Callus Culture of Thai Basil Is an Effective Biological System for the Production of Antioxidants

Saher Nazir 1, Hasnain Jan 1, Duangjai Tungmunithum 2,3, Samantha Drouet 3, Muhammad Zia 1, Christophe Hano 3,* and Bilal Haider Abbasi 1,*

1 Department of Biotechnology, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad 45320, Pakistan; saher_nazir158@yahoo.com (S.N.); rhasnain849@gmail.com (H.J.); ziachaudhary@gmail.com (M.Z.)
2 Department of Pharmaceutical Botany, Faculty of Pharmacy, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10400, Thailand; duangjai.tun@mahidol.ac.th
3 Laboratoire de Biologie des Ligneux et des Grandes Cultures, INRAE USC1328, University of Orleans, CEDEX 02, 45067 Orleans, France; samantha.drouet@univ-orleans.fr
* Correspondence: hano@univ-orleans.fr (C.H.); bhabbasi@qau.edu.pk (B.H.A.); Tel.: +33-237-309-753 (C.H.); +33-77-698-41-48 (B.H.A.)

Abstract: Thai basil is a renowned medicinal plant and a rich source of bioactive antioxidant compounds with several health benefits, with actions to prevent of cancer, diabetes and cardiovascular disease. Plant cell and tissue culture technologies can be routinely established as an important, sustainable and low-cost biomass source to produce high-value phytochemicals. The current study aimed at developing an effective protocol to produce Thai basil leaf-derived callus cultures with sustainable and high production of biomass and antioxidants as an alternative of leaves production. MS basal medium with various concentrations of plant growth regulators (PGRs) compatible with nutraceutical applications (i.e., gibberellic acid (GA3) and 6-benzylaminopurine (BAP) either alone or in combination with naphthalene acetic acid (NAA)) were evaluated. Among all tested PGRs, the combination BAP:NAA (5 mg/L:1 mg/L) yields the maximum biomass accumulation (fresh weight (FW): 190 g/L and dry weight (DW): 13.05 g/L) as well as enhanced phenolic (346.08 mg/L) production. HPLC quantification analysis indicated high productions of chicoric acid (35.77 mg/g DW) and rosmarinic acid (7.35 mg/g DW) under optimized callus culture conditions. Antioxidant potential was assessed using both in vitro cell free and in vivo cellular antioxidant assays. Maximum in vitro antioxidant activity DPPH (93.2% of radical scavenging activity) and ABTS (1322 µM Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity) was also observed for the extracts from callus cultures grown in optimal conditions. In vivo cellular antioxidant activity assay confirmed the effective protection against oxidative stress of the corresponding extract by the maximum inhibition of ROS and RNS production. Compared to commercial leaves, callus extracts showed higher production of chicoric acid and rosmarinic acid associated with higher antioxidant capacity. In addition, this biological system also has a large capacity for continuous biomass production, thus demonstrating its high potential for possible nutraceutical applications.
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1. Introduction

The genus *Ocimum* (Family *Lamiaceae*) includes almost 150 species of herbs and shrubs that inhabit the semi tropical and tropical regions of America (Central and South), Asia and Africa [1,2]. Since prehistoric times, basil has been used as flavoring agent and for fragrance in food and cosmetics [3].
Ocimum species, but also cultivars within the same species, varied considerably in their phytochemical compositions [4]. *Ocimum basilicum* L. cv ‘Thai Basil’ is used as a herbal medicinal product [5]. Indeed, Thai basil is a reservoir of a pharmaceutically important metabolite, such as rosmarinic acid and chicoric acid [5–7]. These plant specialized metabolites play a pivotal role in plant–environment interactions, provide biotic and abiotic stress tolerance and are used as pharmaceutical agents because they have a variety of antioxidant, anticancer, antimicrobial or anti-diabetic activities [8–11].

Plant specialized metabolites of pharmacological significance may be difficult to extract in high, sustainable and continuous quantities from field-grown plant material, but in vitro cultures have been identified as a possible biological system for the production of these metabolites [12]. In vitro culture techniques provide consistent production schemes for metabolites that are free from environmental limitations and ensure increased biomass and a high concentration of compounds compared to natural production systems [13]. In addition, in the case of basil varieties or cultivars which can be differentiated on the basis of color, aromatic composition and growth habitat, sometimes harvested from nature, thus complicating its botanical identity, the in vitro system presents a major advantage for the certification of plant authentication [4,14]. Various reports are available in the literature on the in vitro production of bioactive metabolites from the callus culture of medicinally important plants. For example, Smith [15] reported on the production of shikonin by *Lithospermum erythrorhizon* Siebold & Zucc., a natural dye used in food and/or cosmetic applications, as well as of the anticancer drug paclitaxel by *Taxus* L. species or the antimicrobial berberine by *Coptis japonica* Wall cultures.

Previously, different varieties or cultivars of *O. basilicum* L. have been used to develop different types of in vitro cultures [11,16,17], especially on purple basil [11,18,19]. Moreover, the most promising results obtained with *O. basilicum* in vitro cultures, for high biomass production and high yields of phytochemicals, have been achieved using thidiazuron (TDZ) as a growth regulator. However, TDZ is no longer considered safe in Europe, and therefore its use is excluded for nutraceutical applications, which is the intended application (Status under Reg. (EC) No 1107/2009 (repealing Directive 91/414/EEC)). Here, other growth regulator combinations were analyzed and, most notably, phytochemical production and antioxidant activity were compared to commercial leaf extracts. The current study aimed at establishing a proficient protocol for Thai basil in vitro cultures that are effective in producing continuously high biomass and accumulating high levels of antioxidants under the treatment of various plant growth regulators (PGRs), either alone or in combination. Culture extracts were further analyzed for total phenolic production, HPLC quantification of chicoric acid, rosmarinic acid, and caffeic acid, as well as antioxidant capacity using both in vitro cell-free (DPPH, ABTS and FRAP assays) and cellular assays. These values were compared with those obtained from commercial leaves for extraction. In the light of these results, the nutraceutical potential of the established Thai basil in vitro cultures is discussed. Our findings clearly illustrate the great significance of the optimized biological system, which also has a wide capacity for continuous biomass production, thus demonstrating its high potential for future nutraceutical applications.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Callus Induction and Biomass Production

Callogenesis from 28 days old leaf explants was evaluated for optimum biomass production in response to various PGRs (6-benzylaminopurine (BAP), gibberellic acid (GA3), naphthalene acetic acid (NAA)) treatments either alone or in combination (Table S1). The morphological aspects of the resulting Thai basil callus on different culture media are shown in Figure 1B. Morphological differences in the color and texture of calluses were noted. Here, callogenesis originated at the cut end of explants after 7 to 12 days in response to all tested PGRs. However, the callogenic frequency was varied in response to all PGRs tested. A high callogenic frequency of 95% was observed for BAP:NAA (5 mg/L:1 mg/L) followed by 92% for BAP:NAA (2 mg/L:1 mg/L). Callogenesis was around 20% to 70% for BAP treatments, but the value increased to 95% when NAA was used in combination with BAP.
(Table S1). No callus induction was observed in the MS basal medium without hormones, whereas the initiation of callogenesis was observed with NAA alone, but the calluses rapidly turned brown, without noticeable growth, and eventually died.

![Image](image-url)

**Figure 1.** (A) Effects of different plant growth regulators (PGRs) on callogenesis from Thai basil leaves explants: (a1) 1 mg/L 6-benzylaminopurine (BAP), (a2) 5 mg/L BAP, (a3) BAP: naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) (0.5 mg/L:1 mg/L), (a4) BAP:NAA (5 mg/L:1 mg/L), (a5) 2 mg/L gibberellic acid (GA3), (a6) 5 mg/L GA3, (a7) GA3:NAA (0.25 mg/L:1 mg/L), (a8) GA3:NAA (5 mg/L:1 mg/L). (B) Biomass accumulation in response to different PGRs. Fresh weight (FW) and dry weight (DW) from leaf derived callus grown on BAP (0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10 mg/L) and GA3 (0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10 mg/L) alone or in combination with NAA (1 mg/L). Values are means ± standard deviations (SD) of at least 3 independent experiments. Different letters represent significant differences between the various experimental conditions ($p < 0.05$). Note that no differences were observed for the statistical groups for the FW and DW values.

Maximum FW (190 g/L) and DW (13.05 g/L) biomasses were produced in the presence of BAP:NAA (5 mg/L:1 mg/L) (Figure 1B), while the lowest FW (31.5 g/L) and DW (3.7 g/L) biomasses were noted for GA3 (10.0 mg/L) (Figure 1B). Moreover, the maximal production of FW and DW biomasses was detected for all BAP concentrations (0.25–5.0 mg/L) combined with NAA (Figure 1B).

Earlier reports have shown that exogenous auxins in major angiosperm species are essential growth regulators for callus induction [20]. Cytokinin along with auxin is generally required for maximum callus induction by most plant species. In particular, the need for exogenous cytokinin to maintain the interdependent balance between cytokinin and auxin that promotes cell division has been reported as a significant strategy for the production of calluses [21,22]. Likewise, in numerous other medicinal plant
species, the combination of NAA with other PGRs resulted in maximum callogenic frequency [23,24]. However, higher concentrations of applied PGRs may limit the callogenesis, possibly due to the repression of some endogenous hormones [25]. The combination of BAP and NAA has been shown to produce optimum biomass for callus cultures of many medicinal plants such as the endangered species *Aquilaria malaccensis* Lam [26]. This may result from the synergistic interaction between BAP and NAA as observed for the production of *Brassica oleracea* L. callus culture biomass [27]. In our previous studies, on *O. basilicum* L. cv purple basil in vitro cultures, optimal production of biomass was achieved using the PGR thidiazuron (TDZ) [11]. However, TDZ is no longer considered safe in Europe, where its use is banned (Status under Reg. (EC) No 1107/2009 (repealing Directive 91/414/EEC)). So, its use for nutraceutical applications, which is the intended application, is no longer suitable.

2.2. Production of Phenolic Compounds

2.2.1. Total Phenolic Content (TPC)

In response to BAP combined with NAA (5 mg/L:1 mg/L), calluses yielded a maximum total phenolic content (TPC) of 132.6 mg/g DW. On the contrary, BAP alone, whatever the concentration applied, resulted in a lower TPC ranging from 42.5 to 70.8 mg/g DW. We noted that for each BAP concentration used, the addition of NAA increased TPC. Minimum TPC values ranging from 21.8 to 37.0 mg/g DW have been detected for GA3 treatments (0.25–10.0 mg/L). In addition to GA3, NAA also stimulated the accumulation of total phenolics. Interestingly, higher TPC was obtained in extracts from in vitro callus cultures grown under optimal PGRs conditions as compared to the extract from commercial leaves of Thai basil (Table 1).

Table 1. Quantification of the main phenolic compounds accumulated in extracts from Thai basil callus cultures in response to different PGRs treatment and leaves.

| PGR Treatments | TPC 1 (mg/g DW) | Chioric Acid (mg/gDW) | Rosmarinic Acid (mg/g DW) | Caffeic Acid (mg/g DW) |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| 0.25GA3        | 27.0 ± 0.9 k     | 20.8 ± 0.9 f           | 2.2 ± 0.1 f              | 0.06 ± 0.03 b          |
| 0.5GA3         | 31.8 ± 1.5 k     | 23.8 ± 0.7 e           | 3.1 ± 0.2 e              | 0.06 ± 0.02 b          |
| 1GA3           | 31.8 ± 3.4 k     | 28.1 ± 0.7 c,d        | 3.0 ± 0.2 e              | 0.06 ± 0.02 b          |
| 2GA3           | 37.0 ± 2.8 j     | 28.5 ± 0.6 e           | 3.7 ± 0.2 d              | 0.07 ± 0.02 b          |
| 5GA3           | 32.7 ± 3.2 k     | 27.0 ± 0.5 d           | 3.9 ± 0.2 d              | 0.06 ± 0.03 b          |
| 10GA3          | 21.8 ± 1.8 l     | 21.5 ± 0.4 f           | 3.1 ± 0.2 e              | 0.05 ± 0.03 b          |
| 0.25GA3 + NAA  | 52.7 ± 3.6 i     | 22.7 ± 0.4 c,d        | 4.3 ± 0.3 d              | 0.06 ± 0.02 b          |
| 0.5GA3 + NAA   | 71.7 ± 4.3 g,k   | 23.7 ± 0.4 e           | 4.5 ± 0.3 c,d            | 0.06 ± 0.02 b          |
| 1GA3 + NAA     | 76.3 ± 0.6 f     | 26.3 ± 0.5 d           | 5.1 ± 0.3 c              | 0.07 ± 0.03 b          |
| 2GA3 + NAA     | 79.9 ± 2.1 i     | 21.6 ± 0.4 f           | 5.4 ± 0.3 b,c            | 0.06 ± 0.02 b          |
| 5GA3 + NAA     | 85.4 ± 4.7 d,e   | 16.7 ± 0.3 g           | 5.5 ± 0.3 b,c            | 0.07 ± 0.03 b          |
| 10GA3 + NAA    | 89.9 ± 1.3 d     | 15.4 ± 0.3 h           | 4.9 ± 0.3 c              | 0.08 ± 0.03 b          |
| 0.25BAP        | 42.5 ± 0.8 j     | 13.6 ± 0.3 i           | 2.1 ± 0.2 f              | 0.04 ± 0.01 b          |
| 0.5BAP         | 61.5 ± 2.7 h     | 15.3 ± 0.3 b           | 2.3 ± 0.2 f              | 0.04 ± 0.02 b          |
| 1BAP           | 66.3 ± 5.3 g,h   | 17.6 ± 0.3 g           | 2.8 ± 0.2 c,d            | 0.04 ± 0.02 b          |
| 2BAP           | 70.8 ± 3.9 g     | 14.6 ± 0.3 b           | 2.8 ± 0.2 c,d            | 0.05 ± 0.02 b          |
| 5BAP           | 45.4 ± 4.6 i,j   | 13.0 ± 0.2 i           | 2.5 ± 0.2 f              | 0.04 ± 0.02 b          |
| 10BAP          | 43.5 ± 3.1 l     | 14.8 ± 0.2 h           | 2.4 ± 0.2 f              | 0.04 ± 0.02 b          |
| 0.25BAP + NAA  | 41.8 ± 4.2 j     | 20.5 ± 0.3 f           | 4.2 ± 0.3 d              | 0.06 ± 0.03 b          |
| 0.5BAP + NAA   | 63.6 ± 3.6 e,h   | 26.4 ± 0.5 d           | 4.7 ± 0.3 c,d            | 0.07 ± 0.03 b          |
| 1BAP + NAA     | 92.6 ± 2.7 g,d   | 31.3 ± 0.8 b           | 5.9 ± 0.3 b              | 0.07 ± 0.02 b          |
| 2BAP + NAA     | 105.4 ± 4.4 b    | 36.8 ± 1.1 a           | 7.1 ± 0.4 a              | 0.07 ± 0.02 b          |
| 5BAP + NAA     | 132.6 ± 3.1 a    | 35.8 ± 1.2 a           | 7.4 ± 0.7 a              | 0.07 ± 0.02 b          |
| 10BAP + NAA    | 96.3 ± 2.3 c     | 32.5 ± 0.9 b           | 7.0 ± 0.4 a              | 0.06 ± 0.02 b          |

LEAVES  
87.1 ± 4.1 e  
24.2 ± 1.8 e  
7.2 ± 0.7 a  
0.6 ± 0.1 a

1 Total phenolics content; values are means ± SD of three independent replicates. Different letters represent significant differences between the various experimental conditions (p < 0.05).
Interestingly, there was a significant correlation between biomass production and total phenolic production (Figure 2, Table S2). In line with this observation, the biomass-dependent production of total phenolics has already been reported in different plant systems [28].

\[\text{Figure 2.} \text{ Pearson correlation analysis (PCC) of the relation between the main phytochemicals (TPC: total phenolics content; chicoric acid, rosmarinic acid and caffeic acid) in extracts from Thai basil callus cultures and the different antioxidant assays (in vitro cell-free: DPH, ABTS, FRAP and in vivo: cellular antioxidant assay (CAA)). *** significant } p < 0.001; ** significant } p < 0.01; * significant } p < 0.05; actual PCC values are indicated in Supplementary Materials Table S1.\]

Szopa and Ekiert [29] noted that PGRs have a direct impact on the biosynthesis of phenolics in medicinal plants. This result is supported by a number of studies which report that the inclusion of a higher BAP concentration and a lower NAA concentration resulted in the maximum TPC [30–34]. This observation could be related to the stimulation of essential enzymes for the biosynthesis of various phenylpropanoids, such as 1-phenylalanine/tyrosine ammonia-lyase (PAL/TAL), in response to several PGR concentrations [32]. In contrast, in accordance with our results, GA3 has previously been shown to suppress the production of some phenylpropanoids, such as lignans in flax, in a dose-dependent manner [35].

2.2.2. HPLC-Based Evaluation of Simple Phenolics

The HPLC analysis confirmed treatment with different PGRs of leaf-derived callus culture as a tool for improving the synthesis of the main biologically active phenolic acids of Thai basil (Table 1). All BAP and GA3 treatments alone and with NAA exhibit a wide range of metabolite production. Maximum accumulation of chicoric acid (36.8 and 35.8 mg/g DW) obtained for the BAP treatments at concentrations of 2 and 5 mg/L, respectively, in combination with NAA at 1 mg/L (Table 1). By contrast, treatments with BAP alone at varying concentrations resulted in the lowest accumulation of chicoric acid ranging from 13.0 mg/g DW to 17.6 mg/g DW (Table 1). Similarly, an optimum production of rosmarinic acid (7.4 mg/g DW) was observed for combined BAP and NAA (5 mg/L:1 mg/L) treatment, while a minimum production was found for all tested BAP concentrations alone (Table 1). Compared to extract from commercial Thai basil leaves, chicoric acid accumulation was 2.6-fold higher in the extract from an in vitro callus produced under optimized conditions, while rosmarinic acid content was similar in both types of extracts (Table 1). In comparison, the accumulation levels in callus extracts of their biosynthetic precursor, caffeic acid, was much lower than that of chicoric acid and rosmarinic acid (Table 1). The concentration of caffeic acid in extract from in vitro callus cultures was 7.5- to 10-fold lower than in the extract from commercial Thai basil leaves (Table 1).

Chicoric, rosmarinic and caffeic acids have previously been reported in different basil species and varieties [36], in particular in Thai basil [6]. It is generally accepted that the accumulation of different phenolic acids in plant cultures may be modulated by the exogenous application of PGRs [29,35,37,38]. In line with this observation, our results show that the phenolic acids chicoric acid and rosmarinic acid...
can be modulated by PGRs in vitro callus cultures of Thai basil. Similarly, [39] also revealed an increase in the concentration of chicoric acid in their callus cultures of *Echinacea purpurea* grown on NAA supplemented medium. Similarly, increased production of rosmarinic acid was observed in *O. basilicum* in vitro cultures grown on media supplemented with NAA in combination with other PGRs [40], and in *O. americanum* callus culture grown on BAP in combination with IAA [41]. In the present study, chicoric acid and rosmarinic acid were both quantified and their contents were increased in response to PGR treatment. The lower levels of caffeic acid may be associated with its efficient biotransformation into its derivatives rosmarinic acid and chicoric acid [42,43]. It is important to note that chicoric acid production in our Thai basil callus cultures grown under the optimal conditions is higher than that measured for leaves, but also higher than that reported in the possible agricultural production of other plant resources [44]. Moreover, considering its independence of climatic variations or of the potential losses in yield resulting from crop pests’ attacks, as well as, contrary to agricultural production, the possibility offered by the in vitro culture system of multiplying the harvestings, the feasibility of using Thai basil in vitro cultures for the industrial production of chicoric acid is thus possible.

### 2.3. Antioxidant Activities

#### 2.3.1. In Vitro Cell-Free Antioxidant Assays

Three distinct in vitro cell-free antioxidant assays, namely DPPH, ABTS and FRAP were used to assess the antioxidant capacity of the extracts from Thai basil callus cultures. FRAP represents electron transfer (ET) antioxidant mechanism, while ABTS follows hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) antioxidant mechanism. Here, the results of both assays were calculated as TEAC (µM of Trolox C equivalent antioxidant capacity). DPPH assay can reveal both HAT- and ET-based antioxidants, and was calculated as a percentage of DPPH radical scavenging activity (RSA percentage). Extracts from callus grown on BAP:NAA (5 mg/L:1 mg/L) presented the highest levels for DPPH (93.2% RSA) and ABTS (1322.0 µM TEAC) antioxidant assays (Table 2), while lowest levels for DPPH (61.0% RSA) and ABTS (713.1 µM TEAC) were measured for extract from callus grown on 10 mg/L GA3 medium (Table 2). Maximum FRAP (523.3 µM TEAC) was measured for extracts from calluses grown on 2 mg/L BAP medium, and lowest value (335.3 µM TEAC) for extracts resulting from calluses grown on 10 mg/L GA3 medium (Table 2).

Comparatively, extracts from commercial Thai basil leaves presented a 71.4% RSA for DPPH assay, as well as 914.2 and 387.7 µM TEAC for ABTS and FRAP assays, respectively. Based on these in vitro cell-free assays, the measured antioxidant capacity of the extract from commercial Thai basil leaves was within the range of those determined for callus extracts (Table 2). However, under optimized conditions, extracts from callus cultures showed significantly higher in vitro antioxidant capacity than extract from commercial leaves (Table 2).

Significant correlations between in vitro antioxidant activity and the presence of chicoric acid, rosmarinic acid and caffeic acid were observed for each assay (Figure 2, Table S2). TPC was also significantly correlated with ABTS antioxidant activity (Figure 2, Table S2).

Similarly, a significant relationship between phenolic acid accumulation and antioxidant capacity has been revealed for several medicinal plant species [45,46]. From a strictly chemical point of view, these in vitro cell-free antioxidant assays are interesting since they can provide information on the mechanism reaction involved in the antioxidant potential of an extract [47]. Here, the results obtained could reflect a preferential ET-type mechanism. However, these in vitro assays do not necessarily reflect the situation of the in vivo systems and, therefore, their validity should be considered to be strictly limited to interpretation within the meaning of the chemical reactivity with respect to the in vitro produced radicals, and thus should be confirmed by in vivo assays.
Table 2. In vitro cell-free antioxidant potential of extracts from Thai basil callus cultures in response to different PGRs treatment and leaves.

| PGR Treatments       | DPPH (% RSA) | ABTS (TEAC µM) | FRAP (TEAC µM) |
|----------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| 0.25GA3              | 85.9 ± 1.7 c | 891.7 ± 5.4 h  | 438.2 ± 5.9 d,e|
| 0.5GA3              | 74.2 ± 1.9 e | 907.5 ± 5.9 g  | 446.5 ± 5.5 d  |
| 1GA3                | 95.1 ± 1.8 a | 826.7 ± 6.0 h  | 432.6 ± 5.4 d  |
| 2GA3                | 86.9 ± 1.8 b | 759.4 ± 4.9 j  | 420.7 ± 5.5 e  |
| 5GA3                | 91.6 ± 1.7 a,b| 814.3 ± 4.9 h  | 385.5 ± 5.3 f  |
| 10GA3               | 61.0 ± 1.8 g | 713.1 ± 5.2 k  | 335.3 ± 5.8 h  |
| 0.25GA3 + NAA        | 69.8 ± 1.7 c,f| 1034.1 ± 7.9 d| 403.0 ± 5.1 f  |
| 0.5GA3 + NAA         | 72.0 ± 1.8 e | 1100.2 ± 7.6 c| 445.7 ± 5.3 d  |
| 1GA3 + NAA + NAA     | 64.4 ± 1.6 f | 1170.4 ± 6.7 b| 450.4 ± 4.9 d  |
| 2GA3 + NAA           | 88.1 ± 1.8 b | 1030.5 ± 6.9 d| 499.7 ± 5.2 b  |
| 5GA3 + NAA           | 89.6 ± 1.7 b | 933.3 ± 6.9 f  | 451.4 ± 5.3 d  |
| 10GA3 + NAA          | 79.2 ± 1.5 d | 852.8 ± 7.6 h  | 349.3 ± 4.1 h  |
| 0.25BAP              | 63.6 ± 1.6 f | 788.7 ± 7.7 i  | 483.2 ± 4.9 c  |
| 0.5BAP               | 67.4 ± 1.6 f | 784.2 ± 7.5 i  | 505.5 ± 5.2 a,b|
| 2BAP                 | 73.5 ± 1.5 e | 1101.1 ± 7.7 c| 496.4 ± 5.4 b,c|
| 5BAP                 | 85.1 ± 2.1 c | 878.5 ± 6.8 g  | 523.3 ± 6.1 a  |
| 10BAP                | 77.8 ± 2.2 d | 897.4 ± 7.1 h  | 517.3 ± 5.7 a  |
| 0.25BAP + NAA        | 74.7 ± 2.0 e | 849.7 ± 6.4 h  | 502.9 ± 6.1 b  |
| 0.5BAP + NAA         | 82.6 ± 2.1 c,d| 991.2 ± 6.9 e  | 454.6 ± 4.8 d  |
| 1BAP + NAA           | 79.1 ± 1.9 d | 997.3 ± 6.9 e  | 447.6 ± 4.4 d  |
| 2BAP + NAA           | 82.6 ± 2.1 c,d| 1194.3 ± 7.9 b| 415.2 ± 4.3 e  |
| 5BAP + NAA           | 93.2 ± 2.2 a | 1322.0 ± 7.7 a | 384.7 ± 4.1 f  |
| 10BAP + NAA          | 87.2 ± 2.1 b | 1080.1 ± 6.9 c | 376.7 ± 4.3 g  |
| LEAVES               | 71.4 ± 3.2 e | 914.2 ± 7.3 f  | 387.7 ± 8.2 f  |

Values are means ± SD of three independent replicates. Different letters represent significant differences between the various experimental conditions (p < 0.05).

2.3.2. Cellular Antioxidant Activity

Antioxidant activity was also evaluated in vivo through the ability of the extract to inhibit the formation of reactive nitrogen and oxygen species (RNS and ROS) using a cellular antioxidant assay (Figure 3). The results confirm the protective action of Thai basil callus extracts against oxidative stress. Maximum inhibition of the ROS/RNS production (56.4%) was measured with extract from callus grown on medium with BAP and NAA (5 mg/L:1 mg/L), while minimum production inhibition ranging from 17.5% to 27.4% was recorded for extracts from calluses grown on media supplemented with BAP alone (Figure 3).

Extract from commercial Thai basil leaves presented an inhibition of 35.6% of the ROS/RNS production. As a result, extracts from callus cultures grown under optimized conditions also showed significantly higher in vivo antioxidant capacity than extracts from commercial leaves (Table 2), thus demonstrating the interest of this culture system in potential nutraceutical applications.

The cellular antioxidant assay confirmed the interest of natural antioxidant from plant extracts [18,48,49]. In the past decade, natural antioxidants have generated increasing interest due to their possible use as an alternative to potentially hazardous synthetic antioxidants such as butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA) or butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) in various food preparations and their possible use as nutraceuticals [50]. Some natural antioxidants have already been shown to be as effective as these synthetic antioxidants [51,52]. In the meantime, plant in vitro systems have been proposed as an efficient alternative for the production of natural antioxidants [53]. The present results indicate the potential use of an in vitro biological system as a tool for continuous and high production of natural antioxidants from Thai basil.
The extracts from fine powder of lyophilized dried sample were obtained using the validated green extraction method designed for the extraction of rosmarinic acid derivatives from *Lamiaceae* [55]. Shortly, the extraction consisted in S/M ratio of 25:1 mL/g DW mixed with 20 mL of pure ethanol (100%) as the extraction solvent extracted during 45 min in an ultrasound bath (USC1200TH, Prolabo, Sion, Switzerland) with operating at an ultrasound frequency of 30 kHz with extraction temperature set at 45 °C. After extraction, centrifugation was carried out for 15 min at 8000×g, and the resulting supernatant filtered (0.45 μm, Merck, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, Lyon, France) prior to further analysis.
3.4. Determination of Total Phenolic (TPC)

Determination of TPC was performed by adopting the procedure of Singleton and Rossi [56]. Extract (20 µL) was mixed with Folin-Ciocalteu (FC) reagent (Merck, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, Lyon, France) (90 µL) and kept for 5 min before adding sodium carbonate (90 µL). Gallic acid as a positive and pure ethanol (extraction solvent) as a negative control were used. Absorbance was recorded at 630 nm with microplate reader. By using the following formula, the total phenolic production was estimated: \( \text{TPP (mg/L)} = \text{TPC (mg/g)} \times \text{DW (g/L)} \).

3.5. HPLC Analysis

Chicoric acid, rosmarinic acid and caffeic acid were estimated by HPLC using a method validated according to international standards of the association of analytical communities (AOAC) [11]. A reversed phase HPLC fitted with autosampler, Varian (Les Ulis, France) Prostar 230 pump and a Varian Prostar 335 photodiode array detector was utilized by following previously reported protocol [57]. A RP-18 Purospher (Merck, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, Lyon, France) column (250 mm × 4.0 mm, internal diameter: 5 µm) was used at 35 °C for separation. The mixture of acetic acid (0.2%), water and methanol were used as mobile phase. During a 60 min run, the composition of the mobile phase was varied linearly from 5:95 (v/v) to 100:0 (v/v) at a uniform flow rate of 0.6 mL/min. Compounds were detected at 320 and 520 nm. Compound identification was achieved by comparing with their commercial standards (Merck, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, Lyon, France). Quantification was carried out using 5-point calibration curves 1 with at least 0.998 correlation coefficient [11]. Triplicate assays were conducted, and results were demonstrated as mg/g DW of the sample.

3.6. Antioxidant Activity

3.6.1. DPPH Assay

Free radical DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) scavenging potential was evaluated by following the protocol of Shah et al. [58]. Briefly, the sample extract (20 µL) was blended with 180 µL of DPPH solution and kept for 1h in dark. Using a microplate reader (Synergy II reader, BioTek Instruments, Colmar, France), the absorbance was recorded at 517 nm. Free radical scavenging potential was estimated by following equation: \( \% \text{ scavenging} = 100 \times \left(1 - \frac{\text{AE}}{\text{AD}}\right) \), with \( \text{AE} \) = dilution absorbance at 517 nm and \( \text{AD} \) = pure DPPH absorbance as a standard.

3.6.2. ABTS Assay

The protocol of Velioglu et al. [59] was adapted for the ABTS (2,2-Azinobis (3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) assay. Briefly, by combining equal amounts of ABTS salt (7 mM) and potassium per sulphate (2.45 mM), a solution was prepared, then placed for 16 h in the dark. The sample extract (10 µL) was blended with the ABTS solution (190 µL). Absorbance was measured at 734 nm using a microplate reader (Synergy II reader, BioTek Instruments, Colmar, France), after keeping the mixture for 15 min in the dark at 25 °C. Triplicate assays were performed and antioxidant power was expressed as Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC).

3.6.3. Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP) Assay

To find out FRAP, the protocol of Benzie and Strain [60] was adapted. The sample extract (10 µL) was blended with the FRAP solution (190 µL). Absorbance was recorded at 630 nm using a microplate reader (Synergy II reader, BioTek Instruments, Colmar, France), after incubating the mixture at 25 °C for 15 min. Triplicate assays were performed and antioxidant power was demonstrated as TEAC.
3.6.4. Cellular Antioxidant Assay

The cellular antioxidant assay was performed with the detection of reactive nitrogen (RNS) and oxygen (ROS) species levels were determined by using a fluorescent dye dihydrorhodamine-123 (DHR-123) as described previously by Garros et al. [61]. All extracts evaporated under nitrogen flow, dissolved in DMSO at 50 µg/mL, and added to the cells 6 h before oxidative stress induction at a final concentration of 1 mg/mL. The final concentration of DMSO applied on the cell was 1% (v/v). For the control sample, DMSO totaling 0.1% of the final volume was added. Overnight incubation of yeast cells with Thai basil extracts was performed. Then, the extracts were washed two times with PBS and kept at 30 °C in the dark for 10 min. The BioRad (Marnes-la-Coquette, France) Fluorimeter (λem = 535 nm, λex = 505 nm) was used for the detection of the fluorescent signal after repeatedly washing with PBS.

3.7. Statistical Analysis

Means and standard deviations of three to six independent replicates were used to present the data. Statistical analysis was performed with XLSTAT 2019 (Addinsoft, Paris, France) following the manufacturer’s instructions using the Kruskal–Wallis test. Significant threshold at $p < 0.05$ was used for all statistical tests and was represented by different letters in the tables and figures. Correlation analysis was performed with Past 3.0 (Øyvind Hammer, Natural History Museum, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway) using the Pearson parametric correlation test and visualized using Heatmapper [62].

4. Conclusions

*Ocimum basilicum* L. cv ‘Thai Basil’ is a widely used herbal medicinal product, particularly due to its accumulation of a pharmaceutically important metabolite, including rosmarinic acid and chicoric acid. Here, a protocol is proposed for the effective production of leaf-derived callus cultures of Thai basil with sustainable and elevated productions of both biomass and antioxidant phenolics. We proved that plant cell and tissue culture technologies can be applied routinely to Thai basil as an important, sustainable and low-cost biomass source for the production of high-value antioxidant compounds. MS basal medium with BAP:NAA (5 mg/L:1 mg/L) yields a maximum biomass accumulation (both fresh weight (FW): 190 g/L and dry weight (DW): 13.05 g/L) as well as enhanced phenolic (346.08 mg/L) production. HPLC quantification analysis confirmed high productions of both choric acid (35.77 mg/g DW) and rosmarinic acid (7.35 mg/g DW) under this optimal callus culture conditions. Antioxidant potential, assessed using both in vitro cell-free and in vivo cellular antioxidant assays, revealed maximum in vitro antioxidant activity with both DPPH (93.2% of radical scavenging activity) and ABTS (1322 µM Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity) assays for the corresponding extracts. In vivo cellular antioxidant activity assay confirmed this effective protection against oxidative stress with an effective inhibition of ROS and RNS production conferred by the corresponding extract. Under optimal conditions, compared to commercial leaves, the extracts of callus showed higher production of choric acid, and similar levels of rosmarinic acid, associated with higher antioxidant capacity. Furthermore, considering the large production capacity of this biological system, suitable for continuous biomass and antioxidant phenolic productions, can be further expanded in the future with the use of cell suspension cultures growing in bioreactors, we anticipate that it could have a great potential for possible nutraceutical applications.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online. Table S1: Callogenesis, morphology and induction frequency of *O. basilicum* callus culture under various PGRs, Table S2: Actual values for PCC (Pearson Correlation Coefficient) showing the relation between the different phytochemicals (TPC: total phenolics content; choric acid, rosmarinic acid and caffeic acid) in extracts from Thai basil callus cultures and the different antioxidant assays (in vitro cell-free: DPPH, ABTS, FRAP and in vivo: CAA (cellular antioxidant assay)).

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, B.H.A. and C.H.; methodology, S.N., D.T., S.D. and C.H.; software, S.D. and C.H.; validation, B.H.A., D.T. and C.H.; formal analysis, B.H.A., D.T. and C.H.; investigation, S.N., H.J., D.T. and S.D.; resources, B.H.A. and C.H.; data curation, B.H.A., D.T. and C.H.; writing—original draft preparation, S.N., H.J. and C.H.; writing—review and editing, B.H.A., D.T., M.Z. and C.H.; visualization, S.N., H.J., D.T., M.Z., C.H. and B.H.A.; supervision, B.H.A. and C.H.; project administration, B.H.A. and C.H.; funding acquisition, B.H.A. and C.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.
**Funding:** This research was supported by Cosmetosciences, a global training and research program dedicated to the cosmetic industry. Located in the heart of the Cosmetic Valley, this program led by University of Orléans is funded by the Région Centre-Val de Loire (VALBIOCOSM 17019UNI).

**Acknowledgments:** B.H.A. acknowledges research fellowship of Le Studium-Institute for Advanced Studies, Loire Valley, Orléans, France. S.D. acknowledges research fellowship of Région Centre-Val de Loire, France. D.T. and C.H. gratefully acknowledge the support of the French Government via the French Embassy in Thailand in the form of Junior Research Fellowship Program 2018. C.H. and D.T. also gratefully acknowledge the support of Campus France through the PHC SIAM (PNPIA, Project 44926WK). S.D. acknowledges the post-doctoral research fellowship of the Loire Valley Region.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

**References**

1. Sahoo, Y.; Pattnaik, S.K.; Chand, P.K. In vitro clonal propagation of an aromatic medicinal herb *Ocimum basilicum* L. (sweet basil) by axillary shoot proliferation. *Vitr. Cell. Dev. Biol. Anim.* 1997, 33, 293–296. [CrossRef]
2. Paton, A.; Harley, R.M.; Harley, M.M. *Ocimum*: An overview of classification and relationships. In *Basil: The Genus Ocimum*; Hiltunen, R., Holm., Y., Eds.; Harwood Academic Publishers: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2005; pp. 11–46.
3. Vaní, S.R.; Cheng, S.F.; Chuah, C.H. Comparative study of volatile compounds from genus *Ocimum*. *Am. J. Appl. Sci.* 2009, 6, 523. [CrossRef]
4. Paton, A.; Putievsky, E. Taxonomic Problems and Cytotaxonomic Relationships between and within Varieties of *Ocimum basilicum* and Related Species (Labiatae). *Kew Bull.* 1996, 51, 509. [CrossRef]
5. Selvi, M.T.; Thirugnanasampandan, R.; Sundarammal, S. Antioxidant and cytotoxic activities of essential oil of *Ocimum canum* Sims. from India. *J. Saudi Chem. Soc.* 2015, 19, 97–100. [CrossRef]
6. Lee, J.; Scagel, C.F. Chicoric acid found in basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) leaves. *Food Chem.* 2009, 115, 650–656. [CrossRef]
7. Peng, Y.; Sun, Q.; Park, Y. The Bioactive Effects of Chicoric Acid as a Functional Food Ingredient. *J. Med. Food* 2019, 22, 645–652. [CrossRef]
8. Nyarko, A.; Asare-Anane, H.; Ofosuhene, M.; Addy, M. Extract of *Ocimum canum* lowers blood glucose and facilitates insulin release by isolated pancreatic β-islet cells. *Phytomedicine* 2002, 9, 346–351. [CrossRef]
9. Kaya, I.; Yigit, N.; Benli, M. Antimicrobial Activity of Various Extracts of *Ocimum basilicum* L. and Observation of the Inhibition Effect on Bacterial Cells by Use of Scanning Electron Microscopy. *Afr. J. Tradit. Complement. Altern. Med.* 2008, 5, 363–369. [CrossRef]
10. Behera, S.; Babu, S.M.; Ramani, Y.R.; Choudhury, P.K.; Panigrahi, R. Phytochemical investigation and study on antioxidant properties of *Ocimum canum* hydro-alcoholic leaf extracts. *J. Drug Deliv. Ther.* 2012, 2. [CrossRef]
11. Nazir, M.; Tungmunnithum, D.; Bose, S.; Drouet, S.; Garros, L.; Giglioli-Guivarc'H, N.; Abbasi, B.H.; Hano, C. Differential Production of Phenylpropanoid Metabolites in Callus Cultures of *Ocimum basilicum* L. with Distinct In Vitro Antioxidant Activities and In Vivo Protective Effects against UV stress. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2019, 67, 1847–1859. [CrossRef]
12. Sasheva, P.; Letkarska, G.; Ionkova, I. Biotechnological production of podophyllotoxin and podophyllotoxin-related lignans in cultures of *Linum thraicum* Degen. *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.* 2013, 66, 1445–1450.
13. Khurshid, R.; Khan, T.; Zaeem, A.; Garros, L.; Hano, C.; Abbasi, B.H. Biosynthesis of precious metabolites in callus cultures of *Eclipta alba*. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* 2018, 135, 287–298. [CrossRef]
14. Drouet, S.; Garros, L.; Hano, C.; Tungmunnithum, D.; Renouard, S.; Hagège, D.; Maurin, B.; Lainé, E. A Critical View of Different Botanical, Molecular, and Chemical Techniques Used in Authentication of Plant Materials for Cosmetic Applications. *Cosmetics* 2018, 5, 30. [CrossRef]
15. Lila, M.A.L. Chapter 24. Valuable secondary products from in vitro culture. In *Plant Development and Biotechnology*; CRC Press; Taylor Francis Group: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2005.
16. Bais, H.P.; Walker, T.S.; Schweizer, H.P.; Vivanco, J.M. Root specific elicitation and antimicrobial activity of rosmarinic acid in hairy root cultures of *Ocimum basilicum*. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.*. 2002, 40, 983–995. [CrossRef]

17. Gopi, C.; Ponnurugan, P. Somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration from leaf callus of *Ocimum basilicum* L. J. Biotechnol. 2006, 126, 260–264. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

18. Nazir, M.; Asad-Ullah, M.; Mumtaz, S.; Siddiquah, A.; Shah, M.; Drouet, S.; Hano, C.; Abbasi, B.H. Interactive Effect of Melatonin and UV-C on Phenylpropanoid Metabolite Production and Antioxidant Potential in Callus Cultures of Purple Basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L. var purpurascens). *Molecules* 2020, 25, 1072. [CrossRef]

19. Nazir, M.; Ullah, M.A.; Younas, M.; Siddiquah, A.; Shah, M.; Giglioli-Guivarc’h, N.; Hano, C.; Abbasi, B.H. Light-mediated biosynthesis of phenylpropanoid metabolites and antioxidant potential in callus cultures of purple basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L. var purpurascens). *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* 2020, 142, 107–120. [CrossRef]

20. Chang, W.-C. Plant regeneration from callus culture of *Cymbidium ensifolium* var. misericors. *Plant Cell Rep.* 1998, 17, 251–255. [CrossRef]

21. Ishii, Y.; Takamura, T.; Goi, M.; Tanaka, M. Callus induction and somatic embryogenesis of *Phalaenopsis*. *Plant Cell Rep.* 1998, 17, 446–450. [CrossRef]

22. Johri, M.M.; Mitra, D. Action of plant hormones. *Curr. Sci.* 2001, 80, 199–205.

23. Abbasi, B.H.; Khan, M.A.; Mahmood, T.; Ahmad, M.; Chaudhary, M.F.; Khan, M.A. Shoot regeneration and free-radical scavenging activity in *Silybum marianum* L. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* 2010, 101, 371–376. [CrossRef]

24. Liu, S.; Gao, P.; Zhu, Q.; Luan, F.; Davis, A.R.; Wang, X. Development of cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence markers and a CAPS-based genetic linkage map in watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus* [Thunb.] Matsum. and Nakai) constructed using whole-genome re-sequencing data. *Breed. Sci.* 2016, 66, 244–259. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

25. Anjum, S.; Abbasi, B.H.; Hano, C. Trends in accumulation of pharmacologically important antioxidant-secondary metabolites in callus cultures of *Linum usitatissimum* L. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* 2016, 129, 73–87. [CrossRef]

26. Jayaraman, S.; Daud, N.H.; Halis, R.; Mohamed, R. Effects of plant growth regulators, carbon sources and pH values on callus induction in *Aquilaria malaccensis* leaf explants and characteristics of the resultant calli. *J. For. Res.* 2014, 25, 535–540. [CrossRef]

27. Ahmad, S.; Spoor, W. Effect of NAA and BAP on Callus Culture and Plant Regeneration in Curly Kale (*Brassica oleraces* L.). *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.* 1999, 2, 109–112. [CrossRef]

28. Ali, M.; Abbasi, B.H. Light-induced fluctuations in biomass accumulation, secondary metabolites production and antioxidant activity in cell suspension cultures of *Artemisia absinthium* L. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B Biol.* 2014, 140, 223–227. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

29. Szopa, A.; Ekiert, H. Production of biologically active phenolic acids in *Aronia melanocarpa* (Michx.) Elliott in vitro cultures cultivated on different variants of the Murashige and Skoog medium. *Plant Growth Regul.* 2013, 72, 51–58. [CrossRef]

30. Taveira, M.; Pereira, D.M.; Sousa, C.; Ferreres, F.; Andrade, P.B.; Martins, A.; Pereira, J.A.; Valentão, P. In Vitro Cultures of *Brassica oleracea* L. var. costata. DC: Potential Plant Bioreactor for Antioxidant Phenolic Compounds. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2009, 57, 1247–1252. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

31. Kartnig, T.; Kögl, G.; Heydel, B. Production of flavonoids in cell cultures of *Crataegus monogyna*. *Planta Med.* 1993, 59, 537–538. [CrossRef]

32. Maharik, N.; Elgengaihi, S.; Taha, H. Anthocyanin production in callus cultures of *Crataegus sinaica* boiss. *Int. J. Acad. Res.* 2009, 1, 30–34.

33. Chaibani, G.; Tabart, J.; Kevers, C.; Dommes, J.; Khan, M.I.; Zaouii, S.; Chebcoub, L.; Lachaâl, M.; Karray-Bouraoui, N. Effects of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid combined to 6-Benzylaminopurine on callus induction, total phenolic and ascorbic acid production, and antioxidant activities in leaf tissue cultures of *Crataegus azarolus* L. var. aronia. *Acta Physiol. Plant.* 2015, 37, 16.

34. El-Baz, F.K.; Mohamed, A.A.; Ali, S.I. Callus formation, phenolics content and related antioxidant activities in tissue culture of a medicinal plant colocynth (*Citrullus colocynthis*). *Nova Biotechnol.* 2010, 10, 79–94.
35. Corbin, C.; Renouard, S.; Lopez, T.; Lamblin, F.; Lainé, E.; Hano, C. Identification and characterization of cis-acting elements involved in the regulation of ABA- and/or GA-mediated LuPLR1 gene expression and lignan biosynthesis in flax (Linum usitatissimum L.) cell cultures. J. Plant Physiol. 2013, 170, 516–522. [CrossRef]

36. Srivastava, S.; Adholeya, A.; Conlan, X.A.; Cahill, D.M. Acidic Potassium Permanganate Chemiluminescence for the Determination of Antioxidant Potential in Three Cultivars of Ocimum basilicum. Plant Foods Hum. Nutr. 2016, 71, 72–80. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

37. Coste, A.; Vlase, L.; Halmagyi, A.; Deliu, C.; Coldea, G. Effects of plant growth regulators and elicitors on production of secondary metabolites in shoot cultures of Hypericum hirsutum and Hypericum maculatum. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult. 2011, 106, 279–288. [CrossRef]

38. Ali, M.; Abbasi, B.H. Production of commercially important secondary metabolites and antioxidant activity in cell suspension cultures of Artemisia absinthium L. Ind. Crop. Prod. 2013, 49, 400–406. [CrossRef]

39. Ramezannezhad, R.; Aghdasi, M.; Fatemi, M. Enhanced production of cichoric acid in cell suspension culture of Echinacea purpurea by silver nanoparticle elicitation. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult. 2019, 139, 261–273. [CrossRef]

40. Kintzios, S.; Makri, O.; Panagiotopoulos, E.; Scapeti, M. In vitro rosmarinic acid accumulation in sweet basil (Ocimum basilicum L.). Biotechnol. Lett. 2003, 25, 405–408. [CrossRef]

41. Rady, M.R.; Nazif, N.M. Rosmarinic acid content and RAPD analysis of in vitro regenerated basil (Ocimum americanum) plants. Fitoterapia 2005, 76, 525–533.

42. Petersen, M.; Simmonds, M.S.J. Rosmarinic acid. Phytochemistry 2003, 62, 121–125.

43. Petersen, M.; Abdullah, Y.; Benner, J.; Eberle, D.; Gehlen, K.; Hücherig, S.; Janiak, V.; Kim, K.H.; Sander, M.; Weitzel, C. Evolution of rosmarinic acid biosynthesis. Phytochemistry 2009, 70, 1663–1679. [CrossRef]

44. Lee, J.; Scagel, C.F. Chicoric acid: Chemistry, distribution, and production. Front. Chem. 2013, 1, 40. [CrossRef]

45. Kim, D.-O.; Chun, O.K.; Kim, Y.J.; Moon, H.-Y.; Lee, C.Y. Quantification of polyphenolics and their antioxidant capacity in fresh plums. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2003, 51, 6509–6515. [CrossRef]

46. Djeridane, A.; Yousfi, M.; Nadjemi, B.; Boutassouna, D.; Stocker, P.; Vidal, N. Antioxidant activity of some Algerian medicinal plants extracts containing phenolic compounds. Food Chem. Toxicol. 2013, 51, 473–497. [CrossRef]

47. Hano, C.; Tungmunnithum, D. Plant Polyphenols, More than Just Simple Natural Antioxidants: Oxidative Stress, Aging and Age-Related Diseases. Medicines 2020, 7, 26.

48. Garros, L.; Drouet, S.; Corbin, C.; Decourt, C.; Fidel, T.; De Lacour, J.L.; Leclercq, P.; Senor, S.; Renouard, S.; Tungmunnithum, D.; Doussot, J.; et al. Insight into the influence of cultivar type, cultivation year, and site on the lignans and related phenolic profiles, and the health-promoting antioxidant potential of flax (Linum usitatissimum L.) seeds. Molecules 2018, 23, 2636. [CrossRef]

49. Tungmunnithum, D.; Drouet, S.; Kabra, A.; Hano, C. Enrichment in Antioxidant Flavonoids of Stamen Extracts from Nymphaea lotus L. Using Ultrasonic-Assisted Extraction and Macroporous Resin Adsorption. Antioxidants 2020, 9, 576.

50. Williams, G.M.; Iatropoulos, M.J.; Whysner, J. Safety Assessment of Butylated Hydroxyanisole and Butylated Hydroxytoluene as Antioxidant Food Additives. Food Chem. Toxicol. 1999, 37, 1027–1038. [CrossRef]

51. Hano, C.; Corbin, C.; Drouet, S.; Quéro, A.; Rombaut, N.; Savoie, R.; Moliné, R.; Thomasset, B.; Mesnard, F.; Lainé, É. The lignan (+)-secoisolariciresinol extracted from flax hulls is an effective protectant of linseed oil and its emulsion against oxidative damage. Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol. 2017, 119, 1600219. [CrossRef]

52. Drouet, S.; Doussot, J.; Garros, L.; Mathiron, D.; Bassard, S.; Favre-Réguillon, A.; Moliné, R.; Lainé, É.; Hano, C. Selective Synthesis of 3-O-Palmitityl-Silybin, a New-to-Nature Flavonolignan with Increased Protective Action against Oxidative Damages in Lipophilic Media. Molecules 2018, 23, 2594. [CrossRef]

53. Matkowskia, A. Plant in vitro culture for the production of antioxidants—A review. Biotechnol. Adv. 2008, 26, 548–560. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

54. Murashige, T.; Skoog, F. A Revised Medium for Rapid Growth and Bio Assays with Tobacco Tissue Cultures. Physiol. Plant. 1962, 15, 473–497. [CrossRef]

55. Tungmunnithum, D.; Garros, L.; Renouard, S.; Lainé, E.; Hano, C. Green Ultrasound Assisted Extraction of trans Rosmarinic Acid from Plectranthus scutellarioides (L.) R.Br. Leaves. Plants 2019, 8, 50. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
56. Singleton, V.L.; Rossi, J.A. Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolybdic-phosphotungstic acid reagents. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* 1965, 16, 144–158.

57. Coricovac, D.O.; Soica, C.O.; Muntean, D.A.; Popovici, R.A.; Dehelean, C.A.; Hoge, E.L. Assessment of the effects induced by two triterpenoids on liver mitochondria respiratory function isolated from aged rats. *Rev. Chim* 2015, 66, 1707–1710.

58. Shah, M.; Ullah, M.A.; Drouet, S.; Younas, M.; Tungmunnithum, D.; Giglioli-Guivarc’h, N.; Hano, C.; Abbasi, B.H. Interactive effects of light and melatonin on biosynthesis of silymarin and anti-inflammatory potential in callus cultures of *Silybum marianum* (L.) gaertn. *Molecules* 2019, 24, 1207. [CrossRef]

59. Velioglu, Y.S.; Mazza, G.; Gao, L.; Oomah, B.D. Antioxidant Activity and Total Phenolics in Selected Fruits, Vegetables, and Grain Products. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 1998, 46, 4113–4117. [CrossRef]

60. Benzie, I.; Strain, J. The ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) as a measure of “antioxidant power”: The FRAP assay. *Anal. Biochem.* 1996, 239, 70–76. [CrossRef]

61. Tungmunnithum, D.; Abid, M.; Elamrani, A.; Drouet, S.; Addi, M.; Hano, C. Almond Skin Extracts and Chlorogenic Acid Delay Chronological Aging and Enhanced Oxidative Stress Response in Yeast. *Life* 2020, 10, 80.

62. Babicki, S.; Arndt, D.; Marcu, A.; Liang, Y.; Grant, J.R.; Maciejewski, A.; Wishart, D.S. Heatmapper: Web-enabled heat mapping for all. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016, 44, W147–W153. [CrossRef]

**Sample Availability:** Samples of the compounds are available from the authors.

**Publisher’s Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).