & Tan, sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:286BDBC6-734C-41B2-828C-2E801D24ECDC

http://species-id.net/wiki/Opius_malarator

Figs 136–144

Type material. Holotype, ♂ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Bamaoxi, 2–3.VI.2009, 540 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”.
Figure 136. Opius malarator sp. n., male, holotype. Habitus lateral.
Diagnosis. Malar suture deep (Fig. 142); clypeus wide (Fig. 141); second submarginal cell of fore wing large (Fig. 137); hypoclypeal depression large and deep (Fig. 141).

Description. Holotype, ♂, length of body 2.7 mm, of fore wing 3.2 mm.

Head. Antenna with 29 segments and 1.1 times as long as fore wing; third segment 1.2 times as long as fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 3.8, 3.2 and 2.7 times their width, respectively (Fig. 143); length of maxillary palp 1.3 times height of head; labial palp segments normal; occipital carina far removed from
hypostomal carina and dorsally absent; hypostomal carina wide; length of eye in dorsal view 1.2 times temple; frons flattened anteriorly and glabrous, smooth; face smooth, medially elevated; width of clypeus 2.4 times its maximum height and 0.55 times width of face and semi-elliptical (Fig. 142); clypeus slightly convex, somewhat protruding forwards, smooth except for some punctures and its ventral margin thin and straight (Fig. 141); hypoclypeal depression wide and deep (Fig. 141); labrum slanted backwards; malar suture deep (Fig. 142); mandible with tooth-like protrusion, with a narrow ventral carina and second tooth small (Fig. 142).

**Mesosoma.** Length of mesosoma 1.3 times its height; dorsal pronope deep, round, medium-sized (Fig. 144); pronotal side smooth and posterior groove largely absent (Fig. 136); epicnemial area smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus hardly impressed, smooth as rest of mesopleuron (Fig. 136); pleural sulcus smooth; mesosternal sulcus rather deep and narrow and finely crenulate; notauli absent on disc, only anteriorly with pair of short smooth impressions (Fig. 138); mesoscutum glabrous and shiny; medioposterior depression of mesoscutum absent; scutellar sulcus narrow and moderately crenulate; scutellum slightly convex medially; surface of propodeum smooth, except for a square rugose area medio-posteriorly (Fig. 139).

**Wings.** Fore wing (Fig. 137): pterostigma elliptical; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.2 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 4:26:56; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 21:26:9; r slender; 1-M nearly straight and SR1 straight; m-cu and cu-a distinctly postfurcal; 1-CU1 hardly widened; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b short; apical fifth of M+CU1 sclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 137): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 15:13:8; cu-a straight; m-cu as a superficial impression; basal cell comparatively wide.

**Legs.** Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 5.2, 11.2 and 7.3 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur and tibia with medium-sized setae (Fig. 140).

**Metasoma.** Length of first tergite 1.4 times its apical width, its surface convex but medially flattened and coarsely rugose and dorsal carinae developed in basal 0.4 of tergite (Fig. 139); second suture obsolescent; second and following tergites smooth; third tergite distinctly wider than second tergite.

**Colour.** Black; antenna (but scapus yellowish), metasoma (except first tergite), pterostigma and veins dark brown; palpi, mandible, clypeus ventrally, tegulae and legs (but hind tibia apically, hind tarsus and telotarsi darkened) pale yellow; subhyaline.

**Molecular data.** None.

**Distribution.** *China (Hunan).*

**Biology.** Unknown.

**Etymology.** Name derived from “mala” (Latin for “cheek”), because the deeply impressed malar suture.

**Notes.** The new species runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to *Phaedrotoma tabularis* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n. *Opius malarator* differs by having vein 3-SR of fore wing 1.2 times vein 2-SR (1.8 times in *P. tabularis*), the malar suture deep and complete (absent), the antenna with about 29 segments (39) and the dorsal carinae absent on posterior half of the tergite (up to apex).
**Revision of the Opiinae from Hunan (China)**

**Opius monilipalpis** Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:DF42D810-6E1A-4A6F-B9D0-5F4BB26842DE

http://species-id.net/wiki/Opius_monilipalpis

Figs 145–156

**Type material.** Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Bamaoxi, 2–3.VI.2009, 540 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”. Paratypes (91 ♀ + 18 ♂; RMNH, ZUH): 28 ♀ + 2 ♂ with same data; 47 ♀ + 7 ♂, id., but Longtanping, 4-5.VI.2009, 550 m; 1 ♀ + 2 ♂, id., but Tian Ping Mt, 9–13.VII.2009, 550 m; 13 ♀ + 1 ♂, “S. China: Hunan, nr Suining, Huangyang N. R., Shaoyang, 12-13.VI.2009, 1000 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”; 1 ♀ + 6 ♂, “S. China: Hunan, nr Chengbu, Nan Mt., Shaoyang, 1500 m, 10-11.VI.2009, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”; 1 ♀, “S. China: Hunan, Changsha, garden Hunan Agr. Univ., 80 m, 31.V.2009, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”.

**Diagnosis.** Antenna with 23–27 segments and 1.1–1.3 times as long as fore wing; setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.06 times as long as fore wing and about half as long as first tergite (Fig. 145); clypeus truncate ventrally with a lamelliform rim and hypoclypeal depression distinct but rather narrow clypeus ventrally (Fig. 151); segments of labial palp moniliform, strongly narrowed basally (Fig. 155); medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; propodeum and mesopleuron black or dark brown; propodeum less steep posteriorly than of *O. pallipes*; vein r of fore wing distinct; second submarginal cell of fore wing more widened basally than in *O. pallipes* (Fig. 146); vein CU1b of fore wing narrowly developed (Fig. 146); hind femur yellowish-brown dorsoapically hind tibia apically and tarsus usually infuscate.

**Description.** Holotype, ♀, length of body 1.9 mm, of fore wing 2.2 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 25 segments and 1.2 times as long as fore wing; length of third segment 1.1 times fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 4.2, 3.8, and 2.3 times their width, respectively (Fig. 156); length of maxillary palp 0.9 times height of head; labial palp segments petiolate, moniliform (Fig. 155); occipital carina moderately far removed hypostomal carina (Fig. 153) and dorsally absent; hypostomal carina narrow; length of eye in dorsal view 1.5 times temple; frons slightly convex and glabrous, smooth; face smooth, medially weakly elevated; width of clypeus 1.8 times its maximum height, clypeus flattened, largely smooth and its ventral margin thin and straight (Fig. 151); hypoclypeal depression slit-like, rather narrow (Fig. 151); malar suture present; mandible moderately widened basally, with short and weakly protruding ventral carina (Fig. 153).

**Mesosoma.** Length of mesosoma 1.4 times its height; dorsal pronope distinct, but rather small, round (Fig. 148); pronotal side mainly smooth, but medial groove faintly crenulate and posterior groove absent (Fig. 145); epicnemial area smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus only medially shallowly impressed, smooth as rest of mesopleuron (Fig. 145); pleural sulcus smooth; notauli absent on disc, only anteriorly indicated by some rugulae (Fig. 147); mesoscutum glabrous except for a few setae; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; scutellar sulcus moderately crenulate; scutellum
smooth and flat; surface of propodeum largely smooth, but medio-posteriorly rugulose (Fig. 148).

Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 146): pterostigma comparatively wide elliptical; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.3 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 1:21:48; 2-SR:3-SR: r-m = 12:21:6; 1-M straight; SR1 nearly straight; m-cu moderately postfurcal; cu-a postfurcal and 1-CU1 widened; first subdiscal cell narrowly open, CU1b minute. Hind wing (Fig. 146): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 15:16:10; cu-a straight; m-cu absent.

Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 5.0, 9.6 and 4.8 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur with long setae and of tibia medium-sized (Fig. 150).

Metasoma. Length of first tergite 1.1 times its apical width, its surface distinctly convex medially and with some rugae, but largely smooth and dorsal carinae developed in its anterior 0.7, parallel or nearly so medially (Fig. 148); second and following tergites smooth; length of ventrally visible setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.06 times fore wing and 0.2 times hind tibia (Figs 145, 154).

Colour. Black; antenna (but scapus laterally and ventrally and pedicellus ventrally yellowish), mesopleuron, first tergite, second tergite subposteriorly, third tergite medially, apical half of fourth tergite, most of following tergites and ovipositor sheath dark brown; second-fourth tergites membranous and pale yellowish posteriorly; clypeus,
Figures 146–156. *Opius monilipalpis* sp. n., female, holotype. 146 Wings 147 mesosoma dorsal 148 propodeum and 1st-2nd metasomal tergites dorsal 149 mesosoma lateral 150 hind leg 151 head anterior 152 pronope dorsal 153 mandible 154 ovipositor sheath 155 labial palpi 156 antenna.
mandible, tegulae, propleuron and remainder of metasoma largely yellowish-brown; palpi and legs pale yellowish, but apex of middle and hind tibia, hind tarsus and telotarsi more or less infuscate; pterostigma and veins mainly brown; wing membrane hyaline.

**Molecular data.** COI, 16S, 28S (CVA 4242 (♀) and 4247 (♂)).

**Variation.** Length of body 1.5-1.9 mm, of fore wing 1.9-2.3 mm; antenna of female with 23 (2), 24 (17), 25 (42), 26 (15) or 27 (3) segments and 1.1-1.3 times as long as fore wing and 1.5-1.6 times body, of male with 23 (2), 24 (6), 25 (3) or 26 (1) segments and 1.2-1.3 times as long as fore wing; first tergite largely smooth or largely rugose-reticulate; side of pronotum black to largely yellowish-brown; mesopleuron and first tergite medio-posteriorly sometimes largely orange-brown; rarely first tergite and metapleuron entirely yellowish-brown; second tergite sometimes brown or partly dark brown; palpi of males less moniliform than of females; clypeus yellowish-brown to largely dark brown; sometimes legs completely pale yellow.

**Distribution.** *China (Hunan).*

**Biology.** Unknown, but Wen et al. (2002) reported *Liriomyza* species (Agromyzidae) as hosts of their specimens that may belong to this species (see below).

**Etymology.** Name derived from “monilis” (Latin for “string of beads”) and “palpus” (Latin for “feeler”). because of the petiolate labial palp segments.

**Notes.** Very similar to *O. pallipes* Wesmael, 1835 (Figs 413-416) but the new species has the third labial palp segment bead-like petiolate (gradually narrowed and normal shaped in *O. pallipes*), the hypoclypeal depression medium-sized (minute), the ventral margin of the clypeus straight (slightly convex), the propleuron usually yellowish-brown (black); the hind femur of female narrow and pale yellowish (moderately wide and yellowish-brown), the first subdiscal cell of fore wing rather robust (comparatively slender), the antenna of the male 1.2-1.3 times as long as body (1.4-1.6 times), the second metasomal tergite of female yellowish-brown (usually dark brown) and there are differences in DNA sequences (COI, 16S). Most likely the *O. pallipes* reported from South China (Wen et al. 2002, Fischer 2004) belongs to *O. monilipalpis* sp. n. We have seen a female from North China (RMNH: Shandong, Anqiu, Suotou Mt., 31.VII.2009, c. 120 m) with normal third segment of labial palp (as in *O. pallipes*) and third antennal segment about 4 times as long as wide, but with a medium-sized hypoclypeal depression and the propleuron entirely and the first tergite medio-posteriorly brownish. It may be a species closely related to *O. pallipes* Wesmael and *O. moniliformis* sp. n. It is not the very similar East Palaearctic *O. extusus* Papp, 1981, because the female of *O. extusus* has the antenna twice as long as its body.

The new species runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to *Phaedrotoma postuma* (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n. *Opius monilipalpis* differs by having the mandible abruptly narrowed subbasally and asymmetrical (gradually narrowed and nearly symmetrical in *P. postuma*), length of the mesosoma 1.4 times its height (1.2 times), the width of clypeus 1.8 times its maximum height (3 times), the pterostigma comparatively wide elliptical (narrow elliptical) and the length of the maxillary palp 0.9 times height of head (1.1-1.2 times).
**Opius pachymerus** Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:78C4E3C0-23FB-4C2A-AB15-6174856D7FB7
http://species-id.net/wiki/Opius_pachymerus
Figs 157–166

**Type material.** Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, Shaoyang, nr Suining, Huangsang N. R., 12–13.VI.2009, 1000 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”, “CVA4251, sp. 17”. Paratype, ♀ (RMNH), “China: Hunan, Changde, Taoyuan, Cha-an-pu, Songyang-ping, tea plantation (8), CN 1012, 31.vii.2010, P.-P. Chen, RMNH’11”.

**Diagnosis.** Hind femur robust (Fig. 157); third antennal segment of ♀ about 3.4 times as long as wide (Fig. 165); area between malar suture and clypeus with some distinct punctures (Fig. 163); setose part of ovipositor sheath about 0.16 times as long as fore wing and about 1.3 times as long as first tergite (Figs 157, 164); clypeus flattened; epistomal suture distinctly impressed; pronotum flattened and horizontal medio-anteriory and with a minute round pronope; mesopleuron largely chestnut-brown.

**Description.** Holotype, ♀, length of body 2.6 mm, of fore wing 2.5 mm.

*Head.* Antenna incomplete, with 21 segments remaining (paratype: with 30 segments and 1.3 times as long as fore wing); second segment as long as fourth segment, length of third and fourth segments 3.4 and 3.3 times their width, respectively (Fig. 165); length of maxillary palp equal to height of head; labial palp segments petiolate, rather moniliform (Fig. 157); occipital carina far removed from hypostomal carina (Fig. 163) and dorsally absent; hypostomal carina narrow; length of eye in dorsal view 1.4 times temple; frons slightly convex and glabrous, smooth; face smooth, medially weakly elevated; width of clypeus 1.8 times its maximum height and 0.55 times width of face; clypeus flattened, largely smooth (except some dorsal punctures) and its ventral margin thin and straight (Fig. 162); hypoclypeal depression slit-like, rather narrow (Fig. 162); malar suture present; with some distinct punctures between malar suture and clypeus (Fig. 163); mandible gradually widened baso-ventrally, with medium-sized and weakly protruding ventral carina (Fig. 163).

*Mesosoma.* Length of mesosoma 1.4 times its height; dorsal pronope minute, round and pronotum horizontal anteriorly (Fig. 166); pronotal side smooth and posterior groove absent (Fig. 157); epicnemial area smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus only medially shallowly impressed, smooth as rest of mesopleuron (Fig. 157); pleural sulcus smooth; mesosternal sulcus narrow and finely crenulate; notauli absent on disc, only anteriorly indicated pair of narrow smooth depressions (Fig. 159); mesoscutum glabrous and strongly shiny; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent (Fig. 159); scutellar sulcus narrow and finely crenulate; scutellum smooth and slightly convex; surface of propodeum smooth, except for some short carinae posteriorly (Fig. 160).

*Wings.* Fore wing (Fig. 158): pterostigma long elliptical; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.1 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 2:26:57; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 16:26:7; r widened; 1-M and SR1 straight; m-cu slightly postfurcal; cu-a slightly postfurcal and 1-CU1 widened; first subdiscal cell narrowly open, CU1b absent; M+CU1 near-
ly completely unsclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 158): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 5:5:2; cu-a straight; m-cu absent, except for a superficial impression.

**Legs.** Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 3.3, 8.8 and 7.0 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur and tibia with medium-sized setae (Fig. 161).

**Metasoma.** Length of first tergite equal to its apical width, its surface distinctly convex medially and largely smooth and dorsal carinae developed next to spiracles, straight (Fig. 160); second suture slightly impressed; second and following tergites smooth; length of ventrally visible setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.16 times fore wing, 1.3 times first tergite and 0.5 times hind tibia (Figs 157, 164).
Figures 158–166. *Opius pachymerus* sp. n., female, holotype. 158 Wings 159 mesosoma dorsal 160 propodeum and 1st-2nd metasomal tergites dorsal 161 hind leg 162 head anterior 163 mandible 164 ovipositor sheath 165 antenna 166 pronope dorsal.
**Colour.** Black; antenna (but scapus and pedicellus yellowish) and mesosternum dark brown; palpi, tegulae and legs pale yellowish, but apex hind tibia and hind tarsus and telotarsi slightly darkened; clypeus ventrally, mandible and metasoma largely (but basal 0.8 of first tergite dark brown) brownish-yellow; mesopleuron largely chestnut-brown; pterostigma and veins mainly brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

**Variation.** Female paratype has 30 antennal segments, first tergite somewhat rugulose posteriorly, pterostigma brown and mesosoma largely chestnut brown.

**Molecular data.** COI, 16S, 28S (CVA4251).

**Distribution.** *China (Hunan).*

**Biology.** Unknown.

**Etymology.** Name derived from “pachys” (Greek for “thick”) and “meros” (Greek for “part”), because of the thick parts of the leg, especially the femora.

**Notes.** The new species runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to *Opius clusilis* Weng & Chen, 2005. *Opius pachymerus* differs by having the head roundly narrowed behind the eyes in dorsal view (directly narrowed in *O. clusilis*), the dorsal pronope minute (large), length of the first tergite equal times its apical width (1.4 times), the width of the clypeus 1.8 times its height (4 times) and length of the hind femur 3.3 times its width (4.6 times).

### Opius songi Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:E900BE3F-A2AE-43A8-B05B-1C2E1DDB48A0

http://species-id.net/wiki/Opius_songi

Figs 167–176

**Type material.** Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Bamaoxi, 2–3.VI.2009, 540 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”.

**Diagnosis.** Setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.06 times as long as fore wing and about half as long as first tergite (Figs 167, 174); clypeus truncate ventrally; hypoclypeal depression narrowly developed (Fig. 172); pronotum yellowish-brown; pronope medium-sized (Fig. 176); medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; propodeum and mesopleuron orange-brown; propodeum steep posteriorly (Fig. 170); vein r of fore wing distinct; vein CU1b of fore wing narrowly developed; second submarginal cell of fore wing slightly widened basally (Fig. 168); hind femur yellowish-brown dorso-apically.

**Description.** Holotype, ♀, length of body 1.6 mm, of fore wing 1.9 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 27 segments and 1.4 times as long as fore wing; third segment 1.1 times as long as fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 3.7, 3.2 and 2.7 times their width, respectively (Fig. 175); length of maxillary palp 0.8 times height of head; labial palp segments petiolate, rather moniliform; occipital carina moderately close to hypostomal carina and dorsally absent; hypostomal carina medium-sized; length of eye in dorsal view 2.4 times temple; frons flattened and glabrous, smooth; face smooth, medially weakly elevated; width of clypeus 1.7 times its...
maximum height and 0.5 times width of face; clypeus flattened, smooth and its ventral margin thin and straight; hypochelal depression slit-like, rather narrow (Fig. 172); malar suture present; without punctures between malar suture and clypeus; mandible gradually widened baso-ventrally, with medium-sized and weakly protruding ventral carina (Fig. 173).

**Mesosoma.** Length of mesosoma 1.2 times its height; dorsal pronope medium-sized, round and pronotum horizontal anteriorly (Fig. 176); pronotal side smooth and posterior groove absent; epicnemial area smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus anteriorly and medially shallowly impressed, smooth as rest of mesopleuron (Fig. 167); pleural sulcus smooth; mesosternal sulcus very narrow and very finely crenulate; notauli absent on disc, only anteriorly with short smooth impressions (Fig. 169); mesoscutum glabrous and strongly shiny; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; scutellar sulcus narrow and finely crenulate; scutellum smooth and slightly convex; surface of propodeum smooth, except for some short carinae posteriorly, steep (Fig. 170).

**Wings.** Fore wing (Fig. 168): pterostigma long elliptical; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.2 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 2:21:56; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 15:21:7; r slender; 1-M slightly curved and SR1 nearly straight; m-cu slightly postfurcal; cu-a slightly postfurcal and 1-CU1 widened; first subdiscal cell narrowly open, CU1b absent; M+CU1 pigmented but completely unsclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 168): M+CU1:1-M:1r-m = 14:15:6; cu-a straight; m-cu absent, except for a slight impression.

**Legs.** Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 4.2, 9.6 and 5.0 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur with long and tibia with medium-sized setae. (Fig. 171)

**Metasoma.** Length of first tergite 1.1 times its apical width, its surface distinctly convex medially, posteriorly distinctly angled and superficially rugulose and dorsal
Figures 168–176. *Opius songi* sp. n., female, holotype. 168 Wings 169 mesosoma dorsal 170 propodeum and 1st-2nd metasomal tergites dorsal 171 hind leg 172 head anterior 173 mandible 174 ovipositor sheath 175 antenna 176 pronope dorsal.
carinae developed in basal half of tergite, curved (Fig. 170); second suture absent; second and following tergites smooth; length of setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.06 times fore wing and 0.2 times hind tibia (Figs 167, 174).

**Colour.** Black; scapus and ventrally pedicellus, tegulae, second tergite, metasoma ventrally and legs (but hind tibia apically and hind tarsus and telotarsi slightly darkened) brownish-yellow; palpi pale yellowish; clypeus ventrally, mandible, mesosoma largely (but mesoscutum, scutellum and metanotum blackish-brown or dark brown) and metasoma (except second tergite) yellowish-brown; pterostigma and veins mainly brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

*Molecular data.* None.

**Distribution.** *China (Hunan).*

**Biology.** Unknown.

**Etymology.** Named in honour of Prof. Dr Dong-Bao Song, for his encouragement and for his contribution to the knowledge of Chinese Microgastrinae (Braconidae).

**Notes.** The new species runs (with some difficulty) in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to *Opius clusilis* Weng & Chen, 2005. *Opius songi* differs by having the head roundly narrowed behind the eyes in dorsal view (directly narrowed in *O. clusilis*), length of the first tergite 1.1 times its apical width (1.4 times), the length of the penultimate antennal segment of female 2.7 times its width (1.4 times), the length of the antenna 1.4 times length of fore wing (about as long) and length of the third antennal segment 3.7 times its width (2.6 times).

---

**Opius youi** Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.

*urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:222F8D46-E702-4CFF-9FCF-8B7DEAD750D9*

http://species-id.net/wiki/Opius_youi

Figs 177–185

**Type material.** Holotype, ♂ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Tian Ping Mt., 9–13.VII.2009, 550 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’10”, “CVA4243, sp. 9”.

**Diagnosis.** Clypeus flattened and comparatively large (Fig. 182), slightly convex ventrally; hypoclypeal depression narrow, slit-shaped (Fig. 182); pronotum short, oblique and without distinct pronope (Fig. 184) ; notauli absent on disc; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; hind tarsus (except telotarsus) brownish-yellow.

**Description.** Holotype, ♂, length of body 1.8 mm, of fore wing 2.0 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 25 segments and 1.3 times as long as fore wing; third segment 1.1 times as long as fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 3.8, 3.5 and 2.3 times their width, respectively (Fig. 185); length of maxillary palp 0.9 times height of head; labial palp segments short, slender; occipital carina far from hypostomal carina (Fig. 183) and dorsally absent; hypostomal carina medium-sized; length of eye in dorsal view 1.4 times temple; frons flattened and glabrous, smooth; face smooth, medially weakly elevated; width of clypeus 1.8 times its maximum height and 0.55 times width of face; clypeus slightly convex, smooth except for a few fine
punctures and its ventral margin thin and slightly curved (Fig. 182); hypoclypeal depression slit-like, narrow (Fig. 182); malar suture present; without punctures between malar suture and clypeus; mandible rather abruptly widened baso-ventrally, with medium-sized and weakly protruding ventral carina (Fig. 183).

**Mesosoma.** Length of mesosoma 1.2 times its height; dorsal pronope obsolescent and pronotum oblique anteriorly (Fig. 184); pronotal side smooth and posterior groove largely absent (Fig. 177); epicnemial area smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus medially shallowly impressed, smooth as rest of mesopleuron (Fig. 177); pleural sulcus smooth; mesosternal sulcus narrow and moderately crenulate; notauli absent on disc, only anteriorly with short smooth impressions (Fig. 179); mesoscutum glabrous and strongly shiny; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; scutellar sulcus narrow and finely crenulate; scutellum smooth and slightly convex; surface of propodeum smooth, except for some short carinae posteriorly (Fig. 180).

**Wings.** Fore wing (Fig. 178): pterostigma elliptical; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.3 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 1:19:1:2; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 12:19:6; r strongly widened; 1-M straight and SR1 nearly so; m-cu slightly postfurcal; cu-a slightly postfurcal and 1-CU1 slightly widened; first subdisal cell nar-
Figures 178–185. *Opius youi* sp. n., male, holotype. 178 Wings 179 mesosoma dorsal 180 propodeum and 1st–3rd metasomal tergites dorsal 181 hind leg 182 head anterior 183 mandible 184 pronope dorsal 185 antenna.

Rowly open, CU1b absent; M+CU1 nearly completely unsclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 178): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 15:14:6; cu-a straight; m-cu absent except for a weak impression.
Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 4.7, 9.2 and 5.0 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur and tibia with medium-sized setae (Fig. 181).

Metasoma. Length of first tergite 1.2 times its apical width, its surface convex medially and sparsely rugulose, largely smooth and dorsal carinae developed in basal half of tergite, straight (Fig. 180); second suture absent; second and following tergites smooth.

Colour. Dark brown; scapus yellowish; palpi pale yellowish; clypeus, mandible, tegulae, second tergite, metasoma baso-ventrally and legs (but telotarsi slightly darkened) brownish-yellow; pronotum ventrally, propleuron, first tergite, metasoma after second tergite pterostigma and veins mainly brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

Molecular data. COI, 16S, 28S (CVA4243).

Distribution. *China (Hunan).

Biology. Unknown.

Etymology. Named in honour of Prof. Dr Lan-Shao You, for his encouragement and for his contribution to the dissemination of knowledge about Braconidae in China.

Notes. *Opius youi* is, according to 28S data, near the base of the *Phaedrotoma* clade. The new species runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to *Opius clusilis* Weng & Chen, 2005. *Opius youi* differs by having the head roundly narrowed behind the eyes in dorsal view (directly narrowed in *O. clusilis*), the dorsal pronope obsolescent (distinct), length of the first tergite 1.2 times its apical width (1.4 times) and length of the third antennal segment 3.8 (♂) times its width (2.6 times; ♀). It comes also near *O. flavus* Weng & Chen, 2005, but it has the hind femur distinctly widened apically, vein r of fore wing about 1.5 times as long as wide, vein m-cu of fore wing more postfurcal and fourth antennal segment of female less slender.

**Opius zengi** Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:84FF6F95-5A42-403E-92C8-9FC12342A850
http://species-id.net/wiki/Opius_zengi
Figs 186–195

Type material. Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, Shaoyang, nr Suining, Huangsang N. R., 12-13.VI.2009, 1000 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”.

Diagnosis. Hind femur slender (Fig. 190); third antennal segment of ♀ about 4.5 times as long as wide (Fig. 186); area between malar suture and clypeus without distinct punctures (Fig. 193); setose part of ovipositor sheath about 0.15 times as long as fore wing, half as long as hind tibia and 1.5 times as long as first tergite (Fig. 186); clypeus flattened; epistomal suture distinctly impressed; pronotum flattened and horizontal medio-anteriorly and with a minute round pronope (Fig. 194); mesopleuron largely chestnut-brown; first tergite comparatively slender (Fig. 189).

Description. Holotype, ♀, length of body 1.7 mm, of fore wing 2.0 mm.

Head. Antenna with 27 segments and 1.2 times as long as fore wing; third segment 1.1 times as long as fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 4.5, 4.0 and 2.6 times their width, respectively (Fig. 191); length of maxillary palp
0.8 times height of head; labial palp segments petiolate, rather moniliform; occipital carina close to hypostomal carina (Fig. 193) and dorsally absent; hypostomal carina medium-sized (Fig. 193); length of eye in dorsal view 2.5 times temple; frons flattened and glabrous, smooth; face smooth, medially weakly elevated; width of clypeus 1.7 times its maximum height and 0.55 times width of face; clypeus flattened, smooth and its ventral margin thin and straight (Fig. 192); hypoclypeal depression slit-like, rather
narrow (Fig. 192); malar suture present; without punctures between malar suture and clypeus; mandible gradually widened baso-ventrally, with medium-sized and weakly protruding ventral carina (Fig. 193).

**Mesosoma.** Length of mesosoma 1.4 times its height; dorsal pronope minute, round and pronotum horizontal anteriorly (Fig. 194); pronotal side smooth and posterior groove absent (Fig. 186); epicnemial area smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus anteriorly and medially shallowly impressed, smooth as rest of mesopleuron (Fig. 186); pleural sulcus smooth; mesosternal sulcus very narrow and very finely crenulate; notauli absent on disc, only anteriorly with short smooth impressions (Fig. 188); mesoscutum glabrous and strongly shiny; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent (Fig. 188); scutellar sulcus narrow and finely crenulate; scutellum smooth and slightly convex; surface of propodeum smooth, except for some short carinae posteriorly (Fig. 189).

**Wings.** Fore wing (Fig. 187): pterostigma long elliptical; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.4 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 3:23:53; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 14:23:6; r somewhat widened; 1-M straight and SR1 nearly so; m-cu slightly postfurcal; cu-a slightly postfurcal and 1-CU1 widened; first subdisal cell narrowly open, CU1b obsolescent; M+CU1 nearly completely unsclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 187): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 5:5:2; cu-a straight; m-cu absent.

**Legs.** Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 4.5, 9.2 and 6.5 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur and tibia with medium-sized setae (Fig. 190).

**Metasoma.** Length of first tergite 1.2 times its apical width, its surface distinctly convex medially and sparsely rugulose, largely smooth and dorsal carinae developed in basal half of tergite, straight (Fig. 189); second suture absent; second and following tergites smooth; length of setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.15 times fore wing, 1.5 times first tergite and 0.5 times hind tibia (Figs 186, 195).

**Colour.** Dark brown; scapus and pedicellus ventrally yellowish; palpi pale yellowish; clypeus mandible, humeral plate and legs (but hind tibia apically and hind tarsus and telotarsi slightly darkened) brownish-yellow; propodeum, metasoma (except first tergite) and metasoma baso-ventrally yellowish-brown; pterostigma and veins mainly brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

**Molecular data.** None.

**Distribution.** *China (Hunan).

**Biology.** Unknown.

**Etymology.** Named in honour of Prof. Dr Ai-Ping Zeng, for his encouragement of and help to the first two authors.

**Notes.** The new species runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to *Opius clusilis* Weng & Chen, 2005. *Opius zengi* differs by having the head roundly narrowed behind the eyes in dorsal view (directly narrowed in *O. clusilis*), the setose part of the ovipositor sheath 1.5 times as long as the first tergite (0.6 times), length of the first tergite 1.2 times its apical width (1.4 times) and length of the third antennal segment 4.5 times its width (2.6 times).
Figures 187–195. *Opius zengi* sp. n., female, holotype. 187 Wings 188 mesosoma dorsal 189 propodeum and 1st–2nd metasomal tergites dorsal 190 hind leg 191 antennae 192 head anterior 193 mandible 194 pronope dorsal 195 ovipositor sheath.
**Orientopius Fischer, 1966**
http://species-id.net/wiki/Orientopius
Figs 417–428

*Orientopius* Fischer, 1966: 147; van Achterberg et al. 2012a: 125, 2012b: 65. Type species (by original designation): *Orientopius curiosigaster* Fischer, 1966 [examined].

**Diagnosis.** Clypeus truncate medio-ventrally (Fig. 424); labrum exposed; occipital carina present latero-dorsally and weakly or not protruding in lateral view (Fig. 420); head comparatively long in anterior view (Fig. 424) and malar space longer than basal width of mandible (Fig. 425); malar suture present (Fig. 425); inner sides of antennal sockets normal, not protruding (Fig. 424); around base of middle coxa no circular carina; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum present (Fig. 420); notauli absent posteriorly or as row of punctures; postpectal carina variable, usually partly present medio-ventrally; vein 3-SR of fore wing 0.9-2.0 times as long as vein 2-SR (Fig. 418); metasoma with carapace (Figs 417, 421), but less developed in males; second tergite sculptured and distinctly longer than third tergite (Figs 417, 421); dorso absent, dorsal carinae of first tergite variable, separated basally or medially united in a median carina (Fig. 421); second metasomal suture distinct (Fig. 421); third tergite of female with a sharp lateral crease (Fig. 417).

**Biology.** Parasitoids of species of *Phytobia* Lioy, 1864 (Agromyzidae) mining near the cambium of deciduous trees and shrubs (van Achterberg et al. 2012a).

**Distribution.** Palaearctic, Oriental and Australian (New Guinea) regions.

---

**Orientopius punctatus van Achterberg & Li, 2012**
http://species-id.net/wiki/Orientopius_punctatus
Figs 417–428

*Orientopius punctatus* van Achterberg et al., 2012b: 65.

**Type material.** Holotype (ZUH), ♀, “[S. China: Hunan], Nan Mt., meadow, 18.VII.1988, Fu-Xing Li”.

**Diagnosis.** Vein SR1 ends near apex of fore wing (Fig. 418); vertex moderately densely punctate, with interspaces mostly wider than diameter of punctures or wider (Fig. 420); antenna dark brown, except basally; malar space about 1.5 times as long as basal width of mandible and head moderately elongate in anterior view (Fig. 424); pterostigma dark brown; mesosoma dark brown or blackish (Figs 419, 420); transverse carina of propodeum distinctly in front of middle of propodeum; hind basitarsus about 3.7 times as long as wide (Fig. 427); dorsal carina of first tergite united sub-basally (Fig. 421); second tergite about twice as long as third tergite and with rows of punctures between striae (Fig. 421); third tergite 0.3 times longer than its basal width; third metasomal tergite semi-circular and partly distinctly punctate (Fig. 421); fourth
tergite of female smooth and retracted (Fig. 417); setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.6 times as long as combined first-third metasomal tergites, 0.2 times as long as fore wing and 0.8 times as long as hind tibia (Fig. 426).

**Description.** Holotype, ♀, length of body 2.3 mm, of fore wing 2.5 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 25 segments and 1.1 times as long as fore wing; third segment 1.1 times as long as fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 2.7, 2.5 and 1.8 times their width, respectively (Figs 423, 428); length of maxillary palp unknown, palp submerged in glue; occipital carina widely removed from hypostomal carina and dorsally absent; hypostomal carina narrow; length of eye in dorsal view 3.3 times temple; temples directly narrowed (Fig. 420) and largely smooth; vertex finely punctate, with interspaces mostly wider than punctures; frons slightly depressed behind antennal sockets and with some curved rugulae, remainder slightly convex and setose, largely finely punctate, with interspaces wider than punctures; face medio-dorsally elevated, coarsely punctate, with interspaces slightly wider than punctures and some striae latero-dorsally; width of clypeus 2.8 times its maximum height and 0.6 times width of face (Fig. 424); clypeus flat, smooth and its ventral margin rather thin and medially straight; hypoclypeal depression wide and deep (Fig. 424); labrum flat (including ventral rim); malar suture complete; with punctures between malar suture and clypeus; length of malar space 1.5 times basal width of mandible (Fig. 425); mandible strongly constricted and twisted apically, without distinct ventral carina, second tooth medium-sized.

**Mesosoma.** Length of mesosoma 1.3 times its height; dorsal pronope absent, pronotum short and nearly vertical anteriorly; pronotal side smooth but oblique groove anteriorly and posterior groove coarsely crenulate (Fig. 419); epicnemial area with few crenulae dorsally; precoxal sulcus distinctly impressed, but posterior 0.4 absent, and coarsely crenulate (Fig. 419); pleural sulcus distinctly crenulate; mesosternal sulcus and postpectal carina not visible because of glue; metapleuron coarsely reticulate ventrally and dorsally largely smooth (except some punctures); notauni impressed and with few crenulae anteriorly, and largely absent on disc; mesoscutum flattened, with large elliptical medio-posterior depression, setose and punctulate; scutellar sulcus wide and with 3 coarse crenulae (Fig. 420); scutellum rather flat and sparsely punctulate; metanotum with weak median carina; propodeum posteriorly largely smooth, with coarse curved transverse carina in front of middle and anteriorly rugose and with rather short median carina (Fig. 420).

**Wings.** Fore wing (Fig. 418): pterostigma triangular; 1-R1 ending close to wing apex and 1.3 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 5:16:50; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 16:16:5; r slender; 1-M and SR1 slightly curved; m-cu just postfurcal; cu-a slightly postfurcal and 1-CU1 hardly widened; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b medium-sized and shorter than 3-CU1; M+CU1 sclerotized. Hind wing: M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 25:18:12; cu-a straight; m-cu absent.

**Legs.** Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 3.8, 7.0 and 3.7 times as long as wide, respectively (Fig. 427); hind femur with long setae and tibia densely rather short setose; third and fourth segments of fore tarsus distinctly longer than wide and about as long as wide, respectively.
Metasoma. Length of first tergite 0.8 times its apical width, its surface smooth in front of united dorsal carinae and coarsely punctate-reticulate behind carinae, convex and no median carina posteriorly (Fig. 421); second suture coarsely crenulate, nearly straight, slightly widened medially and distinctly impressed; second tergite with row of punctures between longitudinal striae; median length of second tergite 2.1 times median length of third tergite; third tergite mainly with rows of punctures, but medially and posteriorly smooth; following tergites smooth and largely retracted below carapace; length of setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.22 times fore wing, 0.6 times first-third tergites combined and 0.8 times longer than hind tibia; hypopygium far retracted, truncate apically and about 0.2 times as long as metasomal carapace.

Colour. Dark brown, including pterostigma, veins and antenna (but scapus yellow); head and mandible yellow, but head medio-dorsally and posteriorly infuscate; ovipositor sheath blackish; wing membrane subhyaline.

Distribution. Oriental China (Hunan).

Biology. Unknown.

Genus Phaedrotoma Foerster, 1862
http://species-id.net/wiki/Phaedrotoma
Figs 196–313

Phaedrotoma Foerster, 1862: 260. Type species (by original designation): Phaedrotoma depeculator Foerster, 1862 [examined].

Nosopoea Foerster, 1862: 260. Type species (by original designation): Opius cingulatus Wesmael, 1835 [examined]. Syn. n.

Eutrichopsis Foerster, 1862: 260. Type species (by original designation): Eutrichopsis munda Foerster, 1862 [examined]. Syn. n.

Tolbia Cameron, 1907: 85. Type species (by monotypy): Tolbia scaevolae Cameron, 1907. Syn. n.

Brachycentrus Szépligeti, 1907: 37 (nec Taschenberg, 1865). Type species (by monotypy): Brachycentrus minutus Szépligeti, 1907 [examined]. Syn. n.

Baeocentrum Schulz, 1911: 65 (new name for Brachycentrus). Type species (by implication): Brachycentrus minutus Szépligeti, 1907 [examined]. Syn. n.

Hexaulax Cameron, 1910: 12. Type species (by monotypy): Hexaulax ruficeps Cameron, 1910. Syn. n.

Atoreuteus; Szépligeti, 1908: 55 (not Foerster 1862).

Coeloreuteus Roman, 1910: 112. Type species (by original designation): Atoreuteus africanus Szépligeti, 1908 [examined]. Syn. n.

Neodiospilus Szépligeti, 1911: 415; Shenefelt 1970: 216; Wharton 1987: 63 (synonymized with Opius). Type species (designated by Brues 1926): Neodiospilus flavipes Szépligeti, 1911 [examined; not Opius flavipes Szépligeti, 1898; = Opius sepi-valfus Wharton, 1987 (superfluous new name, because Opius flavipes Szépligeti,
1898, belongs to the genus *Opius* Wesmael s.s.; = *Aulonotus kanywareensis* Fischer, 2000 [examined]. **Syn. n.**

*Neopius* Fischer, 1965: 187. Type species (by original designation): *Neopius macrops* Fischer, 1965 [examined; not *Neopius* Gahan, 1917]. **Syn. n.**

*Euopius* Fischer, 1967b: 959. Type species (by original designation): *Neopius macrops* Fischer, 1965 [examined]. **Syn. n.**

*Gastrosema* Fischer, 1972a: 353. Type species (by original designation): *Opium pumilio* Wesmael, 1835 [examined]. **Syn. n.**

*Gerius* Fischer, 1972a: 389. Type species (by original designation): *Opium fossulatus* Szépligeti, 1914. **Syn. n.**

*Grimnirus* Fischer, 1972b: 70. Type species (by original designation): *Opium fuscicarpus* Szépligeti, 1913. **Syn. n.**

*Hoenirus* Fischer, 1972b: 69. Type species (by original designation): *Opium punctulatus* Szépligeti, 1914 [examined; vein 3-CU1 of fore wing subhorizontal and vein CU1b largely reduced]. **Syn. n.**

*Mimirus* Fischer, 1972b: 70. Type species (by original designation): *Opium peregrinus* Szépligeti, 1914. [examined; clypeus with small medio-ventral tooth]. **Syn. n.**

*Merotrachys* Fischer, 1972a: 406. Type species (by original designation): *Opium laetatorius* Fischer, 1958 [examined]. **Syn. n.**

*Phlebosema* Fischer, 1972a: 350. Type species (by original designation): *Opium discreparius* Fischer, 1963. **Syn. n.**

*Neoephephrus* Samanta, Tamili, Saha & Raychaudhuri, 1983: 93. Type species (by original designation): *Neoephephrus helichrysi* Samanta, Tamili, Saha & Raychaudhuri, 1983 [examined]. **Syn. n.**

*Adontopius* Fischer, 1984b: 93. Type species (by original designation): *Opium adentatus* Fischer, 1980. **Syn. n.**

*Serius* Tobias & Jakimavičius, 1986: 23. Miswriting for *Gerius* Fischer, 1972.

*Kainopaeopius* Fischer, 1986: 609. Type species (by original designation): *Opium crassicus* Thomson, 1895 [examined]. **Syn. n.**

*Millenniopius* Fischer, 1996: 675. Type species (by original designation): *Opium inflatpectus* Fischer, 1996 [examined]. **Syn. n.**

*Neotropopius* Fischer, 1999: 282. Type species (by original designation): *Opium hirtithorax* Fischer, 1963. **Syn. n.**

**Diagnosis.** Face without tubercles; in front of anterior ocellus without distinct depression; frons without pair of distinct depressions above antennal sockets, but whole frons may be depressed; occipital carina present laterally, not or slightly curved ventrally and remain removed from hypostomal carina (Figs 243, 253), near level of middle of eye straight or nearly so, without transverse carina or crest; clypeus more or less convex and comparatively high; hypoclypeal depression variable; labrum normal, without emargination ventrally; mandible normal, gradually widened basally, at most with protruding carina; scapus, fore coxa and trochanter at most weakly compressed; epistomal suture without large depressions; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum variable; pron-
ope round or wide elliptical, or pronotum only with a shallow transverse groove; scutellar sulcus usually rather wide; propodeum usually smooth or superficially sculptured; postpectal carina completely absent; vein 2-SR of fore wing present, rarely absent; first subdiscal cell of fore wing at least partly closed by vein 3-CU1 postero-apically (Fig. 197); vein 1-M of fore wing usually straight; vein cu-a of hind wing nearly always present; vein 3-SR of fore wing distinctly longer than vein 2-SR; if subequal then vein m-cu of hind wing or precoxal sulcus (almost) absent; length of fore wing usually less than 3.5 mm; second and basal half of third tergite without sharp lateral crease, if sometimes weakly developed then second tergite smooth; length of second and third tergites combined less than 0.7 times length of metasoma behind first tergite; fourth and following tergites (at least partly) exposed; ovipositor sheath more or less setose basally.

**Biology.** Parasitoids of mining Agromyzidae, Tephritidae, Ephydridae, Anthomyiidae, Scathophagidae, and Drosophilidae.

**Distribution.** Cosmopolitan.

**Notes.** “Taxonomic dustbin” genus of the Opiinae; if there are no obvious autapomorphies (except for the lack of a dorsope) species are included in this genus. The species share a straight occipital carina ventrally, the symmetrical and basally gradually widened mandibles, the lack of a dorsope, the gradually widened or parallel-sided first tergite and the vein m-cu of the fore wing angled with neighbouring veins. It is most likely that this is not a monophyletic assemblage and is in need of future study.

*Phaedrotoma acuticlypeata* Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:E8CD890F-0F07-4A45-ADC0-7F51D726C7AE

http://species-id.net/wiki/Phaedrotoma_acuticlypeata

Figs 196–204

**Type material.** Holotype, ♂ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Longtanping, 4–5.VI.2009, 550 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”. Paratypes (RMNH): 1 ♂, “S. China: Hunan, Changsha, garden Hunan Agr. Univ., 80 m, 31.V.2009, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”; 1 ♂, “S. China: Hunan, nr Suining, Huansang N. R., Shaoyang, 12–13.VI.2009, 1000 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”; 1 ♂, “S. China: Hunan, nr Chengbu, Nan Mt., Shaoyang, 1500 m, 10–11.VI.2009, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”.

**Diagnosis.** Length of mesosoma 1.2 times its height; antenna of female 1.5–1.7 times as long as fore wing (male: 1.5–1.6 times); length of eye in dorsal view about 2.8 times temple; clypeus convex medially (Fig. 202); propodeum largely coarsely rugose except anteriorly (Fig. 199); pronotal side and mesopleuron superficially granulate; precoxal sulcus wide and comparatively shallow, densely finely sculptured (Fig. 196); hind tarsus slender and pale yellowish as femur (Fig. 200); vein SR1 of fore wing 2.9–3.4 times as long as vein 3-SR (Fig. 197).

**Description.** Holotype, ♀, length of body 1.6 mm, of fore wing 2.0 mm.

*Head.** Antenna with 26 segments and 1.6 times as long as fore wing; length of third segment 1.1 times fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate seg-
ments 3.7, 3.5, and 2.5 times their width, respectively (Fig. 201); length of maxillary palp 0.9 times height of head; labial palp segments rather moniliform; occipital carina distinctly removed from hypostomal carina and dorsally absent; hypostomal carina medium-sized; length of eye in dorsal view 2.8 times temple; frons glabrous, very superficially granulate and strongly shiny and with pit medially, slightly convex laterally and in front of anterior ocellus; face largely smooth but laterally superficially granulate, medially slightly elevated (Fig. 202); width of clypeus 2.5 times its maximum height and 0.5 times width of face; clypeus weakly convex, ventrally protruding forwards, smooth and its ventral margin sharp and straight (Fig. 202); hypoclypeal depression medium-sized (Fig. 202); malar suture partly narrowly impressed (Fig. 203); mandible gradually widened basally, with narrow and non-protruding ventral carina (Fig. 203).

Mesosoma. Length of mesosoma 1.2 times its height; dorsal pronoe rather large and round; pronotal side superficially granulate, oblique groove crenulate anteriorly and posterior groove largely absent (Fig. 196); epicnemial area superficially granulate dorsally; precoxal sulcus only medially impressed, wide and comparatively shallow, densely finely sculptured (Fig. 196); remainder of mesopleuron and pleural sulcus smooth; anterior groove of metapleuron crenulate; notali absent on disc, only anteriorly indicated by shallow depressions; mesoscutum glabrous except for a few setae along imaginary notaulic courses (Fig. 198); medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; lateral carina of mesoscutum present; scutellar sulcus moderately crenulate; scutellum smooth or nearly so and flattened; anterior surface of propodeum short and largely smooth, remainder coarsely rugose, without carinae (Fig. 199).
Figures 197–204. Phaedrotoma acuticlypeata sp. n., female, holotype. 197 Wings 198 mesosoma dorsal 199 propodeum and 1st-3rd metasomal tergites dorsal 200 hind leg 201 antennae 202 head anterior 203 mandible 204 ovipositor sheath.
Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 197): pterostigma elliptical, narrowed apically; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.4 times as long as pterostigma; 3-SR:SR1 = 22:2:64; r slender; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 12:22:6; 1-M straight; SR1 slightly curved; m-cu distinctly postfurcal; cu-a just postfurcal and 1-CU1 widened; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b short. Hind wing (Fig. 197): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 10:13:5; cu-a straight; m-cu absent.

Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 5.2, 9.2 and 5.5 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur with long setae and of tibia medium-sized (Fig. 200).

Metasoma. Length of first tergite 1.1 times its apical width, its surface weakly and gradually convex and largely finely and densely rugulose, dorsal carinae developed in its anterior 0.6, straight (Fig. 199); second and third tergites superficially granulate, division of tergites slightly elevated; length of ventrally visible setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.06 times fore wing and 0.2 times hind tibia; apex of hypopygium rather acute (Figs 196, 204).

Colour. Brownish-yellow; palpi, humeral plate and hind trochantellus ivory; legs pale yellowish (including hind tibial apex and hind tarsus, but telotarsi infuscate); antenna (but scapus yellowish), tegulum, head dorsally (but frons yellowish latero-posteriorly), mesoscutum, scutellum and metanotum, apex of third tergite and following tergites, ovipositor sheath, pterostigma and veins dark brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

Molecular data. None.

Variation. Length of body 1.6–1.9 mm, of fore wing 1.9–2.1 mm; antenna of female with 26 (1), 27 (1) or 28 (1) segments, of male with 31 (1) segments; oblique groove of pronotum distinctly or superficially crenulate.

Distribution. *China (Hunan).

Biology. Unknown.

Etymology. Name derived from “acutus” (Latin for “sharp”) and clypeus, because of the acute ventral margin of the clypeus.

Notes. The new species runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to *Phaedrotoma improcera* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n. *Phaedrotoma acuticlypeata* differs by having the anterior surface of the propodeum largely smooth (rugose in *P. improcera*), vein 3-SR of fore wing 1.8 times vein 2-SR (1.3 times) and length of eye in dorsal view 2.8 times temple (1.7 times).

*Phaedrotoma angiclypeata* Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:1888DD51-4108-436B-909A-BB3649406EA9
http://species-id.net/wiki/Phaedrotoma_angiclypeata
Figs 205–214

Type material. Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Longtanping, 4–5.VI.2009, 550 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”. Paratype (RMNH): 1 ♂, “S. China: Hunan, nr Suining, Huansang N. R., Shaoyang, 12–13.VI.2009, 1000 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”.

Diagnosis. Hypopygium of ♀ truncate apically and 0.2 times as long as metasoma (Fig. 213); clypeus normal ventrally and semicircular (Fig. 212); clypeus 0.6 times as
wide as face or less, protruding medio-ventrally and truncate laterally (Fig. 211); occipital carina close to hypostomal carina; malar suture entirely absent; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; scutellar sulcus at most moderately crenulate; scutellum flat or nearly so; posterior groove of propleuron smooth or absent; pronope round and rather large (Fig. 214); lateral carina of mesoscutum largely absent; mesosoma largely black; precoxal sulcus finely crenulate or smooth (Fig. 205); propodeum smooth and shiny; vein m-cu of fore wing slightly postfurcal (Fig. 206); vein CU1b shorter than vein 3-CU1 (Fig. 206); hind femur about 5 times as long as wide; second and third metasomal tergites smooth; head and mesosternum largely dark brown; mandible gradually widened basally and narrow apically (Fig. 212); hind tarsus pale yellowish.

Description. Holotype, ♀, length of body 1.4 mm, of fore wing 1.7 mm.

Head. Antenna with 23 segments and 1.3 times as long as fore wing; length of third segment 1.1 times fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 4.3, 3.8, and 3.0 times their width, respectively (Fig. 210); length of maxillary palp 0.9 times height of head; labial palp segments rather short; occipital carina close to hypostomal carina and dorsally absent; hypostomal carina narrow; length of eye in dorsal view 2.6 times temple; frons glabrous, smooth, evenly flattened; face smooth, medially weakly elevated; width of clypeus 3.1 times its maximum height and 0.55 times width of face; clypeus convex, protruding forwards, superficially punctate dorsally and its ventral margin thin and slightly concave (Fig. 211); hypoclypeal depression rather large (Fig. 211); malar suture entirely absent; mandible gradually widened basally, with short and non-protruding ventral carina (Fig. 212).
Figures 206–214. *Phaedrotoma angiclypeata* sp. n., female, holotype. 206 Wings 207 mesosoma dorsal 208 propodeum and 1st-3rd metasomal tergites dorsal 209 hind leg 210 antennae 211 head anterior 212 mandible 213 ovipositor sheath 214 pronope dorsal.
Mesosoma. Length of mesosoma 1.1 times its height; dorsal pronope distinct, rather large, round (Fig. 214); pronotal side smooth, but slightly crenulate in oblique groove (Fig. 205); epicnemial area smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus only medially impressed, smooth as rest of mesopleuron (Fig. 205); pleural sulcus smooth as anterior groove of metapleuron; notauli absent on disc, only anteriorly indicated by shallow depressions (Fig. 207); mesoscutum glabrous except for a few setae; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; lateral carina of mesoscutum largely absent; scutellar sulcus moderately crenulate; scutellum smooth and flattened; surface of propodeum entirely smooth and shiny (Fig. 207).

Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 206): pterostigma elliptical, narrowed apically; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.3 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 2:18:53; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 11:18:6; r slender; 1-M straight; SR1 slightly sinuate; m-cu slightly postfurcal; cu-a postfurcal and 1-CU1 widened; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b rather short. Hind wing (Fig. 206): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 10:13:5; cu-a straight; m-cu absent.

Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 5.0, 8.5 and 4.5 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur with long setae and of tibia medium-sized (Fig. 209).

Metasoma. Length of first tergite 1.2 times its apical width, its surface distinctly convex but flattened medially and largely finely rugose or rugulose, dorsal carinae developed in its anterior 0.6, curved (Fig. 208); second and following tergites largely smooth, but with some superficial granulation, division of tergites slightly elevated; length of ventrally visible setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.06 times fore wing and 0.2 times hind tibia (Figs 205, 213).

Colour. Black; palpi, mandible, clypeus, malar space, second tergite, metasoma ventrally and legs pale yellowish; first tergite yellowish-brown; antenna (but scapus, laterally pedicellus and third segment yellowish), face, temple, mesopleuron largely, ovipositor sheath, remainder of metasoma, pterostigma and veins dark brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

Molecular data. None.

Variation. Paratype has length of the fore wing 2.0 mm, the antenna with 27 segments, precoxal sulcus finely crenulate and the head largely brownish-yellow.

Distribution. *China (Hunan).

Biology. Unknown.

Etymology. Name derived from “angustus” (Latin for “narrow”) and clypeus, because of the comparatively narrow clypeus.

Notes. The new species runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to Opius clusilis Weng & Chen, 2005, if the second tergite is considered to be smooth. Phaedrotoma angiclypeata differs by having the head roundly narrowed behind the eyes in dorsal view (directly narrowed in O. clusilis), the mandible hardly widened basally (mandible distinctly widened), length of the first tergite 1.2 times its apical width (1.4 times) and length of the third antennal segment 4.5 times its width (2.6 times). The new species is close to Phaedrotoma postuma (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n., but P. postuma has the head (except usually dorsally) and mesosoma laterally yellowish-brown or brown, the third antennal segment comparatively slender, the fourth antennal segment largely yellowish-brown, the malar space slightly longer and the ovipositor sheath narrow.
Phaedrotoma antenervalis Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:A03369EE-6DE9-44C2-B288-F668079819C4
http://species-id.net/wiki/Phaedrotoma_antenervalis
Figs 215–224

Type material. Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Forest park Mts, 1.VIII.1989, Ben-Zhu Dai, No. 214”.

Diagnosis. Clypeus normal ventrally and semi-circular (Fig. 221); width of clypeus 2.8 times its maximum height; mesosoma yellowish-brown laterally and ventrally; pronotal side smooth; precoxal sulcus somewhat wider, in elliptical depression; anterior groove of metanotum crenulate (Fig. 215); propodeum usually largely rugose and medio-longitudinal carina absent (Fig. 217); vein SR1 of fore wing 2.6 times as long as vein 3-SR; vein m-cu of fore wing antefurcal (Fig. 216); vein 1r-m of hind wing distinctly oblique and 0.4 times vein 1-M (Fig. 216); second tergite densely and finely granulate-punctate (Fig. 218); setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.45 times as long as hind tibia (Fig. 223).

Description. Holotype, ♀, length of body 1.8 mm, of fore wing 2.3 mm.

Head. Antenna with 32 segments and 1.4 times as long as fore wing; length of third segment 1.3 times fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 4.8, 3.8, and 2.0 times their width, respectively (Fig. 224); length of maxillary palp 0.5 times height of head; labial palp segments short; occipital carina moderately close to hypostomal carina (Fig. 221) and dorsally absent; hypostomal carina narrow; length of eye in dorsal view 2.0 times temple; frons glabrous, smooth, evenly flattened; face smooth, medially weakly elevated; width of clypeus 2.8 times its maximum height and 0.5 times width of face; clypeus convex, protruding forwards, superficially punctate dorsally and its ventral margin thin and slightly concave (Figs 220, 221); hypoclypeal depression large (Fig. 220); malar suture absent; mandible gradually widened basally and with a narrow ventral carina (Fig. 221).

Mesosoma. Length of mesosoma 1.2 times its height; dorsal pronope large and round (Fig. 222); pronotal side smooth and posterior groove absent (Fig. 215); epicnemial area smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus only medially impressed, widely and finely crenulate, smooth as rest of mesopleuron (Fig. 215); pleural sulcus smooth; anterior groove of metapleuron crenulate; notauli absent on disc, only anteriorly indicated by shallow depressions (Fig. 217); mesoscutum smooth and glabrous; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; lateral carina of mesoscutum largely absent; scutellar sulcus moderately crenulate; scutellum smooth and flattened; surface of propodeum largely rugose (Fig. 217).

Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 216): pterostigma elliptical, narrowed apically; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.3 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1= 3:31:80; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 17:31:7; r normal; 1-M slightly curved; SR1 slightly sinuate; m-cu antefurcal; cu-a postfurcal and 1-CU1 widened; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b short. Hind wing (Fig. 216): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 18:24:10; cu-a straight; m-cu absent.

Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 3.8, 7.1 and 6.5 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur with long setae and of tibia medium-sized (Fig. 219).
Metasoma. Length of first tergite about equal to its apical width, its surface evenly gradually convex medially, longitudinally rugose and with dorsal carinae remain separated from each other and reaching apex of tergite (Fig. 218); second tergite densely and finely granulate-punctate (Fig. 218); third and following tergites smooth; length of ventrally visible setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.11 times fore wing and 0.45 times hind tibia (Figs 215, 223).

Colour. Yellow; antenna, mesosoma laterally and ventrally, third and following tergites and ovipositor sheath yellowish-brown; pterostigma and veins brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

Molecular data. None.

Distribution. *China (Hunan).

Biology. Unknown.

Etymology. Name derived from “ante” (Latin for “before”) and “nervus” (Latin for nerve or vein), because of the antefurcal vein m-cu of fore wing.

Figure 215. Phaedrotoma anternervalis sp. n., female, holotype. Habitus lateral.
Figures 216–224. *Phaedrotoma antenervalis* sp. n., female, holotype. 216 Wings 217 mesosoma dorsal 218 propodeum and metasoma dorsal 219 hind leg 220 head anterior 221 mandible 222 pronope dorsal 223 ovipositor sheath 224 antenna.
Notes. The new species runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to Phaedrotoma osculas (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n. Phaedrotoma antenervalis differs by having the setose part of ovipositor sheath distinctly longer than the first tergite (0.8 times in P. osculas), length of the maxillary palp about equal to height of head (1.2 times) and the propodeum densely rugulose anteriorly (smooth).

Phaedrotoma depressa Li & van Achterberg, nom. n.
Figs 225–236

Opius vittata [recte: vittatus] Chen & Weng, 2005: 106–107, fig. 44, 180, 198, photos 166–170 (not Opius vittatus Ruschka, 1915; junior homonym).

Type material. Holotype of Opius vittata, ♀ (FAFU), “[China:] Fujian, Jiangle, Longqi Mt., 10.VII.1994, Wu Zhi-shan” and 3 ♀ + 1 ♂ topotypic paratypes collected 10–14.VII.1994.

Additional material. 4 ♀ (RMNH, ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, Changsha, garden Hunan Agr. Univ., 80 m, 31.V.2009, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”; 2 ♀, “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Tian Ping Mt., 9-13.VII.2009, 550 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’10”; 1 ♀, “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Bamaoxi, 2-3.VI.2009, 540 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”.

Diagnosis. Vein SR1 of fore wing 3.4-4.0 times as long as vein 3-SR; clypeus depressed ventrally and narrow sickle-shaped (Fig. 233); mesosoma (except black mesoscutum) orange-brown; second and third metasomal tergite micro-sculptured and propodeum smooth; hypopygium of ♀ obtuse apically or nearly so and 0.1–0.2 times as long as metasoma; labrum normal, without large space below clypeus (Fig. 233); hind femur about 4.5 times as long as wide.

Description. Specimen from Changsha, ♀, length of body 1.2 mm, of fore wing 1.4 mm.

Head. Antenna with 23 segments and 1.4 times as long as fore wing; third segment 1.1 times as long as fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 3.8, 3.5 and 2.5 times their width, respectively (Fig. 236); length of maxillary palp 0.8 times height of head; labial palp segments moniliform; occipital carina moderately far removed from hypostomal carina (Fig. 234) and dorsally absent; hypostomal carina narrow; length of eye in dorsal view 1.8 times temple; frons slightly evenly convex and glabrous, smooth; face smooth, medially hardly elevated (Fig. 233); width of clypeus 3.5 times its maximum height and 0.6 times width of face, depressed ventrally and sickle-shaped (Fig. 233); clypeus slightly convex, distinctly protruding forwards, smooth except for a few punctures and its ventral margin thick and slightly concave; hypoclypeal depression wide (Fig. 233); malar suture shallow, linear; mandible slender triangular and somewhat narrowed submedially, with a narrow ventral carina (Fig. 234).

Mesosoma. Length of mesosoma 1.1 times its height; dorsal pronope obsolescent, pit-shaped; pronotal side smooth and posterior groove absent; epicnemial area smooth
dorsally; precoxal sulcus medially superficially impressed, smooth as rest of mesopleuron; pleural sulcus smooth (Figs 225, 226, 227); mesosternal sulcus deep and narrow and smooth; notauli absent on disc, only anteriorly with pair of short smooth impressions (Fig. 230); mesoscutum glabrous and strongly shiny; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; scutellar sulcus narrow and finely crenulate; scutellum slightly convex medially; surface of propodeum smooth, except for crenulae posteriorly (Fig. 230).
Figures 228–236. *Phaedrotoma depressa* nom. n., female, Changsha, but 229 Badagong Mts. 228, 229 Wings 230 mesosoma dorsal 231 1st–3rd metasomal tergites dorsal 232 hind leg 233 head anterior 234 mandible 235 ovipositor sheath 236 antenna.
Wings. Fore wing (Figs 228, 229): pterostigma elongate elliptical; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.1 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 2:12:48; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 8:12:4; r slender; 1-M straight and SR1 nearly straight; m-cu and cu-a slightly postfurcal; 1-CU1 hardly widened; first subdiscal cell open, CU1b absent; apical fifth of M+CU1 sclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 229): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 2:4:1; cu-a straight; m-cu absent; basal cell very narrow.

Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 4.5, 7.3 and 4.5 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur and tibia with medium-sized setae (Fig. 232).

Metasoma. Length of first tergite 0.9 times its apical width, its surface flattened and coriaceous, matt and dorsal carinae developed in basal 0.4 of tergite (Fig. 231); second suture obsolescent; second and following tergites smooth; length of setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.07 times fore wing and 0.2 times length of hind tibia (Fig. 235).

Colour. Yellowish-brown; antenna (but scapus yellowish), stemmaticum, mesoscutum, pronotum dorsally, ovipositor sheath, third and following tergites, pterostigma and veins dark brown; palpi, mandible, tegulae and legs (but hind tibia apically, hind tarsus and telotarsi darkened) pale yellow; scutellum and metanotum brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

Variation. Length of body 1.2-1.4 mm, of fore wing 1.4-1.6 mm, antenna of ♀ with 23 (1) segments; vein SR1 of fore wing 3.4-4.0 times as long as vein 3-SR; thirds tergite smooth or superficially granulate; metasoma sometimes nearly completely yellowish-brown dorsally; melanistic specimens with body largely dark or chestnut brown occur (Figs 226, 227).

Molecular data. COI, 16S, 28S (CVA4239).

Distribution. *China (Hunan).

Biology. Unknown.

Etymology. Name derived from “depressus” (Latin for “pressed down”), because of the narrow depressed clypeus.

Notes. Phaedrotoma depressa runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to Opius clusilis Weng & Chen, 2005. Phaedrotoma depressa differs by having the mandible gradually narrowed and nearly symmetrical (abruptly narrowed and asymmetrical in O. clusilis), the head roundly narrowed behind eyes in dorsal view (directly narrowed), the mandible hardly widened basally (mandible distinctly widened), length of the first tergite 0.9 times its apical width (1.4 times) and length of the third antennal segment 3.8 times its width (2.6 times). Phaedrotoma rugulosa (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n. comes very close but has a higher clypeus (narrower), slenderer third and fourth antennal segments of ♀, T3-6 membranous and depressed posteriorly. Examination of the holotype of Opius vittatus Chen & Weng, 2005 (a junior homonym) showed that this is the same species as P. depressa despite it is not keying out to it.
Phaedrotoma depressiclypealis Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:D23DB5DB-6C0C-40B8-A31B-E18D5C6D7CB2
http://species-id.net/wiki/Phaedrotoma_depressiclypealis
Figs 237–246

**Type material.** Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Bamaoxi, 2–3.VI.2009, 540 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”.

**Diagnosis.** Malar suture partly shallowly impressed (Fig. 243); clypeus medium-sized (Fig. 242); second submarginal cell of fore wing medium-sized (Fig. 238); setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.1–0.2 times as long as hind tibia; pronope, sculpture of propodeum and second and third tergites variable; first tergite mainly rugose and granulate (Fig. 240); anterior groove of metapleuron crenulate; apical half of first metasomal tergite subparallel (Fig. 240); occipital carina comparatively close to hypostomal carina; clypeus largely subparallel-sided, densely punctate and medially depressed (Fig. 242); length of mesosoma 1.5 times its height; antenna of female about 1.3 times as long as fore wing; length of eye in dorsal view about 3.5 times temple; propodeum coarsely rugose (Fig. 240).

**Description.** Holotype, ♀, length of body 2.1 mm, of fore wing 2.5 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 29 segments and 1.3 times as long as fore wing; length of third segment 1.3 times fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 4.0, 3.0, and 3.0 times their width, respectively malar suture partly shallowly impressed (Fig. 244); length of maxillary palp 0.9 times height of head; labial palp segments normal, elongate; occipital carina moderately far removed from hypostomal carina and dorsally absent (Fig. 243); hypostomal carina rather narrow; length of eye in dorsal view 3.5 times temple; frons glabrous, smooth and strongly shiny and with shallow longitudinal depression medially, slightly convex; face largely smooth, medially slightly elevated; width of clypeus twice its maximum height and 0.5 times width of face; clypeus rather flattened and superficially sculptured, ventrally depressed, not protruding forwards and its ventral margin obtuse and slightly concave malar suture partly shallowly impressed (Fig. 242); hypoclypeal depression medium-sized (Fig. 242); malar suture partly superficially impressed near eye (Fig. 243); mandible gradually widened basally, with narrow and non-protruding ventral carina (Fig. 243).

**Mesosoma.** Length of mesosoma 1.5 times its height; dorsal pronoe large and round (Fig. 246); pronotal side smooth and posterior groove largely absent; epicnemial area smooth; precoxal sulcus only medially impressed, narrow and comparatively deep, only with some micro-sculpture (Fig. 237); pleural sulcus smooth; anterior groove of metapleuron crenulate ventrally; notaui absent on disc, only anteriorly indicated by shallow depressions; mesoscutum glabrous except for a few setae along imaginary notaulic courses (Fig. 239); medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; lateral carina of mesoscutum largely absent anteriorly; scutellar sulcus finely crenulate; scutellum smooth or nearly so and flattened; surface of propodeum rather coarsely rugose, without distinct transverse or median carinae (Fig. 239).
Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 238): pterostigma narrow elliptical, narrowed apically; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.2 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 4:30:75; r slender; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 17:30:8; 1-M nearly straight; SR1 slightly curved; m-cu narrowly postfurcal; cu-a postfurcal and 1-CU1 widened; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b short. Hind wing (Fig. 238): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 15:13:8; cu-a straight; m-cu absent.

Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 4.1, 10.6 and 7.1 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur with long setae and of tibia medium-sized (Fig. 241).

Metasoma. Length of first tergite 1.2 times its apical width, its surface weakly and gradually convex and with widely spaced rugae and with granulate interspaces, dorsal carinae irregular and up to its posterior fifth (Fig. 240); second and third tergites superficially granulate, division of tergites slightly elevated (Fig. 240); length of ventrally visible setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.10 times fore wing and 0.35 times hind tibia (Figs 237, 245); apex of hypopygium rather protruding (Fig. 245).

Colour. Blackish-brown or dark brown; palpi, coxae and trochanters ivory; legs pale yellowish (but hind tibia apically and basally, and hind tarsus more or less infuscate); antenna (but scapus largely yellowish), ovipositor sheath, pterostigma and veins dark brown; wing membrane subhyaline; tegulae, face largely (except medially), frons (except medially), temple largely and metasoma largely laterally yellowish-brown; mesopleuron ventrally and antero-dorsally and remainder of metasoma (except first tergite) more or less brown.
Figures 238–246. *Phaedrotoma depressiclypealis* sp. n., female, holotype. 238 Wings 239 mesosoma dorsal 240 propodeum and 1st-3rd metasomal tergites dorsal 241 hind leg 242 head anterior 243 mandible 244 antenna 245 ovipositor sheath 246 pronope dorsal.
**Molecular data.** None.

**Distribution.** *China (Hunan).

**Biology.** Unknown.

**Etymology.** Name derived from “depressus” (Latin for “pressed down”) and clypeus, because of the ventrally depressed clypeus.

**Notes.** The new species runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to *Phaedrotoma larga* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n. *Phaedrotoma depressiclypealis* differs by having vein 3-SR of fore wing 1.8 times vein 2-SR (1.6 times in *P. larga*), the mandible hardly widened basally (distinctly widened) and the length of the first tergite 1.2 times its apical width (0.9 times).

**Phaedrotoma flavisoma** Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:BF4EDC56-57E6-428A-8DE3-D165D014D21F
http://species-id.net/wiki/Phaedrotoma_flavisoma
Figs 247–256

**Type material.** Holotype, ♂ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, Taoyuan, Wuyunjie Mts., Houxi, 6–8.VI.2010, 500 m, Xi-Ying Li, No. 38”.

**Diagnosis.** Pronotal side smooth (Fig. 247); head moderately transverse and yellow; clypeus comparatively narrow, truncate ventrally, semi-circular and about 3.5 times as wide as high (Fig. 252); vein SR1 of fore wing 1.9 times as long as vein 3-SR; mesosoma completely pale yellow; propodeum, second and third metasomal tergite smooth (Figs 249, 250); first tergite superficially granulate (Fig. 250); anterior groove of metapleuron smooth; apical half of first metasomal tergite subparallel-sided (Fig. 250); occipital carina far removed from hypostomal carina; malar suture absent; hind tarsus pale yellowish as basal half of hind tibia; length of malar space 0.3 times basal width of mandible; third antennal segment about 3.5 (♂) times as long as wide; antenna 1.6 times longer than fore wing; face ivory and mesosoma pale yellowish; first tergite twice as long as wide apically (Fig. 240).

**Description.** Holotype, ♂, length of body 1.7 mm, of fore wing 1.9 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 27 segments and 1.4 times as long as fore wing; length of third segment 1.1 times fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 3.3, 3.0 and 2.8 times their width, respectively (Fig. 255); length of maxillary palp about equal to height of head; labial palp segments normal; occipital carina far removed from hypostomal carina and dorsally absent; hypostomal carina narrow; length of eye in dorsal view 4.0 times temple; frons smooth, glabrous, flattened (Fig. 254); face smooth, glabrous, medially weakly elevated (Fig. 252); width of clypeus 3.3 times its maximum height and 0.6 times width of face; clypeus comparatively narrow and truncate medially, normal ventrally and semi-circular, its ventral margin thin and straight (Figs 252, 253); hypoclypeal depression large (Fig. 252); malar suture absent; length of malar space 0.3 times basal width of mandible gradually widened basally, with a ventral carina (Fig. 253).
Mesosoma. Length of mesosoma 1.4 times its height; dorsal pronope absent; pronotal side smooth; epicnemial area smooth dorsally (Fig. 247); precoxal sulcus only medially impressed, smooth; rest of mesopleuron smooth, glabrous; pleural sulcus smooth; anterior groove of metapleuron smooth; notauli absent on disc, only anteriorly indicated by shallow depressions (Fig. 249); mesoscutum glabrous except for a few setae along imaginary notaulic courses; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; scutellar sulcus finely crenulate; scutellum smooth and flattened; surface of propodeum smooth (Fig. 249).

Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 248): pterostigma triangular; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.6 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 2:33:60; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 20:33:9; r rather short; 1-M slightly curved; SR1 nearly straight; m-cu postfurcal; cu-a slightly

Figure 247. Phaedrotoma flavisoma sp. n., male, holotype. Habitus lateral.
Figures 248–256. *Phaedrotoma flavisoma* sp. n., male, holotype. 248 Wings 249 mesosoma dorsal 250 propodeum and 1st-3rd metasomal tergites dorsal 251 mesosternum ventral 252 head anterior 253 mandible 254 head dorsal 255 antenna 256 hind leg.
postfurcal and 1-CU1 widened; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b medium-sized. Hind wing (Fig. 248): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 22:18:9; cu-a straight; m-cu absent.

Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 7.0, 10.0 and 6.0 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur with long setae and of tibia medium-sized (Fig. 256).

Metasoma. Length of first tergite 1.5 times its apical width, its surface moderately convex and largely finely rugose, dorsal carinae united in its anterior 0.5 and absent behind it (Fig. 250); second and following tergites smooth.

Colour. Yellow; antenna, pronotal side, mesopleuron, tegulae, pale yellowish; face, mandible, clypeus, palpi, malar space and legs ivory; pterostigma brown, veins yellowish-brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

Molecular data. None.

Distribution. *China (Hunan).

Biology. Unknown.

Etymology. Name derived from “flavus” (Latin for “yellow”) and “soma” (Greek for body), because of the yellow body.

Notes. The new species runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to Phaedrotoma rugulosa (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n. and differs by having the clypeus 3.5 times wider than high (2.4 times in *P. rugulosa*), the malar space 0.3 times basal width of mandible (about 0.8 times), vein m-cu of fore wing far postfurcal (subinterstitial) and the triangular pterostigma (narrow elliptical).

Phaedrotoma nigrisoma Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:18ABBFEF-F413-4117-959B-F63FD6F45066
http://species-id.net/wiki/Phaedrotoma_nigrisoma
Figs 257–263

Type material. Holotype, ♂ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Changsha, Ningxiang, 5.X.1986, Xiao-ming Ou”.

Diagnosis. Antenna of male with about 21 segments and about as long as fore wing; clypeus comparatively narrow, width of clypeus 4.0 times its maximum height (Fig. 260); body black; legs dark brown; vein SR1 of fore wing 3 times as long as vein 3-SR; vein 3-SR 1.7 times as long as vein 2-SR (Fig. 258); length of hind femur about 5.6 times its width.

Description. Holotype, ♂, length of body 1.4 mm, of fore wing 1.6 mm.

Head. Antenna with 21 segments and about as long as fore wing; length of third segment 1.2 times fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 3.2, 2.8, and 1.9 times their width, respectively (Fig. 257); length of maxillary palp 0.9 times height of head; labial palp segments normal; occipital carina removed from hypostomal carina and dorsally absent; length of eye in dorsal view 1.6 times temple; frons glabrous, slightly convex laterally; face largely smooth but laterally superficially punctate, medially distinctly elevated (Fig. 260); width of clypeus 4.0 times its maximum height and 0.8 times width of face; clypeus distinctly convex, punctate and its
ventral margin curved (Fig. 260); hypoclypeal depression large (Fig. 260); malar suture present; malar space 0.3 times basal width of mandible; mandible gradually widened basally, with narrow and ventral carina (Fig. 261).

**Mesosoma.** Length of mesosoma 1.2 times its height; dorsal pronope round (Fig. 263); pronotal side largely smooth but partly superficially granulate (Fig. 257); epicnemial area smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus only medially impressed, finely sculptured;
remainder of mesopleuron and pleural sulcus smooth; notauli absent on disc, only anteriorly indicated by shallow depressions (Fig. 259); mesoscutum glabrous; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; scutellar sulcus moderately crenulate; scutellum smooth or nearly so; surface of propodeum largely smooth, without carinae (Fig. 259).

**Wings.** Fore wing (Fig. 258): pterostigma slender elliptical, narrowed apically; 1-R1 not reaching wing apex and 0.9 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 4:16:52; r widened; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 13:16:5; 1-M straight; SR1 nearly straight; m-cu distinctly postfurcal; cu-a postfurcal and 1-CU1 widened; first subdiscal cell opened, CU1b absent; Hind wing (Fig. 258): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 11:20:9; cu-a straight; m-cu absent.

**Legs.** Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 5.6, 7.4 and 3.8 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur and tibia with medium-sized setae (Fig. 257).

**Metasoma.** Length of first tergite 0.7 times its apical width, its surface distinctly convex and largely smooth (Fig. 263); second and third tergites smooth.

**Colour.** Black; antenna, palpi and legs dark brown; pterostigma and veins dark brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

**Molecular data.** None.

**Distribution.** *China (Hunan).*

**Biology.** Unknown.

**Etymology.** Name derived from “niger” (Latin for “black”) and “soma” (Latin for “body”), because of the black body.

**Notes.** The new species runs with difficulty in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to *Rhogadopsis sulcifer* (Fischer, 1975) comb. n., but *Phaedrotoma nigrisoma* has vein m-cu angled with veins 2-M and 2-CU1 (linear or gradually merging in *R. sulcifer*), malar space 0.3 times as long as basal width of mandible (equal) and propodeum smooth (areolate).

**Phaedrotoma protuberator** Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:E40DC0FB-47DC-46F1-90CB-AEDC7AC986B5
http://species-id.net/wiki/Phaedrotoma_protuberator
Figs 264–274, 394–412

**Type material.** Holotype, ♂ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Bamaoxi, 2–3.vi.2009, 540 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”. Paratypes (RMNH, ZUH): 4 ♀ + 4 ♂, with same label data as holotype; 4 ♀ + 4 ♂, id., but Longtanping, 4-5.vi.2009, 550 m; 1 ♂, id., but Tian Ping Mt, 9–13.vii.2009, 550 m; 3 ♀ + 1 ♂, “S. China: Hunan, nr Suining, Huangsang N. R., Shaoyang, 12–13.vi.2009, 1000 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”; 1 ♂ + 2 ♀, “S. China: Hunan, nr Chengbu, Nan Mt., Shaoyang, 1500 m, 10–11.vi.2009, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”; 1 ♂, “N. China: Shandong, Anqiu, Suotou Mt., 31.vii.2009, c. 120 m, Li Xi-Ying, RMNH’09”; 1 ♀ (RMNH), “China: Hunan, Changde, Taoyuan, Mao-zong-ling Xiang, Shuangxi-kou Xiang, roadside, at light, CN 1033, 12.viii.2010, P.-P. Chen, RMNH’11”.
Diagnosis. Hypopygium of ♀ rather acute apically and 0.3 times as long as metasoma; labrum normal, without large space below clypeus (Fig. 270); clypeus normal ventrally and semicircular; clypeus 0.6 times as wide as face or less, protruding forwards; face less transverse (Fig. 270); occipital carina removed from hypostomal carina; malar suture entirely absent; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; scutellar sulcus at most moderately crenulate; scutellum flat or nearly so; posterior groove of propleuron smooth or absent; pronope round and large (Fig. 274); lateral carina of mesoscutum present; mesosoma largely black; precoxal sulcus finely crenulate or smooth; propodeum without oblique crests; vein m-cu of fore wing slightly postfurcal; vein CU1b shorter than vein 3-CU1 or subequal (Fig. 265); hind femur about 5 times as long as wide; second and third metasomal tergites more or less superficially granulate (Fig. 267); head and mesosternum largely yellowish-brown; mandible gradually widened basally and narrow apically; hind tarsus infuscate.

Description. Holotype, ♀, length of body 1.6 mm, of fore wing 1.9 mm.

Head. Antenna with 30 segments and 1.7 times as long as fore wing; length of third segment 1.2 times fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 4.3, 3.7 and 2.5 times their width, respectively (Fig. 269); length of maxillary palp 0.9 times height of head; labial palp segments normal; occipital carina close to hypostomal carina and dorsally absent; hypostomal carina narrow; length of eye in dorsal view 1.5 times temple; frons glabrous, smooth, flattened and with pit medially, slightly convex laterally; face smooth, medially weakly elevated; width of clypeus 2.2 times its...
Figures 265–274. *Phaedrotoma protuberator* sp. n., female, holotype. 265 Wings 266 mesosoma dorsal 267 propodeum and 1st-3rd metasomal tergites dorsal 268 hind leg 269 antenna 270 head anterior 271 mandible 272 ovipositor sheath 273 clypeus lateral 274 pronope dorsal.
maximum height and 0.45 times width of face; clypeus convex, protruding forwards, largely punctate and its ventral margin thin and straight (Fig. 270); hypoclypeal depression medium-sized (Fig. 270); malar suture entirely absent; mandible gradually widened basally, with short and non-protruding ventral carina (Fig. 271).

**Mesosoma.** Length of mesosoma 1.2 times its height; dorsal pronope distinct, large, round (Fig. 274); pronotal side mainly smooth, but slightly granulate posteriorly and posterior groove absent (Fig. 264); epicnemial area smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus only medially impressed, nearly smooth as rest of mesopleuron (Fig. 264); pleural sulcus smooth; anterior groove of metapleuron crenulate; notauli absent on disc, only anteriorly indicated by shallow depressions; mesoscutum glabrous except for a few setae along imaginary notaulic courses (Fig. 266); medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; lateral carina of mesoscutum present; scutellar sulcus moderately crenulate; scutellum smooth and flattened; surface of propodeum largely smooth anteriorly, posteriorly obliquely rugulose, without carinae (Fig. 266).

**Wings.** Fore wing (Fig. 265): pterostigma wide elliptical, narrowed apically; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.2 times as long as pterostigma (Fig. 265); r:3-SR:SR1 = 2:21:61; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 13:21:6; 1-M straight; SR1 slightly curved; m-cu slightly postfurcal; cu-a postfurcal and 1-CU1 widened; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b medium-sized. Hind wing (Fig. 265): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 12:15:7; cu-a straight; m-cu absent.

**Legs.** Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 5.3, 10.3 and 6.0 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur with long setae and of tibia medium-sized (Fig. 268).

**Metasoma.** Length of first tergite 1.2 times its apical width, its surface distinctly convex but flattened medially and largely finely rugose or rugulose, dorsal carinae developed on its anterior 0.6, curved (Fig. 267); second and following tergites largely smooth, but with some superficial granulation, division of tergites slightly elevated (Fig. 267); length of ventrally visible setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.07 times fore wing and 0.2 times hind tibia (Figs 264, 272); apex of hypopygium rather acute (Fig. 272).

**Colour.** Black; palpi, mandible, malar space, second and base of third tergite and legs pale yellowish, but hind tibia apically and hind tarsus more or less infuscate; face, temple medially and ventrally, mesopleuron ventrally, mesosternum, first tergite and metasoma ventrally, yellowish-brown; frons and vertex laterally brown; antenna (but scapus yellowish), tegulae, ovipositor sheath and apical dorsal half of metasoma dark brown; pterostigma and veins dark brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

**Molecular data.** COI, 16S, 28S (CVA4254).

**Variation.** Length of body 1.6-2.0 mm, of fore wing 1.9-2.4 mm; antenna of female with 25 (1), 27 (3), 28 (3), 29 (1), 30 (3) or 31 (1) segments, of male with 24 (1), 28 (3), 29 (1), 30 (1), 31 (3) or 32 (1) segments; second and third metasomal tergites nearly smooth and shiny to distinctly granulate and matt, sometimes only third tergite granulate; mesosoma laterally, metanotum and propodeum may be largely brown or chestnut brown; clypeus often largely smooth, protuberant, but ventrally with acute margin or obtuse, protruding or depressed; head (especially of males) may be largely brownish-yellow; shallow medial depression of frons present or absent; second and third tergites are dark brown in melanistic specimens. Some female paratypes (Figs
394, 398) have the hind tarsus hardly or not infuscate, the propodeum completely or largely coarsely sculptured and the precoxal sulcus distinctly crenulate.

**Distribution.** *China* (Hunan).

**Biology.** Unknown.

**Etymology.** Name derived from “protuberatus” (Latin for “bulging out”), because the clypeus more or less protrudes forwards.

**Notes.** Belongs morphologically to the genus *Phaedrotoma* Foerster, but according to the DNA analysis belongs to the genus *Opius* Wesmael. The DNA analysis should be re-done to be sure this is not a result of contamination.

The new species does not run well in the key by Chen and Weng (2005); if the robust mesosoma is considered the most important character then it ends up at *Phaedrotoma amputata* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n. *Phaedrotoma protuberator* differs by having the anterior groove of the metapleuron crenulate (smooth), vein 3-SR of fore wing 1.6 times vein 2-SR (twice in *P. amputata*), the precoxal sulcus comparatively long and nearly parallel to the pleural sulcus (short and angled with pleural sulcus) and vein m-cu of the fore wing is distinctly angled with vein 2-M (gradually merging).

### Phaedrotoma rugulifera Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:779442B1-AE2C-4287-B234-14AC69E5A313

http://species-id.net/wiki/Phaedrotoma_rugulifera

**Figs** 275–285

**Type material.** Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Bamaoxi, 2–3.VI.2009, 540 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”. Paratypes (RMNH): 2 ♀ + 1 ♂, same label data; 1 ♀ id., but Tian Ping Mt., 9–13.VII.2009, c 1400 m; 1 ♂, id., but Longtanping, 4–5.VI.2009, 550 m; 1 ♀ + 1 ♂, “S. China: Hunan, nr Chengbu, Nan Mt., Shaoyang, 1500 m, 10–11.VI.2009, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”.

**Diagnosis.** Malar suture largely absent (Fig. 282); clypeus medium-sized (Fig. 281); second submarginal cell of fore wing medium-sized (Fig. 276); setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.6-0.8 times as long as hind tibia; pronotum with large round pronope (Fig. 285); epicnemial area crenulate; propodeum usually largely densely rugose (Fig. 277); second and third metasomal tergites superficially granulate (Fig. 278).

**Description.** Holotype, ♀, length of body 2.6 mm, of fore wing 2.8 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 34 segments and 1.5 times as long as fore wing; length of third segment 1.3 times fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 4.7, 3.7 and 2.7 times their width, respectively (Fig. 280); length of maxillary palp 1.3 times height of head; labial palp segments normal, elongate; occipital carina rather close to hypostomal carina and dorsally absent (Fig. 282); hypostomal carina medium-sized; length of eye in dorsal view 3.5 times temple; frons glabrous, smooth and strongly shiny and flattened medially, slightly convex laterally; face largely smooth between sparse punctures, but slightly micro-sculptured medio-ventrally and dorso-
laterally, medially slightly elevated (Fig. 281); width of clypeus 2.9 times its maximum height and 0.5 times width of face; clypeus moderately convex and punctate, ventrally not protruding forwards and its ventral margin rather sharp and straight (Fig. 281); hypoclypeal depression comparatively large (Fig. 281); malar suture largely absent, slightly impressed near eye (Fig. 282); malar space comparatively short (Fig. 282), half as long as basal width of mandible; mandible gradually widened basally, with narrow and non-protruding ventral carina (Fig. 282).

Mesosoma. Length of mesosoma 1.3 times its height; dorsal pronope large and round (Fig. 285); pronotal side largely smooth and posterior groove largely absent (Fig. 275); epicnemial area crenulate; precoxal sulcus subanteriortly and medially impressed, narrow and comparatively deep, distinctly crenulate (Fig. 275); pleural sulcus smooth; anterior groove of metapleuron crenulate ventrally; notaui absent on disc, only anteriorly indicated by deep and smooth depressions (Fig. 277); mesoscutum glabrous except for a few setae along imaginary notauiic courses and about as long as wide (Fig. 277); medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; lateral
Figures 276–285. *Phaedrotoma rugulifera* sp. n., female, holotype. 276 Wings 277 mesosoma dorsal 278 propodeum and 1st-3rd metasomal tergites dorsal 279 hind leg 280 antennae 281 head anterior 282 mandible 283 ovipositor sheath lateral 284 id. dorsal 285 pronope dorsal.
carina of mesoscutum largely absent anteriorly, only as a row of punctures; scutellar sulcus distinctly crenulate; scutellum smooth or nearly so and flattened; surface of propodeum coarsely and densely rugose, without distinct transverse or median carinae (Fig. 277).

Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 276): pterostigma rather wide elliptical, narrowed apically; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.3 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 3:27:73; r slender; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 20:27:7; 1-M straight; SR1 slightly curved; m-cu distinctly postfurcal; cu-a just postfurcal and 1-CU1 widened; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b short. Hind wing (Fig. 276): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 21:15:9; cu-a straight; m-cu absent.

Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 3.7, 9.8 and 5.7 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur with long setae and of tibia medium-sized (Fig. 279).

Metasoma. Length of first tergite 0.9 times its apical width, its surface weakly and gradually convex and with widely spaced rugae and with granulate interspaces, dorsal carinae irregular and up to its posterior fifth (Fig. 278); second and third tergites superficially granulate, division of tergites slightly elevated; length of ventrally visible setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.27 times fore wing, 2.5 times first tergite and 0.8 times hind tibia (Figs 275, 284); apex of hypopygium acute (Fig. 283).

Colour. Black; palpi, malar space, mandible, coxae and trochanters ivory; legs pale yellowish (but hind tibia apically and hind tarsus slightly infuscate); antenna (but scapus and pedicellus largely yellowish), pronotal side ventrally propleuron largely, mesopleuron ventrally, apical half of metasoma dorsally, pterostigma and veins more or less dark brown; wing membrane subhyaline; tegula brown; head (except black stemmaticum and dark brown middle of vertex), metasoma ventrally and second and third tergites brownish-yellow.

Molecular data. COI, 16S, 28S (CVA4237, CVA4248).

Variation. Length of body 2.2-3.1 mm, of fore wing 2.4-3.2 mm; antenna of female with 32 (1), 33 (1), 34 (1) or 36 (1) segments, of male with 30 (1) or 34 (2) segments; mesosoma completely black or dark brown and laterally partly brownish-yellow; head largely dark brown to nearly completely brownish-yellow.

Distribution. *China (Hunan).

Biology. Unknown.

Etymology. Name derived from “rugulum” (Latin for “small ruga or wrinkle”) and “ferum” (suffix in Latin meaning “carrying” or “having”), because of the densely rugulose propodeum.

Notes. The new species does not run well in the key by Chen and Weng (2005), but if the length of the mesosoma is considered to be variable, it runs to Phaedrotoma abortiva (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n. Phaedrotoma rugulifera differs by having the mesosoma 1.3 times as long as high (1.5-1.6 times in P. abortiva), the malar suture largely absent, only shallowly impressed near the eye (deep and almost complete) and the length of the maxillary palp 1.3 times height of head (equal).
**Phaedrotoma semiplanata** Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:6B389F90-3761-432E-AAB1-6168A2493C63
http://species-id.net/wiki/Phaedrotoma_semiplanata

Figs 286–294

**Type material.** Holotype, ♀ (ZJUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Tian Ping Mt., 9-13.vii.2009, 550 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’10”, “CVA4256, sp. 22”.

**Diagnosis.** Vein 1r-m of hind wing about 0.4 times as long as vein 1-M (Fig. 287); posterior groove of pronotal side and anterior groove of metapleuron smooth (Fig. 286).

**Description.** Holotype, ♀, length of body 1.8 mm, of fore wing 2.1 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 23 segments and 1.2 times as long as fore wing; third segment 1.4 times as long as fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 4.5, 3.2 and 2.2 times their width, respectively (Fig. 294); length of maxillary palp 1.1 times height of head; labial palp segments normal; occipital carina widely removed from hypostomal carina and dorsally absent (Fig. 292); hypostomal carina medium-sized; length of eye in dorsal view 2.3 times temple; frons slightly depressed behind antennal sockets and in front of anterior ocellus and glabrous, smooth; face smooth, medially elevated; width of clypeus 2.7 times its maximum height and 0.45 times width of face; clypeus rather convex but slightly protruding forwards and largely smooth (except for some punctures) and its ventral margin slightly concave and sharp (Fig. 291); hypoclypeal depression rather large (Fig. 291); malar suture deep; mandible triangular and with narrow ventral carina (Fig. 292).
Figures 287–294. Phaedrotoma semiplanata sp. n., female, holotype. 287 Wings 288 mesosoma dorsal 289 propodeum and 1st-3rd metasomal tergites dorsal 290 hind leg 291 head anterior 292 mandible 293 ovipositor sheath 294 antennae.
Mesosoma. Length of mesosoma 1.2 times its height; dorsal pronope large, deep and round (Fig. 293); pronotal side smooth and posterior groove largely absent (Fig. 286); epicnemial area smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus medially subvertical, deep and slightly finely crenulate (Fig. 286); rest of mesopleuron smooth; pleural sulcus smooth; mesosternal sulcus deep and moderately crenulate; anterior groove of metapleuron largely smooth; notauli absent on disc, only anteriorly with pair of short smooth impressions (Fig. 288); mesoscutum glabrous and strongly shiny; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; scutellar sulcus moderately crenulate; scutellum convex medially, smooth; propodeum with short medio-longitudinal carina and rugose anteriorly, surface posteriorly mainly superficially rugulose (Fig. 289).

Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 287): pterostigma elongate triangular; 1-R1 ending at wing apex and 1.4 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 2:25:62; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 17:25:7; r somewhat widened; 1-M slightly curved and SR1 straight; m-cu distinctly postfurcal; cu-a slightly postfurcal and 1-CU1 widened; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b medium-sized; apical quarter of M+CU1 sclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 287): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 5:5:2; cu-a straight; m-cu present as faint unpigmented trace.

Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 4.2, 9.4 and 5.0 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur with long and tibia with medium-sized setae (Fig. 290).

Metasoma. Length of first tergite 1.3 times its apical width, its surface evenly moderately convex and coarsely vermiculate, its dorsal carinae developed in basal 0.4 of tergite, straight (Fig. 289); second suture slightly indicated; second and third tergites superficially longitudinally rugulose (Fig. 289); length of setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.07 times fore wing and 0.2 times length of hind tibia (Figs 286, 293).

Colour. Black; antenna (but scapus yellowish laterally and ventrally), stemmaticum, head dorsally (except near eyes), first tergite, most of fourth and following tergites, ovipositor sheath and pterostigma dark brown; face, malar space, palpi, mandible and legs (but hind tarsus and telotarsi darkened) pale yellow; tegulae and veins brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

Molecular data. COI, 16S, 28S (CVA4256).

Distribution. *China (Hunan).

Biology. Unknown.

Etymology. Name derived from “semi” (Latin for “half”) and “planus” (Latin for “flat”), because of the rather flat clypeus.

Notes. The new species runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to *Phaedrotoma dimidia* (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n. *Phaedrotoma semiplanata* differs by having the setose part of the ovipositor sheath 0.7 times as long as first tergite ((about 3 times in *P. dimidia*), the notauli largely absent on the mesocutal disc and smooth (anterior half present on disc and crenulate) and the propodeum without a transverse carina or posterior areola (present).
Phaedrotoma striatinota Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:C1156DDB-5E95-4B2D-8B9B-B74AE5B47E10
http://species-id.net/wiki/Phaedrotoma_striatinota
Figs 295–303

Type material. Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, Shaoyang, Chengbu, Nan Mts., 1.VI.1985, Bai-Hua Xia, No. 300”.

Diagnosis. Head strongly transverse and yellow; clypeus very wide (Fig. 300); mandible large, gradually widened baso-ventrally and resulting in comparatively robust teeth (Fig. 301); occipital carina slightly curved ventrally and remaining removed from hypostomal carina; pronotal side striate; notauli nearly complete, basal half crenulate and gradually reduced posteriorly (Fig. 297); scutellar sulcus wide and coarsely crenulate (Fig. 297); surface of propodeum coarsely and densely rugose and without a transverse carina subbasally (Fig. 298); vein 1r-m of hind wing distinctly oblique and 0.3 times vein 1-M (Fig. 296); second tergite smooth.

Description. Holotype, ♀, length of body 4.2 mm, of fore wing 3.6 mm.

Head. Antenna on unique specimen broken (only 7 segments remaining); third segment 1.1 times as long as fourth segment, length of third and fourth segments 2.0 and 2.0 times their width, respectively (Fig. 295); length of maxillary palp 0.8 times height of head; labial palp segments short; occipital carina far removed from hypostomal carina and dorsally absent; hypostomal carina medium-sized; length of eye in dorsal view 0.9 times temple; frons depressed behind antennal sockets and glabrous, smooth (Fig. 302); face smooth, medially broadly elevated (Fig. 300); width of clypeus 3.0 times its maximum height and 0.7 times width of face, clypeus flattened, smooth except for some punctures and its ventral margin thick and distinctly concave (Fig. 301); hypoclypeal depression wide (Fig. 300); malar suture reduced; length of malar space slightly less than basal width of mandible; mandible large, gradually widened baso-ventrally and with a narrow ventral carina (Fig. 301).

Mesosoma. Length of mesosoma 1.3 times its height; dorsal pronoep shallow (Fig. 302); pronotal side largely striate (Fig. 303); epicnemial area coarsely crenulate dorsally; precoxal sulcus wide, deep and coarsely crenulate, smooth as rest of mesopleuron (Fig. 303); pleural sulcus smooth; notauli nearly complete, crenulate and gradually reduced posteriorly (Fig. 297); mesoscutum smooth and glabrous; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum elliptical (Fig. 297); scutellar sulcus wide and coarsely crenulate; scutellum smooth, slightly convex medially; surface of propodeum coarsely and densely rugose (Fig. 298).

Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 296): pterostigma triangular; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.2 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 10:45:93; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 37:45:15; 1-M slightly curved, 1-SR+M sinuate and SR1 nearly straight; m-cu and cu-a slightly postfurcal; 1-CU1 hardly widened; first subdiscal cell robust, 3-CU1:CU1b = 11:9; apical fifth of M+CU1 unsclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 296): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 51:53:17; cu-a straight; m-cu absent; SR present.
Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 3.0, 6.2 and 4.0 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur and tibia with medium-sized setae (Fig. 299).

Metasoma. Length of first tergite 0.9 times its apical width, its surface evenly convex medially, coarsely rugose and with dorsal carinae united near apical fifth of tergite (Fig. 298); second and following tergites smooth and normally sclerotized.

Colour. Body black; antenna dark brown; head, tegulae and legs (but hind coxa and hind tarsus brown) yellow; pterostigma and veins brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

Distribution. *China (Hunan).

Biology. Unknown.

Etymology. Name derived from “stria” (Latin for “furrow, line”) and “notos” (Greek for “back”), because of the longitudinally striate pronotal sides.

Notes. The new species does not run well in the key by Chen and Weng (2005); it ends near Xynobius indagatrix (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n. and X. multiarculatus (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n. Both species have dorsope, which is absent in Phaedrotoma striatinota. The pronotal side of both species lacks striae (present in P. striatinota) and the male of the new species has normally sclerotized tergites (male holotype of X. indagatrix has third-sixth tergites largely membranous).
Figures 296–303. Phaedrotoma striatinota sp. n., male, holotype. 296 Wings 297 mesosoma dorsal 298 propodeum and 1st–2nd metastomal tergites dorsal 299 hind leg 300 head anterior 301 clypeus and mandible anterior 302 head dorsal 303 mesosoma lateral.
**Phaedrotoma terga** (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n.
http://species-id.net/wiki/Phaedrotoma_terga

*Opius (Tolbia) tergus* Chen & Weng, 2005: 148, 206, fig. 69.

**Distribution.** China (Fujian, Hunan).

**Biology.** Unknown.

**Phaedrotoma vermiculifera** Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:04A021CC-6CB2-484B-BCD7-D434783373BF
http://species-id.net/wiki/Phaedrotoma_vermiculifera

Figs 304–313

**Type material.** Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Bamaoxi, 2–3.VI.2009, 540 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”. Paratype, 1 ♀ (RMNH), same label data.

**Diagnosis.** Malar suture absent and malar space short (Fig. 310); clypeus medium-sized (Fig. 309); second submarginal cell of fore wing medium-sized (Fig. 305); setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.1-0.2 times as long as hind tibia; pronope small and round (Fig. 313); second and third tergites smooth; first metasomal tergite longitudinally costate-striate (Fig. 307); anterior groove of metapleuron smooth; propodeum largely finely vermiculate-rugose or -rugulose (Fig. 307); clypeus slightly protruding medially (Fig. 309); apical half of first tergite widened apically (Fig. 307); occipital carina remaining far removed from hypostomal carina (Fig. 310).

**Description.** Holotype, ♀, length of body 2.4 mm, of fore wing 2.8 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 34 segments and 1.5 times as long as fore wing; length of third segment 1.3 times fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 4.0, 3.2, and 2.7 times their width, respectively (Fig. 312); length of maxillary palp 1.1 times height of head; labial palp segments normal, elongate; occipital carina remain far hypostomal carina, crenulate and dorsally absent (Fig. 310); hypostomal carina medium-sized; length of eye in dorsal view 2.8 times temple; frons glabrous, smooth, without pit medially, slightly convex laterally and in front of anterior ocellus; face smooth, rather convex, medially weakly elevated (Fig. 309); width of clypeus twice its maximum height and 0.6 times width of face; clypeus flattened, with some punctures and its ventral margin protruding forwards, thin and convex (Fig. 309); hypoclypeal depression rather large (Fig. 309); malar suture entirely absent and malar space comparatively short; mandible not widened basally, with long and non-protruding ventral carina (Fig. 310).

**Mesosoma.** Length of mesosoma 1.2 times its height; dorsal pronope small and round (Fig. 313); pronotal side mainly smooth, but oblique groove distinctly crenulate anteriorly and posterior groove absent (Fig. 304); epicnemial area smooth dorsally;
precoxal sulcus only medially impressed, distinctly crenulate and rather wide (Fig. 304); remainder of mesopleuron and pleural sulcus smooth; anterior groove of metapleuron smooth; notauli absent on disc, only anteriorly indicated by shallow depressions (Fig. 306); mesoscutum glabrous except for a few setae along imaginary notaulic courses; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; lateral carina of mesoscutum absent anteriorly and area (as notauli area anteriorly in lateral view) finely rugulose; scutellar sulcus distinctly crenulate; scutellum smooth and flattened; surface of propodeum largely finely vermiculate-rugose or -rugulose, without distinct carinae (Fig. 307).

Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 305): pterostigma wide elliptical, narrowed apically; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.3 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 2:32:74; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 21:32:8; 1-M nearly straight; SR1 slightly curved; m-cu subinterstitial or slightly antefurcal; cu-a subinterstitial; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b short. Hind wing (Fig. 305): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 5:5:2; cu-a straight; m-cu absent.

Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 4.1, 9.6 and 5.0 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur and tibia with long setae (Fig. 308).

Metasoma. Length of first tergite 1.1 times its apical width, its surface rather convex but flattened medially and largely longitudinally costate-striate, dorsal carinae up to apex of tergite, slightly sinuate (Fig. 307); second and following tergites smooth, division of second with third tergite slightly elevated; length of setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.11 times fore wing and 0.4 times hind tibia; apex of hypopygium rather acute (Figs 304, 311).

Colour. Blackish-brown; palpi, mandible, malar space, temple ventrally, tegulae and legs (except infuscate telotarsi) whitish or pale yellowish; clypeus, scapus, pronotal side ventrally, second and fourth to sixth tergites, metasoma ventrally apically partly yellow; face, frons medially, mesopleuron, mesosternum (but largely darkened) and metapleuron, brownish-yellow; first tergite largely and remainder of
Figures 305–313. *Phaedrotoma vermiculifera* sp. n., female, holotype. 305 Wings 306 mesosoma dorsal 307 propodeum and 1st–2nd metasomal tergites dorsal 308 hind leg 309 head anterior 310 mandible 311 ovipositor sheath 312 antennae 313 pronope dorsal.
antenna dark brown; third and fourth tergites, pterostigma and veins brown; metasoma ventro-basally pale brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

**Molecular data.** COI, 16S, 28S (CVA4250).

**Variation.** The paratype female is very similar to the holotype, length of body 1.9 mm, of fore wing 2.4 mm; antenna with more than 31 segments (apical segment(s) missing).

**Distribution.** *China (Hunan).*

**Biology.** Unknown.

**Etymology.** Name derived from “vermiculus” (Latin for “small worm”) and “ferum” (suffix in Latin meaning carrying or having), because of the densely rugose or rugulose propodeum.

**Notes.** The new species runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to *Phaedrotoma osculas* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n. *Phaedrotoma vermiculifera* differs by having the malar space comparatively short (Fig. 298), half as long as the basal width of the mandible (0.7 times in *P. osculas*), the surface of the propodeum largely finely vermiculate-rugose or -rugulose (Fig. 296), without distinct carinae (smooth anteriorly and with pair of carinae posteriorly), the length of the mesosoma 1.2 times its height (1.4 times) and the length of maxillary palp 1.1 times height of head (1.3 times).

**Rhogadopsis Brèthes, 1913 stat. n.**

Figs 314–373

*Rhogadopsis* Brèthes, 1913: 44; Shenefelt, 1975: 1212; Wharton 1987: 66 (synonymy with subgenus *Lissosema*). Type species (by monotypy): *Rhogadopsis miniacea* Brèthes, 1913 [examined].

*Lissosema* Fischer, 1972a: 359. Type species (by original designation): *Opius parvungula* Thomson, 1895 [examined].

**Diagnosis.** Propodeum with a medio-longitudinal carina anteriorly (Figs 317, 327, 336, 344, 352, 366); vein m-cu of fore wing gradually merging into 2-CU1 and linear with vein 2-M or nearly so; vein 1r-m of hind wing less oblique and 0.7-1.0 times as long as vein 1-M; anterior groove of metapleuron crenulate, but smooth in *R. semiplana*; vein CU1b of fore wing medium-sized.

**Biology.** Parasitoids of Agromyzidae.

**Notes.** *Lissosema* Fischer, 1972, is a junior synonym of *Rhogadopsis* Brèthes (Wharton, 1987), its type species has a short malar space with no distinct malar suture. Both names have been used as subgenera in the genus *Opius* (Fischer 1972b; Wharton 1987), but according to the molecular data and the derived wing venation this group forms a separate clade and, therefore, *Rhogadopsis* is elevated to generic rank.
**Rhogadopsis latipennis** Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:04155CB2-4A2F-4BC5-95A4-07EE11276996
http://species-id.net/wiki/Rhogadopsis_latipennis
Figs 314–324

**Type material.** Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, Yongzhou, Jiangyong, Yuncheng, 28.VI.1988, Jian-Ping Liu, No. 184”.

**Diagnosis.** Length of eye about 2.0 times temple in dorsal view; hypoclypeal depression medium-sized (Fig. 321); ventral half of posterior groove of pronotal side crenulate; precoxal sulcus moderately wide and crenulate (Fig. 314); anterior groove of metapleuron crenulate; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum large, round and deep (Fig. 317); propodeum with medio-longitudinal carina anteriorly (Fig. 317); vein 1r-m of hind wing about 1.3 times as long as vein 1-M (Fig. 316); area below pterostigma hyaline; first tergite about as long as wide (Fig. 318).

**Description.** Holotype, ♀, length of body 3.0 mm, of fore wing 2.8 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 23+ segments; third segment 1.3 times as long as fourth segment, length of third and fourth segments 2.2 and 1.7 times their width, respectively (Fig. 319); length of maxillary palp 0.7 times height of head; labial palp segments slender; occipital carina rather close to hypostomal carina and dorsally absent; hypostomal carina wide; length of eye in dorsal view 2.0 times temple; frons medially convex, depressed behind antennal sockets, smooth and glabrous (Fig. 322); face coarsely punctate, setose and medially widely elevated (Fig. 320); width of clypeus 2.6 times its maximum height and 0.6 times width of face; clypeus convex, protruding forwards and punctate and its ventral margin slightly concave and thick (Figs 320, 321); hypoclypeal depression medium-sized (Fig. 321); malar suture deep; length of malar space 0.6 times basal width of mandible; mandible triangular and with narrow ventral carina (Fig. 321).
Figures 315–324. *Rhogadopsis latipennis* sp. n., female, holotype. 315 Fore wing 316 hind wing 317 mesosoma dorsal 318 metasoma dorsal 319 antennae 320 head anterior 321 mandible 322 head dorsal 323 hind leg 324 ovipositor sheath.
Mesosoma. Length of mesosoma 1.3 times its height; pronotal side largely smooth, but medial groove and oblique groove coarsely crenulate (Fig. 314); epicnemial area mainly smooth dorsally, but finely crenulate laterally; precoxal sulcus moderately wide and crenulate; remainder of mesopleuron smooth (Fig. 314); pleural sulcus smooth; anterior groove of metapleuron crenulate; notauli absent on disc, only anteriorly indicated by shallow depressions (Fig. 317); mesoscutum glabrous except for row of long setae along notaulic courses; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum large, round and deep (Fig. 317); scutellar sulcus wide and coarsely crenulate (Fig. 317); scutellum slightly convex medially, smooth; propodeum with nearly complete medio-longitudinal carina and remainder largely reticulate-rugose (Fig. 317).

Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 315): pterostigma triangular; 1-R1 not reaching wing apex and 1.2 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 17:42:67; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 30:42:17; r long; 1-M distinctly curved, SR1 nearly straight; m-cu postfurcal; cu-a subinterstitial; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b medium-sized; apical third of M+CU1 desclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 316): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 37:21:27; cu-a straight; m-cu completely absent.

Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 3.5, 7.0 and 4.0 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur and tibia with medium-sized setae (Fig. 323).

Metasoma. Length of first tergite 1.1 times its apical width, its surface longitudinally striate and evenly convex medially (Fig. 318); second and following tergites smooth; length of ovipositor sheath 0.5 times (setose part 0.4 times) as long as hind tibia (Figs 314, 324).

Colour. Dark brown; head (except medio-dorsally and posteriorly), notaulic courses, tegulae, ovipositor sheath yellowish-brown; palpi and legs yellow; pterostigma and veins brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

Distribution. *China (Hunan).

Etymology. Name derived from “latus” (Latin for “wide”) and “penna” (Latin for “wing”), because of the wide hind wing.

Notes. The new species runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) with some difficulty to *Opis aquacaducus* Chen & Weng, 2005, but *R. latipennis* has normal mandibles (asymmetrical in *O. aquacaducus*), the medio-posterior depression is round (droplet-shaped) and the scutellum is slightly convex (strongly tuberculate convex). *Rhogadopsis tabidula* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n. and *R. pratellae* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n. are similar but have vein 1r-m of hind wing less enlarged (about as long as vein 1-M and about 1.3 times in *R. latipennis*) and the setose part of the ovipositor sheath is either shorter (0.3 times hind tibia in *R. tabidula*) or longer (0.6 times hind tibia in *R. pratellae*) than in *R. latipennis* (0.4 times hind tibia). *Rhogadopsis tabidula* has a droplet shaped medio-posterior depression of the mesoscutum (round in *R. latipennis*) and the anterior half of the notauli present on the disc (as in *R. latipennis*; absent in *R. tabidula*).
**Rhogadopsis longicaudifera** Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.  
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:5A361F3E-3FD2-419E-9DE5-5BE285A03279  
http://species-id.net/wiki/Rhogadopsis_longicaudifera  
Figs 325–333

**Type material.** Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, Yongzhou, Jiangyong, Yuankou, 28.V.1988, Jian-Ping Liu, No. 181”.

**Diagnosis.** Posterior groove of pronotal side crenulate; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent (Fig. 327); anterior groove of metapleuron crenulate; propodeum with medio-longitudinal carina anteriorly (Fig. 328); vein m-cu of fore wing postfurcal (Fig. 326); vein 1r-m of hind wing about 0.9 times as long as vein 1-M; vein CU1b of fore wing medium-sized (Fig. 326); first tergite normal and without median carina (Fig. 328); length of setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.5 times fore wing and twice as long as hind tibia (Fig. 333).

**Description.** Holotype, ♀, length of body 2.1 mm, of fore wing 2.6 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 27 segments and 1.1 times as long as fore wing; third segment 1.2 times as long as fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 4.0, 3.4 and 1.3 times their width, respectively (Fig. 332); length of maxillary palp 0.8 times height of head; labial palp segments short; occipital carina close to hypostomal carina and dorsally absent; hypostomal carina wide; length of eye in dorsal view 1.3 times temple; frons flat, smooth and glabrous; face smooth, medially elevated (Fig. 330); width of clypeus 1.8 times its maximum height and 0.4 times width of face; clypeus moderately convex, largely smooth, slightly concave and thin (Fig. 330); hypoclypeal depression medium-sized (Fig. 330); malar suture absent; length of malar space about equal to basal width of mandible; mandible triangular and with narrow ventral carina (Fig. 331).

**Mesosoma.** Length of mesosoma 1.2 times its height; dorsal pronope obsolescent; pronotal side smooth; epicnemial area smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus wide and crenulate; remainder of mesopleuron smooth; pleural sulcus smooth; anterior groove of metapleuron smooth; notaulli absent on disc, only anteriorly with pair of narrow and short smooth impressions (Fig. 327); mesoscutum glabrous; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; scutellar sulcus moderately wide and crenulate; scutellum slightly convex medially, smooth, glabrous; propodeum with nearly complete medio-longitudinal carina and remainder largely rugulose (Fig. 327).

**Wings.** Fore wing (Fig. 326): pterostigma triangular; 1-R1 ending at wing apex and 1.3 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 10:30:80; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 25:30:11; r widened; 1-M and SR1 nearly straight; m-cu postfurcal; cu-a postfurcal; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b short; apical quarter of M+CU1 sclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 326): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 21:20:17; cu-a straight; m-cu completely absent.

**Legs.** Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 4.0, 7.1 and 5.0 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur and tibia with medium-sized setae (Fig. 329).
**Metasoma.** Length of first tergite 1.3 times its apical width, its surface evenly gradually convex medially, longitudinally rugose and with dorsal carinae separated and up to apex (Fig. 328); basal half of second tergite densely and finely granulate; third tergite and following tergites smooth; length of setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.5 times fore wing and twice hind tibia (Figs 325, 333).

**Colour.** Yellowish-brown; antenna, pterostigma, veins, vertex, mesoscutum medially and laterally, third and following tergites, tarsi and ovipositor sheath dark brown; remainder of legs and palpi yellowish. Wing membrane subhyaline.

**Molecular data.** None.

**Distribution.** *China (Hunan).

**Biology.** Unknown.

**Etymology.** Name derived from “longus” (Latin for “long”), “caudus” (Latin for “tail”) and “ferum” (suffix in Latin meaning carrying or having), because of the long ovipositor sheath.
Figures 326–333. *Rhogadopsis longicaudifera* sp. n., female, holotype. 326 Wings 327 mesosoma dorsal 328 propodeum and 1st-2nd metasomal tergites dorsal 329 hind leg 330 head anterior 331 mandible 332 antennae 333 ovipositor sheath.
Notes. The new species runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to *Rhogadopsis dimidia* (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n. or *Xynobius complexus* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n. The new species differs from the latter because of absence of the dorsople (present in *X. complexus*), no notauli on the mesoscutal disc (entirely impressed) and the normally sclerotized second and following tergites (partly desclerotized). The new species differs from *R. dimidia* by having the length of eye 1.3 times temple in dorsal view (about 7 times in *R. dimidia*), basal half of notauli absent on mesoscutal disc (present and crenulate), and second and third tergites granulate (longitudinally striate). *Rhogadopsis diutia* (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n. is similar, but *R. longicaudifera* has the ovipositor sheath about 4 times as long as the first tergite (about half as long in *R. diutia*), the pleural sulcus smooth (crenulate), length of the malar space about equal to basal width of mandible (0.6 times) and the pterostigma triangular (elliptical).

*Rhogadopsis longuria* (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n.
http://species-id.net/wiki/Rhogadopsis_longuria
Figs 334–341

*Opinus longurius* Chen & Weng, 2005: 95, 97–98 (fig. 40), 100, 183, 197, photos 145–149.

**Type material.** Holotype, ♀ (FAFU), “[China:] Fujian, Wuyi Mt., Sangang, 30.VI.1988, Zhang Xia-bin”. Paratypes (FAFU): 1 ♀, topotypic, but 14.V.1994, 1 ♂ id., but Dazhulan, 18.VI.1993, 1 ♀ id., but Guhuangkeng, 25.VI.1993 and 1 ♂ “[China:] Fujian, Longqi Mt., Jiangle, 11.VII.1994, Wu Zu-shan”.

**Additional material.** 2 ♂ (ZUH, RMNH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Bamaoxi, 2–3.VI.2009, 540 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”.

**Diagnosis.** Vein 1r-m of hind wing 0.7–1.0 times as long as vein 1-M (Fig. 335); anterior groove of metapleuron crenulate; posterior groove of pronotal side largely absent and remainder nearly smooth; first tergite elongate and with median carina (Fig. 337); medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent (Fig. 336).

**Description.** Male from Bamaoxi, length of body 2.8 mm, of fore wing 2.9 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 32 segments and 1.3 times as long as fore wing; third segment 1.3 times as long as fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 4.0, 3.0 and 2.5 times their width, respectively (Fig. 338); length of maxillary palp 1.2 times height of head; labial palp segments slender; occipital carina rather close to hypostomal carina and dorsally absent; hypostomal carina wide; length of eye in dorsal view 3.8 times temple; frons rather depressed anteriorly and glabrous, largely smooth; face largely coarsely punctate and with distinct smooth interspaces, medially elevated (Fig. 339); width of clypeus 2.9 times its maximum height and 0.65 times width of face; clypeus rather convex, distinctly protruding forwards and coarsely punctate and its ventral margin slightly concave and thin, acute (Fig. 339); hypoclypeal depression large (Fig. 339); malar suture absent; length of malar space 0.3 times basal width of mandible; mandible triangular and with narrow ventral carina (Fig. 340).
Mesosoma. Length of mesosoma 1.3 times its height; dorsal pronope absent; pronotal side smooth, but posterior groove partly impressed and narrowly superficially crenulate (Fig. 334); epicnemial area mainly smooth dorsally except for some fine punctures; precoxal sulcus medially oblique and moderately crenulate (Fig. 334); rest of mesopleuron smooth; pleural sulcus smooth; mesosternal sulcus shallow and moderately crenulate; anterior groove of metapleuron crenulate; notauli absent on disc, only anteriorly with pair of narrow and short smooth impressions; mesoscutum glabrous except for row of setae along imaginary notaulic courses and strongly shiny (Fig. 336); medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum absent; scutellar sulcus moderately wide and coarsely crenulate (Fig. 336); scutellum slightly convex medially, smooth;
propodeum with nearly complete medio-longitudinal carina and remainder largely smooth (Fig. 336).

Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 335): pterostigma triangular; 1-R1 ending at wing apex and 1.4 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 4:41:69; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 28:41:12; r widened; 1-M and SR1 slightly curved; m-cu narrowly postfurcal; cu-a subinterstitial; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b medium-sized; apical quarter of M+CU1 sclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 335): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 20:17:13; cu-a straight; m-cu completely absent.
Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 4.5, 8.5 and 5.2 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur and tibia with medium-sized setae (Fig. 341).

Metasoma. Length of first tergite 1.5 times its apical width, its surface keel-like convex and largely smooth, its dorsal carinae united at basal 0.3 and with long median carina (Fig. 337); second suture slightly indicated; second and following tergites smooth.

Colour. Dark brown, head (except medio-dorsally and posteriorly), scapus and apically pedicellus brownish-yellow; notaular courses, mesoscutum laterally, mesopleuron anterodorsally, streak below precoxal sulcus, apex of second, apex and base of following tergites yellowish-brown; pterostigma dark brown; remainder of antenna and veins brown; palpi, tegulae, legs and metasoma ventrally pale yellow; wing membrane subhyaline.

Variation. Second male from Bamaoxi has 34 antennal segments, length of fore wing 3.0 mm and surroundings of medio-longitudinal carina of propodeum finely crenulate.

Molecular data. None.

Distribution. China (Fujian, Hunan).

Biology. Unknown.

Notes. The males run in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to Opius diutius Chen & Weng, 2005. Rhogadopsis longuria differs by having the propodeum with a nearly complete medio-longitudinal carina and remainder largely smooth (entirely reticulate-rugose in O. diutius), the width of the clypeus about 3 times its height (about twice), the length of the malar space 0.3 times the basal width of mandible (0.6 times) and the first tergite with a keel-like convexity medially (flattened medially and without keel).

Rhogadopsis maculosa Li, van Achterberg & Tan, sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:DBEDD3C3-4FB3-429A-853F-752F87348880
http://species-id.net/wiki/Rhogadopsis_maculosa
Figs 342–350

Type material. Holotype, ♂ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, Chenzhou, Yizhang, Mang Mts, 7.V.1989, Ben-Zhu Dai, No. 301”.

Diagnosis. Length of body about 3.8 mm; antennal segments about 43; area below pterostigma slightly infuscate; length of eye in dorsal view about equal to temple in dorsal view; mesoscutum about as long as wide; anterior groove of metapleuron crenulate; precoxal sulcus widely crenulate (Fig. 349); medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum elongate (Fig. 344); propodeum with medio-longitudinal carina anteriorly (Fig. 345); vein CU1b of fore wing medium-sized; vein 1r-m of hind wing 0.6 times as long as vein 1-M (Fig. 343); second submarginal cell of fore wing narrowed apically; first tergite about as long as wide (Fig. 345).

Description. Holotype, ♂, length of body 3.8 mm, of fore wing 4.1 mm.

Head. Antenna with 43 segments and 1.3 times as long as fore wing; third segment 1.2 times as long as fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 3.0, 2.5 and 1.5 times their width, respectively (Figs 342, 350); length of maxillary palp 1.1 times height of head; labial palp segments slender; occipital carina moder-
ately close to hypostomal carina and medio-dorsally absent; hypostomal carina wide; length of eye in dorsal view about equal to temple; frons medially convex, depressed behind antennal sockets, largely smooth and glabrous; face largely punctate, medially distinctly elevated (Fig. 347); width of clypeus 2.7 times its maximum height and 0.6 times width of face; clypeus rather convex, distinctly protruding forwards and coarsely punctate and its ventral margin concave and thick (Fig. 347); hypoclypeal depression large (Fig. 347); malar suture absent; length of malar space 0.7 times basal width of mandible; mandible triangular and with narrow ventral carina (Fig. 348).

**Mesosoma.** Length of mesosoma 1.3 times its height; dorsal pronope absent; pronotal side smooth, but medial groove and oblique groove coarsely crenulate (Fig. 349); epicnemial area mainly smooth dorsally except for some fine punctures; precoxal sulcus widely crenulate (Fig. 349); rest of mesopleuron smooth; pleural sulcus smooth; notauli absent on disc, only anteriorly present with pair of short smooth impressions (Fig. 344); mesoscutum glabrous except for row of setae along imaginary notaulic courses; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum elongate (Fig. 344); scutellar sulcus wide and coarsely crenulate (Fig. 344); scutellum slightly convex medially, smooth; propodeum with nearly complete medio-longitudinal carina and remainder largely reticulate-rugose, posteriorly areolate (Fig. 345).

**Wings.** Fore wing (Fig. 343): pterostigma triangular; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.3 times as long as pterostigma (Fig. 343); r:3-SR:SR1 = 7:60:100; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m =
Figures 343–350. *Rhogadopsis* maculosa sp. n., male, holotype. 343 Wings 344 mesosoma dorsal 345 propodeum and 1st-2nd metasomal tergites dorsal 346 hind leg 347 head anterior 348 mandible 349 mesosoma lateral 350 antenna.
40:60:16; 1-M slightly curved; 1-SR+M sinuate and SR1 nearly straight; m-cu post-furcal; cu-a subinterstitial; first subdiscal cell closed, 3-CU1:CU1b=2:1; apical quarter of M+CU1 sclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 343): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 33:45:27; cu-a straight; m-cu completely absent.

*Legs.* Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 4.0, 8.0 and 5.0 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur and tibia with medium-sized setae. (Fig. 346)

*Metasoma.* Length of first tergite about equal to its apical width, its surface evenly convex medially, dorsally coarsely rugose and laterally with longitudinally striate; dorsal carinae protruding, remain separated from each other and reaching apex of tergite (Fig. 345); second tergite mainly smooth (except for some indistinct striae) and following tergites entirely smooth.

*Colour.* Black; antenna (but scapus and pedicellus yellowish-brown), head (but near eyes yellowish-brown), second and following tergites dark brown; clypeus and mandible yellowish-brown; palpi, tegulae and legs yellow; pterostigma and veins brown; wing membrane subhyaline, but area below pterostigma slightly infuscate.

*Distribution.* *China* (Hunan).

*Biolog.* Unknown.

*Etymology.* Name derived from “macula” (Latin for “spot”), because of the faintly spotted fore wings.

*Notes.* The new species does not run to any species in the key by Chen and Weng (2005). Examination of the holotypes in FAFU (Fuzhou) showed that *Rhogadopsis tabidula* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n. and *R. pratellae* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n. are similar. However, both have fewer antennal segments (24 and 29, respectively), the area below the pterostigma is subhyaline and *R. tabidula* has the third tergite basally narrowly striate.

*Rhogadopsis obliqua* Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:A3642234-43D0-400A-9E5B-06935644688A

http://species-id.net/wiki/Rhogadopsis_obliqua

Figs 351–362

*Type material.* Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Tian Ping Mt., 9–13.vii.2009, 550 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’10”, “CVA4241, sp. 7”. Paratype, 1 ♂ (RMNH), same locality label data.

*Diagnosis.* Vein 1r-m of hind wing 0.7–1.0 times as long as vein 1-M (Fig. 352); posterior groove of pronotal side and anterior groove of metapleuron crenulate (Fig. 351); ventral half of posterior groove of pronotal side crenulate; first tergite normal; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum present (Fig. 353); vein m-cu of fore wing slightly longer than vein 2-SR+M (Fig. 352); basal cell of hind wing wide and vein 1r-m of hind wing 0.8–1.0 times as long as vein 1-M (Fig. 352); second and third tergites smooth (Fig. 354).

*Description.* Holotype, ♀, length of body 2.5 mm, of fore wing 2.6 mm.
Head. Antenna with 24 segments and as long as fore wing; third segment 1.3 times as long as fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 2.5, 2.0 and 1.6 times their width, respectively (Figs 356, 357); length of maxillary palp 0.9 times height of head; labial palp segments normal; occipital carina rather close to hypostomal carina and dorsally absent; hypostomal carina narrow; length of eye in dorsal view 3.8 times temple; frons slightly depressed behind antennal sockets and glabrous, smooth; face smooth, medially elevated (Fig. 358); width of clypeus 2.1 times its maximum height and 0.55 times width of face; clypeus rather convex, slightly protruding forwards and largely smooth (except for some punctures) and its ventral margin slightly concave and obtuse (Fig. 358); hypoclypeal depression rather large (Fig. 360); malar suture deep; length of malar space 0.6 times basal width of mandible; mandible triangular and with narrow ventral carina (Fig. 359).

Mesosoma. Length of mesosoma 1.2 times its height; dorsal pronope large, deep and round (Fig. 362); pronotal side largely smooth, but posterior groove entirely crenulate and oblique groove with some crenulae (Fig. 351); epicnemial area mainly smooth dorsally, with some minute punctures; precoxal sulcus medially oblique and moderately crenulate (Fig. 351); rest of mesopleuron smooth; pleural sulcus smooth; mesosternal sulcus deep and finely crenulate; anterior groove of metapleuron crenulate; notauli absent on disc, only anteriorly with pair of short smooth impressions (Fig. 353); mesoscutum glabrous and strongly shiny; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum round
Figures 352–362. *Rhogadopsis obliqua* sp. n., female but 357 male paratype, holotype. 352 wings 353 mesosoma dorsal 354 propodeum and 1st–2nd metasomal tergites dorsal 355 hind leg 356, 357 antennae 358 head anterior 359 mandible 361 ovipositor sheath 362 pronope dorsal.
and medium-sized in a shallow longitudinal impression (Fig. 353); scutellar sulcus widely crenulate; scutellum slightly convex medially, smooth; propodeum with short medio-longitudinal carina and irregular coarsely reticulate-rugose (Fig. 353).

**Wings.** Fore wing (Fig. 352): pterostigma triangular; 1-R1 ending at wing apex and 1.5 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 2:23:32; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 14:23:6; r slender; 1-M and SR1 slightly curved; m-cu far postfurcal; cu-a interstitial; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b rather long; apical third of M+CU1 sclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 352): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 3:2:2; cu-a straight; m-cu present as faint unpigmented trace.

**Legs.** Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 4.5, 9.0 and 7.0 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur with long and tibia with medium-sized setae (Fig. 355).

**Metasoma.** Length of first tergite 1.1 times its apical width, its surface convex and densely reticulate-rugose, its dorsal carinae united at apex of tergite in paratype at basal 0.3, straight (Fig. 354); second suture slightly indicated; second and third tergites smooth; length of setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.06 times fore wing and 0.2 times length of hind tibia (Fig. 361).

**Colour.** Black; antenna (but scapus yellowish laterally and ventrally) and metasoma (except first tergite) ovipositor sheath and pterostigma dark brown; clypeus and mandible largely chestnut-brown; palpi, tegulae and legs (but hind tarsus and telotarsi darkened) pale yellow; veins brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

**Variation.** The male paratype has length of fore wing 2.7 mm, antenna with 29 similar short antennal segments and 1.1 times as long as fore wing (Fig. 357), inner apex of hind tibia dark brown and vein 1r-m of hind wing 0.8 times as long as vein 1-M.

**Molecular data.** COI, 16S, 28S (CVA4241).

**Distribution.** *China (Hunan).*

**Biology.** Unknown.

**Etymology.** Name derived from “obliquus” (Latin for “slanting”), because of the more or less oblique carinae of the propodeum.

**Notes.** The new species runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to *Opis aquacaducus* Chen & Weng, 2005. *Rogadopsis obliqua* differs by having the width of the clypeus 2.1 times its height (about 3.1 times in *O. aquacaducus*), the medio-posterior depression of the mesoscutum round (teardrop-shaped), the propodeum mainly irregularly coarsely reticulate-rugose (densely punctate), the length of malar space 0.6 times the basal width of mandible (0.9 times), the length of the eye in dorsal view 3.8 times the temple (equal) and the antenna with 24–29 segments (34–36 segments).

The long vein m-cu of the fore wing is shared with *Rhogadopsis sculpta* (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n., but *R. sculpta* has the anterior half of the notauli linearly impressed and nearly smooth, face laterally and dorsally yellowish-brown; malar space shorter, vein r of fore wing oblique, second submarginal cell of fore wing is more elongate.
**Rhogadopsis sculpturator** Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:1C517906-274E-4502-9C60-A983183FFB94
http://species-id.net/wiki/Rhogadopsis_sculpturator
Figs 363–373

**Type material.** Holotype, ♂ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Bamaoxi, 2–3.VI.2009, 540 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’09”.

**Diagnosis.** Anterior groove of metapleuron and ventral half of posterior groove of pronotal side crenulate; first tergite normal; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum present (Fig. 366); vein m-cu of fore wing distinctly longer than vein 2-SR+M (Fig. 364); basal cell of hind wing comparatively narrow and vein 1r-m of hind wing 0.7 times as long as vein 1-M (Fig. 364); second and third tergites finely longitudinally rugulose (Fig. 367).

**Description.** Holotype, ♂, length of body 2.3 mm, of fore wing 2.4 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 28 segments and 1.3 times as long as fore wing; third segment 1.4 times as long as fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 4.3, 3.2 and 2.2 times their width, respectively (Fig. 373); length of maxillary palp 1.2 times height of head; labial palp segments normal; occipital carina rather close to hypostomal carina and dorsally absent; hypostomal carina narrow; length of eye in dorsal view 4.0 times temple; frons slightly depressed behind antennal sockets and glabrous, smooth; face smooth, medially elevated (Fig. 369); width of clypeus twice its maximum height and 0.5 times width of face; clypeus rather convex, slightly protruding forwards and largely smooth (except for some punctures) and its ventral margin slightly concave and obtuse (Figs 369, 370, 371); hypoclypeal depression rather large (Fig. 369); malar suture deep; mandible triangular and with narrow ventral carina (Fig. 369).

**Mesosoma.** Length of mesosoma 1.2 times its height; dorsal pronope small, shallow and round (Fig. 372); pronotal side largely smooth, but ventral half of posterior groove finely crenulate (Fig. 363); epicnemial area mainly smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus medially oblique and finely crenulate (Fig. 363); rest of mesopleuron smooth; pleural sulcus smooth; mesosternal sulcus deep and finely crenulate; anterior groove of metapleuron crenulate; notauli absent on disc, only anteriorly with pair of narrow and short smooth impressions (Fig. 366); mesoscutum glabrous except for row of setae along imaginary notaulic courses and strongly shiny; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum droplet-shaped, medium-sized (Fig. 366); scutellar sulcus wide but only finely punctate (Fig. 366); scutellum slightly convex medially, smooth; propodeum with short medio-longitudinal carina anteriorly with some irregular rugae and rugulae, posteriorly depressed and largely smooth, except for some fine transverse aciculae (Fig. 366).

**Wings.** Fore wing (Fig. 364): pterostigma triangular; 1-R1 ending at wing apex and 1.4 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 3:36:52; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 20:36:15; r slightly widened; 1-M and SR1 slightly curved; m-cu far postfurcal; cu-a interstitial; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b medium-sized (Fig. 365); apical third of M+CU1 sclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 364): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 20:16:11; cu-a straight; m-cu present as a long faint unpigmented trace.
Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 4.0, 7.4 and 5.2 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur with long and tibia with medium-sized setae (Fig. 368).

Metasoma. Length of first tergite 1.4 times its apical width, its surface convex and finely longitudinally rugose, its dorsal carinae united at basal 0.3 (Fig. 367); second suture slightly indicated; second and basal half of third tergite finely longitudinally aciculate (Fig. 367).

Colour. Dark brown, including antenna (but scapus and ventrally pedicellus yellow); head dorsally, first, second and basal half of third tergites black; clypeus, tegulae, mandible, pronotum ventrally, propleuron, area below precoxal sulcus and metasoma ventrally yellowish-brown; pterostigma and veins brown; palpi, and legs (but hind tarsus darkened) pale yellow; wing membrane subhyaline.

Molecular data. None.

Distribution. *China (Hunan).

Biology. Unknown.

Etymology. Name derived from “sculptus” (Latin for “carve”), because of the sculptured second and third metasomal tergites.

Notes. The new species runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to *Rhogadopsis sculpta* (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n. *Rogadopsis sculpturator* differs by having...
Figures 364–373. Rhogadopsis sculpturator sp. n., male, holotype. 364 Wings 365 detail first subdiscal cell of fore wing 366 mesosoma dorsal 367 propodeum and 1st-3rd metasomal tergites dorsal 368 hind leg 369 head anterior 370 mandible 371 clypeus lateral 372 pronope dorsal 373 antennae.

the length of mesosoma 1.2 times its height (1.4 times in R. sculpta), the length of the third antennal segment about 4 times as long as wide (about twice) and the propodeum largely smooth posteriorly (reticulate). According to Fig. 206 in Chen and Weng (2005) the second tergite of R. sculpta is smooth. If this character is considered
to be variable then also *Apodesmia puncta* (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n. might be considered. This species has (besides the smooth second tergite and the curved occipital carina) 36 antennal segments, the first tergite 1.2 times as long as wide posteriorly and the propodeum with a large areola. *Rhogadopsis api* (Chen & Weng, 2005) comb. n. is similar but has the first tergite about 1.1 times as long as wide, the antenna 1.0-1.1 times as long as the body, the clypeus narrow semi-elliptical and second and third tergites striate.

**Utetes Foerster, 1862**
http://species-id.net/wiki/Utetes
Figs 374–383

*Utetes* Foerster, 1862: 261. Type species (by original designation): *Opius testaceus* Wesmael, 1838 [examined].

*Therobolus* Foerster, 1862: 260. Type species (by original designation): *Opius ruficeps* Wesmael, 1835 [examined].

*Frekius* Fischer, 1972a: 389 (nom. nud.), 1972b: 78; Wharton, 2006: 341 (as subgenus of *Utetes* Foerster). Type species (by original designation): *Opius castaneus* Granger, 1949 [examined].

**Diagnosis.** Hind tibia with an oblique carina basally (Fig. 378) or with series of fine oblique striae; face without tubercles; in front of anterior ocellus with a distinct semi-circular or triangular depression, rarely absent; frons with pair of distinct depressions above antennal sockets (Fig. 381); occipital carina present laterally not or slightly curved ventrally and remaining removed from hypostomal carina, near level of middle of eye straight or nearly so, without transverse carina or crest, or completely absent; clypeus more or less convex and high or usually narrow, or longer, not impressed; labrum normal, without emargination ventrally; hypoclypeal depression distinct; scapus, fore coxa and trochanter at most weakly compressed; epistomal suture without large depressions (Fig. 379); mandible usually shorter and wider, slender basally and twisted apically, with protruding carina (Fig. 380) or distinctly widened to a baso-ventral tooth or lobe; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum usually present (Fig. 376); pro-nope slit-like or elliptical, very large to large and deep (Fig. 381) or absent; scutellar sulcus usually rather wide; propodeum coarsely (reticulate-)rugose (Fig. 376); precoxal sulcus usually distinctly sculptured; postpectal carina completely absent; vein 2-SR of fore wing present, rarely absent; first subdiscal cell of fore wing at least partly closed by vein 3-CU1 postero-apically (Fig. 375); vein 1-M of fore wing more or less curved and in part of species vein 1-SR comparatively long; vein cu-a of hind wing nearly always present; vein 3-SR of fore wing distinctly longer than vein 2-SR; if subequal then vein m-cu of hind wing or precoxal sulcus (almost) absent; length of fore wing usually more than 3.5 mm; second and basal half of third tergite without sharp lateral crease, if sometimes weakly developed then second tergite smooth; length of second and third tergites combined less than 0.7 times length
of metasoma behind first tergite; fourth and following tergites (at least partly) exposed; ovipositor sheath more or less setose basally, its length 0.1–0.7 times fore wing.

**Biology.** Parasitoids of fruit infesting Tephritidae and to a lesser degree of Agromyzidae and Anthomyiidae; at least part of the records of latter families may be the result of misidentification of the hosts.

**Distribution.** Cosmopolitan.

*Utetes longicarinatus* Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:550123D1-D4BA-4571-8638-B13FE5EAEDA2
http://species-id.net/wiki/Utetes_longicarinatus
Figs 374–383

**Type material.** Holotype, ♂ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, Shanyang, Chengbu, Nan Mts., 16.VII.1985, Fu-Xing Li, No. 310”.

**Diagnosis.** Mandible triangular, normal (Fig. 380); ventral margin of clypeus truncate and thick; pronope slit-shaped and deep (Fig. 381); medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum elliptical, large and deep (Fig. 376); precoxal sulcus largely smooth; propodeum with complete medio-longitudinal carina (Fig. 376); vein m-cu of fore wing subinterstital (Fig. 375); inner side of hind tibia with oblique carinula baso-laterally (Fig. 378).

**Description.** Holotype, ♂, length of body 3.6 mm, of fore wing 3.8 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 35 segments and 0.9 times as long as fore wing; length of third segment 1.3 times fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 2.5, 2.1 and 1.5 times their width, respectively (Fig. 382); length of maxillary palp 0.7 times height of head; labial palp segments short; occipital carina moderately close to hypostomal carina and dorsally absent; hypostomal carina wide; length of eye in dorsal view 1.3 times temple; frons depressed behind antennal sockets and glabrous, smooth, medially convex (Fig. 381); face smooth, medially broadly elevated; width of clypeus 3.0 times its maximum height and 0.6 times width of face, clypeus evenly convex, sparsely punctate and its ventral margin truncate and thick (Fig. 380); hypoclypeal depression medium-sized (Fig. 379); malar suture obsolescent; mandible slightly convex (Fig. 380).

**Mesosoma.** Length of mesosoma 1.2 times its height; pronope slit-shaped and deep (Fig. 381); pronotal side smooth (Fig. 374); epicnemial area more or less crenulate dorsally; precoxal sulcus largely smooth; rest of mesopleuron smooth; pleural sulcus smooth; notauli absent on disc, except for a short smooth part anteriorly (Fig. 376); mesoscutum glabrous; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum elliptical, large and deep (Fig. 376); scutellar sulcus moderately crenulate; scutellum smooth and evenly convex; propodeum with complete medio-longitudinal carina, surface of propodeum largely reticulate-rugose (Fig. 377).

**Wings.** Fore wing (Fig. 375): pterostigma triangular; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.3 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 11:53:100; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 40:53:20; r widened; 1-M weakly curved; 1-SR+M sinuate; SR1 nearly straight; m-cu subintersti-
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Figure 374. Utetes longicarinatus sp. n., male, holotype. Habitus lateral.

Cu-a postfurcal and 1-CU1 widened; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b short; apical quarter of M+CU1 sclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 375): M+CU:1-M:1r-m= 47:40:33; cu-a straight; m-cu absent.

Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 2.8, 8.0 and 5.6 times as long as wide, respectively (Fig. 383); setae of hind femur and tibia moderately long; inner side of hind tibia with medium-sized carinula baso-laterally (Fig. 378).

Metasoma. Length of first tergite 0.8 times its apical width, its surface evenly convex medially and with longitudinal carinae and dorsal carinae separated from each other and reaching apex of tergite (Fig. 377); second and following tergites smooth.

Colour. Yellowish brown; apex of mandible, antenna, pleural sulcus (and its surroundings) and propodeum dark brown; basal half of first tergite, tarsus, pterostigma and veins brown; wing membrane subhyaline.

Molecular data. None.

Distribution. *China (Hunan).

Biology. Unknown.

Etymology. Name derived from “longus” (Latin for “long”) and “carina” (Latin for “keel, ridge”) because of the long carina of the hind tibia.

Notes. Runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) with difficulty to Areotetes laevigatus (Weng & Chen, 2005) comb. n., but U. longicarinatus has the ventral margin of the clypeus thick (thin in A. laevigatus), the propodeum densely reticulate-rugose (mainly areolate), the second metasomal tergite smooth (finely striate) and pronope large and slit-shaped (large elliptical).
Figures 375–383. *Utetes longicarinatus* sp. n., male, holotype. 375 Wings 376 mesosoma dorsal 377 propodeum and 1st-2nd metasomal tergites dorsal 378 base of hind tibia inner side 379 head anterior 380 mandible 381 head dorsal 382 antenna 383 hind leg.
**Genus Xynobius Foerster, 1862**
http://species-id.net/wiki/Xynobius
Figs 384–393

*Xynobius* Foerster, 1862: 235. Type species (by original designation): *Xynobius pallipes* Foerster, 1862 (= *Opius caelatus* Haliday, 1837) [examined].

*Aclisis* Foerster, 1862: 267. Type species (by original designation): *Aclisis isomera* Foerster, 1862 (= *Opius caelatus* Haliday, 1837) [examined].

*Holconotus* Foerster, 1862: 259 (nec Schmidt-Göbel, 1846). Type species (by original designation): *Opius comatus* Wesmael, 1835) [examined].

*Aulonotus* Ashmead, 1900b: 368 (new name for *Holconotus* Foerster). Type species (by original designation): *Opius comatus* Wesmael, 1835) [examined].

*Eristernaualax* Viereck, 1914a: 362. Type species (by original designation): *Eristernaualax leucotaenia* Viereck, 1914) [examined].

*Stigmatopoea* Fischer, 1986: 610, 611 (as subgenus of *Opius* Wesmael), 1998: 25 (key to species); Wharton, 1988: 356; 2006: 338 (as subgenus of *Eurytene* Foerster, 1862; possible paraphyly in *Xynobius*). Type species (by original designation): *Opius macrocerus* Thomson, 1895 [examined]. Syn. by van Achterberg (2004).

*Xynobiotenes* Fischer, 1998: 23 (as subgenus of *Eurytene* Foerster, 1862). Type species (by original designation): *Opius scutellatus* Fischer, 1962 [examined]. **Syn. n.**

**Diagnosis.** Hypoclypeal depression present, large, and medially ventral margin of clypeus above upper level of condyli of mandibles (“subcyclostome condition”; Fig. 388); mandible simple basally, at most with a narrow ventral carina (Fig. 390); notauli complete (Fig. 386) or largely absent; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum present (Fig. 386); below precoxal sulcus without a second sculptured sulcus; vein m–cu of fore wing usually (sub)parallel to vein 1-M (Fig. 385); vein r more or less angled with vein 3-SR of fore wing and distinctly shorter than vein 2-SR (Fig. 385); pterostigma long and narrow and more or less widened towards its apex (Fig. 385), or elliptical or triangular; dorsope present (Fig. 389); hypopygium of ♀ at most slightly incised (Fig. 392).

**Biology.** Koinobionts endoparasitoids of leaf miners of Anthomyiidae (species of the genus *Pegomya* Robineau-Desvoidy, 1830), of Tephritidae (species of the genera *Euleia* Walker, 1835, and *Trypeta* Meigen, 1803) and Scathophagidae (species of the genus *Parallelomma* Becker, 1894 (= *Chylizosoma* Hendel, 1924)).

**Notes.** Wharton (1988) treated *Xynobius* as a synonym of the genus *Opius* Wesmuel, 1837, but it has a distinct dorsope and usually (at least in the type species) normal mandibles, and therefore it cannot be included in the genus *Opius*. The molecular data clearly show that *Xynobius* is distantly related to *Opius* (Figs 1-3 and unpublished data of S. Yaakop). Part of it (the subgenus *Stigmatopoea* Fischer, 1986) has been included by Fischer (1998) and Weng and Chen (2005) in the genus *Eurytene* Foerster, 1862, but it fits better in the genus *Xynobius* (van Achterberg, 2004a). Wharton (1988, 2006) and Walker and Wharton (2011) placed *Xynobius* as a subgenus of *Eurytene* but we consider it better to keep them separated till more data are known about their relationships.
**Xynobius maculipennis** (Enderlein, 1912) comb. n.
http://species-id.net/wiki/Xynobius_maculipennis

**Opis macculipennis** Enderlein, 1912: 262.

**Diagnosis.** Dorsope present; notauli complete, smooth and narrow; middle lobe of mesoscutum densely setose; medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum present; scutellum strongly convex; wing membrane with conspicuous dark patch; hind tibia pale yellowish and with brown patch basally; apical third of antenna of ♀ dark brown; second metasomal tergite smooth.

**Biology.** Unknown.

**Xynobius notauliferus** Li & van Achterberg, sp. n.
http://species-id.net/wiki/Xynobius_notauliferus
Figs 384–393

**Type material.** Holotype, ♀ (ZUH), “S. China: Hunan, nr Zhangjiajie, Badagong Mts, Tian Ping Mt., 9–13.VII.2009, 550 m, Xi-Ying Li, RMNH’10”.

**Diagnosis.** Dorsope present (Fig. 389); notauli complete (Fig. 386); medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum present (Fig. 386); hind tibia pale yellowish and with brown patch basally; 17th-19th (left) or 17th-20th (right) antennal segments of ♀ pale yellowish (Fig. 387); second metasomal tergite longitudinally costate (Fig. 389).

**Description.** Holotype, ♀, length of body 2.2 mm, of fore wing 2.7 mm.

**Head.** Antenna with 26 segments and 1.3 times as long as fore wing; length of third segment 1.4 times fourth segment, length of third, fourth and penultimate segments 5.0, 3.6, and 3.2 times their width, respectively (Fig. 387); length of maxillary palp 1.4 times height of head; labial palp segments elongate (Fig. 384); occipital carina moderately close to hypostomal carina and dorsally absent; median groove behind stemmaticum present; hypostomal carina narrow; length of eye in dorsal view 4.4 times temple; frons with shallow median groove and pit, flattened and glabrous medially, smooth and laterally setose; face smooth, medially keel-shaped elevated (Fig. 388); width of clypeus 1.6 times its maximum height and 0.45 times width of face, clypeus convex, truncate ventrally, smooth and its ventral margin not differentiated and straight; hypoclypeal depression large (Fig. 388); malar suture absent; mandible normal, with medium-sized narrow ventral carina (Fig. 390).

**Mesosoma.** Length of mesosoma 1.4 times its height; dorsal pronope absent; pronotal side smooth, but medial groove with ventral oblique carina anteriorly and a short carina perpendicularly connected to it and posterior groove obsolescent (Fig. 384); epinomial area smooth dorsally; precoxal sulcus only medially distinctly impressed, moderately widely rugose; rest of mesopleuron smooth (Fig. 384); pleural sulcus smooth; mesosternal sulcus strongly crenulate; notauli complete on disc, deep and moderately crenulate (Fig. 386); lateral mesoscutal lobes glabrous and middle lobe
setose (Fig. 384); medio-posterior depression of mesoscutum small and part of notauli (Fig. 386); scutellar sulcus moderately crenulate; scutellum smooth and flat; dorsal surface of propodeum largely irregularly reticulate and with weak medio-longitudinal carina, posteriorly areolate (Fig. 386).

Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 385): pterostigma elliptical; 1-R1 reaching wing apex and 1.4 times as long as pterostigma; r:3-SR:SR1 = 2:25:60; 2-SR:3-SR:r-m = 16:25:8; r widened; 1-M straight; SR1 nearly straight; m-cu slightly postfurcal; cu-a slightly postfurcal and 1-CU1 slightly widened; first subdiscal cell closed, CU1b short; M+CU1 unsclerotized. Hind wing (Fig. 385): M+CU:1-M:1r-m = 4:3:2; cu-a straight; m-cu absent except for a faint trace.

Legs. Length of femur, tibia and basitarsus of hind leg 4.5, 10.2 and 6.3 times as long as wide, respectively; hind femur with long setae and of tibia moderately long (Fig. 393).

Metasoma. Length of first tergite 1.3 times its apical width, its surface evenly convex medially and with longitudinal (medially oblique) costae and dorsal carinae united in its anterior 0.5 and up to apex (Fig. 389); second tergite largely longitudinally finely costate (Fig. 389); third and following tergites smooth; length of setose part of ovipositor sheath 0.08 times fore wing and 0.25 times hind tibia (Fig. 392).

Colour. Black or blackish-brown; 17th-19th (left) or 17th-20th (right) antennal segments, palpi, tegulae and legs (but apical half of outer side of hind femur, base of hind tibia and hind tarsus more or less dark brown) pale yellowish; scapus, orbita, face laterally, clypeus, mandible, malar space and temple brownish-yellow; remainder of head dark brown; pterostigma and veins mainly brown; wing membrane slightly infuscate.

Molecular data. COI, 16S, 28S (CVA 4240).

Distribution. *China (Hunan).
Figures 385–393. *Xynobius notauliferus* sp. n., female, holotype. 385 Wings 386 mesosoma dorsal 387 antenna 388 head anterior 389 propodeum and 1st-2nd metasomal tergites dorsal 390 mandible 391 base of hind tibia inner side 392 ovipositor sheath 393 hind leg.
Figure 394. *Phaedrotoma protuberator* sp. n., female, paratype. Habitus lateral.
Figures 395–402. *Phaedrotoma protuberator* sp. n., female, paratype. 395 Wings 396 mesosoma dorsal 397 propodeum and 1st-3rd metasomal tergites dorsal 398 hind leg 399 head anterior 400 mandible 401 ovipositor sheath 402 antennae.
Figure 403. *Phaedrotoma protuberator* sp. n., female, paratype. Habitus lateral.

**Biology.** Unknown.

**Etymology.** Name derived from “notauli” (Latin for “grooves of the notum”) and “fero” (suffix in Latin meaning “carrying or having”), because of the developed notauli.

**Notes.** The new species runs in the key by Chen and Weng (2005) to *Opis mitis* Chen & Weng, 2005 (= *Xynobius wengi* nom. n.). *Xynobius notauliferus* differs by having the notauli complete (posteriorly absent in *X. wengi*), the lateral lobes of the mesoscutum are glabrous (largely setose), the length of eye in dorsal view 4.4 times temple (2.3 times) and the antenna with a pale yellowish ring (absent).
Figures 404–412. Phaedrotoma protuberator sp. n., female, paratype. 404 Wings 405 mesosoma dorsal 406 propodeum and 1st-2nd metasomal tergites dorsal 407 hind leg 408 antenna 409 head anterior 410 mandible 411 ovipositor sheath 412 pronope dorsal.
Figures 413–417. *Opius pallipes* Wesmael, female, Netherlands, Waarder. 413 Wings 414 hind leg 415 head anterior 416 labial palpi anterior 417 *Orientopius punctatus* van Achterberg & Li, female, holotype. Habitus lateral.
Figures 418–428. Orientopius punctatus van Achterberg & Li, female, holotype. 418 Wings 419 mesosoma lateral 420 mesosoma dorsal 421 metasoma dorsal 422 head lateral 423 base of antenna 424 head anterior 425 malar space 426 ovipositor sheath ventral 427 hind leg 428 apex of antenna.
Notes on two homonyms described from China

_Opius cheni_ van Achterberg & Li, nom. n.

_Opius ambiguus_ Weng & Chen (in Chen & Weng), 2005: 95–96.

**Notes.** _Opius ambiguus_ Weng & Chen, 2005 (not Wesmael 1835) is a primary homonym. We here rename the species _Opius cheni_ nom. n. after Prof. Dr Jia-Hua Chen for his contribution to our knowledge of the Chinese Braconidae and for his hospitality to the two first authors during several visits to the Fujian Agricultural & Forestry University at Fuzhou.

_Xynobius wengi_ van Achterberg & Li, nom. n.

_Opius mitis_ Chen & Weng, 2005: 72-74.

**Notes.** _Opius mitis_ Chen & Weng, 2005 (not Fischer 1963) is a primary homonym. We here rename the species _Xynobius wengi_ nom. n. after Dr Rui-Quan Weng for his contribution to our knowledge of the Chinese Opiinae and for his hospitality to the authors during visits to the Fujian Agricultural & Forestry University at Fuzhou. The holotype has been examined and proved to belong to the genus _Xynobius_ Foerster, 1862.
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