Electron-nuclear correlated multiphoton-route to Rydberg fragments of molecules
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Atoms and molecules exposed to strong laser fields can be excited to the Rydberg states with very high principal quantum numbers and large orbitals. It allows acceleration of neutral particles, generate near-threshold harmonics, and reveal multiphoton Rabi oscillations and rich photoelectron spectra. However, the physical mechanism of Rydberg state excitation in strong laser fields is yet a puzzle. Here, we identify the electron-nuclear correlated multiphoton excitation as the general mechanism by coincidently measuring all charged and neutral fragments ejected from a H$_2$ molecule. Ruled by the ac-Stark effect, the internuclear separation for resonant multiphoton excitation varies with the laser intensity. It alters the photon energy partition between the ejected electrons and nuclei and thus leads to distinct kinetic energy spectra of the nuclear fragments. The electron-nuclear correlation offers an alternative visual angle to capture rich ultrafast processes of complex molecules.
The process of ionization, which lies at the heart of the laser–matter interaction, has been extensively studied in the past. Two ionization mechanisms of multiphoton and tunneling are adopted to describe the electronic behavior in strong laser fields. When the laser field is moderately strong, an electron may coherently absorb multiple photons from the light to overcome the ionization potential. For substantially strong laser, the Coulomb potential is significantly distorted, and the electron may be released by tunneling through the laser-dressed Coulomb barrier. These two pictures have successfully explained many strong-field phenomena, such as the discrete photoelectron energy spectra, and are well accepted by the ultrafast community.

Rather than escaping to the continuum via direct photoionization, a substantial fraction of the electrons may reach the bound states just below the ionization threshold, forming highly excited Rydberg states of atoms or molecules. Due to their extremely large orbital radius, Rydberg state excitation of atoms and molecules has particular applications in precision measurements, quantum nonlinear dynamics, long-range many-body interactions, and quantum information. Driven by strong laser fields, the Rydberg state excitations have been used to accelerate neutral particles, reveal multiphoton Rabi oscillations, understand the photoelectron spectral features, and the generation of near-threshold harmonics. Similar to the ionization, two mechanisms have been proposed for the strong-field Rydberg state excitation with completely different experimental expectations. In the multiphoton scenario, the electron directly populates the Rydberg states via resonant multiphoton excitation. Alternatively, the frustrated tunneling ionization (FTI) suggests that a tunneled slow electron is recaptured by the ionic core and thus the Rydberg state is formed. Secondly, the ionization-created H₂⁺ channel is expected via the multiphoton or FTI scenarios. While the electron is much lighter than the nuclei, their motions are indeed correlated.

To explore this mechanism, a coincident measurement of all the ejected electron and nuclear fragments is crucial since electrons and nuclei are generally strongly correlated in molecules. For instance, the complete coincidence measurement allows us to unambiguously reveal different pathways towards molecular double ionization. Figure 2a displays joint energy spectrum (JES) of the coincidently measured electrons and nuclei of the (H⁺, H⁺) channel, that is, the sum-energy of two photoelectrons \( E_{\text{E}_1} + E_{\text{E}_2} \) versus the sum-energy of two nuclei \( E_N = E_{\text{H}_1} + E_{\text{H}_1} \) ejected from a H₂ molecule. The photon-energy-spaced discrete tilted strips (guided by the yellow dashed lines) in the JES of Fig. 2b indicate that the electrons and nuclei share the absorbed photon energies. As shown in Fig. 2b, the tilted strips in the JES can be distinguished into three distinct regions with \( E_N \) in the ranges of 2.0–3.0, 3.0–5.0, and 5.0–7.0 eV (marked by the white arrows), indicating three distinct pathways in producing the (H⁺, H⁺) channel. The generation of (H⁺, H⁺) channel can be described by a three-step process. As sketched in Fig. 1b, in the first step, the neutral H₂ is singly ionized and H₂⁺ in the 1σg state is formed. Secondly, the ionization-created H₂⁺ dissociates in the remaining laser field via three different pathways, that is, the direct pathway (direct dissociation without absorbing extra photons), or one-photon pathway (absorbing one-photon when the molecular bond stretches to point B), or the net-two-photon pathway (propagation along the 1σg potential surface undergoing photon-coupled transition to the 2πσa state by absorbing three photons at point A, followed by propagation along the 2πσa surface and coupling back to the 1σg state by emitting one photon at point B, ended with the dissociation along the 1σg state). Thirdly, the dissociating H₂⁺ is further ionized when the internuclear distance increases to critical values for charge-

### Results

**Experimental setup and coincident detection.** H₂ molecule exposed to a strong laser field may be doubly ionized and eventually break into two bare protons, that is, \( \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{H}^+ + 2e^- \). Hereafter denoted as (H⁺, H⁺) channel. However, there is a small probability (~5%) that one of the ejected nuclear fragments survives in Rydberg states, that is, \( \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{H}^+ + e_{\text{freeb}} \). Hereafter denoted as (H⁺, H⁺) channel. As shown in Fig. 1a, the measurements were performed in a reaction microscope apparatus of COLd Target Recoil Ion Momentum Spectroscopy (COLTRIMS) driven by an ultraviolet (UV) femtosecond laser pulse (80 fs, 395 nm). The momentum conservation of the coincidently detected charged (H⁺ and freed electron) and neutral particles (H⁺) allows us to unambiguously identify the (H⁺, H⁺) and (H⁺, H⁺) channels (see Methods). By examining the field-ionization probability of H⁺ induced by the static electric field, the principal quantum number \( n \) of the (H⁺, H⁺) pair produced in our experiment is estimated with an upper limit of \( n \sim 38 \) (see the Supplementary Note 2 and Supplementary Figure 2 for more details).

**Electron–nuclear correlated multiphoton scenario.** Figure 2a displays the kinetic energy release (KER) spectra \( E_N \) of the nuclear fragments of the (H⁺, H⁺) and (H⁺, H⁺) channels, respectively, driven by a linearly polarized UV pulse with an intensity of \( 0.75 \times 10^{14} \text{ Wcm}^{-2} \). The corresponding Keldysh parameter is calculated to be \( \gamma \sim 2.65 \). Surprisingly, the here-observed \( E_N \) spectrum of the (H⁺, H⁺) channel differs significantly from that of the (H⁺, H⁺) channel. It is astonishingly in contrast to previous observations driven by near-infrared (IR) laser fields, where the (H⁺, H⁺) and (H⁺, H⁺) channels have almost the same \( E_N \) spectra. According to the FTI scenario, one of the two tunneled electrons is recaptured by one of the outgoing ionic fragments during the Coulomb explosion of the doubly ionized molecule. Only electrons with little drift energies can be recaptured by the ionic core and thus the (H⁺, H⁺) channel is expected to be observed in linearly polarized light but would be absent in circular light. However, as displayed in Fig. 3e, f, the (H⁺, H⁺) channel is clearly observed in the circularly polarized UV pulse, which contradicts the FTI scenario. The mechanism for the formation of Rydberg states in strong laser fields is thus still unclear.
resonance enhanced ionization (CREI). Three photon-resolved pathways towards the CREI of the stretching \( \text{H}_2^+ \) are clearly identified in the JES spectrum of the \((\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)\) channel, which are also indicated by the multiple Gaussian fits (dashed gray curves, centered at \(-2.7\), \(-4.1\), and \(-6.0\) eV) for the three pathways, respectively of the \(E_N\) spectrum in Fig. 2a.

As compared to the \((\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)\) channel where both electrons (\(e_1\) and \(e_2\)) escape to the continuum, in the \((\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)\) channel only one electron (\(e_{\text{freed}}\)) is freed, while the other populates the Rydberg orbitals of the ejected \(\text{H}^+\). Figure 2c displays the electron-nuclear JES of the \((\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)\) channel, that is, the yield as a function of the photoelectron energy \(E_{\text{e(freed)}}\) and nuclear kinetic energy \(E_N = E_{\text{f1}} + E_{\text{f2}}\), which is distinct from that of the \((\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)\) channel. Interestingly, as displayed in Fig. 2c, the aforementioned three-photon-resolved pathways are also observed in the \((\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)\) channel (marked by the black arrows). The \(E_N\) spectra of the \((\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)\) channel for the direct, one-photon and net-two-photon pathways are centered at \(-2.9\), \(-5.9\), and \(-8.3\) eV, respectively, with kinetic energies larger than those of the \((\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)\) channel accessed in the same laser pulse. Note that, as compared to the \((\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)\) channel, the less visibility of the \((\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)\) fragments produced via net-two-photon pathway is due to the limited accessibility of this pathway at low laser intensities, which will be discussed later.

Although the \((\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)\) and \((\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)\) channels exhibit distinct \(E_N\) spectra, they are accessed via comparable three-step process. One important consequence of this scenario is that the total energies of all the ejected particles are equal for the same pathway in the two channels, since the molecule absorbs the photon energy as a whole. We trace the total photon energy absorbed by the emitted photoelectron and nuclear fragments of two channels, that is, \(E_{\text{sum}(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+) = E_{\text{N}(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)} + E_{\text{e1}} + E_{\text{e2}} = n\hbar\omega - I_{p1} - I_{p2} - 2U_p}\) for \((\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)\) channel, and \(E_{\text{sum}(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+) = E_{\text{N}(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)} + E_{\text{e(freed)}}}\) for \((\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)\) channel. Here, \(n\), \(U_p\), and \(I_{p1(2)}\) denote the number of absorbed photons with energy of \(\hbar\omega\), the ponderomotive energy of the electron in the oscillating laser field, and the ionization threshold of the neutral and singly charged \(\text{H}_2\) molecule, respectively. Figure 2d presents the total energy spectra \(E_{\text{sum}}\) of the \((\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)\) and \((\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)\) channels accessed via the direct and one-photon pathways, respectively. The discrete ATI (above threshold ionization) peaks spaced by the photon energy in the
**Fig. 2** Electron-nuclear joint energy spectra. a Measured $E_N$ spectra of the nuclear fragments of the $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ (red dashed line) and $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ (blue solid line) channels, driven by a linearly polarized UV laser pulse at an intensity of $0.75 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$. b, c The joint energy spectra (JES) of the freed electrons and nuclear fragments of the (b) $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ and (c) $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ channels. Three photon-resolved pathways, that is, direct, one-photon, and net-two-photon pathways, in the strong-field breaking of $\text{H}_2$ molecules, are indicated by the white arrows in (b) and black arrows in (c), respectively. The yellow dashed lines in (b) are used to guide the photon-energy-spaced tilted strips in the JES.

d–g The $E_{\text{sum}}$ spectra of the freed electrons and nuclear fragments of the (d), (e) one-photon (Fig. 2f) and (f), (g) one-photon pathway of the $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ and $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ channels driven by the linear UV laser pulses with intensities of (d), (f) $0.75 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$ and (e), (g) $1.0 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$.

$E_{\text{sum}}$ spectrum imprint the quantized nature of the light energy, which allows us to reveal the total number of photons absorbed by the molecule$^{42,43}$.

The direct (Fig. 2d) or one-photon (Fig. 2f) pathways yield the matching locations of the peaks of the discrete $E_{\text{sum}}$ spectra of the $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ and $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ channels, which is in consistent with the multiphoton scenario. It indicates that, via the same photon-resolved pathway, the $\text{H}_2$ molecule absorbs the same number of photons in producing two different channels. Since an extra photon is absorbed by the molecule in the one-photon pathway compared to the direct pathway, the $E_{\text{sum}}$ spectrum of the one-photon pathway (Fig. 2f) is up-shifted by the one photon energy as compared to the direct pathway (Fig. 2d). The comparable $E_{\text{sum}}$ spectra of the $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ and $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ channels confirm the aforementioned electron-nuclear correlated multiphoton scenario in producing these channels.

For a given photon-resolved pathway, the $\text{H}_2$ molecule as a whole absorbs the same number of photons from the laser fields in producing the $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ and $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ channels. The exhibited distinct $E_N$ spectra, in particularly the much larger KER of the $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ channel as compared to that of the $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ channel for the one-photon pathway, as shown in Fig. 2b and c, suggest different energy partition laws between the electron and nuclei. After the single ionization of $\text{H}_2$, the stretched molecular ion may either be excited onto the Coulombic repulsive state by releasing the second electron $e_2$, or directly populate the high-lying Rydberg states via multiphoton resonant transition. As sketched in the inset of Fig. 1b, for the $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ channel, the absorbed photon energy exceeding the double ionization threshold at internuclear distance $R_{\text{ex}}(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ that is $\delta E$, is mostly taken by the freed electron $e_2$. However, such an amount of $\delta E$ converts into the kinetic energy of the outgoing nuclear fragments of the $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ channel by resonantly populating the repulsive Rydberg states of $\text{H}_2^+$ at a smaller internuclear distance $R_{\text{ex}}(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$.

According to the measured kinetic energy of the freed electron and nuclear fragments of the $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ and $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ channels for a given photon-resolved pathway, the corresponding internuclear distance $R_{\text{ex}}$ can be deduced (see Supplementary Note 1 and Supplementary Figure 1). For instance, the excitation internuclear distance is estimated to be $R_{\text{ex}}(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+) \sim 7$ a.u. and $R_{\text{ex}}(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+) \sim 12$ a.u., respectively, for the one-photon pathway of the $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ and $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ channels. This is consistent with the observed larger nuclear kinetic energy $E_{\text{kin}}$ of the one-photon pathway of the $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ channel than that of the $(\text{H}^+, \text{H}^+)$ channel, as shown in Fig. 2a.
**General of the multiphoton scenario.** The noticeably different nuclear energy spectra for (H\(^+\), H\(^+\)) and (H\(^+\), H\(^+\)\(^+\)) channels shown in Fig. 2a unambiguously violate the well-recognized picture of FTI. Interestingly, the previously observed comparable \(E_N\) spectra of the (H\(^+\), H\(^+\)) and (H\(^+\), H\(^+\)\(^+\)) channels at a long wavelength can be well understood by the multiphoton excitation scenario, which is explained below.

Figure 3a–d display the \(E_N\) spectra of the (H\(^+\), H\(^+\)) and (H\(^+\), H\(^+\)\(^+\)) channels driven by UV laser pulses at intensities of 0.6, 1.0, 1.75, and 2.7 \(\times 10^{14}\) W/cm\(^2\), respectively. The corresponding Keldysh parameters are \(\gamma\approx2.97, 2.3, 1.74,\) and 1.4, respectively. The \(E_N\) spectra of (H\(^+\), H\(^+\)) channel with the discrete peaks gradually resemble \(E_N\) spectra of the (H\(^+\), H\(^+\)\(^+\)) channel at increased laser intensity. Such an intensity-dependent variation of the \(E_N\) spectra originates from the strong-field induced ac-Stark effect\(^{18}\), in particular the shift of the ionization thresholds of the molecule, and the accessibilities of various pathways versus the laser intensity.

Since the electrons and nuclei of the molecule absorb the photon energy as a whole, the intensity-dependent Stark shift of the ionization threshold directly maps into the photon energy as a whole, the intensity-dependent Stark shift of laser intensity. For example, as shown in Fig. 2d, e for the direct pathway and Fig. 2f, g for the one-photon pathway, the \(E_N\) exhibits down-shifts by \(-0.56\) eV when the laser intensity increases from 0.75 \(\times 10^{14}\) to 1.0 \(\times 10^{14}\) W/cm\(^2\), corresponding to the Stark shift of the double ionization thresholds, that is 2\(U_p\), from \(-2.2\) to \(-2.8\) eV with a difference of \(-0.6\) eV.

In general, the intensity-dependent Stark shift is mapped in the photoelectron spectrum since most of the absorbed photon energy above the ionization threshold is taken by the freed electrons; while the nuclear kinetic energy spectrum almost remains unshifted as observed for the (H\(^+\), H\(^+\)\(^+\)) channel. However, for the (H\(^+\), H\(^+\)) channel, the excitation occurs at a slightly smaller internuclear distance, where the stretched molecular ion can absorb multiple photons and undergo resonant transition to the laser-dressed Rydberg states. Compared to the (H\(^+\), H\(^+\)\(^+\)) channel, all the photon energy absorbed in the second excitation step is retained by the nuclei in producing the (H\(^+\), H\(^+\)) channel. Therefore, for the (H\(^+\), H\(^+\)) channel, the laser intensity-dependent \(U_p\) or shift of the \(E_N\) is manifested in the energy shift of the \(E_N\) spectrum. As shown in Fig. 3a–d, the \(E_N\) in various pathways of the (H\(^+\), H\(^+\)) channel shifts towards low energy at higher laser intensities. Meanwhile, the distribution of the \(E_N\) of each pathway becomes broader owing to the broadening of the Stark shift of the potential energy of the molecular ion at high laser intensity.

With the increasing of laser intensity, the probability for the molecule to absorb more photons and thus the accessibility of the corresponding high-order pathways increases. At low intensity, the (H\(^+\), H\(^+\)) channel is dominated by the direct and one-photon pathways (see Fig. 3a and b). As the laser intensity increases, the higher kinetic energy pathway, e.g., the net-two-photon pathway becomes more prominent while the direct pathway is relatively suppressed (see Fig. 3c and d). When the laser intensity increases up to 2.7 \(\times 10^{14}\) W/cm\(^2\) (Fig. 3d), the \(E_N\) spectrum of the (H\(^+\), H\(^+\)) channel becomes similar to that of the (H\(^+\), H\(^+\)\(^+\)) channel, which is consistent with the observation at a long wavelength corresponding to the FTI scenario\(^{23,32}\).

The measured intensity-dependent \(E_N\) spectra for circularly polarized laser pulses, as shown in Fig. 3e–h, give additional confirmation of the multiphoton-route of the Rydberg state excitation. Similarly to the linearly polarized light, noticeable (H\(^+\), H\(^+\)) yield is observed for the circularly polarized UV laser pulses, whose \(E_N\) spectrum gradually changes from discrete to continuous to resemble that of the (H\(^+\), H\(^+\)) channel at increased laser intensity. The much less accessibility of the (H\(^+\), H\(^+\))
channel for circularly polarized near-IR laser field might be due to the low excitation cross section where much more photons are involved as compared to the UV light.

Our multiphoton scenario can explain well the observations and expectations of the FTI scenario in producing the Rydberg states driven by near-IR laser pulses. The discrete structure as a typical feature of multiphoton excitation is smeared out in near-IR strong laser fields for the following two reasons. Firstly, the photon-resolved pathway may overlap each other in the kinetic energy spectrum since the photon energy of the near-IR light is much smaller as compared to that of the UV light. Secondly, the ac-Stark effect (or ponderomotive energy shift) is much smaller as compared to that of the UV light. Secondly, the associated energy spectrum since the photon energy of the near-IR light is small.

Discussion

Owing to the strong-field-induced Stark shift of the potential energy of the highly excited states, the internuclear distance for resonant multiphoton excitations becomes larger at higher laser intensity. It will reduce the observed kinetic energy of the nuclear fragments of the (H+, H+1) channel and also lead to a gently varied EN at high laser intensity since the potential curve of the Rydberg state becomes flat at large internuclear distance. Figure 4b displays the laser intensity-dependent locations of the peaks of the EN spectra of the direct and one-photon pathways of the (H+, H+) channel. The nuclear kinetic energy decreases gradually with the increasing of the laser intensity but tends to remain unchanged as the intensity is up to 1.2 × 1014 and 2.7 × 1014 W/cm2 for the direct and one-photon pathways, respectively. It indicates that there is a low limit of the observed energy of EN for a given dissociation pathway. The observed intensity-dependent variation of EN not only depends on the internuclear distance at which the resonant Rydberg state excitation occurs, but is also determined by the required minimal potential energy of the initially created NWP for triggering the subsequent dissociation. For instance, for the one-photon pathway as illustrated in Fig. 1b, only if the first ionization created NWP has potential energy higher than that of the one-photon coupling point (point B), it can classically propagate outwards to a large internuclear distance to be further excited to the Rydberg states. By assuming that the internuclear distances for the resonant Rydberg excitation have increased to ~10 a.u. at high laser intensity, the corresponding low limits of the EN are calculated to be ~2.7 and ~4.4 eV, respectively, for the direct and one-photon pathways. These estimated low limits of the EN agree well with the observed energy limits of the two pathways as indicated by the dashed black lines in Fig. 4b. In fact, the low-order dissociation pathway can be promoted to the high-order pathway at higher laser intensity. For very high laser intensities, the direct dissociative H2+ has already been either transferred to the one-photon dissociation pathway, or resonantly excited to the Rydberg states in the rising part of the laser pulse. According to Fig. 4b, the direct pathway is depleted when the laser intensity is up to 1.2 × 1014 W/cm2. Similarly, the one-photon dissociative pathway is transferred to the net-two-photon pathway, or is depleted when the laser intensity is up to 2.7 × 1014 W/cm2.

In conclusion, by fully measuring the freed electron, charged and neutral nuclear fragments in coincidence using a reaction microscope, we identify the electron-nuclear correlated multiphoton resonant excitation as the general mechanism in producing Rydberg fragments of a breaking molecule. Although the electron is several orders in magnitude lighter than the nuclei, our findings show that the electron-nuclear correlation is crucial to explore rich molecular dynamics which cannot be revealed if only electrons or nuclear fragments are measured individually. The full understanding of the physical mechanism makes it possible to produce Rydberg states with desired characteristics, and thus create the coherent quantum systems for various applications. Our findings open new possibilities to manipulate the dynamics of the electrons or nuclei via one of them, and thus determine the ultimate fate of the molecules.

Methods

Experimental setup. A linearly polarized near-IR femtosecond pulse from a Ti:sapphire laser system (25 fs, 790 nm, 10 kHz) was frequency doubled in a 150 μm-thick β-barium borate (BBO) crystal to generate the linearly polarized UV pulse. The temporal duration of the UV pulse was measured to be ~80 fs by using an autocorrelator. The intensity of the UV pulse in the reaction region is calibrated by tracing the laser intensity-dependent shift of the sum kinetic energy of the electron
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Fig. 5 Coincident detection of the nuclear fragments of the breaking H₂. The density plot of the yield of the measured nuclear fragments (H⁺ and H⁺) of the (H⁺, H⁺) and (H⁺, H⁻) channel, as a function of the TOF and y position. The insets a and b display the momentum correlation maps of the measured fragments of the (H⁺, H⁻) and (H⁺, H⁻) channels, respectively.

and nuclear fragments ejected from the multiphoton dissociative single ionization of H₂^+. A neutral filter is utilized to finely vary the intensity of the UV laser pulse.

Multi-particle coincident detection. For the here-investigated Rydberg excitation channel of (H⁺, H⁻), our reaction microscope enables us to detect the excited neutral H⁺ in addition to the charged H⁺ and e⁻ by using the time-sensitive and position-sensitive micro-channel plate (MCP) detector at two opposite ends of the spectrometer. The methods of particle detection are similar to that used in ref. 32. As schematically illustrated in Fig. 1a, the protons and electrons from the broken H₂ are accelerated by the homogeneous electric field (E₁ = 12.3 V/cm) with the assistance of a weak magnetic field (B = 11 G), and hit the MCP detectors mounted on the two sides of the spectrometer. If the neutral Rydberg atom H⁺ flies to the ion detector and its internal potential energy is larger than the work function of the MCP (a few eV), it can also be detected by the MCP detector. Determined by the geometry of the spectrometer in our experiment, the geometrical acceptance for the detected particles were reconstructed from the measured time-of-flights (TOFs) and positions of the impacts during the offline analysis.

Figure 5 displays the TOF-dependent and y-dependent density plot of the measured nuclear fragments (H⁺ and H⁻) produced from the (H⁺, H⁻) and (H⁺, H⁻) channels. Because of the absence of the acceleration by the static electric field E₁ of the spectrometer, the neutral Rydberg atom H⁺ takes several thousand nanoseconds (1400 ns < TOF₁ < 5000 ns) to reach the ion detector, which is much larger than the TOF of the charged H⁺ (500 ns < TOF₁ < 1350 ns). As shown in Fig. 5, although the TOF of the detected H⁺ ejected from the (H⁺, H⁻) channel overlaps with those produced from the (H⁺, H⁻) channel, the coincident detection of the accompanied particles of each channel enable us to clearly distinguish this two channels. As shown in the inset (a) and (b) of Fig. 5, the momentum conservation constrains the (H⁺, H⁻) and (H⁺, H⁻) events in the diagonal line of the two-dimensional coincidence map. To further suppress the false coincidence, the momentum conservation gate of [p₁H⁺ + p₁H⁻ + p₁e⁻]<0.5 a.u. and [p₁H⁺ + p₁H⁻ + p₁e⁻]<0.5 a.u. are applied in order to successfully extract the right events of the (H⁺, H⁻) and (H⁺, H⁻) channels, respectively.
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